Association between Apoε4 allele and cardio-metabolic and social risk factors with cognitive impairment in elderly population from Bogotá
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ABSTRACT. Being an ε4 carrier in the Apo gene has been suggested as a modifying factor for the interaction between cardiometabolic, social risk factors, and the development of cognitive impairment. Objective: The main objective of this study was to assess the existence of such interaction in a sample of Bogotá’s elderly population. Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted with 1,263 subjects older than 50 years. Each participant was diagnosed by consensus, after neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric evaluations, under a diagnosis of normal cognition, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) according to Petersen’s criteria, or dementia according to DSM-IV criteria. Apoε4 was typified and an analysis of MoCA test was performed in each group carrying or not ε4 allele. Results: Our study showed that 75% were women with a median age of 68 years (interquartile range 62–74 years) and a median schooling for 6 years (interquartile range 4–12 years). Dementia was related to low education level of ≤ 5 years OR=11.20 (95%CI 4.99–25.12), high blood pressure (HBP) OR=1.45 (95%CI 1.03–2.05), and age over 70 years OR=7.68 (95%CI 3.49–16.90), independently of being or not an ε4 allele carrier. Diabetic subjects with dementia carrying ε4 allele showed a tendency to exhibit lower scores on the MoCA test, when compared with noncarriers’ diabetic subjects with dementia. Conclusions: The presence of ε4 allele does not modify the relationship between cognitive impairment and the different cardio-metabolic and social risk factors, except in diabetic subjects ε4 carriers with dementia who showed a tendency to exhibit lower scores of the MoCA test, when compared with noncarriers’ diabetic subjects with dementia. Keywords: Apoε4 allele, mild-cognitive impairment, dementia, MoCA test, educational status, cardiometabolic risk factors.

ASSOCIAÇÃO ENTRE O ALELO APÓ4, FATORES DE RISCO CARDIOMETABÓLICOS E SOCIAIS COM PREJUÍZO COGNITIVO EM IDOSOS DE BOGOTÁ

Resumo. Ser um portador ε4 no gene Apo tem sido sugerido como um fator modificador da interação entre fatores cardiometabólicos, de risco social e o desenvolvimento de comprometimento cognitivo. Objectivo: O objetivo principal deste trabalho é avaliar a existência de tal interação em uma amostra da população idosa de Bogotá. Métodos: Um estudo transversal foi realizado com 1.263 indivíduos com mais de 50 anos. Cada participante foi diagnosticado por consenso após avaliações neuropsicológicas e neuropsiquiátricas, sob um diagnóstico de cognição normal, comprometimento cognitivo leve de acordo com os critérios de Petersen ou demência de acordo com os critérios do Manual Diagnóstico e Estatístico de Trastornos Mentais (DSM-IV). Apoε4 foi tipificado e uma análise do Montréal Cognitive Assessment Test (teste de MoCA) foi realizada em cada grupo portador ou não do alelo ε4. Resultados: Nosso estudo mostrou que 75% eram mulheres com idade mediana de 68 anos (intervalo interquartil 62 a 74 anos) e escolaridade mediana de seis anos (intervalo interquartil 4 a 12 anos). A demência estava relacionada ao baixo nível de escolaridade ≤ 5 anos Odds Ratio (OR)=11.20 (intervalo de confiança — IC95% 4.99–25.12), pressão arterial elevada OR=1.45 (IC95% 1.03–2.05) e idade acima de 70 anos OR=7.68 (IC95% 3.49–16.90), independentemente de ser ou não portador do alelo ε4. Indivíduos diabéticos com demência portadores do alelo ε4 mostraram tendência de exibir pontuações mais baixas no teste MoCA quando comparados com indivíduos diabéticos com demência não portadores do alelo ε4. Conclusões: A presença do alelo ε4 não modifica a relação entre o comprometimento cognitivo e os diferentes fatores de risco cardiometabólico e social, exceto em diabéticos portadores do alelo ε4 com demência, que exibiram tendência a apresentar menores escores no teste MoCA quando comparados com indivíduos diabéticos com demência não portadores do alelo ε4. Palavras-chave: Apoε4, comprometimento cognitivo leve, demência, teste MoCA, escolaridade, fatores de risco cardiometabólico.
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INTRODUCTION

Dementia has become an epidemic, because of the alarmingly worldwide elderly population increase, but with a modest benefit derived from therapeutic advances.¹ ² Thus, the strategies to prevent and control risk factors have been outlined as useful therapeutic options in the control and evolution of this pathology.³ An association between modifiable and nonmodifiable risk factors has been suggested, which has allowed a better understanding of the intervention as an overriding mechanism of prevention.⁴ The main risk factors described for Alzheimer’s dementia (AD), the most frequent dementia, are age, low schooling, cardiovascular and metabolic diseases (e.g., high blood pressure (HBP) and diabetes), genetic and inherited factors, and some lifestyles.⁵ ⁶ ⁷

Norton et al. described an increased relative risk (RR) for dementia with the presence of HBP and diabetes between 1.61 and 1.46, respectively,⁷ whereas in other studies, low schooling was related to the appearance of dementia in 1 of 5 subjects.¹⁰

Meanwhile, Riedel et al.¹¹ and Santos et al.¹² described that carrying the ε4 allele in the ApoE gene was the main genetic risk factor related to the development of AD. Other studies of clinical–genetic correlation showed that ε4 allele carriers have a 10-fold higher risk of late sporadic AD if they are homozygous, or 2–3-fold higher risk if they are heterozygous.¹³ In the last years, some studies have postulated a possible interaction between genetic and cardio-metabolic risk factors, which would contribute to the development of cognitive impairment, considering that the ε4 allele of the apolipoprotein E gene is a risk factor common for dementia and cardiovascular disease.⁸

Some authors also observed that the presence of vascular risk factors such as HBP combined with the presence of ε4 allele would increase the possibility of presenting cognitive impairment.¹⁴ ¹⁵ Zou et al.¹⁶ and Yamazaki et al.¹⁸ reported that diabetic subjects and ε4 allele carriers had a higher prevalence of AD (7.55%) as compared to diabetic non-ε4 carriers (2.3%), and concluded that diabetic ε4 carriers’ subjects would have a 3,982 [95%CI 1,418–11,184] greater probability of developing dementia as compared to diabetic ε4 noncarriers.¹⁷

Regarding the presence of social risk factors (age or low schooling), being an ε4 carrier was related to the development of cognitive impairment according to the study made by Qian et al.¹⁹ and Kivipelto et al.²⁰

For the above, we aimed to evaluate the association between cognitive impairment, being an ε4 carrier and having a social, cardiovascular, or metabolic risk factor in a sample of elderly population from the city of Bogota.

METHODS

This study is part of the analysis of risk factors made in the study about cognitive impairment in adults from Bogotá, in which 1,263 autonomous adult subjects were invited to participate with a family member. Subjects who attended the invitation received an explanation of the study and those who agreed to participate were cited with their respective family member or companion.⁹

The inclusion criteria of the study were being 50 years of age or older, being autonomous living in community, and resident in Bogota without previous cognitive evaluation. Subjects with neuropsychiatric illness history, illiterate, blind, deaf, and institutionalized were excluded from the study.

Procedure

After signing the informed consent, socio-demographic, health, and cardiovascular risk questionnaires were applied to each participant as well as a neuropsychological and neuropsychiatric assessment in two phases and each one was diagnosed by consensus, under a diagnosis of normal cognition, MCI according to Petersen’s criteria, or dementia according to DSM-IV criteria. Subjects with the last two diagnoses were referred to their medical service.²¹ ²²

Body mass index (BMI) was calculated using measures of weight and height, and for the genotyping of ApoE, a blood sample was taken, and subsequently DNA extraction was performed.²³ ²⁴

Statistical analysis

Central tendency and dispersion measures were used to describe quantitative variables, and absolute and relative frequencies to describe qualitative variables.

The MoCA-test score was compared among patients with and without risk factors, within each group of patients, ε4 carriers and noncarriers using Mann-Whitney U test, given that the distribution of this variable was not normal. Also, when statistical significance was reached, a non-parametric effect size, using Cliff’s Delta, was calculated (<0.147 “negligible,” <0.33 “small,” <0.474 “medium,” otherwise “large.”²⁵ A possible relationship between cognitive impairment, risk factors, and being or not ε4 allele carrier was explored through a multiple correspondence analysis: the illustrative variable was being or not ε4 carrier and the active variables were risk factors and cognitive group.

Subsequently, a regression analysis was applied using the MoCA-test score as the dependent variable and as independent variable the interaction between the APOE (ε4 carriers versus noncarriers) and the risk factors (diabetes, HBP, dyslipidemia, overweight, low schooling, and age over 70 years), adjusting the data obtained for
each cognitive group (normal, MCI and dementia) by age. A non-parametric quantile regression was used, considering that MoCA-test score did not follow a normal distribution. The risk factor, low schooling, was analyzed in two ways: as five or less years or as ten or less years of schooling, which correspond in our context to primary or incomplete bachelor, respectively. High schooling were high-school graduates, technicians, and university students. Statistical analysis was performed using the R (libraries FactoMineR and effsize) and Stata 13® programs. Significance was assessed at p<0.05 corrected for multiple comparisons using the Boferroni correction.

Ethics
This study was approved by the FUCS Human Research Ethics Committee and complies with the requirements of the Helsinki Declaration and Resolution 8430 of 1993 on research with human beings in Colombia.

RESULTS
For this analysis, 1,214 subjects who had complete data on the risk factors assessed diabetes mellitus (DM), HBP, dyslipidemia, overweight, low schooling, and age over 70 years and APOE genotyping were included.

Approximately 75% were women with a median age of 68 years (interquartile range [IQR]) age 62 and 74 years and a median schooling for 6 years (IQR) 4 to 12 years; 43.5% of the participants were cognitively normal, 34.1% had MCI, and 22.4% dementia (Table 1).

The frequency of our APOE genotype, previously described, was distributed as follows: ε3/ε3 73.4%, ε3/
Normal subjects: MoCA-test performance in ε4 allele carriers and noncarriers and their different risk factors

In normal subjects, both carriers and noncarriers, a lower MoCA-test score was found in those who had equal or less than five years of schooling compared to subjects with more than 5 years of schooling, carriers: median 24.5 [IQR: 21.5–27] versus 26 [IQR: 25–28], p=0.010, Cliff’s delta=-0.30 (small); noncarriers: median 24 [IQR: 20–26] versus 27 [IQR: 25–28], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=0.45 (medium).

The same was observed in subjects with equal or less than 10 years of schooling compared to those who had 11 or more years of schooling, carriers: median 25 [IQR: 22–28] versus 26 [IQR: 25–28], p=0.048, Cliff’s delta=0.21 (small); and noncarriers: 25 [IQR: 22–27]) versus 27 [IQR: 25–28], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=0.43 (medium).

Likewise, subjects with 70 years of age or older had a lower MoCA-test score compared to younger elderly population, carriers: median 24.5 [IQR: 21.5–27 versus 26 [IQR: 25–28], p=0.007, Cliff’s delta=0.35 (medium); noncarriers: median 24 [IQR: 20–26]) versus 27 [IQR: 25–28], p=0.043, Cliff’s delta=0.12 (negligible).

There were no differences for ε4 allele carriers and noncarriers in the MoCA-test score, between subjects with or without other risk factors (DM, HBP, overweight, or dyslipidemia) (Table 2 and Figure 1).

Subjects with MCI: MoCA-test performance in ε4 carriers and noncarriers and their different risk factors

In subjects with MCI, the results were similar to those observed in individuals with normal cognition; a lower MoCA-test score was found in those who had equal or less than five years of schooling compared to subjects with more than 5 years of schooling also in both ε4 allele carriers and noncarriers. In the MoCA-test for a schooling of five years or less, carriers: median 19 [IQR: 16–21] versus 22 [IQR: 20–23.5], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=–0.50 (large); noncarriers: median 20 [IQR: 16–22]) versus 21 [IQR: 19–23], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=–0.29 (small). The same was observed in subjects with equal or less than 10 years of schooling compared to those who had 11 or more years of schooling, carriers: median: 20 [IQR: 18–22] versus 23 [IQR: 22–24], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=0.52 (large); noncarriers: median 20 [IQR: 17–22]) versus 22 [IQR: 19–24], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=0.32 (small).

Similar results were observed in subjects of 70 years of age or older who had a lower MoCA-test score compared to younger elderly population, carriers: median: 20 [IQR: 18–22] versus 23 [IQR: 22–24], p=0.011, Cliff’s delta=0.30 (small); noncarriers: median: 20 [IQR: 17–22]) versus 21 [IQR: 19–23], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=0.23 (small).

However, a lower score in MoCA test was observed in subjects with high blood pressure, noncarriers with the factor compared to noncarriers without factor (although the median was similar, the Mann-Whitney U test detected differences: median: 20 [IQR: 17–22] versus 20 [IQR: 18–23], p=0.022, Cliff’s delta=0.14 (negligible).

For the other risk factors, being a ε4 allele carrier or not and being diabetic, overweight, or having dyslipidemia was not related to the performance in the MoCA-test, in subjects with MCI (Table 3 and Figure 1).

Subjects with dementia: MoCA-test performance in ε4 carriers and noncarriers and their different risk factors

For patients with dementia, a lower MoCA-test score was observed, regardless if they were ε4 allele carriers or not in those who had HBP compared with subjects without this risk factor, carriers: median 13 [IQR: 12–15] versus 15 [IQR: 14–17], p=0.043, Cliff’s delta=0.30 (small); noncarriers: median 12.5 [IQR: 9.5–16] versus 14 [IQR: 12–16], p=0.032, Cliff’s delta=0.30 (small) and in whom schooling was less than 5 years, carriers: median 14 [IQR: 12–15] versus 16.5 [IQR: 14.5–18.5], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=–0.57 (large); non-carriers: median: 13 [IQR: 10–15] versus 15 [IQR: 13–17], p=0.000, Cliff’s delta=–0.38 (medium).

Although, there was a tendency to lower scores in diabetic ε4 carriers compared with noncarriers, carriers: median 13 [IQR: 9–14] versus 14 noncarriers 14 [IQR: 13–16]); however, this was not statistically significant (p=0.066).

In the same way, no statistically significant differences were observed between subjects with and without the other risk factors, both carriers and non-carriers (Table 4).

The multiple correspondence analyses for patients with cognitive impairment presented an adjusted inertia for the first four dimensions of 23.09, 12.76, 11.60, and 10.45%, respectively. The first dimension explains 23.09% of the variability of the data and the categories are organized mainly along this axis. The best representation of the variables was achieved in the first two dimensions, reaching 35.84% inertia (Figure 2).
Figure 2 shows that the presence or absence of ε4 allele, does not discriminate special groups, as the two categories (ε4 carrier vs non-carrier) are very close to the origin, which is consistent with the previous analyzes; being an ε4 carrier is not associated with the other variables considered, although special relationships are observed on the axes: on axis 1 the educational level, degree of cognitive impairment and age are represented; axis 2 represents the presence or absence of comorbidities such as dyslipidemia, HBP, DM and overweight.

Table 2. Comparison of the Montréal Cognitive Assessment Test, by risk factors, between participants without cognitive impairment (normal), carriers and non-carriers of the allele ε4.

| Risk factor         | Non-ε4 allele carrier | p-value | ε4 allele carrier | p-value |
|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|                     | Without the factor    | With the factor | Without the factor | With the factor |
| Diabetes            |                        |         |                  |         |
| n                   | 357                   | 54      | 108              | 9       |
| Age                 | 65.1 (7.7)            | 66.1 (7.6) | 64.4 (7.9)       | 63.6 (9.2) |
| Schooling           | 11 (5–17)             | 8.5 (5–16) | 11 (5–16)        | 14 (8–16) |
| MoCA                | 26 (24–28)            | 26 (24–28) | 26 (24–28)       | 27 (26–28) |
| High blood pressure |                        |         |                  |         |
| n                   | 244                   | 167     | 72               | 45      |
| Age                 | 64.3 (7.5)            | 66.6 (7.7) | 62.8 (7.4)       | 66.9 (8.2) |
| Schooling           | 11 (5.5–17)           | 11 (5–17) | 13 (7–17)        | 8 (5–12) |
| MoCA                | 26 (25–28)            | 26 (23–27) | 26 (24–28)       | 26 (24–28) |
| Overweight          |                        |         |                  |         |
| n                   | 181                   | 230     | 47               | 70      |
| Age                 | 65.4 (8.2)            | 65.1 (7.3) | 64.8 (7.1)       | 64.1 (8.5) |
| Schooling           | 13 (8–17)             | 11 (5–16) | 12 (7–17)        | 10 (5–14) |
| MoCA                | 26 (24–28)            | 26 (24–27) | 26 (24–28)       | 26 (24–27) |
| Dyslipidemia        |                        |         |                  |         |
| n                   | 296                   | 115     | 65               | 52      |
| Age                 | 65.3 (7.8)            | 65.1 (7.4) | 64.4 (8.9)       | 64.4 (8.7) |
| Schooling           | 11 (5–17)             | 11 (5–17) | 11 (6–16)        | 10 (5–16) |
| MoCA                | 26 (24–28)            | 26 (24–27) | 26 (25–27)       | 26 (24–28) |
| Schooling≤5 years   |                        |         |                  |         |
| n                   | 296                   | 115     | 85               | 32      |
| Age                 | 64.5 (7.7)            | 67.1 (7.4) | 63.9 (7.5)       | 65.5 (9.2) |
| Schooling           | 15 (11–17)            | 5 (2–5)  | 13 (11–17)       | 5 (3–5)  |
| MoCA                | 27 (25–28)            | 24 (20–26)* | 26 (25–28)       | 24.5 (21.5–27)* |
| Schooling≤10 years  |                        |         |                  |         |
| n                   | 241                   | 170     | 64               | 53      |
| Age                 | 64.1 (7.7)            | 66.8 (7.3) | 62.6 (7.7)       | 66.5 (7.7) |
| Schooling           | 16 (12–17)            | 5 (3–7)  | 15 (12.5–17)     | 5 (4–7)  |
| MoCA                | 27 (25–28)            | 25 (22–27)* | 26 (25–28)       | 25 (22–28)* |
| Age≥70 years old    |                        |         |                  |         |
| n                   | 293                   | 118     | 94               | 23      |
| Age                 | 61.3 (4.7)            | 74.9 (4.2) | 61.4 (5.4)       | 76.4 (4.5) |
| Schooling           | 11 (6–17)             | 9.5 (5–16) | 11 (6417)        | 8 (4–12)  |
| MoCA                | 26 (25–28)            | 26 (23–27)* | 26 (25–28)       | 24 (22–26)* |

*Statistically significant difference in MoCA test between the group with risk factor and the group without the risk factor, according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p<0.05).
Analysis of the interaction between being a ε4 allele carrier and risk factors

After adjusting age, when evaluating the interaction between being ε4 allele carrier and having some risk factors, it was observed that the decrease in the MoCA-test score in the three groups of patients (normal, MCI, and dementia) was related to low schooling (≤ 5 years or ≤ 10 years), regardless of the status of the ε4 allele.

In addition, in patients with MCI a decrease of one point in the median MoCA test score was observed, in carrier subjects with high blood pressure versus non-carriers without high blood pressure.

Table 3. Comparison of Montréal Cognitive Assessment Test, by risk factors, between participants with mild cognitive impairment, carriers and non-carriers of the allele ε4.

| Risk factor        | Non-ε4 allele carrier | p-value | ε4 allele carrier | p-value |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|                    | Without the factor    | With the factor |       | Without the factor | With the factor |
| Diabetes           | n                     |          |                  |         |
| Age                | 278                   | 45       | 0.141            | 77      | 14     | 0.319    |
| Schooling          | 69.3 (7.8)            | 69.1 (8.9) |                  |         |
| MoCA               | 20 (18–22)            | 19 (17–22) |                  |         |
| High blood pressure| n                     |          |                  |         |
| Age                | 68.4 (8.7)            | 70.3 (7.2) | 0.022            | 68.3 (6.5) | 69.6 (7.8) | 0.437    |
| Schooling          | 6 (4–11)              | 5 (3–11) |                  |         |
| MoCA               | 20 (18–23)            | 20 (17–22)* |                  |         |
| Overweight         | n                     |          |                  |         |
| Age                | 70.6 (8.5)            | 68.4 (7.6) | 0.639            | 69.5 (7.1) | 68.0 (7.0) | 0.869    |
| Schooling          | 5.5 (3–10)            | 5 (3–11) |                  |         |
| MoCA               | 20 (17–22)            | 20 (18–22) |                  |         |
| Dyslipidemia       | n                     |          |                  |         |
| Age                | 69.1 (8.3)            | 69.6 (7.5) | 0.179            | 68.5 (7.1) | 69.3 (7.0) | 0.150    |
| Schooling          | 5 (4–11)              | 5 (3–11) |                  |         |
| MoCA               | 20.5 (18–22)          | 20 (17–22) |                  |         |
| Schooling<=5 years | n                     |          |                  |         |
| Age                | 68.3 (8.5)            | 70.1 (7.5) | 0.000            | 66.7 (6.5) | 71.6 (6.8) | 0.000    |
| Schooling          | 11 (8–14)             | 3 (2–5) |                  |         |
| MoCA               | 21 (19–23)            | 20 (16–22)* |                  |         |
| Schooling<=10 years| n                     |          |                  |         |
| Age                | 66.8 (8.7)            | 70.2 (7.5) | 0.000            | 66.8 (6.9) | 69.9 (6.9) | 0.000    |
| Schooling          | 13 (11–17)            | 5 (3–6) |                  |         |
| MoCA               | 22 (19–24)            | 20 (17–22)* |                  |         |
| Age≥70 years old   | n                     |          |                  |         |
| Age                | 62.5 (4.6)            | 75.6 (4.7) | 0.000            | 63.8 (4.2) | 75.2 (4.4) | 0.011    |
| Schooling          | 5 (3–11)              | 5 (3–9.5) |                  |         |
| MoCA               | 21 (19–23)            | 19 (17–22)* |                  |         |

*Statistically significant difference in MoCA test between the group with risk factor and the group without the risk factor, according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p<0.05).
On the basis of the results described above, patients with dementia carrying ε4 allele and without overweight showed a decrease of 2.58 points in the median of the MoCA-test score. In all comparisons that reached statistical significance, the greatest decreases in the MoCA-test score were observed in subjects with dementia (Table 5 and Figure 2).

Figure 1. Performance in the Montréal Cognitive Assessment Test according to the carrier status or not of allele ε4, cognitive status and the presence or absence of the risk factor.
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**DISCUSSION**

Being an ε4 carrier has been recognized as the main genetic risk factor associated with the development of late-onset AD. The percentage distribution of the isoforms described in the literature for the alleles of the APOE gene is 79% for the ε3 allele, 13.3% for the ε4 allele, and 7.3% for the ε2 allele. The genotype frequencies of the APOE gene in our sample studied were similar to those described in Colombian, Latin American, and world literature. A hazard ratio (HR) of 1.35 (95% CI 1.00–1.83) has been reported between the presence of the ε4 allele and the risk of AD, whereas in a previous study in Colombia, it has been observed that the relationship has an OR of 5.1.

| Risk factor       | Non-ε4 allele carrier | p-value | ε4 allele carrier | p-value |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|------------------|---------|
|                   | Without the factor    |         | With the factor  |         |
| Diabetes          | Age                   | 73.3 (8.9) | 73.7 (8.4)       | 0.215   |
|                   | Schooling             | 3 (2–5)   | 4 (1–5)          |         |
|                   | MoCA                  | 13 (11–16) | 12 (9–15)        |         |
|                   |                       | 178       | 35               | 49      |
|                   |                       |           |                  | 12      | 0.066   |
| High blood pressure| Age                  | 71.7 (8.6) | 74.4 (8.8)       | 0.032   |
|                   | Schooling             | 4 (2–5)   | 3 (1–5)          |         |
|                   | MoCA                  | 14 (12–16) | 12.5 (9.5–16)*   | 0.043   |
|                   |                       | 85        | 128              | 24      |
| Overweight        | Age                   | 75 (8.9)   | 72.4 (8.6)       | 0.038   |
|                   | Schooling             | 4 (2–5)   | 3 (1–5)          |         |
|                   | MoCA                  | 12 (9.5–15) | 13 (11–16)*     | 0.111   |
|                   |                       | 76        | 137              | 25      |
| Dyslipidemia      | Age                   | 73.9 (8.7) | 71.8 (8.8)       | 0.308   |
|                   | Schooling             | 4 (1.5–5) | 3 (2–5)          |         |
|                   | MoCA                  | 13 (10–16) | 13 (11–16)       | 0.694   |
|                   |                       | 154       | 61               | 34      |
| Schooling≤5 years | Age                   | 70.5 (10.3) | 73.9 (8.3)       | 0.000   |
|                   | Schooling             | 9 (7–13)  | 3 (1–5)          |         |
|                   | MoCA                  | 15 (13–17) | 13 (10–15)*     | 0.000   |
|                   |                       | 39        | 174              | 16      |
| Schooling≤10 years| Age                   | 71.8 (10.2) | 73.5 (8.6)       | 0.000   |
|                   | Schooling             | 13 (11–17)| 3 (1–5)          |         |
|                   | MoCA                  | 16 (14–17) | 13 (10–15)*     | 0.106   |
|                   |                       | 19        | 194              | 6       |
| Age≥70 years old  | Age                   | 63.5 (4.3) | 78.5 (5.6)       | 0.000   |
|                   | Schooling             | 4 (2–7)   | 3 (1–5)          |         |
|                   | MoCA                  | 14 (12–16) | 12.5 (9–15)*    | 0.345   |
|                   |                       | 73        | 140              | 26      |

*Statistically significant difference in MoCA test between the group with risk factor and the group without the risk factor, according to the Mann-Whitney U test (p<0.05).
A relationship between age, sex, Apo\(\varepsilon\)4, and cognitive impairment has been described.\(^\text{11}\) In this study, regardless of gender and being \(\varepsilon\)4 carrier, those subjects over 70 years have a higher risk of cognitive impairment.

We also found a significant association between low schooling (\(\leq 5\) years) and a lower performance in the MoCA-test, regardless if they were or not \(\varepsilon\)4 allele carriers and their cognitive status, results that are concordant with Borland et al., who found that low schooling (\(\leq 10\) years) was associated with significantly lower MoCA-test scores and concluded that schooling is a significant predictor for the MoCA-test score.\(^\text{31,32}\) Similar results were described by Conti et al., who after analyzing 225 healthy subjects with 5 or less years of schooling, observed that it influenced the final score of the test, especially in those subjects with only 1 year of schooling (\(p<0.0001\)).\(^\text{33}\)

Accordingly, the difference between MoCA-test scores among subjects with low and high schooling in our study on average was 1.5 points, data that are consistent with those reported by Konstantopoulos et al.,\(^\text{34}\) who find an average difference of 1.4 points comparing MoCA-test results for 1–9 years of schooling (low schooling), 10–12 years of schooling (high school) and above 13 years (bachelor or higher education).

In other studies, the interaction among cognitive impairment, Apo\(\varepsilon\)4, and schooling presents contradictory evidence; Seeman et al.,\(^\text{35}\) Ishioka,\(^\text{36}\) and Vemuri et al.\(^\text{37}\) observed that the presence of at least one \(\varepsilon\)4 allele reduces the protective effect of education on cognitive function, evidenced by a lower score of Short Portable Mental Status Questionnaire in subjects carrying \(\varepsilon\)4 and with at least 9 years of schooling. On the contrary, Sando et al. found that a higher educational

![MCA factor map](image_url)

**Figure 2.** Factorial plane representing the relationship between risk factors, cognitive impairment, and condition of the allele \(\varepsilon\)4.

BMI: body mass index. The relationship between these variables is explained in two dimensions: vertical axis includes schooling, age, and cognitive status; horizontal axis includes cardiovascular factors.
Table 5. Relationship between the Montréal Cognitive Assessment test and the age-adjusted interaction between risk factors and allele ε4.

| Factor                      | Normal | Mild cognitive impairment | Dementia |
|-----------------------------|--------|---------------------------|----------|
|                             | β      | p-value                   | β        | p-value | β      | p-value |
| Diabetes                    |        |                           |          |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, no diabetes | Ref.  | Ref.                      | Ref.     |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, with diabetes | -0.40 | 0.337                     | -0.82    | 0.237   | -0.66  | 0.455   |
| ε4 allele carrier, no diabetes | -0.40 | 0.202                     | 0.87     | 0.119   | 1      | 0.203   |
| ε4 allele carrier, with diabetes | 0.67  | 0.489                     | 0.04     | 0.967   | -0.53  | 0.734   |
| Hypertension                |        |                           |          |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, no hypertension | Ref.  | Ref.                      | Ref.     |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, with hypertension | -0.52 | 0.074                     | -1       | 0.046   | -1.12  | 0.043   |
| ε4 allele carrier, no hypertension | -0.58 | 0.135                     | 0.33     | 0.633   | 1.56   | 0.086   |
| ε4 allele carrier, with hypertension | -0.58 | 0.217                     | -0.00    | 1.000   | 0      | 1.000   |
| Overweight                  |        |                           |          |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, unweight | Ref.  | Ref.                      | Ref.     |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, overweight | -0.50 | 0.091                     | -0.09    | 0.858   | 0.76   | 0.224   |
| ε4 allele carrier, unweight | -0.81  | 0.096                     | 1.00     | 0.186   | 2.58   | 0.011   |
| ε4 allele carrier, overweight | -0.43  | 0.297                     | 0.63     | 0.413   | 1      | 0.270   |
| Dyslipidemia                |        |                           |          |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, no dyslipidemia | Ref.  | Ref.                      | Ref.     |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, with dyslipidemia | -0.14 | 0.659                     | -0.63    | 0.205   | 0      | 1.000   |
| ε4 allele carrier, no dyslipidemia | -0.21 | 0.595                     | -0.27    | 0.681   | 1      | 0.281   |
| ε4 allele carrier, with dyslipidemia | -0.78 | 0.076                     | 1.27     | 0.095   | 1      | 0.349   |
| Schooling ≤5 years          |        |                           |          |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, >5 years | Ref.  | Ref.                      | Ref.     |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, ≤5 years | -2.41 | 0.000                     | -1.70    | 0.000   | -2.52  | 0.001   |
| ε4 allele carrier, >5 years | -0.52  | 0.166                     | 0.80     | 0.204   | 0.76   | 0.550   |
| ε4 allele carrier, ≤5 years | -2.41  | 0.000                     | -2.1     | 0.003   | -1.61  | 0.089   |
| Schooling ≤10 years         |        |                           |          |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, >10 years | Ref.  | Ref.                      | Ref.     |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, ≤10 years | -1.93 | 0.000                     | -2       | 0.000   | -3     | 0.003   |
| ε4 allele carrier, >10 years | -0.75  | 0.128                     | 0.72     | 0.407   | 0.71   | 0.971   |
| ε4 allele carrier, ≤10 years | -1.68  | 0.002                     | -2.09    | 0.004   | -2     | 0.078   |
| Age≥70 years old            |        |                           |          |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, <70 years | Ref.  | Ref.                      | Ref.     |         |        |         |
| Non-ε4 allele carrier, ≥70 years | 0.42  | 0.377                     | 0.09     | 0.912   | -2     | 0.003   |
| ε4 allele carrier, <70 years old | -0.07 | 0.826                     | 1.09     | 0.143   | 0.00   | 1.000   |
| ε4 allele carrier, ≥70 years old | -1.21 | 0.106                     | 0.18     | 0.860   | 0.00   | 1.000   |
level was related to a lower OR (participants with 10–18 years of education showed a lower OR purchased with subjects with 6–7 years of education); however, the protector effect of education on the onset of dementia wasn’t modified by the presence of ε4 alleles. These studies are in agreement with our results and with those of Sánchez et al., who failed to demonstrate a relationship between educational level, cognitive impairment (MCI or dementia) and being an ε4 carrier. Recent studies confirm the variability of this interaction.

Other studies have described the relationship between the presence of the ε4 allele, some cardio-metabolic factors, and the development of cognitive impairment, which suggests that the presence of this allele would modify the association between dementia and HBP. In our study we found that subjects with HBP had a lower performance in the MoCA test, regardless of whether they were ε4 allele carriers or not; similar studies have been performed using the Mini-mental test (MMSE) and the MoCA test.

When assessing whether the scores obtained in the MoCA test in subjects ε4 carriers with HBP were different from those being HBP non-ε4 carriers, our study found no differences. When analyzing the independent relationship of the MoCA test scores, Bangen et al. found that vascular risk factors, such as HBP, provide a higher risk of cognitive impairment and could be strengthened by the presence of the ε4 allele. In addition, Weinstein et al. analyzed the relationship between ε4 allele and HBP (measured within the Framingham scale and changes in the MoCA-test score); they found that high scores on this scale of cardiovascular risk factors were related to low performance on the cognitive scale, this relationship wasn’t modified by the presence of the ε4 allele, as we found in our study.

On the contrary, our study showed a lower performance of the MoCA-test in subjects with dementia, ε4 carriers diabetics compared to ε4 carriers non-diabetic. The relationship among AD, APOε4, and DM was assessed by Haan et al., who described that the ε4/ε4 genotype contributes synergistically with type 2 diabetes (DM2) in the development of AD. Similar data were described by Zhao et al. and Johnson et al., who reported that ε4 allele carriers diabetic had a higher prevalence of AD compared with noncarriers ε4 diabetics subjects (7.55 vs. 2.3%), with a risk probability of 3,982 [95% CI 1,418–11,184] of developing dementia in diabetic subjects and ε4 carriers, however, additional studies are still required to demonstrate this relationship, as stated by Shinohara M et al in the most recent review of 2020.

Differences in cognitive scales between diabetic carriers and noncarriers were described by Palmer et al., who reported that ε4 diabetic patients had lower scores on the MMSE test compared to noncarriers and non-diabetic subjects. On the contrary, Zhen et al. showed that subjects with at least one ε4 allele and diabetics obtained lower scores on the MoCA test, independently of cognitive status.

The presence of risk factors such as overweight or dyslipidemia were not related to the performance in the MoCA test, in ε4 allele carriers and noncarriers. The relationship of overweight, poor performance on cognitive tests, and the presence of ε4 allele have been poorly documented and its results are contradictory. In this regard, Blautzik et al. observed that on ε4 carriers, the BMI had an inverse relationship with cognitive impairment (β=−0.209, p=0.05), higher BMI showed lower scores on cognitive tests; whereas Rajan et al. documented that ε4 carriers, who were overweight, had a lower cognitive impairment when compared to overweight and noncarrier subject. Recent studies of this same group of subjects showed similar results to those of Blautzik et al., where the subjects who acquired dementia over time, had normal or low BMI suggesting that low weight was a more important risk factor than overweight.

Regarding the relationship among cognition, dyslipidemia, and APOε4, Reitz et al., Hayden et al., and Wei et al. described an association among lipid metabolism, AD, and ε4 allele, but the mechanisms still require further research and larger sample sizes.

Study limitations: ε4 carrier population was smaller than the non-ε4 carrier in most of the different risk factor groups, a fact that could have interfered in the association forces between the different risk factors and the presence of ε4 allele.

We found that subjects with low schooling (≤5 years or ≤10 years) and subjects with HBP had lower performances in the MoCA-test scores, regardless of being ε4 carrier or not, and presenting or not cognitive impairment.

Being an ε4 carrier and diabetic showed a tendency to present the lowest scores in the MoCA-test only in subjects with dementia as compared to diabetic subjects with dementia noncarriers ε4.

A future study with a larger cohort and a longer longitudinal follow-up time could show us a greater effect of apoε4 with risk factors on cognitive decline.

Extensive continuing education programs, in all age groups of our society, with a better control of risk factors and promotion of healthy lifestyles, are the best options currently available to reduce the onset and progression of cognitive decline.
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