Specific tracheal migration is mediated by complementary expression of cell surface proteins
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Migration of the Drosophila tracheal cells relies on cues provided by nearby cells; however, little is known about how these signals specify a migratory path. Here we investigate the role of cell surface proteins in the definition of such a pathway. We have found that the PS1 integrin is required in the tracheal cells of the visceral branch, whereas the PS2 integrin is required in the visceral mesoderm; both integrins are necessary for the spreading of the visceral branch over its substratum. This is the first identification of a cell surface molecule with expression restricted to a subset of tracheal cells that all migrate in a given direction. We have also found that expression of PS1 in the visceral branch is regulated by the genes that direct tracheal cell migration, showing that integrin expression is part of the cell-fate program that they specify. These results support a model in which signal transduction determines the tracheal migratory pathways by regulating the expression of cell surface proteins, which in turn interact with surface molecules on the surrounding cell population.
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Cell migration is a widespread phenomenon in many biological processes, both in development and in the adult organism. Migrating cells extend processes that adhere to substrates and these adhesions are required to pull the cell; these have to be subsequently released to permit cell locomotion. In addition, cells move in an ordered pattern, following particular paths of migration, probably by recognition of distinct cues and substrates. Thus, the establishment of specific interactions between cells and their substrates is a crucial step in migration, a process ultimately determined by molecules expressed at their surface.

The tracheal system of Drosophila is a particularly tractable model for the study of cell migration, and, in particular, of the mechanisms that guide cells to migrate in specific directions (for review, see Hogan and Yingling 1998; Metzger and Krasnow 1999). The larval tracheal system of Drosophila is a complex tubular network that conducts oxygen from the exterior to the internal tissues. It arises from the tracheal placodes, clusters of ectodermal cells that appear at each side of 10 embryonic segments, from the second thoracic segment to the eighth abdominal segment. The cells of each cluster invaginate and migrate in different and stereotyped directions to form each of the primary tracheal branches (Manning and Krasnow 1993). The general conclusion from many studies is that the direction of migration of the tracheal cells relies on a set of positional cues provided by nearby cells. On the one hand, branchless (bnl), a gene encoding an FGF homolog, is expressed around the developing tracheal system in clusters of cells at each position in which a new branch will form and grow. Activation of the Breathless (Btl) receptor in the tracheal cells by Bnl is thought to stimulate and guide tracheal migration toward these positions (Sutherland et al. 1996). On the other hand, Dpp, EGF, and Wnt signaling have a role in the choice between the alternative directions of migration (Llimargas and Casanova 1997; Vincent et al. 1997; Wappner et al. 1997; Chihara and Hayaishi 2000, Llimargas 2000). However, it is not known how the signals from Dpp, EGF, and Wnt specify a particular migratory path or what cell surface proteins are used by the tracheal cells to interact with their specific substrates. In this work we have investigated the role of cell adhesion receptors of the integrin family in the definition of a migratory pathway.

Results

Migration of the tracheal visceral branches

We have focused our study on the tracheal cells that migrate over the visceral mesoderm to form the visceral.
branches, the ramifications of the tracheal tree that transport oxygen to the gut. They arise as small bulges in some of the centrally located pairs of tracheal pits (TP). In particular, visceral branches arise from six pairs of tracheal pits, TP2 and TP4 to TP8 (for a review of visceral branch formation, see Manning and Krasnow 1993). A distinctive feature of these branches is their direction of migration; whereas formation of the other primary branches is guided by \( bnl \) expression in small clusters of epidermal cells, the outgrowth of the visceral branch is directed toward clusters of \( bnl \)-expressing cells in the mesoderm (Sutherland et al. 1996). At stage 11, the tracheal pits are located just over the clusters of the fat body precursor cells that are organized in metameric primordia (Franch-Marro and Casanova 2000). It is between these discrete regions of fat body precursors that the cells of the visceral branch contact the cells of the visceral mesoderm (Fig. 1A–D). Then, the cells of most visceral branches migrate anteriorly along the visceral mesoderm, with the exception of some cells of the branch derived from TP8, which migrate posteriorly (Fig. 1E–H). As development of the gut progresses, the visceral branches remain in contact with the visceral mesoderm and follow the convolutions that occur during formation of gut constrictions (Fig. 1I,J). Subsequently, fine branches form at positions in which visceral branches contact the gut.

**Specific expression of \( \alpha PS1 \) in the tracheal cells of the visceral branches**

Only the tracheal cells that will form the visceral branch establish a distinct association with the visceral mesoderm. A mechanism to explain such a specific association could involve particular cell surface proteins being expressed in a complementary way in both the visceral mesoderm substrate and the migrating tracheal cells. We have described previously a similar scenario in which the visceral mesoderm serves as a substrate for the migrating primordial midgut cells. In this case, the migration requires a combination of \( PS1 \) and \( PS3 \) integrins in the migrating cells, and the \( PS2 \) integrin in the visceral mesoderm substratum (Martin-Bermudo et al. 1999). Integrins are a class of cell surface molecules formed as heterodimers of two single-pass type I transmembrane proteins, an \( \alpha \) and a \( \beta \) subunit (Hynes 1992; Cheresh and Mecham 1994). The *Drosophila* position-specific (PS) integrins are most similar to the \( \beta 1 \) family of vertebrate integrins, and one \( \beta PS \) subunit is likely to form heterodimers with all five of the \( \alpha PS \) subunits encoded by

---

**Figure 1.** Migration of the tracheal visceral branches. ([A] The tracheal pits in an embryo at stage 11. Tracheal cells are visualized with an anti-\( \beta \)-galactosidase antibody in embryos from the 1-eve-1 enhancer trap line and the visceral mesoderm is detected by an anti-FasIII antibody. [B] Detail of an embryo at the same stage showing the tracheal cells [tr; in brown] and the visceral mesoderm [vm; in black]. Note the cells of the visceral branches reaching the visceral mesoderm. [C] Detail of an embryo at the same stage showing the visceral mesoderm [in brown as above] and the nuclei of the fat body precursor cells [fb; in black; detected by an anti-Srp antibody]. Note the visceral branches between the groups of fat body precursor cells. [D] Schematic representation of the initial development of the visceral branches reaching the visceral mesoderm between the fat body precursors. [E–L] Tracheal cells are visualized in red by staining embryos carrying \( btl \)-GAL4 and UAS-\( \tau \)-lacZ constructs with an anti-\( \beta \)-galactosidase antibody. We used an anti-FasIII antibody [in green] to visualize the visceral mesoderm [vm]. [E,F] Lateral view of a stage 12 embryo. The tracheal visceral branches [E] follow the underlying visceral mesoderm [F]. [G–J] Dorsal views. From stage 13 [G] to stage 16 [J], the visceral branches migrate along the visceral mesoderm; they follow the newly formed gut constrictions [I] and ramify, to finally encompass all of the intestinal tract [J]. [K,L] Higher magnification of anterior visceral branches of a stage 13 embryo [K] and posterior visceral branches of a stage 14 embryo [L] showing the tight association between the tracheal cells and the visceral mesoderm.
the Drosophila genome [Brown et al. 2000]. As with the majority of integrins, the PS integrins are thought to function by binding ligands within the extracellular matrix. To see whether integrins might be playing a role in tracheal migration, we examined their expression in the tracheal cells. We have found that around stage 11, the αPS1 mRNA is specifically expressed in those tracheal cells that give rise to the visceral branch, although we also detect weak expression in the dorsal cells (Fig. 2B–D). Localized expression in the visceral branch is more clearly evident at stage 12, during germ-band retraction, when the main branches appear as protrusions [Fig 2A,E–G]. αPS1 mRNA expression is maintained during stage 13 as the visceral branch grows, and is lost by stage 14 [Fig. 2H–J]. We have also analyzed whether there is expression of the αPS2 subunit in the tracheal cells, and did not detect expression [data not shown].

Complementary integrin requirement in the tracheal cells of the visceral branches and in their migratory substrate

The specific expression of the αPS1 subunit prompted us to analyze its role in the development of the visceral branch. The αPS1 subunit is encoded by the multiple edematus wings (mew) gene [Brower et al. 1995]. We have found that in mew mutant embryos the visceral branches fail to develop properly (Fig. 3D). We observe that although the visceral branches migrate normally toward the gut and reach and contact the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3E], they do not migrate normally along the visceral mesoderm (Figs. 3F and 4A). At a stage when the cells of the visceral branches have migrated along the visceral mesoderm in wild-type embryos, in mew mutant embryos, the visceral branches cells remain at their initial point of contact with the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3F]. Some delayed migration can also be observed at later stages. Other aspects of visceral branch development are not affected in mew mutant embryos; for instance, the mispositioned visceral branches form fine branches later in development [Fig. 3D].

As the PS2 integrin is expressed in the visceral mesoderm, the substrate of visceral branch migration, we have addressed whether it is also required for visceral branch formation. The αPS1 subunit is encoded by the multiple edematus wings (mew) gene [Brower et al. 1995]. We have found that in mew mutant embryos the visceral branches fail to develop properly (Fig. 3D). We observe that although the visceral branches migrate normally toward the gut and reach and contact the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3E], they do not migrate normally along the visceral mesoderm (Figs. 3F and 4A). At a stage when the cells of the visceral branches have migrated along the visceral mesoderm in wild-type embryos, in mew mutant embryos, the visceral branches cells remain at their initial point of contact with the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3F]. Some delayed migration can also be observed at later stages. Other aspects of visceral branch development are not affected in mew mutant embryos; for instance, the mispositioned visceral branches form fine branches later in development [Fig. 3D].

As the PS2 integrin is expressed in the visceral mesoderm, the substrate of visceral branch migration, we have addressed whether it is also required for visceral branch formation. The αPS1 subunit is encoded by the multiple edematus wings (mew) gene [Brower et al. 1995]. We have found that in mew mutant embryos the visceral branches fail to develop properly (Fig. 3D). We observe that although the visceral branches migrate normally toward the gut and reach and contact the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3E], they do not migrate normally along the visceral mesoderm (Figs. 3F and 4A). At a stage when the cells of the visceral branches have migrated along the visceral mesoderm in wild-type embryos, in mew mutant embryos, the visceral branches cells remain at their initial point of contact with the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3F]. Some delayed migration can also be observed at later stages. Other aspects of visceral branch development are not affected in mew mutant embryos; for instance, the mispositioned visceral branches form fine branches later in development [Fig. 3D].

As the PS2 integrin is expressed in the visceral mesoderm, the substrate of visceral branch migration, we have addressed whether it is also required for visceral branch formation. The αPS1 subunit is encoded by the multiple edematus wings (mew) gene [Brower et al. 1995]. We have found that in mew mutant embryos the visceral branches fail to develop properly (Fig. 3D). We observe that although the visceral branches migrate normally toward the gut and reach and contact the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3E], they do not migrate normally along the visceral mesoderm (Figs. 3F and 4A). At a stage when the cells of the visceral branches have migrated along the visceral mesoderm in wild-type embryos, in mew mutant embryos, the visceral branches cells remain at their initial point of contact with the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3F]. Some delayed migration can also be observed at later stages. Other aspects of visceral branch development are not affected in mew mutant embryos; for instance, the mispositioned visceral branches form fine branches later in development [Fig. 3D].

As both αPS1 and αPS2 subunits form heterodimers with the βPS subunit, mutations in the myospheroid (mys) gene encoding this subunit will also simultaneously eliminate the PS1 and PS2 integrins [Brower et al. 1984; Wilcox et al. 1984; Leptin et al. 1989]. The βPS subunit is maternally deposited in the embryo, and, therefore, to examine the effect of complete loss of the βPS subunit, we have generated embryos lacking both maternal and zygotic mys expression by making germ-line clones [referred to hereafter as mys mutant embryos] (see Material and Methods). All mys mutant embryos exhibit a failure in the migration of the cells of the visceral branches along the visceral mesoderm similar to those observed in the αPS mutants [Fig. 3J–L]. However, in mys mutant embryos, some visceral branches detach from the visceral mesoderm [Fig. 3L], suggestive of a more extensive failure in the establishment of cell–cell interactions than in mutants for individual αPS subunits. Some mys− embryos also show gaps in the dorsal trunk, the more prominent branch of the tracheal tree, and defects in the dorsal branches [Fig. 3K]. Thus, the
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Figure 3. Migration of the visceral branches is impaired in integrin mutants. We examined the tracheal mutant phenotypes by using either the mAb2A12 antibody, which recognizes the tracheal lumen in stage 15 embryos \( A\), \( D\), \( G\), \( J\) or using a combination of \( btl\)-GAL4/UAS-tau-lacZ constructs and anti-FasIII antibody to analyze the relation between the tracheal cells and the mesoderm in stage 13 \( B\), \( E\), \( H\) and stage 15 embryos \( C\), \( F\), \( I\), \( L\). \( A\)–\( C\) Wild-type embryos. \( D\) In mutant embryos for \( \alpha PS1\), the visceral branches (arrowhead) are shorter compared with the wild type, but they do have fine branches. Earlier in development, they reach and contact the visceral mesoderm \( E\), but then they do not migrate along the mesoderm (arrow) \( F\). \( G\)–\( I\) The same defects on visceral branch migration are observed in mutants for \( \alpha PS2\), although they are often milder. \( J\)–\( L\) Mutant embryos for \( \beta PS\) also exhibit a failure in visceral branch migration similar to those observed in \( \alpha PS\) mutants. \( L\) In addition, visceral branches sometimes detach from the visceral mesoderm. \( K\) Some mutant embryos for \( \beta PS\) also show gaps in the dorsal trunk (arrow), and defects in the dorsal branch.

loss of all PS integrins produces stronger migration defects than the loss of \( \alpha PS1\) or \( \alpha PS2\) individually.

The above results suggest that \( \alpha PS1\mid PS2\mid PS2\) integrin is required in the migrating cells of the visceral branches, and \( \alpha PS2\mid PS1\mid PS2\) integrin, in the visceral mesoderm substrate. If this is the case, we should be able to rescue the visceral branch phenotype of mutant embryos for each \( \alpha\) subunit by expressing the appropriate subunit specifically in the cell population in which it is required. We find that targeted expression of \( \alpha PS1\) just in the tracheal cells can rescue the visceral branch phenotype of the \( mew\mid \alpha PS1\) mutant embryos \( \text{Fig. 4B}\), although it causes some defects in the other branches \( \text{see below}\). Similarly, the visceral branch phenotype of \( \text{if}\mid \alpha PS2\) mutant embryos can be rescued by targeted expression of \( \alpha PS2\) just in the mesodermal cells \( \text{Fig. 4E}\). These results rule out the possibility that the defects in the visceral branches observed in integrin mutants are due to secondary consequences of disruption of other tissues. Furthermore, they also show that migration of the visceral branches requires the coordinated functions of \( PS1\) integrin in the visceral branch and \( PS2\) integrin in the visceral mesoderm \( \text{Fig. 4G}\).

Next, we tested whether the complementary expression of these two integrins is essential for tracheal migration. We analyzed the specific requirement of \( PS1\) in the tracheal cells of the visceral branch by assessing whether the \( PS2\) integrin could fulfill the role of \( PS1\). We found that expression of \( \alpha PS2\) in the tracheal cells does not rescue the defects in visceral branch migration caused by the lack of \( \alpha PS1\) in \( mew\) mutant embryos \( \text{Fig. 4C}\). This result indicates that \( PS1\) function in particular, rather than PS integrin function in general, is required in the cells of the visceral branch for them to migrate along the visceral mesoderm. In contrast, we found that expression of \( \alpha PS1\) in place of \( \alpha PS2\) in the mesoderm does substantially rescue the visceral branch phenotype caused by lack of \( \alpha PS2\) \( \text{Fig. 4F}\). This finding suggests that either integrins can assemble a suitable substrate for \( PS1\)-dependent tracheal migration.

Regulation of \( \alpha PS1\) in the cells of the visceral branches

Previous clonal analysis has shown that cell lineage does not play an important role in the specification of the distinct identity of the different tracheal cells \( \text{Samakovlis et al. 1996}\). Instead, different signaling pathways act to spatially subdivide the tracheal placode by inducing groups of cells to migrate in a particular direction and acquire a specific morphology. This inductive process appears to be mediated by the differential activation of a set of transcription factors in discrete groups of tracheal cells. Therefore, we examined whether these transcription factors regulate the specific expression of the \( \alpha PS1\) subunit.

The transcription factors \( \text{knirps}\mid kni\) and \( \text{knirps-related}\mid knrl\) are expressed in overlapping patterns during tracheal development in which they share redundant functions. They are both required in two phases of tracheal development. The initial tracheal expression of
kni/knrl appears around stage 10 and their activity is required early in the tracheal placode for primary branching outgrowth. Subsequently, kni/knrl expression becomes restricted to some branches, among them the visceral branches, and they are required for the directed outgrowth of these tracheal branches (Chen et al. 1998). As kni and knrl share redundant functions, we examined mutant embryos homozygous for a deficiency that uncovers both genes and whose effect on tracheal development is due solely to the lack of kni and knrl (Rothe et al. 1989). In this mutant background, we found that αPS1 is no longer expressed in the tracheal cells [Fig. 5B], indicating that these genes act as positive regulators of αPS1. To further assess the specific role of the late expression of kni/knrl in the expression of αPS1 in the visceral branch, we have used a mutant combination that provides the segmentation and early tracheal kni expression, but not the later branch-specific expression of kni [see Material and Methods for the exact genotype]. In these mutant embryos, there are also defects in visceral branch development and expression of αPS1 is either absent or very much reduced [Fig. 5C]. Thus, the late phase of kni/knrl branch-specific expression is specifically required for the proper expression of αPS1 in the cells of the visceral branches. However, kni/knrl are not sufficient to drive αPS1 expression, as they are expressed in other branches that do not express αPS1. Similarly, the αPS1 gene is not the only target of these transcription factors, as GAL4-driven expression of αPS1 is not able to rescue the phenotype of the deficiency (data not shown).

Another transcription factor that has an important role in specifying cell fate within the tracheal branches is encoded by spalt (sal). The expression of sal is restricted to the dorsal cells in the developing tracheal tree. By stage 12, sal is expressed only in the dorsal parts of the tracheal metameres, that is, in the cells of the dorsal trunk and the dorsal branches. By stages 14 and 15, sal expression declines in the cells of the dorsal branches, but remains high in the cells of the dorsal trunk (Kühnlein and Schuh 1996). We have found that in sal mutant embryos there is ectopic expression of αPS1 in the cells of the dorsal trunk and in the dorsal branches [Fig. 5D], indicating that sal activity is required to inhibit αPS1 expression in the dorsal tracheal structures. In summary, these results indicate that αPS1 expression is restricted to the cells of the visceral branches by the direct or indirect activities of the transcription factors that spatially subdivide the tracheal placode. The fact that αPS1 expression is regulated by the same genes that specify a particular cell fate, rather than independently, suggests that integrin expression is an important part of the cell fate decision.

Control of integrin expression, migratory pathways, and morphogenesis

To investigate the functional significance of the restricted expression of PS1, we examined whether it is important that the other tracheal branches do not express the PS1 integrin. We have induced the expression of αPS1 construct in all of the tracheal cells and found several defects in tracheal development, mainly in the dorsal branches. In wild-type embryos, each dorsal branch grows toward the dorsal midline, where it meets and fuses with the dorsal branch coming from the contralateral hemi segment. However, in embryos with ubiquitous tracheal αPS1 expression, many dorsal branches fail to fuse with their contralateral counterpart or fuse with an inappropriate branch [Fig. 5E]. We also see occasional interruptions in the dorsal trunk of these
embryos [data not shown]. However, we do not observe redirection of migration in this situation.

The above results suggest that different groups of tracheal cells need to express different distinct adhesion molecules to migrate on the correct substrate. If this is the case, one would expect changes in the migratory behavior of these cells to be accompanied by changes in the expression of the adhesion molecules. A change in the path of migration of some tracheal cells has been observed in particular mutant combinations of the wingless (wg) pathway. The wg pathway is required for the formation of the dorsal trunk, and upon ubiquitous tracheal activation of the wg pathway, cells that would normally contribute to the visceral branch appear to adopt a dorsal trunk fate and change their path of migration accordingly (Chihara and Hayashi 2000; Llimargas 2000). As a result, the dorsal trunk becomes larger and fewer cells migrate along the visceral mesoderm. We find that in these mutant embryos, fewer cells express αPS1 [Fig. 5G]. Thus, the signaling pathways that mediate the choice between alternative paths of migration regulate the appropriate expression of adhesion molecules in subsets of the tracheal cells.

Discussion

In this work, we have investigated how a group of cells select and recognize their migratory pathway in a model migratory process, the Drosophila tracheal system development. We have found that cell surface receptors of the integrin family mediate the migration of the visceral branch, one of the six primary tracheal branches. The PS1 integrin is required in the migrating cells of the visceral branch and the PS2 integrin is required in its substratum, the visceral mesoderm. This is the first identification of an adhesion molecule specifically expressed in a subset of tracheal cells that all migrate in a given direction. Furthermore, we have shown that αPS1 expression is restricted to the visceral branch by the activities of transcription factors situated downstream of the signaling pathways that spatially subdivide the tracheal placode. These results suggest a model by which signaling pathways mediate the choice between alternative migratory pathways by regulating the expression of cell surface proteins in subsets of tracheal cells.

Specific requirements for the distinct integrin subunits

Our rescue experiments show that migration of the visceral branches requires PS1 (αPS1βPS) in the migrating cells of the visceral branches and PS2 (αPS2βPS) in the visceral mesoderm substrate. However, they also show that whereas the PS2 integrin cannot fulfill the role of PS1 in the tracheal cells, PS1 can almost completely substitute for PS2 in the visceral mesoderm. This is consistent with the observation that the visceral branch de-
fects in embryos lacking αPS2 are milder than in embryos that lack αPS1, which already suggested a more strict requirement for integrin function in the migrating cells than in the substratum. Similarly, during midgut migration, loss of integrin function in the visceral mesoderm produces a much milder phenotype than the loss in the migrating midgut cells [Martin-Bermudo et al 1999]. The results presented here show that either αPS1 or αPS2 integrin on the surface of the visceral mesoderm is capable of producing a substrate containing a ligand that supports migration, but that only PS1 is capable of leading migration on that substrate. Interestingly, it should be noted that the visceral mesoderm functions as a two-sided migratory substratum: The endodermal cells of the midgut migrate along the internal side and the tracheal cells migrate along the external side. In both cases, their interaction involves the same proteins, PS2 in the substratum and PS1 in the migrating cells.

Our results also suggest a potential role of integrins in the migration of other tracheal branches. Removing the lone βPS subunit, the obligatory partner for all αPS subunits, produces more extensive migration defects than the loss of αPS1 or αPS2. The lack of βPS also affects dorsal trunk and dorsal branch migration in addition to the visceral branch. At least two alternative explanations for these additional defects can be proposed. On one hand, βPS could be required in the dorsal branch and the dorsal trunk in combination with any of the other three alpha subunits, αPS3, αPS4, or αPS5. On the other hand, these mys mutant embryos may exhibit other phenotypes such as failure in germ-band retraction and dorsal closure. This variability of the phenotypes of embryos missing both the maternal and zygotic mys expression, described previously by Roote and Zusman [1995], leaves open the possibility that the defects observed in the dorsal branches and dorsal trunk are a secondary effect, due to alterations in other tissues.

Integrins mediate the migration of the visceral branch cells once they have reached their substrate

We have found that the localized αPS1 expression in the visceral branch depends on the transcription factors Kni, Knr1, and Sal that act to subdivide the tracheal placode. This spatial restriction is important because ectopic expression of αPS1 in all of the tracheal cells produces defects in dorsal trunk and dorsal branch migration. However, redirection of the migration of these tracheal branches toward a different target is not observed. One possible explanation for these results is that steric hindrance by other tissues could impede these cells from adopting a new migratory pathway. Thus, the initial topology of the tracheal pits could also be critical in the assignment of a particular migratory pathway of each subset of tracheal cells. For example, dorsal tracheal cells may not be able to follow a visceral branch pathway if they cannot reach the visceral mesoderm, even under conditions of ectopic expression of αPS1. Another explanation, compatible with the previous one, is that multiple proteins could be required to define the particular migratory pathway of each subset of tracheal cells.

In this context, it should be noted that the initial migration of the visceral branches to the visceral mesoderm is not impaired in the integrin mutants. Therefore, it is possible that different molecules could be responsible for the migration of the tracheal cells of the visceral branch at different steps of their pathway. Alternatively, the initial migration toward the different clusters of bnl-expressing cells would be fixed by the topology of the tracheal pits; only the appropriate combination of cell surface molecules would then stabilize and allow the spreading of the different branches over their distinct substrates. In both hypotheses, integrins would only mediate the migration of the tracheal cells of the visceral branch after they have reached the visceral mesoderm.

A general model for cell migration

We have shown previously that the alternative migratory pathways of the tracheal cells are associated with distinct subsets of mesodermal cells [Franch-Marro and Casanova 2000]. Here we provide the first identification of cell surface receptors that mediate these specific interactions. These results support a model in which signaling by transduction pathways specifies the particular migratory pathways of tracheal cells by regulating a precise array of adhesion proteins such as integrins at their surface [Fig. 6]. This can be a very general mechanism to regulate integrin expression in distinct subpopulations of cells within a wider field. For instance, many genes operating in the morphogenesis of the insect tracheal system and in the vertebrate lung are functionally conserved despite the important differences that exist between the two systems [Metzer and Krasnow 1999]. In

Figure 6. Schematic representation of the regulatory cascade that controls αPS1 expression in the tracheal cells of the visceral branch. Theses results suggest a more general model by which signaling by transduction pathways specifies the distinct cell migratory pathways by regulating the presence of specific proteins at their cell surface.
this scenario, it is worth noting that distinct integrin subunits are differentially expressed in the cells involved in mesenchymal/epithelial interactions during lung development [Wu and Santoro 1996]. Thus, as in the case of the Drosophila tracheal system, control of cell surface molecules by signaling pathways in other systems may be involved in the mechanism conferring the specificity of cell–cell adhesions in migration and in other biological processes.

Materials and methods

Drosophila strains

The mutants used in this study are the null alleles ifb^bd, mew^mt6, myoX^G43, and sal^H255. The deficiency Df(3L)rXT1 uncovers both the kni and kari genes (Rothe et al. 1989), to rescue the segmentation and early tracheal kni expression, we used a kni transgene that rescues the kni segmentation phenotype and the kni primary branching phenotype in combination with the Df(3L)rXT1 [Chen et al. 1998]. 1-eve-I is an enhancer trap line specifically expressed in the tracheal system [Perrimon et al. 1991]. To target gene expression to particular cells, we used the GAL4 system [Brand and Perrimon 1993]. We used a combination of two GAL4 lines, twist–GAL4 and 24B, to drive gene expression in the mesoderm as described in Martin-Bermudo et al. [1997], and btl–GAL4 for expression in the tracheal cells [Shiga et al. 1996]. To overactivate the wg pathway, we have used a constitutively active form of armadillo [UAS-armSI0; Pai et al. 1997]. The UAS–αPS1 3.5 and UAS–αPS2 1.3 lines have been described previously in Martin-Bermudo et al. [1997]. The UAS-tau-lacZ gene is described in Hidalgo et al. [1995].

Generation of germ-line clones

We generated germ-line clones for myoX^G43 using the FLP-recombinase system and the dominant female sterile mutation ovo^d1 [Chou and Perrimon 1992]. Virgin females of the genotype y^w myoX^G43 FRT^d1; UAS-tau-lacZ were crossed with w^+/ovo^d1 FRT^d1; FLPe^38 males. The larvae of this cross were heat shocked three times at first, second, and third instar at 37°C. The females y^w myoX^G43 FRT^d1/w^+/ovo^d1 FRT^d1, UAS-tau-lacZ/FLPe^38 from the cross were mated to FM7lacZ; btl–GAL4 males to drive βGal expression in the tracheal cells of the offspring. Only the embryos lacking both maternal and zygotic myoX expression were analyzed.

Antibody staining and in situ hybridization

Embryos were staged according to Campos-Ortega and Hartenstein [1985], and stained according to standard protocols. We used the following primary antibodies: mouse 2A12 [DSHB, University of Iowa], which recognizes the lumen of the tracheal tree, rabbit anti-Srp (from R. Reuter, University of Tübingen, Germany), rat anti-Trh (from S. Crews, University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill), mouse DA185 anti-fasciclin III (from D. Brower, University of Arizona, Tucson), and rabbit anti-βGal (Cappel). We used biotin-labeled secondary antibodies, followed by the vectastain Elite ABC kit [Vectorlabs] enhancement for standard experiments. For double labeling, we used 8% NiCl2 in the first immunostaining to obtain dark color. For immunofluorescence, we used an anti-Rabbit secondary antibody coupled with Texas Red [Jackson Immuno Research Lab] to visualize tracheae, and a biotinylated anti-mouse antibody followed by streptavidin-fluorescein treatment [Jackson Immuno Research Lab] to label the mesoderm. Whole-mount in situ hybridization were done with a mew anti-sense RNA probe labeled with digoxigenin-UTP [Boehringer-Mannheim]. For antibody/in situ hybridization double-labeling experiments, we followed the procedure described in Manoukian and Krause [1992]. Stained embryos were mounted and photographed either with a Zeiss-Axiophot microscope using Nomarski optics or with a MRC1024 confocal microscope.
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