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Abstract
Parvin is a putative F-actin binding protein important for integrin-mediated cell adhesion. Here we used overexpression of Drosophila Parvin to uncover its functions in different tissues in vivo. Parvin overexpression caused major defects reminiscent of metastatic cancer cells in developing epithelia, including apoptosis, alterations in cell shape, basal extrusion and invasion. These defects were closely correlated with abnormalities in the organization of F-actin at the basal epithelial surface and of integrin-matrix adhesion sites. In wing epithelium, overexpressed Parvin triggered increased Rho1 protein levels, predominantly at the basal side, whereas in the developing eye it caused a rough eye phenotype and severely disrupted F-actin filaments at the retina floor of pigment cells. We identified genes that suppressed these Parvin-induced dominant effects, depending on the cell type. Co-expression of both ILK and the apoptosis inhibitor DIAP1 blocked Parvin-induced lethality and apoptosis and partially ameliorated cell delamination in epithelia, but did not rescue the elevated Rho1 levels, the abnormal organization of F-actin in the wing and the assembly of integrin-matrix adhesion sites. The rough eye phenotype was suppressed by coexpression of either PTEN or Wech, or by knock-down of Xrp1. Two main conclusions can be drawn from our studies: (1), high levels of cytoplasmic Parvin are toxic in epithelial cells; (2) Parvin in a dose dependent manner affects the organization of actin cytoskeleton in both wing and eye epithelia, independently of its role as a structural component of the ILK-PINCH-Parvin complex that mediates the integrin-actin link. Thus, distinct genetic interactions of Parvin occur in different cell types and second site modifier screens are required to uncover such genetic circuits.
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Introduction
Epithelial tissue morphogenesis involves cell shape changes that are induced by tightly regulated interactions between adhesion proteins and the associated actin cytoskeleton. Thus, proteins that modify either the adhesive properties of cells or the dynamics of actin organization have profound effects on epithelial patterning. In pathological situations including cancer, abnormal protein expression drives cells to acquire metastatic properties and break the epithelial integrity.

Integrins comprise a major cell surface protein family that mediate cell adhesion with the extracellular microenvironment and their function is essential for several tissue morphogenetic events during development [1]. Inside the cell, integrins organize the assembly of a large protein network, the adhesome, which mediates linkage with the actin cytoskeleton [2]. Parvin is a core component of the integrin adhesome and binds directly to integrin-linked kinase (ILK). Members of the highly conserved Parvin protein family contain two tandem unconventional Calponin-Homology (CH)-domains [3]. In contrast to mammalian α, β and γ-parvin, invertebrates have a single parvin homolog [4]. Genetic data in mice have demonstrated the important role of Parvin in integrin-mediated adhesion and our previous genetic analysis in Drosophila revealed that Parvin is also essential for adhesion in muscle and wing epithelia [5,6]. In addition to these developmental functions, recent studies have linked β-Parvin expression to tumor suppressor effects during breast cancer formation in mice [7]. Misexpression studies and modifier screens aimed at identifying genetic circuits regulated by Parvin are of great importance to elucidate the tissue-specific molecular functions of Parvin in the context of a whole organism.

Here we took advantage of the Drosophila system to determine the effects of high levels of Parvin at the cellular level in several tissues and to investigate the tissue-specific suppression or enhancement of these defects by specific genes.

Materials and Methods
Genetics and Drosophila Stocks
All transgenic strains encoding UAS::Parvin and its mutated forms were previously described [6]. Recombinant lines of UAS::Parvin-GFP with longGMRGal4 were generated by standard
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meiotic recombination. In the eye modifier screen, virgin females of w;longGMRGal4, UAS::Parvin-GFP were crossed with males of the tested strain from three different categories: (1) UAS lines expressing specific genes; (2) UAS::IR (RNAi-lines) derived either from the VDRC or the NIG collection; and (3) deficiencies included in the deficiency kit for the third chromosome derived from Bloomington. The following stocks were used: UAS::Wech-GFP (M. Hoch); UAS::PTEN (A. Manoukian and T. Xu); puc-laZ (S. Noselli); UAS::ABDMoesinRFP (T. Millard) and UAS::DIAP1 (Bloomington); UAS::ILK [8]; UAS::aPS1; UAS::aPS2; and UAS::βPS (N. Brown). Gal4 drivers were obtained from Bloomington. All crosses were performed at 25°C.

Immunohistochemistry and Confocal Microscopy

Eye and wing discs were dissected from third-instar larvae or 75% pupae and fixed according to standard protocols [6,8]. Primary antibodies were against: active caspase-3 (1:250, Cell Signaling); active JNK (1:500, Cell Signaling); MMP1 (1:50, mix in 1:1:1 of 5H7B11/3A6B4/3B8D12, DSHB); βPS-integrin (1:10, CF.6G11, DSHB); Ena (1:50, 5G2, DSHB); Cadherin (1:50, DCAD2, DSHB); Rho1 (1:50, p1D9, DSHB); LamininA (1:500, [9]) and Dia (1:250, provided by S. Wasserman, UCSD, USA). F-actin was labelled using either rhodamine or Alexa-Fluor-633 phalloidin (Molecular Probes). Secondary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:500 and were conjugated to Alexa-Fluor-488, -568, or -633 (Molecular Probes). Nuclei were labelled with DAPI. Images were obtained with a Leica SP5 confocal microscope, using the 20X/0.7NA objective or an oil 63X/1.4 NA objective. Leica SP5 software was used for quantitative analysis of the immunolabelled tissues. The compared images were acquired with identical settings of laser power, gain and iris while avoiding saturation of pixel intensity. Selected areas were outlined and the total intensity was measured and plotted using Excel. Images from adult eyes were obtained using either a Leica DFC500 cooled CCD camera or a Leica TCS LSI system. All images were assembled in Photoshop 7 and labelled in Corel Draw 12.

Table 1. Gal4 drivers used to direct expression of UAS::Parvin-GFP during Drosophila development.

| Gal4 driver | Tissue of expression | UAS::Parvin-GFP expression effect |
|-------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|
| mef2        | mesoderm             | larval lethality                 |
| 24B         | mesoderm and tendon cells | larval lethality             |
| arm         | gut and malphigian tubules | larval lethality             |
| 48Y         | endoderm             | loss of maxillary palpus         |
| elav        | nervous system       | no phenotype                     |
| sim         | ventral nerve cord   | no phenotype                     |
| 69B         | epidermis and imaginal discs | mild rough eye           |
| sev         | eye                  | missing posterior cross vein     |
| en          | epidermis and imaginal discs | pupae lethality             |
| ptc         | epidermis and imaginal discs | tissue loss in the wing discs  |
| longGMR     | eye                  | mild rough eye                   |

Table 2. Truncated forms of UAS::Parvin-GFP expressed with specific Gal4 drivers did not affect tissue morphogenesis.

| UAS Transgene | Gal4 drivers tested | Phenotype induced |
|---------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| UAS::ParvinSG1-GFP | mef2/24B/en/ptc/longGMR | no |
| UAS::ParvinSG2-GFP | mef2/24B/en/ptc/longGMR | no |
| UAS::ParvinCH1LCH2-GFP | mef2/24B/en/ptc/longGMR | no |

Figure 1. Overexpression of Parvin results in morphogenetic defects at various tissues in the adult fly. Images were collected with a cooled CCD camera for various adult structures. Thoracic bristles in wild type (A) were missing upon expression of UAS::Parvin under ptcGal4 (A'). Leg from a wild type adult fly (B) was malformed when UAS::Parvin-GFP was expressed under ptcGal4 (B'). Ocellar bristles and arista from the head of a wild type adult fly (C) were missing upon UAS::Parvin-GFP expression under ptcGal4 (C'). A compound eye from a wild type adult fly (D) took on a rough appearance when UAS::Parvin-GFP was expressed under longGMRGal4 (D'). Arrows depict a wild type tissue structure, whereas dashed arrows indicate defects.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g001
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Results

Parvin Overexpression during Development Causes Morphogenetic Defects

In mammalian cells, α-Parvin has an anti-apoptotic function whereas β-Parvin promotes apoptosis [10,11]. We followed a gain-of-function approach utilizing the UAS/Gal4 system [12] to overexpress Parvin in several tissues during development (Table 1). We focused mainly on the wing epithelium and the eye, using ptcGal4, enGal4 and longGMRGal4 drivers. Overexpression of Parvin by ptcGal4 resulted in several abnormal developmental defects including loss of thoracic bristles, dysplasia in legs, loss of arista and ocellar bristles in the head, whereas a fraction of flies died during pupae development (Figure 1A–C). Parvin overexpression driven by longGMRGal4 caused a rough eye phenotype (Figure 1D). Finally, induction of Parvin expression with enGal4 mostly caused lethality, while the surviving flies had wing defects (Figure 2L2, L3). Fly morphogenesis was not interrupted by similar levels of overexpression of several domain deletion UAS::Parvin-GFP constructs (Table 2), suggesting that combinatorial interactions of Parvin domains are required to elicit a lethal effect and that only high levels of full-length Parvin are detrimental for the whole organism.

Figure 2. Parvin overexpression induces apoptosis and activation of JNK signaling. (A–I) Wing imaginal discs of late third instar larvae from control enGal4 (A–C), enGal4/+;UAS::Parvin-GFP/+ (D–F), enGal4/UAS:Ilk::Parvin-GFP/UAS:DIAP1 (G–I). Wing discs expressed either only Gal4 (A–C), or Parvin-GFP (green, D–F), or ILK, DIAP1 and Parvin-GFP together (green, G–I), and were probed for activated caspase-3 (magenta, A, D, G; white A', D', G'), active p-JNK (magenta, B, E, H; white B', E', H'), or lacZ expressed from the puc locus (magenta, C, F, I; white C', F', I'). (D1–D1') A cross optical section taken in the middle of the wing poutch from the imaginal disc appearing in image D. Arrowheads indicate apoptotic cells; open arrowheads indicate closed areas in the posterior and anterior compartment of the wing poutch expressing (right) or not expressing (left) UAS::Parvin-GFP; dashed arrows indicate stalk cells in the wing notum area. The anterior part of the wing disc (where Parvin-GFP expression is not induced) serves as an internal control. (K) Quantification of caspase-3 signal intensity in wing imaginal discs and the percentage of adult viability. (L1–L4) Adult wings derived from flies expressing only enGal4 (L1), UAS::Parvin-GFP under enGal4 (L2, L3) and UAS:Ilk together with UAS:DIAP1 and UAS::Parvin-GFP under enGal4 (L4). Arrows indicate vein defects and notched areas in the wing.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g002

Figure 3. Expression of Parvin lacking the CH2-domain and Parvin coexpression with ILK or DIAP1. Confocal optical sections acquired from wing imaginal discs of late third instar larvae expressing UAS::ParvinCH2-GFP (green, A), or coexpressing either UAS:DIAP1 and UAS::Parvin-GFP (green, B) or UAS:ILK and UAS::Parvin-GFP (green, C), under enGal4 in the posterior compartment of the disc, probed for activated caspase-3 (magenta, A–C; white A’–C’). Arrowheads indicate apoptotic cells. The anterior part of the wing disc (where Parvin-GFP expression is not induced) serves as an internal control. (D–E) Quantification of the caspase-3 signal intensity in wing imaginal discs (D) and the percentage of adult viability (E).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g003
Figure 4. Parvin overexpression in the wing epithelium leads to cell extrusion, MMP1 secretion and invasion. Confocal projections of wing imaginal discs of late third instar larvae (A–D), and optical sections acquired at different focal planes as indicated (E1, E2, E3, E4–F1, F2, F3, F4), with ptcGal4 driving expression of UAS::Parvin-GFP (A, C, F1–F4), or UAS::Parvin(CH2)-GFP (B, E1–E4), or UAS::Parvin-GFP together with UAS:IRParvin (D). Imaginal wing discs expressing Parvin-GFP (green, A–F3; white, A’–D’, E1’–E3’; F1’–F3’) were probed for rhodamine-phalloidin to visualize F-actin (magenta, A–B, D, E1–E4, F1–F4; white, E1’–E3’; F1’–F3’) or MMP1 (magenta, C; white C’). (E4–F4) Cross optical sections of the imaginal discs appearing in images E1–F1 taken in the middle of the wing pouch. Graphic cartoon based on the optical sections shown in images E4 and F4 (G). Arrowheads indicate the expression domain of ptcGal4 that drives expression of the transgene UAS::Parvin(CH2)-GFP; large arrows point to migrating cells that have invaded the proximal areas of UAS::Parvin-GFP expression; dashed arrowheads denote small cellular debris containing pyknotic nuclei; and open arrows show areas lacking F-actin staining in the basal side of discs expressing UAS::Parvin-GFP. sc; stalk cells.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g004
Parvin Overexpression in the Wing Epithelium Leads to Apoptosis and Activation of the JNK Pathway

The morphogenetic defects caused by Parvin-GFP overexpression driven by enGal4 suggested a pro-apoptotic function for Parvin in Drosophila, similar to β-Parvin in mammalian cells [11]. To further verify if Parvin-GFP overexpression caused apoptosis, we examined the levels of active Caspase-3. Active Caspase-3 was undetectable in control enGal4 wing discs (Figure 2A, A') or those expressing a CH2-domain deletion Parvin mutant fused to GFP (UAS::Parvin[CH2-GFP]) (Figure 3A). In contrast, Parvin-GFP overexpression induced a large increase in active Caspase-3, specifically in the posterior compartment of the wing disc, compared to only a few apoptotic cells in the anterior compartment which serves as an internal control (Figure 2D, D1', D). We used a commercially available antibody against active Caspase-3, which serves as an internal control (Figure 2D, D1', D). We used a commercially available antibody against active Caspase-3 that was recently reported to recognize not only Caspase-3 but also additional substrates cleaved in a Drosophila Nedd2-like caspase (DRONC)-dependent manner [13]. Thus, we concluded that Parvin-GFP overexpression induced elevation of the Caspase-3-like initiator DRONC that resulted in apoptosis.

Apoptotic stimuli are known to activate JNK signaling at the imaginal discs [14]. We examined whether Parvin-induced apoptosis is mediated by the JNK pathway, by immunostaining for the phosphorylated active form of JNK. The Drosophila homolog of JNK, basket, was highly phosphorylated specifically at the posterior compartment of the wing disc (Figure 2E–E'), compared to low levels of active JNK in control discs (Figure 2B–B'). We used the downstream target, puckered, as another marker for activation of the JNK pathway [15]. Cells ectopically expressing Parvin-GFP strongly upregulated the puck-lacZ reporter in the posterior compartment (Figure 2F–F'), whereas in control discs, puck-lacZ was detected only in the stalk cells (Figure 2C–C'). Thus, JNK signaling was activated by increased levels of Parvin-GFP within the wing imaginal disc.

Increased Levels of Both ILK and DIAP1 Suppress Parvin-Induced Apoptosis

Overexpression of Parvin-GFP driven by enGal4 resulted in lethality mainly during pupae development. Only 20% of the late pupae developed into adult flies (Figure 2K) which exhibited various developmental defects in the wings, including tissue loss and vein defects (Figure 2L2, L3). To dissect the molecular mechanism of UAS::Parvin-GFP-induced apoptosis, we coexpressed Parvin-GFP with either ILK, a binding partner of Parvin, or Drosophila Inhibitor of Apoptosis Protein (DIAP1) [16]. Coexpression of DIAP1 alone largely suppressed the Parvin-GFP-induced dominant lethality and apoptosis (73% rescue of adult viability, n = 116) (Figure 3B, D, E). Coexpression of ILK [8] was less efficient at reducing the activation levels of DRONC, but significantly rescued lethality (75% rescue of adult viability, n = 120), similarly to DIAP1 expression alone (Figure 3C–E).
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Parvin Overexpression in the Wing Epithelium Leads to Cell Delamination, Cell Invasion and MMP1 Secretion

To further investigate the cellular consequences of Parvin-GFP overexpression, we used ptcGal4 to drive expression in a thin stripe of cells anterior to the anteroposterior (A/P) boundary of the wing disc. Overexpression of UAS::Parvin-GFP triggered cell invasion in areas proximal to ptcGal4 expression domain (Figure 4A). In contrast, overexpression of UAS::Parvin[CH2-]GFP that was expressed even at higher levels than full-length Parvin-GFP [6] did not cause epithelial morphogenetic defects, indicating that the invasive phenotype was not a consequence of protein overexpression in general (Figure 4B). High magnification optical sections along the apical/basal side of the wing pouch revealed a large reduction in Parvin-GFP expressing cells, whereas cells expressing UAS::Parvin[CH2-]GFP were maintained within the ptcGal4 domain (Figure 4E1–F1). The UAS::Parvin-GFP expressing cells were extruded toward the basal side of the epithelium, where they acquired invasive properties that render them capable of migrating to the basal side of the epithelium and spread distant from the ptcGal4 expression domain (Figure 4E2, E3, E4, F2, F3, F4, G). Several cells displayed small pyknotic nuclei indicative of apoptosis (Figure 4F3'). Cell invasion was consistent with ectopic induction of matrix metalloproteinase-1 (MMP1) along the ptcGal4 expressing region (Figure 4C). MMP1 is a well established effector of cell invasion that is upregulated upon JNK activation and is normally expressed only in the stalk cells of the wing disc (Figure 4C) [17].

To verify that a threshold level of UAS::Parvin-GFP is required to induce the invasive cell behavior, we coexpressed a UAS::RNAi construct known to knock down Parvin [6]. The moderate levels of Parvin-GFP expression along the ptcGal4 domain did not cause migration of these cells distant from their original position (Figure 4D).

Parvin Overexpression in the Wing Epithelium Results in Loss of Cell-matrix Adhesion and Extracellular Matrix Disassembly without Affecting Cadherin Levels

In the wing imaginal discs integrin localizes largely in clusters containing adhesome proteins on the basal side of the epithelium, resembling the focal adhesions of mammalian cells [6,18]. The ectopic elevated levels of MMP1 upon UAS::Parvin-GFP overexpression, prompted us to further investigate cell-matrix adhesion organization. LaminnA is a major component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) and it has been shown to localize basally in the wing...
We found that overexpression of Parvin caused disorganization of LamininA in the posterior compartment of the wing epithelium. LamininA was reduced in certain areas and accumulated in others, displaying a non-ordered pattern of distribution (Figure 5A, A1–A1). Similarly, the typical punctuate integrin localization at the focal contact-like structures at the basal side of the wing epithelium was severely affected, specifically in the posterior compartment, whereas large areas of the basal epithelium lacked integrin deposition (Figure 5B). Enabled (Ena) plays a role in the elongation of F-actin barbed end filaments and recently it was shown that it is expressed in the wing disc [20,21]. We found that within the anterior compartment, Ena accumulated basally at the focal-contact-like structures, similarly to integrins and other integrin adhesome proteins [6,18], whereas in the posterior compartment expressing UAS::Parvin-GFP, Ena was largely diminished (Figure 5C, E–E). In contrast, in the middle and apical areas of the disc, Ena distribution was not affected, suggesting that its basal reduction was most likely a consequence of disorganized cell-matrix adhesion sites (Figure 5D–D'). Thus, we concluded that in the basal wing epithelium high levels of Parvin-GFP disrupt integrin-matrix adhesion sites.

Cadherin downregulation and initiation of the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) are typical features of cells acquiring invasive properties [22]. Although the majority of the cells expressing UAS::Parvin-GFP were extruded on the basal side of the posterior wing epithelium, the amount and pattern of cadherin distribution was unaffected in the remaining cells that maintained their plasma membrane in the apical side of the disc (Figure 5F–G). We therefore concluded that Parvin overexpression did not trigger EMT in the wing epithelium.

Features of the Wing Epithelial Cells Expressing High Levels of Parvin-GFP

Upon Parvin-GFP overexpression in the posterior wing compartment, we noticed a mosaic expression of the transgene (Figures 2, 3, 5, 6). Certain areas within the enGal4 domain, notably in the hinge and notum, were not labelled for Parvin-GFP.
although they properly expressed Engrailed and retained their posterior compartment identity (Figure 6A–C). In these cells 
\( enGal4 \) was able to direct expression of a UAS::ABDMoesin-RFP transgene (Figure 6D–F), suggesting that the lack of Parvin-GFP labeling was not due to defective 
\( enGal4 \) activity. In agreement with this, when we probed wing imaginal discs with an antibody against Parvin, we found that in certain areas of the epithelium, where Parvin-GFP was undetectable, high levels of the protein were present as expected due to overexpression (Fig. 7A–D). In some of these areas, we found apoptotic cells with basally located pyknotic nuclei (Fig. 7C). We concluded that in these cells, GFP could be destabilized due to undergoing apoptosis. In other areas of the disc undetectable Parvin-GFP was correlated with high density of nuclei (Fig. 7B). That could reflect newly proliferating cells contributing in the regeneration of the damaged epithelium [23].

**Figure 7.** Comparison of anti-Parvin and Parvin-GFP detection in the wing imaginal discs. Confocal optical sections acquired from wing imaginal discs of late third instar larvae expressing UAS::Parvin-GFP (green, A–D; white A’–D’) under enGal4 in the posterior compartment of the disc, probed with an antibody against Parvin (red, A–D; white A’–D’’) and DAPI to visualize the nuclei (blue, A–D; white A’’–D’’’). (D) cross optical section taken in the middle of the wing pouch from the imaginal disc appearing in images A–C. Arrows: cells expressing Parvin detected either by the antibody or by Parvin-GFP; dashed arrows: cells where Parvin-GFP is undetectable; open arrowheads: pyknotic nuclei; open arrow: an area in the posterior compartment with high density of nuclei outlined with a light blue dashed line.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g007
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Figure 8. Parvin overexpression in the wing imaginal disc epithelium disrupts the basal F-actin cytoskeleton. Confocal optical sections acquired apically (A–B), in the middle (C–D) and at the basal side of the epithelium (E–F) from wing imaginal discs with enGal4 driving expression in the posterior compartment of UAS::Parvin-GFP alone (green, A, C, E; white, A”, C”, E”) or coexpression with UAS:ILK and UAS:DIAP1 (green, B, D, F; white B”, D”, E”). Imaginal discs were probed with rhodamine-labelled phalloidin to visualize F-actin (red, A–F; white A’–F’). (G) A cross optical section of the imaginal disc appearing in images A, C, and E. (H) A cross optical section of the imaginal disc appearing in images B, D, and F. (I) Graphic cartoon based on the cross optical sections G and H. Small arrows, closed areas in the posterior compartment of the wing pouch expressing (right) or not expressing (left) imaginal disc appearing in images B, D, and F. (I) Graphic cartoon based on the cross optical sections G and H. Small arrows, closed areas in the posterior compartment retained expression of induced) serves as an internal control.

Therefore in these cells, GFP may have not matured yet to obtain fluorescent properties.

High Levels of Parvin Induce Cell Delamination, Cell Shape Changes and Disorganization of F-actin on the Basal Side of the Wing Epithelium

To address whether the mosaic expression of Parvin-GFP within the wing epithelium and cell delamination along the apicobasal axis of the blade were also accompanied by changes in cell shape, we examined the F-actin cytoskeleton organization. In the most apical area of the wing blade the tissue was folded and the posterior compartment appeared shrunken, while the amount and distribution of F-actin cortically appeared normal. From the location of the nuclei in optical cross-sections -obtained at the region between the dorsal-ventral boundary in the middle of the wing pouch-it was evident that cells were shorter (Figure 8A, G, I). In the middle area of the wing disc, cells expressing Parvin-GFP occupied a larger region of the wing blade whereas cell shape, as it was highlighted by F-actin, was similar to the flanking cells in the anterior compartment that did not express high levels of full-length Parvin-GFP (Figure 8C). On the basal side, Parvin-GFP expressing cells occupied almost the entire posterior wing blade, but they were missing from the regions flanking the wing margin (Figure 8E). The organization of F-actin basaly was completely disrupted (Fig. 8E, E’). Actin filaments were accumulated ectopically in some areas of the wing pouch cells and were missing from others. The observed gaps containing pyknotic nuclei, indicating areas of dead delaminated cells (Fig. 8E, E’), in accordance with previous studies describing the basalar extrusion of dead cells in the wing epithelium [23,24]. As consequence of the damaged epithelium, the basal cell periphery appeared enlarged and irregularly shaped (Figure 8E’). Coexpression of either ILK or DIAP1 with Parvin-GFP noticeably improved the cell delamination at the basal side (Figure 9), whereas simultaneous coexpression of both ILK and DIAP1 further improved cell extrusion, as was evident from the reduced number of pyknotic nuclei accumulated basaly (Figure 8B, D, F). However, in the wing blade F-actin organization was only modestly ameliorated by coexpression of both ILK and DIAP1. Actin filaments instead of decorating the outline of the cell, extended to the periphery and remained tangled resulting in a disordered meshwork pattern (Figure 8B–B’, D–D’, F–F’, H–I). To test whether the disorganised F-actin is correlating with abnormal cell-matrix adhesion mediated by increased levels of Parvin-GFP rather than being a consequence of apoptosis, we examined the distribution of integrins and lamininA in discs coexpressing ILK and DIAP1, where apoptosis was rescued (Fig. 2). No improvement in the abnormal organization of either integrin or lamininA basaly in the wing epithelium was observed (Figure 10A–B). Thus, defects in integrin-mediated adhesion in the basal side of the epithelium upon Parvin-GFP overexpression is not a consequence of Parvin-induced apoptosis, but rather a distinct effect that correlates with abnormalities in the organization of actin cytoskeleton.

Parvin Overexpression Induces Up-regulation of Rho1 at the Basal Side of the Epithelium

The Parvin-GFP induced alterations in the wing epithelium were highly reminiscent of those observed upon Rho1 overexpression [25,26]. We found that cells overexpressing Parvin-GFP triggered a substantial increase in Rho1 protein levels, mostly on the basal side (Figure 11A, E), whereas Rho1 accumulation increased only modestly in the middle and in most apical areas of the epithelium (Figure 11B, E). However, the increase in Rho1 levels represented a distinct effect, different from Parvin-induced apoptosis, because elevated Rho1 levels were unaffected, even when both ILK and DIAP1 were coexpressed (Figure 11C–E). Diaphanus (Dia) is one of the main Rho1 downstream effectors. However, as previously found in wing discs [25], Rho1 elevation did not coincide with increased Dia levels upon Parvin-GFP overexpression (Figure 12).

Parvin Overexpression Disrupts F-actin Stress Fibers in the Pigment Cells of the Pupal Retina

Parvin overexpression by longGMRGal4 caused a rough eye phenotype (Table 1, Figure 1). This Gal4 driver is expressed in all cell types of the eye (pigment, cone and photoreceptor cells) [27]. The elavGal4 and seyGal4 drivers that limit expression of Parvin-GFP to only the photoreceptor [28], or specific photoreceptor and cone cells [29], respectively, did not cause any eye roughening (Table 1). Thus, the rough-eye phenotype is most likely caused by overexpression of Parvin in the pigment cells. Several morphogenetic defects during eye development could result in final eye roughening [30]. We therefore examined the organization of F-actin in both 3rd instar larvae and at 75% of pupal development (p.d.). The later developmental stage was selected because in the retinal floor, F-actin displays a highly ordered structure of stress fibers within the pigment cells encircling the cone cells [31]. We did not find any defects in F-actin organization in the eye imaginal discs from 3rd instar larvae (data not shown). In contrast, when we examined retinas from late pupae, we found complete disorganization of actin stress fiber arrays in the retina floor, whereas retinas expressing the truncated UAS::ParvinACM2-GFP form appeared normal (Figure 13A, B). Thus, in the pupal retina Parvin-GFP overexpression severely disrupted F-actin stress fiber organization in the basal side of the pigment cells, similar to the wing epithelium phenotype.

Genetic Interactors of Parvin in the Eye

The homozygous longGMRGal4 flies had wild type-like eye morphology when kept at 25°C (Figure 14A). In contrast, flies overexpressing Parvin-GFP under longGMRGal4 displayed distored ommatidia and mild rough eyes (Figure 14B). This phenotype was sensitive to the copy number of both longGMRGal4 and UAS::Parvin-GFP transgenes (data not shown), and could therefore be exploited to identify genetic suppressors and
enhancers of UAS::Parvin-GFP overexpression-induced rough-eye.

We investigated individual coexpression of a panel of selected available UAS genes in the eye. Loss of function mutations in both αPS1 and βPS integrin subunits affect the F-actin pattern at the

Figure 9. ILK and DIAP1 individually coexpressed with Parvin partially ameliorate F-actin misorganization in the wing epithelium. Confocal optical sections were acquired apically (A–B), in the middle (C–D) and on the basal side of the epithelium (E–F) from wing imaginal discs with coexpression driven by enGal4 in the posterior compartment of UAS::Parvin-GFP (green, A–F; white A–F′) and UAS:DIAP1 (A, C, E) or UAS::Parvin-GFP and UAS:ILK (B, D, F). Imaginal discs were probed with rhodamine-labelled phalloidin to visualize F-actin (red, A–F; white A′–F′).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g009

Figure 10. ILK and DIAP1 coexpressed with Parvin-GFP do not rescue the disorganized integrin-matrix adhesion sites. Confocal optical sections acquired from wing imaginal discs of late third instar larvae expressing UAS::Parvin-GFP (green, A–B, A1–A1; white, A99–B99) with both UAS:ILK and UAS:DIAP1 driven by enGal4 in the posterior compartment and probed for LamininA (red, A, A1–A1; white, A9; or βPS integrin (red, B; white, B′) and DAPI to visualize nuclei (blue, A, A1, A1′). (A1) cross optical section of the imaginal disc appearing in image A taken in the middle of the wing poutch. Small arrows, closed areas in the posterior and anterior compartment of the wing poutch expressing (right) or not expressing (left) UAS::Parvin-GFP; big arrows indicate areas with LamininA deposition; big dashed arrows indicate areas without LamininA deposition; arrowheads, focal contact-like structures in the basal side of the anterior compartment and open arrowheads indicate areas in the posterior compartment without integrin accumulation. The anterior part of the wing disc (where Parvin-GFP expression is not induced) serves as an internal control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g010
Figure 11. Parvin overexpression in the wing epithelium leads to Rho1 elevation, mainly basally. Confocal optical sections were acquired basally (A, C) or in the middle (B–D) from wing imaginal discs expressing UAS::Parvin-GFP alone (green, A, B; white, A", B") or with both UAS::ILK + UAS::DIAP1. E: Mean value of Rho1 levels across the apical/basal axis in the posterior and anterior compartment of the wing disc.
bysal basal surface of the eye retina in late pupae [31]. We hypothesized that if Parvin-GFP overexpression compromised integrin-containing adhesion sites, as we found in the wing epithelium, then coexpression of an integrin heterodimer (αPSβPS or the αPSβPS) would ameliorate the Parvin-induced phenotype [32]. In contrast, we found that elevated levels of either of the two coexpressed integrin heterodimers mildly enhanced the UAS::Parvin-GFP induced rough-eye phenotype (Table 3). However, because the levels of integrin expression are not accurately controlled in this experimental setting it is plausible that high levels of integrin expression could not reverse the Parvin-induced rough eye phenotype. Thus, we concluded that a tight balance of the intracellular amount of integrins appears to be rather crucial for the proper eye development. UAS::ILK weakly suppressed the Parvin-induced rough-eye phenotype (Table 3). Surprisingly, coexpression of UAS::Wech-GFP, an ILK binding protein [33], completely suppressed the phenotype (Figure 14C). The morphology and organization of the ommatidia remained intact when UAS::Wech-GFP was overexpressed alone under longMRG0Gal4 (Table 3). A strong suppressive effect was also achieved by coexpression of UAS::PTEN [34] (Figure 14E). In contrast, the catalytically inactive PTEN(ΔC1428) mutant [35] was a poor suppressor, suggesting that enzymatically active PTEN is required to modulate Parvin effects.

Next we conducted a dominant-modifier screen using chromosomal deficiency lines of the third chromosome (Bloomington kit) that covered almost 40% of the fly genome. In the first round we tested 111 deficiencies covering almost the entire 3rd chromosome and found 4 suppressors and 12 enhancers. We further narrowed down these genomic regions that were dominant modifiers (present as just one copy) of Parvin-induced rough-eye. The cytogenetic regions encompassing 70B2–70C2 (Df(3L)Exel6119) and 91A5–91F1 (Df(3R)ED2) were identified as strong suppressors (Figure 14G, I), whereas the region 93C6;94A4 (Df(3R) e-GC3) was an enhancer (Figure 14D). To identify candidate genes, we used individual knock-down of 391 specific genes in the eye utilizing UAS::IR lines [36] for the majority of the genes located in the identified genomic regions. No candidate gene was identified for the dominant suppressive effect of 70B2; 70C2. Knock-down of Xip1 (CG17836, 91D3-D5) within Df(3R)ED2 was equally efficient at suppressing the removal of one copy of the genomic region 91A5; 91F1 (Figure 14K). In addition, knock-down of Egf93F (CG18389, 93F14) located in the genomic region 93C6; 94A4 enhanced the rough-eye, similarly to Df(3R) e-GC3 (Figure 14F). Lastly, knock-down of genes encoding βPS integrin, Zap32, and the transgelin homolog Chd64 (CG14996) all enhanced the Parvin-induced rough-eye phenotype (Figure 14H, J, L).

Discussion

Parvin proteins are highly conserved and participate in the assembly and function of the integrin adhesome [3, 6]. Here we employed the UAS/Gal4 system to investigate additional functions of Parvin upon overexpression in a tissue specific manner and to identify novel genetic interactions in the wing and the eye (Figure 15).

We showed that Drosophila Parvin promoted apoptosis when overexpressed in vivo, similar to mammalian β-Parvin in HeLa cells [11]. Expression of β-Parvin in breast cancer cells was recently shown to inhibit tumor progression and cell proliferation [7] suggesting that our study of the cellular and molecular changes associated with Parvin overexpression in Drosophila may be relevant to cancer pathology. At the cellular level we demonstrated that overexpressed Parvin induced alterations in the organization of the actin cytoskeleton, disruption of cell-matrix adhesion, cell invasion and cell delamination. Mechanistically, we showed that overexpressed Parvin causes JNK activation and enhanced MMP1 levels. We also revealed a functional link between Parvin and subcellular distribution of Rho1. Interestingly, we showed that these Parvin-induced signaling effects are not dependent on its interaction with ILK.

Among the three counterparts of the ILK/PINCH/Parvin complex, only overexpression of full-length Parvin induced ectopic apoptosis and excessive lethality in the larval and pupal developmental stages [6]. Nevertheless, in the wing imaginal discs overexpression of other components of the integrin adhesome such as tensin and paxillin also result in apoptosis and lethality, including activation of the JNK pathway and modulation of Rho1 activity, respectively [37, 38]. We showed that overexpression of Parvin increases Rho1 protein levels predominantly at the basal side of the wing epithelium, although loss of Parvin did not cause a reciprocal reduction of Rho1 levels [5, 6]. Given the previous reports that mammalian Parvins interact with two regulators of the small GTPases family, the GEF αpix and the CdcGAP respectively [39, 40], one hypothesis would be that high levels of Parvin sequester these factors and interfere with their interaction with Rho1. As a consequence, Rho1 is released from the apicolateral side where normally is enriched [41]. The elevated Rho1 levels in the basal compartment of the epithelium could explain the formation of ectopic actin accumulation in accordance with previous studies [42]. As already described Rho1 is able to induce JNK-dependent apoptosis and F-actin organization defects in the wing epithelia cells [25, 26]. Therefore, it is plausible that the elevated JNK activity observed upon Parvin overexpression is caused by aberrant elevation of Rho1 basally. Taken our findings together, we propose that Parvin-induced cellular defects in the wing epithelia are mediated by increased levels of Rho1, however, we cannot rule out a putative role of additional unidentified factors that are activated downstream of Parvin independently of Rho1.

We recently showed that coexpression of ILK together with Parvin-GFP in the mesoderm is sufficient to completely rescue Parvin-induced lethality and control Parvin subcellular localization [6], suggesting that coupling of Parvin to ILK could have a protective effect in epithelia viability. We performed rescue experiments to investigate whether Parvin function in the wing epithelium is mechanistically linked to its interaction with ILK, by coexpressing Parvin with ILK. Expression of ILK alone did not completely rescue the dominant effects of Parvin overexpression in the developing wing epithelia, had a mild suppressive effect on the rough eye phenotype and did not change the subcellular distribution of Parvin-GFP in the wing epithelial cells. Both the JNK activity and the increase in Rho1 protein levels were also not

UAS::ILK and UAS::DIAP1 (green, C, D; white C', D'), as driven by enGal4 in the posterior compartment, probed for Rho1 (red, A-D; white A'–D') and stained with DAPI to visualize nuclei (blue, A-D; white A'–D'). (E) Box-and-whisker plot of Rho1 levels indicating the means (vertical lines in the middle of the rectangular boxes) of measurements taken in apical, middle and basal focal planes. All individual measurements are superimposed on the box-and-whisker plots and are indicated by the same symbol in all focal planes to allow direct comparisons of the variation in pixel intensity. Arrows, closed areas in the posterior and anterior compartment of the wing pouch expressing (right) or not expressing (left) UAS::Parvin-GFP. The anterior part of the wing disc serves as an internal control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g011
affected by ILK coexpression. Even when high levels of ILK are present, the putative interaction of Parvin with GTPase regulators is not disturbed and the imbalance of Rho1 subcellular distribution is maintained. That is not unexpected given that both βpix and Cdc42 interact with the N-terminus region of Parvin, whereas ILK binds on the C-terminus. These findings demonstrate that the functional interplay between Parvin and ILK depends on the cell context and that Parvin interacts with other proteins and performs additional roles. In addition to functioning as a structural element of the integrin-actin link, it also acts as a dosage dependent modulator of actin cytoskeleton organization and cell homeostasis in the developing epithelium, via modulating the subcellular distribution of Rh1.

Because overexpression of Parvin caused extensive apoptosis in the wing epithelium, to mechanistically uncouple the Parvin-induced cellular defects from Parvin-induced apoptosis, we performed rescue experiments by coexpressing Parvin and DIAP1, which blocks apoptosis by inhibiting both the initiator caspase DRONC and the effector caspases DriCe and Dcp-1 [45]. DIAP1 alone did not efficiently suppress the cellular defects of Parvin in the wing. Both ILK and DIAP1 had to be coexpressed to completely rescue the lethality, presumably by coupling the reduction of excessive cytoplasmic Parvin by ILK and the inhibition of DRONC-mediated apoptosis by DIAP1. Coexpression of ILK and DIAP1 rescue both cell apoptosis and cell extrusion in the wing pouch cells, but not in the hinge and notum. These findings were not entirely unexpected, given previous documentation of regional differences within the wing imaginal disc regarding the differential requirement of actin regulators for epithelial integrity [44]. However, in consistence with our results from ILK rescue experiments, coexpression of DIAP1 or both ILK and DIAP1 did not ameliorate either the irregular organization of F-actin or the disorganized integrin-matrix adhesion sites and did not change the elevated levels of Rh1 in the basal side of the wing epithelium. These results demonstrate that the Parvin-induced cellular defects are not a simple consequence of apoptosis, but rather a distinct feature of Parvin function.

Overexpression of Parvin in the eye generated a rough eye phenotype. At the cellular level the basal actin cytoskeleton in the eye retina was severely disrupted, suggesting that a cause of the abnormal eye development could be initiated by abnormalities in the cell shape of pigment cells, as in the case of the wing epithelium. Because the Parvin-induced eye phenotype was sensitive to the copy number of Parvin transgenes and to temperature, we performed a modifier screen to uncover novel genetic interactors. We found that elevated levels of Wech and PTEN antagonized the Parvin-induced dominant effects in the developing eye and completely suppressed the rough eye phenotype, whereas high levels of ILK had only minimal suppression activity.

Wech is an ILK binding protein and it is not clear why it could suppress Parvin-induced dominant defects at elevated levels [33] rather than ILK itself, which directly binds to Parvin and rescues lethality completely in the mesoderm [6] and significantly in enGal4 expressing cells. The lack of data regarding Wech function in the eye, preclude further analysis at this point. The second surprising result of our study was the ability of high levels of PTEN to suppress the rough eye phenotype induced by Parvin overexpression. UAS:PTEN overexpression under GMRGal4 has been reported to induce a rough-eye phenotype by inhibiting cell-cycle progression in proliferating cells and inducing apoptosis in a cell-context dependent manner [35,45]. In our experiments expression of the same UAS:PTEN lines obtained from two different donors [35,45] did not result in eye roughening. One possible explanation could be the use of longGMRGal4 (Bloomington #8306) in our experiments, because previous studies drove expression of UAS:PTEN with GMRGal4 [46]. In addition, previous reports suggested that expression by longGMRGal4 driver in the developing eye follows a more strict pattern in the photoreceptor cells [47]. Taken together our data and previous reports, we speculate that Parvin and PTEN have antagonistic functions within the eye.

Figure 12. Parvin overexpression does not affect the endogenous levels of Dia. Confocal optical sections acquired basally (A), in the middle (B) or apically (C) of the wing imaginal discs with enGal4 driving expression in the posterior compartment of UAS::Parvin-GFP (green, A–C; white, A”–C”) probed for the Rho1 effector Dia (red, A–C; white A”–C”). Small arrows, closed areas in the posterior and anterior compartment of the wing pouch expressing (right) or not expressing (left) UAS::Parvin-GFP. The anterior part of the wing disc (where Parvin-GFP expression is not induced) serves as an internal control. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g012

Figure 13. Parvin overexpression in the eye pigment cells disrupts F-actin cytoskeleton basally. Confocal optical sections acquired basally, in developing pupae eyes expressing control UAS::Parvin-ΔCH2-GFP (A, green; A’, white), or UAS::Parvin-GFP (B, green; B’, white) with longGMRGal4, probed with rhodamine-phalloidin to visualize F-actin (red, A–B; white A’–B’) and stained with DAPI to visualize the nuclei (blue, A–B; white A”’–B”’). The pattern of F-actin in the retina floor is illustrated with the arrow. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.g013
epithelium and coexpression of both proteins counterbalance their induced dominant effects upon overexpression. Currently we do not have sufficient data to point a specific pathway that could be modified by Parvin and PTEN and leads to rough eye phenotype. However, the recent report that Parvin is associated with PKB [48] together with previous data suggesting that Parvin may facilitates the recruitment of PKB at plasma membrane [10], suggests that Parvin could antagonized the negative effects of PTEN on PKB activation by reducing PIP3 levels [49].

The third suppressor gene we found was Xrp1. Xrp1 contains an AT-hook motif that is found in nuclear proteins with DNA binding activity. Currently, we lack sufficient knowledge to speculate on putative functional interaction between Parvin-induced signaling and nuclear activity. However, previous studies on Xrp1 point on its role as a p53-dependent negative regulator of cell proliferation following genotoxic stress [50]. Among the genes that enhanced the Parvin-induced rough eye were all of the integrin subunits known to be expressed in the eye, including \(a_{PS1}, a_{PS2} \), and \(b_{PS} \), the cytoskeletal regulators ZASP52 [51] and the transgelin homolog encoded by CG14996 of unknown function.

In conclusion, our findings revealed novel cell context-dependent roles for Parvin in the whole organism. Besides its known function as a structural component of the IPP-complex that mediate the integrin-actin link, we demonstrated that Parvin can also affect cell-matrix adhesion, organization of actin cytoskeleton and cell homeostasis, by regulating Rho1 and JNK levels in an ILK-independent manner. These findings are relevant to situations where cell homeostasis is altered ranging from the physiological renewal of tissues to cancer pathology. In addition, our modifier genetic screen revealed novel interactors that affect Parvin function in a living organism. Our \textit{in vivo} data provide the first insight into genetic circuits influenced by Parvin and offer

| Gene/Deficiency | Reference/stock | Cytogenetic map | Effect |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------|
| UAS::bPS;UAS::aPS1 | [31] | E/mr | |
| UAS::bPS;UAS::aPS2 | [32] | E | |
| UAS::Wech-GFP | [33] | S | |
| UAS::ILK | [8] | S | |
| UAS::dPTEN | [34] Line (2nd chr) | S | |
| UAS::dPTEN | [35] Line 31 | S | |
| UAS::IRbPS | mys^1KK0181 | 7D5 | E |
| UAS::IRZasp52 | Zasp52^D0146 | 52C4–52C7 | E |
| UAS::IRparvin | Parvin^D03667 | 18E5–18F1 | S |
| UAS::IRChd64 | Chd6^D01212 | 64A6;A7 | E |
| UAS::IREip93F | Eip93F^D0440 | 93F14 | E/gl |
| UAS::IRZasp52 | Zasp52^D01776 | 52C4–52C7 | E |
| UAS::IRparvin | Parvin^D012367 | S | |
| UAS::IRChd64 | Chd6^D01212 | 64A6;A7 | E |
| UAS::IREip93F | Eip93F^D0440 | 93F14 | E/gl |
| UAS::IRZasp52 | Zasp52^D0146 | 52C4–52C7 | E |
| Df(3R)e-GC3 | BL-6962 | 91A5–91F1 | S |
| Df(3L)Exel6119 | BL-7598 | 70B2;70C2 | S |
| Df(3R)ED2 | BL-5798 | 93C6;94A4 | E |

Table key. E: Enhancement; e: mild enhancement; S: Suppression; s: mild suppression. Modifiers phenotype when expressed under longGMR alone; mr: mild rough-eye, gl:glassy.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0047355.t003
a framework for additional detailed studies to elucidate how these genetic networks interact.
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