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Thermal aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein
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1. Introduction

The outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in 2003 (Vijayanand et al., 2004) is associated with a newly discovered coronavirus, SARS-associated coronavirus (SARS-CoV) (Rota et al., 2003). Coronaviruses are exceptionally large RNA viruses and employ complex regulatory mechanisms to express their genomes (Holmes and Lai, 1996). The complete genome sequences of several SARS-CoV isolates have been determined (Marra et al., 2003; Rota et al., 2003). The genome structure, gene expression pattern and protein profiles of SARS-CoV are similar to those of other coronaviruses (Holmes and Enjuanes, 2003; Thiel et al., 2003). Nine SARS-CoV specific RNAs were synthesized in virus-infected cells (Thiel et al., 2003). These RNAs were predicted to encode two large replicative polyprotein (pp1a and pp1ab), four structural proteins (spike, membrane, envelope, and nucleocapsid proteins), and other auxiliary proteins. The four structural proteins of coronaviruses (S, E, M, and N) play roles in virion morphogenesis (Holmes and Lai, 1996). N binds to viral RNA to form nucleocapsid. Co-expression of M and E proteins together can form virus-like particles (de Haan et al., 1998a). Interactions between the M and E proteins and nucleocapsids result in virus budding through cellular membrane. By interaction with M protein, the M protein is incorporated into the viral envelope and the mature virions are released from the cells. It has also been demonstrated that virus-like particles of SARS-CoV could be formed by expressing M and E proteins in insect cells (Ho et al., 2004).
The mechanisms of SARS pathogenesis are largely unknown and may involve both viral cytopathic effects on the cells and immune-mediated mechanisms (Lai, 2003). To understand the humoral immune response against SARS-CoV infection, the antibody profile against various SARS-CoV structural proteins in different time course during SARS-CoV infection needs to be examined. It has been demonstrated that different treatments (e.g. heating, 2-ME) would promote the unfolding of structural proteins in other coronaviruses (Callebaut and Pensaert, 1980; Deregt et al., 1987; Hague and Brian, 1986; Sturman et al., 1989; Wege et al., 1979). Therefore, both denaturing (Ma et al., 2002; Makowsky and Ramsby, 1997) and non-denaturing treatments (Lin et al., 1998) should be used for antigen preparations. Nucleocapsid and spike proteins can be detected using Western blotting analysis by either denaturing condition (sample buffer containing 67.5 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 5% 2-mercaptoethanol, 3% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol, treatment at 100 °C for 10 min) or non-denaturing conditions (sample buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 100 mM dithiothreitol, 2% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol; no boiling treatment) while membrane protein could only be detected in non-denaturing but not denaturing condition (Lo et al., 2005). In the present study, factors affecting the detection of SARS-CoV membrane protein in SDS-PAGE were investigated.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plasmid construction

SARS-CoV membrane gene fragment was derived from the serum of one SARS patient (Lo et al., 2005) by RT-PCR (reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction). PCR primers used in this study are listed in Table 1. PCR primers (M-S2 and M-A2) were used to amplify the membrane gene fragment. After PCR reaction, DNA fragment was digested by restriction enzymes (EcoRI and Xhol) and cloned into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, USA) expression vector (linearized by EcoRI/Xhol). The sequences of this membrane gene are identical to the corresponding gene sequences of CUKH-W1 isolate (GI 30027610) except one nucleotide variation (C for 10 min) or non-denaturing conditions (sample buffer containing 50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 6.8), 100 mM dithiothreitol, 2% SDS, 0.1% bromophenol blue, 10% glycerol; no boiling treatment) while membrane protein could only be detected in non-denaturing but not denaturing condition (Lo et al., 2005). In the present study, factors affecting the detection of SARS-CoV membrane protein in SDS-PAGE were investigated.

To mutate amino acid 63 of membrane protein from Cys to Ala, PCR primers (M-S3 and M-A3) were used to amplify the gene fragment of the membrane protein with deletion from amino acid 51 to 170 into pcDNA3.1/V5-His A (Invitrogen, USA) expression vector (linearized by EcoRI/Xhol). A similar approach was used to clone the DNA fragments of the membrane protein with deletion in different regions (amino acids from 47 to 60, from 61 to 90, from 91 to 100, from 101 to 135, and from 136 to 170) into pcDNA3.1/V5-His A (Invitrogen, USA) expression vector (linearized by EcoRI/Xhol) using antisense primer (L46/61-AS, L60/91-AS, L90/101-AS, L100/136-AS, or L135/171-AS) to replace L50/171-S and sense primer (L46/61-S, L60/91-S, L90/101-S, L100/136-S, or L135/171-S) to replace L50/171-S. To clone the expression plasmid encoding a fusion protein with the order of the first 115 amino acids of HCV core protein, plus V5 and His tag, PCR primers (HCV-1 and CC115-AS2) to replace L50/171-S and sense primer (L46/61-S, L60/91-S, L90/101-S, L100/136-S, or L135/171-S) to replace L50/171-S. To clone the expression plasmid encoding a fusion protein with the order of the first 115 amino acids of HCV core protein, plus V5 and His tag, PCR primers (HCV-1 and CC115-AS2) to replace L50/171-S and sense primer (L46/61-S, L60/91-S, L90/101-S, L100/136-S, or L135/171-S) to replace L50/171-S. To clone the expression plasmid encoding a fusion protein with the order of the first 115 amino acids of HCV core protein, plus V5 and His tag, PCR primers (HCV-1 and CC115-AS2) to replace L50/171-S and sense primer (L46/61-S, L60/91-S, L90/101-S, L100/136-S, or L135/171-S) to replace L50/171-S. To clone the expression plasmid encoding a fusion protein with the order of the first 115 amino acids of HCV core protein, plus V5 and His tag, PCR primers (HCV-1 and CC115-AS2) to replace L50/171-S and sense primer (L46/61-S, L60/91-S, L90/101-S, L100/136-S, or L135/171-S) to replace L50/171-S.
Table 1

| Name     | Sequence                                                                 |
|----------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| M-S2     | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-S3     | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-A2     | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-A3     | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-A4     | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-C63A-S | (5′-GCAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-C63A-AS| (5′-GCAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-C85A-S | (5′-GCAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-C85A-AS| (5′-GCAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-C158A-S| (5′-GCAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M-C158A-AS| (5′-GCAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M51-S    | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M170-AS  | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| M170-AS3 | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| L50/171-S| (5′-ATGATTACAAAGCAAGCTGACTGCTGCTGCTGCTG-3′)                                |
| L50/171-AS| (5′-ATGATTACAAAGCAAGCTGACTGCTGCTGCTGCTGCTG-3′)                             |
| L46/61-S | (5′-ATTGATGTTTTCCTCTGGCTCTT-3′)                                           |
| L46/61-AS| (5′-ATTGATGTTTTCCTCTGGCTCTT-3′)                                           |
| L90/101-S| (5′-ATTGATGTTTTCCTCTGGCTCTT-3′)                                           |
| L90/101-AS| (5′-ATTGATGTTTTCCTCTGGCTCTT-3′)                                           |
| L60/91-S | (5′-ATTGATGTTTTCCTCTGGCTCTT-3′)                                           |
| L60/91-AS| (5′-ATTGATGTTTTCCTCTGGCTCTT-3′)                                           |
| CC1-S    | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| CC115-AS | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| HCV-1    | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| CC115-AS2| (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| JHMM-S2  | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |
| JHMM-A2  | (5′-CGGAATTCAAGGCCAACACGTGATACTA-3′)                                      |

Note: GAATTC, XbaI, and BamHI are the recognition sequences for EcoRI, XbaI, and BamHI, respectively. 

The PCR primers (M-C-V5-His) were used to amplify the entire membrane gene fragment while PCR primers (CC1-S and CC115-AS) were used to amplify the gene fragment of the first 115 amino acids of HCV core protein.

To clone the DNA fragment of the membrane protein of mouse hepatitis virus (GI: 58968), virus RNA isolated from JHM strain (Weiner, 1973) was converted to cDNA using random hexamers. PCR primers (JHMM-S2 and JHMM-A2) were used to amplify the membrane gene fragment. After PCR reaction, DNA fragment was digested by restriction enzymes (EcoRI and XbaI) and cloned into pcDNA3 (Invitrogen, USA) expression vector (linearized by EcoRI/XbaI).

All the expression plasmids were verified by sequencing and in vitro transcription/translation (Promega, USA).

2.2. Protein expression in Vero E6 cells

Vero E6 cells were maintained in RPMI 1640 medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, 1% Glutamine (200 mM, Biological Industries, USA), and 100 μg/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco BRL, USA). 2.5 × 10^6 to 2.7 × 10^6 cells were plated in a 35 mm dish. After an overnight incubation, cells were infected with a recombinant vaccinia virus carrying the T7 phage RNA polymerase gene (Fuerst et al., 1986). Two hours after infection, cells were transfected with 0.4 μg plasmid DNA by using Effectene transfection reagent (Qiagen, Germany). Twenty-one hour after transfection, recombinant proteins in the cells were analyzed.

2.3. Western blotting analysis

For Western blotting analysis, cells were dissolved in sample preparation buffers after washing with PBS twice. Two sample preparation buffers were used: denaturing buffer containing β-mercaptoethanol (Ma et al., 2002; Makowski and Rambsy, 1997) and non-denaturing buffer without β-mercaptoethanol (Lin et al., 1998). The samples were treated at room temperature or 100 °C in the sample buffer for 10 min.
before electrophoresis. Usually, 4.5% (acrylamide percentage) gel was used as the stacking gel and 12% gel as the separating gel in this study. When proteins with smaller size were analyzed (e.g. deletion mutants of membrane protein), a 15% gel was used as the separating gel. SDS-PAGE gel after electrophoresis was transferred to PVDF paper (Pall Corporation, USA). All procedures were carried out at room temperature. The PVDF paper was blocked in PBST (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 43 mM Na2HPO4·7H2O, 1.4 mM KH2PO4, 0.1% Triton-X 100) with 5% milk for 3 h. After blocking, the PVDF paper was incubated with one SARS patient’s serum diluted 1000-fold in PBST with 5% milk, for 3 h. The PVDF paper was then washed three times in PBST for 10 min. Afterwards, goat anti-human IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Amersham Biosciences Ltd., USA), which had been diluted 2500-fold in PBST with 5% milk, was added for another 1 h of incubation. After three more 10 min washes with PBST, the signal was developed by the “Western Lightning” Chemiluminescence Reagent Plus kit (Perkin-Elmer Life Sciences, USA). If rabbit anti-HCV core polyclonal antibody (Ma et al., 2002) or anti-V5/AP antibody (Invitrogen, USA) were used as the primary antibodies to carry out the assay, the previous published procedures (Ma et al., 2002) were followed.

2.4. Immunofluorescence analysis

Cells with recombinant protein expression were treated at room temperature or at 100 °C for 10 min. After that, samples were washed with PBS and then fixed with 4°C acetone:methanol (1:1) for 10 min. Fixed cells were washed with incubation buffer (0.05% NaN3, 0.02% saponin, 1% skim milk in PBS) twice for 2 min each time, then incubated with anti-His monoclonal antibody (or anti-HCV core polyclonal antibody) at 37 °C for 30 min. Samples were washed with PBS three times (5–10 min each time at room temperature). Then, DAPI (Merck, Germany) was used to stain DNA as the localization of nucleus. Samples were observed under a confocal microscope.

2.5. In vitro transcription and translation

An amount of 0.5 μg of expression DNA (in the case of JHMM, plasmid was linearized by XhoI first) was in vitro translated and labeled with [S35] methionine by TNT T7 Quick Couples Transcription/Translation system (Promega, USA) in a total reaction volume of 15 μl following manufacturer’s instructions. After 1 h incubation at 30 °C, PBS were added in the reaction mixtures to a total volume of 60 μl. Four aliquots (10 μl per aliquot) were treated at different temperatures (20, 60, 80, or 100 °C) for 10 min. After treatment, 10 μl of sample preparation buffer was added in the aliquots before electrophoresis. Samples were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE and visualized by Phosphoimage (Fujitsu, Japan).

3. Results

3.1. SARS-CoV membrane protein could not be detected easily using Western blotting with boiling treatment

SARS-CoV spike and nucleocapsid proteins could be detected using Western blotting (WB) analysis under either regular denaturing or non-denaturing condition while membrane protein could be detected only under non-denaturing but not regular denaturing condition (Lo et al., 2005) (Fig. 1A). The absence of membrane protein in WB could be due to conformational change through the breaking of intramolecular disulfide bond within this protein under denaturing condition. To verify this possibility, three Cys residues within the membrane protein (a.a. 63, 85, 158) were mutated into Ala residues individually. However, mutation of Cys residues within the membrane protein individually does not...
not affect the detection of this protein (Fig. 1A). Thus, intramolecular disulfide bond formation is not responsible for the failure to detect the membrane protein in WB analysis. Heating at 100 °C for 10 min (boiling) in denaturing condition was suspected to be responsible for the failure to detect the membrane protein by WB analysis. To verify this possibility, membrane protein was treated under different conditions (without 2-ME but boiling, with 2-ME but no boiling) and then analyzed by WB (Fig. 1B). The results shown in Fig. 1B confirm that boiling but not 2-ME treatment results in failure to detect the membrane protein in WB analysis. The effect of heating at different temperatures on the membrane protein was also studied (Fig. 1C). It is failure to detect SARS-CoV membrane protein as monomers after treated with temperature over 80 °C.

The failure to detect the membrane protein in WB with boiling could be due to conformational change (resulting in the failure of antibody recognition), protein degradation, or aggregation of this protein. The possibility of conformational change causing recognition by the antibody is ruled out by the observation that in vitro translated, S35-labeled membrane protein would also lose its signal at the expected size after boiling (data not shown). This conclusion is strengthened further when different tag peptides added to the N- or C-terminus of membrane protein (M-V5-His; C-M-V5-His; M-C-V5-His) do not affect the detection of membrane protein in WB using antibodies against these tag peptides (data not shown).

### 3.2. Thermal aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein

To study whether boiling causes directly the failure to detect the membrane protein in WB analysis but not through activating other proteins (e.g., the membrane protein transiently expressed in mammalian cells was treated with urea (1, 3, or 6M) or SDS (0.5, 1, or 2%) to inactivate possible proteases before boiling. Similar to the un-treated sample, the membrane proteins in pre-treated samples were detected at a lower level as monomers in WB analysis after boiling (data not shown, Fig. 2A) though 2% SDS pre-treatment did reduce the effect. This result suggests that boiling treatment directly causes the failure to detect the membrane protein in WB analysis. Boiling will not breakdown the primary structure of proteins in the regular denaturing buffer (Schultz and Liebman, 1997). Furthermore, no suspected degraded products from the membrane protein (i.e. proteins smaller than the membrane protein) were detected after boiling (data not shown and Fig. 2B). Therefore, protein aggregation is probably the cause that leads the failure to detect the membrane protein in WB analysis. To test this possibility, the recombinant membrane protein fused with V5-His tag were expressed transiently in mammalian cells and analyzed by WB using monoclonal antibody against V5 tag in both stacking and separating gels. In Fig. 2B, the recombinant fusion membrane protein could be detected in stacking gels but not separating gels after boiling treatment. The same results were obtained when different recombinant fusion proteins (M-C-V5-His or C-M-V5-His) were used for the assays (data not shown). The existence of recombinant fusion protein after boiling could also be demonstrated by immunofluorescence of M-C-V5-His or C-M-V5-His (data not shown) using antibody against core protein. Therefore, the failure to detect the membrane protein in WB analysis is due to the thermal aggregation of this protein. The protein band marked by the thin arrow (Fig. 2A) is unglycosylated M* protein while the other one marked by the thick arrow is glycosylated M* protein since...
the latter protein will reduce its size to that of the former one after deglycosylation treatment (Ma et al., 2005).

### 3.3. Domains of SARS-CoV membrane protein important for the thermal aggregation

To determine which domain(s) of the membrane protein is responsible for the thermal aggregation, different regions of the membrane protein were removed and the recombinant membrane proteins with deletion were analyzed using WB with or without heat treatment. Like full-length membrane protein, the recombinant truncated membrane proteins with amino acid residues from 51 to 170 (e.g., M51-221*, M1-170*, and M51-170*) do not exist as monomers after heat treatment while the monomer amount of recombinant membrane protein with deletion in this region (MΔ51-170*) does not seem to be affected by heat treatment (Fig. 3A). Therefore, the region with amino acid residues from 51 to 170 is

---

Fig. 3. Domains important for the thermal aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein. (A) Various recombinant fusion proteins (M*, M51-221*, M1-170*, M51-170*, and MΔ51-170*) were transiently expressed in the cells. Proteins were collected and heated at different temperatures (20, 60, 80, and 100°C) for 10 min. After that, the samples were analyzed by WB using anti-V5 monoclonal antibody. The proteins marked by the thin arrow are unglycosylated recombinant proteins while the other ones marked by the thick arrow are glycosylated recombinant membrane proteins. The protein smaller than unglycosylated membrane protein (marked by dotted line) containing the C-terminus of recombinant membrane protein may be derived by cleavage from precursor recombinant membrane proteins or translation by internal initiation. In any case, it was not produced by heat treatment since its concentration did not increase after heat treatment. (B) Same as (A), different recombinant fusion proteins (MΔ47-60*, MΔ61-90*, MΔ91-100*, MΔ101-135*, and MΔ136-170*) were used.
To study the mechanism of the thermal aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein, various recombinant membrane proteins with deletion in different regions were co-expressed in mammalian cells and analyzed by WB. MΔ51-170* could not be aggregated with M51-170* after boiling treatment when these two proteins were co-expressed in the cells (left panel of Fig. 4A) as the amount of MΔ51-170* protein monomer remains unchanged. Similar results were observed when MΔ51-170*, MΔ61-90*, MΔ136-170* were co-expressed with full-length membrane protein (M*) (data not shown, right panel of Fig. 4A). Co-expression of MΔ91-100* with MΔ61-90*, MΔ91-100* with MΔ136-170*, MΔ61-90* with MΔ136-170* would not induce the aggregation of these proteins after boiling (data not shown).

To study whether the aggregated membrane protein could be dissociated by denaturants, the transiently expressed membrane proteins (M*) in mammalian cells were treated with 1% Triton-X 100, 6 M urea, or 2% SDS, after boiling, and analyzed by WB. The membrane proteins could not be detected more in the expected size as monomers after denaturant treatment (Fig. 4B). Thus, the membrane proteins could not dissociate from aggregates to form monomers by these denaturants.

The structural properties of the aggregated membrane protein may be different from those of the authentic membrane protein. For example, recombinant M-V5-His fusion protein could be detected before but not after boiling treatment by immunofluorescence using anti-His antibody though M-C-V5-His or C-M-V5-His could be detected by anti-core antibody (data not shown).

3.5. Mouse hepatitis virus JHM strain (MHV-JHM) membrane protein is not as sensitive as SARS-CoV membrane protein to boiling treatment

To study whether the membrane protein of mouse hepatitis virus (MHV) (Weiner, 1973) would also aggregate after the same heat treatment, full-length membrane gene derived from JHM strain RNA by RT-PCR was in vitro translated. The in vitro translated, 35S-labeled membrane protein was analyzed in SDS-PAGE after 10 min heating treatment at different temperatures (20, 60, 80, and 100 °C). MHV-JHM membrane protein monomer amount remains at the similar level after different treatments (data not shown). Thus, MHV-JHM membrane protein is not as sensitive as SARS-CoV membrane protein to boiling.

4. Discussion

In this study, thermal aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein was demonstrated. These results suggest that detection of the membrane protein with SDS-PAGE should avoid boiling. In other coronaviruses, membrane protein is an abundant structural protein in the virions (de Haan et al., 1998a). In a previous study (Rota et al., 2003), SARS-CoV membrane protein, unlike nucleocapsid or spike proteins, is hardly detected when virus particles were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. It is possible that SARS-CoV membrane protein is still abundant in the virions and could not enter the separating gel due to aggregation induced by boiling. It has also been demonstrated that heat can inactivate the SARS-CoV protein.
The mouse hepatitis coronavirus membrane protein is N-linked glycosylated (de Haan et al., 1998b). The N-terminal sequences of SARS-CoV membrane protein containing Asn-Gly-Thr (a.a. 4–6) is a defined consensus sequence (Asn-X-(Ser/Thr) for N-linked glycosylation (Ma et al., 2005). Glycosylation is not required for the thermal aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein since in vitro translated, unglycosylated membrane protein (data not shown) and recombinant membrane protein deleting the first 50 a.a. (M51-221*) expressed transiently in the cells could still aggregate after heat treatment (Fig. 3A).

SARS-CoV membrane protein could be detected by WB analysis in non-denaturing condition but not regular denaturing condition using antibodies derived from one SARS patient’s serum (Fig. 1A). Mutation of Cys residues within the membrane protein individually does not affect the protein detection by the same serum (Fig. 1A). This result indicates that intramolecular disulfide bond formation of membrane protein is not the only epitopic determinant for the recognition of antibodies in this SARS patient. On the other hand, glycosylated but not unglycosylated membrane protein was recognized by antibodies derived from this SARS patient’s serum in either virus particles or transient expression in mammalian cells (Fig. 1A–C) even unglycosylated membrane protein (M*) is more abundant than glycosylated one analyzed by anti-V5 antibody (data not shown). Therefore, glycosylation does play a major role in the formation of epitopic determinant for the recognition of antibodies in this SARS patient.

SARS-CoV membrane protein pretreated with 6 M urea or 2% SDS would still aggregate after boiling treatment though 2% SDS treatment did reduce this aggregation since more protein monomers were detected (Fig. 2A). Moreover, the thermally aggregated membrane proteins were not dissociated by 1% Triton-X 100, 6 M urea, or 2% SDS (Fig. 4B). These results indicate that extensive interaction between membrane proteins were involved during the aggregation. This possibility is strengthened when various recombinant membrane proteins with deletion in different regions were co-expressed in mammalian cells and analyzed by WB to address this issue. Myoglobin (Yan et al., 2003), hemoglobin (Yan et al., 2004), and oat globulin (Zhao et al., 2004) were demonstrated to aggregate by heat treatment at different temperatures. At present, it is not known whether a similar mechanism is involved in the aggregation process of these proteins. To study the assembly mechanism or the atomic structures of the aggregated membrane protein, purified recombinant SARS-CoV membrane proteins are needed. On the other hand, unlike the aggregation of light neurofilament (Lin et al., 2004), the SARS-CoV membrane proteins could not dissociate from aggregates to monomers by 1% Triton-100 (Fig. 4B). Study on the aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein should help us understand more about the protein aggregation.

The monomer amount of recombinant SARS-CoV membrane proteins with deletion from amino acid 47 to 60 (MΔ47-60) was less abundant after treatment at 60 or 80 °C but not at 100 °C (Fig. 3B). In this case, the thermal aggregation of MΔ47-60 may be similar to that of oat globulin whose hexamers and trimers were dissociated into monomers upon heating at 100 °C (Zhao et al., 2004). A temperature-dependent conformational change in E1 protein of coronavirus A59 resulting in the aggregation of this protein was reported previously (Strumlan et al., 1980). Therefore, thermal aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein is not unique among structural proteins of coronaviruses. However, SARS-CoV membrane protein seems to be more sensitive to the heat treatment since the membrane protein of MHV-JHM, another member of the Coronavirus, would not aggregate after the same condition treatment (data not shown). It is interesting that SARS-CoV, but not MHV-JHM, membrane protein aggregates after boiling since these two proteins share high degree of homology with each other.

In summary, thermal aggregation of SARS-CoV membrane protein was demonstrated in this study, suggesting
that the analysis of this protein using SDS-PAGE should avoid regular boiling. Furthermore, regions with amino acid residues from 60 to 90, from 90 to 100, from 136 to 170, are essential for the thermal aggregation of membrane protein.
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