Adaptive Patterns of Mitogenome Evolution Are Associated with the Loss of Shell Scutes in Turtles
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Abstract

The mitochondrial genome encodes several protein components of the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) pathway and is critical for aerobic respiration. These proteins have evolved adaptively in many taxa, but linking molecular-level patterns with higher-level attributes (e.g., morphology, physiology) remains a challenge. Turtles are a promising system for exploring mitochondrial genome evolution as different species face distinct respiratory challenges and employ multiple strategies for ensuring efficient respiration. One prominent adaptation to a highly aquatic lifestyle in turtles is the secondary loss of keratinized shell scutes (i.e., soft-shells), which is associated with enhanced swimming ability and, in some species, cutaneous respiration. We used codon models to examine patterns of selection on mitochondrial protein-coding genes along the three turtle lineages that independently evolved soft-shells. We found strong evidence for positive selection along the branches leading to the pig-nosed turtle (Carettochelys insculpta) and the softshells clade (Trionychidae), but only weak evidence for the leatherback (Dermochelys coriacea) branch. Positively selected sites were found to be particularly prevalent in OXPHOS Complex I proteins, especially subunit ND2, along both positively selected lineages, consistent with convergent adaptive evolution. Structural analysis showed that many of the identified sites are within key regions or near residues involved in proton transport, indicating that positive selection may have precipitated substantial changes in mitochondrial function. Overall, our study provides evidence that physiological challenges associated with adaptation to a highly aquatic lifestyle have shaped the evolution of the turtle mitochondrial genome in a lineage-specific manner.
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Introduction

The organisms on Earth are faced with a wide variety of ecological and physiological challenges, and exhibit a corresponding diversity of ecophysiological adaptations. Uncovering the evolutionary mechanisms by which this phenotypic variation arose has long been a major goal for evolutionary biologists (Parsons and Albertson 2013). In particular, considerable efforts have been directed towards understanding how and why closely related organisms differ at the molecular level in otherwise highly conserved genes with critical organismal functions (Vitti et al. 2013). This approach is biologically meaningful considering its potential for revealing the evolutionary history of phenotypic traits at multiple biological scales (i.e., molecular, cellular, physiological, organismal), and for the insights it can provide into the adaptability of organisms to the environment (Kaessmann 2010).

In eukaryotic cells, the mitochondrial genome provides a powerful system for examining adaptation at the molecular level. This maternally inherited, nonrecombining organellar genome encodes 13 peptide subunits that contribute to four of the five complexes of the oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS) system: seven NADH dehydrogenases (ND1–ND6 and ND4L; Complex I), Cytochrome b (CYTB; CIII), three cytochrome c oxidases (COX1–COX3; CIV), and two ATPases (ATP6 and ATP8; CV). These respiratory complexes organize into various supercomplexes (SCs), and one such SC, involving complexes CI, CIII, and CIV, has recently been subject to detailed structural analysis (Gu et al. 2016; Wu et al. 2016). Notably, this SC forms an interlinked structure by means of accessory subunits that contribute to oligomerization and both stabilize and regulate the catalytic core (which is composed of the mitochondrial encoded subunits as well as several nuclear-encoded subunits) (Fiedorczuk et al. 2016; Gu et al. 2016). The OXPHOS biochemical pathway produces 95% of the cell’s “energy currency” (i.e., adenosine triphosphate or ATP); the OXPHOS process is performed by means of electron flow in the inner mitochondrial membrane, with CI working in concert with CIII, CIV, and CV to create a proton energy gradient that is used by CV to synthesize ATP (Sazanov 2015). Amino acid changes in the constituent subunits can have large functional effects and several mutations have been linked to human diseases (Bridges et al. 2011). Accordingly, a wealth of information exists on the structure
and function of the mitochondrially encoded proteins (Xia et al. 2013; Sazanov 2015; Zhou et al. 2015).

It had classically been believed that most polymorphisms and substitutions in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) were selectively neutral (Avise et al. 1987), but two key lines of research called this notion into question (Dowling et al. 2008). First, it was shown that mitochondrial amino acid replacements can be beneficial, underling adaptive improvements in metabolic performance (Garvin et al. 2011). Second, protein-coding mtDNA variation has been associated with differences in thermal or metabolic needs (e.g., heat production, oxygen consumption, physical activity) across species that either experience distinct environmental conditions (da Fonseca et al. 2008; Garvin, Thorgaard, et al. 2015) or employ different locomotive strategies (Shen et al. 2010; Zhang and Broughton 2015). In light of these findings, the evolution of mitochondrial function has become the subject of numerous studies testing for Darwinian selection in a wide range of nonmodel organisms (reviewed in Garvin, Bielwaski, et al. 2015), particularly those living in challenging habitats (Welch et al. 2014) and those distributed across geographic clines or ecological gradients (Morales et al. 2015).

Despite the growing recognition of nonneutral genetic variation in mtDNA, most work supporting this relationship in vertebrates comes from mammals and birds, while reptiles are under-represented. Unlike mammals and birds, reptiles are ectothermic, making them an interesting group to further explore the mode of evolution of the mtDNA: the body temperatures of ectotherms are similar to that of their surroundings, resulting in lower metabolic rates and lower energy requirements relative to endotherms. This fundamental difference is expected to result in ectotherms having unique patterns of mitochondrial evolution (Rand 1993). Consistent with this hypothesis, environmental factors (i.e., latitude and habitat) appear to be major determinants of mitochondrial and nuclear mutation rates in ectotherms but not in endotherms (Lourenço et al. 2012). Furthermore, some reptiles have distinct metabolic strategies (e.g., metabolic down-regulation in anoxia-tolerant turtles—Galli et al. 2013, or increased metabolic rates in the largest marine turtle Dermochelys coriacea—Bostrom et al. 2010) or accelerated rates of mitochondrial genome evolution (e.g., snakes; Castoe et al. 2008) that differ substantially from other vertebrates.

Among reptiles, turtles (order Chelonia) possess remarkable adaptations to pronounced environmental stresses that are unique among amniotes. Turtles originated at least 240 Ma (Schoch and Hans-Dieter 2015) and have diversified and invaded a number of habitats including marine, freshwater, and terrestrial ecosystems, and ranging from tropical to temperate latitudes (Ernst and Barbour 1989). Numerous morphological and physiological specializations have been described in turtles, the protective shell being the most familiar example (Wyneken et al. 2008). However, a unique feature of some highly aquatic turtles is a shell devoid of protective keratinized scutes. This low degree of shell ossification represents a secondary loss that evolved independently along the lineages leading to the following: 1) the softshells clade (family Trionychidae), 2) the pig-nosed turtle (Carettochelys insculpta), and 3) the leatherback sea turtle (Dermochelys coriacea) (Joyce 2007, 2014). This loss likely occurred in the Late Cretaceous to early Eocene for both Carettochelys and Dermochelys (Joyce 2014), and in the Early Cretaceous for Trionychidae (Georgalis and Joyce 2017). The lack of shell scutes in all three lineages is accompanied by a reduction of the plastron, which increases body flexibility and aquatic maneuverability, allowing for greater swimming speed (Scheyer et al. 2007; Davenport et al. 2011) and enhanced hydrodynamic performance (Alibardi and Toni 2006; Bang et al. 2016). Furthermore, in some species the loss of shell scutes has been linked to cutaneous respiration, in which gas exchange (O₂ and CO₂) occurs across the skin surface (Ultsch et al. 1984). This has proven to be important in Trionychidae, as it enables the members of this group to remain aerobic for long periods while submerged (Scheyer et al. 2007). For the pig-nosed turtle, cutaneous respiration has not yet been confirmed, but the remarkable similarities of its skin surface features with those of Trionychidae (i.e., thin, permeable, and vascularized) suggest that it is likewise capable of employing cutaneous respiration (Joyce et al. 2012). Both the softshells and the pig-nosed turtle also employ buccopharyngeal respiration (Winokur 1988). By contrast, the skin of leatherbacks does not have respiratory function (John Davenport, personal communication); instead, leatherbacks rely on their capacity to store oxygen in blood and tissues to remain aerobic during deep dives (Lutcavage et al. 1990).

These suites of adaptations relate to energetic requirements and physiological processes that impact respiration capacity (Storey et al. 2008), and thus may depend on or influence the OXPHOS system. As such, it seems reasonable to hypothesize that turtle mtDNA encoded proteins may have undergone adaptive evolutionary changes associated with the loss of shell scutes and the invasion of highly aquatic eco-physiological niches. Here, we investigated patterns of evolution in mtDNA protein coding genes across 53 Cryptodiran turtle species (representing a total of 10 families), testing for adaptive or divergent patterns of mtDNA evolution associated with the evolution of soft-shells. To do so, we employed a variety of maximum likelihood (ML) codon-based models of molecular evolution that estimate the nonsynonymous-to-synonymous substitution rate ratio (ω) (Goldman and Yang 1994). This parameter measures the form and strength of selective pressure on the protein-coding gene: when 0 < ω < 1 (dN < dS) indicates purifying selection; when ω = 1 (dN = dS) is consistent with mutations that are neutral, and ω > 1 (dN > dS) is indicative of fixation of advantageous mutations or positive selection.

Past studies that used similar approaches successfully uncovered links between mtDNA evolution and the origin of flight in bats (Shen et al. 2010), swimming performance in fishes (Zhang and Broughton 2015), and adaptation of polar bears to life in the artic (Welch et al. 2014). Our analyses revealed signatures of positive and divergent selection among turtle mtDNA protein-coding genes, supporting the notion that the adoption of highly aquatic lifestyles in soft-shelled turtles was associated with altered patterns of selection on
mitochondrial function. We discuss our findings in the context of mitochondrial protein biochemistry and turtle ecology.

Results

Phylogenetic Tree Estimates

ML and Bayesian (BY) approaches were used to reconstruct the turtle phylogeny given a concatenated nucleotide alignment of 12 mitochondrial heavy strand protein-coding genes. The two approaches recovered highly similar and well-resolved topologies; the only differences involved the positioning of closely related taxa. Most nodes had relatively high ML bootstrap proportions (BP > 50%) and BY posterior probabilities (PP > 90%) (fig. 1 and supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online). Most importantly, both were generally congruent with accepted turtle relationships based on comprehensive phylogenetic analyses of both nuclear and mtDNA genes (Shaffer et al. 1997; Guillot et al. 2012). Given the close match between the two trees, only the ML tree was used in subsequent analyses.

Signatures of Positive and Divergent Selection in the OXPHOS System in Soft-Shelled Turtles

Random-Sites Analyses

We used random-sites codon models to gain insights into longstanding patterns of molecular evolution of mitochondrial genes in Cryptodiran turtles, analyzing both individual alignments for each of the 12 heavy strand protein-coding genes and a single concatenated alignment of all 12 genes (Tconc). Fitting the M0 model, which assumes that genes and a single concatenated alignment of all 12 genes alignments for each of the 12 heavy strand protein-coding mitochondrial genes in Cryptodiran turtles, analyzing both individual long-standing patterns of molecular evolution of mitochondria. We used random-sites codon models to gain insights into the OXPHOS System in soft-shelled turtles.

Branch-Site and Clade Model Analyses

Branch-site (BrS) and clade (CmC) models were used to determine whether patterns of mtDNA evolution and the evolution of shell type are linked in Cryptodiran turtles. Among the 53 Cryptodiran species in our data set are 10 species with soft-shells. Based on fossil evidence (Joyce 2007, 2014) this trait evolved independently at least 3 times: once along the lineage leading to Dermochelys coriacea, once along the lineage leading to Carettochelys insculpta, and once along the lineage leading to the Trionychidae clade (fig. 1). Our BrS and CmC models found evidence for positive and divergent selection associated with soft-shelled lineages, albeit in a manner that varied across branches and genes.

Branch-Site Model

BrS analysis of the concatenated data set identified the signature of positive selection along both the Trionychidae branch and the Carettochelyidae branch (table 2). For Trionychidae, the positive selection site-class was estimated to comprise 2.8% of the data set, with a \( \omega_2 \) estimate of 9.7. The corresponding values for Carettochelyidae were roughly similar (4.0%, with \( \omega_2 = 17.6 \)). In both cases, the LRTs were highly significant (\( P < 0.001 \)). For Dermochelyidae, \( \omega_2 \) was estimated at 3.6 but here the positively selected site class was of negligible size and the LRT did not indicate significance (\( P = 0.488 \)). BEB analysis of the Tconc data set identified well-supported positively selected sites (PP > 0.90) in nine genes when Trionychidae was the foreground (ATP6, ATP8, COX3, CYTB, ND1, ND2, ND4, ND4L, ND5: total of 21 sites) and six genes when Carettochelyidae was the foreground (ATP6, ATP8, COX3, CYTB, ND2, ND4: total of 19 sites). In no cases were the same sites identified on both the Dermochelyidae branch and the Trionychidae branch, though there was limited overlap in sites when considering all positively selected sites (i.e., with PP ≥ 0.50) (table 2). However, for both branches, the majority of the well-supported positively selected sites were in CI proteins: positively selected sites were over-represented in CI (and, to a lesser degree, CV), even when taking into account the number of codons analyzed for each complex (table 3). No sites were likewise identified as positively selected when the Dermochelyidae branch was set as the foreground, though a single site in ATP8 was identified with PP = 0.87; notably, this site was also identified along the Trionychidae branch (table 2 and supplementary table S3, Supplementary Material online). All told, positive selection appears to have targeted the protein-coding components of the mitochondria in a function (OXPHOS Complex) and lineage specific manner. Gene-specific analyses were conducted to explore this variation in greater detail.

Gene-specific LRTs identified the signature of positive selection in seven genes along the Trionychidae branch (ATP6, ATP8, COX3, ND1, ND2, ND4L, ND5), five genes along the Carettochelyidae branch (ATP6, ATP8, COX3, ND2, ND4), and two genes along the Dermochelyidae branch (ATP8, ND1) (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). Positive selection was not detected along any of the tested lineages for COX1, COX2, CYTB, or ND3.
The fact that BrS tests were not significant for CYTB is odd considering that CYTB sites were identified as positively selected along the Trionychidae and Carettochelyidae branches when considering the concatenated data set. Conversely, ATP8 and ND1 were identified as positively selected along the Trionychidae and Carettochelyidae branches when considering the concatenated data set.

(supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online).

FIG. 1. Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree for Cryptodiran turtles. The tree was estimated using the concatenated data set of 12 mtDNA protein-coding genes (with Pleurodira as the outgroup; thin dashed branches). Species codes and NCBI Genbank accession numbers are provided alongside the phylogeny. Paleontological evidence indicates that soft-shells evolved 3 times independently, as denoted by the thicker branches (Trionychidae (Trio)-black, Carettochelyidae (Care)-gray, Dermochelyidae (Der)-dashed). Branch lengths and the scale bar indicate the number of nucleotide substitutions per site. Numbers adjacent to nodes represent ML bootstrap values (1,000 replicates).
selected along the Dermochelyidae branch despite there being minimal evidence for positive selection along this lineage in the concatenated analysis. Presumably these discrepancies reflect a trade-off between the benefit of increased data and the cost of shared parameter estimates across genes in the larger concatenated data set compared with the smaller gene-specific data sets. These few points notwithstanding, the gene-specific analyses largely confirmed the results of the concatenated analyses: positive selection was strong and affected many genes for the Trionychidae and Carettochelyidae branches, but not for the Dermochelyidae branch.

The size of the positively selected site class was generally ≤10% in the gene-specific analyses. The exceptions were ATP8, where it ranged from 16% to 23% depending the foreground branch; ND2, where it reached 15% when Carettochelyidae was set as the foreground, and ND4L, where it reached 13% with Trionychidae as the foreground. Some sites were identified multiple times in different lineages, particularly when considering all identified sites (i.e., PP ≥ 0.50, rather than the more strict cutoff of PP ≥ 0.90). For example,
Table 2. Results of Branch-Site (BrS) Model Analyses of the Concatenated Data Set of 12 mtDNA Protein-Coding Genes (Tconc).

| Branch          | BrS Model (n.p.) | Site Class 2 | lnL  | P     | Positively Selected Sites Gene: site |
|-----------------|------------------|--------------|------|-------|-------------------------------------|
| Trionychidae    | A (108)          | 9.746        | 0.028| -168594.01 | ATP6: 31                            |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ATP6: 15,23,36                      |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | COX3: 41                            |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | CYTB: 39,58                         |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ND1: 2                              |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ND2: 83,117,327,332                 |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ND4: 354                            |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ND4L: 10,20,25,46,60                 |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | NDS: 63,418,523                     |
|                 | N (107)          | 1            | 0.044| -166815.34 | ATP6: 61                            |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ATP8: 50                            |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | COX3: 224                           |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | CYTB: 225                           |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ND2: 2,4,6,7,143,146,150,205,268,274,307,320 |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ND4: 130,193,374,378                 |
| Dermochelyidae  | A (108)          | 17.57        | 0.040| -168579.48 | ATP6: 11                            |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ATP8: 50                            |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | COX3: 224                           |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | CYTB: 225                           |
|                 |                  |              |      |       | ND2: 2,4,6,7,143,146,150,205,268,274,307,320 |
|                 | N (107)          | 1            | 0.046| -168598.43 | ATP8: 23 (PP = 0.87)                |

Table 3. The Distribution of Positively Selected Sites (Branch-Site Model) Among Genes and OXPHOS Complexes.

| OXPHOS Complex | Number of Analyzed Codons | Number of Positively Selected Sites: Concatenated Analysis | Number of Positively Selected Sites: Gene Specific Analyses |
|----------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| CI             | 1874 (54% of total)      | 29 (72% of total)                                      | 47 (73% of total)                                        |
|                | • ND1=315                | • ND1=1 (1,0)                                          | • ND1=1 (1,0)                                           |
|                | • ND2=342                | • ND2=15 (4,11)                                        | • ND2=15 (4,11)                                         |
|                | • ND3=109                | • ND3=5 (1,4)                                          | • ND3=5 (1,4)                                           |
|                | • ND4=448                | • ND4=5 (5,0)                                          | • ND4=5 (5,0)                                           |
|                | • ND4L=92                | • ND5=3 (3,0)                                          | • ND5=3 (3,0)                                           |
|                | • ND5=568                |                                                        |                                                          |
| CIII           | 368 (11%)                | 3 (8%)                                                 | 0 (0%)                                                  |
|                | • CYTB=368               | • CYTB=3 (2,1)                                         | • CYTB=3 (2,1)                                          |
| CIV            | 986 (28%)                | 2 (5%)                                                 | 5 (8%)                                                  |
|                | • COX1=502               | • COX1=0                                               | • COX1=0                                                |
|                | • COX2=224               | • COX2=0                                               | • COX2=0                                                |
|                | • COX3=260               | • COX3=2 (1,1)                                         | • COX3=2 (1,1)                                          |
| CV             | 274 (8%)                 | 6 (15%)                                                | 12 (19%)                                                |
|                | • ATP6=224               | • ATP6=2 (1,1)                                         | • ATP6=2 (1,1)                                          |
|                | • ATP8=50                | • ATP8=4 (3,1)                                         | • ATP8=4 (3,1)                                          |

Note.—Only well-supported positively selected sites were considered (PP ≥ 0.90). Values represent the total number of positively selected sites summed across the branch-site analyses of the Trionychidae and Dermochelyidae branches; results for each individual branch are provided in parentheses next to the relevant gene (number for Trionychidae, number for Dermochelyidae). Positively selected sites were nonrandomly distributed among complexes (G-tests of independence: G = 16.64, df = 3, P = 0.001 for the concatenated data analysis; G = 38.45, df = 3, P < 0.001 for the gene-specific analyses).

three nearby sites identified as positively selected along the Trionychidae branch in ATP8 (sites 14, 15, and 23; each with PP ≥ 0.98) were also identified along the Dermochelyidae branch (each with PP ≥ 0.75). Ancestral reconstruction of the amino acids at these three sites showed that different residues fixed along the Trionychidae (S14L, S15I, L23Y) and Dermochelyidae (S14Y, S15L, L23I) lineages (supplementary table S4, Supplementary Material online). Thus, even when the same sites were targeted by positive selection, the molecular-level outcomes differed.

For the softshells (Trionychidae), the focus of our BrS analysis was the ancestral branch at the base of the clade, not the branches within the clade. We therefore examined patterns of AA variation at the 43 sites identified as positively selected along this ancestral branch (supplementary fig. S2, Supplementary Material online). Thirteen of the sites were fixed for a particular AA within the Trionychidae clade and another eight had singleton AA differences. As most of these sites were highly conserved across the rest of the phylogeny, this pattern is consistent with a restricted period of positive selection at the base of the Trionychidae clade, before and after which stabilizing selection acted. At the other extreme, three of the positively selected sites were highly variable within the Trionychidae clade, with four or five different
residues across the eight species. These sites may represent cases where positive selection began operating along the ancestral branch and then continued to act throughout the history of the clade. However, applying a M8a-M8 LRT to test for positive selection within the softshells clade (using a concatenated alignment consisting of only the eight Trionychidae species and using a pruned version of the tree in fig. 1) did not reveal convincing evidence for positive selection (LRT $P=0.225$). Moreover, posterior mean estimates of $dN/dS$ were $<1$ for all 43 focal sites. These observations suggest that adaptive evolution of mitochondrially encoded OXPHOS subunits in the Trionychidae was restricted to the group’s early history, and that subsequent evolution at the positively selected sites was typically characterized by moderate-to-strong constraint.

Clade Mode C

CmC was used to test for variation in site-specific selective constraint associated with shell evolution. Considering first the concatenated data set, and the case where the various soft-shelled lineages were combined into a single foreground branch set, our analyses identified a significant decrease in $\omega$ on lineages associated with soft-shells: $\omega$ estimates for the divergent site class, which comprised 31% of the total data set, were 0.10 for soft-shelled lineages and 0.17 for hard-shelled lineages (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). Gene-specific analyses uncovered similar patterns for most genes: the M2a$_{rel}$ null model was strongly rejected for all data sets except for ATP8 (where M2a$_{rel}$ was the best fitting AIC model) and ND4L (where AIC$A$ for M2a$_{rel}$ was $<2$) (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). Comparing models via LRTs did not change this general conclusion. In no case did the $\omega$ estimates for the divergent class approach or exceed 1, indicating that the shifts in constraint detected by CmC analyses were always in the relative strength of purifying selection. The size of the divergent site class varied among genes with significant signatures of divergent selection, ranging from 12% (COX1) to 44% (ATP6). COX3 was an outlier, with a divergent site class size of 82%, and here the large size seems to have resulted in a statistically significant test result despite the $\omega$ difference being small and presumably biologically insignificant (0.01 vs. 0.00; background vs. foreground); this outcome has been observed for other data sets analyzed using CmC (Chang et al. 2012). In all other cases, the divergent site classes were smaller and the $\omega$ estimates more separated (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). While we fit models multiple times from varying starting points, we cannot rule out the possibility that this unusual result for COX3 is due to sub-optimal model fitting. Regardless, the evidence from the Tconc data set and the bulk of the gene-specific data sets indicate that, for many sites, selective constraint increased along the set of lineages where soft-shells evolved.

Comparing the fit of models that focused only on one of the three soft-shelled lineages at a time (either Trionychidae, Carettochelyidae, or Dermochelyidae) indicated that the signature of divergent selection varied both among lineages and among genes (supplementary table S5, Supplementary Material online). There was no obvious relationship between whether divergent selection was identified for a given gene/branch and whether positive selection was identified for that same gene/branch. For example, a matching pattern was found for ND1 (positive selection and divergent selection were both detected for Trionychidae and for Dermochelyidae, but not for Carettochelyidae), but contrasting patterns were found for ATP8 (positive selection detected for all branches, but divergent selection detected for none) and CYTB (divergent selection detected for all branches, but positive selection detected for none). The CmC codon model is not constrained to strictly focus on qualitative shifts in the selection regime (i.e., from either purifying selection or neutrality to positive selection), so it is not surprising that these two sets of analyses identified different evolutionary patterns. This lack of correspondence indicates that functional divergence can occur in multiple ways (positive selection vs. strengthened or relaxed constraint) and that these alternatives are evolutionarily uncoupled (Bielawski and Yang 2004; Zhang et al. 2005).

Structural and Functional Analysis

Positively selected sites identified through our Br5 analyses were mapped onto the mitochondrial protein three-dimensional (3D) crystal structures and each site was evaluated in light of past and recent structural or biomedical studies of the OXPHOS system. Combining the results of the Tconc and gene-specific analyses, and considering only sites with PP $\geq 0.90$, our analyses identified a total of 71 positively selected sites (Trionychidae 43; Carettochelyidae 27; Dermochelyidae 1). Several of these sites were located in or near key residues and critical protein regions, supporting the notion that many of the identified sites are functionally relevant, and that substitutions at these sites were adaptive. In particular, we note close proximity between many of Complex I’s positively selected sites and both the proton translocation pathway and the coupling elements that help coordinate conformational changes among subunits, as can be seen in figures 3 and 4. Moreover, we found that some of the positively selected sites, particularly in CI subunits ND2 (TM4, 6, 9, 10), ND4 (TM7) and ND5 ($\alpha$-helix HL), are near accessory subunits or the lipid molecules that pack between complexes, suggesting that selection may have shaped higher-order interactions among subunits and complexes (supplementary fig. S3, Supplementary Material online). Detailed results for each OXPHOS complex are provided as Supplementary Material online (supplementary table S6 and text S1).

Discussion

We tested for adaptive and divergent selection in the mtDNA protein coding genes of turtles in relation to the evolution of soft-shells, reasoning that the highly aquatic lifestyles of soft-shelled turtles may have precipitated adaptive modification of the mitochondrially encoded OXPHOS proteins. Random-site models, which ignore among-branch variation in
FIG. 3. Spatial distribution of the positively selected sites identified along soft-shelled turtle lineages. Sites identified by branch-site analysis of the 12 heavy chain mitochondrially encoded proteins of the OXPHOS pathway were mapped onto homologous solved protein structures from Sus scrofa (Complexes CI, CIII, CIV; PDB 5GUP) and Bos taurus (Complexes CV; PDB 5ARA). Grey structures represent nuclear-encoded subunits, and no structure currently exists for CV subunit ATP8. Only positively selected sites with PP ≥ 0.90 are considered; no sites met this threshold for the ND3, COX1, and COX2 subunits. (a) The CI–CIII–CIV supercomplex. The supercomplex is shown in two differently rotated views along the membrane. CIII is present twice as a homodimer. Mitochondrially encoded subunits are represented in different colors, as indicated in (b). (b) Individual OXPHOS complexes, with mitochondrially encoded subunits colored as follows (from L to R): CI-ND5 in green; CI-ND4 in purple; CI-ND2 in orange; CI-ND4L in cyan; CI-ND1 in light magenta; CIII (homodimer)–CYTB in aquamarine; CIV-COX2 in green; CIV-COX1 in purple, CIV-COX3 in
selection, returned results indicative of strong negative selection (\(\omega < 1\)), consistent with the notion that mtDNA protein-coding genes are conserved to maintain OXPHOS function in Cryptodiran turtles, as they are broadly in eukaryotes (Castellana et al. 2011). That said, the degree of selective constraint varied considerably among and within OXPHOS proteins, indicating that positive selection may have operated intermittently. This notion was confirmed through branch-site (BrS) analyses, which uncovered strong signatures of positive selection in a branch and gene specific manner: when all genes were concatenated, positive selection was detected along the branch leading to the softshells clade (Trionychidae), green the pig-nose turtle branch (Carettochelyidae), and orange the leatherback sea turtle branch (Dermochelyidae). The proposed proton translocation pathway is indicated by double-headed arrows, and essential residues that line the channel are shown in red (Sazanov 2015; Fiedorczuk et al. 2016). Also noted on the structure: 1) relevant transmembrane (TM) helices; 2) the coupling elements HL and \(\beta_h\); 3) the quinone-binding cavity (QN). The structure is derived from Sus scrofa (PDB 5GUP) and residue and TM numbering follows that of the original structure.

Molecular Evolution of Soft-Shelled Turtle mtDNA: Biochemistry

Our results suggest that the convergent morphological evolution of soft-shells in the Carettochelyidae and Trionychidae lineages may have been coupled with convergent molecular
evolution of the mitochondrially encoded OXPHOS components. Of the five positively selected genes along the Carettochelyidae lineage, four were also positively selected along the Trionychidae lineage (ATP6, ATP8, COX3, ND2). Furthermore, for both of these lineages, the greatest number of positively selected sites was found in ND2, followed by ATP8 (according to gene-specific analyses). Although we did not find overlap between the lists of positively selected sites for the two lineages, we did observe concordance at the gene and complex scales. For both the Trionychidae branch and the Carettochelyidae branch we observed that the majority of the identified sites, regardless of data set (i.e., all genes concatenated or gene-specific), were located in CI, followed by CV, CIV, and finally CIII. Taken together, this indicates that convergence may apply at higher functional levels (i.e., at the OXPHOS complex level). This observation is consistent with the findings of a recent meta-analysis of natural selection in mitochondria, which identified CI as the most frequent target of positive selection in metazoans (Garvin, Bielwaski, et al. 2015). This general pattern appears to be due to the fact that CI is both the first and the largest domain of the OXPHOS pathway, responsible for an estimated 40% of the proton current that drives ATP synthase (Sasanov et al. 2013).

Furthermore, among the complexes, CI is the target of the most mutations that cause mitochondrial disorders in humans (Bridges et al. 2011; Mimaki et al. 2012). These observations, combined with ours, highlight the vital role of CI in initiating the proton-pumping process and strengthen our view that CI is an essential domain in shaping the evolution of bioenergetics in soft-shelled turtles and in metazoans in general.

Within CI, the majority of positively selected sites were found in ND2 for both the Trionychidae branch and the Carettochelyidae branch, followed by ND5 (for the Trionychidae branch) or ND4 (for the Carettochelyidae branch). These three subunits are homologous to one another and are referred to as antiporter-like subunits because they are related to the Mrp Na+/H+-antiporter protein family (Sazanov 2015). These subunits have been proposed to serve as key parts of the actual proton-pumping mechanism of CI, thereby playing a crucial role for energy production (Wu et al. 2016). Remarkably, most of the positively selected sites identified in these three subunits are in the most conserved and functionally relevant transmembrane (TM) regions. For ND2 and ND4, this corresponds to the regions stretching from TM1 to TM10 and TM4 to TM13, respectively, which are critical to ion transport (Sazanov 2015; Fiedorczuk et al. 2016), whereas for ND5 this corresponds to TM9 as well as the coupling elements, HL and ββ, which coordinate conformational cycling via interactions with TM domains within and with neighboring subunits ND4 and ND2 (Sazanov 2015). By considering the position of positively selected sites in light of recently solved structures of the CI-CIII-CIV supercomplex, we observed that some of the sites from ND2, ND4, and ND5 (α-helix HL) are in close proximity to CI accessory subunits (e.g., NDUFA11, NDUFB4, NDUFB8, NDUFB9) and/or lipid molecules that pack among the subunits; these accessory subunits and lipid molecules are proposed to help stabilize the supercomplex and play an important role in biological function (Wu et al. 2016). Also in CI, we detected signatures of adaptive evolution in ND1 (for the Trionychidae and Dermochelyidae branches) and ND4L (for the Trionychidae branch), both of which are known to interact with ND2; these subunits play an important structural role in linking the membrane-embedded hydrophobic domain and the peripheral hydrophilic arm of CI, and substitutions within these domains can impact the overall efficiency of proton-pumping dynamics (Bridges et al. 2011). Interestingly, the Trionychidae ND1 site is in TM1, which frames the entrance to the quinone-binding cavity and which is presumed to be involved in the coupling mechanism that links electron transfer and proton translocation (Sazanov 2015; Wu et al. 2016). Notably, several of the Trionychidae ND4L sites are close to conserved residues that form part of the proton translocation E (Glu)-channel (Sazanov 2015). Our results therefore indicate that even though the mitocondrially encoded subunits of CI are generally subject to stringent constraints, they seem to have been targeted by positive selection in a manner that likely affects proton flux.

Positively selected sites were also identified outside of CI and they too may affect critical aspects of the OXPHOS system. Positive selection was detected within the ATP6, ATP8, and COX3 genes along both the Carettochelyidae branch and the Trionychidae branch (as were sites within CYTB, though only when considering the analysis of the concatenated data set). ATP6 and ATP8 form part of the regulatory system of CV and contribute to the proton translocation path that drives ATP production (Castellana et al. 2011; Zhou et al. 2015). CYTB and COX3 also participate in proton translocation: CYTB has an essential catalytic activity (cytochrome c reduction) and uses direct coupling through important cofactors (haems, metal centers) for electron transfer and proton translocation (Sazanov 2015), as does COX3, albeit indirectly via its interaction with neighboring COX1 (Sharma et al. 2015). Several of the identified CYTB and COX3 sites are situated in TM domains that interact with the cofactors or molecules that influence proton uptake and translocation, such as the bound cofactors HemebL or HemebH in CIII (Xia et al. 2013) and the bound charged lipid molecules of the V-shaped cleft of COX3 in CIV (Sharma et al. 2015). Taken together, we infer that proton flow efficiency was targeted by positive selection along the Trionychidae and Carettochelyidae soft-shelled turtle lineages, primarily (but not exclusively) through adaptive evolution of the CI subunit ND2.

**Molecular Evolution of Soft-Shelled Turtle mtDNA: Ecology and Physiology**

Our branch-site analyses provided strong and consistent evidence for positive selection along the branches leading to the softshells (Trionychidae) and the branch leading to the pig-nosed turtle, but not along the branch leading to the leatherback sea turtle. Why do we see such different patterns on these different lineages given that they all represent highly aquatic lineages that evolved soft-shells? One possibility is that adaptation of OXPHOS system did in fact occur along the leatherback branch, but that it involved nuclear-encoded
subunits (Rand et al. 2004; Welch et al. 2014) or that it involved other factors that increase OXPHOS efficiency, such as increased mitochondrial content and/or cristae surface density (Dalziel et al. 2006; Zhang and Broughton 2015). Another possibility is that morphological, physiological, or behavioral adaptations occurred along the Dermochelyidae lineage and that these were sufficient to ensure oxygen needs were met without adaptive modification of the mitochondrially encoded OXPHOS subunits. For instance, the leatherback can store a high concentration of dissolved oxygen in its blood and muscle tissue and has multiple anatomical adaptations associated with efficient swimming performance (e.g., a ridged, tear-dropped shaped carapace) (Davenport et al. 2011; Bang et al. 2016) and deep and prolonged diving (e.g., collapsible lungs, a pulmonary sphincter) (Spotila and Tomillo 2015).

Furthermore, given that the leatherback is a long distance migratory species that travels from cold to warm waters, the OXPHOS system of leatherbacks may be subject to thermoregulatory constraints not found in other soft-shelled lineages. Most notably, leatherbacks are endotherms (Bostrom et al. 2010) and endothermy has been linked to OXPHOS proton leakage (Clarke and Pötner 2010). Consistent with this notion, a recent study of marine fish mitochondria genomes reported strong negative selection along the branches leading to endothermic tunas and billfishes (Zhang and Broughton 2015). Finally, it may be that the primary driver of positive selection in soft-shelled turtles is cutaneous respiration, which is unlikely to be employed by leatherbacks as their skin is thick and lined with insulating blubber (Davenport et al. 2011), making it poorly suited for cutaneous respiration. Moreover, the potential benefit of cutaneous respiration to leatherbacks (assuming dermal permeability) would presumably be limited, owing both to their large size and to the lower dissolved oxygen content of marine water relative to freshwater. That said, it would be interesting to explore differences between marine and freshwater turtles in greater detail, as past work with fishes suggests that osmoregulatory strategies associated with the two environments differ in terms of metabolic costs and oxygen requirements (Boeuf and Payan 2001). Since there was only a single invasion of the marine environment in turtles, this work would be particularly informative if combined with comparable studies of other taxonomic groups that have invaded both aquatic habitats (e.g., fishes, sharks, cetaceans, snakes).

While we found evidence for strong positive selection along the branch leading to the pig-nosed turtle (the Carettochelyidae branch), the signature of positive selection was even stronger along the branch leading to the clade of softshells (the Trionychidae branch). This may reflect the fact that shell loss, and the adoption of a highly aquatic lifestyle, is more “complete” in softshells than it is in the pig-nosed turtle. Both taxa employ oropharyngeal respiration (Winokur 1988) and presumably also cutaneous respiration (Ultsch et al. 1984; Joyce et al. 2012), yet the shells of softshells are much more highly vascularized and flattened (Scheyer et al. 2007). This increases the surface area-to-volume ratio available for cutaneous uptake of oxygen from water (Stone et al. 1992), allowing for greater dermal gas exchange and enabling the turtles to remain submerged for prolonged amounts of time (Ultsch et al. 1984). For instance, cutaneous respiration in the softshells Trionyx triunguis accounts for ~70% of total respiration, with the remaining 30% attributed to pharyngeal breathing (Girgis 1961). For the pig-nosed turtle, dermal gas exchange diffusion capacity has not yet been studied. However, having a domed shell and overall a greater degree of mineralization presumably reduces surface area-to-volume ratio and slows the diffusion of respiratory gases.

Conclusions

Our study provides evidence of strong positive Darwinian selection operating on the mitochondrial genome of two lineages of soft-shelled turtles, suggesting a link between shell morphology, respiratory physiology, and OXPHOS molecular biology in turtles. Combined with the results of several recent studies of mtDNA evolution (da Fonseca et al. 2008; Garvin et al. 2011; Caballero et al. 2015; Consuegra et al. 2015; Zhang and Broughton 2015), our work highlights the importance of the aquatic environment as a driver of adaptive evolutionary change in the OXPHOS system. Moreover, because we identified positively selected sites in functionally important regions of the OXPHOS system, our work provides a foundation for future experiments on the biochemical and physiological impact of mtDNA variation in turtles. However, our findings also indicate a complex pattern of molecular evolution, with the intensity of positive selection differing both among genes and lineages. Moreover, it is plausible that adaptive evolution of mtDNA has occurred along other lineages within the turtle phylogeny due to the evolution of other ecophysiological adaptations for efficient respiration. Finally, we note that the mitochondrial genome is not functionally independent of the nuclear genome, and it would be beneficial to compare and contrast patterns of molecular evolution between mtDNA genes and the nuclear genome-encoded components of the OXPHOS system; these two sets of genes are presumably coevolving due to structural and functional interactions.

Materials and Methods

Data Sets

Whole mitochondrial genome sequences from 57 turtle species (53 Cryptodiran species plus four outgroup Pleurodiran species) were downloaded from the NCBI database. This data set covers 10 of 11 Cryptodiran families (Trionychidae (8 species); Carettochelyidae (1); Dermochelyidae (1); Cheloniidae (6); Platyternidae (1); Emydidae (2); Testudinididae (11); Geomydidae (19); Kinosternidae (2); Chelydridae (2)) and all Pleurodiran families (Pelomedusidae (1); Podocnemidae (1); Chelidae (2) (fig. 1). We restricted our phylogenetic and molecular evolutionary analyses to the 12 heavy or H-strand protein-coding genes (i.e., ATP6 and ATP8, COX1–3, CYTB, ND1–5 and ND4L, but excluding ND6) because the light or L-strand has a significantly different base composition from the heavy-chain (Bradshaw et al. 2005). Two data set types were examined: 1) each of the 12 genes individually, and 2) all 12
 Alignments

We generated multiple sequence alignments using three different methods: ClustalW (Thompson et al. 1994), which was implemented using the program MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013), and MUSCLE (Edgar 2004) and PAGAN (Löytynoja et al. 2012), which were both implemented using the GUIDANCE web-server (Penn et al. 2010). For all methods, nucleotide sequences were first translated into amino acids, aligned, then back-translated into nucleotides and manually inspected. Stop codons were removed from the sequences prior to alignment. For the concatenated data set, we aligned each gene separately and then manually combined them into a single data set. Position-wise confidence scores estimated using GUIDANCE (Penn et al. 2010) were consistently high (range = 0.92–1.00), suggesting that the alignments were reliable and robust. Alignment properties are summarized in table 1.

A preliminary examination of the translated sequences revealed a few species with frameshifting insertions and/or deletions (indels) of 1 or 2 bp. These indels may simply represent PCR/sequencing errors or they may be true indels that are corrected during protein production via translational frameshifting (as has been previously reported for turtle mtDNA sequences; Russell and Beckenbach 2008). We manually edited these sequences to preserve the expected reading frame (0-frame) prior to alignment, following Russell and Beckenbach (2008) (supplementary table S1, Supplementary Material online).

Saturation in Codon Positions

We tested for codon position-specific patterns of saturation in the concatenated alignment using the method of Xia et al. 2003 in DAMBE 5.3.17 (Xia 2013). Estimates of the substitution saturation index were significantly lower than the critical value when considering all sites (1st + 2nd + 3rd codon positions) and when excluding 3rd positions, indicating minimal saturation ($P < 0.001$). This was true regardless of assumptions about the underlying tree topology (completely symmetrical vs. fully asymmetrical). A similar result was obtained when considering only 3rd positions and assuming a symmetric tree topology ($P < 0.001$), though we could not rule out some degree of saturation for 3rd positions when assuming a fully asymmetrical topology ($P = 0.877$). However, given that the phylogenetic trees we derived are largely congruent with previous reports of turtle relationships (see below), we concluded that saturation is likely minimal in our data.

Phylogenetic Tree Estimates

The individual nucleotide alignments for the 12 heavy strand protein-coding genes were concatenated and used for phylogeny estimation. Phylogenetic trees were inferred by first testing for the best fitting model of evolution using JModelTest (Darriba et al. 2012), comparing models via Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) scores. GTR + I + Γ was deemed the best-fit nucleotide substitution model and used to generate maximum likelihood and Bayesian estimates of tree topology. Alignment gaps were retained during model testing and tree building.

The Bayesian tree was estimated using MrBayes 3.2 (Ronquist et al. 2012). To create the Bayesian tree, the nucleotide model parameter was set to $4 \times 4$, the MCMC chain was run with four chains (three heated and one cold) for 10 million generations, and every 100 samples were taken to estimate the posterior distribution (discounting the first 25% of the samples). Convergence was confirmed by ensuring that the standard deviation of split frequencies was $< 0.01$ and was further checked visually with Tracer v1.5 (http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/Tracer). The 50% majority rule consensus tree was taken as our Bayesian estimate of the phylogeny. PhyML 3 was used to infer the ML tree (Guindon et al. 2010). We used BIONJ as the starting tree and SPR (subtree-pruning and regrafting) as the search algorithm. Node support was evaluated using nonparametric bootstrapping (1,000 replicates).

Phylogenetic analyses of the concatenated alignments built using different alignment algorithms produced similar topologies with strong node support (data not shown). As such, alignments built using the MUSCLE approach were used in all subsequent analyses. Moreover, the ML and Bayesian approaches yielded highly similar topologies (supplementary fig. S1, Supplementary Material online); subsequent analyses were therefore conducted solely using a pruned (Cryptodira clade) version of the ML tree (fig. 1).

Adaptive Evolution Analyses

We used the CODEML program in the PAML4 software package (Yang 2007) to explore patterns of natural selection and identify sites targeted by positive selection in each of the 12 heavy-strand mtDNA protein coding genes, as well as in the concatenated data set. This was performed using codon substitution models and ML to estimate the ratio of nonsynonymous-to-synonymous substitution rates, that is dN/dS (or $\omega$) (Goldman and Yang 1994; Muse and Gaut 1994). Three different classes of codon-based likelihood models of evolution were employed: random-site, branch-site, and clade models.

The random-site models assume that selection pressure varies among sites in the alignment but not among branches in the phylogeny. We used nested model comparisons (likelihood ratio tests; LRTs) to test for positive selection, comparing the fit of alternative models that allow for site-specific positive selection to the fit of similarly constructed null models that do not (null M8a vs. M8) (Yang et al. 2000; Swanson et al. 2003). LRT statistics (twice the difference in log-likelihood scores) were compared with a $\chi^2$ null distribution with the degrees of freedom set to the number of additional parameters estimated in the alternative model.

The branch-site (BrS) models of Zhang et al. (2005) allow the selection process to vary across lineages and sites, and were used to test for positive selection on pre-specified lineages (“foreground branches”). The BrS alternative model (BrS-A) assumes four categories of sites: the first two assume either purifying selection ($0 \leq \omega_0 \leq 1$) or neutrality ($\omega_1 = 1$), respectively, on all branches, whereas the remaining two
assume switches from either purifying selection or neutrality along the background to positive selection along the foreground \( (\omega_j > 1) \). The null model (BrS-N) is similarly built, but is constrained such that \( \omega_j = 1 \). BrS model comparisons were conducted using LRTs here, because the null is formed by constraining a parameter in the alternative model to a boundary, the test statistic was evaluated using a 50:50 mixture distribution of 0 and \( \chi^2_1 \) (see Goldman and Whelan 2000). Because BrS models do not allow for multiple foreground branches, we conducted separate tests for each branch of interest.

Clade models are similar to BrS models in that they jointly consider among-site and among-branch variation, but are designed for detecting shifts in selective constraint rather than positive selection. We employed clade model C (CmC) (Bielawski and Yang 2004), which assumes three classes of sites: those subject to constant purifying selection \( (0 < \omega_0 < 1) \), constant neutrality \( (\omega_1 = 1) \), or divergent selection \( (\omega_2 > 0 \text{ and } \omega_3 > 0) \), assuming two pre-specified sets of foreground branches. As a null model, we used M2a_rel, which allows for among-site but not among-branch variation (Weadick and Chang 2012). Because the alternative model contains one extra parameter relative to the null, the LRT was evaluated using a \( \chi^2_1 \) distribution. We also used AIC comparisons to help evaluate relative model fit.

**Foreground Branch Assignments**

For BrS and CmC models, the phylogeny was partitioned into background and foreground branches in multiple ways (fig. 1). First, we considered the branch leading to the softshell turtles (Trionychidae clade) as the foreground. For BrS models this branch alone comprised the foreground, whereas for CmC models this branch and all descendent branches were collectively set as the foreground. Second, the branch leading to the pig-nosed turtle Carettochelys insculpta was set as the foreground. Third, the branch leading to the leatherback sea turtle Dermochelys coriacea was set as the foreground. Fourth, for CmC only, we combined the above three sets into a single foreground group. These branch labelling decisions were based on our a priori knowledge of the evolution of the “soft-shelled trait” in turtles, as indicated by fossil evidence (Joyce 2007, 2014).

**Codon Model Fitting**

Codon models were run with initial branch length estimates derived from a preliminary fit of the M0 model (which assumes no site-wise or branch-wise dN/dS variation). Alignment columns with gaps and uncertainties were dropped during analyses to avoid false positives (Fletcher and Yang 2010). Codon frequencies were approximated using the \( F_3 \times 4 \) calculation. To avoid potential local optima, each model was fit multiple times, varying initial starting points for the transition-to-transversion rate ratio parameter \( (\kappa) \) or \( \omega_i \), depending on the model tested. Positively selected sites were identified for the M8 and BrS-A models using the Bayes empirical Bayes (BEB) approach (Yang et al. 2005) with a posterior probability (PP) cutoff of 0.90. The distribution of positively selected sites among OXPHOS complexes was examined using a G-test of independence, as implemented in R (R Core Team 2014). Sequence logos were generated using WebLogo (under default settings, except with no small sample correction) in order to visualize patterns of AA variability at selected sites (Crooks et al. 2004). Branch-specific estimates of the rate of synonymous substitutions per synonymous site (dS) calculated under the M0 model were < 1 for nearly all branches, indicating that we have sufficient phylogenetic resolution to accurately estimate dN/dS across the phylogeny (table 1).

**Structural Analysis**

To gain insights into how substitutions at positively selected sites may influence the structure and function of the mitochondrial proteins, we aligned our data sets against homologous proteins for which X-ray crystal structures are available in the Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb). CI, CIII, and CIV subunits were aligned against homologs from the recently released Sus scrofa CI-CIII-CIV supercomplex structure (PDB SGUP), and the CV subunit ATP6 was aligned with its Bos taurus homolog (PDB 5ARA). (No structure is available for ATP8). Finally, we also aligned each gene with its homologous human protein to relate our findings to biomedically important sites as reported in the literature, and used the infer ancestral sequences ML tool in MEGA 6 (Tamura et al. 2013) to infer specific amino acids changes at key sites and along key branches. We used PyMOL version 1.8.6.0 (https://www.pymol.org/) for protein visualization.

**Supplementary Material**

Supplementary data are available at Molecular Biology and Evolution online.
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