Calcifying pseudoneoplasm of the neuraxis within the sellar region: illustrative case
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BACKGROUND There are numerous atypical lesions of the sellar and suprasellar region that are often mistaken for pituitary adenomas. It is important to consider rare mimics of more common pathologies in this region.

OBSERVATIONS The authors detail the case of a 37-year-old woman with hypopituitarism who was found to have an atypical sellar mass with slow growth on interval imaging. The lesion was debulked via a microscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach and found to be a calcifying pseudoneoplasm of the neuraxis (CAPNON).

LESSONS CAPNON is a rare disease entity that may affect the sellar region. CAPNON should be on the differential diagnosis for sellar masses that are associated with T1 and T2 hypointensity on magnetic resonance imaging with minimal enhancement. Although CAPNON is not at risk for malignant progression, these benign lesions can continue to grow after a subtotal resection and require follow-up.
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More than 90% of sellar lesions that present for transsphenoidal resection are pituitary adenomas,1,2 with other common lesions including Rathke cleft cysts, craniopharyngiomas, and meningiomas.1-3 However, numerous additional rare neoplastic, cystic, infectious, and inflammatory lesions have been identified within the sellar and suprasellar region (SSR).1,4 Therefore, a wide differential diagnosis is often needed when considering the etiology of a sellar mass. Clinical outcomes with SSR lesions significantly correlate with final histopathological diagnosis.4 Transsphenoidal resection of SSR lesions for definitive histopathological diagnosis may therefore play a crucial role in cases with weak diagnostic certainty based on clinical, laboratory, and radiographic work-up. This case demonstrates a rare sellar lesion: a calcifying pseudoneoplasm of the neuraxis (CAPNON).

Illustrative Case

Preoperative Presentation

A 37-year-old female presented to our institution with a sellar mass with suprasellar extension. The patient had experienced amenorrhea and galactorrhea from age 14, originally attributed to polycystic ovary syndrome. She subsequently developed hypothyroidism at age 18 that was controlled on levothyroxine. Other significant medical history included successful gastric sleeve surgery for obesity. At age 34, the patient was diagnosed with hypopituitarism with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism, central hypothyroidism, and low serum insulin-like growth factor-1; other endocrine function laboratory test results, including prolactin, were within normal range. She reported intermittent headaches at this time but no visual symptoms and was otherwise asymptomatic. Cranial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated a multilobular 1.3 × 1.6 × 1.8-cm sellar mass with suprasellar extension contacting the optic chiasm. The patient was diagnosed with a presumed pituitary adenoma and observed, with normal ophthalmological examination results and controlled endocrine disease until age 37. At this time, interval MRI (Fig. 1) demonstrated growth to 2.0 × 1.4 × 1.8 cm, with irregular patchy enhancement and an area of central hypointensity on T1 and T2. Given the growth of the lesion and the patient’s hypopituitarism and need for a diagnosis, the patient was recommended an endonasal transsphenoidal resection.
Surgery
The patient subsequently underwent a microscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach for attempted resection of the mass. After the sellar dura was exposed, a firm, fibrous, and calcified mass was encountered. The dura was found to be significantly adherent to the underlying lesion, and no normal gland was observed. A biopsy of the mass was obtained and sent for pathology, with intraoperative frozen sections demonstrating a fibrous mass. No observable plane could be developed along the floor or along the cavernous sinus walls on either side, despite multiple attempts. Therefore, the lesion was internally debulked in a piecemeal fashion using a combination of an ultrasonic surgical aspirator, dissection instruments, and a micropituitary rongeur. After significant debulking, a rim of fibrous tissues that was adherent to the cavernous sinus walls and diaphragma sella was left in place. The patient did well postoperatively, was neurologically intact, and was discharged home on postoperative day 1.

Pathology
Histological examination of the surgical material revealed findings typically described for lesions classified as a CAPNON.5,6 Briefly, the mass lesion was composed predominantly of calcifications embedded in an amorphous matrix (Fig. 2). There were only small foci of viable spindle cells with meningotheial features. The overwhelming majority of the cells demonstrated dystrophic calcification. There were no pituitary cells or foreign body giant cell reactions. There was a thick fibrous tissue on the periphery of the extensively calcified center.
Discussion

Observations

As their name suggests, CAPNONs can affect any location in the central nervous system.5,7 CAPNONs have been identified anywhere from the sacral,11 lumbar,9 thoracic,10 and cervical spine10 to within the cranium in the posterior fossa11 or anterior fossa12 and throughout the skull base.13,14 Reports of CAPNON affecting the sellar region are unsurprisingly misdiagnosed with great frequency.5,7,8 Skull base CAPNONs are most often mistaken for meningiomas, given their radiographic and gross tissue similarities.13,14 A number of groups have consistently found CAPNONs to be primarily T1 and T2 hypointense on MRI, consistent with extensive calcification,5,7,16 as was seen in this case. Diffuse hyperintensity on computed tomography also indicates CAPNON calcification, and the lesion will typically have minimal enhancement with contrast.16 Although vasogenic edema with CAPNONs was not present in this case and is often absent,5 edema has been reported in a number of cases.6,16,17 The radiographic features of CAPNONs may be nonspecific, but atypical radiographic findings for the location of interest may suggest the diagnosis.

The largest report on CAPNONs detailed findings for 37 patients from a single center. One important result from this work was a high documented rate (83%) of dural attachment,16 as was experienced operatively in this case. In a challenging surgical location such as the SSR, dura-based attachment, extensive calcification, and adherence to neighboring critical neurovascular structures may prevent a safe gross total resection without risking injury. CAPNONs are notably a benign lesion, possibly of reactive or inflammatory etiology, and do not carry a risk of malignant progression.7,16 However, cases with subtotal resection (STR) are at risk of interval progression that may require repeat surgery to alleviate symptoms due to mass effect. Progression after STR ranged from 14 to 125 months from the time of surgery in a recent review.7

Lessons

CAPNON is a rare disease entity that may affect the sellar region and may mimic other more common tumors in this region, including pituitary adenomas and meningiomas. This case illustrates the broad differential diagnosis that is needed when assessing atypical lesions of the SSR and is a reason why tissue diagnosis is often required for patient management. CAPNON should be included in the differential diagnosis for sellar masses that are associated with T1 and T2 hypointensity on MRI with minimal enhancement. Although CAPNON is not at risk for malignant progression and does not require adjuvant chemotherapy or radiation therapy, these benign lesions can continue to grow after STR and require postoperative imaging follow-up.
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