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SUMMARY

The coleoid cephalopods (cuttlefish, octopus, and squid) are a group of soft-bodied marine mollusks that exhibit an array of interesting biological phenomena, including dynamic camouflage, complex social behaviors, prehensile regenerating arms, and large brains capable of learning, memory, and problem-solving. The dwarf cuttlefish, *Sepia bandensis*, is a promising model cephalopod species due to its small size, substantial egg production, short generation time, and dynamic social and camouflage behaviors. Cuttlefish dynamically camouflage to their surroundings by changing the color, pattern, and texture of their skin. Camouflage is optically driven and is achieved by expanding and contracting hundreds of thousands of pigment-filled saccules (chromatophores) in the skin, which are controlled by motor neurons emanating from the brain. We generated a dwarf cuttlefish brain atlas using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), deep learning, and histology, and we built an interactive web tool (https://www.cuttlebase.org/) to host the data. Guided by observations in other cephalopods, we identified 32 brain lobes, including two large optic lobes (75% the total volume of the brain), chromatophore lobes whose motor neurons directly innervate the chromatophores of the color-changing skin, and a vertical lobe that has been implicated in learning and memory. The brain largely conforms to the anatomy observed in other *Sepia* species and provides a valuable tool for exploring the neural basis of behavior in the experimentally facile dwarf cuttlefish.

RESULTS

An MRI-based 3D brain atlas of the dwarf cuttlefish

The dwarf cuttlefish, *Sepia bandensis*, is a small tropical species from the Indo-Pacific (Figure 1A) that exhibits a rich repertoire of behaviors. The skin of the dwarf cuttlefish is covered in hundreds of thousands of chromatophores (pigment-filled saccules surrounded by radial muscles) whose expansion states are controlled by motor neurons projecting from the brain. During camouflage (Figure 1B), conspecific communication (Figures 1C and 1D), and deimatic behavior, dwarf cuttlefish dynamically alter the color, pattern, and texture of their skin using chromatophores and subcutaneous papillae. This process is driven by visual circuits in the brain and is therefore extremely rapid, occurring in milliseconds. Thus, the skin patterning of cuttlefish reflects both the organism’s perception of the external world and its internal state.

The dwarf cuttlefish brain is located posterior and medial to the eyes and is encased on the posterior side by cranial cartilage (Figure 1E). We performed ex vivo magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brains of 8 adult dwarf cuttlefish (4 males, 4 females) at 50 μm isotropic resolution and then combined manual segmentation with deep learning techniques to extract each brain from its surrounding tissue (STAR Methods; Figure S1). Using 6 independent annotators, we segmented the brain lobes using prior neuroanatomical descriptions as a guide and performed pixel-voting to generate consensus boundaries. The final segmentations of the 8 brains were similar in total volume, as well as in absolute (Table S1) and relative (Table S2) lobe volumes. We detected no significant size differences between the male and female brains (Tables S1 and S2). We therefore co-registered the 8 brains to create a merged, annotated template brain (Figure 1F; Table S3).

The ex vivo dwarf cuttlefish brain is 94% the volume of the ex vivo mouse brain (Table S3; STAR Methods). The cuttlefish brain surrounds the esophagus and can be coarsely divided into a supraesophageal mass and a subesophageal mass that we further divided into 32 discrete lobes (Table 1). This value is in accord with previous anatomical studies in other species, but it remains possible that the annotated lobes can be subdivided further. The functions of most cephalopod brain lobes are unknown, but some have been assigned function through...
We have related the existing knowledge on the function and connectivity of cephalopod lobes to the *Sepia bandensis* anatomy presented here. The largest brain lobes, the optic lobes (O), comprise 75% of the total volume of the brain (Table S3), receive direct projections from the retina, and are engaged in visual processing13 (Figures 1Fi and 1Fiii). One relevant projection of the optic lobe is the lateral basal lobe (LB), an intermediate station in the camouflage pathway12,13,18,20 that may use processed visual information from the optic lobes to compute the most appropriate skin pattern components for camouflage. The vertical lobe complex lies on the dorsal side of the supraesophageal mass (Figure 1F). This complex is considered to be the learning and memory center of the brain45 and may facilitate short-term,46 spatial,31 and visual44 learning in cephalopods, including working47 and episodic-like memory.48 The vertical lobe (V) is directly underneath the dorsal cranial cartilage—an ideal structure for anchoring an electrode or GRIN lens for neural recordings49 (Figure 3B). The posterior region of the subesophageal mass (the posterior subesophageal mass, PSM) projects through an opening in the cranial cartilage (Figure 3B). Structures in this region control motor actions in the more posterior aspect of the cuttlefish. For instance, the posterior chromatophore lobes (PCL) control the chromatophores of the mantle (Figures 1Fii and 1Fiii), the fin lobes (F) control movement of the fins (Figures 1Fii and 1Fiii), and the palliovisceral lobe (PV) controls escape movements and inking (Figure 1Fiii), which are mediated by the funnel.13 These attributed functions reflect observations in other cephalopod species.

**A histological brain atlas for the dwarf cuttlefish**

We complemented the anatomical description of the cuttlefish brain with histological examination of the entire brain to obtain cellular resolution. We sectioned the brain in the transverse, horizontal, and sagittal planes (Figure 2A) and stained the sections with Phalloidin, an F-actin peptide that labels axons, and NeuroTrace, a Nissl stain that labels neuronal cell bodies and glia. Our annotated 3D MRI datasets and prior neuroanatomical descriptions12,18,19 were used to describe the histological organization of 32 brain lobes and 12 nerve tracts (Figures 2B–2D). Phalloidin and NeuroTrace staining confirmed previously described organizational features of the cephalopod brain. Most lobes are discrete and bounded, a feature not uniformly observed in vertebrate brains: each lobe contains an outer perikaryal layer of cell bodies that surrounds a dense, inner neuropil (Figures 2B–2D).50 Dwarf cuttlefish neuronal cell bodies range in diameter from ~5 μm (e.g., the vertical and optic lobes) to <80 μm in some motor areas (e.g., the fin and pedal lobes) (Figures 2E–2G).

Similar to other coleoid cephalopods, the *Sepia bandensis* optic lobe consists of two ordered outer layers, the inner and outer granular layers, apposing a zone of fibers (Figure 2E).13,20,50

For instance, the posterior chromatophore lobes (PCL) control the chromatophores of the mantle (Figures 1Fii and 1Fiii), the fin lobes (F) control movement of the fins (Figures 1Fii and 1Fiii), and the palliovisceral lobe (PV) controls escape movements and inking (Figure 1Fiii), which are mediated by the funnel.13 These attributed functions reflect observations in other cephalopod species.
Table 1. The brain lobes of the dwarf cuttlefish, *Sepia bandensis*

| Brain region                  | Abbreviation | Function                                                                 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Supraesophageal mass**      |              |                                                                          |
| Vertical lobe complex         |              | learning and memory<sup>44</sup>                                         |
| Vertical lobe                 | V            |                                                                          |
| Subvertical lobe              | SV           |                                                                          |
| Superior frontal lobe         | SF           |                                                                          |
| Inferior frontal lobe         | IF           |                                                                          |
| Posterior frontal lobe        | PF           |                                                                          |
| Basal lobe complex            |              | higher motor control<sup>18</sup>                                        |
| Anterior anterior basal lobe  | AAB          | movement of head, arms, and eyes                                         |
| Anterior posterior basal lobe | APB          |                                                                          |
| Precommissural lobe           | PC           |                                                                          |
| Dorsal basal lobe             | DB           |                                                                          |
| Interbasal lobes              | IB           | movement of feeding tentacles                                            |
| Median basal lobe             | MB           | movement of mantle and funnel during swimming and breathing, protraction and retraction of head, movement of fins, movement of buccal mass, and expansion and contraction of chromatophores |
| Lateral basal lobes           | LB           | control of chromatophores and papillae                                  |
| **Subesophageal mass**        |              |                                                                          |
| Pedal lobe complex            |              | intermediate and lower motor control of movement<sup>18</sup>            |
| Anterior pedal lobe           | AP           | movement of arms and tentacles                                           |
| Posterior pedal lobe          | PP           | movement of funnel, fins, and tentacles; head retraction                 |
| Lateral pedal lobes           | LP           | movement of eyes                                                         |
| Anterior dorsal chromatophore lobes | ADC       | control of chromatophores and papillae on the head and arms             |
| Anterior ventral chromatophore lobes | AVC     | control of chromatophores and papillae on the head and arms             |
| Magnocellular lobe complex    |              | giant fiber response (breathing)<sup>18</sup>                           |
| Dorsal magnocellular lobes    | DM           |                                                                          |
| Ventral magnocellular lobes   | VM           |                                                                          |
| Posterior magnocellular lobes | PM           |                                                                          |
| Palliovisceral lobe complex   |              | lower motor control of locomotion<sup>18</sup>                          |
| Palliovisceral lobe           | PV           | control of escape movements and ink ejection                            |
| Lateral ventral palliovisceral lobes | LVP  |                                                                          |
| Fin lobes                     | F            | movement of fins                                                         |
| Posterior chromatophore lobes | PCL          | control of chromatophores and papillae on mantle, fin, and visceral mass|
| Dorsal vasomotor lobe         | DV           |                                                                          |
| Ventral vasomotor lobe        | VV           |                                                                          |
| Brachial lobe complex         |              | motor control of arms and feeding<sup>18</sup>                         |
| Brachial lobe                 | B            | intermediate motor control of arms                                       |
| Superior buccal lobe          | SB           | biting movements of the buccal mass (not featured in 3D brain atlas)     |
| Inferior buccal lobe          | IB           | biting movements of the buccal mass (not featured in 3D or histological atlas) |
| **Periesophageal mass**       |              |                                                                          |
| Optic tract complex           |              |                                                                          |
| Optic lobes                   | O            | visual processing<sup>18</sup>                                          |
| Peduncle lobes                | P            | visuo-motor control<sup>30</sup>                                        |
| Dorsolateral lobes            | DL           |                                                                          |

(Continued on next page)
Because the cephalopod retina contains only photoreceptors, the outer layers of the optic lobe may function like the vertebrate retina and perform the initial stages of visual processing.50,51 Beneath the outer layers, the cephalopod optic lobe features a novel neural organization: a central medulla that features cell “islands” (Figure 2F) connected in an elaborate tree-like structure.13,20,52 Cell bodies near the cortex (the “branches”) appear to form columns (Figures 2B and 2C), which may reflect the presumed retinotopic order of the outer layers.13,20,53

Five pairs of nerves project from the cuttlefish brain that are easily distinguishable in histological slices and contain many of the brain’s major efferents. The largest nerves, the pallial nerves (PN), exit the posterior side of the brain and contain motor fibers that innervate the chromatophores and muscles of the mantle and fin (Figure 2B).12 The motor neurons that originate in the posterior chromatophore lobe exit via the pallial nerve, pass through the stellate ganglion, and directly innervate the radial muscles of the chromatophores.54 Severing a pallial nerve results in blanched skin, loss of the skin’s textural control, and a limp fin on the ipsilateral side of the body.55 Closely apposed to the pallial nerves are the collar nerves (C), which innervate the collar muscles and valves of the mantle (Figure 2B).12 The visceral nerves are positioned near the midline on the posterior side of the brain and innervate the heart, ink sac muscles, and digestive, reproductive, and excretory systems.12 Finally, the head retractor nerves (HR), positioned ventral to the lateral basal lobes, innervate the muscles that connect the head to the mantle (Figure 2B).12 When dwarf cuttlefish sense danger, they can retract their head inside their body using these muscles.

### Cuttlebase—a web tool for visualizing the cuttlefish brain

We built an interactive web tool, Cuttlebase, to maximize the utility of our brain atlas (Figure 3A). Cuttlebase features multiple tools for the dwarf cuttlefish, including the annotated histological sections of the brain in 3 planes (Figure 3A), the 3D brain model described above (Figure 3B), and a 3D model of an entire adult cuttlefish labeled with 26 organs, including the animal’s three hearts, gills, ink sac, beak, cuttlebone, and digestive system (Figure 3C). Furthermore, the 3D body model features the eight brachial nerves that innervate the cuttlefish’s arms, and the two pallial nerves, which exit the posterior aspect of the brain, circumscribe the digestive gland, and then pass through a hole in the mantle musculature to reach the stellate ganglion.56 Cuttlebase is easy to use, with an array of features including responsive color-coded labels for each brain region; a dynamic scale bar; the ability to zoom, rotate, and screenshot the data; and synchronized graphics that denote the brain’s orientation (Figure 3B).

Cuttlebase was designed for both educational and research settings. For its use in education, we added features that increase accessibility, including (1) a landing page that introduces cuttlefish behavior, brain anatomy, evolution, and animal care; (2) an info page that shows the cuttlefish’s gross anatomy and the sectioning planes used in the histological atlas; (3) an option to show the 3D brain model in the context of the cuttlefish’s body; and (4) mouseover descriptions of the function of each brain lobe. For expert users, we included an array of more advanced features. First, we included a dynamic scale bar in the histology mode to help users measure distances within the

---

**Table 1. Continued**

| Brain region                          | Abbreviation | Function                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| optic glands                          | OG           | neurosecretory center90                                                  |
| olfactory lobes                       | OL           | unclear function16                                                       |
| Nerve fibers                          |              |                                                                          |
| anterior magnocellular commissure     | AMC          |                                                                          |
| brachio-palliovisceral connectives    | BPC          |                                                                          |
| collar nerves                         | C            | innervation of the collar muscles and valves of the mantle12             |
| head retractor nerves                 | HR           | innervation of the muscles that connect the head to the mantle12         |
| lateral basal to posterior chromatophore lobe tracts | LBPC         |                                                                          |
| optic to anterior basal lobe tracts   | OAB          |                                                                          |
| optic to dorsal magnocellular lobe tracts | ODM         |                                                                          |
| optic to vertical lobe tracts         | OV           |                                                                          |
| pallial nerves                        | PN           | innervation of the muscles and skin of the mantle and visceral mass12    |
| subvertical to optic tracts           | SOT          |                                                                          |
| ventral optic commissure              | VOC          |                                                                          |
| visceral nerves                       | VS           | innervation of retractor muscles of the head and funnel; ink sac; heart; main blood vessels; and digestive, excretory, and reproductive systems12 |
brain and devise brain coordinates for stereotactic surgeries. Second, we imaged the cranial cartilage—the only rigid tissue around the brain—and provided its interactive graphic (Figure 3B) to facilitate the development of methods to head-fix cuttlefish, which is an important step for stabilizing the brain for two-photon imaging or electrophysiology. Finally, we made the MRI data, brain model, and full-resolution histology data available to download.

**DISCUSSION**

The 3D and histological brain atlases presented here serve as a useful resource for the investigation of the neural basis of cephalopod behavior. Users can employ Cuttlebase to guide electrode and GRIN lens insertion. Moreover, the histological atlas affords users the ability to identify experimentally targeted brain regions. The histological atlas can also be used to study spatially restricted gene expression and neural activity as defined by immediate early gene expression.

This atlas also functions as a resource for comparative neuroanatomical analyses. Little is currently known about the biology of the dwarf cuttlefish (*Sepia bandensis*), whereas the common cuttlefish (*Sepia officinalis*) has been studied extensively to understand behavior, learning and memory, and the neural control of skin patterning. Qualitative comparison of our dataset with the neuroanatomy of the common cuttlefish reveals substantial neuroanatomical resemblance despite the species’ different sizes, behaviors, and habitats. *Sepia bandensis*, a small cuttlefish species that lives in Indo-Pacific coral reefs, assembles skin patterns with predominantly high-frequency components, likely reflecting the visual statistics of their tropical habitat. By contrast, *Sepia officinalis*, a medium-sized species that lives in the rocky waters of Europe, includes a repertoire of large, disruptive patterns that resemble rocks. During male social encounters, *Sepia officinalis* and *Sepia bandensis* exhibit a high-contrast, stereotyped aggression pattern that differs in the two species: *Sepia officinalis* create a zebra pattern, whereas the *Sepia bandensis* aggression pattern is stippled (Figure 1C). Such fine-scale differences are unlikely to be reflected at the coarse anatomic level, but may be more evident in the analysis of patterns of neural activity. The generation of cephalopod cell atlases and their assignment to neuroanatomical atlases will help build a more comprehensive view of cephalopod brain organization.

Quantitative comparison of the *Sepia bandensis* brain with the annotated brains of two cephalopod species of similar body sizes (mourning cuttlefish, *Sepia plangon*, and juvenile bigfin reef squid, *Sepioteuthis lessoniana*) reveals coarse similarity in relative lobe volumes in all three species (Table S4). Some volumetric differences, such as the smaller vertical lobe of *Sepioteuthis lessoniana*, could reflect underlying biological differences. However, larger sample sizes and brain lobe boundary consensuses are needed before reliable quantitative cross-species volume comparisons can be made.

This atlas also permits neuroanatomical comparisons across phyla. Despite ~600 million years of separation...
between cuttlefish and fruit flies, the brains of these species share coarse organizational features. The esophagus runs through the center of the brain, two large optic lobes flank the central brain mass, and the learning and memory centers—the mushroom body in *Drosophila* and the vertical lobe in cuttlefish—lie on the dorsal side of the brain. Furthermore, the two outer layers of the cuttlefish optic lobe may share properties with the lamina and medulla of the dipteran visual system. Interestingly, recent single-cell gene expression profiling of a developing octopus brain has revealed molecular resemblances between the Kenyon cells of the *Drosophila* mushroom body and the vertical lobe cells of the octopus brain. The combination of anatomical, cellular, and molecular maps of the cephalopod brain should provide insight into how these invertebrate mollusks evolved their complex cognitive abilities and behaviors.

In summary, Cuttlebase was designed to aid the investigation of cuttlefish biology and now renders *Sepia bandensis* a more facile system for the study of the neural control of behavior.

**STAR METHODS**

Detailed methods are provided in the online version of this paper and include the following:
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KEY RESOURCES TABLE

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| Biological samples  |        |            |
| Sepia bandensis (dwarf cuttlefish) | Quality Marine | N/A |
| Chemicals, peptides, and recombinant proteins | | |
| Marinemix salt | Crystal Sea | N/A |
| Paraformaldehyde (16%) | Electron Microscopy Services | 15710 |
| Omniscan (gadodiamide) | GE Healthcare | 0407-0690-10 |
| Fomblin | Thermo Scientific Chemicals | L17548.14 |
| Tissue-Tek O.C.T. compound | Sakura Finetek | 25608-930 |
| Alexa Fluor 488 Phalloidin | Life Technologies | A12379 |
| NeuroTrace 520/615 Red Fluorescent Nissl Stain | Invitrogen | N21482 |
| Deposited data | | |
| Cuttlefish MRI and histology brain data | This paper | https://www.cuttlebase.org/downloads |
| Cuttlefish 3D brain and body models | This paper | https://www.cuttlebase.org/downloads |
| Software and algorithms | | |
| DL-BET | Gjerswold-Selleck et al.65 | https://github.com/SAIL-GuoLab/DL-BET |
| Cuttlebase template brain generation | This paper | https://github.com/SAIL-GuoLab/Cuttlebase |
| Cuttlebase image processing utility scripts | This paper | https://github.com/noisyneuron/cuttlebase-util |
| Cuttlebase web content delivery | This paper | https://github.com/noisyneuron/cuttlebase-website |
| 3D Slicer | Fedorov et al.66 | https://www.slicer.org/ |
| BrainJ | This paper | https://github.com/lahammond/BrainJ |
| Fiji | Schindelin et al.67 | https://fiji.sc/ |

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Tessa Montague (tessa.montague@columbia.edu).

Materials availability
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

Data and code availability
The MRI data, histology data, 3D brain and 3D body models have been deposited on Cuttlebase and are publicly available (for links see key resources table). All original code has been deposited at GitHub (see key resources table). Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Ethics statement
The use of cephalopods in laboratory research is currently not regulated in the USA. However, Columbia University has established strict policies for the ethical use of cephalopods, including operational oversight from the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). All of the cuttlefish used in this study were handled according to an approved IACUC protocol (AC-AABE3564), including the use of deep anesthesia and the minimization and prevention of suffering. The animal handling also adhered to European Directive 2010/63/EU.68

Cuttlefish husbandry
Dwarf cuttlefish (Sepia bandensis) were housed in 10-gallon glass tanks in groups (<4 adults) within a recirculating 250-gallon system of 32 parts per thousand (ppt) artificial seawater (Crystal Sea Marinemix) maintained at 76°F. Adult cuttlefish were fed 3 live grass
shrimp (*Palaemonetes pugio*, Aquatic Indicators), 3 times per day. Frequent water changes and mechanical, chemical and biological filtration were used to maintain water quality parameters: ammonia 0 parts per million (ppm), nitrite <0.1 ppm, nitrate <20 ppm and pH 8.1–8.4.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**3D brain atlas**

**MRI acquisition**

8 adult cuttlefish (4 male, 4 female; 6-7 months old; average mantle length 60 mm) were anesthetized in a solution of 1% ethanol/ artificial seawater and then euthanized in 10% ethanol for 10 min followed by decapitation. The brains and connected eyes were surgically removed and fixed overnight in a solution of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in filtered artificial seawater (FASW) at 4°C. The fixed brains were washed in PBS, incubated in 0.2% Omniscan (gadodiamide) for 2 days at 4°C to increase contrast, and then suspended in formalin in a 15 mL conical tube. Imaging was performed on a Bruker BioSpec 94/30 horizontal small animal MRI scanner (field strength, 9.4 T; bore size, 30 cm) equipped with a CryoProbe and ParaVision 6.0.1 software (Bruker). A 23 mm 1H circularly polarized transmit/receive-capable mouse head volume coil was used for imaging. For each cuttlefish, one scan was acquired of the brain and eyes (to obtain a scan of the entire cranial cartilage), and a higher resolution scan was acquired of the brain only. T1-weighted images were acquired with a Fast Low Angle Shot (FLASH) sequence (brain/eye scan: TR = 50 ms, TE = 10 ms, FOV = 30 × 24 × 15 mm³, voxel size = 100 × 100 × 100 μm³, scan time = 33 m 12 s; brain-only scan: TR = 50 ms, TE = 8.5 ms, FOV = 14 × 12 × 11 mm³, voxel size = 60 × 60 × 60 μm³, scan time = 4 hr 28 m).

**MRI processing & brain extraction**

All scans underwent N4 bias field correction. Whole brain scans were isotropically upsampled to 50 μm isotropic resolution with cubic B-spline interpolation. To computationally extract the brains from their surrounding tissue, brain masks were generated by an in-house deep learning model, which was pre-trained with brain masks manually annotated in 3D Slicer. The deep learning mask was manually segmented using the 3D Slicer Segment Editor and then used to extract the brain from each brain-only MRI scan ("brain-extracted images") (Figure S1). For the brain/eye scans, the cranial cartilage was manually segmented in 3D Slicer using the Segment Editor. The cartilage masks were used to extract the cartilage from each brain/eye MRI scan ("cartilage-extracted images").

**Segmentation**

Two of the whole brain scans (1 male, 1 female) were manually segmented in 3D Slicer by 6 independent annotators guided by prior neuroanatomical descriptions. The brain label maps for each subject were merged using pixel-level majority voting, transformed to the remaining 6 subjects, and then manually corrected, resulting in 8 brain label maps corresponding to the 8 subjects. Lobe locations were determined by prior annotations and precise lobe boundaries were estimated using the location of an outer cell body layer, which is a feature of most cephalopod brain lobes. The combined use of high-resolution histological data and three-dimensional MRI data aided the discrimination of lobes in 3D space.

**Generation of the template brain**

The final MRI atlas was built by merging the brain template, built from the high-resolution brain-only scans and their mirror images, with the cranial cartilage template, built from the brain/eye scans. First, to generate each template, a population average of brain-extracted or cartilage-extracted images was constructed through an iterative process by averaging the co-registered images over multiple cycles using a symmetric diffeomorphic registration algorithm. The brain label map (annotations) for each subject was diffeomorphically transformed to the whole brain template space and combined through pixel-level majority voting. The brain/eye template was isotropically upsampled to match the 50 μm resolution of the whole brain scans, and the whole brain template underwent rigid registration to align it with the brain/eye template. Finally, the brain regions of the whole brain template were combined with the cartilage regions of the whole head template to build a single atlas. In the regions where the two templates overlapped, pixel values of the high-resolution whole brain template were selected. The cuttlefish brain label map was smoothed by taking a majority vote in a local neighborhood with a 3 × 3 × 3 kernel size.

**Brain volume calculations**

A mouse MRI brain model (15 μm resolution, average of 18 ex vivo subjects) was downloaded from the Australian Mouse Brain Mapping Consortium (nonsymmetrical version, NIfTI format, https://imaging.org.au/AMBMCM/Model), and then downsampled to 50 μm resolution and imported into 3D Slicer. Using the Editor tool at a threshold of zero, a mask was generated of the entire mouse brain, and the spinal cord was manually removed using the eraser tool. The volume of the mouse brain mask was calculated using the Label Statistics tool (total volume: 332.7 mm³). Cuttlefish brain lobe volumes were calculated using the Label Statistics tool in 3D Slicer (see Tables S1–S4). The total cuttlefish template brain volume was calculated by summing the volumes of all internal brain lobes and nerves (total volume: 312.1 mm³).

**Histological atlas**

Adult cuttlefish were anesthetized in a solution of 1% ethanol/artificial seawater and then euthanized in 10% ethanol for 10 min followed by decapitation. The brains and connected eyes were surgically removed and fixed overnight in a solution of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in filtered artificial seawater (FASW) at 4°C. Note that fixing brains in a solution of PBS (instead of FASW) created abnormal cell morphologies. The fixed brains were washed in PBS, the eyes were removed with a scalpel, and the brains were
incubated in 10% sucrose overnight followed by 30% sucrose overnight at 4°C. The brains were embedded in O.C.T. on dry ice and stored at -80°C. Each brain was sliced in 100 μm sections on a cryostat (Leica CM3050 S), and the sections were dried overnight at room temperature. The sections were stained with Phalloidin (Life Technologies #A12379, 1/40 dilution) and NeuroTrace (Life Technologies #N21482, 1/20 dilution) and then imaged on a custom-built Nikon AZ100 Multizoom Slide Scanner. Images were registered using BrainJ (http://github.com/lahammond/BrainJ). Brightness and contrast were adjusted uniformly across each image, and surrounding tissue was removed manually from the image in Fiji67 and Photoshop. The data was manually segmented in 3D Slicer by two annotators using a neuroanatomical study18 and our 3D brain data for reference.

Whole body atlas
An adult male cuttlefish was anesthetized in MgCl₂ (17.5 g/L) and then euthanized in 10% ethanol, fixed for 2 days in 4% PFA/FASW at 4°C, and then incubated in 0.2% Omniscan (gadodiamide) for 2 days at 4°C to increase contrast. The fixed specimen was suspended in fomblin in a custom-made vessel and imaged on a Bruker BioSpec 94/30 horizontal small animal MRI scanner (field strength, 9.4 T; bore size, 30 cm) equipped with a CryoProbe and ParaVision 6.0.1 software (Bruker). A 112/86-mm 1H circularly polarized transmit/receive-capable volume coil was used for imaging. T1-weighted images were acquired with a FLASH sequence (TR = 55 ms, TE = 17 ms, FOV = 90 x 48 x 38 mm³, voxel size = 100 x 100 x 100 μm³, scan time = 2 hr 47 m). The scan underwent N4 bias field correction69 and was manually segmented in 3D Slicer using anatomical descriptions.71

Cuttlebase
The Cuttlebase web content is delivered using React, a JavaScript front-end framework for dynamic websites. React-three-fiber (a Three.js wrapper for React) is used to assist with interactions in the 3D view.

3D brain
In 3D Slicer, each segment (brain lobe or tract) of the final, template brain was exported as an STL file and then labelled with a unique identifier in Blender, a 3D authoring software. The 3D model was exported as a GLB, and then imported into a webpage using Three.js - a JavaScript library for handling 3D content on the web. A custom web-interface was created to assign colors to each of the region meshes, and this data was exported as a JSON file.

Histology
To convert the histological annotations to high-resolution images that could be toggled on Cuttlebase, each segment (brain lobe or tract) for each brain (horizontal, sagittal and transverse) was converted to a binary label map in 3D Slicer and saved as a TIFF stack. Each TIFF stack was resized to the canvas size of the original image in Fiji,67 and saved as a JPEG stack in monochrome. The images were then inverted and processed with Potrace (through a custom Node.js script), to create SVGs for each region of each layer. The SVGs for all regions in a single layer were combined, and each region was assigned the color corresponding to the 3D atlas. These images, along with the originals, were resized and cropped for more efficient web delivery. Additionally, the cartilage in each Phalloidin section was isolated (by outlining) using Adobe Illustrator, and exported as a PNG. These images were used as masks on the NeuroTrace layers with the command-line tool ImageMagick, to automate this process for the remaining images. Custom Bash scripts were used to manage and organize the large amounts of data and the processing steps required.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Brain lobe volumes were calculated in 3D Slicer using the Label Statistics tool. For each brain lobe, the standard deviation (SD) of lobe volumes was calculated across the 8 brains (see Tables S1 and S2). T-tests comparing lobe volumes in the 4 female and 4 male brains were calculated using a two-tailed distribution and two-sample equal variance test (see Tables S1 and S2).