small ORFs: A new class of essential genes for development
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Abstract

Genes that contain small open reading frames (smORFs) constitute a new group of eukaryotic genes and are expected to represent 5% of the Drosophila melanogaster transcribed genes. In this review we provide a historical perspective of their recent discovery, describe their general mechanism and discuss the importance of smORFs for future genomic and transcriptomic studies. Finally, we discuss the biological role of the most studied smORF so far, the Mlpt/Pri/Tal gene in arthropods. The pleiotropic action of Mlpt/Pri/Tal in D. melanogaster suggests a complex evolutionary scenario that can be used to understand the origins, evolution and integration of smORFs into complex gene regulatory networks.
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Historical Perspective on the Discovery of small Open Reading Frames (smORFs)

Our knowledge of genome sequence, size and gene content has increased with the availability of new DNA sequencing technologies. This huge amount of data has opened new avenues for the development of bioinformatics. Bioinformatic prediction methods have been used to estimate the gene numbers of several eukaryotes, which vary considerably across groups. Estimations of gene contents do not support the theory that an increase in complexity can be associated with an increase in gene number. For example, a sponge genome contains more putative genes than a human genome does (Srivastava et al., 2010). However, transcriptome data have shown that half of the transcripts in mammalian genes are classified as non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs) because they do not contain large Open Reading Frames (ORFs) (e.g., Ota et al., 2004).

In general, gene prediction methods attempt to identify intrinsic features in DNA sequences that are characteristics of exons, such as ORFs and Kozak consensus sequences (Figure 1). Traditionally, in silico approaches have considered a lower limit of 300 nucleotides or 100 amino acids for an ORF to be annotated as a putative exon. This in silico approach excludes small ORFs (smORFs) with fewer than 100 amino acids that might be biologically active. One of the first hints that smORFs might display a biological function was obtained by Kessler et al. (2003). These authors identified new genes in S. cerevisiae by simply BLAST-searching potential budding yeast ORF products against sequences from other fungal and non-fungal species. Based on the hypothesis that conserved genes are functional, they found strong evidence for close to 100 new smORF genes in the S. cerevisiae genome (Kessler et al., 2006). Later, using functional genomics techniques, such as EST sequencing and mutant analysis, Kastenmayer et al. (2006) provided evidence for the existence of 299 smORFs; this figure represents approximately 5% of the annotated ORFs in S. cerevisiae. Furthermore, Kastenmayer et al. (2006) showed by specific gene deletion that 21 smORFs (~8%) are essential for S. cerevisiae viability. These smORFs are implicated in key cellular processes such as transport, intermediate metabolism and genome stability. Most importantly, at least some of the smORFs can be expressed and translated as peptides (Kastenmayer et al., 2006). Because several smORFs were shown to be conserved among fungi and higher eukaryotes (Kastenmayer et al., 2006), it remains to be investigated whether smORFs play a role during metazoan development.
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smORFs During Metazoan Development

Hormones and neuropeptides are considered the best examples of bioactive molecules of low molecular weight. They are transcribed as large mRNAs that are then processed into small peptides via post-translational mechanisms and proteolysis because they contain signal sequences at their N-termini. After processing in the ER and Golgi apparatus, hormones or neuropeptides can signal far from their production site (Figure 2A). Recent functional genomic studies have shown a new way of generating such small bioactive peptides, including the direct translation of smORFs. More than one smORF may be present in a single transcript. Hence, eukaryotic mRNA can be polycistronic, with multiple exons containing an initiation codon within a single mRNA (Savard et al., 2006, Kondo et al., 2007, Figure 2B). After secretion, smORFs act like hormones and neuropeptides and can be defined as a novel class of small peptide genes expressed during plant and animal morphogenesis (reviewed by Hashimoto et al., 2008).

mille-pattes, tarsal-less and polished rice: The Same Gene Can Act in Different Developmental Contexts

smORFs may also play a major role in animal development. The first hint that a smORF was required for animal development was provided by Savard et al. (2006), who investigated the embryogenesis of the red flour beetle, Tribolium castaneum. In an EST screening, Savard et al. (2006) identified mille-pattes (mlpt), a polycistronic peptide encoding four smORFs, three of which containing an LDPTGLY domain. In Tribolium, mlpt acts like a bona fide gap gene because it regulates Hox genes (Savard et al., 2006). The down regulation of mlpt results in beetle larvae that have up to ten pairs of legs instead of the three pairs of legs observed in wild-type beetles. Moreover, mlpt is expressed in the thorax and at the posterior growth-zone, which is the region responsible for posterior segmentation in short-germ insects, including the beetle T. castaneum (Figure 3).

Galindo et al. (2007) and Kondo et al. (2007) investigated the role of the mlpt ortholog in the fruit fly Drosophila melanogaster and provided interesting results regarding the function of these smORFs. Previously, this smORF ortholog was classified as a non-coding RNA in Drosophila because the small size of its ORFs suggested they were not translated (Tupy et al., 2005.) The embryonic gap gene role of mlpt turned out not to be conserved in fruit flies, and synonyms of the same gene, such as tarsal-less (tal) (Galindo et al., 2007) or polished rice (pri) (Kondo et al., 2008). Because these smORFs have been found by unbiased genetic screenings and occupy small regions of plant genomes, it is likely that smORFs play a role in other biological processes and systems.

Figure 1 - Scheme of the general method for the identification of smORFs in different related species. Based on primary data and schemes from Kessler et al. (2003) and Ladoukakis et al. (2011). smORF prediction is based on detection and filtering. The filtering process is important to reduce the false positive rate and increase the efficacy of functional smORFs estimation.

Figure 2 - Schematic drawings of the generation of biologically active short peptides. A similar scheme was published by Hashimoto et al. (2008). (A) Hormones and neuropeptides are generated via a large mRNA precursor (blue) in the nucleus, then translated by ribosomes (green) from a single initiation codon and finally processed in the ER and Golgi into small peptides, which are subsequently secreted by vesicles to act far from the production site. (B) Polycistronic smORFs (red) can be translated by several ribosomes (green) along a single mRNA, followed by cell secretion. Peptides from smORFs can also act far from the releasing cell.
al., 2007) were found expressed in a pair-rule fashion during embryogenesis, but do not regulate Hox genes in flies. Kondo et al. (2007) also showed that pri is required non-cell autonomously and is essential for the formation of the specific F-actin bundles that will form the denticles, which are the typical epidermal structures of Drosophila larvae. In addition, pri is reported to function during tracheal morphogenesis (Kondo et al., 2007). Interestingly, the first four small peptides, which are similar to LDPTGLY, could be translated in an in vitro assay using S2 cells, but the last and largest peptide was not translated in this system (Kondo et al., 2007). In vivo rescue experiments have shown that these LDPTGLY peptides are functionally redundant and that the overexpression of one of these smORFs is able to rescue the denticle and the tracheal loss-of-function phenotype (Kondo et al., 2007). In addition to the roles reported during embryogenesis by Kondo et al. (2007), Galindo et al. (2007) provided evidence that tal is involved in leg patterning by demonstrating that tal is expressed at the leg imaginal discs and that tal hypomorphic mutants lack the whole tarsal region (Galindo et al., 2007).

These three initial studies opened new avenues into smORF research because they showed that a single smORF can be involved in several developmental contexts with apparently different biological roles during morphogenesis.

tal/pri/mlpt As a Case Study for the Biological Mechanism of a smORF

Though different biological roles for mlpt/tal/pri have been described in several developmental contexts, the mechanism by which these smORFs act during development remained unknown until quite recently. One of the first hints of the mechanism of action for mlpt/tal/pri was obtained by Kondo et al. (2010), who investigated the specification and differentiation of larval epidermal denticle structures in Drosophila. It was previously shown that denticle differentiation in fruit flies is controlled by the activity of the transcription factor Shavenbaby (Svb) and its downstream target genes (Mevel-Ninio et al., 1995). Kondo et al. (2007) had shown that the loss of mlpt/tal/pri does not affect the expression of Svb, suggesting that these genes belong to different pathways that activate denticle formation. Subsequently, Kondo et al. (2010) showed that the short 11 amino acid peptide found in mlpt/tal/pri is able to trigger the terminal truncation of Svb. This truncation converts Svb from a repressor that has accumulated in nuclear foci into a nucleoplasmic activator, both in vivo during denticle formation and in vitro in Drosophila S2 cells. This result was groundbreaking because it established that smORFs may cross the cell membrane and, upon reaching...
the nucleus, alter the function of essential transcription factors, such as Svb. This finding showed an important and new role for mlpt/tal/pri and, by association, for smORFs.

The biological functions of the mlpt/tal/pri genes have also been investigated in fruit fly leg specification, where they display two independent functions. The first function is in the determination of the presumptive tarsal region in early third instar larvae (Galindo et al., 2007; Pueyo and Couso, 2008). For tarsal determination, mlpt/tal/pri non-autonomously generates a new territory of presumptive tarsal cells by defining the presence of the transcription factors Rotund (Rn) and Spineless (Ss) and the absence of Dachshund (Dac) and B (Pueyo and Couso, 2008). Importantly, this role of tal-related peptides is independent of Svb, suggesting that mlpt/tal/pri peptides interact with partners other than Svb.

The second biological function of mlpt/tal/pri genes in the leg occurs later in development. During early pupal Drosophila development, Notch (N) signaling activates tal mRNA expression in stripes of cells in the distal part of each tarsal segment. Interestingly, the Tal peptides feedback on N signaling by repressing the transcription of Delta (Dl) in the tarsal joints. This feedback acts through the post-transcriptional activation of Svb in a similar manner to that described for trichomes during late embryogenesis (Mevel-Ninio et al., 1995; Delon et al., 2003; Sucena et al., 2003). Thus, a common biological mechanism involving Notch signaling and Svb may control mlpt/pri/tal expression in several developmental contexts.

Finally, recent pioneering work has implicated the Mlpt/Pri/Tal peptides as mediators of ecdysone control of development (Chanut-Delalande et al., 2014). A previously uncharacterized enzyme of ecdysone biosynthesis in D. melanogaster, Glutathione S transferase E14 (GstE14) was shown to be required for mlpt/pri/tal expression. Moreover, the nuclear ecdysone receptor (EcR) was found to directly bind to the mlpt/pri/tal cis-regulatory region, which suggests a direct link between ecdysone action and mlpt/pri/tal activation (Chanut-Delalande et al., 2014). Therefore, Mlpt/Pri/Tal peptides provide a molecular framework to explain how systemic hormonal signaling is able to execute different genetic programs both throughout embryonic development and post-embryonically (Chanut-Delalande et al., 2014).

Based on these essential roles of mlpt/pri/tal in several contexts, it is important to estimate how many other smORFs may play a role in other developmental processes. This has only recently been addressed using the new bioinformatic and molecular biology techniques described below (Ladoukakis et al., 2011; Aspden et al., 2014).

How Many smORFs Exist in Animal Genomes? Lessons From Fruit Flies

Quantifying how many smORFs exist within animal genomes is not trivial because the prediction methods used to identify coding sequence are biased against detecting very short open reading frames (< 100 bp) (e.g., Saey et al., 2007). In general, gene prediction methods use either a de novo approach with mathematical models that determine the probabilities for all possible intron-exon annotations in a given sequence, or a comparison to a known genome or cDNA sequences from related organisms (Ladoukakis et al., 2011). smORFs that contain fewer than 100 amino acids and correspond to functional genes may not be predicted and can thus be grouped with non-functional smORFs that can occur by chance (Windsor and Mitchell-Olds, 2006). Ladoukakis et al. (2011) used a comparative approach to investigate the smORFs of the fruit fly species D. melanogaster and D. pseudoobscura, two related species that are separated from their common ancestor by 25 to 55 million years. This investigation led to a range of between 401 and 4,561 functional smORFs in Drosophila. In fact, 401 smORFs would represent 3% of the 13,907 protein-coding genes that have been annotated as of 2011 (FlyBase release 5; as accessed in October 2011). Thus, a substantial number of biologically relevant smORFs await characterization.

A detailed functional analysis of one of these candidates, the transcript encoded by the gene putative non-coding RNA003in2L (pncr003:2L), indicated that this gene contains two potentially functional smORFs of 28 and 29 amino acids in a single sequence, which led to exciting results (Magny et al., 2013). pncr003:2L regulates calcium transport and thus influences regular muscle contraction in the Drosophila heart (Magny et al., 2013). In contrast to the mlpt/pri/tal peptides, which are small and do not display a clear secondary structure, such as a alpha-helix or beta-sheet, pncr003:2L peptides have a predicted helical structure. Searches for a structural homolog have identified two paralogs in the human genome, sarcolamban (Scl) and phospholambam (Pcl), which both contain two smORFs of 30 amino acids that are similar to pncr003:2L. Functional analysis of these human homologs indicates that they play a conserved role in calcium trafficking, particularly in regulating the activity of the sarco-endoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ adenosine triphosphatase (SERCA) enzyme. Thus, these smORF peptides are required for regular muscle contraction in humans and Drosophila (Magny et al., 2013).

Future Directions and Open Questions in smORF Research

Although considerable progress has been made in smORF research over the past few years, as highlighted by this review, several questions remain open. First, it is not known how many smORFs are important for developmen-
tal processes. Sequence analysis has shown that hundreds of smORFs are conserved among Drosophila species, suggesting that a large number of smORFs are functional (Ladoukakis et al., 2011). As 401 of these conserved smORFs are also expressed during Drosophila embryogenesis, it is likely that these smORFs are functional, because high expression levels are suggestive of a functional role. It is expected that several other smORFs will have their function analyzed, in addition to mlpt/pri/tal (Galindo et al., 2007; Kondo et al., 2007; Savard et al., 2006) and pncr003:2L (Magny et al., 2013).

A new promising technique, Poly-Ribo-Seq, was recently applied in the experimental validation and discovery of new smORFs (Aspden et al., 2014). Briefly, Poly-Ribo-Seq requires polysome isolation for the determination of the sequence bound by each of the ribosomes. Polysomes are clusters of multiple ribosomes that are bound to mRNA during translation. The Poly-Ribo-Seq approach thus reduces the number of false positives and doubled the number of annotated smORFs in Drosophila S2 cells (Aspden et al., 2014), thereby increasing the evidence of translation from 107 to 228 smORFs. By using this approach, 700 functional smORFs were estimated within the Drosophila genome by Aspden et al. (2014).
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