Patient Education Regarding Fasting Recommendations to Shorten Fasting Times in Patients Undergoing Esophagogastroduodenoscopy

A Controlled Pilot Study

ABSTRACT
This study evaluated the applicability and efficacy of patient education regarding fasting recommendations to shorten fasting times in patients undergoing esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD). A prospective nonrandomized controlled pilot study was performed. The intervention group (IG) was educated by nurses to eat until 6 hours and drink until 2 hours before EGD. The control group (CG) received usual care. Outcomes were applicability as perceived by patients, adherence to fasting recommendations, gastric visibility, and patients’ comfort. A total of 109 patients were included of whom 42 were IG patients (37%). Patients’ perspectives on fasting, their experienced discomfort, professional support, and circadian rhythm influenced application of fasting recommendations. Adherence to length of fasting from foods improved with 3:14 hours (p < .001) and from liquids with 5:22 hours (p < .001) in the IG compared with the CG. Gastric visibility during EGD was better in the IG than in the CG. The IG patients experienced significant less thirst, hunger, headache, and anxiety. To successfully reduce fasting times, fasting education should include positive, individual instructions, which help patients apply the fasting recommendations within their biorhythm. Positive, concrete instructions by nurses shortened fasting times before EGD, which improved gastric visibility and reduced patient discomfort.
Prolonged fasting times appear to be a common practice and lead to discomfort in patients. Preprocedural fasting is required for esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) and surgery to reduce the risk of aspiration (American Society of Anesthesiologists [ASA], 2011; Brady, Kinn, & Stuart, 2003; Smith et al., 2011). The ASA guidelines state that patients should fast at least 2 hours after ingestion of clear liquids and 6 hours after ingestion of light meals before sedation is administered. Recommendations are sometimes poorly implemented, which result in prolonged fasting (Breuer et al., 2010; Callaghan, Neale, Boger, Sampson, & Patel, 2016; Salman, Asida, & Ali, 2013). Numerous studies report median preoperative fasting times from solid foods ranging from 12 to 16 hours and from clear liquids ranging from 5 to 15 hours (Abdullah Al Maqbali, 2016; Cestonaro, Madalozzo Schieferdecker, Thieme, Neto Cardoso, & Licocki Campos, 2014; de Aguilar-Nascimento, de Almeida Dias, et al., 2014; El-Sharkawy et al., 2021; Furrer, Ganter, Klaghofer, Zollinger, & Hofer, 2006; Ingadottir et al., 2016; Khoyratty, Modi, & Ravichandran, 2010; Lamacraft et al., 2017; Tosun, Yava, & Acikel, 2015; van Noort et al., 2021; Yeniy, Tekgul, Okur, & Koroglu, 2019). Prolonged fasting decreases insulin sensitivity (Faria et al., 2009) and increases discomfort including thirst, hunger, and headache (Furrer et al., 2006; Spahn, Wessels, Grosse-Ravichandran, & Ali, 2013). Numerous studies report median postoperative fasting times from solid foods ranging from 12 to 16 hours and from clear liquids ranging from 5 to 15 hours. Previous studies demonstrated how patient-centered understanding of pre-procedural fasting instructions. Previous studies demonstrated how patient-centered instructions contribute to shortened fasting times before surgery (Hamid, 2014; Kyrattos, Constandinou, Loizides, & Mumptaz, 2014; Power et al., 2012; Yip, Hogan, & Carey, 2021). Patient compliance was improved by saying “drink up to” instead of “allowed to drink.” (Kyrattos et al., 2014). Available brochures and educational materials should be carefully reviewed with the patient and/or family to ensure adequate preparation. (Ausserhofer et al., 2014; Bekker, Coetzee, Klopper, & Ellis, 2015; Qureshi, Purdy, Mohani, & Neumann, 2019). In this study, we studied the applicability and efficacy of patient education on fasting recommendations among patients undergoing EGD to shorten fasting times.

Methods

Study Design

A prospective, nonrandomized, controlled pilot study was performed at the outpatient clinic of a general hospital in The Netherlands. Data were collected between November 2019 and July 2020. The study examined adherence to fasting recommendations. We educated an intervention group (IG) with concrete, evidence-based fasting instructions and used Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology statement for transparent reporting (von Elm et al., 2014).

Study Population

The patients undergoing an EGD procedure were informed about the study by telephone. Further information was provided via a letter or email if interested. The patients were considered eligible when they were at least 18 years of age, on a regular diet, and understood the Dutch language. The patients were excluded when they had undergone bariatric surgery, had diabetes mellitus, had a proven gastroparesis, or had no swallow reflex. The patients provided written and verbal informed consent at the hospital site in a quiet and private room. This study was approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands (CMO number 20/09). This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013).

Sample Size Calculation

We calculated the required number of patients for the IG based on findings in the control group (CG). The aim was to achieve a decrease in mean fasting time of at least 3 hours. Previous educational approaches decreased fasting time with 1.5–8.2 hours (Kyrattos et al., 2014; Power et al., 2012) for liquids and 7.9 hours for solids (Power et al., 2012). Using \( \alpha = .05 \) and \( \beta = .9 \) in the equation for continuous variables (Dell, Holleran, & Ramakrishnan, 2002), 35 patients should be included. To account for possible dropouts, we aimed to include at least 40 patients in the IG.

Fasting Instructions

Intervention Group (IG)

Within the routines to prepare for EGD, we introduced concrete, evidence-based fasting instructions. Education included the why, how, and what of the recommendations. Specifically, “to fast from solids and liquids for
six and two hours” and “to eat and drink as long as permissible.” The focus of the instructions was changed to a positive, stimulating approach (Hamid, 2014; Kyrntatos et al., 2014; Power et al., 2012). Nurses informed patients about the rationale of preprocedural fasting, the consequences of prolonged fasting, and the benefits of eating and drinking as long as permissible. The patients received a timetable that contained the date and time of the EGD and the times that patients could consume their last meal and drink.

Consumption suggestions were given including meals and drinks that fitted within the Dutch eating habits such as two slices of bread with a topping of cheese, a slice of chicken filet, or jam; or low-fat yoghurt with muesli. The drinks included 200 ml of apple juice, lemonade, or tea. Patients received all information in a leaflet and an infographic.

**Control Group (CG)**

Routine care for patients undergoing EGD included information about the planned EGD via telephone and an information leaflet. This leaflet included instructions to fast for 6 hours from solid foods and 2 hours from clear liquids together with information about the procedure. The patients who were scheduled for an EGD in the morning were instructed to fast from midnight. The patients were allowed to have a light breakfast (i.e., sandwich or cracker) and a cup of tea before 9 a.m. if the EGD was scheduled in the afternoon. No instructions on type of meals and drinks were given.

**Data Collection**

Data were collected on patient characteristics, that is, gender, age, American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status Classification (ASA PS Classification) (Sankar, Johnson, Beattie, Tait, & Wijeysundera, 2014), history of gastric problems, indication for EGD, and length of the procedure.

Outcomes of interest were applicability of the instructions as perceived by IG patients (Bowen et al., 2009) and efficacy of the education on adherence to fasting recommendations, gastric visibility, and patients’ comfort.

**Applicability**

Applicability was measured with patients’ satisfaction with preprocedural care and patients’ perceptions toward the applicability of the instructions.

**Satisfaction**

The Consumer Quality Index (CQ-Index) (Delnoij, Rademakers, & Groenewegen, 2010) was adapted for our setting to determine the satisfaction of patients from both groups (see Supplemental Digital Content Appendix, available at: http://links.lww.com/GNJ/A78). The patients were requested to complete the questionnaire within 6 hours after the EGD, either in the outpatient clinic or at home. The patients who did not return the questionnaire received a reminder by telephone.

**Patients’ Perceptions**

Perceptions of IG patients toward the applicability of the instructions were investigated in a structured face-to-face interview 15 minutes before the EGD (see Supplemental Digital Content Appendix, available at: http://links.lww.com/GNJ/A79). Using open-ended questions, we investigated how patients perceived the instructions, and how they experienced it to apply them. Barriers and facilitators for adherence to the fasting recommendations were identified.

**Efficacy**

**Adherence to Fasting Instructions**

Adherence to the fasting instructions was determined by (1) the duration of fasting, (2) adequate fasting behavior, and (3) the type of last meal and drink. Duration of fasting was the time interval between the last meal or drink and start of the EGD. The times of these consumptions were based on the patients’ recall within 15 minutes before the EGD. In this study, adequate fasting behavior was defined as a maximum fasting time of 8 hours for solid foods and 4 hours for clear liquids. This longer period was chosen to be able to correct for possible measurement errors and to take clinical activities into account. In both groups, type of last meal and drink were chosen by the patients themselves. Last meals included sandwiches, yoghurt, fruit, and warm meals; last drinks included lemonade, apple juice, water, tea, and coffee.

**Gastric Visibility**

Gastric visibility was assessed by endoscopic flush volume, gastric residual volume, the Mucosal Visibility Score (MVS), and judge ability of the mucosa. Flush volume was recorded to estimate the gastric residual volume (total suctioned volume subtracted by flush volume).

The MVS measures mucosal visibility in the lower esophagus, upper body greater curve, antrum, and fundus (Basford et al., 2016; Kuo, Sheu, Kao, Wu, & Chuang, 2002). After the procedure, the endoscopist rated the visibility of each area on a four-level scale based on pictures of each area made during introduction of the scope before flushing: (1) No adherent mucus and clear view of the mucosa; (2) a thin coating of mucus that did not obscure view of the mucosa; (3) some mucus/bubbles partially obscuring the view of the mucosa (a small mucosal lesion might be missed without flushing); and (4) heavy mucus/bubbles obscuring view of the mucosa (a small mucosal lesion could easily be missed without flushing). The MVS resulted in a total score (TMVS) between 4 and 16. The
MVS has been used in other studies (Asl & Sivandzadeh, 2011; Basford et al., 2016; Chang et al., 2007; Kuo et al., 2002; Monroy et al., 2018), and reliability of the TMVS was previously determined with Spearman’s ρ (ρ = 0.79–0.83) (Kuo et al., 2002) and the weighted κ (0.901) (Monroy et al., 2018).

Judge ability was defined as the extent to which the endoscopist was able to assess the mucosa. Judge ability of each area was rated by the endoscopist on a Numeric Rating Scale from 0 (indicating worst judge ability) to 10 (indicating perfect judge ability).

Patients’ Comfort
The presence of symptoms of prolonged fasting, including nausea, vomiting, thirst, hunger, anxiety, weakness, and headache, was assessed (Asl & Sivandzadeh, 2011; Gul, Andsoy, & Ozkaya, 2018; Hydes, Yusuf, Pearl, & Trebble, 2011; Itou et al., 2012; Ko, Zhang, Telford, & Enns, 2009; Koepepe et al., 2013). The patients scored these symptoms on a 4-point Likert scale 15 minutes before the procedure. They could also add other complaints or experiences.

Data Analysis
Descriptive analyses were used for patients’ characteristics and outcomes. Numbers and percentages are presented for nominal and ordinal variables. Means and standard deviations or medians with interquartile ranges are presented for continuous variables depending on normality. Differences in outcomes between study groups were evaluated using the Pearson’s χ² test, the Mann–Whitney U test, and the independent t test for nominal, ordinal, and continuous variables, respectively.

The patients’ perceptions toward the instructions were analyzed using the conventional content analysis approach including open coding, categorizing, and synthesizing by defining themes (Hsieh & Shannon, 2005). Open answers were first coded and afterward discussed by two researchers. Then, codes were clustered during discussions to define categories. Themes were consequently defined on the basis of the synthesis of the codes and categories. In each step, consensus was obtained during the discussions.

Study results were analyzed on an intention-to-treat basis. Missing values were not imputed because of the explorative character of the study. A p value of less than .05 was considered significant based on two-sided tests. Statistical analyses were carried out with IBM SPSS, version 25.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY).

Results
Study Sample
Of 130 invited patients, 18 patients (14%) were excluded: no informed consent (n = 7, 5.4%), a history of gastric surgery (n = 5, 3.8%), a colonoscopy (with oral bowel preparation) during the same procedure (n = 4, 3.1%), or not understanding the Dutch language (n = 2, 1.5%). Data of 3 patients (2.3%) were incomplete. Finally, 109 patients (84%) participated in the study, of whom 42 patients (37%) were in the IG (Figure 1). The groups were comparable in terms of age and gender distribution (Table 1). Most of the EGD procedures were performed during morning sessions (n = 91, 84%).

Applicability
Patients’ Satisfaction
Questionnaires on patients’ satisfaction were returned by 45 patients (67%) from the CG and 26 patients (62%) from the IG. The majority of patients were very satisfied with mean scores of at least 8.2. The IG patients were less satisfied with the care to prepare for the EGD than CG patients. On a scale from 0 to 10, they rated this 0.56 points lower (p = .017).

Patients’ Perceptions Toward the Applicability
Perceptions toward the applicability were evaluated among IG patients. The applicability of fasting

---

**Figure 1.** Selection of patients.
Patient Education Regarding Fasting Recommendations to Shorten Fasting Times

TABLE 1. Patients’ Characteristicsa

|                                | Control Group (n = 67) | Intervention Group (n = 42) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Female                         | 42 (63)                | 19 (45)                     |
| Age (years)                    | 59.6 ± 15.3            | 58.7 ± 18.6                 |
| ASA PS Classificationb         |                        |                             |
| I                              | 13 (20)                | 6 (15)                      |
| II                             | 48 (73)                | 32 (78)                     |
| III                            | 5 (7)                  | 3 (7)                       |
| Session                        |                        |                             |
| Morning                        | 59 (87)                | 33 (79)                     |
| Afternoon                      | 9 (13)                 | 9 (21)                      |
| Indication for endoscopy       |                        |                             |
| Dysphagia                      | 23 (34)                | 14 (33)                     |
| Nausea                         | 12 (18)                | 3 (7)                       |
| Reflux or pyrosis              | 13 (19)                | 9 (21)                      |
| Dyspepsia                      | 29 (43)                | 11 (26)                     |
| Follow-up endoscopy            | 9 (13)                 | 3 (7)                       |
| Other                          | 13 (19)                | 12 (29)                     |
| Duration of endoscopy (minutes)| 5.8 ± 2.3              | 5.8 ± 2.3                   |
| Type of last meal              |                        |                             |
| Sandwich                       | 21 (31)                | 17 (41)                     |
| Yoghurt                        | 5 (8)                  | 12 (29)                     |
| Warm meal                      | 28 (42)                | 5 (12)                      |
| Fruit                          | 2 (3)                  | 3 (7)                       |
| Other                          | 11 (16)                | 5 (12)                      |
| Type of last drink             |                        |                             |
| Water                          | 35 (52)                | 23 (55)                     |
| Tea                            | 11 (16)                | 11 (26)                     |
| Apple juice                    | 1 (2)                  | 0 (0)                       |
| Lemonade                       | 3 (5)                  | 2 (5)                       |
| Coffee                         | 10 (15)                | 2 (5)                       |
| Other                          | 7 (10)                 | 4 (10)                      |

Note. ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists Physical Status Classification (ASA PS Classification).

aContinuous data are presented as mean ± SD. Categorical data are presented as n (%).
bData was missing for two patients.

education was influenced by five themes derived from the conventional content analysis: motivation, perceptions, discomfort, circadian rhythm of eating and sleeping, and professional support (Table 2).

The theme motivation included patients’ motivation to be loyal to appointments and the value to contribute to science. The patients appeared to be willing to follow rules and to be loyal to instructions. They were willing to fulfill requirements that healthcare professionals suggested them to do. Some patients were also motivated to apply the instructions because that would contribute to science. For them, this was also a reason to participate in the study. Patients may have felt the importance of applying the instructions.

The theme perceptions included patients’ perceptions toward “fasting” and the recommendation “to keep eating and drinking” until 6 and 2 hours. Some patients perceived fasting as part of the instructions they were already familiar with. Fasting for was not a problem for some patients, as they could eat and drink right after the EGD. Others also believed that a longer fasting period would enhance the chance that the EGD would have good visual results, and they would not vomit afterward. Some patients mentioned that the recommendations were easy to follow. This helped provide reassurance to patients who were anxious related to fasting.

Within the theme discomfort, the patients indicated that symptoms of discomfort helped them adhere to the recommendations, for instance due to thirst. Physical complaints could either hamper or enable patients to keep eating and drinking until bedtime, such as experiencing abdominal discomfort, or always having appetite or being thirsty.

A major theme occurring from the analysis was the circadian rhythm of eating and sleeping. The patients had to fit the recommendations into their individual eating behavior during the day. This could include eating before bedtime, eating during the night, or having a late breakfast. Most patients were willing to put effort in applying the recommendations, for instance, to wake up early to eat or drink, to postpone sleeping time for a late evening snack or meal, or to prepare the meal to be eaten during the night. Some patients mentioned that they ate during the night as a result of awakening due to sleep disturbances. Other patients mentioned that the time of eating or drinking, or the time of fasting optimally fitted in their eat or sleep rhythm, which meant that both actions did not burden them. Few patients planned to eat or drink but forgot it eventually due to other activities.

Professional support was another major theme. The conversation with a nurse about the recommendations increased the urgency to apply them. For some patients, it was helpful that instructions included examples and that it was provided both on paper and by telephone. Patients became aware of the possibility to eat and drink longer. Also, support from relatives and family helped some patients comply to the instructions.

Adherence to Fasting Instructions

Fasting From Solid Foods

Duration of fasting from solid foods was 12:56 hours ± 3:09 hours for CG patients and 9:31 hours ± 3:01 hours for IG patients (p < .001, Table 3). Length of fasting was three times more adequate (i.e., less than 8 hours) in the IG than in the CG (n = 12 [29%] vs.
TABLE 2. Themes, Codes, and Citations of Patients’ Perceptions Toward the Applicability of the Instructions

| Theme | Codes | Citations |
|-------|-------|-----------|
| Motivation | Contribute to science | (110085) "I would like to support you (i.e., nurse from the study)" |
| | Loyal to appointments | (110107) "I did it because of the study, without the study I would not do it" |
| | | (110088) "I like to stick to the rules" |
| | | (110095) "For me, a deal is a deal" |
| Perceptions | Perception toward fasting | (110086) "For me, prolonged fasting is not a big problem, now the night was in between so it was easy" |
| | Perceptions toward the recommendations | (110090) "It is clear, I had to do it before, it is obvious. Fasting belongs to these procedures" |
| | | (110110) "it gave rest to be allowed to eat something. Because I always suffer from thirst due to Sjogren, it was reassuring that I could still have something" |
| | | (110096) "The task was very easy, just follow two rules" |
| Discomfort | Symptoms of discomfort | (110089) "I was hungry, and the advice was to eat some" |
| | | (110113) "Being not allowed to drink would be difficult because the feeling of thirst, but now it was allowed to drink something" |
| | Physical complaints | (110101) "I suffer a lot from burping, Belching, feeling nausea. Then eating during the evening is not pleasant" |
| Circadian rhythm of eating and sleeping | Eating routines | (110085) "I usually eat something like toast or sausage before I go to sleep, now it was yoghurt with muesli as you advised" |
| | Effort to fit it in eating routines | (110096) "I never eat during the evening" |
| | | (110102) "I use to have breakfast late in the morning, so the endoscopy fits optimal" |
| | | (110094) "I never eat during the evening, but the advice convinced me to eat a cracker during the evening" |
| | | (110114) "I was still a sleep at 7.00 AM, so when I woke up it was too late to drink" |
| | Was not awake | (110100) "I went to bed a bit later than normal to eat a bit later than I usually do" |
| | Effort to fit it in sleep routines | (110093) "I could have set an alarm, but I do not want to do that; eating late in the evening is not a problem, though" |
| | Feeling tired/sleepy | (110083) "I intended to eat at midnight, but I was tired, so I ate at 11.00 PM" |
| | | (110115) "I awakened spontaneously, and then I decided to drink something" |
| | | (110107) "I wake up frequently during the night, so now I went out to eat something" |
| | | (110103) "I slept not good; I was awake each hour due to the procedure that was awaiting" |
| Professional support | Professional support | (110103) "I had spoken with a nurse about it" |
| | Advice and instruction | (110104) "information about the rationale of fasting did give me more awareness; otherwise, I would not have eaten during the evening" |
| | Clear instructions | (110098) “the clear information with examples of meals helped me” |
| | Social support | (110113) “The instructions made me more aware and made me eat something before bedtime; the advice were helpful” |
| | | (110094) “husband had prepared a cup of tea” |

$n = 5 \ [8\%], \ p = .003$. Moreover, less patients fasted more than 12 hours in the IG compared with the CG $(n = 7 \ [17\%] \ vs. \ n = 47 \ [63\%], \ p < .001)$ (Figure 2). Last consumptions that IG patients were less frequently warm meals $(n = 5 \ [12\%] \ vs. \ n = 28 \ [42\%], \ p = .001)$ and more frequently the meal suggestions (i.e., sandwiches or yoghurt) compared with CG patients $(n = 29 \ [70\%] \ vs. \ n = 26 \ [38\%], \ p = .001)$.

**Fasting From Clear Liquids**

Duration of fasting from clear liquids was $10:26 \text{ hours} \pm 3:26 \text{ hours}$ for CG patients and $5:03 \text{ hours} \pm 3:46 \text{ hours}$ for IG patients $(p < .001, \ Table 3)$. Length of fasting from clear liquids was 10 times more adequate (i.e., <4 hours) in the IG than in the CG $(n = 26, 62\% \ vs. \ n = 4, 6\%; \ p < .001)$ (Figure 3). There were no differences in type of last drink between the groups (Table 1).
### Table 3. Impact of Different Fasting Instructions on Patients’ Satisfaction, Fasting Times, Gastric Visibility, and Patients’ Comforta

| Patients’ satisfaction | CG (n = 67) | IG (n = 42) | Mean Difference (95%CI) | p |
|------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------|---|
| Care to prepare for endoscopy | 8.7 ± 1.0 | 8.2 ± 1.2 | 0.56 (0.103 to 1.030) | .017 |
| Outpatient clinic | 8.7 ± 0.9 | 8.8 ± 0.9 | 0.05 (−0.481 to 0.378) | .811 |
| Overall | 8.6 ± 0.9 | 8.8 ± 0.9 | 0.06 (−0.468 to 0.356) | .786 |
| Fasting times (hh:mm) | | | | |
| From solid foods | 12:56 ± 3:09 | 9:31 ± 3:01 | 3:14 (2:05 to 4:24) | <.000 |
| Morning session | 13:18 ± 2:08 | 9:48 ± 2:39 | 3:20 (2:19 to 4:20) | <.000 |
| Afternoon session | 10:34 ± 6:30 | 7:43 ± 3:39 | 2:51 (−2:32 to 8:14) | .274 |
| From clear liquids | 10:26 ± 3:26 | 5:03 ± 3:46 | 5:22 (3:57 to 6:46) | <.000 |
| Morning session | 10:35 ± 3:03 | 4:57 ± 3:42 | 5:38 (4:06 to 7:09) | <.000 |
| Afternoon session | 9:29 ± 5:29 | 5:25 ± 4:12 | 244 (−0.50 to 8:57) | .096 |
| Mucosal Visibility Score (MVS)b | | | | |
| Lower esophagus | 2.2 ± 1.0 | 1.9 ± 0.9 | 0.304 (−0.085 to 0.694) | .125 |
| Corpus | 2.6 ± 1.1 | 2.0 ± 0.8 | 0.573 (0.222 to 0.925) | .002 |
| Antrum | 2.0 ± 1.0 | 1.5 ± 0.7 | 0.563 (0.198 to 0.927) | .003 |
| Fundus | 1.9 ± 1.0 | 1.4 ± 0.7 | 0.527 (0.171 to 0.883) | .004 |
| Total MVS | 8.7 ± 3.4 | 6.8 ± 2.4 | 1.92 (0.831 to 3.013) | .001 |
| Judge ability | | | | |
| Lower esophagus | 7.0 ± 2.3 | 8.4 ± 1.3 | −1.3 (−2.2 to 0.6) | <.000 |
| Corpus | 6.4 ± 2.6 | 8.2 ± 1.2 | −1.7 (−2.5 to −0.9) | <.000 |
| Antrum | 7.5 ± 2.1 | 8.8 ± 1.4 | −1.5 (−2.2 to −0.8) | <.000 |
| Fundus | 7.4 ± 2.4 | 9.1 ± 0.9 | −1.2 (−1.9 to 0.5) | .001 |
| Presence of gastric residue | 63 (96) | 35 (85) | 10.1 (−1.9 to 22.1) | .070 |
| Gastric residual volume (ml) | 58 ± 50 | 31 ± 26 | 27.0 (9.1 to 45.0) | .003 |
| Need to flush | 42 (64) | 15 (37) | 27.0 (8.4 to 45.6) | .006 |
| Flushing volume (ml) | 72 ± 44 | 48 ± 23 | 24.7 (0.9 to 48.5) | .042 |
| Symptoms of discomfort | | | | |
| Nausea | 18 (27) | 10 (24) | n/a | .320 |
| Vomiting | 3 (5) | 0 (0) | n/a | .033 |
| Thirst | 43 (64) | 25 (59) | n/a | .001 |
| Hunger | 35 (52) | 19 (45) | n/a | .004 |
| Headache | 19 (28) | 6 (14) | n/a | .004 |
| Weakness | 16 (24) | 7 (17) | n/a | .077 |
| Anxiety | 28 (42) | 13 (31) | n/a | .008 |

Note. CG = control group; CI = confidence interval; IG = intervention group.
aContinuous data are presented as mean ± SD. Categorical data are presented as n (%). Values in boldface are significant.
bMVS scores range from 1 to 4: (1) No adherent mucus and clear view of the mucosa; (2) a thin coating of mucus that did not obscure view of the mucosa; (3) some mucus/bubbles partially obscuring view of the mucosa (a small mucosal lesion might be missed without flushing); and (4) heavy mucus/bubbles obscuring view of the mucosa (a small mucosal lesion could easily be missed without flushing). Total MVS (TMVS) are sum scores ranging from 4 to 16.

**Gastric visibility**

Gastric residual volume was 27.0 ml higher in CG patients than in IG patients (p = .003). Flushing during EGD occurred more often and with 24.7 ml more in CG patients than in IG patients (p = .006; p = .042; Table 3). The TMVS was 1.92 points lower in the IG than in the CG (p = .001) (Table 3). Judge ability of each area was higher in the IG than in the CG (p = .001).

**Patients’ Comfort**

The IG patients reported significantly less (symptoms of) discomfort (Table 3), except for nausea and weakness, which were reported equally in both groups. In general, the patients most frequently reported thirst (CG: n = 43 [64%]; IG: n = 25 [59%], p = .001) and hunger (CG: n = 35 [52%]; IG: n = 19 [45%], p = .004). Vomiting before EGD happened only in three CG patients (5%, p = .033). Sixteen CG patients...
(24%) and seven IG patients (17%) felt weak before the EGD \((p = .077)\) (Table 3).

**Discussion**

Education including the why, how, and what of fasting recommendations led to shorter fasting times in patients undergoing EGD. Applicability of the instructions was influenced by patients’ motivation, their perceptions toward fasting, and the instructions, patients’ discomfort, circadian rhythm of eating and sleeping, and professional support. Adequate fasting routines were applied more often for clear liquids than for solid foods. Shortened fasting times reduced discomfort and maintained gastric visibility with lower gastric residual volume and better mucosal visibility in well-informed patients.

Fasting guidelines state that patients should be encouraged to keep eating and drinking as long as Permissible (American Society of Anesthesiologists Committee, 2011). The current study demonstrated how this endorsement can be carried out in daily practice by outpatients undergoing EGD. Patients’ motivation and perceptions toward both recommendations (i.e., fasting and eating and drinking as long as possible) contributed to the extent to which they were willing to apply these recommendations. Some patients in our study argued that (prolonged) fasting did not burden them, because they were used to having a late breakfast. Others endorsed the importance of fasting, or they did not see why shortened fasting times would benefit them. Patients argued that the ingestion of the last pre-EGD meal and drink should preferably fit within their individual circadian rhythm. Professional support is important to provide clear instructions on the latest time to eat and drink and examples of possible consumptions. The current study demonstrated that educating patients can prevent prolonged fasting.

Patient education belongs to fundamental nursing care enabling patients to manage their health and to make treatment decisions themselves (Ausserhofer et al., 2014; Kitson, 2018; Marcus, 2014). However, it appears to be in the top three nursing activities that remains undone (Ausserhofer et al., 2014; Bekker et al.,

**FIGURE 2.** Adherence to fasting recommendations for solid foods. Number of patients per study group who fasted for maximum 6 hours, 6–8 hours, 8–12 hours, or more than 12 hours from solid foods.

**FIGURE 3.** Adherence to fasting recommendations for clear liquids. Number of patients per study group who fasted for maximum 2 hours, 2–4 hours, 4–6 hours, or more than 6 hours from clear liquids foods.
Our study provides knowledge on how patient-related barriers can be addressed and how patients could be instructed to acquire optimal fasting behavior. Other factors complementary to patient-related factors should be addressed as well (Cochrane et al., 2007), including organizational and healthcare staff–related factors (Carey & Hogan, 2021). Organizational barriers that affect prolonged fasting are inflexible procedure programs, planning of procedures, and organizational culture like sticking to old habits. Healthcare staff–related barriers are a lack of guideline knowhow (Abdullah Al Maqbali, 2016; Breuer et al., 2010) and lack of awareness about the impact of prolonged fasting on patients’ comfort (Carey & Hogan, 2021; Carey et al., 2015). Especially, nurses have an important role in the communication of fasting instructions to patients, because they are closest to the patient (Carey et al., 2015). Moreover, keeping patients informed, comfortable, well nourished, and hydrated belongs to the fundamentals of nursing care (Dubois et al., 2017; Kitson, Conroy, Wengstrom, Profetto-McGrath, & Robertson-Malt, 2010; Kitson, 2018).

Strengths and Limitations
This study lacks randomization of patients. Randomization would have supported the effectiveness interpretation of the instructions. However, our study demonstrated efficacy meaning whether education can change fasting behavior. This feasibility approach mainly addresses how something can work, not whether it is effective. Effectiveness should be addressed in future randomized studies to determine how fasting education affects other relevant outcomes. Our patients consumed different products that might have influenced gastric visibility. Moreover, we did not correct for prokinetic medication use among the patients. The stomach empties faster from liquids than from solids (Camps, Mars, de Graaf, & Smeets, 2017). Moreover, fatty and fried foods have a longer gastric emptying time than light meals (American Society of Anesthesiologists Committee, 2011). It might have been better to standardize the consumption of food products and use of prokinetics. However, our purpose was to investigate how personal fasting instructions can be applied in real life while maintaining endoscopic quality.

Our study strengthened the growing awareness that reducing fasting times requires modern, multifaceted approaches (Carey & Hogan, 2021; van Noort et al., 2021; Yip et al., 2021). We explored how fasting recommendations can be carried out by patients in daily practice after being educated by nurses with verbal and written instructions. In our study, we intended to mediate as natural as possible enabling patients to adhere to guidelines in a suitable manner while continuing their preferences. This realistic approach strengthens the value of our study. Another strength of our study is that we evaluated the impact of shortened fasting times on gastric visibility. This outcome is relevant for endoscopic purposes. Finally, we established an adequate sample size providing sufficient power for our purposes.

Conclusion
Positive, concrete instructions on fasting and to eat and drink as long as permissible are applicable for patients undergoing EGD. Instructed patients had shorter fasting times while gastric visibility was maintained, and their physical comfort was better. Instructed patients ate and drank as long as possible by fitting it in their daily rhythm of eating and sleeping. The encouragement to keep drinking was easier to apply for most patients than to keep eating. Participation of patients is a precondition to prevent prolonged fasting and to achieve healthy fasting behavior.
Practical Implications
Patients should be involved in reducing length of fasting. Positive, concrete instructions on how patients must apply fasting before EGD will lead to more optimal fasting behavior, maintain gastric visibility and lead to improved patient comfort. Future research should validate the identified factors that influence adherence to fasting recommendations and address organizational and healthcare staff barriers to reduce prolonged fasting. Research should provide further information on the type of nutritional products that can be consumed by patients before preoperative fasting.
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