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Recent Advances in the Development of Vaccines for Diabetes, Hypertension, and Atherosclerosis

Kongye Lu,1 Benli Su,2 and Xiuxiang Meng1

1College of Laboratory Medicine, Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning 116044, China
2Department of Clinical Endocrinology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Dalian Medical University, Dalian, Liaoning 116027, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Benli Su; dlbenlisu@163.com and Xiuxiang Meng; xiuxiang_meng@sina.com

Received 25 May 2018; Accepted 13 September 2018; Published 24 September 2018

Academic Editor: Akira Sugawara

Copyright © 2018 Kongye Lu et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Vaccines are commonly used in the prevention of infectious diseases. The basic principle of vaccination is to use specific antigens, endogenous or exogenous to stimulate immunity against the specific antigens or cells producing them. Autoantigen or oligo vaccination has been used for disease animal models. More recently humanized monoclonal antibodies have been successfully used for the treatment of neoplastic disorders or familial hypercholesterolemia. Humanized monoclonal antibody therapy needs repeated injection, and the therapy is expensive. Therapeutic vaccination can lead to persistent immunized or immune tolerant against the therapeutic molecule(s) or site. However, immunization against those endogenous substances may also elicit persistent autoimmune reaction or destruction that do harm to health. Therefore, rigorous studies are needed before any clinical application. In this review, we briefly reviewed vaccines used in protection against common metabolic diseases including atherosclerosis, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus.

1. Introduction

Over the past decades, the lifespan of a human being increased significantly; however, affluence and aging-related metabolic diseases (diabetes, hypertension, dyslipidemia, atherosclerosis, etc.) increased too. Metabolic disease usually results from the abnormality of normal chemical processes. With advances in understanding the mechanism of these metabolic disorders, great progress has been made in finding new drugs to correct the disease pathophysiology. As metabolic diseases are always associated with an unhealthy lifestyle or in some are associated with hereditary abnormalities, lifelong medication is needed and frequently results in low medication compliance. Therefore, scientists have screened the sea of molecular targets in trying to correct the pathophysiological process in a new way. More recently, trials of a humanized monoantibody, inhibiting proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) given 4 times over a period of one month showed a significantly long-term effect in decreasing low-density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol and a significant decrease in atherosclerotic events [1]. However, monoclonal antibodies are expensive and require repeated injection. Therefore, the replacement of monoclonal antibody therapy by vaccines might be an excellent alternative. Vaccine is a special biological preparation that elicits the adaptive immunity to defend against specific antigens. Although vaccine was originally designed to prevent or ameliorate infectious disease, it could also be used as a useful tool to provide a long-term antibody by eliciting adaptive immune responses. Recently, the vaccination of metabolic disease has made a great progress, especially in the treatment of dyslipidemia, atherosclerosis, diabetes mellitus, and hypertension.

1.1. Atherosclerosis. Atherosclerosis is classically defined as a chronic inflammation elicited by the accumulation of LDL particles over the intima in medium-sized and large arteries. Approximately, cardiovascular events occur every 43 seconds and cause one-third death in the United States, and cardiovascular disease (CVD) now is the first killer of women [2, 3]. Since the 1980s, the role lipid metabolism played in the atherosclerosis pathogenesis has been greatly elaborated. Researchers find atherosclerosis is not only merely an aggregation of LDL but also complex processes of chronic inflammation [4]. Both innate immunity and adapted immunity are
evolved in this process. Although the details of the athero-
genesis are still not fully understood currently, but some pos-
tulations consider oxidative stress as the major cause [5].
Once LDL is deposited and accumulated in the subendothe-
lial space, it is converted to oxidized LDL (oxLDL) by reactive
oxygen species generated from normal metabolism [6].
oxLDL is one of the initiators of the formation of fatty
streaks, and it also accelerates the progression of atherosclerotic
lesion by inducing the expression of chemokines, adhesion
molecules, and the molecules involved including IL-1, TNF-
a, C-C motif, and CCL2 [7]. In long term, oxLDL can lead
to the apoptosis of endothelial and smooth muscle cells [8].

1.1.1. Vaccine Target at CD99. CD99 is a leukocyte mem-
brane protein that participates in the T cell activation, B cell
aggregation, and monocyte transmigration [9]. Vaccines
were developed by cloning the extracellular domain of
murine CD99. When administrated orally, in the GI tract,
genetic materials are transferred from a carrier to a host
phagocyte. The phagocyte then expresses CD99 de novo
in the cytosol and presents it on MHC molecules. By this
approach, a CD99-specific and CD8-mediated cytotoxic
response was successfully elicited. Atherosclerosis in aortic
valve leaflets and carotid artery were reduced by 38% and
69%, respectively [10].

1.1.2. Vaccine Target at VEGFR2. Vascular endothelial
growth factor receptor 2 (VEGFR2) is expressed on the sur-
face of the endothelial cells. Interacting with vascular endo-
thelial growth factor (VEGF), VEGFR2 activates NF-
kB inside the endothelial cells [11]. Activated NF-kB then leads
to the expression of adhesion molecules like VCAM1,
ICAM-1, and E-selectin, facilitating the adhesion of mono-
cyte to endothelial cells [12]. DNA vaccine target at VEGFR2
was constructed by an approach the same as CD99 vaccina-
tion; VEGFR2 vaccination resulted in 4.6-fold increased
cytolysis of VEGFR2-expressing cells by CD8+ T cells and
protection against the initiation of atherosclerosis. In addi-
tion, those vaccines reduced the progression of preexisting
advanced atherosclerotic lesions by 66% [13]. Phase I
and phase II clinical trials using combined vaccines con-
taining VEGFR2 against tumors have been conducted and
shown a promising antiangiogenic effect [14, 15].
No clinical trial studies aimed at preventing atherosclerosis
have been done yet.

1.1.3. Vaccine Target at PCSK9. PCSK9 is another potential
target. LDL-C interacts with LDL-R expressed on hepato-
cyes, and then LDL-C is endocytosed and degraded with
LDL-R recycled to a cell surface. In this way, lipid level is
reduced. However, PCSK9, a protein secreted by hepatic
cells, is a negative regulator that inhibits the endocytosis of
LDL-C and promotes the degradation of LDL-R. PCSK9
overexpression causes the upregulation of lipid level [16].
PCSK9-specific monoclonal antibodies including evolocu-
mub (Amgen), bococizumab (Pfizer), and alirocumab (Aven-
tis/Regeneron) have been approved to synergistically act
with statins to lower LDL levels approximately by 60% [17].
PCSK9Qβ-003 was found to be an ideal vaccine, showing
an excellent performance [18]. AT04A vaccine was found
to be another vaccine aimed at PCSK9 and exhibited a signif-
cicant reduction of plasma lipids, systemic and vascular
inflammation, and atherosclerotic lesions in the aorta in ani-
mal models [19].

1.1.4. Vaccine Target at Apolipoprotein. It is widely acknowl-
edged that LDL is a critical substance in the initiation and
progression of atherosclerosis. Oxidized or small size dense
LDLs lead to the activation of the intimal inflammation and
formation of foam cell [5]. ApoB-100 is the major compo-
nent of LDL; during the oxidation of LDL, it is degraded into
numerous peptide fragments [20]. Approximately 102 pep-
tides were found to be related to the immune responses in
pooled human serum [21]. Nilsson’s group is one of the most
active on this field. They determined which epitopes are the
products of the LDL oxidation [22]. Researchers have cur-
cently selected some effective candidates and developed cor-
responding vaccines. Among these candidates, p210 and
p45 were found to be effective epitopes. Immunization with
native p210 and p45 reduced atherosclerosis by 59% and
66%, respectively [23]. Vaccine aBp210, targeting at p210,
induced 37% reduction in the development of atherosclerosis
in immunized mice by activating T-regulatory cells (Tregs)
[24]. Regions between amino acids 45–76 and 12–35 of apo-
lipoprotein C-III were also found to be ideal sites. When
tested in patients, atherosclerotic lesions are reduced by aim-
ing at these sites [7].

1.1.5. Vaccine Target at Heat Shock Proteins. Heat shock pro-
teins (HSP) are promising candidates in antiatherosclerotic
vaccine development [25]. Human HSP60 shows similarity
with mycobacterial HSP65, and its atherogenic potential
has been proven by both experimental and clinical studies
[26, 27]. Under physical conditions, a human body is tolerant
with HSP60; antibodies against HSP60 accelerate and per-
petuate atherosclerosis [28]. An in silico analysis found that
HSP60 vaccination might induce strong Th2 immune
response in atherosclerosis [29]. HSP65-based vaccines
reduced atherosclerosis and cholesterol levels with an
increase in IL-10 level and decrease in IFN-γ level by intra-
nasal immunization approach [30].

1.1.6. Vaccine Target at β-2-Glycoprotein I. B-2-Glycoprotein
I (β-2-GPI) is a 50 kDa PLs-plasma glycoprotein which con-
sists of five homologous complement control protein
domains. Antiphospholipid antibodies (aPL) are the hall-
mark of antiphospholipid syndrome (APS) and St. Louis
encephalitis (SLE). And anti-β-2-GPI antibody is one of
aPL. Data suggests that the presence of anti-β-2-GPI is closely
associated with a prothrombotic state. In APS and
SLE patients, aPL contribute to oxidative stress and cause
vascular damage through the activation of immune cells
[31, 32]. Immunization of LDL-receptor-deficient mice with
β-2-GPI resulted in the acceleration of fatty streak formation;
the enhancement of the atherosclerotic lesions was further
substantiated in an apoE murine model [33]. By inducing
immune tolerance of β-2-GPI, early atherosclerotic lesion
formation was reduced and was postulated mediated by regulatory T cells (Tregs) [34].

1.1.7. Vaccine Target at CETP. Cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) was first reported in 1978; it is a hydrophobic glycoprotein that promotes the transfer of cholesterol ester (CE) from HDL to LDL and VLDL in the exchange of triglycerides (TGs) [35]. An animal study found that the average size of atherosclerotic plaques in rabbits was reduced about 45% when treated with a chimeric vaccine AnsB-TTP-CETPC and the average thickness was decreased too [36]. Serum HDL was increased, and LDL was decreased in CETP-vaccinated rabbits [37]. However, a phase I human trial with CETi-1 did not significantly change CETP function and HDL level [38]. The CETP pathway as an antiatherosclerotic site was questioned. Clinical trials using agents that inhibit CETP activity resulted in increased mortality [39–41]. But recently, the result of a REVEAL trial contrasts with it; the study shows that inhibition of CETP by treating statin-treated patients with anacetrapib reduces the risk of having an apparent insulin-resistant state with decompensated diabetes mellitus remains unknown, but recent studies have shown that enterovirus infections were implicated, in particular, by Coxsackievirus B (CVB) serotypes [45]. The exact contribution of Coxsackievirus B (CVB) serotypes in the pathogenesis of T1DM remains elusive. Stone et al. [46] constructed a CVB1 vaccine and tested its efficacy. The result showed a 100% protection from virus-induced diabetes, no loss of any insulin-producing β cells, and no pancreas destruction.

T1DM is an autoimmune disorder in which β cells are under attack of a body’s own T cells. Studies trying to control or alleviate this process have been carried for decades. GAD is a major target of the autoimmune response in T1DM. Randomized controlled clinical trials of a GAD+ alum vaccine in human participants revealed conflicting results so far [47–49]. A meta-analysis aimed at estimating the affectivity of GAD vaccines reported that there is 98% probability that 20 μg GAD with alum administered twice yields a positive biological effect, but to reach clinically desirable reductions, the biological effect should be developed further [50]. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccine is another vaccine that may induce the production of TNF to eliminate autoreactive T cells and result in the remission of insulin production. In the phase I randomized control trials in 2001 and 2010, BCG vaccine successfully reversed T1DM [51, 52]. A newly reported 8-year-long clinical trial of BCG vaccine shows long-term and stable reductions in blood sugar and epigenetic changes in Treg signature genes for restored tolerance in humans with advanced T1DM [53]. In addition, phase II clinical trials testing the efficacy of BCG vaccine have been approved by FDA [54]. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) also named as the CD26 lymphocyte marker was initially identified as a therapeutic target for T2DM [55]. But DPP4 inhibitors have shown many other benefits, for example, anti-inflammation [56]. And serum DPP4 activity increased in T1DM children [57]. These findings suggest that DPP4 may be used as a target for T1DM. Li et al. designed a vaccine, D41-IA2(5)-P2-1, which exhibited a significant control of hyperglycemia in NOD mice [58]. Coxsackievirus B (CVB) serotypes were implicated, in particular, by Coxsackievirus B (CVB) serotypes [45]. The exact contribution of Coxsackievirus B (CVB) serotypes in the pathogenesis of T1DM remains elusive. Stone et al. [46] constructed a CVB1 vaccine and tested its efficacy. The result showed a 100% protection from virus-induced diabetes, no loss of any insulin-producing β cells, and no pancreas destruction.

T1DM is an autoimmune disorder in which β cells are under attack of a body’s own T cells. Studies trying to control or alleviate this process have been carried for decades. GAD is a major target of the autoimmune response in T1DM. Randomized controlled clinical trials of a GAD+ alum vaccine in human participants revealed conflicting results so far [47–49]. A meta-analysis aimed at estimating the affectivity of GAD vaccines reported that there is 98% probability that 20 μg GAD with alum administered twice yields a positive biological effect, but to reach clinically desirable reductions, the biological effect should be developed further [50]. Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccine is another vaccine that may induce the production of TNF to eliminate autoreactive T cells and result in the remission of insulin production. In the phase I randomized control trials in 2001 and 2010, BCG vaccine successfully reversed T1DM [51, 52]. A newly reported 8-year-long clinical trial of BCG vaccine shows long-term and stable reductions in blood sugar and epigenetic changes in Treg signature genes for restored tolerance in humans with advanced T1DM [53]. In addition, phase II clinical trials testing the efficacy of BCG vaccine have been approved by FDA [54]. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) also named as the CD26 lymphocyte marker was initially identified as a therapeutic target for T2DM [55]. But DPP4 inhibitors have shown many other benefits, for example, anti-inflammation [56]. And serum DPP4 activity increased in T1DM children [57]. These findings suggest that DPP4 may be used as a target for T1DM. Li et al. designed a vaccine, D41-IA2(5)-P2-1, which exhibited a significant control of hyperglycemia in NOD mice [58]. Another chimeric vaccine named as U-IA-2(5)-P2-1 (UIP-1) was designed by Li et al. and tried in mice. It successfully increased insulin level and reduced blood glucose level after immunization [59]. P277 is a peptide derived from HSP60, vaccines based on this peptide were under phase III clinical trials, and the existing studies show it is a well-tolerated and effective vaccine in T1DM [60].

1.2. Diabetes Mellitus. Diabetes mellitus is a group of chronic metabolic diseases characterized by chronic hyperglycemia. The common forms of diabetes are type 1 diabetes mellitus (T1DM) and type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM). T1DM developed due to profound β cell destruction by autoimmune attacks against pancreatic β cell, whereas T2DM exhibits as an apparent insulin-resistant state with decompensated β cell function commonly due to an unhealthy lifestyle and overweight/obesity. In 2013, there are approximately 382 million patients suffering from diabetes; this number may increase up to 592 million by 2035 [43]. Autoimmune against pancreatic β cell involves autoimmune trigger(s), autoimmune establishment, inflammatory attack, destruction of β cell, β cell instinct regeneration, and perpetual destruction. Vaccines are designed for these processes to protect from trigger(s), to induce immunotolerance, to stop or ameliorate immune attack, and to promote β cell generation and tolerance to environmental or instinct insults. For T2DM, although a multiple genetic inheritance predisposition is established but is only accountable for about 15% for its development, environmental especially lifestyle factors account for 85% of its development. In between the affluence of food, lack of activity, and development type 2 diabetes, there are currently notified eleven pathological pathways [44], and β cell decompensation against insulin resistance is the key in diabetes development. Some are related to inflammatory enhancement against pancreatic β cell damage. Therefore, vaccines designed for the prevention or medication are related to these pathways.

1.2.1. Vaccines against T1DM. T1DM is developed because of the elimination of β cells by cytotoxic T cells. This process is carried mostly by autoimmunity, which makes it possible to treat it with vaccines. Autoimmunity against pancreatic β cell involves autoimmune trigger(s), autoimmune establishment, inflammatory attack, destruction of β cell, β cell instinct regeneration, and perpetual destruction. Vaccines are designed for these processes to protect from trigger(s), to induce immunotolerance, to stop or ameliorate immune attack, and to promote β cell generation and tolerance to environmental or instinct insults.

Although the very reasons for T1DM remain unknown, epidemiological studies have shown that enterovirus infections were implicated, in particular, by Coxsackievirus B (CVB) serotypes [45]. The exact contribution of Coxsackievirus B (CVB) serotypes in the pathogenesis of T1DM remains elusive. Stone et al. [46] constructed a CVB1 vaccine and tested its efficacy. The result showed a 100% protection from virus-induced diabetes, no loss of any insulin-producing β cells, and no pancreas destruction.

1.2.2. Vaccines against T2DM. The pathophysiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus remains unknown, but recent studies have strongly suggested obesity as a risk factor for T2DM [61]. According to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) “Standards of Medical Care in Diabetes,” obesity management can delay the progression from prediabetes to T2DM and may be beneficial in the treatment of T2DM [62]. Diet and physical exercise are the main ways to attain the obesity
management; however, lifestyle manifestation fails to continue lifelong for some patients; therefore, many patients consider antiobesity vaccines as an alternative choice. There are mainly 4 targets for obesity vaccines now, including adipose tissue antigens, somatostatin, glucose-dependent insulinothropic polypeptide (GIP), and ghrelin [63]. Among these vaccines, only adipose tissue antigens were tested on human. Cytokine IL-1β is a key proinflammatory substance in the pathogenesis of T2DM. In KK-A(y) mice, a vaccine consisting of an IL-1β epitope peptide exhibited reduced weight gain, improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity, and decreased β cell loss [64, 65]. In the further phase I/II clinical trials, vaccine HillbQb targeting IL-1β was found safe and well-tolerant [66]. DPP4 as mentioned above is an inhibitor of glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) glucose-dependent insulinotropic peptide (GLP). GLP-1 and GLP could regulate blood glucose level after a meal by stimulating insulin release, delaying gastrointestinal emptying, inducing satiety, decreasing glucagon release, and preserving weight gain, improved glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity in mice. Pang et al. designed another vaccine, D41-IP, aimed at DPP4. In a test in C57BL/6j mice, 15 min after glucose challenge, insulin level was significantly elevated, and 100% of mice survived compared to the control group [68]. No clinical trials about DPP4 vaccines have been done so far. Although the pathophysiology of T2DM is complex, in recent studies, the gut microbiome was considered to be related with many metabolic disorders including T2DM. The cytolyis of Gram-negative bacteria releases lipopolysaccharides (LPS) that induce proinflammatory cytokines and result in insulin resistance. It might be a possible target with further studies [69].

1.2.3. Vaccines for Prevention of Infections and Diabetic Complications. Patients suffering from diabetes are much more likely to develop infections due to their deranged immune system. Increasing evidence suggests infections including pneumococcal infections, influenza infections, and hepatitis infections [70–72]. A number of scientific organizations like the ADA, World Health Organization (WHO), and United Kingdom Guidelines have well-defined guidelines for vaccination in diabetes. Resulting from hyperglycemia, diabetes patients are likely to suffer from diabetic complications in their elder ages. ATRQβ-001 is a vaccine motioned above which was found functioning in the prevention of streptozotocin-induced diabetic nephropathy [73].

1.3. Hypertension. Hypertension is one of the chronic metabolic diseases. It may lead to severe consequences when failing to control blood pressure properly including stroke, heart failure, coronary heart, disease. Hypertension now is one of the most important risk factors of the onset of cardiovascular diseases [74]. However, the truth is the hypertension rate is on the rise in developing countries with no improvement in awareness or control rate when contrasted to developed countries. A systematic analysis of population-based studies from 135 populations from 968,419 adults in 90 countries reported a prevalence rate of hypertension in 2010 of 28.5% in high-income countries and 31.5% in low- and middle-income countries. Awareness, treatment, and control rate of hypertension were much lower in middle- and low-income countries than in high-income countries [75]. Another Prospective Urban Rural Epidemiology (PURE) study compared prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension in urban and rural communities in high-, middle-, and low-income countries showing similar results. The treatment rates and control rates in China were 22% and 5.3%, respectively [76]. With the collaboration of health authorities, medical societies, and drug industry, situations might gain some improvements. But a more effective way is developing a radical treatment. Around six decades ago, researchers began experimenting with vaccines to control hypertension. Due to the irreplaceable role renin-angiotensin system (RAAS) played during hypertension development, most researches were based on studies against RAAS. The vaccine candidates against hypertension, namely, ATR12181, pHAV-4Ang IIs, CYT006-AngQb, AngI-R, and ATRQβ-001, have shown promising results. A vaccine, CYT006-AngQb, has passed the initial phase and moved into phase 2 trials [77].

1.3.1. Renin. RAAS plays a vital part in the development of hypertension and blood pressure control. As an initiator of RAAS, renin plays an important part in hypertension. Since 1941, renin has been tested as a target to elicit immunity and to lower blood pressure [78]. However, early attempts to reduce blood pressure by vaccines against renin failed because of nephritis due to autoimmune issues [79]. Because renin is present in a substantial amount in the kidney, the development of renin vaccines was considered impossible during that time [80], whereas a new study tested six peptides derived from renin and reveals that antigenic peptide hR32 vaccine mimicking the ASP catalytic site of human renin shows low cross-reactivity and may be a novel target to develop renin vaccine [81]. But further clinical trials are required to confirm this finding.

1.3.2. Vaccine Target at Angiotensin II and Its Receptors. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor (ACEI) and angiotensin II receptor blocker (ARB) are choices of antihypertensive agents, especially in patients with diabetes. Angiotensin II and its receptors are also ideal targets for vaccines. A study aimed at evaluating the efficiency and safety of angiotensin II vaccines in mice indicates that angiotensin II was a predictable target [82]. In animal models of hypertension, vaccine ATRQβ-001 against hypertension II receptor type 1 decreased blood pressure effectively through inhibiting angiotensin II function [83]. An angiotensin II receptor (AT1) vaccine ATR12181 attenuated the development of high blood pressure in animal models, and this vaccine was safe and was able to protect target organs from hypertensive damage [84]. During a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled phase II clinical trial, immunization with CYT006-AngQb that targeted angiotensin II showed no severe adverse effect, which means it was safe and well tolerated. A 300μg dose reduced blood pressure in mild-to-moderate hypertensive patients during the
daytime, especially in the early morning [85]. However, further studies are still required to estimate the long-term safety and effectiveness. A novel DNA vaccine was constructed by plasmid carrying hepatitis B core-Ang II group; systolic blood pressure and mean blood pressure were successfully reduced in spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHRs) without T cell activation. In addition, perivascular fibrosis in the heart tissue was also significantly decreased [86].

1.3.3. Vaccine Target at Angiotensin I. Angiotensin is formed by the action of renin on angiotensinogen, and it is further cleaved by angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) to form angiotensin II. There were two major carriers for angiotensin I (AI) reported in 2003; one was tetanus toxoid (TT), and the other one was keyhole limpet haemocyanin (KLH). In a two-dose clinical trial, KLH showed a suitable alteration to TT as a carrier protein for AI, and conjugated vaccine AI-KLH resulted in a significant immune response to AI [87]. A subsequent double-blind, placebo-controlled phase I/II clinical trial of angiotensin I vaccine PMD3117 demonstrated it was safe and effective in immunogenicity in human beings. However, this vaccine did not decrease blood pressure in clinical trials [88]. The main reason was a feedback between angiotensin II and rennin. By modifying angiotensin I, a novel peptide Ang-R was created; activity of angiotensin I was removed with immunogenicity retained. Ang-R exhibited a capability to induce an immune response against both angiotensins I and II, resulting in the decrease in blood pressure in spontaneously hypertensive rats (SHRs) [89].

2. Conclusion

Metabolic diseases are prevalent currently due to maladaptation to modern food abundance and lifestyle, and their pathogenesis is complex. As the progress in the understanding of their pathogenesis, a sea of key substances has been found. Shortcomings of the current therapeutic paradigm were also notified, and a fresh new paradigm is needed. Vaccination might be once and for all a way of a therapeutic paradigm for metabolic diseases. Vaccines were designed, constructed, and assessed; some studies are promising. In addition, vaccines are much cheaper and more convenient than monoclonal antibodies. But there remain some critical problems. First, most of the vaccines were only tested on preclinical models and require further experiments. Second, the routes of administration varied a lot and affected the safety and stability of the vaccines. Third, adjuvants used in different vaccines influenced the results quite much; a desired adjuvant still needs further study. Lastly, but not the least, we all hope one administration could elicit immune response strong enough forever, but can these vaccines be reliable? If not, the schedule and durability can be a long and costly journey. No success is based on every problem well settled; the history of science is a tail of try. We shall deal with problems as we go forward. In conclusion, metabolic diseases are becoming the first noticeable disorder which threatens the health and longevity of human. Vaccines are powerful tools in this battle if used properly.
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