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ABSTRACT

Atoll sources are accreting neutron star (NS) low-mass X-ray binaries. We present a spectral analysis of four persistent atoll sources (GX 3+1, 4U 1702−429, 4U 0614+091, and 4U 1746−371) observed for ∼20 ks each with NuSTAR to determine the extent of the inner accretion disk. These sources range from an apparent luminosity of 0.006−0.11 of the Eddington limit (assuming the empirical limit of 3.8 × 10^{38} ergs s^{-1}), Broad Fe emission features shaped by Doppler and relativistic effect close to the NS were firmly detected in three of these sources. The position of the disk appears to be close to the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) in each case. For GX 3+1, we determine R_{in} = 1.8^{+0.2}_{-0.6} R_{ISCO} (90% confidence level) and an inclination of 27°−31°. For 4U 1702−429, we find a R_{in} = 1.5^{+1.6}_{-0.4} R_{ISCO} and inclination of 53°−64°. For 4U 0614+091, the disk has a position of R_{in} = 1.3^{+5.4}_{-0.4} R_{ISCO} and inclination of 50°−62°. If the disk does not extend to the innermost stable circular orbit, we can place conservative limits on the magnetic field strength in these systems in the event that the disk is truncated at the Alfven radius. This provides the limit at the poles of \( \mu \lesssim 6.7 \times 10^8 \) G, 3.3 × 10^{8} G, and 14.5 × 10^{8} G for GX 3+1, 4U 1702−429, and 4U 0614+091, respectively. For 4U 1746−371, we argue that the most plausible explanation for the lack of reflection features is a combination of source geometry and strong Comptonization. We place these sources among the larger sample of NSs that have been observed with NuSTAR.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Accretion onto compact objects in low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) occurs via a disk that formed through Roche-lobe overflow from the envelope of the roughly stellar mass companion star. Persistently accreting neutron star (NS) LMXBs are separated into two categories: “Z” and “atoll”. These classifications derive their name from the shape they trace out in color-color and hardness-intensity diagrams (Hasinger & van der Klis 1989). Z sources are very luminous as they likely accrete at near Eddington luminosities (0.5−1.0 \,L_{Edd}; van der Klis 2005), whereas atoll sources are typically less luminous (∼0.001−0.5 \,L_{Edd}). Transient systems that alternate between periods of active accretion and quiescence often exhibit atoll-like or Z-like behavior during outburst. Some sources are even able to transition between the two classes (e.g., XTE J1701−462, Homan et al. 2010) suggesting a trend with average mass accretion rate.

Atoll sources generally have two spectral states analogous to black hole (BH) LMXBs: 1) a hard state characterized by power-law emission with little thermal emission, and 2) a soft state dominated by thermal emission. Additionally, they show intermediate behavior as the sources transition between these states (see Wijnands et al. 2017 for a recent discussion on the detailed morphology).

Lin et al. (2007) analyzed the spectrum of two atoll type transient (Aquilia X-1 and 4U 1608−52) to devise a “hybrid” model for the hard and soft spectral states. The hard state can be described by a single-temperature blackbody to account for boundary layer emission (where material from the disk reaches the surface of the NS) and a power-law component to account for Comptonization. The soft state can be described by a double thermal model comprised of a multi-temperature blackbody for disk emission and a single-temperature blackbody with the addition of a power-law component. This provided a coherent picture of the spectral evolution (e.g., the thermal components follow \( L_X \propto T^4 \)) and timing behavior of these sources that is analogous to BHs, which has been utilized for a number of other NS LMXBs (e.g., Cackett et al. 2008, 2009, 2010; Lin et al. 2010; Miller et al. 2013; Chiang et al. 2016a).

The hard X-ray photons that originate from the boundary layer or coronal region can illuminate the disk and be reprocessed by the material therein before being re-emitted. This reprocessed emission is known as the “reflection” spectrum. Doppler and relativistic effects are imprinted on features in the reflection spectrum, such as Fe K_,\alpha, yielding information about accretion flow (Fabian et al. 1989). The strength of these effects increase with proximity to the compact object, thus allowing the position of the inner accretion disk to be determined from the shape of the Fe line profile. The accretion disk around a NS has to truncate at or prior to the surface, hence reflection studies in NS LMXBs can provide upper limits on the radial extent of these objects (Cackett et al. 2008, Miller et al. 2013, Ludlam et al. 2017a) or indicate...
the presence of a boundary layer or strong magnetic field (Cackett et al. 2009; Papitto et al. 2009; King et al. 2016; Ludlam et al. 2017b, 2017c; van den Eijnden et al. 2017).

The location of the inner edge of the accretion disk around compact objects is thought to be dependent on the mass accretion rate, $\dot{m}$, of the system (González Martínez-País et al. 2014 for a review). Indeed, the overall spectral evolution of atolls does change with $\dot{m}$ (Gladstone et al. 2007), but there does not appear to be a clear one-to-one correlation with the inner disk position (Cackett et al. 2010; Chiang et al. 2016a; Ludlam et al. 2017a). When looking at a sample of NS LMXBs from which the inner disk radius could be determined via reflection, some atoll sources were consistent with the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) at as low as 1% $L_{\text{Edd}}$, whereas others were truncated at higher accretion rates near 10% $L_{\text{Edd}}$ (Ludlam et al. 2017a). This suggests a more complex behavior for the position on the inner accretion disk that relies on more than just $\dot{m}$ (e.g., the importance of the magnetosphere or boundary layer).

We present a sample of four persistently accreting atoll sources that were approved an initial $\sim 20$ ks observation each with NuSTAR (Harrison et al. 2013) during GO Cycle 3: GX 3+1, 4U 1702$-$429, 4U 0614+091, and 4U 1746$-$371. We search for the presence of reflection features and place constraints on the position of the inner disk. NuSTAR has been an exceptional tool for reflection studies due to its large energy bandpass from $3 - 79$ keV, as well as its high effective collecting area that is free from instrumental effects such as pile-up. Our sample spans the range of 0.006 to 0.11 $L_{\text{Edd}}$, assuming the empirical Eddington limit of $3.8 \times 10^{38}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (Kuulkers et al. 2003). The paper is structured in the following format: the subsequent subsections provide background on each source ($\S$1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4), $\S$2 presents the observations and data reduction, $\S$3 discusses the spectral analysis and results, $\S$4 provides a discussion of the results, $\S$5 summarizes the discussion.

1.1. GX 3+1

GX 3+1 is known to exhibit both Type-I X-ray bursts (Makishima et al. 1983; Kuulkers & van der Klis 2000; Chenevez et al. 2006) and longer duration superbursts from carbon burning (Kuulkers et al. 2002). Imposing the assumption that the Type-I X-ray bursts are Eddington limited provides a maximum distance to the source of 6.5 kpc (Galloway et al. 2008). A broad Fe line was first detected in this source with BeppoSAX (Oosterbroek et al. 2001). XMM-Newton observations of the source confirmed the presence of a relativistically shaped Fe line and revealed potential lower-energy features due to Ar XVIII and Ca XIX (Piraino et al. 2012). The Fe line profile suggested that the inner disk was located at a distance of $\sim 25 R_g$ (where $R_g = GM/c^2$) with an inclination of $35^\circ - 44^\circ$ when fit with a simple DISKLINE model (Fabian et al. 1989; Pintore et al. 2013) analyzed XMM-Newton and INTEGRAL observations from 2010 which suggested that the disk was closer to the NS at $\sim 10 R_g$ with an inclination of $\sim 35^\circ$ when accounting for the entire reflection spectrum.

1.2. 4U 1702−429

4U 1702$-$429 is a burster (Swank et al. 1976) located at a maximum distance of 5.65 kpc (Galloway et al. 2008), assuming that the Type-I X-ray bursts are Eddington limited. Burst oscillations were detected at a frequency of 330 Hz with the Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer (RXTE) (Markwardt et al. 1999), which is indicative of the spin frequency of the NS. This spin frequency corresponds to a dimensionless spin parameter ($a = cJ/\mathcal{M}^2$) of 0.155 (Braje et al. 2000), assuming a NS mass of 1.4 $\mathcal{M}_\odot$, a 10 km radius, and softish equation of state of the "FPS" model (Cook, Shapiro, & Teukolsky 1994). Iaria et al. (2010) provided the first investigation of the broadband spectrum using observations from both XMM-Newton and INTEGRAL. This revealed a broad Fe line component that originated from a significantly truncated disk with an inner disk radius of $31^{+25}_{-12} R_g$ and implied an inclination of $44^{+33}_{-6}$.

1.3. 4U 0614+091

4U 0614+091 is an ultracompact X-ray binary (UCXB) with an orbital period of $\sim 50$ minutes (Shabbaz et al. 2008) located at a distance of 3.2 kpc (Kuulkers et al. 2010). Type-I X-ray bursts have been detected in this system (Swank et al. 1978; Brandt et al. 1992) confirming that the compact object is a NS. A spin frequency of 415 Hz (Strohmayer et al. 2008) was determined from burst oscillations, which translates to $a = 0.2$ using the formalism from Braje et al. (2000). The companion star is either a CO or ONe white dwarf due to carbon and oxygen emission lines present in the optical spectrum (Nelemans et al. 2004). Madej et al. (2010) detected relativistically broadened O VII Ly$_\alpha$ emission in the XMM-Newton Reflection Grating Spectrometer detectors. When modeling the O VII Ly$_\alpha$ emission with a relativistic line profile, this emission appeared to originate from the innermost region ($\sim 6 R_g$) of a highly inclined disk ($i \approx 54^\circ$). A follow up study using the XMM-Newton/EPIC-pn data additionally revealed the presence of an Fe line feature (Madej et al. 2014). Spectral modeling of both features via a specially tailored reflection spectrum model for an overabundance of C and O (XILLVER$_{CO}$) supported the previous results of a disk close to the ISCO with a moderate inclination.

1.4. 4U 1746−371

4U 1746$-$371 is associated with the globular cluster NGC 6441. Variable stars in the cluster establish the distance to NGC 6441 to between 10.4 $-$ 11.9 kpc (Pritzl et al. 2001). The source is known to experience Type-I X-ray bursts (Sztajno et al. 1987) and is a dipping source (Parmar et al. 1989), which indicates that the system is highly inclined. The periodicity of the dipping observed with Ginga suggested an orbital period of $\sim 5.7$ hours (Sansom et al. 1993), but follow-up studies with RXTE found a smaller period of 5.16 $\pm$ 0.01 hours (Balucinska-Church et al. 2004). Hubble Space Telescope imaging of the globular cluster identified five possible optical counterparts, but the field was too dense to isolate a single counterpart as the companion to 4U 1746$-$371 (Deutsch et al. 1998). Asai et al. (2000) found evidence of a potential narrow Fe line in ASCA data, whereas a later investigation by Diaz Trigo et al. (2006) with XMM-Newton suggested a broad Fe emission component (modeled with a Gaussian at 6.4 keV that provided a 3.5σ improvement) with a potential narrow Fe XXVI absorption line.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

Table 1 provides the ObsIDs, date, exposure time, and net count rate of each NuSTAR observation. We followed the standard data reduction procedures using NUSTARDAS v1.8.0 with CALDB 20180126 for each observation. GX 3+1
and 4U 0614+091 required additional parameters to be called when utilizing the nupipeline tool. GX 3+1 is considered a formally bright source for NuSTAR (> 100 counts s⁻¹), so we applied STATUS=“STATUS==b000000000” to correct for high count rates. The observation of 4U 0614+091 occurred during high intervals of background near the South Atlantic Anomaly (SAA), therefore we imposed SAACALC = 3, SAAMODE=strict, and TENTACLE=yes to reduce these periods. Using the nuproducts tool we created lightcurves and spectra for each observation utilizing a circular extraction region with a radius of 100″ centered around the source to produce a source spectrum for both the Focal Plane Modules (FPMA and FPMB). We use another 100″ radius region away from the source for the purpose of background subtraction. No Type-I X-ray bursts were present in the lightcurves. Although it is not generally recommended to combine data from the FPMA/B since the introduced systematics may be larger than the statistical errors in high signal-to-noise observations, most of the sources in our sample have a low signal-to-noise. Therefore, we combine the FPMA/B using addascaspec to improve the signal-to-noise and provide a uniform analysis among sources in this sample. The data were binned by a factor of 3 using grppha (Choudhury et al. 2017).

3. SPECTRAL ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

We use xspec version 12.9.1m (Arnaud 1996) to perform our spectral analysis. Errors are generated from Monte Carlo Markov Chains of length 500,000 in order to simultaneously probe the entire χ² parameter space and quoted at the 90% confidence level. We use tabas (Wilms et al. 2000) to account for absorption along the line of sight to each source with the abundance set to wilm (Wilms et al. 2000) and vern cross-sections (Verner et al. 1996). The upper limit on the energy range in which each source is modeled is background limited.

Since the NuSTAR low-energy bandpass cuts off at 3 keV, we are unable to constrain the absorption column along the line of sight. We utilize archival xmm-newton/rgs data to fit absorption edges with tbnew ¹ to determine NH for each source. Note that these observations are not simultaneous with our NuSTAR observations. The RGS data were fit in the 0.45 – 2.1 keV energy range. We find NH = 2.42±0.02×10²² cm⁻² for GX 3+1, NH = 2.32±0.06×10²² cm⁻² for 4U 1702−429, NH = 3.46±0.01×10²¹ cm⁻² for 4U 0614+091, and NH = 3.76±0.01×10²¹ cm⁻² for 4U 1746−371. We compare these values to those reported in previous studies on these sources and find good agreement (e.g., GX 3+1: Pintore et al. 2015, 4U 1702−429: Mazzola et al. 2013, 4U 0614+091: Madej et al. 2010, 2014, 4U 1746−371: Díaz Trigo et al. 2006). We therefore fix NH in the following NuSTAR fits since column density is dominated by the interstellar medium and does not vary with spectral state (Miller et al. 2009). This is certainly true for low inclination source, but there can be deviations for high inclination sources due to obscuration within the system itself during dips or eclipses. However, note that the higher inclination sources in this sample do not show dips during our observations.

The continuum is modeled based upon the prescription in [Lin et al. 2007] for atoll sources. This is largely motivated by the existence of self-consistent reflection models based upon these components. We check, when appropriate, that our choice of continuum does not bias our results. Only GX 3+1 showed a very soft spectrum consistent with the soft state. The spectrum was modeled with a multi-temperature blackbody (diskbb; Mitsuda et al. 1984), single-temperature blackbody (bbody), and power-law component (powlaw). The other sources exhibited spectra consistent with hard state and are therefore modeled with a power-law component (cutoffpowl) and single-temperature blackbody (bbody) when needed.

When reflection features are present in the spectra, we utilize the self-consistent reflection model relxill (García et al. 2014) or the preliminarily flavor of this model tailored to thermal emission from a ns relxillns (Dauser, García, & Ludlam in prep.). The major difference between these models is the illuminating continuum that provides the hard X-rays that shape the resulting reflection spectrum. RELXILL uses a cutoff power-law input spectrum whereas RELXILLNS assumes a blackbody is irradiating the disk. The model components of RELXILL are as follows: q₁ is the inner emissivity index, q₂ is the outer emissivity index, R₉₅ is the break radius between the two emissivity indices, a is the dimensionless spin parameter, i is the inclination in degrees, Rₙ is the inner disk radius in units of the innermost stable circular orbit (RISCO), Rₐₖₗₜ is the outer disk radius in units of gravitational radius (R₉₅ = GM/c²), ξ is the photon index of the input cutoff power-law, log ξ is the log of the ionization parameter, A₉₅ is the iron abundance of the system normalized to the Sun, E₉₅ is the cutoff energy, fₐₖₗₜ is the reflection fraction, z is the redshift to the object, and norm represents the normalization of the model. RELXILLNS has similar parameters with the addition of log n (cm⁻²) to vary the density of the accretion disk and kTₙ₅ (keV) for the input blackbody spectrum instead of ξ and E₉₅.

When using the reflection models we impose the following: a single emissivity index q = q₁ = q₂, a redshift of z = 0 since these are Galactic sources, a spin of a = 0 since most NS in LMXBs have a ≤ 0.3 (Galloway et al. 2008; Miller et al. 2011) and the ISCO is a slowly varying function in this regime, and a large outer disk radius of Rₐₖₗₜ = 990 R₉₅. For reference, 1 RISCO = 6 R₉₅ for a = 0 (Bardeen et al. 1972). In the case of 4U 1702−429 and 4U 0614+091 where burst oscillations imply a = 0.155 and a = 0.199, the assumption of a = 0 is a marginal difference of < 0.7 R₉₅ for the position of the ISCO.

| Source | Mission | ObsID | Date (yyy-mm-dd) | Exp (ks) | Net Rate (cts s⁻¹) |
|--------|---------|-------|------------------|---------|------------------|
| GX 3+1 | NuSTAR  | 30363001002 | 2017-10-17 | 13.7 | 147.9 |
| 4U 1702−429 | NuSTAR | 30363005002 | 2017-08-29 | 21.7 | 97.1 |
| 4U 0614+091 | NuSTAR | 30363002002 | 2017-12-01 | 15.1 | 28.3 |
| 4U 1746−371 | NuSTAR | 30363004002 | 2018-02-10 | 19.8 | 10.7 |
3.1. GX 3+1

The NuSTAR data were modeled in the 3.0 – 20.0 keV range. The continuum model includes a multi-temperature blackbody, single-temperature blackbody, and power-law component. This provides a poor fit ($\chi^2$/dof = 1491.4/135) to the NuSTAR data due to the presence of reflection features that are not accounted for by this model. The Fe line profile from the data can be seen in Figure 1. We utilize the self-consistent thermal reflection model of RELXILLNS to properly describe these features. This improves the fit significantly to $\chi^2$/dof = 199.0/128 (15% improvement via F-test), though this is still a poor statistical fit overall since the high S/N for this source places the data in the systematic and calibration limited regime. The power-law component is still statistically needed at the 9.5σ level of confidence. The disk blackbody normalization implies an incredibly small radius ($\sim 2.5$ km), even after applying color corrections. This is likely to be a result of spectral hardening of pure blackbody emission by an atmosphere (London, Taam, & Howard 1986; Shimura & Takahara 1995; Merloni, Fabian, & Rossi 2000). We replace the DISKB & POWER-LAW components with NTHCOMP, setting the photon seed input to a disk blackbody. This improves the overall fit further ($\Delta\chi^2 = 34.1$) implying a high optical depth of $\tau \sim 7$, but does not change the results for important parameters, i.e., $R_{in}$ and inclination. The values for reflection model fitting are provided in Table 2

| Model       | Parameter | Model 1 | Model 2 |
|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| TBABS       | $N_H (10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2})$ | 2.42$^a$ | 2.42$^a$ |
| DISKB       | $kT (\text{keV})$ | 1.84$^{+0.11}_{-0.01}$ | ... |
|             | norm | 15.3$^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$ | ... |
| POWERLAW    | $\Gamma$ | 3.5$^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ | ... |
|             | norm | 1.04$^{+0.09}_{-0.02}$ | ... |
| NTHCOMP     | $\Gamma$ | ... | 1.83$^{+0.04}_{-0.01}$ |
|             | $kT_e (\text{keV})$ | ... | 2.45$^{+0.15}_{-0.08}$ |
|             | $kT_{bb} (\text{keV})$ | 10.8$^{+1.2}_{-2.1}$ | 13.2$^{+1.2}_{-0.2}$ |
|             | log $\xi$ | 2.75$^{+0.05}_{-0.03}$ | 3.2$^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$ |
|             | $A_{Fe}$ | 0.62$^{+0.17}_{-0.03}$ | 3$^{+2}_{-2}$ |
|             | log $n (\text{cm}^{-3})$ | 16.0$^{+0.2}_{-0.3}$ | 16.1$^{+0.2}_{-0.1}$ |
|             | $f_{\text{red}}$ | 0.93$^{+0.04}_{-0.03}$ | 0.39$^{+0.07}_{-0.06}$ |
|             | norm (10$^{-3}$) | 0.72$^{+0.05}_{-0.12}$ | 1.2$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$ |
|             | $F_{\text{INABS}}$ | 8$^{+2}_{-1}$ | 6.5$^{+1.1}_{-1}$ |
|             | L (10$^{-3}$ ergs s$^{-1}$) | 4.1$^{+0.5}_{-1.0}$ | 3.3$^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$ |
|             | $L/L_{\text{Edd}}$ | 0.11 | 0.10 |

† = fixed

Note.— Errors are reported at the 90% confidence level and calculated from Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) of chain length 500,000. Data were fit in the 3.0 – 20.0 keV band. The outer disk radius was fixed at 990 $R_g$, the dimensionless spin parameter and redshift were set to zero for the RELXILLNS model. $f_{\text{red}}$ denotes the reflection fraction. The unsorbed flux is taken in the 0.5 – 50.0 keV band and given in units of 10$^{-5}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ Luminosity is calculated based upon a distance of $D_{\text{max}} = 6.5$ kpc (Galloway et al. 2008).

indicative of a higher density disk than the hard coded value of 10$^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ in RELXILL. We note that the model flavor RELXILL.D provides the option of variable density in the disk. However, the current version of this model has a fixed cutoff energy of 300 keV, which is much higher than the value required to fit these data. Panel (c) of Figure 2 shows the model components and ratio of the NuSTAR fit with $A_{Fe}$ left free to vary. Additionally, we also try fitting the spectrum with RELXILLCP, but do not find an improvement in the overall fit ($\Delta\chi^2$ increases by 4.7 for the same number of degrees of freedom). We present this in Table 3 and Figure 3 of the appendix.

3.2. 4U 1702–429

The NuSTAR data were modeled in the 3.0 – 50.0 keV energy band. The continuum is well described by a cut-off power-law alone ($\chi^2$/dof = 1162.4/388), but there is a broad Fe line feature present in the ratio of the data to the continuum (Figure 1). In order to accommodate this feature, we apply the standard version of the self-consistent reflection model RELXILL. We initially fix the iron abundance at twice solar since the fit tended towards the maximum value of $A_{Fe} = 10$. Applying the reflection model with $A_{Fe} = 2$ provides a $\sim 17\sigma$ improvement in the overall fit ($\chi^2$/dof = 526.6/383) in comparison the continuum only model. Last, we explore the dependence on the iron abundance by allowing it to be a free parameter. The value is greater than 4.6 times the solar value, but the position of the inner disk is consistent with the fit that had a fixed $A_{Fe}$. Both fits are reported in Table 3. This overabundance could be

3.3. 4U 0614+091

The NuSTAR data were modeled in the 3.0 – 30.0 keV energy band. The column density was fixed at the value inferred from fitting the XMM-Newton/RGS of $N_H = 3.46 \times 10^{21}$ cm$^{-2}$. The continuum is consistent with the hard state, which is well described by a single-temperature blackbody and cut-off power-law ($\chi^2$/dof = 457.3/220). There is a broad emission feature in the Fe K band that can be seen in Figure 1. We employ RELXILL to account for the reflected emission. This provides a $\sim 10\sigma$ improvement in the overall fit. Parameter values are given in Table 4. The spectrum and spectral components can be seen in panel (d) of Figure 2.
The NuSTAR data were modeled in the 3.0–25.0 keV band. The column density along the line of sight is fixed at $N_{\text{H}} = 3.76 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The spectrum can be entirely described by a cutoff power-law and single temperature blackbody component ($\chi^2$/dof = 224.3/177). There is not a strong detection of an Fe line component (see Figure 1). Applying a Gaussian component at 6.4 keV provides a marginal improvement of $\chi^2$/dof = 211.3/175 (or 2.8$\sigma$) with an equivalent width of $\sim 30$ eV. Allowing the spectrum to be described by reflection with RELXILL provides an improvement in the fit ($\Delta \chi^2$ decreases by 17.7 for 2 dof). This is a 3.4$\sigma$ improvement in comparison to the continuum only modeling. We fixed the following parameter values in the reflection model to those typical of other sources: $q = 3.2$ (Wilkins 2018), $R_{\text{in}} = 1 R_{\text{ISCO}}$, $A_{\text{Fe}} = 1$, $\log(\xi) = 3.0$, and an inclination of $i = 75^\circ$ (because it is a “dipping” source). We can then place an upper limit on the presence of reflection to be 13% from the reflection fraction. Allowing the fixed parameters within RELXILL to be free does not provide any meaningful constraints. For example, the inner disk radius is completely unconstrained (i.e., consistent with both high-codded limits of $R_{\text{ISCO}}$ and 100 $R_{\text{ISCO}}$). This was also the case for the ionization parameter which was consistent with $\log(\xi) = 0$ and $\log(\xi) = 4.7$. We therefore are unable to learn more information about the reflected component.

The source is likely highly Comptonized. Switching out the continuum for Comptonization alone (NTHCOMP: Zdziarski et al. 1996, Zycki et al. 1999) provides a comparatively good fit ($\chi^2$/dof = 226.4/178) and implies a high optical depth of $\tau \sim 6$. Both continuum model fits are reported in Table 5. The spectrum and spectral components for each fit can be seen in panels (e) and (f) of Figure 2. We try to apply RELXILLCP to again check the presence of reflection in this observation. We fix the same parameters as the fit per-

---

### Table 3

| Model  | Parameter | Fixed $A_{\text{Fe},r}$ | Free $A_{\text{Fe},r}$ |
|--------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| TBABS  | $N_{\text{H}}$ (10^{22} cm$^{-2}$) | 2.52$^\dagger$ | 2.32$^\dagger$ |
| RELXILL| $q$ | 2.5$^\dagger$ | 2.5$^\dagger$ | | 2.5$^\dagger$ | 2.5$^\dagger$ |
|       | $i$ ($^\circ$) | 59.5$^\dagger$ | 61.2$^\dagger$ |
|       | $R_{\text{in}}$ ($R_{\text{ISCO}}$) | 1.5$^\dagger$ | 1.6$^\dagger$ |
|       | $R_{\text{in}}$ ($R_{\text{ISCO}}$) | 9.0$^\dagger$ | 1.6$^\dagger$ |
|       | $\Gamma$ | 1.9$^\dagger$ | 1.97$^\dagger$ |
|       | $\log(\xi)$ | 0.04 | 0.03 |
|       | $A_{\text{Fe}}$ | 2.0$^\dagger$ | 4.9$^\dagger$ |
|       | $E_{\text{cut}}$ | 53$^\dagger$ | 57$^\dagger$ |
|       | $F_{\text{unabs}}$ | 0.0$^\dagger$ | 0.0$^\dagger$ |
|       | $L$ (10^{39} ergs s$^{-1}$) | 2.2$^\dagger$ | 2.2$^\dagger$ |
|       | $L/R_{\text{Edd}}$ | 0.006 | 0.006 |

$^\dagger$ fixed

Note.— Errors are reported at the 90% confidence level and calculated from Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) of chain length 500,000. NuSTAR data were fit in the 3.0 – 50.0 keV band. The outer disk radius was fixed at 990 $R_{\text{ISCO}}$, the dimensionless spin parameter and redshift were set to zero for the RELXILL model. $f_{\text{refl}}$ denotes the reflection fraction. The unabsorbed flux is calculated based upon a distance of $D_{\text{max}} = 5.65$ kpc (Galloway et al. 2008).

---

### Table 4

| Model  | Parameter | Fixed $A_{\text{Fe},r}$ | Free $A_{\text{Fe},r}$ |
|--------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| TBABS  | $N_{\text{H}}$ (10^{22} cm$^{-2}$) | 3.46$^\dagger$ |
| BBODY  | kT (keV) | 1.51$^\dagger$ | 1.01$^\dagger$ |
|       | norm (10^{-3}) | 3.55$^\dagger$ | 0.42$^\dagger$ |
| RELXILL| $q$ | 2.07$^\dagger$ | 0.04$^\dagger$ |
|       | $i$ ($^\circ$) | 52$^\dagger$ | 19$^\dagger$ |
|       | $R_{\text{in}}$ ($R_{\text{ISCO}}$) | 1.3$^\dagger$ | 0.2$^\dagger$ |
|       | $R_{\text{in}}$ ($R_{\text{ISCO}}$) | 7.8$^\dagger$ | 32$^\dagger$ |
|       | $\Gamma$ | 2.57$^\dagger$ | 0.24$^\dagger$ |
|       | $\log(\xi)$ | 3.58$^\dagger$ | 0.06$^\dagger$ |
|       | $A_{\text{Fe}}$ | 0.58$^\dagger$ | 0.06$^\dagger$ |
|       | $E_{\text{cut}}$ | 16$^\dagger$ | 1$^\dagger$ |
|       | $f_{\text{refl}}$ | 1.0$^\dagger$ | 0.4$^\dagger$ |
|       | norm (10^{-3}) | 4.1$^\dagger$ | 0.1$^\dagger$ |
|       | $F_{\text{unabs}}$ | 2.2$^\dagger$ | 0.6$^\dagger$ |
|       | $L$ (10^{39} ergs s$^{-1}$) | 2.7$^\dagger$ | 0.7$^\dagger$ |
|       | $L/R_{\text{Edd}}$ | 0.007 |

$^\dagger$ fixed

Note.— Errors are reported at the 90% confidence level and calculated from Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) of chain length 500,000. The data were fit in the 3.0 – 50.0 keV band. The outer disk radius was fixed at 990 $R_{\text{ISCO}}$, the dimensionless spin parameter and redshift were set to zero for the RELXILL model. $f_{\text{refl}}$ denotes the reflection fraction. The unabsorbed flux is taken in the 0.5 – 50.0 keV band and given in units of 10^{-9} ergs s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$. Luminosity is calculated based upon a distance of $D_{\text{max}} = 3.2$ kpc (Kuulkers et al. 2011).
formed with RELXILL while allowing the continuum parameters, reflection fraction, and normalization to vary. This provides an improvement over the spectral modeling with NTHCOMP alone ($\Delta \chi^2=11$ for the same number of dof). We obtain a higher upper limit on the presence of reflection ($\sim 56\%$), but we are still unable to constrain reflection parameter values when they are allowed to vary. The combination of high inclination and strong Comptonization could be responsible for scattering any potential reflection features in this system out of the line of sight (see Figure 5 of Petrucci et al. 2001) and suggests we are observing through the Comptonizing corona that is on top of the accretion disk.

4. DISCUSSION

We perform a time-averaged spectral analysis with a focus on detecting reflection features in a sample of accreting atoll sources that were granted $\sim 20$ ks observations per source with NuSTAR to determine the position of the inner disk. The sources span a range in Eddington fraction from 0.006 $- 0.11$ (assuming the empirical limit of $3.8 \times 10^{38}$ ergs s$^{-1}$). Broad Fe lines were detected in three out of four of the sources: GX 3+1, 4U 1702$-429$, and 4U 0614+091. We account for reflection by using different flavors of RELXILL based on the illuminating continuum in these systems. There are other families of reflection models, such as RELIONX (Ross & Fabian 2005) or BBREFL (Ballantyne 2004), but Ludlam et al. (2017a) demonstrated that reflection fitting with these models provide similar results as RELXILL. The final source, 4U 1746$-371$, does not require reflection in order to describe the spectrum. However, we can place an upper limit on its presence through the reflection fraction to be $13\% - 56\%$ depending on the choice of the illuminating continuum. The ionization parameters of the three sources with reflection are consistent with log $\xi = 2.3 - 4.0$ seen in other NS LMXBs (Cackett et al. 2013, Ludlam et al. 2017a).

GX 3+1 displayed a particularly soft spectrum that was well described by a double thermal model with a power-law component. The reflection model determined the accretion disk was truncated prior to the ISCO at $1.8^{+0.6}_{-0.6} R_{\text{ISCO}}$ (10.8$^{+1.2}_{-3.6} R_g$) and inclination of $27^\circ - 31^\circ$. The inner disk position agrees with the $\sim 10 R_g$ limit found by Pintore et al. (2015), but the inclination is slightly lower than previous investigations with XMM-Newton by Piraino et al. (2012) and Pintore et al. (2015). A potential source of the discrepancy between the measured inclinations could be due to the difference in $N_H$ used when performing spectral fits. The choice of absorption model used (e.g., WABS, PHABS, TBABS, etc.), as well as abundances and cross-sections adopted can result in changes in the measured $N_H$ by up to 30% (Gatuzz & García, in prep.). This can alter the edge at 7 keV in the Fe line region, which can impact the blue wing predicted by the reflection model when fitting, and therefore lead to changes in the inferred inclination. Additionally, we obtain similar values for $R_{\text{in}}$ and inclination when using a Comptonized disk blackbody component for the continuum.

The position of the inner disk was close to the ISCO for 4U 1702$-429$, though the large error bars are also compatible with disk truncation. This is in agreement with the values reported in Mazzola et al. (2018) from archival XMM-Newton, INTEGRAL, and BeppoSAX observations. The iron abundance had to be fixed during the reflection fits. Allowing the iron abundance vary required $> 5\times$ the solar abundance. This improved the fit, but the disk was highly ionized (log $\xi = 4$). The super-solar abundance likely indicates that the disk has a higher density than accounted for by the RELXILL model ($10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$). This behavior was also seen for the BH HMXB Cyg X-1 (Tomsick et al. 2018) which had a super-solar Fe abundance when modeled with a disk density of $10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$. When the disk density was allowed to increase, the Fe abundance in the reflection model decreased. See Garcia et al. (2018) for a recent discussion on the relationship between disk density and inferred Fe abundance. The inclination is between $53^\circ - 64^\circ$ depending on the value of $A_{\text{Fe}}$, in agreement with the results from Faria et al. (2016) from XMM-Newton and INTEGRAL data. Additionally, the upper limits on the inner disk position when $A_{\text{Fe}} = 2.0$ are consistent with the values reported in Faria et al. (2016).

4U 0614$-091$ was also truncated slightly outside of the ISCO. The sub-solar Fe abundance agrees with previous studies and the nature of the donor in this system (Madej et al. 2010, 2014), although the abundance is consistent with solar at the 90% confidence level. The inclination of the system from RELXILL is $50^\circ - 62^\circ$, which again agrees with the results from the XMM-Newton RGS and EPIC-pn studies performed by Madej et al. (2010, 2014). This supports the idea that relativistically blurred Fe and O lines can originate from very similar regions in the disk providing additional diagnostics for the inner accretion flow in these systems (Ludlam et al. 2016). Future studies conducted with observatories such as NICER (Gendreau et al. 2012) that are sensitive to Fe lines and lower energy emission features simultaneously can confirm if these locations are the same or mutually exclusive.

Further observations of 4U 1702$-429$ and 4U 0614$-091$ would yield tighter constraints on the position of the inner disk radius to determine if the accretion flow is truncated or close to the NS, particularly if 4U 1702$-429$ is targeted in a state with higher intensity. Additionally, the development of a cutoff power-law reflection model with variable disk density and cutoff energy will shed light on the peculiar super-solar iron abundances implied in the reflection fitting of

### Table 5

| Model | Parameter | Power-law | Comptonization |
|-------|-----------|-----------|----------------|
| TBABS | $N_H$ (10$^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 3.70 | 3.70 |
| BBODY | $kT$ (keV) | 2.4 $\pm$ 0.2 | ... |
| | norm (10$^{-4}$) | 5.4 $\pm$ 0.9 | ... |
| CUTOFFPL | $\Gamma$ | 1.3 $\pm$ 0.2 | ... |
| | $E_{\text{cut}}$ (keV) | 5.5 $\pm$ 0.7 | ... |
| | norm (10$^{-2}$) | 6.6 $\pm$ 0.7 | ... |
| NTHCOMP | $\Gamma$ | ... | 1.91 $\pm$ 0.02 |
| | $kT_b$ (keV) | ... | 2.91 $\pm$ 0.04 |
| | $kT_{\text{bb}}$ (keV) | ... | 0.50 $\pm$ 0.05 |
| | norm (10$^{-2}$) | ... | 2.4 $\pm$ 0.4 |
| | $F_{\text{unabs}}$ | 0.45 $\pm$ 0.09 | 0.34 $\pm$ 0.04 |
| | $L_{\text{1038}}$ (ergs s$^{-1}$) | 8 $\pm$ 2 | 5.8 $\pm$ 0.7 |
| | $L_{\text{L/Edd}}$ | 0.02 | 0.015 |

$^\dagger$ fixed

Note.— Errors are reported at the 90% confidence level and calculated from Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) of chain length 500,000. NuSTAR was fit in the $3.0 - 25.0$ keV band. The unabsorbed flux is taken in the $0.5 - 50.0$ keV band and given in units of $10^{-10}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$ kpc$^{-2}$. Luminosity is calculated based upon a distance of $D_{\text{max}} = 11.9$ kpc (Pritzl et al. 2001).
4U 1702−429. The current version of RELXILL D that allows for variable disk density has a fixed cutoff energy of 300 keV. 4U 1746−371 did not show a clear signature of reflection in its spectrum. The low cutoff energy is consistent with cutoff energies seen in other NS LMXBs in intermediate states (4U 1636−536, 4U 1705−44, 4U 1728−34, 4U 1734−44, and 4U 1820−30; Church et al. 2014), and an INTEGRAL study of this source by Balman (2009). Although, we find a hotter blackbody component and harder spectral index in comparison to Balman (2009). The spectral index is closer to the value of $\Gamma = 1.20 \pm 0.27$ found in Church et al. (2001). Additionally, we model the continuum emission with a Comptonized accretion disk component. The optically thick Comptonized component ($\tau \sim 6$) and low electron temperature ($\sim 3$ keV) of 4U 1746−371 is akin to GX 13+1 (Iaria et al. 2014; D’Aì et al. 2014), which is another highly-inclined “soft” atoll. In contrast to 4U 1746−371, GX 13+1 continuously shows a broad Fe line component and narrow Fe absorption features indicative of winds (Díaz Trigo et al. 2012). This could be due to the fact that Fe line flux is cor-

Figure 2. NuSTAR spectral modeling and residuals divided by errors for the sample of atoll sources. Dot-dashed lines indicate the reflection model (blue: RELXILLNS, red: RELXILL). The magenta dotted line is a multi-temperature blackbody to account for disk emission. The grey dot-dot-dot dashed line is a single-temperature blackbody to account for boundary layer emission. The orange dashed line indicates the power-law component. The purple solid line is the Comptonization model. See Tables 2-5 for component parameters.
related with continuum flux \( (\text{Lin et al.} 2010) \) and GX 13+1 is more than six times as luminous \( (\text{D'\text{A}i et al.} 2014) \). A simple Gaussian at 6.4 keV to account for a potential Fe line component in 4U 1746−371 gave an equivalent width of \( \sim 30 \) eV, which is about \( 1/6^{13} \) of the value reported in \( \text{Díaz Trigo et al.} 2006 \). However, the observation reported in \( \text{Díaz Trigo et al.} 2006 \) occurred when the source was a factor of four times more luminous. The discrepancy in the equivalent width of the Fe line component is likely the result of a change in ionization state, though we were unable to place any meaningful constraints on the ionization parameter with RELXILL or RELXILL.CP.

Another source was recently found to not have reflection features present in its persistent spectrum: the Z source GX 5−1 \( (\text{Homan et al.} 2018) \). The likeliest explanation for the absence of reflection features was a highly ionized disk given the sources high luminosity \( (L_{1-100 \text{ keV}} = 2.97 \times 10^{38} − 4.27 \times 10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}) \). A highly ionized accretion disk could explain the lack of reflection in 4U 1746−371, but the source is not nearly as luminous as GX 5−1. 4U 1746−371 was at 0.02 \( L_{\text{Edd}} \) at the time of the \( \text{NuSTAR} \) observation, whereas GX 5−1 spanned the range of \( \sim 0.76 − 1.14 L_{\text{Edd}} \). Since 4U 1746−371 is a known dipping source, it is more likely that the combination of source geometry and Comptonization in the accretion disk corona scatters reflected photons out of the line of sight vastly reducing the number of line contributing photons \( (\text{Petrucci et al.} 2001) \). This is further supported by the high optical depth \( (\tau \sim 6) \) from the Comptonization fit in addition to the dipping nature of the source. Note that this is not the case for GX 3+1 since the source is at a lower inclination and we are not looking through the Comptonizing corona on top of the accretion disk. However, this could also explain the lack of a reflection spectrum in the “soft” state for GS 1826−24, which presents evidence of being highly inclined and Comptonized as well \( (\text{Chenevez et al.} 2016) \).

For the three sources in which reflection was detected, the brightest of the sources is truncated prior to the ISCO whereas the lower Eddington luminosities have inner disk radii nearly consistent with the ISCO, within errors. We are able to place these three sources into the larger sample of NSs \((B < 10^{10} \text{ G})\) that have been observed with \( \text{NuSTAR} \) wherein reflection allows limits to be placed on the location of the disk. Table 6 and Figure 3 are updated from \( \text{Ludlam et al.} 2017a \) to incorporate recent analyses and further division into NS sub-classes (persistent atoll and Z sources, transients, and a very faint X-ray binary). The Eddington fraction, which is a proxy for \( r_i \), is calculated from the 0.5-50 keV luminosity of each source divided by the empirical Eddington limit of \( 3.8 \times 10^{38} \text{ ergs s}^{-1} \). The observations of 4U 1702−429 and 4U 0614+091 in this work provide the lowest luminosity disk position measurements for persistent atoll sources observed with \( \text{NuSTAR} \). In the case of the very faint X-ray binary, IGR J17062−6143 is able to constantly accrete at very low \( r_i \) where the disk may enter the radiatively inefficient accretion flow (RIAF) regime \( (\text{Narayan \& Yi} 1994, \text{Blandford \& Begelman} 1999) \), but truncation by the magnetosphere is not ruled out \( (\text{van den Eijnden et al.} 2018) \).

It should be noted that the \( R_{\text{in}} \) estimates for Serpens X-1 by \( \text{Miller et al.} 2013 \) and \( \text{Matranga et al.} 2017 \) used the same \( \text{NuSTAR} \) data set, however \( \text{Matranga et al.} 2017 \) also used \( \text{XMM-Newton} \) observations to construct a broad-band X-ray spectrum. This emphasizes the importance of the lower-energy bandpass in deriving reflection parameters. Hence values in Table 6 and Figure 3 may be biased since \( \text{NuSTAR} \)
only has the high energy coverage. Regardless, the lack of a clear trend between $F_{\text{Edd}}$ and $R_{\text{in}}$ reaffirms the previously reported complex behavior of the disk over various mass accretion rates (Cackett et al. 2013, D’Aì et al. 2018, Ludlam et al. 2017a) and suggests the presence of a boundary layer or the magnetic field of the NS likely plays a role.

In Table 7, we provide estimates for the extent of a boundary layer using Equation (25) from Popham & Sunyaev (2001) and upper limits on the magnetic field strength using Equation (1) from Cackett et al. (2009) for these systems. The large upper limit on the magnetic field strength for 4U 0614+091 is driven by the large uncertainty on the inner disk radius. Although we do not detect pulsations during these observations, the sources could still be magnetically accreting. The hot spot could be nearly aligned with the spin axis, in which case pulsations would be undetectable, or the modulated emission could be scattered by the circumstellar gas (Lamb et al. 1985).

A comprehensive explanation regarding all the ways pulsations are suppressed or hidden from view in NS LMXBs can be found in Lamb et al. (2009). The extent of the boundary layer regions are too small to account for the disk position, but these values may be underestimated as they do not account for spin and viscous effects in this layer. An additional plausible explanation for disk truncation could be that the innermost region of the accretion disk has given way to a compact Comptonizing coronal region as expected in lower luminosity regimes (Narayan & Yi 1994, Done et al. 2007, Veledina, Poutanen, & Vurm 2013). We are unable to determine the exact truncation mechanism from a single observation. This is because the position of the disk as a function of its changes in opposing manners for truncation by the magnetosphere (Ibragimov & Poutanen 2009) or a boundary layer extending from the NS surface (Popham & Sunyaev 2001). Multiple observations over significant changes in mass accretion rate within individual sources are needed to determine the definitive truncation mechanism for a system.

5. SUMMARY

We present a spectral analysis of four persistent atoll sources observed with NuSTAR to investigate the location of the inner disk measured via reflection fitting techniques within these relatively low luminosity systems. We detect the presence of reflection firmly in three out of four of these sources (GX 3+1, 4U 1702−429, and 4U 0614+091). Reflection features were not detected in 4U 1746−371 likely due to a combination of source geometry and strong Comptonization. These sources span a range in Eddington fraction of 0.006 − 0.11, providing the lowest $F_{\text{Edd}}$ disk position measurement for an atoll source observed with NuSTAR, and increase the number of sources with detected reflection features by ~ 20% for the NuSTAR sample. Adding these sources to the existing sample of NSs with inner radius measurements reaffirms the lack of a clear one-to-one trend between the position of the inner disk and mass accretion rate as observed with other X-ray missions such as XMM-Newton and Suzaku (e.g., Cackett et al. 2010, D’Aì et al. 2018, Chiang et al. 2016b). This emphasizes the need to shift focus to investigate the truncation mechanisms in individual sources to determine the dynamical role of the boundary layer or magnetosphere. In order to disentangle these different scenarios of disk truncation, multiple observations of a source over a large range in mass accretion rate are necessary.
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Table 7

| Source | $R_{\text{in, max}} (R_{\odot})$ | $B_{\text{max}} (10^6 \, \text{G})$ |
|--------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| GX 3+1 | ~ 0.57                        | ≤ 6.1            |
| 4U 1702−429 | ~ 5.35                     | ≤ 3.5            |
| 4U 0614+091 | ~ 5.36                       | ≤ 14.5          |

Note.— The maximum radial extent of the boundary layer region is calculated based on the maximum luminosity reported in Table 2—4. We assume a canonical NS ($M_{\text{NS}} = 1.4 \, M_{\odot}$, $R_{\text{NS}} = 10 \, \text{km}$). For the estimate of the upper limit on the magnetic field strength at the poles, we use the maximum unabsorbed 0.5 − 50 keV flux and inner disk radius from the NuSTAR fits. Additionally, we assume an angular anisotropy and conversion factor of unity (Cackett et al. 2009). The efficiency of accretion in both calculations is assumed to be 0.2 (Siberudin & Sunyaev 2000).

NuSTAR OBSERVATIONS OF ACCRETING ATOLLS

9
SPECTRAL FITTING WITH RELXILLCP

Here we provide the reader with the results from using the model RELXILLCP on GX 3+1 and 4U 1702−429. This model produces reflection from the reprocessing of photons from a Comptonized disk component with a hard-coded seed photon temperature of 0.05 keV. Table A1 provides the parameter values that can be compared to the resulting fits in Tables 2 and 3 for GX 3+1 and 4U 1702−429, respectively. Figure A1 is given to provide a direct comparison to the fits presented in Figure 2. These fits do not provide an improvement in the overall fit of the spectra from the results presented in §3.1 and §3.2. In the case of 4U 1702−429, the comparable fit with high $A_{Fe}$ and ionization still supports the need for higher disk density models.

Table A1

| Model       | Parameter | Parameter Value | Parameter Value |
|-------------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|
|             |           | GX 3+1          | 4U 1702−429     |
| TBABS       | $N_H$ (10^{22}$ cm^{-2}$) | 2.42$^\dagger$ | 2.32$^\dagger$ |
| BBODY       | $kT$ (keV) | 1.40 ± 0.02     | ...             |
|             | norm (10^{-3}) | 6.8 ± 0.3      | ...             |
| RELXILLCP   | $q$       | 3.1 ± 0.3       | 2.3 ± 0.2       |
|             | $i$ (°)   | 24 ± 1          | 64 ± 1          |
|             | $R_{in}$ ($R_{ISCO}$) | 1.85$^{+0.27}_{-0.35}$ | 1.00$^{+0.04}_{-0.09}$ |
|             | $R_{in}$ ($R_g$) | 10.8$^{+2.3}_{-2.1}$ | 6.0$^{+2.4}_{-2.2}$ |
|             | $\Gamma$  | 1.72$^{+0.03}_{-0.01}$ | 2.00 ± 0.01     |
|             | log $\xi$ | 3.30$^{+0.001}_{-0.005}$ | 4.7$^{+0.1}_{-0.002}$ |
|             | $A_{Fe}$  | 10$^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$ | 8.7$^{+0.7}_{-0.9}$ |
|             | $kT$ (keV) | 2.24 ± 0.01     | 20$^{+1.4}_{-0.7}$ |
|             | $f_{refl}$ | 0.66$^{+0.03}_{-0.07}$ | 2.6$^{+0.4}_{-0.5}$ |
|             | norm (10^{-3}) | 7.2 ± 0.1      | 0.30$^{+0.02}_{-0.07}$ |
|             | $\chi^2$ (dof) | 344.6 (130)     | 484.9 (382)     |

$^\dagger$ = fixed

Note.— Errors are reported at the 90% confidence level. An asterisk indicates that the parameter is at the hard-coded limit of the model. The outer disk radius was fixed at 990 $R_g$, the dimensionless spin parameter and redshift were set to zero for the RELXILLCP model. $f_{refl}$ denotes the reflection fraction.

Figure A1. NuSTAR spectral modeling and residuals divided by errors for (a) GX 3+1 and (b) 4U 1702−429 when fit with RELXILLCP. The purple dot-dashed lines indicates RELXILLCP, which contains both the Comptonized disk component of the continuum and reflection. The grey dot-dot-dot dashed line is a single-temperature blackbody to account for boundary layer emission.