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17.1 Climate Change and Protected Areas

The predicted increase in global temperature (annual average 1.1–6.4 °C) and the changes in the amount and distribution of precipitation (IPCC 2007), represent a significant challenge for the plants and habitats within and outside of protected areas (Andrade et al. 2010). Some studies anticipate a reduction of 58 % of plant and animal diversity in the protected areas of Europe by the end of 2080 (Araújo et al. 2011). Alpine plants within and outside protected areas, are expected to experience significant impacts as a results of climate change, during this century (Erschbamer et al. 2009). According to Theurillat et al. (1998), high mountains such as the Alps can be particularly vulnerable to climate change and the impact of the combined effects of human activity and climate change are more and more visible (Fischlin et al. 2007). In this context, the concept of adaptative management of nature reserves can become a potential way to proactively respond to climate change influences (Fazey et al. 2009).

17.2 Area of Study

The focus of this chapter is the identification of the threats that concern alpine habitats, of the way in which these habitats might react to such threats and of those aspects that could be scientifically significant in supporting the selection of the adequate management practices. For the purpose of this study, Bucegi Natural Park in Romania was taken as a case study and the Festuca supina Schur grassland (Natura 2000 code 6150–Siliceous alpine and boreal grasslands), which represent
26% of its alpine grasslands (Pușcaru et al. 1956) and are the most widespread type of habitat in the alpine area (Administrația Parcului Natural Bucegi 2011) was used as an example.

Bucegi Natural Park (32,497.6 ha) is located on the South-Eastern extremity of the Romanian Carpathians, lying between 800 and 2,507 m in altitude (Administrația Parcului Natural Bucegi 2011), its normal climate is cold and humid, with temperatures well below zero during winter, long periods of snow and frost, violent winds especially on peaks, frequent fog especially in the alpine area, and heavy rainfall (Administrația Parcului Natural Bucegi 2011) (Fig. 17.1).

The Park belongs to the Natura 2000 Bucegi Site which hosts 24 types of Natura 2000 habitats of the following categories: shrubs, natural meadows, hydrophilic vegetation, deciduous forests, coniferous and mixed forests. About 30% of all taxa known in the Romanian higher plant flora are present in the Park including 59 endemic plants (Administrația Parcului Natural Bucegi 2011) and four plants recorded in Annex II of the Habitats Directive (Council Directive 92/43/EEC 1992), as well as a rich bryoflora and many species of fungi and lichens. The land use includes: national forestry fund (62%), pastures and grasslands (32%), rocky habitats and Pinus mugo formations (4.9%), water surfaces (0.4%), quarries and touristic infrastructure (0.7%) (Administrația Parcului Natural Bucegi 2011).
17.3 Data Collection in Bucegi Natural Park

The identification of the effects of climate change and of the different potential threats affecting the protected area was based on the results of a survey conducted in 2010, addressed to 97 subjects (landowners, land users and significant stakeholders) involved in the management of Bucegi Natural Park.

Estimation of the potential vulnerability of *Festuca supina* (Ciocârlan 2009) grasslands to the potential impacts of climate change was based on analysis of 16 plots of 25 m², identified on the plateau of the mountain between 2010 and 2012. All 16 plots of habitat type 6150 were located at an altitude between 1,900 and 2,300 m, with low temperatures (annual average temperature between −2.5 and 3 °C), sufficient humidity (1,100–1,400 mm/year) and a minimum snow coverage of 200 days per year (Pușcaru et al. 1956; Donită et al. 2005).

The following parameters were used: species richness, species abundance-dominance and species potential sensitivity. The species conservation value was defined according to the Red List of Higher Plants of Romania (Oltean et al. 1994) and to the Annex II of the Habitats Directive. Species nomenclature is in accordance with The Plant List (2010).

The assessment of the potential sensitivity of species to climate changes used the following criteria: the life span, biological form, the requirements in terms of humidity, heat and nutrients, evaluated according to the groups of biological forms and to scales for moisture, heat and nutrient requirement, used in determining the ecology of species in Romania (Popescu and Sanda 1998; Ciocârlan 2009).

17.4 Potential Pressures and Consequences of Climate Change in Bucegi Natural Park

The results of the survey addressed to owners, users and stakeholders involved in the management of Bucegi Natural Park revealed four categories of climate change pressures, associated with either the phenomenon of global warming or with rainfall imbalances or severe weather and seasonal disturbances: increase in temperature, decrease in rainfall, seasonal changes in precipitation and temperature and increase of extreme weather phenomena. Their effects can threaten directly and indirectly plants diversity and habitats quality (Fig. 17.2).

The habitat type 6150, which was considered in this study comprises of alpine grasslands of *Festuca supina*, which form dense vegetation, composed of many plant taxa (122–130), widely dominated by *Festuca supina* (65–85 %), with 10–22 % *Agrostis rupestris* All. and *Potentilla ternata* Freyn (Fig. 17.3). It is an oligotherm habitat, a glacial relict, which shelters 26 taxa with conservation value listed in the Red List of Higher Plants of Romania (Oltean et al. 1994).

The dominant species are perennial, and dependent on moderate humidity (mesophilic), the presence of snow (hemicyryptophyte and chamaephyte) and low
| Climate change pressures | Climate change effects | Climate change potential threats |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| Increase in TEMPERATURE  | Mild winters          | loss of the species strongly depending on low temperatures and snow |
|                          | Reduced snowfall      | prolongation of the vegetation period, affecting plant phenology, pollination and vulnerability to frost |
|                          | Warm springs          | extension of the grazing period, associated with over-fertilisation and ruderalisation |
| Decrease in RAINFALL     | Warm summers          | growth of thermophilic plants, including invasive taxa |
|                          | Dry summers           | decrease in fitness of plants flowering in summertime |
|                          | Low precipitation     | growth of the species less depending on water supply |
| Seasonal changes in PRECIPITATION and TEMPERATURE | Over the year | increase in the number of phytophage insects |
|                          | Very dry years and very wet years | high level of stress for the life cycle of plants, affecting their self-regulation capacity |
|                          | 10-15°C difference between day and night | occurrence of soil erosion and habitat fragmentation |
| Increase of EXTREME WEATHER PHENOMENA | Heavy rains           | damage to plants’ integrity |
|                          | Storms                | and their dispersal ability |
|                          | Torrents              |                                 |
|                          | Late frosts           |                                 |

Fig. 17.2 Potential climate change effects and induced threats on the alpine plants and habitats from Bucegi Natural Park
temperatures (hekistothermophytes and psychrothermophytes). They are oligotrophic plants. The accompanying species are 89% hemicryptophyte and chamaephyte. Seventy percentage of the identified species are dependent either on humidity (mesophytes and mesohydrophyte) or on low temperatures (hekistothermophytes, psychrothermophytes and microthermophytes), and 40% are oligotrophic. About 52% of the plant species of *Festuca supina* grasslands, include the dominant species, twelve rare plants and three endemics *Achillea oxyloba* subsp. *schurii* (Sch.Bip.) Heimerl, *Androsace villosa* var. *arachnoidea* (Schott, Nyman & Kotschy) R. Knuth, *Dianthus glacialis* subsp. *gelidus* (Schott, Nyman & Kotschy) Tutin, require both low temperatures and moisture for an adequate growth.

The analysis of the species identified in habitat type 6150 revealed the presence of some eurithermophyte and mesothermophyte, and some eutrophic species, which are not normally found in this type of habitat (Doniță et al. 2005; Gafta and Mountford 2008). Some of these might have migrated from neighbouring habitats, at a lower altitude. We mention here *Deschampsia caespitosa* (L.) P. Beauv., *Gnaphalium sylvaticum* L., *Cardamine pratensis* L., as well as *Nardus stricta* L., which is a dominant and characteristic species for the habitat 6230* (Species – rich *Nardus* grasslands). This habitat develops at lower altitudes (800–2,070 m) and in climate conditions characterised by average annual temperatures of between 1.5 and 6.0 °C (Mountford et al. 2008).

The existence of some eutrophic and mesothrophic species (*Biscutella laevigata* L., *Alyssum repens* Baumg., *Ranunculus repens* L. s.o.) can be explained by the tendency towards eutrophication of these grasslands, which is in general, a process associated with grazing activities (Doniță et al. 2005).
17.5 Climate Change Threats and Worrying Aspects

One of the consequences of climate change that alpine plants and habitats will have to face is the potential occurrence of milder winters with less snow. The length and depth of snow cover, correlated with low temperature is considered as a key climatic element in alpine areas (Ozenda and Borel 1991) and the plants from the alpine level, such as the hekistothermophytes and the psychrothermophytes from Bucegi mountains, are sensitive to these factors.

At the same time, a reduction in the duration of snow coverage and amount of snowfall will affect the regeneration of plants from the high elevation of Bucegi mountains, which depend on vernalisation. According to Ozenda and Borel (1991), the species living in snow beds will be the most vulnerable to warming.

Plant species can respond to changes of the climatic conditions in different ways: genetic adaptation, species extinction, biological invasion (Huntley 1991). Up to now we have minimal empirical evidence of how the alpine plants from Bucegi mountains will react to environmental changes.

However, it is worrying that the dominant species of *Festuca supina* grasslands and also the majority of the other present species including rare and endemic plants are mostly hemicryptophyte and chamaephyte, and microthermic dependent.

Another worrying aspect is related to the upward migration process of plants which can produce changes in the vegetation from the existing habitats and can affect the cryophilous plants. An upward migration of some species from lower altitudes seeking climatic conditions suitable for their life functions was also observed for the habitat type 6150 from Bucegi Natural Park. This type of response to the effects of climate change has also been reported in the Alps (Theurillat et al. 1998; Pauli et al. 2003).

The alpine habitats from Bucegi National Park are subject to various influences of human activity. As far as grasslands are concerned, grazing has a particularly significant impact and should be taken into account because both the dominant plants in the studied habitat type, and the associated species are oligotrophic and sensitive to eutrophication. According the evaluation from the Management Plan of the Bucegi Natural Park, chapter IV (Administratia Parcului Natural Bucegi 2011), pastures and meadows in Bucegi Natural Park have different amounts of livestock that often exceed the optimum number of units for grazing land, set at 0.30 LUs/ha. Intense grazing by various livestock (sheep, horses, goats, cows) is a source of intense eutrophication and of soil quality degradation, associated with ruderalisation (Donită et al. 2005), a process that can affects the integrity of *Festuca supina* grasslands. The emergence of early and warm springs, allows the prolonging of the grazing period and for the grazing to start at an earlier time, affecting the regeneration and breeding capacity of spring plants and increasing soil nutrients.

In these circumstances, the question is: “How will alpine habitats from Bucegi mountains respond to climate change?” Certainly each habitat react rather individually, but the current structure of the plant communities and the ecological spectrum of the component species may offer some indication. In an environment
showing evidence of a change in climate and by limited options for intervention, alpine plants are very likely to become a disadvantaged category.

However, the reduction of the non-climatic stress such as grazing (extension in time, diversity of livestock, livestock overload), motorised tourism and the development of the tourism infrastructure, can be an alternative measure to buffer as much as possible the impact that climate change has or might have on the alpine plants and habitats from Bucegi Natural Park.

According to Theurillat and Guisan (2001), the perpetuation of traditional land-use, without intensification can be considered a key factor to offset climate change over the following decades, especially for extensively used ecosystems such as subalpine and alpine grasslands.

17.6 Conclusions

Significant climate change pressures such as increasing temperatures, decreasing precipitation, seasonal changes and extreme phenomena, affect the habitats of the Bucegi Natural Park and we can expect the loss of those plants which will no longer have adequate conditions for survival and a gradual change of their habitats. The analyses of the structure of the plant communities and of their ecological spectrum offer some indication of the potential future tendencies in the habitats’ changes. In this regard, the selected Natura 2000 habitat type 6150, well represented in the Carpathian Mountains, populated by plant species strongly depending on low temperatures and the presence of snow can be considered as potentially vulnerable.

A way to buffer as much as possible the effects of climate change on alpine habitats, could be linked to efforts to decrease or limit the impact of human activities, thus avoiding, or at least diminishing, the cumulative effects of climatic and non-climatic stress.
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