Regulatory effects of marine polysaccharides on gut microbiota dysbiosis: A review
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\textbf{A B S T R A C T}

The gut microbiota dysbiosis is a state where the physiological combinations of flora are transformed into pathological combinations caused by factors such as diets, pollution, and drugs. Increasing evidence shows that dysbiosis is closely related to many diseases. With the continuous development and utilization of marine resources, marine polysaccharides have been found to regulate dysbiosis in many studies. In this review, we introduce the types of dysbiosis and the degree of it caused by different factors. We highlight the regulatory effects of marine polysaccharides on dysbiosis as a potential prebiotic. The mechanisms of marine polysaccharides to regulate dysbiosis including protection of intestinal barrier, regulatory effect on gut microbiota, alteration for related metabolites, and some other possible mechanisms were summarized. And we aim to provide some references for the high-value utilization of marine polysaccharides and new targets for the treatment of gut microbiota dysbiosis by this review.

1. Introduction

The human microbiome is a group of microorganisms that colonize the host and exist in the skin, nasal and oral cavity, respiratory tract, gastrointestinal tract, and genitourinary system. Among them, the intestinal microecology is the largest microecological system in the human body, where more than $10^5$ species of microorganisms colonize, and these microbial communities are referred to as gut microbiota (GM), which mainly consists of bacteria, but also fungi, viruses, archaea and eukaryotes (Anwar, Iftikhar, Muzaffar, Almatroudi, Allemailem, Navaid, & Khurshid, 2021). The gut microbiome contains at least 150 times as many genes as the human genome, so the gut microbiota can be considered as the “second genome” which influence the host’s health (Ait Chait, Mottawa, Tompkins, & Hammami, 2021; Qin et al., 2010; Xu, Xu, Ma, Tang, & Zhang, 2013). According to the relationship with the host, gut microbiota can be divided into commensal bacteria, conditional pathogenic bacteria, and pathogenic bacteria. These three types of bacteria maintain the normal proportion form a physiological combination of the flora when the body is in a stable state. Different gut microbiota have their specific functional characteristics, such as metabolic, protective, structural and neural functions, and their distribution in the gastrointestinal system also determines the functional characteristics of various parts of the gastrointestinal tract (Adak & Khan, 2019). Healthy human stomach is normally inhabited by five major phyla via Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, Fusobacteria and Proteobacteria (Nardone & Compare, 2015). For small intestine, Firmicutes and Actinobacteria are the predominant phyla in the duodenum. The jejenum mostly supports the growth of Gram-positive aerobes and facultative anaerobes including...
Lactobacilli, Enterococci and Streptococci (El Aidy, van den Bogert, & Kleerebezem, 2015). The distal part of ileum close to the ileocecal valve is populated with anaerobes and Gram-negative organisms similar to the colon. In the large intestine, the bacterial is mainly dominated by Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes (Eckburg et al., 2005). The ratio between the bacterial species Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes has been shown to play an important role in health and disease (Mariat et al., 2009). The gut microbiota correct quantitative and qualitative structure (referred to as the eubiosis state) supports homeostasis of the whole organism. And it is now known that the gut microbiota it is not only involved in the processes related to digestion and absorption of substances, but also plays a role in substance metabolism, immunomodulation, heavy metals excretion in host life activities (Chi et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021; Yeoh et al., 2021).

However, environmental pollution, diet, drugs and other factors can affect the microbiota composition, function and diversity (Caglayan et al., 2020; Hou et al., 2022; Jandhyala et al., 2015; Kucuk & Gulcin, 2016). Dysbiosis, an alteration in the composition of the microbiota associated with a disease state, has been linked with poor diet and numerous chronic diseases (Weiss & Hennet, 2017). Conversion of physiological combinations of flora to pathological combinations might lead to dysbiosis which is characterized by a decrease in beneficial bacteria, overgrowth of pathogenic bacteria, increase in substances that induce intestinal inflammation and disturbances in intestinal metabolism (Ait Chait et al., 2021; Hu & Zhou, 2011). With the cross-fertilization of modern biotechnology, growing evidence has revealed that gut microbiota not only plays a role in the gastrointestinal tract but also acts as a transmitter of information between the gut and other organs. This leads to the concept of bidirectional communication such as the gut-brain axis (Kaur et al., 2021), the gut-liver axis (Albillos, De Gottardi, & Rescigno, 2020) and the gut-kidney axis (Hua et al., 2022). So the results of gut microbiota dysbiosis may be a series of diseases, such as colon cancer, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, hypertension, and other disease have been widely reported in epidemiological research (Fan & Pedersen, 2021; Palmu, Lahti, & Niiranen, 2021; Tang et al., 2019). Recent studies have reported that the abundance of gut microbiota affects the expression of anti-inflammatory factors and thus the severity of disease in patients with COVID-19. In the context of a global epidemic, it is important to advance research on gut microbiota dysbiosis (Yeoh et al., 2021). Gut microbiota regulation through different methods including fecal microbiota transplants (FMT), probiotics or prebiotic have drawn a lot of attention (Ait Chait et al., 2021). Especially, growing evidence from biology to clinic reported the regulatory effects of probiotics and prebiotics on gut microbiota and related diseases (Sanders, Merenstein, Reid, Gibson, & Rastall, 2019). Compared with the low survival rate of live bacteria delivered by probiotics and problems such as immune rejection of FMT, prebiotic have its unique advantages.

There are large resources that have the potential to become prebiotics in nature. Marine organisms grow in special environments and contain a variety of functional active substances such as polysaccharides, peptides, carotenoids, minerals, polyphenols and vitamins (Li, Xue, Zhang, & Wang, 2020). Marine polysaccharides (MPs) that have a wide variety and sources, possess various active functions such as anti-tumor (Ouyang et al., 2021), anti-coagulation (Ustyuzhanina et al., 2020), regulation of fat (Wei et al., 2022), lipid (Liu et al., 2021) and anti-inflammatory (Casas-Arrojo, Decara, De Los Angeles Arrojo-Agudo, Perez-Manriquez, & Abdala-Diaz, 2021). Based on its rich functional features, MPs may regulate dysbiosis via certain mechanisms as potential prebiotics. However, the structure of marine polysaccharides is complex, and its specific regulation of gut microbiota dysbiosis is not fully clear. Furthermore, the overall development and research efforts are still insufficient, and the added value of products is low. Hence, we review the gut microbiota dysbiosis and the causes of this occurrence. The prebiotic characteristics of MPs and regulation mechanisms of it on gut microbiota dysbiosis were also summarized. This review will provide comprehensive new insights into the development of marine polysaccharides as prebiotics and their functional products to achieve intestinal microecological regulation, as well as improve marine livings utilization and added value.

2. Gut microbiota dysbiosis and its influencing factors

Rich genomic sequences of gut microbiota determine species diversity. Therefore, the related research about gut microbiota usually links the microbiota to human health through microbiota diversity. Based on high-throughput technology, more than 90% of gut microbiota belong to Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria and
Proteobacteria (Lopez-Santamarina et al., 2020). The composition of gut microbiota varies among individuals due to geographical, genetic and lifestyle, but in general, the overall composition remains at a normal level (Xu et al., 2013). Alteration of number, composition, location, or functional activity of gut microbiota will bring imbalance in intestinal microecology which is called “dysbiosis”. In general, dysbiosis can be classified as (1) imbalance of gut microbiota proportion (it is the most common description of dysbiosis, presenting characteristics such as decrease of symbionts, increase of pathobionts and a loss of microbiota diversity); (2) bacterial translocation (there exist the concept called “leaky gut” which means that gut microbiota is transferred from original location to other organs and tissues); (3) dysfunctional activity of bacteria (the cause may be a decrease in the expression of the relevant functional genes in the gut microbiota induce inability to maintain the original intestinal microecological balance) (Ait Chait et al., 2021; Kumar Singh et al., 2019; Liu & Sun, 2014). Many factors that lead to dysbiosis, and different factors alter the composition of the flora in varied degrees (Fig. 1). Overall, many factors including environment, diet and drug usage are closely related to gut microbiota dysbiosis (Fig. 2).

### 2.1. Environmental factor and gut microbiota dysbiosis

Environmental pollution is closely related to body health. Recently, the effect of heavy metals, atmospheric particulate matter, microplastics, pesticides, disrupting chemicals or xenobiotics and other pollutants on composition and function of microbiota and thereby inducing dysbiosis have been widely reported (Ding et al., 2021). Especially, heavy metal pollution is a common, wide-ranging problem that deserves attention. The cell damage, inflammatory response and the intestinal barrier integrity damage caused by oxidative stress considered to be one of the most critical mechanisms (Duan et al., 2020). And these damages may cause the bacterial translocation. Furthermore, the metabolic transformation of metals may result in alterations of gut microbiota. Overall, intestinal microecology is widely influenced by the above biological pathways.

The microbial composition of mice in varying degrees when exposed to various concentrations of lead, thereby promoted the production of intestinal inflammatory factors, decreased expression of colonic Muc-2 and intestinal tight junction genes, and increased intestinal permeability (Yu et al., 2021; Zhai et al., 2019). Low exposure of cadmium in drinking water resulted in a 26.8 % decrease in microbial community Alpha diversity, and the expression of tight junction proteins were also decreased (Liu et al., 2020). After administration of 50 ppm arsenic for 6 months, the necrosis of intestinal mucosal and intestinal gland, muscular thinning and other intestinal barrier damage was observed in mice. The abundance of gut microbiota was significantly reduced. The arsenic resistance genes carried by bacteria related to detoxification were decreased, and the metabolic composition was disordered (Li et al., 2021).

These studies have provided a deeper understanding of the toxic effects of heavy metal exposure on the intestinal tract, thereby promoting research related to the mechanisms regulating heavy metal-induced dysbiosis. At present, the research in this field mainly focuses on screening probiotics with potential to reduce toxicity and exploring the mechanism of toxicity reduction. It has been reported that probiotics alleviate heavy metal toxicity by adsorbing it, reducing oxidative stress and protecting intestinal barrier (Yu et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2021). Zhai et al firstly confirmed that prebiotics also had the resistance to heavy metals and its protective effect mainly depended on the regulation of gut microbiota (Zhai et al., 2019). However, there are few studies on the detoxification pathway of prebiotics, and the specific mechanisms have...
not been clarified in detail. Studies concerning more in-depth exploration of the mechanism of prebiotic detoxification role will undoubtedly provide more reference in this field.

2.2. Diet and gut microbiota dysbiosis

With the improvement of living standards, people’s daily diet structure also tends to be diversified. The effect of diet on gut microbiota via nutritional status has two sides. On one hand, undernutrition may relate to abnormal gut microbial population, which can permeate the vicious cycle of pathophysiology. On the other hand, overnutrition lead to obesity have adverse effect on the gut microbiota (Donovan, 2017). Moreover, the interaction between diet and gut microbiota has a certain effect on dysbiosis. Gut microbiota play an essential role in the digestion and absorption of food. Among them, Lactic acid bacteria (LAB) is a kind of common bacteria which can ferment sugars into lactic acid and to produce proteolytic enzymes (Chlebowska-Smigiel et al., 2019; Wasko, Kieliszak, & Targonski, 2012), contributing a lot to human diet and long-term gut eubiosis (Chlebowska-Smigiel, Gnieznows, Kieliszak, & Bzducha-Wrobel, 2017; Kieliszak, Pobiega, Piwowarek, & Kot, 2021). Nutrients in food such as dietary fibers, protein and lipid etc. play a various roles in metabolic regulation. Therefore, the effect of food on gut microbiota differed by its ingredients. Even the same food ingredient has different effects on gut microbiota depend on its source and intake. Furthermore, the plant proteins have been reported to promote growth of beneficial bacteria, whereas some animal proteins stimulate the growth of pathogenic bacteria (Rinninnella et al., 2019).

Poor diets such as long-term high-fat diet (HFD), high-salt diet (HSD) and high-fat and high-sugar diet (HSFD) can significantly change the composition of gut microbiota and lead to varying degrees of dysbiosis. The appearance of dysbiosis is accompanied by changes in metabolites such as lipopolysaccharide (LPS), bile acids and serotonin were associated with metabolism-related diseases such as obesity and diabetes (Kumar Singh et al., 2019). HFD can affect the composition and metabolism of gut microbiota and induce intestinal inflammation. The unfavorable metabolites produced during fermentation process such as trimethylamine oxide and hydrogen sulfide may be one of the triggers for dysbiosis (Cai, Chen, Wu, Lin, & Liang, 2021). HSFD can reduce intestinal mucus secretion and alter gut microbiota composition with significant decrease of the genus Lactobacillus, Muribaculaceae, and Lachnospiraceae (Lee et al., 2021). HSD can induce increase of Firmicutes/Bacteroides (F/B) ratio and decrease of SCFA-producing bacteria (Hu et al., 2020). As we seen that poor diet is an important factor in causing dysbiosis, and dysbiosis continues to lead to disease. It was reported that the consumption of red and processed meat was strongly associated with a higher risk of colon cancer, which were closely related to their effect on gut microbiota (Song, Chan, & Sun, 2020). Meanwhile, disease is also the cause of dysbiosis, and people ‘s dietary intake will also change after dysbiosis. The first contact of neonates with microbes is provided by the maternal microbiota. Studies have shown that abnormal glucose metabolism in pregnant women with hyperglycemia will affect the phenotype of glucose metabolism in offspring, and changes in gut microbiota caused by abnormal glucose metabolism will also affect the intestinal flora of offspring (Xue et al., 2022). Moreover, mode of delivery, type of infant feeding and other perinatal factors can influence the establishment of the infant microbiota. It is precisely because of the interaction between diet-gut microbiota dysbiosis-disease, maintaining the balance of gut microbiota can be said to be an important balance between regulating normal diet and healthy body.

Reasonable adjustment of diet is the most simple and effective method to the dysbiosis caused by poor dietary structure. Fruits and vegetables are rich in dietary fibers, polysaccharides and polyphenols, which have the effect of increasing beneficial bacteria. In addition, the exploration and application of dietary supplements are still the focus of current field (Luo, Lin, Bordiga, Brennan, & Xu, 2021). Exploring more representative characteristic bacteria and related metabolic pathways that play a major role in dietary regulation can help to make up for some deficiency in this field.

2.3. Drug and gut microbiota dysbiosis

The effect of drugs on intestinal microecology is bidirectional. On the one hand, drugs can inhibit the growth and regulation of pathogenic bacteria; on the other hand, drugs can disturb the normal flora structure and cause gut microbiota dysbiosis (Liu & Sun, 2014). Antibiotics, which are widely used as antimicrobial agents, are shown to kill or inhibit sensitive flora in large quantities, simultaneously can cause intestinal barrier damage, and induce intestinal inflammatory substances (Fang, Hu, Nie, & Nie, 2019). Overall, antibiotics are vital to induce gut microbiota dysbiosis and often used to establish dysbiosis models. And gut microbiota dysbiosis caused by antibiotics is called antibiotic-associated diarrhea.

In view of various types of the effects of different antibiotics on gut microbiota are similar or different. Bacterial diversity and richness were decreased with the combination of clindamycin and metronidazole. At the phylum level, the abundance of Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, and Proteobacteria were altered (Kanwal et al., 2018). The level of Proteobacteria and Bacteroidetes was decreased and the number of Firmicutes was increased after treatment with ceftriaxone (Li et al., 2019). Under lincomycin treatment, the number of Proteobacteria and Firmicutes were increased, but the Bacteroidetes were decreased, and the infiltration of inflammatory cells was found by histopathological observation of ileum (Bie, Duan, Meng, Guo, & Wang, 2021). It can be showed that antibiotics can inhibit pathogens, simultaneously can disturb the composition of normal flora. Therefore, it is of great value to seek substances that can replace antibiotics or alleviate dysbiosis caused by antibiotics.

Because of its excellent antibacterial activity, polysaccharides have potential as effective antibacterial agents in combination with antibiotics or may be considered as alternatives to antibiotics. MPs such as fucoxoid from Sargassum tenerrimum, sulfated polysaccharides from marine red algae and chitosan proved to inhibit the growth of pathogenic microbes including Escherichia coli and Staphylococcus aureus. Therefore, its antibacterial properties were used to prepare antibacterial film and hydrogel for food preservation (Ashayerizadeh, Dastar, & Pourashouri, 2020; Liu et al., 2019; Mohamed et al., 2022). Moreover, polysaccharide have the prebiotic potential in increasing the diversity of flora. However, due to the limitations of the molecular weight, structure and functional groups of polysaccharides and other factors, as well as the stability of bacteriostasis and the inhibitory species of polysaccharides, it’s still not completely clear when their inhibition mechanism is investigated.

2.4. Other factors and gut microbiota dysbiosis

In addition to the factors mentioned above, age, lifestyle, individual physiological characteristics, and many other reasons can also lead to dysbiosis of gut microbiota. The proportion of gut microbiota composition in the organism varies with age. Firmicutes account for a larger proportion in children and adults, while Bacteroidetes dominate in the elderly population (Xu et al., 2013). The number of Bifidobacterium decreased, while the ratio of Enterobacteriaceae and Enterococcus increased by age (Kumar Singh et al., 2019). Abnormal intestinal function such as long-term retention of intestinal contents caused by reduced intestinal motility and the lack of main immunoglobulins for resistant pathogens caused by immune dysfunction, may lead to excessive bacterial reproduction (Hu & Zhou, 2011).

Gut microbiota dysbiosis can cause a variety of diseases and diseases are also responsible for dysbiosis. It has been found that the composition of microbial communities altered by cerebral hemorrhage (CH) in mice. CH is accompanied by intestinal barrier damage such as gastrointestinal motility decreasing, mucosal muscular layer thinning and gland losing
Liver disease impairs bile secretion, thereby the body’s inhibitory effect on foreign bacteria is weakened. And multiple organ failure will induce dysbiosis by changing the number of aerobic bacteria and the proportion of specific anaerobic bacteria (Liu & Sun, 2014). This indicates that the occurrence of disease often disturbs the balance of internal environment and has a corresponding effect on various organs and tissues. Meanwhile, the above physiological changes may lead to the release of many inflammatory factors, metabolic disorders and then cause alteration of gut microbiota.

Different factors can lead to different degrees of gut microbiota dysbiosis with commonality and particularity. For example, the dysbiosis induced by heavy metals obviously leads to intestinal barrier damage because of oxidative stress, and the dysbiosis induced by diet is closely related to metabolic disorders. However, the dysbiosis induced by antibiotics shows obvious imbalance between beneficial and harmful bacteria. So, it is of great significance to clarify the factors leading to dysbiosis and targeted regulatory mechanism in promoting the research on gut microbiota and human health.

### Prebiotic effects of marine polysaccharides

Marine polysaccharides (MPs) are a class of long-chain polymers derived from marine organisms and consist of multiple monosaccharide molecules linked by glycoside bonds. MPs can be divided into marine plant polysaccharides, marine animal polysaccharides and marine microbial polysaccharides according to their sources (Wang et al., 2018). Compared with terrestrial organisms’ polysaccharides, MPs contain a higher content of sulfate groups and exhibit multiple functional activities. MPs are widely used in many fields, such as in the food industries, it can be added as a basic component to develop functional foods or as a food additive to improve food characteristics. In the field of materials, it can be used to prepare electrospun fibers, hydrogels and nanocomposites. In the field of biomedicine, it is used in the development of marine drugs, the construction of targeted drug delivery system and the development of microecological agents (Angel, Li, Yan, & Kong, 2022; Gong et al., 2022; Muthukumar, Chidambaram, & Sukumar, 2021; Papon, Copp, & Coudravaut, 2022).

The action modes of MPs in organisms can be divided into two types, one is absorption after oral administration. In previous studies, it was believed that polysaccharides were not absorbed after oral administration due to their high molecular weight and hydrophilicity. However, recent studies have found that polysaccharides can be transported through paracellular pathway, transcellular pathways and M cell-mediated transport. Its absorption is affected by charge, molecular weight and spatial structure. It is generally believed that polysaccharides with positive charge and low molecular weight (Mw) are more easily absorbed (Zheng et al., 2022). Another action modes of MPs which is also an important way to play a role as prebiotic, is to rely on...
the biotransformation of GM to act in organisms.

Prebiotics are a kind of raw material that can selectively enhance the beneficial components of GM (Gurpilhares, Cinelli, Simas, Pessoa, & Sette, 2019). Its commodity utilization form is convenient, and it has strong resistance to the intestinal environment and has many beneficial effects such as against pathogens, improving intestinal function and immune regulation. There is growing evidence that prebiotics can effectively alleviate gut microbiota dysbiosis (Ait Chait et al., 2021; Markowiak & Slizewska, 2017; Sanders et al., 2019). To become a prebiotic need to meet three standards: (1) it’s not absorbed in the upper digestive tract; (2) it can promote the growth of beneficial bacteria; (3) its metabolites are beneficial to human health (Zheng, Chen, & Cheong, 2020). The traditional prebiotics with remarkable effects include fructooligosaccharides, galactooligosaccharides and inulin (Markowiak & Slizewska, 2017). With the advancement of research, new types of resources with prebiotic potential have emerged (Tang et al., 2022). In the exploration of marine probiotic resources, MPs from different sources show properties as high-quality prebiotics.

The evaluation of prebiotic potential of MPs is often combined with in vitro and in vivo experiments (Fig. 3). In vitro evaluation is mostly based on whether polysaccharides appear as prebiotics in simulated gastrointestinal digestion and fermentation models. The evaluation indexes mainly include the total sugar content, acid and gas production and the change of GM after in vitro fermentation. Polysaccharides from Sargassum fusiforme in vitro fermentation for 24 h, the utilization of carbohydrate was 53.17 %, and the contents of total SCFAs increased by 10.77 times. The diversity of gut microbiota was altered by a significant decrease in pH due to acid production. Compared with the control group, the number of beneficial bacteria including Bifidobacterium, Ruminococcaceae_UCG-014 and Lactobacillus were significantly increased in the polysaccharide group (Kong et al., 2021). Sulfated polysaccharides from Gracilaria Lemaniformis were rarely degraded during digestion and 53.7 % of which were utilized by gut microbiota to produce large amounts of SCFAs during fermentation (Han et al., 2020). In vitro fermentation model, oyster polysaccharides promoted SCFAs production and up-regulated the abundance of beneficial bacteria such as Bacteroides and Prevotella (Ma, Jiang, & Zeng, 2021). Due to the differences in structural composition and Mw, MPs from different sources will specifically promote the growth of certain flora during in vitro fermentation, produce different metabolites, and show different fermentation characteristics (Lv et al., 2022). With the development of modern biological technology, qPCR and Fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) have been used to evaluate the potential of prebiotics in vitro, and the concept of prebiotic index has been introduced to explore the fermentation and prebiotic characteristics of MPs more comprehensively and deeply (de Medeiros et al., 2021; Lopez-Santamarina et al., 2022).

In vitro studies, MPs rely on the degradation of gut microbiota and exert their prebiotic properties by directly and indirectly regulating the intestinal environment. Direct regulation is mainly achieved by regulating flora composition and metabolites, while indirect regulation is often associated with enhancing intestinal barrier, improving intestinal function and homeostasis. According to reports, after mice ingested keratan sulfate from shark cartilage, the overall flora structure changed, the abundance of beneficial bacteria increased significantly (Shang et al., 2016). For obese mice on a high-fat diet, oyster polysaccharides can ameliorate dysbiosis through modulated abnormal lipid metabolism, accelerated the production of SCFAs, regulated lipid metabolism in fat and liver, and enriched beneficial bacteria (Ma, Zhu, Ke, Jiang, & Zeng, 2022). An α-β-glucan from marine fungal has also been shown to alleviate colitis by repairing mucosal barriers and enrich immune-related and mucosal repair flora (Liu et al., 2020). These in vivo studies also further indicate that MPs can exert prebiotic properties not only limited to the regulation of the intestine, but also have a positive impact on various organs and internal environment of the whole body.

The structural composition and molecular weight of MPs is the important factor affecting the prebiotic properties. It has been reported that polysaccharides linked by (1 → 3)-linked-β-GlcP and (1 ← 6)-linked-α-Galp backbones exhibit higher activity in intestinal barrier protection, and high-Mw β-glucan can better promote the maintenance of gastrointestinal homeostasis. Compared with medium-Mw and low-Mw polysaccharides, high-Mw alginate may produce more SCFAs, acetic acid and propionic acid due to its effect on each microflora (Huang et al., 2022). This may also indicate that both high-Mw and low-Mw polysaccharides play an important role in the regulation of organism function. Low-Mw polysaccharides can better promote digestion and absorption, high-Mw polysaccharides also have excellent prebiotic potential. This may be because the structure of MPs is complex, and its function does not depend on a single effecting factor. More research on the structure-activity relationship and prebiotic potential to be further explored. In the process of exploring these potentialities, in order to make up for the lack of intestinal dynamics simulation in vitro evaluation (Lope-
Regulatory effects of marine polysaccharides toward on dysbiosis.

Table 1

| Sources of MPs | Model | Significant Changes in Intestinal Barrier | Significant Changes in Gut Microbiota | Significant Changes in Metabolism |
|---------------|-------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Gracilaria Lemaneiformis (Han, Ma et al., 2021) | Colitis BALB/c mice | Claudin-1, ZO-1, Muc-2 ↑ TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β ↓ | Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, Corynebacterium, Enterohabditis ↑ Bacteroidetes ↓ Bacteroidetes ↓ Firmicutes, Deadlovovirionales, Clostridia ↓ | GPR43, Olfr78, GPR109A and acetic acid, propionic acid, butyric acid ↓ Acetate, propionate, butyrate ↑ TC, TG, LDL-c, MDA ↓ |
| Undaria pinnatifida (Jiang et al., 2021) | High fat diet BALB/c mice | Mucosa structure; IL-10 ↑ Thickness of colonic wall; LPS; TNF-α ↓ | Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Escherichia_chromidiales, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Verrucomicrobiaceae ↓ Bacteroidetes ↓ | Acetic acid, propionic acid ↑ Acetic acid, propionic acid and n-butyric acid ↑ Propionate, butyrate, acetate ↓ |
| Jellyfish skin (Cao et al., 2021) | Colitis C57BL/6 mice | Goblet cell colonic epithelial permeability; ZO-1, occludin, Muc-2, E-cadherin, TFF ↓ Histological score, inflammatory cell infiltration; TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β ↓ | Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Escherichia_chromidiales, Bradyrhizobiaceae, Verrucomicrobiaceae ↓ Bacteroidetes ↓ | Acetic acid, propionic acid ↑ Acetic acid, propionic acid and n-butyric acid ↑ Propionate, butyrate, acetate ↓ |
| Ascophyllum nodosum (Wang et al., 2020) | Antibiotic treatment C57BL/6J mice | TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6 ↓ | Verrucomicrobia, Ruminococcaceae, UCG-014, Akkermansia ↓ Proteobacteria Proteus Enterococcus ↓ Prevotellaceae, Rikenellaceae, Flavobacteriaceae ↓ F/B ratio ↑ Prevotellaceae, Rikenellaceae, Flavobacteriaceae ↓ F/B ratio, Verrucomicrobia, Odoribacter ↓ Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Akkermansia ↓ Prevotellaceae, UCG-001, Rikenellaceae, RSC, gut group ↓ | Acetic acid, propionic acid and n-butyric acid ↑ Acetic acid, propionic acid and n-butyric acid ↑ Propionate, butyrate, acetate ↓ |
| pacific abalone (Wu et al., 2020) | High fat diet BALB/c mice | — | — | — |
| oyster (Cai, Pan et al., 2021) | S180 tumour-bearing KM mice | Villus height, crypt depth, mucus thickness, villus surface area and V/C ratio ↑ IL-1β, IL-6, TNF-α ↓ | — | — |
| Cereus sinensis (Cui et al., 2021) | Antibiotic treatment C57BL/6 mice | Cocal villi were longer, thinner and relatively regular arrangement Inflammatory cell infiltration, edema of colonic tissue; IL-1β, IL-2, TNF-α ↓ | Phaseololactobacterium, Bifidobacterium ↓ Parabacteroides, Sutterella, Coprobacillus ↓ | — |

* Abbreviations: Tight junction protein (TJs): Claudin-1, ZO-1, occludin; Muc-2, mucusin; LPS, lipopolysaccharide Inflammatory cytokines: TNF-α, IL-6, IL-1β, IL-10, IL-2; SCFA receptors: GPR43, Olfr78, GPR109A; Defective protein: E-cadherin, Tff3; Lipid metabolism related indicators: TC, TG, LDL-c; Oxidative stress correlation index: MDA, SOD, CAT, V/C ratio, villus height/crypt depth ratio; F/B ratio, Firmicutes/Bacteroidetes ratio.

Santamarina et al., 2020), gastrointestinal bionic system is being increasingly used to simulate the state of gastrointestinal digestion more realistically in vitro for exploring new probiotic resources. For in vivo studies, scientists have also begun to focus on the intrinsic mechanisms by which prebiotics work. These works are undoubtedly promoting the high-value utilization of marine prebiotic resources.

4. Mechanisms of the regulatory effects of marine polysaccharides on gut microbiota dysbiosis

4.1. Regulatory effects of MPs on intestinal barrier

The intestinal barrier is an important structure to protect the body from external factors and assist the digestion and absorption of nutrients, which is of great significance to maintain intestinal homeostasis (Fig. 4). The intestinal barriers include physical barrier, chemical barrier, immune barrier, and biological barrier. The physical barrier is mainly composed of intestinal epithelial cells closely arranged by cell junctions, which constitute the first line of defense against the external environment. The chemical barrier consists of mucus secreted by intestinal epithelial cells, digestive juices, and antibacterial substances. The immune barrier is mainly composed of immune cells and immune factors. The immune system is activated to play a regulatory role when unfavorable factors invade the body. The biological barrier is composed of mucosal flora and intestinal flora, and the main flora of which such as Lactobacillus and Bifidobacterium, which contribute to maintain the intestinal microecological balance via limiting the colonization of pathogens (Ma, Dai, & Sun, 2017; Qiu et al., 2020).

When the intestinal barrier is damaged, the intestinal permeability, the opportunities for harmful bacteria to colonize and levels of LPS are increased, resulting in disruptions in the structure and distribution of the flora. Impaired intestinal barrier is often manifested as: inflammatory cell infiltration, intestinal villi shorter and disorder, mucosal edema, damage of epithelial cell and tight junction structure. Overall, MPs exhibit excellent potential in regulating gut microbiota dysbiosis via maintaining intestinal barrier integrity. On the one hand, it can reduce the occurrence of bacterial translocation. On the other hand, MPs can effectively prevent the development of gut microbiota into irreversible state (Bie et al., 2021; Kanwal et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2021; Zhai et al., 2019). It was reported that polysaccharides from Sargassum fusiforme has better regulatory effect up-regulate the genic expression of tight junction protein (Claudin-1 and ZO-1) and the main component of mucusin (Muc-2) in mice model of colitis than commonly used therapeutical drug 5-aminosalicylic acid (Han et al., 2021). Polysaccharides from Undaria pinnatifida regulated dysbiosis induced by HFD through improving colonic tissue damage and mucin structure, reducing colonic cell wall thickness and level of LPS (Jiang et al., 2021). Jellyfish polysaccharide exhibited effects on protecting epithelial goblet cells, improving intestinal epithelial integrity, permeability and reducing inflammatory cell infiltration (Cao et al., 2021). With the regulation of above three polysaccharides, the expression level of inflammatory factors had a favorable change compared with the model group, thereby reducing the inflammatory level of intestinal microecology.

Accumulating evidence show that the close association of MPs with the intestinal barrier. Protective effect of MPs on the integrity of the intestinal barrier is dependent on its own active function on the other hand, it can be indirectly regulated by modulating gut microbiota, inflammatory cytokines, and metabolites. Since the intestinal barrier is a complex and comprehensive system, most of the current studies focus on MPs macroscopic regulation, and there are few studies on their comprehensive and systematic evaluation. There exist a lot of questions to be further explored, including how MPs act on each barrier, what is the molecular recognition modes, and related specific pathway. In future studies, systematic evaluation of the regulatory effects of MPs targeting intestinal barrier has great promotion value in this field.

4.2. Regulatory effects of MPs on gut microbiota

Tens of thousands of bacteria in the gut mediate metabolism and immune system and other pathways that have important effects on body health (Table 1) (Payling et al., 2020). Firmicutes contain more transporters to transport carbohydrates to improve energy absorption.
Bacteroidetes contains a variety of CAZymes and is the main SCFAs producing strain, which is beneficial to the degradation of polysaccharides and decrease of its abundance will increase the level of LPS in vivo and reduce the intestinal permeability. At present, many studies have shown that the proportion of Firmicutes in the gut microbiota of obese people and animals increases while that of Bacteroidetes decreases, hereby the change of F/B ratio is regarded as a manifestation of dysbiosis (Jiang et al., 2021). Actinobacteria can reverse the dysbiosis of gut microbiota caused by heavy metal exposure, via reducing toxicity and participating in lipid metabolism (Chen et al., 2020; Gokulan et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2021). Clostridiales and Proteobacteria are the main intestinal pathogens. The former is reported to be associated with various diseases such as cancer, and the latter is intended to be an indicator of gut microbiota dysbiosis (Fang et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2020). The functional role of gut microbiota is associated with the expression of related genes, including regulatory structural genes, immune cell transcription genes and metabolism-related genes. In the intestinal microbiome, the presentation of microbial functional properties is based on the structure of the entire flora rather than individual microorganisms. Therefore, Alpha diversity and Beta diversity are generally used to evaluate the intra-group and inter-group diversity in the flora analysis. LDA effect size (LEfSe) analysis was used to determine the microorganisms that play an important role in each group. The correlation between microorganisms and other substances was analyzed by multi-omics analysis to explore the functional characteristics of it in the microecosystem.

MPs can alter the richness and diversity of gut microbiota and play a prebiotic role by increasing the abundance of probiotics and related functional bacteria (Zhu et al., 2021). In the mouse model of dysbiosis induced by HFD, Enteromorpha clathrata polysaccharides, a kind of new prebiotic, can regulate microbial composition at phylum and genus levels, increase number of probiotics Prevotellaceae and enhance growth of butyrate-producing bacteria E. xylanophilum in the gut (Wei et al., 2021). Fucoidan can reverse antibiotic-induced gut microbiota dysbiosis by increasing Ruminococcaceae_UCG_014 and Akkermansiand, and reducing harmful bacteria such as Proteus and Enterococcus (Wang et al., 2020). Sulfated polysaccharides from pacific abalone reduced the ratio of F/B that can be associated with obesity and increase the abundance of Prevotellaceae, Rikenellaceae and Flavobacteriaceae (Wu et al., 2020). The study by Cui et al. on the prebiotic functions of MPs from Gelidium pacificum Okamura and Cereus sinensis showed that both of them could significantly change the composition of gut microbiota in mice, increased the abundance of SCFAs-producing bacteria and reduce potential harmful bacteria (Cui et al., 2020).

As research progresses, many studies are no longer confined to the analysis of structural changes in the gut microbiota, but rather to explore the targets of dysbiosis by finding the key flora that play a regulatory role. E. xylanophilum is reported to be negatively correlated with body weight and serum total cholesterol, with potential anti-obesity and regulatory dysbiosis (Wei et al., 2021). Expression of IL-1β and IL-6 was negatively correlated with Roseburia, while were positively correlated with Paraprevotella and Paraparacerella (Wang et al., 2020). A. muciniphila is a mucin degrading bacterium, which have the ability to reduce inflammation and improve intestinal barrier function, and upward adjustment of its abundance can be considered as regulation to dysbiosis (Lee et al., 2021). These studies have made up for the lack of pertinence in flora analysis. In the following studies on the mechanism of MPs regulating dysbiosis, specific pathways and gene expression of key bacteria that play important regulatory roles can be explored, thereby providing more information for dietary intervention to alleviate dysbiosis.

4.3. Regulatory effects of MPs on metabolites

There is a close interaction between MPs and gut microbiota. Indigestible polysaccharides provide an essential carbon source for the growth of gut microbiota, meanwhile polysaccharides were degraded via a variety of CAZymes encoded by gut microbiota to participate in metabolic regulation. CAZymes mainly include glycoside hydrolases (GHs), polysaccharide lyases (PLs), and carbohydrate esterases (CEs). There are differences in the number and composition ratio of CAZymes carried by different microbiota, so that MPs can enrich specific microbiota during fermentation, thus affecting the overall microbiota structure (Zheng et al., 2020). Various metabolic transport modes of polysaccharides in vivo have been found, on the one hand, it can be fermented via the biotransformation of gut microbiota, such as Bacteroidetes, Clostridium and Phascolarctobacterium to produce SCFAs which are the main metabolites involved in improving metabolism (Fang et al., 2019).

SCFAs refer to saturated fatty acids containing one to six carbon atoms, such as acetic acid, propionic acid and butyric acid, which account for about 3:1:1 in the colon (Dalille, Van Oudenhove, Vervliet, & Verbeke, 2019). Butyric acid acts as the main energy source for intestinal epithelial cells, while other acids act on the organism through metabolic transport. SCFAs plays an important role in maintaining the structural function and integrity of the intestine. For physical barriers, SCFAs upregulates tight junction protein expression and reduces permeability. For biological barriers, SCFAs acts through reduce the pH value in the intestinal tract to play a certain inhibitory effect, and promote more SCFAs production when reach to certain pH. For chemical barrier, it can promote the expression of MUC2 genes and stimulate the release of defensins and antimicrobial peptides from Paneth cells to inhibit pathogenic bacteria. For immune barrier, SCFAs can act as histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor, regulate apoptosis and related protein expression stability, as well as play an intestinal immunomodulatory role by activating cellular receptors such as G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR), Toll-like receptor (TLR) and Olfactory receptor 78 (OLFR78) to participate in regulating immune cell proliferation and inflammatory response. Different SCFAs have different activation of receptors and exhibit different binding affinity. Besides, SCFAs exhibits functional properties in immune regulation, energy metabolism regulation and brain activity regulation (Ma, Piao, Ma, & Wang, 2022; Markowiak-Kopec & Sliweska, 2020; Poppe, van Baarle, Matteoli, & Verbeke, 2021; Thomson, Garcia, & Edwards, 2021; Wang et al., 2021). Increasing SCFAs content is a sign of the prebiotic properties of polysaccharides, which is of special significance to improve the symptoms of dysbiosis. The content of SCFAs could be increased by up-regulating SCFAs-producing bacteria or regulating the metabolic pathway. Oyster polysaccharides have been shown to increase the production of propionic acid and butyric acid in mice treated with 5-fluorouracil (Cai et al., 2021). The relative expression levels of GPR43, Olfr78 and GPR109A were increased by 0.72, 1.32 and 2.33 times respectively under the action of polysaccharides from Gracilaria Lemaniformis, which effectively promoted the corresponding functions of SCFAs in the organism (Han et al., 2021).

In addition to the main metabolite SCFAs, secondary metabolites including hydrogen (H2), methane (CH4), carbon dioxide (CO2), hydrogen sulfide (H2S) and nitric oxide (NO) are also produced during the biotransformation of MPs. Studies have shown that these gases also play a role in intestinal function and immune regulation. Abnormal methane production has reported that is associated with the occurrence of some diseases and can be used as a marker for diagnosis and treatment. However, there are few studies on the regulation of these gases and their functions, and the regulatory mechanism needs to be further explored (Song et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022).

On the other hand, MPs can act on gut microbiota to regulate its metabolism. It should be noted that the colonization of the gastrointestinal tract by gut microbiota is associated with production by no specific metabolites. These substances also support the breakdown of undigested food, are substrates for numerous biochemical pathways, and thus indirectly fulfill the functions of regulatory in the gastrointestinal tract (Debnath, Kumar, Kumar, Mehta, & Yadav, 2021). The key
metabolites of gut microbiota include SCFAs, bile acids (BAs), amino acid, tryptamine and serotonin (Ghosh, Shanahan, & O’Toole, 2022). Among them, BAs can regulate lipid, glucose and energy metabolism by activating vitamins D3 receptor (VDR), farnesoid X receptor (FXR), Takeda G-protein receptor (TGR5) and other related receptor, and its metabolism is closely related to metabolic syndrome such as obesity, type 2 diabetes and hyperlipidemia (Zhang et al., 2022). Recent studies have shown that regulating BAs metabolism helps to alleviate irradiation-induced intestinal damage (Guo, Da, Gao, Miao, Guo, Zhang, & Liu, 2022). The neurotransmitter 5-HT produced by the common tryptophan metabolic pathway in amino acid metabolism not only plays an important role in maintaining brain homeostasis, but also plays a role in emotion, intestinal motility regulation and intestinal permeability improvement. In addition to beneficial metabolites, the effect of MPs on adverse metabolites is also worthy of attention (Suganya & Koo, 2020). For example, the increase in the content of LPS will lead to an increase in intestinal permeability, disrupt the integrity of the intestinal barrier, and easily cause microbiota translocation to cause dysbiosis. It often mediates the inflammatory response by binding to the specific receptor TLR4. Mussel polysaccharides α-D-glucan have been shown to inhibit LPS-TLR4-NF-κB pathway to reduce levels of inflammation in the body involved in improving nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (Wu et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2022).

This shows that gut microbiota metabolites exhibit a role in the gut-islet axis, the gut-brain axis, and the gut-liver axis. Although the production of some relevant metabolites is closely associated with specific gut microbiota, there are corresponding studies showing that the increase of specific metabolites does not necessarily link to enrichment trend in the gut microbiota mediating their production. Therefore, it is affecting to elucidate the utilization sites and signal transduction of polysaccharides for gut microbiota with studies on the specific mechanisms of the overall metabolic network mediated by MPs in the biotransformation process on the dysbiosis (Cheng, Hu, Geng, & Nie, 2022).

4.4. Other potential regulatory effects of MPs

In addition to these main regulatory effects, there are still other regulatory pathways that have not been widely studied or are still unexplored. MPs exerting their own antioxidant, anti-inflammatory and anti-coagulant activities to mitigate the dysbiosis caused by external factors is certainly an important potential regulatory effect. Some studies have shown that in a model of hydrogen peroxide-induced intestinal epithelial cell injury, MPs can reduce oxidative stress levels and...
mitigate intestinal barrier damage by scavenging oxidative radicals, reducing lipid oxidation end products malondialdehyde (MDA), and normalizing the antioxidant enzyme system (Xiang et al., 2022). Moreover, some MPs have been reported to promote intestinal epithelial cell migration and proliferation, which have protective and repairing effects on the intestinal barrier (Qiu et al., 2020). In the case of increased intestinal inflammation, MPs can mediate TLR4 and NF-κB signaling pathway to down-regulate pro-inflammatory factors and up-regulate the expression of anti-inflammatory factors (Yao et al., 2022). And there exist study indicate that MPs inhibiting the expression of CC-chemokine 9 receptor (CCR9) and CC-chemokine ligand 25 (CCL-25) which is positively correlated with the inflammatory response, up-regulating the expression of transforming growth factor-β1 (TGF-β1) and clusters of differentiation 40 (CD40) which maintain the immune homeostasis to alleviate the inflammatory response (Lu et al., 2022). Furthermore, for the symptoms of dysbiosis associated with diseases, MPs can exert regulatory effects, synergistically enhance barrier function, regulate flora and metabolic transformation to alleviate the adverse effects of diseases. According to the study, polysaccharide from Laminaria japonica can regulate liver injury homeostasis to alleviate obesity-related nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (Zhang et al., 2021). Holothuria leucospilota polysaccharide can alleviate type 2 diabetes by regulating blood lipid and hormone levels (Zhao et al., 2021). Gloiopeltis furcata polysaccharide can remode mucin O-glycans to alleviate colitis (Pan et al., 2022). From these regulatory processes, it can be seen that the regulatory effect of MPs on dysbiosis is not independent, which is often interrelated, interactive, and finally plays an overall regulatory role. Therefore, in the future research, it is a meaningful direction to explore the overall regulation of MPs on dysbiosis and other potential mechanisms of action by multi-omics with the help of systems biology approach.

5. Conclusions and perspectives

Gut microbiota dysbiosis is a common issue affecting human life. Studies have shown that dysbiosis are associated with many diseases and it’s of great value to find some effective methods to rebalance dysbiosis. In this review, the regulatory effects on gut microbiota dysbiosis and potential prebiotic effect of MPs were summarized. MPs have the potential to regulate the gut microbiota dysbiosis directly and indirectly under different types or degrees of dysbiosis. On one hand, it can directly regulate the diversity and abundance of gut microbiota through increasing beneficial bacteria and decreasing pathogenic bacteria. On the other hand, MPs indirectly alleviates gut microbiota dysbiosis by protecting the integrity of the intestinal barrier and being transformed into beneficial metabolites (Fig. 5).

From MPs have great potential to be prebiotics as described in this article. But high-added-value utilization of MPs is still in its infancy. The structure–activity relationship of MPs is still unclear for its complex composition and structure. What’s more, it is difficult to obtain uniform active components of MPs due to the complex and time-consuming purification process. More studies needed to focus on optimization of the purification process, structure–activity relationship and prebiotic activity evaluation of polysaccharide to promote its high-added-value utilization. There exist a lot of questions needed to be explored in the following studies. In terms of regulating gut microbiota dysbiosis, if MPs do not meet the criteria to be prebiotic, whether and how they still play a role is a point. Moreover, given the dysbiosis caused by different factors, whether there are differences in the regulation of polysaccharides is vital. Future research on MPs and human health may include (1) multi-omics (metabolomics, proteomics, metagenomics or ecoinformatics) analysis of the changes in the gut microbiota after consumption of MPs; (2) in-depth studies on the functional role, action model and concrete mechanism of MPs in balancing gut microbiota and maintaining host health. (3) targeted explorations about key factors and targets (related gut microbiota and metabolites, the marker of dysbiosis, genetic markers) in the regulation of MPs and their potential as therapeutics.
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