Prostate Cancer Screening and Young Black Men: Can Early Communication Avoid Later Health Disparities?
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Abstract
This study aims to determine if younger men, across racial and ethnic groups, discussed the benefits/risks/harms of PSA screening with health care professionals. Publicly available data were obtained from the Health Information National Trends Survey https://hints.cancer.gov/in March 2019. Cross-sectional analysis of 518 men between the ages of 18 and 49 years from men who completed the survey between October 2011 and February 2012 (HINTS cycle 4) was performed. We used logistic regression to evaluate the association between race/ethnicity and discussions around PSA. Less than 10% of the participants reported a prior PSA; Black and Hispanic men were more likely compared with White men. Compared with White men, Black and other race men reported receiving less communications from some doctors recommending PSA screening (ORblack: 0.16, 95% CIblack: 0.07-0.38; ORother: 0.10, 95% CIother: 0.04-0.25), and that no one is sure PSA testing saves lives (ORblack: 0.49, 95% CIblack: 0.04-6.91; ORother: 0.17, 95% CIother: 0.06-0.48). Minority men, while more likely to have had a PSA, were less likely to be told of the harms and benefits of PSA testing, compared with White men. Increasing communication surrounding screening advantages and disadvantages between providers and patients can increase awareness and knowledge among younger men. In a post-COVID-19 environment, communication regarding the return to preventative screenings within vulnerable populations is an important message to convey. Research shows preventive screenings have dropped across all population groups due to the pandemic yet the decline disproportionately affects Black and other minority men.
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Introduction
Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most commonly diagnosed cancer and the second leading cause of death among all men in the USA, with an estimated 190,000 new cases and over 33,000 deaths in 2020 [1]. Data from the nationally representative Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) program show that Black men have an increased risk of overall prostate cancer and a 2-fold increased risk of dying from prostate cancer, compared to White men [2, 3]. Health disparities in PCa remain significant contributors to negative outcomes in quality of life and morbidity for Black men. The reasons for PCa disparities are likely multifactorial, including access to care and biological mechanisms.

Prostate cancer-specific antigen (PSA)-based screening, measuring the level of PSA in the blood, was approved by the FDA in 1994 to test asymptomatic men for prostate cancer. In that recommendation, the USPSTF suggested the use of informed decision-making in patient-provider communications on case-by-case basis. In 2018, updated recommendations more explicitly state that men aged 55-69 should discuss the benefits and harms of screening with their provider [5].
These screening recommendations promulgated by the USPSTF have created a conundrum for health care providers. Following supposedly detailed discussions with their health care provider, men are to share in the decision-making process as it relates to initiation and termination of screening, incorporating their values and preferences into the decision. Using data from the Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS), Leyva and colleagues [6] found among older men, discussions between providers and their patients were less likely to occur when the patient was Black or had lower educational achievement. Other studies report similar conclusions regarding the lack of discussions between health care providers and patients on PCa screening and treatment options [7–9]. In these studies, the focus has mainly been older adult males, typically >55 and above.

Guidelines from the American Cancer Society recommend that men receive information regarding PCa somewhere between the ages of 40 and 50 [10]. This is particularly recommended for those men at high risk for developing PCa, such as men with a family history of the disease or those of African ancestry. Racial disparities relative to diagnosis and treatment options for PCa among minority men in general and Black men in particular are well known and continue to have long-term adverse consequences for mortality and morbidity among that population. Black men are more likely to have a longer screening interval before diagnosis [11] and are less likely to receive appropriate treatment when diagnosed with PCa [12]. It is unknown if younger minority men (< 55 years) have received any information regarding PCa from their health providers or participated in PCa screening. Therefore, we sought to examine if younger men, particularly those under the recommended age for PCa screening to begin, discussed with their health care providers the benefits, risks, and potential harms of PCa screening using the nationally representative HINTS study.

Methods

Study Population

We obtained publicly accessible data from the Health Information National Trends Survey (HINTS) (https://hints.cancer.gov/). The HINTS collects cross-sectional data from a nationally representative random sample of the adult (18+) population about cancer-related information using computer-assisted telephone interviews. The HINTS began collecting data in 2003 and is administered by the National Cancer Institute (NCI). Additional background on the HINTS is described by Nelson et al. [13].

For this analysis, we used data from HINTS 4 cycle 1. Data from this questionnaire were collected between October 2011 and February 2012. We restricted the study population to male respondents (n = 1552) and excluded those with a prior history of prostate cancer (n = 77). Finally, because we were interested in the experience of younger men, we restricted our analytic population to those aged 18-49 years (n = 518).

Measures of Shared Decision-making and Communication

Questions assessing communication and shared decision-making between men and their provider included the following: (1) Has a doctor ever told you that you could choose whether or not to have the PSA test?; (2) Has a doctor or other health care professional ever told you that no one is sure if using the PSA test actually saves lives?; and (3) Has a doctor or other health care professional told you that some doctors recommend the PSA test and others do not?. These questions have been characterized as a physician’s discussion of advantages, disadvantages, and uncertainty associated with the PCa screening [9]. Additionally, we assessed the use of PSA testing among this younger population of men at risk of prostate cancer by analyzing the question: “Have you ever had a PSA test?” Response choices to these questions were “yes,” “no,” and “not sure”.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated (means and frequencies) comparing demographic characteristics for the different PSA-related questions. We conducted multivariable logistic regression to calculate odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for the association between race, categorized as non-Hispanic White, non-Hispanic Black, Hispanic, and non-Hispanic other, and each measure of shared decision-making and communication, adjusting for age, insurance, urban/rural, marital status, income, education, and trust in doctor. All statistical analyses were conducted in SAS v 9.4 9 (SAS, Cary, NC) taking into account the survey weights and survey design of the HINTS.

Results

Among the 518 men who met the eligibility criteria for this study, the majority were 18-34 years old (53.6%). In addition, 61% were non-Hispanic White and 11.5% non-Hispanic Black. The majority of participants were also living in an urban area (86.1%), had insurance (72.9%), had a regular doctor (65.6%), and had a lot of trust in their doctor (70.4%) (Table 1).

Less than 10% of the younger participants had ever had a PSA test (8.5%) and 11% reported receiving information about a choice surrounding PSA testing. Furthermore, less than 5% of participants reported knowing that some doctors
recommend the PSA test (4.3%) and 2.7% reported that their doctor ever told them no one is sure if using the PSA test actually saves lives (Table 1).

Among younger men, non-Hispanic Black men were more likely to report ever having had a PSA test, compared with non-Hispanic White men but this result did not reach statistical significance (Table 2). Similarly, non-Hispanic Black men were more likely to report having a doctor tell them one could choose whether or not to have the PSA test (OR: 3.13, 95% CI: 0.88-11.18). However, non-Hispanic Black and other minority men were less likely to report being told that some doctors recommend a PSA test and others do not as well as being told that no one is sure PSA testing saves lives (Table 2). To compare our results to previously published papers [6], we also conducted the analysis among older men (50-74 years). We found, contrary to the results among younger men, non-Hispanic Black men (OR: 0.34, 95% CI: 0.14-0.81) and Hispanic men (OR: 0.88, 95% CI: 0.26-3.01) were less likely to report being told that you could choose whether or not to have the PSA test, compared with non-Hispanic White men.

### Table 1 Characteristics of young men (aged 18-49) in the HINTS 4 cycle 1

| Weighted % | 95% CI   |
|------------|---------|
| **Age**    |         |
| 18-34      | 53.6    | 52.7-54.5 |
| 35-39      | 14.9    | 14.3-15.6 |
| 40-44      | 15.6    | 15.2-16.0 |
| 45-49      | 15.9    | 14.8-17.0 |
| **Race**   |         |
| Non-Hispanic White | 61.1 | 59.6-62.7 |
| Non-Hispanic Black | 11.5 | 7.8-15.1 |
| Hispanic   | 17.2    | 12.9-21.5 |
| Non-Hispanic other | 10.2 | 7.2-13.2 |
| **Marital status** |       |         |
| Married, cohabitation | 53.9 | 51.2-56.5 |
| Not married | 47.1  | 45.5-48.8 |
| **Household income** |       |         |
| <$20,000   | 20.3    | 13.1-27.4 |
| $20,001-$35,000 | 20.3 | 13.8-26.9 |
| $35,001-$50,000 | 11.0 | 7.6-14.5 |
| $50,001-$75,000 | 18.8 | 14.3-23.3 |
| >$75,000  | 29.6    | 24.9-34.3 |
| **Education** |       |         |
| <HS/GED   | 12.2    | 10.0-14.5 |
| HS diploma/GED | 26.6 | 20.8-30.3 |
| Some college | 31.9 | 28.1-35.7 |
| College graduate or higher | 29.7 | 27.1-32.3 |
| **Insurance** |       |         |
| Yes       | 72.9    | 68.0-77.8 |
| No        | 27.1    | 22.3-32.0 |
| **Regular doctor** |       |         |
| Yes       | 65.6    | 59.5-71.7 |
| No        | 34.4    | 28.3-40.5 |
| **Living in metropolitan area** |       |         |
| Urban     | 86.1    | 82.1-90.0 |
| Rural     | 13.9    | 10.0-17.9 |
| **Family history of any cancer** |       |         |
| Yes       | 30.6    | 23.3-37.9 |
| No        | 69.4    | 62.1-76.6 |
| **Trust a doctor?** |       |         |
| A little or not at all | 6.1 | 2.6-9.6 |
| Some      | 23.5    | 17.8-29.2 |
| A lot     | 70.4    | 64.5-76.3 |
| **Ever had PSA test(s)?** |       |         |
| Yes       | 8.5     | 6.4-10.6 |
| No        | 91.5    | 89.4-93.6 |
| **Has a doctor ever told you that you could choose whether or not to have the PSA test?** |       |         |
| Yes       | 11.0    | 8.4-13.6 |
| No        | 89.0    | 86.4-91.6 |
| **Has a doctor or other health care professional told you that some doctors recommend the PSA test and others do not?** |       |         |
| Yes       | 4.3     | 2.7-5.8  |
| No        | 95.7    | 94.2-97.3 |
| **Has a doctor or other health care professional ever told you that no one is sure if using the PSA test actually saves lives?** |       |         |
| Yes       | 2.7     | 1.4-3.9  |
| No        | 97.3    | 96.1-98.6 |

Discussion and Conclusions

In this study, we found that among younger men, 8% reported having ever had a PSA test, and Black and Hispanic men were more likely to report having had a prior PSA, compared with non-Hispanic White men. However, we also found that Black men were less likely to be told of the harms and benefits of the PSA test, including whether or not medical professionals recommend the test and its potential effectiveness in saving lives. These findings lend support to the idea that ineffective communication between some health care providers and Black men regarding PCa and PSA screening in particular contributes to lack of shared decision-making, and may contribute to observed racial disparities in disease epidemiology and outcome [7]. Improving communication by both clinicians and community-based educators [9] is essential to closing the disparity gap with this disease.

Black men are more likely to have a longer screening interval before diagnosis [11] and are less likely to receive appropriate treatment when diagnosed with PCa [12]. Indeed, Black men are 2.20 times more likely to die from PCa than non-Hispanic White men [1]. Taken together, these findings strongly suggest that Black men with at least moderate risk tumors and a life expectancy of more than 10 years would likely benefit from early detection and treatment [13]. Current data [7, 14] clearly indicate the benefit of aggressive PCa screening in the Black population. However, in order for patients to participate in truly informed decision-making, it is imperative for there to be mechanisms put into place to educate high-risk populations of the benefits, risks, and harms of screening and treatment.

Due to COVID-19 implications, there has been a significant reduction in preventive cancer screenings and diagnoses since lockdowns were instituted in response to the pandemic.
Table 2  Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals for the association between race and PSA testing and shared decision-making

|                | Ever had PSA test(s)? OR (95% CI)* | Has a doctor ever told you that you could choose whether or not to have the PSA test? OR (95% CI)* | Has a doctor or other health care professional told you that some doctors recommend the PSA test and others do not? OR (95% CI)* | Has a doctor or other health care professional ever told you that no one is sure if using the PSA test actually saves lives? OR (95% CI)** |
|----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Non-Hispanic White | 1.00                               | 1.00                                                                                           | 1.00                                                                                           | 1.00                                                                                           |
| Non-Hispanic Black | 2.28 (0.64-8.15)                   | 3.13 (0.88-11.18)                                                                              | 0.16 (0.07-0.38)                                                                              | 0.49 (0.04-6.91)                                                                              |
| Hispanic        | 1.98 (0.62-6.34)                   | 2.51 (0.82-7.75)                                                                               | 0.34 (0.02-5.15)                                                                              | 0.63 (0.05-7.69)                                                                              |
| Non-Hispanic other | 0.23 (0.01-4.42)                   | 0.74 (0.20-2.61)                                                                               | 0.10 (0.04-0.25)                                                                              | 0.17 (0.06-0.48)                                                                              |

*Models adjusted for age, insurance, urban/rural, marital status, income, education, and trust in doctor

**Model adjusted for age

[15, 16]. In a post-COVID environment, it will be essential for health care providers to educate and inform vulnerable populations of the need to return to or begin participation in cancer screenings or the potential for further increases in health disparities associated with PCa and other health conditions will continue to increase.

Prior studies have shown differences between Black and non-Black men surrounding knowledge of PCa and PSA screening [17–22], as well as likelihood of PSA screening prior to diagnosis. Carter et al. [11] utilizing the SEER database found that Blacks were less likely to have received PSA screening prior to being diagnosed. Additionally, Black men had higher odds of being diagnosed with a more advanced stage of cancer thus limiting treatment options in certain situations. Similarly, Sammon et al. [23], using the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) data, found that non-Hispanic White men reported higher rates of PSA screening than Black men. However, similar to our study, they also showed that Black men between the ages of 40 and 60 were more likely to have had a prior PSA test in the preceding year than non-Hispanic White men.

In order for individuals to participate actively and knowledgeably in decisions regarding PSA screening, health care providers must provide an adequate level of patient counseling regarding the natural history of PCa and the risks and benefits of screening. However, the literature suggests that Black men across the age spectrum possess limited knowledge regarding PCa [21, 22, 24], which in turn limits their ability to effectively communicate and participate in shared decision-making with their providers. Early intervention, including addressing deficiencies in knowledge of PCa and PSA screening at a younger age, may mitigate the disparities in disease outcomes that become apparent later in life. Indeed, PCa among younger men is a growing challenge with data showing increased incidence of PCa in younger men (<55) increased from 5.6 to 32 cases per 100,000 between 1986 and 2008, a change that was more pronounced than that among older men; younger men diagnosed with PCa also have lower survival rates. Therefore, younger men may benefit from earlier screening for PCa. However, research assessing the level of knowledge of younger men is limited in the current literature [21, 22]. A prior study by Ogusanya et al. [22] found Black men between the ages of 18 and 40 possessed less knowledge of PCa compared to that of older men. We similarly found that although Black men were more likely to have had a PSA test, they were less likely to have had conversations about the pros and cons of screening, compared to non-Hispanic White men. As Ogunsanya and colleagues posit, assessing the knowledge of younger men may contribute to the development of strategies that can improve PCa awareness among high-risk and yet underserved populations of men.

The continued controversy surrounding PCa screening recommendations needs further examination as well. Organizations such as the American Cancer Society along with Black physicians’ groups have voiced opposition as well as recommended earlier and universal screening for high-risk populations (i.e., Black men) beginning at earlier ages. It must be kept in mind the USPSTF recommendations were based on studies in which there were insufficient numbers of Black men participating [7, 25].

The current study further investigates the impact of patient-provider communication on the likelihood of PSA screening. Examining and understanding patient-provider communication (and deficiencies therein) with men prior to the recommended screening age for PCa may identify interventions for both patients and providers that can ultimately improve disease outcomes. Our study was limited by the cross-sectional nature of the design and the use of secondary data. We could only analyze the questions asked of the respondents. Nonetheless, given the nationally representative sampling used, we believe the findings shed additional insight into the discussions between providers and young men, particularly those of color, regarding PSA screening.
PCa disparities remain even as the incidence of the condition has held steady or declined slightly over the decades. As research into biological and environmental factors associated with the disease expands, increasing the communication between the providers may serve to further the awareness and knowledge of the patients. This clearly targeted communication may further reduce the persistent gap between Black and White men regarding PCa. It is imperative to continue examining patient-provider communication regarding health information particularly when discussing matters involving cancer screening and assessing family history of the disease. Early exposure to information regarding screening options, advantages, and disadvantages could facilitate younger men seeking additional information on their own.
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