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Abstract

Photoacoustic tomography (PAT) is a non-invasive imaging modality that requires recovering the initial data of the wave equation from certain measurements of the solution outside the object. In the standard PAT measurement setup, the used data consist of time-dependent signals measured on an observation surface. In contrast, the measured data from the recently invented full-field detection technique...
provide the solution of the wave equation on a spatial domain at a single instant in
time. While reconstruction using classical PAT data has been extensively studied,
not much is known for the full field PAT problem. In this paper, we build math-
ematical foundations of the latter problem for variable sound speed and settle its
uniqueness and stability. Moreover, we introduce an exact inversion method using
time-reversal and study its convergence. Our results demonstrate the suitability
of both the full field approach and the proposed time-reversal technique for high
resolution photoacoustic imaging.
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1 Introduction

Consider the following initial value problem for wave equation for an inhomogeneous
isotropic medium

\[
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{tt} p(x,t) - c^2(x) \Delta p(x,t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \\
p(x,0) &= f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\
\partial_t p(x,0) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{aligned}
\]

(1.1)

Here \( c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \) denotes the sound speed and \( f \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) the initial data that is
supported inside a bounded domain \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) with Lipschitz boundary. We assume that
the sound speed is positive everywhere and constant on the complement \( \Omega^c := \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \)
of \( \Omega \). After rescaling we assume \( c|_{\Omega^c} = 1 \). We refer to the solution \( p: \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R} \)
of (1.1) as acoustic pressure field and \( f \) as the initial pressure.

Recall that \( f: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \) is an element of the Sobolev space \( H^1(\Omega) \) if it is Lebesgue
measurable and \( \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 := \int_\Omega |\nabla f(x)|^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega |f(x)|^2 \, dx \) is finite. Moreover, \( H^1_0(\Omega) \)
consists of all elements in \( H^1(\Omega) \) that are supported inside \( \Omega \). The space \( H^1_0(\Omega) \) is
equipped with the norm

\[
\|f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} := \sqrt{\int_\Omega |\nabla f(x)|^2 \, dx},
\]

which is equivalent to \( \| \cdot \|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \) when restricted to \( H^1_0(\Omega) \). We note that each \( f \in H^1_0(\Omega) \)
can be extended to a function of \( H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) using the value zero on \( \Omega^c \), which is tacitly
done in this paper.
Full field photoacoustic tomography

The aim of photoacoustic tomography (PAT) is to recover the initial pressure from certain observations of the acoustic pressure field made outside of $\Omega$. In standard PAT, the data is given by the restricted pressure $p|_{S \times [0,T]}$, where $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is an $(n-1)$-dimensional observation surface. Opposed to that, in full field PAT introduced in \cite{26, 27}, the data provide the acoustic pressure only for a single and fixed time $T$ but on an $n$-dimensional measurement domain.

To be more specific, for given $T > 0$, we define the following two operators

\[ W_T : H^1_0(\Omega) \rightarrow H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n) : f \mapsto p(\cdot, T) \]  
\[ W_{T,\Omega} : H^1_0(\Omega) \rightarrow H^1(\overline{\Omega}^c) : f \mapsto p(\cdot, T)|_{\overline{\Omega}^c}, \]

where $p$ is the solution of \eqref{1.1}. We refer to $W_T$ as the complete single time wave transform and to $W_{T,\Omega}$ as the exterior single time wave transform. Full field PAT provides approximations of $W_{T,\Omega}f$ from which the aim is to recover approximations to the initial pressure $f$. In \cite{39} it is outlined how actual full field PAT data can be reduced to $W_{T,\Omega}f$.

In this paper we prove uniqueness and stability of inverting $W_{T,\Omega}$ and derive an exact inversion procedure.

Related work

For the standard PAT problem there is a vast literature on various practical and theoretical aspects (see, for example, \cite{37, 20, 4, 19, 11, 30}). In that context, the time-reversal method has been studied intensively \cite{9, 15, 31, 32}. However, to the best of our knowledge, the time-reversal method has not developed for PAT with full field data.

Only few works exist \cite{27, 26, 39, 13} on the full field inversion problem. The work \cite{27} considers constant speed of sound and the problem is reduced to the inversion of the Radon transform. The work \cite{39} deals with non-constant speed and uses the standard Landweber iterative method. However, the article uses the data in the whole space, not the exterior data as we consider here. In the proceeding \cite{13}, variational regularization is used with exterior data. Neither uniqueness nor stability has been proven there. In the present article, for the first time, we prove uniqueness and stability for inverting $W_{T,\Omega}$. Moreover, we propose and analyze an iterative time-reversal procedure for its inversion.
2 Uniqueness and stability

Let \( \mathbb{R}^n \) be equipped with the metric \( c^{-2}(x) \, dx^2 \). We denote by \( \text{diam}(\Omega) \) the diameter of \( \Omega \), defined as the longest distance between any two points inside \( \bar{\Omega} \) with respect to the metric \((g_{i,j})\). We recall that \( T > 0 \) is a fixed observation time and \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) a domain with Lipschitz boundary.

2.1 Uniqueness of reconstruction

Our first aim is to prove the injectivity of \( W_{T,\Omega} \), which implies that the full field PAT problem is uniquely solvable. For that purpose we start by recalling a uniqueness result for the wave equation due to Stefanov and Uhlmann \cite{stefanov2001uniqueness}.

Lemma 2.1. Let \( f \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and suppose \( T > \text{diam}(\Omega)/2 \). If the solution \( p \) of (1.1) satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t p(x,T) |_{\Omega} &= 0 \\
\partial_t p(x,0) &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
then \( f = 0 \).

Denote by \( B_R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \) the ball of radius \( R > 0 \) in the Euclidean metric of \( \mathbb{R}^n \).

Lemma 2.2. For \( \epsilon > 0 \) and \( h \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \), let \( p \in C([0,T], H^1(B_{T+\epsilon})) \) satisfy
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t^2 u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in B_{T+\epsilon} \times [0,T] \\
u(x,0) &= h(x) \quad \text{for } x \in B_{T+\epsilon} \\
\partial_t u(x,0) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \in B_{T+\epsilon}.
\end{align*}
\]
Then \( h(x) = 0 \) for \( x \in B_T \) and \( u(x,T) = 0 \) for \( x \in B_{\epsilon} \) implies \( h(x) = 0 \) for \( x \in B_{T+\epsilon} \).

Proof. For \( u \) satisfying the Euler-Poisson-Darboux equation with initial data \((f,0)\) instead of the wave equation (2.1), the result was proven in \cite{bukhgeim2012uniqueness, bukhgeim2012uniqueness}. The proof of the current situation is similar to \cite[Theorem 2.1]{bukhgeim2012uniqueness} and is therefore omitted. \( \square \)

In the following for any \( a > 0 \) we write
\[
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{(1)}_a &:= \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{dist}(x,\Omega) \leq a \} \\
\Omega^{(2)}_a &:= \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \text{dist}(x,\Omega) \geq a \}.
\end{align*}
\]
Clearly, for \( f \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) we have \( W_{T,\Omega} f \in H^1_0(\Omega^{(1)}_T) \).

Lemma 2.3. Let \( \Omega \) be convex, \( h \in H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and suppose \( u \) satisfies
\[
\begin{align*}
\partial_t^2 u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } (x,t) \in \Omega^c \times (0,\infty) \\
u(x,0) &= h(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega^c \\
\partial_t u(x,0) &= 0 \quad \text{for } x \in \Omega^c.
\end{align*}
\]
Then \( u(x,T) = 0 \) for all \( x \in \Omega^{(2)}_T \) implies \( h(x) = 0 \) for all \( x \in \Omega^c \).

**Proof.** Using Lemma 2.2, the proof follows the lines of [2, Proof of Theorem 3] and for the sake of brevity is omitted. \( \square \)

Here is our main uniqueness result.

**Theorem 2.4** (Main injectivity result). If \( T > \text{diam}(\Omega)/2 \), then the exterior single time wave transform \( W_{T,\Omega}: H^1_0(\Omega) \to H^1(\bar{\Omega}^c) \) is injective. In particular, the equation \( W_{T,\Omega} f = g \) has at most one solution in \( H^1_0(\Omega) \) for \( g \in H^1(\bar{\Omega}^c) \).

**Proof.** Suppose \( f \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) satisfies \( W_{T,\Omega} f = 0 \) and denote by \( p \) the solution of (1.1). By definition we have \( W_{T,\Omega} f = p(\cdot,T)|_{\Omega^c} \) and thus \( p(x,T) = 0 \) and \( \Delta p(x,T) = 0 \) for all \( x \in \Omega^c \). Define \( u(x,t) := \partial_t p(x,T-t) \). Then \( \partial_t u(x,t) = -\partial^2_T p(x,T-t) = -\Delta p(x,T-t) \) in \( \Omega^c \). Consequently,

\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
\partial^2_t u(x,t) - \Delta u(x,t) = 0 & \text{for } (x,t) \in \Omega^c \times [0,T] \\
u(x,0) = \partial_t p(x,T) & \text{for } x \in \Omega^c \\
\partial_t u(x,0) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \Omega^c.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

Because \( u(x,T) = \partial_t p(x,0) = 0 \) in \( \Omega^c \), Lemma 2.3 shows \( \partial_t p(x,T) = 0 \) for all \( x \in \Omega^c \). Now application of Lemma 2.1 gives \( f = 0 \). \( \square \)

### 2.2 Stability of inversion

Let us first recall some microlocal analysis for the solution of the wave equation; see for example [31, 36] for more details. Let \( \hat{f}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-ix \cdot \xi} dx \) denote the Fourier transform of \( f \). Up to infinitely smooth error, the solution \( p \) of (1.1) can be written as

\[
p(x,t) = p^+(x,t) + p^-(x,t) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \sum_{\sigma = \pm} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi_\sigma(x,\xi,t)} a_\sigma(x,\xi,t) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi. \tag{2.2}
\]

Here, the phase functions \( \phi_\pm(x,\xi,t) \) are positively homogenous of order 1 in \( \xi \) and solve the eikonal equations

\[
\begin{aligned}
\begin{cases}
\mp \partial_t \phi_\pm(x,\xi,t) = c(x) |\nabla_x \phi_\pm(x,\xi,t)| \\
\phi_\pm(x,\xi,0) = x \cdot \xi.
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

The functions \( a_\pm \) are classical amplitudes of order 0 satisfying \( a_\pm(x,\xi,0) = 1/2 \). The principal terms \( a^{(0)}_\pm(x,\xi,t) \) satisfy \( a^{(0)}_\pm(x,\xi,t) = 1/2 \) and the homogenous equations

\[
[(\partial_t \phi_\pm) \partial_t - c^2 \nabla \phi_\pm \cdot \nabla x + C_\pm] a^{(0)}_\pm = 0, \tag{2.3}
\]
where $C_{\pm} := (\partial^2_t - c^2 \Delta) \phi_{\pm}/2$. Geometrically, each singularity $(x, \xi) \in \text{WF}(f)$ is propagated by $p_+$ in the phase space along the positive bi-characteristic $(\gamma_{x,\xi}(t), \gamma'_{x,\xi}(t))$, while propagated by $p_-$ along the negative bi-characteristic given by $(\gamma_{x,-\xi}(t), \gamma'_{x,-\xi}(t)) = (\gamma_{x,\xi}(-t), -\gamma'_{x,\xi}(-t))$.

We consider the following so-called non-trapping condition.

**Condition 2.5 (Non-trapping condition).** We assume that there exists a time $T_0 > 0$ such that each geodesic curve intersects $\Omega$ with the length at most $T_0$. It is worth noting that if Condition 2.5 holds then $\text{diam}(\Omega) \leq T_0$.

### 2.2.1 Time-reversal operator

For $h \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consider the following time-reversed wave equation

\[
\begin{cases}
\partial^2_t q(x, t) - c^2(x) \Delta q(x, t) = 0 & \text{for } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \\
q(x, T) = h(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\
\partial_t q(x, T) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\end{cases}
\]

We define the time-reversal operator

\[
W_T^\#: H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \to H^1(\Omega): h \to q(\cdot, 0)|_\Omega,
\]

where $q$ is the solution of (2.4). For a function $\Psi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote by $\Psi$ the pointwise multiplication operator $f \mapsto \Psi f$.

**Proposition 2.6.** Let $T > T_0/2$, suppose $\Psi \in C^\infty_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and set $x_+(x, \xi) := \gamma_{x,\xi}(\pm T)$. Then $W_T^\# \Psi W_T: H^1_0(\Omega) \to H^1(\Omega)$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order zero with principal symbol

\[
\sigma(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \Psi(x_+(x, \xi)) + \Psi(x_-(x, \xi)) \right].
\]

**Proof.** Our key idea is the construction of the parametrix of time-reversed wave equation, the same spirit as [31, Proof of Theorem 3] but adapted to our context. From (2.2), up to smooth terms, we have $W_T^\# \Psi W_T = W_T^\# \Psi W_T^{(+)} + W_T^\# \Psi W_T^{(-)}$ with

\[
W_T^{(\pm)} f(x) = p_{\pm}(x, T) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i \phi_{\pm}(x, \xi, T)} \hat{a}_{\pm}(x, \xi, T) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi.
\]

It suffices to prove that $W_T^\# \Psi W_T^{(+)}$ is a pseudo-differential operator with principal symbol $\sigma_+(x_0, \xi_0) = \Psi(x_+(x_0, \xi_0))/4$, for all $(x_0, \xi_0) \in T^*\Omega$.

Consider the parametrix of the time-reversed wave equation (2.4) with initial data $h =$
\( \Psi W^{(+)}_T f \), which can be written in the form

\[
q_+(x, t) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi_+(x, \xi, t)} b(x, \xi, t) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\
+ \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi_+(x, \xi, 2T-t)} b(x, \xi, 2T-t) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,
\]

with \( b(x, \xi, T) = \Psi(x) a_+(x, \xi, T) \). Let us note that the first summand in \( q_+ \) is a modification of the (positive) forward solution \( p_+ \) which in the case that \( \Psi = 1 \) exactly equals \( p_+/2 \). The second summand is the time-reflection of the first part through the value \( t = T \). Indeed, it is easy to check that \( q_+ \) satisfies the initial conditions \( q_+(x, T) = \Psi W^{(+)}_T f \) and \((q_+)_t(x, T) = 0\). Therefore, from the definition of \( W^\sharp_T \),

\[
W^\sharp_T \Psi W^{(+)}_T f = q_+(x, 0) = \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi_+(x, \xi, 0)} b(x, \xi, 0) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi \\
+ \frac{1}{2(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\phi_+(x, \xi, 2T)} b(x, \xi, 2T) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi,
\]

up to infinitely smoothing terms.

Note that both the principal term \( a_+^{(0)}(x, \xi, t) \) and the principal term \( b^{(0)}(x, \xi, t) \) of \( b(x, \xi, t) \) satisfy the homogeneous transport equation (2.3). Hence, their ratio on each bi-characteristic is constant. In particular,

\[
\frac{b^{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0, 0)}{a_+^{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0, 0)} = \frac{b^{(0)}(x_+(x_0, \xi_0), \xi_+(x_0, \xi_0), T)}{a_+^{(0)}(x_+(x_0, \xi_0), \xi_+(x_0, \xi_0), T)} = \Psi(x_+(x_0, \xi_0)).
\]

Let us consider (2.6). Since \( \phi_+(0, x, \xi) = x \cdot \xi \), the first part on the right hand side is a pseudo-differential operator with principal symbol at \((x_0, \xi_0)\) equals

\[
\frac{1}{2} b^{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0, 0) = \frac{1}{2} a_+^{(0)}(x_0, \xi_0, 0) \Psi(x_+(x_0, \xi_0)) = \frac{1}{4} \Psi(x_+(x_0, \xi_0)).
\]

The second summand of (2.6) is a Fourier integral operator that translates the singularity of \( f \) at \((\gamma_{x_0, \xi_0}(-2T), \gamma_{x_0, \xi_0}(-2T))\) to \((x_0, \xi_0)\). From the condition \( T > T_0/2 \), we have \( \gamma_{x_0, \xi_0}(-2T) \in \Omega' \). Therefore, \( f = 0 \) near \( \gamma_{x_0, \xi_0}(-2T) \), which implies the second part on the right hand side of (2.6) is infinitely smoothing. This concludes our proof.

### 2.2.2 The stability result

The following theorem provides the stability of solving the final time wave inversion problem.

**Theorem 2.7 (Main stability result).** Assume that \( T > T_0/2 \), with \( T_0 \) as in Condi-
Consider the extension operator $E$ and its restriction to $\Omega$. Then, there exists a constant $C = C(\Omega, T, c) > 0$ such that
\[
\forall f \in H^1_0(\Omega) : \|f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq C \|W_T f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega^c)}.
\] (2.7)

**Proof.** Since $T > T_0/2$, there exists $a > 0$ such that for all $(x, \xi) \in T^* \Omega$ either $x_+(x, \xi) \in \Omega_1^{(2)}$ or $x_-(x, \xi) \in \Omega_2^{(2)}$. Let $0 \leq \Psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\Psi \equiv 0$ on $\Omega$ and $\Psi \equiv 1$ on $\Omega_2^{(2)}$. Then $\Psi W_{T,\Omega} = \Psi W_T$ and thus Proposition 2.6 implies that $W_T^2 \Psi W_{T,\Omega}$ is a pseudo-differential operator with principal symbol $(\Psi(x_+(x, \xi)) + \Psi(x_-(x, \xi)))/4 \geq 1/4$. Therefore,
\[
\forall f \in H^1_0(\Omega) : \|f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq C_1 \left( \|W_T^2 \Psi W_{T,\Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right).
\]

Because $W_T^2 \Psi W_{T,\Omega} f$ is supported inside $\Omega_2^{(1)}$ for some constant $C_2 > 0$ we have
\[
\|W_T^2 \Psi W_{T,\Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq C_2 \|W_T^2 \Psi W_{T,\Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n)}
\leq C_2 \|W_T^2 \Psi W_{T,\Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n)}
\leq C_2 \|\Psi W_{T,\Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
\]

Above, the last inequality comes from the conservation of the energy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2} |\partial_p(p(\cdot, t))|^2 + |\nabla p(\cdot, t)|^2 \right] \, dx$ for (2.4). From the last two displayed equations we conclude
\[
\forall f \in H^1_0((\Omega)) : \|f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq C_1 \left( C_2 \|W_{T,\Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\mathbb{R}^c)} + \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \right). \tag{2.8}
\]

Since $W_{T,\Omega}$ is injective, and the embedding $H^1_0(\Omega) \to L^2(\Omega) : f \mapsto f$ is compact, applying [44] Proposition 5.3.1 to (2.8) concludes the proof.

Let us briefly discuss the condition that $T > T_0/2$ posed in Theorem 2.7. It implies for any $(x, \xi) \in T^* \Omega$, at least either $x_+(x, \xi) = \gamma_{x,\xi}(T)$ or $x_-(x, \xi) = \gamma_{x,\xi}(-T)$ belongs to $\Omega^c$. That is, if $(x, \xi) \in \text{WF}(f)$ then either $(x_+(x, \xi), \xi_+(x, \xi) := \gamma'_{x,\xi}(t)) \in \text{WF}(W_{T,\Omega} f)$ or $(x_-(x, \xi), \xi_-(x, \xi) := \gamma'_{x,\xi}(-t)) \in \text{WF}(W_{T,\Omega} f)$. We, hence, say that all the singularities of $f$ are observed by $W_{T,\Omega} f$. Therefore, $T > T_0/2$ is called the visibility condition. We will always assume it in our subsequent presentation.

### 3 Iterative time-reversal

Consider the extension operator $E_\Omega : H^1(\Omega^c) \to H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as follows. For any $g \in H^1(\Omega^c)$, $E_\Omega(g)$ restricted to $\Omega$ is given by the solution of the Dirichlet problem
\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta h = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\
h = g|_{\partial \Omega} & \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\tag{3.1}
\]
Here, \( g|_{\partial \Omega} \in H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) \) denotes the trace of \( g \in H^1(\Omega^c) \) on \( \partial \Omega \). Note that the Dirichlet interior problem (3.1) has a unique solution \( h \in H^1(\Omega) \) (see, for example, [22]); therefore, noting that \( E_{\Omega}(g) = g \) on \( \Omega^c \), \( E_{\Omega}(g) \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \). For notational conveniences, we sometimes use the short-hand notation \( \tilde{g} \) for \( E_{\Omega}(g) \). We further define the orthogonal projection \( P_{\Omega}: H^1(\Omega) \to H^1(\bar{\Omega}^c) \) defined by (1.2). Our proposed inversion approach is based on the modified time-reversal operator defined by

\[
W^2_{T,\Omega} := P_{\Omega} W^2_T E_{\Omega}: H^1(\Omega^c) \to H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

The modified time-reversal operator is itself the composition of harmonic extension \( E_{\Omega} \) to \( \mathbb{R}^n \), time-reversal \( W^2_T \) defined by (2.5) and projection \( P_{\Omega} \) onto \( H^1_0(\Omega) \).

### 3.1 Contraction property

In the case that the sound speed is constant, space dimension is odd and the measurement time satisfies \( T > T_0 \), we have \( W^2_{T,\Omega} = W^2_T \). In particular, in the one dimensional space, the operator \( 2W^2_{T,\Omega} \) is the exact inverse of \( W_{T,\Omega} \). In the general case this is not true. Nevertheless, as the basis our approach, we will show that the error operator \( \text{Id} - \lambda W^2_{T,\Omega} \) is non-expansive for \( \lambda = 2 \) and a contraction for \( \lambda < 2 \). This will serve as the basis of the proposed iterative time-reversal procedure.

Throughout the following we denote by \( E_w(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [c^{-2}(x) |\partial_0 w(x,t)|^2 + |\nabla w(x,t)|^2] \, dx \) the energy associated to a function \( w \) satisfying the wave equation \( \partial_0^2 w = c^2 \Delta w \).

**Theorem 3.1** (Contraction property of the error operator). Suppose \( T > T_0/2 \) and consider for any \( \lambda \in (0,2] \) the error operator

\[
K_{T,\Omega,\lambda} := \text{Id} - \lambda W^2_{T,\Omega} W_{T,\Omega}: H^1_0(\Omega) \to H^1_0(\Omega).
\]

Then the following hold:

(a) \( K_{T,\Omega,2} \) satisfies \( \forall f \in H^1_0 \setminus \{0\} : \|K_{T,\Omega,2} f\| < \|f\| \).

(b) If \( \lambda \in (0,2) \), then \( \|K_{T,\Omega,\lambda}\| < 1 \).

**Proof.** (a) For \( f \in H^1_0(\Omega) \), set \( g := W_{T,\Omega} f \) and \( \tilde{g} := E_{\Omega} g \). Moreover, let \( p \) solve the forward problem (1.1) and let \( q \) solve the time-reversal problem (2.4) with \( h = 2\tilde{g} \). Then \( w := p - q \) satisfies the wave equation \( \partial_t^2 w = c^2 \Delta w \) and the corresponding energies at times \( 0 \) and \( T \) respectively satisfy

\[
E_w(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2}(x) |\partial_0 q(x,0)|^2 + |\nabla q(x,0) - \nabla f(x)|^2 \right] \, dx,
\]
\[ E_w(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2}(x) |\partial_\mu p(x, T)|^2 + |2\nabla \bar{g}(x) - \nabla g(x)|^2 \right] \, dx. \] (3.2)

The trace extension satisfies \( \bar{g}|_{\partial \Omega} = g|_{\partial \Omega} \) and \( \langle \Delta \bar{g} \rangle|_\Omega = 0 \). Therefore

\[ \int_\Omega (\|2\nabla \bar{g} - \nabla g\|^2 - \|\nabla g\|^2) \, dx = 4 \int_\Omega |\nabla \bar{g}| \cdot |\nabla (\bar{g} - g)| \, dx = 4 \int_\Omega \Delta \bar{g} (\bar{g} - g) \, dx = 0. \]

We obtain \( \int_\Omega |2\nabla \bar{g} - \nabla g|^2 \, dx = \int_\Omega |\nabla g|^2 \, dx \) and from (3.2) we deduce \( E_w(T) = E_p(T) \).

With the conservation of energy we have \( E_p(0) = E_w(0) \) and therefore

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla f(x)|^2 \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2}(x) |\partial_\mu q(x, 0)|^2 + |\nabla q(x, 0) - \nabla f(x)|^2 \right] \, dx, \] (3.3)

where we have used the explicit expressions for \( E_p(0) \) and \( E_w(0) \) respectively.

With \( f^* := 2\mathbf{W}^2_{f, \Omega} W_{T, \Omega} f \) the error operator satisfies \( K_{T, \Omega, 2} f = f - f^* \). Moreover, writing \( q_0 := q(\cdot, 0) \)\( \Omega \) we have \( f^* = P_{\Omega}(q_0) \) and thus \( \Delta |q_0 - f^*| = 0 \) in \( \Omega \). From this we infer \( \int_\Omega |\nabla q_0 - \nabla f^*| \cdot |\nabla f^* - \nabla f| \, dx = - \int_\Omega |\Delta q_0 - \Delta f^*| \cdot |f^* - f| \, dx = 0 \) and therefore

\[ \int_\Omega |\nabla q_0 - \nabla f|^2 \, dx = \int_\Omega |\nabla q_0 - \nabla f|^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla f^* - \nabla f|^2 \, dx \geq \int_\Omega |\nabla f^* - \nabla f|^2 \, dx. \] (3.4)

Together with (3.3) this implies \( \|f\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \|f - f^*\|_{H_1^2(\Omega)}^2 \) and therefore \( \|K_{T, \Omega, 2} f\| = \|f - f^*\|_{H_1^2(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)} \). In remains to show the strict inequality. To that end assume \( \|f - f^*\|_{H_1^2(\Omega)} = \|f\|_{H_0^2(\Omega)}. \)

From (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

\[ \int_\Omega |\nabla q_0 - \nabla f|^2 \, dx \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2}(x) |\partial_\mu q(x, 0)|^2 + \|\nabla q(x, 0) - \nabla f(x)|^2 \right] \, dx, \]

and therefore

\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c^{-2}(x) |\partial_\mu q(x, 0)|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla q(x, 0)|^2 \, dx = 0. \]

In particular, \( \partial_\mu q(\cdot, 0) \) vanishes on \( \mathbb{R}^n \) and \( \nabla q(\cdot, 0) \) vanishes on \( \Omega^c \). Because \( q(x, 0) \) vanishes for \( x \in \Omega_{(T)}^{(1)} \), it follows that \( q(\cdot, 0) \) vanishes on \( \Omega^c \). Applying Lemma 2.1 for \( u(\cdot, t) := q(\cdot, T - t) \) yields \( 2\bar{g} = q(\cdot, T) = u(\cdot, 0) = 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \). In particular, \( W_{T, \Omega} f = 0 \) on \( \Omega^c \). From Theorem 2.4 we infer \( f = 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R}^n \), which concludes the proof.

Let us first consider the case \( \lambda = 1 \). We have to show that there exists a constant \( L < 1 \) such that \( \|\text{Id} - W_{T, \Omega}^2 W_{T, \Omega} \| \leq L \). To that end, let \( f \in H_0^2(\Omega), p \) solve the forward model \( (1.1) \) with initial data \( f, q \) solve the time-reversal problem \( (2.4) \), with initial data \( h = E_{\Omega} W_{T, \Omega} f \) and define the error term \( w := q - p \). The error term satisfies the wave equation \( \partial^2_t w - c^2(x) \Delta w = 0 \) in \( \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T) \) and its energy at time \( T \) is given
by
\[
E_w(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2}(x) |\partial_t w(x, T)|^2 + |\nabla w(x, T)|^2 \right] \, dx \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} c^{-2}(x) |\partial_t p(x, T)|^2 \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \bar{g}(x) - \nabla g(x)|^2 \, dx.
\]

Here for the second equality we used the conditions \( q(\cdot, T) = \bar{g} := E_{\Omega} g \) and \( \partial_t g(\cdot, T) = 0 \) and the abbreviation \( g = p(\cdot, T) \).

The second term in the above equation displayed satisfies
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \bar{g}(x) - \nabla g(x)|^2 \, dx = \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\nabla (g(x) - \bar{g}(x))] \cdot [\nabla (g(x) - \bar{g}(x))] \, dx \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\nabla (g(x) - \bar{g}(x))] \cdot [\nabla (g(x) + \bar{g}(x))] \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\nabla (g(x) - \bar{g}(x))] \cdot [\nabla \bar{g}(x)] \, dx \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla g(x)|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{g}(x)|^2 \, dx - 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g(x) - \bar{g}(x)) \Delta \bar{g}(x) \, dx \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla g(x)|^2 \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{g}(x)|^2 \, dx \\
\leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{g}(x)|^2 \, dx.
\]

As a consequence, we obtain
\[
E_w(T) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2}(x) |\partial_t p(x, T)|^2 + |\nabla g(x)|^2 \right] \, dx - \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \bar{g}(x)|^2 \, dx \\
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2}(x) |\partial_t p(x, T)|^2 + |\nabla p(x, T)|^2 \right] \, dx - \|W_{T, \Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega')}^2 \\
= E_p(T) - \|W_{T, \Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega')}^2.
\]

Together with the conservation of energy and using the initial conditions \( p(x, 0) = f(x) \) and \( \partial_t p(x, 0) = 0 \) this shows
\[
E_w(0) + \|W_{T, \Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega')}^2 = E_w(T) + \|W_{T, \Omega} f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega')}^2 \\
\leq E_p(T) = E_p(0) = \|f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)}^2.
\]

Using that \( E_w(0) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ c^{-2}(x) |\partial_t q(x, 0)|^2 + |\nabla q(x, 0) - \nabla f(x)|^2 \right] \, dx \) and applying Theorem 2.7 we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left| \nabla q(x, 0) - \nabla f(x) \right|^2 \, dx \leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{C^2} \right) \|f\|_{H^1_0(\Omega)}^2.
\]

(3.5)
The left hand side in the above equation can be estimated as
\[
\int_{\Omega} |\nabla q(x,0) - \nabla f(x)|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(q(\cdot,0) - P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0))) + \nabla(P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0)) - f)|^2 \, dx \\
= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(q(\cdot,0) - P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0)))|^2 + |\nabla(P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0)) - f)|^2 \, dx \\
\geq \|P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0)) - f\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)},
\]
where we have used the fact that \(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla q(\cdot,0) - \nabla P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0))| \cdot |\nabla P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0)) - \nabla f| \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \Delta[q(\cdot,0) - P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0))](P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0)) - f) \, dx = 0\). From 3.5 we arrive at
\[
\|P_{\Omega}(q(\cdot,0)) - f\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)} = \|K_1 f\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{C^2}\right) \|f\|^2_{H^1_0(\Omega)}.
\]
This finishes the proof for the case \(\lambda = 1\).

For the general case note the identities
\[
K_{T,\Omega,\lambda} = \begin{cases} 
(1 - \lambda) \text{Id} + \lambda K_{T,\Omega,1} & \text{for } \lambda \in (0,1) \\
(\lambda - 1)K_{T,\Omega,2} + (2 - \lambda)K_{T,\Omega,1} & \text{for } \lambda \in (1,2).
\end{cases}
\]
Using the already verified estimates \(\|K_{T,\Omega,1}\| < 1\) and \(\|K_{T,\Omega,2}\| \leq 1\), these equalities together with the triangle inequality for the operator norm show \(\|K_{T,\Omega,\lambda}\| < 1\) for all \(\lambda \in (0,2)\).

### 3.2 Neumann series solution

According to Theorem 3.1 the error operator satisfies \(\|\text{Id} - \lambda W^x_{T,\Omega} W_{T,\Omega}\| < 1\) for any \(\lambda \in (0,2)\). The Neumann series \(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\text{Id} - \lambda W^x_{T,\Omega} W_{T,\Omega})^j\), therefore, converges to \((\lambda W^x_{T,\Omega} W_{T,\Omega})^{-1}\) with respect to the operator norm \(\|\cdot\|\) in \(H^1_0(\Omega)\). This results in the inversion formula
\[
f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (\text{Id} - \lambda W^x_{T,\Omega} W_{T,\Omega})^j (\lambda W^x_{T,\Omega} g) \quad \text{with } g = W_{T,\Omega} f \tag{3.6}
\]
valid for every initial data \(f \in H^1_0(\Omega)\). Here \(W^x_{T,\Omega} = P_{\Omega} W^x_T E_{\Omega}\) is the modified time-reversal operator formed by harmonic extension \(E_{\Omega}\) of the missing data, time-reversal \(W^x_T\) defined by (2.4) and projection \(P_{\Omega}\) onto \(H^1_0\). Inversion formula (3.6) is the Neumann series solution for the inverse problem of full-field PAT.

**Remark 3.2** (Iterative time-reversal algorithm). The Neumann series is (3.6) is the limit of its partial sums \(f_k := \sum_{k=0}^{j} (\text{Id} - \lambda W^x_{T,\Omega} W_{T,\Omega})^k (\lambda W^x_{T,\Omega} g)\). These partial sums
satisfy the recursion

\[
\begin{cases}
    f_0 = \lambda W_{T,\Omega}^2 g \\
    f_j = f_{j-1} - \lambda W_{T,\Omega}^2 (W_{T,\Omega} f_{j-1} - g),
\end{cases}
\]

with \( W_{T,\Omega}^2 = P_{\Omega} W_{T} E_{\Omega} \). This is an iterative algorithm producing a sequence \((f_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\) converging to \( f = W_{T,\Omega}^{-1} g \) in \( H^1_0(\Omega) \). We call (3.7) iterative reversal algorithm for full field PAT. The form (3.7) will be used in the numerical solution. Because of the contraction property of the iteration \( \| \text{Id} - \lambda W_{T,\Omega}^2 W_{T,\Omega} \| < 1 \) the iterative time-reversal reversal algorithm is linearly convergent.

We note that for standard PAT, the idea of using time-reversal was proposed in [9, 7] for the case of constant sound speed, and in [10, 15] for non-constant sound speed. The Neumann series solution was first proposed in [31] and further developed in [31, 32, 35, 14, 33, 25, 29, 18, 1]. Iterative reconstruction methods for variable sound speed based on an adjoint wave equation have been studied in [16, 41, 31, 32, 23]. Uniqueness and stability for standard PAT was studied in [38, 15, 31, 32, 33, 25, 29, 18, 1], just to name a few.

Figure 3.1: Sound speeds profiles and initial pressure distributions. Top: Three non-trapping \((c_{\text{II}} \text{ and } c_{\text{III}})\) and one trapping sound speed profile \(c_{\text{IV}}\) that we employed in our simulations besides the constant speed of sound \(c_1 = 1\). The white and black circles visualize the boundary of the imaging region which in our simulations is the unit disc. Bottom: A smooth phantom \(f^a\) (left) and the two piecewise constant phantoms \(f^b\) (middle) and \(f^c\) (right) are employed in our numerical simulations.
4 Numerical Simulations

In this section, we present some of our numerical studies for the exterior single time wave transform. We consider the case of two spatial dimensions, and take \( \Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2 \) as the unit disc. According to (3.6) any function \( f \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) can be recovered from data \( g = W_T f \) via the iterative time-reversal algorithm (3.7). The numerical realization is described in the following subsection. The numerical simulations were performed for each of the three sound speed profiles shown in the top row of Figure 3.1 and additionally for the constant sound speed \( c_1 = 1 \). As phantom we used numerical approximations of one smooth and two piecewise constant functions which are visualized in the bottom row of Figure 3.1.

4.1 Numerical implementation

In the numerical realization, any function \( h: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is represented by a discrete vector \((h(x_i))_{i=0}^{N-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \), where \( x_i = (-a, -a) + 2ia/N \) for \( i \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}^2 \) are equidistant grid points in the square \([-a, a]^2 \). The discrete domain \( I \subseteq \{0, \ldots, N-1\}^2 \) (where the discrete initial pressure is contained in) is defined as the set of all indices \( i \) with \( x_i \in \Omega \) and we set \( J := \{0, \ldots, N-1\}^2 \setminus I \). Following [12], we define the discrete boundary of \( I \) as the set of all elements \((i_1, i_2) \in J \) for which at least one of the discrete neighbors \((i_1 + 1, i_2), (i_1 - 1, i_2), (i_1, i_2 + 1), (i_1, i_2 - 1) \) is contained in \( I \). The discrete version of the initial data \( f \in H^1_0(\Omega) \) is then an image \( f \in \mathbb{R}^I \) and the discrete version of the data \( g \in L^2(\Omega^c) \) an image \( g \in \mathbb{R}^J \).

In the iterative time-reversal algorithm the forward transform \( W_T : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \) as well as each factor in the modified time-reversal \( W^\#_T : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \) are replaced by discrete approximations. The discrete forward operator and the discrete time-reversal operator are defined by

\[
W_{T,I}: \mathbb{R}^I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^J: f \mapsto (W_T f)_J \tag{4.1}
\]

\[
W^\#_{T,I}: \mathbb{R}^J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^I: g \mapsto (P_I W^\#_T E_I) g \tag{4.2}
\]

Here \( W_T: \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) and \( W^\#_T: \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} \) are discrete analogs of the forward wave equation and its time reversed version, \( E_I \) a discretization of the harmonic extension operator and \( P_I \) a discretization of the projection of the projection onto \( H^1_0(\Omega) \).

The numerical solution of the wave equation \( W_T f \) and likewise the numerical solution of the time reversed version \( W^\#_T \) are computed with the k-space method [6, 8, 21]. We
use the $k$-space method with periodic boundary conditions on the rectangle $[-a,a]^2$ as described in [11]. We choose $a \geq T + 1$ such that $\mathbf{W}_{T,I}$ and $\mathbf{W}_{T,I}^\#$ are not affected by replacing the free space wave equation with its $(2a)$-periodic counterpart. The discrete harmonic extension $E_I$ and the discrete projection $P_I$ are constructed by numerically solving (3.1) with the MATLAB-routine `solvepde`.

Figure 4.1: **Results for constant sound speed.** Reconstructions (top) and corresponding point-wise errors (bottom) using constant sound speed $c_I = 1$.

Figure 4.2: **Results for variable sound speed.** Point-wise errors (bottom) using different sound speed profiles the smooth phantom $f^a$.

### 4.2 Numerical results

We first present results to data $g = \mathbf{W}_T f$ without added noise. Figure 4.1 shows results with constant speed with relaxation parameter $\lambda = 2$ and 80 iterations. For smaller values of $\lambda$ slightly better reconstructions have been obtained but required a slightly larger number of iterations. Figure 4.2 visualizes the pointwise error map $f^a - f^{a}_{\text{rec}}$ for the non-constant sound speed profiles using $\lambda = 1/2$ and $T = 2$. We see that accurate results are obtained for all sound speed profiles. The best results were obtained for the sound speed profile $c_{II}$, and the error functions do not contain any visible information.
of the original phantom. Because all reconstructions look equally well and very similar to the original phantom $f^a$, we did not visualize them here. Additional simulations with other smooth and non-smooth phantoms indicate that smooth phantoms generally result in better reconstructed than non-smooth ones.

Figure 4.3: Exact versus noisy data for sound speed $c_{III}$. From left to right: reconstruction, difference images to true phantom $f^a$, and logarithmic error plot in dependence of the number of iterations. The top row shows results for exact data, the bottom row shows results for noisy data. Here $\lambda = 1/2$ and $T = 4$.

Figure 4.4: Results for noisy data for trapping sound speed $c_{IV}$. Top row shows the reconstructions of the phantom $f^a$, $f^b$ and $f^c$. Bottom row: Corresponding difference images for to the true phantom. Here $\lambda = 1/2$ and $T = 2$.

Next we present results for noisy data where $W_T f$ has been contaminated with normally distributed noise with a standard deviation of two percent of the maximal pressure value. In order to avoid inverse crime, data are simulated using a three times finer discretization.
than used for the reconstruction. We observe that in all cases the iteration process is stable when \( \lambda \) is chosen sufficiently small and the use of a stopping rule is not necessary. Moreover, the reconstructions are more accurate for smooth phantoms than for piecewise constant phantoms. Finally in Figure 4.4 we show results for the trapping sound speed \( c_{iv} \). Also in this case, the iterative time-reversal works well for all phantoms even the theory developed in the previous Sections does not fully apply in this situation.

5 Conclusion

In this work we studied an inverse source problem appearing in full field PAT. Image reconstruction amounts to the inversion of the exterior final time wave transform \( W_{T,\Omega} \) that maps the initial data \( f \) supported in \( \Omega \) to the solution of the wave equation at fixed time \( T \) restricted to the complement \( \Omega^c \). For non-constant sound speed, besides the work [13], to the best of our knowledge, inversion of \( W_{T,\Omega} \) is studied for the first time. We, for the first time, derived uniqueness and stability results. Moreover we showed convergence of the proposed iterative time-reversal reconstruction algorithm. For that purpose we have proven that \( \text{Id} - \lambda W_{T,\Omega}^\sharp W_{T,\Omega} \) is a contraction on \( H^1_0(\Omega) \) for all \( \lambda \in (0, 2) \) where \( W_{T,\Omega}^\sharp \) is a modified time-reversal operator. We also derived a numerical realization of the iterative time-reversal algorithm. Numerical results show accurate reconstruction for all sound speed profiles and all initial data.
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