Ribonucleic acid interference knockdown of IL-6 enhances the efficacy of cisplatin in laryngeal cancer stem cells by down-regulating the IL-6/STAT3/HIF1 pathway
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Abstract

Background: Cisplatin has been used in the treatment of many cancers, including laryngeal cancer; however, its efficacy can be reduced due to the development of drug resistance. This study aimed to investigate whether interleukin-6 (IL-6) knockdown may enhance the efficacy of cisplatin in laryngeal cancer stem cells (CSC) and the potential involvement of the signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3) and hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1) in this effect.

Methods: The ALDH+ and CD44+ CSC in Hep2 human laryngeal squamous cancer cells were identified by the fluorescence-activated cell sorting technique. IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA and protein expressions were examined with quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction and Western blot, respectively. Cell proliferation was measured by MTT assay. Tumorigenicity was measured by a colony formation assay and invasion was determined by a cell invasion assay. Apoptotic cells were counted by flow cytometry. Immunohistochemistry was performed to detect immunoreactive IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 cells in xenografts.

Results: The mRNA and protein levels of IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 were significantly increased in Hep2-CSC as compared with those from Hep2 cells. Application of siRNA-IL-6 to knockdown IL-6 resulted in significantly decreased IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA and protein levels. IL-6 knockdown reduced cell proliferation, tumorigenicity and invasion and increased apoptosis within CSC. Enhanced degrees of suppression in these parameters were observed when IL-6 knockdown was combined with cisplatin in these CSC. Results from the xenograft study showed that the combination of IL-6 knockdown and cisplatin further inhibited the growth of xenografts as compared with that obtained in the cisplatin-injected group alone. Immunoreactive IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 cell numbers were markedly reduced in IL-6 knockdown tumor tissues. IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 immunoreactive cell counts were further reduced in tissue where IL-6 knockdown was combined with cisplatin treatment as compared with tissue receiving cisplatin alone.

Conclusions: IL-6 knockdown can increase chemo-drug efficacy of cisplatin, inhibit tumor growth and reduce the potential for tumor recurrence and metastasis in laryngeal cancer. The IL-6/STAT3/HIF1 pathway may represent an important target for investigating therapeutic strategies for the treatment of laryngeal cancer.

© The Author(s) 2017. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.
Introduction

Head and neck cancers represent the seventh most common cancer worldwide [1]. In particular, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) is the eighth leading cause of cancer mortality [2], with laryngeal squamous carcinoma (LSCC) being the most common type of HNSCC or head and neck cancer [3]. Chemoradiotherapy and surgery remain the major treatment modalities for head and neck cancers. Despite improvements in overall life quality achieved with the use of combined therapies, survival rates of the cancer patients have not advanced significantly over the past several decades [4]. The recurrence and metastasis of head and neck cancer are often accompanied with chemo-drug resistance generated during the cancer therapy, with the result that therapeutic outcomes are unsatisfactory.

Cancer stem cells (CSC) have become a theoretical foundation for chemo-resistance and cancer recurrence studies. CSC represent a small population of tumor cells that can uniquely self-renew, regenerate, sustain tumor growth, and thus play an important role in the growth and spread of the tumor [5–11]. During chemotherapy, CSC can mutate or experience abnormal differentiation, which may lead to tumor recurrence and metastasis and serve as the basis for drug resistance [12, 13]. Findings from recent studies have revealed that CSC can be identified and isolated through distinct cell surface markers, such as CD44 and CD133 [14–16], which are found in laryngeal carcinoma cells [8, 17]. In addition, certain intracellular protein molecules have also been used for isolating and detecting CSC. For example, aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 (ALDH1), a soluble protein is used to isolate ALDH+ cells from LSCC cell line, and isolated ALDH+ and CD44+ CSC from Hep2 cells along with siRNA technology to silence IL-6 gene expression. We observed that in response to IL-6 knockdown, laryngeal CSC characteristics show marked changes and enhanced effects of IL-6 knockdown on anti-tumor effects of cisplatin were demonstrated upon a number of parameters including cell proliferation, invasion, tumorigenesis, apoptosis and tumors in xenograft studies.

Materials and methods

Cell culture

A human laryngeal squamous cancer cell line, Hep2, was purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA), and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium /F12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified incubator with a mixture of 95% air (20% O2) and 5% CO2 environment. When applicable, cisplatin was used at an optimal dose of...
5 μg/mL in cultured cells as suggested from our previous study [36].

**Fluorescence-activated cell sorting**

Flow cytometry assays for the CD44+ and, subsequently ALDH+ cells were performed in this study. Briefly, Hep-2 cells were collected and rinsed with phosphate-buffered solution (PBS). The number of dissociated cells was counted, then treated with fluorochrome-conjugated CD44+ antibody for 30 min at 4 °C and protected from light. Once completed, cells were then washed and analyzed using a flow cytometer. The CD44+ and CD44− cells were sorted by the fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) technique and the proportion of CD44+ cells were recorded. The CD44+ cells were further treated with PBS containing fluorochrome-conjugated ALDH+ antibody for 30 min at 4 °C. Once completed, cells were washed and sorted by FACS and analyzed for the proportion of ALDH+ and CD44+ cancer stem cells.

**IL-6 knockdown**

IL-6 siRNA expression plasmids were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO). ALDH+/CD44+ Hep2-CSC were transfected with siRNA-IL-6 to knock down IL-6. The siRNA was transfected into the CSC using Lipofectamine 2000 (invitrogen life technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Total RNA was prepared 24 h post-transfection and the results of gene knockdown were determined by reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR).

**MTT assay**

Hep2-CSC cell proliferation with or without siRNA-IL-6 was measured with use of the MTT assay. In brief, MTT (20 μL) was added to each well of the plate and cells were incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. After incubation, DMSO (150 μL) was added to the well in the dark for 2 h to develop coloration. The absorbance values (490 nm) of each well were measured using an automatic multi-well spectrophotometer. Data were obtained from triplicate wells per condition and representatives of at least three independent experiments.

**Colonies formation assay**

Cell suspensions were diluted to a density of 200 cells per culture plate and then placed in the incubator for 2 weeks. Incubation was terminated when the colonies were visually perceptible. The colonies were then fixed in 1:3 acetic acid/methanol for 15 min and stained with Giemsa staining solution for 10–30 min. The number of colonies was counted when viewed microscopically.

**Cell invasion assay**

The effect of cisplatin with/without siRNA-IL-6 on the invasion of Hep2-CSC was analyzed using Boyden chambers with coated Matrigel as instructed by the manufacturer (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). The invasive cancer cells were stained with crystal violet and visualized microscopically. All experiments were performed at least twice in triplicates.

**Apoptosis**

Apoptotic cells were measured with use of Annexin V/PI double staining. Briefly, cells were harvested in 0.25% trypsin, washed with PBS, resuspended in 250 μL of binding buffer and adjusted to 1 × 10^6/mL. Staining solution containing annexin V/FITC and propidium iodide was added to the cell suspension. After incubation for 30 min at room temperature in the dark, cells were analyzed by flow cytometry (FACSaria, Becton–Dickinson, USA).

**Quantitative real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from cells or tumor tissue using the RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR experiments were performed using appropriate primers and SYBR green power master mix (applied biosystems) to determine the mRNA expression levels of genes of interest. GAPDH served as a reference gene to normalize other genes. The GAPDH, IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 fragments were amplified using the following primer sequences, respectively:

- **GAPDH**: forward 5′-GGTGTTGTCTCCTGACTTCAA-3′ and reverse 5′-GGCTTGTTCCTCACTACTCTCAA-3′
- **IL-6**: forward 5′-ACCTTCCAAAGATGGCTGAA-3′ and reverse 5′-GGCTTGTTCCTCCTACTACTCTCAA-3′
- **STAT3**: forward 5′-CTGGTGTTCTCCACTGGCTCA-3′ and reverse 5′-AAACTTGGCTTCTCAGGTTGG-3′
- **HIF1**: forward 5′-CATCTCCCCATCTCCACCCACAC-3′ and reverse 5′-CTGCTCTGTTTGGT GAGGC-3′

**Western blot**

Protein levels of interested targets were measured using Western blot. Briefly, cells or tumor tissues were homogenized and diluted with RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–Cl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 1 μg/mL leupeptin, 1 μg/mL apro tinin, 0.2 mM PMSF). Lysates containing equal amounts of proteins (20–40 μg) were separated on 8–10% SDS/PAGE.
gel and then transferred onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). After blocking, membranes were incubated with primary antibodies for IL-6, STAT3, HIF1 or β-Actin and horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:5000). Immunoreactive proteins were visualized in Imager (Bio-Rad) using the ECL system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Rochester, NY, USA).

In vivo xenograft studies
Tumor growth of xenografts was examined as developed from the ALDH+/CD44+ CSC with/without siRNA-IL-6. The cells (5 × 10^5 cells in 100 µL of PBS) were subcutaneously injected into the right dorsal area of nude mice. When tumor size achieved approximately 150 mm^3, animals were randomly assigned into one of the following four groups (N = 5/group): (1) CSC, (2) CSC + IL-6-siRNA, (3) CSC + cisplatin and (4) CSC + IL-6-siRNA + cisplatin. Cisplatin was administered to the mice via an intraperitoneal injection at 10 mg/kg daily for 10 days. Tumor development was monitored daily. Drug toxicity effects, such as weight loss, behavioral change and feeding pattern were continuously monitored during the treatment period. At the end of the experiment, mice were euthanized and tumor tissues were removed for determination of gene or protein expression levels in the tissue. All animal studies were performed in accordance with the recommendations in the guide for the care and use of laboratory animals of the national institute of health. The protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Binzhou Medical University.

Immunohistochemistry
After dissection, tumor tissues were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4 °C and then soaked in 30% sucrose solution for an additional 4 h at 4 °C. The frozen tumors were cut at 8 μm thickness by MICROM cryostat (MICROM International, Walldorf, Germany) and examined for the levels of targeted proteins. Briefly, sections were washed in PBS and incubated in the blocking buffer followed by primary antibodies for IL-6, STAT3 or HIF1. Sections were then incubated with the secondary antibody. The 3,3’-Diaminobenzidine was used as a substrate for staining. Staining was observed, photographed and density measured.

Statistical analysis
The data were presented as the mean ± SEM. Differences in mean values between two groups were analyzed by two-tailed Student’s t-tests. Differences in three or more than three groups were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, followed by the post hoc Fisher’s least significant difference test. A p < 0.05 was required for results to be considered statistically significant.

IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA and protein expressions in Hep2-CSC
Similar to our previous study [36], a high yield of ALDH+/CD44+ was obtained in this current study. IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA levels in Hep2-CSC were significantly increased as compared to the IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA expression levels in Hep2 cells and Hep2-derived tumor tissue, which were obtained from the tumor tissue after Hep2-cells were injected into dorsal area of nude mice (p < 0.001, Fig. 1a–c). In addition, IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 protein levels in Hep2-CSC were consistently and significantly increased as compared with that from Hep2 cells or Hep2-derived tumor tissue (p < 0.001, Fig. 1d–g). The protein levels were obtained from three independent experiments and were compared among the groups after being normalized to β-actin levels.

IL-6 siRNA effects upon mRNA and protein levels of IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1
As compared to mRNA levels of IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 in unaltered Hep2-CSC, siRNA-IL-6 significantly reduced mRNA expression levels of IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 (Fig. 2a–c, p < 0.001). Although the siRNA-IL-6 vector also affected gene expression levels as compared to Hep2-CSC, the levels of reduction were less than that of siRNA-IL-6 (p < 0.05, Fig. 2a–c). Consistently, IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 protein levels were significantly decreased after siRNA-IL-6 administration in Hep2-CSC (p < 0.001). As compared with that of the siRNA-IL-6 empty vector control, IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 protein levels were significantly lower than that of the siRNA-IL-6 group (p < 0.01, Fig. 2d–g).

siRNA-IL-6 knockdown enhances inhibitory effects of cisplatin on colony-formation and cell invasion
The in vitro tumorigenicity of hep2-CSC with/without IL-6-siRNA plus cisplatin was determined using a soft-agar assay. Fewer colonies were formed in the hep2-CSC cells treated with siRNA-IL-6 or cisplatin as compared to their corresponding vector controls. Maximal colony reduction was obtained when IL-6 siRNA was combined with cisplatin as compared to that observed with cisplatin or siRNA-IL-6 alone (p < 0.001, Fig. 3a–e). In addition, while siRNA-IL-6 knockdown or cisplatin both inhibited cell invasion when used alone, significantly greater reductions in cell invasion were obtained when cisplatin was combined with siRNA-IL-6 as compared with effects resulting from their individual application (p < 0.001, Fig. 3f–j).
siRNA-IL-6 knockdown enhances inhibitory effects of cisplatin on cell proliferation

To test the effect of siRNA-IL-6 on cell proliferation, Hep2-CSC cells exhibiting stable expressing control vectors, were exposed to either siRNA-IL-6, 10 µM cisplatin or siRNA-IL-6 combined with 10 µM cisplatin and examined with use of a MTT assay. Cisplatin or siRNA-IL-6 significantly inhibited Hep2-CSC cell proliferation in a temporally-dependent manner. However, their combined treatment substantially enhanced this inhibitory effect...
Fig. 2 The siRNA-IL-6 knockdown reduced IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA (a–c) and protein (d–g) levels in hep2-CSC. As compared with that of the corresponding mRNA of controls in hep2-CSC, IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA levels were significantly decreased in the siRNA-IL-6 group (p < 0.05). The empty vector control group also showed diminished levels of IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA. GAPDH served as a house-keeping gene. Similarly, IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 protein levels were reduced significantly after siRNA-IL-6 treatment. Actin was used to normalize protein levels. ***p < 0.001 vs hep2-CSC control; ###p < 0.001 vs siRNA-IL-6 vector control.
and produced the lowest cell proliferation rates as compared with that of the controls (Fig. 4).

**IL-6 knockdown enhances cisplatin mediated apoptotic effects**

To evaluate siRNA-mediated apoptotic effects, Hep2-CSC cells exhibiting stable expressing control vectors, were exposed to either siRNA-IL-6, cisplatin or siRNA-IL-6 combined with cisplatin and were subjected to FACS analysis. The siRNA-IL-6 or cisplatin alone resulted in similar rates of apoptosis as that seen in controls, while siRNA-IL-6 combined with cisplatin significantly enhanced cell apoptosis rates compared with controls (p < 0.01, Fig. 5).

**Cisplatin combined with IL-6 knockdown enhances antitumor effects in xenografts**

We next examined tumor growth of xenografts developed from CSC cells with/without siRNA-IL-6 knockdown. Our data show that siRNA-IL-6 or cisplatin...
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injection slowed tumor development, with tumor sizes in these groups being much smaller than that of the CSC group. Maximal reductions in tumor size were observed in the siRNA-IL-6 knockdown + cisplatin treated group (p < 0.001, Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

Using in vitro cell lines and an in vivo xenograft model, we investigated whether knockdown of IL-6, as achieved using a siRNA technique, can increase the chemotherapeutic efficacy of cisplatin in laryngeal cancer. Our results show that siRNA-IL-6 combined with cisplatin reduced cell proliferation, colony formation and invasion and increased apoptosis to a greater degree than that obtained when either siRNA-IL-6 or cisplatin were administered alone. Similarly, results from our xenograft study showed greater efficacy upon suppressing the rate of tumor growth when siRNA-IL-6 was combined with cisplatin as compared with siRNA-IL-6 or cisplatin treatment alone. Taken together, our results suggest that IL-6 knockdown can increase chemo-drug efficacy, reduce drug resistance, inhibit tumor growth and reduce the potential for tumor recurrence and metastasis in laryngeal cancer. These siRNA-IL-6 effects were accompanied with decreased STAT3 and HIF1 mRNA and protein levels.

IL-6 levels in serum and cancer tissue are increased in laryngeal cancer patients as compared with healthy volunteers [25, 26], suggesting that IL-6 can act as a potential biomarker for assessing tumor growth and malignancy progression. However, IL-6 has also been found to alter the susceptibility of tumor cells to apoptosis by chemotherapeutic drugs [37]. Results from a recent study have revealed that IL-6 treatment was found to be associated with increased cisplatin resistance in lung CSC and increased CSC stemness [27]. When lung CSC were treated with neutralizing IL-6 antibody, cisplatin resistance decreased [27]. Using CSC from a different cancer source, we found that silencing IL-6 gene expression with siRNA significantly enhanced the cisplatin effect in laryngeal tumor cells as

---

**Figure 5** Cisplatin increases the pro-apoptotic effect of siRNA-IL-6. Representative images showing apoptosis in control (a), siRNA-IL-6-treated (b), cisplatin-treated (c), and siRNA-IL-6 and cisplatin-treated (d) hep2-CSC. Relative apoptosis rates (e) were slightly promoted by siRNA-IL-6 or cisplatin-treated hep2-CSC. The combination of cisplatin and siRNA-IL-6 significantly increased the pro-apoptotic effect of siRNA-IL-6 or cisplatin. **p < 0.01 vs hep2-CSC control.

**Figure 6** Xenograft cancer tissues (a) derived from hep2-CSC (i), hep2-CSC treated with siRNA-IL-6 (ii), hep2-CSC treated with cisplatin (iii) and hep2-CSC treated with siRNA-IL-6 and cisplatin (iv). Measure of tissue size (b) indicated that tumor growth was significantly decreased by siRNA-IL-6, cisplatin or the combined treatments, as compared to the hep2-CSC control. **p < 0.001 vs CSC control; *p < 0.05 vs CSC-Cisplatin group.

**Decreased IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 protein levels after siRNA-IL-6 knockdown in xenografts**

Immunohistochemistry staining demonstrated lower numbers of positive-stained IL-6, STAT3 and HIF1 cells in tumor tissues developed from siRNA-IL-6 knockdown cells, as compared with that of the CSC-derived xenografts. The IL-6+, STAT3+ and HIF1+ cell numbers were also decreased in the cisplatin-treated xenografts. The siRNA-IL-6 + cisplatin group showed the fewest number of IL-6+, STAT3+ and HIF1+ cells (p < 0.001, Fig. 7).
indicated by reductions in cell proliferation, colony formation, invasion, and an increase in the number of apoptotic cells. Accordingly, with the use of CSC, which is considered a very effective model for investigating drug-resistance, we demonstrate the importance of IL-6 signaling in triggering increased cisplatin efficacy in laryngeal cancer. In specific, we show that reducing IL-6 is beneficial for cisplatin efficacy particularly in a drug-resistant condition.

In this study, we identified and isolated ALDH+ and CD44+ CSC from laryngeal cancer cells as an approach to better predict the role of IL-6 in chemo-drug resistance. Cancer stem cell theory is one of the most likely explanations for chemoresistance and recurrence in cancer [38, 39]. Resistance of CSC to conventional therapies has been shown to result from multiple mechanisms [40], including increased expression of detoxifying enzymes.

Fig. 7  IL-6 (a–d), STAT3 (e–h) and HIF1 (i–l) protein levels as detected with immunohistochemistry in xenograft cancer tissues derived from hep2-CSC, hep2-CSC-siRNA-IL-6, hep2-CSC + cisplatin and hep2-CSC-siRNA-IL-6 + cisplatin. Image analysis results showed that the number of IL-6+, STAT3+ and HIF1+ cells (m–o) was decreased in response to siRNA-IL-6 or cisplatin-treated xenografts. Maximal reductions in IL-6+, STAT3+ and HIF1+ cell numbers were obtained in the group receiving the combined treatment of siRNA-IL-6 and cisplatin. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 vs hep2-CSC control.
such as ALDH. With the administration of chemotherapy and irradiation, ALDH alters aldehydes (oxygen, carbon, and hydrogen) within a cell to prevent DNA damage. Increased ALDH enzyme activity has been found in CSC derived from colon, ovarian, prostate, and breast cancers [41, 42]. ALDH+ CSC has also been found to mediate metastasis and result in poor clinical outcomes in inflammatory breast cancer [41], as well as predict engraftment of primary breast tumors [42].

This effect of IL-6 on cisplatin efficacy was accompanied by decreased STAT3 in these laryngeal cancer cells. The IL-6 signaling factor induces STATs tyrosine-phosphorylation and initiation by activating members of the janus kinase (JAK) family [43–45]. STAT3 is required and essential for tumorigenesis as shown in a variety of cancers. STAT3 has been reported to play a pivotal role in maintenance of stem cell-like breast cancer cells, which have been shown to be related to tumor recurrence, metastasis and chemoresistance [28, 46]. In addition, STAT3 has been shown to be constitutively activated or over expressed in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma [47] and lung [48] cancers. Our results show that IL-6 and STAT3 expressions are increased in laryngeal CSC and decreased after IL-6 knockdown. These findings are consistent with what has been observed in prostate cancer, and blocking of STAT3 suppresses clonogenicity in stem cell-like cells from high grade prostate cancer patients [49]. STAT3 has also been reported to be involved in IL-6-induced proliferation of renal cancer cells [50].

The findings that HIF1 is increased in laryngeal CSC may indicate another factor that contributes to drug-resistance in CSC. HIF1 is comprised of α subunit which is oxygen-dependent and β subunit which is continually expressed. Under normal oxygen pressure/conditions, α subunit is rapidly degraded by the proteasome pathway, while under hypoxic conditions this subunit remains stable. Activated HIF-1α, when transferred into the nucleus, binds to downstream molecules, such as the anti-apoptotic factors Bcl-2, Survivin and Xiap. These anti-apoptotic factors can then induce the formation of angiogenesis and multi-drug resistance genes [34]. We found that the enhanced cisplatin efficacy after knockdown of IL-6 with siRNA was accompanied with decreased HIF1 levels. These results suggest that this reduction in HIF1 may be an important component for increased cisplatin efficacy in laryngeal cancer.

**Conclusions**

To the best of our knowledge, our study represents the first to examine the effect of IL-6 knockdown in combination with cisplatin in drug-resistance laryngeal cancer using ALDH+ and CD44+ CSC. Due to the limitations of cancer chemotherapy resulting from drug resistance, siRNA-based therapeutics has emerged as a promising new anticancer tactic. A small number of Phase I clinical trials that have been completed [51] and discussions regarding the benefits and limitations of siRNA for cancer therapy have been ongoing [39, 52, 53]. The results of our present study demonstrate distinct beneficial effects of IL-6 knockdown in combination with cisplatin treatment, and provide a theoretical base for applying siRNA techniques in the treatment of laryngeal cancer.
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