Dynamic ion pair behavior stabilizes single α-helices in proteins
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Ion pairs are key stabilizing interactions between oppositely charged amino acid side chains in proteins. They are often depicted as single conformer salt bridges (hydrogen-bonded ion pairs) in crystal structures, but it is unclear how dynamic they are in solution. Ion pairs are thought to be particularly important in stabilizing single α-helix (SAH) domains in solution. These highly stable domains are rich in charged residues (such as Arg, Lys, and Glu) with potential ion pairs across adjacent turns of the helix. They provide a good model system to investigate how ion pairs can contribute to protein stability. Using NMR spectroscopy, small-angle X-ray light scattering (SAXS), and molecular dynamics simulations, we provide here experimental evidence that ion pairs exist in a SAH in murine myosin 7a (residues 858–935), but that they are not fixed or long lasting. In silico modeling revealed that the ion pairs within this α-helix exhibit dynamic behavior, rapidly forming and breaking and alternating between different partner residues. The low-energy helical state was compatible with a great variety of ion pair combinations. Flexible ion pair formation utilizing a subset of those available at any one time avoided the entropic penalty of fixing side chain conformations, which likely contributed to helix stability overall. These results indicate the dynamic nature of ion pairs in SAHs. More broadly, thermodynamic stability in other proteins is likely to benefit from the dynamic behavior of multi-option solvent-exposed ion pairs.

Ion pairs are interactions formed between the negatively charged residue side chains in Asp or Glu and positively charged residue side chains in Arg, His, or Lys. These interactions are thought to be important in stabilizing protein structure, and they often occur at catalytically active sites. In structural models, they are commonly depicted as fixed, despite the marginal energetic benefit they provide compared with individually solvated charged groups. Indeed, it is unclear how static or dynamic ion pairs are, as investigations into ion pair dynamics, especially when solvent-exposed, are lacking.

Ion pairs can be classified into different forms, depending on their geometry. A salt bridge is a hydrogen-bonded ion pair and constitutes the most tightly constrained or static picture of an ion pairing. Contact ion pairs have no intervening (water) molecules between the charged groups but do not necessarily fulfill the geometric requirements to form a hydrogen bond. Longer-range ion pairs with weaker electrostatic interactions can form across water molecules.

Ion pairs are thought to be particularly common in single α-helices (SAHs). These are continuous α-helices that remain stable in the absence of any tertiary structure (1, 2). Their sequences are rich in Arg, Glu, and Lys, and the remarkable stability of these structures is thought to arise from a dense network of ion pairs that form between oppositely charged side chains across neighboring turns of the helix (3, 4). SAHs maintain their helicity over a wide range of salt and pH conditions and generally unfold in a noncooperative manner (2, 5–7). They are widespread, occurring in many different proteins (3, 8–12).

The high potential for ion pair formation in SAHs makes them a good model system to investigate how ion pairs contribute to protein stability. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of SAHs have suggested that the ion pairs exhibit dynamic behavior (4, 5). The variability in rotamer conformations for Glu–Arg and Glu–Lys ion pairs, from an analysis of helix crystal structures in the PDB, also provides evidence of some dynamic behavior (5, 7). Dynamic ion pairs, using multiple side chain rotamers and alternative pairings, are likely to lower the entropic cost compared with fixed ion pairs (or salt bridges), and this will contribute to their stabilizing properties in SAHs and other proteins. However, experimental evidence of the dynamic behavior of ion pair formation is very limited.

To determine the behavior of exposed ion pairs in solution, we analyzed the SAH from myosin 7a (M7A; mouse, residues 858–935) using a combination of approaches, including solution NMR spectroscopy and molecular dynamics simulations. We selected the M7A SAH, as its less repetitive sequence compared with that of other known SAHs was expected to facilitate
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a more complete assignment of the NMR spectra. A crystal structure recently obtained for mouse M7A (residues 866–935) (13) confirmed our original prediction that M7A contains a SAH between its lever and tail domains, in common with other myosin isoforms (2, 14–16). That study also showed that, whereas 35 Glu-Lys and Glu-Arg helix-compatible ion pairs can potentially form, only eight pairs of interactions were identified in their analysis (13), leaving the majority of Glu, Lys, and Arg residues in the sequence without an ion pair partner. It is likely that only some of the potential ion pairs are captured in a single crystal structure. Thus, the possibility remains that a larger and more diverse set of ion pairs is present in solution. We set out to test this hypothesis by using solution NMR spectroscopy. We also performed MD simulations, to further explore ion pair behavior in this sequence. Our experimental data show that the ion pairs are not static but instead are dynamic, and this is likely to be important for stabilizing this and other proteins.

Results

The full-length M7A SAH is highly helical, elongated, monomeric, and stable

An initial characterization of the full-length M7A SAH (Fig. S1) by CD, analytical ultracentrifugation (AUC), size-exclusion chromatography, and small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) demonstrated that our M7A SAH construct (residues 858–935) exhibited the properties of previously well-characterized SAHs in solution (2, 6, 10, 16). M7A SAH was ~90% helical at low temperature, melted noncooperatively, and remained highly helical over a wide range of pH and salt concentrations (Fig. S1, A–D). It was monomeric and had an elongated structure in solution, as demonstrated by analytical ultracentrifugation and size-exclusion chromatography, respectively (Fig. S1, E and F). Additional data from SAXS was again consistent with a continuous (extended) helix structure for M7A SAH (Fig. S1G). The Kratky plot of the scattering data ((q^2l(q) as a function of q, where the momentum transfer q = 4πsinθ/λ, where λ is the beam wavelength, 2θ is the scattering angle, and I is the scattering intensity) is not bell-shaped, as expected for a globular protein, but exhibits a plateau at high scattering intensity) is not bell-shaped, as expected for a globular structure (model structures with a range of radii of gyration (11)). It was monomeric and had an elongated structure in solution, as demonstrated by analytical ultracentrifugation and size-exclusion chromatography, respectively (Fig. S1, E and F). Additional data from SAXS was again consistent with a continuous (extended) helix structure for M7A SAH (Fig. S1G). The Kratky plot of the scattering data ((q^2l(q) as a function of q, where the momentum transfer q = 4πsinθ/λ, where λ is the beam wavelength, 2θ is the scattering angle, and I is the scattering intensity) is not bell-shaped, as expected for a globular protein, but exhibits a plateau at high scattering intensity) is not bell-shaped, as expected for a globular structure (model structures with a range of radii of gyration (11)).

Next, we used heteronuclear NOE and relaxation experiments to interrogate the local and global dynamics of M7A SAH. 1H–15N heteronuclear NOE values were high and positive for residues 866–926 (Fig. 2A), demonstrating that these backbone amides (away from the termini) are ordered on the picosecond to nanosecond timescale and are thus stably folded. Relaxation NMR experiments showed that 15N backbone nuclei in the more disordered residues at the N- and C-terminal ends exhibited faster R1 rates and slower R2 rates compared with those from the more ordered central residues in the helix (Fig. 2B and C). Longitudinal relaxation rates (R1) of 15N nuclei are sensitive to the fast (picosecond to nanosecond scale) dynamics, whereas transverse relaxation rates (R2) also include a contribution from slower dynamic processes.

The global/overall rotational correlation time (τc) estimated using the R1 and R2 rates for the structurally ordered residues (27, 28), was high (138 ns at 950 MHz and 15.5 ns at 750 MHz) and would correspond to a mass in the range of 34–49 kDa for a model spherical protein (29). These data indicate that M7A SAH has a much slower rate of tumbling in solution compared with a globular protein of similar mass, consistent with the formation of a long continuous α-helix. The reason for the large apparent τc value is the highly anisotropic tumbling of the helix in solution. Backbone N–H bonds in a helix are oriented along the helical axis. Relaxation through reorientation of the N–H bond vector will therefore depend on the less dynamic end-over-end rotation of the helix as opposed to faster axial rotation. The SAH combines the lack of chemical shift dispersion seen in low-complexity intrinsically disordered proteins with the unfavorable relaxation properties of a globular protein with much larger mass, explaining the challenging nature of NMR approaches applied to this system.

NMR shows the presence of ion pairs in M7A SAH

Analysis of the side chains in the SAH, which have low sequence diversity, is much more challenging than in the backbone. Many side chain nuclei resonances, especially those of...
Glu, Arg, and Lys side chains, are characterized by a significant degree of overlap. The level of degeneracy of resonance positions is illustrated for parts of the $^{13}$C HSQC spectrum (Fig. 3A), which displays tight clusters of peaks for different side chain positions. The most extreme example of this is for the Lys H/–C/C resonances, which appear effectively as a single peak for 11 residues (22 protons). This effectively puts an NOE-based NMR structure determination, using ARIA (30–32) or similar methods, beyond reach.

However, our main focus was to evaluate the behavior of the Glu, Arg, and Lys side chains and possible ion pairs between them. From studies on intrinsically disordered proteins, it has been established that N and CO nuclei retain the largest degree of chemical shift dispersion, and therefore experiments that relay magnetization to side chain (or backbone) N and CO hold the most promise in this regard (33, 34). Glu residue interactions can be inferred from chemical shift measurements of the side chain carbonyl carbon and nearby H nuclei. Improved peak dispersion (over $^{13}$C-HSQC spectra) was achieved for Glu $^{1}$H/–H resonances by correlating with the adjacent carbonyl carbon (C/–C) nuclei, which are also the most relevant marker nuclei for ion pair interactions involving Glu (Fig. 3B). Specific assignment for all Glu C/–C nuclei and thereby a significant number of Glu $^{1}$H/–H pairs was achieved by linking C/–C shifts back to the backbone (see “Experimental procedures”).

Two key findings are demonstrated by these experiments. First, the measured Glu C/–C shifts (>183.1 ppm) indicate that all Glu residues in M7A SAH are in the deprotonated COO/–H form rather than the protonated COOH form. For comparison, in a random coil model peptide, deprotonated Glu C/–C shifts were 183.8 ppm, whereas protonated Glu C/–C had significantly lower chemical shifts (179.7 ppm) (35). Second, most of the accurately assigned H pairs from the central part of the protein displayed two distinct peaks that result from restricted rotational motion between rotameric states. In contrast, H pairs in residues near the protein termini (Glu862 and Glu935) exhibited very small resolvable differences in chemical shift. This result indicates that side chains of the central Glu residues in M7A SAH are not...
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Figure 2. Dynamic properties from $^1$H–$^{15}$N NOE and $^{15}$N relaxation studies of M7A SAH. Shown are plots of $^1$H–$^{15}$N NOE (A), longitudinal ($R_1$) (B), and transverse ($R_2$) (C) $^{15}$N relaxation rates, as a function of residue number. Relaxation data were not recorded for a number of residues, most particularly between residues 876 and 896; many of the associated peaks were heavily overlapped with higher intensity neighbors. Error bars in B and C, error estimation from Monte Carlo–based fitting.

able to freely rotate in the structure and are thus interacting with other residues in the protein.

The most appropriate nuclei to report on salt bridge formation from the positively charged residues (Lys and Arg) are the side chain nitrogens ($^4$H–$^{15}$N). Under our original conditions of pH 7.4 and 23.4 °C, the ionizable side chain H–N correlations in Lys and Arg were not accessible; HSQC and HiSQC spectra ($^2$) lack peaks for these groups due to rapid hydrogen exchange with water. However, reducing the pH to 5.5 and temperature to 10 °C slowed proton exchange and enabled us to observe Lys $H_2$–$N_2$, Arg $H_1$–$N_1$, and Arg $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations for M7A SAH. The overall helicity changes little from the original conditions, supporting the assumption that the general structure and mode of stabilization are not affected (Fig. S1, B and C).

At pH 5.5 and a temperature of 10 °C, the Lys $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations in M7A SAH (from 11 Lys residues) are visible, albeit as a single peak that is only resolved into two peaks at high resolution (Fig. 4A). Poorly dispersed $H_1$–$N_1$ correlations for the 14 Arg residues were observed with $H_1$ shifts only ranging from 6.7 to 7.1 ppm (Fig. 4B). In contrast, it was possible to independently interrogate all Arg $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations, which appear as sharper peaks across a broader range of $^1$H chemical shifts (Fig. 4C). As the Arg $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations are very well-resolved, we utilized NMR techniques to investigate their participation in ion pairs. We used existing protocols or designed new experiments to measure the chemical shifts, dynamics (43, 44), intra-residue $J_{NC}^{\beta}$ couplings, and long-range interresidue $J_{NC}^{\gamma}$ couplings between Arg $N$e and carbonyl carbons ($C'$) for the Glu side chains ($C8$). The $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations were assigned to each Arg residue by linking back to the backbone (see “Experimental procedures” and Fig. S3).

The Arg $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations fall into distinct groups that indicate their potential involvement in ion pairs (Fig. 4C). Peaks from Arg residues that can potentially make multiple interactions are generally found on the far left (He > 7.6 ppm). These match the six Arg residues that form ion pairs in chain A of the crystal structure (13). Peaks from Arg residues close to the N and C termini are found on the far right (He < 7.3 ppm). The peak for the central Arg residue, Arg$^{900}$, which has no potential ion pair partners, appears close to the terminal Arg residues. Participation of an NH group in a hydrogen bond (or salt bridge) should be accompanied by a downfield shift of the proton (45). Although the He shifts observed here are good indicators of ion pair participation, more significant chemical shift changes (He ~ 9 ppm) have been seen previously in examples where Arg side chains participate in a hydrogen bond (43, 46). It is worth noting that the $H_1$–$N_1$ correlations all appear close together (Fig. 4B). There are no downshifted $H_1$ or $N_1$ resonances that would indicate salt bridge formation through these groups, unlike the $H_1$–$N_1$ correlations seen in spectra of complexes formed through Arg–carboxylate (47) or Arg–phosphotyrosine (43) interactions.

Further evidence points to the majority of central Arg residues being involved to some degree in ion pair formation. The $H_2$–$N_2$ peaks for central Arg residues show small positive heteronuclear NOEs ($^3$) consistent with the side chains being relatively ordered compared with those of the N and C termini. Those located close to the N or C terminus have 14 Arg residues were observed with $H_1$ shifts only ranging from 6.7 to 7.1 ppm (Fig. 4B). In contrast, it was possible to independently interrogate all Arg $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations, which appear as sharper peaks across a broader range of $^1$H chemical shifts (Fig. 4C). As the Arg $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations are very well-resolved, we utilized NMR techniques to investigate their participation in ion pairs. We used existing protocols or designed new experiments to measure the chemical shifts, dynamics (43, 44), intra-residue $J_{NC}^{\beta}$ couplings, and long-range interresidue $J_{NC}^{\gamma}$ couplings between Arg $N$e and carbonyl carbons ($C'$) for the Glu side chains ($C8$). The $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations were assigned to each Arg residue by linking back to the backbone (see “Experimental procedures” and Fig. S3).

The Arg $H_2$–$N_2$ correlations fall into distinct groups that indicate their potential involvement in ion pairs (Fig. 4C). Peaks from Arg residues that can potentially make multiple interactions are generally found on the far left (He > 7.6 ppm). These match the six Arg residues that form ion pairs in chain A of the crystal structure (13). Peaks from Arg residues close to the N and C termini are found on the far right (He < 7.3 ppm). The peak for the central Arg residue, Arg$^{900}$, which has no potential ion pair partners, appears close to the terminal Arg residues. Participation of an NH group in a hydrogen bond (or salt bridge) should be accompanied by a downfield shift of the proton (45). Although the He shifts observed here are good indicators of ion pair participation, more significant chemical shift changes (He ~ 9 ppm) have been seen previously in examples where Arg side chains participate in a hydrogen bond (43, 46). It is worth noting that the $H_1$–$N_1$ correlations all appear close together (Fig. 4B). There are no downshifted $H_1$ or $N_1$ resonances that would indicate salt bridge formation through these groups, unlike the $H_1$–$N_1$ correlations seen in spectra of complexes formed through Arg–carboxylate (47) or Arg–phosphotyrosine (43) interactions.

Further evidence points to the majority of central Arg residues being involved to some degree in ion pair formation. The $H_2$–$N_2$ peaks for central Arg residues show small positive heteronuclear NOEs (Fig. 4D) and $^{15}$N Table 1) consistent with the side chains being relatively ordered compared with those of the N and C termini. Those located close to the N or C terminus have clear negative $^3$H–$^{15}$N heteronuclear NOEs. Whereas the comparatively high values of the NOEs indicate a restricted or slower timescale of local motion, the values do not approach those measured for the backbone residues, nor those of Arg $N$e involved in binding to phosphotyrosine (43). $R_1$ and $R_2$ values for Arg $N$e show a pattern broadly similar to that of the heteronuclear NOEs with higher values for the central residues compared with those at the termini (Table 1). This again is an indicator that central Arg side chains are more constrained than...
Figure 3. NMR spectra to interrogate side chain nuclei in Glu, Lys, and Arg. A, sections of the $^{13}$C HSQC spectrum for M7A highlighting the most distal H-C correlation from the side chain of Glu (Hy–Cy), Lys (He–Ce), and Arg (He–Ce). B, the Hy(Cy)Cδ Glu-specific spectrum exhibits improved peak dispersion over the Hy–Cy correlations in the $^{13}$C HSQC.
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A

Lys Nζ–Hζ

B

Arg Nη–Hη

C

Arg Nε–Hε

D

hetNOE

Residue number

Backbone N Arg (TROSY, 10 °C)
Nε Arg (non-TROSY, 10 °C)
Nε Arg (TROSY, 10 °C)
**Table 1**

| Arginine | $J_{\text{NC}}$ | hetNOE | $R_s$ | $R_d$ | No. of Glu neighbors
|----------|-----------------|--------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| 858'' | 1.1 | -1.00 ± 0.09 | 0.53 | 2.3 | 1 |
| 860'' | 1.2 | -0.81 ± 0.13 | 0.60 | 2.8 | 0 |
| 865 | 1.3 | -0.08 ± 0.01 | 0.68 | 4.3 | 2 |
| 866 | 1.1 | -0.26 ± 0.02 | 0.69 | 5.0 | 2 |
| 870 | 0.9 ± 0.1 | 0.08 ± 0.01 | 0.74 | 6.7 | 1 |
| 873 | NR | 0.17 ± 0.003 | 0.63 | 16.2 ± 0.7 | 3 |
| 881 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | 0.11 ± 0.02 | 0.74 | 6.0 | 2 |
| 885 | 0.6 ± 0.2 | 0.07 ± 0.02 | 0.74 | 9.2 ± 0.1 | 3 |
| 900 | 1.7 ± 0.1 | 0.04 ± 0.009 | 0.76 | 6.9 | 0 |
| 906 | 0.8 ± 0.1 | -0.06 ± 0.004 | 0.72 | 5.0 | 1 |
| 911 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.09 ± 0.01 | 0.77 | 7.8 | 2 |
| 920 | NR | 0.09 ± 0.001 | 0.72 | 12.5 ± 0.2 | 2 |
| 921 | 1.2 ± 0.1 | 0.10 ± 0.018 | 0.76 | 5.5 | 3 |
| 933 | 1.1 | -0.40 ± 0.02 | 0.64 | 2.9 | 1 |

*Errors for $J_{\text{NC}}$ were calculated by finding the range in $J_{\text{NC}}$ values through adding and subtracting the baseline noise level to the peak intensities (<0.1 Hz where not shown). NR, peaks for Arg873 and Arg920 were barely visible in the non-TROSY.\

**NMR shows that ion pairs in M7A SAH are dynamic**

The above data shows evidence for Arg-Ne pairing in M7A SAH. The next question was whether we could detect salt bridges (or hydrogen-bonded ion pairs). Fixed and stable salt bridges should show through-hydrogen-bond couplings between the associated nitrogen and carbonyl carbon nuclei (48, 49). Very weak coupling (<0.2 Hz) for mobile hydrogen bonding interactions between Lys N$\alpha$ and C' nuclei in ubiquitin has also been observed using this approach (42). In addition, there is an example of a weak coupling reported for a side-chain–side chain interaction (between Arg and Asp), although no value was reported (46). To this end, we adapted a spin-echo difference experiment (42) to measure $J_{\text{NC}}$ couplings between Arg Ne and Glu C\(\delta\) (see “Experimental procedures” and Fig. S4).

First, measurements of small $J_{\text{NC}}$ couplings within each Arg residue (i.e. $J_{\text{NC,AB}}$) were used to validate the spin-echo difference experiment and report on the Arg side chain conformational ensemble (42). The experiment could readily measure $J_{\text{NC,AB}}$ coupling along the Arg side chain, as shown by a drop in peak intensity between the two component spectra (Fig. S4a). The values ranged from 0.6 Hz for the downfield-shifted Arg$^{895}$, to 1.7 Hz for Arg$^{900}$ (Table 1). The $J_{\text{NC,AB}}$ coupling constant reports on the distribution of $\chi_3$ (Ne$–$C$\beta$–C\(\gamma$–C\(\delta\)) angles occupied by each Arg residue. By analogy with other

N-C–C-C dihedrals (42), larger $J_{\text{NC,AB}}$ values are indicative of larger trans $\chi_3$ contributions, and smaller $J_{\text{NC,AB}}$ values indicate larger gauche contributions (see Fig. S5A for a qualitative description). Arg$^{895}$, which has no potential ion pair Glu neighbors, spends more time in the less sterically demanding trans conformation. Arg$^{895}$, on the other hand, favors gauche conformations, presumably to more readily interact with one or more of its three potential Glu ion pair neighbors. Intermediate $J_{\text{NC,AB}}$ values point toward variation in the $\chi_3$ dihedral angle, with side chains occupying both trans and gauche rotamers.

The same spin-echo difference experiment was then used to examine through-hydrogen-bond coupling ($J_{\text{NC}}$) to Glu C\(\delta\). As there was no drop in peak intensity between the component spectra of the experiment, values of $J_{\text{NC}}$ remained below the detection limit (of ~0.2 Hz) for at least 12 of the 14 Arg residues (Fig. 5B). As peaks were not observed in the subspectra for Arg$^{873}$ and Arg$^{920}$, due to their fast relaxation properties, estimation of $J_{\text{NC}}$ was not possible for these residues. Very small coupling constants indicate limited orbital overlap from Arg Ne to Glu C\(\delta\).

Taken together, the chemical shifts and dynamics of the Arg Ne reflect the participation of the central Arg side chains in ion pair interactions with neighboring groups, whereas the very small size or absence of through-hydrogen-bond couplings argues against specific salt bridges (50). These data indicate either that the SAH is composed of ion pairs in a dynamic network or that there is a preference for weaker solvent-bridged ion pairs. Salt bridges and contact ion pairs, if they form, can only exhibit short lifetimes, perhaps as part of a dynamic ensemble of bonded, unbonded, and solvent-bridged states.

**Molecular dynamics simulation for M7A SAH indicates dynamic ion pair interactions between charged side chain residues**

The NMR data indicate that whereas the M7A SAH is helical, formation of salt bridges is transient, or looser ion pairs are formed (e.g. bridged by an intervening water molecule). To explore this further, we ran equilibrium simulations of M7A SAH using a perfect $\alpha$-helix as a starting structure. During the simulation, the structure flexes but remains a continuous single helix throughout, with an average overall helicity of 90–92%. As indicated above, the average $R_d$ of the protein from simulation (34.5 Å) matched the experimental value from SAXS (33.9 Å).

Simulations show the presence of ion pairs not observed in the crystal structure and highlight transitions between different accessible ion pairings. Plots of the distance between charge centers in Glu-Arg and Glu-Lys pairs show many large- and small-scale transitions (Fig. 6A). The ion pair occupancy (fraction of time that charged residues were close enough to form a “contact” ion pair, calculated using a threshold distance of 4 Å (5)) showed which ion pairs are most likely. All of the ion pairs compatible with an extended helical conformation were ob-

Figure 4. $^{15}$N HMQC NMR spectra for side chain Lys or Arg N–H groups, and heteronuclear NOE data for Arg Ne–He at pH 5.5, 10 °C. Sections of $^{15}$N HMQC spectra for M7A SAH highlighting the lysine NH$_2$ region (~33 ppm (A), Arg NH$_2$–He region (~72 ppm (B), and Arg He–Ne region (~80 ppm (C)). D, heteronuclear NOE for backbone $^{15}$N nuclei (red) and for Ne nuclei in all 14 Arg residues (black/green). A TROSY version of the heteronuclear NOE experiment was required to resolve the backbone amide correlations at this temperature.
served during the simulation, albeit with a wide range of occupancies (1–91%; Table S4). For example, the Glu892-Arg895 ion pair is highly occupied, being observed for 61% of the time during the simulation. This pair was also found to be present in the crystal structure (13). Alternative ion pairs involving Glu892 (Glu892-Lys888 and Glu892-Lys896) were also observed, albeit for a lower fraction of the overall simulation time (9 and 4%, respectively). The pairing Arg895-Glu899 (35% occupancy) exemplifies one of many cases where ion pairs that were absent from the crystal structure were present in the simulation. On average, potential Glu-Lys ion pairs were occupied for 18% of the simulation, whereas Glu-Arg ion pairs were occupied for 37%. The total number of ion pairs observed at any one time was 11.8±2.2 (mean ± S.D.); there are 41 potential helix-compatible ion pairs.

We also found that charged residues formed ion pairs simultaneously with two neighboring partners without breaking the helix structure, in agreement with our earlier findings (5). For example, the ion pairs Arg873–Glu870 and Arg873–Glu877 were formed simultaneously for 45% of the simulation, whereas Arg873–Glu876 and Arg873–Glu877 were simultaneously occupied for 8% (see snapshot images in Fig. 6 (B–E) and Movie S1).

Contact ion pairs form and break on a rapid timescale. Estimates of the average ion pair lifetimes were position-dependent, but overall, the lifetimes were longer for Glu-Lys (38 ps) than for Glu-Arg (21 ps), in line with our previous simulations of SAHs (5). Additional simulations, which specifically used the starting conformations of one or other of the two chains in the unit cell from the crystal structure, showed similar dynamic behavior in terms of ion pair lifetimes and pairings that were absent from the crystal structure. Overall, the simulations of M7A SAH show a more complicated and dynamic picture of ion pair interactions than one of a small number of specific ion pairs implied by the crystal structure (13).

Analysis of the M7A(866–935) crystal structure reveals evidence for variable and alternative ion pairs

Our experimental findings prompted us to reanalyze the ion pairs made within the deposited crystal structure of M7A(866–935) (PDB code 5WST). There are two SAH chains in the unit cell, and the analysis is performed for both. The results of this new analysis are consistent with the potential dynamic behavior of ion pairs within it. A helical net plot demonstrates the large number of potential ion pairs that could form in M7A SAH (Fig. 7A).

Using a threshold distance of 4 Å between one of the side chain nitrogen atoms (Lys or Arg) and a side chain oxygen atom (Glu) to define a contact ion pair (5), 11 ion pairs were defined in chain A (Fig. 7B) and 8 in chain B (Fig. 7C), some of which were overlooked in the original paper, such as that between

---

Figure 5. Spin-echo difference ¹H–¹⁵N correlation experiments to measure J_HNC. A, reference sub-spectrum for the Arg NeCβ experiment. Overlaid are two example line scans from the reference (red) and the attenuated sub-spectrum (black). A reduction in peak intensity between the two sub-spectra indicates a measurable J_HNC coupling for each peak. Peaks for Arg873 (and Arg920) were barely visible in the sub-spectra, on the level of baseline noise; the error associated with the ratio in peak intensities was too high to give a meaningful J_HNC value. B, reference sub-spectrum for the through-hydrogen bond J_HNC experiment to measure Arg Ne coupling to Glu Cβ. The example line scans show that the peak intensities do not change between the two sub-spectra, indicating no measurable J_HNC for each peak. Peaks for Arg873 and Arg920 were not observed in the sub-spectra due to their fast rate of relaxation and the long evolution time required.

Contact ion pairs form and break on a rapid timescale. Estimates of the average ion pair lifetimes were position-dependent, but overall, the lifetimes were longer for Glu-Lys (38 ps) than for Glu-Arg (21 ps), in line with our previous simulations of SAHs (5). Additional simulations, which specifically used the starting conformations of one or other of the two chains in the unit cell from the crystal structure, showed similar dynamic behavior in terms of ion pair lifetimes and pairings that were absent from the crystal structure. Overall, the simulations of M7A SAH show a more complicated and dynamic picture of ion pair interactions than one of a small number of specific ion pairs implied by the crystal structure (13).
Lys882 and Glu878. On the other hand, the ion pair reported to form between Glu907 and Arg911 is unlikely to do so as this exceeds the threshold distance (4.7 Å).

Specific ion pairs were observed in one of the two helices in the unit cell, but not in the other, suggesting that the ion pairs may be dynamic. For example, the shortest N–O distance in the Arg895-Glu892 pair was 2.8 Å in one chain but 7.3 Å in the other. Explicit dynamic behavior is additionally shown by the placement of alternate side chain conformers into the electron density (Fig. 6B) for Glu878 in chain B, displaying two side chain conformers that form an ion pair to either Arg881 or Lys882. In chain A, Glu878 occupies a single position where it forms an ion pair with both Arg881 and Lys882 simultaneously. In addition, side chains forming intermolecular (crystal contact) ion pairs in the crystal may instead form intramolecular bridges in the monomer in solution. This analysis demonstrated a higher variability in ion pairs than originally described (Fig. 7B and C), and yet the number of observed ion pairs was still small given the potential number of ion pairs that could exist.

We estimated $\mathcal{J}_{\text{N}},\mathcal{J}_{\text{C}},\mathcal{J}_{\text{B}}$ for Arg residues using dihedral angles measured in the crystal structure (Fig. 5B, red lines) and compared them with experimental $\mathcal{J}_{\text{N}},\mathcal{J}_{\text{C}},\mathcal{J}_{\text{B}}$ values (Fig. 5C). The correlation between crystal structure and NMR values was poor, indicating substantial deviation from the crystal structure dihedral angles in solution. Distributions of $\chi_3$ dihedral angles were also calculated for each Arg residue from the MD simulation (Fig. 5B, blue), and ensemble-averaged $\mathcal{J}_{\text{N}},\mathcal{J}_{\text{C}},\mathcal{J}_{\text{B}}$ values were estimated (Fig. 5D). The correlation of simulation with experimental $\mathcal{J}_{\text{N}},\mathcal{J}_{\text{C}},\mathcal{J}_{\text{B}}$ values, albeit not perfect, was improved over those of the crystal structure analysis. Some dihedral undersampling during the simulation may contribute to any differences observed. Variation of the $\chi_3$ dihedral, and with it the ability of Arg residues to form ion pairs, is a feature described by both NMR and MD results. These analyses support our experimental findings that ion pairs exhibit dynamic behavior in solution for M7A SAH.

**Discussion**

Here we have addressed the question of whether the ion pairs formed between specific residues in the M7A SAH are strong and persistent, whether the ion pairs change, and if ion pairs are even important for stability at all, which has been questioned...
Our combination of experimental data and simulations supports the idea that the stability of SAHs is not driven by strong, hydrogen-bonded, and persistent salt bridges, but via a network of fluctuating ion pairs that continually form and break. This, together with the high thermodynamic stability and noncooperative unfolding of these domains, supports the idea that the extended helical state has high conformational entropy. This agrees with and extends our recent molecular dynamics simulations on artificial SAH sequences that showed that the interplay between oppositely charged residues is highly dynamic, with salt bridges being formed and broken on the 10-ps timescale in MD.

The NMR data show that the M7A SAH forms a long α-helical structure in solution. However, specific (fixed or long lasting) ion pair interactions could not be identified. Together with the supporting data from MD simulations, we show that this is

---

**Figure 7. Helical net plot representation highlighting potential pairs in M7A(858–935) (M7A SAH) and observed ion pairs between side chains in the crystal structure of M7A(866–935).**

A, sequence of M7A SAH including the additional N-terminal Ser and C-terminal Trp shown as a helical net plot. All potential K/R–E/i, E/i-K/R, E/i-E/i, and K/R–E/i ion pairs and the number of Glu, Arg, Lys, and other residues are shown. B, in chain A from PDB entry 5WST, 11 ion pairs are observed. C, in chain B from PDB entry 5WST, eight ion pairs are observed. The side chain of Glu878 displays two conformers (a and b) in the crystal structure that form an ion pair to either Arg881 or Lys882 (dashed lines). The three C-terminal residues (shown faded) were not observed in the crystal structure. Residues involved in an ion pair between different helices within the crystal are marked with circles (crystal contact ion pair). These were Glu876(A)–Lys922(B) (N–O 3.7 Å), Glu877(A)–Lys922(B) (N–O 2.7 Å), Lys922(A)–Glu878b(B) (N–O 2.2 Å), and Glu930(A)–Arg871(B) (N–O 3.3 Å). If only those residues visible in the crystal structure are considered, the average total number of ion pairs from MD simulation was 10.3 ± 2.1 (mean ± S.D.).

---

(52) Our combination of experimental data and simulations supports the idea that the stability of SAHs is not driven by strong, hydrogen-bonded, and persistent salt bridges, but via a network of fluctuating ion pairs that continually form and break. This, together with the high thermodynamic stability and noncooperative unfolding of these domains, supports the idea that the extended helical state has high conformational entropy. This agrees with and extends our recent molecular dynamics simulations on artificial SAH sequences that showed that the interplay between oppositely charged residues is highly dynamic, with salt bridges being formed and broken on the 10-ps timescale in MD.

The NMR data show that the M7A SAH forms a long α-helical structure in solution. However, specific (fixed or long lasting) ion pair interactions could not be identified. Together with the supporting data from MD simulations, we show that this is
because the charged residues have a choice of interactions and can exchange on a very rapid timescale. The NMR data indicate, by more indirect measures, such as the chemical shifts of the Arg He protons and the dynamics of the Ne and $\nu_{NC\beta}$ values, that most of the central Arg residues are forming ion pairs. The one exception, Arg900, is not expected to form an ion pair. Inspection of the helical net plot (Fig. 7A) shows that it has no potential ion pair partners compatible with the helix structure (Fig. 7A). Correspondingly, it appears in the same part of the HiSQC spectrum as the terminal Arg residues (Fig. 4C) and has a high $\nu_{NC\beta}$ value. It should be noted that some alternative experimental strategies exist to probe the presence of ionic interactions that would be complementary to our work (53, 54).

Whereas the data do not support the existence of specific long lifetime salt bridges, they are consistent with the dynamic behavior of ion pairs observed in MD simulations. $\nu_{NC\beta}$ values of 0.23 and 0.17 Hz were measured for mobile hydrogen bonds in ubiquitin (42). Their simulated average lifetimes were 45 ps and 30 ps, and hydrogen bond occupancies were 80 and 51%, respectively, using a salt bridge distance criterion of 3.5 Å. If we apply this more stringent criterion to our simulations, the average Arg-Glu (and Lys-Glu) lifetimes are much shorter (<10 ps), and Hε-mitigated ion pairs average 25% occupancy. Thus, smaller coupling constants, below the level dictated by the noise, are in keeping with the MD results. The general consensus would appear to be that, if anything, MD simulations still overestimate salt bridges compared with experiment (55–57). We think that the continuous and rapid exchange of ion pairs is important for providing SAHs with their stability. The choice of multiple interactions together with their density is likely to give this system its remarkable properties.

Interestingly, despite the local variation in the density of potential ion pairs in M7A SAH (Fig. 7A), its behavior is consistent with that of a SAH along its entire length. This suggests that the density of interactions may not relate directly to the propensity of being helical. Both crystallography and MD simulations indicate that the presence of around 10 ion pairs or 30% of the total possible number at any one time is enough to keep the system fully helical. The subtle balance of interactions allows for a reversible response to external pressures such as the mechanical stresses (6) encountered in the function of these proteins.

In summary, it has been unclear exactly how potential ion pair formation can contribute to the stability of SAHs. It has been speculated that their stability arises from the flexibility of the ion pair network, providing it with an entropic advantage (9, 58). Our combined NMR data and MD simulations support this idea, suggesting that a rapidly fluctuating network of ion pairs is key to SAH stability. More broadly, it might be expected that solvent-exposed ion pairs in many other proteins are also likely to demonstrate this dynamic behavior in solution.

**Experimental procedures**

**Expression constructs**

The DNA sequence for residues 858–935 from myosin 7a (mouse, Uniprot P97479; Fig. 7A) was synthesized (GeneArt; GenScript) and subcloned into the pET28a SUMO vector to introduce an N-terminal His tag and SUMO fusion protein for increased expression and solubility as described (6). To facilitate concentration measurements of purified protein, a single C-terminal Trp was also incorporated. The purified protein segment M7A SAH is 80 residues in length, including the additional N-terminal Ser and C-terminal Trp residues.

**Protein expression and purification**

Protein expression was carried out in *E. coli* BL21 Rosetta 2 (Novagen), and purification used a nickel-nitriilotriacetic acid affinity chromatography column. Expressed protein was dialyzed against 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris, 1 mM DTT, pH 8.0, and proteolyzed for 2 h at room temperature, using ULP1 recombinant SUMO protease in a substrate/enzyme ratio of 100:1. Cleavage of SUMO carries forward a single, N-terminal Ser residue into M7A SAH. M7A SAH was purified on a 5-ml SP Sepharose column using an AKTA system. Buffers used were as follows: 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.03% NaN₃ (Buffer A); 1 M NaCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 0.03% NaN₃ (Buffer B); salt gradient: 100–500 mM. The purest fractions were combined and concentrated, resulting in a 2–10 mg/ml protein solution. Purified protein was dialyzed against 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate (Na₂HPO₄/NaH₂PO₄), pH 7.4, and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for long-term storage at −80 °C. Protein concentration was measured by absorption at 280 nm. Absorption coefficients were obtained from ProtParam software.

Protein expression for NMR analysis was initially carried out in Terrific Broth medium (4 × 400 ml). After reaching $A_{600}$ ~0.6, the cultures were spun down, and combined pellets were washed with 100–200 ml of minimal medium (M9 salts, 2 mM MgSO₄, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, 10 ml of basal vitamins) and resuspended in 250 ml of minimal medium. After 45 min at 37 °C at 220 rpm, the culture was supplemented with isotopes (100 mM $^{15}$NH₄Cl, 50 mM $^{13}$C-labeled d-glucose; Goss Scientific) and grown in the same conditions for an additional 35 min, after which culture was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-d-galactopyranoside and grown overnight at 21 °C and 180 rpm. Purification was carried out as described above. Two $^{15}$N,$^{13}$C-labeled M7A SAH samples were prepared for the NMR experiments. The first was prepared in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium (hydrogen) phosphate, pH 7.4, at a concentration of ~4 mg/ml (0.4 mM). The second was prepared in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM phosphate, at the lower pH of 5.5 and at a concentration of 10 mg/ml (1 mM). Between experiments, samples were stored at 5 °C.

**Mass spectrometry**

Samples of M7A SAH (0.5 ml; 15–20 μM) were dialyzed (G-Biosciences dialyzers, molecular weight cutoff 1000) overnight against 50 mM ammonium acetate, pH 7.4, and analyzed by TOF MS analysis (University of Leeds Mass Spectrometry Facility). The peptide masses from MS were as expected: 9.97 kDa for unlabeled and 10.54 kDa for $^{15}$N,$^{13}$C-labeled.

**CD spectroscopy**

CD measurements were performed on an Applied Photo Physics Chirascan CD spectropolarimeter with a 0.1-cm path length quartz cuvette in buffers as specified under "Protein
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expression and purification.” Protein concentrations used were in the range 10—20 μM. Data were collected every 1 nm with a scan rate of 120 nm/min, each measurement being presented as averaged from at least two separate measurements of different protein preparations. Thermal measurements were performed over a temperature range of 10—85 °C with data acquisition every 1 °C using a 0.7 °C/min heating rate. The sample cooling rate prior to measurement of refolded protein was ~2 °C/min. The mean residue molar ellipticity (MRE) of proteins was calculated as described (59). The helical content of proteins was calculated from values of the amide nπ transition at 222 nm ([MRE222]), as described previously (59).

Size-exclusion chromatography

A GE Healthcare Tricorn 10/20 column was packed with Superdex 75 resin and calibrated using the GE Healthcare gel filtration calibration kit, which comprises albumin (75 kDa), ovalbumin (43 kDa), carbonic anhydrase (29 kDa), RNase A (13.7 kDa), and aprotinin (6.5 kDa). The elution profile of M7A SAH was obtained by injecting 200 µl of protein sample (40 µM) in column buffer (150 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate, 0.02% NaN3, pH 7.4) onto the gel filtration column at a flow rate of 0.5 ml/min, using an AKTA system.

Analytical ultracentrifugation

Analytical ultracentrifugation sedimentation-equilibrium experiments were performed in triplicate as described previously (5, 7). A Beckman Optima XL-A analytical ultracentrifuge was used at a temperature of 20 °C with an AN50 8-place rotor and cells comprising epon 6-channel centerpieces and quartz windows. A 1 mg/ml sample of M7A SAH was prepared in 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium (dihydrogen) phosphate, 0.03% NaN3, pH 7.4 (110 µl). The reference channel contained sample buffer only (120 µl). Samples were centrifuged at speeds of 18,000, 22,000, 26,000, 30,000, 34,000, 38,000, and 42,000 rpm. Absorbance data at radial distances of 5.8—7.3 cm were recorded after 8 h at each speed and then again after a further 1 h before moving onto the next speed, to retrospectively confirm that the sample had reached equilibrium. Absorbance and residuals were plotted against R2 — R02, where R is the radial distance from the center of the rotor, and R0 is an arbitrary reference radius. Data were fitted to a single ideal species using Ultrascan II (http://ultrascan2.uthscsa.edu) (60), and 99% confidence limits were determined by Monte Carlo analyses of the fits. Representative data for one channel are shown.

Small-angle X-ray scattering

Experiments combining size-exclusion chromatography SAXS were performed at Diamond light source beamline B21. A 2.4-ml Superdex 200 Increase 3.2/300 column (GE Healthcare) connected to an Agilent 1200 HPLC system was equilibrated at room temperature with 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.4. 45 µl of 2 mg/ml M7a SAH construct was loaded onto the column. The output flow from the HPLC was directed through a quartz capillary cell held in vacuum. The flow rate through the capillary cell was 0.075 ml/min. A fixed wavelength of 1.0 Å (12.4 keV) was used with the X-ray detector (PILATUS 2M) placed at a distance of 4 meters from the sample. Data were analyzed using Scatter (version 3.0a) (http://www.bioisis.net) (81). The Kratky plot of the scattering data is q²I(q) versus q, whereas the Guinier plot is ln[I(q)] versus q². I is the scattering intensity, q is the momentum transfer (q = 4πsinθ/λ, where λ is the beam wavelength and 2θ is the scattering angle). Scattering intensity curves were generated for high-helicity model structures (generated from simulation or CS-Rosetta; see below) using CRYSTAL (18); comparison with the experimental data was evaluated using χ² values.

NMR spectroscopy

NMR spectra were recorded on 600- and 750-MHz Bruker Avance spectrometers or a 950-MHz Bruker Ascend Aeon spectrometer, each equipped with a cryoprobe. After testing the pH 7.4 sample with 1H—15N TROSY spectra, a temperature of 23.4 °C was initially used to balance maximum helical content (low temperature) with peak width (high temperature). The 1H—15N TROSY was repeated periodically to check for sample integrity; the sample remained stable in solution at 5 °C over a period of several months. A list of NMR experiments and details of their use are given (Table S1). Spectra were processed using NMRPipe/NMRDraw (61), and then peak assignments were carried out using CCPNmr Analysis (62).

The 1H—15N TROSY spectrum of M7A SAH (pH 7.4) only resolves about 55 backbone amide peaks (of a total of 79 backbone NH groups). Despite this, we were able to observe almost all backbone correlations in the 3D HNCO, allowing us to obtain an almost complete backbone assignment (96% of backbone NH correlations) through three pairs of standard BEST-TROSY style triple-resonance experiments (19—21) (acquired at 750 MHz). Assignment was supported by linking (i, i + 1) backbone amide peaks using an NOESY—15N HSQC spectrum (63, 64). Further backbone and side chain shift assignments (Table S2) were carried out using a number of additional NMR experiments (Table S1). The chemical shifts have been deposited in the Biological Magnetic Resonance Bank (entry number 27626). Many assignments, particularly for the large numbers of Arg, Lys, and Glu side chain nuclei, could only be made by relaying the magnetization back to the backbone amides (by means of 3D H(CCCO)NH and (H)C(CCO)NH experiments (65, 66)).

The HG(CG)CD “Hγ(Cy)CG” experiment (Fig. 3B), used to interrogate Glu side chains, was based on a modified HCACO experiment (67) with the “Ca” position shifted to 36 ppm, resulting in the selective transfer of magnetization from Glu Hγ via Cy to Cδ (68). This spectrum also shows Gln Hγ(Cy)CG and Asp Hβ(Cβ)Cy correlations, which act as useful shift markers to link with other spectra (e.g. 13C HSQC). Specific assignment for all Glu Cδ nuclei and thereby a significant number of Glu Hγ pairs was achieved by linking Cδ shifts back to the backbone using a 3D (HγCy)CG(CyCβCoCNO)NH experiment (69). Assignment was assisted in some cases through linking Glu Hγ pairs using a NOESY—HG(CG)CD experiment: an NOE transfer period was added prior to the pulse sequence for the HG(CG)CD experiment described above. For some correla-

5 Please note that the JBC is not responsible for the long-term archiving and maintenance of this site or any other third party hosted site.
tions in the highly overlapped central region of the Hγ(Cγ)Cδ spectrum, unambiguous Glu Hγ assignment was not possible by this method, so assignment relied on the much less well-resolved H(CCCO)NH spectrum, resulting in those Hγ pairs appearing to have degenerate shifts.

The backbone assignments were transferred from the pH 7.4 sample to the pH 5.5 sample, by comparing HNCO/HN- (CA)CO pairs of triple-resonance experiments (recorded at 23.4 and 10 °C, pH 5.5), and supplemented by following peak movements in a set of TROSY spectra recorded at ~3 °C intervals (from 23.4 °C down to 10 °C). It was then possible to assign each of the Hε–Ne correlations to specific Arg residues by matching side chain proton resonances in TOCSY-Ne-HSQC and TROSY H(CCCO)NH spectra at 23.4 °C (see Fig. S3). Additional support was given by links to local distinctive proton resonances from an Nε-NOESY-HSQC spectrum at 10 °C.

Secondary chemical shifts (i.e. the difference between the measured Cα shift and a reference Cα shift for that residue in a coil configuration) were calculated using reference values from Schwarzinger et al. (70) as implemented in the CCPNmr Analysis software.

Longitudinal (T1) and transverse (T2) relaxation rates (R1 and R2, respectively) and heteronuclear NOEs for backbone 15N nuclei were measured using 750- and 950-MHz instruments. Equivalent experiments for Arg Ne were carried out using the 950-MHz instrument. The recycle delay in T1 and T2 experiments was 2.5 s (one test T1 experiment using a recycle delay of 5.0 s gave no measurable difference in results from those using 2.5 s). The relaxation periods ranged from 20 ms to 1.6 s for T1 experiments and from ~16 to 237 ms for T2 experiments. Eight different relaxation periods were used for each experiment, two of which were duplicated to enable error estimations. The heteronuclear NOE experiments used a recycle delay of 5 s. Measurements were limited to those residues exhibiting resolvable peaks in the HSQC spectrum; those broader peaks that often appear partially overlapped with sharper peaks were omitted (several examples between residues 876 and 896). Peak intensities were measured using NMRView (71). Analysis of relaxation rates was performed using in-house Python scripts, with Monte Carlo–based fitting and error estimation. Using a much-simplified isotropic tumbling model, estimates of the rotational correlation time (τr) for the protein were calculated from the average R2/R1 ratio for stably folded residues (NOE values > 0.6) with R2 and R1 errors <10% (27, 28).

The CS-Rosetta server (25, 26) was used to generate an ensemble of structures from chemical shift assignments of 1Hα, 1Hε, 13Ca, 13CB, 13CC′, and 15N nuclei using the default method. Different runs yielding 3000, 10,000, or 30,000 structures each gave the same result. Structures with Cα root mean square deviations >2 Å away from the lowest-energy structure all have noticeably higher energies. The pulse program used for measuring 3JNC coupling constants (Fig. S4) is based on the experiment used by Zandarashvili et al. (42). The carrier positions and pulses were altered to be appropriate for Arg Ne rather than LysNε. Dephasing, resulting from 1JNC0 and 1JNC0 was refocused by means of two pairs of shaped pulses. Carrier positions were as follows: 1H, the position of the water resonance; Arg 13Ne, 84 ppm; Arg 13CB, 42 or 41 ppm; Arg 13CB, 28 ppm; Arg 13Cζ, 158 ppm; and Glu 13Cδ (C′), 181 ppm. IBURP-2 180° shaped pulses were used (pulse lengths for 950 MHz): Arg 13Cγ (2.37 ms), Arg 13Cζ (2.37 ms), Arg 13CB (2.37 ms), and Glu 13Cδ (1.185 ms). Two sub-spectra (“reference” and “attenuated”) were recorded for each experiment that differ only in the position of the shaped pulses for Arg CB or Glu Cδ (see Fig. S4). 3JNC coupling constants were calculated using the expression I = (1/πT)arccos(Iatt/Iref), where Iatt and Iref are the peak intensities in the attenuated and reference sub-spectra (42). The net evolution time, T, was 208 ms in the 3JNC0 experiment and 424 ms in the 3JNC0 experiment. Peak intensities were measured using PINT (72, 73).

**Modeling**

Simulations were performed using the CHARMM36 force field parameters with TIP3P water. The initial M7A SAH structure was built as a perfect α-helix (internal dihedrals Φ = −57° and Ψ = −47°). N-terminal Ser and C-terminal Trp residues were included, and the termini were uncapped. Structures were energy-minimized for 1000 steepest decent steps in vacuum using CHARMM (74). Using VMD (75), a 1.5-nm surround of water molecules (11,906 water molecules) and Na+ and Cl− ions were added to neutralize the peptide and give a NaCl concentration of ~150 mM. A further minimization (10,000 steps), 0–300 K heating protocol, and short pre-equilibration (100,000 steps) was performed using NAMD (76). Data are taken from a 500-ns simulation run using NAMD at 300 K (27 °C). The time step used was 2 fs, and trajectory frames were recorded every 500 steps. We also ran simulations starting from the two chains from the PDB structure 5WST (kindly supplied by Professor Mingjie Zhang’s group). These structures contain residues 862–932 (chain A) or residues 863–932 (chain B). N and C termini were capped with acetyl and methyl amino groups, respectively. Structures were solvated, ions were added, and simulations were initiated in the manner described above and ran for 200 ns.

Analysis of the simulation trajectories made extensive use of Wordom (77). The helicity (or average helical fraction) of the peptide was calculated using the DSSPcont criteria (78). A Φ- and Ψ-angle–based method for the helicity calculation was also used with similar results (79). For analysis of ion pairs, the distance between lysine Nε atoms and the centroid of glutamate Oε1 and Oε2 atoms was calculated for each potential E−R−K−3, E−R−K−4, and K−E−4 pair; the distances between each of the three arginine NH1/NH2/Ne atoms and the centroid of glutamate Oε1 and Oε2 atoms were calculated for each potential E−R−3, E−R−4, E−R−3, E−R−4, and R−E−4 pair. The definition of a contact ion pair at any frame of the trajectory required any of the resulting distances described to be <4 Å. VMD was used to produce snapshot images (75).
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