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How out-of-plane disorder affects the electronic structure has been investigated for the single-layer cuprates $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_{1.6}\text{Ln}_{0.4}\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$ ($\text{Ln} = \text{La, Nd, Gd}$) by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy. We have observed that, with increasing disorder, while the Fermi surface shape and band dispersions are not affected, the quasi-particle width increases, the anti-nodal gap is enhanced and the superconducting gap in the nodal region is depressed. The results indicate that the superconductivity is significantly depressed by out-of-plane disorder through the enhancement of the anti-nodal gap and the depression of the superconducting gap in the nodal region.

I. INTRODUCTION

To reveal how the structural disorder affects the electronic structure of high-$T_c$ cuprates is important to understand how the $T_c$ is suppressed by disorder. Inhomogeneity of the electronic structure has been extensively studied by STM/STS, and it has been revealed that both disorder inside the CuO$_2$ plane such as Zn substitution and disorder outside the CuO$_2$ plane such as excess oxygen affect the STS spectra significantly. Angle-resolved photoemission (ARPES) studies on $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_8$ ($\text{Bi}_2\text{Bi}_212$) have revealed that the disorder introduced by electron irradiation make the pseudogap larger, while the superconducting gap in the antinodal region becomes smaller. Disorder effects and doping dependence have been discussed in $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_{2-\delta}\text{Bi}_\delta\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$ ($\text{Bi}-\text{Bi}_2201$). Disorder effects in $\text{La}_{2-\delta}\text{Sr}_\delta\text{CuO}_4$ (LSCO) have been studied by ARPES by Zn or Ni substitutional impurities in the CuO$_2$ plane and by Nd or Ba substitutional impurities in the out-of-CuO$_2$ plane.

The effects of out-of-plane disorder in the high-$T_c$ cuprates have attracted much attention in recent years because it has been demonstrated that the critical temperature ($T_c$) is considerably affected by out-of-plane disorder in spite of the relatively weak increase of the residual resistivity. This is in remarkable contrast with in-plane-disorder such as Zn impurities, which dramatically increase the residual resistivity and act as unitary scatterers. Since carriers in the cuprates are induced by the replacement of ions by those with different valences or the addition of excess oxygens in the block layer, all the cuprate superconductors have naturally out-of-plane disorder. Therefore, it is essential to understand the effects of out-of-plane disorder in order to understand high-$T_c$ superconductors. Eisaki et al. have studied the relationship between the $T_c$ and the magnitude of out-of-plane disorder. They have shown that, as the number of CuO$_2$ planes increases, and the positions of the substituted ions are away from the CuO$_2$ plane, the $T_c$ increases, that is, the $T_c$ increases with decreasing strength of disorder. A detailed study of the effects of disorder has been reported by Fujita et al. focusing on $\text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_{1.6}\text{Ln}_{0.4}\text{CuO}_{6+\delta}$ ($\text{Ln}$-Bi2201, $\text{Ln} = \text{La, Nd, Eu, Gd}$), where the degree of disorder is controlled by varying the radius of the $\text{Ln}$ ions. In this system, mismatch in the ionic radius between Sr and La causes disorder, and the relationship between the degree of disorder, $T_c$ and the residual resistivity has been revealed. The in-plane resistivity of $\text{Ln}$-Bi2201 is reproduced in Fig. 1.

A systematic STM/STS study of out-of-plane disorder effects in $\text{Ln}$-Bi2201 by Sugimoto et al. has shown that, with increasing disorder, the gap size and the gap inhomogeneity increase. Using ARPES, Okada et al. have shown that the pseudogap increases and the Fermi arc length decreases with increasing disorder while the...
Fermi surface volume does not change. The importance of anisotropic electron scattering by impurities in cuprates has been pointed out theoretically. A recent theoretical study on the transport properties of out-of-plane disorder in cuprates has suggested that the rapid decrease of $T_c$ without strong increase of the residual resistivity can be explained by forward scattering. Furthermore, to reveal how out-of-plane disorder affects the superconductivity and the anti-nodal (pseudogap) gap may give an important clue to understand whether the pseudogap is a precursor of the superconductivity or not, which has been still an open issue. Therefore, in order to further investigate the effects of out-of-plane disorder, we have studied the electronic structure of the disorder-controlled $Ln$-Bi$_2$2201 system by ARPES. In this paper, the effects of out-of-plane disorder on the Fermi surface, the gap anisotropy and the momentum distribution curve (MDC) width, are presented and discussed.

II. EXPERIMENT

High quality single crystals of optimally doped $Ln$-Bi$_2$2201 were grown by the traveling solvent floating zone (TSFZ) method. We measured $La$-Bi$_2$2201 ($T_c$ $\sim$ 34 K), Nd-Bi$_2$2201 ($T_c$ $\sim$ 29 K), La$_{0.2}$Gd$_{0.8}$-Bi$_2$2201 ($T_c$ $\sim$ 27 K), Gd-Bi$_2$2201 ($T_c$ $\sim$ 14 K). Details of the sample preparation were described elsewhere. ARPES measurements were performed at beamline 5-4 of Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) using a SCIENTA SES-R4000 analyzer with the total energy of $\sim$ 7 meV and the angular resolution of 0.3 °. Measurements were performed in the angle mode with photon energy $h\nu$ = 19 and 22.7 eV and the polarization angle made 45 ° to the Cu-O bond. The measurement temperature was below $\sim$ 7 K, well below the $T_c$ of Gd-Bi$_2$2201 ($T_c$ $\sim$ 14 K). The samples were cleaved $in$ $situ$ under an ultrahigh vacuum of $10^{-11}$ Torr to obtain clean surfaces. The Fermi edge of gold was used to determine the Fermi level ($E_F$) of the samples and the instrumental resolution. ARPES measurements were also performed at beamline 28A of Photon Factory, High Energy Accelerator Research Organization (KEK-PF), using a SCIENTA SES-2002 analyzer with the total energy of 20 meV and the angular resolution of 0.3 degree. Measurements were performed with photon energy $h\nu$ = 50 eV. The polarization angle was 45 ° to the Cu-O bond. The measurement temperature was $\sim$ 9 K. Samples were cleaved $in$ $situ$ under an ultrahigh vacuum of $10^{-10}$ Torr.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Fermi surface and anti-nodal gap

In Fig. 2(a), we compare the shape of the Fermi surface, namely, Fermi momenta $k_F$ which have been determined from the peak positions of MDC’s, for various samples and photon energies. There is no appreciable difference between the Fermi surfaces of the different samples, that is, out-of-plane disorder does not cause the change of the Fermi surface shape in agreement with Ref. 14. The same areas for all the samples of the Fermi surfaces confirm that the doping levels were the same, as the temperature slopes of the resistivity have indicated. It has been suggested that the next-nearest neighbor hopping $t'$ may be associated with local structural change by disorder because out-of-plane disorder should affect the apical oxygen positions. The present results imply that the average of $t'$ and hence the Fermi surface shape are not appreciably affected by the out-of-plane disorder, although there may be local modulation in $t'$. Like the Fermi surface shapes, Fig. 2(b) shows that one cannot see any differences between the dispersion in the nodal ($0,0$)-($\pi, \pi$) direction between La-Bi$_2$2201 and Gd-Bi$_2$2201 within experimental errors.

In Fig. 3 we show energy distribution curves (EDC’s) on the Fermi surface in the nodal ($\pi/2, \pi/2$) and the antinodal ($\pi, 0$) regions for $Ln$-Bi$_2$2201 ($Ln$ = Gd, Nd, La) samples. For the EDC’s at the node [Fig. 3(a)], one can see a sharp quasi-particle (QP) peak near $E_F$ but the peak for Gd-Bi$_2$2201 is weaker than that for Nd- and La-Bi$_2$2201 if the EDC’s are normalized at high binding energies ($\sim 0.2$ eV). As for the EDC’s at the antinode [Fig. 3(b)], the spectral intensity from $E_F$ to $\sim 0.2$ eV decreases as the magnitude of disorder increases. For the most disordered Gd-Bi$_2$2201, the spectral intensities dramatically reduced compared to those in less disordered Nd- and La-Bi$_2$2201. This behavior may indicate an enhancement of the anti-nodal gap in...
the antinodal region by disorder as already indicated by Okada et al.\textsuperscript{13}. Hence, we note that the effects of out-of-plane disorder are stronger in the antinodal region than that in the nodal region, although it is difficult to evaluate the strength of disorder effect quantitatively. It has been suggested theoretically that the scattering rate in the antinodal region is strongly increased by disorder\textsuperscript{23,24,25,26} in good agreement with the present results. Also the strong disorder effects in the antinodal region are consistent with STM results\textsuperscript{12,13} where the average of the gap size, which corresponds the antinodal gap in the ARPES spectra, increases with increasing disorder. The enhancement of the anti-nodal gap size has not been observed for Zn- or Ni-substituted in-plane-disordered high-\textit{T}_c cuprates\textsuperscript{1,2,27,28,29}, indicating that the effects of out-of-plane disorder are different from that of in-plane disorder in the antinodal region.

\section*{B. Superconducting gap in the nodal region}

Now, we discuss the depression of the superconducting gap in the nodal region by out-of-plane disorder. In Fig. 4(a)-(c), we have plotted EDC’s along the Fermi surface for \textit{Ln-}Bi\textsubscript{2}2201. We have employed the shift of the leading-edge mid-point ($\Delta_{\text{LEM}}$) as a measure of the magnitude of the gap at each momentum on the Fermi surface and plotted $\Delta_{\text{LEM}}$ against the \textit{d}-wave order parameter $|\cos(k_x)\cos(k_y)/2|$ in Fig. 4(e). Here, LEM is defined as the half maximum of EDC. Using this method, the antinodal gap size and the gap slope are more reliable because they are less affected by the energy resolution, although the absolute gap value in the nodal region where the gap size is small compared to the energy resolution may be difficult to discuss. One can see that, for La-Bi\textsubscript{2}2201, $\Delta_{\text{LEM}}$ becomes larger from the node to $|\cos(k_x)\cos(k_y)/2|/2 \sim 0.7$ as usual in a \textit{d}-wave superconductor, and then is deviated toward a larger value for the antinode, in agreement with the previous report\textsuperscript{32}. In going from La-Bi\textsubscript{2}2201 to Gd-Bi\textsubscript{2}2201, that is, with increasing disorder, $\Delta_{\text{LEM}}$ in the nodal region $|\cos(k_x)\cos(k_y)/2/2 < 0.6|$ seems to be slightly depressed and hence the gap velocity $v_2$ (velocity along the Fermi surface for the node) slightly decreases. The gap in the antinodal region, on the other hand, is enhanced in agreement with Ref. 13. It may be interesting to note the similar behaviors in the underdoped Bi\textsubscript{2}212, that is, the opposite doping dependences between the anti-nodal gap and superconducting gap in the nodal region have been observed\textsuperscript{18,19}. The increase of the anti-nodal gap may imply the shrinkage of the Fermi arc as suggested by Okada et al.\textsuperscript{14}, and therefore decrease $T_c$. In the present case, however, it is difficult to determine the arc length from measurements below $T_c$.

The depression of the superconducting gap in the nodal region caused by disorder has been predicted theoretically by Haas et al.\textsuperscript{31}. Another theoretical study by Dahm et al.\textsuperscript{32} has indicated that $v_2$ is more strongly renormalized by forward scatterers than unitary scatterers. According to Haas et al.\textsuperscript{31}, the gap in the antinodal region is weakly affected, while we have observed strong enhancement of the anti-nodal gap by disorder. The tendency of disorder effects in the nodal region observed in the present study is consistent with theoretical predictions\textsuperscript{31,32} although the slight decrease of $v_2$ is not enough to explain the large drop of $T_c$. On the other hand, the anti-nodal gap is enhanced by disorder, contradicting with the theoretical studies\textsuperscript{31,32}. This may
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C. MDC width and transport properties

In order to see the effects of out-of-plane disorder on the
QP mean-free path, we have examined the MDC width as shown in Fig. 5. We show typical MDC’s at
E_F in the nodal direction in Fig. 5(a), where one can see that the MDC width is broadened in going from
La-Bi2201 to Gd-Bi2201. The MDC widths as a function of energy for various momenta are plotted in Fig. 5(b),
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surface to see the momentum dependence of the disorder
effects. The MDC widths again show a constant increase with increasing disorder, indicating that the disorder effect is uniform in this momentum region. Unfortunately, we were able to obtain reliable MDC widths only around
the node because of the slower Fermi velocity (v_F) in the
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structures by the Bi-O modulation.

Very recently, the doping dependence of the MDC
width at the node for Bi2212 has been reported. The
increase of MDC width with underdoping has been con-
ected to the anti-nodal gap and the spatial inhomogeneity observed by STM, which is closely related to disorder. In the present study, because only disorder is con-
trolled while the doping level is fixed, one can unambigu-
ously conclude that the MDC width is clearly affected by disorder.

FIG. 5: (Color online) MDC widths for Ln-Bi2201. (a) MDC’s at E_F in the nodal (0, 0)-(π, π) direction. Inset shows
the definition of the Fermi angle α. (b) MDC widths determined by a fit to a Lorentzian as functions of energy at various
points on the Fermi surface. The arrow corresponds to that
in the inset of panel (c). (c) MDC widths at E_F as a function of Fermi angle.

FIG. 6: (Color online) Temperature dependence of the MDC
width at E_F in the nodal direction for La-Bi2201 and Gd-
Bi2201.
The Fermi arc length and hence $x$ et al. When $v$ decreases for a fixed $x$, $\rho_s$ becomes 0 at lower $T$, which means that $T_c$ decreases with decreasing $v_2$. As described above, $v_2$ slightly decreases with increasing disorder represented by $\Delta k$ in the nodal region. The Fermi arc length and hence $x$ may also decrease with disorder according to Okada et al.\cite{Okada}. Thus, Eq. (1) well explains the relationship between the observed disorder effects and the decrease of $T_c$. In Fig. 7 we have plotted the anti-nodal gap, MDC width, $v_2$ and Fermi arc length against $T_c$. The plot implies that both $v_2$ and the anti-nodal gap are affected by the disorder and affects $T_c$. Note that the decrease of $v_2$ ($v_{2,Gd}/v_{2,La} \sim 0.74$) alone may be insufficient to explain the decrease of $T_c$ ($T_{c,Gd}/T_{c,La} \sim 0.41$), and that the increase of the anti-nodal gap and hence the decrease of the Fermi arc length also affects $T_c$ to some extent.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have measured the ARPES spectra of disorder-controlled $Ln$-Bi$_2$2210 system to investigate the effects of out-of-plane disorder on the electronic structure of high-$T_c$ cuprates. We have found, a depression of the EDC peak at the node and a broadening of the MDC width $\Delta k$ on the Fermi surface due to moderately forward scattering. This may be related with the decrease of the superconducting gap in the nodal region, which can be relatively simply understood as a result of the depression of the $d$-wave superconductivity by disorder. In the nodal region, the non-parallel temperature dependence of the MDC width in the nodal direction between Gd-Bi$_2$2201 and La-Bi$_2$2201 has been also observed, which suggests that a temperature dependence of forward scattering results from the disorder. Contrary to the gap in the nodal region, the anti-nodal gap is enhanced by disorder. This behavior is opposite to what one would expect for a simple $d$-wave superconductor where the superconducting gap should decrease with disorder, but is consistent with competing order, which would be stabilized by disorder.

We have observed the shape of the Fermi surface and the entire QP dispersion are not influenced by disorder appreciably. Recently, it has been reported in STM/S studies that there is no $Ln$ dependence in the CDW nesting wave vector $q$\cite{STM_S}, unlike the strongly doping dependent $q$ in Bi$_2$2210\cite{STM_S}. We suggest that, if CDW exists, without changing $q$, out-of-plane disorder strengthen the CDW order and therefore enhance the anti-nodal gap. In the antinodal region, the spectral weight depression is observed in a relatively wide energy region ($> -0.2$ eV). The bond-centered electronic glass order observed in the wide energy region\cite{STM_S}, which can also be stabilized by disorder, is also plausable as the origin of the spectral weight depression around the antinodal region. Both the enhancement of the antinodal gap which is probably related to the shrinkage of the Fermi arc and the depression of $v_2$ would reduce the superfluid density and therefore the $T_c$. We note that, recent ARPES studies reported a simple $d$-wave gap extending to the antinodal region\cite{ARPES_d-wave} and the co-existence of two energy scales for the antinodal gap\cite{ARPES_d-wave}, which suggests that further complicated picture of the antinodal electronic structure and its contribution to the superconductivity may be needed to be considered.

FIG. 7: (Color online) MDC width at $E_F$ in the nodal direction, anti-nodal gap, arc length (Ref. 14) and the gap velocity $v_2$ (velocity along the Fermi surface for the node defined in Fig. 4(e)) of Ln-Bi$_2$2201.
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