Molecular basis of Rrn3-regulated RNA polymerase I initiation and cell growth
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Cell growth is regulated during RNA polymerase (Pol) I transcription initiation by the conserved factor Rrn3/TIF-IA in yeast/humans. Here we provide a structure–function analysis of Rrn3 based on a combination of structural biology with in vivo and in vitro functional assays. The Rrn3 crystal structure reveals a unique HEAT repeat fold and a surface serine patch. Phosphorylation of this patch represses human Pol I transcription, and a phospho-mimetic patch mutation prevents Rrn3 binding to Pol I in vitro and reduces cell growth and Pol I gene occupancy in vivo. Cross-linking indicates that Rrn3 binds Pol I between its subcomplexes, AC40/19 and A14/43, which faces the serine patch. The corresponding region of Pol II binds the Mediator head that cooperates with transcription factor (TF) IIB. Consistent with this, the Rrn3-binding factor Rrn7 is predicted to be a TFIIB homolog. This reveals the molecular basis of Rrn3-regulated Pol I initiation and cell growth, and indicates a general architecture of eukaryotic transcription initiation complexes.
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Gene transcription in eukaryotic cells is performed by related RNA polymerases [Pols]. Pol I produces the 35S rRNA precursor, Pol II synthesizes mainly mRNAs, and Pol III makes small noncoding RNAs such as tRNAs. The structure of Pol II and models of the cores of Pol I and Pol III are available (Jasiak et al. 2006; Kuhn et al. 2007; Cramer et al. 2008). All three polymerases require the TATA-box-binding protein [TBP] for initiation. Pol II initiation further requires transcription factor (TF) IIB, which bridges TBP and Pol II (Kostrewa et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2010). A TFIIB homolog is needed for Pol III initiation (Wang and Roeder 1995; Teichmann et al. 2000), but no TFIIB homolog was reported in the Pol I system.

During Pol I initiation in yeast, TBP assembles at the rDNA promoter with the core factor, which comprises subunits Rm6, Rm7, and Rm11, and the upstream activating factor (Keener et al. 1998; Bordi et al. 2001; Moss et al. 2007). Initiation additionally requires the essential Pol I-specific factor Rrn3, or TIF-IA in humans (Yamamoto et al. 1996; Bodem et al. 2000; Moorefield et al. 2000), which binds the Pol I subunit A43 (Milkereit and Tschochner 1998; Peyroche et al. 2000; Cavanaugh et al. 2008). The interaction of Rrn3 with the Pol I complex is regulated by growth factor signaling pathways that connect nutrient availability to rRNA production [Drygin et al. 2010; Grummt and Voit 2010], which can account for up to 60% of all nuclear transcription [Warner 1999]. Signaling cascades trigger phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of Pol I and Rrn3 (Fath et al. 2001; Cavanaugh et al. 2002; Claypool et al. 2004; Panova et al. 2006; Gerber et al. 2008; Hoppe et al. 2009; Drygin et al. 2010). Unphosphorylated Rrn3/TIF-IA binds Pol I, whereas certain phosphorylations of TIF-IA prevent Pol I binding and down-regulate transcription [Mayer et al. 2004, 2005].

To understand how Pol I transcription and cell growth are regulated by Rrn3, structural insights into the Pol I–Rrn3 initiation complex are required. Whereas models for the minimal initiation complexes of Pol II and Pol III were described (Chen and Hahn 2004; Kostrewa et al. 2009; Liu et al. 2010; Vannini et al. 2010), the architecture of the Pol I initiation complex remains unknown. There are two reasons for this: the lack of a known TFIIB-related factor in the Pol I system, and the lack of information on the Rrn3 structure and its precise position on Pol I. Here we report the structure of full-length Rrn3 and provide ev-
idence that Rrn7 is the TFIIB homolog in the Pol I system. We obtain cross-linking data for the Pol I–Rrn3 complex, functional data for a phospho-mimetic Rrn3 mutant, and a model for a minimal Rrn3-regulated Pol I initiation complex. These results indicate the molecular basis of cell growth regulation during Pol I transcription initiation and suggest that the Pol I–Rrn3 initiation complex is topologically related to the Pol II–Mediator initiation complex.

Results

Rrn3 has a unique HEAT repeat structure

We crystallized recombinant full-length Rrn3 from the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae (see the Materials and Methods). The structure was determined by multiwavelength anomalous diffraction with a mercury derivative and refined at 2.8 Å resolution (Table 1). The structure revealed 10 HEAT repeats (H1–H10) formed by 20 anti-parallel α helices arranged in a superhelical fold (Fig. 1). Three additional C-terminal helices pack against repeats H6–H10. HEAT repeats are involved in protein–protein interactions and are found in transport proteins (Cingolani et al. 1999; Conti and Kuriyan 2000), but were not observed in TFs. The Rrn3 fold is conserved over species, since hydrophobic core residues are conserved between yeast and humans (Fig. 1A). The structure lacks only 47 N-terminal residues, an acidic loop (residues D253–T322), loop α20–α21 (residues I555–S574), and 10 C-terminal residues (Fig. 1A). These mobile, less conserved regions are apparently not required for essential Rrn3 functions in vivo, since deletion of the terminal tails or the acidic loop did not result in a growth phenotype in yeast (data not shown).

Rrn3 forms a dimer in solution

The asymmetric unit of the crystals contains a homodimer. The homodimer interface comprises polar and hydrophobic residues (orange in Fig. 1) and was predicted to be stable in solution (PISA) (http://www.ebi.ac.uk). To test this, we analyzed Rrn3 solutions by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) (Fig. 2). SAXS revealed a radius of gyration of 4.4 nm, which agrees with the calculated radius for the crystallographic dimer (4.2 nm), but not with the calculated monomer radius (3.1 nm). The scattering curve also agrees with a theoretical curve calculated from the dimer structure (Fig. 2A). Also, a SAXS-based ab initio model revealed a shape that resembles the dimer (Fig. 2B). To test whether dimerization in solution occurs as in the crystals, we mutated interface residues (Figs. 1, 2C). The Rrn3 variants D405A, R452G, and S444/S448D were purified and examined by size exclusion chromatography and static light scattering (Visco Tec) (Fig. 2D). This revealed molecular weights (MWs) between 74 and 85 kDa, compared with 140–150 kDa for the wild-type dimer (theoretical MW of 145 kDa). Thus, Rrn3 forms a stable homodimer in solution that resembles the dimer in the crystals.

Table 1. Diffraction data and refinement statistics

| Crystal          | Mercury derivative | | | |
|------------------|--------------------|--|---|---|
|                  | Peak               | Inflection | Remote | Native |
| Data collection  |                    |            |        |      |
| Space group      | P2₁,2₁            | P2₁,2₁     | P2₁,2₁ | P2₁,2₁ |
| Unit cell axes   | 94.8 Å/107.8 Å/160.7 Å | 94.9 Å/108.2 Å/160.6 Å | 94.8 Å/107.9 Å/161.1 Å | 96.8 Å/101.8 Å/162.0 Å |
| Wavelength       | 1.0086 Å          | 1.0094 Å   | 1.0129 Å | 0.9814 Å |
| Resolution       | 50–3.0 Å (3.08–3.0 Å) | 50–3.0 Å (3.08–3.0 Å) | 50–3.0 Å (3.08–3.0 Å) | 50–2.85 Å (2.92–2.85 Å) |
| Unique reflections | 63,653b (4723) | 63,104b (4650) | 63,345b (4706) | 38,054c (2784) |
| Completeness     | 100% (100%)       | 99.7% (99.7%) | 99.7% (99.9%) | 99.9% (100%) |
| Redundancy       | 3.48              | 3.46       | 3.48   | 9.1 |
| Mosaicity        | 0.29°             | 0.25°      | 0.26°  | 0.28° |
| R$_{free}$       | 8.8% [56.3%]      | 7.9% [46.2%] | 8.1% [56.3%] | 11.0% [65.6%] |
| <I/σI>           | 14.5 [3.45]       | 10.5 [2.6] | 11.2 [2.32] | 14.66 [3.83] |
| Refinement       |                    |            |        |      |
| Number of residues | 953               | 8075       | 103    |      |
| Number of solvent molecules | 62.5 Å² | 0.01 Å | 1.13° | 20.8% |
| RMSD bonds       |                    |            |        |      |
| RMSD angles      |                    |            |        |      |
| R$_{free}$       | 24.2%             | 96.9%/3.1%/0.0% |

aValues in parentheses are for highest-resolution shell throughout.
bFriedel pairs not merged.
cFriedel pairs merged.
dRamachandran plot statistics from Molprobity.
Figure 1. Rrn3 has a HEAT repeat structure. (A) Alignment and conservation of Rrn3 amino acid sequences of S. cerevisiae (S.c.) and Homo sapiens (H.s.). Helical secondary structure elements are indicated above the sequences. Pairs of helices forming HEAT repeats H1–H10 are indicated as green bars. Disordered regions are labeled with black triangles below the alignment. Invariant and conserved residues are highlighted in green and yellow, respectively. Hydrophobic core residues are indicated with black bars, and dimer interface residues are indicated with orange bars above the alignment. Residues forming the “serine patch” are indicated with blue dots above the S. cerevisiae sequence. Phosphosites mapped in TIF-IA are labeled with red dots below the H. sapiens sequence. C-terminal helices are in pink. The cross-linked lysine K558 is indicated with a purple box. Sequence alignments were done with CLUSTALW2 (Larkin et al. 2007), and figures were prepared with ESPript (Gouet et al. 1999). (B) Ribbon and cylinder representations of the Rrn3 crystal structure. Secondary structure elements are labeled according to A. The C-terminal helices are highlighted in pink, and residues involved in dimerization are in orange. Residues forming the conserved serine patch are in blue. The three different views correspond to the standard polymerase views (front, back, and top) to facilitate comparison with the models shown in Figure 7. This and other figures were prepared with PyMol (DeLano Scientific).
Rrn3 binds Pol I as a monomer

We next investigated whether Rrn3 binds to Pol I as a homodimer or whether the dimer is disrupted upon polymerase binding. Endogenous Pol I was purified with the use of a hexahistidine tag (Kuhn et al. 2007) and incubated with a ninefold molar excess of recombinant Rrn3 carrying a Strep-Tag (see the Materials and Methods). The Pol I–Rrn3 complex was separated from excess Rrn3 by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography and subjected to native mass spectrometry (MS) (Heck 2008). This revealed an MW of 667 kDa (Fig. 3), in agreement with a Pol I–Rrn3 monomer complex (663 kDa theoretical MW), but not with a Pol I–Rrn3 dimer complex (736 kDa). Dissociation of this complex in the mass spectrometer liberated subunit A49, showing that the A49/34.5 heterodimer, which is known to dissociate from Pol I (Huet et al. 1976; Geiger et al. 2010), is present in the 667-kDa complex. Native MS revealed a second complex with an MW of 593 kDa, which is explained by free Pol I [589 kDa theoretical MW] or by a Pol I–Rrn3 complex that lost the A49/34.5 heterodimer [589 kDa theoretical MW]. The monomeric Rrn3 variants D405A and R452G still formed stable complexes with Pol I [data not shown]. Together, these results show that Rrn3 binds Pol I as a monomer.

A serine patch is required in vivo

Parts of the Rrn3 surface are conserved and may be involved in protein interactions (Fig. 4). A total of eight serine residues, arranged in four pairs (S101/S102, S109/S110, S145/S146, and S185/S186), cluster on the Rrn3 surface (“serine patch”) [Fig. 4B]. Six of these residues are conserved between yeast and humans [Fig. 1A]. Residues S185 and S186 correspond to human residues S199 and T200, which are phosphorylated in vivo, preventing Pol I association and shutting down Pol I transcription [Mayer et al. 2004, 2005]. To investigate whether the serine patch was required for yeast growth, we mutated all eight serines individually to alanine or aspartate, thereby disabling phosphorylation or mimicking a phosphorylated state, respectively. Complementation assays in a Δrrn3 strain revealed that the Rrn3 mutation S145D causes severe slow growth on 5-FOA plates and in culture (Fig. 5A,B), whereas the other mutations had no effect [data not shown]. The phenotype was enhanced in the presence of rapamycin, an inhibitor of the TOR kinase pathway that regulates Pol I, and in the presence of cycloheximide, an inhibitor of protein biosynthesis (Fig. 5A). This shows the importance of the serine patch, and in particular S145, for cell growth and suggests a conserved mechanism of Rrn3 phospho-regulation.

The serine patch binds Pol I in vitro

Since the interaction of Pol I with TIF-IA depends on the phosphorylation status of TIF-IA [Fath et al. 2001; Schlosser et al. 2002; Zhao et al. 2003; Mayer et al. 2004, 2005; Philimonenko et al. 2004; Bierhoff et al. 2008; Hoppe et al. 2009], we investigated whether the serine patch of Rrn3 is required for Pol I binding and whether phosphorylations in this patch influence binding. We expressed Rrn3 mutant S145D, which showed a growth defect in yeast [Fig. 5A],
and mutant S185D, which corresponds to human S199D, which does not bind Pol I (Mayer et al. 2004). The purified Rrn3 mutants were tested for their ability to form stable complexes with Pol I after size exclusion chromatography (Fig. 5C). Whereas wild-type Rrn3 bound Pol I in these assays, Rrn3 mutant S145D did not, and mutant S185D bound only weakly (Fig. 5C). Thus, the serine patch of Rrn3 is involved in Pol I binding in vitro, and phospho-mimetic mutations in this patch can impair Pol I binding.

**The serine patch functions in Pol I promoter recruitment**

To investigate Pol I recruitment to the rDNA gene in vivo, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments. We prepared yeast strains with a tandem affinity purification (TAP) tag on Pol I subunit A190 and expressing Rrn3 wild-type or mutant S145D and determined Pol I occupancy at rDNA genes by ChIP (Fig. 6). Pol I occupancy at all tested regions of the rDNA locus was strongly decreased in the strain expressing Rrn3 mutant S145D (Fig. 6B), although protein levels were unchanged (Fig. 6D). This shows that normal Pol I recruitment to rDNA in vivo requires an unphosphorylated S145 residue on Rrn3. We also tested whether the S145D mutation impairs Rrn3 recruitment to rDNA. We complemented the Δrrn3 strain with plasmids expressing TAP-tagged Rrn3 wild type or mutant S145D. ChIP revealed that wild-type Rrn3 localizes to the rDNA promoter and the beginning of the transcribed region as shown before (Bier et al. 2004; Claypool et al. 2004; Beckouet et al. 2008; Philippi et al. 2010), whereas occupancy with mutant S145D was decreased fivefold to 10-fold (Fig. 6C). These results indicate that S145 phosphorylation impairs cooperative recruitment of Rrn3 and Pol I to the rDNA gene in vivo.

**Rrn3 binds Pol I near subcomplex AC40/19**

To elucidate the molecular basis for Pol I–Rrn3 binding, we subjected the Pol I–Rrn3 complex to chemical cross-linking and identified cross-linked lysines by MS (Leitner et al. Figure 3. Rrn3 binds Pol I as a monomer. Native MS reveals that Rrn3 binds Pol I as a monomer. (Top panel) Two different charge distributions were detected with masses of 593 and 667 kDa, which refer to Pol I alone or a Pol I ΔA49/34.5–Rrn3 complex and a Pol I–Rrn3 monomer complex, respectively. Native MS spectra for free Pol I have been published earlier (Geiger et al. 2010). (Bottom panel) Tandem MS leads to elimination of subunit A49 from the 667-kDa complex and elimination of subunits A14, A14.5, and ABC27 from the 593-kDa and 667-kDa complexes. The 593-kDa complex additionally eliminates AC40. The remaining Pol I subcomplexes are observed at corresponding high mass/charge values.
et al. 2010). This can reveal proximal lysine residues on adjacent proteins and allows positioning of crystal structures to obtain topological models of large polymerase–factor complexes [Chen et al. 2010]. A pure Pol I–Rrn3 complex was cross-linked with 1.2 mM disuccinimidyl suberate, and cross-linked lysines were identified by MS (see the Materials and Methods). The MS data were of high quality, as cross-links between Pol I subunits were explained with the Pol I model (Kuhn et al. 2007) and the Pol II structure (Armache et al. 2005). Details of the cross-links within Pol I and the cross-linking method will be described elsewhere. The analysis revealed two high-confidence cross-links between Rrn3 and Pol I, connecting Rrn3 residue K558 to Pol I residues K582 and K329 in subunits A190 and AC40, respectively [Fig. 7A]. The cross-linked Pol I residues are located on the “back” of the homologous Pol II structure near the Rpb3/11 heterodimer, which corresponds to the AC40/19 heterodimer.

Model of the Pol I–Rrn3 complex

To obtain a model for the Pol I–Rrn3 complex, we positioned the Rrn3 structure on the polymerase such that cross-links were explained [see the Materials and Methods]. The cross-linked Rrn3 residue K558 is part of the short mobile loop α20–α21 that follows the ordered residue G554, which was allowed to be up to 30.9 Å from cross-linked Pol I lysines (the theoretical maximum Cα distance of 27.4 Å plus 3.5 Å for mobile residues 555–558). Only one Rrn3 orientation positioned the serine patch toward Pol I, to explain the interaction data without producing protein clashes [Fig. 7A]. In the resulting model of the Pol I–Rrn3 complex, Rrn3 extends from the RNA exit tunnel and dock domain alongside A14/43, the counterpart of the Pol II subcomplex Rpb4/7, to AC40/19. The model explains Rrn3 binding to the OB domain of subunit A43 (Peyroche et al. 2000), an early electron microscopic projection (Peyroche et al. 2000), the observation that Rrn3 can be fused to A43 in vivo (Laferte et al. 2006), and an apparently stabilizing effect of A14 on the Rrn3–Pol I interaction [Imazawa et al. 2005].

Rrn7 is a TFIIB-related factor

The location of Rrn3 near the dock domain prompted us to search for a homolog of the Pol II factor TFIIB in the Pol I initiation machinery, since TFIIB binds the dock domain (Chen and Hahn 2003). We examined the three subunits of the Pol I core factor—Rrn6, Rrn7, and Rrn11—with the HHpred structure prediction server (Soding et al. 2005). This revealed a clear homology of the N-terminal region of Rrn7 (residues 1–316) with TFIIB (E-value, 0.028; probability score, 93.6). The structured domains of TFIIB, the N-terminal zinc ribbon, and the two C-terminal cyclin folds (Kosa et al. 1997; Tsai and Sigler 2000; Kostrewa et al. 2009) are predicted to be present in Rrn7 [Fig. 7B] and can be modeled [Eswar et al. 2008]. The predicted Rrn7 ribbon domain contains all four zinc-binding cysteine residues, although the C-terminal cysteine is replaced by a functionally equivalent histidine in some species. The C-terminal region of Rrn7 does not show homologies with known factors, similar to the TFIIB-related factor Brf1 in the Pol III system, which also contains a specific C-terminal region [Fig. 7B].

Architecture of the Pol I initiation complex

The prediction of a TFIIB-related factor in the Pol I initiation apparatus prompted us to extend the modeling to a minimal Pol I initiation complex [Fig. 7C,D]. We assumed that Rrn7, TBP, and promoter DNA are positioned like TFIIB, TBP, and promoter DNA in the Pol II initiation complex model (Kostrewa et al. 2009), in agreement with
a known Rrn7–TBP interaction (Lalo et al. 1996). The resulting model revealed that the N-terminal zinc ribbon domain of Rrn7 that is bound to the polymerase dock domain could contact Rrn3 [Fig. 7C,D], perhaps explaining the known interaction between the human homologs of Rrn3 and Rrn7 [Miller et al. 2001]. The model further indicates that the two other subunits of the core factor, Rrn6 and Rrn11, occupy positions between the Pol I clamp and subcomplexes A14/43 and the dock domain, as this explains known interactions of Rrn3 with Rrn6 in yeast [Peyroche et al. 2000] and in the human system [Miller et al. 2001].

Discussion

Here we used a combination of structural and functional techniques to elucidate the mechanism of Rrn3-regulated Pol I initiation and cell growth. The structure of Rrn3 reveals a unique HEAT repeat fold and a conserved surface serine patch. Rrn3 forms a dimer in solution, but binds Pol I as a monomer that extends along the back of Pol I between subcomplexes AC40/19 and A14/43. The Rrn3 serine patch faces the Pol I subunit A43 and its phosphorylation impairs cell growth, Pol I binding in vitro, and Pol I recruitment to the rDNA gene in vivo. Bioinformatics identifies Rrn7 as a putative functional homolog of TFIIB, leading to a model of a minimal Pol I–Rrn3 initiation complex.

These results converge with published data on the molecular basis for Pol I initiation regulation by Rrn3. During normal cell growth, the Rrn3 serine patch is not phosphorylated, enabling Rrn3 to bind Pol I and resulting in stable Pol I recruitment to rDNA and transcription. During stress, phosphorylation of the serine patch impairs Rrn3 interaction with Pol I and Pol I recruitment to rDNA, down-regulating Pol I transcription, ribosome production, and cell growth. The phosphorylation of serine patch residues in human TIF-IA [Mayer et al. 2004, 2005] argues for a conserved phospho-regulation of the Pol I–Rrn3 interaction and Pol I initiation, although we could not map the phosphorylation sites on endogenous yeast Rrn3 despite extensive efforts using either exponentially growing cells or cells entering stationary phase (data not shown).

Phosphorylation of TIF-IA also occurs outside the conserved serine patch [Fig. 4B; Zhao et al. 2003; Philimonenko et al. 2004; Bierhoff et al. 2008; Hoppe et al. 2009]. Phosphorylation of residues S633 and S649 in the TIF-IA C-terminal tail activates transcription [Zhao et al. 2003], and S635 phosphorylation abolishes TIF-IA interaction with the human core factor [Hoppe et al. 2009], consistent with our model, which indicates that the TIF-IA C-terminal region that is not present in yeast Rrn3 could be near the predicted core factor location [Fig. 7C,D]. Phosphorylation of the N-terminal serine S44 activates mammalian TIF-IA [Mayer et al. 2004], whereas in Rrn3,
a complete deletion of its 47 N-terminal residues had no effect in complementation assays (data not shown). Phosphorylations at S170 and S172 are required for TIF-IA dissociation from elongating Pol I (Bierhoff et al. 2008) and may interfere with exiting RNA, which is predicted to displace the Rrn7 zinc ribbon (Fig. 7C). Rrn3 dissociation also requires the A49/34.5 subcomplex (Beckouet et al. 2008; Albert et al. 2011), but it is unclear why. The Pol I–Rrn3 interaction is additionally regulated through phosphorylation of the Pol I subunit A43 (Fath et al. 2001) that faces Rrn3. Taken together, current data converge on the view that both Rrn3 and TIF-IA are regulated by phosphorylation on a conserved surface serine patch, but that distinct phosphorylations in TIF-IA-specific regions and on Pol I may contribute to function.

Rrn3 apparently also has a post-recruitment function, since Pol I can be recruited without Rrn3 at low levels, but requires Rrn3 for initiation (Schnapp and Grummt 1991; Schnapp et al. 1993; Aprikian et al. 2001). We predict that Rrn3 binding causes a conformational change in Pol I that induces an initiation-competent state. Electron microscopy of free Pol I revealed a “collapsed state” of the clamp (Kuhn et al. 2007) that would prevent the DNA template strand from entering the active center cleft. Rrn3 binding, however, may hold the clamp in a position that allows template loading. Clamp positioning may involve Pol I-specific surface features of the clamp and dock domains (Kuhn et al. 2007).

Our results also suggest that cells contain a reservoir of Rrn3 dimers that do not bind Pol I. The presence of serine residues S444 and S448 in the dimer interface further suggested that interface phosphorylation could release Rrn3 monomers that bind Pol I. However, the phospho-mimetic dimer-disrupting Rrn3 mutation S444/S448D (Fig. 2D) has no phenotype in yeast (data not shown), providing, at present, no evidence for regulated Rrn3 dimerization in vivo.

Finally, we provide the long-sought unifying evolutionary view of transcription initiation in eukaryotic cells. It was always puzzling why the Pol I system lacks a factor related to TFIIB, since it requires TBP and since transcription initiation by archaeal Pol, Pol II, and Pol III requires TBP and TFIIB homologs. The previously undetected predicted homology of the core factor subunit Rrn7 with TFIIB provides this missing link. Since regions in Pol I and Pol III subunits resemble parts of the Pol II initiation factors TFIE and TFIIF (Geiger et al. 2010; Kassavetis et al. 2010; Vannini et al. 2010; Lefevre Blattner et al.
et al. 2011), it now appears that the initiation complexes of both Pol I and Pol III resemble the core of the Pol II–TBP–TFIIB/E/F complex. The similarity in transcription initiation complex topology may extend to regulatory cofactors. Electron microscopy and cross-linking indicate that the Mediator head binds at the Rpb3/11 subcomplex of Pol II (Takagi et al. 2006; Soutourina et al. 2011), a position resembling the location of Rrn3 on Pol I, and mechanistic studies show that Mediator interacts directly with TFIIB (Baek et al. 2006). Thus, regulatory cofactors differ in structure, but may use the same molecular targets on conserved core initiation complexes. It came to our attention during the revision of this manuscript that studies demonstrating a functional homology between Rrn7 or its human ortholog with TFIIB and Brf1 were accepted for publication (Knutson and Hahn 2011; Naidu et al. 2011).

Figure 7. Model of the Pol I–Rrn3 initiation complex. (A) Model of the Pol I–Rrn3 complex based on lysine–lysine cross-linking and protein interaction data. Back view of a 12-subunit Pol I model that is based on the Pol II core structure (silver) (Armache et al. 2005) and the structure of subcomplex A14/43 (red/blue) (Kuhn et al. 2007). The Pol II core heterodimer Rpb3/11 that is homologous to the Pol I heterodimer AC40/19 is highlighted in red/yellow. The positioned Rrn3 structure (green) contains a lysine residue (K558) that is part of a short mobile loop following the ordered residue G554 (cyan dot) and cross-links to two Pol I residues (cyan dots connected with dashed lines). Cross-linked positions in the Pol I core are revealed in the homologous Pol II structure; K582 in A190 corresponds to M437 in Rpb1, and K329 in AC40 corresponds to L259 in Rpb3 (cyan dots). Positions C92 and A159 in Rpb3, influencing the interaction of Pol II with the Mediator head module (Soutourina et al. 2011), are indicated as gray spheres. (B) Rrn7 is the TFIIB homolog in the Pol I system. Schematic representation of distinct structural domains in TFIIB and the homologous factors Rrn7 and Brf1 in the Pol I and Pol III systems, respectively. All three proteins exhibit an N-terminal zinc ribbon followed by two conserved cyclin folds. In addition, Rrn7 and Brf1 contain a specific C-terminal region. (C) Model of a minimal Pol I initiation complex. The Pol I–Rrn3 model in A was combined with the Pol II minimal initiation complex model containing TFIIB [light green, serving as a model for Rrn7], TBP [magenta], and closed promoter DNA [blue/cyan] (Kostrewa et al. 2009). Pol I and DNA are represented as molecular surfaces, whereas TBP, the Rrn7 model, and Rrn3 are shown as ribbons. The presumed location of the remaining core factor subunits Rrn6 and Rrn11 are indicated with a dashed circle. The view is from the back. Positions of phosphorylated serines S170, S172, and S635 in human TIF-IA are indicated. (D) Top view of the model in C containing all proteins in surface representation.
Materials and methods

Protein sample preparation

*S. cerevisiae* Rrn3 was cloned into a pET28b plasmid, resulting in an N-terminal hexahistidine tag—or in pET21b—with an N-terminal Strep-Tag. Proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) RIL cells (Stratagene) in autoinducing medium (TB) for 16 h at 24°C. Cells were harvested, washed with PBS, and lysed by sonication. The lysate was centrifuged, and the supernatant was loaded on a Ni-NTA (Qiagen) column equilibrated in buffer A (50 mM HEPES at pH 7.8, 200 mM NaCl, 3 mM DTT, 10% glycerol). The column was washed with buffer A containing 20–50 mM imidazol, and protein was eluted with buffer A containing 150 mM imidazol. Proteins carrying a Strep-Tag were bound to a 1-mL Strep-tactin Sepharose column (IBA) equilibrated in buffer A and eluted with buffer A containing 2.5 mM d-desthiobiotin. Proteins were purified by anion exchange chromatography [Mono Q, GE Healthcare]. The column was equilibrated in buffer B (50 mM HEPES at pH 7.8, 5 mM DTT, 10% glycerol), and proteins were eluted with a linear gradient of 20 column volumes from 100 mM to 1 M NaCl. After concentration, the sample was applied to a Superdex 200 size exclusion column (GE Healthcare) equilibrated with buffer C (50 mM HEPES at pH 7.8, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT). Pooled peak fractions were concentrated to 10 mg/mL. Purification of Pol I was as described (Kuhn et al. 2007), except that buffers contained phosphatase inhibitors (2 mM Na-pyrophosphate, 5 mM β-phosphoglycerate, 50 mM NaF). For assembly of the Pol I–Rrn3 complex, Pol I was incubated with a ninefold molar excess of Rrn3 overnight on ice, followed by size exclusion chromatography and concentration of the fractions containing the complex.

Crystal structure determination

Crystals of Rrn3 were grown at 20°C in hanging drops over a reservoir solution containing 14% PEG 3350 and 250 mM sodium–potassium–tartrate. Crystals were cryo-protected by a reservoir solution containing 14% PEG 3350 and 250 mM sodium–potassium–tartrate. Crystals were cryo-protected by a reservoir solution containing 10 mM thiomersal for 8–15 min, transferred to the solutions containing additionally the cryoprotectant, and flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Diffraction data were obtained on a PILATUS 6M detector at the Swiss Light Source in Villigen, Switzerland, and processed with XDS (Table 1; Kabsch 1993). SHExLD [Schneider and Shedrick 2002; Pape and Schneider 2004] detected two mercury sites. SHARP [de La Fortelle and Bricogne 1997] was used for MAD phasing. Initial model building was performed with Buccaneer (Cowtan 2006), and rebuilding was performed with COOT [Emsley and Cowtan 2004]. Refinement was carried out with PHENIX [Afonine et al. 2005] and BUSTER [Blanc et al. 2004].

SAXS

Proteins were purified as above, but concentrated to 2 or 8 mg/mL in buffer C. The flow-through of the concentration step was used as buffer reference for SAXS. SAXS data were collected at beamline X33 at EMBL/DESY, Hamburg, Germany. BSA and lysozyme were measured as references for molecular mass determination from Io obtained from extrapolation of \( s \rightarrow 0 \) in Guinier analysis with \( s \times R_g < 1.3 \) [Putnam et al. 2007]. The ATSAS package [Konarev et al. 2006] was used to analyze data. Theoretical scattering profiles from known structures were calculated and fitted to measured profiles with CRYSOL. Ab initio modeling from the experimental data was performed with DAMMIF and GASBOR without imposing symmetry or other restrictions. Models were aligned, filtered, and averaged with SUPCOMB and DAMAVER [Volkov and Svergun 2003]. Envelope representations were calculated with SITUS [Wriggers and Chacon 2001].

Native MS

For native MS, the sample buffer was exchanged to a solution containing 200 mM ammonium acetate using centrifugal filter units [Millipore], and sample concentration was adjusted to 2 μM. MS was carried out on a Q-ToF I instrument (van den Heuvel et al. 2006; Geiger et al. 2010). The cone voltage was 150 V and the needle voltage was 1.3 kV. The pressure in the source region was 10 mbar. Xenon was used as a collision gas with a pressure of \( 2 \times 10^{-5} \) mbar [Lorenzen et al. 2007]. Data were analyzed with MassLynx [Waters].

Yeast genetic manipulations

Strain Y24975 (BY4743; Mat a/a; his3D1/his3D1; leu2D0/leu2D0; lys2D0/lys2; MET15/met15D0; ura3D0/ura3D0; YKL125w::kanMX4/YKL125w) [Euroscarf] was used for genetic modifications. Rrn3 with a native promoter region was cloned into pRS316 plasmid carrying the *URA3* gene, allowing growth on minimal selective medium. The diploid strain, carrying the *rrn3* deletion on a haploid genome, was transformed with pRS316-Rrn3. The diploid strain was sporulated, and tetrads were dissected on YPD plates. Clones were selected that carried a kanMX4 cassette replacing Rrn3 in the haploid genome. The resulting strains contain the pRS316 plasmid with a copy of Rrn3-WT under a native promoter. Rrn3 mutants were cloned into pRS315 containing the *LEU2* gene. The strain was transformed with the pRS315 plasmid and selected on –LEU plates.

Protein cross-linking and MS

Pol I was purified as described [Kuhn et al. 2007], except that size exclusion chromatography [Supersose 6 10/300, GE Healthcare] was performed in a buffer containing 20 mM HEPES [pH 7.8], 300 mM potassium acetate [KOAc], 1 mM MgCl₂, 10% glycerol, and 5 mM DTT. Pol I fractions were pooled and concentrated to 1 mg/mL. The Pol I–Rrn3 complex was prepared as above and cross-linked using isotopically coded disuccinimidyl suberate (DSS-H12/D12, Creative Molecules, Inc.). The purified Pol I–Rrn3 complex ([100 μL containing 110 μg] was mixed with 25 mM DSS dissolved in dimethylformamide [DMF] [Pierce Protein Research Products]) to a final DSS concentration of 1.2 mM, and incubated at 350 rpm for 30 min at 30°C. The reaction was stopped by addition of NH₄HCO₃ to 100 mM and incubation for 15 min at 30°C. Cross-linked proteins were treated with two sample volumes of 8 M urea and reduced and alkylated using 5 mM Tris[2-carboxyethyl]phosphine [TCEP] and 10 mM iodoacetamide, respectively. The sample was digested with trypsin. MS analysis was as described [Leitner et al. 2010]. The fragment ion spectra were assigned to cross-linked peptides using xQuest [Rinner et al. 2008]. More details will be described elsewhere.

ChiP

*S. cerevisiae* strain Y24975 was grown in 50 mL of YPD medium at 30°C to mid-log phase (OD₆₀₀ = 0.8) and treated with formaldehyde (1%, Sigma F1635) for 20 min at 20°C, and cross-linking was quenched with 5 mL of 3 M glycine for 10 min. Subsequent steps were performed at 4°C with precooled buffers containing protease inhibitors (1 mM Leupeptin, 2 mM Pepstatin A, 100 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 280 mM benzamidine). Cells
were collected by centrifugation and washed twice with 1×TBS [20 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl] and twice with FA lysis buffer [50 mM HEPES-KOH at pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS]. Pellets were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C. Pellets were thawed, resuspended in 1 mL FA lysis buffer, and disrupted by bead beating (Retsch) in the presence of 1 mL of silica–zirconia beads for 30 min at 4°C. Chromatin was solubilized and fragmented via sonication with a Bioruptor UCD-200 (Diagenode, Inc.). A 700-μL sample was immunoprecipitated with 20 μL of IgG Sepharose 6 Fast Flow beads (GE Healthcare) for 1 h at 4°C. Immunoprecipitated chromatin was washed three times with FA lysis buffer, twice with FA lysis buffer containing 500 mM NaCl, twice with ChIP wash buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 8.0, 0.25 M LiCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5% Na deoxycholate], and once with TE buffer [10 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA]. Immunoprecipitated chromatin was eluted for 10 min at 65°C with ChIP elution buffer [50 mM Tris-HCl at pH 7.5, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS] and digested with proteinase K (Sigma) for 2 h at 37°C, and cross-links were reversed overnight at 65°C. DNA was purified with the QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen). Input and immunoprecipitated samples were assayed by quantitative PCR (qPCR) using primer pairs directed against different regions of the first repeat within the RDN1 locus (Fig. 6). PCR reactions contained 1 μL of DNA template, 2 μL of 10 μM primer pairs, and 12.5 μL of iTaq SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). qPCR was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time system (Bio-Rad) using primer pairs directed against different regions of the first repeat within the RDN1 locus (Fig. 6). PCR reactions contained 1 μL of DNA template, 2 μL of 10 μM primer pairs, and 12.5 μL of iTaq SYBR Green Supermix (Bio-Rad). qPCR was performed on a Bio-Rad CFX96 Real-Time system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) using a 3-min denaturing step at 95°C, followed by 49 cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 30 sec at 61°C, and 15 sec at 72°C. Threshold cycle (Ct) values were determined by application of the corresponding Bio-Rad CFX Manager software version 1.1 using the Ct determination mode “Regression.” Fold enrichment of any given region over a nontranscribed heterochromatic region on chromosome V was determined as described (Fan et al. 2008).

Protein expression analysis

Expression levels of the TAP-tagged proteins were estimated by resolving the total protein from cell lysate by SDS-PAGE (4%-12% [w/v] polyacrylamide gel, Invitrogen) and blotting onto a PVDF membrane. The membrane was probed with antibodies against the TAP tag [PAP, Sigma] and tubulin [3H3087, Santa Cruz Biotechnology]. Bound antibodies were detected by chemoluminescence [ECL Plus Western blotting detection system, GE Healthcare]. For tubulin, peroxidase-conjugated AffiniPure rabbit anti-rat IgG (H+L) (Jackson ImmunoResearch) was used for detection. Detection was carried out with the LAS3000 detection system [Fuji], and signals were quantified in relation to the tubulin loading control using the ImageQuant TL 7.0 image analysis software [GE Healthcare]. TAP-tagged strains were grown at 30°C in YPD medium to an OD600 of ~0.8. One milliliter of culture was pelleted by centrifugation (4000 rpm for 2 min at 20°C; Rotana 460R, Hettich). The supernatant was discarded, and the pellets were used for Western blot analysis.

Accession numbers

The coordinate file and structure factors for the Rrn3 crystal structure were deposited in the Protein Data Bank under accession code 3TJ1.
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