Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) score predicts worse outcomes in Chinese cardiac surgery patients
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Abstract

**Background & Aims:** Nutritional and immunological status was assessed by the Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) score calculated from serum albumin, total cholesterol and total peripheral lymphocyte count. The aim of this study was to determine the prognostic impact of the CONUT score on patients underwent cardiac surgery.

**Methods:** This is a single-center retrospective observational study in cardiac surgery patients. Baseline characteristics, comorbidities, and laboratory data were collected. The primary outcome was all-cause mortality. The secondary outcomes were postoperative complications including acute kidney injury (AKI), transient ischemic attack or stroke, reoperation for bleeding. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression were performed to investigate the association between confounding factors and outcomes. The cut-off value of CONUT score was accessed by the receiving operating characteristic curve. We used the Kaplan-Meier curve to compare the outcomes according to the cut-off CONUT score. The area under the curve (AUC) was used to test discriminative power of CONUT score and relevant clinical parameters.

**Results:** A total of 408 patients were enrolled in this study. During a median follow-up period of 72.12 months, 28 (6.9%) patients died and 43 (10.5%) patients occurred postoperative complications. The rate of all-cause mortality and postoperative complications was higher in the high CONUT score (>3) group than in the low CONUT score group \(p=0.002, \ p=0.02\). High CONUT score (hazard ratio [HR] =2.367, 95% confidence interval [CI]=1.072 to 5.266, \(P=0.033\)) was an independent predictor of all-cause mortality. The AUC of the joint probability of CONUT score, age, and hypertension in predicting all-cause mortality was 0.744 (95% CI: 0.687–0.775). The high CONUT score was still an independent risk factor of secondary outcomes (HR=2.316, 95%CI=1.122 to 4.780, \(P=0.023\)).
Conclusion: The CONUT score was independently associated with all-cause mortality and postoperative complications in patients underwent cardiac surgery.

Introduction

Nowadays overall survival rate among patients undergoing cardiac surgery have significantly increased, reducing mortality and postoperative complications remains vital. Malnutrition is a common condition in hospitalized patients[1]. Previous studies have reported that poor nutritional status is associated with worse clinical outcomes in patients suffered from cancer, heart failure or chronic kidney diseases[2][3][4]. There are several evaluation methods of nutritional status, but some elements of malnutrition are difficult to obtain. The Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) score is an objective practical screening tool which is easy to perform. The CONUT score calculated from the serum albumin, peripheral lymphocyte count, and the total cholesterol. The combination of these three components can evaluate protein reserves, immune defenses, and calorie depletion[5]. Recently, the predictive value of CONUT score has been validated in operation patients suffered from peripheral arterial disease, malignant tumors and heart disease[6][7][8]. Iwakami N et al. investigated the association between the CONUT score and adverse clinical event in patients with heart failure[9]. Several studies found high CONUT score was associated with increase mortality in patients undergoing transcatheter aortic valve implantation(TAVI) or percutaneous coronary intervention[9][10]. Previous study found shrunken pore syndrome (SPS) was also associated with mortality in cardiac surgery patients [11].However, the prognostic impact of CONUT scores and SPS has not been fully investigated in Chinese cardiac surgery patients. Therefore, this study aimed to determine the prognostic impact of malnutrition assessed by the CONUT score and SPS on patients underwent cardiac surgery.
Materials And Methods

Study design and population

We conducted a single-center retrospective observational study in cardiac surgery patients admitted to the Third Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University between February, 2011 and December, 2015. The exclusion criteria included: (1) age < 18 years old; (2) emergency operations or redo operations; (3) incidence of malignant tumor and end-stage renal disease (ESRD). This study was conducted following the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and with the approval of the Ethics Committee at the Third Affiliated Hospital of Soochow University. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Data collections

Laboratory data were obtained from the clinical chemistry department including hemoglobin, lymphocyte count, serum creatine, albumin, cystatin C, uric acid, total cholesterol, triglyceride, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDLC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDLC), C-reactive protein (CRP), N terminal pro B type natriuretic peptide (NT-pro BNP), cardiac troponinI (cTnI) before surgery. Perioperative data were obtained from Electronic Medical Record System. Demographics such as gender, age, and comorbidities were collected upon admission. Left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) was also recorded. The CONUT score was calculated based on lymphocyte count, serum albumin and total cholesterol[5] (Table 1). Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was calculated using the Chronic Kidney Disease Epidemiology Collaboration (CKD-EPI) equation[12]. To diagnose SPS, the equation pair Caucasian Asian Pediatric Adult (CAPA) [13] and Lund Malmo Revised (LMrev)[14], based upon cystatin C and creatinine (according to www egfr se) was used. The tentative value of eGFRcystatin c/eGFRcreatinine ratio was 0.7. Hypertension status was defined as blood pressure (BP) >140/90 mmHg or
receiving antihypertensive drugs. Mean arterial pressure (MAP) was calculated with diastolic blood pressure plus 1/3 of the pulse pressure.

**Clinical Outcomes and follow-up**

The primary outcome was all-cause mortality during or after cardiac surgery. The secondary outcome was postoperative complications including acute kidney injury (AKI), transient ischemic attack or stroke, reoperation for bleeding. The procedural complications were collected from the medical records. AKI was defined as increasing in SCr $\geq 0.3$ mg/dl within 48 hours or $\geq 50\%$ within 7 days[15]. Clinical follow-up was performed via a review of medical charts and telephone interview. The occurrence of any adverse clinical events was collected.

**Statistical analyses**

Continuous variables with normal distribution were compared using an unpaired t-test and the results were presented as mean $\pm$ standard deviation (SD). Non-normal distribution variables were compared by Mann-Whitney U test and the results presented as median (interquartile range, IQR). Categorical variables were compared by Pearson Chi-Square test and the results were presented as frequencies (percentages). Based on receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis results, the optimal cut-off value of CONUT score was 3. The Kaplan-Meier curves and log-rank test were conducted to estimate the survival rate of patients. To identify the independent risk factors, we performed univariate and multivariate Cox regression models. Hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were provided. Furthermore, we also performed the area under the curve (AUC) analysis to compare models containing only CONUT score and joint models in predicting outcomes. All statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS 24.0 software. A two-sided $p$ value $<0.05$ was considered to be statistical significance.

**Results**
Baseline characteristics

There were 631 patients underwent cardiac surgery in our hospital. During follow-up, 178 patients withdrew. Finally, a total of 408 patients were enrolled according to the exclusion criteria. Only 12 patients (2.9%) were diagnosed as SPS. Ninety-nine patients were classified into high CONUT score group, because their scores were more than 3. Table 2 shows baseline clinical characteristics. The majority of patients were male, and there was significant difference in gender between two groups. Compared with low CONUT score group, patients in high CONUT score group were older. There were 196 (48.0%) and 70 (17.2%) patients having hypertension and diabetes as comorbidities respectively, the proportion was higher in high CONUT score group. Patients in high CONUT score group showed lower hemoglobin, lower serum albumin, higher serum creatinine, lower triglyceride, lower cholesterol, lower HDLC, lower LDLC, higher CRP, and lower LVEF ($p<0.05$). More patients met the diagnostic criteria of anemia in high CONUT score group than in low CONUT score group. There were no significant differences in MAP, current smokers, alcohol, BUN, NT-pro BNP, cTnI between two groups.

Clinical outcomes

In the present study, the median follow-up period was 72.12 months (58.19, 88.87), and 28 (6.9%) patients achieved primary outcomes. The Kaplan-Meier total survival rate at year five was 94.9%. Thirteen (13.1%) reached the primary endpoint in high CONUT score group. As shown in Figure 1, survival rate at year five was 90.9% in the high CONUT score group compared with 96.1% in the low CONUT score group (Log rank: $p=0.002$). No patients diagnosed as SPS died during follow-up. Forty-three (10.5%) patients occurred postoperative complications. The high CONUT group had significantly higher rate of secondary outcomes (Figure 1, Log rank: $p=0.02$).

Related risk factors
The correlations between baseline characteristics and all-cause mortality were analyzed by Cox regression models as well. In the univariate analysis, age (HR=1.084, 95%CI=1.033 to 1.138, \( p=0.001 \)), hypertension (HR=2.975, 95%CI=1.308 to 6.767, \( p=0.009 \)), high CONUT score (HR=3.179, 95%CI=1.489 to 6.788, \( p=0.003 \)) were significantly associated with an increased risk for mortality (see Table 3). These variables were further analyzed by a multivariate Cox regression model. High CONUT score (HR=2.367, 95%CI=1.072 to 5.266, \( p=0.033 \), Table 4) and age (HR=1.062, 95%CI=1.004 to 1.122, \( p=0.034 \)) remained as significant predictors of mortality. The AUC of the joint probability of CONUT score, age, and hypertension in predicting all-cause mortality was 0.744 (95% CI: 0.687-0.775, Figure 2).

The results of our multivariate analysis displayed that high CONUT score was still an independent risk factor of secondary outcomes (HR=2.316, 95%CI=1.122 to 4.780, \( p=0.023 \), Table 5). Hypertension (HR=2.558, 95%CI=1.101 to 5.945, \( p=0.029 \)), cTnl (HR=1.076, 95%CI=1.048 to 1.105, \( p<0.001 \)), UA (HR=1.004, 95%CI=1.000 to 1.007, \( p=0.028 \)) were significantly and independently associated with secondary outcomes.

Discussion

The CONUT score is a well-known immune-nutritional index. To the best of our knowledge, the relationship between the CONUT score and mortality has not been investigated previously in Chinese patients undergoing cardiac surgery. The major finding of our study is that high CONUT scores (CONUT score >3) could be an independent predictor for all-cause mortality and postoperative complications in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. Recently, malnutrition has been recognized as an independent predictor of mortality in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD)[16]. Ulibarri et al. reported the CONUT score to identify malnutrition in hospital population for the first time[5]. In the present study, the high CONUT score before operation was associated with higher risk of mortality and
postoperative complications. Approximately 24.3% patients had high CONUT scores, the survival rate was significantly lower than the low CONUT group. In addition, the AUC of the joint probability of CONUT score, age and hypertension was the largest. According with previous study, the CONUT score was associated with increased incidence of death in asymptomatic patients with cardiac disease[17]. Poor nutritional status accessed by the CONUT score has shown to be associated with poor prognosis in patients with acute heart failure as well[9][18]. Wada H et al. followed 1987 patients with stable CAD undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention for 7.4 years and found that high CONUT score was related to major adverse cardiac events[10].

To date, numerous studies have evaluated the prognostic value of nutrition indicators such as in patients undergoing cardiac surgery[19]. Standard scoring systems such as the Mini-Nutritional Assessment (MNA), the Subjective Global Assessment (SGA), the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST) have been regarded as significant predictors for cardiac surgical population[20][21]. However, these nutritional screening tools are based on cognitive assessments evaluated by clinicians which may cause bias. The Geriatric Nutritional Risk Index (GNRI) is calculated based on serum albumin and body weight[22]. Previous study found the CONUT score had better prognostic values than GNRI in predicting 1-year all-cause mortality in patients undergoing TAVI[8]. The CONUT score contains different aspects of malnutrition via inexpensive objective markers determined from laboratory data.

Albumin is recognized as the major protein in human plasma, and it is also the most plentiful protein in the extracellular component[23]. Nutrient intake plays an important role in albumin synthesis. Therefore, malnutrition, inflammation and cachexia may lead to hypoalbuminemia[24]. Several studies detected hypoalbuminemia was associated with mortality after TAVI[25][26]. Cardiac surgery could result in an anticipated rise of
inflammatory mediators[27]. Albumin, inflammatory and nutritional factors has been reported to be correlated with progression of atherosclerosis and cardiovascular disease[28][29]. Lymphocytopenia can reflect a poorly regulated immune response because of corticosteroid release[30]. Marcula M et al. has reported low lymphocyte counts would predict worse outcomes in patients with CAD[31]. Horwich TB et al. investigated that hypocholesterolemia was associated with marked increasing mortality in patients with heart failure[32], although it is common knowledge that hypercholesterolemia is a risk factor of CAD in the general population. Based on these reasons, the CONUT score is more appropriate to evaluate nutritional status and predict clinical outcomes in patients underwent cardiac surgery. Several studies have found that patients with chronic heart failure may be beneficial from nutritional intake[27][33]. So that we should evaluate whether nutritional interventions could improve clinical outcomes in cardiac surgery patients in follow-up studies.

A retrospective study conducted in Sweden found that SPS was associated with increasing mortality in cardiac surgery patients[11]. Nevertheless, we did not detect this association in our study. This might have been due to ethnicity differences and small sample size. SPS was originally presumed to be a risk factor for mortality in pregnant women, and similar results were obtained in men and non-pregnant women[34]. However, the incidence of SPS was low, and the cut-off value for the ratio used to diagnose SPS was uncertain. Further studies are required to provide more insight into optimal cut-off values.

However, several limitations of our study need consideration. First, it was a retrospective single-center study of Chinese population with small sample size, so that the study may suffer from a potential selection bias and a center-specific effect. Incomplete data and loss of follow-up can’t be ignored. The applicability of our findings to other ethnic groups needs further validation in other cohorts. Second, we only evaluated the CONUT score
before operation and did not record changes over time. Third, we could not confirm that perioperative nutritional support could improve clinical outcomes. Finally, information of cardiovascular drugs was unavailable, poor compliance might affect the clinical outcomes.

Conclusion

In summary, nutritional status evaluated by the CONUT score was independently associated with all-cause mortality and postoperative complications in patients underwent cardiac surgery. Assessment of the preoperative CONUT score may provide useful prognostic value in clinical practice.
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Tables

Table 1. Evaluation of CONUT scores

| Parameter                      | Score    |
|-------------------------------|----------|
| Serum albumin, g/dl           | 3.0-3.4  |
| Albumin score                 | 2-4      |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dl      | 140-179  |
| Cholesterol score             | 100-139  |
| Total lymphocytes, count/ml   | 800-1199 |
| Lymphocytes score             | <800     |


## Table 2. Baseline clinical characteristics of 408 patients before cardiac surgery

| Clinical characteristics | Total (N=408) | Low CONUT group (n=309) | High CONUT group (n=99) |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| Male (n, %)              | 246 (60.3%)   | 170 (55.0%)             | 76 (76.8%)              |
| Age (years)              | 54.9±9.2      | 56.9±10.8               | 61.3±11.7               |
| MAP (mmHg)               | 97.8 (96.3, 102.7) | 96.3 (91.9, 101.6) | 97.2 (89.7, 101.6)     |
| Hypertension (n, %)      | 196 (48.0%)   | 138 (44.7%)             | 58 (58.6%)              |
| Diabetes (n, %)          | 70 (17.2%)    | 45 (14.6%)              | 25 (25.3%)              |
| Smoking (n, %)           | 98 (24.0%)    | 70 (22.7%)              | 28 (28.3%)              |
| Alcohol (n, %)           | 24 (5.9%)     | 19 (6.1%)               | 5 (5.1%)                |
| Hemoglobin (g/l)         | 138.32±21.91  | 135.16±14.85            | 127.50±17.70            |
| Albumin (g/l)            | 37.44±5.13    | 38.52±3.76              | 32.63±4.18              |
| Serum creatinine (µmol/l)| 84.95±17.87   | 85.76±19.70             | 94.92±29.67             |
| cystatin-C (mg/l)        | 0.935 (0.750, 1.043) | 0.865 (0.710, 0.970) | 1.000 (0.850, 1.000) |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m2)    | 83.84±15.00   | 79.71±17.74             | 75.53±22.02             |
| Renal insufficient (n, %)| 60 (14.7%)    | 40 (12.9%)              | 20 (20.2%)              |
| CAPA (ml/min/1.73m2)     | 80.50 (69.50, 107.25) | 87.50 (76.00, 111.25) | 74.50 (57.00, 81.75)   |
| LMrev (ml/min/1.73m2)    | 72.71±12.07   | 71.63±13.62             | 65.23±16.97             |
| CAPA/LMrev               | 1.135 (0.945, 1.390) | 1.195 (1.023, 1.605) | 1.065 (0.898, 1.360)   |
| SPS                      | 12 (2.9%)     | 10 (3.2%)               | 2 (2.0%)                |
| Uric acid (µmol/l)       | 384.37±104.69 | 323.44±100.24           | 323.14±115.4            |
| Triglyceride (mmol/l)    | 2.01 (1.50, 2.71) | 1.82 (1.36, 2.59) | 1.39 (1.19, 1.9)       |
| Cholesterol (mmol/l)     | 4.47±0.92     | 4.40±0.99               | 3.24±0.83               |
| CRP (mg/l)               | 5.60 (4.08, 7.00) | 4.45 (3.78, 6.23) | 4.60 (3.85, 9.2)       |
| HDLC (mmol/l)            | 1.064±0.217   | 1.083±0.287             | 0.900±0.243             |
| LDLC (mmol/l)            | 2.416±0.587   | 2.194±0.660             | 1.545±0.545             |
| NT-proBNP (ng/l)         | 1155.00 (405.80, 3490.95) | 1255.95 (310.05, 2222.50) | 1174.05 (619.05, 1894.05) |
| cTnl (ng/ml)             | 0.0236 (0.0028, 2.0413) | 0.0185 (0.0098, 2.2493) | 0.0235 (0.010) |
| LVEF (%)                 | 61 (57, 66)   | 61 (57, 64)             | 58 (55, 62)             |

**CONUT**: Controlling Nutritional Status; **MAP**: mean arterial pressure; **eGFR**: estimated glomerular filtration rate; **CAPA**: Caucasian Asian Pediatric Adult; **LMrev**: Lund Malmo
Revised; SPS: shrunken pore syndrome; CRP: C-reactive protein; HDLC: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDLC: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol; NT-proBNP: N terminal pro B type natriuretic peptide; cTnI: cardiac troponinI; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction.

Table 3. Univariate Cox analysis of all-cause mortality and secondary outcomes in cardiac surgery patients.

| Variables                        | All-cause mortality | Secondary outcomes |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
|                                  | HR (95% CI)         | p-value            | HR (95% CI)         | p-value            |
| Gender (female vs male)          | 0.934 (0.437, 1.997) | 0.861              | 0.963 (0.522, 1.777) | 0.905              |
| Age (years)                      | 1.084 (1.033, 1.138) | 0.001              | 1.041 (1.007, 1.076) | 0.017              |
| Hypertension                     | 2.975 (1.308, 6.767) | 0.009              | 2.190 (1.168, 4.104) | 0.014              |
| Diabetes                         | 2.218 (0.969, 5.075) | 0.059              | 1.602 (0.787, 3.262) | 0.194              |
| Smoking                          | 1.079 (0.458, 2.541) | 0.862              | 1.734 (0.926, 3.249) | 0.086              |
| Alcohol                          | 0.608 (0.082, 4.474) | 0.625              | 1.698 (0.606, 4.758) | 0.314              |
| Hemoglobin (g/l)                 | 0.989 (0.967, 1.011) | 0.335              | 0.995 (0.977, 1.014) | 0.627              |
| Albumin (g/l)                    | 0.967 (0.893, 1.047) | 0.410              | 0.988 (0.926, 1.054) | 0.723              |
| Serum creatinine (μmol/l)        | 0.998 (0.981, 1.016) | 0.825              | 1.008 (0.997, 1.019) | 0.147              |
| cystatin-C (mg/l)                | 2.626 (0.613, 4.309) | 0.329              | 1.677 (0.775, 3.630) | 0.189              |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m2)             | 0.993 (0.973, 1.013) | 0.467              | 0.984 (0.968, 1.000) | 0.044              |
| Renal insufficient               | 1.943 (0.825, 4.574) | 0.129              | 2.555 (1.332, 4.903) | 0.005              |
| CAPA (ml/min/1.73m2)             | 0.992 (0.978, 1.006) | 0.263              | 0.993 (0.981, 1.004) | 0.204              |
| LMrev (ml/min/1.73m2)            | 0.978 (0.955, 1.002) | 0.079              | 0.977 (0.958, 0.996) | 0.019              |
| CAPA/LMrev                       | 0.905 (0.335, 2.445) | 0.844              | 1.090 (0.504, 2.361) | 0.826              |
| CRP (mg/l)                       | 0.989 (0.960, 1.018) | 0.448              | 0.998 (0.980, 1.017) | 0.870              |
| Uric acid (μmol/l)               | 1.003 (1.000, 1.006) | 0.080              | 1.003 (1.001, 1.006) | 0.010              |
| Triglyceride (mmol/l)            | 1.097 (0.864, 1.392) | 0.448              | 1.059 (0.863, 1.299) | 0.582              |
| Cholesterol (mmol/l)             | 0.918 (0.645, 1.306) | 0.633              | 1.121 (0.852, 1.474) | 0.414              |
| NT-proBNP (ng/l)                 | 1.000 (1.000, 1.000) | 0.500              | 1.000 (1.000, 1.000) | 0.007              |
| cTnI (ng/ml)                     | 1.005 (0.935, 1.081) | 0.886              | 1.056 (1.030, 1.082) | <0.001             |
| LVEF (%)                         | 1.011 (0.945, 1.081) | 0.754              | 1.008 (0.956, 1.063) | 0.768              |
| COUNT score≤3                    | 3.179 (1.489, 6.788) | 0.003              | 2.060 (1.104, 3.847) | 0.023              |
CONUT: Controlling Nutritional Status; eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate; CAPA: Caucasian Asian Pediatric Adult; LMrev: Lund Malmo Revised; CRP: C-reactive protein; NT-proBNP: N terminal pro B type natriuretic peptide; cTnI: cardiac troponin I; LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction; HR: hazard ratios; CI: confidence intervals.

Table 4. Multivariate Cox analysis of all-cause mortality in cardiac surgery patients.

| Variables          | Multivariate analysis |            |            |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|
|                    | HR (95% CI)           | p-value    |            |
| Age (year)         | 1.062 (1.004, 1.122)  | 0.034      |            |
| Hypertension       | 1.859 (0.763, 4.529)  | 0.173      |            |
| Diabetes           | 1.246 (0.519, 2.987)  | 0.623      |            |
| COUNT score ≤3     | 2.376 (1.072, 5.266)  | 0.033      |            |
| LMrev (ml/min/1.73m2) | 1.005 (0.975, 1.036)  | 0.748      |            |

Table 5. Multivariate Cox analysis of secondary outcomes in cardiac surgery patients.

| Variables          | Multivariate analysis |            |            |
|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|------------|
|                    | HR (95% CI)           | p-value    |            |
| Age (year)         | 1.019 (0.974, 1.066)  | 0.417      |            |
| Hypertension       | 2.558 (1.101, 5.945)  | 0.029      |            |
| COUNT score ≤3     | 2.316 (1.122, 4.780)  | 0.023      |            |
| cTnI (ng/ml)       | 1.076 (1.048, 1.105)  | <0.001     |            |
| eGFR (ml/min/1.73m2) | 0.990 (0.969, 1.011)  | 0.339      |            |
| Uric acid (μmol/L) | 1.004 (1.000, 1.007)  | 0.028      |            |

CONUT: Controlling Nutritional Status; LMrev: Lund Malmo Revised; HR: hazard ratios; CI: confidence intervals.

Figures
Figure 1
Kaplan-Meier curves for outcomes in patients undergoing cardiac surgery. a. all-cause mortality; b. postoperative complications.
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Figure 2
ROC curves of the joint probability of CONUT, age and hypertension in predicting mortality in cardiac surgery patients.
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