Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$ on Graphene Oxide Derivatives as Oxygen Reduction Reaction Catalyst in Alkaline Direct Ethanol Fuel Cells
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Abstract: In this study, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C composite catalysts deposited on reduced graphene oxide (rGO) and, for the first time on N-doped graphene oxide (NGO), were prepared via a facile synthesis method. The influence of the carbon support material on the activity and stability of the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and on the tolerance to ethanol in alkaline medium was focused and investigated. The physicochemical properties of the Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C catalysts were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD), scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (SEM-EDS), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method, atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS), inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), and thermogravimetric gas analysis (TGA). Electrochemical characterization was performed by rotating disk electrode (RDE) experiments. The results show that the active manganese species MnO$_2$ was assembled as nanorods and nanospheres on rGO and NGO, respectively. Ag was assumed to be present as very small or amorphous particles. Similar redox processes for Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO were examined via cyclic voltammetry. The Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO resulted in a more negative diffusion limiting current density of $-3.01 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ compared to Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO. The onset potential of approximately 0.9 V vs. RHE and the favored 4-electron transfer pathway were independent of the support material. Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO exhibited a higher ORR stability, whereas Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO showed a better ethanol tolerance.
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1. Introduction

The global increase in greenhouse gas emissions due to the use of fossil fuels is forcing the increased use of alternative, cost-efficient, and renewable energy sources. Alkaline direct ethanol fuel cells (ADEFCs) have gained enormous attention in recent years, as the liquid energy carrier ethanol has a high energy density and is an environmentally friendly, easy to transport, non-toxic fuel that can be produced using agriculture products and biomass [1,2].

In addition to the problem of incomplete fuel oxidation at the anode, the commercialization of ADEFCs is hindered by the fact that expensive noble metals are still used in the cathode and the performance is reduced by the ethanol crossover from the anode to the cathode due to mixed potentials [3]. The development of low cost, highly efficient and tolerant catalysts for the oxygen reduction reaction at the cathode is therefore of major importance. Pt has usually been the state-of-the-art electrocatalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction.
reaction (ORR). Non-Pt catalyst alternatives have been extensively investigated in recent years [4,5], however, since Pt is restricted by major disadvantages, including very high costs, limited reserves in nature, durability issues, and its susceptibility to ethanol crossover. The research focuses partly on platinum-free metal catalysts, such as silver and its alloys, but mainly on doped carbons (especially graphene derivatives), transition metal oxides such as various perovskites, cobalt oxides, or manganese oxides, and on metal oxide/graphene composites [6–13].

Ag has been extensively identified as a promising cathode catalyst as it is cheaper than Pt, shows high ORR activity and stability in alkaline electrolytes, and is insensitive to alcohol crossover [14–16]. Truong et al. [17] found that the ORR activity on Ag/C is close to that on Pt. Alloying of Ag with other metals to produce silver nanoalloys electrocatalysts for ORR in alkaline media is also effective, as Qaseem et al. [6] stated in a detailed published review on recent advances. Despite the intensive research on Ag alloy catalysts, the performance is not yet sufficient, so the combination with transition metals is subject to increasing investigation.

Manganese oxides (Mn$_x$O$_y$) have been identified as the most promising ORR catalysts in alkaline media as they bring advantages such as low cost and are associated with high abundant natural manganese ores, environmental friendliness, and high electrocatalytic activity towards ORR [10]. The ORR performance of Mn$_x$O$_y$ catalysts depends on different parameters including preparation methods, manganese valence state, surface morphology, and crystalline structure [10,18,19]. The use and investigation of various MnO$_2$/C materials as efficient ORR catalysts in alkaline media was focused on intensively over many years. For example, Calegaro et al. [20] already showed in 2005 that the relationship between Mn$_x$O$_y$ and the carbon support plays an important role and can promote the preferential 4-electron pathway. The latest studies, however, also repeatedly prioritize the use of manganese oxides, as in a recent work by Purwaningsih et al. [13] in which they effectively prepared MnO$_2$ nanorods on reduced graphene oxide by means of electrophoretic deposition.

Many studies have been reported in which Ag is combined with Mn$_x$O$_y$ to benefit from the properties of both compounds [14,21–24]. The current density of Ag–Mn$_3$O$_4$/C is almost 3.75 times higher compared to Ag/C, as described by Liu et al. [22]. A recent study by Marukawa et al. [25], in which Ag is deposited on MnO$_2$, also shows that the combination of Ag with MnO$_2$ provides superior ORR activity compared to Ag. A higher stability and tolerance to alcohol fuel crossover compared to Pt/C can be achieved with Ag–Mn$_x$O$_y$/C catalysts, as shown by Tang et al. [14].

Catalytic activity towards ORR is not only influenced by the active material itself, but the deposition of metals on a suitable carbon material and their individual characteristics also play a major role. Graphene derivatives, with their outstanding electronic, thermal, chemical, and mechanical properties [8], have proven to be an efficient catalyst support material [4,16,26]. Graphene presents a honeycomb-like structure consisting of sp$^2$-carbon atoms that reveals an ultra-high specific surface area, excellent electrical conductivity, together with thermal and chemical stability [4,7]. Graphene derivatives can appear in many different forms and their properties can vary greatly. The most frequently applied type as support material is reduced graphene oxide (rGO), which can be produced from graphene oxide (GO) via chemical or thermal reduction [27]. It is exfoliated in this form, and the structure of the rGO contains low quantities of oxygen-containing functional groups such as hydroxyls, epoxides, or carbonyls, as well as vacancy defects. These groups can promote the immobilization and anchoring and thus also the stability of the metal and metal oxide particles [7,27]. Lee et al. [4] already published that rGO can be used as efficient carbon support for silver manganese oxides.

In recent studies, more and more emphasis is being shifted to doping the graphene materials with heteroatoms [28]. The most studied method is doping with nitrogen, which results in N-doped graphene oxide (NGO), presenting several nitrogen chemical point defects in the 2D structure. Defects of this kind can be present as nitrogen functionalities, i.e., pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, and graphitic-N. There are several reports that show
that N-functionalities have an active role in electrocatalysis and could be beneficial for ORR [8,29–32]. Liu et al. [33] described that supporting CoMn$_2$O$_4$ with nitrogen-doped graphene enhanced the electrochemical activity of the catalyst, which was attributed to the availability of more active sites, higher conductivity, and synergistic effects caused by the nitrogen atoms. The use of nitrogen-doped graphene oxide as a support material for Ag has also been investigated, and Linge et al. [34] demonstrated that high catalytic activity is achieved with Ag/NGO.

In this study, based on the above knowledge, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$ was deposited as the active material on rGO and, for the first time, on NGO to investigate the influence of the support material on the activity and stability towards ORR as well as on the ethanol tolerance. The use of the new support materials, especially NGO, ensures a large specific surface area and a strong interaction with the active material, thus enhancing the activity and stability of the catalysts in terms of ORR. The catalysts were prepared by a simple adapted synthesis method and comprehensive physicochemical characterization was performed. The electrochemical properties were determined by rotating disk electrode (RDE) and the kinetic properties were evaluated by Koutecky–Levich analysis.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Physicochemical Characterization of ORR Catalysts

The activity of the catalysts towards ORR can be strongly affected by their physicochemical properties, dependent on their structure, morphology, and surface area, as well as on the nature of the carbon support material [16,32,35]. The following section presents comprehensive physicochemical characterization results for the synthesized Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO by means of XRD, SEM-EDS, BET, ICP-MS, AAS, and TGA-MS.

In this study, rGO and NGO were synthesized from the same GO precursor which was obtained from the graphite precursor oxidized and partially exfoliated using Hummers method. GO was chemically reduced using hydrazine hydrate as the reducing agent to obtain rGO with partially restored graphene honeycomb structure, which is responsible for electron conduction. On the other hand, NGO is also a material with a partially restored honeycomb structure with several nitrogen chemical point defects in the 2D structure. A defect of this kind can be present as nitrogen functionalities, i.e., pyridinic-N, pyrrolic-N, and graphitic-N. There are several reports that show that N-functionalities have an active role in electrocatalysis [29–32]. Such an effect could be beneficial for the ORR in direct alkaline ethanol fuel cells [8]. Further, the structure of the metals and metal oxides deposited on the carbon support material also plays a major role for the ORR activity. As for the manganese oxides, the catalytic activity is especially dependent on the Mn oxidation state as well as on the oxide structure [10,36]. The structure of the Ag-Mn$_n$O$_y$ catalysts and of the rGO and NGO support materials was analyzed using XRD. Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of all materials and the diffraction peak positions, and relative intensities of the standard patterns of MnO$_2$ (ICSD #29561), Mn$_3$O$_4$ (ICSD #33327), Ag (ICSD #22434), and graphite (ICSD #18838) are included in the bottom for comparison.

The broad peak at 2θ 25.0° and the one at 43.0° in the rGO-based patterns are attributable to graphite crystal facets (002) and (100), respectively [28,37]. The peak of the graphite plane (002) is sharper and shifted to 26.5° for the NGO materials, indicating that they present a higher crystallinity, the graphitic content is higher, and it is more reduced [30,38], which is also reflected by the presence of additional peaks at 45.0° and 54.3°, characteristic for the graphite structure [39]. These features may also hint that less exfoliation occurred compared to the rGO equivalents [8]. The patterns of Ag-Mn$_n$O$_y$/C catalysts are comparable to the Ag$_x$Mn$_y$O$_z$-fresh sample of Wu et al. [23]. The peaks at 36.8° and 65.7° can be attributed to the MnO$_2$ (100) and (110), respectively. The flat broad peak between 29.0° and 34.0° indicates a minor portion of Mn$_3$O$_4$ [23]. Many studies have found that the structure of the manganese oxides plays an important role in the activity of the ORR. α-MnO$_2$ is described to be the most active form [40]. In addition, the peaks are sharper for
Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO, which indicates a higher crystallinity. The MnO$_2$ crystallite size of the two Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C samples was estimated via Scherrer equation with the assumption of spherical particles and $k = 0.9$, as generally taken for powders. The average FWHM (full width at half maximum) of several peaks (36.8° and 65.7°) was used for calculation and a crystallite size of approximately 6.8 nm and 6.6 nm was determined for Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO, respectively. Ag peaks are not visible, as the material is probably amorphous or occurs in very small particle sizes [23]. No prediction can be made about the nature of the Ag species from this XRD data. It can only be confirmed using the data from the elemental analysis that Ag is present in the correct proportion. However, if it is considered that the diffractograms are very similar to the AgMnO$_x$-fresh sample of Wu et al. [23], it is strongly assumed that Ag is present as Ag$_2$O.

![XRD patterns of rGO, NGO, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO, and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO with MnO$_2$, Mn$_3$O$_4$, Ag, and graphite standard patterns from ICSD for comparison.](image)

Figure 1. XRD patterns of rGO, NGO, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO, and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO with MnO$_2$, Mn$_3$O$_4$, Ag, and graphite standard patterns from ICSD for comparison.

It has been shown previously that the morphology of graphene-based metal support and the metal itself have a great impact on the activity of the electrocatalyst [18,19,29,32]. To determine the morphology and specific surface area of the electrocatalysts, SEM and gas adsorption experiments were performed. Figure 2 shows the sheet-like structure which can be assigned to the graphene derivative support materials also found in the literature [29,31,38]. The morphological difference between rGO and NGO is clearly indicated. Chemical reduction with hydrazine furnished high surface area rGO support in the shape irregular spheres (lower magnification) but highly exfoliated crumpled structure (large magnification). However, NGO shows an irregular and flaky morphology (lower magnification) with exfoliated flat multilayer graphene sheets (higher magnification). The difference in morphology does not impact the specific area or porosity of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO (Table 1, Figure S1). Both materials show a similar pore size and specific surface area of 244.6 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and 285.7 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ for Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO. The main reason for the morphological difference is most probably the reduction protocol. rGO was the subject of a “wet chemistry” approach, i.e., chemical reduction in suspension, while NGO was a subject of a heat treatment protocol in NH$_3$ gas dry conditions. This means that the GO functional groups in the case of the rGO product were reduced when in contact with the reducing agent in suspension and thermally decomposed when heat treated in NH$_3$ atmosphere.
The different phase of reaction environment could have an effect on the surface energy of the two materials, consequently leading to different morphology.

The SEM images also indicate that the catalyst nanoparticles are better dispersed on rGO than on NGO, where they tend to agglomerate, which could again be due to a
different surface energy. The reason for this phenomenon might be that NGO is more hydrophobic (more reduced, lower oxygen content) than rGO, which hinders non-covalent interactions between substrate and nanoparticle. In addition, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO shows branch- or stick-like particles on the rGO sheets, attributable to MnO$_2$, which were also described in other studies by Tang et al. [14] and Wu et al. [23]. In contrast, the particles on the NGO look more like spheres. It is known that the electrocatalytic activity of manganese oxides in alkaline media highly depends not only on the crystal structure but also on the morphology. Nanowires/nanorods are found to represent the most active form [18,19]. Ag is likely to be present only in very small or amorphous particles, accompanying the XRD results [23].

EDS is used to estimate the elemental composition of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO. As can be seen in Table 2, Figures S2 and S3, C, O, Mn, and Ag were detected for both catalyst samples. In addition, a small quantity of nitrogen was traced for the NGO-supported material, which is due to nitrogen doping with ammonia. The higher oxygen content (and therefore lower C content) in the Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO sample than in Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO may be due to more oxygen functional groups in the rGO material [14]. This reinforces the assumption from the XRD that the NGO materials are more reduced. ICP-MS and AAS analyses were also performed to examine the exact quantities of Mn and Ag. The determined values agree relatively well with the theoretically calculated values of 9.8 wt.% for Ag and 13.3 wt.% for Mn. A little more Mn is always detected in the Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO sample, which may be due to residual impurities from the GO synthesis. The lower Ag content obtained by AAS compared to ICP-MS is explained by presuming that a portion of it is bound in the precipitate formed by the splitting.

Table 2. Elemental composition results of the Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C catalysts (wt.%).

| Catalysts      | ICP-MS | AAS | EDS |
|----------------|--------|-----|-----|
|                | Mn     | Ag  | Mn  | Ag  | Mn  | Ag  | C     | O     | N     |
| Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO | 13.81  | 9.51| 14.99| 7.85| 20.31| 11.96| 30.91| 36.82| -     |
| Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO  | 11.64  | 9.05| 12.63| 7.45| 12.80| 9.52 | 59.28| 17.93| 0.47  |

The reduction process of the graphene derivatives also impacts the chemical composition and consequently thermal stability of the graphene-based materials. In order to test thermal stability and decomposition, evolved gas analysis using TGA coupled with MS was performed in oxidative ($O_2$/Ar) and inert atmosphere (Ar). The TGA-MS curves are shown in Figure 3.

The TGA for Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO in oxidative conditions (Figure 3, left) revealed that both materials have a relatively similar weight loss, which is related to the carbon decomposition. The decomposition occurs at higher temperatures, however, in the case of rGO (between 270 and 550 °C) when compared to NGO (between 270 °C and 420 °C). The remaining mass is therefore directly related to the active material content, which is 33 wt.% and 38 wt.% for Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO, respectively. The higher value for the NGO-based material can be explained by the larger impurities found by ICP-MS (Table S1). The TGA profiles in inert atmosphere show that both materials reveal the same weight loss at these conditions, but that less material is decomposed than under oxidative conditions (Figure 3, right). The loss above 100 °C is due to a small proportion of evaporation of the water absorbed on the catalysts, as indicated by the $m/z$ 18 signal for $H_2$O$^+$ expansion, and due to CO$_2$ evolution ($m/z$ 44). This is attributed to the further graphitization and decomposition of the oxygen functionalities [38]. The Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO sample also shows a small peak for CO loss from 500–800 °C ($m/z$ 28). The mass loss can further be assigned to oxygen release caused by Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$ decomposition. MnO$_2$ is converted to Mn$_2$O$_3$ and at higher temperatures to MnO [14].
lower Ag content obtained by AAS compared to ICP-MS is explained by presuming that a portion of it is bound in the precipitate formed by the splitting.

Table 2. Elemental composition results of the Ag-MnₓOᵧ/C catalysts (wt.%).

| Catalysts       | ICP-MS | AAS | EDS |
|-----------------|--------|-----|-----|
|                  | Mn     | Ag  | Mn  | Ag  | Mn  | Ag  | C   | O   | N   |
| Ag-MnₓOᵧ/rGO    | 13.81  | 9.51| 14.99| 7.85| 20.31| 11.96| 30.91| 36.82| -   |
| Ag-MnₓOᵧ/NGO    | 11.64  | 9.05| 12.63| 7.45| 12.80| 9.52 | 59.28| 17.93| 0.47|

The reduction process of the graphene derivatives also impacts the chemical composition and consequently thermal stability of the graphene-based materials. In order to test thermal stability and decomposition, evolved gas analysis using TGA coupled with MS was performed in oxidative (O₂/Ar) and inert atmosphere (Ar). The TGA-MS curves are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3. TGA-MS curves of Ag-MnₓOᵧ/rGO (a,b) and Ag-MnₓOᵧ/NGO (c,d) in O₂/Ar (left) and Ar atmosphere (right).

2.2. Base Cyclic Voltammograms of the Ag-MnₓOᵧ/C Catalysts

Ex-situ cyclic voltammetry measurements are carried out in de-aerated 1 M KOH electrolyte to investigate the electrochemical properties of Ag-MnₓOᵧ/rGO and Ag-MnₓOᵧ/NGO. Base CVs (Figure 4) are recorded at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ from 0.1 to 1.0 V vs. RHE after surface cleaning at a faster scan rate (100 mV s⁻¹) until stable curves are obtained.

The voltammograms give information about the reduction and oxidation processes of the materials. Both catalysts, Ag-MnₓOᵧ/rGO and Ag-MnₓOᵧ/NGO, show a very similar profile. Ag redox peaks are not visible, as these reactions take place only in a higher potential range. Cycling to this potential was not performed, since measurements up to 1.4 V vs. RHE lead to degradation of the catalyst and carbon support [41]. Therefore, the peaks observed in the CVs of the Ag-MnₓOᵧ/C catalysts are associated with various redox processes of different manganese oxides. The CVs are very similar to that of MnO₂ described in the literature [10,36], confirming the statement from the XRD that the catalyst is present in this form. Cycling from 1.0 V vs. RHE in the cathodic direction, MnO₂ is first reduced to MnOOH, and in lower potential regions, it is reduced to either Mn₂O₃ or Mn₃O₄ [10,20,36]. In a further reduction step, the generated Mn(III) species form Mn(OH)₂. In the anodic scan, the reoxidation reactions proceed. The conversion of Mn(OH)₂ to Mn₂O₃ (0.4–0.7 V vs. RHE) and MnOOH (0.76 V vs. RHE) takes place first (Equations (1) and (2)). At higher potential ranges from 0.92 V vs. RHE, the conversion of Mn(III) species to MnO₂
happens (Equations (3) and (4)), which can also overlap with the beginning oxidation of Ag [14,21,23,41,42]. Minor potential shifts can be caused by faster kinetics but also by the interaction of Ag and Mn$_x$O$_y$ [10,20].

$$2\text{Mn(OH)}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \quad (1)$$
$$\text{Mn(OH)}_2 + 3\text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow \text{MnOOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \quad (2)$$
$$\text{MnOOH} + \text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow \text{MnO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + e^- \quad (3)$$
$$\text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{OH}^- \leftrightarrow 2\text{MnO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \quad (4)$$

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Base CV of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO catalysts at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ in N$_2$ purged 1 M KOH.

### 2.3. ORR Activity of the Graphene Derivative Supports and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C Catalysts

ORR curves of rGO, NGO, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO, and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO are recorded in O$_2$ saturated 1 M KOH electrolyte at a scan rate of 10 mV s$^{-1}$ from 0.1–1.0 V vs. RHE to screen the catalyst’s activities towards ORR in alkaline media. A commercial Pt/C (30 wt.%) catalyst is analyzed for comparison. Figure 5 shows the potentiodynamic ORR curves of all materials at 1600 rpm.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** Potentiodynamic ORR curves of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO catalysts, support materials, and comm. Pt/C in O$_2$ saturated 1 M KOH at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ at 1600 rpm.

The onset potential ($E_{\text{onset}}$), half-wave potential ($E_{1/2}$), and diffusion limited current density ($j_D$) are significant for the characterization of the ORR activity of a catalyst.
exact determined values for the different catalysts are listed in Table 3 and a comparison of the ORR performances of various catalysts containing Ag and Mn₃O₇ is given in Table 4.

Table 3. Electrochemical characterization results of the graphene derivatives, Ag-Mn₃O₇/C composites, and Pt/C catalyst.

| Catalysts          | E\textsubscript{onset} a,b/V vs. RHE | E\textsubscript{1/2} b/V vs. RHE | j\textsubscript{D} b/cm\textsuperscript{2}mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} | n c | j\textsubscript{h} d/cm\textsuperscript{-1} |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|------|-----------------|
| rGO                | 0.856/0.838                        | 0.772/0.748                     | 1.96/1.87                                      | 2.51 | 0.795 x 10\textsuperscript{-2} |
| NGO                | 0.871/0.871                        | 0.775/0.787                     | 1.84/1.69                                      | 2.38 | 0.598 x 10\textsuperscript{-2} |
| Ag-Mn₃O₇/rGO       | 0.889/0.877                        | 0.814/0.791                     | 3.01/2.72                                      | 3.58 | 2.25 x 10\textsuperscript{-2} |
| Ag-Mn₃O₇/NGO       | 0.904/0.895                        | 0.819/0.796                     | 2.85/2.52                                      | 3.54 | 1.24 x 10\textsuperscript{-2} |
| Pt/C               | 0.957/-                            | 0.874/-                         | 3.21/-                                         | 3.74 | 10.1 x 10\textsuperscript{-2} |

E\textsubscript{onset} = onset potential; E\textsubscript{1/2} = half-wave potential; j\textsubscript{D} = diffusion limited current density; n = electron transfer number; j\textsubscript{h} = heterogenous rate constant; \textsuperscript{a} I = –0.1 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}; \textsuperscript{b} without EtOH/with EtOH; \textsuperscript{c} E = 0.4 V vs. RHE; \textsuperscript{d} E = 0.8 V vs. RHE.

Table 4. Comparison of the ORR performances of various catalysts containing Ag and Mn₃O₇.

| Material             | Electrolyte | Onset Potential/ V vs. Reference | Limiting Current Density/mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} | Electron Transfer Number/ | Tafel Slope/mV dec \textsuperscript{-1} | Reference |
|----------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------|
| AgMnO\textsubscript{x}/C | 0.1 M NaOH  | –0.045 (vs. Hg/HgO)              | –0.92 *                                           | 3.69                      | -                                      | [23]      |
| rGO/MnO\textsubscript{x}/Ag | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.9 (vs. RHE)                    | 3.4                                              | 3.90                      | 120.2                                 | [4]       |
| Ag-MnO\textsubscript{x}/NGO | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.9 (vs. RHE)                    | –5.51                                            | 3.90                      | 86                                    | [26]      |
| Ag-MnO\textsubscript{x}/graphene | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.068 (vs. Hg/HgO)              | –5.62                                            | 4.0                       | 89                                    | [21]      |
| 50%Ag-MnO\textsubscript{x} | 0.1 M KOH   | 0.83 (vs RHE)                   | –5.50                                            | 4.0                       | 89                                    | [21]      |
| Ag-MnO\textsubscript{x}/C | 1 M NaOH    | –0.11 (vs. SCE)                  | approximately 2.6                                | 3.94                      | 124.3                                 | [22]      |
| Ag-MnO\textsubscript{x}/NGO | 0.1 M NaOH  | –0.093 (vs. SCE)                 | –5.40                                            | 3.94                      | 124.3                                 | [22]      |
| 2.85 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} | 1 M KOH     | –0.093 (vs. SCE)                 | –5.40                                            | 3.94                      | 124.3                                 | [22]      |
| Ag-MnO\textsubscript{x}/rGO | 1 M KOH     | 0.88 (vs RHE)                   | –3.01                                            | 3.58                      | 118.7                                 | [23]      |
| Ag-MnO\textsubscript{x}/NGO | 1 M KOH     | 0.90 (vs RHE)                   | –2.85                                            | 3.54                      | 129.9                                 | [23]      |

\textsuperscript{a} Limiting current density is given in mA instead of mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}.

The carbon support material itself also has the ability to reduce oxygen, hence they are often investigated as metal-free catalysts [7,8,29]. Comparing the ORR activity of the blank rGO and NGO support material, it can be noticed that they exhibit a very similar E\textsubscript{onset}, E\textsubscript{1/2}, and j\textsubscript{D}. It can be further observed that the onset and half-wave potentially shift positively by 30 mV and 40 mV, respectively, and the diffusion limiting current density shifts negatively by 1 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} when decorating both support materials with Ag-Mn₃O₇. The ORR performance of Ag-Mn₃O₇/rGO and Ag-Mn₃O₇/NGO is thereby very close to that for Pt/C and comparable to previously published results of carbon supported Ag-Mn₃O₇ catalysts in 1 M KOH [4,22,42]. Furthermore, Ag-Mn₃O₇/rGO shows a slightly more negative limiting current density of –3.01 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2} compared to the Ag-Mn₃O₇/NGO (–2.85 mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}), whereas the onset (approximately 0.9 V vs. RHE) and half-wave potentials (approximately 0.8 V vs. RHE) are almost the same. This is not only caused by the graphene derivatives, but potentially also by the different structure, morphology, and distribution of the Ag-Mn₃O₇ on the support material. With the SEM, it has been shown that Ag-Mn₃O₇/rGO presents a nanorod-like structure and a better distribution of the particles, whereas the particles on Ag-Mn₃O₇/NGO are more clustered and spherical. Nanowires/nanorods are found to represent the most active form [18,19], which could explain the higher activity of the Ag-Mn₃O₇/rGO. In addition, it is known that the active surface of the catalyst where the oxygen can adsorb is of great importance for the performance [10]. The agglomeration of particles on the Ag-Mn₃O₇/NGO results in a loss of surface area, which in turn can decrease the ORR activity. Valim et al. [10] also describe that not only the real active surface but also some intrinsic properties, which are specific for each structure, matter for the electrochemical ORR performance. The kinetics of the oxygen reduction on MnO₂ also depends on the kinetic rate of the reduction to MnOOH, on which O₂ adsorption takes place [26]. Both catalysts show similar reduction processes.
in the CVs, which is presumably why the kinetic parameters ($E_{\text{onset}}, E_{1/2}$) hardly deviate from each other.

Oxygen reduction reaction in alkaline media is a complicated mechanism which can be proceeded either via a direct four-electron pathway (Equation (5)) or the less efficient two-electron hydrogen peroxide pathway (Equation (6)), where $\text{HO}_2^-$ is reduced in a second step (Equation (7)) [9,10].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{O}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 4e^- & \leftrightarrow 4\text{OH}^- \quad (5) \\
\text{O}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- & \leftrightarrow \text{HO}_2^- + \text{OH}^- \quad (6) \\
\text{HO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- & \leftrightarrow 3\text{OH}^- \quad (7)
\end{align*}
\]

Electron transfer numbers ($n$) and the heterogenous rate constant ($k_h$) are obtained from Koutecky–Levich (K–L) analysis in the diffusion-controlled region of the ORR curves. The voltammograms of all materials at different rotation rates (400 to 2000 rpm) are illustrated in Figure 6 and Figure S4. The current densities in the higher potential region are controlled only by electron-transfer kinetics, not influenced by the rotation rate. At lower potentials, the current densities increase with increasing rotation rate and a plateau is formed, where the current density is limited by mass-transport [44].

![Figure 6. Potentiodynamic ORR curves of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO (a) and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO (b) catalysts as well as of rGO (c) and NGO (d) support materials in O$_2$ saturated 1 M KOH at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ at different rotation rates.](image-url)
In Figure 7, the K–L plots of the Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO catalysts, as well as of the rGO and NGO support materials, obtained by plotting the reciprocal limiting current at 0.4 V vs. RHE (Table S2) against the reciprocal square root of the rotation speed according to K–L Equation (8), are shown. The theoretically calculated lines for a 2-electron or 4-electron reaction are indicated for the purpose of comparison, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** Koutecky–Levich plot of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO catalysts, and blank support materials.

The ORR of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO proceeds via the direct 4-e$^-$ pathway. Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO shows a higher value of 3.58 and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO also exhibits similar $n$ (3.54). The transferred electrons using the commercial Pt/C are only slightly higher ($n = 3.74$). When considering the rate constant ($k_0$), the Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C catalysts show faster kinetics than the bare support materials. These results correlate with the findings of the ORR measurements.

Finally, Tafel plots were produced to further investigate the kinetic properties of the Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C catalysts and Pt/C in comparison with literature (Figure S5). The Tafel slopes were determined at low (region 1) and high overpotentials (region 2). The values are comparable with literature, as pointed out in Table 4, and close to the ones of the commercial Pt/C (107.6 and 53.5 mV dec$^{-1}$).

### 2.4. Ethanol Tolerance and Catalyst Stability Tests

Although the fuel crossover in ADEFCs is comparatively small, it cannot be completely neglected [45]. Therefore, low interaction between the ORR catalyst and ethanol is targeted. To investigate undesired effects of ethanol crossover, the CV measurements were repeated in a solution mixture of 1 M EtOH and 1 M KOH. Chronoamperometry tests were performed to examine the stability during the ORR. The results are presented in Figure 8 and Figure S6. Compared to Pt/C, which shows a strong peak in the CV with EtOH, the Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C catalysts are not active for the ethanol oxidation reaction (Figure 8a). Therefore, the ORR of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C is affected far less by EtOH (Figure 8b). The catalysts and the support materials display only a slight decrease in diffusion limiting current density and the onset potential hardly changes (Table 3 and Figure S6) when EtOH is present. The influence of EtOH was additionally investigated by instantly adding EtOH after 400 s at an applied potential of 400 mV vs. RHE (Figure 8c). As can be seen, the current of the two Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/C catalysts and Pt/C in comparison with literature (Figure S5). The Tafel slopes were determined at low (region 1) and high overpotentials (region 2). The values are comparable with literature, as pointed out in Table 4, and close to the ones of the commercial Pt/C (107.6 and 53.5 mV dec$^{-1}$).
bility of the metal and metal oxide particles, which might explain the higher stability of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO [46,47]. As indicated in Figure 8d, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO presents an overall higher current density loss over time without EtOH compared to Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO, but the decrease in the presence of EtOH is almost the same as without EtOH. Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO, however, shows a much higher current density decrease with EtOH than without.

Figure 8. CVs (a), ORR curves (b), and influence of EtOH addition during chronoamperometry (c) of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO, Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO, and Pt/C, as well as stability test (d) of Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/rGO and Ag-Mn$_x$O$_y$/NGO.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

Graphene oxide (GO) precursor was prepared via Hummers Method with graphite (Timrex KS44) from Imerys (Bodio, Switzerland). Ethanol (EtOH, 99.9% p.a.) and isopropyl alcohol (2-propanol, ≥99.9%, UV/IR-grade) were supplied by Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany). Nafion® Solution (5 wt.% in H$_2$O) from Quintech (Göppingen, Germany) was used. Potassium permanganate (KMnO$_4$, ≥99.0% p.a.) and silver nitrate (AgNO$_3$, ≥99.8% p.a.) were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). Potassium hydroxide (KOH, 1.0 M Fixanal 1 L Ampoule) and hydrazine hydrate (N$_2$H$_4$, reagent grade) were delivered by Sigma Aldrich (Darmstadt, Germany). A commercial Pt/C catalyst (30 wt.% platinum supported on Vulcan) and NH$_3$ gas (99.8 vol.%) were derived from De Nora North America (NJ, USA) and Messer (Bad Soden, Germany), respectively. An alumina suspension (Al$_2$O$_3$, 0.05 µm particle size) from MasterPrep® Bühler (Lake Bluff, IL, USA) was utilized for polishing the rotating disk electrode. All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (resistivity ~18 MΩ cm), purified with a Barnstead NANOpureWater Purification System (Dubuque, IA, USA).
3.2. Preparation of Reduced Graphene Oxide (rGO) and N-Doped Graphene Oxide (NGO)

rGO was synthesized according to a slightly modified previously published method [48]. Graphene oxide (17.0 g) was dissolved in Milli-Q water (1.5 L) and put in a 2 L-round bottom flask equipped with a PTFE magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was then connected to a reflux condenser and placed on an oil bath. The reaction mixture was slowly heated to 100 °C on a magnetic stirrer equipped with a hot plate (MSH-20D, Witeg, Wertheim, Germany) and stirred at 550 rpm. Then, hydrazine hydrate (170.0 mL) was slowly added through the condenser and the reaction mixture was kept under reflux at 105 °C for 24 h. During the reduction, the reaction mixture changed color from brown to black, which was an indication of chemical reduction. After the reduction, the hot reaction mixture was filtered using an 0.2 μm PTFE membrane filter (Omnipore, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany), the participate rGO was washed with hot Milli-Q water (1.5 L) and 96 vol.% ethanol (400 mL), and dried at air for 24 h. rGO (4.2 g) was further dried in vacuum over night at 80 °C.

NGO was synthesized in a split furnace (Carbolite Gero, Neuhusen, Germany) using a previously published method [29]. GO (211 mg) was placed in an alumina crucible and put in a closed quartz tube. The tube was purged with NH₃ at constant flow of 30 mL min⁻¹ for 10 min at room temperature (RT). The furnace was then subjected to the following heating ramp program in NH₃ atmosphere: from RT to 800 °C at the heating rate 10 K min⁻¹, then held at 800 °C for 10 min, and lastly let to cool down back to RT at average cooling rate of 5 K min⁻¹. The resulting NGO (75 mg) was collected from the crucible and used for the following experiments without further purification.

3.3. Preparation of rGO and NGO Supported Ag-MnₓOₙ Catalysts

The graphene derivative supported Ag-MnₓOₙ catalysts were prepared according to an adapted, simple synthesis route (Scheme 1) [23]. First, the graphene derivatives (rGO or NGO) were thoroughly dispersed in 90 mL solvent solution [ultrapure water/isopropanol 10:1 V/V]. The addition of isopropanol reduces the surface tension of water and allows a better distribution/dispersion of the carbon [49]. After 30 min of ultrasonication, AgNO₃ and KMnO₄ were added to the homogeneous dispersion to achieve the following mass loadings: 10 wt.% Ag and 20 wt.% MnOₓ (MnO₂ is assumed to be the active material). The dispersion was sonicated for 10 min at room temperature followed by 30 min of stirring at 80 °C under reflux. The precipitate was sonicated for 10 min again, filtered, washed with ultrapure water for several times and dried overnight at 40 °C. The samples are denoted as Ag-MnₓOₙ/rGO and Ag-MnₓOₙ/NGO. A commercial Pt/C (30 wt.% on Vulcan) catalyst is used as reference material.

![Scheme 1. Schematic synthesis of rGO/NGO supported Ag-MnₓOₙ catalysts.](image-url)
3.4. Physicochemical Characterization

Structure characterization was performed by means of X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) analysis. The measurements were conducted on a XRDynamic 500 diffractometer (Anton Paar, Graz, Austria) in Bragg Brentano geometry in the 2θ range from 20° to 90° with a step size of 0.02° using a Cu-Kα X-ray source (λ = 1.5418 Å). The raw data were background corrected according to Sonnefeld and Visser. Morphology and EDS chemical characterization of the graphene derivative supported Ag-Mn\textsubscript{x}O\textsubscript{y} catalysts were performed in the scanning field emission electron microscope (SEM) Zeiss ULTRA plus (Jena, Germany). Samples were adhered to a conductive carbon tape placed on an Al stub. Imaging was performed at 2 kV using a secondary electron detector (SE2 or inlens) at a working distance of 6 mm. EDS chemical characterization was done with the help of an Oxford (Abingdon, UK) X-Max SDD detector (calibrated by Co-Standard) inside the SEM at 20 kV using point analysis. The concentration of Ag and Mn was further analyzed by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) using an AAS Varian (Palo Alto, CA, USA) AA 240 (settings: λ = 247.6 nm, Δλ = 0.2 nm, iHC = 5 mA, Φ\textsubscript{C}2H\textsubscript{2} = 1.5 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}, Φ\textsubscript{air} = 3.5 mL min\textsuperscript{-1}) and ICP-MS Agilent Technologies (Palo Alto, CA, USA) 7900 equipped with a micro mist nebulizer, quartz spray chamber and quadrupole mass analyzer (Ar gas with high-purity argon (5.0) was used at 15 L min\textsuperscript{-1} flow rate), respectively. All other trace metal concentrations were also identified by ICP-MS. For the sample preparation, a mixture of approximately 50 mg of the catalyst powder in 25 mL HNO\textsubscript{3} and 2 mL of subsequently added H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} was boiled until half of it was evaporated. Afterwards, the mixture was diluted to 50 mL, filtered (0.45 µm) and finally diluted 100 times with ultrapure water. The metal concentrations were then derived by a calibration curve using Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) Multi VI ICP/MS standard and the ICP-MS data were processed and analyzed with MassHunter 4.4 software. Specific surface area (SSA) was determined by N\textsubscript{2} gas adsorption at 77 K using BET theory on an ASAP 2020 Micromeritics (Norcross, GA, USA) instrument. Samples (~70 mg) were placed in a glass tube and degassed under vacuum (10 µmHg) at 200 °C for 4 h. Nitrogen adsorption/desorption isotherms were obtained in a relative pressure range of 0.01 to 0.99. Thermogravimetric (TG) measurements were performed on a Netzsch (Selb, Germany) 449 F3 Jupiter instrument under a dynamic Ar (5.0) or O\textsubscript{2}/Ar (20 vol.%) flow with a flow rate of 50 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} in a temperature range from 30 °C to 900 °C. A heating rate of 10 K min\textsuperscript{-1} was used. Approximately 15 mg of sample were placed in an alumina (Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) crucible. Simultaneously, mass spectrometry of decomposition gases was performed on an MS 403C Aëolos (Netzsch, Selb, Germany) with a SEM Chenneltron detector and system pressure of 2 × 10\textsuperscript{-5} mbar. Decomposition gasses were transferred to the mass spectrometer through a transfer capillary, quartz ID 75 µm, which was heated up to 220 °C. The upper limit of the mass spectrometer detector was 100 AMU.

3.5. Electrochemical Characterization

Electrochemical ex-situ characterization of the ORR catalysts was performed by means of cyclic voltammetry using a thin film rotating disk electrode (RDE) from PINE Research Instrumentation (AFESTIGC, Durham, NC, USA) in a standard three-electrode configuration glass cell (Metrohm, Herisau, Switzerland). A reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE, HydroFlex\textsuperscript{®}, Gaskatel, Kassel, Germany) served as reference, a platinized titanium rod (Bank Elektronik—Intelligent Controls GmbH, Pohlheim, Germany) was used as a counter electrode, and the RDE covered with a catalyst layer was utilized as the working electrode. A Reference 600TM Potentiostat/Galvanostat/ZRA and software from GAMRY Instruments (Warminster, PA, USA) operated as control unit.

For the preparation of the working electrode, the catalyst powder was dispersed in a mixture of ultrapure water/ethanol absolute/Nafion 5 wt.% (49:49:2 v/v/v), resulting in a homogeneous black dispersion (“ink”) after sonification for 30 min [9]. The RDE was polished using an Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} suspension and rinsed with water before each coating step. After-
wards, 10 µL of the ink was carefully pipetted on the glassy carbon disk (0.19635 cm²) and dried by rotation at 700 rpm [50]. A final catalyst loading of 210 µg cm⁻² was accomplished.

Measurements were conducted in 1 M KOH electrolyte solution at a constant temperature of 30 °C. Firstly, cleaning cycles at a scan rate of 100 mV s⁻¹ in a potential range of 0.1 to 1.0 V vs. RHE were recorded in a de-aerated electrolyte solution (purged with N₂ for 30 min) under continuous flow of N₂ until constant cycles were obtained. Subsequently, base CVs were examined with a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. To analyze the activity towards ORR, CVs were carried out in O₂ saturated electrolyte solution during constant oxygen bubbling at different rotation rates (ω) of 2000, 1600, 1200, 900, 600, and 400 rpm with a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹ in a potential range between 0.1 to 1.0 V vs. RHE. For data evaluation, the anodic sweep of each voltammogram is considered and the ORR voltammograms are corrected by subtracting the base CV to eliminate all currents that are not related to the ORR.

Electron transfer numbers (n) and the heterogeneous rate constant (k_h) were obtained from Koutecky–Levich analysis. The rotation-speed-dependent current-potential data can be applied to construct a Koutecky–Levich plot according to the following equation [51]:

\[
\frac{1}{i_{dl}} = \frac{1}{i_k} + \frac{1}{i_{dl}} = \frac{1}{n F A k_h C_r} + \frac{1}{0.62 n F A D_r^{2/3} v^{-1/6} C_r \omega^{1/2}}
\]

where \(i_{dl}\) is the measured current (A), \(i_k\) is the kinetic current (A), \(i_{dl}\) is the diffusion limiting current (A), \(F\) is the Faraday constant (96485 C mol⁻¹), \(A\) is the geometric area of the RDE (0.196 cm²), \(D_r\) is the diffusion coefficient of O₂ in solution (1.8 × 10⁻⁵ cm² s⁻¹), \(v\) is the kinematic viscosity (0.01 cm² s⁻¹) in 1 M KOH, \(C_r\) is the bulk concentration of O₂ in solution (7.8 × 10⁻⁷ mol cm⁻³), and \(ω\) is the rotation rate (rad s⁻¹) [52].

The reciprocal of the measured limited current is plotted against the reciprocal square root of the rotation rate. The electron transfer numbers (n) and the heterogeneous rate constant (k_h) are derived from the slope and the intercept (Equation (8)), respectively [53,54].

3.6. Ethanol Tolerance and Catalyst Stability

To determine the ethanol tolerance of the support materials and ORR catalysts, the CV tests were repeated under the same measuring conditions in a mixture of 1 M KOH and 1 M EtOH solution. The chronoamperometric stability measurements were performed in the diffusion limited current region at 1000 rpm for 3600 s at 0.40 V vs. RHE in O₂ saturated 1 M KOH and a mixture of 1 M KOH/1 M EtOH. Furthermore, the effect of ethanol during the ORR was evaluated by instantaneously inserting EtOH (2.92 mL to gain 1 M solution) to the O₂ saturated 1 M KOH after 400 s.

4. Conclusions

The Ag-MnₓOᵧ/rGO and Ag-MnₓOᵧ/NGO catalysts were successfully prepared by depositing the active material onto the graphene derivatives via a simple adapted synthesis method. The physicochemical analyses have shown that the support material has no influence on the Ag-MnₓOᵧ crystal structure, but that the morphology of the deposited particles is affected. For Ag-MnₓOᵧ/rGO and Ag-MnₓOᵧ/NGO, MnO₂ and very small or amorphous Ag particles were distributed on the carbon, while the MnO₂ on the rGO is present in nanorod forms and as spheres on the NGO. The elemental analysis revealed that both catalysts exhibit Mn and Ag concentrations very similar to those calculated theoretically, and that C and O, as well as a small N quantity only for Ag-MnₓOᵧ/NGO, were detected.

The electrochemical CV measurements of the Ag-MnₓOᵧ/C catalysts showed different redox processes related to Mn(II)/Mn(III) and Mn(III)/Mn(IV). It was demonstrated that the deposition of Ag-MnₓOᵧ on rGO and NGO increased the ORR activity compared to the bare graphene derivatives. The ORR activity and stability of the Ag-MnₓOᵧ/C composites were affected by different physicochemical properties induced by the support materials. Ag-MnₓOᵧ/rGO achieved a slightly more negative diffusion limited current...
density of $-3.01 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$ than Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/NGO ($-2.85 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$), but the onset potential was similar at approximately 0.9 V vs. RHE. The ORR proceeded mainly via the direct 4-electron pathway, regardless of the support material. Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/NGO showed higher ORR stability, but Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/rGO was more tolerant to ethanol poisoning.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/catal12070780/s1, Figure S1: Adsorption/desorption isotherms of Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/rGO and Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/NGO; Figure S2: EDX results of Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/rGO; Figure S3: EDX results of Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/NGO; Figure S4: Potentiodynamic ORR curves of comm. Pt/C in O$_2$ saturated 1 M KOH at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ at different rotation rates; Figure S5: Tafel Plot of Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/C composites and Pt/C derived from the ORR curves in O$_2$ saturated 1 M KOH at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ at different rotation rates; Figure S6: Potentiodynamic ORR curves of Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/rGO (a) and Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/NGO (b) catalysts and blank support materials (c, d) in O$_2$ saturated 1 M KOH at 10 mV s$^{-1}$ at 1600 rpm with and without EtOH; Table S1: ICP-MS results of the Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/C catalysts; Table S2: Limiting current density of the graphene derivatives, Ag$_3$Mn$_2$O$_7$/C composites and Pt/C catalysts without/with EtOH at different rpm.
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