Salivary Protein 1 of Brown Planthopper Is Required for Survival and Induces Immunity Response in Plants
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The brown planthopper (BPH), Nilaparvata lugens Stål, is one of the major pests of rice. It uses its stylet to penetrate rice phloem, feeding on rice sap and causing direct damage to rice or even plant death. During the feeding process, BPHs secrete saliva into plant tissues, which plays crucial roles in the plant-insect interactions. However, little is known about how the salivary proteins secreted by BPH affect feeding ability and how they induce plant immune responses. Here, we identified an N. lugens Salivary Protein 1 (NISP1) by screening salivary proteome and characterized its functions in BPH and plants. NISP1 induces cell death, H₂O₂ accumulation, the expression of defense-related genes, and callose deposition in planta. The active region of NISP1 that induces plant cell death is located in its N-terminal region. Inhibition of NISP1 expression in BPHs reduced their feeding ability and had a lethal effect on them. Most importantly, we demonstrated that NISP1 was able to be secreted into rice plant during feeding process and form a complex with certain interacting partner of rice. These results provide a detailed characterization of a salivary protein from BPHs and offers new insights into our understanding of rice-BPH interaction.
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INTRODUCTION

The war between plants and herbivorous insects has a long history and continues. Herbivorous insects prey on plants by chewing or piercing-sucking and plants defense against herbivorous insects (Ehrlich and Raven, 1964). To protect themselves from injury by herbivores, plants have evolved sophisticated systems for resistance to herbivorous insects, including constitutive and induced defenses (Felton and Tumlinson, 2008; Erb et al., 2012; Mithöefer and Boland, 2012; Stam et al., 2014; Schuman and Baldwin, 2016). Constitutive defenses are the physical and chemical defense characteristic of plants without the influence of herbivorous insects. In contrast, induced defenses are installed only after the plant attacked by herbivores (Wu and Baldwin, 2010). Inducible
defenses are mainly initiated by the recognition of saliva or oral secretions of herbivores and are followed by the activation of a complex signaling network, including reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, calcium signaling, mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades, and JA, SA, ethylene, and hypersensitive response (HR) pathways (Erb and Reymond, 2019; Wilkinson et al., 2019).

As with plant-pathogen interactions, herbivore-associated molecular patterns (HAMPs) and effectors work on recognition of plant and insect and activation of plant defense responses (Wu and Baldwin, 2010; Hogenhout and Bos, 2011; Jiang et al., 2019). To date, many HAMPs have been identified in saliva, regurgitant, and egg secretions of herbivores, including fatty acid conjugates, caeliferins, bruchins, inceptins, and salivary enzymes, such as β-glucosidase and lipase (Wu and Baldwin, 2010; Erb et al., 2012; Acevedo et al., 2015). In addition, the mechanism of HAMPs inducing the defense response has also been extensively studied (Schäfer et al., 2011; Erb et al., 2012; Stahl et al., 2018; Wari et al., 2019). By contrast, there have been fewer studies on herbivores effectors. Broadly speaking, small molecules of all pathogen or insect proteins secreted into host cells that alter host structure and function are defined as effectors (Hogenhout et al., 2009). It has been reported that glucose oxidase (GOX) in Helicoverpa zea salivary glands suppressed host defenses, which inhibited nicotine from D-glucose (Musser et al., 2002; Diezel et al., 2009).

Moreover, expression of the aphid effectors, Mp10 and Mp42, in host plants decreases the fecundity of green peach aphid Acyrthosiphon pisum, while other effectors, C002 and Mp55, enhances aphid fecundity (Bos et al., 2010; Pitino et al., 2011; Elzinga et al., 2014). Similarly, other aphid effectors, such as calcium-binding proteins from the vetch aphid (Megoura vicieae; Will et al., 2007), structural sheath proteins from the grain aphid (Sitobion avenae; Abdellatif et al., 2015), Mel0 and Mel23 from the potato aphid (Macrosiphum euphorbiae; Atamian et al., 2013), Arnet from the pea aphid (Acyrthosiphon pisum; Wang et al., 2015), and MpmMIF from the pea aphid (Naessens et al., 2015), also have been shown to improve aphid performance. However, the functions of effectors from piercing-sucking herbivores other than aphids remains poorly understood.

The brown planthopper (BPH), Nilaparvata lugens Stål, is a kind of typical phloem sap-sucking insects. As one of the most devastating insect pests in rice-growing countries and regions in Asia, BPH causes heavy yield losses and economic damage to rice by directly feeding on rice or indirectly transmitting viral diseases (Settle et al., 1996; Jena and Kim, 2010). BPHs puncture into the tissue of rice plants via using their stylets and then go deep into the phloem to suck the sap for nutrients (Wang et al., 2008). During this feeding process, BPHs repeatedly secrete saliva to make them easier to feed. As with other piercing-sucking insects, BPH secretes two primary kinds of saliva during feeding: watery and gelling (Sogawa et al., 1982). Watery saliva contains various detoxification enzymes, proteases, and proteins that interact with plants (HAMPs and effectors; Konishi et al., 2009). Gelling saliva quickly solidifies following secretion and forms a continuous salivary sheath around BPHs’ stylets, providing support and lubrication for the stylets (Wang et al., 2008). Thus, the saliva of BPH plays a crucial role their interaction with the host plants (Miles, 1999; Ye et al., 2017). Up to present, proteomics of BPH saliva and transcriptomics of BPH salivary glands have been identified and analyzed (Noda et al., 2008; Konishi et al., 2009; Jia et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2016). Several salivary proteins, such as NIShp, NIEG1, NISEF1, and NiMLP, have been found to play a role in salivary sheath formation and/or BPH feeding (Huang et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2017; Shangguan et al., 2018). Other salivary proteins, such as N11, N16, N28, and N43, have been shown to activate plant defensive responses (Rao et al., 2019). However, increased efforts are needed to make major advances in this important and historically understudied area of research (Jiang et al., 2019).

By proteome analysis and in planta functional assays of BPH secreted salivary proteins, we identified a secreted Salivary Protein 1 (NISP1). NISP1 is necessary for the survival of BPH and plays a role in BPH feeding. NISP1 induces various defense responses in plants, including cell death, ROS generation, the expression of defense-related genes, and callose deposition. The functional motif is located in the amino terminus of NISP1. Importantly, NISP1 can be secreted into rice plant during feeding and form a complex with certain interacting partner of rice. Our results provide new insights into the understanding of rice-BPH interactions at the molecular level.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insects and Plants

The BPH insect populations were reared on rice seedlings of the susceptible cultivar TaichungNative 1 (TN1) in the laboratory under controlled environmental conditions (26°C ± 1°C, 16-h-light/8-h-dark photoperiod) at Wuhan University, China. Tobacco (Nicotiana benthamiana) plants were grown in growth chambers under long day (16 h light) conditions at 25°C with 60% to 75% relative humidity. The japonica rice (Oryza sativa) variety Nipponbare was grown in the experimental fields at Wuhan University Institute of Genetics and was used as the transgenic acceptor and as a susceptible rice control.

Collection and Concentration of Salivary Protein and LC–MS/MS Analysis

Two membranes of stretched Parafilm M Laboratory Films (Neenah, USA) that contained 500 μL of 2.5% sucrose in Milli-Q water were attached to a cylindrical PVC pipe (2 cm × 5 cm). Twenty third-instar BPH nymphs were transferred from rice seedlings into each PVC pipe for 24 h at 28°C. About 4000 nymphs were used for each biological repeat. The liquid containing watery saliva from the space between the two layers of Parafilm Film was collected with a pipet after feeding on dietary sucrose. The salivary sheaths remaining on the membrane after BPH feeding were carefully collected by scraping off them with a small spoon in 500 μL Milli-Q water.
each device. The collected dilute salivary protein solutions were concentrated by vacuum drying method and chloroform/methanol method. Concentrated protein samples of watery and gelling saliva were separated by SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis with a 5% stacking and 12% separating gel (Sigma-Aldrich, USA), and stained with 0.025% Coomassie Brilliant blue R-250 (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). The mixed protein sample was digested with trypsin in 50 mM NH₄HCO₃ buffer overnight at 37°C. A LTQ Velos mass spectrometer (Thermo Finnigan, San Jose, CA) was used for liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) at center for proteomics research and analysis of Shanghai Applied Protein Technology Co, Ltd. Protein identification was performed using MASCOT software (version 2.2, Matrix Science, Boston, USA) against the transcriptomic database of N. lugens salivary glands (containing 18,099 protein-coding sequences; Rao et al., 2019) and another transcriptomic database of whole body and salivary glands of N. lugens (NLWB, 16,440 predicted protein sequences; NLSG, 14,203 predicted protein sequences; Liu et al., 2016).

**Cloning of Candidate BPH Effectors and Plasmid Construction**

Routine molecular cloning techniques were used to prepare the constructs. The primers used in this work are listed in Supplemental Table S3.

All of the resulting recombinant vectors were sequenced. According to the cDNA sequences in the transcriptome of salivary proteins, the corresponding ORF primers of the candidate salivary proteins were designed, and the ORFs were amplified by PCR from cDNA of BPH biotype I. The PCR products were ligated into the pMD18-T vector to obtain the accurate ORF sequences of the candidate protein by sequencing. Some of the candidate salivary proteins have no intact cDNA sequences in the transcriptome of salivary proteins. We obtain the full-length cDNA of the candidate salivary proteins by using 5′-Full RACE Kit and 3′-Full RACE Core Set (Takara, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

For construction of the Gateway entry clones, the ORFs of candidate BPH effector were amplified with primers flanked by two attB sites and transferred into pDONR207 by BP Clonase II enzyme mix (Invitrogen). The entry vectors were recombined into the destination vector pEarleyGate100 by LR Clonase II enzyme mix (Invitrogen). The resulting expression constructs were used for cell death assays in N. benthamiana. Similarly, NlSP1 and its derived deletion mutants were recombined into the destination vector pEarleyGate101 (with a C-terminal YFP-HA epitope tag). The constructs of pEarleyGate101 vector contained GFP or NlMLP are available in previously published article (Shangguan et al., 2018). The resulting pEarleyGate101 constructs were used for rice protoplast transformation and N. benthamiana agroinfiltration experiments.

For protein expression and purification in the preparation of polyclonal antibodies, the coding sequence of NlSP1 without the predicted signal peptide was cloned into the BamHI and sites EcoRI of pET-28a (EMD Biosciences, Novagen), yielding constructs designated 6xHis-NlSP1.

For protein expression in yeast, the destination vector pGBKTK7-GW is constructed by adding Gateway system element to the NdeI and BamHI sites of pGBKTK7. The entry vector containing the coding sequence of NlSP1 without the predicted signal peptide was recombined into the destination vector pGBKTK7-GW by LR Clonase II enzyme mix (Invitrogen). The resulting construct was used for yeast transformation and expression.

For subcellular localization in rice protoplasts, the coding sequence of NlSP1 without the predicted signal peptide was cloned into the Gateway intermediate vector pDONR207 via BP reaction, and then recombined into plasmid expression vector pGWB554 (Nakagawa et al., 2007) by LR reaction to be under the control of the 35S promoter and fused to a C-terminal mRFP tag. The resulting construct designated NlSP1-RFP. Nucleus marker was bZIP63-GFP (constructed by this experiment) as described previously (Walter et al., 2004). Moreover, other organelle markers were peroxisome marker (CD3-979, FP-PTS1), ER marker (CD3-955, AtWAK2-HDEL), GA marker (CD3-963, Man49), mitochondrial marker (CD3-987, ScCOX4), and tonoplast marker (CD3-971, γ-TIP) as described previously (Nelson et al., 2007).

For constitutively express NISP1-dsRNA in rice, a 500-bp template fragment and a PDK intron were used to generate a hairpin RNAi construct as described previously (Zha et al., 2011). The construct was cloned into plant expression vector pCXUN (accession no. FJ905215) under the control of the plant ubiquitin promoter.

**RNA Isolation and qRT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted from the following materials: (1) different BPH tissue samples (salivary glands, midguts, fat bodies, and the remaining parts) that had been dissected from BPH female adults using a stereomicroscope; (2) whole bodies of BPH at different developmental stages, including from first to fifth instar nymphs, female adults, and male adults. Total RNA was isolated using the RNAiso Plus kit (TaKaRa) according to manufacturer’s instructions. All RNA samples were reverse-transcribed into cDNAs using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (Takara). The qRT-PCR assays were performed on the Bio-Rad CFX-96 Real-Time PCR system with the iQ Universal SYBR Green Supermix Kit (Bio-Rad). The BPH housekeeping gene β-actin was used as an internal standard to normalize cDNA concentrations. Relative expression ratios were calculated using the Pfaffl method (Pfaffl, 2001). The primers used for target genes expression analysis are listed in Supplementary Table S4. Three independent biological replicates were analyzed in each experiment.

**Expression of NISP1 in Escherichia coli and Anti-NISP1 Polyclonal Antibody Production**

The recombinant vector NISP1:pET-28a was transformed into E. coli BL21 (DE3) strain. Expression of recombinant protein was induced by adding IPTG (0.1 mM final concentration) at 16°C. The protein product was purified by using Ni-NTA columns.
Protein Extraction and Immunoblot Analysis
Proteins from BPHs were extracted from the whole bodies and homogenized in 100 µL of SDS protein extraction buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 5 mM EDTA, 10% SDS, with 10 mM DTT and 1 mM PMSF added immediately before use). After incubation on ice for 1 h, the homogenate was centrifuged at 4°C at 20,000g for 15 min. Ten µL of the resulting supernatant were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gels. Immunoblotting was performed with anti-NISPI polyclonal antibody described above at a dilution ratio of 1:100 with dilution buffer described above followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibodies (1:7,500 dilution). The HRP signals were detected using an Immobilon western chemiluminescent HRP substrate kit (Millipore).

Protein extracts from N. benthamiana leaves were prepared as described below. Leaf samples were collected 48 h after agroinfiltration. Three 10-mm-diameter leaf discs taken from the infiltrated areas were homogenized by pestles with 100 µL SDS protein extraction buffer described above. After boiled for 10 min, the homogenate was centrifuged at 4°C at 20,000g for 15 min. Ten µL of the resulting supernatant were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gels. Immunoblotting was performed with anti-HA antibody (MBL, Japan) at a dilution ratio of 1:1,000 with dilution buffer described above followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibodies (1:7,500 dilution). The HRP signals were detected using an Immobilon western chemiluminescent HRP substrate kit (Millipore).

Cell Death Assays and Subcellular Localization in Rice Protoplasts
Transient expression in rice protoplasts was implemented as previously described (Zhang et al., 2011). The cell death assays were conducted using rice protoplasts as described (Zhao et al., 2016). For the cell viability assay, protoplasts were transfected with the indicated plasmids for 20 h and stained with 220 µg/mL fluorescein diacetate (FDA). Each protoplast sample was scored under fluorescence microscope (TCS SP8, Leica) in at least 10 randomly selected microscopic fields. For the luciferase assay, the Renilla luciferase gene was used as a reporter to monitor protoplast viability. The indicated genes and LUC gene were co-transformed in rice protoplasts with the same quantity level of cells, respectively. Luciferase activity was measured 40 h following transformation using a Renilla luciferase assay system (Promega).

For subcellular localization, Protoplasts preparation were the same as described above. NISPI-RFP recombinant vector was co-transformed with nuclear-GFP maker bZIP63 (Walter et al., 2004) and others organelles makers (Nelson et al., 2007) in rice protoplasts, respectively. After transfection, the protoplasts were placed in a 28°C dark incubator and cultured for 16 to 22 h. The fluorescence of protoplasts was observed and photographed using Leica TCS SP8 confocal fluorescence microscope.

Agrobacterium-Mediated Infiltration Assays of N. benthamiana Leaves
Constructs were introduced into Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101 via electroporation. The recombinant strains were cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium supplemented with appropriate antibiotics for 24 h at 28°C with shaking at 200 rpm. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 5,000g for 5 min and resuspended in infiltration medium (10 mM MES, pH 5.7, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 150 mM acetosyringone). The suspension was adjusted OD₆₀₀ to 0.2 for cell death assay and 0.5 for other experiments, and cultured in the dark at 28°C for 1 to 3 h. Finally, the suspension was infiltrated into 4-week-old N. benthamiana leaves using a needleless syringes for expression.

Cell death symptom development in N. benthamiana leaves was observed visually and photographed 3 to 5 d after infiltration. Cell death was also assayed by measuring ion leakage and trypan blue staining. For ion leakage assay, four leaf discs...
After injection, respectively. The experiments were implemented four times. For trypan blue staining assay, N. benthamiana leaves after infiltration were placed in staining solution (10 mL lactic acid, 10 mL glycerol, 10 g phenol, 10 mL H2O, 15 mg trypan blue) mixed with the same volume of absolute ethyl alcohol. After vacuum filtration, the leaves were boiled for 10 min and cooled to room temperature for overnight. Then, the samples were decolorized in 2.5 g/mL chloral hydrate to remove the background and photographed.

ROS levels were measured according to H2O2 accumulation after staining N. benthamiana leaves with DAB. Agroinfiltrated N. benthamiana leaves were placed in DAB staining solution (1 mg/mL DAB) and maintained for overnight at 25°C. Then the leaf tissues were soaked in 95% ethanol, 50% ethanol and distilled-deionized water successively for rehydration. Callose of the rehydrated leaf tissues was boiled in 95% ethanol for 15 min until all the tissue was entirely bleached. The bleached samples were then immersed in absolute ethyl alcohol to further clear the background. The experiment was performed three times.

Callose deposition in leaf discs 48 h after infiltration was visualized by Aniline Blue staining as previously described (Naessens et al., 2015). In short, the discarded discs were soaked in ethanol in order of 50%, 70%, 95% and 100%, and bleached continuously for 2 h per wash. Then the bleached samples were soaked in 70% ethanol, 50% ethanol and distilled-deionized water successively for rehydration. Callose of the rehydrated samples was stained by incubated in Aniline Blue solution (70 mM KH2PO4 and 0.05% Aniline Blue, pH 9) for 1 h. Stained leaf discs were mounted in 80% glycerol and observed with a Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope (TCS SP8, Leica). The number of callose deposits was counted using ImageJ software.

**RNAi Experiments and BPH Bioassays**

A 500-bp fragment of NISPI and a 657-bp fragment of control gene GFP were amplified by PCR with primers including a T7 promoter sequence (list of primers in **Supplemental Table S3**). The PCR products were synthesized using the MEGAscript T7 High Yield Transcription Kit (Ambion, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The dsRNAs were purified by phenol chloroform extraction and concentrated by sodium acetate solution, then resuspended in nuclease-free water at a concentration of 5 mg/mL. Third- or fifth-instar nymphs were injected at the conjunction of the prothorax and mesothorax using a microprocessor-controlled Nanoliter 2010 injector (World Precision Instruments), under a stereoscopic microscope (Olympus). A 46-μL volume of dsRNA of NISPI or GFP, or nuclease-free water was injected into each nymph. To determine the efficiency of gene silencing after dsRNA injection, NISPI transcription and NISPI protein expression of BPHs after injection with dsRNA of NISPI or GFP, or nuclease-free water were measured at 1 to 5 days after injection, respectively. NISPI transcription was analyzed by qRT-PCR with primers shown in **Supplementary Table S4**. NISPI protein expression was detected by immunoblotting using anti-NISPI antibody.

To analyzed the effect of the knockdown of NISPI on BPH survival rates, third-instar BPH nymphs after injection with dsRNA of NISPI or GFP, or nuclease-free water were placed on a 1-month-old Nipponbare rice plant for every 10 nymphs. The number of surviving BPHs on each plant was recorded daily for 10 days. The experiment was repeated five times. To measure the effect of the knockdown of NISPI on BPH feeding, newly emerged brachypterous female adults at 3 days after the injection of NISPI- or GFP-dsRNA or nuclease-free water into fifth-instar nymphs were using analysis of BPH growth rates. After weighing, the treated BPHs were placed into small parafilm bags (2×2.5 cm), which were then fixed on the basal stem of 1-month-old Nipponbare rice plants. After feeding 72 h, each BPH was reweighed, and the weight change of BPH served as BPH weight gain. The experiment was repeated 10 times per group, and the experiments were conducted three times.

To constitutively express NISPI-dsRNA in rice, the NISPI-RNAi construct described above was transformed into Nipponbare rice plants to generate the RNAi plants using an A. tumefaciens-mediated method. Nineteen independent transgenic T0 plants were obtained. Integration of target DNA fragments in T0 plants was determined by PCR and DNA gel-blot analysis. T0 transgenic lines SR1 and SR3 were selected for further analysis. NISPI-dsRNA expression in T1 transgenic plants of SR1 and SR3 was evaluated by qRT-PCR with primers shown in **Supplementary Table S4**. The survival rates of BPHs on T1 transgenic plants of SR1 and SR3 with the highest expression level of NISPI-dsRNA and wild-type plants (cv Nipponbare) were determined by releasing 10 third-instar nymphs onto each plant. The number of surviving BPHs on each plant was recorded daily for 10 days. The experiment was replicated 10 to 11 times.

**Defense Gene Expression Analyses of N. benthamiana Leaves and Rice Protoplasts**

To detect the effect of NISPI on N. benthamiana defense-related gene expression, Constructs with NISPI or control GFP were transferred to N. benthamiana leaves mediated by *A. tumefaciens* for transient expression. Total RNA was isolated from N. benthamiana leaves 24 h and 48 h after infiltration using an EASYspin tissue/cell RNA rapid extraction kit (Yuanpinghao Biotech) according to the suppliers instructions. cDNA was synthesized using the method as described above. The expression of defense-related genes NbPR1, NbPR3 and NbPR4 was detected by qRT-PCR with primers shown in **Supplementary Table S4**. SYBR Green qRT-PCR assays were conducted as described previously. Each experiment was repeated in three independent biological replications.

To test the induction of rice protoplasts defense-related gene expression by NISPI, Total RNA was isolated from rice protoplasts 12 h and 24 h after transformation of constructs with NISPI or control GFP using an EASYspin tissue/cell RNA rapid extraction kit (Yuanpinghao Biotech) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. cDNA was synthesized using the method as...
described above. The expression of defense-related genes OsPR1, OsPR3 and OsPR4 was detected by qRT-PCR with primers shown in Supplementary Table S4. SYBR Green qRT-PCR assays were conducted as described previously. Each experiment was repeated in three independent biological replications.

Expression of NISP1 in Yeast and Extraction and Immunoblotting of Yeast Protein

For the expression of NISP1 in yeast, the recombinant vector NISP1: pGBK7T-GW was transformed into yeast strain AH109 by using the Matchmaker Yeast Transformation System 2 (Clontech) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Yeast protein was extracted by post - alkaline extraction method for western blot analysis, as detailed in the previous study (Hu et al., 2017). Yeast protein extract was separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gels. Immunoblotting was performed with anti-Myc antibody (MBL, Japan) at a dilution ratio of 1:1,000 with dilution buffer described above followed by HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibodies (1:7,500 dilution). The HRP signals were detected using an Immobilon western chemiluminescent HRP substrate kit (Millipore).

Data Analysis

Data between treatments were determined by ANOVA (Student’s t test or Tukey’s honestly significant difference test). All tests carried out with IBM SPSS Statistics version 22.

RESULTS

Proteome Analysis and In Planta Functional Assays of Secreted Salivary Proteins Identify Candidate Effectors From N. lugens

During the feeding process, BPHs repeatedly secrete both gelling and watery saliva from their salivary glands into plant cells, which plays a crucial role in plant-insect interactions. We collected BPHs’ watery and gelling saliva from a sucrose diet which 3rd instar BPH nymphs had fed upon through a membrane of stretched parafilm. SDS-PAGE analysis revealed that proteins of watery and gelling saliva exhibited similar expression patterns (Figure 1A). The mixed salivary proteins were subjected to shotgun LC−MS/MS analysis. Mass spectrometry data searched against the transcriptome databases of whole body and salivary glands of N. lugens (Liu et al., 2016; Rao et al., 2019) resulted in the identification of a salivary proteome containing 116 secreted proteins (Supplemental Table S1).

It has been shown pathogen effector proteins can induce cell death in non-host plants (Wroblewski et al., 2009). Previously, secretome analysis and in planta expression of BPH salivary proteins have identified candidate effectors that induce cell death (Rao et al., 2019). To further comprehensively explore candidate effector proteins of N. lugens, we combined salivary proteome identified in this study (Supplemental Table S1) with previously reported datum—BPH salivary proteome (Huang et al., 2016) and watery salivary proteome (Liu et al., 2016), and excluded those have been studied by secretome analysis (Rao et al., 2019). As a result, a total of 90 salivary proteins which have the most potential to be effectors involved in rice-BPH interactions were selected (Supplemental Table S2). We obtained full-length cDNA sequences of the candidate genes through RACE and RT-PCR, and cloned them into pEarleyGate101 vector via BP and LR reactions. For those candidates containing a predicted secretory signal peptide, two plasmids were constructed: one contained an open reading frame with the predicted signal peptide (ORF+SP); the other contained truncated open reading frame without the predicted signal peptide (ORF-SP). These candidates constructs were transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves via agroinfiltration to screen for those salivary proteins that induce cell death. The results showed three of them induced cell death (Figure 1B). The candidate protein numbered 85 was selected for further functional characterization and named Salivary Protein 1 (NISP1).

NISP1 Induces Cell Death In Planta

NISP1 was recombined into the pEarleyGate101 vector (with a C-terminal YFP-HA epitope tag) for the following assays in N. benthamiana leaves and rice protoplasts. We verified the performance of NISP1 to induce cell death in N. benthamiana leaves by Trypan blue staining. NIMLP, an effector secreted by BPH that induces HR cell death in N. benthamiana leaves and rice protoplasts (Shangguan et al., 2018), was used as a positive control, while GFP was used as a negative control. N. benthamiana leaves expressed in NISP1 can be dyed dark blue (Figure 2A), suggesting that NISP1 triggered a strong cell death in N. benthamiana leaves. Moreover, ion leakage of leaves expressing NISP1 was significantly higher than that of leaves expressing GFP (Figure 2C). Immunoblot analysis confirmed these fusion proteins were properly expressed in N. benthamiana leaves (Figure 2D).

To test whether NISP1 induces cell death in the host plant, we transiently expressed NISP1 in rice protoplasts with positive control NIMLP and negative control GFP. Fluorescein diacetate (FDA) staining of the protoplasts showed that the cell viability of protoplasts expressing NISP1 or NIMLP was significantly lower than that of control protoplasts expressing GFP (Supplemental Figures S1A, B). We also coexpressed NISP1 together with the luciferase (LUC) gene in rice protoplasts. LUC activity was significantly lower in protoplasts coexpressing NISP1 compared with the negative control coexpressing GFP (Supplemental Figure S1C). Taken together, the results indicated that NISP1 could induce cell death in planta.

NISP1 Activates Plant Defense Responses

ROS burst, callose deposition, and activation of JA and SA signaling pathways are hallmarks of plant defense responses against injury by insect pests or pathogens (Walling, 2000; Hao et al., 2008; Ge et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2016). We transiently expressed NISP1 in N. benthamiana leaves or rice protoplasts to investigate reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation, callose
deposition, and defense gene expression. The transformed *N. benthamiana* leaves were stained with 3,3′-diaminobenzidine (DAB) to detect the content of hydrogen peroxide (Figure 2B). Regions expressing NlSP1 in *N. benthamiana* leaves were stained dark yellow by DAB, but regions expressing GFP did not, indicating that NlSP1 caused the accumulation of hydrogen peroxide in *N. benthamiana* leaves. *N. benthamiana* leaves expressing NlSP1 also showed stronger callose deposition than leaves expressing GFP as revealed by Aniline Blue staining (Figure 3A). According to callose spots count statistics, callose deposition induced by NlSP1 was 20 times higher than that of GFP, which showed a very significant difference.

We also analyzed defense-related gene expression. The relative expression of the SA-related marker genes *Pathogenesis Related 1* (PR1), and the JA-related marker genes *Pathogenesis Related 4* (PR4) in *N. benthamiana* leaves were determined by quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR at 1 and 2 days post infiltration. NlSP1 induced transcriptional activation of *NbPR1* and *NbPR4* (Figure 3B). The similar results were found in rice protoplasts that transiently expressed NlSP1 (Figure 3C). Immunoblot analysis confirmed the expression of NlSP1 in rice protoplasts (Supplemental Figure S2). Taken together, NlSP1 activated plant defense responses by inducing ROS generation, callose deposition, and PR genes expression in planta.

The Characterization of NlSP1

NlSP1 contains a 1,338-bp open reading frame and encodes a peptide containing 445 amino acid residues with a predicted molecular weight (MW) of 48.6 kDa and a pI of 4.79 (accession no. MT459811; Figure 4A). The first 18 amino acids at the N-terminal of NlSP1 make up the predicted signal peptide, with cleavage predicted between residues 18 and 19. Moreover, NlSP1 protein contains several short repeats (EEKK, EEVK, SSEE; Figure 4A). NlSP1 does not contain cysteine, the basic amino acid that forms disulfide bonds (Figure 4A). We found no NlSP1 homologous protein by NCBI BLAST. Combined with the predicted results of NCBI and SMART, NlSP1 protein contains two domains: one RNase_E_G superfamily domain located in amino acids 85–236 (E-value = 2.56e-08), and the other SCOP d1lw7d domain located in amino acids 247–444 (E-value = 1.30e-02; Figure 4B).

To investigate the functions of NlSP1, we analyzed NlSP1 mRNA levels in different tissues, including salivary glands, midguts, fat bodies, and the remaining parts, via qRT-PCR. The results showed NlSP1 was highly expressed in the fat bodies, which was significantly different from the other three groups (Figure 4C). We also analyzed the expression of NlSP1 in BPHs at various developmental stages, including the nymphs of first to fifth instar, female and male adults. The expression of NlSP1 was significantly increased during the growth stage of BPH nymphs, and reached the highest expression level at the fifth instar nymph stage (Figure 4D). These results suggested NlSP1 might play an essential role in the growth and development of BPH.

To determine the functional domains of NlSP1 required for its cell death induction activity, we generated a series of NlSP1 deletion mutants and analyzed them by the way of cell death assays (Figure 5). The predicted signal peptide deletion mutant NlSP1-nSP did not trigger cell death in *N. benthamiana* leaves, indicating that the predicted signal peptide is necessary for NlSP1 to induce cell death. The C-terminal deletion mutants NlSP1-A, B, and C triggered cell death in *N. benthamiana* leaves, while the other deletion mutants NlSP1-D, E, and F did not (Figure 5). Further Trypan blue staining was performed as a revalidation of the cell death phenotype (Figure 5). Protein immunoblotting revealed these mutant proteins were properly expressed (Supplemental Figure S3). These results demonstrated that N-terminal 1–84 amino acid region of NlSP1 is required for its cell death induction activity.

NlSP1 Protein Can Be Secreted Into Rice and Form Complex With Certain Interacting Partner of Rice

NlSP1 was identified from BPH salivary proteome, suggesting that it could be secreted into rice tissues during BPH feeding. To test this possibility, we extracted proteins from the leaf sheaths of plants following BPH feeding and performed immunoblot analysis using anti-NlSP1 antibodies. NlSP1 was detected in protein extracts from BPH-infested rice plants, but not in protein extracts from noninfested control plants (Figure 6A), demonstrating that NlSP1 is secreted into rice tissues during BPH feeding.

NlSP1 encodes a peptide containing 445 amino acid residues with a predicted MW of 48.6 kDa. Interestingly, we noticed that,
NlSP1 induces cell death in *N. benthamiana* leaves. (A, B) Leaves of *N. benthamiana* were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* carrying GFP, NIMLP and NlSP1. The leaves were photographed 3 days after agroinfiltration (left) and the treated leaves were stained with Trypan blue (A) and DAB (B). NIMLP and GFP were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. (C) Quantification of cell death by measuring electrolyte leakage in *N. benthamiana* leaves. Electrolyte leakage from the infiltrated leaf discs was measured as a percentage of leakage from boiled discs 4 days after agroinfiltration. Data represent means ± SE of four repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**P < 0.01; Student’s t-test). (D) *N. benthamiana* leaves were harvested 2 days after agroinfiltration for immunoblot analysis with the anti-HA antibody. Asterisks indicate specific bands detected by immunoblotting analysis. Ponceau S, staining of the Rubisco large subunit was used to demonstrate loading control.

NlSP1 activates defense responses in *N. benthamiana* and rice protoplasts. (A) *N. benthamiana* leaves infected with agrobacterium containing GFP, NIMLP, and NlSP1 were sampled 48 h after inoculation, stained with aniline blue and photographed using a fluorescence microscope. Numbers indicate means ± SD of callose spots obtained from 3 individual leaf discs. Scale bar = 100 um. (B) Expression analysis of pathogenesis-related genes *NbPR1* and *NbPR4* in *N. benthamiana* leaves after instantaneous transformation of GFP or NlSP1 at 24 h and 48 h. Data represent means ± SD of three repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**P < 0.01; Student’s t-test). (C) Expression analysis of defense-related genes *OsPR1a* and *OsPR4* in rice protoplast after transformation of GFP or NlSP1 at 12 h and 24 h. Data represent means ± SD of three repeats. Asterisks above the columns indicate significant differences compared with GFP (**P < 0.01; Student’s t-test).
in western blot, NlSP1 protein in BPHs migrated as a protein of approximately 100 kDa in SDS-PAGE gel, approximately twice larger than its predicted MW (Figure 6A). More importantly, when NlSP1 was secreted into rice tissues, it migrated at ~130 kDa, even larger than that in BPHs (Figure 6A). To validate this result, NlSP1 construct without any epitope tag was transiently expressed in rice protoplasts. Protein extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE and subjected to immunoblotting using anti-NlSP1 antibodies. Immunoblotting showed the apparent molecular mass of NlSP1 protein expressed in rice protoplasts was about 130 kDa (Figure 6B), identical to that of NlSP1 protein secreted into rice plants (Figure 6A), indicating NlSP1 protein might have undergone unknown post-translational modifications (PTMs) or formed a complex with certain interacting partner of rice.

Actually, NlSP1 protein also exhibited drastic variations from its predicted MW when expressed in N. benthamiana (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure S3), yeast (Supplemental Figure S4A) and Escherichia coli (Supplemental Figures S4B, C), as revealed by Immunoblotting. Recombinant NlSP1 protein expressed in Escherichia coli detected with anti-HIS and anti-NlSP1 antibodies showed the identical results (Supplemental Figures S4B, C), confirming the specificity of the prepared anti-NlSP1 antibody. These results also suggested that the MW difference observed is attributed to the activity/functionality conferred by NlSP1 protein.

**NlSP1 Localizes to the Cytoplasm of Rice Cells**

As described above, NlSP1 protein can be secreted into rice. In order to determine where the NlSP1 functions in rice cells, we conducted localization experiments using rice protoplasts. NlSP1-RFP fusion gene and organelle markers were transiently co-expressed in rice protoplasts and their co-localization was observed under a confocal laser scanning microscopy. NlSP1 can co-locate with a range of organelles, including peroxisome, endoplasmic reticulum (ER), Golgi apparatus (GA), and mitochondrial, but it cannot co-locate with nuclear and tonoplast (Figure 7). In addition, by comparing the fluorescence of NlSP1-YFP fusion protein expressed in rice protoplasts with the autofluorescence of the plastids, we found NlSP1 was not co-localized with the plastids. These results suggested NlSP1 localizes to the cytoplasm of rice cells.

**NlSP1 Is Required for BPH Feeding and Survival**

To explore the function of NlSP1 in BPH, we injected double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) of NlSP1 into third instar BPH nymphs
to mediate RNA interference (RNAi; Liu et al., 2010). Compared with the two control groups receiving either no injection or injection with dsGFP, the mRNA levels of \textit{NlSP1} in the whole body of BPH injected with dsNlSP1 were significantly reduced to less than 10% from the first day after microinjection, and the silencing effect lasted for more than 5 days (Figure 8A). Injection of dsNlSP1 also reduced the abundance of NlSP1 protein in BPH to undetectable level as revealed by immunoblotting (Figure 8B). The treated BPH insects were allowed to feed on Nipponbare rice plants. Compared to the two control groups, BPH insects injected with dsNlSP1 had a significantly lower survival rate from 2 to 10 d after microinjection, which were almost completely dead at 6th days after microinjection (Figure 8D). The similar results were obtained when BPH injected with dsNlSP1 were fed on artificial...
diets (Supplemental Figure S3), indicating silencing of NISP1 has a lethal effect on BPH. BPHs subjected to dsNISP1 treatment also had significantly smaller weight gain values, an indicator of food intake dose, than the two control groups (Figure 8C), indicating silencing of NISP1 reduces feeding ability of BPH.

Double-Stranded RNA technology to control insect pests is a promising new control strategy in the past decade, which can silence the necessary genes of pests and lead to toxic effects (Zhang et al., 2011; Christiaens et al., 2020). We transformed BPH-susceptible rice plants with NISP1-dsRNA to further verify the role of NISP1 in BPH. Nineteen independent transgenic T0 plants were obtained and two representative lines SR1 and SR3 were selected for further analysis. The expression levels of NISP1-dsRNA were detected in T1 transgenic positive plants of SR1 and SR3, and the plants with the highest expression level of NISP1-dsRNA were used for BPHs survival rate analysis (Supplemental Figures S6A, B). BPHs fed on SR1 and SR3 T1 transgenic positive plants had a significantly lower survival rates than that of BPHs fed on wild-type Nipponbare plants (Figures 8E, F). Taken together, these results demonstrate that NISP1 is essential for BPH feeding and survival.

**DISCUSSION**

Saliva is a complex mixture of biomolecules and plays a crucial role in the feeding process of plants sap-sucking insects (Miles, 1999; Will et al., 2013). Not only does it contain a suite of bioactive compounds that regulate the inhibition or bypassing of plant defenses, enabling insects to successfully detect plants and ingest their juices, but it also contains PAMPs and effectors that induce plant defenses (De Vos and Jander, 2009; Sharma et al., 2014; Stahl et al., 2018). Rao et al. (2019) sequenced the salivary gland transcriptomes of BPH and established a secretome composed of 1,140 conserved or rapidly evolving salivary proteins. Six were identified as candidate effector proteins that elicit defense responses through transient expression analysis in N. benthamiana leaves. In this study, we collected BPHs’ watery and gelling saliva and identified the salivary proteome (Supplemental Table S1). Together with previously reported BPH salivary proteome (Huang et al., 2016) and watery salivary proteome (Liu et al., 2016), these salivary proteins identified represent a large effector repertoire involved in the interaction between BPH and rice. In planta functional assays of these secreted salivary proteins have identified three candidate effectors that induce cell death (Figure 1B). Salivary Protein 1 (NISP1) was further characterized in this study.

NISP1 is unique to BPH and has typical amino acid tandem duplication, which is consistent with previous analyses (Rao et al., 2019). NISP1 protein contains a RNase_E_G superfamily domain and a SCOP d1iw7d_ domain. It has been shown that RNase E or RNase G can form dimers or tetramers by the interface between the large and small domains and the zinc bond between the structural zinc ions (Aït-Bara and Carposius, 2015). As revealed by immunoblotting, NISP1 protein in BPHs migrated as approximately twice larger than its predicted MW (Figure 6A). We demonstrated that the salivary protein NISP1 can be secreted into rice tissues. More importantly, when NISP1 was secreted into rice tissues or transiently expressed in rice protoplasts, it migrated at ~130 kDa, approximately 30 kDa larger that of in BPHs (Figures 6A, B). Similar phenomena were also observed when NISP1 protein was expressed in N. benthamiana (Figure 2D and Supplemental Figure S3), yeast (Supplemental Figure S4A) and Escherichia coli (Supplemental Figures S4B and C). These results indicated that NISP1 protein might have undergone unknown PTMs or formed a complex with certain interacting partner. As a kind of HAMPs, a fatty acid–amino acid conjugate (FAC) volicitin and other FACs in herbivore oral secretion are formed by an insect-derived amino acid and a plant-derived fatty acid (Stahl et al., 2018). When implemented to cowpea or maize, the plant-derived protein fragment inceptin induces various defense responses, including the production of volatiles, defense-related hormones, and defense compounds (Schmelz et al., 2006). Thus, we can reasonably speculate that PTMs of NISP1 or the complex formed by BPH-derived NISP1 protein with certain interacting partner of rice plant might play a critical role in rice-BPH interaction, which needs a further investigation.

The defense reaction elicited by NISP1 shares common features with immune responses shown by well-known effectors and pathogen-associated molecular patterns (Shangguan et al., 2018). When transiently expressed in N. benthamiana leaves and rice protoplasts, NISP1 triggers cell death, which is a common
phenomenon in effector-triggered immune responses (Rao et al., 2019). By DAB staining, H$_2$O$_2$ accumulation was found in tobacco leaves expressing NISP1 (Figure 2B), indicating that NISP1 can induce ROS. Excessive accumulation of ROS was known to cause cell death in transfected areas (Mur et al., 2008). We conjectured that the cell death induced by NISP1 is caused by the accumulation of ROS. NbPR4 encodes a hevein-like chitinase and is a JA pathway marker gene (Kiba et al., 2014). NbPR1 be induced by SA and the up-regulation of is a characteristic feature of the activated SA-signaling pathway (Zhu et al., 2012; Kusnierczyk et al., 2008; Luna et al., 2011). N. benthamiana leaves expressing NISP1 also showed strong callose deposition (Figure 3A). All of these demonstrated NISP1 activates a variety of defense responses in planta.

The expression pattern analysis revealed NISP1 showed the highest expression level in fat body, an organ of great biosynthetic and metabolic activity (Keeley, 1985; Arrese and Soulages, 2010), suggesting NISP1 may play an essential role in the growth and development of BPH. When NISP1 expression was knocked down using a dsRNA microinjection method, BPH feeding was inhibited and insect performance was reduced significantly (Figures 8C, D). These results demonstrated that NISP1 is required for BPH feeding and survival, similar to those BPH secreted salivary proteins (Ji et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2017; Shangguan et al., 2018). Double-Stranded RNA technology is a promising strategy for insect control, as the transgenic plants expressing dsRNA can effectively kill the pest population and reduce the damage to crops (Joga et al., 2016). Rice transgenic plants expressing NIMLP-dsRNA can impair salivary sheath formation and significantly reduce feeding ability and survival rate of BPH (Shangguan et al., 2018). We found NISP1-dsRNA transgenic rice plants also significantly reduced the survival rate of BPHs (Figure 8E, F), making NISP1 an ideal target for control of this devastating insect.

In summary, our results indicate that NISP1 may be an effector involved in rice and BPH interactions. NISP1 is necessary for the survival of BPH and plays an important role in BPH feeding. NISP1 protein can form a complex with certain interacting partner of rice when secreted into rice plant. NISP1 can activate defense responses by inducing ROS generation, callose deposition, and PR genes expression in planta. Further studies are needed to identify rice interacting partner of NISP1 and figure out the role of the complex. The novel molecular
interacting way we found would provide new insight and direction for studying the interaction between BPH and rice.
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