The Role of Dorsal Anterior Cingulate Cortex in the Regulation of Craving by Reappraisal in Smokers
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Abstract

Rationale and Objective: Drug cues can induce craving for drugs of abuse. Dysfunctional regulation of emotion and motivation regarding rewarding objects appears to be an integral part of addiction. It has been found that cognitive strategies decreased the intensity of craving in addicts. Reappraisal strategy is a type of cognitive strategy that requires participants to reinterpret the meaning of an emotional situation. In addition, studies have found that activation of the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) is associated with the selection and application of cognitive reappraisal. In present study, we sought to determine whether such cognitive regulation engages the dACC and improves inhibition of craving in smokers.

Methods: Sixteen smokers underwent functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) during performance of a cigarette reward-conditioning procedure with cognitive reappraisal. We focused our analyses on the dACC as a key structure of cognitive control of craving. Cue induced craving under different conditions was obtained. Correlational analysis between the functional response in the dACC and the subjective craving was performed.

Results: We found that using a cognitive reappraisal was successful in decreasing the conditioned craving. Right dACC (BA 24/32) engaged in the cognitive reappraisal. In addition, the individual’s subjective craving was negatively correlated with the right dACC activation.

Conclusions: These findings suggest that the dACC are important substrates of Inhibition of cue induced craving in smokers. Cognitive regulation by cognitive reappraisal may help addicted individuals avoid the anticipated situations where they are exposed to conditioned cues.

Introduction

The presence of cigarette-related cues has been implicated as a precipitating factor in inducing craving and causes many relapse episodes [1]. Craving for cigarette is an important contributor to nicotine addiction, and regulation of craving are effectively in reducing rates of relapse. Thus, reducing reactivity to cigarette-related cues appears to be a promising strategy for improving the success of quit attempts [2]. Reappraisal strategies have been shown to successfully modulate the subjective emotional state and activation in brain areas relevant for emotional processing including the dorsal anterior cingulate cortex (dACC) [3]. Thus, It is vitally important to determine whether reappraisal strategy influence reward processing at the neural level. This clinical approach has recently been replicated in a laboratory model of cognitive strategies to modulate the intensity of craving. We further examined this laboratory model using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to understand the neural mechanisms that underlie this cognitive strategy for reducing cigarette-related cue induced craving.

In the present study, reappraisal was used as the cognitive strategy to regulate the response induced by cigarette-related cues. Reappraisal strategy requires participants to reinterpret the meaning of an emotional situation. Studies have found that activation of the ACC is associated with the selection and application of reappraisal strategies. Prior research has demonstrated activation of the ACC during active reappraisal and modulation of emotional responses to cues [4,5,6,7]. Mental effort has also been linked to activation of the ACC [8]. In addition, the functional connectivity within the circuit of cognitive control (between ACC and PFC) was abnormal in drug abusers [9,10]. Based on these studies and the imaging studies cited above, we hypothesized that the ACC is activated in response to cognitive strategies that regulate craving during cigarette-related cue exposure in smokers.
To investigate the effect of a cognitive reappraisal on cigarette cue-induced craving in nicotine addicts, the present study used a reward conditioning paradigm with instruction and partial reinforcement [11]. We predicted that the reappraisal strategy would reduce cigarette cue-induced craving and be accompanied by increased metabolic activity in the dACC, which is a brain region critical for exerting cognitive behavioral control [12].

Methods

Participants

The subjects provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the Peking University Research Ethics Board. Participants were recruited using posted advertisements or by word of mouth and were compensated $20 for study participation. The participants were screened for psychiatric disorders using an interview based on the Structure Clinical Interview for the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Disorders (SCID for DSM-IV) by the clinician. The inclusion criteria were the following: (1) men who met the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition (DSM-IV), criteria for current nicotine dependence, (2) no history of any neurological or psychiatric disorder and no other drug dependence, (3) at least 15 cigarettes smoked per day for at least 5 years, (4) right-handed, (5) 25 to 50 years old. The exclusion criteria were the following: (1) any lifetime DSM-IV Axis I disorder other than nicotine dependence, (2) use of nicotine replacement therapy (NRT) or another smoking cessation medication, (3) current use of medications that could alter brain function, and (4) history of head trauma. Smokers were instructed to cease smoking for 12 h before the study. Their compliance was confirmed by breath carbon monoxide (CO) levels below 10 ppm on a sample of expired air (Micro Smokerlyzer MP011095, Bedfont Scientific, England) [13].

Twenty right-handed individuals were recruited. Two subjects were excluded due to data quality issues (primarily head motion) and two subject failed to follow the indicated cognitive reappraisal. Therefore, the four participants were excluded before analysis. The analysis cohort included 16 adult male smokers between 27 and 46 years of age (41.3 ± 2.5 years, mean ± SD). The Intelligence Quotient (IQ; estimated using the Wechsler Intelligence Scale) was 102.2 ± 6.9 (90 to 110), and the average years of education were 12.2 ± 2.5 years (8 to 15). They had a mean lifetime history of regular smoking of 21.0 ± 5.8 years (13 to 30) and smoked an average 20.7 ± 5.6 cigarettes per day (9 to 30). Their breath CO level at screening was 25.9 ± 4.6 ppm (16 to 38) and was 5.6 ± 3.3 ppm (3 to 9) after ceasing cigarette use overnight. The mean score on the FTND questionnaire was 7.12 ± 1.8 (5 to 10), indicating heavy nicotine dependence. The average BDI and HAM-A scores were 2.2 ± 1.8 (0 to 8) and 1.2 ± 1.8 (0 to 4) respectively.

Task

We used a reward conditioning paradigm with instruction and partial reinforcement [11]. The paradigm includes two conditioned stimuli (CSs): blue and yellow squares that are either paired (CS+) or unpaired (CS−) with a potential cigarette reward (a picture of a packet of cigarettes that indicated the subjects got a package of cigarettes). The CS+ was paired with cigarette reward on a 28.6% partial reinforcement schedule. Since we were only interested in the response induced by the CS, the partial reinforcement paradigm was used. Therefore, all trials on which a shock was delivered were excluded from analysis. Prior to CS presentation, participants were presented with a cue, a single word instruction that told participants to either Attend or Regulate the stimulus. Participants were explicitly told that when the instruction appears on the screen, they were instructed to conjure a soothing image from nature prompted by the color of the square (e.g., a blue square, participants might think of the sky and a yellow square, they might think of a field of cole flowers) and when the instruction appears on the screen, the participants were instructed to think of their feelings and react normally (e.g., “I may get a packet of cigarette”, “I am craving for a cigarette” or “I will not get a pack of cigarette”). Additionally, participants were told that regardless of the instruction, the CS+ always indicated the possibility of winning a pack of cigarettes, independent of the Attend or Regulate condition. These two instruction words were presented on a black background for 2 s, followed by the CS presentation for 4 s, and followed by an interstimulus interval of 12 s, during which time the participant looked at a fixation point. The probabilistic cigarette picture was presented for 500 ms and co-terminated with the CS+ (Fig. 1A). If the CS+ was not followed by the cigarette picture, only the CS+ was presented for 4 s. Participants were told that they would win a pack of cigarettes with each cigarette picture presentation and accumulate the cigarettes throughout the experiment. The conditions were counterbalanced across participants. The subjects completed 72 trials separated into three blocks of 24 trials each. Within these blocks were 15 trials for each condition (Attend CS+, Attend CS−, Regulate CS+, and Regulate CS−) and an additional 12 trials that combined with the cigarette reward (CS–cigarette reward trials, six each for the Attend and Regulate conditions).

To avoid the effects of motor learning on behavioral measures, participants were asked to rate their cigarette craving outside the MRI scanner after scanning to evaluate validity of cognitive reappraisal. All images presented inside the scanner were presented a second time on a computer screen after scanning. Craving was assessed after each CS with the QSU that was required to be finished in 30 s. Craving scores during the four conditions were compared using a repeated-measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) of trial type (Attend trials, Regulate trials) and stimulus (CS+, CS−).

Before entering the scanner, the participants completed a set of practice trials. They were asked to perform the following reappraisal strategies during the four different conditions (i.e., Attend CS+, Attend CS−, Regulate CS+, and Regulate CS−). The research staff asked the participants to verbalize what they were thinking during each condition to ensure that they were following the indicated reappraisal strategy. Prior to the scanning session, therefore, participants were aware of the contingencies (e.g., blue square predicts a potential cigarette gain) and were well-practiced in the instructions and cognitive reappraisal strategies. After the scanning, the participants were also asked to verbalize what they were thinking during each condition and were excluded if they did not follow the indicated cognitive reappraisal.

Clinical Assessment

On the experimental day, the Hamilton Anxiety Rating Scale (HAM-A) [14], Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [15], Fagerstrom Test for Nicotine Dependence (FTND) [16], and Questionnaire of Smoking Urges (QSU) [17] were administered to all subjects. The HAM-A is a rating scale that measures the severity of anxiety symptoms. The scale consists of 14 items, each defined by a series of symptoms, and measures both psychic anxiety and somatic anxiety. The BDI is a rating scale that measures the severity of depression symptoms. The scale consists of 21 items. Items 1 to 13 assess symptoms that are psychological in nature, and items 14 to 21 assess physical symptoms. Subjects were then asked to complete
a set of practice trials and verbalize what they were thinking during each condition to ensure that they understood the contingencies and were able to perform these reappraisal strategies. The QSU consists of 10 items with analog ratings (from 1 [not at all] to 7 [most ever]). Items on this scale included the desire to smoke freely, urge and craving for a cigarette, desire to smoke as soon as possible, degree to which a cigarette would be pleasant, and extent to which the subject misses a cigarette.

**Image Acquisition**

A 3T GE Sigma scanner and a standard head coil were used for brain imaging at Tangdu Hospital, Xi’an City. Anatomical images were acquired using a T1-weighted protocol (256×256 matrix,
166 transverse slices with 1 mm each). Functional images were collected using a gradient echo EPI sequence (64 x 64 matrix, 32 transverse slices with 4 mm, repetition time [TR] = 2000 ms, echo time [TE] = 30 ms, field of view [FOV] = 256 x 256 mm², flip angle = 90°, voxel size 4 x 4 x 4 mm³). Scanning was divided into three runs that corresponded to three blocks of stimulus presentations.

Image Analysis

Image preprocessing and statistical analysis were carried out with SPM5 (http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm). The data was initially corrected for rigid body motion and slice timing. A threshold of 1 degree rotation in any of the axes and 1-mm displacement was used as criteria for acceptable motion. The realigned functional images were then spatially normalized to the EPI template in SPM5 in Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) space (http://imaging.mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk/imaging/Template) and re-sampled to 2 mm x 2 mm x 2 mm voxels. Further, spatial smoothing was performed using an isotropic Gaussian filter with 8 mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) to decrease spatial noise.

Subject-level statistical analyses used FSL’s Improved Linear Model with local autocorrelation correction [10]. The four condition events (Attend CS+, Attend CS−, Regulate CS+, and Regulate CS−) were separately modeled using a canonical hemodynamic response function indicating onset and duration (4 seconds) of each stimulus epoch. Motion correction parameters were included as nuisance covariates and the rest condition (fixation point) was treated as baseline. Group data were analyzed using a random effects model to determine blood oxygenation dependent signal (BOLD) for task-specific events. There were four predictors of interest (Attend CS+, Attend CS−, Regulate CS+, and Regulate CS−). Trials where the cigarette picture was delivered (CS−cigarette reward trials) were excluded from analysis. Statistical maps of interest were created using a threshold of p < 0.001 (uncorrected). The primary contrast of interest was the differential response between Attend CS+ and Regulate CS+, which directly investigated the effect of using emotion regulation to diminish craving. To further investigate the effects of reappraisal strategy on cue-induced craving, we conducted a comparison between Attend CS+ and Regulate CS+ trials (Table 1). Within the subset of regions defined by this contrast, activation was observed in the prior ROI − dACC. To further investigate the response in the region, a 3 mm³ cube was drawn around the peak voxel of the target area and applied to each individual participant to acquire percentage of signal change from a fixation baseline for four possible predictors (Attend CS+, Attend CS−, Regulate CS+ and Regulate CS−).

Right dACC (BA 24/32) activation occurred in response to the Regulate CS+ trials compared with the Attend CS+ trials (Fig. 2A). Using repeated-measures ANOVAs, we observed interaction between type of CS and type of instruction in dACC (F1,15 = 52.63, p < 0.05; Fig. 2B). Post hoc analysis revealed a differential response between Attend CS+ and Regulate CS+ trials (t15 = −0.4, p = 0.7), but not CS− trials (t15 = −0.97, p = 0.17). In

| Table 1. Regions activated by a contrast of Attend CS+ versus Regulate CS+ and vice versa (p < 0.001). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Brain regions** | **Brodman’s Area (BA)** | **Talairach Coordinates (x, y, z)** | **Number of Voxels** |
|-------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| **Regulate CS+ versus Attend CS+ Trials** | | | |
| Right dACC        | BA24/32                | 8, 32, 24                          | 48                  |
| Right Cuneus      | BA19                   | 8, −84, 36                         | 68                  |
| Right Inferior Parietal Lobule | BA40 | 30, −38, 57                       | 10                  |
| Left Paracentral Lobule | −8, −40, 57          |                                    | 64                  |
| Right Paracentral Lobule | 8, −28, 60           |                                    | 34                  |
| Right Cerbellum   | 38, −52, −24          |                                    | 52                  |
| **Attend CS+ versus Regulate CS+ Trials** | | | |
| Right vPFC        | BA44/45                |                                    |                     |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043598.t001

Results

Subjective Ratings

Craying ratings were compared using a repeated-measures ANOVA for type of instruction (Attend, Regulate) and type of stimulus (CS+, CS−) as within-subject factors (Fig. 1B). Craving showed a significant main effect of type of instruction (F1,15 = 59.19, p < 0.001) and stimulus (F1,15 = 40.53, p < 0.001) and a significant interaction between the two factors (F1,15 = 36.67, p < 0.001). The results suggested that Attend and Regulate trials modulated the response to the CS in different ways. Using one-way repeated measures ANOVA, we compared the craving to the CS+ with CS− trials within instruction and the Regulate with Attention trials within stimuli. There was a significant difference between Attend CS+ and Regulate CS+ (F1,15 = 83.72, p < 0.001). Compared Attend CS+ to Attend CS−, there was a significant difference (F1,15 = 20.74, p < 0.001). The result indicated that using a reappraisal strategy was successful in decreasing the conditioned response to the CS+.

Neuroimaging Results

To investigate the effects of cognitive reappraisal on cue-induced craving, we conducted a comparison between Attend CS+ and Regulate CS+ trials (Table 1). Within the subset of regions defined by this contrast, activation was observed in the prior ROI − dACC. To further investigate the response in the region, a 3 mm³ cube was drawn around the peak voxel of the target area and applied to each individual participant to acquire percentage of signal change from a fixation baseline for four possible predictors (Attend CS+, Attend CS−, Regulate CS+ and Regulate CS−).

Right dACC (BA 24/32) activation occurred in response to the Regulate CS+ trials compared with the Attend CS+ trials (Fig. 2A). Using repeated-measures ANOVAs, we observed interaction between type of CS and type of instruction in dACC (F1,15 = 52.63, p < 0.05; Fig. 2B). Post hoc analysis revealed a differential response between Attend CS+ and Regulate CS+ trials (t15 = −0.4, p = 0.7), but not CS− trials (t15 = −0.97, p = 0.17). In
addition, craving significantly correlated with the differential dACC response to the Attend CS+ trials compared with the Regulate CS+ trials (r = 0.92, p < 0.01; Fig. 2C). The result suggests that the dACC activation contribute to the decrease of craving. There was no other significant correlation.

Discussion

This investigation had two main findings. First, our reappraisal strategy successfully suppressed cue-induced craving in smokers. Second, we found negative correlations between RCS+ induced craving and BOLD signal changes in the right dACC. Consistent with the prior research, dACC activation plays an important role in examinations of brain function during cognitive reappraisal and cognitive modulation of emotion [4,5,6,20].

Immediate reactions to emotionally evocative stimuli are not always helpful, and thus cognitive strategy is required to modify emotions toward specific goals [21]. The cognitive strategy of reappraisal significantly lowered subjects’ self-reported feelings of intensity, a finding that has been reported by several studies regarding both negative [6,22,23] and positive [11,24,25,26] feelings. Our results suggested that cognitive reappraisal can be used to reduce their craving for cigarettes in smokers, which is consistent with the clinical findings that have demonstrated the efficacy of cognitive regulation in reducing craving and preventing relapse during smoking cessation [27].

Evidence showed that dACC play an important role in cognitive control of behavior [12]. Functional imaging of Go/No-Go and Stroop interference tasks that require subjects to restrain prepotent responses consistently show recruitment of dACC [28,29,30]. Activation in the dACC, which is implicated in conflict avoidance and attention control [28,31,32], may reflect the active direction of attention away from the hypersalient cigarette-related stimuli as a process that is different from automatic patterns of attention. Activity in the dACC might also be interpreted in terms of performance monitoring. One of these monitoring functions is the detection of response conflict [33]. In this study, response conflict caused by the reappraisal might have arisen from two competing tendencies: top-down signals vs. bottom-up reward signals. Top-down signals reflect the intention to reappraise the cigarette cues, whereas bottom-up reward signals promote the craving for the cigarette.

As drug addiction characterized that the saliency value of a drug and its related cues are enhanced, while the inhibitory control is weakened, setting up the stage for an unrestrained cycle which leads to compulsive drug-seeking without regard to its negative consequences [34,35]. In the present study, smokers showed increased dACC activation in the cognitive reappraisal. The result may provide a biomarker of link between cognitive control and drug cues.

Our study has numerous limitations. First, similar to many other fMRI studies, ours was limited by a small sample size. Thus, although our confidence in these results is strengthened by the identification of a priori ROIs, these data must be considered preliminary. Future research with larger samples will be useful for increasing our confidence in this pattern of findings. Second, we restricted the sample only to males, although research has demonstrated that male and female smokers differ in their responses to smoking-related stimuli and nicotine administration [36,37]. We sought to reduce the possibility of introducing such variance given the relatively small sample size. Whether our findings generalize to female smokers awaits direct investigation. Third, the paradigm may potentially measure craving vs. reward.

Figure 2. BOLD signals in the right dACC. (A) Activation of the right dACC reflected by the contrast between Regulate CS+ and Attend CS+ trials (cognitive reappraisal effects). (B) Mean beta weights from the right dACC showed an interaction of type of instruction and type of CS. (C) Craving significantly correlated with the differential dACC response to the Attend CS+ trials compared with the Regulate CS+ trials (r = -0.92, p < 0.01; Fig. 2C). Craving scores during the cognitive strategies were calculated by subtracting the craving during Regulate CS+ trials from craving during Attend CS+ trials for each participant. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0043598.g002
expectation. Previous research suggested that manipulations that generate expectations of cigarette smoking can increase cigarette craving in smokers [30] and may potentiate cue-induced cigarette craving [39]. Using the same paradigm, the presentation of cues previously paired with cigarettes can elicit craving compared with stimuli that predict the absence of cigarettes in smokers [40].

Fourth, the study design lacks a nonsmoking control group exposed to the same cues as the smokers. However, in prior work [41], nonsmokers demonstrated neither cigarette craving nor changes in mood/anxiety associated with the presentation of cigarette-related cues. Additionally, in our pilot study, the cigarette cue did not induce craving in nonsmoking control subjects. However, in this study, the primary analysis was the investigation of cigarette cue exposure under Regulate and Attend conditions. The use of nonsmoking control subjects would not be expected to help the central interpretation of the study.

Altered sensitivity to the incentive salience of drug cues has been proposed as the neural basis of pathological “wanting” (Volkow and Wise, 2005). The dACC, which might mediate the neural circuit involved in the craving response, provides a target for top-down cognitive interventions that may be therapeutically beneficial. This strategy may help addicted individuals avoid the anticipated situations where they are exposed to conditioned cues. Our findings suggest that smokers can use self-instruction to inhibit the craving response when they are exposed to cigarette cues. In fact, addicts are inevitably exposed to drug cues in their daily lives; therefore, the acquisition of the ability to exert cognitive control may decrease relapse rates.
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