Massive runaway stars in the Large Magellanic Cloud

V. V. Gvaramadze\textsuperscript{1,2,3}, P. Kroupa\textsuperscript{1}, and J. Pfamm-Altenburg\textsuperscript{1}

\textsuperscript{1}Argelander-Institut für Astronomie, Universität Bonn, Auf dem Hügel 73, 53121 Bonn, Germany
e-mail: [pavel;jpflamn]@astro.uni-bonn.de
\textsuperscript{2}Sternberg Astronomical Institute, Moscow State University, Universitetskij Pr. 13, Moscow 119992, Russia
e-mail: vgvaram@mx.iki.rssi.ru
\textsuperscript{3}Isaac Newton Institute of Chile, Moscow Branch, Universitetskij Pr. 13, Moscow 119992, Russia

Received 27 April 2010/ Accepted 26 May 2010

ABSTRACT

The origin of massive field stars in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) has long been an enigma. The recent measurements of large offsets (\(\sim 100\ \text{km s}^{-1}\)) between the heliocentric radial velocities of some very massive (O2-type) field stars and the systemic LMC velocity provides a possible explanation of this enigma and suggests that the field stars are runaway stars ejected from their birthplaces at the very beginning of their parent cluster’s dynamical evolution. A straightforward way to prove this explanation is to measure the proper motions of the field stars and to show that they are moving away from one of the nearby star clusters or OB associations. This approach is, however, complicated by the long distance to the LMC, which makes accurate proper motion measurements difficult. We used an alternative approach for solving the problem (first applied for Galactic field stars), based on the search for bow shocks produced by runaway stars. The geometry of detected bow shocks would allow us to infer the direction of stellar motion, thereby determining their possible parent clusters. In this paper we present the results of a search for bow shocks around six massive field stars that have been proposed as candidate runaway stars. Using archival \textit{Spitzer Space Telescope} data, we found a bow shock associated with one of our programme stars, the O2 V((f*)) star BI 237, which is the first-ever detection of bow shocks in the LMC. Orientation of the bow shock suggests that BI 237 was ejected from the OB association LH 82 (located at \(\sim 120\ \text{pc}\) in projection from the star). A by-product of our search is the detection of bow shocks generated by four OB stars in the field of the LMC and an arc-like structure attached to the candidate luminous blue variable R81 (HD 269128). The geometry of two of these bow shocks is consistent with the possibility that their associated stars were ejected from the 30 Doradus star-forming complex. We discuss implications of our findings for the problem of the origin of runaway stars and the early dynamical evolution of star clusters.

Key words.
stars: kinematics and dynamics – stars: individual: BI 237 – open clusters and associations: individual: LH 82 – open clusters and associations: individual: R136 (HD 38268) – stars: individual: HD 269128

1. Introduction

Although the majority of massive stars are situated in their parent clusters and OB associations, a significant population of young massive stars exists in the field, some of which are separated by hundreds of parsecs from known clusters and OB associations (Garmany et al. 1982; Garmany 1990; Massey & Conti 1983; Massey et al. 1995). Some Galactic field stars have high measured peculiar (either radial or transverse) velocities and are therefore most likely runaway stars ejected from a cluster (Blaauw 1961; Gies & Bolton 1986; Stone 1991; Zinnecker 2003). A straightforward way to prove the runaway nature of the field OB stars is to use the available kinematic data on these stars to back-trace their orbits to parent clusters (e.g. Hoogerwerf et al. 2001). Schilbach & Röser (2008) make extensive use of this approach to show that most Galactic field OB stars are formed in clusters. Alternatively, the runaway nature of the field OB stars can be proved via detection of their bow shocks – the natural attributes of supernovae moving objects (e.g. Baranov et al. 1971; Van Buren & McCray 1988). The geometry of detected bow shocks would allow one to infer the direction of stellar motion (Van Buren et al. 1995), thereby determining the possible parent clusters even for those field OB stars whose proper motions are still not available or measured with a low significance (Gvaramadze & Bomans 2008b; Gvaramadze et al. 2010b). It is therefore tempting to search for bow shocks around field OB stars in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) where accurate proper motion measurements are difficult, while bow shocks can still be resolved with modern infrared telescopes.

In this paper we present the results of a search for bow shocks in the LMC using archival \textit{Spitzer Space Telescope} data. Our prime goal was to detect bow shocks produced by isolated, very massive stars that have previously been qualified as runaways on the basis of their large peculiar radial velocities (Sect. 2). We discovered a bow shock associated with one of these stars, the O2 V((f*)) star BI 237. A by-product of our search is detection of bow shocks produced by several other isolated OB stars, and two of these stars are located around the 30 Doradus nebula (Sect. 3). Implications of our findings for the problem of the origin of runaway stars and the early dynamical evolution of star clusters are discussed in Sect. 4. We use a distance of 50 kpc for the LMC (Gibson 2000) so that 1\(') corresponds to \(\sim 14\ \text{pc}\).

2. Very massive field stars as runaways

The study of the massive star population in the LMC by Massey et al. (1995) has shown that a large number of young very massive (O2-type) stars is located at \(\sim 100–200\ \text{pc}\) in projection from star clusters and OB associations. This finding was interpreted as indicating that the field can produce stars as massive as those
3. Search for bow shocks in the LMC

To search for bow shocks, we selected four isolated massive stars with high peculiar radial velocities (Massey et al. 2005; Evans et al. 2006, 2010) and added two isolated O2-type stars, BI 253 (O2 VI(f*)) and Sk −68° 137 (O2 IIIIf*), which Walborn et al. (2002) suggests are runaways owing to their large separation from their plausible birthplace in the central cluster, R136 (HD 38268), of the 30 Doradus nebula. The details of these stars (listed in order of their RA) are summarized in Table 1. For the first four stars, we give their peculiar radial velocities, while the transverse velocities are listed for the remaining two, inferred under the assumption that both stars were ejected ~2 Myr ago from R136 (Walborn et al. 2002).

### Table 1. Summary of candidate runaway stars in the LMC.

| Star   | Spectral type | \(v\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------|---------------|-------------------------|
| N11-026| O2.5 IIIIf*   | \(\sim 350\)           |
| Sk−67°22| O2 III*      | \(\sim 150\)          |
| BI 237 | O2 V(I*)     | \(\sim 120\)           |
| 30 Dor 016 | O2 IIIIf*  | \(\sim 85\)           |
| BI 253 | O2 V(I*)     | \(\sim 55\)            |
| Sk−68°137 | O2 IIIIf* | \(\sim 100\)          |

Notes. (a) Evans et al. (2006). (b) Massey et al. (2005). (c) Evans et al. (2010). (d) Walborn et al. (2002).

From our experience in the search for bow shocks produced by OB stars ejected from Galactic star clusters (Gvaramadze & Bomans 2008a,b; Gvaramadze et al. 2010b) using the archival data from the Midcourse Space Experiment (MSX) satellite (Price et al. 2001) and the Spitzer Space Telescope (Werner et al. 2004), we know that the bow shocks are visible mostly in 21.3 \(\mu\)m (MSX band E) images and 24 \(\mu\)m images obtained with the Multiband Imaging Photometer for Spitzer (MIPS; Rieke et al. 2004). The resolution of Spitzer 24 \(\mu\)m images (\(\sim 6\)″) is three times better than those of the MSX, so that in the search for bow shocks in the LMC we utilized the MIPS data alone.

The typical (transverse) size of bow shocks generated by Galactic OB stars (i.e. the extent of a bow shock in the direction perpendicular to the vector of the stellar motion) is several parsecs; e.g. the size of the bow shocks associated with the above-mentioned massive runaway stars, BD+43° 3654 and \(\lambda\) Cep, is \(\sim 5.0\) and 2.3 pc, respectively. If placed at the distance of the LMC, these bow shocks will have an angular size of \(\sim 10″–20″\), which is comparable to or several times greater than the angular resolution of the MIPS 24 \(\mu\)m images. Thus, the bow shocks in the LMC can be resolved with the Spitzer imaging data!

Visual inspection of MIPS 24 \(\mu\)m images of fields containing our programme stars revealed a bow shock associated with only one of them, namely BI 237. The non-detection of bow shocks around the remaining five programme stars is consistent with the observational fact that only a small fraction (\(\leq 20\) per cent) of runaway OB stars produce (observable) bow shocks (Gvaramadze & Bomans 2008b and references therein).

Figure 1 gives an overview of the region northwest of BI 237 with two associations, LH 88 and LH 82, whose centres are separated in projection by \(\sim 65\) and 120 pc from the star. The approximate boundaries of the associations are indicated by dashed circles; Bica et al. 1999.) The orientation of the bow shock generated by BI 237 (see Fig. 2) suggests that the more likely parent association of the star is LH 82. LH 82 contains another very massive star, Sk−67° 211 (O2 IIIIf*; Walborn et al. 2004). Assuming that BI 237 was indeed ejected from LH 82 and given the young (~2 Myr) age of the star, one finds that its transverse velocity should be \(\sim 60\) km s\(^{-1}\) if the star escaped from the core of LH 82 soon after the birth or higher if the ejection event occurred later on, so that the total peculiar velocity of the star is \(\sim 130\) km s\(^{-1}\). The angular size of the bow shock of \(\sim 20″\) corresponds to the linear size of \(\sim 4.8\) pc, i.e., a figure typical of massive runaway stars (see above). Using these estimates, one can constrain the number density of the ambient interstellar medium, \(n_{\text{ISM}}\). For the characteristic (transverse) size of a (parabolic) bow

---

1 The images, obtained in the framework of the Spitzer Survey of the Large Magellanic Cloud (Meixner et al. 2006), were retrieved from the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive (http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu).
The position of the O2V((f*)) star BI 237 is marked by a circle. At the distance of the LMC, 1' corresponds to \( \approx 14 \) pc.

**Fig. 1.** MIPS 24\,$\mu$m image of the associations LH 82 and LH 88 (indicated by dashed circles). The position of the O2V((f*)) star BI 237 and its bow shock are marked by a black solid circle, while the position of the O2 III(f*) star Sk − 67/211 in LH 82 is indicated by a white circle.

The details of these stars are given in Table 2. The spectral types associated with four other OB stars in the field of the LMC (Fig. 3).

**Fig. 2.** Left: MIPS 24\,$\mu$m image of the bow shock associated with the O2V((f*)) star BI 237. The position of BI 237 is marked by a circle. Right: 2MASS J band image of the same field.

| Star      | Spectral type | Association          |
|-----------|---------------|----------------------|
| Sk − 66/16 | O9.7 Iab     | KMHK 268             |
| Sk − 68/86 | B1.5         | [SL63] 495           |
| Sk − 99/206| B2.5         | 30 Doradus           |
| Sk − 69/288| B0.5         | 30 Doradus?          |

**Fig. 3.** MIPS 24\,$\mu$m images of bow shocks associated with a) Sk − 69/86, b) Sk − 69/288, c) Sk − 69/288, e) Sk − 66/16, and d) Sk − 68/86. The orientation and the scale of the images are the same.

The bow shock produced by Sk − 69/288 is situated (at least in projection) within the association LH 113. The geometry of the bow shock suggests that Sk − 69/288 is moving away from the 30 Doradus nebula, which is located at \( \approx 21' \) (\( \approx 300 \) pc) to the west of the star (Fig. 4). Although we have no arguments against the association between Sk − 69/288 and LH 113, one cannot exclude the possibility that the actual birthplace of the star is the 30 Doradus nebula. In this connection, it is worth noting that the O5 V star ALS 19631 (Hanson 2003) was suggested as a member of the Cyg OB2 association on the basis of its location within the confines of the association (Comerón et al. 2002).

The bow shock produced by Sk − 69/288 is located at \( \approx 21' \) (\( \approx 300 \) pc) and \( \approx 50 \) pc) and \( \approx 2.5' \) (\( \approx 35 \) pc) from the clusters KMHK 268 (Fig. 5) and [SL63] 495 (Fig. 6). Sk − 66/16 is located in the N11 star-forming region, not far from our programme star N11-026 (see Fig. 5). We note the detection of a bow shock-like structure associated with one of the most massive stars in N11, the O2 III(f*): star N11-031 (Evans et al. 2006). This structure is facing towards the centre of the parent association LH10 (Fig. 7a). Interestingly, the radial velocity of N11-031 is \( \approx 30 \) km s\(^{-1}\) greater than the median velocity of stars in N11 (Evans et al. 2006), which could be considered as indicating that this star is a runaway as well.

For the sake of completeness, we note also the detection of an arc-like nebula (Fig. 7b) attached to the candidate luminous blue variable R81 (HD 269128; Wolf et al. 1981; van Genderen 2001; cf. Gvaramadze et al. 2010a) and the 24\,$\mu$m counterpart to the circumstellar nebula around the O9f star Sk − 69/279 (Weis et al. 1997).
Fig. 4. MIPS 24 μm image of the 30 Doradus nebula and its surroundings. The positions of Sk −69° 206 and Sk −69° 288 and their bow shocks are marked by solid circles. The positions of the three programme stars, Sk −68° 137, BI 253, and 30 Dor 016, are marked by crosses. The diamond point shows the position of R136. The dashed ellipsoid indicates the approximate boundary of the association LH 113.

Fig. 5. MIPS 24 μm image of the N11 star-forming region with the programme star N11-026 marked by a large cross. The small cross indicates the position of the ON2 III*: star N11-031. The solid circle shows the position of Sk −66° 16 and its bow shock. The positions of the association LH 10 and the cluster KMHK 268 are indicated by a dashed ellipse and a dashed circle, respectively.

4. Discussion and conclusion

The discovery of a bow shock produced by BI 237 lends strong support to the idea that this and other isolated massive stars in the field of the LMC are runaway stars (Walborn et al. 2002; Massey et al. 2005; Evans et al. 2006, 2010). The young ages (~2 Myr) of BI 237 and other O2-type field stars decidedly argue that their peculiar velocities cannot be explained by supernova explosions in binary systems (Blauw 1961); the massive companion (primary) stars would simply have no time to end their lives in supernovae. Moreover, the high (measured or inferred) peculiar velocities of these stars cannot be accounted for within the framework of the binary-supernova scenario since it requires that the stellar supernova remnant (a 5–10 M_☉ black hole) receive an unrealistically high (~200–300 km s⁻¹) kick.

Fig. 6. MIPS 24 μm image of the bow shock associated with Sk −68° 86 (marked by a solid circle). The position of the cluster [SL63] 495 is indicated by a dashed circle.

Fig. 7. MIPS 24 μm images of a) a bow shock-like structure associated with N11-031 and b) an arc-like nebula attached to the candidate luminous blue variable R81 (HD 269128). The positions of both stars are indicated by circles. The orientation and the scale of the images are the same.
velocity at birth (Gvaramadze & Bomans 2008a; cf. Gvaramadze 2009). The only viable alternative is that the massive stars were ejected in the field via dynamical three- or four-body encounters (Poveda et al. 1967; Leonard & Duncan 1990; Kroupa 1998; Pflamm-Altenburg & Kroupa 2006; Gvaramadze, Gualandris & Portegies Zwart 2008, 2009). Naturally, less massive (late B-type) stars are also ejected from their birth clusters by dynamical interactions (e.g. Kroupa 1998), but they would be difficult to observe in the LMC.

The large separation of some of the O2-type field stars from their plausible birthplaces implies that these stars were ejected soon after birth (which also argues against the binary-supernova scenario). This implication has an important consequence for understanding the early dynamical evolution of star clusters since it suggests that mass segregation in young clusters (the necessary condition for effective production of runaway OB stars) should be primordial rather than caused by the Spitzer instability. For example, R136 was found to already be mass-segregated at its age of about 2 Myr or younger (Campbell et al. 1992; Hunter et al. 1995; Brandl et al. 1996; de Grijs et al. 2002). But the Spitzer instability could be a very fast (<0.5 Myr) process if the birth cluster is very dense (e.g. Kroupa 2008). High-precision proper motion measurements for the massive field stars are therefore required to determine the timing of their ejections, thereby distinguishing between the primordial and the dynamical origins of mass segregation in young clusters (Gvaramadze & Bomans 2008b). Future proper motion measurements with the space astrometry mission Gaia will allow us to solve this problem. At the same time, N-body experiments are required to quantify the expected differences between the two types of mass segregation in terms of the ejection of massive stars.

To conclude, the search for bow shocks in star-forming regions and subsequent identification of their associated stars serve as a useful tool for detecting runaway OB stars (e.g. Gvaramadze & Bomans 2008b; Gvaramadze et al. 2010b), hence for constraining the dynamical evolution of their parent clusters. Further search for bow shocks around young massive clusters and OB associations in the LMC (when necessary, accompanied by follow-up spectroscopy of their associated stars) is therefore warranted.
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