A semi-relativistic potential model is adopted to calculate the mass spectra of charmed and charmed-strange mesons up to the 2D excitations. The strong decay properties are further analyzed with a chiral quark model by using the numerical wave functions obtained from the potential model. By using the strong decay amplitudes extracted from the chiral quark model, we also systematically study the coupled-channel effects on the bare masses of the 1P-wave states, since the masses of $D_{0}^{*}(2317)$ and $D_{1}(2460)$ cannot be explained with bare 1P-wave states within the potential model. Based on our good descriptions of the mass and decay properties for the low-lying well-established states, we give a quark model classification for the high mass resonances observed in recent years. In the $D$-meson family, $D_{0}(2550)$ can be classified as the radially excited state $D(2S_{0})$; $D_{1}^{*}(2750)$ and $D_{2}(2740)$ can be classified as the second orbital excitations $D(1F_{3/2})$ and $D(1D_{2})$, respectively; $D_{3}^{*}(3000)$ may be a candidate of $D(1F_{3/2})$ or $D(2P_{3})$; while $D_{3}(3000)$ may favor the high mass mixed state $D(2P_{3})$; however, there still exist puzzles for understanding the natures of $D_{1}(2600)$ and $D_{1}^{*}(2760)$, whose decay properties cannot be well explained with either pure $D(2S_{1})$ and $D(1D_{2})$ states or their mixing. In the $D_{1}$-meson family, $D_{1}^{*}(2860)$ favors the $D_{1}(1D_{1})$ assignment; $D_{2}^{*}(2700)$ and $D_{3}^{*}(2860)$ may favor the mixed states $|S_{1}(D_{1})⟩$ and $|S_{1}(D_{1})⟩$ via the $2S_{1}-1D_{1}$ mixing, respectively; $D_{3}(3040)$ may favor $D_{2}(2P_{3})$ or $D_{3}(2P_{3})$, or corresponds to a structure contributed by both $D_{1}(2P_{1})$ and $D_{2}(2P_{1})$; the newly observed resonance $D_{0}^{*}(2590)^{+}$ as an assignment of $D_{0}(2S_{0})$, by including coupled-channel effects the mass of $D_{0}(2S_{0})$ is close to the observed value, however, the width cannot be well understood in the present study. Many missing excited $D$- and $D_{1}$-meson states have a relatively narrow width, they are most likely to be observed in their dominant decay channels in future experiments.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

In the past 15 years, significant progress has been achieved in the observations of the $D$ and $D_{1}$ meson family, more and more higher excitations have been found in experiments. In the $D$-meson family, several new signals $D(2550)^{0}, D^{*}(2600)^{0,+}, D(2750)^{0}$ and $D^{*}(2760)^{0,+}$ were observed for the first time by the BaBar collaboration in 2010 [1], and were confirmed by the LHCb collaboration with slightly different masses in 2013 [2]. The decay angular distributions show that both $D(2550)$ and $D(2750)$ should have an unnatural spin parity, while both $D^{*}(2600)$ and $D^{*}(2760)$ favor a natural spin parity. Furthermore, the LHCb collaboration observed two new higher $D$-meson excitations, $D_{3}^{*}(3000)$ and $D_{3}(3000)$, with natural and unnatural parities, respectively [2]. In 2015, LHCb observed a new state $D_{3}^{*}(2760)^{0}$ with spin-parity numbers $J^{P} = 1^{+}$ in the $D^{*}π^{−}$ channel by analyzing the $B^{-} → D^{*}K^{−}π^{−}$ decay [3]. In 2016, LHCb also observed a new state $D_{3}^{*}(3000)$ with $J^{P} = 2^{+}$ in the $D^{*}π^{−}$ channel by analyzing the $B^{-} → D^{*}π^{−}$ decay [4]. The resonance parameters of $D_{3}^{*}(3000)$ are consistent with the previously observed resonance $D_{3}^{*}(3000)$ in Ref. [2]. In 2019, a four-body amplitude analysis of the $B^{-} → D^{*+}π^{−}$ decay was performed by the LHCb collaboration [5]. The spin-parity numbers for $D(2550), D^{*}(2600), D(2750)$ and $D^{*}(2760)$ were systematically determined to be $J^{P} = 0^{−}, 1^{−}, 2^{−}$ and $3^{−}$, respectively. The determined spin parity numbers for $D^{*}(2600)$ and $D^{*}(2760)$ are consistent with the determinations in the previous experiments [4, 6]. In the Review of Particle Physics (RPP), $D_{0}(2550), D^{*}(2600), D(2750)$ and $D^{*}(2760)$ are labeled as $D_{0}(2550), D_{1}^{*}(2600), D_{2}(2740)$ and $D_{3}^{*}(2750)$, respectively, by the particle data group (PDG) [7].

In the $D_{1}$-meson sector, two new resonances/structures $D_{1f}(2700)^{+}$ and $D_{1f}(2860)^{+}$ observed in the $DK$ channel by BaBar in 2006 [8]. The $D_{1f}(2700)^{+}$ was confirmed by Belle one year later [9], and its spin-parity numbers were determined to be $J^{P} = 1^{−}$. In 2009, BaBar observed the decays $D_{1f}^{*}(2700)^{+} → DK^{*}$ and $D_{1f}^{*}(2860)^{+} → DK^{*}$, and measured their branching fractions relative to the $DK$ final state [10]. Meanwhile, a new broad higher $D_{1}$-meson excitation $D_{1f}(3040)^{+}$ was also reported by BaBar. In 2012, the existence of $D_{1f}^{*}(2700)^{+}$ and $D_{1f}(2860)^{+}$ was further confirmed by using the $pp$ collision data at LHCb [11]. In 2014, by an analysis of $B_{s}^{0} → D_{s}^{*}(2460)^{0}π^{+}$ decays, the LHCb collaboration found two resonances $D_{s}^{*}(2460)^{0}$ with $J^{P} = 1^{−}$ and $D_{s}^{*}(2600)^{0}$ with $J^{P} = 3^{−}$ in the $D_{s}^{*}K^{−}$ final state [12, 13], which indicates that the $D_{s}(2650)$ structure previously observed by BaBar [8, 10] and LHCb [11] consists of at least these two resonances. In 2016, the $D_{2}^{*}(2860)^{0}$ resonance was observed in the $D^{*+}K_{S}^{0}$ channel by LHCb [14], its resonance parameters and spin-parity numbers are consistent with the determinations for $D_{2}^{*}(2860)^{0}$ in Refs. [12, 13]. Furthermore, LHCb also found weak evidence of $D_{3f}(3040)^{+}$ consistent with an unnatural parity assignment [14]. Very recently, the LHCb collaboration observed a new excited $D_{s}$ meson $D_{s0}(2590)^{+}$ with $J^{P} = 0^{−}$ in $B_{s}^{0} → D^{-}D^{+}K^{−}π^{−}$ de-
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cays [15]. More experimental information about the excited charmed and charmed-strange mesons is collected in Table I.

The experimental progress provides us good opportunities to establish an abundant $D$ and $D_s$-meson spectrum up to the higher orbital and radial excitations. In theory, to understand the nature of the charmed and charmed-strange mesons, especially the newly observed states, and to establish the charmed and charmed-strange meson spectra, in the recent years a lot of studies have been carried out within various phenomenological models from several aspects, such as the mass spectrum [17–41], strong decays [29–72], etc., some previous works can be found in Refs. [73–84]. Furthermore, spectroscopic calculations on the lattice are making steady progress [85–88]. In the $D$-meson family, the $D_0(2300)$, $D_1(2420)$, $D_1(2430)$ and $D_2(2460)$ resonances listed in RPP [7] are usually considered to be the $1P$ states, apart from a few disputes about $D_0(2300)$ and $D_1(2430)$ in recent works [89–92]. The newly observed resonance $D_0(2550)$ may be classified as the radially excited (2S) state $D(2S_0)$ [23, 24, 29–32, 46–50], although the width is underestimated in some works [33, 37, 42, 43, 77]. The $D_1(2600)$ may favor the the 2S state $D(2S_1)$ [22–25, 29–33, 46–49, 51], or a mixture via the $2S_1 − 1D_1$ mixing [37, 38, 42–44, 50, 51, 55]. The $D_1(2750)$ resonance can be assigned to the $1D$ state $D(3D_1)$, while $D_2(2740)$ may correspond to a 1D mixed state with $J^P = 2^−$ [21–24, 29–32, 35, 37, 43–49, 52]. The $D_1(2760)^0$ resonance is a good candidate for the 1D state $D(1D_1)$ [31], while a few components of $D(2S_1)$ may exist via the $2S_1 − 1D_1$ mixing. The quark model classification of $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J(3000)$ is still controversial in the literature. The unnatural parity state $D_J(3000)$ is explained with the 3S state $D(3S_0)$ [17, 29–31], 2P states with $J^P = 1^+$ [36, 44, 48, 49, 72], or 1F states with $J^P = 3^+$ [21–23]; while the natural parity state $D_J(3000)$ is explained with $D(3S_1)$ [17, 29], $D(2S_0)$ [36, 49], $D(2P_2)$ [20, 22, 23, 35], $D(1F_2)$ [31, 44, 48], or $D(1F_2)$ [48], and so on.

On the other hand, in the $D_s$-meson family, it is not controversial to classify the $D_{s1}(2536)$ and $D_{s2}(2573)$ resonances as 1P states $D_{s1}(1P_0)$ (high mass mixed state) and $D_{s1}(1P_2)$, respectively, however, the other two 1P states $D_{s1}(1P_0)$ and $D_{s1}(1P_1)$ (low mass mixed state) classified in the quark model are not well established. Considering the positive parity resonances $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ first reported by Babar [93] and CLEO [94] as the $D_{s1}(1P_0)$ and $D_{s1}(1P_1)$ assignments, one finds their masses are too low to be comparable with the theoretical expectations. Some studies suggest that $D_{s0}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ are the mixtures of bare $1P c\bar{s}$ core and $D_{s0}^0K$ component [95, 96]. The new resonance $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ with $J^P = 0^+$ is suggested to be a strong candidate of the radial excitation $D_{s1}(2S_0)$ by the collaboration [15], however, its measured mass and width are inconsistent with the recent theoretical predictions in Ref. [66]. The $D_{s1}^+(2700)$ and $D_{s1}^+(2860)$ resonances may be identified as the $D_{s1}(2S_1)$ and $D_{s1}(1D_1)$, respectively [22–28, 31, 33, 34, 53, 54], or their mixtures [37, 41, 50, 55, 59, 81, 82]. The $D_{s1}^+(2860)$ resonance can be classified as the $1D$ state $D_{s1}(1D_1)$ [21–24, 27, 28, 31–34, 44, 52–54, 61, 64]. It should be mentioned that there still exist puzzles for the $D_{sJ}$ structures around 2.86 GeV, it may be contributed by all of the $1D$-wave states with $J^P = 1^−, 2^−, 3^−$ [34, 59, 65]. The higher resonance $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ may be a candidate for the $2P$ states with $J^P = 1^+$ [22–25, 28, 31–34, 37, 41, 44, 59, 60, 72]. More information about the status of the charmed and charmed-strange meson study can be found in the recent review work [97].

The recent LHCb experiments [2–6, 11–15] have demonstrated the capability of both discovering the $D$ and $D_s$ mesons and determining their properties. Thus, more and more progress in the observations of the excited $D$- and $D_{s}$-meson states will be achieved in forthcoming LHCb experiments. Stimulated by the recent progress in experiments, we have systematically analyzed the strong decay properties of the excited $D$- and $D_{s}$-meson states within a chiral quark model in Refs. [43, 44, 58, 59], where the wave functions for the excited meson states are adopted the simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) forms, while their masses are referred to the quark model predictions in the literature. To deepen our study and more reliably understand the $D$ and $D_{s}$-meson spectrum, in this work we carry out a combined analysis of both mass spectrum and strong decays. First, we calculate the mass spectrum within a semi-relativistic potential model, where the relativistic effects from the light quarks can be reasonably included. With this model the masses for the observed $D$ and $D_{s}$-meson states can be described successfully. Then, by using the available wave functions and masses from the potential model, we calculate the OZI-allowed two-body strong decays of the excited $D$ and $D_{s}$ mesons with the chiral quark model. This model has been successfully applied to describe the strong decays of the heavy-light mesons and baryons [43, 44, 58, 59, 98–113]. Based on our good descriptions of the mass and decay properties for the well-established states, we give our quark model classifications of the newly observed resonances/structures. Finally, according to our assignments for the newly observed resonances, we attempt to predict the properties of the missing resonances, which may be useful for future investigations in experiments.

This work is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the mass spectra for the charmed and charmed-strange mesons are calculated within a semi-relativistic quark model. In Sec. III, the strong decays are estimated within the chiral quark model. In Sec. IV, some well established states, we give our quark model classifications of the newly observed resonances/structures. Finally, according to our assignments for the newly observed resonances, we attempt to predict the properties of the missing resonances, which may be useful for future investigations in experiments.

This work is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the mass spectra for the charmed and charmed-strange mesons are calculated within a semi-relativistic quark model. In Sec. III, the strong decays are estimated within the chiral quark model. In Sec. IV, some well established states, we give our quark model classifications of the newly observed resonances/structures. Finally, according to our assignments for the newly observed resonances, we attempt to predict the properties of the missing resonances, which may be useful for future investigations in experiments.

II. MASS SPECTRUM

A. model

In Ref. [113], we adopt a nonrelativistic linear potential model to calculate the $B$- and $B_{s}$-meson mass spectrum. It is found that the masses for the $B$- and $B_{s}$-meson states can be reasonably described within the nonrelativistic quark model [113], however, the effective harmonic oscillator parameters, $\beta_{eff}$, which are obtained by equating the root-mean-square radius of the harmonic oscillator wavefunction for the specified $(n, l)$ quantum numbers to the root-mean-square ra-
TABLE I: The newly observed excited charmed and charmed-strange meson states in recent 15 years. The “N” and “UN” stand for the natural parity and unnatural parity, respectively.

| Resonance | Mass (MeV) | Width (MeV) | Observed channel | \(J^P\) | Ref.  | Time |
|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------------|--------|-------|------|
| \(D(2550)^0\) | 2539.4 ± 11.3 | 130 ± 25 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | UN | BaBar [1] | 2010 |
| \(D_s(2580)^0\) | 2579.5 ± 8.9 | 177.5 ± 63.8 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | UN | LHCb [2] | 2013 |
| \(D_0(2580)^0\) | 2518 ± 9 | 199 ± 22 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | 0 | LHCb [5] | 2019 |
| \(D_s(2600)^0\) | 2608.7 ± 4.9 | 93 ± 19 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | N | BaBar [1] | 2010 |
| \(D(2600)^0\) | 2621.3 ± 7.9 | 93.0 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | N | BaBar [1] | 2010 |
| \(D_s(2660)^0\) | 2649.2 ± 7.0 | 140.2 ± 35.7 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | N | LHCb [2] | 2013 |
| \(D(2680)^0\) | 2681.1 ± 23.6 | 186.7 ± 25.3 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | 1 | LHCb [4] | 2016 |
| \(D_s(2680)^0\) | 2641.9 ± 6.3 | 149 ± 24 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | 1 | LHCb [5] | 2019 |
| \(D(2750)^0\) | 2752.4 ± 4.4 | 71 ± 17 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | UN | BaBar [1] | 2010 |
| \(D_s(2750)^0\) | 2737.0 ± 14.7 | 73.2 ± 38.4 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | UN | LHCb [2] | 2013 |
| \(D(2750)^0\) | 2751 ± 10 | 102 ± 32 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | 2 | LHCb [5] | 2019 |
| \(D_s(2830)^0\) | 2763.3 ± 4.6 | 60.9 ± 8.7 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | \? | BaBar [1] | 2010 |
| \(D(2860)^0\) | 2769.7 ± 5.3 | 60.9 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | \? | BaBar [1] | 2010 |
| \(D_s(2860)^0\) | 2760.1 ± 4.8 | 74.4 ± 22.5 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | N | LHCb [2] | 2013 |
| \(D(2900)^0\) | 2771.7 ± 5.5 | 66.7 ± 17.1 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | N | LHCb [2] | 2013 |
| \(D_s(2900)^0\) | 2798 ± 15 | 105 ± 47 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | 3 | LHCb [6] | 2015 |
| \(D(2990)^0\) | 2775.5 ± 13.7 | 95.3 ± 50.6 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | 3 | LHCb [4] | 2016 |
| \(D_s(2990)^0\) | 2753 ± 10 | 66 ± 24 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | 3 | LHCb [5] | 2019 |
| \(D(3040)^0\) | 2781 ± 35 | 177 ± 59 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | 1 | LHCb [3] | 2015 |
| \(D_s(3040)^0\) | 2971.8 ± 8.7 | 181.1 ± 44.8 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | UN | LHCb [2] | 2013 |
| \(D_{s0}(2550)^0\) | 3008.0 ± 4.0 | 110.5 ± 11.5 | \(D^{0}\pi^-\) | \? | LHCb [2] | 2013 |
| \(D_{s0}(2550)^0\) | 3008.1 (fixed) | 110.5 (fixed) | \(D^{0}\pi^-\) | \? | LHCb [2] | 2013 |
| \(D_{s0}(2550)^0\) | 3214 ± 98 | 186 ± 135 | \(D^{*}\pi^-\) | 2 | LHCb [4] | 2016 |
| \(D_{s0}(2750)^0\) | 2591 ± 13 | 89 ± 28 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | 0 | LHCb [5] | 2020 |
| \(D_{s0}(2770)^0\) | 2688 ± 7 | 112 ± 43 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | \? | BaBar [8] | 2006 |
| \(D_{s0}(2790)^0\) | 2708|^{15}_{-19} | 108|^{59}_{-54} | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | 1 | Belle [9] | 2007 |
| \(D_{s0}(2790)^0\) | 2710|^{14}_{-9} | 149|^{46}_{-59} | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | \? | BaBar [10] | 2009 |
| \(D_{s0}(2790)^0\) | 2709.2 ± 6.4 | 115.8 ± 19.4 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | \? | LHCb [11] | 2012 |
| \(D_{s0}(2790)^0\) | 2699|^{14}_{-19} | 127|^{24}_{-19} | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | 1 | BaBar [16] | 2014 |
| \(D_{s0}(2790)^0\) | 2732.3 ± 10.1 | 136 ± 43 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | 1 | LHCb [14] | 2016 |
| \(D_{s0}(2800)^0\) | 2856.6 ± 6.5 | 47 ± 17 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | \? | BaBar [8] | 2006 |
| \(D_{s0}(2800)^0\) | 2862|^{14}_{-9} | 48 ± 9 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | \? | BaBar [10] | 2009 |
| \(D_{s0}(2800)^0\) | 2866.1 ± 7.3 | 69.9 ± 9.8 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | \? | LHCb [11] | 2012 |
| \(D_{s0}(2800)^0\) | 2859.0 ± 27.0 | 159 ± 80 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | 1 | LHCb [12, 13] | 2014 |
| \(D_{s0}(2800)^0\) | 2860.5 ± 7.0 | 53.0 ± 10.0 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | 3 | LHCb [12, 13] | 2014 |
| \(D_{s0}(2800)^0\) | 2867.1 ± 6.2 | 50 ± 24 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | 3 | LHCb [14] | 2016 |
| \(D_{s0}(3004)^0\) | 3044|^{14}_{-9} | 239.0 ± 60.0 | \(D^{0}\pi^+\) | \? | BaBar [10] | 2009 |

The effective potential \(V(r)\) includes the spin-independent part \(V_0(r)\) and spin-dependent part \(V_{sd}(r)\). The spin-independent part \(V_0(r)\) is adopted the standard Cornell

\[
H = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_1^2} + \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + m_2^2} + V(r),
\]

where \(\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}_1 = -\mathbf{p}_2\) is the quark momentum in the center-of-mass system, \(r\) is the distance between two quarks; \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) are the masses of light and heavy quarks, respectively; and the reduced mass \(\mu = m_1m_2/(m_1 + m_2)\).
form \[114\]
\[V_0(r) = -\frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s(r)}{r} + br + C_0, \quad (2)\]

which includes the color Coulomb interaction and linear confinement, and zero point energy \(C_0\). The spin-dependent part \(V_{sd}(r)\) is adopted the widely used form \[73, 115, 116\]
\[V_{sd}(r) = H_{SS} + H_T + H_{LS}, \quad (3)\]

where
\[H_{SS} = \frac{32\pi \alpha_s(r) \cdot \sigma^3 e^{-r^2/r^2}}{9\sqrt{m_1 m_2}} S_1 \cdot S_2 \quad (4)\]
is the spin-spin contact hyperfine potential. The tensor potential \(H_T\) is adopted as
\[H_T = \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s(r)}{m_1 m_2} \left( \frac{3 S_1 \cdot r S_2 \cdot r}{r^2} - S_1 \cdot S_2 \right). \quad (5)\]
The spin-orbit interaction \(H_{LS}\) can be decomposed into symmetric part \(H_{sym}\) and antisymmetric part \(H_{anti}\):
\[H_{LS} = H_{sym} + H_{anti}, \quad (6)\]

with
\[H_{sym} = \frac{S_1 \cdot L}{2} \left( \frac{1}{3 m_1^2} + \frac{1}{2 m_2^2} \right) \left( \frac{4 \alpha_s(r)}{3 m_1} - \frac{b}{r} \right) + \frac{8 \alpha_s(r)}{3 m_1 m_2 r^2}, \quad (7)\]
\[H_{anti} = \frac{S_1 \cdot L}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2 m_1^2} - \frac{1}{2 m_2^2} \right) \left( \frac{4 \alpha_s(r)}{3 m_1} - \frac{b}{r} \right). \quad (8)\]

In these equations, \(L\) is the relative orbital angular momentum of the \(q\bar{q}\) system; \(S_1\) and \(S_2\) are the spins of the light and heavy quarks, respectively, and \(S_3 = S_1 \pm S_2\). The running coupling constant \(\alpha_s(r)\) in the coordinate space is adopted a parameterized form as suggested in Ref. \[73\]
\[\alpha_s(r) = \frac{\gamma_1}{1 + \frac{\gamma_2}{r^2} + \frac{\gamma_3}{r^4}}, \quad (9)\]
The parameters \(\gamma_i\) are free parameters which can be fitted to make the behavior of the running coupling constant at short distance be consistent with the coupling constant in momentum space predicted by QCD. In this work we take
\[\alpha_1 = 0.30, \quad \alpha_2 = 0.15, \quad \alpha_3 = 0.20, \quad \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (10)\]
\[\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}, \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \gamma_3 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (11)\]

The size parameters \(\gamma_i\) are the same as those adopted in Refs. \[73\], except that the parameter \(\alpha_i\) is slightly adjusted to better describe the mass spectrum. It should be mentioned that in the spin-dependent potentials we have replaced the light quark mass \(m_1\) with \(\tilde{m}_1\) to include some relativistic corrections to the potentials as suggested in Ref. \[20\]. The parameter set \{\(b, \sigma, m_1, \tilde{m}_1, m_2, C_0\}\) in the above potentials is determined by fitting the mass spectrum.

For the heavy-light meson system, the antisymmetric part of the spin-orbit potential, \(H_{anti}\), can cause a configuration mixing between spin triplet \(n^3L_j\) and spin singlet \(n^1L_j\) defined in the \(L-S\) coupling scheme. Thus, the physical states \(nL_j\) and \(nL'_j\) are expressed as
\[\left\{ \begin{array}{c} nL_j \\ nL'_j \end{array} \right\} = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \cos \theta_{nl} & \sin \theta_{nl} \\ -\sin \theta_{nl} & \cos \theta_{nl} \end{array} \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{c} n^1L_j \\ n^3L_j \end{array} \right\}. \quad (10)\]

where \(J = L = 1, 2, 3 \cdots\), and the \(\theta_{nl}\) is the mixing angle. In this work \(nL'_j\) corresponds to the higher mass mixed state as often adopted in the literature. The mixing angle \(\theta_{nl}\) is perturbatively determined with the non-diagonal matrix element \(\langle n^1L_j | H_{anti} | n^3L_j \rangle\). It should be mentioned that the coupled-channel effects can cause a configuration mixing as well, we neglect these effects on the the mixing angle in our calculations.

### B. numerical method

In this work we use the Gaussian expansion method \[117\] to solve the radial Schrodinger equation for a meson system with quantum numbers \(LM\) of the orbital angular momentum and its \(\zeta\) component,
\[(H - E)\psi_{LM}(r) = 0. \quad (11)\]

The spatial wave function \(\psi_{LM}(r)\) is expanded with a set of Gaussian basis functions,
\[\psi_{LM}(r) = \sum_{m=1}^{m_{max}} C_m \phi_{ml}(r) Y_{LM}(\hat{r}). \quad (12)\]

The Gaussian function \(\phi_{ml}(r)\) with given range parameters is written as
\[\phi_{ml}(r) = \left( \frac{2L+2}{\sqrt{n(2L+1)!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^L e^{-v_m r^2}. \quad (13)\]

Transforming \(\phi_{ml}(r)\) to the momentum space, one has
\[\phi_{ml}(p) = (-i)^L \left( \frac{\sqrt{v_m}}{\sqrt{n(2L+1)!}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{p}{\sqrt{v_m}} \right)^L e^{-\frac{p^2}{2v_m}}. \quad (14)\]

The size parameters \(v_m\) are set to be a geometric progression form \[117\]
\[v_m = \frac{1}{r_m^2}, \quad (15)\]
\[r_m = r_1 a^{m-1} \quad (m = 1 - m_{max}). \quad (16)\]

There are three parameters \(\{r_1, a, m_{max}\}\). These parameters, the eigenenergy \(E\), and the expansion coefficients \(C_m\) can be determined with the Rayleigh-Ritz variational principle by solving the generalized eigenvalue problem
\[\sum_{m'} \langle H_{nm'} | E_{nm'} \rangle C_{nm'} = 0 \quad (m = 1 - m_{max}), \quad (16)\]
where \(H_{nm} = \langle \phi_{ml} | H | \phi_{ml'} \rangle\) and \(N_{nm'} = \langle \phi_{ml} | 1 | \phi_{ml'} \rangle\).
C. parameters

The model parameters adopted in this work are listed in Table II. The parameter set \( \{ b, \sigma, m_1, m_2, C_0, \alpha_1 \} \) for the \( D \)-meson spectrum is determined by fitting the masses of the well-established states \( D(1865)^0, D_s(2007)^0, D_0(2420)^0, D_1(2430)^0, D_2(2460)^0 \) and \( D_3(2750)^0 \). While for the \( D_s \)-meson sector, the parameter set is determined by fitting the masses of the well-established states \( D_1(1969), D_1(2112), D_{s1}(2536) \) and \( D_{s2}(2573) \) together with the newly observed state \( D_{s3}(2860) \). In the present work, the slope parameter \( b \) and the running coupling constant \( \alpha_1 \) for the \( D \)-meson spectrum are set to be the same as those for the \( D_s \)-meson spectrum, considering that they may be independent on a specific quark flavor. It should be pointed out that the zero-point-energy parameter \( C_0 \) is taken to be zero for the \( c\bar{c}, b\bar{b}, b\bar{c} \) heavy quarkonium systems in the literature [118–121]. For these heavy quarkonium systems, the zero point energy can be absorbed into the constituent quark masses because it only affects the heavy quark masses slightly. However, if the zero point energy is absorbed into the meson systems containing light quarks, it can significantly change the light constituent quark masses, which play an important role in the spin-dependent potentials. Thus, to obtain a good description of both the masses and the hyperfine/finite splittings for the meson systems containing light quarks, a zero-point-energy parameter \( C_0 \) is usually adopted in the calculations. The slope parameter of the linear potential \( b = 0.18 \text{ GeV}^2 \) determined in the present work is consistent with that of the relativized quark model [73], which is slightly larger than \( b = 0.12–0.14 \) GeV\(^2\) adopted in the non-relativistic quark model [118–121].

It should be mentioned that we cannot obtain stable solutions for some states due to the singular behavior of \(1/r^2\) in the spin-dependent potentials. To overcome the singular behavior, following the method of our previous works [118–122], we introduce a cutoff distance \( r_c \) in the calculation. Within a small range \( r \in (0, r_c) \), we let \(1/r^3 = 1/r^3 \). By introducing the cutoff distance \( r_c \), we can nonperturbatively include the corrections from these spin-dependent potentials containing \(1/r^3\) to both the mass and wave function of a meson state, which are crucial for our predicting the decay properties. It is found that the mass of the \(1^3P_0\) state is more sensitive to the cutoff distance \( r_c \) due to its relatively larger factor \( \langle S_c \cdot L \rangle \) than the other excited meson states. Thus, the cutoff parameters \( r_c \) for the \( D \)- and \( D_s \)-meson spectra are determined by fitting the masses of the \( D(1^3P_0) \) and \( D_s(1^3P_0) \). Note that when the other parameters are well determined, the masses of these \(1^3P_0\) states can be reliably worked out with the perturbation method [113] without introducing the cutoff distance \( r_c \), although the wave functions obtain no corrections from the spin-dependent potentials containing \(1/r^3\). We obtain the masses \( M = 2313 \) and \( 2409 \text{ MeV} \) for \( D(1^3P_0) \) and \( D_s(1^3P_0) \), respectively. These masses calculated with the perturbation method are comparable with the predictions in Refs. [74, 76]. By fitting the masses 2313 and 2409 MeV of the \( D(1^3P_0) \) and \( D_s(1^3P_0) \) states obtained with the perturbation method, we determine the cutoff distance parameters to be \( r_c = 0.327 \) and 0.311 fm for the \( D \)- and \( D_s \)-meson spectra, respectively.

### Table II: Potential model parameters.

| \( m_j \) (GeV) | \( m_{ud} \) (GeV) | \( m_{ud} \) (GeV) | \( m_s \) (GeV) | \( m_s \) (GeV) |
|-----------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| \( D \)         | 1.70               | 0.40               | 0.62            | ...            |
| \( D_s \)       | 1.70               | ...                | ...             | 0.50           |

### D. results

With the determined model parameters listed in Table II, by solving the radial Schrödinger equation with the Gaussian expansion method [117] we obtain the masses of the \( D \) and \( D_s \)-meson states, which are listed in Table III and Table IV, respectively. For comparison, some other model predictions in Refs. [7, 25, 31, 37, 74, 76] and the data from RPP [7] are listed in the same table as well. Furthermore, for clarity, the spectra are also shown in Figs. 1 and 2. It is shown that the masses for the well-established states together with the newly observed states can be reasonably described within the semi-relativistic quark model. Our results are also in good agreement with other quark model predictions, although there are some model dependencies in the predicted masses for the higher 2D- and 1F-meson states.

To compare the meson wave functions obtained in the present work with those obtained with the relativized quark model [31], we also extract the effective harmonic oscillator parameters \( \beta_{eff} \) of the harmonic oscillator wave functions by equating the rms radius of the harmonic oscillator wave function for the specified \((n, \ell)\) quantum numbers to the rms radius of the wave functions calculated from our potential model. Our obtained \( \beta_{eff} \) parameters together those from the relativized quark model [31] are given in Table V. It is found that the \( \beta_{eff} \) parameters of the harmonic oscillator wave functions estimated in this work are consistent with those determined with the relativized quark model [31].

### III. STRONG DECAY

#### A. model

In this work, the Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI)-allowed two-body strong decays of the excited \( D \) and \( D_s \) meson states are calculated within a chiral quark model. The details of this model can be found in Refs. [44, 58, 59, 101]. In the chiral quark model [123], the low-energy quark-pseudo-scalar-meson interactions in the SU(3) flavor basis are described by the effective Lagrangian [124–126]

\[
\mathcal{L}_{pq} = \sum_j \frac{1}{f_m} \bar{q} j \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 q \phi_{m \mu} \phi_m. \tag{17}
\]
While the quark-vector-meson interactions in the SU(3) flavor basis are described by the effective Lagrangian \([127-129]\)

\[
    \mathcal{L}_{\text{Vqq}} = \sum_j \bar{\psi}_j (\gamma_\mu \sigma_{\mu\nu} q^\nu) V^\nu \psi_j. \tag{18}
\]

In the above effective Lagrangians, \(\psi_j\) represents the \(j\)th quark field in the hadron, \(\phi_m\) is the pseudoscalar meson field, \(f_m\) is the pseudoscalar meson decay constant, and \(V^\nu\) represents the vector meson field. Parameters \(a\) and \(b\) denote the vector and tensor coupling strength, respectively.

To match the nonrelativistic wave functions of the heavy-light mesons, we should adopt the nonrelativistic form of the Lagrangians in the calculations. The nonrelativistic form of Eq. (17) is given by \([124-126]\)

\[
    H_m = \sum_j \left( A \sigma_j \cdot q + \frac{\omega_m}{2m_q} \sigma_j \cdot p_j \right) I_j \bar{\phi}_m, \tag{19}
\]

in the center-of-mass system of the initial hadron, where we have defined \(A \equiv -(1 + \frac{\omega_m}{\mu_j + M_f}).\) On the other hand, from Eq. (18), the nonrelativistic transition operators for the emission of a transversely and longitudinally polarized vector meson are derived by \([127-129]\)

\[
    H^T_m = \sum_j \left[ \frac{b'}{2m_q} (q \times \epsilon) + \frac{a}{2\mu_q} q_j \cdot \epsilon \right] I_j \bar{\phi}_m, \tag{20}
\]

and

\[
    H^L_m = \sum_j \frac{aM_f}{|q|} I_j \bar{\phi}_m. \tag{21}
\]

In the above equations, \(q\) is the three-vector momentum of the final state pseudoscalar/vector meson; \(\omega_m\) is the energy of final state pseudoscalar meson; \(p_j\) is the internal momentum operator of the \(j\)th quark in the heavy-light meson rest frame; \(\sigma_j\) is the spin operator for the \(j\)th quark of the heavy-light system; and \(\mu_q\) is a reduced mass given by \(1/\mu_q = 1/m_j + 1/m'_j\) with \(m_j\) and \(m'_j\) for the masses of the \(j\)th quark in the initial and final mesons, respectively. \(E_f\) and \(M_f\) represent the energy and mass of the final state heavy hadron, \(M_f\) is the mass of the emitted vector meson. The plane wave part of

| State | \(J^P\) | Ours | Exp [7] | GM [31] | EFG [25] | ZVR [74] | LJM [37] | LNR [76] |
|-------|--------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| \(^1S_0\) | 0\(^{-}\) | 1865 | 1865 | 1877 | 1871 | 1850 | 1867 | 1874 |
| \(^1P_0\) | 0\(^{-}\) | 2313 | 2349/2300 | 2399 | 2406 | 2270 | 2252 | 2341 |
| \(^1D_1\) | 1\(^{-}\) | 2754 | 2754 | 2788 | 2710 | 2740 | 2750 |
| \(^1D_2\) | 2\(^{-}\) | 2755 | 2755 | 2816 | 2806 | 2740 | 2693 | 2689 |
| \(^2P_0\) | 0\(^{+}\) | 2849 | 2849 | 2893 | 2919 | 2890 | 2872 | 2802 |
| \(^2D_2\) | 2\(^{-}\) | 3143 | 3143 | 3231 | 3228 | 3130 | 3168 | 3052 |
| \(^2P_2\) | 2\(^{+}\) | 3096 | 3096 | 3132 | 3132 | 3132 | 3132 | 3099 |
| \(^1F_0\) | 3\(^{+}\) | 3022 | 3022 | 3090 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 | 3000 |
| \(^1F_2\) | 3\(^{+}\) | 3129 | 3129 | 3143 | 3145 | 3145 | 3145 | 3145 |
the emitted light meson is $\varphi_m = e^{-iq\cdot r}$, and $I_f$ is the flavor operator defined for the transitions in the SU(3) flavor space [125–129]. The parameter $b'$ in Eq. (20) is defined as $b' \equiv b - a$. The chiral quark model has been successfully applied to describe the strong decays of the heavy-light mesons and baryons [43, 44, 58, 59, 98–113]. It should be mentioned that the nonrelativistic form of quark-pseudoscalar-meson interactions expressed in Eq. (19) is similar to that of the pseudoscalar emission model [73, 77, 130–132], except that the factors $A \equiv -(1 + \frac{m_0}{m_f + m_f^2})$ and $h \equiv \frac{m_f^2}{m'}$ in this work have an explicit dependence on the energies of final hadrons.

For a light pseudoscalar emission in heavy-light meson strong decays, the partial decay width can be calculated with

$$\Gamma_P = \frac{(\delta)^2}{2M_f} \frac{(E_f + M_f)}{4\pi M_f (2J_f + 1)} \sum_{J_{f_1}, J_{f_2}} |M_{J_{f_1}J_{f_2}}|^2,\quad (22)$$

where $M_{J_{f_1}J_{f_2}}$ is the transition amplitude, and $J_{f_1}$ and $J_{f_2}$ stand for the third components of the total angular momenta of the initial and final heavy-light mesons, respectively. $\delta$ as a global parameter accounts for the strength of the quark-meson couplings. Here, we take the same value as that determined in Refs. [44, 58, 101], i.e., $\delta = 0.557$. While, for a light vector meson emission in heavy-light meson strong decays, the partial decay width can be calculated with

$$\Gamma_V = \frac{(E_f + M_f)}{4\pi M_f (2J_f + 1)} \sum_{J_{f_1}, J_{f_2}} |M_{J_{f_1}J_{f_2}}|^2.\quad (23)$$

To be consistent with the parameters of the mass calculations within the potential model, the masses of the component quarks are adopted as $m_c = 1.7 \text{ GeV}$, $m_{ud} = 0.4 \text{ GeV}$ and $m_s = 0.50 \text{ GeV}$. The decay constants for $\pi$, $K$ and $\eta$ mesons are taken as $f_\pi = 132 \text{ MeV}$, $f_K = f_\eta = 160 \text{ MeV}$, respectively. For the quark-vector-meson coupling strength which still suffers relatively large uncertainties, we adopt the values extracted from vector meson photoproduction, i.e. $a \approx -3$ and $b' \approx 5$ [127–129]. The masses of the mesons used in the calculations are adopted from RPP [7] if there are observations, otherwise, the meson masses are adopted our predictions.
IV. DISCUSSION

A. 1S -wave vector states

In the D and D∗ families, the ground vector (1S1) charmed and charmed-strange states, D∗ and D∗+, are well established. The strong decay transition D∗ → DK is kinematic forbidden. The charged state D∗(2010)+ can decay into both the D∗π0 and D∗π− final states. While the decays of the neutral D∗(2007)0 are governed by the D∗π0 channel, however, the D∗(2007)0 → D∗π− is kinematic forbidden. With the numerical wavefunctions determined from the potential model, the strong decays of D∗(2007)0 and D∗(2010)+ are calculated within the chiral quark model. As shown in Table 6, our predicted decay partial width of Γ[D∗(2007)0 → D∗π0] ≈ 48 keV is consistent with the observation. While for D∗(2010)+, the predicted width of Γ ≈ 99 keV and the partial width ratio

\[ R = \frac{\Gamma(D^0\pi^+)}{\Gamma(D^+\pi^0)} \approx 2.25 \]  

are in remarkable agreement with the experimental data \( \Gamma_{\exp} = 83.4 \pm 1.8 \) keV and \( R_{\exp} = 2.21 \), respectively [7].

B. 2S -wave states

I. 21S0

In the D-meson family, our predicted mass for the D(21S0) state is \( M = 2547 \) MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in the literature [17–25, 29–33, 35–37, 74, 76]. The D(21S0) may dominantly decay into the D∗π and D0(2300)π channels with a width of Γ ≈ 89 MeV. The partial width ratio between D∗π and D0(2300)π is predicted to be

\[ R = \frac{\Gamma[D^*\pi]}{\Gamma[D_0(2300)\pi]} \approx 0.78. \]  

Our predicted width of D(21S0) with the chiral quark model is close to the predictions within the \( ^3P_0 \) models [29–31, 48, 50], however, in these works the predicted decay rate into the D0(2300)π channel is tiny.

The D0(2550) listed in RPP [7] may be classified as the radially excited state 21S0 in the D-meson family. Its average measured mass and width are \( M_{\exp} = 2549 \pm 19 \) MeV and \( \Gamma_{\exp} = 165 \pm 24 \) MeV, respectively [7]. This state was first observed by the BaBar collaboration in the D∗π− channel in 2010 [1], and was confirmed by the LHCb collaboration with significance by using pp collision data [2, 5]. As the assignment of D(21S0), the mass of D0(2550) is consistent with various quark model predictions [23, 24, 29–32]. In Refs. [43, 44, 58] we have studied the strong decays of the...
FIG. 2: Predicted charmed-strange meson mass spectrum compared with the observations.

FIG. 3: The determination of the physical mass for the $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$ state. The mass shift function $\Delta M(M)$ and linear function $M - M_0$ are shown by the thick and thin lines, respectively. $M_0$ stands for the bare mass of $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$, and the physical mass $M_{phy}$ of the dressed $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$ state as a solution of the coupled-channel equation Eq.(A7) is located at the intersection point of two solid lines.

$D_0(2550)$ as the $2^{1}S_0$ state by using the SHO wave function, the obtained width, $\Gamma \approx 20 - 70$ MeV, is too narrow to be comparable with the data. In the present work, with the genuine wave function determined by the potential model our predicted decay width of $D(2^{1}S_0)$,

$$\Gamma \approx 89 \text{ MeV},$$

is close to the data $\Gamma_{exp} = 130 \pm 25$ MeV measured by BaBar [1]. The $D_0(2550)$ is also explained as the $D(2^{1}S_0)$ state based on the strong decay analyses in the literature [29–31, 46–50]. According to our chiral quark model predictions, the $D_0(2550)$ has large decay rate ($\sim 56\%$) into the $D_0(2300)\pi$ channel. Thus, to better understand the nature of the $D_0(2550)$ state and to test various model predictions, further observations of the missing $D_0(2300)\pi$ channel are needed in future experiments.

In the charmed-strange sector, our predicted mass for the $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$ state is $M = 2649$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in the literature [17–25, 31–34, 37, 41, 74, 76]. The $D^*K$ decay channel is the only OZI-allowed two body strong channel for $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$. With the $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$ wave function obtained from our potential model calculations, its width is predicted to be

$$\Gamma \approx 37 \text{ MeV},$$

The $D_s(2^{1}S_0)$ state is also predicted to be a narrow state with a width of 10s MeV in the literature [31, 41, 44, 58, 69, 133].

Recently, the LHCb collaboration observed a new excited $D_s^*$ state, $D_{s0}(2590)^*$, in the $D^*K\pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum
TABLE V: Predicted effective harmonic oscillator parameters $\rho_{ij}/(\text{GeV})$ of the harmonic oscillator wave functions for the charmed and charmed-strange meson states. For comparison, the values predicted from the relativized quark model are also listed.

| State    | $D$          | $D_s$         | $D_s'$         |
|----------|--------------|---------------|----------------|
|          | Ours GM [31] | Ours GM [31]  |                |
| $1^1S_0$ | 0.597        | 0.601         | 0.616          |
| $1^3S_1$ | 0.499        | 0.516         | 0.514          |
| $2^1S_0$ | 0.451        | 0.450         | 0.461          |
| $2^3S_1$ | 0.424        | 0.434         | 0.432          |
| $3^3S_0$ | 0.403        | 0.407         | 0.409          |
| $3^3S_1$ | 0.390        | 0.399         | 0.395          |

The coupling of the $B^0 \rightarrow D^* D^* K^+ \pi^- $ decay [15]. Its mass, width, and the spin parity numbers are measured to be $M_{\text{exp}} = 2591 \pm 6 \pm 7$ MeV, $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 89 \pm 16 \pm 12$ MeV and $J^P = 0^-$, respectively. The $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ is suggested to be a candidate of the missing $D_{s1}(2S)^0$ state [15]. However, considering $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ as the $D_{s1}(2S)$ state, it is found the observed mass is about 60 MeV lower than most potential model predictions.

The coupling of the $D_s(2S)$ $c\bar{s}$ core to the two hadron final states was considered within the $3P_0$ model in the literature [134, 135]. It is found that when taking into account the $D^*K$ loop correction to the bare $c\bar{s}$ state, the physical mass will be close to that of $D_{s0}(2590)^+$. In this work, we also estimate the mass shift of $D_s(2S)$ by including the $D^*K$ coupled-channel interaction within our chiral quark model. The details about the coupled-channel quark model are given in Appendix A. The mass shift of $D_s(2S)$ is shown in Fig. 3. Our result shows that the coupled-channel interaction induces a mass shift of $\sim 68$ MeV. The bare mass $M = 2649$ MeV of $D_s(2S)$ will be shifted to the physical mass $M_{\text{phy}} = 2581$ MeV, which is consistent with the measured mass of $D_{s0}(2590)^+$. Our coupled-channel calcula-
In the D-meson family, our predicted mass for the $D(2^3S_1)$ state is $M \approx 2636$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in the literature [17–25, 29–33, 35–37, 74, 76]. According to our chiral quark model predictions, the $D(2^3S_1)$ may be a narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 41 \text{ MeV}, \quad (29)$$

and dominantly decays into $D\pi$ and $D(12340)\pi$ channels with branching fractions about 47% and 41%, respectively. However, the decay rate into the $D^\ast\pi$ channel is tiny ($\sim 2\%$). In Refs. [29–31, 51, 55], the $D(2^3S_1)$ is predicted to be a broader state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 60 – 200$ MeV. Combined with our previous study [43], we find that the strong decay properties of $D(2^3S_1)$ are very sensitive to the details of the wave function due to the nodal effects.

From the point of view of mass, the $D_s^0(2600)$ resonance listed in RPP [7] may be a candidate of the $D(2^3S_1)$ state. This resonance was first observed by BaBar in the $D\pi$ and $D^\ast\pi$ decay channels in 2010 [1]. The measured mass and width are $M_{exp} = 2609 \pm 4$ MeV and $\Gamma_{exp} = 96 \pm 6 \pm 13$ MeV, respectively, and the measured partial width ratio between $D\pi$ and $D^\ast\pi$ is $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^\ast\pi) = 0.32 \pm 0.11$. In 2013, in the $D^\ast+\pi$ final state the LHCb collaboration observed a similar resonance $D_s^0(2650)$ with a mass of $M_{exp} = 2649 \pm 7$ MeV and a width of $\Gamma_{exp} = 140.2 \pm 35.7$ MeV [2]. In 2016, LHCb collaboration carried out an amplitude analysis of the $B^- \to D^{\ast+}\pi^-\pi^-$ decays, they extracted a $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D_s^0(2680)$ with mass and width of $M_{exp} = 2681 \pm 23.6$ MeV and $\Gamma_{exp} = 186.7 \pm 25.3$ MeV [4]. Recently, from the $B^- \to D^{\ast+}\pi^-\pi^-$ decays, the LHCb collaboration also extracted a $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D_s^0(2600)$ with mass and width of $M_{exp} = 2641.9 \pm 6.3$ MeV and $\Gamma_{exp} = 149 \pm 24$ MeV [5].

The resonances observed in different experiments might be the same state, which is denoted by $D_s^0(2600)$ in RPP [7], although there are some differences in the observations of different experiments.

Considering $D_s^0(2600)$ as the $D(2^3S_1)$ assignment, the strong decay properties have been analyzed in the literature. The strong decay analyses in Refs. [29–33, 46–49, 51] support this assignment. However, with the $D(2^3S_1)$ assignment our predicted width $\Gamma \approx 41$ MeV is too small to be comparable with the average measured value $\Gamma_{exp} = 141 \pm 23$ MeV. To well explain the decay properties, the $D_s^0(2600)$ is also suggested to be a mixed state via the $2^3S_1 - 1^3D_1$ mixing in the literature [37, 38, 42–44, 50, 51, 55]. In Ref. [69], the study within effective Lagrangian method indicates that it is impossible to explain the ratio $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D^\ast\pi) = 0.32 \pm 0.11$ [1] measured by BaBar with a pure $D(2^3S_1)$ state.

In the charmed-strange sector, our predicted mass for the $D_s(2^3S_1)$ state is $M \approx 2737$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in the literature [17–25, 31–34, 37, 41, 74, 76]. According to our chiral quark model predictions, the $D_s(2^3S_1)$ may be a narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 12 \text{ MeV}, \quad (30)$$

**TABLE VII: Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the $S$-wave charmed-strange mesons.**

| State | Channel | $\Gamma$ (MeV) | Br (%) | $\Gamma_{exp}$ (MeV) |
|-------|---------|----------------|--------|---------------------|
| $D_s(2^1S_0)$ | $D^\ast K$ | 37.1 | 100 | ... |
| 2649 | Total | 37.1 | 100 | ... |
| $D_s(2^3S_1)$ | $DK$ | 4.8 | 41.0 | ... |
| as $D_s^0(2700)$ | $D_s^0\pi$ | 0.1 | 0.9 | ... |
| | $D^\ast K$ | 6.4 | 54.7 | ... |
| | $D_s^0\pi$ | 0.4 | 3.4 | ... |
| | Total | 11.7 | 100 | 120 \pm 11 |
| $D_s(3^3S_1)$ | $DK$ | 6.3 | 5.1 | ... |
| 3191 | $D_s^0\pi$ | 0.5 | 0.4 | ... |
| | $D_s^0\pi$ | $9 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4 \times 10^{-5}$ | ... |
| | $D^\ast K$ | 10.0 | 8.1 | ... |
| | $D_s^0\pi$ | 2.6 | 2.1 | ... |
| | $D_s^0\pi$ | 0.5 | 0.4 | ... |
| | $D_s(2550)K$ | 0.2 | 0.2 | ... |
| | $D_s(2527)K$ | 7.0 | 5.7 | ... |
| | $D_s(2430)K$ | 47.9 | 39.0 | ... |
| | $D_s(2527)\eta$ | 5.2 | 4.2 | ... |
| | $D_s(2420)K$ | 3.6 | 2.9 | ... |
| | $D_s(2535)\eta$ | 0.4 | 0.3 | ... |
| | $D_s(2460)K$ | 2.3 | 1.9 | ... |
| | $D_s(2535)\eta$ | 0.05 | 0.04 | ... |
| | $D_s(2573)\eta$ | 31.5 | 25.6 | ... |
| | $D_s\phi$ | 4.1 | 3.3 | ... |
| | $D_s\phi$ | 0.7 | 0.6 | ... |
| | $D_s\phi$ | $9 \times 10^{-5}$ | $7 \times 10^{-3}$ | ... |
| | Total | 122.9 | 100 | ... |

The resonances observed in different experiments might be the same state, which is denoted by $D_s^0(2600)$ in RPP [7], although there are some differences in the observations of different experiments.

Assigning the newly observed resonance $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ to the $D_s(21S_0)$ state, the higher mass problem can be overcome by taking into account the $D^\ast K$ loop correction, however, the width of $D_{s0}(2590)^+$ cannot be well understood within our chiral quark model. Adopting the observed mass $M = 2591$ MeV, our predicted width,

$$\Gamma \approx 19 \text{ MeV}, \quad (28)$$

is about a factor of 5 smaller than the center value of the data $\Gamma_{exp} = 89$ MeV. Our predicted width is consistent with the recent predictions with the relativistic wave functions obtained by solving the full Salpeter equation [66] and the $^3P_0$ model [134]. To establish the $D_s(21S_0)$ state and uncover the nature of the $D_{s0}(2590)^+$, more observations are needed in future experiments.
and mainly decays into the $DK$ and $D'K$ final states. Our predictions are consistent with those predicted with a $^3P_0$ model [83]. However, in other works [31, 41, 51, 79] the $D_{s1}(2^{3}S_1)$ is predicted to be a relatively broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 100 \sim 200$ MeV.

From the point of view of mass, the $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ resonance listed in RPP [7] can be assigned to the $D_{s1}(2^{3}S_1)$ state. The $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ was first observed in the $DK$ final state by the BaBar collaboration in 2006 [8], and one year later its quantum numbers $J^P = 1^-$ were determined by the Belle collaboration [9]. The average measured mass and width are $M_{\exp} = 2714 \pm 5$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\exp} = 122 \pm 10$ MeV, respectively [7]. More experimental information about $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ is collected in Table I. Some phenomenological analyses in the literature [22–28, 31, 33, 34, 53, 54] support $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ as the $D_{s1}(2^{3}S_1)$ assignment. However, considering $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ as $D_{s1}(2^{3}S_1)$, the measured width $\Gamma_{\exp} = 122 \pm 10$ MeV and ratio $\Gamma(D^*K)/\Gamma(DK) = 0.91 \pm 0.25$ cannot be explained within our chiral quark model. To well explain the observations, the $D_{s1}^*(2700)$ is also suggested to be a mixed state via the $2^{3}S_1 - 1^{3}D_1$ mixing in the literature [37, 41, 50, 55, 59, 81, 82]. The $2^{3}S_1 - 1^{3}D_1$ mixing in the $D$- and $D_s$-meson families will be further discussed later.

C. $3S_1$-wave states

1. $3^{1}S_0$

In the $D$-meson family, the mass for the second radial excitation $D(3^{1}S_0)$ is predicted to be $M = 3029$ MeV within our potential model calculations. The mass gap between $D(3^{1}S_0)$ and $D(2^{1}S_0)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 480$ MeV. Our predictions are consistent with those in Refs. [25, 31, 74]. The $D(3^{1}S_0)$ may be a broad state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 410 \text{ MeV},$$

and dominantly decays into the $D_1^*(2300)\pi$ (29%), $D_2^*(2460)\pi$ (24%) and $D^*\pi$ (21%) final states. More details can be seen in Table VI. It should be mentioned that there exist large model dependencies in the decay properties predicted in the literature.

In Refs. [29, 30], the authors suggested that the unnatural parity state $D_{s}(3000)$ observed in the $D^*\pi$ final state by the LHCb collaboration [2] might be explained with $D(3^{1}S_0)$ according to their strong decay analysis within the $^3P_0$ model. However, our predicted width of $D(3^{1}S_0)$ is too broad to be comparable with the measured width $\Gamma_{\exp} = 188.1 \pm 44.8$ MeV, although the predicted mass is consistent with the data. To establish the $D(3^{1}S_0)$, more observations of the other main decay channels, such as $D_1^*(2300)\pi$ and $D_2^*(2460)\pi$, are needed in future experiments.

In the $D_s$-meson family, the mass for the second radial excitation $D_s(3^{1}S_0)$ is predicted to be $M = 3126$ MeV within our potential model calculations, which is about 100 MeV larger than that of the charmed partner $D(3^{1}S_0)$. Our prediction is consistent with that of the relativized quark model [31]. From

![FIG. 4: Total width and partial widths of the main decay channels for $D(3^{1}S_0)$ and $D_s(3^{1}S_0)$ as the functions of their masses.](image)

Table VII, one sees that the $D_s(3^{1}S_0)$ state may have a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 170 \text{ MeV},$$

and dominantly decays into the $D_s^*(2300)K$ and $D_s^*(2460)K$ final states. The main decay mode $D_s^*(2460)K$ predicted within our chiral quark model are consistent with that predicted within the $^3P_0$ model [31], however, our predicted width is about a factor of 2.2 larger than that within the $^3P_0$ model [31]. To look for the missing $D_s(3^{1}S_0)$ state, the $D_s^*(2460)K$ final state is worth to observing in future experiments.

2. $3^{3}S_1$

In the $D$-meson family, the mass for the second radial excitation $D(3^{3}S_1)$ is predicted to be $M = 3093$ MeV within our potential model calculations, which is consistent with the predictions in Refs. [25, 31, 74]. The mass splitting between $D(3^{3}S_1)$ and $D(3^{1}S_0)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 64$ MeV. From Table VI, it is seen that the $D(3^{3}S_1)$ may be a broad state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 210 \text{ MeV},$$

and has large decay rates into $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(3^{3}S_1)$ on its mass,
the partial widths of the main decay channels together with the total width as functions of the mass are also plotted in Fig. 4. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(3^3S_1)$ varies in the range ~160 – 320 MeV.

In Ref. [29], the authors suggested that the natural parity state $D_f(3000)$ observed in the $D\tau$ final state by the LHCb collaboration [2] may be explained with $D(3^3S_1)$ according to their mass and strong decay analysis. However, our predicted mass and width of $D(3^3S_1)$, $M = 3093$ MeV and $\Gamma \approx 210$ MeV, are notably larger than the data $M_{exp} = 3080.1 \pm 4.0$ MeV and $\Gamma_{exp} = 110.5 \pm 11.5$ MeV measured by LHCb [2]. Furthermore, the predicted decay rates into the $D\pi$ channel is tiny, which is inconsistent with the fact that $D_f(3000)$ was first observed in the $D\tau$ final state. To establish the $D(3^3S_1)$, more observations of the other decay channels, such as $D_1(2430)\pi$ and $D_\rho$, are needed in future experiments.

In the $D_\tau$-meson sector, the mass for the second radial excitation $D_1(3^3S_1)$ is predicted to be $M = 3191$ MeV within our potential model calculations, which is consistent with the predictions in Refs. [31, 74]. The mass splitting between $D_1(3^3S_1)$ and $D_1(3^3P_0)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 65$ MeV, which is nearly equal to that for the charmed sector. The strong decay properties of $D_1(3^3S_1)$ have been shown in Table VII, it is seen that $D_1(3^3S_1)$ may be a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 120 \text{ MeV},$$

and mainly decays into $D_1(2430)K$ and $DK^*$ channels. The dependence of the decay properties of $D_1(3^3S_1)$ on its mass is also shown in Fig. 4. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_1(3^3S_1)$ varies in the range ~100 – 180 MeV. Our predicted decay properties are comparable with those of $^1P_0$ model in Refs. [31, 41]. It should be mentioned that for the higher excited states, the predicted decay properties have large model dependencies.

### D. $^1P_0$-wave states

#### 1. $^1P_0$

The broad $D_0'(2300)$ resonance listed in RPP [7] is generally considered to be the $D(1^3P_0)$ state in $D$-meson family. The neutral state $D_0'(2300)$ with $J^P = 0^+$ was first observed in the $D^0\pi^-$ channel by the Belle collaboration in 2003 [136], and was confirmed by the BaBar collaboration in 2009 [137]. The charged state $D_0'^+(2300)$ was also established in the $D^0\pi^+$ channel by the FOCUS collaboration in 2003 [138], and was confirmed by the LHCb collaboration in 2015 [6]. In experiments, only the $D\pi$ channel is observed since the other OZI-allowed two-body strong channels are forbidden. The average measured mass and width of $D_0'(2300)$ from RPP are $M_{exp} = 2343 \pm 10$ MeV and $\Gamma_{exp} = 229 \pm 16$ MeV, respectively [7], which are consistent with the quark model expectations [25, 30, 41, 57, 58, 77–79, 131].

| State | Channel | $\Gamma$ (MeV) | Br (%) | $\Gamma_{exp}$ (MeV) |
|-------|---------|----------------|--------|-------------------|
| $D(1^3P_0)$ | $D\pi$ | 538.0 | 100 | ...
| as $D_0'(2300)$ Total | 538.0 | 100 | 229 ± 16 |
| $D(1^3P_2)$ | $D\pi$ | 26.0 | 63.0 | ...
| as $D_2'(2460)$ Total | 26.0 | 63.0 | ...
| $D(1^3P_0)$ | $D\eta$ | 0.05 | 0.1 | ...
| as $D_0'(2573)$ Total | 0.05 | 0.1 | ...
| $D(1^3P_1)$ | $D\pi$ | 15.3 | 36.9 | ...
| as $D_1'(2409)$ Total | 15.3 | 36.9 | 41.3 | 100 | 47.3 ± 0.8 |
| $D(1^3P_1)$ | $D\pi$ | 214.5 | [241.0 ± 1.0] | 100 | ...
| as $D_1'(2430)$ Total | 214.5 | [241.0 ± 1.0] | 100 | 314 ± 29 |
| $D(1^3P_0)$ | $D\pi$ | 42.5 | [16.8 ± 1.0] | 100 | ...
| as $D_1'(2420)$ Total | 42.5 | [16.8 ± 1.0] | 100 | 31.3 ± 1.9 |

Our predicted mass of $D(1^3P_0)$ is $M = 2313$ MeV. Taking into account the $D\tau$ loop correction to bare mass of the $D(1^3P_0)$ $c\bar{s}$ core, from Fig. 5 it is seen that the physical mass is reduced to $M_{phy} = 2253$ MeV, there is a mass shift of $\Delta M = 60$ MeV compared with the bare mass. The physical mass of the dressed $D(1^3P_0)$ state is about 90 MeV lower than the PDG average mass $M_{exp} = 2343 \pm 10$ MeV [7], however, is close to measured value $\sim 2300$ MeV from Belle and BaBar experiments [136, 137].

Taking the measured mass $M_{exp} = 2343$ MeV and the wave function extracted from the potential model, we predict that the $D_0'(2300)$ is a broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 540$ MeV, which is about a factor of 2.3 larger than the average data $\Gamma_{exp} = 229 \pm 16$ MeV from RPP [7]. It should be mentioned that the mass of $D_0'(2300)$ measured from different collaborations is quite different, while the measured width also bears large uncertainties. In some recent works, the $D_0'(2300)$ resonance was suggested to be a two-pole structure in chiral dynamics [89–91]. The recent Lattice calculations of the $D\pi$
scattering amplitudes obtain a complex \(D^*\) state resonance pole with a mass \(M \approx 2200\) MeV and a width \(\Gamma \approx 400\) MeV [92]. The mass and width are in contrast to the currently reported experimental results. To better understand the nature of \(D^*_0(2300)\), more accurate measurements are needed to be carried out in future experiments.

In the \(D_s\)-meson sector, our predicted mass of \(D_s(1^3P_0)\) is \(M = 2409\) MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in the Refs. [25, 31, 76]. The mass of \(D_s(1^3P_0)\) state is about 100 MeV overestimated by the potential model if considering \(D^*_0(2317)\) as the \(D_s(1^3P_0)\) state. The mass calculated with lattice QCD also is significantly higher than than of \(D^*_0(2317)\) [86]. In Ref. [34], the study indicates that including the one-loop corrections of the OGE potential the mass of \(D_s(1^3P_0)\) will be reduced by about 130 MeV. Then, the mass of \(D_s(1^3P_0)\), \(M \approx 2279\) MeV, is close to the that of \(D^*_0(2317)\). The study of \(DK\) scattering in full lattice QCD supports the interpretation of the \(D^*_0(2317)\) as a \(DK\) molecule [139]. Recently, Zhi Yang et al. studied the positive parity \(D_s\) resonant states within the Hamiltonian effective field theory by combining it with the quark model, they found that \(D^*_0(2317)\) may consist of the \(D_s(1^3P_0)\) state, but at the same time couple to the \(DK\) channel [95].

In this work, we also estimate the mass shift of \(D_s(1^3P_0)\) by including the \(DK\) coupled-channel interaction within our chiral quark model. The mass shift is determined in Fig. 5. From the figure, we can see a cusp singularity in the mass shift curve of \(D_s(1^3P_0)\), this is a typical \(S\)-wave mass shift, the formation mechanism was discussed in Ref. [140]. Our result shows that the large \(S\)-wave coupling to \(DK\) channel of \(D_s(1^3P_0)\) induces a mass shift of about \(\Delta M \approx 100\) MeV. The physical mass of the dressed \(D_s(1^3P_0)\) state is estimated to be \(M_{\text{phy}} = 2309\) MeV, which is very close to the measured mass of \(D^*_0(2317)\). Our coupled-channel analysis within the chiral quark model is consistent with that in Refs. [34, 95, 96]. Since the mass of \(D^*_0(2317)\) is below the mass threshold of the \(DK\) channel, its extremely narrow width can be understood in theory.

2. \(1^3P_2\)

The \(D_s(2460)\) resonance is assigned as the \(1^3P_2\) state of the \(D_s\)-meson family. Our theoretical mass \(M = 2475\) MeV and width \(\Gamma \approx 41.3\) MeV are in good agreement with the average measured values \(M_{\exp} = 2461.1 \pm 0.7\) MeV and \(\Gamma \approx 47.3 \pm 0.8\) MeV from RPP [7]. The \(D_s(2460)\) dominantly decays into the \(D\pi\) and \(D\pi\) channels. The partial width ratio between \(D\pi\) and \(D\pi\) is predicted to be

\[
R = \frac{\Gamma(D\pi)}{\Gamma(D\pi)} \approx 1.70,
\]

which is also in good agreement with the data \(R = 1.52 \pm 0.14\) [7]. The decay properties predicted in this work are consistent with our previous predictions with the SHO wave functions [58] and other predictions in the \(3^3P_0\) models [78, 79], and the PCAC and low energy theorem [56].

The coupled-channel effects on the mass shift of \(D(1^3P_2)\) are also studied. The results are shown in Fig. 5. One can see that the mass shift (i.e., \(\Delta M \approx 5\) MeV) is tiny when including the \(D\pi\) and \(D\pi\) loop corrections. There are two main reasons for the negligibly small coupled-channel contribution: (i) the mass of \(D(1^3P_2)\) is far from the \(D\pi\) and \(D\pi\) thresholds; (ii) \(D(1^3P_2)\) couples to \(D\pi\) and \(D\pi\) channels via a weak \(D\pi\) wave coupling.

In the \(D_s\)-meson family, the \(1^3P_2\) state has been well established in experiments. The narrow resonance \(D_{s2}(2573)\) listed...
channels, a tiny mass shift $R$ is also consistent with the data. In the vertical direction, the shaded region represents the possible range of the mixing angle $\theta_{1P}$.

In RPP [7] should belong to the $D_1(1^3P_2)$ state. Our theoretical mass $M = 2575$ MeV and width $\Gamma \approx 13.3$ MeV can well reproduce the average measured values $M_{\exp} = 2569$ MeV and $\Gamma \approx 16.9 \pm 0.7$ MeV from RPP [7]. The $D_1(2573)$ mainly decays into the $DK$ channel, while the decay rate into $D^*K$ channel is sizeable. Our predicted partial width ratio between $D^*K$ and $DK$,

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(D^*K)}{\Gamma(DK)} \approx 0.13,$$

is also consistent with the data $R_{\exp} < 0.33$ [7]. The decay properties predicted with the genuine wave function from our potential model calculations in this work are consistent with our previous predictions with the SHO wave functions [58], and other predictions by using the $^3P_0$ models [78, 79] and the PCAC and low energy theorem [56].

Finally, we also study the coupled-channel effects on the mass of the bare $D_1(1^3P_2)$ state. From the strong decay analysis we know that $D_1(1^3P_2)$ mainly decays into $DK$ and $D^*K$ channels. Considering the $D_1(1^3P_2)$ core coupling to these channels, a tiny mass shift $\Delta M = 2$ MeV can be seen in Fig. 5. The tiny couple-channel effects on $D_1(1^3P_2)$ are mainly due to a weak $D$-wave coupling to $DK$ and $D^*K$ channels. Our conclusion is consistent with that of the recent study [95].

3. $1P$ and $1P'$

In the $1P$-wave states, the two $J^P = 1^+$ states $1^1P_1$ and $1^3P_1$ should be mixed with each other by the antisymmetric part of the spin-orbit potential. The physical states $1P_1$ and $1P'_1$ states are expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1P_1 \\
1P'_1
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta_{1P} & \sin \theta_{1P} \\
-\sin \theta_{1P} & \cos \theta_{1P}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
1^1P_1 \\
1^3P_1
\end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

In this work, the $1P_1$ and $1P'_1$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the $D$-meson family, the masses for the two mixed states $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$ are determined to be $M = 2424$ MeV and $2453$ MeV, respectively. The mass splitting between $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 30$ MeV, which is close to the prediction in Ref. [25]. The mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -34.0^\circ$ determined in this work is similar to the determinations in Refs. [30, 31], however, is about a factor of ~ 1.6 smaller than the value $\theta_{1P} = -54.7^\circ$ extracted in the heavy quark limit. The low mass state $D(1P_1)$ should be a broad state with a width of about several hundred MeV, while the high mass state $D(1P'_1)$ is a narrow state with a width of about several tens MeV. The $D^*\pi$ channel is the only OZI-allowed two-body strong decay channel of $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$.

The $D_1(2430)$ and $D_1(2420)$ resonances listed in RPP [7] can be assigned to the $1P$-wave mixed state $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$, respectively. For the broad resonance $D_1(2430)$, its average measured mass and width are $M_{\exp} = 2412 \pm 9$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\exp} = 314 \pm 29$ MeV, respectively [7]. While for the narrow resonance $D_1(2420)$, its average measured mass and width are $M_{\exp} = 2422.1 \pm 0.8$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\exp} = 31.3 \pm 1.9$ MeV, respectively [7]. The average mass splitting from the measurements, $\Delta M_{\exp} \approx 10$ MeV, is smaller than our potential model prediction $\Delta M \approx 30$ MeV.

Taking the physical masses and predicted mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -34^\circ$ for $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$, we calculate the strong decay properties, our results are listed in Table VIII. It is seen that our predicted decay width of $D_1(2420)$ are in good agreement with the data, however, the predicted width of $D_1(2430)$ is slightly smaller than the lower limit of the measured width $\Gamma_{\exp} = 314 \pm 29$ MeV. With the mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -54.7^\circ$ extracted in the heavy quark limit, the theoretical width of $D_1(2430)$, $\Gamma \approx 240$ MeV, is more close to the data. The predicted width of $D_1(2420)$, $\Gamma \approx 17$ MeV, is slightly smaller than the PDG average value $\Gamma_{\exp} = 31.3 \pm 1.9$ MeV, however, is in good agreement with the measurements, ~ 20 MeV, from Belle, BESIII, CDF, CLEO listed by PDG [7]. In Fig. 6, we show the dependence of the decay widths of the $D_1(2430)$ and $D_1(2420)$ resonances on the mixing angle. It is found that the decay widths are sensitive to the mixing angle. Taking the mixing angle around value extracted in the heavy quark limit, i.e. $\theta_{1P} = -(55 \pm 5)^\circ$, the predicted decay properties of the $D_1(2430)$ and $D_1(2420)$ resonances are consistent with the measurements.
The coupled-channel effects on the masses of the two $1^+$ states $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$ are further studied. Our results are shown in Fig. 5. It is seen that including the $D^*\pi$ loop correction, the mass shifts of $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$ are predicted to be $\Delta M \approx 12$ and 5 MeV, respectively. There are only small corrections of the coupled-channel effects to the masses of $D(1P_1)$ and $D(1P'_1)$, because their masses are far from the $D^*\pi$ threshold.

In the $D_s$-meson family, the masses for the two mixed states $D_s(1P_1)$ and $D_s(1P'_1)$ are estimated to be $M = 2528$ MeV and $M = 2545$ MeV, respectively. Their masses is very close to the mass threshold of $D^*K$. The mass splitting between $D_s(1P_1)$ and $D_s(1P'_1)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 17$ MeV, which is close to the predictions in Refs. [74, 76]. The mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -36.8^\circ$ is almost the same as that of the charmed sector, and is consistent with the determinations in Ref. [31]. Adopting this mixing angle, masses, and wave functions determined from our potential model calculations, we study the two-body OZI-allowed strong decays, our results are listed in Table IX. It is found that the low mass state $D_s(1P_1)$ may be a broad state with a width of $\Gamma = 192$ MeV, while the high mass state $D_s(1P'_1)$ may be a narrow state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 22$ MeV. The $D^*K$ channel is the only OZI-allowed two-body strong decay channel of $D_s(1P_1)$ and $D_s(1P'_1)$.

The $D_{s1}(2536)$ resonance can be assigned to the high mass state $D_s(1P'_1)$. When the mixing angle $\theta_{1P} = -36.8^\circ$ predicted by our potential model is taken into account, the width of $D_{s1}(2536)$ is $\Gamma \gg 22$ MeV, which is significantly larger than $\Gamma_{exp} = 0.92 \pm 0.05$ MeV. From Fig. 6, one can find that the decay width of $D_{s1}(2536)$ is very sensitive to the mixing angle. Taking the mixing angle around value extracted in the heavy quark limit, i.e. $\theta_{1P} = -(55 \pm 5)^\circ$, we find that the theoretical width $\Gamma = 1.4 \pm 1.0$ MeV is consistent with the measured width of $\Gamma_{exp} = 0.92 \pm 0.05$ MeV. Our recent analysis of $B_1(5721)$ and $B_{s1}(5830)$ also shows that as the $1P$-wave mixed states their mixing angle more favors $\theta_{1P} = -(55 \pm 5)^\circ$ [113]. Thus, for the heavy-light mesons, the mixing angle of the $1P$-wave states seems to be close to the value $\theta_{1P} = -54.7^\circ$ extracted in the heavy quark limit. It should be mentioned that it is still a puzzle for the mixing between the $^1P_1$ and $^3P_1$ states, which cannot be well understood within the various potential models.

The simple potential model overestimates the mass of the low-mass $D_s(1P_1)$ state if considering $D_{s1}(2460)$ as a candidate of it. The mass calculated with lattice QCD also is significantly higher than that of $D_{s1}(2460)$ [86]. The coupled-channel effects may play an important role because of the closeness behavior for the bare $c\bar{s}$ and $D^*K$ threshold. Recently, Zhi Yang et al. studied these effects within the Hamiltonian effective field theory by combining it with the quark model [95]. They found that the $D(2460)$ resonance may consist of the $D_s(1P_1)$ state, but at the same time couples to the $D^*K$ channel [95]. In the present work, including the $D^*K$ loop we also study the coupled-channel effects on the mass shifts of the two $1^+$ $D_s$ states. Our results are shown in Fig. 5. It is found that there is a $\sim 40$ MeV correction to the bare mass of $D_s(1P_1)$ due to a strong $S$-wave $D^*K$ interaction. The physical mass of the dressed $D_s(1P_1)$ is reduced to $M_{phy} = 2488$ MeV, which is close to the measured mass of $D_{s1}(2460)$. It should be mentioned that coupled-channel effects on $D_{s1}(2536)$ are negligibly small due to its weak coupling with the $D^*K$ channel. Our coupled-channel analysis within the chiral quark model is consistent with that in Ref. [95]. Since the mass of $D_{s1}(2460)$ is below the $D^*K$ threshold, it becomes an extremely narrow state.

E. $2P$-wave states

1. $^2P_0$

In the $D$-meson family, our predicted mass for the $D(2^2P_0)$ state, $M = 2849$ MeV, is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [22, 23, 25, 29], however, is about 100 MeV larger than the predictions in Refs. [37, 74, 76]. The mass gap between $D(2^2P_0)$ and $D(1^3P_0)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 540$ MeV, which is consistent with those predicted in Refs. [25, 31, 74]. The $D(2^2P_0)$ may be a very broad state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 1080 \text{ MeV,}$$  

and dominantly decays into the $D\pi$, $D_sK$ and $D_b(2550)\pi$ final states. More details of the decay properties can be seen in Table X. In Refs. [30, 44], the $D(2^2P_0)$ is also predicted to be a very broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 600 \sim 800$ MeV, although the predicted partial widths show some model dependencies. In Ref. [36], the authors suggested that the natural parity state $D_s^{(')}(3000)$ observed in the $D\pi$ final state by the LHCb
TABLE XI: Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the $2P$-wave charmed-strange mesons.

| Channel          | $\Gamma_s$ (MeV) | Br (%) | $\Gamma_s$ (MeV) | Br (%) | $\Gamma_s$ (MeV) | Br (%) | $\Gamma_s$ (MeV) | Br (%) |
|------------------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------|------------------|--------|
| $D^0 K$          | 14.7             | 1.4    | 6.4              | 3.3    | 2.2              | 0.3    | 1.8              | 0.7    |
| $D^0 \pi$        | –                | –      | 14.6             | 7.5    | 138.4            | 21.8   | 4.7              | 1.9    |
| $D^0 \eta$       | –                | –      | 4.3              | 2.2    | 44.4             | 7.0    | 1.7              | 0.7    |
| $D^0 \rho$       | 6.5              | 0.6    | 41.4             | 21.4   | 5.0              | 0.8    | 28.7             | 11.9   |
| $D^0 \omega$     | 2.3              | 0.2    | 13.3             | 6.9    | 1.4              | 0.2    | 7.7              | 3.2    |
| **Total**        | **1078.2**       | 100    | **193.4**        | 100    | **634.8**        | 100    | **241.3**        | 100    |

The predicted strong decay properties of the $D_s$ mesons may be explained with $D(2^3P_0)$ according to their mass and strong decay analysis. However, both our predicted mass and width of $D(2^3P_0)$, $M = 2849$ MeV and $\Gamma = 1080$ MeV, are inconsistent with the data $M_{\text{exp}} = 3008.1 \pm 4.0$ MeV and $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 110.5 \pm 11.5$ MeV [2]. According to our prediction, the $D(2^3P_0)$ may be difficult to be established in experiments due to its rather broad width.

In the $D_s$-meson sector, our predicted mass for the $D_s(2^3P_0)$, $M = 2940$ MeV, is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [22, 74, 76]. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table XI. It is found that the $D_s(2^3P_0)$
TABLE XII: Partial and total decay widths (MeV) of $D_s(3000)$ as the $2^3P_2$ state.

| State       | $D\pi$ | $D_K$ | $D\eta$ | $D\eta'$ | $D_\eta(2350)\pi$ |
|-------------|--------|-------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| $D^+\pi$    | 67.7   | 8.9   | 4.2     | 0.1     | 13.1              |

| State       | $D_s^+\pi$ | $D_s^+K$ | $D_s^+\eta$ | $D_s^+(2627)\pi$ | $D_s^+(2460)\pi$ |
|-------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------------------|------------------|
| $D_s(2430)\pi$ | 34.9    | 2.1   | 1.0   | 12.6       | 17.5             |
| $D_s(2420)\pi$ | 5.1     | 10.2  | 23.2  | 7.1        | 1.4              |

| State       | $D_s^+\rho$ | $D_s^+\omega$ | Total |
|-------------|--------------|----------------|-------|
| $D^+\pi$    | 47.9         | 15.5           | 272.5 |

may be a broad state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 700 \text{ MeV,}$$

(39)

and dominantly decays into the $DK$ and $D_s\eta$ final states. The width predicted in the present work is notably than our previous prediction $\Gamma \approx 150 - 200 \text{ MeV}$ with a SHO wave function [44], which indicates that the decay properties of $D_s(2^3P_0)$ are very sensitive to the details of its wave function adopted in the calculations.

It should be mentioned that there are strong model dependencies in the strong decay predictions. For example, within the $^3P_0$ model the $D(2^3P_0)$ and $D_s(2^3P_0)$ states may be relatively narrow states with a width of $\sim 100 - 200 \text{ MeV}$ [31].

2. $2^3P_2$

In the $D$-meson family, our predicted mass of $D(2^3P_2)$ is $M = 2955 \text{ MeV}$, which is consistent with the predictions in Refs. [22, 23, 31, 37, 74]. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table X. We find that the $D(2^3P_2)$ state is a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 190 \text{ MeV,}$$

(40)

and dominantly decays into the $D\pi$ and $D_s^+\rho$ final states with branching fractions $26.9\%$ and $21.4\%$, respectively. There are some differences between the predictions in this work and those obtained with the SHO wave function in our previous work [44], where the decay rate into $D\pi$ is tiny. It indicates that the decay properties are sensitive to the details of the wave function of $D(2^3P_2)$. Furthermore, to see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(2^3P_2)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 7. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of $50 \text{ MeV}$, the total width of $D(2^3P_2)$ varies in the range of $\sim 140 - 260 \text{ MeV}$. The decay properties predicted within various models show large model dependencies. Within the $^3P_0$ model the width of $D(2^3P_2)$ is predicted to be in the range of $\Gamma \approx 15 - 120 \text{ MeV}$ [29, 31, 36].

From the point of view of mass, the $D'_s(3000)$ resonance with a natural parity observed by the LHCb collaboration in 2013 [2] might be a candidate of the $D(2^3P_2)$ state. Our predicted mass $M = 2955 \text{ MeV}$ of $D(2^3P_2)$ is close to the measured value $M_{exp} = 3008.1 \pm 4.0 \text{ MeV}$ of $D'_s(3000)$. Taking $D'_s(3000)$ as the $D(2^3P_2)$ state, we further study its strong decay properties, our results are listed in Table XII. It is found that $D'_s(3000)$ should dominantly decay into the $D\pi$ channel with a branching fraction of $25\%$, which is consistent with the observations. However, our predicted width,

$$\Gamma \approx 270 \text{ MeV,}$$

(41)

is notably larger than the data $\Gamma_{exp} = 110.5 \pm 11.5 \text{ MeV}$ measured by LHCb [2]. The $D'_s(3000)$ as the $D(2^3P_2)$ assignment is suggested in Refs. [20, 22, 23, 35]. If $D'_s(3000)$ corresponds to $D(2^3P_2)$ indeed, it may have a large decay rate into the $D\pi$ channel as well, the partial width ratio between $D^+\pi$ and $D\pi$ is predicted to be

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(D^+\pi)}{\Gamma(D\pi)} \approx 0.52,$$

(42)

which may be useful to test the nature of $D'_s(3000)$.

In the $D_s$-meson sector, the mass of $D_s(2^3P_2)$ is predicted to be $M = 3053 \text{ MeV}$, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [25, 31, 37]. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table XI. It is found that the $D_s(2^3P_2)$ may be a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 100 \text{ MeV},$$

(43)

and dominantly decays into the $D^+K^*$ and $DK$ final states with branching fractions $\sim 38\%$ and $\sim 30\%$ respectively. There may be a sizeable decay rate (\sim 10\%) into the $DK^*$ channel as well. The $DK$, $DK^*$ and $D^+K^*$ are also predicted to be the main decay channels in the other works [31, 33], although the predicted partial width ratios are very different with each other. There are some differences between the predictions in this work and those obtained with the SHO wave function in our previous work [44], where the decay rate into $DK$ is tiny. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_s(2^3P_2)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 7. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of $50 \text{ MeV}$, the total width of $D_s(2^3P_2)$ varies in the range of $\sim 70 - 140 \text{ MeV}$. It should be mentioned that the typical mass gap between $D_s(2^3P_2)$ and $D(2^3P_2)$ is around $100 \text{ MeV}$. If $D'_s(3000)$ corresponds to $D(2^3P_2)$ indeed, the mass of $D'_s(3000)$ is most likely to be $\sim 3100 \text{ MeV}$. Searching for the missing $D_s(2^3P_2)$ may be helpful to understand the nature of $D'_s(3000)$. To establish the missing $D_s(2^3P_2)$ state, the main decay channels, such as $DK^*$ and $DK$, are worth observing in future experiments.

3. $2P_1$ and $2P'_1$

The physical states $2P_1$ and $2P'_1$ are mixed states between states $2^1P_1$ and $2^3P_1$ via the following mixing scheme:

$$\begin{pmatrix}
2P_1 \\
2P'_1
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta_{2P} & \sin \theta_{2P} \\
-\sin \theta_{2P} & \cos \theta_{2P}
\end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix}
2^1P_1 \\
2^3P_1
\end{pmatrix},$$

(44)
In this work, the $2P_1$ and $2P'_1$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the $D$-meson family, the masses for the two mixed states $D(2P_1)$ and $D(2P'_1)$ are determined to be $M = 2900$ MeV and $M = 2936$ MeV, respectively. The mass splitting between $D(2P_1)$ and $D(2P'_1)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 36$ MeV, which is close to the predictions in Refs. [31, 37]. The mixing angle $\theta_{2P} = -25.5^\circ$ determined in this work is similar to the determinations in Refs. [30, 31]. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table X. The low mass state $D(2P_1)$ should be a broad state with a width of about $\Gamma \approx 600$ MeV, while the high mass state $D(2P'_1)$ is a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 240 \text{ MeV}. \quad (45)$$

Both $D(2P_1)$ and $D(2P'_1)$ have large decay rates into the $D^*\pi$, $D^*\eta$, $D^*K$, and $(D^3S_1^1)\pi$ channels. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(2P'_1)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 7. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(2P'_1)$ varies in the range $\sim 200 - 300$ MeV. It should be mentioned that the predicted decay properties strongly depend on the approaches adopted in the literature [29–31, 44].

It is interesting to find that the $D_{sJ}(3000)^0$ resonance observed in the $D^*\pi^-\pi^+$ channel at LHCb [2] might be a good candidate of the high mass mixed state $D(2P'_1)$. With this assignment, both our predicted mass and width are consistent with the data $M_{exp} = 2972 \pm 9$ MeV and $\Gamma_{exp} = 188 \pm 45$ MeV [2]. The $D(2P'_1)$ mainly decays into $D^*\pi$ and $(D^3S_1^1)\pi$ channels, which can naturally explain why $D(3000)^0$ is first observed in the $D^*\pi^-$ channel. It should be mentioned that in our previous work the study with the SHO wave function shows that $D_{sJ}(3000)^0$ may favor the low mass mixed state $D(2P_1)$ [44]. However, in the present work, with this assignment both our predicted mass and width are inconsistent with the observations. To further clarify the nature of $D_{sJ}(3000)^0$, the other decay modes, such as $D^*\eta$, $D^*K$, and $(D^3S_1^1)\pi$, are worth to observing in future experiments.

In the $D_{sJ}$-meson sector, the masses of the two mixed states $D_{sJ}(2P_1)$ and $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$ are predicted to be $M = 3002$ MeV and $M = 3026$ MeV, respectively. The mass splitting between $D_{sJ}(2P_1)$ and $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 24$ MeV, which is consistent with that of Ref. [31]. The mixing angle $\theta_{2P} \approx -21.0^\circ$ is similar to that for the charmed sector. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table XI. It is seen that the low mass state $D_{sJ}(2P_1)$ should be a broad state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 400 \text{ MeV}, \quad (46)$$

and dominantly decays into $D^*K$, $DK^*$, and $D^*_s\eta$ channels. While the high mass state $D_{sJ}(1P'_1)$ is a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 140 \text{ MeV}, \quad (47)$$

and dominantly decays into $D^*K$ and $D^*_s\eta$ channels. Finally, it should mentioned that if the $D_{sJ}(3000)^0$ corresponds to the high mass mixed state $D(2P'_1)$, by combining the typical mass splitting $\Delta M \approx 100$ MeV between the charmed and charmed-strange mesons, as its flavor partner, the mass of $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$ is estimated to be $M = 3072$ MeV, while the low mass state $D_{sJ}(2P_1)$ may have a mass around $M = 3050$ MeV. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 7. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$ varies in the range $\sim 120 - 180$ MeV.

In 2009, the $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ resonance with mass $M = 3044 \pm 8^{+30}_{-20}$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 239 \pm 35^{+48}_{-42}$ MeV was observed in the $D^*K$ channel by the BaBar collaboration [10]. The $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ may be favor the $2P$ mixed states in the $D_{sJ}$-meson family [28, 31, 41, 44, 60, 70, 72]. Comparing our predicted mass and decay properties with the data, we find that the $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ seems to more favor the low mass state $D_{sJ}(2P_1)$, however, the assignment of the $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$ cannot be excluded due to the large uncertainties of the data. The partial width ratio between $D^*K$ and $DK^*$ can be used to test the nature of $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$. For the $D_{sJ}(2P_1)$ assignment, the partial width ratio is predicted to be

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(D^*K)}{\Gamma(DK^*)} \approx 1.28, \quad (48)$$

which is different from the value $R \approx 14.9$ for the $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$ assignment. It should be emphasized that the $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$ observed in the $D^*K$ channel may be contributed by both $D_{sJ}(2P_1)$ and $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$, since these two states have similar masses and dominantly decay into $D^*K$ channel with a large branching fraction of $\sim (40 - 60)$%. To establish the $D_{sJ}(2P_1)$ and $D_{sJ}(2P'_1)$ states and uncover the nature of $D_{sJ}(3040)^+$, more accurate observations in these dominant channels, such as $D^*K$, $D^*_s\eta$ and $DK^*$, are needed in future experiments.

F. 1D-wave states

1. $1^3D_1$

In the $D$-meson family, our predicted mass of $D(1^3D_1)$, $M = 2754$ MeV, is consistent with that predicted in Refs. [25, 29, 37, 76]. From Table XIII, it is found that the $D(1^3D_1)$ may be a broad state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 460 \text{ MeV}, \quad (49)$$

and dominantly decays into the $D_1(2420)\pi$ and $D\pi$ channels with branching fractions $\sim 41\%$ and $\sim 30\%$, respectively. Furthermore, the decay rates into $D_K$, $D^*\pi$ and $D(2430)\pi$ are also notable, their branching fractions can reach up to $\sim 8\%$. In the literature, the $D(1^3D_1)$ state is also predicted to be a broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 300 \sim 550$ MeV [29, 30, 43, 51, 79].

In 2015, the LHCb collaboration observed a $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D_1^*(2760)$ in the $D^*\pi^-$ channel by using the $B^- \rightarrow D^*K^-\pi^-$ process [3]. The resonance mass and width are determined to be $M = 2781 \pm 31$ MeV and $\Gamma = 177 \pm 53$ MeV,
respectively. From the point of view of the mass, $J^P$ numbers and decay modes, the $D_1^*(2760)$ favors the $D(1^3D_1)$ assignment, however, our predicted width is about two times larger than the measured one. The $2^3S_1 - 1^3D_1$ mixing may overcome this problem, which will be further discussed later.

In the $D_3$-meson sector, the mass of $D_3(1^3D_1)$ is predicted to be $M = 2843$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [31, 41, 74, 76]. Our predicted strong decay properties have been shown in Table XIV. It is found that the $D_3(1^3D_1)$ may have a medium width of

$$\Gamma \approx 210 \text{ MeV},$$

and mainly decays into $DK$, $D^*K$ and $D_3\eta$ channels with branching fractions $64\%$, $21\%$ and $12\%$, respectively. Our predicted strong decay properties are in good agreement with the predictions with the $^3P_0$ model in Refs. [31, 41, 53].

In 2014, the LHCb collaboration observed a new $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D_3^*(2860)$ in the $D^0K^-$ final state by using the $B^0_s \to D^0K^-\pi^+$ process [12]. Its measured mass and width are $M = 2859 \pm 12 \pm 24$ MeV and $\Gamma = 159 \pm 23 \pm 77$ MeV, respectively. From the point of view of the mass, $J^P$ numbers, decay modes and width, the $D_3^*(2860)$ favors the $D_3(1^3D_1)$ assignment. It should be mentioned that the possibility of the $D_3^*(2860)$ resonance as a mixed state between $2^3S_1$ and $1^3D_1$ cannot be excluded, which will be further discussed later.

## 2. $1^3D_3$

In the $D$-meson family, the mass of $D(1^3D_3)$ is predicted to be $M = 2782$ MeV, which is consistent with that predicted in Refs. [29, 31, 74]. From Table XIII, it is found that the $D(1^3D_3)$ state is narrow state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 47$ MeV, and dominantly decays into the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ final states. The partial width ratio between $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels is predicted to be

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(D\pi)}{\Gamma(D^*\pi)} \approx 1.1,$$

(51)

Our predicted width and dominant decay modes for $D(1^3D_3)$ are consistent with the predictions in the literature [31,

### TABLE XIII: Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 1D-wave charmed mesons.

| Channel | $D(1^3D_1)$ [2754] | $D(1^3D_1)$ as $D_1^*(2750)$ | $D(1^3D_2)$ [2755] | $D(1^3D_2)$ as $D_2(2740)$ |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| $D\pi$  | $\Gamma$ (MeV)    | Br (%)                      | $\Gamma$ (MeV)    | Br (%)                      |
| $D_s\pi$| 138.9             | 29.9                        | 18.5              | 39.3                        |
| $D_sK$  | 33.3              | 7.2                         | 1.0               | 2.1                         |
| $D_s\eta$| 18.3             | 3.9                         | 0.8               | 1.7                         |
| $D^*\pi$| 45.1             | 9.7                         | 17.5              | 37.2                        |
| $D^*K$  | 6.8               | 1.5                         | 0.3               | 0.6                         |
| $D^*\eta$| 4.7              | 1.0                         | 0.2               | 0.4                         |
| $D_{0}(2550)\pi$| 0.01         | $2 \times 10^{-3}$         | $5 \times 10^{-4}$| $1 \times 10^{-3}$         |
| $D_{0}(2627)\pi$| –              | –                           | $3 \times 10^{-7}$| $6 \times 10^{-7}$         |
| $D_{0}(2300)\pi$| –              | –                           | –                 | –                           |
| $D_{0}(2460)\pi$| 1.4            | 0.3                         | 3.3               | 7.0                         |
| $D_{0}(2430)\pi$| 26.4           | 5.7                         | 5.0               | 10.6                        |
| $D_{0}(2420)\pi$| 189.6          | 40.6                        | 0.03              | 0.06                        |
| $D_\rho$ | 0.2              | 0.4                         | 0.4               | 0.8                         |
| $D_\omega$| 0.04            | $9 \times 10^{-3}$         | 0.09              | 0.2                         |
| **Total**| **464.7**        | **100**                     | **47.1**          | **100**                     |

### TABLE XIV: Partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the 1D-wave charmed-strange mesons.

| Channel | $D_s(1^3D_3)$ as $D_3^*(2860)$ | $D_s(1^3D_3)$ as $D_3(2860)$ | $D_3(1^3D_2)$ [2857] | $D_3(1^3D_2)$ [2911] |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| $DK$    | $\Gamma$ (MeV)    | Br (%)                      | $\Gamma$ (MeV)    | Br (%)                      |
| $D_s\pi$| 132.4            | 63.7                        | 11.8              | 54.4                        |
| $D_sK$  | 25.3             | 12.2                        | 0.8               | 3.7                         |
| $D_s\eta$| 43.7            | 21.0                        | 8.6               | 39.6                        |
| $D_3\eta$| 6.4             | 3.1                         | 0.3               | 1.4                         |
| $D_{3s}(2300)K$| –              | –                           | –                 | –                           |
| $D_{3s}(2300)\pi$| –              | –                           | –                 | –                           |
| Total   | **207.9**        | **100**                     | **21.7**          | **100**                     |
The $D_2^*(2750)$ resonance listed in RPP [7] favors the assignment of $D(1^{3}D_3)$. This resonance was first observed in the $D\pi$ and/or $D'\pi$ channels by the BaBar collaboration in 2010 [1], and confirmed by the LHCb collaboration by using the $pp$ collision processes [2] and $B$ decay processes [4–6]. The spin-parity numbers are determined to be $J^P = 3^-$ by the LHCb collaboration [6]. The average measured mass and width of $D_2^*(2750)$ are $M_{exp} = 2763.1 \pm 3.2$ MeV and $\Gamma_{exp} = 66 \pm 5$ MeV [7]. As the assignment of $D(1^{3}D_3)$, the mass and width of $D_2^*(2750)$ are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions. However, our predicted ratio $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D'\pi) \approx 1.1$ is notably larger than the measured value $R_{exp} = 0.42 \pm 0.16$ at BaBar [1]. To confirm the nature of $D_2^*(2750)$, the partial width ratio $R = \Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D'\pi)$ is expected to be further measured in future experiments.

In the $D_s$-meson sector, the mass of $D_s(1^{3}D_3)$ is predicted to be $M = 2882$ MeV, while the mass gap between $D_s(1^{3}D_3)$ and $D(1^{3}D_3)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 100$ MeV. Our predictions are consistent with those predicted in Refs. [41, 52, 74, 76]. The $D_s(1^{3}D_3)$ state may be a very narrow state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 22$ MeV, and mainly decays into $DK$ and $D'K$ final states. The strong decay properties predicted in this work are consistent with our previous predictions with SHO wave functions [59] and other predictions in the literature [31, 51, 52, 62, 64, 83]. It should be mentioned that there are obvious model dependencies in the predictions of the partial width ratio $\Gamma(D'K)/\Gamma(DK)$ between $DK$ and $D'K$, which scatters in the range of $0.4 - 0.7$.

In 2006, the BaBar collaboration observed a new charmed-strange meson structure $D_{sJ}(2860)$ in the $DK$ channel with mass of $M_{exp} = 2856.6 \pm 6.5$ MeV and a width of $\Gamma_{exp} = 47 \pm 17$ MeV [8], which is consistent with the resonance observed in the $D'K$ channel in 2009 [10]. In 2014, the LHCb collaboration further studied the structure around 2.86 GeV in the $B_0^0 \rightarrow D^0 K^-\pi^+$ decay [12, 13]. They found two overlapping spin-1 resonance $D_{s3}^*(2860)$ and spin-3 resonance $D_{s3}^{*+}(2860)$ in the $D^0 K^-$ final state. The resonance parameters of $D_{s3}^*(2860)$, $M_{exp} = 2860.5 \pm 2.6 \pm 2.5 \pm 6.0$ MeV and $\Gamma_{exp} = 53 \pm 7 \pm 4 \pm 6$ MeV extracted by LHCb [12, 13], are consistent with those of $D_{sJ}(2860)$ extracted by BaBar. The spin-3 resonance $D_{s3}^{*+}(2860)$ can be assigned to the charmed-strange state $D_s(1^{3}D_3)$. As this assignment, both the mass and decay properties of $D_{sJ}(2860)$ can be reasonably understood within the quark model. It should be mentioned that the $DK$ channel is the optimal channel for establishing spin-1 state $D_s(1^{3}D_1)$ and spin-3 state $D_s(1^{3}D_3)$ due to no contributions from the other two 1D-wave states with $J^P = 2^-$. 

3. $1D_2$ and $1D'_2$

The physical states $1D_2$ and $1D'_2$ are mixed states between states $1^1D_2$ and $1^1D'_2$ via the following mixing scheme:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1D_2 \\ 1D'_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_{1D} & \sin \theta_{1D} \\ -\sin \theta_{1D} & \cos \theta_{1D} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1^1D_2 \\ 1^1D'_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this work, the $1D_2$ and $1D'_2$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the $D$-meson family, the masses of the two mixed states $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D'_2)$ are predicted to be $M = 2755$ MeV and $M = 2827$ MeV, respectively. The mass splitting between $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D'_2)$ is estimated to be $\Delta M \approx 70$ MeV, which is slightly smaller that of $\Delta M \approx 86$ MeV predicted in [37], however, is a factor of 2 larger than $\Delta M \sim 40$ MeV predicted in Refs. [25, 30, 31, 74, 76]. Our predicted mixing angle between $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D'_2)$, $\theta_{1D} = -40.2^\circ$, is similar to the angle determined within the relativized quark model [25, 30]. The predicted strong decay properties of both $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D'_2)$ are listed in Table XIII. It is found that the low mass state $D(1D_2)$ may be a broad state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 260 \text{ MeV},$$

and dominantly decays into the $D'\pi$ and $D'_2(2460)\pi\pi$ channels with branching fractions $\sim 35\%$ and $\sim 45\%$, respectively. While the high mass state $D(1D'_2)$ may have a narrow width of

$$\Gamma \approx 60 \text{ MeV},$$

and dominantly decays into the $D'\pi$ channel.

Some evidence of the mixed states $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D'_2)$ may have been observed in experiments. In 2010, the BaBar collaboration observed a new resonance $D(2750)^0$ with a mass of $M_{exp} = 2752.4 \pm 4.4$ MeV and a width of $\Gamma_{exp} = 71 \pm 17$ MeV in the $D^*\pi^-\pi^+$ channel [1]. In 2013, the LHCb collaboration observed an unnatural parity state $D_s(2740)^0$ in the $D^*\pi^-\pi^+$ channel. The measured mass and width $M = 2737.0 \pm 3.5 \pm 11.2$ MeV and $\Gamma = 73.2 \pm 13.4 \pm 25.0$ MeV at LHCb [2] are consistent with the observations of $D(2750)^0$ at BaBar. The spin-parity numbers are identified as $J^P = 2^-$. In 2019, the LHCb collaboration carried out a determination of quantum numbers for several excited charmed mesons by using the $B^- \rightarrow D^{*-}\pi^-\pi^+$ decays [5]. In this experiment, the spin-parity numbers of $D_s(2740)^0$ [denoted by $D_s(2740)^0$] was confirmed to be $J^P = 2^-$, while the measured mass $M = 2751 \pm 3 \pm 7$ MeV and width $\Gamma = 102 \pm 6 \pm 26$ MeV are slightly different from their previous measurements [2].

In our previous work [43, 44], we predicted that $D(2750)^0/D(2740)^0$ is most likely to be the high-mass mixed state $D(1D_2)$, which is consistent with the prediction in Ref. [29]. Considering $D_s(2740)^0$ as $D(1D'_2)$, our predicted decay width $\Gamma \approx 57$ MeV is in agreement with the PDG average data $\Gamma_{exp} = 88 \pm 19$ MeV [7], however, our predicted mass $M = 2827$ MeV is about 70 MeV larger than the data. On the other hand, considering $D_s(2740)^0$ as the low mass state
$D(1D_2)$, we find that although the predicted mass $M = 2754$ MeV is consistent with the observations, our predicted width $\Gamma \approx 250$ MeV is too broad to be comparable with the data. It should be mentioned that two LHCb experiments [2, 5] do not give very stable resonance parameters for $D_2(2740)^0$. This indicates that the structure around $D_2(2740)^0$ observed in the $D^* \pi^-$ invariant mass spectrum may be contributed by both the broad state $D(1D_2)$ and the relatively narrow state $D(1D'_2)$ at the same time. To distinguish $D(1D_2)$ and $D(1D'_2)$ and establish them finally, more observations of the $D(2460)\pi, D'_2 K$ and $D' \eta$ are suggested to be carried out in future experiments.

In the $D_s$-meson sector, our predicted masses of $D_s(1D_2)$ and $D_s(1D'_2)$ are $M = 2857$ MeV and $M = 2911$ MeV, respectively, which are close the predictions in the literature [31, 74, 76]. Our determined mixing angle $\theta_{1D} = -40.7^\circ$ is similar to that for the $D$-meson sector. The splitting between $D_s(1D_2)$ and $D_s(1D'_2)$, $\Delta M \approx 54$ MeV, is similar to that predicted in Ref. [37], however, is a factor of $\sim 2$ larger than that predicted in Refs. [25, 31, 76]. The predicted strong decay properties of both $D_s(1D_2)$ and $D_s(1D'_2)$ are listed in Table XIV. It is found that the low mass state $D_s(1D_2)$ has a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 130 \text{ MeV},$$

and dominantly decays into the $D^* K$ and $DK^*$ channels with branching fractions $\sim 82\%$ and $\sim 11\%$, respectively. While the high mass state $D_s(1D'_2)$ may have a relatively narrow width of

$$\Gamma \approx 44 \text{ MeV},$$

and dominantly decays into the $D^* K$ and $DK^*$ channels with branching fractions $\sim 65\%$ and $\sim 24\%$, respectively. The decay properties predicted with genuine wave functions extracted from potential model in this work are consistent with those predicted with the SHO wave functions in our previous works [44, 59]. The $D^* K$ as the main decay channel of the $D_s(1D_2)$ state has also been predicted within the $^3P_0$ model [31, 41], however, for the high mass state $D_s(1D'_2)$, their predicted decay rates into $D^* K$ is tiny.

Our previous studies [44, 59] indicates that the $1^1D_2-1^3D_2$ mixing might be crucial to uncover the longstanding puzzle about the narrow structure $D_{1,2}(2860)$ in the charmed-strange meson family, which was first observed in the $DK$ channel, then confirmed in the $D^* K$ channels by the BaBar collaboration [8, 10]. Many people believe that the $D_{1,2}(2860)$ might be the $1^3D_3$ state due to its narrow width. However, considering the $D_{1,2}(2860)$ as the $1^1D_2$ state only, one cannot well understand the partial width ratio of $R = \Gamma(DK)/\Gamma(D^* K) = 1.1 \pm 0.34$ measured by BaBar [10]. To overcome the puzzle about the measured radio, in Refs. [44, 59] we proposed that the $J^P = 2^-$ mixed state $D_{1(2)}(1D'_2)$ mainly decaying into $D^* K$ might highly overlap with the $D_s(1^{3}D_3)$ state around the mass region 2.86 GeV, which is compatible with the theoretical analyses in Refs. [63, 65]. In 2014, the LHCb collaboration observed two overlapping spin-1 resonance $D_{13}^*(2860)$ and spin-3 resonance $D_{13}^*(2860)$ in the $D^* K^- \pi^+$ final state by analyzing the $B_s^0 \to D_s^0 K^- \pi^+$ decay [12, 13]. The measured partial width ratio $R = \Gamma(DK)/\Gamma(D^* K) = 1.1 \pm 0.34$ is considered to belong to the $D_{13}^*(2860)$ resonance by PDG [7]. In fact, for $D_{13}^*(2860)$ our predicted partial width ratio $\Gamma(DK)/\Gamma(D^* K) \approx 3$ is still inconsistent with the measured value at BaBar [10]. Since the structure around 2.86 GeV in the $DK$ invariant mass spectrum can be contributed by both $D_{13}^*(2860)$ and $D_{13}^*(2860)$, we may expect that the structure around 2.86 GeV in the $D'K$ invariant mass spectrum observed at BaBar [10] can be contributed by all of the $1D_2$-wave states with $J^P = 1^-, 2^-, 3^-$, due to their large decay rates. This is also proposed in Refs. [34, 59, 65].

To uncover the longstanding puzzle about $D_{1,2}(2860)$, searches for the missing $D_s(1D_2)$ and $D_s(1D'_2)$ are urgently needed to be carried out in experiments. The $D^* K$ channel may be the optimal channel for future observations.

### G. 2D-wave states

#### 1. $2^1D_1$

In the $D$-meson family, the mass of the $D(2^1D_1)$ state is predicted to be $M = 3143$ MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [29, 31, 37, 74]. Our predicted strong decay properties for these high $2D$-wave states are listed in Table XV. It is found that the $D(2^1D_1)$ state is a very broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 830$ MeV. This state may be difficult to be observed in experiments due to its broad width. It should be mentioned that the width of $D(2^1D_1)$ predicted within our chiral quark model is a factor of $4 \sim 6$ larger than the predictions within the $^3P_0$ models in Refs. [29, 31].

In the $D_s$-meson family, the mass of the $D_s(2^1D_1)$ is predicted to be $M = 3233$ MeV, which is comparable with those in Refs. [31, 74]. Our predicted strong decay properties for these high $2D$-wave states are listed in Table XVI. It is found that the $D_s(2^1D_1)$ state is a very broad state with a width of $\Gamma \approx 510$ MeV, and may be difficult to be observed in experiments due to its broad width. It should be mentioned that the width of $D_s(2^1D_1)$ predicted within our chiral quark model are a factor of $\sim 3$ larger than the predictions within the $^3P_0$ models in Refs. [31, 41].

#### 2. $2^3D_3$

In the $D$-meson family, the mass of the $D(2^3D_3)$ state is predicted to be $M = 3202$ MeV, which is comparable with those predicted in Refs. [29, 31, 37, 74]. The $D(2^3D_3)$ state is a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 167 \text{ MeV},$$

and have relatively large decay rates into $D \pi$ and $D^* \pi$ channels with branching fractions $\sim 21\%$ and $\sim 16\%$, respectively. More details of the decay properties can be seen in Table XV. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(2^3D_3)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 8. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the
mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(2^3D_3)$ varies in the range $\sim 110 - 220$ MeV. A relatively narrow width of $D(2^3D_3)$ is also predicted in Ref. [29], although their predicted width $\Gamma \approx 30$ MeV is about a factor of 5 smaller than ours. To establish the missing $D(2^3D_3)$ state, the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ channels are worth observing in future experiments. However, it should be pointed out that in Refs. [29, 31], the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ are not predicted to be the dominant decay modes of $D(2^3D_3)$.

In the $D_s$-meson family, the mass of the $D_s(2^1D_3)$ state is
respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width is predicted to be $M = 3267$ MeV, which is comparable with those of Refs. [29, 31, 37, 74]. The $D_s(2D_1)$ state has a narrow width of

$$\Gamma \approx 71 \text{ MeV},$$  \hspace{1cm} (58)
respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of \( D(2D_{2}) \) on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 8. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of \( D(2D_{2}) \) varies in the range \( 90 - 200 \) MeV. The narrow width nature of \( D(2D_{2}) \) is also predicted by Song et al. in Ref. [29], although their predicted width \( \Gamma \sim 30 \) MeV is a factor of \( \sim 5 \) smaller than ours.

In the \( D_{s} \)-meson family, the masses of the two mixed states \( D_{1}(2D_{2}) \) and \( D_{1}(2D_{s}) \) are predicted to be \( M = 3267 \) MeV and \( M = 3306 \) MeV, respectively. Our predicted masses are comparable with those in Refs. [31, 37, 74]. The mass splitting between \( D_{s}(2D_{2}) \) and \( D_{s}(2D_{s}) \) is estimated to be \( \Delta M \approx 39 \) MeV, which is comparable with that predicted in [31, 37, 74]. Our predicted mixing angle \( \theta_{2D} = -41.3^\circ \), is similar to that determined within the relativized quark model [30, 31]. The predicted strong decay properties of both \( D_{1}(2D_{2}) \) and \( D_{1}(2D_{s}) \) are listed in Table XVI. It is found that the low mass state \( D_{1}(2D_{2}) \) may be a broad state with a width of \( \Gamma \approx 420 \) MeV, and have large decay rates into the \( D^{*}K \) and \( D_{s}^{*}(2460)K \) channels with branching fractions \( \sim 23\% \) and \( \sim 25\% \), respectively.

\[ \Gamma \approx 163 \text{ MeV}, \]  

(60)

and dominantly decays into \( D^{*}\pi, D_{s}^{*}(2600)\pi \) and \( D^{*}\rho \) channels with branching fractions \( \sim 25\%, \sim 14\% \) and \( \sim 11\% \), respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of \( D(2D_{2}) \) on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 8. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of \( D(2D_{2}) \) varies in the range \( 90 - 200 \) MeV. The narrow width nature of \( D(2D_{2}) \) is also predicted by Song et al. in Ref. [29], although their predicted width \( \Gamma \sim 30 \) MeV is a factor of \( \sim 5 \) smaller than ours.

In the \( D_{s} \)-meson family, the masses of the two mixed states \( D_{1}(2D_{2}) \) and \( D_{1}(2D_{s}) \) are predicted to be \( M = 3267 \) MeV and \( M = 3306 \) MeV, respectively. Our predicted masses are comparable with those in Refs. [31, 37, 74]. The mass splitting between \( D_{s}(2D_{2}) \) and \( D_{s}(2D_{s}) \) is estimated to be \( \Delta M \approx 39 \) MeV, which is comparable with that predicted in [31, 37, 74]. Our predicted mixing angle \( \theta_{2D} = -41.3^\circ \), is similar to that determined within the relativized quark model [30, 31]. The predicted strong decay properties of both \( D_{1}(2D_{2}) \) and \( D_{1}(2D_{s}) \) are listed in Table XVI. It is found that the low mass state \( D_{1}(2D_{2}) \) may be a broad state with a width of \( \Gamma \approx 420 \) MeV, and have large decay rates into the \( D^{*}K \) and \( D_{s}^{*}(2460)K \) channels with branching fractions \( \sim 23\% \) and \( \sim 25\% \), respectively.

\[ \Gamma \approx 69 \text{ MeV}, \]  

(61)

which is consistent with the prediction of Ref. [41]. The \( D_{1}(2D_{s}) \) dominantly decays into \( D^{*}K, D^{*}K^{*}, D(2S_{1})K \) and \( D_{s}^{*}(2460)K \) channels with branching fractions \( \sim 29\%, \sim 18\% \), \( \sim 11\% \) and \( \sim 11\% \), respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of \( D_{s}(2D_{2}) \) on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 8. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of \( D_{s}(2D_{2}) \) varies in the range \( 45 - 110 \) MeV. The high mass state \( D_{s}(2D_{2}) \) may have a large potential to be established in forthcoming experiments. The \( D^{*}K \) channel may be the optimal channel for future observations.
and dominantly decays into $D_1(2420)\pi$, $D(1D_2)\pi$, $D(1D'_2)\pi$ and $D'\rho$. The decay properties predicted in this work roughly agree with those predicted with the SHO wave functions in our previous work [44], however, is notably (a factor of 2 – 4) broader than those predicted within the $^3P_0$ models in Refs. [29, 31]. The $D(1^3F_2)$ may be too broad to be observed in experiments according to our present predictions.

In the $D_s$-meson sector, the predicted masses for $D_s(1^3F_2)$ is 3176 MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. [31, 41, 74]. From Table XVIII, it is found that

$$\Gamma \approx 720 \text{ MeV},$$

and that the $D(1^3F_2)$ state might be a very broad state with a width of

$\Gamma \approx 720 \text{ MeV}$,
the $D_s(1^3F_2)$ state might have a very broad width of

$$\Gamma \approx 410 \text{ MeV},$$

and dominantly decays into $D_s(2420)K$ and $D^*K^*$ with branching fractions \( \sim 46\% \) and \( \sim 17\% \), respectively. The $D_s(1^3F_2)$ state is also predicted to be a broad state with a width of \( \sim 300-400 \text{ MeV} \) in Refs. \cite{29, 31, 44}. The $D_s(1^3F_2)$ state may be difficult to be established in experiments due to its too broad width.

2. $1^1F_4$

In the $D$-meson family, our predicted mass for $D(1^1F_4)$, \( M = 3034 \text{ MeV} \), is comparable with the predictions in Refs. \cite{29, 74}. From Table XVII, it is found that the $D(1^1F_4)$ is a fairly narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 65 \text{ MeV},$$

and dominantly decays into the $D\pi$, $D^*\pi$ and $D(2430)\pi$ channels with a comparable branching fraction \( \sim 20\% \). To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D(1^1F_4)$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 9. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D(1^1F_4)$ varies in the range \( \sim 50 - 110 \text{ MeV} \). The narrow width of $D(1^1F_4)$ and its relatively large decay rates into $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ are also predicted within the $^3P_0$ models in Refs. \cite{29, 31}. The $D(1^3F_4)$ might have a large potential to be observed in the $D\pi$ and $D^*\pi$ final states due to its narrow width.

It is interesting to find that the $D_s'(3000)$ resonance with a natural parity observed in the $D\pi$ channel by the LHCb collaboration in 2013 \cite{2} might be a good candidate of $D(1^3F_4)$. Our predicted mass $M = 3034 \text{ MeV}$ is consistent with the measured value $M_{exp} = 3008.1 \pm 4.0 \text{ MeV}$ of $D_s'(3000)$. Taking $D_s'(3000)$ as the $D(1^3F_4)$ state, we find that $D_s'(3000)$ has a large decay rate into the $D\pi$ channel with a branching fraction \( \sim 17\% \), which is consistent with the observations. The predicted width $\Gamma \approx 65 \text{ MeV}$ is also comparable with the data $\Gamma_{exp} = 110.5 \pm 11.5 \text{ MeV}$. Considering $D_s'(3000)$ as the $D(1^3F_4)$ state, it also has a large decay rate into the $D^*\pi$ channel, the partial width ratio between $D^*\pi$ and $D\pi$ is predicted to be

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(D^*\pi)}{\Gamma(D\pi)} \approx 1.2,$$

which may be useful to test the nature of $D_s'(3000)$.

In the $D_s$-meson sector, the predicted mass for $D_s(1^1F_4)$ is 3134 MeV, which is comparable with the predictions in Refs. \cite{31, 41, 74}. Our predicted strong decay properties are listed in Table XVIII. It is found that the $D_s(1^1F_4)$ may be a narrow state with a width of

$$\Gamma \approx 31 \text{ MeV},$$

The table below shows the partial decay widths and their branching fractions for the $1^F$-wave charmed-strange mesons.

| Channel | $D_s(1^3F_0)[3176]$ | $D_s(1^3F_2)[3134]$ | $D_s(1F)[3123]$ | $D_s(1F')[3205]$ |
|---------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| $D_sK$  | $33.3 \pm 0.8$   | $8.1 \pm 0.5$   | $-\pm -$       | $-\pm -$       |
| $D_s\eta$ | $7.4 \pm 1.8$   | $0.7 \pm 0.2$   | $2.3 \pm -$    | $-\pm -$       |
| $D_s\eta'$ | $3.2 \pm 0.8$   | $0.03 \pm 0.1$  | $0.1 \pm -$    | $-\pm -$       |
| $D_sK'$ | $21.7 \pm 5.3$  | $8.8 \pm 28.6$  | $40.3 \pm 19.3$| $26.8 \pm 37.4$|
| $D_s\eta$ | $4.4 \pm 1.1$   | $0.6 \pm 1.9$   | $7.6 \pm 3.6$  | $2.3 \pm 3.2$   |
| $D_s\eta'$ | $0.5 \pm 0.1$   | $5 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.2 \pm 0.1$  |
| $D_s(2550)K$ | $1.0 \pm 0.2$ | $0.01 \pm 0.03$ | $-\pm -$       | $-\pm -$       |
| $D_s(2572)K$ | $0.1 \pm 0.02$ | $6 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2 \times 10^{-5}$ | $4 \times 10^{-4}$ |
| $D_s(2300)K$ | $-\pm -$ | $-\pm -$ | $5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $D_s(1^3P_0)(2409)\eta$ | $17.8 \pm 4.3$ | $2.0 \pm 6.5$ | $142.4 \pm 68.3$ | $12.7 \pm 17.7$ |
| $D_s(2460)K$ | $0.9 \pm 0.2$ | $5 \times 10^{-5}$ | $2 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.8 \pm 0.9$   |
| $D_s(2573)K$ | $39.5 \pm 9.6$ | $3.9 \pm 12.7$ | $0.3 \pm 0.1$  | $0.1 \pm 0.1$   |
| $D_s(1P_1)(2528)\eta$ | $190.2 \pm 46.3$ | $0.04 \pm 0.1$ | $0.02 \pm 0.01$ | $7.5 \pm 10.5$  |
| $D_s(2420)K$ | $15.6 \pm 3.8$ | $2 \times 10^{-3}$ | $7 \times 10^{-5}$ | $5 \times 10^{-5}$ |
| $D_s(2535)K$ | $69.9 \pm 17.0$ | $5.4 \pm 17.5$ | $4.4 \pm 2.1$  | $12.0 \pm 16.8$ |
| $D_sK^*$ | $1.4 \pm 0.3$   | $1.2 \pm 3.9$   | $10.5 \pm 5.0$ | $2.0 \pm 2.8$   |
| $D_s\phi$ | $0.03 \pm 7 \times 10^{-3}$ | $0.01 \pm 0.03$ | $0.5 \pm 0.2$  | $0.4 \pm 0.6$   |
| $D_s'K^*$ | $69.9 \pm 17.0$ | $5.4 \pm 17.5$ | $4.4 \pm 2.1$  | $12.0 \pm 16.8$ |
| $D_s'\phi$ | $0.4 \pm 0.1$   | $4 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | $-\pm -$       |

Total $\Gamma \approx 410.8 \text{ MeV}$
and dominantly decays into the $DK$, $D'K$ and $D'K^*$ channels with branching fractions $\sim 26\%$, $\sim 29\%$ and $\sim 18\%$, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_{s}(1^{+}F_{3})$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 9. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_{s}(1^{+}F_{3})$ varies in the range $\sim 20 – 50$ MeV. The $DK$, $D'K$ and $D'K^*$ as the main decay channels are also predicted in Refs. [29, 31, 44], however, the predicted width in these works are much broader than ours. The $D_{s}(1^{+}F_{3})$ might have large potentials to be observed in the dominant $DK$ and $D'K$ channels.

3. $1F_{3}$ and $1F'_{3}$

The physical states $1F_{3}$ and $1F'_{3}$ are mixed states between $1^{+}F_{3}$ and $1^{3}F_{3}$ via the following mixing scheme:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1F_{3} \\
1F'_{3}
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos \theta_{F} & \sin \theta_{F} \\
-\sin \theta_{F} & \cos \theta_{F}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
1^{+}F_{3} \\
1^{3}F_{3}
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{67}
$$

In this work, the $1F_{3}$ and $1F'_{3}$ correspond to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively.

In the $D$-meson family, the predicted masses for the two mixed $F$-wave states $D_{s}(1F_{3})$ and $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ are $M = 3022$ and 3129 MeV, respectively, which are comparable with the predictions in Refs. [29, 74]. The mixing angle is determined to be $\theta_{F} = -41^\circ$, which is similar to that determined within the relativized quark model [30, 31]. Our predicted strong decay properties are listed in Table XVII. It is found that the low mass mixed state $D_{s}(1F_{3})$ is a fairly broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \approx 340 \text{ MeV},
\tag{68}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D_{s}(2460)\pi$, $D_{s}(2760)\pi$ and $D^{*}\pi$ with branching fractions $\sim 50\%$, $\sim 26\%$ and $\sim 12\%$, respectively. The decay properties of $D_{s}(1F_{3})$ predicted in this work are roughly consistent with those predicted with the SHO wave functions in our previous work [44], however, is notably (a factor of $\sim 2$) broader than those predictions within the $3P_{0}$ models in Refs. [29, 31]. While the high mass mixed state $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ is a relatively narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \approx 170 \text{ MeV},
\tag{69}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D^{*}\pi$, $D_{s}(2460)\pi$, $D_{s}(2420)\pi$ and $D(1^{3}D_{1})\pi$ with branching fractions $\sim 25\%$, $\sim 21\%$, $\sim 12\%$ and $\sim 11\%$, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 9. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ varies in the range $\sim 140 – 260$ MeV. The decay width of $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ predicted in this work is comparable with our previous prediction with the SHO wave functions in Ref. [44], however, is about a factor of $\sim 2$ larger than that predicted in Ref. [29]. To look for the missing $D(1F_{3})$ and $D(1F'_{3})$ states, the $D^{*}\pi$ and $D_{s}(2460)\pi$ final states are worth to observing in future experiments.

In the $D_{s}$-meson family, the predicted masses for the two mixed $F$-wave states $D_{s}(1F_{3})$ and $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ are $M = 3123$ and 3205 MeV, respectively, which are comparable with the predictions in Refs. [29, 74]. The mixing angle is determined to be $\theta_{F} = -40.7^\circ$, which is similar to that determined within the relativized quark model [30, 31]. Our predicted strong decay properties are listed in Table XVIII. It is found that the low mass mixed state $D_{s}(1F_{3})$ may be a fairly broad state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \approx 210 \text{ MeV},
\tag{70}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D_{s}^{*}(2460)K$ and $D^{*}K$ with branching fractions $\sim 68\%$ and $\sim 19\%$, respectively. The dominant decay channels of $D_{s}^{*}(2460)K$ and $D^{*}K$ predicted in this work are consistent with the predictions in Refs. [29, 44], although there are large uncertainties in the predictions of the total width. The high mass mixed state $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ is a narrow state with a width of

$$
\Gamma \approx 72 \text{ MeV},
\tag{71}
$$

and dominantly decays into $D^{*}K$, $D_{s}^{*}(2460)K$ and $D^{*}K^{*}$ with branching fractions $\sim 37\%$, $\sim 18\%$ and $\sim 17\%$, respectively. To see the dependence of the decay properties of $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ on its mass, we also plot the main partial widths and the total width as functions of the mass in Fig. 9. It is found that the partial and total decay widths increase smoothly with the mass. With a mass uncertainty of 50 MeV, the total width of $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ varies in the range $\sim 40 – 100$ MeV. The decay width predicted in this work is notably narrower than those predicted in Refs. [29, 31, 44]. To look for the missing $D_{s}(1F_{3})$ and $D_{s}(1F'_{3})$ states, the $D^{*}K$ and $D_{s}^{*}(2460)K$ final states are worth to observing in future experiments.

I. The $2^{3}S_{1} - 1^{3}D_{1}$ mixing

In Sec. IV B 2, we have considered the possibility of the $D_{s}^{*}(2600)$ and $D_{s}^{*}(2700)$ as the candidates of the pure $2^{3}S_{1}$ states in the $D$- and $D_{s}$-meson families, respectively. It is found that with these assignments, our predicted widths are too narrow to be comparable with the data. In our previous works [43, 59], we have studied the strong decay properties of the $D_{s}^{*}(2600)$ and $D_{s}^{*}(2700)$ with an SHO approximation. According to our analysis, both $D_{s}^{*}(2600)$ and $D_{s}^{*}(2700)$ could be explained as the mixed state $|(SD)_{L}\rangle_{L}$ via the $2^{3}S_{1} - 1^{3}D_{1}$ mixing:

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
|SD\rangle\langle SD|_{L} \\
|SD\rangle\langle SD|_{H}
\end{pmatrix} = 
\begin{pmatrix}
\cos \phi & \sin \phi \\
-\sin \phi & \cos \phi
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
2^{3}S_{1} \\
1^{3}D_{1}
\end{pmatrix},
\tag{72}
$$

where $| (SD)_{L}\rangle_{L}$ and $| (SD)_{H}\rangle_{H}$ are assigned to the low-mass and high-mass mixed states, respectively. The mixing angle for the charmed sector is $\phi \approx -(36 \pm 6)^\circ$, while that for charmed-strange sector is $\phi = (-54 \pm 7)^\circ$. To explain the
FIG. 10: Decay properties of $D((S D_1)_L)$ as functions of the mixing angle $\phi$. The horizontally shaded region stands for the measured width $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 93 \pm 6 \pm 13$ MeV at BaBar [1]. The longitudinally shaded region represents the possible range of the mixing angle $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$.

FIG. 11: Decay properties of $D_s((S D_1)_{L'})$ as functions of the mixing angle $\phi$. The horizontally shaded region stands for the measured width $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 108 \pm 23 \pm 36$ MeV at Belle [9]. Within the possible mixing angle range $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$, the width is determined to $\Gamma \approx 61 \pm 18$ MeV, which is shown by longitudinally shaded region.

FIG. 12: Decay properties of $D_s((S D_1)_{L'})$ as functions of the mixing angle $\phi$. The horizontally shaded region stands for the measured width $\Gamma_{\text{exp}} = 159 \pm 80$ MeV of $D_s^*(2860)$ from PDG [7]. Within the possible mixing angle range $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$, the width is determined to $\Gamma \approx 112 \pm 32$ MeV, which is shown by longitudinally shaded region.
strong decay properties of the $D'_s(2600)$ and/or $D''_s(2700)$, configuration mixing between $2S_1$ and $1D_1$ is also suggested in the literature [37, 38, 41, 42, 50, 51, 62, 81]. In this work we reestablish the $D'_s(2600)$ and $D''_s(2700)$ resonances as the mixed states via the $2S_1$-$1D_1$ mixing by using the genuine wave functions calculated from our potential model.

Considering $D'_s(2600)$ as the low-mass mixed state $| (S D)_{1/2} \rangle$, we plot the strong decay properties as functions of the mixing angle $\phi$ in Figure 10. It is found that if we take a mixing angle $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$, the theoretical width can be consistent with the data $\Gamma_{exp} = 96 \pm 6 \pm 13$ MeV measured by the BaBar collaboration [1]. The mixing angle $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$ determined in this work is similar to $\phi \approx -(36 \pm 6)^\circ$ determined in our previous work [43]. The $D$- and $D''$-pi are the two dominant decay channels of $| (S D)_{1/2} \rangle$, which can explain why $D'_s(2600)$ has been first observed in these two channels. However, the ratio between $D\pi$ and $D''\pi$

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(D\pi)}{\Gamma(D''\pi)} \approx 7.3 \pm 1.4,$$

(73)

is too large to be comparable with the data $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D''\pi) = 0.32 \pm 0.11$ measured by the BaBar collaboration [1]. The ratio predicted with the genuine wave functions determined from the potential model in this work is about a factor of 11 larger than that predicted with the SHO wave functions in our previous work [43]. The ratio is very sensitive to the details of the wave function of $2S_1$ due to the nodal effects. Thus, the partial width ratio $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D''\pi)$ is hard to be accurately predicted in theory.

If the $D'_s(2600)$ is the low-mass mixed state $| (S D)_{1/2} \rangle$, indeed, the high-mass mixed state $| (S D)_{3/2} \rangle$ might be observed in experiments as well. It is interesting to find that the $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D'_s(2760)$ observed in the $D''\pi^- \chi$ channel by the LHCb collaboration [3] might be a candidate of the high-mass mixed state $| (S D)_{3/2} \rangle$ in the $D$-meson family. Considering the $D'_s(2760)$ as the $| (S D)_{3/2} \rangle$ assignment, the strong decay properties as functions of the mixing angle are plotted in Figure 11. It is found that within the range of the mixing angle $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$ determined by the $D'_s(2600)$, the width of $D'_s(2760)$ is predicted to be $\Gamma = 270 - 380$ MeV, which is close to the upper limit of the measured width $\Gamma = 177 \pm 53$ MeV. As the high-mass mixed state, $D'_s(2760)$ should dominantly decay into the $D(2420)\pi$, $D(2430)\pi$ and $D\pi$ channels. To confirm the nature of $D'_s(2760)$, both $D(2420)\pi$ and $D(2430)\pi$ channels are worth observing in future experiments.

In the $D_s$-meson family, considering $D''_s(2760)$ as the low-mass mixed state $| (S D)_{1/2} \rangle$, we plot the strong decay properties as functions of the mixing angle $\phi$ in Figure 12. One sees that if we take the mixing angle with $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$, the decay width $\Gamma_{exp} = 113^{+37}_{-33}$ MeV and partial width ratio $R_{exp} = \Gamma(D''K)/\Gamma(DK) \approx 0.91 \pm 0.25$ of $D''_s(2700)$ measured by the BaBar collaboration [10, 16] can be well described within the uncertainties.

If the $D''_s(2700)$ is the low-mass mixed state $| (S D)_{1/2} \rangle$, indeed, the high-mass mixed state $| (S D)_{3/2} \rangle$ might be observed in experiments as well. The $J^P = 1^-$ resonance $D''_s(2860)$ observed in the $\bar{B}K^-$ final state by the LHCb collaboration [12] might be a candidate of the high-mass mixed state $| (S D)_{3/2} \rangle$ in the $D_s$-meson family. Considering $D''_s(2860)$ as the $| (S D)_{3/2} \rangle$ assignment, the strong decay properties as functions of the mixing angle are plotted in Figure 13. It is found that if we take mixing angle $\phi \approx -(27 \pm 8)^\circ$, the predicted width of $D''_s(2860)$, $\Gamma \approx 112 \pm 32$ MeV, is consistent with the measured width of $\Gamma = 159 \pm 23 \pm 77$ MeV [12]. The partial width ratio between $D''K$ and $DK$ channels is predicted to be

$$R = \frac{\Gamma(D''K)}{\Gamma(DK)} \approx 0.38 \pm 0.03,$$

(74)

which can be used to test the nature of $D''_s(2860)$.

As a whole, our underestimation of the decay widths of $D'_s(2600)$ and $D''_s(2700)$ as a pure $2S_1$ configuration can be overcome by mixing with some $1D_1$-wave components. Meanwhile, the widths of the $J^P = 1^-$ resonances $D'_s(2760)$ and $D''_s(2860)$ observed by the LHCb collaboration can be reasonably explained with the high-mass mixed states $D( (S D)_{1/2} \rangle$ and $D( (S D)_{3/2} \rangle$, respectively. However, the measured ratio $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D''\pi) = 0.32 \pm 0.11$ for $D''_s(2600)$ is inconsistent with our predictions. To clarify the nature of these $J^P = 1^-$ charged and charmed-strange meson resonances and test various model predictions, (i) both $D''(2760)$ and $D''_s(2860)$ are waiting to be confirmed by other experiments; (ii) the partial width ratio $\Gamma(D\pi)/\Gamma(D''\pi)$ for $D''_s(2600)$ and $\Gamma(DK)/\Gamma(D''K)$ for $D''_s(2700)$ are waiting to be confirmed by other experiments; (iii) the resonance parameters of $D'_s(2600)$ and $D''_s(2700)$ are waiting to be accurately measured in future experiments.

V. SUMMARY

In this work we systematically calculate the mass spectra of charmed and charmed-strange meson states up to the $2D$ excitations with a semi-relativistic potential model. Our results are in good agreement with other quark model predictions, although there are some model dependencies in the predicted masses for the higher $2D$- and $1F$-wave states. The strong decay properties are further analyzed with a chiral quark model by using the numerical wave functions obtained from the potential model. To well understand the $1F$-wave states, we also systematically consider the coupled-channel effects on the masses of the $1P$-wave states by using the strong decay amplitudes obtained within the chiral quark model. Based on our good descriptions of the mass and decay properties for the low-lying well-established states $D(2420)$, $D(2430)$, $D(2460)$, $D(2536)$ and $D(2573)$, we give a quark model classification for the high mass resonances observed in recent years. Our main conclusions are summarized as follows.

- There are notable couple-channel corrections to the bare masses for the $(1^3P_0)$, $D(1^3P_0)$ and $D(1^1P_1)$ states. The $D''_{0*}(2317)$ and $D_{s1}(2460)$ can be explained with the dressed states $D(1^3P_0)$ and $D(1^1P_1)$ by the $DK$ and $D''K$ loops, respectively. The physical mass for the dressed $D(1^3P_0)$ state is predicted to be $\sim 2253$ MeV, which is about $50$ MeV lower than the PDG average mass of $D''_{0*}(2300)$.

- The $D(2550)$ resonance can be classified as the $D(2S_0)$ state. Considering the newly observed $D_{s0}(2590)$ as the fla-
vor partner of $D_0(2550)$, the physical mass of $D_s(2^1S_0)$, $M_{phys} = 2581$ MeV, is close to the observed mass by including the coupled-channel effects, however, our predicted width is much smaller than the observed one.

- $D_s^*(2750)$ and $D_s^*(2740)$ can be classified as the 1D-wave states with the assignments $D(1^3D_3)$ and $D(1^3D'_3)$, respectively. The $D_s^*(2860)$ resonance should be the flavor partner of $D_s^*(2750)$, and correspond to the $D_s(1^3D_3)$ state. The $D_s(1^3D'_3)$ state, as the flavor partner of $D_2(2740)$, is most likely to be observed in the $D^*K$ channel due to its narrow width nature.

- $D_s^*(3000)$ is more favor a candidate of $D(1^3F_2)$ or $D(2^3P_2)$. As the $D(1^3F_2)$ assignment the predicted width is about a factor of 2 smaller than the observation, while as the $D(2^3P_2)$ assignment the predicted width is about a factor of 2.5 larger than the observation.

- $D_s^*(3000)$ may favor the 2P-wave high-mass mixed state $D(2P'_1)$. The $D_s^*(3040)$ resonance also favor the 2P-wave mixed state $D_s(2P_1)$ or $D_s(2P'_1)$. Considering $D_s^*(3040)$ as $D_s(2P_1)$, the predicted width is close to the upper limit of the data, while as the $D_s(2P'_1)$ assignment the predicted width is close to the lower limit of the data. The $D_s^*(3040)$ may be contributed by both $D_s(2P_1)$ and $D_s(2P'_1)$.

- $D_s^*(2700)$ and $D_s^*(2860)$ may favor the mixed states $|SD⟩_D$ and $|SD⟩_H$ via the $2^3S_1$-$1^3D_1$ mixing, respectively.

- There still exist puzzles for understanding the natures of $D_s(2600)$ and $D_s^*(2700)$. Considering $D_s^*(2600)$ and $D_s^*(2760)$ as $D(2^3S_1)$ and $D(1^3D_1)$, respectively, the predicted widths are inconsistent with the data. While considering them as the mixed states $|SD⟩_D$ and $|SD⟩_H$, their widths are reasonably consistent with the data, however, the ratio $R = (D_m/D^*K)$ for $D_s^*(2600)$ is inconsistent with the observation.

- Many missing excited D- and Ds-meson states, such as $D_s(1D_2)$, $D_s(1D'_2)$, $D_s(2^3P_2)$, $D(2^3D_3)/D_s(2^3D_3)$, $D(2D'_3)/D_s(2D'_3)$, $D(1^3F_2)/D_s(1^3F_2)$ and $D(1^3F'2)/D_s(1^3F'_2)$, have a relatively narrow width, they are most likely to be observed in their dominant decay channels in future experiments.
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### Appendix A: Coupled-channel model

In this appendix, we give the details of the model including the coupled-channel effects on the charmed and charmed-strange meson mass spectra. This simple coupled-channel model has been widely adopted in the literature [95, 96, 114, 134, 135, 143–148].

A bare meson state $|A⟩$ in the quark model can couple to the two-hadron continuum $BC$ by hadronic loops as shown in Fig. 14. The experimentally observed state may be an admixture between the bare state and continuum components, thus, the wave function of the physical state is given by

$$|ψ⟩ = c_A|A⟩ + \sum_{BC} BC(p)|BC,p⟩d^3p.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A1)\hspace{1cm}

where $p = p_B + p_c$ is final two-hadron relative momentum in the initial hadron static system, $c_A$ and $c_{BC}(p)$ denote the probability amplitudes of the bare valence state $|A⟩$ and $|BC,p⟩$ continuum components, respectively.

The full Hamiltonian of this mixed system $|ψ⟩$ can be written as

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_0 & \hat{H}_I \\ \hat{H}_I & \hat{H}_C \end{pmatrix}. \hspace{1cm} (A2)$$

In the above equation, $\hat{H}_0$ is the Hamiltonian of the bare meson state $|A⟩$ in the potential model, while $\hat{H}_C$ is the Hamiltonian for the continuum state $|BC,p⟩$. Neglecting the interaction between the hadrons $B$ and $C$, one has

$$\hat{H}_C|BC,p⟩ = E_{BC}|BC,p⟩.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A3)\hspace{1cm}

where $E_{BC} = \sqrt{m_B^2 + p_B^2} + \sqrt{m_C^2 + p_C^2}$ represents the energy of $BC$ continuum components. The mixing between $|A⟩$ and $|BC,p⟩$ is caused by the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_I$, which can be borrowed from our chiral quark model.

The Schrödinger equation of a mixed system can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_0 & \hat{H}_I \\ \hat{H}_I & \hat{H}_C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_A|A⟩ \\ \sum_{BC} BC(p)|BC,p⟩d^3p \end{pmatrix} = M \begin{pmatrix} c_A|A⟩ \\ \sum_{BC} BC(p)|BC,p⟩d^3p \end{pmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A4)\hspace{1cm}

From Eq. (A4), we have

$$\langle A|\hat{H}|ψ⟩ = c_A M = c_A M_A + \sum_{BC} BC(p)\langle A|\hat{H}_I|BC,p⟩d^3p.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A5)\hspace{1cm}

$$\langle BC,p|\hat{H}|ψ⟩ = c_{BC}(p)M = c_{BC}(p)E_{BC} + c_A(BC,p)\hat{H}_I|A⟩.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A6)
we plot the mass shift \( \Delta M(p) \) for the \( D_s(1^3P_0) \) state varies with the momentum \( p = |\mathbf{p}| \). The thin line stands for the results with a suppressed factor \( e^{-p^2/(2A^2)} \) (\( A = 0.84 \text{ GeV} \)) as that adopted in Ref. \cite{[96]}, while the thick line stands for the results without the suppressed factor.

Deriving \( c_{BC}(p) \) from Eq.\( (A6) \), and substituting it into Eq.\( (A5) \), we get a coupled-channel equation

\[
M = M_A + \Delta M(M),
\]

where the mass shift \( \Delta M(M) \) is given by

\[
\Delta M(M) = \text{Re} \sum_{BC} \int_0^\infty \frac{|\langle BC, p | \hat{H}_f | A \rangle|^2}{(M - E_{BC})} p^2 dp,
\]

and \( M_A \) is the bare mass of the meson state \( |A\) obtained from

the potential model. From Eq.\( (A7) \) and Eq.\( (A8) \), the physical mass \( M \) and the bare state mass shift \( \Delta M \) can be determined simultaneously.

It should be mentioned that when we calculate the mass shift \( \Delta M \) by using the Eq.\( (A8) \), the nonphysical contributions from higher \( p \) region may be involved. To know the whole momentum region contributions, as an example, considering the \( DK \) loop, in Fig. 15 we plot the mass shift \( \Delta M(p) \) of \( D_s(1^3P_0) \) (i.e., the integral function in Eq.\( (A8) \)) as a function of the momentum \( p = |\mathbf{p}| \). It is found that two regions contribute to the mass shift. The main contribution region is the low \( p \) region dominated by the pole. In the higher \( p \) region of \( p \approx 1.2 - 4 \text{ GeV} \), a small bump structure exists. This bump contribution may be nonphysical, because the quark pair production rates via the non-perturbative interaction \( \hat{H}_f \) should be strongly suppressed in the high momentum region \cite{[141], [142]}. It should be mentioned that in the chiral quark model the chiral interaction \( \hat{H}_f \) is only applicable to the low \( p \) region.

To soften the hard vertices \( \hat{H}_f \) in the higher momentum region, and reasonably describe the mass shifts, an additional factor is suggested to be introduced into the two-body decay amplitude \( \langle BC, p | \hat{H}_f | A \rangle \) \cite{[141]}. Adopting suppressed factor \( e^{-p^2/(2A^2)} \) with \( A = 0.84 \text{ GeV} \) as that used in Ref. \cite{[96]}, we also plot the mass shift \( \Delta M(p) \) of \( D_s(1^3P_0) \) as a function of the momentum \( p = |\mathbf{p}| \) in Fig. 15. It is found that the factor \( e^{-p^2/(2A^2)} \) indeed eliminates the contributions from the high momentum region. To eliminate the nonphysical contributions, in our calculations we cut off the momentum \( p \) at the inflection point in \( \Delta M(p) \) function as shown in Fig. 15. It should be pointed out that the cut-off momentum for each meson states is different due to the different position of the inflection point. With this momentum cut-off approach, our predicted mass shifts due to coupled-channel effects for the \( D \) and/or \( D_s \) meson states are consistent with the predictions in the literature \cite{[95], [96], [134], [135]}.
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