CONGENITAL BILATERAL DACRYOCYSTOCELE WITH NASAL PYRIFORM APERTURE STENOSIS
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Abstract

Congenital bilateral dacryocystocele with concomitant congenital nasal pyriform aperture stenosis is a very rare case we seen in the paediatric age group. We report a case referred by the paediatric department to rule out choanal stenosis. The referring department had difficulty to insert a feeding tube to the patient. Nose and throat examination showed reduce misting on cold spatula test and unable to introduce nasoendoscope into both nostrils, which indicates obstruction. Radiological investigation revealed as congenital dacryocystocele with nasal pyriform aperture stenosis. The patient was managed surgically with good recovery.
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Introducing

Congenital dacryocystocele with congenital nasal pyriform aperture stenosis (CNPAS) is an uncommon congenital case. Usually, neither dacryocystocele nor nasal pyriform aperture stenosis will have both abnormalities at the same time. The congenital dacryocystocele is a rare pathology, with only 0.1% seen in infants with congenital nasolacrimal obstruction [1]. In congenital dacryocystocele, it usually occurs concomitant to obstruction of the upper and lower valve (Rosenmuller and Hasner valve). The sac filled with mucoid material with a grey-blue cystic swelling just below the medial canthus. However, in CNPAS, bony overgrowth of the maxillary processes is the causes. Its true incidence is still unknown. It is estimated that CNPAS has a reported incidence of 1 in 5,000 to 8,000 live birth [2,3]. It should be confirmed by computed tomography (CT) scan of the paranasal sinus. Both congenital, if bilaterally obstructed will cause of respiratory distress or feeding difficulties especially in newborn.

Case Report

We report a case of a full-term infant of a mother with Gestational Diabetes Mellitus (GDM) who has been taking subcutaneous insulin during the pregnancy. At 40 hours of life, she was referred to Otorhinolaryngology team for stertor and suspected choanal stenosis as the paediatric team failed to insert suction tube size 5 over left nostril. On examination, the child has no dysmorphic features, mild tachypnoea with no stridor and the cold spatula test showed minimal fogging on the left side and good fogging on the right nostril. Oxymetazoline nasal drop 0.01% was started and the patient was planned for CT scan of the
paranasal sinus.

CT scan reported as bilateral soft tissue lesion medial to the globe likely lacrimal lesion, no fat content to suggest dermoid cyst (Figure 1), narrowing of bilateral nasal aperture suggestive of stenosis (Figure 2), and presence of solitary maxillary central mega incisor. Bilateral choana was normal. Thus proceed with magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and reported as dilatation of the bilateral nasolacrimal ducts, and bilateral dacryocystocele measure 0.5cm x 0.6cm on both sides (Figure 3). No brain tissue herniation was seen in this region.

The patient underwent marsupialization of dacryocystocele and drilling of the stenotic part. Operative findings showed narrowing of the bilateral nasal pyriform aperture with a deviated nasal septum to the left and bulging of the nasolacrimal duct (dacryocystocele), with normal choana opening bilaterally. The endotracheal tube size 3.5 mm was inserted as a stent into both nostrils.

After 3 days of post-operation, the patient was extubated well. Subsequently on day 7 post-operation, the stent was removed under general anaesthesia. After the operation, the cold spatula test was positive (fogged) bilaterally. The repeated flexible scope was done in the neonatal intensive care unit showed both nostril patents with minimal trauma at the right nostril. No adhesion or synechiae were seen.

The patient was then discharged home with nasal douching and reviewed after six weeks in the clinic. Clinically the baby was comfortable with no noisy breathing. Cold spatula test and flexible scope showed patent both nostrils.

**Figure 1.** Axial view CT of paranasal sinus on the bone window. Blue arrow showed bilateral soft tissue lesion medial to the globe likely lacrimal lesion.
Figure 2. Axial view CT of paranasal sinus on the bone window. Blue arrow showed bilateral nasal pyriform aperture stenosis.

Figure 3. Axial view MRI of the paranasal sinus. Blue arrow showed bilateral dacryocystocele.
Discussion

The nasal obstruction is potentially severe when it affects the newborns, as they are obligator nasal breather. The most common cause of nasal obstruction of the newborn and the infants are mucosal become oedematous secondary to viral rhinitis or idiopathic rhinitis of the infants [4]. However, it is important to exclude nasal anatomical anomalies such as choana atresia, congenital dacrocystocele and CNPAS.

To the best of our knowledge, there is no reported case of concurrent congenital dacrocystocele and nasal pyriform aperture stenosis in another journal yet. As in our case, the patient had two different congenital anomalies that never been apart or known as associated anomalies.

The congenital dacrocystocele was described as an obstruction of the nasolacrimal system and with an uncommon cause of respiratory dysfunction of the newborns, when expanded to the nasal cavity [5]. In the pathogenesis of dacrocystocele, when the sinus of Maier is absent, the superior and inferior canaliculi enter the lacrimal sac independently and at a hyperacute angle, this occurs in approximately 10% of normal infants and may predispose to kinking, creating a valve effect [6]. A non-patent valve of Hasner (distal lacrimal drainage system) is a prerequisite for the formation of a dacryocystocele and may exist in up to 73% of normal term infants [7]. When this valve mechanism coexists with obstruction of the valve of Hasner, the stage is set for the development of a dacryocystocele: fluid that is squeezed by the blinking motion enters the lacrimal sac but cannot exit [6]. The lacrimal sac can easily expand in the medial canthal region since there is no bony or tendinous structure to directly limit its expansion and since its wall is made of respiratory epithelium.

No associated anomalies have been described. Consequence anomalies include an enlarged lacrimal duct in the maxilla and lacrimal bone, a medial deviation of the inferior turbinates, and more rarely of the septum. Rarely, the appearance of hypertelorism is produced by the extra soft tissue medial to the eye [8]. Clinically, dacrocystocele presents as a grey-bluish cystic swelling in medial canthal area which typically present at birth and often resolved spontaneously with conservative management [9]. For differential diagnosis nasal obstruction with paranasal masses which is could be dacryocystocele, encephalocoele, demoid, haemangioma, mucocele, nasal glioma, sebaceous cyst and dacryocystitis [10].

CNPAS is an uncommon cause of nasal obstruction of the newborn. There have been only 2 reported cases of CNPAS in Malaysia [11]. It is characterized by an abnormal bony overgrowth of the nasal process of the maxilla into the nasal cavity [12]. There are two theories about the pathogenesis of CNPAS; deficiency of the primary palate, associated with a triangular hard palate and bony overgrowth in the nasal process of the maxilla, with the normal-shaped palate [12], which probably occurs at 4 months of fetal development [13], resulting in both cranial and facial anomalies of the midline head because CNPAS is a microform of holoprosencephaly (most likely hypothesis), which is a malformation resulting from incorrect cleavage of the embryonic prosencephalon [14]. The resulting brain malformation is variable (small forebrain vesicle, the incomplete formation of hemispheres, single ventricle), as are the facial anomalies (anophthalmia, cyclopia, ethmocephaly, cebocephaly, hypotelorism, flat nasal bridge, maxillary agenesis, median cleft lip or single central incisor [15]. The link between holoprosencephaly and CNPAS is confirmed by the frequency of some of these anomalies associated with the nasal disorder. The causal factors of holoprosencephaly are diverse; both autosomal dominant and autosomal recessive modes of transmission have been described, and there are also associations with trisomy 13, trisomy 18, fetal alcohol syndrome and maternal type 1 diabetes [14].

As in our case, there is no related or associated anomaly that could cause it. However, the risk factor that most probable to occur together is the mother who had GDM on subcutaneous insulin.

Computed tomography (CT) scan is the choice of imaging investigation for both anomalies. The dacrocystocele features include nasolacrimal duct dilatation, cystic mass involving the medial canthus or nasal cavity, superior displacement of the inferior turbinate and contralateral shift of nasal septum. While the pyriform aperture is considered to be stenotic when the transversal diameter of each aperture is lower or equal to 3
mm or the total transversal diameter of the pyriform aperture is lower than 8-11mm in a normal newborn [16]. Ultrasound is a simple and non-invasive method that can be used without sedation to differentiate dacryocystocele from other intranasal mass [17]. The sonographic appearance pointed to the diagnosis of dacryocystocele include a medial cystic mass that in communication with the dilated nasolacrimal duct. Ultrasound also allows examination of the content of dacryocystocele which contains fluid or debris in the nasolacrimal sac [18]. MRI is another important imaging in both anomalies and is the method of choice allowing better differentiation between the solid and cystic components of nasolacrimal drainage apparatus lesion [19]. It is useful to study the disease relation with central nervous system before carrying out any surgical intervention.

The treatment of dacryocystocele may be conservative in the absence of respiratory commitment, or surgical intervention such as endoscopic marsupialization of the cyst when respiratory commitment that interferes with feeding or when the parents are not very confident to maintain the child at home with nasal obstruction [5]. In CNPAS, if the patients obtain a good breathing and adequate dietary ingestion with nasal washing, they can be clinically managed, but the surgical enlargement of the pyriform aperture is recommended in those patients with breathing and feeding difficulty [20].

In our case, both congenital anomalies are present and the patient also had a nasal obstruction. Surgical intervention is very beneficial for the patient. The patient underwent marsupialization of dacryocystocele and drilling of the stenotic part.

Results of surgical repair are satisfactory with the improvement of respiratory distress, apneic episodes and feeding problems. Postoperative treatment is important, using decongestants and nasal humidification for several weeks associated with some patients with treatment of gastroesophageal reflux. Finally, it is crucially important of suctioning into narrow nostrils, in postoperative management, to avoid mucosal inflammation and synechiae.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, both congenital bilateral dacryocystocele with concomitant nasal pyriform aperture stenosis is a very rare case and not in associated anomalies have been described above. However it still possible to occur with the risk of GDM mother on subcutaneous insulin. The role of CT scan and MRI is the most important part for the diagnosis in a neonate with respiratory distress, feeding difficulties or query congenital nasal anomaly. In the case of severe nasal obstruction or respiratory dysfunction as in this case report, surgery needs to be performed and prompt surgical treatment is mandatory.
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