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ALKBH5 Is Lowly Expressed in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma and Inhibits the Malignant Proliferation and Invasion of Tumor Cells
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Background. Modification of N6-methyladenosine (m6A) and RNA m6A regulatory factors is required in cancer advancement. The contribution of m6A and its alteration in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is still unclear. Results. ALKBH5 was lowly expressed in ESCC tissues, which the total m6A level was increased in ESCC tissue than the presentation in normal healthy tissue. The pcDNA3.1-ALKBH5 recombinant plasmid was transfected into KYSE-150 and Eca-109 cells. The overexpression of ALKBH5 is responsible for a significant reduction of the total m6A levels in Eca-109 and KYSE150 cells, inhibiting the proliferation capability, migration, and cell invasion. Conclusions. ALKBH5 as a demethylase was lowly expressed in cancer progression of ESCC and acts as a crucial component in ESCC progression.

1. Background

Esophageal cancer (EC) ranks seventh in the global cancer incidence rate and sixth cause of cancer mortalities, globally [1]. Two leading pathological conditions of EC are squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) or skin cancer and adenocarcinoma (EAC) or distal esophagus cancer, which have significantly different risk factors, geographic distribution, and treatment strategies. In China, EC accounts for the majority of cancer mortalities, among which ESCC is the leading subtype (80%) [2]. China’s ESCC cases account for half of the burden of the global ESCC patients and more than 90% of Asia’s [3]. Its patients are generally diagnosed as advanced [4]. At present, the survival rate in ESCC cases is still very low [5].

N6-Methyladenosine (m6A) is referred to as a modification of RNA in mammals [6]. m6A is edited by the complex of methyltransferase ("writers," METTL3/METTL14/WTAP/RBM15/ZC3H1/KIAA1429) and cleared by demethylase ("erasers," ALKBH5, and FTO). "Readers" (YTHDF1/2/3, YTHDC1/2, HNRNPA2/B1, HNRNPC, HNRNPG, eIF3, IGF2BP1/2/3, and Prrc2a) can selectively recognize m6A modified RNA and perform specific biological functions. Modification of m6A initiates RNA metabolism, which includes RNA degradation, transportation, positioning, and translation [7, 8].

Xu et al. construct a prognostic mark composed of HNRNPC and ALKBH5, the development that the prognostic mark can act as an independent prognostic marker [9]. Moreover, Guo et al. identify the prognostic characteristics of EC patients including ALKBH5 and HNRNPA2B1 [10].

In this research, we will clarify the function of ALKBH5 in the malignant growth and invasion of ESCC cells in vitro.
2. Results

2.1. ALKBH5 Is Lowly Expressed in ESCC. First, the presentation of gene expression of ALKBH5 was measured in 23 ESCC tissue samples using qRT-PCR and IHC. Figure 1(a) shows the relative mRNA levels of ALKBH5 which was lowly expressed in ESCC tissues, i.e., $P < 0.05$. The results of IHC showed that ALKBH5 had abundant protein expression in normal esophageal tissues (Figure 1(b)). However, the gene expression of ALKBH5 protein was significantly reduced in ESCC tissues (Figures 1(b) and 1(c)). The data statistics indicted that ALKBH5 was highly expressed in 78.26% (18/23) of normal and healthy esophageal tissues, but only highly expressed in 39.13% (9/23) of ESCC patients’
tissues (Figure 1(c), $P < 0.05$). Adding that, the total m$^6$A levels in ESCC were detected by ELISA (Figure 1(d)). The total m$^6$A level in ESCC was higher compared to the normal esophageal tissue (Figure 1(d), $P < 0.05$). In summary, there was a significant increase of total m$^6$A levels seen in ESCC tissues, and the expression of ALKBH5 was significantly decreased compared with normal esophageal tissues.

2.2. Proliferation Inhibition of ESCC Cells by ALKBH5.

pcDNA3.1-ALKBH5 plasmid (OE) was transfected into ESCC cells, and the function-gain experiments were performed. As seen in Figure 1(e), ALKBH5 was markedly overexpressed in Eca-109 and KYSE150 cells following transfection of the pcDNA3.1-ALKBH5 plasmid ($P < 0.05$). In addition, the overexpression of ALKBH5 significantly reduced the total m$^6$A levels (Figures 2(a) and 2(b)). Regarding the ESCC cells and its proliferation, both CCK-8 (Figure 2(c)) and clone formation (Figure 2(d)) assays suggested that ALKBH5 inhibited the ESCC cell proliferation and its growth.

2.3. Apoptosis Induction of ESCC Cells by ALKBH5. Apoptosis signals are responsible for regulating the proliferation of tumor cells. Therefore, the impact of ALKBH5 overexpression on the signals of apoptosis of Eca-109 and KYSE150 cells was detected. Flow cytometry indicated that after overexpression of ALKBH5, the apoptotic cell ratio increased significantly, the Bax expression and cleaved caspase3 increased, and Bcl2 expression decreased (Figures 3(a) and 3(b)). In summary, ALKBH5 overexpression induced the apoptosis signals in ESCC cells.
2.4. Motility Inhibition of ESCC Cells by ALKBH5. The migration and invasion of Eca-109 and KYSE150 cells transfected with the pcDNA3.1-ALKBH5 plasmid were explained. As shown in Figure 4(a), the invasion cell numbers of both transfected with the pcDNA3.1-ALKBH5 plasmid were obviously decreased. Furthermore, the overexpression of ALKBH5 significantly reduced the migration cell numbers in ESCC cells (Figures 4(b)–4(d)).

3. Discussion

m^6^A modification and RNA m^6^A regulatory factors contribute to cancer progression. Among them, certain m^6^A regulatory factors have been found to be significantly related to the progression and prognosis of ESCC. For example, METTL3 is highly expressed in ESCC and significantly relates to the patient prognosis [11]. Furthermore, the overexpression of METTL3 promotes the proliferation and invasion of ESCC cells [12]. Zhang et al. detect the gene expression proportion of m^6^A-related proteins in 348 ESCC tissues by using ELISA and find that the expressions of METTL3 and METTL14 in ESCC pathological tissues are significantly upregulated, while the expressions of FTO and ALKBH5 are significantly downregulated, compared with normal tissues. It is consistent with our research detected in 23 ESCC tissue samples using RT-qPCR and IHC. This study also detected the total m^6^A level in ESCC tissues by using ELISA. Consistent with the expression level of m^6^A regulatory factors, the total m^6^A level in ESCC tissues increased significantly.

However, Xu et al. analyze the RNA transcriptome data of 161 EC samples and 11 normal tissue samples in the TCGA database and find no significant differential expression of FTO and ALKBH5 [9]. This result may be affected by the pathological subtype of tumor tissue samples or the lack of normal tissue samples. However, they still find the prognostic signal consisting of HNRNPC and ALKBH5 based on this cohort. In addition, Liu et al. find a significantly high expression of gene FTO by the ESCC tissue microarray [13]. ALKBH5, as a homologue of FTO [14], works with FTO to maintain the balance of levels of m^6^A in the transcriptome [15]. This result seems to be contrary to our research. However, our study failed to detect the expression level and mechanism of FTO.

Genetic dissimilarity of m^6^A modifier genes is possibly linked to ESCC risk. The rs2416282 of YTHDC2 promoter is identified as significantly connected with ESCC risk [16]. This allele specifically affects the binding of transcription factors. In addition, knocking down the YTHDC2...
expression significantly inhibits the proliferation of ESCC cells [16]. However, Xu et al. analyze the genetic mutations in the TCGA EC cohort through the cBioPortal database [9]. The results show that the genetic variation frequency of YTHDF1, ZC3H13, and KIAA1429 is 7-8%, and the most common variation is amplification. The frequency of other adjustment factors is less than 3%. They believe that the changes in the gene expression levels of these regulatory factors are not caused by genetic changes.

This study demonstrates the use of pcDNA3.1 plasmid to overexpress ALKBH5 protein and found that ALKBH5 contributes to suppressing cancer. Our study reported the contribution of ALKBH5 expression to altering the biological functionality of human cells of ESCC in vitro. These outcomes point out that ALKBH5 is involved in the malignant growth and aggressive response of ESCC.

4. Conclusions
Concluding this, ALKBH5 as a demethylase is lowly expressed in ESCC tissues. ALKBH5 regulates the total m6A level of ESCC cells and contributes as a vital entity in ESCC progression. However, we have not explored the expression and role of ALKBH5 homologue FTO, nor have we disclosed the molecular process that regulates the down-regulation of ALKBH5 expression in ESCC tissues.

5. Methods
5.1. Patients and Tissue Samples. This study recruited 23 ESCC patients who were diagnosed and received surgical resection without neoadjuvant/adjuvant treatment. The tissue samples were confirmed pathologically after resection.
5.2. Cell Culture and Transfection. KYSE-150 and Eca-109 cell lines were used to execute this study. The selected cell bank to obtain these cell lines was the Chinese Academy of Sciences. RPMI 1640 medium was used to culture these cell lines with supplementation of 10% FBS. The culture conditions are 5% CO_{2} and 37°C. ALKBH5 cDNA synthesis was performed and cloned into pcDNA3.1 expression vector. Subsequently, the cell transfection of recombinant plasmid was carried out by the Lipofectamine 2000 reagent.

5.3. RT-qPCR. Total RNA from tissues or cells transfected with recombinant plasmid for 24 h was extracted and synthesized into cDNA. GADPH is an internal reference, and the relative expression level of ALKBH5 was calculated using the 2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t} method.

5.4. Immunohistochemistry Staining Analysis (IHC). Tissue samples were formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded. The paraffin samples were made into tissue sections with a thickness. The tissue segments underwent deparaffinization, rehydration, and microwave treatment. After blocking, the tissue segments were incubated with ALKBH5 antibody (1:500, ab195377, rabbit monoclonal antibody, Abcam) and with a biotin-labeled secondary antibody. Staining was performed by the enhanced DAB chromogenic kit, and the tissue segments were dehydrated and fixed.

The score of each section was the product of the positivity proportion of stained cells (R) and the staining intensity score (S). R scores included 0 (<5%, negative), 1 (5-25%, occasional), 2 (25-50%, focus), and 3 (>51%, diffuse). S scores included 0 (negative), 1 (weak), 2 (medium), and 3 (strong). A score higher than 3 was considered a high expression of ALKBH5.

5.5. Total m^6A Level Detection. Total m^6A level detection was performed to measure the total m6A levels of tissue samples or cells transfected with recombinant plasmid for 24 h. For ELISA, the EpiQuik m^6A RNA methylation quantification ELISA kit (P-9005-96, Epigentek) was used. For the dot blot assay, the TRIzol reagent separated total RNA, and the mRNA was separated and enriched by mRNA isolation systems of Polyattract® (A-Z5300, A&D Technology Corporation). After being exposed to UV light for 7 min, the mRNA was cross-linked with the optimized Amersham Hybond-N\(^{+}\) membrane. After washing with PBST buffer, the membrane was blocked with 5% skimmed milk and incubated with anti-m^6A antibody, and the HRP Substrate of Immobilon Western Chemilum was used to stain (Merck Millipore).

5.6. Western Blot. Protein was solubilized by RIPA buffer, and SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis was used for separation of protein bands and final transfer of protein bands to the PVDF membrane. 5% skimmed milk closed the membrane. Subsequently, the membrane was incubated with primary antibody and with the secondary antibody. The enhanced chemiluminescent HRP substrate (Menlo Park, CA) was used for the visualization of chemiluminescence.

5.7. Cell Proliferation Assays. For the CCK-8 assay, 2000 cells/well were seeded in a 96-well plate. After culturing for a certain period of time, the old medium was removed, and a new medium was added containing 10% CCK-8 reagent. The absorbance value of each well at wavelength of 450 nm was measured with the help of a microplate reader. To perform the colony formation assay, 1000 transfected cells were extracted and seeded in each microplate well of a 6-well plate, and cell culturing was performed for up to 2 weeks. The formed clones were stained with 0.1% crystal violet.

5.8. Flow Cytometry Detection. Trypsinization of cells was performed without adding EDTA and resuspended to 1 × 10^6 cells/ml with binding buffer. FITC-Annexin V PI were added to the cell suspension. After mixing thoroughly, the FACScan flow cytometer system (BD Biosciences, San Jose, California) was used immediately for detection.

5.9. Mobility Assay. For the transwell assay, cells suspended in serum-free medium were added to the upper chamber. After the 24-hour duration, fixation of cells was performed; the cells were present at the bottom of the chamber membrane where 4% paraformaldehyde was used for cell fixation and crystal violet dye for cell staining. To perform the wound healing assay, a wound was created in the cell monolayer with the help of a cell scraper. The washing of sloughed cells was performed with PBS. After culturing for a specified time, pictures were taken and the wound healing rate was calculated.

5.10. Statistics. The data was analyzed with SPSS 20.0. Student’s t-test and one-way ANOVA were used to test the difference between groups. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Data Availability
The datasets used and/or analyzed to conduct this study are available from the corresponding author on valid request.

Ethical Approval
The approval was received from the Ethics Committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Hebei North University to conduct this study.

Consent
Informed consent was obtained from all patients in writing.

Conflicts of Interest
No competing interests were declared.

Authors’ Contributions
All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Key Science and Technology Research Program of Hebei Province in 2021. The funding number is 20210831.

References

[1] E. O. Then, M. Lopez, S. Saleem et al., “Esophageal cancer: an updated surveillance epidemiology and end results database analysis,” World J Oncol., vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 55–64, 2020.

[2] H. Liang, J. H. Fan, and Y. L. Qiao, “Epidemiology, etiology, and prevention of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in China,” Cancer Biology & Medicine, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 33–41, 2017.

[3] C. C. Abnet, M. Arnold, and W. Q. Wei, “Epidemiology of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma,” Gastroenterology, vol. 154, no. 2, pp. 360–373, 2018.

[4] J. Mannath and K. Ragunath, “Role of endoscopy in early oesophageal cancer,” Nature Reviews. Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 13, no. 12, pp. 720–730, 2016.

[5] P. S. N. van Rossum, N. H. Mohammad, F. P. Vleggaar, and R. van Hillegersberg, “Treatment for unresectable or metastatic oesophageal cancer: current evidence and trends,” Nature Reviews. Gastroenterology & Hepatology, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 235–249, 2018.

[6] Z. X. Liu, L. M. Li, H. L. Sun, and S. M. Liu, “Link between m6A modification and cancers,” Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, vol. 6, p. 89, 2018.

[7] Y. Yang, P. J. Hsu, Y. S. Chen, and Y. G. Yang, “Dynamic transcriptomic m^A decoration: writers, erasers, readers and functions in RNA metabolism,” Cell Research, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 616–624, 2018.

[8] Y. Lee, J. Choe, O. H. Park, and Y. K. Kim, “Molecular mechanisms driving mRNA degradation by m^A modification,” Trends in Genetics, vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 177–188, 2020.

[9] L. C. Xu, J. X. Pan, and H. D. Pan, “Construction and validation of an m6A RNA methylation regulators-based prognostic signature for esophageal cancer,” Cancer Management and Research, vol. Volume 12, pp. 5385–5394, 2020.

[10] H. Guo, B. Wang, K. Xu et al., “m6A reader HNRNPA2B1 promotes esophageal cancer progression via up-regulation of ACLY and ACC1,” Frontiers in Oncology, vol. 10, article 553045, 2020.

[11] T. L. Xia, S. M. Yan, L. Yuan, and M. S. Zeng, “Upregulation of METTL3 expression predicts poor prognosis in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma,” Cancer Management and Research, vol. Volume 12, pp. 5729–5737, 2020.

[12] H. Hou, H. Zhao, X. Yu et al., “METTL3 promotes the proliferation and invasion of esophageal cancer cells partly through AKT signaling pathway,” Pathology, Research and Practice, vol. 216, no. 9, article 153087, 2020.

[13] S. Liu, M. Huang, Z. Chen et al., “FTO promotes cell proliferation and migration in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma through up-regulation of MMP13,” Experimental Cell Research, vol. 389, no. 1, article 111894, 2020.

[14] G. Zheng, J. A. Dahl, Y. Niu et al., “ALKBH5 is a mammalian RNA demethylase that impacts RNA metabolism and mouse fertility,” Molecular Cell, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 18–29, 2013.

[15] Y. Huang, J. Yan, Q. Li et al., “Meclofenamic acid selectively inhibits FTO demethylation of m6A over ALKBH5,” Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 373–384, 2015.

[16] N. Yang, P. Ying, J. Tian et al., “Genetic variants in m6A modification genes are associated with esophageal squamous-cell carcinoma in the Chinese population,” Carcinogenesis, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 761–768, 2020.