Role of hysterolaparoscopy in evaluation and management of infertility
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ABSTRACT

Background: This study was conducted to evaluate the role of hysterosalpingoscopy in evaluation and management of infertility.

Methods: Prospective observational study was conducted in department of obstetrics and gynaecology, LLRM medical college, SVBP hospital Meerut from May 2019 to June 2020. It included 58 women aged 22-40 years with primary and secondary infertility with normal hormone profile without male factor infertility.

Results: Out of total 58 cases for infertility evaluated, primary infertility were 42 (72.41%) and secondary infertility were 67 (27.59%). In hysteroscopy deep seated ostium (12.06%), followed by endometrial polyp (6.89%) ,intrauterine synechiae (6.89%)- were the most common pathologies while common abnormalities in laparoscopy were tubal pathology (20.68%), endometriosis (15.51%), and PCOD (12.06%) .Some of the diagnosed pathologies were dealt surgically in same sitting.

Conclusions: Hystero-laparoscopy is a feasible and acceptable procedure and it can be used as “one time approach” in the assessment of female infertility caused due to pelvic and uterine pathology. It helps in diagnosis of certain factors causing infertility, which cannot be diagnosed by any other method such as by USG, HSG and reveals whether surgery is possible and if so the nature of surgery most suited for patient which can be performed in the same sitting.
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INTRODUCTION

Infertility is defined by WHO as a disease of the reproductive system defined by failure to achieve a clinical pregnancy after 12 months or more of regular unprotected intercourse.1 Globally between 50 and 80 million couples at some point in their reproductive lives suffer from infertility problems.2 It is estimated that around 13-19 million couples are expected to be infertile in India at a given time.3 Leading cause of infertility includes tubo-peritoneal disease (40-50%), ovulatory disorders (30-40%), uterine factors (15-20%), and male infertility (30-40%).4,5 The infertility evaluation is designed to isolate and test the integrity of each component insofar as that is possible, and to identify any abnormalities that might impair or prevent conception. The ability to visualize the pelvic cavity, identify peritoneal factors, tubal morphology, patency, ovarian size ,morphology, its relationship to the tubes, uterine size, shape, and pathology through a laparoscope makes its use invaluable. Similarly, using a hysteroscope to had made hysteroscopy an essential part of infertility evaluation.6 Hysterosalpingography and laparoscopy are two classical methods to evaluate tubal factors and are complementary to each other rather than mutually exclusive. It provides both panoramic view of pelvic reproductive anatomy and a magnified view of uterine, ovarian, tubal and peritoneal surfaces and its pathology. It
can confirm a clinical impression, establish a definitive diagnosis, follow the course of disease and modify therapy.7

Many of leading factors of infertility are missed by the basic diagnostic procedures like semen analysis, follicular study, hysterosalpingography, ultrasonography and laparoscopy. In reality these methods fail to evaluate the inside of the uterine cavity adequately. At this juncture hysteroscopy as a diagnostic and therapeutic modality is gaining popularity. It has got several advantages like wider availability, acceptability, safety and most importantly its ability to directly visualize the inside of the uterine cavity.5 The question of tubal morphology and patency, ovarian morphology, any unsuspected pelvic pathology and uterine cavity abnormalities can all be revealed with accuracy at one session.9 Additionally hysteroscopy guided biopsy and definitive surgical procedures like polypectomy, myomectomy, septal resection, ovarian drilling, ovarian cystectomy, adhesiolysis and release of uterine synechiae can be combined together with hysteroscopy.10 Hence hystero-laparoscopy has proved to be more cost effective and is associated with higher pregnancy rates after treatment.

METHODS

This study will be conducted in the department of obstetrics and gynaecology, L. L. R. M. medical college, S.V.B.P. hospital Meerut, from May 2019 to June 2020. It is a prospective observational study. 58 infertile women between 22-40 years of age with either primary and secondary infertility will be included in the study. Primary infertility patients are those who have never conceived while secondary infertile patients have at least one prior conception irrespective of outcome. The study included women of age 22-40 years with tubal pathology on HSG, persistentanovulatory cycles, abnormal USG findings and patients with unexplained infertility for 1 year. Women with acute PID, male factor and previous multiple surgeries. Informed consent was taken. After workup and investigations, Pre-anæsthetic checkup and informed consent will be done and patients will be taken up for hysterelorhysterolaparoscopy in early follicular phase of menstrual cycle under general anaesthesia. Hysteroscopy will be done. This will provide direct view of endometrial cavity and tubal ostia.

Then laparoscopy will be done which involves evaluation of tuboperitoneal factors that is adhesions, tubal mobility with respect to ovary, condition of pouch of Douglas, condition of fimbriaeetc and allows a search to be made for an early stage of endometriosis. Laparoscopic chromopertubation will be carried out by introducing 10-15 ml of 0.5% autoclaved methylene blue dye into the uterus using a Neech Wilkinson’s cannula and spillage from fimbrial ends will be noted. Whenever required, interventions will be performed in same sitting. Statistical analysis was done using SPSS software version 25. The continuous variables will be expressed as mean±SD and categorical variables as proportions. The Student’s t-test will be used for comparison of continuous variables and Chi-square test for proportions.

RESULTS

Out of 58 women 72% women had primary infertility and rest had secondary infertility. Most of the patients in the study belonged to the 25-30 years age category (8, 65.52%). Only 9 patients in the study had age greater than 30 years (9, 15.52%). Multiple abnormalities were detected, laparoscopically in 40 (68.9%) women and hysteroscopically in 22 (37.93%) women. Tubal blockage and endometriosis were the most common abnormality detected in primary infertility and secondary infertility groups respectively. The most common intrauterine pathology in both groups was deep seated ostium followed by polyps as most common pathology in primary infertility and synechiae in secondary infertility. Demographic findings suggested that most of the women were of 25-30 years with primary infertility.

Hysteroscopic findings analysis revealed pale endometrium and endometritis are the common findings in uterus. Polyp was the commonest finding with synechiae and cervical stenosis suggestive of tuberculosis. Laparoscopic findings analysis revealed suggestive of PID such as congestion of tubes, hydrosalpinx, adhesions and tubo-ovarian mass are found. Caseoustubes were the commonest finding in uterus. Uterine abnormality was also seen in two women in form of subseptate uterus. Large bulky ovaries suggestive of PCOS are the commonest finding followed by peritoneal adhesions either due to PID or endometriosis. Various therapeutic procedures were also done in same sitting for patients.

Table 1: Age wise distribution of the study population.

| Age (years) | N  | %   |
|------------|----|-----|
| Less than 25 | 11 | 18.96 |
| 25 to 30  | 38 | 65.52 |
| More than 30 | 9  | 15.52 |
| Grand total | 58 | 100.00 |

Table 2: Status of infertility.

| Status of infertility | N  | %   |
|-----------------------|----|-----|
| Primary               | 42 | 72.41 |
| Secondary             | 16 | 27.59 |
| Grand total           | 58 | 100.00 |

Table 3: Status of bilateral ostia.

| Status of bilateral ostia | N  | %   |
|---------------------------|----|-----|
| Absent                    | 50 | 86.21 |
| Present                   | 8  | 13.39 |
| Grand total               | 58 | 100  |
Table 4: Endometrial status/uterine findings on hysteroscopy.

| Endometrium status/ Uterine findings on hysteroscopy | N  | %     |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|-------|
| Normal Endometrium                                  | 50 | 86.21 |
| Pale                                                | 3  | 5.17  |
| Congested/endometritis                              | 3  | 5.17  |
| Submucous myoma                                     | 1  | 1.72  |
| Hyperplastic endometrium                            | 1  | 1.72  |
| Grand total                                         | 58 | 100.00|

Table 5: Uterine cavity status on hysteroscopy.

| Uterine cavity findings on hysteroscopy            | N  | %     |
|----------------------------------------------------|----|-------|
| Normal Cavity                                      | 43 | 74.13 |
| Polyp                                              | 4  | 6.89  |
| Synechiae                                          | 4  | 6.89  |
| Cervical stenosis                                  | 4  | 6.89  |
| Membranous septum/fundus/subseptate                | 2  | 3.45  |
| Small uterine cavity                               | 1  | 1.72  |
| Grand total                                        | 58 | 100.00|

Table 6: Status of bilateral fallopian tubes on laparoscopy.

| Status of bilateral tubes on laparoscopy            | N  | %     |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|-------|
| No abnormality detected                             | 47 | 81.03 |
| Peri tubal adhesions                                | 4  | 6.90  |
| B/L Block with fimbrial Granuloma                   | 2  | 3.44  |
| Congested                                           | 2  | 3.44  |
| Beaded appearance                                   | 1  | 1.72  |
| Hydroosalpinx                                       | 1  | 1.72  |
| Tubo-ovarian mass                                   | 1  | 1.72  |
| Grand total                                         | 58 | 100.00|

DISCUSSION

Infertility is defined as failure to achieve pregnancy within a year of regular unprotected intercourse. Infertile women undergo a series of procedures like HSG, receiving treatment for timing ovulation with coitus, controlled ovulation stimulation with follicular tracing by transvaginal ultrasound, before hysteroscopy and laparoscopy before being referred for ART. Performing hysterolaparoscopy as a single step procedure straightway in these patients proves to be more fruitful as therapeutic interventions or early decisions for ART or both can be undertaken simultaneously.

Hysteroscopy is an important modality to detect uterine anomalies with endometrial pathologies. In the present study bilateral ostia was seen either deep seated or obliterated in 13.74% women and normal in 50 women. 50 (86.21%) women out of 58 had normal looking endometrium, 5.17% women had pale endometrium, 5.17% patients had congested and hyperemic endometrium while hyperplastic endometrium and submucous myoma was seen in 1.72% of cases each (Table 4).

Table 7: Status of uterus on laparoscopy.

| Status of uterus on laparoscopy                     | N  | %     |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|-------|
| No abnormality detected                             | 49 | 84.48 |
| Caseous tubercle                                    | 3  | 5.17  |
| Endometriotic patches                               | 1  | 1.72  |
| Broad fundus                                        | 2  | 3.44  |
| Myoma                                               | 2  | 3.44  |
| Congested/ Hyperaemia                               | 1  | 1.72  |
| Grand total                                         | 58 | 100.00|

Table 8: Status of ovaries on laparoscopy.

| Status of ovaries on Laparoscopy                    | N  | %     |
|-----------------------------------------------------|----|-------|
| Normal                                              | 42 | 70.69 |
| Large bulky                                         | 7  | 8.62  |
| Endometriotic cyst                                  | 4  | 6.90  |
| Not seen due to adhesions                           | 4  | 3.45  |
| Simple/Complex ovarian cyst                         | 1  | 1.72  |
| Grand Total                                         | 58 | 100.00|

Figure 1: Hysteroscopic findings.

Uterine cavity was normal in 74% women, fleshy polyp was seen in 6.89% (Table 5) where hysteroscopic polypectomy was done. Uterine synechiae with irregular cavity was seen in 6.89% patients, cervical stenosis was seen in 6.89% patient suggestive of tuberculosis. In our study fleshy polyp and synechiae were most common findings (Figure 1) whereas in study of Kabadi et al uterine anomaly were more common. Diagnostic laparoscopy is the standard means of diagnosing tubal
pathology, peritoneal factors, endometriosis and intraabdominal causes of infertility. Laparoscopy often reveals pelvic pathology as endometriosis, PCOS, pelvic

Table 10: Treatment pattern analysis.

| Management                          | Present study |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| PCO drilling                        | 5             |
| D&C, polypectomy                    | 4             |
| Ovarian cystectomy                  | 1             |
| Laparoscopic adhesiolysis           | 5             |
| Fulgaration of endometriotic cyst   | 2             |
| ATT course                          | 5             |
| Antibiotic course                   | 3             |

Fifty seven (81.03%) had normal looking bilateral fallopian tubes. Pathologic findings included peritubal adhesions (6.90%) due to PID or abdominal tuberculosis, congested and hyperemic tubules were seen in 2 patients, hydrosalpinx, tubo-ovarian mass due to PID, and beaded appearance of tubules was seen in 1.72% of cases (Table 6). Out of these 5 patients were started on ATT based on laparoscopic findings. In study done by Madhuri et al tubal pathology was found in 12 patients, 19.7% patients had tubal pathology in study done by Saumya. In present study uterine shape and size was normal in 49 (84.48%) cases, caseous tubercles were seen in 3 (5.17%) women and endometriotic patches were seen in 1 patient, subserosal to intramural myoma in 2 (3.44%) and congested and hyperemic uterus was seen in 1 women and periadnexal adhesions which may be the major cause of infertility, resulting in change of treatment.

(10). Two patients had been seen to have broad fundus on laparoscopy, ovaries were normal in 41 patients, large and bulky ovaries suggestive of PCOS were seen in 7 (8.62%) of cases, ovarian drilling was done in 5 patients in the same sitting, endometriotic cyst was seen in 4 patients, out of which 2 patients had cyst <3cm so endometrioma drainage with fulguration of cyst wall was done in 2 patients. Ovaries could not be visualised in 4 (3.45%) (Table 8). Simple ovarian cyst was seen in one women where cystectomy was done. In the present study on laparoscopy, tubal pathology was seen in 12 patients, ovarian pathology was seen in 7 patients. Endometriosis was seen in 5 patients, PID was present in 3 patients while findings suggestive of genital tuberculosis were seen 5 patients which was confirmed by endometrial biopsy which was sent for gene expert. Rest 18 patients had normal study (Figure 2). In another study done by Agrawal et al on laparoscopy, 42 women had normal findings, 22 had tubal blocks, 12 had simple/complex ovarian cysts, 14 had polycystic ovaries, 10 had PID, 26 had adhesions, 20 had fibroids, 12 had endometriosis and 2 woman had uterine anomalies. In present study bilateral spillage in peritoneal cavity on chromopertubation was seen in 46 (51.72%) patients. The remaining 12 patients had spillage on only one side or no spillage (Table 9).

Figure 2: Laparoscopic findings.
Table 9: Bilateral tube spillage as per infertility status.

| Bilateral tube spillage as per infertility status | Primary | Secondary | Total | P value |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------|-------|---------|
| Absent                                           | 17 (40.47) | 11 (68.75) | 28    |         |
| Present                                          | 25 (59.52) | 5 (31.25)  | 30    | 0.0562  |
| Grand total                                      | 42       | 16        | 58    |         |

Limitations

Limitations of the study involve that inspite of extensive evaluation with various modalities of testing including hysteroscopy few cases of infertility continue to remain unexplained such as quality of oocytes, abnormalities on fertilization like zona pellucida thickness, poor cumulus, subclinical infections and sperm penetration abnormalities. Post operative period were uneventful for most of patients. Minor post operative pain was only minor complain with mild analgesics. No hemorrhage or infective complications were seen during or after the procedure.

CONCLUSION

Infertile women with normal ovulatory cycles, seminogram, and hormonal profiles have higher possibility of having tuboperitoneal and subtle endometrial pathologies. These women undergo emotional and financial trauma with anxiety while undergoing series of procedures like HSG, receiving treatment for timing ovulation with coitus, controlled ovulation stimulation with follicular tracing by transvaginal ultrasound, laparoscopy and hysteroscopy over a period of time before being referred to ART. Performing hysteroscopy as a single step procedure straightway has proved in these may be more productive. It has proven to be more fruitful as therapeutic interventions or early decisions for ART hysterolaparoscopy are an excellent diagnostic modality to detect hidden pathology in patients without any overt clinical manifestation. Hence hysterolaparoscopy becomes the “third eye of the gynaecologist” in diagnosing infertility and gives the added advantage of doing a therapeutic procedure in the same sitting.
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