A Non-thermal Pulsed X-Ray Emission of AR Scorpii
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Abstract

We report the analysis result of UV/X-ray emission from AR Scorpii, which is an intermediate polar (IP) composed of a magnetic white dwarf and an M-type star, with the XMM-Newton data. The X-ray/UV emission clearly shows a large variation over the orbit, and their intensity maximum (or minimum) is located at the superior conjunction (or inferior conjunction) of the M star orbit. The hardness ratio of the X-ray emission shows a small variation over the orbital phase and shows no indication of the absorption by an accretion column. These properties are naturally explained by the emission from the M star surface rather than that from the accretion column on the white dwarf’s (WD) star, which is similar to usual IPs. Additionally, the observed X-ray emission also modulates with the WD’s spin with a pulse fraction of ∼14%. The peak position is aligned in the optical/UV/X-ray band. This supports the hypothesis that the electrons in AR Scorpii are accelerated to a relativistic speed and emit non-thermal photons via the synchrotron radiation. In the X-ray bands, evidence of the power-law spectrum is found in the pulsed component, although the observed emission is dominated by the optically thin thermal plasma emissions with several different temperatures. It is considered that the magnetic dissipation/reconnection process on the M star surface heats up the plasma to a temperature of several keV and also accelerates the electrons to the relativistic speed. The relativistic electrons are trapped in the WD’s closed magnetic field lines by the magnetic mirror effect. In this model, the observed pulsed component is explained by the emissions from the first magnetic mirror point.
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1. Introduction

AR Scorpii (hereafter ARSco) is a white dwarf (WD) binary system categorized as the intermediate polar (hereafter IP), and it is a compact binary system with a binary separation of a ∼ 8 × 10^{10} cm. The distance to the source is d ∼ 110 pc (Marsh et al. 2016; Buckley et al. 2017). This binary system consists of a magnetic white dwarf, for which the surface magnetic field is B_0 ∼ 10^8 G, and an M-type main-sequence star (hereafter M star) with a radius of R_0 ∼ 0.4 R_\odot and a mass of M_0 ∼ 0.3 M_\odot. The spin period of the white dwarf is P_s ∼ 117 s, and the orbital period of the system is P_o = 3.56 hr. In terms of the radio/optical/UV emission properties, AR Sco is distinguished from other IPs and is similar to those of neutron star (NS) pulsar. The radio/optical/UV emission modulates due to the spin of the WD, and the light curve shows the double peak structure. The phase separation between two peaks is ∼0.5 in the optical/UV bands, and the pulse fraction exceeds 95% at the UV bands (Marsh et al. 2016). The optical emission is observed with a strong linear polarization and a polarization degree varying over the spin phase. The double peak structure of the pulse profile and the morphology of the linear polarization (Buckley et al. 2017) in the optical bands resemble those of the Crab pulsar, which is an isolated young NS pulsar with electromagnetic waves from radio to high energy TeV bands (e.g., Kuiper et al. 2001; Kanbach et al. 2005; Takata et al. 2007).

Moreover, the optical emission from AR Sco also modulates on the orbital period (3.56 hr), which indicates the heating of the day-side of the M star by the magnetic field/radiation of the WD (Marsh et al. 2016; Katz 2017). This feature is also similar to that of the millisecond pulsar/low-mass star binary systems (e.g., Fruchter et al. 1988; Kong et al. 2012). With the unique properties of the emission, AR Sco may be the first WD binary system that continuously shows a non-thermal radiation from relativistic electrons.

AR Sco’s broadband electromagnetic spectrum from radio to UV bands is characterized by a synchrotron radiation from relativistic electrons, indicating acceleration process in the binary system. As pointed out by Geng et al. (2016), on the other hand, the number of particles that emits the observed pulsed optical emission of AR Sco is significantly larger than the number that can be supplied by the WD itself. Geng et al. (2016) thus suggest that an electron/positron beam from the WD’s polar cap sweeps the stellar wind from the M star, and a bow shock propagating into stellar wind accelerates the electrons in the wind. Takata et al. (2017) consider the relativistic electrons that are trapped at the closed magnetic field lines of the WD by the magnetic mirror effect and suggest that the pulse emission is originated by the emission from the first magnetic mirror point. Both models predict the non-thermal X-ray emission from this system. However, Marsh et al. (2016) report no significance detection of the pulse emission in the X-ray bands, and determine the upper limit of the pulse fraction at ∼30%. Therefore, the origin of the X-ray emission from this system has not been undetermined. In this paper, we report on the analysis of results from the UV/X-ray data taken by the XMM-Newton.
Figure 1. Light curves with a timing resolution of 80 s for the OM (top) and all the EPIC data (bottom) after background subtraction.

2. Data Analysis

We analyze the archival XMM-Newton data taken at 2016 September 19 (Obs. ID: 0783940101, PI: Steeghs). This new observation was performed with a total exposures of \(~39\) ks. The observation was operated under the fast mode for the OM camera, the small window mode for the MOS1/2 CCDs (time resolution 0.3 s), and the large window mode for the PN camera (time resolution 47.7 ms). Event lists from the data are produced in the standard way using the most updated instrumental calibration, omfchain, emproc, and epproc tasks of the XMM-Newton Science Analysis Software (XMMASAS, version 16.0.0). A point source is significantly detected (>100\(\sigma\)) by the XMMAS task edetect_chain at the position of AR Sco. To perform the spectral and timing analyses, we extract the EPIC data from a circular region with a radius of 20\(\arcsec\) centered at source position (R.A., dec.) = (16\(^{h}\)21\(^{m}\)47\(^{s}\)29, –22\(^{\circ}\)53\(^{\prime}\)10\(^{\prime\prime}\)4) (J2000). The arrival times of all the selected events of the OM/EPIC data are barycentric-corrected with the aforementioned position and the latest DE405 Earth ephemeris.

2.1. Timing Analysis

2.1.1. Orbital Modulation

Marsh et al. (2016) argue that the un-pulsed optical/UV emission shows the maximum (or minimum) brightness at the superior conjunction (or inferior conjunction) of the M star orbit, and the emission is originated from the day-side of the M star. The new XMM-Newton observation covers more than two orbits of AR Sco, and the X-ray emission significantly modulates over the orbital phase (Figure 1). We can see in the figure that the X-ray modulation after subtracting the background remains at a large DC level, and it synchronizes with the UV orbital modulation.

We fold the EPIC data in the orbital frequency \(\nu_o = 0.07792\) mHz (Table 1) with the reference time \(T_o\) (MJD) = 579264.09615, where the M star is in the inferior conjunction; that is, the M star is located between the WD and Earth. The properties of the X-ray orbital modulation of AR Sco are distinguished from those of other IPs. AE Aquarii are the IP system whose orbital period \(P_o \sim 9.88\) hr and spin period \(P_s \sim 33\) s are similar to those of AR Sco (Choi et al. 1999; Itoh et al. 2006; Terada et al. 2008), but its X-ray emission does not show significant orbital modulation. The observed X-ray flux from some IPs shows a sharp drop to zero due to an eclipse of the emission region, which indicates that the X-ray emission region is confined close to the WD’s surface (Cropper et al. 2002). Some IPs exhibit an orbital modulation due to absorption by an accretion stream, for which the X-ray modulation is naturally explained if the emission is originated from the day-side of the M star, on which the plasma is heated by the magnetic field/radiation of the WD.

In Figure 1, we find that OM orbital light curve shows a faster rise and a slower decay, and the orbit maximum is prior to the superior conjunction of the companion orbit. This orbital waveform is consistent with the previous results (Marsh et al. 2016; Littlefield et al. 2017). This orbital shift is interpreted as a consequence of either (1) the major magnetic dissipation at the leading surface of the M-type star or (2) the precession of the rotation axis of the WD owing to a misalignment to the

Table 1

| \(T_o\) (MJD) | \(T_o\) (S) | \(\nu_o\) (mHz) | \(\nu_s\) (mHz) | \(\nu_c\) (mHz) |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| 579264.09615 | 57641.54629 | 8.4611 | 8.5390 | 0.07792 |

Notes.

\(a\) Reference time for the orbital phase. Adopted from Marsh et al. (2016).
\(b\) Reference time for the spin phase.
\(c\) Beat frequency in Figure 4.
\(d\) Spin frequency in Figure 4.
\(e\) Orbital frequency. Adopted from Marsh et al. (2016).
orbital axis (Katz 2017). Littlefield et al. (2017) reveals that the orbital waveform and maxima gradually shift with time.

### 2.1.2. Energy-dependent Pulse Profile

In Marsh et al. (2016), the timing analysis shows that the optical/UV emission from AR Sco is modulating with the beat frequency of the WD’s spin frequency and the orbital frequency. The pulse profile folded in the beat frequency shows a double peak structure with a phase separation of $\sim 0.5$. Moreover, the peaks in the optical and UV bands are in phase, and the radiation power of the pulse emission in the optical/UV bands is comparable to or more than the DC emission from the M star surface and WD surface. The broadband spectrum from the radio to optical bands is described by a non-thermal spectrum. These optical/UV properties suggest that the AR Sco continuously generates relativistic electrons. To confirm this hypothesis, the detection of the pulse emission in a higher energy band and the correlation of the pulse peaks in different energy bands are important. We therefore search the beat frequency ($\nu_B \sim 8.6$ mHz) reported by Marsh et al. (2016) in the OM/EPIC data, and we find a significant peak at the beat frequency in the Lomb–Scargle periodogram (Lomb 1976) in OM data (Figure 3) and in the $Z_2^2$ periodogram (Buccheri et al. 1983) in all EPIC data (Figure 4). For the EPIC data, the beat frequency $|\nu_B| = 8.461100(8)$ mHz, where the error is determined by the Equation (6a) in Leahy (1987)) is detected with $Z_2^2 \sim 240$ or $H \sim 242$ of the H-test (de Jager & Büsching 2010), which corresponds to a random probability of $<10^{-14}$, suggesting the X-ray pulsation is significantly detected. In addition to the fundamental beat frequency, a peak in periodogram can be found at the spin frequency $|\nu_s| \sim 8.5390$ mHz and their harmonics. Table 1 summarizes the ephemered used to make a folded light curve in this paper.

To investigate property of the pulse profile, we fold the OM/EPIC data into the beat phase and obtain the orbital phase-averaged pulse profiles in the UV bands, 0.15–2.0 keV bands, and 2–12 keV bands (Figure 5). In the UV bands, the pulse profile is composed of the prominent first peak and a small second peak, and the phase separation between the peaks is $\sim 0.5$ in the beat phase, which is consistent with the previous result of the optical/UV pulse profiles (Marsh et al. 2016). We

| Table 2 |
| --- |
| Best-fit Parameters of the Two- and Three-temperature Model |
| 2VMEKAL | 3VMEKAL |
| $N_H$ (10$^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 3.5$^{+0.5}_{-0.5}$ | 3.4$^{+0.4}_{-0.8}$ |
| $kT_1$ (keV) | 8.0$^{+1.3}_{-1.3}$ | 8.0$^{+2.1}_{-2.8}$ |
| $kT_2$ (keV) | 1.1$^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ | 1.7$^{+0.26}_{-0.26}$ |
| $kT_3$ (keV) | ... | 0.5$^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$ |
| $N_1^e$ ($10^{44}$) | 7.6$^{+1.0}_{-1.3}$ | 6.1$^{+2.6}_{-2.1}$ |
| $N_2^e$ ($10^{44}$) | 0.83$^{+0.45}_{-0.35}$ | 2.1$^{+1.4}_{-0.95}$ |
| $N_3^e$ ($10^{44}$) | ... | 0.35$^{+0.13}_{-0.15}$ |
| $F_p^b$ | 0.62$^{+0.49}_{-0.21}$ | 0.67$^{+0.17}_{-0.17}$ |
| $F_X^b$ ($10^{-12}$ erg s$^{-1}$ cm$^{-2}$) | 3.2$^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$ | 3.2$^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$ |
| $\chi^2$ (dof) | 459 (407) | 416 (404) |

Notes.

a Normalization of the VMEKAL component in units of $10^{-14}$c/$(4\pi d^2)\int n_e n_H dV$, where $D$ (cm) is the distance to the source.

b Solar abundances by Anders & Grevesse (1989).

c Unabsorbed flux in 0.15–12 keV.

---

Figure 3. Lomb-Scargle periodogram of the OM data. The location of beat frequency ($\nu_B \sim 8.46$ mHz), the spin frequency ($\nu_s \sim 8.54$ mHz), and their harmonics are indicated by the vertical dashed lines.

Figure 4. $Z_2^2$ periodogram for the EPIC data.

Figure 5. Energy-dependent pulse profiles folded in beat frequency: UV (top panel), 0.15–2 keV energy bands (middle panel), and 2–12 keV energy bands (right panel). For the OM data, we remove the data at $T_b < 3$ hr in Figure 1, as there is a large observational gap. The spectrum of the pulse components is generated by subtracting the spectrum at “Phase 1” from that at “Phase 2.”
can see that in Figure 5 the pulse profile in the 0.15–2 keV bands is similar to that of the UV bands, although the second peak is less significance (<3σ). This similarity in the pulse profile shows that the pulse emission in the UV/soft X-ray bands is produced by the same population of the particles.

The narrow phase width of the main peak and the double peak pulse profile of AR Sco are also distinguished from the pulse profiles of canonical IPs, in which the X-ray spin modulation is observed as a broad single pulse (e.g., Pekon & Balman 2011). This observational fact also supports the hypothesis that the X-ray emission of AR Sco is not explained by the emission from the accretion column/ heated WD’s surface. Our result shows that the pulse emission from AR Sco extends from radio to soft X-ray bands, and the pulse profile is aligned from the optical/UV to X-ray energy bands (three to four orders of magnitude in the energy). This is a strong indication that the electrons are accelerated to relativistic energies in the AR Sco system, and the pulse emission is produced by the synchrotron radiation process. In the 2–12 keV energy band, the detection of the pulsation is not significant with $\chi^2$/dof = 50/24 for the probability of a flat distribution.

Another interesting feature is the energy-dependent pulse fraction. The pulse fraction, which is defined by the equation $(f_{\text{max}} - f_{\text{min}})/(f_{\text{max}} + f_{\text{min}})$, is measured as $\sim$50% in the UV bands, while it is $\sim$14% in the 0.15–2 keV energy bands, which is consistent with the upper limit of 30% in Marsh et al. (2016). The small pulse fraction shows that the DC level is the main component in the X-ray emission. Moreover, the large orbital variation synchronizing with the UV emission shows that DC component originates from the heated-side of the M star, and there is an $\sim$keV plasma around the M star surface. The energy conversion from the magnetic energy to the particle energy due to the magnetic reconnection/dissipation on the M star is a possible scenario to heat up/accelerate the plasma.

2.1.3. Pulse Profile; Orbital Evolution

The pulse emission from AR Sco is observed with the beat frequency $\nu_b$. This indicates that the position of the pulse peak in the spin phase has a linear shift in the orbital phase. To confirm this, we make a dynamic pulse profile folded in the spin phase over the orbital phase (upper panel in Figure 6). In Figure 6, we can clearly see the shift of the position of the pulse peak in the spin phase for both OM (right panel) and EPIC (left panel) data. However, an interesting feature can be seen in the dynamic pulse profile of the EPIC data; in the right upper panel, the phase shift of the pulse peak at $\Phi_{\text{ob}} \sim 0.2$–0.5 orbital phase is slower than that in $\Phi_{\text{ob}} \sim 0.5$–1 orbital phase and results in a discontinuity of the peak position at the superior conjunction ($\Phi_{\text{ob}} \sim 0.5$) and inferior conjunction ($\Phi_{\text{ob}} \sim 0$) of the orbit of the M star. This interesting feature can also be seen in the dynamic pulse profiles folded in the beat phase (lower panel in Figure 6); in this case, the X-ray pulse peak (right pane) shifts at the $\Phi_{\text{ob}} \sim 0.2$–0.5 orbital phase, while the peak position does not show a shift during the $\Phi_{\text{ob}} \sim 0.5$–1 orbital phase.

To investigate an evolution of the pulse profile over the orbit, we create orbital resolved pulse profiles, folded in spin phase, of the OM data and the EPIC data (Figure 7). In Figure 7, we can see that the pulse shapes in both UV and X-ray bands evolve over the orbital phase. On the other hand, we can also
see that the pulse shape and peak position in the UV/X-ray bands are similar to each other in most of the orbital phase. This observational result also supports the hypothesis that the pulse emissions in the UV and X-ray bands originate from the same population of particles.

In the UV bands, the double peak structure can be clearly seen at most parts of the orbital phase. Around $\Phi_{\text{ob}} \sim 0.5$ orbital phase (superior conjunction), however, the pulse profile is described by a broad single peak, and the pulse shape drastically changes during $\Phi_{\text{ob}} = 0.3–0.6$ orbital phase, where the shift of the main peak position in the spin phase is faster than other orbital phase. In the X-ray bands, we also confirm such a rapid change in the behavior of the pulse profile around the superior conjunction. In Figure 7, a large change in the pulse profile can be also seen around the inferior conjunction ($\Phi_{\text{ob}} \sim 0$).

An interesting feature is the large variation of the pulse fraction over the orbital phase. For the UV bands, the pulse fraction is at maximum at the inferior conjunction ($> 70\%$) and at minimum at the superior conjunction ($< 40\%$). The X-ray emission also shows a similar trend, although the uncertainty is large. For the OM data, we fit the pulse profile with two Gaussian components and determine the DC level for each orbital phase. In Figure 8, we can see that the DC component varies by a factor of six over the orbital motion, while the pulsed component changes by a factor of approximately four. For the pulsed component, moreover, the count is almost constant during $\Phi_{\text{ob}} = 0.4–1.0$ orbital phase. The difference in the evolution of the photon count over the orbital phase suggests that the emission region of the pulsed component and DC level is different. Because the DC level emission likely came from the entire surface of the day-side of the M star, the pulsed component is produced in part on the M star’s surface or at the WD’s magnetosphere.

2.2. Spectral Analysis

2.2.1. Phase-averaged Spectrum

In order to further investigate the X-ray emission from AR Sco, we carry out a spectral analysis with the EPIC camera. We generate the spectra from photons in the 0.15–12 keV energy bands within a radius of 20″ circle centered at the source. The background spectrum is generated from a source-free region. The response files are generated by the XMMSAS tasks rmfgen and arfgen. We group the channels so as to archive the signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N > 3$ in each energy bin with specgrop of SAS, and we use Xspec (version 12.9.1) to fit the data.

The obtained spectra (Figure 9) clearly show a 6.8 keV emission line from He-like $F_\alpha$. To fit the EPIC data, therefore, we adopt an optically thin thermal plasma emission (VMEKAL in Xspec), which is a common spectral model for the IPs. During the fitting, we find that the current EPIC data can constrain only the abundance of $F_\alpha$ and therefore we fix other elements at the solar abundance. First, we fit the data with a single temperature model and find that the model cannot provide an acceptable fit ($\chi^2 \sim 713$ for 410 dof). Adding a power-law component or a disk component (diskbb in Xspec) does not improve the results of the fitting. Then, we fit the data with two different temperature components and find that a two-component model with $kT_1 \sim 8.0$ keV and $kT_2 \sim 1.1$ keV can provide an acceptable fit (Table 2). In order to determine the
number of VMEKAL components with different temperatures, we fit the data with three-temperature and four-temperature component models. An improvement of the fitting is found by adding the third component with an F statistic value of 14.1, which means that the probability of this improvement being caused by chance is $1.2 \times 10^{-8}$. Less significant improvement is found for a fourth component with an F-value of 1.8 or a change probability of 0.15. The best-fit two and three-temperature VMEKAL models are shown in Table 2. We do not find significant evidence of a non-thermal component in the phase-averaged spectrum. As the X-ray flux modulates over the orbit (Figure 1), we evenly divide one orbit into four parts to investigate the evolution of the spectrum over the orbital phase. We do not find any significant change in the orbitally phase-resolved spectra.

2.2.2. Spectrum of the Pulsed Component

It has been considered that the emission from radio to UV bands is produced by the synchrotron radiation of the relativistic electrons (Marsh et al. 2016). As described in Section 2.1, the pulse UV/X-ray emission originates from the same population of the plasma. To examine the contribution of the non-thermal component in the X-ray bands, we first perform a phase-resolved spectral analysis, and we compare the spectra at “Phase 1” and at “Phase 2” in Figure 5. We find that the phase-resolved spectra for Phase 1/Phase 2 are well described by an optically thin thermal plasma emission model, and we do not find significant difference in the fitting parameters of the two phases within 1σ error. We obtain this result because the pulse fraction is $\sim 14\%$, and therefore the phase-resolved spectrum is also dominated by the un-pulsed component.

We then generate the spectrum of the pulsed component by subtracting the spectrum of “Phase 1” from that of “Phase 2.” We remove the MOS1 data because of the small amount of the photon counts. During the fitting, we fix the hydrogen column density at $N_{\text{H}} = 3.5 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$ from the phase-averaged spectrum. As a result, the power-law model can describe the data reasonably well ($\chi^2 = 8.97$ for 12 dof). It yields a soft emission with a photon index $\Gamma = 2.3 \pm 0.5$ and an unabsorbed flux of $F_X = 3.7_{-0.7}^{+0.7} \times 10^{-13} \text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ in 0.15–2 keV energy bands. An optically thin plasma emission model (1 MEKAL model) also results in a comparable goodness of fit. In this model, however, the fitting cannot constrain the parameters of the model; that is, the error range is larger than the central value. Figure 10 shows the broadband SED spectrum of AR Sco.

3. Discussion

With $d = 110$ pc, the X-ray luminosity is of the order of $L_X \sim 4 \times 10^{30} \text{erg s}^{-1}$, similar to $L_X \sim 10^{31} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ of AE Aquarii (Kitaguchi et al. 2014), but it is two to three orders of magnitude lower than that of typical IPs. One interesting feature of AR Sco is the weak absorption of the soft X-rays, which corresponds to $N_{\text{H}} \sim 3 \times 10^{20} \text{cm}^{-2}$, lower than $N_{\text{H}} > 10^{22} \text{cm}^{-2}$ observed in many IPs (Yuasa et al. 2010). With the distance $d = 110$ pc of AR Sco, the column density will be mainly contributed by the interstellar absorption. This also supports the hypothesis that most of the X-ray emission of AR Sco is not produced as a result of the mass accretion on the WD surface, as we have discussed in Section 2.1.
Most of the X-ray emission of the AR Sco is produced by the thermal plasma heated up to several keV. Because there is no evidence of the accretion column for AR Sco, an alternative plausible process is a magnetically driven interaction between the WD and M star, such that a magnetic dissipation process eventually heats up/accelerates the plasma on the M star surface. When the WD’s magnetic field lines sweep across the surface of the M star, the magnetic interaction on the M star produces an azimuthal component of WD’s magnetic field, and the pitch $\eta \equiv B_{||}/B$ will increase at $\eta \rightarrow 1$ before the magnetic field becomes unstable against the dissipation process. We estimate the rate of the energy dissipation as (Lai 2012; Buckley et al. 2017)

$$L_{B} = \frac{\eta B^{2}}{8\pi} (4\pi R_{3}\delta)\Omega_{WD} \sim 2.8 \times 10^{32}\text{erg s}^{-1}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{\mu_{WD}}{10^{35}\text{G cm}^{2}} \right)^{2} \eta \left( \frac{\delta}{0.01} \right) \left( \frac{R_{8}}{3 \times 10^{10}\text{cm}} \right)^{3}$$

$$\times \left( \frac{a}{8 \times 10^{10}\text{cm}} \right)^{-6} \left( \frac{P_{2}}{117\text{s}} \right)^{-1},$$

(1)

where $\mu_{WD}$ is the WD’s magnetic dipole moment, $\delta$ is the skin depth (Buckley et al. 2017), and $\Omega_{s} = 2\pi/P_{s}$ is the spin angular frequency. As the thermal component of the X-ray emission is observed with a luminosity of $\sim 4 \times 10^{36}\text{erg s}^{-1}$, a small fraction of the dissipation energy is converted into keV plasma. Most of the dissipation energy would be used to accelerate the electrons and be radiated away by the synchrotron radiation that has a peak at the IR/optical bands in the spectral energy distribution and a synchrotron luminosity of $L_{\text{syn}} \sim L_{B} \sim 10^{32}\text{erg s}^{-1}$.

Evidence of the non-thermal emission can be found in the pulsed component; although, the possibility of the emission from the thermal plasma cannot be ruled out. However, the alignment of the pulse peaks in the optical/UV/X-ray energy bands (three to four orders of magnitude in the energy) strongly supports the synchrotron emission process of the non-thermal relativistic electrons. The double-peaked structure in the optical/UV bands of AR Sco is similar to the Crab pulsar (isolated young neutron star), for which the electrons/positrons are accelerated by the electric field parallel to the magnetic field line, where the charge density deviates from the Goldreich-Julian charge density. As pointed out by Geng et al. (2016), however, the number of the particles that emit the observed pulse optical emissions of AR Sco is significantly larger than the number that can be supplied by the WD itself. This suggests that the synchrotron emitting electrons are supplied from the M star surface, and the acceleration process is different than that of NS pulsars.

Magnetic reconnection on the M star is a possible process to produce the relativistic electrons. The strength of the magnetic field of the WD at the surface of the M star is of the order of

$$R_{d} \sim 195 \left( \frac{\mu_{WD}}{10^{35}\text{G cm}^{2}} \right) \left( \frac{a}{8 \times 10^{10}\text{cm}} \right)^{-3}\text{G},$$

(2)

where $\mu_{WD}$ is the magnetic moment of the WD. In the observed SED (Figure 10), the spectral peak appears at $E_{p} \sim 0.1–1\text{eV}$. If the observed pulse emission originates from the M star surface, the synchrotron radiation implies the typical Lorentz factor of $\gamma_{e} \sim 170(R_{d}/200\text{G})^{-1/2}(E_{p}/1\text{eV})^{1/2}$. With the Lorentz factor $\gamma_{e} \sim 170$, on the other hand, the timescale of the synchrotron loss around the M star is $\tau_{s} \sim 110\text{s}(B_{d}/200\text{G})^{-2} (\gamma_{e}/170)^{-1}$. As the cooling timescale is longer than the crossing timescale of $\tau_{c} \sim a/c \sim 2.5\text{s}$, the accelerated electrons on the M star surface can migrate into the inner magnetosphere of the WD along the magnetic field of the WD before losing their energy.

Takata et al. (2017) discuss that the observed pulse emission is produced by the relativistic electrons trapped by the closed magnetic field lines of the WD. The accelerated electrons from the M star will move toward the WD’s surface with the condition that $\tau_{c} < \tau_{s}$, and increase the perpendicular momentum under the first adiabatic invariance. The electron is rebound by the magnetic mirror effect and returns to the outer magnetosphere. The synchrotron emission from the first magnetic mirror point after leaving the M star surface dominates the emission from the subsequent mirror points and is observed as the pulse emission (Figure 11). In this scenario, the pulse emission can be produced from the inclined rotator, for which the dipole magnetic axis and the spin axis are not aligned; in Takata et al. (2017), the spin axis of WD is assumed to be perpendicular to the orbital plane. The electrons trapped into different magnetic field lines have different travel time from the M star surface to the first magnetic mirror point. Due to the difference in the travel times, the electrons injected at different times may arrive at the first magnetic mirror point simultaneously. This enhances the observed flux and this effect becomes important for the electrons that are injected around when the magnetic axis is laid within the plane made by the spin axis and the direction of the M star. As the position of this plane relative to the direction of the Earth shifts over the orbital phase, the pulse peak also shifts in the spin phase and results in the formation of the beat frequency in the timing analysis.

In summary, we have reported that the X-ray emission from AR Sco is modulating with the orbital phase and with the beat phase. The X-ray orbital modulation with a weak absorption and synchronizing with the UV emission suggest that most of the emission originates from the M star surface rather than the WD’s surface, similar to other IPs. We found that the pulse shape of the X-ray emission is similar to that in the optical/UV bands, and the peak position is aligned in the optical/UV/X-ray bands. This is strong evidence that the pulse emission is non-thermal, and it is produced by the synchrotron radiation process of the relativistic electrons. In the X-ray data, the
evidence of non-thermal emission can be seen in the spectrum of the pulsed component. Our results support that AR Sco is the new class of the WD binary system that continuously produces non-thermal radiation from the relativistic electrons.
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