Epidural anesthesia for cesarean section in a patient with Marfan syndrome and dural ectasia
-A case report-

Gahyun Kim, Justin Sangwook Ko, and Duck Hwan Choi

Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Samsung Medical Center, Sungkyunkwan University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea

Pregnancy is considered a period of high risk for cardiovascular complications in patients with Marfan syndrome. Therefore the choice of anesthetic technique for delivery should be focused on minimizing hemodynamic fluctuations, and preferably provide adequate post-operative pain control. For this purpose, neuraxial blocks, such as spinal or epidural anesthesia, may be deemed a safe option. However, dural ectasia is present in 63–92% of patients with Marfan syndrome, and the increased amount of cerebrospinal fluid volume is thought to be one of main reasons for spinal anesthesia failure. We report herein the peri-operative management of a patient with Marfan syndrome and dural ectasia for cesarean section using epidural anesthesia. (Korean J Anesthesiol 2011; 60: 214-216)

Key Words: Cesarean section, Epidural anesthesia, Marfan syndrome, Pregnancy.

Marfan syndrome is an autosomal dominant inherited connective tissue disease [1]. Marfan syndrome involves many organ systems, but the cardiovascular manifestations, such as aortic dilation and dissection, are responsible for 90% of deaths attributed to Marfan syndrome [2,3]. Pregnancy is considered to be a period of high risk for cardiovascular complications, such as aortic dissection, and thus the choice of anesthetic technique is particularly important in patients with Marfan syndrome [4]. Combined spinal-epidural anesthesia is preferred over general anesthesia for cesarean section in patients with Marfan syndrome because combined spinal-epidural anesthesia provides excellent hemodynamic stability and adequate post-operative pain control may be obtained via epidural analgesia. However, several cases of spinal anesthesia failure have been reported in Marfan patients, possibly due to dural ectasia [5]. Dural ectasia is a ballooning or outpouching of the dural sac, primarily involving the lower lumbar and sacrum, and is present in greater than two-thirds of affected adults (63–92%). The most common clinical symptoms of dural ectasia are low back pain, headache, weakness, loss of sensation above and below the affected limb, and occasional rectal or genital pain [6]. Greater than a normal volume of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) in the lumbar theca is postulated to restrict the spread of intrathecally-injected local anesthetic and is thought to be one...
of the main reasons for spinal anesthesia failure [7]. Herein we report the peri-operative management of a patient with Marfan syndrome with dural ectasia for cesarean section using epidural anesthesia.

Case Report

A 35-year-old woman with Marfan syndrome was admitted at 37 weeks gestational age (GA). She was diagnosed with Marfan syndrome at 16 years of age. A previous pregnancy at 33 years of age culminated in a missed abortion at 6 weeks GA, for which a dilatation and curettage was performed at our hospital under monitored anesthesia with propofol and remifentanil. She was transferred to the Cardiology Department for post-operative care. Combined spinal-epidural anesthesia is the standard anesthetic choice for cesarean section at our hospital. Pregnancy is considered to be a period of high risk for cardiovascular complications, such as aortic dilatation and dissection, in patients with Marfan syndrome [4]. A number of studies have stratified the risk for a rapid change in aortic size or aortic tear during pregnancy or immediately after delivery according to the degree of aortic root dilatation. [2,8]. Patients with an aortic root < 4 cm in diameter at the time of delivery have a similar outcome for vaginal and cesarean section delivery, but cesarean section is preferred in patients with an aortic root dilatation ≥ 4 cm because the risk for cardiac decompensation is extremely high [8]. High blood pressure tends to develop aortic aneurysms due to a weakened vascular media in patients with Marfan syndrome. Myocardial ischemia and heart failure can also be caused by an increased myocardial oxygen demand resulting from high blood pressure. Therefore, the most cautious goal is to prevent high blood pressure [9].

In our case, the patient had already undergone aortic replacement and her pre-operative echocardiogram revealed a normal ejection fraction, a well-functioning prosthetic aortic valve, and a descending aortic diameter of 3.5 cm. Based on these clinical findings, both vaginal delivery and cesarean

...
section were considered appropriate. However, aortic dissection in gravidas with Marfan syndrome has been reported, even in the absence of pre-existing aortic root dilatation [8], and the fluctuations in hemodynamic parameters secondary to pain and anxiety of labor may have negative effects on the cardiovascular system. Therefore, an elective cesarean section was planned for our patient. Epidural anesthesia was considered first because of the slow onset and gradual progression of epidural block may be more favorable in mitigating hemodynamic fluctuations. In addition, our patient had dural ectasia at the L4-5 level. A larger than normal volume of CSF in the lumbar theca is considered to restrict the spread of intrathecally-injected anesthetics and lead to an increased rate of spinal anesthesia failure [7]. For example, Lacassie et al. [5] reported two cases of inadequate spinal anesthesia in two parturients with Marfan syndrome. They performed continuous spinal anesthesia with an incrementally-increased dose of bupivacaine, but they stopped further administration of bupivacaine after 21 ml for the fear of potential neurologic injury. Further, they suggested that dural ectasia and the associated increase in CSF volume were possible causes of erratic spread of spinal anesthesia.

Although dural ectasia is not an absolute contraindication for epidural anesthesia, the use of epidural anesthesia in patients with Marfan syndrome with moderate-to-severe dural ectasia is not recommended because of the risk of a spinal CSF leak in the case of accidental dural puncture [10]; however, when performed carefully by an experienced anesthesiologist, many benefits of epidural anesthesia, including minimal hemodynamic fluctuations and excellent post-operative pain control, outweigh the potential risk of dural puncture in patients with Marfan syndrome. The weakened connective tissues in patients with Marfan syndrome affect skeletal anomalies and abnormal joint flexibility, and the positional change for regional anesthesia might damage the tissues [9]. In our patient, the surgically-adequate level of anesthesia was achieved 30 min after the epidural injection of 27 ml of 2% lidocaine with epinephrine (1:200,000) and fentanyl (100 μg). The gradual decrease in blood pressure was well-managed with a bolus injections of phenylephrine and ephedrine. In addition, patient-controlled epidural analgesia provided excellent pain management for 3 days post-operatively.

In summary, we provided epidural anesthesia for cesarean section without any cardiovascular and neurologic complications in a patient with Marfan syndrome, even though she has lumbosacral dural ectasia. Epidural anesthesia may be considered as one of the useful anesthetic options for cesarean section in Marfan syndrome patients with dural ectasia.
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