Vortex-density fluctuations in quantum turbulence
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Turbulence in the low-temperature phase of liquid helium is a complex state in which a viscous normal fluid interacts with an inviscid superfluid. In the former vorticity consists of eddies of all sizes and strengths; in the latter vorticity is constrained to quantized vortex lines. We compute the frequency spectrum of superfluid vortex density fluctuations and obtain the same $f^{-5/3}$ scaling that has been recently observed. We show that the scaling can be interpreted in terms of the spectrum of reconnecting material lines. To perform this calculation we have developed a vortex tree algorithm which considerably speeds up the evaluation of Biot-Savart integrals.
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Current theoretical and experimental work explores the relation between turbulence in an ordinary (classical) fluid and turbulence in the quantum phases of $^4$He, $^3$He and atomic Bose-Einstein condensates. Quantum turbulence shares important features with classical homogeneous isotropic turbulence: the most important is the Kolmogorov $k^{-5/3}$ energy spectrum, where $k$ is the wavenumber.

$^4$He consists of two components: an inviscid superfluid component (associated to the quantum ground state) and thermal excitations, which make up a viscous normal fluid component (associated to the quantum ground state). Normal fluid vorticity is unconstrained, as in classical flows. Turbulence in $^4$He is thus a complex doubly turbulent regime, in which a viscous fluid interacts with discrete inviscid vortex lines.

The intensity of quantum turbulence is characterized by the vortex line density $L$ (vortex length per unit volume). In a striking experiment, Roche et al. measured the fluctuations of $L$ in turbulent $^4$He. They observed that the frequency spectrum scales as $f^{-5/3}$, where $f$ is the frequency. The same scaling was observed in turbulent $^3$He.

The rapid decrease of the spectrum is surprising because, if one interprets $L$ as a measure of the rms superfluid vorticity ($\omega_s = \kappa L$), it seems to contradict the classical scaling of vorticity expected from the Kolmogorov energy spectrum, which increases with $k$.

To achieve our aim we have developed the following tree algorithm for vortex dynamics. At each time step, points are grouped in a hierarchy of cubes which is arranged in a three-dimensional oct-tree structure. We construct the tree top down, first dividing the computational box (root) into eight cubes and then continuing to divide each cube into eight "children," until a cube either is empty or contains only one point. As we create the tree, we calculate the total vorticity contained within each cube and the "center of vorticity" of the cube from the points that it contains. The time required for constructing the tree scales as $N \log(N)$, so it is feasible to "redraw" the tree at each time step. Figure 1 illustrates this procedure in two dimensions.

To calculate the induced velocity $v_i$ at each point $s$ we must "walk" the tree and decide if a cube is sufficiently close to each other, provided that the total length (as a proxy for energy) is reduced. The discretization technique is standard; the reconnection technique and the desingularization of the BS integral are described elsewhere.

The difficulty of this vortex filament method is the computational cost of the BS law which scales as $N^2$ (the velocity at one point depends on an integral over all other $N-1$ points); this prevents calculations of intense vortex tangles (large $N$) for sufficiently long times to make realistic comparison with experiments. The same difficulty arises in astrophysical N-body simulations (the force of gravity on one body depends on the other $N-1$ bodies); in this context, the problem was solved by the development of tree algorithms whose computational cost scale as $N \log(N)$ with small loss of accuracy.
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FIG. 1. (Color online) Illustration of the tree construction in two dimensions (quad-tree). The points (red dots) are enclosed in the root cell (a), which is divided into four cells of half size (b), until [(c) and (d)] there is only one point per cell.

open the cube (assuming it contains more that one point) and repeat the test on each of the child cubes that it contains. The tree-walk ends when the contributions of all cubes have been evaluated.

We tested the tree algorithm up to $N = 2000$ points (practical limit of the BS law) using different values of $\theta$. We verified the $N \log(N)$ scaling for both the construction of the tree and the calculation of the total velocity. We found that the relative deviation of the velocity computed via the tree algorithm from the exact BS velocity is at the most $0.25\%$ if $\theta = 0.7$, which we take as the critical opening angle hereafter.

The computational box is a cube of size $D = 0.075$ cm with periodic boundary conditions. When evaluating the BS integral, for each point in the box we consider the other $3^3 - 1 = 26$ boxes around it; this periodic wrapping is easily obtained using the tree structure.

To model the turbulent normal fluid of the experiment we use a kinematic simulation (KS), in which the normal fluid velocity at position $s$ and time $t$ is prescribed by the following sum of $M$ random Fourier modes:

$$v_n(s,t) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (A_m \times k_m) \cos \phi_m + B_m \times k_m \sin \phi_m),$$

with $\phi_m = k_m \cdot s + \omega_m t$, where $k_m$ and $\omega_m = \sqrt{k_m^3 E(k_m)}$ are wave vectors and frequencies. Via an appropriate choice of $A_m$ and $B_m$, the energy spectrum of $v_n$ reduces to the Kolmogorov form $E(k_m) \propto k_m^{-5/3}$ for $1 \ll k \ll k_M$, with $k = 1$ at the integral scale and $k_M$ at the cut-off scale. The effective Reynolds number $Re_n = (k_M/k_1)^{4/3}$ is defined by the condition that the dissipation time equals the eddy turnover time at $k = k_M$. Like some previous implementations of KS, we have adapted Eq. (3) to periodic boundary conditions. In summary, $v_n$ is solenoidal and time dependent and satisfies the main properties of homogeneous isotropic turbulence, from the energy spectrum to two-points statistics.

We use parameters which refer to $^4$He: circulation $\kappa = 9.97 \times 10^{-4}$ cm$^2$/s and vortex core radius $a_0 = 10^{-8}$ cm. We choose $T = 2.164$ K ($\alpha = 1.21$ and $\alpha' = -0.3883$), larger than in Ref. 5, in order that the back reaction of the vortex lines on the normal fluid is negligible and Eq. (3) is justified. Our calculation has thus two independent parameters: $T$ and $Re_n$. The initial condition consists of 16 straight vortices at random positions and orientations.

Figure 2 shows time series of the vortex line density at three different values of $Re_n$. In each case the initial growth is followed by saturation to a statistical steady state in which $L$ fluctuates around a mean value. An example of a saturated vortex tangle is shown in Fig. 3. By harnessing the power of

FIG. 2. Vortex line density $L$ (cm$^{-2}$) vs. time $t$ (s) corresponding to $Re_n = 22.7$ (dot-dashed line), $Re_n = 57.1$ (solid line), and $Re_n = 112.9$ (dashed line).

FIG. 3. Saturated vortex tangle at $t = 2.0$ s with $N = 55359$ and $L = 91733$ cm$^{-2}$, corresponding to $Re_n = 507.$
FIG. 4. (Color online) Energy spectrum $E(k)$ vs. $k$ (cm$^{-1}$) of normal fluid (upper two lines) and superfluid (lower two lines). (Gray lines) $Re_n = 112.9$ ($L = 8889$ cm$^2$, $k_i = 2\pi/\ell = 592$ cm$^{-1}$); (black lines) $Re_n = 49.85$ ($L = 7058$ cm$^2$, $k_i = 527$ cm$^{-1}$). (Dashed lines) $k^{-5/3}$ (top) and $k^{-1}$ (bottom) scalings.

We construct a $512^2$ mesh in the $xy$ plane at the center of the box. At each mesh point we calculate $v_x$ and $v_y$, using the tree approximation to the BS integral and Eq. (3) respectively. The corresponding energy spectra for two values of $Re_n$ are shown in Fig. 4. The $k^{-5/3}$ Kolmogorov spectrum of the normal fluid is clearly visible. The superfluid follows the Kolmogorov scaling in the inertial range $1 \ll k \ll k_M$, in agreement with experiments. In the range $k > k_M$ the normal fluid is essentially at rest, and the friction damps Kelvin waves on quantized vortices (preventing a cascade of energy to larger $k$ which would happen if $\alpha = \alpha' = 0$, as discussed in Ref. 13); a $k^{-1}$ scaling, typical of individual straight vortex lines, is visible in this range.

Despite the classical nature of the superfluid energy spectrum, the statistics of superfluid velocity components display power-law behavior. The probability density functions (normalized histogram or PDF for short) scale as $PDF(v_{s,i}) \propto v_{s,i}^b$ ($i = 1,2,3$) with average exponent $b = -3.1$; see Fig. 5. This scaling was observed in turbulent helium experiments, and was calculated in turbulent atomic condensates and helium counterflow; its cause is the singular nature of the superfluid vorticity. The vortex line velocity $ds/dt$ obeys non-Gaussian scaling too. The statistics of velocity components in ordinary turbulence, on the contrary, are Gaussian.

Finally, we compute the frequency spectrum of the fluctuations of the vortex line density in the saturated state. Figure 6 shows that the spectrum scales as $f^{-5/3}$, for large $f$, as observed in the experiments. The fact that the high-frequency regime where this scaling takes place is not exactly the same as in the experiment is less important and arises from our choice of temperature, hence the value of saturated $L$.

FIG. 5. (Color online) PDF of superfluid velocity components (cm/s) $v_{sx}$ (blue circles), $v_{sy}$ (red triangles), and $v_{sz}$ (green asterisks) sampled over the vortex points for $Re_n = 112.9$. The overlapping black dotted, dash dotted, and solid lines are, respectively, the Gaussian fits to the same data, $gPDF(v_{s,i}) = \{1/(\sigma \sqrt{2\pi})\} \exp{[-(v_{s,i} - \bar{v})^2/(2\sigma^2)]}$, ($i = 1,2,3$), where $\sigma$ and $\bar{v}$ are the standard deviation and the mean.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Power spectral density of fluctuations of $L$ (arbitrary units) vs. $f$ (s$^{-1}$) at $t = 10$ s corresponding to $Re_n = 507$ as in Fig. 3. The best fit to the data is $f^{-1.71}$. The dashed line shows the $f^{-5/3}$ scaling.

FIG. 7. (Color online) Power spectral density of fluctuations of length of reconnecting material lines (arbitrary units) vs. $f$ (s$^{-1}$) at $t = 10$ s, corresponding to $Re_n = 33.28$. The best fit to the data is $f^{-1.74}$. The dashed line shows the $f^{-5/3}$ scaling.
We observe the same $f^{-5/3}$ scaling at all values of turbulent intensities $Re_\alpha$.

What is the reason for this scaling? Roche et al.\textsuperscript{24,25} argued that the more randomly oriented vortex lines (which particularly contribute to line length and second sound attenuation) have some of the statistical properties of passive scalars. To test this idea we perform numerical simulations in which vortex filaments are replaced by passive material lines which evolve according to $ds/dt = v_n$ (we do not switch off the reconnection algorithm, otherwise the vortex length would grow indefinitely). We find that the length saturates at values larger than the vortex line density and that the spectrum of the fluctuations scales again as $f^{-5/3}$, as in Fig. 7. Being $L$ positive definite,\textsuperscript{26} there is no conflict with the vorticity spectrum.

In conclusion, our calculations reproduce the main observed features of quantum turbulence: (i) the classical $k^{-5/3}$ scaling of the energy spectrum observed at large scales by Tabeling\textsuperscript{4} (thought to be associated with large-scale, energy-containing polarization of vortex lines\textsuperscript{2}), (ii) the observation of nonclassical (non-Gaussian) velocity statistics\textsuperscript{20} (macroscopic manifestation of singular vorticity\textsuperscript{21}), and (iii) the $f^{-5/3}$ spectrum of the vortex line density fluctuations observed at large frequency.\textsuperscript{2,5} The natural question is whether they are independent. Our results also support Roche’s interpretation\textsuperscript{24} that vortex density fluctuations arise from random vortex lines which behave as reconnecting material lines (while most of the tangle’s energy is in the large scale motion).

The vortex tree algorithm could be further speeded up by parallelization.\textsuperscript{27} Its power should allow us to tackle problems which require large $N$, for example, the detection of anomalous scaling\textsuperscript{28} and, in the $T = 0$ limit, the transition from the Kolmogorov energy cascade at small $k$ to the Kelvin waves cascade at big $k$.\textsuperscript{29}
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