A proteomic atlas of ligand–receptor interactions at the ovine maternal–fetal interface reveals the role of histone lactylation in uterine remodeling
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Well-orchestrated maternal–fetal cross talk occurs via secreted ligands, interacting receptors, and coupled intracellular pathways between the conceptus and endometrium and is essential for successful embryo implantation. However, previous studies mostly focus on either the conceptus or the endometrium in isolation. The lack of integrated analysis impedes our understanding of early maternal–fetal cross talk. Herein, focusing on ligand–receptor complexes and coupled pathways at the maternal–fetal interface in sheep, we provide the first comprehensive proteomic map of ligand–receptor pathway cascades essential for embryo implantation. We demonstrate that these cascades are associated with cell adhesion and invasion, redox homeostasis, and the immune response. Candidate interactions and their physiological roles were further validated by functional experiments. We reveal the physical interaction of albumin and claudin 4 and their roles in facilitating embryo attachment to endometrium. We also demonstrate a novel function of enhanced conceptus glycolysis in remodeling uterine receptivity by inducing endometrial histone lactylation, a newly identified histone modification. Results from in vitro and in vivo models supported the essential role of lactate in inducing endometrial H3K18 lactylation and in regulating redox homeostasis and apoptotic balance to ensure successful implantation. By reconstructing a map of potential ligand–receptor pathway cascades at the maternal–fetal interface, our study presents new concepts for understanding molecular and cellular mechanisms that fine-tune conceptus–endometrium cross talk during implantation. This provides more direct and accurate insights for developing potential clinical intervention strategies to improve pregnancy outcomes following both natural and assisted conception.

In mammals, successful implantation and healthy pregnancy depend on well-orchestrated cross talk between the developmentally competent conceptus and the receptive endometrium (1–3). Early pregnancy loss occurring during the peri-implantation period is an important and pervasive problem in both human and agricultural animals, especially low-ovulating species. In natural conception, it has been estimated that approximately 75% of failed pregnancies are caused by implantation failure (1, 4, 5). Failed implantation is also a major limiting factor in assisted reproduction (6). Therefore, a comprehensive understanding of the well-orchestrated conceptus–endometrium cross talk at the implantation stage is of importance for basic reproductive or developmental biology, clinical pregnancy intervention, and animal reproductive management.

Although current molecular and cellular mechanisms that govern conceptus–endometrium cross talk have been gleaned primarily from murine models (1, 3, 7), ruminant models have contributed key insights and updated our knowledge of conceptus–endometrium interactions. Compared with the short length of the implantation window in murine models, the prolonged period of apposition and attachment in cows and sheep makes them outstanding candidate models to study the conceptus–endometrium interactions (8, 9). The role of the interferon τ (IFNT), derived from the trophectoderm, in the maternal recognition of pregnancy, was initially shown in sheep (10). It has been well established that IFNT acts locally on the endometrium to prevent luteolysis by inhibiting the synthesis and release of prostaglandin F2α (PGF2α), thus allowing continued production of progesterone by the functional corpus luteum to establish and maintain pregnancy (11–13). Subsequent studies further reported that IFNT also fine-tunes a range of other physiological processes in endometrial remodeling by stimulating or suppressing the expression of certain genes (14–17). IFNT provides a classic illustration of how the establishment of uterine receptivity depends on a conceptus-originated biochemical signal. Other paracrine signals, such as cortisol, glycosylation-dependent cell adhesion molecule 1-like protein, secreted phosphoprotein 1, and prostaglandins, which contribute to successful implantation and the maintenance of pregnancy, were also deciphered using ruminant models (18, 19). In addition, using bovine embryos of different origins, it was shown that the
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endometrium responds differently to embryos with different developmental potential, and the limits of endometrial plasticity provided new insights into the contribution of embryomaternal interactions to successful implantation (20, 21). More recently, paired conceptus–endometrium transcriptome analyses of individual pregnancies in bovine provided the first evidence that the conceptus and endometrium are fine-tuned and coordinated at the level of an individual pregnancy (22).

Fine-tuned and reciprocal cross talk is essential for embryo implantation and involves secreted ligands, their interacting receptors, and coupled pathways between the conceptus and endometrium. Until now, previous research studies have mostly focused on either the conceptus (23–26) or the endometrium (20, 21, 27–29) in isolation, the lack of integrated analysis between the paired conceptus and endometrium has made it challenging to advance our understanding of the pathways and functions that govern conceptus–endometrium cross talk during implantation. Thus, despite the importance of ligand–receptor-based maternal–fetal cross talk, there are no reports of systematic studies trying to elucidate the repertoire of signaling routes essential for the cross talk. Recently, an emerging method for evaluation of ligand–receptor interactions based on high-throughput data provides a statistical tool to map the cell–cell communications of diverse physiological and pathological processes (30–32). However, ligand–receptor interaction maps, as well as coupled pathways at maternal–fetal interface by implantation stage, have never been characterized.

In the present study, via the screening method to identify potential ligand–receptor interactions, we used sheep as the model and present a comprehensive proteomic atlas of cross talk at maternal–fetal interface. This atlas reconstructed the first direct and accurate map of the ligand–receptor pathway cascades essential for implantation. In addition, by highlighting the enhanced glycolysis in the conceptus, we have firstly reported the novel role of lactate-induced histone lactylation, a newly identified important histone modification, in remodeling endometrial receptivity and achieving implantation.

**Results**

**Identifying differentially abundant membrane and secreted proteins between the conceptus and endometrium**

To profile the proteome in the conceptus and endometrium by implantation stage, we collected conceptuses, endometrial caruncular (C), and intercaruncular (IC) areas (Figs. 1A and S1A) from 36 pregnant sheep on day 17 of pregnancy, which is the time of filamentous conceptus attachment in the sheep uterus (33, 34) that is frequently selected to explore the mechanisms of conceptus–endometrium cross talk (35–37). In ruminants, uterine secreting glands are specifically localized in large endometrial areas (i.e., intercaruncular zones, IC), thus glandular IC areas are mainly responsible for the synthesis and secretion of histotroph, including cytokines, growth factors, and adhesion molecules, etc. By contrast, small aglandular caruncular areas of stromal origin (i.e., caruncles, C) are scattered over the endometrium surface. Aglandular C areas serve as the sites of superficial attachment and placentation (12, 38, 39). Therefore, given the structural and functional differences associated to the C and IC areas, these two distinct endometrial zones have to be analyzed separately (21, 22, 37, 40). We divided the 36 samples into equal three pools (12 samples/pool) as biological replicates and sequenced their proteomes, which showed high reproducibility (Fig. S1B). Finally, we identified 1468, 1494, and 1575 proteins in the conceptus, C area, IC area, respectively (Fig. 1A and Table S1). When hierarchical classification was applied, the endometrial C and IC areas clustered closely together, whereas the conceptus samples were categorized separately (Fig. S1C), which was also recapitulated by the results of principal component analysis (Fig. 1B). Comparative analysis of differentially abundant proteins (false discovery rate (FDR) < 0.05, fold change (FC) > 2) identified 196 and 232 proteins that were significantly more abundant in the conceptus compared with those in the C or IC areas; whereas 224 and 325 proteins were significantly more abundant in the C and IC areas compared with those in the conceptus, and a substantial proportion of differentially abundant proteins were specifically enriched in endometrial or conceptus tissues (Fig. S1, D and E).

To explore the potential interactions between the conceptus and endometrium systematically, we screened out differentially abundant membrane and secreted proteins based on subcellular localization annotations from UniProt (Fig. 1C). Secreted proteins appeared to be more enriched in the C or IC areas than in the conceptus, which supported the previous notion that the endometrium is an active site of cytokine production and action (41). Next, we used 304 common differentially abundant proteins between the conceptus and endometrial tissues to construct a protein–protein network and found nine high-scoring proteins (interaction edges > 40) (Fig. S1F), including the secreted protein fibronectin (FN1) and the membrane protein 40S ribosomal protein SA (RPSA), both of which are well-known adhesion molecules that have been reported to mediate intercellular interaction in various cell types (42–44). To investigate the conceptus–endometrium cross talk deeply, we next focused on the following three aspects: differentially abundant membrane proteins, differentially abundant secreted proteins, and differentially enriched pathways.

**Screening differentially abundant membrane proteins and their interacting secreted partners**

Having identified differentially abundant membrane and secreted proteins of the maternal–fetal interface, we next attempted to screen potential interacting partners that might play roles in conceptus–endometrium cross talk during implantation. To this end, we first focused on the membrane proteins that changed commonly between the conceptus and endometrial tissues (FDR < 0.05, FC > 2). We screened out their interacting secreted partners based on the interaction score from Search Tool for Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING), a well-established database of known and
Figure 1. Screening of differentially abundant membrane or secreted proteins and their interacting partners between the conceptus and endometrium. 

A, data structure used in this study. Representative images of a pregnant uterus and conceptus (scale bar = 1 cm). Data of genome-wide protein abundance were obtained from the conceptus, as well as the C and IC areas from 36 pregnant uteri, which were equally divided into three pools, 12 samples in each pool. Lower panel, representative hematoxylin-eosin staining images of C and IC areas identifying the tissues from which proteomic data were used in this study. Scale bar = 50 μm. 

B, principal component analysis of protein expression patterns of three biological replicates of the conceptus, C area, and IC area. 

C, volcano plot of differentially abundant proteins between the conceptus and the C area (upper panel) or IC area (lower panel). The black dots represent the differentially abundant proteins with FDR < 0.05 and FC > 2. The red line represents FDR = 0.05, and the gray line represents FC = 2. The blue dots represent identified membrane partners. The green dots represent identified secretion partners. The red dots represent proteins that could be secreted or located in membrane. 

D and E, overview of the interactions of membrane (D) or secreted (E) proteins that were significantly changed in both C and IC areas compared with that in the conceptus, with their interacting secreted/membrane partners. The Z-score normalized protein abundance is represented in red (relatively high) or blue (relatively low). * represents the fold change of the protein in the conceptus versus that in the C areas and in the.
predicted protein–protein interactions (45), as well as the protein subcellular location from UniProt (Table S2). Many of the screened high-scoring interactions involve proteins that have been reported to participate in transmembrane transport (solute carrier family 2 member 1 (SLC2A1), calcineurin-like EF-hand protein 1 (CHP1), glutamic-oxaloacetic transaminase 2 (GOT2)), heat stress response (heat-shock protein 90 alpha family class B member 1 (HSP90AB1), heat shock protein family A member 8 (HSPA8)), and cell adhesion (claudin 4 (CLDN4), basal cell adhesion molecule (BCAM)) (Fig. 1D). Phenotype annotations based on Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) database suggested that these membrane proteins may be associated with embryonic and fetal development and survival (Fig. 1D). In addition to differentially abundant membrane proteins common to endometrial tissues relative to the conceptus, we also examined differentially abundant membrane proteins (FDR < 0.05, FC > 2) specific to the C or IC areas and their interacting secreted partners (Fig. S2, A and B), which might provide further candidates to investigate the different roles of the C and IC areas in supporting conceptus implantation.

To further validate the role of the predicted membrane partners in supporting conceptus–endometrium cross talk, we next characterized the expression patterns of these candidates in the endometria of successful and failed pregnancies using our previously published proteomic data (40). We found that the levels of a majority of these candidates changed significantly (p < 0.05) in the endometrium that underwent pregnancy failure, implying the essential functions of these membrane partners in supporting a successful pregnancy (Fig. S2C). Interestingly, we noticed that protein tyrosine kinase 7 (PTK7), an evolutionarily conserved transmembrane receptor, was significantly more abundant in the endometrium that underwent pregnancy failure compared with that in the successful pregnancy (Fig. S2C). In addition, using transcriptomic data of human endometrium from the prereceptive to receptive phase, we found that the mRNA expression levels of PTK7, CLDN4, and STAT3 were also significantly change during establishment of human endometrial receptivity (Fig. S2D), suggesting that those candidates are essential for the normal physiological functions of the endometrium. Meanwhile, we also found that the levels of many of our screened membrane partners changed significantly (p < 0.05) in endometrial tissues of patients with endometriosis compared with those in the healthy endometrium (Fig. S2E), suggesting that these interacting membrane partners might also participate in uterine pathology.

Screening differentially abundant secreted proteins and their interacting membrane partners

Having screened potential interacting partners based on differentially abundant membrane proteins, we next focused on differentially abundant secreted proteins (FDR < 0.05, FC > 2) and their interacting membrane partners (Figs. 1E and S3, A and B). Many of the high-scoring interactions involved cell adhesion molecules (FN1, collagen type VI alpha 1 chain (COL6A1), collagen type VI alpha 2 chain (COL6A2)), glycoprotein family members (alpha 2-HS glycoprotein (AHSG), alpha-1-B glycoprotein (A1BG)), and complement components (complement C5 (C5), complement C9 (C9), plasminogen (PLG)). The MGI phenotype annotations suggested that these membrane partners might be essential for embryonic and fetal development and survival (Fig. 1E). Similarly, reanalysis of secreted partners using previously published proteomic or transcriptomic data also supported the physiological or pathological significance of our screened candidates (Fig. S3, C–E).

Construction of a repository of the potential ligand–receptor pathway cascade

Having characterized conceptus–endometrium cross talk using interacting secreted and membrane partners, we next attempted to further screen out the associated pathways and biological processes that may couple to ligand–receptor complexes to support the cross talk at the maternal–fetal interface. Therefore, functional profiling was performed using proteins that were more abundant in endometrial or conceptus tissues (Fig. S4, A–D). We found that proteins that were abundant in endometrial tissues were functionally associated with glutathione metabolism, complement and coagulation cascades, and focal adhesion (Fig. S4A), as well as biological processes of cell adhesion, response to virus, and actin cross-link formation (Fig. S4B). By contrast, using proteins that were abundant in the conceptus, we identified that pathways or biological processes of energy metabolism, cellular oxidant detoxification, cell–cell adhesion, and protein stabilization were significantly enriched (Fig. S4, C and D). Based on the analyses of differentially abundant secreted proteins, membrane proteins, and enriched pathways between the conceptus and C or IC areas, we integrated them to reconstruct a repository of the potential ligand–receptor pathway cascade, which includes bidirectional cascades: Secreted proteins from the C or IC areas act on membrane partners on the conceptus and in turn promote the development of the conceptus. Secreted proteins of the conceptus act on membrane partners of the C or IC areas and in turn promote endometrial remodeling. Among these, some of candidate cascades have been identified, such as laminins-integrin-cell adhesion and collagens-integrin-cell adhesion (46); whereas many cascades have not been identified at embryonic–maternal interface, such as ALB–CLDN4–cell adhesion and fibronectin 1 (FN1)–protein tyrosine kinase 7 (PTK7)–cell invasion (Fig. 2A). Particularly, the albumin is one of the most abundant proteins in uterine luminal fluid (47, 48) and was reported to support embryo development by carrying energy sources, osmoregulators, pH stabilizers, or scavenging ions and...
Figure 2. Working model of secreted-membrane partner interactions and related essential biological processes that govern cross talk at the maternal–fetal interface. A, overview of selected secreted-membrane partner interactions-related biological processes. The interaction scores are represented in yellow (0.4) to red (1). The average expression level of interacting molecule 1 in cluster 1 and interacting molecule 2 in cluster 2 are indicated by colors (blue to red). B, the colocalization of ALB and CLDN4. Upper panel, representative fluorescent images of ALB (green), CLDN4 (red), and DAPI (blue) staining in mouse embryos cocultured 48 h with Ishikawa cells. Control and MSA group were incubated in FBS medium and MS medium 30 min before fixation, separately. Lower panel, intensity profiles of ALB and CLDN4 relative to DAPI obtained using ImageJ software, along the white dotted straight line crossing Ishikawa cells and blastomeres. White arrows represent the attached embryos. C, Western blot showing coimmunoprecipitated proteins in MSA group of Ishikawa cells cocultured with blastocysts immunoprecipitation experiments, which were repeated at least twice with similar results. D, the Ligand–receptor pathway cascades at implantation.
toxins (49), but its role in mediating conceptus–endometrium cross talk has never been determined. We therefore focused our analyses on ALB-CLDN4-cell adhesion cascade. Our results showed that 5 μg/ml albumin exposure not only significantly enhanced the adhesiveness of Ishikawa cells (a well-established human receptive endometrial cell line) is widely used as an in vitro model for studying mechanism underlying uterine receptivity (50) (Fig. S5A), but also significantly improved the attachment of blastocysts by using an in vitro implantation model based on the attachment and invasiveness of mouse blastocysts to Ishikawa cells (51, 52) (Fig. S5B). Notably, given there is no well-accepted in vitro model for ovine embryo–endometrium interactions, the use of this convenient method is technically feasible. The short-term (30 min) protein overload assay showed a significant increase in ALB binding interactions on the cell surface of blastocysts exposed to mouse serum albumin relative to control (Fig. S5C). More importantly, the increased binding interactions were also verified using the in vitro implantation model (Figs. 2B and S5D), and the Immunoprecipitation (Co-IP) of CLDN4 provided direct evidences of the physical interaction between ALB and CLDN4 (Fig. 2C), as predicted by the protein–protein docking model (Fig. S5E). Then we blocked the function of CLDN4 by supplementing CLDN4 mouse monoclonal antibody (CLDN4 Ab) to the coculture medium. We found the significant decreased ratio of embryo attachment in CLDN4 Ab 0.01, 0.1, 1, and 5 mg/l groups relative to IgG 1 mg/l group (Fig. 2D). Moreover, the blastocyst outgrowth ratios and areas also significantly decreased in CLDN4 Ab 1 mg/l and 5 mg/l groups (Fig. 2E). These indicated the important role of ALB-CLDN4-cell adhesion cascade at embryo implantation. Furthermore, in vivo results from E6.5 mouse embryo–maternal interface showed mutually exclusive expression patterns of Alb and Cldn4 during implantation (Fig. 2F), which was similar to those in day 17 sheep conceptus and C or IC areas (Fig. 1D). Notably, in situ immunostaining and Co-IP of E6.5 showed an obvious colocalization and direct interaction of ALB and CLDN4 at embryo–maternal interface (Figs. 2, G and H, and S5F). Then, to block the function of CLDN4 or ALB at implantation, we injected CLDN4 Ab, ALB mouse monoclonal antibody (ALB Ab), or mouse IgG into the uterus of day 4 pregnant mice. As we expected, the number of implantation sites and E6.5 embryos were significantly reduced in CLDN4 Ab and ALB Ab groups. What’s more interesting is that the morphological structure of some embryos developed to E6.5 was aberrant when CLDN4 or ALB blocked at implantation, which suggested the role of CLDN4 and ALB in embryo development (Figs. 2I and S5G). Collectively, we proved, in vitro and in vivo, the important role of ALB-CLDN4-cell adhesion cascade in embryo–maternal cross talk during implantation.

**The key pathways and processes at the maternal–fetal interface**

To further investigate the conceptus–endometrium cross talk deeply, we constructed and clustered a pathway network using PathwayConnector (PC) (53) to find key nodes functionally linked with an endometrial receptive status. Three subclusters and their key pathways were noteworthy: subcluster 1: complement and coagulation cascades and apoptosis; subcluster 2: phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K)-protein kinase B (AKT) and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathway; subcluster 3: glutathione metabolism (Fig. 3A). Similarly, we constructed the direct connections using the enriched pathways in the conceptus. Two subclusters and their key pathways were clustered: subcluster 1: glycolysis/glucogenogenesis; subcluster 2: the PI3K-AKT signaling pathway (Fig. 3B). Furthermore, the physiological significance of these enriched pathways for conceptus–endometrium cross talk during implantation was further supported via Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) of the conceptus and endometrial transcriptomes on day 12 and 16 of pregnancy (48). Our results of reanalysis indicated that glycolysis/glucogenogenesis (normalized enrichment score (NES) = 1.35, FDR q-value = 0.068) is enriched in the conceptus on day 16 of pregnancy (Fig. 3C), while apoptosis, glutathione metabolism, and complement and coagulation cascades are enriched in the endometrium on day 16 of pregnancy (Fig. 3C).

**The receptive response of the endometrium to the embryonic lactate signal**

Based on the observation of enriched glycolysis/glucogenogenesis in the conceptus at the maternal–fetal interface, we next profiled gene expression dynamics of glycolysis-related enzymes in the conceptus from day 12 to 20 of pregnancy (Fig. 3D) using previously published transcriptomic data (48). The results showed a tendency toward enhanced glycolysis, which agreed with that reported in other species (54–57). Given that glycolysis is less efficient in terms of ATP production compared with oxidative phosphorylation, it has been thought that there might be nonenergy providing functions of glycolysis in peri-implantation embryos (58, 59). This was reminiscent of the functions of lactate, the metabolic by-product of glycolysis. It has been reported that lactate production increases significantly at implantation in mice and humans (60, 61). In line with this, we detected relatively high levels of lactate in the conceptus and endometrial tissues in attachment of mouse blastocysts to Ishikawa cells was assayed after 48 h of coculture in FBS medium supplemented with different concentrations of CLDN4 Ab or IgG. E, upper panel, the representative image of blastocyst outgrowth on Ishikawa cells after 48 h coculture in FBS medium with different concentration of CLDN4 Ab or IgG. The white lines circled the blastocyst outgrowth. Lower panel, the statistics of the blastocyst outgrowth ratios and the relative outgrowth area after 84 h coculture. F, quantification of Alb and Cldn4 mRNAs relative to Actb in the E6.5 decidua and embryo. G, left panel, representative fluorescent immunohistochemistry images of DAPI (blue), Alb (green), and Cldn4 (red) staining in E6.5. The white dotted circles represent the embryo sites. The areas between two yellow dotted lines represent maternal–fetal interface. Right panel, intensity profiles of ALB and CLDN4 relative to DAPI obtained using ImageJ software, along the white dotted straight line crossing the decidua, embryo–maternal interface, and embryo. H, Western blot showing coimmunoprecipitated proteins in E6.5 immunoprecipitation experiments, which were repeated at least twice with similar results. I, embryo implantation and morphological structure in the presence of CLDN4 Ab or ALB Ab on day 7 of pregnancy. * represents p < 0.05, ** represents p < 0.01. Scale bar = 200 μm (B, E, G, and middle panel of I), scale bar = 1 cm (left panel of I).
Figure 3. The key pathways and processes at the maternal–fetal interface. A, the pathway network enriched in the endometrium was constructed by PathwayConnector (PC). The key pathways are marked with red arrows, and the important subclusters are presented separately. The blue dots represent the input pathways, and the green dots represent the complementary pathways. B, the pathway network enriched in the conceptus was constructed using PC. C, differentially regulated pathways between day 16 and day 12 conceptuses, the glandular epithelium, and the luminal epithelium were identified by GSEA (NES > 1, FDR q-value < 0.25). D, dynamic expression of glycolytic enzymes in the conceptus from day 12 to day 20 of pregnancy (GSE87017). The Z-score normalized RPKM is represented in red (relatively high) and blue (relatively low). E, lactate concentrations of the sheep conceptus and endometrial tissues with successful and failed pregnancy by implantation stage. ** represents p < 0.01. F, immunoblots of H3K18la and H3 from the endometrium with successful and failed pregnancy by implantation stage. G, representative fluorescent images of H3K18la (red) and DAPI (blue) staining in mouse embryos cocultured 72 h with Ishikawa cells, which were chosen from Fig. S5I. The intensity profiles of H3K18la relative to DAPI of Ishikawa cells obtained using ImageJ software, which was stronger at proximal fields than that at distal fields. * represents p < 0.05, ** represents p < 0.01. Scale bar = 200 μm. H, immunoblots of H3K18la and H3 in Ishikawa cells exposure to different concentrations of sodium L-lactate. Lower panel, the relative intensity of H3K18la. * represents p < 0.05 relative to the control group.
pregnant sheep (Fig. 3E), which were comparable to those in tumor cells (62). It should be also emphasized that in the endometrium undergoing pregnancy failure, lactate concentrations were much lower in both the C and IC areas compared with that in their counterparts in the successful pregnancy (Fig. 3E). This fact, together with the results that an important lactate-prefering transporter (monocarboxylate transporter, MCT1) was upregulated in the endometrium during implantation (Fig. S5H), suggested that lactate might play a critical role in manipulating the microenvironment for uterine implantation. Interestingly, we noticed that the chaperone glycoproteins, immunoglobulin family, which interact with monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs) to maintain their stability (63), showed tissue-biased expression patterns (Fig. S5H), indicating that MCT1 in the conceptus might interact preferentially with basigin (BSG), whereas MCT1 in the endometrium might interact preferentially with embigin (EMB).

Notably, a recent study showed that lactate-derived lactylation of histone residues is an important epigenetic modification that directly regulates gene transcription (64). Therefore, we hypothesized that increased lactate levels at the maternal–fetal interface might serve as the donor to stimulate histone lactylation and thus facilitate remodeling processes to prepare a receptive endometrium. To test this, we first determined the H3K18la level, a subtype of recently identified lactylated histone lactation that can respond to lactate in mouse and human cells (64), in the sheep C and IC endometrial areas on day 17 of pregnancy. Western blotting detection showed high levels of H3K18la in the pregnant endometrial C and IC areas. By contrast, H3K18la levels were significantly lower in the endometrium undergoing pregnancy failure, implying the important role of H3K18la in establishing endometrial receptivity (Fig. 3F). Next, we used the in vitro implantation model to test if histone lactylation in the endometrium was associated with embryo-originated stimulations. H3K18la levels detected by immunofluorescence assays in Ishikawa cells showed a declining tendency from the proximal to the distal fields of the attached embryos (Figs. 3G and S5J), suggesting that embryos could stimulate H3K18la in the endometrium. In addition, exogenous supplementation of sodium L-lactate that mimicked physiological (65, 66) or higher concentrations directly induced a significant increase in H3K18la levels in human Ishikawa endometrial cells (Fig. 3H). These facts also suggested that lactate-induced H3K18la in the endometrium appears to be common across species.

**Glutathione (GSH)-based cell redox homeostasis is the target of lactate-induced histone lactylation**

Next, we attempted to study the potential physiological role of lactate-induced lactylation in endometrial remodeling during implantation. We focused on the potential H3K18la-associated endometrial genes and pathways via a Venn diagram consisting of the following data: (1) 1784 genes whose promoters were enriched with H3K18la (GSE115354), as the putative H3K18la-regulated targets; (2) 2077 genes whose expression changed significantly in the sheep luminal epithelium from day 12 to 16 of pregnancy (GSE87017), and (3) 2228 genes whose expression changed significantly in the sheep glandular epithelium from day 12 to 16 of pregnancy (GSE87017), as the potential candidates responsible for endometrial remodeling. This analysis identified 44 candidates that might be regulated by H3K18la in the endometrium during embryo implantation (Fig. S6A). Functional annotations showed that these genes are involved in the biological processes of cell redox homeostasis, apoptosis, cytoskeleton, proteasome, cell proliferation, cell migration, cell adhesion, and immune response (Fig. S6A). In addition, the significant responses of these processes to lactate were further validated by GSEA of the published transcriptome data (GSE115354): Apoptosis, cell adhesion molecules, negative regulation of immune response, the PI3K-AKT signaling pathway, and positive regulation of cell proliferation were significantly enriched in lactate-treated mouse cells (NES < −1, FDR q-value < 0.25) (Fig. S6B). Among these, glutathione (GSH)-mediated cell redox homeostasis, as well as related pathways, e.g., reactive oxygen species (ROS) positive response and apoptosis, attracted our attention. ROS at a controlled level has been reported to serve as the critical mediator of endometrial remodeling (67, 68). This was also supported by our observation that ROS positive response genes (69) were enriched significantly in the day 16 endometrium relative to that in the day 12 endometrium and in the endometrium on day 17 of pregnancy relative to that in pregnancy failure (NES > 1, FDR q-value < 0.25) (Fig. S6, C and D), while apoptosis, which is conducive to trophoblast invasion at the site of embryo attachment (70), was also upregulated in sheep endometrium during implantation (Fig. 3C). Thus, we next used Ishikawa cells, which can respond to lactate-induced lactylation, as the model to test if lactate could induce ROS production and apoptosis in the endometrium. As expected, sodium L-lactate supplementation in the culture medium increased ROS levels and apoptotic rate significantly in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 4, A and B).

The GSH-based antioxidative system is functionally active at the maternal–fetal interface and is critical for the establishment of pregnancy (71, 72). In line with this, many genes involved in GSH metabolism and function were upregulated in the sheep endometrium during the implantation stage (Fig. S6E), which was consistent with the result of GSEA in Figure 3C. Correspondingly, the importance of the GSH-based antioxidative system in successful implantation was also supported by the analyses of GSEA and differentially abundant proteins related to glutathione metabolism of the endometrium in successful and failed pregnancies (Fig. S6, D and F). These results, together with clues suggesting that the GSH-based antioxidative system might be the target of lactate-induced H3K18la in the endometrium during implantation, led us to test whether lactate could stimulate GSH metabolism and function. Interestingly, we found that a relatively low level (10 mM), but not a high level (50 mM, 100 mM), of sodium L-lactate supplementation significantly promoted the ratio of GSH/GSSG (Fig. 4C), implying enhanced intracellular antioxidative activity (73, 74), while excessive ROS production...
**Figure 4. The adaptive response of the endometrium to the embryonic lactate signal.**

A, representative fluorescent images of ROS production (green) in human endometrial cancer cells (Ishikawa line) exposed to different concentrations of sodium L-lactate. Right panel, normalized fluorescent intensity of ROS. * represents $p < 0.05$, ** represents $p < 0.01$ relative to control group.

B, representative fluorescent images of TUNEL (green) and DAPI (blue) staining in Ishikawa cells exposed to different concentrations of sodium L-lactate. Right panel, quantification of TUNEL-positive Ishikawa cells following different treatments. ** represents $p < 0.01$ relative to the control group. C, the GSH/GSSG ratio in different sodium L-lactate concentrations treated Ishikawa cells was measured. * represents $p < 0.05$. D, proliferation assay of Ishikawa cells exposed to 10 mM sodium L-lactate for 12 h and 24 h. ** represents $p < 0.01$, * represents $p < 0.05$. E, the attachment of mouse blastocysts to Ishikawa cells was assayed after 24 and 48 h of coculture in serum-free medium.
induced by high-level lactate (Fig. 4A) might consume redundant GSH.

Finally, we attempted to determine if lactate production in the uterine microenvironment is beneficial for implantation. To this end, we first detected the proliferation of Ishikawa cells, because uterine cell proliferation is the prerequisite for establishing endometrial receptivity (7). We found that 10 mM sodium L-lactate stimulated significant and sustained proliferation of Ishikawa cells (Fig. 4D). More importantly, the in vitro mouse embryo attachment assay indicated that sodium L-lactate supplementation could improve embryo attachment in a time- and dose-dependent manner: 10 mM sodium L-lactate supplementation led to a significant increase in the attachment ratio, whereas excessive or prolonged lactate exposure resulted in unexpected embryonic degradation and endometrial cell death (Figs. 4E and S6G), implying that well-controlled lactate production may play an important role at implantation. These findings were further verified in vivo. Intruterine injection of lactate dehydrogenase inhibitor (oxamate) on day 4 of pregnancy significantly reduced implantation sites on day 5 in a dose-dependent manner. Notably, the implantation defects could be rescued by exogenous addition of the relatively low level (0.04 mM and 0.2 mM), but not high level lactate (1 mM and 5 mM) (Fig. 4, F and G). Collectively, we suggested that a fine-tuned lactate production is essential and beneficial for embryo implantation.

**Discussion**

Despite the frequently reported high-throughput analyses to characterize the transcriptomic and proteomic features of the embryo/conceptus or endometrium at the implantation stage in mice (23, 75), humans (32, 76, 77), and domestic animals (22, 78–80), to the best of our knowledge, currently there has never been a report that focuses on the ligand–receptor pathway cascades at the maternal–fetal interface on the protein level. In addition, compared with transcriptomic data, proteomic interaction maps provide more direct and accurate clues for understanding this process. Using sheep as the model, we provided a comprehensive proteomic atlas of conceptus–endometrium cross talk at the implantation stage. Particularly, our study presents the potential ligand–receptor pathway cascades landscape at the maternal–fetal interface. Our reanalysis revealed an enhanced glycolysis in the ovine conceptus by implantation stage, which is line with the previous notion that NME1 plays an important role in regulating the invasiveness of trophoblast cells and participates in the pathogenesis of endometriosis (83, 84). Similarly, the level of FKBP1A is also significantly lower in endometrium of endometriosis (Fig. S2E), which is in line with the previous notion that NME1 plays an important role in regulating the invasiveness of trophoblast cells and participates in the pathogenesis of endometriosis (83, 84).

One striking finding of this study is that functional evidence of the potential role of lactate-induced acetylation in remodeling endometrial receptivity using in vivo and in vitro models.

Based on the well-established database of membrane and secreted proteins, we predicted a variety of ligand–receptor complexes at the protein level that might be essential for conceptus–endometrium cross talk. The predictability of our constructed interaction map was supported by a series of membrane or secreted partners that have been identified as key regulators of successful implantation or uterine pathology, such as calpain 2 (CAPN2) (81), integrin subunit alpha 9 (ITGA9) (46, 82), and nonmetastatic gene 23-H1 (NME1) (83, 84). For example, membrane protein CAPN2 is highly expressed in endometrial tissues, while its interacting secreted protein cathepsin B (CTSB) is enriched in the conceptus (Fig. 1D). CAPN2 is concentrated along the basal cell surface of rat uterine luminal epithelial cells at the time of implantation and plays a key role in focal adhesion disassembly and uterine receptivity (81), while CTSB has been reported to play a critical role in endometrial remodeling (85, 86). Our study also revealed the interactions between secreted and membrane adhesion molecules. We found that the expression of FN1 gradually increases in the conceptus from day 12 to 20 of pregnancy and is primarily enriched and secreted by the conceptus (Fig. S6H); whereas its high-scoring interacting membrane partner, integrin subunit beta 1 (ITGB1), a glycoprotein that is localized on the epithelial membrane (87, 88), is more abundant in endometrial tissues at the maternal–fetal interface. The integrin-mediated FN1 binding activity of trophoblast cells has been identified to strengthen trophoblast adhesion to the endometrial extracellular matrix (89, 90).

Our results also indicated candidate interactions associated with both implantation and uterine diseases: Membrane protein NME1, a wide-spectrum tumor metastasis suppressor, and its interacting secreted partner, FK506 binding protein 1A (FKBP1A), a member of the immunophilin protein family, are enriched in the conceptus and endometrial tissues respectively (Fig. 1E). Our reanalysis showed that the level of NME1 was significantly lower in endometrium of endometriosis (Fig. S2E), which is in line with the previous notion that NME1 plays an important role in regulating the invasiveness of trophoblast cells and participates in the pathogenesis of endometriosis (83, 84). Similarly, the level of FKBP1A is also significantly lower in endometrium of endometriosis (Fig. S3E), which agreed with the report that FKBP1A is upregulated in the endometrium of blocked or reduced the number of endometriotic vesicles (91).

More importantly, we also identified a variety of putative interactions that have not been shown previously to participate in cross talk at the maternal–fetal interface, including supplemented with different concentrations of sodium L-lactate. Representative images are shown and the red arrows represent the firmly attached embryos, and the black arrows represent the degraded embryos. ** represents p < 0.01. F and G, uterine morphology (F) and the number of implantation sites (G) on day 5 after uterine horns at day 4 were injected with different treatments. ** represents p < 0.01. Red arrows represent the implantation sites. Scale bar = 200 μm (A, B, and E). Scale bar = 1 cm (F). H, schematic diagram of the endometrial response to embryonic lactate signals during implantation. Glycolytic metabolism is upregulated in the conceptus, thus the conceptus-derived lactate would serve as an embryonic signal to promote histone acetylation in the endometrium, which in turn participates in the regulation of redox homeostasis, apoptosis, cell proliferation, cell adhesion, and immune tolerance. Blue arrows represent lactate stimulation, red arrows represent ROS stimulation, and the green arrow represents ROS scavenging by GSH/GSSG homeostasis. ROS, reactive oxygen species.
conceptus-secreted and endometrial membrane partners (CD109-ALPL, PRX1L2A-HSP90AB1, and FN1-PTK7), as well as endometrium-secreted and conceptus-enriched partners (ALB-CLDN4, DCN-AGRN, and GC-LRP2).

Collectively, based on the well-established and potential receptor–ligand complexes, we constructed a map of conceptus–endometrium cross talk during implantation (Fig. 2A). On the one hand, conceptus-secreted proteins could interact with their endometrial membrane partners and remodel the endometrial receptivity by regulating the biological processes of cell adhesion, complement and coagulation cascades, metabolism, and oxidant detoxification. On the other hand, embryonic membrane proteins could respond to their endometrial secreted partners and orchestrate biological processes that are essential for conceptus survival and development, e.g., cell invasion, immune tolerance, and glycolysis. In addition, our in vitro and in vivo results of the physical interaction and physiological role of ALB-CLDN4–cell adhesion not only support the predictability of our constructed interaction map, but also suggest that our screened candidates may play a common role in mediating maternal–fetal cross talk among different species. Notably, though the in vitro implantation model used in our research provided convenient and direct evidence of successful or failed implantation, there remain more in-depth functional studies to unearth the role of our potential interactions at implantation.

Of interest, our constructed pathway network and functional experiments suggest a role of an enhanced glycolysis within the conceptus in stimulating endometrial remodeling. We proposed the cross talk model between the conceptus and endometrium (Fig. 4H): During conceptus implantation, glycolysis upregulation leads to enhanced lactate production. The appropriate concentration of lactate at the maternal–fetal interface may serve as an embryo-derived signal that can promote the histone lactylation modification in the endometrium, thus participating in the regulation of redox homeostasis, apoptosis, cell proliferation, cell adhesion, and immune tolerance in receptive endometrium. Particularly, embryonic lactate changed the ROS concentration, glutathione metabolism, and apoptosis in the endometrium, and the GSH/GSSG also scavenged ROS to prevent excessive ROS damage to the endometrium. It is also noteworthy that physiological functions of lactate in inducing histone lactylation and regulating downstream processes could be dose-dependent. High-level lactate over the physiological concentration could be toxic to both the embryo and the endometrium.

Lactate is the product of glycolysis, and sodium oxamate was extensively used as the lactate dehydrogenase inhibitor to block lactate production (64, 92, 93), despite its nonspecific inhibitory effect on pyruvate carboxylase (94). In our study, it is unlikely that possible inhibition of pyruvate carboxylase will contribute considerably to the reduced embryonic attachment and implantation following oxamate treatment. First, according to the public transcriptome data (48, 95), we showed that the expression level of pyruvate carboxylase is far less than lactate dehydrogenase both in sheep and mouse conceptuses by implantation stage (Fig. S6J). Second, only very little anaplerotic activity of pyruvate carboxylase was detected in nonstarved conditions (96, 97). Third, our gain-of-function experiments, in which we have rescued embryonic implantation by injecting lactate to oxamate-treated uterus, not only supported the important role of lactate in implantation, but also indicated that oxamate-induced pregnancy loss was mainly caused by inhibition of lactate production, rather than other nonspecific inhibitory effect of oxamate.

Taken together, our findings identified many putative molecular and cellular mechanisms that might be essential for achieving successful embryo implantation. A more comprehensive understanding of conceptus–endometrium cross talk at the protein level will provide important clues to develop clinical intervention strategies to improve pregnancy outcomes following both natural conception and assisted reproduction.

Experimental procedures

Experimental design

The proteomics of conceptuses, endometrial C areas, and IC areas in day 17 pregnant sheep were profiled. To avoid the ovine blastocysts of different quality implantation in natural pregnancy, causing aberrant cross talk between conceptuses and endometria, we carried the controlled and unified procedures of estrous synchronization, superovulation, artificial insemination (AI), good-quality blastocysts collected, selected, and transferred at day 6.5 of pregnancy, and sample collection at day 17. Considering the biological differences between individuals, we randomly divided samples to three biological replicates with 12 individuals each. In this way, individual differences could be minimized. Additionally, we conducted two technical replicates to avoid the technical error of proteomic detection. The schematic illustration of the experimental design is shown in Fig. S1A.

Ethics statement

The experiments were performed in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Agricultural Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching, and all procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the China Agricultural University (Beijing, China). Some publicly available data were analyzed to support our work. The endometrial proteomes of pregnant and pregnancy failed ewes during the peri-implantation period were obtained from Zhao et al. (40). Gene expression in endometrial samples from women with and without endometriosis was obtained from GSE135485. The ChIP-seq data of histone lactylation were from GSE115354 (64). The RNA-seq data of the endometrial luminal epithelium, endometrial glandular epithelium, and conceptus from ewes on day of pregnancy 12, 14, 16, and 20 were from GSE87017 (48). The RNA-seq data of the pre-receptive to receptive human endometrium were from Hu et al. (77).

Animals and treatment

Chinese Small Tail Han ewes with normal estrous cycles were selected for the present study. The procedures of estrous
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synchronization, superovulation, artificial insemination (AI), and transfer of good-quality blastocysts were performed as described in our previous study (40).

ICR female mice aged 7 to 8 weeks and ICR male mice aged 10 to 12 weeks were fed ad libitum and housed under controlled lighting conditions (12 light:12 dark). They were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. All animal experiments were approved by and performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of China Agricultural University.

Sample collection

We collected good-quality embryos from 30 donors at day 6.5 of pregnancy. Then, two well-developed blastocysts were transferred into each synchronized recipient ewe (48 synchronized ewes). Sampling procedures were similar to the methods detailed in our previous study (40). Briefly, all recipients were slaughtered at day 17 of pregnancy, and then their uteri were collected and the conceptuses were flushed out using phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Thirty-seven recipients whose uteri were collected and the conceptuses were transferred into each synchronized recipient ewe (48 synchronized ewes). Sampling procedures were similar to the methods detailed in our previous study (40). Brie fl

Protein extraction

We divided 36 samples into three equally pools, with 12 samples in each pool (Figs. 1A and S1A). Each pool was ground to powder in liquid nitrogen and stored overnight at −20 °C after adding a fivefold volume of chilled acetone containing 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and 10 mmol/l dithiothreitol (DTT). The samples were then centrifuged at 4 °C, 16,000g for 20 min, and the supernatant was discarded. The precipitates were mixed with 1 ml of chilled acetone containing 10 mmol/l DTT, stored for 30 min at −20 °C, and centrifuged at 4 °C, 20,000g for 30 min. Centrifugation was repeated several times until the supernatant was colorless. The pellets were air-dried, dissolved in lysis buffer containing 1 mmol/l phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), 2 mmol/l ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and 10 mmol/l DTT, and sonicated at 200 W for 15 min before being centrifuged at 30,000g at room temperature for 30 min. The protein concentration in the supernatant was then detected by using the Bradford method.

Peptide digestion

An equal amount of protein (50 μg) was taken from each sample, and then equivalent protein samples were prepared by adding 8 mol/l urea solution. To reduce disulfide bonds, the samples were incubated with 10 mmol/l DTT at 56 °C for 1 h, and then cysteine bonding was blocked using 55 mmol/l iodoacetamide (IAM) in a dark room for 45 min. Thereafter, each sample was diluted eightfold with 50 mmol/l ammonium bicarbonate and digested with Trypsin Gold at a protein:trypsin ratio of 20:1 at 37 °C for 16 h. Following desalting using a Strata X C18 column (Phenomenex), the samples were vacuum dried. Peptides generated from digestion were directly loaded for liquid chromatography–electrospray ionization tandem mass spectroscopy (LC-ESI-MS/MS) analysis.

LC-ESI-MS/MS analysis with a linear ion trap-orbitrap (LTQ-orbitrap) collision induced dissociation (CID)

Each sample was resuspended in buffer A [2% acetonitrile (ACN), 0.1% formic acid (FA)] and centrifuged at 20,000g for 10 min. The final peptide concentration for each sample was approximately 0.5 μg/ml. The digested samples were fractionated using a Shimadzu LC-20AD nano-high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (Shimadzu). Each sample (10 μl) was loaded by the autosampler onto a 2 cm C18 trap column (200 μm inner diameter), and the peptides were eluted onto a resolving 10 cm analytical C18 column (75 μm inner diameter) prepared in-house. The samples were loaded at a flow rate of 15 μl/min for 4 min, and then a 91 min gradient from 2% to 35% buffer B (98% ACN, 0.1% FA) was run at a flow rate of 400 nl/min, followed by a 5 min linear gradient to 80% buffer B that was maintained for 8 min before finally returning to 2% buffer B within 2 min. The peptides were subjected to nano-electrospray ionization and then detected by MS/MS in an LTQ Orbitrap Velos (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled online to an HPLC system. Intact peptides were detected in the Orbitrap analyzer at a resolution of 60,000 m/z. Peptides were selected for MS/MS using the CID operating mode with a normalized collision energy setting of 35%, and ion fragments were detected in the LTQ. One MS scan followed by ten MS/MS scans was applied for the ten most abundant precursor ions above a threshold ion count of 5000 in the MS survey scan. Dynamic exclusion was used, with the following parameters: Repeat counts = 2; repeat duration = 30 s; and exclusion duration = 120 s. The applied electrospray voltage was 1.5 kV. Automatic gain control (AGC) was used to prevent overfilling of the ion trap; 1 × 10^4 ions were accumulated in the ion trap to generate CID spectra. For MS scans, the m/z scan range was 350 to 2000 Da.

Proteomic analysis

MaxQuant software (version 1.1.1.36) was used to analyze the mass spectra. And the detailed methods are in Supporting Information.

Cell culture

A human endometrial cancer cell line (Ishikawa, ATCC) and Ishikawa cells were grown at 37 °C in fetal bovine serum (FBS) medium (DMEM/F-12, HEPES (Gibco) supplemented with 10% (Hyclone) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Invitrogen)) in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator.

Fluorescent immunocytochemistry

Ishikawa cells were cocultured with blastocysts 72 h, then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for further detection of
Analyses of differentially abundant proteins

To facilitate data analysis, all proteins were mapped to the Ensembl Bos Taurus gene ID. p values from student’s t test were corrected for multiple hypothesis tests using the FDR procedure (98). For each comparison, gene expression levels were considered significantly different when FDR < 0.05 and fold change (FC) >2. The protein quantification values of the conceptus, C area, IC area, and differentially abundant proteins of each comparison (conceptus versus C area and conceptus versus IC area) are shown in Table S1.

Annotations of differentially abundant membrane and secreted proteins

The annotations of membrane and secreted proteins were processed as described (Vento-Tormo et al. (32)). Briefly, differentially abundant proteins were mapped to UniProt (https://www.uniprot.org/), then KW-0964 (secreted) was used to screen out the secreted partners. KW-1003 (cell membrane) was used to screen out the plasma membrane proteins. Peripheral proteins from the plasma membrane were annotated using the UniProt Keyword SL-9903, and the remaining proteins were annotated as membrane proteins, which act as extracellular signal receptors. Interestingly, some proteins were annotated as both secreted proteins and membrane proteins, such as heat shock protein 90 alpha family class B member 1 (HSP90AB1) and elastin microfibril interager 1 (EMILIN1). The differentially abundant proteins or membrane proteins are shown in Table S2. Phenotype annotations of differentially abundant membrane proteins or secreted proteins were analyzed based on the MGI database (Mouse Genome Informatics, http://www.informatics.jax.org/phenotypes.shtml).

Construction of membrane-secreted partner interactions

To screen out valuable ligand–receptor complexes that may be essential for maternal–fetal cross talk, we used the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING version 11.0; https://string-db.org/), a well-established database of known and predicted protein–protein interactions that includes direct (physical) and indirect (functional) associations (45) to build the membrane-secreted partner interactions using edge information from three separate forms of evidence: databases, experiments, and text mining. Firstly, we inputted a secreted protein (or a membrane protein) to acquire its interacting partners and interaction scores. Then we mapped its interacting partners to UniProt to screen out the membrane partners (or secreted partners). Finally, we chose the membrane partner (or secreted partner) with the highest interaction score. In this way, we constructed the interactions of differentially abundant membrane proteins (or secreted proteins) with their secreted partners (or membrane partners). All the interactions are shown in Table S3.

Statistical analysis

The p value of Student’s t test was calculated using GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Inc) or R for individual analysis. It was considered significant when the p value < 0.05. Error bars represent the means ± SD (standard deviation). Details of individual tests are outlined within each figure legend.

The full details can be found in Supporting Information, including experimental procedures and reagents: proteomic analysis; Western blotting; the detection of lactate concentration; the detection of GSH/GSSG; measurement of ROS; cell viability assay; the detection of cell apoptosis; cell adhesion assay; preparation of mouse embryos; in vitro embryo implantation model and mouse embryo attachment assay; intrauterine injection; gene ontology (GO) and KEGG pathway analysis and pathway network construction; hierarchical clustering analysis, principal components analysis, GSEA, and protein–protein network construction; constructed the protein–protein docking model of ALB-CLDN4; real-time quantitative PCR analysis; fluorescent immunohistochemistry

Data availability

All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.
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