Screening strategies for the diagnosis of asymptomatic *Leishmania* infection in dialysis patients as a model for kidney transplant candidates
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Abstract
Despite being considered a tropical disease, visceral leishmaniasis (VL) caused by *L. infantum* is also endemic in the Mediterranean Europe and represents an increasing cause of morbidity and mortality in solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients. VL occurring in kidney transplant recipients is a severe event, often worsening the renal damage and leading to poor outcome. It is believed that most of VL cases in transplant recipients are caused by reactivation of a pre-existent, dormant leishmanial infection induced by the immunosuppressive drugs. Nevertheless, the prevalence of asymptomatic *Leishmania* infection in candidates to kidney transplant residing in or visiting endemic areas is unknown. As *L. infantum* is highly circulating in northeastern Italy, we aimed to examine the occurrence of this parasitic infection in 119 dialysis patients living in the mentioned area, 71 of whom were potential candidates to kidney transplant. By employing a combination of sensitive serological and molecular methods, we observed a prevalence of 15.9% asymptomatic *Leishmania* infection in the study cohort. This finding emphasizes the need of further evaluating potential screening strategies for *Leishmania* infection in solid organ transplant candidates residing in or visiting endemic areas.
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Introduction

Leishmaniasis is a chronic infectious disease caused by protozoa of the genus *Leishmania* [1]. The parasites are spread through the bite of infected phlebotomine sand flies and mostly target reticuloendothelial cells. The clinical spectrum of disease depends on the parasite species and on the host immune response, varying from asymptomatic infection (80–95% of infected individuals) to three different clinical manifestations (5–20% of cases): visceral leishmaniasis (VL), cutaneous leishmaniasis and mucosal or mucocutaneous leishmaniasis. VL is a severe disease caused by *Leishmania* species belonging to the *Leishmania donovani* complex; in the Mediterranean Europe, VL is caused by *L. infantum*. Immunosuppression may reactivate latent leishmanial infection [2] and among HIV-infected patients the risk of clinical VL is increased by over 100 times. In recent years, an increase of VL cases has also been reported in solid organ transplant (SOT) recipients [3, 4].

Among immunocompromised patients, cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis are rare, whereas VL is the dominant clinical presentation [4]. The prevalence of VL among SOT recipients is 0.05–0.9% in endemic areas [5] and more than 100 VL cases following transplantation—mainly kidney transplant—have been reported worldwide up to 2017 [6]. The classic triad of fever, splenomegaly, and pancytopenia only occur in one third of
SOT patients, leading to difficulties in diagnosis, in particular, splenomegaly is reported less frequently than in immunocompetent patients [4, 6]. In kidney transplant recipients, leishmaniasis often includes acute interstitial nephritis with moderate inflammation and infiltration of lymphocytes, plasma cells and macrophages and can lead to graft dysfunction [7]. Moreover, VL in SOT exhibits high relapse and mortality rates [2, 3]. The most common cause of death in immunocompromised patients with VL is the presence of concomitant bacterial, viral or fungal infections [4, 8].

Currently, screening of the candidates to SOT for Leishmania infection in endemic areas is not recommended [2, 5, 9], but a strict monitoring is suggested in case a Leishmania-positive recipient is found for potential reactivation of this parasitic infection [6].

The aim of this study was to investigate the presence of clinically silent Leishmania infection in candidates to SOT. We analyzed the prevalence of asymptomatic Leishmania infection by serological and molecular tests in a cohort of patients with end stage renal disease (ESRD) undergoing dialysis treatment, most of whom were potential candidates to kidney transplant. The study was performed in the Bologna province, northeastern Italy, which is an endemic area for human leishmaniasis [10].

Detection of anti-Leishmania antibodies

The presence of specific anti-Leishmania IgG was assessed by Western Blot (WB). All sera were analyzed by a commercially available Leishmania Western Blot IgG (LDBio Diagnostics®, Lyon, France) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. WB technique provides detailed antibody responses to the leishmanial 14-kDa and 16-kDa proteins of L. infantum. The presence of specific antibody to p14 and/or p16 proteins was considered as a positive result [11].

Detection of parasitic DNA

A PCR assay based on kinetoplast (k)DNA amplification was developed for detection of Leishmania DNA in whole blood samples. Nucleic acids were extracted from 100 µL whole blood with NucliSENS easyMAG (Biomerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). DNA was eluted in 50 µL of elution buffer. DNA was amplified employing a PCR targeting leishmanial kinetoplast (k)DNA [12]. Primers (15 pmol of RV1 5′-CTTTTCGGTCCTCCTCCGGGTAGG-3′, 15 pmol of RV2 5′-CCACCCGCGATATTTACACCAA-3′) were synthesized by PrimmBiotech (Milan, Italy) and 50 pmol of TaqMan probe (FAM-TTT TCG CAG AAC GCC CCT ACC CGC -TAMRA) were synthesized by IDTDNA (Leuven, Belgium). β2-microglobulin Real-time PCR assay was run simultaneously as a control of amplification of the extracted DNA. The Real-time PCR assays were performed using the Real Time PCR Cycler Rotor Gene 3000 (Qiagen, Hilden Germany). Real Time PCR was considered positive for Leishmania DNA when an amplification curve at threshold cycle (tC) below 40 was present.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze demographic and clinical characteristics. Chi-squared test was used to analyze potential correlation between detection of Leishmania infection and gender. Significance was established at p < 0.05.

Results

We obtained clinical samples from 119 ESRD adult patients in dialysis treatment at the St.Orsola-Malpighi University Hospital (Bologna, Italy). Seventy-one out of 119 patients (60%) were potential candidates to kidney transplant. The age of patients ranged from 20 to 94 years, with a mean age of 70, with a male-to-female ratio of 73:46. Most of patients were Caucasian (92%), with a percentage of 2% of Asian, 5% of African and 1% of unknown origin.
main causes of ESRD in the cohort of patients were diabetic nephropathy (28%) and hypertensive vascular nephropathy (18%). Twenty-three percent of patients had a nephropathy of unknown origin. Demographic and clinical data of the study cohort are reported in Table 1.

Nineteen out of 119 patients (15.9%) were positive at the serological and/or molecular test for *Leishmania* infection; the mean age of positive patients was 69 years and the male-to-female ratio was 14:5. There was no significant correlation between presence of asymptomatic *Leishmania* infection and gender ($\chi^2 = 1.45, p$ value = 0.23). None of the Asian patients was positive for the parasitic infection, while 33% of African patients and 15% of Caucasian patients were positive for at least one *Leishmania* test. Results of WB analysis showed the presence of specific antibody to 14 and/or 16 kDa proteins, indicating the presence of anti-*Leishmania* IgG in 17 ESRD patients (14.3-95% CI 8—20.4%) (Table 2).

In 3 patients’ sera, both bands were detected, while antibodies against p14 or p16 were found in 13 and 1 serum sample, respectively. Circulating *Leishmania* kDNA was detected in peripheral blood from 3 out of 119 ESRD patients (2.5–95% CI 0.3–5.3%); only 1 patient showed simultaneous detection of anti-leishmanial IgG (WB reactivity to 14 kDa protein) and parasitic DNA in peripheral blood. None of the patients

### Table 1
Demographic variables and clinical data of patients with end stage renal disease

| Age, years (range) | Total (119 pts)a | No of positive pts to *Leishmania* infection (19) | No. of negative pts to *Leishmania* infection (100) |
|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| 20–34              | 2 (2%)          | 1 (5%)                                        | 1 (1%)                                        |
| 35–49              | 8 (7%)          | 0 (0%)                                        | 8 (8%)                                        |
| 50–64              | 23 (19%)        | 5 (26%)                                       | 18 (18%)                                      |
| 65–79              | 51 (43%)        | 7 (37%)                                       | 44 (44%)                                      |
| > 80               | 33 (28%)        | 6 (32%)                                       | 27 (27%)                                      |
| Unknown            | 2 (2%)          | 0 (0%)                                        | 2 (2%)                                        |
| Mean age, years    | 70              | 69                                            | 79                                            |
| Gender             |                 |                                               |                                               |
| Female             | 46 (39%)        | 5 (26%)                                       | 41 (41%)                                      |
| Male               | 73 (61%)        | 14 (74%)                                      | 59 (59%)                                      |
| Origin             |                 |                                               |                                               |
| Caucasian          | 110 (92%)       | 17 (89%)                                      | 93 (93%)                                      |
| Asian              | 2 (2%)          | 0 (0%)                                        | 2 (2%)                                        |
| African            | 6 (5%)          | 2 (11%)                                       | 4 (4%)                                        |
| Unknown            | 1 (1%)          | 0 (0%)                                        | 1 (1%)                                        |
| Cause of ESRD      |                 |                                               |                                               |
| Diabetic nephropathy | 33 (28%)       | 4 (21%)                                       | 29 (29%)                                      |
| Glomerular disease  | 13 (11%)        | 2 (11%)                                       | 11 (11%)                                      |
| Hypertensive vascular nephropathy | 21 (18%) | 6 (32%)                                       | 15 (15%)                                      |
| Interstitial disease | 16 (13%)      | 1 (5%)                                        | 15 (15%)                                      |
| Polycystic kidney disease | 9 (8%)     | 1 (5%)                                        | 8 (8%)                                        |
| Unknown            | 27 (23%)        | 5 (26%)                                       | 22 (22%)                                      |
| Dialysis vintage, years (range) |         |                                               |                                               |
| 0–3                | 47 (39%)        | 10 (53%)                                      | 37 (37%)                                      |
| 4–7                | 35 (29%)        | 4 (21%)                                       | 31 (31%)                                      |
| 7–10               | 17 (14%)        | 2 (11%)                                       | 15 (15%)                                      |
| > 10               | 20 (17%)        | 3 (16%)                                       | 17 (17%)                                      |

Percentages are calculated based on the total number for each column

aPts; patients, bNo.; number

### Table 2
Western Blot and Real Time PCR to detect asymptomatic *Leishmania* infection in patients with end stage renal disease

|         | WB Pos | WB Neg | PCR Pos | PCR Neg |
|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| No. (%) |      17 (14.3) | 102 (85.7) | 3 (2.5) | 116 (97.5) |

WB; Western Blot. PCR; polymerase chain reaction. Pos; positive. Neg; negative. No; number

In 3 patients’ sera, both bands were detected, while antibodies against p14 or p16 were found in 13 and 1 serum sample, respectively. Circulating *Leishmania* kDNA was detected in peripheral blood from 3 out of 119 ESRD patients (2.5–95% CI 0.3–5.3%); only 1 patient showed simultaneous detection of anti-leishmanial IgG (WB reactivity to 14 kDa protein) and parasitic DNA in peripheral blood. None of the patients
testing positive to anti-leishmanial antibody and/or parasitic DNA showed signs or symptoms compatible with VL or tegumentary leishmaniasis.

Discussion

To our knowledge, there are no studies on the prevalence of asymptomatic Leishmania infection in kidney transplant candidates. Here, we detected the presence of clinically silent Leishmania infection in 15.9% of ESRD patients in dialysis treatment. As 60% of these patients were potential recipients for kidney transplant, this study group might be considered as a model for candidates to SOT. Leishmania-specific antibodies were revealed by WB in serum samples obtained from 17 patients, which showed antibody to 14 and/or 16 kDa proteins, while parasitic DNA was detected in blood samples of 3 patients by kDNA amplification. Overall, our results suggest an important cumulative exposure to Leishmania in the examined cohort, which may potentially lead to parasitic reactivation upon transplantation.

A recent study from southern Spain showed a prevalence of 4.8% of asymptomatic Leishmania infection in renal transplant recipients [13]; differently from this study, we enrolled dialyzed patients who did not receive immunosuppressive drugs. The lower prevalence of Leishmania infection observed in the Spanish study as compared to our study can be related to a variable circulation of the parasite in different endemic areas or to diversity of methods employed for parasite screening. Considering the latter possibility, the indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFAT) employed by Elmahallawy and colleagues could have underestimated the infection rate in asymptomatic carriers. In fact, evidence indicates that IFAT exhibits a lower sensitivity than WB and sera from VL patients recognize the 14–16-kD bands in WB at concentrations 10^7 lower than the threshold values of IFAT [11]. As using a combination of tests has been reported to increase the capacity to detect asymptomatic Leishmania infection [1], we employed the most sensitive serological method, namely WB [9, 11, 14] together with a molecular test.

Leishmania infection may be transmitted to transplant recipients by different ways, including the donor allograft, the transfusion of blood products, a de novo posttransplant infection or through reactivation of pretransplant infection, the latter generally considered the most common route of infection [2, 15]. Nevertheless, studies focusing on parasite route of transmission in SOT are lacking. In addition, there is no consensus recommendation to screen the organ transplant recipients for Leishmania infection in endemic areas [2, 5, 9]. Furthermore, different methods are available to detect asymptomatic Leishmania infection, including serology, molecular tests and ex vivo whole blood stimulation with parasitic antigens combined with specific cytokine release assay [1, 16], but no gold standard method has been defined so far [5, 17]. On the other hand, updated guidelines recommend that those known to be Leishmania-seropositive at the time of transplant should be monitored closely for potential reactivation of the parasitic infection [5].

Considering the high prevalence of Leishmania infection among potential kidney transplant recipients detected in the current study, we emphasize the importance of further evaluating sensitive tools for screening this hemoflagellate protozoan in SOT candidates in endemic areas.
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