Aspects of Juvenile and Adolescent Environment Predict Aggression and Fear in 12-Month-Old Guide Dogs
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Maturational changes in behavior, and the possible influence of the puppy-raising environment on behavioral development, were investigated in a total sample of 978 prospective guide dogs belonging to four different breeds/crosses. All dogs belonged to the same guide dog organization, and had been exposed to similar early environmental influences prior to being assigned to puppy-raising households at 7–8 weeks of age. Behavioral data were collected from puppy raisers when the dogs were 6 and 12 months old using the C-BARQ®, a standardized, validated, and widely used survey instrument that measures the frequency and/or severity of most common behavior problems in dogs. Information about the puppy-raising environment was obtained from puppy raisers using a standardized questionnaire shortly before the dogs were returned to the guide dog organization for training. Data were analyzed using both univariate and multivariate statistics (binary logistic generalized estimating equations modeling and generalized linear modeling). The findings demonstrated specific maturational changes in behavior between 6 and 12 months of age. In particular, German Shepherd dogs displayed an increase in stranger-directed aggression compared with the other breeds/crosses between 6 and 12 months of age. Several aspects of the puppy-raising environment were associated with puppies’ C-BARQ scores at 12 months of age. In particular, growing up in households with more experienced puppy raisers, and in the company of at least one other dog, were both associated with positive effects on a number of puppy behaviors. By contrast, puppies that had been frightened by a person or threatened by another unfamiliar dog showed significantly worse scores for fear of strangers and dogs, respectively. Being frightened by a person, being reared by less experienced puppy raisers, and/or in households without other pets were associated with less successful training outcomes. The relevance of these findings to current guide dog breeding and husbandry practices is discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

According to Scott and Fuller (1), the juvenile period in dogs runs from ~12 weeks (the postulated end of the socialization period) until 6 months of age or later, corresponding to the onset of sexual maturation (i.e., puberty). Extensive studies in rodents and both human and non-human primates have demonstrated that changes in circulating gonadal hormones during the adolescent period have dramatic effects on brain development and behavior in mammals (2, 3). Despite the likelihood of
similar developmental influences in adolescent dogs (between ~6 months and 1–2 years of age depending on the individual and breed), this period is probably the most poorly studied in terms of its effects on adult behavior (4). Anecdotal evidence certainly suggests that experiences during the juvenile and adolescent periods appear exert long-term effects on behavior in dogs (5–8), and one recent study of military working dogs found a positive association between dogs’ overall scores for working ability and the amount of time they were left at home alone during this period (9).

In rodents, exposure to enriched environments around puberty on each dog from puppy raisers when the dogs are ~6 and 12 months old using the C-BARQ®, a standardized, validated, and widely used survey instrument. The C-BARQ® allows dog owners and handlers to describe the frequency and/or severity of most common behavior problems in dogs (11, 12). The specific behavioral variables that were examined included four different types of aggression and five different types of fear/anxiety (Table 1).

Information on the dogs’ household characteristics and environmental exposures, was obtained from the “Puppy-Raiser Report” questionnaire (see Supplementary Materials), which all puppy raisers are asked to complete routinely prior to returning their dogs to the parent organization for training at ~14 months of age. This survey provides relatively detailed information about the characteristics of the household, the experience level of the puppy raisers, and the puppy’s level of exposure to a wide variety of potential environmental stressors and stimuli.

In addition, information on each dog’s eventual disposition – i.e., whether it became a successful working dog, a breeding dog, a dog that was released for health reasons, or one that was released for behavioral reasons – was also provided by the participating guide dog organization.

As the owner of both the dogs and the data, the participating guide dog organization provided written consent for the use of this information in the current study.

### TABLE 1 | C-BARQ aggression and fear/anxiety variable descriptions (numbers of questionnaire items in parentheses).

| C-BARQ trait       | C-BARQ variable description (number of questionnaire items)                                                                 |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Stranger-directed | Severity of threatening or aggressive responses to strangers approaching or invading the dog’s or owner’s personal space, territory, or home range (10) |
| Dog-directed       | Severity of threatening or aggressive responses when approached directly by unfamiliar dogs (4)                           |
| Owner-directed     | Severity of threatening or aggressive responses to the owner or other members of the household when challenged, manhandled, stared at, stepped over, or when approached while in possession of food or objects (8) |
| Dog rivalry        | Severity of aggressive or threatening responses to other familiar dogs in the same household (4)                           |
| Stranger-directed  | Severity of fearful or wary responses when approached directly by strange or unfamiliar people (4)                        |
| Dog-directed fear  | Severity of fearful or wary responses when approached directly by unfamiliar dogs (4)                                      |
| Non-social fear    | Severity of fearful or wary responses to sudden or loud noises, traffic, and unfamiliar objects, and situations (6)        |
| Separation-related | Frequency of vocalizing and/or destructive behavior when separated from the owner, including autonomic signs of anxiety – restlessness, loss of appetite, trembling, and excessive salvation (8) |
| Touch sensitivity  | Severity of fearful or wary responses to potentially painful or uncomfortable procedures, including bathing, grooming, nail-clipping, and veterinary examinations (4) |

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Sample

For the study of maturation changes in behavior, a sample 741 young guide dogs (376 males and 365 females) were used, which included a mix of four breed types (N = 226 GSDs, 145 golden retrievers, 210 Labrador retrievers, and 160 golden × Labrador crosses). For the analysis of environmental influences on behavioral development, the sample of dogs comprised 472 males and 506 females (N = 978 total) and included the same mix of breeds (N = 276 GSDs, 207 golden retrievers, 296 Labrador retrievers, and 219 golden × Labrador crosses). All of the dogs belonged to a single, US-based guide dog organization.

#### Data Collection

Behavioral data were provided by the participating guide dog organization that routinely collects behavioral information on each dog from puppy raisers when the dogs are ~6 and 12 months old using the C-BARQ®, a standardized, validated, and widely used survey instrument. The C-BARQ® allows dog owners and handlers to describe the frequency and/or severity of most common behavior problems in dogs (11, 12). The specific behavioral variables that were examined included four different types of aggression and five different types of fear/anxiety (Table 1).
**Statistical Analyses**

For the determination of maturational changes in aggressive and fearful behavior, both 6- and 12-month C-BARQ scores were used, and the effects were analyzed with binary logistic generalized estimating equations modeling, with dichotomized C-BARQ scores as dependent variables. Because scores for all aggression and fear/anxiety variables were strongly skewed, C-BARQ scores were dichotomized such that a score of 0 indicated the absence of the behavior and all scores above 0 were recoded as indicating the presence of the behavior. Predictor variables included age at evaluation as a within-subjects variable, and breed and sex as between-subjects variables. Interactions between age at evaluation with either breed or sex were examined and retained in the final models, if significant.

To investigate the effects of environmental factors on behavior, preliminary analyses were conducted using non-parametric tests (Mann–Whitney U, Kruskal–Wallis, and Chi-square tests) to identify the main household and experiential factors associated with behavioral differences at 12 months of age and later success in training (“outcomes”) (see Supplementary Tables). Generalized linear models (with logit link function) were then used to identify the key environmental variables associated with training outcomes and the presence of aggression and fear/anxiety while accounting for the effects of breed differences in behavior. A backwards elimination procedure was utilized, removing predictor variables with p-values below 0.05. Cases with missing values (N ≤ 50) for any predictors were excluded from the analysis on a model by model basis. As before, aggression and fear/anxiety C-BARQ subscales were dichotomized, with 0 indicative of the absence of the behavior and all scores greater than 0 indicative of the presence of the behavior.

**RESULTS**

**Maturational Changes**

Comparisons of young guide dogs’ C-BARQ scores at 6 and 12 months of age revealed interesting developmental changes, which sometimes varied by breed or sex. GSDs, a breed that has been selected historically for guarding or “protective” behavior, showed increases in “stranger-directed aggression” between 6 and 12, while the other three breeds showed only minimal increases or none at all (Table 2; Figure 1). During the same time frame, decreases were observed in “owner-directed aggression,” “separation-related problems,” and “non-social fear,” though the latter was only detectable among females (Table 2).

**Environmental Influences on Behavior**

Puppy-raisers’ prior experience with raising guide dogs was associated with a number of behavioral differences in guide dogs at 12 months of age, including “owner-directed aggression,” “stranger-directed aggression,” “dog-directed aggression,” “dog-directed fear,” “non-social fear,” and “touch sensitivity” (Tables 3 and 4; Figures 2 and 3). Likewise, there was also a tendency for an association between the number of previous guide dog puppies raised by the puppy raiser and the likelihood that a puppy would successfully complete training (Table 5).
Another contributor to behavioral differences observed among dogs at 12 months of age was whether a puppy was raised in a home with other dogs. Growing up in homes with other dogs was associated with lower levels of aggression directed toward household members (Table 3; Figure 4), an effect specific to other dogs in the home and not the presence of pets other than dogs. Other dogs in the household was also associated with lower on-social fear, but these effects were not significant after corrections for multiple comparisons (Figure 4).

Particular frightening or traumatic events during the puppy-raising period were associated with differences in C-BARQ scores for some behaviors. Specifically, puppies that were reported as having been attacked or threatened by another (unfamiliar) dog displayed significantly higher “dog-directed fear” and “stranger-directed aggression” at 12 months of age compared to those that had not had that experience (Tables 4 and 5; Figure 5). Likewise, puppies that were reported as having been frightened by a familiar or unfamiliar person showed significantly higher

TABLE 2 | Continued

| C-BARQ traits and predictors* | Wald Chi-square | df | p-value | OR | 95% CI |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Non-social fear | | | | | |
| Age at evaluation | 2.52 | 1 | 0.112 | – | – |
| Age = 12 months | 0.30 | 1 | 0.585 | 1.11 | 0.77, 1.60 |
| Sex | 0.12 | 1 | 0.728 | – | – |
| Sex = Female | 2.54 | 1 | 0.111 | 1.45 | 0.92, 2.30 |
| Breed | 10.74 | 3 | 0.013 | – | – |
| German Shepherd | 2.22 | 1 | 0.136 | 1.35 | 0.91, 2.00 |
| Golden retriever | 10.50 | 1 | 0.001 | 2.37 | 1.41, 3.98 |
| Lab x Golden Cross | 2.35 | 1 | 0.125 | 1.41 | 0.91, 2.19 |
| Sex (Females) x Age (12 months) | 5.49 | 1 | 0.019 | 0.53 | 0.31, 0.90 |

*Results in italics are model effects, non-italicized results are parameter estimates. Reference groups were as follows: age = 6 months, sex = male, and breed = Labrador retriever.

Statistically significant with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons (0.05/8 tests = 0.008).

FIGURE 1 | Maturational changes in average scores (± SE) for the C-BARQ factor “stranger-directed aggression” between 6 and 12 months in the four guide dog breeds/breed types.

![Graph showing changes in average scores (± SE) for the C-BARQ factor “stranger-directed aggression” between 6 and 12 months in the four guide dog breeds/breed types.]

TABLE 3 | Results of generalized linear modeling with logit link function to examine environmental factors associated with C-BARQ aggression factors.

| C-BARQ trait and predictors | p-value | Odds ratio | Confidence interval | Est. marginal means |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Owner-directed aggression* | | | | |
| Number of puppies raised | | | | |
| None | – | – | – | 0.41 |
| 1 or 2 | 0.0002 | 0.47 | 0.32, 0.70 | 0.25 |
| 3 or 4 | 0.078 | 0.61 | 0.35, 1.05 | 0.30 |
| 5 or more | <0.0001 | 0.29 | 0.16, 0.44 | 0.16 |
| Other pets | <0.0001 | – | – | 0.34 |
| Other dogs | <0.0001 | 0.35 | 0.23, 0.54 | 0.015 |
| Other pets but no dogs | 0.80 | 1.06 | 0.67, 1.69 | 0.35 |
| Stranger-directed aggression | | | | |
| Breed | <0.0001 | | | |
| German Shepherd | | – | – | 0.62 |
| Golden retriever | <0.0001 | 0.23 | 0.15, 0.35 | 0.27 |
| Lab x Golden Cross | <0.0001 | 0.32 | 0.21, 0.48 | 0.34 |
| Labrador retriever | <0.0001 | 0.20 | 0.13, 0.30 | 0.25 |
| Number of puppies raised | 0.007 | | | |
| None | – | – | – | 0.41 |
| 1 or 2 | 0.65 | 0.92 | 0.63, 1.33 | 0.39 |
| 3 or 4 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 0.60, 1.64 | 0.40 |
| 5 or more | <0.0001 | 0.51 | 0.34, 0.77 | 0.26 |
| Pup threatened by dog | 0.004 | | | |
| No | – | – | – | 0.31 |
| Yes | 0.004 | 1.61 | 1.16, 2.34 | 0.42 |
| Dog-directed aggression | | | | |
| Breed | <0.0001 | | | |
| German Shepherd | | – | – | 0.60 |
| Golden retriever | <0.0001 | 0.23 | 0.14, 0.36 | 0.26 |
| Lab x Golden Cross | 0.004 | 0.46 | 0.30, 0.70 | 0.41 |
| Labrador retriever | <0.0001 | 0.25 | 0.16, 0.37 | 0.27 |
| Number of puppies raised | 0.015 | | | |
| None | – | – | – | 0.46 |
| 1 or 2 | 0.008 | 0.60 | 0.41, 0.87 | 0.34 |
| 3 or 4 | 0.23 | 0.72 | 0.43, 1.22 | 0.59 |
| 5 or more | 0.007 | 0.55 | 0.36, 0.85 | 0.32 |
| Other dogs in the household | 0.02 | | | |
| No | – | – | – | 0.42 |
| Yes | 0.02 | 0.68 | 0.49, 0.95 | 0.33 |
| Teenagers in the household | 0.03 | | | |
| No | – | – | – | 0.34 |
| Yes | 0.03 | 1.44 | 1.04, 2.00 | 0.42 |

*Breed effects were controlled for by inclusion in the model but did not reach significance.

*Statistically significant with Bonferroni correction (eight GLM tests with family-wise error rate of 0.05).
The presence of teenagers in the household was associated with greater tendencies for dogs to display “dog-directed aggression” and “touch sensitivity” (Tables 4 and 5), though these effects were no longer significant with correction for multiple comparisons.

**DISCUSSION**

This analysis of guide dog development has been able to partially isolate the effects of the post-socialization rearing environment from those arising from events and exposures occurring during the earlier prenatal, neonatal, and primary socialization periods. Although the conclusions that can be drawn from retrospective

---

**TABLE 4 | Results of generalized linear modeling with logit link function to examine environmental factors associated with C-BARQ fear factors.**

| C-BARQ trait and predictors | p-value | Odds ratio | Confidence interval | Est. marginal means |
|-----------------------------|---------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| **Stranger-directed fear**  |
| Puppy frightened by a person |
| No                          | <0.0001b | –          | –                   | 0.08                |
| Yes                         | <0.0001b | 4.60       | 2.45, 8.39          | 0.29                |
| **Dog-directed fear**       |
| Number of puppies raised    |
| None                        | 0.05     | –          | –                   | –                   |
| 1 or 2                      | 0.04     | 0.69       | 0.48, 0.99          | 0.45                |
| 3 or 4                      | 0.02     | 0.54       | 0.33, 0.89          | 0.39                |
| 5 or more                   | 0.07     | 0.68       | 0.47, 1.03          | 0.45                |
| Puppy threatened by dog     |
| No                          | 0.003b   | –          | –                   | 0.40                |
| Yes                         | 0.003b   | 1.59       | 1.17, 2.18          | 0.51                |
| **Non-social fear**         |
| Breed                       |
| German Shepherd             | 0.033    | –          | –                   | –                   |
| Golden retriever            | 0.43     | 1.22       | 0.75, 1.97          | 0.81                |
| Lab x Golden Cross          | 0.21     | 0.74       | 0.47, 1.18          | 0.72                |
| Labrador retriever          | 0.04     | 0.65       | 0.43, 0.99          | 0.70                |
| Number of puppies raised    |
| None                        | 0.037    | –          | –                   | –                   |
| 1 or 2                      | 0.03     | 0.64       | 0.43, 0.97          | 0.74                |
| 3 or 4                      | 0.06     | 0.60       | 0.35, 1.02          | 0.73                |
| 5 or more                   | 0.009    | 0.57       | 0.38, 0.87          | 0.72                |
| **Touch sensitivity**       |
| Breed                       |
| German Shepherd             | 0.002b   | –          | –                   | –                   |
| Golden retriever            | 0.001b   | 0.48       | 0.31, 0.73          | 0.51                |
| Lab x Golden Cross          | 0.35     | 0.81       | 0.52, 1.27          | 0.64                |
| Labrador retriever          | 0.003b   | 0.55       | 0.37, 0.82          | 0.55                |
| Number of puppies raised    |
| None                        | <0.0001b | –          | –                   | 0.73                |
| 1 or 2                      | 0.001b   | 0.53       | 0.37, 0.78          | 0.58                |
| 3 or 4                      | 0.005b   | 0.48       | 0.29, 0.80          | 0.56                |
| 5 or more                   | <0.0001b | 0.43       | 0.29, 0.84          | 0.52                |
| Teenagers in household      |
| No                          | 0.035    | –          | –                   | –                   |
| Yes                         | 0.04     | 1.39       | 1.02, 1.91          | 0.64                |

*bBreed effects were controlled for by inclusion in the model but did not reach significance.

*bStatistically significant with Bonferroni correction (eight GLM tests with family-wise error rate of 0.05).
reports are inevitably somewhat limited, the findings support the view that certain events and experiences occurring during the juvenile and adolescent periods are predictive of behavioral outcomes at 12 months of age, and that different breeds appear to respond differently to these experiences. Whether such associations are restricted to particular sensitive periods, or are equally likely to occur at any time throughout this stage of development, is unknown. However, the current analysis of maturational changes suggests that some dogs are predisposed to develop aggressive reactions to unfamiliar individuals between roughly 6 and 12 months of age, and that this predisposition is stronger in the German Shepherd breed than in the other three breed types investigated. This finding is of interest in light of anecdotal reports of a phase of heightened sensitivity to territorial stimuli in wolves at around 4–5 months age [see Ref. (6–8)], and also indicates that this onset of defensive behavior is not necessarily associated with a corresponding increase in fear of strangers.

This implies that the aggressive element of defensive/territorial behavior develops separately from the fearful component, and suggests that such fears may become established earlier in life. Since owner-directed aggression decreases in all breeds over the same period, the findings further suggest that the rise in stranger-directed aggression in German Shepherds is not due to an overall increase in aggressiveness, but rather a specific response to unfamiliar persons. These results also reinforce the view that it is the interaction between a dog’s genetic background and its developmental environment that ultimately determines the adult behavioral phenotype.

With respect to developmental effects of specific events and exposures during this period, the findings illustrate the
important role that the puppy-raiser’s puppy-rearing experience plays in determining behavioral outcomes, as well as the value of social exposure to other dogs in the household. Both of these variables are potentially modifiable either through education, in the case of experience, or through the deliberate recruitment of puppy raisers from among existing experienced dog owners, and such efforts or interventions would be likely to have a significant positive impact on the performance of future working dogs, especially for those breeds that are predisposed to developing problems.

The results also draw attention to the apparent sensitivity of dogs at this age to the effects of traumatic events or experiences, such as being frightened by a person, or being threatened or attacked by another dog. Such findings reinforce the view that puppies and young dogs are sensitive to aversive social encounters long after the ostensible end of the socialization period (i.e., 12 weeks), and that such encounters may have long-term negative consequences for behavior. Unfortunately, because of the correlational nature of these outcomes, it is not possible to determine whether these dogs became more fearful and/or aggressive as a direct consequence of their experiences or if they were more likely to be traumatized by an aversive encounter due to a pre-existing disposition toward fearfulness.

While some of the current results are unsurprising – for example, the reduced scores for the C-BARQ variables dog-directed fear and dog-directed aggression among puppies reared with other dogs – others are less easy to interpret. The reduction in “owner-directed aggression” in multi-dog households could perhaps be attributed to puppies developing enhanced social skills as a consequence of entering households at the bottom of established hierarchies. A study of pet dogs in Taiwan also found lower rates of owner-directed aggression among dogs living in multi-dog households (13), and an early study of guide dog puppies found that those reared in homes with other dogs were less distracted by other unfamiliar dogs when tested on walks at 6 and 12 months of age (14). By contrast, an Australian study of GSDs found that the presence of another dog in the household had a deleterious effect on dogs’ scores on tests of fearfulness, social attraction and “dominance” (15), while a recent study of guide dog puppies in the UK found a positive association between puppies’ ratings for energy level and distractibility and the number of dogs in the puppy-raising household (16).

With respect to puppy-raiser experience, these results are in agreement with those of previous studies that found that pet dogs belonging to first-time dog owners were more likely to display owner-directed aggression than those of more experienced owners (17, 18). One interpretation of such findings is that dog owners learn from experience how to prevent the development of some canine behavior problems, and that they get better at doing this with each successive dog owned. Similar effects may also account for the reduced energy and distractibility ratings of puppies reared by more experienced “puppy walkers” in a recent UK study of guide dogs (16). It is also possible that puppy-raising experience enhances owners’/handlers’ ability to “read” and react to canine emotions. Previous research has shown, for example, that increasing levels of experience with dogs is associated with improved human ability to recognize canine emotions, such as fear (19).

Most previous studies of canine behavioral development have tended to focus exclusively on the traditional 3- to 12-week socialization period, and this has perhaps discouraged investigators from exploring the possible consequences of later exposure to biologically relevant events and stimuli. Further investigations that emphasize the precise timing and quality of experiences within this developmental period would be likely to yield valuable information regarding appropriate husbandry practices for dogs of this age.
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