ABSTRACT

Objectives: This study was to evaluate the antibacterial effect of different instrumentation and irrigation techniques using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) after root canal inoculation with Enterococcus faecalis (E. faecalis).

Materials and Methods: Mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals of extracted mandibular molars were apically enlarged up to a size 25 hand K-file, then autoclaved and inoculated with E. faecalis. The samples were randomly divided into 4 main groups according to the system of instrumentation and irrigation: an XP-endo Shaper (XPS) combined with conventional irrigation (XPS/C) or an XP-endo Finisher (XPF) (XPS/XPF), and iRaCe combined with conventional irrigation (iRaCe/C) or combined with an XPF (iRaCe/XPF). A middle-third sample was taken from each group, and then the bacterial reduction was evaluated using CLSM at a depth of 50 µm inside the dentinal tubules. The ratio of red fluorescence (dead cells) to green-and-red fluorescence (live and dead cells) represented the percentage of bacterial reduction. The data were then statistically analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test for comparisons across the groups and the Dunn test was used for pairwise comparisons.

Results: The instrumentation and irrigation techniques had a significant effect on bacterial reduction ($p < 0.05$). The iRaCe/XPF group showed the strongest effect, followed by the XPS/XPF and XPS/C group, while the iRaCe/C group had the weakest effect.

Conclusions: Combining iRaCe with XPF improved its bacterial reduction effect, while combining XPS with XPF did not yield a significant improvement in its ability to reduce bacteria at a depth of 50 µm in the dentinal tubules.
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INTRODUCTION

The success of endodontic treatment depends on elimination of the inflamed pulp tissue from the pulp space, prevention of the microbial challenge to the surrounding periodontal structures, and provision of a suitable environment for periapical healing [1,2]. The
eradication of bacteria from the root canal is difficult to achieve due to the anatomical complexities of root canal systems [3], which favor the presence of bacteria and the retention of residual infected tissues [4,5] and hinder root canal disinfection.

*Enterococcus faecalis* (*E. faecalis*) was observed in 38% of failed root canal treatments [6], while Pinheiro *et al.* [7] reported its presence in 52.94% of infected canals. This microorganism can invade the dentinal tubules, form biofilms, and survive in an environment with scarce nutrients, hindering its complete elimination by chemo-mechanical instrumentation and intracanal medication [6,8].

Several attempts have been made to develop rotary nickel-titanium (NiTi) instruments with advanced designs, different cross-sections, and variable tapers to provide more efficient systems for reaching the root canal dentinal walls [9,10]. Recently, a new approach for root canal shaping and cleaning has emerged, using a shape-memory NiTi instrument to improve root canal shaping and disinfection.

The XP-endo Shaper (XPS, FKG Dentaire, La Chaux-de-Fonds, Switzerland), a snake-shaped rotary NiTi file manufactured by NiTi MaxWire (Martensite-Austenite Electropolish-FleX), has been introduced. The XPS has an initial 0.01 taper in its martensite phase, but upon exposure to body temperature, the taper changes to 0.04 according to the molecular memory of the austenite phase, achieving final canal preparation with a size of 30 and a taper of 0.04 when used alone [11]. It has been claimed that XPS applies minimal stress on the dentin walls, thereby minimizing the risk of micro-crack formation in dentin and enabling it to easily adapt to canal irregularities with excellent resistance to cyclic fatigue [11-15].

Moreover, the XP-endo Finisher (XPF, FKG Dentaire), a new non-tapered NiTi instrument made of MaxWire, which gives the instrument its high flexibility, helps to remove packed debris from the complex anatomy of the root canal system. It can be used as an adjunctive approach to improve the effectiveness of irrigation in endodontics through agitation of the irrigant for root canal disinfection [13,16-18].

Several techniques have been introduced to evaluate the root canal flora. Histological sections show the distribution of bacteria in the infected dentin, but do not give any information about their viability; in addition, the field of analysis that is possible using scanning electron microscopy is very small due to its high magnification [19,20]. Recently, confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) has been used to evaluate bacterial viability, as the use of fluorescence dye enables live and dead bacteria to be distinguished inside the dentinal tubules according to cytoplasmic membrane permeability [21,22].

CLSM has the ability to penetrate deep into the specimen and capture a series of image scans, meaning that it is a more accurate and convenient approach for evaluating bacterial viability than the bacterial culturing method [23]. Therefore, the aim of this study was to quantitatively assess the effect of XPS combined with conventional irrigation (XPS/C) or XPF (XPS/XPF) on bacterial reduction in the dentinal tubules of the root canal after inoculation with *E. faecalis* by using CLSM. Additionally, the reduction of *E. faecalis* following root canal preparation using a conventional austenitic NiTi instrument, iRaCe (reamer with alternating cutting edges; FKG Dentaire), with conventional irrigation (iRaCe/C) or combined with an XPF (iRaCe/XPF) was evaluated. The null hypothesis was that there would be a no statistically significant difference in the removal of *E. faecalis* between the use of an XPS/C or with an XPF and the use of iRaCe/C or with an XPF.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample size calculation
The sample size calculation was based on the results of Azim et al. [24], utilizing the percentage reduction of bacteria as the primary outcome. The effect size for the differences among the 4 groups was found to be (0.66), using an alpha (α) level of (5%) and a beta (β) level of (20%) (i.e., a power of 80%); the minimum estimated sample size was 8 samples per group for a total of 32 samples. The sample size calculation was performed using G*Power version 3.1.9.2 (Heinrich-Heine-University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany).

Specimen preparation
The present study was performed following the guidelines of the institutional review board of the Faculty of Dentistry, Cairo University. Intact recently-extracted mandibular molars due to prosthodontic or periodontal disease with fully-formed apices were collected from the clinic of the Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery.

All the teeth were examined to exclude those with previous root canal treatment, root caries, fractures, and root resorption. Preoperative radiographs in the buccolingual and mesiodistal directions were taken to ensure that no calcification was present. Forty teeth with mild root curvature (15°–20°) in both the mesiodistal and buccolingual directions [25] and a tooth length of 20 ± 1 mm was chosen and included in the study. The teeth were then immersed in 5.25% NaOCl for 30 minutes to remove the soft tissue.

All the crowns were flattened with a diamond disc at a level of 18 mm to obtain a reproducible flat reference point. The access cavity was prepared with a diamond round bur followed by an Endo-Z bur (Endo-Z bur, Dentsply, Tulsa Dental, Dentsply Maillefer, Tulsa, OK, USA), and canal patency was then checked by placing a size 15 K-file (Mani, Tochigi, Japan) into each canal until it was visible at the apical foramen. Canals that did not allow the placement of a size 15 K-file into the apex or those wider than a size 20 K-file at the apex were excluded.

The teeth were vertically split into mesial and distal roots using a water-cooled low-speed diamond disc (Eastwind Diamond Abrasives, Windsor, Canada). The mesiobuccal and mesiolingual canals were apically enlarged up to a size 25 hand K-file, and then each specimen was autoclaved in a single-glass tube containing phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) at 121°C for 20 minutes.

Root canal inoculation with *E. faecalis*
*E. faecalis* was grown aerobically from frozen stock cultures (ATCC 29212) in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (BHI broth, OXOID, Basingstoke, Hants, England) at 37°C. A standard suspension (1 × 10⁸ cells/mL) was prepared from cultures grown for 18 to 20 hours. Cells were harvested by centrifugation and resuspended in fresh BHI broth. An *E. faecalis* suspension was placed in each root to the orifice level, using a sterile 1-mL insulin syringe with a 30-gauge needle inside a laminar flow hood (Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc, Waltham, MA, USA). Each sample was then placed into a 15-mL sterile Falcon tube containing 10 mL of BHI broth and incubated at 37°C for 21 days in 100% humidity to allow colonization of the bacteria on the canal wall and into the dentinal tubules. The growth medium was replenished every 2 days to ensure the culture viability [26], and after the final replenishment of the medium, a sample was streaked onto BHI agar and incubated for 18 hours to confirm the purity of the culture.
**Root canal disinfection**

The root canals were disinfected using the 4 different root canal preparation/irrigation methods described below (n = 10).

**Group (XPS/C):** An XPS was placed in an X Smart Plus Motor (Dentsply Maillefer, Ballaigues, Switzerland), and rotated at a speed of 800 rpm and a torque of 1 Ncm with a gentle and slow in-and-out motion until reaching the full working length. During instrumentation, the root canals were irrigated with 5 mL of 2.6% NaOCl using a 30-gauge side-vented needle (NaviTip, Ultradent South Jordan, UT, USA) that was placed within 2 mm of the working length. At the end of the preparation, 3 mL of 17% ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) was used for 1 minute to remove the smear layer, followed by 10 mL of distilled water as a final flush.

**Group (XPS/XPF):** Root canal preparation was done using an XPS file, and the root canals were irrigated with 5 mL of 2.6% NaOCl. The XPF file was cooled by spraying ENDO Frost (RSA, Colten, Whaledent GmBH, Langenau, Germany) through the plastic tube and then inserted through the entire working length of the root canal, operated at 800 rpm and 1 Ncm of torque for 1 minute with a slow and gentle vertical motion of 7–8 mm. Thereafter, 3 mL of EDTA was used for 1 minute followed by 10 mL of distilled water as a final flush.

**Group (iRaCe/C):** Root canal preparation was done using iRaCe at 600 rpm and 1.5 Ncm according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Sizes 15/0.06, 25/0.04, and 30/0.04 were used for the entire working length. Two milliliters of freshly prepared 2.6% NaOCl was used after each rotary instrument. Two milliliters of freshly prepared 2.6% NaOCl was used after each rotary file, using a 30-gauge needle NaviTip at 2 mm short of the working length, and then finally 3 mL of 17% EDTA was used, followed by 10 mL of distilled water.

**Group (iRaCe/XPF):** Root canal preparation was performed following the protocol used for the iRaCe/C group described above. Between the use of each instrument, the root canals were irrigated with 2 mL of 2.6% NaOCl. Then, an XPF file was applied according to the manufacturer’s instruction. Thereafter, 3 mL of EDTA was used for 1 minute, followed by 10 mL of distilled water as a final flush.

**CLSM analysis**

After root canal preparation and disinfection, the roots were sectioned horizontally under constant irrigation with sterile saline, a middle-third sample measuring 2 × 2 × 4 mm in thickness was obtained, and the sample was then stained using the Syto-9/propidium iodide technique (Live/Dead, Backlight; Invitrogen, Eugene, OR, USA). The working solution was prepared by adding 1 μL of each dye to 1 mL of distilled water to improve the contrast between the biofilm cells and dentin, and then 200 μL was added to the dentin samples and incubated in a dark environment for 20 minutes at room temperature.

Samples were gently washed in PBS for 1 minute, and then immediately mounted on a cover slip for examination under CLSM (Figure 1). The excitation/emission wavelengths were set at 480/500 nm for Syto-9 and 490/635 nm for propidium iodide to inspect the tooth samples. Four confocal images of random areas were obtained for each specimen using a using a ×40 oil lens and a step size of 1 μm. CLSM images were acquired by the Zen V. 2 software (Carl Zeiss Ltd., Jena, Germany) at a resolution of 1,024 × 1,024 pixels. For each image, the intensity of the red (dead bacteria) and the green fluorescence (live bacteria) at a depth of 50 μm was measured and the percentage of the dead bacteria was calculated.
Statistical analysis
The ratio of the red fluorescence (dead cells) to the green-and-red fluorescence (live and dead cells) indicated the percentage of the bacterial reduction. Data were statistically analyzed using SPSS version 20 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to explore the normality of the data by checking the distribution. The data showed a non-parametric distribution; the Kruskal-Wallis test was therefore used to make comparisons across groups, and the Dunn test was used for pairwise comparisons. The significance level was set at $p \leq 0.05$.

RESULTS
The percentages of *E. faecalis* reduction in the dentinal tubules at a depth of 50 µm and the statistical analysis of all groups are summarized in Table 1 and presented in Figures 2 and 3. The Kruskal-Wallis test showed statistically significant differences among the different groups ($p = 0.001, p < 0.05$). The XPS/XPF group showed no statistically significant difference.
in bacterial reduction compared to the XPS/C group. However, the iRaCe/XPF group showed a significantly greater bacterial reduction than the iRaCe/C group, and statistically significant differences were found in the percentage of bacterial reduction between the XPS/C and iRaCe/C, XPS/C and iRaCe/XPF, XPS/XPF and iRaCe/C, and XPS/XPF and iRaCe/XPF groups ($p < 0.05$). The greatest bacterial reduction was found in the iRaCe/XPF group (62.91% ± 16.96%), followed by the XPS/XPF group (45.17% ± 10.25%), the XPS/C group (33.28% ± 17.01%), and finally the iRaCe/C group (11.81% ± 5.13%).

**DISCUSSION**

The primary aim of endodontic treatment is the eradication of bacteria and their byproducts from the root canal system, followed by 3-dimensional sealing of the root canals [27]. The
removal of bacteria from the root canal is difficult to achieve due to biofilm formation on the dentin walls [28]; thus, the field of modern clinical microbiology is attempting to develop new strategies capable of eliminating the bacterial biofilm [29].

It has been reported that in a bacterial invasion model, bacteria penetrated into dentinal tubules up to 500 µm from the main root canal [30], and the time needed for biofilm formation may range from 15 minutes to 60 days [26,31]. *E. faecalis* has been used for its role in persistent endodontic infections because of its high virulence and its ability to form a resistant biofilm [31,32], and samples were incubated for 21 days to ensure bacterial colonization of the dentinal tubules [21,33]. The roots were then sectioned horizontally, and a middle-third sample measuring 2 × 2 mm in thickness was used to evaluate the amount of live and dead bacteria at a depth of 50 µm inside the dentinal tubules, as the middle third showed greater dentinal tubule invasion by bacteria than was observed in the apical region. In addition, the apical third of the canal has fewer dentinal tubules, more peritubular dentin, and a high possibility of sclerotic dentin formation [33-35].

The results of this study showed that XPS/C was superior to iRace/C in bacterial reduction, which is consistent with the results of Paqué *et al.* [36], who reported limited cleanliness of the root canal when using RaCe and ProTaper rotary NiTi instruments, and agrees with the findings of Azim *et al.* [37], who reported that XPS left fewer untouched walls (38.6%) than Vortex Blue (58.8%), and the results of Lacerda *et al.* [38], who reported that the proportion of untouched areas in the full canal length after preparation with SAF, TRUShape, and XPS was 10.92%, 17.45%, and 17.31%, respectively, with no significant difference.

This result may be attributed to the difference in the alloy used for manufacturing the files; the iRaCe is manufactured from a conventional austenitic NiTi alloy with an electropolished surface [39], while the innovative XPS with the MaxWire Technology allows the file to change from its M-phase at room temperature to the A-phase. This enables it to expand from its original size to adapt to the canal morphology and to enlarge the canal to at least size 30/0.04, as well as provides it with a greater ability to contact and clean areas that are difficult to reach with regular instrumentation techniques [40].

The use of XPF for irrigant agitation after the use of iRaCe improved its bacterial reduction; this is supported by the findings of Alves *et al.* [41], who found that XPF yielded improvements in bacterial reduction when used after chemomechanical preparation. Likewise, Azim *et al.* [24] reported that XPF was efficient for disinfecting the main canal space up to 50 µm deep into the dentinal tubules, and Bao *et al.* [17] found improvements in biofilm removal from the root canal system when XPF was used for irrigant agitation, and this finding supported by the superior ability of XPF to remove the smear layer and to reduce hard tissue debris [18,42,43].

This property of XPF may be related to its special manufacturing process from a proprietary alloy with a shape-memory based design, allowing this single file with a size 25 diameter and zero taper to change from the M-phase to the A-phase within the root canal during the rotation mode. Its unique spoon shape, with a length of 10 mm from the tip and a depth of 1.5 mm, allows the file to expand to 6 mm in diameter, or 100-fold compared to an equivalent-sized file, which enables the file to adapt to the canal, to clean canal irregularities, and to detach biofilm [13]. Thus, the null hypothesis was rejected.
The XPS/XPF group showed no statistically significant difference in bacterial reduction from the XPS/C group, which may be attributed to the similarity of the technique of manufacturing of both files from NiTi MaxWire (Martensite-Austenite Electropolish-FleX), with its unique molecular memory that allows the file to expand when inserted in the canal at body temperature, which enables it to adapt to canal walls and to reach areas that conventional instruments cannot access [13]. Thus, the null hypothesis was accepted.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, within the limitations of this study, XPF improved the effectiveness of iRaCe for bacterial reduction up to a depth of 50 µm in the dentinal tubules, whereas combining XPS with XPF did not show any significant difference compared to XPS alone.
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