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Abstract

Probiotics provide numerous health benefits that transcend basic nutritional requirements of our daily meal. The focus of this study was to evaluate the immunostimulatory capability of probiotic bacteria isolated from commercially available yoghurts in Awka metropolis. Thirty cans of different branded yoghurts were purchased from local supermarkets and prepared using standard procedures. The samples were transferred into De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) broth and incubated anaerobically for 24 h. The isolates were identified using biochemical tests. Immunostimulatory activities were evaluated using cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression and delay hypersensitivity reaction techniques. The antibacterial activity was evaluated using agar diffusion assay. The effects of pH and temperature on the growth of isolated Lactobacillus spp. as well as their ability to tolerate bile salt were assayed. The immunological study showed that the test isolates were able to significantly boost the white blood cell count, lymphocyte count, neutrophil count, eosinophil count and monocyte count after treatment and induced inflammatory response in the laboratory animals used for the study. All isolated lactobacillus spp. has inhibitory effect on E coli, S aureus and P aeruginosa. Bile salts incorporated in the bacteria cultures were effective on bacterial viability. The probiotic bacteria isolated in commercially available yoghurts have health beneficial effects, which include immunostimulatory and antibacterial activities.
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Introduction

Yoghurt which is fermented milk is widely consumed worldwide because of their numerous health benefits [1-3]. Milks are known to contain protein, vitamins, magnesium, potassium and calcium. They play some roles in prevention and treatment of osteoporosis, reduce the risk of high blood pressure, prevent vaginal infection and restore microbiota balance in the gut thereby reducing the risk of gastrointestinal disorder [2]. Outside milk, bacterial culture is one of the major components of yoghurt. These are special strains of bacteria called probiotics because of the numerous health benefits they confer on humans when taken in enough [3-6]. Probiotic bacteria are known to inhibit the growth of dangerous pathogens in the gut, prevent respiratory tract infection in the elderly, children and immunocompromised patients, prevent development and proliferation of monoclonal cells, lower blood cholesterol and boost immune defense against related infectious diseases [7-10].

Though both bacteria and fungi that have beneficial health effects are categorized as probiotics, Lactobacillus spp. have been well characterized as prominent members of the probiotic group. Studies have reported that several strains of Lactobacillus play a significant role in boosting host immune responses, maintaining the ecosystem of the intestine and inhibiting the growth of several pathogenic bacteria in the gut [11-15]. The ability of probiotics to influence the immune responses of the specialized cells in the body is gaining attention from pharmaceutical and medical researchers [3,16,17]. Understanding the mechanisms behind the influence of probiotic on adaptive immunity could open opportunities in prevention medicine [17,18]. The focus of this study was to ascertain the presence of probiotic bacteria in commercially available yoghurts in Awka metropolis and to scientifically investigate some of their claimed putative health benefits.
Materials and methods

Materials

Yogurt samples: Thirty (30) samples of yogurt were collected from different areas of Awka city,

Anambra State, Nigeria and transported to Pharmaceutical Microbiology Laboratory, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences of Nnamdi Azikiwe University.

Experimental animals: Eighty (80) albino mice, gender balanced, with weight range 18-25 g and age range 4-6 weeks old were used for the study after 14 days acclimatization in standard environmental conditions of relative humidity: 45±2%, temperature: 26±2°C and natural dark-light cycles. The animals were handled as prescribed by the United States National Research Council Committee [19] for the care and use of lab animals. The study protocols were approved by the Ethics Committee for animal studies of the Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka Nigeria.

Isolation and identification of microbial isolates

A volume of 100μl of each sample of yoghurt was transferred into a flask containing MRS Broth (Hi Media Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, India) and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. Thereafter, 100μl of the broth samples were spread on MRS agar (Hi Media Laboratories Pvt. Ltd, Mumbai, India) and further incubated at 37°C anaerobically for 48 hours. Bacterial cultures were sub-cultured to obtain pure isolates. The isolates were identified using the following biochemical tests: Gram staining, lactose fermentation, Indole test, Catalase test, Coagulase test, Oxidase test, Urease test, Citrate test, Starch hydrolysis and Lysine hydrolysis. The confirmed isolates were stored in anaerobic jar until ready for use.

Evaluation of 0.3 % bile salt tolerance

Saturated bile solution made from powdered bile extract (Oxoid) was prepared according to the method described by Chan et al. [20]. The saturated bile salt at 0.3% concentration was added to an overnight MRS broth culture containing the test isolates. Pour plate counts method using 10-fold serial dilutions was used to enumerate viable cells of Lactobacillus spp.

Resistance to low pH (2.5)

The pH of an overnight MRS broth culture containing 0.1mL of aliquot was adjusted following the procedure described by Desai [21]. Each sample was taken every hour and the viable cells were enumerated using pour plate counts method.

Determination of antibiotic susceptibility profile of test isolates

Mc Farland 0.5 turbidity standard was used to adjust the inoculate of the Lactobacillus spp. in an overnight culture grown at 37°C. Disc diffusion susceptibility test described by Cheesbrough was carried out [22]. A sterilized wire loop was used to transfer 3-5 isolated colonies from an MRS plate into a sterile test tube containing physiological saline. The colonies were emulsified in the normal saline to obtain a homogenous suspension of the bacterial cells. The turbidity of the suspension was adjusted visually to that of Mc Farland 0.5 turbidity. A sterile swab stick was dipped in the standardized inoculate and applied to the surface of the Mueller Hinton agar plates. The antibiotic disks (penicillin, rifampicin, amoxicillin, tetracycline and metronidazole) were aseptically placed on the inoculated plates using sterilized forceps to ensure proper contact. Plates were incubated anaerobically at 37°C for 24 hours. The plates were observed for possible inhibition zone diameter around the disks.

Determination of antibacterial activity

Antimicrobial activity of the Lactobacillus spp. was evaluated following agar well diffusion method described by Ghambe et al. [23]. Dilutions of 0.25-1mg/mL were prepared from stock solutions of the isolate. A 20mL volume of molten Mueller Hinton agar was dispensed into sterile Petri dishes and inoculated with 0.1 mL fresh cultures of test isolates (Escherichia coli, Staphylococcus aureus, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa) aseptically and allowed to set. Holes of 6mm diameter were made in the agar plates using a sterile metal cork-borer. A 60μl of the various dilutions of each isolate were dispensed in each hole under aseptic condition. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours.

Immunological studies

Cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression studies: This was carried out using the method described by Ashraf and Shah [24]. A total of 40 albino mice were grouped into 5 with each group having 8 mice. Blood samples were collected from all the animals in the group and were analyzed for total white blood cell count and differential count. These values were termed basal value. The immune system of all the animals was suppressed by single administration of 70mg/kg of cyclophosphamide intraperitoneally. The animals were left for 72 hours for proper immune suppression. Blood samples were collected from the animals and was analyzed for the WBC count and differential count for confirmation of suppression. These were termed pre-treatment values. The animals received daily treatments as follows:

| Group   | Treatment                        |
|---------|----------------------------------|
| I       | received 10 mg/kg distilled water |
| II      | received 100 mg/kg of levamisole |
| III     | received 0.1 ml brand A yoghurt isolate |
| IV      | received 0.1 ml brand B yoghurt isolate |
| V       | received 0.1 ml brand C yoghurt isolate |

The animals were treated for 14 days. Their blood samples...
were collected at the end of the treatment to compare the WBC and differential counts.

**Cellular immune response/delay type hypersensitivity:**

Cellular immune response test was carried out as described by Allen [25]. Forty (40) adult albino mice of both sexes were used. They were grouped into 5, groups of 8 mice each. The animals were treated for 14 days as follows:

- **Group I** received 10 mg/kg distilled water
- **Group II** received 100 mg/kg of levamisole
- **Group III** received 0.1 ml brand A yoghurt isolate
- **Group IV** received 0.1 ml brand B yoghurt isolate
- **Group V** received 0.1 ml brand C yoghurt isolate

Their paw volume was measured on day 14 and was termed basal. Then the animals were injected with 0.025 ml of 10⁹ sheep red blood cell (SRBC) in the left paw and 0.025ml of normal saline in the right paw. Twenty-four (24) hours after the injection, the paw volume was again measured. Increase in paw volume was considered an index of cell mediated immunity/ delayed hypersensitivity.

\[ \% \text{ Inhibition} = \left( \frac{\Delta y - \Delta x}{\Delta y} \right) \times 100 \]

Where, \( \Delta x \) = difference in the treated group and \( \Delta y \) = difference in control groups.

**Result of Microbial Isolation**

The initial step on isolation and identification of the test isolates was based on the colony morphology. The round creamy colonies of the *Lactobacillus* spp. on MRS agar were observed as shown in Figure 1.

**Biochemical confirmation of the test isolate**

The biochemical tests showed that the test isolates were generally Gram positive, indole negative, oxidase negative, catalase negative and non-motile bacilli. The isolates were able to fermentation glucose, fructose, D-mannitol and sucrose. The antibacterial activity of all the isolated Lactobacillus has inhibitory effect on *E. coli*, *S. aureus* and *P. aeruginosa* while the antibiotic susceptibility test showed that the isolates can grow in the presence of penicillin, rifampicin, amoxicillin and tetracycline. Bile tolerance test revealed that the isolates could also survive bile salt.

**Tolerance to acid, bile salt and temperature by lactobacilli**

At pH < 3, the number of bacteria in the medium decreased because of the loss of viability. At pH ≤ 2.0, no viable bacterial cells were detected after the first hour suggesting that most isolates were killed by severe pH. Also, all the isolates were able to grow in the presence of bile salt. Though the isolates were able to grow at temperature ranges from 25-40°C, the optimal growth was observed at 37°C (Table 1).

| pH  | 1   | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5   | 5.5 | 6   | 6.5 | 7   | 7.5 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Growth | NG | ++  | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | +++ | ++  | +   |

\(+ = \) low growth, \(++ = \) moderate growth, \(+++ = \) high growth, while NG = no growth

**Cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression**

In Figure 2, the white blood cell count after treatment (0.79-
0.90mm³) were significantly high compared to negative control (0.5mm³). Figures 2-6 followed the same pattern with significant increase in lymphocyte count, neutrophil count, eosinophil count and monocyte count after treatment. Figure 7 shows that the test isolates had no effect on the basophil count. This pattern was also observed when the mice were treated with positive control (levamisol) and negative control (distilled water). This could be as a result of absence of basophil in immune responses of the host organism.

**Figure 4:** Cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression of mice fed with test isolates (WBC counts in mm³).

**Figure 5:** Cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression of mice fed with test isolates (Eosophil counts in mm³).

**Figure 6:** Cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression of mice fed with test isolates (Monocyte counts in mm³).

**Figure 7:** Cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression of mice fed with test isolates (Basophil counts in mm³).

**Delay hypersensitivity reaction**

The inflammatory responses were observed on the foot pad of the mice within 24 hours. Figure 8 shows that all the test isolates and the positive control (levamisol) reduced the size of the foot pad of the mice within 24 hours.

**Figure 8:** Cyclophosphamide induced immunosuppression of mice fed with test isolates (Basophil counts in mm³).

**Discussion**

Chan et al reported that acids such as hydrochloric acid (HCl) found also in human stomach, disrupt the biomolecules of cells, such as fatty acids, proteins and DNA [20]. We observed that at low pH environments (pH < 3), the growth and viability of Lactobacilli isolates were reduced. This is also in line with a previous study which demonstrated that exposing Lactobacillus spp. to gastric acid with pH ≥ 2 after 3 hrs. incubation caused a reduction in the viability count of the bacteria intensively [26]. The lactobacillus test isolates showed excellent activity against common clinical pathogens when the antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of the probiotic isolates was evaluated, thereby supporting the reported that some Lactobacillus spp. were able to sufficiently inhibit the growth of some selected clinical pathogens [27]. Other studies showed also that some strains of Lactobacillus spp. had an inhibitory effect on different indicator bacteria [28-30].

There are many strains among lactobacilli with documented probiotic ability, thus they have a more application in prevention of infection [31]. During the evaluation of acid and bile tolerance, the growth abilities of the isolated strains showed that all the isolates
in this study could not tolerate the pH ≤ 2 but could grow in the presence of bile salt. Similar findings were reported previously and showed that the protective effect of food matrix prevents bacteria from bile exposure and hence, gives rise to the increased bile resistance of microbial strains [26]. Acid and bile salt tolerance have been demonstrated as important virulence mechanisms for pathogenic microorganisms [32].

The mechanism of microbial dead after exposure to bile and heat has been linked to the disruptions of cellular homeostasis that causes "the dissociation of lipid bilayer and integral protein of their cell membranes, resulting in bacterial content leakage and finally death of cell" [33,34]. The results of this study showed that yoghurt can be consumed as a native reserve for beneficial bacteria such as probiotics, although extra studies should be done for determining the probiotic effects of isolated lactobacilli and other lactic acid bacteria of the yoghurt.

In this study, we found some isolates of Lactobacilli with strong immunostimulatory activities. The result showed that the isolates significantly boosted the immune cells in animal model with depressed immunity. There have been reports that some strains of Lactobacilli used in fermented milk have immunostimulatory activities and can prevent allergic diseases as well as infectious diseases [35-37].

**Conclusion**

The study of immunostimulatory activities of commercially available yoghurts in Awka metropolis showed that most of the yoghurts contain viable Lactobacilli capable of boosting immune system and inhibiting the growth of some pathogenic bacteria. The results presented in this study should serve as initial step for further studies that can investigate the mechanisms involved in the immunomodulatory activities of probiotic Lactobacilli. Equally important are approaches in search of safe use of all discovered probiotic strains.
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