Deviations from Beta Radioactivity Exponential Drop
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Abstract

At long-term count rate measurements of beta sources $^3$H, $^{56}$Mn, $^{32}$Si, $^{36}$Cl, $^{60}$Co, $^{137}$Cs, $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y and decay products of $^{226}$Ra the rhythmic changes with amplitude 0.1% - 0.3% from average magnitude and period 1 year, and up to 0.01 % with period about one month are detected. Magnitude of diurnal oscillations did not exceed 0.003%. Analysis of measurements data for alpha radioactive sources $^{238}$Pu and $^{239}$Pu did not reveal any statistically reliable periodic patterns. Sporadic drops in the count rate were detected while registering the activity of $^{54}$Mn, $^{60}$Co and $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y sources. Bursts in the count rate were registered when scanning the celestial sphere with a reflecting telescope with $^{60}$Co or $^{40}$K radioactive sources at the focus point. Possible factors underlying these deviations in beta-radioactivity are discussed.
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1. Introduction

Until recently was considered, that the nuclear decays are caused by exclusively intranuclear processes, on which course usual external influences (electromagnetic, thermal, acoustic, etc.) cannot noticeably influence. Therefore, at measurements of a radioactivity, it should be observed and it was really observed only exponential drop with chaotic fluctuations corresponding to the Poisson distribution. But recently, when it has become possible to spend long-term exact measurements, the experimental results indicating presence of rhythmic and sporadic changes are obtained. Such variations were detected while registering beta decays of $^3$H, $^{56}$Mn, $^{32}$Si, $^{36}$Cl, $^{60}$Co, $^{137}$Cs, $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y and decay products of $^{226}$Ra, but were not found in data from alpha radioactive sources $^{238}$Pu and $^{239}$Pu (cf. Table 1).

It should be noted that rhythmic variations in radioactivity do not follow from the existing theory of this phenomenon, while their detection represents an experimental challenge, which requires fixed conductions of measurements with stable equipment running without interruptions for many years. It is no wonder that this effect has been discovered only recently, although the phenomenon of radioactivity has been known for more than 100 years. In cases described in [1-4] this effect manifested itself as an unidentified error in measurements of half-lives of long-lived radionuclides. Experiments described in [5-11] are different in that they were conducted with the aim of detecting deviations from the exponential decay law. They were carried out on specially created experimental installations.

2. Rhythms of Beta Radioactivity with a Period of 1 Year

The Table 1 includes 7 different beta radionuclides which exhibited rhythmic variations with a period of 1 year, registered by using detectors of 5 different types. In all cases, the magnitude of the annual rhythm ranges between 0.1 and 0.35% from average count rate, with maximum values taking place between January and March, and minimum values from July to September. As an example, count rate modifications at activity measurements of several radionuclides are shown on Figure 1 [7,8]. The presence of 1 year periodic changes for $^{60}$Co and $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y beta sources is obvious, whereas at measurements of $^{239}$Pu alpha source the random modifications called by a noise of a recording equipment are observed only.

Nearly identical effects are received on different beta radionuclides with half-lives from 2.6 hours ($^{58}$Mn) till 3·10^5 years ($^{36}$Cl) at use of very different detectors (scintillators, proportional counters, G-M counters, ionization chambers), in different laboratories and countries. Cannot be that the hindrances, temperature and other factors...
Table 1. Results of long-term measurements of radioactivity.

| Ref. | Sources | Decay type | Detector | Time span | Periods, days (magnitude, %) | Months of high/low values |
|------|---------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| [1,4] | $^{226}$Ra | $\alpha, \beta^-$ | Ion. Chamber | 10.83 - 06.99 | 365 (0.1); cf. Figure 3 | 01/08 |
| [2]   | $^{56}$Mn | $\beta^-$ | NaJ + PMT | 02.78 - 01.87 | 365 (0.3) | 01/07 |
| [3]   | $^{39}$Cl | $\beta^-$, e.c. | Prop. Counter | 02.82 - 02.86 | 365 (0.12) | 02/08 |
| [3,4] | $^{32}$Si | $\beta^-$ | Prop. Counter | 02.82 - 02.86 | 365 (0.12); 32.5 (0.01) | 02/08 |
| [5]   | $^3$H | $\beta^-$ | Scint. + photodiode | 11.80 - 05.82 | 365 (0.35) | 02/08 |
| [6-8] | $^{60}$Co | $\beta^-$ | G-M counter | 03.99 - 10.03 | 365 (0.2) | 03/09 |
| [6-8] | $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y | $\beta^-$ | G-M counter | 01.00 - 12.10 | 365 (0.13); cf. Figure 1 | 03/09 |
| [6-8] | $^{239}$Pu | $\alpha$ | Si-semic. | 02.00 - 05.03 | None | |
| [6-8] | $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y | $\beta^-$ | G-M counter | 10.02 - 12.10 | 365 (0.15) | 03/09 |
| [7]   | $^{239}$Pu | $\alpha$ | Si-semic. | 10.06 - 12.10 | None | |
| [9]   | $^{137}$Cs, $^{60}$Co | $\beta^-$ | NaJ + PMT | 12.98 - 04.99 | 1 (?); ~30 | |
| [10]  | $^{137}$Cs | $\beta^-$ | NaJ + PMT | (19-23)04.94 | 1 (0.06)? | |
| [11-13]| $^{137}$Cs, $^{60}$Co | $\beta^-$ | Ge (Li) semic. | 03.00 - 04.00 | 1 (0.5)? | |
| [23]  | $^{238}$Pu | $\alpha$ | Energy evolved | 10.97 - 10.99 | None | |

of an environment varied uniformly in different laboratories and uniformly influenced on very different equipment. It indicates existence of the nontrivial reason uniformly influencing to activity of various beta radionuclides, connected with driving of the Earth on orbit round the Sun.

3. Rhythms of Beta Radioactivity with a Period of About a Month

Large amount of data accumulated in a number of experiments allows to apply spectral (periodic) analysis which enables not only to pinpoint parameters of the observed annual rhythms but also to uncover other periodic patterns that remain unnoticed against the background of fluctuations and noise acting at random instants.

Existence of variations modulating radioactive decays with a monthly period (gamma radiation from $^{137}$Cs, $^{60}$Co) was reported for the first time in [9]. This publication does not make it clear how large these variations are, and the duration of the measurements (4 months) is not sufficient to determine the period rather exactly.

Results of analysis of significantly longer measurements are presented in [6-8]. Figure 2 shows amplitude spectrum (a periodogram) of count rate measurement results for beta particles emitted by $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y source and registered by G-M counter. Analysis was performed by means of Fast Fourier Transform with subsequent conversion of frequency to periods.

Peak with the period of 1 year (with magnitude of 0.13%) and its harmonics (182, 91.5, 61 days) stand out. In the near-month range of periods, peaks with magnitude of 0.01% corresponding to 29.3 and 33.2 days dominate the landscape. A group of peaks with periods of about 43 days are readily discernible. Persistent nature of variations with the period of the synodical Lunar month (29.53 days) is demonstrated by averaging data of radioactivity measurements of a $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y source over 87 cycles (Figure 3).

In [4], spectral analysis findings based on results of several years of radioactivity measurements of $^{226}$Ra [1] and $^{32}$Si [3] are presented. In addition to an annual period, this analysis reveals many other peaks in the frequency range from 4 to 20 year$^{-1}$ (corresponding to periods from 91 to 18 days) (Figure 4). The most pronounced of the near-month peaks are found to be 33.2, 32.3 and 30.2 days long. A group of peaks with periods about 43 days also stand out.

Collating Figure 4 with Figure 2 allows one to arrive at conclusion about good agreement between positions of many peaks, including those with near-month periods, calculated from these independent measurements, followed by different data processing schemes.
Figure 1. Count rate of the $^{60}$Co and $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y beta sources, measured by G-M counters, adjusted for a drop of activity with half-lives 5.27 and 28.6 years, and count rate of the $^{239}$Pu alpha source, measured by the silicon detector [7,8]. The radioactive sources with detectors and stabilized power supplies are located in thermostats. That seasonal temperature oscillations is not the reason of beta radionuclides count rate oscillations, is proved by significant difference in time of count rate maximum (February - March) and time of temperature maximum (July).

Figure 2. Periodogram of variations in the count rate of $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y beta source as registered by G-M counter [7,8]. The experiment was run between April 2000 and March 2007. Magnitude is shown as percentage of the average count rate. Numbers correspond to the periods of nearby peaks.

4. Rhythms of Beta Radioactivity with Diurnal Periods

The first report of rhythmic variations with a diurnal period and magnitude of about 0.06% in count rates for a beta radionuclide is contained in [10]. These oscillations were detected in measurements of gamma radiation from $^{137}$Cs source with total duration of 4 days. Existence of a diurnal rhythm in results of analogous measurements was pointed out in [9], but the data in this paper cannot be used to estimate magnitude of the effect.

Count rate bursts with a diurnal period and magnitude of up to 1% were detected while registering gamma radiation from $^{60}$Co and $^{137}$Cs sources by means of Ge (Li)
by interaction of this rhythm with the annual rhythm and its harmonics. The peak of the lunar day (1.03755) is also discernible. It is however possible that it corresponds to a combination frequency of the Solar day and the Lunar month, rather than reflecting some influences with a period of the lunar day. This interpretation is suggested by the presence of the symmetric (in frequency domain) peak at 0.96504. Magnitude of diurnal variations does not exceed thousandths of percent of the average value and, as opposed to the modulations with yearly and monthly timescales, one could not affirm with confidence that they are not a result of thermally caused drifts in the measuring equipment, since averaged daily behavior of count rates (lowest at night, highest around midday) resembles diurnal oscillations of temperature.

A significantly higher value of modulation amplitude with a period of 1 day described in [10-12] is in contradiction with the results of [6-8]. One can assume that despite the precautions taken, experiments [10-12] were not completely free from being influenced by the oscillations of temperature in the vicinity of the installation which resulted in such large deviations. This conclusion is suggested by coincidence of the days on which no apparent effect was found with overcast weather conditions when temperature variations are generally low.

The issue of the range of diurnal oscillations of radioactivity, if they do indeed exist, remains thus open. Their magnitude probably does not exceed thousandths of percent.
5. Sporadic Drops of Beta Radioactivity

In [14,15] results of experiments are described which detected a drop up to 0.2% from the average value in the count rate for $^{54}$Mn source in a time period between 12 and 22 December 2006. Because of a series of solar flares registered between 5 and 17 December, the authors associated the observed decrease in the radioactivity with solar phenomena. However, analysis of the data from our installation [6,8] in December 2006 has not revealed any deviations from the usual behaviour of the count rates for $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y, $^{60}$Co and $^{239}$Pu sources [16]. The same can be said of the data collected during the period of highly powerful solar flares between 19 October and 4 November 2003. Apparent drops in the count rate were detected between 10 and 12 May 2002 while registering the activity of $^{60}$Co and on 19 and 20 June 2004 for $^{90}$Sr-$^{90}$Y source. Around the time of these events, no observations of large solar flares were reported. Thus, proposed link between the drop in the rates of radioactive decay and appearance of solar flares could not be confirmed. From obtained outcomes follows, that the radioactivity drop effect, if it really exists, is rather rare, and that the reason calling this effect unequally influences various radioactive sources.

6. Count Rate Bursts of Beta Radioactive Source Placed at Parabolic Mirror Focus

When scanning the celestial sphere by a reflecting telescope with $^{60}$Co or $^{40}$K radioactive sources placed at the focus point, bursts in the count rate were registered [6,8, 17]. The probability of their registration depended on the orientation of the telescope. The number of bursts in a day as well as its connection with the orientation of the telescope was not reproduced in a unique manner. There were registered single bursts with duration of about 1 second and an increase in the count rate up to three orders of magnitude, and more prolonged (up to several hours) events that consisted of short bursts of various amplitude distributed in time in some complicated manner. The change in count rate for one of the bursts is shown in Figure 6.

7. Discussion

Let us consider how the discovered properties of this phenomenon square with hypotheses put forward as an explanation for deviations from the purely exponential character of radioactive decay.

1) It was suggested that rhythmic variations in radioactive decays are associated with the changes in orientation relative to a global anisotropy of physical space [12, 13]. However, if the effect under consideration depends only on orientation relative to some direction, then modulations would occur with a period of the sidereal day and almost constant magnitude during the year. Experimental results show that, on the contrary, the most pronounced deviations take place with a yearly period, while diurnal oscillations are far weaker. Moreover, this hypothesis does not explain the fact that rhythmic variations are observed only for beta, and not alpha radioactivity.

2) A reasonable explanation of the fact that the effect under discussion occurs in beta decays only is to assume that it is caused by an incoming flux of cosmic neutrinos, since these particles are involved in processes of beta decay but do not take part in alpha decays. The hypothesis that the neutrino flux is produced by the Sun and that the annual changes in radioactivity are associated with the variations in the flux density as a result of changing distance between the Sun and the Earth due to the orbital
motion of our planet, was proposed by Falkenberg [5] and reproduced by Jenkings and Fishbach [14,18]. This assumption looks very unconvincingly due to the extremely weak interactions with matter of solar neutrinos with energies of about 1 MeV and higher. This elusive nature of neutrinos was confirmed by many experiments. If one takes that for some reason interaction of solar neutrinos with radionuclides is significantly stronger than suggested by currently accepted estimates, then their flux density would experience noticeable attenuation due to passing through the body of the Earth and result in a lower rates of radioactive decays at night when compared to the midday values. Experiments reveal no such changes. In addition, this hypothesis is not able to explain the existence of readily discernible variations with the period of the synodical Lunar month with maximums around new Moons and minimums around full Moons (cf. Figure 3).

3) Another hypothesis proposes that periodic changes in beta radioactivity are a manifestation of fluxes of relic neutrinos (more precisely, of one of the components of dark matter—slow neutrinos with velocity of $10^\text{3} \text{...} 1000 \text{ km/s}$) [7,8,19,20]. This assumption is in agreement with the significantly lower magnitude of diurnal oscillations as compared to the oscillations with an annual rhythm. As shown in [20], the strength of the effect depends strongly on the velocity of motion relative to the flux of slow neutrinos. Throughout the year, due to the orbital motion of the Earth, its speed relative to Galactic neutrinos changes by 40 km/s, whereas the speed changes caused by the spinning of the Earth around its axis do not exceed 1 km/s.

The appearance of the rhythm of the synodic Lunar month (about 29.5 days) could be explained by the fact that the gravitational field in the system Earth-Moon-Sun changes with this period. Gravitational field is the main factor affecting motion of the fluxes of slow neutrinos. Moreover, the assumption of the influence exerted by cosmic fluxes of slow neutrinos on beta radioactivity explains bursts of the radioactivity of beta sources mounted in the focal point of a parabolic mirror [8,17,20].

The origin of the rhythm with period of 33 days is unknown. This timescale is not only distinctly visible on spectral curves of variations in radioactivity of $^{90}\text{Sr},^{90}\text{Y}$ and $^{226}\text{Ra}$ sources but also manifests itself in spectral analysis of the results of 18-year long measurements of gravitational constant [21] on installation with torsion balance [22]. This period differs in a reliable way from the lunar rhythms and rhythms of the solar activity. An attempt to associate the 33-day period with the rotation...
of the Sun’s core [4] does not seem convincing.

8. Conclusions

In this paper the review of experimental results indicating presence of rhythmic and sporadic changes at measurements of beta radioactivity is given. Nearly identical effects on eight different beta radionuclides with half-lives from 2.6 hours till 3·10^5 years at use of very different detectors (scintillators, proportional counters, G-M counters, ionization chambers) are obtained in Germany, Russia and USA. The fact that the effect observed only for beta, and not alpha radioactivity allows to assume that it is caused by an incoming flux of cosmic neutrinos, maybe, relic neutrinos flux.

Importance of this effect that it indicates a possibility of influence on a radioactivity. And if we shall learn to operate radioactive decays rate over a wide range, it will be possible to create essence new nuclear power engineering.
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