Suppressing CMB Quadrupole with a Bounce from Contracting Phase to Inflation
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Recent released WMAP data show a low value of quadrupole in the CMB temperature fluctuations, which confirms the early observations by COBE. In this paper, a scenario, in which a contracting phase is followed by an inflationary phase, is constructed. We calculate the perturbation spectrum and show that this scenario can provide a reasonable explanation for lower CMB anisotropies on large angular scales.
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Recently the high resolution full sky Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) data have been released and it is shown that the data is consistent with the predictions of the standard concordance ΛCDM model. However, there remain two intriguing discrepancies between WMAP observations and the concordance model. The data predict a high reionization optical depth and a running of the spectral index, as claimed by WMAP team. The need of a running has been studied widely and many inflation models with large running of a spectral index have been built. Another surprising discrepancy comes from the low temperature-temperature(TT) correlation quadrupole, which has previously been observed by COBE. It is pointed out by Ref. that there might be some connection between the need for running of the spectral index and the suppressed CMB quadrupole, and the significance of the low multipoles has been discussed widely in the literature.

Several possibilities to alleviate the low-multipoles problem have been discussed in the literature. One straightforward way is to build suppressed primordial spectrum on the largest scales. This can also lead to other observable consequences. In the framework of inflation, changing the inflaton potential and the initial conditions at the onset of inflation have been proposed. For the latter case, the inflaton has to be assumed in the kinetic dominated regime initially. Since there are no primordial perturbations exiting the horizon in such a phase, the inflation or contracting phase before kinetic domination should be required.

In this paper we consider a scenario where a contracting is followed by an inflationary phase and study its implications in suppressing CMB quadrupole. For a contracting phase with a kinetic domination, the primordial perturbations exiting the horizon can be obtained similar to that of Pre Big Bang (PBB) scenario (for a review see ). The PBB scenario is regarded as an alternative to the inflation scenario, but its spectrum is strongly blue and does not provide the nearly scale-invariant perturbation spectrum implied by the observations by the evolution of background field. In the literature there are some proposals of alternatives for seeding the nearly scale-invariant spectrum in the contracting phase. In addition to the ekpyrotic/cyclic scenario, there is a possibility to seed a scale-invariant spectrum in which the pressureless matter is used. For the expanding phase, in addition to the usual inflation scenario, a slowly expanding phase may also be feasible. In general the cut-off of primordial power spectrum may indicate a matching between different phases during the evolution of the early universe.

In this paper we will calculate the perturbation spectrum in the model with a contracting phase followed by an inflation and fit it to the WMAP data. Our results show that this scenario can provide a reasonable explanation for the observed low CMB anisotropies on large angular scales.

Consider a generic scalar field with lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - V(\phi).$$

For the spatially homogeneous but time-dependent field \(\phi\), the energy density \(\rho\) and pressure \(p\) can be written respectively as

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi), \quad p = \frac{1}{2} \ddot{\phi}^2 - V(\phi).$$

The universe, described by the scale factor \(a(t)\), satisfies the equations

$$h^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} \left( \frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi}^2 + V(\phi) \right),$$

and the equation of motion of the scalar field is

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3h \dot{\phi} + V'(\phi) = 0,$$

where \(h = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}\) is the Hubble parameter.

For the universe in the contracting phase, we have \(h < 0\). In this case, \(3h \dot{\phi}\) is anti-frictional, and instead of...
damping the motion of $\varphi$ in the expanding phase it accelerates the motion of $\varphi$. Thus if the time is long enough, a scalar field initially in a flat part of the bottom of the potential will roll up along the potential. During this process,

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 \gg V(\varphi),$$

(5)

and

$$\dot{\varphi} + 3h \dot{\varphi} \simeq 0.$$  

(6)

To match our observational cosmology, one requires a bounce from the contracting phase to the expanding phase. In the literature there have been several proposals for such a nonsingular scenario with the realization of the bounce, for instance, from a negative energy density fluid or the curvature term around the transition, or some higher order terms stemming from quantum corrections in the action. After the bounce, since $h > 0$, $3h \dot{\varphi}$ becomes frictional and serves as a damping term. Thus the motion of $\varphi$ decays quickly. When the velocity of $\varphi$ is 0, it reverses and rolls down along the potential driven by $V'(\varphi)$, and enters the slow-roll regime in which the universe is dominated by the potential energy of the scalar field

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{\varphi}^2 \ll V(\varphi),$$

(7)

and

$$3h \dot{\varphi} + V'(\varphi) \simeq 0.$$ (8)

In general there exist two regimes in this scenario $^1$. For the regime before the bounce, the equation of state of the background is $p \simeq \rho$, consequently we have

$$a(t) \sim (-t)^{1/2},$$

(9)

while for the slow-roll regime after the bounce, $p \simeq -\rho$, so the evolution of the scale factor is given by

$$a(t) \sim \exp \left( t \right).$$

(10)

For convenience of the calculations on the perturbation spectrum, we define $dt = ad\eta$ where $\eta$ is the conformal time. For both phases, we have

$$a(\eta) \sim (-\eta)^{1/2}, \quad a(\eta) \sim (-\eta)^{-1}$$

(11)

and

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{a'}{a}.$$  

(12)

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to $\eta$. For simplify, we neglect the details of the bounce and focus on an instantaneous transition between a kinetic-dominated contracting phase and a nearly de Sitter phase. We set $\eta = 0$ and $a = 1$ at the moment of transition for the matching, thus we have

$$a \simeq \sqrt{1 - 2\mathcal{H}_0 \eta}, \quad \eta \leq 0$$

(13)

$$a \simeq \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{H}_0 \eta}, \quad \eta \geq 0$$

(14)

where $\mathcal{H}_0$ is the physical Hubble constant during the inflationary phase.

Now we study the metric perturbations of the model. Working in the longitudinal gauge the scalar perturbations responsible for the observed large angle CMB temperature anisotropies can be written as

$$ds^2 = a^2(\eta)(-(1 + 2\Phi)d\eta^2 + (1 - 2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j),$$

(15)

where $\Phi$ is the Bardeen potential. For the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable $^{33}$, one has

$$v \equiv a \left( \delta \varphi + \frac{\varphi'}{\mathcal{H}} \Phi \right) \equiv z \zeta,$$

(16)

where $\varphi$ is the background value of the scalar field and $\delta \varphi$ denotes the perturbations of the scalar field during the periods of both phases, contraction and inflation, and $\zeta$ is the curvature perturbation on uniform comoving hypersurface, $z \equiv \frac{a \varphi'}{\mathcal{H}}$. In the momentum space, the equation of motion of $v_k$ is

$$v_k'' + \left( k^2 - \frac{z''}{z} \right) v_k = 0.$$  

(17)

For the contracting phase before inflation,

$$\frac{z''}{z} \simeq \frac{a''}{a} \simeq -\frac{\mathcal{H}_0^2}{(1 - 2\mathcal{H}_0 \eta)^2}.$$ (18)

When $k^2 \gg \frac{z''}{z}$, the fluctuations are in their Minkowski vacuum, which corresponds to

$$v_k \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} e^{-ik\eta},$$

(19)

thus

$$v_k(\eta) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi(1 - 2\mathcal{H}_0 \eta)}{8\mathcal{H}_0}} H_0^{(2)} \left( -k\eta + \frac{k}{2\mathcal{H}_0} \right),$$

(20)

where $H_0^{(2)}$ is the second kind of Hankel function with 0 order. For the nearly de Sitter phase,

$$\frac{z''}{z} \simeq \frac{a''}{a} \simeq \frac{2\mathcal{H}_0^2}{(1 - \mathcal{H}_0 \eta)^2}.$$ 

(21)

$^1$ A similar scenario have been proposed in which the form $\sim \varphi^0$ of the potential has been studied numerically and two regimes, i.e. $p = \rho$ for the contracting phase and $p = -\rho$ for the expanding phase, have been found.
thus
\[
v_k(\eta) = \sqrt{-k\eta + \frac{k}{\mathcal{H}_0}}
\]
\[
\left( C_1 H^{(1)}_{\frac{3}{2}}(\eta \cdot \frac{k}{\mathcal{H}_0}) + C_2 H^{(2)}_{\frac{3}{2}}(\eta \cdot \frac{k}{\mathcal{H}_0}) \right)
\]
where \( H^{(1)}_\frac{3}{2} \) and \( H^{(2)}_\frac{3}{2} \) are the first and second kind of Hankel function with \( \frac{3}{2} \) order respectively, \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) are \( k \)-dependent functions, which are determined by the matching conditions between two phases.

In general, the details of the dynamics governing the bounce determines the matching conditions for the calculations of the spectrum, which specifically depends on whether the curvature perturbation \( \zeta \) on uniform comoving hypersurface or the Bardeen potential \( \Phi \) passes regularly through the bounce \( [35] \) (see also \( [29, 36, 37, 38] \)).

For a bounce scenario like PBB with higher order correction terms, it has been shown to the first order in \( \alpha \) \( [31, 41] \) on the continuity of the induced metric and the extrinsic curvature crossing the constant energy density matching surface between the contracting and the expanding phase, i.e. \( \zeta \) (thus \( v \)) passes regularly through the transition. From the matching condition at the transition point \( \eta = 0 \), i.e. the continuity of \( v \) and \( v' \) implies that
\[
C_1 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3H_0}} e^{\frac{i\eta}{\mathcal{H}_0}} ((1 - \frac{2H_0^2}{k^2} - \frac{2H_0}{k} i) H_0^{(2)}(k) + \frac{H_0}{k} + i) H_1^{(2)}(k) (23)
\]
\[
C_2 = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{3H_0}} e^{\frac{i\eta}{\mathcal{H}_0}} ((1 - \frac{2H_0^2}{k^2} + \frac{2H_0}{k} i) H_0^{(2)}(k) + \frac{H_0}{k} - i) H_1^{(2)}(k), (24)
\]
where \( H_0^{(2)} \) and \( H_1^{(2)} \) are the second kind of Hankel function with 0 and 1 order respectively. The spectrum of tensor perturbation is
\[
P_g = \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2} \left| \frac{v}{a} \right|^2, \quad (25)
\]
for \( \eta \to 1/\mathcal{H}_0 \). Substituting \( 22, 23 \) and \( 24 \) into \( 20 \), we obtain
\[
P_g = \frac{\mathcal{H}_0^2}{2\pi^2} k^2 |C_1 - C_2|^2. (26)
\]

Since the spectrum freezes during slow-rolling inflation, the scalar spectrum can be obtained via the consistency condition \( P_\eta = P_g/r \), where \( r \) is a constant. We made a numerical check and find this is a good approximation.

For \( k \ll \mathcal{H}_0 \), the Hankel function can be expanded in term of a large variable, thus we have approximately
\[
P_s \sim k^3 \quad (27)
\]
FIG. 2: CMB anisotropy and two-point temperature correlation function for the scale invariant spectrum and the spectrum with a cutoff. Left: From left top to bottom, the lines stand for scale invariant spectrum, spectrum with a cutoff with $H_0 = 2.1, 3.1$ and $4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. Other parameters are fixed at $h = 0.73$, $\Omega h^2 = 0.023$, $\Omega_{\text{cdm}} h^2 = 0.117$ and $\tau = 0.2$. Right: From right top to bottom, the lines stand for scale invariant spectrum, spectrum with a cutoff with $H_0 = 2.1, 3.1$ and $4.1 \times 10^{-4}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ and the WMAP released data.

with $H_0 \lesssim 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ Mpc$^{-1}$. However as we have set $a = 1$ at the transition scale instead of today, the exact physical energy scale during the transition cannot be known due to the uncertainty in the number of e-folding and details of reheating$^{[11]}$. In Fig. 2 we show the resulting CMB TT multipoles and two-point temperature correlation function for the scale invariant spectrum and our spectrum with a cutoff in our parameter space. One can see that the resulting CMB TT quadrupole and the correlation function at $\theta \gtrsim 60^\circ$ can be much better suppressed for spectrum with a cutoff than in the scale invariant case. It is noteworthy that the uncertainty by cosmic variance plays an significant role around the smallest CMB multipoles, which is much larger than WMAP’s instrumental noise. WMAP team predicts an extremely low TT quadrupole $\delta T_2 = 123 \mu K^2$.

Meanwhile the best fit power law and running-spectral index $\Lambda$CDM model predict $\delta T_2 = 1107$ and $870 \mu K^2$ respectively$^{[1]}$. Our cutoff spectrum can give $\delta T_2$ as low as $620 \mu K^2$. It is not yet compatible with WMAP quadrupole within cosmic variance limit since the lowest $\delta T_2$ is $620 \times (1 - \sqrt{2}/5) \sim 228 \mu K^2$. However as claimed by Efstathiou$^{[43]}$, the pseudo-$C_l$ estimator used by the WMAP team might be non-optimal and the quadrupole is found to lie between 176 and 250 $\mu K^2$ and more likely to be at the upper bound of the range. Thus our model can be actually workable and future WMAP data may present a more presice check.

In summary, we construct a scenario in which a contracting phase is matched to an inflationary phase instantaneously. We calculate the spectrum of the scalar perturbation and find that the power spectrum on large scale is suppressed due to $\sim k^3$, which is the usual result of PBB scenario, and on small scale the nearly scale-invariant spectrum of inflation is recovered. Thus our scenario can provide a reasonable explanation for lower CMB anisotropies on large angular scales. Although in our proposed scenario, we neglect the physical details of the bounce, the results obtained by us reflect the generic feature of model in which the inflation phase follows the contracting phase of PBB. In our scenario, we not only obtain the suppressed lower multipoles, which is connected with the physical detail of PBB and bounce, but also avoids the initial singularity by the bounce. Furthermore, our scenario makes an attempt to improve the PBB scenario on the graceful exit problem with a period of inflation, which is worth studying further.
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