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Background/Aims
Gastroparesis is identified as a subject that is understudied in Asia. The scientific committee of the Asian Neurogastroenterology and Motility Association performed a Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices survey on gastroparesis among doctors in Asia.

Methods
The questionnaire was created and developed through a literature review of current gastroparesis works of literature by the scientific committee of Asian Neurogastroenterology and Motility Association.

Results
A total of 490 doctors from across Asia (including Bangladesh, China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and Vietnam) participated in the survey. Gastroparesis is a significant gastrointestinal condition. However, a substantial proportion of respondents was unable to give the correct definition and accurate diagnostic test. The main reason for lack of interest in diagnosing gastroparesis was “the lack of reliable diagnostic tests” (46.8%) or “a lack of effective treatment” (41.5%). Only 41.7% of respondents had access to gastric emptying scintigraphy. Most doctors had never diagnosed gastroparesis at all (25.2%) or diagnosed fewer than 5 patients a year (52.1%).

Conclusions
Gastroparesis can be challenging to diagnose due to the lack of instrument, standardized method, and paucity of research data on normative value, risk factors, and treatment studies in Asian patients. Future strategies should concentrate on how to disseminate the latest knowledge of gastroparesis in Asia. In particular, there is an urgent need to estimate the magnitude of the problems in high risk and idiopathic patients as well as a standardized diagnostic procedure in Asia.
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Introduction

Gastroparesis is a condition in which the stomach cannot empty food in a normal timely fashion. It is characterized by sluggish emptying of solid food or liquids from the stomach. Gastroparesis is characterized by a combination of cardinal symptoms (nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, early satiety, fullness, and bloating) and no evidence of mechanical obstruction during gastroscopy, and a delayed 4-hour solid-phase gastric-emptying scan.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^3\) The most common causes of gastroparesis are neuropathic disorders such as diabetes mellitus, idiopathic, post-vagotomy, and scleroderma among myopathic disorders.\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^4\)

There is a common symptom overlap between gastroparesis and functional dyspepsia (FD). Some of the symptoms of gastroparesis, such as epigastric pain or discomfort, bloating, and early satiety, are also common symptoms in FD.\(^5\) Quartero et al\(^6\) performed a meta-analysis of disturbed solid-phase gastric emptying in FD and found that almost 40% of FD patients had a significant delay of gastric emptying. Clinical history alone or the use of the Gastroparesis Cardinal Symptom Index (GCSI) score was also unable to distinguish adult FD from gastroparesis.\(^7\) FD may be associated with accelerated or delayed gastric emptying, impaired gastric accommodation, and heightened sensitivity in the stomach. Most patients with FD often have normal gastric emptying.

However, amongst FD patients with delayed gastric emptying, the degree of delay is usually mild (10-20% of material remaining at 4 hours).\(^8\) The American College of Gastroenterology and American Gastroenterological Association guidelines for dyspepsia advised that a gastric emptying scintigraphy (GES) is not indicated in the routine assessment of FD patients, but can be considered for resistant FD patients with predominant nausea and vomiting symptoms.\(^9\) The Asian consensus report on FD similarly agreed that disturbed gastroduodenal motility is one of the pathophysiologic mechanisms in FD, but many studies have failed to show a correlation between delayed gastric emptying and dyspeptic symptoms.\(^10\) Asano et al\(^11\) showed that delayed emptying was present in 10.6% of FD patients in a landmark study, but there was no association between gastric motility abnormalities and dyspeptic symptoms. Since the publication of the dyspepsia treatment guidelines, newer studies have advanced our understanding of gastroparesis, from symptomatology to potential new treatments.\(^12\) Wuestenberghs et al\(^13\) showed that there was no difference between a dyspeptic patient with or without delayed gastric emptying. However, there was an association between severely delayed gastric emptying time (half emptying time above 200 minutes) with dyspeptic symptoms (post-prandial fullness, abdominal pain, bloating, and early satiety) and a lower quality of life.\(^13\)

In contrast to the volume of publications in the West, there seems to be a relative lack of interest in gastroparesis research in Asia. We postulated that the lack of knowledge, confusion, and inconsistencies both in definition and investigation of gastroparesis may have led to the unwillingness of clinicians to order GES, consequently under-diagnosing gastroparesis among Asian patients. To understand the extent of this issue, we aim to perform a Knowledge, Attitude, and Practices (KAP) survey to assess the knowledge of gastroparesis among doctors in Asia, to grasp the attitude of doctors regarding suspected gastroparesis, and to identify gaps in practice among doctors. Apart from differences in diagnostic methods, this study also aims to identify discrepancies in gastroparesis management among Asian physicians.
Materials and Methods

Study Design

An invitation to participate in the survey was sent to representative members of the Asian Neurogastroenterology and Motility Association (ANMA). All participants who agreed to participate in the study could access the questionnaire through the MySurvey application. The KAP theory-based questionnaire was created and developed through a thorough literature review of current gastroparesis works of literature by 3 gastrointestinal (GI) motility experts with experience over 15 years were selected from ANMA research committee. The questionnaire comprised 3 constructs of the KAP regarding the definition, investigation, and management of gastroparesis. For each item, the response was yes/no or unknown/uncertain. The KAP questions regarding gastroparesis are shown in Table 1.

A paper-based questionnaire was also prepared for Japanese participants. The questions were translated into Japanese by one of the original planners of the questionnaire and back-translated to English for accuracy. The questions in the questionnaire are provided in Table 1. The final questionnaire was reviewed and approved by the scientific committee of ANMA. The survey was conducted from March to July 2019 (local and international ethics approval: the National Healthcare Group DSRB reference 2019/00046 and ethics review board of Hyogo College of Medicine [No. 3120]).

Statistical Methods

All categorical data were expressed as proportions and were compared using the chi-square test. To determine the factors associated with order of GES, we estimated the odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI). An unconditional logistic regression by multivariate analysis was performed to test the influence of several factors in association with order of GES. All reported \( P \)-values were two-sided and those less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. SPSS version 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA), was used for statistical analyses.

Results

Study Population

The ANMA gastroparesis survey included 490 doctors (gastroenterologist, internist, and general surgeons) from across Asia (including Bangladesh, China, Hong Kong, Indonesia, Japan, Malaysia, Myanmar, the Philippines, Singapore, South Korea, Taiwan, Thailand, and Vietnam). The characteristics of the participants by country are shown in Table 2. Most participants were gastroenterologists (81.2%) and experienced doctors more than 10 years after graduation from medical school (70.6%). The male to female ratio was 3:1.

Knowledge for Gastroparesis in Asia

Variations existed on the definition of gastroparesis among

---

Table 1. The Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Questions of Gastroparesis

| Knowledge                                                                 |                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------|
| What is your definition of gastroparesis?                                |                                                                 |
| How common is gastroparesis after 10 years of diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus in your practice? |                                                                 |
| What is the gold standard for the diagnosis of gastroparesis?            |                                                                 |
| Which of the following drugs do you use for treating gastroparesis in your practice? |                                                                 |
| What is the proportion of functional dyspepsia patients who may suffer from delayed gastric emptying in your practice? |                                                                 |
| In your institute delayed gastric retention was determined to be?         |                                                                 |
| Attitude                                                                 |                                                                 |
| Do you think it is important to diagnose gastroparesis?                  |                                                                 |
| What do you think is the reason for the lack of interest in gastroparesis diagnosis? |                                                                 |
| Practice                                                                 |                                                                 |
| For a patient with suspected gastroparesis, I will normally (Please choose one answer below) |                                                                 |
| How many gastropareses did you diagnose this year?                       |                                                                 |
| Is gastric emptying scan available in your institution?                  |                                                                 |
| How many gastric emptying scans did you order this year?                 |                                                                 |
| What is your preferred diagnostic test for gastric emptying?             |                                                                 |
| What kind of time frame is your hospital doing gastric emptying scan?     |                                                                 |
| What kind of test meal for gastric emptying scan is your hospital using?  |                                                                 |
Asian doctors. The “presence of gastroparesis symptoms for more than 3 months and food retention on gastroscopy or upper GI study” was the most common definition (38.9%), and this was followed by an “abnormal delayed gastric scintigraphy” (28.2%) and the “presence of gastroparesis symptoms for more than 3 months and a physician’s diagnosis of gastroparesis” (24.2%) (Fig. 1A). Five

Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Participants in the Asian Neurogastroenterology and Motility Association Gastroparesis Survey

| Country      | n   | Sex (%) | Occupation (%) | Education (%) | Available GES (%) |
|--------------|-----|---------|----------------|---------------|------------------|
|              |     | Male    | Female         | GE | GS | GM | Other | ≤ 5 yr | 6-10 yr | 11-20 yr | > 20 yr |
| Bangladesh   | 26  | 96.2    | 3.8            | 57.7 | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 61.5     | 38.5    | 0.0    |
| China        | 27  | 48.1    | 51.9           | 92.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0   | 4.5    | 11.1    | 33.3     | 51.9    | 59.3    |
| Hong Kong    | 2   | 50.0    | 50.0           | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 100.0    | 0.0     | 100.0   |
| Indonesia    | 35  | 80.0    | 20.0           | 51.4 | 0.0 | 25.7 | 22.9  | 11.4   | 42.9    | 25.7     | 8.6     | 0.0     |
| Japan        | 133 | 91.0    | 9.0            | 86.5 | 6.0 | 3.0 | 4.5   | 0.8    | 11.3    | 42.1     | 45.9    | 0.0     |
| Malaysia     | 29  | 55.2    | 44.8           | 20.7 | 0.0 | 79.3 | 0.0   | 0.0    | 65.5    | 34.5     | 0.0     | 37.9    |
| Myanmar      | 2   | 50.0    | 50.0           | 50.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 0.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 100.0    | 0.0     | 0.0     |
| The Philippines | 2 | 100.0  | 0.0            | 0.0  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0   | 0.0    | 0.0     | 50.0     | 50.0    | 0.0     |
| Singapore    | 35  | 65.7    | 34.3           | 94.3 | 0.0 | 5.7 | 0.0   | 40.0   | 37.1    | 17.1     | 5.7     | 97.1    |
| South Korea  | 54  | 85.2    | 14.8           | 100.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0   | 0.0    | 1.9     | 33.3     | 64.8    | 92.6    |
| Taiwan       | 55  | 92.7    | 7.3            | 92.7 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 1.8   | 5.5    | 32.7    | 29.1     | 32.7    | 58.2    |
| Thailand     | 31  | 51.6    | 48.4           | 93.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 0.0   | 6.5    | 35.5    | 48.4     | 9.7     | 54.8    |
| Vietnam      | 59  | 40.7    | 59.3           | 64.4 | 10.2 | 23.7 | 1.7   | 20.3   | 33.9    | 32.2     | 13.6    | 28.8    |

GE, gastroenterologist; GS, general surgeon; GM, general medicine; Others, medicine subspecialty; GES, gastric emptying scintigraphy.
ty-five percent of Asian doctors in this survey thought that the gold standard of diagnosis for gastroparesis is GES (Fig. 1B). However, almost a quarter (24.4%) of the respondents were not sure of the gold-standard test, suggesting that the diagnosis of gastroparesis is not familiar to Asian doctors.

**Real-world Experience for Gastroparesis in Asia**

In Asian clinical practice, the prevalence of gastroparesis after 10 years of diagnosis of type 2 diabetes mellitus was relatively low, according to this survey. Over two-thirds of doctors answered that they experienced only 0.1% of gastroparesis in patients with diabetes mellitus (Fig. 1C). In contrast, patients with FD more commonly suffer from delayed gastric emptying compared with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Almost half of the respondents suspect that 25 to 45 percent of their FD patients may be suffering from gastroparesis (Fig. 1D). When Asian doctors manage patients with suspected gastroparesis, they were more likely to start drug treatment than to order relevant investigation (58.3% vs 38.7%). Various prokinetic drugs, including metoclopramide (16.1%), domperidone (14.3%), erythromycin (3.5%), 5-hydroxytryptamine receptor 4 agonist (13.3%), were being used for the treatment of gastroparesis. Most doctors used all of these drugs alternatively (Fig. 2).

**The Attitude of Asian Doctors Towards Gastroparesis**

Despite experiencing a low number of patients in Asia, the majority of doctors thought that the diagnosis of gastroparesis was very important (48.8%) or quite important (32.0%). The main reason why Asian doctors were not interested in diagnosing gastroparesis was “the lack of reliable diagnostic tests” (46.8%) or “a lack of effective treatment” (41.5%). When it was analyzed according to GES availability, the importance of the diagnosis of gastroparesis was not significantly different ($P = 0.697$) (Fig. 3A). However, the reason for low interest in diagnosing gastroparesis was significantly different between doctors who available GES and doctors who are not available GES ($P < 0.01$) (Fig. 3B). Amongst doctors with access to GES, their main reason for low interest in diagnosing gastropare-
resis was “a lack of effective treatment.” In contrast, most doctors who had no access to GES chose “a lack of reliable diagnostic tests” as their reason for low interest in diagnosing gastroparesis.

**Management of Gastroparesis**

Most doctors had never diagnosed gastroparesis at all (25.2%) or diagnosed fewer than 5 patients a year (52.1%). Two-thirds of doctors preferred a GES to diagnose gastroparesis. However, only 41.7% of respondents had access to a GES in their institute. Among all of the participants, 65.1% did not order GES in the last year. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that the most critical factor for ordering a GES was the availability of a GES in their institute. Country location and specialty type were additional factors in ordering a GES (Table 3).

There were many differences in the performance of GES among institutes and countries in terms of diagnostic criteria for delayed gastric retention (GE), time frame of GES, and test meal. About a quarter of the respondents used 10.0% retention at 4 hours for the determination of delayed GE, but more institutions used a higher retention rate (15-20%) at 4 hours in Asia (Fig. 4A). Two-thirds of respondents did not know the time frame of GES in their institute. The 4-hour method is the most common time frame, but many institutes used a shorter 2-hour method which is less sensitive for the detection of delayed GE (Fig. 4B). The noticeable difference in the protocol was the test meal. Although two-thirds of the respondents did not know what kind of test meal was used for GES in their institutions, a typical western test meal (2 slices of bread, strawberry jam, scrambled egg, and water) was most commonly used. Interestingly, a rice-based test meal (curry rice, rice with microwaved egg, and rice roll in laver) was also widely used in Asia (Fig. 4C).

**Discussion**

Gastroparesis is a major GI condition. However, a substantial proportion of respondents in this Asian multi-national survey was unable to give the correct definition and correct diagnostic test. The

![Table 3. Multivariate Analysis for Factors Affecting Ordering Gastric Emptying Scintigraphy](#)

| Variable                        | Univariate OR (95% CI) | P-value | Multivariate OR (95% CI) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|
| Country (other/Japan)           | 9.01 (4.70-17.30)      | < 0.001 | 5.88 (2.59-13.30)        | < 0.001 |
| Occupation (gastroenterologist/other) | 3.03 (1.70-5.39) | < 0.01 | 4.18 (1.95-8.98)        | < 0.001 |
| Years (> 10/≤ 10 yr)            | 1.15 (0.76-1.74)      | 0.30    |                          |         |
| Gender (male/female)            | 0.85 (0.56-1.30)      | 0.45    |                          |         |
| Importance (+/-)                | 1.33 (0.82-2.17)      | 0.25    |                          |         |
| Availability (+/-)              | 44.00 (24.80-78.10)   | < 0.01  | 36.50 (20.10-66.50)      | < 0.001 |

*Question of “Do you think it is important to diagnose gastroparesis.”

GES, gastric emptying scintigraphy.

![Figure 4. Real-world management of gastroparesis in Asia (A) “In your institute, delayed GE (gastric retention) was determined to be,” (B) “What kind of time frame is your hospital doing gastric emptying scan?” and (C) “What kind of test meal for gastric emptying scan is your hospital using?” *Noodles and meat, Gimbap (Korean rice rolled in dried laver) with or without egg, liquid food, microwaved egg without rice, and oatmeal.](#)
level of poor recognition among the respondents is alarming. Consequently, more than a quarter of respondents had never diagnosed any gastroparesis in the last year. These findings confirmed our fear that Asian patients with gastroparesis may be under-diagnosed. However, another reason for the low rate of diagnosis may be due to a lack of GES facility, variation of study methods and results interpretation. The majority (80.8%) of responders perceived that diagnosing gastroparesis was quite or very important. The main reason for not ordering GES may due to a lack of reliable tests in the respondent's institution. This lack of reliable test may additionally explain why 58.3% of respondents would start drug treatment first instead of investigation for suspected patients with gastroparesis. Prokinetics are the first choice; in this case, they can be secondary to the varieties of prokinetics and availability as over-the-counter drugs in Asia. Furthermore, GES may not be covered by healthcare insurance systems in certain Asian countries like Japan.

However, there is still disagreement regarding the standard of the gastric emptying test. It has been more than 10 years since the publication of the Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging guidelines on solid meal gastric emptying scan. Recently, a follow-up study in the United States (US) showed that 69.3% of protocols were not compliant with the content or preparation of the consensus meal. In Asia, literature review showed that there were 3 different types of test meals reported. In Thailand, Vivasvid et al used 100 g steamed rice, a microwaved labelled egg, and 100 mL water. The total calorie of the meal was 267 kcal. The sauce, which had soy sauce and salt as the main ingredients, was provided to volunteers to improve the meal taste. In Taiwan, Tseng et al used instant oatmeal mixed with 1 mCi of 99mTc diethylenetriaminepentacetic acid. In India, Anudeep et al also performed a study on gastroparesis, the test meal comprised of 3 idlis prepared from 60 g of MTR premixed rice idli formula (MTR Foods, Bengaluru, India) and 60 mL water. The total caloric content of the meal was 215 kcal and the fat content was 3% by weight. The participant had to consume the meal within 10 minutes with 10 g of coconut chutney. Inconsistent or different GES protocols impaired standardized reporting, decreased agreement, and impaired research sharing by clinicians.

Our survey showed that our respondents may not recognize patients with a high risk of gastroparesis. However, this may not be unique to Asian countries. In an online survey of gastroparesis patients in the US, Yu et al reported that most respondents were diagnosed 5 years after the symptoms began, and 50% were either somewhat dissatisfied or dissatisfied with their medical care. A recent population-based study in the United States using Explorys (IBM, Cleveland, USA), an electronic medical records database with International Classification of Diseases-9 codes indexed diagnoses, estimated the proportion of study population with gastroparesis. The results showed that out of a total of 43,827,910 medical records, 69,950 (0.2%) had a diagnosis of gastroparesis recorded. The authors also observed concurrent gastroparesis in 4.6% medical records with type 1 diabetes mellitus, 1.3% medical records with type 2 diabetes mellitus, and 0.05% medical records with no history of any potential etiologies of gastroparesis. The proportion of type 2 diabetes mellitus with gastroparesis symptoms who had delayed gastric emptying ranged from 13.8% to 29.0% in Asian studies. 8-23% of Asian people suffer from FD. The estimated proportion of Asian FD patients with delayed gastric emptying was 13.3%.

Future of Gastroparesis in Asia

Both diabetes mellitus and chronic upper GI symptoms are prevalent in Asia. Population-based studies on the prevalence, clinical features, severity, and treatment response of gastroparesis in Asia are lacking. The lack of effective therapy in the past may have influenced a negative attitude towards gastroparesis. This ANMA gastroparesis survey seems to confirm this perception amongst Asian gastroenterologists and general physicians. However, the current situation with gastroparesis may eventually follow that of achalasia, another upper GI dysmotility disease. The advent of better diagnostic modalities such as high-resolution manometry and effective minimally invasive endoscopic therapy, peroral endoscopic myotomy (POEM), has led to an increased awareness of achalasia in Asia, and globally, in the last few years. Similarly, with the growing reports of promising pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapy, together with concerted efforts by Asian neurogastroenterology experts to standardize diagnostic methods, it is hopeful that increased awareness to diagnose and treat gastroparesis in Asia will happen in the not too distant future.

Gharibans et al performed non-invasive gastric electrical mapping in patients with FD and gastroparesis. Cutaneous high-resolution electromyography is a non-invasive method that can detect spatial features of the gastric slow wave. Studies showed that 44% of patients with foregut symptoms had spatial slow-wave abnormalities. Subjects with a higher percentage of slow waves with aberrant propagation direction also had a more severe abdominal pain and higher total GCSI score. In a meta-analysis by Vijayvargiya et al, they found prokinetic agents to significantly accelerate GE (when optimal test methods were used) and to produce significant improvements in upper GI symptoms. Other newer agents include
antiemetics (aprepitant), and fundic relaxants (acotiamide and buspiropine). Further validation is required for the clinical use. Gastric POEM first described by Khashab et al in 2013 is fast becoming a treatment option for refractory gastroparesis especially for symptoms of nausea and vomiting. Mekaroonkamol et al compared refractory gastroparesis patients who underwent gastric POEM and those without, and they found the procedure significantly improved symptoms and quality of life, as well as reduced health care use related to gastroparesis.

Conclusion

In conclusion, gastroparesis can be challenging to diagnose due to the lack of instrument, standardized method, and paucity of research data on normative value, risk factors, and treatment studies in Asian patients. Our survey confirmed our suspicion but also showed areas of improvement needed to better manage gastroparesis and what needs to be done to improve the care of gastroparesis patients in Asia. Future strategies should concentrate on how to disseminate the latest knowledge of gastroparesis in Asia. In particular, there is an urgent need to estimate the magnitude of the problems in high risk and idiopathic patients as well as a standardized diagnostic procedure in Asia.
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