Short-Term Outcomes of Lower Trapezius Tendon Transfer With Achilles Allograft for Irreparable Posterosuperior Rotator Cuff Tears
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Purpose: To evaluate functional outcomes, return to work, and reoperations associated with lower trapezius tendon transfer (LTT) for irreparable rotator cuff tears. Methods: This is a retrospective study performed by a single surgeon with minimum 1-year follow-up. LTT was performed using an open (n = 9; 60%) or arthroscopically assisted (n = 6, 40%) technique. Outcomes included failure rate, range of motion, satisfaction, return to work, and pre- and postoperative functional scores, as well as American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons score, Simple Assessment Numeric Evaluation, and the Simple Shoulder Test. Results: Fifteen patients were included. LTT was performed using an open or arthroscopically assisted technique. Mean age was 52 (range 31-62 years), 13 (92.9%) were manual laborers, and 9 (60%) had a worker’s compensation claim. Three patients (20%) underwent conversion to reverse shoulder arthroplasty. Of the remaining 12 patients, there were significant improvements in American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons, Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation, and Simple Shoulder Test at 24.1 ± 9.6 (range 12-38.5) months. Active forward elevation, abduction, and external rotation were all significantly improved. Postoperative satisfaction ratings indicated 67% of the revision-free cohort was “very satisfied” and 33% was “somewhat satisfied” with their outcome. Seven (50%) returned to full duty, 4 (28.6%) returned to modified duty, and 3 (21.4%) were unable to return to work. Two patients (open techniques) underwent a superficial wound debridement for seroma and wound breakdown. Conclusions: LTT results in successful clinical outcomes with a high rate of return to work in a challenging patient population. However, only 67% of patients rated themselves as “very satisfied,” and 20% of patients were revised to reverse shoulder arthroplasty before 1 year. Limited preoperative active forward elevation (<90°) appears to predict poor functional results and risk for reoperation.

Level of Evidence: Level IV, therapeutic case series.

Irreparable rotator cuff tears (IRCTs) can cause significant deficits in function and are challenging to treat. There are a number of treatment options for these tears, which include rotator cuff debridement, partial rotator cuff repair, biceps tenotomy or tenodesis, tendon transfer, superior capsule reconstruction, and reverse shoulder arthroplasty (RSA). In patients with goals of improved strength and/or return to manual labor, tendon transfer is commonly considered. The latissimus dorsi tendon transfer was initially described by Gerber with successful clinical results; however, Iannotti et al. demonstrated that the latissimus uncommonly fired in-phase during external rotation after transfer. Because the natural force vector of the lower trapezius muscle better recreates the pull of the insufficient or absent infraspinatus as compared with the latissimus dorsi tendon, lower trapezius tendon transfer (LTT) has been proposed as an alternative option for irreparable posterosuperior rotator cuff tears.

There is currently a single study by Elhassan et al. describing clinical results of LTT for IRCTs using an acromial osteotomy for exposure. Several articles have since been published describing a mini-open technique and an arthroscopic-assisted technique. The
purpose of this study is to evaluate functional outcomes, return to work, and reoperations associated with LTT for IRCTs. The primary outcome of interest for this study was to determine survivorship of the LTT for treatment of IRCT. Secondary outcomes included functional outcome scores, improvements in pain, range of motion (ROM), and ability to return to work. We hypothesized improved clinical outcomes and low rates of conversion to RSA in patients undergoing LTT for IRCT.

Methods

Study Design

Institutional review board approval (protocol # 18D.437 by Thomas Jefferson University institutional review board) was obtained. This was a retrospective review of all LTT for IRCT performed by the senior author (S.N.) at a single institution from April 2014 to June 2018. Inclusion criteria included a massive, IRCT as determined by preoperative magnetic resonance imaging and confirmed intraoperatively, age >18 years, and normal function of the lower trapezius tendon. Exclusion criteria included any patients with active infection, nerve injury, severe glenohumeral arthritis, cuff tear arthropathy (Hamada grades IV and V), and concurrent or previous arthroplasty of the ipsilateral shoulder. Fifteen patients were included for analysis and all underwent either an open or arthroscopic-assisted LTT.

Data Variables

Patient demographics including age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, previous surgeries, occupation, and worker’s compensation claims were recorded (Table 1). Occupations were classified as labor-intensive or nonlabor-intensive on the basis of the patients’ self-classifications. Preoperative (within 6 months before surgery) and postoperative (minimum 12 months) active forward elevation (FE), abduction, and external rotation (ER) measurements were collected from the medical record. All ROM data were collected and recorded by the treating surgeon (S.N.), and a goniometer was not routinely used. Preoperative visual analog scale pain score, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons score, Simple Shoulder Test, Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation scores, and Veterans Rand Health Survey were collected. Patients were contacted at a minimum of 1 year postoperatively to obtain the same scores. Return to work, rates of conversion to RSA, and complications also were determined.

Surgical Technique

The cohort consisted of a combination of either open or arthroscopic-assisted LTT. Patients were placed in the lateral decubitus position for the open procedures and the beach chair position for the arthroscopic-assisted transfer. For the open procedure, the indirect (medial) approach was performed. The incision was made 2 cm medial and parallel to the medial border of the scapula, extending 5 cm from the scapular spine (Fig 1A). The fascia overlying the muscle of the lower trapezius was incised, and the muscle was identified and dissected toward its insertion on the medial spine of the scapula. Finally, the tendon was peeled off its insertion as lateral as possible to maximize tendon length. To mobilize the muscle, dissection was bluntly performed between the lower and middle trapezius. An Achilles allograft was then sixed and sutured to the native lower trapezius via a Pulver-Taft weave with multiple nonabsorbable sutures (Fig 1B). A second incision was then made approximating the junction between the anterior and middle deltoid. This interval was developed, and the greater tuberosity was prepared using an arthroscopic bur. The graft was then shuttled from the posterior wound and stitched with multiple locking stitches from two 5.5-mm (Arthrex, Naples, FL) suture anchors placed medially on the footprint. A double-row construct was creating using two 4.75 knotless (Arthrex) suture anchors placed laterally.

For the arthroscopic-assisted transfer, a direct approach to the lower trapezius was performed. A 4-cm skin incision was made parallel to the scapular spine, centered on its medial side (Fig 2A). After identifying the LT tendon, its attachment on the spine of the scapula was released as distal as possible and bluntly mobilized. A diagnostic arthroscopy was then performed. After treatment of any concomitant pathology, the tuberosity was prepared using an arthroscopic burr and two 5.5-mm suture anchors were placed at the medial aspect of the greater tuberosity. Under the

Table 1. Patient Demographics

| Variable                          | Mean ± SD (range) or n (%) (n = 15) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Age                              | 52 ± 7.3 (range 31-62)              |
| Sex                              |                                     |
| Male                             | 14 (93.3%)                          |
| Female                           | 1 (7.7%)                            |
| Follow-up, mo                    | 24.1 ± 9.6 (range 12-38.5)          |
| Smoking status                   |                                     |
| Smoker                           | 3 (20.0%)                           |
| Nonsmoker                        | 12 (80.0%)                          |
| Previous surgeries, no.          | 1.8 ± 1.3 (range 0-5)               |
| Previous rotator cuff surgery    | 14 (93.3%)                          |
| Worker’s compensation claim      | 9 (60%)                             |
| Manual labor                     | 13 (86.7%)                          |
| Surgery type                     |                                     |
| Open                             | 9 (60.0%)                           |
| Arthroscopic-assisted            | 6 (40.0%)                           |

SD, standard deviation.
deltoid, the infraspinatus fascia was incised and opened at the horizontal incision. Through this medial opening, a switching stick was passed into the joint while viewing from an anterolateral portal. A large (8.25 or 10 mm) cannula was placed over the switching stick and one limb of suture from each anchor was retrieved through the cannula and the cannula was removed (Fig 2B). The Achilles tendon allograft was prepared by placing a series of locking stitches into the tendon, terminating approximately 1 cm from the distal end. The retrieved sutures were then additionally passed through the Achilles tendon allograft in a locking-stitch fashion from distal to proximal. The 2 suture limbs were then tied to one another at the medial aspect of the graft. The graft was shuttled into the subacromial space to its final position on the greater tuberosity and the sutures were tied arthroscopically (Fig 3). Two additional suture anchors were placed at the lateral footprint to complete a double-row repair. The arm was positioned in 45° abduction and 45° ER, and the graft was tensioned and sutured to the native trapezius tendon in a Pulver-Taft weave with multiple nonabsorbable sutures. A standard skin closure was then performed.

Fig 1. (A) Medial incision for an indirect approach of a patient in the lateral decubitus position (right shoulder). (B) Achilles tendon graft after repair to the lower trapezius tendon.

Fig 2. (A) Horizontal incision for a direct approach to the lower trapezius tendon (right shoulder). (B) Cannula placement for passage of the graft in the beach chair position.
outcome analysis. Of the remaining 12 patients, there
initial surgery and were not included in the functional
abduction brace at 30° abduction and 30-60° ER for 6
weeks. The arm was taken out of the brace for supine
FE exercises starting at 2 weeks after surgery. Formal
physical therapy was initiated at 6 weeks after surgery.
At weeks 6-12, ROM is initiated, restricting any cross-
body adduction. At 12 weeks, isometric rotator cuff
strengthening began as well as scapular conditioning.
Isotonic strengthening began at 4 months and return to
work and recreational activities were allowed at 6
months.

Statistics
Summary statistics, including means and standard
deviations, were calculated. The Shapiro–Wilk test was
used to determine normality of data. The Student t test
was used for comparison of means. Fisher exact test
was used for categorical data, and linear regression was
used to assess risk factors for revision. All statistics were
performed using Stata software (StataCorp, College
Station, TX). Significance was set as $P < .05$.

Results
Fifteen patients were included in the analysis, 14 of
whom had previous rotator cuff surgery. Mean age was
52 ± 7.3 (range 31-62) years, 14 (93.3%) were men, 13
(92.9%) were manual laborers, and 9 (60%) had a
worker’s compensation claim. Three patients (20%) underwent conversion to RSA during the study period
at a mean 23.7 ± 11.5 (range 12-35) months after the
initial surgery and were not included in the functional
outcome analysis. Of the remaining 12 patients, there
were significant improvements in American Shoulder
and Elbow Surgeons (43.2-77.2, $P = .0003$), Single
Assessment Numeric Evaluation (34.1-71.1, $P = .004$),
Simple Shoulder Test (28.7-75.9, $P = .0005$), and Vet-
ersans Rand Health Survey–physical score (36.1-46.6,
$P = .005$) at a mean follow-up of 24.1 ± 9.6 months
(range 12-38.5 months) (Fig 4). Patients also
demonstrated improvements in active FE (98° to 144°,
$P < .0001$), abduction (74° to 127°, $P < .0001$), and ER
(23° to 43°, $P < .0001$) (Fig 5).

On bivariate analysis, age, body mass index, smoking
status, and open versus arthroscopic surgery were not
correlated with failure or functional outcomes. How-
ever, reduced preoperative active FE and abduction
were predictive of failure (40° vs 113°, $P = .003$; 27° vs
84°, $P = .01$), respectively. Of the 4 patients with
preoperative pseudoparesis (defined as active FE
< 90°), all had their pseudoparesis reversed, but 3 were
eventually converted to RSA due to poor strength and/
or continued pain due to progressive arthritis.

At final follow-up, all patients who had not “failed”
their index surgery (with failure defined as reoperation
or conversion to RSA) reported either being “very
satisfied” (66.7%) or “somewhat satisfied” (33.3%)
with their outcomes. Seven patients (58.3%) had
returned to full work duty, 3 (25%) returned to modified duty, and 2 (16.7%) were unable to return to
work. Both patients unable to return to work and 2 of
the 3 patients returning to modified duty were
involved in worker’s compensation claims. Figure 6A
shows ultrasound image of an intact repair in one
asymptomatic patient who was brought back to eval-
uate the integrity of the repair. There were no cases of
nerve injury. Two patients who underwent the open
 technique had a superficial wound debridement for
seroma and dehiscence of the posterior wound. One
patient who was converted to RSA had multiple posi-
tive cultures at the time of revision for Cutibacterium
acnes.

Discussion
Open or arthroscopic-assisted LTT for IRCT resulted in
80% survival at early follow-up, and improvements in
both ROM and functional outcomes were seen. In
addition, there was a reasonable rate of return to work
in a population consisting mainly of laborers with a
high number of worker’s compensation claims. Preop-
erative FE appears to be an important predictor of
outcomes and need for reoperation.

Three patients in this series were considered failures
and underwent RSA, and 1 patient is likely to have RSA
in the future. Preoperative pseudoparesis (< 90° of
active FE) was present in all patients who failed LTT
and underwent RSA. Elhassan et al.11 in a series of 33
patients undergoing LTT had no conversions to RSA
reported at an average of 47-month follow-up. One

Fig 3. Arthroscopic view from the anterolateral portal
showing repaired Achilles tendon allograft to the greater
tuberosity with a double-row suture anchor construct (right
shoulder).
patient did have a glenohumeral fusion, however, due to complications related to a deep infection. The authors found that patients with $<60^\circ$ of preoperative active elevation had significantly lower gains in motion postoperatively than patients with $>60^\circ$ of preoperative active elevation. Our study results corroborate this notion that patients with lower preoperative active elevation are less likely to achieve desirable outcomes. In our current practice, we do not indicate patients with less than $90^\circ$ of active FE for isolated LTT.

In our cohort, there were significant improvements in functional outcomes and ROM from preoperative to postoperative measurements. Our ROM results show improvements in FE from $98^\circ$ to $144^\circ$, abduction from $74^\circ$ to $127^\circ$, and ER from $23^\circ$ to $43^\circ$ after LTT. Elhassan et al.\textsuperscript{11} reported similar improvements in ROM including active FE ($70^\circ$ to $120^\circ$), abduction ($40^\circ$ to $90^\circ$), and ER ($20^\circ$ to $50^\circ$).\textsuperscript{11} Valenti et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported on 14 patients undergoing arthroscopic-assisted LTT with 2-year follow-up. ER improved from $-20^\circ$ to $43^\circ$.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig4.png}
\caption{Functional outcomes data. *Indicates statistically significant difference ($P < .05$). (ASES, American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons; SANE, Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation; VAS, visual analog scale; VR12-P, Veterans Rand 12 Item Health Survey—Physical Evaluation, VR12-M, Veterans Rand 12 Item Health Survey—Mental Evaluation.)}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Range of motion outcomes. *Indicates statistically significant difference ($P < .05$).}
\end{figure}
preoperatively to 24° postoperatively, and FE improved from 150° preoperatively to 160° postoperatively.

Our results also show that the majority of patients were able to return to work, mostly at full duty, although nearly 17% were unable to return. It is important to note, however, that patients with work restrictions were more commonly involved in worker’s compensation claims (80%) compared with those who returned to full duty (42.9%). Although tendon transfers are historically performed in greater-demand manual laborers, there is surprisingly limited outcomes data evaluating return-to-work rates. In our estimation, this is a critical outcome variable that should be consistently reported in future studies. These types of data provide both patient and physicians with a means of shaping preoperative expectations of this procedure.

Two patients who had an open technique underwent a superficial wound debridement for seroma and wound breakdown. Seroma formation also occurred in 4 patients (12%) in the study by Elhassan et al.\textsuperscript{11} Although these 4 patients were managed non-operatively with no sequelae, our subjects opted for surgical treatment based on surgeon and patient preference, resulting in successful treatment. With the development of the arthroscopic-assisted technique, it is possible that this will result in fewer wound complications. No patients in our study who underwent an arthroscopic-assisted technique had a wound complication. Valenti et al.\textsuperscript{15} reported 2 complications out of

![Fig 6.](image-url)
their cohort of 14 arthroscopic-assisted LTT procedures. Both involved hematoma at the harvest site of the lower trapezius, and 1 of the 2 patients required open debridement due to *Cutibacterium acnes* infection.

**Limitations**

The results of this study should be considered in light of the following limitations. The retrospective nature creates a selection bias in which patients were indicated for LTT by the treating surgeon. As discussed, there are a number of treatment possibilities for these tears, none of which has shown clear superiority. Magnetic resonance imaging scans also was not available for review, and therefore we were unable to control for Goutallier stage. Two different techniques were used (open and arthroscopic-assisted); however, we believe that this demonstrates both the evolution of this technique as well as the early learning curve that many surgeons will experience. In addition, unblinded measurements were not made with a goniometer, strength measurements were not taken, and follow-up was short at 1 year from surgery. Lastly, outcomes were not compared to a control group; therefore, patients were instead compared with their preoperative functional status.

**Conclusions**

LTT results in successful clinical outcomes with a high rate of return to work in a challenging patient population. However, only 67% of patients rated themselves as “very satisfied,” and 20% of patients were revised to RSA before 1 year. Limited preoperative active forward elevation (<90°) appears to predict poor functional results and risk for reoperation.
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