Genome-wide identification and expression profiling analysis of sucrose synthase (SUS) and sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) genes family in Actinidia chinensis and A. eriantha
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Abstract
Sucrose synthase (SUS) is a common sugar-base transfer enzyme in plants, and sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS) is one of the major enzymes in higher plants that regulates sucrose synthesis. However, information of the SPS and SUS gene families in Actinidia, as well as their evolutionary and functional properties, is limited. According to the SPS and SUS proteins conserved domain of Arabidopsis thaliana, we found 6 SPS genes and 6 SUS genes from A. chinensis (cultivar: 'Hongyang'), and 3 SPS genes and 6 SUS genes from A. eriantha (cultivar: ‘White’). The novel CDC50 conserved domains were discovered on AcSUS2, and all members of the gene family contain similar distinctive conserved domains. The majority of SUS and SPS proteins were hydrophilic, lipid-soluble enzymes that were expected to be found in the cytoplasm. The tertiary structure of SPS and SUS protein indicated that there were many tertiary structures in SPS, and there were windmill-type and spider-type tertiary structures in SUS. The phylogenetic tree was created using the neighbor-joining method, and members of the SPS and SUS gene families are grouped into three subgroups. Genes with comparable intron counts, conserved motifs, and phosphorylation sites were clustered together first. SPS and SUS were formed through replication among their own family members. AcSPS1, AcSPS2, AcSPS4, AcSPS5, AcSUS5, AcSUS6, AeSPS3, AeSUS3 and AeSUS4 were the important genes in regulating the synthesis and accumulation of sucrose for Actinidia during the fruit growth stages.
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Background
Key enzymes involved in sucrose production and accumulation include sucrose synthase (SUS, EC 2.4.1.13) and sucrose phosphate synthase (SPS, EC 2.4.1.14). SPS catalyzes the conversion of uridine diphosphate glucose (UDPG) and fructose-6-phosphoric acid (F6P) to sucrose-6-phosphoric acid (S6P), which is then irreversibly converted to sucrose by sucrose phosphatase (SPP) [1]. SPS is critical in the accumulation of sucrose because the direction is irreversible. SUS, on the other hand, is a reversible enzyme that allows sucrose to engage in a variety of metabolic activities, including tissue formation, material storage, and plant cell metabolism [2, 3]. A large number of studies have shown that sucrose accumulation during fruit development was closely related to the increased activity of SUS and
SPS [4–6]. As a result, identifying and analyzing SPS and SUS genes can give a theoretical foundation for plant growth and development as well as fruit quality formation.

SUS and SPS genes have been cloned in many species since SUS and SPS were first discovered in wheat germ in 1955 [7]. For example, SUS genes was cloned in carrot [8], Arabidopsis [9], sugarcane [10], citrus [11] and strawberry [12]. SPS genes were cloned in corn [13], apple [14], rice [15] and orange [16]. In recent years, an increasing amount of genome-wide data has been released, and SUS and SPS genes were discovered to exist in plants as a family. But the number of members varies greatly between different species, for example, peaches have 6 SUS genes [17], grapes have 5 SUS genes [18], oranges have 4 SPS genes [19] and pears have 17 SUS genes and 8 SPS genes [20]. Despite the fact that the number of SUS and SPS genes in various plants varies, their protein sequences are similar and contain certain distinct domains. SUS is thought to have conservative domains for sucrose-synth and glycos-transf-1, whereas SPS contains S6PP conservative domains in addition to the above two conservative domains. Furthermore, the expression features and roles of members of the gene family vary. OsSPS1 was found to be expressed preferentially in “source” organs, whereas OsSPS2, OsSPS6, and OsSPS8 were found in both “source” and “library” organs [21].

Kiwifruit is one of the domesticated fruit crops from the last century [22], which originated in China and is widely cultivated in New Zealand, Chile, Italy. Consumers are attracted to its fruit, flesh color, and nutrition, particularly A. eriantha, which has high vitamin C and other nutrients in its fruit. The genomes of Actinidia chinensis and A. eriantha have been sequenced in recent years [23, 24]. Benefitting from the publication of the genome of kiwifruit, a large number of functional genes and their gene family members involved in ascorbic acid, anthocyanin and resistance [25] had been reported. However, in-depth analysis of the genome data will be necessary. Previous research has discovered that sucrose makes up the majority of the sugar in A. eriantha [26], however, the relationship between fruit sucrose accumulation and SUS, SPS genes is still unclear. In order to understand the characteristics of the SUS and SPS family members of kiwifruit and their role in the sucrose accumulation, genome-wide identification and sequencing analysis of SUS and SPS genes in kiwifruit were performed. SUS and SPS were subjected to bioinformatics analysis, and the expression of genes in fruit at different growth stages was measured using qRT-PCR. Our findings pave the way for further research into the molecular mechanisms of sucrose accumulation in Actinidia.

Results
Identification of SPS and SUS gene families in kiwifruit
6 AcSPS genes, 6 AcSUS, 3 AeSPS and 6 AeSUS genes were discovered after searching the Kiwifruit Genome Database (A. chinensis ‘Hongyang’ and A. eriantha ‘White’). These genes were named AcSPS1-AcSPS6, AcSUS1-AcSUS6, AcSPS1-AcSPS3, and AeSUS1-AeSUS6, respectively. Table 1 contains detailed information on these genes, including their location and subcellular localization predictions. Except for AcSPS3, AcSPS6, AcSUS1, AcSUS5, and AcSUS6, which are irregularly distributed on chromosomes 5, 6, 10, 12, 13, 20, 21, 23, 26, and 28. According to the results of subcellular predictive localization, all the other SPS and SUS genes were located in cytoplasmic except AeSPS1 in nuclear, AcSUS2 in outer membrane and AeSUS1 in chloroplast.

As shown in Fig. 1, all SPS proteins obtained from the kiwifruit contain characteristic conserved domains PF00862, PF00534 and PF05116 contained in the SPS gene family, and all SUS proteins obtained from the kiwifruit contain characteristic conserved domains PF00862 and PF00534. It is worth noting that AcSUS2 has expanded out the new domain CDC50 (PF00381).

Physicochemical properties analysis
The analysis of physicochemical properties analysis showed that the number of amino acids, molecular weight, isoelectric point in SPS were 961–1068, 10809.21-120006.62 Da and 5.98–7.92, respectively, in SUS were 557–1027, 64009.90-116585.04 and 5.62–8.82, respectively (Table 2). In addition, all SUS and SPS proteins were lipid soluble, hydrophilicity proteins. The biggest difference between SUS and SPS proteins was in stability, all SUS proteins were unstable proteins, while SUS was stable proteins.

Prediction of secondary and tertiary structure of proteins
The secondary structures of SUS and SPS proteins were all composed of four structural patterns: α-helix, random coil, extend strand and β-turn (Supplementary file 1), and showed α-helix> random coil> extend strand> β-turn on all SUS and SPS proteins. It was also found that β-turn of SPS was higher than that of SUS. The tertiary structure of the protein indicates that all SPSs contain multiple tertiary structures, AcSPS3 had the most, with 6 tertiary structures. In addition, based on the tertiary structure of SUS protein, we infer that there were two kinds of stable tertiary structure of SUS protein on kiwifruit, which were
windmill type (e.g. AcSUS1, AeSUS1) and spider type (AeSUS3, AeSUS4 and AeSUS6-1) (Fig. 2), crucially, the latter only appeared on *A. eriantha*.

**Gene structure analysis**

The gene structure was analyzed according to the CDS sequences (Supplementary file 2) and the corresponding genome sequences (Supplementary file 3) (Fig. 3a), *AcSPS* and *AcSUS* contain 8–14 CDS and 9–17 CDS, respectively. As for *AeSPS* and *AeSUS*, they contain 13–14 CDS and 9–16 CDS, respectively (Fig. 3b).

**Conserved motif analysis**

MEME analyzed SPS and SUS protein sequences of *Actinidia*, all members of the SUS and SPS gene family members contain motif 1, motif 3 and motif 4, however, motif 5 and motif 7 only existed in SPS and motif 8 only in SUS (Fig. 4a). And identified 10 conserved motifs ranging in length from 29 to 50 amino acid residues (Fig. 4b).

**Phosphorylation site analysis**

Phosphorylation sites of all SUS and SPS proteins were analyzed (Fig. 5, Supplementary file 4), the results showed that the main phosphorylation site of *AcSPS* and *AeSPS* were serine. The main phosphorylation site of *AcSUS* was threonine, except for *AcSUS2*. And the main phosphorylation site of *AeSUS* was serine, except for *AeSUS2*.

**Promoter cis-element analysis**

Promoter cis-elements play an important regulatory role in plant growth and development. The analysis results of the promoter cis-element showed that there were many cis-acting elements related to hormones, stress and light in the promoter region (Fig. 6). Among them, we only found the ACE cis-acting element in the *AcSPS* and *AeSPS* genes, and GARE was only found in *AcSPS*. Interestingly, TCT-motif have not been found in *AeSPS* and *AcSUS*.

**Phylogenetic analysis**

To understand in-depth the evolutionary and phylogenetic relationships of SPS and SUS, a neighbor-joining phylogenetic tree was constructed using protein sequences from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *A. chinensis*, *Malus domestica*, *Pyrus bretschneideri* and *A. eriantha* (Fig. 7). SUS and SPS were divided into two families, of which the SPS family includes

---

**Table 1** Detailed information of SPS and SUS gene families in *Actinidia*

| Genes name | Genes ID                | Location                    | Subcellular predictive localization |
|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| AcSPS1     | Ach13g383801.2           | Chr 13: 11,492,092–11,507,975 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSPS2     | Ach06g074871.2           | Chr 6: 12,965,825–12,984,875 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSPS3     | Ach00g065491.2           | Chr 0: 26,451,767–26,460,535 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSPS4     | Ach06g354691             | Chr 6: 8,830,939–8,839,218   | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSPS5     | Ach10g218701             | Chr 10: 5,212,785–5,222,867  | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSPS6     | Ach00g471611.2           | Chr 0: 103,042,227–103,058,005 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSUS1     | Ach00g335801.2           | Chr 0: 87,008,273–87,018,638 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSUS2     | Ach21g388531.2           | Chr 21: 1,615,680–1,625,811  | Outer membrane                     |
| AcSUS3     | Ach23g024141.2           | Chr 23: 20,279,444–20,286,507 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSUS4     | Ach12g167901.2           | Chr 12: 12,786,216–12,791,743 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSUS5     | Ach00g240251             | Chr 0: 61,503,551–61,505,984 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AcSUS6     | Ach00g318231.2           | Chr 0: 84,918,425–84,925,401 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AeSPS1     | DTZ79_13g06220           | Chr 13: 6,047,439–6,061,745  | Nuclear                            |
| AeSPS2     | DTZ79_06g05460           | Chr 6: 7,916,729–7,927,173   | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AeSPS3     | DTZ79_10g06570           | Chr 10: 13,619,623–13,629,990 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AeSUS1     | DTZ79_20g14180           | Chr 20: 21,233,113–21,238,518 | Chloroplast                        |
| AeSUS2     | DTZ79_12g00380           | Chr 12: 402,170–407,809      | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AeSUS3     | DTZ79_11g10250           | Chr 21: 15,292,975–15,297,928 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AeSUS4     | DTZ79_05g01940           | Chr 05: 2,860,524–2,865,544  | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AeSUS5     | DTZ79_26g10540           | Chr 26: 17,935,857–17,940,483 | Cytoplasmic                        |
| AeSUS6     | DTZ79_28g13300           | Chr 28: 19,821,275–19,825,741 | Cytoplasmic                        |
Fig. 1 Protein conservative domains of the SUS and SPS gene families in *Actinidia*. The different colored boxes represent different protein sequences, the white box represents the full length of the protein, the red box represents the sucrose synthase domain (ID: PF00862), the yellow box represents the Glycose-transf-1 domain (ID: PF00534), the blue box represents the S6PP domain (ID: PF05116), and the green box represents the CDC50 domain (ID: PF00381).

Table 2 Physicochemical properties of SPS and SUS gene family proteins. Instability index more than 40 means unstable; aliphatic index less than 100 means lipid soluble protein; value of grand average of hydrophobicity being positive means hydrophobicity, while negative means hydrophilicity.

| Genes name | No. of amino acids | Molecular weight (Da) | Isoelectric point | Instability index | Aliphatic index | Grand average of hydrophobicity |
|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|
| AcSPS1     | 1061               | 119363.67             | 6.12             | 44.75            | 86.66          | -0.417                        |
| AcSPS2     | 961                | 108063.21             | 6.27             | 44.74            | 90.09          | -0.340                        |
| AcSPS3     | 979                | 108879.28             | 7.92             | 42.45            | 84.04          | -0.378                        |
| AcSPS4     | 1029               | 116473.64             | 6.28             | 45.19            | 83.02          | -0.500                        |
| AcSPS5     | 1012               | 114451.41             | 6.03             | 47.09            | 86.43          | -0.434                        |
| AcSPS6     | 1009               | 113275.63             | 6.07             | 46.81            | 85.14          | -0.467                        |
| AcSUS1     | 707                | 79655.85              | 5.84             | 35.81            | 91.30          | -0.188                        |
| AcSUS2     | 1027               | 116383.04             | 8.84             | 39.10            | 86.11          | -0.295                        |
| AcSUS3     | 678                | 77296.51              | 5.62             | 34.96            | 91.46          | -0.226                        |
| AcSUS4     | 635                | 73631.83              | 6.74             | 37.38            | 87.02          | -0.305                        |
| AcSUS5     | 557                | 64009.90              | 6.23             | 37.18            | 91.69          | -0.206                        |
| AcSUS6     | 674                | 76659.80              | 5.82             | 34.50            | 93.01          | -0.202                        |
| AeSPS1     | 1068               | 119880.10             | 5.98             | 41.90            | 85.64          | -0.387                        |
| AeSPS2     | 1065               | 120006.62             | 6.23             | 45.19            | 85.50          | -0.469                        |
| AeSPS3     | 1039               | 117934.47             | 6.61             | 46.76            | 84.37          | -0.472                        |
| AeSUS1     | 827                | 95135.86              | 6.13             | 35.71            | 94.40          | -0.183                        |
| AeSUS2     | 559                | 64055.08              | 6.77             | 36.49            | 90.48          | -0.145                        |
| AeSUS3     | 806                | 91434.90              | 6.66             | 35.52            | 85.78          | -0.294                        |
| AeSUS4     | 787                | 89046.69              | 7.56             | 34.59            | 81.16          | -0.379                        |
| AeSUS5     | 812                | 91789.47              | 8.04             | 37.26            | 87.60          | -0.243                        |
| AeSUS6     | 773                | 87788.68              | 6.75             | 34.86            | 85.94          | -0.255                        |
three subfamilies (A, B and C) and SUS family also includes three subfamilies (D, E and F). Group A has more G-Box cis-acting elements, group B and C consist of cytoplasmic SPSs. As for group E, AcSUS3, AcSUS6 and SUS family members of other species grouped together. Genes with a similar number of introns clustered together.

Multicollinearity analysis
TBtools was used to analyze the multicollinearity of SPS and SUS gene family members in kiwi-fruit, and a circle graph was drawn (Fig. 8). Three multicollinearity gene pairs were found in both A. chinensis (Fig. 8a) and A. eriantha (Fig. 8b), they were AcSPS1 and AcSPS3, AcSUS3 and AcSUS6, AcSUS4 and AcSUS5, AeSUS1 and AeSUS2, AeSUS3 and AeSUS4, AeSUS5 and AeSUS6. In addition, we found a multicollinearity relationship between AeSPS1, AeSUS5, AeSUS6 and other members of the non-SUS and SPS gene family. In the results of multicollinearity analysis with A. thaliana, 6 members of AcSUS and AcSPS gene families were found to have multicollinearity with A. thaliana (Fig. 8c), 4 members of AeSUS
and AeSPS gene families were found to have multicol-linearity with \textit{A. thaliana} (Fig. 8d).

**Gene expression of fruits in different growth stages**

We measured the relative expression of all genes, according to gene expression, genes can be divided into four groups: A, B, C and D (Fig. 9). Among them, the gene expression trend of group B was consistent with that of sucrose accumulation, it is very likely that the genes in group B play a key role in the metabolism of sucrose in the later stages of fruit development. While, the expression pattern of the genes in group D was completely opposite to that in group B, which may promote the decomposition of sucrose in the early stage, and decrease the expression in the later stage to promote the accumulation of sucrose. The peak of relative expression of group A at S3, and the relative expression level of group C was high in the early and late stages of fruit development. This suggests that different gene family members function at different stages of fruit development. In addition, the sucrose content of ‘Ganlv 2’ fruit in different development stages was measured, and it was found that sucrose content could not be detected before S6 stage, but increased sharply in the later stage of fruit development (Fig. 10a). The correlation analysis between genes and sucrose content showed that \textit{AeSPS3, AeSUS3, AeSUS4, AcSPS1, AcSPS2, AcSPS4, AcSUS5, and AcSUS6} were closely related to the regulation of sucrose (Fig. 10b).

**Discussion**

Comparative genomics was used in this study to screen out 6 AcSPS, 6 AcSPS, 3 AeSPS, and 6 AeSUS genes from the Genomic Database of Kiwifruit (\textit{A. chinensis} ‘Hongyang’ and \textit{A. eriantha} ‘White’), which was similar to \textit{Arabidopsis} [27] but significantly less than pear [20]. And the sucrose-synth and glycos-transf-1 domains expected of the SUS gene family, AcSUS2 also contains the specific structure domain CDC50, which is required for phospholipid translocation through the plasma membrane in \textit{saccharomyces cerevisiae} [28], therefore, it is speculated that AcSUS2 genes may be involved in the phospholipid transport of cytoplasm or mitochondria, this is similar to the research reported on pears [20]. Phosphorylation and other post-translational modifications are responsible for protein function and protein-protein interaction [29, 30]. Furthermore, phosphorylation events involved various cellular processes affecting the subcellular localization and stability of target proteins [31, 32]. In the present study, more phosphorylation sites were predicted in SPS proteins than SUS proteins in \textit{A. chinensis} and \textit{A. eriantha}, indicating that SPSs are more influenced by post-translation modification events.

The tertiary structure is further coiled and folded on the basis of the secondary structure, and a better understanding of them could help us better understand gene function. Previous researches [19, 20] have missed the tertiary structure analysis of members of the SUS and
SPS gene families. In our research, we noticed that AcSPS and AeSPS have more tertiary structures than AcSUS and AeSUS, which is one of the reasons why all SPS proteins are unstable. It's worth noting that members of the SUS gene family had two common tertiary structure types (windmill and spider), whereas SPS had a wide range of tertiary structures. The spider-like secondary structure was discovered solely in *A. eriantha*. It needs to be seen whether these two tertiary structures cause the enzyme to serve a different role. At present, the function of sucrose synthase is known to break down sucrose, so that sucrose can be widely involved in plant metabolism and the composition of cell structure [33].

Previous studies have found that the gene intron/exon sequencing characteristics are crucial for understanding gene function and evolutionary relationships [34]. In
this study, the genetic structure and conserved motifs of SPS and SUS were found to be very similar to those of that in plants [35], we found that the number of introns and CDS among members of SUS and SPS gene families was consistent, indicating that SUS and SPS genes were highly conserved during the evolutionary process. The results of conserved motif analysis also confirm this view, many SUS and SPS family members share the same conserved motifs. That's one of the main reasons why some genes came together in the phylogenetic tree. According to the SUS subfamily classification on Arabidopsis, D, E and F correspond to SUS2 subfamily, SUSA subfamily and SUS1 subfamily of Arabidopsis, respectively, this was consistent with the previous studies [19, 20, 36]. The multicollinearity analysis of SPS and SUS shows that SPS and SUS are generated by replication among their own family members. However, we found three abnormal cases, AeSPS1, AeSUS5 and AeSUS6. Among them, AeSPS1 was copied by a gene on chromosome 14, but this gene was not a member of the AeSPS gene family. Through conserved domain analysis, we found that it lacks a characteristic conserved domain. This is most likely due to the deletion of fragments that occur during gene replication. The same is true for AeSUS5 and AeSUS6.

Gene promoter analysis showed that all members of the SPS and SUS family have multiple light-response elements, hormone regulatory elements and stress regulatory elements. These findings suggested that several homologous genes were formed gradually over the development of plants, avoiding the scenario in which plant growth was slowed or even stopped owing to the loss of function of a single gene due to mutation. SPS and SUS genes, on the other hand, are involved in stress management as well as growth and development processes. The cis-elements of genes that were highly related to sucrose were analyzed, and it was discovered that the
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|       | Serine | Threonine | Tyrosine |
|-------|--------|-----------|----------|
| AcSPS1| 34     | 9         | 9        |
| AcSPS2| 29     | 11        | 9        |
| AcSPS3| 35     | 7         | 12       |
| AcSPS4| 37     | 11        | 10       |
| AcSPS5| 42     | 9         | 13       |
| AcSPS6| 35     | 8         | 9        |
| AeSUS1| 13     | 11        | 8        |
| AeSUS2| 24     | 16        | 12       |
| AeSUS3| 11     | 14        | 9        |
| AeSUS4| 8      | 14        | 7        |
| AeSUS5| 7      | 9         | 5        |
| AeSUS6| 10     | 12        | 8        |
| AcSPS1| 36     | 12        | 11       |
| AcSPS2| 38     | 11        | 11       |
| AcSPS3| 44     | 10        | 12       |
| AeSUS1| 18     | 12        | 9        |
| AeSUS2| 6      | 11        | 6        |
| AeSUS3| 19     | 11        | 10       |
| AeSUS4| 17     | 11        | 9        |
| AeSUS5| 16     | 12        | 7        |
| AeSUS6| 14     | 10        | 9        |
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*Fig. 5* Phosphorylation site analysis of SPS and SUS proteins. The numbers in the first, second and third columns respectively represent the number of serine, threonine and tyrosine in the corresponding protein sequence.
cis-elements of \(\text{AeSPS}\) and \(\text{AcSPS}\) promoters were primarily light-responsive related elements, with Box-4 elements accounting for the majority; \(\text{AeSUS}\) and \(\text{AcSUS}\) promoters were primarily stress-related elements, with ARE elements accounting for the majority; and \(\text{AcSUS}\) and \(\text{AeSUS}\) promoters were primarily stress-related elements, with SPS gene members involved in sucrose regulation were also predicted to play a role in light response, whereas SUS gene members play a role in stress [20].

Previous researches [26, 37] indicated that kiwifruit was a high-sucrose accumulation fruit, which is consistent with our research results, and we also found that sucrose was accumulated in the late stage. As is known to all, the concentration of sucrose in fruits is regulated by a variety of sucrose metabolism enzymes, including NIV, SUS, and SPS [6, 38]. The accumulating mechanism of sucrose can be better understood by determining the expression levels of enzyme genes at different stages of fruit growth. The findings of gene expression profiling revealed that most SPS genes showed a tendency of increased expression with fruit ripening, while \(\text{AcSPS1}, \text{AcSPS2}, \text{AcSPS4}, \text{AcSPS5}\) and \(\text{AeSPS3}\) had the highest correlation coefficients. It was shown that these genes may have a role in the high-sucrose accumulation type of kiwifruit, which is similar to the findings of apple and melon study [39, 40]. One of the most interesting findings was that most SPS genes, including \(\text{AcSPS5}\), were significantly expressed at harvest time, suggesting that SPS genes were involved in sucrose accumulation in the fruit’s late stages. Although \(\text{AcSPS6}, \text{AcSPS1}\), and \(\text{AeSPS2}\) were expressed in the early stages, invertase activity was strong in the early stages, resulting in invertase-mediated hydrolysis of the synthesized sucrose [3]. So, it didn’t promote the accumulation of sucrose in the fruit. According to studies on \textit{Arabidopsis}, \(\text{AtSPS4}\) mutation reduced the activity of sucrose phosphate synthase by only 13%, indicating that \(\text{AtSPS4}\) had minimal effect on sucrose accumulation in \textit{Arabidopsis} [41]. However, \(\text{AtSPS4}\) and \(\text{AcSPS5}\) were in the same subfamily according to the results of phylogenetic tree, and the expression of \(\text{AcSPS5}\) was the highest and consistent with the sucrose trend. This is most likely due to species differences, or it might be that \textit{Arabidopsis} does not have a high sucrose content and that the reduced activity of SPS has little effect on its sucrose. Some gene expression patterns, such as \(\text{AcSUS6}, \text{AeSUS3}, \text{and AeSUS4}\), ran counter to the sucrose content trend. This suggests that these were primarily responsible for the overall activity of SUS [39].

**Conclusions**

In this study, we identified 6 SPS genes and 6 SUS genes from \(A. \text{chinensis}\) (cultivar: ‘Hongyang’), and 3 SPS genes and 6 SUS genes from \(A. \text{eriantha}\) (cultivar: ‘White’). We carried out bioinformatics analysis of these genes, and detected the expression levels of these genes during the growth and development of kiwifruit. The results showed that \(\text{AcSPS1}, \text{AcSPS2}, \text{AcSPS4}, \text{AcSPS5}, \text{AeSUS5}, \text{AeSUS6}, \text{AeSPS3}, \text{AeSUS3}\)
and AeSUS4 were the important genes in regulating the synthesis and accumulation of sucrose for *Actinidia*. Our work would provide a basis for further study on the molecular mechanism of sucrose accumulation in *Actinidia*.

**Materials and methods**

**Materials**

*A. eriantha* ‘Ganlv 2’ has been formally identified by Professor Xu of Jiangxi Agricultural University (the new plant cultivar number is 20,191,004,327) [42] and used
as the experimental material, were grown in the kiwifruit germplasm nursery of Fengxin County, Jiangxi Province, China. After getting permission from the orchard owner, we selected six plants with the same growth status, and each two plants were used as a biological repeat. Study protocol comply with relevant institutional, national, and international guidelines and legislation. Flesh from seven different periods were used for genes expression, they were 25 days after full bloom (DAF) (S1), 50 DAF (S2), 75 DAF (S3), 100 DAF (S4), 125 DAF (S5), 135 DAF (S6) and 145 DAF (S7), respectively, and four fruit were collected from the four directions of the vines each time. At 145 DAF, the fruit reached the commercial harvest standard (soluble solid content = 6.5% [43]. The harvested fruit
were put in liquid nitrogen containers and instantly carried back to the lab for measured the expression of genes.

Identification of AcSUS and AcSPS
The AtSUS and AtSPS protein sequences (Supplementary file 6) were obtained from Arabidopsis thaliana germplasm information database (http://www.arabidopsis.org), and the candidate sequence of AcSPS, AcSUS, AeSPS and AeSUS were obtained by Blastp analysis in kiwifruit database (http://kiwifruitgenome.org/). The candidate sequences were detected by Pfam (http://pfam.xfam.org) and Uniprot (http://www.uniprot.org/) for whether they contained conserved sucrose synth domain (PF00862), glycose-transf-1 domain (PF00534) and S6PP domain (PF05116). The sequences containing the sucrose synth (PF00862), glycose-transf-1 (PF00534) were selected as candidate sequences of the SUS gene family members (Supplementary file 7), the sequences containing the sucrose synth (PF00862), Glycose-transf-1 (PF00534) and S6PP (PF05116) were selected as candidate sequences of the SPS gene family members (Supplementary file 8).

Bioinformatics analysis
ProtParam (https://web.expasy.org/protparam/) predicted Physicochemical properties. NPSA (https://npsa-prabi.ibcp.fr/cgi-bin/npsa_automat.pl?page=npsa_sopma.html) and SWISS-MODEL (https://swissmodel.expasy.org/) predicted the secondary structure and tertiary structure, respectively. Netphos 2.0 Server (http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetPhos/) was used to analyze phosphorylation sites, with a prediction threshold of 0.5. Gene Structure Display Server (http://gsds.gao-lab.org/index.php) [44] was used to analyze gene structure after extracting genomic and CDS sequences with TBtools [45]. MEME (https://meme-suite.org/meme/tools/meme) [46] was used to examine the conserved motifs, with the number of motifs set to 10. MEGA software (version 5.05) was used to create an unrooted phylogenetic tree using the neighbor-joining method, with 1000 bootstrap repetitions. TBtools [45] performed multicollinearity analysis among gene family members. Also, TBtools was used to extract the 2000 bp sequence upstream of the gene coding base (ATG), then using PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/) to analyze the promoter cis-regulatory element.
Fig. 10 Sucrose content during fruit growth and development (a) and correlation analysis between genes and sucrose content (b). S1 to S7 represent different developmental stages of the fruit, representing 25 d, 50 d, 75 d, 125 d, 130 d, 145 d and 160 d after flowering, respectively. The number in the box was the Pearson coefficient, **" means that the two was significant at the 0.05 level, and ***" means that the two was significant at the 0.01 level.

Table 3 Designed Primers of quantitative real-time PCR

| Gene Name | Forward primer sequence | Reverse primer sequence |
|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| AcPS1     | CTATCAATGACAAGAAAGGCGAAA | GCAACCGTGAAGCCCTGAAATCCT |
| AcPS2     | ATGCTTTTCACCTGTCACCTACT  | CATCACAGAGCCATGCTGC    |
| AcPS3     | TTCTGAAATGCGTCTTTGGG    | TCGTCTTCAACATGTCTGTC   |
| AcPS4     | ACGAGCACCACAGGAGAGATT   | CCACAAACATTGCTGAATCCATA |
| AcPS5     | GAGGAGGAAGAGGATGATGCG   | CTCGACCTCAGTGCTGAAATC  |
| AcPS6     | CAATGGCGTTCTGATGATGT    | GGGTCTGGAAGATGGCTGAT   |
| AcSUS1    | AAACCTGAGGATTCTCTGGAACCT | ATTTCTTGATGTGCTGTGAT  |
| AcSUS2    | GGTGCTTACAGAAGGCTCAG    | CCACGTCTAAACCTTTGCTC   |
| AcSUS3    | TCAAGATAACACTTGTGATGCGC | CAGTGGCAAGATGGCGAGAC   |
| AcSUS4    | TTGGAGCTATCCTGACACTGTG  | CAAATGAGATGGCGGATAGA   |
| AcSUS5    | ACAAAGGCTTATCATCCTTCACA | TGACCTCTTCACATCATGTAACCC |
| AcSUS6    | ATCAAAAGCCCATATCCTCTC    | TGACCTCTTCACATCATGTAACCC |
| AePS1     | TATGCTTGCTGCTTCTGAAA    | TCCTGCTGCTGATTTTCTGGT  |
| AePS2     | GGCCTTGAATAATGTGCTGC    | TCAAGTATGTGCGGAGGT    |
| AePS3     | GGCCTTTGATAATGTGCTGC    | TCAAGTATGTGCGGAGGT    |
| AeSUS1    | ACGCTTGTGGCCTATGTTAGCC  | CTCGAAAGATGCGTGTATTAT |
| AeSUS2    | GAGGACAAGGAGCGAGATGAGA  | CCGTCAACCCAAACGGCTCA   |
| AeSUS3    | TACTGCGGAAGAGATGGAGGGA  | ACAATGACCCCTGCGGCCACC  |
| AeSUS4    | GAGTCTCTTCATGATGG     | AGTTCCACAGAATTCCTCAAGGAT |
| AeSUS5    | TGAGAAAAGGTTGCGGAGAATAA | AAGTAACCGTGGCGACGAAGA  |
| AeSUS6    | ATGGTCTTGGCCAGTGGAG    | GTCGCAAGATTTCTTGTGAC  |
Determination of sucrose content
Sucrose was determined by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) [47]. Mobile phase: A (purified water after degassing) and B (0.8% acetonitrile solution), the flow rate was 0.2 mL/min. The column temperature was 30 °C, and the injection volume was 2 µL. The sucrose content was calculated according to the peak area of the sample and the external standard curve, and the standard sample was purchased from Sigma.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR
RNA extraction and qRT-PCR were performed with our previous methods [48]. Using Primer 5 to design qPCR primer (Table 3) and the β-actin in the kiwifruit was considered as the control gene for normalization [49]. Finally, the relative expressions were calculated using the 2−ΔΔCt method [50].

Date analysis
The experimental data was statistically analyzed by Origin 2018. R × 64 3.5.0 was used for make gene expression heat map. Significant analysis and difference analysis were analyzed by IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0.

Abbreviations
SUS: Sucrose synthase; SPS: Sucrose phosphate synthase; DAF: Days after full bloom; Chr: Chromosome; CDS: Coding sequence.

Supplementary information
The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-022-03603-y.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions
GLL: Data analysis. Writing-Original draft preparation. HLW, YQL and QL: Collected fruit materials and measured gene expression. MZ: Test sugar content. DJF and CHH: Bioinformatics analysis. XBX: Writing-Reviewing and Editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This work was found by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 32160692, 31960588) and Jiangxi Science and Technology Department (key research and development plan, Grant No. 20192AB60002).

Availability of data and materials
The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are included in the article. The public databases used in this research are all open, and links to all databases are follows. Kiwifruit Genome Database (A. chinensis ‘Hongyang’): http://kiwifruitgenome.org/; Arabidopsis thaliana germline information database: http://www.arabidopsis.org; Pfam: http://pfam.xfam.org; Uniprot: http://www.uniprot.org; PlantCare: https://www.plantcare.bio; PlantCARE: http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html; Netphos 2.0 Server: http://www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetPhos/.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate
Not applicable.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Received: 7 August 2021 Accepted: 18 April 2022
Published online: 26 April 2022

References
1. Lunn JE. Sucrose-phosphatase gene families in plants. Gene. 2003;303(1):187–96.
2. Ruan YL, Choureul PS, Delmer DP, Perez-Grau L. The differential expression of sucrose synthase in relation to diverse patterns of carbon partitioning in developing cotton seed. Plant Physiol. 1997;115(2):375–85.
3. Tanase K, Yamaki S. Sucrose synthase isozymes related to sucrose accumulation during fruit development of Japanese pear (Pyrus pyrifolia Nakai). Engei Gakkai Zasshi. 2000;69(6):671–6.
4. Wind J, Smeekens S, Hanson J. Sucrose: metabolite and signaling molecule. Phytochemistry. 2010;71(13):1610–4.
5. Patrick WJ, Botha FC, Birch BG. Metabolic engineering of sugars and simple sugar derivatives in plants. Plant Biotechnol J. 2013;11(2):142–56.
6. Liao GL, Zhong M, Huang CH, Jia DF, Xu XB. Progress in research on sugar metabolism and related enzyme genes in fruit. Acta Agriculturae Universitas Jiangxiensis. 2020;42(1):187–95.
7. Leloir LF, Cardini CE. The biosynthesis of sucrose phosphate. J Biol Chem. 1995;249(1):157–65.
8. Šebková V, Unger C, Hardegger M, Sturm A. Biochemical, physiological, and molecular characterization of sucrose synthase from Daucus carota. Plant Physiol. 1995;108(1):75–83.
9. Haouazine-Takvorian N, Tymowska-Lalanne Z, Takvorian A, Teegar J, Lejeune B, Lecharny A, Kres M. Characterization of two members of the Arabidopsis thaliana gene family, Atβfruct4 and Atβfruct4, coding for vacuolar invertases. Gene 1997; 197(1–2):239–251.
10. Lingle SE, Dyer JM. Cloning and expression of sucrose synthase-1 cDNA from sugarcane. J Plant Physiol. 2001;158(1):129–31.
11. Komatsu A, Moriguchi K, Koyama K, Omura M, Akihama T. Analysis of sucrose synthase genes in citrus suggests different roles and phylogenetic relationships. J Exp Botany. 2002;53(366):61–71.
12. Zhao C, Hua LH, Liu XF, Li YZ, Shen YC, Guo JX. Sucrose synthase FaSS1 plays an important role in the regulation of strawberry fruit ripening. Plant Growth Regul. 2017;81(1):1–7.
13. Worell AC, Bruneau JM, Summerfelt K, Boesing M, Voelker TA. Expression of a maize sucrose phosphate synthase in tomato alters leaf carbohydrate partitioning. Plant Cell. 1991;3(10):1121–30.
14. Ross GA, Johanna P, Toshiyuki M, Gavin SR, Elspeth AM. A stress-, pathogen- and allergen-related cDNA in Arabidopsis thaliana is also ripening-related. N J Crop Hortic Sci. 1996;24(1):10–17.
15. Valdez-Alarcón JJ, Ferrando M, Salegno G, Jimenez-Moralba B, Herrera-Estrella L. Characterization of a rice sucrose-phosphate synthase-encoding gene. Gene 1996; 170(2):217–222.
