Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor Associated Factor 6 Is Not Required for Atherogenesis in Mice and Does Not Associate with Atherosclerosis in Humans
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Abstract

Background: Tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factors (TRAFs) are important signaling molecules for a variety of pro-atherogenic cytokines including CD40L, TNF α, and IL1β. Several lines of evidence identified TRAF6 as a pro-inflammatory signaling molecule in vitro and we previously demonstrated overexpression of TRAF6 in human and Murine atherosclerotic plaques. This study investigated the role of TRAF6-deficiency in mice developing atherosclerosis, a chronic inflammatory disease.

Methodology/Principal Findings: Lethally irradiated low density lipoprotein receptor-deficient mice (TRAf6+/−/LDLR−/−) were reconstituted with TRAF6-deficient fetal liver cells (FLC) and consumed high cholesterol diet for 18 weeks to assess the relevance of TRAF6 in hematopoietic cells for atherogenesis. Additionally, TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice received TRAF6-deficient FLC to gain insight into the role of TRAF6 deficiency in resident cells. Surprisingly, atherosclerotic lesion size did not differ between the three groups in both aortic roots and abdominal aortas. Similarly, no significant differences in plaque composition could be observed as assessed by immunohistochemistry for macrophages, lipids, smooth muscle cells, T-cells, and collagen. In accord, in a small clinical study TRAF6/GAPDH total blood RNA ratios did not differ between groups of patients with stable coronary heart disease (0.034±0.0021, N=178), acute coronary heart disease (0.029±0.0027, N=70), and those without coronary heart disease (0.032±0.0016, N=77) as assessed by angiography.

Conclusion: Our study demonstrates that TRAF6 is not required for atherogenesis in mice and does not associate with clinical disease in humans. These data suggest that pro- and anti-inflammatory features of TRAF6 signaling outweigh each other in the context of atherosclerosis.

Introduction

Atherosclerosis, one of the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in Western countries [1], is a chronic inflammatory disease driven by an armada of inflammatory cells and their effector cytokines. A solid body of evidence supports the concept that inflammation promotes atherogenesis at every step from initiation to progression, destabilization, and complication. Although the inflammatory nature of this disease had been uncovered more than a decade ago a genuine anti-inflammatory or immune-modulatory treatment option is still absent in current therapeutic regimens [2]. Along with extensive basic experimental data increasing clinical evidence attribute great potential to such therapeutic strategies. The recent JUPITER trial is an example par excellence in that respect [3]. While unselective inhibition of pro-inflammatory cytokines such as CD40L initially held great promise these strategies either proved to have inherent deleterious side effects or appear unfit for long-term treatment likely required by the chronic inflammatory nature of atherosclerotic disease [4]. Selective inhibition of key signaling branch points, however, may overcome some of these limitations and demonstrated feasibility in the treatment of other disorders such as malignancies.

Tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factors (TRAf6) are intracellular adaptor proteins, which channel signaling for members of the TNF-/interleukin-1 (IL-1)-/toll-like-receptor (TLR)-superfamily such as TNFα, CD40L, and IL-1β, proteins known to promote inflammation and atherosclerosis [5,6,7]. To date seven TRAFs have been characterized sharing a
common C-terminal domain important for binding to upstream receptors and with the exception of TRAF1 sharing a RING-zinc finger for downstream signal propagation [8,9]. TRAF6, a 63 kDa molecule, was first identified as an adaptor protein of CD40 and independently as a signal transducer for IL-1 [10,11]. TRAF6 differs from the other TRAFs in recognizing a distinguished amino acid sequence allowing for participation in inflammatory signaling of both the TNFR and IL1/Toll-like receptor pathways [12,13,14]. While overexpression of TRAF6 induces NFκB activation, dominant negative mutants of TRAF6 inhibit the NFκB pathway activated by IL-1β but not TNFα[10,15,16]. Interestingly, cells with mutant CD40 molecules eliminating the interaction with TRAF6 showed impaired NFκB, JNK, and p38 activation [17]. Similarly, IL-1β-mediated activation of these molecules was completely abrogated in TRAF6-deficient mixed embryonic fibroblasts [10]. Furthermore, expression of exogenous TRAF6 in TRAF6-deficient cells restored NFκB, JNK, and p38 phosphorylation [19]. These data suggest that TRAF6 figures as pro-inflammatory molecule.

Data on the function of TRAF6 in vascular disease are scarce. Human monocytes transfected with TRAF6 binding protein inhibiting the association of TRAF6 with CD40, failed to activate ERK1/2, IKK, and cytokine production after stimulation with CD40L [20]. Donners et al. demonstrated that mice carrying a mutated TRAF6 with selective incapability of CD40 binding develop decreased neointima formation in a carotid injury model. Similar findings were also obtained in rabbits upon transfaction of a plasmid containing the dominant negative form of TRAF6 [21,22]. Our group recently demonstrated overexpression of several TRAFs including TRAF6 in Murine and human atherosclerotic lesions [23]. Based on these data we hypothesized that TRAF6 promotes atherogenesis in mice and associates with atherosclerosis and its complications in humans.

Results

Fetal liver cell transplantation successfully reconstitutes hematopoietic cells

Exploration of atherogenesis in TRAF6-deficient mice was hampered by the limited viability of homozygous TRAF6-deficient mice [15,16]. To circumvent this limitation we performed fetal liver cell (FLC) transplantsations. To test the hypothesis that TRAF6 in FLC-derived cells contributes to atherosclerosis, FLC from TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− and TRAF6+/+LDLR−/− were transplanted into TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice. To explore a putative additional effect of TRAF6 in resident cells such as endothelial cells and smooth muscle cells, a third group of chimeras was generated by transplanting FLC from TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− into TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice. In this model, transplantation of FLC from CD45.2 mice into CD45.1 recipients validated successful reconstitution of CD3-, CD19-, and CD11b-positive cells in our hands (Fig. 1). Furthermore, spleens of both TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− and TRAF6+/+LDLR−/− mice receiving TRAF6-deficient FLC showed impaired TRAF6/GAPDH mRNA expression as assessed by quantitative PCR, also indicating successful reconstitution (Fig. 2A).

To verify the assumption that TRAF6 heterozygous mice express lower levels of TRAF6, we also analyzed TRAF6 expression in spleens and aortas of TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− and TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− animals without transplantation. In both cases, TRAF6 heterozygous mice expressed lower TRAF6/GAPDH mRNA ratios (Fig. 2B and C).

TRAF6 deficiency attenuates weight gain and plasma cholesterol increase on high cholesterol diet

After 4 weeks allowed for reconstitution, mice consumed a high cholesterol diet for 18 weeks. At the beginning of the study no significant difference in body weight, plasma cholesterol, triglyceride levels, and phenotype was observed (Fig. 3A-C). However, all groups receiving TRAF6-deficient FLC had significantly lower peripheral leukocyte counts, an effect that could no longer be detected after the feeding period (Fig. 3D). No difference could be detected as to the percentage of the T cell subtypes CD4, CD8, and Treg of the inflammatory monocyte subset Ly6C+ monocytes, and the percentage of B cells (Table 1). At the end of the study TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice reconstituted with TRAF6-deficient cells (24.0 ± 1.1 g, N = 22) weighed significantly less than TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice receiving TRAF6-competent FLC (31.5 g ± 1.1 g, N = 27, p < 0.0001). TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice reconstituted with TRAF6-deficient cells did not gain weight at all on HCD (20.5 g ± 0.7 g, N = 24, p < 0.0001, Fig. 3A).

Also, TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice receiving TRAF6-deficient FLC (496 ± 46 mg/dl, N = 21) had significantly lower plasma cholesterol levels than those receiving TRAF6-competent FLC (730 mg/dl ± 36 mg/dl, N = 25, p = 0.002) after 18 weeks of HCD while no significant difference in cholesterol levels could be detected when compared with TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice receiving TRAF6-deficient FLC (620 mg/dl ± 41 mg/dl, N = 22, p = 0.0513 Fig. 3B). There were no significant differences in triglycerides between the tested groups.

TRAF6 deficiency does not alter atherosclerotic lesion size and plaque composition in aortic roots

Minimal lesion size in the aortic roots of TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− (0.199 mm² ± 0.04 mm², N = 21, p = 0.2825) and TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice (0.190 mm² ± 0.03 mm², N = 22, p = 0.7178) reconstituted with TRAF6-deficient FLC did not differ compared with TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice receiving TRAF6-competent FLC (0.213 mm² ± 0.03 mm², N = 21, Fig. 4). Next we tested whether TRAF6, though not altering lesion size, modulates cellular composition of the atherosclerotic plaque. TRAF6 deficiency did not change lesional macrophage-, lipid-, collagen-, smooth muscle cell-, or T-cell- content, suggesting no relevant effect of TRAF6 on atherosclerotic plaque formation (Fig. 5).

TRAF6 deficiency does not alter atherosclerotic lesion formation in the abdominal aorta

As expected, lesion formation was reduced in abdominal aortas, a phenomenon known in animals that underwent irradiation [25]. Again, TRAF6 deficiency did not alter lesion size as assessed by Oil red O staining (Fig. 6).

TRAF6 deficiency does modulate the inflammatory gene expression of macrophages

Since TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− and TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice showed decreased levels of cholesterol at the end of the study (Fig. 3B) a putative difference in macrophage reactivity toward cholesterol or fatty acids could explain why we observed similar atherosclerotic lesion formation in these mice compared with respective wild-type controls and could therefore mask a phenotype. Thus, we isolated bone marrow-derived macrophages from TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice reconstituted with either TRAF6-deficient or -competent FLC and stimulated these with cholesterol and palmitic acid. Interestingly, macrophages from...
both groups expressed similar amounts of interleukin-6 (IL-6), monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1), tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNFα), and interleukin-12 (IL-12) as assessed by cytometric bead array (Fig. 7), suggesting that our observations are not due to a TRAF6-dependent difference in inflammatory reactivity of macrophages.

**TRAF6 mRNA expression in blood does not associate with acute or chronic coronary heart disease in humans**

Since we previously demonstrated overexpression of TRAF6 protein in human plaques, we tested the hypothesis that TRAF6 expression associates with acute or chronic coronary heart disease in humans [23]. Therefore, we measured TRAF6 mRNA in blood of a total of 325 patients undergoing coronary angiography categorized into three groups: no coronary heart disease (No CHD, N = 77), stable coronary heart disease (CHD, N = 178), and acute coronary syndrome (ACS, N = 70). The baseline characteristics of the study groups have been previously published [26] and are shown in table 2. Gender and BMI did not significantly differ among the groups, while patients were older in the CHD group and had more traditional cardiovascular risk factors in the CHD and ACS groups. TRAF6/GAPDH mRNA ratios did not differ between the groups corroborating the data gathered in mice (Fig. 8).

**Discussion**

The current study presents the novel and unexpected finding that TRAF6 deficiency on FLC-derived cells does not alter atherogenesis in either TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− or TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice. Our data challenge the common view of TRAF6 as pro-inflammatory signaling molecule in the context of atherosclerosis.

Multiple reports identified TRAF6 as positive regulator of CD40L and IL-1 but not TNFα-induced NFκB signaling [10,15,16,17,27] in vitro. Furthermore, TRAF6 is essential for signaling via the toll-like receptors 2, 5, 7, and 9 but not -3 [27]. In vivo evaluation of the genetic deficiency in TRAF6 confirmed its role in CD40-, IL-1-, and LPS signaling and phenotypically resulted in severe osteopetrosis [15,16]. In line with a pro-inflammatory function of TRAF6 Kobayashi et al. reported impaired maturation of TRAF6-deficient dendritic cells in vitro and in vivo [18], a cell type crucially involved in adaptive immunity by presenting antigens to T cells [28]. Similarly, two previous reports implicated TRAF6 with neointima formation [21,22]. In contrast, our data suggest no role for TRAF6 in the chronic inflammatory disease atherosclerosis. Although TRAF6 deficiency impaired weight gain and decreased plasma cholesterol levels, attributes that would commonly favor smaller atherosclerotic lesions, TRAF6 deficiency did not reduce plaque formation. These data are in accord with our previous report demonstrating no modulation of atherogenesis for the TRAF6 cognate receptor CD40 [29]. Interestingly, Akiyama et al. reported a disrupted thymic structure, reduced numbers of regulatory T cells, and an autoimmune phenotype with inflammatory infiltrates in most organs in TRAF6-deficient mice, suggesting rather an anti-inflammatory role for TRAF6 [30]. These opposing results demonstrate that TRAF functions may be diverse and depend indeed on stimulus and cell type warranting a disease-based evaluation [8]. Of note, TRAF6-competent and -deficient macrophages responded similarly to stimulation with cholesterol and acid palmitic acid suggesting that our findings are not due to a TRAF6-dependent difference in inflammatory reactivity.

Recently, Lutgens et al. found reduced atherosclerotic lesion formation in mice lacking the binding site for TRAF6 on CD40 in monocytes/macrophages [31]. Our data are not in contrast with this finding. Specific CD40-TRAF6 signaling may vary well be pro-atherogenic while overall signaling through TRAF6 by various upstream receptors may have no net effect on atherogenesis.

![Figure 2. Mice reconstituted with TRAF6-deficient fetal liver cells and TRAF6 heterozygous mice express less TRAF6 than controls.](http://example.com/image.png)
Indeed, several of the upstream binding partners such as CD40L, IL1β, and TLR4 are well known propagators of atherogenesis [6,7,32]. However, TRAF6 also interacts with proteins known to attenuate atherosclerotic lesion formation: recently it could be shown, that TLR5- deficient mice develop a metabolic syndrome [33]. Moreover, Miller et al. reported an anti-atherogenic effect of IL-33 [34], which also signals through TRAF6 [35]. Thus, TRAF6 may initiate anti-inflammatory signals outweighing its pro-inflammatory attributes. In line with this notion, we previously demonstrated increased expression of IL-6, MCP-1, and IL-8 in the supernatants of TRAF6-silenced human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVEC) upon stimulation with CD40L and TNFα in endothelial cells and bone marrow-derived macrophages [23].

Several studies implicate TRAF6 in the recruitment and function of mononuclear cells [21,31]. Mukundan et al. reported that TRAF6 is crucial in CD40-mediated activation of ERK1/2 NFκB, and inflammatory cytokine production [20]. However, these findings were not reflected by a change in lesional macrophage content in our study. Not only did plaque size not differ between the study groups but also lesion composition was similar in the present study, again suggesting that the pro-inflammatory features of TRAF6 are counterbalanced in atherogenesis.

![Figure 3. Weights, cholesterol-, and leukocyte levels before and after high cholesterol diet.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0011589.g003)
Since we previously observed increased expression of TRAF6 in human carotid plaques we tested whether TRAF6 mRNA levels in blood associate with chronic or acute coronary heart disease. We observed no significant difference in TRAF6 expression between the tested groups: no coronary heart disease (no CHD), stable coronary heart disease (CHD) and acute coronary syndrome (ACS), corroborating our findings obtained in mice.

Our study has several limitations: First, we cannot rule out that the lower cholesterol levels observed in animals receiving TRAF6-deficient bone marrow mask a putative effect of TRAF6 deficiency. This is, however, unlikely since previous reports mainly suggest a pro-inflammatory function of TRAF6 and therefore one would expect reduced levels of atherosclerosis in mice deficient in TRAF6. Lower cholesterol levels also predispose for smaller lesions. Therefore, these should not impair the results of our study. Furthermore, we found no

---

**Table 1. Subtypes of blood leukocytes.**

|                      | TRAF6<sup>+/+</sup>/LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> | TRAF6<sup>+</sup>/LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> | TRAF6<sup>+/−</sup>/LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> | TRAF6<sup>+/−</sup>/LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> | TRAF6<sup>−/−</sup>/LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> | TRAF6<sup>−/−</sup>/LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| CD4+ % of T-cells    | 51.2±6.7                             | 55.9±3.5                          | 65.9±1.1                        | 63.6±2.5                        | 65.0±1.3                        |
| CD8+ % of T-cells    | 37.0±2.6                             | 38.1±3.5                          | 28.2±1.0                        | 28.3±5.0                        | 28.5±3.4                        |
| T-reg % of T-cells   | 3.7±2.3                              | 3.4±2.3                           | 3.4±2.4                         | 4.5±2.0                         | 6.6                             |
| B-cells/ % of leukocytes | 23.9±13.0                           | 23.8±4.7                          | 20.6±2.2                        | 19.5±4.1                        | 20.9                             |
| Inflammatory monocytes % of total monocytes | 65.6±19.7                           | 67.4±13.8                         | 65.0±12.2                       | 63.4±23.4                       | 70.6                             |

---

**Figure 4. TRAF6 deficiency does not modulate atherogenesis in mice.** Lethally irradiated 6 week old TRAF6<sup>+/−</sup>/LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> mice received TRAF6-deficient (hatched bars, N = 21) or competent fetal liver cells (white bars, N = 21), TRAF6<sup>−/−</sup>/LDLR<sup>−/−</sup> mice received TRAF6-deficient fetal liver cells (black bars, N = 22) only. Subsequently, all groups consumed high cholesterol diet (HCD) for 18 weeks. Intimal lesion area of the atherosclerotic plaques in aortic roots was quantified. Pooled mean intimal lesion area ± SEM are shown as graphs in the upper panel (A), representative sections stained with oil red O below (B). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0011589.g004
evidence for a TRAF6-dependent difference in inflammatory reactivity of macrophages, which could also mask a putative effect of TRAF6 in our model. Secondly, since γ-irradiation itself profoundly influences the development of atherosclerotic lesions we cannot rule out that this affects our results [25]. However, all groups were treated equally. Therefore, differences should still be detected between the groups.

In summary, we present the novel and surprising finding that TRAF6 deficiency does not influence atherogenesis in mice and does not associate with atherosclerosis in humans. Therefore, overall targeting of TRAF6 may not be a promising treatment strategy for atherosclerosis and probably also other chronic inflammatory diseases.
Materials and Methods

Genotyping and housing of Mice

All animal procedures were approved by the Animal Board of Freiburg (Regierungspräsidium Freiburg, permit number G05/41). TRAF6+/− mice were kindly provided by Dr. T. W. Mak and fully backcrossed to C57/BL6 background as verified by background strain characterization at Jackson laboratories. Mice were crossed with LDLR−/− mice (Jackson) to generate TRAF6−/−/LDLR−/− and TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice. Genotyping of each mouse used polymerase chain reaction employing the following primers: LDLR, 5′-CAG TAT GCA TCC CCA GTC TT-3′ (common primer), 5′-GGG ATG CAT ACA TTC ACT GC-3′ (wild-type primer), 5′-ATT CCA TCT TGT TCA ATG GCC CAT C-3′ (mutant primer); TRAF6, 5′-CTG CAG TGA AAT AGT AGC GCG TGA GT-3′ (wild-type); 5′-CGA AGT GCC CAG CGG GGC TGC TAA AG-3′ (neo), 5′-AGG GAA GGA AGC CTC TGT TCA TAC CG-3′ (common). All mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions.

Fetal Liver Cell Transplantation

Fetal livers were obtained 17 days after conception from fetuses of TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice. One arm was used for genotyping. Four week-old male TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− and TRAF6−/−/LDLR−/− recipient mice were lethally irradiated with two doses of 450 cGy at a 6 h interval (Gammacell Exactor 40). Fetal livers were suspended with a pipette, filtered through a 100 μm cell strainer (BD bioscience), centrifuged, resuspended, and injected at 10⁶ cells/300 μl into the tail vein. Transplanted mice received chow diet for four weeks allowing for reconstitution. To verify reconstitution, fetal liver cells from CD45.1 mice were transplanted into CD45.2 mice and reconstitution rates were assessed by FACS after 4 weeks.

Fluorescence-activated cell sorter analysis (FACS)

FACS analysis was performed as described previously [36].

High cholesterol diet and harvest

After four weeks of reconstitution recipient mice consumed a high-cholesterol diet (HCD) for 18 weeks (Sniff based on Research Diets D12108). Subsequently, mice were euthanized, hearts and aortas were removed, and histologically prepared as described previously [29,36].

Lipoprotein measurement and leukocyte count

Blood samples were collected by retro-orbital puncture before and at the end of HCD after an overnight starvation. Serum total cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations were assayed by commercially available enzymatic assays according to the manufacturer’s protocols (CHOL-H L and Triglyceride L-Type from WAKO).

RNA extraction, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative real-time PCR

Harvested organs were stored in RNAlater (Qiagen) at −80°C. RNA was extracted from murine aortas and spleens using TRIzol Reagent (invitrogen) utilizing a modified protocol. Homogenization was performed using a rotor-stator dispergator (IKA). 1 μg of total RNA was transcribed into cDNA using the Transcriptor 1st Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche). The cDNA obtained was subjected to quantitative real-time PCR with a Roche LightCycler 480 using the LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche). mGAPDH served as endogenous control. Amplification of potential genomic DNA contamination was ruled out by using intron-spanning primer pairs and subsequent reanalysis through melting curve analysis. The following primers were employed: mGAPDH: 5′-TGC ACC ACC AAC TGC TTA G-3′ (forward) and 5′-GAT GCA GGG ATG ATG TTC T-3′ (reverse); mTraF6: 5′-TGT TCT TGT TAG TGT GGG TGG TGT-3′ (forward) and 5′-GAA

Figure 6. TRAF6 deficiency does not alter lipid deposition in the abdominal aorta. Lethally irradiated 6 week old TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice received TRAF6-deficient (hatched bars, N = 10) or competent fetal liver cells (black bars, N = 10) only. Subsequently, all groups consumed high-cholesterol diet (HCD) for 18 weeks (Ssniff based on Research Diets D12108). Subsequently, mice were euthanized, hearts and aortas were removed, and histologically prepared as described previously [29,36].

Materials and Methods

Genotyping and housing of Mice

All animal procedures were approved by the Animal Board of Freiburg (Regierungspräsidium Freiburg, permit number G05/41). TRAF6+/− mice were kindly provided by Dr. T. W. Mak and fully backcrossed to C57/BL6 background as verified by background strain characterization at Jackson laboratories. Mice were crossed with LDLR−/− mice (Jackson) to generate TRAF6−/−/LDLR−/− and TRAF6+/−/LDLR−/− mice. Genotyping of each mouse used polymerase chain reaction employing the following primers: LDLR, 5′-CAG TAT GCA TCC CCA GTC TT-3′ (common primer), 5′-GGG ATG CAT ACA TTC ACT GC-3′ (wild-type primer), 5′-ATT CCA TCT TGT TCA ATG GCC CAT C-3′ (mutant primer); TRAF6, 5′-CTG CAG TGA AAT AGT AGC GCG TGA GT-3′ (wild-type); 5′-CGA AGT GCC CAG CGG GGC TGC TAA AG-3′ (neo), 5′-AGG GAA GGA AGC CTC TGT TCA TAC CG-3′ (common). All mice were housed under specific pathogen-free conditions.
For normalization, the ratio of mTRAF6/mGAPDH was calculated. P values lower than 0.05 were considered significant.

Oil red O staining for lipids of cryostat sections and abdominal aortas

Frozen sections were air dried, fixed in 10% formalin for 10 min, washed, submerged in 100% propyleneglycol (Fisher scientific), incubated in oil red O (Sigma-Aldrich) for 25 min at 60°C, dipped into 0.25% ammonia H₂O (EM Science), and coverslipped with glycerol gelatine (Sigma-Aldrich). Abdominal aortas were fixed with 10% formalin, opened longitudinally, pinned, stained with oil red O solution (2.5 h, RT), and washed with 85% propylene glycol.

Immunohistochemistry

Cryostat sections (6 μm) of mouse aortic roots were air-dried, fixed in acetone at −20°C, incubated with 0.3% H₂O₂, blocked with 4% rabbit serum (Vector Laboratories), incubated with primary antibodies (anti-mac-3, anti-α-actin, and anti-CD4 from Pharmingen), incubated with corresponding secondary antibodies (Vector Laboratories and Sigma-Aldrich), washed, incubated with avidin-biotin complex (Vector Laboratories), developed with 3-amino-9-ethylcarbazole (DAKO), counterstained with hematoxylin (Sigma-Aldrich), and coverslipped with glycerol gelatine (Sigma-Aldrich) as described previously [29]. Controls for specificity used IgG controls (Pharmingen, Dako).

Picrosirius Red Staining for Type I Collagen

Air dried and formalin-fixed frozen sections were incubated for 3 h in 0.1% solution of picrosirius red (Polysciences) in saturated aqueous picric acid (Sigma-Aldrich). Slides were rinsed twice in 0.01 N HCl and distilled water, dehydrated in 70%, 95%, 100% ethanol, incubated in xylene, and mounted in Permount (Vector Laboratories). Picrosirius red staining was analyzed by polarization microscopy (Edmund Industrial Optics).

Macrophage preparation and stimulation with free fatty acids and cholesterol

6 weeks after transplantation mice were euthanized and bones were removed. Bone marrow was flushed out, cells were cleaned
up using ficoll (Biochrom AG, Biocoll Separating Solution), and differentiated to macrophages with 50 ng/ml and subsequently 25 ng/ml M-CSF for 3 days each. Finally, macrophages were stimulated after 24 h starvation with 0.75 μM palmitic acid (Sigma Aldrich) respectively 4 mg/ml cholesterol diluted in ethanol and BSA (Bovine Serum Albumin). The appropriate amount of ethanol and BSA was added to the control. Supernatant was collected and analyzed with cytometric bead array as previously described [25].

Data analysis
Morphometric calculations of the tissue sections were analyzed by a blinded observer using image pro plus 5.1 (MediaCybernetics). Data were presented as mean ± SEM. Comparison of the respective study groups used the Student’s two-tailed t-test. The p-value refers to the control group and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Clinical study
325 patients undergoing coronary angiography were included in the Tumor Necrosis Factor Receptor associated factors in Cardiovascular Risk Study (TRAFICS) approved by the local Institutional Review Board (ethical committees: Ethikkommission der Albert- Ludwigs- Universität Freiburg, permit numbers EK 57/06 and EK 379/09). After written informed consent, blood was drawn from all patients and total blood RNA was isolated by Qiagen PAXgene blood RNA kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Demographic and clinical characteristics were documented. Patients were divided into three groups: no coronary heart disease (No CHD), stable coronary heart disease (CHD), and acute coronary syndrome (ACS). 1 μg RNA was transcribed into cDNA with use of the transcriptor 1st strand cDNA synthesis kit (Roche). The cDNA obtained was subjected to quantitative real-time-PCR with a Roche Light Cycler using the Light Cycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche). As endogenous control, GAPDH was employed. Conditions for quantification of TRAF6 mRNA were 5’-TTG TGC TAG TGC CCT CGA GAA-3’ (forward) and 5’-CTG GAG GAA AAA CTG GGG TGA-3’ (reverse), 45 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 6 s at 60°C (57°C), and 7 s (10 s) at 72°C. Conditions for quantification of GAPDH mRNA were: 5’-GGA GGT GAA GGT GGT AGT C-3’ (forward) and 5’-GAA GAT GGT GAT GGG ATG TC-3’ (reverse), 45 cycles of 10 s at 95°C, 6 s at 57°C, 10 s at 72°C. For normalization, the ratio of TRAF6/GAPDH copy numbers was calculated. Only real-time PCRs with an efficiency >1.9 and an error <0.05 were analyzed. Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS for Windows. Normal distribution of variables was tested with the Shapiro Wilks test. Differences across groups were compared by ANOVA followed by the Bonferroni post hoc test for normal variables and the Kruskal Wallis test. Results are presented as mean ± standard deviation.
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