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Abstract

Goldfish, one of the first animals domesticated for ornamental purposes, experience huge morphological variation, of which the number changes in tail fins and the loss of dorsal fins is the most impressive due to their important functions in fish. In the present study, a transcriptome analysis of the tail fins of Grass- (with complete dorsal fin and a single tail fin), and Egg-goldfish (loss of dorsal fin and double tail fins) was carried out to determine the sequence variants, to detect differential gene expression patterns in transcriptomes, and to characterize the effects of selection and identify target genes under selection. In total, 124,808,475 and 101,965,939 high quality reads were obtained from the tail fins of Grass- and Egg-goldfish, respectively, and 114,796 unigenes were further assembled from over 33.48 Gb nucleotides. A large portion of unigenes related to various primary and secondary metabolite pathways were identified, and the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between the tail fins from Grass- and Egg-goldfish were also generally enriched in the metabolite pathways, for instance, the PI3K–Akt signalling pathway, the MAPK signalling pathway, osteoclast differentiation, and the dorso-ventral axis formation, all relating to cell proliferation, growth, and differentiation. These identified DEGs (HOXA2b, HOXB13a, paired mesoderm homeobox protein 1-like isoform X2 (PRRX2), zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1-like isoform X3 (ZEB1), and homeobox protein (Meis1)), presumably played an important role in the development of the double tail fins during the artificial selection for ornamental purposes.

1. Introduction

Over the past 13,000 years of human history, domestication of all kinds of animals and plants has been fundamental. The successfully domesticated animals and plants not only provided for the development of human society in the necessary material foundation (e.g., clothing, food, and shelter), some domestic animals have also become the assistants and companions of human daily life (Diamond 2002). Compared with their wild ancestors, some domestic animals show huge differences in morphological characteristic such as goldfish and crucian carp (Smartt 2001; Wang 1985; Wang 2000). Understanding the genetic basis of phenotypic variation, especially identifying target genes under anthropogenic selection during domestication, can provide insight into the processes of rapid evolution and improvement (Diamond 2002).

Goldfish (Carassius auratus) belong to the Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae, and Carassius family, subfamily and genus, respectively. From unconscious breeding to the conscious anthropogenic selection for ornamental purposes, the morphological characteristics of the goldfish have experienced a huge variation compared with the wild crucian carp in less than 1,000 years of being domesticated (Wang 1985). Long-term artificial selection for ornamental purposes makes the external morphology of goldfish (eye, fin, body shape, scales, etc.) considerably different from that of crucian carp, such as egg-shaped bodies, celestial or telescopic eyes, fancy tail fins, lionhead morphotypes, double tail fins, no dorsal fin, inter alia (Komiyama et al. 2009). Of all the morphological variations that have appeared during the domesticated history from wild crucian carp to goldfish, the number changes in the tail fins and the loss of dorsal fins has attracted general concern due to their important functions (Smartt 2001; Wang 2000). The biological function of the fish dorsal fin is to maintain the balance of the body while swimming and most fishes cannot stay upright without the dorsal fin (Drucker et al. 2001). The dorsal finlessness appeared after the attainment of double tail fins, which could compensate for the loss of dorsal fins (Wang et al. 2013). Depending on the condition of the dorsal (retained or loss), and tail (single or double) fins, two terms are used to designate the breeds: Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish (Wang 2000). The morphology of the Grass-goldfish (slender-shaped body with complete dorsal fin and a single tail fin; Fig. 1a) is less derived and more similar to the native Carassius than other breeds (Wang 1985; Wang 2000). The Egg-goldfish (Fig. 1b) always has an egg-shaped body and double tail fins, while their dorsal fins were lost in the process of artificial selection (Wang 1985; Wang 2000).
These morphological differences, caused by artificial selection for ornamental purposes, will leave traces on the genetic material (Diamond 2002). Analysis of the mitochondrial DNA sequences reveals more genetic and nucleotide diversity for Grass-goldfish comparing to Egg-goldfish, indicating that the Grass-goldfish could have been the first domesticated breed and the Egg-goldfish is likely to have a more recent origin (Komiyama et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2013). Further studies on the function of nuclear genes have shown that the homeobox genes play an important role in regulating the occurrence and development of fins. The expression of \( HoxA11b \) and \( HoxA13b \) genes in the growth and regeneration of pectoral and tail fins reveals that they are involved in the differentiation of fish osteoblasts (Shao et al. 2009). Additionally, research on the function of \( HoxB1b \) from \( Megalobrama amblycephala \) indicated it was closely related to the development of the pectoral fin on the anterior and posterior axes of the embryo (Pu et al. 2004). After introducing \( HoxD13 \) protein into zebrafish fins, the formation of new cartilage tissue and a decrease in fin tissue in zebrafish embryos were induced (Freitas et al. 2012). Research (Abe et al. 2014) on goldfish's chordin gene (osteogenic gene) revealed that a nonsense mutation in the chordin gene during the artificial domestication of goldfish might be the direct cause for the production of the double tailed goldfish (the shaft of the forking tail). Although goldfish have important biological significance in studying morphological differences caused by artificial selection for ornamental purposes, the overall transcriptomic or genomic analysis of goldfish of different breeds is still very limited. Over the last decade, benefiting from the progress in sequencing technology and the reduction of sequencing costs, a good opportunity presents itself to detect and compare the transcriptome sequences from different breeds of goldfish domesticated for ornamental purposes.

In the present study, a comprehensive analysis based on transcriptome sequencing from the tailfins of Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish was implemented to determine the sequence variants and detect differentially expressed genes (DEGs) involved in the development of double tail fins, and to identify the target genes artificial selected for ornamental purposes. In addition, the simple sequence repeats (SSR) loci and markers in the transcriptome from the tailfins were detected for further assistance in molecular marker-assisted breeding of goldfish. Through these extensive transcriptome analyses, we anticipate some progress in elucidating the regulatory effect of DEGs in tailfin variations caused by anthropogenic selection for ornamental purposes.

### 2. Material And Methods

#### 2.1 RNA extraction, library construction and transcriptome sequencing

Live Grass- and Egg-goldfish specimens were collected from Beijing, Hangzhou, and Xuzhou, China. Total RNA isolation, the construction and sequencing of the cDNA library were carried out by the Map Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Three individuals were selected from both Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish for total RNA extraction from the tailfin tissues of each sample using Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON, Canada). Subsequently, the total isolated RNA was digested by DNase I (Takara, Shanghai, China), following the experimental procedures provided in the product manual. The mRNA was isolated from the total RNA using Dynabeads Oligo (dT) (Invitrogen, Burlington, ON, Canada), and afterwards broken into short fragments for the construction of the cDNA library.

Six mRNA-Seq Illumina libraries were constructed and sequenced through the HiSeq 2000 system (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) basing on the instructions from the manufacturer, and each tissue for sequencing contained three biological replicates. The raw sequence reads and assembled contigs were uploaded to the Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under the BioProject database of NCBI (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) with the accession PRJNA666023.

#### 2.2 De novo assembly and annotation
We abandoned the adapter sequences, reads with low quality (defined as reads containing > 50% bases with Q value \( \leq 10 \)), or more than 5% unknown ('N') nucleotides to obtain the cleaned reads from the raw data. Subsequently, Trinity software (version: trinityrnaseq-r2013-02-25; Grabherr et al. 2011) was used to generate the contigs by \textit{de novo} assembly of high-quality cleaned reads, and the contigs were further assembled for the construction of unigenes. The total unigene number and length, as well as the average length, and the N50 length were calculated.

For the functional classification of the unigenes, the Blast2GO software (version 4.1.5, http://www.geneontology.org/) (Conesa et al. 2005) was used to establish the NR (NCBI non-redundant protein dataset), GO (Gene Ontology), COGs (Clusters of Orthologous Groups) and SWSS (SwissProt) annotations with a cut-off value of 1e-5 the default parameters. We identified all the metabolic pathways that the unigenes might participate in to elucidate the metabolism and synthesis ability of the goldfish, based on the KEGG database (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Pathway, http://www.genome.jp/kegg/) (Kanehisa et al. 2004). The number of unigenes for each KEGG orthology (KO) was calculated and presented for further analysis.

### 2.2 Analyses of differential gene expression

In mRNA-Seq analysis, the gene expression level is evaluated by the number of cleaned reads located in specific regions of the genome. An RPKM (reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads) value, a standard to measure gene expression level, was calculated for each unigene by the RSEM v1.2.11 program (Li and Dewey 2011). The unigene RPKM value was counted for each individual, and the RPKM distribution for all individuals were computed by using the gene density value and \( \log_2 \) (RPKM + 1) value.

We used the R package, DEseq2 (Anders and Huber 2010), to perform the differential expression analyses between Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish. Using negative binomial generalized linear models, DESeq2 provides methods to test for differential expression and to estimate the variance-mean dependence. We used the \( \log_2 \) values of normalized mean counts to represent fold changes of transcript expression levels, and the FDR (False Discovery Rate) value was calculated to adjust the P value. A significantly differential expression was distinguished by setting the threshold with the \( \log_2 \) (fold change) absolute value \( \geq 2 \), and FDR value \( \leq 0.05 \). For the visualization analyses of differential expression between Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish, a volcano plot was obtained by taking \( \log_2 \) (fold change) absolute value as the horizontal coordinate and the negative \( \log_{10} \) (P value) as the ordinate.

Enrichment analyses of differentially expressed genes were respectively performed by using the software Goatools (https://github.com/tanghaibao/GOatools) for GO enrichment analysis (Klopfenstein et al. 2018) and KOBAS (http://kobas.cbi.pku.edu.cn/home.do) for KEGG pathway enrichment analysis (Wu et al. 2006; Xie et al. 2011), with a threshold of adjusted (FDR) P value \( \leq 0.05 \).

Clustering analysis of the expression patterns for 2946 DEGs between Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish were implemented by using the R package heatmap2 (with Spearman's correlation coefficient for different individuals and Pearson correlation between unigenes) to infer the functional similarities between the DEGs.

### 2.3 Simple sequence repeats (SSRs) analysis

The MISA program (Beier et al. 2017) was used to identify the SSRs in the unigenes (length > 1000 bp) of goldfish, and to design the primers for the predicted SSRs. Furthermore, the numbers of the predicted SSRs (mono-, di-, tri-, tetra-, penta-, and hexa-nucleotides) were calculated and revealed by a histogram.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Transcriptome sequencing and \textit{de novo} assembly
Three biological replicate libraries were generated and sequenced from the tailfins of Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish, respectively (Table 1), and all the raw reads were deposited in the SRA with accession number PRJNA666023. A total number of 54,123,840, 52,650,612 and 54,797,604 raw reads were respectively obtained from the three Grass-goldfish specimens. Three Egg-goldfish specimens separately produced 45,551,450, 49,700,170 and 50,184,718 raw reads. The adaptors, the reads with more than 5% N content, or the bases with lower quality (defined as reads containing > 50% bases with Q value ≤ 10) were removed. After quality control, approximately 18.40 and 15.08 Gb clean nucleotides in total were obtained for Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish, respectively.
### Table 1
Summary statistics of original and assembled data from transcriptome of three Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish

|                      | Grass-1       | Grass-2       | Grass-3       | Egg-1        | Egg-2        | Egg-3        |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| **Raw sequencing reads** |               |               |               |              |              |              |
| Total reads          | 54,123,840    | 52,650,612    | 54,797,604    | 45,551,450   | 49,700,170   | 50,184,718   |
| Total bases (bp)     | 8,118,576,000 | 7,897,591,800 | 8,219,640,600 | 6,832,717,500| 7,455,025,500| 7,527,707,700|
| **Clean sequencing reads** |             |               |               |              |              |              |
| Total reads          | 48,160,910    | 47,175,288    | 29,472,277    | 41,018,144   | 31,511,232   | 29,436,563   |
| Total bases (bp)     | 7,105,892,795 | 6,963,276,072 | 4,329,394,413 | 6,055,892,155| 4,666,822,327| 4,361,478,274|
| Percentage of clean reads (%) | 88.98        | 89.60         | 53.78         | 90.04        | 63.40        | 58.65        |
| Percentage of clean bases (%) | 87.53        | 88.17         | 52.67         | 88.63        | 62.60        | 57.94        |
| GC % of clean reads  | 42.41         | 43.36         | 42.57         | 41.96        | 43.28        | 45.16        |
| Q30 (%)              | 95.54         | 95.43         | 94.97         | 95.30        | 95.64        | 95.85        |
| **Alignment information** |             |               |               |              |              |              |
| Aligned reads        | 37,065,166    | 36,090,133    | 22,552,540    | 32,203,590   | 25,137,076   | 23,154,977   |
| Aligned ratio (%)    | 76.96         | 76.50         | 76.52         | 78.51        | 79.77        | 78.66        |
| **Unigene information** |             |               |               |              |              |              |
| Total number of unigenes | 114,796     |               |               |              |              |              |
| Number of bases      | 89,545,010    |               |               |              |              |              |
| Mean length of unigene (bp) | 780.04      |               |               |              |              |              |
| N50 length of unigene (bp) | 861          |               |               |              |              |              |
Subsequently, 114,796 unigene sequences, with the total length of 89,545,010 bp, were de novo assembled from the clean reads of the combined six mRNA-Seq Illumina libraries using Trinity software (version: trinityrnaseq-r2013-02-25) (Conesa et al. 2005). The proportions of reads that could map back to the assembled transcript were 76.68% for Grass-goldfish, and 78.94% for Egg-goldfish. The overall GC contents of the transcriptomes were 42.78% and 43.47% in Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish, respectively (Table 1). Furthermore, the mean (780 bp), N50 (861 bp) and largest (18,054 bp) length of unigenes were also calculated.

### 3.2 Functional annotation of unigenes

The assembled 114,796 unigenes were further annotated in the NR (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/blast/db; Pruitt et al. 2012), GO (http://geneontology.org; Ashburner et al. 2000), COG (http://https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/research/cog-project; Galperin et al. 2021), KEGG (http://www.genome.jp/kegg; Kanehisa et al. 2004) and SWSS databases (http://www.uniprot.org; Bairoch and Boeckmann 1991) (Table 2; Fig. 2). Overall, 49.45%, 1.33%, 32.41%, 25.02%, and 34.39% unigenes were identified and categorized in the NR, GO, COG, KEGG, and SWSS databases, respectively, and 1,096 unigenes annotated to all databases are shown at the intersections of all circles in Fig. 2.

| Category                  | Number of annotated unigenes | Percentage of annotated unigenes (%) |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Annotated in NR           | 56,761                       | 49.45                               |
| Annotated in GO           | 1,530                        | 1.33                                |
| Annotated in COG          | 37,204                       | 32.41                               |
| Annotated in KEGG         | 28,723                       | 25.02                               |
| Annotated in SWSS         | 39,476                       | 34.39                               |
| Annotated in at least one database | 57,584                   | 50.16                               |
| Annotated in all database | 1,096                        | 0.95                                |

**Note.** NR, NCBI non-redundant protein dataset (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/blast/db; Pruitt et al., 2012); GO, Gene Ontology (http://geneontology.org; Ashburner et al., 2000); COG, Clusters of Orthologous Groups (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/research/cog-project; Galperin et al., 2021); KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Pathway (http://www.genome.jp/kegg; Kanehisa et al., 2004); SWSS, SwissProt (http://www.uniprot.org; Bairoch and Boeckmann, 1991).

In the GO category, 1,530 unigenes were classified into three primary GO terms, “biological processes”, “cellular components”, and “molecular function”, and further subdivided into 56 secondary-class terms (Fig. 3). In the GO term “biological processes”, “cellular process (1045 genes)”, “single-organism process (829 genes)”, and “metabolic process (803 genes)”, were the top three, secondary-class terms with the most unigenes. The secondary categories “cell part (883 genes)”, “cell (883 genes)”, and “organelle (640 genes)”, were the top three secondary categories in the GO term “cellular components”. In the “molecular function” GO term, the secondary-class terms “binding (820 genes)”, and “catalytic activity (586 genes)” occupied the top two positions with the most unigenes.
In the COG annotation analyses, 37,204 unigenes were mapped into 26 categories, and the “U: intracellular trafficking, secretion, and vesicular transport (3784 genes)”, “O: Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chaperones (2482 genes)”, “T: Signal transduction mechanisms (2099 genes)”, “K: Transcription (1950 genes)”, and “Z: Cytoskeleton (770 genes)” were substantially enriched (Fig. 4).

In the KEGG pathway annotation, 28,723 unigenes were classified into five categories mapping to 340 pathways (Fig. 5A), with 8237 genes located in “A: Cellular Process”, 3441 genes in “B: Environmental Information Processing”, 7200 genes in “C: Genetic Information Processing”, 5837 genes in “D: Metabolism”, and 10,180 genes in “E: Organismal Systems”. The top 20 enriched KEGG pathways are displayed by a histogram (Fig. 5B), and the top three pathways with the most unigenes enrichment were “metabolic pathways (2824 unigenes)”, “pathways in cancer (1245)”, and “PI3K–Akt signalling pathway (1147 unigenes)”.

3.3 Gene quantification in Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish

The number of mapped reads for each gene was calculated and standardized to RPKM (reads per kilobase of exon model per million mapped reads) to quantify the transcriptome expression level in the tailfins from Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish. In total, ~76.68% (Grass-goldfish), and 78.93% (Egg-goldfish) clean reads from each library were mapped to the prior-assembled 114,796 unigenes. According to the calculated RPKM value for each unigene, the expression levels of unigenes were subsequently defined as no transcription (RPKM < 1), low (1 ≤ RPKM < 5), medium (5 ≤ RPKM < 10), and high (RPKM ≥ 10). The number of genes with medium (14,651 vs. 14,464), and high expression (7398 vs. 7331) in Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish tailfins was similar, while the number of unexpressed (99,537 vs. 85,489), and low-expressed (60,474 vs. 45,147) genes in the tailfins of Grass-goldfish was much greater than in Egg-goldfish, suggesting that more genes function in the development of the double tail fin.

3.4 Analysis of the DEGs (differentially expressed genes)

To identify the DEGs between the Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish, a paired comparison of the expression quantity for each unigene in the tailfin of the different breeds was conducted and the unigenes with fold change ≥ 2 and FDR < 0.01 were filtered (Table 3). A total of 7516 DEGs were detected, out of which 2946 unigenes demonstrated substantially differential expression in the tailfins between Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish. In these differentially expressed genes, compared with Egg-goldfish, 1922 unigenes were up-regulated and 1024 unigenes were down-regulated in Grass-goldfish.

| Comparison pairs | DEG number | Up-regulation | Down-regulation |
|------------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Grass vs. Egg    | 2946       | 1922          | 1024            |
| Grass1 vs. Grass2 | 1065      | 502           | 563             |
| Grass1 vs. Grass3 | 1067      | 496           | 571             |
| Grass2 vs. Grass3 | 1126      | 531           | 595             |
| Egg1 vs. Egg2    | 422        | 232           | 190             |
| Egg1 vs. Egg3    | 457        | 219           | 238             |
| Egg2 vs. Egg3    | 431        | 190           | 241             |
The differentially expressed unigenes from tailfins of Grass-goldfish vs. Egg-goldfish were further mapped and classified in GO categories and KEGG pathways. The significantly up-regulated DEGs (240 unigenes) annotated in GO categories were mainly involved in the single organism process (GO: 0044699, 23 unigenes), and cellular process (GO: 0009987, 23 unigenes) of biological processes (121 unigenes), the cell part (GO: 0044464, 14 unigenes) and membrane part (GO: 0044425, 13 unigenes) of the cellular component (86 unigenes), and the binding (GO: 0005488, 16 unigenes) and catalytic activity (11 unigenes) of molecular function (33 unigenes). The significantly down-regulated DEGs (198 unigenes) were generally involved in the cellular process (GO: 0009987, 22 unigenes) and metabolic process (GO: 0008152, 20 unigenes) of the biological process (78 unigenes), the cell part (GO: 0044464, 20 unigenes) and organelle (GO: 0043226, 18 unigenes) of the cellular component (97 unigenes), and the binding (GO: 0005488, 11 unigenes) and catalytic activity (9 unigenes) of molecular function (23 unigenes). Furthermore, in the metabolic process category, the DEG analyses revealed that the \(HOXA2b\) (TRINITY_DN71748_c0_g1), \(HOXB13a\) (TRINITY_DN74023_c0_g1), paired mesoderm homeobox protein 1-like isoform X2 \((\text{PRRX2})\), zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1-like isoform X3 \((\text{ZEB1})\), and homeobox protein, \(\text{Meis1}\) (TRINITY_DN92032_c0_g1) were up-regulated, while the H2.0-like homeobox protein \((\text{HLX})\) was down-regulated in the tailfin from the Grass-goldfish.

Large numbers of DEGs were also mapped to various primary and secondary metabolite pathways (e.g., ko01100, ko04151, ko04010, and ko04014) in the enrichment analyses of DEGs between the Grass- and Egg-goldfish KEGG pathways (Table S1 and S2), including the PI3K-Akt signalling pathway (36 unigenes), MAPK signalling pathway (17 unigenes), and Jak-STAT signalling pathway (13 unigenes). The osteoclast differentiation (ko04380) and dorso-ventral axis formation (ko04320), probably related to the formation of the goldfish tailfin, were also identified in the KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of DEGs. In the osteoclast differentiation (ko04380) pathway, our analyses demonstrated that the GRB2-associated-binding protein 2-like isoform X2 (TRINITY_DN94490_c0_g1), serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 2B catalytic subunit alpha isoform X2 (TRINITY_DN90198_c0_g2), autophagy-related protein 9A-like (TRINITY_DN92800_c0_g2), and calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase type IV-like (TRINITY_DN98117_c0_g1) were up-regulated (Table S1), while the interferon gamma receptor 1–2 (TRINITY_DN94125_c1_g2), fos-related antigen 2-like (TRINITY_DN85588_c0_g1), interleukin-1 beta-2 (TRINITY_DN104072_c0_g1), fos-related antigen 1-like isoform X2 (TRINITY_DN76364_c0_g1), retinoic acid receptor responder protein 3-like (TRINITY_DN16362_c0_g1), and suppressor of cytokine signalling 1-like (TRINITY_DN83317_c0_g1) were down-regulated (Table S2) in the tailfin from Grass-goldfish. In addition, the GTPase, Hras (TRINITY_DN90348_c2_g2), was down-regulated in the Grass-goldfish's tailfin (Table S2), within the dorso-ventral axis formation (ko04320) pathway.

### 3.5 Polymorphism analysis of simple sequence repeats (SSR) markers

A total of 15931 SSRs (simple sequence repeats) were identified including di- (12,511, 78.53%), tri- (2919, 18.32%), and tetra-nucleotide repeats (438, 2.75%), (Table 4, Fig. 6). Among the di-nucleotide repeats, AC/GT (8669), AG/CT (2909), and AT/AT (910) were the dominant motifs. Within the tri- nucleotide repeats, AAT/ATT (537), followed by AGG/CCT (470), and AAC/GTT (444), were the most repeated motifs (Table 4).
Table 4
The SSRs (simple sequence repeats) identified from the unigene sequences of Goldfish.

| SSR parameters                   | Number  |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| Total number of sequences examined | 114,796 |
| Total size of examined sequences  | 88,134,359 |
| Total number of identified SSRs   | 15,931  |
| Di-nucleotide                    | 12511   |
| Tri-nucleotide                   | 2919    |
| Tetra-nucleotide                 | 438     |
| Penta-nucleotide                 | 49      |
| Hexa-nucleotide                  | 14      |
| Number of SSRs containing sequences | 24,594 |
| Number of sequences containing more than 1 SSR | 5,307 |
| Number of SSRs present in compound formation | 2,785 |

4. Discussion

Compared with the wild crucian carp, the variation in the tail and dorsal fins is the most attractive, owing to its important biological function in fish. In the present study, based on RNA-Seq technology, transcriptome analyses of tailfins from Grass-goldfish (single), and Egg-goldfish (double) were performed. After quality control, approximately 77.25% and 70.11% clean reads were obtained for Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish, respectively. The overall GC contents of the Grass- (42.78%) and Egg-goldfish (43.47%) transcriptomes were both slightly lower than that of AT, which was consistent with the 42.9% GC content in the mitochondrial genome of the wild crucian carp (Ge et al. 2020).

Subsequently, 114,796 unigene sequences, with the total length of 89,545,010 bp, were de novo assembled from the clean reads, and the proportion of reads that could map back to the assembled transcript was 76.68% for Grass-goldfish, and 78.94% for Egg-goldfish. The average (780 bp), N50 (861 bp), and largest (18,054 bp) length of unigenes were also calculated and ensured reliable transcriptome data.

The KEGG pathway analysis results indicated that the significantly enriched pathways were involved in four aspect including “Signal transduction”, “Global and overview maps”, “Endocrine system”, and “Transport and catabolism”. In the “Signal transduction” group, more than 73% unigenes were distributed in the “PI3K – Akt signalling pathway (1147 unigenes)”, “MAPK signalling pathway (857 unigenes)”, “Rap1 signalling pathway (809 unigenes)”, and “Ras signalling pathway (656 unigenes)”. Phosphatidylinositide-3-kinases (PI3K, an intracellular phosphatidylinositol kinase), and Akt (a serine/threonine specific protein kinase) play a key role in cell growth processes, such as glucose metabolism, apoptosis, cell proliferation, transcription and cell migration (Van de Sande et al. 2002; Voskas et al. 2014). The Ras-Raf-MAPK signal transduction pathway is involved in signal transduction of various growth factors, cytokines, mitogens and hormone receptors, and also plays an important role in cell proliferation, growth, and differentiation (Haston et al. 2017). These pathways probably contributed to the morphological variation in tailfins apparent in wild crucial carp and Grass- and Egg-goldfish.

For further understanding of the functions and metabolic pathways involved in the artificial selection of goldfish tailfins, the GO and KEGG pathway enrichment analyses of differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were performed. The GO
enrichments analyses revealed that the HOXA2b, HOXB13a, paired mesoderm homeobox protein 1-like isoform X2 (PRRX2), zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1-like isoform X3 (ZEB1), and homeobox protein Meis1 were up-regulated, while the H2.0-like homeobox protein was down-regulated in the tailfin of Grass-goldfish vs. Egg-goldfish. Homeobox genes (HOX genes), an evolutionarily highly conserved family of polygenes, are a class of transcription factors containing homologous allomorphic domains, which are involved in controlling somatic features, regulating the central nervous system, and determining the relationship between the anterior and posterior somatic axis differentiation in vertebrate embryos (Moghadam et al. 2005; Luo et al. 2007). HoxA2b participates in the multicellular organism development through regulating the transcriptional process (Scemama et al. 2006). The previous study also revealed that the HoxB13 and PRRX2 genes in the human were expressed in patterns consistent with roles in cutaneous regeneration and foetal skin development (Stelnicki et al. 1998). Homeobox protein Meis1 is involved in the negative regulation of myeloid cell differentiation (Moskow et al. 1995), and the H2.0-like homeobox protein participates in positive regulation of cell population proliferation and organ growth. Furthermore, recent research (Yuan et al. 2010; Freitas et al. 2012; Paco and Freitas 2018) has identified that the Hox genes (e.g. HoxA, HoxB, and HoxD) play an important role in regulating the occurrence and development of fins. Large numbers of differentially expressed unigenes were found in various primary and secondary metabolite pathways (e.g., the PI3K-Akt signalling pathway, MAPK signalling pathway, Jak-STAT signalling pathway). In addition, osteoclast differentiation (ko04380), and dorso-ventral axis formation (ko04320) were also found in the enrichment analyses of DEGs in KEGG pathways. Osteoclasts (OC), derived from the monocyte/macrophage haematopoietic lineage, are the main functional cell in bone resorption and play an important role in bone development, growth, repair, and reconstruction (Boyle et al. 2003). A previous study (Abe et al. 2014) on goldfish also revealed that a nonsense mutation in the osteogenic gene might be a direct cause of the production of the double-tailed goldfish. The down-regulated GTPase, HRas, in dorso-ventral axis formation (ko04320) is also involved in regulating cell division in response to growth factor stimulation (Guil et al. 2003). These analyses indicate that the DEGs between the tailfins from Grass-goldfish and Egg-goldfish are generally found in metabolite pathways relating to cell proliferation, growth, and differentiation, and probably played an important role in the development of the double-tailed fin during artificial selection for ornamental purposes.

5. Conclusion

In total, 124,808,475 and 101,965,939 high quality reads were obtained from the tailfins of Grass- and Egg-goldfish, respectively, and 114,796 unigenes were further assembled from over 33.48 Gb nucleotides. A large portion of unigenes related to various primary and secondary metabolite pathways were identified, and the DEGs between the tailfins of Grass- and Egg-goldfish were also generally enriched in the metabolite pathways, for instance, the PI3K-Akt signalling pathway, the MAPK signalling pathway, osteoclast differentiation, dorso-ventral axis formation, all of which relate to cell proliferation, growth, and differentiation. The DEGs that were identified, including HOXA2b, HOXB13a, paired mesoderm homeobox protein 1-like isoform X2 (PRRX2), zinc finger E-box-binding homeobox 1-like isoform X3 (ZEB1), and homeobox protein Meis1, probably played an important role in the development of the double-tailed fins during artificial selection for ornamental purposes. The results of the present study provide key information on the candidate genes that potentially regulate double-tailed fin development, and would facilitate marker-assisted selection and breeding of goldfish.
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Figures
a. Grass-goldfish (single tailfin and dorsal fin)

a. Egg-goldfish (double tailfins and loss of dorsal fin)

Figure 1

The morphological characteristics of the fins of Grass- and Egg-goldfish used in this study. (a) Grass-goldfish (b) Egg-goldfish
Figure 2

Venn diagram of unigenes from tail fins of goldfish annotated using various databases. The Venn diagram shows the overlapping unigenes annotated in the NR (NCBI non-redundant protein dataset), GO (Gene Ontology), COGs (Clusters of Orthologous Groups), KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Pathway), and SWSS (SwissProt) databases, and the numbers in overlaps show the unigenes annotated to more than one database. Note. NR, ftp://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/blast/db, Pruitt et al., 2012; GO, http://geneontology.org, Ashburner et al., 2000; COG, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/research/cog-project, Galperin et al., 2021; KEGG, http://www.genome.jp/kegg, Kanehisa et al., 2004; SWSS, http://www.uniprot.org, Bairoch and Boeckmann, 1991.
Figure 3

Functional GO (Gene Ontology) annotation of assembled unigenes. The 1,530 unigenes were categorized into 56 GO functional classes belonging to biological processes (green), cellular components (blue) and molecular function (red).

Note. GO, http://geneontology.org, Ashburner et al., 2000.
Figure 4

Functional COG (Clusters of Orthologous Groups) annotation of assembled unigenes. The 37,204 unigenes were mapped into 26 categories Note. COG, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/research/cog-project, Galperin et al., 2021.

Figure 5

Functional KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Pathway) annotation of assembled unigenes. (a) The 28,723 unigenes were mapped into 340 pathways classified into "A: Cellular Process", "B: Environmental Information Processing", "C: Genetic Information Processing", "D: Metabolism", and "E: Organismal Systems" (b) The top 20
pathways with the most unigenes in the KEGG annotation Note. KEGG, http://www.genome.jp/kegg, Kanehisa et al., 2004.

Figure 6

Number of SSRs (simple sequence repeats) discovered in the unigenes from goldfish based on motif sequence type. The red bar represents SSR with length ≥ 15 bp; the blue bar represents SSR with length < 15 bp, and each bar represents a type of SSR
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