Bovine gene polymorphisms related to fat deposition and meat tenderness
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Abstract

Leptin, thyroglobulin and diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase play important roles in fat metabolism. Fat deposition has an influence on meat quality and consumers’ choice. The aim of this study was to determine allele and genotype frequencies of polymorphisms of the bovine genes, which encode leptin (LEP), thyroglobulin (TG) and diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase (DGAT1). A further objective was to establish the effects of these polymorphisms on meat characteristics. We genotyped 147 animals belonging to the Nelore (Bos indicus), Canchim (5/8 Bos taurus + 3/8 Bos indicus), Rubia Gallega X Nelore (1/2 Bos taurus + 1/2 Bos indicus), Brangus Three-way cross (9/16 Bos taurus + 7/16 Bos indicus) and Braunvieh Three-way cross (3/4 Bos taurus + 1/4 Bos indicus) breeds. Backfat thickness, total lipids, marbling score, ribeye area and shear force were fitted, using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of the SAS software. The least square means of genotypes and genetic groups were compared using Tukey’s test. Allele frequencies vary among the genetic groups, depending on Bos indicus versus Bos taurus influence. The LEP polymorphism segregates in pure Bos indicus Nelore animals, which is a new finding. The T allele of TG is fixed in Nelore, and DGAT1 segregates in all groups, but the frequency of allele A is lower in Nelore animals. The results showed no association between the genotypes and traits studied, but a genetic group effect on these traits was found. So, the genetic background remains relevant for fat deposition and meat tenderness, but the gene markers developed for Bos taurus may be insufficient for Bos indicus.
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Introduction

Fat deposition demands beef industry attention for many reasons. To name a few, lean to fat deposition ratio improvement means better feed conversion efficiency, less husbandry cost and lower pressure on world feed supplies (Sillence, 2004). The higher fat content in beef due to intramuscular fat deposition, interfering with consumer habits and meat pricing (Killinger et al., 2004). Controlling backfat deposition is important because carcass quality and backfat thickness measurements are strongly associated with percentage of retail product. The weight of retail cuts, a trait of economic importance, is related to the ribeye area (Tait et al., 2005). Last but not least, fat deposition, especially intramuscular fat, can interfere with meat tenderness perception (Crouse et al., 1989).

The LEP gene encodes leptin, a 16-kDa protein produced by adipocytes and implicated in food intake regulation, energy balance, reproduction efficiency and fat deposition (Houseknecht et al., 1998). Additionally, serum leptin has been correlated with fat deposition traits in cattle (Geary et al., 2003), and LEP is likely associated to the BM1500 microsatellite, which is implicated in fat content of beef carcasses (Fitzsimmons et al., 1998). After Stone et al. (1996) mapped LEP to chromosome 4, many polymorphisms were described and associated with countless traits, from carcass fat content to fertility (Pomp et al., 1997; Buchanan et al., 2002; Barendse et al., 2005; Schenkel et al., 2005; Van Der Lende et al., 2005).

The TG gene encodes thyroglobulin, the precursor of triiodothyronine and tetraiodothyronine, signals for fat cells development (Ailhaud et al., 1992; Darimont et al., 1993). Subcutaneous fat thickness and fat percentage of tis-
sues in general, including milk, are expected to be influ-
enced by TG polymorphisms because the iodothyronines
affect adipocyte differentiation and the thyroid hormone
levels influence milk fat percentage (Folley and Malpress,
1948). Attempts to associate TG markers and marbling or
other fat deposition traits have been previously made, and
TG polymorphisms are included in commercial panels (Ba-
rendse, 1999; Barendse et al., 2004; Casas et al., 2005;
Rincker et al., 2006; Van Eenennaam et al., 2007).

Many association studies support the diacylglycerol
O-acyltransferase 1 gene (DGTA1) as a marker for fat de-
position traits (Thaller et al., 2003; Kühn et al., 2004;
Tantia et al., 2006). DGTA1 encodes the catalyst enzyme
of the reaction between diacylglycerol and acyl-CoA. This re-
action is a final step in the synthesis of triglyceride, a major
fat component. So, the enzyme encoded by DGTA1 regu-
lates the rate of triglycerides in adipocytes (Coleman and
Bell, 1976) and has been implicated in energy homeostasis
(Havel, 2001). In addition, DGTA1 maps to chromosome
14, where TG is located, which is bodily related to the DNA
marbling score marker CSSM66 (Barendse et al., 1997).

In spite of the support from the literature for selecting
the candidate genes mentioned above, some conflicting re-
ports show no association of these genes with fat deposition
traits (Rincker et al., 2006). Also, most studies were con-
ducted with Bos taurus cattle. Thus, the segregation and the
predictive value of the LEP, TG, and DGAT1 polymor-
phisms for fat deposition and meat quality traits were yet to
be analyzed in Bos indicus (Nelore breed and Nelore cross-
breed cattle).

The objectives of the present study were to estimate
the allele and genotype frequencies of single nucleotide
polymorphisms (SNP) of the LEP, TG and DGAT1 genes
and to associate genotypes with backfat thickness, total
lipids (objective intramuscular fat deposition), marbling
(subjective intramuscular fat deposition), ribeye area and
shear force. The polymorphisms selected for the study were
LEP/Kpn21 (Buchanan et al., 2002), TG/Psal (Thaller et
al., 2003) and DGAT1/Cfr1 (Winter et al., 2002).

Material and Methods

Animals

The study included carcasses of Nelore breed and of
crosses that use Nelore as a formation breed, consisting on
five different genetic groups. The genetic groups were clas-
sified as follows: 46 animals were Nelore - N - (Bos
indicus), 41 Canchim - C - (5/8 Bos taurus + 3/8 Bos
indicus), 19 Brangus Three-way cross - B3x - (9/16 Bos
taurus + 7/16 Bos indicus), and 15 Braunvieh Three-way
cross - BV3x - (3/4 Bos taurus + 1/4 Bos indicus). These an-
imals were from the experimental feedlot facility of the De-
partment of Genetics and Animal Nutrition - FMVZ,
Botucatu, SP, Brazil. The cattle were sampled from four
different farms, and the production system used in the uni-
versity facility has been previously described in detail (Cur-
et al., 2005). Additional 26 Rubia Gallega X Nellore cross-
bred - RGxN - (1/2 Bos taurus + 1/2 Bos indicus) were sam-
pled from a semi-intensive system. All animals were slaughtered within the age gap of 15 to 19 months.

After humanitarian slaughter, performed in a support-
ive abattoir, carcasses were identified and cooled for 24 h,
following which 2.50 cm-thick samples/steaks were re-
moved from the longissimus dorsi muscle, between the 12th
and the 13th ribs. The ribeye area (REA), also referred to as
longissimus dorsi area, was measured at the abattoir by the
quadrant methodology described in the USDA Quality
Grade (USDA, 1989). The steaks were vacuum-packaged
and aged at 1 to 2 °C until 14 days postmortem and then
frozen until the further analyses were carried out.

Fat deposition and meat quality traits

Further phenotypic analyses such as backfat thick-
ness (BT), total lipids (TL), marbling score (MS), and shear
force (SF) measurements were performed at the Chemistry
and Biochemistry Department of the Institute of Biosci-
ences of the São Paulo State University (UNESP, Botucatu,
SP, Brazil), as described below.

Backfat thickness was measured with a caliper, fol-
lowing the methodology described in the USDA Quality
Grade (USDA,1989). Marbling score was visually assessed
(subjective scores from 1 to 5), according to the methodol-
gy described by the Aus-Meat Ltd (2001). Total lipids
were evaluated using the method of Bligh and Dyer (1959).
In short, six to eight cores of one inch x inch of meat were
removed from the central area of each longissimus dorsi
steak, carefully avoiding visible fat tissue. As mentioned
above, the steaks used for this sampling were removed from
the area between the 12th and the 13th ribs and were 2.54 cm
thick. Then, the cores were minced and homogenized, be-
fore weighing the g used for lipids assessment. Chloro-
form and methanol were added to the 5 g samples and
rocked for over 30 min, for lipid extraction. Then, the sam-
ple was centrifuged to separate three phases: hydrophilic
(disposed), solid (disposed), and hydrophobic solution
(used for volumetric measurements). Five milliliters of
the hydrophobic phase were transferred to a previously
weighed 50 mL beaker flask and let to dry overnight.

The lipid content was calculated by the weight difference of
the beaker. The lipid assessment of each animal was done in
duplicate, and the final value is the mean of both results.
Shear force was determined according to Wheeler et al.
(1997), as follows. The frozen meat samples were thawed
under refrigerated conditions (4 °C for as long as 24 h) until
reaching an internal temperature of 5 to 6 °C, cooked until
reaching an internal temperature of 71 °C, cooled during
24 h at 5 to 6 °C, and then cylindrical pieces of 1.27 cm in
diameter were removed from each sample, parallel to mus-
cle fiber orientation. The cores were cut in a Warner-
Bratzler shear force measurement equipment (speed of 20 cm/min, with a 25 kg capacity).

DNA extraction and genotyping

After powdering meat samples with liquid nitrogen, DNA extraction was performed by digestion with protease K and precipitation with NaCl and alcohol, a non-phenolic method (Sambrook et al., 1989). After extraction, each DNA solution was checked for quantity and integrity by agarose gel electrophoresis, then diluted to work concentration (10 ng/μl) and stored at -20°C until genotyping.

The animals were genotyped for the LEP, TG and DGAT1 genes by using the polymerase chain reaction - restriction length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) technique. Alleles C and T of the LEP gene were determined by the amplification of a 94 bp fragment in exon 2, followed by digestion with Kpn2I, as reported by Buchanan et al. (2002). For the determination of alleles C and T of the TG gene, a 548 bp fragment located at the 5’ untranslated region was amplified and digested with the Psul restriction enzyme, as described by Thaller et al. (2003). Alleles A and K of the DGAT1 gene were identified by the amplification of a 411 bp sequence corresponding to a fragment of exon 8, followed by digestion with CfrI, as reported by Lacorte et al. (2006).

After digestion of the amplified LEP, TG and DGAT1 gene products, the DNA fragments were separated, respectively, on 3.5, 2 and 2% agarose gels in a horizontal electrophoresis system. A standard molecular weight of 100 bp was applied onto each gel, next to the amplified and digested DNA fragments, so their size could be estimated. Ethidium bromide staining and exposure to ultraviolet light were used to visualize the DNA fragments in the gel. Using a digital photo-documentation system, the gels were photographed for ulterior data analyses. Individual genotypes were determined for each polymorphism by analyzing the size (in bp) of the fragments.

Statistical analysis

Genotype and allele frequencies were calculated for each polymorphism according to Weir (1990). Differences in allele frequencies of the polymorphisms within and between genetic groups were determined by the method of Goodman adapted by Curi and Moraes (1981).

The traits of interest were analyzed by least square analysis of variance (p = 0.05), using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of the SAS program (SAS Institute Inc., 2004). The linear model used to fit the quantitative variables included, in addition to the genotype effect, the interaction between genetic groups and contemporary groups, as follows: $Y_{ijk} = \mu + G_i + GGC_j + e_{ijk}$, where $Y_{ijk}$ = production trait, $\mu$ = overall mean, $G_i$ = fixed effect of the $i$th genotype ($i = 1,.., 3$), $GGC_j$ = fixed effect of the $j$th genetic group and contemporary group combined ($j = 1,.., 9$), and $e_{ijk}$ = random error. The criteria for the contemporary groups included variations of sex, age at slaughter, feedlot and farm of origin. Animals with the same age, feedlot and farm of origin were slaughtered on the same day. In other words, the interaction between genetic and contemporary groups resulted in 9 subgroups, as follow: Canchim FE, Canchim MF, Nelore MA, Nelore MB, Nelore MD, Rubia Galega x Nelore FC, Rubia Galega x Nelore MC, Brangus Three-way cross, and Braunvieh Three-way cross MF. In these subgroups, the first letter represents the sex of the animals (F = female, M = male) and the second letter designates the day of slaughter (A,.., F). The least square means for genotypes and genetic subgroups were established and compared using the Tukey test. Genotypes with very low frequency (less than 0.10) in the total sample of individuals or genetic groups showing a single genotype were not included in the analysis, in order to prevent unreliable results. For the same reason, when most of the animals (over 80%) of one genotype belonged to the same genetic group, this genotype was entirely excluded from the analyses. The sire effect was not included in the linear model because the number of genotyped animals which were progenies of the same sire was very small.

So, the possibility of confounding the influence of the genotype effect and of the sire effect on production traits was low because of the large number of small half-sib families.

Results

The allele and genotype frequencies of all three polymorphisms in each genetic group and in the sample as a whole are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

The two allelic forms of LEP (C and T) were observed in all five genetic groups. Two restriction fragments of 75 and 19 bp, respectively, were seen when the CC genotype was present, and the TT genotype was reported from the observation of a 94 bp fragment. Heterozygotes presented all three fragment sizes: 94, 75 and 19 bp. The genetic groups with higher Bos indicus influence (Nelore and Rubia Galega X Nelore) presented a much lower frequency of allele T (4.3 and 7.7%, respectively) and no animal with genotype TT. The two Three-way crosses presented similar T frequencies (20 to 26.3%) and were different from the Canchim breed (39%).

For TG, two allelic variants were reported: C (295 and 178 bp restriction fragments) and T (intact PCR product 473 bp fragment). TG alleles C and T were segregating in most genetic groups, but allele C was fixed in the Nelore animals, resulting in the occurrence of only the CC genotype. Rubia Gallega X Nelore presented a very low frequency of $T$ (1.9%), with no statistically significant difference from the segregation of this polymorphism in the pure Nelore group. In fact, the occurrence of allele $T$ increased numerically with the higher Bos taurus influence on each genetic group: Brangus Three-way cross (15.8%), Canchim (22%), and Braunvieh Three-way cross (33.3%).
The DGAT1 alleles (K and A) segregated in all genetic groups. When only allele K was present, the fragment identified was like the intact PCR product, with 411 pb in size. When only the alanine-bearing allele was present, two fragments were observed (208 and 203 pb, respectively). Heterozygous individuals were determined by the presence of fragments of three sizes: 411, 208 and 203 bp, respectively. In contrast, allele A was less frequent in the Nelore breed, with a presence of only 5.4%, compared to a range of 53 to 67% in the other four genetic groups.

The least square means and standard errors of quantitative meat traits for the different subgroups are shown in Table 3. A subgroup effect was found for all meat traits: backfat thickness (p = 0.0022), total lipids (p = 0.0002), ribeye area (p < 0.0001), and shear force (p < 0.0001).

The least square means and standard errors of quantitative meat traits for the different genotypes of polymorphisms DGAT1/Cfrl TG/Psul and LEP/Kpn2I are shown in Table 4. Genotypes CC and CT were analyzed for an association with LEP/Kpn2I, but no significance was found for any of the traits: backfat thickness (p = 0.1038), total lipids (p = 0.6298), ribeye area (p = 0.3355) and shear force (p = 0.9189). To characterize the effects of TG/Psul, genotypes CC and CT were tested. No effect was found for TG/Psul on backfat thickness (p = 0.7101), total lipids (p = 0.2813), ribeye area (p = 0.8044) or shear force (p = 0.4361). For the analysis of polymorphism DGAT1/Cfrl, only genotypes AA and AK were considered, and no significant effect was found regarding an association with backfat thickness (p = 0.5244), total lipids (p = 0.6293), ribeye area (p = 0.8235) or shear force (p = 0.2124).

The visual assessment of marbling revealed to be ineffective for the Nelore or Bos indicus crosses subgroups studied because of its very low variability, shown by the fact that most animals scored 1 and only few individuals scored 2. So, no association tests were made for this trait.

Discussion

In 1997, Pomp et al. (1997) found an RFLP marker in the LEP gene using the enzyme Sau3AI that segregates in numerous Bos taurus breeds but was fixed in Brahman cattle (Bos indicus), which made segregation and association studies in this case impossible. Buchanan et al. (2002) used

---

Table 1 - Allele frequencies of LEP, TG and DGTA1 polymorphisms in five bovine genetic groups and in the sample as a whole.

| Polymorphism | Allele | Genetic group |
|--------------|--------|---------------|
|              | N (n = 46) | RGxN (n = 26) | C (N = 41) | B3x (n = 19) | BV3x (n = 15) | Total |
| LEP/Kpn2I    | C       | 0.957^A,a    | 0.923^A,a  | 0.610^B,b   | 0.737^B,b   | 0.806^B,b | 0.810 |
|              | T       | 0.043^B,b    | 0.077^B,b  | 0.396^B,b   | 0.263^B,b   | 0.206^B,b | 0.190 |
| TG/Psul      | C       | 1.000^A,a    | 0.981^A,a  | 0.780^B,b   | 0.842^B,b   | 0.667^B,b | 0.881 |
|              | T       | 0.000^B,b    | 0.019^B,b  | 0.220^A,b   | 0.158^B,b   | 0.333^A,b | 0.119 |
| DGAT1/Cfrl   | K       | 0.946^A,a    | 0.465^A,b  | 0.329^B,b   | 0.474^B,b   | 0.367^B,b | 0.568 |
|              | A       | 0.054^B,b    | 0.538^A,b  | 0.671^A,a   | 0.526^A,b   | 0.635^A,b | 0.432 |

A, B = Different allele frequencies between genetic groups (p < 0.05).
a, b = Different allele frequencies within genetic groups (p < 0.05).

Table 2 - Genotype frequencies of the LEP, TG and DGTA1 gene polymorphisms obtained for five genetic groups and in the sample as a whole.

| Polymorphism | Genotype | Genetic group |
|--------------|----------|---------------|
|              | N (n = 46) | RGxN (n = 26) | C (N = 41) | B3x (n = 19) | BV3x (n = 15) | Total |
| LEP/Kpn2I    | CC       | 0.913       | 0.846       | 0.415       | 0.526       | 0.667       | 0.687 |
|              | CT       | 0.087       | 0.154       | 0.390       | 0.421       | 0.267       | 0.245 |
|              | TT       | 0.000       | 0.000       | 0.195       | 0.053       | 0.067       | 0.068 |
| TG/Psul      | CC       | 1.000       | 0.962       | 0.610       | 0.648       | 0.467       | 0.789 |
|              | CT       | 0.000       | 0.038       | 0.341       | 0.316       | 0.400       | 0.184 |
|              | TT       | 0.000       | 0.000       | 0.049       | 0.000       | 0.133       | 0.027 |
| DGAT1/Cfrl   | KK       | 0.913       | 0.077       | 0.073       | 0.067       | 0.158       | 0.347 |
|              | KA       | 0.065       | 0.769       | 0.512       | 0.632       | 0.600       | 0.442 |
|              | AA       | 0.022       | 0.154       | 0.415       | 0.211       | 0.333       | 0.211 |

N = Nelore; RGxN = Rubia Gallega X Nelore; C = Canchim; B3x = Brangus Three-way cross; BV3x = Braunvieh Three-way cross.

---
Table 3 - Least square means and standard errors of the meat traits for each genetic group and subgroup.

| Genetic group | Subgroup | BT (mm) | TL (%) | REA (cm²) | SF (kg) |
|---------------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|
| C             | F E      | 3.75 ± 1.96<sup>AB</sup> | 0.02 ± 0.009<sup>AB</sup> | 62.51 ± 6.58<sup>C</sup> | 3.68 ± 0.64<sup>BC</sup> |
|               | M F      | 3.69 ± 1.55<sup>AB</sup> | 0.02 ± 0.014<sup>AB</sup> | 79.98 ± 9.46<sup>A</sup> | 3.68 ± 0.65<sup>BC</sup> |
| N             | M A      | 4.55 ± 0.93<sup>B</sup> | 0.01 ± 0.003<sup>AB</sup> | 64.90 ± 4.99<sup>C</sup> | 4.55 ± 1.28<sup>B</sup> |
|               | M B      | 4.60 ± 1.42<sup>AB</sup> | 0.01 ± 0.003<sup>AB</sup> | 70.64 ± 8.29<sup>BC</sup> | 5.59 ± 1.03<sup>A</sup> |
|               | M D      | 3.66 ± 1.50<sup>AB</sup> | 0.02 ± 0.011<sup>A</sup> | 65.33 ± 7.18<sup>C</sup> | 3.77 ± 0.62<sup>BC</sup> |
| RGxN          | F C      | 2.69 ± 1.31<sup>AB</sup> | 0.01 ± 0.003<sup>AB</sup> | 61.69 ± 9.59<sup>C</sup> | 3.57 ± 0.73<sup>BC</sup> |
|               | M C      | 2.25 ± 0.63<sup>A</sup> | 0.01 ± 0.004<sup>AB</sup> | 62.90 ± 7.56<sup>C</sup> | 3.83 ± 1.25<sup>C</sup> |
| B3x           | M F      | 4.13 ± 1.44<sup>AB</sup> | 0.02 ± 0.015<sup>AB</sup> | 75.45 ± 7.29<sup>AB</sup> | 2.98 ± 0.40<sup>C</sup> |
| BV3x          | M F      | 3.83 ± 1.31<sup>AB</sup> | 0.02 ± 0.012<sup>AB</sup> | 74.65 ± 3.16<sup>AB</sup> | 3.21 ± 0.46<sup>C</sup> |

BT = backfat thickness; TL = total lipids; REA = ribeye area; SF = shear force; N = Nelore; RGxN = Rubia Gallega X Nelore; C = Canchim; B3x = Brahmus Three-way cross; BV3x = Braunvieh Three-way cross. The subgroups relate to contemporary criteria. F = female, M = male. A,..., F: slaughter days. A, B, C = Differences among genetic subgroups within each meat trait (p < 0.05).

Table 4 - Least square means and standard errors of the meat traits for genotypes LEP/Kpn2I, TG/Psal and DGAT1/Cfr1

| Locus        | Genotype | BT (cm) | TL (%) | REA (cm²) | SF (kg) |
|--------------|----------|---------|--------|-----------|---------|
| LEP/Kpn2I    | CC       | 3.42 ± 1.50 | 0.016 ± 0.012 | 67.61 ± 9.72 | 3.68 ± 0.87 |
|              | CT       | 3.96 ± 1.60 | 0.015 ± 0.010 | 69.20 ± 9.09 | 3.70 ± 0.88 |
| TG/Psal      | CC       | 3.85 ± 1.55 | 0.021 ± 0.011 | 73.50 ± 10.25 | 3.47 ± 0.56 |
|              | CT       | 4.00 ± 1.63 | 0.017 ± 0.013 | 73.05 ± 8.25 | 3.30 ± 0.79 |
| DGAT1/Cfr1   | AA       | 3.19 ± 1.76 | 0.017 ± 0.012 | 69.76 ± 10.39 | 3.64 ± 0.75 |
|              | AK       | 3.43 ± 1.46 | 0.016 ± 0.012 | 70.19 ± 10.69 | 3.42 ± 0.72 |

BT = backfat thickness; TL = total lipids; REA = ribeye area; SF = shear force.

the enzyme Kpn2I to assess a substitution of cytosine (C) for thymine (T) at exon 2 of the LEP gene (AF120500), assumed to cause an arginine to cysteine exchange, in Angus Hereford and Charolais breeds (Bos taurus). The overall allelic frequency for the LEP gene polymorphism reported by these authors was 54% (C) and 46% (T), figures that seemed different from the 81% (C) and 19% (T) frequencies found in the present study. A difference between Bos taurus animals and Bos indicus x Bos indicus crosses was actually expected. In fact, even within Bos taurus cattle the allelic frequencies may be distinct in British (higher T frequency) and in continental breeds (higher C occurrence) (Buchanan et al., 2002).

Leptin polymorphisms have been associated with many characteristics of economic importance for livestock, including feed intake, milk yield, and carcass traits (Van der Lende et al., 2005). A study on Bos taurus (Angus, Charolais, Limousin and Simmental) found an association between two leptin exon 2 polymorphisms and lean yield (Schenkel et al., 2005). In Bos taurus animals, average fat and grade fat were shown to be affected by the genotype, and animals homozygous for allele T produced more leptin mRNA than those homozygous for allele C (Buchanan et al., 2002), but no similar correlations with other fat deposition traits (backfat thickness and total lipids) were found in Bos indicus and Bos indicus crosses (present data). The results presented here are consistent with the association study that genotyped 3129 individuals, including many Bos taurus breeds and 317 Bos indicus (Brahman) animals, conducted by Barendse et al. (2005), who found no association between LEP/Kpn2I and marbling, backfat thickness, intramuscular fat and adjusted total fat. In the present study, no correlation was found for REA and LEP, although Geary et al. (2003) found a negative correlation between the longissimus dorsi area and serum leptin, and moreover the serum concentrations of leptin were significantly associated with carcass composition (marbling, backfat thickness and kidney, pelvic and heart fat) and quality grade, in crossbred Bos taurus (1/2 Angus + 1/4 Charolais + 1/4 Tarentaise).

Polymorphism at the 5’ untranslated region of the thyroglobulin TG gene was patented by Barendse (1999) and is evaluated using Psal to distinguish alleles C and T (Thaller et al., 2003). The results obtained in the present...
Very high frequency (Casas et al., 2002; Moore et al., 2003) for Bos taurus beef breeds and the results found in this study for Bos indicus. The present findings, namely the lack of association between K and higher marbling or higher total lipids, are not consistent with literature statements that consider DGAT1/CfrI to be the causative polymorphism for fat deposition traits (Grisart et al., 2004). However, a discrepancy about the effects of DGAT1 in cattle with different genetic backgrounds is already known, once it is significant for intramuscular fat content in German Holstein but not in Charolais animals (Thaller et al., 2003). Our results are in agreement with those of Casas et al. (2005), who found no association between K232A alleles and backfat thickness, marbling, tenderness score or ribeye area in Brahman (Bos indicus) cattle. Kühn et al. (2004) reported a variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) located upstream of DGAT1, which has an effect on milk fat content even among AA individuals, further clarifying the BTA 14 QTL. It is possible that 5' VNTR DGAT1 contributes to fat deposition variability in Bos indicus animals.

According to Crouse et al. (1989), higher scores for tenderness are achieved in a sensory panel when intramuscular fat content is over 5%. Previous reports showed association of LEP with tenderness (Schenkel et al., 2005). Thus, the effect of LEP, TG and DGAT1 gene polymorphisms on shear force (mechanical evaluation of tenderness) was tested, but no association was found. Discrepancies among association studies may be related to different genetic backgrounds and variable epistatic effects and/or to environmental and management pressure on phenotypic data (Dekkers, 2004). The combined genetic-environmental component, here represented by the subgroups, has an influence on all analyzed traits. Furthermore, comparing the results obtained for the subgroups for each analyzed trait, it seems that subgroups within the same breed type tend to share similar results. In contrast, subgroups from distinct breed types presented distinct results.

Hence, while the genetic (or breed type) effect on meat traits continues to be of importance, the gene markers, which underpin this effect, are yet to be discovered for Bos indicus-influenced cattle. In other words, the fixation (or very low frequency) of alleles in Bos indicus (Nelore) and the lack of additive value shown by the present results for these markers at LEP, TG and DGAT1 encourage a search for new markers. Adequate new marker panels should be developed specifically for Bos indicus cattle, to allow marker-assisted selection to be successful in Brazil.
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