Partial Budgeting of floor bedding to improve poultry health in Western Java
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Abstract—Poultry litter is a habitat for microbes’ growth included various chicken gastrointestinal pathogens. Litter also absorb several compounds or gasses from outside of the poultry house that affects poultry health. Many studies found that the composted manure had more soil fertility which consists of many organic components. Thus, more complete nutrients and other growth factors in manure were found higher than chemical fertilizers (inorganic). It is suggested that the application of floor bedding could improve poultry health and increase income from manure. The objective of this study was to measure the cost of several types of floor bedding in poultry sheds. The partial budget was employed based on the literature study. The cost of the application and its related income were calculated. The calculation was modeled based on the poultry sheds then the poultry house. The study found that the partial budget analysis identified the findings lead to a strongly positive incentive for farmers to apply floor bedding in their poultry farms. The incentive may drive poultry farmers in West Java pay attention to invest decent sheds to improve poultry health.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Poultry litter is a valuable by-product but at the same time potentially influence poultry health and production growth in commercial farms. Since poultry litter is a habitat for microbes’ growth included various chicken gastrointestinal pathogens. Litter also absorb several compounds or gasses from outside of the poultry house that affects poultry health. Estimated everyday litter produced by a broiler and laying hen are 0.09 kg and 0.18 kg, respectively [1]. When reared in suboptimal conditions, broilers may show respiratory problems and locomotion problems [2].

Bedding material is one of many factors that affect the composition of litter/manure [3, 4]. Several studies explore experimental attempts at replacing the traditional litter material to increase poultry health and productivity in the food industry [2, 5]. Studies on the composted manure found more soil fertility which consists of many organic components [5]. Consequently, the manure had more complete nutrients and other growth factors compared to chemical fertilizers (inorganic) [6]. It is suggested that the application of floor bedding could improve poultry health and increase income from manure.

In poultry, many alternative bedding materials used in the poultry industry, for instances wood, papers, dry straw, rice hulls, and other possible materials. As poultry bedding is widely used and the yearly cost of animal bedding is increasing. Therefore, choosing the right bedding material in association with poultry production and economic performance becomes more complicated. Thus, it is important to evaluate floor bedding material impact on poultry health, poultry production, and economic performance [7].

Identifying suitable and affordable bedding material is important in poultry production in developing countries, as it makes a significant contribution to the farmers’ business [6]. This study sought after to evaluate how alternative poultry bedding materials used separately or in combination affected poultry production in association with a different type of business and its economic performance. Therefore, the objective of this study was to measure the cost of several types of floor bedding in poultry sheds.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Six different litter materials were evaluated namely rice husk, sawdust, rice husk mixed with sawdust, rice husk mixed with silica, wood shavings, and rice straw. Experimental information related to these floor beddings impact on animal health and production efficiency was collected from the literature study.

The study employed partial budgeting to evaluate these floor bedding alternatives based on the information provided by secondary data [8, 9]. We evaluated activity that changed and its financial impact on farmers cost and income. We simulated to cost and revenue of the different types of floor bedding in three types of a poultry farm business, such as company, makloon and independent based on Western Java situation in 2019. Company is a large commercial farm, makloon farm is farmers that reared poultry based on fee, and an independent farm is small-medium commercial farms. The partial budget models were developed as follows:

1. The model was developed based on the combination of six types of floor bedding and three types of a poultry farm business.
2. The calculation was modeled based on the poultry sheds then the poultry house.
In the independent type of farm business, all floor bedding materials came up worst that the regular usage of rice husk (Table 4). The rice husk was found as the floor bedding material that gave a better profit compared to other materials (rice husk used as the comparison baseline). While rice straw usage as the floor bedding gave the most cost reduction compared to other materials, this material also gave the lowest revenue and farm profit.

IV. DISCUSSION

The findings show the alternative floor bedding material usage provides the possibility to reduce the health problem and mortality rate. Even though the usage floor bedding could not drastically reduce the problems, there was an incentive for farmers to apply floor bedding in two types of a farm business, namely company and makloon. We suspected that the efforts to reduce health and mortality problem in independent farms may be caused by the limited knowledge and discipline in performing the application of litter procedures.

In this study, we found that the cost reduction and the revenue improvement were possible to achieve by the application of floor bedding materials. In the different type of business, the impact on the cost of the application of floor bedding was found in a different activity. In company and makloon farms, the rice husk mixed with silica bedding application could reduce the cost and increased the revenue. Therefore, the application of floor bedding especially the rice husk mixed with silica bedding gives the benefit for farmers. This result gives an incentive to motivate farmers to applied the rice husk mixed with silica bedding voluntarily.

These findings prove that the benefit of using floor bedding leads to a positive incentive for farmers to apply floor bedding. This incentive may motivate poultry farmers in a different type of business in Western Java. Furthermore, the farmers could start to pay attention to invest in decent material for bedding and sheds to improve poultry health.

V. CONCLUSION

The study found that the application of different type of floor bedding in poultry farms reduced the farm cost and increased the farm revenue in the company and makloon farms. The usage of rice husk mixed with silica gave the best performance. Consequently, the application of rice husk mixed with silica as a floor bedding confirmed an economic impact gives an incentive for the farm business. However, the usage of alternative floor bedding in the independent farm gave unexpected results. This may be caused by farmers’ knowledge, and behavior.
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### APPENDIX

#### TABLE 1. COMPARISON OF FLOOR BEDDING IMPACT IN THE DIFFERENT TYPE OF POULTRY BUSINESS IN WESTERN JAVA

| Floor Bedding                  | Company   | Makloon   | Independent |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Rice husk Harvest (%)          | 93.00%    | 92.90%    | 93.00%      |
| Unhealthy (suspected)          | 10.00%    | 10.10%    | 10.00%      |
| Mortality                      | 7.06%     | 7.10%     | 7.00%       |
| Sawdust Harvest (%)            | 93.62%    | 93.85%    | 93.92%      |
| Unhealthy (suspected)          | 8.88%     | 8.65%     | 8.58%       |
| Mortality                      | 6.38%     | 6.15%     | 6.08%       |
| Rice husk mixed with Sawdust   | 94.45%    | 93.21%    | 93.45%      |
| Harvest (%)                    | 7.85%     | 9.09%     | 8.85%       |
| Mortality                      | 5.55%     | 6.79%     | 6.55%       |
| Rice husk mixed with Silica    | 94.53%    | 94.15%    | 93.37%      |
| Production (kg)                | 7.57%     | 7.95%     | 8.73%       |
| Unhealthy (suspected)          | 5.47%     | 5.85%     | 6.63%       |
| Mortality                      | 92.97%    | 92.34%    | 92.10%      |
| Wood shavings Harvest (%)      | 10.23%    | 10.86%    | 11.10%      |
| Mortality                      | 7.03%     | 7.66%     | 7.90%       |
| Rice straw Harvest (%)         | 93.08%    | 92.50%    | 92.02%      |
| Unhealthy (suspected)          | 9.82%     | 10.41%    | 10.88%      |
| Mortality                      | 6.92%     | 7.51%     | 7.98%       |

#### TABLE 2. COST AND BENEFIT COMPARISON OF FLOOR BEDDING APPLICATION IN THE COMPANY TYPE OF POULTRY BUSINESS IN WESTERN JAVA (RICE HUSK AS A BASELINE)

| Descriptions                  | Saw-dust     | Rice husk mixed with Saw-dust | Rice husk mixed with Silica | Wood shavings | Rice straw |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|-----------|
| Cost                          |              |                               |                             |               |           |
| Workers                       | -            | -                             | -                           | -             | -         |
| DOC                           | -            | -                             | -                           | -             | -         |
| Feed                          | -            | -                             | -                           | -             | -         |
| Litter                        | 0.33         | 0.11                          | 0.25                        | 0.11          | 0.22      |
| Heating                       | 0.10         | (0.02)                        | (0.02)                      | (0.02)        | (0.02)    |
| Medicine Vaccines and vitamins| -            | -                             | -                           | -             |           |
| Disinfectant                  | -            | -                             | -                           | -             |           |
| Rope                          | -            | -                             | -                           | -             | 7.33      |
| Total Cost                    | 0.00         | 0.00                          | (0.01)                      | 0.00          | 0.00      |
| Revenue                       | (0.01)       | 0.00                          | 0.01                        | 0.01          | (0.00)    |
| Profit                        | (0.11)       | 0.01                          | 0.09                        | 0.05          | (0.02)    |

Note: Positive sign means higher than the baseline. Negative sign means lower than the baseline.
### Table 3. Cost and Benefit Comparison of Floor Bedding Application in the Makloon Type of Poultry Business in Western Java (Rice Husk as a Baseline)

| Descriptions                | Saw-dust | Rice husk mixed with Sawdust | Rice husk mixed with Silica | Wood shavings | Rice straw |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Cost                        |          |                              |                             |               |            |
| • Workers                   | -        | -                            | -                           | -             | -          |
| • DOC                       | -        | -                            | -                           | -             | -          |
| • Feed                      | -        | -                            | -                           | -             | -          |
| • Litter                    | 0.33     | 0.11                         | 0.25                        | 0.11          | 0.22       |
| • Medicine, Vaccines and vitamins | (0.20)  | (0.20)                       | (0.60)                      | (0.20)        | (0.20)     |
| • Disinfectant              | 0.05     | 0.05                         | 0.05                        | 0.05          | 0.05       |
| • Heating                   | (0.17)   | (0.17)                       | (0.17)                      | (0.17)        | (0.17)     |
| Total Cost                  | 0.00     | (0.00)                       | 0.02                        | (0.00)        | (0.01)     |
| Revenue                     | 0.01     | (0.00)                       | 0.02                        | (0.00)        | (0.01)     |
| Profit                      | 0.05     | (0.03)                       | 0.12                        | (0.02)        | (0.10)     |

Note: Positive sign means higher than the baseline. Negative sign means lower than the baseline.

### Table 4. Cost and Benefit Comparison of Floor Bedding Application in the Independent Type of Poultry Business in Western Java (Rice Husk as a Baseline)

| Descriptions                | Saw-dust | Rice husk mixed with Sawdust | Rice husk mixed with Silica | Wood shavings | Rice straw |
|-----------------------------|----------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------|
| Cost                        |          |                              |                             |               |            |
| • Workers                   | -        | -                            | -                           | -             | (0.33)     |
| • DOC                       | -        | -                            | -                           | -             | -          |
| • Feed                      | -        | -                            | -                           | -             | -          |
| • Litter                    | 0.33     | 0.21                         | 0.26                        | 0.12          | 0.23       |
| • Heating                   | (0.03)   | (0.18)                       | (0.18)                      | (0.18)        | (0.18)     |
| • Medicine, Vaccines and vitamins | -         | -                           | -                           | -             | -          |
| • Disinfectant              | -        | -                            | -                           | -             | -          |
| • Rope                      | 0.11     | 0.11                         | 0.11                        | 0.11          | 0.11       |
| Total Cost                  | 0.00     | 0.00                         | 0.00                        | 0.00          | (0.00)     |
| Revenue                     | (0.02)   | (0.01)                       | (0.01)                      | (0.02)        | (0.05)     |
| Profit                      | (0.10)   | (0.04)                       | (0.05)                      | (0.10)        | (0.19)     |

Note: Positive sign means higher than the baseline. Negative sign means lower than the baseline.