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Abstract
This study evaluates the importance of quality management (QM) critical success factors (CSFs) within the context of Malaysian hotel firms. Primary criteria to evaluate QM CSFs were determined through literature survey and multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) technique assisted by Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL)-based analytical network process (ANP) (D-ANP). D-ANP is integrated to allow the calculation of importance level, weights of all dimensions and indicators, as well as significances. The findings demonstrated the way hotels can be evaluated and ranked, based on the relationships between and among the contexts and variables. The research findings will assist the policymakers (Ministry of Tourism) to formulate policies and data of QM best practices that will ensure the success of hotel firms.
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Introduction
Malaysian Tourism Report Q2 2015 reported that Malaysia’s tourism market has a solid base to grow simultaneously with the wider Asia Pacific Region. Being one of the 12 National Key Economic Areas (NKEAs), the tourism sector is one of the main contributors to Malaysia’s economic status. Such contribution is not surprising as Malaysia is one of the top 10 tourism destination in the world (Malaysia Investment Development Authority, 2015), and the tourism sector’s profit is expected to rise by 4.0% in 2003 and 4.6% pa between 2013 and 2022; MYR 90.7 billion in 2022 (World Travel & Tourism Council, 2012). Naturally, a high tourist number will affect hoteliers as they compete with each other for profits and competitive advantage. Effective implementation of marketing technique and of QM practices might enhance the quality of products and services delivered. Consequently, these hospitality institutions can stay relevant in the increasingly competitive field.

In the past five decades, firms had been adapting QM into their management system (Sousa & Voss, 2008). The management system was developed in Japan and was the products of Juran, Deming, Crosby, Feigenbaum, and Ishikawa. These quality gurus developed QM due to the rise of the automobile industry in Japan. Over time, QM started to be noticed as a
revolutionary management approach. It started to influence the national business system and was seen as a way to improve performance and management standard (Spencer, 1994).

In terms of its existence in literature, there is an adequate amount of study related to QM in service and manufacturing firms. Nevertheless, the number of studies specifically relating QM and service firms is low (Gustafsson, Nilsson & Johnson, 2003). It is worth noting that QM has been identified as the key in differentiating service products, and in building competitive advantage (Koc, 2006). Some studies assessed the role of QM through CSFs, but the surface understanding was due to the absence of a comprehensive list of QM CSFs. In addition, most studies on this matter focus on its success in developed countries instead of developing countries (Mensah, Copuroglu & Fening, 2012).

Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) is able to consider multiple criteria at the same time. This approach will assist decision making by classifying cases based on the characteristics and criteria present in limited and available cases (Tzeng & Huang, 2011). The MCDM method is capable of doing so as it rids dependence and feedback problem, and established a performance evaluation and relationship model (Chen, Hsu & Tzeng, 2011). MCDM has the potential to establish a deeper understanding of the hospitality industry by identifying sub-factors and their corresponding sub-factors that influence individuals’ perspectives (Mensah et al., 2012). The successfulness of this approach was proven in creating marketing strategies (Chiu, Chen, Tzeng & Shyu, 2006), evaluating e-learning effectiveness (Tzeng, Chiang & Li, 2007), developing the competencies of global managers (Wu & Lee, 2007), enabling socially responsible investment (Tsai, Chou & Hsu, 2009), and assisted with cost evaluation in hotel industry (Tsai, Hsu, Chen, Lin & Chen, 2010).

Following these observations, this study presented a review of the available literature on QM, with particular focus on those discussing developing countries. The aim is to identify, evaluate, and prioritise the various QM CSFs in Malaysia hospitality industry. An integrated MCDM was used to evaluate the relationships among factors. This evaluation was carried out with the aid of Analytic Network Processes (ANP) and Decision Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL) approaches.

Review of the Literature

Different sets of CSFs that are pertinent to QM success mostly regard only developed countries, leaving developing countries without any common set of CSFs (Mensah et al., 2012). In the Spanish hotel industry, Claver-Corte, Pereira-Moliner, Tari, and Molina-Azori (2008) observed the existence of TQM factors such as training, environmental management, and information and communication technologies and information system (ICT/IS). The researcher assessed TQM-performance link using regression analysis. Meanwhile, a study constructed by Wang, Chen & Chen (2012) in a China environment assimilated the constructs of TQM established by Grandzol and Gershon (1998). The study discovered that hotels that adapted TQM methods paid attention to their customers, continuous improvement on their part, internal and external cooperation, leadership, employees' well-being, learning, and process management. This study assessed the relationship among total quality management, market orientation, and hotel performance by using Structural Equation Model and discriminate analysis.

In recent years, the MCDM and fuzzy method are increasingly used by academicians in their studies of hotel and hospitality industry. One of the studies that employ these
methodologies was assessing the relationship between tourism and gourmet business in Taiwan (Horng, Liu, Chou, Yin & Tsai, 2013). This study observed that human resource was most crucial in the tourism and gourmet business, and the least significant was market development. Another study that employed two fuzzy MCDM methods were carried recently, and the study aimed to assess CSFs in Iranian SME’s hotel firms (Mardani, Jusoh, Bagheri & Kazemilari, 2015). They identified 16 CSFs and classified them into three perspectives; human perspective (customer focus, employee empowerment, employee fulfillment, employee involvement, and leadership), organisational perspective (continuous improvement, strategic planning, team working, organisational culture, organisational trust, and process management), and technological perspective (Statistical Process Control (SPC), quality control and reporting, benchmarking, ISO 9001, and Just In Time (JIT)). Three of these were selected to be used in this study and was incorporated into a proposed model for further investigation. Figure 1 demonstrates the entire framework, including dimensions and indicators.

Research Methodology
As aforementioned, this study incorporated the CSFs identified in Mardani, Jusoh, Bagheri & Kazemilari (2015) to develop a five-stage integrated Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) model. The model was used to evaluate the dependence relationship of factors, assisted by the grey relational analysis, analytical network process (ANP), and Decision-Making Trial and Evaluation Laboratory (DEMATEL). Figure 1 shows the ANP model used to determine the importance of quality management critical success factors in Malaysia hospitality industry. Firstly, QM CFs were selected based on literature review and the opinions of quality management experts. The experts were comprised of tourism industry academicians, QM academicians, hotel proprietors, quality auditor, tourism government officials and several others who were considered to be of authority of their respective field. These data helped to determine QM dimensions and indicators. Next, a grey relational analysis (GRA) was used to reduce the influence of sub-factors on the success of QM.

Then, the DEMATEL method was implemented to assess the relationship between the dimensions (main factors) and indicators (sub-factors). The same method was used to observe the interdependency and feedback among the factors. It should be noted that observing the relationship is pertinent to assess the influence of each element in the research model. The DEMATEL was used as it is more appropriate for real-world applications in MCDM situations compare to conventional methods (Hung, Chou & Tzeng, 2011). Moreover, DEMATEL is capable of identifying the level of interdependencies and of constructing a network relationship map (NRM). In short, employing DEMATEL ease the construction of the model in relation to the constructed NRM and the calculated level of interdependencies of elements.

The DEMATEL and ANP methods were integrated next. This approach was to construct DEMATEL-based ANP (D-ANP), which will allow the calculation of importance level, weights of all dimensions and indicators, and significances. Following all these steps, the most important factors for the success of Malaysia hospitality were determined. Hotels can then be evaluated and ranked.
Selection of Quality Management CSFs

Stage 1: Goal

Stage 2: Contexts

Stage 3: Variables

Technological Factors
- Quality control & reporting
- Benchmarking
- ISO 9001
- JIT
- Process Management
- Organizational trust
- Continuous improvement
- Strategic planning
- Team working
- Organizational culture

Organizational Factors
- Leadership
- Organizational trust
- Process Management
- Organizational culture

Human Factors
- Customer Focus
- Employee empowerment
- Employee Fulfilment
- Employee Involvement
- Leadership

Hotel A
Hotel B
Hotel C
Figure 1. ANP model to determine importance of Quality Management Critical Success factors in Malaysia Hospitality Industry
Conclusion and Implications
An integrated Multi-Criteria Decision-Making (MCDM) model is important in combatting issues of selecting quality management critical success factors in the hospitality industry. The research findings will assist the policymakers (Ministry of Tourism) to formulate policies that require all hotels to undergo a quality audit using the integrated MCDM model. Consequently, practices of quality management in the Malaysia hospitality industry will be improved. All in all, using the model will equip practitioners with knowledge and data of best practices that will ensure the success of hotel firms.

To boot, this study outcome gave its real contextual contribution that helps the tourism or relevant hotel policy maker understood and acquired integration of QM and MCDM model as a part of an integrated business management. Accordingly, this proposed framework can be developed and recommended to the government’s board for commendation, implementation and modification to assure that the QM strategies within hotel entities are addressed.
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