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In this paper, channel capacity of multiple-input multiple-output wireless local area network (MIMO-WLAN) systems with single co-channel interference (CCI) is calculated. A ray-tracing approach is used to calculate the channel frequency response, which is further used to calculate the corresponding channel capacity. The ability to combat CCI for the MIMO-WLAN simple uniform linear array (ULA) and polarization diversity array (PDA) are investigated. Also the effects caused by two antenna arrays for desired system and CCI are quantified. Numerical results show that MIMO-PDA is better than those of MIMO-ULA when interference is present.
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1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years there has been a growing interest in the development of potentially mass-producible application systems using millimeter waves, such as wireless LAN (local area networks) systems [1]. To develop millimeter-wave wireless LAN systems, however, we need to know the reflection and transmission characteristics in millimeter-wave bands so that we can evaluate indoor multipath propagation characteristics and the interactions of millimeter waves with various objects.

This paper addresses basic issues regarding the wireless LAN systems that operate in the 60 GHz band as part of the fourth-generation system [2]. The 60 GHz band provides 7 GHz of unlicensed spectrum with a potential to develop wireless communication systems with multi Gbps throughput. The IEEE 802.11 standard committee [3], one of the major organizations in WLAN specifications development, established the IEEE 802.11ad task group to develop an amendment for the 60 GHz WLAN systems.

For wireless communication systems, two main sources of performance degradation are the thermal noise present in the channel or generated in the receiver and unwanted signals emanating from the same or nearby stations. CCI is one of the unwanted signals and it appears due to frequency reuse in wireless channels. CCI reduction has been studied and used in a very limited form in wireless networks [4]-[6]. The use of directional antennas and antenna arrays has long been recognized as an effective technique for reducing CCI, since the differentiation between the spatial signatures of the desired signal and CCI signals can be exploited to reduce the interference when multiple antennas are used.

In a classical large cellular system, due to several interferers in different co-channel cells, the CCI is assumed as statistical random variables. Most studies have been based on this assumption of CCI in MIMO systems [7]-[9]. However, this assumption is not suitable for MIMO-WLAN systems for the following reasons. First, in...
a small personal communication system (such as WLAN systems), CCI is probably caused by a few signals from adjacent rooms. Second, the use of adaptive antennas and intelligent channel assignment techniques make the case of a large number of CCLs less probable.

In this paper channel capacity of multiple-input multiple-output ultra-wide band (MIMO-WLAN) systems with single CCI is calculated at the 60GHz band. Simple uniform linear array and polarization diversity array are applied to the desired system and the CCI respectively to observe the effects caused by the two antenna arrays. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, system description and channel modeling are presented. Several numerical results are given in Section 3. Section 4 concludes the paper.

2 SYSTEM DESCRIPTION AND CHANNEL MODELING

2.1 System description

A time-invariant narrowband MIMO system with CCI can be described as follows:

\[ Y = H_d X_d + H_i X_i + W \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( Y, X_d, X_i \) and \( W \) denote the \( N_r \times 1 \) received signal vector, the \( N_t \times 1 \) desired transmitted signal vector, the \( N_t \times 1 \) interference signal vector and the \( N_r \times 1 \) zero mean additive white Gaussian noise vector at the symbol time, respectively. In (1), \( H_d \) is the \( N_r \times N_t \) channel matrix for the desired signal, and the element \( h_{xy} \) of the channel matrix \( H_d \) denotes the complex channel gain from the \( y \)-th transmitting antenna to the \( x \)-th receiving antenna. \( H_i \) is the \( N_r \times N_t \) channel matrix for interference signal, and the element \( h_{xy} \) of the channel matrix \( H_i \) denotes the complex channel gain from the \( i \)-th interference antenna to the \( x \)-th receiving antenna.

A matrix representation of the system is shown in Figure 1. In this figure, the desired signal can be fed into several uncorrelated sub-channels by SVD (Singular Value Decomposition) of the channel matrix \( H \) and the corresponding signal processing [4], [5]. However, the signal processing and SVD is useless for the interference signal, since the interference channel matrix \( H_i \) is unknown to the receiver. As a result, the received signal can be expressed as follows:

\[ \hat{Y} = \hat{U}(UDV^*)Y_d + \hat{U}H_i X_i + \hat{U}W \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( U \) and \( V^* \) are the \( N_r \times N_r \) and \( N_t \times N_t \) unitary matrices, \( D \) is a \( N_r \times N_t \) rectangular matrix whose diagonal elements are non-negative real values and other elements are zero, \( V \) and \( U \) are linear signal processing operation.

If channel state information (CSI) is known at both transmitting side and receiving side, the processing operations, \( V \) and \( U \), can be expressed as \( V^* \) and \( U^* \), respectively. Then, equation (2) can be rewritten as follows:

\[ \hat{Y} = DX_d + SX_i + \hat{W} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where \( S = U^*H_i \) denotes a \( N_r \times N_t \) equivalent channel matrix for the interference, \( \hat{W} = U^*W \) is still a zero mean additive white Gaussian noise vector.

Channel capacity of the system with full CSI can be expressed as follows:

\[ C_f^{NB} = B \sum_{x=1}^{N_m} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_{d,x} \times \lambda_x}{\sum_{y=1}^{N_t} (P_{i,y} \times s_{x,y}^2) + P_{n,x}} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where \( f \) and \( B \) are the frequency index and the bandwidth of the system, respectively, \( P_{d,x} \) is the power of desired signal transmitted into the \( x \)-th sub-channel, \( P_{n,x} \) is the power of zero mean additive white Gaussian noise in the \( x \)-th sub-channel, \( P_{i,y} \) is the power transmitted by the \( y \)-th interference antenna, \( \lambda_x \) is the channel power gain of the \( x \)-th sub-channel for the desired signal, \( s_{x,y}^2 \) is the squared value of the matrix \( S \) corresponding to the \( x \)-th row and the \( y \)-th column, and \( N_m \) is defined as \( \min(N_t, N_r) \). If the transmitter of the desired signal has excited each separate channel with equal power, and each interference antenna has also excited with equal power by the transmitter of the interference signal, equation (4) can be rewritten as

\[ C_f^{NB} = B \sum_{x=1}^{N_m} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_{d,x} \times \lambda_x}{N_t \sum_{y=1}^{N_t} s_{x,y}^2 + P_{n,x}} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \( P_d \) and \( P_t \) are the total transmitting powers of the desired signal and the interference signal, respectively.

The equation can be modified further by the following steps. First, the right term both in numerator and denominator inside the parentheses is divided by the noise power \( P_{n,x} \), and the equation can be rewritten as follows:

\[ C_f^{NB} = B \sum_{x=1}^{N_m} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_{d,x} \times \lambda_x}{N_t \times P_{n,x} \times \sum_{y=1}^{N_t} s_{x,y}^2 + 1} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Then, \( P_t \) is multiplied by \( \frac{P_d}{P_t} \), and the equation can be rewritten again as follows:

\[ C_f^{NB} = B \sum_{x=1}^{N_m} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_{d,x} \times \lambda_x}{N_r \times P_{n,x} \times \sum_{y=1}^{N_t} s_{x,y}^2 + 1} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Finally, the equation can be organized as follows:

\[ C_f^{NB} = B \sum_{x=1}^{N_m} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{SNR_t \times \lambda_x}{SNR_t \times ISR \times \sum_{y=1}^{N_t} s_{x,y}^2 + 1} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)
2.2 Channel modelling

The shooting and bouncing ray/image (SBR/Image) [10-32] method can deal with high frequency radio wave propagation in the complex indoor environments [33], [34]. It conceptually assumes that many triangular ray tubes are shot from the transmitting antenna (TX), and each ray tube, bouncing and penetrating in the environments is traced in the indoor multi-path channel. If the receiving antenna (RX) is within a ray tube, the ray tube will have contributions to the received field at the RX, and the corresponding equivalent source (image) can be determined. By summing all contributions of these images, we can obtain the total received field at the RX. In real environment, external noise in the channel propagation has been considered. The depolarization yielded by multiple reflections, refraction and first order diffraction is also taken into account in our simulations. Note that the different values of dielectric constant and conductivity of materials for different frequency are carefully considered in channel modeling.

Using ray-tracing approaches to predict channel characteristic is effective and fast, and the approaches are also usually applied to MIMO channel modeling in recent years [35], [36]. Thus, a ray-tracing channel model is developed to calculate wanted channel matrix of MIMO-WLAN system. Flow chart of the ray-tracing process is shown in Figure 2. It conceptually assumes that many triangular ray tubes (not rays) are shot from a transmitter. Here the triangular ray tubes whose vertexes are on a sphere are de-
determined by the following method. First, we construct an icosahedron which is made of 20 identical equilateral triangles. Then, each triangle of the icosahedron is tessellated into a lot of smaller equilateral triangles. Finally, these small triangles are projected on to the sphere and each ray tube whose vertexes are determined by the small equilateral triangle is constructed [37]. For each ray tube bouncing and penetrating in the environments, we check whether reflection times and penetration times of the ray tube are larger than the numbers of maximum reflection $N_{ref}$ and maximum penetration $N_{pen}$, respectively. If they are not, we check whether the receiver falls within the reflected ray tube. If they are, the contribution of the ray tube to the receiver can be attributed to an equivalent source (i.e. image source). In other words, a specular ray going to receiver can be attributed to an equivalent source (i.e. image source). Moreover, the field diffracted from an image source. Therefore, the field can be thought as launched from an image source. Moreover, the field diffracted from the image source. The elements for the ULA and PDA antennas are dipole and omni-directional dipole antenna for SISO. The elements for the ULA are with simple omni-directional radiation pattern and vertically polarized. Furthermore, some furniture is in the rooms, including wooden doors, wood tables and iron cabinets. Sizes of the wooden table and the iron cabinet are 1.5 m (length) × 0.5 m (width), and heights of the wooden table and the iron cabinet are 1 m and 2 m respectively. The dielectric constant and conductivity of the different materials can be referred in [40]-[43]. The dielectric constant and conductivity of the different materials are shown in Table 1.

By using these images and received fields, the channel frequency response can be obtained as following [39]

$$H (f) = \sum_{p=1}^{N_p} a_p (f) e^{j\theta_p (f)}$$

(9)

where $p$ is the path index, $N_p$ is the number of paths, $f$ is the frequency of sinusoidal wave, $\theta_p (f)$ is the $p$-th phase shift and $a_p (f)$ is the $p$-th receiving magnitude. Note that the channel frequency response of WLAN systems can be calculated by equation (9) in the frequency range of WLAN for both desired signal and interference signal.

### Table 1. Dielectric properties of concrete materials measured at 60 GHz

| MATERIALS                                  | Relative Permittivity | Conductivity | Tan loss |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|
| Concrete (Ceiling, Walls, Partition, Ground) | 6.4964 0.4284         | 1.43         | 6.6 -10^{-2} |
| Wood (Wooden Doors, Wood Tables)           | 1.5 0.09              | 3 -10^{-1}   | 6 -10^{-2}  |

3 NUMERICAL RESULTS

Layout of a small personal communication environment is shown in Figure 3. In the figure, dimensions of the two rooms are both 2.5 m (length) × 4.0 m (width) × 2.5 m (height), and the partition with dimensions of 0.2 m (thickness) × 4.0 m (width) × 2.5 m (height) is between the two rooms. Materials of the ceiling, the walls, the partition and the ground are all concrete block. Furthermore, some furniture is in the rooms, including wooden doors, wood tables and iron cabinets. Sizes of the wooden table and the iron cabinet are 1.5 m (length) × 0.5 m (width), and heights of the wooden table and the iron cabinet are 1 m and 2 m respectively. The dielectric constant and conductivity of the different materials can be refered in [40]-[43]. The dielectric constant and conductivity of the different materials are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Dielectric properties of concrete materials measured at 60 GHz

| MATERIALS                                  | Relative Permittivity | Conductivity | Tan loss |
|--------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------|
| Concrete (Ceiling, Walls, Partition, Ground) | 6.4964 0.4284         | 1.43         | 6.6 -10^{-2} |
| Wood (Wooden Doors, Wood Tables)           | 1.5 0.09              | 3 -10^{-1}   | 6 -10^{-2}  |

The transmitter of desired signal located at $x=2$ m, $y=1.5$ m, $z=1.2$ m and the transmitter of interference signal located at $x=3.2$ m, $y=1.5$ m, $z=1.2$ m are placed in Room1 and Room2, respectively. Moreover, 236 receiving antennas are located on the four wooden tables in Room1 with equal distance of 0.1 m. The antennas of both transmitter and receiver belong to vertically polarization and omni-directional dipole antenna for SISO. The elements for the ULA and PDA antennas are dipole antenna. The elements for the ULA are with simple omni-directional radiation pattern and vertically polarized. Fur-
thermore, two different antenna arrays, simple uniform linear array (ULA) and polarization diversity array (PDA), are considered as shown in Figure 4(a) and Figure 4(b) respectively.

While the largest wavelength is \( \lambda_l = \frac{c}{f_l} \approx 0.005 \text{ m} \), where the speed of light, \( c \), is \( 3 \times 10^8 \text{ m/s} \) and the inter-element separation, \( d = 0.0025 \text{ m} \), is chosen to achieve low spatial correlation. Note that strict time stationarity is maintained by ensuring complete physical isolation and absence of any mobile objects.

### 3.1 Single antenna for the transmitter of CCI

In this paper, the average capacity versus SNR\(_t\) for the MIMO-WLAN simple uniform linear array (ULA) and polarization diversity array (PDA) is calculated. Here channel capacity is the average information rate over the ensemble of channel realizations. There are 236 receiving points for four wooden tables in Room1. In truth, the capacity in equation (8) can be calculated by equal transmitting powers for both MIMO-ULA and MIMO-PDA cases. SNR\(_t\) is the ratio of total transmitting power to noise power for 236 receiving points. As a result, the channel realizations for various receiving locations are combined into one ensemble with 236 samples.

In other words, we have calculated the SNR in all receiving positions. Capacity using that SNR is computed in Fig. 5. The average capacities of WLAN systems calculated from 236 receiving locations for both MIMO-ULA and MIMO-PDA with and without single CCI are shown in Figure 5. It is seen that the capacities without single CCI for simple uniform linear array MIMO (MIMO-ULA) are larger than that for polarization diversity array MIMO (MIMO-PDA). This is due to the fact that MIMO-ULA exhibits approximately equal sub-channel power gain. However, the capacity with single CCI for MIMO-ULA is smaller than that for MIMO-PDA when SNR\(_t\) is large enough, and the opposite results can be seen when SNR\(_t\) is small. The reason is that when MIMO-ULA uses simple uniform linear array to break a multipath channel into several individual spatial channels to enhance capacity, these individual spatial channels also import extra interference power at the moment. In contrast to MIMO-ULA, MIMO-PDA uses tri-polar array to enhance capacity, implying that the interference power is reduced when polarizations of the receiving antenna and interference antenna are not the same. It can be also explained by the fact that the ISR in equation (8) for the MIMO-PDA is smaller than that for the MIMO-ULA. In other words, when MIMO-PDA system breaks a multipath channel into several individual spatial channels to enhance capacity, not all individual spatial channels are affected by interference. A parameter is de-
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Fig. 4. Layouts of simple uniform linear array and polarization diversity array

Fig. 5. The average capacities of WLAN systems for both MIMO-ULA and MIMO-PDA with and without single CCI

Fig. 6. $VR_c$ for SISO, MIMO-ULA and MIMO-PDA

Defined to check whether MIMO compared to SISO can be used to reduce degradation of capacity while single CCI exist, and it is expressed as

$$VR_c = \frac{\text{Average capacity with single CCI}}{\text{Average capacity without single CCI}} \times 100\% \quad (10)$$

The more the value of $VR_c$ increases, the more degradation of capacity is reduced. In other words, larger $VR_c$ can yield more channel capacity while CCI exist. $VR_c$ for MIMO-ULA, MIMO-PDA and SISO are shown in Figure 6. It is seen that MIMO-PDA can effectively reduce the effect of CCI but MIMO-ULA can not. The results given in Figure 6 are the same as those given in Figure 5. It was found that MIMO-ULA has higher capacity than MIMO-PDA when CCI does not exist. However, MIMO-ULA is not the best choice when single CCI exist, since it can not reduce the degradation of capacity caused by the CCI. In contrast to MIMO-ULA, MIMO-PDA can be used to reduce the degradation of capacity even though it provides less capacity compared to MIMO-ULA when CCI does not exist.

3.2 Multiple antennas for the transmitter of CCI

The average capacities of WLAN systems calculated from 236 receiving locations with CCI-ULA, CCI-PDA and without CCI for MIMO-ULA and MIMO-PDA are shown in Figure 7 and Figure 8 respectively. Note that the CCI-ULA and the CCI-PDA denote the CCI with simple uniform linear array and polarization diversity array, respectively. In the two figures, the capacity for MIMO-ULA with CCI-PDA is larger than that with CCI-ULA, and the capacity for MIMO-PDA with CCI-ULA is larger than that with CCI-PDA, when $SNR_t$ is large enough. This is due to that the received CCI power becomes large when
antenna arrays of desired system and CCI are the same, and the opposite results can be obtained when antenna arrays of desired system and CCI are different. The same results can also be observed in Figure 9, where the values of $V_R_c$ for both MIMO-ULA and MIMO-PDA with CCI-ULA and CCI-PDA are shown. It is concluded that the immunity against CCI for MIMO-PDA is better than that for MIMO-ULA, and the immunity will increase when antenna arrays of the desired system and CCI are different.

4 CONCLUSION

The analyses of the MIMO capacity of WLAN systems with single CCI at 60GHz band have been investigated. Moreover, simple uniform linear array and polarization diversity array are both considered. By the ray-tracing channel model, the average capacities of the MIMO-WLAN system with and without single CCI are calculated for both single and multiple transmitting antennas of the CCI simple uniform linear array and polarization diversity array.

Numerical results show that MIMO-PDA provides somewhat lower gain in SNR and capacity than MIMO-ULA for the interference free case, but offers a feasible alternative for miniaturized WLAN devices owing to its compact, collocated antenna structure, and it keeps a good immunity against the CCI. Moreover, the immunity against CCI for MIMO-PDA is better than that for MIMO-ULA, and the immunity will increase when antenna arrays of the desired system and CCI are different.
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