Severe hypoxia following video capsule endoscopy
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Abstract

Video capsule endoscopy is generally associated with gastrointestinal complications, but there have been few instances of asymptomatic aspiration. We present a 74-year-old male being evaluated for gastrointestinal bleed. A video capsule was deployed with no acute respiratory symptoms. However, after airway intubation for emergent gastrointestinal surgery, he developed profound hypoxia. The video capsule was found to be completely occluding his left main stem bronchus. Due to a significantly surgically altered airway secondary to previous head and neck carcinoma and the capsule’s difficult size and shape, the capsule was dislodged only through the use of a Fogarty catheter with eventual removal from the oropharynx using forceps.

Introduction

The source of gastrointestinal (GI) hemorrhage often cannot be verified through traditional endoscopy. Video capsule endoscopy provides clinicians with an additional tool to diagnose obscure GI bleeds. Contraindications to capsule endoscopy include dysphagia and GI obstruction.1 As well, the capsule can also be placed endoscopically. The most common complications include capsule retention and the potential for GI injury.2 We present a rare pulmonary complication of video capsule endoscopy.

Case Report

A 74-year-old white male was transferred from an outside hospital secondary to a GI bleed. Previous endoscopic evaluation was non-diagnostic. His past medical history was pertinent for squamous cell carcinoma of the right neck requiring surgical resection. On physical examination, his vital signs were stable. He had a surgically altered right neck base, but well healed surgical scars. He tolerated a soft mechanical diet and swallowed medication. His abdomen was soft, non-tender and no stool or blood was found on rectal exam. His hemoglobin was 8.8 mg/dL, whereas one month earlier it had been 13 mg/dL.

Due to his history of mild dysphagia, the GI service attempted endoscopic video capsule placement. Unfortunately, the capsule and the deployment casing became dislodged in the hypopharynx and were unable to be retrieved. It was thought that the patient had reflexively swallowed the capsule. He had no evidence of respiratory distress or cough and his oxygen saturation was greater than 90% on room air. A post procedure x-ray was interpreted as the capsule being located in the esophagus.

The next day, repeat EGD found an active duodenal bleed. He was taken emergently to the operating room, intubated and placed on mechanical ventilation. He tolerated duodenal ulcer repair, but became severely hypoxic. Arterial blood gas performed on 100% oxygen revealed a PaO₂ of 47 mmHg. The patient was hemodynamically stable, and per anesthesia notes, he had equal bilateral breath sounds. A chest x-ray (Figure 1) was obtained and pulmonary was emergently consulted.

Bronchoscopy revealed complete obstruction of the left main stem bronchus by the video capsule (Figure 2). Due to its size, shape, and location, the capsule could not be removed with traditional therapies including forceps, wire basket or a roth net. Instead, a Fogarty catheter was passed distal to the pill, inflated and pulled back to retract the capsule to the trachea (Figure 3) and eventually to the hypopharynx where it was removed via forceps. He was then safely transferred to the ICU without further sequelae.

Review of the patient’s pill cam video showed that the capsule went directly to the left main stem bronchus where it became lodged at the twelfth minute and stayed for the remaining 8 h of video.

Discussion

This case presents a rare occurrence of video capsule endoscopy aspiration, made symptomatic after intubation. We hypothesize that the positive pressure from mechanical ventilation forced the pill distally, completely occluding the left main stem bronchus. This suggests that non-emergent intubation in large foreign body aspiration should potentially be avoided. A large, round, foreign object in a severely surgically altered airway also complicated our case. As a result, we were unable to use rigid bronchoscopy or other traditional retrieval methods and were forced to rely on balloon catheters. Other retrieval options include the use of cryoprobes which can be cold-adhered to foreign objects3 as well as surgical removal of foreign objects as a means of last resort.

There have been only seventeen previous cases of pill cam aspiration mentioned in the literature.4-19 These patients had only mild respiratory symptoms, such as cough or wheeze, and none had the severe hypoxia observed in our patient. Indeed, 5 of the patients were completely asymptomatic.1,3,12,13,15,16 Only 3 previous patients aspirated the capsule to the left.10,16,17 Factors favoring foreign body aspiration to the right include the angle and increased diameter of the right main stem bronchus as well as increased airflow to the right hemithorax. Our patient was in the left lateral decubitus position during the initial endoscopy, favoring aspiration to this side. Approximately half the patients spontaneously ejected the capsule,1,5,7,9,11,12,14,15,19 while the others required either bronchoscopic or endoscopic intervention.4,6,8,10,13,16-18

Capsule endoscopy is used routinely for identification of obscure GI bleed. However, clinical complications are rare.4 As such, the few case reports referenced in this article and experience with aspiration of other foreign bodies are the only source for clinical recommendations. In cases where there is clear aspiration or loss, standard radiography should easily identify the location of the capsule. This would certainly be the preferred method should surgery or pulmonology be consulted. In situations where the patient is not clinically stable for transport, video images themselves can be downloaded within minutes.20 Of the few cases we cited, most resolved without intervention. Ultimately, if the location of the capsule is unknown, direct visualization is necessary. If there is clear aspiration, regardless of symptomatology, the capsule should be
In conclusion, we present a case of severe hypoxia following video capsule endoscopy. Use of direct endoscopic placement of the video capsule should help to prevent this complication in the future, but not always, as this case demonstrates. A high index of suspicion for aspiration and radiographic or endoscopic confirmation is necessary when capsule delivery is difficult or patients become symptomatic. We hope to increase awareness of this rare, but potentially fatal pulmonary complication as well as to describe other methods by which to remove difficult foreign objects.
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