Peculiarities of the molecular composition of heterochromatin associated with pronucleoli in mouse embryos
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The nucleus of pre-implantation mammalian embryos is characterized by peculiar structural organization. At the initial stages of cleavage, the nucleus of the embryo contains the so-called nucleolus precursor bodies (NPBs) or pronucleoli rather than functionally active nucleoli. The NPBs are fibrillar electron-dense structures inactive in RNA synthesis. The vast majority of NPBs are surrounded by a ring-shaped zone of transcriptionally inactive heterochromatin. Intriguingly, these zones contain not only tri-methylated histone H3K9me3 as an epigenetic mark of repressed chromatin but also acetylated histone H4K5ac, a well-known marker of active chromatin. Immunocytochemical data suggest that the molecular composition of this ‘ring heterochromatin’ in mouse embryos changes during the realization of embryonic genome activation events, as well as during artificial suppression of transcription. In zygotes, some factors of mRNA biogenesis including splicing factor SC35 (SRSF2) and basal transcription factor TFIID are detectable in the ring chromatin. At later stages of development, other nuclear proteins such as Y14, a core component of the exon-exon junction complex (EJC), as well as the proteins involved in chromatin remodeling (ATRX, Daxx) are also detectable in this area. A typical component of the ‘ring heterochromatin’ is actin. Anti-actin immunocytochemical labeling is most expressed at the two-cell cleavage stage after activation of the embryonic genome. Indicatively, the molecular composition of the ‘ring heterochromatin’ associated with different NPBs may differ significantly even in the same nucleus. This seems to reflect the functional heterogeneity of morphologically similar NPBs according to their competence to the process of nucleologenesis. Here, we discuss briefly some peculiarities of the molecular composition and possible functions of the NPB-associated heterochromatin in mouse early embryos.
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Introduction
The combination of maternal and paternal gametes upon fertilization forms a totipotent embryo that will give rise to over 200 different cell types in a fully differentiated organism. The cardinal changes in the potency of cells to differentiate, as well as the integration of the parental genomes, are accompanied by pronounced rearrangements of the 3D-organization of the nucleus in zygotes and early embryos. Correspondingly, the structure and organization of chromatin regions during early embryonic development differs fundamentally compared to somatic cells, reflecting the peculiar plasticity and potency of the genomes (Burton, Torres-Padilla, 2010). Global rearrangements of chromatin and major specific changes in DNA methylation, histone modifications as well as the incorporation of histone variants (Li, 2002; reviewed in Burton, Torres-Padilla, 2010; Mason et al., 2012) are the crucial reprogramming processes during the early steps of mammalian pre-implantation development (Lanctôt et al., 2007). In this review, some aspects of heterochromatin organization during the beginning stages of mouse development are briefly discussed.

Nucleolus precursor bodies and surrounding heterochromatin are the unique nuclear structures of mammalian early embryos
The unique feature of the nucleus of early mammalian embryos is the absence of functionally active nucleoli at the initial stages of embryonic development. Instead, the nucleus contains prominent electron-dense structures of perfectly round shape, called the nucleolus precursor bodies (NPBs) or pronucleoli (Fig. 1). A number of early autoradiographical studies (Geuskens, Alexandre, 1984; Tesařík et al., 1986a, b; Kopečný et al., 1989; etc.) has shown that NPBs are functionally linked with transcriptionally active nucleoli that are gradually formed later at species-specific embryonic stages. Unlike typical nucleoli of somatic cells, the pronucleoli (NPBs) of embryos consist only of a finely fibrillar and densely packed material of still unknown nature and do not contain typical nucleolar structural constituents such as dense fibrillar and granular components.

The vast majority of NPBs are surrounded by a characteristic ring-like zone of heterochromatin that is stained intensely with DAPI (see Fig. 1, a′, b′). Significantly, these peculiar zones are enriched in centromeric and pericentric satellite DNA in male and female pronuclei of zygotes. Later, this centromeric and pericentric heterochromatin re-localizes from the NPB periphery to the nucleoplasm at the two-cell stage, forming new structures called the ‘pro-chromocenters’ (Martin et al., 2006; Probst et al., 2007). The pericentric heterochromatin is enriched in HP1 (Heterochromatin Protein-1), and the HP1β isoform is prevalent in the NPB-surrounding heterochromatin in both male and female pronuclei of zygotes. HP1β is constitutively found in these heterochromatin areas until the blastocyst stage (Meglicki et al., 2012). In the mouse embryo, NPB-associated heterochromatin formation was found to require the histone variant H3.3, in particular di- and tri-methylation of lysine 27 residues (Santenard et al., 2010).

Fig. 1. Morphology of mouse zygotic pronuclei (a) and two-cell embryo nucleus (b).

a, b – electron microscopy; d, b′ – DAPI staining; NPB – pronucleolus. Large white arrows in a′ indicate male (on the right) and female (on the left) pronuclei; small white arrows in a′ and b′ indicate some NPBs. Black arrows in a and b show heterochromatin clumps at the periphery of NPBs.

a, b – from (Bogolyubova, Bogolyubov, 2013), open access; d, b′ – from (Sailau et al., 2017), with permission from Elsevier.
H3.3 is associated with paternal pericentric heterochromatin during the first S-phase and plays a role in the transcription of pericentric repeats and subsequent tethering of HP1β.

NPB-surrounding heterochromatin areas contains the epigenetic marks of both transcriptionally repressed and active chromatin

The NPB-associated ring-like heterochromatin structures do not incorporate BrUTP (Fig. 2, a), suggesting their transcriptionally inert state (Bogolyubova, 2011; Bogolyubova, Bogolyubov, 2018). Surprisingly, these NPB-associated heterochromatin areas contain the markers of both repressed and active chromatin, e.g., the modified histones H3K9me3 and H4K5ac (see Fig. 2, b, c).

H3K9me3 domains are linked with transcriptional regulation and intracellular functions in pre-implantation mouse embryos. These domains are formed temporarily after fertilization, then increase dramatically in the number at the two-cell stage and diminish after the morula stage (Wang et al., 2018). A comprehensive analysis of H3K9me3-dependent heterochromatin dynamics in pre-implantation mouse embryos has shown that this heterochromatin undergoes the dramatic reprogramming during early embryonic development. Intriguingly, H3K9me3 domains are highly enriched in long terminal repeats (LTRs) that are hypomethylated and transcribed (Wang et al., 2018). Besides, RNA sequencing throughout early mouse embryogenesis revealed that expression of the repetitive-elements including LINE-1 and IAP retrotransposons is dynamic and stage specific, with most repetitive elements becoming repressed before implantation (Fadloun et al., 2013).

Molecular composition dynamics of NPB-surrounding heterochromatin

The data obtain by indirect immunofluorescent microscopy (Table) suggest that the molecular composition of the NPB-surrounding heterochromatin including some chromatin-associated proteins, changes significantly during realization of the major events of embryonic genome activation as well as after artificial suppression of transcription. For example, some factors involved in mRNA metabolism including the splicing factor SC35 and basal transcription factor TFIIID are revealed in the NPB-associated chromatin at transcriptionally inert stages (Bogolyubova, Bogolyubov, 2013) (Fig. 3). At later stages, however, other nuclear proteins (e.g., Y14, a core component of the exon-exon junction complex (EJC), the...
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Chromatin-remodeling protein ATRX and Daxx, a chaperone of H3K9me3) begin to appear in the given area (see Table). Also intriguing is the fact that the NPB-associated chromatin co-localizes with nuclear actin, and the anti-actin staining is most expressed at the transcriptionally active two-cell stage (Bogolyubova, Bogolyubova, 2009). When transcription was suppressed pharmacologically, actin continues to be revealed around the NPBs. Moreover, additional zones of its localization appear. The intensity of labeling decreases significantly after DNase treatment. This may suggest that actin is able to interact with DNA directly (Bogolyubova, 2013) (Fig. 4).

Molecular composition of different NPB-associated heterochromatin areas may differ one from another within the same nucleus

This point is well illustrated by the peculiarities of the distribution of ATRX protein being detectable at the transcriptionally active two-cell stage (Bogolyubova, Bogolyubova, 2009). When transcription was suppressed pharmacologically, actin continues to be revealed around the NPBs. Moreover, additional zones of its localization appear. The intensity of labeling decreases significantly after DNase treatment. This may suggest that actin is able to interact with DNA directly (Bogolyubova, 2013) (Fig. 4).

What stage of embryogenesis this heterogeneity is formed at? It is difficult to answer this question at the present time, since the molecular mechanisms of the assembly of NPBs remain virtually unexplored. There are only a few data concerning the molecular mechanisms of assembly and/or maintenance of the NPB structure. For example, both NPBs and NPB-associated heterochromatin were found to exhibit some abnormalities in the embryos of mice, knocked-out in the gene encoding the nucleolar transcription factor UBF (Hamdane et al., 2017). At the moment there are no data on that function at later stages of cleavage, allows us to believe that this heterogeneity is the reflection of the competence of individual NPBs to nucleologenesis.

The ideas concerning the heterogeneity of NPBs in relation to nucleologenesis were previously expressed by other authors. For example, L. Romanova et al. (2006) using the method of highly sensitive FISH, have shown that one or more NPBs are not associated with rDNA in the nucleus of early mouse embryos. In this regard, the authors speak about the heterogeneity of NPBs with the respect to their ability to bind rDNA and, therefore, about their different contributions to the formation of functionally mature nucleoli.

Fig. 4. Immunofluorescent localization of actin in the nuclei of two-cell mouse embryos.

Note: the prominent staining around NPBs (arrows), which becomes weaker after DNase treatment and enhances after inhibition of transcription by DRB. From (Bogolyubova, 2013), with permission from Tisitologiya.
differences in the morphology and/or molecular composition of the NPBs, associated and non-associated with rDNA. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that the formation of NPBs after fertilization and first division of cleavage occurs according to the mechanism of macromolecular crowding, and the local concentration of the constituent molecules plays a crucial role in this process. According to modern concepts, the macromolecular crowding is a general principle of the formation of nuclear organelles not surrounded by physical boundaries, such as the membranes (Richter et al., 2008; Rajendra et al., 2010; Cho, Kim, 2012). These non-membrane organelles, also mentioned as the biomolecular condensates (Banani et al., 2017), are formed according to the laws of colloidal physical chemistry due to the concentration of the molecules in the process of liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS) (Zhu, Brangwynne, 2015; Courchaine et al., 2016; Staněk, Fox, 2017; Gomes, Shorter, 2018; Sawyer et al., 2018a, b). It is possible that the association of individual NPBs with rDNA may be random at the initial stages of the formation of pronuclei.

From our point of view, despite the possible role of stochastic processes at the beginning steps of the formation of the NPB-heterochromatin complex, this complex can be regarded to as a multifunctional provisional domain of early embryos during embryonic genome activation, both components of which largely function as a whole. However, the mechanisms leading to the formation of this complex structure as well as the functional heterogeneity of NPBs require further studies, which have to combine classical morphological methods with modern molecular biological approaches.

Conclusions

Our observations generally show that the NPB-associated heterochromatin in one- to two-cell mouse embryos has a peculiar molecular composition, different from that of canonical peripheral heterochromatin. Since the nucleus of mammalian blastomeress represents a unique dynamic system at the early stages of cleavage (Bogolyubova, Bogolyubov, 2014), one can assume that in early embryos, a number of functional nuclear domains including the peculiar heterochromatin rings around NPBs have a wider range of functions than in somatic cells. For instance, a close relationship between the NPBs and NBP-associated heterochromatin with newly assembling Cajal bodies has already been shown (Ferreira, Carmo-Fonseca, 1995; Zatsepina et al., 2003). At the initial stages of mouse embryogenesis, pericentric and centromeric heterochromatin was shown to localize around the NPBs (Probst et al., 2007). All these data allows assuming that the NPB-associated heterochromatin represents not just an area of repressed chromatin at the beginning of mouse development, but could be a structural scaffold to form a definitive 3D architecture of the cell nucleus.
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