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Abstract

Background: In 2017, China launched a new round of medical reform (NMR) to solve the problems of "expensive and difficult to use drugs" for patients. The expensive and high-value therapeutic monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) have become the focus of attention. Promoting the availability and affordability of mAbs is an important way to improve the quality of life and health equity of patients.

Methods: We used a standard method developed by the World Health Organization to conduct two surveys on mAbs’ availability and price before and after the NMR in the public general hospitals in Hubei province. By interviewing experts from these hospital pharmacies, we identified the factors that contributed to low accessibility and determined the effectiveness of NMR.

Results: After the NMR, we found the average availability of 13 mAbs increased by 6.7% in the survey hospitals of Hubei Province. The median per unit price of 10 mAbs dropped by 34.3%. The average cost of a treatment cycle of 10 mAbs dropped from an equivalent of 529 days to 151 days of daily disposable income for a middle-income resident. Only trastuzumab and bevacizumab showed a significant decrease in price and a significant increase in availability, simultaneously. High price, lack of clinical demand and hospital drug procurement list, low income of patients, limited coverage and reimbursement of National Basic Medical Insurance (NBMI) for mAbs, and lack of incentives for generic mAbs were the main obstacles to the accessibility of mAbs. The drug negotiation mechanism of NBMI and the promotion of consistency evaluation of generic and original drugs can effectively promote the accessibility of mAbs.

Conclusions: The availability and affordability of mAbs in Hubei Province have improved significantly, but still at a low level. There is currently no synergy between
the policies of the NMR to promote the accessibility of the mAbs. In the future, we should implement R & D incentives for high-quality mAbs and generics, conduct medication evaluation and education of therapeutic mAbs, and design a special medical insurance payment method.
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Introduction

Monoclonal antibody (mAb) refers to an immunoglobulin that binds to a specific epitope on an antigen to exert its immunological effect [1]. In 1986, the first therapeutic mAb Orthoclone OKT3 was approved by the US FDA for the prevention of renal transplant rejection. Since then, the development of therapeutic mAbs has flourished into powerful human biological products for providing new and effective therapies for cancer, autoimmune, cardiovascular and infectious diseases, etc [2]. Human mAbs also began to be used as candidate therapeutics against viruses, such as SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and Ebola virus [3]. According to the China’s Diagnosis and Treatment Guideline for COVID-19 pneumonia (seventh edition), tocilizumab is used to suppress cytokine storms [4]. With the research advances, highly specific and safe human MAbs have been applied in the treatment [5]. MAb drugs have shown very important therapeutic value in the treatment of human life-threatening diseases.

The approval rate and sales of mAbs have increased dramatically in the market [6]. From 1986 to May 2017, the United States and Europe approved a total of 76 therapeutic mAbs for commercial sales [7]. In 2017, the global sales revenue of all mAb drugs totaled 123.3 billion USD, accounting for 65.6% of total global biopharmaceutical sales. MAbs have become a single product category with the highest market potential.[8]Between 2014 and 2017, adalimumab, infliximab, rituximab,
trastuzumab, and bevacizumab achieved sales of $62.6 billion, $35.6 billion, $29.1 billion, $27.1 billion, and $27 billion USD, respectively, and the five drugs are all among the top 10 best-selling drugs in the world [8]. In 2002, Wuhan Institute of Biological Products Co., Ltd. launched China's first mAb drug, mouse mAb against human CD3 antigen of T lymphocyte. By 2014, the market size of therapeutic mAbs in China reached ¥5.034 billion CNY (USD 0.823 billion).

However, patients in China still do not access to all the mAb drugs available elsewhere and the most appropriate medication. Rong Jiang’s research showed that only 11 of 83 innovative mAb drugs marketed in other countries were also launched in China, indicating a huge drug lag compared to other developed countries in 2017 [9]. Xu et al. performed an overall analysis of drug use from 2014 to 2016 in sample hospitals in 22 cities (regions) in China and also found no mAb ranked among the top ten drugs in terms of sales [10]. In addition, although most therapeutic mAbs have significant clinical value, most are also expensive. For example, the price of adalimumab (Humira, 40 mg/0.4ml) is approximately USD 5490 per pen injection kit for two ea [11]. China is also facing with the problem of high drug prices. Financial unaffordability is equivalent to no drug available to the patient.

In order to improve the availability and affordability of high-value drugs for patients in China, the State Council issued the “Thirteenth Five-Year Plan for deepening the medical reforms” on January 9, 2017. A series of reform measures closely related to the accessibility of therapeutic mAbs were proposed, including zero-markup on drug prices in public hospitals, limits on the proportions of drug expenditure to total medical expenditure in public hospitals, and a “two-invoice restriction system” from the pharmaceutical factory to public hospital, acceleration of the review and approval of new drugs against malignant tumors and rare diseases, the consistency evaluation
policy of generic drug and corresponding original drug, and the price negotiation and
centralized procurement policies for drugs covered by NBMI [12].

In 2016, China's pharmaceutical retail market sales totaled ¥1.081 trillion CNY,
among which the pharmaceutical procurement by medical institutions totaled ¥767.3
billion CNY, accounting for 71.0% of the pharmaceutical retail sales, suggesting that
medical institutions are the main channel for drug supply in China [13]. To understand
the impact of the NMR on the availability and affordability of therapeutic mAbs
marketed in China, we took 2017 as the dividing point and surveyed changes in the
availability and affordability of these mAbs in Hubei public hospitals between May
2016 and November 2018. We also explored the influencing factors that contributed to
low accessibility and evaluated the value of NMR policies for increasing the availability
and affordability of the mAbs.

Methods
Sampling
Hubei province is located in central China with 17 prefecture-level cities. In 2018,
Hubei province had a population of 59.17 million, a GDP per capita of ¥66,531 CNY
(compared to the national average of ¥64,644 CNY), and an annual per capita
disposable income per household of ¥25,815 CNY (compared to a national average of
¥28,166 CNY), making it a province with economic development slightly higher than
average [14]. Taken into account the differences in geographical location and economic
levels of cities in Hubei province, the surveyed hospitals were selected from prefecture-
level cities of three distinct economic levels from five locations: East, south, west, north,
and central. In each city, secondary and tertiary public general hospitals were
investigated. China's primary hospitals mainly provide essential drugs and do not cover
therapeutic mAbs, and therefore they were not selected. For the selection of secondary
and tertiary hospitals in each city, all hospitals were surveyed in cities with fewer than four public general hospitals. In cities with more than four public general hospitals, all hospitals were enumerated, and four secondary and tertiary general hospitals were randomly selected.

In May 2016, we selected five prefecture-level cities at three economic levels: Wuhan, Yichang, Huanggang, Xiangyang, and Jingzhou. We identified 15 secondary hospitals and 17 tertiary hospitals, for a total of 32 hospitals. In November 2018, to ensure that the collected data can fully represent the status of the hospitals in Hubei province, we selected eight prefecture-level cities, namely Wuhan, Yichang, Huanggang, Xiangyang, Jingzhou, Xianning, Suizhou, and Enshi, and identified 24 secondary hospitals and 30 tertiary hospitals, for a total of 54 hospitals. Information on the economic and demographic characteristics of eight cities was summarized in the additional file1.

**Investigated drugs**

As of March 2016, 13 mAb drugs (excluding fusion protein antibody drugs) were approved by the NMPA of China for marketing [15]. Table 1 lists the general information on the indications, targets, and supply sources of the 13 mAbs [16]. Each mAb drug was investigated for the availability and prices of the original brand-name drug and generic drug in the hospital. Among the available generic drugs, we selected the generic drug with the lowest price in the hospitals surveyed.

**Data collection and statistical analysis**

A semi-structured questionnaire on therapeutic mAbs was designed according to the WHO / HAI Drug Standard Survey Method, including information on the availability and price of the original drug and the lowest-priced generic drug [17]. The questionnaire was distributed to the person in charge at the hospital pharmacy by mail or by email.
The questionnaire was completed by a pharmacist with a senior technical title. The survey data were collected from May to June 2016 and from November to December 2018.

The evaluation criteria for drug availability and affordability were designed according to the WHO/HAI Drug Standard Survey Method. The standard for evaluating drug availability is the ratio of the number of medical institutions equipped with the drug to the total number of medical institutions surveyed, i.e., drug availability = Number of medical institutions equipped with the drug/Total number of medical institutions surveyed × 100%. The following criteria from the WHO were used to describe the availability of therapeutic mAbs [18]:

- Absent (0% of hospitals): not found in any hospital surveyed;
- Very low (< 30% of hospitals): very difficult to find;
- Low (30–49% of hospitals): somewhat difficult to find;
- Fair high (50–80% of hospitals): available in many hospitals;
- Very high (>80% of hospitals): good availability.

The standard for assessing the affordability of medicines is the standard cost of drugs for a course of treatment and its equivalence to multiples of the minimum daily wage of non-technical government workers, that is, the affordability of medicines is equivalent to the cost of medicines for a course equivalent to the cumulative number of days at minimum daily wages for non-technical government workers. Since there is no statistical data on the minimum daily wage of non-technical government workers in Hubei Province, our study uses the average daily disposable income of urban and rural residents in Hubei Province instead of the minimum daily wage of non-technical workers [19]. Therefore, if the cost of one course of treatment using therapeutic mAbs does not exceed the daily disposable income of the patient, the drug is considered to be
affordable (see Formula 1).

Affordability of drug = (Defined daily dose of the drug × retail unit price of the drug × days per treatment course) ÷ average disposable income. (Formula 1)

Defined daily dose (DDD) refers to the standard dose measurement unit of an average daily maintenance dose for adults with major indications. The DDD values of some mAbs in the study were reported by the WHO [20]. The DDD values of some other mAbs were not found in the WHO DDD index. According to the recommended dosage of the corresponding drug package, we calculated the maintenance dose for a 70 kg adult and determined the course of treatment according to the instructions of the drugs [21]. The course of treatment was defined based on WHO regulations: seven days for acute symptoms, and 30 days for chronic symptoms [17]. The retail unit price of a drug is the median retail price per unit of a mAb sold by the hospitals surveyed. In this study, the median affordability value of a drug can be calculated by the retail price of the drug collected from two hospitals or more. In 2016, the per capita daily disposable income of Hubei residents was ¥59.7 CNY, compared with ¥70.7 CNY in 2018 [14]. We then used the Chi-Square test to calculate the significance of the difference in the availability and price distribution of 13 mAbs before and after the NMR, respectively.

Results

General information on selected hospitals

A total of 28 general hospitals surveyed in 2016 sent back the completed questionnaire (the response rate was 87.5%), which comprised 11 secondary hospitals and 17 tertiary hospitals. Of the 54 general hospitals surveyed in 2018, a total of 50 sent back the completed questionnaire (response rate was 92.5%), including 20 secondary hospitals and 30 tertiary hospitals.
Changes in the availability of therapeutic mAbs after the NMR

Table 2 presents the changes in the availability of 13 mAbs in the general hospitals of Hubei province before and after the NMR. Of 13 mAbs, 11 were available and two were unavailable before and after NMR. After the NMR, the average availability of 13 mAbs was increased by 6.7%, from 10.4% in 2016 to 17.1% in 2018 and was still at the very low level. The availability of rituximab, trastuzumab, bevacizumab was increased by greater than 10%.

In 2016, the availability of 13 mAbs was less than 30%. In 2018, there were three drugs with an availability of more than 40%, namely adalimumab, tozumab, and rituximab. The availability of trastuzumab and bevacizumab showed significant increase by 24.6% ($\chi^2 = 4.6, \text{df}=1, P = 0.031$) and 29.3% ($\chi^2 = 7.4, \text{df}=1, P = 0.007$), respectively. However, four Chinese domestic mAbs before and after the NMR showed unavailability or very low availability (1.8% in 2016 versus 3% in 2018). (see additional file2)

Changes in the price level of therapeutic mAbs after the NMR

The median retail price of 10 mAbs available in 2016 in the 28 hospitals was ¥7199.5 CNY, and the median unit price was ¥581.8 CNY / mg (Table 3). Among them, the drug with the highest unit price was ranibizumab, reaching a price as high as ¥4877.8 CNY / mg, followed by basiliximab and adalimumab. In 2018, the median retail price of 10 mAbs in 50 hospitals was ¥4303.0 CNY, and the median unit price was ¥362.8 CNY / mg, with a decrease of ¥2896.5 CNY and ¥219 CNY / mg, respectively. The prices of the ten drugs were dropped by 34.3% overall.

After the NMR, unit prices of six drugs have all fallen by more than 40%, including cetuximab (70.0%), trastuzumab (66.9%), bevacizumab (63.4%), nimotuzumab (51.3%), ranibizumab (41.6%), and rituximab (40.1%). But the prices for the other four
mAbs, namely adalimumab, infliximab, tocilizumab, and basiliximab, have only fallen by less than 5%. Chi-Square tests showed the unit price distribution of cetuximab ($\chi^2 = 11.6$, df = 5, $p = 0.041$), rituximab ($\chi^2 = 27.3$, df = 9, $p = 0.001$), trastuzumab ($\chi^2 = 25.3$, df = 7, $p = 0.001$), bevacizumab ($\chi^2 = 18.7$, df = 5, $p = 0.002$) and ranibizumab ($\chi^2 = 18.0$, df = 5, $p = 0.003$) has dropped significantly before and after the NMR. (see additional file3)

Changes in the affordability of therapeutic mAbs after the NMR

We calculated the affordability of 10 mAbs available in Hubei general hospitals in 2016 and in 2018 (Table 4). The average cost per course of treatment for the 10 mAb drugs available in general hospitals in 2016 was ¥40,583.6 CNY. The cost of one treatment cycle is equivalent to 680 days of daily disposable income for a middle-income resident in Hubei province. After the NMR, the average cost each course and the number of burden days of these mAbs were decreased by ¥18,550.5 CNY and 382 days, respectively, to ¥21093.0 CNY and 298 days. This demonstrated a significant decrease in drug spending. In particular, the number of burden days of rituximab and cetuximab was reduced by 1135 days and 1028 days, respectively. However, the treatment costs of the mAbs were still very high. For example, the treatment cost of rituximab could be as high as ¥81,798.8 CNY, which is 1157 days of daily disposable income for a middle-income resident in Hubei province.

After the NMR, in addition to basiliximab, five new mAbs were included in the Class B drugs list of basic medical insurance in Hubei province through the national drug negotiation mechanism, covering rituximab, trastuzumab, bevacizumab, nimotuzumab, and ranibizumab. At present, the actual compensation ratio for the Class B drug in China is generally around 70% [22]. Therefore, the out-of-pocket ratio of the six mAbs covered by our study that fall under the Class B list was set at 30%. Our
results showed that after the costs of the six mAbs were reimbursed by medical insurance, the average actual out-of-pocket cost was ¥7,467 CNY, which was reduced by ¥17,424.3 CNY compared with the pre-reimbursement cost. The average number of burden days of the six mAbs after medical insurance reimbursement was 106 days, which was 246 days less than that before the NMR. (see additional file4)

After insurance reimbursement, the average burden days for one course of treatment with 10 therapeutic mAbs was 151 days, which was 529 days less than that before the NMR. Among these, the number of burden days for one course of treatment was reduced by total 1945 days for rituximab and 1123 days for bevacizumab.

**Barriers to the accessibility of mAb drugs after NMR**

As shown in Tables 2 and 4, although the availability and affordability of mAbs were improved following the NMR, they were still at low level. Figure 1 shows the recognition rates of pharmacy experts from the 50 surveyed hospitals on different barriers that affect the availability and affordability of 13 mAbs. The top five barriers to the availability of 13 mAbs were high price, low clinical demand, no drug procurement list in hospitals, low patient income, restrictions of drug use in the clinical departments and lack of suppliers. Together, these five factors accounted for 57.2% of the total responses.

China's independent research and development of four mAbs showed very low availability. Compared with imported mAbs, the following three obstacles are greater for the domestic drugs, including low clinical demand, no drug procurement list in hospitals, not included in the drug centralized bidding platform of public hospitals. However, imported mAbs show more obstacles in logistics and distribution than domestic mAbs. (see additional file5)
The surveyed pharmacy experts believed that the following top five reasons contributed to the unaffordability of mAbs among the general patient population. They were low income of patients and their families, limited coverage and reimbursement of NBMI for therapeutic mAbs, lack of national incentive policies for generic mAbs, lack of channels for hospitals to apply for drug donations, lack of enthusiasm of pharmaceutical companies for research and development (R & D) and production of therapeutic mAbs, and lack of national price reduction mechanism for mAbs. These five factors collectively accounted for 83.9% of the total responses.

**Effectiveness of the NMR in promoting the accessibility of therapeutic mAbs**

Figure 2 shows the opinions of 50 surveyed pharmacy experts on the effectiveness of six NMR policies to promote the accessibility of therapeutic mAbs. The experts rated “four” for the impacts of drug price negotiation mechanism of the NBMI and the consistency evaluation of generic and original drug on the availability and affordability of mAbs, suggesting that these policies were “useful”. The policy of accelerating the review and approval of new drugs was also useful for promoting the availability of mAb drugs (median value of the impact = 4). The zero-markup policy for public hospital drugs showed some effect on promoting the affordability of mAb drugs. Other new medical reform policies showed a somewhat useful impact on promoting mAb availability. In general, the median impact index of the six NMR policies on the availability and affordability of therapeutic mAbs were 3.5 and 3.0, respectively. More than 60% of experts believed that promoting drug price negotiations and evaluating the consistency of generic and original drugs could effectively improve the accessibility of therapeutic mAbs. (see additional file6)

Experts particularly pointed out that the current implementation of the zero-markup policy for drug pricing and the drug cost proportional control policy in public hospitals
have made mAbs with high prices and low settlement rates of medical insurance fund show no benefits but increased the risk of management costs and control fees. Therefore, even if the mAbs are included in the medical insurance catalog, they are still not guaranteed for clinical use in hospitals.

Discussion

China launched the “Thirteenth Five-Year Plan” for new medical and health system reforms in 2017. For the first time, our research reported the real-world impact of these reform policies on the availability and affordability of high-priced therapeutic mAbs in the general public hospitals. Our results have suggested the following findings:

After the NMR, the availability of therapeutic mAbs in China in Hubei general hospitals was improved, but the overall availability was still limited. The 13 mAbs available on the Chinese market in 2016 had an average increase of over 6% in availability in 2018. Of these drugs, the availability of trastuzumab and bevacizumab was significantly increased. Among them, the availability of domestic mAbs was particularly low.

After the NMR, the average per unit median price of the 10 mAbs available in 2016 was decreased by 34.3%. Of these, the unit price of the three mAbs have shown a substantial and significant decline, falling by 70.0% for cetuximab, 66.9% for trastuzumab, and 63.4% for bevacizumab, respectively. However, the unit prices of other four mAbs were only decreased by less than 5%.

After the NMR, the cost of a single treatment course for the 10 mAbs listed in 2016 was equivalent to 151 days (6 to 350 days) of the daily disposable income of middle-income patients, and the average burden days was reduced by 529 days (17 – 1945 days) following the NMR. The ten mAbs were largely still not affordable in 2018.

Hospital pharmacy experts believed that the main obstacles affecting the
accessibility of mAbs in Hubei general hospitals were high prices, low clinical demand, and no drug procurement catalog in hospitals. The main obstacles affecting the affordability of mAbs were low household income of patients, limited coverage and reimbursement of the NBMI for these mAbs, and the lack of a national incentive policy for generic mAbs. With NMR, the drug negotiation mechanism of NBMI and the promotion of consistency evaluation of generic and original drugs can increase the availability and affordability of therapeutic mAbs effectively. Unexpectedly, the combination of the zero-markup policy for drug pricing and the drug cost proportional control policy has shown a negative effect on the availability of mAbs in public hospitals.

Furthermore, how do the mAbs differ from other types of drugs in terms of availability, affordability, and barriers? In 2013, Xi et al. performed a survey on 50 original brand-name essential drugs and generic essential drugs in the secondary and tertiary hospitals of Jiangsu Province and found that the availability rates were 36.8% and 32.6%, respectively. The average monthly treatment cost of 50 essential drugs was equivalent to 1.3 days of wages for non-technical government workers. Most generic drugs used for standard treatments were affordable, and this affordability was attributed to the improvement in living standards and comprehensive medical insurance coverage of Jiangsu residents [23]. Obviously, compared with mAbs, the availability of essential drugs was about twice as high, and affordability was 100 times higher. For low-priced essential drugs, pharmaceutical companies, hospitals, and doctors are not willing to promote and use them [23]. However, the essential drug policy enforced by the Chinese government guarantees the availability and affordability of essential drugs, with approaches including high coverage and reimbursement requirements of medical insurance, restrictions on the maximum retail price, and the release of essential drug list
and clinical guidelines. In contrast, mAbs are expensive, with low levels of coverage and reimbursement in medical insurance and primitive guidelines of clinical use. These factors have greatly limited the hospital use and accessibility of mAb drugs.

In 2016, Liu et al. found that fast-acting insulin, long-acting insulin, and pre-mixed insulin were highly accessible at rates of 70%, 80%, and 90%, respectively, among the 30 public hospitals in Wuhan and five other cities in Hubei Province. The average cost of a month of treatment for patients receiving insulin equated to 4-16 days of wages, indicating a low affordability. The authors believed that the high price of insulin may impose a heavy financial burden on patients. Also, the reimbursement of NBMI was very limited, and the copay rate for their own medicines was still high. Studies have shown that less than 14% of the direct costs of drugs dispensed through outpatient outlets were covered patients’ medical insurance [24]. Compared with mAbs, the availability of insulin for treating common diseases of diabetes was about 4 times higher and the affordability was about 10 times higher. Rather, people have mainly expressed concerns about the unaffordability of insulin. Although in the past 10 years, more than half of the top ten drugs sold worldwide were mAbs, the clinical application of mAbs in China is still limited [8,25]. In this study, our results showed that there were other factors besides the obstacles mentioned above, such as the lack of evidence-based medical investigations of mAbs, the lack of guidelines for the clinical use of mAbs, the lack of training of the standardized use of mAb for doctors, limited awareness of doctors, and lack of scientific and technological exchanges and technical support for mAbs. These factors represent important differences in accessibility between commonly used drugs and mAbs.

Conclusions

After the NMR, the availability and affordability of therapeutic mAbs in Hubei
province have improved significantly, but the levels are still low. There seems to be no synergy between these reform policies to promote the availability and affordability of the mAbs in the public hospitals. Therefore, in order to optimize the NMR policy system and further increase the accessibility of mAbs, it is necessary to take the following measures, including substantially promoting the technological advancement of research and production for high-quality mAbs and generics, strengthening evidence-based pharmacoeconomical evaluation of mAbs in the Chinese population, establishing clinical treatment guidelines of mAbs, and increasing the training of doctors on mAbs use and the level of medical insurance payment for mAbs.

**Limitations**

To exactly fulfill the WHO's survey requirements on the availability and affordability of medicines, the survey should further include regional public hospitals and private retail pharmacies. In 2016, 71% of China's drug sales came from medical institutions. Due to the high price of therapeutic mAbs and no settlement of medical insurance fund in retail pharmacies, it is highly risky for private retail pharmacies to maintain the supply of therapeutic mAbs, and relatively few retail pharmacies provide the drugs based on the results of our preliminary survey in Wuhan city in 2016. Therefore, our study only conducted data surveys in public hospitals and did not investigate private retail pharmacies. As a result, the mAb availability can be biased in Hubei Province.

Also, this study applied the disposable income *per capita* of residents instead of the minimum daily wage of non-technical government workers to calculate drug affordability. Since the disposable income *per capita* of average residents is generally lower than the minimum daily wage of non-technical government workers, the affordability of therapeutic mAbs could be under-estimated.

Moreover, information on the availability and price of medicines was only collected
on the day of the survey at each hospital, and thus cannot reflect the average monthly 
or yearly availability of mAbs and their prices.

Finally, the position of the people surveyed may bias the analysis of the reasons for 
the unavailability and unaffordability of mAbs and the determination of the contribution 
of the NMR. The experts surveyed were selected only from the pharmacies of general 
hospitals in the Hubei province, excluding pharmaceutical companies, medical 
insurance and government agencies.

List of abbreviations

Defined daily dose (DDD)
Lowest-price generics (LPGs)
Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs)
Mann-Whitney U test (MW UTest)
New medical reform (NMR)
National Basic Medical Insurance (NBMI)
Health Action International (HAI)
Originator brands (OBs)
Research and development (R & D)
World Health Organization (WHO)

References:

1. Posner J, Barrington P, Brier T, Datta-Mannan A. Monoclonal antibodies: past, present and 
future. Handb Exp Pharmacol. 2019;260:81-141.
2. Leavy O. Therapeutic antibodies: past, present and future. NAT REV IMMUNOL. 
2010;10:297.
3. Jin Y, Lei C, Hu D, Dimitrov DS, Ying T. Human monoclonal antibodies as candidate
therapeutics against emerging viruses. Front Med. 2017;11:462-470.

4. National Health Commission of China. Diagnosis and treatment guideline for covid-19 pneumonia (seventh edition). Accessed March, 14 2020.

http://www.nhc.gov.cn/xcs/zhengcwj/202003/46c9294a7dfe4cde4ce80dc7f5912eb1989.shtml.

5. Singh S, Kumar NK, Dwiwedi P, Charan J, Kaur R, Sidhu P, Chugh VK. Monoclonal antibodies: a review. Curr Clin Pharmacol. 2018;13:85-99.

6. Ecker DM, Jones SD, Levine HL. The therapeutic monoclonal antibody market. MABS-AUSTIN. 2015;7:9-14.

7. ACTIP. Monoclonal antibodies approved by the ema and fda for therapeutic use. Accessed November, 23 2019. http://www.actip.org/products/monoclonal-antibodies-approved-by-the-ema-and-fda-for-therapeutic-use/.

8. Walsh G. Biopharmaceutical benchmarks 2018. NAT BIOTECHNOL. 2018;36:1136-1145.

9. Jiang R, Sun Y, Ung C, Dong X, Kong X, Hu Y, Shao R. Research and development of mab drugs in china: a look from policy perspectives. HUM VACC IMMUNOTHER. 2019;15:2695-2705.

10. Peihong X, Rongfu G. Trends of chinese pharmaceutical market based on current medication status in hospitals. World clinical drugs. 2017;38:68-72.

11. PharmacyChecker. Com U.S. Humira price. Accessed November, 26 2019. https://www.pharmacychecker.com/humira/.

12. The State Council of China. "thirteenth five-year plan" for deepening the medical reforms. Accessed September, 01 2019. http://www.gov.cn/zhengce/content/2017-01/09/content_5158053.htm.

13. Ministry Of Commerce of China. Statistical analysis report on the operation of china's pharmaceutical distribution industry in 2016. Accessed September, 01 2019. http://images.mofcom.gov.cn/www/201706/20170614162924487.pdf.

14. National Bureau Of Statistics of China: 2019 china household survey main data. Beijing, China, China Statistics Press, 2019.

15. National Medical Products Administration of China. Drug search database. Accessed September, 30 2018.
16. Zhang M, Li J, Hu H, Wang Y. Seizing the strategic opportunities of emerging technologies by building up innovation system: monoclonal antibody development in China. HEALTH POLICY SY. 2015;13

17. World Health Organization And Health Action International. Measuring medicine prices, availability, affordability and price components, 2nd edition. Accessed January, 01 2016. http://www.haiweb.org/medicineprices/manual/documents.html.

18. Mendis S, Fukino K, Cameron A, Laing R, Filipe AJ, Khatib O, Leowski J, Ewen M. The availability and affordability of selected essential medicines for chronic diseases in six low- and middle-income countries. Bull World Health Organ. 2007;85:279-288.

19. Yang H, Dib HH, Zhu M, Qi G, Zhang X. Prices, availability and affordability of essential medicines in rural areas of Hubei Province, China. HEALTH POLICY PLANN. 2010;25:219-229.

20. World Health Organization. Atc/ddd index 2019. Accessed April, 01 2019. https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_index/.

21. World Health Organization. Who collaborating centre for drug statistics methodology. Accessed January 01 2019. https://www.whocc.no/atc_ddd_methodology/who_collaborating_centre/.

22. Jiang X, Wang Y, Xu W, Yang S. Comparison of special drug negotiation mechanism under medical insurance in 3 provinces. Chinese Health Economics. 2017;36:40-42.

23. Xi X, Li W, Li J, Zhu X, Fu C, Wei X, Chu S. A survey of the availability, prices and affordability of essential medicines in Jiangsu Province, China. BMC HEALTH SERV RES. 2015;15:345.

24. Liu C, Zhang X, Liu C, Ewen M, Zhang Z, Liu G. Insulin prices, availability and affordability: a cross-sectional survey of pharmacies in Hubei Province, China. BMC HEALTH SERV RES. 2017;17:597.

25. Walsh G. Biopharmaceutical benchmarks 2010. NAT BIOTECHNOL. 2010;28:917-924.
Main barriers to availability and affordability of 13 therapeutic mAbs
Figure 2

Main barriers to availability and affordability of 13 therapeutic mAbs

Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- Tables.pdf
- AdditionalFiles.pdf
- Tables.pdf
- AdditionalFiles.pdf