Degradation, Bioactivity, and Osteogenic Potential of Composites Made of PLGA and Two Different Sol–Gel Bioactive Glasses
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Abstract—We have developed poly(l-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) based composites using sol-gel derived bioactive glasses (S-BG), previously described by our group, as composite components. Two different composite types were manufactured that contained either S2—high content silica S-BG, or A2—high content lime S-BG. The composites were evaluated in the form of sheets and 3D scaffolds. Sheets containing 12, 21, and 33 vol.% of each bioactive glass were characterized for mechanical properties, wettability, hydrolytic degradation, and surface bioactivity. Sheets containing A2 S-BG rapidly formed a hydroxyapatite surface layer after incubation in simulated body fluid. The incorporation of either S-BG increased the tensile strength and Young’s modulus of the composites and tailored their degradation rates compared to starting compounds. Sheets and 3D scaffolds were evaluated for their ability to support growth of human bone marrow cells (BMC) and MG-63 cells, respectively. Cells were grown in non-differentiating, osteogenic or osteoclast-inducing conditions. Osteogenesis was induced with either recombinant human BMP-2 or dexamethasone, and osteoclast formation with M-CSF. BMC viability was lower at higher S-BG content, though specific ALP/cell was significantly higher on PLGA/A2-33 composites. Composites containing S2 S-BG enhanced calcification of extracellular matrix by BMC, whereas incorporation of A2 S-BG in the composites promoted osteoclast formation from BMC. MG-63 osteoblast-like cells seeded in porous scaffolds containing S2 maintained viability and secreted collagen and calcium throughout the scaffolds. Overall, the presented data show functional versatility of the composites studied and indicate their potential to design a wide variety of implant materials differing in physico-chemical properties and biological applications. We propose these sol-gel derived bioactive glass–PLGA composites may prove excellent potential orthopedic and dental biomaterials supporting bone formation and remodeling.
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INTRODUCTION

Current treatments of bone defects include the use of autografts, allografts, and artificial biomaterials. The availability of auto- and allografts continues to be limited by supply, donor-site morbidity, the risk of immune rejection and infections and, often, high costs. Orthopedic implants made of artificial materials offer an alternative to supply the growing needs for bone replacement therapies. Beyond the widely recognized titanium implants, the latter can be made of bioresorbable polymers (e.g., polylactides, polyglycolide, and their copolymers) and/or bioactive ceramics (e.g., hydroxyapatite, tricalcium phosphate, bioactive glasses, glass ceramics). Poly(l-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) is among the few synthetic polymers approved for human clinical use because of...
good biocompatibility, controllable degradability, and relatively good processability. PLGA has been used as scaffolds for bone tissue engineering and for drug delivery purposes, including delivery of growth factors and hormones involved in bone regeneration. However, the polymer itself is bioinert and does not elicit a biological response in bone cells. Bioactive materials such as bioactive glass, on the other hand, promote attachment, growth, and osteogenic differentiation of progenitor cells in vitro and are capable of forming interfacial bonds with bone tissue in vivo.

Combining polymers and bioactive glass into composites is a relatively new concept in bone tissue engineering and the benefits of such composites include: (1) enhanced mechanical properties enabling better integration with bone tissue, (2) enhanced bioactivity promoting new bone formation, and (3) tailored degradation rates allowing the body’s own bone to heal, grow, remodel, and eventually replace the graft materials. Specifically, incorporation of bioactive glass into a polymer base has been shown to delay the degradation rate of the polymer compared to the neat polymer foam, enhance the composite’s bioactivity, improve the processing of porous poly(t-lactide)/bioactive glass composites and improve the mechanical properties of these composites. Several approaches employed biodegradable polymers and the melt-derived 45S5 Bioglass developed by Hench but very few reports applied sol–gel derived bioactive glass instead. In general, gel-derived bioactive glasses have a larger surface area and OH groups are present in their structure. Thus, their bioactivity is usually higher than bioactive glasses prepared by melting. Consequently, they may stimulate faster regeneration in living bone. Our earlier studies reported the production, physico-chemical, and biological properties of gel-derived bioactive glasses of the CaO–P2O5–SiO2 system. We showed that CaO:SiO2 ratio in these glasses influenced their physical and chemical properties and affected the growth and differentiation of bone marrow-derived cells.

Our data further indicate that incorporation of these gel-derived glasses into a HA matrix enhanced growth and osteogenic differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells (MSC). Recently, Wu et al. suggested that PLGA-based composites prepared with a sol–gel derived mesoporous bioactive glass possess advantageous mechanical and biological properties compared to those containing non-mesoporous bioactive glass. Mesoporous, gel-derived bioactive glasses (MBG) have a highly ordered structure and generally higher bioactivity than non-mesoporous bioactive glass obtained by melting. MBG/PLGA films have been characterized by excellent physicochemical, biological, and drug release properties and have been processed into 3D scaffolds suitable for bone tissue engineering.

In this work we have employed either silica-rich (S2) or calcium-rich (A2) bioactive glasses derived from a basic CaO–P2O5–SiO2 system and obtained by a sol–gel method, to prepare PLGA-based composites. These composites were prepared in the form of sheets and 3D scaffolds and evaluated for their mechanical properties, bioactivity and the ability to enhance BMP-2 mediated human bone marrow cell osteogenesis, support osteoclast formation from human bone marrow and osteogenic gene expression of MG-63 osteoblastic cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

PLGA was synthesized via a ring opening process in the presence of low toxicity zirconium acetylacetone as a copolymerization initiator. The molar ratio of t-lactide to glycolide in the copolymer was 85:15 (as studied by 1H NMR), and molecular masses of PLGA were: \( M_n = 80 \) kDa and \( M_w = 152 \) kDa. Bioactive glasses (S2 and A2) of the composition of: S2: 80 mol% SiO2–16 mol% CaO–4 mol% P2O5, and A2: 40 mol% SiO2–54 mol% CaO–6 mol% P2O5, were produced using the sol–gel method. Tetraethoxysilane (TEOS; Si(OC2H5)4), triethylphosphate (TEP; OP(OC2H5)3), and calcium nitrate tetra-hydrate (Ca(NO3)2·4H2O) were used as base components to start the sol–gel process. HCl solution was used as a catalyst for the hydrolysis and condensation reactions. The formed gel was dried at 40–120 °C for 7 days and then subjected to thermal treatment at 700 °C for 20 h. Afterwards it was milled to obtain a bioactive glass powder with particle sizes <50 μm.

**Composite Sheets Fabrication**

The PLGA–bioactive glass composites were fabricated by mixing glass particles with 10% w/v PLGA solution in methylene chloride on a magnetic stirrer for 3 h, followed by partial evaporation of the solvent in air, slip casting of the viscous mixture on glass Petri dishes, then drying in air and vacuum to a constant weight. The volume fraction of bioactive glass in the composites was 12, 21, and 33%. The composite sheets obtained were 0.11 mm thick.

**Composite Scaffolds Fabrication**

3D scaffolds were obtained by addition of sodium chloride (grain fraction 320–400 μm) into the
copolymers–bioactive glass solution in methylene chloride. The amount of sodium chloride provided 85% porosity. NaCl particles were mixed with the PLGA–SBG suspension with the use of spatula until most of the methylene chloride had evaporated. The dense suspension was tightly packed into polypropylene cylindrical vials (12 mm in diameter, volume 5 mL), dried in air for 24 h and then in vacuum conditions for 48 h. Then the vials with the rigid salt/PLGA–S-BG mixture were cut into slices of the thickness of 3 mm and extensively washed in ultra-high quality water (UHQ-water, produced by UHQ-PS purification system, Elga, UK). The water was exchanged several times until the conductivity of the water was about 2 µS/cm. Subsequently the samples were air-dried and then vacuum-dried for at least 24 h.

**Material Evaluation**

The mechanical properties of the sheets, i.e., tensile strength, Young’s modulus, and elongation at break of the PLGA–bioactive glass composites were determined with the use of an universal testing machine (Zwick 1435, Germany) and compared to pure PLGA sheets. The parallel specimen length was set to 40 mm, the specimen width was 5 mm, the pre-load force was 0.15 g, and the test speed was 100 mm/min and six samples were evaluated from each experimental group.

Wettability was evaluated by water contact angle measurements. The contact angle was determined by sessile drop method by an automatic drop shape analysis system DSA 10 Mk2 (Kruss, Germany). UHQ-water droplets of 0.2 µL were applied on each sample and the contact angle was calculated by averaging 10 measurements.

To study hydrolytic degradation, the composites, and reference samples (PLGA, S2, and A2) weighing 0.15 g were placed in separate plastic vials and incubated in 30 mL UHQ-water at 37 °C for 3 months. The evaluation was performed according to international standard (ISO 10993-13). Hydrolytic degradation process was monitored by pH and conductivity measurements of incubation medium with the use of pH meter (CP-315 Elmetron, Poland) and conductivity-meter (CC-315, Elmetron, Poland), respectively.

The arithmetical mean roughness (Ra) and ten-point mean roughness depth (Rz) of a surface were determined. These parameters were quantified using a profilometer (TR-100 Surface Roughness Tester).

Bioactivity of the composites was assessed by an in vitro simulated body fluid (SBF) test according to the method described in the work of Niemiel et al.

In brief, SBF was prepared by dissolving the following chemicals (POCh, Gliwice, Poland) in UHQ-water: 141 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 0.5 mM MgSO4, 1 mM MgCl2, 4.2 mM NaHCO3, 2.5 mM CaCl2, and 1.0 mM KH2PO4. The resulting SBF was buffered to pH 7.28 with Tris(hydroxymethyl aminomethane)/HCl. The sheets weighing 1 g were immersed in 100 mL of SBF solution and incubated at 37 °C for 10 days. The SBF solution was exchanged every 3 days to ensure sufficient ion concentrations for mineral growth. Afterwards the samples were washed in UHQ-water, air- and vacuum-dried to a constant weight.

Microstructure and chemical composition of PLGA and composite sheets before and after soaking in SBF were examined by SEM/EDAX analysis (NanoSEM, FEI, USA, accelerating voltage 10 and 18 kV, magnifications 500× and 5,000×). The EDAX spectra were averaged for the whole analyzed surface at the magnification of 5,000×.

**Material Sterilization**

For cell culture, composite sheets (round samples matching the size of culture vessels) were sterilized by soaking in 70% ethanol for 30 min, washed with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and further sterilized by UV light for 15 min. 3D scaffolds were sterilized in 70% ethanol for 72 h, washed in PBS and air-dried before cell seeding.

**Cell Cultures**

**Evaluation of 2D Sheets**

Adult human bone marrow cells (BMC) were harvested from iliac crest of 10 adult patients (age 19–71, both genders) under Institutional Review Board-approved protocol (KBET/17/L/2007). The mononuclear fraction, which contains both mesenchymal and hematopoietic cells, was isolated as described previously. Cells were either expanded in primary culture to select for MSC or they were directly seeded on the sheets (BMC mononuclear fraction). For selection of MSC, primary BMC were cultured in tissue culture flasks using alpha-MEM supplemented with 10% pre-selected MSC-qualified fetal bovine serum (MSC-FBS) and 1% antibiotics (Penicillin/Streptomycin; Invitrogen). Medium was changed twice weekly until a confluent cell monolayer was developed. Cells were then detached from culture flasks using 0.25% Trypsin–EDTA (Invitrogen) and seeded onto the composite sheets or further expanded. To induce alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity, the early marker of osteogenesis, MSC were seeded on composite sheets (round samples of 15 mm in diameter) at a density of 10⁴ cells/cm² and cultured in either alpha-MEM/MSC-FBS/antibiotics or supplemented with 100 µg/mL ascorbate-2-phosphate (ascorbate; Sigma) and 100 ng/mL recombinant human BMP-2 (BMP-2; R&D Systems). Gene expression of
osteogenic markers was analyzed in parallel cultures established on composite sheets of higher surface area (round samples of 28 mm in diameter) and treated with ascorbate and BMP-2. For calcium deposition, cultures were supplemented with 100 \( \mu g/mL \) ascorbate, 10 mM \( \beta \)-glycerophosphate (Sigma), 100 ng/mL BMP-2 or \( 1 \times 10^{-7} \) M dexamethasone (Sigma). Analyzes were performed after 1 week (MTS, ALP, gene expression studies) or 3 weeks (calciﬁcation of extracellular matrix) of culture. Osteoclast formation was induced using BMC mononuclear fraction. After fractionation of whole bone marrow sample over Ficol gradient a mononuclear fraction of BMC was isolated and washed in sterile PBS to get rid of Ficol residues. Mononuclear cells were seeded on PLGA and composite sheets (round samples of 28 mm in diameter) at a density of \( 4.7 \times 10^6 \) cell/well/6-well plates in alpha-MEM/MSC-FBS/antibiotics and supplemented with 100 ng/mL human recombinant macrophage colony stimulating factor (M-CSF, Peprotech). After 3 days of culture, medium, and floating cells were collected, transferred to corresponding standard tissue culture 6-well plates and further cultured in standard alpha-MEM/MSC-FBS/antibiotics for a total of 23 culture days. Medium was changed every 3 days starting from culture day 8.

**Evaluation of 3D Scaffolds**

MG-63 cells (human bone osteosarcoma-derived cells; Lonza USA) were expanded using DMEM High Glucose (Biosera, UK) supplemented with 10% FBS, Penicillin-Streptomycin (PAA), 1% antibiotics, and 0.25% fungizone (Sigma). For experiments with 3D composites and control PLGA 3D scaffolds MG-63 cells were suspended at a density of \( 2 \times 10^5 \) cells/150 \( \mu L \) of PBS containing 1.75 mg/mL of fibrinogen (Sigma). To induce clot formation, cell suspension was supplemented with thrombin (1 unit/mL). Each 3D scaffold (12 mm in diameter, 2 mm thickness) was placed in a separate well of 6-well plate and held by stainless steel ring to facilitate cell loading. Aliquots of 150 \( \mu L \) cell suspensions were loaded into the scaffolds and incubated for 40 min at 37 °C in a humidified, 5% CO\(_2\) atmosphere, followed by addition of standard DMEM/FBS/antibiotics. After 24 h of initial culture, the steel ring was removed and medium was supplemented with \( 50 \mu g/mL \) ascorbate-2-phosphate, \( 10^{-7} \) M dexamethasone, and 5 mM \( \beta \)-glycerophosphate (Sigma). Media and supplements were changed every 2–3 days and analyzes done after 2 weeks of culture.

**2D Culture Analyzes**

Seven-day osteogenic cultures were analyzed for cell metabolic activity using CellTiter96AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (MTS, Promega), a tetrazolium salt assay. Cell numbers of human MSC or MG-63 cells were estimated based on standard curves established with cells cultured at different densities and \( A_{490nm} \) MTS values that were measured at appropriate time intervals. The linear regressions between cell numbers and \( A_{490nm} \) MTS values were evaluated and the linear regression equations from the data fitting were used to determine the experimental cell numbers. ALP activity was assayed as described previously, and the results were normalized to live cell number to obtain specific ALP activity/cell values. We have previously determined that, using our hMSC culture regimens, ALP peaks at day 7 and reaches plateau by day 10, and day 7 culture time point was previously used to analyze cellular ALP activity on different bioglass surfaces. Analyzes of gene expression levels in osteogenic cultures were performed after 7-day cultures on selected composite sheets and PLGA, and compared to gene expression levels in cells cultured on standard tissue culture plastic (TCP). Briefly: 2 \( \mu g \) of total RNA from each culture (Nucleospin RNA II, MACHEREY–NAGEL) were reverse-transcribed to cDNA (SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis System, Invitrogen) and used for the analyzes of gene expression levels in StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR apparatus (Applied Biosystems). Samples of cDNA were diluted 10\( ^{\times} \) and 2-\( \mu L \) aliquots of diluted cDNA were used for PCR reactions. Each reaction mixture (15 \( \mu L \) total volume) contained cDNA, gene-specific primers, SYBR® Green I, AmpliTaq Gold® DNA Polymerase and the reaction buffer as recommended by the manufacturer (SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix, Applied Biosystems). The following primers were used for the PCR analyzes: TATA (house keeping gene) forward \( 5^r\)-GGAGCTGTGATGTTAAAGTTCATA-3', reverse \( 5^r\)-CCAGGAAATAACTCTGGCTCATAA C-3'; BMP-2 forward \( 5^r\)-TGCTAGTAACCTTTGGGATGATG-3'; reverse \( 5^r\)-GGATTCCGTGTTTGTGTTT-3'; MSX-2 forward \( 5^r\)-GCACTTTGAGAGAA CACAAG-3', reverse \( 5^r\)-GTTGCGTGCCCTCGGTA AA-3'; osterix (OSX) forward \( 5^r\)-ACTCACCACCAGG GAGAAGAA-3', reverse \( 5^r\)-GGTTGCTCGCTTCCGG GTAAA-3'; osteopontin (OPN) forward \( 5^r\)-TGGAA AGCGAGGATTGAATG-3', reverse \( 5^r\)-CATCCA GCTGACTCTGTTCTCATAA-3', bone sialoprotein (BSP) forward: \( 5^r\)-AAATGAAAAAGAAGAGACG GAG-3', reverse \( 5^r\)-ATCATACGGCACTTGAGAGCTT GT-3', osteocalcin (OC) forward \( 5^r\)-AAGAGACCCA GGCGCTACCT-3', reverse \( 5^r\)-AACCTGTCAACAGT CCGGATTG-3'. The PCR reactions were performed for 40 cycles with denaturation step at 95 °C for 30 s, annealing at 60 °C for 1 min, and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s. Relative quantification (ddCT method) was used to analyze the results. Osteoclast formation was
monitored throughout the culture period using an inverted contrast-phase microscope (Axiovert 40, Carl Zeiss). At the end of culture, pre-osteoclasts and osteoclasts were stained for tartrate-resistance acid phosphatase, as previously described.\textsuperscript{20}

3D Culture Analyses

3D cultures were analyzed at day 14 for live cell number by MTS, collagen production and calcification of extracellular matrix. After MTS assay the scaffolds were washed with PBS, fixed with 0.5 mL of 8% formalin solution and cut in halves to facilitate the staining and destaining procedures. For collagen detection, 1 mL of Sirius Red (Sigma) was added per scaffold and staining was performed for 18 h at room temperature with gentle rocking. Afterwards, the stain was removed, scaffolds extensively washed with deionized water and destained for few minutes using 0.5 mL of a solution containing equal volumes of 0.2 M sodium hydroxide and methanol. For calcification of extracellular matrix, 1 mL of 0.1% Alizarin Red S water solution was added per scaffold and staining was performed at room temperature for 40 min with vigorous shaking. Destaining was performed for 10 min using 0.5 mL of 5% perchloric acid water solution per scaffold and gentle rocking. Recovered stains were then transferred to a clear 96-well plate in 200-\mu L aliquots and the absorbance was read at 490 nm using a plate reader. Collagen and calcification results were normalized to live cell number to obtain specific collagen/cell and mineral/cell values.

For the analyzes of cell distribution with the confocal microscope, the scaffolds were fixed in 8% formalin solution at room temperature for 20 min. Then the scaffolds were washed three times with PBS. Actin cytoskeleton of the cells was visualized with phalloidin-TRITC solution (Molecular Probes, Invitrogen) in PBS (1:2000 dilution of the original stock). 1 mL of staining solution was added to each scaffold for 40 min. Then the scaffolds were washed three times with PBS followed by nuclei staining with DAPI in PBS (1 mL DAPI/scaffold; 1:1000 dilution of original DAPI stock) for 30 min. The confocal images were taken as 12-bit z-stack with LSM 510 Meta microscope using 40\times magnification of achroplan objective.

Statistical Analysis

Multi-way ANOVA was used for statistical analyzes of mechanical properties and contact angle values. Biological evaluations were done with at least three separate cultures and data from each culture were usually collected in triplicates. Because the number of biological samples from each studied group was less than 10, data were analyzed by nonparametric Kruskal–Wallis test, followed by Tukey post hoc test: \( p < 0.05 \) was considered significant.

RESULTS

Mechanical Properties and Water Contact Angle

The mechanical properties of PLGA and PLGA/S-BG composites are given in Figs. 1a–1c. Tensile strength (Rm) was comparable for pure PLGA and composites containing either 21%S2 or 21%A2 S-BG. Low S-BG contents (12%) in the composites enhanced, and high (33%) reduced tensile strength (Fig. 1a). All composites, except for PLGA/A2-33 had significantly higher Young’s modulus (Fig. 1b) and all exhibited significantly lower elongation at break (Fig. 1c) compared to pure PLGA (Figs. 1b, 1c). Contact angle values for PLGA/A2 composites were significantly lower than for PLGA/S2 composites (Fig. 1d). Contact angle values for the latter were comparable to pure PLGA. Surface roughness parameters, i.e., the arithmetical mean roughness (Ra) and ten-point mean roughness depth (Rz) (Table 1) increased with increased S-BG content in the composites. Ra values for composites containing 33% of either S-BG were comparable to values obtained for pure PLGA. In contrast, Rz values for composites containing either S-BG were approximately 5–13 fold higher compared to Rz of pure PLGA, depending on S-BG content.

Degradation Rate

Degradation rates of the samples were evaluated based on pH and conductivity measurements of the incubation medium (Fig. 2) and compared to degradation rates of pure PLGA and pure bioactive glasses S2 or A2. Materials in the form of sheets were soaked in UHQ-water for 3 months. Pure PLGA did not influence pH and conductivity of the incubation medium up to the tenth week of incubation; this was followed by a rapid decrease of pH and increase of conductivity of the incubation medium; this was followed by a rapid decrease of pH and increase of conductivity of the incubation medium. In contrast, the pH of the composites’ incubation media stabilized at neutral level by second week and remained unchanged until the end of experiments (Figs. 2a, 2c). Notably, PLGA/A2 composites containing either 21% or 33% A2 alkalyzed the incubation media during initial 2-week incubation period (Fig. 2c) and the conductivities of these incubation media were markedly increased compared to other materials studied (Fig. 2d). For PLGA/S2 bioactive glass, conductivities of their incubation media increased slightly within the
first week, and remained unchanged till the end of the experiment (Fig. 2b). Notably, the conductivity values obtained for PLGA/S2 incubation media did not reach the values comparable to those obtained for PLGA/A2 composites throughout the entire incubation period.

Figures 3a, 3b show that bioactive glass particles were evenly distributed on the surface of both PLGA/S2-21 and PLGA/A2-21 composites. After 3-month incubation in UHQ-water the preferential erosion of the polymer at the interface PLGA base/bioglass particles was visible (Figs. 3c, 3d). As a result some of the bioglass particles were exposed and were able to release ions affecting pH and conductivity of incubation medium (Fig. 2).

**Evaluation of Bioactivity**

The formation of an apatite-like layer on the material surface as a result of soaking in SBF is a commonly used method to determine bioactive properties of materials. The results of SEM and EDAX analyzes for representative samples are shown in Figs. 4a–4f. We did not observe any significant changes in surface morphology or chemical composition of pure PLGA sheets after soaking in SBF (Figs. 4a, 4b). The surfaces of PLGA–bioactive glass composites were smooth with uniformly distributed glass particles before the incubation in SBF (Figs. 4c, 4e). Oxygen and carbon originating from PLGA, and small amounts of calcium and silicon originating from bioactive glass were detected by EDAX (Figs. 4c, 4e, insets). After incubation in SBF, PLGA/S2 bioactive glass composites displayed limited surface changes, though mineral deposits containing calcium and phosphorus were formed as detected by EDAX (Fig. 4d). On the contrary, distinct changes in surface morphology were observed for the PLGA/A2 bioactive glass composites (Fig. 4f). The latter was covered with spherical calcium phosphate nodules of cauliflower-like morphology, typical of hydroxyapatite precipitated from SBF. FTIR-ATR analysis, performed according to the method described previously, confirmed that low-crystalline hydroxyapatite was created on the surface of PLGA/A2-21, but not on the surface of PLGA/S2-21 (data not shown). These results indicate that incorporation of bioactive glass particles into PLGA enhanced formation of apatite-like bioactive layer on the composite surfaces, though this was dependent on the chemical composition of incorporated bioactive glass. Addition of bioactive glass of high CaO concentration (A2) resulted in faster apatite-like layer formation. It seems this bioactive glass is a more effective source of Ca than S2 bioactive glass. Consequently, supersaturation of SBF solution in relation to apatite is faster in the presence of PLGA/A2 S-BG composites than PLGA/S2 S-BG containing ones.
Interaction of Cells with Composite Materials

Evaluation of 2D Cultures

Cell numbers were estimated based on MTS standard curve for human MSC cultures that were seeded at different densities and culture media were assayed for $A_{490nm}$ changes at appropriate time intervals. Cell numbers of human MSC cultured for 7 days on PLGA/S2-12 and PLGA/S2-21 composite sheets in standard medium were comparable to cultures on pure PLGA and significantly higher than on TCP (Fig. 5a). The number of cells grown on other composite sheets was not different from those on TCP.

Human MSC cell numbers decreased with increased bioactive glass content as a result of culturing hMSC on composite sheets in osteogenic medium containing bone morphogenetic protein 2 (BMP-2) (Fig. 5b). We have previously indicated BMP-2 to be a weak inducer of osteogenesis in human compared to rodent MSC cultures and also a poor osteogenic inducer in standard serum-containing human MSC cultures compared to dexamethasone. Thus, it was of interest to test the BMP response of human MSC on bioactive composite sheets. We determined that specific ALP activity (i.e., ALP/cell) significantly increased for PLGA/A2 composites compared to TCP and the increases were proportional to the bioactive glass content (Fig. 5c). Pure PLGA sheets provided similar results. Specific ALP activity for PLGA/S2-33 sheets was higher than PLGA/S2-12 and PLGA/S2-21, but the results were not statistically significant and none was significantly different from the specific ALP activity of cells grown on TCP. Real-time PCR analyzes of gene expression levels that are characteristic for cells undergoing osteogenic differentiation were performed after 7-day hMSC culture on PLGA and composite sheets containing either 21%S2 or 21%A2 S-BG, and results referred to gene expression levels for cells cultured on TCP (Fig. 6). The selection of composites for gene expression studies was based on

| TABLE 1. Surface roughness parameters (Ra, Rz) of PLGA and PLGA/S-BG composites (mean ± S.D.). |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| PLGA PLGA/S2-12 PLGA/S2-21 PLGA/S2-33 PLGA/A2-12 PLGA/A2-21 PLGA/A2-33 |
| Ra (µm) | 1.54 ± 0.28 | 0.59 ± 0.08 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | 1.5 ± 1.5 | 0.75 ± 0.07 | 1.6 ± 0.1 | 1.7 ± 0.1 |
| Rz (µm) | 1.15 ± 0.81 | 5.30 ± 0.68 | 7.4 ± 1.0 | 13.7 ± 1.6 | 6.7 ± 1.1 | 11.8 ± 1.4 | 12.7 ± 1.1 |

FIGURE 2. UHQ-water pH (a, c) and conductivity (b, d) changes during 3-month incubation of PLGA/S-BG composite samples and starting compounds (PLGA and bioactive glass S2 or A2).
moderate mechanical and biological properties of these sheets. The analyzed transcripts included \textit{BMP}-2 mRNA, since BMP-2 can regulate its own expression, and \textit{MSX}-2 and \textit{OSTERIX} that are transcription factors expressed early during osteogenesis and regulated by BMP-2.\textsuperscript{21} In addition, we analyzed \textit{OSTEOPONTIN}, \textit{BONE SIALOPROTEIN} and \textit{OSTEOCALCIN} that are synthesized by osteoblasts and deposited in bone matrix. The results showed comparable expression levels for most selected genes on all studied surfaces (Figs. 6a–6f) suggesting that osteogenic differentiation of hMSC by day 7 cultures was not significantly affected by the composite surface properties and/or their dissolution products. It should be noted, however, that hMSC grown on composite sheets expressed significantly higher levels of \textit{BMP}-2 (PLGA/A2-21 vs. PLGA/S2-21; PLGA/A2-21 vs. TCP; Fig. 6a) and \textit{OSTEOPONTIN} (OPN) mRNA (PLGA/S2-21 and PLGA/A2-21 vs. TCP; PLGA/S2-21 vs. PLGA/A2-21; Fig. 5d) and significantly lower levels of \textit{MSX}-2 mRNA (PLGA/A2-21 vs. TCP; Fig. 5b).

Human MSC grown for 3 weeks on TCP in BMP-2 containing osteogenic conditions stained mildly for Alizarin Red S, but staining was clearly visible for cells for which osteogenesis was induced by dexamethasone (data not shown). When hMSC were grown on PLGA/S-BG composites, strong Alizarin Red S staining was apparent on all PLGA/S2 and PLGA/A2-12 composite sheets. Corresponding sheets incubated in cell-free conditions remained unstained. Thus, these materials enhanced cell-mediated calcification of extracellular matrix, and this was the case for either dexamethasone or BMP-2 treated cells (Fig. 7). For PLGA/A2-21 and PLGA/A2-33 the Alizarin red staining intensity was very high without cells, thus it was difficult to distinguish between material-induced and cell-induced calcification. Pure PLGA sheets remained unstained with or without cells and regardless of culture treatments.

When primary BMC were pre-treated with M-CSF for 3 days on PLGA-bioactive glass sheets, followed by 3-week culture of floaters on TCP in standard medium, the morphology of cells that came into...
contact with PLGA/A2-21 sheets was distinct from these pre-cultured on PLGA/S2-21 and pure PLGA sheets. Round, TRAP-positive and multinucleated cells could be observed in cultures established from floating cells aspirated from PLGA/A2-21 (Figs. 8c, 8d), whereas cells transferred from PLGA/S2-21 and pure PLGA sheets developed into fibroblast-like cells negative for TRAP (Figs. 8a, 8b).

**Evaluation of 3D Cultures**

MG-63 cells loaded into 3D PLGA, PLGA/S2-21, and PLGA/A2-21 attached to the sides of the scaffold pores and remained viable after 2 weeks of culture, as determined by phalloidin/DAPI staining (Fig. 9a, confocal images). Cell numbers were comparable in all studied scaffolds as determined by MTS assay.
described in “Materials and Methods” section (Fig. 9b). In contrast, the production of collagen/cell (Fig. 9c) and calcium/cell (Fig. 9d) was significantly increased for cells cultured on composite scaffolds compared to pure PLGA.

**DISCUSSION**

We report here that the incorporation of either S2 or A2 sol–gel derived bioactive glass into PLGA results in composites of enhanced mechanical properties and tailored degradation rates, but biological activities of these composites depend both on the content and composition of the bioactive glass components.

Incorporation of either S2 or A2 S-BG into PLGA resulted in creation of stiffer materials compared to pure PLGA, as determined by increased Young’s modulus and lowered elongation at break (Fig. 1). Tensile strength of the composites depended on the bioactive glass content and was significantly higher for composites containing 12% of either S-BG compared to pure PLGA. Notably, tensile strengths of these composites exceeded 50 MPa (Fig. 1a), a value comparable to that of trabecular bone. The increase in tensile strength and Young’s modulus of PLGA/S-BG composites suggests that S-BG particles act as a reinforcing phase within the polymer matrix. In addition SEM observations show that the S-BG particles are uniformly distributed within the PLGA base and do not form agglomerates (Figs. 3a, 3b). Thus, it is possible to obtain composites of mechanical properties similar to those of natural bone simply by adjusting bioactive glass content. Interestingly, similarly to recent work of Wu et al. increasing A2 S-BG content to 33% significantly decreased the tensile strength of the composites compared to pure PLGA; also the modulus of PLGA/A2-33 was significantly decreased compared to other composites (Fig. 1b). However, our SEM analyzes of composites containing 33% of either S-BG indicated bioactive glass grains uniformly embedded in the polymer matrix with no microcracks (data not shown).

Both S2 and A2 containing composites displayed modified degradation rates compared to pure PLGA, S2 and A2 S-BG, and neutralized acidic degradation by-products of pure PLGA at later incubation stages, as determined by pH and conductivity of incubation solutions. Notably, higher contents of A2 S-BG in the composites increased both pH and conductivity of the environment; the latter was not observed for S2 S-BG (Fig. 2). PLGA degrades through chain scission of polyester bonds due to hydrolysis. This results in oligomers formation leading to final degradation products of glycolic and lactic acids. Thus, at tenth...
week in aqueous medium, the final PLGA degradation products decreased pH and increased conductivity of the incubation medium (Fig. 2). Immersion of S-BG in water provokes the increase of pH and conductivity due to release of calcium ions from the materials to the incubation medium, and protons uptake. Although PLGA/S2 composites did not provoke significant changes in pH and conductivity of the incubation medium, past the tenth week in water, their degradation rates remained constant in contrast to pure PLGA. This may be due to (i) lower degradation of the PLGA base in the S2 containing composites, or (ii) the acidic degradation products of PLGA reacting with alkaline degradation products of S2 bioactive glass. The former can be ruled out based on reports indicating that the presence of ceramic particles or carbon fibers in PLGA composites speeds up degradation and forms a new interface that improves diffusion of water molecules into the bulk of the composites. Thus, it is plausible that the PLGA/S2 composites degrade faster than pure PLGA, however, due to the buffering capacity of S2 S-BG, pH and conductivity of the incubation medium do not change. Compared to PLGA/S2 composites, the PLGA/A2 composites displayed significantly higher pH and conductivity values and this positively correlated with the concentration of bioactive glass (Figs. 2c, 2d). Notably, after 3 weeks immersion of PLGA/A2 composites in UHQ-water,
the pH stabilized at the level of 8 (Fig. 2c), whereas conductivity increased, especially for PLGA/A2-33 composites (Fig. 2d). This may be due to continuous release of calcium ions from A2 S-BG and local buffering effects of potential PLGA degradation products. Overall, both the chemical composition of the S-BG and the amount in the PLGA base contribute to the degradation rate of the resulting composites. Using the composites studied here, it is feasible to design a wide variety of biodegradable materials differing in degradation kinetics.

The cell numbers of bone marrow human MSC grown in non-differentiating conditions were significantly higher on PLGA/S2 composite sheets compared to PLGA/A2 (Fig. 5a). The latter was less hydrophobic (Fig. 1d) and this could have affected attachment and growth of osteoprogenitor cells. In contrast, when cells were grown in osteogenic BMP-containing medium, the number of cells was comparable on either S-BG-containing composites and decreased with increased S-BG content (Fig. 5b). This corresponded to increased surface roughness parameters of the PLGA/S-BG composites with increased S-BG contents (Table 1). The effects of surface roughness and topography on bone cells have been well documented in the literature, \(^{14,22,40}\) who reported lower ALP activity of human osteoblast-like cells with increased bioactive glass content in PLAGA-55S5 BG composites. We observed significant increases of specific ALP/cell (Fig. 5c) in hMSC cultures grown on composites containing the highest proportion of A2 S-BG. It is plausible that PLGA/A2-33 composites slowed cell adhesion and/or proliferation rates, but stimulated osteogenic differentiation instead. Further analyzes of gene expression levels of hMSC grown on composite sheets of moderate properties (i.e., PLGA-21% of either A2 or S2 BG) showed, in most cases, comparable expression of osteogenic markers that was not significantly different from cells cultured on either PLGA or TCP. This indicates that the studied surfaces support BMP-mediated osteogenic progression of hMSC at least to the same extent as polymer itself or TCP. However, hMSC grown on PLGA/A2-21 sheets had significantly higher \(BMP-2\) mRNA expression levels compared to TCP suggesting they may enhance BMP-mediated signaling. In this respect, it is unclear why \(MSX-2\) expression was decreased on PLGA/A2-21 sheets. Though, if these composites stimulated osteogenic differentiation of hMSC, they might as well induce expression of \(MSX-2\) at earlier culture stages. Further studies are required to determine molecular mechanisms of BMP signaling on these composite sheets.
In the previous work of Karpov et al.,

17 pure A2 S-BG coatings induced moderate osteogenic effects in human bone marrow-derived MSC cultures, but acted as a potent inducer of osteoclast formation and survival. In this work hMSC grown on PLGA/A2-21 sheets expressed significantly higher levels of OSTEOPONTIN mRNA as compared to PLGA/S2-21 or TCP. While elevated osteopontin expression is an early marker of osteoblast differentiation, it is also required for osteoclast formation.1,38 Furthermore,
round, TRAP-positive multinucleated cells could be observed in cultures established from floating BMC aspirated after 3-day primary BMC culture from PLGA/A2-21 composite sheets, whereas cells transferred from PLGA/S2-21 and pure PLGA sheets developed into fibroblast-like cells negative for TRAP. This may be due to high surface reactivity of PLGA/A2 composite sheets and their high bioactivity as determined by SBF studies (Fig. 4f) and Alizarin Red S staining of these sheets in cell-free conditions (Fig. 7). Crystallization of hydroxyapatite on the composite surface and/or osteopontin-rich extracellular matrix would attract osteoclast progenitors similarly to natural bone. Alternatively, PLGA/A2 composite surfaces promoted the attachment of osteoprogenitors through, i.e., enhanced BMP-2 signaling and these in turn signaled for osteoclast development. Regardless of the mechanisms, our present data support the concept that calcium-rich bioactive glasses may be a key component of PLGA-based composites where the requirement is to support bone remodeling. An exciting new finding of this work is the ability of PLGA/S-BG composites to support BMP-mediated osteogenesis of bone marrow-derived human MSC in standard, serum-containing medium. BMP-2 was previously shown to be ineffective at elevating ALP activity in MSC derived from bone marrow of adult patients and cultured on TCP in these same culture conditions.30 Recent attempts to improve the osteogenic response of human MSC to BMP-2 and/or Dex by culturing these cells on pure 45S5 bioactive glass also did not have much success.35 In this study, culturing human MSC on the PLGA/A2-33 composite sheets significantly increased ALP/cell levels compared to standard TCP. A similar tendency was observed for PLGA/S2-33 composites. PLGA/A2-21 sheets also enhanced BMP-2 mRNA expression of hMSC. Furthermore, all the PLGA/S2 composites studied enhanced cell-mediated calcification of extracellular matrix (ECM) in either BMP-2 or Dex-mediated osteogenic conditions. We compared Alizarin Red S staining of composites in parallel cultures with and without cells. Increased Alizarin Red S staining was apparent for PLGA/S2 composite sheets that were used as growth surfaces for bone marrow-derived human MSC, regardless of cell treatments. Similar data were obtained for PLGA/A2-12 composites, but high staining intensity of composites containing higher proportion of A2 S-BG in cell-free conditions did not allow us to quantify the effect. In contrast, pure PLGA did not support cell-mediated calcification of ECM in either BMP-2 or Dex-enriched osteogenic medium despite some ability of these cells to calcify ECM with Dex on TCP (data not shown). Since PLGA/S2 composites showed moderate surface activity and/or bioactivity in cell-free solutions, we suggest these composite features may be a key to promotion of the BMP-mediated osteogenic response of cells in vitro. The potential for the presently studied composite materials to be used as a bone graft substitute or tissue engineering scaffold is further supported by our 3D culture studies, despite they were done with another cell population of known osteoblastic properties (i.e., MG-63 cell line) (Fig. 9). Cell distributions were comparable within all studied constructs and comparable numbers of live cells were detected after 2-week MG-63 culture in all studied 3D scaffolds. However, MG-63 cells cultured in 3D PLGA–BG composites produced significantly higher levels of collagen and calcium suggesting osteoinductive properties of composite scaffolds.

CONCLUSIONS

Our present studies have shown that it is possible to produce a wide variety of bioactive composite materials made of poly(1-lactide-co-glycolide) and sol–gel derived bioactive glass. These composites differ in mechanical performance, wettability, degradation kinetics, bioactivity, and biological properties depending on the content and composition of bioactive glass. The composites made of PLGA and silica-rich S2 bioactive glass exhibit: (i) similar wettability as pure PLGA, (ii) slow degradation kinetics, manifested by neutral pH and stable conductivity values of the incubation medium, (iii) good biological properties manifested by enhanced human bone marrow cell proliferation and osteoinductive properties in both 2D and 3D culture systems. The composites made of PLGA and calcium-rich A2 bioactive glass exhibit: (i) high bioactivity manifested by crystallization of hydroxyapatite and (ii) ability to promote both osteoblast and osteoclast formation. Thus, the composites made of PLGA and sol–gel derived bioactive glasses should find wide applications where a mechanically strong osteoinductive material is required for bone tissue engineering and bone tissue regeneration.
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