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Abstract

Aim

Education is one of the strongest social determinants of health, yet previous literature has focused on primary education. We examined whether there are additional benefits to completing upper secondary compared to lower secondary education in a middle-income country.

Methods

We performed a longitudinal analysis of the Cape Area Panel Study, a survey of adolescents living in South Africa. We undertook causal modeling using structural marginal models to examine the association between level of education and various health outcomes, using inverse probability weighting to control for sex, age, ethnicity, home language, income, whether employed in past year, region of birth, maternal educational status, marital status, whether currently pregnant and cognitive ability. Educational attainment was defined as primary (grades 1–7), lower secondary (grades 8–9) or upper secondary (grades 10–12).

Results

Of 3,432 participants, 165 (4.8%) had completed primary education, 646 (18.8%) lower secondary and 2,621 (76.3%) upper secondary. Compared to those completing lower secondary, males completing upper secondary education were less likely to have a health problem (OR 0.49; 95%CI 0.27–0.88; p = 0.02); describe their health as poor (0.52; 0.29–0.95; p = 0.03) or report that health interferes with daily life (0.54; 0.29–0.99; p = 0.047). Females were less likely to have been pregnant (0.45; 0.33–0.61; p<0.001) or pregnant under 18 (0.32; 0.22–0.46; p<0.001); and having had sex under 16 was also less likely (males 0.63; 0.44–0.91; p = 0.01; females 0.39; 0.26–0.58; p<0.001). Cigarette smoking was less likely (males 0.52; 0.38–0.70; p = <0.001; females 0.56; 0.41–0.76; p<0.001), as was taking illicit drugs in males (0.6; 0.38–0.96; p = 0.03). No associations were found
between education and alcohol use, psychological distress, obesity, increased waist circumference or hypertension.

**Conclusion**

Completing upper secondary education was associated with improved health outcomes compared with lower secondary education. Expanding upper secondary education offers middle-income countries an effective way of improving adolescent health.

**Introduction**

Education has been shown to be one of the strongest social determinants of health.[1] Children who are educated go on to live longer, healthier lives as adults, with less morbidity and disability, with particular benefits seen in young women.[2, 3] This association is seen within countries regardless of their level of development.[4] The influence of education is intergenerational; more educated parents have healthier children, later in life, and fewer of them. In particular maternal level of education has a profound impact on child health outcomes[5]; every additional year of maternal education is associated with a 7%-9% decrease in under 5 child mortality.[6]

Half of the reduction in child mortality witnessed globally since 1970 can be attributed to increased education of young women.[2]

There is international consensus regarding the importance of expanding education, and Millennium Development Goal 2 aimed for universal primary education provision.[7] Significant progress has been made[2] and the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME) estimate average completed years of education has increased since the 1990s by 35% for women and 30% for men.[8] Significant challenges remain however, particularly to reduce gender inequities in education. UNESCO estimate there are 15 million children worldwide who will never go to school, of whom 10 million are girls.[9]

The relationship between education and health is complex and varies according to socioeconomic group.[3] Although some explanations are bidirectional[10], there is also evidence of causal pathways of increasing education leading to better health.[4] Firstly, education brings increased earnings, rank and access to resources, which not only independently improve health but also affect the peers that individuals spend time with, which may encourage or discourage healthy behaviours. Education also brings knowledge of adverse health behaviours and better understanding of their implications, and so a greater likelihood of translating beneficial intentions into actions. Education may also bring a greater willingness and understanding of the need to invest in behaviour change now so as to enjoy future health benefits. Finally, those in school are prevented from participating in unhealthy or risky activities during the time in class; the so called “incarceration effect.”[11]

Although there is evidence of a dose response effect of education on health[11], there is less agreement as to the shape of this relationship.[3] Additional years above a certain threshold in adolescents may yield more profound health benefits, and the level for this may vary according to health outcome. Secondary education has been shown to reduce teenage fertility[12] and increase contraception use[13] within low and middle-income countries. Globally, the percentage of immunized children has been shown to be higher when mothers have some secondary education compared with primary or no education.[14] However, the focus of research as to the effects of education on health has concentrated on primary school, with the influence of additional years of education among adolescents less well described outside of high-income
countries. We found no previous studies exploring how upper secondary education effects health amongst adolescents compared with lower secondary school within middle-income countries.

South Africa provides a useful opportunity to study the benefits of upper secondary education. Expenditure on education is one of the highest in sub-Saharan Africa, and state funded compulsory education is provided for nine years from age 7 to 15. In 2005 about 85% of South-African primary school aged children, and 65% of secondary school aged children were enrolled in school.[15] Substantial numbers also continue to upper secondary education, providing an opportunity to study the benefits of upper secondary compared with primary / lower secondary education for health.

We used causal modelling methods and data from a longitudinal South African cohort study to explore the benefits of upper secondary education on health outcomes over and above those gained through primary and lower secondary school.

Methods
We performed a longitudinal analysis using data from the Cape Area Panel Study (CAPS)[16], a survey of young adults aged 14–22 living in Cape Town, South Africa, conducted over 5 waves from 2002 to 2009. Data are publicly available and obtained from the University of Cape Town DataFirst portal (https://www.datafirst.uct.ac.za) on 20 August 2015. We primarily used wave 4 of the study for our analysis as this provided the largest sample size, (3,439 participants), with data on multiple health outcomes.

Participants for CAPS were selected using a stratified two-stage sample design. Clusters were selected according to predominant ethnic group using data from the 1996 census (African, white or coloured; a term used in South Africa to describe mixed heritage), with oversampling of white and African clusters to achieve a representative sample. For further details regarding the sampling strategy used in CAPS please see previous methodology.[16]

Ethical approval for CAPS was granted by the University of Cape Town, University of Michigan and University of Princeton. Written consent was obtained from all respondents, and written parental consent for respondents under 18. No ethics approvals were required for the secondary data analyses presented here.

Education measures
We defined level of education attainment using data collected in wave 4 with the question “What is the highest grade in school that you have successfully completed?” Participants who had received no schooling, or answered “don’t know” or “other” were excluded from the analysis (n = 4).

The primary focus of our analysis was to compare those attending school into the late teens with those leaving education in mid-adolescence. We therefore defined educational attainment as “upper secondary” if participants had completed any years of secondary school beyond grade 9, the limit of compulsory education provided by South Africa and where students are typically 15–16. Those receiving up to the compulsory level of schooling were then divided into “lower secondary”, (high school grades 8–9), or “primary” (grades 1–7). These categories are in line with the International Standard Classification of Education provided by UNESCO.[17]

Health outcome measures
We used the following questions to identify adverse health outcomes or behaviours among participants, all collected during wave 4:

**General health.** Poor general health was defined using the following questions:
1. “Do you have any health problems or disabilities?”
2. Answering "poor" or "fair" to the question: "In general, how is your health?"
3. Answering "occasionally" "fairly often", "most of the time", or "always" to the question: "How often does poor health or physical disability interfere with your ability to study, to work, or to search for work?"

**Substance use.** Participants were asked about any cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption or illicit drug use over the past 30 days.

**Sexual health.** We assessed sexual health amongst participants using the following indicators:
1. Any previous pregnancies.
2. Teenage pregnancy (18 years or younger).
3. Sexual intercourse before the age of 16.

**Mental health.** We defined participants with “moderate” or “severe” scores using the K6 screening scale[18] as having psychological distress.

The K6 screening scale[18] is a 6 item likert scale, with respondents recording how often over the past 30 days they have felt nervous, hopeless, restless, sad, worthless and that everything was an effort. Those with a total score of $\geq 5$ or $\geq 13$ are likely to have "moderate"[19] or "severe"[20, 21] psychological distress respectively.

**Anthropometry.** Obesity; those with a BMI $\geq 30$kg/m$^2$ were classified as obese.[22]

1. Increased waist circumference; those with a waist circumference above 88cm for females and 102cm for males were classified as having waist circumference above threshold.[23]
2. Hypertension; those with a systolic blood pressure $\geq 140$mmHg or a diastolic blood pressure $\geq 90$mmHg were classified as hypertensive.

**Potential confounding factors**

Given that education and health are both likely to be associated with socio-demographic factors, we included the following covariates in our analysis, collected in either wave 1 or wave 4 of the study:

Variables collected in wave 1

i. Ethnicity; ethnic group of participants was defined as: “Black/African”, “Coloured”, “Indian”, “White”, “Other”, “Don’t know.”

ii. Language; the language that participants speak most often at home was defined as; “English”, “Xhosa”, “Afrikaans”, “Sotho”, “Zulu”, “Tswana”, “Other”.

iii. Household income; for socioeconomic status we used the log of per capita household income, as others have done.[24, 25]

iv. Region of birth; participants were given the following options: Cape Town, the nine provinces of South Africa, or outside South Africa.
v. Cognition; z-scores from a literacy and numeracy evaluation completed at the start of the
study were used as a measure of cognition amongst participants.

vi. Parental educational attainment; defined using total number of years of schooling com-
pleted by each participant’s mother and father.

Variables collected in wave 4

vii. Age.

viii. Employment; any participants who had been employed in the previous year.

ix. Marital status; this was defined as “Never married”, “Married”, “Divorced”, “Separated”,
“Widowed”, “Refused”, “Don’t know”.

x. Currently pregnant.

xi. HIV status.

Statistical Analysis

We initially examined the distribution of each health outcome at wave 4 by education status,
using chi square ($\chi^2$) analyses. We then examined the association between education status and
health outcome in two ways. First we used standard multivariable logistic regression, including
potential covariates in the model and accounting for CAPS survey design using appropriate
weighting.[16] Second we repeated these analyses using structural marginal models (SMM)
including inverse probability weighting (IPW) to estimate the controlled direct effects of upper
secondary education on health outcomes. The use of IPW constructs a pseudopopulation in
which the exposure is independent of the factors included in the construction of the weighting.
The weighted regression models in the pseudopopulation can then be used to estimate the
average causal effect of exposure in the original study population.[26]

Here stabilized IPW were constructed including the following covariates: sex, age, ethnicity,
home language, income, whether employed in past year, region of birth, maternal educational
status, marital status, whether currently pregnant and cognitive ability. Paternal education
level was initially included but dropped due to low sample sizes. Prevalence of HIV was consid-
ered for weighting but not included as prevalence within the whole sample was 2%, which
appears too low to be representative given a national prevalence amongst 15–24 year olds of
8.7% in 2008[27], and likely reflects under-reporting and/or attrition of HIV-positive people
from follow-up. SMM were run as logistic regression models, weighted using the stabilized
IPW and including the cluster option for individuals to account for clustering in the longitudi-
nal analysis. All analyses were performed using Stata 14 (StataCorp, College Station TX).

Results

Of 3,439 participants interviewed in wave 4 of CAPS, data on educational attainment were
available for 3,432 (99.8%). Demographic details of the sample and levels of educational attain-
ment are given in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the prevalence of adverse health outcomes within the sample. Table 3 shows
the proportion of each health outcome by educational attainment amongst males and females,
and adjusted odds ratios for health outcomes, using lower secondary education as the reference
group.
The distribution of the following adverse health outcomes varied significantly according to differing level of educational attainment amongst males and females, using chi squared ($\chi^2$) statistic: poor general health; having a health problem or disability; frequency that health interferes with work or study; smoking and illicit drug use and sex under 16. Having any previous pregnancies, pregnancy under the age of 19 and reporting psychological distress, also varied significantly by educational attainment for females.

When adjusted for hypothesized confounders described above using logistic regression, upper secondary education appeared to be protective for a number of adverse health outcomes, with males and females reporting improved general health and less disability or chronic illness. Males also experienced less interference of health on study or work, but not females. Substance use was also less common; males and females were less likely to have smoked in the past 30 days, females were less likely to have drunk alcohol, and males were less likely to have taken illicit drugs. Reproductive and sexual health was also better amongst those receiving upper

---

Table 1. Socio-demographic characteristics by gender amongst participants.

| Demographic Variable                  | Males n = 1559 | Females n = 1873 |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------|
|                                       | % (n)          | % (n)            |
| Mean age at wave 4 (SD)               | 21.5 (2.70)a   | 21.5 (2.54)j    |
| Worked in the past year               | 63.8 (995)     | 51.9 (973)       |
| Currently Pregnant                    | n/a            | 3.4 (63)         |
| Marital Status                        |                |                  |
| Never Married                         | 95.6 (1490)    | 89 (1667)        |
| Married                               | 4.3 (67)       | 10.4 (194)       |
| Separated/Widowed                     | 0              | 0.6 (12)         |
| Refused                               | 0.1 (2)        | 0.0 (0)          |
| Ethnicity                             |                |                  |
| African                               | 44.7 (697)     | 47.7 (894)       |
| Coloured                              | 46.9 (731)     | 45.4 (850)       |
| Indian                                | 0.3 (5)        | 0.3 (6)          |
| White                                 | 8.1 (126)      | 6.6 (123)        |
| Language at home                      |                |                  |
| Xhosa                                 | 43.3 (673)     | 46.5 (871)       |
| Afrikaans                             | 37.7 (586)     | 35.3 (660)       |
| English                               | 18.3 (284)     | 17.5 (327)       |
| Sotho                                 | 0.6 (10)       | 0.5 (9)          |
| Other                                 | 0.1 (2)        | 0.2 (5)          |
| Location of birth                     |                |                  |
| Cape Town                             | 71.2 (1110)    | 67.9 (1271)      |
| Eastern Cape                          | 21.6 (337)     | 23.2 (435)       |
| Other                                 | 7.2 (112)      | 8.9 (167)        |
| Educational Attainment                |                |                  |
| Primary (Grades 1–7)                  | 6.3 (98)       | 3.6 (67)         |
| Lower Secondary (Grades 8–9)          | 21.2 (331)     | 16.8 (315)       |
| Upper Secondary (Grades 10–12)        | 72.5 (1130)    | 79.6 (1,491)     |
| Maternal educationb                   | 38.5 (539)     | 37.8 (640)       |

*mean age of participants (SD);  
*maternal education attainment—completed more than 9 years of education % (n).  

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156883.t001
When comparing those who had completed primary education with those who had completed lower secondary education, females who were less well educated were more likely to have taken illicit drugs in the past 30 days. No association was found with any of the other health outcomes measured.

Table 4 shows odd ratios from structural marginal models for each health outcome, using inverse probability weighting with the covariates described above, using lower secondary as the reference group. We found similar results to our logistic regression output with participants completing upper secondary education reporting improved health on a variety of indicators, particularly amongst males. Upper secondary education was protective against poor general health, having a chronic health problem, and health interfering with work or study amongst males, but not females. Upper secondary education continued to be protective of adverse sexual and reproductive health amongst females in the sample however, who were less likely to have been pregnant, had a teenage pregnancy or had sex under 16. Males were also less likely to have had sex under 16. Smoking cigarettes was also less common amongst the better-educated participants, as was taking illicit drugs amongst males but not females.

When comparing those who had only completed primary education with those completing lower secondary education using structural marginal models, becoming pregnant as a teenager was less likely within those who had only completed primary education. This contrasts to the logistic regression output, where lower secondary education appeared protective, and we feel can be explained through the low sample size of this outcome within primary school educated students.

### Table 4. Prevalence of adverse health outcomes by gender amongst participants.

| Health Outcomes                                      | Males (%(n)) | Females (%(n)) |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| General Health                                       |              |                |
| Describes health as fair or poor                     | 5 (78)       | 4.4 (82)       |
| Reports having health problem / disability          | 4.9 (76)     | 6.1 (115)      |
| Health interferes with study / work occasionally, fairly often, most of the time | 5.5 (85)     | 7.3 (136)      |
| Substance use                                        |              |                |
| Smoked cigarettes in the past 30 days                | 48.8 (760)   | 24 (452)       |
| Consumed alcohol in past 30 days                     | 44.6 (694)   | 22.8 (426)     |
| Consumed illicit drugs in past 30 days               | 8.3 (129)    | 1.2 (23)       |
| Sexual and reproductive health                       |              |                |
| Ever been pregnant / made someone pregnant           | 20.2 (314)   | 43.6 (816)     |
| Pregnancy under the age of 18                        | N/A          | 13.6 (259)     |
| Had first sexual intercourse under 16                | 27 (359)     | 13.2 (222)     |
| Mental Health                                        |              |                |
| Severe / moderate distress on K-6 scale              | 16.3 (254)   | 19.2 (359)     |
| Anthropometry                                        |              |                |
| Obese (BMI >35m²)                                    | 7.7 (116)    | 20.1 (368)     |
| Waist above thresholda                               | 4.9 (74)     | 40.3 (735)     |
| Hypertensionb                                        | 17.0 (257)   | 10.0 (184)     |

* females >88cm, males > 102cm; * systolic blood pressure ≥140mmHg or diastolic blood pressure ≥90mmHg

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156883.t002
Table 3. Health outcomes by educational attainment for males and females, and adjusted * odds ratios using the logistic regression model, with lower secondary education as the reference group.

|                              | % (n)       | Males Odds Ratio* (95% CI) | p   | % (n)       | Females Odds Ratio* (95% CI) | p   |
|------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-----|-------------|-----------------------------|-----|
| Poor/ fair general health    |             |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Primary                      | 6.1 (6)     | 0.81 (0.29–2.28)          | 0.7 | 11.9 (8)    | 1.75 (0.60–5.18)            | 0.3 |
| Lower Secondary              | 8.2 (27)    | 1                         |     | 7.6 (24)    | 1                           |     |
| Upper Secondary              | 4 (45)      | 0.53 (0.29–0.97)          | 0.04| 3.3 (50)    | 0.44 (0.24–0.84)            | 0.01|
| x² p value                   | 0.01        |                           |     |             | <0.001                      |     |
| Report having health problem / disability |             |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Primary                      | 8.2 (8)     | 1.16 (0.46–2.93)          | 0.7 | 14.9 (10)   | 1.44 (0.50–4.17)            | 0.5 |
| Lower Secondary              | 7.6 (25)    | 1                         |     | 9.3 (29)    | 1                           |     |
| Upper Secondary              | 3.8 (43)    | 0.44 (0.24–0.84)          | 0.01| 5.1 (76)    | 0.49 (0.28–0.88)            | 0.02|
| x² p value                   | 0.01        |                           |     |             | <0.001                      |     |
| Health interferes with work / study |             |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Primary                      | 64.3 (63)   | 0.98 (0.57–1.70)          | 0.9 | 38.8 (26)   | 1.26 (0.52–3.06)            | 0.6 |
| Lower Secondary              | 59.5 (197)  | 1                         |     | 35.9 (113)  | 1                           |     |
| Upper Secondary              | 44.3 (500)  | 0.44 (0.32–0.61)          | <0.001| 21 (313)    | 0.39 (0.26–0.59)            | <0.001|
| x² p value                   | <0.001      |                           |     |             | <0.001                      |     |
| Smoked Cigarettes in the past 30 days |             |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Primary                      | 45.9 (45)   | 1.06 (0.63–1.78)          | 0.8 | 26.9 (18)   | 1.31 (0.63–2.73)            | 0.5 |
| Lower Secondary              | 43.6 (144)  | 1                         |     | 22.2 (70)   | 1                           |     |
| Upper Secondary              | 44.8 (505)  | 0.786(0.56–1.04)          | 0.084| 22.7 (338)  | 0.65 (0.45–0.93)            | 0.02|
| x² p value                   | 0.7         |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Consumed Alcohol in the past 30 days |             |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Primary                      | 21.4 (21)   | 1.46 (0.74–2.90)          | 0.3 | 7.5 (5)     | 6.77 (1.45–31.65)           | 0.02|
| Lower Secondary              | 11.2 (37)   | 1                         |     | 1.3 (4)     | 1                           |     |
| Upper Secondary              | 6.3 (71)    | 0.57 (0.35–0.93)          | 0.026| 0.9 (23)    | 0.62 (0.17–2.25)            | 0.5 |
| x² p value                   | <0.001      |                           |     |             | <0.001                      |     |
| Ever been pregnant / made someone pregnant |             |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Primary                      | 19.4 (19)   | 0.62 (0.31–1.23)          | 0.2 | 64.2 (43)   | 0.85 (0.41–1.73)            | 0.6 |
| Lower Secondary              | 23.3 (77)   | 1                         |     | 60.6 (191)  | 1                           |     |
| Upper Secondary              | 19.3 (218)  | 0.76 (0.51–1.15)          | 0.2 | 39.1 (582)  | 0.45 (0.32–0.64)            | <0.001|
| x² p value                   | 0.3         |                           |     |             | <0.001                      |     |
| Pregnancy under the age of 18 |             |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Primary                      | n/a         | n/a                       |     | 26.9(18)    | 0.97 (0.46–2.06)            | 0.9 |
| Lower Secondary              | n/a         | n/a                       |     | 23.5(74)    | 1                           |     |
| Upper Secondary              | n/a         | n/a                       |     | 8.7(129)    | 0.44 (0.31–0.66)            | <0.001|
| x² p value                   | <0.001      |                           |     |             | <0.001                      |     |
| First sexual intercourse under 16 |             |                           |     |             |                             |     |
| Primary                      | 41.1 (37)   | 1.34 (0.74–2.41)          | 0.3 | 27.9 (17)   | 1.15 (0.51–2.58)            | 0.7 |
| Lower Secondary              | 32.4 (94)   | 1                         |     | 22.1 (62)   | 1                           |     |
| Upper Secondary              | 24.3 (228)  | 0.73 (0.51–1.05)          | 0.09| 10.7 (142)  | 0.51 (0.34–0.76)            | 0.001|
| x² p value                   | <0.001      |                           |     |             | <0.001                      |     |

(Continued)
women. No other health outcomes were found to be significant when comparing primary and lower secondary educational attainment.

Obesity, hypertension, high waist circumference and psychological distress were not significantly associated with educational attainment amongst males or females using either the logistic regression or structural marginal models.

Discussion

We found consistent evidence within this longitudinal cohort that continuing education beyond lower secondary school improves a variety of health outcomes for young people. This is the first systematic study of the influence of upper secondary education on broad health outcomes in low and middle-income countries. We found that for young women, upper secondary education was particularly protective against sexual health outcomes, with those continuing to upper secondary being 40 to 60% less likely to have been pregnant, particularly pregnant < 18 years, or started sex before 16 years compared with those who did not. For young men, upper secondary was more broadly protective across general health, substance use and sexual health. These findings were consistent across traditional longitudinal regression and causal modelling analyses, indicating that the effects of education shown here were independent of sex, age, ethnicity, household income, employment, region of birth, language spoken at home, cognition, and level of maternal education. We did not find evidence of protection of upper secondary education on psychological function or cardiometabolic risk factors.

| Table 3. (Continued) |
|----------------------|
| % (n) | Males | % (n) | Females |
| Odds Ratio* (95% CI) | p | Odds Ratio* (95% CI) | p |
| Severe of moderate distress on K-6 Scale | n = 1559 | n = 1365 | n = 1873 | n = 1643 |
| Primary | 23.5 (23) | 1.55 (0.82–2.94) | 0.2 | 26.9 (20) | 1.74 (0.85–3.53) | 0.1 |
| Lower Secondary | 16.6 (55) | 1 | 21.6 (68) | 1 |
| Upper Secondary | 15.6 (176) | 0.92 (0.62–1.37) | 0.7 | 18.2 (271) | 0.80 (0.55–1.14) | 0.2 |
| x² p value | 0.1 | 0.029 |
| Obese (BMI>30m²) | n = 1102 | n = 1294 | n = 1834 | n = 1623 |
| Primary | 7.7 (7) | 1.65 (0.64–4.28) | 0.3 | 25 (16) | 0.99 (0.44–2.26) | 0.9 |
| Lower Secondary | 5.3 (17) | 1 | 18.4 (57) | 1 |
| Upper Secondary | 8.4 (92) | 1.27 (0.70–2.33) | 0.4 | 20.2 (295) | 1.09 (0.75–1.58) | 0.7 |
| x² p value | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Waist above threshold | n = 1511 | n = 1312 | n = 1825 | n = 1615 |
| Primary | 4.49 (4) | 1.85 (0.51–6.73) | 0.4 | 34.4 (22) | 0.55 (0.27–1.12) | 0.1 |
| Lower Secondary | 3.1 (10) | 1 | 39.1 (120) | 1 |
| Upper Secondary | 5.5 (60) | 1.23 (0.53–2.86) | 0.6 | 40.8 (593) | 0.97 (0.72–1.32) | 0.9 |
| x² p value | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Hypertension | n = 1517 | n = 1339 | n = 1842 | n = 1617 |
| Primary | 14.3 (13) | 1.00 (0.46–2.21) | 0.9 | 10.6 (7) | 1.21 (0.42–3.46) | 0.6 |
| Lower Secondary | 15.5 (50) | 1 | 7.7 (24) | 1 |
| Upper Secondary | 17.6 (194) | 1.33 (0.86–2.06) | 0.2 | 10.5 (153) | 1.13 (0.67–1.90) | 0.8 |
| x² p value | 0.5 | 0.3 |

*Odds ratios adjusted for age, ethnic group, language spoken at home, per capita income(log), employment in the past year, region of birth, years of maternal education completed, marital status, whether currently pregnant and standardised numeracy and literacy score.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0156883.t003
| Outcome                                                                 | Males                          | Females                        |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
|                                                                       | Structural Marginal Models OR  | Structural Marginal Models OR  |
|                                                                       | (95% CI)                       | (95% CI)                       |
|                                                                       | p                              | p                              |
| Poor/ fair general health                                             |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 0.66 (0.15–2.9)                | 1.50 (0.33–6.88)               |
|                          n = 1377                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 0.52 (0.29–0.95)               | 0.67 (0.37–1.21)               |
|                          n = 1380                                               |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 0.35 (0.10–1.197)              | 0.71 (0.14–3.60)               |
|                          n = 1380                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 0.49 (0.27–0.88)               | 0.58 (0.33–1.04)               |
|                          n = 1377                                               |                                |                                |
| Health interferes with work / study                                  |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 0.40 (0.13–1.23)               | 0.53 (0.12–2.38)               |
|                          n = 1377                                               |                                |                                |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 0.54 (0.29–0.99)               | 0.71 (0.42–1.21)               |
|                          n = 1379                                               |                                |                                |
| Smoked Cigarettes in the past 30 days                                 |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 1.44 (0.53–3.88)               | 2.4 (0.51–11.36)               |
|                          n = 1377                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 0.52 (0.38–0.70)               | 0.56 (0.41–0.76)               |
|                          n = 1377                                               | <0.001                         | <0.001                         |
| Consumed Alcohol in the past 30 days                                  |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 1.08 (0.40–2.90)               | 0.31 (0.08–1.19)               |
|                          n = 1377                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 1.01 (0.75–1.37)               | 0.89 (0.64–1.27)               |
|                          n = 1380                                               |                                |                                |
| Taken illicit drugs in the past 30 days                               |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 0.75 (0.31–1.81)               | 1.20 (0.16–8.92)               |
|                          n = 1380                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 0.60 (0.38–0.96)               | 0.82 (0.26–2.63)               |
|                          n = 1379                                               |                                |                                |
| Ever been pregnant / made someone pregnant                            |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 0.58 (0.22–1.57)               | 0.67 (0.12–3.54)               |
|                          n = 1377                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 0.85 (0.60–1.20)               | 0.45 (0.33–0.61)               |
|                          n = 1380                                               |                                | <0.001                         |
| Pregnancy under the age of 18                                        |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | n/a                            | 0.22 (0.06–0.76)               |
|                          n = 1377                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | n/a                            | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | n/a                            | 0.32 (0.22–0.46)               |
|                          n = 1380                                               |                                | <0.001                         |
| First sexual intercourse under 16                                     |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 0.68 (0.27–1.69)               | 0.36 (0.09–1.43)               |
|                          n = 1161                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 0.63 (0.44–0.91)               | 0.39 (0.26–0.58)               |
|                          n = 1390                                               |                                | <0.001                         |
| Severe or moderate distress on K6                                      |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 0.694 (0.27–1.79)              | 0.57 (0.16–2.01)               |
|                          n = 1390                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 0.94 (0.62–1.41)               | 0.71 (0.48–1.04)               |
|                          n = 1343                                               |                                |                                |
| Obese (BMI>35m²)                                                      |                                |                                |
| Primary                                                               | 2.85 (0.51–16.02)              | 0.50 (0.11–2.21)               |
|                          n = 1343                                               |                                |                                |
| Lower Secondary                                                      | 1                              | 1                              |
| Upper Secondary                                                       | 1.39 (0.77–2.52)               | 0.89 (0.60–1.31)               |
| (Continued)
Comparison with the literature

Our findings of a reduction in adolescent fertility, early sexual debut and teenage pregnancy among secondary educated females are consistent with other studies in similar settings within sub Saharan Africa. Mahy[12] and colleagues found secondary education to exert a greater protective effect than primary school on early marriage, early sexual debut and teenage pregnancy. Bongaarts[13] and colleagues showed that teenage fertility and desired family size decreased, and contraception use increased, amongst secondary school educated females compared with those who were primary educated only. They suggest education provides greater autonomy within sexual relationships and better knowledge of sexual risk and how to reduce it.[28]

Studies from high-income countries have reported a particular beneficial effect of upper secondary education with regard to self reported health amongst females.[3] In contrast, we found general health to be improved in males but in females this association was significant in the adjusted regression models but not the SMM.

We found upper secondary education to be protective of any cigarette smoking for males and females, which is consistent with other studies in comparable countries. Using data from the World Health Survey comparing smoking rates amongst those primary, secondary and tertiary educated, Hosseinpoor and colleagues[29] found a steep protective gradient as education increased in low and middle-income countries. Completing nine or more years of education was also associated with reduced risk of smoking in one study in Brazil.[30]

We also found better educated males to be less likely to have taken illicit drugs, which is consistent with previous studies undertaken in high-income countries[31, 32], where the vast majority of research in the area takes place despite the substantial burden of substance use in low and middle-income countries.[33] We were unable to find evidence to support this association in comparable settings, and this should be a focus of future research.

We found no association between educational attainment and cardiometabolic risk factors including obesity, high waist circumference and hypertension. This may reflect relatively low prevalence of risk factors in young adults. The only other studies to have examined this association have been in high income countries [34] and shown only modest associations.[3]

In contrast to studies in high-income countries[3] we found no association with secondary education and mental health or alcohol use. We used a score of 5 or more on the K-6 scale to denote moderate psychological distress.[19] A score of 13 has been more widely validated to
denote severe distress [20, 21] but using this threshold we would only pick up psychological distress in 2.7% of our sample. Using the full 10-point Kessler scale, or a more detailed assessment of mental health, may have better identified participants with mental health difficulties, and given more confidence in interpreting our findings. Our analysis may have also lacked specificity in identifying alcohol problems among participants, as we included any consumption in the past 30 days as an outcome, which will include both minimal and problem drinking. No information was collected with regard to alcohol misuse, dependency, or age at which participants started drinking.

Limitations
We used longitudinal data from a population-based South African cohort with high retention from early adolescence to young adulthood. Missing data for our exposure variable, education, were minimal. We used both adjusted logistic regression and SMM causal modelling techniques, the latter ensuring that our estimates of the effects of upper secondary education were independent of a very wide range of potential confounders. We studied a wide range of health outcomes and undertook analyses separately by sex.

Our data were subject to a number of limitations. The outcomes we were able to study were limited by data collected in the surveys, leading to limitations in mental health and substance misuse data as noted above. They also predominantly relied on participants’ subjective interpretation of their health and well-being and may be subject to error. Whilst we used causal modelling techniques, which ensured our findings were independent of all included confounders, we cannot exclude unmeasured confounding nor that an unmeasured common factor was associated with both education and health.

When considering the applicability of our results to other middle-income countries, it is also important to recognise that CAPS was conceived during a period of rapid social, political and economic change in South Africa following the end of apartheid. Although other middle and low-income countries have recently experienced considerable upheaval, those of South Africa are likely to be unique and this should be acknowledged when interpreting our results.

Conclusion
Our findings are strongly suggestive that higher levels of education provide health benefits additional to those clearly established for lower (e.g. primary) education levels. Whilst causality cannot be assumed, these data add to arguments for countries to extend education to include upper secondary education. The United Nations Sustainable Development Goals include the aim to provide free secondary education for all and tertiary education that is affordable by 2030.[35] This study adds to the growing body of evidence that to do so will improve health outcomes and behaviours within middle-income countries.
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