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Abstract

The continuous wavelet transform (CWT) is a linear time-frequency representation and a powerful tool for analyzing non-stationary signals. The synchrosqueezing transform (SST) is a special type of the reassignment method which not only enhances the energy concentration of CWT in the time-frequency plane, but also separates the components of multicomponent signals. The “bump wavelet” and Morlet’s wavelet are commonly used continuous wavelets for the wavelet-based SST. There is a parameter in these wavelets which controls the widths of the time-frequency localization window. In most literature on SST, this parameter is a fixed positive constant. In this paper, we consider the CWT with a time-varying parameter (called the adaptive CWT) and the corresponding SST (called the adaptive SST) for multicomponent signal separation. We also introduce the second-order adaptive SST. We analyze the separation conditions for non-stationary multicomponent signals with the local approximation of linear frequency modulation mode. We derive well-separated conditions of a multicomponent signal based on the adaptive CWT. We propose methods to select the time-varying parameter so that the corresponding adaptive SSTs of the components of a multicomponent signal have sharp representations and are well-separated, and hence the components can be recovered more accurately. We provide comparison experimental results to demonstrate the efficiency and robustness of the proposed adaptive CWT and adaptive SST in separating components of multicomponent signals with fast varying frequencies.
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Multicomponent signals are common in nature and in many engineering problems. These signals are usually non-stationary, meaning that their frequencies and/or amplitudes change with the time. It is important to separate the components of such a signal $x(t)$ to extract information, such as the underlying dynamics, hidden in $x(t)$. However, due to its non-stationary property, this is a challenging problem. Sometimes it is even difficult to distinguish a monocomponent signal from a multicomponent signal. For example, $x(t) = \cos(2\pi \xi_1 t) + \cos(2\pi \xi_2 t)$ has two components, but can be seen as a monocomponent signal if $\xi_1$ is close to $\xi_2$, because in this case, the amplitude $2 \cos(\pi(\xi_1 - \xi_2)t)$ changes slowly compared to the carrier wave $\cos(\pi(\xi_1 + \xi_2)t)$.

The empirical mode decomposition (EMD) algorithm along with the Hilbert spectrum analysis introduced in [1] is a popular method to decompose and analyze non-stationary signals. The intrinsic mode function (IMF) is used to represent a monocomponent signal [1]. An IMF satisfies two conditions: (a) the number of its minimum and maximum must either be equal or differ at most by one; and (b) the value of the mean of its upper envelope and lower envelope is close to zero. EMD decomposes a signal $x(t)$ into finitely many IMFs plus a trend signal, and then the instantaneous frequency (IF) of each IMFs is calculated by the Hilbert spectrum analysis which results in a representation of $x(t)$ as

$$x(t) = A_0(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k(t), \quad x_k(t) = A_k(t) \cos(2\pi \phi_k(t))$$

with $A_k(t), \phi'_k(t) > 0$, where $A_k(t)$ is called the instantaneous amplitude (IA) and $\phi'_k(t)$ the IF of $x_k(t)$. There are many articles studying the property of EMD or proposing variants of EMD to improve the performance, see e.g. [2]-[10]. In particular, the separation ability of EMD is discussed in [4], which shows that EMD cannot decompose two components when their frequencies are close to each other. The ensemble EMD (EEMD) is proposed to suppress the noise interferences [5].

A weakness of EMD or EEMD is that it can easily lead to mode mixture or artifacts, namely undesirable or false components [6].

The time-frequency analysis is another class of methods for non-stationary multicomponent signals. Some non-linear time-frequency analyses, such as the Wigner-Ville distribution and the Choi-Williams distribution [11]-[14], have cross-term interferences and cannot be used to reconstruct the signal components. On the other hand, some linear time-frequency analysis, such as the continuous wavelet transform (CWT) [15, 16] and the short time Fourier transform (STFT) [17], have the inverse transforms. The uncertainty principle (see e.g. [18]) imposes an unavoidable
tradeoff between temporal and spectral resolutions. In addition, the time and frequency reassignments were introduced and studied in [19] and [20] to enhance the energy concentration in the time-frequency plane.

The synchrosqueezing transform (SST), also called the synchrosqueezed wavelet transform, was introduced in [21] and further developed in the seminal article [22]. It is a special type of reassignment method on the CWT which not only sharpens the time-frequency representation of a signal, but also recovers the components of a multicomponent signal. SST provides an alternative to the EMD method and its variants, and it overcomes some limitations of the EMD and EEMD schemes such as mode-mixing. Many works on SST have been carried out since the publication of [22]. For example, [23]-[25] studied a comparison between EMD and SST. The stability of SST was studied in [26]. A hybrid EMT-SST computational scheme by applying the modified SST to the IMFs of the EMD was proposed in [27]. The synchrosqueezed wave packet transform was introduced in [28]. The SST with vanishing moment wavelets was introduced in [29]. A multitapered SST was introduced in [30] to enhance the concentration in the time-frequency plane by averaging over random projections with synchrosqueezing. The short-time Fourier transform (STFT)-based SST was studied in [31, 32, 33]. The second-order SST was proposed and studied in [34, 35, 36]. [37] introduced the demodulation-transform based SST with STFT, and [38] studied CWT-SST with the demodulation-transform. The linear and synchrosqueezed time-frequency representations were reviewed in [39], which also discussed the choice of window and wavelet parameters, the advantages and drawbacks of synchrosqueezing, etc. A STFT-based signal separation operator (SSO) was proposed and studied in [40] and an empirical signal separation algorithm was proposed in [41], both for signal separation. SST has been used in engineering and medical data analysis applications including machine fault diagnosis [42], anesthesia evaluation [43, 29], breathing dynamics discovery [44], sleep stage assessment [45] and heart beat classification [46].

The “bump wavelet” $\psi_{\text{bump}}(x)$ defined by

$$\hat{\psi}_{\text{bump}}(\xi) = e^{1-\frac{1}{1-\sigma^2(\xi-\mu)^2}} \chi(\mu-\frac{1}{\sigma}, \mu+\frac{1}{\sigma}),$$

and the (scaled) Morlet wavelet $\psi_{\text{Mor}}(x)$ defined by

$$\hat{\psi}_{\text{Mor}}(\xi) = e^{-2\sigma^2\pi^2(\xi-\mu)^2} - e^{-2\sigma^2\pi^2(\xi^2+\mu^2)},$$

where $\sigma > 0, \mu > 0$, are the commonly used continuous wavelets. For example, the “bump wavelet” $\psi_{\text{bump}}(x)$ is used in [22] to derive the conditions for the recovery of the components from the SST of a multicomponent signal. In practice, Morlet’s wavelet can be more desirable due to its nice localization property in both the time and frequency domains.
The parameter $\sigma$ in (2) and (3) controls the window widths of the time-frequency localization of the wavelets and has effects on both CWT and SST of a signal. In the literature, the parameter $\sigma$ of the wavelets is usually treated as a fixed constant. In this paper, we consider a time-varying $\sigma$ (called adaptive CWT), namely $\sigma = \sigma(t)$ is a positive function of the time variable $t$. As pointed out in [39], for a multicomponent signal $x(t)$, if the CWTs of two components are mixed, the SST will not be able to separate these two components. Thus to separate $x(t)$ with the SST approach we need to, first of all, separate the CWTs of the components of $x(t)$ in the time-scale plane, that is, the CWTs of the components lie in non-overlapping regions of the time-scale plane. On the other hand, the error bounds derived in [22] imply that the synchrosqueezed representation of a signal is sharper when the width of the continuous wavelet’s window in the time domain, which is $\sigma$ (up to a constant), is smaller. The main goal of this paper is to study for a given multicomponent signal $x(t)$ as given in (1) with $A_0(t) = 0$, the conditions (called well-separated conditions) under which a suitable time-varying $\sigma = \sigma(t)$ can be selected such that the corresponding adaptive CWTs of $x_k(t), 1 \leq k \leq K$ do not overlap in the time-scale plane and to provide a formula and an algorithm to select as small as possible $\sigma(t)$ such that the associated SST (called the adaptive SST) of $x_k(t)$ will have a sharper representation which results in a more accurate recovery of $x_k(t)$. In this paper, we will consider the linear chirp model, namely, we consider the case where the CWT of $x_k(t)$ is well-approximated by that of a linear chirp signal.

The adaptive SST with a time-varying window width was recently proposed in [47] and the width of the window is selected through minimizing the Rényi entropy of the SST. Compared with the approach in [47], our work focuses on establishing well-separated conditions for multicomponent signals based on the adaptive CWT and a study on how to select $\sigma(t)$ such that the CWTs of the components lie in non-overlapping regions of the time-scale plane based on our well-separated condition. Here we also remark that the window width of the SSO algorithm in [40] is also time-varying. After we completed our work, we were aware of the very recent work [48] on the adaptive STFT-based SST in which the window function has not only the time-varying parameter but also frequency-varying parameter.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. First we briefly review SST in §2. Then we propose the adaptive CWT and SST with a time-varying parameter in §3. In §3, we also introduce the second-order adaptive SST. We consider the support zone of a CWT of a signal with a non-bandlimited wavelet in §4. After that, in §5 we derive the well-separated conditions for multicomponent signals based on the adaptive CWT. We propose a method and an algorithm to select the parameter for blind source signal separation in §6. We provide the experimental results in §7. Finally we give the conclusion in §8.
2 Synchrosqueezing transform (SST)

A function $\psi(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ is called a continuous (or an admissible) wavelet if it satisfies (see e.g. [50, 15]) the admissible condition:

$$0 < C_\psi = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\psi}(\xi)|^2 \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} < \infty,$$

(4)

where $\hat{\psi}$ is the Fourier transform of $\psi(t)$, defined by

$$\hat{\psi}(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(t) e^{-i2\pi \xi t} dt.$$

Denote $\psi_{a,b}(t) = \frac{1}{a} \psi(\frac{t-b}{a})$. The continuous wavelet transform (CWT) of a signal $x(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ with a continuous wavelet $\psi$ is defined by

$$W_x(a,b) = \langle x, \psi_{a,b} \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) \frac{1}{a} \psi(\frac{t-b}{a}) dt.$$  

(5)

The variables $a$ and $b$ are called the scale and time variables respectively. The signal $x(t)$ can be recovered by the inverse wavelet transform (see e.g. [49, 50, 15, 51])

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{C_\psi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} W_x(a,b) \psi_{a,b}(t) da \frac{da}{a |a|}.$$  

A function $x(t)$ is called an analytic signal if it satisfies $\hat{x}(\xi) = 0$ for $\xi < 0$. In this paper, we consider analytic continuous wavelets. In addition, we assume $\psi$ also satisfies

$$0 \neq c_\psi = \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{\psi}(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{\xi} < \infty.$$  

(6)

For an analytic signal $x(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, it can be recovered by (refer to [21, 22]):

$$x(b) = \frac{1}{c_\psi} \int_{0}^{\infty} W_x(a,b) \frac{da}{a},$$  

(7)

where $c_\psi$ is defined by (6). In addition, a real signal $x(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$ can be recovered by the following formula (see [22]):

$$x(b) = \text{Re}\left( \frac{2}{c_\psi} \int_{0}^{\infty} W_x(a,b) \frac{da}{a} \right).$$  

(8)

The Fourier transform and the CWT given above can be applied to a slowly growing $x(t)$ if the wavelet function $\psi$ has certain decay order as $|t| \to \infty$. In addition, the above two formulas still hold for such a $x(t)$. Recall that a function $x(t)$ is called a slowly growing function if there is a nonnegative integer $L$ such that $x(t)/(1 + |t|^L)$ is bounded on $(-\infty, \infty)$. We will assume components of $x(t)$ in (1) are all slowly growing.
As mentioned earlier, the parameter $\sigma$ of the “bump wavelet” in (2) or Morlet’s wavelet in (3) controls the shape of $\psi$ and has effects on the CWT of a signal. For a simple multicomponent signal

$$x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k \cos(2\pi c_k t)$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

with positive $A_k$, $c_k$ and $c_k \neq c_{k+1}$, if $\sigma$ is large then the CWT of the components $A_k \cos (2\pi c_k t)$ in $|W_x(a, b)|$ with the “bump wavelet” will not overlap. On the other hand, for a superposition (1) of AHMs with $\phi'_k(t)\neq$constant, a larger $\sigma$ does not necessarily provide a better separation of AHMs, as can be illustrated by the following example with Morlet’s wavelet. Let

$$x(t) = e^{i2\pi(9t+5t^2)} + e^{i2\pi(13t+10t^2)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1,$$

which is sampled uniformly with 128 sample points. The CWT of $x(t)$ with Morlet’s wavelet with $\sigma = 1, \mu = 1$ and $\sigma = 2, \mu = 1$ are shown in the left and middle panels of Fig.1 respectively. Observe that the wavelet with a larger $\sigma$ results in a more blurred representation of $x(t)$ in the time-scale plane.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{The CWT $|W_x(a, b)|$ of $x(t)$ in (10) by using Morlet’s wavelet $\psi$ with $\sigma = 1, \mu = 1$ (Left picture) and with $\sigma = 2, \mu = 1$ (Middle picture). The picture on the right shows $|T_x(\xi, b)|$, the SST of $x(t)$ with $\sigma = 1, \mu = 1$.}
\end{figure}

To achieve a sharper time-frequency representation of a signal, the synchrosqueezed wavelet transform (SST) reassigns the scale variable $a$ to a frequency variable. For a given signal $x(t)$, let $\omega_x(a, b)$ be the phase transformation [22] (also called the reference IF function in [27]) defined by

$$\omega_x(a, b) = \frac{1}{i2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \log |W_x(a, b)| = \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{\delta}{i2\pi W_x(a, b)} W_x(a, b), \quad \text{for } W_x(a, b) \neq 0.$$

SST is to transform the CWT $W_x(a, b)$ of $x(t)$ to a quantity, denoted by $T_x(\xi, b)$, on the time-frequency plane as defined by

$$T_x(\xi, b) = \int_{\{a \in \mathbb{R}_+: W_x(a, b) \neq 0\}} W_x(a, b) \delta(\omega_x(a, b) - \xi) \frac{da}{a},$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)
where $\xi$ is the frequency variable. The reader is referred to [22] for more details. As an example, the right picture in Fig.1 shows the SST of $x(t)$ given in (10). It displays a sharp contrast of SST against CWT in terms of the power in separating the components of the signal $x(t)$.

The input signal $x(t)$ can be recovered from its SST in a similar way. For an analytic $x(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, by (7), we have

$$x(b) = \frac{1}{c_{\psi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} T_x(\xi, b) d\xi;$$

and for a real-valued $x(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$, by (8)

$$x(b) = \text{Re} \left( \frac{2}{c_{\psi}} \int_{0}^{\infty} T_x(\xi, b) d\xi \right),$$

where $c_{\psi}$ is the constant defined by (6).

For a multicomponent signal $x(t)$ in (1) with $A_0(t) = 0$, when $A_k(t), \phi_k(t)$ satisfy certain conditions (see [22]), each component $x_k(b)$ can be recovered from SST:

$$x_k(b) \approx \text{Re} \left( \frac{2}{c_{\psi}} \int_{|\xi - \phi_k(b)| < \Gamma_1} T_x(\xi, b) d\xi \right),$$

for certain $\Gamma_1 > 0$.

Figure 2: Left: $|W_r(a, b)|$, CWT of $r(t) = r_1(t) + r_2(t) = \cos(2\pi(5t)) + 2\cos(2\pi(25t))$; Middle: $\omega_r(a, b)$ with $\gamma = 10^{-5}$; Right: $|T_r(\xi, b)|$, the SST of $r(t)$.

Here is an example of SST. Let $r(t) = r_1(t) + r_2(t)$ with $r_1(t) = \cos(2\pi(5t))$ and $r_2(t) = 2\cos(2\pi(25t))$. The sample points are $t_n = \frac{n}{64}$, $0 \leq n \leq 63$. Fig.2 shows the CWT of $r(t)$ with Morlet’s wavelet of $\sigma = 1$ and $\mu = 1$, the phase transformation $\omega_r(a, b)$ with $\gamma = 10^{-5}$, and the SST of $r(t)$. The two bumps in the left picture of Fig.2 correspond to the CWTs of $r_1(t)$ and $r_2(t)$ respectively. The phase transformation $\omega_r(a, b)$ in the middle picture of Fig.2 takes constant values 5 and 25 respectively for $a$ in two intervals and for all $b$. These are the IFs of the two components of $r(t)$. Note also from this picture that at the boundary $a_0$ between the two intervals of the scale variable, $\omega_r(a_0, b)$ have large values for some $b$. However, since the corresponding $W_r(a_0, b)$ is small, $W_r(a_0, b)a_0^{-1}$ is also small. Thus we still have two sharp representations of the IFs of $r_1(t)$ and $r_2(t)$ through SST, as shown in the right picture of Fig.2.
3 CWT and SST with a time-varying parameter

3.1 CWT with a time-varying parameter

We consider continuous wavelets of the form

\[ \psi_\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma} g(t) e^{i2\pi \mu t} - \frac{1}{\sigma} g(t) c_\sigma(\mu), \tag{16} \]

or, in the frequency domain,

\[ \hat{\psi}_\sigma(\xi) = \hat{g}(\sigma(\xi - \mu)) - c_\sigma(\mu) \hat{g}(\sigma \xi), \tag{17} \]

where \( \mu > 0 \). \( g \) is a function in \( L_2(\mathbb{R}) \) with certain decaying order as \( t \to \infty \), and \( c_\sigma(\mu) \) is a constant such that \( \hat{\psi}_\sigma(0) = 0 \). If \( \hat{g}(-\sigma \mu) = 0 \), then we just set \( c_\sigma(\mu) = 0 \); otherwise, if in addition \( \hat{g}(0) \neq 0 \), we let \( c_\sigma(\mu) = \hat{g}(-\sigma \mu)/\hat{g}(0) \). For example, if \( g(t) \) is given by \( \hat{g}(\xi) = e^{1-1/(1-\xi)} \chi(-1,1)(\xi) \), then \( \psi_\sigma \) is the “bump wavelet” defined in (2), and if \( g(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-t^2/2} \), then \( \psi_\sigma \) is Morlet’s wavelet in (3).

In the following, we will assume our signal \( x(t) \) to be a slowly increasing function. The CWT of such an \( x(t) \) with the \( \psi_\sigma \) considered above is well-defined as long as \( g \) decays to 0 fast enough as \( t \to \infty \).

As observed from Fig.1, the choice of the parameter \( \sigma \) for the wavelet \( \psi_\sigma \) affects the representation of the CWT. In this paper, we introduce a CWT with time-varying \( \sigma \). More specifically, let \( \psi_\sigma \) be a continuous wavelet defined by (16) and \( x(t) \) be a given signal (a slowly increasing function). The CWT of \( x(t) \) with a time-varying parameter is defined by

\[ \tilde{W}_x(a,b) = W_x(a,b,\sigma(b)) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t) \frac{1}{a} \overline{\psi_\sigma(b)(\frac{t-b}{a})} dt. \tag{18} \]

where \( \sigma \) is a positive function of \( b \). We call \( \tilde{W}_x(a,b) \) or \( W_x(a,b,\sigma(b)) \), the adaptive CWT of \( x(t) \) with \( \psi_\sigma \). Note that we sometimes also use \( W_x(a,b,\sigma(b)) \) to denote the adaptive CWT of \( x(t) \) to emphasize that the parameter \( \sigma \) depends on the time variable \( b \). One can easily obtain

\[ W_x(a,b,\sigma(b)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{x(\xi)} \overline{\psi_\sigma(b)(a\xi)} e^{i2\pi b \xi} d\xi. \]

Thus, if \( \psi_\sigma \) or \( x(t) \) is analytic, then we have for \( a > 0 \),

\[ W_x(a,b,\sigma(b)) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{x(\xi)} \psi_\sigma(b)(a\xi) e^{i2\pi b \xi} d\xi. \tag{19} \]

As shown in the following proposition, the original signal \( x(b) \) can be recovered from \( W_x(a,b,\sigma(b)) \) by formulas similar to (7) and (8).

**Proposition 1.** Let \( W_x(a,b,\sigma(b)) \) be the time-varying CWT of a signal \( x(t) \) defined by (18). Then the following hold.
(1). If \( x(t) \) is analytic, then it can be recovered by
\[
x(b) = \frac{1}{c_\psi(b)} \int_0^\infty W_x(a, b, \sigma(b)) \frac{da}{a},
\]
(20)
where \( c_\psi(b) \) is defined by
\[
c_\psi(b) = \int_0^\infty \psi_\sigma(b)(\xi) \frac{d\xi}{\xi}
\]
(21)
(2). In addition, if \( \psi_\sigma \) is analytic, then for real-valued \( x(t) \) we have
\[
x(b) = \text{Re} \left( \frac{2}{c_\psi(b)} \int_0^\infty W_x(a, b, \sigma(b)) \frac{da}{a} \right).
\]
(22)

The proof Proposition 1 is straightforward in the sense that it can be followed directly from that in [22] for the conventional CWT. For self-containedness, it is provided in Appendix.

We remark that, numerically, the second terms in (16) and (17), \( \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \hat{g}'(\frac{t}{\sigma}) c_\sigma(\mu) \) and \( c_\sigma(\mu) \hat{g}(\sigma \xi) \), are generally very small. For example, if \( \psi_\sigma \) is Morlet’s wavelet, the second term in (17) equals \( e^{-2\sigma^2 \pi^2 (\xi^2 + \mu^2)} \). When \( \mu = 1 \) and \( \sigma = 1 \), \( e^{-2\sigma^2 \pi^2 (\xi^2 + \mu^2)} \leq \exp(-2\pi^2) = 2.6753 \times 10^{-9} \), a negligible quantity. Thus for the simplicity of presentation, we will assume
\[
\psi_\sigma(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma} g(\frac{t}{\sigma}) e^{i2\pi \mu t}
\]
(23)
or equivalently
\[
\hat{\psi}_\sigma(\xi) = \hat{g}(\sigma(\xi - \mu)).
\]
(24)
We note that the improper integrals in Proposition 1 will converge with this simpler \( \psi_\sigma \) if we exclude a small neighborhood of the origin in integrations and the numerical results are close approximations of original integrals.

3.2 SST with a time-varying parameter

We now define the phase transformation \( \omega_x^{adp}(a, b) \) associated with the adaptive CWT. Let \( \psi_\sigma(t) \) be the continuous wavelet defined by (23). Let \( \psi_\sigma^2(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} g'(\frac{t}{\sigma}) e^{i2\pi \mu t} \). In the following we use \( \tilde{W}_x^\psi(a, b) \) to denote the CWT defined by (18) with \( \psi_\sigma \) replaced by \( \psi_\sigma^2 \), namely,
\[
\tilde{W}_x^\psi(a, b) := \int_{-\infty}^\infty x(t) \frac{1}{a} \psi_\sigma^2(b) \frac{t - b}{a} \frac{da}{a} = \int_{-\infty}^\infty x(b + at) \frac{t}{\sigma^2(b)} g'(\frac{t}{\sigma(b)}) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt.
\]
(25)
One can obtain that
\[
\hat{\psi}_\sigma^2(\xi) = -\hat{g}(\sigma(\xi - \mu)) - \sigma(\xi - \mu) (\hat{\psi}_\sigma(\xi - \mu)).
\]
To motivate the definition of the phase transformation \( \omega_x^{adp}(a, b) \) to be given below, let us look at a simple example \( x(t) = s(t) = Ae^{i2\pi ct} \). From
\[
\tilde{W}_s(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty s(b + at) \psi_\sigma(b) dt = A \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{i2\pi c(b + at)} \frac{1}{\sigma(b)} g(\frac{t}{\sigma(b)}) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt,
\]
we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \tilde{W}_s(a, b) = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (i2\pi c) e^{i2\pi c(b+at)} \frac{1}{\sigma(b)} g\left(\frac{t}{\sigma(b)}\right) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt \\
+ A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i2\pi c(b+at)} \left(-\frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)^2}\right) g\left(\frac{t}{\sigma(b)}\right) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt + A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i2\pi c(b+at)} \left(-\frac{\sigma'(b)t}{\sigma(b)^3}\right) g'\left(\frac{t}{\sigma(b)}\right) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt \\
= i2\pi c \tilde{W}_s(a, b) - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \tilde{W}_a(a, b) - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \tilde{W}_s^2(a, b).
\]

Thus, if \( \tilde{W}_s(a, b) \neq 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_s(a, b)} = c - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{i2\pi \sigma(b)} - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \frac{\tilde{W}_s^2(a, b)}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_s(a, b)} \tag{26}
\]

Therefore, the IF of \( s(t) \), which is \( c \), can be obtained by
\[
c = \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_s(a, b)} \right\} + \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{\tilde{W}_s^2(a, b)}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_s(a, b)} \right\}.
\]

Following this example, we define, for a general \( x(t) \) and at \((a, b)\) for which \( \tilde{W}_x(a, b) \neq 0 \), the phase transformation or the reference IF function:
\[
\omega_{adp}^x(a, b) = \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{\tilde{W}_x(a, b)}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_x(a, b)} \right\} + \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{\tilde{W}_x^2(a, b)}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_x(a, b)} \right\}, \quad \text{for } \tilde{W}_x(a, b) \neq 0. \tag{27}
\]

The SST with a time-varying parameter (also called the adaptive SST of \( x(t) \)) is defined by
\[
T_{adp}^x(\xi, b) = T_x(\xi, b, \sigma(b)) := \int_{\{a \in \mathbb{R} : \tilde{W}_x(a, b) \neq 0\}} \tilde{W}_x(a, b) \delta \left( \omega_{adp}^x(a, b) - \xi \right) \frac{da}{a}, \tag{28}
\]

where \( \xi \) is the frequency variable. For an analytic \( x(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \), by (20), we have
\[
x(b) = \frac{1}{c_{\psi}(b)} \int_0^\infty T_{adp}^x(\xi, b) d\xi; \tag{29}
\]

and for a real-valued \( x(t) \in L_2(\mathbb{R}) \), by (22)
\[
x(b) = \text{Re}\left( \frac{2}{c_{\psi}(b)} \int_0^\infty T_{adp}^x(\xi, b) d\xi \right), \tag{30}
\]

where \( c_{\psi}(b) \) is defined by (21). In addition, we can use the following formula to recover the \( k \)th component \( x_k(b) \) of a multicomponent signal (satisfying certain conditions) from the adaptive SST:
\[
x_k(b) \approx \text{Re}\left( \frac{2}{c_{\psi}(b)} \int_{|\xi - \phi_k(b)| < \Gamma_2} T_{adp}^x(\xi, b) d\xi \right), \tag{31}
\]

for certain \( \Gamma_2 > 0 \).
Here we remark that if \( \psi_\sigma \) is a simplified version of Morlet’s wavelet given by
\[
\hat{\psi}_\sigma(\xi) = e^{-2\pi^2\sigma^2(\xi-\mu)^2},
\] (32)
then
\[
\hat{\psi}_\sigma^2(\xi) = (4\pi^2\sigma^2(\xi - \mu)^2 - 1)e^{-2\pi^2\sigma^2(\xi-\mu)^2}.
\]
In this case,
\[
\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^2}(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{s}(\xi) \hat{\psi}_\sigma^2(b(\xi)) e^{i2\pi b \xi} d\xi
\]
\[
= A\hat{\psi}_\sigma^2(b(\xi)) e^{i2\pi bc} = A(4\pi^2\sigma^2(b(\xi - \mu)^2 - 1)e^{-2\pi^2\sigma^2(b(\xi-\mu)^2}
\]
\[
= (4\pi^2\sigma^2(b(\xi - \mu)^2 - 1)\tilde{W}_s(a, b).
\]
Thus
\[
\frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^2}(a, b)}{i2\pi W_s(a, b)} = \frac{1}{i2\pi} (4\pi^2\sigma^2(ac - \mu)^2 - 1).
\]
Therefore, the second term on the right-hand side of (27) is zero, and hence, one may define
\[
\tilde{\omega}_x(a, b) = \text{Re}\left\{ \frac{\partial_b(\tilde{W}_x(a, b))}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_x(a, b)} \right\}, \quad \text{for } \tilde{W}_x(a, b) \neq 0.
\] (33)
as the phase transformation.

### 3.3 Second-order SST with a time-varying parameter

The second-order SST was introduced in [34]. The main idea is to define a new phase transformation \( \omega_x^{2nd} \) which is associated with the 2nd order partial derivatives of the CWT of \( x(t) \) such that when \( x(t) \) is a linear frequency modulation (LFM) signal (linear chirp), then \( \omega_x^{2nd} \) is exactly the IF of \( x(t) \). We say \( s(t) \) is an LFM signal if
\[
s(t) = A(t)e^{i\phi(t)} = Ae^{i\phi(t)} = Ae^{i\phi(t)} = Ae^{i2\pi ct + \frac{i}{2}rt^2} \] (34)
with phase function \( \phi(t) = ct + \frac{1}{2}rt^2 \), the IF \( \phi'(t) = c + rt \), chirp rate \( \phi''(t) = r \), the instantaneous amplitude \( \text{IA} \) \( A(t) = Ae^{i2\pi ct + \frac{i}{2}rt^2} \), where \( p, q \) are real numbers and \( |p| \) and \( |q| \) are much smaller than \( c \), which is positive.

Now we show how to derive the phase transformation \( \omega_s^{2nd} \). Note that our derivation is slightly different from that in [34] and [35], where it was based on reassignment operators. The formulation for \( \omega_s^{2nd} \) provided here is also slightly different from that in [35]. Our derivation can easily be generalized to the case of adaptive CWT and SST.

For a given wavelet \( \psi \), let \( W_s(a, b) \) be the CWT of a signal \( s(t) \) with \( \psi \) as defined in (5). For \( \psi_1(t) = t\psi(t) \), let \( W_s^{\psi_1}(a, b) \) denote the CWT of \( s(t) \) with \( \psi_1(t) \), namely, the integral on the right-hand side of (5) with \( x(t) \) and \( \psi(t) \) replaced by \( s(t) \) and \( \psi_1(t) \) respectively.
Observe that for \( s(t) \) given by (34)
\[
s'(t) = (p + qt + i2\pi(c + rt))s(t).
\]
Thus from
\[
W_s(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(b + at) \overline{\psi(t)} dt,
\]
we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial b} W_s(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s'(b + at) \overline{\psi(t)} dt
\]
\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (p + q(b + at) + i2\pi(c + rb + rat))s(b + at) \overline{\psi(t)} dt
\]
\[
= (p + qb + i2\pi(c + rb))W_s(a, b) + (q + i2\pi r)W_s^{\psi_1}(a, b).
\]
Thus at \((a, b)\) on which \( W_s(a, b) \neq 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{W_s(a, b)}{W_s(a, b)} = (q + i2\pi r)U(a, b),
\]
where we use \( U(a, b) \) to denote
\[
U(a, b) = \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( aW_s^{\psi_1}(a, b) \right) = \frac{W_s^{\psi_1}(a, b)}{W_s(a, b)} + a \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \frac{W_s^{\psi_1}(a, b)}{W_s(a, b)} \right).
\]
Thus if \( U(a, b) \neq 0 \), then
\[
q + i2\pi r = \frac{1}{U(a, b)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \frac{W_s(a, b)}{W_s(a, b)} \right).
\]
Back to (35), we have
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{W_s(a, b)}{W_s(a, b)} = p + qb + i2\pi(c + rb) + a \frac{W_s^{\psi_1}(a, b)}{W_s(a, b)} U(a, b) \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \frac{W_s(a, b)}{W_s(a, b)} \right).
\]
Therefore,
\[
\phi'(b) = c + rb = \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial 2\pi W_s(a, b)} \right\} - a \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{W_s^{\psi_1}(a, b)}{W_s(a, b) U(a, b)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial 2\pi W_s(a, b)} \right) \right\}.
\]
Hence, one may define the phase transformation as
\[
\omega_2 \left. \right|_{(a, b)} = \begin{cases} 
\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial 2\pi W_s(a, b)} \right\} - a \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{W_s^{\psi_1}(a, b)}{W_s(a, b) U(a, b)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial 2\pi W_s(a, b)} \right) \right\}, & \text{if } U(a, b) \neq 0, W_s(a, b) \neq 0, \\
\text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial 2\pi W_s(a, b)} \right\}, & \text{if } U(a, b) = 0, W_s(a, b) \neq 0.
\end{cases}
\]
From the above derivation, we know \( \omega_x^{2nd}(a, b) \) is exactly the IF \( \phi'(t) \) of \( s(t) \) if \( s(t) \) is an LFM signal given by (34). For a signal \( x(t) \), with the phase transformation \( \omega_x^{2nd}(a, b) \) in (36), the second-order SST of a signal \( x(t) \) is defined by

\[
T_x^{2nd}(\xi, b) := \int_{a \in \mathbb{R}_+: W_x(a, b) \neq 0} W_x(a, b) \delta(\omega_x^{2nd}(a, b) - \xi) \frac{da}{a},
\]

where \( \xi \) is the frequency variable.

Next we consider the CWT with a time-varying parameter. Let \( \psi(\xi) \) be the continuous wavelet defined by (23). As in Section 3.2, denote \( \psi^2(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma^2} g(t/\sigma) e^{i2\pi \mu t} \), and let \( \tilde{W}_x^\psi(a, b) \) denote the adaptive CWT defined by (25). In addition, we define

\[
\psi^1(\sigma) = \frac{t}{\sigma} \psi(\sigma) = \frac{t}{\sigma^2} g(t/\sigma) e^{i2\pi \mu t}
\]

and we use \( \tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b) \) to denote the CWT defined by (18) with \( \psi(a) \) replaced by \( \psi^1(\sigma) \), namely,

\[
\tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t) \frac{1}{a} \psi^1(b/a) \left( \frac{t-b}{a} \right) dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(b + at) \frac{t}{\sigma^2} \sigma(b) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt.
\]

One can obtain that

\[
\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^1(\xi) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \left( \hat{g} \right)'(\sigma(\xi - \mu)).
\]

In particular, if \( \psi \) is Morlet’s wavelet given by (32), then

\[
\tilde{\psi}_\sigma^1(\xi) = -i2\pi \sigma(\xi - \mu) e^{-2\pi^2 \sigma^2 (\xi - \mu)^2}.
\]

For a signal \( x(t) \), in the following we define the phase transformation as

\[
\omega_{x, adp, 2nd}^{2nd}(a, b) = \begin{cases} 
\Re \left\{ \frac{\phi}{\iota 2\pi W_x(a, b)} \right\} + \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \Re \left\{ \frac{\tilde{W}_x^\psi(a, b)}{12\pi W_x(a, b)} \right\} - a \Re \left\{ \frac{\tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b)}{12\pi W_x(a, b)} \right\}, \\
\text{if } \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b)}{W_x(a, b)} \right) \neq 0 \text{ and } \tilde{W}_x(a, b) \neq 0;
\end{cases}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}

\Re \left\{ \frac{\phi}{\iota 2\pi W_x(a, b)} \right\} + \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \Re \left\{ \frac{\tilde{W}_x^\psi(a, b)}{12\pi W_x(a, b)} \right\}, & \quad \text{if } \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b)}{W_x(a, b)} \right) = 0, \tilde{W}_x(a, b) \neq 0,
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
R_0(a, b) = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b)}{W_x(a, b)} \right)} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b)}{W_x(a, b)} \right) + \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b)}{W_x(a, b)} \right) \right\}.
\]

We have the following theorem. Its proof is given in Appendix.

**Theorem 1.** If \( x(t) \) is an LFM signal given by (34), then at \( (a, b) \) where \( \frac{\partial}{\partial a} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^\psi(a, b)}{W_x(a, b)} \right) \neq 0 \) and \( \tilde{W}_x(a, b) \neq 0 \), \( \omega_{x, adp, 2nd}^{2nd}(a, b) \) defined by (39) is the IF of \( x(t) \), namely \( \omega_{x, adp, 2nd}^{2nd}(a, b) = c + rb \).
With the phase transformation $\omega_{x}^{adp,2nd}(a, b)$ in (39), we define the second-order SST with a time-varying parameter (also called the second-order adaptive SST) of a signal $x(t)$ as in (28):

$$T_{x}^{adp,2nd}(\xi, b) = T_{x}^{2nd}(\xi, b, \sigma(b)) := \int_{\{a \in \mathbb{R}: W_{x}(a, b, \sigma(b)) \neq 0\}} W_{x}(a, b, \sigma(b)) \delta(\omega_{x}^{adp,2nd}(a, b) - \xi) \frac{da}{a},$$

(41)

where $\xi$ is the frequency variable. We also have the reconstruction formulas for $x(t)$ and $x_{k}(t)$ similar to (29), (30) and (31) with $T_{x}(\xi, b, \sigma(b))$ replaced by $T_{x}^{2nd}(\xi, b, \sigma(b))$.

4 Support zones of CWTs of linear frequency modulation signals

In this section we consider the support zone of CWT in the time-scale plane. The “bump wavelet” $\psi_{\text{bump}}$ is bandlimited (namely, $\hat{\psi}_{\text{bump}}$ is compactly supported), and hence it has a better frequency localization than Morlet’s wavelet. On the other hand, Morlet’s wavelet as given in (3) has the explicit form

$$\psi_{\text{Mor}}(t) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(\omega_{x}^{2})(\xi - \mu)^{2}}{2\sigma^{2}}} (e^{i2\mu t} - e^{-2\pi^{2}\sigma^{2}\mu^{2}}).$$

(42)

We see that, unlike the bump wavelet, this wavelet enjoys a nice localization property in both the time and frequency domains. We will focus on Morlet’s wavelet below. Moreover, since the second term in (3) and (42) is small for $\mu \sigma \geq 1$, as was observed in Section 3.1, it is commonly dropped in numerical signal processing. Hence in this and the following sections, unless it is specifically stated, Morlet’s wavelet refers to its simplified version $\psi_{\sigma}$ defined by (32) or its analytic version defined by

$$\hat{\psi}_{\sigma}(\xi) = \begin{cases} e^{-2\pi^{2}\sigma^{2}(\xi - \mu)^{2}}, & \text{if } \xi > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \xi \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

(43)

Now let $x(t)$ be a multicomponent signal as given in (1) with $A_{0}(t) = 0$. Recall the fact (see the discussion in [39]) that if the CWTs $W_{x_{k-1}}(a, b)$ and $W_{x_{k}}(a, b)$ of two components $x_{k-1}(t)$ and $x_{k}(t)$ are mixed, then the SST approach is unable to separate these components. In addition, as observed from Fig.1 that the choice of the parameter $\sigma$ for the wavelet affects the representation of the CWT. Our goal is to formulate the conditions (called well-separated conditions) such that we can find (if possible) a suitable positive function $\sigma(b)$ of $b$ with which the corresponding adaptive CWTs of different components $x_{k}(t)$ defined in (18) are well separated, and hence, the associated adaptive SST can separate all components $x_{k}(t)$ of $x(t)$.

To study the separability of CWTs (including CWTs with a time-varying parameter) of different components $x_{k}(t)$ of $x(t)$, we need to consider the support zone of $W_{x_{k}}(a, b)$ in the time-scale plane, the region outside which $W_{x_{k}}(a, b) \approx 0$. For $s(t) = A \cos(2\pi ct)$, for example, its CWT $W_{s}(a, b)$ with an analytic wavelet $\psi$ is given by

$$W_{s}(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} A \overline{\psi(ac)} e^{i2\pi bc}.$$
Thus the support zone of $W_s(a,b)$ in the time-scale plane is determined by the region outside which $\hat{\psi}(\xi) \approx 0$. Therefore, first of all, we need to define the “support” of $\hat{\psi}$. For the “bump wavelet” $\psi_{\text{bump}}$, it is bandlimited, and the support of $\hat{\psi}_{\text{bump}}$ is $[-\frac{1}{\sigma}, \frac{1}{\sigma}]$. If $\psi$ is non-bandlimited, the corresponding CWTs $W_{x_{k-1}}(a,b)$ and $W_{x_k}(a,b)$ overlap theoretically even for the case when $x_{k-1}(t)$ and $x_k(t)$ are sinusoidal signals. For example, the CWTs $W_{r_1}(a,b)$ and $W_{r_2}(a,b)$ of $r_1(t)$ and $r_2(t)$ with Morlet’s wavelet in Fig.2 overlap. However, the values of these CWTs are very small over the overlapping region and are hardly noticeable. Instead, what we can see in Fig.2 are two bumps lying in two separated zones of the time-scale plane. In such a case we can treat $W_{x_k}(a,b)$ as zero whenever its value is small. We describe this mathematically. Given threshold $0 < \tau < 1$, if a function $h(\xi)$ satisfies $|h(\xi)| / \max |h(\xi)| < \tau$ for $|\xi| \geq \xi_0$, then we say $h(\xi)$ is “supported” in $[-\xi_0, \xi_0]$. In particular, for Gaussian function $g(\xi) = e^{-2\pi^2(\xi-\mu)^2}$, if $g(\mu + \alpha) = \tau$ then we have

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{2 \ln(1/\tau)}.$$ (44)

Thus we regard that $g$ vanishes outside $[\mu - \alpha, \mu + \alpha]$ and hence $g$ is “supported” in $[\mu - \alpha, \mu + \alpha]$. We use $L_g$ to denote the length of the “support” of $g$, i.e.

$$L_g = 2\alpha.$$

We also call $L_g$ the duration of $g$. For $\hat{\psi}_\sigma$ defined by (32), $\hat{\psi}_\sigma$ is “supported” in $[\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\sigma}, \mu + \frac{\alpha}{\sigma}]$ and hence, $L_{\hat{\psi}_\sigma} = \frac{2\alpha}{\sigma}$. Since we hope that $\psi_\sigma$ is analytic, it is desirable that $\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\sigma} \geq 0$. Thus, in the following, we always assume that

$$\sigma \geq \frac{\alpha}{\mu}.$$

Recall that for $s(t) = A \cos(2\pi c t)$, its CWT with $\psi_\sigma(t)$ defined by (43) is

$$W_s(a,b) = \frac{1}{2} A \overline{\psi_\sigma(ac)} e^{i2\pi bc}.$$ (45)

Since $\hat{\psi}_\sigma(ac)$ is “supported” in $\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\sigma} \leq ac \leq \mu + \frac{\alpha}{\sigma}$, $W_s(a,b)$ concentrates around $a = \frac{\mu}{c}$ and lies within the zone (a strip) of the time-scale plane of $(a,b)$:

$$\frac{\mu - \alpha/\sigma}{c} \leq a \leq \frac{\mu + \alpha/\sigma}{c}$$ (45)

for all $b$.

Next we consider LFM signals (linear chirps). For simplicity of presentation, we consider the case that $A(t)$ in (34) is a constant. Namely, we consider

$$s(t) = Ae^{i2\pi(ct + \frac{1}{2}t^2)}.$$ (46)

First we find the CWT of $s(t)$. To this regard, we need the following formula.
Lemma 1. ([12, 52]) For real $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\omega$ with $\alpha > 0$,
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-(\alpha+i\beta)t^2+i\omega t} dt = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{\sqrt{\alpha + i\beta}} e^{-\frac{\omega^2}{4(\alpha+i\beta)}}.
\]

Next proposition gives the CWT of LMF signal $s(t)$ with $\psi_\sigma$.

**Proposition 2.** Let $s(t)$ be the LFM signal defined by (46). Then the CWT of $s(t)$ with $\psi_\sigma$ given by (32) is
\[
W_s(a, b) = A \frac{A}{\sqrt{1-i2\pi\sigma^2a^2r}} e^{i2\pi(c+b^2)} h(c + rb),
\]
where
\[
h(\xi) = e^{-\frac{2\pi^2(\frac{a\sigma}{\sigma})^2}{1+(2\pi ra^2\sigma^2)^2}(\xi - \frac{\mu}{a})^2}} (c+rb).
\]

The proof of Proposition 2 is presented in Appendix.

Observe that $|h(\xi)|$ is a Gaussian function with duration
\[
L_{|h|} = 2\alpha \sqrt{\frac{1+(2\pi ra^2\sigma^2)^2}{(a\sigma)^2}} = 2\alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{(a\sigma)^2} + (2\pi ra\sigma)^2}.
\]

Thus the ridge of $W_s(a, b)$ concentrates around $c + rb = \frac{\mu}{a}$ in the time-scale plane of $(a, b)$, and $W_s(a, b)$ lies within the zone of time-scale plane:
\[
-\frac{1}{2} L_{|h|} \leq c + rb - \frac{\mu}{a} \leq \frac{1}{2} L_{|h|},
\]
or equivalently
\[
c + rb - \frac{\mu}{a} \leq \frac{1}{2} L_{|h|} \leq c + rb + \frac{\mu}{a} \leq c + rb + \frac{1}{2} L_{|h|},
\]
(48)

We call the region in the time-scale plane given by (48) the time-scale zone of $W_s(a, b)$.

$L_{|h|}$ reaches its minimum when $\frac{1}{(a\sigma)^2} = (2\pi ra\sigma)^2$, namely,
\[
\sigma = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{2\pi |r|}} = \frac{1}{a\sqrt{2\pi |\phi''(b)|}}.
\]
(49)

In this case $L_{|h|} = 4\alpha \sqrt{\pi |r|}$, and the time-scale zone of $W_s(a, b)$ is
\[
c + rb - 2\alpha \sqrt{\pi |r|} \leq \frac{\mu}{a} \leq c + rb + 2\alpha \sqrt{\pi |r|}.
\]

Observe that $\sigma$ in (49) depends on both $a$ and $b$. Our goal is to design a method to select the parameter $\sigma = \sigma(b)$ depending on $b$ only so that (i) the corresponding time-varying CWTs of the components of a multicomponent signal can be separated in the time-scale plane and the SST defined by (28) with this $\sigma(b)$ has a sharp representation and (ii) the components can be recovered accurately from the SST with $\sigma(b)$ by (31). The obtained time-scale zone in (48) for a linear chirp helps us to formulate the well-separated conditions and develop the method to find suitable $\sigma(b)$, which are the problems we will focus on in the next two sections.
5 Well-separated conditions for multicomponent signals

In this section we derive the well-separated conditions for multicomponent signals based on the adaptive CWT. First, we consider the sinusoidal signal model. Recall from §4 that the CWT of $x(t) = Ae^{2\pi ct}$ with $\psi_\sigma(t)$ defined by (43) is supported in the zone of the time-scale plane given by (45). For $x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k e^{2\pi c_k t}$ with $c_{k-1} < c_k$, its CWT is

$$W_x(a,b) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k \hat{\psi}_\sigma(ac_k) e^{i2\pi bc_k}.$$  

Since the CWT of the $k$-component lies within the zone $\frac{\mu - \alpha/\sigma}{c_k} \leq a \leq \frac{\mu + \alpha/\sigma}{c_k}$ of the time-scale plane, the components of $x(t)$ will be well-separated in the time-scale plane if

$$\frac{\mu + \alpha/\sigma}{c_k} \leq \frac{\mu - \alpha/\sigma}{c_{k-1}},$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{c_k - c_{k-1}}{c_k + c_{k-1}} \geq \frac{\alpha}{\mu \sigma}, \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \ldots, K.$$  

Hence, we can separate the components of $x(t)$ in the time-scale plane if we choose $\sigma$ such that

$$\sigma \geq \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \frac{c_k + c_{k-1}}{c_k - c_{k-1}}, \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \ldots, K.$$  

More general, for $x(t)$ given by

$$x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} A_k(t) e^{2\pi \phi_k(t)},$$

if for each $k$, the CWT of $x_k(t)$ with $\psi_\sigma$, which is

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_k(b+at)e^{2\pi \phi_k(at+b)} \psi_\sigma(t) dt,$$

can be well-approximated by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_k(b)e^{i2\pi (\phi_k(b)+\phi'_k(b)at)} \overline{\psi_\sigma(t)} dt = A_k(b) \overline{\hat{\psi}_\sigma(b)} e^{i2\pi \phi_k(b)},$$

then the CWTs of the components $x_k(t), k = 1, \ldots, K$, are separated in the time-scale plane provided that

$$\sigma \geq \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \frac{\phi'_k(b) + \phi'_{k-1}(b)}{\phi'_k(b) - \phi'_{k-1}(b)}, \text{ for } k = 2, 3, \ldots, K$$

for each $b$. The condition in (53) is the well-separated condition based on the sinusoidal signal model.
The error bounds derived in [22] imply that for a signal, its synchrosqueezed representation is sharper when the window width (in time) of the continuous wavelet is smaller. This fact was also noticed in our various experiments. The parameter $\sigma$ is the window width (in time) of $\psi_\sigma$ (up to a constant). Thus we choose the smallest $\sigma$ satisfying (53). Hence, we propose the sinusoidal signal-based choice for $\sigma$, denoted by $\sigma_1(b)$, to be

$$\sigma_1(b) = \max_{2 \leq k \leq K} \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \phi_k'(b) + \phi_{k-1}'(b) \right\}.$$  

Next we consider the linear chirp model. More precisely, we consider $x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k(t)$, where each $x_k(t)$ is a linear chirp, namely,

$$x_k(t) = A_ke^{i2\pi(c_k t + \frac{1}{2}r_k t^2)}$$

with the phase $\phi_k(t) = c_k t + \frac{1}{2}r_k t^2$ satisfying $\phi_{k-1}'(t) < \phi_k'(t)$. From (48), the CWT $W_{x_k}(a,b)$ of $x_k(t)$ with $\psi_\sigma$ lies within the zone of time-scale plane:

$$c_k + r_k b - \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{(a\sigma)^2} + (2\pi r_k a\sigma)^2} \leq \frac{\mu}{a} \leq c_k + r_k b + \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{(a\sigma)^2} + (2\pi r_k a\sigma)^2}.$$  

The two equalities in (55) give the boundaries $u_k(b)$ (upper boundary) and $l_k(b)$ (lower boundary) for the support zone of $W_{x_k}(a,b)$. More precisely, solving the following two equations in (56) for $a$ gives $u_k(b)$ and $l_k(b)$ respectively:

$$c_k + r_k b - \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{(a\sigma)^2} + (2\pi r_k a\sigma)^2} = \frac{\mu}{a}, \quad c_k + r_k b + \alpha \sqrt{\frac{1}{(a\sigma)^2} + (2\pi r_k a\sigma)^2} = \frac{\mu}{a}$$  

Figure 3: Time-scale zone (“support”) of $W_{x_k}(a,b)$
See Fig.3 for the time-scale zone of $W_{x_k}(a,b)$. Our goal is to obtain the conditions on $\phi_k$ and $\phi_{k-1}$ under which we can choose $\sigma$, depending on $b$ only, such that the support zones of $W_{x_k}(a,b)$, $k = 1, \cdots, K$ are not overlapped in the time-scale plane, namely, $u_k(b)$ and $l_k(b)$ satisfy

$$u_k(b) \leq l_{k-1}(b), \quad k = 2, 3, \cdots, K. \tag{57}$$

The case shown in Fig.4 is not what we pursue because the condition (57) is invalid with $u_k(b), l_{k-1}(b)$ entangled.

Observe that

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{a\sigma^2} + (2\pi|r_k|a\sigma)^2} \leq \frac{1}{a\sigma} + 2\pi|r_k|a\sigma \leq \sqrt{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{a\sigma^2} + (2\pi|r_k|a\sigma)^2}.$$  

In the following we use $\frac{1}{a\sigma} + 2\pi|r_k|a\sigma$ in the place of $\sqrt{\frac{1}{a\sigma^2} + (2\pi|r_k|a\sigma)^2}$ in equations (55) and (56). More generally, for $x(t)$ given by (50), if the CWT $W_{x_k}(a,b)$ of $x_k(t) = A_k(t)e^{i2\pi\phi_k(t)}$ can be well approximated by

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A_k(b)e^{i2\pi\left(\phi_k(b) + \phi'_k(b)at + \frac{1}{2}\phi''_k(b)(at)^2\right)}\psi\sigma(t)dt, \tag{58}$$

then $W_{x_k}(a,b)$ lies within a larger zone of time-scale plane defined by:

$$\phi'_k(b) - \alpha\left(\frac{1}{a\sigma} + 2\pi|\phi''_k(b)|a\sigma\right) \leq \frac{\mu}{a} \leq \phi'_k(b) + \alpha\left(\frac{1}{a\sigma} + 2\pi|\phi''_k(b)|a\sigma\right). \tag{59}$$

Therefore, boundaries $a = u_k$ and $a = l_k$ of the support zone of $W_{x_k}(a,b)$ are the solutions of the following two equations respectively:

$$\frac{\mu}{u_k} + \alpha\left(\frac{1}{u_k\sigma} + 2\pi|\phi''_k(b)|u_k\sigma\right) = \phi'_k(b), \tag{60}$$

$$\frac{\mu}{l_k} - \alpha\left(\frac{1}{l_k\sigma} + 2\pi|\phi''_k(b)|l_k\sigma\right) = \phi'_k(b). \tag{61}$$
One can obtain from (60) and (61) that
\[ u_k = u_k(b) = \frac{\phi'_k(b) - \sqrt{\phi'_k(b)^2 - 8\pi \alpha (\mu \sigma + \alpha) |\phi''_k(b)|}}{4\pi \sigma \alpha |\phi''_k(b)|} \]

\[ = \frac{\phi'_k(b) + \sqrt{\phi'_k(b)^2 - 8\pi \alpha (\mu + \frac{\sigma}{2}) |\phi''_k(b)|}}{2(\mu + \frac{\sigma}{2})} \quad (62) \]

\[ l_k = l_k(b) = \frac{-\phi'_k(b) + \sqrt{\phi'_k(b)^2 + 8\pi \alpha (\mu - \sigma - \alpha) |\phi''_k(b)|}}{4\pi \sigma \alpha |\phi''_k(b)|} \]

\[ = \frac{-\phi'_k(b) + \sqrt{\phi'_k(b)^2 + 8\pi \alpha (\mu - \sigma - \alpha) |\phi''_k(b)|}}{2(\mu - \frac{\sigma}{2})} \quad (63) \]

One can verify directly \( l_k(b) \leq u_k(b) \) for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \). In order to separate the components of \( x_k(t) \), we need to choose \( \sigma \) such that the support zones of \( W_{x_{k-1}}(a,b) \) and \( W_{x_k}(a,b) \) do not overlap, namely (57) holds. By careful and tedious calculations, one can obtain that inequality (57) with \( u_k(b) \) and \( l_k(b) \) given by (62) and (63) can be written as
\[ \alpha_k(b)\sigma^2 - \beta_k(b)\sigma + \gamma_k(b) \leq 0, \]

where
\[ \alpha_k(b) = 2\pi \alpha \mu (|\phi''_k(b)| + |\phi''_{k-1}(b)|)^2, \]
\[ \beta_k(b) = (\phi'_k(b)|\phi''_{k-1}(b)| + \phi'_{k-1}(b)|\phi''_k(b)|)(\phi'_k(b) - \phi'_{k-1}(b)) + 4\pi \alpha^2 (\phi''_k(b)^2 - \phi''_{k-1}(b)^2), \]
\[ \gamma_k(b) = \frac{\alpha}{\mu} \{(\phi'_k(b)|\phi''_{k-1}(b)| + \phi'_{k-1}(b)|\phi''_k(b)|)(\phi'_k(b) + \phi'_{k-1}(b)) + 2\pi \alpha^2 (|\phi''_k(b)| + |\phi''_{k-1}(b)|)^2 \}. \]

Thus, if
\[ Y_k(b) := \beta_k(b)^2 - 4\alpha_k(b)\gamma_k(b) \]
\[ = (\phi'_k(b)|\phi''_{k-1}(b)| + \phi'_{k-1}(b)|\phi''_k(b)|)^2 \{ (\phi'_k(b) - \phi'_{k-1}(b))^2 - 16\pi \alpha^2 (|\phi''_k(b)| + |\phi''_{k-1}(b)|) \} \geq 0, \]

then (57) holds if and only if \( \sigma \) satisfies
\[ \frac{\beta_k(b) - \sqrt{Y_k(b)}}{2\alpha_k(b)} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{\beta_k(b) + \sqrt{Y_k(b)}}{2\alpha_k(b)}. \quad (64) \]

Otherwise, if \( Y_k(b) < 0 \), then there is no suitable solution of the parameter \( \sigma \) for (57), which means that components \( x_{k-1}(t) \) and \( x_k(t) \) of multicomponent signal \( x(t) \) cannot be separated in the time-scale plane. Thus we reach our well-separated conditions of CWTs with a time-varying \( \sigma = \sigma(b) \).

**Theorem 2.** Let \( x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} x_k(t) \), where each \( x_k(t) = A_k(t)e^{i2\pi \phi_k(t)} \) is a linear chirp signal or its adaptive CWT \( W_{x_k}(b,a,\sigma(b)) \) with \( \psi_{\sigma(b)} \) can be well approximated by (58), and \( \phi'_{k-1}(t) < \phi'_k(t) \).
If for any \( b \) with \( |\phi''_k(b)| + |\phi''_{k-1}(b)| \neq 0 \),

\[
4\alpha \sqrt{\pi} \sqrt{|\phi''_k(b)| + |\phi''_{k-1}(b)|} \leq \phi'_k(b) - \phi'_{k-1}(b), \quad k = 2, \ldots, K, \text{ and }
\]

\[
\max \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\mu}, \frac{\beta_k(b) - \sqrt{\Upsilon_k(b)}}{2\alpha_k(b)} : 2 \leq k \leq K \right\} \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\beta_k(b) + \sqrt{\Upsilon_k(b)}}{2\alpha_k(b)} \right\},
\]

(65) \hspace{1cm} (66)

then the components of \( x(t) \) are well-separable in time-scale plane in the sense that \( W_{x_k}(b,a,\sigma(b)), 1 \leq k \leq K \) with \( \sigma(b) \) chosen to satisfy (64) lie in non-overlapping regions in the time-scale place.

Considering again the fact that a smaller \( \sigma \) results in a sharper synchrosqueezed representation, we choose the smallest \( \sigma(b) \) such that (64) holds. Hence, we propose the linear chirp signal-based choice for \( \sigma \), denoted by \( \sigma_2(b) \), to be

\[
\sigma_2(b) = \begin{cases} 
\max \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\mu}, \frac{\beta_k(b) - \sqrt{\Upsilon_k(b)}}{2\alpha_k(b)} : 2 \leq k \leq K \right\} , & \text{if } |\phi''_k(b)| + |\phi''_{k-1}(b)| \neq 0, \\
\max \left\{ \frac{\alpha}{\mu}, \frac{\phi'_k(b) + \phi'_{k-1}(b)}{\mu \phi'_k(b) - \phi'_{k-1}(b)} : 2 \leq k \leq K \right\} , & \text{if } \phi''_k(b) = \phi''_{k-1}(b) = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

(67)

Next we show some experiment results. Let \( z(t) \) be a signal with two linear chirps:

\[
z(t) = z_1(t) + z_2(t) = \cos \left( 2\pi(c_1 + \frac{1}{2}r_1 t) t \right) + \cos \left( 2\pi(c_2 + \frac{1}{2}r_2 t) t \right), t \in [0, 1],
\]

(68)

where the starting frequencies: \( c_1 = 12, c_2 = 34 \), and the chip rates: \( r_1 = 50, r_2 = 64 \). Here \( z(t) \) is sampled uniformly with \( N = 256 \) sample points. We let \( \mu \) in Morlet’s wavelet \( \psi_\alpha \) be 1. The scale variable \( a \) is discretized as \( a_j = 2^{j/n_\nu} \Delta t \) with \( n_\nu = 32, j = 1, \ldots, n_\nu \log_2 N \). We choose \( \tau \) in (67) to be 1/5. Note that we set the same values of \( \mu, n_\nu \) and \( \tau \) for all the following experiments.

Fig.5 (top-right) shows the waveform of \( z(t) \) in (68). The left figure in the second row also shows the boundaries \( l_1 \) and \( u_2 \), namely the low boundary of \( z_1(t) \) and upper boundary of \( z_2(t) \) by (63) and (62) when \( \sigma = 1 \). So when \( \sigma = 1 \), \( z_1(t) \) and \( z_2(t) \) are not separable in the time-scale plane of CWT except for the part with the time \( t \) near 0. By comparing with the actual instantaneous frequencies \( \phi'_1(t) = 12 + 50t \) and \( \phi'_2(t) = 34 + 64t \) of \( z_1(t) \) and \( z_2(t) \) resp., the adaptive SST defined by (28) and second-order adaptive SST defined by (41) with the time-varying parameter proposed this paper gives sharper and more correct representations of IFs than the conventional SST and conventional second-order SST with a constant parameter. Moreover, with the proposed choice for \( \sigma \) in (67), namely \( \sigma_2(b) \), the second-order adaptive SST further increases the time-frequency energy concentration and gives the best time-frequency representation.
Figure 5: Example of the two-component LFM signal $z(t)$ in (68). Top row: waveform of $z(t)$ (left), instantaneous frequencies of the $z_1(t)$ and $z_2(t)$ (middle), time-varying parameters $\sigma_1(t)$ and $\sigma_2(t)$ (right); Second row: conventional CWT when $\sigma = 1$ (left), adaptive CWT with $\sigma_1(t)$ (middle), adaptive CWT with $\sigma_2(t)$ (right); Third row: conventional SST when $\sigma = 1$ (left), adaptive SST with $\sigma_1(t)$ (middle), adaptive SST with $\sigma_2(t)$ (right); Bottom row: conventional second-order SST when $\sigma = 1$ (left), second-order adaptive SST with $\sigma_1(t)$ (middle), second-order adaptive SST with $\sigma_2(t)$ (right).
6 An algorithm to select the time-varying parameter $\sigma(b)$ automatically

Suppose $x(t)$ given by (50) is separable, meaning (65) and (66) hold when an LFM signal is used to approximate the signal during any local time. If we know $\phi'_k(b)$ and $\phi''_k(b)$, then we can choose a $\sigma(b)$ such that it satisfies (64) to define the adaptive CWT and adaptive SST for sharp representations of $x_k(t)$ in the time-frequency plane and accurate recovery of $x_k(t)$. However in practice, we in general have no prior knowledge of $\phi'_k(b)$ and $\phi''_k(b)$. Hence, we need a method to find a suitable $\sigma(b)$. In this section, we propose an algorithm for obtaining a $\sigma(b)$ based on the LFM-model.

First we have, by (42), that the amplitude of wavelet $\psi_{(a,b)}$ is

$$|\psi_{(a,b)}(t)| = \frac{1}{a\sigma\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(t-b)^2}.$$  

Following (44), the duration of $\psi_{(a,b)}$ is defined as,

$$L_{\psi_{(a,b)}} = 4\pi a \sigma.$$  

Next we describe our idea of selecting $\sigma(b)$ for a multicomponent signal. For a fixed pair $(b, \sigma)$, denote $W_{(b,\sigma)}(a) = W_x(a, b, \sigma)$, $x(t)$’s CWT with a time-varying parameter defined by (18). First of all, for temporarily fixed $b$ and $\sigma$, we extract the peaks (local maxima) of $|W_{(b,\sigma)}(a)|$ above certain height. More precisely, let $\Gamma_3 > 0$ is a given threshold. We find local maximum points $a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_m$ of $|W_{(b,\sigma)}(a)|$ at which $|W_{(b,\sigma)}(a)|$ attains local maxima with

$$|W_{(b,\sigma)}(a_k)| > \Gamma_3, \; k = 1, \cdots, m.$$  

Observe that $m$ may depend on $b$ and $\sigma$. We assume $a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_m$. For each local maximum point $a_k$, we treat $(a_k, b)$ as the local maximum of the adaptive CWT $W_{x_k}(b, a, \sigma)$ of a potential component, denoted by $x_k$, of $x(t)$. To check whether $x_k$ is indeed a component of $x(t)$ or not, we consider the support interval $[g_k, h_k]$ for $W_{x_k}(a, b, \sigma)$ for fixed $b$ and $\sigma$ with $W_{x_k}(a, b, \sigma) \approx 0$ for $a \notin [g_k, h_k]$. If there is no overlap among $[g_k, h_k], [g_{k-1}, h_{k-1}], [g_{k+1}, h_{k+1}]$, then we decide that $x_k(t)$ is indeed a component of $x(t)$, where $[g_{k-1}, h_{k-1}], [g_{k+1}, h_{k+1}]$ are the support intervals for CWTs of $x_{k-1}$ and $x_{k+1}$ defined similarly. Next we provide a method to estimate $g_k, h_k$.

With our LMF model, if the estimated IF $\phi'_k(t)$ of $x_k(t)$ is $\hat{c}_k + \hat{r}_k(t - b)$, then by (62) and (63) with $\phi'_k(b) = \hat{c}_k, \phi''_k(b) = \hat{r}_k$,

$$h_k = \frac{2(\mu + \frac{\alpha}{\sigma})}{\hat{c}_k + \sqrt{\hat{c}_k^2 - 8\pi \alpha (\alpha + \mu \sigma)\hat{r}_k}},$$  

$$g_k = \frac{2(\mu - \frac{\alpha}{\sigma})}{\hat{c}_k + \sqrt{\hat{c}_k^2 + 8\pi \alpha (\mu \sigma - \alpha)\hat{r}_k}}.$$  

$$\hat{c}_k + \sqrt{\hat{c}_k^2 - 8\pi \alpha (\alpha + \mu \sigma)\hat{r}_k},$$  

$$\hat{c}_k + \sqrt{\hat{c}_k^2 + 8\pi \alpha (\mu \sigma - \alpha)\hat{r}_k}.$$  
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Thus to obtain $g_k, h_k$, we need to estimate $\hat{c}_k$ and the chirp rate $\hat{r}_k$ of $x_k(t)$. To this regard, we extract a small piece of curve in the time-scale plane passing through $(a_k, b)$ which corresponding to the local ridge on $|W_{(t, \sigma)}(a)|$. More precisely, letting

$$t_{k1} = b - \frac{1}{2} L\psi(a_k, b) = b - 2\pi \alpha \sigma a_k, \quad t_{k2} = b - \frac{1}{2} L\psi(a_k, b) = b + 2\pi \alpha \sigma a_k,$$

we define

$$d_k(t) = \arg\max_{a: a \text{ is near } a_k} |W_{(t, \sigma)}(a)|, \quad t \in [t_{k1}, t_{k2}].$$

Note that $d_k(b) = a_k$ and $(a_k, b)$ is a point lying on the curve in the time-scale of $(a, t)$ given by

$$L = \{(d_k(t), t) : t \in [t_{k1}, t_{k2}]\} = \{(a, t) : a = d_k(t), t \in [t_{k1}, t_{k2}]\}.$$ Most importantly, $\{|W_{(t, \sigma)}(a)| : (a, t) \in L\}$ is the local ridge on $|W_{(t, \sigma)}(a)|$ near $(a_k, b)$, and thus, it is also the local ridge on $|W_{x_k}(a, t, \sigma)|$. Observe that from the CWT of an LFM signal given by (47), the local ridge on $|W_{x_k}(a, t, \sigma)|$ occurs when $\frac{b}{a} = \phi_k(t) = c_k + r_k t$, namely the local ridge on $|W_{x_k}(a, t, \sigma)|$ is given by $\{|W_{x_k}(a, t, \sigma)| : \frac{b}{a} = c_k + r_k t\}$. Thus the curve $L$ given by $a = d_k(t)$ can be used to estimate $c_k$ and $r_k$:

$$c_k + r_k t \approx \hat{f}_k(t) = \frac{\mu}{d_k(t)}.$$

With the LFM model, we use the linear function

$$f_k(t) = \hat{r}_k(t - b) + \hat{c}_k, \quad t \in [t_{k1}, t_{k2}]$$

to fit $\hat{f}_k(t)$. With these $\hat{c}_k$ and $\hat{r}_k$, we have $h_k, g_k$ given in (69) and (70). Especially when $\hat{r}_k = 0$, recalling the support zone of a sinusoidal signal mode in §5, we have

$$h_k = \frac{\mu + \alpha/\sigma}{\hat{c}_k}, \quad g_k = \frac{\mu - \alpha/\sigma}{\hat{c}_k}.$$

In this way we obtain the collection of support intervals for $W_x(a, b, \sigma)$ for fixed $b$ and $\sigma$:

$$s = \{[g_1, h_1], \cdots, [g_m, h_m]\}. \quad (71)$$

If adjacent intervals of $s$ do not overlap, namely,

$$h_k \leq g_{k+1}, \quad \text{for all } k = 1, 2, \cdots, m - 1 \quad (72)$$

holds, then this $\sigma$ is a right parameter to separate the components and such a $\sigma$ is a good candidate which we should consider to select. Otherwise, if a pair of adjacent intervals of $s$ overlap, namely, (72) does not hold, then this is not the parameter we shall choose and we need to consider a different $\sigma$. 

24
In the above description of our idea for the algorithm, we start with a \( \sigma \) and (fixed) \( b \), then we decide whether this \( \sigma \) is a good candidate to select based on the criterion (72). The choice of the initial \( \sigma \) plays a critical role for the success of our algorithm due to the fact that on one hand, as we have mentioned above, a smaller \( \sigma \) will in general result in a sharper representation of SST, and hence, we should find \( \sigma \) as small as possible such that (72) holds; and on the other hand, different \( \sigma \) with which (72) holds may result in different number of intervals \( m \) in (71) even for the same time instance \( b \). To keep the number \( m \) (the number of components) unchanged when we search for different \( \sigma \) with a fixed \( b \), the initial \( \sigma \) is required to provide a good estimation on the number of the components of a multicomponent signal \( x(t) \). To this regard, in this paper we propose to use the Rényi entropy to determine the initial \( \sigma(b) \). The Rényi entropy approach provides a sharp representation of the CWTs of the components of \( x(t) \) and hence, it facilities us to determine the number of intervals \( m \) in (71) when we search for smaller \( \sigma(b) \) for a fixed \( b \).

The Rényi entropy is a method to evaluate the concentration of a time-frequency representation \([53, 54]\). For a time-frequency representation \( D(u, \xi) \) of a signal \( x(t) \), such as CWT, STFT, SST, etc. of \( x(t) \), the Rényi entropy \( R_{\ell, \zeta}(t) \) is defined by

\[
R_{\ell, \zeta}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - \ell} \log_2 \frac{\int_{t-\zeta}^{t+\zeta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D(\xi, b)|^{2\ell} d\xi db}{\left( \int_{t-\zeta}^{t+\zeta} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D(\xi, b)|^2 d\xi db \right)^{\ell}}.
\]

where \( \ell \) is a constant and usually \( \ell \geq 2 \) (see \([54]\)), \( \zeta \) is another constant and \([t - \zeta, t + \zeta] \) is a local range around \( t \) to be integrated. Taking the CWT \( W(a, b) \) of a signal \( x(t) \) as an example, and assuming \( \ell = 2.5 \) (which is also used for the experiments in our paper), we have

\[
R_{\zeta}(t) = -\frac{2}{3} \log_2 \frac{\int_{t-\zeta}^{t+\zeta} \int_{0}^{\infty} |W(a, b)|^5 dadb}{\left( \int_{t-\zeta}^{t+\zeta} \int_{0}^{\infty} |W(a, b)|^2 dadb \right)^{2.5}}.
\]

Observe that \( R_{\zeta}(t) < 0 \). Note that the smaller the Rényi entropy, the better the time-frequency resolution. So for a fixed time \( t \), we can use (74) to find a \( \sigma \) (denoted as \( \sigma_u(t) \)) with the best time-frequency concentration of \( W(a, b, \sigma) \), where \( W(a, b, \sigma) \) is the CWT of \( x(t) \) with \( \psi_\sigma \) with a parameter \( \sigma \). More precisely, replacing \( W(a, b) \) in (74) by \( W(a, b, \sigma) \), we define the Rényi entropy \( R_{\zeta}(t, \sigma) \) of \( W(a, b, \sigma) \), and then, obtain

\[
\sigma_u(t) = \arg\min_{\sigma \geq \frac{\Delta \sigma}{2}} \{ R_{\zeta}(t, \sigma) \}.
\]

We set \( \sigma_u(t) \) as the upper bound of \( \sigma(t) \) for a fixed \( t \).

With these discussions, we propose an algorithm to estimate \( \sigma(t) \) as follows.

**Algorithm 1.** (Separability parameter estimation) Let \( \{ \sigma_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \} \) be an uniform discretization of \( \sigma \) with \( \sigma_1 > \sigma_2 > \cdots > \sigma_n > \frac{\Delta \sigma}{n} \) and sampling step \( \Delta \sigma = \sigma_{j+1} - \sigma_j \). The discrete sequence \( s(t), t = t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N \) (or \( t = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1 \) is the signal to be analyzed.
Step 1. Let \( t \) be a given time. Find \( \sigma_u \) in (75) with \( \sigma \in \{ \sigma_j, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n \} \).

Step 2. Let \( s \) be the set of the intervals given by (71) with \( \sigma = \sigma_u \). Let \( z = \sigma_u \). If (72) holds, then go to Step 3. Otherwise, go to Step 5.

Step 3. Let \( \sigma = z - \Delta \sigma \). If the number of intervals \( m \) in (71) with this new \( \sigma \) remains unchanged, \( \sigma \geq \sigma_n \) and (72) holds, then go to Step 4. Otherwise, go to Step 5.

Step 4. Repeat Step 3 with \( z = \sigma \).

Step 5. Let \( C(t) = z \), and repeat Step 1 to Step 4 for the next value of \( t \).

Step 6. Smooth \( C(t) \) with a low-pass filter \( B(t) \):

\[
\sigma_{est}(t) = (C * B)(t). \tag{76}
\]

We call \( \sigma_{est}(t) \) the estimation of the separability time-varying parameter \( \sigma_2(t) \) in (67). We repeat Step 1 through Step 5 with \( t = t_1 \), then \( t = t_2, \ldots \), and finally \( t = t_N \). In Step 6, we use a low-pass filter \( B(t) \) to smooth \( C(t) \). This is because of the assumption of the continuity condition for \( A_k(t) \) and \( \phi_k(t) \). With the estimated \( \sigma_{est}(t) \), we can define the adaptive CWT, the adaptive SST and the second-order adaptive SST with a time-varying parameter \( \sigma(t) = \sigma_{est}(t) \).

In [47], the time-varying window was proposed for the sharp representation of SST. More precisely, denote the Rényi entropies of SST and the second-order SST by \( R_{\xi, \zeta, \sigma}^{SST}(t) \) and \( R_{\xi, \zeta, \sigma}^{SST2}(t) \) respectively, which are defined by (73) with \( D(\xi, b) \) to be the regular SST \( T_x(\xi, b) \) and the regular second-order \( T_{x, 2nd}^2(\xi, b) \) of \( x(t) \) (with the phase transformation \( \omega_{x, 2nd}(a, b) \) given in [35]) with a continuous wavelet \( \psi_\sigma \) defined by (12) and (37) respectively. The time-varying parameter \( \sigma \) is obtained by minimizing \( R_{\xi, \zeta, \sigma}^{SST}(t) \) and \( R_{\xi, \zeta, \sigma}^{SST2}(t) \):

\[
\sigma_{Re}(t) = \arg\min_{\sigma > 0} R_{\xi, \zeta, \sigma}^{SST}(t), \quad \sigma_{Re2}(t) = \arg\min_{\sigma > 0} R_{\xi, \zeta, \sigma}^{SST2}(t). \tag{77}
\]

With \( \sigma_{Re}(t) \) and \( \sigma_{Re2}(t) \) obtained by (77), the time-varying-window SST with \( \sigma = \sigma_{Re}(t) \) in [47] is defined by (28) but with the phase transformation \( \omega_x^{adp}(a, b) \) in (28) replaced by the regular phase transformation (11) for the conventional SST. Similarly, the second-order time-varying-window SST with \( \sigma = \sigma_{Re2}(t) \) in [47] is defined by (41) but with the phase transformation \( \omega_x^{adp, 2nd}(a, b) \) in (41) replaced by the regular phase transformation defined in [35] for the conventional second-order SST. With PT representing phase transformation, we call them the regular-PT adaptive SST and the second-order regular-PT adaptive SST, respectively.

We use the proposed algorithm to process the two-component linear chip signal \( z(t) \) in (68) and compare the performance of this algorithm with those of regular SST and regular-PT adaptive SST in [47]. The different time-varying parameters are shown in the top row of Fig.6, where
Figure 6: Example of the two-component LFM signal $z(t)$ in (68). Top-left: various time-varying parameters; Top-right: regular-phase-transformation adaptive SST with $\sigma_{\text{Re}}(t)$; Bottom-left: adaptive SST with $\sigma_{\text{est}}(t)$; Bottom-right: second-order adaptive SST with $\sigma_{\text{est}}(t)$.

$\sigma_1(t)$, $\sigma_2(t)$, $\sigma_u(t)$, $\sigma_{\text{est}}(t)$, $\sigma_{\text{Re}}(t)$ and $\sigma_{\text{Re}2}(t)$ are defined by (54), (67), (75), (76) and (77), respectively. Here we let $\sigma \in [0.5, 10]$ with $\Delta \sigma = 0.05$, namely $\sigma_1 = 10$ in Algorithm 1. We set $\ell = 2.5$, $\zeta = 4$ (sampling points, for discrete signal) and $\Gamma_3 = 0.2$. Note that we set the same values of $\ell$, $\zeta$, and $\Gamma_3$ for the other experiments in §7. We use a simple rectangular window $B = \{1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5, 1/5\}$ as the low-pass filter. Note that $\sigma_1(t)$ and $\sigma_2(t)$ are the same curves as those plotted in Fig.5. The estimation $\sigma_{\text{est}}(t)$ by Algorithm 1 is very close to $\sigma_2(t)$ except for at the boundary near $t = 1$. So the estimation algorithm is an efficient method to estimate the well-separated time-varying parameter $\sigma_2(t)$. From Fig.6, we observe that the proposed adaptive SST with $\sigma = \sigma_{\text{est}}(t)$ is similar to the regular-PT adaptive SST with $\sigma = \sigma_{\text{Re}}(t)$, and both of them are much better than the conventional SST which is shown in Fig.5. Obviously, the proposed second-order adaptive SST with $\sigma = \sigma_{\text{est}}(t)$ is better than adaptive SST and regular-PT adaptive SST with $\sigma = \sigma_{\text{Re}1}(t)$. The second-order adaptive SST with the estimated parameter $\sigma_{\text{est}}(t)$ is as sharp as the second-order adaptive SST with parameter $\sigma_2(t)$ in Fig.5.
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7 Experiments on multicomponent signals

In this section we consider signals with more than 2 components. As demonstrated by Figs.5 and 6, the conventional second-order SST and second-order adaptive SST perform better than the first-order SST, while the second-order regular-PT adaptive SST does not perform well. In this section we just show some results of the conventional second-order SST and the second-order adaptive SST.

First, we consider a three-component signal,

\[ s(t) = s_1(t) + s_2(t) + s_3(t) = \cos(16\pi t) + \cos(96\pi t + 30\cos(4\pi t)) + \cos(180\pi t + 30\cos(4\pi t)), \]  

where \( t \in [0, 1] \), \( s_1(t) \) is a single-tone mode, \( s_2(t) \) and \( s_3(t) \) are sinusoidal frequency modulation modes. \( s(t) \) is sampled uniformly with \( N = 512 \) sample points. Hence the sampling rate is \( F_s = 512 \) Hz. We let \( \mu \) in Morlet’s wavelet \( \psi_\sigma \) be 1, and \( \tau \) in (44) to be 1/5.

![Figure 7: Example of the three-component signal \( s(t) \) in (78). Top-left: instantaneous frequencies of the \( s_1(t), s_2(t) \) and \( s_3(t) \); Top-right: conventional second-order SST with \( \sigma = 1 \); Bottom-left: conventional second-order SST with \( \sigma = 1.5 \); Bottom-right: second-order adaptive SST with time-varying \( \sigma(t) = \sigma_{\text{est}}(t) \).](image)

Fig.7 shows the experimental results of the three-component signal \( s(t) \). Observe that the second-order SSTs represent well for the single-tone mode \( s_1(t) \). For the conventional second-order SST, it is difficult to find a \( \sigma \) to represent well for both of the sinusoidal frequency modulation modes \( s_2(t) \) and \( s_3(t) \). As shown in Fig.7, \( \sigma = 1 \) is suitable for \( s_2(t) \), while \( \sigma = 1.5 \) is suitable for \( s_3(t) \). Setting same parameters \( \{\sigma_j\}, \Delta\sigma, \ell, \zeta, \Gamma_3 \) and \( B \) as those in Fig.6, we estimate the time-varying parameter \( \sigma_{\text{est}}(t) \). Note that the sinusoidal frequency modulation modes \( s_2(t) \)
and \(s_3(t)\) are approximated by LFM modes during any local time when using Algorithm 1 to estimate the time-varying parameter \(\sigma = \sigma_{est}(t)\). The bottom-right of Fig.7 shows the second-order adaptive SST with \(\sigma = \sigma_{est}(t)\). Obviously, the second-order adaptive SST can represent signal \(s(t)\) separately and sharply, and gives the highest energy concentration.

In real applications, signals are usually accompanied by noises and interferences. We add Gaussian noises to the three-component signal \(s(t)\) in (78) with signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) 10dB. Fig.8 shows the experimental results with enlarged scale, namely \(b \in [0.3, 0.8]\) and \(\xi \in [0, F_s/4]\) for time-frequency diagrams. Although noises will affect the time-frequency distributions of SSTs and second-order SSTs and decrease their energy concentration, the second-order adaptive SST proposed in this paper is much clearer and sharper than other time-frequency distributions. This is because the second-order adaptive SST has higher energy concentration as shown in Fig.7, and therefore is more efficient in noise suppression.

Figure 8: Example of the three-component signal \(s(t)\) in (78) with noise SNR=10dB and enlarged scale. Left: conventional second-order SST when \(\sigma = 1\); Middle: conventional second-order SST when \(\sigma = 1.5\); Right: second-order adaptive SST with time-varying parameter \(\sigma(t) = \sigma_{est}(t)\) obtained by our proposed Algorithm 1.

In order to further verify the reliability of the proposed algorithm, we test our method on a real dataset containing a bat echolocation signal emitted by a large brown bat [55]. There are 400 samples with the sampling period 7 microseconds (sampling rate \(F_s \approx 142.86\) KHz). From its CWT presented in Fig.9, the echolocation signal is a multicomponent signal, which consists of nonlinear FM components. Fig.9 shows the time-frequency representations of the echolocation signal: the conventional second-order SST with \(\sigma = 2\) and the proposed second-order adaptive SST with the estimated time-varying parameter \(\sigma_{est}(t)\). Unlike the three-component signal \(s(t)\) in (78), the four components in the bat signal are much well separated. Thus, both the conventional second-order SST and the second-order adaptive SST can separate well the components of the signal. In addition, they both give sharp representations in the time-frequency plane. Comparing with the conventional second-order SST, the second-order adaptive SST with \(\sigma = \sigma_{est}(t)\) gives a better representation for the fourth component (the highest frequency component) and the two
ends of the signal. One may tempt to try other choices of $\sigma$ for the conventional SST. For example, one may increase the value of $\sigma$ to obtain a sharper representation of the fourth component with the conventional second order SST, but this will affect the concentration of the first component (the lowest frequency component).

Figure 9: Example of the bat echolocation signal. Top-left: waveform; Top-right: conventional CWT with $\sigma = 2$; Bottom-left: conventional second-order SST with $\sigma = 2$; Bottom-right: second-order adaptive SST with time-varying parameter $\sigma(t) = \sigma_{est}(t)$ obtained by our proposed Algorithm 1.

8 Conclusion

In this paper, we propose the adaptive CWT, the adaptive SST and the second-order adaptive SST, all with a time-varying parameter, for the multicomponent signal separation. We define a bandwidth of Gaussian window to describe the supported zones of the CWT of a multicomponent signal in the time-scale plane efficiently. We derive the well-separated conditions of a multicomponent signal. Both the sinusoidal signal model and the linear frequency modulation (linear chirp) signal model are proposed. We propose a new algorithm which selects automatically the time-varying parameter for multicomponent signal separation. The simulation experiments on multicomponent signals demonstrate the validity of the proposed method in noisy environment. In this paper, we consider the CWT-based SST. The method and algorithm proposed in
this paper can be applied to the case of the STFT-based SST. We will report our results on the adaptive STFT and adaptive STFT-based SST in an accompanying paper. In addition, we will carry out the study of the adaptive SST with the quadratic chirp and other higher order chirp models.

Appendix

In this appendix, we provide the proof of Propositions 1 and 2 and Theorem 1.

Proof of Proposition 1. From (19), we have

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} W_x(a, b, \sigma(b)) \frac{da}{a} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) \overline{\psi_{\sigma(b)}(a \xi)} e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi \frac{da}{a}
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\psi_{\sigma(b)}(a \xi)}}{a} d\xi
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\overline{\psi_{\sigma(b)}(a \xi)}}{a} d\xi
\]

\[
= c_{\psi}(b) \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi = c_{\psi}(b) x(b).
\]

This completes the proof of (20).

If \( x(t) \) is real, then we have \( \overline{x(\xi)} = \hat{x}(-\xi) \). Thus,

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{0} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi = \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(-\xi) e^{-i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi = \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi,
\]

and hence

\[
x(b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi = \int_{-\infty}^{0} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi + \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi
\]

\[
= 2\text{Re}\left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi \right).
\]

From the proof of (20), we have

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} W_x(a, b, \sigma(b)) \frac{da}{a} = c_{\psi}(b) \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi.
\]

Therefore,

\[
x(b) = 2\text{Re}\left( \int_{0}^{\infty} \hat{x}(\xi) e^{i 2\pi b \xi} d\xi \right) = \text{Re}\left( \frac{2}{c_{\psi}(b)} \int_{0}^{\infty} W_x(a, b, \sigma(b)) \frac{da}{a} \right).
\]

This proves (22).

Proof of Theorem 1. For \( s = s(t) \) given by (34), from \( s'(t) = (p + qt + i 2\pi (c + rt)) s(t) \) and

\[
\tilde{W}_s(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(b + at) \frac{1}{\sigma(b)} g\left(\frac{t}{\sigma(b)}\right) e^{-i 2\pi \mu t} dt,
\]

31
Therefore, if in addition,

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \tilde{W}_s(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s'(b + at) \frac{1}{\sigma(b)} g\left( t \frac{b}{\sigma(b)} \right) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(b + at) \left( \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)^2} g\left( t \frac{b}{\sigma(b)} \right) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt \right. \]

\[ + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(b + at) \left( \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)^3} g\left( t \frac{b}{\sigma(b)} \right) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt \right) \]

\[ = (p + qb + i2\pi(c + rb)) \tilde{W}_s(a, b) + (q + i2\pi r) a \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ts(b + at) \frac{1}{\sigma(b)} g\left( t \frac{b}{\sigma(b)} \right) e^{-i2\pi \mu t} dt \]

\[ - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \tilde{W}_s(a, b) - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \tilde{W}_s^{\psi^2}(a, b) \]

Thus, if \( \tilde{W}_s(a, b) \neq 0 \), we have

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \tilde{W}_s(a, b) = p + qb + i2\pi(c + rb) + (q + i2\pi r) a \sigma(b) \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^1}(a, b)}{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)} - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \tilde{W}_s(a, b) - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \tilde{W}_s^{\psi^2}(a, b). \] (79)

Taking partial derivative \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \) to both sides of (79),

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial b} \tilde{W}_s(a, b) \right) = (q + i2\pi r) \sigma(b) \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^1}(a, b)}{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)} \right) - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^2}(a, b)}{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)} \right). \]

Therefore, if in addition, \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^1}(a, b)}{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)} \right) \neq 0 \), then \( (q + i2\pi r) \sigma(b) = R_0(a, b) \), where \( R_0(a, b) \) is defined by (40).

Back to (79), we have

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \tilde{W}_s(a, b) = p + qb + i2\pi(c + rb) + R_0(a, b) a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^1}(a, b)}{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)} - \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^2}(a, b)}{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)}. \]

Hence,

\[ \phi'(b) = c + rb = \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \tilde{W}_s(a, b) \right\} - a \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^1}(a, b)}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_s(a, b)} R_0(a, b) \right\} + \frac{\sigma'(b)}{\sigma(b)} \text{Re} \left\{ \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^2}(a, b)}{i2\pi \tilde{W}_s(a, b)} \right\}. \]

Thus for an LFM signal \( x(t) \) given by (34), at \( (a, b) \) where \( \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha} \left( a \frac{\tilde{W}_s^{\psi^1}(a, b)}{\tilde{W}_s(a, b)} \right) \neq 0 \) and \( \tilde{W}_s(a, b) \neq 0 \), \( \omega_s^{\text{adp},2\text{nd}}(a, b) \) defined by (39) is \( \phi'(b) = c + rb \), the IF of \( s(t) \). This shows Theorem 1.

**Proof of Proposition 2.** Let \( s(t) \) be the linear chirp signal given by (46). Then the CWT
of \(s(t)\) with \(\psi_\sigma\) is given by

\[
W_s(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t) \psi_\sigma\left(\frac{t-b}{a}\right) \frac{dt}{a} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(b+a\tau) \psi_\sigma(\tau) d\tau
\]

\[
= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} A e^{i2\pi \left(c(b+a\tau)+\frac{1}{2}r(b+a\tau)^2\right)} \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{-i2\pi \mu \tau} d\tau
\]

\[
= \frac{A}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i2\pi \left(c b + \frac{1}{2} r b^2 + r b a \tau + \frac{1}{2} a^2 \tau^2\right)} e^{-\frac{\tau^2}{2\sigma^2}} e^{-i2\pi \mu \tau} d\tau
\]

\[
= \frac{A}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{i2\pi \left(c b + \frac{1}{2} r b^2\right)} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{1 - i2\pi \sigma^2 a^2 r}} e^{-2\pi^2 (a \sigma)^2 (c + b - \frac{\mu}{a})^2 \left(1 + i2\pi \sigma^2 a^2 r\right)}
\]

where the second last equality follows from Lemma 1. Thus (47) holds.
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