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Since the Industrial Revolution, anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions have grown exponentially, accumulating in the atmosphere and leading to global warming. According to the IPCC (IPCC Special Report, 2018), atmospheric warming should be <2°C to avoid the most serious consequences associated with climate change. This goal may be achieved in part by reducing CO₂ emissions, together with capturing and sequestering CO₂ from point sources. The most mature storage technique is sequestration in deep saline aquifers. In addition, CO₂ can be mineralized and sequestered in solid form by various techniques, i.e., ex-situ, surficial and in situ mineralization. Ex situ and surficial approaches may produce valuable products while mitigating environmental hazards. In-situ mineralization uses ultramafic and mafic geological formations for permanent, solid storage. In addition, the IPCC portfolio that limits warming to <2°C by 2100 includes avoiding CO₂ emissions and removal of CO₂ from air. Regardless of the specific mix of approaches, it will be essential to permanently sequester about 10 billion tons of CO₂ per year by mid-century, and roughly twice that amount each year by 2100. Maximizing the potential of technologies for CO₂ removal from air and CO₂ storage will help to meet global climate goals. The research agenda published by National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine (2019) calls for roughly $1 billion over a 10–20 years time period to advance the deployment of CO₂ sequestration in deep sedimentary reservoirs at the GtCO₂/yr scale and develop CO₂ mineralization at the MtCO₂/yr scale. This would lead to a deeper understanding of the reservoir characteristics from the nano- to kilometer scale, some of which may include the distribution of the reaction products, the reaction rate of the minerals, the permeability evolution, the pressure build-up in the reservoir, the large-scale impact of chemophysical processes leading to clogging or cracking, the effects of potential geochemical contamination, etc. This overview presents the advantages, drawbacks, costs, and CO₂ storage potential of each technique, the current and future projects in this domain, and potential sequestration options in geologic formations around the world.
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INTRODUCTION

The cumulative release of anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO₂) into the atmosphere has been estimated at 2,035 ± 205 GtCO₂ from 1870 to 2015 (Le Quéré et al., 2015). Today, emissions are about 40 GtCO₂/yr (IPCC Special Report, 2018). Each year, roughly half of these emissions are removed naturally by uptake in the ocean and the terrestrial biosphere, while the remainder accumulates in the atmosphere and contributes to global warming. To avoid the worst impacts of global warming and subsequent climate change, the Paris agreement recommended limiting average warming of the atmosphere to <2°C, and preferably <1.5°C (UNFCCC, 2015).

Recently the IPCC published a report stating that, to reach the 1.5°C goal, negative emission technologies have to remove tens of Gt CO₂ per year (IPCC Special Report, 2018), in addition to reducing emissions and capturing CO₂ from point sources. Options for CO₂ removal from air (CDR) include an increase of carbon storage in soils and biomass, but also Direct Air Capture using synthetic sorbents (DACSS) and carbon mineralization via enhanced weathering (DACEW). The likely scenario is that most of these options, operating in parallel, will be necessary to achieve the required level of global CDR, approximately 10 Gt/yr by 2050, and 20 Gt/yr by 2100 (United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2017; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). While utilization of some captured CO₂ may be attractive, to produce fuels with net-zero emissions for example, most of the captured CO₂, from point sources and from air, must be permanently stored. Starting now, carbon capture and storage (CCS) methods must sequester about 125 Gt of CO₂ by 2100 (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Two possible methods for long-term CO₂ storage are underground sequestration in sedimentary formations and carbon mineralization (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Underground CO₂ sequestration in sedimentary formations is the most mature technique for storage of CO₂ captured from point sources, and perhaps by DAC, as commercial-size CO₂ injection projects are already operating today. This approach has been carried out over two decades globally, currently storing roughly 3.7–4.2 MtCO₂/year, for a cumulative total of 30.4 MtCO₂ at the end of 2017 (Global CCS Institute, 2019; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Additionally, roughly 28 MtCO₂/year of captured CO₂ are injected into the ground for CO₂-enhanced oil recovery (CO₂-EOR) (Global CCS Institute, 2019). Approximately 85% of CO₂-EOR projects use CO₂ sourced from natural CO₂ gas fields (DiPietro et al., 2012). Less common use of CO₂ captured from smokestacks or removed from air leads to a lower carbon footprint of produced oil. More specifically, in the U.S., about 64 MtCO₂/yr are used for CO₂-EOR, from which 21 MtCO₂/yr is from anthropogenic sources (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2016). Conventional CO₂-EOR today is optimized to produce as much oil as possible with the least amount of CO₂ injection. In practice, about 0.3–0.6 tons of CO₂ is injected for every barrel of oil produced—which compares to the 0.4 tons of CO₂ emitted when the oil is burned (McGlade, 2019). During oil production, some of the CO₂ is produced along with the oil. In many such cases, it is separated from the oil and reinjected underground. Larger amounts of CO₂ could be sequestered in depleted oil reservoirs if advanced EOR+ or maximum storage EOR+, both which optimize the amount of CO₂ sequestered, were used in place of conventional CO₂-EOR. The combined underground storage capacity in saline aquifers and hydrocarbon reservoirs is estimated to range between 5,000 and 25,000 Gt CO₂ (de Coninck and Benson, 2014), which is larger than necessary to limit warming to <1.5°C through 2100 (Rogelj et al., 2018).

Another storage option is carbon mineralization of mafic and ultramafic rocks. This has been implemented in the Carbfix Project in Iceland. There, more than 10,000 tons of CO₂ captured from geothermal steam is injected into subsurface pore space in basaltic lavas, where it is mineralized within a year (Gisanson et al., 2010; Aradóttir et al., 2011; Matter et al., 2011, 2016; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2017; Gunnarssson et al., 2018). This approach is at a lower stage of technological readiness and is under continued investigation on the lab- and pilot-scales. Globally, carbon mineralization in these rock types has the potential of sequestering up to 60,000,000 GtCO₂ if the resource is economically-accessible and ultimately fully carbonated.

CARBON MINERALIZATION

Processes and Methods of Carbon Mineralization

Carbon mineralization was proposed 30 years ago as a strategy for CO₂ removal from the atmosphere (Seifritz, 1990; Lackner et al., 1995). It is a long-term and non-toxic method of storing CO₂ in solid form, that can also help in mitigating health and environmental hazards in specific contexts (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). This strategy of underground sequestration increases the uptake of CO₂ in the reservoir through the interaction with rocks bearing magnesium or calcium ions (Gunter et al., 1993). Additionally, since it is converted to a stable carbonate form, this represents the safest storage mechanism with regard to minimizing leakage (Zhang and DePaolo, 2017).

Carbon mineralization involves the formation of solid carbonate minerals (calcite, magnesite, dolomite, and a variety of hydrated Mg-carbonate minerals such as nesquehonite) through reaction of CO₂ (gas, liquid, dissolved in water, or supercritical) with rocks rich in calcium or magnesium. The best sources of Mg and Ca are mafic and ultramafic rocks (mantle peridotite, basaltic lava, and ultramafic plutons), mine tailings (mafic and ultramafic), and industrial byproducts (cement kiln dust, steel slag, and fly ash). The source of CO₂ varies depending on the context and local availability, including ambient air (~0.04% CO₂), flue gas from the power sector (~5–12% CO₂), seawater or Mg-rich peridotite groundwater saturated in air (~0.01% CO₂), fluids enriched in or saturated with CO₂, or high purity CO₂ gas and fluid (~99.5%). Direct Air Capture via Enhanced Weathering (DACEW) uses CO₂ directly from air
or surface water for the purpose of carbon mineralization, and can be combined with long-term solid storage (Kelemen et al., under review).

Various methods exist for carbon mineralization: (1) ex-situ, where the alkalinity source is transported to a site of CO$_2$ capture, ground to a small particles, and combined with CO$_2$ in a high temperature and pressure reaction vessel, (2) surficial, where dilute or concentrated CO$_2$ is reacted with the alkalinity source on-site at the surface (e.g., mine tailings, smelter slag), and (3) in-situ, where CO$_2$-bearing fluids circulate through subsurface porosity in geological formations. The rate and cost of carbon mineralization depends on available CO$_2$ dissolved in solution, available alkalinity in the solution, and optimal reaction conditions (Kelemen et al., under review). Variations in pH are particularly important, as low pH favors mineral dissolution whereas high pH accelerates carbonate precipitation (Park and Fan, 2004; Pokrovsky and Schott, 2004).

Research results are difficult to compare because of the wide range of reagents and conditions used. Few comparisons of carbon mineralization kinetics exist as a function of temperature, CO$_2$ partial pressure, and other variables (Matter and Kelemen, 2009; Kelemen et al., 2011; Gadikota et al., in preparation). Several processes have been considered. For instance, in two-step processes, the first step involves the dissolution of the alkalinity source at low pH, with precipitation of carbonates during a second step at high pH. In most cases, the dissolution of the alkalinity source is the rate-limiting step. Alternatively, dissolution of silicates and carbon mineralization may be combined into a single-step process. Experiments with olivine as the alkalinity source used NaHCO$_3$ as a catalyst that buffers the pH (O’Connor et al., 2005; Chizmeshya et al., 2007; Gadikota et al., 2014). In the natural reactive flow of water through ultramafic rocks, a pH-swing occurs spontaneously making both dissolution of the alkalinity source and precipitation of carbonate possible (Bruni et al., 2002; Paukert et al., 2012; Canovas et al., 2017; Paukert Vankeuren et al., 2019; Leong and Shock, under review). Carbon mineralization rates of serpentine may be increased by heat treatment, which removes hydroxyl groups from the mineral and increases the rate of dissolution and carbon mineralization (e.g., O’Connor et al., 2005; Li et al., 2009; Larcher et al., 2012).

Kinetics

The mineral wollastonite (CaSiO$_3$) has the highest reaction rate with CO$_2$, in the range of 8.0·10$^{-9}$-2.0·10$^{-7}$ mol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$ at 25°C and in the range of 1.6·10$^{-5}$-5.0·10$^{-4}$ mol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$ at 180°C (Figure 1) (Palandri and Kharaka, 2004; O’Connor et al., 2005). However, the availability of wollastonite is low, with global reserves estimated at only 100M tons. Its high Ca content makes it a good analog for industrial waste byproducts (i.e., cement kiln dust, steel slag, and fly ash), that may have initial reaction rates similar to wollastonite, but they generally contain less Ca, and/or contain Ca-Al silicates that are slow to react (e.g., (Huijgen et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2012; Sanna et al., 2014; Gadikota et al., 2015; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019)).

Olivine (Mg$_2$SiO$_4$) reacts with CO$_2$-bearing aqueous fluids almost as fast as wollastonite (Figure 1) (Palandri and Kharaka, 2004; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Additionally, as the major mineral of peridotite, which constitutes the Earth’s upper mantle, olivine is highly abundant, making it a good candidate for carbon mineralization. Addition of NaHCO$_3$ in single-step carbon mineralization experiments buffers the pH and helps for both dissolution and carbon mineralization reactions (O’Connor et al., 2005; Chizmeshya et al., 2007; Gadikota et al., 2015).

Plagioclase feldspars, one of the major constituents of basalt and gabbro (the major constituents of the oceanic crust), have lower reaction rates than wollastonite and olivine. At low temperature (25°C) the calcium-rich end-member (anorthite CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$) dissolves faster than the sodium-rich end-member (albite NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$). In basalts, the plagioclase feldspar is usually labradorite (50–70% anorthite), which has reaction rates in the range of 1.3·10$^{-11}$-2.0·10$^{-10}$ mol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$ at 25°C, and 6.3·10$^{-9}$-6.3·10$^{-8}$ mol·m$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$ at 180°C (Figure 1) (Palandri and Kharaka, 2004; Gadikota et al., in preparation; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). To the extent that steel slag and smelting waste contain Ca-Al silicate compounds similar to anorthite, formation of calcite (CaCO$_3$) may be retarded by slow diffusion of Al.

The dissolution rate of serpentine (Mg$_3$Si$_2$O$_5$(OH)$_4$) is similar to the lower bound for plagioclase feldspars (Figure 1) (Thom et al., 2013; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Serpentinite is formed by the alteration of peridotite, which is often partial. This results in a mineral association of serpentine, olivine, and brucite (Mg(OH)$_2$), with olivine and brucite being among the most reactive minerals for carbon mineralization. Also, fibrous “asbestiform” chrysotile serpentine, composed of nano-scale cylinders, allows for rapid carbon mineralization due to its high surface-to-volume ratio. Consuming asbestiform serpentine also mitigates health hazards due to asbestos contained in mine tailings (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

![Figure 1](image-url) Dissolution rates of magnesium- and calcium-rich minerals at 25°C (blue) and 180°C (orange). The plagioclase feldspars are a solid solution between a sodium-end-member (albite NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$) and a calcium-end-member (anorthite CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$) indicated as Na and Ca. The most common plagioclase feldspar in basalts is labradorite (labrad.). At high temperature, there is less variation of dissolution rates as a function of composition for plagioclase feldspars (Figure modified from National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine (2019), after data from Palandri and Kharaka (2004) and Thom et al. (2013)).
The low crystallinity of amorphous basaltic glass and some industrial byproducts may make them more reactive than crystalline minerals and rocks with the equivalent composition (Oelkers and Gislason, 2001; Gislason and Oelkers, 2003). Reaction between the solid source of alkalinity and dissolved or supercritical CO$_2$ can coat grains of solid reactants, thereby reducing the reactive surface area and limiting the extent of reaction (known as “passivation”). However, in most studies, there is no negative effect of passivation observed for olivine, for which the rate carbon mineralization is constant, in part due to reaction-driven cracking and formation of etch pits as the reaction proceeds (Gadikota et al., in preparation). This is not the case for many other reactants, for which the reaction rate decreases over time (perhaps due to passivation). This could be an issue for extensive carbonation of mafic intrusions and basalts rich in plagioclase feldspars and pyroxenes. Understanding the key parameters that favor reaction-driven cracking rather than surface passivation is essential for forecasting the extent of carbon mineralization reactions (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

**Ex-situ Carbon Mineralization**

The most studied mineral for *ex-situ* carbon mineralization is olivine, as it is abundant, has a high reaction rate, and exhibits little to no passivation. The optimum temperature for carbon mineralization of olivine is $\sim 185^\circ$C (O’Connor et al., 2005; Gadikota et al., 2014). Serpentine-rich mine tailings are an intriguing option as the carbonation of asbestiform chrysotile would mitigate health and environmental hazards, and products of rapid heat-treatment of serpentine-rich mine tailings could be used as a feedstock for carbon mineralization using concentrated sources of CO$_2$ (McKelvy et al., 2004; Maroto-Valer et al., 2005; O’Connor et al., 2005; Li et al., 2009; Larachi et al., 2010, 2012; Balucan et al., 2011; Fedoróvková et al., 2012; Balucan and Dlugogorski, 2013; Werner et al., 2013, 2014; Dlugogorski and Balucan, 2014; Ghoorah et al., 2014; Harirhan et al., 2014, 2016; Pasquier et al., 2014; Sanna et al., 2014; Harirhan and Mazzotti, 2017). However, these *ex-situ* methods are more expensive than the projected cost of direct air capture of CO$_2$, and significantly more expensive than CO$_2$ storage in subsurface pore space (see section Costs and Reservoir Capacities).

Another interesting source of alkalinity is waste byproducts (i.e., cement kiln dust, steel slag, smelter slag, and fly ash) (Huijgen et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2012; Sanna et al., 2014; Gadikota et al., 2015) because these are directly available for carbon mineralization. Using these materials for carbon mineralization also mitigates hazards due to chemical contamination associated with alkaline industrial wastes. However, it should be kept in mind that these contain variable, sometimes low concentrations of Ca and Mg, and that Ca may be “locked up” in slow-reacting Ca–Al silicate species. *Ex-situ* carbon mineralization using industrial waste, wollastonite, and olivine (excluding mine tailings) has the potential to carbonate many GtCO$_2$/yr (Kelemen et al., 2011; Renforth et al., 2011; Sanna et al., 2014; Gadikota and Park, 2015; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Nevertheless, costs remain high, and producing this volume of carbonated material raises additional questions regarding handling, transportation, and disposal.

The cost of *ex-situ* carbon mineralization is about 10 times higher than CO$_2$ injection and sequestration into subsurface reservoirs (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). *Ex-situ* carbon mineralization could instead be used to create valuable products (Huijgen et al., 2007; Pan et al., 2012; Sanna et al., 2014; Gadikota et al., 2015), particularly for construction applications. If it were economically viable to use CO$_2$-added aggregates in concrete, instead of currently-used materials, this could reduce the net emissions of the concrete industry. Replacing 10% of building material by material carbonated with anthropogenic CO$_2$ could reduce CO$_2$ emissions by up to 1.6 Gt/yr (Sridhar and Hill, 2011). Details about the mitigation capacity and the cost of various methods are provided in section Costs and Reservoir Capacities of this study.

**Surficial Carbon Mineralization**

Surficial carbon mineralization uses mafic and ultramafic mine tailings. At surface conditions most minerals in mafic and ultramafic rocks have relatively slow reaction rates, with the exception of brucite and asbestiform chrysotile (Power et al., 2011, 2013a; Harrison et al., 2013; Wilson et al., 2014). Mine tailings have a high surface area-to-volume ratio compared to subsurface geologic formations, resulting in increased reactivity. They are also low-cost sources provided that they are wastes of mafic and ultramafic rocks quarried or mined for other resources such as nickel, chromium, platinum-group elements, and diamonds. Mine tailings represent a small mitigation opportunity that would consume $<$36 MtCO$_2$/yr and require potential transportation and large disposal areas (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Still, mine tailings have a high uptake potential of carbon and mines that carbonate their tailings could offset on-site CO$_2$-eq emissions (Harrison et al., 2013; Mervine et al., 2018).

Figure 2 summarizes data on the rate of carbon mineralization of mafic and ultramafic mine tailings in contact with air, and in contact with more CO$_2$-rich gases and fluids. Carbon mineralization in mine tailings can be enhanced by several methods, such as sparging air, air-saturated water, or CO$_2$-rich gas through the tailings (Assima et al., 2013, 2014; Harrison et al., 2013), stirring the tailings to have a turnover of reactive surfaces in contact with air (Kelemen et al., under review), or adding microorganisms that accelerate the formation of carbonates in disposal ponds (Power et al., 2011, 2013b). These methods can significantly increase the CO$_2$ uptake rate of the tailings (Assima et al., 2013; Harrison et al., 2013). For instance, sparging CO$_2$-rich gas through ultramafic tailings could accelerate the CO$_2$ uptake rate up to a million times (Harrison et al., 2013; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Though surficial mineral carbon mineralization methods could prove to be more expensive than the combination of Direct Air Capture using Synthetic Sorbents (DACSS) combined with geological sequestration, this method could provide local solutions where mine tailings are close to a local source of concentrated CO$_2$. And, as noted above, mine tailings containing asbestos present health hazards that would
be mitigated by carbon mineralization (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Another option for surficial carbon mineralization is mining of mafic and ultramafic rocks for the purpose of CO$_2$ capture and storage, which might cost $55–500/tCO$_2$ depending on the reactivity of specific tailings, the duration of their exposure to air, and the cost of “stirring” the tailings to prevent passivation (Kelemen et al., under review). This range of costs overlaps with the range of cost estimates for DACSS, combined with storage in geologic formations (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Recently, looping, or re-use, of Mg-rich mine tailings has been proposed (Kelemen et al., under review; McQueen et al., under review). The simplest version of this process would begin with magnesite (MgCO$_3$). Heating of magnesite (“calcining”) produces a nearly pure stream of CO$_2$ gas plus a solid residue of caustic magnesia (MgO). Subsequent weathering of caustic magnesia will rapidly remove CO$_2$ from air, with nearly complete conversion to Mg-carbonates expected in about a year. Mg-carbonates can then be calcined again, and so on. Initial feedstock could also be ultramafic tailings, with the first step of calcining forming “heat-treated serpentine” and producing H$_2$O + CO$_2$ gas. After a few cycles of weathering, the solid residue of calcining is likely to be composed of caustic magnesia plus or less crystalline SiO$_2$ (opal, chalcedony, and quartz). The caustic magnesia can be used for many more cycles of weathering to remove CO$_2$ from air. We believe that this process has costs and area requirements similar to, or less than, DACSS.

Grinding ultramafic and mafic rocks to sizes smaller than mine tailing sizes and spreading them over agricultural soil, forest soil, or along beaches is another possibility for CO$_2$ removal (Figure 2) (e.g., Schuiling and Krijgsman, 2006; Renforth et al., 2015; Edwards et al., 2017; Kantola et al., 2017; Beerling et al., 2018). This process may be enhanced by microbial processes occurring in soils (Power et al., 2009, 2013b) and may be competitive with direct air capture using chemicals combined with geologic sequestration of CO$_2$. However, mafic and ultramafic rocks contain nickel and chromium that, once oxidized, might present a significant health hazard if they accumulate in soils.

**IN-SITU MINERAL SEQUESTRATION**

*Storage in Subsurface Pore Space*

When CO$_2$-bearing fluids or supercritical CO$_2$ are injected at depth into geologic formations, the sequestration of CO$_2$ in the subsurface porosity relies on the impermeability of the caprock of the reservoir. Suitable reservoirs are saline aquifers, depleted oil, and gas reservoirs (may or may not be associated with enhanced oil recovery, i.e., CO$_2$-EOR), possibly hydraulically fractured shale formations, and thick formations of basalt and peridotite (Tao and Clarens, 2013; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Ideal properties of saline formations for CO$_2$ storage include high permeability to enable high rates of CO$_2$ injection without large pressure buildup, thick formations with many interbedded low permeability barriers to use the pore space efficiently (Wen and Benson, 2019), and having salinity in excess of 10,000 ppm of total dissolved solids to comply with groundwater protection regulations. It is important to limit pore pressure buildup in order to avoid fracturing the caprock and inducing seismic events (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Secondary trapping mechanisms aim to improve CO$_2$ storage and include solubility trapping by dissolution of the CO$_2$ into aqueous pore fluid (Emami-Meybodi et al., 2015), residual gas trapping by capillary forces (Krevor et al., 2015), and mineralization by chemical interactions between the CO$_2$, pore fluid, and rock (Figures 2, 3) (Zhang and DePaolo, 2017). The extent of secondary trapping mechanisms is highly site-specific, and depends on the geology, structure, and hydrology.
of each reservoir. For instance, increasing pore fluid salinity decreases CO₂ solubility (Gunter et al., 1993). The purity of the CO₂ also affects the storage capacity of the reservoir (Talman, 2015). Secondary trapping mechanisms in and of themselves may be sufficient for the mitigation of the risks of CO₂ leakage (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019), for example in basalt and peridotite formations where carbon mineralization rates are highest and 90% of the CO₂ may be mineralized in a few months to decades (Figure 3, right panel) (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). The rate and capacity of mineral trapping are low in many sedimentary reservoirs due to the dominant quartz composition which has very limited reactivity with CO₂ (Figure 3, left panel). In sedimentary settings, CO₂ may remain mobile for centuries and trapping relies primarily on the impermeability of the caprock. This is observed for closed structural traps, in which fluids do not move far and both solubility and capillary trapping remain low. Conversely, large saline aquifers are hydrodynamic traps where CO₂ moves rapidly through the pore space and interacts with a larger volume of the reservoir, increasing the extent of all secondary mechanisms (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

**Carbon Mineralization in Basalts for CO₂ Storage**

The high porosity and permeability of basalt increases its reactivity with CO₂ making it an ideal medium for CO₂ injection and storage. Two pilot projects—Wallula in Washington state, USA and CarbFix in Iceland—demonstrate this high potential for CO₂ storage in basalt. For both projects the composition, structure, and hydrology of the reservoir were studied, to assess the viability of the targeted reservoir for the injection and sequestration of CO₂ in the rock pore space (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). The Wallula pilot plant successfully injected 977 tons of pure CO₂ between 838 and 886 meters in depth over the course of 25 days during the summer of 2013 for the purpose of CO₂ storage (McGrail et al., 2014, 2017a,b).

The aim of the CarbFix project is to dispose of H₂S as well as CO₂ derived from condensation of natural steam. In Phase I, ~200 tCO₂ were injected at a depth of 500 m with a 100 m flow path through the basalt. New techniques were developed in both Phase I (Sigfusson et al., 2015) and Phase II (Gunnarsson et al., 2018) to inject water and CO₂ separately with proportions insuring the complete dissolution of CO₂ in water at depth. These techniques are very important, because they eliminate the need for an impermeable caprock and thereby greatly increases the number and extent of potential basalt storage reservoirs. Tracers including SF₆ and ¹⁴C, were used to assess the fate of CO₂ in the basalt-hosted aquifer. Rapid carbon mineralization removed dissolved CO₂ from pore water within months. Phase II is more ambitious and continues to inject 10–20 ktCO₂/yr and a roughly comparable mass of H₂S at 1,500 m in depth, forecasting a 2,000 m flow path. Over 3 years, the carbon mineralization rate has been about 5·10⁻⁹ mol·m⁻²·s⁻¹ (a value that can be compared with the summary of experimental data in Figure 2). This offers the potential to recycle pore water for continued co-injection with CO₂, and addresses concerns about the extent of water consumption for solution trapping methods. Moreover, Climeworks has installed direct air capture unit on site, to investigate the potential for the project to be carbon negative (Gislason et al., 2010; Aradóttir et al., 2011; Matter et al., 2011, 2016; Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2017; Gunnarsson et al., 2018).

**FIGURE 3** | Evolution of the extent of CO₂ trapping mechanisms with time. The extent of each trapping mechanism is highly site specific and depends on several parameters including the type of rock: carbonatitic and siliciclastic rocks (left panel), or mafic and ultramafic rocks that have the ability to react much faster with CO₂ to form carbonates (right panel) (from National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019), Figure 6.7 and (Kelemen et al., under review), Figure 8, modified from (Benson et al., 2005); also see Figure 9 in (Snæbjörnsdóttir et al., 2017).
These pilot projects have demonstrated the potential for CO₂ storage in basalt reservoirs (McGraill et al., 2014; Gíslason et al., 2018) and monitoring is ongoing for a better understanding of the process (Alfredsson et al., 2013; Matter et al., 2014, 2016). Basalts contain alteration minerals and glass that could be more reactive than the original, igneous minerals, which are generally more abundant (Oelkers and Gíslason, 2001; Gíslason and Oelkers, 2003; Wolff-Boenisch et al., 2006; Flaathen et al., 2010; Alfredsson et al., 2013). It is thus important to know the role and proportion of alteration minerals and glass at specific sites of carbon mineralization. Other concerns are potential formation of a passivation layer that may decrease the reactivity of the rock over time (Power et al., 2013b). Also, crystallization of reaction products in pore space may lead to clogging of pore space (Alfredsson et al., 2013) and subsequently, a decrease in permeability. Monitoring will quantify the evolution in space and time of a dissolution zone in acid waters close to the injection well, and a precipitation zone that will occur further away and pushed outwards as new carbonates are formed.

Storage of CO₂ in basalts is estimated to cost $20–$30/tCO₂, as compared to <$20, perhaps <$10, for storage in pore space in sedimentary reservoirs. Basalt storage is likely to be a preferred choice in volcanic provinces, and possibly additional gigantic near-shore reservoirs in the extensive basalt layer that comprises the upper few kilometers of oceanic crust, worldwide (Goldberg et al., 2008, 2010; Goldberg and Slagle, 2009). Because they cool very rapidly during submarine eruptions, basalts in oceanic crust may have higher proportions of basaltic glass that react much faster than crystalline basalt. Overall, abundant basalts, some close to populated areas, can potentially store thousands of gigatons of CO₂.

**Carbon Mineralization in Peridotites**

Peridotite is the major constituent of Earth’s upper mantle and is primarily composed of olivine, which reacts spontaneously with air or fluids containing CO₂. The biggest massif of exposed peridotite is the Samail ophiolite in Oman and the United Arab Emirates. There are almost equally large massifs in New Caledonia and Papua New Guinea. The contiguous 48 US States contain relatively small bodies of peridotite that cumulatively have about the same mass as the Samail ophiolite (Krevor et al., 2009). The primary minerals in tectonically exposed mantle peridotite (mainly olivine, pyroxenes and spinel) transform partially or completely into mixtures of serpentinite, brucite, Fe-oxides and -oxyhydroxides. Extensive carbon mineralization in the Samail ophiolite has been observed, forming carbonate veins in fractures, and travertine terraces around alkaline springs. The rate of natural carbon mineralization in the Samail ophiolite is on the order of 1,000 tCO₂/km²/yr (Figure 2) (Kelemen and Matter, 2008; Kelemen et al., 2011; Streit et al., 2012; Mervine et al., 2014). The presence of listvenites—fully carbonated peridotites composed entirely of magnesite and dolomite (MgCO₃, CaMg(CO₃)₂) + quartz (SiO₂) + hematite and goethite (Fe₂O₃, FeO(OH)) + relict spinel and/or chromian mica—show that full carbonation of peridotites is possible at natural conditions (about 100°C) (e.g., Falk and Kelemen, 2015; Kelemen et al., 2018).

Peridotite reservoirs have the potential to mineralize and sequester 10²⁻10⁵ tCO₂ and are potentially the least expensive route for combined CO₂ capture from air and storage. Because olivine, serpentine and brucite are far from CO₂, H₂, and O₂ exchange equilibrium with air and surface water, peridotites are also an immense reservoir of chemical potential energy that could yield heat and work from weathering reactions. Nevertheless, compared to basalts, peridotites are less permeable, have less abundant outcrops, and are farther from population centers and sources of anthropogenic CO₂.

As in any in situ carbon mineralization system, the extent of carbon mineralization could become limited through negative feedbacks, i.e., passivation of reactive surfaces and clogging of pore space causing a decrease in permeability. While basalt formations may be more vulnerable to passivation than peridotite, pore space clogging is less important in basalts because of their higher initial porosity and permeability (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). However, in peridotite formations, natural alkaline springs have persisted in the same locations for tens to hundreds of thousands of years without running out of reactive surface areas or destroying permeability (Kelley et al., 2001; Früh-Green et al., 2003; Ludwig et al., 2006, 2011; Kelemen and Matter, 2008; Kelemen et al., 2011, 2019). In some cases, every magnesium and calcium atom in peridotite has combined with CO₂ to form listvenite (previous paragraph). This may be the result of positive feedback, in which reaction-driven volume changes cause increases in differential stress in the rock and generate fractures, maintaining or increasing the permeability of the rock and exposing fresh reactive surfaces (e.g., Jamtveit et al., 2008; Kelemen and Matter, 2008; Rudge et al., 2010; Kelemen and Hirth, 2012; Evans et al., 2018). Reaction-driven cracking is probably maximized when the rate of reaction is highest. During some experiments on carbon mineralization in peridotite, a reduction in permeability has been observed (e.g., Andreani et al., 2009; Hövelmann et al., 2012; Godard et al., 2013; van Noort et al., 2017), emphasizing the necessity to better understand of the parameters and mechanisms driving positive (cracking) or negative (clogging) feedbacks. The analog experiments of Zheng et al. (2018) illustrate the efficacy of reaction-driven cracking under the right conditions. In addition to their application to in situ carbon mineralization, a greater understanding of these mechanisms could be applied toward geothermal power generation, in-situ mining, extraction of oil and gas from tight reservoirs, and conversely, ensuring clogging to avoid failure of caprock and wellbore cement overlying CO₂ storage reservoirs.

Geologic examples demonstrate that complete, in situ carbon mineralization of peridotite is possible at elevated temperature and relatively high partial pressures of CO₂ (e.g., Falk and Kelemen, 2015; de Obeso et al., 2017). Thus, at about 185°C and more than ~70 bars PCO₂, carbon mineralization may be implemented for solid storage of CO₂ at a cost on the order of $10 per ton [(National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019), Figure 6.17]. Modeling has shown that injecting CO₂ at depth in peridotite formations could increase the mineralization rate by 16,000× over natural systems, and the weight of CO₂ sequestered per kilogram of peridotite could be 350× higher.
However, complete carbon mineralization is unlikely, and the cost of injecting fluid, per ton of CO₂, becomes very high at temperatures lower than 50°C and/or CO₂ partial pressure < ~1 bar.

As noted above, peridotite undergoing low temperature alteration and weathering produces C-depleted, Ca-rich alkaline waters, via precipitation of Mg-carbonates and dissolution of Ca-silicates along the subsurface reaction path. When these alkaline waters emerge at springs on the surface, they combine with atmospheric CO₂ to form calcite (CaCO₃) travertine deposits (e.g., Barnes and O’Neill, 1969; Bruni et al., 2002; Paukert et al., 2012). Thus, accelerated production of water from peridotite-hosted, alkaline aquifers represents a low-cost opportunity for CO₂ removal from air coupled to solid storage. The size and recharge rate of such aquifers are unknown. Note that only very limited amounts of pumping could be employed. In near-surface peridotite catchments, natural water saturated in air contains ~40–400 ppm CO₂ (calculated with all dissolved C as CO₂, using C concentrations from Kelemen and Matter, 2008, Figure S2). Similarly, we calculate that alkaline water at the surface will consume about 400 ppm CO₂ to form calcite (Vankeuren, personal communication). At these concentrations, every penny spent pumping a ton of water becomes $20–$200 per ton of CO₂. Thus, to produce alkaline water, it is best to seek areas with artesian springs, relatively high subsurface permeability, and/or a significant thermal gradient promoting thermal convection of water in pore space. Recharge of alkaline, peridotite-hosted aquifers could be accelerated by recirculation of produced water into peridotite, with costs of $50–$100/tCO₂ in formations with permeability ~10⁻¹²⁻¹⁰⁻¹³ m² (1–0.1 Darcy), with each well having the capacity to capture and store a few thousand tCO₂/yr (Kelemen et al., 2016; Kelemen et al., under review). Unfortunately, costs are strongly correlated with permeability, so that in low permeability formations (e.g., ≤10⁻¹⁴ m²), the costs would exceed $1,000/tCO₂. On the other hand, costs are negatively correlated with the CO₂ concentration in the circulated fluid. Initial investigations into relatively low-cost enrichment of CO₂ from 0.04% in air to, e.g., 5% using direct air capture to increase the CO₂ purity, followed by in situ carbon mineralization (Kelemen et al., under review) are ongoing.

In summary, near-surface peridotite formations have the capacity to store over 100,000 GtCO₂ at relatively affordable costs that could be similar to CarbFix or injection in subsurface sedimentary reservoirs. Drilling to depths where temperature exceeds 100°C, and pumping of high PCO₂ fluids, could yield efficient, permanent, solid storage of CO₂ captured elsewhere. At lower temperature, production of alkaline water from peridotite-hosted aquifers could provide a route to CO₂ removal from air, combined with solid storage that is cost-competitive with direct air capture using chemicals. A combination of peridotite carbonation and geothermal power generation could be a win-win situation, because the extraction and reinjection of geothermal fluid drives fluid flow through peridotite, necessary for extensive carbon mineralization, an exothermic reaction that could preserve the high temperature in the fluid reservoir, maintaining thermal buoyancy, and power generation.

### Geological Sequestration in Saline Aquifers and Oil and Gas Depleted Reservoirs

Supercritical CO₂ (density around 600 kg/m³) may be injected and stored in deep sedimentary formations. Ideal reservoirs for CO₂ sequestration are thick reservoirs located below 1 km in depth with high porosity and high permeability ensuring a high storage capacity (i.e., 50–100 MtCO₂ /project) at commercially meaningful injection rates (MtCO₂/yr). Suitable reservoir rocks have high porosity and permeability such as sandstone, limestone, dolomite, basalt, or mixtures thereof, whereas a suitable caprock is made of shale, anhydrite, or low permeability carbonate rocks. Long-term CO₂ sequestration and reservoir safety rely on the impermeability of the caprock and the extent of the secondary trapping mechanisms (section Storage in Subsurface Pore Space) (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Injections of CO₂ into subsurface reservoirs began in the 1970s for enhanced oil recovery. Today CO₂-EOR has the potential to sequester 30 GtCO₂ if the CO₂ used in oil recovery [assuming an industry standard of 3 bbl oil per tCO₂ sequestered] is left in the ground after oil production (Kuuskraa, 2013; International Energy Agency, 2015). Advanced CO₂-EOR methods, designed to co-optimize both oil recovery and CO₂ sequestration, could sequester over 90 GtCO₂ (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019), and this could increase oil production compared to standard CO₂-EOR methods (Benson and Deutch, 2018).

The Sleipner Saline Aquifer Storage Project is the first commercial-scale CO₂ storage project. It commenced in 1996 and has injected CO₂ into an offshore saline aquifer formation, comprised of permeable sandstone beneath a low permeability shale caprock for permanent disposal and climate change mitigation (Baklid et al., 1996). This project shows that CO₂ storage in subsurface sedimentary reservoirs at a rate of 1 Mt/yr is possible and safe. In addition, the project helped increase our understanding of CO₂ plume migration. The project led to the avoidance of a tax of roughly 320 NOK/tCO₂ (36 USD at 9 NOK/USD) (Torp and Brown, 2005). Four commercial-scale projects globally are currently collectively sequestering 4.2 MtCO₂/yr and a fifth pending project in Western Australia is aiming to sequester 3.4–4 MtCO₂/yr. These projects store anthropogenic CO₂ from natural gas processing, chemical production, and power generation (Table 1) (Global CCS Institute, 2019; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Sedimentary basins around the world have the potential to store 8,200 GtCO₂ in a conservative estimate and between 20,000 and 35,000 GtCO₂ in high estimates (Benson et al., 2005, 2012; U.S. Geological Survey (USGS), 2013; de Coninck and Benson, 2014; U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 2015; Global CCS Institute, 2019). A reasonable CO₂ footprint for sequestration in sedimentary reservoirs lies between 0.5 and 5.0 tCO₂/m³, and depends on the architecture of the reservoir and the caprock, the petrophysical properties of the rocks, the pressure and the temperature in the reservoir, and the...
extent of secondary trapping mechanisms (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

True realization of a given capacity depends on a number of factors. More specifically, the uncertainties surrounding the pore space that is usable for CO₂ storage include: the pressure buildup in the reservoir during injection; the quality of the seal, including the presence old boreholes that penetrate the seal; other uses of the formation; and other restrictions on above-ground or surface resources (Ehlig-Economides and Economides, 2010; Zoback and Gorelick, 2012; Bachu, 2015). The concept of dynamic capacity has been developed to address the issues surrounding pressure buildup and the maximum rate of CO₂ injection. This parameter depends on other reservoir users and if active pressure management is implemented (e.g., brine removal) (Buscheck et al., 2012). Actual CO₂ sequestration capacities could thus be in the lower range of assessed reservoir capacities (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). A more salient issue is the proximity of quality storage reservoirs to sources of CO₂ emissions. While long-distance transport of CO₂ is possible, it can add considerably to the cost of CCUS.

In general, even the conservative estimates for CO₂ sequestration capacity far exceed the cumulative anthropogenic CO₂ emissions since the Industrial Revolution (2,035 ± 205 GtCO₂) (Le Quéré et al., 2015). Worldwide potential for sequestration of supercritical CO₂ in sedimentary rock pore space is estimated to be between 8,200 GtCO₂ and 34,700 GtCO₂. Most of the possibilities are located in Asia (2,964–2,984 GtCO₂), North America (2,600–21,770 GtCO₂), and South America (≈2,030 GtCO₂) (Global CCS Institute, 2019). Given this geographic dispersion, highly concentrated CO₂ sources and storage opportunities are often co-located, which minimizes CO₂ transportation costs and associated emissions. If the strategy is to use the reservoirs with the highest capacities or the highest injection rates, CO₂ may be provided by long distance transport or direct air capture depending on the relative costs of each (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

### Increasing the Security of in-situ CO₂ Storage in Subsurface Pore Space

Sequestration in sedimentary formations is the most mature technology for long-term storage of CO₂ in subsurface pore space. The requirements for secure reservoirs are described in sections Storage in Subsurface Pore Space and Geological Sequestration in Saline Aquifers and Oil and Gas Depleted Reservoirs. Assurance of long-term storage security can be enhanced by secondary trapping mechanisms that immobilize the CO₂, including dissolution, residual gas trapping, and mineralization. Prior to CO₂ injection, targeted reservoirs are modeled using multiscale and multiphysics numerical simulations coupled to field observations in order to assess the ability of the reservoir to securely sequester CO₂. During and after the injection it is necessary to monitor the evolution of CO₂, the reservoir, and the caprock (Jenkins et al., 2015), in order to detect leakage from the reservoir. It is thus necessary to have suitable conditions for monitoring. In addition, underground sequestration of CO₂ aims to avoid any competition with

---

**TABLE 1 | Global CO₂ sequestration projects for climate change mitigation** (Flutvå et al., 2010; Vasco et al., 2010; Eiken et al., 2011; Shi et al., 2013; McGrail et al., 2014; Glåson et al., 2018; Maneni et al., 2018; Global CCS Institute, 2019; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

| Project                  | CO₂ source                  | Date               | CO₂ injection rate (Mt/yr) | Observations                                                                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **CO₂ SEQUESTRATION IN SEDIMENTARY FORMATIONS** |                              |                    |                            |                                                                             |
| Sleipner                 | Natural gas processing      | 1996–present       | 1                          | 1st project injecting supercritical CO₂ in a saline aquifer for long-term storage |
| Offshore Norway          |                             |                    |                            |                                                                             |
| In Salah                 | Natural gas processing      | 2004–2010          | 0.7                        | Large pressure build-up in the reservoir; Unexpected geomechanical deformation |
| Algeria                  |                             |                    |                            |                                                                             |
| Snoehvit                 | Natural gas processing      | 2008–present       | 1                          | Fast decrease in CO₂ injectivity, remedied by injecting into a different interval |
| Offshore Norway          |                             |                    |                            |                                                                             |
| Decatur                  | Chemical production         | 2011–2014          | 0.3                        |                                                                             |
| Illinois, United States  |                             | 2017–present       | 1                          |                                                                             |
| Quest                    | Power generation            | 2015–present       | 1.2                        |                                                                             |
| Alberta, Canada          |                             |                    |                            |                                                                             |
| Gorgon                   | Natural gas processing      | Under construction | 3.4–4                      |                                                                             |
| Barrow Island, Australia |                             |                    |                            |                                                                             |
| **CO₂ SEQUESTRATION IN BASALT FORMATIONS** |                              |                    |                            |                                                                             |
| CarbFix                  | Geothermal power generation | 2012–2016          | 200 tCO₂                   | Ending reason: upscaling of the project                                      |
| Iceland                  | Direct air capture          |                    |                            |                                                                             |
|                          |                             | 2014–present       | 6,500 tCO₂/yr              | Alternated injections of CO₂ and water, so that CO₂ entirely dissolves in water at depth |
|                          |                             |                    |                            | Co-mineralization of carbon and sulfur                                     |
| Wallula                  | Natural gas processing      | 2009–2013          | 977 tCO₂                   | Injection of supercritical CO₂                                             |
| Washington State, United States |                       |                    |                            |                                                                             |
other vital resources, such as freshwater and land use (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Modeling and Simulating for Safety Assessment of Storage in Subsurface Pore Space

Numerical modeling of the target reservoir helps to design and optimize CO\textsubscript{2} injection and confirms the performance of the formation. The goal is to predict the evolution of the plume, its center and spread, as well as the CO\textsubscript{2} phase distribution. Modeling outputs include CO\textsubscript{2} plume migration, pressure buildup, geomechanical effects, and geochemical reactions (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). These phenomena are coupled and can be described by a set of nonlinear differential equations that model fluid thermodynamics and physical processes. Solving these set of equations requires using finite differences, finite volume, or finite element simulations (U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 2017d). Mature codes using paralleled temporal and spatial discretization and related equations to model the fluid thermodynamics and physical processes provide similar results (Pruess et al., 2004; Class et al., 2009).

Accurate modeling of CO\textsubscript{2} evolution in subsurface reservoirs faces several challenges: (1) the complexity of physical and chemical processes occurring, (2) spatial and temporal scales ranging over more than 12 orders of magnitude (from mm to km, and from ms to 1000s years, respectively), and (3) incomplete high-resolution knowledge of the subsurface geology that necessitates probabilistic modeling. Simultaneously addressing these challenges in a single simulation is too computationally intensive. Hence, modelers use reduced physics models (Nordbotten et al., 2012), upsampling, and empirical parametrization provided by lab experiments. However, in order to make the experiments feasible, experimentalists use small samples over short timescales, high flow rates, and other parameters that may not be representative of natural subsurface reservoirs like relative permeability. These parameters must be extrapolated in order to understand the natural reservoir dynamics. Modeling is carried out over specific time and space scales and relies on computationally-intensive simulations using advanced fluid mechanics in realistic pore networks (Raeini et al., 2018). Efforts are under way to properly bridge the gaps between the various scales (Abu-Al-Saud et al., 2017). Additional work is required for improved rate constant data of the various chemical processes (Zhang and DePaolo, 2017) and the parametrization of convective dissolution of CO\textsubscript{2} in saline formations (Riaz et al., 2006). Additionally, a high-resolution description of the reservoir is only available at the wellbores. A description of the rest of the reservoir relies on indirect methods using geophysical imaging such as seismic surveys and probabilistic methods. The discrepancies on parameters and assumptions made from the various models result in differences in plume evolution and CO\textsubscript{2} phases distribution, illustrating the need for improved modeling tools (Nordbotten et al., 2012).

In practice, subsurface reservoir modeling uses an iterative method that aims to match observations and simulations by refining and calibrating the numerical model with direct and indirect observations of the reservoir characteristics. This method is used today and is shown to work well for geological sequestration projects (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). A combination of rapid growth in computational power and algorithm evolution are promising for the emergence of more accurate forecasting of CO\textsubscript{2} evolution in subsurface reservoirs, ultimately leading to the scale-up of geologic sequestration on the order of GtCO\textsubscript{2}/yr (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Monitoring for Risk Prevention of Storage in Subsurface Pore Space

Major risks associated with the storage of CO\textsubscript{2} in underground pore space include leakage from wellbores or non-sealed fractures in the caprock, pressure buildup in the reservoir that could result in caprock hydraulic fracturing, and contamination of drinking water. Prevention of these risks relies on the monitoring of CO\textsubscript{2} plume migration, pressure in and above the reservoir, induced seismicity, extent of secondary trapping mechanisms, and the chemistry of freshwater aquifers close to the CO\textsubscript{2} reservoir (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Injection wells for CO\textsubscript{2} sequestration are Class VI and in the U.S., guidelines exist that prescribe monitoring requirements throughout the lifecycle of a CO\textsubscript{2} storage project (European Parliament the Council of the European Parliament, 2009; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2010).

Pressure-variation monitoring is one of the best indicators of CO\textsubscript{2} movement. Even if the horizontal displacement of the brine is small, the injection of CO\textsubscript{2} can create a pressure buildup >100 km away from the injection zone (Birkholzer et al., 2009). Pressure measurements are performed with pressure sensors placed in injection and monitoring wells and in aquifers above the storage formation. Pressure buildup assists in tracking CO\textsubscript{2} plume migration (Strandli and Benson, 2013; Strandli et al., 2014), but pressure changes in aquifers above the reservoir indicate leakage in the overlying aquifer (Meckel et al., 2013; Kim and Hosseini, 2014; Cameron et al., 2016). Interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) measurements of ground surface deformation have also proved to be efficient for estimation of large pressure buildup in the reservoir in regions with few seasonal variations (e.g., In Salah, Algeria) (Vasco et al., 2010). However, the most favored technique for CO\textsubscript{2} plume monitoring is seismic imaging (Hovorka et al., 2006; Pevzner et al., 2011; Ivanova et al., 2012; Ajo-Franklin et al., 2013; White, 2013; Jenkins et al., 2015). Tracking the CO\textsubscript{2} plume can be used to validate and model reservoir models, detect leakage of CO\textsubscript{2} into overlying aquifers, and indicate the “CO\textsubscript{2} footprint” of the plume. It could also provide information about the interactions between several injection sites in the same formation (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 2013; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Injection of CO\textsubscript{2} in subsurface reservoirs may create induced seismicity, likewise for injection of oilfield brine disposal or hydraulic fracturing for enhanced oil and gas recovery (Ellsworth, 2013; Walsh and Zoback, 2015; Langenbruch and Zoback, 2016). Induced earthquakes are mostly of magnitude 2 or below and are not felt at the surface and require sensitive geophone arrays to be recorded, identified, and located. Still,
microseismicity monitoring at Decatur (USA) and In Salah (Algeria) sequestration sites have shown thousands of induced earthquakes per year (U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 2017c). Most studies consider that induced earthquakes have a low risk of triggering fault displacements and endangering the security of the reservoir, but some authors have argued that even small- to moderate-magnitude earthquakes could damage the seal and compromise the integrity of sequestration reservoirs (Zoback and Gorelick, 2012). Experience to date has shown that the vast majority of micro-seismic events are not in the seal, instead, occurring in crystalline basement rocks far below the storage reservoir which may be due to a pre-existing geological structure—posing no risks to the storage integrity of the reservoir (Kaven et al., 2014).

The monitoring of CO₂ reservoirs also includes checking for CO₂ leaks at the surface or dissolved in groundwater. At the surface, CO₂ is commonly detected using infrared detectors or techniques developed for studying the carbon cycle in the terrestrial environment (Fessenden et al., 2010; Krevor et al., 2010; Lewicki et al., 2010; Male et al., 2010; Rouse et al., 2010). In groundwater, the presence or an increase of CO₂ can be deduced from reaction products (Hovorka et al., 2006; Jenkins et al., 2012; Romanak et al., 2012; Yang et al., 2013; Anderson et al., 2017), from analyses of pressurized samples representative of the subsurface conditions (Freifeld et al., 2005), and from tracers that help track waters containing dissolved CO₂ (Kharaka et al., 2009; Ringrose et al., 2009; Würdemann et al., 2010; Matter et al., 2011). Probabilistic modeling of risks associated with leakage suggest that 98% of the CO₂ will be retained in 10,000 years (Choi et al., 2013; Alcalde et al., 2018; Rogelj et al., 2018). An increase in CO₂ in aquifers may mobilize lead and arsenic contained in rocks (Zheng et al., 2009) creating an environmental hazard if drinking water sources are affected. However, numerical simulations have shown that the migration of fluids between reservoirs is unlikely through low-permeability sealing units (Birkholzer et al., 2009).

**CARBON MINERALIZATION IMPLEMENTATION**

**Costs and Reservoir Capacities**

In order to meet the 2°C target of the Paris agreement, 125 GtCO₂ has to be removed from air and permanently stored by 2100 (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). The cheapest storage methods with large capacity cost roughly $7–$30 per ton of CO₂ sequestered (storage costs only) and range from the injection of supercritical CO₂ into subsurface sedimentary formations to in-situ carbon mineralization via injection of CO₂-enriched fluids into on-land basalt and on-land peridotite formations (Figure 4). Storage of a total of 125 Gt CO₂ would result in a capital input of approximately 1–4 trillion dollars in total and 10–50 billion dollars per year until 2100. For comparison, the total cost of the energy transition, i.e., decarbonizing energy through shifting to an energy infrastructure comprised primarily of renewables, required for <1.5°C of warming was estimated to be 1.6–3.8 trillion dollars per year until 2050 (McCullum et al., 2018; Rogelj et al., 2018).

Recently the IPCC published a report stating that, to reach the 1.5°C goal, negative emissions technologies must be implemented at the scale of tens of Gt by mid-century (IPCC Special Report, 2018). In other words, in addition to reducing emissions and capturing CO₂ from point sources, CO₂ removal from air (CDR) is required. The options for CDR include an increase of carbon storage in soils and biomass, but also Direct Air Capture using Synthetic Sorbents (DACSS) and carbon mineralization via enhanced weathering (DACEW). It is likely that all of these options, operating in parallel, will be necessary to achieve the required level of global CDR (United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), 2017; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). Figure 4 summarizes the estimated cost vs. the annual capacity for several in-situ, surficial, and ex-situ techniques of CO₂ storage, and for a smaller number of techniques combining CO₂ removal from air with solid storage.

With regard to storage, the least expensive options with the greatest capacity involve injection of CO₂ into subsurface pore space in sedimentary rock, basalt, or peridotite formations on land. Sequestration in on-land basalt is competitive with storage in sedimentary reservoirs, but costs rise for storage in seafloor basalts. Various low-cost methods (e.g., sparging of concentrated CO₂, bioleaching, stirring, etc.) have been developed for CO₂ storage in mine tailings at a cost <$30/tCO₂. In Figure 4, unless otherwise specified, costs for sedimentary reservoirs, on-land and seafloor basalt, and on-land peridotite include only the costs for storage. The total cost of capture and storage of CO₂ would include all costs incurred by the CO₂ capture method used (e.g., DACSS, DACEW, point-source, etc.), compression, and transport (if appropriate) to the sequestration site.

One of the lower cost options proposed for combined CO₂ removal from air and storage involves in situ circulation of water in equilibrium with air through peridotite, either in tailings or in the subsurface. Such methods may be optimized where they are co-located with geothermal power production. This technique does not require any CO₂ capture equipment, though recirculation of water is required to maximize uptake, since the CO₂ in air-saturated water is very dilute. With or without co-generation of geothermal power, configurations in which fluid circulation is driven by thermal convection or gravity could be competitive with DACSS coupled with storage in sedimentary reservoirs. However, where configurations require pumping water into low-permeability formations, costs rise to over $1,000/tCO₂.

Another option for combined CO₂ removal from air and solid storage is carbon mineralization in ultramafic mine tailings and alkaline industrial wastes, which spontaneously react with CO₂ from air to form carbonate minerals during surficial weathering. In the case of in situ carbon mineralization in peridotite, mining and crushing peridotite for the purpose of CO₂ removal from air may be cost competitive with DACSS. This is particularly true if tailings are weathered, calcined, and weathered again. In this case, CO₂ produced during the calcining step could be stored, or sold for utilization. The storage potential of ultramafic
tailings (about 0.2 GtCO₂/yr) and industrial and municipal wastes (0.1–1.3 GtCO₂/yr) depend on rates of mining and waste production, and are small compared to geological reservoirs. However, it may be that rocks can be mined and ground for the purpose of DACEW, again with costs and area requirements similar to, or less than, optimistic future cost estimates for DACSS. Moreover, surficial carbon mineralization can have other advantages: it can help industries lower their carbon footprint, and it may mitigate health and environmental hazards (e.g., from asbestos). Work has been done to create commodities from industrial waste (e.g., fabrication of CH₄ or more complex hydrocarbons, and so on) with nearly-net-zero emissions. A few such applications (e.g., adding CO₂ to aggregate for concrete) could provide CO₂ storage as well as value-added products (Shao, 2014; Monkman et al., 2018).

The total capacities of reservoirs proposed for in-situ CO₂ sequestration are 8.0·10³–3.5·10⁴ GtCO₂ for sedimentary formations, 1.0·10⁵–2.5·10⁵ GtCO₂ for on-land basalts, 8.0·10⁵–1.0·10⁶ GtCO₂ for submarine basalts, 6.0·10⁴–6.0·10⁵ GtCO₂ for on-land peridotite aquifers (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019). There is perhaps another 6.0·10⁷ GtCO₂ of capacity in seafloor fractured peridotite aquifers. Thus, storage capacities are not a limitation for CO₂ mitigation, given that current emissions are about 40 GtCO₂/yr (Le Quéré et al., 2015; IPCC Special Report, 2018). An important limitation for CO₂ sequestration in subsurface pore space is the maximum injectivity achievable in a given reservoir, which is 0.001–60 MtCO₂/yr in the U.S. in sedimentary reservoirs (Baik et al., 2018). Such rates are compatible with the climate goal requirements of storing 125 GtCO₂ by 2100 (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Ex-situ carbon mineralization is one of the most expensive techniques reviewed here, with costs generally estimated at hundreds of dollars per ton (Figure 4 and Table 2) depending on the reactant and the carbon mineralization technique. It is generally more expensive to carbonate serpentine-rich and olivine-rich tailings ex-situ than to carbonate basalt and peridotite in-situ, due to the price of heating and transportation of the tailings. Still, less costly opportunities may be found where a source of concentrated CO₂ and a source of waste heat are close to a mine of mafic or ultramafic rock, or an industry producing waste byproducts with high alkalinity.

A large variety of sequestration options are available globally (Figure 5). The most effective for climate change mitigation is sequestration in geological formations, but locally—and where no geological formation is close to a source of concentrated CO₂—other solutions such as surficial or ex-situ carbon mineralization may be cheaper or present other advantages. Large geological formations far from anthropogenic CO₂ sources could be used to store CO₂ concentrated by direct air capture, or via enhanced weathering processes that combine CO₂ removal from air with solid storage.
TABLE 2 | Details of industrial and municipal wastes regarding the quantities produced per year, the potential CO$_2$ uptake per year, and the cost of the method (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

| Industrial and municipal wastes | Production (Gt$_{waste}$/yr) | Conditions of carbon mineralization | Uptake (MtCO$_2$/yr) | Cost ($) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Steel and blast furnace slag    | 0.17–0.5                    | - High temperature and pressure
|                                 |                             | - Reaction with purified CO$_2$ or
|                                 |                             | CO$_2$ saturated water
|                                 |                             | ± Various pretreatment steps     | 2–100   | 75–100 |
| Cement waste                    | 0.42–2.1                    |                                     | 1–300                |         |       |
| Construction and demolition waste | 1.4–5.8                     |                                     | 100–600              |         |       |
| Municipal solid wastes          | 1.3                         |                                     | 4–160                |         |       |
| Coal ash                        | 0.4–0.6                     |                                     | 0.2–90               |         |       |
| Red mud, wastes from Al extraction | 0.12                        |                                     | 0.01–6              | ~150    |       |
| Total CO$_2$ uptake             | ~100–1,250                  |                                     |                      |         |       |

FIGURE 5 | Map of CO$_2$ sequestration facilities, pilot projects, and long-term storage potential in geologic formations (Kelemen, 1998; Bradshaw and Dance, 2005; Oelkers et al., 2008; Krevor et al., 2009; Global CCS Institute, 2019; National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Current and Future Scientific Challenges

*Ex-situ* and *surficial* carbon mineralization are currently under development, and *surficial* carbon mineralization is ready for kt to Mt CO$_2$ field experiments. Research gaps remain in the area of carbon mineralization process improvement. One of the top priorities is to fill the gaps between datasets in order to compare different materials (rocks and industrial byproducts) at the same temperature, pressure, $p$(CO$_2$), grain size, surface area, and fluid composition using the same units for comparison. Currently, research on *ex-situ* carbon mineralization focuses on the creation of usable products to offset high costs of this method relative to surficial and *in situ* carbon mineralization (for CDR and storage), and storage of supercritical CO$_2$ in subsurface pore space.

Surficial carbon mineralization methods would benefit from the optimization of heat-pretreatment, grinding processes, mining, and the development of new techniques (e.g., microwave treatment and/or microbial acceleration). Current production of mine tailings (and alkaline industrial wastes) limits the capacity of these methods, but mining of peridotite at the Gt/year scale for the purpose of CO$_2$ removal from air via carbon mineralization...
combined with solid storage (DACCM), may be cost competitive with the use of direct air capture with synthetic sorbents (DACCSS) coupled to storage in subsurface pore space, particularly if the tailings are calcined and recycled. Some mine tailings sites are close to surface water and groundwater resources, requiring evaluation of the potential effect of geochemical contamination. More research is also needed to evaluate the impact of carbon mineralization on terrestrial, coastal, and marine environments. Current efforts to reduce the hazards of asbestos could be combined with carbon mineralization in tailings.

The CarbFix and Wallula pilot-scale CO₂ storage projects have shown that in-situ carbon mineralization for storage in basalt formations is feasible and safe, and that an impermeable caprock is not always necessary when CO₂ is dissolved in pore water at depth. Additional sites should be developed, ideally with high geothermal gradients to drive fluid flow and rapid reaction, readily available water to co-inject with CO₂, and high proportions of basaltic glass for accelerated carbon mineralization. Research is needed on potential contamination of nearby aquifers, and on the time evolution of the carbonate-forming reaction front, to get feedback about reaction rates, the three-dimensional distribution of reaction products, and permeability evolution. Medium-size pilot projects to address these questions are estimated to cost tens of millions of dollars per year for several years (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

In-situ carbon mineralization in peridotite formations should be implemented on a pilot-scale, with particular emphasis on the maintenance or enhancement of permeability, perhaps via reaction-driven cracking as described in section Carbon Mineralization in Peridotites. It is essential to understand the chemophysical parameters that lead to cracking vs. clogging, which can then be applied to favor cracking in reservoirs and clogging in caprocks. Currently, the size, injectivity, permeability, geomechanics, and microstructure of peridotite reservoirs are relatively unknown. Understanding nano-scale rock behavior is essential to understanding the macro-evolution of the reservoir, and more research is needed in this field. In order to assess the possibility of CO₂ storage in peridotite formations, two to three small-to medium-scale pilot projects are needed. This will lead to a greater understanding of the behavior of hierarchical fracture networks, which are difficult to simulate in laboratories. These pilot projects would cost about $10M—20M/yr based on Phase 1 of the CarbFix project and would test the efficiency of CO₂ mineralization when circulating surface water or CO₂-enriched water into peridotite (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Sequestration of CO₂ in sedimentary formations already stores about 4.2 MtCO₂/year. Current challenges are associated with upscaling more than 100 times in order to reach the GtCO₂/year scale and beyond. This requires field experimentation and testing, and sequestration of CO₂ in regions lacking major emission sources. Currently, it would cost $5M per well for supercritical CO₂ injection in sedimentary formations. Major research concerns are related to site selection, reservoir performance (e.g., increasing the speed of secondary trapping mechanisms, co-optimizing CO₂-EOR and sequestration, improving models), improving monitoring and reducing its costs, assessing risks (e.g., induced seismicity, groundwater contamination) and managing risks in compromised sequestration systems, as well as improving public confidence in secondary trapping mechanisms. Development of negative emission technologies also relies on the engagement of the community and on the favorable opinion of the general public that should be informed about the needs, opportunities, risks, and benefits of CO₂ sequestration in geologic formations.

Regulations, Financing, Partnerships, and Public Acceptance

Regulation already exists in the U.S. regarding fluid injection in subsurface pore space, due to the routine injection of wastewater into deep saline aquifers. Best practice manuals have been developed by the Department of Energy (DOE) for safe injection and sequestration of CO₂ in sedimentary formations (U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), 2010a,b, 2017a,b,c,d,e). Still, some problems remain to be resolved, e.g., the financial responsibility for long-term liability, pore space ownership, and regulatory impediments. Indeed, CO₂ injected into pore space in depleted oil reservoirs and deep saline aquifers can remain mobile during hundreds of years. Even if secondary mechanisms increase reservoir safety over time, regulations are needed to determine who is responsible for monitoring and remediation after injection projects shut down. Sedimentary units extend over tens or hundreds of km², and thus are shared by hundreds of owners, potentially restricting the scope of CO₂ sequestration projects. These two issues are easier to solve in countries where underground resources are owned by the government, which can act as a deciding body for project realization and be responsible for long-term management. Currently, the requirements for monitoring are significant and costly for operators. More flexibility adapted to each situation would still ensure safety and reduce the costs, making more projects affordable thereby facilitating the required deployment at the gigaton scale.

In the U.S., most research on CO₂ subsurface injection and carbon mineralization at the laboratory- and pilot-scale would be financed mainly by the DOE and the National Science Foundation (NSF), with support from the Environment Protection Agency (EPA), the US Geological Survey (USGS) and the Bureau of Land Management (BLM). The NSF would fund more fundamental research in hydrology, geochemistry, geophysics, biochemistry, and social sciences, whereas the DOE usually funds applied research on topics such as site characterization, modeling, optimization of trapping mechanisms, co-optimized carbon capture and oil production, monitoring, induced seismicity, ex-situ and surficial carbon mineralization, mitigation of environmental hazards, and pilot-plant projects in basalt and ultramafic formations. The EPA oversees regulatory aspects regarding site selection, operation, and monitoring, and aims to assess, minimize, and monitor risks of ground water contamination and mitigate environmental hazards. The USGS is in charge of mapping the geology of the U.S. and has already assessed sites suitable for CO₂ sequestration in sedimentary reservoirs and could help with site selection in
mafic and ultramafic formations. Scaling up CO₂ sequestration in sedimentary reservoirs to GtCO₂/yr, would require using federal lands, and BLM could help investigate possibilities for CO₂ sequestration in these locations. The 10-year research agenda established in the 2019 National Academies of Sciences report calls for $250/yr to realize the MtCO₂ to GtCO₂ upscaling for sedimentary reservoirs and for $700M to expand pilot-scale studies in mafic and ultramafic formations (National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine, 2019).

Successful and rapid development of CO₂ sequestration and mineralization projects depends on data sharing, community coordination and funding. Research topics being shared between several institutions and partnerships would facilitate research and development. Partnerships are also essential between universities or government agencies and industry. Such partnerships already exist for some projects on carbon mineralization in mine tailings, and for the CarbFix project. Oil and gas industries have expertise in drilling, injection of fluids, and monitoring reservoir evolution. The oil industry currently injects megatons of CO₂ per year for CO₂-EOR, and their input in CO₂ sequestration projects would be valued, even if the quantity required to be injected for CO₂ sequestration is much larger. Some academic and government labs have expertise in laboratory analyses, computational modeling, monitoring, and practical experience with sequestration, that could result in a three-way transfer of knowledge. The federal tax credit 45Q is designed to support CO₂ injection in subsurface pore space. The credit increases progressively to $35/tCO₂ in the case of EOR and up to $50/tCO₂ for storage in deep saline aquifers.

Sequestration of CO₂ in the amount needed for climate change mitigation requires scaling CO₂ sequestration to the industrial scale. Public acceptance is essential. Thus, it is important to involve local communities and the general public in project realization. Education, and the demonstration of safe storage of CO₂ with successful pilot projects, would help in gaining public support.

CONCLUSIONS

Sequestration of CO₂ has to increase to the GtCO₂/yr scale to play a significant role in mitigation of climate change. Many options have to be considered to ensure fast development of some or all of the methods described in this paper. The research agenda outlined here, and described in more detail in National Academies of Sciences Engineering Medicine (2019), includes priorities ranging from fundamental research on kinetics, rock mechanics, numerical simulation, and database creation to small and medium-scale pilot projects for surficial and in situ carbon mineralization to assess the efficiency of reservoirs and to reduce associated risks. Supercritical CO₂ injection is the most mature storage method. Research is needed to improve actual techniques and reduce risks concomitant with injection and leakage. The 10-year research agenda requires $250M to lead this field from the current, MtCO₂/yr scale to the GtCO₂/yr scale required for climate change mitigation. Carbon mineralization methods are at an earlier stage of development but include a set of reactants and techniques that are promising in terms of CO₂ uptake and safety of CO₂ storage. The research agenda aims to expand pilot-scale studies, with a total of $700 M needed to reach this goal. These approaches complement each other as carbon mineralization is the ultimate step for permanent CO₂ storage, while injection of CO₂ into sedimentary reservoirs at the Gt/year scale leads the way in terms of technique, regulation, and public acceptance.
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