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Abstract

The advent of reverse genetics revolutionized the study of positive-stranded RNA viruses that were amenable for cloning as cDNAs into high-copy-number plasmids of *Escherichia coli*. However, some viruses are inherently refractory to cloning in high-copy-number plasmids due to toxicity of viral sequences to *E. coli*. We report a strategy that is a compromise between infectivity of the RNA transcripts and toxicity to *E. coli* effected by introducing frameshift mutations into “slippery sequences” near the viral “toxicity sequences” in the viral cDNA. *Citrus tristeza virus* (CTV) has cDNA sequences that are toxic to *E. coli*. The original full-length infectious cDNA of CTV and a derivative replicon, CTV-ΔCla, cloned into pUC119, resulted in unusually limited *E. coli* growth. However, upon sequencing of these cDNAs, an additional uridinylate (U) was found in a stretch of U’s between nts 3726 and 3731 that resulted in a change to a reading frame with a stop codon at nt 3734. Yet, in vitro produced RNA transcripts from these clones infected protoplasts, and the resulting progeny virus was repaired. Correction of the frameshift mutation in the CTV cDNA constructs resulted in increased infectivity of in vitro produced RNA transcripts, but also caused a substantial increase of toxicity to *E. coli*, now requiring 3 days to develop visible colonies. Frameshift mutations created in sequences not suspected to facilitate reading frame shifting and silent mutations introduced into oligo(U) regions resulted in complete loss of infectivity, suggesting that the oligo(U) region facilitated the repair of the frameshift mutation. Additional frameshift mutations introduced into other oligo(U) regions also resulted in transcripts with reduced infectivity similarly to the original clones with the /H11001/1 insertion. However, only the frameshift mutations introduced into oligo(U) regions that were near and before the toxicity region improved growth and stability in *E. coli*. These data demonstrate that, when hosts are sufficiently susceptible for infection by transcripts of reduced specific infectivity, introduction of frameshift mutations at “slippery sequences” near toxic regions of viral cDNAs can be used as an additional strategy to clone recalcitrant viral sequences in high-copy-number plasmids for reverse genetics.

© 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

Introduction

Reverse genetics, the ability to produce specific mutations followed by examination of phenotype, revolutionized the study of RNA viruses. The first successful attempts initiated infection of cells by inoculation with bacterial plasmids containing the viral cDNA inserts (Taniguchi et al., 1978; Racanickllo and Baltimore, 1981). However, production of in vitro RNA transcripts that were identical to the virion RNA (except for designed mutations and perhaps a few extra nucleotides at either terminus) allowed genetic manipulation using the standard inoculation procedures previously in use for virion RNAs (Ahlquist et al., 1984). The current ideal is to clone a full-length viral cDNA in a high-copy-number bacterial plasmid behind a promoter for a commercially available RNA polymerase. Most viruses with relatively small RNA genomes have been amenable for such a genetic system. However, some viruses are inherently difficult to clone due to the instability of the viral cDNA, often because the viral sequences are toxic to the bacterium (Boyer and Haenni, 1994; Lai, 2000).

The cloning difficulties of some recalcitrant viral genomes have been surmounted by a variety of methods. In
vitro ligation of individually cloned cDNA fragments followed by in vitro transcription and transfection was used to overcome the cloning difficulties of Yellow fever virus, Mouse hepatitis virus, Transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), and Beet necrotic yellow vein virus RNA2 (Quillet et al., 1989; Rice et al., 1989; Yount et al., 2000, 2002). The disadvantages of this approach, however, are relatively low levels of full-length cDNA and reduced levels of RNA transcripts compared to that of in vitro transcripts from a complete clone in one plasmid. Another approach is to reduce the toxicity of viral sequences in high-copy-number plasmids by inserting introns into the viral sequences. Recently, cDNA clones of TGEV, Japanese encephalitis flavivirus, Pea seedborne mosaic virus, and Plum pox virus were stabilized by this method (Johansen, 1996; López-Moya and García, 2000; Yamshchikov et al., 2001; González et al., 2002). This strategy, however, requires cytoplasmic viruses to have a nuclear phase and also requires that the viral sequences not have endogenous intron sequences, which has been suggested as a possible problem of large cytoplasmic RNA viruses (Lai, 2000). In fact, Tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) appears to be an example of such a virus with an endogenous intron, based on the fact that the infectivity of 35S:cDNA constructs are several orders of magnitude less than RNA transcripts and often are infectious in only certain plant species (W.O. Dawson, unpublished data). Moreover, most cells of tobacco plants with transgenes of the infectious cDNA of TMV behind the 35S promoter do not become infected from the transgene, although they do become infected via virus movement from other cells (Turpen, 1992). Yet another approach is to avoid the use of high-copy-number plasmids. The reticent RNA genome of TGEV could be propagated in Escherichia coli when cloned into a bacterial artificial chromosome, a low-copy-number plasmid (Almazán et al., 2000). Other approaches avoid the use of bacteria. Vaccinia virus was used as a vector to clone the coronavirus, Avian infectious bronchitis virus (IBV) and Human coronavirus (Casais et al., 2001; Thiel et al., 2001). Although this approach allowed the cloning of the full-length coronavirus cDNA, the viral cDNA in the vaccinia virus vector is not very amenable for mutational analysis, requiring multiple cycles of ligation and recombination. Here we report an additional strategy to allow cloning of recalcitrant viral cDNAs in high-copy-number plasmids in E. coli that can be added to the repertoire of strategies available.

Citrus tristeza virus (CTV), a member of the Closterovirus genus of the Closteroviridae family, has a single-stranded positive-sense RNA genome of ~20.0 kb that is organized into 12 open reading frames (ORFs) (Fig. 1A) (Pappu et al., 1994; Karasev et al., 1995). ORF 1a encodes a polypeptide containing two papain-like protease domains, plus methyltransferase-like (MT) and helicase-like (HEL) domains, and a large interdomain region (IDR) between the MT and HEL domains (Fig. 1A). ORF 1b encodes an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase-like domain that is thought to be translated by a +1 frameshift from ORF 1a (Karasev et al., 1995). The ten 3’ ORFs are expressed by 3’-coterminal sgRNAs (Hilf et al., 1995) that encode an assembly gene block of four proteins (Satyanarayana et al., 2000), a protein that controls asymmetry of CTV RNAs (p23) (Satyanarayana et al., 2002a), and five proteins of unknown function.

The development of infectious cDNA clones of CTV was difficult due to the large size of the genomic RNA and its toxicity to E. coli (Satyanarayana et al., 1999). We were able to maintain the plasmids containing the viral cDNA in only one strain of E. coli, which after transformation grew very slowly into minute colonies. However, we found that this level of growth was due to a fortuitous frameshift in the CTV cDNA that allowed its cloning by reducing the toxicity to E. coli. The corrected cDNA exhibited substantially greater toxicity to E. coli, now requiring 3 days to see visible colonies. Examination of other frameshift mutations demonstrated that 6–7 U’s functioned as “slippery” frameshift sites, reducing toxicity to E. coli if positioned appropriately near the toxicity region in the viral cDNA. Thus, purposely introduced frameshift mutations into oligo(U) regions in a toxic viral cDNA can provide a compromise between infectivity and toxicity to E. coli. If hosts cells are susceptible enough to allow a reduction in infectivity, frameshift mutations can be inserted in the viral cDNA to allow cloning in high-copy-number plasmids of E. coli.

Results

Characteristics of the original infectious cDNA clones of CTV

The full-length infectious cDNA clones of CTV, pCTV9, and its derivatives were constructed in pUC119 behind the SP6 RNA polymerase promoter and grown in E. coli strain JM109 (Satyanarayana et al., 1999). CTV-DCla contains the 5’-nontranslated region (NTR) plus ORFs 1a and 1b and the first 105 nts of ORF 2 (nts 1–11,005) fused to the 3’ 768 nts of the genomic RNA that includes part of the p23 ORF and the 3’-NTR (nts 18,526–19,293). The cDNA of this small replicon with the ten 3′ genes (7.5 kb) deleted is much easier to manipulate than the full-length virus (Satyanarayana et al., 2002b). The in vitro generated RNA transcripts were biologically active as evidenced by their replication in Nicotiana benthamiana protoplasts and synthesis of sgRNAs (Fig. 1, CTV9 and CTV-DCla), and production of viable CTV9 virions that passed with a specific infectivity similar to that of the parental wild-type virus (Gowda et al., 2001; Satyanarayana et al., 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002a, 2002b). The RNA transcripts of full-length CTV and the replicon replicated similarly in citrus protoplasts (data not shown). The recombinant virus had a phenotype identical to that of the parental wild-type virus in citrus trees (Satyanarayana et al., 2001).

pCTV9 and pCTV-DCla were equally toxic to E. coli,
but grew enough on LB agar-amp plates for minute colonies to be picked for propagation after 24 h, compared to 1.8-mm-diameter colonies of the vector pUC119 after overnight incubation at 37°C (Fig. 2). The cDNA clones grew marginally in 2xYT liquid medium, but failed to grow in LB liquid medium. From numerous E. coli strains examined, the original cDNA clones of CTV in pUC119 were stable only in JM109.

Sequence analysis of pCTV9

To compare the sequence of the full-length infectious cDNA clone with that of the consensus sequence from the native quasispecies of parental CTV T36 isolate (GenBank Accession No. NC_001661), both strands of pCTV9 were sequenced completely (GenBank Accession No. AY170468). Although we found numerous nucleotide differences, we observed an additional “U” inserted at nt 3732 into a homopolymeric run of 6 Us that resulted in a shift to a reading frame with a stop codon at nt 3734. A similar frameshift mutation was also found in the derivative replicon pCTV-ΔCla. However, the sequence of the progeny virion RNA of CTV9 from infected protoplasts or citrus plants did not contain the additional nt at position 3732 that occurred in the cDNA clones, suggesting that the frameshift mutation present in the original cDNA clone was repaired either during the in vitro transcription or during replication in the protoplasts.

Repair of the +1 insertion in original cDNA clones resulted in increased replication, but increased toxicity to E. coli

The +1 insertion found at nt 3732 of the cDNA clones was corrected in pCTV9 and pCTV-ΔCla by exchanging a
RT-PCR amplified product between the unique NcoI (nt 3685) and Bsu36I (nt 4430) restriction endonuclease sites creating pCTV9R and pCTV-ΔClaR (Fig. 1A). Replication of the full-length and replicon transcripts from the original and corrected cDNA clones was compared in N. benthamiana protoplasts. The RNA transcripts from the repaired clones accumulated genomic and sgRNAs about 30 to 40-fold more than those from the original cDNAs (Fig. 1B, compare a and b, and c and d). We then examined the number of protoplasts infected in the presence or absence of a frameshift mutation by introducing green fluorescent protein (GFP) ORF into CTV using mutants CTV-GFP-p23 (with a +1 insertion at nt 3732) and CTVR-GFP-p23 (no frameshift at nt 3732) (Fig. 3A). The number of protoplasts infected with CTVR-GFP-p23 that exhibited fluorescence under UV light was approximately 18- to 30-fold more than those inoculated with CTV-GFP-p23 (Fig. 3C), again with the accumulation of approximately 30 to 40-fold more genomic and sgRNAs than those of CTV-GFP-p23 (Fig. 3B).

The original CTV clones were toxic to E. coli, resulting in substantially reduced colony sizes (Fig. 2). Bacteria transformed with the repaired clones pCTV9R or pCTV-ΔClaR grew much slower than those containing the original clones, producing visible colonies only 3 days after transformation with ligation mixtures. However, E. coli transformed with purified plasmid DNAs of pCTV9R or pCTV-ΔClaR produced visible colonies slightly earlier. The yields of plasmid DNA from E. coli strain JM109 harboring pCTV9 and pCTV9R after overnight growth in 2xYT liquid medium were 2.5–3.0 and 1.5–2.0 μg of DNA per milliliter of culture, respectively, compared to 8–10 μg DNA per milliliter of culture for pTMV (Dawson et al., 1988). Thus, although the original CTV clones with the frameshift mutation reduced the growth of E. coli and plasmid DNA yields, the correction of the +1 insertion in pCTV9R further reduced the growth of E. coli to a level below the range of expectations for routine laboratory work. Although not quantified here, the degree of difficulty of ligating and isolating intact cDNA clones was proportionally increased with the increased toxicity of the repaired constructs.

**Examination of other frameshift mutations in ORF 1a**

We expected frameshift mutations in CTV to be lethal. We were surprised that cDNA clones of CTV with a frameshift mutation would produce transcripts with an appreciable amount of infectivity in protoplasts. We further examined this phenomenon by introducing additional frameshift mutations into CTV-ΔClaR. We introduced +1 insertion mutation in other regions of ORF 1a to examine whether the frameshift mutations would result in a viable virus similar to that of original cDNA clones that contained a +1 insertion at 3732 nt. Two mutations were introduced separately at nt 4079 in the IDR (GCC AAG CUC UGU AAG, frameshift nt in bold) and at nt 8390 in the HEL domain (AGG AAG CUC UGC UGC, frameshift mutation in bold), creating ΔClaR-M1 and ΔClaR-M2, respectively (Fig. 4A, e and h). The in vitro RNA transcripts from these mutants failed to replicate to detectable levels in protoplasts (Fig. 4B, e and h).

**Examination of frameshifts in oligo(U) stretches**

In contrast to the frameshifs examined above, the +1 insertion found in the original infectious cDNA clones that allowed infectivity and greatly reduced the toxicity of the cDNA in E. coli was in a run of 6 U’s, which is known to be a “slippery sequence” associated with frameshifting in other viral systems (Wilson et al., 1988; Brierley et al., 1992). However, frameshifting also has been correlated with downstream structures (Jacks et al., 1988; Chamorro et al., 1992). It is possible that the 6 U’s at 3732 had an adventitious accessory structure downstream, and the frameshifting at this position was unusual. To examine...
whether other U-rich regions of CTV would similarly function as slippery-sequence frameshifting signals to recover infectivity and reduce toxicity in *E. coli*, we created frame-shifts in other oligo(U) sites. A run of 6 U's occurs at four different places in ORF 1a at nts 1103–1108, 3726–3731, 4957–4962, and 7078–7083. Mutations to alter the reading frame of ORF 1a in each of the U-rich regions were created (Fig. 4A). The plasmids were evaluated for toxicity to *E. coli*, and the RNA transcripts were evaluated for replication in protoplasts. A +1 insertion mutation was introduced at nt 1109 in the L-ProI region to obtain ΔClaR-M3 (Fig. 4A, c). A +2 insertion mutation was introduced at nt 3732 in the MT domain of ORF 1a to obtain ΔClaR-M4 (Fig. 4A, d). These mutants replicated in protoplasts and produced genomic and sRNAs at reduced levels, 30- to 50-fold lower when compared to that of CTV-ΔClaR, but with approximately comparable levels to that of CTV-ΔCla, which contained a +1 insertion at nt 3732 (Fig. 4B, b–d). Similarly, a +1 insertion was introduced at the 6 U's at nt 4963 in the 5' half of IDR to obtain ΔClaR-M5, and an adenylate was deleted after the 6 U's at nt 7084 in the 3' half of IDR to create ΔClaR-M6 (Fig. 4A, f and g). Mutant ΔClaR M6 replicated at levels comparable with that of the original CTV-ΔCla, while mutant ΔClaR-M5 replicated slightly less. Both accumulated 30- to 50-fold less viral RNAs than that of the wild-type CTV-ΔClaR (Fig. 4B, f and g). These results suggested that the homopolymeric stretch of U's in ORF 1a are shift-prone sequences.

We examined the requirements of U-rich regions as shift-prone sequences by introducing silent mutations plus a +1 insertion to disrupt the U-run of the original U-rich region between nts 3726 and 3732 (UUU UUU A changed to UUC UUC A), resulting in CTV-ΔClaR-M8 (Fig. 5A, d). As a control, similar silent mutations were introduced in CTV-ΔClaR between nts 3726 and 3731 without the reading frame mutation (UUU UUU U changed to UUC UUC U), resulting in CTV-ΔClaR-M7 (Fig. 5A, b). As a control, similar silent mutations were introduced in CTV-ΔClaR between nts 3726 and 3731 without the reading frame mutation (UUU UUU U changed to UUC UUC U), resulting in CTV-ΔClaR-M7 (Fig. 5A, b). As expected, CTV-ΔClaR-M8 replicated approximately similarly to that
of CTV-ΔClaR, demonstrating that the silent mutations did not affect the replication (Fig. 5B, d).

Effect of frameshift mutations in ORF 1a on toxicity to E. coli

E. coli transformed with intact, supercoiled plasmids containing the original cDNA clones (pCTV9 and pCTV-ΔCla) with the +1 insertion mutation produced visible colonies after overnight incubation, whereas 2–3 days were required to see visible colonies with plasmids containing the repaired clones CTV9R and CTV-ΔClaR (Figs. 2 and 6), suggesting that the frameshift mutation present in the original cDNA clones reduced the toxicity to E. coli. Since the original full-length (CTV9) and replicon (CTV-ΔCla) cDNA clones were equally toxic to E. coli (the repaired clones equally more toxic) (Fig. 6), the toxic region appeared to be located within ORFs 1a or 1b. The frameshift at nt 3732 reduced the toxicity of the original clones, suggesting that the toxicity domain was between nts 3732 and 11011. This location is supported by the observation that, when constructing cDNA clones for assembly of the full-length cDNA clone, we were able to clone the sequences between NcoI (nt 3685) and BstEII (nt 7775) only in the reverse orientation in pUC119 (pT36-Nco-Bst; Satyanarayana et al., 1999).
We examined the effects of the other frameshift mutations on toxicity to E. coli. The purified plasmids containing the frameshift mutations were used to transform E. coli strain JM109, and bacteriological growth on LB agar plates was compared with that of E. coli transformed with pCTV9, pCTV-ΔCla, pCTV9R, pCTV-ΔClaR, pUC119, and pTMV (Dawson et al., 1988). Mutants with frameshift mutations betweennts 3732 and 7084 (pΔClaR-M1, -M4, -M5, -M6, pCTV9, and pCTV-ΔCla) produced colonies of 0.2–0.9 mm diameter at 1 day posttransformation (dpt) and 0.9–1.6 mm diameter at 2 dpt, respectively (Figs. 2 and 6), compared to 1.5–1.8 and 2.6–2.7 mm diameter colonies containing pUC119 and pTMV at 1 and 2 dpt, respectively. However, we failed to observe visible colonies under the microscope with the pΔClaR-M3 (with a frameshift mutation at nt 1109), pΔClaR-M2 (with a frameshift at nt 8390), pCTV9R, or pCTV-ΔClaR after overnight incubation at 37°C; however, the colonies grew to 0.2–0.3 mm diameter after 2 days of incubation (Fig. 6). Thus, the frameshift mutations in ORF 1a around the IDR (pΔClaR-M1, -M4, -M5, -M6) minimized the toxicity to E. coli, while the mutations introduced before (pΔClaR-M3) or after (pΔClaR-M2) failed to minimize the toxicity (Fig. 6), suggesting that the toxicity region was located between nts 3732 and 8390.

**Discussion**

We found that frameshifts within U stretches in CTV were repaired during transcription or replication, resulting in infection of approximately 2–3% as many protoplasts as the repaired transcripts. Oligo(U) stretches have been identified as slippery sequences that are involved in frameshifting of other viruses (Wilson et al., 1988; Brierley et al., 1992; Honda et al., 1995; Kim et al., 2001). However, frameshifting also is often associated with downstream structures in the RNA (Jacks et al., 1988; Brierley et al., 1992; Chamorro et al., 1992; Vickers and Ecker, 1992; Chen et al., 1995; Marczinke et al., 1998; Barry and Miller, 2002). In HIV, a run of 6 U’s is required for efficient −1 frameshifting to produce the gag-pol proteins with an extended run of U’s resulting in slightly increased levels of frameshifting (Wilson et al., 1988; Honda et al., 1995). However, Parkin et al. (1992) reported that a stem-loop structure 3’ of the HIV −1 shift site was important for wild-type levels of frameshifting in vivo. Nevertheless, downstream structures appeared not to be needed in the observed repair of the frameshift mutations after replication in N. benthamiana protoplasts. We did not identify any common structures by examining the sequences with the MFOLD program (data not shown). Also, since we examined all of the 6 U-regions, none of which are thought to naturally be involved in frameshifting, there is no expectation that all would conserve some accessory structure needed for frameshifting.

Slippery sequences identified in retrovirus frameshifting include AAAAAAC (Mouse mammary tumor virus); AAUUAUA (Rous sarcoma virus); UUUUUUA (HIV-1 and HIV-2); and UUUAAAC in coronavirus frameshifting (IBV) (Jacks et al., 1987, 1988; Wilson et al., 1988; Brierley et al., 1992). Additionally, numerous other slippery sequences have been identified (Brierley et al., 1992; Marczinke et al., 1998; Kim et al., 2001) and some of them occur throughout the CTV genome. We did not examine whether other potentially slippery sequences effect frameshifting in CTV. However, we examined six oligo(U) sites that allowed frameshifting when a mutation was created to destroy the reading frame. It would be expected that each of these sites also shift to an incorrect reading frame in the absence of mutations. If there are additional slippery sequences scattered throughout the CTV genome that also occasionally shift the reading frame, it is fortunate that sufficient full-length translation product is produced to allow efficient
replication. Yet it is possible that slippery sequences serve as a survival mechanism for large RNA viruses. Viral RNA polymerases are known to be error prone (Drake and Holland, 1999) without an error repair mechanism, defining viruses as pseudospecies of sequence variants (Domingo et al., 1995). It has been argued that recombination and selection are the viral mechanisms to repair errors. Slippery sequences could serve as an additional repair mechanism. If a mutation occurs upstream of a slippery sequence, a frameshift could repair the mistake if the intervening altered amino acids are tolerated. Perhaps there is an advantage for CTV to have slippery sequences scattered throughout ORF 1a. This could be one of the reasons some CTV isolates have been observed to have a high degree of evolutionary stasis (Albiach-Martí et al., 2000).

The frameshifts inserted into the oligo(U) regions of CTV created a compromise between infectivity and toxicity of the cDNA in high-copy-number plasmids in E. coli. The number of protoplasts that became infected with RNA transcripts from the frameshifted clone was reduced by 30- to 50-fold when compared to that of the corrected clone. However, the toxicity in E. coli was greatly reduced. Bacterial colonies were visible after 1 day, even though they were minute, compared to 2–3 days with the repaired clone. The progeny virus from the frameshifted clone was repaired; it did not contain the original frameshift caused by the inserted nucleotide and had a phenotype identical to the parental wild-type virus (Satyanarayana et al., 2001). Thus, the frameshift mutation appeared to have no negative impact on the value of the clones other than the initial reduction in infectivity. Developing an infectious, full-length cDNA clone of CTV was difficult. It is unlikely that we would have obtained an infectious full-length clone without a fortuitous frameshift mutation in the original full-length cDNA clone.

These results suggest that purposely inserting frameshifts at slippery sequences of viral cDNAs that are toxic to E. coli could be an additional strategy for cloning these viruses in convenient high-copy-number plasmids. The frameshift provides a compromise: a reduction of infectivity for a decrease in toxicity in E. coli. If the host system is susceptible enough to provide infection with a couple of magnitudes of decrease in infectivity, the frameshift should allow growth of an infectious clone in E. coli. If the host cells are even more susceptible, it is possible that two frameshifts could be used. As is evident from this work, all frameshifts in slippery sequences will not minimize the toxicity to E. coli. The frameshift must be positioned to prevent expression of the toxicity domain, similar to the insertion of introns for the same purpose. We examined only stretches of U’s, but if the frameshifting occurred during translation, it is likely that other identified slippery sequences would also work. Since the repair of the frameshift mutations was due to in vitro transcription or translation in protoplasts, neither of which are virus-specific functions, the purposeful introduction of frameshift mutations into frameshift-prone sequences in front of toxic regions should work for any recalcitrant positive-stranded RNA virus. Thus, this strategy provides an additional approach in the virologist’s reper-

Fig. 6. Effect of insertion (+1 and +2) and deletion (−1) mutations in ORF 1a of CTV on E. coli strain JM109 colony size on LB-agar plate. The purified plasmid DNAs of pCTV9, pCTV9R, pCTV−ΔCla, and pCTV−ΔClaR, and frameshift mutants were used to transform E. coli. The location of frameshift mutations introduced in ORF 1a are indicated in upper right corner of the graph. The domains of ORF 1a are as described in the legend for Fig. 1A. The data represent mean and standard deviation of 25–30 colonies at 1 and 2 days posttransformation. NV, colonies not visible.
The complete nucleotide sequence of the infectious full-length cDNA clone of CTV T36, pCTV9R, was deposited in the GenBank database under the Accession No. AY170468. The nucleotide numbering and positions men-
tioned in this investigation correspond to the pCTV9R sequence.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank John Cook, Cecile Robertson, and Judy Harber for excellent technical assistance. This research was supported in part by an endowed fund from the J.R. and Addie S. Graves family and grants from the Florida Citrus Production Research Advisory Council, the National Citrus Research Council, the U.S.--Israel Binational Agricultural Research and Development Fund, USDA/ARS Cooperative Agreement, the USDA/NRI, and the Florida Agricultural Experiment Station, and approved for publication as Journal Series No. R-09320.

**References**

Ahquist, P., French, R., Janda, M., Loesch-Fries, L.S., 1984. Multicomponent RNA plant virus infection derived from cloned viral cDNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81, 7066–7070.

Albiach-Martí, M.R., Mawassi, M., Gowda, S., Satyanarayana, T., Hilf, M.E., Shanker, S., Almira, E.C., Vives, M.C., Lopez, C., Guerri, J., Flores, R., Moreno, P., Garnsey, S.M., Dawson, W.O., 2000. Sequences of citrus tristeza virus separated in time and space are essentially identical. J. Virol. 74, 6856–6865.

Almazán, F., González, J.M., Pérez, J., Izeta, A., Calvo, E., Plana-Durán, E., Enjuanes, L., 2000. Engineering the largest RNA virus genome as an infectious bacterial artificial chromosome. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 5516–5521.

Barry, J.K., Miller, W.A., 2002. A -1 ribosomal frameshift element that requires base pairing across four kilobases suggests a mechanism of regulating ribosome and replicase traffic on a viral RNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 11133–11138.

Boyer, J.-C., Haenni, A.-L., 1994. Infectious transcripts and cDNA clone of RNA viruses. Virology 198, 415–426.

Brierley, I., Jenner, A.J., Inglis, S.C., 1992. Mutational analysis of the frameshift signal of Rous sarcoma virus. EMBO J. 14, 842.

Casais, R., Thiel, V., Siddell, S.G., Cavanagh, D., Britton, P., 2001. Reverse genetics system for the avian coronavirus infectious bronchitis virus. J. Virol. 75, 12359–12369.

Chamorro, M., Parkin, N., Varmus, H.E., 1992. An RNA pseudoknot and an optimal heptameric shift site are required for highly efficient ribosomal frameshifting on a retroviral messenger RNA. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89, 713–717.

Chen, X., Chamorro, M., Lee, S.L., Shen, L.X., Hines, J.V., Tinoco Jr., L., Varmus, H.E., 1995. Structural and functional studies of retroviral RNA pseudoknots involved in ribosomal frameshifting: nucleotides at the junction of the two stems are important for efficient ribosomal frameshifting. EMBO J. 14, 842–852.

Dawson, W.O., Bubrick, P., Grantham, G.L., 1988. Modification of the tobacco mosaic virus coat protein gene affects replication, movement, and symptomatology. Phytopathology 78, 783–789.

Domingo, E., Holland, J.J., Biebricher, C., Eigen, M., 1995. Quasispecies: the concept and the word, in: Gibbs, A.J., Calisher, C.H., Garcia-Arenal, F. (Eds.), Molecular Basis of Virus Evolution, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, pp. 181–191.

Drake, J.W., Holland, J.J., 1999. Mutation rates among RNA viruses. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 13910–13913.

González, J.M., Pérez, P., Almazán, F., Calvo, E., Enjuanes, L., 2002. Stabilization of a full-length infectious cDNA clone of transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus by insertion of an intron. J. Virol. 76, 4655–4661.

Gowda, S., Satyanarayana, T., Ayllón, M.A., Albiach-Martí, M.R., Mawassi, M., Rabindran, S., Garnsey, S.M., Dawson, W.O., 2001. Characterization of the cis-acting elements controlling subgenomic mRNAs of citrus tristeza virus: production of positive- and negative-stranded 3’-terminal and positive-stranded 5’-terminal RNAs. Virology 286, 134–151.

Harriman, D., 1995. Techniques for transformation of E. coli, in: Glover, D.M., Hames, B.D. (Eds.), DNA Cloning: a practical approach, Second ed. Core Techniques, Vol. 1. IRL Press, Oxford, UK, pp. 1–36.

Hilf, M.E., Karasev, A.V., Pappu, H.R., Gumpf, D.J., Niblett, C.L., Garnsey, S.M., 1995. Characterization of citrus tristeza virus subgenomic RNAs in infected tissue. Virology 208, 576–582.

Ho, S.N., Hunt, H.D., Horton, R.M., Pullen, J.K., Pease, L.R., 1989. Site-directed mutagenesis by overlap extension using polymerase chain reaction. Gene 77, 51–59.

Honda, A., Nakamura, T., Nishimura, S., 1995. RNA signals for translation frameshift: influence of stem size and slippery sequence. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 213, 575–582.

Jacks, T., Madhani, H.D., Masiarz, F.R., Varmus, H.E., 1988. Signals for ribosomal frameshifting in the Rous sarcoma virus gag-pol region. Cell 55, 447–458.

Jacks, T., Townsley, K., Varmus, H.E., Majors, J., 1987. Two efficient ribosomal frameshifting events are required for synthesis of mouse mammary tumor virus gag-related polyproteins. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 84, 4298–4302.

Johansen, I.E., 1996. Intron insertion facilitates amplification of cloned virus cDNA in *Escherichia coli* while biological activity is reestablished after transcription in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 93, 12400–12405.

Karasev, A.V., Boyko, V.P., Gowda, S., Nikolaeva, O.V., Hilf, M.E., Koonin, E.V., Niblett, C.L., Cline, K., Gumpf, D.J., Lee, R.F., Garnsey, S.M., Lewandowski, D.J., Dawson, W.O., 1995. Complete sequence of the citrus tristeza virus RNA genome. Virology 208, 511–520.

Kim, Y.G., Maas, S., Rich, A., 2001. Comparative mutational analysis of cis-acting RNA signals for translational frameshifting in HIV-1 and HTLV-2. Nucleic Acids Res. 29, 1125–1131.

Lai, M.M.C., 2000. The making of infectious viral RNA: no size limit in sight. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 5025–5027.

López-Moya, J.J., García, J.A., 2000. Construction of stable and highly infectious intron-containing cDNA clone of plum pox potyvirus and its use to infect plants by particle bombardment. Virus Res. 68, 99–107.

Marczinke, B., Fisher, R., Vidakovic, M., Bloys, A.J., Brierley, L., 1998. Secondary structure and mutational analysis of the ribosomal frameshift signal of *Rous sarcoma virus*. J. Mol. Biol. 284, 205–225.

Pappu, H.R., Karasev, A.V., Anderson, E.J., Pappu, S.S., Hilf, M.E., Febres, V.J., Eckloff, R.M.G., McCaffery, M., Boyko, V.P., Gowda, S., Dolja, V.V., Koonin, E.V., Gumpf, D.J., Cline, K.C., Garnsey, S.M., Dawson, W.O., Lee, R.F., Niblett, C.L., 1994. Nucleotide sequence and organization of eight 3’ open reading frames of the citrus tristeza clustervirus genome. Virology 199, 35–46.

Parkin, N.T., Chamorro, M., Varmus, H.E., 1992. Human immunodeficiency virus type 1 gag-pol frameshifting is dependent on downstream mRNA secondary structure: demonstration by expression in vivo. J. Virol. 66, 5147–5151.

Quillet, L., Guilley, H., Jonard, G., Richards, K., 1989. In vitro synthesis of biologically active beet necrotic yellow vein virus RNA. Virology 172, 293–301.

Racaniello, V.R., Baltimore, D., 1981. Cloned poliovirus cDNA is infectious in mammalian cells. Science 214, 916–919.

Rice, C.M., Grakoui, A., Galler, R., Chambers, T.J., 1989. Transcription of infectious yellow fever RNA from full-length cDNA templates produced by in vitro ligation. New Biol. 1, 285–296.

Sambrook, J., Russell, D.W., 2001. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, third ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
Satyanarayana, T., Gowda, S., Boyko, V.P., Albiach-Martí, M.R., Mawassi, M., Navas-Castillo, J., Karasev, A.V., Dolja, V., Hilf, M.E., Lewandowski, D.J., Moreno, P., Bar-Joseph, M., Garnsey, S.M., Dawson, W.O., 1999. An engineered closterovirus RNA replicon and analysis of heterologous terminal sequences for replication. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 96, 7433–7438.

Satyanarayana, T., Gowda, S., Mawassi, M., Albiach-Martí, M.R., Ayllón, M.A., Robertson, C., Garnsey, S.M., Dawson, W.O., 2000. Closterovirus encoded HSP70 homolog and p61 in addition to both coat proteins function in efficient virion assembly. Virology 278, 253–265.

Satyanarayana, T., Bar-Joseph, M., Mawassi, M., Albiach-Martí, M.R., Ayllón, M.A., Gowda, S., Hilf, M.E., Moreno, P., Garnsey, S.M., Dawson, W.O., 2001. Amplification of Citrus tristeza virus from a cDNA clone and infection of citrus trees. Virology 280, 87–96.

Satyanarayana, T., Gowda, S., Ayllón, M.A., Albiach-Martí, M.R., Dawson, W.O., 2002b. Mutational analysis of the replication signals in the 3′-nontranslated region of Citrus tristeza virus. Virology 300, 140–152.

Satyanarayana, T., Gowda, S., Ayllón, M.A., Albiach-Martí, M.R., Rabindran, S., Dawson, W.O., 2002a. The p23 protein of Citrus tristeza virus controls asymmetrical RNA accumulation. J. Virol. 76, 473–483.

Taniguchi, T., Palmieri, M., Weissmann, C.Q., 1978. DNA-containing hybrid plasmids giving rise to Q phage formation in the bacterial host. Nature (London) 274, 223–228.

Thiel, V., Herold, J., Schelle, B., Siddell, S.G., 2001. Infectious RNA transcribed in vitro from a cDNA copy of the human coronavirus genome cloned in vaccinia virus. J. Gen. Virol. 82, 1273–1281.

Turpen, T.H., 1992. Ph.D. dissertation. A Molecular Genetic Analysis of Host/Viral Interactions, Implications for the Use of Plant Viruses as Gene Vectors. University of California, Riverside, CA.

Vickers, T.A., Ecker, D.J., 1992. Enhancement of ribosomal frameshifting by oligonucleotides targeted to the HIV gag-pol region. Nucleic Acids Res. 20, 3945–3953.

Wilson, W., Braddock, M., Adams, S.E., Rathjen, P.D., Kingsman, S.M., Kingsman, A.J., 1988. HIV expression strategies: ribosomal frameshifting is directed by a short sequence in both mammalian and yeast systems. Cell 55, 1159–1169.

Yamshchikov, V., Mishin, V., Cominelli, F., 2001. A new strategy in design of (+)RNA virus infectious clones enabling their stable propagation in E. coli. Virology 281, 272–280.

Yount, B., Curtis, K.M., Baric, R.S., 2000. Strategy for systematic assembly of large RNA and DNA genomes: transmissible gastroenteritis virus model. J. Virol. 74, 10600–10611.

Yount, B., Denison, M.R., Weiss, S.R., Baric, R.S., 2002. Systematic assembly of a full-length infectious cDNA clone of mouse hepatitis virus strain A59. J. Virol. 76, 11065–11078.