Compression fossil Mymaridae (Hymenoptera) from Kishenehn oil shales, with description of two new genera and review of Tertiary amber genera
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Abstract

Compression fossils of three genera and six species of Mymaridae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea) are described from 46 million year old Kishenehn oil shales in Montana, USA. Two new genera are described: Eoeustochus Huber, gen. n., with two included species, E. kishenehn Huber (type species) and E. borchersi Huber, sp. n., and Eoanaphes, gen. n., with E. stethynioides Huber, sp. n. Three new species of Gonatocerus are also described, G. greenwalti Huber, sp. n., G. kootenai Huber, sp. n., and G. rasnitsyni Huber, sp. n. Previously described amber fossil genera are discussed and five genera in Baltic amber are tentatively recorded as fossils: Anagroidea, Camptoptera, Dorya, Eustochus, and Mimalaptus.

Keywords

Mymaridae, Kishenehn Formation, compression fossils, Eoanaphes, Eoeustochus, Gonatocerus, Baltic amber.
Introduction

The family Mymaridae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea) is represented by 103 genera and about 1400 nominal extant species in all terrestrial habitats and a few fresh water habitats (Huber 1986, Noyes 2010). Fossil Mymaridae have been described from amber inclusions from various localities, including five extinct genera and species from Cretaceous amber (Yoshimoto 1975, Huber and Poinar 2011) and eight genera (seven still extant, one extinct) from Tertiary amber, mostly from the Samland Peninsula, Kaliningrad district, Russia, and Chiapas, Mexico. Probably because of their small size, no compression fossils of Mymaridae have been discovered until now. Here we describe the first ones, representing three genera and six species. These and the Tertiary amber fossil genera are discussed and compared with extant genera.

Methods

A total of 17 specimens of fossil Mymaridae, all females, were collected in 2009 and 2010 at six sites (e.g. W113°42.173', N48°23.476') along the Middle Fork of the Flathead River in northwestern Montana between Paola and Coal Creeks in accordance with USFS Authorization HUN281. Fossil specimens were collected from the middle sequence of the Coal Creek member of the Kishenehn Formation, which has been estimated to be 46.2 +/- 0.4 myo (Lutetian) by 40Ar/39Ar analysis and 43.5 +/- 4.9 myo by fission-track analysis (Constenius 1996). Although the paper/oil shales of the middle sequence are thin (<1 mm to several mm), they were often easily split into even thinner pieces so as to expose unweathered surfaces on which the fossil insects reside.

The compression fossils were immersed in 95% ethanol for examination and photography. For figures 1–10 and 13–18, specimens were photographed using a Zeiss AxioCam MRc5 digital CCD camera mounted on a Zeiss Discovery V20 microscope and Zeiss AxioVision EDF software in Ottawa, ON. Measurements were generated using Zeiss AxioVision software. For figures 11 and 12, specimens were photographed using an Olympus SZX12 microscope, DP-25 camera and DPM imaging software in Washington, DC, and measurements were taken with the DP2-BSW software. All measurements are in micrometers (mm). If a measurement could not be made (e.g., scape length) it is represented by a dash.

Abbreviations used: fl x = funicle segment x. Measurements were taken as accurately as possible but given that the beginning and end points of a structure were not always clear or were hidden, the measurements may not be accurate. Appendage measurements are the most accurate except the wing bases cannot be clearly determined. Consequently, wing lengths were taken from the visible edge of the mesosoma and therefore they and length/width ratios are slightly smaller than they should be.

Fossils examined are in the following institutions:

AMNH  American Museum of Natural History.
The compression fossils are housed in NMNH. Baltic amber fossils were examined from the remaining institutions.

Systematics

*Eoeustochus* Huber, gen. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:25AE7CE1-EB1D-4B39-8D61-8D61-AA86366A4A35
http://species-id.net/wiki/Eoeustochus
Figs 1–8

**Description. Female.** Body length 718–1133 (seven specimens in total, only four described and named to species). **Head.** Normal in shape, wider than long and about ¾ as high as wide. Face slightly convex in lateral view; vertex flat and slightly sloping anteriorly, forming a moderately sharp angle with occiput; back of head slightly concave. Eye higher than wide, about 2/3 head height; malar space about 1/3 eye height. **Antenna.** Funicle 6-segmented, with each funicle segment longer than wide; clava 3-segmented with the claval sutures perpendicular to claval length. **Wings.** Fore wing wide, symmetrical, with evenly rounded apex (shape resembling that of the extant genus *Eustochus*); marginal fringe shorter than fore wing width. Venation about 0.4× fore wing length, with long marginal vein and short but distinct stigmal vein. Hind wing narrow; marginal fringe much longer than wing width. **Mesosoma.** Shorter than gaster. Pronotum length at most about half length of mesoscutum. Mesoscutum length subequal to scutellum. Scutellum with frenum apparently entire, not divided longitudinally. Metanotum much shorter than scutellum. **Metasoma.** Constricted at base, probably with short petiole. Gastral terga similar in length. Ovipositor moderately short, probably arising near midpoint of gaster and its apex not or barely exserted beyond gastral apex.

**Type species.** *Eoeustochus kishenehn* Huber, sp. n.

**Derivation of generic name.** The name is from eo-, Greek for early, and *Eustochus*, an extant genus. The gender is masculine.

**Discussion.** Two species are described, each from two specimens. Three additional specimens (Kishenehn #30,356, 40,410, and 40,023) belong to *Eoeustochus* but are not included in the type series of either species because they are not in as good a condition.

The relationships of *Eoeustochus* are with *Eustochus*, one extant species of which has a 3-segmented clava in females. Although thirteen extant genera have a definite
3-segmented clava (Allanagrus, Anneckia, Idiocentrus, Krokella, Nesopatasson/Nesomymar, Neostethynium, Notomymar, Paracmotemnus, Parastethynium, Polynemoidea, Pseudocleruchus, Stethynium), the closest one is Eustochus, based on the apparent strong constriction in lateral view between meso- and metasoma (none in Allanagrus), transverse sutures between claval segments (sutures oblique in Stethynium), shape of fully developed wings (wings almost absent in Nesopatasson/Nesomymar and Notomymar, and of different shape in Anneckia, Neostethynium, Parastethynium and Pseudocleruchus) venation shorter than half fore wing length (venation longer in Krokella and Paracmotemnus), and ovipositor not distinctly exserted beyond apex of gaster (ovipositor strongly exserted in Polynemoidea) or projecting anteriorly under mesosoma (ovipositor strongly projecting anteriorly in Idiocentrus).

**Eoeustochus kishenehn** Huber, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:5CE2A3C6-9203-4A2A-8B2F-4FECC0937342
http://species-id.net/wiki/Eoeustochus_kishenehn
Figs 1–4

**Type material.** Holotype female (NMNH), labelled “Eoeustochus kishenehn Huber Holotype female #543757”.

Paratype female (NMNH), labelled as for holotype but “paratype #543758”.

**Description. Female.** Colour brown, head dark brown, fore wing thinly margined with brown. Holotype (Fig. 1) measurements as follows. Body length 909. Antenna (Fig. 2) with total funicle length 317; scape 95, pedicel 70, fl₁ 52, fl₂ 63, fl₃ 63, fl₄ 51, fl₅ 47, fl₆ 45; clava 180, 0.56 × funicle length. Fl₂ and fl₃ the longest segments and fl₆ the shortest (Fig. 2). Mesosoma length 481. Fore wing (Fig. 3) length 912, width 271, length/width 3.36, longest marginal setae 160, venation length 355. Hind wing width 26, longest marginal setae 88. Metasoma length 340. Ovipositor length 290, apparently slightly exserted beyond apex of gaster.

Paratype (Fig. 4) measurements as follows. Body length 966, head width 234, height 132.

**Derivation of species name.** Named after the Kishenehn Formation shale in which the fossils were found.

**Eoeustochus borchersi** Huber, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:B96FABBE-964F-4D92-AF7A-D3DE8E8B780B
http://species-id.net/wiki/Eoeustochus_borchersi
Figs 5–8

**Type material.** Holotype female (NMNH) labelled “Eoeustochus borchersi Huber Holotype female #543759”

Paratype female (NMNH), labelled as for holotype but “paratype #543760”.

Figures 1–2. *Eoeustochus kishenehn*, holotype 1 habitus lateral 2 mesosoma, head, antennae.
Figures 3–4. *Eoeustochus kishenehn* 3 wings, holotype 4 paratype, habitus dorsal.
Figures 5–6. *Eoeustochus borchersi*, holotype 5 habitus lateral 6 head and antennae.
Figures 7–8. *Eoeustochus borchersi*, holotype 7 wings 8 mesosoma and base of wings.
**Description. Female.** Colour dark brown, fore wing thinly margined with brown. Holotype (Fig. 5) measurements as follows. Body length 1094. Antenna (Fig. 6) with total funicle length 432; scape -, pedicel 58, fl₁ 69, fl₂ 78, fl₃ 71, fl₄ 64, fl₅ 56, fl₆ 54; clava 186, 0.47× funicle length. Fl₁ distinctly the longest segment and fl₆ the shortest. Fore wing (Fig. 7) length 948, width 312, length/width 2.72, longest marginal setae 188, venation (Fig. 8) length 395. Hind wing length 781, width 32. Ovipositor length 292, not exserted beyond apex of gaster.

**Comments.** This species differs from *E. kishenehn* by the longer funicle segments (funicle 2.31× clava length cf. 1.83× in *E. kishenehn*) and slightly wider fore wing (length/width at most 3.49 instead of at least 3.64 in *E. kishenehn*).

**Derivation of species name.** Named after Harold Borchers, professor of entomology and early mentor to the junior author at Bemidji State University, Bemidji, Minnesota.

---

**Gonatocerus Nees**
Figs 9–16

*Gonatocerus* is a worldwide group with numerous described extant species classified in several subgenera (Triapitsyn et al. 2010). Its members are often the most commonly collected Mymaridae in almost any habitat so it seems surprising that it has been recorded only once as a fossil, in Baltic amber by Meunier (1905).

The three species described below definitely belong to *Gonatocerus* based on the entire clava, 8-segmented funicle, similar sized gastral segments, short and probably narrow petiole, and 5-segmented tarsi (at least in the one species where they can be counted). Because they all appear to have a rhomboidal dorsellum they would be classified either in *G. (Gonatocerus)* or in *G. (Cosmocomoidea)*, but not *G. (Lymaenon)*, the most common extant subgenus because it has a narrow, strap-shaped dorsellum or *G. (Gastrogonatocerus)* because the ovipositor does not project forward under the mesosoma.

---

**Gonatocerus kootenai** Huber, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:5FF0E145-6ABD-431A-A540-8FEC3130E934
http://species-id.net/wiki/Gonatocerus_kootenai
Figs 9–11

**Type material.** Holotype female (NMNH) labelled “*Gonatocerus kootenai* Huber Holotype female #543761”.

**Description. Female.** Colour dark brown except pedicel laterally, pronotum, trochanters, base and apex of femora, base and apex of tibiae (at least of fore and middle legs) and tarsomeres except apical tarsomere of all legs whitish. Holotype (Fig. 9) measurements as follows. Body length 1270. Head height 246, length 157. Antenna (Fig.
Figures 9–10. Gonatocerus kootenai, holotype 9 habitus lateral 10 head and antennae.
10) with total funicle length 476; scape -, pedicel 57, fl₁ 54, fl₂ 57, fl₃ 52, fl₄ 59, fl₅ 69, fl₆ 64, fl₇ 61, fl₈ 60, clava 120. Mesosoma length 462. Fore wing length 1101, width 309, length/width 3.56, venation length 259, longest marginal setae 95. Hind wing length 729, width 35, longest marginal setae 67. Metasoma (Fig. 11) length 658. Ovipositor length 588.

**Comments.** Gonatocerus kootenai differs from the following two species by the relatively narrower wings and shorter funicle segments. I tentatively place it in *G. (Gonatocerus)* because of the relatively narrow fore wing and fairly long and relatively slender fl₃–fl₅. The reverse side of the shale piece contains a specimen of Trichoptera.

**Derivation of species name.** Named after the Kootenai tribe of the Flathead Nation in northwestern Montana, site of the Kishenehn shales.

**Gonatocerus rasnitsyni** Huber, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:7F2CF9FB-5B06-4ABF-B62B-6043AB4179C7
http://species-id.net/wiki/Gonatocerus_rasnitsyni
Figs 12–13

**Type material.** Holotype female (NMNH) labelled “Gonatocerus rasnitsyni Huber Holotype female #543762”.

**Description. Female.** Colour dark brown except middle leg (others less clearly visible) with coxa, trochanter, apex of femur and base of tibia, and basal 4 tarsal segments yellowish. Holotype (Fig. 12) measurements as follows (measured in NMNH only). Body length 1046. Antenna (Fig. 13) with total funicle length 414: scape length and width 144/46, pedicel -, fl₁ 44, fl₂ 43, fl₃ 55, fl₄ 51, fl₅ 65, fl₆ 56, fl₇ 58, fl₈ 50, clava 113. At least on one antenna it appears that fl₃ is wider than fl₂ and fl₄. Mesosoma length (excluding pronotum) 375. Fore wing length 789 (775 from margin of mesosoma), width 243, length/width 3.25.

**Comments.** Gonatocerus rasnitsyni differs from *G. kootenai* by the relatively smaller size, shorter and wider fore wing, and colour of the antennal pedicel and legs. I tentatively place it in *G. (Cosmocomoidea)* because of the relatively wider fl₃ (on one antenna at least, suggesting it bears multiporous plate sensilla) compared to the slightly narrower fl₂ and fl₄. This resembles several extant members of this subgenus that also have alternately wider and narrower basal funicle segments.

**Derivation of species name.** Named in honour of A. P. Rasnitsyn, the world’s foremost Hymenoptera palaeontologist, on the occasion of his 75th birthday.
Figs 11, 12. *Gonatocerus* spp. 11 *G. kootenai* holotype, posterior half of mesosoma and metasoma 12 *G. rasnitsyni* holotype, habitus lateral.
Figs 13, 14. Gonatocerus spp. 13 G. rasnitsyni holotype, mesosoma, head, antennae 14 G. greenwalti holotype, habitus lateral.
Gonatocerus greenwalti Huber, sp. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:957095F5-B63B-4FB5-9FF0-0ACD1B029730
http://species-id.net/wiki/Gonatocerus_greenwalti

Figs 14–16

Type material. Holotype female (NMNH) labelled “Gonatocerus greenwalti Huber Holotype female #543763”.

Description. Female. Colour dark brown except apex of pedicel and legs beyond coxae lighter (yellowish). Holotype (Fig. 14) measurements as follows. Body length 926. Antenna (Fig. 15) with total funicle length 398; scape -, pedicel 51, fl1 35, fl2 42, fl3 57, fl4 54, fl5 56, fl6 55, fl7 56, fl8 54, clava 116. Mesosoma length 410. Fore wing (Fig. 16) length 730, width 273, length/width 2.67, longest marginal setae 58. Fore wing seemingly bare (without microtrichia) behind and just apical to the venation. Hind wing length 458, width 23, longest marginal setae 73. Metasoma length 460. Ovipositor length 417.

Comments. G. greenwalti differs from G. kootenai and G. rasnitsyni by the wider fore wing and thicker funicle segments. The apparent absence of microtrichia behind the venation, the wide fore wing, and fairly uniformly thick funicle segments suggest that G. greenwalti should be classified in G. (Cosmocomoidea). The shale fragment in which the fossil occurs contains several aquatic insects (e.g., Notonectidae), an indication of the lacustrine environment in which the mymarid lived (though it is not aquatic itself).

Derivation of species name. Named by the senior author in honour of the junior author, Dale Greenwalt, who collected and curated the insect fossils from Kishenehn shale.

Eoanaphes Huber, gen. n.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1DF7117D-B881-487B-94D8-63BCAA207F8F
http://species-id.net/wiki/Eoanaphes

Figs 17, 18

Description. Female. Body about 700. Head. About as wide as high (measured in frontal view). Malar space long, almost eye height. Antenna. Funicle 6-segmented, with fl1 very short and remaining segments longer than wide; clava 3-segmented with the claval sutures almost perpendicular to claval length. Wings. Fore wing wide, slightly asymmetrical, with somewhat truncate apex; marginal fringe much shorter than fore wing width. Venation almost 1/3 fore wing length, with marginal vein fairly short, about as long as stigmal vein. Hind wing narrow; marginal fringe much longer than wing width and along posterior margin extending to base of membrane. Mesosoma. About 0.75 x gaster length. Mesoscutum length shorter than scutellum. Metanotum much shorter than scutellum, apparently with strap-like dorsellum. Metasoma. Apparently slightly constricted at base, probably with fairly wide, short petiole. Gastral terga similar in length. Ovipositor moderately short, apparently arising near base of gaster and its apex not exserted beyond gastral apex.

Type species. Eoanaphes stethynioides Huber, sp. n.
Figs 15, 16. *Gonatocerus greenwalti* holotype: antennae 16 wings.
Figs 17, 18. *Eoanaphes stethynioides*, holotype 17 habitus lateral (insert fore wing base) 18 antennae.
**Derivation of generic name.** The name is based on the extant genus *Anaphes*, which is also known from one extinct species in Baltic amber. The gender is masculine.

**Discussion.** *Eoanaphes* appears to be related to two genera, *Anaphes* and *Stethynium*. Four features suggest *Anaphes*: 1) in lateral view there is a distinct constriction dorsally between the mesosoma and metasoma, indicating that the propodeum slopes strongly down relative to the horizontal scutellum and dorsellum (in *Stethynium*, the entire dorsal margin of the mesosoma is horizontal and in line with the base of the metasoma, without a depression between the two parts); 2) the very short fl1; 3) the apparent absence of a distinct rounded lobe on the posterior margin of the fore wing opposite the marginal + stigmal veins (one wing appears to have a rounded lobe but this is due to the membrane being partly folded over on itself); 4) the wing surface behind the marginal vein seems to be bare except for a few setae behind the stigmal vein and a faint suggestion of a hair line separating medial from marginal space. Two features suggest *Stethynium*: 1) the clearly 3-segmented clava; 2) the strap-like dorsellum (rhomboidal in *Anaphes*).

**Eoanaphes stethynioides** Huber, sp. n.
urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:653B7B5B-8401-42A6-9790-826E714E3C22
http://species-id.net/wiki/Eoanaphes_stethynioides
Figs 17, 18

**Type material.** Holotype female (NMNH) labelled “*Eoanaphes stethynioides* Huber Holotype female #543764”.

**Description.** Female. Colour dark brown; pedicel, basal two funicle segments, and tarsi except perhaps apical tarsomere yellowish. Holotype (Fig. 17) measurements as follows. Body length 703. Head width 199. Antenna (Fig. 18) with total funicle length 189; scape -, pedicel 50, fl1 15, fl2 38, fl3 38, fl4 34, fl5 40, fl6 34, clava 132, about 0.66× funicle length. Mesosoma length (excluding pronotum) 293. Fore wing length 593, width 248, length/width 2.39, longest marginal setae 99; venation length 169, about 0.28× fore wing length. Hind wing length 485, width 34, venation length 115, longest marginal setae 84. Gaster length 372. Ovipositor length 322.

**Discussion**

Compression fossils in sedimentary rock are less informative morphologically than amber fossils. Fine details such as body setation and sculpture are not visible (wing setae may be visible), and body flattening during fossilization usually results in distortion. Measurements of body parts may therefore not be accurate though they can sometimes be more easily measured because they are flat. Appendages are the best source of useful diagnostic characters because some (legs, antenna) are less and some (wings) are not distorted. Because of the preservation method, compression fossils cannot be easily compared to amber fossils or extant genera and species. Nonetheless, they can be di-
agnosed moderately well and differentiated with reasonable certainty from each other and from other extinct and extant genera.

Among the 17 Kishenehn mymarids found, *Eoeustochus* is the most common, followed by *Gonatocerus* and *Eoanaphes*. It is surprising that no males were found; in extant genera males are less common than females but they are rarely almost completely absent. The compression fossils are of middle Eocene (Lutetian) age, as indicated above, and so are most Baltic amber fossils (Weitschat and Wichard 2010), i.e., about 41–49 my old. Incidentally, Meunier (1901), quoting A. Jentzsch, had noted that their age was lower Eocene, not lower Oligocene (yet the latter was stated again by Meunier 1909 and Doutt 1973). The Eocene had a warm humid climate, significantly warmer than the present (Zachos et al. 2001), allowing for development of subtropical and tropical rain forests to which the Baltic amber forests belong (Weitschat and Wichard 2010). Baltic amber forests were apparently rich in lentic water, flood plains, ponds, lakes with littoral habitats and temporary micro waters. Aquatic insects make up 25% of Baltic amber inclusions (Weitschat and Wichard 2010). The Middle sequence of the Coal Creek member of the Kishenehn Formation consisted of lacustrine sediments of a large permanent and shallow lake and associated lakeside and/or marsh, and has a high proportion of aquatic insect fossils (e.g., > 60% of all the fossil insects are Corixidae and Chironomidae). Because the climate and habitat of both Baltic and Kishenehn fossils was apparently similar it is not surprising that the genera of Mymaridae found in each are similar.

Meunier (1901) described most Baltic amber fossils of Mymaridae. Doutt (1973) described a few species from Mexican amber (Simojovel, Chiapas), dated as 15–20 my, and Thuróczy (1983) described one species. There is no indication from morphology that any of these or the mymarid compression fossils form a link between Cretaceous and Tertiary/Quaternary genera and species. Instead, they add support to the analysis by Rasnitsyn and Kulicka (1990) that showed Hymenoptera assemblages from Baltic amber seem to be more similar to the extant fauna than to the Late Cretaceous fauna.

One clear morphological tendency from Cretaceous to Tertiary and Quaternary (present) species can be seen — a reduction in the number of funicle or claval segments in females. A 3-segmented clava occurs in three of five (60%) Cretaceous genera, four of ten (40%) previously reported Tertiary genera from amber and shale (reported above), and 13 of 103 (about 8%) currently recognized extant genera. An 8-segmented funicle occurs in three of five (60%) Cretaceous genera, one of the 10 (10%) previously reported Tertiary genera, and five of the 103 (about 5%) extant genera. Except for *Gonatocerus*, which has eight funicle segments but an entire clava, all other Tertiary fossils have six (rarely fewer) funicle segments in females.

Spahr (1987) catalogued the literature and listed 11 mymarid genera from amber, three of them Cretaceous and eight Tertiary. He followed previous authors by including genera and species now correctly classified in Mymarommatidae (Gibson et al. 2007). Witsack (1986) described *Palaeopatasson* for one species from Dominican amber.

The senior author examined 16 Baltic amber pieces (SVT, UCRC) in 2005, 13 pieces (AMNH) in 2011, and three pieces (IPM Gö., ZMUC) in about 2005. Only a few specimens could be referred confidently to an extant genus. These were four
specimens of *Gonatocerus*, and (less confidently) three specimens of *Anaphes* and two of *Stethynium*. If correctly identified, five more genera, all known from the extant fauna, are reported here for the first time as amber fossils. Having examined these 32 additional amber specimens and realizing that most of them cannot definitely be classified in an extant genus, the senior author has doubts about the correct generic placement of at least some of the specimens studied by past workers. None of those specimens were examined, however. Each Tertiary fossil genus is discussed briefly below.

*Anagroidea* (1 female, C.V. Henning, B-1 1956, ZMUC, examined) is no longer known from extant species in Europe and is rare in the Holarctic region (eastern Asia and south east USA).

*Alaptus* is known from at least two species in Miocene amber (Doutt 1973) that are probably correctly identified to genus.

*Anaphes* was known from three specimens in Baltic amber. *Anaphes splendens* Meunier is probably incorrectly classified. According to Meunier the ovipositor extends appreciably beyond the apex of the metasoma, unlike any extant *Anaphes*. The fore wing with a slight but distinct ventral lobe, its overall shape, the venation with a distinct stigmal vein, and the antenna with fl₁ almost as long as fl₂ also do not fit the genus. *Anaphes schellwieniens* Meunier is described from a male so it is impossible to determine its placement. Doutt (1973) examined a male from Chiapas amber and tentatively placed it in *Anaphes*. Three specimens examined here are fairly confidently placed in *Anaphes* (1 female, UCRC; 2 females, SVT). Additional specimens (2 females, SVT) examined are tentatively placed in *Anaphes*.

*Arescon* is known from two species in amber (Meunier 1901, 1905) that are probably correctly placed because of their 5-segmented funicle, though fore wing venation length, which is important information for correct generic placement, was not given by Meunier.

?*Camptoptera* (1 female, SVT), examined in 2005, if correctly classified, would be the first fossil specimen for this worldwide genus.

?*Dorya* (1 female, UCRC) is known only from extant species in Australia and New Zealand.

?*Eustochus* (1 female, AMNH). The gaster appears to be petiolate. This suggests a *Eustochus* with a distinct 3-segmented clava (most have a 2-segmented clava) though the wing shape and antenna suggests *Eoeustochus*.

?*Mimalaptus* (3 females, SVT; 2 females, UCRC; 1 female, box G 3.910 Hymenoptera #BST03124, IPMG6.) is known from extant species in Australia and New Zealand and possibly eastern Asia.

*Gonatocerus* was known from only one fossil specimen, *Gonatocerus henneberti* (Meunier 1905), which appears to belong to either *G. (Gonatocerus)* or *G. (Lymaenon)* as suggested by the narrow wings. However, the “écusson semilunaire” [semilunate shield], which I interpret from Meunier’s drawing as being the dorsellum, appears to be rhomboidal in shape, which would eliminate *G. (Lymaenon)* as the correct subgenus. The small size of the specimen, only 1/3 mm long, is unusual; it is much smaller than the smallest extant *Gonatocerus* I have seen. A specimen of *Gonatocerus* (*Cosmocomoidea*) is reported here (1 male, Poinar collection, OSU) from Dominican amber, 15–20 my.
Litus is represented by two fossil species. Litus elegans Meunier (1901) may or may not be correctly classified because the description and illustration are insufficient to place it. It apparently differs considerably from Litus mexicanus Doutt (1973), which is likely correctly classified. Extant Litus species tend to have a bi-geniculate funicle, unlike that illustrated by Meunier. Litus beneficus Meunier from copal (recent) from Madagascar also needs to be re-examined; it is unlikely to be classified correctly.

Malfatia molitorae Meunier (1901) is based on a male and from the description alone it is impossible to determine how it relates to extant genera. Its status remains uncertain.

Palaeopatasson grollei Witsack (1986) is based on a female and may be related to Anaphes.

Polynemoidea mexicana Doutt (1973) from Chiapas amber was stated to be similar to P. domestica Girault, but the latter species is likely incorrectly placed in Polynemoidea (Lin et al. 2010). Consequently, I suspect that P. mexicana is also incorrectly classified; it does not have a strongly exserted ovipositor as in the type species of Polynemoidea.

Stethynium (1 female, S. Triapitsyn collection), if correctly identified, confirms that the genus occurs in Baltic amber, as reported by Thuróczy (1983).

Conclusions

1. Tertiary fossils of Mymaridae are clearly more related to the extant fauna than to the Cretaceous fauna.
2. Except for Gonatocerus and the two new genera described above, tertiary fossils of Mymaridae (except perhaps Anaphes and Stethynium) are for the most part doubtfully assigned to extant genera.
3. Several Eocene amber fossils, if correctly identified to genus, represent genera that no longer occur in Europe (Anagroidea, Dorya, Mimalaptus) or are very poorly represented in most of the Holarctic region (Stethynium). These genera are now distributed mostly in the tropics or southern hemisphere, supporting observations that the Eocene climate (in parts of the Holarctic region at least) was considerably warmer than at present.
4. If correctly identified, Anagroidea, Eustochus, and perhaps Eoeustochus would be the first fossil records of genera belonging to the extant tribe Mymarini sensu Annecke and Doutt (1961), likely the most derived lineage in Mymaridae.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the authorities of NMNH for permission to work on the fossils and use of their equipment. The senior author thanks S. Triapitsyn (UCRC) for letting him examine the fossils from his personal collection and the ones in UCRC during a visit to Riverside in 2005. J.W. Jansen (Sevetal, Germany) sent fossils from his private...
collection for study of the senior author. These were then purchased by AMNH, where they will be deposited.

References

Annecke DP, Doutt RL (1961) The genera of the Mymaridae. Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea. Entomology Memoirs. Department of Agricultural Technical Services, Republic of South Africa 5: 1–71.

Constenius K (1996) Late Paleogene extensional collapse of the Cordilleran foreland fold and thrust belt. Geological Society of America Bulletin 108(1): 20–39. doi: 10.1130/0016-7606(1996)108<0020:LPECOT>2.3.CO;2

Doutt RL (1973) The fossil Mymaridae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). Pan-Pacific Entomologist 49(3): 221–228.

Gibson GAP, Read J, Huber JT (2007) Diversity, classification and higher relationships of Mymarommatoidae (Hymenoptera). Journal of Hymenoptera Research 16: 51–146.

Huber JT (1986) Systematics, biology, and hosts of the Mymaridae and Mymarommatidae (Insecta: Hymenoptera): 1758–1984. Entomography: An Annual Review for Biosystematics 4: 185–243.

Huber JT, Poinar G Jr (2011) A new genus of fossil Mymaridae from Cretaceous amber and keys to Cretaceous mymarid genera. ZooKeys 130: 461–472. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.130.1241

Lin N-Q, Huber JT, La Salle J (2007) The Australian genera of Mymaridae (Hymenoptera: Chalcidoidea). Zootaxa 1596: 111 pp.

Meunier F (1901) Contribution à la faune des Mymaridae “ou atomes ailés” de l’ambre. Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles 25: 282–292.

Meunier F (1905) Sur deux Mymaridae de l’ambre de la Baltique. Miscellanea Entomologica 13 (1): 1–4.

Meunier F (1909) Sur deux Mymaridae du copal récent de Madagascar et de Zanzibar [Hym.]. Bulletin de la Société Entomologique de France 145–149.

Noyes JS (2010) http://www.nhm.ac.uk/jdsml/research-curation/research/projects/chalcidoids/ [accessed 25 May 2011]

Rasnitsyn AP, Kulicka R (1990) Hymenopteran insects in Baltic amber with respect to the overall history of the order. Prace Muzeum Ziemi 41: 53–64.

Spahr U (1987) Ergänzungen und Berichtigungen zu R. Keilbachs Bibliographie und Liste der Bersteinfossilien. Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde, Serie B (Geologie und Paläontologie) 127: 1–121.

Thuróczy C (1983) Stethynium townesi sp. n. from Baltic Oligocene (Hymenoptera, Mymaridae). Reichenbachia 21(20): 123–125.

Triapitsyn SV, Huber JT, Logarzo GA, Berezovskiy VV, Aquino DA (2010) Review of Gonatocerus (Hymenoptera: Mymaridae) in the Neotropical region, with description of eleven new species. Zootaxa 2456: 1–243.
Weitschat W, Wichard W (2010) Baltic amber. In: Penney D (Ed) Biodiversity of fossils in amber from the major world deposits. Siri Scientific Press, Manchester, UK, 80–115.

Witsack W (1986) Palaeopatason gen. nov. grollei spec. nov. aus dominikanishem Bernstein (Hymenoptera, Mymaridae). Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift Neue Format 33: 263–267. doi: 10.1002/mmnd.4800330324

Yoshimoto CM (1975) Cretaceous chalcidoid fossils from Canadian amber. The Canadian Entomologist 107: 499–528. doi: 10.4039/Ent107499-5

Zachos J, Pagani M, Sloan L, Thomas E, Bullups K (2001) Trends, rhythms, and aberrations in global climate 65 Ma to present. Science 292: 686–693. doi: 10.1126/science.1059412