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Abstract

Early-life stress (ELS) is associated with a higher risk of psychopathologies in adulthood, such as depression, which may be related to persistent changes in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. This study aimed to evaluate the effects of ELS on the functioning of the HPA axis in clinical and experimental situations. Clinically, patients with current depressive episodes, with and without ELS, and healthy controls, composed the sample. Subjects took a capsule containing placebo, fludrocortisone, prednisolone, dexamethasone or spironolactone followed by an assessment of plasma cortisol the morning after. Experimentally, male Wistar rats were submitted to ELS protocol based on variable, unpredictable stressors from postnatal day (PND)1 to PND21. On PND68, animals were behaviorally evaluated through the forced-swimming test (FST). At PND68, pharmacological challenges started, using mifepristone, dexamethasone, spironolactone, or fludrocortisone, and corticosterone levels were determined 3 h after injections. Cortisol response of depressive patients did not differ significantly from healthy subjects, regardless of their ELS history, and it was lower after fludrocortisone, prednisolone, and dexamethasone compared with placebo, indicating suppression of plasma cortisol by all these treatments. Animals exposed to ELS presented altered behavior, as increased immobility time in the FST, but no significant long-lasting effects of ELS were observed on the HPA axis response. Limitations on the way the volunteers were sampled may have contributed to the lack of ELS effects on the HPA axis, pointing out the need for further research to understand these complex phenomena.
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Significance Statement

Early-life stress (ELS) is associated with a higher risk of psychopathologies in adulthood, including depression, which seems related to persistent changes in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. We evaluated the effects of ELS on the functioning of HPA axis combining clinical and experimental approaches, challenging glucocorticoid receptor (GR) and mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) receptors with agonists and antagonists. Cortisol response of depressive patients did not differ from healthy subjects, regardless of their ELS history. Animals submitted to ELS presented altered behavior, as increased immobility time in the forced-swimming test (FST), but no effects were observed in response to GR and MR challenges. It provides insights into the complexity of HPA axis-related mechanisms involved in the long-lasting consequences of ELS in both experimental animals and humans.
Introduction

Chronic or severe stress are known as a relevant factor in the development of psychopathologies (Kendler et al., 2002; Godoy et al., 2018a). Particularly, early-life stress (ELS), such as childhood neglect; parental deprivation; lack of basic care; physical, sexual, and psychological violence (Heim et al., 2000; Cohen et al., 2001; Martins-Monteverde et al., 2019), have been shown as risk factors for depression in adulthood (Cohen et al., 2001; Heim and Nemeroff, 2001; Kendler et al., 2002). ELS can affect the clinical course and worsen the treatment outcome (Tunnard et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2016; Duncko et al., 2019) and can be related to disturbed development of brain structures during this neurodevelopmental window (Anisman et al., 1998; Andersen, 2003; Murmu et al., 2006; Lupien et al., 2009; Krugers et al., 2017).

Clinical researchers are interested in understanding the mechanisms by which ELS might be linked to depression and whether they are consistent with neurobiological alterations found in animal models (Heim et al., 2008). Animal models of ELS are diverse, and common ones include chronic unpredictable stressors, maternal separation, early deprivation, and isolated rearing in rodents (Lyons et al., 2010; Kumar et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013; Loi et al., 2014; Godoy et al., 2018b), as well as models that lead to alteration in the pattern of maternal behavior, such as the limited bedding and nesting protocol (Rice et al., 2008; Molet et al., 2014; Walker et al., 2017). These animal models have provided clues about neural changes because of ELS, including sensitization of neuroendocrine, autonomic, and behavioral responses (Heim et al., 2008; Coley et al., 2019), many associated to abnormalities of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis (Ladd et al., 1999; Buschdorf and Meaney, 2016). Some authors have shown that chronically depressed patients with history of ELS are more likely to show HPA axis alterations, and characteristics such as age, re-exposure to stressors, and nature of the maltreatment are highly associated to the intensity and pattern of the HPA axis abnormality (Mello et al., 2007; Joëls and Baram, 2009).

Mineralocorticoid receptor (MR) and glucocorticoid receptor (GR) are shown to be altered in stress-related psychopathologies (Reul et al., 2000; Jurueña et al., 2006, 2010, 2013; Carvalho et al., 2008). Depressed patients commonly have non-suppression of the HPA axis or impaired feedback inhibition by dexamethasone, a synthetic glucocorticoid that binds preferentially to the GR (Newport et al., 2004; Heim et al., 2008; Tyrka et al., 2008; Carpenter et al., 2009; Klaassens et al., 2009), indicating reduced sensitivity to corticosteroids, particularly of GR (Jurueña et al., 2006, 2010; Contreras et al., 2007; Carvalho et al., 2008; Baes et al., 2012). Studies using different HPA axis suppression test, such as prednisolone, a synthetic glucocorticoid that binds both to the MR and the GR, have investigated the role of both MR and GR in depression. For example, in Jurueña et al. (2013), it was investigated the combined GR/MR stimulation with just prednisolone, prednisolone and spironolactone, and spironolactone alone, and authors found a lack of MR response in treatment-resistant depression, suggesting that there is an MR malfunctioning, as a downregulation, or even a pharmacokinetics or pharmacodynamics effect.

Both prednisolone and the dexamethasone tests suppress plasma and salivary cortisol levels in healthy subjects, but prednisolone seems to present greater suppression of the axis, mainly on salivary cortisol (Pariante et al., 2002). Jurueña and colleagues (Jurueña et al., 2006, 2009, 2010) found that depressed patients showed lesser cortisol suppression than controls after the dexamethasone test, but similar cortisol suppression after prednisolone. The usually preferred interpretation of these findings is that depressed patients (or, specifically, that sample of depressed patients) show a selective impairment of GR sensitivity, probed by DEX, whereas MR sensitivity, additionally probed by prednisolone, is mostly retained (Jurueña et al., 2006). Moreover, MR agonist and antagonist (fludrocortisone and spironolactone) have also been used as pharmacological challenges to assess especially the MR function.

Data are still controversial among studies. Lembke et al. (2013) demonstrated that depressive patients had higher levels of plasma cortisol and impairment of the HPA axis negative feedback in response to fludrocortisone. A different study found lower levels of plasma cortisol in depressive patients treated with fludrocortisone and escitalopram and that fludrocortisone accelerated the response to antidepressant treatment (Otte et al., 2010). Our previous results showed a positive correlation of the plasma cortisol levels after placebo and Childhood Trauma Questionnaire (CTQ) scores in the depressed patients and controls. Interestingly, there was a positive correlation between plasma cortisol and the severity of ELS in patients with ELS, and no correlation was found in patients without ELS and in controls (Baes et al., 2014). Since the characterization of the processes involving ELS and psychopathologies are still imprecise, we attempted to combine basic and clinical research to understand better the mechanisms underlying the link between
adverse experiences in childhood and depression. We evaluated the effects of ELS on the functioning of the HPA axis and the putative role of GR and MR in clinical depression and depressive-like experimental conditions.

Materials and Methods

Clinical study

Subjects
The Research Ethics Committee approved the clinical protocols of the General Clinical Hospital, at the Ribeirão Preto School of Medicine, University of São Paulo (Protocol 14324/2011). A total of 52 subjects, aged from 18 to 65 years, including 26 healthy controls and 26 patients with a diagnosis of current depressive episodes, including unipolar and bipolar depressive disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2014), participated in the study. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects. All patients were interviewed by a psychiatrist using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview (Sheehan et al., 1998) for confirmation of the diagnosis of depression. For assessment of the severity of depression, participants were interviewed using the 21-item GRID Hamilton Depression Rating Scale (Hamilton, 1960). Patients scored with at least 16 on the 21-item GRID-HAM-D were included, ensuring the inclusion of patients with moderate to severe clinical levels of depressive illness (Rush et al., 2006). For the positive history of ELS, depressed patients were divided into two groups. The first included those with ELS (n = 17, 65.4%), and the second included those without ELS (n = 9, 34.6%). The ELS group was assessed using the CTQ (Bernstein et al., 1994), a retrospective self-report questionnaire that investigates the history of abuse and neglect during childhood and can be applied to adolescents from 12 years to adults. Portuguese version was validated by Grassi-Oliveira et al. (2006, 2014). Patients assigned values of frequency to 28 assertive graduate issues related to childhood situations and items were rated on a Likert scale ranging from 1 (never) to 5 (very often). The scores ranged from 5 to 25 for each item and also contained a subscale of minimization/denial to identify individuals responding in a socially desirable manner. A cut point for ELS was determined when the stress experience happened before 18 years old and was at least moderate-severe or severe-extreme degrees according to CTQ.

It was not possible to test patients in a drug-free state. All 26 patients were taking medication during the assessment. The following situations were determined as exclusion criteria: patients hypersensitive to corticosteroids or steroid users, smokers with >25 cigarettes/d, viral illness during the preceding two weeks or significant physical illness (allergy, autoimmune disease, hypertension, hemolietic, endocrine, pulmonary, renal, hepatic, gastrointestinal, or neurologic conditions), and with a history of alcohol or drug abuse/dependence. They were also excluded pregnant or lactating women and patients with an intellectual disability or with psychosis unrelated to their depressive disorder or with organic cause for their depression.

The healthy controls were recruited from the hospital staff, students and the local community via public advertisements. The subjects of the control group were physically healthy individuals, as assessed by a complete medical history and examination; they were not taking any psychotropic medication and had no history of hypersensitivity to corticosteroids. Healthy individuals were excluded if they had a personal history of psychiatric disorder or a history of ELS.

Clinical protocol

The clinical study used a single-blind, non-randomized, placebo-controlled, repeated-measures design. Before each study day, the subjects were instructed to take one capsule (at 10 P.M.), containing placebo, or fludrocortisone (0.5 mg), or prednisolone (5 mg), or dexamethasone (0.5 mg), or spironolactone (400 mg), followed by an assessment of plasma cortisol on the next day. Each drug was administered with an interval of 48 h. No alcohol, coffee, tea or meals were allowed after each capsule. Subjects were instructed not to perform exercises or engage in stressful activities right after drug intake.

Cortisol assessment consisted of an analysis of one plasma sample collected at 9 A.M. (11 h after drug administration). Blood samples were analyzed at the Endocrinology Laboratory of the General Clinical Hospital, of Ribeirão Preto School of Medicine, University of São Paulo, by radioimmunoassay (Castro and Moreira, 2003).

Experimental study

Subjects

All the procedures were approved by the Animal Ethical Committee of the Ribeirão Preto School of Medicine of the University of São Paulo (Protocol 29/2016) and were all performed by the National Council for Animal Experimentation Control (CONCEA). Two-week pregnant female Wistar rats were acquired from the Vivarium of the University of São Paulo Campus of Ribeirão Preto, housed individually in acrylic cages (45 × 32 × 17 cm), with food and water ad libitum, maintained under controlled ventilation and temperature (25°C) under 12/12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7 A.M.). Rats were checked twice a day from the time they arrived at the animal facility of the Physiology Department until the day of birth [postnatal day (PND)0]. After birth, each litter was culled to eight pups, six males and two females, and litters were assigned in two groups: ELS group (ELS, n = 18 male pups), which were exposed to the ELS protocol described below, and control group (CTRL, n = 16 male pups) without stress exposure. The number of animals, as well as their suffering, was kept to the minimum necessary to achieve the objectives of the study.

Experimental protocol

ELS protocol started on PND1. The protocol consisted of daily exposure of the animals to multimodal stressors for 21 days (until PND21). The rats received one stressor per day, alternately, to avoid habituation to the stressors (Mineur et al., 2006; Godoy et al., 2018b), while animals from the control group were kept undisturbed in their home-cages all the time. The following stressors were applied. (1) Maternal and peer separation (Kumar et al.,...
2011; Zhang et al., 2013; Godoy et al., 2018b): animals were placed in clean cages covered with sawdust without visual or physical contact with their mother or siblings for 60 min. (2) Restraint and shaking (Godoy et al., 2018b): animals were placed in 50-ml Falcon tubes (until PND12) or acrylic restrainers (from PND12 to PND21) and then on top of a shaker at 30 rpm for 60 min. (3) Exposure to cold or acrylic restrainers (from PND12 to PND21) and then on animals were placed in 50-ml Falcon tubes (until PND12) 60 min. (2) Restraint and shaking (Godoy et al., 2018b): animals were taken to a cold chamber at 4°C for 10 min. At PND21, animals were weaned. From PND21 until PND60, there was no manipulation of any of the groups, except for regular cleaning of the cages, twice a week. Behavioral phenotyping using the forced-swimming test (FST), started at PND65. The FST was performed in two sessions: training session of 15 min (900 s) and test session of 5 min (300 s), with a 24-h interval between sessions, as described by Slattery and Cryan (2012). In both sessions, the animals were individually placed into a transparent acrylic cylinder (35 cm in diameter × 60 cm height −50 l volume), with a 35-cm water column, enough to avoid the rats to touch the bottom of the cylinder with their legs or tail. The water was changed and the cylinder sanitized after each trial. The water temperature was controlled at 25 ± 2°C. All sessions were recorded using a video camera, and the time spent on each behavior (climbing, immobility, active swimming, and diving) was assessed manually by an observer and recorded by means of the X-Plo-Rat 2005 software.

All behavioral analyses were performed blindly to experimental conditions. Immobility was considered when the animals remained floating and performing movements necessary only to maintain their heads or nostrils above the water surface. Climbing was considered when the animals exhibited vigorous movement and simultaneous extension of all limbs, exceeding the movements required to keep only the head above the water and generally trying pushing themselves out of the water. Diving was considered when the animals were completely submerged, exploring in diving the bottom of the apparatus. Finally, active swimming was considered when the animals explored the surface of the apparatus, actively swimming on the horizontal axis.

After the end of the behavioral testing, pharmacological challenges, using antagonists and agonists of GR and MR, were performed. Starting at PND68, rats from both groups were subcutaneously treated every other day (48-h interval) with vehicle (propylene glycol), fludrocortisone (5 mg/kg), spironolactone (25 mg/kg), or mifepristone (25 mg/kg), dexamethasone (5 mg/kg), or mifepristone (25 mg/kg) at 5 P.M. All animals received all the drugs (repeated measures) with a 48-h interval between each drug administration. Three hours after each drug administration (8 P.M.), blood was collected via tail venesec-tion for quantification of plasma corticosterone by radioimmunoassay, as previously described (Elias et al., 2002; Umeoka et al., 2011). We chose to collect the blood samples at 8 P.M. here (night, opposing the clinical protocol), to get the baseline during the peak of corticosterone release in rodents since they have inverted circadian rhythm (Itoh et al., 1981).

Statistical analysis
As an effort to practice and promote better inference in neuroscience (Bernard, 2019; Calin-Jageman and Cumming, 2019), we conducted estimation statistics analyses to replace t tests, and in addition to ANOVAs in our quantitative results, therefore full data are visible in all our plots. Clinical analyses were conducted using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, SPSS 20.0. Descriptive statistics were used for analysis of the sociodemographic; χ² tests and one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc tests were applied for clinical characteristics of the samples and the scores of psychiatric evaluation instruments. Two-way ANOVA was applied to determine main variable effects, interaction, and for within-groups comparisons of the plasma cortisol measurements after pharmacological challenges. Estimation statistics analysis was conducted to assess the mean difference between groups, 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) and two-sided permutation t test p values.

Animal behavior data were analyzed through the so-called Gardner–Altman two-groups estimation plot, the estimation statistics alternative to Student’s t test. For plasma corticosterone measurements after pharmacological challenges, two-way ANOVA was applied, using the Statistical Package GraphPad Prism 6.1, to determine main effects and interaction of the variables. Multi two-group Cumming plot was applied to assess the mean difference between groups, 95% CIs and two-sided permutation t test p values.

Estimation statistics were performed through an online tool (https://www.estimationstats.com/; Ho et al., 2019) and using the DaBest package for Python (Ho et al., 2019) downloaded from GitHub repository (https://github.com/ACCLAB/DABEST-python/) and customized in house by J.T. and made a fork available at https://github.com/julian-tejada/DABEST-python/. Therefore, here we also report and plot mean differences between groups with 95% CIs and two-sided permutation t test p values.

Results

Clinical study

Clinical characterization of the sample

There were no differences among patients with or without ELS and controls in gender (χ²(2, 0) = 2.18, p = 0.33), body mass index (BMI; F(2, 0) = 0.43, p = 0.71), and age (F(2, 0) = 0.34, p = 0.65). The use of psychotropic medications, either selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors and tricyclic antidepressants, as well as other antidepressants, antipsychotics, mood stabilizers, and benzodiazepines, was also similar between patients with and without ELS. We also did not find difference regarding the polarity of depression and previous history of suicide attempt in both depressive groups as well as in the contraceptive use and menopause among depressive women with and without ELS, none of them used hormone replacement therapy, as shown in Table 1.

The difference in the severity of ELS among the three groups was detected by the total CTQ score (F(2, 0) = 57.56, p < 0.001). The Bonferroni post hoc test showed that patients with ELS presented higher total CTQ scores when compared with patients without ELS (p < 0.001) and control group (p < 0.001), with no difference between patients without ELS and control group (p = 0.45). The scores for
depression, evaluated by the HAM-D21 scale symptoms, revealed differences among all groups ($F_{(2,0)}=198.23$, $p<0.001$). Bonferroni post hoc test revealed that, while no significant differences were found between patients with and without ELS in the severity of depressive symptoms ($p=0.21$), both groups had higher scores compared with controls ($p<0.001$ and $p<0.001$; Table 1).

**Effects of GR and MR agonists and antagonists on plasma cortisol levels in depressed patients with and without ELS and controls**

The pharmacological challenges affected the plasma cortisol levels (two-way ANOVA, $F=39.05$; $df=2.0$, $p<0.001$). We found lower cortisol levels in fludrocortisone-treated ($p<0.001$), prednisolone-treated ($p<0.001$), and dexamethasone-treated ($p<0.001$), but not spironolactone-treated ($p=0.30$), groups compared with placebo, indicating a suppression of the HPA axis by these treatments. No interaction groups-challenges, nor group effects were found ($F=0.66$, $df=8.0$, $p=0.72$ and $F=0.05$, $df=2.0$, $p=0.95$, respectively). The unpaired effect sizes mean differences between the three groups, 95% CIs as well as the $p$ values of the two-sided permutation $t$ test were obtained, for each treatment, through estimation statistics analyses and are shown below on Table 2 and plotted on Figure 1.

**Experimental study**

**Effects of ELS on the FST**

Compared with the control group ELS was effective in decreasing climbing time, the unpaired mean difference was $–35.3$ with 95% CI of $–70.2$ to $–5.53$ ($p=0.0412$; Fig. 2A), as well as in increasing the immobility time with a mean difference of $33.6$ and 95% CI of $5.05$, $8.81$, and $3.81$, $2.4$, $0.30$, $1.96$, $0.99$, $0.49$, and $0.41$, respectively. The unpaired $t$ test were obtained, for each treatment, through estimation statistics analyses.

### Table 1: Sociodemographic characteristics of depressed patients with and without ELS and controls

|                           | With ELS ($n=17$) | Without ELS ($n=9$) | Controls ($n=26$) | Statistics | $p$  |
|---------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|------------|------|
| Gender, n (%)             |                   |                     |                   |            |      |
| Female                    | 13 (76.5)         | 7 (77.8)            | 15 (57.7)         | $\chi^2$ (df) = 2.18 (2.0) | 0.33 |
| Male                      | 4 (23.5)          | 2 (22.2)            | 11 (42.3)         |            |      |
| Age, years (±SEM)         | 35.9 (±4.3)       | 36.3 (±3.0)         | 33.3 (±1.8)       | $F$ (df) = 0.43 (2.0) | 0.65 |
| BMI, kg/m² (±SEM)         | 27.8 (±3.2)       | 26.7 (±1.6)         | 25.8 (±0.9)       | $F$ (df) = 0.34 (2.0) | 0.71 |
| Smoking, n (%)            | 2 (11.8)          | 0 (0)               | 2 (7.7)           | $\chi^2$ (df) = 1.14 (2.0) | 0.56 |
| Diagnostic, n (%)         |                   |                     |                   | $\chi^2$ (df) = 0.47 (1.0) | 0.41 |
| Unipolar depression (%)   | 11 (64.7)         | 7 (77.8)            |                   |            |      |
| Bipolar depression (%)    | 6 (35.3)          | 2 (22.2)            |                   |            |      |
| Medication, n (%)         |                   |                     |                   |            |      |
| SSRI                      |                    |                     |                   |            |      |
| TCA                       | 12 (70.6)         | 6 (66.7)            |                   | $\chi^2$ (df) = 0.04 (1.0) | 0.58 |
| Others AD                 | 4 (23.5)          | 2 (22.2)            |                   | $\chi^2$ (df) = 0.006 (1.0) | 0.67 |
| Antipsychotics            | 2 (11.8)          | 2 (22.2)            |                   | $\chi^2$ (df) = 0.49 (1.0) | 0.43 |
| Mood stabilizer           | 6 (35.3)          | 5 (55.6)            |                   | $\chi^2$ (df) = 0.99 (1.0) | 0.28 |
| Benzodiazepine            | 5 (29.4)          | 5 (55.6)            |                   | $\chi^2$ (df) = 1.96 (1.0) | 0.19 |
| Attempted suicide, n (%)  | 12 (70.6)         | 4 (44.4)            |                   | $\chi^2$ (df) = 3.34 (1.0) | 0.07 |
| Menopause, n (%)          | 3 (23.1)          | 3 (42.9)            | 1 (6.7)           | $\chi^2$ (df) = 4.02 (2.0) | 0.13 |
| CP, n (%)                 | 6 (46.2)          | 4 (57.1)            | 5 (33.3)          | $\chi^2$ (df) = 1.19 (2.0) | 0.55 |

**Table 2: Plasma cortisol levels of controls and depressed patients with and without ELS**

| Comparisons | CTRL-W/O ELS | CTRL-W ELS | W/O ELS-W/ELS | $p$ |
|-------------|--------------|------------|---------------|-----|
| Treatments  | Mean difference [95%CI] | Mean difference [95%CI] | Mean difference [95%CI] |     |
| Placebo     | 1.75 [95%CI – 5.05, 8.81] | 0.585 | 0.593 [95%CI – 5.23, 3.45] | 0.702 | –2.68 [95%CI – 9.48, 4.46] | 0.432 |
| Fludrocortisone | 1.58 [95%CI – 3.74, 6.38] | 0.501 | –1.27 [95%CI – 4.97, 4.23] | 0.558 | –2.86 [95%CI – 8.2, 3.95] | 0.41 |
| Prednisolone | –0.869 [95%CI – 3.9, 2.88] | 0.633 | –0.263 [95%CI – 2.75, 4.18] | 0.878 | 0.606 [95%CI – 3.51, 4.67] | 0.829 |
| Dexamethasone | 0.736 [95%CI – 1.48, 3.91] | 0.599 | 0.0566 [95%CI – 1.66, 3.61] | 0.966 | –0.68 [95%CI – 3.81, 2.4] | 0.756 |
| Spironolactone | –3.81 [95%CI – 9.7, 2.22] | 0.268 | 0.268 [95%CI – 4.99, 5.54] | 0.919 | 4.07 [95%CI – 2.9, 10.6] | 0.269 |

Mean differences, 95% CIs and $p$ values of two-sided permutation $t$ test from group comparisons (CTRL, with (W) ELS and without (WO) ELS) for every HPA axis challenge obtained from estimation statistics analyses.
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Effects of GR and MR agonists and antagonists on plasma corticosterone levels in rats submitted to ELS protocols

Pharmacological challenges decreased the levels of plasma corticosterone compared with the vehicle group ($F_{(4,155)} = 2.127, p = 0.035$) were found. All treated groups, regardless of ELS exposure, presented lower plasma corticosterone concentration than the group receiving the vehicle. Significant difference in corticosterone levels between ELS and control groups was observed only after spironolactone treatment. The unpaired mean difference between control and ELS, 95% CI and two-sided permutation t test $p$ value, revealed by the estimation statistics, for vehicle treatment was $-2.26 [95.0\% CI -6.52, 1.82] p = 0.298$; for fludrocortisone was $0.399 [95.0\% CI -0.042, 0.807] p = 0.0748$; for dexamethasone was $-0.22 [95.0\% CI -0.571, 0.156] p = 0.285$; for spironolactone was $-2.02 [95.0\% CI -5.79, -0.553] p = 0.0204$ and for mifepristone was $0.343 [95.0\% CI -2.0, 2.78] p = 0.77$ (Fig. 3). To address a possible correlation between depressive-like behavior (immobility time on the FST) and HPA axis challenges outcome (plasmatic corticosterone levels) we performed Pearson’s correlation test, which revealed no significant correlations between these two variables after all treatment except mifepristone in the ELS group and all treatments in the control (data not shown).

Discussion

In the present study, we explored in the clinical setting and an animal model, whether ELS exerts influences on behavioral parameters and the responsiveness of the HPA axis, challenging the function of GR and MR. It was found in the clinical scenario, that depressed patients, with and without ELS history, as well as non-depressed subjects, had similar suppressive responses on plasma cortisol levels after pharmacological challenges. Similarly, the animal study showed that ELS led to altered behavior in adulthood, but no differences in suppression plasma corticosterone levels was observed compared with control group. The dysregulation of the HPA axis has been associated with many psychiatric disorders, including hypersecretion of CRH, ACTH, and cortisol or hypoactivation of the HPA axis, marked by a blunted HPA axis response (Stetler and Miller, 2011). In a previous study, our group found, in a smaller sample of depressive patients with a history of ELS, a positive correlation of plasma cortisol levels and the CTQ score after placebo. This finding was also observed in controls. It was also observed a positive correlation between plasma cortisol and the severity of the depression (Baes et al., 2014).

It is worth keeping in mind that although not completely understood, and because they are very complex by themselves, the HPA axis and corticosteroids are part of a much more intricate system (Joëls and Baram, 2009). There are many other alternative mechanisms which might interact and contribute to ELS underlying depression. Beyond the classic CORT and ACTH stress hormones, we cannot neglect the regulatory role of the hypothalamic hormones oxytocin (Oxt) and arginine vasopressin (AVP) in the stress sensitivity, for example, related to the impacts of chronic stress in their receptors (Lesse et al., 2017).
as discussed in the recent review by Birnie et al. (2020). Some pathways within the reward circuitry are especially vulnerable to suffer impacts from early-life stressors, such as altered CRH expression and function, which intensifies connectivity of nucleus accumbens with structures of fear/anxiety or related to detection, processing and retrieval of emotional events, through the amygdala, therefore disrupting the function of pleasure and reward (Birnie et al., 2020). We cannot also forget that the ELS has an additional impact at altering the epigenome, for example, through methylation of the GR gene promoter in the hippocampus, histone acetylation and transcription factor (NGFI-A) binding to the GR promoter, all together having important implications in stress response and consequences (Weaver et al., 2004; Klengel and Binder, 2015).

Some studies found no differences in basal plasma cortisol levels between depressive and control patients (Levitan et al., 2002; Gervasoni et al., 2004; Pintor et al., 2007), mainly when ELS was not considered. However, most of the studies have shown an increased in HPA activity in depressed patients (de Winter et al., 2003; Carroll et al., 2007; Contreras et al., 2007; Paslakis et al., 2011). When using different methods of assessing cortisol levels, Gerritsen et al. (2019) found no difference in hair cortisol between depressive patients and controls and Haifodt et al. (2019) detected that elevated salivary evening cortisol, but not morning cortisol, was significantly related to depressive symptoms. Also, Horst et al. (2019) demonstrated that patients with recurrent depression presented lower baseline but higher salivary cortisol awakening response than controls. Regarding studies on individuals with ELS (Newport et al., 2004; Carpenter et al., 2007), similarly to our current study, no differences were found in the baseline levels of plasma cortisol in individuals with and without ELS. Recently, Spitzer et al. (2018) determined that free salivary cortisol responses were not significantly different between women with or without major depression and with or without ELS history. Duncko et al. (2019) found that within depressed patients, those with a history of ELS presented lower cortisol concentration when compared with those with no such history, although they have used hair cortisol, and not plasma cortisol, to assess it.

A variety of methodology has been used to study the HPA function (Jurueña et al., 2018). There are many studies on depressive patients with ELS after dexamethasone and Dex/CRH tests with still unclear results. Decreased plasma cortisol levels and a suggested increased GR...
activity have been demonstrated in individuals with ELS (Newport et al., 2004; Carpenter et al., 2009; Klaassens et al., 2009). Other studies showed no cortisol suppression after the Dex/CRH test in individuals with ELS, suggesting decreased GR activity in these patients (Heim et al., 2008; Tyrka et al., 2008). According to Tyrka et al. (2008), individuals who went through a prolonged parental separation, abandonment, or parental death during childhood also present an increased plasma cortisol response to the Dex/CRH test. Heim et al. (2008) showed that men with ELS presented higher plasma cortisol levels after the Dex/CRH test when compared with non-abused men and this level could be correlated with the severity, duration, and earlier onset of the abuse. Although controversial, it seems to be an agreement that putative abnormalities in the GR activity in response to the Dex/CRH test are a characteristic of patients with ELS history. Significantly, because of the complexity of the cortisol response to the Dex/CRH test, in which two regulators of the HPA axis are involved, i.e., the HPA axis negative feedback mechanism and the HPA stress response, it is not possible to compare results from baseline hormonal findings with cortisol response to the Dex/CRH test.

Most of the studies regarding depressive patients with ELS and the HPA axis response are focused on HPA axis suppressibility by glucocorticoids leading to infer that the GR are mainly involved in the altered mechanisms. Only a few studies are looking at the MR functions. In the present study, it was used dexamethasone and prednisolone suppression tests, which act predominantly by binding to GR although they can also bind to MR. It was also used fludrocortisone test, which acts predominantly by binding to MR but part of its action is exerted by binding to the GR (Grossmann et al., 2004; Baes et al., 2014). In the same direction, spironolactone binds primarily to MRs, but it also has low affinity to GR and progesterone receptors (Schane and Potts, 1978; Rogerson et al., 2003). Indeed, we did not find a difference in cortisol response after dexamethasone, prednisolone, or fludrocortisone challenges among depressive patients with or without ELS and controls. However, one interesting result is the absence of difference in cortisol response observed after spironolactone or placebo, indicating that this specific pharmacological antagonist of the MR was not able to suppress the HPA axis. Looking at other hormones, for example, aldosterone (selective to MR), it would be interesting to get a better view about the MR sensitivity and function (Grossmann et al., 2004).

It is essential to consider some limitations of the clinical study. The sample size was relatively small, especially in the group of depressed patients without ELS. It was expected that most depressed patients would have ELS history since data show that most of the depressive episodes are preceded by psychosocial stress (Mello et al., 2009; Carr et al., 2013; Tunnard et al., 2014) or are associated with ELS (Norman et al., 2012; Shapero et al., 2014; Williams et al., 2016). However, a large number of patients would provide better insights, especially because ELS definition can be difficult and researchers have used diverse concepts and instruments to assess ELS (Straus et al., 1998; Bremner et al., 2000).

Since the patients were taking medication during the assessment, a drug-free state was impractical to assess in our sample, which can also be considered as a limitation for our interpretation of the results. However, it is interesting to note that previous studies had shown that there is no difference in the hormonal measures (cortisol levels after the Dex/CRH test) comparing patients under
medication or not, independently of the type and number of antidepressant treatments during the index episode (Künzel et al., 2003; Kunugi et al., 2006). Carvalho et al. (2008) using an in vitro preparation showed that the antidepressant clomipramine reduces GR function in healthy subjects but not in depressive patients. Moreover, previous studies observed that antidepressants did not affect corticosteroid receptor function in depressed patients who were still unwell, as in our sample (Bauer et al., 2002, 2003; Cotter et al., 2002; Künzel et al., 2003).

It is interesting to note that it seems to be an association between the different subtypes of stressors experienced during childhood and the development, persistence and severity of psychopathology in adults (Carr et al., 2013; Chaby et al., 2017; Martins-Monteverde et al., 2019; Mazer et al., 2019). In that context, different subtypes of stressors can recruit different circuitries and lead to differential CORT responses, for example, when we refer to psychological or physical stressors, as demonstrated in experiments with rats using different stressors (maternal separation, cold exposure, propylene glycol injection, and restraint; Godoy et al., 2018b). When talking about clinical investigations, with CTQ, the instrument we choose to assess ELS, it is possible to evaluate five subtypes of childhood trauma: physical abuse, emotional abuse, sexual abuse, emotional neglect, and physical neglect (Bernstein et al., 2003; Grassi-Oliveira et al., 2014; Macedo et al., 2019; Martins-Monteverde et al., 2019; Mazer et al., 2019). This is a gold standard instrument, it has high test and retest reliability, and it is considered a reference in the international literature for the investigation of early stress (Wright et al., 2001; Grover et al., 2007; Sfoggia et al., 2008), although there are many other instruments, some of which include even other forms of ELS (Fink et al., 1995; Straus et al., 1998; Bremner et al., 2000; Schwartz et al., 2005).

A limitation is that CTQ does not allow the distinction between the moment when the trauma occurred, the proximity to the aggressor, and the frequency of ELS. According to some authors, the experiences of abuse and neglect that occurred in the first years of life are particularly more harmful to mental health than those that occurred in other phases (Manly et al., 2001; Hildyard and Wolfe, 2002), and preclinical and review studies have also highlighted the relevance of the developmental phase, when the stressors are experienced, to later consequences (Lupien et al., 2009; Bock et al., 2014; Arp et al., 2016; Miragaia et al., 2018). Another essential factor to be considered is the degree of proximity to the aggressor, that is, the relationship that the victim had with the abuser (Pompili et al., 2009). In this sense, some studies have suggested that the evaluation of specific forms of ELS is more reliable than global assessments (Brewin et al., 1993).

Given the limitations presented in the clinical study, it was applied a similar design using an animal model. It is interesting to note that results on the glucocorticoid suppression tests were similar in the primary and clinical approaches. It is well established that the development of psychopathologies in humans is related to individual differences associated with both vulnerability and resilience. Animal models enable better enlightenment of contributing factors to these differences (Armando and Nadal, 2013) by isolating variables. Chronic unpredictable stress model, which uses animal exposure to different kinds of stressors over a certain period, tries to mimic human situations, by presenting stressors with high unpredictability, uncontrollability, and moderated intensity (Armario and Nadal, 2013). The importance of these models relates to the chronic depressive model of gradual response to stress development over time (Duman, 2010). The multimodal ELS used in our current study had been previously characterized and validated as a no habituation stress model and has been demonstrated to induce physiological and behavioral changes, including later in life “depressive-like” behavior (Godoy et al., 2018b).

Our data on animals submitted to ELS showed decreased climbing and increased immobility time with no difference in diving and active swimming behaviors in the FST. Our findings are congruent with what many authors still consider as a readout for depressive-like behavior (Molendijk and de Kloet, 2019). The FST was initially developed to investigate the efficacy of potential antidepressant drugs (Porssolt et al., 1977); later, it has been used to evaluate phenotype depressive-like behaviors, but its interpretation has been recently questioned (Duman, 2010; Bogdanova et al., 2013; De Kloet and Molendijk, 2016; Molendijk and de Kloet, 2019). The increased FST immobility in animals previously submitted to ELS has been considered a depressive-type behavior, because it was associated with a passive coping strategy, rather than dealing effectively with a difficult situation (Porssolt et al., 1977). However, increased immobility in response to chronic stress can be a consequence of increased stress sensitivity, which may promote memory consolidation, as behavioral adaptation related to cope with inescapable stressors rather than a depressive phenotype (Molendijk and de Kloet, 2015, 2019; De Kloet and Molendijk, 2016).

Similar to a human study, in the animal model study, our data also showed a decreased plasma corticosterone levels after pharmacological challenges with agonists and antagonists of GR and MR with no difference between ELS and control groups in any of the treatments. Corticosterone is a useful parameter to assess the HPA axis reactivity, but the levels after ELS are not consistent, especially when assessed later in life (Anisman et al., 1998; Naninck et al., 2015). Unpublished data from our laboratory also showed no effect on corticosterone levels in mice at baseline or after acute stress, but they show age-dependent interaction effects with regard to MR and GR mRNA expression after the ELS protocol. Lower expression of GR and MR after ELS was found in rats (Champagne et al., 2008) and also in primates (Arabadzisz et al., 2010), particularly in the hippocampus. Using the same ELS protocol, an increased stress-induced corticosterone secretion was observed after stressors at PND3, PND12, and PND21 but no difference in baseline 24 h after the stressor nor during adulthood at PND90 (Godoy et al., 2018b).

A limitation of our study is that 48-h intervals used between administrations of the different drugs might be not...
enough time for total drug elimination, which consequently could interfere with the effect of the subsequent administered drug, masking the effects after ELS. However, even for a vehicle or for the first administered drug (fludrocortisone, for the animal study), i.e., administration without risk of being influenced by previous administrations, no significant differences were found between the control and ELS groups. Our experiments were designed similarly from previous studies in the literature, which allows us to standardize the intervals between endocrine challenges in 48 h, reducing the variability between different cortisol assessments in the same individual (Pariante et al., 2002; Jurueña et al., 2006, 2010, 2013; Baes et al., 2014). In humans, a study showed that prednisolone has a shorter half-life compared with dexamethasone, and no plasma levels of prednisolone were detected after 20 h of administration (Pariante et al., 2002). In animals, a study showed a half-life of \(~3\) h for dexamethasone in female rats, after intravenous or intramuscular administration, and the same for pregnant rats and fetal circulation (Samtani and Jusko, 2005, 2007). According to the IBM Micromedex (electronic version; Micromedex, 2020) human-based database, fludrocortisone has an elimination half-life of 3.5 h; dexamethasone absorption of 10 min to 2 h and elimination half-life of 1.88–2.23 h; spironolactone elimination half-life of 1.4 h, and mifepristone absorption of 1–4 h and elimination half-life of 20 h to 85 h.

We should also consider a limitation of our study related to only using males in the experimental protocol. We understand the importance of including females, but for these experiments of depressive-like behavior and pharmacological challenges, they were not included. The use of females is increasingly encouraged for the scientific community, not only because of significant ethical aspects but also because the scientific interpretation of the results can significantly benefit from it. Using both males and females is especially relevant since neuropsychiatric disorders often manifest differently in men and women, either in incidence or in symptoms (Kessler et al., 2005; Novais et al., 2017); also, when talking about early experience, sex seems to be a significant contributor to the response to stressors (Bock et al., 2014; Løi et al., 2014; Walker et al., 2017; Bonapersona et al., 2019; Coley et al., 2019).

Also, a second hit is an important factor to be considered regarding the reaction to stressors, especially when evaluating psychopathologies and depression. In our experimental design, all animals underwent the FST test, as an attempt to isolate variables and only distinguish a group from the other by the ELS exposure, which may be seen as the FST working as a second stressor for the animals in the ELS group, or as a novel stressor for the animals in the CTRL group. Adverse experiences during early life can program the sensitivity, responsiveness, and reactivity to a second stressful event later in life, and some functional alterations because of ELS will only appear when combined with a subsequent second stress exposure (Lesse et al., 2017), which might be seen as a limitation to our interpretation of the ELS impact on behavioral response and HPA axis challenges. Despite that, we can say that the design can put this model closely related to kindling effect (Post, 2002; Jurueña, 2014) or to the cumulative or two/multiple-hit hypothesis for the development of neuropsychiatric disorders, which presumes that neuropsychiatric conditions usually involves combination or interaction of two or more different stressors experienced in different lifespan points (Monroe and Harkness, 2005; Lesse et al., 2017).

In conclusion, our results on clinical and animal studies showing no differences in the plasma cortisol or corticosterone levels among depressive conditions with or without ELS and control subjects after different pharmacological challenges with GR and MR agonists and antagonists do not allow to conclude that the ELS leads to persistent changes in the HPA axis reactivity or not. It should be noticed that it is still not clear whether the HPA axis-related mechanisms are involved in the long-lasting consequences of ELS on the development of psychopathologies. Corticosteroids may act differently in melancholic or atypical depressive subtypes (Baes et al., 2014). Indeed, hypercortisolism has been related to melancholia and normal cortisol in atypical depression (Jurueña et al., 2018). However, failure to observe changes in the level of corticosteroids does not mean that other central nervous system changes are not occurring. Alterations in other hormones such as CRH or ACTH levels, disbalance in neurotransmitters, altered neurogenesis or even GR and MR expression may be taking place (Joëls and Baram, 2009); although our data do not allow us to assess any of those. Also, there is highly conflicting literature in this field, and simplistic changes only in HPA axis and glucocorticoids levels might not be the best paths for potential new studies into ELS and depression relationship. HPA axis and glucocorticoids levels are only a portion of a very sophisticated and complex system (Joëls and Baram, 2009), which interact with alternative mechanisms (Binnie et al., 2020) and contribute to ELS underlying depression. Further studies are still necessary to understand the role of the HPA axis activity and the GR and MR to the contribution to different depressive conditions under ELS.
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