Regulation of cargo-selective endocytosis by dynamin 2 GTPase-activating protein girdin
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Abstract

In clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME), specificity and selectivity for cargoes are thought to be tightly regulated by cargo-specific adaptors for distinct cellular functions. Here, we show that the actin-binding protein girdin is a regulator of cargo-selective CME. Girdin interacts with dynamin 2, a GTPase that excises endocytic vesicles from the plasma membrane, and functions as its GTPase-activating protein. Interestingly, girdin depletion leads to the defect in clathrin-coated pit formation in the center of cells. Also, we find that girdin differentially interacts with some cargoes, which competitively prevents girdin from interacting with dynamin 2 and confers the cargo selectivity for CME. Therefore, girdin regulates transferrin and E-cadherin endocytosis in the center of cells and their subsequent polarized intracellular localization, but has no effect on integrin and epidermal growth factor receptor endocytosis that occurs at the cell periphery. Our results reveal that girdin regulates selective CME via a mechanism involving dynamin 2, but not by operating as a cargo-specific adaptor.

Keywords: clathrin-mediated endocytosis; dynamin; girdin; GTPase-activating protein; selective endocytosis

Subject Categories: Cell Adhesion, Polarity & Cytoskeleton; Membrane & Intracellular Transport

DOI: 10.15252/embr.201488289 | Received 20 February 2014 | Revised 28 June 2014 | Accepted 1 July 2014 | Published online 24 July 2014

The EMBO Journal (2014) 33: 2098–2112

Introduction

Eukaryotic cells utilize clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) to internalize various cargoes (e.g. receptors, nutrients) in a well-organized manner. This process can be dissected into five stages: nucleation, cargo selection, clathrin coat assembly, vesicle scission, and clathrin uncoating (McMahon & Boucrot, 2011). CME determines various cellular behaviors and biological properties (Doherty & McMahon, 2009), such as cell signaling pathways, migration, and polarity.

Due to the vital role of CME in cells and the diversity of cargoes that are internalized via CME, there is no doubt that the specificity and selectivity for cargoes and their timing and spacing must be precisely controlled to maintain cell homeostasis. Previous studies have demonstrated that cargo specificity is determined by the recognition of different cargoes by cargo-specific adaptors at the cargo selection stage (Traub, 2009). For example, the major clathrin adaptor, the heterotetrametric complex AP-2 (also termed adaptin), controls the endocytosis of many cargoes such as transferrin (TF). However, ablation of AP-2 has only limited effects on low-density lipoprotein endocytosis, which is controlled by two other adaptors, disabled-2 and autosomal recessive hypercholesterolemia protein (ARH) (Keyel et al., 2006). Other examples of cargo-specific adaptors include epsin, β-arrestin, and numb, which are responsible for epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), G protein-coupled receptors (GPCR), and integrin β1 endocytosis, respectively (Huang et al., 2007; Nishimura & Kaibuchi, 2007; Marchese et al., 2008). However, even cargo-specific adaptors cannot discriminate every cargo (e.g. β-arrestin is involved in the internalization of many GPCRs, and numb regulates both integrin β1 and E-cadherin endocytosis) (Nishimura & Kaibuchi, 2007; Marchese et al., 2008; Sato et al., 2011). Given the limited number of known adaptor proteins and the variety of cargoes that are selectively internalized, additional mechanism(s) that govern selective CME remain to be identified.

During the process of endocytosis, the large GTPase dynamin plays a critical role in endocytic membrane fission events through assembling into helical polymers at the necks of budding vesicles...
(Ferguson & De Camilli, 2012). As a GTPase, the function of dynamin is largely dependent on binding to nucleotide and its transition from the GTP-bound form to the GDP-bound form. This process requires the involvement of GTPase-activating protein (GAP). Unlike small GTPases such as the Rho family of proteins, the activities of which are regulated by many intermolecular GAPs, dynamin GTPase activity is primarily controlled by self-assembly and its intermolecular GAP domain termed dynamin GTPase effector domain (GED) (Schmid & Frolov, 2011). So far, the only intermolecular GAP that has been identified for dynamin is phospholipase D (PLD), which selectively regulates EGFR but not Tf endocytosis (Lee et al., 2006; Padrón et al., 2005). Interestingly, some other dynamin-interacting proteins also regulate selective endocytosis, including growth factor receptor-bound protein 2 (Grb2) that is essential for EGFR endocytosis and sorting nexin 9 (SNX9) that is required for Tf endocytosis (Martina et al., 2002; Soulet et al., 2005). All of these raise the possibility that selective endocytosis is regulated at the late stage of endocytosis through cooperation between dynamin and its interacting proteins.

We and others previously characterized the actin-binding protein girdin (girders of actin filament) as a critical regulator of migration of endothelial cells, cancer cells, and neuroblasts, all of which depend on extracellular cues including growth factor stimulation (Enomoto et al., 2005, 2009; Le-Niculescu et al., 2005; Jiang et al., 2008; Kitamura et al., 2008; Ohara et al., 2012). Although girdin was reported to be a component of a protein complex that included dynamin (Simpson et al., 2005), the role of girdin in CME has not been investigated. Also, our previous finding that girdin colocalizes with submembranous actin network (Enomoto et al., 2005), which possesses critical roles in CME (Kaksonen et al., 2006), led to the idea of girdin’s involvement in CME.

In this study, we revealed that girdin regulates cargo-selective CME. Our results showed that girdin functions as an intermolecular GAP for dynamin 2 and is involved in the internalization of Tf and E-cadherin, but not EGFR or integrin β1. We also provide sets of data showing that the selective function of girdin in CME is achieved through (i) spatially controlled clathrin-coated pit (CCP) formation and (ii) competitive interaction among cargoes, dynamin, and girdin.

Results

Girdin interacts with dynamin 2

Previous study indicated girdin as the component of dynamin complex (Simpson et al., 2005). To confirm this finding, the endogenous interaction between girdin and dynamin was investigated by co-immunoprecipitation (Co-IP). We observed that the endogenous girdin/dynamin interaction could be detected in the presence of either GTPγS (a non-hydrolyzable GTP analogue) or GDP; however, dynamin preferentially interacted with girdin in the presence of GTPγS (Fig 1A), indicating that their interaction was regulated by GTP binding to dynamin. To further show that girdin is associated with dynamin, protein fractionation experiment was performed by gel filtration (Fig 1B). Consistent with our previous report, native girdin from HeLa cells was eluted with an apparent molecular mass much greater than that of the monomeric protein, which is mediated by self-oligomerization via girdin N-terminal (NT) and coiled-coil domains (Enomoto et al., 2005). Significantly, the elution pattern of girdin largely overlapped with that of dynamin, further supporting the idea that girdin interacts with dynamin in vivo (Fig 1B). Mapping the interacting domains indicated that the middle region (N2) of the girdin NT domain was responsible for the association with dynamin 2 (Fig 1C–E). Moreover, the GTPase and GED domains of dynamin 2 contained girdin-binding sites (Fig 1F). The interaction was further confirmed by in vitro binding assays using purified recombinant proteins, which revealed that girdin NT domain interacted with both dynamin GTPase and GED domain directly in a GTP-dependent manner (Fig 1G and H).

Girdin selectively regulates CME

Knowing that dynamin is a key regulator for endocytosis in eukaryotic cells, we asked whether girdin is also involved in this process using HeLa cervical carcinoma cells. The internalization of Tf, EGFR, integrin β1, and E-cadherin, which are internalized through CME (Paterson et al., 2003; Nishimura & Kaibuchi, 2007; Sigismund et al., 2008; Ezratty et al., 2009; Sato et al., 2011), was evaluated using confocal microscopy (Fig 2A and B) and antibody-capture enzyme-linked immunospecific assays (ELISA) after labeling cell surface proteins with sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin (Fig 2C–F). The results revealed that RNA interference-mediated depletion (knockdown) of girdin reduced the internalization of Tf, although modestly, and E-cadherin, but not EGFR or integrin β1. The effect of girdin knockdown on Tf uptake was greater in the fluorescence-based assay than the ELISA assay (Fig 2B and C), which could be due to the different sensitivity between these assays. These data raised several questions: (i) how did girdin regulate CME and (ii) how did girdin selectively regulate the endocytosis of specific cargoes?

Girdin functions as a GAP for dynamin 2 to regulate CME

To address the mechanism for girdin-mediated regulation of CME, we first hypothesized that girdin regulates CME through interaction with dynamin. It is known that overexpression of protein interaction domains taken from endocytic proteins results in the dysregulation of CME (McMahon & Boucrot, 2011). We utilized this experimental system to test whether girdin regulated CME through interaction with dynamin 2. Tf internalization was inhibited by the overexpression of the girdin NT and N2 domains that are able to bind to dynamin 2, but not other domains (N1, N3, M1, M2, and CT) (Fig 3A–C). The effect of overexpressing the girdin NT domain was partly rescued by the expression of exogenous dynamin 2 (Fig 3B and C), further supporting the view that balanced and regulated interaction between dynamin 2 and girdin is essential for Tf endocytosis. In girdin-depleted cells, the expression of RNA-resistant wild-type girdin, but not its mutants lacking the NT domain (ANT), could restore Tf uptake (Supplementary Fig S1A and B), further proving that girdin regulates CME through interaction with dynamin 2.

We next examined whether girdin regulated dynamin 2 GTPase activity using a colorimetric GTPase assay (Quan & Robinson, 2005; Takahashi et al., 2010). This test indicated that the girdin NT domain increased the GTPase activity of dynamin 2 in a time- and dose-dependent manner (Fig 3D). Some SH3 domain-containing proteins, such as Grb2, increase dynamin GTPase activity indirectly.
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Figure 1. Interaction between girdin and dynamin.

A: Co-IP illustrating the guanine nucleotide-regulated interaction between endogenous girdin and dynamin in HeLa cells. IP, immunoprecipitation; WB, Western blot.

B: Whole-cell lysates from HeLa cells were loaded onto Superose 6 10/300 GL column for gel filtration. Following fractionation, each fraction was examined by Western blot analyses with anti-girdin (upper panel) and anti-dynamin (lower panel) antibodies to determine their elution profiles. The elution positions of calibration proteins with known molecular masses (kDa) are indicated, and an equal volume from each fraction was analyzed.

C: Domain structures of human girdin and dynamin 2. Girdin (1870 a.a.) and Dynamin 2 (866 a.a.)

D: The dynamin 2-binding site mapped to the N2 domain of girdin. Lysates from COS7 cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were immunoprecipitated with anti-GFP antibody. The girdin fragments and bound myc-dynamin 2 are indicated by red asterisks and a black asterisk, respectively. TCL, total cell lysate.

E: The girdin-binding sites mapped to the GTPase and GED domains of dynamin 2. COS7 cells were transfected with the indicated combination of each domain of dynamin 2, GST, and GST-NT. The lysates were incubated with glutathione beads, followed by Western blot analysis. Dynamin 2 GTPase and GED domains that bound to GFT-NT are indicated by red asterisks.

F: Direct interaction between the girdin NT domain and dynamin 2. The purified recombinant girdin NT (NT-His) was incubated with recombinant GST fusion proteins containing the GTPase, GED, and PRD domains of dynamin 2 conjugated to glutathione beads. The complexes were eluted with 1× SDS sample buffer, separated on SDS–PAGE, and subjected to Coomassie brilliant blue staining (CBB) and Western blot analyses using anti-His antibody. Red and black asterisks indicate GST fusion proteins and bound girdin NT, respectively.

G: The in vitro binding assays indicated a direct interaction of the girdin NT domain with dynamin 2 in a guanine nucleotide-regulated manner. Purified recombinant dynamin 2 was diluted with GTPase IP buffer and loaded with GTPyS or GDP and then incubated with recombinant GST-NT conjugated to glutathione beads. The complexes were eluted, separated on SDS–PAGE, and subjected to CBB staining and Western blot analyses. Asterisks indicate GST fusion proteins.

© 2014 The Authors
via promoting the self-assembly of dynamin 2 into higher-ordered oligomers (Barylko et al., 1998). We found that, in contrast with Grb2, that binds to dynamin independently of GTPcS loading, girdin failed to increase the self-assembly of dynamin 2 into higher-ordered oligomers (Fig 3E and F). These results suggested that girdin functioned as an intermolecular GAP for dynamin 2 to directly increase its GTPase activity through a different mode of action compared to Grb2.

Comparative analysis of the sequences of the girdin NT domain and other GAP proteins led to the identification of several arginine residues that we predicted were essential for GAP activity (Supplementary Fig S1C). We purified mutant girdin proteins in which the arginines were replaced with alanines (R63A, R75A, R84A, R144A) in the NT domain. We then examined the function of those mutants by dynamin GTPase assays (Supplementary Fig S1C). We found that two mutations (R63A and R84A) significantly reduced the GTPase activity of dynamin versus the wild type. In accord with this, re-expression of the girdin double alanine mutant (R63A/R84A) could not restore Tf uptake in girdin-depleted cells (Supplementary Fig 3A and B). These results further supported the critical role of those two arginines in girdin’s function as a GAP for dynamin.

The importance of girdin in CME was further demonstrated by transmission electron microscopic analysis. These studies revealed that the morphology of electron-dense CCPs and vesicles was deregulated in girdin knockdown cells (Fig 3G and H). This phenotype partially recapitulated abnormal tubulation and fission of CCPs found in cells expressing the dominant-negative mutant (K44A) of dynamin or dynamin-deficient cells (Damke et al., 2001; Tosoni et al., 2005; Ferguson et al., 2009), further implicating the importance of girdin/dynamin 2 interaction in the proper fission of CCPs.

Selective scission of CCPs by a competitive mechanism that involves girdin

Dynamin 2 is ubiquitously expressed and non-selectively controls vesicle scission of all CCPs (Schmid & Frolov, 2011; Ferguson & De Camilli, 2012). Thus, the above data indicating that girdin functioned as a GAP for dynamin 2 cannot explain how girdin selectively
regulated endocytosis and suggested the existence of other mechanisms. Previous studies have revealed that a CCP subpopulation located at the cell periphery is responsible for EGFR endocytosis, suggesting that different CCPs contain distinct cargoes and selective endocytosis may be spatially controlled (Miaczynska et al., 2004; Tosoni et al., 2005; Lakadamyali et al., 2006; Leonard et al., 2008; and 2009).
Girdin spatially controls CCP formation

We next examined the localization of girdin in HeLa cells at the ultrastructural level by quick-freeze deep-etch electron microscopy, which detected girdin surrounding CCPs throughout the early to late stages of CME (Fig 4A, and Supplementary Fig S3A–C). TIRF observation confirmed that endogenous girdin partially colocalized with clathrin adaptor AP-2 that is one of the CCP markers (Supplementary Fig S3D). Also, consistent with our biochemical data that the girdin NT domain is responsible for the binding with dynamin 2, we observed the consistent colocalization of the girdin NT domain, but not other girdin domains, with AP-2 and clathrin heavy chain (HC), on the cell membrane (Fig 5B, and Supplementary Fig S3E and F). Interestingly, TIRF observation revealed that girdin knockdown significantly reduced the density of AP-2/clathrin HC-positive CCPs in the center of cells but had no effect on the formation of CCPs at the cell periphery (Fig 5C), implicating the involvement of girdin in spatial control of CME formation. The heterogeneous distribution of CCPs induced by girdin knockdown was more apparent in cells defective for Ti internalization, as they exhibited accumulation of CCPs at the cell periphery and few CCPs formed in the centers of such cells (Fig 5D and E). These data suggest that girdin’s function seems to be involved in spatial control of CCP formation.

Time-lapse TIRF recordings revealed that girdin was consistently located at CCPs even before the nucleation stage of CME (Fig 5F, Supplementary Fig S4A and Supplementary Video S1), suggesting the possible involvement of girdin in the early steps of CCP formation. It is of note that previous studies have shown that the knockdown of clathrin or dynamin inhibits CME without affecting the recruitment of AP-2 to the CCPs, which indicates that different CCP components are recruited there and regulated at distinct stages (McMahon & Boucrot, 2011). Consistent with this notion, we observed that colocalization between girdin and AP-2 was not affected by the knockdown of clathrin or dynamin 2 (Supplementary Fig S4B), which further confirmed girdin’s role in the early stage of CME. Next, to know how girdin controls CCP formation, we tested the possibility that girdin had the capacity to bind to and recruit the constituents of CCPs such as the AP-2 protein complex. Co-IP experiments showed that girdin interacted with the AP-2 α subunit, although whether the interaction was direct or indirect was not determined (Fig 5G). The data indicated that via an unknown mechanism, girdin participated in central CCP formation partially through recruitment of the AP-2 protein complex.

Because different cargoes were internalized via different subpopulation of CCPs (Fig 4A), we speculated that girdin also

Zoncu et al, 2009). We confirmed this notion by visualizing the endocytic sites of different cargoes using total internal reflectance fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy, which illuminates the bottom 100–200 nm of the cell (Fig 4A). Our data indicated that Tf and E-cadherin were internalized diffusely throughout the cells, whereas integrin β1 and EGFR endocytosis were mediated by peripheral CCPs, hinting at the idea that girdin may be involved in the endocytosis of CCP subpopulation that include distinct type of cargoes. In our observation by TIRF microscopy, however, girdin colocalized, at least partially, with endocytic sites for all of the four cargoes tested (Supplementary Fig S2A–D), suggesting that colocalization per se is not sufficient to determine the selectivity for the cargoes.

A clue in revealing the mechanism for girdin-mediated selective CME has come from a previous study, which showed that girdin bound to EGFR through its NT domain, leading to the modification of the EGFR signaling (Ghosh et al, 2010). We hypothesized that, in EGFR-containing CCPs, the competition between EGFR and dynamin 2 for interaction with girdin inhibited dynamin 2/girdin interaction, which makes the EGFR endocytosis out of regulation by girdin. Indeed, co-IP experiments using antibodies recognizing the extracellular domain of cargoes indicated that girdin interacted with EGFR and integrin β1, the endocytosis of which was not regulated by girdin (Fig 4B). In contrast, girdin did not efficiently bind with TiR or E-cadherin, the endocytosis of which is prone to regulation by girdin (Fig 4B and C). Interestingly, the knockdown of clathrin or dynamin 2 did not affect the interaction between girdin and EGFR or integrin β1 (Supplementary Fig S2E), indicating that these interactions occurred prior to and independently of dynamin-mediated scission of membranes. Binding assays using purified proteins exhibited direct interaction of the girdin NT domain with the cytoplasmic domains of both EGFR and integrin β1 (Fig 4D and E), which competitively inhibited dynamin 2/girdin interaction in a dose-dependent manner (Fig 4F and G). Taken together, these data suggest that the competitive mechanism, which prevented girdin from interacting with dynamin 2 and executing its GAP function in EGFR- or integrin β1-positive CCPs, contributed to the selective nature of endocytosis (Fig 7).
Figure 4. Possible involvement of competition between cargoes and dynamin for binding to girdin in selective control of CME.

A. The endocytic sites for the indicated cargoes were investigated using TIRF microscopy according to the methods described in the Materials and Methods section. Arrowheads indicate vesicles responsible for EGF and integrin β1 internalization at the periphery of the cells. Scale bar, 20 μm. Shown in the right panel is the illustration indicating the endocytic sites for each cargo. See also Supplementary Fig S5A.

B, C. HeLa cell lysates were subjected to immunoprecipitation by the indicated antibodies, followed by Western blot analysis. The results showed that girdin interacts with EGFR and integrin β1 but not E-cadherin or TfR.

D, E. In vitro binding assays using purified recombinant proteins demonstrated the direct interaction of girdin NT with the cytoplasmic domains of EGFR (EGFRc) (D) and integrin β1 (ITGB1c) (E) but not the extracellular domain of EGFR (EGFRe). In (D), the precipitated GST fusion proteins are indicated by asterisks.

F, G. The dose-dependent competition of EGFR and integrin β1 for the binding of dynamin 2 to the girdin NT domain. GST-fused girdin NT (3 μg) was incubated with dynamin 2-His (30 μg) in the presence of increasing amounts of EGFRc-His (F) or ITGB1c-His (G). The mixtures of the proteins were precipitated with glutathione Sepharose beads, followed by Western blot analysis.
specifically regulates endocytosis occurred in cell center through controlling CCP formation in this region. Indeed, compared with control cells (Fig 4A), we found that girdin knockdown affected Tf internalization in the center of cells, maintaining Tf and EGF endocytosis at the cell periphery (Fig 5H, and Supplementary Fig S5C and D). Consistent with this finding were observations made by immunoelectron microscopic analysis that revealed that girdin knockdown led to a defect of the localization of Tf receptor (TfR), but not EGFR, on clathrin-coated vesicles (CCVs), suggesting the involvement of girdin in selective endocytosis (Supplementary Fig SSC and D). In addition, consistent with a previous report that dynamin-null cells had normal CCP formation (Ferguson et al, 2009), we also found the overexpression of the dynamin K44A mutant did not affect the formation of CCPs (Supplementary Fig S5E), which was not identical to changes induced by girdin depletion (Fig 5C). Thus, these results indicate that, besides competitive mechanism involving dynamin, girdin also regulates spatially controlled endocytosis in distinct regions of cells, which must be distinguished from its function as a GAP for dynamin 2.

Girdin-mediated selective endocytosis is involved in maintaining cell polarity

Finally, to investigate the physiological function of girdin-mediated selective endocytosis, we studied the involvement of girdin in the establishment of epithelial cell polarity, which is determined by endocytosis (Wirtz-Peitz & Zallen, 2009; Levayer et al, 2011). To this end, we utilized Madin–Darby canine kidney (MDCK) cells, which have polarized endocytic trafficking with apical-basolateral protein sorting. Knockdown of girdin in MDCK cells depolarized the localization of TIR and E-cadherin, but had no effect on the localization of integrin β1 (Fig 6A, B and E). Of note, the localization of E-cadherin at the basal membrane was significantly deregulated with patchy distribution, especially in the cells defective for Tf endocytosis (Fig 6C–E). These data highlight the relationship between girdin-mediated selective endocytosis and polarized cellular function.

Discussion

In this study, we revealed that a new dynamin GAP protein girdin regulated cargo-selective CME via a novel mechanism (Fig 7). Girdin preferentially regulated CME that occurred in central cell membranes by controlling CCP formation, although its mechanisms remain unknown at present. In addition, girdin also selectively controlled the scission of CCPs in this area via competition between cargoes, dynamin, and itself. However, the generality of our hypothesis in other cargo-selective endocytosis remains to be proven, which should await further studies.

Dynamin is an atypical multimdomain GTPase containing a large GTPase domain, which is well known for its critical role in budding or scission of transport vesicles (Schmid & Frolov, 2011; Ferguson & De Camilli, 2012). Distinct from other GTPases, previous biochemical studies and recent structural analyses showed that its GTPase catalytic activity is stimulated by oligomerization, which requires the involvement of the middle domain and the GED domain, where the GED domain serves as an intramolecular GAP to regulate its GTPase activity (Chappie et al, 2011; Faelber et al, 2011). However, how dynamin GTPase activity is regulated in cells is unclear. Although many Src homology 3 domain-containing proteins, such as Grb2 and SNX9, stimulate dynamin GTPase activity, they are not GAPs because they increase GTPase activity indirectly via modulating the oligomerization of dynamin by interacting with its proline-rich domain (PrD) (Gout et al, 1993). So far, the only intermolecular GAP that has been identified is PLD, which increases dynamin GTPase activity directly to regulate EGFR endocytosis (Lee et al, 2006). In the current study, we identified girdin as a second intermolecular GAP for dynamin. Interestingly, it seems that the functions of these two dynamin GAPs are complementary to each other. In contrast to PLD, girdin was required for Tf endocytosis but not EGFR, indicating the possibility that selective CME is differentially regulated by dynamin and its different types of GAPs.

Although many types of cargoes are internalized via CME, they are not competitive even in the same area of a cell, indicating that the endocytosis of different cargoes is differentially controlled. It has been suggested that selective CME is achieved through cargo-specific adaptors that interact with and recruit specific cargoes to CCPs (Traub, 2009). One concern about this hypothesis is that, considering the huge number of cargoes, it is impossible for cells to evolve an equivalent number of adaptors. Previous studies found that the CCPs on the cell membrane are functionally heterogeneous, that is, peripheral CCPs are utilized by EGFR for its internalization and transition to APPL1 (adapter protein containing pleckstrin homology domain, PTB domain and leucine zipper motif 1)-positive endosomes. In contrast, central CCPs are responsible for Tf endocytosis (Miaczynska et al, 2004; Tosoni et al, 2005; Lakadamyali et al, 2006; Leonard et al, 2008; Zoncu et al, 2009). However, how this heterogeneity is determined and whether this contributes to selective endocytosis are unknown. In our study, we showed that girdin might be involved in the determination of the functional heterogeneity of CCPs. Girdin consistently localized around the sites of CCPs on the membrane to control the formation of CCPs in the center of cells. Accordingly, the knockdown of girdin induced the accumulation of CCPs around the cell periphery.

At present, the mechanism by which girdin spatially controls CCP formation in the center of cells but not the periphery is unknown and should be addressed in further. Recently, two studies have systematically identified the CCV components using proteomic approaches (Borner et al, 2006, 2012). Although girdin has not been identified in the hit list in those studies, it is tempting to see the difference between CCVs in control and girdin knockdown cells using the same approach, which will be helpful to uncover how girdin spatially regulates the formation of heterogeneous CCPs.

We propose that heterogeneity of CCPs is another mechanism for selective CME. CCP heterogeneity makes it possible for Tf and E-cadherin to be internalized in the central regions of cells through the action of girdin, whereas EGFR and integrin β1 can be internalized via CCPs at the cell periphery independent of girdin (Fig 7). Together, selective CME is achieved by heterogeneous CCPs and the corresponding dynamin GAP girdin, which seems to be crucial for cellular functions such as establishment of cell polarity that depends on the endocytic pathway (Fig 6). Interestingly, several genomewide screen studies identified that cell polarity proteins such as Par-6 (partitioning defective 6 homolog) and Cdc42 were involved in the
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Materials and Methods

Cell culture, transfection, and RNAi

HeLa, COS7, and MDCK cells were purchased from American Tissue Type Culture (Rockville, MD) and cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). BS-C-1 cells stably expressing AP-2 α2-EGFP or LCa (clathrin light-chain A)-EGFP (Ehrlich et al., 2004) were kindly provided by Tomas Kirchhausen (Harvard Medical School) and cultured in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS and 1 mg/ml of genetin (Invitrogen). siRNA or plasmids were transfected into cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Lipofectamine LTX (Invitrogen) or FuGene HD (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN) was used to obtain a high expression level of plasmid in HeLa or BS-C-1 cells, respectively. The targeted sequences of siRNA used in this study, the specificity of which has been previously demonstrated, were as follows: girdin (5′-AAGGGGCTTAGCCGAATT-3′), clathrin heavy chain (5′-AA TCAATTCCAGACCAATTT′-3′), dynamin 2 (5′-CTGACGCTCATCTTC TCAAAA-3′). For short hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated knockdown of girdin, the targeted sequence 5′-GAAGAGGACCAACTGGAAT-3′ was inserted into pSIREN-RetroQ retroviral shRNA expression vector (Clontech, Palo Alto, CA) as previously described (Enomoto et al., 2009; Ohara et al., 2012).

Plasmids

The construction of plasmids encoding GFP-girdin fragments, pEF-BOS-GST, and pEF-BOS-GST-Girdin-NT, were previously described (Enomoto et al., 2005, 2009). cDNA fragments encoding the girdin NT (1–256) or integrin β1 cytoplasmic domain (752–798) were inserted into the pGEX-5X-2 vector (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI) or pET-21a vector (Novagen, Madison, WI). The pGEX-4T-2-Gb2, pGEX-4T-2-EGFRc (extracellular domain), and pGEX-4T-2-EGFRc (cytoplasmic domain) plasmids were previously generated in our laboratory. cDNAs encoding human dynamin 2, which were purchased from Open Biosystems (Huntsville, AL), were inserted into the pEFI/Myc-His A (Invitrogen) or pET-21a vector. cDNA encoding the EGFR cytoplasmic domain (669–1210) was inserted into the pET-24d vector. cDNA encoding human dynamin 2 was inserted into the pRetroQ-AcGFP vector (Clontech). cDNA encoding human dynamin 2 GTPase (1–300), Middle (301–518), PH (519–625), and C-terminal (745–867) domains were subcloned into the pFlag-CMV-2 vector (Sigma). cDNA encoding the clathrin light-chain A (generously provided by Katsuyuki Kato, Nagoya University) was cloned into the pET-24d vector and expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pGEX-4T-2-Grb2. cDNA encoding the EGFR cytoplasmic domain (669–1210) was inserted into the pET-24d vector. cDNA encoding human dynamin 2 was inserted into the pRetroQ-AcGFP vector (Clontech).
University) was inserted into the pTagBFP vector (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia). cDNA encoding E-cadherin was inserted into the pRetroQ-AcGFP-C1 vector to replace the sequence encoding AcGFP. To generate mCherry-girdin-NT plasmid, the GFP sequence in pcDNA3.1-GFP-girdin-NT was substituted with the mCherry sequence.

**Endocytosis assays**

Cargo endocytosis was investigated using conventional immunofluorescent imaging technique. For the evaluation of transferrin internalization, HeLa cells starved in binding buffer (DMEM containing 20 mM HEPES and 0.1% BSA) for 3 h were incubated with 50 μg/ml
of Alexa-594-conjugated transferrin (Invitrogen) diluted in binding buffer at 37°C for 10 min, washed using ice-cold PBS, washed using acid buffer (0.5 M NaCl, 3% acetic acid) on ice to remove surface-bound transferrin, and then subjected to immunofluorescence studies using the confocal microscope. In assays investigating EGFR, integrin β1, and E-cadherin endocytosis, cells starved in the binding buffer for 3 h were incubated with either anti-EGFR (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, clone 528; 5 µg/ml), anti-integrin β1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, clone PSD2; 5 µg/ml), or anti-E-cadherin (TaKaRa, clone HEC1-D; 2 µg/ml) antibody in ice-cold binding buffer on ice for 1 h. The cells were washed using ice-cold PBS three times and incubated with warmed binding buffer at 37°C for 10 min to stimulate cargo internalization. In the experiments testing EGFR endocytosis, a binding buffer containing 2 ng/ml EGF was used to ensure EGFR internalization through clathrin-mediated endocytosis. The cells were washed using ice-cold PBS and acid buffer to remove surface-bound antibodies; they were then fixed, permeabilized, and incubated with secondary antibodies and used for fluorescence imaging studies. The HeLa cells stably expressing E-cadherin were used in the experiments testing E-cadherin endocytosis.

In the experiments examining the endocytic sites of cargoes, the cells starved in the binding buffer for 3 h were incubated with 50 µg/ml fluorochrome-conjugated transferrin, 1 µg/ml fluorochrome-conjugated EGF, 5 µg/ml of anti-integrin β1 antibody, or 2 µg/ml anti-E-cadherin antibody in the binding buffer for 10 min. The cells were washed with ice-cold PBS, fixed, permeabilized, and incubated with secondary antibodies, and then, the bottom of the cells was observed using the TIRF system.

Figure 6. Girdin-mediated selective endocytosis regulates polarized localization of TfR and E-cadherin in epithelial cells.

Figure 7. A proposed model for spatially controlled selective endocytosis regulated by girdin. A proposed model for spatial control of CME by dynamin GAP girdin and its specific interaction with cargoes conferring the selectivity for CME. The dynamin 2 GAP protein girdin preferentially controlled CME that occurred in the center of cells by controlling the formation of CCPs; although the mechanism remains unclear at present. In TfR- or E-cadherin-containing CCPs, girdin functioned as a GAP for dynamin 2, resulting in the scission of CCPs. In contrast, girdin interacted with the cytoplasmic region of those cargoes in EGFR- or integrin β1-containing CCPs, inhibiting the dynamin 2/girdin interaction. In that case, other unknown dynamin GAPs would be mobilized to regulate dynamin activity.
Quantification of cargo endocytosis

Cells starved in the binding buffer for 3 h were quickly washed twice using ice-cold PBS on ice and then incubated with 250 μg/ml of sulfo-succinimidyl-2-(biotinamido)ethyl-1,3-dithiopropionate (sulfo-NHS-SS-Biotin, Thermo Scientific) at 4°C for 30 min. The cells were washed three times using ice-cold PBS and incubated with binding buffer (or binding buffer containing 2 ng/ml of EGF in the assay) to examine EGFR endocytosis at 37°C to allow internalization to occur. After internalization, the medium was aspirated, and the cells were transferred onto ice and washed twice using ice-cold PBS. Surface-bound biotin was removed via incubation with stripping buffer (20 mM sodium 2-mercaptoethanesulfonate (MesNa), 50 mM Tris, 100 mM NaCl, pH 8.6) for 30 min. The cells were washed three times using ice-cold PBS, lysed in IP lysis buffer, and centrifuged. The biotinylated cargoes in the supernatants were determined by a capture ELISA. For the ELISA assays, 96-well plates (Thermo Scientific, #439454) were pre-coated overnight with 50 μl of antibodies (5 μg/ml of anti-α5 integrin or anti-EGFR1 antibody, 2 μg/ml of anti-transferrin receptor or anti-E-cadherin antibody) in 50 mM Na2CO3 (pH 9.6) and blocked using 5% BSA in PBS-T at room temperature for 1 h. The plates were extensively washed using PBS-T, incubated overnight with 100 μl of cell lysates at 4°C, washed five times using PBS-T, and incubated with 1 μg/ml of streptavidin-peroxidase (Sigma, S5512) in PBS-T containing 1% BSA for 1 h. After washing, biotinylated cargoes were detected by means of a chromogenic reaction with ortho-phenylenediamine (Sigma, P5412). The reaction was terminated by adding 100 μl of 8 M H2SO4. The ELISA titers were calculated using optical density readings at 490 nm obtained using an Infinite M200 plate reader (Tecan, Research Triangle Park, NC).

Dynamin GTPase activity assays

A malachite green-based colorimetric dynamin GTPase activity assay was performed as previously described (Quan & Robinson, 2005; Takahashi et al, 2010). Purified histidine-tagged human or mouse dynamin 2 (0.39 μg/well, 100 nM) and GST fusion proteins (GST, GST-NT, or GST-Grb2) were mixed in 5× dynamin diluting buffer (30 mM Tris–HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-80, pH 7.4) (8 μl per well) at room temperature for 30 min. During the incubation period, 32 μl of complete GTPase assay buffer (4 μl of 10× GTPase assay buffer [100 mM Tris–HCl, 100 mM NaCl, 20 mM MgCl2, 0.5% Tween-80, pH 7.4], 4 μl of 100× leupeptin [10 μg/ml in distilled water], 4 μl of 10× phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) [1 mM in distilled water], 4 μl of 10× GTP [3 mM GTP (Cytoskeleton, Denver, CO) in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4] and 16 μl of distilled water) was added to 96-well plates. The plates were incubated on a Thermomixer Comfort (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with continuous shaking at a rate of 300 rpm at 30°C. Subsequently, 8 μl of protein mixture was added to each well, and the incubation was continued for the indicated times. The reaction was terminated by adding 10 μl of 0.5 M EDTA, and then 150 μl of malachite green reagent (1 mg/ml malachite green [Wako, Osaka, Japan] and 100 mg/ml ammonium molybdate tetrahydrate [Sigma] in 1 M HCl filtered through a 0.45 μm filter) was added to develop the color. The absorption spectra at 650 nm were measured using an Infinite M200 plate reader. To obtain a standard calibration curve, 8 μl of 5× phosphate standards (500, 250, 150, 100, 50, 25 and 5 μM NaH2PO4 in distilled water) was added to each well containing 32 μl of the complete GTPase assay buffer.

Dynamin sedimentation assays

Purified recombinant dynamin 2 (2 μM) was incubated in sedimentation buffer (10 mM HEPES, 2 mM MgCl2, 150 mM KCl, pH 7.2) at room temperature for 30 min with increasing amounts of tested GST fusion proteins (GST, GST-NT, or GST-Grb2). The reaction mixture (50 μl) was centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min at 19,200 g. Equal volumes of the pellets and supernatant fractions were separated by SDS–polyacrylamide gels (SDS–PAGE), followed by Coomassie blue staining.

Freeze-replica electron microscopy of the cytoplasmic cell surface

Electron microscopy of the cytoplasmic surface of the cell membrane and immunolabeling of girdin molecules were performed as previously described (Enomoto et al, 2005). HeLa cells were cultured on glass coverslips (3 mm in diameter, standard #1 Matsunami, Osaka, Japan). Immediately after being unroofed from the apical cell membrane, the cells were fixed for 30 min in 2.5% glutaraldehyde in buffer A (70 mM KCl, 5 mM MgCl2, 3 mM EGTA, 30 mM HEPES buffer adjusted at pH 7.4 with KOH). After being washed with buffer A/distilled water, specimens were quickly frozen with liquid helium by using the rapid-freezing device (Eiko, Tokyo, Japan, and Variant Instruments, MO, USA). Samples were then freeze-etched and rotary shadowed with platinum–carbon, in a newly developed freeze-etching device (FR9000, HITACHI, Ibaraki, Japan, and JEOL EM-1950 JFDII, Tokyo, Japan). For immunolabeling of girdin molecules, the unroofed cells were fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde/0.5% glutaraldehyde in buffer A. After being washed three times with buffer B (100 mM NaCl, 30 mM HEPES, 2 mM CaCl2), the samples were quenched and blocked, and then labeled for 1 h at 37°C with primary and secondary 10 nm gold-conjugated antibodies (Amersham, and BBI Solutions) in buffer B containing 1% BSA. Finally, specimens were rapidly frozen and freeze-etched as described above. The replica was separated, washed three times on water, and picked up on the forvar-coated EM grids. The replica was observed in JEOL JM1200EX and 1400 (Tokyo, Japan) at 80 kV. The stereo-anaglyph was prepared from an image pair at ±10° by using thePhotoshop, which should be observed by the red and green stereo glasses.

Data analysis

The data are presented as means ± SE. Statistical significance was evaluated using the Student’s t-test. All of the experiments were repeated at least three times.

Supplementary information for this article is available online:
http://emboj.embopress.org
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