The limiting Kac random polynomial and truncated random orthogonal matrices
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Abstract. An exact calculation of the eigenvalue statistics of truncated random Haar distributed real orthogonal matrices has recently been carried out by Khoruzhenko, Sommers and Zyczkowski. We further develop this calculation and use it to deduce a Pfaffian form of the correlations for the zeros of the limiting Kac random polynomial. This contrasts with the forms known from previous studies of the real zeros (a multidimensional Gaussian integral with the integrand multiplied by the absolute values of the variables) and the complex zeros (a Hafnian).
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1. Introduction

There has been much recent progress in the exact evaluation of correlation functions for various non-Hermitian, real-entry random matrix ensembles [9, 10, 20, 21, 8, 3, 1, 14]. The most recent of these works, due to Khoruzhenko et al [14], lays the foundations to completely solve this problem for the ensemble of real-entry random matrices formed from $M \times M$ sub-blocks of $(L + M) \times (L + M)$ Haar distributed real orthogonal matrices. In light of the recent work of Krishnapur [15], the case $L = 1, M \to \infty$, has immediate implication in the evaluation of the $N \to \infty$ limiting correlation functions for the zeros of the so-called Kac random polynomial:

$$f(x) = \sum_{p=0}^{N} a_p x^p,$$

where each $a_p$ is an independent standard real Gaussian. It is the purpose of this paper to make these implications explicit, and to similarly make explicit the implications for the point process defined by the zeros of the determinant of the matrix-valued real Gaussian power series:

$$\det \left( \sum_{p=0}^{\infty} A_L^{(p)} x^p \right) = 0.$$  

Here each $A_L^{(p)}$ is an independent $L \times L$ standard Gaussian real random matrix.

We begin in section 2 by adapting the work of Krishnapur [15] to show the relationship between the eigenvalues of truncations of random orthogonal matrices, and the zeros of (2). We further revise known exact expressions, due to Bleher and Di [4], for the correlations of the real zeros of (1), and known exact expressions due to Prosen [19] for the correlations of the complex zeros. In section 3 we extend the workings in [14] in relation to the exact evaluation of the correlations for eigenvalues of truncations of random orthogonal
matrices. Thus we give the explicit $M \to \infty$ form of the entries of the Pfaffian specifying this correlation function.

The result from section 2 giving an equivalence between the eigenvalues of certain truncated orthogonal matrices and the limiting zeros of (2), together with the Pfaffian form of the eigenvalue correlations obtained in section 3, tell us that the limiting zeros of the Kac polynomial form a Pfaffian point process. However, neither the correlations between the real zeros, nor the correlations between the complex zeros obtained in [4] and [19] respectively, are in a Pfaffian form. We can check directly that the one- and two-point function of the former, and the one-point function of the latter, coincide. This is the essence of our conclusion, section 4, which therefore leaves open the problem of directly showing the equivalence between the different forms.

2. Preliminary results

2.1. The result of Krishnapur

Consider the ensemble $\{U\}$ of Haar-distributed $(L+M) \times (L+M)$ real orthogonal matrices. Block decompose $U$ according to

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} A_{L \times L} & B \\ C & V_{M \times M} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

As noted in [15] in the case of complex unitary matrices, these blocks satisfy the determinant identity

$$\frac{\det(zI_M - V)}{\det(I_M - zV)} = (-1)^M \det U \det(A + zB(I_M - zV)^{-1}C). \quad (4)$$

Now write

$$f_M(z) = (-1)^M \det U \frac{\det(zI_M - V)}{\det(I_M - zV)}. \quad (5)$$

According to (4)

$$M^{L/2}f_M(z) = \det \left( \sqrt{M} (A + zB(I_M - zV)^{-1}C) \right) \times \det \left( \sqrt{M} (A + zBC + z^2BVC + z^3BV^2C + \cdots) \right). \quad (6)$$

But we know from [11, p 113] that for $M \to \infty$

$$\sqrt{M} (A, BC, BVC, BV^2C, \ldots) \overset{d}{=} (A^{(0)}_L, A^{(1)}_L, A^{(2)}_L, \ldots). \quad (7)$$

This implies [11, proof of theorem 4.3.15, p 112] that the eigenvalues of the sub-block $V$ coincide with the zeros of (2) for $N \to \infty$ (compare (5) with (6) and (7)).
2.2. Limiting real and complex correlations for the Kac polynomial

In relation to (1), it was proved by Kac [13] that for large \( N \) the leading-order expected number of real zeros is \((2/\pi) \log N\). Also, it is known (see [4] and references therein) that for \( N \to \infty \) the density of real zeros is

\[
\rho^r_1(x) = \frac{1}{\pi|1 - x^2|}.
\]

(8)

This is singular at \( x = \pm 1 \)—the points where the zeros accumulate, but is otherwise well defined.

An important point from [4] is that the zeros for \(|x| < 1\) are statistically independent from the zeros for \(|x| > 1\). This is in keeping with the fact [11, lemma 2.3.3] that for \( N \to \infty \) the random analytic function (2) has a radius of convergence of unity, and moreover cannot be analytically continued to \(|x| \geq 1\). In particular it explains why it is consistent that the eigenvalues of \( V \) in section 2.1 all have modulus less than one.

The general \( k \)-point correlation function \( \rho^r_k \) for the real zeros in \((-1, 1)\) of the limiting Kac polynomial (1) has been computed by Bleher and Di [4]. Subsequent work by these authors [5] gives a method to show that this same functional form persists for a wide class of mean zero distributions for the coefficients \( a_p \) in (1) (the method is applied to the case of variance \((N_p)\)). To state the result, let

\[
\Delta_k = [\Delta_{jl}]_{j,l=1,\ldots,k}
\]

with each \( \Delta_{jl} \) a \( 2 \times 2 \) block:

\[
\Delta_{jl} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 - x_j x_l & x_j \\
(1 - x_j x_l)^2 & 1 + x_j x_l \\
(1 - x_j x_l)^2 & (1 - x_j x_l)^2
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Then we have from [4, equation (4.6)] that

\[
\rho^r_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^k \sqrt{\det \Delta_k}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_1 \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_k |y_1 \cdots y_k| e^{-\bar{y}^T \Omega_k \bar{y}},
\]

(9)

where \( \bar{y} = [y_l]_{l=1,\ldots,k} \) is a column vector and \( \Omega_k \) denotes the \( k \times k \) matrix obtained by removing all the odd numbered rows and columns from \( \Delta_k^{-1} \). In the case \( k = 1 \) this reclaims (8), while for \( k = 2 \) it simplifies to give [4, equation (3.10)]

\[
\rho^r_2(x_1, x_2) = \frac{(x_1 - x_2)^2}{\pi^2(1 - x_1 x_2)^2(1 - x_1^2)(1 - x_2^2)}
+ \frac{|x_1 - x_2|}{\pi^2(1 - x_1 x_2)^2(1 - x_1^2)(1 - x_2^2)} \arcsin \frac{\sqrt{(1 - x_1^2)(1 - x_2^2)}}{1 - x_1 x_2}
\]

(10)

(for an extended discussion of issues relating to a direct evaluation of the integral in (9) for general \( \Omega_k \), see [16]).

We now turn our attention to the complex zeros. For the \( a_p \) in (1) any independent real Gaussian distribution with variance \( b_p \), and thus

\[
\langle a_p a_q \rangle = b_p \delta_{p,q},
\]

(11)
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A formula for the $k$-point correlation $\rho^c_k$ of the complex zeros has been given by Prosen [19]. Since the coefficients of the polynomials are real, the complex zeros must occur in complex conjugate pairs. The exact evaluation is given in terms of a quantity known as a Hafnian (see, e.g., [12]) but referred to as a semi-permanent in [19]:

$$Hf A_{2k \times 2k} = \sum_{P \in S_{2k}} \prod_{l=1}^k a_{P(2l-1), P(2l)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Here $A_{2k \times 2k} = [a_{jk}]_{j,k=1,...,2k}$ is assumed symmetric, and the asterisk on the sum means that the permutations must satisfy $P(1) < P(3) < \cdots < P(2k-1)$ and $P(2i-1) < P(2i)$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$). According to [19, equation (12)] we have that

$$\rho^c_k(z_1, \ldots, z_k) = \frac{Hf (C - B^\dagger A^{-1} B)}{\sqrt{\det(2\pi A)}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The matrices $A, B, C$ are of size $2k \times 2k$ and have entries defined in terms of

$$g(u) := \sum_{n=0}^N b_n u^n,$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $b_n$ is specified by (11). Explicitly, with $z_{k+s} := \bar{z}_s$ and $g'(u)$ denoting the derivative of $g(u)$, these matrices have entries

$$A_{jl} = g(z_j \bar{z}_l), \quad B_{jl} = \partial_{\bar{z}_l} A_{jl} = z_j g'(z_j \bar{z}_l),$$
$$C_{jl} = \partial_{z_j} \partial_{\bar{z}_l} A_{jl} = g''(z_j \bar{z}_l) + z_j \bar{z}_l g''(z_j \bar{z}_l).$$

In the present problem we have $b_n = 1$ for each $n$, and we must also take the limit $N \to \infty$. Then (14) simplifies to

$$g(u) = \frac{1}{1 - u}. \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

In the case $k = 1$ (13) can be written out explicitly [19, equation (19)] for general $g$. Substituting (15) in that formula we find

$$\rho^c_1(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{|z - \bar{z}|}{|1 - z^2|(1 - |z|^2)^2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

3. Pfaffian correlations for eigenvalues of truncated orthogonal matrices

3.1. Specification of the correlations

Let an $(L + M) \times (L + M)$ random Haar-distributed real orthogonal matrix $U$ be decomposed as in (3). The exact form of the joint eigenvalue PDF and the $k$-point correlations has been the subject of the recent work [14]. It was shown that, up to a known normalization, the joint eigenvalue PDF is given by

$$\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq M} (z_j - z_k) \prod_{j=1}^M w(z_j)$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)
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where

\[
\begin{aligned}
    w(z) &= \begin{cases} 
        \left( \frac{L(L-1)}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |1 - z^2|^{L-2} \int_{2|y|/|1-z^2|}^{1} (1 - u^2)^{(L-3)/2} du & , \quad L \neq 1 \\
        \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |1 - z^2|^{-1/2} & , \quad L = 1.
    \end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]  

(18)

Note that, when \( z \) is real and thus \( y = 0 \), (18) reduces to

\[
    w(x) = \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma((L+1)/2)}{\Gamma(L/2)} (1 - x^2)^{L/2-1}.
\]

The functional form (17) must be considered as a sum over all allowed numbers of real eigenvalues. Thus with \( M \) even (for convenience) there can be an even number of real eigenvalues, while the remaining eigenvalues come in complex conjugate pairs. As noted in [14], but not made explicit, the structured form (17) implies that the correlation functions between general sets of \( k_1 \) real eigenvalues and \( k_2 \) complex eigenvalues (the latter with imaginary part positive) can be written as a \( 2(k_1 + k_2) \times 2(k_1 + k_2) \) Pfaffian [9, 6, 8, 21].

The key to such formulae is an antisymmetric inner product induced by (17):

\[
    \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle := 2i \int \int_{D^+} (w(z))^2 (g_1(z)g_2(\bar{z}) - g_1(\bar{z})g_2(z)) \, dx \, dy \\
    + \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} w(x_1)w(x_2)g(x_1)g(x_2)\text{sgn} (x_2 - x_1) \, dx_1 \, dx_2
\]

(20)

where \( z = x + iy \) and \( D^+ \) is the upper-half unit disc.

Let \( \{p_j(z)\}_{j=0,1,...} \) be a set of skew orthogonal polynomials with respect to (20),

\[
    \langle p_{2j}, p_{2k} \rangle = \langle p_{2j+1}, p_{2k+1} \rangle = 0 \\
    \langle p_{2j}, p_{2k+1} \rangle = 0 \quad (j \neq k),
\]

(21)

where it is required that each \( p_j(z) \) is monic and of degree \( j \). Equations (21) uniquely determine the \( p_j \) provided we make a definite choice of the coefficient of \( z^{2j} \) in \( p_{2j+1}(z) \)—we take this to be zero. Use these monic polynomials and the weight (18) to define

\[
    \tilde{w}(u) := \sqrt{2} w(u)
\]

(22)

\[
    q_j(u) := \tilde{w}(u)p_j(u)
\]

(23)

\[
    \tau_j(u) = \begin{cases} 
        -\frac{1}{2i} \int_{-1}^{1} \text{sgn} (u - t)q_j(t) \, dt, & u \in \mathbb{R} \\
        iq_j(\bar{u}), & u \in D^+
    \end{cases}
\]

(24)

\[
    \varepsilon(u_1, u_2) = \begin{cases} 
        \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn} (u_1 - u_2), & u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R} \\
        0, & \text{otherwise}
    \end{cases}
\]

(25)
where complex eigenvalues—replace the subscripts \(rr\) by \(cc\). Also, structurally the same formulae hold for the one- and two-point correlations of the determinant \(q_{\text{det}}\) (see, e.g., [7, section 6.1.1]) through the equation

\[
S(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{M/2-1} \frac{1}{r_j} (q_{2j}(x)\tau_{2j+1}(y) - q_{2j+1}(x)\tau_{2j}(y))
\]  
(26)

\[
D(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{M/2-1} \frac{1}{r_j} (q_{2j}(x)q_{2j+1}(y) - q_{2j+1}(x)q_{2j}(y))
\]  
(27)

\[
\tilde{I}(x, y) = \sum_{j=0}^{M/2-1} \frac{1}{r_j} (\tau_{2j}(x)\tau_{2j+1}(y) - \tau_{2j+1}(x)\tau_{2j}(y)) + \varepsilon(x, y).
\]  
(28)

Let \(Z_{2n}\) denote the \(2n \times 2n\) block diagonal matrix

\[
Z_{2n} = \mathbb{I}_n \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

A \(2n \times 2n\) matrix \(S\) is said to be self-dual if \(S^D = Z_{2n} S^T Z_{2n}^{-1}\). It follows that \(SZ_{2n}^{-1}\) is antisymmetric so its Pfaffian is well defined. This allows us to introduce the quaternion determinant \(q_{\text{det}}\) (see, e.g., [7, section 6.1.1]) through the equation

\[
q_{\text{det}} S = \text{Pf} SZ_{2n}^{-1}.
\]  
(29)

According to the working in [6, 17], the \((k_1 + k_2)\)-point correlation function is given in terms of a quaternion determinant (or equivalently, using (29), in terms of a Pfaffian) by

\[
\rho_{(k_1 + k_2)}(x_1, \ldots, x_{k_1}; z_1, \ldots, z_{k_2}) = q_{\text{det}} \begin{bmatrix} [K_{\text{rr}}(x_j, x_i)]_{j, i=1,\ldots,k_1} & [K_{\text{rc}}(x_j, z_i)]_{j=1,\ldots,k_1} & [K_{\text{cc}}(z_j, z_i)]_{j=1,\ldots,k_2} \\ [K_{\text{cr}}(z_j, x_i)]_{j=1,\ldots,k_2} & [K_{\text{cc}}(z_j, z_i)]_{j=1,\ldots,k_2} & [K_{\text{cc}}(z_1, z_2)]_{j=1,\ldots,k_2} \end{bmatrix},
\]  
(30)

where

\[
K_{\text{rr}}(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{\text{rr}}(x, y) & -D_{\text{rr}}(x, y) \\ I_{\text{rr}}(x, y) & S_{\text{rr}}(y, x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{\text{rc}}(x, z) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{\text{rc}}(x, z) & -D_{\text{rc}}(x, z) \\ I_{\text{rc}}(x, z) & S_{\text{rc}}(z, x) \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{\text{cc}}(z, z_2) = \begin{bmatrix} S_{\text{cc}}(z_1, z_2) & -D_{\text{cc}}(z_1, z_2) \\ I_{\text{cc}}(z_1, z_2) & S_{\text{cc}}(z_2, z_1) \end{bmatrix}.
\]

Here \(S_{\cdot \cdot}, D_{\cdot \cdot}, I_{\cdot \cdot}\) refer to (26)–(28); the subscripts indicate if the corresponding variables are real or complex, which according to (24) and (25) affects the functional form.

For future reference, we remark that (30) gives for the one- and two-point correlations of the real eigenvalues

\[
\rho_{(1)}(x) = S_{\text{rr}}(x, x)
\]

\[
\rho_{(2)}(x_1, x_2) = S_{\text{rr}}(x_1, x_1)S_{\text{rr}}(x_2, x_2) - (S_{\text{rr}}(x_1, x_2))S_{\text{rr}}(x_2, x_1) + D_{\text{rr}}(x_1, x_2)\tilde{I}_{\text{rr}}(x_1, x_2).
\]  
(31)

Also, structurally the same formulae hold for the one- and two-point correlations of the complex eigenvalues—replace the subscripts \(rr\) by \(cc\).
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3.2. Skew orthogonal polynomials and summation formulae

The practical use of (30) requires the explicit form of the skew orthogonal polynomials, as determined by (20) and (21). To proceed directly in their computation appears to be a formidable task. However, as observed in [14], and in [20,21,2] for other ensembles of non-Hermitian random matrices, an indirect determination is possible. This follows by evaluating the LHS of the identity

$$\langle \det(x - V(M-2) \times (M-2))(y - V(M-2) \times (M-2)) \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{M/2-1} \frac{1}{r_j} (p_{2j+1}(x)p_{2j}(y) - p_{2j+1}(y)p_{2j}(x)),$$

(32)

valid for \( M \) even. Here \( V(M-2) \times (M-2) \) is the bottom right block in (3) in the case that the real orthogonal matrix \( U \) is of size \( (L+M-2) \times (L+M-2) \) and the average is over \( V(M-2) \times (M-2) \). The result of [14, equation (8)] is that the LHS is equal to

$$\langle (x - y) \rangle \sum_{m=0}^{M-2} \frac{(L+m)!}{L^m!} (xy)^m.$$

(33)

Substituting (33) in (32), replacing \( M \) by \( M+2 \), then subtracting the original equation gives

$$\frac{1}{r_{M/2}} (p_{M+1}(x)p_M(y) - p_{M+1}(y)p_M(x)) = (x - y) \left( \frac{(L+M)!}{L!M!} (xy)^M + \frac{(L+M-1)!}{L!(M-1)!} (xy)^{M-1} \right).$$

Recalling that each \( p_j(x) \) is monic of degree \( j \), and that \( M \) is even, and that the coefficient of \( z^{2k} \) in \( p_{2k+1}(z) \) must be zero, it follows from this that

$$p_j(x) = \begin{cases} x^j, & j \text{ even} \\ x^j - \frac{j-1}{L+j-1} x^{j-2}, & j \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

(34)

and

$$\frac{1}{r_j} = \frac{(L+2j)!}{L!(2j)!}.$$ 

(35)

Furthermore, comparing the RHS of (32) with the definition (27) of \( D(x, y) \), it follows from (27) that

$$D(x, y) = -\tilde{w}(x)\bar{w}(y)(x - y) \sum_{m=0}^{M-2} \frac{(L+m)!}{L^m!} (xy)^m.$$

(36)

Recognizing the sum as a partial sum of the generalized binomial series, we obtain the summation formula

$$\lim_{M \to \infty} D(x, y) = -\frac{\tilde{w}(x)\bar{w}(y)(x - y)}{(1 - xy)^{L+1}}.$$

(37)
Also that is borrowed from [10]. There, structures we are about to exhibit were observed in the case of the partially symmetric real Ginibre ensemble. Thus we begin by observing from (34) that

\[ S_{cc}(z_1, z_2) = iD(z_1, \bar{z}_2), \quad \bar{I}_{cc}(z_1, z_2) = -D(\bar{z}_1, \bar{z}_2). \] (38)

Applying (37) we thus have

\[
\lim_{M \to \infty} S_{cc}(z_1, z_2) = -i \frac{\tilde{w}(z_1)\tilde{w}(z_2)(z_1 - \bar{z}_2)}{(1 - \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2)^{L+1}},
\]

\[
\lim_{M \to \infty} \bar{I}_{cc}(z_1, z_2) = \frac{\tilde{w}(z_1)\tilde{w}(z_2)(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)}{(1 - \bar{z}_1 \bar{z}_2)^{L+1}}.
\] (39)

Consider next the case that both variables are real. Our strategy to compute \( S_{rr}(x, y) \) is borrowed from [10]. There, structures we are about to exhibit were observed in the case of the partially symmetric real Ginibre ensemble. Thus we begin by observing from (34) that

\[
p_{2n+1}(x) = -\frac{1}{L + 2n}(1 - x^2)^{-L/2+1} \frac{d}{dx}((1 - x^2)^{L/2}x^{2n}).
\] (40)

Recalling the definition (24) then shows

\[
\tau_{2n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{L + 2n} \left( \frac{L}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma((L + 1)/2)}{\Gamma(L/2)} \right)^{1/2} (1 - x^2)^{L/2}x^{2n},
\]

and so

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{M/2-1} \frac{1}{r_j} q_{2j}(x) \tau_{2j+1}(y) = \frac{L}{2\pi} \frac{\Gamma(1/2)\Gamma((L + 1)/2)}{\Gamma(L/2)} (1 - x^2)^{L/2-1}
\]

\[
\times (1 - y^2)^{L/2} \sum_{j=0}^{M/2-1} \frac{1}{r_j} \frac{1}{L + 2j} p_{2j}(x)p_{2j}(y).
\] (41)

Also

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{M/2} \frac{1}{r_j} q_{2j+1}(x) \tau_{2j}(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{M/2-1} \frac{1}{r_j} \tau_{2j}(y) \left( x^{2j+1} - \frac{2j}{L + 2j} x^{2j-1} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{r_{M/2-1}} \tau_{M-2}(y) \tilde{w}(x) x^{M-1}
\]

\[
- \tilde{w}(x) \sum_{j=0}^{M/2-2} \frac{(L + 2j + 1)!}{L!(2j + 1)!} x^{2j+1} \left( \tau_{2j+2}(y) - \frac{2j + 1}{L + 2j + 1} \tau_{2j}(y) \right).
\] (42)

To proceed further, we note that analogous to (40) we have

\[
p_{2j+2}(y) - \frac{2j + 1}{L + 2j + 1} p_{2j}(y) = -\frac{1}{L + 2j + 1} (1 - y^2)^{-L/2+1} \frac{d}{dy}((1 - y^2)^{L/2}y^{2j+1}).
\]

Recalling now the definition (24) of \( \tau_k \) we see

\[
\tau_{2j+2}(y) - \frac{2j + 1}{L + 2j + 1} \tau_{2j}(y) = \frac{1}{L + 2j + 1} \tilde{w}(y)y^{2j+1}(1 - y^2).
\] (43)
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Substituting (43) in (42) shows
\[
\sum_{j=0}^{M/2-1} \frac{1}{r_j} p_{2j+1}(x) \tau_{2j}(y) = \frac{1}{r_{M/2-1}} \tau_{M/2}(y) \tilde{w}(x)x^{M-1} \\
- \tilde{w}(x) \tilde{w}(y) (1 - y^2) \sum_{j=0}^{M/2-2} \frac{(L + 2j + 1)!}{L!(2j + 1)!} \frac{1}{L + 2j + 1} x^{2j+1}.
\]
(44)

 Appropriately combining (41) and (44) then gives our sought summation formula
\[
S_{rr}(x, y) = -\frac{1}{r_{M/2-1}} \tau_{M-2}(y) \tilde{w}(x)x^{M-1} \\
+ \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma((L + 1)/2)}{\Gamma(L/2)} (1 - x^2)^{L/2-1} (1 - y^2)^{L/2} \sum_{j=0}^{M-2} \frac{(L + j - 1)!}{(L - 1)!(L - 1)!} x^j y^j.
\]

Finally, taking the $M \to \infty$ limit and relating the resulting sum to the generalized binomial series we obtain
\[
\lim_{M \to \infty} S_{rr}(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma((L + 1)/2)}{\Gamma(L/2)} (1 - x^2)^{L/2-1} (1 - y^2)^{L/2} (1 - xy)^L.
\]
(45)

With $S_{rr}(x, y)$ known, $\tilde{I}_{rr}(x, y)$ follows from the formula
\[
\tilde{I}_{rr}(x, y) = -\int_x^y S_{rr}(u, y) \, du + \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn} (x - y),
\]
(46)

which in turn follows from the definitions (28), (26) and (24). We note that in the case $L = 1$ the integral in (46) with the substitution (45) can be evaluated to give
\[
\lim_{M \to \infty} \tilde{I}_{rr}(x, y) \bigg|_{L=1} = \frac{\text{sgn} (y - x)}{\pi} \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{(1 - x^2)(1 - y^2)}{1 - xy}}.
\]
(47)

Calculation of the quantities $S_{..}$ and $\tilde{I}_{..}$ for the real/complex and complex/real blocks requires no further work. Thus it follows from the definitions that
\[
S_{cr}(z, x) = S_{rr}(z, x) \quad S_{rc}(x, z) = iD_{rc}(x, \bar{z}) \\
\tilde{I}_{cr}(z, x) = -\tilde{I}_{rc}(x, z) = iS_{rr}(\bar{z}, x).
\]
(48)

4. Conclusion

From section 2.1 we know that the zeros of the determinant of the matrix-valued real Gaussian power series (2) are statistically identical to the eigenvalues of the truncated real orthogonal matrices $V_{M \times M}, M \to \infty$ in (3). The correlations for the latter are given by the Pfaffian (30), with entries specified by (37), (38), (39), (45) and (46).

In the case $L = 1$ the matrix-valued random function in (2) is equal to the $N \to \infty$ limiting form of the Kac random polynomial (1). The explicit form of the entries in (30)
are then
\[
K_{rr}(x, y) \bigg|_{L=1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{(1 - y^2)^{1/2}}{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}(1 - xy)} & \frac{(x - y)}{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}(1 - y^2)(1 - xy)^2} \\
\text{sgn}(y - x) \arcsin \sqrt{1 - x^2} & \frac{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}}{(1 - y^2)^{1/2}(1 - xy)}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
K_{rc}(x, z) \bigg|_{L=1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \begin{bmatrix}
-\frac{i(x - \bar{z})}{1 - z^2)^{1/2}(1 - z\bar{z})^2} & \frac{(x - z)}{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}(1 - z^2)^{1/2}(1 - z\bar{z})^2} \\
\frac{-i(1 - x^2)^{1/2}}{1 - z^2)^{1/2}(1 - z\bar{z})} & \frac{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}}{1 - z^2)^{1/2}(1 - z\bar{z})}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
K_{cr}(z, x) \bigg|_{L=1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}}{1 - z^2)^{1/2}(1 - z\bar{z})} & \frac{-(x - z)}{(1 - x^2)^{1/2}(1 - z^2)^{1/2}(1 - z\bar{z})^2} \\
\frac{i(1 - x^2)^{1/2}}{1 - z^2)^{1/2}(1 - z\bar{z})} & \frac{-i(x - \bar{z})}{1 - z^2)^{1/2}(1 - z\bar{z})^2}
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
K_{cc}(z_1, z_2) \bigg|_{L=1} = \frac{1}{\pi} \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{-i(z_1 - \bar{z}_2)}{1 - z_1^2)^{1/2}(1 - z_2^2)^{1/2}(1 - z_1\bar{z}_2)^2} & \frac{(z_1 - z_2)}{(1 - z_1^2)^{1/2}(1 - z_2^2)^{1/2}(1 - z_1\bar{z}_2)^2} \\
\frac{i(\bar{z}_1 - \bar{z}_2)}{1 - z_1^2)^{1/2}(1 - z_2^2)^{1/2}(1 - \bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2)^2} & \frac{-i(z_1 - \bar{z}_2)}{1 - z_1^2)^{1/2}(1 - z_2^2)^{1/2}(1 - \bar{z}_1\bar{z}_2)^2}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Recalling (31), we check from these explicit forms that the results (8) and (10) for the one- and two-point real correlations and the result (16) for the one-point complex correlation are reclaimed. These results were obtained from the forms (9) and (13), different from the corresponding Pfaffian formula (30). An outstanding task then is to give a direct derivation of the equivalence between the forms. In the case of complex limiting Kac polynomials, the analogous problem was solved by Peres and Virág [18].
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