A fluorescence anisotropy assay to discover and characterize ligands targeting the maytansine site of tubulin
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Microtubule-targeting agents (MTAs) like taxol and vinblastine are among the most successful chemotherapeutic drugs against cancer. Here, we describe a fluorescence anisotropy-based assay that specifically probes for ligands targeting the recently discovered maytansine site of tubulin. Using this assay, we have determined the dissociation constants of known maytansine site ligands, including the pharmacologically active degradation product of the clinical antibody-drug conjugate trastuzumab emtansine. In addition, we discovered that the two natural products spongistatin-1 and disorazole Z with established cellular potency bind to the maytansine site on β-tubulin. The high-resolution crystal structures of spongistatin-1 and disorazole Z in complex with tubulin allowed the definition of an additional sub-site adjacent to the pocket shared by all maytansine-site ligands, which could be exploitable as a distinct, separate target site for small molecules. Our study provides a basis for the discovery and development of next-generation MTAs for the treatment of cancer.
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The αβ-tubulin heterodimer is the building block of microtubules that, together with F-actin and intermediate filaments, constitute the cytoskeleton. As such, tubulin is an important target for antineoplastic drugs like taxol and vinblastine. By perturbing microtubule dynamics during mitosis, these drugs interfere with mitotic spindle formation and thus cell division; however, they also act on interphase microtubules and, as a consequence, affect the intracellular trafficking of important molecules and organelles, in particular in neurons.

Microtubule-targeting agents (MTAs) can be broadly divided into microtubule-stabilizing and -destabilizing agents. Six distinct tubulin-binding sites for ligands have been structurally characterized to date, which are referred to as the taxane, laulimalide/peloruside, colchicine, vinca, pironetin and maytansine site, respectively. Molecules that bind to the taxane and laulimalide/peloruside site stabilize microtubules, while compounds targeting the colchicine, vinblastine, pironetin or maytansine site destabilize microtubules. Taxane- and vinblastine-site ligands are in clinical use for cancer therapy, but no drugs have been approved that target any of the other four binding sites, with the exception of the taxane site. This has been held back, in part, by their severe toxic side effects and the development of resistance.

The maytansine site on tubulin has been discovered only very recently. It is a unique site on β-tubulin that is located at the longitudinal tubulin–tubulin interface in microtubules, which readily explains the microtubule-stabilizing effects of maytansine-site ligands. Three distinctly different ligands that target the maytansine site have been described: maytansine, maytansinoid and maytansine-site ligands. To this end, we prepared a fluorescently labeled maytansinoid that carries a fluorescein reporter attached to the 3-OH group of the maytansinol core structure via a flexible linker moiety (referred to as FcMaytansine (M5)). In the tubulin–maytansine crystal structure, the corresponding N-acetyl-N-methyl-d-alanyl residue in maytansine occupies a solvent-exposed position that is located remote from the tubulin surface; thus, the fluorescence linker moiety was expected not to interfere with binding of the FcMaytansine probe. As shown in Fig. 1, FcMaytansine was prepared in two steps from commercially available maytansinol (M1). Details of the synthesis can be found in the Supplementary Information.

In this study, we develop a quantitative fluorescence anisotropy displacement assay based on a fluorescein-labeled maytansine derivative, with the aim to provide a platform for the identification and characterization of additional maytansine-site ligands. We choose the maytansine site because it is poorly characterized and because no tools are available to characterize the binding of maytansine-site ligands in detail. We show that the assay is specific for the maytansine site and can be operated in a high-throughput manner. By using this assay, we identify two natural products, spongistatin-1 and disorazole Z, as maytansine-site ligands. We solve the structures of both compounds in complex with tubulin to high resolution by X-ray crystallography, which allows us to analyze the maytansine site in great detail. The experimental tools and results presented in this study should contribute to the discovery and characterization of maytansine-site-directed, small-molecule MTAs for the development of next-generation anti-tubulin drugs for the treatment of cancer.

**Results**

**A fluorescent probe targeting the maytansine site of tubulin.** In this study, we sought to develop a fluorescence anisotropy assay to identify and determine the tubulin-binding affinities of maytansine-site ligands. To this end, we prepared a fluorescently labeled maytansinoid that carries a fluorescein reporter attached to the 3-OH group of the maytansinol core structure via a flexible linker moiety (referred to as FcMaytansine (M5)). In the tubulin–maytansine crystal structure, the corresponding N-acetyl-N-methyl-d-alanyl residue in maytansine occupies a solvent-exposed position that is located remote from the tubulin surface; thus, the fluorescence linker moiety was expected not to interfere with binding of the FcMaytansine probe. As shown in Fig. 1, FcMaytansine was prepared in two steps from commercially available maytansinol (M1). Details of the synthesis can be found in the Supplementary Information.

The tubulin-binding properties of FcMaytansine were assessed by fluorescence anisotropy measurements. Using our experimental setup (see Methods), the addition of increasing amounts of tubulin (0 to 400 nM) to FcMaytansine (10 nM) led to a concentration-dependent increase in anisotropy by a factor of ~1.6 from ~16 mA in the absence of tubulin to ~250 mA at saturation (Fig. 2a), thus providing an assay with both excellent dynamic range and signal-to-noise ratio (see also Supplementary Fig. 1a). We observed that the increase in fluorescence anisotropy was accompanied by a concomitant increase in the fluorescence
complex formed between two lography. FcMaytansine was soaked into a crystal composed of a bind to the maytansine site of tubulin, we used X-ray crystal-fl. K model, which yielded a dissociation constant, for the system to equilibrate (Supplementary Fig. 1b). The stathmin-like protein RB3 (R) and tubulin tyrosine ligase (TTL) affected upon binding of a on the exact pKa value of the carboxyl group, which is often fl. intensity by a factor of 3.1 ± 0.1. This behavior is well known for fluorescein derivatives, whose fluorescence properties depend on the exact pKa value of the carboxyl group, which is often fl. experiments with FcMaytansine and the two unlabeled maytansine-site ligands ansamitocin P3 and PM06018418,19. Vinblastine and colchicine were used as negative controls. The concentrations of FcMaytansine (10 nM) and tubulin (4 nM) were chosen such that the bound fraction of FcMaytansine was around 0.5 based on the Kd of the tubulin–FcMaytansine complex. The mixture was then incubated with an unlabeled competitor at increasing concentrations prior to anisotropy measurements. The final dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) concentration in each sample amounted to ~1.2%; as documented in Supplementary Fig. 1c, the assay tolerates a DMSO concentration of up to 5%. The plots shown in Fig. 3a, b demonstrate that FcMaytansine can be fully displaced by the two maytansine-site ligands ansamitocin P3 and PM060184 in a dose-dependent manner, but not by vinblastine or colchicine. The Kd values determined for ansamitocin P3 and PM060184 were 15.0 ± 1.3 nM (mean ± SEM; n = 3) and 111 ± 30 nM (mean ± SEM; n = 3), respectively.

To assess whether our assay is suitable for high-throughput screening, we determined its Z-factor.20. Samples of 10 nM FcMaytansine mixed with 400 nM tubulin and of 10 nM FcMaytansine alone were applied to a 96-well plate and the anisotropy was measured (Supplementary Fig. 1d). A Z-factor of 0.97 was calculated from the mean and the standard deviation anisotropy values, which underpins the excellent

**Fig. 2** Binding of FcMaytansine to tubulin. **a, b** FcMaytansine (10 nM) anisotropy variation (a) and fraction bound (b) as a function of the tubulin concentration. The data are from three independent experiments and represent mean ± SEM. The solid lines represent fits to the data (see Methods). **c** Crystal structure of the tubulin–FcMaytansine complex. Superposition of FcMaytansine (white/green) and maytansine (orange, PDB ID 4TV8) bound to tubulin. The superimposed β-tubulin chains are in ribbon, both the ligands and the interacting residues are in stick representation and are labeled. **d** Close-up view of the interactions formed by the xanthene-moiety of FcMaytansine. The same display settings as in **c** are used.
**Table 1 Data collection and refinement statistics**

|                     | T<sub>R</sub>-TTL-Disorazole Z | T<sub>R</sub>-TTL-Spongastatin-1 | T<sub>R</sub>-TTL-FcMaytansine |
|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Data collection**  |                               |                                 |                             |
| Space group         | P2₁<sub>1</sub>2₁2₁          | P2₁<sub>1</sub>2₁              | P2₁2₁2₁                     |
| Cell dimensions     |                               |                                 |                             |
| a, b, c (Å)         | 104.4, 157.6, 179.6           | 105.7, 159.9, 181.0            | 104.4, 156.7, 181.0         |
| α, β, γ (°)         | 90, 90, 90                   | 90, 90, 90                    | 90, 90, 90                  |
| Resolution (Å)      | 56.0–2.1 (2.15–2.10)         | 16.7 (273.4)                  | 21.6 (258.6)                |
| R<sub>merge</sub>   | 10.5 (312.6)                 | 15.8 (1.1)                    | 10.0 (0.8)                  |
| Completeness (%)    | 100 (99.8)                   | 99.8 (97.5)                   | 100 (99.8)                  |
| Redundancy          | 12.1 (7.9)                   | 16.7 (15.6)                   | 6.8 (6.5)                   |
| Refinement          |                               |                                 |                             |
| Resolution (Å)      | 56.0–2.1                     | 48.1–2.4                      | 49.6–2.4                    |
| No. of reflections  | 172,624                      | 115,478                       | 116,054                     |
| R<sub>work</sub>/R<sub>free</sub> | 17.9/21.3             | 16.8/21.6                     | 20.1/25.8                   |
| No. of atoms        |                               |                                 |                             |
| Protein             | 17,632                       | 17,648                        | 17,542                      |
| Ligand              | 100                          | 180                           | 145                         |
| Water               | 864                          | 572                           | 453                         |
| R<sub>f</sub> factors |                             |                                 |                             |
| Protein             | 64.8                         | 71.5                          | 62.6                        |
| Ligand              | 115.0                        | 112.4                         | 97.5                        |
| Water               | 63.9                         | 67.7                          | 53.8                        |
| R.m.s. deviations   |                               |                                 |                             |
| Bond lengths (Å)    | 0.004                        | 0.004                         | 0.002                       |
| Bond angles (°)     | 0.621                        | 0.677                         | 0.545                       |

*For each structure data were collected from a single crystal

*Values in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell

**Analysis of trastuzumab emtansine degradation products.** The maytansine derivative DM1 (Fig. 3c) forms the basis for trastuzumab emtansine, one of the two ADCs currently in clinical use for cancer treatment; specifically, trastuzumab emtansine is approved for the treatment of HER2-positive metastatic breast cancer. In cells, trastuzumab emtansine is ultimately transformed into the lysine conjugate M9 (Fig. 3c) as the pharmacologically active entity by lysosomal degradation of the targeting antibody carrier. The in vitro cytotoxicity of M9 has been investigated and the compound is ~450-fold less potent in cellular assays than the simple methyl thiourea of DM1 (S-Me DM1), mostly as a consequence of reduced cellular uptake. At the same time, the intracellular formation of M9 from an anti-EpCAM-DM1 conjugate in MCF-7 cells has been shown to correlate with the effects of the conjugate on microtubule dynamics. While this finding indicates that the cytotoxicity of the anti-EpCAM-DM1 conjugate is based on M9-mediated suppression of microtubule dynamics, to the best of our knowledge, a direct interaction of M9 with tubulin has not been demonstrated. Using our fluorescence anisotropy displacement assay, we have thus determined the binding affinity of M9 for tubulin.

The DM1-lysine conjugate M9 (Fig. 3c) was prepared from DM1 according to literature procedures (for details, see Supplementary Information). The reaction produced two high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-separable, but slowly interconverting, C9'-diastereoisomers (designated as M9A and M9B) in an approximately 1:1 ratio, with full equilibration in phosphate buffer pH 7.2 being observed after 24 h. This finding is in line with previous data suggesting that the thiol addition products of N-ethyl maleimide with different biological thiols (including cysteine and glutathione) readily interconvert even at neutral pH. The method used to prepare M9 inevitably leads to two diastereoisomeric products, due to the formation of an additional stereocenter at C9' in the 1,4-addition of DM1 to the maleimido part of the linker precursor and the inherently non-selective nature of the reaction. However, the interconversion rate between M9A and M9B is sufficiently slow to allow the separate assessment of their tubulin-binding affinities. As shown in Fig. 3d, both isomers bind to tubulin with comparable K<sub>d</sub> values of 12.6 ± 0.4 nM (mean ± SEM; n = 3) and 17.5 ± 1.2 nM (mean ± SEM; n = 3) for M9A and M9B, respectively, values similar to the one obtained for the maytansine derivative ansamitocin P3. These K<sub>d</sub> values are in the same ballpark as the one obtained for FcMaytansine using direct titration experiments (see above), indicating that the fluorescein moiety does not significantly influence the affinity of FcMaytansine for tubulin.

Together, these data demonstrate that the affinity of M9 is very similar to that of S-Me DM1, which suggests that the difference in antiproliferative activity when the compounds are added to cells exogenously is due to differences in cell uptake. In contrast, the potency of intracellularly generated M9 should be comparable with that of maytansine (and, thus, of S-Me DM1).

**Sponginstatin-1 and disorazole Z are maytansine-site ligands.** Based on biochemical experiments, canonical maytansine-site ligands like maytansine (or its derivative ansamitocin P3) and...
rhizoxin had been previously thought to bind to the vinblastine site of tubulin. This misassignment can be explained by the fact that the maytansine and vinblastine sites are located close to each other and, thus their distinction is not straightforward (Supplementary Fig. 2a). To assess whether our fluorescence anisotropy assay would also enable the identification of additional maytansine site-specific ligands, we tested a small number of additional compounds, including the natural product spongistatin-1. Spongistatin-1 is a highly potent tubulin polymerization inhibitor that is believed to bind to the vinblastine site on β-tubulin. Intriguingly, spongistatin-1 readily displaced FcMaytansine from tubulin in a dose-dependent manner, revealing a $K_d$ of $9.5 \pm 1.9 \text{nM}$ (mean ± SEM; $n = 3$) (Fig. 4a, b). Likewise, the potent cytotoxin disorazole Z, which belongs to the same family of myxobacterial natural products as the vinblastine-site ligand disorazole C$_2$, but whose binding to tubulin had not been investigated previously, interacts with the maytansine site with a $K_d$ of $576 \pm 49 \text{nM}$ (mean ± SEM; $n = 3$) (Fig. 4c, d).

To test whether the two compounds indeed bind to the maytansine site of tubulin, we used our $T_{R}-T_{TL}$ crystal system and solved the tubulin–spongistatin-1 and tubulin–disorazole Z complex structures to 2.4 and 2.1 Å resolution, respectively (Table 1; Supplementary Fig. 2bc). The two complex structures revealed that both compounds indeed interact with the maytansine site on β-tubulin without inducing any significant conformational changes in the protein (Fig. 4c, f). Spongistatin-1 binds to the maytansine site by establishing a hydrophobic network between its cyclic core and the side chains of βAla397, βMet398, βAla403, βPhe404, βVal181 and βTrp407. Its side chain is buried in a pocket shaped by the side chains of residues βPro173, βPro175, βGlu183, βPro184 and βGln394, and by the main chain atoms of βSer178, βThr180 and βVal181. This accounts for the structure–activity relationship finding that incorporation of the full side chain is critical for the potent cytotoxicity of spongistatin-1.31 The tubulin–spongistatin complex is further stabilized by polar contacts formed between the main chain carbonyl group of βAsp179 and the hydroxyl group of the F ring and between the main chain NH group of βVal181 and the hydroxyl group of the B ring of spongistatin. Furthermore, a weak C–H hydrogen bond exists between C28H of the molecule and the main chain carbonyl group of βAla397. The disorazole Z molecule is anchored into the maytansine site by several hydrophobic contacts to residues βTyr408, βTrp407 and βPhe404. The complex is further stabilized by polar contacts between both the oxazole nitrogen and the adjacent carbonyl group of the ester moiety to the main chain NH groups of βVal181 and βVal182, respectively. Moreover, both the carbonyl group of the second ester moiety and the adjacent hydroxyl group form hydrogen bonds to the side chain amide of βAsn101 and the backbone carbonyl of βGly100, respectively. These interactions involve only one half of the disorazole Z molecule. The other half, with both halves being related by twofold symmetry, is exposed to the solvent.

Together, these results demonstrate that our fluorescence anisotropy assay is suitable to identify maytansine-site ligands with $K_d$ values in the nanomolar range. They further establish spongistatin-1 and disorazole Z as maytansine-site ligands.

**Comprehensive description of the maytansine site of tubulin.** Collectively, the crystal structures of tubulin in complex with
Spongistatin-1 and disorazole Z together with those of the complexes with maytansine, PM060184, and rhizoxin F allowed us to analyze the interactions of ligands with the maytansine site in significant detail. To this end, we superimposed all five complex structures and compared the binding modes of the structurally distinct ligands (Fig. 5a, b). Maytansine, PM060184 and rhizoxin F have been previously shown to share three key interaction points with β-tubulin (denoted I, II and III), which define a common pharmacophore. As illustrated in Fig. 5c, points I and II represent hydrogen bond acceptor sites, mediating interactions with βAsn102, βGly100 (point I) and with βVal181 (point II), respectively; point III mediates hydrophobic contacts through βVal182 and βTyr408. Notably, while disorazole Z binds to all three common pharmacophore acceptor points, Spongistatin-1...
Figs. 5 Maytansine-site pharmacophore. a Superposition of tubulin-bound spongistatin-1 (orange) and disorazole Z (green) (b) Superposition of tubulin-bound maytansine (gray), rhizoxin F (cyan) and PM060184 (purple). The main interacting residues are labeled in black and hydrogen bonds are represented by black broken lines. Secondary structure elements are labeled in blue. The interacting parts of the molecules are depicted with spheres and labeled according to (c). c Schematic drawing of the maytansine-site pharmacophore with additionally explored regions. The secondary interaction points are labeled IA, IB and IC and IIA, IIB, IIC and IID. Differently colored symbols are assigned to each of the five maytansine-site ligands, which appear close to the corresponding interacting residues.

Maytansine/disorazole Z, spongistatin-1/disorazole Z and rhizoxin/disorazole Z interact with three distinct pockets located close to the common pharmacophore point I via a hydrogen bond acceptor point IA (mediated by Asn101), a hydrophobic contact point IB (mediated by Trp407) and a hydrophobic contact point IC (mediated by Phe404), respectively. Furthermore, PM060184 and spongistatin-1 both interact with two pockets adjacent to the common pharmacophore point II via hydrogen bonds (denoted point II A; mediated by Asp179) and hydrophobic contacts (denoted point II B; mediated by Pro175 and Pro184). Interestingly, spongistatin-1 establishes two distinct interactions close to point II via a hydrophobic contact (denoted point II C; mediated by Met398) and a weak hydrogen bond (point II D; mediated by Ala397), thereby defining an additional binding mode that is not observed for any of the other four maytansine-site ligands. Overall, this analysis provides a comprehensive and detailed comparison of the tubulin-binding modes of maytansine-site ligands.

Discussion
In this study, we have established a high-performance assay that allows the identification and quantitative evaluation of ligands that specifically bind to the maytansine site of tubulin. Our FcMaytansine probe enables the screening of ligands with Ki values in the nanomolar range in a fast, cheap, non-destructive and easily automatable manner. Using this assay, we determined the dissociation constants of a number of important maytansine-site ligands, including the pharmacologically active entity of the ADC trastuzumab emtansine and the phase II compound PM060184. We further identified spongistatin-1 and disorazole Z as canonical maytansine-site ligands and we have structurally characterized the maytansine site in great detail.

Our results have several implications. Firstly, our experimental platform will allow the curation of assignments of ligands that may be thought to bind to the vinblastine site erroneously. We expect that the number of ligands binding to the maytansine site will further increase and establish it as a major ligand binding site on tubulin along with the taxane, colchicine and vinblastine sites. Secondly, our FcMaytansine approach paves the way to the generation of additional tool compounds to be used in in vitro and in cellular experiments. The maytansine site of β-tubulin is exposed at the plus-end of microtubules. Exchanging the fluorescein moiety of FcMaytansine by a stronger fluorophore could thus be used to visualize distal microtubule plus-ends by fluorescence microscopy and study the action of single drug molecules on their molecular target. Thirdly, maytansine derivatives bearing fluorescent groups other than fluorescein could be used to develop assays for the identification of low micromolar affinity binders, as they are typically found in small molecule and fragment libraries. To the best of our knowledge, there are no structurally simple (as compared to the structurally complex natural products investigated here), potent maytansine-site lead compounds available that could potentially be developed into...
(free) drugs for clinical use. On the other hand, the detailed structural description of the maytansine site may now enable the rational design of such less complex maytansine-site-directed MTAs. Overall, our study presents a possible basis for the development of next-generation anti-tubulin drugs for the treatment of cancer.

Methods
Chemistry and compounds. Details of the synthesis of the fluorescent maytansinoid M5 and the DM1 lysine conjugate M9 are reported in the Supplementary Information (see Supplementary Methods and Supplementary Figs 3-6). The synthesis of spongistatin-1 and PM060184 are described elsewhere (23, 25). Disorazol Z was produced by fermentation as described in the corresponding patent literature (biologically active compounds obtainable from Sorangium cellulosum, EP 1743897 A1). Ansamitocin P3, colchicine and vinblastine were obtained from Sigma Aldrich. Maytansinol was purchased from Levena Biopharm, San Diego, USA.

Tubulin-binding of FcMaytansine and competition experiments. The binding constant of the fluorescent FcMaytansine probe to tubulin was determined by fluorescence anisotropy titration at 25 °C in black Greiner 384-well, flat-bottom microplates and in a final volume of 30 µL. 10 nM FcMaytansine in assay buffer (15 mM PIPES-KOH, pH 6.8, supplemented with 0.3 mM MgCl2 and 0.2 mM EDTA) was titrated with increasing amounts of tubulin up to 400 nM. The anisotropy and fluorescence values of the free (r = 0.016 ± 0.001, fluorescence = 1 (arbitrary units)) and bound (r = 0.245 ± 0.005, fluorescence = 3.10 ± 0.005 (arbitrary units)) states were determined in the absence and presence of 400 nM tubulin, respectively. The anisotropy was measured using a PHERAstar microplate reader (BMG Labtech) with the fluorescence polarization module. Samples were equilibrated at 25 °C for 15 min prior to measurement at 25 °C. Excitation and emission wavelengths were set to 485 and 528 nm, respectively. The read height and the gain were adjusted automatically. As a canonical maytansine-site compound we used ansamitocin P3, a close derivative of the parent compound maytansine. Comparison of the high-resolution tubulin-ansamitocin P3 crystal structure (our own unpublished results) with that of tubulin–maytansine (25) showed that all tubulin–contacting groups of the two compounds are identical. We thus consider ansamitocin P3 in this context as an excellent substitute for maytansine.

Fluorescence anisotropy competition experiments and analyses were performed as previously described (25) with minor modifications. Samples containing 10 nM FcMaytansine and 4 nM tubulin in assay buffer were titrated with increasing amounts of a competitor at 25 °C in black Greiner 384-well, flat-bottom microplates and in a final volume of 50 µL. Fluorescence anisotropy measurements were carried out as described above. In order to calculate the binding constant of FcMaytansine and one of a non-fluorescent ligand from a competition experiment, the fractional saturation, vb, of FcMaytansine to tubulin was measured through changes in its anisotropy. The anisotropy of a mixture of free and bound FcMaytansine can be expressed as

\[ r = F_b + r_b + F_f + r_f, \]

where \( r \) is the measured anisotropy, \( F_b \) and \( F_f \) are the fractional fluorescence intensities of free and bound FcMaytansine, respectively, \( r_b \) is the anisotropy of the free FcMaytansine, and \( r_f \) is the anisotropy of the bound FcMaytansine.

In the simplest case of a fluorescent probe with no quantum yield difference between the bound and the free state (25), the fraction of bound (vb) and free (vf) fluorophore coincides with the fluorescence fractions for the bound and free fluorophore (Fb and Ff, respectively). Employing Eq. 1 and knowing that the sum of the fluorescence fractions is 1 by definition, we obtain

\[ vb = (r - rf) / (rb - rf). \]

However, FcMaytansine does not exhibit this behavior, because there is a 3.1 ± 0.1-fold difference between the fluorescence intensity of the bound and free FcMaytansine. In this case the fluorescence fraction is weighted by the change in fluorescence intensity according to

\[ F_b = vb \times (Lb / Lf), \]

where \( Lb \) is the fluorescence intensity of bound FcMaytansine and \( Lf \) is the total fluorescence intensity. A similar expression applies to free FcMaytansine. Given Eqs. 1 and 3

\[ r = (L_b / L_f) \times (vf + r_f) + (L_f / L_b) \times (vb + r_b), \]

where \( L_b \) is the fluorescence intensity of free FcMaytansine and \( L_f \) is the fractional saturation of free FcMaytansine. Since the sum of the fractions free (vf) and bound (vb) ligand is 1 and

\[ L_f = L_f \times F_f + L_b \times F_b, \]

Employing Eqs. 1, 4 and 5, we obtain the fractional saturation of FcMaytansine needed to calculate the binding constant of its binding to the site and this of a ligand by competition with a probe of known binding constant.

\[ vb = (r - rf) / [(r_f - rf) + (r_b - r)], \]

with \( R \) representing the ratio between the fluorescence intensity of the bound and free species (\( R = L_b / L_f \)). Equation 6 can be simplified with Eq. 3 in cases when there is no change in the quantum yield (i.e., \( R = 1 \)).

Once the fractional saturation of FcMaytansine in the experiments is known, the free concentration of tubulin and FcMaytansine in the titration assay can be calculated from the difference between the bound and the total concentration of the probe. The free concentration of tubulin was calculated from the difference in the total and bound concentration of FcMaytansine assuming a stoichiometry of 1:1. The values of fractional saturation of FcMaytansine bound and free for the tubulin–FcMaytansine concentration were used to determine a binding constant at 25 °C of 1.6 ± 0.3 × 10^8 M⁻¹ using SigmaPlot v13 (Systat Software, Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

The binding constant of a problem ligand \( K_l \) can be determined from the known values of the binding constant of the reference ligand \( K_r \) and the total and bound concentration of binding sites, FcMaytansine and ligand by solving the simultaneous mass action equations:

\[ K_l = [\text{Ligand}]_{\text{bound}} / [\text{Sites}]_{l} \cdot [\text{Ligand}]_{\text{free}} \]

\[ K_r = [\text{FcMaytansine}]_{\text{bound}} / [\text{FcMaytansine}]_{\text{free}} \]

\[ [\text{FcMaytansine}]_{\text{free}} = [\text{FcMaytansine}]_{\text{bound}} + 2[FcMaytansine]_{\text{free}} \]

\[ [\text{FcMaytansine}]_{\text{bound}} = [\text{FcMaytansine}]_{\text{free}} - [\text{FcMaytansine}]_{\text{bound}} \]

\[ [\text{Sites}]_{l} = [\text{Sites}]_{\text{free}} - [\text{FcMaytansine}]_{\text{bound}} \]

The system was solved using EQUIGRA5 (24) using the binding constant of FcMaytansine and a stoichiometry of 1:1 for the tubulin–FcMaytansine complex (EQUIGRA5 can be obtained upon request from Fernando Díaz, fer@cib.csic.es).

X-ray data collection and structure solution. Crystals of TtR–TTL were obtained by the sitting-drop vapor-diffusion method as previously described (16, 17) and with a protein ratio of 2:1:2.1:2 (tubulin/RB3/TTL). Crystals reached their maximum dimensions within 1 week and were soaked for 1 h to overnight at 20 °C in reservoir solution consisting of 10 % PEG 4k, 16% glycerol, 30 mM MgCl2, 30 mM CaCl2, and 100 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid/midazolame pH 6.7 and containing 2 or 5 mM of compound (final DMSO concentration: 10%). Crystals were flash frozen directly from the drop, transferred for a few seconds to a reservoir solution supplemented with 2 or 5 mM of compound and 20% glycerol as cryoprotecting agent and flash-cooled in a nitrogen stream at the beamline. Standard data collections were performed at 1 Å wavelength at 100 K in the beamline X06DA at the Swiss Light Source (Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland). Standard data processing, structure solution using the difference Fourier method and structure refinement were performed with the programs XDS (26) and Phenix (16, 17). All models have good geometry, with small r.m.s. deviations from ideal values for bond lengths and bond angles. MolProbity (27) analysis shows all residues in favorable or allowable regions in the Ramachandran plot (TtR–TTL–disorazole Z: 98.2/1.8%; TtR–TTL–Spongistatin-1: 97.4/2.6%; TtR–TTL–FcMaytansine: 97.6/2.4%). Data collection and refinement statistics are given in Table 1. Figures were prepared in PyMol (Schrödinger, LLC).

Data availability. Data supporting the findings of this manuscript are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Coordinates of the X-ray crystal structures have been deposited in the RCSB PDB (www.rcsb.org) under accession numbers 6FJJ (tubulin-FcMaytansine), 6FII (tubulin-spongistatin-1) and 6FJM (tubulin-disorazole 2).

Received: 1 February 2018 Accepted: 1 May 2018
Published online: 29 May 2018

References
1. Jordan, M. A. & Wilson, L. Microtubules as a target for anticancer drugs. Nat. Rev. Cancer 4, 253–265 (2004).
2. Mukhtar, E., Adhami, V. M. & Mukhtar, H. Targeting microtubules by natural agents for cancer therapy. Mol. Cancer Ther. 13, 275–284 (2014).
3. Stanton, R. A., Gernert, K. M., Nettles, J. H. & Aneja, R. Drugs that target dynamic microtubules: a new molecular perspective. Med. Res. Rev. 31, 443–481 (2011).
4. Akhmanova, A. & Steinmetz, M. O. Control of microtubule organization and dynamics: two ends in the limelight. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol* 16, 711–726 (2015).

5. Field, J. J., Whiting, A. B. & Senter, P. D. A previously undescribed tubulin binder. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 13684–13685 (2014).

6. Prota, A. E. et al. Pironetin binds covalently to alphaCys316 and perturbs a major loop and helix of alpha-tubulin to inhibit microtubule formation. *J. Mol. Biol.* 428, 2981–2988 (2016).

7. Yang, J. et al. Pironetin reacts covalently with cysteine-316 of alpha-tubulin to destabilize microtubule. *Nat. Commun.* 7, 12103 (2016).

8. Kavallaris, M. Microtubules and resistance to tubulin-binding agents. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 10, 194–204 (2010).

9. Martinez-Diez, M. et al. PM060184, a new tubulin binding agent with potent N-ethylmaleimide reactions of N-ethylmaleimide with biological thiols. *Biochemistry* 57, 6949–6964 (2014).

10. Perez, H. L. et al. Antibody-drug conjugates: current status and future directions. *Drug Discov. Today* 19, 869–881 (2014).

11. Lopus, M. et al. Antibody-drug conjugates: current status and future directions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 13681–13682 (2014).

12. Rhizoxin binding to tubulin at the vinblastine site. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 9, 2689–2699 (2010).

13. Prota, A. E. et al. Structural basis of tubulin tyrosination by tubulin tyrosine ligase. *J. Cell Biol.* 200, 259–270 (2013).

14. Prota, A. E. et al. Molecular mechanism of action of microtubule-stabilizing anticancer agents. *Science* 339, 587–590 (2013).

15. Vaghate, I. S., Verma, P. J., Kumar, A. & Pandey, D. Ansamitocin P3 depolymerizes microtubules and induces apoptosis by binding to tubulin at the vinblastine site. *Plas. One* 8, e57182 (2013).

16. Wu, D. et al. Surface polymerization of X-ray data at beamlines X06DA of the Swiss Light Source (Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland). We are indebted to Kurt Hauenstein and Dr. Tobias Bruutsch for valuable technical advice. We also thank Dr. Bernhard Pfeiffer for support with NMR experiments and the mass spec facility at the Laboratory of Organic Chemistry of the ETH Zurich for HRMS measurements, and the UK National Mass Spectrometry Facility (NMSF) at Swansea University. This work was supported by grants from MINECO/FEDER (BFU2016-75319-R; to J.F.D.), the Swiss National Science Foundation (31003A_166608; to M.O.S.) and by the COST Action CM1407 (to J.F.D., K.-H.A. and H.I.).

17. Oroudjev, E. et al. Maytansinoid-antibody conjugates induce mitotic arrest by suppressing microtubule dynamic instability. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 9, 2700–2713 (2010).

18. Prota, A. E. et al. Pironetin binds covalently to alphaCys316 and perturbs a major loop and helix of alpha-tubulin to inhibit microtubule formation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1858, 291–302 (2014).

19. Zhang, J. H., Chung, T. D. & Oldenburg, K. R. A simple statistical parameter for use in evaluation and validation of high throughput screening assays. *J. Biomol. Screen.* 6, 67–73 (1999).

20. Casi, G. & Neri, D. Antibody-drug conjugates and small molecule-drug conjugates: opportunities and challenges for the development of selective anticancer cytotoxic agents. *J. Med. Chem.* 58, 8751–8761 (2015).

21. Widdison, W. et al. Metabolites of antibody-maytansinoid conjugates: characteristics and in vitro potencies. *Mol. Pharmacol.* 49, 2433–2454 (1996).

22. Rai, S. S. & Wolff, J. Localization of the vinblastine-binding site on beta-tubulin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271, 14707–14711 (1996).

23. Gupta, S. & Bhattacharyya, B. Antimicrotubular drugs binding to vinca domain of tubulin. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* 253, 41–47 (2003).

24. Takahashi, M., Iwasaki, S., Kobayashi, H., Okuda, S., Murai, T. & Sato, Y. Studies on macrocyclic lactone antibiotics. 12. Rhizoxin binding to tubulin at the maytansine-binding site. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 926, 215–223 (1987).

25. Bai, R. et al. The spongistatins, potently cytotoxic inhibitors of tubulin polymerization, bind in a distinct region of the vinca domain. *Biochemistry* 34, 9714–9721 (1995).

26. Aicher, B., Hirschfelder, K. & Jansen, R. et al. Disorazol Z: a highly cytotoxic natural compound with antitumour properties. *Mol. Cancer Ther.* 10, C214–C214 (2011).

27. Prota, A. E. et al. Pironetin binds covalently to alphaCys316 and perturbs a major loop and helix of alpha-tubulin to inhibit microtubule formation. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 328, 715–722 (2009).

28. Paterson, I. Acena, J. L., Bach, J., Chen, D. Y. & Coster, M. J. Synthesis and biological evaluation of spongistatin/althoythin analogues: E-ring dehydration and C46 side-chain truncation. *Chem. Commun. (Camb.)* 4, 462–463 (2003).

29. Martin, M. J. et al. Isolation and first total synthesis of PM050489 and PM060184, two new marine anticancer compounds. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 135, 10164–10171 (2013).

30. Paterson, I. et al. Stereocontrolled total synthesis of (+)-althoythin A/spongistatin 1. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 40, 4055–4060 (2001).

31. Diaz, J. F. & Buey, R. M. Characterizing ligand-microtubule binding by competition methods. *Methods Mol. Med.* 137, 245–260 (2007).

32. Diart, J. F., Strobe, R., Engelborghs, Y., Souto, A. A. & Andreu, J. M. Molecular recognition of taxol by microtubules. Kinetics and thermodynamics of binding of fluorescent taxol derivatives to an exposed site. *J. Biol. Chem.* 275, 26265–26276 (2000).

33. Lakowicz, J. *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy* (Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, New York, 1999).

34. Adams, P. D. et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr.* 66, 213–221 (2010).

35. Davis, I. W., Murray, L. W., Richardson, J. S. & Richardson, D. C. MOLPROBITY: structure validation and all-atom contact analysis for nucleic acids and their complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32, W615–W619 (2004).