Relativistic aberration of light as a corollary of the relativity of simultaneity
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Abstract
A new derivation of the relativistic aberration formula for a plane-polarized lightwave is presented that does not require any use of the Lorentz transformation. The method is based on a modification of the Huygens–Fresnel principle to include the relativistic effects introduced by the relative motion between the observer and the emitter. The derivation clearly shows that the aberration formula is a direct consequence of the relative simultaneity.

1. Introduction

Relativistic aberration of light is a change of the direction of a light ray when observed from various inertial reference frames [1–5]. It is usually, and sometimes incorrectly, discussed in the context of stellar aberrations [6–8]. The traditional derivation of the relativistic aberration formula involves a plane-polarized electromagnetic wave and a requirement that its phase is an invariant quantity under a Lorentz transformation [2, 3]. The aberration formula can also be obtained by a direct application of the addition law for relativistic velocities [4].

The purpose of the present paper is to provide a novel insight into the problem of relativistic aberration of light. We will investigate the case of a plane-polarized lightwave emanating from a uniformly moving emitter. As a simple model of a plane-wave emitter, we use a two-dimensional array of identical coherent elementary sources, equally and densely distributed on a given plane \( \Sigma \). We take the elementary sources to start radiating spherical wavelets simultaneously with respect to the reference frame where the plane \( \Sigma \) is at rest. By letting the distance between each two adjacent elementary sources approach zero, we may consider this two-dimensional arrangement as an ideal plane-wave emitter.

In section 2 we derive the formula for the aberration of a vertical light ray as a simple case of a relativistic aberration usually discussed in the context of the Michelson–Morley
Figure 1. The wavefronts radiated by the plane-wave emitter $\Sigma_1$ will propagate from the emitter in a vertical direction. Here, we consider only the wavefronts that are radiated vertically downwards.

Figure 2. Huygens’ construction of the wavefront $\Omega_1$ with respect to the frame where the emitter $\Sigma_1$ is moving at a constant speed $v$ to the right. The plane wavefront $\Omega_1$ will propagate at a speed of light $c$ at an angle $\theta$ from the vertical. Observe that the elementary source $B$ will start radiating spherical wavelets at the space point $B'$, a time $\Delta t_{AB}$ later than the elementary source $A$.

experiment [9, 10]. As a tool for explaining the formation of the aberrated wavefronts, we use a relativistic modification of the Huygens–Fresnel principle on the spherical wavelets radiated by the elementary sources from the emitter. We take into account that the elementary sources will not flash simultaneously in the reference frame where the emitter is moving by making a simple analogy with the Einstein’s famous train–embankment thought experiment [11]. The procedure described is repeated in section 3 for a more general situation when the light ray emanates at an arbitrary angle from the emitter.

2. Aberration of a vertical light ray

Figure 1 depicts a special case of horizontal plane-wave emitter. A simple Huygens’ construction shows that this idealized emitter will radiate plane wavefronts in a vertical direction. However, the situation is changed with respect to the frame in which the emitter is moving at a constant speed $v$ to the right (see figure 2). Due to the motion of the emitter, the elementary sources along the emitter will not flash simultaneously, but continuously from left to right. As a consequence of this simultaneity loss, there will exist a certain non-zero time interval between the beginning of the emission of any two elementary sources on the emitter, and this time interval will depend on the distance between the sources.

Let $A$ and $B$ denote two arbitrary chosen elementary sources that belong to the emitter $\Sigma$, and let $l = AB$ be the distance between the sources. If the elementary sources $A$ and $B$ start to emit spherical wavelets simultaneously in the reference frame where the emitter is at
rest, then in the reference frame where the emitter is moving at a constant speed $v$ to the right (figure 2), the elementary source at $B$ will start radiating wavelets $\Delta t_{AB}$ seconds after the flash of the elementary source at $A$. The time interval $\Delta t_{AB}$ between the emission of the elementary sources is given by

$$\Delta t_{AB} = \frac{lv}{c^2 - v^2},$$

(1)

where $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum. Equation (1) follows directly from the postulates of special relativity if one makes an analogy with Einstein's train–embankment thought experiment in which the opposite ends of the train are hit by strokes of lightning by simply substituting the train with the plane-wave emitter, and the strokes with the flashes of the elementary sources $A$ and $B$. In order to better establish this analogy, the reader is referred to the derivation of equation (2.3) in the paper by Janis [11].

During the time interval $\Delta t_{AB}$ taken from the beginning of the radiation of the source at $A$, the source at $B$ has moved the distance $BB' = v/\Delta t_{AB}$ to the right. At the end of this time interval $\Delta t_{AB}$, the source at $B$ (now at point $B'$) will begin to radiate, and the elementary wavefront radiated from $A$ a time $\Delta t_{AB}$ earlier will be a sphere of radius $AC = c/\Delta t_{AB}$. We have taken into account the constant-light-speed postulate according to which the elementary wavefront radiated by a moving elementary source is a sphere expanding in all directions at a constant speed $c$.

The wavefront $\Omega$ emitted by the plane-wave emitter $\Sigma$ at a given instant of time is constructed as an envelope of all the spherical wavelets from the point sources on the emitter that have radiated until that instant. At the time when the source at $B'$ flashes, the wavefront $\Omega$ is an envelope of the spherical wavelets from the point sources that are located to the left of the point $B'$, since the point sources to the right of $B'$ have not flashed yet. However, at later times, the sources to the right of the point $B'$ will also participate in the formation of the propagating wavefront.

From figure 2, we see that the wavefront $\Omega$ will propagate at a speed $c$ at an angle $\theta$ from the vertical. From the triangle $AB'C$, the angle $\theta$ can be expressed as

$$\sin \theta = \frac{AC}{AB + BB'} = \frac{c\Delta t_{AB}}{l + v\Delta t_{AB}}.$$

(2)

We substitute equation (1) into equation (2) and obtain

$$\sin \theta = \frac{v}{c},$$

(3)

which is the well-known formula that describes the aberration of the transverse light ray in the Michelson–Morley apparatus [9, 10].

### 3. A derivation of the general formula for relativistic aberration of light

In the following we will consider the general situation of relativistic aberration when the plane-wave emitter is inclined at an arbitrary angle $\theta_0$ to the horizontal with respect to its rest frame of reference (figure 3). Evidently, the plane-wave emitter will emit plane wavefronts at an angle $\theta_0$ from the vertical. In the reference frame where the emitter is moving at a constant speed $v$ to the right (see figure 4), its inclination angle $\psi$ will differ from its inclination angle $\theta_0$ in the stationary case due to the effect of the Lorentz contraction along the direction of its motion. This tilt of the moving emitter is given by

$$\tan \psi = \frac{\tan \theta_0}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}.$$

(4)
Several consecutive wavefronts emitted by a stationary plane-wave emitter $\Sigma$ inclined at an angle $\theta_0$ to the horizontal. The plane wavefronts will propagate at an angle $\theta_0$ from the vertical.

In the frame where the emitter $\Sigma$ is in uniform rectilinear motion at a speed $v$ to the right, its inclination angle $\psi$ will differ from its inclination angle $\theta_0$ in the stationary case due to the Lorentz contraction along the direction of its motion. The plane wavefront radiated by the moving emitter is a tangent of the spherical wavelets, and it propagates at a speed of light $c$ at an angle $\theta$ from the vertical.

Furthermore, the elementary sources along the plane-wave emitter which simultaneously started their radiation in the emitter’s rest reference frame will not flash simultaneously in the reference frame where the emitter is moving. If we choose two elementary sources $A$ and $B$ on the emitter and follow the same considerations as in section 2, we may argue that the time interval $\Delta t_{AB}$ that elapsed between the flashes of the elementary sources $A$ and $B$ from the moving emitter can be calculated as

$$\Delta t_{AB} = \frac{lv}{c^2 - v^2} \cos \psi,$$

(5)
where $l = \overrightarrow{AB}$ is the distance between the sources. We take into account that the elementary source $B$ will start radiating spherical wavelets $\Delta t_{AB}$ seconds later than the elementary source $A$. Due to the motion of the emitter, the flash of the source $B$ will occur from a different position in space, that is, from the space point $B'$. From figure 4, we see that during this time interval $\Delta t_{AB}$, the emitter has covered the distance $\overrightarrow{AA'} = \overrightarrow{BB'} = v \Delta t_{AB}$ to the right, and the wavelet emitted from $A$ has evolved into a spherical wavefront of radius $\overrightarrow{AC} = c \Delta t_{AB}$. The plane wavefront $\Omega$ emitted by the moving plane-wave emitter at the end of this time interval is an envelope of all the spherical wavelets that have been radiated until that instant, and it propagates at the speed of light $c$ at an angle $\theta$ from the vertical. From the triangle $ACD$, we have

$$
\sin \theta = \frac{\overrightarrow{AC}}{\overrightarrow{AA'} + \overrightarrow{AD}} = \frac{c \Delta t_{AB}}{v \Delta t_{AB} + \overrightarrow{AD}}.
$$

(6)

Applying the sine theorem to the triangle $A'B'D$, we get

$$
\overrightarrow{AD} = l \frac{\sin(\theta - \psi)}{\sin \theta}.
$$

(7)

We substitute equations (5) and (7) into equation (6) and simplify the result to obtain

$$
\left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \cos \theta \tan \psi = \sin \theta - \frac{v}{c}.
$$

(8)

By taking the square of equation (8) and rearranging the terms, we obtain a quadratic equation in $\sin \theta$:

$$
\left[1 + \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \tan^2 \theta_0\right] \sin^2 \theta - 2 \frac{v}{c} \sin \theta + \frac{v^2}{c^2} - \left(1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}\right) \tan^2 \theta_0 = 0.
$$

(9)

where we have also taken into account equation (4). Equation (9) has two solutions in $\sin \theta$:

$$
(sin \theta)_{1,2} = \frac{(v/c) \cos^2 \theta_0 \pm (1 - v^2/c^2) \sin \theta_0}{1 - (v^2/c^2) \sin^2 \theta_0}.
$$

(10)

From the requirement $\theta = \pi/2$ when $\theta_0 = \pi/2$ (i.e. the direction of the light ray will remain unchanged with respect to any inertial observer that moves at a constant velocity in the direction of the ray), we find the only solution of equation (9) that correctly describes the propagation of the wavefront $\Omega$:

$$
\sin \theta = \frac{\sin \theta_0 + v/c}{1 + (v/c) \sin \theta_0}.
$$

(11)

Equation (11) is the law of aberration in its most general form, and it is identical to the formula obtained with the standard methods [2–4]. Obviously, in the case $\theta_0 = 0$, equation (11) reduces to equation (3).

4. Concluding remarks

We have presented a novel approach to the problem of relativistic aberration of light by using a modification of the Huygens–Fresnel principle to incorporate the relativistic effects due to the relative motion between the source and the observer. The resulting formulae are in perfect agreement with the ones obtained with the standard techniques. The method of derivation is suitable for introductory physics courses, and it can serve as an explicit demonstration of the fact that the relativistic aberration of light is a direct consequence of the relativity of simultaneity. The derivation can also be considered as a proof of the validity of the Huygens–Fresnel principle in the case of a rapidly moving wave emitter if the relativistic effects are taken into account.
As a subject for future study, we leave to the reader to explore situations where the elementary sources are arranged in a more complicated manner. For example, an interesting case occurs when the elementary sources are arranged on a spherical surface, which in the limit of infinitesimally small distances between the sources represents an ideal three-dimensional model of a spherical-wave emitter.
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