Calcium-dependent protein kinase 29 modulates PIN-FORMED polarity and Arabidopsis development via its own phosphorylation code
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Abstract

PIN-FORMED (PIN)-mediated polar auxin transport (PAT) is involved in key developmental processes in plants. Various internal and external cues influence plant development via the modulation of intracellular PIN polarity and, thus, the direction of PAT, but the mechanisms underlying these processes remain largely unknown. PIN proteins harbor a hydrophilic loop (HL) that has important regulatory functions; here, we used the HL as bait in protein pulldown screening for modulators of intracellular PIN trafficking in Arabidopsis thaliana. Calcium-dependent protein kinase 29 (CPK29), a Ca^{2+}-dependent protein kinase, was identified and shown to phosphorylate specific target residues on the PIN-HL that were not phosphorylated by other kinases. Furthermore, loss of CPK29 or mutations of the phospho-target residues in PIN-HLs significantly compromised intracellular PIN trafficking and polarity, causing defects in PIN-mediated auxin redistribution and biological processes such as lateral root formation, root twisting, hypocotyl gravitropism, phyllotaxis, and reproductive development. These findings indicate that CPK29 directly interprets Ca^{2+} signals from internal and external triggers, resulting in the modulation of PIN trafficking and auxin responses.

Introduction

Auxin acts as a morphogen, influencing a wide range of fundamental processes in plant growth and development. Morphogenetic local auxin gradients are established mainly by polar auxin transport (PAT), which is driven by asymmetrically localized auxin transporters in the plasma membrane (PM). PIN-FORMED (PIN) auxin efflux carriers show conspicuous polar localizations in the PM and act as key mediators for PAT (Kleine-Vehn and Friml, 2008). PIN proteins consist of a central hydrophilic loop (HL; ~50 to ~300 residues) flanked on either side by five transmembrane helices (Křeček et al., 2009; Ganguly, Sasayama, et al., 2012). Long-HL PINs (HL approximately > 300 residues) display a characteristic asymmetric distribution pattern (i.e. polarity) depending on PIN species, developmental stage, and cell type, and also exhibit polarity changes in response to environmental stimuli such as light and gravity (Friml et al., 2002; Wiśniewska et al., 2006; Kleine-Vehn et al., 2010; Ding et al., 2011). Developmental and environmental dynamics of PIN polarity are accomplished by the modulation of...
intracellular PIN trafficking, for which post-translational modifications of the HL domain, such as phosphorylation and ubiquitylation, are instrumental (Leitner et al., 2012; Ganguly et al., 2014; Barbosa et al., 2018).

Phosphorylation of PIN-HLs has been implicated in the modulation of PIN polarity for maintenance or flickering of local auxin gradients and also in the regulation of PIN activity. At least three families of protein kinases have been identified that phosphorylate PIN-HLs: AGC-type protein kinases (PINOID [PID], WAGs, D6 protein kinases [D6PKs], and AGC1-12), mitogen-activated protein kinases, and a calcium-dependent protein kinase (CPK)-related kinase (CRK5) (as reviewed by Armengot et al., 2016; Barbosa et al., 2018; Hammes et al., 2021). Among these kinases, AGC-type kinases appear to play major roles in PIN trafficking and activity. Consequently, AGC-type kinases are the most comprehensively characterized and their individual phosphorylation target sites in the PIN-HLs are well known (Zourelidou et al., 2009, 2014; Dhonukshe et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Ganguly, Lee, et al., 2012; Barbosa et al., 2014; Haga et al., 2018). Nevertheless, since auxin responses, including PIN-mediated regulation of auxin distribution, are influenced by a wide range of internal and external signals, the regulatory cues in the PIN-HL and their trans-acting factors are therefore likely to be more diverse than those identified to date. To address these questions, we searched for PIN-HL-interacting proteins and identified and characterized CPK29 as a previously unknown modulator for PIN trafficking, most likely via sensing Ca²⁺ signals.

Ca²⁺ serves as a universal signaling messenger for various internal and external developmental cues. Stimulus-induced changes in cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration are interpreted by Ca²⁺-binding proteins, which then target diverse Ca²⁺-responsive proteins such as enzymes, transporters, and transcriptional regulators (Dodd et al., 2010; Valmonte et al., 2014). Among the Ca²⁺-binding proteins, CPKs are unique in that they act not only as Ca²⁺ readers but also as responders (Valmonte et al., 2014). CPKs phosphorylate a wide spectrum of proteins such as metabolic enzymes, signaling proteins, membrane transporters, and transcription factors, and mediate biological processes including cellular signaling, gene regulation, ion currency, hormone biosynthesis, biotic/abiotic responses, guard cell movement, tip growth, and organ development (Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019).

Ca²⁺ was previously implicated in PAT (Dela Fuente and Leopold, 1973), and several lines of evidence suggested links between Ca²⁺ signaling and the modulation of PIN trafficking. Two Ca²⁺-binding proteins, PID-BINDING PROTEIN 1 (PBP1) and TOUCH 3 (TCH3), were shown to activate PID, implying that Ca²⁺ might work through PID to affect PIN trafficking (Benjamin et al., 2003). Inositol triphosphate-induced Ca²⁺-signaling was shown to be involved in the modulation of PIN polarity (Zhang et al., 2011). Additionally, CRK5 was shown to modulate PIN2 trafficking and polarity and to phosphorylate PIN2-HL, though the phosphorylation sites were not identified (Rigó et al., 2013). CRK5 is one of the eight Arabidopsis CRKs that form a sister group with CPKs (Delormel and Boudsocq, 2019). CRKs, unlike CPKs, are not able to directly bind Ca²⁺ due to degeneration of their EF-hands, but some can be activated by Ca²⁺-bound calmodulin (Wang et al., 2004; Popescu et al., 2007).

Although these previous studies demonstrated the involvement of Ca²⁺ in PAT, most likely via modulation of PIN trafficking, the direct mechanistic component that Ca²⁺ acts upon and the underlying mechanisms responsible for its modulation of PIN trafficking have remained to be characterized. Our study demonstrates that CPK29 directly phosphorylates the unique residues of the HL of major long PINs, and that these CPK29-target phosphorylation sites are involved in modulation of the recycling pathway of PINs and diverse PIN-mediated developmental processes.

Results

CPK29 phosphorylates PIN-HLs

CPK29 was initially identified by protein pull-down screening of a protein extract from Arabidopsis seedlings with bacterially expressed PIN2-HL as bait as described in "Materials and Methods". In in vitro pull-down assays with bacterium-expressed CPK29 and PIN-HLs, CPK29 interacted not only with PIN2-HL but also with HLs from PIN1 and PIN3 (Figure 1A).

In vitro phosphorylation analysis showed that CPK29 underwent autophosphorylation and directly phosphorylated the HLs of PIN1, PIN2, and PIN3, and also showed that phosphorylation activity was enhanced by Ca²⁺ (Figure 1B; Supplemental Figure S1). CPK29 contains four Ca²⁺-binding EF hands at the C-terminal region (Supplemental Figure S2A). Phosphorylated CPK29 and PIN-HLs were analyzed by mass spectrometry to identify the phosphorylated residues. One Ser residue (S446) of CPK29 and multiple Ser/Thr residues of PIN-HLs were identified as phosphorylated (Supplemental Table S1). The phosphorylation sites were confirmed by in vitro phosphorylation assays of mutated proteins lacking phosphorylation residues. Among the phosphorylated residues identified by mass spectrometry, testable residues were selected from redundantly represented peptides (Supplemental Table S1). Unexpectedly, the phosphodefective S446A mutation of CPK29 enhanced CPK29 autophosphorylation and CPK29-mediated phosphorylation of PIN-HLs (Figure 1C; Supplemental Figure S1), indicating that residues other than S446 could be autophosphorylated in CPK29, and that S446 autophosphorylation was inhibitory or modulatory for the instigation of the phosphorylation cascade. Consistent with this idea, several CPK homologs from bean and tobacco plants similarly show autophosphorylation that is inhibitory to their kinase activities (Saha and Singh, 1995; Ito et al., 2017).

In PIN1, the S253A mutation almost completely eliminated the CPK29-mediated phosphorylation capacity of the HL (Figure 1D), and the S259A mutation in PIN2-HL also greatly hampered its phosphorylation by CPK29 (Figure 1E). These phosphorylatable Ser residues in PIN1 and PIN2 reside
at the same conserved position in orthologous sequences of the two PINs (Supplemental Figure S3A). Although this phospho-Ser is found in the immediate next of a 'TPRXS' (X is any amino acid) motif targeted by AGC-type protein kinases (Dhonukshe et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Zourelidou et al., 2014; Haga et al., 2018; Supplemental Figure S4A), its unique and conserved position prompt us to hypothesize that this Ser in both PIN1 and PIN2 has long
been specifically targeted by CPK29 since their ancestral protein. Conversely, this Ser position is not conserved in the PIN3, PIN4, and PIN7 clusters (Supplemental Figure S3A), indicative of their divergent modulatory mechanism from that of PIN1 and PIN2. Mutagenesis analysis showed that PIN3-HL had at least one major CPK29-target residue (T460), whose phospho-defective mutation significantly compromised CPK29-mediated in vitro phosphorylation (Figure 1F). T460 does not appear to be conserved among PIN3 orthologs and close paralogs (Supplemental Figure S3B). S226 and S262 of PIN3 also could be minor targets of CPK29: their single mutations were not effective but the double mutations of both residues somewhat interfered with PIN3-HL phosphorylation (Figure 1F). Phospho-mutated PIN2-HL and PIN3-HL both retained residual phosphorylation, indicating the presence of additional CPK29-target residues in PIN2 and PIN3, in contrast to the dominant target S253 in PIN1.

The in vitro CPK29-phosphorylation target residues in PIN-HLs and CPK29 described above were also identified in the phosphopeptide-enriched fractions from Arabidopsis plants by our mass spectrometry analysis and by other previous studies (Supplemental Table S2), indicating that those residues are also phosphorylated in vivo.

The specificity of CPK29 in selecting phosphorylation targets was investigated further by testing phosphorylation sites typically targeted by AGC-type kinases, namely ‘3m1’ (S209/212/215A) and ‘M3’ (3m1 + T227/S231A) sites (Ganguly, Lee, et al., 2012; Sasayama et al., 2013; Ki et al., 2016). Mutation of these sites did not significantly affect CPK29-mediated phosphorylation of PIN1-HL (Figure 1D). Moreover, mutation of S231 and S252 of PIN1, which are located in two of the three TPRXS motifs targeted by AGC kinases (Supplemental Figure S4A), did not affect CPK29-mediated PIN1 phosphorylation (Figure 1D). Similarly, the mutation of CPK29-target residues did not considerably hinder PINOID (PID, a representative AGC-type protein kinase modulating PIN trafficking)-mediated phosphorylation of PIN1-HL, whereas PID activity was impacted by mutation of the 3 mL and M3 phosphorylation sites (Figure 1G). Together, these results suggest that PID and CPK29 have distinct preferences for phospho-targets in the PIN-HL.

CPK29 harboring a phospho-defective S446A mutation (mCPK29) retained the capacity for autophosphorylation and exhibited enhanced PIN phosphorylation. Next, therefore, we sought additional phosphorylation sites in CPK29 and investigated whether wild-type (WT) CPK29 and mCPK29 gave different phosphorylation profiles with regard to the specificities of their target residues. Mass spectrometry analysis revealed additional phosphorylation sites targeted by mCPK29 in both CPK29 and PIN-HLs (Supplemental Table S3; Supplemental Figure S4). Whereas S446 was located in the calmodulin-like domain and conserved among orthologs and close paralogs of CPK29, additional autophosphorylation sites were found throughout the CPK29 protein (Supplemental Figures S4B and S5 and Supplemental Data Set 1). Although some phosphorylation targets were common to both WT CPK29 and mCPK29, some distinct target preferences were observed for the WT and mutated CPK29 proteins (Supplemental Figure S4A). It is conceivable that S446 in CPK29 and closely related CPKs might play a unique role in the modulation of the kinase activity.

**CPK29 localizes to the PM**

CPK29 is ubiquitously expressed in seedling organs, mature leaves, and floral organs, with high expression levels observed in vascular tissues (Supplemental Figure S6). The CPK29 protein was predominantly detected in the membrane fraction of total protein extracts from seedlings but not in the cytosolic fraction as was PIN1 (Figure 2A). In leaf mesophyll cell protoplasts, the CPK29 protein was co-localized with the PM (Figure 2B). In its expressing cell types such as hypocotyl epidermis, root vasculature, lateral root (LR) primordia, and root epidermis, the CPK29:GFP (green fluorescence protein) fusion protein, which was expressed under its own promoter (ProCPK29:CPK29:GFP), was mainly localized to the PM (Supplemental Figure S6, F, G, I, J, and K). The CPK29-GFP accumulation in the root epidermis of ProCPK29:CPK29:GFP transformants was low but obvious when compared with the nontransgenic WT tissue (Supplemental Figure S6, K and S6, L), consistently with the previous study reporting a low transcriptional expression of CPK29 in the root epidermis (Brady et al., 2007). Unlike PIN2, CPK29 does not appear to readily pass through the lytic pathway, as demonstrated by limited vacuolar accumulation of CPK29 under dark treatment in the root epidermis (Figure 2C). On the other hand, both CPK29 and PIN3 stably resided at the PM after dark treatment in the hypocotyl epidermis (Supplemental Figure S7A), implying that the dark-inducible lytic pathway is dependent on tissue and/or protein type. PINs and D6PK, an AGC-type protein kinase that phosphorylates PINs, are actively recycled between the PM and endosomes (Geldner et al., 2001; Barbosa et al., 2014). This can be observed using brefeldin A (BFA, an exocytic vesicle-trafficking blocker), which induces the internal accumulation of the recycling proteins. However, internal compartmentalization of the CPK29 signal was very limited with BFA treatment in the epidermal cells of root and hypocotyl, whereas PIN2 and PIN3 were internalized (Figure 2D; Supplemental Figure S7B), indicating that PM-localized CPK29 did not actively recycle.

When CPK29:RFP was co-expressed with PIN2:GFP, the two fusion proteins co-localized at the PM (Supplemental Figure S7C). To examine the in vivo interaction between CPK29 and PIN2, Förster resonance energy transfer by fluorescence lifetime imaging (FRET-FLIM) analysis was conducted with transgenic plants expressing both CPK29:RFP and PIN2:GFP. The fluorescence lifetime of PIN2:GFP was significantly decreased in the CPK29:RFP/PIN2:GFP double transgenic plant compared to the PIN2:GFP single transgenic plant (Figure 2, E and F), indicating that the resonance energy from PIN2:GFP was transferred to CPK29:RFP by the physical interaction between two proteins in vivo.
CPK29 is required for the intracellular polarity and trafficking of PINs. CPK29-mediated direct phosphorylation of specific residues in PINs suggests that these residues could be involved in intracellular trafficking of PINs since PIN phosphorylation is modulatory for PIN trafficking. To test this hypothesis, phospho-mutant versions of PINs were generated for the CPK29-target residues, and their intracellular trafficking behavior was examined. Significant defects in PIN intracellular trafficking and polarity were observed upon the loss of CPK29 (cpk29-2), including the decreased basal polarity of PIN1 and apical polarity of PIN2, and increased internal accumulation of PIN proteins (Figure 3, A–D). Phospho-defective mutation of the CPK29-target residues, that is, S253A in PIN1 and S259A in PIN2, generated similar results to those seen with the cpk29 mutant (Figure 3, A–D). In contrast, phosphomimetic mutations of the target residues (S253D/E in PIN1 and S259D/E in PIN2) gave rise to modest decreases in polarity but did not cause significant intracellular accumulation of the PIN proteins (Figure 3, A–D).
To learn which trafficking vesicles PIN proteins localize upon the loss of CPK29, co-localization of PIN2:GFP with the fluorescent endocytic tracer FM4–64 was examined. Exogenously applied FM4–64 immediately incorporates into the PM and undergoes an endocytic process that delivers the invaginated vesicles all the way to the vacuole via early/late endosomes or back to the PM via early endosomes (Reyes et al., 2011). FM4–64 reaches early endosomes within 5 min and the vacuole in 2 h after application (Grebe et al., 2003; Dettmer et al., 2006; Kleine-Vehn et al., 2008). We examined the co-localization at two time points, 10 and 45 min after FM4–64 application during which FM4–64 stays at endosomes, with the ProPIN2:PIN2:GFP transformant in WT or cpk29-2 mutant background (Figure 3, E and F). The number of endocytosed FM4–64 vesicles increased over time. At both time points, the majority of internalized PIN2 vesicles in the cpk29-2 mutant overlapped with the FM4–64 vesicles; ~81% at 10 min and ~97% at 45 min. Although the number of internalized PIN2 vesicles in WT was much smaller than in cpk29-2, they also mostly co-localized with the FM4–64 vesicles. Similar results were obtained with phospho-defective (S269A) PIN2 (Supplemental Figure S8).
This co-localization data suggest that the internalized PIN2 protein in the cpk29-2 mutant cells is localized mostly likely to the early endosomes and that CPK29 affects the PIN trafficking with regard to endosomal-trafficking dynamics.

BFA-induced internal accumulation of PM-targeting proteins, under the inhibition of their de novo synthesis, is a useful tool for estimating the rate of protein recycling between the PM and endosomes (Geldner et al., 2001; Barbosa et al., 2014). The BFA-induced intracellular trafficking kinetics of PIN1 and PIN2 were examined in WT and cpk29-2 mutant plants, and with phospho-defective and -mimetic versions of PIN1 and PIN2 (Figure 4, A–D; Supplemental Figure S9). In the presence of BFA, internal accumulation of PIN1 and PIN2 occurred more rapidly in the WT background than in cpk29-2, whereas the cpk29-2 mutant showed only a slight BFA-induced internalization of PINs (Figure 4, A and C). Similarly, the decrease in BFA compartments upon removal of BFA occurred more rapidly in WT than in cpk29-2, indicating that trafficking of PINs from endosomes to the PM was faster in WT than in the cpk29-2 mutant background. This result suggests that CPK29 is required to promote the exocytic trafficking of PINs. Similar results were produced in BFA assays with WT and phospho-defective and -mimetic PIN1 and PIN2. Internal accumulation of phospho-defective PINs upon BFA treatment was slower than that of WT and phospho-mimetic forms, and BFA removal rapidly reduced the BFA compartments, with phospho-mimetic PINs experiencing the quickest decrease and phospho-defective PINs exhibiting the slowest (Figure 4, B and D). These results suggest that the conserved CPK29-target phosphorylation residues are involved in the exocytic trafficking of PIN1 and PIN2.

Next, fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) analysis of PIN2 in root epidermal cells was used to further investigate the effects of CPK29 phosphorylation on PIN cycling. The FRAP rate of a PM protein can be affected by both lateral diffusion and exocytic delivery of the protein. However, polar proteins such as PINs have shown very limited lateral diffusion during FRAP compared to nonpolar proteins (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2011; Cho et al., 2012; Łangowski et al., 2016; Ötvös et al., 2021). In particular, the lateral diffusion rate of PIN2 is negligibly low (0.000138 ± 0.00000285 μ m² s⁻¹) which is less than 1/1,000 of ATP-binding cassette G37 (ABCG37; Langowski et al., 2016) so that FRAP analysis was adopted to show the exocytic delivery of PIN2 (Ötvös et al., 2021). In our FRAP analysis, the apical PIN2 signal recovery after photobleaching was significantly delayed in the cpk29-2 mutant compared with the WT plant (Figure 4E). The phospho-defective PIN2 mutant also showed significant decrease in signal recovery at the apical PM of the root epidermal cell, whereas the recovery of phospho-mimetic PIN2 was significantly higher than those of WT and phospho-defective PIN2 (Figure 4F). Similar results were obtained with the FRAP analysis of PIN2 localized to the lateral PM (Supplemental Figure S10, A and B). On the other hand, the application of BFA together with the peptide synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide (CHX) considerably inhibited the FRAP rate of PIN2 in the WT plant, indicating that a BFA-blockable exocytic recycling pathway indeed contributes to PIN2 recovery at the PM (Figure 4G). In contrast, BFA did not significantly further inhibit the PIN2 recovery in the cpk29-2 mutant background, suggesting that BFA-sensitive exocytic trafficking of PIN2 is impaired in the mutant (Figure 4G). These data from PIN-trafficking analyses collectively suggest that CPK29-mediated phosphorylation of PIN2-HL facilitated PIN2 trafficking to the PM.

CPK29 was activated by Ca²⁺ and we, therefore, tested whether cellular Ca²⁺ depletion affected PIN trafficking. The apical polarity of PIN2 in the root epidermal cell was considerably hampered when seedlings were treated with Ca²⁺ antagonists such as LaCl₃ (Ca²⁺-channel blocker) or EGTA (Ca²⁺ chelator) (Figure 4H), indicating that Ca²⁺ signaling was involved in proper PIN trafficking to the PM. CPK29 is likely to be one of the mediators in this signaling pathway. Collectively, these results support the idea that CPK29-mediated phosphorylation of PIN-HL promotes exocytic trafficking of PINs (Figure 4I).

CPK29 is required for PIN-mediated developmental processes

The CPK29-mediated modulation of PIN trafficking and polarity indicated that CPK29 could affect the dynamics of local auxin gradients and thus auxin-related development. Therefore, we next investigated auxin-related developmental processes, such as LR development, hypocotyl gravitropism, root twist, phyllotaxis, and floral organ formation and growth, in the cpk29 mutant and in PIN mutants in which CPK29-target phosphorylation sites were mutated.

PAT plays multiple roles in LR development, from cell-fate determination to organogenesis and organ growth (Du and Scheres, 2018). Interestingly, cpk29 mutants formed more LRs than WT, in which LR promotion was greater in a knockout cpk29-2 mutant than in a knockdown cpk29-1 mutant and was negated by the reintroduction of CPK29 into the mutant (Supplemental Figure S2, B and C; Figure 5A). The loss of CPK29 increased the number of LR primordia, particularly at Stages I and II (Figure 5B). Consistent with its LR promotion, loss of CPK29 enhanced the expression of several key LR-developmental genes such as GATA23, LBD16, and EXPA14 (Figure 5C). To determine how CPK29 affected early LR development, the dynamics of PIN polarity and auxin distribution during the early LR-developmental stages were examined. PIN1, which is anticlinally localized at stage I of the LR initial cells, begins to periclinally localize in the inner cell tier of the Stage II LR primordium (Benková et al., 2003; Figure 5D). This periclinal redistribution of PIN1 during Stage II was significantly impaired in the cpk29-2 mutant (Figure 5, D and E). Accordingly, the ratio of auxin-sensitive DR5-directed signal between the outer and inner cells of Stage II LR primordia was significantly lower in the cpk29-2 mutant than in WT (Figure 5, D and E), suggesting that PIN1-mediated lateral auxin transport from the inner
to the outer cell decreased in the mutant. In contrast, PIN1 polarity and auxin distribution did not show significant differences between WT and the cpk29-2 mutant in Stages I and III (Figure 5, D and E), implying that the CPK29 function specifically affected Stage II. This was consistent with CPK29 expression, which was confined to a narrow spatiotemporal

Figure 4  Phosphorylation of CPK29-target residues in PINs facilitates PIN recycling to the PM. A–D, Quantification of PIN trafficking behavior in response to the exocytic inhibitor BFA. WT PIN (ProPIN:PIN:GFP) was introduced into WT and cpk29-2 mutant plants (A, C), and phospho-mutated versions of PIN were introduced into each pin mutant background (B, D). The relative PIN1 intensity ratio of internal vs. basal PM (A, B) and the relative PIN2 intensity ratio of internal versus apical PM (C, D) were estimated at the indicated time points. BFA (25 μM) was applied (+BFA) or washed out (-BFA) at the time points indicated by arrows. Seedlings were pretreated for 30 min and co-treated with CHX (50 μM). Data represent means ± SE (n= 61–76 cells in A, 50–65 cells in B, 46–85 cells in C, and 47–74 cells in D from at least two independent transgenic lines for each construct). E–G, Kinetics of FRAP of WT PIN2 in WT and cpk29-2 backgrounds (E) and phospho-mutated versions of PIN2 (F) and the BFA effects on PIN2 FRAP (G) in root epidermal cells. Treatments of BFA and CHX (G) were done as in A–D. Data represent means ± SE (n = 66–75 cells in E, 46–77 cells in F from at least two independent transgenic lines for each construct, and 19–39 cells in G). Insets show representative confocal images before (Pre) and after (0 and 10 min) photobleaching (4μm wide). Arrowheads indicate photobleached regions. (H) Effect of Ca2+ antagonists on PIN2 polarity in root epidermal cells (apical versus lateral PM). Data represent means ± SE (n = 157–230 cells from 9–15 roots). I, Model illustrating that CPK29-mediated PIN phosphorylation facilitates PIN-recycling pathway. P, phosphate; RE, recycling endosome. Different letters denote significant differences (B, D, and F–H; ANOVA test, P < 0.05), and the asterisk indicates significant difference from the WT value (P < 0.001 [A, C] and P < 0.05 [E], Student's t test; ns, not significant).
window during LR primordial development, namely, to the Stages I and II LR primordial initials (Figure 5F). During Stage I, CPK29 localized to both the apical/basal and periclinal sides, but this localization pattern began to disperse at the central LR primordium area from Stage II and was almost completely dissipated from Stage III onward, leaving only some residual expression at the basal periphery of the primordium (Figure 5F). This observation led us to hypothesize that periclinaly localized CPK29 in Stages I and II primordial cells may be involved in the establishment of the first periclinal PIN1 polarization. Defects in initial periclinal PIN1 localization from the loss of CPK29 could lead to more auxin accumulation in the inner cell tier (or less in the outer cell tier) of the Stage II LR primordium (Figure 5, D and E), which could, in turn, result in a transient arrest of Stages I and II or an increase in primordium number at these stages.

The cpk29-2 mutant root showed a more twisted growth pattern than WT, as represented by the rotation of the root epidermal cell file along the longitudinal root axis, and this was rescued by reintroduction of the CPK29 gene into the mutant (Figure 6, A and B). The pin2 mutant showed a similar phenotype, and the introduction of phospho-defective or -mimetic PIN2 forms could not rescue the pin2 mutant phenotype (Figure 6, A and B). The root-twist degree of pin2 cpk29-2 double mutant was not significantly different from that of each single mutant, indicating that PIN2 and...
CPK29 would take a linear genetic pathway to affect root twist/untwist (Figure 6, A and B). The decrease in PIN2 protein level and subsequent defects in shootward auxin transport in the root are likely implicated in root skewing (Qi and Zheng, 2013). The apical PIN2 polarity in the root epidermal cell was partially compromised by the loss of CPK29 and by the mutations in CPK29-target residues of PIN2 (Figure 3, C and D). The polarity defect of phospho-mutated PIN2 could affect shootward auxin transport from the root tip and cause twisting of the root. The cpk29-2 mutant, however, did not exhibit significant defects in root gravitropism which was also known to be affected by PIN2 activity. The loss of CPK29 did not completely disrupt the apical PIN2 polarity in the mutant root epidermal cell where the apical PIN2 level still doubled the lateral PIN2 level (Figure 3, C and D). This level of PIN2 polarity could be enough to operate normal root gravitropism but insufficient to keep the root untwisted. On the other hand, PIN2-involving root twist/untwist and root gravitropism may take different mechanistic pathways downstream of auxin (or of PAT). The spatial separation of two developmental phenomena might reflect this notion; root twist occurs from the late elongation zone throughout the differentiation zone, but gravitropic root bending occurs at the early elongation zone of the root.

Hypocotyl gravitropism was partially impaired in the cpk29-2 mutant, and this was rescued by the reintroduction of CPK29 into the mutant (Figure 6C). Upon gravistimulus, PIN3 re-localized downward in the gravitational direction in the hypocotyl starch sheath cell (Figure 6, D and E), as reported previously (Rakusová et al., 2011). However, PIN3 re-localization was significantly hampered in the cpk29-2 mutant (Figure 6, D and E). Accordingly, auxin redistribution in the gravitational direction, as shown by DR5-promoted signal activity, was considerably reduced in the mutant background (Figure 6, D and E), suggesting that CPK29-mediated modulation of PIN3 polarity was involved in hypocotyl gravitropism.

PIN1-mediated phyllotaxis and reproductive organ development also showed defects in the cpk29-2 mutant and
with phosho-mutations of the CPK29-target sites in PIN1-HL. The phyllotaxis of vegetative leaves was considerably disrupted by the loss of CPK29, and this mutant phenotype was rescued by the reintroduction of CPK29 under its own promoter (Figure 7). However, the transformant in which CPK29 was introduced under the control of the 3SS promoter retained the disrupted phyllotactic phenotype, indicating that the CPK29-expressing domain was critical to modulation of PIN1-mediated phyllotaxis. Normal phyllotaxis was restored by the reintroduction of WT PIN1 but not by the introduction of phosho-defective or -mimetic versions of PIN1 into the pin1 mutant (Figure 7). The failure of phyllotactic restoration by the phosho-mimetic PIN1 was likely due to the impaired subcellular polarity of this version of PIN1 (Figure 3, A and B). Similarly, the introduction of phosho-defective and -mimetic PIN1 forms into the pin1 mutant did not restore normal reproductive organ development such as floral patterning and fruit setting (Figure 8).

While phosho-defective and -mimetic PINs generated opposite phenotypes in PIN trafficking (namely, slow and fast PIN recycling by phosho-defective and mimetic mutation, respectively; Figure 4), both phosho-mutant forms resulted in similar developmental phenotypes such as root twist, phyllotaxis, and reproductive development (Figures 6–8). The latter likely resulted from the similar disruption of PIN polarity by both phosho-mutations, though it was observed in root tissues (Figure 3, A–D). Compared to the WT PINs, the active exocytic behavior of phosho-mimetic PINs (Figure 4F) could cause more lateral exocytosis of PINs, which would lower the relative apical or basal PIN polarity.

**Discussion**

The HL region between residues 200–300 of long PINs carries phosphorylation hot spots that are targeted by the aforementioned protein kinases and that are fundamental for PIN trafficking (Barbosa et al., 2018; Supplemental Figure S11). Our results showed that Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling-mediated modulation of PIN trafficking involved a specific phosphorylation code on the PIN-HL. Among the known PIN-phosphorylating protein kinases, AGC-type protein kinases (PID/WAGs, D6PK, and AGC1–12) mostly share common phosphorylation sites, termed S1–S5 (Dhonukshe et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Ganguly, Lee, et al., 2012; Weller et al., 2017; Supplemental Figure S11). However, the ‘Sc’ site (Ser targeted by CPK29, i.e. S253 in PIN1 and S259 in PIN2) was not phosphorylated by AGC-type or any other type of kinases other than CPK29 (as summarized in Supplemental Figure S10). This suggests that the Sc phospho-site is specific to CPK29 and thus the site is likely to mediate Ca\(^{2+}\)-signaling for the modulation of PIN trafficking. In addition, the 3 mL and M3 sites (Ganguly, Lee, et al., 2012; Sasayama et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2016; Supplemental Figure S11) and two TPRXS motifs (Dhonukshe et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2010; Zourelidou et al., 2014; Haga et al., 2018) were targeted by an AGC kinase but not by CPK29 (Figure 1, D and G). These data further indicated that the target phosphorylation sites in the PIN-HL have been specified between AGC kinases and CPK29.

Although CRKs are not directly activated by Ca\(^{2+}\), they may also mediate Ca\(^{2+}\) signaling for PIN modulation. However, CRKs—the only CRK known to modulate PIN trafficking—exhibited quite distinctive characteristics to those shown by CPK29. CRKs actively recycle between endosomes and PM, as it easily forms internal compartments after BFA treatment (Rigo et al., 2013). In contrast, BFA treatment did not cause the formation of compartments containing CPK29, indicating that CPK29 scarcely underwent BFA-sensitive recycling (Figure 2D; Supplemental Figure S7B). Moreover, while CPK29 generally modulated the trafficking of all major long PINs, CRKs affected the trafficking of PIN2 only, and not the trafficking of other auxin transporters such as AUX1, PIN1, PIN3, PIN4, and PIN7 (Rigo et al., 2013). Considering that PINs and PIN-phosphorylating AGC kinases and CRKs take the recycling pathway (Geldner et al., 2001; Lee and Cho, 2006; Rigo et al., 2013; Barbosa et al., 2014) but CPK29 does not, those AGC kinases and CRKs may also target their substrates during the recycling process whereas CPK29 is likely to act on them mostly at the PM.

CPKs are involved in various biological processes, and among their substrates included are membrane transporter proteins such as channels for ions and water and Ca\(^{2+}\) pump. *In vitro* and *in vivo* phosphorylation investigations have revealed many kinase/substrate relationships between CPKs and membrane transporters; CPK6 and CPK23/SLOW ANION CHANNEL-ASSOCIATED 1 (Geiger et al., 2010; Brandt et al., 2012; Maierhofer et al., 2014), CPK3, CPK4, CPK5, and CPK11/vacuolar TWO-PORE K\(^{+}\) CHANNEL 1 (TPK1; Latz et al., 2013), CPK13/K\(^{+}\)-channels KAT1/2 (Ronzier et al., 2014), CPK34/Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel CNGC18 (Curran et al., 2011), CPK34/aquaporins NIP4;1 and NIP4;2 (Di Giorgio et al., 2016), and CPK16/Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPase ACA8 (Giacometti et al., 2012). These CPKs are from all over the major CPK subgroups but not from a specific subgroup, implying that different lineages of CPKs have been functionally converged to have membrane transporters as substrates.

The biological function of CPK29 has been little characterized. CPK29 expression is induced by salt stress, and CPK29 was shown to phosphorylate the N-terminus of TPK1 in *in vitro* (Latz et al., 2013), which, however, requires *in vivo* confirmation due to different subcellular localizations between CPK29 (PM) and TPK1 (vacuolar tonoplast). When co-expressed with CPK28 under a constitutive promoter, CPK29 and CPK28 enhanced drought tolerance of Arabidopsis plants, though the expression of every single gene showed no prominent effect (Schulz et al., 2021).

Our study reveals auxin-transporting PINs as targets of CPK29. With regard to the formation of auxin gradients, CPK29 may act as a general modulator for exocytic PIN...
trafficking in response to Ca$^{2+}$ signals, as it is expressed ubiquitously in diverse organs, targets multiple major long PINs, and affects various auxin-related developmental processes such as LR development, root twist, hypocotyl gravitropism, phyllotaxis, and floral organ development. Although some of these developmental processes are physiologically linked to Ca$^{2+}$ signaling (Toyota et al., 2008; Chen and Kao, 2012), our study suggests CPK29 as the molecular linker between Ca$^{2+}$ and PAT and opens a possibility that more diverse PAT-involved biological processes could be modulated by Ca$^{2+}$ signaling.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials and growth conditions**

*Arabidopsis thaliana* Columbia ecotype (Col-0) plants were used as WT and for transformation with transgene constructs unless otherwise stated. Two loss-of-function mutants, *cpk29-1* and *cpk29-2*, were obtained from the Arabidopsis Stock Center (http://www.Arabidopsis.org/). Seeds were cold-treated at 4°C for 4 days before germination and cultivation at 22°C under a 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod with fluorescent illumination (FHF 32SS-EXD; Kumho Electric, Korea), with a light...
intensity of 130 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹. Seedlings were grown on agar plates containing 4.3 g L⁻¹ Murashige and Skoog (MS) nutrient mix (Duchefa, the Netherlands), 1% sucrose, 0.5 g L⁻¹ MES (pH 5.7 with KOH), 0.8% agarose (SeaKem, Cambrex BioScience Rockland). Arabidopsis plants were transformed by the floral dip method using Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain C58C1, and transformants were selected on agar plates containing hygromycin (30 µg mL⁻¹) or phosphinothricin (30 µg mL⁻¹) and cefotaxime (10 µg mL⁻¹). Four-day-old seedlings were analyzed unless stated otherwise.

For the genetic analysis between pin2 and cpk29-2 for the root twist phenotype, pin2 (eir1-1) and cpk29-2 were crossed, and the homozygous double mutant was used for the genetic analysis. The genotype of the homozygous double mutant was confirmed by observation of root twist phenotypes.

Figure 8 The CPK29-target residue in PIN1 is necessary for PIN1-mediated reproductive development. A, B, Inflorescence stem (A) and floral organ (B) phenotypes of WT, pin1, and ProPIN1:PIN1:GFP transgenic lines expressing phospho-mutated versions of PIN1 in the pin1 background. Bar = 2 cm (A) and 1 mm (B). C, Siliqule phenotypes of WT and ProPIN1:PIN1:GFP transgenic lines expressing phospho-mutated versions of PIN1 in the pin1 background. Bar = 1 cm. D, E, Siliqule length and seed number per siliqule of WT and ProPIN1:PIN1:GFP transgenic lines expressing phospho-mutated versions of PIN1 in the pin1 background. Data represent means ± SEM (n = 8–38 siliqules [D] and 22–54 siliqules [E] of 3–8 plants from 3 to 6 independent transgenic lines for each construct; different letters denote significant differences [ANOVA test, P < 0.05]).
agravitropism and genomic DNA sequencing after PCR of the mutated region (for pin2) and by identifying the T-DNA insertion (for cpk29-2).

Transgene constructs

For bacterial protein expression, which was used for in vitro protein pulldown and phosphorylation assays, PIN-HLs (PIN1, 167–460 amino acids; PIN2, 167–485; PIN3, 167–478) and CPK29 cDNAs were obtained and amplified from Arabidopsis seedling mRNA using the primer sets listed in Supplemental Table S4 (hereafter all PCR primers are listed in this Table) and cloned into the BamHI/SalI sites of the pGEX-4T-1 vector (GE Healthcare, Inchon, Korea) for generation of fusion proteins with glutathione S-transferase (GST) at their N-termini. Phospho-mutated PIN-HLs and CPK29 were generated by mutagenesis using the mega-primer PCR method. For single-mutated constructs, a mutated primer was used for the first PCR, and this mutated PCR product was used as a (mega) primer in the second PCR to obtain the final mutated construct, which was cloned into the BamHI/SalI sites of pGEX-4T-1. For double site-mutated PIN-HLs constructs, a second mutated primer was used in the mega-primer PCR with the first mutated construct as a template. The constructs for the 3m1 and M3 phospho-mutants of PIN1 and GST:PID were as previously described (Ganguly, Lee, et al., 2012; Ki et al., 2016). For the construct used in in vitro pulldown assay, cDNA of CPK29 was cloned into the EcoRI/SalI sites of pET-30a(−)(+) (Novagen).

Binary vectors pCAMBIA1300-NOS and pCAMBIA3300-NOS, with modified cloning sites (Lee et al., 2010), were used to assemble transgenes for Arabidopsis transformation. The ProPIN1:PIN1:GFP (Ki et al., 2016), ProPIN2:PIN2:GFP (Xu and Scheres, 2006), and ProPIN3:PIN3:GFP (Ganguly, Lee, et al., 2012) constructs were as previously described. Site-directed mutagenesis of the phosphorylation sites of PIN1 (S253) and PIN2 (S259) was used in the mega-primer PCR method described above, using the ProPINs:PINs:GFP constructs, including WT genomic PIN sequences, as templates. Mutagenized PINs were cloned into the SalI/NcoI and SalI/AvrII sites, respectively, for PIN1 and PIN2, to generate ProPINs:PINs:GFP constructs. To construct ProCPK29:CPK29:GFP, the 716 bp CPK29 promoter, and 2703 bp CPK29 coding region (with stop codon removed) were amplified from Arabidopsis genomic DNA and sequentially inserted into the HindIII/SalI and KpnI/Apal sites of the binary vector, respectively. The GFP region was amplified from ProE7:GFP (Kim et al., 2006) and cloned into the Ascl site, downstream of ProCPK29:CPK29. For the ProCPK29:GUS:GFP construct, the GUS fragment (with stop codon removed) was amplified from the pBI101 vector (Clontech) and cloned into the PstI/AvrII sites downstream of the ProCPK29—including vector described above. The GFP region from ProCPK29:CPK29:GFP was amplified and inserted into the AvrII/Ascl sites downstream of ProCPK29:GUS. For Pro35S:CPK29:RFP, Pro35S was derived from Pro35S:PGP4:YFP (Cho et al., 2007) and inserted into the same digestion sites of pCAMBIA1300-NOS. Next, the genomic CPK29 coding region from ProCPK29:CPK29:GFP was cloned into the KpnI/Apal sites. The red fluorescence protein (RFP) coding region was obtained from ProE7:PGP4:RFP (Cho et al., 2007) and inserted into the AscI/SpeI sites of the binary vector. For the ProDR5:ntdTOMATO constructs, the ProDR5v2 and nttdTOMATO coding regions were PCR-amplified using the pGreenII/M DR5v2:ntdTOMATO/DR5v3:GFP vector (a gift from Dolf Weijers; Liao et al., 2015) as a template, and inserted into the AscI and XbaI sites, respectively.

Bacterial protein expression and in vitro protein pulldown

Plasmids were transformed into Escherichia coli BL21-DE3 Rosetta competent cells (Novagen), and protein expression was induced with 1 mM isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) for 4 h. Cells were lysed with Thermo Scientific Pierce B-PER Bacterial Protein Extraction Reagents, comprising 1 mL B-Per, 2 μL DNase1 (5 unit μL−1), 20 μL lysozyme (10 mg mL−1), and 1 × protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). For the pulldown experiment to obtain PIN2-HL-interacting proteins (PIPs), 40 μL glutathione sepharose beads 4B (GE Lifesciences) were incubated first with ~10 μG GST or GST:PIN2-HL fusion protein at 4°C on a shaker (10 rpm) for 4–6 h to allow binding. For whole-cell plant extracts, 7-day-old Col-0 seedlings were homogenized in a mortar using lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1% Triton-X 100, 0.5% NP-40, 1 × protease inhibitor cocktail [Roche], 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride [PMSF], and 10% glycerol). The homogenate was then centrifuged twice at 10,000 × g for 10 min, and the supernatant was collected. For pulldown of PIPs, plant protein extracts (100 μg total) were added to the GST- or GST:PIN2-HL-bound sepharose beads and the mixtures were incubated at 4°C for 3 h. The beads were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS with 0.1% Tween-20) six times, after which proteins were eluted with 100 μL glutathione elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 30 mM reduced glutathione pH 8.0). Elution fractions were then separated using 10% SDS-PAGE gel electrophoresis, and distinct bands were excised from the gel and used for trypsin digestion and mass spectrometry analysis.

For in vitro pull-down experiments between CPK29 and PIN1-, PIN2-, and PIN3-3HL His-tagged CPK29 (HisCPK29) and GST:PIN-HLs were expressed in the E. coli cells as described above. Fifty microliter glutathione sepharose beads 4B (GE Lifesciences) were incubated first with ~10 μG GST or GST:PINs-HL for 3 h at 4°C on a rotator (15 rpm) to allow binding. After removing the supernatants, the beads were incubated with ~10 μG HisCPK29 protein for 2 h at 4°C on a rotator (15 rpm) for interaction. The beads were then washed 5 times with 1 × Tris-buffered saline (TBS, including 0.1% [v/v] Tween-20) to remove nonspecifically bound proteins. Bound proteins were isolated from the beads by adding 1 × SDS loading dye, separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to 0.45 μm nitro-cellulose membranes
(GE Lifesciences), and probed with 1:3000 diluted anti-His (MBL, cat. # D291-3) and anti-GST antibodies (Abcam, cat. # AB3416). Chemiluminescence detection was performed with ECL (LPS solution) protein gel blotting substrate in a chemiluminescence imaging system (Davinch-chem).

**Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry analysis**

Liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC–MS/MS) analysis was used to identify CPK29 and PIN-HL phosphorylation sites. Protein samples were prepared as follows: ~1 μg GST:CPK29 or GST:mCPK29 and GST:PIN-HLs were incubated in kinase buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM EGTA, and 10 mM CaCl₂) with 200 μM ATP in a total volume of 20 μL for 1 h at 22°C. Samples were stored at 4°C to prevent additional protein phosphorylation. The soluble mixtures were denatured with 8 M urea in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate (ABC), followed by reduction and alkylation of cysteine thiols. After dilution to a urea concentration of 1 M with 50 mM ABC buffer, proteins were digested with trypsin overnight at 37°C. The soluble mixtures were denatured with 8 M urea using high-energy collision dissociation fragmentation was performed on Orbitrap Q-Exactive or Orbitrap Fusion Lumos mass spectrometers (Thermo Fisher Scientific) coupled to a nanoACQUITY UPLC (Waters) instrument equipped with an in-house packed capillary trap column (150 μm internal diameter, 3 cm) and an analytical column (75-μm internal diameter, 100 cm) with 3 μm Jupiter C18 particles (Phenomenex) at a flow rate of 300 nL min⁻¹. The acquired dataset was searched using the MS-GF+ (version 2017 January) algorithm at 10 ppm of precursor ion mass tolerance with variable phosphorylation modification parameter (+79.966 Da) on Ser/Thr/Tyr residues against the A. thaliana UniProt proteome database with 1% protein false discovery rate.

The in vivo identification of the phosphorylation sites in CPK29 and PINs by LC–MS/MS analysis was performed as previously described (Yang et al., 2013). Four-day-old WT Arabidopsis seedlings were homogenized using mortar and pestle with urea extraction buffer (150 mM Tris–HCl [pH 7.6], 8 M urea, 0.5% SDS, 1.2% Triton X-100, 20 mM EDTA, 20 mM EGTA, 50 mM NaF, 1% glycerol 2-phosphate, 1 mM PMSE, 5 mM DTT, 0.5% phosphatase inhibitor mixture 2 [Sigma], an EDTA-free protease-inhibitor mixture [Complete], 5 mM ascorbic acid, and 2% polyvinylpyrrolidone). The homogenates were then centrifuged at 10,000 g for 1 h to obtain the supernatant which was then subjected to ultracentrifugation at 110,000g for 2 h. The supernatant was mixed with acetone/methanol (12:1 v/v), and the protein pellet was rinsed with acetonemethanol:H₂O (12:1:1.4 v/v/v) twice. The protein pellet was resolved with resuspension buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl [pH 6.8], 8 M urea, 1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, and 5 mM DTT), and C18 cleanup and lyophilization were conducted. The phosphopeptides were enriched with High-select Fe-NTA & TiO2 Phosphopeptide Enrichment Kit (Thermo Scientific) before the LC–MS/MS analysis.

**In vitro phosphorylation assay**

GST-fused kinases and protein substrates were acquired as described above. For in vitro phosphorylation assays, ~1 μg GST:CPK29 (or GST:PID) and substrate proteins were added to 20 μL kinase buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM EGTA, and a range of CaCl₂ concentrations). [Ca²⁺]ₗ values were calculated using https://web.stanford.edu/~cpatron/webmax/webmaxcE.htm. The kinase buffer also included 1 μCi [γ-³²P] ATP. The reaction was incubated for 1 h at 22°C and then halted by adding 5 μL SDS–PAGE loading buffer and boiling for 5 min. The reaction samples were then separated using 10% SDS–PAGE gel electrophoresis and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB). Phosphorylation analysis with PID and PIN1-HL was performed as described previously (Huang et al., 2010). Autoradiography was performed using BAS imaging plates (BAS 2040, Fujifilm) and a Bio-imaging Analyzer (BAS-2500, Fujifilm). Phosphorylation intensity was estimated using ImageJ 1.50b software (National Institutes of Health). Phosphorylation intensity of separated protein bands was estimated by subtracting the intensity of the region immediately surrounding the band and normalizing it to the CBB staining intensity.

**Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis**

Amino acid sequences were aligned using CLUSTAL W with the default parameters (gap penalty, 10.0; gap length penalty, 0.2; delay divergent seqs, 30%). Phylogenetic trees were generated by the Neighbor-Joining method with Poisson model using the MEGA version 7 software package after CLUSTAL W alignment of the whole amino acid sequences. The number of bootstrap replicates was 1,000. Tree branches indicate the number of amino acid substitutions per site as shown by the scale bar.

**Histological observation of β-glucuronidase activity**

Glucuronidase (GUS) analysis was performed as described previously (Cho and Cosgrove, 2002). Seedlings and plant parts were incubated in GUS reaction buffer (1 mM 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl-β-D-glucuronide cyclohexylammonium salt, 0.1 M NaH₂PO₄, 0.01 M EDTA, 0.1% Triton X-100, and 0.5 mM potassium ferricyanide pH 7) for 3 h at 37°C and then destained in 70% ethanol. Images were obtained using an M205 FA stereomicroscope (Leica).

**Membrane protein isolation**

Membrane protein isolation was performed as previously described (Ganguly, Lee, et al., 2012) with modifications. Seven-day-old Arabidopsis seedlings were homogenized using mortar and pestle with isolation buffer (0.25 M sorbitol, 50 mM HEPEPS-KOH pH 7.5, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM MgCl₂, 5% [v/v] glycerol, 1% [w/v] polyvinylpyrrolidone, 2 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSE, and protease inhibitor cocktail [Sigma]). The homogenates were then centrifuged at 8,000g
for 10 min to obtain the supernatant (cytosolic + membrane proteins) which was then subjected to centrifugation at 100,000g for 35 min to obtain membrane protein pellets. Membrane pellets were resuspended in the buffer containing 50 mM HEPES-KOH (pH 7.5), 10% glycerol, 2 mM EGTA, 2 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, and protease inhibitor cocktail. After quantification of membrane proteins, the samples were subjected to SDS-PAGE, transferred onto a nitro-cellulose membrane (GE Lifesciences) and probed with 1/500-diluted anti-GFP rabbit IgG antibody (MBL, cat. # 598) and 1/3,000-diluted horse radish peroxidase (HRP, Abcam [cat. # ab6702]). Chemiluminescence detection was as described above.

Protoplast preparation
Protoplasts were prepared as described previously (Yoo et al., 2007). Arabidopsis leaves from aseptically cultivated 3-week-old plants were cut into 0.5–1 mm strips without petioles and mid-ribs, and incubated in protoplasting enzyme solution (1% cellulose R10, 0.5% macerozyme R10, 0.45 M mannitol, 20 mM MES pH 5.7, and 20 mM KCl) on a shaking incubator (5 rpm) in darkness at 25°C for 14–16 h. The mixture was then combined with an equal volume of W5 solution (2 mM MES pH 5.7, 154 mM NaCl, 125 mM CaCl2, and 5 mM KCl) before filtering of protoplasts using a 75 μm nylon mesh. Protoplasts were centrifuged at 100g for 1–2 min in a round-bottomed tube. After supernatant removal, the protoplast pellet was incubated on ice for 30 min and then resuspended in MMG solution (4 mM MES pH 5.7 containing 0.5 M mannitol and 20 mM KCl) at room temperature. For PEG-mediated transfection, Pro35S:CPK29:RFP plasmid (20 μg) was gently mixed with protoplasts (100 μL) in 110 μL PEG solution (30% PEG4000 in ddH2O containing 0.2 M mannitol and 100 mM CaCl2) and incubated at room temperature for 10 min. Next, 400 μL W5 solution was added, and the mixture was gently rocked before centrifugation at 100g for 2 min. After supernatant removal, protoplasts were resuspended in 1 ml WI solution (4 mM MES pH 5.7 containing 0.5 M mannitol and 20 mM KCl) and incubated at room temperature for 4 h. Fluorescence from RFP was observed using a confocal microscope (LSM700, Carl Zeiss).

Confocal microscopy of fluorescent proteins
Observation of fluorescence reporters using a confocal microscope (LSM700, Carl Zeiss) was conducted as described previously (Ganguly et al., 2010). To observe the cytological effects of BFA, seedlings were pretreated with CHX (50 μM) for 30 min and then treated with BFA (concentrations as indicated in corresponding figures) and CHX (50 μM) for variable time periods according to the experiment. For BFA wash-out, the BFA solution was replaced by and then incubated in 0.5 × MS medium. BFA was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, 0.05%), and controls included an equivalent amount of DMSO. Quantification of fluorescence signals was performed using ImageJ software.

FRET-FLIM analysis
FRET-FLIM analysis was used to observe the in vivo interaction between CPK29 and PIN2. Four-day-old seedlings of the double transgenic line containing both ProPIN2:PIN2:GFP and Pro35S:CPK29:RFP, which was generated by crossing the two lines, were observed for the FRET-FLIM analysis. Fluorescence confocal imaging and fluorescence lifetime imaging for the analysis were conducted using a scanning confocal microscope SP8 FALCON (Leica Microsystems) with a 40× objective lens. Picosecond pulsed laser lines (488 and 594 nm) from a white light laser system were used as excitation sources. Two-hybrid photon detectors (HyD1 & HyD3) were used to collect emissions in the ranges of 495–580 nm and 600–770 nm from the root samples, respectively. Fluorescence confocal and lifetime images consisted of 512 × 512 pixels were simultaneously recorded using a galvo-stage and time-correlated single-photon counting technique. During the imaging, the pinhole was set to 1.0. All data manipulations were performed and fitted using the Leica suited software (LAS X Ver.3.5.2). Average lifetime values were calculated by the amplitude-weighted method, and the region of interest (ROI) was set as a rectangle in the root epidermal cell.

FRAP analysis
FRAP analysis was performed as previously described (Ganguly et al., 2014) with modifications. Five-day-old ProPIN2:PIN2:GFP seedlings were mounted on a sliding glass with 0.5 × MS medium and 0.1% agarose, and imaged using an LSM700 confocal microscope. For photobleaching, 4-μm wide ROIs were selected at the apical or lateral PM side of the root epidermal cell and bleached with 50 iterations of 488 nm set at 100% transmission. Fluorescence recovery was recorded for 10 min after bleaching with a 1-min interval between frames. FRAP data were normalized using the following equation: \( I_t = \frac{(I_{\text{max}} - I_{\text{min}}) \times I_0}{I_0 - I_{\text{min}}} \), where \( I_0 \) is the normalized intensity, \( I_t \) is the intensity at any time, \( I_{\text{max}} \) is the mean pre-photobleaching intensity, and \( I_{\text{min}} \) is the minimum post-photobleaching intensity (Kleine-Vehn et al., 2011). Fluorescence signal intensity was analyzed with ImageJ software.

RNA isolation and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR analysis
Total RNA was isolated from 9-day-old seedlings using anRNase Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen), cDNA was synthesized as described previously (Lee and Cho, 2006). Reverse transcription (RT)-qPCR analyses were performed using amfiSecure qGreen Q-PCR Master mix (Applied GenDEOT) and aCFX Connect Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad). Gene-specific signals were normalized to the ACTIN2 transcript level. Three independent RNA extractions were made from each sample, and three technical replicate RT-qPCR reactions were performed for each RNA sample. Primers used for quantitation are listed in Supplemental Table S4.
Observation of biological parameters
Emerged LRs and LR primordia were observed in 9-day-old seedlings. The number of LRs was equivalent to the number of emerged LRs. LR density was estimated by dividing the emerged LR number by the primary root length in cm. LR primordia were observed after roots were cleared as previously described (Lee et al., 2020). Hypocotyl gravitropism was observed with dark-grown seedlings. Hypocotyl images were taken in the indicated time intervals after 90° gravi-stimulation, and the bending angle was recorded. Phyllotactic analysis was done by measuring the phyllotactic angles of vegetative leaves from 24-day-old plants. The angle formed by two consecutive leaf axes was estimated using ImageJ software.

Statistical analyses
Statistically significant differences are denoted with different letters (one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s unequal N HSD post hoc test, P < 0.05; Supplemental Data Set 2) or with asterisks (Student’s t test as indicated in figures).

Accession numbers
Sequence data and mutant information are in the Arabidopsis Genome Initiative databases under the following accession numbers: AT1G76040 (CPK29), AT1G73590 (PIN1), AT5G57090 (PIN2), AT1G70940 (PIN3), AT2G34650 (PID), AT3G187800 (ACTIN2), AT5G26930 (GATA23), AT2G42430 (LBD16), AT2G45420 (LBD18), AT3G58190 (LBD29), AT5G06080 (LBD33), AT1G77690 (LAX3), AT2G36010 (E2Fa), AT5G14650 (PG), AT5G56320 (EXPA14), SALK_114657 (cpk29-1), and CS347204 (cpk29-2).
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