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ABSTRACT

Background: The use of complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) techniques has been growing. The National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine places therapeutic touch (TT) into the category of bio field energy. This literature review is aimed at critically evaluating the data from clinical trials examining the clinical efficacy of therapeutic touch as a supportive care modality in adult patients with cancer.

Methods: Electronic databases (PubMed, Scopus, Scholar Google, and Science Direct) were searched from the year 1990 to 2015 to locate potentially relevant peer-reviewed articles using the key words therapeutic touch, touch therapy, neoplasm, cancer, and CAM. Additionally, relevant journals and references of all the located articles were manually searched for other potentially relevant studies.

Results: The number of 334 articles was found on the basis of the key words, of which 17 articles related to the clinical trial were examined in accordance with the objectives of the study. A total of 6 articles were in the final dataset in which several examples of the positive effects of healing touch on pain, nausea, anxiety and fatigue, and life quality and also on biochemical parameters were observed.

Conclusion: Based on the results of this study, an affirmation can be made regarding the use of TT, as a non-invasive intervention for improving the health status in patients with cancer. Moreover, therapeutic touch was proved to be a useful strategy for adult patients with cancer.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a major health problem in the United States and other parts of the world (1). Cancer has been identified as a growing problem in the Middle East countries (2). Cancer is not a disease with a single cause, but rather a collection of different causes with various symptoms, treatments and prognoses (3).

Several aspects of the daily lives of cancer patients are affected which include financial situation, ability to work and family life, mood and temper, relationships with others, sleep and life quality (4). Cancer patients deal with various problems in different individual, family and social areas and also with the reduced life quality (5). Pain in patients with cancer is a stressful event which can affect patients’ lifestyle as well as their feeling of satisfaction and comfort and also cause pain and discomfort, loss of control, fatigue, impaired quality of life and sexual activity, loss...
of interpersonal relationships and the concept of life, reduced performance, sleep and daily activities in them (6). Moreover, patients with cancer may also experience a feeling of anxiety caused by the illness, treatment methods, etc., which has a negative impact on their treatment and recovery process, therefore, it is essential to be controlled and mitigated (7).

The main treatments for cancers include surgery, chemotherapy, hormone therapy, radiation therapy, and immunotherapy or biological therapy. Such treatments are used to destroy cancer cells and to restore health. Depending on the type of cancer, specialists use one or a combination of these treatments (8). Numerous studies have shown that a variety of complementary medicine can be influential in solving or reducing the problems caused by the disease in cancer patients (9). Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) is a group of medical and health care systems, practices, and products that are not currently considered to be part of conventional medicine (10). The aim of this approach is to improve the health and quality of life as well as increased longevity by using natural approaches and different methods of alternative medicine based on clinical and research experiences (11). In a similar vein, the studies around the world indicate an increasing desire to control health through using CAM (12). According to the American Cancer Society (2011), complementary medicine for cancer includes methods that lead to the prevention, diagnosis and treatment of cancer. Some types of complementary therapies can help to relieve from some certain symptoms of cancer and side effects caused by the treatment, such as fatigue, anxiety and pain, or lead to an increased sense of well-being in a person (10). In the classification of complementary medicine, energy healing class includes treatments in which the energy emanates from the human body (biofield) or is originated from an external source such as therapeutic touch, Reiki, Qigong, polarity therapy, etc. (10)

The simplest definition of therapeutic touch (TT) is the use of hands on or near the body to help the treatment. TT is the interpretation of an old healing experience in the modern era (11). Special theories underlying TT include Dora Kunz’s model of human energy fields, electromagnetism and quantum physics, interpersonal psychology, Martha Roger’s theory of human unity, (relying on quantum and whole system theory, and Eastern science and philosophy) (13, 14). Rogers in the subject of “nursing: knowledge of human unity”, (1990), considers people as the continuous multi-dimensional energy fields that interact with the energy field of the environment. Touch therapists believe that humans are in energy fields and have open systems. Since the energy of therapists is not stopped in recipients, energy can be exchanged without any physical contact or with a very slight one (15). From the Rogers’ perspective, TT is an example of how professionals work to strengthen the integrity and perfection of humans and their environmental fields so that patients can reach an optimal health status (16). TT is a non-invasive nursing intervention which takes place by the hands and in the form of energy transfer (17). Jackson et al. by investigating twelve studies found that TT can be an acceptable method for reducing physical and psychological symptoms of patients with cancer (18).

Given the importance of TT in nursing and its potential positive outcomes in cancer patients, and also by taking into account the clinical evidence and the growing publication of articles in this field, this review study was conducted comprehensively to evaluate the results of TT clinical trials in patients with cancer.

2. METHODS

This review study was carried out by searching scientific databases including Scopus, Scholar Google, Science Direct, PubMed using key words of healing touch, therapeutic touch, touch therapy, cancer, neoplasm within the year 1990 to November, 2015. The MESH terms and keywords were exploded in the databases to the extent possible. Moreover, the reference sections in the selected articles were also manually searched.

The thematic relevance of studies was assessed by examining the titles and abstracts. In this study, the papers were selected on the basis of the availability of full texts of clinical trial articles in English, with the focus on the impact of TT in patients with cancer which had developed designs and methodologies.

Moreover, any trials with TT as part of their complex intervention, aimed at the development of methodologies for TT procedures without having any clinical outcomes, and those in which no data or statistical comparisons were reported, and healthy participants were also assessed, were excluded from the study. Furthermore, papers presented at seminars (due to the lack of having full texts and failure to complete the revision process for reviewing) were not also evaluated.

3. FINDINGS

The number of 334 articles was found on the basis of the key words, of which 317 articles were excluded after carrying out the investigation process and 17 articles related to the clinical trial were examined in accordance with the objectives of the study. Moreover, a total of 6 articles fully related to the field that had proper design and reliable data were ultimately selected and analyzed for the process of conducting the present study (Figure 1).
## Effect of Therapeutic Touch in Patients with Cancer: a Literature Review

### Key Findings

| Sample & Setting | Method & Data Collection | Objective | Author & Year |
|------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| 108 women with breast cancer undergoing chemotherapy were randomly drawn and allocated to the control, experimental and placebo groups | Experimental, single blind, clinical trial demographic data, time record and frequency of nausea, checklist in four stages of morning, afternoon, evening and night in the acute phase | Evaluate the effect of therapeutic touch on nausea caused by chemotherapy based on specific predesigned programs | Matourpour et al., 2015 (20) |
| 90 women with cancer undergoing CT | Three arm randomized, controlled trial. Pain (VAS), Rhoten Fatigue Scale (RFS) | Examine the effect of (TT) on the pain and fatigue of the cancer patients undergoing chemotherapy | Aghhebati et al., 2010 (22) |
| 78 women with gynecologic (61%) or breast (39%) cancer undergoing RT | Three-arm randomized, controlled trial. 60 women with cervical cancer undergoing CT-Rt | Examined effects of a complementary therapy, TT, versus RT and usual care supporting cellular immunity, 2- improving mood and (QOL) | Lutgendorf et al., 2010 (23) |
| 35 subjects with cancer (Breast, Gastrointestinal, Gynecological, Lung, Undisclosed), four sessions within 6 weeks | Randomized, prospective, controlled trial. Quality of life (SF-36), attitudes about HT, beliefs about group assignment | Evaluate effect of the Healing touch on quality of life in women receiving radiation treatment for cancer. | Cook et al., 2003 (21) |
| 230 patients with cancer undergoing CT | Prospective, randomized, controlled trial. The questionnaires included the EuroQol (EQ-SD) and visual analogue scale (VAS), | Evaluate the outcomes of healing by gentle touch in the treatment of clients with cancer. *To determine whether or not the treatment is safe.* | Weze et al., 2004 (19) |
| 208 women with breast cancer undergoing chemotherapy were randomly drawn and allocated to the control, experimental and placebo groups | Randomized, prospective, crossover trial. Pain (BPI); anxiety, mood disorders, and fatigue (POMS); care satisfaction (questionnaire); analogies use (personal diary) | Determine in a powered randomized control trial if MT and TT were more effective than standard care or presence alone at reducing symptoms of anxiety, mood disturbance, pain, nausea, and fatigue and increasing relaxation and satisfaction with care. | Post white et al., 2003 (24) |

### Table 1. Summary of the basic features of the research articles included in the review. BFI - Brief Fatigue Inventory; BPI - Brief Pain Index; CT - chemotherapy; DBP - diastolic blood pressure; FACT-F - Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy - Fatigue; FSI - Fatigue Symptom Inventory; HR - heart rate; MT - massage therapy; NSAIDS - nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; POMS - Profile of Mood States; QOL quality of life; RR - respiratory rate; RT - radiotherapy; SBP - systolic blood pressure; TT - therapeutic touch;
The intervention, results and the methodology (Table 1) as well as the setting and participants (age, sex, stage of cancer, and site of cancer) were examined in each study for the process of extracting the data.

Studies obtained by searching databases were organized and selected. The results indicated that most studies expressed a positive and significant association between therapeutic touch and dependent variables (nausea, pain, quality of life, fatigue and temper).

**Participants and setting**

The studies were undertaken in the United States (n= 3), the UK (n= 1) and Iran (n= 2) and were dated between 2003 and 2015. The sample size ranged from 35 to 164 participants. The participants were adults with an age range of 18 to 83 who had not a wide range of cancer diagnoses except in the study of Weze (breast, gastrointestinal, gynecological, lung, undisclosed) and in the study of Post-white with two breast and gynecology cancers. The total number of participants in this current review was 601, of which, 96% were females and 4% were males. All the participants in the studies varied in degree from the stage 1 up to the advanced, metastatic cancer.

All the studies had used control groups in order for comparison with the intervention and only the study by Weze was a single group study (19). The number of therapeutic touch sessions ranged from one session (20) to six sessions (21).

**Outcome measures**

A variety of measurement instruments were used to assess fatigue, pain, and nausea across the studies. To measure the fatigue, Aghabati (22) and Lutgendorf (23) respectively used Rhoten Fatigue Scale (RFS) and Fatigue Symptom Inventory (FSI).

In addition, Meehan et al. in their study also indicated that therapeutic touch can be used as a supplement in relieving pain in patients after the surgery (26). Evanoff by investigating the effect of therapeutic touch on the degree of pain in patients with osteoarthritis of the knee came to the conclusion that therapeutic touch can reduce pain and improve function in patients (27). The results obtained from four studies on elderly people proved to be positive regardless of the diagnosis. Physical status such as pain, appetite, and sleep were enhanced; behavioral markers such as worry, outbursts, and restlessness were decreased; and behaviors that made the jobs of the staff easier, such as compliance with daily routine, decreased medications, and increased functional ability, all supported the use of TT for this population (28).

Significant decreases in pain, nausea, and anxiety were immediately observed following the intervention on post-operative days one and two, and in pain and anxiety on the post-operative day three compared with the pre-intervention levels. These findings indicated that the TT intervention was feasible and acceptable to patients undergoing bariatric surgery, and significantly improved pain, nausea, and anxiety in them (29). Coakley believes that the energy therapy can be used to improve various symptoms in patients with cancer. In a similar vein, studies have also shown that such holistic interferences are greatly demanded by the patients (16). The goal of TT is to restore balance, harmony, and a sense of well-being. TT is based on a compassionate intention directed through light touch or placement of the hands just off the body which is often performed by nurses (30). Various mechanisms have been proposed to enhance the TT...
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effectiveness. Physiologically, bio-field therapies such as TT appear to affect the autonomic nervous system, altering the high frequency to low frequency ratio of heart rate variability, reflecting a greater parasympathetic tone and decreasing the sympathetic activation (31). Some studies suggested that biofield healing may decrease stress and enhance immune function(30, 32). It is also possible for the relaxation response to help explain the effects of TT(33).

The underlying assumption of TT is that human beings are systems of energy and that the energy field extends a few inches beyond the skin's surface. There exist three distinct phases of intervention including (a) nurses becoming aware of the helpfulness of TT for their patients, (b) the assessment phase, where a nurse uses slow, gentle, sweeping movement of their hands starting from the patient's head and proceeding to the patient's feet to assess the presence of any signs of energy dis-symmetry, and (c) the un-ruffling phase, where a nurse uses symmetric movement of their hands over the energy field of the patient with the goal of smoothing out or relieving energy congestion (34). Therapeutic touch is a standardized biofield therapy that uses gentle touch and movements in the patient's "energy field" with the goal of restoring balance in the patient's energy system and strengthening the patient's "healing capacity"(35). TT has been shown to increase well-being in cervical and breast cancer patients during radiation (21, 23) and to reduce distress and fatigue during chemotherapy (24).

TT has also a series of benefits in reducing anxiety, increasing relaxation, decreasing pain, diminishing depression, and increasing a sense of well-being (36, 37).

Although treatment for cancer is recognized as stressful and impairing to quality of life, few TT interventions have been shown to be efficacious in lessening treatment-related symptoms (38). This method allows instructors both to provide technical skills and to build a relationship with the patient so that professionals' gratification and motivation will be improved. The ease of training and feasibility in multiple settings without the need for special tools also make touch therapy a good option for many patients and caregivers. Thus, TT might be a convenient measure for patients undergoing cancer treatment.

The current study indicates that some limitations are notable in the conducted studies. None of the studies included in this review provided a clear rationale for the treatment specificity or duration. Moreover, the studies showed substantial differences in frequency of interventions which were performed every day, once a week, and twice a week. The length of treatment sessions ranged from 10–40 minutes; however, the average length was 4 - 6 weeks (11, 34).

Most samples selected in the studies were diagnosed with breast cancer which was probably due to their greater prevalence and better uniformity in the designs of the studies. Moreover, given the high incidence of cancer, it is necessary to investigate the TT function and impacts on individuals and men with various types of cancer. Participants generally reported the improved quality of life physically, emotionally, relationally, and spiritually. Studying the different research in the field of TT revealed that none of the studies had pointed to any considerable side effects. However, some patients with chronic diseases were reported to have light-headedness, dizziness, irritability following the bio-field therapy (39).

Strengths and limitations of this review

This study was conducted in the form of a literature review. A search strategy was created with the help of an information specialist. To reduce subjective selection bias, the inclusion process and the quality of the articles were carefully assessed by four independent researchers. However, there are some limitations to this study. First, the searches were limited to the period between 1990 and early 2015. Second, there was a risk of language bias as the papers in any language other than English were excluded. Third, there was a possibility for selecting only positive research due to the publication bias. Therefore, further studies are required to be conducted to reduce such limitations.

5. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this review, an affirmation can be made regarding the use of therapeutic touch as a non-invasive intervention for improving the health status in patients with cancer. It also seems that this method can be used as a safe method in the management of physical function, pain, anxiety, and nausea in cancer patients. Training TT to those interested to the field can possibly be of great help in caring for cancer patients and reducing complications of the disease. However, further studies are needed to explore the impact of TT on additional clinically relevant measures.
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