A virus preservation solution that inactivates the virus while maintaining the virus particle intact
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Background: The global coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic has caused immeasurable losses to society. An important part of disease prevention and control is to diagnose infected patients as soon as possible, and nucleic acid detection plays an important role in diagnosing diseases such as COVID-19. Reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) is a common method for the diagnosis of COVID-19 infection, but the false negative rate of covid nucleic acid is currently very high.

Methods: In this paper, HEK293T cells and lentivirus were used to verify the effect of virus preservation solution, fluorescence method was used to verify the inactivation effect of virus preservation solution, real-time fluorescence PCR experiment was used to verify that the genetic material in virus particles was not destroyed, and protein gel electrophoresis was used to verify the integrity of virus particles in the preservation solution.

Results: In this study, we developed a new virus preservation solution using homogeneous reaction technology that can immediately inactivate the virus within 5 minutes at room temperature and maintain the stability of viral nucleic acid for at least 7 days. Importantly, we found that while our solution differed from existing commercial preservatives that contain guanidinium, analyzing the cycle threshold (Ct) showed that it still maintained virion integrity.

Conclusions: These advantages could reduce false negatives and the risk of laboratory exposure to infection. In addition, our solution achieved room temperature preservation, which could aid in the transportation of samples. Therefore, this new sample preservation solution could provide significant benefits to the clinical detection of viruses such as COVID-19.
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technologies are urgently needed (5,6). To date, fluorescent quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) has been the most commonly used detection technology for the diagnosis of COVID-19 infection (7,8), as described in “The Collection and Testing Technical Guide of COVID-19” issued by National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China. However, the preservation of samples is a critical but easily overlooked factor affecting the efficacy of detection (6,9,10).

In clinical detection, various problems arise due to improper preservation of virus samples (11). For example, cold chain logistics and cryopreservation are required, because viral activity is greatly affected by temperature. In addition, the outer shell of a single-stranded RNA virus is easily broken and may release nucleic acid; thus, the integrity of the virus particles cannot be guaranteed. Moreover, healthcare workers face an increased risk of infection if the virus is not inactivated in a timely manner. At present, the disposable virus sampling tubes used in clinics are throat and nasal swabs with virus preservation solution added. Two types of virus preservation solutions are frequently used: the isotonic Hank’s balanced salt solution (8,12), which cannot inactivate the virus, and guanidine salt (13). In the COVID-19 nucleic acid sampling process, it has been found that the use of virus storage tubes containing guanidine salt can inactivate the virus immediately after sampling, maintain the stability of viral nucleic acid, make it easier to detect low copy number viruses, and reduce false negatives (13). Therefore, the importance of guanidine salts to downstream molecular biology experiments cannot be ignored.

### Methods

#### Cell culture

HEK293T cell lines was purchased from the Type Culture Collection of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China) and grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM; Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; Ausbian, GC, USA). Cells were cultured in a standard humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37 °C.

#### Virus samples

Lentivirus GV115-pGCSIL-004 with enhanced green fluorescence protein (EGFP) labeling, lentivirus CON335, and CON098 were purchased from Genechem (Shanghai, China). All the samples were diluted to 10-fold in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and virus preservation solution with a total concentration gradient of 7.

#### Extraction of nucleic acid and qRT-PCR

According to the product description, total nucleic acid was extracted using a Viral DNA/RNA Mini Kit, which was purchased from Vazyme (Nanjing, China). RNA was reverse-transcribed into complementary DNA (cDNA) using the Hiscript Q RT SuperMix for qPCR (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). cDNA was quantified by qRT-PCR, and the data were acquired using a ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, China). The sequences of primers or probes are shown in Table 1.

#### Virus inactivation

The lentivirus GV115-pGCSIL-004 was placed in the sample preservation solution and suspended for 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, or 180 minutes. The control group was suspended in PBS. The infection of HEK293T cells was performed according to the manufacture’s protocol at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 10.
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The adenovirus CON098 and the lentivirus CON335 were placed in the virus preservation solution for 7 days at room temperature and monitored periodically on the 1st, 3rd, and 7th day. The samples of adenovirus CON098 were diluted to $10^{-2}$ and analyzed via qPCR (SYBR). The samples of lentivirus CON335 were diluted to $10^{-5}$ and analyzed via qRT-PCR (TaqMan). In addition, the above samples of lentivirus CON335 were detected by 10% sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) to determine whether the virus protein was intact.

Statistical analysis

Statistical significance was determined using the paired two-tailed t-test. $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant. All values are expressed as the means ± standard deviation.

Results

Effect of the preservation solution on inactivating the virus

To verify whether the sample preservation solution would inactivate the virus, we divided the lentivirus GV115 samples into 6 groups and stored them at room temperature. As per the manufacturer’s instructions, the treated virus was used to infect HEK293T cells at MOI =10. It was found that the expression efficiency of green fluorescent protein (GFP) was 50–80% in the control group 48 hours after infection (Figure 1A). In comparison, no GFP signal was observed in the experimental group samples after treatment with the virus preservation solution for 6 different lengths of time, suggesting that the cells were not infected by the virus efficiently. This result indicated that regardless of the duration of suspension, the treated virus was inactivated by the virus preservation solution.

It is worth mentioning that when the infection time was extended to 72 hours, the experimental group still did not have any fluorescent signal (Figure 1B). However, the efficiency of the control groups in generating the fluorescent signal was further improved to 60–90%. This result indicated that regardless of the duration of suspension, the treated virus was inactivated by the virus preservation solution.

The integrity of the viral protein is an important factor affecting the ability of the virus to infect. Therefore, we used SDS-PAGE to detect the protein of the lentivirus CON335 after being stored in the virus preservation solution for a certain length of time. It can be seen in Figure 5 that the band of viral proteins was still bright after 7 days of storage. In other words, the protein structure of the virus was intact and not damaged.

Discussion

Rapid and accurate diagnosis is crucial for successful outbreak containment. During the current COVID-19 public health emergency, the gold standard for the diagnosis of COVID-19 infection is the detection of viral RNA
Figure 1 Influence of different preservation solutions on virus infectivity. Lentivirus GV115 was suspended in the sample preservation solution at room temperature for 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, and 180 minutes, and the HEK293T cells were infected at MOI =10. PBS was used for the control group. EGFP fluorescence. Microscope magnification 200x. (A) Determined with an electron microscope inspection 48 hours after infection. (B) Determined with an electron microscope inspection 72 hours after infection. MOI, multiplicity of infection; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescence protein.
Figure 2 Influence of different preservation solutions on virus infectivity. Lentivirus GV115 was suspended in the sample preservation solution at room temperature for 5, 15, 30, 60, 120, or 180 minutes, and the HEK293T cells were infected at MOI =1. PBS was used for the control group. An electron microscope was used to inspect the samples 48 hours after infection. EGFP fluorescence. Microscope magnification 200×. (A) Determined with an electron microscope inspection 48 hours after infection. Experimental group. (B) Determined with an electron microscope inspection 48 hours after infection. Control group. MOI, multiplicity of infection; PBS, phosphate buffered saline; EGFP, enhanced green fluorescence protein.

(14,15). Using homogeneous reaction through plasma technology, we developed a new virus preservation solution, focusing on the selection of high-efficiency anticoagulants, natural preservatives, low-toxicity cell membrane protectors, and osmotic pressure stabilizers. Our results showed that this preservation solution can not only rapidly inactivate the virus within 5 minutes, thereby blocking the route of infection, but also reduce secondary contamination caused by samples, which could help protect the safety of medical workers.

The virus preservation solution is broad-spectrum and suitable for the sampling, transportation and preservation of oropharynx of respiratory and intestinal viruses such as coronavirus, influenza, avian influenza, hand foot mouth disease (HFMD), swine influenza and other virus samples; It is also applicable to the collection of specimens of other viruses, chlamydia, mycoplasma and ureaplasma urealyticum. This preservation solution can store virus
samples of different carriers, including serum, plasma, throat swab, nose swab, sputum, feces, urine, etc. The collected clinical samples do not need to be dried and are directly suspended in the preservation solution. At present, products on domestic and foreign markets can also store a variety of samples, but our preservation principles are...
different.

Temperature and time are two important factors that affect the preservation of virus samples (16). For example, COVID-19, as an enveloped lipid virus, is sensitive to temperature (17). The samples can be incubated at 56 °C for 30–45 min or higher for virus inactivation in BSL-1 laboratory. However, due to the instability of RNA nucleic acid, the high-temperature inactivation process may cause the degradation of pathogenic nucleic acid, thus affecting the accuracy of detection, resulting in false negative results (18). All experiments in this paper were performed at room temperature, except for the infection experiments. We were able to achieve room temperature preservation of the virus, which could reduce costs associated with the transportation of virus samples and aid follow-up detection work. In addition, the use of multiple types of viruses in our experiments showed that our preservation solution had good compatibility.

Most virus preservation solutions on the market will destroy the complete structure of the virus. Some preservation solutions add bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a protein stabilizer, which can form a protective film on the protein shell of the virus, making it difficult to decompose and ensuring the integrity of the virus. However, it has been pointed out that BSA is not conducive to maintaining stability (19). The virus preservation solution in this article is a non-protein chemical agent, which can maintain the integrity of virus particles, avoid nucleic acid degradation, and effectively inactivate the virus.

The most important finding of our study was that our virus preservation solution preserved the stability of the virus, thereby inhibiting the activity of RNase, which can degrade the RNA. This suggests that our solution will increase the abundance of the virus. Unlike most virus preservation solutions, our solution achieved the effect of inactivating and preserving the virus by destroying the ester component of the virus shell, thus protecting the virion integrity to a great extent. Our virus preservation solution is also applicable to the extraction of DNA and RNA of most virus nucleic acid extraction kits.

Standardize the sampling, store and transport the samples, submit them for inspection in time, and optimize the testing process, it is an effective measure to ensure and improve the accuracy of nucleic acid detection. At present, full-automatic nucleic acid detection and analysis system is widely used. It is equipped with a full-automatic platform. Each step of operation is an accurate standard action. Amplification detection and result analysis are integrated on one instrument without manual operation deviation. The virus preservation solution can be filled in the preservation tube adapted to the instrument to realize fully automatic sample processing, nucleic acid detection and result analysis.

The virus preservation solution in this study has not yet done the gradient experiment of preservation temperature and the impact of large temperature difference on the preservation of virus samples. In the process of actual

Figure 5 Ten percent SDS-PAGE electropherogram of viral protein. Line 1: the viral proteins were stored in virus preservation solution for 1 day. Line 2: the viral proteins were stored in virus preservation solution for 3 days. Line 3: the viral proteins were stored in virus preservation solution for 4 days. SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulphate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis.
large-scale application, uncertainties such as storage and transportation under normal temperature, extreme weather and harsh environment may exist. Later, we will carry out relevant experiments to verify the effect of temperature on virus preservation.

Conclusions

The virus preservation solution developed in this study can rapidly inactivate the virus and preserve its integrity at room temperature. It also reduced the possibility of secondary infection, thereby protecting the safety medical personnel. In addition, the solution is effective for at least a week at room temperature, leading to considerable economic benefits by reducing the need for cold chain transportation and cryopreservation. These results suggest that our solution has wide applicability in clinical testing, especially in the context of the current COVID-19 pandemic.
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