Abstract

Microcystins (MCs) are produced by certain bloom-forming cyanobacteria that can induce toxicity in various organs, including renal toxicity, reproductive toxicity, cardiotoxicity, and immunosuppressive effects. It has been a significant global environmental issue due to its harm to the aquatic environment and human health. Numerous investigators have demonstrated that MC exposure can induce a widespread epidemic of enterogastritis with symptoms similar to food poisoning in areas close to lakes. Both in vivo and in vitro studies have provided evidence of positive associations between MC exposure and gastrointestinal toxicity. The toxicity of MCs on the gastrointestinal tract is multidimensional. MCs can affect gastrointestinal barrier function and shift the structure of gut microbiota in different gut regions. Furthermore, MCs can inhibit the secretion of gastrointestinal digestive enzymes and the release of inflammatory cytokines, which affects the expression of immune-related genes in the intestine. The damage of the intestine is closely correlated to MC exposure because the intestine is the main site for the digestion and absorption of nutrients. The damage to the gastrointestinal tract due to MCs was summarized from different aspects, which can be used as a foundation for further exploration of molecular damage mechanisms.
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Core tip: First, the gastrointestinal toxicity of microcystins (MCs) on a population was described. Second, the concentration or localization of MCs in the small intestine after exposure to various concentrations and different time points, as well as after the depuration of MCs in the intestine, was summarized. Third, the change in morphologic pathology and other effects such as oxidative stress, immunotoxicity, digestive enzymes, and gut microbiota in the intestine with exposure to MCs were discussed. Further challenges that need to be addressed were also summarized.
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INTRODUCTION

The development of industrialization accompanied by the increase of effluent discharge leads to the occurrence of cyanobacterial bloom and red tide due to nitrogen and phosphorous overload. Previous studies have demonstrated that almost 80% of algal blooms could produce secondary metabolites. This includes microcystins (MCs), which have a general structure of cyclo (-D-Ala1-L-R12-D-erythro-ji-methylIAsp3-L-R24-Adda5-D-Glu6-N-methyldehydro-Ala7), in which R1 and R2 are the variable L amino acids responsible for most of the congeners (Figure 1)\(^1\). Furthermore, more than 100 structural variants have been identified, among which microcystin-LR (MC-LR) was the most widespread and virulent type, followed by microcystin-RR (MC-RR), and microcystin-YR (MC-YR)\(^2,3\).

MCs pose a threat to human health in many ways, such as oral, dermal and inhalation pathways, and exposure may occur during recreation depending on the types of activities undertaken in the water\(^4,5\). Freshwater aquaculture ponds are important artificially regulated aquatic ecosystems that provide a large number of freshwater fish products in China\(^6\). Moreover, China’s freshwater aquaculture area and production stands first in the world\(^7\). Studies have shown that MCs can accumulate in a variety of aquatic organisms, such as zooplankton, bivalves, crustaceans, fish, and aquatic vertebrates\(^8\). It may exert a potential toxic hazard to humans after the consumption of MC-contaminated aquatic products. Recently, studies have shown that MCs can accumulate in edible crops and soils irrigated with MC-contaminated water\(^9\). It has a potential risk to be transferred to the human body through the ingestion of these vegetables\(^3\). Moreover, if MC-contaminated water is applied during medical treatment, this may lead to acute intoxication in patients and even death. Although such incidents seldom happen, this should arouse our attention for its serious consequences.

Studies have indicated that MCs accumulate mainly in the liver, and can be transported to the kidneys, muscle, brain, and intestines through blood circulation\(^6\). Furthermore, MCs have been confirmed to be transported into cells via organic anion transporting polypeptides (OATPs), which exist in almost every organ\(^8\), while some OATPs are preferentially or even selectively expressed in specific tissues\(^9\). Thus, the susceptibility among tissues toward MC exposure may be explained by OATP subtypes and the OATP subtype-selective transport of specific MC congeners\(^8,10\). Therefore, OATPs are the prerequisite for the toxicity that MCs can exert. Previous reports have shown that MCs can cross the intestinal barrier via OATP3A1 and OATP4A1, which are located in the small intestine epithelium\(^11\). This follows that MCs may pose a potential threat to human health. Therefore, the present study aimed to perform a compilation of increasing information that involves intestinal toxicity with regard to fish, mammals, cells, and group surveys, in order to summarize the present research gaps that should be addressed through further studies.

GASTROINTESTINAL TOXICITY OF MCs ON THE POPULATION

The detriments caused by MCs produced by blue-green algae have attracted worldwide concern. Epidemiological investigation and toxicology research have been used to illuminate the perniciousness of MCs. Epidemiological investigations can reflect the direct association between the health and MC exposure of the population, which is especially important to identify the hazards of MCs on mankind.

Study on enterogastritis with exposure to MC-LR

Widespread epidemics of enterogastritis with symptoms similar to those during food poisoning were found in a series of towns alongside Elk River in Charleston, West Virginia. A survey revealed that the acute gastroenteritis outbreak was on a large scale, and was not caused by bacterial infection but by toxins. After all measures were ineffective in removing toxins, it was speculated that the toxin was most likely MC\(^12\). Pilotto et al\(^13\) discovered that there was a positive association between the incidence of gastroenteritis and the exposure time and density of cyanobacteria through a prospective investigation. Furthermore, there was a significant trend of the increasing occurrence of enterogastritis when exposed to more than 5000 cyanobacterial cells/mL for more than one hour\(^13\). Those results show that MCs can lead to acute gastroenteritis in humans.

Effect on gastroenteric carcinomas

Zhou et al\(^14\) investigated the association of MCs in...
drinking water with the incidence of colorectal cancer through a retrospective cohort. In that study, eight towns in Haining City of Zhejiang Province, China were randomly selected as study sites, and 408 cases of colon and rectum carcinomas were selected for study. The results revealed that the incidence of colorectal cancer was significantly higher in the population that drank river and pond water compared to the population that drank well and tap water, suggesting that MCs may play a causative role in the carcinogenesis of the colon and rectum [14]. Other studies also manifested the positive association between MCs and the incidence of colon and rectum carcinomas. Lin et al [15] discovered that male colorectal cancer mortality increases as the MC content increases in water. Furthermore, a consistent trend between the positive detection rate of MCs and the mortality of colorectal cancer was found. Moreover, Chen et al [16] discovered the positive association between the concentration of MCs and the morbidity of colorectal cancer. In addition, Falconer et al [17] demonstrated that MC exposure is a contributing factor to the increased mortality of gastric cancer.

**CONCENTRATION OR LOCALIZATION OF MCs IN THE SMALL INTESTINE**

The toxicity of MCs mainly depends on the accumulation dose [2], which can be determined through the intake and depuration of MCs in the body. Furthermore, the location of MCs in cells changes along with the accumulation of MCs thereby causing different degrees of damage.

**Localization of MCs in the small intestine**

Previous studies have demonstrated that MCs can be detected in the small intestine because a specific OATP membrane transport system can carry it into the enterocytes [11]. After exposure of 0-50 μmol/L of MC-LR concentrations for 24 h in IEC-6 cells, the intracellular localization of MC-LR was in the cytoplasm and around the nucleus. Furthermore, western blot results revealed that there was a dose-dependent content following exposure to MC-LR [18].

Caco-2 cells have microvilli and cellulose associated with brush border epithelium with a similarity in structure and function in small intestine epithelial cells [19]. Therefore, it can be used to simulate intestinal transshipment. Caco-2 cells were treated with concentrations of MC-LR or MC-RR ranging from 1-50 μmol/L for four hours. There was no difference in the subcellular localization of MC-LR or MC-RR among concentrations (Figure 2A). After incubation of Caco-2 cells in a fixed concentration of 20 μmol/L of MC-LR or MC-RR, the intracellular location of MC-LR or MC-RR was observed over a period of time. These results show that staining at the cell membrane could be observed after thirty minutes of treatment, which subsequently progressed to the cytoplasm after two hours and around the nucleus after six hours (Figure 2B). These results confirm that MC-LR and MC-RR could reach the nucleus [11], suggesting that MC-LR may induce DNA damage. In addition, it has been demonstrated that DNA damage can be induced in intestinal tissues after exposure to 50 μg/kg of body weight of MC-LR in mice for 24 h [20].

In another in vivo study, medaka fish were administered an oral gavage of MC-LR extracts at a dose of 100 mg/L for two hours. The results revealed that the labeled areas were detectable in the intestinal submucosa, and can be seen in the cytoplasm of the submucosal macrophages in adult medaka intestine [21].

**Concentration of MCs in the small intestine**

OATPs are present in almost every organ or tissue [8]. MCs can be transported to organs such as the kidney, intestine, heart, spleen, and pancreas, and is mediated by blood circulation and OATPs. Various studies have revealed that MC-LR concentrations are higher in the intestines than in other organs [22-26], but MC-RR
concentrations are higher in the hepatopancreas than in the intestines under the same condition\cite{7,23,24,27}. The difference in the susceptibility of tissues towards MC-LR and MC-RR exposure may be explained by the OATP subtype-selective transport of specific MC congeners. Moreover, studies have shown that the intestine had the highest MC concentration (MC-LR + MC-RR)\cite{28}. Other studies have also shown that MC content was higher in the hepatopancreas, followed by the intestine and other organs\cite{29,30}. Furthermore, studies manifested that the intestine had relatively low MC content\cite{27,31}. The difference in MC content in the intestine and other organs...
among species and the different categories of the same species may be explained by the different mechanisms of uptake and depuration among other factors\[32\].

In studies in vivo, MC concentrations in different parts of the gut were detected by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), in which the MC concentration was much higher in the mid-gut walls than in the hind- and fore-gut walls. This clarifies the importance of the mid-gut wall as a major site for MC absorption\[32\]. The concentration or localization of MCs in the small intestine is summarized in Table 1.

### Depuration of MCs in the intestine

MCs can accumulate and metabolize in various tissues through the blood and exert toxic effects. Studies have shown that no MC-LR was detectable despite the abundant presence of MC-RR in the intestine\[24\], while Wang and Yuan et al\[25\] found that MC-LR content was much higher in the intestine than in other organs, which may be the result of the selective absorption of MCs in small intestine epithelial cells, or the difference between the elimination and inhibition in the intestine among species\[25,26\]. Chen et al\[26\] demonstrated that the highest concentration of MCs was found in the kidneys, and two peaks were observed, indicating that MCs can be directly excreted via the kidney in rats. The kidneys function as an important excretory organ and play a significant role in filtering to form urine and discharge metabolic wastes, which regulate the balance between electrolytes and acid-base. Moreover, Lei et al\[21\] found that a significant negative correlation was present between MC-RR concentrations in blood and in the kidneys, confirming that the blood plays an important role in the transportation of MC-RR to the kidneys for excretion. From the above, it can be found that MCs may accumulate in the intestines. Studies have revealed that MCs exist mainly in two forms in animal tissues: covalently bound form\[25\]. MCs accumulate rapidly, but are slowly eliminated in the intestine\[25\]. Two peaks were observed, indicating that MCs can be directly excreted via the kidney in rats. The kidneys function as an important excretory organ and play a significant role in filtering to form urine and discharge metabolic wastes, which regulate the balance between electrolytes and acid-base. Moreover, Lei et al\[21\] found that a significant negative correlation was present between MC-RR concentrations in blood and in the kidneys, confirming that the blood plays an important role in the transportation of MC-RR to the kidneys for excretion. From the above, it can be found that MCs may accumulate in the intestines. Studies have revealed that MCs exist mainly in two forms in animal tissues: covalently bound MCs and methanol-extractable forms\[25\].

## PATHOLOGICAL EFFECT OF MCs IN THE INTESTINES

MCs can not only induce damage to enterocytes in vitro, but also induce pathological injury in vivo, leading

### Table 1 Summary of concentrations or locations of microcystins in experimental models

| Model                  | Toxins              | Exposure      | Dose             | Time            | Experiments         | Con. or loc.          | Ref.   |
|------------------------|---------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------|
| Caco-2 cell            | MC-LR, MC-RR        | Incubation    | 1, 5, 20, 50 μmol/L | 0.5, 2, 6, 24 h | Immunochemistry     | Periphery, cytoplasm | [11]   |
| IEC-6 cell             | MC-LR               | Incubation    | 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50 μmol/L | 6, 12, 24 h | Immunochemistry     | Cytoplasm, around the nucleus | [18]   |
| Medaka Fish            | MC-LR               | Gavage        | 100 mg/L         | 2 h             | Immunohistochemistry | In the cytoplasm of the submucosal macrophages | [21]   |
| Cyprinus carpio, anguilla anguilla | MC-LR, MC-RR | Immersion     | Lake Oubeira     | 12 mo           | HPLC                | Intestine > hepatopancreas | [22]   |
| Aristichthys nobilis   | MC-LR, MC-RR        | i.p.          | 50, 200 μg/kg body weight | 1, 3, 12, 24, 48, 72 h | LC-ESI-MS          | Higher in intestine than in other organs liver | [23]   |
| Silver carp            | MC-LR, MC-RR        | Immersion     | 40 mm plankton net | 40, 80 d | HPLC                | 49.2 and 115.3 (average) | [24]   |
| Crayfish               | MC-LR               | Immersion     | 0.1, 1, 10, 100 μg/L | 8, 1, 3, 4, 7 d | HPLC                | Higher in intestine than in other organs liver | [25]   |
| Bellamya aeruginosa    | MC-LR, MC-RR        | Immersion     | Lake Taihu       | 12 mo           | LC-MS                | Intestine > hepatopancreas | [26]   |
| Jenynsia multidentata, corydoras paleatus | MC-LR, MC-RR | Immersion     | 50 μg/L          | 24 h             | HPLC, LC-ESI-TOF-MS | Liver > intestine | [27]   |
| Aristichthys nobilis   | MC-LR               | Immersion     | Lake Taihu       | 12 mo           | LC-MS/HC-MS-UV      | 85.67 μg/g DW         | [28]   |
| Freshwater mussels     | MC-LR, MC-RR        | Immersion     | Lake Taihu       | 12 mo           | LC-MS/ILC-MS-UV     | 20.65 μg/g DW         | [30]   |
| Carassius auratus      | MC-LRM-RR, MC-RR    | i.p.          | 200 μg/kg body weight | 1, 3, 12, 24, 48 h | LC-MS                | Less than 0.1% of the injected MCs | [31]   |
| Bivalves               | MC-LR, MC-RR        | Immersion     | Lake Taihu       | 6 mo            | LC-MS                | Hepatopancreas > intestine | [32]   |
| Wistar rat             | MC-LR               | i.p.          | 80 μg/kg body weight | 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 24 h | LC-MS | Less than 0.2% of injected MCs | [33]   |
| Carassius carassius    | MC-LR, MC-RR        | i.p.          | 50 μg/kg body weight | 1, 3, 12, 24, 48, 168 h | LC-MS | Less than 0.05% of injected MCs | [34]   |
| Freshwater fish at different trophic levels | MC-LR, MC-RR | Immersion     | Lake Chaohu      | -               | HPLC, LC-ESI-MS     | Higher in intestine than in other organs | [35]   |

*Con.*, “loc.” and “-” represent the concentration, location and no data, respectively. MC: Microcystin; LC-MS: Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry; HPLC: High-performance liquid chromatography.
to the production of oxides, the parasecretion of immunocytokines and digestive enzymes, and disorders in intestinal secretion of water, electrolytes, and intestinal flora.

**Effect of MCs on Enterocytes**

Previous studies indicated that MCs can be transported across enterocyte membranes via OATPs[2]. Moreover, studies have manifested that cell viability significantly decreased, while the ratio of apoptotic cells increased, after intestinal epithelial cells (IEC-6) and human intestinal Caco-2 cells were exposed to MCs[18]. MCs can affect the integrity of the intestinal barrier by decreasing its transepithelial electrical resistance (TEER) values and inducing the cytoskeletal protein expression of occludin and ZO-1 in IECs in a dose-dependent decrease[18]. Tight junctions (TJs) are composed of occludin and ZO-1. The dysfunction of the intestinal TJ barrier is an important event in the pathogenesis of enteropathies. MCs may influence the barrier function of the intestine by affecting the TJs of IEC-6. Furthermore, MCs can increase intracellular ROS production and promote proinflammatory cytokine secretion, including IL-6 and IL-8[38], which contributes to the damage to the small intestinal epithelial cells. Humpage et al[37] confirmed that MCs could induce the transformation of normal crypt cells (NCC). This follows that MCs can induce damage to enterocytes.

**Effect of MCs on morphology**

The intestines hollow body comprises of four concentric layers: mucosa, submucosa, muscle and serosa[38]. MCs may have different effects on these layers. MCs can induce the pathological lesions on the small intestinal mucosa in a dose-dependent manner. A large quantity of macrophages has been found below the epithelium[38], illustrating the loss of adhesion between loose connective tissues and the tract. Large amounts of macrophages were noted in the submucosa with prolonged exposure time and concentration[21,38]. Erythrocyte cells, such as goblet cells, play a significant role in the protection and digestion function of the intestine. Several zones of lysis and fewer goblet cells were detected in the intestinal epithelium in MC-LR-treated fish[39]. Nevertheless, the most prominent characteristic was the loss of microvilli and exfoliation of epithelial cells[38-41]. Intestinal pathological changes were characterized by hyperplasia, which thickened at several points in the lining of the intestinal mucosa, resulting in an undulating surface[42,43]. Fish treated with 25 μg and 50 μg of MC-LR exhibited a catarrhal enteritis process and necrotic enteritis, respectively[40]. An ultrastructural study revealed that in severe damage zones of the intestine, the vacuolization of enterocytes and hemorrhaging vessels in the submucosa were observed, as well as loss of normal architecture in smooth muscle fibers and the necrosis of fibers[38,40]. The pathological damage on the intestine is presented in Figure 3.

**Oxidative stress related to MC exposure**

The toxicity of MCs is primarily due to the irreversible inhibition of serine/threonine protein phosphatase 1 and 2A[44,45], which leads to disruptions in the cytoskeleton, necrosis, cytoplasmic vacuolization, and consequent apoptosis. Furthermore, recent reports have shown that oxidative stress induced by MCs is the initial factor that causes other various injuries[46]. MCs can induce the oxidative stress of mitochondria, leading to the increase of mitochondrial permeability transition and the release of cytochrome c. This would consequently increases the protein expression of Bax and caspases-3, -8 and -9 (P < 0.01), and inhibits the protein expression of Bcl-2[46,47]. The toxicity of MC-LR is involved in alterations in oxidation and the antioxidant system[40,48,49]. Studies have shown that oxidative stress biomarkers (ROS, TBARS, and MDA) have a dose-dependent increase with exposure to MCs, while antioxidant (GSH, SOD, GPx, GR, and GST) activities in general increase at lower concentrations, and decreased at higher concentrations[46-50]. The results above suggest that the production of excessive oxidative substances and the dysfunction of the anti-oxidative system induced by MC-LR can lead to small intestinal lesions.

**Immunotoxicity of MCs on the intestines**

The mucosa plays important pleiotropic roles, including absorption, secretion and barrier functions. Furthermore, the mucosa has a lot of lymphocytes and macrophages, and a decrease in intraepithelial lymphocytes in the mucous of the intestine was detected with exposure to 50 μg/kg and 100 μg/kg of MC-LR for 48 h[49]. Furthermore, the mRNA levels of IFN-1, IL-1b, IL-8, TGF-b, and TNF-a dramatically increased in the intestine in all MC-LR treated groups[51]. Moreover, the destruction of the intestinal mucosal structure induced by MC-LR may be responsible for the dysfunction of mucosal immunity.

**Effect of MCs on digestive enzymes**

Digestive enzymes exist in the brush border of the intestinal mucosa and play an important role in the final digestion phase. Its activity is closely correlated to the integrity of the intestinal mucosa. Liu et al[52] observed that the activity of disaccharidases, alkaline phosphatase and gamma-glutamyltransferase (γ-glutamyltransferase) declined after the intraperitoneal injection of MC-LR for 28 d. Yao et al[53] discovered that the activity of diastase and protease significantly declined in the intestines of silver carp in water contaminated by MCs. However, Moreno et al[54] were able to catch sight that the activity of diastase decreased, while other intestinal apical membrane enzymes (lactase, maltase, and alkaline phosphatase) were not modified after intravenous injection of MC-LR for eight hours. The results reported by Liu and Yao are not completely consistent, which was possibly due to the discrepancy in exposure dose and
methods. Above all, MCs can affect the digestive function through altering the integrity of the intestinal mucosa.

**Effect of MCs on the intestinal secretion of water and electrolytes**

MCs can inhibit protein phosphatase and stimulate macrophagocytes to secrete the corresponding cytokines. Rocha et al. observed that MC-LR can induce the release of interleukin-1β (IL-1β) and tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) through peritoneal macrophages in vitro and the secretion of electrolytes in rabbit ileal mucosa. Nobre et al. detected that MC-LR promoted the secretion of water and electrolytes (potassium, chloride, and sodium). The phosphorylation of residues in the N-terminus of the Na-K-Cl cotransporter is the mechanism that regulates the activation of intestinal fluid secretion in diarrheal toxicity. It has been recognized that MC-LR is a potent inhibitor of PP1 and protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), which demonstrates that MC-LR may indirectly inhibit the dephosphorylation of the Na-K-Cl cotransporter by inhibiting the activity of PP1.

**Effects of MCs on gut microbiota and other aspects**

The small intestine consists of the duodenum, jejunum and ileum. The intestine can be divided into the cecum, colon and rectum. The toxicity of MCs on the gut significantly depends on the absorption capacity, which is determined by the structure of the gut. MCs have a higher affinity with OATPs and can be absorbed rapidly and efficiently eliminated with bile acids. Dahlem et al. revealed that MCs are absorbed mainly in the ileum but are relatively low in the jejunum because the ileum is an active site for the transport of bile salt. The gut microbiome is a diverse and carefully balanced ecosystem.
of great importance in keeping the intestine healthy. If this balance is broken, many diseases can occur. Studies found that MC-LR can increase the microbial species richness as well as the microbial diversity in the cecum and colon with no effect in the jejunum and ileum. The increase of *Barnesiella* was most remarkable. Therefore, the toxicological effects of MC-LR varied between the jejunum and ileum and the other two gut regions\(^{[23]}\). However, there are few studies on the effects of MC-LR on the gut microbiome. The gut microbiome has a significant impact on human health as the first defense line in the intestine. Therefore, the effect of MC-LR on the intestinal flora needs to be further studied. Furthermore, studies have also shown that MC-LR could change the glycosylation pattern of the intestinal wall, and suppress the efflux activity of multidrug resistance proteins\(^{[27]}\).

**FURTHER CHALLENGES**

**The concentration of MCs in the intestines vary among species**

The present study comprehensively summarized the increasing information on the influence of MCs on the intestines. A positive association between intestinal toxicity and MC exposure were observed. The concentration or localization of MCs in the small intestine is summarized in Table 1. MCs can be absorbed into the bloodstream and transported to the intestine through blood circulation, and with the mediation of OATPs, MCs can be transferred from blood to enterocytes. No differences were observed in the localization of MC-LR or MC-RR among concentrations. Nevertheless, MCs can cross from the cell membrane with the cytoplasm and subsequently the nucleus with prolonged MC exposure. Hence, DNA damage may be induced by MCs and the apoptotic process would be triggered when DNA damage exceeds its repair capacity. This is perhaps one of the mechanisms for MCs to induce enterocyte apoptosis. However, further studies are needed to confirm this. There was a discrepancy in MC content in various organs within species. This may be the result of the different doses and methods used. Furthermore, the distribution of MCs in the intestines differs among tissues across separate species. The difference in MC content in the intestine across separate species may be explained by the differential expression of OATP subtypes. The difference in uptake capacity of the intestine between MC-LR and MC-RR may be the result of the OATP subtype-selective transport of specific MC congeners. The uptake ability of MCs varies in different sites of the intestine. There may be some substances (e.g., bile acids) that competed with MCs in the transport by OATPs. All of these explanations are speculation and further research is needed to confirm these hypotheses.

The majority of reports have mainly focused on the uptake and transport of MCs in the jejunum and ileum and rarely focused on other parts of the gut. Moreover, it remains uncertain whether the uptake mechanism of MCs in other parts of the intestine is the same as that in the jejunum, ileum, and colon. Simultaneously, there are few reports with regard to the depuration of MCs in the intestine. Studies have shown that MCs can be excreted directly via the kidneys, since a negative correlation was present between MC-RR concentration in the blood and in the kidneys\(^{[29,31]}\). It has long been recognized that glutathione (GSH) and cysteine (Cys) conjugation play an important role in the detoxification of MCs in animal organs\(^{[23]}\). However, further validation and exploration are needed to reduce the physical toxic effects of MCs. Otherwise, whether the intestine is an accumulation organ or intestinal microflora has a role in the degradation of MCs remains to be further studied.

**Other mechanisms that could damage the intestines may exist**

MCs can induce pathological damage of the small intestine, including the loss of microvilli, cytoplasmic vacuolization, the exfoliation of epithelial cells, the hyperplasia of the intestinal mucosa, the hemorrhage of vessels in the submucosa, fibrosis of smooth muscle, disruption of cytoskeleton, and necrosis. These molecular mechanisms may be involved in the disruption of cytoskeleton-associated proteins, the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and suppression of anti-oxidation resistance, the activation of pro-apoptotic proteins and inhibition of anti-apoptotic proteins, the release of IL-1\(\beta\) and TNF-\(\alpha\) by peritoneal macrophages, and the inhibition of PP1 activity, which would eventually lead to changes in morphology, alteration in digestive enzyme activity, shifts in gut microbial patterns, the prohibition of multidrug resistance protein efflux activity, the decline in the immunity of the small intestine mucosa, disorder in water and electrolytes, and even DNA damage and carcinogenesis. Studies have mainly focused on the pathological injury induced by MCs in the intestine but rarely on its molecular mechanisms. The most important molecular toxic mechanism of MCs in eukaryotes is it can strongly and specifically inhibit the activity of serine and threonine PP1 and PP2A, which are involved in many important intracellular processes such as cell growth, differentiation, protein synthesis, cell signaling, etc. Studies have confirmed that there is an irreversible covalent bond between MCs and PP1/PP2A\(^{[50]}\). The toxic mechanisms reported in the small intestine are related to oxidative stress and the expression of apoptosis-related proteins after exposure to MC-LR. Therefore, further studies are needed to explore the specific cell signaling or receptors in MC-LR-induced intestinal damage. Furthermore, other mechanisms such as the endoplasmic reticulum stress pathway, caspase-dependent pathway, and mitochondrial-dependent pathway still require further investigation.

**Long-term exposure experiments and cohort studies are necessary**

As it is known, the confirmation of human carcinogen requirement is as follows: (1) a rigorous design, reliable
method to exclude the confounding epidemiological survey; (2) dose-response relationship; and (3) other survey data validation or animal experiments support. There is a certain correlation between the pollution of MCs and the occurrence of gastrointestinal cancer according to existing population research data. However, the majority of present population studies are retrospective investigations with more confounding factors and biases. Therefore, the causal relationship between the exposure of MCs and the occurrence of cancer remains unclear. More appropriate methods (cohort study) should be taken to determine its causal relationship. Present animal experiments are mostly acute or subacute experiments, and tumorigenesis is usually triggered by long term exposure to low doses of carcinogens. Therefore, long-term animal exposure experiments with low-dose MCs are needed.

The intestine is the main site for digestion and absorption, and directly determines the physical nutritional status. In previous studies conducted by the investigators, weight decreased significantly after intraperitoneal injection of 25 μg/kg of body weight of MC-LR for 14 days (data not shown). The damage induced by MCs to the gastrointestinal tract and further challenges that need to be addressed are summarized in Figure 4.

**CONCLUSION**

From the above discussion, it could be concluded that MCs induced damage to the gastrointestinal tract and caused various kinds of pathogenesis in the gastrointestinal tract, all of which poses a threat to human health. However, the mechanisms involved are unknown, and a broad number of issues raised in the present indicate the need to explore toxic mechanisms and seek detoxification methods. All of those proposed further challenges needs to be addressed.
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Mitochondria a key role in microcystin-LR kidney intoxication.

Microcystin-LR leads to oxidative damage and alterations in hepatic pathological damage, lipid peroxidation and antioxidant responses of male zebrafish.

The synergistic effects of waterborne microcystin-LR and nitrite on the digestive tract and associated systems.

Changes induced in Tilapia fish (Oreochromis sp.) exposed to the microcystin-containing cyanobacterium Planktothrix agardhii.

Toxic effects of microcystin on the growth, activities of digestive enzymes and accumulation of microcystin in Carassius auratus.
