Gene therapy for cardiovascular disease mediated by ultrasound and microbubbles
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Abstract
Gene therapy provides an efficient approach for treatment of cardiovascular disease. To realize the therapeutic effect, both efficient delivery to the target cells and sustained expression of transgenes are required. Ultrasound targeted microbubble destruction (UTMD) technique has become a potential strategy for target-specific gene and drug delivery. When gene-loaded microbubble is injected, the ultrasound-mediated microbubble destruction may spew the transported gene to the targeted cells or organ. Meanwhile, high amplitude oscillations of microbubbles increase the permeability of capillary and cell membrane, facilitating uptake of the released gene into tissue and cell. Therefore, efficiency of gene therapy can be significantly improved. To date, UTMD has been successfully investigated in many diseases, and it has achieved outstanding progress in the last two decades. Herein, we discuss the current status of gene therapy of cardiovascular diseases, and reviewed the progress of the delivery of genes to cardiovascular system by UTMD.

Keywords: Ultrasound, Microbubble, Gene therapy, Cardiovascular

Barriers of gene therapy for cardiovascular diseases
To realize efficient delivery of therapeutic genes to the cardiovascular system, a series of barriers related to almost all aspects of cellular biology have to be overcome. Firstly, the gene vectors need to pass through the endothelial barriers in capillary walls when systemically injected. Meanwhile, the plasmid faces a threat of being degraded rapidly by the immune system or DNAses in serum before transfection. On the other hand, viral gene vectors need to avoid the immunoreaction in circulation and transduction of non-target organs, mainly liver and spleen. Secondly, as gene vectors and plasma membrane are negatively charged, the gene vectors have to diffuse through myocardial membrane then bind to cell surface but to be repelled from it. Thirdly, the plasmid needs to avoid being entrapped into lysosome or the endosome, where it will be degraded. Fourthly, the gene vector has to penetrate the nuclear membrane to achieve the goal of gene therapy. Nevertheless, appropriate technologies can be used to make the gene vector itself complete target to the interested area, such as injection catheter, surgical operation or UTMD [8,9]. Also directly injection of the vector into myocardium will lead to high local concentration of the vector. Optimized surface of the vector
can realize directional transduction of the vector into the cell and karyon.

**Current status of gene therapy of cardiovascular disease**

Nabel *et al.* [10] were the first to demonstrate gene therapy in cardiovascular system in 1989. Since then, gene therapeutic trials for cardiovascular diseases have been performed all over the world. However, advances in the area of gene therapy for cardiovascular disease are not well satisfied because of the lack of gene delivery systems to transfer therapeutic gene to specific target to provide an adequate dose of a therapeutic gene [2]. So far, the gene delivery systems are mainly divided into two kinds, namely, viral systems and non-viral systems [11].

Viral systems derived from adeno-associated virus (AAV) [12], retrovirus [13], lentivirus [14] and adenovirus [15] are one of the successful gene delivery systems, which are used in the majority of current gene therapy researches and clinical trials due to their benefits of highly efficient delivery into cells with sustained expression. Recently, Fruinert *et al.* [16] showed that delivering adenovirus expressing sarco-plasmic reticulum Ca2+ ATPase (SERCA2a) into coronary arteries could prevent ventricular arrhythmias in a ischemia - reperfusion model. Suckau *et al.* [17] also employed adenoviral and AAV vectors to obtain high RNAi activity. They showed that an adenoviral short hairpin RNA vector could silence phospholamban in cardiomyocytes and improved hemodynamics in heart-failure. Meanwhile, they designed a dimeric cardiotropic AAV vector to intravenously deliver RNA molecule to the heart for simplified long-term therapy. However, viral gene therapy has been subjected to criticism due to their potential for uncontrollable and insertional mutagenesis [18]. Moreover, viral vectors will evoke undesired immune response by the virtue of systemic administration, which limits repetitive regimens [19,20]. Also the transfection efficiency of viral vectors occur with a relatively low efficiency and organ specificity, which restricts its therapeutic efficacy [21,22].

Nonviral systems consist of chemical methods (such as cationic liposome, nanoparticle and polymers) and physical methods (include gene gun, electroporation, particle bombardment, ultrasound utilization, and magnetofection) [23,24]. The advantages of nonviral system include availability, cost-effectiveness, and less induction of immune system and no limitation in size of transgenic DNA compared with viral system, which have made them an attractive candidate for gene delivery. Among them, the simplest and most widely nonviral gene vector is naked DNA. However, its transfection efficiency is limited due to the rapid degradation by DNase and the clearance by the mononuclear phagocyte system in the systemic circulation. Increasing target specificity to diseased tissue can reduce off-target bioeffects and enhance the gene transfection efficiency. Recently, Ko *et al.* [25] conjugated cell-penetrating transactivating transcriptional activator (TAT) peptide (TATp) and/or monoclonal anti-myosin monoclonal antibody 2G4 (mAb 2G4) which target to cardiac myosin to liposomes for targeted gene delivery to ischemic myocardium. The result showed that in vitro transfection was enhanced by the presence of TATp and was further enhanced by the additional modification with mAb 2G4 antibody. And the transfection efficiency of in vivo experiments was significantly enhanced in the ischemic zone. The main disadvantage of nonviral systems is their low transfection because of the inability of vectors to overcome biological barriers to enter cells [26]. In other hand, physical methods recently have been developed as a feasible nonviral method. However, most of them are mainly based upon invasion procedures, their inherent risks that may outweigh their benefits, which makes them inappropriate for cardiac gene transfer. Durieux *et al.* [27] conducted a study to investigates the factors regarding gene electrotransfer associated muscle damage, which confirmed that gene electrotransfer associated muscle damage was related to the intracellular presence and expression of plasmid DNA. Besides, the gene expression is confined to the injection site. Therefore, it is necessary to develop an effective and specific gene delivery system suitable for human body [28].

UTMD has been widely proved as a new strategy of improving the delivery of drugs or genes [29,30]. Due to its advantages of high safety profile, repetitive applicability, cost-effectiveness and the capability to enhance the permeability of plasma membrane to macromolecules by its bioeffects, it is considered as a feasible tool for gene delivery [31-35]. Chen *et al.* [36] have proved that UTMD significantly increased the transfection rate of short hairpin RNA (shRNA) vectors in vitro and in vivo, which was equal to that of some cancer cell lines delivered by polyethylenimine (PEI). Qiu *et al.* [37] have proved that enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) plasmids could be delivered effectively into rabbit Achilles tendons by UTMD, causing no obvious injury.

**Mechanisms of UTMD in gene therapy**

UTMD is an immense potential target-specific gene delivery tool. Its ability to elevate the gene transfection efficiency in various studies in vitro and in vivo has been confirmed [38-40], thus being consider as a promising gene carrier approach for gene therapy. Microbubbles (MBs) of UTMD, which may consist of lipids, albumin, saccharide, biocompatible polymers and other materials [41-43] are traditionally used as ultrasound contrast agents due to their physical property of reflecting ultrasound. Microbubble as cavitation nucleus could expand and contract under the effect of ultrasound, and even be disrupted when the acoustic pressure reaches a much
higher level, which could cause a series of biological effects. The mechanism of transferring gene into cells effectively through UTMD is based on the specific response of the microbubbles upon exposure to ultrasound, namely sonoporation. Microbubbles may oscillate when exposed to ultrasound, and then these oscillating microbubbles may rupture. So, the gene therapy vector incorporated with microbubbles can be released with high local concentrations at the site of interest. Meanwhile, the destruction of MBs may transiently induce transient holes in membranes in consequence to local shear forces exerted on membranes by fluid flow (‘microstreaming’) around oscillating bubbles, local shock waves (which produce large pressure gradients across a cell), or cavitation microjets, therefore, facilitating drug or gene into cells [44,45], and augmenting the transfection efficiency (Figure 1). The advantages of UTMD techniques are as follows: (1) low toxicity, (2) low immunogenicity of the vectors, (3) low invasiveness (e.g., the vector and MB can be intravenously injected), (4) great potential for repetitive application, and (5) organs can be targeted with high specificity. Since UTMD can not only improve the efficiency, but also avoid the immunogenicity, it has been regarded as a new choice for gene therapy.

**Gene therapy mediated by UTMD in cardiovascular disease**

Due to its advantages of high safety, cost effectiveness, repetitive applicability, and the possibility to increase the permeability of microvessel and plasma membrane to macromolecules by its bioeffects, ultrasound and microbubble has been considered as a powerful tool in gene therapy. In recent years, many studies in vitro and in vivo have confirmed that ultrasound and microbubble could significantly elevate the gene transfection efficiency. It is emerging as a potential strategy for treatment of cardiovascular diseases [11].

**Delivery genes to cardiovascular system by microbubble and ultrasound**

Ultrasound and microbubble have been widely investigated in myocardial infarctions, atherosclerosis, and in hind limb ischemia models in rodents for therapeutic angiogenesis. Early in the last decade, commercial and custom microbubbles were tested for pDNA encoding luciferase delivery in the left ventricle [46]. It has proved that TFPI-2 played an important role in suppressing thrombosis and arterial re-stenosis, which has been considered as a potential gene for gene therapy of atherosclerosis. Studies have confirmed that TFPI-2 gene can be delivered to the target specifically by the virtue of UTMD. For example, Wang et al. [39] showed gene transfection with SonoVue and TFPI-2 gene could suppress thrombosis and arterial re-stenosis, providing a potential gene therapy approach for atherosclerosis. Compared with adenovirus, the in vivo transfection efficiency of SonoVue was higher than that of adenovirus and SonoVue was less damaging when transfecting genes into the arterial wall. Studies have confirmed that thymosin beta 4 (TB4)-protein delivery could stimulate differentiation of resident adult WT1-positive (WT1 is a biomarker for development of embryonic heart and is not normally expressed in adult rat heart) cardiac progenitor cells, but its application is limited due to low

![Figure 1 Schematic diagram of gene therapy mediated by UTMD](http://www.cardiovascularultrasound.com/content/11/1/11)
efficiency. Chen et al. [47] used UTMD to enhance the delivery of the human TB4 gene under a piggybac transposon plasmid to normal rat heart. The result showed that WT1 started to express from the nucleus of epicardium layer cells one week after UTMD-TB4 treatment (Figure 2), and the level of WT1 mRNA of which treated with UTMD-TB4 group was 43.5-fold higher than in normal control or UTMD-DsRed groups in the 4th week, and c-kit mRNA level in UTMD-TB4 group was 52-fold higher after UTMD-TB4 treatment.

Chen et al. [5] have proved that the combination of UTMD with PEI could effectively enhance transfection efficiency of two different naked DNA without causing any apparently side effect (Figure 3). Besides, they demonstrated that naked plasmids (luciferase reporter, red fluorescent protein reporter, EGFP) could be effectively delivered to myocardium, combining with liposome microbubble (MB), PEI and ultrasound (US). However, though UTMD-mediated naked DNA in gene therapy is effective, this technology has some limitations. The parameters for this technique, including the US exposure parameters, US frequency, mode of US, mechanical index, and amount of the plasmid DNA, should be optimized in the future.

**Delivery viral vectors to cardiovascular system by ultrasound and microbubble**

Due to its high transfection efficiency and sustained expression, viral vector is the priority choice of transferring genes to the target cell. However, it is difficult to restrict the specificity of delivery in viral vectors which are usually delivered systemically, while avoid the immunoreaction. Additionally, the endothelial barrier limits systemic delivery of viral vector such as AAV, which leads to unsatisfactory transfection efficiency. UTMD techniques have many advantages [48]. Studies have proved that it had synergism to combine with viral vectors, which offered many benefits [49,50]. Firstly, the microbubbles offer the strength of site-specific release through ultrasound irradiation, thus improving viral vector specificity. And the production of
microjet by UTMD can enhance the penetrability of plasma membrane and capillary, thus overcoming the endothelial barrier. Moreover, the microbubbles can simultaneously impose restriction on the immune response to the viruses thus allowing intravascular administration and repetitive injections [51].

Recently, a study by Naka et al. [52] demonstrated that gene expression mediated by retrovirus was significantly increased in all four cell types tested in this study without any adverse bioeffects when they were exposed for 5 s with the ultrasound of 1.0 W/cm². The transduction efficiencies of ultrasound was enhanced 6.6-fold, 4.8-fold, 2.3-fold, and 3.2-fold in 293T cells, BAECs, RASMCs, and L6 cells, respectively. Furthermore, in the presence of ultrasound and the retrovirus, β-Gal activities of these cells were also increased. Chen et al. [46] optimized echocardiographic parameters for successfully delivered adenoviral or plasmid DNA to the heart. The results demonstrated that enhancement of transgene expression are detected in the heart tissue when treated with UTMD associating with adenoviral or plasmid DNA. And the ultrasound parameters were optimized with a low-transmission frequency (1.3 MHz), maximal mechanical index, and ECG triggering to allow completely fitting the myocardial capillary bed for microbubbles dispose. In addition, Lee et al. [53] showed that ultrasonic standing wave fields could offer a potential approach to increase transduction efficiency of retrovirus-based vector in large-scale settings.

Since, systemic administration of these viruses had been a challenge as the drawback of adenoviral vectors has innate host antiviral immune responses. Howard et al. [51] have tested the ability of microbublles to load and protect an adenoviral vector. The result demonstrated that systemic delivery of the vector incorporated into microbubbles led to specific targeting of the GFP transgene. Microbubbles allow intravenous injection as it can reduce the degradation rate of viruses by immune system. This opens up a new therapeutic frontier for patients needs for a less invasive and highly specific gene delivery system. Müller et al. [49] also conducted a study to research the specificity and transfection rate of gene delivery. In their study, adult rats were injected of microbubbles loaded with AAV-6 or AAV-9 expressing luciferase or EGFP. The result demonstrated that the reporter gene transfection efficiency significantly increased with ultrasound exposure (Figure 4).

Also, Taylor et al. [54] carried out a study to assess the feasibility of gene delivery system that combined UTMD and retrovirus. In their study, they added an envelope-deficient retroviral vector which was inherently

Figure 3 The combination of UTMD and PEI in vivo. A, Phosphate-buffered saline group; B, phosphate-buffered saline + US; C, naked plasmid; D, plasmid + US; E, plasmid + liposome microbubbles (LM); F, plasmid + LM + US; G, plasmid + LM + PEI; H, plasmid + LM + PEI + US. There were no fluorescent signals in the negative control groups (A, B). When the mouse hearts exposed, the bases of the heart tissue samples had more transfected cells than the rest of the samples (D-H). Only a few fluorescent signals could be detected in the absence of US (E). A transmural fluorescent signal could be observed in the anterior wall after the microbubble was destroyed (F). EGFP was principally expressed in the subendocardial layer in the absence of US (C, E, and G and arrows in G). Combining with PEI and UTMD, the distribution of EGFP was not significant (H) [5].
incapable of target cell entry to target cells with ultrasound exposure of pulsed 1 MHz for 5s. By using virus-loaded microbubbles, ultrasound facilitated the delivery of viral vectors in a restricted area of cells exposed to $\geq 0.4$ MPa peak-negative acoustic pressure. It showed that the technology was an ideal mean suited for targeted delivery.

The mechanism how ultrasound enhances the transfection rate of transgene may be that ultrasound imparts a microjet to the cell and facilitates local delivery of the DNA into the cell. It also presumedly that ultrasound affected cell regulatory or transcription factors. However, the precise mechanism accounting for why expose ultrasound to cells together with and also to viral vector enhance the gene expression level is still blurred. Specific studies should be conducted to explain these different mechanisms and decide how UTMD influences AAV-mediated gene expression. Therefore, Geers et al. [55] have undertaken a study to interpret the mechanisms behind how the combination of UTMD and AAV mediated gene therapy work. They made use of “non-active AAV”, which are AAV vectors chemically modified at their surface with a poly (ethylene glycol) (PEG) brush, and found the “non-active AAV” could be delivered in the cytosol of cells directly through sonoporation. Thus UTMD can specifically and effectively increase rAAV gene delivery system, and it may afford potential for highly effective gene delivery means for gene therapy of cardiovascular diseases.

**Figure 4** Localization of gene expression after treatment with UTMD. Adult rats were injected with microbubbles loaded with $10^{11}$ genomic particles of AAV-9-cytomegalovirus (CMV)-MLC0.26-EGFP vectors. (A) No fluorescent signals were detectable in the absence of ultrasound; (B) The anterior wall showed a strong fluorescent signal after UTMD; (C) When is amplified, only few fluorescent signals could be observed in the absence of microbubbles; (D) The merged picture of green fluorescent protein (GFP)-fluorescence and phalloidin co-staining; (E) In the presence of UTMD, multiple GFP-positive cells can be observed; (F) co-staining with phalloidin. Bar a, b: 1 mm and c ~ f: 50 μm [49].

**Delivery gene to cardiovascular system by ultrasound and novel microbubble**

Compared with the other groups, the application of viral vector significantly increased transgene expression, but the toxicity and immunogenicity of the viral vectors still plagued people. The non-viral vectors can enhance the gene transfection rate. Noninvasive UTMD enable the successful transfection of vascular endothelial grow factor (VEGF), stem cell factor or other genes into the infarcted heart, thus increasing density of blood vessel, myocardial perfusion and ventricular function. UTMD-mediated plasmid gene delivery takes advantage of myocardial contrast echocardiography and has numerous merits including low toxicity, lack of immunogenicity, and the potential for repetitive and targeted application [56,57]. However, there were some injured endothelial cells in part of the vessel wall with the ultrasound exposure. Also, Huang et al. [57] developed new liposomes that could be used to protect and transfer a bioactive gas to target in conjugate with noninvasive UTMD. The results showed that it hold potential for gas delivery and could be used to control therapeutic gas release (Figure 5).

Despite the existence of many in vitro experimental studies on gene therapy with combination of non-viral vectors and UTMD, research on in vivo therapeutic application is on the beginning. Saliba et al. [58] developed an interesting safe method for local gene transfer by injection of plasmid or siRNA mixed with a standard commercial liposome, with the presence of ultrasound.
application (4.9MHz, 1Hz, 1W/cm², ultra-harmonic mode, 5min, another 5min), resulted in much higher transfection efficiency. Zhao et al. [59] investigated that the cardio protective effect of the acidic fibroblast growth factor (aFGF) combing with heparin modified microbubbles (aFGF-HMB) under UTMD technique. Echocardiography of the heart parenchyma was enhanced after aFGF-HMB injection. From ultrasonography, aFGF-HMB suspension had good capability in heart ultrasonic contrast imaging. As shown in M-mode echocardiography, the group (aFGF-HMB + US) could remarkably stimulate myocardial vessel neogenesis, resulting in a significant improvement of regional as well as global myocardial contractile functions (Figure 6).

Recently, Fujii et al. [41] used UTMD to deliver angiogenic genes. The result showed that GFP expression was identified in the hearts of mice that were injected with microbubble/GFP expressing plasmid complex five days after UTMD and confirmed that successful in vivo transfection was achieved by using the microbubble technique (Figure 7). Sun et al. [60] prepared a cationic microbubble with DNA-binding to improve targeted gene transfection of the ischemic heart for cardiac regeneration. Compared its DNA-carrying capacity and reporter gene transfection efficiency with the commercially available Definity microbubble, the cationic microbubbles loaded 70% more plasmid DNA than the Definity microbubbles and UTMD was able to deliver the therapeutic gene to the ischemic rat myocardium and evaluated the effects on apoptosis, angiogenesis, and cardiac function, and provided an efficient platform for gene therapy of the ischemic heart and preserve cardiac function (Figure 8).

Atherosclerosis is an inflammatory disease of the vasculature and risks, which can lead to heart attacks and stroke, so there is a great need for both drug delivery and detection of disease state. Phillips et al. [61] developed the novel microbubbles targeted to vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1), which can be used for simultaneous ultrasound molecular imaging and gene delivery. Compared with nontargeted microbubbles, VCAM-1-targeted microbubbles exhibited a 100-fold increase in adhesion to inflamed SMCs. Their studies may aid in the detection and treatment of in-stent restenosis.
or be used to detect early atherosclerosis, and subsequently would realize gene or drug therapy to inflamed vasculature.

A body of laboratory work has demonstrated that UTMD was a promising tool for the local delivery of genes and drugs [62]. A novel and potential site-specific gene transfer, combination of ultrasound (1 MHz, 1.5 w/cm², 10 minutes) and nanopackaged t-PA (Tissue plasminogen activator, t-PA) gene plasmid, was also employed to cure human thrombosis-related diseases. The results showed that the heart ultrasound visualization increased obviously compared to preinjection, and the baseline image following the ultrasound treatment decreased after the intravenous injection of microbubbles loaded with nanopackaged t-PA gene plasmid [63]. Additionally, Ren et al. [64] reported the preparation of three groups of self-made...
microbubble-loading uPA (1 uPA-MBs, 5 uPA-MBs and 10 uPA-MBs) via freeze-drying methods to achieve a more efficacious and safer thrombolytic effect. It can be used for thrombolysis when combined with low-frequency US in vitro. The loaded uPA exhibited bioactivity by agarose fibrin plate when exposed to US and in vitro thrombolysis also showed higher effects with US exposure related to the group who received uPA-MBs alone, the control group or the US group. In conclusion, the physiochemical properties of these self-made uPA-MBs allow for intravenous injection but 1 uPA-MB and 5 uPA-MBs are better than 10 uPA-MBs. The combination of uPA-MBs and US can diminish the in vitro dosage of uPA for thrombolysis (Figure 9). Mannell et al. [65] loaded the magnetic (MNP) perfluorocarbon-filled lipid microbubbles with lentiviral particles and associated magnetic targeting of these complexes with UTMD. The combination eventually led to a transduction efficiency increase by 30-fold over the application of naked virus alone.

Application of ultrasound and microbubble in RNA interference based gene therapy for cardiovascular disease

RNA interference (RNAi) is a technique that could inhibit target gene expression based on sequence-specific gene silencing using small interfering RNA (siRNA) [66]. The technique has attracted much attention for clinical use in various diseases, and has potential to treat cardiovascular diseases. However, transfection of the endothelium and myocardial cell with siRNAs in vivo still poses a distinct hurdle [67]. There still need a noninvasive and effective method to transfer siRNA into target cells. Kinoshita et al. [68] demonstrated that delivery siRNA intracellularly via microbubble-enhanced focused ultrasound was viable, and represented a powerful tool for using siRNA in vivo and possibly in the clinical setting.

Among the more common approaches employed for siRNA delivery are the use of ultrasound-microbubble which can effectively delivery siRNAs into target areas
in vivo. Suzuki et al. [33] prepared three kind of siRNA/microbubble complexes (a fluorescein-labeled siRNA, green fluorescent protein (GFP) siRNA, and intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 siRNA), and confirmed that ICAM-1 siRNA/microbubble has the potential to suppress arterial neointimal formation using ultrasound-microbubble method (Figure 10). With ultrasound irradiation, siRNA/microbubble is potent for clinical treatment of cardiovascular diseases and other inflammatory disease.

**Stem Cell Transplant for Treatment of Cardiovascular Diseases Mediated by Ultrasound and Microbubble**

Cell-based therapy presents an attractive approach to restoration of a functional endothelium and myocardium. After acute myocardial infarction, migration of bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) to vital myocardium is a promising therapeutic method. Ultrasound irradiation inducing stimulation of microbubbles allows locoregional pre-treatment of target tissue, and combination of ultrasound irradiation and stem cell technology may improve transplantation efficacy and targeting of MSCs, and enhance the efficacy of a sustained myocardial cell delivery. Ghanem et al. [69] demonstrated that focused ultrasound with stimulated microbubbles improved transplantation efficacy and allowed targeted engraftment of MSCs. Compared to nontargeted areas, significantly more MSCs adhered to the endothelium of targeted tissues was observed, and they did not observe any apoptosis.
Figure 10 Arteries harvested on day 28 stained with Elastica van Gieson (EvG). A: Significantly thickened intima in the arteries is seen with ICAM-1 siRNA without ultrasound irradiation; B: B shows significant suppression of neointimal formation in the artery with ICAM-1 siRNA with microbubble administration and ultrasound irradiation. C: VACM-1 siRNA had an effect statistically comparable to that of ICAM-1 siRNA in the prevention of neointimal formation. D: The scrambled siRNA did not suppress neointimal formation [33].

Figure 11 DAPI positive cells were detected by fluorescent microscope. Compare with MSC infusion group, the US + Microbubble + MSC group has much more DAPI-positive cells localized in the ischemic myocardium (A-B). MSCs were labeled with DAPI, and all the cells were dyed in bright blue, and observed under light microscope (×200) and fluorescent microscope. (C) MSCs of US + Microbubble + MSC group (×100), (D) MSC infusion group (×100) [56].
phenomenon and/or myocardial necrosis. Otani et al. [70] proved the feasibility of combination of ultrasound and microbubbles for delivery of siRNA into MSC, and the results indicated that ultrasound and microbubble could serve as a nonviral delivery method of siRNA into MSC, which would be a useful approach for regenerative medicine in the future.

Moreover, MSCs could be surface-coated electrostatically with gas-filled lipid microbubbles (MB-MSCs) and seeded to targeted areas or a specific vascular segment, which is an emerging therapeutic option. Xu et al. [56] launched experimental research to figure out whether combining lipid-coated microbubbles with diagnostic US could enable the site directed delivery of MSCs into the myocardium even myocardial infarcted rabbits. After the intravenous injection of lipid-coated microbubble accompany with BM-MSCs into the rabbits, the anterior chest was treated with diagnostic ultrasound for 10 min to induce infusion of BM-MSCs which was labeled with DAPI in the nucleus. The results showed that DAPI positive cells in myocardial infarction area were much more than that of the MSCs infusion group (Figure 11). Toma et al. [71] proposed a novel method by using ultrasound-generated acoustic radiation force combined with MB-MSCs to delivery of therapeutic cells to a specific endovascular treatment site. This approach may be used for endoluminal cellular paving, providing a powerful tool for cell-based gene delivery of injured arterial segments (Figure 12).

**Conclusions**

Increasing evidences prove that UTMD is a promising strategy to improve delivery efficiency, thus emerges as a method with great promise for target-specific gene delivery. A variety of experiments have demonstrated that the combination of UTMD and viral and non-viral vectors in gene delivery could not only enhance the efficiency of the viral vector, but also avoid its immunogenicity. Thus it may become a feasible, novel candidate for gene therapy, providing support to gene therapy trial for patients with cardiovascular diseases.

UTMD is a promising technique for gene delivery, but most of its studies are in the preclinical stage. UTMD still remains limited by its safety and efficiency. Future work needs to be done before its clinical application, including optimization of microbubble preparation technology to efficiently carry gene payloads while maintaining acoustic activity, prolonging circulation time to prevent clearance by the mononuclear cell, improving targeting techniques to enhance tissue binding force in areas of high shear stress, and illustration of optimal ultrasound parameters for each microbubble and its intended application. What is more important, as UTMD mediated gene therapy involve the multiple interacting modalities, there needs to be close collaboration between chemists, ultrasound engineers, and biologists to move this strategy to fruition.

**Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

**Authors’ contributions**

ZYC and SPG are responsible for designing the framework of this article. YL and FY participated in collecting material and drafting the manuscript. LJ participated in picture processing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by Research Fund of Doctoral Program of Universities (20124401120002), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2011M501375), Research Projects of Guangzhou Education Bureau.
11. Su CH, Wu YJ, Wang HH, Yeh HI:...

References

1. Gaziano TA: Economic burden and the cost-effectiveness of treatment of cardiovascular diseases in Africa. Heart 2008, 94:140–144.
2. Fishbein I, Chorny M, Levy RJ: Site-specific gene therapy for cardiovascular disease. Curr Opin Drug Discov Devel 2010, 13:203–213.
3. Won YW, Lee M, Kim HA, Buil DA, Kim SW: Hypoxia-inducible plasmid expressing bcl-2, mSHP-1 and HO-1 for the treatment of ischemic heart disease. J Control Release 2013, 165:22–23.
4. Guo X, Huang L: Recent advances in nonviral vectors for gene delivery. Acc Chem Res 2012, 45:701–797.
5. Chen ZY, Xie M, Wang X, Lv Q, Ding S: Efficient gene delivery to myocardium with ultrasound targeted microbubble destruction and polyethylene glycol. J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci 2008, 28(5):613–617.
6. Chen ZY, Liang K, Sheng X, Si-Tu B, Liu JX, Qiu RX, Zhang H, Li YW, Zhou XX, Yu JX: Optimization and apoptosis induction by RNAi with UTMD technology in vitro. Oncol Lett 2012, 3(1):1031–1036.
7. Ogawa M, Takakura K, Nishina M, Rizita Y, Nagai N, Isobe M: Ultrasound-microbubble-mediated intercellular adhesion molecule-1 small interfering ribonucleic acid transfection attenuates necrotical formation after arterial injury in mice. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010, 55:904–913.
8. Zhou S, Li S, Liu Z, Tang Y, Wang Z, Gong J, Liu C: Ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction mediated herpes simplex virus-thymidine kinase gene targets hepatoma in mice. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2010, 29:170.
9. Chen Z, Liang K, Xie M, Wang X, Lu Q, Zhang J: Induced apoptosis with ultrasound-mediated microbubble destruction and shRNA targeting survivin in transplanted tumors. Adv Ther 2008, 26:99–106.
10. Nabel EG, Plautz G, Boyle FM, Stanley JC, Nabel GJ: Recombinant gene expression in vivo within endothelial cells of the arterial wall. Science 1989, 244:1342–1344.
11. Su CH, Wu YJ, Wang HH, Yeh HI: Nonviral gene therapy targeting cardiovascular system. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2012, 303:H629–H638.
12. Raake PW, Hinkel R, Müller S, Dekker S, Kreuzpointner R, Kupatt C, Katus HA, Kleinschmidt JA, Boekstegers P, Müller OJ: Cardiac-specific long-term gene expression in a porcine model after selective pressure-regulated retroinfection of adeno-associated viral (AAV) vectors. Gene Ther 2008, 15:124–133.
13. Peng CA: Analysis of gene transfer rate with immobilized retroviral vectors. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2009, 1161:26–33.
14. Beronja S, Livshits G, Williams S, Fuchs E: Rapid functional dissection of genetic networks via tissue-specific transduction and RNA in mouse embryos. Nat Med 2010, 16:821–827.
15. Yao XL, Yoshikawa Y, Ruan GX, Chen YZ, Mizuguchi H, Mukai Y, Okada N, Gao JQ, Nakajima S: Optimization and internalization mechanisms of PEGylated adenovirus vector with targeting peptide for cancer gene therapy. Biomacromolecules 2012, 13:2402–2409.
16. Prunier F, Kawase Y, Gianni D, Scapin C, Danki SB, Elinor PT, Hajri R, Del Monte F: Prevention of ventricular arrhythmias with sarcoplasmic reticulum Ca2+ ATPase pump overexpression in a porcine model of myocardial ischemia reperfusion. Circulation 2008, 118:614–624.
17. Suckau L, Fiehn H, Chemaly E, Kohrn S, Hadri L, Kockskämper J, Westermann D, Bispeng I, Ly H, Wang X, Kawase Y, Chen J, Liang L, Sipo I, Verger R, Weger S, Kurreck J, Erdmann V, Tschope C, Pieske B, Lebeche D, Schultheiss HP, Hajri R, Poller WC: Long-term cardiac-targeted RNA interference for the treatment of heart failure restores cardiac function and reduces pathological hypertrophy. Circulation 2009, 119:1241–1252.
18. Cavazzana-Calvo M, Fischer A: Gene therapy for severe combined immunodeficiency: are we there yet? J Clin Invest 2007, 117:1456–1465.
19. Wang Z, Storb R, Lee D, Kushnerik MJ, Chu B, Berger C, Arnett A, Allen J, Chamberlain JS, Riddell SR, Tapscott SJ: Immune responses to AAV in canine muscle monitored by cellular assays and noninvasive imaging. Mol Ther 2010, 18:617–624.
20. Wilson M: Lessons learned from the gene therapy trial for ornithine transcarbamylase deficiency. Mol Genet Metab 2009, 96:151–157.
21. Zheng XZ, Hong LL, Du LF, Wang HP, Qing Gu Q: In vivo and in vitro effects of ultrasound or/and microbubbles on recombinant adeno-associated virus-mediated transgene expression in the retina. Avian Biomed 2009, 3:967–956.
22. Wu J, Zhang S, Wu X, Dong X, Xu P, Liu X, Li C, Huang Q: Enhanced transduction and improved photoreceptor survival of retinal degeneration by the combinatorial use of rAAV2 with a lower dose of adenovirus. Vision Res 2008, 48:1648–1654.
23. Park HJ, Yang F, Cho SW: Nonviral delivery of genetic medicine for therapeutic angiogenensis. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2012, 64:490–522.
24. Nayerossadat N, Madéch T, Ali PA: Viral and nonviral delivery systems for gene delivery. Adv Biomed Res 2012, 1:277.
25. Ko YT, Hartner WC, Kale A, Torchilin VP: Gene delivery into ischemic myocardium by double-targeted lipopolymers with anti-myosin antibody and TAT peptide. Gene Ther 2009, 16:52–59.
26. Charoenst P, Kawakami S, Higuchi Y, Yamashita F, Hashida M: Enhanced growth inhibition of metastatic lung tumors by intravenous injection of ATRA-cationic liposome-LI-2 pDNA complexes in mice. Cancer Gene Ther 2010, 17:521–522.
27. Dutieux AC, Bonnefoy R, Bussot T, Freysenest D: In vivo gene electrotransfer into skeletal muscle: effects of plasmid DNA on the occurrence and extent of muscle damage. J Gene Med 2004, 6:809–816.
28. Thomas SM, Grandis JR: The current state of head and neck cancer gene therapy. Hum Gene Ther 2009, 20:1363–1375.
29. Aminisidi CS, Bazzan-Peregrina EM, Rua J, Seymour LW, Coussios CC: Cavitation-enhanced extravasation for drug delivery. Ultrasound Med Biol 2011, 37:1838–1852.
30. Li P, Zheng Y, Ran H, Tan J, Lin Y, Zhang Q, Ren J, Wang Z: Ultrasound-mediated targeted microbubbles: a new vehicle for cancer therapy. Frontiers Chem Sci Eng 2013, 7:20–28.
31. Daigeler A, Chermok AM, Haendsdiche K, Emmelmann S, Steimpel M, Hensel K, Schmitt G, Klein-Hitpass L, Lehnhardt M, Hauser J: Synergistic effects of sonoporation and tauricolin/TRL9 on apoptosis in human fibrosarcoma. Ultrasound Med Biol 2010, 36:1893–1906.
32. Luo J, Zhou X, Diao L, Wang Z: Experimental research on wild-type p53 plasmid transfected into retinoblastoma cells and tissues using an ultrasound microbubble intensifier. J Int Med Res 2010, 38:1005–1015.
33. Suzuki I, Ogawa M, Takakura K, Taniyama Y, Morishita R, Hiraishi Y, Nagai R, Isobe M: Ultrasound-microbubble-mediated intercellular adhesion molecule-1 small interfering ribonucleic acid transfection attenuates neointimal formation after arterial injury in mice. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010, 55:904–913.
41. Fuji H, Sun Z, Li SH, Wu J, Fazel S, Weisel RD, Rakowski H, Lindner J, Li RK: Ultrasound-targeted gene delivery induces angiogenesis after a myocardial infarction in mice. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2009, 2:869–879.

42. Stride E: Physical principles of microbubbles for ultrasound imaging and therapy. Centrovasc Dis 2009, 27(Suppl 2):1–31.

43. Lentacker I, Wang N, Vandenbroucke RE, Demeester J, De Smedt SC, Sanders NH: Ultrasound exposure of lipoplex-loaded microbubbles facilitates direct cytoplasmic entry of the lipoplexes. Mol Pharm 2009, 6:457–467.

44. Li W, Kong F, Li X, Dai X, Liu X, Zheng Q, Wu R, Zhou X, Liu F, Chang B, Li Q, Hauswirth WW, Qu J, Pang J: Gene therapy following subretinal AAV5 vector delivery is not affected by a previous intravitreal AAV5 vector administration in the partner eye. Mol Vis 2009, 15:267–275.

45. Stieger K, Schroeder J, Provost N, Menders-Madera A, Belbellaa B, Le Meur G, Weber M, Deschamps J, Lorentz B, Mouillier P, Rolland F: Detection of intact RAAV particles up to 6 years after successful gene transfer in the retina of dogs and primates. Mol Ther 2009, 17:516–523.

46. Chen S, Shohet RV, Bekeredjian R, Frenkel P, Grayburn PA: Optimization of ultrasound parameters for cardiac gene delivery of adenoviral or plasmid deoxyribonucleic acid by ultrasound-targeted microbubble destruction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003, 42:301–308.

47. Chen S, Shimoda M, Chen J, Grayburn PA: Stimulation of adult resident cardiac progenitor cells by durable myocardial expression of thymosin beta 4 with ultrasound-targeted microbubble delivery. Gene Ther 2012, 19:225–233.

48. Hennet S, Kilbanov AL: Microbubbles in ultrasound-triggered drug and gene delivery. Adv Drug Deliv Rev 2008, 60:153–166.

49. Müller OJ, Schinkel S, Kleinschmidt JA, Katus HA, Bekeredjian R: Augmentation of AAV-mediated cardiac gene transfer after systemic administration in adult rats. Gene Ther 2008, 15:1558–1565.

50. Beer R: New efficient catheter-based system for myocardial gene delivery. Circulation 2002, 106:1756–1759.

51. Howard CM, Forberg F, Minimo C, Liu JB, Merton DA, Claudio PP: Ultrasound guided site specific gene delivery system using adenoviral vectors and commercial ultrasound contrast agents. J Cell Physiol 2006, 209:413–421.

52. Naka T, Saldao T, Doi T, Tsujino T, Masuyama T, Kawashima S, Iwasaki T, Ohyanagi M: Ultrasound enhances retrovirus-mediated gene transfer. Prep Bioch Biotechnol 2007, 37:87–99.

53. Lee YH, Peng CA: Enhanced retroviral gene delivery in ultrasonic standing wave fields. Gene Ther 2005, 12:625–633.

54. Taylor SL, Rahim AA, Bush NL, Bamber KC, Porter CD: Targeted retroviral gene delivery using ultrasound. J Gene Med 2007, 9:77–87.

55. Geers B, Lentacker I, Alonso A, Sanders NN, Demeester J, Meairs S, De Smedt SC: Elucidating the mechanisms behind sonoporation with adenovirus-linked microbubbles. Mol Pharm 2011, 8:2244–2251.

56. Xu YL, Gao YH, Liu Z, Tan RB, Hua X, Fang QZ, Wang YL, Wang YJ, Xia HM, Zhuo ZX: Myocardium-targeted transplantation of mesenchymal stem cells by diagnostic ultrasound-mediated microbubble destruction improves cardiac function in myocardial infarction of New Zealand rabbits. Int J Cardiol 2010, 138:182–195.

57. Huang SL, Kee PH, Kim H, Moody MR, Chzanowski SM, Macdonald RC, McPherson DD: Nitric oxide-mediated echogenic liposomes for nitric oxide delivery and inhibition of intimal hyperplasia. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009, 54:652–659.

58. Saliba Y, Mougenot N, Jacquet A, Arassi F, Hatem S, Fanss N, Lorcq AM: A new method of ultrasonic nonviral gene delivery to the adult myocardium. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2012, 53:801–808.

59. Zhao YZ, Lu CT, Li XK, Tang QQ, Tian XQ, Zhao YP, Zhang Y, Tian JL, Yang W, Ge S, Nair CK, Shen X: Improving the cardio protective effect of aGF in ischaemic myocardium with ultrasound-mediated cavitation of heparin modified microbubbles: preliminary experiment. J Drug Target 2012, 20:623–631.

60. Sun L, Huang CW, Wu J, Chen KJ, Li SH, Weisel RD, Rakowski H, Sung HW, Li RK: The use of cationic microbubbles to improve ultrasound-targeted gene delivery to the ischemic myocardium. Biomaterials 2013, 34:2107–2116.

61. Phillips LC, Kilbanov AL, Wannhoff BR, Hosack JA: Intravascular ultrasound detection and delivery of molecularly targeted microbubbles for gene delivery. IEEE Trans Ultrason Ferroelectr Freq Control 2012, 59:1596–1601.

62. Ferrara K, Pollard R, Borden M: Ultrasound microbubble contrast agents: fundamentals and application to gene and drug delivery. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 2007, 9:441–447.

63. Ji S, Jun J, Xiaohan Y, et al: Study on construction of Nano tPA plasmid to prevent thrombosis after mechanical valve replacement in dogs. J Surg Res 2011, 168:81–85.

64. Ren ST, Zhang H, Wang YW, Jing BB, Li YX, Liao YR, Kang XN, Zang WJ, Wang B: The preparation of a new self-made microbubble-loading urokinase and its thrombolysis combined with low-frequency ultrasound in vitro. Ultrasound Med Biol 2011, 37:1828–1837.

65. Mannel H, Pincher J, Rathel T, Schiberg K, Zimmermann K, Pfeifer A, Mykhaylik O, Gleich B, Pohl U, Korcz F: Targeted endothelial gene delivery by ultrasonic destruction of magnetic microbubbles carrying lentiviral vectors. Pharm Res 2012, 29:1282–1294.

66. Takeshita F, Ochiya T: Therapeutic potential of RNA interference against cancer. Cancer Sci 2006, 97:589–596.

67. Kupatt C: Nonviral intercellular adhesion molecule-1 small interfering ribonucleic acid sequences transfection in vivo how ultrasound bursts into therapy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010, 55:914–916.

68. Kinoshita M, Hynynen K: A novel method for the intracranial delivery of siRNA using microbubble-enhanced focused ultrasound. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2005, 333:393–399.

69. Ghanem A, Steingenn C, Brenig F, Funde F, Bai ZY, Hall C, Chin CT, Nickenig G, Bloch W, Tiemann K: Focused ultrasound-induced stimulation of microbubbles augments site-targeted engraftment of mesenchymal stem cells after acute myocardial infarction. J Mol Cell Cardiol 2009, 47:411–418.

70. Otani K, Yamahara K, Oishihi S, Obata H, Kitamura S, Nagaya N: Nonviral delivery of siRNA into mesenchymal stem cells by a combination of ultrasound and microbubbles. J Control Release 2009, 133:146–153.

71. Toma C, Fisher A, Wang J, Chen X, Grata M, Leeman J, Winston B, Kaya M, Fu H, Lavery L, Fischer D, Wagner WR, Villanueva FS: Vascular endoluminal delivery of mesenchymal stem cells using acoustic radiation force. Tissue Eng Part A 2011, 17:1457–1464.

Cite this article as: Chen et al: Gene therapy for cardiovascular disease mediated by ultrasound and microbubbles. Cardiovascular Ultrasound 2013 11:11. doi:10.1186/1476-7120-11-11