Full counting statistics of a single-molecular quantum dot
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We investigate the full counting statistics of a single quantum dot strongly coupled to a local phonon and weakly tunnel-connected to two metallic electrodes. By employing the generalized nonequilibrium Green function method and the Lang-Firsov transformation, we derive an explicit analytical formula for the cumulant generating function, which makes one to be able to identify distinctly the elastic and inelastic contributions to the current and zero-frequency shot noise. We find that at zero temperature, the inelastic effect causes upward steps in the current and downward jumps in the noise at the bias voltages corresponding to the opening of the inelastic channels, which are ascribed to the vibration-induced complex dependences of electronic self-energies on the energy and bias voltage. More interestingly, the Fano factor exhibits oscillatory behavior with increasing bias voltage and its minimum value is observed to be smaller than one half.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recent progress in nanotechnology has facilitated the fabrication of single-electron tunneling devices using organic molecules. A variety of intriguing effects has been observed in the transport properties of the single-molecule transistors (SMTs) due to the couplings between the intrinsic mechanical degree of freedom (phonon, vibron) in molecules and electrons during tunneling.1–8 For instance, the obvious phonon-assisted current steps have been measured in the current-bias-voltage characteristic of a variety of individual molecules connected to metal electrodes.8–14 More interesting transport properties, e.g. the Franck-Condon blockade in the current steps and negative differential conductance due to nonequilibrated phonon excitation, have been experimentally demonstrated in the device of a suspended single-wall carbon nanotube.15–19

These experimental observations have stimulated great interest in the theoretical investigations. In recent years, a variety of different approaches have been developed to study the transport properties and current fluctuation characteristics in the electron-phonon coupled systems, mainly containing the kinetic-equation approach (master equations), the nonequilibrium Green function (NGF) techniques, and the diagrammatic Monte Carlo simulation.20–22 It is well-known that the NGF is a most powerful method to study nonequilibrium behavior of a many-body system. Within the NGF theoretical formulation, various self-consistent second-order perturbation calculations have been carried out, on the weak electron-phonon interaction (EPI) strength, to examine the inelastic correction to the nonlinear conductance of the SMTs.20–25 On the other hand, in order to study the strong EPI effects, two authors of this paper proposed a nonperturbative analysis of the inelastic effects on current and its fluctuations by mapping of the many-body EPI problem onto a multichannel single-electron scattering problem.26 This mapping technique is only valid in the limit of weak electronic tunnel-couplings between the molecular quantum dot (QD) and electrodes since the so-called Fermi sea effect is neglected in the mapping procedure. To circumvent this drawback and to cover more wide ranges of system parameters, e.g. the EPI and tunnel-coupling strengths, another nonperturbative scheme has been recently developed based on the NGF in the polaron representation.21–31 In particular, Galperin et.al. formulated a fully self-consistent solution of both electronic and phononic GFs by employing equation of motion method to establish the Dyson-type coupled equations. Later on, he further developed this approach to study the zero-frequency noise spectrum of SMTs.22 Because the Wick theorem can not be applied to calculate the current-current correlation function of the EPI system, he instead made use of the noise formula of the noninteracting system and simply replaced the electronic GFs in the noninteracting noise formula with the self-consistently calculated ones. Moreover, this approach was extended to consider the inelastic effect of multimode vibrational dynamics.26

Nowadays, there is continually increasing interest in the full counting statistics (FCS) of charge transport in nanoscale system.20,21 This remarkable concept was first proposed by Levitov and Lesovik to describe the whole probability distribution of transmitted charge during a fixed time interval in a mesoscopic conductor.22 It is therefore an intriguing task to examine the FCS of electronic tunneling in the presence of EPI. Employing the master equations, the inelastic effect on the FCS has been studied in the resonant tunneling regime.23–25 For the phase-coherent transport through an interacting system, the NGF is required. Recently, Gogolin and Komnik have generalized the Meir-Wingreen NGF formulation for the quantum transport in mesoscopic system to the FCS issue, and derived a generic expression for the generating function of the cumulants expressed only in terms of the local Keldysh GFs of the central region, which is valid in any types of the central region, noninteract-
ing or interacting. In this theory, it is no need to
directly calculate the current-current correlation functions by
employing the Feynman diagram technique. Instead a
Schwinger external source, i.e. here a fictitious measuring
field \( \lambda \) in the tunneling Hamiltonian, is introduced
to count the numbers of transmitted electrons and functional
derivative is provoked at the end of calculation to
generate the cumulants of charge current distribution. Another
advantage of this Hamiltonian approach is that
it can automatically contain the vertex corrections in the
current-current correlation functions. With help of the
generalized Schwinger-Keldysh GF technique, inelastic
effects on the FCS in SMTs have been recently investigated,
in which a compact analytic expression for the
FCS was derived under the assumption that vibration
is equilibrated state. These authors focused
their studies on the concrete behaviors of the current and
shot noise jumps, upward or downward, due to phonon
excitation when the first inelastic channel is opening.
Remarkably, the negative contribution to noise due to vi-
bration excitation has been experimentally observed by
recent shot noise measurements on Au atomic contact and
has been further confirmed by a subsequent calculation of
the inelastic shot noise signals in Au and Pt atomic point
contacts from first principles. Moreover, the effect of vibrational heating on FCS has been
further considered and analytical results on FCS accounting
for nonequilibrium phonon distributions have been obtained. Nevertheless, all these studies employed
the second-order perturbation expansion to evaluate the
electronic and phononic self-energies, and consequently they
are valid for the regime of weak EPI. The full knowledge of the inelastic effects on FCS in the regime of strong EPI
is still less studied. This constitutes the purpose of the
present paper.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II,
we introduce the model Hamiltonian of a molecular QD.
In Sec. III, we present the theoretical formulation for the
FCS calculation in the presence of EPI. In particular,
the explicit expressions of the FCS, current, and zero-
frequency shot noise are derived. In Sec. IV, we carry out
numerical calculations of differential conductance, shot
noise and Fano factor, and discuss these results. Finally,
a brief summary is given in Sec. V.

II. MODEL

In this paper, we consider a simple model for a molecular
QD with one spinless level (electronic energy \( \epsilon_d \))
coupled to two electrodes left (L) and right (R) (each a
free electron reservoir at its own equilibrium), and also
linearly coupled to a single vibrational mode (phonon) of
the molecule having frequency \( \omega_0 \) with coupling strength \( g_{ep} \). The model Hamiltonian is

\[
H = H_{leads} + H_{mol} + H_T,
\]

with

\[
H_{leads} = \sum_{\eta,k} \epsilon_{\eta k} c_{\eta k}^\dagger c_{\eta k},
\]

\[
H_{mol} = \epsilon_d d^\dagger d + \omega_0 a^\dagger a + g_{ep} d^\dagger d (a^\dagger + a),
\]

\[
H_T = \sum_{\eta,k} (\gamma_\eta e^{-i\lambda_\eta(t)/2} c_{\eta k}^\dagger d + H.c.),
\]

where \( c_{\eta k}^\dagger (c_{\eta k}) \) is the creation (annihilation) operator
of an electron with momentum \( k \), and energy \( \epsilon_{\eta k} \) in
lead \( \eta \) (\( \eta = L, R \)), and \( d^\dagger (d) \) is the correspond-
ing operator for a spinless electron in the QD. \( a^\dagger (a) \) is phonon creation (annihilation) operators for the
vibrational mode (energy quanta \( \omega_0 \)). \( \gamma_\eta \) describes the
tunnel-coupling matrix element between the QD and lead \( \eta \). The corresponding coupling strength is defined as
\( \Gamma_\eta = 2\pi \sum_k |\gamma_\eta|^2 \delta(\omega - \epsilon_{\eta k}) \), which is assumed to be inde-
dependent of energy in the wide band limit. In order to in-
vestigate the full counting statistics (FCS), an artificially
measuring field \( \lambda_\eta(t) \) is introduced with respect to the lead \( \eta \) on the Keldysh contour: \( \lambda_\eta(t) = \lambda_\eta - \theta(t)\theta(T - t) \)
on the forward path and \( \lambda_\eta(t) = \lambda_\eta + \theta(t)\theta(T - t) \) on the
backward path \( T \) is the measuring time during which
the counting fields are non-zero and the counting fields
will be set to be opposite constants on the forward and
backward Keldysh contour as \( \lambda_- = -\lambda_+ = \lambda_0 \) in the fi-
nal derivation. Throughout we will use natural units
\( e = \hbar = k_B = 1 \).

For dealing with the problem involving strong electron-
phonon interaction, it is very convenient to apply a
standard Lang-Firsov canonical transformation, \( S = g d^\dagger d (a^\dagger - a) / (g_{ep}/\omega_0) \), to the Hamiltonian Eq. (1a), leading to a transformed Hamiltonian

\[
\tilde{H} = e^S H e^{-S} = H_{leads} + \tilde{H}_{mol} + \tilde{H}_T,
\]

\[
\tilde{H}_{mol} = \tilde{\epsilon}_d d^\dagger d + \omega_0 a^\dagger a = \tilde{\epsilon}_d d^\dagger d + \omega_0 a^\dagger a,
\]

\[
\tilde{H}_T = \sum_{\eta,k} (\gamma_\eta e^{-i\lambda_\eta(t)/2} c_{\eta k}^\dagger d + H.c.)
\]

\[
= \sum_{\eta,k} (\gamma_\eta e^{-i\lambda_\eta(t)/2} c_{\eta k}^\dagger dX + H.c.).
\]

Here \( \tilde{\epsilon}_d = \epsilon_d - \frac{g^2}{\omega_0} \) is the renormalized energy level of
the QD and \( \tilde{d} = dX \) denotes the new Fermionic operator
dressed by the phononic shift operator \( X \).

\[
X = e^{g(a^\dagger - a)}.
\]

Therefore, the transformed Hamiltonian is equivalent to
a noninteracting resonant-level model with a vibration
modified dot-lead tunneling described by the shift oper-
ator \( X \) in Eq. (2c), which is responsible for the observa-
tion of the Franck-Condon steps in the current-voltage
characteristics of the single molecular transistor. This
noninteracting effective Hamiltonian \( \tilde{H} \) Eq. (2a) is our
starting point for the FCS investigation in the following
section.
III. THEORETICAL METHODS

A. Adiabatic Potential for FCS

To investigate the probability distribution $P_{qL,qR}$ of the charge $q_\eta$ to be transferred through the QD to lead $\eta$ during the measuring time, we should calculate the so-called cumulant generating function (GF) $\chi(\lambda) \equiv \chi(\lambda_L, \lambda_R) = \sum_{qL,qR} P_{qL,qR} e^{i\sum_{\eta} q_\eta \lambda_\eta}$ for the two-terminal QD, which can be determined as a Keldysh partition function:

$$\chi(\lambda) = \left\langle T_c e^{-i \int_0^\lambda \tilde{H}_\tau(t)dt} \right\rangle_\lambda,$$

where $T_c$ denotes time ordering along the Schwinger-Keldysh contour $C$ and the expectation value is written in the interaction picture with respect to the effective Hamiltonian, $H_{leads} + \tilde{H}_{mod}$. According to Ref. 43, to calculate the CGF $\chi(\lambda)$ it is technically more convenient employing the adiabatic potential method: in $\chi(\lambda) = -i \bar{\mathcal{U}}(\lambda_-, \lambda_+) = -i \mathcal{U}(\lambda, -\lambda)$, where the adiabatic potential $\mathcal{U}(\lambda_-, \lambda_+)$ is defined due to the nonequilibrium Feynman-Hellmann theorem as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(\lambda_-, \lambda_+)}{\partial \lambda_{-\eta}} = \frac{\left\langle \partial \tilde{H}_\tau(t) \right\rangle_{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_{-\eta}} = -i \sum_k \langle \eta \gamma e^{-i\lambda_{-\eta}/2} c^\dagger_{\eta k} \tilde{d} - H.c. \rangle_{\lambda},$$

with the notation

$$\langle \cdots \rangle_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\chi(\lambda_-, \lambda_+)} \left\langle T_c \cdots e^{-i \int_0^\lambda \tilde{H}_\tau(t)dt} \right\rangle_0.$$

The further evaluation of the adiabatic potential amounts to calculations of the mixed GFs, $G_{d\eta k}(t, t') = -i \left\langle T_c d(t) c^\dagger_{\eta k}(t') \right\rangle_{\lambda}$ and $G_{\eta kd}(t, t') = -i \left\langle T_c c_{\eta k}(t) d(t') \right\rangle_{\lambda}$, as $(t' = t + 0^+)$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(\lambda_-, \lambda_+)}{\partial \lambda_{-\eta}} = \frac{\gamma_\eta}{2} \sum_k \left[ e^{-i\lambda_{-\eta}/2} G_{d\eta k}(t, t^+) - e^{i\lambda_{-\eta}/2} G_{\eta kd}(t, t^+) \right].$$

Bearing in mind the facts that the transformed Hamiltonian is noninteracting one and the canonical transformation do not alter the canonical commutation relations between Fermionic operators, these mixed GFs can be cast into combinations of the contour-ordered GFs of the QD involving dressed electronic operators, $G_d(t, t')$, and bare lead GFs, $g_{\eta k}(t, t')$:

$$G_{d\eta k}(t, t') = \int_0^\lambda dt'' G_d(t, t'') \gamma_\eta e^{i\lambda_{-\eta}(t'')}/2 g_{\eta k}(t'', t'),$$

$$G_{\eta kd}(t, t') = \int_0^\lambda dt'' g_{\eta k}(t, t'') \gamma_\eta e^{-i\lambda_{-\eta}(t'')}/2 G_d(t'', t'),$$

with

$$G_d(t, t') = -i \left\langle T_c \tilde{d}(t) \tilde{d}^\dagger(t') \right\rangle_{\lambda},$$

$$g_{\eta k}(t, t') = -i \left\langle T_c c_{\eta k}(t) c^\dagger_{\eta k}(t') \right\rangle_{\lambda}.$$

Performing the Keldysh disentanglement and substituting the results back into Eq. 10 one obtains

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{U}(\lambda_-, \lambda_+)}{\partial \lambda_{-\eta}} = \frac{\gamma_\eta}{2} \sum_k \left[ e^{-i\lambda_{-\eta}/2} g_{d\eta k}(t, t^+) - e^{i\lambda_{-\eta}/2} g_{\eta kd}(t, t^+) \right],$$

with $\lambda_{-\eta} = \lambda_- - \lambda_+$. It is noticed that the adiabatic potential Eq. 10 is exactly equivalent to that given by Maier in Ref. 51. Until now, all derivations are exact and what is done next is to calculate the dressed electronic GF $G^\alpha\beta_d(t, t') (\alpha, \beta = +, -)$.

B. Nonequilibrium GF approach for electron-phonon coupled system

Following Galperin et al. 34 we can use the usual Born-Oppenheimer adiabatic approximation to decouple electron and phonon dynamics, which leads to a factorized form of the GF $G_d(t, t')$ as a product of a pure electronic part and a phononic part 35

$$G^\alpha\beta_d(t, t') \approx G^\alpha\beta_e(t, t') K^\alpha\beta(t, t'),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
G^\alpha\beta_e(t, t') &= -i \left\langle T_c d(t) d^\dagger(t') \right\rangle_{\lambda}, \\
K(t, t') &= \left\langle T_c X(t) X^\dagger(t') \right\rangle_{\lambda}.
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding Feynman diagram in perturbation theory is shown schematically in Fig. 1(a). This decoupling is valid in the limit of a weak molecule-lead tunnel-couplings implying a relatively long residence time of the electron on the molecule, i.e., $\Gamma_n \ll \omega_0$.

Furthermore, we assume an extremely strong dissipation of the primary phonon mode to a thermal bath, e.g., to a substrate or a backgate. This means that the oscillator restores to its equilibrium state so quickly that it has no time to play a reaction to the electronic system when it is stimulated to an nonequilibrated state by external-bias-voltage-driven tunneling electrons. In this situation, the oscillator can be described by an equilibrium Bose distribution $n_B = (e^{\omega_0/T} - 1)^{-1}$ at the temperature $T$ and the phonon shift generator GF $K(t, t')$ can be replaced by its equilibrium correlation function 52

$$\phi(\tau) = g^2 \left[ n_B (1 - e^{i\omega_0 \tau}) + (n_B + 1) (1 - e^{-i\omega_0 \tau}) \right].$$

where $\phi(\tau)$ is defined as $(\tau = t - t')$
It is noted that in this approximation, the phononic GF \( K(t, t') \) becomes irrespective of the counting field \( \lambda \).

Therefore, the next step is to calculate the contour-ordered electronic GF of the QD, \( G_{\alpha\beta}(t, t') \), based on the transformed Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \) Eq. (2a). Nevertheless, since the transformed tunneling Hamiltonian Eq. (2c) involves the exponential operators \( X \) and \( X^\dagger \), one can not apply Wick’s theorem to derive the Dyson-like equation of the pure electronic GF \( G_{\alpha\beta}(t, t') \). As an alternative method, an equation-of-motion (EOM) procedure has been usually used as approximations in literature. \( ^{32,34,53} \) Here, using the transformed Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \), we derive the EOM for the contour-ordered electronic GF \( G_{\alpha\beta}(t, t') \) as

\[
\left( i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_d \right) G_{\alpha\beta}(t, t') = \delta(t-t') \tag{15} - \sum_{\eta\kappa} i\gamma_{\eta\kappa} e^{i\lambda\eta(t)/2} \langle T_c X^\dagger X(t) c_{\eta\kappa}(t) d(t') \rangle_{\lambda}.\]

Then we consider the EOM for the three-point GF \( \langle T_c X^\dagger(t) c_{\eta\kappa}(t_1) d(t') \rangle_{\lambda} \). It gives

\[
\left( i\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{\eta\kappa} \right) \langle T_c X^\dagger(t) c_{\eta\kappa}(t_1) d(t') \rangle_{\lambda} = \gamma_{\eta\kappa} e^{-i\lambda\eta(t_1)/2} \langle T_c X^\dagger(t) X(t_1) d(t_1) d(t') \rangle_{\lambda}, \tag{16}\]

or in the integration form as

\[
\langle T_c X^\dagger(t) c_{\eta\kappa}(t_1) d(t') \rangle_{\lambda} = \gamma_{\eta\kappa} \int_C dt_2 e^{-i\lambda\eta(t_2)/2} \times g_{\eta\kappa}(t_1, t_2) \langle T_c X^\dagger(t) X(t_2) d(t_2) d(t') \rangle_{\lambda}. \tag{17}\]

By taking the time limit \( t_1 \to t \) in the above equation, and substitute it to Eq. (15), one can obtain the EOM for GF \( G_c \) exactly as follows

\[
\left( i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_d \right) G_c(t, t') = \delta(t-t') + \sum_{\eta\kappa} i\gamma_{\eta\kappa} e^{i\lambda\eta(t)/2} \times g_{\eta\kappa}(t_1, t_1) \langle T_c X^\dagger X(t_1) d(t_1) d(t') \rangle_{\lambda}, \tag{18}\]

Then we will make an approximation the same as in Eq. (19) to decompose the dressed propagator:

\[
\langle T_c X^\dagger(t) X(t_1) d(t_1) d(t') \rangle_{\lambda} \approx iK(t_1, t) G_c(t_1, t'), \tag{19}\]

and consequently obtain the Dyson equation for \( G_c \)

\[
\left( i\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \tilde{\varepsilon}_d \right) G_c(t, t') = \delta(t-t') + \int_C \Sigma_{\kappa\lambda}(t, t_1) G_c(t_1, t'), \tag{20}\]

in which \( \Sigma_{\kappa\lambda}(t, t_1) \) is the contour-ordered electronic self-energies in the time domain, which includes all couplings of the electronic degrees of freedom on the QD with those in the electrodes and the vibrational mode, and the counting fields as well,

\[
\Sigma^{\alpha\beta}_{\kappa\lambda}(t, t_1) = \sum_{\eta\kappa} e^{i(\lambda\eta_\alpha - \lambda\eta_\beta)/2} \gamma_{\eta\kappa} g_{\eta\kappa}(t_1) K^{\alpha\beta}(t_1, t). \tag{21}\]

The Dyson equation can also be written as an integration in terms of the pure electronic GF \( G_c \),

\[
G_c(t, t') = g_c(t, t') + \int_C dt_1 dt_2 g_c(t_1, t_1) \Sigma_{\kappa\lambda}(t_1, t_2) G_c(t_2, t'), \tag{22}\]

where \( g_c(t, t') \) denotes the free electron GF for the dot without tunneling-coupling. It is clear that the ensuring GF \( G_c(t, t') \) corresponds to summing over all the diagrams as shown in Fig. 1(b). This means that the present method accounts the vibration-modified-effect on electronic tunneling processes by embedding the phononic propagator into the tunneling self-energies. While the polaron tunneling approximation (PTA) scheme developed in Ref. [51] considers the vibrational effect only in the bare electronic GF, \( g_c \), but remains the tunneling self-energies unmodified by phonon cloud (see the corresponding Feynman diagram, Fig. 3 in Ref. [51]). On the other hand, our Dyson series for \( G_c \) is also different from those of single particle approximation,\(^ {31,32} \) which performs the same factorization for the full GF \( G_d \) as ours but take no account of the phonon cloud in the Dyson series for \( G_c \).

Now we accomplish our calculation for the pure electronic GF \( G_c \). Projecting Eq. (22) onto the real time axis
according to Langreth analytical continuation rules, and then performing Fourier transformation of the resulting equations gives an explicit expression for the electronic

\[ G_c(\omega) = \frac{1}{D^L_\lambda(\omega)} \left( \omega - \tilde{\epsilon}_d + \sum_{\alpha=0}^\infty w_n \Sigma^{(\alpha)}_{c\lambda} (\omega + n\omega_0) \right), \]

(23)

where the lesser and greater self-energies of the electron can be expressed in frequency domain as

\[ \Sigma^{(+)}_{c\lambda}(\omega) = \sum_{n=\infty}^{-\infty} w_n \Sigma^{(0)}_{c\lambda}(\omega + n\omega_0), \]

(25)

\[ \Sigma^{(+)}_{c\lambda}(\omega) = \sum_{n=\infty}^{-\infty} w_n \Sigma^{(0)}_{c\lambda}(\omega - n\omega_0), \]

(26)

\[ \Sigma^{(\pm\tau)}(\omega) = \Sigma^{(\pm\tau)}(\omega) |_{\lambda=\theta}, \]

(27)

\[ \Sigma^{(0),-}(\omega) = i \sum_{\eta} e^{i\lambda_\eta/2\Gamma_\eta f_\eta(\omega)}, \]

(28)

\[ \Sigma^{(0),+}(\omega) = -i \sum_{\eta} e^{-i\lambda_\eta/2\Gamma_\eta [1 - f_\eta(\omega)],} \]

(29)

where \( f_\eta = [1 + \exp((\omega - \mu_\eta)/T)]^{-1} \) is the Fermi distribution function at temperature \( T \) and chemical potential \( \mu_\eta = E_F + V_\eta \) of lead \( \eta \) (\( E_F \) is the Fermi energy and \( V_\eta \) is the bias-voltage applied to lead \( \eta \)). The factor \( w_n \) is the weighting factor describing the electronic tunneling involving absorption or emission of \( n \) phonons. At a finite temperature,

\[ w_n = e^{-g^2(2N_B+1)e^{n\omega_0}/2T I_n(2g^2\sqrt{n_B(n_B+1)}),} \]

(30)

where \( I_n(x) \) is the \( n \)th Bessel function of complex argument. Moreover, the retarded self-energy in frequency domain can be defined in the usual way from the lesser and greater counterparts, \( \Sigma^r(\omega) = \theta(\omega) [\Sigma^{(\pm)}_{c\lambda}(\omega) - \Sigma^{(+)}_{c\lambda}(\omega)], \) and thus its expression in frequency domain is

\[ \Sigma^r_c(\omega) = \sum_{\eta} \int \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma_\eta f_\eta(\omega')}{\omega + n\omega_0 - \omega' + i0^+} \right. \]

\[ + \frac{\Gamma_\eta [1 - f_\eta(\omega')]}{\omega - n\omega_0 - \omega' + i0^+} \right\}. \]

(31)

It is observed that the vibration-modified electronic self-energy due to tunneling is highly dependent on the applied bias voltage as shown in Fig. 2 in the following section, in contrast to the noninteracting QD-lead system

where the tunneling induced self-energy is assumed to be a constant, \( \Sigma^r(\omega) = -i(\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R)/2 \) in the wide band limit. Finally, for the purpose of analyzing the nonlinear transport properties, one needs calculate the local spectral function of the central region, which can be defined as

\[ A(\omega) = -i[G^{+}_d(\omega) - G^{-}_d(\omega)] |_{\lambda=0} \]

\[ = -i \sum_{\eta} w_n [G^{+}_c(\omega - n\omega_0) - G^{-}_c(\omega + n\omega_0)] |_{\lambda=0}. \]

(32)

C. Expressions for FCS, Current, and Shot Noise

Inserting all these results derived in above subsection into Eq. (2) and integrating over \( \lambda_\eta \) and setting \( \lambda_{\eta-} = -\lambda_{\eta+} = \lambda_\eta \), we can yield an explicit analytical formula for the CGF of the electronic transport through a single molecular QD in presence of strong electron-phonon interaction

\[ \ln \chi(\lambda) = T \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \ln \left\{ 1 + \sum_{nm} T_{nm}(\omega) \right. \]

\[ \times \left[ f_{L+n}(1 - f_{R-m}) (e^{i\lambda} - 1) \right. \]

\[ \left. + f_{R+m}(1 - f_{L-n}) (e^{-i\lambda} - 1) \right\}, \]

(33)

where \( T_{nm}(\omega) \) is the transmission coefficient of electron between the left and right electrodes involving vibrational quanta \( n \) and \( m \):

\[ T_{nm}(\omega) = \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R w_n w_m}{D_0(\omega)}, \]

(34)

with \( \lambda = \lambda_L - \lambda_R, D_0(\omega) = D_\lambda(\omega) |_{\lambda=0} \) and \( f_{\eta\pm n} \) is a shorthand for \( f_{\eta}(\omega \pm n\omega_0) \). It is known that one of the advantages of the FCS conception in quantum transport is that the FCS expression can be used to distinguish the elementary events of electronic tunneling, thus provide some insight into the
relevant transport properties. Therefore, we can conclude from Eq. (33) that under the condition of weak tunneling and strong EPI, electronic transport through a molecular QD can still be regarded as three distinct independent processes: (i) electrons transmitted from the left electrode to the right with probability $P_+ = \sum_{n,m} T_{nm} f_{L+n} (1 - f_{R-m})$; (ii) transmission from right to left with $P_- = \sum_{n,m} T_{nm} f_{R+n} (1 - f_{L-m})$; (iii) no transmission with $P_0 = 1 - P_+ - P_-$. Accordingly, the generating function for each process is $\chi = \sum_{\epsilon = +,-,0} P_\epsilon X_\epsilon$ with $X_\epsilon = e^{i\epsilon \lambda}$. It is worth to notice that these transmission processes involve all possible phonon-assisted events. For example, the independent process (i) describes the specific electronic tunneling that an electron with energy $\omega$ in the left lead absorbs $n$ (if $n \geq 0$) or emits $n$ (if $n < 0$) phonon in the left bridge, and tunnels through the central region, and eventually enters into the right lead with emitting $m$ (if $m \geq 0$) or absorbing $m$ (if $m < 0$) phonon in the right bridge. Bearing in mind of these considerations, it can be addressed that the present FCS formula Eq. (33) is a direct extension of the original Levitov-Lesovik formula.}

$$\ln \chi(\lambda) = T \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} \ln \{1 + T(\omega) [f_L(1 - f_R) (e^{i\lambda} - 1) + f_R(1 - f_L) (e^{-i\lambda} - 1)]\},$$

(35)

to the inelastic electron transfer processes with either absorption or emission of phonon.

Noticing the relation $w_{-n} = e^{-n\omega_0/T} w_n$, we can further deduce from Eq. (33) that in the present approximation, the FCS cumulants obey a universal relation

$$\chi(V, \lambda) = \chi(V, -\lambda + iV/T),$$

(36)

which means that the detailed balance condition between the probabilities of opposite number of particles transferred through the QD remain valid even in the presence of electron-vibration interaction. The out-of-equilibrium fluctuation relations relate current correlation functions at any order at equilibrium to response coefficients of current cumulants of lower order.

Based on the explicit analytical expression Eq. (33) of CGF, one can obtain all cumulants of charge transfer distribution through the molecular QD. We will however focus on the investigation of the first two cumulants, i.e., the average current through the system and the zero-frequency shot noise, in this paper, because they are the most easily accessible quantities in the experimental measurements. In specific, the average current $I$ from the left lead to the QD is evaluated as follows:

$$I = \frac{2e}{h} \left. \frac{1}{T} \right|_{\lambda=0} \frac{\partial \ln \chi(\lambda)}{\partial (i\lambda L)} = \frac{2e}{h} \int d\omega \sum_{nm} T_{nm}(\omega)$$

$$\times [f_{L+n}(1 - f_{R-m}) - f_{R+m}(1 - f_{L-n})].$$

(37)

From Eq. (37) the current can be separated as two contributions of elastic and inelastic parts, $I = I_{el} + I_{in}$, where the elastic current is

$$I_{el} = \frac{2e \Gamma_L \Gamma_R}{h} \int d\omega \frac{w_0^2}{P_0(\omega)} [f_L(\omega) - f_R(\omega)].$$

(38)

While the zero-frequency current fluctuation $S$ is give by:

$$S = \frac{4e^2}{h} \left. \frac{1}{T} \right|_{\lambda=0} \frac{\partial^2 \ln \chi(\lambda)}{\partial (i\lambda L)^2} = \frac{4e^2}{h} \int d\omega \left( \sum_{nm} T_{nm}(\omega) [f_{L+n}(1 - f_{R-m}) + f_{R+m}(1 - f_{L-n})] \right.$$

$$\left. - \left\{ \sum_{nm} T_{nm}(\omega) [f_{L+n}(1 - f_{R-m}) - f_{R+m}(1 - f_{L-n})] \right\}^2 \right).$$

(39)

Before ending this section, we compare our CGF formula Eq. (33) with the previous PTA result, Eq. (2) in Ref. [51], which is obtained under the same limitation conditions, strong EPI and weak tunnel-coupling. As mentioned above, the PTA scheme takes no account of vibrational effect in the tunneling self-energy in its Dyson equation for calculating the full electronic GF $G_d$. One can argue that the PTA only considers virtual excitation of phonon in each electronic tunneling process, i.e., when an electron tunnels onto the molecule it excites the local phonon and fully de-excites the phonon upon leaving the dot. Therefore the electron after tunneling has the same energy as that before tunneling. This is why the PTA CGF [Eq. (22) in Ref. [51]] has the similar form with the original Levitov-Lesovik formula Eq. (35). While in the present approximation, after an electron tunnels into the molecular QD and excites the phonon, a virtual tunneling of electron into the leads is considered leading to excitations of particle-hole pairs in the leads. Then the electron tunnels out of the molecular QD and de-excites the phonon, but some particle-hole pairs remain in the leads, therefore energies of the electron before and after tunneling can be different. Physically, our results seem more reasonable because elastic and inelastic tunneling.
processes are both considered while only elastic tunneling processes are considered in the PTA scheme.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Here we carry out the numerical calculation of the current and zero-frequency shot noise through a single-molecular QD using Eqs. (37) and (39). For simplicity, we consider the system with symmetric tunnel-couplings to the leads, $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_R = 0.1\omega_0$, $\Gamma = \Gamma_L + \Gamma_R$, and assume the bias voltage is applied symmetrically, i.e., $\mu_L/\Gamma = \mu/\pm V/2$. Therefore we can only consider positive bias voltage $V > 0$ in the following calculations. We also set the phonon energy $\omega_0 = 1$ as the unit of energy throughout the rest of the paper and choose the Fermi levels of the two leads as the reference of energy $\mu_L = \mu_R = \mu = 0$ at equilibrium. The normalized EPI constant is set to be $g = 1$ to ensure the validate of the approximation scheme involved in the present paper.

Below we mainly consider zero temperature, at which the weighting factor becomes

$$w_n = \begin{cases} e^{-g^2 g^{2n}/n!}, & n \geq 0, \\
0, & n < 0, \end{cases}$$

meaning that only phonon emission processes are allowed.

A. Self energy and spectral function

We first examine the dependence of the tunneling-induced electronic self-energy, Eq. (31), on the bias voltage in Fig. 2 at zero temperature. We find that its imaginary part has explicit stepwise structures in frequency domain related to the opening of the inelastic channels, and the widths and heights of these steps are controlled by external applied bias voltage. Correspondingly, the real part of the self-energy shows multi-peaks with logarithmic singularities due to the Kramers-Kronig relations, which can be traced back to a previous work on EPI system by Engelsberg and Schrieffer for bulk Einstein phonons in 1963.\(^\text{\[40\]}\) It is observed that the real parts of the self-energies, i.e., the values of the energy shift, are relatively small in the case of weak tunnel-coupling.

We then calculate the equilibrium spectral function Eq. (32) for the systems with $\varepsilon_d = 0$ and $0.5\omega_0$. As shown in Fig. 3, one can find that the main effects of the electron-phonon coupling is the appearance of the phonon-assisted side peaks in the spectral function. At the zero temperature case and the renormalized level $\varepsilon_d = 0$, the main resonant peak at $\omega = 0$ is Lorentzian in shape, while the phonon side peaks exhibit non-Lorentzian form due to stepwise jumps in the imaginary part of the self-energy as depicted in Fig. 2(a). Peculiarly, these phonon side peaks symmetrically distribute in both sides of the energy axes at $\omega = \pm |n|\omega_0$ with gradually reduced heights. This behavior can be understood from the local spectral function Eq. (32).\(^\text{\[40\]}\) At zero temperature, the local spectral function has two contributions, the lesser GF $G_{\varepsilon-d}^{+}(\omega + n\omega_0)$ and the greater GF $G_{\varepsilon-d}^{+}(\omega - n\omega_0)$ at $n \geq 0$. These two GFs correspond to the local electron and hole propagators, respectively, and thus are proportional to the occupation number $n_d$ for the QD electron or $1 - n_d$ for the hole. For the system with $\varepsilon_d = 0$ and symmetrical tunnel-couplings to electrodes $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_R$, the QD is partially occupied by electrons, $n_d = 1/2$. One can therefore interpret that
the phonon side peaks at negative $\omega$ region result from the phonon emission by local electrons while the phonon side peaks at positive $\omega$ region originate from the phonon emission by local holes.

When $\tilde{\varepsilon}_d$ is far away from the the chemical potentials $\mu_L = \mu_R = \mu = 0$, the side peaks become asymmetry on the two sides of the main peak located at $\omega = \tilde{\varepsilon}_d$. For example, the spectral function of the system with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0.5\omega_0$ exhibits Lorentzian-type phonon side peaks only at positive $\omega$ region, $\omega = \tilde{\varepsilon}_d + |n|\omega_0$, but no phonon side peak at negative $\omega$ region, because no electron occupies the QD, $n_d \approx 0$. More interestingly, a small abrupt jump in the spectral function survives at $\omega = \pm |n|\omega_0$ as depicted in Fig. 3(b), which is also stemming from the stepwise jumps in the imaginary part of the self-energy occurring at these frequencies corresponding to the opening of inelastic scattering processes. It is not surprise that with raising temperature $T$, all these novel features in the spectral function are gradually smoothed away. Besides, several phonon side peaks reemerge in negative energy regions due to the opening of phonon absorption channels at higher temperature [Fig. 3(b)]. Furthermore, application of external bias voltage will change the occupation number of electrons at the QD, and will inevitably change the spectral function. It will be shown below that it is the complex dependences of the self-energy on the bias voltage in conjunction with the tiny features in the spectral function $A(\omega)$ that determines exotic properties of the nonlinear conductance and shot noise.

B. Current and differential conductance

Before investigating nonlinear transport, we consider the zero-temperature linear conductance at first. It is easy from Eq. (37) to yield

$$G = \frac{dI}{dV} \bigg|_{V=0} = \frac{\Gamma_L \Gamma_R \omega_0^2}{|\tilde{\varepsilon}_d + \Sigma_{cr}(0)|^2 + |\Sigma_{ci}(0)|^2},$$

(41)

with $\Sigma_{cr}(0) = 0$ and $\Sigma_{ci}(0) = -i(\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R)\omega_0/2$. Therefore, in the linear transport regime, the effect of the strong electron-phonon interaction is just to narrow the resonance peak of the conductance due to the Franck-Condon blockade. Besides, the linear conductance exhibits no phonon sidebands as a function of the gate voltage. These two aspects of the linear conductance are in good agreement with the previous results for weak electron-phonon coupling systems based on the perturbative calculation up to the second-order of the electron-phonon coupling constant, $g^2.25$

The situation is very different for the nonlinear transport as shown in Fig. 4 in which we plot the currents $I$ and corresponding differential conductances $dI/dV$ as functions of bias voltage $V > 0$ for the systems with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0$ and $0.5\omega_0$ at zero temperature. For the purpose of analysis, we also plot their corresponding elastic and inelastic parts. It is easy to obtain from Eq. (37) that only when the bias voltage is larger than the phonon energy, $V > \omega_0$, the inelastic current channels are opening, which leads to abrupt upward jumps of the differential conductance at $V = n\omega_0$ ($n > 0$). Nevertheless, these upward jumps can be divided into two sorts, big jumps and tiny jumps. To obtain clear interpretation of these jumps, we give an explicit expression of the main contributive terms of the inelastic current at zero temperature as:

$$I_{in} \approx \frac{2e^2}{h} \Gamma_L \Gamma_R \omega_0 w_1 \int_{-\omega_0 - V/2}^{V/2} d\omega \left[ \frac{1}{D_0(\omega)} + \frac{1}{D_0(-\omega)} \right].$$

(42)

For the partially filled QD ($\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0$), the external bias voltage $V = \omega_0$ causes only a tiny jump due to the nonzero value of $D_0(\pm V/2) \approx (\omega_0/2)^2 + |\Sigma_{cr}(\pm \omega_0/2)|^2$, but the bias voltage $V = 2\omega_0$ results in a big jump owing to the minimum value in $D_0(\pm \omega_0 + V/2) \approx |\Sigma_{cr}(0)|^2$; while for the empty QD ($\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0.5\omega_0$), big jumps will occur at $V = \omega_0$ and $3\omega_0$ because of $D_0(\omega) \approx (\omega - \omega_0/2)^2 + |\Sigma_{ci}(\omega)|^2$. The tiny jumps at $V = 2\omega_0$ and $4\omega_0$ are the
remaining effect of the small abrupt jump in the spectral function as shown in Fig. 3(b).

We now turn to discuss the elastic part of the tunneling current. The elastic current formula Eq. (38) can be simplified at zero temperature as

\[ I_{el} = \frac{2e^2}{h} \Gamma L \Gamma R u_0^2 \int_{-V/2}^{V/2} d\omega \frac{1}{D_0(\omega)}. \] (43)

As usually, the elastic current rises monotonously as the bias voltage of the left lead is increasing up to the energy level of the QD, \( V = 2\bar{\epsilon}_d = 0 \) or \( 1.0\omega_0 \), i.e., the resonant tunneling condition is reached. It is quite surprise, however, that the elastic current exhibits decrease steps with increasing further the bias voltages. To give an underlying interpretation of this decrease, we examine the derivative of the elastic current with respect to the bias voltage.

Differentiating Eq. (43) with respect to \( V \), the nonlinear conductance can be written as \( g^{el} = dI_{el}/dV = g_1^{el} + g_2^{el} \), with

\[ g_1^{el} = \frac{2e^2}{h} \Gamma L \Gamma R u_0^2 \frac{1}{D_0(-V/2)} + \frac{1}{D_0(V/2)} \] (44)

and

\[ g_2^{el} \approx -\frac{2e^2}{h} \Gamma L \Gamma R u_0^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} w_n \int_{-V/2}^{V/2} d\omega \frac{\Sigma_d(\omega)}{D_0(\omega)} \times [\Gamma_L \delta(\omega - n\omega_0 + V/2) + \Gamma_R \delta(\omega + n\omega_0 - V/2)]. \] (45)

The first term, \( g_1^{el} \), is proportional to the transmission probability \( T_{00}(V/2) \) and results in the first resonant peak at \( V = 2\bar{\epsilon}_d \); while the second term, \( g_2^{el} \), is stemming from the bias-voltage-dependent self-energy and it always makes negative contribution and becomes predominant over the first term at \( V = 2n\omega_0 + 2\bar{\epsilon}_d (n > 0) \) and at \( V = 2n\omega_0 - 2\bar{\epsilon}_d (n > 1) \), which is responsible for decrease steps in the elastic current and the double-peak structure in the total differential conductance at \( V = 2\omega_0, 4\omega_0 \) for the QD with \( \bar{\epsilon}_d = 0 \) or at \( V = 3\omega_0, 5\omega_0 \) for \( \bar{\epsilon}_d = 0.5\omega_0 \).

It should be noted that the inelastic scattering induced discontinuities, i.e., downward or upward steps, in the differential conductance have been previously reported based on the self-consistent Born approximation and the second-order perturbation calculations in the case of weak EPI. Our present nonperturbative calculations show more complex behavior for the systems with strong EPI and hard phonon \( \omega_0 \gg \Gamma \): tiny upward steps and double-peak profiles.

All these tiny features in the differential conductance will be inevitably smeared away with increasing temperature, but those big jumps will survive (not shown here). Therefore, the differential conductance will still reflect the main profile of the spectral function of the molecular QD as shown in Fig. 3 at relatively high temperature. Besides, it is observed that the magnitudes of these big jumps gradually decrease with increasing bias voltage due to Franck-Condon blockade.

Before turning to discuss the shot noise, in order to estimate the quality of the present approximation, we compare our results with those of accurate diagrammatic Monte Carlo simulation by plotting the calculated I-V characteristics for several different molecular QD systems at a finite temperature \( T = 0.2\Gamma \), as shown in Fig. 4. For comparison, we also plot the results calculated using PTA. It is clear that in the regime of moderate to large bias voltage \( V \), our method exhibits better consistency with the Monte Carlo simulation than the PTA.

### C. Zero-frequency shot noise

In what follows, we analyze the zero-frequency shot noise at zero temperature, which can be calculated using a simplified expression according to the Eq. (39)

\[ S = 2eI - \frac{4e^2}{h} (\Gamma L \Gamma R)^2 \sum_{nn'} \sum_{mm'} w_n w_m w_{n'} w_{m'} \int_{\omega_1}^{\omega_2} d\omega \frac{1}{D_0(\omega)}, \] (46)

with \( \omega_1 = \max(n\omega_0 - V/2, n'\omega_0 - V/2) \) and \( \omega_2 = \min(V/2 - m\omega_0, V/2 - m'\omega_0) \). We can also separate the shot noise as two contributions of elastic and inelastic parts, \( S = S_{el} + S_{in} \), with the elastic part being

\[ S_{el} = 2eI_{el} - \frac{4e^2}{h} (\Gamma L \Gamma R)^2 u_0^4 \int_{-V/2}^{V/2} d\omega \frac{1}{D_0(\omega)}. \] (47)

In Figs. 5(a) and (c), we plot the calculated shot noise and its two contributive parts as functions of bias voltage \( V > 0 \) for the systems with \( \bar{\epsilon}_d = 0 \) and \( \bar{\epsilon}_d = 0.5\omega_0 \).
respectively. It is observed that for the empty QD ($\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0.5\omega_0$), the shot noise of the elastic channel inherits the same behavior as the elastic current with increasing bias voltage, continuous increase up to the resonant point and downward steps at $V = 2\omega_0$ and $5\omega_0$. On the contrary, the inelastic shot noise exhibits abrupt downward jumps at $V = \omega_0$ and $3\omega_0$, instead of upward jumps in the inelastic current. At $V = \omega_0$, we can evaluate approximately the correction to the shot noise due to the inelastic tunneling for the system with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0.5\omega_0$ as

$$S_{in} \approx 2eI_n - \frac{4e^2}{\hbar}(\Gamma_L\Gamma_R)^2w_0^2\omega_0(2\omega_0 + \omega_1)$$

$$\times \int_{\omega_0-V/2}^{V/2} d\omega \left[ \frac{1}{D_1^R(\omega)} + \frac{1}{D_2^R(-\omega)} \right]$$

$$\approx \frac{4e^2\Gamma_L\Gamma_Rw_0\omega_1}{\hbar} \frac{(\omega_0/2)^2 + |\Sigma_{ei}(\omega_0/2)|^2}{(\Gamma_L + \Gamma_R)^2}.$$  

For the symmetric tunnel-coupling case considered in this paper, $\Gamma_L = \Gamma_R$, the opening of inelastic channel generates a negative contribution to the shot noise. The same corrections of the inelastic noise will be predicted at $V = 3\omega_0$ and $5\omega_0$, leading to downward jumps in the shot noise in association with the elastic noise. While the situation is more complex for the partially filled QD ($\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0$). At first, the inelastic noise shows a tiny upward jump at $V = \omega_0$, i.e. a positive correction, because of

$$S_{in} \approx \frac{4e^2}{\hbar} \Gamma_L\Gamma_Rw_0\omega_1$$

$$\times \left[ (\omega_0/2)^2 + |\Sigma_{ei}(\omega_0/2)|^2 - \Gamma_L\Gamma_Rw_0^2(2 + \omega_1/\omega_0) \right].$$

But the inelastic noise becomes downward jump at $V = 2\omega_0$ again. Actually, the inelastic noise contribution has been examined for a QD with weak EPI, a soft phonon $\omega_0 \ll \Gamma$, and arbitrary transmission based on the second-order perturbative calculation at $V = \omega_0$ where the inelastic channel is just opening. A sign change in the inelastic noise correction at certain domains in parameter space of transmission and energy level has been addressed and ascribed to the underlying competition between elastic and inelastic processes. Very recently, the negative contribution to noise has been experimentally observed on Au nanowires in the weak EPI limit and the negative contribution to noise has been experimentally observed on Au nanowires in the weak EPI limit. The present investigation in this paper indicates indeed that the interplay of elastic and inelastic scattering processes causes the following properties of shot noise: (1) the elastic shot noise exhibits a downward step at the bias voltages $V = 2(n\omega_0 \pm \tilde{\varepsilon}_d) > 0$ as the elastic current does; (2) Meanwhile, the opening of inelastic channel at these bias voltages induces an abrupt increase of the transmission probability of the inelastic channel [i.e. the inelastic current as shown in the above subsection, Figs. 4(a) and (b)] and consequentially results in an obvious downward jump; otherwise the inelastic noise shows only a tiny increase; (3) In particular, for the molecular QD with an energy level of $\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0.5\omega_0$, the inelastic noise becomes negative at $V = 2(\omega_0 - \tilde{\varepsilon}_d) = \omega_0$, i.e. the sign change of the inelastic correction to shot noise in the case of strong EPI and a hard phonon. Nevertheless, no such negative correction to noise was found in the PTA calculations. We argue that this is because the PTA considers only the elastic scattering processes as pointed out above.
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Figure 6: (Colour online) (a, b) The zero-temperature shot noise (solid line), and its elastic (dashed line) and inelastic (dotted-dashed line) parts as functions of bias voltage for a single-molecular QD with $\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0$ (a) and $0.5\omega_0$ (b), respectively. The electron-phonon coupling constant is set to be $g = 1.0$. (c, d) The corresponding Fano factors for the two systems, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0$ (c) and $0.5\omega_0$ (d), with different electron-phonon coupling constants $g = 1.0$ (solid line), 1.5 (dashed line), and 0.5 (dotted-dashed line). We set $\Gamma = 0.1\omega_0$ in the calculations.

To analyze the relative strength of noise, a more useful quantity is the so-called Fano factor $F$ defined as the ratio of the shot noise to the Poisson value, $F = S/2eI$. It is obvious from Eq. (46) that the present approximation exhibits no super-Poissonian noise, being in agreement with the previous NGF calculation in Ref. 51 under the same approximation, strong electron-phonon interaction and thermal equilibrated phonon. It also deserves to point out that the present result is in no conflict with that of the rate-equation calculations.
Even though a giant Fano factor has been predicted due to avalanchelike transport of electrons by rate-equation calculations, it has been subsequently shown that a single-level molecular QD will exhibit super-Poissonian noise only when both of two conditions, external-bias-voltage-driven unequilibrated phonon and asymmetric tunnel-couplings between the QD and two leads, are simultaneously satisfied. Otherwise, the shot noise will decrease with increasing strength of dissipation of the hot phonon to environment, and eventually become sub-Poissonian noise and show steplike behavior. In this paper, our NGF calculations predict more rich oscillatory behavior of the Fano factor as a function of the bias voltage, as shown in Figs. 6(c) and (d). It is interesting to observe that the aforementioned downward jumps in the shot noise in conjunction with the upward steps in the current induce obvious dips in the Fano factor, whose values can be smaller than 1/2. Since for a resonant tunneling model with a small tunneling rate $\Gamma$, the typical value of the Fano factor of a symmetric tunnel-junction at large bias voltage is equal right to equal 1/2, this unusual smaller-than-one-half Fano factor therefore can be regarded as an unambiguous signature of vibronic participation in electronic tunneling.

V. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, in this paper we have investigated inelastic effects on the FCS of electronic tunneling through a single-molecular QD in the presence of strong electron-phonon interaction, weak tunnel-couplings, and hard phonon mode. For this purpose, we have performed the Lang-Firsov canonical transformation for the local electron-phonon interaction and made use of the non-crossing approximation to decouple the electronic and phononic degrees of freedom. Then we have employed the generalized nonequilibrium Green function technique for the FCS and derived an explicit analytical Levitov-Lesovik formula for the cumulant generating function under the approximation that the molecular vibration is assumed to be always thermally equilibrated due to fast dissipation to a thermal phonon bath, i.e. the environment. This formula can not only provide fundamental knowledge of how to clarify independent elementary processes in the vibration-assisted charge transfer, but also give analytical expressions for the tunneling current and its zero-frequency shot noise. Subsequently, we have carried out numerical calculations for the current and shot noise of a QD with symmetric tunnel-couplings at zero temperature and further analyzed their bias-voltage dependence in detail.

Even though several of our formal results, for example, the upward or downward jumps in the current and shot noise only at $V = \omega_0$, were already addressed in previous papers by the second-order perturbative calculations for weak EPI system, there are still some debates in these issues in the literature. The present paper has provided complementary investigation for strong EPI system. We have found that: (i) The singularities in the electronic self-energy and spectral function cause discontinuities in the zero-frequency shot noise in the weak tunnel-coupling case, i.e. weak bare elastic transparency of the molecular junction. The sign of the discontinuity occurring at $V = \omega_0$ (single-phonon scattering process) depends on the normalized energy level of the molecular QD. For an empty QD, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_d = 0.5\omega_0$, the inelastic channel provides a negative contribution to noise at $V = \omega_0$; otherwise, a positive contribution is observed. Moreover, multi-phonon scattering events will always induce downward jumps. It is noticed that the opening of inelastic channel can also affect the elastic channel, leading to downward steps in the elastic part of the current and shot noise; (ii) Contrary to the results of rate-equation calculations, our investigations predict oscillatory structure and apparent dips in the Fano factor. The small Fano factor, $F < 1/2$, can be considered as a typical characteristics of phonon-assisted electronic tunneling through a single molecular junction.

Noticeably, our approximative calculations for the strong EPI system with an equilibrated phonon have reproduced the logarithmic singularities in the electronic self-energies and consequently found the discontinuities in the differential conductance and shot noise. It is therefore desirable in the future research to develop a fully self-consistent calculation, i.e. solving the coupled Dyson equations for the electronic GF $G_e(t, t')$ and the phononic GF $K(t, t')$ simultaneously, to observe the unequilibrated phonon effect on the singularities and discontinuities.
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