ABSTRACT

Purpose: To evaluate safety and efficacy of day care cataract surgery in developing country. Patients and Methods: This prospective study included 200 patients planned for cataract surgery during October and November 2012 divided in to two groups, day care cataract surgery (DCCS) and inpatient cataract surgery (ICS), with same number of male and female patients right and left eyes. All patients had same operative conditions and postoperative follow up. Results: The average age of patients in this study was 68.4 ± 7.47 years. Visual acuity before cataract extraction was 0.1754 where 44.5% of patients had severe visual impairment and another 23% had complicated cataract. Posterior capsule rupture was noted in 4.5% of cases. The main risk factors in both groups were: higher age, female gender, left side, complicated cataract, higher dioptric power of IOL and ECCE. Regular control ophthalmologic examinations 30, 90 and 180 days after the cataract extraction did not reveal signs bullous keratopathy, wound dehiscence, cystoid macular edema and endophthalmitis in any of patients. Postoperative visual acuity 180 days after the operation in DCCS was 0.920 ± 0.154 and 0.928 ± 0.144 in ICS. Visual acuity less than 0.5 was noted in 4.5% due to posterior eye segment changes. Patients in DCCS group had 30 control examinations more and 95 days of hospitalization less than ICS with 16.5% cost reduction. Conclusion: The concept of day care cataract surgery is equally safe and more cost effective than inpatient cataract surgery.
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3. RESULTS

Patients in this study were equally divided into two groups of 100 patients, with 50 male and female patients, with 25 right and left eyes equally distributed between the groups and genders ($\chi^2 = 0.000, df = 1, p < 0.05$). Average age of patients in DCCS group was 69.12 years (range (SD = ± 1.293, range 67.26–83) in ICS group (t = 1.424, df = 99, p = 0.158, p > 0.05). There were no statistically significant differences in age of patients in relation to sex and side of the cataract surgery.

Visual acuity before the cataract extraction in DCCS group was 0.1492 (SD = ± 0.103, range light perception–0.4) and 0.2016 (SD = ± 0.129, range light perception–0.5) in ICS group with statistically significant difference between the groups (t = –2.917, df = 99, p = 0.004, p < 0.05). Intraocular pressure (IOP) before cataract extraction in DCCS group was 15.628 mm Hg (SD = ± 1.293, range 13.1–18.5) and 15.696 mm Hg in ICS group (SD = ± 1.273, range 14.3 – 18.5) (t = –0.353, df = 99, p = 0.725, p < 0.05). Forty eight percent of patients in DCCS group had dense cataracts (mature and corticonuclear cataract) that prevented posterior segment visualization, while 41% of patients in ICS group had poor posterior segment visualization due to cataract. Table 1, presents cataracts morphological analysis in both groups.

Twenty six percent of patients in DCCS group had complicated cataract, associated with other ocular diseases and 20% in ICS group ($\chi^2 = 0.468, df = 1, p > 0.05$). Table 2, presents etiology of complicated cataracts included in this study. Most common systemic diseases associated with cataract in this study are presented in Table 3.

In DCCS group 8% of patients were operated with ECCE and 10% in ICS group ($\chi^2 = 0.244, df = 1, p = 0.621, p > 0.05$). Average dioptric power of implanted IOL in DCCS group was 22.37 D (SD = ± 2.15 D, range 12.0–27.0) and 21.89 D (SD = ± 2.58, range 12.0–28.0) in ICS group (t = 1.434, df = 99, p = 0.155, p > 0.05). Intraoperative complications namely posterior capsule rupture (PCR) was noted in 5% of cases in DCCS and in 4% in ICS ($\chi^2 = 0.116, df = 1, p = 0.733, p > 0.05$). Average age of patients with noted PCR in DCCS group was 75.6 years and 77.5 years in ICS group compared to 68.78 and 67.26 years in patients without complications (t = 2.711, df = 98, p = 0.008, p < 0.05). Four out of five patients (80%) in DCCS group and 2 out of four (50%) in ICS group. Four out of five (80%) patients in DCCS group had PCR while operating left eye and three out of four (75%) patients in ICS group. Three out of four (60%) patients in DCCS group and three out of four (75%) patients in ICS group had PCR in complicated cataract. Average dioptric power of implanted IOL in patients with PCR was 24.4 D in DCCS and 24.0 D in ICS compared to 22.3 D and 21.8 D in patients who did not have PCR (t = 2.201, df = 98, p = 0.030, p < 0.05). One out of eight (12.5%) patients in DCCS and one out of ten (10%) patients in ICS operated with ECCE had PCR. Corneal sutures after PE were used in 31 patient in DCCS group and 30 patients in ICS group ($\chi^2 = 0.023, df = 1, p = 0.878, p > 0.05$). None of the patients in both groups had any of anesthesia related complications or surgical complications including iris prolapse, dropped nucleus or ocular hemorrhage.

All patients in DCCS group were discharged day after cataract extraction, where 14 patients had pronounced corneal edema and had 2 additional control examinations 3 and 5 days postoperatively. Patients in ICS group were admitted in the hospital for preoperative preparation and some of them were operated the same day (25%) and other were operated day after. Patients with pronounced edema remained in hospital for more than 2 days and total length of hospitalization of all patients in control group was 195 days. Diagram 1, shows duration of hospitalization in ICS group of patients. Postoperative control ophthalmologic examination 7 days after cataract surgery revealed that 25% of patients in DCCS and 18% of patients in ICS group did have persistent corneal edema ($\chi^2 = 0.007, df = 1, p = 0.649, p > 0.05$). Corneal sutures were removed on control examination 7 days after cataract extraction in 14 patients in DCCS and 16 patients in ICS group, while remaining 17 sutures in DCCS and 14 sutures in ICS group were removed on additional control examination 14 days postoperatively ($\chi^2 = 0.348, df = 1, p = 0.696, p > 0.05$). Diagram 2, presents number of additional control examinations in both groups. Regular control ophthalmologic examinations 30, 90 and 180 days after the cataract extraction did not reveal signs of any serious postoperative complications in both groups such as bullous keratopathy, wound dehiscence or leakage, ocular hemorrhage, IOP rise, IOL decentration, cystoid macular edema and endophthalmitis. None of the patients required Nd YAG laser treatment due to posterior capsule opacification. Postoperative visual

| Cataract morphology | DCCS group | ICS group |
|---------------------|------------|----------|
| Cataracta matura    | 18         | 12       |
| Cataracta corticonuclearis | 30     | 29       |
| Cataracta non matura|            |          |
| Corticalis posterior| 25         | 31       |
| Nuclearis           | 19         | 21       |
| Subcapsularis posterior | 8    | 7        |
| TOTAL               | 100        | 100      |

Table 1. Cataract morphological analysis in both groups

| Ocular diseases          | DCCS group | ICS group |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|
| Glaucoma                 | 10         | 6        |
| Pseudoexfoliative syndrome| 5          | 8        |
| High myopia              | 4          | 2        |
| Ocular trauma            | 4          | 2        |
| Uveitis                  | 3          | 2        |
| TOTAL                    | 26         | 20       |

Table 2. Etiology of complicated cataract

| Systemic diseases        | DCCS group | ICS group |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|
| Arterial hypertension    | 42         | 35       |
| Hyperlipidemia           | 26         | 29       |
| Diabetes mellitus type 2 | 21         | 26       |
| Chronic cardiomyopathy   | 15         | 18       |
| Chronic obstructive lung disease | 6   | 4        |
| Rheumatoid arthritis     | 3          | 2        |
| Hyperthyroidism          | 1          | 2        |

Table 3. Common systemic diseases

| Table 1. Cataract morphology analysis in both groups

| Systemic diseases          | DCCS group | ICS group |
|--------------------------|------------|----------|
| Arterial hypertension    | 42         | 35       |
| Hyperlipidemia           | 26         | 29       |
| Diabetes mellitus type 2 | 21         | 26       |
| Chronic cardiomyopathy   | 15         | 18       |
| Chronic obstructive lung disease | 6   | 4        |
| Rheumatoid arthritis     | 3          | 2        |
| Hyperthyroidism          | 1          | 2        |
acuity 180 days the operation was 0.920 ± 0.154 in DCCS and 0.928 ± 0.144 in ICS (t = -0.364, df = 99, p = 0.716, p >0.05). Five patients in DCCS and four in ICS had visual acuity less than 0.5 due to posterior eye segment changes, which could not be detected preoperatively due to dense cataracts. Diagram 3. shows preoperative and postoperative values of visual acuity in both groups.

Postoperative IOP 180 days after cataract surgery in DCCS was 15.546 mm Hg (SD ± 1.272) and in ICS 15.404 mm Hg (SD ± 1.110) (t = 0.843, df = 99, p = 0.401, p >0.05). Diagram 4. shows preoperative and postoperative values of IOP in both groups. Patients in DCCS group had 30 control examinations more and 95 days of hospitalization less than ICS patients. Average costs of the operative treatment and all postoperative control examination in DCCS group were 1150.4 KM and 1377.75 KM in ICS group. This means that patients operated as day care cataract surgery have at least 16.5% cost reduction compared to inpatient cataract surgery patients.

### 4. DISCUSSION

Patients in both groups were operated in similar operative conditions, with same instruments, IOLs, same preoperative and postoperative regimen. All patients were divided into two groups, with same number of male and female patients, same number of right and left eyes and same average age. Average age of patients included in this study is 68.4 years and is higher than world average of 65 years and Eastern Mediterranean Region 60 years but lower than European average of 72 years. Average visual acuity (VA) before cataract surgery in this study was 0.1754 (DCCS 0.14892 and ICS 0.2016). Forty four point five percent of patients have severe visual impairment (VA lower than 0.1) consequent to advanced cataract and poor posterior segment visualization with an uncertain prognosis of postoperative visual outcome. In countries with low Human Development Index patients with severe visual impairment consist over 47% of all cataract patients while in developed countries it is only 1% (10, 11, 12). Therefore it can be concluded, that patients in B&H come to seek treatment of cataract when they feel significant visual loss, like in other developing countries (13).

Twenty three percent of patients in this study have complicated cataract, where glaucoma, pseudoexfoliative syndrome and high myopia are identified as leading ocular comorbidities. More than 70% of patients have one or more systemic disorders, where arterial hypertension, hyperlipidemia and diabetes mellitus are most common. In this study, 9% of all patients, namely older patients with signs of possible corneal dystrophy were operated using ECCE, similar to results of other authors (14, 15, 16). Four point five percent of patients included in this study (5% in DCCS and 4% in ICS) have reported PCR which is higher than previously reported 1.01 to 1.92 (14, 15). Higher rate of PCR in this study can be a result of difficult case mix included in this study, especially in DCCS, where many patients had one or more risk factors for PCR development, with reported higher PCR prevalence up to 32% (16). In this study higher age, higher IOL power associated with shallow anterior chamber, left side, female gender, ECCE are identified as risk factors for PCR development similar to previously published data (15). It important to note that 30.5% of patients had corneal suture as prevention of wound leakage and none of the patients had any other serious complications.

Control examination day after cataract extraction revealed corneal edema in 86.5% of patients (86% in DCCS and 85% in ICS) and 14% of patients in DCCS had 2 ad-
ditional control examinations due to corneal edema. Dinakaran et al. found that 30% of patients can have corneal edema day after surgery that can need therapy modification and another 10% can have raised IOP that needs to be addressed (17). On the other side, it should be noted that in cases of uneventful phacoemulsification, telephone review can be safe, effective and acceptable first-day review method (19) and also postponed till two weeks postoperatively (20). Control examination 7 days after cataract surgery revealed that 25% of patients in DCCS and 18% of patients in ICS group still had corneal edema that needed topical treatment extension similar to results of previous research (20). Seventeen patients in DCCS and 14 in ICS group were removed on additional control examination 14 days postoperatively. Control examinations 30, 90 and 180 days after the cataract extraction did not reveal signs of postoperative complications such as bullous keratopathy, wound dehiscence, ocular hemorrhage, IOP rise, IOL decentration, cystoid macular edema, posterior capsule opacification and endophthalmitis similar to result of previous research (5). Postoperative VA 180 days after the operation was 0.924 (0.920 in DCCS and 0.928 in ICS). A total of nine patients (4.5%) (5 in DCCS and 4 in ICS) had VA worse than 0.5 due to posterior eye segment changes, but not related to PCR. Posterior segment causes of reduced postoperative VA included diabetic retinopathy, age related macular degeneration and optic nerve atrophy. In this study 89 patients had poor posterior segment visualization where 9 (9.9%) had poor postoperative VA similar to Jammal et al. (18) who found that 12.9% patients with dense cataracts and reduced posterior segment visualization have poor postoperative VA.

Costs of cataract surgery vary significantly from country to country, from 245 $ in developed to 1600 $ in developing countries (21, 22, 23). Average costs of cataract surgery in this study range from 810 $ in DCCS to 970 $ in ICS and these results put Bosnia and Herzegovina in group of middle developed countries (22). Day care surgery provides similar outcome measures, it is more economical and patient friendly option (4, 5, 21). Patients included in this study operated either as day care cataract surgery or inpatient cataract surgery had almost the same postoperative outcome measures, namely early and late postoperative surgical complications and VA. However, difference between groups is that patients in DCCS had 30 control examinations more and 95 days of hospitalization less than ICS patients. These adjustments resulted in 16.5% cost reduction in DCCS compared to ICS, similar to some other researches (5).

The rate of day care surgery differ significantly between European countries where Denmark has the highest (100%) and Austria the lowest (0%) rate of day care surgery (7). The rate is influenced by the acute-care beds density, but also by the density of practicing physicians and by the public expenditure on health (7). In this study in ICS group only 25% of patients were operated as day case cataract surgery. The important fact is that although it is easily accessible, all patients do not opt for day care surgery due to socio-economic reasons and feel more comfortable with the inpatient care (7, 22, 24). Also, surgeons should think of inpatients surgery in patients with complicated cataract and systemic illness (24, 24).

In the conclusion day care surgery is equally safe and more cost effective than inpatient cataract surgery. Certain patients will require inpatient care and both systems should coexist and be available at the same time.
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