Determination of the Relative and Absolute Configurations of the Female-produced Sex Pheromone of the Cerambycid Beetle *Prionus californicus*
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Abstract We previously identified the basic structure of the female-produced sex attractant pheromone of the cerambycid beetle, *Prionus californicus* Motschulsky (Cerambycidae: Prioninae), as 3,5-dimethyl-2-dodecanoic acid. A synthesized mixture of the four stereoisomers of 3,5-dimethyl-2-dodecanoic acid was highly attractive to male beetles. Here, we describe stereoselective syntheses of three of the four possible stereoisomers, and the results of laboratory and field bioassays showing that male beetles are attracted specifically to (3S,5R)-3,5-dimethyl-2-dodecanoic acid, but not to its enantiomer, (3R,5S)-3,5-dimethyl-2-dodecanoic acid, indicating that the (3S,5R)-enantiomer is the active pheromone component. The diastereomeric (3R,5R)- and (3S,5S)-enantiomers were excluded from consideration because their gas chromatographic retention times were different from that of the insect-produced compound. The mixture of the four stereoisomers of 3,5-dimethyl-2-dodecanoic acid was as attractive to male *P. californicus* as the (3R,5S)-enantiomer, indicating that none of the other three stereoisomers inhibited responses to the active enantiomer. Beetles responded to as little as 10 ng and 10 μg of synthetic 3,5-dimethyl-2-dodecanoic acid in laboratory and field studies, respectively. Field studies indicated that capture rate did not increase with dosages of 3,5-dimethyl-2-dodecanoic acid greater than 100 μg. In field bioassays, males of a congeneric species, *P. lecontei* Lameere, were captured in southern California but not in Idaho.
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Introduction

Volatile male-produced sex or aggregation pheromones have been reported for an increasing number of cerambycid beetle species (Silk et al., 2007; Lacey et al., 2009; Millar et al., 2009, and references therein; Ray et al., 2009a, b), most of which are members of the subfamilies Aseminae and Cerambycinae (Monné and Hovore, 2005). Female-produced pheromones also have been identified for two species that formerly were placed in the Cerambycidae, *Migdolus fryanus* Westwood and *Verperus xatarti* Dufour (Leal et al., 1994; Boyer et al., 1997), but whose family-level taxonomy is uncertain (Napp, 1994; Bense, 1995; Dong and Yang, 2003). Many cerambycid species in the subfamily Prioninae have morphological and behavioral traits that are associated with production of pheromones by females in other types of insects (Thornhill and Alcock, 1983; Gemeno and Schal, 2003), including more elaborate...
antennal structures in males than females, and a more sedentary nature in females than males (Summerland, 1932; Benham and Farrar, 1976; Santos Ferreira, 1980; Hovore, 1981). Moreover, males of some prionine species display behaviors indicative of responses to volatile pheromones produced by females (Rotrou, 1936; Edwards, 1961; Benham and Farrar, 1976; Gwynne and Hostetler, 1978).

The prionine species *Prionus californicus* Motschulsky, is broadly distributed in western North America and is an economically important pest of hop, *Humulus lupulus* L. (Urticales: Cannabaceae), in the northwestern United States (Bishop et al., 1984). Adult *P. californicus* are active during summer and early fall, and are crepuscular (Linsley, 1962). They are among the largest cerambycids in North America, ranging in body length from 2.5 to 4.5 cm (volumes indexed in Linsley and Chemsak, 1997). Adult females oviposit in soil near the base of living woody plants; larvae feed on roots and rhizomes, requiring 3–5 yr to complete development (Linsley, 1962).

We previously reported that female *P. californicus* produce a volatile sex pheromone associated with an eversible structure on the ovipositor, and that males are strongly attracted to this pheromone (Cervantes et al., 2006; Barbour et al., 2007). The basic structure of the pheromone was identified as 3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid by gas chromatographic (GC) retention time and mass spectral matches with those of one of the two peaks in a synthetic standard composed of an approximately equal mixture of all four stereoisomers of this compound (Rodstein et al., 2009). In the work reported here, we determined that the biologically active stereoisomer is (3R,5S)-dimethyldodecanoic acid and confirmed the activity of the synthetic pheromone in laboratory and field bioassays. We also showed that the mixture of stereoisomers is as active as the insect-produced enantiomer, indicating that the unnatural stereoisomers do not antagonize attraction to the natural enantiomer.

**Methods and Materials**

**Source of Insects** Larvae of *P. californicus* were collected in fall of 2007 from commercial hop yards in southwestern Idaho and reared to adulthood in a field plot at the Southwest Idaho Research and Extension Center (Canyon Co., ID, USA). Larvae were reared individually in 19-l plastic buckets buried in the ground to within ∼10 cm of their tops. Each bucket had two 0.75 cm holes in the bottom for drainage, and buckets were filled with soil to ∼10 cm from the top. We collected late stage larvae (∼5–7.5 cm long) from uprooted hop crowns, and buried each larva ∼10 cm deep in the soil in a bucket, with a section of fresh hop rhizome for food.

We cut back hop plants growing from rhizomes in the buckets in early spring 2008, and we fitted buckets with lids that had the centers replaced with aluminum screen. Each bucket was drip-irrigated (8 l/hr) for 10 min every other day. Adult beetles emerged in buckets in July 2008 and were collected and held individually in the laboratory in ventilated glass jars (~500–1000 ml; 22°C, 16:8 hr L:D). Males and females were unmated and held in separate growth chambers. Beetles used in bioassays were 1–14-d-old, vigorous, and apparently healthy.

**Syntheses of Stereoisomers of 3,5-Dimethyldodecanoic Acid** Tetrahydrofuran was dried and purified by distillation from sodium-benzophenone ketyl under argon. Solutions of crude products were dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and concentrated by rotary evaporation under reduced pressure, unless specified otherwise. Crude products were purified by flash or vacuum flash chromatography on 230–400-mesh silica gel. 1H- and 13C-NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian INOVA-400 spectrometer (Palo Alto, CA, USA) (400 and 100.5 MHz, respectively), as CDC13 solutions. Integer resolution mass spectra were obtained with a Hewlett-Packard (HP) 6890 GC (Avondale, PA) interfaced to an HP 5973 mass spectrometer (MS), in EI mode (70 eV) with helium carrier gas. The GC was equipped with a DB5-MS column (25 m × 0.20 mm ID × 0.25 μm film). Resolutions of enantiomers were attempted on a Cyclodex-B column (30 m × 0.3 mm ID, J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA, USA) using isothermal conditions or temperature programs of 2–4°C per min. Optical rotations were measured in CH2Cl2 on a Perkin Elmer 241 polarimeter (Na and Hg lamps) (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) in a 1 ml water-jacketed cell (10 cm path length) at 24°C. All rotations were averaged over three or four measurements. IR spectra were taken as films on NaCl plates on a Bruker Equinox 55 FTIR instrument (Freemont, CA, USA).

Methyl (E)-2-Decenoate (2) (Fig. 1) (E)-2-decenolic acid (1) (20.91 g, 123 mmol, Acros Organics, Morris Plains, NJ, USA) was dissolved in methanol (82 ml) in a dry flask under argon at room temperature. Acetyl chloride (1.74 ml, 25 mmol) was added carefully dropwise (vigorous reaction!), and the reaction stirred for 16 h and then quenched with aqueous sodium bicarbonate. The resulting mixture was extracted x 4 with ether. The combined ether extracts were washed with brine, dried, and concentrated. The resulting oil was Kugelrohr distilled (oven temp. ∼87°C, 1 Torr) to yield 14.95 g (81 mmol, 66%) of methyl (E)-2-decenolate (2). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 0.89 (t, = 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 1.29 (m, 8 H), 1.46 (m, 2 H), 2.16–2.23 (m, 2 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H), 5.82 (d, =15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.98 (dt, = 15.4, 7.0 Hz, 1 H). 13C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl3): δ 14.2, 22.8, 28.2, 29.3 (2C), 31.9, 32.4, 51.5, 120.9, 150.0, 167.4.
The green-yellow solid diluted with a second portion of BuOMe (88 ml). The mixture then was cooled to −MeOH/H2O with 30 min, the CH2Cl2 was removed in vacuo and replaced with CH2Cl2. 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 1.3 (t, J=6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.60 (m, 1 H), 2.03 (m, 1 H), 2.20 (m, 1 H), 3.73 (s, 3 H), 5.81 (d, J=15.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.95 (m, 1 H). 13C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl3): δ 14.3, 19.8, 22.9, 27.2, 29.5, 30.0, 32.1, 32.7, 36.8, 39.9, 51.5, 122.1, 148.9, 167.3. MS: m/z (%): 195 (2, M+-33), 155 (5), 142 (5), 100 (100), 85 (11), 71 (21), 57 (27), 43 (60). IR (film) 3054, 2956, 2927, 2855, 2306, 1718, 1655, 1437, 1378, 1322, 1195, 1193, 982, 909, 737 cm⁻¹.

**Methyl (S)-(-)-3-Methyldecanoate** (3) (S)-(-)-3-Methyldecanoate (3) (1.79 g, 8.93 mmol) was dissolved in hexane (10 ml) in a dry flask under argon, and cooled to −78°C. Disobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H, 1 M in hexane, 9.8 ml, 9.8 mmol; Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI, USA) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 hr, and then another 1 ml of DIBAL-H was added to reduce small amounts of residual starting material. After stirring another 30 min, MeOH (1.13 ml) was added dropwise, and the mixture stirred for 30 min during which time a white suspension formed. Methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene) acetate (5.97 g, 17.9 mmol; Aldrich Chemical Co.) then was added at −78°C, followed by THF (45 ml). The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight and stirred for an additional 48 h. The mixture was diluted with Et2O (20 ml) and 6 M HCl (40 ml), and stirred until two clear layers were observed. After separation of the organic layer, the aqueous phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and brine, dried, and concentrated. The residue was taken up in hexane and filtered through a small plug of silica gel to remove the bulk of the triphenylphosphine oxide. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (hexane/THF 98:2 then 96:4). The product was purified further by Kugelrohr distillation (oven temp. −110°C, 1 Torr) to yield methyl (2E,5S)-(-)-5-methyldec-2-enoate (4) (1.01 g, 2.5 mmol, 50%). [α]D^20 = −1.3° (c=1.99, CH2Cl2). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 0.88 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.26 (m, 12 H), 1.95 (m, 1 H), 2.09 (m, 1 H), 2.31 (dd, J=14.7, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H). 13C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl3): δ 14.3, 19.9, 22.9, 27.1, 29.5, 29.9, 30.6, 32.1, 36.9, 41.9, 51.5, 174.0. MS: m/z (%): 200 (M⁺, 1), 185 (1), 169 (3), 157 (3), 143 (2), 101 (39), 87 (5), 74 (100), 55 (16), 43 (38). IR (film) 2957, 2928, 2855, 1732, 1460, 1347, 1379, 1167 cm⁻¹.

**Methyl (2E,5S)-(-)-5-Methyldec-2-enoate** (4) Methyl (2E,5S)-(-)-5-Methyldec-2-enoate (4) (1.91 g, 8.93 mmol) was dissolved in hexane (10 ml) in a dry flask under argon, and cooled to −78°C. Disobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H, 1 M in hexane, 9.8 ml, 9.8 mmol; Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI, USA) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred for 1 hr, and then another 1 ml of DIBAL-H was added to reduce small amounts of residual starting material. After stirring another 30 min, MeOH (1.13 ml) was added dropwise, and the mixture stirred for 30 min during which time a white suspension formed. Methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene) acetate (5.97 g, 17.9 mmol; Aldrich Chemical Co.) then was added at −78°C, followed by THF (45 ml). The reaction was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight and stirred for an additional 48 h. The mixture was diluted with Et2O (20 ml) and 6 M HCl (40 ml), and stirred until two clear layers were observed. After separation of the organic layer, the aqueous phase was extracted x 3 with Et2O, and the combined organic extracts were washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and brine, dried, and concentrated. The residue was taken up in hexane and filtered through a small plug of silica gel to remove the bulk of the triphenylphosphine oxide. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (hexane/THF 98:2 then 96:4). The product was purified further by Kugelrohr distillation (oven temp. −110°C, 1 Torr) to yield methyl (2E,5S)-(-)-5-methyldec-2-enoate (4) (1.01 g, 2.5 mmol, 50%). [α]D^20 = −1.3° (c=1.99, CH2Cl2). 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 0.88 (t, J=6.6 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (d, J=6.6 Hz, 3 H), 1.26 (m, 12 H), 1.95 (m, 1 H), 2.09 (m, 1 H), 2.31 (dd, J=14.7, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H). 13C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl3): δ 14.3, 19.9, 22.9, 27.1, 29.5, 29.9, 30.6, 32.1, 36.9, 41.9, 51.5, 174.0. MS: m/z (%): 200 (M⁺, 1), 185 (1), 169 (3), 157 (3), 143 (2), 101 (39), 87 (5), 74 (100), 55 (16), 43 (38). IR (film) 2957, 2928, 2855, 1732, 1460, 1347, 1379, 1167 cm⁻¹.
in t-BuOMe (3.2 ml) was added via syringe pump over 4.5 h. After stirring at −20°C for another 2 hr, the reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (3 ml), followed by saturated NH₄Cl solution. The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (4x), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (hexane/EtOAc, 97:3), then Kugelrohr distillation (oven temp. −100°C, 1 Torr) to yield 0.074 g (0.31 mmol, 12%) of methyl (3R,5S)-(−)-3,5-dimethyl-dodecanoate (5) (diastereomeric purity 90% by GC). [α]D²⁰=+16.0° (c=1.4, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.84 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.89 (d, J=6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.90 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.12 (m, 2 H), 1.27 (m, 12 H), 1.46 (br s, 1 H), 2.08 (m, 2 H), 2.27 (dd, J=14.4, 6.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.3, 19.5, 19.6, 22.9, 27.2, 28.0, 29.6, 30.1, 30.2, 32.1, 37.9, 42.6, 44.6, 51.5, 173.9. MS: m/z (%): 242 (M⁺, 1), 228 (1), 211 (1), 183 (4), 169 (2), 157 (1), 143 (4), 125 (2), 115 (5), 101 (40), 83 (12), 74 (100), 55 (24), 43 (47). IR (film) 2957, 2927, 2854, 1733, 1461, 1436, 1379, 1191, 1007, 910 cm⁻¹.

(3R,5S)-(−)-3,5-Dimethyl-dodecanoic Acid (6) A mixture of methanol (1.1 ml), water (1.2 ml), KOH (0.32 g, 5.2 mmol), and methyl (3R,5S)-(−)-3,5-dimethyl-dodecanoate (5) (70 mg, 0.29 mmol) were stirred overnight at room temperature. The mixture was extracted with hexane, and the remaining aqueous layer acidified with 1M HCl and extracted three times with Et₂O. The combined ether layers were washed with brine, dried, and concentrated, yielding (3R,5S)-(−)-3,5-dimethyl-dodecanoic acid (6) (54.2 mg, 82%). [α]D²⁰=+18.1° (c=1.08, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.87 (m, 6 H), 0.94 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.14 (m, 2 H), 1.27 (m, 12 H), 1.46 (br s, 1 H), 2.06 (m, 1 H), 2.17 (dd, J=14.8, 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.31 (dd, J=14.8, 6.0 Hz, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.3, 19.5, 19.6, 22.9, 27.2, 27.8, 29.5, 30.1, 30.2, 32.1, 37.9, 42.4, 44.5, 179.1. MS: m/z (%): 228 (M⁺, 1), 171 (8), 129 (14), 111 (11), 101 (13), 87 (74), 69 (42), 57 (57), 43 (100). IR (film) 2958, 2925, 2872, 2854, 1709, 1463, 1410, 1379, 1296, 1233, 1196, 937, 732 cm⁻¹.

Methyl (R)-(−)-3-Methyldecanoate (7) This compound was prepared in analogous fashion to compound 3 from methyl (E)-2-decenolate (2), using (R)-(−)-2,2′-bis(di-p-tolylphosphino)-1,1′-binaphthyl (= (R)-Tol-BINAP) as catalyst, yielding methyl (R)-(−)-3-methyldecanoate (7) in 49% isolated yield. [α]D²⁰=+48.8° (c=2.02, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, and mass spectra matched those of the (S)-enantiotomer (3).

Methyl (2E,5R)-(−)-5-Methyldec-2-enoate (8) This compound was made in analogous fashion to compound 4 by using methyl (R)-(−)-3-methyldecanoate (7) instead of the methyl (S)-(−)-3-methyldecanoate (3) to afford the desired (E)-alkenoate in 27% yield after purification. A further 41% of a mixture of the (E)- and (Z)-isomers also was obtained. [α]D²⁰=+1.8° (c=2.06, CH₂Cl₂). The ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, and mass spectra agreed with those of the (S)-enantiotomer 4.

Methyl (3S,5R)-(−)-3,5-Dimethyl-dodecanoate (9) This enantiomer was made in analogous fashion to compound 5 in 23% isolated yield from methyl (2E,5R)-(−)-5-methyl-2-dodecenoate (8) (diastereoisomeric purity, 92.5%), using (S)-Tol-BINAP instead of (R)-Tol-BINAP as catalyst. [α]D²⁰=−18.2° (c=1.13, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, and mass spectra matched those of the corresponding (3R,5S)-(−)-enantiotomer (5).

Methyl (3S,5S)-(−)-3,5-Dimethyl-dodecanoic Acid (10) Methyl (3S,5S)-(−)-3,5-dimethyl-dodecanoate (9) was hydrolyzed in similar fashion to compound 6, yielding (3S,5R)-(−)-3,5-dimethyl-dodecanoic acid (10) in 46% yield. [α]D²⁰=−19.2° (c=0.48, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, IR, and mass spectra matched those of the corresponding (3R,5S)-(−)-enantiotomer (6).

Methyl (3S,5S)-(−)-3,5-Dimethyl-dodecanoate (11) This diastereomer was made in analogous fashion in 84% isolated yield from methyl (2E,5S)-(−)-5-methyl-2-dodecenoate (4) (diastereomeric purity, 97.5% by GC), using (S)-Tol-BINAP as catalyst for the asymmetric addition reaction. [α]D²⁰=−2.2° (c=1.54, CH₂Cl₂). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 0.87 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 3 H), 0.91 (d, J=9.2 Hz, 3 H), 0.93 (d, J=6.4 Hz, 3 H), 1.03 (m, 2 H), 1.27 (m, 12 H), 1.45 (br, 1 H), 2.05 (m, 2 H), 2.31 (m, 1 H), 3.67 (s, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100.5 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 14.3, 20.3, 20.6, 22.9, 27.0, 28.1, 29.6, 30.1, 30.2, 32.1, 37.9, 41.8, 44.9, 51.5, 174.0. MS: m/z (%): 242 (2), 227 (1), 211 (2), 185 (11), 166 (3), 143 (9), 125 (3), 111 (7), 101 (73), 83 (17), 74 (100), 55 (32), 43 (54). IR (film) 2966, 2906, 2848, 2257, 1740, 1464, 1441, 1373, 1165, 1010, 913, 838, 733 cm⁻¹.

Laboratory Bioassays of Synthetic Pheromone We tested the responses of male P. californicus to synthetic pheromone using a rectangular olfactometer (80×10×19.5 cm, L × H × W) constructed of polyethylene with a removable glass top (see Cervantes et al., 2006). Both openings, at one end of the olfactometer were fitted with PVC female adapters (3.75 cm diam), to which we attached containers (17.8 cm-long sections of 3.75 cm diam clear PVC pipe, covered with aluminum screen at one end, and the other end inserted into a screened PVC male adapter) that held sample or control odor sources. Air was pulled (3.2 m.s⁻¹) from outside the...
building through an activated charcoal filter, then through the sample containers and into the olfactometer chamber.

We conducted bioassays in July 2008 under a 25-W incandescent red light to minimize the influence of visual signals, during the 2nd to 6th hr of scotophase, when adult beetles typically are active (Barbour et al., 2007). All males walked rather than attempting to fly in the olfactometer. A positive score was given to males that walked upwind and reached a sample container that held the pheromone lure within 5 min. A negative score was given to those that reached the control container within 5 min. Beetles that did not reach either container within 5 min were scored “no response”. We washed the olfactometer with soap (1–2% Micro-90®, Cole Parmer Instrument Co., Vernon Hills, IL, USA) and water after every five trials, then rinsed it with acetone, allowed it to air dry, and switched sample containers between sides to control for location effects. We tested at least five males against each treatment/dose and repeated the bioassays on at least 3 d (N≥15 for each treatment).

We tested the response of male *P. californicus* to synthetic 3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid in the olfactometer using 1, 10, or 100 ng of material in 10 μl hexane (HPLC grade, Chromasolv®, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA). A filter paper disc (1.5 cm diam., Grade 413, VWR, West Chester, PA, USA) was placed on a piece of clean aluminum foil (~2.5 cm²) and pheromone solution applied to the paper. The aluminum foil square and disc were placed into a randomly-selected sample container. A piece of aluminum foil and paper disc treated with 10 μl of hexane (control) was placed in the other container. Bioassays on each day were conducted using the lowest dose (1 ng) first, and then sequentially higher doses, so as to minimize any effects from contamination of the olfactometer by a previous treatment.

We compared the responses of males to (3R,5S)- and (3S,5R)-dimethyldodecanoic acid in the olfactometer using the methods described above. We tested 0.25, 2.5, and 25 ng of each enantiomer, corresponding to the approximate amount of each of the four stereoisomers in the 1, 10, and 100 ng range of doses of 3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid that previously had been tested. To further evaluate the activity of (3S,5R)-dimethyldodecanoic acid, we ran bioassays using the lowest dose that had elicited a significant positive response from males to the (3R,5S)-enantiotmer (2.5 ng; see Results) tested against a solvent control. The two diastereomers, (3R,5R)- and (3S,5S)-dimethyldodecanoic acid, were not tested in laboratory or field bioassays because their GC retention times were different from that of the insect-produced pheromone, conclusively eliminating them as candidates for the pheromone (see below). The mixture of four 3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid stereoisomers was synthesized as previously described (Rodstein et al., 2009), and the syntheses of the stereoisomers are described above.

**Field Bioassays of Synthetic Pheromone** Field bioassays of 3,5-dimethyl-dodecanoic acid and (3R,5S)-dimethyl-dodecanoic acid were conducted in southern California and Idaho. Our primary study site in southern California was the Santa Rosa Plateau Ecological Reserve (SRPER; Riverside Co.), a 5,600-ha area with extensive stands of mature oaks (*Quercus agrifolia* Née and *Q. engelmannii* Greene), coastal sage scrub, and chaparral. Adult *P. californicus* are commonly attracted to lights at the visitor center (SRPER staff, pers. comm.). Field bioassays at SRPER were conducted 2–6 July 2008 (maximum air temperatures 28–35°C, no precipitation). Field bioassays also were conducted in commercial hop yards (4–17 ha) in Canyon County, Idaho, that were naturally infested with *P. californicus* (unpub. data). Bioassays in Idaho were conducted 8–15 July 2008 (maximum air temperatures 28–36°C, no precipitation).

Traps used in California consisted of ~1.9-l wide-mouth plastic jars (P.E.T.; model 55–650, General Bottle Supply Company, Los Angeles, CA, USA), fitted with a 1.9-l plastic funnel, with the spout cut off to leave a ~3.5 cm diam hole at the bottom. A ~3.5 cm diam hole was cut into the threaded lid of the jar, and the funnel spout inserted into the hole and glued in place so that the spout was inside the jar. We treated interior surfaces of funnels and jars with Rain-X® glass treatment (Houston, TX, USA) to render them slippery. Traps were buried so that the rim of the funnel was nearly flush with the ground and, as required, a ramp to the funnel rim was built from soil and leaf litter. Lures were suspended ~15 cm over the trap with a stiff wire that was attached to the funnel. It was not possible to set up traps in linear transects at SRPER because of the abundant large rocks and boulders, so they were positioned arbitrarily under the canopy of oaks, at least ~10 m apart.

Traps in Idaho consisted of 19-l polypropylene buckets (38 cm tall × 30 cm diam) fitted with aluminum funnels (model 2815B, BioQuip, Rancho Dominguez, CA, USA). Traps were buried 90 m apart, within rows of hop plants, with the tops of funnels flush with the soil surface. Lures were suspended over funnels by attaching them to the wire bucket handles, which had been modified to remain upright.

Lures for traps in both California and Idaho consisted of clear low density polyethylene press-seal bags (Bagette model 14770, 5.1 × 7.6 cm, 0.05 mm wall thickness, Cousin Corp., Largo, FL, USA). Dilutions of synthetic pheromone or solvent were pipetted into the bags, which then were sealed. Experiments were set up in late afternoon or dusk, and treatments were assigned randomly to traps. Beetles were collected from traps the next morning or the morning.
of the second day. In both locations, captured beetles were released into vegetation, at least 25 m away from the nearest trap, immediately after they were collected from traps.

Treatments in bioassays conducted at SRPER in southern California were as follows: 3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid (10 mg in 100 μl hexane), (3R,5S)-dimethyldodecanoic acid (2.5 mg in 100 μl hexane), (3S,5R)-dimethyldodecanoic acid (2.5 mg in 100 μl hexane), and control (100 μl hexane). Treatments were assigned randomly to four traps in each of four blocks (at least 400 m apart) on 2 and 3 July 2008. We recorded the number of male P. californicus in traps (no females were captured), and rotated traps one position within blocks after the first day. Males of the congeneric species, P. lecontei Lameere, also were caught in traps at the southern California site, and trap captures recorded.

Lure doses in bioassays conducted in Idaho were as follows: 3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid (1 mg in 100 μl hexane), (3R,5S)-dimethyldodecanoic acid (250 μg in 100 μl hexane), and control (100 μl hexane). We also included positive control traps, which were baited with a single live female P. californicus, to compare responses of males to natural versus synthetic pheromone. Unmated females were reared as described above, and were confined in a wire-mesh cage attached to the wire handle of trap buckets, analogous to the positioning of the synthetic lures. Treatments were randomized in each of four different hop yards on 14 July 2008. Captured males were collected from traps the next morning. The experiment was replicated on 15 July 2008 with treatments re-randomized, and using fresh females.

Dose–response trials with the synthetic pheromone were conducted in both southern California and Idaho. At SRPER in California, five traps in each of the four blocks (see above) were assigned randomly to lures containing 0, 10, 32, 100, and 320 μg of 3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid in 100 μl of hexane. The experiment was set up on 4 July 2008 and run for three consecutive days (treatments rotated each day). In Idaho, we conducted two dose–response experiments, each in the same four hop yards. In the first (high-dose) experiment, traps were baited with 0, 10, 100, 1000, or 10^4 μg of the synthetic mixture of isomers (in 100 μl hexane) on 8 and 9 July 2008. The second (low-dose) experiment tested the responses of male beetles to 0, 0.1, 1, 10, and 100 μg in 100 μl hexane on 10 and 11 July 2008.

Statistics For laboratory bioassays, we compared the number of males that responded positively to treatments versus controls, or to one of the two enantiomers, with χ² goodness-of-fit tests. For field experiments, differences between treatments in numbers of beetles captured were tested separately for P. californicus and P. lecontei with the nonparametric Friedman’s test (blocking by replicate; PROC FREQ with CMH option; SAS Institute, 2001), because assumptions of analysis of variance were violated by heterosedasticity (Sokal and Rohlf, 1995). We tested differences between pairs of means with the REGWQ means-separation test to control maximum experiment-wise error rates (SAS Institute, 2001). Replicates that captured fewer than three beetles across all treatments were dropped from the analyses for each species.

Voucher specimens of the two P. species have been submitted to the W. F. Barr Entomology Museum at the University of Idaho and the Entomology Research Museum at the University of California, Riverside.

Results

Identification of the Insect-produced Stereoisomer 3,5-Dimethyldodecanoic acid, with two chiral centers, has four possible stereoisomers. The mixture of the four was separated cleanly into two GC peaks on an achiral DB-5 column, that corresponded to the syn (3R*,5R*) and anti (3R*,5S*) diastereomeric pairs of enantiomers (Rodstein et al., 2009). The corresponding methyl esters eluted in the same order as the parent acids and were also resolved to baseline, with the additional advantages that the peaks were more symmetrical and the retention times less variable than those of the parent acids. The retention times of the insect-produced acid and its methyl ester matched those of the later-eluting diastereomer of the synthesized acid and its methyl ester, respectively. These were proven to be the anti-diastereomers by comparing their retention times with those of anti (3S,5R)-3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid and its ester; as expected, the retention times of syn (3S,5S)-dimethyldodecanoic acid and its ester matched those of the earlier eluting peaks in the synthetic mixtures of all four stereoisomers of the acid and their esters, respectively. However, we were not able to determine the absolute configuration of the insect-produced compound by analytical methods, because all attempts at resolving the methyl esters of the enantiomers on a chiral stationary phase GC column (Cyclodex-B) were unsuccessful. Thus, laboratory and field bioassays were used to indirectly determine the naturally-produced enantiomer.

Stereoselective Syntheses of Stereoisomers of 3,5-Dimethyldodecanoic Acid (Fig. 1) Three of the four possible stereoisomers of 3,5-dimethyldodecanoic acid were synthesized in good stereoisomeric purity, using the copper-catalyzed asymmetric conjugate addition of alkylmagnesium bromides to α,β-unsaturated methyl esters.
(Lum et al., 2008) as the key reaction for introducing each of the two chiral methyl groups. Thus, (E)-2-decanoic acid (1) was converted to the corresponding methyl ester (2) by treatment with HCl in methanol, generated in situ. The first chiral methyl group then was introduced by reaction of α,β-unsaturated ester (2) with methylmagnesium bromide, with CuI and (S)-Tol-BINAP catalysis, to give methyl (S)-(−)-3-methyldecanoate (3). This compound was reduced to the corresponding aldehyde with DIBAL-H in hexane, followed by stabilized Wittig olefination in the same pot with methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene) acetate to yield methyl (S)-(−)-5-methyl-2-dodecenoate as a mixture of (Z)- and (E)-isomers. These were separable by flash chromatography, giving the geometrically pure methyl (2E,5S)-(−)-5-methyl-2-dodecenoate (4) required for the next step. α,β-Unsaturated ester (4) then was subjected to the same copper-catalyzed asymmetric conjugate addition conditions with methylmagnesium bromide, Cul, and (R)-Tol-BINAP, yielding methyl (3R,5S)-(−)-3,5dimethyldecanoate (5). Hydrolysis of the ester with KOH in aqueous ethanol gave the free acid (6).

The enantiomeric (3S,5R)-(+)3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid (10) was made in analogous fashion by the same sequence of reactions, but using the catalysts with the opposite configuration to those used in the first sequence. Thus, unsaturated ester (2) was reacted with methylmagnesium bromide, Cul, and (R)-Tol-BINAP to give methyl (R)-(−)-3-methyldecanoate (7). DIBAL-H reduction and chain extension with methyl (triphenylphosphoranylidene) acetate yielded methyl (R)-(−)-5-methyl-2-dodecenoate (8). Asymmetric conjugate addition of compound 8 with methylmagnesium bromide, Cul, and (S)-Tol-BINAP yielded methyl (3S,5R)-(+)3,5-dimethyldecanoate (9), which was hydrolyzed to give (3S,5R)-(+)3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid (10).

Finally, reaction of methyl (2E,5S)-(−)-5-methyl-2dodecenoate (4) with methylmagnesium bromide, Cul, and (S)-Tol-BINAP, gave the diastereomeric methyl (3S,5S)-(−)-dimethyldecanoate (11).

**Laboratory Bioassays of Synthetic Pheromone** In olfactometer bioassays, 18 of 22 male *P. californicus* (82%) responded positively to 100 ng of 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid, and 36 of 41 males (88%) responded to 10 ng (treatments different from 1:1 positive:negative responses for both: \(\chi^2_{1, 22}=21.8, p=0.003\); \(\chi^2_{1, 41}=23.4, p<0.001\), respectively). However, only 13 of 22 (62%) males responded to 1 ng of 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid (treatment not different from 1:1: \(\chi^2_{1, 21}=1.19, p=0.28\)).

When equal doses of the two enantiomers were directly compared in olfactometer bioassays, 13 of 14 males (93%) and 13 of 16 males (81%) moved to the olfactometer arm baited with (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid, at doses of 25 and 2.5 ng, respectively (treatments different from 1:1; \(\chi^2_{1, 14}=10.3, p=0.001\); \(\chi^2_{1, 16}=6.25, p=0.012\)). Males showed a marginal positive response to (3R,5S)- over 3S,5R-dimethyldecanoic acid at 0.25 ng (8 of 10 males [80%]; \(\chi^2_{1, 10}=3.6, p=0.058\)). Males did not respond to either 0.25 or 2.5 ng of (3S,5R)-dimethyldecanoic acid when this enantiomer was tested against a solvent control (6 of 13 males [48%] and 10 of 17 [59%]; not different from 1:1; \(\chi^2_{1, 25}=0.04, p=0.84\); \(\chi^2_{1, 17}=0.53, p=0.47\), respectively).

**Field Bioassays of Synthetic Pheromones** Traps in southern California baited with 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid, (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid, or (3S,5R)-dimethyldecanoic acid, captured a total of 100 male *P. californicus* and 23 male *P. lecontei*. No females of either species were caught. The numbers of male *P. californicus* and *P. lecontei* captured were strongly and similarly affected by experimental treatment (Friedman’s \(Q_1, 22=18.2, p<0.001\), \(Q_2, 16=9.4, p=0.025\), respectively). Male *P. californicus* responded strongly and equally to 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid and (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic, but showed little attraction to (3S,5R)-dimethyldecanoic acid or controls (Fig. 2). Male *P. lecontei* were most strongly attracted to (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid, showed intermediate attraction to 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid, and were not attracted to (3S,5R)-dimethyldecanoic acid or controls (Fig. 2).

Traps baited with 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid, (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid, or live female *P. californicus* in Idaho captured a total of 252 male *P. californicus*, but no *P. lecontei*. Trap catches of male *P. californicus* again were affected by experimental treatment (Fig. 3; Friedman’s \(Q_{3,31}=23.4, p<0.001\)). 3,5-Dimethyldecanoic acid and (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid attracted similar numbers of males. Significantly fewer males were captured in traps baited with virgin females or in controls (Fig. 3). The latter
two treatments did not differ in the number of beetles captured (Fig. 3).

In the dose-response bioassay in southern California, traps captured totals of 62 male *P. californicus* and 44 male *P. lecontei*. There were too few replicates that captured three or more *P. lecontei* to allow a robust statistical analysis. For *P. californicus*, trap catch was affected by dose (Fig. 4, top; Friedman’s $Q_{4,50}=17.2$, $P=0.002$), with only traps in the 320 μg treatment capturing more beetles than control traps. The high- and low-dose trials for the dose–response bioassays in Idaho captured 145 and 139 male *P. californicus*, respectively, and the number of males captured was affected by pheromone dose in both trials (Fig. 4, bottom; Friedman’s $Q_{4,30}=21.2$, $P<0.001$, $Q_{4,45}=32.0$, $P<0.001$, respectively). The two trials were consistent in capturing no beetles in control traps, and in traps baited with doses higher than 100 μg (high-dose trial) or 10 μg (low-dose trials) capturing more male *P. californicus* than control traps (Fig. 4, bottom). The number of males captured did not differ for pheromone doses of 0.1 mg and higher in the high-dose trial, but in the low-dose trial, the number of males captured was higher for 100 μg than for 1 and 10 μg (Fig. 4, bottom).

**Discussion**

**Identification and Synthesis of the Stereoisomers** To verify the identification of the basic carbon skeleton of the pheromone, we previously had developed a nonstereoselective synthesis of the mixture of all four stereoisomers of 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid (Rodstein et al., 2009). Although the diastereomers or their methyl esters were readily separable on GC, we did not know which diastereomer corresponded to which peak. Thus, we stereoselectively synthesized three of the four possible stereoisomers, with the goal of first determining the relative stereochemistry of the methyl groups in the pheromone (i.e., the correct diastereomer), followed by determination of the natural enantiomer. With these standards in hand, we showed unequivocally that the insect-produced compound was the anti diastereomer. Although we were not able to resolve the enantiomers (as their methyl esters) on a chiral stationary phase GC column, the question as to which enantiomer was produced by the insects was resolved indirectly by the results of both laboratory and field bioassays, in which *(3R,5S)-3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid* was highly attractive to male beetles, whereas its enantiomer was virtually inactive.

The enantiomers of 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid were synthesized using the asymmetric conjugate addition developed by Lum et al. (2008) to place each of the chiral methyl groups. Use of this method has several
advantages. First, each conjugate addition step proceeds with high enantioselectivity, yielding products of known absolute configuration. Second, either enantiomer is equally accessible simply by changing the chiral ligand in the reaction (i.e., (R)-Tol-BINAP or (S)-Tol-BINAP). Third, the reaction can be applied readily in iterative fashion, so that the same reaction can be used to place each methyl group sequentially. However, this route was not without minor problems. For example, it proved to be critically important to use the α, β-unsaturated methyl esters for the addition reaction; use of the analogous ethyl esters resulted in a decrease in stereoselectivity.

During the course of these reactions, all direct attempts at determining the enantiomeric purities of intermediates, final products, or several derivatives thereof, were unsuccessful. Thus, enantiomeric pairs of methyl esters 3 and 7, 4 and 8, and 5 and 9 were not resolved on the Cyclodex-B column, nor were the corresponding alcohols from reduction of these esters resolved. Furthermore, the enantiomers of 1-bromo-2-methylnonane, derived from hydrolysis of methyl 3-methyldecanoate followed by decarboxylative halogenation by the Cristol-Firth modification of the Hunsdieker reaction (Cristol and Firth, 1961), failed to resolve on this column, and the analogous enantiomers of 1-bromo-2,4-dimethylundecane also were not resolved. Whereas the diastereomeric purities of the (3S,5R)-, (3R,5S)-, and (3S,5S)-stereoisomers were 92.5, 90.7, and 97.5%, respectively, as determined by the ratios of their GC peak areas, the enantiomeric purities must necessarily be much higher because almost all of the enantiomeric impurity formed in the first asymmetric reaction is converted to a diastereomer of the desired product in the second asymmetric reaction. Similarly, any loss of stereospecificity in the second asymmetric reaction results in a diastereomer of the desired product rather than the undesired enantiomer.

**Bioassay Results** The fact that only males of *P. californicus* were attracted to synthetic pheromone in field bioassays confirmed that (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid is a female-produced sex pheromone for this species. This compound does not function as an aggregation pheromone, as appears to be the case for the male-produced pheromone of many other species in the subfamilies Aseinae and Cerambycinae (see Introduction). Male *P. californicus* were quite sensitive to the pheromone, as indicated by the 0.25–2.5 ng response threshold in the olfactometer bioassays. This level of sensitivity is consistent with the strong responses of males to live females, dead females, and even their excised ovipositors, demonstrated in an earlier study (Cervantes et al., 2006). The response was entirely enantiospecific: males showed no response in laboratory or field studies to the unnatural enantiomer, (3S,5R)-dimethyldecanoic acid. There was no indication that any of the unnatural stereoisomers inhibited behavioral responses to the insect-produced stereoisomer, with male *P. californicus* responding as well to the mixture of the four stereoisomers as they did to (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid. This strong attraction to the mixture of stereoisomers will be useful for commercial development of the pheromone because it circumvents the need for an expensive chiral synthesis.

Dose–response bioassays in the field demonstrated that males responded to doses of synthetic pheromone as low as 10 μg when dispensed from plastic bag dispensers, consistent with their responses to minute quantities of pheromones in olfactometer bioassays. As doses increased, the numbers of males captured reached a plateau midway through the series, with doses >100 μg attracting similar numbers of males. Traps baited with the synthetic pheromone captured greater numbers of males than did the caged females used as positive controls. In previous studies, we demonstrated that traps baited with live females capture large numbers of males when not competing with pheromone traps (Cervantes et al., 2006). Taken together, these results suggest that the pheromone of this species consists of (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid as a single component, despite the fact that extracts from females contain both homologs (with longer chains) and analogs (methyl esters of the acids) of 3,5-dimethyldecanoic acid (Rodstein et al., 2009).

Our capture of male *P. lecontei* in southern California in traps baited with the pheromone of *P. californicus* was unexpected, and suggests that females of *P. lecontei* also produce a sex pheromone that contains (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid. To date, we have not been able to confirm this, because all attempts at collecting female *P. lecontei* at light or light traps have been fruitless. The dose–response profile of male *P. lecontei* to (3R,5S)-dimethyldecanoic acid was similar to that of male *P. californicus*, which further suggests that this compound is a major, if not the only, component of the pheromones of both species. What remains unclear is how these congeners maintain reproductive isolation, because they are sympatric in at least some areas of southern California (Monné and Hovore, 2005), and clearly have overlapping annual flight periods (i.e., substantial numbers of males of both species were caught during field trials in midsummer). It is possible that one or more of the other components in the crude extracts from *P. californicus* females may serve to inhibit the responses of male *P. lecontei*. This possibility remains to be tested once the other components have been synthesized and are available for follow-up field trials.
The complete absence of *P. lecontei* in Idaho may have been due to our sampling only in hop yards, or because southwest Idaho lies outside the geographic range of *P. lecontei*. Both *P. lecontei* and *P. californicus* are distributed in western North America, but there is little information available about their altitudinal distribution, beyond the fact that *P. californicus* occurs in the Rocky Mountains, whereas *P. lecontei* appears to be limited to a more western distribution (Linsley, 1962). Overall, these results indicate that traps baited with 3,5-dimethylododecanoic acid will be an ideal tool to characterize the distribution and abundance of these congeners over their entire ranges.

The strong attraction of male *P. californicus* to even small amounts of synthetic pheromone also suggests that this compound has potential for monitoring and managing this species in agricultural and horticultural situations, where it is an important pest. As described above, *P. californicus* is one of the most important pests of hop in the Pacific Northwest. In addition, *P. californicus* and *P. lecontei* are chronic pests of a variety of tree crops, including apples and stone fruits (Solomon, 1995). We currently are evaluating potential uses of the pheromone for control of *P. californicus* in hop production by mating disruption or mass trapping.
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