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Abstract

Objective: Although enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) is a highly effective therapy, CRIM-negative (CN) infantile Pompe disease (IPD) patients typically mount a strong immune response which abrogates the efficacy of ERT, resulting in clinical decline and death. This study was designed to demonstrate that immune tolerance induction (ITI) prevents or diminishes the development of antibody titers, resulting in a better clinical outcome compared to CN IPD patients treated with ERT monotherapy.

Methods: We evaluated the safety, efficacy and feasibility of a clinical algorithm designed to accurately identify CN IPD patients and minimize delays between CRIM status determination and initiation of an ITI regimen (combination of rituximab, methotrexate and IVIG) concurrent with ERT. Clinical and laboratory data including measures of efficacy analysis for response to ERT were analyzed and compared to CN IPD patients treated with ERT monotherapy.

Results: Seven CN IPD patients were identified and started on the ITI regimen concurrent with ERT. Median time from diagnosis of CN status to commencement of ERT and ITI was 0.5 months (range: 0.1–1.6 months). At baseline, all patients had significant cardiomyopathy and all but one required respiratory support. The ITI regimen was safely tolerated in all seven cases. Four patients never seroconverted and remained antibody-free. One patient died from respiratory failure. Two patients required another course of the ITI regimen. In addition to their clinical improvement, the antibody titers observed in these patients were much lower than those seen in ERT monotherapy treated CN patients.

Conclusions: The ITI regimen appears safe and efficacious and holds promise in altering the natural history of CN IPD by increasing ERT efficacy. An algorithm such as this substantiates the benefits of accelerated diagnosis and management of CN IPD patients, thus, further supporting the importance of early identification and treatment initiation with newborn screening for IPD.
Intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) in the treatment-naive monotherapy [8,9].

Evidence from long-term clinical experience with four CN IPD patients has demonstrated successful immune tolerance induction (ITI) with a regimen of rituximab (RTX) and methotrexate (MTX) ± intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIG) in the treatment-naive (n = 2) or early ERT (n = 2) setting [10,11]. Patients in whom anti-rhGAA antibody titers were essentially eliminated showed greatly improved clinical response to ERT, thus demonstrating the great clinical utility of such immunomodulatory protocols in the management of IPD [10]. However, a significant difference between the naive patients and those already receiving ERT was the amount of immune modulation needed: patients already receiving ERT prior to the initiation of immune modulation required prolonged immune modulation [10]. In another two CRIM-negative cases with an entrenched immune response, immune suppression was unsuccessful despite multiple attempts over several years with different agents [12,13]. Although clinical experience and current literature on the use of ITI protocols are greatly limited, success is more likely when immune modulation is started at the onset of ERT (ERT-naive setting) [14]. Yet, there is no established algorithm which clearly delineates the most efficient pathway for treatment once a diagnosis of CN IPD is made. Here, we describe an algorithm for rapid diagnosis and management of CN IPD, and demonstrate successful ITI with a regimen of rituximab, methotrexate ± intravenous immunoglobulin in the ERT-naive setting. We also evaluate the effectiveness of this algorithm by examining clinical outcomes seen in the CN patients treated with ERT+ITI versus CN patients treated with ERT monotherapy.

### Patients and Methods

#### Patient Identification and Algorithm for Rapid CRIM Status Determination and ITI Treatment

As part of our existing Duke Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved study (Pro00001562; NCT01665326, www.clinicaltrials.gov), written informed consent was obtained by telephone from the patients’ parent(s) or legal guardian(s) for determination of CRIM status and long-term follow-up of each patient. The patient’s local physician acted as a third party witness to the telephone consent. The consent form was signed by the parent(s)/legal guardian(s) and returned via email or fax to the Duke study staff for his/her signature. A copy of the fully signed consent form was returned to the parent(s)/legal guardian(s). An algorithm for the rapid diagnosis and timely initiation of ITI was developed and implemented specifically for CN IPD patients (Figure 1). As per the algorithm, upon diagnostic confirmation of IPD, CN status was rapidly inferred by mutation analysis, using an established mutation database, which has allowed prediction of CN status in more than 90% cases [15]. CRIM negative status was further confirmed using western blot analysis on skin fibroblast cell extracts, if none of the GAA protein processing forms (unprocessed precursor band at 110 kDa or processed forms bands at 95, 76 and 70 kDa) were detected (Figure 2) [8].

Once CN status was confirmed, the ITI component of this algorithm was implemented along with the initiation of ERT, either as standard of care or after the approval by the respective IRB or ethics committees based on institutional policies. This involved providing all treating physicians with an ITI protocol that included a regimen of rituximab (four weekly doses intravenously)
and methotrexate (three doses per week for three weeks subcutaneously), with or without monthly IVIG (Figure 3).

Specific details of the ITI regimen for each patient are shown in Table 1 and are similar to two previously published cases [10]. Based on the algorithm, patients with antibody titers of $6,400$ at two or more time time-points and CD19 positive B-cell% (CD19%) recovery at $5$ months were administered another cycle of the same ITI regimen. Patients received alglucosidase alfa (Myozyme®/Lumizyme®) manufactured by Genzyme Corporation (Cambridge, MA) at cumulative doses of 20 mg/kg, administered by infusion every other week based on Myozyme® package insert, or weekly, based on clinical judgment of the treating physicians.

**Clinical Parameters**

Baseline and follow-up data pertaining to cardiac, respiratory, motor, and feeding statuses were serially evaluated by healthcare professionals at the respective institutions through October 2012,

---

Figure 1. An algorithm for the management of cross-reactive immunologic material (CRIM)-negative (CN) infantile Pompe disease patients. Institutional review board (IRB) approved study (NCT01665326; www.clinicaltrials.gov) for rapid determination of CRIM status and long-term follow-up of response to treatment and ITI in Pompe disease. CN status determination from an established CRIM negative mutation database, which allows prediction of CN status in more than 90% cases [15]. ITI regimen is shown in Figure 2. Based on the literature antibody titers sustained at $6,400$ results in a suboptimal therapeutic response to ERT. For that reason, 6,400 was used a cutoff for further intervention [9,19]. Based on the half-life of rituximab, CD19% recovery is typically noted around 5 months. The decision to repeat the same ITI regimen (figure 3) or to administer ITI with a plasma-cell-targeting agent [20] should be based on multiple factors including, but not limited to, patients clinical status, CD19% and Fcγ receptor polymorphism. ITI regimen with plasma cell targeting agent such as bortezomib has been described previously [20].

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067052.g001

Figure 2. Representative Western gel blot showing CRIM negative status of four patients (lanes 3-6). Lane 1 - protein magic marker; lane 8 - CRIM negative control cell line; lane 10 - normal human fibroblast (NHF) control; Lanes 2, 7 and 9 - left empty. 20 ug of skin fibroblast cell protein extract was loaded for each patient lane and 2.5 ug protein was loaded for NHF. Western blot was probed with anti-GAA antibody and β-Actin was used as a protein loading control.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067052.g002
at which time the database was locked except invasive ventilator free survival which was last evaluated in January 2013. Two-dimensional, M-mode, and Doppler echocardiography were used to assess left ventricular mass index (LVMI).

**Laboratory Parameters**

Anti-rhGAA antibodies: Anti-rhGAA IgG antibodies were assessed by Genzyme Corp. (Framingham, MA) at baseline and at regular intervals throughout treatment. Antibody status was ascertained using enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays and confirmed using radioimmunoprecipitation as described previously [5].

**Safety**

Safety was assessed by CD19%, frequency of infections, and number of infections requiring hospital admission at or around the time of ITI administration and routine blood tests. Flow cytometry was used to assess CD19%, using standard techniques at each local institution.

**Comparison of CN Patients Treated with ERT+ITI with CN Patients Treated with ERT Monotherapy**

We compared invasive ventilator free survival, antibody titers and LVMI values at different time points with the CN ERT+ITI treated patients described here to CN cases treated with ERT monotherapy [8,9].

**Statistical Analysis**

Survival data were analyzed using the Kaplan–Meier method with two-tailed P values generated using the log-rank test [16]. Other reported P values were generated by the Wilcoxon rank sum test for continuous variables. Analyses were performed with STATA version 11.0 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas).

Because of the limited sample size, all group outcome variable data are presented as medians.

**Results**

**Patient Identification and Algorithm for Rapid CRIM Status Determination and ITI Treatment**

Seven CN IPD patients were identified at six different institutions in three countries. Through application of this algorithm, CN status was rapidly identified through GLI mutation analysis, and subsequently confirmed by western blot analysis of skin fibroblast cells in all seven patients. Demographic information and mutation data for each patient are shown in Table 1. Median age at diagnosis of Pompe disease and CRIM-negative status was 2.5 months (range: 10 days-5.5 months). Due to the ability to predict CN status through mutation analysis alone, there was minimal delay in treatment initiation. Indeed, the delay was primarily due to administrative or regulatory issues (i.e., IRB/ethics committee approval, procurement of resources) rather than to CRIM status determination. The median duration between CN diagnosis and start of treatment was 0.5 months (15 days; range – 0.1 months-1.6 months). Median age at start of ITI in conjunction with ERT was 3.5 months (range: 12 days-6.5 months). In all cases, the ITI regimen in Figure 3 was used with minor modifications (Table 1). All patients received alglucosidase alfa (Myozyme®/Lumizyme®) supplied by Genzyme Corporation (Cambridge, MA) at cumulative doses of 20 mg/kg, administered by infusion every other week (n = 6) or every week (n = 1, patient 4) for a median duration of 79 weeks (range: 40–101 weeks).

**Clinical Parameters**

At baseline (prior to start of ERT and ITI regimen; median age: 3.5 months; range: 0.4 months-6.5 months), all patients had increased LVMI (Median: 317 g/m²; range: 160–446 g/m²).
Table 1. Details of patient demographics, genotype and immune tolerance induction (ITI) regimen.

| Gender | Ethnicity | Allele 1 | Allele 2 | Age at Diagnosis | Age at start of ERT and ITI | Time from diagnosis to start of treatment (ERT and ITI) | ERT (alg glucosidase alfa; 20 mg/kg every other week) | Deviation from actual ITI regimen shown in Figure 3 | Repeat ITI | Length of Myozyme treatment at database lock (in weeks) | Current Age (as of January 2013) |
|--------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1      | Female    | Hispanic | c.2608C>T p.Arg870X | Male            | 2.5 mo                      | 3.0 mo                                               | Yes                                               | IVIG: 1 dose during ITI +2 doses after ITI | No                  | 101                              | 127 weeks (29.3 mo)           |
| 2      | Male      | African Canadian | c.546+2T->C p.Arg870X | Female          | 2.5 mo                      | 4.1 mo                                               | Yes                                               | Monthly IVIG started at week 4 | No                  | 92                               | 121 weeks (29.3 mo)           |
| 3      | Female    | Caucasian | c.236_246del p.Pro79ArgfsX13 | Male            | 2.0 mo                      | 2.4 mo                                               | Yes                                               | None                            | No                  | 89                               | 111 weeks (25.8 mo)           |
| 4      | Female    | African American | c.525delT p.Glu176ArgfsX45 | 0.3 mo (10 days) | 0.4 mo (12 days)           | 0.1 mo (2 days)                                      | No                                               | Methotrexate: X 14 weeks (total 42 doses) | No                  | 70                               | 84 weeks (19.5 mo)            |
| 5      | Female    | African American | c.2560C>T p.Arg854X | 3.0 mo          | 3.5 mo                      | 0.5 mo                                               | Yes                                               | None                            | Yes (1 additional cycle at week 35) | 59                               | 86 weeks (20 mo)              |
| 6      | Female    | Asian     | c.2560C>T p.Arg854X | 5.5 mo          | 6.5 mo                      | 1.0 mo                                               | Yes                                               | None                            | Yes (1 additional cycle at week 43) | 51                               | 90 weeks (21.3 mo)            |
| 7      | Female    | African American | c.526delTG | 3.0 mo          | 4.0 mo                      | 1.0 mo                                               | Yes                                               | IVIG started at week 4 8 monthly doses +2 extra dose at 8 months | No                  | 48                               | 65 weeks (15 mo)*              |

*Patient 7 died at the age of 15 months (48 weeks into ERT); mo-months; IVIG-intravenous immunoglobulin.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067052.t001
Of the seven patients, three were invasively ventilated, two required supplemental oxygen, one required bi-level positive airway pressure (BiPAP) and one patient required no respiratory support. Clinical parameters over time are shown in Table 2. The median LVMI at the last available time-point was 83 g/m² (range: 64–165 g/m²) after treatment with ERT for a median of 75 weeks (range: 36–89 weeks of ERT; n = 7), considerably lower than it was at baseline (median: 317 g/m²; range: 160–446 g/m², n = 7) (Figure 4). One of the seven patients (patient 7) required invasive ventilation and subsequently died due to respiratory failure and progressive Pompe disease complications at age 15 months. For the remaining six patients at their most recent assessment, two required no respiratory support, three required BiPAP only at night (of which two required supplemental oxygen), and one patient requiring invasive ventilation at baseline was able to come off of the ventilator for 10–12 hours each day (Table 2).

Safety
Details on safety related data are shown in Table 3. Only one patient required hospitalization at any time due to infection at or around the time of administration of the ITI regimen: patient 3 developed bronchitis with fever and rash, presumably related to a viral infection, and recovered quickly with no complications. One patient (patient 7) died due to respiratory failure and progressive IPD-related complications that did not appear to be directly related to complications of the ITI protocol. In all cases (n = 6), CD19% dropped to 0 within 2–5 weeks of starting ITI. There was complete recovery of CD19% for the four patients with sufficient follow-up data available (Table 3), three of whom remained anti-rhGAA antibody-free despite CD19 recovery (patients 1, 2 and 3). For one of the patients, CD19% was not measured (patient 4). For the remaining two patients (Patients 5 and 6) there was CD19% recovery along with a small increase in antibody titer, as described earlier, and a second treatment course of the same ITI regimen resulted in the CD19% dropping to 0% (Table 3). There was no decrease in hemoglobin, white cell counts or increase in liver enzymes during the time these patients received ITI.

Comparison of CN Patients Treated with ERT+ITI with CN Patients Treated with ERT Monotherapy
All 11 CN patients from a previous study in which they were treated with ERT monotherapy either died or became invasive ventilator dependent by 27.1 months of age [8] versus 1 patient in the ITI+ERT group in this study, who became invasive ventilator dependent and subsequently died at the age of 14.5 months. In terms of invasive ventilator-free survival, there was a statistically significant difference between the CN ERT monotherapy group and CN ERT+ITI group (p = 0.0018; Figure 5). Comparison of antibody titers over time between the two groups shows a clear difference in antibody titers at different time points (Figure 6). None of the CN patients treated with ERT monotherapy were

Figure 4. Comparison of median left ventricular mass index (LVMI) values seen over time in CRIM-negative (CN) ERT monotherapy (n = 11) versus CN ERT+ITI (n = 7) treated patients. The upper limit of normal LVMI is 64 g/m² (represented by a horizontal dashed line). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067052.g004
### Table 2. Clinical parameters.

|                | 1  | 2   | 3   | 4   | 5    | 6    | 7    |
|----------------|----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|
| **Cardiac Status - LVMI g/m²** |    |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| **Baseline**   | 160| 446 | 277 | 410 | 317  | 347  | 220  |
| **Current (week)** | 81 | 80  | 65  | 92  | 164  | 108  | 83   |
| **Respiratory Status** |    |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| **Baseline**   | O₂ | O₂ and BiPAP at night | O₂ | Invasively ventilated | Invasively ventilated | Invasively ventilated | No support required |
| **Current**    | No respiratory support | O₂ and BiPAP at night | No respiratory support | Off ventilator 10-12 hours a day | O₂ and BiPAP at night | BiPAP at night | Invasive ventilation at week 38 ERT until death 2 months later |
| **Motor Status** |    |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| **Baseline**   | Head lag and severe hypotonia and motor delay | Head lag, antigravity movements arms>legs | Severe hypotonia, floppy baby, no head or neck control | Axial hypotonia, withdraws extremities to stimulation, contractures of large joint of upper and lower extremities, weak grasp | Head lag unable to sit or roll over | Severe hypotonia, Antigravity movement in arms | Unable to independently hold head or sit unsupported |
| **Current**    | Minor head lag when pulled to sit, rolls over, lifts head from prone, sits unsupported, cruises, briefly stands unsupported | Bears weight independently | Ambulates independently | Sits with support; minimal capacity for weight bearing on lower extremities | Standing with support | Marked axial and peripheral hypotonia, yet able to move arms against gravity; near-complete lower extremity immobility | Not able to independently hold head or sit unsupported |
| **Feeding Status** |    |     |     |     |      |      |      |
| **Baseline**   | NG tube feeds at age 2 months for dysphagia and fatigue. | NG tube feeds due to aspiration | NG tube feeds started at age 1.4 months | Baseline – G-tube feeds and continues | NJ feeds started at age 3 months | Aspiration and penetration with feeding and started on NG tube feeds at baseline | NG tube feeds at age 3 months due to aspiration |
| **Current**    | Oral feeds | GJ tube | Oral feeds | G tube | G tube | G-tube feeds | GJ tube |
### Table 3. Laboratory and safety parameters.

| Antibody Titers | Baseline | Last available data point |
|-----------------|----------|--------------------------|
| Peak (week)     | Last available data point |
|                 | 0 (week 101) | 0 (week 92) | 0 (week 89) | 0 (week 70) | 3200 (week 59) | 6400 (week 51) | 800 (week 46)* |

| Infections/hospitalization at or around ITI | Last available data point |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                           | None | None | None | None | None | None | None |
|                                           | None | None | None | One episode: resolved with pretreatment medication | One episode; Mild Infusion Associated Reactions (IARs) | ERT | None |

| CD19% Baseline | Last available data point |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| CD19% recovery (Weeks on ERT) | Last available data point |
|                             | Normal | Normal | Normal | Not done | Increasing CD19% between week 20 and 30 | Below normal following second round of ITI | Below normal following second round of ITI |
|                             | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal | Normal |

| Vaccination status (Up-to-date except live vaccines) | Last available data point |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|
|                                                    | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Up-to-date till the start of ITI |

*Patient 7 died at age 15 months (48 weeks into ERT).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067052.t003

Intraventricular pressures (IVPs) were monitored in three patients during ITI. Invasive and non-invasive ventilation were required in one patient in the ERT+ITI group and two patients in the control group, respectively.

**Discussion**

Results of this study show that the algorithm described herein is both feasible and effective for optimizing benefit from ERT by rapidly diagnosing CRIM-negative patients with IPD and starting ITI along with ERT at the earliest possible time point. CN status was determined by mutation analysis alone in all seven cases, with a turnaround time of 48–72 hours. Approximately 90% of all CN patients can be successfully diagnosed by GA1 mutation analysis alone [15]; in uncertain cases, western blot analysis can be used in conjunction for final CRIM status determination. As more GA1 mutations become known, this percentage of cases identified as CN solely by mutation analysis will continue to grow. Moreover, and more importantly, the whole process of CRIM status prediction can be completed in less than a week with proper planning and coordination, as is demonstrated by the cases herein. In our seven cases from around the world, the quick turnaround time of CRIM status determination allowed for start of treatment with ITI plus ERT within 0.5 months (15 days; range: 0.1 months-1.6 months) of CN status determination. Timely introduction of an ITI regimen, such as the one we detailed herein, presents a significant opportunity to further improve the natural history of CN patients with IPD treated with ERT who would otherwise remain at risk of developing HSAT and subsequent clinical decline.

Once a CN patient is identified, the ITI component of the algorithm can be implemented worldwide on an outpatient basis in appropriately-equipped institutions. Findings from this study support a good overall safety and efficacy profile using rituximab, methotrexate and IVIG for ITI in the ERT-naive setting, despite limited numbers. Five of the seven patients required only a single round of this ITI regimen, while the remaining two patients requiring a second iteration of the same ITI regimen, demonstrated significantly lower antibody titers compared to what has been described in CN patients treated with ERT monotherapy. Not only did titers in our patients remain consistently lower than those usually seen in CN patients on monotherapy, but they were even lower than those seen in so-called low-titer CRIM-positive (LTCP) patients who generally respond well to ERT [9].

While the majority of CN IPD patients develop HSAT, there are rare case reports of CN IPD patients who do not develop HSAT and can have a variable immunological response to ERT [17–19]. With multiple factors leading to HSAT formation [9], it
Figure 5. Kaplan-Meier survival curve showing comparison of ventilator-free survival CRIM-negative (CN) ERT monotherapy (n = 11) versus CN ERT+ITI (n = 7) treated patients. *Three patients in the CN ERT+ITI group began the study invasively ventilated, became ventilator-free with treatment, and are currently still alive and ventilator-free. In contrast, all CN patients in ERT monotherapy treated group were invasive ventilator-free at baseline. This observation suggests that in some cases ERT+ITI can even reverse ventilator dependence in CN Pompe patients.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067052.g005

Figure 6. Comparison of anti-rhGAA IgG antibody titers seen over time in CRIM-negative (CN) treated with ERT monotherapy (n = 8) versus CN ERT+ITI (n = 7) treated patients.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067052.g006
is not at this time possible to predict which CN IPD patients will develop HSAT and which will not. Until recently, there have been no known reports of a method to control HSAT once formed. Different combinations of long-term immune modulation with cyclophosphamide, IVIG, plasmapheresis, and increased doses of rhGAA ± rituximab have failed to induce immune tolerance in the setting of an entrenched immune response in CN IPD patients mainly due to inability of those agents/combinations to target antibody-secreting long-lived plasma cells [12,13]. The only successful attempt at countering HSAT utilized a multi-pronged regimen using bortezomib to target plasma cells and rituximab, methotrexate, and IVIG to target naive and memory B- and T-cells. Yet, the duration and intensity of immune modulation required to counteract the entrenched immune response in the HSAT setting is relatively intense and long [20]. Therefore, rapid prediction of CN status based on GAA mutations and the earliest possible initiation of ERT+ITI is required to optimize long-term clinical outcomes by avoiding cumulative doses of ITI and thus improved safety.

In this treatment algorithm, the ITI regimen included a short course of rituximab, low-dose methotrexate and IVIG. While the exact mechanism by which this regimen induces tolerance is not known, it is believed that the suppression and/or elimination of B- and T-cell populations responsible for antibody formation, with simultaneous up regulation of regulatory T-cells (T_{reg}) and/or regulatory B-cells (B_{reg}c), is important for its success in diminishing the immune response to rhGAA [14]. The ITI regimen described here thus targets immune cells at different levels of the pathway leading to plasma cell formation which is the source of sustained antibody production. The mechanism by which this regimen induces tolerance warrants further investigation using in vitro assays to assess immunologic parameters of tolerance in patient samples and appropriate animal models. It should be noted that all patients, except one (patient 4), were placed on monthly IVIG because of its known immunomodulatory effect [21], and also to provide passive immunity during the period of immune suppression. However, whether IVIG is important in tolerance induction in this setting remains to be investigated.

Since the introduction of ERT for Pompe disease, awareness and early diagnosis have gained importance. Because the therapy is most effective when started early, and methods for dried bloodspot screening for Pompe disease are currently being used to diagnose Pompe disease, newborn screening (NBS) has become a reality in countries such as Taiwan and in several states in the US [22]. In IPD it is vital to commence ERT at the earliest time point possible due to the attendant risks associated with the development of IPD-relevant complications, especially cardiorespiratory failure followed by premature death. The most important and widely accepted goal of newborn screening is to improve health outcomes in the screened population of newborns. Given this goal, screening makes sense only if early detection and treatment will lead to better health outcomes than would be possible if treatment were delayed until the condition became symptomatic or if treatment outcomes are suboptimal despite early start [23]. CN IPD represents the latter category as there is clear evidence that without immune modulation, clinical outcome for CN IPD patients treated with ERT alone is dismal due to formation of HSAT against ERT [8,9]. While the majority of Pompe cases identified through NBS in Taiwan are CRIM-positive [7], approximately 25.1% of newly diagnosed Pompe cases in US and other parts of the world are CN [15], making a diagnostic and treatment approach which is safe and feasible, like the one described here, even more important.

There are potential limitations specifically related to the ITI regimen reported herein. In particular, this ITI regimen (like most other chemotherapeutic regimens) is relatively non-antigen-specific and has the potential to cause generalized and significant immune suppression. Thus, live vaccines cannot be administered until there is full CD19% recovery and this makes infection with opportunistic pathogens a potential complication that requires close monitoring. Surprisingly, even when administered recurrently over long periods of time [10] very few reports of severe life-threatening infections have been reported. This may well be attributable to the use of IVIG which is known to protect against infectious agents in the setting of severe immune suppression while paradoxically acting as an immunomodulatory agent that may enhance immune tolerance [24]. Nonetheless, if severe, life-threatening infections do arise, cessation of ITI could be required and must be balanced against the risks inherently imposed by a CRIM-negative status despite administration of ERT.

Another limitation is the potential need for implementation of more than a single cycle of the regimen, specifically if there is a breakthrough in anti-rhGAA IgG titers. In this study, two of the seven patients required more than one administration of ITI regimen. These patients may have FcR polymorphisms that diminish the efficacy of rituximab [25] or other factors that caused a more rapid progression of the immune response such that long lived plasma cells are mediating the response.

Although the ITI treatment described here prolongs survival and improves significant overall clinical outcomes, closer examination clearly demonstrates residual muscle weakness and gross motor function below age-appropriate levels along with feeding difficulties. However, even in long term CRIM positive survivors, despite long-term treatment with ERT, similar issues are noted [26]. Further follow-up of CN long-term survivors is needed to better understand the overall outcomes of these patients.

The data presented here add to the two previously reported cases of successful use of this ITI regimen in CN patients [10]. Use of the protocol described herein is designed to take place over a relatively brief period of time, and the agents employed have been used extensively for a broad range of conditions, including those in the pediatric population specifically. Moreover, CD19% is associated with the degree of immune suppression and is a useful marker for monitoring the status of immune suppression, but not of immune tolerance. In this study, no patients experienced any major side effects. Moreover, no major illnesses or hospitalizations were directly attributed to the implementation of this protocol, and it was possible to administer vaccines as scheduled upon recovery of CD19 counts. Other approaches to immune tolerance induction, such as non-depleting anti-CD4 mAb, gene therapy, or agents that are antigen specific may prove highly efficacious and avoid prolonged general immune suppression [14]. The algorithm described here will serve as a paradigm going forward. The combination of B- and T-cell targeting agents used in these patients appears to be both safe and efficacious. This regimen, shows promise in altering the natural history of CN IPD patients, and allows for full derivation of the long-term clinical benefits of ERT.
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