Novel Insight Into the Role of ACSL1 Gene in Milk Production Traits in Buffalo
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Understanding the genetic mechanisms underlying milk production traits contribute to improving the production potential of dairy animals. Long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase 1 (ACSL1) plays a key role in fatty acid metabolism and was highly expressed in the lactating mammary gland epithelial cells (MGECs). The objectives of the present study were to detect the polymorphisms within ACSL1 in Mediterranean buffalo, the genetic effects of these mutations on milk production traits, and understand the gene regulatory effects on MGECs. A total of twelve SNPs were identified by sequencing, including nine SNPs in the intronic region and three in the exonic region. Association analysis showed that nine SNPs were associated with one or more traits. Two haplotype blocks were identified, and among these haplotypes, the individuals carrying the H2H2 haplotype in block 1 and H5H1 in block 2 were superior to those of other haplotypes in milk production traits. Immunohistological staining of ACSL1 in buffalo mammary gland tissue indicated its expression and localization in MGECs. Knockdown of ACSL1 inhibited cell growth, diminished MGEC lipid synthesis and triglyceride secretion, and downregulated CCND1, PPARγ, and FABP3 expression. The overexpression of ACSL1 promoted cell growth, enhanced the triglyceride secretion, and upregulated CCND1, PPARγ, SREBP1, and FABP3. ACSL1 was also involved in milk protein regulation as indicated by the decreased or increased β-casein concentration and CSN3 expression in the knockdown or overexpression group, respectively. In summary, our present study depicted that ACSL1 mutations were associated with buffalo milk production performance. This may be related to its positive regulation roles on MGEC growth, milk fat, and milk protein synthesis. The current study showed the potential of the ACSL1 gene as a

Abbreviations: ACSL1, long-chain acyl-CoA synthetases; GS, genomic selection; LD, linkage disequilibrium; GWAS, genome-wide association studies; PM, peak milk yield; MY, milk yield; FY, milk fat yield; FP, milk fat percentage; PM, milk protein yield; PP, milk protein percentage; MGECs, mammary gland epithelial cells; TG, triglyceride; PIC, polymorphism information content; HWE, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium.
candidate for milk production traits and provides a new understanding of the physiological mechanisms underlying milk production regulation.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) is the second-largest milk producer contributing more than 15% of the world’s total milk production. Buffalo is considered the most promising species in developing countries due to its high adaptability to local environmental conditions along with their significant contribution to milk and meat production (Du et al., 2019). Buffalo milk has a higher nutritional value in fat, protein, and iron and less cholesterol content compared to that of dairy cow milk (Barlowska et al., 2011). However, the low milk yield limited buffalo industry progress. Therefore, improving the buffalo milk yield while maintaining its high milk quality is the major challenge for modern buffalo breeding.

Genomic information can be directly utilized through genomic selection (GS) without the knowledge about the biological function of the genetic markers used for prediction, where GS mainly depends on the linkage disequilibrium (LD) among genetic loci and loci associated with the trait variation to create the prediction equation (Goddard et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2019). Recently, it has been reported that incorporating prior physiological knowledge and pre-selected genetic variants into GS increased the accuracy of prediction (Hayes and Daetwyler, 2019). Identification of these genetic loci can be achieved by genome-wide association studies (GWASs) and/or candidate gene approaches. In this issue, several published reports were performed to detect the genomic loci associated with milk production traits in Brazilian, Chinese, Egyptian, Iranian, Italian, and Philippine buffalo (Abdel-Shafy et al., 2020). However, none of these loci was overlapped among different populations and validated, which indicates a modest effect of each SNP and complexity of milk production traits. In this case, candidate gene approaches would be required to accurately identify the genetic markers and causative mutations associated with the relevant trait (Wilkening et al., 2009).

One of the promising candidate genes affecting milk production traits and mammary gland development is the long-chain acyl-CoA synthetases (ACSL), which have been previously detected in dairy cattle (Liang Y. et al., 2020). ACSL isoforms (ACSL1, ACSL3, ACSL4, ACSL5, and ACSL6) differ in various tissues, suggesting that each isoform may have a unique role in a specific tissue (Li et al., 2010). ACSL1 is predominantly expressed in the mammary gland epithelial cells (MGECs) in dairy cattle and is consistently upregulated before the peak of lactation (Bionaz and Loor, 2008). ACSL1 is the most prevalent long-chain acyl-CoA synthetase subtype in major metabolic tissues, which catalyzes fatty acids (FAs) to form acyl-CoA via an ATP-dependent process before entry into different intracellular metabolic pathways (Shi et al., 2017). Afterward, it becomes oxidized to provide acylated proteins and complex lipids such as triacylglycerol, phospholipids, and cholesterol esters (Jiang et al., 2019). Considering that triglycerides constitute over 98% of the milk fat composition, it is reasonable to propose that ACSL1 might regulate triglyceride synthesis and related functions in MGECs.

Therefore, the objectives of this study were to identify genetic mutations of the ACSL1 gene in buffalo and detect the association between these genetic markers and milk production traits in the tested populations. Furthermore, we tried to explore the regulatory role of ACSL1 on MGEC proliferation, lipid distribution, triglycerides, and β-casein synthesis.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Samples and Phenotypes**

A total of 331 buffalo blood DNA samples and relevant milk production records were derived from our previous studies (Deng et al., 2018; Li et al., 2018; Ye et al., 2021). In those previous studies, the Ethical Animal Care and Use Committee of Federico II University of Naples (Italy) approved the experimental design and animal treatment (Deng et al., 2018; Li J. et al., 2020). In addition, all purebred Mediterranean buffaloes were selected from four herds in the southern part of Italy, and milk production traits were provided by the Italian Buffalo Breeders Association (ANASB) and the Italian Agricultural Research Council (CAR). Milk production traits were peak milk yield, total milk yield, milk fat yield, milk fat percentage, milk protein yield, and milk protein percentage. All the milk production records were adjusted to 270 days in milk as previously described (Liu J. et al., 2020). The Nanodrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo-Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE) and 1.5% agarose gel was used to determine the concentration and quality of extracted DNA.

**SNP Identification and Genotyping**

Fifty buffalo samples were randomly selected to identify the variants of the ACSL1 gene by pooled DNA sequencing. According to the buffalo ACSL1 genomic sequence (GenBank accession number NC_059157.1); promoter, 3′UTR, 5′UTR, and all the exon sequences were used for selective amplification by the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (Table 1). The PCR products were detected by agarose gel electrophoresis and sequenced by BGI Biotechnology (Co., Ltd., Shenzhen, China). DNASTar 7.1 software (Co., Inc. Madison, Wisconsin, United States) was used to identify mutations in the sequence. Genotyping was performed by matrix-assisted laser desorption by Compass Biotechnology (Co., Ltd., Beijing, China).

**Linkage Disequilibrium and Association Analysis**

Allelic frequencies, genotypic frequencies, polymorphism information content (PIC), and Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) were calculated for each locus using PowerMarker Version 3.25. Phased genotypes were partitioned into
haplotype blocks using Haploview version 4.2 (Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, United States). Haploview 4.2 was also used to estimate the LD of all SNPs (Li et al., 2017; Ye et al., 2021). The haplotype structure of each buffalo was inferred by the software Phase 2.1 (Stephens et al., 2001).

The associations between ACSL1 polymorphisms and milk production traits (peak milk yield, 270 days milk yield, milk fat yield, milk fat percentage, milk protein yield, and milk protein percentage) were analyzed using the custom-made R script (R Core Team., Vienna, Austria), with the following mixed linear model described by Pauciullo et al. (2012):

\[
Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + G_i + P_j + S_k + F_l + a_{m(i)} + e_{ijklmn},
\]

where \(Y_{ijklmn} \) = phenotype observations; \(\mu \) = overall mean, \(G_i \) = the fixed-effect of the \(i^{th}\) genotype or haplotype combination; \(P_j \) = the fixed-effect of the \(j^{th}\) parity (1–7); \(S_k \) = the fixed-effect of the \(k^{th}\) season (spring is from March to May, summer is from June to August, autumn is from September to November, and winter is from December to January and February of the following year); \(F_l \) = the fixed-effect of the \(l^{th}\) farm (four different farms); \(a_{m(i)} \) = the random effects of the \(m^{th}\) individual buffalo nested within ACSL1 genotype or haplotype combination \(i^{th}\); and \(e_{ijklmn} \) = the random residual. The covariance matrices of random effects of buffalo and residual were assumed to be diagonal \(I \sigma^2_c\) and \(I \sigma^2_e\), respectively.

The least-square means with standard error for multiple comparisons between different genotypes and haplotypes were performed using Bonferroni correction for multiple F-testing.

### Table 1: Primer information for the buffalo ACSL1 gene.

| Primer | Sequence (5’-3’) | Region | Start and end position | Product length [bp] |
|--------|------------------|--------|------------------------|--------------------|
| 1      | F: GTGTTGTAAGGTTGQAAGACAGGA <br> R: GGGCCCGGAGCTTATAGTAAGG | promoter | -330–90 | 339 |
| 2      | F: CTCTAGGCCTGAGCGAGGCTTGGCA <br> R: TGCCGGCAAGGTAGTTTTCTGAT | 5’UTR | 12–250 | 239 |
| 3      | F: GGTGGTCACAGAGCTCCCTCCTC <br> R: AATTGGGAGATGCTCTGTCGC | exon 1 | 22,036–22,823 | 788 |
| 4      | F: GGGAGGAATTTATTGCTCAGAGACC <br> R: AAGTTACAGGAGGAGTAGGAGG | exon 2 | 35,590–35,967 | 378 |
| 5      | F: AGCAAAACCTACAGACCCAAACC <br> R: AGGCCAGGGAGGAGGAGG | exon 3 | 36,387–37,069 | 683 |
| 6      | F: TTGTGTGGCTCGAGTTGCTTCTT <br> R: ATCTCTGTCGTTGCTTGGGCC | exon 4 | 39,708–40,127 | 420 |
| 7      | F: GGGAGGTTGTTTTCTTATTAGGC <br> R: GTTTCAGGAGGGCGAGGGG | exon 5 and exon 6 | 42,551–43,165 | 615 |
| 8      | F: CTCTGAACTAGCTCTGTTTCC | exon 7 and exon 8 | 45,470–46,200 | 731 |
| 9      | F: AAAGACATCAAGCCTGTTGAGTT <br> R: TTGGGATCTAGGCTCATAAGT <br> R: TGGTGAAGGTTGTCGATT | exon 9 | 46,378–47,145 | 768 |
| 10     | F: TGCTCTGAAATTAGGGAAT <br> R: TGGGAAAGGGTTCAGACCG | exon 10 | 49,297–49,530 | 234 |
| 11     | F: AGGGCAACTCAGAGAAGAACC <br> R: ATGGTCAAGGGAGGAGAAG | exon 11 | 51,069–52,013 | 945 |
| 12     | F: AGGTGGGTCTTTTCTCCTTCTTTC <br> R: TCTTGCTAGCTCCTCTTCTTTTTA | exon 12 | 53,396–54,116 | 721 |
| 13     | F: CGGAGGACAAACCCGCGAGGACTT <br> R: CGGAGGACAAAGGGGGACAT | exon 13 | 54,519–54,860 | 342 |
| 14     | F: TTGTTGATAGCTTGTCTCGTG <br> R: CTGTAGCCTTAGTATAAGGCGC | exon 14 | 55,444–55,891 | 448 |
| 15     | F: TTGTTGGAGATCAAGGGAATCT <br> R: CATGGCCCCACCCCGCTGAGCT | exon 15 | 58,616–58,930 | 315 |
| 16     | F: ATAGGACATGCGCAGGGGACTCGCT | exon 16 | 59,516–60,154 | 639 |
| 17     | F: CTCTGACCTCCTCTCTCTCTCTCT | exon 17 | 61,116–61,562 | 447 |
| 18     | F: ATCTCTACCCATTAGTGGC <br> R: GACAGACTAGGACACCGAAC | exon 18 and exon 19 | 63,025–63,816 | 792 |
| 19     | F: CTCCTACCTCTATGTTGGATG <br> R: GGTGGCTGTTAAAGGCTCTT <br> R: GGTGGCTGTTACCCCTCTGTGC | exon 20 | 63,870–64,229 | 360 |
| 20     | F: TTGGTTATATTCTACAGTGACCAGA <br> R: GOCAACTGGGAAGTGGGCGGAT | 3’UTR | 63,845–65,550 | 1706 |

F: forward primer. R: reverse primer.

### Cell Culture and Transfection

The mammary epithelial cell line (MAC-T) was obtained from Bogoo Biotechnology (Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China). The MAC-T cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 medium (HyClone, United States) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD, United States) and 1% penicillin–streptomycin (HyClone, United States).
United States) in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO₂. MAC-T cells were cultured into six-well plates overnight. Then, MAC-T cells were transfected with ACSL1 siRNA and NC or pcDNA3.1-ACSL1 and pcDNA3.1 for 48 or 72 h, using jetPRIME transfection reagent (Polyplus-transfection, FRANCE) following the manufacturer’s instruction. The six-well plates were then placed in the incubator and replaced with the fresh complete medium after 6 h.

**Quantitative Real-Time PCR Assay**
Total RNA was isolated using TRIZol reagent following the manufacturer’s instructions. The isolated RNA was quantified spectrophotometrically at 260/280 nm and cDNA was synthesized. The qRT-PCR was conducted using Hieff qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (Yeasen Biotech Co., Ltd, Shanghai, China) to determine the mRNA expression of the target genes using gene-specific primers (Supplementary Table S1). The GAPDH was used as a reference gene, and relative expression was measured using the 2^−ΔΔCt method.

**Western Blot**
The protein was extracted from MAC-T cells, and bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay was performed to determine the protein concentration. After protein denaturation, 15 μg sample was loaded on SDS-PAGE (10%). Subsequently, the protein was transferred to the PVDF membrane and 5% non-fat milk was used for blocking. The membranes were probed overnight by primary antibodies at 4°C and consequently incubated with secondary antibody (1:5000) for 1.5 h at room temperature. The protein expression was quantified using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States).

**Cell Viability and Cell Counting Assays**
The cells were harvested 72 h after transfection of siRNA or plasmid DNA for the determination of cell viability or cell numbers. The CCK-8 reagent (Dojindo, Japan) was supplemented in each well of the experimental group according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. Next, the cell viability was determined at a wavelength of 450 nm.

**Cell Cycle and Apoptosis Assay**
Cell cycle and apoptosis assay was performed based on a protocol established in our laboratory (Wang et al., 2020). Briefly, the cells were pretreated and the cell cycle was detected by using the cell cycle detection kit (KeyGEN BioTECH, Jiangsu, China) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Apoptosis was analyzed by using the Annexin V-FITC/PI Apoptosis Detection Kit (DOJINDO, Japan). A flow cytometer (BD FACSCalibur, America) was used to detect cell proportions.

**Triglyceride Content Detection and Bodipy Staining**
A triglyceride enzyme assay kit (Jiancheng Bioengineering Institute, Nanjing, China) was used to determine the triglyceride contents in the MAC-T cell lysate. The cells were transfected with ACSL1 siRNA or overexpression plasmid for 72 h, and 100 μl cell lysate was mixed with the working solution. The absorbance was measured at 510 nm using a microplate reader (PerkinElmer Enspire, China).

MAC-T cells were fixed at room temperature using paraformaldehyde (4%) for 15 min, washed twice with PBS, and followed by bodipy staining at room temperature for 10 min and DAPI staining for 5 min in the dark. Then, an anti-fluorescence quenching agent was added, and pictures were taken with an inverted fluorescence microscope (AXIO OBSERVER, ZEISS). Finally, the fluorescence intensity of lipid droplets was measured by ImageJ.

**Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay**
A commercial β-casein kit (Mbio, Shanghai, China) was used to detect the concentration of β-casein. MAC-T cells were transfected with ACSL1 siRNA or overexpression plasmid for 72 h and cell culture medium was collected. A total of 50 μl culture medium was used to detect the β-casein secretion level. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using a microplate reader (PerkinElmer Enspire, China).

**Statistical Analyses for Gene Expression**
The statistical analyses of gene functional studies were conducted with SPSS 19.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, United States) and graphing with Graphpad Prism v5.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, United States). The results are expressed as means ± standard error of the mean (Mean ± SEM). Significant differences between the two groups were compared using Student’s t-test, and comparisons among multiple groups were performed with a one-way analysis of variance followed by Dunnett’s test. P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. All experiments were conducted at least three times.

**RESULTS**

**ACSL1 Gene SNP Screening and Genotyping**
Buffalo DNA pool sequencing data identified a total of twelve potential SNPs in the tested samples (Supplementary Figure S1). Among these twelve SNPs, three were located in the exonic region, and the remaining nine were in the intronic region. The SNP at g.517571A >G, g.524019A >G, g.529284A >G, g.530394C >G, and g.534640A >G was located within intron 9, intron 11, intron 15, intron 16, and intron 20, respectively. The SNPs at g.519961A >G, g.522165C >T, and g.523898A >C were located within intron 17 (Supplementary Table S2). The SNPs at g.492696A >G (exon1), g.492756A >G (exon1), and g.531913A >C (exon17) were all synonymous mutations (Supplementary Table S2).

Detected SNPs were genotyped by MALDI-TOF-MS in 331 Mediterranean buffalo samples (Supplementary Figure S2). Genetic analysis for the tested samples showed that allele frequencies of all SNPs were higher than 15%, and the genotype frequencies of AA in g.492696A >G and g.531913A >C, GG in g.492756A >G and g.534640A >G, TT in g.532009C.
were in accordance with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ($\chi^2$ test, $P > 0.01$) and were moderately polymorphic ($0.25 < \text{PIC} < 0.50$) (Supplementary Table S2).

> T, and CC in g.532389A > C were lower than 10%, and the frequencies of other genotypes were all higher than 10% (Supplementary Table S2). In addition, all the identified SNPs were in accordance with Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium ($\chi^2$ test, $P > 0.01$) and were moderately polymorphic ($0.25 < \text{PIC} < 0.50$) (Supplementary Table S2).

Association Study of ACSL1 Genotypes With Milk Production Traits

The association analysis between the twelve detected SNPs and six milk production traits (peak milk yield, 270 days milk yield, milk fat yield, milk fat percentage, milk protein yield, and milk protein percentage) was conducted. The results showed that nine SNPs were associated with at least one of the milk production traits ($P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.01$) (Supplementary Table S3).

The SNPs at g.492696A > G and g.492756A > G loci were significantly associated with milk protein percentage (PP), and buffaloes with mutant type GG at g.492696A > G showed the lowest (4.52% ± 0.07%) PP than those with the A-allele ($P < 0.05$). The SNP at g.517571A > G loci was significantly associated with 270 days of milk yield (MY), and buffaloes with mutant type GG had significantly lower MY (2684.28 ± 89.64 kg) than those with A-allele ($P < 0.001$). The SNP at g.522165C > T loci was significantly associated with MY, milk fat yield (FY), and milk protein yield (PY), where buffaloes with the wild type CC showed the lowest MY (2726.10 ± 87.59 kg), FY (215.38 ± 8.12 kg), and PY (123.93 ± 4.07 kg) compared to the other genotypes ($P < 0.05$ or $P < 0.01$). The SNPs at g.529284A > G loci were significantly associated with FY, where buffaloes with the mutant type GG showed the lowest FY (214.58 ± 8.21 kg) compared to the other genotypes ($P < 0.05$). The SNP at g.531913A > C locus was associated with peak milk yield (PM), MY, and PY, and the heterozygous buffaloes had significantly lower PM (14.82 ± 0.41 kg), MY (2743.01 ± 86.22 kg) and PY (124.68 ± 4.00 kg) than those with homozygous genotypes ($P < 0.05$). The SNPs at g.532009C > T, g.532389A > C and g.534640A > G loci were significantly associated with PM. The buffaloes with the heterozygous type TC, CA, and GA at g.532009C > T, g.532389A > C, and g.534640A > G, respectively, showed the lowest PM compared to the other genotypes ($P < 0.01$) (Supplementary Table S3).

Linkage Disequilibrium and Haplotypes Analysis

We further performed LD and haplotype analysis for the twelve detected SNPs, and two haplotype blocks were identified. The LD plot showed that two SNPs were in complete LD resided in haplotype block 1 ($D' = 1$), and the remaining nine were in strong LD resided in haplotype block 2 ($D' > 0.8$) (Figure 1).

We identified three major haplotype combinations (haplotype pairs) (H1H1, H1H2, and H2H2) in block 1 (Table 2), and generated six major haplotype combinations (H1H1, H2H1, H3H1, H2H3, H4H1, and H5H1) in block 2 (Table 3). All of them accounted for a higher frequency of over 5% in the studied subjects. These were selected to perform haplotype-based association analysis. The results showed that all nine haplotype combinations were highly associated with milk protein percentage ($p < 0.05$) (Tables 2, 3). Moreover, the individuals with H2H2 haplotype combination in block 1 (Table 2) and H5H1 in block 2 (Table 3) obtained a higher milk protein percentage than other individuals ($p < 0.05$).

**TABLE 2** Association analysis between haplotypes of block 1 in the ACSL1 gene and milk production traits.

| Haplotype | Frequency (no.) | Sequence | Peak milk yield (kg) | 270 days milk yield (kg) | Milk fat yield (kg) | Milk fat percentage (%) | Milk protein yield (kg) | Milk protein percentage (%) |
|-----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| H1H1      | 0.58 (191)     | GA/GA    | 14.71 ± 0.10        | 2814.27 ± 22.22         | 231.37 ± 2.05     | 8.23 ± 0.04            | 129.74 ± 0.96           | 4.63 ± 0.01              |
| H1H2      | 0.35 (116)     | GA/AG    | 14.62 ± 0.15        | 2810.01 ± 28.99         | 228.17 ± 2.63     | 8.13 ± 0.05            | 130.98 ± 1.33           | 4.67 ± 0.02              |
| H2H2      | 0.06 (20)      | AG/AG    | 14.70 ± 0.29        | 2725.47 ± 65.67         | 221.94 ± 5.80     | 8.17 ± 0.13            | 128.10 ± 2.72           | 4.72 ± 0.04              |
| P value   |                |          | 0.9993              | 0.5661                  | 0.3419            | 0.3555                 | 0.4354                  | 0.0149                   |

*The values of milk production traits in each genotype are represented as mean ± SE. * The values with different superscripts within the same column differed significantly at $p < 0.05$. 
Thus, H2H2 was regarded as a dominant haplotype pair in block 1 and H5H1 was the dominant haplotype pair in block 2 for increasing milk protein percentage.

Expression and Localization of ACSL1 in Buffalo Mammary Gland
To identify ACSL1 localization in buffalo mammary gland tissue, we performed immunohistological staining. The result showed that the mammary glands had closely arranged epithelial cells and showed numerous acinar cavities (Figure 2). Furthermore, the buffalo mammary epithelial cells displayed specific immunolabeling for ACSL1, of which cytoplasm was intensely labeled (Figure 2).

### Table 3: Association analysis between haplotypes of block 2 in the ACSL1 gene and milk production traits.

| block2 | Frequency (no.) | Sequence | Peak milk yield (kg) | 270 days milk yield (kg) | Milk fat yield (kg) | Milk fat percentage (%) | Milk protein yield (kg) | Milk protein percentage (%) |
|--------|----------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| H1H1   | 0.2030 (67)    | CTGAGCCAA/CTGAGCCAA | 14.89 ± 0.20         | 2802.20 ± 39.06        | 224.72 ± 3.66     | 8.03 ± 0.08            | 131.05 ± 1.78           | 4.69 ± 0.02<sup>abc</sup> |
| H2H1   | 0.1636 (54)    | TCAGCCCAA/CTGAGCCAA | 14.98 ± 0.21         | 2869.15 ± 38.36        | 234.24 ± 3.42     | 8.19 ± 0.08            | 131.96 ± 1.67           | 4.61 ± 0.02<sup>c</sup>   |
| H3H1   | 0.1515 (50)    | TCAGCATCG/CTGAGCCAA | 14.38 ± 0.19         | 2796.19 ± 42.92        | 233.22 ± 3.98     | 8.34 ± 0.08            | 129.50 ± 1.92           | 4.64 ± 0.02<sup>bc</sup>  |
| H2H3   | 0.0758 (25)    | TCAGCCCAA/TCAGCATCG | 14.40 ± 0.26         | 2650.07 ± 56.45        | 221.82 ± 5.71     | 8.33 ± 0.11            | 123.55 ± 2.57           | 4.67 ± 0.02<sup>abc</sup> |
| H4H1   | 0.0697 (23)    | TCGACCCAA/CTGAGCCAA | 14.54 ± 0.36         | 2745.29 ± 60.81        | 229.84 ± 5.77     | 8.38 ± 0.10            | 129.79 ± 2.74           | 4.74 ± 0.04<sup>ab</sup>  |
| H5H1   | 0.0515 (17)    | TCAGCCCAA/CTGAGCCAA | 14.49 ± 0.31         | 2674.64 ± 68.12        | 219.56 ± 6.94     | 8.20 ± 0.14            | 128.5 ± 3.41            | 4.81 ± 0.04<sup>a</sup>   |
| P value|                |           |                      |                         |                   |                        |                         |                             |

The values of milk production traits in each genotypes are represented as mean ± SE. The values with different superscripts within the same column differed significantly at p < 0.05.
ACSL1 knockdown was confirmed by qRT-PCR and Western-blot. The results showed that RNA interference downregulated ACSL1 mRNA expression by 90% ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 3A) and protein expression by 51% compared to the control group ($p < 0.05$) (Figures 3B,C). To confirm the ACSL1 role in cell proliferation, we performed CCK-8 assays to examine the effect of ACSL1 knockdown on the viability of MAC-T cells. The results demonstrated that cell viability was significantly reduced by ACSL1 knockdown ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3D). In addition, the cell counts were measured with an automatic cell counter, and the results revealed a significant decrease in the number of cells in ACSL1 knockdown cells (Figure 3E). We next examined cell cycle and apoptosis using flow cytometry. ACSL1 knockdown resulted in a severe S-phase arrest ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3F), whereas knockdown of ACSL1 had no major impact on cell apoptosis progression ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 3G). Consistently, ACSL1 knockdown inhibits the cell cycle–related gene (CCND1) expression ($p < 0.01$), without changing Bcl2 and FAS expression (Figure 3H).

**ACSL1 Regulate Mammary Epithelial Cell Lipogenesis**

To make a thorough exploration of ACSL1 function in mammary epithelial cells, we detected the effect of ACSL1 on milk fat synthesis. The BODIPY staining of neutral lipid accumulation confirms the reduction of lipid droplets in ACSL1 knockdown cells ($p < 0.001$) (Figures 3A, B). The secretory effect of ACSL1 on the level of triglyceride (TG), a major lipid milk fat, was examined. The results showed that ACSL1 knockdown reduced the secretion of triglycerides ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3C) and the overexpression of ACSL1 led to an increase of triglyceride content of 38% over the control group ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 3D) in MAC-T cells. We next examined the expression of genes associated with lipid anabolism. The results showed that ACSL1 knockdown decreased FABP3 ($p < 0.01$) and PPARy ($p < 0.05$) expression but did not alter the mRNA level of SREBP1 and AGPAT6 ($p > 0.05$) (Figure 3E). In contrast, the overexpression of ACSL1 promotes the expression of FABP3, PPARy, SREBP1, and AGPAT6 ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 3F).

**ACSL1 Regulated Mammary Epithelial Cell Casein Synthesis**

Our previous association study revealed that ACSL1 mutation affected the milk protein percentage (Supplementary Tables S4, S5). We further performed the ELISA assay to detect the β-casein (a major lactoprotein) levels in the culture medium and κ-casein (CSN3) expression of mammary gland epithelial cells after ACSL1 silencing or overexpression. Here, we showed that ACSL1
knockdown significantly attenuated β-casein production and downregulated κ-casein (CSN3) expression (Figures 6A,B, \( P < 0.05 \)). The overexpression of ACSL1 significantly increased β-casein production and CSN3 expression (\( p < 0.05 \) or \( p < 0.01 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

Milk production traits are complex in nature, where several genes are involved in their regulation along with different environmental factors. Nowadays, the selection of superior animals for increasing the frequency of desired alleles with a positive effect on a given trait focuses on genetic improvement in livestock. Moreover, identifying the single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for milk production traits is currently being essential to increase the accuracy of prediction for animal genetic merit, which is useful for genetic improvement of production traits in livestock (Jiang et al., 2019). Importantly, the ACSL1 is deregulated in many tumors, leading to abnormal lipid synthesis and extracellular lipid uptake that promotes uncontrolled cancer cell proliferation (Rossi Sebastiano and Konstantinidou, 2019). Recently, a lot of evidence indicated that mutation in the ACSL1 might affect the production performance. Quantitative trait loci analysis demonstrated that ACSL1 is a candidate gene for the location and function of the fatty acid composition of bovine skeletal muscle (Widmann et al., 2011). Polymorphism analysis of ACSL1 suggested an association between genotype and backfat thickness. Manichaikul et al. (2016) demonstrated that three SNPs located in the intronic regions of ACSL1 are associated with the level of glucose in fasting or diabetes. However, ACSL1 genetic data regarding milk production are very preliminary, especially in buffalo. In this context, Liang S. S. et al. (2020) have observed high expression levels of the ACSL1 mRNA in the mammary tissue of lactating buffalo, suggesting that ACSL1 may be related to lactation performance of buffalo. In our study, the presence of ACSL1 protein was detected in mammary epithelial cells by immunohistochemistry, and it was mainly in the cytoplasm, which was consistent with the findings of Wang et al. (2017) in the study on the relationship between ACSL1 and human breast cancer.

The haplotype analysis of the ACSL1 promoter region in Bos grunniens has a significant correlation with the milk protein percentage and milk fat percentage. In the present study, identified ACSL1 polymorphisms (g.531913A >C, g.532009C >T, g.532389A >C, and g.534640A >G) were associated with peak milk yield (g.517571A >G, g.522165C >T, and g.531913A >C), with 270 days milk yield (g.522165C >T and g.529284A >G), with milk fat yield (g.5522165C >T and g.531913A >C), with milk protein yield (g.492696A >G and g.492756A >G), and with milk protein percentage. Li et al. (2012) identified four SNPs in the pig ACSL1, and the mutations of exon were all synonymous. In our study, the SNPs of g.492696A >G, g.492756A >G, and g.531913A >C located in the exon were synonymous substitutions. Apparently, the synonymous mutations do not alter the amino acid encoded by the affected codon due to the degeneracy of the genetic code but change the DNA and RNA sequence (Sharma et al., 2019). Nonetheless, recent studies suggested their
significant impact on splicing, RNA stability, RNA folding, translation, or co-translational protein folding. In addition, many studies have revealed that synonymous mutations play a role in a variety of human diseases and can be linked to a patient’s clinical outcome or responsiveness to treatment (Schutz et al., 2013). Thus, the expression of ACSL1 may be affected by SNP g.492696A >G (exon1), g.492756A >G (exon1), and g.531913A >C (exon17), which has an influence on milk fat metabolism and ultimately affects some buffalo milk production traits. The remaining nine SNPs found in the intronic region were non-functional SNPs and did not lead to alterations in amino acids. Nevertheless, an increasing amount of evidence reveals that noncoding regions in the genome cause abnormal splicing of gene transcripts. Similarly, Rose (2008) investigated that one is often overlooked. Still, many genes with an intact promoter were essentially not expressed at all without an intron, while many genes with an intact promoter were essentially not expressed at all without an intron. Hence, the SNP of g.517571A >G, g.519961C >T, g.522165C >T, g.524019A >G, g.529284A >G, g.530394C >G, g.532009C >T, g.532389A >C, and g.534640A >G may affect the milk producing traits by affecting ACSL1 protein formation or linkage with other marker loci associated with milk-production.

**FIGURE 5 |** ACSL1 regulated lipogenesis and triglyceride synthesis in MAC-T cells. (A) MAC-T cells were transfected either with ACSL1 siRNA or negative control for 72 h. Bodipy staining (green) was used to indicate the lipid distribution, and nuclei were stained by DAPI (blue). Scale bar: 20 µm; (B) Quantification of BODIPY + fluorescent signal density; (C,D) Triglyceride concentration was detected in the cell lysate. Triglyceride concentration was normalized by control (Ctrl); (E,F) mRNA expression of lipid metabolism–related genes after ACSL1 knockdown or overexpression, and GAPDH was used as the inner control. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, and ***p < 0.0001, ns: nonsignificant difference.
traits. As a matter of fact, compared to individual SNPs, LD and haplotypes had more genetic information. Testing multiple SNPs simultaneously can capture the underlying architecture of complex quantitative traits better (Abdel-Shafy et al., 2014). For this purpose, Li et al. (2019) claimed that H2H3 and H2H2 in Chinese Holstein cow FBP2 were the dominant haplotype combinations, improving milk yield, milk fat, and milk protein. Our study indicated that ACSL1 functional diplootypes (H1H1, H1H2, and H2H2) in block 1, comprising haplotypes from two detected SNPs (g.492696A >G and g.492756A >G), and (H12H12, H1H12, H4H12, H1H4, and H5H12) in block 2, comprising haplotypes from nine detected SNPs (g.519961C >T, g.522165C >T, g.524019A >G, g.529284A >G, g.530394C >G, g.531913A >C, g.532009C >T, g.532389A >C, and g.534640A >G), were associated with milk protein percentage. Under selection, haplotype-based approaches have further advantages, suggesting that H5H1 diplootypes in block 2 were selected during artificial selection. This research is the first study to examine ACSL1 polymorphisms associated with buffalo milk production traits to the best of our knowledge. The exploration of ACSL1 genetic variants can provide added value to buffalo molecular breeding.

The quantity and activity of mammary epithelial cells are known to be linked with lactation and play a key role in the growth of mammary glands (Boutinaud et al., 2004). According to the NCBI buffalo and dairy cow ACSL1 genomic sequences (Gene ID: 102414095 and Gene ID: 537161, respectively), buffalo ACSL1 showed close homology (98%) to dairy cow ACSL1 sequences. Therefore, we explored the ACSL1 regulation on mammary epithelial cell growth. In all types of cell cultures, the measurement of cell viability is crucial and is often used to determine cell proliferation within a cell population. Chen et al. (2016) found that ACSL1 knockdown inhibited breast cancer cell proliferation. In the present study, ACSL1 knockdown reduced cell viability, and ACSL1 overexpression significantly increased cell viability, indicating that the effect of ACSL1 on cell viability was consistent with the aforementioned research results. The majority of the mammary epithelial cells are secretory cells that undergo functional differentiation to generate milk during pregnancy (Qiu et al., 2019). The number of mammary epithelial cells affect milk yield during lactation. The decline in milk production after peak lactation is accompanied by a gradual reduction in the number of mammary epithelial cells (Boutinaud et al., 2004). In our study, ACSL1 overexpression significantly increased the cell population, while its depletion downregulated the cell population. These findings were consistent with those of the cell viability analysis, which further supported that ACSL1 might promote mammary epithelial cell proliferation.

As for cell cycle analysis, Ma et al. (2021) found that ACSL1 knockdown blocked the cell cycle and stopped prostate cancer cells from proliferating and migrating. Similarly, our results showed that ACSL1 knockdown resulted in the G1/S-phase arrest and affected the DNA synthesis in mammary epithelial cells, while ACSL1 overexpression increased the S-phase rate. The cell cycle is a complex process tightly regulated by the cyclins and their catalytic moieties. It has been shown that recombinant complexes of CDK4 or CDK6 and CCND1 are necessary for the G1/S transition (Ma et al., 2015). In our study, we found that ACSL1 positively regulated CCND1 expression, which further supported that ACSL1 regulates the cell cycle and affects cell proliferation. Actually, following a cyclic pattern of lactation–involution–lactation, mammary epithelial cells experience multiplication, differentiation, apoptosis, and regeneration (Monks et al., 2008). As for apoptosis analysis, Zhao et al. (2019) concluded that a lack of ACSL1 causes a generalized impairment in muscle fuel metabolism, which leads to an increase in protein catabolism, resulting in myocyte apoptosis. In addition, inhibition of ACSL1 during fatty acid loading results in macrophage apoptosis via the accumulation of free fatty acids (Pan et al., 2007). Together, these results suggested that ACSL1 regulated mammary epithelial cell growth and may pose a positive role in bovine milk yield.

The lipids are a major energetic constituent of milk, and the principal lipids of milk are triacylglycerides, representing up to 98% of the total lipids (Liu Z. et al., 2020). Several studies have confirmed that the triglyceride increased after ACSL1 overexpression or decreased after ACSL1 knockdown (Li T. et al., 2020). As expected, in our study, ACSL1 overexpression increased triglyceride in MAC-T cells, and ACSL1 knockdown decreased triglyceride levels. Lipid droplets, which promote coordination and communication between diverse organelles and serve as key hubs of cellular metabolism, are the most common storage form for neutral lipids in a wide range of biological contexts.
lipids. Lian et al. (2016) indicated that bta-miR-181a negatively regulated ACSL1 and then proved that ACSL1 positively regulated lipid droplet and triglyceride synthesis. Zhao et al. (2020) also reported that ACSL1 overexpression resulted in lipid droplet aggregation. Coincidentally, in this study, ACSL1 knockdown inhibits the accumulation of lipid droplets, which was consistent with the abovementioned results. PPARy can maintain mature adipocytes and promote adipogenesis. PPARy activation increased triglyceride content and elevated the number and size of lipid droplets in the mouse liver (Liu et al., 2021). Zhao et al. (2020) found that ACSL1 overexpression significantly increased PPARy expression and triglyceride secretion, while significantly decreasing FA oxidation–related gene CPT1A expression. The results of the present study were consistent with these findings as ACSL1 positively regulates PPARy expression and triglyceride secretion. However, other studies had dictated that PPAR is involved in the β-oxidation of fatty acids in the liver. Li T. et al. (2020) indicated that ACSL1 negatively regulated PPARy in human liver cells, and ACSL1 overexpression reduces fatty acid β-oxidation via the PPARy pathway, resulting in a rise in triglyceride levels. In contrast to adipocytes and liver cells, ACSL1-deficient macrophages do not reduce β-oxidation (Rubinow et al., 2013). These findings suggested that the function of ACSL1 may differ in cells. The changes in the upstream signaling cascade and transcriptional networks that regulate ACSL1 expression, in particular, may have an impact on the entry of fatty acyl-CoAs into several metabolic processes. In addition, SREBP1 is the key positive regulator in milk fat synthesis of dairy cow mammary epithelial cells (He et al., 2020). AGPAT6 is highly expressed in mammary epithelium tissue, which is crucial for producing milk fat. FABP3 upregulated the expression of SREBP1 and PPARy to increase lipid droplet accumulation. Bionaz and Loor (2008) reported that ACSL1, FABP3, and AGPAT6 coordinate and regulate the channeling of fatty acids toward copious milk fat synthesis in bovine mammary glands. In our study, ACSL1 positively regulated SREBP1, FABP3, PPARy, and AGPAT6 mRNA expression. Therefore, it is suggested that the lipogenesis process was regulated by ACSL1 in MAC-T cells.

Caseins are an important group of proteins in milk that accounted for approximately 80% of milk proteins and are secreted by the mammary epithelial cells (Cavaletto et al., 2008). There are four types of casein: αs1-casein, αs2-casein, β-casein, and κ-casein, all of which possess different structures and functionality, and both αs1-casein and β-casein are major caseins (Cosenza et al., 2021). Wang et al. (2014) found that Pten downregulates dairy cow mammary epithelial cell secretion of β-casein. The present study found that ACSL1 knockdown resulted in a significant reduction in β-casein content, and ACSL1 overexpression significantly increased β-casein secretion. ACSL1 polymorphisms were significantly associated with milk protein yield and milk protein percentage. Accordingly, ACSL1 may affect milk protein synthesis and lactation in MAC-T cells.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, it is demonstrated that twelve SNPs regulate ACSL1 in buffalo. Four SNPs were significantly associated with peak milk yield; three SNPs were significantly associated with 270 days milk yield; two SNPs were significantly associated with 270 days milk fat yield; two SNPs were significantly associated with 270 days milk protein yield, and two SNPs were significantly associated with milk protein percentage. Three diplotypes in block 1 and six diplotypes in block 2 were associated with protein percentage, and H5H1 in block 2 was the dominant diplotype. Furthermore, ACSL1 positively regulated the cell growth, triglyceride and casein synthesis, and related gene expressions such as CCND1 and PPARy. These findings provide evidence that the buffalo ACSL1 gene may be a potential candidate gene for marker-assisted selection in the buffalo breeding program.
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