The Rostromedial Tegmental Nucleus: Anatomical Studies and Roles in Sleep and Substance Addictions in Rats and Mice

Abstract: The rostromedial tegmental nucleus (RMTg), a brake of the dopamine system, is specifically activated by aversive stimuli, such as foot shock. It is principally composed of gamma-aminobutyric acid neurons. However, there is no exact location of the RMTg on the brain stereotactic atlas. The RMTg can be defined by c-Fos staining elicited by psychostimulants, the position of retrograde-labeled neurons stained by injections into the ventral tegmental area (VTA), the terminal field formed by axons from the lateral habenula, and some molecular markers identified as specifically expressed in the RMTg such as FoxP1. The RMTg receives a broad range of inputs and produces diverse outputs, which indicates that the RMTg has multiple functions. First, the RMTg plays an essential role for non-rapid eye movement sleep. Additionally, the RMTg serves a vital role in response to addiction. Opiates increase the firing rates of dopaminergic neurons in the VTA by acting on μ-opioid receptors on RMTg neurons and their terminals inside the VTA. In this review, we summarize the recent research advances on the anatomical location of the RMTg in rats and mice, its projections, and its regulation of sleep–wake behavior and addiction.
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Introduction

The ventral tegmental area (VTA) is well known as an important midbrain dopaminergic (DAergic) nucleus. In addition to DAergic neurons, gamma aminobutyric acid (GABA)ergic neurons are also an important type of neurons in the VTA.1 GABAergic VTA neurons communicate locally within the VTA but also give rise to prominent projections to other parts of the brain2-3 and regulate sleep, mania-like behavior, and innate defensive responses.4-6 In 2005, Perrotti et al found that chronic administration of psychostimulants, such as cocaine and amphetamine, to rats could induce the expression of the transcription factor Delta FosB within a group of GABAergic neurons in the posterior VTA, but no expression was observed in the anterior VTA, the traditional VTA area.7 Jhou et al also reported GABAergic neurons lateral to the median raphe nucleus and caudal to the VTA regulate freezing and other passive aversive responses via projections to midbrain DAergic neurons.8 At the beginning of 2009, Kaufling et al first proposed the term “tail of the VTA”.9 In the same year, Jhou et al published two papers on the same structure, which introduced the term “RMTg”.10,11 There is now consensus that the RMTg is a distinct mesopontine GABAergic structure that stretches from the caudal pole of the VTA deep into the mesopontine tegmentum and that the RMTg and the tail of the VTA...
both refer to the same structure. Later, researchers began to further investigate the roles and neural circuits of the RMTg in neurobiology. In 2018, we found a novel role for the RMTg regulating sleep–wake behavior. These results contribute to the emerging recognition of the RMTg’s importance in multiple brain functions.

**Anatomical Location for the Delimitation of the RMTg**

**Anatomical Location for RMTg Delimitation in Rats by Observing c-Fos Expression in Neurons**

The RMTg, rich in μ-opioid receptors, is also immunoreactive for somatostatin and expresses glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) 67 mRNA. This nucleus is located dorsolateral to the caudal part of the interpeduncular nucleus (IPN) and has outstandingly dense efferent projections to the VTA and compact part of the substantia nigra (SNC). When rats are administered psychostimulants, such as modafinil, D-amphetamine, or cocaine, a large number of neurons in the RMTg are induced to express immunoreactivity against the immediate-early gene product c-Fos.

Similarly, shock or shock-predictive sound cues could also activate RMTg neurons. Therefore, the anatomical location of the RMTg is usually defined by injecting the retrograde tracer of cholera toxin B subunit (CTB) into the VTA and then observing the co-expression of c-Fos and CTB-labeled neurons in the RMTg area. There is partial intermingling of the most posterior tyrosine hydroxylase (TH)-positive neurons at the VTA with the most rostral extent of the RMTg, but the middle and posterior levels of the RMTg show almost no mingling with TH-positive neurons. According to brain slice staining and comparison with the atlas, the anatomical location of the RMTg in rats is from 6.2 mm to 8.8 mm posterior to the bregma.

**Anatomical Location for RMTg Delimitation in Mice by Nerve Fiber Projections**

The anatomical position of the RMTg was first defined in rats, and a series of studies on its projections and functions were carried out mainly in rats. However, the emergence and use of transgenic mice, combined with optogenetics and chemogenetics, have made it possible to manipulate the activities of specific types of neurons. Therefore, determining the anatomical location of the RMTg in mice is vital to explore its neurological functions and specific neural circuits. Given that the RMTg is strongly innervated by the lateral habenula (LHb), Quina et al injected an antero-gradely transported viral vector encoding eGFP into the LHb under the regulation of a human synapsin promoter to define the anatomical position of the RMTg in mice by observing the presence and distribution of LHb input fibers within the RMTg. They found that the anatomical position of the RMTg extended from 3.64 mm to 4.60 mm posterior to the bregma. The RMTg of mice was found to extend to at least 4.50 mm posterior to the bregma and thereafter to be replaced by the anterior tegmental nucleus (ATg). In fact, the anatomical location of the RMTg is not overtly delineated on standard atlases, which do not distinguish this region from the adjacent ATg, the pontine reticular nucleus nor the superior central nucleus raphe. Wasserman et al and Steidl et al performed experiments examining muscarinic control of RMTg GABAergic neurons in morphine-mediated locomotion experiments, where the anatomical position of the RMTg in mice extended from 4.04 mm to 4.36 mm posterior to the bregma, which included only a part of the RMTg.

**Anatomical Location for RMTg Delimitation in Rats and Mice by Specific Molecular Markers**

Although c-Fos expression in response to aversive stimuli was initially used to define the RMTg, its exact expression pattern may vary with intensity and type of aversive stimulation. The method of observing LHb fibers may be more consistent, but there are also notable limitations due to the technical challenge of virus injection. Finally, although RMTg neurons are mainly GABAergic, such neurons are also densely distributed around the RMTg. Hence, there is a need to identify molecular markers more specifically expressed in this area, in order to distinguish the RMTg from adjacent brain structures and to precisely manipulate it.

In 2016, Lahti et al found that although RMTg GABAergic neurons have similar origins as VTA GABAergic neurons, they express different molecular biomarkers. VTA GABAergic neurons expressed Zfpm2 and Six3, while RMTg GABAergic neurons mainly expressed Sox2, FoxP1, and Sox14 during development stage. Furthermore, the transcription factor FoxP1 is also highly expressed in the adult RMTg in rats, suggesting that it could be used as a specific molecular marker to identify the RMTg. Smith et al further identified two specific molecular markers of the transcription factor FoxP1 and
Afferent and Efferent Connections of the RMTg
Connections Among the RMTg and the LHb and Monoaminergic (MAergic) Neurons
The RMTg mainly receives innervation from the LHb, which projects into the midbrain and brainstem regions, rich in MAergic neurons. The RMTg sends a particularly dense innervation to DAergic neurons of the VTA and the SNc, and it also innervates the serotonin-rich dorsal raphe nucleus (DRN), albeit not necessarily the serotonin neurons themselves.24,25 There are direct as well as indirect projections of the LHb to MAergic cell groups.26-30 The glutamatergic (GAergic) neurons in the LHb directly innervate DAergic and GABAergic neurons in the VTA to a comparable extent.30 Similarly, neurons that directly innervate the DRN also come from the LHb.31,32 The indirect innervation of the LH on MAergic neurons is achieved through the relay station of the RMTg. The GAergic neurons in the lateral division of the LHb (LHbL) inhibit VTA DAergic neurons9–11 and GAergic neurons expressing vesicular glutamate transporter-3 (VGLUT3) in the DRN by indirect activation of RMTg GAABergic neurons. The VTA and SNc are the main downstream targets innervated by the RMTg and they also send minor projections back to the RMTg, suggesting that there are reciprocal projections between the RMTg and the midbrain dopamine system.11,34 Moreover, the input of the midbrain DAergic neurons is mediated by local non-DAergic neurons within or closely associated with the DAergic nuclei. The DAergic neurons and some of non-DAergic neurons also send functionally important outputs from the midbrain to forebrain targets.3,35

Connections Between the RMTg and Other Nuclei
In addition to receiving dense projections from the LHb, the RMTg also gets strong inputs from structures with significant impacts on motor functions, such as deep layers of the superior colliculus (SC), cerebellar nuclei,
retrorubral field, pontine reticular formation, dorsomedial tegmental area, and raphe interpositus.\textsuperscript{34} Tracing studies have also shown that the RMTg receives projections from multiple nuclei, including the shell of the nucleus accumbens (NAc), ventral pallidum (VP), bed nucleus of the stria terminalis (BNST), amygdala, lateral hypothalamus (LH), thalamic paraventricular nucleus, lateral septum (LS), lateral preoptic area (LPO), periaqueductal gray (PAG), interpeduncular nucleus, laterodorsal tegmental area (LDT), and some cortical neurons.\textsuperscript{9,11,36}

The RMTg has robust inhibitory projections that contact preferentially DAergic neurons in the VTA, SNC, and retrorubral field.\textsuperscript{37,38} Furthermore, the RMTg also projects to the nuclei of the forebrain, midbrain, and brainstem, including the LH, LPO, NAc, BNST, LDT, DRN, PAG and pedunculopontine nucleus.\textsuperscript{36,39,40}

**Functional Studies on the RMTg**

The RMTg is innervated by structures implicated in aversive emotional processes, including the LHb, amygdala, PAG, and LS. Moreover, there are strong projections from structures like the LH and SC implicated in body homeostasis, locomotion, and sensory integration. Furthermore, arousal-associated structures in the reticular formation and tegmental nuclei also send projections to the RMTg. Therefore, the RMTg may integrate a wide range of information concerning both the external environment and the internal state to modulate behavioral output. After the integration of various informative signals, the RMTg influences responses through its projections to DAergic systems in midbrain structures and other ascending aminergic systems in the forebrain to guide behaviors.\textsuperscript{38} The RMTg is mainly composed of GABAergic inhibitory neurons, which control the activities of DAergic neurons and also regulate the activities of M\textsuperscript{A}ergic neurons. Because monoamine systems play pivotal roles in motor and mood-related behaviors, a variety of neurological diseases are associated with the dysfunction of these neurotransmitters. As a result, the RMTg has been proposed to be a possible component of the emotional system and regulation of sleep–wake behavior.

**The RMTg and Sleep–Wake Behavior**

Severe sleep disorders have been observed in nearly all the patients with neuropsychiatric disorders such as depression, drug addiction, and Parkinson’s disease, which are closely associated with DA dysfunction in the brain.\textsuperscript{41,42} Growing quantities of evidence suggest that dopamine-containing neurons are important for maintenance of arousal in both humans and animals.\textsuperscript{43,44} Although the RMTg has been confirmed to inhibit the electrical activities of midbrain DAergic neurons,\textsuperscript{13,45} whether it is implicated in sleep–wake behavior was previously unknown.

Using a highly automated sleep–wake bioanalysis system and chemogenetics, Yang et al revealed for the first time that the RMTg not only promotes sleep, but also plays a vital role in maintaining sleep homeostasis.\textsuperscript{12} When RMTg neurons were activated, mainly GABAergic neurons, although this did not occur in a cell-type-specific manner, the rats showed a significant increase in amount of non-rapid eye movement (NREM) sleep. Electroencephalogram (EEG) power spectrum analysis revealed that the average slow-wave activity (SWA)-a commonly used quantitative measure of sleep intensity\textsuperscript{46} - increased in the rats with activation of RMTg neurons. In order to investigate whether the RMTg plays a role in physiological sleep promotion, RMTg neurons were lesioned with the neurochemical toxin ibotenic acid. The rats with RMTg lesions showed a remarkable decrease in the amount of NREM sleep and lower SWA levels in NREM sleep during the first hour after lights on. To explore the role of the RMTg in homeostatic regulation of sleep, sleep deprivation (SD) was performed.\textsuperscript{47} During the subsequent sleep recovery period following 6-h forced arousal, the lesioned rats exhibited a similar increase in their NREM sleep amount as the control rats with intact RMTg neurons; however, 6-h SD did not induce an increase in SWA following SD in lesioned animals. These results clarify that the RMTg is an indispensable nucleus for NREM sleep initiation, maintenance, and the regulation of sleep homeostasis. This suggests that the RMTg is a promising therapeutic target for prolonging sleep and improving sleep quality for patients with sleep disorders.

Similarly, chemogenetic activation of GABAergic neurons in the anterior part of the VTA promoted NREM sleep, also in part by inhibition of the midbrain DAergic neurons.\textsuperscript{5,12} The difference of these two areas is that the activation of VTA GABAergic neurons primarily promoted NREM in dark period, while RMTg effects were mainly observed in light period. This fits with multunit recordings from mouse VTA neurons, in which most cells fire more during the active phase.\textsuperscript{48} This indicates that GABAergic neurons of the VTA and the RMTg may fire differently from the circadian point of view.

**The RMTg and Substance Addictions**

In the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders, fifth edition (DSM-5), substance use disorders, defined as disorders that are related to the taking of a drug of abuse (including alcohol), are essentially the
amalgamation of substance dependence and substance abuse. Addictive drugs, including morphine, heroin, nicotine, ethanol, as well as caffeine, may have both rewarding and aversive effects. In drug dependence, people lose control over the amount, frequency, and/or duration of drug intake, presumably for the pursuit of reward, such as euphoria, or alleviation of aversion, such as anxiety, during drug withdrawal. As drug abuse can be envisaged as a function of the balance between reward and aversion, the appetitive properties might result from direct activation of the neural reward system and/or inhibition of neural circuits mediating aversion. Studies have found that the RMTg is activated by aversive stimuli such as foot shock, fasting, or reward omission, demonstrated by c-Fos expression. The LHb is also activated by these stimuli, and it has been proposed to be one major contributor to these responses in the RMTg, although other sources also play important roles. Following aversive stimuli, the LHb receives signal inputs from the limbic system and basal ganglia, integrates them, and sends projections to the RMTg, which inhibits reward-encoding midbrain DAergic neurons by releasing GABA. It has been reported that the activation of the RMTg can enhance the extinction retention of the extinction learning of cocaine self-administration in rats, while the RMTg inactivation before a cue-induced reinstatement session or inactivation alone before an extinction session increased overall lever pressing.

The μ-opioid receptor is the main in vivo molecular target for opiates. It was initially believed that opiates activate VTA DAergic neurons via inhibiting local GABAergic interneurons within the VTA. However, recent work showed that μ-opioid receptors are more strongly expressed in the RMTg than in the VTA in rats. Systemic administration of opiates, such as morphine, was found to strongly inhibit the spontaneous firing rate of RMTg neurons in vivo. The excitatory postsynaptic current amplitude evoked by stimulation of rostral afferents was significantly reduced by bath application of morphine. Opiates increase the firing rate of VTA DAergic neurons by acting on μ-opioid receptors on RMTg neurons and their terminals inside the VTA, whereas intra-VTA delivery of morphine cannot increase dopamine cell activity if the RMTg has been silenced by muscimol, a GABA_A receptor agonist. These results indicate that the RMTg is a primary target of opioids, which might contribute to their rewarding properties by disinhibiting the release of DA within the VTA.

Alcohol dependence is a serious health problem that worsens over time. Loss of alcohol aversion is an important cause for alcohol dependence and relapse after withdrawal. For example, heavy drinkers often reported experiencing greater reward and stimulating responses and fewer aversive effects of alcohol than light drinkers. Ethanol consumption was significantly decreased upon activation of the RMTg by infusion of alpha-amino-3-hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isozaxolopropionic acid (AMPA), but was increased upon inhibition of the RMTg and the alcohol-withdrawal-induced anxiety-like behavior was reversed.

In a conditioned taste aversion (CTA) experiment in rats where exposure to a saccharin solution was paired with intraperitoneal administration of ethanol, the expression of c-Fos was significantly increased in both the RMTg and the LHb, which was positively correlated with CTA magnitude. When dermorphin-saporin, a neurotoxin, was employed to lesion RMTg neurons, rats’ ethanol intake and self-administration as well as preference for ethanol substantially increased. These results suggest that ethanol is less aversive to rats with RMTg destruction; thus, the RMTg contributes significantly to the regulation of ethanol consumption. The NAc is a novel brain region for treatment of alcohol dependence by modulation of DAergic pathways. The RMTg may be involved in the circuits regulating alcohol addiction by modulation of dopamine release in the NAc because the RMTg is recognized as a brake of the dopamine system.
Overall, the results of the existing studies suggest that the activities of RMTg neurons are profoundly influenced by drugs of abuse and, as important inhibitory afferents to midbrain DAergic neurons, they might play important roles in the complex interplay between the neural circuits mediating aversion and reward.

Differences Between the LHb and the RMTg
Although the RMTg and the LHb both regulate various behavioral responses to aversive stimuli, including unpredictable footshock, there are differences between these two parts of the brain.\(^2\) Instead of serving as an aversion or anti-reward center, the LHb integrates rewarding and aversive signals for expression of preferences. For example, inactivation of the LHb abolished choice bias,\(^3\) but no such evidence has shown that the RMTg combines opposite signals to integrate information. The LHb contains not only a large number of GABAergic neurons but also GABAergic neurons. Recently, Flanigan et al showed that the activation of GABAergic neurons in the LHb promoted male-male aggression and these GABAergic neurons were inhibitory within the LHb and dampened the activity of the LHb overall.\(^4\) These data suggest that there are local circuits within the LHb. There is consensus that most of the neurons in the RMTg are GABAergic. Whether there are any local circuits within the nucleus has yet to be determined. Currently, some evidence shows that the LHb is a component of the extended neural circadian system. It receives innervation from the suprachiasmatic nuclei and the intrinsically photosensitive retinal ganglion cells. Daily changes in molecular clock activity of Per1, Per2, and Bmal1 were reported.\(^5\) Whether circadian information in the LHb regulates functions through downstream targets such as the RMTg needs to be investigated.

Conclusion
Current studies have confirmed that the RMTg acts as a major inhibitory nucleus in the midbrain. It integrates multimodal information inputs and modulates activities of its descending nuclei to modulate sleep–wake, addiction, and other motivation-related behaviors. According to the existing literature, including our own studies, the majority of neurons in the RMTg are GABAergic neurons. Recent studies using RNA sequencing showed that the transcription factor FoxP1 is specifically expressed at high levels in the mouse RMTg. This suggests that in addition to vesicular GABA transporter (VGAT)-Cre and GAD2-Cre mice, the development of FoxP1-Cre mice will provide even greater selectivity for targeting the RMTg in future research. Additionally, the results of distinct afferents of the RMTg driving dissociable responses indicate that the RMTg may include topographically distinct subpopulations with different functions through special output targets.

Future studies will investigate the possible roles and neural mechanisms of the RMTg in the development of psychiatric and neurological disorders, such as sleep disorders, substance use disorders, depression, and Parkinson’s disease. These findings will inform the development of more efficient therapeutic approaches to mental illness.
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