Production of High Quality Air-layers from *Conocarpus erectus* L. in Response to IBA and *Bacillus subtilis*
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The current study was conducted to evaluate the rooting behavior of air-layers of Buttonwood (*Conocarpus erectus* L.) under IBA stimulation and/or inoculation with *Bacillus subtilis*. Experiment contained two branch lengths (275 and 150 cm) and six treatments of IBA (100, or 200 ppm) and *B. subtilis* suspension (5 or 10 ml/air-layer from suspension 10⁸ CFU/ml) added individually or in combinations. The best results were obtained by 200 ppm IBA and/or 10 ml *B. subtilis* resulting in the maximum rooting percentage (100%), the highest number of roots (58.66/layer), the longest root (26.50 cm), large root volume (86.46 cm³/layer), the heaviest fresh and dry roots (82.68 and 13.50 g/layer, respectively) and maximum percentage of layers showing secondary roots (100%). These results were correlated with highest total carbohydrates content (28.40%) and total phenolic compounds (52.41 mg Gallic acid equivalent/g DW). The interaction effects indicated that the superior combined treatment was occurred by shoot length of 150 cm supplied with 200 ppm IBA + *B. subtilis*.

Keywords: *Conocarpus erectus*, Air-layering, Asexual propagation, IBA, *Bacillus subtilis*.

Introduction

Buttonwood (*Conocarpus erectus* L.), an evergreen tree species of the family Combretaceae, has been found to tolerate extreme desert heat where summer temperature may reach 47 °C and to grow in low fertile soils (Branney, 1989). This species is characterized by fairly rapid growth, endurance tropical sun and surviving high salinity levels. It has several uses including providing food and cover for wildlife, soil protection during rainstorms and fixing dunes against migration (Popp et al., 1989). It is widely planted as an ornamental evergreen tree in yards, parking lots, streets and parks as well as a bonsai potted plant. The wood durable and is used to make railroad ties, posts, boats, fuel and charcoal. In addition, its bark and leaves have been employed in tanneries and traditional medicine (Gliman and Watson, 1993).

The application of air layering in plant propagation dates back to 20 centuries ago when Chinese used it for the first time and ever since, it has been known to horticulturists as a method of propagating ornamental and cultivated plants (Mergen, 1953). Vegetative propagation through air layering has an advantage over other methods, since reserve food of the parent branch and the maintained supply of water and nutrients induce the formation of a well-developed root system. Comparing to the root system of cuttings, the air-layered branches develop more balanced and rapid root system. Therefore, air layering is the best option for multiplication of forest tree species whenever, the formation of roots from cuttings is slow (Hartmann et al., 2010).

Various classes of growth regulators, such as the auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, ethylene and...
inhibitors like abscisic acid influence root initiation (Cambell et al., 1999). Many previous studies have demonstrated the optimum concentration of rooting hormones for cuttings and air-layers in different woody plants. The main purpose for applying root promoting substances in layering is to induce high rooting percentage within a short span of time (Bose et al., 1997). Indole-3-butyric acid (IBA), as a synthetic auxin, is commercially famous as a root-initiation promoter (Waisel et al., 1991) since it is more stable and shows flexibility regarding the range of applied concentration (Audus, 1972).

In many cases, bacteria that colonize plant roots are useful for plant growth, development and productivity (Arshad and Frankenberger, 1998). In addition, the synthesis of plant hormones such as auxins, gibberellins and cytokines by microorganisms is believed as one of the main forms of interactions between the plant and the microorganisms which have been proved in various types of bacteria. For example, Khalid et al. (2004) revealed that many rhizophere bacteria including Streptomyces, Bacillus sp and Azotobacter sp. are able to synthesize IAA as secondary metabolites. Indole acetic acid produced by the bacteria works in conjunction with the internal plant auxin to stimulate root proliferation, division of cells and nutrient uptake from the culture media (Leveau & Lindow, 2005 and Khamna et al., 2010).

Hence, the present investigation was conducted to study the effect of branch length and the application of IBA and/or Bacillus subtilis on air layering of Conocarpus erectus.

Materials and Methods

The current investigation was carried out at the Floriculture Farm, Faculty of Agriculture, Assiut University, Egypt, during the 2017 and 2018 seasons to determine the effects of branch length and the application of indole-3-butyric acid (IBA) and/or a beneficial microorganism (Bacillus subtilis) on rooting and survival percentages, as well as root characteristics of Conocarpus erectus air-layers (the trees are eight years old).

Active strain of Bacillus subtilis was obtained from the Unit of Biofertilizers, Fac. Agric., Ain Shams Univ., Egypt. Bacterial suspension of B. subtilis (10⁸ CFU/ml) was supplied to rooting substrate after girdling process of C. erectus shoots.

Two lengths of air-layered branches were 275 and 150 cm, were treated with IBA solution individually or mixed in combinations with B. subtilis suspension with rooting medium. The treatments included control (untreated), 10 ml IBA (200 ppm), 5 ml B. subtilis, 10 ml B. subtilis, 10 ml IBA + 5 ml B. subtilis, 10 ml IBA + 10 ml B. subtilis.

In mid-March of both seasons, selected mature shoots of C. erectus (70 trees were used for the study, from each tree used 2-3 branches) were girdled by removing 2.0 cm ring of bark in the intermodal region, treated with the different treatments and the girdling region was immediately covered with a rooting substrate (perlite and peat moss “1:1 v/v”). The rooting substrate was covered with transparent plastic bags and the both ends were secured firmly using gunny thread and then covered with aluminum foil. Only distilled water was used for treating air-layers in the control treatment.

The experiment was arranged in a complete randomized block design in a split plot; the main plot was the two branch lengths (275 and 150 cm) and the sub-plot included the six treatments. Each treatment included 9 layers and replicated three times. The air-layers were protected from any mechanical damage and loss of moisture.

Ten-weeks after, all the air-layers were detached from mother plants, then dipped in water to remove adhering rooting substrate. Data were recorded on rooting percentage, and root parameters. Roots were washed carefully under running water and root portion of each air-layer was separated. The washed root system was wiped with blotting paper to remove additional free water and then root volume was determined by volume-displacement techniques involving suspending roots in a clear graduated glass cylinder to observe the volume displacement (Harrington et al., 1994). Samples of the basal 2.5-3.0 cm portion of root zone for determining total carbohydrates and phenols were oven-dried.
at 70º C for 48 h and ground to a fine powder. Total carbohydrates content was determined colorimetrically using anthrone sulphuric acid method described by Fales (1951). The total phenolic content was estimated colorimetrically by Folin-ciocalteau reagent (FCR) method (Maliauskas et al., 2004). After detaching the rooted layers from mother plants, they were planted in polyethylene bags filled with clay soil and were kept under plastic house conditions (3 layers were used for chemical analysis and 6 layers were transplanted). Three months later, the survival percentage was recorded.

Data obtained were statistically analyzed using Statistix 8.1 analytical software and the means were compared using a least significant difference (L.S.D.) test based on Gomez and Gomez (1984).

**Results and Discussion**

The results obtained during the present experiment clearly showed that the main and interaction effects of air-layered branch length and IBA and/or the beneficial microorganism *Bacillus subtilis* have significant effects on rooting behavior of *Conocarpus erectus* air-layering except on the rooting and survival percentage of planted layers which showed no significant differences as presented in Fig.1.

**Rooting percentage and root characteristics**

Data presented in Table 1 show non-significant effects of both investigated factors (length of air-layered branch, IBA and/or *B. subtilis*) during both seasons.

Results presented in Tables 2-6 indicate that there are significant differences among all treatments comparing with control and between them under both factors and their interactions in relation to rooting percentage and root (number, length, volume, and fresh and dry weights) characteristics. As shown in Table 2, the highest root number was achieved in the shorter air-layers (150 cm). Air-layers treated with 200 ppm IBA + *B. subtilis* (10 ml) showed superiority in comparison with other application treatments during both seasons, the best interaction was in the longer air-layers (275 cm) which treated with 200 ppm IBA and *B. subtilis* (10 ml). The same results were observed regarding root length, Table 3, where the longer roots were observed in the shorter air-layers (39.39 and 41.69 cm) in both seasons, respectively. Concerning the effect of IBA and *B. subtilis*, the longest root, respectively was observed in the air-layers treated with *B. subtilis* (5 ml) during both seasons (23.33 and 24.74 cm). The shorter air-layers treated with IBA (200 ppm) and *B. subtilis* (5 ml) gave the longest roots (25 and 26.5 cm) in both seasons respectively. Root volume characteristic as shown in Table 4, recorded the highest values in the shorter air-layers (150 cm) where combined treatment between IBA (200 ppm) and *B. subtilis* (10 ml) recorded the highest volume with significant increase in comparison with the control (64.12 and 65.28%). Data presented in Table 5 and 6 show that the heaviest fresh and dry roots were detected in the longer air-layers (275 cm) during both seasons (44.00 and 46.33 g fresh weight; 8.19 and 8.63 g dry weight, respectively). Similarly, IBA (200 ppm) and *B. subtilis* (10 ml) combined treatment resulted the heaviest fresh (72.17 and 77.16 g) and dry (12.39 and 13.26 g) roots during the first and second seasons, respectively. A significant interaction between length of air-layers and application substances was observed on root dry weight in both seasons (Table 6). The heaviest dry weights (12.5 and 13.5 g/air-layer were obtained when the shortest air-layer (150 cm) was treated with IBA (200) plus *B. subtilis* (10 ml).

These results are in agreement with those obtained by Suryanarayana & Rao (1984), Dessalegn & Reddy (2003), Chawla (2011), Reddy et al. (2014) and Gilani et al. (2019). They observed that treating air-layers with IBA accelerate root appearance and improve root characteristics. They added that the response of air-layers to increasing IBA concentrations might be due to the activity of auxin and the accumulation of rooting co-factors at cambial region above the girdled portion. Also, enhancement of auxin concentration in the cell that may be adequate for initiating root primordia early by increasing cell division that further speed up callus formation. The root length determines the ability of the plant to adapt to stress conditions, because longer roots have been associated with high efficiency to assimilate water and nutrients from the soil (Ruiz-Sánchez et al., 2005).
Fig. 1. Main and interaction effects of air-layered branch length, IBA and/or *B. subtilis*.
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TABLE 1. Percentage of rooted layers in *C. erectus* as affected by length of air-layering and IBA in combined with *Bacillus subtilis* during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments | Shoot height (cm) | 1<sup>st</sup> season (2017) | 2<sup>nd</sup> season (2018) |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|            | 275 | 150 | Mean | 275 | 150 | Mean |
| Control (water) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 95.00 | 100.00 | 97.50 |
| IBA (200 ppm) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| B. *subtilis* (5 ml) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| B. *subtilis* (10 ml) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| IBA (200) + B. *subtilis* (5 ml) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| IBA (200) + B. *subtilis* (10 ml) | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| Mean | 100.00 | 100.00 | 99.20 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

LSD at 0.05

| Shoot height | NS | NS |
| Application treatments | NS | NS |
| Interaction | NS | NS |

TABLE 2. Root number per rooted layer of *C. erectus* as affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and *B. subtilis* during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments | Shoot length (cm) | 1<sup>st</sup> season (2017) | 2<sup>nd</sup> season (2018) |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|            | 275 | 150 | Mean | 275 | 150 | Mean |
| Control (water) | 13.33 | 33.33 | 23.33 | 14.00 | 34.00 | 23.99 |
| IBA (200 ppm) | 15.00 | 34.67 | 24.84 | 15.83 | 35.02 | 25.42 |
| B. *subtilis* (5 ml) | 31.00 | 31.67 | 31.36 | 32.55 | 33.89 | 33.21 |
| B. *subtilis* (10 ml) | 54.00 | 33.33 | 43.67 | 56.66 | 36.66 | 46.66 |
| IBA (200) + B. *subtilis* (5 ml) | 46.33 | 31.00 | 48.17 | 43.67 | 53.00 | 58.66 |
| IBA (200) + B. *subtilis* (10 ml) | 66.00 | 33.33 | 54.83 | 59.72 | 57.60 | 58.66 |
| Mean | 35.99 | 39.39 | 37.89 | 41.69 | 41.69 | 41.69 |

LSD at 0.05

| Shoot length | 0.48 | 0.47 |
| Application treatments | 1.53 | 1.63 |
| Interaction | 2.17 | 2.29 |

TABLE 3. Root length (cm) per rooted layer of *C. erectus* as affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and *B. subtilis* during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments | Shoot height (cm) | 1<sup>st</sup> season (2017) | 2<sup>nd</sup> season (2018) |
|------------|------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
|            | 275 | 150 | Mean | 275 | 150 | Mean |
| Control (water) | 20.33 | 18.67 | 19.50 | 21.35 | 19.04 | 20.20 |
| IBA (200 ppm) | 21.00 | 18.00 | 19.50 | 22.16 | 18.18 | 20.17 |
| B. *subtilis* (5 ml) | 22.33 | 24.33 | 23.33 | 23.45 | 26.40 | 24.74 |
| B. *subtilis* (10 ml) | 15.00 | 23.33 | 19.16 | 15.74 | 25.67 | 20.70 |
| IBA (200) + B. *subtilis* (5 ml) | 15.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 15.75 | 26.50 | 21.13 |
| IBA (200) + B. *subtilis* (10 ml) | 14.67 | 19.67 | 17.17 | 15.55 | 21.24 | 18.40 |
| Mean | 18.06 | 21.50 | 18.99 | 22.78 | 22.78 | 22.78 |

LSD at 0.05

| Shoot length | 1.04 | 1.12 |
| Application treatments | 1.35 | 1.43 |
| Interaction | 1.91 | 2.02 |
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TABLE 4. Root volume (cm³) per rooted layer of _C. erectus_ as affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and _B. subtilis_ during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments                      | Shoot height (cm) | 1st season (2017) | 2nd season (2018) | Mean    | 1st season (2017) | 2nd season (2018) | Mean    |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Control (water)                 |                   | 29.00             | 30.45             | 29.58   | 30.02             |                   |         |
| IBA (200 ppm)                   |                   | 31.33             | 34.65             | 34.80   | 33.50             | 34.65             | 34.13   |
| _B. subtilis_ (5 ml)            |                   | 33.00             | 34.67             | 34.33   | 35.33             | 35.33             | 35.33   |
| _B. subtilis_ (10 ml)           |                   | 52.00             | 54.55             | 53.25   | 53.84             | 53.84             | 53.84   |
| IBA (200) + _B. subtilis_ (5 ml)|                   | 62.33             | 65.45             | 63.89   | 65.83             | 65.83             | 65.83   |
| IBA (200) + _B. subtilis_ (10 ml)|                  | 83.33             | 88.33             | 85.83   | 88.33             | 88.33             | 88.33   |
| Mean                            |                   | 48.50             | 51.08             | 49.80   | 51.08             | 51.08             | 51.08   |

**LSD at 0.05**

- Shoot length: 1.24, 1.33
- Application treatments: 1.43, 1.51
- Interaction: 2.03, 2.13

TABLE 5. Root fresh weight (g/air-layer) of _C. erectus_ as affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and _B. subtilis_ during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments                      | Shoot height (cm) | 1st season (2017) | 2nd season (2018) | Mean    | 1st season (2017) | 2nd season (2018) | Mean    |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Control (water)                 |                   | 20.67             | 24.84             | 23.25   | 21.70             | 24.84             | 23.25   |
| IBA (200 ppm)                   |                   | 22.33             | 29.50             | 25.90   | 26.33             | 29.50             | 26.50   |
| _B. subtilis_ (5 ml)            |                   | 22.67             | 27.00             | 24.80   | 27.33             | 27.00             | 26.56   |
| _B. subtilis_ (10 ml)           |                   | 58.33             | 47.17             | 53.24   | 61.19             | 53.17             | 57.16   |
| IBA (200) + _B. subtilis_ (5 ml)|                   | 62.00             | 65.10             | 63.55   | 61.83             | 65.10             | 63.47   |
| IBA (200) + _B. subtilis_ (10 ml)|                  | 78.00             | 82.68             | 80.34   | 71.64             | 82.68             | 77.16   |
| Mean                            |                   | 44.00             | 46.33             | 45.17   |                   |                   |         |

**LSD at 0.05**

- Shoot length: 0.75, 0.01
- Application treatments: 1.26, 0.03
- Interaction: 1.78, 0.04

TABLE 6. Root dry weight (g/air-layer) of _C. erectus_ as affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and _B. subtilis_ during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments                      | Shoot height (cm) | 1st season (2017) | 2nd season (2018) | Mean    | 1st season (2017) | 2nd season (2018) | Mean    |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Control (water)                 |                   | 4.90              | 5.15              | 4.71    | 4.44              | 4.71              | 4.55    |
| IBA (200 ppm)                   |                   | 5.00              | 5.28              | 5.14    | 4.11              | 5.14              | 5.11    |
| _B. subtilis_ (5 ml)            |                   | 5.64              | 5.92              | 5.78    | 4.75              | 5.78              | 5.78    |
| _B. subtilis_ (10 ml)           |                   | 9.92              | 10.41             | 10.17   | 8.06              | 10.17             | 9.14    |
| IBA (200) + _B. subtilis_ (5 ml)|                   | 11.43             | 12.00             | 11.70   | 10.67             | 11.70             | 11.15   |
| IBA (200) + _B. subtilis_ (10 ml)|                  | 12.28             | 13.01             | 12.65   | 13.50             | 12.65             | 13.00   |
| Mean                            |                   | 8.19              | 8.63              | 8.39    |                   |                   |         |

**LSD at 0.05**

- Shoot length: 0.94, 0.97
- Application treatments: NS, NS
- Interaction: 1.09, 1.15
Survival percentage

Data presented in Table 7 show that the best treatments which enhanced survival percentage of successfully rooted air-layers. Generally, treating short or long air-layers with any of IBA (200 ppm) and *B. subtilis* (10 ml), IBA (200 ppm) and *B. subtilis* (5 ml) or *B. subtilis* (10 ml) alone produced the best survival percentages (100%) in both seasons comparing to untreated layers (control). Induction and formation of adventitious roots is a complicated process which depends on several factors including endogenous hormonal level, concentration of carbohydrates and presence of dormant buds (Smart et al., 2003). According to Hartmann et al. (2010), development of adventitious roots passes through a similar process in both cuttings and air layers where roots are developed from the young tissue of the phloem, vascular rays, vascular cambium or callus formed at cutting bases. It should be emphasized that the root characteristics such as volume and length shown by layers is of great importance in the air-layering propagation since they are associated with increasing survivability in field conditions. According to Franco et al. (2005), the improving root volume is necessary to ensure successful installation of the orchard, as it increases the rate of fixation and survival of plants in the field.

Total carbohydrates and phenols content

Data presented in Tables 8 and 9 show that all treatments significantly increased content of total carbohydrates and phenols in layered shoots tissues compared to untreated layers. The highest contents of total carbohydrates (27.15 and 29.32%) and phenols (48.53 and 52.41 mg Gallic acid equivalent/ g DW) were obtained by treating the shorter air-layers (150 cm) with 200 ppm IBA + *B. subtilis* (10 ml) during both seasons, respectively. Carbohydrates are source of carbon and energy for the biosynthesis of nucleic acids and proteins, and other substances essential to the formation of roots (Lima et al. 2011). One should take into account the fact that the layering need to be integrally connected to the mother plant for all rooting time and that their behavior reflects what happens in the various phases. The increment in polyphenolic compounds produced due to the treatment of IBA plus *B. subtilis* has a direct effect in preventing auxin oxidation and subsequently improving rootability and root characteristics (Mitchell et al., 1986 and Scagel and Linderman, 1998). Also, this effect might be due to that the fact that di- and ployphenol can increase root growth as polyphenol oxidase can induce second -OH group in to the ring of a monophenol (Goodwin, 1976) and convert it into diphenol, which in turn induces better rooting.

**TABLE 7.** Survival percentage of new produced plants after 3 months from transplanting of *C. erectus* affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and *B. subtilis* during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments                      | Shoot height (cm) | 1st season (2017) | 2nd season (2018) | Mean | 1st season (2017) | 2nd season (2018) | Mean |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
|                                |                   | 275               | 150               | Mean | 275               | 150               | Mean |
| Control (water)                |                   | 65                | 80                | 72.50| 90                | 80                | 81.00|
| IBA (200 ppm)                  |                   | 90                | 92                | 91   | 100               | 92                | 97.50|
| *B. subtilis* (5 ml)           |                   | 95                | 98                | 96.50| 92                | 97                | 94.00|
| *B. subtilis* (10 ml)          |                   | 100               | 100               | 100  | 100               | 100               | 100  |
| IBA (200) + *B. subtilis* (5 ml)|                   | 100               | 100               | 100  | 100               | 100               | 100  |
| IBA (200) + *B. subtilis* (10 ml)|                  | 100               | 100               | 100  | 100               | 100               | 100  |
| Mean                           |                   | 91.67             | 95.00             | 94.00| 97.00             |                   |      |
| **LSD at 0.05**                |                   |                   |                   |      |                   |                   |      |
| Shoot length                   |                   | 1.24              |                   |      | 0.83              |                   |      |
| Application treatments         |                   | 2.41              |                   |      | 1.03              |                   |      |
| Interaction                    |                   | 3.41              |                   |      | 1.46              |                   |      |
Phenolic compounds are shown to interact with proteins leading to altered metabolism which form roots in air-layers (Kefeli and Kutacek, 1976).

The current experiment proved that root formation of buttonwood air-layers could be improved by the application of IBA and/or B. subtilis. The treatment of IBA (200 ppm) + bacillus (10 ml) resulted in the highest values of rooting characteristics; root number, root length, root volume, root fresh weight and root dry weight in addition to survival %, carbohydrates % and total phenolics. The positive correlation among most of these characteristics as indicated in Table 10 could explain the enhancement in overall root formation obtained by the IBA (200 ppm) and Bacillus (10 ml) treatment. For example root number, as an important characteristic of C. erectus air-layers, is positively and significantly correlated with all rooting and survival characteristics in addition to total carbohydrates and phenolics.

### TABLE 8. Total carbohydrates (%) of C. erectus as affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and B. subtilis during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments                        | Shoot height (cm) |       |       |       |       |       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                   | 1st season (2017) |       |       |       |       |       |
|                                   | 275               | 150   | Mean  | 275   | 150   | Mean  |
| Control (water)                   | 24.28             | 24.16 | 24.22 | 25.49 | 24.64 | 25.07 |
| IBA (200 ppm)                     | 25.15             | 25.44 | 25.29 | 26.53 | 25.69 | 26.11 |
| B. subtilis (5 ml)                | 25.19             | 25.18 | 25.19 | 26.45 | 26.94 | 26.70 |
| B. subtilis (10 ml)               | 25.36             | 25.67 | 25.52 | 26.61 | 28.24 | 27.42 |
| IBA (200) + B. subtilis (5 ml)    | 25.48             | 26.82 | 26.15 | 26.75 | 28.43 | 27.59 |
| IBA (200) + B. subtilis (10 ml)   | 25.93             | 27.15 | 26.54 | 27.49 | 29.32 | 28.40 |
| Mean                              | 25.23             | 25.74 |       | 26.55 |       | 27.21 |
| LSD at 0.05                       |                   |       |       |       |       |       |
| Shoot length                      | 0.28              |       |       | 0.30  |       |       |
| Application treatments            | 0.64              |       |       | 0.66  |       |       |
| Interaction                       | NS                |       |       | 0.94  |       |       |

### TABLE 9. Total phenolics (mg GAE/ g DW) of C. erectus as affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and B. subtilis during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Treatments                        | Shoot height (cm) |       |       |       |       |       |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                   | 1st season (2017) |       |       |       |       |       |
|                                   | 275               | 150   | Mean  | 275   | 150   | Mean  |
| Control (water)                   | 22.73             | 24.78 | 23.75 | 23.86 | 25.27 | 24.57 |
| IBA (200 ppm)                     | 25.87             | 28.84 | 27.36 | 27.29 | 29.13 | 28.21 |
| B. subtilis (5 ml)                | 31.03             | 35.31 | 33.17 | 32.58 | 37.78 | 35.18 |
| B. subtilis (10 ml)               | 37.10             | 44.04 | 40.57 | 38.92 | 48.44 | 43.68 |
| IBA (200) + B. subtilis (5 ml)    | 32.78             | 36.90 | 34.84 | 34.42 | 39.11 | 36.77 |
| IBA (200) + B. subtilis (10 ml)   | 38.14             | 48.53 | 43.36 | 40.43 | 52.41 | 46.42 |
| Mean                              | 31.28             | 36.40 |       | 32.92 |       | 38.69 |
| LSD at 0.05                       |                   |       |       |       |       |       |
| Shoot length                      | 2.57              |       |       | 2.71  |       |       |
| Application treatments            | 1.74              |       |       | 1.84  |       |       |
| Interaction                       | 2.47              |       |       | 2.61  |       |       |
TABLE 10. Correlation coefficients matrix (r) of rooting characteristics of *C. erectus* as affected by length of air-layers and the combined treatment of IBA and *B. subtilis* during the 2017 and 2018 seasons.

| Characteristics          | Season  | Root % | Root number/plant | Root length/plant | Root volume/plant | Root fw/plant | Root dw/plant | Survival % | Total carbohydrate |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------------|
| Root number/plant       | 1<sup>st</sup> | 0.53** | -                 | -                 | -                 | -             | -             | -           | -                 |
|                         | 2<sup>nd</sup> | 0.52** | -                 | -                 | -                 | -             | -             | -           | -                 |
| Root length/plant       | 1<sup>st</sup> | -0.05  | -0.41*            | -                 | -                 | -             | -             | -           | -                 |
|                         | 2<sup>nd</sup> | -0.02  | -0.34*            | -                 | -                 | -             | -             | -           | -                 |
| Root volume/plant       | 1<sup>st</sup> | 0.35*  | 0.86**            | -0.33             | -                 | -             | -             | -           | -                 |
|                         | 2<sup>nd</sup> | 0.34*  | 0.87**            | -0.25             | -                 | -             | -             | -           | -                 |
| Root fw/plant           | 1<sup>st</sup> | 0.36*  | 0.93**            | -0.49**           | 0.95**            | -             | -             | -           | -                 |
|                         | 2<sup>nd</sup> | 0.36*  | 0.93**            | -0.42*            | 0.95**            | -             | -             | -           | -                 |
| Root dw/plant           | 1<sup>st</sup> | 0.30   | 0.85**            | -0.47**           | 0.93**            | 0.94**        | -             | -           | -                 |
|                         | 2<sup>nd</sup> | 0.29   | 0.85**            | -0.39*            | 0.94**            | 0.94**        | -             | -           | -                 |
| Survival %              | 1<sup>st</sup> | 0.82** | 0.71**            | -0.02             | 0.63**            | 0.62**        | 0.59**        | -           | -                 |
|                         | 2<sup>nd</sup> | 0.88** | 0.63**            | -0.04             | 0.57**            | 0.57**        | 0.53**        | -           | -                 |
| Total carbohydrate      | 1<sup>st</sup> | 0.39** | 0.62**            | 0.11              | 0.75**            | 0.63**        | 0.69**        | 0.67**      | -                 |
|                         | 2<sup>nd</sup> | 0.31   | 0.56**            | 0.32              | 0.71**            | 0.59**        | 0.63**        | 0.57**      | -                 |
| Total phenolics         | 1<sup>st</sup> | 0.44** | 0.69**            | 0.05              | 0.71**            | 0.64**        | 0.64**        | 0.74**      | 0.74**            |
|                         | 2<sup>nd</sup> | 0.41*  | 0.69**            | 0.17              | 0.72**            | 0.64**        | 0.65**        | 0.61**      | 0.86**            |

* Significant at p≤0.05, ** Significant at p≤0.01

**Conclusion**

The layering in buttonwood, when prepared from the 150 cm length branches provide the best results of rooting, verified by the high percentage of rooted layers, as well as by higher mass, length, number and volume of roots compared with 275 cm length ones. IBA combined with *B. subtilis* (10 ml) had the best results of rooting during both seasons, compared to the other treatments and control. However, when the shorter layers of buttonwood was treated with IBA in combined with *B. subtilis* (10 ml), high quality of rooting was obtained.
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Investigation of high quality air-layers from *Conocarpus erectus* L.

By Mansour Mohamed Elshafieh

Section of Horticulture and Landscape Design - Faculty of Agriculture - Asyut University - Asyut - Egypt.

The current study was conducted to evaluate the effect of the difference in the length of the branches used in air layering and the application of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) alone or in combination with bacteria *B. subtilis* on the rooting behavior of *Conocarpus erectus*. The experiment included two lengths of air layering (100 or 150 cm) and a combination of 200 million/bacterial suspension and IAA. The results of the investigation showed that the best results were obtained when using IAA in combination with bacteria *B. subtilis* as follows: the highest percentage of rooting was 86.46%, the largest number of roots per layer was 26.50 cm, the longest root per layer was 58.56 cm, the greatest root dry weight was 82.28 g, the greatest root fresh weight was 31.2 g, the highest gallic acid content was 28.40%, and the highest total carbohydrate content was 100%. We conclude that air layering using IAA in combination with bacteria *B. subtilis* is more effective than all other treatments in terms of root formation and growth. The application of IAA alone or in combination with bacteria *B. subtilis* showed a statistically significant advantage over all other treatments.