Indication of Sr Isotopes on Weathering Process of Carbonate Rocks in Karst Area of Southwest China
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Abstract: Based on the determination of the major and trace element content, and the Sr isotope composition of soils from limestone and dolomite profiles in the karst area of Southwest (SW) China, this study discussed the distribution and migration characteristics of the elements and the influencing factors of Sr isotope fractionation, in order to better understand the chemical weathering and pedogenesis process, as well as to explore the material source of laterite. The chemical weathering analysis results (Chemical Index of Alteration, mass balance coefficient, and A-CN-K ternary) indicate that the weathering intensity of dolomite profile PB is higher than that of limestone profiles CZ and CY. The correlation analysis between Sr isotopes and $P_2O_5$ suggests that in addition to illite, apatite in the dolomite profile also affects the $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ composition. Sr content and $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ demonstrate two stages in the weathering process of the carbonate, carbonate dissolution stage, and residual silicate weathering stage. As the carbonate minerals dissolve at the beginning of weathering, the Sr content decreases and $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ increases slightly. After the decomposition of carbonate, the Sr content remains unchanged and $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ increases. Finally, the study suggests that the material source of laterite is more likely to be underlying bedrock rather than the aeolian source.
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1. Introduction

Chemical weathering is an important soil forming process, which will lead to the decomposition of rocks and minerals to form a weathering crust [1–3]. It involves complex chemical, mechanical, and biological processes, which can control the geochemical cycle of various elements, provide nutrients in the soil, and affect the global carbon cycle and long-term climate change [4–8]. Studying geochemical characteristics is helpful to understand the laws and control mechanisms of element release, migration, and distribution during the chemical weathering process [9,10].

Major and trace elements are powerful tools in the study of rock weathering and pedogenesis. Many chemical indicators composed of major elements are commonly used to evaluate the weathering degree [11–14]. Recently, proxies $4Si-M^+−R^{2+}, A4Si$ vs. $R^{3+}+(R^{3+}+R^{2+}+M^+)$ were proposed by Meunier et al. and were considered to be more accurate in distinguishing chemical weathering trends [11,15]. The immobile elements were utilized to address the material sources of sedimentary rocks, terra rossa, loess, and deep-sea sediments [16–18]. Due to the fact that rare earth elements (REE) have special chemical properties and unique system variations, they have been used to clarify the chemical weathering process of soil and sediments, such as mineral dissolution, and redox fluctuations [13,16,19].

Sr is an important trace component of rock-forming minerals [20–23]. Sr isotopic composition hardly undergoes any significant fractionation during geochemical processes, and its changes mainly reflect changes in material sources [24–26]; therefore, Sr isotopes...
have been used to study material sources of soils and terrestrial deposits, nutrient cycling in ecosystems, and water/rock reactions in surface or groundwater systems [27]. Julie et al. used Sr isotopes to constrain the contribution of soil mineral development, weathering fluxes, atmospheric deposition, and to elucidate the weathering processes of individual minerals in a small tropical granitoid watershed in the Luquillo Mountains of Puerto Rico [28]. Sr isotopes were also used by Santoni et al. to identify the water–rock interactions and mixing rates within a complex granite–carbonate coastal aquifer under high touristic pressure [23]. Each mineral has an individual $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio, and different weathering rates of the mineral cause variations in Sr isotopic composition during the weathering process; therefore, Sr isotopes have been used as tracers to address a wealth of geoscience issues in the weathering process of rock [29–32].

Numerous studies on rock weathering with Sr isotopes have been widely carried out [22,33]; however, most of them are focused on igneous rocks, and few have considered carbonate rocks [34,35]. The distribution area of red soil developed on carbonate rocks in the world is very extensive. The karst region of SW China covers approximately $42.6 \times 10^4$ km$^2$ and is one of the largest contiguous exposed carbonate distribution areas in the world [12,14]. The exploration of the pedogenesis process on the weathered carbonate rock in karst areas is the basis for revealing the geochemical cycle of elements in the karst environment and research on supergene resources; however, the material source and formation process of the red soil covering the bedrock is still controversial, and it has attracted wide and strong interest from soil scientists over the years [18,34].

In the southwest-central Guizhou Province, the widely distributed carbonate rocks are covered by thick red soil due to the humid and mild monsoon climate; therefore, the natural setting facilitates the study of carbonate rocks and lateritic soils. In this study, we investigated the Sr isotopic composition and element geochemistry of soil profiles to explore Sr isotopic characteristics and influencing factors in the soil profiles overlying dolomite and limestone, and to explain the material source of soil in this area.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area and Sampling

The study karst area is located in the central part of the Guizhou Province, Southwest (SW) China (Figure 1). The climate in SW China is humid, and the karst landform is widely developed in the Guizhou and Yunnan provinces. The karst terrain in this area is one of the largest continuously distributed karst landforms in the world. The study area has a subtropical monsoon climate, controlled by the East Asian summer monsoon, the Indian Ocean monsoon, and the Tibetan Plateau monsoon. The annual precipitation is 1100–1300 mm, and the rainy season is from May to October, accounting for 70–85% of the annual precipitation. The weather is relatively mild, and the annual average temperature is above 15 °C [36]. The PB profile (26°26′ N, 106°22′ E) is located in Pingba County, about 40 km southwest of Guiyang, the capital of the Guizhou Province. The sampling points are near Hongfeng Lake. The bedrock is sedimentary dolomite, belonging to the Triassic Anshun Formation. Profiles CZ and CY (26°21′ N, 106°31′ E) are located in the Chenqi watershed of Puding County, about 50 km southwest of Pingba. The dominant lithology of this watershed is the limestone of the Guanling Formation of the Triassic system [18,36]. The sampling points and regional lithology are shown in Figure 1.

The vertical soil profiles were established due to road construction by an excavator. The surface soil of the profiles is loose and covered with lush vegetation. The samples were collected in order from the surface soil to the bedrock. A total of 9 soil samples and 4 bedrock samples were collected from PB profile. PB-1, PB-2, and PB-3 were collected at 5 cm, 10 cm, and 20 cm away from the ground, then soil samples were taken at every 40 cm and recorded as PB-4–PB-9. Four bedrock samples were collected at 290 cm, 390 cm, 590 cm, and 1090 cm, respectively, and were recorded as PB-10 to PB-13. CY-1 and CZ-1 were collected at 40 cm and 30 cm away from the ground, then 4 soil samples (CY-2 to CY-5) and 5 soil samples (CZ-2 to CZ-6) were collected at intervals of 40 cm and 30 cm,
respectively. Subsequently, bedrock samples were collected at 250 cm and 210 cm away from the surface and recorded as CY-6 and CZ-7.

**Figure 1.** Geological map of Chenqi catchment and Pingba farmland, SW China. The map is adapted from Peng and Wang [36]. Q: Quaternary deposits; T1: sedimentary dolomite of the Anshun Formation of the Early–Middle Triassic period; T2g2−2: marl intercalated with limestone of the lower part of the middle part of the middle Guanling Formation of the Middle Triassic period; T2g2−2: limestone intercalated with marl of the middle part of the middle Guanling Formation of the Middle Triassic period; T2g2−3: limestone of the upper part of the middle Guanling Formation of the Middle Triassic period; T2g3−1: dolomite of the upper Guanling Formation of the Middle Triassic period.

### 2.2. Analytical Methods

A total of 26 samples from three profiles were collected, including 20 soil samples and 6 bedrock samples. The soil samples were air-dried to remove impurities such as plant roots, debris, and conglomerates, and placed in a sealed polyethylene bag for storage, pretreatment, and analysis. Air-dried soil samples for whole-rock geochemical analysis were ground in an agate mortar and sieved through a 200-mesh (74 µm) light sieve.

The major elements were determined via x-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF) (PW2400 X-ray fluorescence spectrometer, PANalytical Company, Westborough, MA, USA) in accordance with the GB/T14506.28-2010 silicate rock chemical analytical procedure [37]. The trace elements and REE contents were analyzed using high-resolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy (HR-ICP-MS) (Element I, Thermo Fisher, Waltham, WA, USA) according to the GB/T14506.30-2010 silicate rock chemical analytical procedure [38]. An ICP-MS procedure was conducted at a temperature of 20 °C and a humidity of 30%. The analytical precision values for major and trace elements were less than 5% and 10%, respectively. The major and trace element data of all samples were provided by the Beijing Research Institute of Uranium Geology. Sr is determined by the isotope dilution method at the State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences. The powder samples (sieved through 200 mesh) were placed into a low-pressure digestion tank and the analytical grade HF + HNO3 + HCl mixed acid was added to digest the samples. The
dissolved sample is evaporated so that it becomes dry and converted to perchlorate, and 1.8–2.0 mol/L hydrochloric acid was used as elution acid. Sr isotopes were measured by multicollector Thermal Ionization Mass Spectrometry (TIMS) (IsoProbe–T, GV Company, U.K.). TIMS typically provides a value of the \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratio of 0.710235 ± 0.000014 \((2\sigma, n = 26)\) for the NBS987 Sr standard, and the measured isotope data are precise to 0.003% or better.

The Chemical Index of Alteration is widely used to measure the chemical weathering degree \([39,40]\). It can demonstrate the degree of feldspar decomposition and clay mineral variation in soils. The calculation method is: CIA = \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 / (\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{CaO}^* + \text{Na}_2\text{O} + \text{K}_2\text{O})\), \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\), \(\text{CaO}\), \(\text{K}_2\text{O}\), and \(\text{Na}_2\text{O}\) are all molar numbers of oxides, in which \(\text{CaO}^*\) is the molar content of silicate minerals, excluding the content of CaO in carbonates and phosphates \([41]\). Usually when the molar number of CaO is greater than \(\text{Na}_2\text{O}\), \(n(\text{CaO}^*) = n(\text{Na}_2\text{O})\) can be considered, whereas \(n(\text{CaO}^*) = n(\text{CaO})\) can be considered when the molar number of CaO is less than \(\text{Na}_2\text{O}\) \([41]\).

Mass balance coefficient \((\tau)\) is one of the best methods to indicate the depletion or enrichment of elements in soil samples, and it can provide information about REE mobility during geochemical processes \([13]\). The coefficient \(\tau\) was calculated by the equation:

\[
\tau_{i,j} = \left\{ \frac{[(C_{i,j})/(C_{i,p})]}{[(C_{i,w})/(C_{i,p})]} \right\} - 1
\]

where \(C_{i,w}\), \(C_{i,p}\), and \(C_{i,\text{rav}}, C_{i,p}\) represent the concentrations of element \(j\) and the relatively immobile element \(i\) in the soil samples and the bedrock, respectively. A positive \(\tau_{i,j}\) value indicates that element \(j\) is enriched in soils relative to that in bedrock, whereas a negative value indicates the depletion. And zero of \(\tau_{i,j}\) indicates the immobility of the element \(j\) in soil. We used Th as the immobile reference element in this study.

### 3. Results

The vertical changes in the concentration of several selected elements and other geochemical parameters are shown in Figure 2. Multiple elements have a very low content in the bedrock samples (PB-10, CY-6, CZ-7) of three profiles, but Sr content is still relatively high. The concentration of \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\), \(\text{Fe}_2\text{O}_3\), and \(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\) in the dolomite profile PB is higher than in the limestone profiles CY and CZ, whereas \(\text{SiO}_2\), \(\text{K}_2\text{O}\), and \(\text{Sr}\) are lower. CIA is a common proxy for determining the weathering intensity. Figure 2 shows the variation of CIA with depth. The CIA values of rock soil interface in the profiles PB, CY, and CZ are 89.65, 70.97, and 83.05, and of the soil samples they are 93.20–94.60, 75.45–76.81, and 77.76–84.76, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). It is significantly higher than the average CIA (47.92) of the upper crust (UCC).

**Table 1.** Selected major and trace element concentrations and \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratios of bulk samples of dolomite profile PB.

| Element | PB-1 | PB-2 | PB-3 | PB-4 | PB-5 | PB-6 | PB-7 | PB-8 | PB-9 | PB-10 | PB-11 | PB-12 | PB-13 |
|---------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| pH      | 5.60 | 4.46 | 3.74 | 4.28 | 4.16 | 4.07 | 4.07 | 4.06 | 3.90 |       |       |       |       |
| SiO₂/%  | 34.05 | 30.62 | 31.06 | 31.45 | 32.07 | 32.42 | 31.95 | 33.14 | 35.67 |       |       |       |       |
| FeO/%   | 15.49 | 16.96 | 17.01 | 16.71 | 17.01 | 16.39 | 16.32 | 16.11 | 14.82 |       |       |       |       |
| MgO/%   | 0.94  | 0.90  | 0.84  | 0.81  | 0.89  | 0.91  | 0.91  | 0.97  | 1.19  | 18.46 | 19.95 | 20.38 | 20.51 |
| CaO/%   | 0.73  | 0.15  | 0.19  | 0.09  | 0.07  | 0.08  | 0.08  | 0.09  | 0.10  | 31.08 | 30.47 | 30.58 | 31.19 |
| Na₂O/%  | 0.11  | 0.11  | 0.11  | 0.12  | 0.12  | 0.11  | 0.11  | 0.11  | 0.14  | 0.10  | 0.14  | 0.12  | 0.10  |
| K₂O/%   | 1.30  | 1.33  | 1.35  | 1.29  | 1.47  | 1.52  | 1.46  | 1.67  | 2.58  | 0.18  | 0.13  | 0.10  | 0.06  |
| MnO/₉⁶  | 0.07  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.04  | 0.08  | 0.07  | 0.12  | 0.19  | 0.39  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  |
| TiO₂/₉⁶ | 1.31  | 1.20  | 1.18  | 1.15  | 1.25  | 1.22  | 1.16  | 1.22  | 1.41  | 0.05  | 0.03  | 0.03  | 0.02  |
| P₂O₅/₉⁶ | 0.19  | 0.13  | 0.13  | 0.13  | 0.14  | 0.15  | 0.18  | 0.17  | 0.18  | 0.02  | 0.01  | 0.01  | 0.01  |
| Th/µg/g | 29.70 | 37.60 | 35.40 | 31.60 | 32.00 | 33.20 | 37.60 | 33.90 | 36.40 | 0.63  | 0.54  | 0.37  | 0.31  |
| Nb/µg/g | 51.90 | 70.60 | 73.10 | 55.10 | 79.60 | 71.40 | 99.20 | 97.80 | 235.00 | 16.60 | 6.65  | 6.15  | 2.87  |
| Rb/µg/g | 73.00 | 72.30 | 76.50 | 76.30 | 76.80 | 77.00 | 90.40 | 90.40 | 107.00 | 3.68  | 2.67  | 2.04  | 1.32  |
| Sr/µg/g | 57.10 | 47.00 | 47.90 | 49.30 | 46.80 | 45.10 | 43.20 | 46.20 | 48.40 | 69.00 | 69.10 | 64.30 | 66.80 |

\(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) values for the NBS987 Sr standard, and the measured isotope data are precise to 0.003% or better.
The maximum range of REE content is 354.96–994.65 in the PB profile with an average value of 535.64 (Table 3). At the same time, the PB profile has a higher degree of fractionation between light and heavy rare earth elements with \((\text{La} / \text{Yb})_\text{N} = 10.49–13.10\). In contrast, the content of REE in limestone profiles CY and CZ is lower than in the PB profile, as well as the fractionation degree between light rare earth elements (LREE, La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Pm, Sm, and Eu) and heavy rare earth elements (HREE, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, and Lu). The content of REE in the CY profile ranges from 111.18 to 218.59, with an average value of 166.16, whereas the content in the CZ profile ranges from 221.65 to 303.05, with an average value of 247.39 (Table 4). The \((\text{La} / \text{Yb})_\text{N}\) are 7.48–9.24 and 8.86–10.98 in CY and CZ profiles, respectively. In addition, the ratio of LREE/HREE (14.01–17.16 in PB profile, 7.72–10.70 in CY and CZ profiles, respectively).
Table 3. The depth distribution of rare earth elements of bulk samples from the dolomite profile PB.

|                | PB-1 | PB-2 | PB-3 | PB-4 | PB-5 | PB-6 | PB-7 | PB-8 | PB-9 | PB-10 | PB-11 | PB-12 | PB-13 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| REE pattern    | 173.34 | 185.46 | 218.59 | 198.96 | 111.18 | 43.27 | 221.65 | 282.29 | 275.01 | 309.28 | 319.66 | 303.05 | 20.76 |
| δCe 3         | 1.55 | 1.62 | 1.70 | 1.48 | 1.65 | 1.43 | 1.18 | 1.26 | 0.85 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.36 |
| δEu 4         | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.77 | 0.61 |
| Lα/N YbN 5     | 12.62 | 13.10 | 12.91 | 10.80 | 10.49 | 12.14 | 12.53 | 12.33 | 12.69 | 7.45 | 8.26 | 9.38 | 5.65 |
| Lα N/SmαN 6     | 4.10 | 4.15 | 3.95 | 3.41 | 2.97 | 3.24 | 2.95 | 2.95 | 2.16 | 0.67 | 1.22 | 1.52 | 5.65 |
| GdN YbN 7       | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.75 | 1.69 | 1.81 | 1.79 | 1.69 | 1.71 | 1.84 | 4.28 | 4.77 | 4.12 | 3.28 |

1 Stands for ΣLREE/ΣHREE; 2 The Ce anomaly (δCe = CeN /[(LaN + PrN)/2]) and Eu anomaly (δEu = EuN /[(SmN + GdN)/2]) were calculated; 3 La/N YbN = (La/LaN)/(Yb/YbN); Lα N/SmαN = (La/LαN)/(Sm/SmαN); GdN YbN = (Gd/GdN)/(Yb/YbN). All concentrations are normalized to the bulk sample and given in μg/g.

Table 4. The depth distribution of rare earth elements of bulk samples from the limestone profile CY and CZ.

|                | CY-1 | CY-2 | CY-3 | CY-4 | CY-5 | CY-6 | CZ-1 | CZ-2 | CZ-3 | CZ-4 | CZ-5 | CZ-6 | CZ-7 |
|----------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| La             | 36.60 | 35.40 | 48.10 | 42.30 | 42.40 | 28.79 | 44.30 | 50.60 | 54.10 | 62.40 | 64.20 | 58.60 | 4.44 |
| Ce             | 85.70 | 70.80 | 78.70 | 44.60 | 17.20 | 106.00 | 137.00 | 125.00 | 136.00 | 143.00 | 138.00 | 8.71 |
| Pr             | 6.91 | 7.54 | 11.50 | 9.58 | 5.30 | 2.27 | 9.57 | 12.00 | 12.70 | 14.50 | 15.10 | 13.90 | 0.97 |
| Nd             | 23.90 | 28.80 | 37.90 | 20.60 | 8.96 | 35.40 | 46.30 | 47.90 | 55.90 | 56.40 | 54.50 | 3.67 |
| Sm             | 4.20 | 5.63 | 8.24 | 7.00 | 3.66 | 1.58 | 6.29 | 8.71 | 8.48 | 10.20 | 9.72 | 7.63 |
| Er             | 3.01 | 3.71 | 3.68 | 3.12 | 4.19 | 3.77 | 4.10 | 4.11 | 6.46 | 0.56 | 0.37 | 0.34 | 0.41 |
| Tm             | 0.55 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.52 | 0.83 | 0.75 | 0.78 | 1.35 | 0.10 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 |
| Eu             | 3.95 | 4.58 | 5.49 | 4.37 | 4.70 | 5.54 | 5.47 | 10.20 | 6.72 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.27 |
| Lu             | 0.50 | 0.66 | 0.69 | 0.54 | 0.86 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 1.55 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| ΣREE           | 369.38 | 508.99 | 511.21 | 354.96 | 522.11 | 467.38 | 544.46 | 547.61 | 994.65 | 47.34 | 22.88 | 20.25 | 11.29 |
| L/H 1          | 15.70 | 16.70 | 17.16 | 14.26 | 14.01 | 15.29 | 15.29 | 14.95 | 5.14 | 5.98 | 4.30 | 2.55 | 2.55 |
| δCe 3          | 1.55 | 1.62 | 1.70 | 1.48 | 1.65 | 1.43 | 1.18 | 1.26 | 0.85 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.36 |
| δEu 4          | 0.66 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.63 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.68 | 0.69 | 0.75 | 0.82 | 0.84 | 0.77 | 0.61 |
| Lα N/YbN 5     | 12.62 | 13.10 | 12.91 | 10.80 | 10.49 | 12.14 | 12.53 | 12.33 | 12.69 | 7.45 | 8.26 | 9.38 | 5.65 |
| Lα N/SmαN 6     | 4.10 | 4.15 | 3.95 | 3.41 | 2.97 | 3.24 | 2.95 | 2.95 | 2.16 | 0.67 | 1.22 | 1.52 | 5.65 |
| GdN YbN 7       | 1.63 | 1.69 | 1.75 | 1.69 | 1.81 | 1.79 | 1.69 | 1.71 | 1.84 | 4.28 | 4.77 | 4.12 | 3.28 |

1 Stands for ΣLREE/ΣHREE; 2 The Ce anomaly (δCe = CeN /[(LaN + PrN)/2]) and Eu anomaly (δEu = EuN /[(SmN + GdN)/2]) were calculated; 3 Lα N/YbN = (La/LαN)/(Yb/YbN); Lα N/SmαN = (La/LαN)/(Sm/SmαN); GdN YbN = (Gd/GdN)/(Yb/YbN). All concentrations are normalized to the bulk sample and given in μg/g.
Figure 3. Chondrite-normalized REE patterns of soil samples from study profiles.

All of the soil samples from the PB profile have a positive Ce anomaly except PB-9, which has a negative Ce anomaly (δCe = 0.85). The soil samples from the CZ profile have a slight positive Ce anomaly (1.08–1.34). The Ce anomaly in the CY profile ranges from 0.82 to 1.30 with a positive Ce anomaly in the upper part of the profile and a negative anomaly in the lower part.

Compared with the Sr content in the bedrock, the Sr content in the soil samples has been significantly reduced. The Sr content (42.3–69.1) of the PB profile is less than that of the limestone profiles CY (83.5–100) and CZ (94.1–105). The Rb/Sr ratios of the three profiles are 1.28–2.21, 1.59–2.14, and 1.18–1.50, respectively. Rb/Sr ratios increase from the bedrock to the rock-soil interface, and then slightly decreases from the bottom to the top of the profiles. The variation of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in the profiles is similar to that of Rb/Sr. The highest $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ is in the CY profile range from 0.7141 to 0.7281, whereas the ranges for PB and CZ profiles are 0.7176–0.7279 and 0.7168–0.7194, respectively.

4. Discussion

4.1. Major and Rare Earth Elements Indicate Chemical Weathering of Dolomite and Limestone

Chemical weathering is a process in which primary minerals are dissolved or transformed into other minerals and plays an important role in the process of soil formation. As a commonly used weathering indicator, CIA can directly reflect the degree of soil weathering [12,14]. It is generally believed that when 50 < CIA < 65 is reflected, the primary chemical weathering occurs under cold and dry climate conditions; when 65 < CIA < 85, it indicated intermediate weathering under warm and humid conditions; when CIA > 85, it is expressed as strong weathering under hot and rainy climate conditions [15]. The CIA value of the dolomite profile PB is higher than the limestone profiles. The CIA values of the soil
Chemical weathering is a process in which primary minerals are dissolved or transformed into other minerals and plays an important role in the process of soil formation. As a commonly used weathering indicator, CIA can directly reflect the degree of soil weathering and leaching processes. These processes lead to large consumption of CaO, Na$_2$O, K$_2$O, and other soluble components in the study area.

A-CN-K (Al$_2$O$_3$-CaO* + Na$_2$O-K$_2$O) ternary diagram is usually used to study the weathering process, reflecting the changes of major elements and minerals, and indicating the trend of chemical weathering [42,43]. In the A-CN-K diagram (Figure 4), the bedrock is close to the CN apex and soil samples are close to the A-K line, and they gradually close to the A apex from the lower part to the upper part along with the profiles. CaO and Na$_2$O in the bedrock are lost rapidly after the beginning of dissolution, which indicates the dissolution of feldspar minerals (plagioclase and potash feldspar) and the formation of clay minerals (illite). In the soil layer, illite further develops into kaolinite and K$_2$O is gradually lost and Al$_2$O$_3$ is enriched [43]; therefore, the weathering trend reflected in the A-CN-K diagram can be divided into two stages, stage I: the dissolution of carbonate minerals and leaching of soluble elements, and stage II: the formation and alteration process of clay minerals. Although dolomite and limestone profiles both have two stages of weathering, they show different weathering trends. In the first stage of weathering, dolomite profile PB shows that the weathering trend from bedrock to rock-soil interface is closer to the A-CN line, whereas the weathering trend from rock-soil interface to soil samples is closer to the A-K line and intense weathering products such as kaolinite, chlorite, and gibbsite [44,45].

The first stage in limestone profiles CY and CZ deviates more from the A-CN line than the PB profile, and the accumulation of K-bearing minerals is more obvious. In a A-CN-K ternary diagram the soil samples of dolomite profile PB are located above the illite, corresponding to a higher CIA value > 90%, indicating that these samples have experienced strong weathering. Most of the soil samples of limestone weathering profiles are located below or close to illite (not beyond the scope of illite), and the corresponding CIA is 75–85%, indicating that the weathering of limestone soil samples has not yet reached the strong lateralization stage.

During chemical weathering, REE-containing minerals are dissolved, and REE is released into the soil and transferred through the soil solution [9,16]. The content of REE usually decreases with the increase in weathering degree [13,19]. According to the REE mass balance coefficient figure (Figure 5), both LREE and HREE in the soil samples of the PB profile have been greatly lost, and the $\tau$ is close to $-1$, which is consistent with the

![Figure 4. A-CN-K ternary diagram of the profiles (arrows indicating weathering trend). (b) is the part of the circle in (a).](image-url)
strong degree of weathering (CIA > 90). The τ of LREE and HREE in the limestone profile CY are concentrated at −0.5–0.8, indicating that the loss of REE is not as strong as that in the PB profile. Meanwhile, the REE in the CZ profile shows a slight depletion with a τ range from −0.5 to 0. All these indicate that the weathering degree of the dolomite profile is higher than that of the limestone. The behavior of the Ce element in the PB profile is different from other elements, so we calculated the Ce anomaly and showed the results in Figure 5a. There is a positive Ce anomaly in the upper part of the PB profile, δCe = 1.5–1.8 (PB-3-PB-8), the strong positive anomaly does not appear in the limestone profiles, and the Ce anomaly in the CY and CZ profiles is not obvious.

Figure 5. Depth variations of τTh,REE, Ce anomaly and P2O5 content in study profiles. (a–c) represent the τTh,REE, Ce anomaly and P2O5 content in PB, CY and CZ profiles.

Therefore, dolomite may have a faster weathering rate than limestone. The soil from the dolomite profile has experienced more intense weathering and is in the intense lateralization stage. In contrast, the limestone profiles have a lower degree of chemical weathering and lateralization.
4.2. $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ Indication of the Weathering Process

4.2.1. Influencing Factors of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ during Soil Chemical Weathering Process

Sr isotopes are rarely affected by near-surface chemical, physical, and biological processes [44,45]; however, the weathering and decomposition of Sr-containing minerals may cause changes in the Sr isotopic composition [46–49]. The soil has three soluble reservoirs of Sr: carbonate minerals, clay minerals that adsorbed exchangeable strontium, and phosphorus minerals formed during diagenesis [50–52]. In the hot and humid subtropical climate of the Guizhou Province, the weathering profile contains few carbonate minerals, so the latter two are the main reservoirs of Sr in the study profiles. The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of the three profiles increase sharply from the rock-soil interface to the soil, then decrease upwards in the soil layer with an increasing weathering degree (Figure 2). This decrease is particularly obvious in the CY profile. The ratios of Rb/Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ both gradually decrease upwards, which is contrary to the increase of Rb/Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in the granitic weathering profiles. This may be due to the existence of a large number of clay minerals that can absorb exchangeable Sr [32,53].

In the A-CN-K ternary diagram (Figure 4), the soil sample of the limestone profile falls in the area of illite, indicating that the main clay minerals in soil are illite and kaolinite formations. Illite is often regarded as a factor that affects the change of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ [31,35]. As a soluble element, the content of K$_2$O in the laterite profile is closely related to the decomposition and formation of K-containing minerals such as K-feldspar and illite [23,53]. The K$_2$O element content in the limestone profiles CY and CZ decreases with depth, which is caused by the gradual decomposition of illite due to the increase in weathering intensity. Due to the ionic radius of Rb being similar to that of K and the electricity valence being equal, K-containing minerals are usually rich in Rb. $^{87}\text{Sr}$ is derived from $^{87}\text{Rb}$ through $\beta$ decay, so K-containing minerals usually have a higher $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ [48]. In the soil layer, illite decomposes, resulting in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ becoming smaller. The good positive correlation between the K element and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ also indicates weathering, and the decomposition of illite controls the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ composition of the profile (Figure 6a).
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**Figure 6.** Distributions of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio with (a) K$_2$O and (b) P$_2$O$_5$ in samples from the study profiles.

The content of K in the dolomite profile PB is very low, and soil samples that fall in the A-CN-K ternary diagram are above the illite, indicating that the main clay mineral in the soil is kaolinite, so there may be other factors affecting $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$.

The PB profile is a typical carbonate weathering profile. It can be seen that CaO has a good correlation with $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (Figure 6b); however, in the strong weathering and
leaching environment, the carbonate rocks are leached and decomposed, and the CaO-containing mineral may not be carbonate rock but apatite [31]. The consistency of the Ce anomaly and P2O5 content change (Figure 5), and the high P2O5 content in the PB profile, indicates the possibility of apatite, and previous studies on Guangxi red soil also proved that the presence of apatite may be affected $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr. We analyzed the correlation between P2O5 and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and found that only P2O5 and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr in the PB profile have a good correlation, which proves that apatite is also a factor that affects the Sr isotope in the PB profile (Figure 6).

4.2.2. Significance of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr for Evaluating the Soil Chemical Weathering Process

The Sr isotopic composition of the bedrock samples and the soil samples are different. The bedrock contains Sr in the main minerals, dolomite/calcite, which are dissolved during the weathering process, resulting in Sr leaching, which is the significant difference between soil and bedrock [26,54]. The Sr content and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr present two weathering steps during the weathering process of carbonate rocks (Figure 7). From the bedrock to the soil–rock interface, the Sr content decreases significantly and the $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios increase significantly; from the rock–soil interface to the soil samples, the Sr content changes a little, and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr increases moderately. It shows that the weathering process of carbonate rocks can be divided into two stages: Stage I represents the leaching process of carbonate minerals, and Stage II represents the weathering process of saprolite (Figure 7a). This may be related to two different sources of Sr in carbonate rocks. One is carbonate minerals with high Sr content and low $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr, and the other is silicate minerals with low Sr content and high $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr [34]. Carbonate rocks are more easily decomposed during the weathering process, so $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr from the bedrock to the soil will suddenly increase.
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**Figure 7.** Distributions of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio with (a) Sr content and (b) Nb/Sr ratio in samples from the study profiles.

Niobium (Nb) is a stable element and has almost no migration during weathering [55–57]; therefore, the concentration of Nb in the soil solution is very low, and the Nb/Sr ratio is close to zero. As the degree of weathering increases, the Nb concentration and Nb/Sr ratio in the soil are expected to increase [34]. For this reason, $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr vs. Nb/Sr diagrams are commonly used to assess Sr endmembers and reveal the evolution of weathering profiles. There is a strong correlation between Nb/Sr and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr in the limestone profile CY and CZ ($R^2 = 0.89, 0.92$), showing a trend that Nb/Sr and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr increase with the weathering (Figure 7b). Nb/Sr and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr increase rapidly from bedrock to rock–soil interface, but the changes to the soil layer are not obvious. The dolomite profile PB has obvious two-stage weathering trends. Fresh carbonate bedrock has a relatively low $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio and high Sr content. It is preferentially weathered under warm and humid conditions [35]; therefore, elastic silicate minerals end members with a higher $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratio to control the Sr isotopic composition of saprolite. In the first stage from the bedrock
to the rock–soil interface, the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio increased and the Sr content decreased (Nb/Sr increased) (Figure 7b). As dolomite contains more clastic impurities (silicates), the degree of Sr accumulation in the dolomite rock–soil interface after the bedrock dissolves is higher than that of the limestone profile. In the second stage, carbonate is depleted (CaO concentration is usually <0.5 wt.%), and silicate components constitute the main body of the weathering layer. In this process, silicate minerals are affected by long-term strong weathering and transform into more stable minerals, such as kaolinite and gibbsite [44,55]. The exchangeable Sr existing in the silicate minerals is partially leached; therefore, the Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in the soil are reduced compared with the bedrock. Dolomite contains more silicate minerals and has experienced more intense weathering. Sr leaching is stronger than that in limestone soil samples, resulting in lower Sr content in the dolomite profile.

4.3. $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ Indication of Material Source of Soil Overlying Carbonate Rock

For a long time, the material source of laterite overlying carbonate rock has been controversial. They may have multiple origins, such as aeolian, and they may develop from clastic rock or in-situ weathering of carbonate rock. In SW China, some studies have also proposed the possibility that the overlying red soil comes from Chinese loess or Emeishan basalt; however, in our research, it is difficult to find evidence to support this hypothesis.

In the chondrite-normalized REE pattern, except for the strong Ce anomaly in the PB profile, the patterns of REE in the soil samples of the three profiles are very similar to the patterns in the respective bedrock samples, showing a trend of tilting to the right. This means that LREE are relatively enriched in HREE. This similarity indicates that the soil samples have a good inheritance from the bedrock and it supports the hypothesis of the homology relationship between the carbonate rock and the overlying weathered soil.

In the open superficial environment, Rb-containing minerals (mainly mica and potassium feldspar) are more resistant to decomposition than Ca (and Sr)-containing minerals (mainly feldspar and calcite) [24,58]. Due to different weathering rates of different minerals, the weathering process usually leads to changes in Rb and Sr concentrations and leaching rates of radioactive and non-radioactive Sr [25,29,59,60]. Generally, minerals with a high Rb/Sr ratio have good weather resistance and a high $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio; therefore, the ratios of Rb/Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of soil profile samples increase with the increase of weathering intensity. The Rb/Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios of the study profiles are significantly positively correlated (Figure 8a). The strong linear relationship indicates that the radiogenic $^{87}\text{Sr}$ is mainly derived from $^{87}\text{Rb}$ decay, and the weathering decomposition of Rb-containing minerals leads to the loss of Rb and radiogenic $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ from the weathering system, whereas the contribution of the heterogeneous is very limited. Compared with profiles PB and CZ, the ratios of Rb/Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in profile CY are higher, which may be due to the weaker weathering degree and residual minerals with a high Rb/Sr ratio.

The study profile was compared with some similar lateritic weathering profiles in the Guizhou and Guangxi Provinces (Figure 8b). All of the data comes from published reports [18,34,35]. Some previous studies and our PB profile are in the same area (a distance of more than 10 km), with the same climate and similar stratigraphic age. Although these profiles and our profiles have similar ranges of Rb/Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios, their slopes and intercepts are not the same, indicating that their material sources are not a uniform aeolian material source, but should be evolved from the underlying bedrock.

In Figure 8b, we found that soil samples from Triassic and Permian bedrocks have different distribution ranges. The soils overlying the Permian bedrock have lower Rb/Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios while those overlying the Triassic bedrock have higher Rb/Sr and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios, bounded by $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.716$. This difference may be due to the change of continental debris source in the South China Sedimentary Basin during the Permian to Triassic period [35]. The changes in the sources of these continents may be related to plate tectonics; therefore, the Sr isotope records in red soil may provide evidence for the movement of the South China block in the Late Paleozoic.
87Sr profiles is illite, and the clay minerals in the PB profile are changing to gibbsite and kaolin. 
weaker weathering degree and residual minerals with a high Rb/Sr ratio.

The Rb/Sr and 87Sr/86Sr ratios of the study profiles are significantly positively correlated (Figure 8a). The strong linear relationship indicates that the radiogenic 87Sr is intensity. The Rb/Sr and 87Sr/86Sr ratios of soil profile samples increase with the increase of weathering 
minerals leads to the loss of Rb and radiogenic 87Sr/86Sr from the weathering system,

ratios of Rb/Sr and 87Sr/86Sr of soil profile samples increase with the increase of weathering 
minerals and has experienced more intense weathering. Sr leaching is stronger than 
leaching rates of radioactive and non-radioactive Sr [25,29,59,60]. Generally, minerals with 
erals, the weathering process usually leads to changes in Rb and Sr concentrations and 
tassium feldspar) are more resistant to decomposition than Ca (and Sr)-containing miner-
als (mainly feldspar and calcite) [24,58]. Due to different weathering rates of different min-
domine, the weathering process usually leads to changes in Rb and Sr concentrations and 
A-CN-K ternary suggested the main clay mineral in the CZ and CY profiles is illite, and the clay minerals in the PB profile are changing to gibbsite and kaolin. 
The mineral composition had a significant impact on the Sr isotopic characteristics. The decomposition of illite and apatite in the carbonate rock profiles could affect the Sr isotopic composition in soils. Two stages existed in the weathering process of the carbonate rock profiles. In the first stage, the Sr content decreased and 87Sr/86Sr slightly increased due to the carbonate minerals rapidly dissolving from the bedrock to the rock–soil interface. In the second stage, the main body of the weathering process from the rock–soil interface to the soil layer is composed of clastic silicate minerals, which resulted in the Sr content slightly decreasing and 87Sr/86Sr increasing significantly. The ratios of Rb/Sr and 87Sr/86Sr divided the soil profiles into different groups, indicating that their parent materials are different and the possibility of exogenous soil origin (aeolian) of the region is very low; therefore, the red soil overlying the carbonate rock is more likely to be developed from the underlying bedrock.
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