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ABSTRACT

Survey was undertaken to assess honey production system, identify major beekeeping constraints and determine the association of potential risk factors with occurrence of honeybee diseases, predators and pests at Ganderbal district of Kashmir during summer 2018. Questionnaire survey and laboratory diagnostic methods were employed. A total of 50 beekeepers were included in the questionnaire survey and were interviewed through questionnaire survey, 64.5% had knowledge about honey bee diseases and pests. A total of 384 honey bee colonies were randomly examined for the presence of honeybee external parasites and pathogenic diseases. Regarding honeybee pests and predators, the rank index confirmed that the most important pests and predators affecting Traditional honeybee colonies were wax moth (18.2%), Bee lice (12.3%), hive Beetles (7.2%), and bee eater birds (7%). The major constraints affecting beekeeping development in the study areas in decreasing order were pests and predators (17.5%), high cost of modern hives and accessories (15.5%), agro-chemical application (14.3%), honey bee diseases (11.9%), poor management (10.5%), winter mortality of honeybee colony (10.5%), shortage of bee forage (10.2%) and absconding (9.6%). The study demonstrated that honey productions in the study area are hampered by several constraints and pests. Therefore, improvement of honey bee hive management, disease and pests prevention are paramount important.
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Introduction

Kashmir is home of diverse flora which is used by bees as their source of pollen and nectar. Its forests and different agro-ecosystem, apple orchards and forests contain diverse plant species that provide surplus nectar and pollen to foraging bees. The state is the major honey producer in India. Although thousands of tones of honey have been produced every year, the products obtained from the subsector have been observed to still low as compared to the potential of the state.

In district Ganderbal, Kashmir, Bee keeping is a promising non-farm activity for the rural households. It contributes to the incomes of households in particular and the economy of the state in general. It also provides an employment opportunity in the livestock sub sector of the agriculture and horticulture.
sector. The exact number of people in Ganderbal is not well known. However it was also observed large number of people (intermediaries and traders) participate in honey collection and retailing at village level and district level. Beekeeping is a very long-standing practice in the farming communities of the Ganderbal and it plays a significant role as source of additional cash income and nutrition for many subsistence farmers.

It is an integral part of the smallholder farming system. Despite the significance of bee keeping, there is little empirical evidence on the potentials and challenges of bee keeping for income generation in the study districts.

There is limited information currently available on the constraints of bee keeping in the honey bee sub-sector. The objective of the study, therefore, was to analyze the major honey bee production opportunities and challenges in the bandipora district of Kashmir.

Data analysis

The data collected from 50 bee-keepers were analysed and is rank indexed to put the order of importance of pests and predators, which are challenges for honey bee production in the study area

Rank index was calculated as follows

Rank Index = Sum of (3* number of household ranked first + 2* Number of household ranked second + 1* number of household ranked third) for individual reason divided by sum of (3* number of household ranked first + 2* number of household ranked second + 1* number of households ranked third) for over all reason.

Results and Discussion

Based on the survey data analysis most of the beekeepers in the study area of Ganderbal reported to have faced different challenges in relation to beekeeping (Table 1). The survey predicts that on an average (90%) of the beekeepers reported different challenges in beekeeping. Though the challenges reported indicated variation across the study districts financial problems, pests and high input cost, poor extension services, pests and predators, high input costs are the most economically important challenges in the area. The households also listed (17.5%) major pests and predators observed in the study area at their rank-first (Table 2). Accessories (15.5%) were one of the pressing factors that affect the beekeeping activity of the study district. Depending on the survey data most of the farmers of the study district reported that agrochemical is one of the challenge (14.3%) in the beekeeping upliftment. The data predicted 11.9% respondents reported honey bee diseases as the threat. Poor management, winter mortality, Bee forage and absconding reported by 10.5, 10.5, 10.2 and 9.6%
respondents respectively of the challenges of beekeeping sector in the study area.

Different pests and predators which include wax moth, hive bee lice, hive beetle, bee eater bird and wasp are listed in table 2 as per their rank. Wax moth was among most common predators of honeybees in the area with rank first. Based on the beekeepers response wasp was put in the second rank in the study area. Bee eater bird ranked third in the response whereas Hive scorpion and hive beetles ranked fourth in the response of the study area (Fig. 1-3).

Table.1 Response proportions of major challenges of bee-keeping in district Ganderbal

| List of honey production factors          | Status (%) | Rank |
|------------------------------------------|------------|------|
| Predators                                | 17.5       | 1    |
| Bee equipment non availability           | 15.5       | 2    |
| Agro-chemicals                           | 14.3       | 3    |
| Honey bee diseases                       | 11.9       | 4    |
| Poor management                          | 10.6       | 5    |
| Winter mortality                         | 10.4       | 6    |
| Shortage of bee forage                   | 5.0        | 9    |
| Absconding                               | 9.6        | 7    |
| Poor extension services                  | 5.5        | 8    |

Table.2 Rank index for major pests and predators of bee keeping in district Ganderbal

| S.No | Name of pests and predators          | Percentage (%) | Rank |
|------|--------------------------------------|----------------|------|
| 1    | Wax moth (Achroia grisella)          | 38.0           | 1    |
| 2    | Bee-louse (Braula coeca)             | 11.9           | 5    |
| 3    | Hive beetles (Aethina tumida)        | 12.0           | 4    |
| 4    | Bee eater Bird                       | 13.1           | 3    |
| 5    | Wasp (vespa orientalis)              | 25.0           | 2    |

Fig.1 Various threats of *Apis cerana* Colonies in Ganderbal
Conclusion and recommendations

The Ganderbal district of Kashmir valley has tremendous scope for beekeeping and ample amount of bee forage is available from March to October. Apiculture has huge potential in the area as bees are vital pollinators of major fruit apple in the district on which major chunk of the population livelihood is dependent there are major constraints of beekeeping in the area like pests and predators. Secondly the non availability of minor equipments required is also a hindrance. The administrative bodies and agriculture department has to strive more towards improving the quality and adequacy of extension services in relation to beekeeping in the study district. Production, productivity and quality of honey shall be also increased by improving the management of the traditional beehives and introduce improved beehives or local lopun system in the area. The supplementary feeding in the form of sugar during dearth period can stop the bee absconding. Proper winter management to bee colonies will reduce the winter mortality upto great extent.
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