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Abstract

In order to increase pump efficiency and apply an optimum design method of a low specific speed centrifugal pump less than 127 [m, m³/s, min⁻¹], twelve kinds of volute casing with the same impeller are designed at impeller blade outlet angle of 34 degree. With each volute tongue shape, there is one volute casing, respectively. The effect of these volute casing shapes is investigated by using computational fluid dynamics (CFD). In this present study the optimum design method in combination of volute tongue shape operating variables for pump performance enhancement is analyzed. Based on design of experiments (DOE) technique, the required experiment trials are determined. The optimization results are pointed out. The parameters chosen for optimum design are the volute tongue angle and the gap between impeller and tongue. The levels for the parametric specification are chosen in the ranges where the pump gets the best efficiency. The results demonstrate that the conventional design is not suitable for a low specific speed. After optimizing the volute tongue, the pump achieves the best performance at 0° of the volute tongue angle with the appropriate gap between impeller and volute tongue. It is observed that pump efficiency was improved up to 75.01%.
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Nomenclature

H: Head; T: Torque; β₂: Impeller blade outlet angle; v: Absolute flow velocity; η: Efficiency of power plant; n: Rotational speed; p: Pressure; Z: Number of blades; P: Power; Q: Flow rate/discharge; Ns: Specific speed; L: Length of volute tongue

Introduction

A centrifugal pump is one of the kinetic devices, receiving kinetic energy from the rotating impeller when liquid enters the pump. The centrifugal pump action of the impeller accelerates the liquid to a high velocity, transferring mechanical energy to the liquid. That kinetic energy is available to the fluid to accomplish work. Impeller of pump is the key component for pump design strongly affecting on pump performance. Besides, the volute casing is also an important part that needs to be considered [1]. Although the effect of modification to tongue in a centrifugal pump was studied by Dong et al. [2], the optimum volute tongue has never been studied in details before. Therefore, this study discusses mainly the effect of volute tongue shape on pump performance and optimization of that. In order to improve the pump performance, the effects of the pertinent design parameters have been carried out for different cases of primary geometry including the volute tongue angle and the gap between impeller and tongue. After performing design of volute tongue shape, the best shape of volute tongue selected is used for optimizing the tongue length.

Centrifugal Pump Model and Methodology

Centrifugal pump model

Table 1 illustrates dimensions and specifications used to design a centrifugal pump model. The main problems needing to be investigated are effect of volute tongue length on performance of the pump and optimum design. Therefore, the volute tongue was redesigned and the impeller was designed and fixed at impeller outlet blade angle β₂ = 34° as seen in Figure 1.

Different shapes of the volute casing were studied in prior. To determine an original volute casing model, two shapes of volute casing were suggested. The one, which named volute model type 1, has circular cross-section areas and the other, which called volute model type 2, has sector-shaped areas as shown in Figure 2. The two designed volute casings were used for analyzing by CFD analysis method with the same setting conditions. After finishing the design of the two casing models, cases for each volute casing were run for comparing performances of these volute model types. The original volute casing design, which is the main one before cutting the volute tongue, was carried out by analyzing the pump performance to find out the B.E.P. that was used for studying the following volute tongue shape. Table 2 shows the cases which were run. There are six cases with uncut volute tongue, and its internal flow values showed that the B.E.P. located at 0.015 m³/s of flow rate. In order to improve the pump performance, the effects of the pertinent design parameters have been carried out for different cases of primary geometry including the volute tongue angle and the gap between impeller and tongue.

| Specifications                              | Values          |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Flow rate (design point), Q                 | 0.015 m³/s     |
| Head, H                                     | 33 m            |
| Rotational speed, n                         | 1750 min⁻¹      |
| Specific speed, Ns                          | 127 [m, m³/s, min⁻¹] |
| Impeller blade outlet angle, β₂             | 34°             |
| Impeller inlet diameter, D₁                 | 100 mm          |
| Impeller outlet diameter, D₂                | 272 mm          |
| Volute casing inlet diameter, Dᵢ            | 280 mm          |
| Discharge diameter, D₄                      | 105 mm          |
| Number of blades, Z                         | 7               |

Table 1: Design specifications of the pump model.
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investigate effects of a volute tongue angle, in this study, the volute casing tongue was designed by modifying the volute tongue angle. The volute tongue angle is able to be used to determine the tongue length longer when it becomes larger by rotating clockwise or shorter when being smaller by modifying counterclockwise. New volute casings were determined by cutting the volute tongue from the original volute. It means that when the volute tongue angle changes, the volute tongue length will be changed, respectively as shown in Figure 3. In order to follow this method, six volute tongues at -5°, 0°, 5°, 20°, 35° and 45° were designed. With each volute tongue shape there have its volute casing. These volute casings were used for investigating by CFD analysis. Cases were determined as shown in Table 3. After analyzing its cases by CFD code, the casing shape with better performances is able to be selected for next studies. In addition, the gap between impeller and volute tongue was designed and investigated. The casing with the best volute tongue angle of previous study was chosen to design three volute casings with various gaps. Figure 4 and Table 4 show the geometry of the volute tongue shape and the gap ratio between impeller and volute tongue. It is clear to see that the gap is able to be changed by designers. After the three new volute casings were then analyzed by CFD, the gap with the best performance would be selected as a final design solution.

**Numerical methods and boundary conditions**

The fluid domain of the pump model includes impeller as a rotating part, and the volute casing, the inlet duct and the outlet duct are stationary. These parts are assembled settings as a full fluid domain model when the direction of impeller is counterclockwise. CFD analysis

### Table 2: Cases of the two volute casings with uncut volute tongue.

| No. | Case | Volute tongue angle | Flow rate (kg/s) |
|-----|------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 1   | case A | -5°               | 0.015           |
| 2   | case B | 0°                | 0.015           |
| 3   | case C | 5°                | 0.015           |
| 4   | case D | 20°               | 0.015           |
| 5   | case E | 35°               | 0.015           |
| 6   | case F | 45°               | 0.015           |

| No. | Case | Gap angle | Gap/R (%) | Flow rate Q(kg/s) |
|-----|------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|
| 1   | case a | 30°       | 11.8      | 0.015             |
| 2   | case b | 35°       | 7.8       | 0.015             |
| 3   | case c | 40°       | 2.7       | 0.015             |
is a very useful tool for predicting the performance of fluid machinery. This study employs a commercial code of ANSYS to conduct CFD analysis [3]. Tables 2-4 illustrate the cases of the study.

Table 5 shows numerical methods and boundary condition in this study. SST model is adopted as turbulence because of its relatively good convergence in the complicated flow field of turbo machinery in comparison with the other models. Constant pressure at the inlet and averaged outflow at the outlet of the calculation domain are the used boundary conditions. All the calculations are conducted under the conditions of steady state. Approximately $7.7 \times 10^6$ elements of hexahedral and tetrahedral grids were used in this analysis as shown in Table 6.

**Design Optimization of the Volute Tongue Shape**

The optimization procedure is carried out in three steps [4]: In the first step a sensitivity analysis is conducted to determine the most important design variables. This is found out help of the Meta model of Optimal Prognosis, refer Most and Will [5]. Next step, the MOP a response surface-based optimization is carried out. On account of this procedure the study for the optimum requires no direct solver run. The followed optimum is verified finally with only a single solver calls. Considering the results of the sensitivity analysis, the most important input variables are used as design parameters within this procedure. Finally, the results of step two as basis, then an optimization is done.

Commonly, size optimization is conducted following the topology optimization to determine the detailed shape of the volute tongue. Since there are two subjects, one is maximum head of pump and the other is maximum torque.

$$y(x) = [y_1(x) + y_2(x) + y_3(x), \ldots y_n(x)]; x \in S$$

is defined the design space. Find $x_1$ and $x_2$

To maximize $y_{efficiency}(x_i)$

Subject to $y_{torque}(x_i) \leq 600(Nm)$ $i = 1, 2, \ldots$

There has been a best method for estimating interactive effects among independent variables without implementing factorial design experiments for every level of each the variable [6]. Computing variables and assumption as below

$$f = a_0 + a_1x_1 + a_2x_1^2 + a_3x_2 + a_4x_2^2$$

$$\Rightarrow f = d_1 + d_2x_1 + d_3x_2 + d_4x_1^2 + d_5x_2^2$$

The function of efficiency following $x_1$ and $x_2$

$$x_1 = X_1; \quad x_2 = \frac{X_2}{R_2}$$

(1) (2)

**Setting up in CFD**

| Calculation type         | Steady state   |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| Turbulence model         | SST            |
| Inlet and Outlet         | Total pressure/ Mass flow |
| Impeller rotating direction | Counterclockwise |
| Residual target          | $10^{-5}$      |

Setting up in CFD

| Domain components | Element No. | Node No. |
|-------------------|-------------|----------|
| Impeller          | 0.9×10⁶     | 0.2×10⁹  |
| Volute casing     | 3.4×10⁶     | 0.8×10⁹  |
| Inlet and outlet pipe | 3.4×10⁶     | 4.9×10⁹  |
| Total             | 7.7×10⁶     | 5.7×10⁹  |

Table 7: Numerical methods and boundary condition of the designed pump model.

Table 8: Fluid domain of the different components.

Figure 5 introduces to the geometry of the volute tongue. The variable $X_1$ is standing for the volute tongue angle which is able to be modified. The variable $X_2$ is standing for the gap between the impeller and the volute tongue. The gap between the impeller and the volute is made invisible due to simplicity of drawing, but, in this study, its real value is 4 mm, so the gap value after computing is (4 mm + $X_2$).

Figure 6 shows the flowchart of the optimization processes. The optimization was performed after finishing the study by cases. The CFD results of the pump were then compared with the optimization results of that (Tables 7 and 8).
investigating effects of volute tongue angle on pump performance later. The effect of volute tongue angle (volute type 2 and $\beta_2=34^\circ$) is shown in Figure 8. It can be seen that the efficiency of the pump is highest at $5^\circ$ of the volute tongue angle compared with the other cases. Besides, this figure points out when the volute tongue angle increases larger than $5^\circ$, pump performance curves fluctuate slightly. Figure 9 shows

| Sample point | $\xi_1$ | $\xi_2$ | $\xi_3$ | $\xi_4$ | $\xi_5$ |
|--------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1            | -5     | 2.7    | 25     | 7.29   | -13.5  |
| 2            | -5     | 7.8    | 25     | 60.84  | -39    |
| 3            | -5     | 11.8   | 25     | 139.24 | -59    |
| 4            | 0      | 2.7    | 0      | 7.29   | 0      |
| 5            | 0      | 7.8    | 0      | 60.84  | 0      |
| 6            | 0      | 11.8   | 0      | 139.24 | 0      |
| 7            | 5      | 2.7    | 25     | 7.29   | 13.5   |
| 8            | 5      | 7.8    | 25     | 60.84  | 39     |
| 9            | 5      | 11.8   | 25     | 139.24 | 59     |
| Maximum      | -5     | 11.8   | 25     | 139.24 | 59     |
| Minimum      | -5     | 2.7    | 0      | 7.29   | -69    |

### Results and Discussion

When the water flows through the pump, hydraulic losses may occur due to eddy formation in different components as changes in flow direction because of losses in kinetic energy at the discharge of the pump.

Considering only the hydraulic loss, the pump efficiency is calculated by the following equation (5):

$$
\eta = \frac{\rho \times g \times H \times Q}{T \times \omega}
$$

Where $gH$ is specific energy of the centrifugal pump (m$^2$/s$^2$); $\rho$ is fluid density (kg/m$^3$); $\eta$ is efficiency (%); $Q$ is flow rate (m$^3$/s); $T$ is torque (Nm); $\omega$ is angular velocity (rad/s).

As a whole, Figure 7 illustrates that the performance curves of two types of the volute casing model increase with a low flow rate, and falls slightly when the flow rate starts increasing larger than 0.015 m$^3$/s.

After that, from these results the volute type 2 was selected. From Figure 7, it is clear to see that the B.E.P. locates at the flow rate of 0.015 m$^3$/s with the pump efficiency of 72.7%. This point is used for
that the pump efficiency decreases when the gap between impeller and volute tongue increases, respectively. This figure demonstrates that the gap between the impeller and the volute tongue is not more strongly effective on performance of the pump than the volute tongue angle is.

A small gap between the impeller and the volute tongue makes the pump improve its performance [2,7]. It is clear to see that optimum value is \( x(0, 2.7) \) which was pointed out form the DOE method as seen in Table 9. This means that the volute tongue angle and the gap between impeller and tongue after optimization are \( X_1 = 0^\circ \) and \( X_2 = 3.67 \text{ mm} \) referred eqns. 1 and 2, respectively. It can be seen that the pump efficiency after optimization increases up to 75.01% as shown in Table 10. The results show that the gap between impeller and tongue as 7.67 mm and the volute tongue angle as 0° are a final option for designers. In Table 10, it can be seen that the volute tongue angle is more strongly effective on pump performance than the gap is.

### Conclusion

The performance analysis for the centrifugal pump model was carried out in detail using CFD methods. From the results of this study, it is observed that the optimum design of volute tongue improved the pump efficiency from 72.7% (original volute tongue) up to 75.01%.

The results of optimization point out that the centrifugal pump performs best at 0° of the volute tongue angle and 7.76 mm gap between impeller and the volute tongue, which are suitable for the parameters and specifications of the pump in this study. Besides, the pump efficiency of the volute casing type 2 (fan-shaped areas) is higher than that of the other. A small gap between the impeller and the volute tongue makes better performance of the pump, but if the gap size becomes much smaller, there will be increased the pressure fluctuation that is unwanted and avoided when designing.
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### Sample point

| Sample point | Level |
|--------------|-------|
| x_1          | -15.9600 | -8.7870 | -17.0341 |
| x_2          | -13.1480 | -14.2969 | -14.3410 |
| Ignored 1    | -14.0590 | -13.6770 | -14.0500 |
| Ignored 2    | -14.0530 | -14.0721 | -13.6610 |

**Table 9:** Design variable and levels.

### Parameters and objective

| Parameters and objective | Initial design | Post-optimization |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| X_1 (Gap)                | 7.67 mm        | 7.67 mm           |
| X_2 (Angle)              | 5°             | 0°                |
| \( \eta \)               | 74.4%          | 75.01%            |

**Table 10:** Summary results in comparison.