Origin of the spectral linewidth in nonlinear spin-transfer oscillators based on MgO tunnel junctions
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We demonstrate the strong impact of the oscillator nonlinearity on the line broadening by studying spin-transfer-induced microwave emission in MgO-based tunnel junctions as a function of both the injected dc current and the temperature. In addition, we give clear evidences that the intrinsic noise is not dominated by thermal fluctuations but rather by the chaoticization of the magnetic system induced by the spin transfer torque. A consequence is that the spectral linewidth is almost not reduced in decreasing the temperature.
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The microwave emission associated with spin transfer induced magnetization precessions in metallic magnetic nanostructures leads to very promising possibilities for the development of nanoscale microwave oscillators. Many experimental and theoretical studies have been initiated (see Stiles and Miltat1 and references therein) to improve the sample characteristics in order to optimize the microwave properties of these nanodevices, in particular in terms of output power. In this vein, the recent development of low resistance MgO barriers2,3 has allowed the injection of the necessary high current densities to manipulate the magnetization through the spin transfer effect4,5 in magnetic tunnel junctions (MTJs). Sustained oscillations of the magnetization in such MTJs are of great interest since the power scales with the magnetoresistance ratio (MR) that is typically 100% in these devices at room temperature. For standard excitations in the free magnetic layer, output powers up to 1 μW have been measured for a single spin transfer nano-oscillator (STNO).6-11 Further improvements of the output power will probably go through the synchronization of many of these oscillators.12 However this objective might be questioned because of the observed peak linewidths (larger than 100 MHz) that are detrimental to reach a phase locked state.13 To go beyond this strong drawback, a fundamental study has to be led to determine the mechanisms at the origin of the peak linewidth in MTJs.

In this Rapid Communication, we present an experimental study of the microwave emission in MgO based MTJs. From the dependence with the dc current, we show the strong impact of the high nonlinearity of the oscillator on the linewidth, as predicted by the recent theory of STNOS.14-18 Line broadening is also related to the different sources of noise. From the temperature (T) dependence of the linewidth, we evidence that the dissipation process is not dominated by thermal fluctuations but rather by a spin transfer induced noise.

Our magnetic tunnel junctions are composed of PtMn 15/CoFe 2.5/Ru 0.85/CoFeB 3/MgO 1.075/CoFeB 2 (nm) and patterned into an elliptical shape of dimension 170 × 70 nm².19 The RA product is 0.85 Ω. μm² for the parallel (P) magnetization configuration at T=300 K. The tunnel magnetoresistance ratio (TMR) is 100% at 300 K and 140% at 20 K. The results are obtained with a magnetic field \( H \) between 100 and 300 Oe, applied along the easy axis of the ellipse that stabilizes the antiparallel (AP) configuration. The switching field of the free magnetization from P to AP (AP to P) occurs at 38 Oe (~25 Oe). The junctions are biased with a dc current (\( I_{dc} \)) ranging from 0.3 to 1.8 mA that destabilizes the AP configuration. Even for the largest value of \( I_{dc} \), no modification of the barrier quality is observed. Microwave measurements up to 10 GHz are recorded on a spectrum analyzer after 35 dB amplification. The background noise, obtained at \( I_{dc}=0 \), is subtracted to the power spectra.

The power spectra are characterized by two well-separated peaks, labeled low-frequency (LF) and high-frequency (HF) modes together with a large 1/f noise (see inset of Fig. 1(a)). As mentioned in Ref. 6, LF and HF modes correspond, respectively, to a center and edge modes of the ellipse. In this Rapid Communication, microwave features of the LF are shown. Similar behaviors are obtained for the HF mode. In Fig. 1(a), we display the change of the frequency \( f_0 \) of the LF mode with \( I_{dc} \) for \( H=110 \) Oe at \( T=300 \) K. The overall frequency red shift is characteristic of an in-plane oscillation of the magnetization.20 In Fig. 1(b) we show the corresponding variation of the peak linewidth with \( I_{dc} \), that depicts two different regimes. Below a threshold current \( I_{th} = 1 \) mA, the linewidth decreases with \( I_{dc} \) while above that value it increases strongly. First, we focus on the low current regime (\( I_{dc} < I_{th} \)) in which the frequency decreases slowly [see Fig. 1(a)]. In the recent theoretical description of STNOS,15 this regime is associated with thermally excited ferromagnetic resonance (FMR) noise for which no variation of the frequency is expected. Our experimental decrease of \( f_0 \) can be attributed to the current dependent torques due to the Oersted field and/or fieldlike torque.21 In this regime, a strong reduction of the linewidth down to a minimum of 120 MHz at 0.9 mA is measured as shown in Fig. 1(b). This behavior is related to the gradual compensation of the natural damping of the magnetization by the spin transfer torque. For a classical STNO,18 a linear decrease of the linewidth with \( I_{dc} \) is expected: \( \Delta f = \Gamma_s - \frac{g_s}{2} I_{dc} \), where \( \Gamma_s = \alpha_M / 2 \pi \) represents the natural FMR linewidth in the case of an in-plane
nonlinear amplification factor = 110 Oe and related to the spin transfer efficiency. From a linear background noise level frequency dependence on the magnetic field represents the linewidth of the LF mode as a function of extrapolation at zero current of the linewidth then deduce the effective damping parameter = 0.009. This value agrees with the measured damping parameter of the 2-nm-thick CoFeB layer, obtained by FMR experiments on the unpatterned junction stack.

In the second regime (Idc > Id), the steep increase of the linewidth with Idc [Fig. 1(b)] is associated with a stronger decrease of the frequency. This behavior is characteristic of nonlinear oscillations sustained by the spin transfer torque. Assuming that the nonlinear damping term is zero the linewidth is expressed as

$$\Delta f = A_{NL} \times \frac{P_n}{E(p_0)},$$

$$A_{NL} = 1 + \left( \frac{I_{dc}}{\Gamma_{p}} \frac{df}{I_{dc}} \right)^2,$$

where $\frac{df}{Idc}$ is the agility in current, $P_n$ is the noise amplitude and $E(p_0)$ is the oscillator energy. The first term $A_{NL}$ describes the phase noise amplification due to the nonlinearity which is related to the oscillator agility in current. In Fig. 1(b), we show the variation of the calculated $A_{NL}$ with $I_{dc}$ in the above-threshold regime, using the experimental variation of $\frac{df}{Idc}$ and $\Gamma_{p}$. It reproduces very well the evolution of the linewidth with $I_{dc}$, thus confirming the strong impact of the nonlinearity on the peak broadening.

The second term $\Gamma_{p} \frac{P_n}{E(p_0)}$, in Eq. (1), is the normalized phase noise that corresponds to the generation linewidth of a “linear” auto-oscillator, for which the fluctuation-dissipation theory predicts a constant noise level ($P_n = k_BTn$). Furthermore, the oscillator energy $E(p_0)$ is proportional to the emitted power $p_0$. We calculate $p_0$ as $p_0 = \frac{P_n = \rho_{int} - \rho_{int(min)}}{\rho_{int(min)}}$, where $\rho_{int}$ is the peak integrated power normalized by $[(\rho_{int} - R_p)/(\rho_{int} + R_p)]^2(I_{dc})^2$ to take into account the bias dependence of the resistances and the increase of the emitted power amplitude with $I_{dc}$. To calculate from Eq. (1) the variation of $P_n = \Delta f p_0 / A_{NL} \Gamma_{p}$ that is proportional to $P_n$ [see black squares in Fig. 1(c)]. We observe a significant increase of the calculated noise level $P_n$ with $I_{dc}$ in contrast with the expected constant noise level $P_n = k_BT$. In Fig. 1(c), we compare these calculated values to the background level of the power spectra taken between 2 and 3 GHz. This background noise measurements represent another way to probe the noise amplitude. This noise level increases similarly to $P_n$, confirming that the noise amplitude is not constant.

We display in the inset of Fig. 1(c) the measured background noise for both current polarities. The clear observed asymmetry in current allows us to discard some possible sources of noise in MTJs. The first one is the Joule heating that has actually a minor impact on the effective temperature. Indeed, in order to estimate the current induced heating in our device, we measure the switching field (at about 1000 Oe) of the synthetic antiferromagnet at $I_{dc} = 0.1$ mA as a function of the temperature (not shown). This switching field decreases linearly with the temperature at a rate of 1.2 Oe/K. Then we measure this switching field as a function of $I_{dc}$ at 20 K and estimate a temperature increase of about 25 K for $I_{dc} = 1.7$ mA. Another source of current symmetric noise in MTJs is the shot noise. With our experimental conditions of applied voltage and temperature, it is expressed as $2eI(dV/dI)^2$. We display the calculated shot noise (divided by $I_{dc}$) as a function of $I_{dc}$ [see inset Fig. 1(c)]. We observe that at negative current, for which the spin transfer torque stabilizes the magnetization, the evolution of the background

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Inset: representative power spectral density normalized by $\overline{P}_{P,n}(\omega)$, obtained for $I_{dc} = 1$ mA and $H = 110$ Oe at $T = 300$ K. Two large peaks are observed labeled low frequency (LF) and high frequency (HF) modes. Main panel: variation of the frequency of the LF mode (black squares) with $I_{dc}$ for $H = 110$ Oe at $T = 300$ K. The lines are linear fits corresponding to the two regimes discussed in the text. (b) Left axis: black squares represent the linewidth of the LF mode as a function of $I_{dc}$ for $H = 110$ Oe and $T = 300$ K. Right axis: evolution of the calculated nonlinear amplification factor $A_{NL}$ (red triangles) with $I_{dc}$. (c) Left axis: dependence of the calculated $p_n = \Delta f p_0 / A_{NL} \Gamma_{p}$ (black squares) on $I_{dc}$. Right axis: relative variation with $I_{dc}$ of the normalized background noise level (red triangles).
Noise level is well reproduced by the calculated shot noise. On the contrary, at positive currents, the background noise level increases largely above the shot noise level.

As the large increase of the background noise level occurs for \( I_{dc} > I_{th} \), we believe that the spin transfer torque is responsible for such noise enhancement. Several types of spin dependent mechanism may occur in magneto-resistive devices. On the one hand, Chudnovskiy et al.\(^{24} \) calculated that the spin torque shot noise, related to fluctuation of dc current polarization direction, may be important in MTJs. However this mechanism should be independent of the current polarity.\(^{25} \) On the other hand, spin torque dependent noise may also have its origin in the excitation of incoherent spin waves.\(^{26} \) In all metallic devices, such as GMR read heads, may also have its origin in the excitation of incoherent spin waves.\(^{26} \) In all metallic devices, such as GMR read heads, large dc current are injected, creating a stronger Oersted field that could explain the excitation of different modes compared to MTJs. Another characteristic of MTJs is the possible existence of hot spots in the insulating barrier that leads to spatially inhomogeneous current densities, thus enhancing the incoherence of the magnetic system. Finally magnetoresistance ratio in MTJs are much larger than in metallic systems. Therefore, significant spatial fluctuations of the current and/or its spin polarization can generate an additional magnetic noise through the spin transfer torque.

In order to investigate in more details this spin torque dependent noise, we have studied the microwave emission as a function of the temperature from 300 K down to 20 K. At all temperatures, the linewidth variation with \( I_{dc} \) is characterized by the two regimes discussed previously (see Fig. 2). First, we focus on the above-threshold regime where the linewidth is almost unchanged with \( T \). For each temperature, we calculate the noise level \( p_n \) as described before. In Fig. 3(a), we show the resulting temperature dependence of \( p_n \) for three current values above the threshold current: \( I_{dc} = 1, 1.4, \) and 1.7 mA. The calculated noise level \( p_n \) increases with \( I_{dc} \) for all temperature. The observed weak increase of \( p_n \) with \( T \) for all currents discards that current fluctuations due to the large MR ratio are the dominant source of noise. Indeed, by this mechanism, the noise level \( p_n \) should decrease with temperature as the magnetoresistance does, i.e., 15% between 20 and 300 K at \( I_{dc} = 1.7 \) mA. On the contrary, the weak increase of \( p_n \) with \( T \) could correspond to a higher magnetic stiffness at low temperature. However we cannot rule out an impact of the noise originating from transport inhomogeneities due to hot spots that should be independent on temperature.

In the below-threshold regime, the linewidth increases from 0.2 to 1.2 GHz while decreasing the temperature from 300 to 20 K as observed in Fig. 2 and specifically shown in Fig. 3(b) for \( I_{dc} = 0.5 \) mA. This increase of the linewidth at low temperature and low currents goes along with a strong enhancement of the agility in current. The inset of Fig. 2 shows the variation of the frequency with the dc current at \( T = 20 \) K for \( H = 205 \) Oe. In the below-threshold regime the frequency is strongly increasing with \( I_{dc} \) whereas it is slowly decreasing at \( T = 300 \) K. Then at low temperature there exists an additional unexpected agility in current that impacts the linewidth. We show in Fig. 3(b) that, the nonlinear amplification parameter \( A_{NL} \) calculated using Eq. (2) behaves in temperature very similarly to the linewidth. To account for nonlinear effects, we propose to modify the standard expression of the linewidth in the below-threshold regime as follows

\[
\Delta f_{I_{dc}<I_{th}} = \left( \Gamma_g - \frac{\sigma}{2\pi I_{dc}} \right) A_{NL},
\]

where the parameter \( A_{NL} \) is the one used in the above-threshold regime given in Eq. (2). The mechanism at the
origin of this agility is beyond the scope of this Rapid Communication. However we can discard once again the effect of the Joule heating that would lead to a decrease of the effective magnetization and the frequency with \( I_{dc} \). As this phenomena is current and temperature dependent, it might be related to some modifications of the transport mechanisms across the MgO barrier, being more coherent at low temperature, or to the fieldlike torque that can vary with the temperature.\(^{28}\)

In conclusion, we have shown that the microwave emissions induced by the spin transfer in MTJs are well described at a given temperature by the theory of nonlinear oscillators. The reduction of the linewidth in the below-threshold regime is characteristic of FMR-type excitations. At low temperature, the linewidth in this regime increases strongly. We describe this behavior in terms of an additional agility in current that amplifies the linewidth. In the above-threshold regime, the linewidth is strongly enhanced due to the nonlinear effect of the spin transfer induced precessions. Moreover, we demonstrate that spin torque dependent fluctuations are at the origin of the noise. By cooling down the system to 20 K, the linewidth is unexpectedly not decreasing significantly. Our analysis indicates that the excitations of incoherent magnetic modes and/or the presence of hot spots are probably at the origin of this unusual noise.
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