Comparative Analysis of Gut Microbiota Changes in Père David’s Deer Populations in Beijing Milu Park and Shishou, Hubei Province in China
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This study used 16S rRNA high-throughput sequencing technology to examine the differences in gut microbiota between the Père David’s deer populations in the Beijing and Shishou areas of China in order to understand the effects of ex situ conservation on the intestinal microflora in the Père David’s deer.

Results: On the phylum level, the main bacteria found in the Père David’s deer populations from both areas were similar: Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. However, the relative abundances of the two groups were significantly different. Alpha diversity results indicated that there was a difference in the evenness of the microflora between the two groups, and the beta diversity results further indicated that there was a significant difference in the microflora structure between the two groups.

Conclusions: During the ex situ conservation process of the Père David’s deer, their food sources may change, resulting in differences in the gut microbiota. The intestinal microflora in the Père David’s deer from the same area are clustered. Therefore, the impact of changes in food on the gut microbiota of the Père David’s deer should be taken into consideration during ex situ conservation.
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INTRODUCTION

The Père David’s deer (Elaphurus davidianus or milu) first appeared in the early Pleistocene epoch and was a typical deer in the northern regions of China. It became extinct in China around 1900, but was bred again at the Beijing Milu Ecological Research Center in 1985 (Zhong et al., 2015). The Père David’s deer is currently considered an endangered species (Bai et al., 2012). Initially, the number of Père David’s deer in China was 79, but today there are more than 6,000 Père David’s deer spread over 70 places throughout the country. The Père David’s deer has increased significantly in number and become the representative species for the restoration and revival of endangered species in China (Zhang et al., 2012). The deer has been breeding in ex situ conservation areas, such as Dafeng, Jiangsu Province, Shishou, Hubei Province, Cixi Wetland, Zhejiang Province, Qianshan Deer Farm in the city of Liaoyang, and Mulan Weichang in Hebei Province (Zhang and Zhang, 2013). To better protect the re-introduced population and eventually release the captive deer, many
domestic and foreign studies have focused on the feeding and management (Chen et al., 2004; Li K. et al., 2007; Meng et al., 2010), genetic breeding (Li et al., 2005a,b; Ding et al., 2009), and populations (Jiang et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2009) of the Père David’s Deer. As their population increases, the incidence of disease has also risen. Bacteria such as Clostridium perfringens (Yang et al., 2004; Li C. X. et al., 2007; Zhong et al., 2007), pathogenic Escherichia coli (Wang et al., 1991; Zhong et al., 2007), Clostridium septicum (Ding, 2004), and Pasteurella (Yang et al., 2004) are a serious threat to the survival of the deer, and they normally infect Père David’s deer in combinations. Zhang et al. (1997) analyzed the death patterns of the Père David’s deer and found that the peak period of deaths was in the seasons of winter and spring. They considered digestive tract diseases to be the primary factor for the deaths of the Père David’s deer in captivity. However, there are currently no detailed studies on the intestinal microorganisms in Père David’s deer.

The gut microbiota is a collective term for microorganisms that live in the digestive tracts of animals, and refers to the microbial diversity formed between intestinal microorganisms and their host and environment. This diversity is interdependent, interinhibitive, and relatively stable. Unlike single-stomach animals, ruminants have a large forestomach, and the microbes are closely related to the production and health of ruminants (Malmuthuge and Le, 2017). The digestion of ruminants depends on the complex microbiota in the rumen. The rumen is a feed processing plant in ruminant, and 70 to 85% of the digestible and 50% of the crude fibers in the feed are digested in the rumen. The gut microbiota is closely related to the nutritional metabolism and immune system of their host species, and an important factor in the maintenance of its health. The gut microbiome helps maintain the immune and digestive systems of the host species. Once damaged, it could lead to various diseases (Buddington and Sangild, 2011; Chinen and Rudensky, 2015; Ding et al., 2017). Most studies on the gut microbiota are based on fecal samples. Fecal microbial data reflect the overall status of microbial communities in the intestinal tract (Li C. X. et al., 2007), and are easily collectible and non-destructive. Studying the structural characteristics of the gut microbiota in Père David’s deer allows us to understand their health status and provide scientific data for their ex situ conservation.

Studies have shown that the interaction between animals and microorganisms inside them as well as their living environment determine the state of health or disease in the animal’s body (Wei, 2008). The many differences in the hydrothermal conditions and food and nutritional statuses in Père David’s deer breeding areas may lead to variances in the profile of their gut microbiota, which has an impact on their health. Therefore, analyzing and comparing the differences in the gut microbiota of Père David’s deer in different breeding areas will increase our understanding of changes in the composition of gut microbiota in Père David’s deer populations in ex situ conservation sites and provide a scientific reference for us to manually improve and evaluate the health of the Père David’s deer in different areas.

Therefore, this study applied 16S rRNA high-throughput sequencing to analyze Père David’s deer feces in the Beijing and Shishou areas. The 16S rRNA sequencing technology combines the advantages of high-throughput sequencing and bacterial identification based on 16S rRNA genes. This facilitates the integrated study of the structures and functions of mixed bacterial strains in complex samples, rendering it possible to compare the structural differences of the gut microbiota in different regions with higher accuracy. This was conducted to enable the comparison of changes and differences of gut microbiota between the ex situ conservation population of semi-wild Père David’s deer in Shishou, Hubei and their counterparts in Beijing Milu Park, to understand the changes in gut microbiota of Père David’s deer during ex situ conservation, and to provide scientific guidance for such conservation. The study has great significance for the understanding of the health status of Père David’s deer in ex situ conservation reserves, the exploration of the most suitable environment for the deer’s survival, and the improvement of the success rate of ex situ conservation of Père David’s deer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Basic Facts of the Research Areas

Table below Information on Beijing Nanhaizi Père David’s deer Park and Hubei Shishou Père David’s deer National Nature Reserve (Liu et al., 2011).

|                | Beijing Nanhaizi Père David’s deer Park | Hubei Shishou Père David’s deer National Nature Reserve |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Geographic coordinates | N 39°46′ E 116°26′                      | N 29°49′ E 112°33′                                   |
| Annual average temperature | 12.6°C                                  | 16.5°C                                                |
| Relative humidity | 65%                                     | 80%                                                   |
| Annual average precipitation | 620 mm                                 | 1,200 mm                                              |
| Altitude | 31.5 m                                  | 32.9–38.4 m                                          |
| Area | 60 hm²                                   | 1,567 hm²                                             |
| Vegetation | Aquatic vegetation, shrubs, arboreal forest | Poplar forest, reed swamps, grassland, Chinese willow (Salix matsudana), shrubs |
| Population | 180                                     | 550                                                   |

The geographical information of the Beijing Nanhaizi Milu Park (the Beijing area) and the Hubei Shishou Milu National Nature Reserve (the Shishou area) is outlined in the respective tables above. In 1993, 1994, and 2002, 30, 34, and 30 Père David’s deer, respectively, were brought to the Shishou reserve from the Beijing Milu Park. Breeding populations were established and allowed to range freely in enclosures.

The Shishou area is situated in a sub-tropical monsoon climate zone, where summers are hot and winters are cold and dry. Precipitation is relatively heavy in spring, early summer and late autumn. The eight major vegetation populations are Populus nigra var. italica/Phragmites communis, Salix matusdana, Phragmites communis / Miscanthus floridulus, Cynodon dactylon, Leonurus artemisia, Scirpus triqueter, Scirpus
This study collected Père David’s deer feces in the spring of 2017. In spring, the dominant species in the Père David’s deer feeding areas in the Shishou area are Phragmites communis, Roegneria kamoji, Cydonia dactylon, Hydrocotyle sibthorpioides, Leersi hexandra, Imperata cylindrical, and Carex argyi Levl. et Vant.

The Beijing area is situated in a temperate and semi-humid monsoon zone, where the four seasons are distinct. Summers are hot and rainy, while winters are cold and dry. The dominant tree in the Père David’s deer activity areas is Salix matsudana, while the dominant plant species include Medicago sativa Linn., Eleusine indica, Eragrostis cilianensis, Digitaria sanguinalis, and Setaria viridis. Due to the limitations of climate conditions, manual feeding is conducted in Beijing Milu Park in spring. The major components of the concentrated feed is 50% corn, 26% soybean meal, 11% bran, 10% barley, 2% calcium hydrogen phosphate, and 1% sea salt. Corn and barley are common feed crops that are rich in nutrients such as sugars, protein, and fat. Soybean meal is a by-product of soybean oil extraction and one of the major protein feeds for animals. Bran is a by-product obtained from the processing of wheat to flour and contains a large amount of vitamins.

Animals and Sample Collection

Twelve healthy adult Père David’s deer were selected from each of the Shishou and Beijing areas (Between the ages of 4 and 8, the body weight is 120–180 kg, six female elk and six male elk.), and ear tags were used to distinguish each individual deer. Sampling at the same time in mid-march 2017. Having cleaned the Père David’s deer habitat the previous night, fresh fecal samples were collected in the early morning. Large, fresh, and relatively intact pieces of feces were collected. Disposable sterile gloves were worn when collecting samples to avoid human contamination. Samples were stored in sterile centrifuge tubes immediately after collection and sealed to avoid cross-contamination between samples. Immediately after sampling, all fresh fecal samples were stored in liquid nitrogen and returned to the laboratory, where they were stored at −80°C until DNA was extracted.

DNA Extraction and Purification

Total bacterial DNA was extracted with the QIAamp DNA Stool Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The integrity of the nucleic acids were determined visually by electrophoresis on a 1.0% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide. The concentration and purity of each DNA extract were determined using a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, United States). The extracted total DNA was preserved at −80°C.

MetaVxTM Library Preparation and Illumina MiSeq Sequencing

Next generation sequencing library preparations and Illumina MiSeq sequencing were conducted at GENEWIZ, Inc. (Suzhou, China). DNA samples were quantified using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, United States). The 40–60 ng DNA was used to generate amplicons using a MetaVxTM Library Preparation kit (GENEWIZ, Inc., South Plainfield, NJ, United States). V3, V4 hypervariable regions of microbial 16S rDNA and ITS1 regions of fungus were selected for generating amplicons and following taxonomy analysis. GENEWIZ designed a panel of proprietary primers aimed at relatively conserved regions bordering the V3, V4, and ITS1 hypervariable regions of the bacterial and archaeal 16S rRNA gene and fungus gene. (For samples containing eukaryotic DNA, only V3 and V4 regions will be amplified). The V3 and V4 regions were amplified using forward primers containing the sequence “ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCA” and reverse primers containing the sequence “GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT.” The ITS1 regions were amplified using forward primers containing the sequence “CTTGGTCATTAGAGGAAGTAA” and reverse primers containing the sequence “GCTGCGTTTCTTATCGATGC.” The first round PCR, respectively, amplified the V3–V4 and ITS1 regions to obtain the target fragment and part of the adapters sequence, and the second round PCR mixed the first round PCR amplification products. At the same time, indexed adapters were added to the ends of the 16S rDNA amplicons to generate indexed libraries ready for downstream NGS sequencing on Illumina Miseq. DNA libraries were validated by Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, United States), and quantified by Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer. DNA libraries were multiplexed and loaded on an Illumina MiSeq instrument according to manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States). Sequencing was performed using a 2300/250 paired end (PE) configuration; image analysis and base calling were conducted by the MiSeq Control Software (MCS) embedded in the MiSeq instrument.

Data Analysis

The QIIME data analysis package was used for 16S rRNA data analysis. The forward and reverse reads were joined and assigned to samples based on barcode and truncated by cutting off the barcode and primer sequence. Quality filtering on joined sequences was performed and sequence which did not fulfill the following criteria were discarded: sequence length > 200 bp, no ambiguous bases, mean quality score 20. Then the sequences were compared with the reference database (RDP Gold database) using UCHIME algorithm to detect chimeric sequence, and then the chimeric sequences were removed. The effective sequences were used in the final analysis. Sequences were grouped into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) using the clustering program VSEARCH (1.9.6) against the Silva 119 database preclustered at 97% sequence identity. The Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) classifier was used to assign taxonomic category to all OTUs at confidence threshold of 0.8. The RDP classifier uses the Silva 119 database which has taxonomic categories predicted to the species level. Novel clusters (OTUs that did not match the reference database) were removed when performing analysis.

Sequences were rarefied prior to calculation of alpha and beta diversity statistics. Alpha diversity indexes were calculated using the Mothur software (Schloss et al., 2009) from rarefied samples using for richness and diversity indices of bacterial community (i.e., ACE, Chao1, Shannon, and Simpson). Principal coordinate
analysis (PCoA) performed using unweighted UniFrac. A oneway analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was performed to determine the differences among groups (Clarke and Gorley, 2006). Here, the Bray–Curtis similarity index was used as a metric of similarity between the bacterial communities based on the abundance of OTUs between samples. The heatmap figures, Venn diagrams, and ANOSIM were produced using R1, and the cladogram was generated using the online LEfSe project2. Differences in phylum and genus relative abundances are presented as means ±SD. Student’s t-test by SPSS 25 was used for data analysis. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The raw sequences obtained in this study were available through the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (accession number SRR5839043).

RESULTS

Analysis of 16S rRNA Sequencing Results

Illumina MiSeq sequencing technology was used to detect 16S rRNA gene sequences in the fecal microbiota of the semi-wild Père David’s deer that were bred in the Beijing and Hubei areas. After a series of purification and filtration processes on the sequencing results, 59,837 valid sequences were obtained from each sample, and a total of 1,436,086 sequences were obtained (average length: 413.42 base pairs). The statistics of the filtered sequencing data of each sample are shown in Table 1. The reads sequences in the corresponding length range of each sample after quality control filtration were counted. The effective sequence length distribution is shown in Figure 1.

The number of sequences in each sample’s OTU was obtained within the 97% sequence similarity threshold. By comparing the OTU representative sequences with a microbial reference database, we obtained classification information for each species corresponding to each OTU. The bacteria that could be detected were classified into 12 phyla, 22 classes, 27 orders, 47 families, and 94 genera. At each level (phylum, class, order, family, genus and species) the composition of each sample community was calculated. The number of each species at different levels are shown in Table 2, and the total number of OTUs covered by each sample in their subordinate levels are shown in Table 3. The dilution curves of the OTUs measured in this study indicated that the number of OTUs increased with the depth of sequencing. The final curve became stable, signifying that the amount of sequencing data is somewhat reasonable (Figure 2).

Comparison of Core Intestinal Microflora Between Père David’s Deer in the Beijing and Shishou Areas

Venn diagrams were used to confirm the core intestinal microflora of the Père David’s deer in the Beijing and Shishou areas. The bacterial populations common to all individuals in each group were considered the core microflora. As shown in Figure 3, the number of OTUs shared by all individual

---

**TABLE 1** | Statistics of sequencing data of each sample after filtration.

| Sample | PE_reads | Effective tags | AvgLen(bp) | GC(%) | Effective(%) |
|--------|----------|----------------|------------|-------|--------------|
| B1     | 79,947   | 58,904         | 413        | 52.34 | 73.68        |
| B2     | 80,039   | 58,496         | 412        | 52.43 | 73.08        |
| B3     | 79,946   | 57,561         | 412        | 52.33 | 72.00        |
| B4     | 80,105   | 58,334         | 413        | 52.21 | 72.82        |
| B5     | 80,378   | 58,655         | 412        | 52.25 | 73.22        |
| B6     | 80,014   | 58,720         | 412        | 52.37 | 73.39        |
| B7     | 80,189   | 59,118         | 412        | 52.34 | 73.72        |
| B8     | 80,189   | 58,047         | 412        | 52.27 | 72.32        |
| B9     | 79,714   | 59,990         | 412        | 52.38 | 75.26        |
| B10    | 79,878   | 59,408         | 412        | 52.35 | 74.37        |
| B11    | 79,973   | 59,408         | 412        | 52.1  | 73.03        |
| B12    | 79,795   | 59,307         | 413        | 52.2  | 74.32        |
| S1     | 79,714   | 59,021         | 412        | 52.21 | 73.69        |
| S2     | 79,726   | 59,137         | 415        | 52.01 | 74.18        |
| S3     | 80,233   | 59,971         | 414        | 52.04 | 74.75        |
| S4     | 79,838   | 59,971         | 414        | 52.13 | 73.23        |
| S5     | 79,946   | 60,503         | 415        | 51.92 | 75.68        |
| S6     | 79,783   | 59,661         | 415        | 52.13 | 74.78        |
| S7     | 80,022   | 59,005         | 414        | 52.14 | 73.74        |
| S8     | 79,961   | 60,124         | 414        | 52.25 | 75.19        |
| S9     | 79,773   | 59,581         | 414        | 51.97 | 74.69        |
| S10    | 79,896   | 59,695         | 414        | 52.15 | 74.72        |
| S11    | 80,309   | 60,391         | 416        | 51.83 | 75.20        |
| S12    | 80,250   | 60,440         | 414        | 52.11 | 75.31        |

Sample, name of sequencing sample; PE reads, paired-end reads number obtained by sequencing; Raw tags, number of original sequences obtained by splicing paired-ended reads; Clean tags, number of optimized sequences after filtering the original sequence; Effective tags, Clean tags Number of effective sequences after chimera filtered by clean tags; AvgLen (bp), Average sequencing length of the sample; GC (%), GC content of the sample, i.e., the percentage of G and C bases relative to the total number of bases; Effective (%): Proportion of effective tags among PE reads.

---

**FIGURE 1** | Effective sequence length distribution. The x-coordinate is the sequence length range; the y-coordinate is the reads number.
TABLE 2 | Statistics of OTU species of samples on various levels.

| Sample | Kindom | Phylum | Class | Order | Family | Genus | Species |
|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|
| B1     | 1      | 12     | 23    | 28    | 47     | 91    | 6       |
| B2     | 1      | 12     | 21    | 26    | 44     | 87    | 5       |
| B3     | 1      | 12     | 22    | 26    | 43     | 88    | 6       |
| B4     | 1      | 12     | 21    | 25    | 43     | 89    | 6       |
| B5     | 1      | 12     | 20    | 25    | 42     | 87    | 6       |
| B6     | 1      | 12     | 20    | 25    | 43     | 88    | 6       |
| B7     | 1      | 12     | 21    | 24    | 44     | 88    | 5       |
| B8     | 1      | 12     | 20    | 24    | 44     | 88    | 6       |
| B9     | 1      | 12     | 20    | 24    | 44     | 88    | 6       |
| B10    | 1      | 12     | 20    | 24    | 44     | 88    | 6       |
| B11    | 1      | 12     | 21    | 24    | 44     | 88    | 6       |
| B12    | 1      | 12     | 22    | 23    | 44     | 88    | 6       |
| S1     | 1      | 12     | 23    | 25    | 43     | 91    | 6       |
| S2     | 1      | 12     | 23    | 25    | 43     | 91    | 6       |
| S3     | 1      | 12     | 23    | 25    | 43     | 91    | 6       |
| S4     | 1      | 12     | 23    | 25    | 43     | 91    | 6       |
| S5     | 1      | 12     | 23    | 25    | 43     | 91    | 6       |
| S6     | 1      | 12     | 23    | 25    | 43     | 91    | 6       |

Père David’s deer in the Beijing and Shishou areas was 1,438 (Figure 3A), while the number of OTUs was 1,059 for individual deer in the Beijing area (Figure 3B) and 1,058 for individual deer in the Shishou area (Figure 3C). The main bacterial phyla in the intestines of each group of Père David’s deer are shown in Figure 3D (the Beijing area) and Figure 3E (the Shishou area).

The two dominant phyla in these sequences were Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes.

A heatmap (Figure 4) is a graphical representation that uses a system of colored gradients to represent the size of values in a data matrix and cluster data based on species or the abundance similarity of samples. High-abundance and low-abundance species are clustered by color gradient and similarity to reflect the similarities and differences between multiple sample communities. A heatmap analysis was performed based on the species composition and relative abundance of each sample to extract the species at each taxonomic level. Mapping was achieved using R language tools, and a heatmap cluster analysis was performed at each of the phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species level.

Diversity Analysis of Microbial Communities in Père David’s Deer in the Beijing and Shishou Areas

Alpha Diversity Analysis

Alpha diversity reflects the richness and diversity of a single sample species and has several indices for measurement, such as the Chao1, ACE, Shannon, and Simpson indices. The Chao1 and ACE indices measure the richness of species (i.e., the number of species), whereas the Shannon and Simpson indices measure the diversity of species and are affected by the richness and...
community evenness of the sample community. In the case of equal richness, a higher community evenness among the species in the community is considered greater diversity, and the higher the Shannon index, the lower the Simpson index, which indicates higher diversity among the species in the sample (Wang and Wang, 2011). The completeness of the sequencing was tested by Good’s coverage, which was close to 99% in this study, indicating that the majority of the bacterial species present in the sample had been detected.

We calculated the alpha diversity (ACE, Chao1, Shannon, Simpson, and Good’s Coverage) for the gut microbiota in Père David’s deer in the Beijing and Shishou areas, as shown in Figure 5. There was a significant difference in the ACE, Chao1, and Shannon indices between the Beijing and Shishou areas ($P < 0.05$), but no significant difference was found in the Simpson index of the two areas ($P > 0.05$). Therefore, the alpha diversity indices of the two areas are considered to be significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

**Beta Diversity Analysis**

Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) (Li et al., 2005b) is an approach to sequencing using dimension reduction. It assumes that where there is data to measure the differences or distances between N number of samples, this method can be used to plot a rectangular coordinate system representing the N samples as N points, and the square of the Euclidean distance between the points is exactly equal to the original differential data. Thus, qualitative data is converted into quantitative data and the most important elements and structures are extracted from the multidimensional data. The classification of multiple samples can be achieved through PCoA, which further demonstrates the differences in diversity between samples. As observed in PC1 vs. PC2 shown in Figure 6, samples that are closer together in the graph indicate greater similarity.

**Analysis of the Differences in Gut Microbiota Between Père David’s Deer in the Beijing and Shishou Areas at the Phylum and Genus Levels**

The cladogram (Figure 7) showed differences in 88 taxa between B and S. And Figure 8 shows the differences in relative abundances at the phylum level of the top 5 bacterial communities and genus level of the top 10 bacterial communities in the Père David’s deer samples from the Beijing and Shishou areas. In the Père David’s deer from the Beijing area, the relative abundance of Firmicutes and Verrucomicrobia were significantly higher than that in the Père David’s deer from the Shishou area ($P < 0.05$). In contrast, the relative abundances of the Bacteroidetes and Fibrobacteres phyla in the Shishou area were significantly lower than in the Beijing area ($P < 0.05$). Both groups did not show any significant differences in the relative abundances of Tenericutes ($P > 0.05$). At the genus level, the relative abundances of Christensenellaceae_R-7_group, Peptoclostridium, Lachnospiraceae_NK4A136_group, Ruminococcaceae_UCG-002, Ruminococcaceae_UCG-009 and
Ruminococcus_1 in the Beijing area were significantly higher compared with the Shishou area ($P < 0.05$), while the relative abundance of Bacteroides, Prevotellaceae_UCG-001, Prevotellaceae_UCG-004, and Fibrobacter was lower in the Beijing area than in the Shishou area ($P < 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

As research into the mammalian gut microbiota has deepened and high-throughput sequencing technology extensively applied, we have seen progress in the study of the relationship between the gastrointestinal micro-ecology and health of wildlife animals. The study of mammalian gut microbiota has currently gone deep into the gene level and has even begun to explore their mechanisms of action. Relevant research has extended from simple analyses on microbiota composition to function analyses, which involve multiple aspects, such as feeding patterns, nutrition, and habitats (Ding et al., 2017). As an important and rare wild animal in China, the Père David’s deer plays an essential role in demonstrating how other endangered species can be protected through the *ex situ* conservation of some existing deer populations as well as the application of artificial management and reproduction to expand their populations. Since the Shishou Nature Reserve in Hubei Province re-introduced the Père David’s deer from Beijing Milu Park in 1993, the population has grown to 550, three times the deer population of Beijing. However, due to technical limitations, there is relatively limited comparative analysis on the health status between the deer populations in the two areas, especially the analysis of the differences in their gut microbiota. This study applied 16S rRNA Illumina MiSeq high-throughput sequencing technology for the first time to compare the core gut microbiota between the two Père David’s deer populations, analyzed the diversity of microbial communities, and conducted a differential analysis at the phylum and genus levels. Such analyses have expanded our understanding of the health status of deer populations in *ex situ* conservation sites and
provided a scientific basis for monitoring the health status of the Père David’s deer.

The analysis results indicated that the amount of sequencing data in this study was reasonable (Figures 1, 2). As seen in Figure 3, the gut microbiota in the Père David’s deer populations in the Beijing and Shishou areas have a high degree of similarity (95.66%), with the common phyla being Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. This finding was consistent with the majority of studies on the gut microbiota of ruminant animals (Sundset et al., 2007; Guan et al., 2017; Li et al., 2017). In terms of alpha diversity, the Chao1, ACE, and Shannon indices of the gut microbiota were significantly different between the Père David’s deer populations of the Beijing and Shishou areas ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 5). Regarding beta diversity, the gut microbiota of both deer populations displayed two clear clusters (Figure 6). Thus, the richness and evenness of the intestinal microorganisms of the Père David’s deer populations are still dissimilar. We observed from the analysis in Figure 6 that there were greater differences between the deer populations in the Beijing and Shishou areas, while the differences in microbial communities within the same area were smaller.

The gut microbiome consisting of the host and the gut microbiota maintains the host’s immune and digestive systems. The biodiversity and richness play an important role in maintaining the host’s normal physiological functions, but is also affected by the host (Koboziev et al., 2014). The composition and functions of the gut microbiota is closely related to the health status of the host, and dysbiosis is the cause of many diseases. On the surface, dietary patterns are one of the key factors affecting the gut microbiota (Zheng et al., 2014), but differences between the gut microbiota in different geographical regions may also be due to other factors, such as host genotype, age, disease, probiotics, and drugs, all of which affect the structure and functions of the gut microbiota (Kovács et al., 1972). As the Père David’s deer population in the Shishou Nature Reserve was originally transferred from the Beijing Milu Park and developed during the last century, the genotypes of individual deer from the two areas were not significantly different. In this study, we selected healthy adult Père David’s deer of similar age and size as test subjects at approximately the same time, and the differences between the research areas and the samples were mainly the vegetation types caused by climatic conditions, which led to differences in the food sources of the deer populations. In spring, the deer in the Beijing area are manually fed, while in the Shishou area they feed on natural food sources. Therefore, by analyzing the differences in the food sources of the two areas could explain the biodiversity of the gut microbiota.

At the phylum level, the richness of Firmicutes in the intestines of the Père David’s deer in the Beijing area was significantly higher than that in the Shishou area, whereas for Bacteroidetes it was exactly the opposite (Figure 8A). The main function of the Firmicutes (major food analysis) in the intestines is to hydrolyze carbohydrates and proteins, while Bacteroidetes (major food analysis) is responsible for the metabolism of steroids, polysaccharides, and bile acids, helping the host in the absorption of polysaccharides and the synthesis of proteins (Xu et al., 2003; Bäckhed et al., 2005). Other studies have found that a higher Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes content promotes animal fat deposition; this effect was more evident for Firmicutes than Bacteroidetes (Bäckhed et al., 2004; Turnbaugh et al., 2006).
Moreover, it was found that the addition of soybean isoflavone aglycon in the diets of ruminantia, such as yellow cattle, enhances energy acquisition and stimulates the production of fat (Zhou, 2016). Hence we may infer that the significant difference in the amount of Firmicutes in the intestines of deer from the Beijing and Shishou areas may be because the deer diet in the Beijing area contains a certain proportion of soybean meal in springtime.

The results (Figures 4, 7, 8B) indicated that the relative abundance of Bacteroides, Prevotellaceae, and Fibrobacter of the Père David's deer in the Beijing area was significantly lower than those in the Shishou area on the family and genus levels (p < 0.05). The relative abundance of Christensenellaceae, Peptoclostridium, Lachnospiraceae, Ruminococcaceae, and Ruminococcus was the opposite. Bacteroides was also found to have other functions, such as promoting the improvement of the host's immune system and maintaining the balance of the gut microbiome (Hooper et al., 2001; Hooper, 2004; Sears, 2005; Jiang et al., 2014) studied changes in certain bacteria in the intestinal tracts of patients with ulcerative colitis, they found an increased number of Bacteroides, which has an inflammatory effect on patients with ulcerative colitis. Studies have also found that after adding soybean isoflavone aglycone to the diet of growing Jinjiang cattle, the richness of Bacteroides in the Bacteroidaceae family reduces, indicating that the aglycon lessens the inflammatory response in animals (Zhou, 2016). This study yielded similar results, as the abundance of Bacteroidetes and Bacteroides was significantly higher in the gut microbiota of Père David's deer in the Shishou area, compared to those in the Beijing area. The reason may be that the addition of soybean meal to the deer food in Beijing reduces the intestinal inflammation in the deer, whereas in the Shishou area the deer lack plants that contain soybean aglycon. Thus, more Bacteroidetes bacteria are needed to regulate the intestinal environment of the deer.

The experimental results indicated that the main types of intestinal microflora in Père David's deer are similar to those of humans (Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes) (Arumugam et al., 2011), due to the difference in food. People from different regions had significantly different in intestinal microbial communities. Therefore, dietary structure may have a significant impact on the microbial community in the intestines of deer. This finding has been verified in similar studies on other ruminants (Pitta et al., 2014). Moreover, a considerable number of studies have shown that differences in diet structure not only have an impact on the changes in the host's gut microbiota, but may also cause other physiological reactions or even diseases in animals. Studies have shown that different bacterial communities have different effects on the digestion of carbohydrates, protein, and cellulose in ruminant diets, not only affecting the host's nutrient utilization, but also possibly causing gastrointestinal diseases and obesity in the host (Bäckhed et al., 2005; Han et al., 2015). Hence, changes in the structure of the gut microbiota are mainly affected by dietary conditions, and the differences in feed composition are an inducing factor. For example, our results suggest that the differences in feed composition between the Beijing and Shishou areas explain the differences in the intestinal microorganisms of the Père David's deer. Nevertheless, whether the specific functions of different bacteria have an impact on the host's digestion and absorption and whether the change of gut microbiota after migration leads to diseases in the host and affects the health status of the deer population are issues yet to be investigated in future research.
In conclusion, our results showed that the gut microbiota of the Père David’s deer population in the Shishou area is different from that of the Beijing area. This may be due to the fact that the deer population in Shishou has moved from Beijing to a new environment and has adapted to changes in their food and environment, leading to changes in their gut microbiota. Some researchers have analyzed the composition of the gut microbiota of tens of mammals and found that the diversity of the host’s gut microbiota varies according to feeding patterns, gradually increasing from carnivorous, omnivorous, to herbivorous animals. Due to the differences in the digestive tract’s anatomical structure and physiological metabolism, there is also a wide variation in the structures and functions of the gut microbiota (Ley et al., 2008). Therefore, after the Père David’s deer migrates to a new environment, the composition and diversity of the gut microbiota are directly affected by changes in the natural environment and deer’s feed, thus may impacting the adaptive changes of the digestive and immune health of the deer. To re-introduce the Père David’s deer, we must ensure that there is reasonable feeding, planting of edible plants necessary for the deer’s health, and scientific supervision over the deer. This study analyzed the gut microbiota of the Père David’s deer in different geographical areas and offered insights into the health status of the gut of the deer in China during the ex situ conservation process, providing an empirical reference and auxiliary measures for the scientific feeding and management of the Père David’s deer.
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