Reflective practice is a widespread concept in nursing; however, few empirical studies have demonstrated the possible effects of such a practice. The goal of this article is to present a review of the empirical literature on nursing reflective practice. Thirty-seven studies published between 1995 and 2012 were selected and analyzed to identify their common characteristics and structure. Most of them are qualitative in nature and were conducted in an academic context. Generally, few authors clearly define the reflective practice concept and the frames of reference on which their research is based. Furthermore, when reflective practice interventions are described, they have few points of comparison, which makes it hard to choose which intervention to prioritize to maximize the positive effects on nursing practice. Although a lot has been written on the advantages of reflective practice, nursing research on this concept remains at an early stage. The authors recommend various paths for future research.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Reflective practice has been gaining in popularity in nursing literature over the last twenty years. The concept, which emerged in the early 1990s in the United Kingdom, can be defined as a learning and development process that includes the self-examination of one’s professional practice, including experiences, thoughts, emotions, actions and knowledge that enrich it.\cite{1,2}

Certain authors attribute numerous qualities to reflective practice, including: the development of a form of knowledge rooted in the practice\cite{3} and recognition of nurses’ expertise;\cite{4} the modification and improvement of clinical practices;\cite{5,6} the possibility of bridging the gap between theory and practice\cite{7} and finally, the development of a new learning tool.\cite{8–10} Reflective practice can be stimulated through various strategies: verbal, written or a combination of both. Verbal strategies often refer to small workshops or clinical situations regarding issues raised by nurses, which are sources of discussion and learning. These workshops are usually led by a facilitator. Written strategies can take on various forms such as journals, reflective writings or portfolios. These strategies usually refer to a written activity during which the reflective practitioner describes and analyzes a clinical situation encountered while trying to highlight learning and development aspects of his practice, often accompanied by a frame of reference structuring his reflection.

For Rolfe,\cite{11} reflective practice goes beyond acquiring knowledge: it allows for knowledge to be critiqued while taking into account the sociocultural context. Reid\cite{6} states...
that reflective practice helps to examine the care activities that are taken for granted and prevents nurses from getting caught in the trap of assumption, ritualization, habituation and routine. This is why reflective practice is increasingly valued in nursing programs and is strongly encouraged as a means for the professional development of experienced nurses. Certain professional associations even encourage nurses to adopt reflective practice in order to maintain their skills.

However, the many qualities associated with reflective practice still remain anecdotal. Few empirical studies have been conducted on reflective practice and there have been even fewer evaluative studies that examine its effects on nursing practice. This article aims to establish the current state of empirical knowledge on reflective practice in order to identify areas for nursing research.

2. METHODS
A search was done in the Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature [CINAHL] and MEDLINE databases using “reflection and professional practice” or “reflection and nursing practice” as descriptors regardless of the publication date of the articles. By focusing our search only on empirical nursing studies, 200 English and French articles addressing reflective practice in nursing were identified in the CINAHL database and 216 in the MEDLINE database. Of these 416 articles, we eliminated the duplicates (n = 201). Based on their title and abstract, the empirical articles selected (n = 215) had to mainly pertain to: 1) the development, testing or evaluation of a reflective practice in nursing; 2) one or more strategies for developing a reflective practice (e.g., journals, portfolios, workshops) and; 3) be conducted with pre- or post-registration nursing students in an academic environment or with registered nurses in a clinical environment. Studies on the reflective practice of educators or researchers were excluded from the analysis.

We performed an analysis of 37 articles most of which (n = 16) came from the United Kingdom. Table 1 shows the criteria used to evaluate the studies, and Table 2 shows the 37 articles selected.

Table 1. Evaluation criteria of the articles selected

| Criteria | Details |
|----------|---------|
| Is a clear definition of reflective practice, including citations to the original author’s work, provided? | |
| Are the purpose of the study and research questions or hypotheses clearly explained? | |
| Is the context of the study (academic vs. clinical) mentioned and the features presented? | |
| Is the frame of reference described? | |
| Is the study design presented and explained? | |
| Are the findings presented in accordance with the purpose of the study, the questions asked and hypotheses made and can they be used to assess the transferability or generalizability of the results in other contexts? | |
| If a reflective practice intervention was developed and field-tested: | |
| o Is the framework of the intervention (theoretical basis) provided? | |
| o Are the strategies explained (e.g., verbal, written or mixed strategies) along with the frequency and duration? | |
| o Is the duration of the intervention specified? | |
| o Are the qualifications of the facilitator indicated? | |
| o Was training or explanations to participants prior to the intervention provided? | |
| If a reflective practice intervention was assessed: | |
| o Were the instruments used to collect the data described? | |
| o Was the data analysis method explained? | |

3. RESULTS
The results of our analysis of the empirical articles are presented according to their main features, namely: purpose, context, definition of reflective practice, frames of reference used, design and main findings of these studies.

3.1 Definitions and frames of reference
In defining reflective practice, most authors based themselves on established theoretical writings such as those by Boud, Keogh, and Walker, Boyd and Fales, Dewey, Mezirow, Reid and Schön (see Table 3 for definitions), although in nine studies, no specific definition of reflective practice was provided.

The reflective practice definitions identified in the studies all recognize the experiences of reflective practitioners as the basis for new learning. In most studies, the reflection process refers to Schön’s reflection-in-action (reflection during a clinical experience) and reflection-on-action (reflection on a clinical experience after the fact).
Table 2. Research synthesis on reflective practice (RP) (n = 37)

| Authors Country | Purposes Context (A)(C) | RP Def. | Frame of Reference | Design (Sample) | Results |
|-----------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Callister et al. [19] United Kingdom | Identify strategies to develop reflective skills (A) | ✓ | From the authors | Qualitative study (n = 62) | More reflective process elements: practice description; focus identification; level of clarity, source references and self-evaluation. |
| United States | Determine nurses’ commitment toward RP (C) | ✓ | Kolb [57]; Mezirow [58] | Qualitative study (n = 14) | Reflection & transformation process; more self-awareness; deepened reflection through literature; use of political skills. |
| United Kingdom | Explore the cognitive and emotional responses of students (A) | ✓ | Boud et al. [39] | Grounded theory (n = 6) | Become aware of human vulnerability; acknowledge one’s limits; self-evaluation; use of family’s perspective. |
| Canada | Evaluate the experience of keeping a portfolio (A) | ✓ | John [29] | Pilot evaluation study (n = 22) | Problem solving and identification of reasons for action. More client-centered. |
| Ireland | Explore the experience of reflection on clinical practice (A) | ✓ | Fakude and Bruce [73] | Grounded theory (preliminary study) (n = 5) | Comprehension of the reflective process; Use of reflection in practice; Need for support and guidance. |
| United Kingdom | Discover how students perceive reflective learning (A) | ✓ | Martin and Mitchell [18] | Nemeth et al. [49] | A pre-post quantitative study (n = 23) | No consensus on strategies to teach reflective skills. |
| United Kingdom | Identify reflection process elements to be developed. Evaluate the development of reflective skills (A) | ✓ | Wray et al. [59] | Qualitative study (n = 10) | High level of critical reflection. |
| United Kingdom | Explore the development of reflective skills (A) | ✓ | Wong [31] | Qualitative study (illuminate approach) (n = 110) | Story telling useful to reflect on experience, debate decisions, become self-aware, reveal stereotypes. |
| United States | Identify learning of ethical reasoning through story telling (A) | ✓ | Fagerberg [13] | Quasi-experimental study (EG: n = 20; CG: n = 25) | EG better than CG for responses in future situations (p = .047). |
| United States | Evaluate the efficiency of reflective learning (A) | ✓ | Forneris and Galvin [52] | Phenomenological study (n = 4) | Finding balance; Establishing trust; Taking care/giving go; Choosing to change; Recognizing suffering and unfulfilled expectations; Forgiving. |
| Australia | Capture nurse’s reflective process in the integration of a care model (C) | ✓ | Newman [28] | Nano et al. [41] | Phenomenological study (n = 4) | Increase in critical thinking skills. |
| United States | Evaluate the implementation of a contextualized reflective intervention (C) | ✓ | Watson [27] | Evaluation case study (n = 6 novice-tutor dyads) | Reflection for understanding the process level of reflection in practice. |
| United States | Develop a care practice that promote family health (C) | ✓ | Smith [32] | Educational research project (n = 8 members of the unit team (4 nurses)) | Reflection & transformation process; more self-awareness; deepened reflection through literature; use of political skills. |
| Canada | Explore students perceptions on action learning groups (ALGs) (A) | ✓ | Fadde and Galiva [30] | Qualitative study (n = 22) | Learning to reflect in depth; transformation perspective. |
| United Kingdom | Discover, through reflection, the significance of the experience of suffering (C) | ✓ | Purse [44;45] | Hermeneutic phenomenological study (n = 7) | 3 main concepts: Feeling of failure; Transmutating; Frustrating responses of students. |
| United Kingdom | Explore the effect of an education program on improving self-reflection skills (A) | ✓ | Tripp [34] | Qualitative study evaluation study (n = 12) | Becoming a reflective practitioner. |
| Hong Kong | Explore the development of reflective writing techniques (A) | ✓ | Johns [29] | Quantitative study pre-post (n = 38) | Improvement of the levels of reflection |
| United Kingdom | Explore the development of reflective writing techniques (A) | ✓ | Johns [29] | Grounded theory (n = 12) | Development of analytical and critical thinking; Self-development through reflective writing; Writing = learning tool. |
| United States | Examine the self-reflection of students using reflective journals (A) | ✓ | Argyris & Schön [5] | Descriptive study (n = 20) | Acquisition of superior reflection levels. |
| United Kingdom | Explore how nurses view reflection (C) | ✓ | Heath [35] | Interpretative ethnographic study (n = 16) | Positive for nursing practice but invalid form of acquisition of knowledge from the point of view of other professionals. |
| United States | Identify practice changes using the critical incident (A) | ✓ | Martin and Mitchell [29] | Qualitative study (n = 75 critical incidents) | Change related to: one’s own practice; practice of others; care culture. |
| United Kingdom | Explore and describe an RP to identify learning needs (C) | ✓ | Page and Meerenave [65] | Descriptive exploratory study (n = 7 nurses, 7 students, 1 head nurse) | Recognition of the expertise. Difficulty in completing the reflection by taking action. |
| United Kingdom | Evaluate if nurses notice changes in their practice following an RP (C) | ✓ | Morgan [31] | 3-phase retrospective multimethod survey Phase I: n = 11 (6 students) | Importance of the facilitator role. |
| United States | Examine the experience of pediatric critical care nurses who have participated in an RP (C) | ✓ | Argyris & Schön [5] | Phenomenological study (n = 8) | III: RP positively affects nursing practice. |
| United Kingdom | Determine the themes from a critical incident analysis (A) | ✓ | Schön [14] | Qualitative study (n = 41) | Reflecting on key learnings from the pressures of practice. |
| United Kingdom | Evaluate the process and outcomes of group learning (A) | ✓ | Schön [14] | Qualitative study (n = 30) | Promote changes, feedback, constructive criticism, different viewpoints, return on experience, change behaviours + attitudes. |
| United States | Explore the development of cultural humility by reflective journaling (A) | ✓ | Schussler et al. [19] | Naturalistic inquiry (n = 50 students; 200 journals) | Fight judgmental thinking, develop critical thinking and self-reflection skills. |
| United Kingdom | Evaluate if written educational material can improve reflection (C) | ✓ | Spencer and Newell [40] | Mezirow’s level: non-reflector (7/13), reflector (75.6%), critical reflector (11.1%). | Mezirow’s level: non-reflector (7/13), reflector (75.6%), critical reflector (11.1%). |
| Australia | Identifying and transforming dysfunctional relationships to improve care quality (C) | ✓ | Torsvik and Hedlund [21] | Action research (n = 15) | Reflection on ways to practice: caregiver perspective. |
| New Zealand | Explore reflective thinking in nursing practice (C) | ✓ | Argyris & Schön [5] | Action research (n = 12) | Description of the action research cycles: conducting an action plan. |
| Norway | Explore RP development through cultural meetings (A) | ✓ | Taylor [22] | Action research (n = 14) | Description of the action research cycles: conducting an action plan. |
| United States | Evaluate the level of reflection through reflective journals (A) | ✓ | Wong et al. [49] | Evaluation study (n = 45) | Reflection on ways to practice: caregiver role, perceptions of responsibilities, nurse-patient-family relationships. |
| Hong Kong | Explore strategies maximizing reflective learning (A) | ✓ | Boud et al. [40] | Mezirow’s level: non-reflector (7/13), reflector (75.6%), critical reflector (11.1%). | Mezirow’s level: non-reflector (7/13), reflector (75.6%), critical reflector (11.1%). |
| Hong Kong | | | Mezirow [27] | Action research (n = 73) | Action research (n = 73) | Action research (n = 73) |

Note: A: Academic; C: Clinical; RP: Reflective practice.
Moreover, many studies refer to reflection levels and consider that the reflection can be categorized according to varying levels of intensity. Many of these writings are based on the work of Boud et al. [24] which proposes a reflection process comprising six elements, namely analysis of emotions, association, integration, validation, appropriation and the results of the reflection, while others are based on the work of Mezirow [71] which indicate that the reflection process can operate at various levels of intensity: habitual action, thoughtful action/understanding, reflection or critical reflection.

Various reflective practice frames of reference were also used in the studies selected. These frames of reference mainly served to facilitate the learner’s reflection [29, 36] by guiding the reflection with a series of questions (for example: What were you thinking and feeling? What else could you have done?). Others are used to categorize reflective practitioners according to the reflection level reached following a written reflective activity. [23, 24, 71] However, many studies did not include an explicit frame of reference.

### Table 3. Definitions of reflection or reflective practice by influential authors in the field

| Authors        | Definitions                                                                 |
|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Boud et al. [24] | “A generic term for those intellectual and affective activities in which individuals engage to explore their experiences in order to lead to a new understanding and appreciation.” (p. 19) |
| Boyd and Fales [5] | “The process of creating and clarifying the meanings of experiences in terms of self in relation to both self and world. The outcome of this process is changed conceptual perspectives.” (p. 101) |
| Dewey [77] | “Active, persistent, and careful consideration of any belief or supposed form of knowledge in the light of the grounds that support it and the further conclusions to which it tends [that] includes a conscious and voluntary effort to establish belief upon a firm basis of evidence and rationality.” (p. 9) |
| Mezirow [71] | “Reflection is the central dynamic in intentional learning, problem solving, and validity testing through rational discourse. Intentional learning centrally involves either the explication of meaning of an experience, reinterpretation of that meaning, or application of it in thoughtful action.” (p. 99) |
| Reid [6] | “Reflection is a process of reviewing an experience of practice in order to describe, analyse, evaluate and so inform learning about practice.” (p. 305) |
| Schön [7] | “The practitioner allows himself to experience surprise, puzzlement, or confusion in a situation which he finds uncertain or unique. He reflects on the phenomenon before him, and on the prior understandings which have been implicit in his behaviour. He carries out an experiment which serves to generate both a new understanding of the phenomenon and a change in the situation.” (p. 68) Reflection in action is to think what they are doing while they are doing it. Reflection on action is looking back after the event. |

#### 3.2 Reflective practice approach preferred in the studies

In 22 studies, a specific reflective practice intervention was field-tested. However, with the exception of the Peden-McAlpine, Tomlinson, Forneris, Genck, and Meiers [64] Forneris and Peden-McAlpine [39] and Ip et al. [55] studies, details regarding the reflective practice intervention were not fully described.

When specified by the authors, numerous strategies were selected for the reflective practice intervention, namely verbal (e.g., group workshops) [34, 52, 61, 67] written (e.g., reflective journal) [18, 21, 30, 53, 56, 68, 70] or mixed strategies. [39, 50, 55, 64, 72, 73] The intensity of the interventions varied across the studies, namely the frequency of the strategies selected and the duration of the intervention. However, many of the studies did not specify how the nurses were initiated to reflective practice.

#### 3.3 Context, purpose, and design

Studies dealing with reflective practice in nursing were for the most part conducted in an academic context (n = 24), either with students aspiring to become nurses [30, 35, 55, 68] or with post-registration nursing students [21, 28, 33, 42, 53, 60, 70, 76] In the field of nursing education, studies have been especially interested in reflective practice as a teaching strategy to promote a learning approach rooted in the experience of students, thereby aiming to bridge the gap between nursing theory and practice. Of the 24 empirical studies identified, most (n = 18) were conducted using a qualitative approach. These studies dealt with the student nurse perception of their reflective practice experience; on the development of reflective skills, as well as the effects of a reflective practice.

A smaller number of studies (n = 13) were conducted in a clinical context [13, 25, 37, 39, 43, 50, 59, 61, 62, 64, 69, 72, 73] Most (n = 10) were also conducted using a qualitative approach. Among these studies, some were focused on understanding the reflective process experience of nurses in various care situations [13, 37, 43, 59, 63], while others focused on the development and implementation of a reflective practice to identify learning needs [61] or care improvement needs [72]. A qualitative evaluation of a reflective practice intervention to improve...
clinical reasoning among novice nurses was also the subject of one study.\textsuperscript{39}

Among the rare published quantitative studies, Cirocco\textsuperscript{25} sought to determine the nurses’ commitment (n = 34) toward reflective practice, Page\textsuperscript{62} evaluated the nurses’ perception (n = 70) of changes in their clinical practice following the use of a reflective practice and Spencer and Newell\textsuperscript{69} evaluated the improvement of reflective skills among nurses (n = 19) using written educational material on reflection.

As far as the methods used for both the qualitative and quantitative studies, data were most often collected using one-on-one interviews,\textsuperscript{[21, 31, 32, 39, 42, 50, 64, 73, 76]} focus groups,\textsuperscript{[34, 39, 52, 56, 67, 75]} written reflective works such as student journals or critical incidents reported by nurses.\textsuperscript{[18, 21, 30, 33, 39, 50, 53, 55, 57, 60, 66, 68–70, 72]} In terms of data analysis, the qualitative studies used mainly thematic content analyses,\textsuperscript{[18, 30, 52, 66, 67, 70]} but many of them barely explained the preferred approach for the analysis.\textsuperscript{[28, 50, 61, 72, 76]} The sample size varied between 4 and 110 nurses for the qualitative studies and between 34 and 70 nurses for the quantitative studies. Some researchers thereby warn against generalizing the results as most used a small convenience sample size.

3.4 Main results of the qualitative studies

The qualitative studies conducted by Davies,\textsuperscript{31} Durgahee\textsuperscript{34} and Heidari and Galvin\textsuperscript{52} in an academic environment show that student nurses appreciate their reflective practice experience overall, regardless of whether the experience was conducted using verbal (e.g., reflective sessions, focus groups, active learning groups), written (e.g., reflective journals) or mixed strategies. Students stress the significant amount of time needed to reflect using written strategies, but recognize the contribution of reflective practice in their professional practice. This type of practice helps to identify negative attitudes and areas of improvement, promote change in professional practices and put patients at the heart of the learning activity. Studies conducted by Callister\textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{18} Cooper\textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{30} Honey\textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{53} Glaze,\textsuperscript{42} Schuessler\textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{68} and Wong\textit{et al.},\textsuperscript{76} show that student nurses managed to develop diversified reflective skills following a reflective practice in an academic context, such as self-awareness, openness to others and their practices, and the various emotions experienced in a learning context, such as fear or anxiety. The study conducted by Platzer\textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{67} found that reflective practice groups promoted emotional support, reassurance, feedback, encouragement and constructive criticism by peers where student nurses are concerned. These groups also seem to allow for a change of behaviours and attitudes among participants. In the same study, the students mentioned being open-minded, using critical thinking, being more self-confident and having a sense of legitimacy in using their knowledge in their practice. Reflective practice seems to be a new learning tool that is valued by nurses and a lever of change offering students the opportunity to examine their assumptions and develop different reflective skills.

The contribution of reflective practice was also demonstrated in the few studies conducted in a clinical environment. Three qualitative studies\textsuperscript{[13, 37, 73]} dealt with understanding the use of reflection among nurses. These studies demonstrated that nurses use reflection as part of their professional activities and that it allows them to reflect during and after an action and with varying goals, such as taking action, evaluating or reviewing their practice. However, in these studies, no prior training was offered to guide the reflection process of the nurses or to make sure that they had skills needed to carry out a reflexive process. It was assumed that the nurses intuitively possessed these skills and were familiar with reflective practice.

Other results were extracted from qualitative studies conducted in a clinical environment. During the development of a reflective practice with nurses in practice, Hartrick\textsuperscript{50} thereby concluded that the greatest outcome of his educational experience was the profound transformation of the participants’ attitude with regard to promotion of family health. As for the study conducted by Page and Meerabeau,\textsuperscript{61} it failed to demonstrate any practice transformation, as the nurses only managed to identify their practice’s improvement areas without taking action or making concrete changes in their professional practice. Mantzoukas and Jasper\textsuperscript{59} showed that the culture of certain care units do not promote reflective practice in nursing as some professionals deem it to be an invalid knowledge acquisition method. However, two qualitative evaluation research projects\textsuperscript{[39, 64]} also conducted in a clinical environment showed that nurses had, among other things, improved their critical thinking skills during the first 6 months of their practice and began to incorporate patient families into nursing care following the use of reflective practice.

Based on the results of these qualitative studies, we can deduce that reflective practice, using both verbal and written strategies, is a learning tool appreciated by a large majority of nurses although it requires a certain investment of time. It provides support from peers during new learning, the development of various reflexive skills such as self-awareness, openness to others and their practice, critical thinking and the change of certain professional practices, but must take into account the culture of the environment where it is implemented.
A few quantitative studies also included complementary results with these qualitative data. Using a retrospective survey of 70 nurses, Page[62] thereby concluded that nurses who use reflective practice perceive it as useful (83%) and having a positive effect on their practice (73%). However, the study fails to identify which aspects of their practice the nurses changed and how this practice had positive effects on the care delivered to clients.

Chirema,[21] Fakude and Bruce,[35] Ip et al.,[55] Jensen and Joy,[36] Spencer and Newell[69] and Wong et al.[70] revealed a few positive effects of reflective practice on the progression of the reflection level among student nurses and registered nurses. However, with the exception of Ip et al.[55] study, these studies only evaluated the reflection level using a cross-sectional design. No longitudinal study has yet to document the evolution of reflection over a longer period of time or the achievement of a higher reflection level after a reflective practice intervention.

In short, most of the studies identified are qualitative, exploratory or descriptive and were conducted in an academic context (see Table 2 for a summary of these studies). Reflective practice interventions are still not explicit in most of the studies identified. Most of these studies also present theoretical and methodological weaknesses as they fail to specify definitions and frames of reference, or data collection tools and analysis methods. It is therefore difficult to establish comparisons between each of the studies. Although reflective practice appears to be a learning tool valued by nurses, allows for the development of certain reflexive skills and the progression of the level of reflection in practitioners, very few evaluative studies on reflective practice focus on its effects on nursing interventions, and none of them have evaluated the possible outcomes of reflective practice on patient care.

4. Discussion
As mentioned, the reflective practice studies reviewed to date were used to explore, describe and assess certain aspects of a reflective practice in an academic and clinical context. However, studies specifying: a) a more explicit definition and frame of reference of reflective practice; b) the operationalization of reflective practice in terms of the verbal and written strategies selected; c) the reflective skills and the prior training of nurses in terms of reflective practice; d) the duration and frequency of the strategies selected for the implementation of a reflective practice and; e) the characteristics of the support offered in the various environments, should be used to better guide the development of knowledge in this research area.

The concept of reflective practice has never been clearly defined in empirical literature. A consensus on a common definition of reflective practice is essential for nursing education. Frames of reference that better structure the reflective practice appropriation and integration process by nurses should also be promoted. For example, Johns’ or Gibbs’ frame of reference[29, 36] seem to facilitate the reflective approach among nurses through their question-based structure (e.g., What was good and bad about the experience? What knowledge did or could have informed me?). The evaluation of the achievement of higher reflection levels as proposed by Boud et al.[31] and Mezirow[24] also seems promising. However, studies on the refinement of these theoretical perspectives should be conducted.

A combination of verbal and written reflective practice strategies seems essential for positive outcomes, although verbal strategies are more appreciated than written ones by nurses. Training activities with coaching and feedback helping to prepare for these written exercises could make reflective writing less strenuous and allow for the development of reflective skills. As the duration and frequency of the strategies implemented also vary between studies, the best approaches have yet to be determined. Some studies also raise the importance of the role played by facilitators during the implementation of reflective practice, but few writings focus on the skill set coaches need to get nurses to adhere to this practice. Therefore, studies specifying the optimal features of reflective practice interventions in terms of strategies, duration, frequency, coaching (qualifications) and the prerequisites for a reflective practice (training) should be carried out.

5. Conclusion
Although many writings deal with reflective practice and recognize its numerous qualities, nursing research in this field remains rudimentary. This research is even rarer when we limit reflective practice to practice environments. Stemming from works conducted mainly in academic environments, reflective practice has yet to be fully recognized as a form of professional development. Clinical environments would thereby benefit from an evaluation of its outcomes, for example, on integrating innovative care interventions based on best practices or patient-centered care. Numerous research areas need to be explored. This article proposes some promising areas of reflective practice knowledge development.
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