USP22 controls type III interferon signaling and SARS-CoV-2 infection through activation of STING

Rebekka Karlowitz, Megan L. Stanifer, Jens Roedig, Geoffrey Andrieux, Denisa Bojkova, Marco Bechtel, Sonja Smith, Lisa Kowald, Ralf Schubert, Melanie Boerries, Jindrich Cinatl Jr., Steeve Boulant and Sjoerd J. L. van Wijk

© The Author(s) 2022

Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and interferons (IFNs) serve as essential antiviral defense against SARS-CoV-2, the causative agent of the COVID-19 pandemic. Type III IFNs (IFN-λ) exhibit cell-type specific and long-lasting functions in auto-inflammation, tumorigenesis, and antiviral defense. Here, we identify the deubiquitinating enzyme USP22 as central regulator of basal IFN-λ secretion and SARS-CoV-2 infections in human intestinal epithelial cells (hIECs). USP22-deficient hIECs strongly upregulate genes involved in IFN signaling and viral defense, including numerous IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), with increased secretion of IFN-λ and enhanced STAT1 signaling, even in the absence of exogenous IFNs or viral infection. Interestingly, USP22 controls basal and 2′3′-cGAMP-induced STING activation and loss of STING reversed STAT activation and ISG and IFN-λ expression. Intriguingly, USP22-deficient hIECs are protected against SARS-CoV-2 infection, viral replication, and the formation of de novo infectious particles, in a STING-dependent manner. These findings reveal USP22 as central host regulator of STING and type III IFN signaling, with important implications for SARS-CoV-2 infection and antiviral defense.
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INTRODUCTION

Sensing of “non-self” is a key feature of innate immunity and underlies the recognition of viruses, bacteria, and fungi, but also plays important roles in cancer and auto-immune diseases [1, 2]. Pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), like Toll-like receptors (TLRs), Nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptors (NLRs), and retinoic acid-inducible gene 1 protein (RIG-I)-like receptors (RLRs) are essential components of innate immune signaling and selectively recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). Dedicated PRRs, like TLR3, RIG-I, Melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5), and Cyclic GMP-AMP synthase (cGAS)-Stimulator of interferon genes protein (STING) recognize viral double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) and dsDNA, and are important sensors for infections with RNA and DNA viruses, as well as infections with retroviruses [1–3]. While TLR3 recognizes dsRNA in endosomes, the prototypical RLRs, RIG-I and MDA5, sense cytosolic dsRNAs, and cGAS-STING detects viral dsDNA [1–4]. STING is activated either directly via viral dsDNA, through the STING agonist 2′3′-cGAMP generated by the cyclic GMP-AMP synthase cGAS upon detection of viral dsDNA, or indirectly via RIG-I and MDA5 [5]. Activated STING interacts with TANK-binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and activates interferon regulatory factor (IRF) 1, -3, and -7 and Nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB), leading to the initiation of anti-viral and inflammatory transcriptional programs, including interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) and interferons (IFNs) [5–8].

IFNs are secreted cytokines with important roles in immunity and anti-viral responses. IFN signaling relies on Janus kinase-Signal transducer and activator of transcription (JAK-STAT) activation, phosphorylation of STAT1/2, and the induction of ISG and IFN gene expression that influence viral replication [9, 10]. Although the vast majority of cell types can be triggered to express type I (IFN-α, -β, -ε, -κ and -ω) and type III (IFN-λ1, -λ2, -λ3 and -λ4) IFNs, the expression of IFN-specific receptors is cell type-restricted and determines IFN responses. For example, type I IFN receptor (IFNAR) is ubiquitously expressed in many tissues, whereas expression of type III IFN receptor IFNL1R1 is mainly limited to epithelial cells, e.g. the gastro-intestinal and respiratory epithelium [6–8, 11]. Although type I and type III IFNs induce similar ISG signatures, type I IFNs generally trigger a more rapid increase and decay of ISG expression [7]. In addition, IFN-λs have been described to be the first-in-line defense against viral...
Infections and might inhibit viral spread without triggering inflammatory responses, depending on IFN-λ receptor expression [7, 12, 13].

The novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) is the causative agent of the pandemic Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and belongs to the human coronaviruses (HCoV) that also include SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV [14]. In many patients with severe COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2 infection induces the secretion of highly pro-inflammatory cytokines through cGAS-STING and NF-κB-mediated signaling [15, 16]. Type I and III IFNs are important regulators of host viral defense against SARS-CoV-2 [6–8, 11, 17, 18], but at the same time, SARS-CoV-2 evades immune recognition via IFN and ISG suppression [10, 19]. Prolonged expression of low basal levels of type I and III IFNs might prime host responses against virus infection, including SARS-CoV-2 [20–23]. Although type III IFNs restrict SARS-CoV-2 infection in intestinal and airway epithelial cells [18, 24–28] and STING agonism reduces SARS-CoV-2 infection [29–32], context-dependent damaging effects of type III IFNs on airway epithelia during viral infections have been described as well [33, 34].

Innate immunity, PRRs, and IFN signaling are closely regulated by ubiquitination, both by the host machinery as well as through viral E3 ligases and deubiquitinating enzymes (DUBs) that hijack the host ubiquitin machinery [35]. STING, RIG-I, TLR3, and TBK1 are positively and negatively regulated by differential modification of polyubiquitin chains, including K11-, K27-, K48- and K63-linked chains [36, 37], by a variety of E3 ligases, such as TRIM56 [38], TRIM32 [39], MUL1 [40], AMFR [41], RNFS [42] and TRIM25 [43] and RNFL26 [44]. The interplay and functional consequences of ubiquitin modifications are complex and include proteasomal degradation as well as stabilization of protein-protein interactions. Importantly, IFNs and anti-viral signaling are also heavily regulated by DUBs, like USP13 [45], USP35 [46], and Cylindromatosis (CYLD) [47].

Ubiquitin-specific peptidase 22 (USP22) is a DUB that is part of the deubiquitination module of the Spt-Ada-Gcn5-acetyltransferase (SAGA) complex, through which it regulates transcription via the control of histone H2A K119 and H2B K120 monoubiquitination (H2AK119ub1 and H2BK120ub1, respectively) [48–50]. Recently, additional USP22 substrates have emerged, with important roles in cell fate regulation and programmed cell death [51–53]. Interestingly, USP22 has mostly been associated with IFN signaling and ISG expression upon viral infection [54, 55]. However, up till now, the mechanisms of how USP22 primes PRR and IFN signaling and prepares against anti-viral defense in native, uninfected settings remain unexplored.

In light of the current COVID-19 pandemic and potential future pathogenic coronaviruses, identifying host factors that control SARS-CoV-2 infection is of utmost importance. The roles of type III IFN in SARS-CoV-2 infections are only starting to emerge and are determined by tissue-specific factors as well. Our study is the first to identify USP22 as a negative regulator of basal ISG expression, JAK/STAT activation, and IFN signaling, even in the absence of exogenous IFNs or viral infection. Our findings elucidate USP22 as crucial host factor in shaping SARS-CoV-2 antiviral defense by priming cellular anti-viral responsiveness prior to viral infection. Loss of USP22 in human intestinal epithelial cells (hIECs) triggers a strong upregulation of ISGs and, specifically, IFN-λ, mediated by STING. USP22 controls basal and 2′−cGAMP-induced STING ubiquitination, phosphorylation, and activation, and combined loss of USP22 and STING rescues ISG expression, STAT signaling, and IFN-λ production. Importantly, we found that USP22-deficient hIECs are prominently protected against SARS-CoV-2 infection, replication, and the formation of novel infectious viral particles, which can be partially reversed by loss of STING expression.

**RESULTS**

**Profiling USP22-mediated gene expression in HT-29 hIECs**

Substrate-specific deubiquitination is a crucial determinant of ubiquitin homeostasis and regulates receptor activation and internalization, proteasomal degradation, and transcription. For the ubiquitin-specific protease USP22, both transcriptional and extranuclear targets have been identified. As part of the DUB module of the SAGA complex, USP22 regulates transcriptional elongation via H2AK119ub1 and H2BK120ub1 [48–50]. Up till now, the spectrum of target genes regulated by USP22 remains largely unclear, partially due to organism-, cell- and context-dependent redundancy in alternative DUBs that might compensate for loss of USP22 [56]. We previously reported that CRISPR/Cas9-mediated knockout (KO) of USP22 in the human colon carcinoma cell line HT-29 affects RIPK3 ubiquitination during necroptosis without inducing major changes in RIPK1, RIPK3, and MLKL gene expression [53], suggesting gene-specific regulation of USP22. To identify the spectrum of USP22-regulated genes, we profiled USP22-dependent changes in gene expression in the hIEC line HT-29. Quantification of alterations in gene expression in two independent HT-29 USP22 KO single cell clones revealed a marked alteration in gene expression, with 401 genes upregulated and 182 downregulated (Fig. 1A and Supplemental Fig. 1A). Loss of USP22 expression was accompanied by changes in H2Bub1, but not H2Aub1 (Supplemental Fig. 1B, C). Among the top-50 differentially regulated genes, 30 were up- and 20 downregulated, with an adjusted P-value of <0.05 (Fig. 1B). Genes upregulated in both USP22 KO clones (#16 and #62) compared to control (non-human target: NHT) HT-29 cells include genes that encode for proteins involved in growth and differentiation, like Transforming Growth Factor β-1 (TGFβ1), Tumor-associated calcium signal transducer 2 (TACSTD2) and Tyrosine-protein kinase Mer (MERTK) and the cytosolic RNA- and DNA sensor DExD/H-Box Helicase 60 (DDX60). Downregulated genes include USP22, mitochondrial adenylyl kinase 4 (AK4) that is involved in the regulation of mitochondrial function and ATP production [57], and regenerating islet-derived protein 4 (REG4), a carbohydrate-binding lectin that has been identified as marker for deep crypt secretory cells (DSCs) that acts as nicher for Lgr5-positive stem cells in the colon [58]. Differential regulation of gene expression, as well as loss of USP22 expression, was also demonstrated by independent qRT-PCR of the USP22-dependent upregulated genes TGFβ1, SLFN5, TGM2, and DDX60, as well as downregulation of USP22, CXCR4, and AK4 (Fig. 1C), confirming the quality of the microarray.

**Loss of USP22 specifically enriches for genes involved in IFN signaling and response to viral infection**

Next, gene-set enrichment analysis was performed on USP22-regulated genes to investigate if certain gene ontology (GO) terms are specifically regulated by USP22. Interestingly, GO analysis revealed an enrichment of genes linked to type I and II IFN signaling, as well as regulation of viral genome replication and several other viral processes, such as the regulation of viral genome replication, response to virus, response to IFN-γ, and IFN-γ-mediated signaling pathways in USP22 KO HT-29 cells as compared to control NHT HT-29 cells (Fig. 2A). Interestingly, the GO terms of genes that are strongly downregulated are enriched in mitochondrial translation and gene expression, ribosomal and ribonucleoprotein complex biogenesis and the processing of tRNA, rRNA, and ncRNA (Fig. 2A).

Since previous studies suggest controversial roles of USP22 in IFN signaling [54, 55, 59, 60], we decided to further study USP22-dependent changes in genes involved in type I or type II IFN signaling (Fig. 2B). Loss of USP22 leads to upregulation of many ISGs, some with important functions in viral defense, like OAS1, -2 and -3, MX1 and IFI27, suggesting a potential role of USP22 in the regulation of IFN signaling and viral responses (Fig. 2B). Among the upregulated genes were components of the ISGylation
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machinery, like the ubiquitin-like modifier ISG15 and the ISG15-specific DUB USP18 [61]. To validate the USP22-regulated changes in gene expression, qRT-PCR confirmed the increased expression of several ISGs, such as BST2, PARP9, USP18, OAS3, IFIT1, IRF9, ISG15, OAS2, IFI27 and IFI6 in two independent HT-29 USP22 KO clones (Fig. 2C). In addition, increased protein expression of MX1, IRF9, ISG56, and ISG20 could also be confirmed upon loss of USP22 (Fig. 2D). These findings suggest that USP22 specifically controls the expression of genes involved in IFN signaling and virus defense, even in the absence of exogenous IFN stimulation or viral infection.

**USP22 negatively regulates STAT1 signaling and IFN-λ1 expression**

The expression of ISGs is typically induced upon activation of IFN signaling pathways during pathogen invasion or auto-inflammatory disease and serves to control inflammation and other defense mechanisms [9]. Additionally, several IFNs are constitutively expressed at low levels as well [62] to prime and increase cellular responsiveness of IFN signaling upon activation by external stimuli [22]. Interestingly, expression levels of panIFNA and IFNB1 mRNA were upregulated upon loss of USP22, compared to NHT control HT-29 (Fig. 3A). Detailed analysis of USP22-mediated regulation of IFN-α isoforms revealed a prominent upregulation of a subset of IFN-α isoforms, e.g. IFNA1 and IFNA8 (Supplemental Fig. 2A). This was accompanied by an increase in the expression of STAT1, an IFN-regulated ISG itself [63] as well as STAT1 phosphorylation, suggesting activation of IFN signaling pathways in USP22 KO HT-29 cells, compared to control cells (Fig. 3B). Interestingly, in contrast to mRNA levels, an in-depth analysis of USP22-mediated alterations in the secretion of IFNs and IFN-related cytokines revealed only low levels of basal and 2’3’-cGAMP-induced secreted IFN-α and IFN-β, suggesting that these cytokines might only marginally contribute to the observed ISG signature (Fig. 3C, Supplemental Fig. 2B, C). Surprisingly, secretion and expression of IFN-λ1, a type III IFN, was strongly upregulated in USP22 KO HT-29 cells compared to control cells (Fig. 3C, D and Supplemental Fig. 2C). In addition, loss of USP22 expression also induced elevated basal secretion of the pro-inflammatory cytokines CXCL10 and IL-8 and minor changes in the secretion of IFN-α2 and GM-CSF, compared to controls (Fig. 3C). These findings suggest that USP22 negatively regulates IFN-λ1 expression and ISG induction. Since type III IFN-induced target genes largely overlap with genes regulated by type I IFNs [64, 65], type III
IFN is likely the main IFN contributing to USP22-dependent induction of ISG expression and STAT1 activation. Indeed, this finding could be confirmed by blocking type I/II IFN signaling with a neutralizing antibody against IFNAR2 that did not affect USP22-induced STAT1 phosphorylation (Fig. 3E).

**USP22 regulates type III IFN signaling via STING**

Loss of USP22 expression specifically upregulates genes involved in IFN and viral responses. Within the context of viral infections, viral PAMPs, such as viral dsRNA and dsDNA are sensed by PRRs, like RIG-I, MDA5, and TLR3, and PRR activation mediates strong
**Fig. 2.** Loss of USP22 specifically enriches for genes involved in IFN signaling and response to viral infection. 

**A** Bar plot showing the top-20 regulated GO terms in two independent single-cell HT-29 USP22 CRISPR/Cas9 KO clones (#16 and #62) compared to control (NHT) HT-29 cells. Color code represents the number of annotated genes within each gene set. 

**B** Heatmap of differentially expressed genes contributing to the GO terms response to type I IFN (left) and type II signaling (right). Color code represents the log2 fold change compared to NHT. Note that due to lack of annotation and overlapping ISGs between type I/II and type III IFNs, response to type III IFN as GO term was not included. 

**C** Basal mRNA expression levels of GO-enriched genes related to IFN signaling in control (NHT) and two independent USP22 KO HT-29 single clones using qRT-PCR. Gene expression was normalized against 28S mRNA and is presented as x-fold mRNA expression compared to NHT. Mean and SD of three independent experiments in triplicate are shown. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, n.s. not significant. 

**D** Western blot analysis of basal MX1, IRF9, ISG56, ISG20, and USP22 expression levels in control and USP22 KO HT-29 cells (clone USP22 KO #62). GAPDH served as loading control. Representative blots of at least two different independent experiments are shown.
expression of IFNs and ISGs [2, 5, 10]. Loss of USP22 leads to increased expression of RIG-I, MDA5, and TLR3 (Fig. 4A). To investigate whether these PRRs are functionally involved in USP22-mediated increased ISG signaling, the expression of RIG-I/MDX58, MDA5/IFIH1, and TLR3 was ablated with CRISPR/Cas9 in NHT and USP22 KO HT-29 cells (Fig. 4B–D). Interestingly, despite efficient KO of the individual PRRs in both NHT and USP22 KO HT-29 cells, additional deletion of RIG-I, MDA5, or TLR3 did not decrease USP22-dependent STAT1 phosphorylation or ISG56 expression (Fig. 4B–D). Remarkably, USP22-TLR3 double knockout (dKO) HT-29 cells even exhibited an increased in phosphorylated and total STAT1 levels as well as ISG56 expression, suggesting potential PRR-specific effects of USP22 (Fig. 4D).

An alternative source of IFN production might stem from PRR-mediated detection of self-DNA (e.g. DNA damage and DNA double-strand breaks), leading to the induction of IFN-α and IFN-λ via NF-kB signaling [66], as observed in several types of cancer. This is of special interest, since USP22, apart from its role in transcriptional regulation, has also been associated with DNA damage responses [67] and V(D)J recombination and CSR in vivo by facilitating c-NHEJ [68]. Since CRISPR/Cas9-mediated loss of USP22 did not lead to elevated γH2AX levels in hIECs compared to controls (Supplemental Fig. 3A) despite increased NF-kB signaling (Supplemental Fig. 3B), it seems unlikely that DNA damage caused by loss of USP22 might contribute to IFN signaling.

Loss of RIG-I, MDA5 and TLR3 did not reverse the USP22-dependent downregulation of IFN-α and IFN-β expression in USP22 KO HT-29 cells (Fig. 4E and Supplemental Fig. 3C). Similarly, STING expression was also elevated in primary normal human colon epithelia cells upon transient loss of USP22 expression and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated loss of USP22 expression (Supplemental Fig. 3D, E). STING can be activated via cGAS or indirectly via RIG-I and MDA5, leading to complex formation with TBK1 and activation of IFN and NF-kB signaling [5–8]. To further investigate the potential PPR redundancy and the involvement of STING, NHT and USP22 KO HT-29 cells were stimulated with the TLR3 ligand RIG-I- and MDA5-agonist polyinosinic-polycytidylic acid (poly(I:C)), or the 45-bp non-CpG oligomer IFN-stimulating DNA (ISD) from Listeria monocytogenes that strongly activates the STING-TBK1-IRF3 axis [69, 70]. Intriguingly, whereas poly(I:C) induced a prominent increase in the levels of total and phosphorylated STAT1 in both NHT and USP22 KO cells, ISD selectively induced increases in total and phosphorylated STAT1 levels in USP22 KO cells, but not in NHT control cells, which was also reflected in a prominent ISD-mediated induction of RIG-I expression and STING activation (Fig. 4E).

In addition, ISD also induced strong expression of the representative ISGs OAS3 and IRF9 in USP22 KO cells compared to controls (Fig. 4F). To confirm the role of STING in USP22-induced type III IFN signaling, USP22-STING dKO HT-29 cells were generated (Fig. 4G). USP22-STING dKO cells exhibited strikingly reduced levels of basal and phosphorylated STAT1 protein compared to USP22 KO HT-29 cells (Fig. 4G), suggesting a STING-dependent rescue of the USP22-dependent IFN signature. In line with this, USP22-induced ISG expression could be reversed as well in USP22-STING dKO HT-29 cells (Fig. 4H). Additionally, USP22-mediated increases in IFN-λ expression could also largely be reduced upon USP22-STING dKO, whereas expression of IFN-α and IFN-β remained largely unaffected (Fig. 4I). These findings reveal an important role of USP22 as negative regulator of STING-dependent type III IFN signaling in hIECs.

USP22 negatively regulates STING activation and ubiquitination

The differential response to ISD, but not poly(I:C), and the reversal of the IFN signature in USP22-STING dKO hIECs suggests an important role of USP22 in the control of STING-induced type III IFN signaling. However, until now, the mechanisms of how USP22 regulates STING function remain unclear. Therefore, we subjected HT-29 control and USP22 KO HT-29 cells to the STING agonist 2′-3′-cGAMP and observed a fast, strong, and more prolonged activation and phosphorylation of STING, as well as increased TBK1 and IRF3 phosphorylation (Fig. 5A). In addition, the analysis of 2′-3′-cGAMP-treated USP22 KO HT-29 cells revealed a very prominent increase in IFNL1 expression in USP22 KO cells, accompanied by increased IFNA and IFNB expression as well, but to a much lesser extent (Fig. 5B). Besides 2′-3′-cGAMP, the diamidobenzimidazole STING agonist diABZI also triggered more STING activation and STAT1 phosphorylation in USP22 KO Hela cells, compared to control cells (Supplemental Fig. 4A, B), confirming the generality of our findings. Intriguingly, apart from an increase in STING expression upon siRNA- and CRISPR/Cas9-mediated loss of USP22 expression in primary human colon epithelial cells, no major effects on IFN or ISG mRNA expression or on STAT1 phosphorylation could be detected, despite a prominent loss of USP22 expression (Supplemental Fig. 3D, E).

Since STING expression is controlled by IFNs, constitutive IFN-mediated priming upon USP22 deficiency might underly the upregulation of STING. To investigate the relevance of auto- and paracrine IFN signaling in the regulation of STING expression, control and USP22 KO HT-29 cells were incubated with the JAK/STAT inhibitor ruxolitinib. Jak/Stat inhibition increased STING protein and mRNA expression levels in USP22 KO cells, compared to controls, suggesting that IFN-dependent auto- or paracrine activation of STING expression is unlikely (Fig. 5C and Supplemental Fig. 4C). Of note, USP22-mediated increases in STAT1 phosphorylation could be reversed with ruxolitinib (Fig. 5C).

STING has been reported to be modified with several types of ubiquitin chains that mediate context-dependent effects, ranging from proteasomal degradation to the stimulation of signaling functions. STING protein levels were slightly stabilized in cycloheximide (CHX)-treated USP22 KO HT-29 cells compared to controls (Fig. 5D). In line with these observations, basal and 2′-3′-cGAMP-induced STING ubiquitination was also increased in USP22 KO HT-29 control and USP22 KO HT-29 cells after 24 h incubation with 1 µg/ml IFNAR2 blocking antibody. As positive control, cells were pre-treated for 1 h with IFNAR2 blocking antibody, then treated 1 h with 0.05 ng/ml IFN-β. Vinculin served as loading control.
KO HT-29 cells, compared to NHT control cells (Fig. 5E). Together, these findings suggest that USP22-mediated effects on type III IFN might be predominantly regulated by activating STING ubiquitination and lesser through auto- or paracrine IFN signaling.

**Loss of USP22 protects against SARS-CoV-2 infection, replication, and de novo infectious virus production in a STING-dependent manner**

Previous studies revealed important, but highly context-dependent roles of STING and type III IFNs in the control of SARS-CoV-2 infection [18, 29, 31, 32]. In addition, USP22 has been linked to viral signaling [55]. To investigate the significance of USP22 and the resulting STING-mediated upregulation of type III IFN and ISG signaling for viral defense, the role of the USP22-STING axis was tested during SARS-CoV-2 infection. For this, we generated control and USP22 KO Caco-2 cells that express the virus receptors ACE-2 and TMPRSS2 and are susceptible to infection with SARS-CoV-2 virus [18]. Loss of USP22 expression in Caco-2 cells triggered phosphorylation of STAT1 and increased expression of STING, compared to wild-type (WT) and NHT CRISPR/Cas9 control Caco-2 cells (Fig. 6A). Increased USP22-dependent upregulation of IFN signaling in Caco-2 cells was also reflected in the elevated expression of the antiviral ISGs IRF9 and OAS3 (Fig. 6B). Intriguingly, USP22-deficient Caco-2 cells also express higher levels of IFNL1, compared to WT and NHT CRISPR/Cas9 control NHT cells (Supplementary Fig. 5A). Finally, loss of USP22 expression in Caco-2 cells potently sensitized towards diABZI-induced STING agonism and subsequent degradation, as well as STAT1 phosphorylation, compared to control cells (Fig. 6C).
To test the functional relevance of the increased antiviral signaling upon loss of USP22 expression, WT, NHT, and USP22 KO Caco-2 cells were subjected to infection with SARS-CoV-2 particles at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. Infected Caco-2 cells were fixed at 24 h post-infection (hpi) and subjected to quantification of SARS-CoV-2 replication via immunofluorescence with the NP antibody recognizing SARS nucleocapsid protein. Interestingly, USP22-deficient cells displayed a prominent decrease in SARS-
CoV-2 infection compared to infected WT or NHT Caco-2 cells (Fig. 6D), as determined by immunofluorescence of viral protein. In addition, 6 and 24 hpi, SARS-CoV-2-infected USP22-deficient Caco-2 cells had lower genome copy numbers, compared to WT and NHT control cells (Fig. 6E). These findings are in line with a decreased release of de novo infectious SARS-CoV-2 viral particles in supernatants of USP22 KO Caco-2 cells compared to WT and NHT Caco-2 cells (Supplemental Fig. 5B). Intriguingly, USP22-STING dKO hIECs exhibit higher SARS-CoV-2 replication rates as well as the formation of more de novo infectious viral particles compared to USP22 KO hIECs, confirming that the USP22-STING connection also affects antiviral defense against SARS-CoV-2 infection (Fig. 6F and Supplemental Fig. 5C). Increased STAT1 phosphorylation in USP22 KO Caco-2 cells could be reduced by USP22-STING dKO, confirming a highly conserved role of USP22 in regulating type III IFN via STING (Fig. 6G). Accordingly, IFNL1 and ISG mRNA expression was also rescued in USP22-STING dKO Caco-2 cells, compared to USP22 KO, whereas no STING-dependent alterations could be detected in panIFNA and IFNB1 mRNA expression in STING-USP22 dKO Caco-2 cells compared to USP22 KO cells (Fig. 6H). Together, these findings indicate that USP22 critically controls SARS-CoV-2 infection, replication, and the generation of novel infectious viral particles, partially through STING.

**DISCUSSION**

Carefully controlled regulation of IFN secretion and signaling is essential for organizing innate immunity, inflammation and antiviral defense, and deregulation of IFNs occurs in autoimmune inflammatory diseases and cancer [1, 2]. Type I, II, and III IFNs
elicit complex and intertwined JAK/STAT-based signaling pathways that regulate the expression of ISGs, IFNs, STATs, and IRFs with important implications for anti-viral signaling [9, 10]. Additionally, IFN responsiveness is heavily influenced by IFN receptor affinities, expression and assembly, and positive and negative regulation via ISGs, often in a cell- and organ-specific manner [9]. IFN signaling, ISG function, and PRR-mediated antiviral defense are carefully controlled by ubiquitination and multiple DUBs have been linked to the regulation of IFN-specific JAK/STAT pathways and response to viral infection [37].

Apart from studying USP22 functions on IFN signaling in mouse models [59], previous works exclusively investigated cellular functions of USP22 upon viral infection and applied overexpression models to analyze USP22 interactions and USP22-mediated ubiquitination [54, 55]. Here, we are the first to study the basal functions of USP22 in the regulation of ISG expression and STAT signaling in hIECs. We identify USP22 as negative regulator of type III IFN secretion in basal settings without the addition of exogenous IFNs or by viral infection. Our findings reveal that USP22 regulates both basal and 23′-cGAMP-induced STING ubiquitination and activation, even in the absence of ectopic IFNs or viral infection, and loss of STING expression reverses the effects of USP22 KO on IFN signaling. Finally, we test the functional relevance of basal USP22- and STING-mediated IFN and JAK/STAT priming on SARS-CoV-2 infection and identify a critical role of USP22 in the control of SARS-CoV-2 infection, replication, and de novo formation of infectious viral particles, in a STING-dependent manner.

Despite the finding that USP22 regulates ISG expression in hIECs, USP22 does not exclusively control ISG or IFN-related gene expression. Hence, a large fraction of IFN-unrelated genes is changed, while the expression of other genes is not altered upon loss of USP22 expression. Until now, the basis for this selectivity remains unclear. In agreement with previous observations [49, 71], loss of USP22 expression in hIECs did indeed increase H2Bub1, a hallmark of transcriptionally active chromatin [72–74]. Interestingly, increased H2Bub1 could also be detected at nucleosomes at ISG-coding genes upon specific deletion of USP22 in the murine hematopoietic system, underlying the upregulation of ISG expression [59]. This was accompanied by alterations in hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs), myelopoiesis, B-cell development, T-cell activation, the numbers of B- and plasma cells, serum immunoglobulin levels, and the appearance of autoantibodies, but not by increased systemic secretion of IFNs [59]. This is surprising, since IFNs themselves are ISGs as well and IFN expression levels are often maintained at low basal levels to serve as priming signals that allow a fast and adequate increase of IFN responses upon viral infection. Indeed, a large fraction of USP22-regulated ISGs has been demonstrated to be involved as important anti-SARS-CoV-2 countermeasures [75]. In addition, global and ISG-specific levels of H2Bub1 can be regulated by type I IFN signaling during infection with human adenovirus as well, in a manner depending on human Bre1/RNF20 and the viral E1A protein [76]. Intriguingly, the RNF20/RNF40 E3 ligase complex, responsible for H2B ubiquitination [77], was shown to protect against SARS-CoV-2 infection, and RNF20 becomes cleaved and inactivated by the SARS-CoV-2 protease 3Clpro [78]. At present, the functional role of 3Clpro-mediated inactivation of RNF20 for H2Bub1 still remains to be addressed. Since loss of USP22 mostly affects type III IFN expression and secretion, USP22 likely mediates ISG expression both via epigenetic regulatory mechanisms as well as through long-term IFN-mediated priming effects in hIECs. In contrast to type I IFN, type III IFN is mostly sensed in gastro-intestinal and airway epithelia and in the blood-brain barrier [6–8, 11, 64]. Currently, type III-induced target genes are not well annotated in GO and this may explain why the GO terms genes regulated by type I and II IFNs were identified in our analysis. Since type I/II and type III IFNs largely share overlapping sets of ISGs [79], differences in GO term enrichment might be functionally irrelevant. IFN-λ mostly exhibits long-term signaling effects and plays important roles in SARS-CoV-2 infection in airway epithelial and gastro-intestinal cells and organoids and has been shown to critically control antiviral defense [18, 24–28].

The susceptibility towards SARS-CoV-2-infections is determined by USP22-mediated regulation of STING. STING is described as a mediator of IFN-λ1 production in HT-29 cells, and during viral infection in primary human macrophages in a Ku70-dependent manner [80, 81]. We furthermore demonstrate for the first time that in the absence of viral infections or exogenous IFN, loss of USP22 expression resulted in basal and 23′-cGAMP-induced STING ubiquitination in hIECs. In addition, loss of STING expression decreased IFN/ISG signaling that occurred under conditions of USP22 deficiency, suggesting that STING acts as a physical scaffold for USP22-dependent ubiquitin modifications. STING ubiquitination serves different physiological roles, including determining protein stability, mediating protein-protein interactions, and cellular localization [38–47]. Recently, cGAS-STING activity has emerged as regulator of immunopathology in COVID-19, highlighting the relevance of adequate STING regulation [82]. STING ubiquitination enables the STING-TBK1 interaction upon cGAS-mediated recognition of cytosolic DNA and is generally associated with activation of ISG expression [71]. Until now, USP22-mediated STING ubiquitination has only been described upon viral infection and upon ectopic expression. For example, overexpressed USP22 modifies ectopically expressed STING with HA-tagged K27 ubiquitin upon HSV-1 infection in HEK293T cells [55]. USP22 controls nuclear accumulation of IRF3 and type I IFN signaling through KPNAA2 deubiquitination only upon infection with SARS-CoV-2 and HSV-1 and loss of USP22 expression decreased type I IFN responses upon virus infection, while USP22 deletion in uninfected cells did not trigger basal IFN signaling [54]. At present, the role of type III IFNs in SeV and HSV-1 infections remains unclear. Taken together, our findings identify USP22 as central host factor that determines ISG expression and type III IFN production via STING, with important implications for SARS-CoV-2 infection and IFN priming. Future experiments to address the role of USP22 in the control of type III IFNs in defense against SARS-CoV-2 infection in multicellular organoids or intact animals will deepen the insights in type III IFN-mediated anti-viral functions of USP22.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell culture, reagents, and chemicals**

The human colon carcinoma cell line HT-29 and human cervix carcinoma cell line HeLa were obtained from DSMZ (Braunschweig, Germany) and cultivated in McCoy’s 5A Medium GlutaMAX™ (Life Technologies, Inc., Eggenstein, Germany), supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) (Biochrom, Ltd., Berlin, Germany) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen), or DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 1% sodium pyruvate ( Gibco), respectively. The human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line Caco-2 and human colon epithelial cells HCoEpic were provided by Jindrich Cinatl Jr. (Frankfurt am Main, Germany) and maintained in MEM medium (Sigma), supplemented with 10% FCS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin, 2% L-glutamine (Gibco) or EpiCM medium (ScienCell, Carlsbad, USA), supplemented with 2% FCS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin and 1% Epithelial Cell Growth Supplement (EpicGS; #4152, ScienCell), respectively. HEK293T cells were cultivated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Vero E6 African green monkey kidney cells were obtained from ATCC and maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. Cell lines were cultivated in humidified atmosphere at 37°C with 5% CO2 and sub-culturing of cells was performed two or three times a week. All cell lines were regularly negatively tested for mycoplasma.

IFN-stimulating DNA (ISD), the cationic lipid-based transfection reagent LyoVec, diABZI and cyclic [G2′5′pA3′5′p] (23′-cGAMP) were obtained from Invivogen (San Diego, USA) and Lipofectamine2000 was obtained from ThermoFisher Scientific (Dreieich, Germany). All other chemicals were obtained from Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) or Sigma, unless stated otherwise.
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CRISPR/Cas9 KO cells were generated as described previously [53]. Briefly, three independent guide RNAs (gRNAs), targeting USP22 (#1: GCCATTGGGGTCCGCTGCGT, #2: CGCTAAGTCTTGGGACTGCTGTTAAACG, #3: GCCAGGCTCCTACGACGACCA), were designed and used for transfection. IFN-β reporter cells were seeded (50,000 cells/well) in the presence of 20 µl of supernatants from indicated cell lines and incubated overnight. Reporter expression was measured using QuantiBlue Solution (Invivogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Western Blot analysis

The indicated cell lines were seeded two days before lysis and treated as indicated, or left untreated. Lysis was done on ice using RIPA lysis buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Nonidet P-40 (NP-40), 150 mM MgCl₂, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate), with phosphatase inhibitors (1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM β-glycerophosphate, 5 mM sodium fluoride), protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Germany), 0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and Pierce Universal Nuclease (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 min, followed by centrifugation at 18000 × g for 25 min. at 4 °C. Protein concentrations of the cell lysates were measured using the BCA Protein Assay Kit from Pierce according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For Western blot detection, 20-40 µg of the lysates were boiled in Laemmli loading buffer (6x Laemmli: 360 mM Tris Base pH 6.8, 30% glycerol, 120 mg/ml SDS, 93 mg/ml dithiothreitol (DTT), 12 mg/ml bromophenol blue) at 95 °C for 5 min., followed by Western blot analysis. The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-STING (13647S, Cell Signaling Beverly, MA, USA), rabbit anti-phospho-STAT1 (9167L, Cell Signaling), mouse anti-Poly (I:C) receptor RF-1 (91765, Cell signaling), rabbit anti-USP22 (ab195298, Abcam), mouse anti-glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) (SG4cc, HyTest, Turku, Finland), mouse anti-Vinculin (#V9131-100UL, Merck), rabbit anti-TBK1 (ab40676, Abcam), rabbit anti-phospho-TBK1 (ab109272, Abcam), rabbit anti-Histone H2B (#07-371, Merck), mouse anti-UBiquityl-Histone H2B (#05-1312, Merck), rabbit anti-p65 (sc-372X, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), rabbit anti-H2AX (phospho Ser139) (NB100-384, Novus Biologicals) and mouse anti-Mer (05-361, Millipore). Secondary antibodies labeled with horse-radish peroxidase (HRP) were used for detection with chemiluminescence (Amersham Bioscience, Freiburg, Germany). HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (ab6721, Abcam) was diluted 1:30,000 in 5% milk powder in PBS with 0.2% Tween 20 (PBS-T). When necessary, membranes were stripped using 0.4 M NaOH for 10 min., followed by 1 h of blocking and incubation with a secondary antibody. Representative blots of at least two independent experiments are shown. When detected on separate membranes, only one
representative loading control is shown for clarity. Scans of uncropped Western blots are shown in the Supplementary Information.

Stimulation of STING with 2′-3′-cGAMP
The indicated cell lines were seeded 24 or 48 h prior to stimulation in P/S-free cell culture medium. For stimulation, culture medium was removed and cells were permeabilized by incubation with digitonin buffer (50 mM HEPES, 100 mM KCl, 3 mM MgCl₂, 0.1 mM dithiothreitol, 85 mM sucrose, 0.2% bovine serum albumin, 1 mM ATP, 5 µg/ml Digitonin) pH 7 in the presence or absence of 10 µg/ml 2′3′-cGAMP for 10 min. at 37 °C. After incubation, the permeabilization buffer was replaced with P/S-free cell culture medium and further incubated at 37 °C/5% CO₂ for the indicated time points.

PRR stimulation with poly(I:C) and ISD
The indicated HT-29 cells were seeded 24 h prior to treatment in sterile 6-well plates (Greiner). For each well, two µg of ISD (Invivogen) were pre-mixed with OptiMEM and, after 5 min. incubation at room temperature, mixed with premixed Lipofectamine2000-OptiMEM at a ratio of 3:1, according to the manufacturer’s instructions. After incubation for 15 min. at room temperature, the indicated transfection mixes were added to the cells in P/S free medium. Cell lysis with RIPA or RNA lysis buffer was performed after 24 h. For stimulation with poly(I:C), the indicated HT-29 cells were seeded as described above and for each well, 2 µg of poly(I:C) was mixed with 20 µl LysVec (Invivogen), incubated for 15 min. at room temperature to allow the formation of lipid-RNA complexes. The transfection mix was then added to the indicated HT-29 cells in P/S free medium at a 1:20 volume ratio and incubated for 24 h, after which cells were processed for Western blot or RNA isolation.

Blockage of type I/II IFN signaling
Indicated cell lines were seeded 24 h prior to treatment with 1 µg/ml anti-IFNAR2 antibody (clone MMHAR-2, PBL Assay Science). After 24 h, cells were analyzed using Western Blotting. As positive control, cells were pretreated with 1 µg/ml anti-IFNAR2 antibody for 1 h, followed by 0.05 ng/ml recombinant human IFN-β for 1 h.

Tandem Ubiquitin Binding Entity (TUBE) pull-down analysis
Ubiquitinated proteins were enriched using GST-tagged tandem-repeated ubiquitin-binding entities (TUBEs) [89], as described before [53]. Briefly, the indicated cell lines were seeded 48 h prior to lysis and/or treatment, harvested in NP-40 lysis buffer (50 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris pH 7.5, 1% NP-40, 5 mM EDTA, 10% (Glycerol) supplemented with 25 mM NEM, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, 1 mM sodium fluoride, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, protease inhibitor cocktail and Pierce Universal Nuclease on ice for 30 min. GST-TUBE beads were washed once with NP-40 buffer and incubated with 3 mg of protein lysate over night at 4 °C. Beads were washed four times with NP-40 buffer, followed by elution of ubiquitinated proteins by boiling in 2x Laemmli loading buffer. Protein lysates were incubated with GST-TUBE beads for 1 h at 4 °C. After centrifugation, the supernatant was loaded on a 4%–20% gradient gel and an equivalent of 50 µg of input was loaded per lane. Blots were probed for ubiquitin-binding entities (TUBEs) [89], as described before [53].

SARS-CoV-2 infection
SARS-CoV-2 (strain BavPat1/2020) was obtained from the European Virology Archive and amplified in Vero E6 cells and used at passage 3. Virus titeres were determined by TCID₅₀ assay. Caco-2 cells were infected using a MOI of 1 virus particle per cell. Medium was removed from Caco-2 cells and virus was added to cells for 1 h at 37°C. Viral supernatants were removed, infected cells were washed once with PBS, and media was added back to the cells. Virus infection was monitored 24 h post-infection.

TCID₅₀ virus titration
Vero E6 cells were seeded (20,000 per well) in 96-well plates 24 h prior to infection. A volume of 100 µl of viral supernatant from the indicated SARS-CoV-2-infected Caco-2 cells was added to each well. Seven 1:10 dilutions were made (all samples were performed in triplicate). Infections were allowed to proceed for 24 h. At 24 h post infection (hpi), cells were fixed in 2% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min. at room temperature. PFA was removed and cells were washed twice with PBS and permeabilized for 10 min. at room temperature in 0.5% Triton-X/PBS. Cells were blocked in a 1:2 dilution of Li-COR blocking buffer (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) for 30 min. at room temperature. Infected cells were stained with 1:1000 diluted anti-dsRNA (J2) for 1 h at room temperature, washed three times with 0.1% PBT-T, followed by incubation with secondary antibody (anti-mouse CW800) and DNA dye Draq5 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), diluted 1:10,000 in blocking buffer and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. Cells were washed three times with 0.1% PBS-T and imaged in PBS on a Li-COR imager.

Quantification of viral RNA
At 24 hpi, RNA was extracted from infected or mock-treated Caco-2 cells using the Qiagen RNAsEasy Plus Extraction Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). For quantifying the SARS-CoV-2 genome abundance in mock and infected samples, cDNA was generated using 250 ng of RNA with the iSCRIPT reverse transcriptase (BioRad, Hercules, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed using iTaq SYBR green (BioRad) following the instructions of the manufacturer and normalized on TBP. Primers were ordered at Eurofins, Luxemburg, and are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Indirect Immunofluorescence Assay
Cells were seeded on iBIDI glass bottom 8-well chamber slides. At 24 h post-infection, cells were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 20 min. at room temperature (RT). Cells were washed and permeabilized in 0.5% Triton-X for 15 min. at RT. Primary antibody SARS-CoV NP (Sino biologicals MM05) was diluted in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated for 1 h at RT. Cells were washed in 1X PBS three times and incubated with secondary antibodies goat-anti mouse Alexa Fluor 568 and DAPI for 45 min. at RT. Cells were washed in 1X PBS three times and maintained in PBS. Cells were imaged by epifluorescence on a Nikon Eclipse Ti-S (Nikon).

Statistical analysis
Significance was assessed using Student’s t-test (two-tailed distribution, two-sample, equal variance) using Microsoft Excel, unless indicated otherwise. P-values < 0.05 are considered significant (*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001, n.s.: not significant).
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