The Design of Work Facilities at the Work Station of Pressing T-shirt in CV Royaltrack Bandung
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Abstract— In a manufacturing industry, the quality of product is a main priority to get the best quality products, therefore properly the right selection of materials and a highly skilled workforce must be done. In the process, a highly skilled workforce must be accompanied by an ergonomic work facilities, so the workers will feel comfortable. This condition will lead the workforce must be accompanied by an ergonomic work facilities, skilled workforce must be done. In the process, a highly skilled therefore properly the right selection of materials and a highly product is a main priority to get the best quality products, standardized.

Entire Body Assessment is a method developed in the field of ergonomics and can be used rapidly to assess the working position or posture of the neck, back, arm and an operator's operator's work posture [4]. REBA development takes place in four stages. The first stage is the taking of worker posture data by using video or photo aid, the second stage is determining the corners of the worker's body part, the third stage is the determination of the weight of the raised object, the determination of coupling and the determination of worker activity. And lastly, the fourth stage is the calculation of the REBA value for the relevant posture. With the acquisition of REBA values can be known level of risk and the need for actions that need to be done to improve work posture. Some means of postural analysis have two ways, usually contradictory, general quality and sensitivity [5]. in the method of postural analysis can be compensated by low sensitivity, example; The Ovako Posture Work Analysis System (OWAS) [6] have many kinds of usage but the result can be low [4].

In order to achieve the optimal solutions, these programs should be based on ergonomics principles and should include a wide-range assessment of all elements of the work system [7]. After a direct observation of the pressing station and screening station there is a working facility that is not ergonomic on the pressing work station causing the wrong work posture, then the focus of this research is to design an ergonomic work facility on the At The Work Station Of Pressing T-Shirt at CV Royaltrack.

III. METHOD
This is a qualitative research, in identifying workers' pain complaints using a Nordic body map questionnaire, then the REBA score was measured to analyze work posture, to improve work posture, which we incorrectly redesigned a new work station using anthropometric ergonomics with 200 samples of Indonesians.

IV. RESULT AND DISCUSSION
This is the result of the Nordic Body Map questionnaire, the questionnaire data Nordic Body Map is filled by three...
workers at the work station of pressing t-shirt in CV Royaltrack Bandung.

The data show that four of the twenty-eight limbs do not experience painful complaints in both hands and feet, this indicates \( \frac{4}{28} \times 100\% = 14.29\% \) of the worker's body members do not experience pain complaints, while \( \frac{24}{28} \times 100\% = 85.71\% \) of the worker's body members experience pain complaints. Therefore, after identifying the pain and inconvenience of workers at the time of work it is necessary to immediately identify the worker's posture while doing his job. This is a recapitulation of the results of the risk level identification of workers with Rapid Entire Body Assessment on each work activity at the work station of pressing t-shirt in CV Royaltrack Bandung.

| Work Activity                  | Left or Right | REBA Score | Risk Level | Corrective action |
|-------------------------------|---------------|------------|------------|-------------------|
| Worker's take t-shirts to be pressed | Left          | 6          | Medium     | Needs Improvement |
|                               | Right         | 6          | Medium     | Needs Improvement |
| Worker's put t-shirts on the press machine | Left          | 1          | Can be ignored | No Need to Improve |
|                               | Right         | 1          | Can be ignored | No Need to Improve |
| Worker's take masking paper | Left          | 4          | Medium     | Needs Improvement |
|                               | Right         | 4          | Medium     | Needs Improvement |
| Worker's put masking paper on t-shirts to be pressed | Left          | 1          | Can be ignored | No Need to Improve |
|                               | Right         | 1          | Can be ignored | No Need to Improve |
| Workers holding the press lever ready to press the t-shirt | Left          | 2          | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |
|                               | Right         | 2          | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |
| Worker's do t-shirt presses | Left          | 3          | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |
|                               | Right         | 3          | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |
| The worker lifts the lever of the machine | Left          | 2          | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |
|                               | Right         | 2          | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |

REBA observations have corresponded moderately to those of the OWAS method according to [8], from the recapitulation of the identification of the worker's risk level in the press work station only on the work activities of putting the t-shirt on the press machine, installing masking paper on the t-shirt to be pressed and taking the t-shirt from the press machine that need not be repaired, press machine lever, pressing t-shirts, and pressing the lever of press machine may need improvement while work activities take t-shirts to be pressed, taking masking paper, put t-shirt on the floor and release masking paper on t-shirt made improvements. This is a work facility design at the work station of pressing t-shirt in CV Royaltrack Bandung:

**Table 1. Results of the risk level identification**

| Work Activity                  | Risk Level | Corrective action |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Worker's take t-shirts to be pressed | Medium     | Needs Improvement |
| Worker's put t-shirts on the press machine | Can be ignored | No Need to Improve |
| Worker's take masking paper | Medium     | Needs Improvement |
| Worker's put masking paper on t-shirts to be pressed | Can be ignored | No Need to Improve |
| Workers holding the press lever ready to press the t-shirt | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |
| Worker's do t-shirt presses | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |
| The worker lifts the lever of the machine | Low        | Probably Needs Improvement |

**Figure 1. Design of work facility.**

This is the Size Used for Designing Work Facilities:

**Table 2. The size used for designing work facilities.**

| Design | Size Used for Designing Work Facilities |
|--------|----------------------------------------|
| Length of table press machine | 6 Cm |
| Long of masking paper | 66 Cm |
| Long of t-shirts | 40 Cm |
| Long of ceramic cooler t-shirt | 81 Cm |
| Length of press machine | 60 Cm |
| T-shirt storage width | 12 Cm |
| High of table press machine | 69 Cm |
| High of Place Stick Shoulder masking paper | 123 Cm |
| High of table t-shirt | 85 Cm |
| High of ceramic cooler t-shirt | 85 Cm |
| High of storage tee shirt | 85 Cm |
| Table spread of press machine | 62 Cm |
Wide of Place

- The width of the masking paper
- T-shirt table width
- Front Hand Range
- Width of press machine
- Front Hand Range
- The height of the table leg support
- Eyes to the Floor

40 Cm
62 Cm

V. CONCLUSION

The observational techniques are broadly used in industry for their noninterference with the work performed, low price and simplicity of use [9]. The questionnaire showing 14.29% of worker's limbs who really do not have complaint of pain, while 85.71% of the workers’ limb had complaint of pain to the level of complaints reached on level two (mildly ill) amounted 25% of the limb, level three (ill) amounted 53.57% of the limbs and level four (very ill) amounted to 7.14% of the limb. [10] used REBA as an assessment tool to assess the manual handling practices in the supermarket industry in a major supermarket chain in New Zealand, from the result of the risk level of work identification that used Rapid Entire Body Assessment (REBA) method there are four out of ten work activities that have a risk on high level.
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