Therapeutic potential of nitric oxide synthase inhibitor from natural sources for the treatment of ischemic stroke
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ABSTRACT

Nitric oxide (NO) is one of the major signalling molecules in the mammalian body playing critical role in regulation of blood pressure, cardiovascular disease including stroke, immune activation, neuronal and cell communication. Moreover, hyper production of NO by the activity of nitric oxide synthase (NOS) involved in neuropathic pain, neurodegenerative disorders and stroke. Hence, the search on small molecules from the natural sources for the inhibition of NOS is desirable in therapeutic point of view. The elevated level of NO caused by NOS enzyme become a novel target in finding new inhibitors from natural sources as antistroke agents. The present study focuses on the molecular docking of quercetin and its analogues against NOS. The active site of the enzyme was docked with the ligand and pharmacological properties were analysed. From this result, we suggest the therapeutic property of quercetin and its analogues against NOS.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) is synthesized by the enzymatic activity of nitric acid synthase (EC. 1.14.13.39) exerts significant impact through free radicals and involves a potent role in various regulation process in cellular functions (Rubbo et al., 1996). It is one of the signalling molecules involved in signal transduction pathway and this bioactive signalling molecule was initially investigated from the mammals. In mammals, it involves in various physiological processes such as, apoptosis, immune regulation, neuronal communication, and relaxation of smooth muscle (Schmidt and Walter, 1994). In plants, it was considered as one of the important signalling molecules, involved in various physiological functions such as senescence of organs, ripening of fruits, flowering, germination, plant growth and development (Arasimowicz et al., 2007). NO also involved in some toxic effects to the animals based on the concentration. It also mediates tissue protective function under stress conditions (Ferreira et al., 2010). It has been previously reported that NO produced by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) effectively inhibits the proliferation of T- lymphocytes. The role of iNOS in rheumatoid arthritis, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and cancer has been reported previously (Laspa et al., 2005). In mammals, three different forms of iNOS are reported, two isoforms are expressed in neurons and...
endothelium and third isoform is induced by cytokines (Ghosh et al., 1999).

The isoform iNOS is homodimer in nature and iNOS gene has been located on chromosome 17 (Michal, 1999; Xu et al., 1994). NO production was regulated by iNOS during translation and transcription process, the active iNOS produces unrestricted biosynthesis of NO until the available substrate completely depleted (Hickey et al., 2001). The important role of NO significantly affects the activity and expression of ongogenes which are very significant to the apoptosis and cell cycle (Sandaue et al. 1997). In recent years, computer aided design has been used to identify antistroke agent targeting nitric oxide synthase from various natural sources. Either hemorrhagic stroke or ischemic stroke affected oxygen flow and brain cells severely affected. Generally, the dead brain cells cannot replaced, so the effect is leading to death to the brain cells (Sims and Muyderman, 2009). NO synthase catalyzes NO is one of the important pathological chains. In a study, Cacha et al. (2002) reported the role of excel NO in hypoxia condition and its reaction with superoxide free radicals to form peroxynitrite free radicals which allows the nitration of DNA, proteins and lipids culminating into severe damaging the neurons. Nitric oxide synthase was inhibited 2-imino biotin and showed protective role on neurons (Cacha et al., 2002). Continuous production of NO by the activity of nitric oxide synthase caused septic shock and stroke. Nitric oxide synthase inhibitors targeted arginine binding site of nitric oxide synthase. The present investigation was aimed to perform docking studies on NOS enzyme against quercetin and its analogues which is predominant in grains, leaves, vegetables, and fruits. Quercetin and its analogues plays significant role in cancer prevention, is the conformational entropy; the solubility of the analyzing compounds, toxicity properties. The solubility of the analyzing compounds, Log D, availability of the compound, absorption, distribution, toxicity properties. The solubility of the analyzing compounds, Log D, availability of the compound, absorption, distribution, chirality was used to make at most 32 per ligand and further minimization process using OPLS3 force field in H2O using Powell - Reeves conjugate gradient method (PRCG). This experimental procedure consists of 2500 steps and the convergence threshold limit was 0.05.

2.2.2. Protein preparation

The homology model of the protein was analyzed as described previously by Sastry et al. (2013) using protein preparation wizard in Schrodinger software. Further, pre-processing was performed using CCD database to assign bond orders. During this process hydrogen bonds were added with zero-order bonds to metals. While preparing protein, addition of disulfide bonds to the water and protein molecules beyond Å from the hetero were generally removed. The hydrogen bond (H) was applied using PROPKA at pH 7.0 using water orientations. During protein preparation the water molecules with less than three or less hydrogen bonding distance were simply deleted from the protein. OPLS3 restrained minimization was carried out and further heavy atoms were converged to RMSD 0.3 Å.

2.2.3. Glide generation and docking

Glide was used for the generation of receptor grid as described by Friesner et al. (2004) and Halgren et al. (2004) for the prepared protein based on the active sites predicted using the CASTp server. In this study, no constrains were applied to the selected protein. The generated conformers for the earlier prepared ligands were carefully docked in the grid. In this study, standard precision (SP) docking analysis was carried out using flexible ligand sampling and Epik state penalties and docking scores were analyzed. Further, extra precision (XP) docking was performed with flexible ligand and Epik state penalties and docking scores were analyzed. Planarity of conjugated pi groups and intramolecular hydrogen bonds were enhanced.

2.2.4. Binding free energy analysis

The binding free energies (ΔGbind) for docking studies were analyzed for human inducible NOX complex and selected ligands. The binding free energy (ΔGbind) between inhibitors and protein which form a protein-inhibitor complex are determined using the following equations:

\[
\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = \Delta H - T\Delta S = \Delta G_{\text{MM}} + \Delta G_{\text{sol}} - T\Delta S
\]

\[
\Delta G_{\text{MM}} = \Delta E_{\text{internal}} + \Delta E_{\text{electrostatic}} + \Delta E_{\text{vdw}}
\]

\[
\Delta G_{\text{sol}} = \Delta G_{\text{gas}} + \Delta G_{\text{sol}}
\]

where, ΔGMM is the gas phase molecular mechanics energy; -TΔS is the conformational entropy; ΔGsol is the solvation free energy; ΔEinternal is the one which includes bond, angle, and dihedral angles.

To determine the protein/ligand binding free energies, Molecular Mechanics - Generalized-Born Surface Area (MM-GBSA) was used, which is generally accurately determine the binding free energies for a congeneric series of ligands. The ligand – protein complexes resulted from docking (XP) were carried out using MM/GBSA determination. MM-GBSA was used to analyze the free energy characters of the conformers. VSGB and OPLS3e forcefield model was used in this study.

2.2.5. ADME toxicity analysis

ADME toxicity was predicted using the top docking hits using QikProp tool from Schrodinger suites and the tool ADMETTab 2.0 webserver (https://admetmesh.scbdd.com/service/evaluation/index) was used to predict ADME, physicochemical properties and toxicity properties. The solubility of the analyzing compounds, Log D, availability of the compound, absorption, distribution,
LD50 value, health effect for the maximum docked compounds were tested and probability of health effects also analyzed.

3. Results

3.1. Homology modelling of nitric oxide synthase

Homology modelling was performed using templates generated by the accession number, P35228 (Fig. S1). The homodimer template 3e7g.1 with 100% similarity was subjected for modelling studies. Local quality estimate was used to predict local similarity to target (Fig. S2). Normalized QMEAN4 score was used to compare non-redundant set of PDB structures and the result was described in Fig. S3. The 3D structure of NOS was generated and described in Fig. 1. The generated model was validated with the use of MolProbity tool with the SWISS-MODEL server and the obtained score was 1.07. The Ramachandran plot was generated and the protein model was described in Fig. 2 with a clash score of 0.65. The generated model was validated and SAVES tool was used and the obtained ERRAT score was 92.8398. The generated model was passed VERIFY3D with 87.41% of residues had 3D-1D score below 0.2. The overall Z-score of the designed model residues was plotted with ProSA server against X-ray diffraction and NMR structures and described in Fig. 3.

3.2. Molecular docking

The 10 most relevant structural analogues retrieved from the PubChem database similar to quercetin with > 97% similarity were selected for docking studies. The selected analogues were, 7,8-dihydroxyflavone, quercetin, luteolin, chrysoeriol, baicalein, diosmetin, fisetin, isorhamnetin, myricetin, rhamnetin, and tricetin. The selected 10 ligands including quercetin showed 25 different conformers after the completion of minimization steps, which were further applied in docking studies. Molecular docking was carried out to analyze the potential of the selected compounds as inhibitors for human iNOS. The compounds were ranked after docking with SP and XP analysis and based on XP GScore, glide emodel, glide gscore, docking score and Boltzmann population. Glide SP and XP results of generated conformers of 10 ligands for docking against iNOS were tabulated (Table 1; Table 2). Quercetin (CID: 5280343) showed high docking scores than the compounds such as, Luteolin (CID: 5280445), Isorhamnetin (CID: 5281654) and fisetin (CID: 5281614) and these analogues showed interactions with the active site of iNOS (Table 3). The compounds such as quercetin, fisetin, isorhamnetin and myricetin have interacted with Glu 296 receptor and HEM 5 in the active site by hydrogen bond interactions. Luteolin interacted with Trp 291 and HEM 5 by hydrogen bond. Rhamnetin interacted with Ala 270, Pro 269, Tyr 266 and Asp 301 residues of the protein in the active site by hydrogen bond. Pi-Pi stacking interactions were observed with HEM 5 in quercetin, fisetin, isorhamnetin, myricetin, luteolin and rhamnetin (Fig. 4). Free energy between the receptor ligand complexes for 25 conformers showed varying energy. Free energy was low (-0.119) for rhamnetin and it was maximum (3.104) and quercetin had 1.772 free energy.

3.3. ADME toxicity of quercetin and structural analogues

The ADME of the docking hits ranged between 84.687% and 28.177% for oral absorption. Two conformers of myricetin violate one rule of Lipinski’s where in the Jorgensen’s rule of three one violation was observed for both conformers of myricetin, quercetin and tricetin structures, the other compounds not violated any rules (Table 4). ADMETlab 2.0 analysis of 10 structural analogues of quercetin revealed that all structures pass Lipinski’s, pfizer’s, GSK’s and Golden triangle rules except for 7,8-Dihydroxyflavone failing in Pfizer’s rules (Table 4). The physicochemical properties of legends used for docking studies was predicted using ADMETlab 2.0 server and the result was described in Fig. S4. The LogD at 7.0 pH for the selected ligands were less than 3 and for quercetin the predicted value was 2.248 which was optimal for absorption. The QED score for drug likeliness shows luteolin was above 0.67 which was the most desired value. Quercetin has good absorption values but has poor bioavailability. It has high protein plasma binding of 98.9% which implied that it could have low therapeutic index, but has a very good blood–brain barrier capability. Of all the selected ten ligands, quercetin has one of the highest possibility of drug induced brain injury. Luteolin and chrysoeriol have the higher probability of being the substrate for the enzyme ensuring higher metabolism than all other ligands.
Quercetin is a secondary metabolite determined in various plants and is a polyphenolic compound (Wani et al., 2021). Quercetin and its analogues have been reported for electrostatic, hydrogen interactions and Pi-Pi stacking interactions. Quercetin and its analogues interacted with protein by hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions and Pi-Pi stacking interactions. Quercetin and its analogues with NOS have been described previously (Halgren et al., 2004). Quercetin and its analogues interacted with protein by hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions and Pi-Pi stacking interactions. Quercetin and its analogues with NOS have been reported for electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, Van der Waals and steric interactions (Thomsen and Christensen, 2006).

Table 1

| CID     | Entry Name  | rotatable bonds | docking score | ligand efficiency | ligand efficiency sa | gscore | hbond | energy | internal  |
|---------|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------|--------|-------|--------|-----------|
| 5,281,672 | myricetin    | 7               | -6.676        | -0.29             | -0.825               | -6.714 | -0.16 | -30.305| 10.75     |
| 5,281,654 | Isorhamnetin | 6               | -6.68         | -0.29             | -0.826               | -6.712 | -0.14 | -41.917| 7.4       |
| 5,280,445 | luteolin     | 5               | -6.34         | -0.302            | -0.833               | -6.38  | -0.138| -48.881| 5.062     |
| 5,280,343 | quercetin    | 6               | -6.29         | -0.286            | -0.801               | -6.322 | -0.152| -48.048| 6.854     |
| 5,281,614 | fisetin      | 5               | -6.259        | -0.298            | -0.822               | -6.289 | -0.152| -48.81 | 6.6       |
| 5,280,666 | Chrysoeriol  | 5               | -5.954        | -0.271            | -0.758               | -5.964 | -0.115| -42.239| 1.313     |
| 5,281,605 | Baicalein    | 4               | -5.813        | -0.291            | -0.789               | -5.865 | -0.12 | -42.471| 1.339     |
| 1880     | 7,8-Dihydroxyflavone | 3       | -5.766       | -0.303            | -0.81                | -5.789 | 0      | -40.255| 1.994     |
| 5,281,691 | Rhamnetin    | 5               | -3.127        | -0.136            | -0.387               | -5.711 | -0.249| -37.961| 8.071     |
| 5,281,612 | Diosmetin    | 4               | -3.884        | -0.177            | -0.495               | -5.652 | -0.307| -38.312| 3.195     |
| 5,281,701 | Tricetin     | 6               | -5.502        | -0.25             | -0.701               | -5.547 | -0.302| -41.776| 3.685     |
| 5,280,666 | Chrysoeriol  | 5               | -3.489        | -0.159            | -0.444               | -5.201 | -0.32  | -42.199| 5.364     |
| 5,281,612 | Diosmetin    | 5               | -3.293        | -0.143            | -0.407               | -5.159 | -0.311| -45.276| 3.976     |
| 5,281,605 | Baicalein    | 4               | -2.42         | -0.121            | -0.329               | -5.028 | 0      | -37.185| 2.303     |
| 5,280,445 | luteolin     | 4               | -3.224        | -0.154            | -0.424               | -4.936 | -0.291| -33.667| 0.694     |
| 1880     | 7,8-Dihydroxyflavone | 2       | -2.705       | -0.142            | -0.38                | -4.878 | -0.304| -32.203| 1.553     |
| 5,281,614 | fisetin      | 5               | -2.879        | -0.137            | -0.378               | -4.862 | -0.136| -36.5  | 2.14      |
| 5,280,343 | quercetin    | 5               | -2.988        | -0.136            | -0.381               | -4.854 | -0.284| -38.169| 6.124     |
| 5,281,701 | Tricetin     | 5               | -3.085        | -0.14             | -0.393               | -4.803 | -0.307| -38.083| 3.164     |
| 5,281,691 | Rhamnetin    | 6               | -4.767        | -0.207            | -0.589               | -4.775 | -0.128| -42.578| 5.416     |
| 5,281,672 | myricetin    | 6               | -2.835        | -0.123            | -0.351               | -4.707 | 0      | -41.888| 6.431     |
| 5,281,614 | fisetin      | 4               | -2.024        | -0.096            | -0.266               | -4.536 | -0.263| -35.126| 2.620     |
| 5,281,605 | Baicalein    | 3               | -2.831        | -0.142            | -0.384               | -4.386 | -0.089| -32.326| 1.814     |
| 1880     | 7,8-Dihydroxyflavone | 2       | -1.225       | -0.064            | -0.172               | -3.808 | -0.097| -29.003| 1.445     |

Table 1

GlideSP docking data for all generated conformers of 10 selected ligands for docking against iNOS.

4. Discussion

Quercetin is a secondary metabolite determined in various plants and is a polyphenolic compound (Wani et al., 2021). Quercetin is found as O-glycosides with hydroxyl group are generally substituted by various types of sugars. In the present study, we performed molecular docking studies on the inhibition of NOS by quercetin and its analogues. Diphenylpropane (C6–C6–C6) is a basic skeleton of flavonoids. Molecular docking was performed as described previously (Halgren et al., 2004). Quercetin and its analogues interacted with protein by hydrogen bonding, electrostatic interactions and Pi-Pi stacking interactions. Quercetin and its analogues with NOS have been reported for electrostatic, hydrogen bonding, Van der Waals and steric interactions (Thomsen and Christensen, 2006). MMGBSA data for quercetin revealed coulomb energy (-72.76), Covalent binding energy (-3.42), Van der Waals energy (-29.52), and ligand efficiency (1.772). MolDock scoring function has been derived from the PLP scoring systems which were proposed previously by Gehrhaar et al. (1995), Gehrhaar et al. (1998) and Yang and Chen (2004).

In the present study, natural ligand of NOS was screening using various previously published literature and ligands were identified. The best natural ligands of NOS were identified by screening ligands coul score, hydrogen bond acceptor, hydrogen bond donor, number of hydrophobic atoms interactions and polar atoms. In order to screen the natural compounds with lowest pose energy, docking was performed and the docking energy varied between – 50.305 and – 29.003 kcal/mol. Glide XP and Glide SP docking data
were generated for all conformers of the selected natural ligands against NOS. Glide program has been frequently used to optimize the ligand performance and analyzing the scoring function (Repasky et al., 2012; Friesner et al., 2004). Among the best 10 natural ligands, myricetin showed very least energy in Glide SP (-50.305 kcal/mol). Various selected natural compounds showed affinity values revealed that these molecules may be efficient ligands for NOS. The interactions revealed in the NOS / quercetin complexes, as predicted by molecular docking. The most suitable interacting residues with quercetin (CID: 5280343) on Glu 296 and HEM 5 (hydrogen bond donor) and luteolin (CID: 5280445) on Glu 296 and HEM 5 (hydrogen bond donor).

The complexes formed between quercetin and structural analogues and NOS structures involved various interactions. This
Fig. 4. Atom level interactions of selected analogs of (a) fisetin, (b) isorhamnetin, (c) myricetin (d) Quercetin with hydrogen bond on Glu 296 and HEM 5 receptor, (e) luteolin interacted with Trp 291 and HEM 5 by hydrogen bond, and (f) Rhamnetin interacted with Ala 270, Pro 269, Tyr 266 and Asp 301 residues of the protein in the active site by hydrogen bond.
ADMET data for all generated conformers of 10 selected ligands for docking against iNOS.

| Title          | Entry Name     | mol | MW   | HOA | Percent Human Oral Absorption | PSA | Rule Of Five | Rule Of Three |
|----------------|----------------|-----|------|-----|-------------------------------|-----|--------------|---------------|
| 5,281,691      | Rhamnetin.1    | 316.267 | 3 | 66.899 | 123.298 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,672      | myricetin.1    | 318.239 | 2 | 28.177 | 158.86 | 1 | 1            |
| 5,280,343      | quercetin.1    | 302.24  | 2 | 53.024 | 137.306 | 0 | 1            |
| 5,281,701      | Tricetin.1     | 302.24  | 2 | 49.777 | 141.343 | 0 | 1            |
| 5,281,654      | Isohamnetin.1  | 316.267 | 3 | 67.898 | 122.452 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,614      | fisetin.1      | 286.24  | 3 | 60.191 | 118.762 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,280,445      | luteolin.1     | 286.24  | 3 | 61.713 | 120.03  | 0 | 0            |
| 5,280,666      | Chrysoeriol.1  | 300.267 | 3 | 77.601 | 105.256 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,612      | Diosmetin.1    | 300.267 | 3 | 74.217 | 106.184 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,672      | myricetin.1    | 318.239 | 2 | 28.942 | 157.808 | 1 | 1            |
| 5,281,701      | Tricetin.1     | 302.24  | 2 | 50.016 | 140.680 | 0 | 1            |
| 5,280,343      | quercetin.1    | 302.24  | 2 | 53.019 | 136.906 | 0 | 1            |
| 5,280,445      | luteolin.1     | 286.24  | 3 | 61.907 | 119.192 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,654      | Isohamnetin.1  | 316.267 | 3 | 67.601 | 123.274 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,614      | fisetin.1      | 286.24  | 2 | 60.19  | 117.901 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,280,666      | Chrysoeriol.1  | 300.267 | 3 | 75.43  | 105.558 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,605      | Baicalein.1    | 270.241 | 3 | 76.696 | 96.64  | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,614      | fisetin.1      | 286.24  | 3 | 60.409 | 118.916 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,612      | Diosmetin.1    | 300.267 | 3 | 77.319 | 104.878 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,691      | Rhamnetin.1    | 316.267 | 3 | 67.076 | 121.53  | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,614      | fisetin.1      | 286.24  | 3 | 60.19  | 117.901 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,612      | Diosmetin.1    | 300.267 | 3 | 77.319 | 104.878 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,691      | Rhamnetin.1    | 316.267 | 3 | 67.076 | 121.53  | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,614      | fisetin.1      | 286.24  | 3 | 60.19  | 117.901 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,612      | Diosmetin.1    | 300.267 | 3 | 77.319 | 104.878 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,691      | Rhamnetin.1    | 316.267 | 3 | 67.076 | 121.53  | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,614      | fisetin.1      | 286.24  | 3 | 60.19  | 117.901 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,612      | Diosmetin.1    | 300.267 | 3 | 77.319 | 104.878 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,605      | Baicalein.1    | 270.241 | 3 | 76.696 | 96.64  | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,614      | fisetin.1      | 286.24  | 3 | 60.19  | 117.901 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,612      | Diosmetin.1    | 300.267 | 3 | 77.319 | 104.878 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,691      | Rhamnetin.1    | 316.267 | 3 | 67.076 | 121.53  | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,614      | fisetin.1      | 286.24  | 3 | 60.19  | 117.901 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,612      | Diosmetin.1    | 300.267 | 3 | 77.319 | 104.878 | 0 | 0            |
| 5,281,605      | Baicalein.1    | 270.241 | 3 | 76.696 | 96.64  | 0 | 0            |

Human oral absorption (HOA).

5. Conclusions

The molecular docking analysis with quercetin and its derivatives showed high favourable interactions on nitric oxide synthase involving ligand–protein interaction and favourable docking scores. It is therefore concluded that quercetin and its derivatives could be suitable molecules for testing as antistroke agents. Glide SP docking revealed that the compound myricetin (CID 5281672) showed least gscore (-6.714), and very low energy (-50.305) than selected ligands. ADME toxicity analysis revealed high percent human oral absorption of isorhamnetin (67.8%), myricetin (66.89%) and luteolin (61.7%) was determined. From the results we conclude that quercetin and its derivatives could be a novel lead molecules and assists for in vivo testing against NOS as antistroke agent.
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