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**Abstract**

*Ixeris dentata* (Thunb. ex Thunb.) Nakai (Asteraceae) is a perennial herb distributed throughout East Asia including Korea, China and Japan. Both its aerial parts and roots are edible as a bitter appetizing vegetable. In addition, they have been used in folk medicine for the treatment of various diseases. In the present study, the chemical profiles of the aerial parts and roots of *I. dentata* were investigated using HPLC-QTOF-MS combined with multivariate chemometric analysis. From 18 samples collected in 7 different areas, 30 compounds were characterized and most of them were sesquiterpenes and flavonoids. Principal component analysis of them clearly distinguished the samples of *I. dentata* by the parts, aerial parts and roots. The loading plots gave the information about the important compounds responsible for the discrimination between plant parts. Hierarchical cluster analysis also showed clear distinction between two parts. Although *I. dentata* samples derived from the same plant, there was difference in their chemical profiles, which might account for their different uses.
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**Introduction**

*Ixeris dentata* (Thunb. ex Thunb.) Nakai (Asteraceae) is a perennial herb distributed throughout East Asia including Korea, China and Japan. Both its aerial parts and roots are edible and have been used as appetizing vegetables due to their bitter taste. Moreover, they have been used for the treatment of various diseases including pneumonia, hepatitis, indigestion, and tumor in Korean traditional and folk medicine [1-5]. Regarding the chemical constituents, aliphatic compounds, triterpenoids, and sesquiterpene glycosides have been reported from *I. dentata* [6-8]. In our previous studies, we reported that *I. dentata* has anti-inflammatory activity and increase amylase synthesis and secretion and suggested sesquiterpene glycosides as active components [9-10].

The chemical characteristics of natural products can be varied according to geographic origins, cultivation conditions, and harvesting season [11-13]. Moreover, it is well known that the different parts even derived from the same plant have distinct chemical properties. Different chemical properties subsequently cause different biological actions. Since the biological activity of natural products depends not only on several major constituents but also on its complex chemical components and their interactions, it is important to develop an efficient analytical method to evaluate their chemical properties. One of the most commonly used approaches to investigate the chemical profiles of herbs is liquid chromatography (LC) coupled to a mass spectrometer (MS) [14-17]. Particularly, quadrupole time-of-flight MS (QTOF-MS) system is a powerful tool for chemical profiling of plants due to its excellent resolving power, a high acquisition speed, accurate mass measurement as well as sensitive spectral scan [18]. Chromatographic analysis employing powerful detector such as QTOF-MS provides rich information about investigated samples buried in complex data. Therefore, it is an important step to extract meaningful information from complex highly multivariate data. Many chemometric tools, including principal component analysis (PCA), hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA), artificial neural network (ANN), alternative moving window factor analysis (AMWFA), and so on, have been used to find the information from chromatographic analysis coupled with various detectors [19]. The main advantages of these multivariate analysis, particularly PCA, is that it can reduce the dimensionality of a data set consisting of a large number of interrelated variables, while retaining as much as possible of the variation present in the data set. It becomes easier to compare or cluster the data set of chromatographic analysis due to the complexity reduction and the data simplification by chemometric tools.

In the present study, the chemical profiles of the aerial parts and roots of *I. dentata* were investigated using LC-QTOF-MS. Based on the MS spectra, compounds comprising the chemical profiles were characterized. PCA and HCA were used to...
compare the chemical profiles of the aerial parts and roots of *I. dentata*.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials and sample preparation**

National Institute of Horticultural and Herbal Science of Korea provided the aerial parts (IDA) and roots (IDR) of *I. dentata* collected in 7 different provinces of Korea. The dried IDA and IDR were extracted 3 times with 80% methanol (30 ml, 50°C) under sonication for 6 h and evaporated under vacuum. The extracts were dissolved in 50% methanol at the concentration of 1 mg/ml. Each sample was filtered through a 0.2 μm nylon syringe filter before LC-QTOF-MS analysis. All samples were coded as shown in **Table 1**.

**Table 1** Description of *I. dentata* aerial parts and roots samples.

| Geographical origin | Sample code | Aerial parts | Roots |
|---------------------|-------------|--------------|-------|
| Chuncheon           | CC          | IDA CC       | IDR CC|
| Dangjin 1           | DJ1         | IDA DJ1      | IDR DJ1|
| Dangjin 2           | DJ2         | IDA DJ2      | IDR DJ2|
| Eumseong 1          | ES1         | IDA ES1      | IDR ES1|
| Eumseong 2          | ES2         | IDA ES2      | IDR ES2|
| Goesan              | GS          | IDA GS       | IDR GS|
| Jinan               | JA          | IDA JA       | IDR JA|
| Jinbu               | JB          | IDA JB       | IDR JB|
| Yangpyeong          | YP          | IDA YP       | IDR YP|

**LC-QTOF-MS analysis**

HPLC was performed with an Agilent 1290 HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA), equipped with a 1290 Infinity binary pump, auto-sampler and PDA detector. The separation was performed on an YMC hydrosphere C18 (4.6 mm i.d.×250 mm, 5 μm) column. The mobile phase was a stepwise gradient between 0.5% acetonitrile (0 min, 7% acetonitrile; 13 min, 11% acetonitrile; 30 min, 18% acetonitrile; 45-55 min, 28% acetonitrile) at a flow rate of 1 ml/min. The column temperature was set at 30°C. On-line UV spectra were recorded in the wavelength range 190–400 nm.

The HPLC system was connected to an Agilent 6530 time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) interface using the following operation parameters: capillary voltage, 3.5 kV; nebuliser, 30 psig; drying gas (nitrogen) flow rate, 10.0 l/min; drying gas temperature, 325°C; fragmentor, 175 V; skimmer voltage, 65 V; octopole radio frequency, 250 V.

All MS data were acquired using the MassHunter Data acquisition software to ensure mass accuracy and reproducibility throughout the chromatographic run. The data acquisition factors were applied to collect the data: the acquisition type set at MS and the mass range was m/z 100-1500 for centroid mode. Moreover, the acquisition rate was 4 spectra per second for MS. The [M+H]+ ions of m/z 121.0508 and 922.0098 were used as the reference mass for the positive ESI mode.

**Establishment of in-house library**

By searching from databases including Dictionary of Natural product (CRC, 2009), SciFinder Scholar of the American Chemical Society, and ScienceDirect of Elsevier, all components reported from *kxiris* genus in the literatures were summarized in a MassHunter Personal Compound Database and Library (PCDL) manager (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). In-house library was established including the compound name, molecular formula and exact mass of each compound.

**Chromatographic peak identification**

Compound identification relied on UV spectra, retention time, and reasonable molecular formulas calculated from accurate mass measurements obtained from LC-QTOF-MS analysis. When authentic standard compounds were available, comparison by UV and retention time were used for peak identification. Other compounds were characterized by matching the experimental molecular formula with that of in-house library using “Find Compounds by Molecular Feature” and “Search Database” of MassHunter Qualitative analysis software (Version B.06.00, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

**Multivariate analysis**

Considering the quality score and error values, 30 peaks with the information about the accurate molecular weights and peak intensity were selected for multivariate analysis. The extracted chromatographic data was imported as data matrix for PCA and HCA. The data set was normalized by auto-scaling method, i.e., the average was subtracted from each variable, with each variable divided by its standard deviation before multivariate analysis. PCA and HCA were conducted using the MassHunter Mass Profiler Professional software (MPP, Version B.13.11, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA).

**Results and Discussion**

Various columns and solvent systems were applied to optimize chromatographic condition for the chemical profiling of *I. dentata*. An appropriate concentration of acetic acid in water (0.5%) was selected and showed a relatively stable baseline of chromatogram during the analysis. In addition, several HPLC columns were tested using the optimized solvent condition. Among them, YMC hydrosphere C18 (4.6 mm i.d.×250 mm, 5 μm) showed better resolution. For QTOF-MS conditions, better sensitive ionization was achieved using positive ion mode than negative ion mode.
The optimized LC-QTOF-MS condition was applied to analyze the extracts of *I. dentata*. The total compound chromatograms (TCC) of the aerial parts and leaves of *I. dentata* were shown in Figure 1.

Although many peaks were present both in the chromatograms of the aerial parts and roots, most of them showed differences in peak intensity and some of them were observed in only one part.

Taking into account the uneven mass and the isotopic distribution, 30 peaks were obtained at 5 ppm accuracy. Among them, compounds were identified based on the combination of accurate mass, UV spectrum and retention time (Table 2). Thirteen compounds isolated in our previous study were used as standard compounds to confirm the identification of the observed peaks in TCC [10]. Peaks for these thirteen compounds (peaks 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 19, 21, 22, 25, 28, 29) were found in TCC. Most of the identified compounds were sesquiterpene glycosides. Others were sesquiterpenes, flavonoid glycosides and phenolic compounds (Table 2).

### Table 2 Compounds identified or tentatively identified in *I. dentata*.

| Peak | Compound | Formula | Mass | Error (ppm) | IDAR |
|------|----------|---------|------|-------------|------|
| 1    | Ixerochinolide | C_{23}H_{24}O_{6} | 396.139 | 0.81 | A/R |
| 2    | Dentatin C | C_{27}H_{28}O_{9} | 426.189 | 1.04 | A/R |
| 3    | Ixerin W | C_{21}H_{28}O_{8} | 408.176 | 0.38 | A |
| 4    | Sonchifoliasolide B | C_{20}H_{24}O_{8} | 396.139 | 0.51 | A |
| 5    | 11β,13-Dihydroglucozaluzanin C | C_{15}H_{18}O_{7} | 410.155 | 1.22 | A/R |
| 6    | Chlorogenic acid | C_{16}H_{18}O_{7} | 354.095 | 0.68 | A/R |
| 7    | Ixerin E | C_{21}H_{28}O_{9} | 428.204 | 0.48 | A/R |
| 8    | Crepidiaside C | C_{27}H_{28}O_{9} | 426.189 | 0.83 | A |
| 9    | 8β-Hydroxy-4β,15-dihydrozaluzanin C | C_{15}H_{18}O_{7} | 264.136 | 0.18 | A |
| 10   | Dentatin B | C_{27}H_{28}O_{9} | 426.189 | 0.29 | R |
| 11   | Lactuside A | C_{27}H_{30}O_{9} | 426.189 | -0.43 | A |
| 12   | 8-Epidesacylcnaropicrin glucoside | C_{27}H_{28}O_{9} | 424.173 | 1.15 | A/R |
| 13   | Ixerin D | C_{21}H_{28}O_{9} | 426.189 | 0.58 | R |
|   | Compound Name                  | Formula | Mass (M+NH₄)+ | Mass (M+Na)+ | A/R |
|---|--------------------------------|---------|---------------|--------------|-----|
|14| Ixerisoside E                  | C₁₂H₂₂O₇| 426.188       | 444.2223     | 1.28| A/R |
|15| Dentatin A                     | C₂₁H₃₂O₉| 428.204       | 446.2383     | 0.56| A/R |
|16| unknown                        | C₁₀H₁₄O₃| 452.336       | 475.3251     | A/R |
|17| Ixerisoside C                  | C₂₁H₂₂O₉| 426.189       | 449.1779     | 0.82| A/R |
|18| Luteolin 7-rutinoside          | C₂₁H₂₂O₁₅| 594.158       | 595.1656     | 0.27| A   |
|19| Luteolin 7-O-glucoside         | C₁₂H₂₂O₇| 448.1         | 449.1076     | 0.64| A/R |
|20| unknown                        | C₂₄H₃₄O₄| 386.241       | 409.2306     | A/R |
|21| Apigenin 7-O-glucoside         | C₂₁H₂₂O₁₀| 432.105       | 433.1126     | 0.88| A   |
|22| 4-Allyl-2,6-dimethylphenyl glucoside | C₁₇H₂₄O₈| 356.147       | 379.1362     | 0.59| A/R |
|23| Ixerin S                       | C₂₀H₂₄O₁₁| 524.225       | 542.2592     | 0.86| R   |
|24| unknown                        | C₂₀H₂₄O₁₆| 678.504       | 701.4933     | A/R |
|25| Ixerin M                       | C₂₀H₂₄O₁₁| 524.226       | 542.2595     | 0.23| A/R |
|26| Ixerin V                       | C₂₁H₂₂O₈| 412.209       | 435.1984     | 1.23| R   |
|27| Ixerin I                       | C₂₀H₂₄O₁₁| 560.225       | 578.2586     | 1.87| R   |
|28| Ixerisoside A                  | C₂₀H₂₂O₁₁| 558.21        | 576.2436     | 0.63| A/R |
|29| Ixerin N                       | C₂₀H₂₂O₁₁| 538.241       | 556.2747     | 1.17| A/R |
|30| unknown                        | C₂₁H₂₂O₇| 400.257       | 423.2462     | A/R |

The data set of the peak intensity of 30 peaks was subjected to a PCA in order to decrease the data dimension. Prior to submitting the data set to PCA, data was normalized to avoid variables with high intensities being necessarily considered as more important than those with low intensities. PCA extracted two significant principal components (PC) which contributes 42.67% and 12.33% of data variance, respectively. PCA score plots generated from 18 samples showed one outlier, IDA DJ1 where the ellipse marks the 95% Hotelling T² control chart (Figure 2).

Figure 2 PCA scores of IDA and IDR.

With this single exception, *I. dentata* samples were nicely separated according to the parts used by PC1 scores, negative PC1 scores for the aerial parts and positive PC1 scores for the roots, respectively. Although IDA DJ1 is an outlier, it also showed a negative PC1 score. There was no significant difference depending on the geographic origins. The root samples showed bigger variances in PC2 scores compared to the aerial part samples. The loading values of the variables associated with the first two PC2 are displayed in Figure 3.

Figure 3 PCA loadings plot of IDA and IDR.

The loading values for peaks 3, 4, 8, 11,19,21 had negative values on PC1, which indicated that these compounds are mainly responsible for the separation of aerial part samples from the root samples. Peaks 10, 13, 23, 26, 27 had the largest positive values on PC1 showing that they also contribute the separation between the parts used. A similar result was deduced from HCA showing two distinct clusters of chemical profiles according to the parts used for the analysis (Figure 4).
These results are in accordance with the chromatographic data. The peaks observed only in IDA were 3, 4, 8, 9, 11, 18, 19 and 21. As expected, flavonoid glycosides, peaks 18, 19 and 21 were found only in the aerial parts. On other hand, peaks 10, 13, 23, 26 and 27 were absent in the aerial parts. The other peaks were observed both in the aerial parts and roots. However, the peaks 1, 2, 14 showed stronger intensities in the aerial parts (Figure 1).

Taken together, both the aerial parts and roots of *I. dentata* are rich in sesquiterpene glycosides. However, the contents and distribution of these compounds differ from each other. Although *I. dentata* has been used as an edible vegetable and a medicinal plant for a long time, the information about the plant parts for specific purpose has not been clear enough. This study showed the differences of the chemical characteristics between IDA and IDR, which suggests the possible differences of biological actions between them.

### Conclusions

Using LC-QTOF-MS analysis, the chemical profiles of IDA and IDR were obtained, respectively. Sesquiterpene glycosides such as 11β,13-dihydroglucozaluzanin C (5), 8-epidesacylcynaropicrin glucoside (12), ixeritin A (15), ixerin M (25), ixerisoside A (28) were found to be the major characteristic compounds of *I. dentata*. The PCA score plot of the MS data showed clear separation between IDA and IDR. It could be confirmed by the HCA. The PCA loading plot gave the information about the compounds which are important for this separation. It was confirmed using TCC of each part. Taken together, the chemical characteristics can be different according the part used even in the same plant, suggesting the need for further research study about the biological differences according to plant parts.
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