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Within 5 months after the earliest detection of human influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus, we found molecular and culture evidence of the virus in healthy US show pigs. The mixing of humans and pigs at swine shows possibly could further the geographic and cross-species spread of influenza A viruses.

Cross-species infections with influenza A viruses readily occur between humans and pigs. Pigs often have been infected by human epidemic viruses (1), and swine workers and their family members are at increased risk for swine influenza virus (SIV) infection (2–4). We studied swine shows as a setting for influenza A virus transmission (5).

The Study

After acquiring informed consent, we recruited persons >7 years of age showing pigs at 3 state fairs in Minnesota (2008, 2009) and South Dakota (2009). Exhibitors were eligible for the study if they reported working with pigs at least 1 cumulative hour per week and had no current immunocompromising condition. Enrolled participants completed a questionnaire and permitted collection of nasal swab specimens from their show pigs. Before data were collected, multiple institutional review boards, the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Minnesota and the University of Iowa, and state fair officials approved the study.

We used the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; Atlanta, GA, USA) real-time reverse transcription PCR (rRT-PCR) (6) to screen for influenza A virus. Swab specimens (run in duplicate) with cycle threshold (Ct) values <35 were considered positive for influenza A virus; specimens with Ct values of 35 to <40 were suspected to be positive; and specimens with Ct values >40 were considered negative. In a blinded fashion, aliquots of swab specimens from pigs were shared with the Minnesota Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (St. Paul, MN, USA), where rRT-PCRs for matrix, hemagglutinin (HA), and neuraminidase (NA) genes were performed. Specimens were then shared with the National Veterinary Services Laboratory (Ames, IA, USA) and later with CDC for further molecular and sequencing studies.

Positive and suspected-positive rRT-PCR specimens were cultured in shell vials on MDCK cells by using standard techniques. Sequence-based analyses of the influenza A virus isolates were performed by the CDC influenza division, using full or partial genome sequencing approaches for all 8 gene segments. Sequences were compared by using BLASTn alignment search techniques (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov).

Questionnaires were completed by 121 (98%) participants. Participants were predominantly male (71%), and their median age was 34.9 years (range 9–75 years); 24% of participants were <18 years of age. Some pig exhibitors were children with <1 year of pig exposure (Table); others were pig farmers with numerous years of pig exposure. Participants reported an average of 18.7 years of pig exposure.

Nasal swab specimens were collected from a total of 149 pigs (from Minnesota, 47 in 2008 and 57 in 2009; from South Dakota, 45 in 2009). Almost all (97%) swabbed pigs were <1 year of age, and 40% were female. All pigs were observed to be healthy by a veterinarian before they were permitted to enter the show.

In 2008, nasal swab specimens from show pigs showed no molecular or viral culture evidence of influenza A virus. However, in 2009, a number of pigs were positive for influenza A virus. Comparing the molecular results of the 3 laboratories and using conservative rRT-PCR result interpretations on which all laboratories agreed, we determined that influenza A virus was detected in 12 (12%) of 102 swine respiratory samples by rRT-PCR; 11 (19%) of these were from among the 57 pigs swabbed at the Minnesota state fair (Figure). Viral culture yielded 7 influenza isolates, 5 of which were recultured and sequenced by CDC. Sequence for 4 of the isolates (A/Swine/Minnesota/074A/2009, A/Swine/Minnesota/115A/2009, A/Swine/Minnesota/130A/2009, and A/Swine/Minnesota/136B/2009) were similar to influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 viral gene sequences: identity scores ranged from 99.8% to 100% for polymerase basic 1, polymerase basic 2, polymerase acidic protein, HA, nucleocapsid protein, NA,
matrix, and nonstructural protein genes (Global Initiative on Sharing Avian Influenza Data [GISAID] accession nos. EPI295284–EPI295327). The fifth isolate (A/Swine/South Dakota/152B/2009) was cultured from an asymptomatic pig at the South Dakota state fair. After sequence studies of the HA and NA genes, this isolate was classified as a triple reassortant H1N2 virus (GISAID accession nos. EPI295328–EPI295335) similar to recent US swine isolates.

A follow-up telephone survey of study participants identified 2 with influenza-like illness (ILI) within 7 days after the fair: an adult, with ILI with onset 1 day after his pigs arrived at the fair (and 4 days before pig swabbing), and his daughter who developed an ILI on the last day of the fair (3 days after pig swabbing). Three pigs exhibited by the child tested positive for influenza A virus.

Conclusions

We found a 19% prevalence of influenza A virus among the 57 show pigs swabbed at the 2009 Minnesota state fair, which occurred during the second wave of the 2009 pandemic. Temporal analysis of the results indicated that most pigs with rRT-PCR–positive results were sampled within 24 hours after arriving at the fair, suggesting that they probably were infected before their arrival (Figure). None of the pigs with molecular or viral culture evidence of influenza A virus infection had clinical signs of influenza illness at the time of sampling. This finding validates previous pig–linked human cases (5,7) and suggests that exposure to apparently healthy pigs at shows is a possible source of influenza A virus transmission.

These detections of A(H1N1)pdm09 virus in the United States (reflecting the ease of transmission from humans to pigs) were soon followed by multiple other detections in US pigs (8,9) and in numerous pigs in other countries. Such observations are now common (10), leading to speculation that just as the human-origin subtype H3N2 virus variant became enzootic in pigs (11), the A(H1N1)pdm09 virus and related viruses are now enzootic. Our findings of asymptomatic A(H1N1)pdm09 virus infections in pigs is supported by other data suggesting that as few as 10% of infected pigs might show clinical signs of A(H1N1)pdm09 virus infection (12).

Of concern is that new reassortants between A(H1N1)pdm09, enzootic SIVs, and possibly other human- or avian-origin viruses might emerge and possibly spread to humans who have contact with asymptomatic pigs (10,13,14). Clinicians who care for persons in whom influenza A illness develops, particularly when human influenza is not widely circulating, should ask about pig exposures and consider further molecular testing to rule out human infection with a nonhuman-origin influenza A virus. In addition, to minimize potential interspecies transmission of influenza viruses, it might be prudent to develop guidelines for the exhibition of pigs.

Because of the possibility of novel virus generation in pigs and of human-to-pig and pig-to-human transmission of influenza virus, routine influenza A virus surveillance

| Characteristic | No. (%)
|----------------|--------|
| State fair where enrolled | |
| Minnesota | 90 (73.2) |
| South Dakota | 33 (26.8) |
| Year enrolled | |
| 2008 | 48 (39.0) |
| 2009 | 75 (61.0) |
| Age group, y* | |
| <8 | 29 (23.6) |
| 18–39 | 37 (30.1) |
| 40–59 | 46 (37.4) |
| >60 | 8 (6.5) |
| Sex* | |
| M | 86 (69.9) |
| F | 35 (28.5) |
| Work performed in the last 30 d† | |
| Unemployed | 8 (6.5) |
| Farmer | 108 (87.8) |
| Swine farmer | 100 (81.3) |
| Government employee | 8 (6.5) |
| Veterinarian/veterinary assistant | 2 (1.6) |
| Receipt of vaccination for human influenza in past 2 y* | |
| Yes | 35 (28.5) |
| No | 76 (61.8) |
| Unknown | 7 (5.7) |
| Respiratory illness in past 12 mo* | |
| Yes | 64 (52.0) |
| No | 55 (44.7) |
| Unknown | 2 (1.6) |
| Worked with swine, y* | |
| <1 | 3 (2.4) |
| 1–4 | 10 (8.1) |
| 5–10 | 39 (31.7) |
| >10 | 50 (40.7) |

*These covariates have missing data.
†Responses are not mutually exclusive.

Table. Characteristics of 123 persons enrolled in study of influenza A virus among show pigs, Minnesota and South Dakota state fairs, 2008 and 2009.

Figure. Show pigs with nasal swab specimens positive for influenza A virus by real-time reverse transcription PCR, Minnesota and South Dakota state fairs, 2009. MN, Minnesota; SD, South Dakota. White, total number of pigs swabbed; gray, pigs testing positive for influenza A by real-time reverse transcription PCR.
among pigs and influenza A virus testing of ill persons exposed to pigs is needed to ensure timely detection of novel influenza viruses in humans and pigs (4). Early detection is essential for development of effective vaccines and initiation of other means to prevent the spread of novel influenza A viruses. However, considerable barriers exist to conducting surveillance in pigs and pig-exposed persons, not the least of which is the threat that such surveillance could economically harm the pork industry (10). To improve influenza surveillance, additional ways are needed for pig farmers, the pork industry, the US Department of Agriculture, and public health professionals to collaborate: Swine workers, food animal veterinarians, and persons involved in raising show pigs are at high risk for zoonotic influenza infection (4,15). They should be strongly encouraged to receive seasonal influenza vaccines and to take measures to reduce zoonotic disease transmission, including using personal protective equipment. They also should limit their contact with pigs when they or the pigs have symptoms of respiratory illness (4,10).

Acknowledgments

For their technical support of this study, we thank Clint McDaniel, Danielle Peters, Ben Anderson, Mark G. LeBeck, Troy McCarthy, Dwight Ferguson, Sharon Setterquist, Brianna Dannen, Ben Henkle, Ana W. Capuano, Margaret Celebrezze, Marie Gramer, Peter Nielson, Rod Geppert, Michael Shaw, Bo Shu, Alexander Klimov, Catherine B. Smith, Sabrina L. Swenson, Beverly J. Schmitt, and numerous graduate students from the following institutions: Emerging Pathogens Institute, University of Florida; Center for Emerging Infectious Diseases, University of Iowa; Center for Animal Health and Food Safety, University of Minnesota; University of Minnesota Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory; and College of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, South Dakota State University. We also thank the CDC Influenza Sequence Activity for performing sequence analyses for 5 of the viruses detected in pigs reported herein.

This work was supported by a grant (5U19 CI000407-02) from CDC through the University of Minnesota’s International Cooperative Zoonotic Influenza Research Center and indirectly by grants from the US Department of Defense Global Emerging Infectious Systems and Response Program (G.C.G.) and from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (R01 AI068803; G.C.G.).

Dr Gray is professor and chair of the Department of Environmental and Global Health in the College of Public Health and Health Professions at the University of Florida in Gainesville, Florida, USA. His primary research interest involves US and international studies of zoonotic pathogen epidemiology at the human–animal nexus.

References

1. Ma W, Lager KM, Vincent AL, Janke BH, Gramer MR, Richt JA. The role of swine in the generation of novel influenza viruses. Zoonoses Public Health. 2009;56:326–37. doi:10.1111/j.1863-2378.2008.01217.x
2. Robinson JL, Lee BE, Patel J, Bastien N, Grimsrud K, Seal RF, et al. Swine influenza (H3N2) infection in a child and possible community transmission, Canada. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:1865–70. doi:10.3201/eid1312.070615
3. Olsen CW, Brammer L, Easterday BC, Arden N, Belay E, Baker I, et al. Serologic evidence of H1 swine Influenza virus infection in swine farm residents and employees. Emerg Infect Dis. 2002;8:814–9. doi:10.3201/eid0808.010474
4. Gray GC, McCarthy T, Capuano AW, Setterquist SF, Olsen CW, Alavanja MC. Swine workers and swine influenza virus infections. Emerg Infect Dis. 2007;13:1871–8. doi:10.3201/eid1312.061323
5. Shinde V, Bridges CB, Uyeki TM, Shu B, Balish A, Xu X, et al. Triple-reassortant swine influenza A (H1) in humans in the United States, 2005–2009. N Engl J Med. 2009;360:2616–25. doi:10.1056/NEJMoA0903812
6. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. CDC realtime RT-PCR. (rRTPCR) protocol for detection and characterization of influenza (version 2007). CDC ref. no. I-007–05. Atlanta: The Centers; 2007.
7. Myers KP, Olsen CW, Gray GC. Cases of swine influenza in humans: a review of the literature. Clin Infect Dis. 2007;44:1084–8. doi:10.1086/512813
8. Yassine HM, Khatri M, Zhang YJ, Lee CW, Byrum BA, O’Quin J, et al. Characterization of triple reassortant H1N1 influenza A viruses from swine in Ohio. Vet Microbiol. 2009;139:132–9. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.04.028
9. Vincent AL, Swenson SL, Lager KM, Gauger PC, Loiacono C, Zhang Y. Characterization of an influenza A virus isolated from pigs during an outbreak of respiratory disease in swine and people during a county fair in the United States. Vet Microbiol. 2009;137:51–9. doi:10.1016/j.vetmic.2009.01.003
10. Gray GC, Baker WS. Editorial commentary: the problem with pigs: it’s not about bacon. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52:19–22. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq051
11. Vincent AL, Ma W, Lager KM, Janke BH, Richt JA. Swine influenza viruses a North American perspective. Adv Virus Res. 2008;72:127–54. doi:10.1016/S0065-3527(08)00403-X
12. Forgie SE, Keenliside J, Wilkinson C, Webby R, Lu P, Sorensen O, et al. Swine outbreak of pandemic Influenza A virus on a Canadian research farm supports human-to-swine transmission. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52:10–8. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq030
13. Kasowski EJ, Garten RJ, Bridges CB. Influenza pandemic epidemiologic and virologic diversity: reminding ourselves of the possibilities. Clin Infect Dis. 2011;52 Suppl 1:S44–9. doi:10.1093/cid/ciq010
14. Liu Q, Ma J, Liu H, Qi W, Anderson J, Henry SC, et al. Emergence of novel reassortant H3N2 swine influenza viruses with the 2009 pandemic H1N1 genes in the United States. Arch Virol. 2012;157:555–62.
15. Myers KP, Olsen CW, Setterquist SF, Capuano AW, Donham KJ, Thacker EL, et al. Are swine workers in the United States at increased risk of infection with zoonotic influenza virus? Clin Infect Dis. 2006;42:14–20. doi:10.1086/498977

Address for correspondence: Gregory C. Gray, Department of Environmental and Global Health, College of Public Health and Health Professions, University of Florida, Box 100188, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA; email: gcgray@phhp.ufl.edu