Is young-onset esophageal adenocarcinoma increasing in Japan? An analysis of population-based cancer registries
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Abstract

Background: While esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is the predominant histological type in Japan, concern has been expressed over an increase in the proportion of esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC), especially in middle-aged populations. This study aimed to assess long-term trends in esophageal cancer incidence by histological type.

Methods: We used data from three population-based cancer registries in Japan with 10,642 esophageal cancer cases diagnosed between 1993 and 2014. The multiple imputation approach was used to impute a specific histological type (ESCC, EAC, and others) for cases with “Unknown” or missing status. We calculated the age-standardized incidence rates by histological type from 1993 to 2014 and fitted age-period-cohort models to estimate the annual percent changes (APCs) and adjusted incidence rate ratios (IRRs).

Results: After imputation of missing data, the largest mean APC increase was seen in the incidence of EAC in men aged 40–49 years (7.1%) followed by those aged 50–59 years (5.5%). The age-period-cohort analysis showed that men who were born in the 1960s and later were more likely to develop EAC relative to men who were born in 1950–1959 (1960–1969 cohort, IRR: 1.42; 1970–1974 cohort, IRR: 2.23), with a period effect indicating a constant increase after 2003. For women, no significant trend in EAC incidence was observed.

Conclusions: The incidence of EAC has increased more prominently compared with that of ESCC, especially in men aged 40–59 years, suggesting the impact of increasing obesity in men and a reduction in H. pylori prevalence in Japan.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC), which is causatively linked primarily with obesity, gastroesophageal reflux disease, and Barrett’s esophagus,1-3 is a major histological type in Western countries. While esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) constitutes around 90% of esophageal cancer in Japan, concern has been expressed that the proportion of EAC has increased in recent years.4,5 Regarding cause, the rapid transition to a westernized lifestyle followed by an increase in obesity since the late 1950s is suggested to have played a major role; for instance, the National Health and Nutrition Survey, which has followed the lifestyle change in Japanese since 1947, reported that the frequency of overweight and obese adults with a BMI above 25 kg/m² has increased over the past several decades, particularly in men born in the 1970s.6-8 The impact of rising obesity on the incidence of EAC has already been identified in the United States,9 yet its impact remains unknown in Japan. Furthermore, infection with Helicobacter pylori (H. pylori) is protective against gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) and subsequent progression to Barrett’s esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma.1 However, the prevalence of H. pylori infection has dramatically decreased among recent birth cohorts, to as low as 10% for individuals born after 1998.10 To elucidate how chronological changes in risk factors impact the occurrence of EAC, a time trend analysis of esophageal cancer incidence by major histological type would be useful. However, the previous under-reporting of cancer subtypes remains a major barrier to the analysis of cancer registry data in all countries. In recent years, the multiple imputation method has been used to account for missing values. This method yields a mean estimate and standard error to incorporate variance between imputed values.11-15 In the present study, we assessed long-term trends in esophageal cancer incidence by major histological type using population-based cancer registry data in Japan.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | Data sources

We used data from three population-based cancer registries in Japan (Yamagata, northeastern region; Fukui, Sea of Japan region; Nagasaki, southern region) under the Monitoring of Cancer Incidence in Japan Project.16 These registries were established with the aim of monitoring long-term trends in cancer incidence with a high degree of completeness and timeliness, and the incidence is, therefore, less likely to be affected by changes in data quality.17,18 Trends from these prefectures in combination are comparable to those observed in the overall Japanese population.17 We extracted 10,642 esophageal cancer cases diagnosed between 1993 and 2014 from these three prefectures. The incidence of esophageal cancer was classified according to the International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision (ICD-10) code of “C15.” This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer Center in Tokyo (approval number 2004-061).

2.2 | Imputation method

We grouped histological type into three major categories using the International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, 3rd Edition (ICD-O-3) morphology codes, namely esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC, 8050–8084), esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC, 8140–8384), and other specified malignant neoplasm (other, 8011–8046, 8090–8131, and 8380–9581). We treated cases with “Unknown” as histological type and neoplasms not otherwise specified (NOS) (8000–8010) as missing this value, and imputed values by the multiple imputation approach. We assumed that the missing cases were not completely randomly distributed among cases (missing completely at random, MCAR), but rather that the missing patterns of histological types depended on the observed data (missing at random, MAR).

The imputation model adapted variables from the cancer registry data that can predict the histological type and its missingness. The model used the following covariates: sex; age at diagnosis; prefecture; year of diagnosis; whether the case was DCN (death certificate notification) or not; whether the case was DCO (death certificate only) or not; clinical stage at diagnosis (localized, regional, or distant); whether the tumor was detected by screening (yes or no); type of primary treatment received, including surgery (yes or no), chemotherapy (yes or no), radiotherapy (yes or no), and endoscopy (yes or no); observation period (from the time of diagnosis to last confirmed year of survival, year of death, or censor year, whichever came first); and the vital status during the observation period. Unless death or the last confirmed date of survival was reported, the observation period was censored at the last year and month of follow-up (2016 for Yamagata and Fukui and 2015 for Nagasaki). In addition, we used a prefecture-level Gini coefficient (an index of income inequality), which ranges from 0 (perfect equality) to 1 (perfect inequality),19 to adjust for socioeconomic inequalities.20,21 The imputation model took each case with a missing value and imputed for it a specific histological
type (ESCC, EAC, or other types). Multiple imputation with chained equations was performed to impute each variable with a missing value using the remaining variables in the dataset so that no variable was left with a missing observation. This imputation method has been described in detail in a previous study on prostate cancer incidence. Validity of the imputation model was assessed using the Kaplan–Meier log-rank test of equality with Bonferroni correction for overall survival by histological type and by year of diagnosis, comparing the complete dataset excluding the missing values and 10 imputed datasets: if the imputation is valid, survival probability by each histological type obtained from the imputed datasets should not be significantly different from the complete dataset, because the prognosis differs by histological type.

2.3 Trend analysis

For the trend analyses, we calculated the age-standardized incidence rates (ASIRs) by histological type and sex from 1993 to 2014 using a 1985 Japanese standard population for each of the imputed datasets. We then took an average of the imputed rates to estimate a single ASIR along with its 95% CIs. Furthermore, a Poisson age-period-cohort model as described by Rutherford et al. was fitted to estimate the annual percent change (APC) per calendar year for ESCC and EAC in men and women, respectively. To obtain stable parameter estimates across histological types, 10-year intervals were used to categorize age groups above 40 years (i.e., 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, 80+), and 10-year intervals to categorize birth cohort (i.e., 1989–1909, 1910–1919, 1920–1929..., 1970–1974). We estimated adjusted incidence rate ratios (IRRs) and 95% CIs to compare incidence rates for a given birth cohort relative to people in the 1950–1959 birth cohort. The same procedure was repeated to estimate the IRRs for cases diagnosed in a given calendar year relative to the cases diagnosed in the calendar year 2000. We arbitrarily chose the 1950–1959 birth cohort as reference for cohort effect, and 2000 as reference for period effect, taking the approximate midpoints in birth cohorts or the year of diagnosis. We further assessed the APC and adjusted IRRs by clinical stage at diagnosis for each histological type. Analysis of EAC incidence in women was restricted to those aged 60 years and older to ensure a sufficient number of cases. We performed additional sensitivity analyses with a reference cohort sourced from within the 1940–1949 birth cohort. Furthermore, the same analyses as those used to assess period and cohort effects on esophageal cancer incidence were conducted using original data before imputation but excluding the “Unknown” histological type.

We also assessed trends in smoking rates in these three prefectures between 2000 and 2019. All analyses were conducted using STATA version 15.0 software (StataCorp LP), and p < 0.05 was considered to denote statistical significance.

3 RESULTS

Table 1 shows the distribution of esophageal cancer cases by reported histological type, including unknown and missing status for each of the selected covariates (also shown in Table S1 by reported histological type, sex, and age group). Patients with unknown/missing morphology were likely to be older and the year of diagnosis tended to be earlier than patients with a reported histological type. Patients with less than 5 years of observation had a higher rate of unknown/missing data for histological type than those with a longer observation period. Table S2 compares the Kaplan–Meier log-rank test for equality of survival probability by histological type and by year of diagnosis between the complete dataset and imputed datasets. Estimated survival probability did not differ significantly across sex and histological types.

3.1 Age-standardized incidence rates and annual percent changes

Figure 1 shows the age-standardized incidence rates of esophageal cancer by histological type and by sex after imputation of missing data. Overall, the age-standardized incidence rates were higher for men than women across all histological types. After imputation of missing data, a constant increase was seen in the ASIR of EAC from 1993 (0.3 per 100,000) to 2014 (1.4 per 100,000) in men. The age-adjusted incidence rates of ESCC in men also showed a slight increase from 1993 (13.0 per 100,000) to 2014 (14.4 per 100,000) with higher variability than other histological types. In contrast, no pronounced change was seen in the incidence rates of other types in men, or across all histological types in women.

After fitting the age-period-cohort model to those over 40 years old, the largest mean APC increase was seen in the incidence of male EAC in those aged 40–49 years (7.1%), followed by those aged 50–59 years (5.5%). For ESCC, a minor increase in mean APC between 1993 and 2014 was seen in men above 50 years old (0.3%–1.0%) (Table 2). The incidence of ESCC in younger women below 60 years showed a slight increase (0.8%–1.5%) but no increase was seen in those above 70 years old. The incidence of EAC in women showed a constant decrease in those above 70 years old. Table S3 shows the APC by clinical stage at
| TABLE 1 | Distribution of esophageal cancer cases by histological type and selected covariates, 1993–2014 |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
|                   | Total number of cases          | Squamous cell carcinoma | Adeno carcinoma | Other types | Unknown/missing |
| All cases         | 10,642                         | 82.6 | 4.0 | 2.2 | 11.2 |
| Age at diagnosis  |                                |                  |                |            |                |
| <50 years         | 336                            | 78.3 | 7.4 | 3.9 | 10.4 |
| 50–<60 years      | 1580                           | 86.5 | 4.3 | 2.6 | 6.7 |
| 60–<70 years      | 3425                           | 86.8 | 3.7 | 2.3 | 7.3 |
| 70–<80 years      | 3478                           | 84.3 | 3.5 | 2.2 | 10.0 |
| 80+ years         | 1823                           | 68.9 | 4.9 | 1.3 | 24.9 |
| Prefecture        |                                |                  |                |            |                |
| Yamagata          | 4710                           | 81.1 | 4.1 | 1.9 | 12.9 |
| Fukui             | 1693                           | 81.3 | 4.7 | 1.8 | 12.2 |
| Nagasaki          | 4239                           | 84.7 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 9.0 |
| Year of diagnosis |                                |                  |                |            |                |
| 1993 to <2000     | 2717                           | 80.1 | 3.9 | 1.9 | 14.1 |
| 2000 to <2005     | 2382                           | 79.6 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 15.6 |
| 2005 to <2010     | 2626                           | 84.1 | 3.4 | 3.2 | 9.3 |
| 2010 to <2015     | 2917                           | 86.0 | 5.9 | 1.4 | 6.7 |
| Death certificate notification |                |                  |                |            |                |
| Yes               | 1086                           | 32.4 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 64.5 |
| No                | 9556                           | 88.3 | 4.3 | 2.3 | 5.2 |
| Clinical stage at diagnosis |            |                  |                |            |                |
| Localized         | 3126                           | 90.2 | 5.4 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Regional          | 3704                           | 90.7 | 3.1 | 2.1 | 4.0 |
| Distant           | 1610                           | 82.6 | 5.6 | 3.6 | 8.3 |
| Unknown/missing   | 2202                           | 58.1 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 38.0 |
| Observation period|                                |                  |                |            |                |
| 0 to <5 years     | 8120                           | 80.4 | 3.9 | 2.2 | 13.5 |
| 5 to <10 years    | 1744                           | 89.8 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 3.5 |
| 10 to <15 years   | 520                            | 89.6 | 4.4 | 2.1 | 3.9 |
| 15+ years         | 258                            | 89.9 | 3.1 | 1.6 | 5.4 |
| Screening detected|                                |                  |                |            |                |
| Yes               | 9608                           | 84.9 | 4.1 | 2.2 | 8.7 |
| No                | 684                            | 90.4 | 4.4 | 2.8 | 2.5 |
| Unknown/missing   | 350                            | 3.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.6 |
| Surgery           |                                |                  |                |            |                |
| Yes               | 3272                           | 89.5 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 2.6 |
| No                | 6362                           | 86.6 | 4.0 | 1.9 | 7.6 |
| Unknown/missing   | 1008                           | 35.0 | 1.7 | 1.2 | 62.1 |
| Chemotherapy      |                                |                  |                |            |                |
| Yes               | 3953                           | 90.4 | 3.4 | 2.7 | 3.5 |
| No                | 5648                           | 85.2 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 7.8 |
| Unknown/missing   | 1041                           | 38.5 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 59.0 |
| Radiotherapy      |                                |                  |                |            |                |
| Yes               | 3708                           | 91.9 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 4.5 |
diagnosis for each histological type in men and women. The increase in mean APC was more pronounced for localized EAC and ESCC than more advanced stages in men, while that in women was inconclusive due to large confidence intervals.

### 3.2 | Period and cohort effects

Figure 2 shows the cohort effects for the IRRs of EAC and ESCC in men after adjusting for age and period effects, and the period effects for the IRRs after adjusting for age.
and cohort effects. Men born in the 1960s and later were more likely to develop EAC relative to men born in 1950–59 (1960–1969 cohort, IRR: 1.42; 1970–1974 cohort, IRR: 2.23). After adjusting for cohort effect, the incidence of EAC showed a steady increase after 2005, which resulted in years 2013–2014 showing significantly higher IRRs relative to the year 2000 (2013, IRR: 1.23, 2014: 1.39). As for ESCC, birth cohorts before 1950 showed significantly lower IRRs relative to men born in 1950–1959, while no clear period effect was observed for the incidence of ESCC in men. Figure 3 shows the IRRs of EAC (60 years and above) and ESCC in women aged over 40 years. No significant period effect was seen in the IRRs of either EAC or ESCC during the study period. However, women who were born before 1950 showed a significantly lower incidence of ESCC compared to women born during 1950–1959. Figures 1–3 show the IRRs of EAC and ESCC, respectively. Localized EAC showed higher IRRs in men born in the 1960s and later yet with wide confidence intervals, while more advanced EAC showed no clear trend. Localized ESCC in men showed a steady increase in IRRs in more recent birth cohorts, while those of regional and distant ESCC showed a decrease in cohorts born in the 1960s and later. When we changed the reference cohort to those born in the 1940s instead of the 1950s, men born in the 1950s showed significantly higher ESCC incidence than those born in the 1940s (Figure S4). Furthermore, ESCC incidence in women aged 60 years and above was significantly higher in those born in the 1950s and later relative to women born in the 1940s. Our sensitivity analysis using original data before imputation, with the exclusion of the “Unknown” histological type, showed wider fluctuations in period and cohort effects on incidence in male EAC in earlier years but did not show substantial change from the results obtained from the imputed data (Figures S5 and S6). Furthermore, trends in smoking prevalence in these three prefectures were similar to those in the overall Japanese population (Figure S7).

**DISCUSSION**

This is the first study to identify long-term trends in esophageal cancer incidence by subtype, disaggregated by period effects and cohort effects over 20 years of diagnosis and more than 50 years of birth cohort before and after World War II. This is also the first study to report that between 1993 and 2014, the overall incidence of EAC, especially of localized EAC, increased in middle-aged men (40–59 years old) in Japan. Although previous studies from Osaka (West Japan) and Akita (Northeast Japan) prefectures reported a general increase in EAC incidence, they did not analyze recent age-specific trends. Moreover, a recent study analyzed trends in esophageal cancer of all types only, and not by subtype.

When we decomposed the observed changes into cohort effects with adjustment for period and age effects, men born in the 1960s and later had a significantly higher risk of developing EAC relative to men who were born in 1950–1959. This observed birth cohort effect might be explained by the fact that incidence of *H. pylori* infection in Japan has seen a marked and rapid decline, from around 70% in Japanese born before 1950 to nearly 5% in those born after 2000, primarily due to improvements in hygiene and sanitation, which affect the likelihood of *H. pylori* infection during childhood. Given that *H. pylori* infections...
infection is an established protective factor against GERD, Barrett’s esophagus, and subsequent EAC via decreased acid secretion,\textsuperscript{1,3} the increase in EAC incidence in those born from 1960 may be associated with the decrease in \textit{H. pylori} prevalence since the 1950s.

Our study also showed a significant period effect after 2013, namely an increase in EAC incidence relative to the year 2000 with adjustment for cohort and age effects. This period increase in EAC incidence among men coincides with the initiation in February 2013 of insurance coverage under the national health insurance scheme for eradication therapy for chronic gastritis (including asymptomatic patients) due to \textit{H. pylori} infection.\textsuperscript{28} A recent meta-analysis reported that successful eradication of \textit{H. pylori} significantly increases the risk of reflux esophagitis irrespective of BMI,\textsuperscript{29,30} possibly by inducing a resumption in acid production capacity.\textsuperscript{31} However, its immediate impact on the development of EAC has not been clarified, and it may be too early to conclude a link between eradication therapy and the observed increase in EAC. Furthermore, an upward change in the IRRs of EAC in men during the past decade may also be explained by an increase in obesity—for instance, the proportion of overweight and obese adults (BMI $\geq$25) nearly doubled over the past few decades in men across age groups, which could be inferred as a period effect.\textsuperscript{32} The prevalence of obesity in the three prefectures was comparable to the national prevalence of obesity.\textsuperscript{33} Increases in the early onset of obesity-related cancers have been reported in other countries: for instance, an increasing incidence of esophageal adenocarcinoma has been observed in US adults starting at age 50 years.\textsuperscript{34} On the contrary, the level of obesity did not change in Japanese women over time, with a prevalence of overweight and obese adults at 20.7% in 1980 versus 21.9% in 2017.\textsuperscript{32} This may explain why no significant trends were seen in female EAC incidence.

Notably, men who were born before 1950 had lower IRRs of ESCC relative to men born between 1950 and 1959. IRRs then started to decline again in cohorts born in the 1960s and later. The main risk factors for ESCC are smoking and alcohol drinking, which differ from those for EAC.\textsuperscript{35} As such, one way to explain this inverted U-shaped trend with a peak in the 1950s birth cohort may be differences in smoking prevalence by birth cohort. Smoking prevalence in Japanese men peaked in the late 1950s birth cohort\textsuperscript{36} and started to decrease in cohorts born in the 1960s and later,\textsuperscript{37} which concurs with our study findings. The overall increase in ESCC incidence in Japanese men and women aged less than 70 years between 1993 and 2014 is also consistent with findings from a previous study\textsuperscript{38} and might be due to the increased use of endoscopy and improved accuracy in the cancer registration system.
Although women born in the 1950s and later had higher IRRS of ESCC than those born before 1950, the overall incidence of ESCC in Japanese women remained considerably low (around 2 per 100,000 on average), possibly due to low prevalence of smoking (current smokers: 8.4% in women vs. 32.2% in men as of 2010) and drinking (regular drinkers: 6.9% in women vs. 35.4% in men as of 2010), and no significant period effects were detected after adjusting for age and cohort effects.

Our results reaffirm the importance and necessity of careful endoscopic inspection of the upper gastrointestinal tract. The Japanese Guidelines for Gastric Cancer Screening currently recommend endoscopic screening in those aged 50 years and over, with an interval of 2 or 3 years, and 42.9% of those aged 50–69 years have in fact undergone gastric cancer screening (including both x-ray and endoscopy) as of 2019. With the rise in young-onset localized EAC in recent birth cohorts, closer attention should be paid to endoscopic inspection of the whole upper gastrointestinal tract in younger generations in conjunction with gastric cancer screening. Moreover, physicians should modify the endoscopic surveillance method to cover the gastroesophageal junction more carefully, particularly in middle-aged subjects without findings of *H. pylori* infection. Furthermore, since the majority of esophageal cancer incidence is attributable to smoking and drinking, interventions aiming at reducing tobacco smoking and drinking may have an impact on controlling the occurrence of esophageal cancer.

The strength of this study lies in its use of high-quality, population-based cancer registry data over time in a Japanese population, while ensuring comparability with the national estimates of cancer incidence. Moreover, the three cancer registries used are geographically distributed, from the Tohoku (northeastern Japan) to Kyushu (southern Japan) regions, minimizing the possibility of geographic bias.

Some limitations warrant mention. First, the completeness of histology reporting has fluctuated over time, with the proportion of cases with an unknown or missing status for histological type remaining at 14.1% in 1993–1999 and 15.6% in 2000–2004, but decreasing to 6.7% in 2010–2014. A decrease in the proportion of unknown or missing cases and the resulting increase in the share of reported histological types may have induced bias in the incidence trends. However, we imputed histological type using the multiple imputation approach, and validated the imputation results comparing survival probability by histological type between the complete dataset and imputed datasets. Because the survival probability (i.e., prognosis) differs between ESCC and EAC, the imputed dataset should yield a survival probability similar to the original data for each of the histological types. Our validation results showed that the survival
probability did not differ between the original and imputed data, meaning that the imputation model correctly assigned histological types to each patient, although we cannot exclude the possibility of residual bias induced by other unknown factors. Furthermore, our sensitivity analysis using original data excluding unknown and missing histological types did not show substantial change from the results obtained from the imputed data. Second, the quality of cancer incidence data obtained from these three high-quality registries has been stable over time, yet the incidence of esophageal cancer may have deviated from that of other cancer registries in Japan. Against this, however, the incidence and trend estimations are representative of those for the overall Japanese population from a previous study.\(^{17}\) Finally, the increase in young-onset EAC might arguably be due to improved accuracy of diagnostic and screening practices with endoscopy and cancer registration, particularly after 2002, when the Ministry of Health, Labour, and Welfare started designating cancer hospitals, which had the effect of also promoting hospital-based cancer registration.\(^{41}\) However, the cohort effects shown in our study are after adjustment of period effects, which minimizes any bias induced by such systematic yet momentary changes.

In conclusion, the incidence of EAC has increased more prominently compared with that of ESCC, particularly in men aged 40–59 years. This recent period increase in EAC incidence in men born from 1960 may be associated with a decrease in \textit{H. pylori} prevalence coupled with an increase in obesity over time.
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