We present a non-perturbative study of the massive Schwinger model. We use a Hamiltonian approach, based on a momentum lattice corresponding to a fast moving reference frame, and equal time quantization.

1. INTRODUCTION

The most important non-perturbative approach to study QCD is lattice gauge theory. However, there are some observables, where computational progress in lattice gauge theory has been slow. Examples are: Finite density thermodynamics (quark-gluon plasma), exited states of the mass spectrum (hadrons and mesons), dynamical scattering calculations of cross sections and hadron structure functions, in particular in the region of small $Q^2$ and small $x_B$ ($10^{-2}$ to $10^{-5}$). A non-perturbative Hamiltonian approach may be a viable alternative. The Hamiltonian method does have some advantages over the Lagrangian method. It is relatively simple to obtain wavefunctions of the hadronic states, and it is likely that some physical information on the glueballs, e.g., the wavefunction can be derived in this formulation more easily \cite{1,2}. In deep inelastic lepton-hadron scattering, the success of the parton model suggests the physical idea to use a fast moving frame also for a computational study of those processes. The parton model can be justified using the operator product expansion. In equal-time quantization, the Breit-frame is the most convenient choice of frame in order to interpret structure functions. In Ref.\cite{3} the authors have proposed such a scheme based on equal-time quantization, using a lattice Hamiltonian on a momentum lattice corresponding to a fast moving frame (Breit-frame). It has been applied to the scalar $\phi^4$ theory in 3+1 dimensions. Distribution functions and the mass spectrum in the close neighbourhood of the critical line of the second order phase transition have been computed. Here we study a simple gauge theory, namely $QED_{1+1}$, the so-called massive Schwinger model, in this framework \cite{5}. The purpose of this work is to show: (a) The use of a fast moving frame, such that $v < c$, in conjunction with equal-time quantization works well also in $QED_{1+1}$. We obtain quite precise results in the ultra-relativistic region $m/g \to 0$. (b) We consider as most important new results of this work the non-perturbative results of the dependence of the vector and scalar mass on the $\theta$-angle.

2. Method

Starting from the Lagrangian, we use the axial gauge $A^3 = 0$ to obtain the Hamiltonian

$$H = \int_{-L}^{L} dx^3 (\bar{\psi} \gamma^3 i \partial_3 \psi + \bar{\psi} \psi) + \frac{g^2}{2} \int_{-L}^{L} dx^3 (\psi^\dagger \psi) \frac{1}{-\partial_3^2} (\psi^\dagger \psi).$$

(1)

One introduces a space-time lattice given by spacing $a$ with $N = \frac{2L}{a}$ lattice nodes. Via discrete Fourier transformation one goes over to a momentum lattice, with cut-off $\Lambda = \frac{2\pi}{a}$ and resolution $\Delta p = \frac{\pi}{L}$. Motivated by the parton picture we make the assumption that left-moving particles are not dynamically important, if physical particles are considered from a reference frame characterized by a velocity $v = \frac{P}{E}$ which is close
to the velocity of light. We thus consider a momentum lattice where $0 \leq p^0, p^3 \leq P$. In order to minimize the number of virtual particle pairs created from the vacuum, we choose a small lattice size. The reason for this is that the number of vacuum pairs is roughly proportional to a vacuum density times the lattice size. On the other hand, a fast-moving physical particle is Lorentz contracted; thus it fits into a small lattice volume (when compared to the rest-frame). For the purpose of computing the mass spectrum, we need to determine the vacuum energy. Because the vacuum has the quantum number $\vec{P} = 0$, the vacuum energy (and only this) is computed in the rest frame. Because the model is super-renormalizable, one can perform the continuum limit $a \to 0$. The only renormalization necessary is the subtraction of the vacuum energy. On a space-time lattice, one has to satisfy a physical condition (scaling window) $a < \xi a < L$, where $\xi$ is the correlation length in dimensionless units, related to the physical mass of the ground state by $M = \frac{1}{\xi a}$. In a strongly relativistic system, $M \ll P$, thus when $a \to 0$ the scaling window is replaced by $1/P < 1/M < L$. For more details compare with Ref. [3].

3. Numerical results

3.1. Mass spectrum

We diagonalize the Hamiltonian in a sector with momentum $\vec{P} = 0$ to obtain the vacuum energy $E_{\text{vac}}$. Then we diagonalize the Hamiltonian in a sector $\vec{P} \neq 0$ corresponding to a relativistic velocity. This yields an energy spectrum $E'_n$. The physical energies are obtained from $E_n = E'_n - E_{\text{vac}}$. The mass spectrum is then given by $M_n = \sqrt{E_n^2 - \vec{P}^2}$. The low-lying states of the massive Schwinger model are a vector state and next a scalar state. The mass of the vector boson in the chiral region is shown in Fig. 1. The vector particle is almost entirely a fermion-antifermion ($q\bar{q}$) bound state. We find good agreement with chiral perturbation theory [7] and with finite lattice results by Hamer et al. [6].

3.2. Dependence on $\theta$-angle

The massive Schwinger model has $\theta$-vacua and one can study its $\theta$-action. The wavefunction is invariant under local gauge transformations. The $\theta$-angle characterizes the behavior of the wavefunction under global gauge transformations $\Psi[A] \to e^{in\theta} \Psi[A]$, where $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots$. The mass spectrum of low-lying states as a function of the $\theta$-angle is shown in Fig. 2. The results are in
agreement with first order chiral perturbation theory in the ultra-relativistic regime ($m/g < 0.04$ for $N = 6$ and $m/g < 0.01$ for $N = 24$). The Feynman-Hellmann theorem relates the fermion condensate $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$ to the derivative of the vacuum energy. Similarly holds for the vector state

$$\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle = \frac{M_v^{(0)}}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} M_v \bigg|_{m=0} \quad (2)$$

Extracting the slope $\partial M_v/\partial m$ from our data we obtain $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle / g = 0.16 \cos(\theta)$ while the exact solution of the massless Schwinger model gives a factor $\frac{e^2}{2\pi \sqrt{\pi}} \approx 0.1599$ on the r.h.s.

### 3.3. Distribution functions

In the Hamiltonian approach it is easy to compute the wavefunction of a low-lying state. From the wavefunction one can obtain information on its parton structure, i.e., the number of partons and their momentum distribution. The distribution function of the vector boson is given by

$$f(x_B) = \langle \Psi_v(P) | \frac{1}{2} \left[ b^\dagger_k b_k + d^\dagger_k d_k \right] | \Psi_v(P) \rangle, (3)$$

where $x_B = k/P$ is the fraction of momentum of the vector boson carried by the parton, i.e., In Fig. 3 we display lattice results of the distribution function for $m/g = 0$ to 0.28. In the massless limit the distribution function has the shape of a box. Our results are compared with variational calculations using the infinite-momentum frame by Bergknoff and also with those by Mo and Perry using the light-cone. The most sensitive region is the ultra-relativistic region. We find agreement in shape with Mo and Perry’s results and very good agreement with Bergknoff’s results.

### 4. Summary

In this work we have applied a Hamiltonian lattice approach in a fast moving reference frame to study the massive Schwinger model. We find that the method works well for the computation of the low-lying mass spectrum and distribution functions. It works also in the presence of the $\theta$-action. Here we have investigated only $\theta = 0, \pi$. More $\theta$-angles can be studied, e.g. by adding a small number of negative momentum states to the basis.
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