INTRODUCTION

Issues of underdevelopment of infrastructure and consequently problems in access to services by rural inhabitants are indicated as one of the problems that concern the development of rural areas in Poland (Kondratowicz-Pozorska, 2008; Kołodziejczyk, 2014; Kłodzinski, 2015; Heffner, Klemens, 2016). The low level of equipment of rural areas with technical and social infrastructure can significantly limit socio-economic development (Bryden, 2011) and affect the satisfaction of the individual's own needs as well as the community of residents (Straka, Tuzova, 2016; Manggat et al., 2018). It is indicated that the social infrastructure is an important element of the socio-economic system at the local level (Frolova et al., 2016). Among the many functions it performs in the everyday life of rural residents, it is noted that the social infrastructure ensures the development of a territorial unit (Flora, Flora, 1993), allows to satisfy the basic needs and interests of the community (Stawicki, Vazoniene, 2019), and determines the living conditions of the population (Wojewódzka-Wiewiórska, Atkociuniene, 2019). The development of services provided as part of the social infrastructure determines social progress. Objects of rural social infrastructure create conditions for the community to meet, communicate, maintain social bonds and contribute to maintaining the vitality of the community and its development (Atkociuniene et al., 2019). Rural social infrastructure covers various sectors and services (Swanson, 1992; Kossymbayeva, et al., 2019) provided by various social infrastructure facilities, including i.e. school, healthcare, social welfare, public passenger transport.

Particularly important for the inhabitants is the issue of development and accessibility of educational infrastructure, which can be regarded as basic and which is the responsibility of local governments in Poland. The dynamic demographic changes that have taken place in rural areas in recent years and the associated population change (large population losses, large population increases) significantly determine the demand for educational infrastructure (Wojewódzka-Wiewiórska, Stawicki, 2020). They also condition the ability of local authorities to provide access to educational services (Sigsworth, Solstad 2005; Kučerová, Kučera, 2012). On the other hand, a very important element determining the availability of educational infrastructure is the issue of implementing and financing educational investments at the local level, which is a very complex process (Napierała, Lawin, 2015). Due to the high costs of such investments, undertaking investments in educational infrastructure and the necessity to incur expenses in this area constitute a serious challenge for local authorities and the finances of territorial units, especially in rural areas. It should be noted that in rural areas, a lot of attention is given to the school not only from the point of view of providing educational services, but as an important place/centre of socio-economic life. The importance of the school for the inhabitants becomes apparent especially when the local
The basic educational infrastructure, i.e. kindergartens and primary schools, access to which is to be provided by local authorities as part of their own tasks, was selected for analysis. The data of the Statistics Poland were used. The time scope of the study covers the years 2000-2019. Rural areas were considered rural gminas (municipalities), which results from the availability and aggregation of data used in public statistics. In the study, accessibility to education is understood as both spatial accessibility - in relation to the area of territorial units, and social accessibility - in relation to the number of inhabitants (in this case children in school or preschool age). The following indicators were calculated: number of children aged 7-12 (social accessibility) or per 1 km² (spatial accessibility).

In rural areas in Poland there have been great changes in development in recent years, also due to access to EU funds, which may be used for the development of social infrastructure, which is highly capital intensive and for years underfinanced. Therefore, it is important to show how the educational infrastructure in rural areas is developing in the context of its accessibility for inhabitants. The aim of the study was to determine the accessibility to educational infrastructure in rural areas in Poland. The following research tasks were formulated: (1) to determine social accessibility to kindergartens and primary schools in rural areas and changes over time, (2) to determine spatial accessibility to educational infrastructure in rural areas, (3) to determine social and spatial accessibility to kindergartens and primary schools in rural areas in relation to urban areas.

RESEARCH METHODS

Currently, Poland has a model of primary education organisation introduced in 2017. The first level for children aged 3-6 years includes kindergartens, which are not obligatory (Fig. 1). The next level of education are primary schools, where education lasts 8 years. The gmina’s own tasks include establishing and running public kindergartens and primary schools (Act…, 2021). The implementation of these tasks is supported by subsidies from the state budget. Expenditures on financing educational tasks in gminas constitute a very important element of the gmina budget, on average, in rural gminas their share in total expenditure is about 40% (Maj-Waśniowska, 2018) (in some gminas expenditure on education accounts for 60% of total budget expenditure). Gminas where the population is shrinking are in a particularly difficult situation, as a decrease in the number of children further entails changes in the system of organisation of primary education (Wojewódzka-Wiewiórska, Stawicki, 2020).

![Figure 1. Organisation of education at local level in Poland](image)

1 In the analyzed period, i.e. before 2017, there was another model of education in Poland, where the primary school covered 6 years, after which the pupils learned in a 3-year middle school. The maintenance of both the primary school and the gymnasium was the gminas’ responsibility.
**Trends in social accessibility to education**

Social accessibility to kindergartens in the rural areas in Poland was during the whole period not much lower than in cities. It improved significantly over the years in all types of analysed gminas, as in 2019 there were almost 2 times fewer children per one kindergarten than in the year 2000 (Fig. 2).

![Figure 2. Social accessibility to kindergartens in Polish local administrative units](image)

Social accessibility to primary schools, on the contrary, was in the rural areas almost unchanged during the analysed 20 years (Fig. 3). This was because in many, especially rural municipalities schools were being closed down as the number of children decreased due to demographic changes and declining pupils numbers.

![Figure 3. Social accessibility to primary schools in Polish local administrative units](image)

**Trends in spatial accessibility to education**

The number of kindergartens per unit of built-up area was two times higher in the urban gminas than in rural and urban-rural gminas (Fig. 4). On the other hand, the accessibility has improved over the analysed period, especially in 2008-2019, which was related to the development of the pre-school network of thanks to EU funding and the increase in the number of private kindergartens (Czapiewski, Janc, 2012).

Despite general progress in the rural areas, important differences between the regions are noticeable (Fig. 5). In 3 regions which were facing depopulation and ageing (opolskie, świętokrzyskie, podlaskie) the number of kindergartens in relation to the area decreased. In southern Poland (malopolskie and podkarpackie regions) many new pre-schools were opened which increased the spatial accessibility by 61-87%.
Spatial accessibility to primary schools in Polish rural areas was better than in other types of units but along with the ongoing process of school closure it was decreasing over time (Fig. 6). Unlike in the case of kindergartens, the development of non-public schools after 2010 was very strong in rural areas, which involved NGOs taking over village schools that were being closed down by the municipalities, but this did not translate into an increase in their number.

The changes of spatial accessibility were diversified spatially, as the highest decrease was observed in Eastern Poland (podlaskie, lubelskie, świętokrzyskie), where almost 35–45% of rural schools were closed down along with demographic changes. In the southern regions the number of schools per 1 square km of built-up area also decreased but only by 10–20% in śląskie and małopolskie voivodships (Fig. 7).
CONCLUSIONS AND DISCUSSION

1. In the analysed period it was found that spatial accessibility to educational infrastructure in rural areas has changed over time and is regionally differentiated. Compared to urban areas, spatial accessibility to kindergartens in rural areas is worse, while accessibility to primary schools is better. Social accessibility to kindergartens has increased significantly in rural areas, while accessibility to schools has hardly changed, which is due to the closure of many rural schools due to the occurrence of unfavourable demographic changes.

2. Ensuring access to education is a very important task for local authorities in Poland. Expenditure on educational infrastructure is a very important item in the budgets of rural gminas, sometimes significantly burdening them. At the same time, rural local communities expect from local authorities access to high quality educational infrastructure, whose facilities (e.g. schools) perform many non-educational functions, which determine the vitality and development of local communities.

3. Local socio-economic conditions are causing changes in the organisation of education at the local level, which may translate into greater accessibility. In some rural gminas, the growing role of the private sector in providing
places in kindergartens and the increasing importance of non-governmental organisations as an entity shaping accessibility to primary schools have been identified, which may be a rationale for undertaking detailed research in this respect.
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