Critical Role of the Platelet-derived Growth Factor Receptor (PDGFR) β Transmembrane Domain in the TEL-PDGFRβ Cytosolic Oncoprotein*
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The fusion of TEL with platelet-derived growth factor receptor (PDGFR) β (TPβ) is found in a subset of patients with atypical myeloid neoplasms associated with eosinophilia and is the archetype of a larger group of hybrid receptors that are produced by rearrangements of PDGFR genes. TPβ is activated by oligomerization mediated by the pointed domain of TEL/ETV6, leading to constitutive activation of the PDGFRβ kinase domain. The receptor transmembrane (TM) domain is retained in TPβ and in most of the described PDGFRβ hybrids. Deletion of the TM domain (∆TM-TPβ) strongly impaired the ability of TPβ to sustain growth-factor-independent cell proliferation. We confirmed that TPβ resides in the cytosol, indicating that the PDGFRβ TM domain does not act as a transmembrane domain in the context of the hybrid receptor but has a completely different function. The ∆TM-TPβ protein was expressed at a lower level because of increased degradation. It could form oligomers, was phosphorylated at a slightly higher level, co-immunoprecipitated with the p85 adaptor protein, but showed a much reduced capacity to activate STAT5 and ERK1/2 in Ba/F3 cells, compared with TPβ. In an in vitro kinase assay, ∆TM-TPβ was more active than TPβ and less sensitive to imatinib, a PDGFR inhibitor. In conclusion, we show that the TM domain is required for TPβ-mediated signaling and proliferation, suggesting that the activation of the PDGFRβ kinase domain is not enough for cell transformation.

PDGFRβ is a single-spanning transmembrane glycoprotein that binds to its dimeric ligand PDGF. It belongs to the type III receptor tyrosine kinase family, which also comprises PDGFRα, c-KIT, Flt3, and c-Fms (1). The canonical mechanism of activation of receptor tyrosine kinases requires ligand-induced dimerization, which brings two kinase domains close to each other and allows the phosphorylation of critical regulatory tyrosine residues in the activation loop of the catalytic core with a subsequent boost of the receptor kinase activity (2). Recent findings demonstrated that dimerization is not sufficient by itself to activate these receptors. The extracellular Ig-like domain D4 must reorganize and establish contacts between two neighbors receptors to provide optimal tyrosine kinase activation (3, 4). The intracellular juxtamembrane domain is devoted to the inhibition of the catalytic activity in the absence of the ligand by interacting with the kinase domain and inhibiting its activity (5–7). Mutations in the juxtamembrane region can alleviate this inhibition and activate the receptor in a ligand-independent manner (8, 9). The C-terminal tail of PDGFRβ is also blocking the receptor phosphorylation by allosteric inhibition (10). The phosphorylated receptor tyrosine residues bind SH2 domain-containing proteins, such as the p85 subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, STAT5, or phospholipase Cγ. The cascade of events initiated by ligand binding will ultimately affect gene expression and modulate cell proliferation, differentiation, and motility (11–13).

PDGFR genes are found rearranged in a certain subset of chronic myeloid malignancies (14–16). The resulting hybrid genes encode constitutively active forms of receptors that contain the receptor intracellular kinase domain fused to the N-terminal part of a partner that can differ from one hybrid receptor to another. Among these, the hybrid between the ETV6 (ets variant gene 6)/TEL (translocation-ets-leukemia) transcription factor and PDGFRβ (TPβ) is the most recurrent one and is encountered in patients with chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (17). TPβ is activated in a ligand-independent manner by enforced dimerization mediated by the pointed (PNT, also called SAM or helix-loop-helix) domain of TEL (18). The activation of the transcription factor STAT5 has been demonstrated to be crucial for the transforming potential of the hybrid protein in cell lines and in mouse models (19, 20). Furthermore, the levels of both STAT5 and TPβ proteins are critical for cell transformation (21). In particular, TPβ is not efficiently degraded in cells, and we showed that its increased stability promotes cell proliferation (22). Recently, activation of ERK signaling proteins was indicated as a mediator of TPβ-induced stem cell differentiation (23).

In TPβ and in most of the described PDGFRβ hybrids, but not in hybrids derived from other receptor tyrosine kinases, the transmembrane sequence of the receptor is retained in the
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fusión proteín. No particular function has been ascribed to that hydrophobic sequence in the context of hybrid receptors so far. Here, we show that the transmembrane (TM) domain is required for TPβ-mediated cell proliferation and STAT5 signaling but not for the activation of the kinase domain.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Antibodies and Reagents**—Anti-PDGFRβ (958), anti-PDGFRα (951), anti-phosphotyrosine (pY99), and anti-FLAG (D8) antibodies were purchased from Santa Cruz Biotechnol-ogy (Santa Cruz, CA). Anti-phospho-STAT5 (tyrosine 694) and anti-p-pERK (threonine 202 and tyrosine 204) antibodies conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 647 were purchased from BD Transduc- tion Laboratories. Anti-p85 antibody was obtained from Milli-pore. Anti-ribbon IgG conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 594 was purchased from Molecular Probes. Anti-mouse and anti-rabbit IgG conjugated to phycoerythrin were obtained from Jackson Immunoresearch. Rat monoclonal anti-myelin basic protein was obtained from Millipore. Mouse monoclonal anti-HA tag antibody (clone 12CA5) was obtained from Roche Applied Sci-ence. Mouse monoclonal antibodies against β-actin (clone AC-15) and FLAG (M2) were purchased from Sigma. The anti-body against phosphotyrosine 581 of human PDGFRβ was pro-duced and validated as described previously (24).

**Constructs and Mutagenesis**—TPβ in pMSCV-eGFP vector was described elsewhere (18). All of the TPβ mutants were created by site-directed mutagenesis using QuikChange XL-II kit (Stratagene) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All of the constructs were checked by sequencing. FLAG and HA tag were cloned at the 5’ site of TPβ into a previously introduced AgeI site replacing the ATG codon of TPβ. Human HA-PDGFRβ was cloned in pEF-BOS-uro as described (25). The HA tag was inserted after the sequence encoding the signal peptide of PDGFRβ in the position predicted by the SignalP software (26).

**Cell Culture, Transfection, Infection, Thymidine Incorporation, and Stability Assays**—Ba/F3, BOSC, porcine aortic endo-thelial (PAE) (27), 32D (28, 29), and HEK-293T cells were main-tained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; Sigma) with 10% FBS. Ba/F3 cell culture was supplemented with inter-leukin 3 (IL3).

Transient transfections of BOSC, PAE, and HEK-293T cells were performed using the calcium phosphate method as described elsewhere (22). Ba/F3 and 32D cell lines stably expressing receptors were created as previously reported (30). Briefly, retroviral supernatants were generated by co-transfec-tion of the BOSC packaging cell line with plasmid DNA encod-ing the receptor and the ectropic envelope protein using the calcium phosphate method (22). After 48 h, the supernatants were harvested and used in a spin infection protocol. One mil-lion cells were centrifuged for 2 h at 37 °C and 300 × g in pres-ence of viral supernatant (1 ml) and Polybrene (20 μg; Sigma). The cells were then resuspended in medium with 10% FBS and IL3. After 24 h, GFP-positive cells were isolated by fluores-cence-activated cell sorting and maintained in DMEM with FBS and IL3. All of the cells used in the experiments showed an equal level of GFP expression.

Alternatively, 10⁷ 32D cells were electroporated with 60 μg of DNA and diluted in 30 ml of DMEM with 10% FBS and IL3 as described earlier (28, 29). After 48 h, the cells were selected with 3 μg/ml puromycin for 14 days. Homogenous 32D cell lines expressing PDGFRβ were described previously (29).

In [³H]thymidine incorporation assays, Ba/F3 cells stably expressing receptors were washed extensively and seeded in triplicate in a 96-well plate in DMEM with 10% FBS in the presence of the indicated growth factor. After 20 h, [³H]thymi-dine (0.5 μCi/well; GE Healthcare) was added for 4 h. The cells were then harvested, and the incorporation of [³H]thymidine was quantified using a TopCount instrument (PerkinElmer Life Sciences).

Ba/F3 cells stability assays were performed as described pre-viously (22). Briefly, 2 × 10⁶ cells expressing the indicated hybrid receptors were incubated with 50 μg/ml cycloheximide for the indicated periods of time. The samples were collected at each time point and analyzed by Western blot.

**Cross-linking Treatment, Immunoprecipitation, and Western Blot**—Cross-linking assays were performed in the presence of bis(sulfosuccinimidyl)-suberate (BS3; Pierce). Briefly, 2 × 10⁵ cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS and then lysed in 200 μl of 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, glycerol 10% (v/v), Triton 1% (w/v), EDTA 1 mM, 1 mM Pefabloc (Roche Applied Science), 1 μg/ml aprotinin, and 1 mM Na₂VO₃. After clearing by centrifugation, the lysates were incubated with 0.25, 0.5, or 1 mM BS3 for 1.5 h at 4 °C. The reactions were stopped by the addition of 50 mM Tris-HCl for 15 min at room temperature. The samples were analyzed by Western blot as described (22). Quantification of bands was performed after incubation with secondary fluorescent antibodies (IRDye) using the Odyssey system (Li-Cor) or using the ImageJ software (31) on scans of BioMax films (Kodak).

In immunoprecipitation experiments, the cells were lysed 24 h after transfection in 25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, 10% glycerol, 1% Triton X-100, 1 mM Pefabloc, 1 μg/ml aprotinin, and 1 mM Na₂VO₃. The cell lysates were centrifuged full speed for 20 min at +4 °C. In co-immu-noprecipitation experiments with p85, the lysates were cleared with protein A/G for 1 h before being incubated overnight with the antibody. In double tag immunoprecipitations, the cell lysates were incubated overnight with 1 μg of antibody at +4 °C after the centrifugation. Antibody complexes were collected by adding protein A/G Ultradyn (Pierce) for 1 h at +4 °C, washed extensively, and then analyzed by Western blot.

**Flow Cytometry and Immunofluorescence Staining**—For cell surface staining, about 5 × 10⁵ cells were incubated for 1 h at 4 °C with primary antibody diluted in Hanks’ buffer com-plemented with 3% FBS and 1% NaN₃ (HAFA buffer). Conditions without antibody were included as a control. After one wash with HAFA, the cells were incubated with secondary antibody conjugated to phycoerythrin for 45 min at 4 °C in the dark. After one more wash, the cells were analyzed by flow cytometry.

In intracellular staining experiments, the cells were washed extensively and incubated for 4 h in absence of IL3 and, in some experiments, with 500 nM imatinib. As a positive control, some cells were restimulated with IL3 for 5 min after starvation. The cells were fixed with 2% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at
37 °C and then permeabilized with methanol on ice for 30 min. Following two washing steps with HAFA buffer, the cells were incubated with the antibody conjugated to Alexa-Fluor 647 (BD Transduction Laboratories) for 1 h at room temperature. The cells were washed and analyzed by flow cytometry. A condition without antibody was included as an additional control. The average of at least two independent experiments is shown with S.D., and a Student’s $t$ test was applied. In double staining experiments, the cells were first incubated for 1 h with anti-PDGFR antibody and, after two washing steps, with anti-phospho-STAT5 Alexa-Fluor 647 and anti-rabbit phycoerythrin. Staining with the two antibodies separately was also included as a control. One representative experiment is shown ($n = 4$).

Immunofluorescence staining of transfected PAE cells was performed as follows: the cells grown on coverslips were fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for 20 min and then washed three times with cold PBS. Permeabilization was performed with 5% FBS and 0.5% saponin in PBS for 1 h. The cells were then incubated with anti-PDGFR antibody overnight at +4 °C. The cells were washed three times with TBST (50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20, pH 7.6) and then incubated for 1 h with anti-rabbit antibodies conjugated to phycoerythrin. The coverslips were washed, mounted on slides, and observed with a fluorescence microscope (630× magnification).

**In Vitro Phosphorylation Assays**—The receptors were immunoprecipitated from transiently transfected 293T cells as described above. To test receptor autophosphorylation, the cells were treated with 1 μM imatinib for 4 h prior to lysis. For in vitro kinase reactions, the immunoprecipitated receptors were incubated with 50 μM ATP (Fermentas) in 50 μM 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, and 10 mM MgCl₂. To test kinase activity toward an exogenous substrate, 10 μg of dephosphorylated myelin basic protein (MBP; Active Motif) were added to the reaction mixture. The reactions were incubated for 15 min at 30 °C, then stopped by the addition of Laemmli buffer, and analyzed by Western blot. Phosphorylated receptors and MBP were separated on 8 and 18% gels, respectively.

**RESULTS**

**The PDGFRβ Transmembrane Domain Is Crucial for Activation**

![Figure 1. The PDGFRβ transmembrane domain is critical for TPβ-mediated proliferation of hematopoietic cells.](image)

- **A**. The domain organization of PDGFRβ is shown with the two main breakpoint positions depicted with an asterisk and an open triangle. The position marked by an asterisk is usually in the intron preceding exon 11, which encodes the TM sequence, but breakpoints in introns before exon 9 or 10 were also reported. The sequence of the TM domain is shown in capital letters. In the right panel are listed the fusion partners of PDGFRβ with the corresponding breakpoint positions in PDGFRβ. Ba/F3 or 32D cell lines were transduced with TPβ or ΔTM-TPβ using a bicistronic retroviral vector encoding GFP and sorted according to GFP levels. The cells were incubated for 24 h in absence of IL3. Proliferation was measured by a [3H]thymidine incorporation assay as described under “Experimental Procedures.” All of the cell lines proliferated equally in the presence of IL3 (data not shown). S.D. were calculated from triplicate cultures in a representative experiment. Vector-transfected cells were used as a control. C, total cell lysates derived from the Ba/F3 cell lines used in B were analyzed by Western blot (WB) with anti-PDGFR and anti-β-actin antibodies. The total cell lysates derived from the 32D cell lines were analyzed similarly (shown in Fig. 2A).

- **B**. Ba/F3 or 32D cell lines used in B were analyzed by Western blot (WB) with anti-PDGFR and anti-β-actin antibodies. The total cell lysates derived from the 32D cell lines were analyzed similarly (shown in Fig. 2A).

- **C**. Total cell lysates derived from the Ba/F3 cell lines used in B were analyzed by Western blot (WB) with anti-PDGFR and anti-β-actin antibodies. The total cell lysates derived from the 32D cell lines were analyzed similarly (shown in Fig. 2A).

> The PDGFRβ transmembrane domain is critical for TPβ-mediated proliferation of hematopoietic cells. The PDGFRβ gene breakpoints that are associated with translocations and reported in hematological diseases are located either before or after the exon sequence encoding the receptor TM domain. Most of the translocation products reported so far retain the TM domain in the fusion protein (Fig. 1A, right panel). To understand the significance of this observation, we analyzed the role of the TM domain in TPβ, which is the most recurrent
PDGFRβ hybrid form found in chronic myeloid malignancies. We created a mutant of TPβ devoid of TM sequence (ΔTM-TPβ) and examined its activity in the Ba/F3 and 32D hematopoietic cell lines. In line with previous reports, expression of TPβ in these cell lines supported short term proliferation in the absence of IL3 as detected in thymidine incorporation assays. TPβ-expressing cells could also be maintained in culture without IL3 for longer periods of time, becoming cytokine-independent cells. Expression of the ΔTM-TPβ mutant instead resulted in a dramatic reduction of IL3-independent cell growth in the short term proliferation assay (Fig. 1B). ΔTM-TPβ cells could not reproducibly generate cytokine-independent cell lines. Our results suggest that the TM sequence has an important role in TPβ transforming properties in hematopoietic cells.

**TPβ Is Not a Membrane-spanning Protein**—In TPβ, the sequence encoding the signal peptide and the extracellular domain of PDGFRβ is replaced by the sequence encoding the N-terminal part of TEL. Even though this makes the cell surface expression of the protein an unlikely event, the presence of the TM sequence could still allow the insertion into membranes. To check the plasma membrane localization of the fusion protein, we created tagged versions of TPβ and ΔTM-TPβ introducing an N-terminal HA tag and analyzed their expression by flow cytometry (Fig. 2A). HA-tagged wild-type PDGFRβ, which was used as positive control for the staining, showed a strong surface signal with the anti-HA antibody, whereas both forms of hybrid TPβ, with and without the TM domain, were negative for the anti-HA staining. Because the absence of cell surface expression of the hybrids did not exclude localization at the level of intracellular membranes, PAE cells were transfected with the receptors, stained with anti-PDGFRβ antibody, and analyzed by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 2B). Activated wild-type PDGFRβ showed a typical punctuated pattern, which results from the internalized receptor complexes that are formed in the presence of the ligand (32). TPβ- and ΔTM-TPβ-expressing cells appeared with a diffuse staining in the cytoplasm and did not show accumulation of the proteins in any particular subcellular compartment like the endoplasmic reticulum or the Golgi. This was confirmed by confocal microscopy (data not shown) and was in line with a previous report (33). Altogether these observations confirmed that TPβ is not a membrane protein.

**Deletion of the TM Domain Affects TPβ Cross-linking**—The pointed domain of TEL mediates oligomerization of TPβ, which is essential for the constitutive activation of the hybrid and transformation of hematopoietic cell lines (18). Recently, it has been shown that a purified peptide containing the TM domain of PDGFRβ is able to form dimers *in vitro* (34). We sought to determine whether the TM sequence could contribute to the oligomerization in the context of the hybrid receptor. We initially tested the ability of ΔTM-TPβ to self-associate by using a co-immunoprecipitation approach with two different tags. FLAG-tagged and HA-tagged versions of the hybrid were co-transfected in 293T cells, alone or in combination, as illustrated in Fig. 3A. After immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibodies, the interaction between two differently tagged proteins was examined by Western blot against the FLAG tag and vice versa. As expected, HA- and FLAG-TPβ were co-immunoprecipitated (Fig. 3A, lane 5, two upper panels). Similar results were obtained with ΔTM-TPβ, indicating that TPβ self-association is retained in the ΔTM-TPβ mutant (lane 6, two upper panels). To further assess the oligomerization of ΔTM-TPβ, we performed cross-linking experiments in the presence of BS3, a cross-linker that has been previously used to study the oligomerization of TPβ (35). Cell lysates obtained from Ba/F3 cells expressing TPβ or ΔTM-TPβ were incubated with increasing doses of BS3, and oligomer formation was visualized by Western blot (Fig. 3B). As a negative control we used the ΔPNT-TPβ mutant, which lacks the domain required for oligomerization. As previously reported, high molecular species of TPβ protein were visible after treatment with the cross-linker, whereas only the monomeric form of ΔPNT-TPβ was
Tyrosine 581, which is located within the juxtamembrane domain of PDGFRβ, has been described, together with tyrosine 579 and 775, as a docking site for STAT5 (36). Among the three sites, the sequence surrounding tyrosine 581 fits better the suggested consensus sequence for STAT5 recruitment (25), and its mutation in wild-type PDGFRβ has the strongest effect on STAT5 phosphorylation (36). Therefore, we assessed the phosphorylation of PDGFRβ tyrosine 581 using a phospho-specific antibody in Western blot experiments (24). Fig. 4C shows that tyrosine 581 was phosphorylated to a similar extent in ΔTM-TPβ and TPβ. As a control for the specificity of the antibody, we used a kinase-inactive mutant, K654R TPβ (Fig. 4C) (37). The observation that ΔTM-TPβ is strongly phosphorylated on tyrosines, including the most important STAT5 docking site, suggested that the inability of ΔTM-TPβ to signal was not due to a defect in phosphorylation.

**Increased Degradation of ΔTM-TPβ**—Because we observed that the level of expression of ΔTM-TPβ in Ba/F3 cells was lower than TPβ in some cell lines (Fig. 2, for instance), we analyzed the stability of the protein by inhibiting protein synthesis with cycloheximide. As shown in Fig. 5A, ΔTM-TPβ was degraded faster than TPβ, suggesting that the TM deletion destabilized the protein. To test whether the impaired oncogenic activity ΔTM-TPβ resulted from its lower level of expression, we transduced the ΔTM-TPβ cell line a second time and resorted the cells that had an increased GFP level. We obtained the ΔTM-TPβ2X cell line, which presents a higher level of expression as shown by Western blot and flow cytometry in Fig. 5. The protein level of ΔTM-TPβ2X was more comparable with the level of TPβ. Additional bands were observed on the ΔTM-TPβ2X Western blot, possibly because of proteolysis, alternative translation start, or post-translational modifications (38). We then analyzed the different Ba/F3 cell lines in IL3-independent proliferation assays (Fig. 5C). Both ΔTM-TPβ and ΔTM-TPβ2X had a much reduced level of proliferation in the absence of IL3 compared with TPβ. We next tested the signaling properties of these cells and performed intracellular staining for receptor and phospho-STAT5. We calculated the percentage of cells with activated STAT5 within the cell population positive for the receptor and GFP (Fig. 5D). The percentage of phospho-STAT5-positive cells was on average 3.6 ± 1.1 times higher in TPβ compared with ΔTM-TPβ 2X (n = 4). Altogether, these findings indicated that ΔTM-TPβ was expressed at a lower level, as a result of increased degradation. However, this may not be the only factor explaining the defect in ΔTM-TPβ signaling and proliferation.

**Deletion of the TM Domain Increases the in Vitro Kinase Activity of TPβ**—The experiments described above showed that TM deletion strongly impaired the ability of TPβ to activate signaling and transform hematopoietic cells but did not abolish receptor phosphorylation. We noticed that the general tyrosine phosphorylation of ΔTM-TPβ was slightly higher than TPβ. This observation prompted us to compare the activity of the kinase domain of the ΔTM-TPβ mutant versus TPβ in vitro. In a first set of experiments, we compared the ability of immunopurified receptors to autophosphorylate in the presence of ATP. Receptor-transfected cells were initially treated with imatinib, which prevented phosphorylation of tyrosine residues.
The receptors were then immunoprecipitated, washed, and subjected to in vitro kinase assays in the absence or presence of ATP. As an additional negative control, we used the kinase-dead mutant K654R TPβ. The receptor phosphorylation was then quantified by immunoblotting with anti-phosphotyrosine antibodies. As shown in Fig. 6A, the autophosphorylation of the
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**FIGURE 5.** The ΔTM-TPβ protein is degraded faster. A, Ba/F3 cells expressing the indicated forms of hybrid receptors were used in stability assays with 50 μg/ml cycloheximide as described under “Experimental Procedures.” The samples were collected at different time points and analyzed by Western blot (WB) with anti-PDGFRβ and anti-β-actin antibodies. B, total cell lysates from Ba/F3 cells expressing TPβ, ΔTM-TPβ transduced only once with retroviruses (ΔTM-TPβ), or those transduced twice (ΔTM-TPβ2X) were analyzed with anti-PDGFRβ and anti-β-actin antibodies. Vector-transduced cells were used as a control. All of the samples were analyzed on the same Western blot, and some lanes were cut out of the final image for clarity. C, the same cell lines as in B were used in a proliferation assay as described for Fig. 1B. All of the cell lines proliferated equally in the presence of IL3 (data not shown). The cells transduced once or twice with TPβ proliferated at a similar level (data not shown). D, TPβ and ΔTM-TPβ2X cells were stained for phospho-STAT5 and PDGFRβ. The dot plots show the percentages of cells expressing GFP and PDGFRβ. The histogram shows the phospho-STAT5 staining in the population positive for GFP and for the PDGFRβ indicated by rectangles. TPβ is shown with a bold line, ΔTM-TPβ is shown with a thin line, and control is shown with a dashed line. One representative experiment is shown (n = 4). Vector-expressing cells were used as a control.

ΔTM-TPβ protein was increased compared with TPβ. Surprisingly, the treatment with imatinib did not completely dephosphorylate ΔTM-TPβ. This might be due to a difference in the sensitivity of ΔTM-TPβ to the drug in comparison with TPβ. In agreement with this hypothesis, when we exposed transduced Ba/F3 cells to increasing doses of imatinib, a higher concentration was required to inhibit the proliferation of cells expressing ΔTM-TPβ (Fig. 6C). This difference in imatinib IC₅₀ might reflect a change in the protein conformation, which could bind imatinib less efficiently (39).

We next assessed the activity of hybrid receptors toward the exogenous substrate MBP in an in vitro kinase assay (Fig. 6B). In this experiment, as in the autophosphorylation assay, the ΔTM-TPβ mutant performed better than TPβ. This was particularly clear in the quantitative analysis of the results. In conclusion, the ΔTM-TPβ mutant harbored an increased in vitro kinase activity toward itself and toward exogenous substrates, in comparison with TPβ.

ΔTM-TPβ Can Recruit Signaling Proteins—The strong in vitro catalytic activity of ΔTM-TPβ was surprising because the mutant was unable to signal efficiently in cells. This discrepancy could be explained by a defective recruitment of signaling proteins by ΔTM-TPβ. We could not detect the association with STAT5 with TPβ (by co-immunoprecipitation (data not shown), most likely because phosphorylated STAT5 quickly dissociates from the receptor and migrates to the nucleus. Then we tested the association with another signaling protein, the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase regulatory subunit p85, in Ba/F3 cell lines. As shown in Fig. 7, endogenous p85 was co-immunoprecipitated with TPβ as well as with ΔTM-TPβ. As an additional control, we used cells expressing the FIP1L1-PDGFRα hybrid protein, which also interacted with p85. This experiment shows that the ΔTM-TPβ mutant has the ability to recruit signaling proteins such as p85.

**TM Sequence Requirements for Transformation by TPβ—**To determine which part of the TM sequence was required for TPβ activation, we performed sequential deletions and tested the mutants in Ba/F3 cell proliferation assays. As shown in Fig. 8A, deletion of 14 amino acid residues had no impact on cell growth, whereas deletion of 21 amino acids recapitulated the
Effect of the \( \text{H9004} \) TM mutation. These results suggested that a short hydrophobic sequence is enough to preserve TP/H9252 activity.

Deletion of 4 and 7 amino acids consistently resulted in much higher levels of protein expression. Thus, we could not make a conclusion regarding the effect of these deletions on cell proliferation.

The PDGFR/H9252 TM sequence contains two serine and one threonine residue within the hydrophobic stretch (boxed residues in Fig. 8B). In particular, threonine 545 has been shown to be important for a productive interaction between PDGFR/H9252 and the E5 protein of bovine papilloma virus in membranes (40), and serine 536 is one of the amino acids lost in \( \text{H9004} \) TM21 compared with \( \text{H9004} \) TM14. To analyze the role of these three amino acids in the activation of TP/H9252, we mutated the serine residues into alanine and the threonine into a valine and tested transduced Ba/F3 cell lines for IL3-independent proliferation. As shown in Fig. 8B, the cells expressing the mutants proliferated similarly compared with cells expressing TP/H9252, suggesting that these polar residues are dispensable for the activation of TP/H9252.

**Interruption of the Juxtamembrane Domain Can Rescue the Activity of \( \Delta \text{TM-TP}\beta \)**—Breakpoints falling before the TM domain are generally located in the large intron between exons 10 and 11, which encodes the TM domain, as illustrated for TP/H9252 in Fig. 9A. This particular position could either reflect the requirement of a TM domain for proper activation of the hybrids or a certain weakness in this chromosomal region. Breakpoints falling within the exon 12, which encodes the juxtamembrane region, have been reported in a few cases such as the PRKG2-PDGFR/H9252 fusion, in which approximately one-third of the juxtamembrane (JM) domain is deleted (41).

We generated a deletion in TP\(\beta\) removing the TM domain and a portion of the juxtamembrane domain (exon12-TP\(\beta\); Fig. 9A) and tested transduced Ba/F3 cells for cytokine-independent proliferation except that the imatinib treatment was not applied. The in vitro reactions were performed in the presence of 10 \(\mu\)g of MBP. The reaction products were analyzed by anti-phosphotyrosine and anti-MBP immunoblotting. MBP phosphorylation values were normalized by dividing by the total amount of receptor, after background subtraction. The results from two independent experiments were expressed as average fold increase compared with TP\(\beta\). C, Ba/F3 cells expressing TP\(\beta\) or \(\Delta \text{TM-TP}\beta\) were used in \(\text{H}^3\)thymidine incorporation assays in the presence of increasing doses of imatinib. Proliferation was expressed as a percentage of the condition without imatinib. WB, Western blot.
dent proliferation. We observed that the exon12-TPβ mutant sustained cell growth to an extent similar to TPβ (Fig. 9B), although it was expressed at a lower level. The phosphorylation of exon12-TPβ was stronger than TPβ, similar to what we observed for ΔTM-TPβ (Fig. 9B). Altogether our observations suggested that the presence of a TM domain in PDGFRβ hybrids is not an absolute requirement, provided that the JM domain is also deleted.
DISCUSSION

Here, we show that the transmembrane domain of PDGFR\(\beta\) has a crucial role in the transformation of hematopoietic cells by TP\(\beta\). This was surprising because such a hydrophobic stretch can destabilize cytosolic proteins. Nevertheless, evidence from a number of receptor studies have shown that TM sequences are important for orientation and stabilization of active dimeric membrane receptors (34, 42–44).

Deletion of the TP\(\beta\) TM sequence did not seem to change the subcellular localization of the protein, because cell surface and intracellular staining indicated that TP\(\beta\) and \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) reside in the cytosol, in line with previous reports (33). In addition, the \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) mutant, which retained only 10 residues of the TM sequence, was still active, although its TM domain is most likely too short to span a lipid bilayer.

The \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) protein was expressed at a lower level, because of an increased degradation, which likely contributes to its lack of transforming activity, in line with published results (22). However, other mechanisms must be involved, because increasing the expression of \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) did not augment cell signaling and proliferation.

The cross-linking of \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) induced a pattern of bands that were similar in size to those observed with TP\(\beta\) but was consistently less efficient. This might reflect a decreased oligomerization of \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\). However, the co-immunoprecipitation experiment was unaffected by the TM deletion. In addition, \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) phosphorylation and kinase activity were not reduced, as one would expect if oligomerization was impaired. For these reasons, we speculate that decreased cross-linking may reflect an altered disposition of the polypeptides, which exposes fewer residues to the cross-linker, decreasing the efficiency of the cross-linking reaction. This is further supported by recent data showing that the purified TM domain of PDGFR\(\beta\) can be cross-linked (34). Thus, it is possible that the TM domains are clustered in the TP\(\beta\) oligomer, even though they are not required for the oligomerization process itself, driven by the PNT domain.

The \textit{in vitro} kinase assays showed that the \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) kinase activity was enhanced, whereas the sensitivity to imatinib was reduced in comparison with TP\(\beta\). It is known that imatinib binds to the kinase ATP-binding pocket in its inactive state. The reduced sensitivity of \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) to imatinib suggested that the conformation of its ATP-binding pocket is modified in a way that makes it unable to fit imatinib efficiently as in TP\(\beta\). Noticeably, the fact that the removal of the hydrophobic sequence from TP\(\beta\) reduced protein stability could also indicate that the \(\Delta TM\) mutation alters TP\(\beta\) folding. These data suggest a model in which the TM domain contributes to the activation of TP\(\beta\) by imposing to the PDGFR\(\beta\) kinase domain a conformation that is optimal for signaling. Further work on the PDGFR structure may indicate whether this is a valid hypothesis.

We observed a reduced activation of STAT5 and ERK1/2 in \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) cells, which contrasted with its enhanced kinase activity and phosphorylation. \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) protein was also able to associate with signaling proteins like p85, which is expected if the hybrid receptor is properly phosphorylated. It was also able to phosphorylate an exogenous substrate such as MBP, at least \textit{in vitro}, but failed to induce the phosphorylation of STAT5 in cells. One possible explanation for this discrepancy could be that the hyperactivation of the \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) kinase results in the recruitment of a negative regulator, such as a tyrosine phosphatase.

The TM sequence of PDGFR\(\beta\) is predicted to adopt an \(\alpha\)-helical conformation and to determine the relative orientation of the catalytic subunits in the dimeric receptor (34, 45, 46). The way in which two adjacent kinase domains face each other was shown to be critical for receptor activation in experiments where a dimerization motif was shifted in the PDGFR\(\beta\) TM domain and caused periodic activation of the receptor (47). Deletion of two amino acids produces a rotation that abolishes the activity of PDGFR\(\beta\) and other receptors such as Neu or the erythropoietin receptor (47, 48). In TP\(\beta\), the deletion of two amino acids was expected to cause a rotation of two adjacent kinase domains of half a turn, but we did not observe any inactivation of TP\(\beta\), which argues against a role for the TM domain in providing proper orientation to the kinase subunits in this case. This might be related to the fact that the pointed domain induces the polymerization of TP\(\beta\), whereas the wild-type receptor undergoes dimerization (34, 35, 49).

The constitutive activation of the kinase domain of receptor tyrosine kinases is generally believed to be sufficient for malignant transformation of cells. However, in the present report we showed that \(\Delta TM\)-TP\(\beta\) presented a high kinase activity \textit{in vitro} and is phosphorylated in cells but is unable to activate STAT5 and ERK1/2 and to support cell proliferation. This indicates that the constitutive activation of the kinase domain is not enough to transform cells.

Our results with the exon12-TP\(\beta\) mutant showed that a breakpoint in exon 12, with the consequent deletion of part of the inhibitory JM domain, is able to overcome the lack of the TM domain. This goes in line with previous reports describing the disruption of the JM domain as an alternative mechanism of activation for PDGFR\(\beta\), independently from ligand-induced dimerization and from fusion with oligomerization domains (50). Thus, the TM domain seemed to be required only in PDGFR\(\beta\) hybrids that have an intact JM domain, which represent the majority of the cases described so far.

Most receptor tyrosine kinase fusion products include a dimerization domain in addition to the kinase domain. The present work suggests that the linker sequence between these two domains may also play an important role in the efficient activation of the oncoprotein. This is in line with recent reports pointing to key conformational changes in the region between the ligand-binding domain and the kinase domain of PDGFR\(\beta\) and c-KIT upon receptor dimerization (3, 4). In conclusion, our work revealed a new role for the PDGFR\(\beta\) TM domain in the context of the cytosolic TP\(\beta\) protein and possibly of other hybrid oncoproteins derived from PDGFR\(\beta\).
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