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ABSTRACT. In the talk [2] presented at Logic and Foundations section of ICM-2018, Rio de Janeiro, the authors analyze, under a model-theoretic perspective, three ways to enrich the real continuum by infinitesimal and infinite quantities. In the present work, we present a first model-theoretic connection of another (but related to the previous one) triple of structures: o-minimal structures, Hardy fields and smooth rings.

1. Introduction

According Matthias Aschenbrenner, Lou van den Dries and Joris van der Hoeven ([2]):

"Germs of real-valued functions, surreal numbers, and transseries are three ways to enrich the real continuum by infinitesimal and infinite quantities. Each of these comes with naturally interacting notions of ordering and derivative".

They examine this tripod by the model-theoretic analysis of the category of H-fields, which provides a common framework for these structures.

In the present (short) work, we give some model-theoretic connections among the elements of another tripod, although related to the previous one: o-minimal structures, Hardy fields and smooth rings.

Overview of the paper. In the first section we present the preliminary definitions and results on o-minimal structures, Hardy fields and $C^\infty$—rings needed in the sequel. Sections 2 and 3 presents the connections among the elements of the concerned tripod. A final section is devoted to the sketch of possible future works around this subject. In order to write a (brief though) reader-friendly text, we also include an appendix containing some basic results on extensions of real smooths functions.
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2. Preliminaries

For the reader’s convenience we provide below a simplified account on the three subjects presented in the title of this paper.

2.1. O-minimality. It is well-known that the theory of algebraically closed fields is strongly minimal, i.e. the definable unary subsets of an algebraically closed field are finite or cofinite: this is a direct consequence of the elimination of quantifiers.

Analogously, since the theory of real closed fields in the language of the ordered rings admits quantifier elimination, it is an o-minimal theory, i.e. the definable unary subsets of a real closed field are finite unions of points and open intervals. The corresponding topology generated over finite cartesian products of definable sets is well-behaved or “tame”.

Some variants of the notion of o-minimality have been studied. We recall from [5], for instance, that a sequence $S := (S_n)_{n \geq 1}$, where each $S_n$ is a collection of subsets of $\mathbb{R}^n$, is called a weak structure over the real field if, for all $m, n \geq 1$, the following conditions are satisfied:

(WS1) if $A, B \in S_m$, then $A \cap B \in S_m$;
(WS2) $S_m$ contains all zero-sets of polynomials in $\mathbb{R}[X_1, \ldots, X_m]$;
(WS3) if $A \in S_m$ and $B \in S_n$, then $A \times B \in S_{m+n}$;
(WS4) $S_m$ is closed under permutation of the variables.

If, in addition, the elements in $S_1$ are just finite unions of connected components of $\mathbb{R}$, then $S$ is said to be an o-minimal weak structure over the real field.

2.2. Hardy fields. Let $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : Y \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous real functions, where the subsets $X, Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ contain an open interval of the form $(c, +\infty)$. These functions are said to have the same germ at the infinity (shortly, germ at $+\infty$) if they agree on some open interval $(a, +\infty) \subseteq X \cap Y$. Clearly, this determines an equivalence relation on the set of such functions. Then the formed quotient set $Q$ supports a natural structure of commutative unitary ring of characteristic zero, with pointwise defined addition and multiplication of germs at infinity. Moreover, this structure can be enriched by two binary relations: $\leq$, the pointwise defined partial ordering; and $\preceq$, the preorder of dominance described as follows. We write $[f] \leq [g]$ and say that $[f]$ dominates $[g]$ iff there exists $b > 0$ such that $|f(x)| \leq b|g(x)|$ eventually, i.e., there exists $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(d, +\infty) \subseteq X \cap Y$ and $|f(x)| \leq b|g(x)|$ holds for each $x > d$. Two such continuous functions $f, g$ have the same order of growth at the infinity when $[f] \preceq [g]$ and $[g] \preceq [f]$. 
A subfield $F$ of the ring $Q$ is a *Hardy field* when it is closed under differentiation, i.e. if $[f] \in F$, then $[f'] \in F$. There is an interesting class of first-order structures, the class of *H-fields*, formed by ordered differential fields satisfying some further conditions, which includes all Hardy fields expanding $\mathbb{R}$.

There is a strong relationship between Hardy fields and o-minimal structures brought by Chris Miller, for instance, in [8] (see Proposition 2 below). A striking result ([7]) afforded by the combination of these two kinds of structures is the dichotomy, also obtained by Chris Miller, for o-minimal expansions of real field: either they are polynomially bounded or define the exponential function.

We finish this subsection by asserting the following technical result on extension of smooth functions defined on open subsets of the real line, which is an easy consequence of the smooth version of Tietze extension theorem (see Appendix A for more details).

**Proposition 1.** For any $C^\infty$ function $g : (b, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ with $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each $c > b$, there exists a $C^\infty$ function $\tilde{g} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{g} = g$ on $(c, +\infty)$.

2.3. $C^\infty$–rings. Roughly speaking, a $C^\infty$-ring is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra satisfying additional conditions. The original motivation to introduce and study $C^\infty$-rings was to construct topos-models for Synthetic Differential Geometry (see [10]).

Precisely, a $C^\infty$-ring is a set $A$ together with operations $\Phi_f : A^m \to A$ for all $m \geq 0$ and smooth functions $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$, where by convention $A^0$ is the single point $\{0\}$. These operations must satisfy the conditions: if $f_1, \ldots, f_n : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ are smooth functions, and $h : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$h(x_1, \ldots, x_m) := g(f_1(x_1, \ldots, x_m), \ldots, f_n(x_1, \ldots, x_m))$$

then

$$\Phi_h(c_1, \ldots, c_m) = \Phi_g(\Phi_{f_1}(c_1, \ldots, c_m), \ldots, \Phi_{f_n}(c_1, \ldots, c_m)),$$

for all $c_1, \ldots, c_m \in A$; for all $1 \leq j \leq m$, $\Phi_{\pi_j} = \Pi_j$, where $\pi_j : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Pi_j : A^m \to A$ denote the projections onto the $j$th terms of $n$-tuples.

In particular, since each real polynomial function is smooth, then every $C^\infty$-ring is an $\mathbb{R}$-algebra. Since the theory of $C^\infty$-rings is equational, the corresponding category admits many interesting constructions, particularly it has all (small) limits and colimits and each set $X$ freely generates a $C^\infty$-ring, namely $F(X) := \text{colim}_{Y \subseteq \mathbb{R}} X^{C^\infty}(\mathbb{R}^Y, \mathbb{R})$.

Every (non trivial) $C^\infty$-ring $A$ is semi-real, in fact $1 + \sum A^2 \subseteq A^\times$. In Theorem 2.10 in [9], it is established that any $C^\infty$-field – i.e. a $C^\infty$-ring
such that its underlying ring is a field – is real closed. This suggests
the search for connections between the areas of $C^\infty$-rings and o-minimal
structures.

3. A FIRST CONNECTION BETWEEN O-MINIMAL STRUCTURES,
   HARDY FIELDS AND SMOOTH RINGS

Let $C^\infty(R^n)$ denote the set of all smooth functions from $R^n$ to $R$, which is a commutative ring with unity when equipped with the usual pointwise operations, and let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{n \geq 1} C^\infty(R^n)$ be a collection:

(F1) which contains every eventually zero function in $C^\infty(R)$;
(F2) in which the frequently zero functions are just the eventually zero ones.

Throughout this and the next section, $A$ designates the expansion of the ordered real field $(R, <, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ by the set $\mathcal{F}$, and by “definable” we mean “first-order definable in $A$ with parameters from $R^n$”, unless otherwise stated.

Condition (F1) avoids the case in which $A$ does not define a sufficiently large number of $C^\infty$ eventually zero functions. For instance, if we set $\mathcal{F} = \{\exp\}$, $A$ is the exponential real field $(R, <, +, \cdot, 0, 1, \exp)$. Consequently, the function $f$ given by

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} \exp \left( \frac{-1}{1-x^2} \right), & \text{if } x \in (-1, 1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

is eventually zero, $C^\infty$ and definable. However, it follows from Bianconi’s theorem that $fh$ is not definable despite $C^\infty$ and eventually zero. The condition of $A$ defining sufficiently many $C^\infty$ eventually zero functions is crucial for the conclusion of Theorem 3. Since such a conclusion is obtained in an o-minimal environment, condition (F2) prevents us from the contradictory circumstance where total periodic functions, such as the sine function, are definable in an o-minimal structure.

Proposition 2 (Proposition 3.1, [8]). If $R$ is an expansion of the real field $R$, then the following are equivalent:

1. $R$ is o-minimal;

---

1In fact, in Theorem 2.10 in [9] it is shown that every $C^\infty$-field satisfies an even stronger condition: they are $C^\infty$-real closed.

2Theorem 1([1]). No restriction of the sine function to any interval is definable in $(R, +, \cdot, constants, <, \exp)$, and conversely, no restriction of the exponential function to any interval is definable in $(R, +, \cdot, constants, <, \sin_0(x), \cos_0(x))$, where $\sin_0(x) := \sin(x), \cos_0(x) := \cos(x)$, for $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$, and $\sin_0(x) = 0 = \cos_0(x)$, for all $x \notin [-\pi, \pi]$. 

(2) the germs at $+\infty$ of definable (in $\mathcal{R}$) unary functions form a Hardy field;

(3) every unary definable (in $\mathcal{R}$) function is either eventually zero or eventually nonzero.

**Theorem 3.** Assume that $\mathcal{A}$ is o-minimal. Then the commutative ring (with unity) $\mathcal{H}_f$ of germs at $+\infty$ of definable $C^\infty$ unary functions is a Hardy field, and is isomorphic to a subfield of a $C^\infty$ ring.

**Proof.** Recall that the commutative ring with unity $\mathcal{H}_A$ of the germs at $+\infty$ of definable unary functions is a Hardy field (Proposition 2). For the first part of the theorem, it thus suffices to show that $\mathcal{H}_f$ is a subfield of $\mathcal{H}_A$ (for which it is sufficient to guarantee each nonzero element in $\mathcal{H}_f$ is a unit), and $\mathcal{H}_f$ is closed under differentiation. Indeed, any non eventually zero definable $C^\infty$ function $f$ is eventually nonzero by virtue of Proposition 2. Hence, there exists $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $f$ does not vanish on $(c, +\infty)$. Let $g: (c, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ be the definable $C^\infty$ function given by $g := 1/f$. Clearly, $[f][g] = [1]$. Moreover, if $f: (c, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is definable $C^\infty$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f': (c, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as $x \mapsto f'(x) := \lim_{t \to 0} (f(x + t) - f(x))t$ is definable and $C^\infty$ as well, therefore $[f'] \in \mathcal{H}_f$.

As for the second part, note that the set $I$ of all definable $C^\infty$ functions from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ which are eventually zero, equipped with the operations induced by those of $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, is an ideal of the ring $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. (The product $fh$ of any $f \in I$ and any $h \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is $C^\infty$ and eventually zero, therefore by (F1) lies in $\mathcal{F}$. Hence, $fh$ is definable.) We may endow the quotient set $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/I$ with a $C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ ring structure as follows. For each $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, let $\Phi_f: (C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/I)^n \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/I$ be the map defined as $\Phi_f(c_1 + I, \ldots, c_n + I) := f(c_1, \ldots, c_n) + I$, for $c_i \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. To see that $\Phi_f$ does not depend on the representatives $c_1, \ldots, c_n$, consider $c'_1, \ldots, c'_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ so that $c_i + I = c'_i + I$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Hadamard’s lemma states the existence of $n$ smooth functions $g_i: \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$f(y_1, \ldots, y_n) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - x_i)g_i(x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n),$$

for all $x_i, y_i \in \mathbb{R}$. As a consequence, we have the following equality of functions

$$f(c'_1, \ldots, c'_n) - f(c_1, \ldots, c_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (c'_i - c_i)g_i(c'_1, \ldots, c'_n, c_1, \ldots, c_n).$$

Because $c'_i - c_i \in I$ and $I$ is an ideal, the right-hand side lies in $I$. So $\Phi_f(c_1 + I, \ldots, c_n + I) = \Phi_f(c'_1 + I, \ldots, c'_n + I)$. Furthermore, the
sequence \((\Phi_f)\) clearly satisfies the defining conditions of a \(C^\infty\) ring. In particular, \((C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/I, (\Phi_f)_f)\) is a commutative ring with unity.

Now, we take \(T: \mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F} \to C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/I\) to be the map given by the rule
\[
[f] \mapsto g + I,
\]
where \(g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}\) is a \(C^\infty\) function (not necessarily definable) with \(g \in [f]\). By virtue of Proposition 1 and (F1), \(T\) is well defined.

It is not hard to see that \(T\) is an injective ring with unity homomorphism, thereby an isomorphism from \(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}\) onto \(\text{Im}(T)\). From the first part of the theorem, it follows immediately that \(\text{Im}(T)\) is a subfield of the \(C^\infty\) ring \(C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/I\).

\[\square\]

4. A VARIANT CONNECTION

In what follows we show that, if we enlarge \(\mathcal{A}\), the conclusion of Theorem 3 still holds under weaker assumptions.

Let \(\mathcal{F}\) now indicate the collection of all smooth functions \(\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}\) which satisfies condition (F2) above.

It is readily seen that the zero-sets of all definable \(C^\infty\) functions from \(\mathbb{R}^n\) to \(\mathbb{R}\) \((n \geq 1)\) form a weak structure, denoted \(Z = (Z_n)_{n \geq 1}\).

**Theorem 4.** Suppose the weak structure \(Z\) is o-minimal. Then the commutative ring (with unity) \(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}\) of germs at \(+\infty\) of definable \(C^\infty\) unary functions is a Hardy field, and is isomorphic to a subfield of a \(C^\infty\) ring.

**Proof.** The proof of this theorem goes without saying as that of Theorem 3 except for the assertion that \(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}\), the set of all germs at \(+\infty\) of definable \(C^\infty\) unary functions, is a Hardy field. Such a conclusion is achieved if we show that every nonzero element in \(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}\) is a unit. For this, note that if \(f\) is a non eventually zero definable \(C^\infty\) function then in view of Proposition 1 there exists a \(C^\infty\) function \(g\) which agrees with \(f\) on an interval of the form \((c, +\infty)\), \(c \in \mathbb{R}\), contained in the domain of \(f\). The function \(g\) cannot be frequently zero, for otherwise by virtue of (F2) \(f\) would be eventually zero. Consequently, the zero-set \(Z(g)\) of \(g\) belongs to \(Z_1\), thereby it is a finite union of connected components. Because \(g\) is not eventually zero, none of these connected components is of the form \((c, +\infty)\), with \(c \in \mathbb{R}\). This amounts to the following situation: \(f\) is either eventually positive or eventually negative. Therefore, it has a multiplicative inverse in \(\mathcal{H}_\mathcal{F}\). \[\square\]

5. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND FUTURE WORKS

In the paper [2], which has inspired this modest contribution, the authors bring to one’s attention a triple of structures that are linked
by the notion of H-field which provides a common framework for these structures. They present a model-theoretic analysis of the category of H-fields, e.g. the theory of H-closed fields is model complete, and relate these results with the original tripod: Hardy fields, surreal numbers and transseries.

In the same vein, we intend to analyze the class of $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-fields under a model-theoretic perspective since we believe that there are clues that this class should satisfy many interesting logical properties:

- under real algebra perspective: as already mentioned, every $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-field is $(\mathcal{C}^\infty)$- real closed;
- under differential algebra perspective: since every $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-ring $A$ is isomorphic to quotient of a free $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-ring on some set $X$ by an ideal $I$, $A \cong \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^X)/I$, it encodes many algebraic derivations.

These observations suggest the existence of a relation between the triple in [2] and the one here presented that is even stronger than just have a common vertex: Hardy fields. In particular, is there a general first-order theory that includes naturally $\mathcal{C}^\infty$-fields and the H-fields?

**Appendix A. Extending smooth real functions**

We follow closely Chapter 13 and Appendix C in [11].

Recall from elementary calculus that the function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, defined as

$$f(t) := \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{1}{t}}, & \text{if } t > 0 \\ 0, & \text{if } t \leq 0 \end{cases},$$

is $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be

$$g(t) := \frac{f(t)}{f(t) + f(1 - t)}.$$

So $g$ is also $\mathcal{C}^\infty$. For any two positive real numbers $a < b$, the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ function $\rho: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$\rho(x) := 1 - g \left( \frac{(x - q)^2 - a^2}{b^2 - a^2} \right),$$

where $q \in \mathbb{R}$, is called a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ bump function at $q$. A short description of $\rho$ is made as follows: $\rho$ vanishes on $\mathbb{R} \setminus (q - b, q + b)$, equals 1 on $[q - a, q + a]$, is strictly increasing on $[q - b, q - a]$, and is strictly decreasing on $[q + a, q + b]$.

Given a map $f: X \to Y$ defined on a topological space $X$, we denote by $\text{supp} f$ the set $\{x \in X : f(x) \neq 0\}$ and call it the support of $f$. 

Lemma 1 (Partitions of unity). Let \( \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \) be an open cover of \( \mathbb{R} \). Then, there is a countable family \( \{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \) of \( C^\infty \) functions \( \varphi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfying the conditions

(1) \( \{\text{supp } \varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \) is locally finite, that is, any real number has a neighborhood that intersects only finitely many \( \text{supp } \varphi_k \);

(2) each \( \text{supp } \varphi_k \) is compact;

(3) for each \( k \) there exists an \( \alpha \in \Lambda \) with \( \text{supp } \varphi_k \subseteq U_\alpha \);

(4) \( \sum_1^\infty \varphi_k = 1 \).

Such a collection \( \{\varphi_k\}_k \) is called a \( C^\infty \) partition of unity subordinate to the cover \( \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda} \).

Proof. Our aim is to find, for each integer \( m \), finitely many bounded open intervals \( W_1^m, \ldots, W_{l(m)}^m \) and finitely many \( C^\infty \) bump functions \( \phi_1^m, \ldots, \phi_{l(m)}^m \) such that

- (a) \( W_1^m, \ldots, W_{l(m)}^m \) cover \([m, m+1] \);
- (b) \( \phi_j^m > 0 \) on \( W_j^m \), and \( \phi_j^m = 0 \) on \( \mathbb{R} \setminus W_j^m \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, l(m) \);
- (c) \( \text{supp } \phi_j^m \subseteq U_{\alpha_{m_j}} \cap (m-1, m+2) \) for some \( \alpha_{m_j} \in \Lambda \);
- (d) \( \text{supp } \phi_j^m \) is compact.

Note that the collection \( \{\text{supp } \phi_j^m\} \) of the supports of the functions \( \phi_j^m \) is locally finite, since any \([m, m+1]\) does not intersect \( \text{supp } \phi_j^n \), for all \( n \geq m+3, n \leq m-3 \), and \( j = 1, \ldots, l(n) \). Therefore, the sum \( \phi := \sum m_j \phi_j^m \) is well defined as a smooth function. Moreover, any real number \( x \) lies in some \([m, m+1]\), and by (a) in some open interval \( W_j^m \) as well. Hence, \( \phi_j^m(x) > 0 \). This shows that the \( C^\infty \) function \( \phi \) is everywhere positive. In view of this, for each integer \( m \) and each \( j = 1, \ldots, l(m) \) the function \( \varphi_j^m : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \), given by

\[
\varphi_j^m := \frac{\phi_j^m}{\phi},
\]

is well defined. A routine argument shows that \( \{\varphi_j^m\}_{m,j} \) is a family of \( C^\infty \) functions satisfying the conditions (1)-(4).

It remains to prove the statements (a)-(d). Indeed, for each point \( x \in [m, m+1] \), where \( m \) is an arbitrary integer, there is an \( \alpha \in \Lambda \) with \( x \in U_\alpha \cap (m-1, m+2) \), by recalling that \( \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha} \) covers \( \mathbb{R} \). Let \( \phi_x \) be a \( C^\infty \) bump function at \( x \) which is positive on a bounded open interval \( W_x \) centered at \( x \) whose closure is included in the open set \( U_\alpha \cap (m-1, m+2) \). The set \( \text{supp } \phi_x \) is then included in \([m-1, m+2]\), therefore \( \text{supp } \phi_x \) is compact. Since \( \{W_x : x \in [m, m+1]\} \) is an open cover of the compact set \([m, m+1]\), there are finitely many intervals \( W_{x_1}, \ldots, W_{x_{l(m)}} \), with associated \( C^\infty \) bump functions \( \phi_{x_1}, \ldots, \phi_{x_{l(m)}} \), which cover \([m, m+1]\). \( \square \)
A function $f: A \to \mathbb{R}$, defined on an arbitrary set $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, is of class $C^\infty$ if for every point $x$ in $A$ there exist an open $U$ containing $x$ and a $C^\infty$ function $\hat{f}: U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\hat{f} = f$ in $U \cap A$.

**Lemma 2** (Tietze extension theorem). Let $F$ be any closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$ and let $f: F \to \mathbb{R}$ be a $C^\infty$ function. Then there exists a $C^\infty$ function $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f}|_F = f$.

**Proof.** By hypothesis, for each $x \in F$ there exist an open subset $U_x$ of the real line and a $C^\infty$ function $\hat{f}_x: U_x \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\hat{f}_x = f$ on $U_x \cap F$. Let $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a smooth partition of unity subordinate to the open cover $\{U_x\}_{x \in F} \cup \{\mathbb{R} \setminus F\}$ of $\mathbb{R}$. Let us reindex the partition of unity in order to have the same index set as the cover, which gives $\{\varphi_x\}_{x \in F} \cup \{\varphi_0\}$, with $\text{supp } \varphi_x \subseteq U_x$ and $\text{supp } \varphi_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R} \setminus F$. (This can be done by adding to the original family the zero functions.) Now, we extend each $\hat{f}_x$ to $\mathbb{R}$ by zero, so the function $\varphi_x \hat{f}_x$ is smooth. Thus we can define $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\tilde{f}(y) := \sum_{x \in F} \varphi_x(y) \hat{f}_x(y).
$$

Because the collection $\{\text{supp } \varphi_x\}_{x \in F}$ is locally finite, this sum is finite in a neighborhood of every point in $\mathbb{R}$, and therefore defines a $C^\infty$ function. Note that if $y \in F$ then $\varphi_0(y) = 0$, and $f_x(y) = \hat{f}_x(y)$ for each $x$ such that $\varphi_x(y) \neq 0$. Then, for any $y \in F$, $\tilde{f}(y) = \sum_{x \in F} \varphi_x(y) \hat{f}_x(y) = \sum_x \varphi_x(y) f(y) = f(y) (\sum_{x \in F} \varphi_x(y) + \varphi_0(y)) = f(y)$, i.e., $\tilde{f}$ is indeed an extension of $f$. □

**Proof of Proposition 1.** Set $\tilde{g} := g|_{[c, +\infty)}$. Then $\tilde{g}$ is of class $C^\infty$. Tietze extension theorem thus gives a function $\tilde{g}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of class $C^\infty$ extending $\tilde{g}$ and the proof is finished.
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