Cancer-associated fibroblasts and macrophages
Friendly conspirators for malignancy
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Inflammation, which is now recognized as an hallmark of cancer, is intimately linked to the reactivity of stromal fibroblasts. Accumulating evidence indicate that cancer-associated fibroblasts not only drive the epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metabolically sustain the growth of cancer cells, but also engage in a reciprocal relationship with M2 macrophages that dramatically boost malignancy.

Tumors are complex tissues in which different cellular compartments beyond the malignant one coexist, including fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and multiple immune cells such as tumor-infiltrating macrophages. These accessory cells engage with cancer cells in a complex network of interactions that change and evolve alongside malignant progression. Besides influencing their malignant counterparts, stromal cells are also able to modulate the behavior of each other, ultimately promoting tumor progression.1

Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) play an intriguing role in such context, as they are able to (1) deeply affect the behavior of cancer cells, by exerting trophic functions and favoring motility;2,3 (2) shape the reactivity of other stromal cells, by promoting their recruitment and modulating their physical and functional interaction within neoplastic lesions;4,5 (3) modify structural features of the tumor microenvironment, by promoting local acidification and secreting proteins that remodel the extracellular matrix;2,6 and (4) escort cancer cells in the bloodstream, granting their survival throughout the migration to metastatic sites.7 Indeed, CAFs have been reported to promote the epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) by activating an epigenetic transcriptional program that stimulates cancer cells to disengage from adhesive cell-to-cell interactions and achieve a mesenchymal motility, culminating in their escape from primary neoplastic lesions and metastasis.1,3 Such a transcriptional program is characterized by gene signatures that are associated with resistance to anoikis, granting for the survival of cancer cells that lack proper adhesion to the extracellular matrix while they circulate in the bloodstream/lymph and colonize new organs.8,9 Fascinatingly, the molecular pathways that promote the reactivity of CAFs and the EMT in cancer cells are very similar, as they engage redox signaling circuitries involving cyclooxygenase 2 (COX2), hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF1), and NFκB.8,9 In addition, CAFs not only stimulate cancer cells to express stem cell markers like CD133 or CD44, enhancing their anchorage-independent growth potential and tumor-repopulating ability,5 but also influence their metabolic profile. Indeed, the interaction between CAFs and cancer cells shift the latter toward a respiratory metabolism, a process known as reverse Warburg effect. In this context, malignant cells utilize the lactate produced by CAFs to produce ATP. Thus, CAFs play a major trophic role for cancer cells, maintaining them in an optimal bioenergetic status that sustains anabolic metabolism and hence tumor growth.2

Inflammation is nowadays acknowledged as an hallmark of cancer, and several tumor-associated cells appear to operate in this sense to favor tumor progression, including CAFs and macrophages. Recent immunological studies have identified 2 divergent functional states of macrophages: “classically” activated (M1) and “alternatively” activated (M2) macrophages. M1 macrophages produce high amounts of inflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species, thus orchestrating an immune response that exert antineoplastic effects. Conversely, M2 macrophages mainly promote tissue repair and angiogenesis, thus favor malignant progression.10 At least in some setting, including aggressive prostate carcinomas, CAFs actively promote the recruitment of monocytes to the tumor site and their differentiation toward M2 macrophages, mostly as they secrete stromal-derived factor 1 (SDF1).5 Noteworthy, the interaction between M2 macrophages and CAFs is reciprocal, as the former are able to affect the reactivity of the latter and promote their transition toward myofibroblasts.

CAFs and M2 macrophages also interact to affect the functional profile of other
tumor-infiltrating M2 macrophages resemble CAFs in their ability to affect the bioenergetic metabolism of cancer cells. Nothing more than an attractive hypothesis at the moment, this idea is supported by the ability of macrophage by-products to impact the metabolism of other cells, as previously demonstrated in the setting of obesity-associated pathologies. Thus, a complex and intimately interrelated ensemble of stromal cells appears to actively participate in tumor progression as it allow malignant cells to expand locally in spite of adverse microenvironmental conditions, invade surrounding tissues, trespass endothelial barriers, survive in the bloodstream, and generate metastases at distant sites, thanks to acquisition of stem cell-like properties. The reaction of the scientific community Remarkably, cancer cells are able to complete their diabolic escalation by affecting the reactivity of CAFs as well as by promoting the M2 polarization, mostly through the secretion of pro-inflammatory factors such as interleukin 6 (IL-6) and monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (monocyte chemoattractant protein 1) (Fig. 1). In support of the translational relevance of these observations, the analysis of prostate carcinoma patients at different stages of the disease revealed a raise in the reactivity of stromal fibroblasts as well as in the M2/M1 macrophage ratio that clearly correlated with clinicopathological parameters, including overall survival and biochemical recurrence-free survival. As metabolic adaptation is a key component of macrophage plasticity and M1/M2 polarization, it is likely that tumor-infiltrating M2 macrophages resemble CAFs in their ability to affect the bioenergetic metabolism of cancer cells. Nothing more than an attractive hypothesis at the moment, this idea is supported by the ability of macrophage by-products to impact the metabolism of other cells, as previously demonstrated in the setting of obesity-associated pathologies. Thus, a complex and intimately interrelated ensemble of stromal cells appears to actively participate in tumor progression as it allow malignant cells to expand locally in spite of adverse microenvironmental conditions, invade surrounding tissues, trespass endothelial barriers, survive in the bloodstream, and generate metastases at distant sites, thanks to acquisition of stem cell-like properties. The reaction of the scientific community
to this diabolic task-force, synergistically promoting malignancy, should be to design original strategies that simultaneously target inflammatory cells and stromal fibroblasts, hence exerting superior therapeutic effect. In particular, strategies to reeducate M2 macrophages and hence reestablish their antitumor activity, which are currently undergoing preclinical evaluation, could benefit from the concurrent administration of anti-inflammatory molecules, aimed at limiting the reactivity of CAFs as well as at preventing the EMT in cancer cells.
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