Involvement of Androgen Receptor in Sex Determination in an Amphibian Species
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Abstract

In mice and humans, the androgen receptor (AR) gene, located on the X chromosome, is not known to be involved in sex determination. In the Japanese frog Rana rugosa the AR is located on the sex chromosomes (X, Y, Z and W). Phylogenetic analysis shows that the AR on the X chromosome (X-AR) of the Korean R. rugosa is basal and segregates into two clusters: one containing W-AR of Japanese R. rugosa, the other containing Y-AR. AR expression is twice as high in ZZ (male) compared to ZW (female) embryos in which the W-AR is barely expressed. Higher AR-expression may be associated with male sex determination in this species. To examine whether the Z-AR is involved in sex determination in R. rugosa, we produced transgenic (Tg) frogs carrying an exogenous Z-AR. Analysis of ZW Tg frogs revealed development of masculinized gonads or ‘ovotestes’. Expression of CYP17 and Dmr11, genes known to be activated during normal male gonadal development, were up-regulated in the ZW ovotestis. Testosterone, supplied to the rearing water, completed the female-to-male sex-reversal in the AR-Tg ZW frogs. Here we report that Z-AR is involved in male sex-determination in an amphibian species.
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Introduction

Sex is genetically determined in most vertebrates. As in other vertebrate species, heterogametic sex chromosomes in amphibians determine the male (XX/XY) or female (ZZ/ZW) fate [1,2]. The Japanese frog R. rugosa (2n = 26) has two sex-determining systems within one species [3]. Frogs living in eastern, western and central Japan have the XY system, whereas those in northern Japan have the ZW system (Fig. 1A). Furthermore, frogs living in northern and central Japan have heteromorphic sex chromosomes, whereas those living in eastern and western Japan are homomorphic (Fig. 1A). The ancestral or basal-type sex chromosomes of the Japanese R. rugosa are found in the Korean R. rugosa [4]. It has been proposed that the XY and ZW sex chromosomes of R. rugosa evolved through two independent inversions on chromosome 7 [5,6]. However, a sex-determining gene has not yet been found in R. rugosa.

Androgens exert a variety of effects in target tissues such as male reproductive organs, brain and skeletal tissues. Androgenic effects are mediated by tissue-specific transcriptional control of target genes via nuclear androgen receptor (AR) [7]. In AR-knockout mice [8,9], males have a female-like appearance and body weight, but the sex remains male; the testes become smaller but spermatogenesis is observed, although arrested predominantly at the diplotene stage of meiosis. Female-to-male sex-reversal is not observed in AR knock-in mice [10]. Seemingly, therefore, the AR does not participate in sex determination in mice. The Sry on the Y chromosome is recognized as the master genetic determinant of male fate in this species [11].

In R. rugosa the AR gene is located on the sex (X, Y, Z and W) chromosomes and reportedly on the inverted region of the Y and W chromosomes [12,13]. Structural rearrangements such as inversions, deletions and translocations are known to induce degradation of native genes by accumulation of deleterious mutations [14]. Thus, it is likely that the AR gene is in the process of evolutionary degradation from lack of recombination between the inverted and non-inverted regions of the sex chromosomes (X vs. Y, and Z vs. W). In addition, it has been proposed that degradation of the W-AR began just after or at the origin of the ZW sex-determining system in R. rugosa [15]. In fact, we previously reported that W-AR expression levels are extremely low in R. rugosa embryos, perhaps owing to promoter sequence variation and cognate transcription factor interaction between the W- and Z-AR promoters [16]. However, W-AR proteins can trans-activate androgen-dependent transcription when W-AR is transgenically expressed as demonstrated in reporter assays using Xenopus laevis kidney-derived A6 cells [16]. Thus, degradation of R. rugosa W-AR is still in an early phase. During sex determination, R. rugosa male gonads synthesize more androgens than females [17]. In addition, AR expression is up-regulated in the male gonad of R. rugosa tadpoles prior to sex determination [16]. These findings led us to speculate that the AR may be involved in male sex determination.
in this species. To test this hypothesis, we produced transgenic (Tg) frogs carrying an exogenous Z-AR driven by the promoter region of both Z-AR and EF1x genes. Strikingly, a subset of the Z-AR-Tg female (ZW) frogs formed composite gonads or "ovotestes". Here we report that the Z-AR is involved in male sex determination in a vertebrate species.

**Materials and Methods**

**Ethics Statement**

All the animal experiments in this study were performed in respect of the Fundamental Guidelines for Proper Conduct of Animal Experiment and Related Activities in Academic Research Institutions (Notice No. 71 of the Ministry of Education, Science, and Culture of Japan, 2006) and the Prevention of Cruelty to Animal Act (Notice No. 88 of the Ministry of the Environment of Japan, 2006). This included official approval from the Committee of Animal Experimentation of Waseda University (Permit Number: 2013-A005).

**Animals**

Female heterogametic (ZZ/ZW) R. rugosa frogs were used in this study. Unfertilized eggs were artificially ovulated and inseminated [16]. Fertilized eggs were dejellied with 2% (w/v) cysteine in 0.1xMMR (1xMMR: 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgSO4, 2 mM CaCl2, 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.6). The eggs were developed to tadpoles at various developmental stages and to frogs just after metamorphosis; they were staged by criteria described elsewhere [16]. The genetic sex of tadpoles was determined as described previously [16]. An AR gene tree was constructed using the UPGMA method [Genetex Mac version 14.0.9].

**AR-expression vector.** To construct the vectors for transgenesis we inserted the 2.3-kbp Z-AR cDNA (pink bar) and the promoter of Z-AR (blue arrow) into the p[1-SceI]DPCG construct (pARPAR, Fig. 2A) [18], or the R. rugosa EF1x (blue arrow) into the pT2AL200R150G (prtT2ARG). First, we amplified the Z-AR cDNA by PCR using a pair of primers specific for the nucleotide sequence of Z-AR cDNA: forward 5'-AAAAAGATATCATG-GAGGTGGAATTTGTGACT-3' and reverse 5'-GAGATGAAAG-GTGTCAAGTCTATAGAAAAA-3', and the R. rugosa Z-AR (DBJ) Accession No. AB491761 or EF1x (AB622986) promoter region. Then we inserted the Z-AR (-1,359 to -1) or EF1x (-1,695 to -1) promoter region ligated to the 2,312-bp Z-AR cDNA into the p[I-Sol]/DPCG vector between the SalI/SalI sites and the pT2AL200R150G vector [18] between the SalI/SalI sites, respectively. Each AR expression vector carried either the promoter of the AR gene or of EF1x. V5 (yellow bar) represents the small epitope tag polypeptides (GKPIPNPLLGLDST) of the promoter of the AR gene or of Xenopus tropicalis AR (NM001090884) as an outgroup. The Korean R. rugosa AR (AB910584) is basal. All other ARs segregate into two main clusters: the X of western (AB910586), Y of central (AB910589) and Z of northern and Sado island R. rugosa (AB910592); and the X of eastern (AB910585) and central (AB910588), and the W of northern Japan (AB910591).

**Involvement of AR in Male Sex Determination**

**Figure 1. Six geographic populations and phylogenetic tree.** (A) Six geographic populations differing in their morphology of the sex-determining chromosome. This figure, modified from Ref. [4–6], shows five local populations in Japan and one in Korea. Three local populations in Japan and one population in Korea have the XX/XY sex-determining system, whereas two populations have the ZZ/ZW. The four geographic populations in Japan are shown in different colors. Sado Island is located in northern Japan as indicated by a black arrow. The Z of northern, and X and Y of western Japan are subtelocentric. The W of northern and X of central Japan are metacentric, and the X and Y of Korea and eastern Japan are more subtelocentric. Pericentric inversions are indicated by a circle with an arrowhead. (B) Phylogenetic tree of the AR gene from different populations of R. rugosa. The tree was constructed by the UPGMA method, using Xenopus tropicalis AR (NM001090884) as an outgroup. The Korean R. rugosa AR (AB910584) is basal. All other ARs segregate into two main clusters: the X of western (AB910586), Y of central (AB910589) and Z of northern and Sado island R. rugosa (AB910592); and the X of eastern (AB910585) and central (AB910588), and the W of northern Japan (AB910591). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093655.g001

**Production of Tg frogs.** To produce Tg frogs, we used the I-Sol meganuclease [18] and transposon-mediated gene trap [19]. Fertilized eggs were injected with I-Sol meganuclease (NEB) and the I-Sol-cleaved plasmid encoding Z-AR and V5, and the TolII mRNA [19] and the prT2ARG plasmid encoding Z-AR and GFP, respectively, using a NANOJECT II injection apparatus (Drummond). Tg embryos were cultured in 0.1xMMR with 6% Ficoll PM400 (GE Healthcare) and 50 μg/ml gentamicin (Wako), developed to St. 20 at 18°C, and then transferred to water at room temperature. The tadpoles were continuously reared in water with or without T (50 ng/ml; 150 nM). To confirm the exogenous extension of 72°C. The nucleotide sequence of amplified DNA was determined as described previously [16]. An AR gene tree was constructed using the UPGMA method [Genetex Mac version 14.0.9].
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To examine integration into genomic DNA, we extracted DNA from the tail tip of all Tg frogs just after metamorphosis, using the AllPrep DNA/RNA Micro Kit (QIAGEN). The PCR primers used were: forward, 5’-GGGGATCGAGGAGGAGGTTA-3’ and reverse, 5’-CGAGACCGGAGGAGGTTA-3’.

Transgene expression in gonads. We employed PCR analysis to examine \( \xi AR \) expression in Tg ZW gonads. Total RNA was prepared from the gonads of Wt and Tg frogs just after metamorphosis using ISOGEN (NIPPON GENE) and cDNAs were synthesized [16]. The PCR reaction consisted of 4 min at 94°C, followed by 35 \( \xi AR/\xi 3 \), CYP17A1, and Dmrt1 or 25 cycles (GA3PDH) of 95°C (30 sec), 62°C (30 sec), and 72°C (1 min), ending with 7 min of extension at 72°C. DNA fragments for \( \xi AR/\xi 3 \) (260-bp), CYP17A1 (330 bp, AB284119), Dmrt1 (347-bp, AB272609) and GA3PDH (252-bp, AB284116) cDNAs were amplified by PCR using a set of primers for each respective template. Primer sequences are given in Table 1.

Genomic DNA manipulation

AR of eastern X, western X, northern Z, Sado Z and central Y

1st PCR

| Primer   | Sequence            |
|----------|---------------------|
| F        | 5’-CTTCACAACATGTCGCTCGT-3’ |
| R        | 5’-GGCTTGGCGAGAGAATAAG-3’ |

2nd PCR

| Primer   | Sequence            |
|----------|---------------------|
| F        | 5’-ATTCTCTCCTCTGGGGA-3’ |
| R        | 5’-CTTGTGCGCTCAGCCAACT-3’ |

AR of Korean X, northern W and central X

1st PCR

| Primer   | Sequence            |
|----------|---------------------|
| F        | 5’-CATAGGAGGCTCCCATCATG-3’ |
| R        | 5’-CATAGGAGGCTCCCATCATG-3’ |

2nd PCR

| Primer   | Sequence            |
|----------|---------------------|
| F        | 5’-CAATCTAGGGCGATACAC-3’ |
| R        | 5’-CTAAAGGCACCTCACGGTA-3’ |
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Immunohistology

Production of anti-AR and anti-CYP17 antibodies. For production of mouse antibodies, we designed two sets of primers. One pair amplified the \( \xi AR \) cDNA (AB372103) encoding polypeptide RIVISCKRNPASSSSRFQQL (AR20) corresponding to residues 695–714, and the other the CYP17A1 cDNA (AB284119) encoding polypeptide DEKEWVNPHLFNPDRFL-DENGNRVYS (CYP1726), corresponding to residues 409–434. The DNA fragments were inserted into the BamHI/XhoI sites of the pGEX-4T expression vector (GE Healthcare). The GST-AR20 and GST-CYP1726 expression cassettes were transfected into E. coli BL21. The transfected cells were sonicated in ice-cold water using an Ultrasonic Processor (TAITEC, model VP-ST). We purified GST-AR20 and GST-CYP1726 proteins according to the manufacturer’s protocol (GE Healthcare) and immunized 8-week-old BALB/c female mice three times with 50 \( \mu \)g of protein in complete Freund’s adjuvant (Wako) at 2-week intervals. Sera were collected and tested 5 days after the last booster injection and used for immunohistochemistry.

Western blot analysis. R. rugosa adult testes protein (30 \( \mu \)g) and molecular weight markers (BIO-RAD) were subjected to electrophoresis using a 10% polyacrylamide gel and 30 mA for 1 to 2 hrs [20]. Proteins were transferred to Amersham Hybond-P (GE Healthcare) at 25 V for 1.5 h using a Semi Dry transfer instrument (BIO CRAFT; BE 310). Membranes were blocked for 2 h at RT in 5% skim milk (w/v; Wako)/TBS-TX (TBS+0.1% Triton X-100). The antibodies against AR and CYP17 were used at a 1:1,000 dilution followed by anti-mouse goat IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Sigma-Aldrich) as a secondary antibody. Subsequently, the membrane was washed three times in TBS-TX for 10 min each time. The blot was then incubated in ECL Plus Western Blotting Detection Reagent for 5 min at RT. Signals were detected using an LAS-300 imager (FUJIFILM). Before immunohistochemical analysis, we validated the specificity of the CYP17 and AR antibodies by immunoblotting using a homogenate of R. rugosa adult testes.

Immunostaining. The primary antibodies against Vasa [20] and CYP17 were prepared in our laboratory and the anti-laminin antibody was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.
Gonads were surgically excised from Wt and Tg frogs just after metamorphosis, fixed and frozen as previously described [21]. Frozen tissues were cut at 8-μm thickness with a Leica cryostat (Leica, CM1850) and placed on glass slides. The sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with antibodies at 1:1,000 dilution. Following incubation with Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse secondary antibodies (Life Technologies), slides were counterstained with DAPI (4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; Life Technologies). Fluorescent signals were detected under fluorescence (OLYMPUS, model BX51) and confocal (OLYMPUS, model FV1000) microscopy. Sections were also counter-stained with Hematoxylin & Eosin and subjected to histological observations under a light microscope (OLYMPUS, model BX51).

Image acquisition and analysis
Images were scanned and adjusted for brightness and contrast by Adobe Photoshop CS2.

Results
Phylogeny of the AR gene
An ancestral type of the sex chromosomes of R. rugosa is found in the Korean R. rugosa [4]. To examine whether the W-AR gene was inherited from the ancestral type X-AR of the Korean R. rugosa, we constructed an AR gene tree using the UPGMA method [Genetyx Mac version 14.0.9], based on the nucleotide sequences of the promoter region of the AR in the 6 local populations. The X-AR in the Korean population appeared to be basal and segregated into two clusters: one contained the X-AR of western Japan, the Z-AR of northern Japan including Sado island and the Y-AR of the central population; the other contained the X-AR of eastern and central Japan and the W-AR of the northern population (Fig. 1B). The clusters coincided well with the morphology chromosome 7 of the sex chromosomes [5].

Histology of transgenic gonads
When Tg ZW embryos carrying an exogenous Z-AR driven by the Z-AR promoter (pARPAR, Fig. 2A) were developed into frogs just after metamorphosis, a subset of the Tg frogs developed masculinized gonads (Figs. 2Ba–c) in place of normal ovaries (Fig. 2Be). Based on macroscopic observations we classified the Tg gonads into three categories: Type 1, both right (RG) and left (LG) were approximately 50% smaller than the normal ovary and containing many somatic cells (dark cells, blue arrows) and fewer oocytes (red cells, black arrows) (Figs. 2Ba and h); Type 2, both gonads were 25% the size of normal ovaries with fewer oocytes (black arrows) and many somatic cells (blue arrows) (Figs. 2Bb and i); Type 3, the RG appeared macroscopically as a testis without any oocytes, while the LG was a normal ovary with many oocytes (black arrows) as well as somatic cells (blue arrows) (Figs. 2Bc and j). We observed 3 frogs carrying the type 3 Tg gonads showing lateral heterogeneity with the RG a testis-like gonad whereas the LG was a normal ovary (Fig. S1). The results collectively indicate that AR-transgenesis is associated with female-to-male sex-reversal in R. rugosa, although this reversal is incomplete in comparison to wild-type (Wt) testis. Wt female (ZW) and male (ZZ) frogs developed normal bilateral ovaries and testes, respectively (Figs. 2Bl and g). Many oocytes (black arrows) and somatic cells (blue arrow) were observed in the ZW ovary (Fig. 2Bl, insert), and many germ cells (black arrow) and somatic cells (blue arrow) were observed in the ZZ testis (Fig. 2Bn, insert). Tg ZW frogs carrying the exogenous AR developed testes like those in ZZ frogs when reared in water containing testosterone (T) (50 ng/ml; 145 nM) (Figs. 2Bk and n). Many germ cells were...
observed as indicated by black arrows (Fig. 2Bk). Similarly, Wt ZW frogs developed testes when ZW tadpoles were reared in water containing T (Fig. 2Bm).

To examine the internal structure of non-Tg and Tg gonads, tissue sections were immunostained for laminin, a marker of the basement membrane [21]. Laminin staining showed that the basement membranes surrounded many oocytes in the ovary (Fig. 2Bs) and the seminiferous tubules in the testis (Fig. 2Bu). However, the membranes became disrupted during sex-reversal. In the Type 1, 2 and 3 Tg gonads, basement membranes surrounding the oocytes in the ovary become disrupted during sex-reversal, an indication that the process of sex-reversal had been initiated (Figs. 2Bo, p and q; white arrow). Basement membranes were, however, found to surround the seminiferous tubules in the Tg and Wt T-induced ZW testes (Figs. 2Br and t), contrasting with the laminin structure of the female ZW ovary (Fig. 2Bs). The seminiferous tubules were organized in the Tg ZW testis (Fig. 2Br) than in the Wt ZW testis (Fig. 2Bt).

Analysis of transgenic frogs

We introduced an exogenous AR driven by the Z-AR promoter (pARPAR) into 450 fertilized eggs of R. rugosa. Two hundred and thirty injected eggs (51.1%) developed into tadpoles at St. I (limb buds are visible) and 222 tadpoles (49.3%) grew into frogs just after metamorphosis, of which 111 were characterized (Table 2). In the absence of T in the rearing water, 76 tadpoles metamorphosed into frogs. As expected, all 30 non-Tg male (ZZ) frogs developed testes and all 27 non-Tg (Wt) ZW tadpoles developed into female frogs, but 8 out of 19 AR-Tg ZW female frogs (42.1%) developed an intermediary gonad, a hybrid of testis and ovary, called ‘ovotestis’. These hybrid organs were classified into the three categories mentioned above (Types 1, 2 and 3).

When T was present in the rearing water, 35 tadpoles metamorphosed into frogs. All 14 of the Wt male (ZZ) frogs developed testes, as expected. All 12 of the Wt ZW frogs and all 9 of the AR-Tg ZW frogs formed testes.

In an alternative transgenic strategy, when we injected an exogenous AR driven by the R. rugosa EF1α promoter (prrT2ARG) into 200 fertilized eggs (Table 2), 76 (38.0%) developed into tadpoles at St. I, 71 (93.4%) of which grew into frogs just after metamorphosis. Of 15 Tg frogs analyzed just after metamorphosis 7 were male (ZZ) and 8 female (ZW). One out of the 7 Tg female (ZW) frogs formed ovotestes Type 1 (Table 2), thus confirming that AR transgenesis initiates sex-reversal in female R. rugosa frogs. All ZZ males developed testes.

Expression of the Z-AR transgene

We confirmed the genomic integration of the Z-AR/V5 gene driven by the promoter region of the Z-AR by PCR analysis in all the Tg ZW R. rugosa individuals tested (Fig. 3, top panel). Gene expression analysis revealed that the AR/V5 mRNA was transcribed in both Type 1 and Type 2 Tg gonads, as well as in the Tg right gonad (RG) of Type 3, but not the Tg left gonad (LG, i.e. similar to the Wt ZW ovary; Figs. 2c and e) (Fig. 3). No transcripts for AR/V5 were detectable in either Wt ZW ovary or Wt ZZ testis (Figs. 3, lanes 5 & 6 and 7 & 8, respectively). In addition, the Tg-ZW frogs not showing signs of masculinization in the gonads also expressed the AR. The Type 3 LG showed a little less expression of AR compared to that of the Type 3 RG (Fig. S2). However, we could not determine whether the difference in AR expression between the RG and LG was statistically significant, since the size of the RG tissues was too small for the requisite examination.

Table 2. Genotype and phenotype of transgenic frogs.

| Vector    | Karyotype | Transgene | Testosterone | Ovotestis |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-----------|
| pARPAR    | ZW        | +         | +            | +         |
| ZW        | ZZ        | -         | +            | +         |
| ZZ        | WZ        | -         | -            | -         |
| ZW        | ZZ        | +         | +            | +         |
| ZZ        | WZ        | -         | -            | -         |
| ZW        | ZZ        | +         | +            | +         |

Table 2. Genotype and phenotype of transgenic frogs.

Table: doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093655.t002
Figure 3. Z-AR/V5, CYP17 and Dmrt1 mRNA expression and Z-AR/V5 and AAT genomic analysis. Genomic DNA PCR amplification was performed for the transgene (genomic Z-AR/V5). The sex of each frog was determined by genomic amplification of the ATP/ADP translocase (AAT) gene as previously described [16]. RT-PCR analysis was used to detect Z-AR/V5, CYP17 and Dmrt1 mRNA in Wt and Tg gonads treated with (+) or without (−) T. Top panel, Z-AR/V5 integration into genomic DNA; 2nd panel, Z-AR/V5 expression; 3rd panel, CYP17 expression; 4th panel, Dmrt1 expression; bottom panel, AAT genetic sex determination of each frog.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093655.g003

Previously we have shown that CYP17 [22] and Dmrt1 [23,24] expression is increased in the XX sex-reversed gonad of R. rugosa. CYP17 encodes an enzyme responsible for the conversion of progesterone into androstenedione, while Dmrt1 is one of the genes controlling testicular differentiation in vertebrates [25]. When we examined the expression of these genes in the Tg ZW gonads, we found that CYP17 and Dmrt1 levels were elevated in both Type 1 and Type 2 masculinized Tg ZW gonads, as well as the Type 3 masculinized Tg RG but not in the non-masculinized Tg LG (Fig. 3, lanes 1–4). In the absence of exogenous T, the Wt ZW ovary did not transcribe detectable amounts of either CYP17 or Dmrt1 mRNA (Fig. 3, lane 5). However, the Wt ZW gonad did express both CYP17 and Dmrt1 following exposure to levels of T that induce sex-reversal (Fig. 3, lane 6). As expected, in the Wt ZZ testis, CYP17 and Dmrt1 were expressed regardless of T-treatment (Fig. 3, lanes 7 & 8). Therefore, exogenous AR can induce, in the genetically ZZ female gonads, a process that involves the up-regulation of genes known to be responsible for hormone conversion and testis formation during normal gonadal development in Wt ZZ male frogs.

Antibody production and Western blot analyses

We produced AR and CYP17 antibodies for detection of AR and CYP17 proteins expressed in the Tg gonad, and verified their specificity in Western blot analysis by using the homogonate of R. rugosa adult testes. The antibodies detected a single dominant band corresponding to CYP17 and AR with molecular weights of 56 (Fig. 4A-b) and 86 kDa (Fig. 4A-d), respectively, as indicated by black arrowheads. Panels (Figs. 4A-a and -c) show the distribution pattern of testicular proteins that were electrophoresed and stained with Coomassie Blue. To further demonstrate antibody specificity, frozen sections of adult testes were prepared for AR (Fig. 4B-a) and CYP17 (Fig. 4B-b) staining, and counter-staining with DAPI. The immunohistochemistry showed that AR-positive signals were localized to the nuclei of many interstitial cells and some germ cells of adult (Fig. 4B-a) and juvenile (Fig. 4B-c) testes as indicated by white arrows, while CYP17-positive signals were produced in the cytoplasm of many interstitial cells of adult (Fig. 4B-b) and juvenile (Fig. 4B-d) testes. The results were confirmed by magnified images of the area within a solid square in Fig. 4B. Thus, we deemed these antibodies suitable for immunohistological studies.

Immunohistology of Z-AR Tg gonads

Tissue sections of non-Tg and Tg gonads were immunostained for CYP17, AR, and Vasa. Vasa is a germ cell marker [20]. The AR antibody used recognizes both endogenous and exogenous AR, since the amino acid sequences of both are identical. In Type 1 Tg ZW gonads, we observed few oocytes and many Vasa-positive germ cells (Fig. 5A11, yellow and white arrows, respectively). In the Type 2 category, several Vasa-positive germ cells were observed (Fig. 5A12, white arrow). AR expression was detected in the nuclei of somatic cells in the testis of Type 2 Tg ZW gonads (Figs. 5A2 and 5Ba, arrows), while CYP17 was detected in the cytoplasm of the somatic cells (Figs. 5A7 and 5Bb, arrows). For Type 3, in the RG, oocytes were entirely absent (Figs. 5A3), and very few small, Vasa-positive germ cells were observed (Fig. 5A13, white arrows). Additionally, some Z-AR expression was observed in the peripheral region of this gonad, indicated by orange arrows (Fig. 5A3). In the Wt ZW ovary, many Vasa-positive oocytes were observed (Fig. 5A15), but no appreciable CYP17 expression was detected (Fig. 5A10). When Wt ZW female tadpoles were reared in water supplied with T, they formed testis expressing AR and CYP17 proteins (Fig. S3).

Co-expression of AR and GFP in the ovotestes

The Z-AR/GFP expression cassette driven by the promoter of the R. rugosa EF1a gene (prT2ARG, Fig. 6A) was injected into fertilized eggs that were allowed to develop into frogs just after metamorphosis. Subsequently, 7 males (ZZ) and 8 females (ZW) were analyzed immunohistologically for AR and GFP expression. All males developed testes regardless of Z-AR/GFP transgenesis. However, 1 out of 7 Tg female (ZW) frogs formed ovotestes (Table 2). Differential Interference Contrast (DIC) and fluorescence microscopy revealed fewer oocytes (O) in the Tg ovotestis (Fig. 6B-b). Many GFP fluorescence signals were observed directly in the ovotestis sections (Figs. 6B-c and d), and further confirmed by indirect immunostaining with an anti-GFP antibody (Fig. 6B-e, white arrows). AR expression was also found in the ovotestis when sections were stained with the AR antibody (Fig. 6B-c), with AR and GFP co-localizing (Fig. 6B-g, white arrows), indicating that the Z-AR/GFP transgene was translated to produce the AR/GFP fusion protein.

Discussion

In R. rugosa, the sex chromosomes of Korean frogs are basal [4]. Furthermore, the T- and W-AR of the Japanese R. rugosa appear to have evolved from the basal type X-AR of the Korean R. rugosa (Fig. 1B). The AR is located on the inverted region of the Y and W chromosomes of Japanese R. rugosa [12,13]. Expression levels of W-AR are extremely low in R. rugosa embryos compared with Z-AR [16]. However, there is no difference in AR expression levels between XX and YY embryos of Japanese R. rugosa [15]. Therefore, it may be concluded that W- and T-AR of Japanese R. rugosa evolved independently from the basal X-AR of Korean R. rugosa, and that W-AR of Japanese R. rugosa degraded more rapidly than X-, T-, or Z-AR during sex chromosome evolution. Based on these findings, we hypothesized that Z-AR came to play a role, perhaps a critical one given its sex hormone-signaling function, in male sex determination in ZZ/ZW R. rugosa.

X-AR is not required for sex determination in mice and humans [8–10]. However in R. rugosa this fact remains untested. We
reasoned that it would be possible to examine whether \( Z\text{-AR} \) is a critical gene for male sex determination in \( ZZ/ZW \) \( R. \text{rugosa} \) by analyzing female-to-male sex-reversal following induction of exogenous \( Z\text{-AR} \) into \( ZW \) embryos. Strikingly, a number of the \( AR\)-Tg \( ZW \) frogs developed varying degrees of masculinized gonad, which we have called ‘ovotestes’. The same phenomenon was reproducibly observed using another construct, a \( Z\text{-AR}/GFP \) expression vector driven by the 5' flanking region of the \( R. \text{rugosa} \) \( EF1\alpha \), introduced into \( R. \text{rugosa} \) fertilized eggs. A female (ZW) frog formed ovotestes co-expressing AR and GFP. Hence, it is reasonable to conclude that \( Z\text{-AR} \) has become a gene involved in sex determination in \( R. \text{rugosa} \) possessing the \( ZZ/ZW \) sex-determining system. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report showing that \( AR\)-transgenesis induces female-to-male sex-reversal in a species of vertebrate.

Figure 4. Western blot and immunohistochemical analyses. (A) Immunoblot analysis of adult testis homogenates with CYP17 and AR antibodies. Black arrowheads indicate a single dominant band corresponding to CYP17 and AR with molecular weights of 56 (b) and 86 kDa (d), respectively. Panels (a) and (c) show the distribution pattern of testicular proteins that were electrophoresed and stained with Coomassie Blue. (B) Localization of AR and CYP17 in the testes from adult (a, b) and juvenile (c, d) frogs. Frozen sections from adult and juvenile testes were stained for AR (a, c) and CYP17 (b, d), and counter-stained with DAPI, respectively. White arrows indicate AR-positive signals in the nuclei of many interstitial cells and some germ cells in (a, c), while CYP17-positive signals in the cytoplasm of many interstitial cells are also indicated by white arrows (b, d). Magnified images of the area within the solid square are shown in (a–d).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093655.g004

Figure 5. Immunohistology of ZW ovotestes. (A) Localization of AR, CYP17 and Vasa in the ovotestis frozen sections of Wt ZW ovary, and Type 1 to 3 ovotestes were stained for AR (1–5), CYP17 (6–10) and Vasa (11–15). A single oocyte and a small Vasa-positive cell are indicated by a yellow and white arrow, respectively. The orange arrows in (3) indicate AR-positive signals. (B) Localization of AR and CYP17 in the Type 2 ovotestis. Figures 2 and 7 in (A) are enlarged to (a) and (b) in (B), respectively. Frozen sections were stained immunohistologically for AR (a) and CYP17 (b), and counterstained with DAPI. Dashed lines indicate the borders of the gonads. AR- and CYP17-positive signals are indicated by white arrows in (a) and (b), respectively. Bar = 50 \( \mu \)m.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0093655.g005
Dmrt1 and CYP17 expression is enhanced in the XX sex-reversed gonad as well as in the normally differentiating testis of *R. rugosa* [23,24]. In mice, Dmrt1 expression is up-regulated during the late stages of male sex differentiation [26], while Tg overexpression of *Dmrt1* in XX tilapia fish results in partial to complete sex reversal [27]. Our results for *Dmrt1* expression in the ZW ovotestes are compatible with these previous findings. Furthermore, we found that the Type 3 Tg gonad, the masculinized part (the ovotestis) that expressed the exogenous AR also expressed Dmrt1 and CYP17, while the non-masculinized part (the ovary) did not express any of these 3 genes (Fig. 3, lanes 3 & 4). In addition, the type 3 ovary (LG) also expressed the AR, but showed a little lower expression of AR compared to that of the ovotestis (RG) (Fig. S2). However, it is not clear from the present study that the difference in AR expression levels between the RG and LG is statistically significant, since the size of the RG was too small to carry out the necessary analysis. However, these results suggest that the influence of AR expression on the process of male sex determination may be dosage-dependent, as perhaps might be expected. However, why exogenous AR was expressed in one gonad but not in the other of some Tg ZW frogs requires further investigation.

*ZW* female frogs can develop testes in the absence of Tg AR when T is supplied. In the ZW testis, Dmrt1 and CYP17 mRNA levels increase, as do the developing ZZ testis, indicating that T regulates *Dmrt1* and *CYP17* expression directly or indirectly through the AR. Threshold levels of T and AR in the ZW gonads required for sex determination have yet to be determined; amounts available may be insufficient to trans-activate cognate genes, or to initiate the gene cascade necessary for specification of the male fate. However, a ZW gonad would be expected to mature into testes if the combined levels of T and AR were sufficient for tests formation. In support of this is the finding that more androgens are synthesized in *R. rugosa* Wt ZZ gonads during sex determination than in Wt ZW gonads [17]. To determine what these androgen levels are and what dosage combination of T and AR can induce ovotestes and complete sex-reversal in genetically female frogs, further study is needed on Tg ZW gonads, a challenge made difficult by the size of tadpole gonads during sex determination. Nevertheless, it is clear from the present study that exogenous Z-AR can induce sex-reversal in ZW *R. rugosa*, suggesting that androgen and its receptor are involved in non-genotypically programmed male sex determination in this species, and moreover, this report provides evidence for the first time that evolutionary degradation of the W-AR has led to a critical role for the AR (Z-AR) in sex determination in a species of vertebrate.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1** Histology of the type 3 gonads. All 3 of the Type 3 Tg ZW gonads obtained in this study are shown (a, b and c). Dashed lines indicate the borders of the gonads. (TIF)

**Figure S2** AR expression in Wt ZW (+T) gonads. The PCR analysis was performed to examine Z-AR expression in Tg and Wt ZW gonads treated with (+) or without (−) T as described elsewhere [16]. Primers used for PCR analysis of Z-AR and *GA3PDH* expression are shown in Table 1. The sex of each frog was determined as previously described [16]. Upper and lower panels indicate Z-AR and *GA3PDH* mRNA level in the gonad, respectively. (TIF)

**Figure S3** Immunohistology of Wt ZW (+T) gonads. Frozen sections from the Wt ZW (+T) testis were stained with the antibodies of AR, CYP17, Vasa and laminin (Lam), and counterstained with DAPI and also HE. AR- and CYP-17 positive signals were observed in the Wt ZW testis treated with T. (TIF)
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