Infectious, inflammatory and ‘autoimmune’ male factor infertility: how do rodent models inform clinical practice?
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Infection and inflammation of the male reproductive tract are significant causes of male factor infertility. Ascending infections caused by sexually transmitted bacteria or urinary tract pathogens represent the most frequent aetiology of epididymo-orchitis, but viral, haematogenous dissemination is also a contributory factor. Limitations in adequate diagnosis and therapy reflect an obvious need for further understanding of human epididymal and testicular immunopathologies and their contribution to infertility. A major obstacle for advancing our knowledge is the limited access to suitable tissue samples. Similarly, the key events in the inflammatory or autoimmune pathologies affecting human male fertility are poorly amenable to close examination. Moreover, the disease processes generally have occurred long before the patient attends the clinic for fertility assessment. In this regard, data obtained from experimental animal models and respective comparative analyses have shown promise to overcome these restrictions in humans.

**OBJECTIVE AND RATIONALE:** This narrative review will focus on male fertility disturbances caused by infection and inflammation, and the usefulness of the most frequently applied animal models to study these conditions.

**SEARCH METHODS:** An extensive search in Medline database was performed without restrictions until January 2018 using the following search terms: ‘infection’ and/or ‘inflammation’ and ‘testis’ and/or ‘epididymis’, ‘infection’ and/or ‘inflammation’ and ‘male genital tract’, ‘male infertility’, ‘orchitis’, ‘epididymitis’, ‘experimental autoimmune’ and ‘orchitis’ or ‘epididymitis’ or ‘epididymo-orchitis’, antisperm antibodies’, ‘vasectomy’. In addition to that, reference lists of primary and review articles were reviewed for additional publications independent by each author. Selected articles were verified by each two separate authors and discrepancies discussed within the team.

**OUTCOMES:** There is clear evidence that models mimicking testicular and/or epididymal inflammation and infection have been instructive in a better understanding of the mechanisms of disease initiation and progression. In this regard, rodent models of acute bacterial epididymitis best reflect the clinical situation in terms of mimicking the infection pathway, pathogens selected and the damage, such as fibrotic transformation, observed. Similarly, animal models of acute testicular and epididymal inflammation using lipopolysaccharides show impairment of reproduction, endocrine function and histological tissue architecture, also seen in men. Autoimmune responses can be studied in models of experimental autoimmune orchitis (EAO) and vasectomy. In particular, the early stages of EAO development showing inflammatory responses in the form of peritubular lymphocytic infiltrates, thickening of the lamina propria of affected tubules, production of autoantibodies against testicular antigens or secretion of pro-inflammatory mediators, replicate observations in testicular sperm extraction samples of patients with ‘mixed atrophy’ of spermatogenesis. Vasectomy, in the form of sperm antibodies and chronic inflammation, can also be studied in animal models, providing valuable insights into the human response.

**WIDER IMPLICATIONS:** This is the first comprehensive review of rodent models of both infectious and autoimmune disease of testis/epididymis, and their clinical implications, i.e. their importance in understanding male infertility related to infectious and non-infectious/autoimmune disease of the reproductive organs.
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**Introduction**

Infection and inflammation of the male reproductive tract are significant, and potentially curable, causes of male factor infertility (Rowe et al., 2000; Weidner et al., 2013). The defined clinical entities comprise urethritis, prostatitis, seminal vesiculitis, epididymitis and orchitis (Krieger, 1984; Weidner et al., 1999). In this regard, ascending, canalicular infections by sexually transmitted bacteria or common uro-pathogens represent the most frequent cause of inflammatory conditions within the male genital tract (Table I). Orchitis or epididymo-orchitis may also evolve as a complication of systemic, predominantly viral, infections due to haematogenous dissemination of the pathogen (Mikuz and Damjanov, 1982; Dejucq and Jegou, 2001). Moreover, non-infectious, sterile causes of inflammation, such as those caused by environmental threats and autoimmunity reactions, need to be considered (Chan and Schlegel, 2002a, 2002b; Schuppe and Meinhardt, 2005) (Table I).

Principally, two different clinical situations can be distinguished according to the acuity of the disease. In patients suffering acute, symptomatic inflammatory conditions of reproductive organs, fertility-related problems are initially of a secondary nature, but may gain importance during follow-up. Conversely, male partners seeking clinical consultation to conceive a child seldom have obvious clinical symptoms. According to World Health Organization recommendations, diagnosis among these patients is consequently entirely based on the combination of impaired semen quality with additional criteria from the medical history, physical examination and the analysis of urine and/or ejaculate (Rowe et al., 2000; Schuppe et al., 2017). These criteria include a history of epididymitis or sexually transmitted disease, thickened or tender epididymis, elevated numbers of peroxidase-positive white blood cells in the ejaculate, culture with significant growth of pathogenic bacteria and/or abnormal biochemistry of the seminal plasma with pathological levels of inflammatory markers or elevated reactive oxygen species (Rowe et al., 2000). For
Table 1 Classification of human epididymitis and orchitis according to etiological factors and pathomechanisms.

| Etiology       | Main factors                                                                 | Path-mechanism                  | Clinical manifestation          |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Microorganisms |                                                                              |                                 |                                |
| Bacteria       | Uropathogens (Escherichia coli, Entero-bacteriaceae spp. and others); sexually| Ascending, canalicular          | Epididymitis/Epididymo-         |
|                | transmitted infections (Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria gonorrhoeae and others)| infection                     | orchitis                       |
|                | Mycobacterium tuberculosis, M. leprae, Treponema pallidum, Brucella spp.     |                                |                                |
| Viruses        | mumps virus, Coxsackie virus types, Epstein-Barr, influenza, varicella, human |                                |                                |
|                | immunodeficiency viruses and others                                          |                                |                                |
|                | Adenovirus, Enterovirus                                                      |                                |                                |
| Fungi          | Candida albicans, Histoplasma capsulatum                                     | Ascending, canalicular          | Epididymitis                    |
| Parasites      | Trichomonas vaginalis                                                        | infection                      |                                |
|                | Schistosoma spp., Filariasis                                                 |                                |                                |
| Chemical noxae | Drugs (e.g. Amiodarone); heavy metals (e.g. mercury compounds)               | ?                              | Epididymitis, Orchitis          |
| Physical factors | Genital trauma, vasectomy                                                   | Obstruction                    | Chronic Epididymitis            |
| Unknown        | Systemic disease Morbus Behcet, systemic lupus erythematosus, Schönlein-Henoch| Autoimmune inflammation        | Orchitis, Epididymitis          |
|                | purpura and other vasculitic disorders                                        |                                |                                |
|                | ‘Idiopathic’                                                                 | Autoimmune inflammation?       | Idiopathic epididymitis         |
|                |                                                                              |                                | Idiopathic granulomatous        |
|                |                                                                              |                                | orchitis                       |

These rather unspecific criteria the diagnostic term ‘male accessory gland infection’ (MAGI) has been coined (Comhaire et al., 1980). Its wide definition also encompasses epididymitis and lesions along the excurrent ducts (Weidner et al., 1999; Dohle et al., 2005) and therefore organs that are not anatomically considered as accessory sex glands. Moreover, the MAGI classification does not allow compartment-specific differential diagnosis of infectious versus non-infectious inflammatory disorders (Haidl et al., 2008; Weidner et al., 2008). In particular, testicular inflammation is likely to be neglected as an underlying cause of male infertility (Schuppe et al., 2008). In asymptomatic patients, subacute or chronic inflammatory reactions in the tests can be diagnosed only by invasive biopsy.

Available epidemiological studies mainly refer to MAGI and, thus, focus on the excurrent ducts. Prevalence rates for male infertility attributable to infection range from 6 to 15% in reports from andrological out-patient clinics (Comhaire et al., 1987; Hellwig, 2008; Tüttelmann and Nieschlag, 2010; Olesen et al., 2017; Punab et al., 2017). There are, however, striking geographical variations, with prevalence rates up to 30% in regions with limited access to medical care (Ekwere, 1995; Ahmed et al., 2010; Eke et al., 2011). These observations have been linked to sexually transmitted infections (STI) and inadequate treatment, leading to secondary male and couple infertility (Bayazgilan et al., 2004; Lunenfeld and Van Steirteghem, 2004; Mascarenhas et al., 2012). However, despite obvious clinical evidence linking infectious epididymitis and epididymo-orchitis to male infertility, consistent epidemiological data are scarce (Ness et al., 1997; Ochsendorf, 2008).

Due to the inconsistent use of definitions and diagnostic shortcomings, the overall impact of genital tract infection and inflammatory conditions on male reproductive health and fertility is a matter of controversy (Schuppe et al., 2017). Crucially, the course of the disease (acute versus chronic), the affected organ and, in case of infections, the type of pathogen has to be taken into account. Moreover, fertility may be disturbed at different levels, comprising deterioration of sperm function and integrity, dysfunction of the accessory glands, obstruction of the epididymal duct, and impairment of spermatogenesis and/or steroidogenesis. It is unambiguous that sequelae of testicular or epididymal inflammation are of major concern even in ‘low-grade’ disease, whereas the impact of prostatic and urethral on semen parameters is considered to be limited (Wolff, 1995; Weidner et al., 1999; Haidl et al., 2008; Schuppe et al., 2008). In this complex situation, the topic of infection and inflammation is either underestimated or even neglected in current concepts of male reproductive impairment and respective guidelines on diagnosis and therapy (Barratt et al., 2017; Tournaye et al., 2017a, 2017b; Jungwirth et al., 2018).

There is an obvious need for deeper insight into testicular and epididymal immunopathologies and their contribution to couple infertility. Advancement in the investigation of immunopathological mechanisms involved in human testicular and epididymal inflammation is, however, hindered by restricted access to tissue samples (Chakrardhar, 2018). Here, comparative analyses of experimental animal models can overcome these limitations. Unravelling the complex mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of infection and inflammation in the male genital tract, as well as dissecting their impact on fertility-related parameters, is a prerequisite for the development of innovative diagnostic tools and evidence-based therapeutic strategies. As an example, there is increasing support from experimental animal models for the view that the mechanisms underlying infectious disease and inflammatory conditions in the male genital tract are interconnected with autoimmune phenomena.
Moreover, mouse bacterial epididymitis models point to the importance of the magnitude of the host response to infection in causing damage (Michel et al., 2016) prompting us to assess the value of anti-inflammatory or immuno-modulatory therapy in addition to standard antibiotic treatment.

Thus, immune-based male factor infertility should be considered in a broader context, beyond the formation of anti-sperm autoantibodies, as it is commonly defined. Although not established as clinical entities in andrology, this concept includes the characterization of autoimmune orchitis and epididymitis in man. Therefore, in this review we aim to compare observations made in the clinic with data from animal models to evaluate their suitability and limitations, not only to enhance our principal understanding but also to advance clinical diagnosis and treatment of immune-based male factor infertility. Inflammation due to genital trauma or chemical noxae (Table I), low-grade inflammation associated with systemic diseases, such as metabolic syndrome and diabetes, as well as the immunopathology of testicular neoplasia are beyond the scope of this review.

**Methods**

This narrative review summarizes different primary studies from which conclusions were drawn to present a holistic interpretation contributed by the reviewers’ own experience and existing concepts and models from the literature. The outcome is of a qualitative rather than a quantitative meaning and aims to critically evaluate and comprehend the existing data towards a better understanding of the commonalities and diversities that exist in the literature around this research topic. The authors performed an extensive search in Medline database without restrictions until January 2018. Relevant literature was identified by the following search terms: ‘infection’ and/or ‘inflammation’ and ‘testis’ and/or ‘epididymis’, ‘infection’ and/or ‘inflammation’ and ‘male genital tract’, ‘male infertility’, ‘orchitis’, ‘epididymitis’, ‘experimental autoimmune’ and ‘orchitis’ or ‘epididymitis’ or ‘epididymo-orchitis’, antispem antibodies’, ‘vasectomy’. In addition to that, reference lists of primary and review articles were reviewed for additional publications independently by each author. Selected articles were verified by each two separate authors and discrepancies discussed within the team.

The primary focus of this study is to understand the relevance of models of infectious and autoimmune epididymo-orchitis to the clinic. Other organs of the male reproductive tract (e.g. prostate), influences of obesity, hormonal imbalances or environmental threats other than pathogens were not covered.

**The testicular and epididymal immune environment**

The immune system of the testis and epididymis differ in a number of aspects. Firstly, although immune cells (macrophages close to the wall of the seminiferous tubules) can be in close proximity to spermatoagonia, the basement membrane prevents direct physical contact with developing germ cells, whilst leucocytes are observed in the epididymal lumen next to spermatozoa without any barrier in between. Moreover, little evidence exists for extended allograft survival, a hallmark of immune privilege (see below), in the epididymis in contrast to the testis. In support, pro-inflammatory stimuli, such as those caused by bacterial infection, are considerably greater in the epididymis than in the testis (Hedger, 2011a). In rodent orchitis, neutrophils are rather rarely found (in contrast to human), whilst they represent the most frequent leucocyte subset in epididymitis in men and rodents (Mikuz and Damjanov, 1982; Schuppe et al., 2008; Michel et al., 2015). B cells are virtually absent from the normal human and rodent testis and epididymis (Flickinger et al., 1997; Serre and Robaire, 1999; Hedger, 2011a; Klein et al., 2016). Details about the similarities and differences of the testicular and epidymal immune system in rodents and men can be found in Fig. 1 and Table II.

**The structure and immune privilege of the testis**

The male gonad is principally separated into two compartments, i.e. the interstitial compartment, where steroidogenic Leydig cells produce androgens, and the seminiferous epithelium, where spermatogenesis occurs. The interstitial compartment also contains leucocytes, fibrocytes as well as blood and lymph vessels. The seminiferous tubules consist of a tubular structure that is framed by the myoid peritubular cells, whose contractions move the immotile spermatozoa intraluminally towards the rete testis and then the epididymis. In the seminiferous epithelium the columnar somatic Sertoli cells form deep invaginations, in which the developing germ cells are embedded to receive physical and nutrient support. Spermatozoa develop from diploid spermatogonia, which mitotically divide until some differentiate and enter meiosis to give rise to tetraploid primary spermatocytes. After meiosis, haploid spherical spermatids originate, which differentiate to elongated spermatids that are finally released in the lumen as highly specialized spermatozoa.

With the principal organization of the testis similar in experimental rodents and men, some differences are evident. Whilst in rodents the peritubular cells consist of only one single layer, in men they are multiconcentric and can harbour leucocytes and capillaries. In men, connective tissue septae originating from the organ capsule (tunica albuginea) separate the interstitial space, a means not evident in rodents. In men, spermatogenesis is also much less ‘efficient’ than in mouse or rat as defined by daily sperm production in relation to testis weight (Johnson et al., 2000).

Immune privileged sites are places in the body where foreign antigens are tolerated without evoking detrimental inflammatory immune responses. The testis was first identified as an immune-privileged organ when histo-incompatible allo- and xenografts transplanted into the testis were shown to survive indefinitely (Bobzien et al., 1983; Head et al., 1983).

In the testis, the auto-antigenic germ cells, which arise in puberty after the establishment of self-tolerance, are protected by multiple, complementary mechanisms that include:

- **The blood–testis barrier**: The Sertoli cells that besides providing structural and nutritional support to the germ cells, also control access of immune cells and immune effector molecules via the blood–testis barrier (BTB). The BTB consists of highly specialized inter-Sertoli tight, gap and adherens junctions. With the formation of the BTB, neoantigens on meiotic and haploid germ cells are sequestered from the basal part of the seminiferous epithelium and the testicular interstitium and thus direct access to the leucocytes, which reside exclusively in the interstitium (Fig. 1). Of note, a recent study proposes that antigens of male germ cells sequestered ...
behind the BTB are phagocytosed in the apical part of Sertoli cells, pass as cargo through the cells and egress basally, thus circumventing the BTB by intracellular transport. Egressed antigens then cause and maintain systemic tolerance in a regulatory T (Treg) cell dependent mechanism (Tung et al., 2017). Indeed, transient depletion of Treg from normal mice led to spontaneous EAO and production of antibodies that selectively target the egressed meiotic germ cell Ag such as lactate dehydrogenase 3. This new finding indicates that meiotic and postmeiotic sperm antigens are not completely sequestered. This infers that the local regulation in the testis, also operates to maintain systemic tolerance for the non-sequestered sperm antigens. The presence of tolerogenic macrophages in testis is an example.

- The expression of immunoregulatory and immunosuppressive factors by the testicular somatic cells, particularly Sertoli cells, peritubular cells, Leydig cells and testicular macrophages, thereby creating an immune privileged environment. As an example, Sertoli cells have several immunosuppressive properties, such as the production of galectin-1 and other immunoregulatory molecules (Kaur et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2016). Under inflammatory conditions, Sertoli cells release anti-inflammatory cytokines and molecules like activin A, which may counterbalance excessive immune responses (Hedger and Winnall, 2012). It is believed that peritubular cells are also involved in the maintenance of the testicular immune environment (Schuppe and Meinhardt, 2005), as they also express immune mediators, including activin A and Toll-like receptors (TLR) (de Winter et al., 1993; Albrecht et al., 2005; Muller et al., 2005; Mayer et al., 2016). Clearly, their role in testicular immunity and inflammatory responses warrants further study.

- The phenotype of the intratesticular immune cells: examples are the anti-inflammatory/immunoregulatory M2 phenotype of resident testicular macrophages and the functionally tolerogenic characteristics of testicular dendritic cells (Rival et al., 2007; Mossadegh-Keller et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017) (Table II). Amongst the leucocyte
population, macrophages comprise the most abundant immune cells in the testis in most mammals including men and rodents (Hedger, 1997; Bhushan and Meinhardt, 2017). The immunosuppressive phenotype of macrophages is indicated, amongst others, by the expression of the M2 surface marker CD163 and production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine interleukin (IL) 10 (Wang et al., 2017). Under inflammatory conditions, the production of pro-inflammatory mediators, such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF), IL-1, IL-6, monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) and nitric oxide (NO) is dampened, whilst IL-10 secretion increases (O’Brien et al., 2000; Bhushan et al., 2011, 2015; Winnall et al., 2011b). The maturation state of dendritic cells is regarded as a control point for the induction of peripheral tolerance or autoimmunity. Assessing the levels of antigen-presentation molecules, such as major histocompatibility complex class II antigens (MHC II), co-stimulatory molecules, such as CD80 and CD86, and chemokines acting via the C-C chemokine receptor type 7 (CCR7) indicates that testicular dendritic cells are tolerogenic under normal conditions (Rival et al., 2007, 2008). In addition to testicular macrophages and dendritic cells, several immunoregulatory T cell subpopulations, such as suppressor CD8+ cells, natural killer (NK) cells and CD4+ Foxp3+ regulatory T cells (Treg) are also present in the normal rat and human testis (Mukasa et al., 1995; Tompkins et al., 1998; Schuppe et al., 2008; Jacobo et al., 2009; Duan et al., 2011; Klein et al., 2016). In particular, Treg cells are thought to inhibit antigen specific T cell responses in the adult testis, at least in rodents (De Cesaris et al., 1992; Fijak et al., 2011, 2015; Tung et al., 2017).

### The structure and immune environment of the epididymis

The epididymis is a tightly coiled single tubule that connects to the testis via the efferent ducts. The epididymis comprises three distinct regions: the caput (head), which receives the spermatozoa from the efferent ducts, the corpus (body) and the cauda (tail), where sperm are stored and pass to the vas deferens. The epididymal stroma is also divided into distinct morphological segments by connective tissue septa (Stammler et al., 2015). The epididymal duct is formed by a pseudo-stratified epithelium surrounded by a peritubular layer of smooth muscle cells that progressively increases in thickness from the caput to cauda. In strong contrast to the BTB, the blood–epididymis barrier between epididymal epithelial cells is permisive to the passage of leucocytes. Consequently, intraepithelial macrophages and T cells (‘halo cells’) and even intraluminal leucocytes, mainly macrophages, are a frequent observation (Nashan et al., 1989; Pollanen and Cooper, 1994; Jahnukainen et al., 1995; Yakirevich et al., 2002; Hedger, 2011a; Michel et al., 2015) (Fig. 1). Macrophages and dendritic cells are the main leucocyte population in the normal mouse epididymis (Hedger, 2011a) (Table II). Dendritic cells show a regional distribution pattern with cells most prominent in the basal part of the epithelium and peritubular zone of the caput. Here, slim protrusions pass through the epithelial cells and at least partly reach the lumen (Da Silva et al., 2011) (Fig. 1). In the cauda, dendritic cells are much less frequent, have a flat morphology and do not seem to project extensions to the lumen. Numbers and morphology of the dendritic cells in the caput epididymis indicate a possible role in the regulation of systemic self-tolerance towards the neoantigens of spermatozoa (Da Silva et al., 2011). Whether this indeed holds true and involves Treg cells, as principally indicated by Wheeler et al. (2011) in a vasectomy model, remains to be elucidated. Overall, it needs to be noted that the relative contribution of the epididymis (beside the testis) to self-tolerance requires additional studies to address many open questions, such as the role of caput dendritic cells, the blood–epididymis barrier, the role of intraluminal leucocytes and regional differences in immune cell subpopulations to name only a few. All need to be addressed with appropriate methods to answer this fundamental query.

### Infectious epididymitis, epididymo-orchitis and orchitis

#### Clinical features of bacterial epididymitis and epididymo-orchitis

Epididymitis is a common condition in males presenting with acute uni- or bilateral scrotal pain and swelling (Lorenzo et al., 2016).
Incidence ranges from 250 to 650 per 100 000 males each year (Nickel et al., 2005; Nicholson et al., 2010). The inflammation may spread to the corresponding testis as ‘epididymo-orchitis’, especially when adequate therapy is delayed. In patients with isolated epididymitis without concomitant orchitis, hydrocele and scrotal wall induration, palpation is sufficient for diagnosis (Eickhoff et al., 1999; Smith et al., 2013). Additional ultrasound is recommended in complicated cases, for follow-up investigations, as well as to exclude testicular torsion in young men (Mevorach et al., 1986; Banyra and Shulyak, 2012; Pilatz et al., 2013). Chronic epididymitis is defined as 3 months or longer history of symptoms of discomfort/pain in the epididymis (Nickel et al., 2002).

In the majority of cases, epididymitis is of infectious origin, with bacterial ascension from the urethra to the epididymis being of principal importance (Pilatz et al., 2015b) (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Notably, the pathogen spectrum largely depends on the applied diagnostics and the patient cohort investigated. Studies from military hospitals or venereal disease centres suggested dichotomous categories, with STIs in patients < 35 years and classical pathogens causing urinary tract infections in older patients (Harnisch et al., 1977; Berger et al., 1987; Osegbe, 1991). Recently, however, it was demonstrated in 251 patients presenting to the emergency department that, although STIs are more common in younger patients, there is no strict age-specific differential incidence (Pilatz et al., 2015b). In addition, geographic differences can be encountered when comparing the aetiology between industrial and developing countries (Osegbe, 1991; Hoosen et al., 1993).

A pooled analysis of 14 studies (1978–1999), including 758 patients and considering STIs and common uropathogens, revealed a pathogen detection rate of 69.8% (Michel et al., 2015). Using modern microbiological methods (culture, PCR, 16S rDNA analysis), we recently showed an improved detection rate of 88% in antibiotic-naive patients (Pilatz et al., 2015b). Comparable to other urinary tract infections, such as prostatitis and cystitis, *Escherichia coli* is the dominating pathogen (Fig. 2A). As antimicrobial pretreatment largely decreases the microbiological detection rate (Fig. 2B), microbiological diagnostics should be performed before starting antibiotic therapy (Grant et al., 1987; Lee et al., 1989; Osegbe, 1991; Garthwaite et al., 2007; Pilatz et al., 2015b). Since bacterial ascension is the major route of infection, bacterial analysis in urine/urethra is of utmost importance. Current international guidelines recommend diagnostics on STIs as well as urine culture for classical uropathogens (Workowski and Bolan, 2015; Bonkat et al., 2018).

Despite epididymitis occurring frequently in patients of reproductive age (Wolin, 1971; Berger et al., 1979; Kristensen and Scheibel, 1984; Weidner et al., 1990; Osegbe, 1991; Pilatz et al., 2015b), a systematic review identified only five studies investigating the impact of acute epididymitis on semen parameters (Rusz et al., 2012). Unfortunately, these early reports on a total of 211 patients (Dietz, 1960; Tozzo, 1968; Ludwig and Haselberger, 1977; Weidner et al., 1990; Osegbe, 1991) are very heterogeneous regarding investigation time points and methods of semen analysis (Rusz et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the collective analysis indicates profound deterioration of semen quality (sperm concentration, motility, morphology) together with pronounced leukocytospermia in the acute phase of the disease. After therapy, recovery was reported 3–6 months later.

Data are compromised by the fact that some studies used antimicrobial therapies inadequate for *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Nevertheless, out of the 211 patients evaluated, 10% were reported with azoospermia and a further 30% with oligozoospermia, indicating 40% with post-inflammatory subfertility at least (Rusz et al., 2012).

Accordingly, it is a matter of major concern that the course of epididymitis remains unpredictable despite adequate antimicrobial therapy. After 3 months, ~20% of patients still have an epididymal infiltrate on palpation or ultrasound (Weidner et al., 1990; Eickhoff et al., 1999; Pilatz et al., 2015b). Moreover, given the fact that up to 60% of all cases involve the testis as well (Desai et al., 1986; Kaver et al., 1990; Pilatz et al., 2013), a direct or indirect negative impact on
spermatogenesis can be hypothesized. Indeed, two studies report testicular damage and subsequent infertility after acute unilateral epididymitis (Dietz, 1960; Osegbe, 1991). Whereas the histopathology of acute bacterial epididymo-orchitis is characterized by oedema and massive infiltration of predominantly neutrophils into both the interstitial compartment and seminiferous tubules (Mikuz and Damjanov, 1982; Schuppe and Bergmann, 2013) (Fig. 3A), testicular biopsy specimens obtained from two patients during follow-up confirmed the development of severe hypospermatogenesis with seminiferous tubules showing ‘aspermogenesis’ (loss of the adluminal compartment), thickened lamina propria, and interstitial fibrosis in both ipsilateral and contralateral testes (Osegbe, 1991) (Table III). Increased FSH levels support the histopathological findings of testicular failure. On the other hand, a recent study on 90 patients suffering unilateral epididymitis showed no reduction in testicular volume after the acute phase compared with the healthy contralateral side (Pilatz et al., 2013). Thus, in addition to loss of testicular function, inflammatory obstruction of the epididymal duct has to be considered as an underlying cause of persistent oligo- or azoospermia (Fig. 4A).

**Animal models of bacterial epididymo-orchitis**

Taking biopsies from acute bacterial epididymitis is contraindicated to avoid the risk of uncontrolled spread of the pathogens by the puncture and irreversible damage (i.e. obstruction) of the organ. Hence, human epididymitis samples, which may be used to study the detailed assessment of morphological changes and inflammatory responses, are rarely available. As surrogates the careful design and conduct of appropriate animal studies is warranted. Of particular value are models that mimic the clinical situation, e.g. bacterial infection that is allowed to ascend at least 2–3 days, which corresponds to the average time after infection when men usually report to the clinic with symptoms. Ideally, an animal model should allow for assessment of both acute and chronic impact on the epididymis and the testis and involve a relevant causative pathogen. In this regard, *E. coli* (Lucchetta et al., 1983; Nielsen, 1987; Hackett et al., 1988; Vieler et al., 1993; Tanaka et al., 1995; Kaya et al., 2006; Demir et al., 2007; Bhusan et al., 2008; Fei et al., 2012) and *Chlamydia trachomatis* (Moller and
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**Figure 3** Histopathology of human orchitis of different etiology and mouse experimental autoimmune orchitis. (A) Human testis: acute bacterial orchitis (epididymo-orchitis) with massive infiltration of both the interstitium and seminiferous tubules (ST) with inflammatory cells, including numerous neutrophils. The architecture of affected ST is largely disrupted, whereas adjacent ST show hypospermatogenesis; interstitial edema and enlarged venous blood vessel (BV) (Periodic acid–Schiff stain, objective ×10). (B) Sequelae of mumps orchitis with persistent focal inflammation in human testis: Dense peritubular lymphocytic infiltrate involving the lamina propria as well as adjacent blood vessels (1), tubular atrophy resulting in complete hyalinization (‘tubular shadows’; 2, 3), and interstitial fibrosis (3). The adjacent seminiferous tubules show hypospermatogenesis; note the ‘flattened’ epithelium with a complete loss of the adluminal compartment in some tubules (4); (hematoxylin–eosin staining, objective ×10). (C) Higher magnification of area 1 in (B); note the characteristic meshwork pattern of the affected lamina propria; the germinal epithelium is largely disrupted, with only a few germ cells remaining (hematoxylin–eosin stain, objective ×40). (D) Human testis: subacute granulomatous orchitis with residual structures of ST containing inflammatory cells (hematoxylin and eosin stain, objective ×40). (E) Characteristic histopathology of mouse experimental autoimmune orchitis (EAO) showing destruction of testicular morphology with reduced size of ST, loss of germ cells and presence of dense peritubular and interstitial inflammatory infiltrates (marked by asterisk; hematoxylin stain, objective ×20). (F) Mouse EAO, higher magnification (hematoxylin stain, objective ×40) of selected area in (E).

(A–D) From Schuppe and Bergmann (2013); reprinted with permission of Springer Nature (License number: 4282971349118).
Mardh, 1980; Jantos et al., 1989, 1992) have been selected preferentially as model microbes for rodent epididymitis studies, because they represent the most frequently isolated bacterial pathogens in the clinic. The number of bacteria, usually determined by colony forming units (CFU), injected in epididymitis studies in animals ranges from 4 × 10^4 (Lang et al., 2013, 2014; Cao et al., 2014) to 2 × 10^7 (Fei

### Table III: Characteristics of pathological changes found in animal models of infectious, inflammatory and autoimmune male factor infertility and their occurrence in respective human disorders.

| Pathology                        | Animal models                                    | Human disease                                    |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Bacterial epididymitis / epididymo-orchitis       | Bacterial epididymitis / epididymo-orchitis       |
|                                  | Systemic viral disease                            | Systemic infection (i.e. viral disease)           |
|                                  | LPS-induced inflammation                          | Testicular inflammatory lesions in infertile males|
| Semen quality                    | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                  | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Leukocytospermia                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Testis                           | (+)                                              | +                                                |
| Presence of leucocytic infiltrates| +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Accumulation of collagen fibres/ fibrosis | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Granuloma formation              | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Disruption of spermatogenesis/ germ cell death | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Disruption of tight junctions    | +                                                | +                                                |
| Thickened lamina propria of seminiferous tubes | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Accumulation of collagen fibres  | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Disruption of steroidogenesis    | nd                                               | +                                                |
|                                 | +                                                | +                                                |
| Presence of lymphocytic infiltrates | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Increased number of TH17+ T cells and their cytokines | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Formation of immune complexes    | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| HMGB1 release                    | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |
| Autoantibodies against haploid germ cells | +                                                | +                                                |
|                                 | nd                                               | nd                                               |

LPS, lipopolysaccharide; EAO, experimental autoimmune orchitis; nd, not determined; HMGB1, high mobility group protein B1; TH17, T-helper 17 cells.
et al., 2012) in mice and from $10^5$ (Vieler et al., 1993; Kaya et al., 2006; Biswas et al., 2015) to $10^8$ (Tanaka et al., 1995) CFU in rats. The duration of infection in these studies varies from several hours (Vieler et al., 1993; Tanaka et al., 1995; Kaya et al., 2006) to several days (Kuzan et al., 1989; Bhushan et al., 2008; Turner et al., 2011; Fei et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2014) or even months (Lucchetta et al., 1983; Hackett et al., 1988; Pilatz et al., 2015a). While the bacteria were directly injected into the epididymis (Kuzan et al., 1989; Fei et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2014) or the testis (See et al., 1990), in some studies injection into the vas deferens was performed to model the route of infection in men. In contrast to the situation in human, the latter were often performed in combination with vasoligation proximal of infection in men. In conclusion, severe histopathological damage and epididymal fibrotic transformation, epithelial degeneration and ductal obstruction (yellow line) are visible in mice (B) comparable to the histopathology observed in ‘chronic’ epididymitis in men (A) (azan staining; from Michel et al. (2016)). Reprinted with permissions from Wiley and Sons (license number: 3973511270642).

**Intraductal E. coli epididymitis model**

Taking all these aspects into consideration, we designed a rodent model of bacterial epididymitis, in which uropathogenic E. coli (UPEC strain CFT073) were bilaterally injected into the vas deferens of mice (Lang et al., 2013, 2014; Stammler et al., 2015; Khosravi et al., 2016) or rats (Bhushan et al., 2008; Lu et al., 2013; Biswas et al., 2015). Tissues were analysed 3 or 7 days after the infection. In order to delineate the spectrum of pathogens found in men, non-pathogenic commensal E. coli strains (NPEC strain 470) were included. The resulting retrograde ascent of the bacteria induced an infection and inflammation of the epididymis initially in the cauda epididymis and in the proximal epididymis several days later. After 3 days of infection, bacteria were confined to the ductal lumen of the cauda epididymis in mice (Stammler et al., 2015). Later, at 7 days post-infection, pathogens were reaching the caput epididymis and the testis (Biswas et al., 2015; Michel et al., 2016). Similar observations have been made in rat models of unilateral E. coli-induced epididymitis (Lucchetta et al., 1983; Tanaka et al., 1995; Kaya et al., 2006; Demir et al., 2007; Pilatz et al., 2015a).

Initial histopathological changes were primarily observed in the cauda epididymis, with accumulation of collagen fibres, flattening of the epithelium and increase in luminal diameter, oedema, abscess formation and leucocyte infiltration in the interstitium (Fig. 4B and Table III). Furthermore, with the disruption of tight junctions and loss of stereocilia, the integrity of the epithelium was compromised in mice (Lang et al., 2013; Stammler et al., 2015). Following the proximal progression of the infection and the disruption of segmental boundaries, the tissue damage and fibrosis became severe, and collagen deposits of collagen I and fibronectin were detected throughout the cauda and in the more distal segments of the corpus (Stammler et al., 2015; Michel et al., 2016) (Fig. 4B and Table III). Beside a longer exposure to the pathogen, the cauda appeared to be principally more sensitive to fibrotic transformation, as was indicated by in vitro organ culture models (Michel et al., 2016).

Immune cell infiltration of the epididymis of rat occurred as early as 24h post-infection (Tanaka et al., 1995) and by 3 days post-infection, leucocytic infiltration of the interstitial space (Hackett et al., 1988; Tanaka et al., 1995; Kaya et al., 2006; Lang et al., 2013), and in some cases in the ductal lumen as well (Ludwig et al., 1997, 2002; Kaya et al., 2006), was observed. Concomitantly, a surge in pro-inflammatory cytokine levels was observed following E. coli-induced epididymitis (Turner et al., 2011). In the mouse model, infection with NPEC induced a rise in cytokine levels that was even higher than with UPEC, but did not cause damage comparable to that observed after UPEC infection (Lang et al., 2014). Blunting the immune response by deletion of Myd88, an adaptor protein in TLR signalling, reduced tissue damage substantially in UPEC-induced epididymitis in mice. In conclusion, severe histopathological damage and epididymal duct obstruction seem to depend on both the presence of certain E. coli UPEC virulence factors and the magnitude of the inflammatory response, whilst one factor alone results in less dramatic histological alterations (Lang et al., 2014; Michel et al., 2016).
Chlamydia trachomatis epididymitis models

Two rodent models, in mouse (Kusan et al., 1989) and in rat (Jantos et al., 1992), have been designed to investigate the effects of C. trachomatis-induced epididymitis on the epididymis and testis. In the mouse, injection of the pathogen into the epididymis resulted in initial swelling of the tissue and detection of the bacteria both within epithelial cells and the ductal lumen, as well as immune cell infiltration and flattening of the epithelium. Intraval injection of bacteria in the rat likewise caused epididymal swelling, cellular infiltration, spermatic granulomas, epithelial disruption and fibrosis in the epididymis. While the pathogens could be recovered from the epididymis up to 90 days post infection, chlamydial antigens were also found in the testes (Jantos et al., 1992).

Direct random injection of both C. trachomatis and E. coli elicits a response comparably milder than in intraductally induced epididymitis, although different time points and numbers of bacteria injected made the exact comparison difficult (Kusan et al., 1989; Greskovich et al., 1993; Fei et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2014).

Linking animal models of local bacterial infection to the clinic

Amongst all animal models related to infectious and/or inflammatory diseases of the testis and epididymis, the acute bacterial epididymitis models is the closest to the clinical situation (Table III and Fig. 5). Relevant pathogens, canical infection pathways, time course, damage observed and consequences for fertility can be readily mimicked in vivo or even in epididymal organ culture models.

Both experimental animal and human data indicate that, in chronic epididymitis, luminal ascent of bacteria is strictly gated with infection-associated tissue damage mostly in the distal cauda segment (Stammler et al., 2015). Consistent with this concept, microbiological screening of testicular tissue obtained from patients with obstructive or non-obstructive azoospermia remained completely negative, despite down-stream detection of STI in some cases (Sripada et al., 2010). On the other hand, the clinical course of epididymitis remains unpredictable despite adequate antimicrobial therapy.

Long-term sequelae seem to be associated with infection by certain microbial strains. As an example, epididymitis elicited by E. coli strains expressing the virulence factor α-haemolysin (such as CFT073) did not result in recovery of initial low sperm counts in mice. This is similar to the clinical observation, that men infected with α-haemolysin-negative E. coli strains recovered from initially low sperm counts after 3 months, whilst this was not the case when α-haemolysin-positive E. coli pathovars were found (Lang et al., 2013). This highlights the role of bacterial virulence factors in the final outcome of genitai tract infections.

In addition to the quantitative reduction of semen quality, recent investigations on the sperm proteome in patients following acute epididymitis indicate several differentially expressed sperm proteins. Of those, many have been described in other patient cohorts suffering subfertility, epididymal dysfunction or inflammation of the urogenital tract (Platz et al., 2014a). Beside a change in the composition of proteins also the glycome of spermatozoa in E. coli-associated epididymitis was altered as documented by a substantial reduction of sialic acid residues bound to the surface of spermatozoa in men and mice. Mechanically, α-haemolysin as a pore-forming toxin allowed Ca2+ to enter the cell, thereby eliciting the acrosome reaction liberating stored sialidases. Premature acrosome reaction incapacitates spermatozoa for normal fertilization in both rodents and men (Khosravi et al., 2016). The value of animal models though is emphasized by the fact that hyposialylation was also observed on the epididymal epithelial cells in UPEC epididymitis in mice, an examination not possible under clinical circumstances where surgical intervention in acute epididymitis is rarely indicated (Platz et al., 2015b). Of note, removal of sialic acid residues from host cells represents a means for bacteria to manipulate the host’s innate immune response. Animal data point to an anti-viral rather than anti-bacterial response, which could lead to subsequent sterile autoimmunity and ongoing tissue damage once pathogens are removed following antimicrobial therapy. Moreover, sialidase/neuraminidase inhibitors are currently being tested in clinical trials or already in use to treat influenza and sepsis beside other inflammatory diseases (McLaughlin et al., 2015), marking their possible use as adjuvant therapy in epididymitis to preserve fertility.

Similarly, Myd88−/− mice that are characterized by a strongly dampened pro-inflammatory innate immune reaction against invading gram-negative bacteria such as E. coli show substantially less histopathological alteration and no indication of obstructions of the epididymal duct 7 days post-infection in contrast to wildtype. These data from mouse models point to a possible value of an adjuvant immuno-modulatory therapy in cases, where epididymitis has been associated with certain bacterial strains, such as UPEC, known to elicit permanent impairment to fertility (Michel et al., 2016).

The need to consider adjuvant anti-inflammatory treatment is stressed by the fact that in a rat model of E. coli-associated epididymitis damage was evident in the tests that was not prevented by initial fluoroquinolone therapy. Long-term studies up to 6 months after intraductal infection followed by fluoroquinolone treatment documented progressive disruption of testicular architecture (Platz et al., 2015a). Although cytokine levels were not measured at 6 months, the principal sensitivity of spermatogenesis to elevated cytokine levels may warrant early anti-inflammatory intervention to maintain fertility. In light of similarities between the pathology seen in bacterial epididymo-orchitis in rodent models and men, evaluating the putative use of adjuvant neumaminidase inhibitor or anti-inflammatory treatment appears to be needed to predict any suitability for the clinic.

A disadvantage of the acute bacterial epididymo-orchitis model represents the ligation of the vas deferens, put in place to prevent a retrograde dissemination of pathogens to the urethra and bladder causing cystitis and possibly sepsis as a co-morbidity. Using vasectomy, it was shown that fibrosis and hypospermatogenesis became evident simply by ligation, albeit only after 12 months (Wheeler et al., 2011). Our data indicate that milder damage of the epididymis, including fibrosis and some interstitial leucocytic infiltration, occurs as early as 7 days post-ligation (and sham injection). This requires a careful differentiation of the pathology and inclusion of further control groups to assess what damage is elicited by the ligation of the vas alone and what is derived from the infection.

Human orchitis and epididymoorchitis associated with systemic infection

Orchitis may evolve as a complication of systemic, predominantly viral, infections due to haematogenous dissemination of the pathogen
(Mikuz and Damjanov, 1982; Dejucq and Jegou, 2001). Whereas the prevalence of bacterial epididymo-orchitis may be estimated from reports on acute epididymitis, consistent epidemiological data concerning the incidence of de novo inflammatory conditions primarily affecting the testis in the general male population are not available (Schuppe et al., 2008, 2017). Despite convincing clinical and pathological evidence that this type of orchitis can lead to disruption of spermatogenesis and steroidogenesis, data on fertility-related sequelae are scarce (Table III).

The classical example of viral orchitis is associated with mumps and typically develops 3–10 days after the onset of parotitis (Beard et al., 1977; Weidner and Krause, 1998). Orchitis is the most common complication of mumps in pubertal and post-pubertal males, with a prevalence of 5–37% and bilateral disease reported in 16–65% of cases (Wesselhoeft, 1920; Beard et al., 1977; Nickel and Plumb, 1986). Although local mumps outbreaks have been reported in inadequately vaccinated populations, orchitis is now relatively rare in post-pubertal men in countries with modern public health practices (Tae et al., 2012; Patel et al., 2017; Willocks et al., 2017).

Studies report that ~50% of the affected testes undergo some degree of atrophy, but are rather heterogeneous with regard to patient cohorts, definition of ‘atrophy’, and follow-up periods (Pilatz et al., 2015; Pontes et al., 2017; Spenza et al., 2018). Common features (rodents, men):

- Disruption of blood-testis-barrier
- Sloughing of germ cells
- Thickening of lamina propria, fibrosis, granuloma-like changes
- Atrophy of seminiferous tubules
- Increased numbers of immune cells (CD4+, CD8+ T cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, mast cells; depending on etiology neutrophils, B cells, plasma cells)
- Elevated levels of pro-inflammatory mediators (e.g. MCP-1, TNF, IL-6, NO)
- Impairment of androgen production

Common features (rodents, men):

- Interstitial oedema, increase in luminal diameter
- Loss of epithelial integrity
- Abscess and granuloma formation
- Fibrosis
- Leukocytic infiltration into interstitium and ductal lumen
- Elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines

Deterioration of spermatogenesis (and steroidogenesis)

Impairment of sperm maturation and epididymal secretory function; formation of anti-sperm antibodies; ductal obstruction

Impaired semen quality, infertility

**Figure 5** Lessons learned from animal models of testicular and epididymal infection and inflammation. BC, basal cell; BM, basement membrane; BTB, blood–testis barrier; DC, dendritic cell; ECM, extracellular matrix; GC, germ cell; IL, interleukin; LC, Leydig cell; M, macrophage; MC, mast cell; MCP, monocyte chemotactic protein; N, neutrophil; NC, narrow and clear cell; NO, nitric oxide; PC, principal cell; PTC, peritubular cell; SC, Sertoli cell; SMC, smooth muscle cell; TC, T cell; TM, testicular macrophage; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; T_{reg}, regulatory T cell.
et al., 2016). The analysis of testicular biopsies 1 year after mumps orchiitis revealed total atrophy of seminiferous tubules in 38% and partial atrophy in 16% of affected testes, even when patients were treated with interferon-α2B during the acute phase of the disease (Yeniyol et al., 2000). Hence, patients suffering mumps orchiitis are at risk of developing spermatogenic failure, although data from the pre-vaccination era indicate that the frequency of persistent azoospermia might be as low as 5% (Werner, 1950).

Histopathologically, viral orchitis is characterized by multifocal perivascular as well as peri- and intratubular infiltrates with neutrophils, lymphocytes, plasma cells and macrophages. Affected seminiferous tubules show degeneration of the germinal epithelium sparing few spermatogonia and the Sertoli cells; concomitant thickening of the lamina propria may result in complete hyalinization and fibrosis of the tubules (Mikuz and Damjanov, 1982) (Fig. 3B and C). This pattern of tubular damage has also been described as ‘mixed atrophy’ (Sigg and Hedinger, 1981; Bergmann, 2006). Notably, persistent chronic inflammatory reactions following acute orchitis are characterized by focal or multifocal peritubular lymphocytic infiltrates (Mikuz and Damjanov, 1982; Schuppe and Bergmann, 2013) (Fig. 3C and Table III). Leydig cells in the interstitial compartment show little evidence of damage in most viral orchitis patients.

Less commonly, a range of viral infections other than mumps may be complicated by inflammatory lesions in the testis. These include Coxsackie virus types, Epstein-Barr, influenza and HIV (Dejucq and Jegou, 2001). In early autopsy studies, inflammatory infiltrates were observed in testes of patients with late-stage HIV infection (Chabon et al., 1987). Though clinically overt orchitis is not evolving, persistence of viral DNA in testicular tissue and impairment of semen quality under effective retroviral therapy have recently been reported (van Leeuwen et al., 2008; Pilat et al., 2014b; Jenabian et al., 2016).

In a case series of men who died of a coronavirus infection causing severe acute respiratory syndrome, both, disruption of spermatogenesis and testicular inflammation were observed in the testes (Xu et al., 2006). Most recently, persistence of Zika virus (ZIKV) in the male genital tract has been reported (Paz-Bailey et al., 2017). However, there are no published data on clinical manifestations of orchitis or epididymo-orchitis available (Epelboin et al., 2017).

A predominantly granulomatous, chronic orchitis occurs as a manifestation of tuberculosis, syphilis, lepromatous leprosy, or brucellosis (Mikuz and Damjanov, 1982; Schuppe et al., 2008; Schuppe and Bergmann, 2013) (Fig. 3D). In pre-pubertal boys, epididymo-orchitis may complicate bacterial infections, such as pneumonia, by haematogenous dissemination of the pathogen (Greenfield, 1986).

**Models mimicking systemic infection and inflammation**

Systemic inflammation due to infection or even non-infectious illnesses has an inhibitory effect on spermatogenesis and steroidogenesis (Wooff et al., 1985; Andrade-Rocha, 2013). Typically, these responses have been attributed to the detrimental effects of fever, leading to an increase in intratesticular temperature, or vascular disturbances. However, studies from animal models suggest that inflammation itself also has a direct effect on testicular function and fertility (see below). Reports on the effect of low-grade inflammation associated with systemic diseases, such as metabolic syndrome and diabetes, as well as immuno-editing associated with testicular neoplasia have recently been summarized elsewhere and are not reflected in this review (Loveland et al., 2017; Maresch et al., 2017).

**Animal models of systemic viral disease**

There have been a small number of studies in animals of the effects of viral infections on testis function. Crucially, it is necessary to distinguish between systemic viral infections (for example, influenza and mononucleosis) that can indirectly interfere with male reproduction, and viral infections of the male tract itself (mumps, HIV, ZIKV). The detrimental effects of systemic viral infections may be principally exerted through elevated inflammatory responses, fever, vascular disturbances, immune cell activation and blood-borne inflammatory mediators, including cytokines and the anti-viral interferons, which can have inhibitory effects on spermatogenesis and steroidogenesis (Fig. 5 and Table III) (Hedger, 2011a; Satie et al., 2011). Animal models of viral infections of the male tract itself include mumps virus, cytomegalovirus and herpes simplex virus infections in mice (Tebourbi et al., 2001; Malolina et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2016), Sendai virus infection in rats (Melaine et al., 2003), Myxoma virus infection in rabbits (Fountain et al., 1997) and simian immunodeficiency virus infection in monkeys (Shehu-Xhilaga et al., 2005; Houzet et al., 2014; Winnall et al., 2015). In these various studies, infection was frequently associated with leucocytic infiltration (T cells, macrophages), an increase in local production of interferons and pro-inflammatory mediators, disruption of the seminiferous epithelium and primary Leydig cell failure with reduced testosterone levels. Similar to observations in corresponding mouse models (Wu et al., 2016), deterioration of testicular androgen production has been observed in severe cases of bilateral mumps orchiitis (Fig. 5 and Table III) (Adamopoulos et al., 1978).

Most recently, Govero et al. (2016) delineated ZIKV infection of the testis and epididymis in mice using a mouse-adapted African strain. The infection of germ cells and Sertoli cells caused deterioration of spermatogenesis resulting in complete germ cell loss, reflected by decreased levels of serum inhibin B. Testicular damage seems to be mediated by both the infection itself and the host’s adaptive immune response, while leucocytes entered the seminiferous epithelium only in the most severe cases (Govero et al., 2016).

Of note, the prostate or seminal vesicles were unaffected and innate immune responses were found in Leydig, Sertoli and epididymal epithelial cells, but not in peritubular cells and spermatogonia, exposing these cells as particularly vulnerable for ZIKV infection and as possible repositories for ZIKV (Ma et al., 2017). Although Zika viral load in semen, impaired semen quality and sexual transmission have been reported (D’Ortenzio et al., 2016; Epelboin et al., 2017; Joguet et al., 2017; Paz-Bailey et al., 2017), it remains to be elucidated how murine testicular disease translates to the clinic (Meinhardt, 2017).

In general, studies using these specific infections, however, are complicated by the high degree of species specificity among the viruses and their hosts. Critically, different viruses target different cell types, and even the affected cells, their susceptibility to infective tropism and the pattern and intensity of production of cytokines and interferons by specific testicular cells vary significantly from species to species.
species (Le Goffic et al., 2002; Dejucq-Rainsford and Jegou, 2004; Roulet et al., 2006; Le Tortorec et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2016). Consequently, viral infections within the male reproductive tract have widely variable effects on male reproductive function in different models. In general, the pathology is associated with the distinct local effects of the infection itself, and it is difficult to distinguish more universal effects that may be attributable to inflammation alone. Leydig cells in the interstitial compartment show little evidence of damage in most viral orchitis patients, whilst this is the case in mumps virus infected mouse (Wu et al., 2016). Moreover, different tropism of viruses for human and mouse make the use of either mouse-adapted forms of viruses (e.g. ZIKV) or a replacement by a different virus (e.g. Sendai for rat) necessary as surrogates. This limits somewhat the utility of studies using specific viruses as models for human disease, with the result that animal models involving inflammation without infection are generally more amenable to the study of the role of inflammation in human disease.

Lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation models

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is a component of the cell wall of gram-negative bacteria, such as E. coli, and stimulates inflammation and innate immunity by activation of TLR 4 (Beutler, 2000). For many years, LPS has been used to investigate the effects of systemic inflammation, without the complication of infection, in numerous animal models. Intraperitoneal or intravenous injection of LPS in various animal species, particularly rats and mice, exerts predominantly inhibitory effects on Leydig cell steroidogenesis at the testicular and at the hypothalamic-pituitary level, and may also involve peripheral responses to inflammation, such as corticosteroid production (O’Bryan et al., 2000; Gow et al., 2001; Diemer et al., 2003). Moreover, it is increasingly evident that inflammation has direct effects on the somatic (Leydig and Sertoli) cells in the testis and epididymis (epithelial and stromal cells), and their ability to support spermatogenesis and sperm maturation (Hedger, 2011b). Notably, LPS does not induce fever in rats or mice, and the effects of LPS on spermatogenesis in the rat do not replicate the well-characterized effects of either elevated temperature or vascular disturbance on spermatogenesis and steroidogenesis. This has led to the proposition that elevation of cytokines and other inflammatory and antimicrobial mediators may be a major cause of disruption in these animal models, and hence possibly also in human patients. Crucial to this proposition is the observation that the somatic cells of the testis and epididymis themselves express pattern recognition receptors, including TLR4 and viral sensors such as TLR3, and produce inflammatory mediators and interferons in response to stimulation by their ligands (Dejucq et al., 1998; Rodrigues et al., 2008; Winnall et al., 2011a). In fact, evidence suggests that these inflammatory signalling pathways are involved in regulation of normal physiological process in the testis, in addition to mediating defence against infection (Hedger, 2011b). Nonetheless, excessive activation of inflammation and production of inflammatory cytokines, eicosanoids and reactive oxygen species by the somatic cells, as well as by the circulating and resident peripheral leukocytes, disrupts testicular and epididymal function, because they also have direct inhibitory effects on the activity of the somatic cells and spermatogenic cells in these tissues (Hedger, 2011a).

Non-infectious inflammation and autoimmune disease of the testis and epididymis

Non-infectious inflammation of the human testis and epididymis

Autoimmune disorders of the human testis and epididymis have been documented (Chan and Schlegel, 2002a; Silva et al., 2014). Patients suffering autoimmune polyendocrinopathy syndrome I due to inactivating mutations of the AIRE gene develop testicular failure and sperm autoantibodies in association with multi-organ autoimmune disease in 30% of cases (Kisand and Peterson, 2011). Moreover, systemic autoimmune disorders, such as lupus erythematosus and different forms of systemic vasculitis including Behcet’s disease, may involve blood vessels of the testis, epididymis, and excurrent ducts, thus resulting in deleterious local inflammatory disease (Nistal and Paniagua, 1997; Silva et al., 2014). Granulomatous orchitis mimicking testicular cancer may occur as a chronic, painless disease in elderly men (Mikuz and Damjanov, 1982). The aetiology of this rare inflammatory disorder is unknown, but germ cell-specific autoimmunity has been discussed as an underlying mechanism. Moreover, manifestation of sarcoidosis as a sterile granulomatous disease was shown in the testis and epididymis (Hedinger, 1991). Post-traumatic, chronic inflammatory reactions have been observed after herniotomy in both ipsi- and contralateral testes and interpreted as autoimmune orchitis (Hofmann and von Zeeschwitz, 1977; Suominen, 1995). An elevated risk of testicular pain, interpreted as ‘orchitis/epididymitis’, has also been reported after hernia repair and vasectomy (Hawn et al., 2006; Goldacre et al., 2007; Horovitz et al., 2012). Notably, pre-existent testicular disorders of either intrinsic or unknown origin may be accompanied by inflammation (Table III, Table IV). In testes from adult men who have undergone orchietomy due to cryptorchidism, focal inflammatory infiltrates containing mainly T cells and related tubular damage in 44% of the specimens have been found (Nistal et al., 2002). Finally, both acute and chronic inflammatory conditions of the testis and/or epididymis caused by drugs or other chemical compounds have to be considered (Schuppe et al., 2008; Hedger, 2011a; Platza et al., 2015b) (Table I). Human autoimmune orchitis or epididymitis, however, have been underestimated as clinical entities and are not established in clinical andrology. From a rheumatologist’s point of view, Silva et al. (2014) proposed a concept of autoimmune orchitis primarily based on the detection of membrane-bound antisperm antibodies (ASA) in semen. This phenomenon, however, is not necessarily reflecting breakdown of the testicular immune privilege, but rather related to immunopathological changes in the epididymis (see below; Fig. 5). Although hampered by the very limited access to biopsy material, delineating human autoimmune orchitis requires tissue-based analyses.

Considering non-infectious inflammation of the human testis, it should be mentioned, that seminoma is almost invariably associated with extensive inflammatory infiltrates, suggesting immune activation induced by the neoplastic process (Hvasses et al., 2013; Klein et al., 2016). Lymphocytic infiltrates are also observed around seminiferous tubules containing testicular germ cell (TGC) neoplasia in situ (cells or in the contralateral testis accompanying unilateral neoplasia (Jahnukainen et al., 1995; Bols et al., 2000; Klein et al., 2016).
Table IV  Testicular inflammatory reactions in infertile men: correlations between clinical findings, degree of damage of the seminiferous epithelium and the prevalence of peritubular lymphocytic infiltrates.

| Testicular disorders                  | Obstruction n = 17 | Unknown etiology n = 106 | Congenital/early acquired disorder n = 77 | Sertoli-cell-only syndromea n = 27 | Inflammatory reactionb n = 33 |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Total testicular volume (ml)         | 40.7 ± 5.0          | 35.4 ± 8.4               | 31.8 ± 7.8                               | 26.8 ± 7.0                       | 33.3 ± 8.2                   |
| Serum FSH (IU/l)                     | 4.0 ± 2.3           | 6.7 ± 3.4                | 7.0 ± 4.8                                | 13.5 ± 5.7                       | 4.3 ± 5.2                    |
| Mean Johnsen score§                 | 8.6 ± 0.3           | 7.2 ± 1.6                | 6.2 ± 1.9                                | 2.3 ± 0.8                        | 6.3 ± 2.2                    |
| Prevalence of peritubular lymphocytic infiltrates (%)§ | 11.8               | 19.8                     | 31.2                                     | 51.6                            | 84.9                         |

a Retrospective analysis of testicular biopsies obtained from 260 asymptomatic men undergoing diagnostic work-up for infertility; data are mean values ± SD; modified from Schuppe et al. (2001).

b Modified according to de Kreter and Holstein (1976).

c Focal or multifocal; with or without perivascular infiltrates (cell density ranging from scattered to extensive).

d Heterogeneous subgroup, comprising both congenital and acquired forms.

*e Considered as ‘primary’ pathology in the tests, in contrast to concomitant (‘secondary’) inflammatory reactions in the other subgroups.

Inflammatory lesions of unknown origin in testes of infertile men

In early studies dealing with testicular biopsies obtained from infertile men, inflammatory infiltrates have been reported in 4.8–16.6% of cases (Hofmann and Kuvert, 1979; Suominen and Soderstrom, 1982; Jahnukainen et al., 1995). A systematic re-examination of tissue specimens obtained from asymptomatic patients with impaired fertility, i.e., non-obstructive azospermia, showed immune cell infiltrates in the interstitial compartment in ~30% of cases (Schuppe et al., 2001) (Table IV). The infiltrates, graded as sparse to dense, mainly comprised lymphocytes and showed a peritubular localization distributed in a focal or multifocal pattern. In addition, the degree of lymphocytic infiltration was correlated with characteristic signs of tubular damage, such as partial or complete loss of germinal epithelium, thickening of the lamina propria and complete tubular fibrosis (Schuppe and Bergmann, 2013) (Fig. 3B and C). Despite the patchy distribution of the lesions, testicular inflammatory reactions are associated with significantly reduced testicular volume and score counts for spermatogenesis, when inflammation represents the primary disorder (Table III). Serum FSH levels are not markedly increased in these cases compared to patients with testicular obstruction and preserved spermatogenesis. In patients with other testicular disorders, the occurrence of peritubular lymphocytic infiltrates is closely correlated with the degree of tubular damage, i.e., impairement of spermatogenesis. With regard to the high overall prevalence of inflammatory lesions, induction of deleterious immune responses in the testis is probably not restricted to infectious agents, but a wide spectrum of etiological factors should be considered (Schuppe and Meinhardt, 2005) (Table I).

Formation of ASA and male infertility

Among men referred for infertility treatment, 4–6% are diagnosed with membrane-bound ASA (Alexander and Anderson, 1979; Mazumdar and Levine, 1998; Chamley and Clarke, 2007; Tüttemann and Nieschlag, 2010). However, the association of ASA formation with male genital tract infection/inflammation remains a matter of ongoing debate. One prospective study investigated ASA in patients suffering epididymitis, during acute disease as well as after 3 years, and showed increased serum ASA titres in 7/26 patients (Ingerslev et al., 1986). On the other hand, in patients with primary infertility, significantly increased levels of ASA in blood and semen were associated with a history of epididymitis/orchitis (Tchiokadze and Galdava, 2015). In contrast, there is little evidence for a close relationship between the detection of ASA in semen and MAGI (Marconi et al., 2009; Francavilla and Barbonetti, 2017).

Although ASA development could be suspected as a sequela of testicular inflammatory reactions, such as Mumps orchitis, available studies did not reveal a significantly increased prevalence of positive ASA titres in these patients after more than 1 year after diagnosis, except in idiopathic granulomatous orchitis (Shulman et al., 1992; Kalaydjiev et al., 2002).

Animal models of autoimmune-based testicular inflammation

Experimental autoimmune orchitis

Experimental autoimmune orchitis (EAO) serves as a model of autoimmune-based chronic testicular inflammation leading to germ cell apoptosis and to severe damage of spermatogenesis and eventual infertility (Table III) (Tung et al., 1987b; Suescun et al., 1994; Tung, 1995; Tung and Teuscher, 1995; Naito et al., 2012b). The disease has been induced in many species, including guinea pigs and rabbits, whilst rats and mice have received the most attention (Freund et al., 1953; Andrada et al., 1969; Tung et al., 1970; Tung and Woodruffe, 1978; Pelletier et al., 1981; Doncel et al., 1989; Zhou et al., 1989; Itoh et al., 1991b). Classical EAO in rodents is induced by active immunization with syngeneic testicular homogenate (TH) in incomplete or complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) followed by injection of inactivated Bordetella pertussis (Bp) bacteria or Bp toxin (Sato et al., 1981; Kohno et al., 1983; Doncel et al., 1989) (Supplementary Table S1). The inflammation first appears in the seminiferous tubules and rete testis, and affects the cauda epididymis and vas deferens as well (Kohno et al., 1983). Macrophages, lymphocytes, eosinophils and neutrophils invade the testis and form clusters around the seminiferous tubules (and also inside the seminiferous tubules in mice),
produce elevated levels of pro-inflammatory mediators and lead to spermatogenic disruption and, eventually, loss of the adluminal compartment of the seminiferous epithelium (aspermato genesis) (Fig. 3 and Table III). Moreover, impairment of adherens and gap junction proteins in the seminiferous tubules contributes to germ cell sloughing (Table III) (Perez et al., 2011, 2012, 2014). Germ cell apoptosis in EAO is mediated by the involvement of Fas/FasL, TNF/TNF receptor 1, IL-6/IL-6 receptor and the Bax/Bcl-2 (BCL2-associated X/Bcl-2-like protein) system (Theas et al., 2003, 2006; Rival et al., 2006b).

Later stages of the disease are characterized by disruption of the BTB, extensive necrosis and fibrosis of seminiferous tubules (Doncel et al., 1989; Lustig et al., 1993; Tung and Teuscher, 1995; Perez et al., 2012; Nicolas et al., 2017) (Fig. 3E and F). In severe forms of the disease, granuloma formation has been observed (Fig. 3 and Table III).

Another model of EAO can be elicited by subcutaneous immunization with syngeneic viable TGC without adjuvants in susceptible A/J and C3H/Hej mouse strains (Sakamoto et al., 1985; Itoh et al., 1991) (Supplementary Table SI). In classical EAO, an autoimmune response is generated against antigens of haploid germ cells, spermatogonia, Sertoli cells, Leydig cells and the basal lamina of the seminiferous tubules, causing complete loss of germ cells (Sato et al., 1981; Lustig et al., 1982; Adekunle et al., 1987; Tung et al., 1987b; Yule et al., 1988; Teuscher et al., 1994; Fijak et al., 2005) while in TGC-elicited EAO autoimmunity is induced only against antigens of haploid germ cells (Itoh et al., 1994; Qu et al., 2010; Hirai et al., 2013; Tera yama et al., 2016). In contrast to classically induced EAO, in TGC-elicited orchitis the seminiferous tubules are not depleted of all germ cells and the inflammation does not affect the epididymis and vas deferens (Tung et al., 1987b; Naito et al., 2012a).

The differences in the development, course and severity of EAO between classical and TGC-induced disease models point to a significant influence of microbial components present in adjuvants and B. pertussis on inflammatory responses in the testis and epididymis. The use of CFA and Bp bacteria, in combination with TH, to induce EAO evokes more severe autoimmune reactions compared to the TGC-induced disease (Musha et al., 2013) (Supplementary Table SI). Adjuvants are generally employed to enhance the inflammatory response during induction of organ-specific autoimmunity, e.g. autoimmune encephalomyelitis (EAE), uveitis or arthritis (Billiau and Matthys, 2001). New data indicate that the effects are specific, as the susceptibility to the induction of EAE and EAO in mice is associated with a locus controlling Bordetella pertussis-induced histamine sensitization (Bphs) identified as histamine receptor H1, an autoimmune disease-associated locus (Sudweeks et al., 1993; Ma et al., 2002). Furthermore, a locus Orch3 located on chromosome 11 and controlling dominant resistance to autoimmune orchitis was identified as kinesin family member 1C (del Rio et al., 2012). Notably, immunogenetically autoimmune orchitis, epididymitis and vasitis seem to be distinct lesions (Roper et al., 1998).

**Spontaneous experimental orchitis**

In addition, unique EAO models can be produced by experimental manipulation of systemic immune regulation, as in day 3 thymectomy (Taguchi and Nishizuka, 1987; Tung et al., 1987a), mice with deletions of the tolerance-regulating gene Aire (Anderson et al., 2002) and mice with Treg cell depletion (Tung et al., 2017). Several reports have shown spontaneous occurrence of orchitis in mink (Tung et al., 1981), dog (Fritz et al., 1976) and brown Norway rat (Burfield et al., 1989). Notably, rats that are transgenic for human-β2-microglobulin and HLA subtype B27, a genetic locus strongly associated with ankylosing spondylitis, spontaneously develop epididymo-orchitis. In fact epididymo-orchitis is preceding arthritis in this model (Taurog et al., 2012). EAO can be also transferred to naïve recipients by adoptive transfer of lymphocytes from lymph nodes or spleens of EAO mice (Mai-Brown et al., 1987; Itoh et al., 1992).

Several studies have uncovered the potential aetiology of spontaneous EAO, and provided insight into the nature of systemic tolerance for the relevant pathogenic antigens (Tung and Lu, 1991; Samy et al., 2006). Because some meiotic germ cell antigens can egress the normal seminiferous tubule, and they are protected by Treg in normal mice, the concept of complete antigen sequestration is no longer valid (Tung et al., 2017). Finally, other studies have revealed the influence of non-immune mechanisms on EAO development. For example, abnormal hypothalamic–pituitary axis function predisposes the mink to EAO (Tung et al., 1981). Defects in hypothalamic function may affect Sertoli cell barrier integrity (Xia et al., 2009) and orchitis in the mink can be rescued by treatment with hCG to stimulate Leydig cell function (Tung et al., 1984). Similarly, defective Sertoli cell barrier properties and spontaneous EAO have been reported in mice with Sertoli cell-specific deletion of the androgen receptor (Meng et al., 2011).

**Immunopathology of EAO**

As shown by adoptive transfer experiments, CD4+ T cells play a crucial role in the induction of EAO (Mai-Brown et al., 1987). Analysis of testicular inflammatory infiltrates revealed increased numbers of several T cell subsets, macrophages, dendritic cells (DC) and mast cells in EAO in the rat (Fig. 3 and Table III). During the onset of rat EAO, a dramatic increase in CD4+ and CD8+ T effector cell numbers producing pro-inflammatory cytokines (TNF, interferon-γ, IL-17), which are commonly associated with inflammatory and autoimmune responses, was observed (Table III). In contrast, in the chronic phase of the disease, the CD8+ T cell subset was predominant, suggesting its involvement in the progression of the inflammatory process (Guazzzone et al., 2009). Interestingly, in our mouse model of EAO, highly elevated numbers of CD4+ T cells, while reduced numbers of CD8+ T cells were detected, confirmed by a higher ratio of CD4+/CD8+ T cells in the testis. Moreover, a new population of double positive CD4+CD8+ T cells was identified in mouse EAO testis, previously identified in different organs with autoimmune disorders (Nicolas et al., 2017). Although, the increased accumulation of various immunoregulatory T cell subtypes, such as CD4+CD25+Foxp3+, CD4+Foxp3+ and CD8+Foxp3+ T cells, has been reported in chronically inflamed rat testes, these cells were not able to suppress inflammatory responses generated by the effector T cells during the onset of EAO (Guazzzone et al., 2009; Fijak et al., 2011). Interestingly, supplementation of the reduced testosterone levels in EAO animals caused an expansion of Treg cells leading to increased...
representation of these cells within the CD4+ T cell subset, while simultaneously inhibiting the synthesis of pro-inflammatory mediators MCP-1, TNF and anti-inflammatory IL-10 (Fijak et al., 2011). Further studies confirmed a direct influence of testosterone on the expansion of Treg cells mediated by interaction of the androgen receptor with the transcription factor Foxp3, which is the master regulator of Treg cell function (Fijak et al., 2011, 2015; Walecki et al., 2015).

Mast cells are crucial effector cells, not only for the development of allergic and parasitic diseases but also in the development of autoimmunity (Benoist and Mathis, 2002). In the rat model of EAO, mast cell numbers were significantly upregulated, widely distributed throughout the interstitium and partially degranulated (Fig. 5) (Iosub et al., 2006). Mast cell tryptase activates protease-activated receptor-2 (PAR-2), which is expressed on macrophages, peritubular cells and spermatids in normal testis. In orchitis the expression of PAR-2 was increased. In vitro activation of PAR-2 on peritubular cells by tryptase led to expression of inflammatory mediators, MCP-1, cyclooxygenase-2 and transforming growth factor-β2 (Iosub et al., 2006). These data suggest that PAR-2 activation elicited on peritubular cells by mast cell tryptase contributes to acute testicular inflammation.

Along with T cells and mast cells, antigen presenting cells (APC), such as macrophages and dendritic cells, possess a decisive function during the development of EAO (Table III). The presentation of self-antigens by APC to T and B cells is crucial in the initiation and maintenance of tolerance or autoimmunity. In the rat model of EAO, the number of testicular macrophages and dendritic cells was significantly increased during the course of the disease (Fig. 5 and Table III) (Rival et al., 2008, 2006a; Guazzzone et al., 2011). Macrophages in EAO testis were intricately involved in the production of the inflammatory mediators TNF, IL-6, MCP-1 and NO (Guazzzone et al., 2003; Suesscun et al., 2003; Rival et al., 2006b; Jarazo-Dietrich et al., 2012). Our analysis of purified DC from EAO rat testes demonstrated significantly upregulated expression of the chemokine receptor CCR7, which is responsible for the migration of DC to the draining lymph nodes (Rival et al., 2007). Moreover, the expression of IL-10 and IL-12p35 transcripts was detectable only in DC from inflamed testes, pointing to a mature immunogenic state before imminent migration to the lymph nodes. Interestingly, the expression levels of co-stimulatory molecules (CD80, CD86) and MHC II were similar in orchitis and control testis (Suesscun et al., 2003; Suesscun et al., 2012; Rival et al., 2012). Further analysis of dendritic cells in testicular draining lymph nodes from EAO rats showed similar findings suggesting that the DC in draining lymph nodes from rats with orchitis are mature, present antigens to T cells and stimulate an autoimmune response against testicular antigens, thus causing immunological disturbances of the testis (Guazzzone et al., 2011). A pathogenic role of macrophages and DC in EAO development was additionally confirmed by in vivo depletion of these cells in rats with EAO, using clodronate-containing liposomes, leading to significantly decreased disease incidence and severity (Rival et al., 2008). The involvement of TLR2 and TLR4 in mediating EAO was also indicated by the reduced disease susceptibility in transgenic Tlr2−/− or Tlr4−/− mice (Liu et al., 2015).

Chemokines, chemokine receptors and adhesion molecules are implicated in the recruitment, trafficking and activation of leucocytes to the site of inflammation in EAO. Upregulation of cell adhesion molecules (CD31, CD44, CD106), in conjunction with increased levels of chemokines (MCP-1, macrophage inflammatory proteins 1α and 1β) and chemokine receptors (CCR2, CCR5), contribute to the formation of a chemotactic gradient within the testis, causing the leucocyte infiltration that is characteristic of EAO histopathology (Figs 3 and 5, Table III) (Guazzzone et al., 2012, 2003, 2005). Besides cytokines and chemokines, other pro-inflammatory molecules, such as high mobility group box protein 1 (HMGB1), are involved in the regulation of inflammatory reactions in rat and human testis (Table III). Elevated levels of HMGB1 have been reported in the late phase of rat EAO. Moreover, HMGB1 was translocated from the nucleus to the cytoplasm and extracellular space in testicular cells in EAO. Blockade of HMGB1 release by ethyl pyruvate in EAO rats animals reduced disease progression and spermatogenic damage (Aslani et al., 2015). Furthermore, involvement of galectin-1, activins and inhibin in the development of testicular immunopathology is also documented (Suesscun et al., 2001; Perez et al., 2015; Lei et al., 2017; Nicolas et al., 2017a, 2017b).

**Linking autoimmune orchitis models to human disease**

The histopathology of post-infectious or non-infectious human orchitis, as well as focal inflammatory lesions encountered in testicular biopsies from infertile patients with post-infectious testicular failure or ‘mixed atrophy’ of spermatogenesis of unknown origin, intriguingly resemble those developing in rodent EAO (Suominen and Soderstrom, 1982; Schuppe et al., 2008) (Fig. 3B, C and E, F; Tables III and IV). The predominantly peritubular localization of lymphocytes and characteristic morphological changes of the seminiferous tubules such as ‘aspermatogenesis’ support the concept that concomitant activation of autoreactive T cells is involved in inflammatory disorders of the human testis (Table III and Fig. 3). In early clinical experiments, delayed-type hypersensitivity reactions to sonicates prepared from human spermatozoa could be elicited in patients with mumps orchitis (Andrada et al., 1977). Moreover, immunization with testis homogenate in CFA performed before orchidectomy for treatment of prostate carcinoma led to testicular lesions characteristic of EAO in two of four patients tested (Mancini et al., 1965). Testicular biopsies revealed focal interstitial infiltrates with mononuclear cells, thickening of the lamina propria, and depopulation of the seminiferous epithelium. Comparable to rodent models, progressive tubular atrophy eventually results in a Sertoli cell-only syndrome and/or complete hyalinization of seminiferous tubules (Schuppe et al., 2008; Naito et al., 2012b; Aslani et al., 2015).

In line with data from EAO models, the infiltrating immune cells in focal inflammatory lesions in testes of infertile men are predominantly activated CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, which are accompanied by increased numbers of non-resident CD68+ macrophages and mast cells (el-Demiry et al., 1987; Duan et al., 2011; Schuppe and Bergmann, 2013; Klein et al., 2016) (Fig. 5). For non-resident CD68+ macrophages and mast cells, a shift from the interstitium to the seminiferous tubules was also reported for other testicular pathologies such as ‘mixed atrophy’ and has been associated with tissue remodelling and fibrotic changes (Meineke et al., 2000; Frungieri et al., 2002a; Nicolas et al., 2017a). Similar to rat EAO, increased numbers of mast cells expressing tryptase and PAR-2 were found in human testicular
fibrosis (Meineke et al., 2000; Frunieri et al., 2002b). Moreover, there is circumstantial evidence that DC are involved in inflammatory disorders of the human testis (Wang and Duan, 2016).

Identification of similar putative auto-antigens involved in the autoimmune attack in rat and human infarcted testes underlines the essential significance of results obtained from animal models. Autoantibodies against heat shock protein (Hsp) 60 and Hsp70, disulphide isomerase ER-60, alpha-1-antitrypsin, heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein H1, sperm outer dense fibre major protein 2, and phosphoglycerate kinase 1 were identified in sera from EAO rats (Fijak et al., 2005). Significantly, elevated titres of autoantibodies against disulphide isomerase ER-60 could also be detected in sera from infertile azoospermic patients with histologically confirmed low-grade testicular inflammation (Fijak et al., 2014). Accordingly, determination of ER-60 autoantibody titres in serum could be a novel non-invasive marker. As focal inflammatory lesions of unknown aetiology, usually diagnosed using testicular biopsies, are much more frequent than isolated orchitis, non-invasive methods for diagnosis of early inflammatory events in the testis are needed. In this regard, markers such as ER-60 autoantibody titres originally found in EAO and later confirmed to be potentially valuable for the diagnosis of asymptomatic testicular inflammatory resulting in male fertility disturbances in men as well, are currently being tested on a broader scale for the diagnosis of asymptomatic testicular inflammation causing male fertility disturbances. Moreover, the development of reliable assays for quantitative determination of serum autoantibodies directed to cell membrane and internal antigens of spermatozoa as reliable markers of an autoimmune state is critical. Participation of autoantibodies in the development of EAO in mice was supported by the formation of immune complexes of IgG and complement C3 localized outside of the seminiferous tubules and in the thickened tubular basement membrane (Kohno et al., 1983; Yule et al., 1988). Persistence of immunoglobulin and complement deposits in conjunction with a thickened basement membrane have also been described in testis samples from infertile men with spermatogenic disturbances (Jadot-Van De Casseye et al., 1980; Salomon et al., 1982; Lehmann et al., 1987).

The EAO models offer an adequate in vivo system to study the complexity of interactions of testicular cell types (germ cells, somatic cells, immune cells) in context of the endocrine environment, which can heavily influence the immune response (Figs 3, 4 and Table III). Particularly the early stages of EAO development closely reflect the lesions seen in focal inflammatory infiltrates that are frequently observed in testicular biopsies of patients with ‘mixed atrophy’ of spermatogenesis. This is also the stage where experimental therapies, such as new biologicals modulating cytokine action, can be explored. The development of EAO in rodents, with progressively later stages of tubular atrophy, strong immune cell infiltration, hyalinization and loss of germ cells leading to Sertoli cell-only syndrome mirrors only a minority of cases found in men. Although the structure of the immune system in mice and human is similar, some discrepancies in both innate and adaptive immunity response are observed (reviewed in (Mestas and Hughes, 2004)). Therefore, it is important to consider the possibility that the pathological reactions occurring in a mouse testis may not reflect precisely the mechanisms playing a role in a human testis.

Disadvantages of the rodent EAO model in relation to the common forms of human focal orchitis include its deteriorating progressive nature, an observation rarely made in men. Moreover, the rodent model is elicited using germ cell antigens in the form of testicular homogenates together with adjuvants to break tolerance or isolated native germ cells, whilst in human the cause of the focal inflammatory damage is completely unknown. In fact, in men it is even unclear if the damage observed is possibly a consequence of autoimmunity at all or rather a reflection of a different primary cause with only secondary involvement of the immune system. Therefore, a caution in the interpretation of data obtained from rodent models should be warranted.

**Immunopathological sequelae of vasectomy**

Induction of autoantibodies against spermatozoa is a frequent complication of vasectomy in man and animals (Bigazzi, 1981; Adams and Wald, 2009; Lustig et al., 2014). Vasectomy in men produces autoantibodies to sperm antigens at a prevalence of 60–70% at 5–6 months after vasectomy (Adams and Wald, 2009). Whether the autoimmunity to sperm antigens can also trigger epididymal pathology remains unknown as epididymal biopsy is not indicated, but this issue may gain relevance in cases of re-fertilization by vasovasostomy (Francavilla and Barbonetti, 2017). Furthermore, a possible autoimmune basis for focal orchitis seen in some vasectomized men and, more frequently, in patients with azoospermia due to other causes (Table IV) has not been delineated in detail. In this context, animal models of EAO have been used to provide insights into the mechanisms of initiation, progression and timing of autoimmune reactions of the testis, and its genetic control (Wheeler et al., 2011). A recent study focused on the first 10 weeks post-vasectomy, using unilateral vasectomized inbred mice (Wheeler et al., 2011; Rival et al., 2013). Epithelial cell apoptosis and necrosis occurred in the cauda epididymis within 24 h, followed in 80% of these mice by sperm leakage and granuloma formation. Most epididymal granulomata in this mouse model were microscopic in size and as such may evade detection by palpation in vasectomized men. Nevertheless, an increased epididymal size after vasectomy is well known (Cho et al., 2011) and an epididymal head diameter >10.25 mm suggests obstruction (Pezzella et al., 2014). Nonetheless, timing of detection of the autoimmune response is relevant, as all the sequelae in vasectomized mice are preventable by surgical resection of the testis and epididymis on the ipsilateral (vasectomized) side within the first 3 weeks after surgery (Wheeler et al., 2011). The finding raises the question of whether a short immunosuppression regime around the time of vasectomy may reduce this early response and reduce the development of harmful late responses to vasectomy.

It was long assumed that the first contact of the immune system with neoantigens on meiotic and postmeiotic cells in the male occurs in the epididymis, as evidenced by the presence of intraluminal leucocytes next to spermatozoa and possibly extensions of DC reaching the lumen, at least in the caput epididymis (Da Silva et al., 2011). Hence, mechanisms must be in place to prevent autoimmunity. A shift in our understanding of the mechanism of local testicular immune privilege and systemic tolerance to meiotic and postmeiotic germ cell antigens was recently derived by two studies (Wheeler et al., 2011; Tung et al., 2017). Obviously, a differentiation between
antigens that are sequestered or non-sequestered from the immune system by the BTB exist. The physical barrier of the BTB can be bypassed by antigens from meiotic or postmeiotic germ cells, such as lactate dehydrogenase 3 (LDH3), via phagocytosis of residual bodies, subsequent cargo transfer to the basis of Sertoli cells and egress to the interstitial space, where they get in contact with local immune cells and can be further transported as processed peptides to draining lymph nodes (non-sequestered antigens). In contrast, other antigens of meiotic and postmeiotic germ cells, such as zonadhesin (Zan), do not egress the seminiferous tubules (Tung et al., 2017). To support this conclusion, it was found that mice with Treg cell depletion alone spontaneously produced antibodies against LDH3 but not against Zan. On the other hand, following vasectomy, where all sperm antigens are released from the injured epididymal ducts, the mice produced antibodies to Zan but not to LDH3 (Wheeler et al., 2011). This indicates, that the immune protection for meiotic and postmeiotic germ cell antigens hinges on the following mechanism: systemic tolerance continuously maintained by egressed (non-sequestered) antigens finally reaching peripheral lymphoid organs to stimulate antigen-specific tolerance involving Treg cells, and local mechanisms including the BTB that protect sequestered antigens such as Zan and control damage to germ cells in orchitis (Wheeler et al., 2011; Tung et al., 2017).

Treg cells strongly influence the autoimmune responses to meiotic and postmeiotic germ cell antigens in vasectomized mice. In this regard, unilateral vasectomy, which strongly exposes spermatozoal neoantigens to the local immune system, rendered mice unresponsive to later induction of EAO using standard injection of testicular homogenates, as it promotes tolerance by induction of testis antigen specific Treg cells within 7 days (Rival et al., 2013). It is therefore not surprising that Treg cell depletion concomitant to unilateral vasectomy resulted in the development of autoimmune orchitis. Of note, the autoantibodies elicited in this model were directed only to a restricted number of meiotic and postmeiotic germ cell neoantigens, with Zan located in the sperm acrosome as a prominent target (Wheeler et al., 2011). Obviously, Treg cell responses only manifest when sperm granuloma are formed in vasectomy. Then the sequestered sperm antigens egress the damaged epididymal epithelium and can stimulate a Treg cell response that causes the initial tolerance state.

The results also raise a much broader clinical question, i.e. whether the state of persistent tolerance to germ cell neoantigens in vasectomized mice can be extrapolated to the response to the molecules known as cancer/testis antigens that are expressed as human cancer antigens (Simpson et al., 2005). In this regard, it is relevant to examine if the post-vasectomy tolerogenic response could interfere with: immune surveillance against nascent tumour development in some cancers in vasectomized men; the strength of tumour immunity that may impact clinical outcome; and/or the immunogenicity of male germ cell neoantigens as a tumour vaccine. The need for further study is underlined by the observed higher rate of tumour development in long-term vasectomized mice (Anderson et al., 1983). Early reports on an increased tumour incidence among vasectomized men (Metlin et al., 1990; Rosenberg et al., 1990; Eisenberg et al., 2015), however, have not been confirmed, in contrast to an increased overall risk of cancer, including testicular tumours, in infertile patients (Eisenberg et al., 2015). Interestingly, in a database analysis comparing 23,988 males with previous vasectomy to a reference cohort of 146,040 males, the incidence of immune-related diseases was not significantly different between both groups after a mean follow-up of 13 years (Goldacre et al., 2007). In addition, for cancer vaccine development, the sequestered sperm antigens should be more efficacious than the non-sequestered, and tolerogenic sperm antigens.

Despite the early tolerance response, 70–90% of the vasectomized mice have low titres of antisperm antibodies 6–7 months later, consistent with clinical observations (Rival et al., 2013).

It is critical to emphasize that the most serious observable sequela of vasectomy in mice, by far, is the severe interstitial fibrosis in the epididymis, and the severe degree of hypospermatogenesis at 12 months post-vasectomy (Wheeler et al., 2011; Rival et al., 2013). In contrast to the acute bacterial epididymitis model mentioned above (Michel et al., 2016), these changes are not an immunological sequel. They are caused by the vasoligation per se as they are confined to the ipsilateral epididymis and testis of the unilaterally vasectomized mice (Rival et al., 2013). The severity of fibrosis suggests that the change is irreversible in mice. A critical investigation on these changes in vasectomized men with epididymal complaints or desire for re-fertilization surgery by vasovasostomy may be informative.

This advancement of understanding at the testicular level points to much needed research on the possible involvement of the epididymis, where solid evidence is mostly lacking.

**Conclusions and future perspectives**

In summary, infection and inflammation both represent relevant entities in male factor infertility (Fig. 5). In this regard, bacterial epididymitis and epididymo-orchitis represent the most frequent aetiology of diseases related to the epididymis and are reasonably well reflected by the corresponding animal rodent models in terms of possible application of pathovars relevant for human epididymitis, course of disease, and histopathology observed. Generally, beside many striking parallels caution in extrapolating rodent data to the human are derived from obvious differences in innate and adaptive immune responses between human and rodents, particularly those directed against pathogenic microorganisms. In human blood defence, it seems that strategies against pathogens dominate, while in mouse tolerance against pathogens is more pronounced (Zschaler et al., 2014). In the human neutrophils are particularly abundant in the blood (50–70%), whereas in the mouse there is a preponderance of lymphocytes (75–90%). Further differences have been reported for TLRs, cytokines and their receptors as well as T cell subsets, to name only a few examples (Zschaler et al., 2014). Such differences need to be considered when using rodents as surrogates for human. New humanized mouse models may overcome some of these obstacles. As an example, the human lymphocyte compartment has at least been partially reconstituted in mice by transferring human hematopoietic cells. Moreover, organoids from human foetal liver (from which leucocyte progenitors arise) or thymus have been transplanted to mice enabling the study of human pathogen infection and immune control (Ramer et al., 2011). Although not applied yet in testicular or epididymal research, humanized mouse models can serve as tools to examine immune control and combat of infection together with new clinical treatment regimens, such as biological, as possible means to preserve fertility in men.
In sterile inflammatory damage of the testis, which is mostly focal and patchy in nature in men, EAO elicited in mouse and rat is the most prominent model. Although similar in the early phase of EAO (30–50 days post induction), its progressively deteriorating nature differs after longer observation periods (>80 days) substantially from most biopsy observations. Currently, inflammatory lesions in the testis of asymptomatic infertile men are only detected with biopsy assessment (Table III). Evidence suggests that more frequently ‘silent’, low-grade human autoimmune orchitis—so far ill-defined as ‘idiopathic’ male infertility—would be found if better non-invasive diagnostic methods of the disease were available. The putative use of detection of ER-60 as an autoantigen has been derived from animal experiments and was confirmed by a pilot study using a small cohort of well-characterized patients (Fijak et al., 2005, 2014). However, final confirmation of use as a non-invasive diagnostic, sparing biopsies, is still pending. Together with a lack of information in the literature, biopsy assessment—at least currently—thus, remains the method of choice for the detection of inflammation-associated damage in the human testis.

Although clinical and basic science research has provided a great amount of information many important aspects still need to be elucidated. The many questions raised in this review will hopefully guide future combined clinical and basic science research to better address the diagnosis and treatment of immunological and infection-related infertility in men.
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