Effect of sustained PDGF nonviral gene delivery on repair of tooth-supporting bone defects
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Recombinant human platelet-derived growth factor-BB (rhPDGF-BB) promotes soft tissue and bone healing, and is Food and Drug Administration-approved for treatment of diabetic ulcers and periodontal defects. The short half-life of topical rhPDGF-BB protein application necessitates bolus, high-dose delivery. Gene therapy enables sustained local growth factor production. A novel gene activated matrix delivering polyplexes of polyethylenimine (PEI)-plasmid DNA encoding PDGF was evaluated for promotion of periodontal wound repair in vivo. PEI-pPDGF-B polyplexes were tested in human periodontal ligament fibroblasts and human gingival fibroblasts for cell viability and transfection efficiency. Collagen scaffolds containing PEI-pPDGF-B polyplexes at two doses, rhPDGF-BB, PEI vector or collagen alone were randomly delivered to experimentally induced tooth-supporting periodontal defects in a rodent model. Mandibulae were collected at 21 days for histologic observation and histomorphometry. PEI-pPDGF-B polyplexes were biocompatible to cells tested and enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay confirmed the functionality of transfection. Significantly greater osteogenesis was observed for collagen alone and rhPDGF-BB versus the PEI-containing groups. Defects treated with sustained PDGF gene delivery demonstrated delayed healing coupled with sustained inflammatory cell infiltrates lateral to the osseous defects. Continuous PDGF-BB production by nonviral gene therapy could have delayed bone healing. This nonviral gene delivery system in this model appeared to prolong inflammatory response, slowing alveolar bone regeneration in vivo.
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INTRODUCTION

Periodontal disease, a leading cause of tooth loss, is a chronic inflammatory condition afflicting nearly half the adult population in the United States. Periodontitis is initiated by microbial dysbiosis that drives a host-mediated destruction of the periodontal attachment apparatus, which consists of cementum, periodontal ligament (PDL), and alveolar bone. Current regenerative therapies lack predictability and reproducibility for achieving complete restoration of form and function of lost periodontium, underscoring the need for novel regenerative strategies.

Tissue engineering approaches combining scaffolding matrices to deliver biomimetic factors, genes and cells would potentially foster an enhanced environment for regeneration. A key application of this strategy is the use of recombinant human platelet-derived growth factor-BB (rhPDGF-BB), a polypeptide growth factor that is Food and Drug Administration-approved for treatment of diabetic ulcers and periodontal defects. Since its discovery, platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) has been proven to be a chemotactic and mitogenic activator for gingival fibroblasts, PDL cells, cementoblasts and osteoblasts. Yet, limitations of rhPDGF-BB protein delivery include its 30 min serum half-life, the need for superphysiologic doses for clinical efficacy and a lack of spatial control within the defect site. Gene therapy would circumvent these challenges by fostering an enhanced environment for regeneration.

Traditional gene therapy methods encompass a variety of viral and nonviral strategies. Successful viral gene therapy has been established in systems using retrovirus, adenovirus, adeno-associated virus and lentivirus vectors, among others. In preclinical periodontal defect models, adenovirus-encoding PDGF-B has shown effectiveness in stimulating regeneration of periodontal attachment. In a phase I clinical trial, adenovirus encoding PDGF-B was administered directly to leg wound edges and patients displayed markedly improved healing without any apparent clinical safety issues. Even though viral approaches are promising, perceived safety concerns pose a challenge for human translation for treatment of non-life-threatening conditions.

Non-viral gene delivery systems could overcome this barrier. The advantages of nonviral systems include good bioavailability, low cost, ability to carry large DNA segments and minimal induction of host immune responses. An inherent limitation of nonviral gene delivery is a lower transfection efficiency when compared with viral counterparts. Proper selection and optimization of vector-to-DNA ratio significantly enhances nonviral transfection efficiency. Our group recently developed a novel nonviral system of polyplexes of polyethylenimine-plasmid DNA (PEI-pDNA) encoding the PDGF-B gene delivered from collagen matrix. This system demonstrated enhanced bone regeneration when implanted in experimental calvarial bone defects in rats. In the present proof-of-concept pilot investigation, we explored the efficacy of the PEI-pPDGF-B-containing collagen matrix for periodontal regeneration in a well-established tooth-associated rat alveolar bone defect model.
RESULTS

Morphology, size and zeta-potential of polyplexes and scanning electron microscopy analysis of collagen scaffolds

The PEI-pPDGF polyplexes at an N/P ratio of 10 were prepared through electrostatic condensation. The polyplexes were 282 ± 16 nm in size with a zeta potential of +30 ± 0.5 mV (Figure 1a). Transmission electron microscopy images of these polyplexes showed the formation of discrete, spherical particles with monomodal distribution (Figure 1b). The three-dimensional collagen scaffold was characterized using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging, which demonstrated a highly interconnected porous structure (pore diameters ~ 200 μm; Figure 1c).

Biocompatibility and transfection efficiency of PEI-pPDGF polyplexes

Using an MTS cell viability assay, the potential cytotoxic effects of PEI-pPDGF polyplexes containing 1 μg of pDNA at a N/P ratio of 10 in human gingival fibroblast (hGF) and human periodontal ligament fibroblast (hPLF) cells was evaluated after 48 h. Assay results showed 88% of the hGF and 85% of the hPLF were viable following transfection with PEI-pPDGF polyplexes (Figures 2a and b). The differences in cell viability for the hGF and hPLF cells treated with PEI-pPDGF polyplexes compared with untreated cells were not statistically significant. Significantly lower cell viability was found when evaluating cells treated with PEI versus untreated cells (**P < 0.01) and when comparing cells treated with PEI-pPDGF polyplexes containing 1 μg of pDNA at a N/P ratio of 10 with those treated with PEI-pPDGF polyplexes (**P < 0.05). PDGF-BB enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay showed that the hPLF and hGF cells transfected with PEI-pPDGF polyplexes secreted significantly higher levels of protein compared with controls, including cells treated with PEI and untreated cells (*****P < 0.0001). This increase in PDGF-BB protein was significant for cells treated with PEI-pPDGF-B when compared with cells treated with PEI and untreated cells at 24 and 48 h, demonstrating successful functional transfection of hPLF and hGF cells by PEI-PDGF-B polyplexes (Figures 2c and d).

Descriptive histology

Figure 3 shows representative images (×20) of periodontal defects after 3 weeks of healing (a–e), and higher-magnification (×100) views of the prepared root–tooth interface (f–j). Both the high- and low-dose PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy groups and the PEI vector group failed to show bone repair or ‘bridging’ across the defects, while the collagen alone control and rhPDGF-BB groups showed complete bridging of the buccal cortical plate and bone fill within the defects. More limited healing was seen in the gene therapy groups. In the gene therapy and PEI vector groups, a robust inflammatory cell infiltrate was present lateral to the defects. Panels k–o show the histologic features at higher magnification (×400), where residual scaffold and inflammatory cells were present in the PEI and gene therapy groups.

Histomorphometry for measures of periodontal wound repair

Histomorphometric analyses are depicted in Figure 4. Significantly less bridging bone length (Figure 4a) and percentage of total length (Figure 4b) were found in the PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy groups and the PEI vector group compared with collagen alone and rhPDGF-BB. There were no statistically significant differences between the PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy groups and the PEI vector group, nor was there a difference between the rhPDGF-BB group and collagen alone. No significant differences were found between groups for defect length (Table 1). Similar trends were found for bone area measurements. Significantly less new bone area (Figure 4c), as well as the percentage of defect area filled by new bone (Figure 4d), was found in the PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy and PEI vector groups compared with the collagen alone and rhPDGF-BB groups. For total bone area (Figure 4e), which is the sum of new bone area within the defect plus bone that formed outside of the prepared defect area, the only deviation from this trend was for the high-dose 50 μg PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy group, and this was not statistically significantly different than the collagen alone group. However, the high-dose PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy group showed significantly more cementum formation around the prepared root than all other groups (Table 1).

Quantification of inflammatory cell infiltrate and CD68-positive cell staining

For the PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy groups and the PEI vector group, a robust inflammatory cell infiltrate was identified in the lateral defect region of the scaffold placement that was not observed in the rhPDGF-BB and collagen groups (Figure 5). These inflammatory cells included lymphocytes, macrophages and neutrophils. Significantly more inflammatory cells were quantified in the lateral defect region for the PEI vector and PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy groups when compared with the control and rhPDGF-BB groups (Figure 5a). Overall, a higher number of inflammatory cells was found in the lateral defect region when compared with the peri-root and peri-defect regions, thus the findings in these regions are most significant. In the peri-root region, inflammatory cells in the PEI vector group were significantly higher in number than in the collagen alone group. In the peri-defect region, significantly more inflammatory cells were found in the PEI vector group and in the 25 μg PEI-pPDGF-B group compared with the collagen alone group.

Figure 1. Representative size distribution diagram of PEI-pPDGF polyplexes as determined using Zetasizer Nano (a) and transmission electron microscopy image of PEI-pPDGF polyplexes prepared at a N/P ratio of 10 (scale bar = 1 μm; inset scale bar = 500 nm) (b) and SEM image of a collagen scaffold (scale bar = 1.00 mm) (c).
Statistical analysis revealed significantly greater number of inflammatory cells in all PEI-containing groups than the rhPDGF-BB group. To identify and locate inflammatory cells in relation to the defects, immunohistochemistry staining was performed for CD68, a well-characterized marker for macrophages and monocytes, and a representative sample from each of the groups (collagen-only group, PEI alone, rhPDGF-BB, PEI-pPDGF-B 25 μg and PEI-pPDGF-B 50 μg) are shown in Figure Sb. As seen, compared with other
Figure 4. PDGF gene therapy and PEI vector inhibit bone bridging length across the defect and new bone area within the defect. Histomorphometric analysis is shown for bridge length (mm) across the defect (a) and bridging bone as percent of original defect length (b). Area measurements include new bone within surgically created defect in mm$^2$ (c), defect fill as percentage of original defect area (d) and total new bone (mm$^2$), including that external to the original defect (e). Significant differences between groups were assessed by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post test. Values are expressed as mean ± s.e.m.
groups, representative image of a defect implanted with PEI-pPDGF-B 50 μg show abundant CD68-positive cells in the lateral area to the bone defect.

Table 1. Length of surgically created defects and prepared roots and newly formed cementum at 21 days after defect creation

| Group          | Defect length (mm) | Root preparation defect (mm) | Cementum (mm) |
|----------------|--------------------|------------------------------|---------------|
| Collagen       | 2.57 ± 0.44        | 0.7 ± 0.3                    | 0.11 ± 0.16a  |
| rhPDGF-BB      | 2.80 ± 0.31        | 1.02 ± 0.22a                 | 0.15 ± 0.15a  |
| PEI vector     | 2.54 ± 0.46        | 1.10 ± 0.52a                 | 0.00 ± 0.00a  |
| 25 μg PEI-pPDGF-B | 2.64 ± 0.35      | 0.37 ± 0.26a                 | 0.15 ± 0.11a  |
| 50 μg PEI-pPDGF-B | 2.64 ± 0.40      | 0.7 ± 0.22                   | 0.54 ± 0.24a  |

Abbreviations: PDGF-B, platelet-derived growth factor-B; PEI, polyethylenimine; rhPDGF, recombinant human PDGF. "*"Similar letters indicate statistically significant difference between groups (one-way analysis of variance and Tukey’s post hoc).

Figure 5. PEI gene therapy and vector delivery stimulates inflammation in the lateral defect region. Inflammatory cells were evaluated in four ROIs per specimen: lateral-defect; peri-root; and the average of two peri-defect ROIs. The inflammatory cells counted within each ROI were lymphocyte-like, macrophage-like and polymorphonuclear-like cells. At least five-times greater inflammatory cells were present in the lateral defect region compared with other regions. Significantly more inflammatory cells were found in the 25 and 50 μg PEI-pPDGF-B groups and PEI vector group when compared with the collagen and rhPDGF-B groups (a). Statistical analysis performed was one-way analysis of variance with Tukey’s post hoc test. Immunohistochemistry staining for CD68, a marker for macrophages and monocytes, was used to confirm cells were inflammatory cells. The lateral defect ROI is shown in b. Representative samples from collagen only group, PEI alone, rhPDGF-BB, PEI-pPDGF-B 25 μg and PEI-pPDGF-B 50 μg groups are shown (b). Note that the PEI-PDGF 50 μg group demonstrated abundant CD68-positive cells in the lateral area to the bone defect. These images were obtained using the same magnification (×20).

DISCUSSION

This study is the first investigation of a novel nonviral gene delivery system of cationic PEI-pDNA encoding PDGF-B polyplexes for its influence on periodontal wound healing. This study is also the first to assess the in vitro biocompatibility and transfection potential of PEI-pPDGF-B polyplexes in hGF and hPLF cells. PEI, a cationic polymer, is an effective nonviral vector for complexing with and condensing the DNA, and ultimately, enhancing the cellular intake of the resulting polyplexes. For this reason, PEI is still considered the ‘gold standard’ for those developing novel nonviral gene delivery vectors. Structurally, PEI can be linear or branched, and it was shown that branched PEI (the one used in this study) was shown to exhibit stronger electrostatic interaction with DNA, allowing greater compaction/protection of DNA and thereby reducing the overall size of the polyplexes. PEI as a vector has several advantages, including its established transfection efficiency in numerous cell lines, simple DNA complexation process, stability/shelf life of the resulting complexes and the ability to chemically modify them (especially branched PEI) to enhance transfection.

In vitro, the PEI-pPDGF-B polyplexes successfully transfected periodontal cells, potentially promoting sustained PDGF-BB
production in vivo due to the sustained transfection of cells seen when polymer-pDNA or naked pDNA are delivered from a scaffold matrix (termed as ‘gene activated matrix’) such as collagen. The scaffolds enhance local and long-term availability of pDNA at the implanted site for sustained transfection and eventual secretion of target protein for prolonged periods. The cellular transfection can occur either by the uptake of pDNA that are released from the collagen matrix (as it disintegrates) or it can occur when the native cells penetrate into the porosities of the collagen matrix and take up the polyplexes presented on the surface of matrix, prolonging the transfection process. Ideally, matrices should be structurally and mechanically stable and should be homogenously porous with good interconnected pore structure to allow cells and neovascularutre to penetrate through. We utilized collagen as our matrix as it satisfies all of the above properties and is also highly biocompatible with a long record of safety in dentistry.

In previous preclinical studies testing nonviral gene delivery systems, collagen was used successfully to deliver DNA encoding parathyroid hormone 1–34 and/or bone morphogenetic protein-4 in a rat femoral and dog tibia bone defects.

In vivo, PEI-pPDGF-B delivery using collagen scaffolds showed significantly less osteogenesis at 3 weeks compared with the collagen alone or rhPDGF-BB protein groups. Comparing the two doses of PEI-pPDGF-B, the high dose showed slightly more active early bone matrix formation in contrast with the low dose and PEI vector alone groups. A key factor that may have influenced the reduction in bone regeneration by the PEI-pPDGF-B groups may be the dosing profile of PDGF-BB protein. PDGF-BB is naturally released by platelets in response to injury, and acts during the initial inflammatory phase of wound healing to recruit immune cells for early tissue repair and the formation of new granulation tissue. PDGF-BB also supports bone regeneration by enhancing angiogenesis. Previous reports by our group using adenoviral PDGF-B gene delivery showed that PDGF-BB expression was enhanced for ~7 days. While this short-term expression of PDGF has been shown to stimulate periodontal regeneration, a more sustained PDGF delivery in vivo might have an inhibitory effect on periodontal healing, as sustained PDGF levels have been associated with chronic inflammation. Elevated PDGF concentrations have also been found adjacent to failing orthopedic and dental implants. PDGF levels were three times higher in inflamed gingiva of patients with chronic periodontitis compared with healthy sites. Continuous PDGF exposure inhibited osteoblast differentiation and decreased bone nodule formation. Shown here, PDGF over 1 day during osteoblast differentiation resulted in a significant increase in mineralization in vitro. Conversely, sustained PDGF exposure caused less mineralization by inhibiting osteoblast function. The study concluded that several short exposures of PDGF stimulate osteogenesis, while long-term exposure is consistent with chronic inflammation and has a negative effect on mineralization. Other reports show bolus administration of PDGF-BB at time of surgery stimulated osteogenesis, while delivery of osteoblast progenitor cells pre-treated with PDGF-BB for prolonged delivery inhibited bone regeneration. The sustained release of PDGF-BB at the defect site may have turned out to be a disadvantage for this molecule and for this specific defect but sustained delivery of other growth factors or morphogens such as bone morphogenetic protein-2 could be advantageous for other applications. This underscores the importance of custom designing and optimization of the delivery system based on the molecule of interest and for specific clinical applications.

In our present study, a notable inflammatory cell infiltrate was observed lateral to the periodontal defect for both the PDGF gene therapy and PEI vector groups. Other reports confirm that PDGF may stimulate osteoclasts and macrophages, and inhibit osteoblasts. In a study of viral PDGF gene delivery, an increased multinucleated giant cell infiltrate and inhibition of cementogenesis was found at 3 weeks in vivo, consistent with our study time point. The release profile of PDGF in gene therapy and other tissue engineering strategies requires optimization for careful temporal control to mimic natural wound-healing events.

Finally, this model system, compared with the calvarial model, may be a more representative physiologic environment for evaluation of bone healing. In contrast to the previous calvarial model, which was a critical sized defect, the periodontal fenestration defect is a kinetic defect. This mandibular defect provides physiologic biomechanical loading forces. Although the calvarium and mandible are similarly formed by intramembranous ossification, periodontal fenestration defects have a unique bone–ligament interface with distinct cell types, such as PDL fibroblasts, cementoblasts, odontoblasts and osteoprogenitor cells within the PDL space or are recruited from blood. It is possible that an earlier time point would have provided more information on the effects of rhPDGF-BB on early wound healing, and a later time point may have allowed for resolution of inflammation and wound healing; however, given the strong response of differences between the controls (PEI vector, collagen alone and PDGF alone versus the two gene delivery groups), we feel this study is consistent with a good observational time interval to assess early alveolar bone regeneration in vivo in the rat model.

In conclusion, the nonviral PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy system resulted in effective transfection of hGF and hPLF cells for sustained PDGF-BB production. In periodontal fenestration defects, both nonviral PEI-pPDGF-B gene delivery and the PEI vector control delivery resulted in significantly less bone formation than using collagen. PDGF-BB protein. Experimental groups containing PEI resulted in a significantly increased number of inflammatory cells in the region lateral to the defect. This investigation revealed that nonviral PEI-pPDGF-B gene therapy may delay periodontal wound healing by prolonging the inflammatory response. This study also provides valuable information for the development of biomaterials for nonviral gene therapy and make available essential data to further optimize the release profile of PDGF-BB for periodontal wound healing.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In vitro study materials

Branched PEI (molecular weight 25 kDa) and the GenElute HP endotoxin-free plasmid maxiprep kit were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). The mouse/rat PDGF Quantikine enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit was purchased from R&D Systems (Minneapolis, MN, USA). Plasmid DNA (4.9 kb) encoding PDGF protein (pPDGF) driven by a cytomegalovirus promoter/enhancer was obtained from Origene Technologies, Inc. (Rockville, MD, USA). hPLF and hGF cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, trypsin-EDTA (0.25%, 1 × solution) and Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline and penicillin-streptomycin (10 000 U ml⁻¹) were purchased from Gibco Life Technologies (Grand Island, NY, USA). Fetal bovine serum was obtained from Atlanta Biologicals (Lawrenceville, GA, USA). Absorbable type I bovine collagen was obtained from Zimmer Dental Inc. (Carlsbad, CA, USA). MTS cell growth assay reagent (CellTiter 96 AQueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay) was purchased from Promega (Madison, WI, USA). All other chemicals and solvents used were of reagent grade.

Preparation of pDNA encoding PDGF-B

The chemically competent DH5α bacterial strain (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) was transformed with pDNA to amplify the plasmid. The pDNA in the transformed cultures was then expanded by amplification of the E. coli in Lennox L broth overnight at 37 °C in a shaking incubator at 300 r.p.m. Plasmid DNA was extracted using the GenElute HP endotoxin-free plasmid maxiprep kit. The purity of the extracted pDNA was analyzed, using a NanoDrop 2000 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA) by measuring the ratio of absorbance (A₂₆₀/A₂₈₀ nm). The concentration of pDNA solution was determined by absorbance at 260 nm.
Fabrication of PEI-pDNA polyplexes

Polyplexes were prepared by adding 500 μl PEI solution in water to 500 μl pDNA (PDGF) solution in water containing 50 μg pDNA, and mixed by vortexing for 30 s. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30 min to allow the positively charged PEI (amine groups) and the negatively charged pDNA (phosphate groups) to form polyplexes. In our previous study, we found that polyplexes fabricated at N (nitrogen) to P (phosphate) ratios of 10 provides the optimal transfection efficiencies. The final volume of the polyplexes utilized for in vitro transfection and biocompatibility experiments was 20 μl containing 1 μg pDNA. For the in vivo study, PEI-pDNA polyplexes at a N/P ratio of 10 were prepared by mixing 50 μl PEI solution to 50 μl pDNA (PDGF) solution containing 25 and 50 μg pDNA for 30 s and subsequently incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The polyplexes were then added to the collagen scaffolds and freeze-dried before implantation.

Size, zeta-potential and morphology of the PEI-pDNA polyplexes

Polyplexes in water were characterized for their size and their zeta-potential by using Zetasizer Nano-ZS (Malvern Instruments, Westborough, MA, USA). The particle size and particle size distribution by intensity were measured by photon correlation spectroscopy, using dynamic laser light scattering (4 mW He–Ne laser with a fixed wavelength of 633 nm, 173° backscatter at 25 °C) in 10 nm-diameter cells. Also the zeta-potential of the polyplexes in water was assessed based on the electrophoretic mobility of the polyplexes, using folded capillary cells, via the Zetasizer Nano-ZS. Zeta potential measurements were performed by the laser-scattering method (Laser Doppler Micro-electrophoresis, He–Ne laser, 633 nm and 17° light scatter at 25 °C). All measurements were performed in triplicate. Polyplexes with a N/P ratio of 10 were placed on carbon-formvar-coated grids for 1 min. After drying, the samples were imaged with a JOEL (Peabody, MA, USA) JEM-1230 transmission electron microscopy.

Collagen scaffold characterization

The surface morphology of the collagen scaffolds was studied using a standard protocol for SEM (Hitachi Model S-4800, Tokyo, Japan). Briefly, the scaffolds were mounted on an SEM aluminum stub using double-stick carbon tape, then sputter-coated with gold with a JEOL (Peabody, MA, USA) JEM-1230 transmission electron microscopy.

Cell culture

The hPLF cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (10 000 U ml⁻¹) in a humidified incubator (Sanyo Scientific Autoflow, infrared direct heat CO₂ incubator) at 37 °C containing 95% air and 5% CO₂. The cells were plated and grown as a monolayer in 75 cm² polystyrene cell culture flasks (Corning, NY, USA) and sub-cultured (sub-cultivation ratio of 1:6) after 80–90% confluence was achieved. Cell lines were started from frozen stocks and the media were changed every 2 days. The passage number at which the cells were used in experiments was in the range 4–6.

Viability assay

The MTS cell growth assay (Cell Titer 96 AQueous One Solution cell proliferation assay, Promega Corporation) was used to determine the cytotoxicity of PEI-pDNA polyplexes at an N/P ratio of 10 against hPLF and hGF. Briefly, 10 000 hPLF or hGF cells were seeded in 96-well tissue culture grade plates (Costar, Corning Inc.) and incubated for 4 h with polyplexes containing 1 μg of pDNA. At the end of the incubation period, the cells were washed with 1 x phosphate-buffered saline and fresh complete medium was added. After a total incubation time of 48 h, the MTS/PMS reagent was added for 4 h at 37°C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. Absorbance was read at 490 nm using SpectraMax Plus384 (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). Percent cell viability was expressed as the ratio of the absorbance intensity of treated cells to absorbance intensity of untreated cells (control) multiplied by 100. Values are expressed as mean ± s.d., and each treatment was performed in quadruplicate.

In vitro evaluation of the transfection efficacy of PEI-pDNA (PDGF) polyplexes in hPLF and hGF

Cells were seeded at a density of 50 000 cells per well in 24-well plates. The following day, prepared at 80% cell confluency, 20 μl of polyplexes at an N/P ratio of 10 containing 1 μg pDNA was added to the serum-free medium. Untreated cells served as a control, and cells treated with PEI alone served as an additional control. At 24 and 48 h post transfection, cell supernatants were collected and analyzed using the PDGF enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kit, to quantify the amount of PDGF-BB protein secreted into the supernatant. The mean value was recorded as the average of four measurements.

In vivo implantation of complex-embedded collagen scaffolds to periodontal alveolar bone wounds

All animal procedures were performed under the University of Michigan Unit for Laboratory Animal Medicine Guidelines. Animal protocol 4622 was approved by the University Committee on Use and Care of Animals. A periodontal defect was created in alveolar bone through an extra-oral approach, as previously described. In summary, 30 Sprague–Dawley rats (250–300 g; Harlan, Indianapolis, IN, USA) were anesthetized with ketamine and xylazine. The unilateral defects created measured 0.3 cm in width × 0.2 cm in height and were located in the mandibular buccal plate external to the first and second molar roots. After exposure, the roots were prepared to remove PDL, cementum and superficial dentin. Collagen scaffolds containing either the PEI-pPDGF-B complex at a 25 or 50 μg dose pDNA, PEI vector only (negative control), rhPDGF-BB (GEM21S, Osteohealth Company, Shirley, NY, USA; positive control) or collagen alone (control) was placed into the defect based on random allocation (n=6 animals per group). Suturing of the muscle (chromic gut; Ethicon, Somerville, NJ, USA) stabilized the scaffold, after which wound clips were used to close the superficial skin incision. Post-operative care included drinking water supplemented with 5% glucose and 268 μg ml⁻¹ ampicillin for up to 7 days. At 21 days post surgery, mandibles were collected.

Histologic observation of wound repair

Rat mandibles were placed into 10% formalin fixative (Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and then decalcified in 10% EDTA for 2–3 weeks, followed by embedding in paraffin. The specimens were sent to the University of Michigan School of Dentistry Histology Core for 4–5 μm-thick sectioning in the coronal plane followed by hematoxylin and eosin staining.

Histomorphometric analysis

To evaluate the effect of gene transfer on periodontal repair, digital images of hematoxylin and eosin-stained specimens were captured using a Nikon Eclipse E800 microscope (Nikon, Inc., Melville, NY, USA) fitted with a SPOT-2 camera (Diagnostic Instruments, Inc, Sterling Heights, MI, USA) for analysis using NIS-Elements software version BR-3.2 (Nikon Instruments, Inc., Melville, NY, USA). Images were captured at 20, 40, 100, 200 and 400 magnification. A single-masked, calibrated examiner (ABP) completed the histomorphometric analysis. The examiner’s pre- and post-study calibration inter- and intra-examiner error was < 5% compared with a standard (WGG). The parameters measured included the following: (1) defect length; (2) new bridging bone length (mm); measured from the borders of the surgically created osseous defect (mesiodistally); (3) bridging bone percentage of defect length; (4) new alveolar bone formed within the defect; (5) alveolar bone defect fill, determined as the percentage of new bone in the original bone defect area excluding the PDL space; (6) length of prepared root surface; and (7) length of new cementum formed along the prepared root surface.

Inflammatory cells quantification and CD68 immunohistochemistry staining

Three regions of interest (ROIs) measuring 100 μm × 200 μm in size were selected under × 200 magnification. The ‘peri-root’ region is defined as the location 50 μm from the outermost edge of the exposed root. Two ‘peri-defect’ regions were located perpendicular to the edges of the original alveolar bone defects, one on each side of the defect. A fourth region of interest, the ‘lateral defect’ region, was 400 μm × 200 μm in size and located 100 μm away from and perpendicular to an imaginary line created connecting the edges of the defect between the bone defects, directly
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above the exposed root. Within each ROI, the absolute numbers of infiltrated immune cells (macrophage, polymorphonuclear cell, and lymphocyte cells) were documented by a calibrated examiner (NY) with ImageJ software version 1.48v.49 The immune cell quantification for the peri-defect region are reported as the average cell counts from two ROIs. To confirm that these infiltrated cells were indeed immune cells, we examined expression of a CD68 marker by immunohistochemistry staining. Paraffin-embedded sections were deparaffinized and immersed overnight in DIVA solution (Biocare Medical, Concord, CA, USA) at 60 °C for epitope retrieval. Anti-CD68 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA; ab31630, dilution 1:50) was applied overnight at 4 °C followed by the MACH4 HRP-polymer detection system (Biocare Medical). Samples were then treated with diaminobenzidine (Biocare Medical) and counterstained with hematoxylin. For each section treated with primary antibody, a serial section was treated without primary antibody as the negative control.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). One-way analysis of variance was performed followed by Tukey’s post hoc test to compare all the pairs of treatments and determine the statistical significance. Numerical data are represented as mean ± s.e.m., and P-values ≤ 0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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