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Abstract

Background

Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is caused by SFTS virus (SFTSV), a tick-borne phlebovirus in family Bunyaviridae. Studies have found that humans, domestic and wildlife animals can be infected by SFTSV. However, the viral ecology, circulation, and transmission remain largely unknown.

Methodology/Principal Findings

Sixty seven human SFTS cases were reported and confirmed by virus isolation or immunofluorescence assay between 2011 and 2014. In 2013–2014 we collected 9,984 ticks from either vegetation or small wild mammals in the endemic area in Jiangsu, China, and detected SFTSV-RNA by real-time RT-PCR in both questing and feeding Haemaphysalis longicornis and H. flava. Viral RNA was identified in larvae of H. longicornis prior to a first blood meal, which has never been confirmed previously in nature. SFTSV-RNA and antibodies were also detected by RT-PCR and ELISA, respectively, in wild mammals including Erinaceus europaeus and Sorex araneus. A live SFTSV was isolated from Erinaceus europaeus captured during the off tick-feeding season and with a high SFTSV antibody titer. Furthermore, SFTSV antibodies were detected in the migratory birds Anser cygnoides and Streptopelia chinensis using ELISA.
Conclusions/Significance
The detection of SFTSV-RNA in non-engorged larvae indicated that vertical transmission of SFTSV in *H. longicornis* might occur in nature, which suggests that *H. longicornis* is a putative reservoir host of SFTSV. Small wild mammals such as *Erinaceus europaeus* and *Sorex araneus* could be infected by SFTSV and may serve as natural amplifying hosts. Our data unveiled that wild birds could be infected with SFTSV or carry SFTSV-infected ticks and thus might contribute to the long-distance spread of SFTSV via migratory flyways. These findings provide novel insights for understanding SFTSV ecology, reservoir hosts, and transmission in nature and will help develop new measures in preventing its rapid spread both regionally and globally.

Author Summary
Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is an emerging hemorrhagic fever, caused by a tick-borne phlebovirus. Studies have found that a variety of domestic and wildlife animals can be infected by SFTS virus (SFTSV), but the natural reservoir host for the virus remains unclear. Although the SFTSV-RNA was identified in certain species of ticks or their larvae, contamination from their host animals cannot be excluded to be the source. We analyzed 9,984 ticks collected from vegetation or feeding mammals in 2013–2014 in Jiangsu province, an endemic area in China, and detected SFTSV-RNA in both parasitic and questing ticks. Interestingly, SFTSV-RNA was identified in larvae of *Haemaphysalis longicornis*, collected in vegetation without being blood fed, indicating a possibility of a vertical transmission of SFTSV in *H. longicornis* in nature. We also detected SFTSV-RNA in four mammal species which may serve as natural amplifying hosts for SFTSV. In addition, we identified antibodies against the virus in two migratory bird species, suggesting wild birds, exposed to infected ticks, could spread the virus through flyways for long-distance transmission. These findings provide novel insights for understanding SFTSV ecology and transmission mechanism and help develop new measures to halt its rapid spread.

Introduction
Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is an emerging infectious disease with a relatively high mortality, caused by the SFTS virus (SFTSV), a recently identified phlebovirus in the family *Bunyaviridae* [1]. The disease is characterized by high fever, a drastic reduction of platelets and leukocytes resulting in multi-organ failure in severe cases. The death rates reported have varied from 2.5 to 30%.

SFTSV was firstly isolated from a patient in Jiangsu province in the Eastern China in 2007 [2]. By the end of 2014, over 5,000 cases of human SFTS had been reported in 23 provinces in China [3]. There have been no data, however, to show the exact morbidity rate of SFTS in humans. Seroprevalence in humans varied from 0.44 to 7.2% based on reports in different epidemic areas [4,5]. The disease was also reported from Japan and Korea, where SFTSV strains were isolated, and a closely related virus called Heartland virus was isolated from patients with similar symptoms in the United States, which could be transmitted by ticks [6,7,8].

SFTSV is thought to be a tick-borne zoonotic virus [1,9,10], and has been detected in or isolated from several species of ticks including *Haemaphysalis longicornis, Amblyomma*
testudinarium, and Ixodes nipponensis in China and Korea [11,12,13,14]. Heartland virus has also been isolated from A. americanum [15]. Previous studies conducted in Jiangsu and Shandong provinces of China showed that many domestic animals including goats, dogs, cattle, pigs, and chickens can be infected by SFTSV with no or only inconspicuous symptoms [13,16]. SFTSV-RNA has also been detected in larvae of H. longicornis, but contamination of the virus from the mammal hosts cannot be excluded since the larvae were collected from host animals [11]. A recent study showed that viral RNA could be detected in unfed larvae of H. longicornis, hatched from the eggs laid by infected adult ticks, demonstrating that SFTSV could be vertically transmitted experimentally. However, viral RNA was not detected in larvae collected in nature [17]. In addition, no live virus has been isolated from larvae or eggs [17]. Therefore, the natural reservoir, animal hosts and the mode of a vertical transmission for SFTSV have yet to be completely determined.

In this study, we conducted a comprehensive survey to elucidate SFTSV ecology in ticks and small wild animals in the SFTS endemic area in Jiangsu province. We detected SFTSV-RNA in both feeding and questing H. longicornis and H. flava. Interestingly, viral RNA was identified in larvae of H. longicornis, collected directly from the environment prior to a first blood meal, indicating that SFTSV could be vertically transmitted in nature. We also detected SFTSV-RNA in small wild mammals including Erinaceus europaeus and Sorex araneus, and detected viral antibodies in two migratory bird species, Anser cygnoides and Streptopelia chinensis. Infection of SFTSV in the wild bird species suggests that SFTSV could be transmitted long distances by them, which is also in agreement with a phylogenetic analysis reported earlier [18]. If further confirmed, it could explain why phylogenetically closely related viral strains have been identified in various regions geographically far away from each other.

Methods

1. Study Design

1.1 Case definition. A clinical case-patient was defined as a person who had fever, leukopenia, or thrombocytopenia. Other diseases, including hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome, human granulocytic anaplasmosis and dengue fever were ruled out with serological assays. Paired serum samples were collected from all the survived clinical SFTS patients.

A laboratory-diagnosed case needed to meet either of the criteria: 1) virus isolation; 2) viral antibody seroconversion or a four-fold increase in antibody titers by indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA).

1.2 Ticks and small animal collection. The study area was chosen in the western region of Jiangsu, the main SFTS epidemic area where human SFTS cases had been reported in a county in the past three years (Fig 1). Most of the area consists of a plain or low hill landform covered by grassland with small patches of broad-leaved forest, and possessing a temperate-subtropical climate. Collection of questing ticks was performed from March to December of 2013 and 2014 in 24 localities where SFTS cases occurred (Fig 1). Small wild mammals, birds, and feeding ticks were collected throughout the year in 2013 and 2014 in the same areas. For the feeding ticks, we collected them as tick-feeding season from March through October, and as off-tick-feeding season from November through next February.

All the sampling points (Fig 1B) were chosen near the areas where onset of human SFTS patients occurred within the past two weeks. Questing ticks were collected by using tick drags (1 x 1 m² white cotton flannel cloth) through various habitats including deciduous forest and meadow. Tick collection was performed for 4 days during the first week of each month between 9:00 and 11:00 am and between 3:00 and 5:00 pm. All transects (approximately 200) were aggregated into 24 points (Fig 1B) by geographical positioning. Counts were standardized as
the total number of adult ticks per flag-kilometer (flag-km). Data on tick abundance in each point was a total of the several transects examined in this area for a short period (4 days). The density and seasonal fluctuation were analyzed after classification of the specimens. Feeding ticks were collected from small wild animals. Ticks were pooled according to species and developmental stages (engorged or non-engorged larvae, nymphs, female and male adults) identified morphologically; each pool containing various numbers of ticks (1–30 for larvae, 1–20 for nymphs, and 1–10 for adult ticks/pool) was frozen and stored in liquid nitrogen. Small mammals were collected with live traps according to standard protocols as previously described [19]. Trapping grids were set up at sites adjacent to case households and in locations chosen to provide geographic diversity. Wild birds were obtained from local hunters.

All small animals were anesthetized using ketamine with a dose of 100 mg per kilogram weight by following the established safety guidelines for rodent captures and processing according to the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Atlanta, GA) protocols [20]; blood samples were drawn from the retro-orbital sinus, and animals were sacrificed via cervical dislocation [21]. We necropsied the small animals on-site and collected hearts, kidneys, spleens, livers, lungs, and brains, which were subsequently stored in liquid nitrogen. Tick pools and animal organs were homogenized in 500 μl of TLR buffer supplied in the RNeasy kit (Qiagen, Germany) with a tissue lyser, Tissuelyser LT (Qiagen). The homogenates were used for RNA extraction and SFTSV-RNA detection.

2. Virological Methods

2.1 SFTSV-RNA extraction and real-time RT-PCR. Total RNA prepared from the serum samples of the captured animals and the homogenates of the ticks or animal tissues were extracted using an RNeasy kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The RNA was quantified with Nanodrop 2000 (Thermo Scientific, USA), aliquoted and stored at -70°C until use.

Real-time RT-PCR was performed using the QuantiTech RT-PCR kit (Qiagen). The primers were designed as previously described and used in a one-step real-time RT-PCR [19]. The
forward (S-for)/reverse (S-rev) primers and MGB probe (S-pro) used in the real-time RT-PCR were targeted to the S segment of the viral genome [19]. Conditions for the reaction were as follows: 50°C for 30 min, 95°C for 15 min, 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 sec, and 60°C for 1 min. Amplification and detection were performed with an Applied Biosystems 7500 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Data were analyzed using the software supplied by the manufacturer.

2.2 Nested PCR detection. Ticks and animal tissues with positive results by real-time RT-PCR were further examined for SFTSV RNA S segments by nested RT-PCR, amplifying a 467-nt fragment from the small (S) segment of the viral genome. The first round of nested RT-PCR was performed using a One Step RT-PCR Kit (Qiagen, Germany) with designed outer primers, SF1: (5’-CCTTGACCCTGCTTTGAT-3’) and SR1: (5’-GCCACTTTACCCG AACAT-3’), which were designed using Primer Premier 5.0, under the following conditions: an initial step of 30 min at 50°C for reverse transcription and 15 min at 95°C for denaturation, followed by 35 cycles of 20 sec at 95°C, 40 sec at 50°C, and 30 sec at 72°C, and a final extension step of 5 min at 72°C. The second round of PCR was performed with primers which were used in a conventional RT-PCR in our previous study [19]. The reaction was performed in the same condition as the first round PCR. The products of the second round PCR were sent to Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China) for DNA sequencing with Sanger method using an automated ABI 3731XL DNA sequencer.

2.3 Virus isolation. SFTSV-RNA positive serum samples from 67 humans and 7 animals and supernatants or homogenized tissues from viral RNA-positive animals or ticks were used to inoculate Vero and DH82 cells for virus isolation as previous described [1]. Briefly, the serum or supernatants of homogenized tissues (in Modified Eagle Medium, MEM) were inoculated onto the Vero cell for two weeks. The culture supernatants were harvested and re-inoculated onto fresh DH82 cells. Cytopathic effect (CPE) on inoculated DH82 cells was examined daily under a light microscope. Isolated viruses were further identified by real-time RT-PCR.

2.4 SFTSV genome sequencing and phylogenetic analysis. The large (L) segments of SFTSV strains isolated from ticks and animals (S1 Table) were amplified by RT-PCR using the primers, based on published sequences of the SFTSV strains, described in the previous study [1]. The L gene encodes the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and is considered more conserved than other segments in the genome. The products were sent to Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China) for Sanger DNA sequencing. The termini of SFTSV-RNA segments were determined with the FirstChoice RLM-RACE Kit (Invitrogen, USA), and percentage similarities of nucleotide identity were calculated using Clustalx software. A phylogenetic tree for the L RNA segment was constructed with the neighbor joining method using the software MEGA 5.1.

3. Serological Methods

3.1 ELISA for SFTSV antibody detection. Serum samples from animals were tested for SFTSV antibodies including IgG and IgM with a commercial double antigen sandwich ELISA kit from Xinlianxin Biotech (Wuxi, China) [22,23]. For initial screening, an undiluted serum sample was used to determine whether the sample was positive for viral antibodies. Positive serum samples were further diluted in a 2-fold serial dilution starting at 1:2 for the assay to obtain endpoint titers determined by the cutoff values set by positive and negative controls as provided with the ELISA kit.

3.2 Immunofluorescence Assay (IFA). SFTSV-specific IgG antibodies were detected in all human sera by IFA as previously described [24]. Twenty microliter of diluted (1:2 to
1:1280) serum samples was added to the cell-spotted coverslips with viral antigens and incubated for 45 min at 37°C. After washing, 20μL of FITC-conjugated goat anti-human IgG (Abcam, UK) diluted 1:80 by us with Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) containing Evans Blue (1: 20,000) was added and the samples further incubated for 30 min at 37°C. After three washes, the slides were mounted in glycerin and examined under an immunofluorescence microscope.

4. Ethics Approval

Small wild mammals and wild birds were captured and handled in strict accordance with good animal practice according to the Animal Ethics Procedures and Guidelines of the People’s Republic of China (Regulations for Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals, China, 1988). Handling and sampling including tick and blood collection were also approved by the Animal Ethics Committee, Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention, with the certificates No. SCXK[su] 2012–0021 and No. JSCDCLL[2012]039. The certificates also provided the permission on the protocol for sampling wild birds including *Anser cygnoides*. The hunters, who procured the wild birds for recreational purpose, agreed to provide the birds for sampling upon request. The hunters were not part of the research team but were aware of the nature of the study. Thirty-one blood samples were collected from the SFTS patients with written informed consent obtained from all participants. The study involved in human blood sample collection and virus isolation was pre-reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of the Jiangsu Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Certificate No. JSCDCLL[2011]038). However, sample collection from the SFTS patients was not specifically for this study; instead the collection and the Certificate were for an existing project aiming at isolating the virus from the human patients.

Results

SFTS Endemic Area and Sampling Sites

Sixty-seven SFTS cases were reported in the region of Jiangsu province (Fig 1A) between 2011 and 2014, which were confirmed by virus isolation or serological diagnosis, which included antibody seroconversion or a four-fold elevation of the IgG antibodies against SFTSV in paired serum samples by IFA. Among the patients, 9 of 67 (13.4%) had experienced tick bites before the onset of disease. We designated the region where SFTS cases were identified to be the SFTS endemic area and sought to conduct a comprehensive study of SFTSV ecology in the selected sampling sites (Fig 1B).

Collection of Questing and Feeding Ticks in the SFTS Endemic Area

A total of 9,984 ticks (1,518 larvae, 5,336 nymphs, 1,412 male adults, and 1,718 female adults) were collected from 2013 to 2014 (3,240 in 2013 and 6,744 in 2014) from vegetation or wild mammals or birds in 24 localities (Fig 1; Tables 1 & S2). The tick samples were identified as belonging to four species: *Haemaphysalis longicornis*, *H. flava*, *H. concinna*, and *H. doenitzi*. Of the identified ticks, *H. longicornis* (85.3%, 8,520/9,984) was the most abundant species collected, followed by *H. flava* (9.6%, 958/9,984), *H. concinna* (3.7%, 366/9,984), and *H. doenitzi* (1.4%, 140/9,984).

Questing ticks started to appear in March, reached peak density in June and declined afterwards through September. A total of 6,360 questing ticks were collected (1,910 in 2013 and 4,450 in 2014), including 886 larvae, 3,302 nymphs, 1,042 male adults, and 1,130 female adults (Tables 1 & S2).
Collection of Ticks from Wild Mammals and Birds in Tick-Feeding and Off-Tick-Feeding Seasons

To collect feeding ticks and identify potential wild animal hosts for the virus in nature, we captured small wild mammals and birds during our survey in 2013 and 2014. During the two consecutive years, 1,283 small wild animals representing 6 species of rodents, 1 shrew, 2 wild birds, and 1 hedgehog were captured during the tick-feeding or off-tick-feeding season. The most frequently trapped animal species were *Mus musculus* (21.0%), *Sorex araneus* (14.3%), *Rattus flavipectus* (13.5%), *Rattus norvegicus* (11.5%), and *Erinaceus europaeus* (12.4%) (Table 2). A total of 3,624 ticks were collected from these mammals and birds, including 632 larvae, 2,034 nymphs, 370 male adults, and 588 female adults. In addition, blood samples were collected and sera were prepared from these animals for either SFTSV isolation, viral antibody or viral RNA detection.

### Table 1. Detection of SFTSV RNA in Various Stages of *Haemaphysalis longicornis* and *Haemaphysalis flava* Ticks Collected from Vegetation and Animals in 2013–2014 in Jiangsu.

| Sources | Species         | 2013 | 2014 |
|---------|-----------------|------|------|
|         | Ticks | Pools | Positive pools | MIR (%)* | Ticks | Pools | Positive pools | MIR (%)* |
|         |       |      |              |          |       |      |              |          |
| Vegetation | *Haemaphysalis longicornis* |       |      |              |          |       |      |              |          |
| Larva   | 250   | 10   | 0   | 0          | 530     | 30    | 2  | 0.4          |
| Nymph   | 910   | 92   | 2   | 0.2        | 1972    | 150   | 10 | 0.5          |
| Male    | 224   | 16   | 2   | 0.9        | 672     | 96    | 6  | 0.9          |
| Female  | 196   | 14   | 0   | 0          | 804     | 100   | 5  | 0.7          |
| Subtotal| 1580  | 132  | 4   | 0.3        | 3978    | 376   | 24 | 0.6          |
|         | *Haemaphysalis flava* |       |      |              |          |       |      |              |          |
| Larva   | 14    | 2    | 0   | 0          | 70      | 4     | 0  | 0            |
| Nymph   | 70    | 6    | 1   | 1.4        | 212     | 16    | 1  | 0.5          |
| Male    | 44    | 6    | 0   | 0          | 38      | 4     | 0  | 0            |
| Female  | 32    | 4    | 0   | 0          | 64      | 8     | 0  | 0            |
| Subtotal| 160   | 18   | 1   | 0.6        | 384     | 32    | 1  | 0.25         |
|         | *Haemaphysalis longicornis* |       |      |              |          |       |      |              |          |
| Larva   | 284   | 12   | 2   | 0.7        | 226     | 10    | 2  | 0.9          |
| Nymph   | 618   | 48   | 2   | 0.3        | 1064    | 76    | 7  | 0.6          |
| Male    | 76    | 10   | 0   | 0          | 210     | 16    | 2  | 1.0          |
| Female  | 110   | 14   | 0   | 0          | 374     | 28    | 2  | 0.5          |
| Subtotal| 1088  | 84   | 4   | 0.4        | 1874    | 130   | 13 | 0.7          |
|         | *Haemaphysalis flava* |       |      |              |          |       |      |              |          |
| Larva   | 46    | 4    | 0   | 0          | 26      | 1     | 0  | 0            |
| Nymph   | 94    | 10   | 1   | 1.1        | 126     | 8     | 1  | 0.8          |
| Male    | 4     | 1    | 0   | 0          | 50      | 6     | 0  | 0            |
| Female  | 6     | 1    | 0   | 0          | 62      | 8     | 0  | 0            |
| Subtotal| 150   | 16   | 1   | 0.7        | 264     | 23    | 1  | 0.4          |
|         | Total |       |      |              |          |       |      |              |          |
| Larva   | 594   | 28   | 2   | 0.3        | 852     | 45    | 4  | 0.5          |
| Nymph   | 1692  | 156  | 6   | 0.4        | 3374    | 250   | 19 | 0.6          |
| Male    | 348   | 33   | 2   | 0.6        | 970     | 122   | 7  | 0.7          |
| Female  | 344   | 33   | 0   | 0          | 1304    | 144   | 7  | 0.5          |
| Total   | 2978  | 250  | 10  | 0.3        | 6500    | 561   | 37 | 0.6          |

*MIR: Minimum infection rate, based on the formula, number of positive pools/total number of ticks tested. Male: Male adult ticks; Female: Female adult ticks

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004574.t001
Detection of SFTSV-RNA in Larvae and Nymphs of Ticks Collected from Vegetation or Wild Mammals

We first analyzed the pools from questing ticks collected from vegetation. In 2013, we detected SFTSV-RNA positive in two pools each of nymphs (minimal infection rate (MIR), 0.2%) and male adults (0.9%) of *H. longicornis*, and one pool of nymphs (1.4%) of *H. flava*; in 2014, we detected positive viral RNA in two, ten, six, and five pools of larvae (0.4%), nymphs (0.5%), male adults (0.9%), and female adults (0.7%), respectively, of *H. longicornis*, and one pool in nymphs (0.5%) of *H. flava* (Table 1). Remarkably, we identified viral RNA of SFTSV in two pools of *H. longicornis* larvae that were collected in 2014 from the environment directly and had no blood meal apparently, based on their morphology. Presence of SFTSV-RNA in larvae, collected directly from vegetation, indicates a possible vertical transmission of SFTSV in *H. longicornis* in nature. Detection rate of SFTSV-RNA in all stages of *H. flava* remained lower, positive viral RNA were only detected in nymphs but not in larvae, suggesting no evidence for the virus to be vertically transmitted in *H. flava* at this stage.

We next analyzed the pools from ticks collected from small wild animals. In 2013, we detected SFTSV-RNA positive in two pools each of larvae (MIR 0.7%) and nymphs (0.3%) of *H. longicornis*, and one pool of nymphs (1.1%) of *H. flava*; in 2014, we detected viral RNA positive in two, seven, two, and two pools of larvae (0.4%), nymphs (0.6%), male adults (1.0%), and female adults (0.7%), respectively, of *H. longicornis*, and one pool of nymphs (0.5%) of *H. flava* (Table 1). Comparing the two batches from different sources, the overall detection rates for each stage of ticks were similar between vegetation and small animals,

| Animal Species | Animal No. | ¤Viral RNA Pos. No. (%) | Viral Ab Pos. No. (%) | Animal No. | ¤Viral RNA Pos. No. (%) | Viral Ab Pos. No. (%) | Animal No. | ¤Viral RNA Pos. No. (%) | Viral Ab Pos. No. (%) | Total |
|----------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------|
| *Mus musculus* | 168        | 1(0.6)                 | 3(1.8)               | 102        | 0(0)                   | 1(1.0)               | 270        | 21(1.0)                | 1(0.4)               | 4(1.5) |
| *Rattus norvegicus* | 82        | 0(0)                   | 1(1.2)               | 66         | 0(0)                   | 1(1.5)               | 148        | 11(0.7)                | 0(0)                 | 2(1.4) |
| *Rattus flavipectus* | 102      | 0(0)                   | 2(2.0)               | 71         | 0(0)                   | 0(0)                 | 173        | 13(0.7)                | 0(0)                 | 2(1.2) |
| *Apodemus agrarius* | 39       | 0(0)                   | 1(2.6)               | 25         | 0(0)                   | 0(0)                 | 64         | 5(0)                   | 0(0)                 | 1(1.6) |
| *Niviventer coxingi* | 36       | 0(0)                   | 1(2.8)               | 28         | 0(0)                   | 0(0)                 | 64         | 5(0)                   | 0(0)                 | 1(1.6) |
| *Rattus fulvescens* | 46        | 1(2.2)                 | 3(6.5)               | 20         | 0(0)                   | 0(0)                 | 66         | 5(1)                   | 1(1.5)               | 3(4.5) |
| *Sores araneus* | 102       | 2(2.0)                 | 5(4.9)               | 82         | 1(1.2)                 | 2(2.4)               | 184        | 14(3)                  | 3(1.6)               | 7(8.3) |
| *Erinaceus europaeus* | 93       | 5(5.4)                 | 13(14.0)             | 66         | 3(4.5)                 | 7(10.6)              | 159        | 12(4)                  | 8(5.1)               | 20(12.6) |
| *Anser cygnoides* | 70        | 0(0)                   | 5(7.1)               | 0(0)       | 0(0)                   | 0(0)                 | 70         | 5(5)                   | 0(0)                 | 5(7.1) |
| *Streptopelia chinensis* | 85       | 0(0)                   | 2(2.3)               | 0(0)       | 0(0)                   | 0(0)                 | 85         | 6(6)                   | 0(0)                 | 2(2.3) |

* The period from March through October each year
# The period from November through next February each year
¤ Viral RNA positively detected in at least one organ
Ab: SFTSV-specific antibodies detected by the double antigen sandwich ELISA
Viral RNA: SFTSV-RNA detected by real-time RT-PCR

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004574.t002
and SFTSV-RNA positive samples were only detected in *H. longicornis* and *H. flava*, but not in any other tick species collected (Tables 1 and S2). Although viral RNA was also identified in multiple pools of larvae and nymphs, we cannot determine with certainty whether the virus was from newly incubated eggs or from their host animals after a blood meal was consumed.

**Detection of SFTSV-RNA and Antibodies in Small Wild Mammals or Migratory Birds**

We sought to identify wild amplifying hosts that could harbor the virus after exposure to tick bites, and sampled blood from a variety of captured small wild mammals and birds. During two tick-feeding seasons (March through October in 2013 and 2014), 823 small wild animals, representing eight species of small mammals and two species of wild birds, were captured. Animal blood and tissues were prepared for viral RNA extraction with nested PCR as described earlier, and sera were examined for viral antibodies by a specific sandwich ELISA kit. As shown in Table 2, SFTSV-RNA was detected during the tick-feeding season in *Erinaceus europaeus* (5/93, 5.4%), *Sorex araneus* (2/102, 2.0%), *R. fulvus* (1/46, 2.2%), and *Mus musculus* (1/168, 0.6%); viral antibodies were detected in all species sampled with the highest prevalence in *Erinaceus europaeus* (13/93, 14.0%). Viral antibodies were also detected in *A. ceylonicus* (5/70, 7.1%) and *S. chinensis* (2/85, 2.3%), two migratory birds captured during the tick-feeding season (Table 2). However, we have not detected viral RNA or isolated live virus from the wild birds or their tissues.

We also detected viral RNA and antibodies from blood or tissue samples from animals captured during the off-tick-feeding season from November through the following February. A total of 460 small wild animals including mammals and birds were captured in 2013 and 2014 (November through the following February). Our data indicated that viral RNA of SFTSV was only detected in *Erinaceus europaeus* (3/66, 4.5%) and *Sorex araneus* (1/82, 1.2%) with at least one organ positive, while viral antibodies were detected in *Mus musculus* (1/102, 1.0%), *Rattus norvegicus* (1/66, 1.5%), *Sorex araneus* (2/82, 2.4%), and *Erinaceus europaeus* (7/66, 10.6%). We noted that there were fewer species of animals detected positive for either viral RNA or antibodies in animals captured during the period off the tick-feeding season compared to those during the tick-feeding season. No wild birds tested positive for viral RNA (Table 2).

Using the nested PCR, we further identified SFTSV-RNA in individual tissues or organs from small wild mammals. As shown in Table 3, viral RNA was detected in mammals including *M. musculus*, *R. fulvus*, *S. araneus*, and *Erinaceus europaeus*. Spleens were positive for viral RNA in all animals, while other organs or blood varied in their presence of viral RNA. In addition, we showed the viral antibody titers in these animals, captured either during the tick-feeding or off-tick-feeding season, and also showed the collection of the ticks at their various stages on the skin of the captured animals (Table 3). In general, viral RNA was present in organs of animals more frequently during the tick-feeding season than the off-tick-feeding season, although viral antibodies were present in animals captured in both seasons. It is noted that a live SFTSV was isolated in *E. europaeus* (JSM-12), with an antibody titer up to 1:512 and captured during the off-tick-feeding season (Table 3), indicating a possibility for a prolonged or persistent SFTSV infection in small mammals in nature.

Partial S segments (467 bp) of the SFTSV genome were amplified from SFTSV-RNA positive pools of ticks and small animals, and sequences were analyzed with DNA sequencing, which showed 93.9%-99.5% similarity with those from SFTSV strains from humans, goats, and ticks reported previously in China, Korea, and Japan [1,6,7,18].
Virus Isolation, Sequencing, and Phylogenetic Analysis

Thirty-six strains of SFTSV in Jiangsu were isolated from 2011 through 2014. Among them, 31 were isolated from acute phase sera of SFTS patients, three were from tick pools of *H. longicornis*, including one isolate from questing ticks, and two were from spleens of two *Erinaceus europaeus* (one captured during the tick-feeding season and the other off the tick-feeding season) (Table 3).

Whole genome sequencing was performed on 20 SFTSV isolates, including 15 from human serum samples, three from *H. longicornis*, and two from *Erinaceus europaeus*. Sequence data have been submitted into the NCBI Genbank (accession numbers KF356517 through KF356552). Using the neighbor joining method in MEGA software, a phylogenetic tree was constructed using the L segment sequences of 42 isolates from Jiangsu province and 35 reference SFTSV strains isolated from other places in China, Korea, and Japan, and showed that all the isolates fall into five genotypes (designated clades 1–5). Two SFTSV strains, one from human (Jiangsu/China/2011/JS2011-69) and the other from ticks (Jiangsu/China/2014/2014-H.longicornis-01) isolated from Yixing County of Jiangsu province, geographically

### Table 3. Detection of SFTSV RNA/Antibodies and Collection of Attached Ticks in Wild Animals in 2013–2014.

| Wild Animal Species | ID | Animal Organs/Tissues | Titer of Ab | Attached Ticks |
|---------------------|----|-----------------------|-------------|----------------|
|                     |    | Spleen | Heart | Kidney | Liver | Lung | Brain | Blood | H. longicornis | H. flava |
| *Tick-feeding Season* |    |         |       |        |       |      |       |       | Larva | Nymph | F | M | Larva | Nymph | F | M |
| *M. musculus*        | JSM-1 | + | + | + | + | - | + | 1:32 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *R. fulvescens*      | JSM-2 | + | - | + | + | + | - | 1:32 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| *S. araneus*         | JSM-3 | + | + | + | + | - | + | 1:64 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
|                     | JSM-4 | + | - | - | + | + | - | 1:128 | + | + | - | - | - | - | - |
| *E. europaeus*       | JSM-5 | + | + | + | + | + | - | 1:32 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
|                     | JSM-6 | + | + | + | + | - | + | 1:64 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
|                     | JSM-7 | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1:128 | + | + | - | - | - | - | - |
|                     | JSM-8 | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1:256 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |
|                     | JSM-9 | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1:256 | + | + | - | - | - | - | - |

| #Off-tick-feeding Season | *S. araneus* | JSM-10 | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1:256 | - | - | - | + | - | - |
| *E. europaeus*           | JSM-11 | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1:256 | - | - | - | - | + | - | - |
|                       | JSM-12 | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1:512 | + | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|                       | JSM-13 | + | - | - | - | - | - | 1:1024 | - | + | - | - | - | - | - |

*: The period from March through October each year

#: The period from November through next February each year

&: The animal species from which a live SFTSV was isolated from the spleen

Ab: SFTSV-specific antibodies detected by the double antigen sandwich ELISA

RNA: SFTSV RNA detected by real-time RT-PCR

F: Female adult ticks; M: Male adult ticks

+: Viral RNA positive by nested RT-PCR; Attached ticks were collected from the skin of the animals

-: Viral RNA negative by nested RT-PCR; No attached ticks were collected from the skin of the animals

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004574.t003
adjacent to Zhejiang province, were clustered, based on the L segment, into the Japanese clade (J3) [25] together with three isolates from a coastal island in Zhejiang province (Fig 2), far away from the sampling sites with survey conducted in this study. The finding suggests that closely related SFTSV strains can be distributed in regions far apart geographically, challenging the theory that the mode of SFTSV transmission is via land movement of infected animals hosting ticks of appropriate species.

Discussion

The first confirmed SFTS in human was reported in Jiangsu in 2007 [2], and was later determined to be caused by SFTSV, a tick-borne zoonotic virus [1]. Since then, more SFTS cases have been reported in Jiangsu and the neighboring provinces, and SFTSV strains have been isolated from *H. longicornis* from vegetation or patients and domestic animals in the villages. Moreover, SFTSV-RNA was detected in *H. longicornis* and additional tick species such as *Amblyomma studinarium* and *Ixodes sp*. collected in the endemic region [11,12]. In this study SFTSV RNA was detected in unfed larvae of *H. longicornis*, indicating a possibility of a vertical transmission of the virus from infected adult *H. longicornis* to their progeny through eggs in nature. Experimentally it was shown recently that viral RNA could be identified in larvae, hatched from eggs laid by infected female adult *H. longicornis* [17], indicating that SFTSV can maintain its presence in all stages of this species of ticks and be vertically transmitted, which needs to be further confirmed since no live virus had been successfully isolated from the eggs in this and the above mentioned studies [17].

In this report we collected questing ticks and feeding ticks at 24 sampling sites in the endemic regions of Jiangsu, and examined the presence of SFTSV RNA in captured ticks. We showed that SFTSV RNA was detected in both feeding and questing *H. longicornis* and *H. flava*. Moreover, one viral strain (2014-H. longicornis-02) was isolated from viral RNA-positive tick pools of questing *H. longicornis*, which falls in clade 1 (Fig 2) and is the first to be reported from questing ticks.

SFTSV is thought to circulate in an enzootic tick-vertebrate-tick cycle; however, vertebrate hosts for the virus in nature have yet to be confirmed. Previous surveys have shown that SFTSV infects domestic animals, suggesting that domestic animals can act as amplifying hosts, and might play an important role in viral spread [13,16,23]. However, domestic animals normally have limited lifespans when living near humans, and may not accurately reflect viral ecology and circulation in nature. On the other hand, many species of small wild mammals, such as rodents, ruminants, hedgehogs, weasels, brush-tail possums, and even some bird species, are natural hosts for ticks, whose role in the transmission of arboviruses should not be underestimated [26]. SFTSV RNA and antibodies have been detected in some species of rodents and shrews [27]. In this study, SFTSV RNA was detected in *Erinaceus europaeus* and *Sorex araneus* captured during the tick-feeding and off the tick-feeding seasons. Viral RNA-positive *Erinaceus europaeus* and *Sorex araneus* had a relatively high titer of SFTSV-specific antibodies (Tables 2 and 3). SFTSV-RNA and viral antibodies were detected in more species of animals captured during the tick-feeding season indicating that the virus was transmitted in wild animals via tick biting. However, even though the positive rates with viral antibodies and viral RNA were lower in the off-tick-feeding season animals, the differences appeared not to be striking. Viral antibodies could be maintained in animals for months through the off-tick-feeding season. Whether or not a prolonged or persistent infection could happen in SFTSV-infected animals remains unknown. In this study two SFTSV strains were isolated from the spleen of two viral RNA-positive *Erinaceus europaeus* (one captured in the tick-feeding season and the other in the off-tick-feeding season). These results suggest that *Erinaceus europaeus* and *Sorex*
Fig 2. Phylogenetic analysis of SFTSV strains isolated from Jiangsu Province during 2011 and 2014, compared with SFTSV strains from other areas. The phylogenetic tree was constructed by using the Neighbor-Joining method with the MEGA5.1 software. The reliability values indicated at the branch nodes were determined using 1,000 bootstrap replications. Isolated SFTSV strains from places located in the north of the Yangtze River were labeled by black solid circles; Isolated SFTSV strains from places located in the south of the Yangtze River were labeled by black solid squares; Isolated SFTSV strains distributed in Japanese clades were labeled by black solid triangles. Phylogenetic relationship of SFTSV with other bunyaviruses, based on the complete L segment sequences, is shown in the figure.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004574.g002
araneus may act as vertebrate amplifying hosts for the virus. We also noted that viral RNA was detected in the mammals that actually had viral antibodies, suggesting that in these wild animals SFTSV may maintain and replicate in the presence of the specific antibodies. In fact one virus strain was isolated from an animal (Erinaceus europaeus) captured during the off-tick-feeding season (JSM-12, Table 3) with a high antibody titer up to 1:512, indicating that the virus might have been replicating in the infected animal for a prolonged period if the animal contracted the virus through tick biting during the tick-feeding season. Prolonged or persistent infection has been found in hantavirus-infected rodents [28,29,30]. Of course merely a virus was isolated from an animal during the off-tick-feeding season may be meaningless for viral transmission. Schmallenberg virus (SBV), also a bunyavirus transmitted by vectors, could be detected in organs of sheep for up to six weeks after an experimental infection. But SBV-RNA could only be found up to ten days in sheep serum after infection, and consequently transmission by Culicoides-vectors taking a blood meal at the animal host with a short period of viromia is unlikely [31]. Prolonged or persistent infection of SFTSV in small mammals has to be experimentally studied in order to evaluate the duration of viremia in infected animals. If SFTSV persistent infection with durable viremia is confirmed, these small mammals, such as Erinaceus europaeus, would be significant in SFTSV maintenance and transmission in nature.

We sought to further understand viral ecology of SFTSV and its natural circulation mechanism, which is not yet understood. In this study, ticks were collected from both vegetation and captured wild mammals for SFTSV-RNA detection. Remarkably, we identified viral RNA in the earliest developmental stages of H. longicornis collected from vegetation in 2013 and 2014 (Table 1), including larvae that had not yet fed on a blood meal. These data could be an evidence of the viral transovarial transmission in H. longicornis. Since SFTSV is present in all life stages of H. longicornis in nature, and experimentally viral RNA were detected in larvae, nymph, and adult ticks, which had fed on infected mice, and also detected in larvae, hatched from eggs laid from infected female adults [17], we consider H. longicornis to be a natural reservoir host for SFTSV.

Interestingly, in this study we also detected SFTSV antibodies in two wild bird species, Anser cygnoides and Streptopelia chinensis (Table 2). We mentioned in our previous study that avian species were susceptible to SFTSV-like virus infection as well [23]. Uukuniemi virus, another phlebovirus which is also an arbovirus transmitted by ticks, can infect and replicate in passerine birds and be carried for long distance transmission [32]. In fact free-living migratory birds of a diverse species play important roles in transmitting arboviruses including equine encephalomyelitis and Sindbis alphaviruses and West Nile and St. Louis encephalitis flaviviruses [33]. Our findings in this study imply that once migratory wild birds are exposed to SFTSV or ticks infected with the virus, the birds could carry the virus, through either their own infection or carrying attached ticks that are infected, to distant regions via flyways. We understand, nevertheless, our results need to be validated by neutralization assays to exclude cross-reactivity. If confirmed, however, we would be able to interpret why a viral strain isolated in Yixing, Jiangsu, could be clustered in the Japanese clade as shown in this study (Fig 2).

Migratory bird transmission of SFTSV may also explain why SFTSV has spread so rapidly in the past few years from the original five provinces in Central China to over 16 provinces, including distant regions in Northeast or Southwest China. A recent report confirmed that H. longicornis was found on migratory birds whose routes and the distribution of H. longicornis were concurrent with the occurrence of SFTSV by a phylogenetic analysis [18]. A similar method of transmission might also explain the rapid expansion of the Heartland virus in the United States in the recent years.

In conclusion, we have provided insight into viral ecology of SFTSV, a newly identified phlebovirus in ticks and ticks feeding on small wild mammals and birds in an SFTS endemic area.
SFTSV-RNA was identified in larvae of *H. longicornis*, indicating that SFTSV could be transmitted transovarially in this tick species, which may serve as a natural reservoir host. Our data did not show, however, that larvae may transmit SFTSV during their blood meal at a mammal or bird in this field study. We also provide evidence to show that small mammals such as *Erinaceus europaeus* and *Sorex araneus*, in which a prolonged infection may occur, can act as amplifying hosts for SFTSV. Antibodies against the virus were detected in migratory birds, suggesting that SFTSV could be transmitted long distances by birds through seasonal flyways. Our findings in this study will help formulate effective measures to deter the further spread of this deadly emerging pathogen.
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