Influence of dimension, building position, and orientation on mechanical properties of EBM lattice Ti6Al4V trusses
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Abstract
The advent of additive manufacturing technologies significantly encouraged the development and usage of lattice structures. This paper aims to experimentally investigate the influence of dimension, building position, and orientation on the mechanical properties of Ti6Al4V trusses, manufactured by electron beam melting process, to be used in lattice cells. Specimens were manufactured considering the following parameters: truss diameter (1, 1.5, 2 mm), growth orientation (0°, 45°, 90°), and specimen position inside the building chamber. Trusses with diameter of 1 mm showed inconsistent mechanical properties caused by the poor manufacturing quality. Specimen position was found to influence the analyzed mechanical properties. Unmelted powders were observed to affect the outer surfaces of all specimens and the whole cross-sections of specimens manufactured at 0°. Specimens manufactured at 45° with diameter of 2 mm demonstrated the best performances, whereas specimens manufactured at 90° with diameter of 2 mm displayed the highest elongation at fracture.
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Abbreviations

| Symbol | Definition |
|--------|------------|
| d      | Specimen diameter |
| E      | Young’s modulus |
| H      | High level of the building chamber |
| L      | Low level of the building chamber |
| θ      | Building orientation |
| σUTS   | Ultimate tensile strength |
| σYS    | Yield stress |
| εr     | Elongation at fracture |
| AM     | Additive manufacturing |
| ABF    | Arcam Build File |
| CAD    | Computer-assisted design |
| CENT   | Central area of the building plate |
| CORN   | Corner of the building plate |
| EBM    | Electron beam melting |
| PRS    | Powder Recovery System |

SEM Scanning electron microscope
STL Standard triangulation language

1 Introduction
A lattice structure is a space-filling unit cell that can be tessellated along any axis with no gaps between cells; hence, its architecture is formed by a collection of spatial periodic unit cells [1]. Such type of structures has been increasingly attracting the research community for the possibility to tailor their micro-architecture to match specific and multifunctional design constraints [2, 3], to design lightweight structures, as well as for their ability to provide high specific mechanical properties [4–7]. The mechanical behavior of the lattice structure can be easily changed by varying the topology of the unit cell and the size of the struts [8]. Examples of lattice structure applications are lightweight structural components, energy absorbers, and heat exchangers [9–11]. The use of lattice structures has been hindered in the past by the fact that the traditional subtractive technologies could not be used due to the several difficult and expensive cutting and welding processes mandatory for their manufacturing [12]. The advent of additive manufacturing (AM) technologies [13, 14] for metallic materials allowed designers to
manufacture components/parts that were not conceivable at all until few years ago due to the previous manufacturing limits. Lattice structures are the type of structures that have benefited the most from this innovation.

The possibility of using AM technologies [13–15] to produce complex metallic lattice structures at adequate costs increased the interest of the scientific community towards such type of structure. Several efforts have been spent to evaluate the properties of fully three-dimensional lattice structures made by AM processes [16, 17]. The advantages of the AM processes, with respect to the traditional subtractive manufacturing technologies, are numerous: increased design freedom, minimal human interaction requirement, reduced design cycle time, and limited environmental impact. Such advantages have opened a wide range of new opportunities as the possibility to topologically optimize a mechanical component for enhanced performances (e.g., weight saving) [18], the part count reduction of assemblies [19, 20], but also the possibility to manufacture components with a prescribed lattice structure micro-architecture.

To these aims, electron beam melting (EBM) is one of the most promising AM processes since it allows producing three dimensional metal parts, built layer-by-layer, starting from metal powders that are melted by a high intensity electron beam [21, 22]. During the EBM building process, a thin layer of powder is distributed, heated and selectively melted. Subsequently to the layer melting phase, according to the instructions contained in the CAD (computer-assisted design) model of the component/part to be manufactured, the building table is lowered and the sequence is repeated until the whole product is obtained. The process, firstly introduced in 1997 by the Swedish company ARCAM, is currently a promising technology for the manufacturing of lightweight, durable and dense end parts; it is mainly used in aerospace, medical and defense industries [23–26]. Similar to electron beam welding (EBW), the EBM process takes place in a vacuum chamber, providing a clean and controlled environment which avoids porosity formation inherent in many structural alloys such as Al-alloy [27, 28] as well as an excellent thermal insulation. Another unique peculiarity of EBM consists in its ability in keeping the entire build at high temperatures, above 1000 °C if needed. For each layer, the electron beam heats the entire powder bed leading the powder to an optimal temperature, specific for the used material. This ensures a good microstructure of parts free from residual stresses. It also eliminates the need of post process heat treatments, which has a significant impact on the total production costs. The high temperature process and the resulting stress-relieved components provide improved material properties better than cast and comparable to wrought [29, 30].

The EBM process can be successfully used to manufacture both massive parts, that for components having a circular cross-section it means diameter larger than 2 mm, and lattice structures, consisting basically of several truss-like elements having diameters often lower than 2 mm. Depending on the type of the structure to build, different process themes (i.e., a set of process parameters which are optimized to obtain the best results) can be used in the EBM process: the melt processing theme can be used to melt massive components, whereas the net processing theme is often used to melt lattice structures.

Titanium alloys possess excellent properties such as low density and good mechanical properties up to 550–600 °C and thus they are widely used in aerospace applications [31–33]. The development of the EBM technology in processing titanium alloys for the manufacturing of massive parts has brought the technology to an advanced state of maturity. Indeed, several studies [34–36] have been focused on the mechanical characterization of the Ti6Al4V alloy which is today one of the most promising alloys that can be processed via EBM. Further investigations on the EBM process, in terms of surface roughness, dimensional accuracy and defects distribution have been deeply faced in literature [37–41]. On the contrary, the existing literature on the EBM manufacturing of lattice structures in Ti6Al4V [42–45] is quite lack and investigations are needed to better assess their mechanical behavior and their possible use for actual structural applications. According to the existing literature, the EBM process has shown encouraging results in the manufacturing of Ti6Al4V lattice structures. However, some manufacturing imperfections, such as deviation of the real geometry from the nominal geometry defined in the CAD model as input for the process can affect negatively the performances of such lattice structures with respect to the expected ones. In particular, some researchers [29] highlighted that for thin EBM components, such as for lattice structures, both surface roughness and dimensional accuracy, which depend on the process parameters, play a crucial rule for the structural strength. With the main goal to encourage the use of lattice structures in aerospace, further studies are needed to investigate on the repeatability and the reproducibility of the process, also in terms of deviation from the expected dimensions of the product.

The mechanical characterization of AM octet truss structures should start by a well assessed approach as the building block one used for advanced aerospace structures. Figure 1 shows a scheme of such a typical approach used to mechanically characterize this type of structures.

Specifically, the first level of the proposed building block approach (the lowest one of the pyramid in Fig. 1), consists in the selection and characterization of the powders to use in the additive process; the second level consists in the mechanical characterization of the structural behavior of the single octet truss struts; the third level consists in the characterization of the elementary cell, subsequently followed by the fourth level
consisting in the characterization of simple specimens made of repeated elementary cells, and finally by the characterization of the full-scale components. It has to be highlighted that the proposed approach can be supported by numerical analyses, e.g., when the experimental tests involve the unit cells, complex to be tested especially under tensile loads. This paper particularly focused on the second level, since the first one is quite established in literature, depending on manufacturing parameters and on the 3D printing technology under consideration.

The aim of this paper is to evaluate the influence of dimension, building position, and orientation on mechanical behavior of Ti6Al4V single truss-like elements made by EBM, carrying out an extensive experimental campaign on single beams representative of single truss-like elements. The experimental campaign was characterized by the usage of different nominal diameters for trusses, different growth orientations and different positions with respect to both building plate and height inside the chamber. Several Ti6Al4V samples (i.e., trusses) for tensile tests were designed and manufactured by EBM to assess the effects of such aspects on the mechanical properties.

2 Materials and methods

Ti6Al4V plasma atomized powder with spherical morphology with a nominal diameter in the range of 45÷100 μm obtained by atomization process was used to manufacture the specimens for this study. The spherical shape improves flowability and ensures high build rates and part accuracy [45]. The powder flow rate measured according to ASTM B213 [46] was found to be 24 s/50 g. The apparent density measured according to ASTM B212 [47] was 2.6 g/cm3. As regarding the particle size distribution, the percentage by mass of particle size in the range 45÷106 μm was found equal to 91%; The powder nominal chemical composition according to the ASTM F2924 [48] is reported in Table 1.

Figure 2 shows some details of a typical lattice structure based on an octet truss elementary cell. Each elementary cell can be considered the combination of two regular tetrahedra and a central body consisting of two further tetrahedra sharing the same square base. In this paper, the attention has been focused on the single trusses composing the cell, whose mechanical behavior was assessed experimentally.

To this aim, the tensile specimens adopted in this study (Fig. 3) were conceived to have the same geometry of the beam composing an octet truss structure. The gauge length of specimens presented a circular section. Three different truss diameters \( d \) (the nominal diameters defined as input for the process) were investigated. The length of the calibrated section was set up to 25 mm, although this parameter was not of particular interest.

The CAD software used for the drawing of the lattice structures was Solid Edge®. The geometry of the specimen

### Table 1 Chemical composition of powder (wt%)

| Material                        | Al  | V   | Fe  | O   | N   | H   | C   | Ti   |
|---------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|
| Ti6Al4V used powder [%]         | 6.38| 4.14| 0.19| 0.14| 0.02| <0.003| 0.01| Balance |
| Required [%] ASTM F2924         | 5.5÷6.75| 3.5÷4.5| <0.3| <0.2| <0.05| <0.01| <0.1| Balance |
was modeled in two parts and two separate files were generated, one representing the specimen heads and the other one representing the gauge length, in order to assign different process themes to heads and to the gauge length. Further details are provided in the followings.

According to Fig. 4, specimens were manufactured for each of the directions defined for the beams with reference to the octet truss cell of Fig. 2. This was needed so as to quantify the influence of the truss growth directions on their mechanical behavior. Octet truss cells are expected to provide the same mechanical behavior irrespective of their position within the lattice structure. Therefore, specimens were manufactured at various locations within the building chamber (sized $210 \times 210 \times 380 \text{ mm} \times \text{ mm}$) so as to check whether the position and the height of samples with reference to the building plate played a significant role [49]. The specimens were manufactured considering the four parameters described below:

1. Diameter: three diameters (1, 1.5 and 2 mm) were investigated;
2. Orientation (Fig. 4): three growth directions $\theta$ ($0^\circ$, $45^\circ$ and $90^\circ$) with respect to the building plate (x–y) were investigated. $0^\circ$ direction refers to the “horizontal” orientation, whereas $90^\circ$ direction refers to the “vertical” direction;
3. Location on the building plate (Fig. 4): the specimens shown in Fig. 4 were built in two different zones of the x–y building plane, named hereinafter “CENT,” referring to the central position, and “CORN” referring to the corner of the plate;
4. Height in the building chamber (Fig. 4): specimens were built at two different heights with reference to the building plate, named hereinafter “L” and “H,” referring to small (20 mm) and high (140 mm) distances from the building plate, respectively.

Considering all the possible combinations of the abovementioned 4 parameters, 36 different combinations were needed. Additionally, these combinations were replicated twice. Therefore, a total number of 72 specimens were manufactured.

2.1 EBM job preparation and specimen manufacturing

The positioning of specimens on the building platform and the generation of the supports for the specimen heads was performed by using the Magics Materialise software. Supports generation is often required by the EBM process in order to improve the heat energy dissipation and to reduce the geometric defects as curling or warping. Supports were 30 mm long and the distance between two adjacent supports was set to 3 mm.

All specimen sizes were scaled according to the ARCAM recommended scale factors (1.0092 for x and y direction and 1.0132 for z direction) so as to consider of the thermal shrinkage occurring after melting.

Afterwards, EBM Build Assembler 3.2 software was used for slicing STL (Standard Triangulation Language) files into 2D compressed layer files choosing a sliced layer thickness of 50 $\mu$m. The slicing output was the ARCAM Build File (ABF), a file containing all the information needed to perform a build in an ARCAM machine. ABF was the only file
needed to start the building process in which it was possible to view all layers and verifying layer-by-layer the building of the parts.

The process themes were defined through the EBM control 3.2 software installed on the EBM system. The automatic operating mode of the EBM system was selected and the following four standard process themes for Ti6Al4V alloy and layer thicknesses of 50 μm were used:

1. “Ti6Al4V-PreHeat-50 μm”: process theme used to control the phase of preheating of the whole powder bed;
2. “Ti6Al4V-Melt-50 μm”: process theme used for the realization of the specimen heads;
3. “Ti6Al4V-Net-50 μm”: process theme used for the realization of the lattice part of the specimen (gauge length);
4. “Ti6Al4V-Wafer-50 μm”: process theme used for the realization of the supports.

These build themes varied the EBM parameters in a controlled sequence throughout the building, according to algorithms developed by the manufacturer, in an effort to achieve parts with consistent properties. Finally, a line offset of 0.1 mm was set.

EBM system used in this investigation was the ARCAM A2X available at the Italian Aerospace Research Centre (CIRA). ARCAM A2X is designed to process titanium alloys as well as materials that require elevated temperatures such as titanium aluminide and Alloy 718. Building chamber of ARCAM A2X is specifically designed to withstand extremely high temperatures up to 1100 °C.

The EBM process by means of ARCAM A2X was carried out in a vacuum chamber. Subsequently to the job, the build was moved with a trolley directly to the Powder Recovery System (PRS) to remove and recover the sintered powder all around the melted parts (Fig. 5). Recovered powder was sieved for future use and saved in new powder containers. Once completed the PRS operation, specimens were moved to the mechanical workshop in order to remove the wafer supporting structures. The final 3D printed specimens were shown in Fig. 6. Figure 6a shows the specimens prior the removing operation, whereas Fig. 6b shows one specimen after the removing process, as mounted between the grips of the uniaxial testing machine.

### 2.2 Tensile tests

For the mechanical characterization of specimens, tensile tests were carried out by an MTS INSIGHT 30 electromechanical testing machine equipped with a load cell of 2 kN (Fig. 6b); strain was recorded with an MTS extensometer having a gauge length of 8 mm and a measuring range of 1.2 mm. Crosshead speed was set up to 0.26 ÷ 0.35 mm/min depending on the specimen diameter.

Concerning the specimens manufactured with the θ = 0° orientation, they appeared as affected by defects as shown in Fig. 7, irrespective of all the other building parameters (i.e., location, height, and diameter). Unwanted notches were noticed nearby of all fittings between the central region and the specimen heads. As detailed in the following, these notches negatively affected the mechanical performances of all the horizontally manufactured specimens. Additionally, the horizontal manufacturing process induced consistent residual distortions to the specimens in the form of a residual bending of the specimen axis (see Fig. 7). Although it is expected that such a distortion should not play a significant role for tensile tests, much larger impact of this distortion is expected under compression (as potentially possible within a lattice structure).

In the present paper, further investigations were carried out aiming at manufacturing θ = 0° specimens without such defects, e.g., by supporting the thin specimens throughout their whole axes, gauge lengths included. Unfortunately, such defects still persisted. Results in the following referred to the first group of specimens manufactured without such supports.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 Tensile properties

This work aimed at measuring the main static mechanical properties of EBM manufactured trusses through tensile tests. Material parameters obtained by tests are Young’s modulus, $E$; ultimate tensile strength, $\sigma_{UTS}$; yield stress, $\sigma_{YS}$; and elongation at fracture, $\varepsilon_r$. All stress–strain curves for each considered diameter were reported in Fig. 8. These curves were grouped...
by specimen growth orientation to observe that, for all considered diameters, the specimens horizontally manufactured presented a reduced mechanical resistance while, the specimens manufactured at 45° presented performances slightly higher than those ones vertically manufactured. Furthermore, specimens with larger diameters presented higher mechanical properties; indeed, all the tested samples, regardless to the diameter, have a real area of cross-section lower than their nominal area due to manufacturing imperfection. Nevertheless, the difference in percentage between the nominal area and the real area decreases as the diameter of specimen increases [29]; this means that such difference is more critical for thinner trusses. Manufacturing imperfections are due to the operating principle of the EBM process for lattice structures (net process theme). In a standard EBM melt process theme, used for massive components (having diameter/thickness greater than 2 mm), the part cross-section is melted in two stages referred to as contouring and hatching. Contouring, which is used to improve the surface finish of the part, melts the perimeter of the part cross-section. The hatching theme melts the inner part of the cross-section employing a back-and-forth raster pattern.

On the other part, the melting strategy recommended for lattice structure is based on net process theme that does not include the contouring step resulting in a more irregular cross-section. All these considerations have been deeply discussed and motivated in the following, also by observing the fracture surfaces of specimens through a scanning electron microscope (SEM).

Main mechanical properties calculated from stress–strain curves were reported in Figs. 9, 10, 11 and 12, grouped by location/height or by growth orientation.

According to Fig. 9, some patterns were observed on Young’s modulus: Young’s modulus increased with the specimen diameter. This can be attributed to the fact that the reduction of the resistant cross-section is more significant in percentage for thinner diameters as stated above. Specimens manufactured in the central region of the building chamber resulted to be stiffer than those ones manufactured in the chamber corners, from 3 to 21%. This was observed for all combinations of parameters. This behavior may be explained to the fact that the different zones dissipate the heat in a different way. In particular, in the central area there are lower thermal gradients which are higher at the corners and consequently, the parts manufactured in the corners have higher residual stresses. Similar patterns were observed with reference to the height from the building plate; i.e., specimens manufactured closer to the building plate reported \( \sigma_{UTS} \) and \( \sigma_{YS} \) values higher than those ones manufactured at the building plate corners from 2 to 18% and from 1 to 17%, respectively. Similarly, specimens manufactured closer to the building plate were slightly more performing in terms of \( \sigma_{UTS} \) and \( \sigma_{YS} \), even though

![Defects for 0° specimens: (horizontal building)](image)

Fig. 7 Defects encountered on all specimens horizontally manufactured (\( \theta=0° \) orientation)

![Printed specimens: a before removing supports; b after removing supports; c installed on testing machine](image)

Fig. 6 Printed specimens: a before removing supports; b after removing supports; c installed on testing machine
this seemed to be less relevant; $\sigma_{UTS}$ of $\theta = 45^\circ$ specimens resulted to be significantly higher (5 ± 15%) than that one measured for $\theta = 90^\circ$ specimens; increasing performances were observed as the specimen diameter increased.

Finally, with reference to the elongation at fracture, $\varepsilon_r$ (Fig. 12), no particular tendencies were noticed.

### 3.2 Surface fracture analysis

Specimen failures were also analyzed to observe the failure locations along the gauge lengths. Figure 13 shows some failed specimens grouped for both growth orientation and diameter. Specifically, Fig. 13 is representative of the failure locations occurred in all tested specimens. According to the investigation, failures occurred in general at the center of the gauge length except for specimens manufactured at $\theta = 0^\circ$. For such specimens, failures occur, on average, close to the heads this is due to the presence of notches in proximity of all fittings between the central region and the specimen heads as shown in Fig. 7. To investigate more deeply on the mechanical behavior of the tested specimens, the fracture surface of some trusses representative of the specific group classified in terms of growth orientation and diameter was observed through SEM, as shown in Fig. 14.

According to Fig. 14, some peculiarities affecting the fracture surfaces of specimens can be observed, and linked to the specific group of specimens. As instance, looking at Fig. 14a related to the group of specimens with diameter of $d = 1$ mm and growth orientation of $\theta = 0^\circ$, it can be noticed how the cross-section shape of trusses does not correspond to the circular section defined as input for the AM process. Indeed, Fig. 14a shows a very small fracture surface characterized by an irregular shape. Such discrepancy is more relevant for the group of specimens printed along the $\theta = 0^\circ$ growth orientation and becomes less evident as the diameter increases. Consequently, the constitutive laws reported in

---

**Fig. 8** Stress vs. strain curves for diameters of a 1 mm, b 1.5 mm, and c 2 mm
Young’s modulus measurements grouped by: location and height for the diameters of a 1 mm, c 1.5 mm, and e 2 mm; orientation for diameters of b 1 mm, d 1.5 mm, and f 2 mm.
Fig. 10 Ultimate tensile strengths measurements grouped by: location and height for the diameters of a 1 mm, c 1.5 mm, and e 2 mm; orientation for diameters of b 1 mm, d 1.5 mm and f 2 mm
Data grouped by location and height

(a) Yield stress measurements grouped by location and height for the diameters of a 1 mm, c 1.5 mm, and e 2 mm; orientation for diameters of b 1 mm, d 1.5 mm, and f 2 mm.

Fig. 11 Yield stress measurements grouped by: location and height for the diameters of a 1 mm, c 1.5 mm, and e 2 mm; orientation for diameters of b 1 mm, d 1.5 mm, and f 2 mm
Fig. 12 Elongations at fracture measurements grouped by: location and height for the diameters of a 1 mm, c 1.5 mm, and e 2 mm; orientation for diameters of b 1 mm, d 1.5 mm, and f 2 mm
Fig. 8a are now better motivated. Moreover, the reduced resistance can also be motivated by the fact that many defects are present in the specimens with $\theta=0^\circ$: there are large cavities (Fig. 14b) due to lack of fusion between the deposition layers, and unmelted powders (Fig. 14c). Therefore, these defects play a principal role in the fracture of the specimens with $\theta=0^\circ$ when these are subjected to uniaxial tensile load. In Fig. 15a at a large magnification, dimples and cleavage facets can be observed on the fracture surfaces indicating ductile and brittle mixed mode fracture behavior.

Comparing such group of specimens with those ones obtained at different growth orientations ($\theta=45^\circ$ and $90^\circ$), it can be noticed that for the latter, a lower quantity of defects affects the specimens. The cross-sections, apart from the outer contour, was found to be more well melted showing very few voids (red circled in Fig. 14) and small zones with unmelted powders (Fig. 14d–i). Also, the shape, in these two groups of specimens, appeared to be closer to the expected circular one defined in the CAD model. According to the fracture surface shown in Fig. 14f, it can be observed that the group of specimens with growth orientation of $\theta=45^\circ$ and diameter of $d=2$ mm was very well printed, in terms of shape and size. This resulted in an evident correspondence with the structural response of such group of specimens, represented by the constitutive laws reported in Fig. 8c. As matter of the fact, specimens with diameter of $d=2$ mm and growth orientation of $\theta=45^\circ$ demonstrated a higher resistance with respect to the others.
Fig. 15 Details of fracture surfaces at SEM for specimens with $d = 2$ mm: a $\theta = 0^\circ$; b $\theta = 45^\circ$; c $\theta = 90^\circ$
Furthermore, Fig. 15b shows a ductile fracture surface with presence of a large number of fine dimples that indicate a good ductility. The fracture mode of specimens with $\theta = 90^\circ$ (Fig. 15c) was found to be similar to $\theta = 45^\circ$ specimens (Fig. 15b), except for the higher quantity of micro-voids.

4 Conclusions

This paper aims to investigate on the mechanical behavior of the single octet truss struts composing the lattice unit cell, as the octet-truss ones, according to the building block approach herein proposed to characterize such type of engineering structures.

This paper focused the attention on the mechanical characterization of single Ti-6Al-4 V struts composing an elementary octet truss cell, printed through EBM technology. For this purpose, several tensile tests were carried out by changing some relevant parameters, such as struts diameter, growth orientation, in-plane position on the building plate, and height in the building chamber. The main mechanical properties of each specimen group were derived from test data to arrange a discussion in terms of stress–strain curves, Young’s modulus, ultimate tensile strength, yield stress, and elongation at fracture.

According to these test results, it was observed that the horizontally manufactured specimens presented a very reduced mechanical resistance as well as a more brittle behavior. In all specimens manufactured at $\theta = 0^\circ$, unmelted powders were observed to affect the outer surfaces of all specimens and the whole cross-sections. Moreover, for such group of specimens, it was found that the cross-section shape did not correspond to the circular section defined as input for the AM process. Cross-section of $d = 1$ mm specimens resulted very small and characterized by irregular profiles. Such aspect became less evident as the diameter increased, nonetheless still present. In general, the larger the diameter was, the higher the resistance of specimens resulted to be. This was attributed to the fact that when specimens with small diameters were built, large discrepancies were obtained between the nominal and the actual specimen cross-section area. Finally, incomplete melting of powder was observed at the outer surface of the specimens for all the other growing directions.

With respect to the specimen dimensions, increasing performances were observed as the specimen diameter increased. Concerning the specimen location, specimens manufactured at the central region of the building chamber and closer to the building plate resulted to be stiffer than the others. Centrally manufactured specimens, with respect to the building plate, reported $\sigma_{UTS}$ and $\sigma_{YS}$ values higher than those ones manufactured at the building plate corners.

Similarly, specimens manufactured closer to the building plate were slightly more performant in terms of $\sigma_{UTS}$ and $\sigma_{YS}$, even though this tendency seemed to be less significant.

Concerning the specimen orientations and excluding the $\theta = 0^\circ$ data (less reliable due to defects), $\theta = 45^\circ$ specimens appeared stiffer than $90^\circ$ ones, presenting performances slightly higher than those ones vertically manufactured. $\sigma_{UTS}$ of $45^\circ$ specimens was found to be higher than that one measured for $\theta = 90^\circ$ specimens.
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