Anti-acetylcholinesterasic, antioxidant and antibacterial activities of *Juniperus brevifolia* extracts
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Abstract

*Juniperus brevifolia* (Seub.) Antoine (Cupressaceae) is an endemic conifer of the Azores, a habitat isolated from the continental masses which may significantly influence the biosynthesis of bioactive compounds with unique features. In this context, the toxicity, antibacterial, antioxidant (DPPH) and anti-acetylcholinesterasic (anti-AChE) activities of the methanol and acetone extracts of *J. brevifolia* wood and bark were evaluated for the first time. In the brine-shrimp assay all the extracts showed low toxicity, with the concentration causing 50% mortality (LC₅₀) higher than 2.03 mg/mL. The acetone extract of the bark proved to be the most active against *Bacillus cereus*, *B. subtilis* and *Micrococcus luteus*, while the wood acetone extract showed activity only against *B. cereus*. No activity was observed against Gram (-) bacteria *Escherichia coli* and *Enterobacter cloacae*. The acetone extract of bark was the most active showing the higher antioxidant (EC₅₀ =7.0 µg/mL) and anti-AChE activities (IC₅₀ =193 µg/mL), near to the reference compounds, quercetin (EC₅₀ =3.2 µg/mL) and ursolic acid (IC₅₀ =190 µg/mL), respectively. The present study demonstrates the great potential of the acetone bark extract of *J. brevifolia* as a source of new non-toxic natural bioactive compounds as antioxidant and anti-acetylcholinesterasic drugs.

Introduction

The genus *Juniperus* is the only genus from the Cupressaceae family that grows spontaneously in Europe [1]. Although some *Juniperus* species have toxic effects, they have also many uses in folk medicine in several parts of the world, such as antitussive, hypoglycaemic and in the treatment of cold, urinary infection, urticarial rash, dysentery, hemorrhage, leukorrhea and rheumatic arthritis [1]. *Juniperus brevifolia* (Seub.) Antoine belongs to this genus and is the only conifer endemic to the Azores. Previously published results showed that leaves extracts, for instance the dichloromethane extract and the chloroform-soluble fraction of the acetone extract, are very active against HeLa and Hep-2 tumour cell lines [2].

Natural products have made significant contributions towards the treatment of degenerative diseases [3]. Among these, acetylcholinesterase inhibitors (AChEI) have lately become a very active research topic because they increase the level of acetylcholine (ACh), which is abnormally low in disorders associated with cognitive processes, memory and neuromuscular activity [4]. Due to this fact, AChEIs have application in the treatment of Alzheimer’s disease [5], to combat myasthenia gravis, glaucoma, and as antidotes to toxins [6]. Many natural compounds from plants, with a wide diversity of structural features, are AChEIs [7]. Oxidative stress, reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS) are involved in cancer, aging process, inflammation, cardiovascular disease and central nervous system degeneration [8,9]. Therefore, the research on new natural AChEIs and radical scavenging agents is considered very important to pharmaceutical, cosmetic and food industries.

One of the most interesting fields of application for plant extracts is the inhibition of growth and reduction in numbers of food-borne pathogens like *Bacillus cereus* and *Escherichia coli* [10]. On the other hand, bacteria are becoming increasingly resistant to antibiotics [11] and therefore efforts to discover new bacterial agents must continue.

In this work we report, for the first time, the screening of DPPH, anti-acetylcholinesterase and antibacterial activities and toxicity of the acetone and methanol extracts from bark and wood of *J. brevifolia*.

Materials and methods

Drugs and reagents

Reference compounds [butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT), quercetin, ursolic acid, gentamicin, chloramphenicol], acetylcholinesterase from *Electrophorus electricus* and reagents [2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), acetylthiocholine iodide, 5,5′-dithiobis-2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB)] were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All other chemicals and solvents were purchased from Panreac and Acros Organics and were of analytical grade or bi-distillate commercial solvents.
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Plant material

The bark and wood of *Juniperus brevifolia* (Seub.) Antoine were harvested in Pedreira, Nordeste, São Miguel, Azores, in June/2001. The voucher specimen was identified by Prof. Marta João Pereira of University of Azores and a voucher was deposited at Ruy Telles Pálhinha Herbarium (AZB), University of Azores, under the reference AZB-Ana Seca-1.

Preparation of the extracts

The extracts were obtained as described by Moujir et al. [2]. Briefly, air-dried materials (517 g of bark and 1560 g of wood) were finely grounded to 40 mesh and extracted successively with hexane, dichloromethane, acetone and methanol using a soxhlet apparatus (24 h each). The acetone and methanol were evaporated under reduced pressure yielding (g extract/100 g vegetable material) 16.5% of acetone extract from bark (AB) and 1.2% from wood (AW), 3.6% of methanol extract from bark (EB) and 0.8% from wood (EW). Stock solutions from the extracts, dissolved in methanol, were prepared for the assays.

Acetylcholinesterase inhibition

The anti-AChE activity determination was assessed following the assay described by [12] at pH 8.0 and using acetylthiocholine iodide as substrate, in the presence of buffer containing DTNB and extract in different concentrations. The reaction was followed for 7.5 min at 415 nm, using a Bio-Rad Model 680 Microplate reader. Every experiment was done in triplicate.

DPPH radical scavenging assay

Antioxidant activity was assayed by the DPPH radical scavenging assay [13]. Different concentrations of plant extracts were added to the assay medium containing DPPH solution, allowed to stand in the dark for 30 min and the absorbance at 515 nm measured and compared with control absorbance without the extract samples. Antioxidant activity percentage (AA%) was calculated as AA%=1–(Ac–As)/Ac x100, where Ac is the absorbance of control and As is the absorbance of each sample. Quercetin was used as reference compound. All assays were carried out in triplicate and results expressed as EC50.

Antibacterial assay

Inoculums in 0.85% sterile saline (NaCl) were prepared from cultures of Gram(+) *Bacillus subtilis* (DSM 10), *B. cereus* (DSM31), *Micrococcus luteus* (DS 420030) and Gram(−) *Escherichia coli* (DSM 498) and *Enterobacter cloacae* (DSM 30850). The resulting suspension was diluted to obtain a turbidity comparable to 0.5 in the McFarland Scale (bioMerieux®, France), i.e., equivalent to approximately 1.5 x 10^8 CFU/mL.

The antibacterial assay was performed by a modification of Bauer’s diffusion technique [14] on solid medium using 6 mm filter paper discs. 200 µg of each extract dissolved in methanol (10 µL of a 20 mg/ mL solution) was added to a disc which was placed on the agar after evaporation of the solvent, and the diameter of inhibition around the disc was recorded after 24 h. Gentamicin and chloramphenicol were used as positive controls.

In vitro Artemia salina nauplii toxicity assay

The methodology described by Solis et al. [15] was used with some modifications. Briefly, 200 µL of seawater containing different concentrations of the extracts (1-2.5 mg/mL), prepared by dilution of different amounts of the methanolic stock solutions in filtered sea water, were placed in each well of a 96-well microplate and 15-20 *Artemia salina* nauplii were added to each well. Two types of control were used, one with methanol and another with potassium dichromate. The microplates were incubated at 25°C for 24 hrs, examined under the stereoscopic microscope (12.5X), and the dead individuals (i.e., without movement) were numbered. Subsequently, 50 µL of acetone were added to each well and after 15 min the total number of nauplii was counted. Each extract was tested in triplicate.

Statistical analysis

Each biological test was performed in triplicate. Comparisons of IC50 or EC50 values from different samples were performed using one-way ANOVA. Tukey-Kramer’s post-test was used. A P value lower than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and discussion

The role of AChE inhibitors in the therapeutic of Alzheimer’s disease is important as the most damaged parts of the CNS are cholinergic [6]. However, several adverse effects have been reported with the currently available AChE inhibitors, therefore it is necessary to explore new drug candidates [9].

In this context, the methanolic and acetone extracts from wood and bark of *J. brevifolia* were assayed as AChE inhibitors and the results are presented on Table 1.

Although the bark and wood methanolic extracts and the wood acetone extract showed low inhibitory effect against acetylcholinesterase (AChE), the acetone extract from bark exhibited a very significant anti-AChE activity, near to the reference compound ursolic acid (the null hypothesis is true with P>0.05). In fact, this extract was more active than the phenolic-enriched fractions obtained from other *Juniperus* species found in Portugal, none of which achieves 50% of inhibition at 200 µg/mL [16].

DPPH is a free-radical (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) that has been widely used to determine free-radical-scavenging ability (Table 1). With regard to EC50 values (the concentration of antioxidant required to achieve an absorbance equal to 50% that of a control containing no antioxidants), all of the tested extracts were much more active than extracts from fruits and leaves of several Turkish *Juniperus* species assayed by the same method [17,18]. The methanolic extract of bark showed a free-radical-scavenging ability near that of BHT (EC50=31.0 µg/mL) (P>0.05) while that exhibited by acetone extracts was near the value of quercetin (EC50=3.24 µg/mL) (P>0.05), being the acetone extract of bark the most active extract (EC50=7.0 µg/mL). These results

| Plant Extracts | AChE inhibitory activity (µg/mL ± SD) | DPPH scavenging activity (µg/mL ± SD) |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Methanol       |                                       |                                      |
| Wood           | 742 ± 23                              | 49.6 ± 0.14                          |
| Bark           | 499 ± 21                              | 33.0 ± 6.81                          |
| Acetone        |                                       |                                      |
| Wood           | 825 ± 294                             | 15.0 ± 0.74                          |
| Bark           | 193 ± 42                              | 7.0 ± 0.68                           |
| BHT            | -                                     | 31.0 ± 0.96                          |
| Quercetin      | -                                     | 3.24 ± 0.07                          |
| Ursolic acid   | 190 ± 14                              | -                                    |

*The required concentration of the compound to inhibit 50% of acetylcholinesterase activity.

*The required concentration of the compound to reduce the DPPH• concentration to 50%.
suggest that the methanolic bark extract and the acetone extracts of bark and wood could be used for the same industrial applications of quercetin and BHT, two antioxidants with wide applications in the food industry.

The \textit{in vitro} antibacterial activity of the methanol and acetone extracts from \textit{J. brevifolia} bark and wood were carried out against Gram(+) \textit{Bacillus subtilis}, \textit{M. luteus}, and Gram(-) \textit{E. coli} and \textit{E. cloacae} bacteria. As summarized in Table 2, the extracts exhibited antibacterial activity against some of the tested bacteria, in different degrees.

None of the extracts was active against Gram(-) bacteria and the methanolic extract of wood was inactive against all of the bacteria tested. \textit{B. cereus} were the most sensitive bacteria, responding similarly to the wood and bark acetone extracts and to the bark methanolic extract. The acetone extract from bark was the most active, the only one that inhibited all the Gram(+) bacteria, with inhibition zone diameters of 9-11 mm at 200 µg per disc. Although the results are not comparable with those obtained for the reference antibiotics (24-32 mm at 10 µg per disc), they show that the active extracts tested here are much more active than other acetone and methanolic extracts from other \textit{Juniperus} species, where inhibition zone diameters are also presented in the order of 8-10 mm but obtained with 400 µg per disc [17].

When searching and suggesting new products for application in the food, pharmaceutical and/or cosmetic industries as antioxidant, antibacterial and/or anti-acetylcholinesterase agents, the toxicity of the product must be taken into account. Therefore the toxicity of all the extracts was evaluated by the brine-shrimp assay (Figure 1). The lethality bioassay with \textit{Artemia salina} nauplii showed that the wood extracts (methanol and acetone extracts) are the less toxic and present low toxicity, with less than 20% of mortality at 2.5 mg/mL (Figure 1).

The LC\textsubscript{50} values of methanolic and acetone bark extracts were 2.03 and 2.12 mg/mL, respectively, that is approximately 30-fold higher than the control potassium dichromate (LC\textsubscript{50}=69.0 µg/mL). The LC\textsubscript{50} values for these two extracts are not significantly different based on non-overlapping at 95% confidence limits. Since these two extracts showed significant antioxidant and anticholinesterasic activities at concentrations much lower than their LC\textsubscript{50} values (EC\textsubscript{50}=7.0-49.6 µg/mL, IC\textsubscript{50}=193-825 µg/mL), it can be concluded that the extracts present low toxicity at the dose at which they can be used as antioxidants and/or as cholinesterase inhibitors.

**Conclusion**

Based on our results, \textit{Juniperus brevifolia} acetone extract from bark was the most active extract tested showing strong radical scavenging activity, with slight antibacterial effect at a non-toxic concentration and can be used as a natural additive, as food preservative agent or to extend the shelf-life of raw and processed food, replacing some of the commercial synthetic substances currently used for the same purpose.

On the other hand, a significant anti-AChE effect of the acetone bark extract was observed, showing its potential as source of new natural AChEIs drugs to treat neurodegenerative diseases.

Thus, further studies on \textit{Juniperus brevifolia} acetone bark extract are needed to determine the active compounds responsible for antioxidant and anti-acetylcholinesterase activities.
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