Toroidal optical transitions in hydrogen-like atoms
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It is commonly believed that electromagnetic spectra of atoms and molecules can be fully described by interactions involving electric and magnetic multipoles. However, it has recently become clear that interactions between light and matter also involve toroidal multipoles—toroidal absorption lines have been observed in electromagnetic metamaterials. Here, we show that a previously unexplored type of spectroscopy of the hitherto largely neglected toroidal dipolar interaction becomes feasible if, apart from the classical \( \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} \) toroidal dipole density term responsible for the toroidal transitions in metamaterials, the spin-dependent \( \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\sigma} \) term (which only occurs in relativistic quantum mechanics) is taken into account. Toroidal dipole operators are odd under parity and time-reversal symmetries; toroidal dipole transitions can therefore be distinguished from electric multipole and magnetic dipole transitions.

INTRODUCTION

The description of electromagnetic properties of matter traditionally involves multipole expansions (1, 2) that are widely used in the study of biological, chemical, atomic, and subatomic phenomena, where electromagnetic processes are described by electric and magnetic multipoles arising from moving charges and current loops. Toroidal multipoles (3), generated by currents flowing on toroidal surfaces, constitute the rarely acknowledged third independent family of vector potential sources; they provide distinct and essential contributions to electromagnetic properties of matter.

Static toroidal dipoles (Fig. 1, top right) were introduced in 1957 by Zeldovich (4); they are found in magnetic materials (5), atomic nuclei (6, 7), single-molecule magnets (8), fullerenes (9), and in solid-state physics (10). Dynamic toroidal dipoles with oscillating currents interact with oscillating electromagnetic fields and thus contribute to optical properties of matter. They were first observed in artificial metamaterials (11); in recent years, they made appearances in various forms of artificially structured matter across the electromagnetic spectrum: metamaterials (12), nanoparticles (13), discussions of noise-resistant quantum devices (14), dynamic anapoles (15, 16), dark matter (17–19), communication using Aharonov-Bohm effect (20, 21), electromagnetic reciprocity (22), and as contributors to nonradiating charge-current configurations (15, 20). Recently observed electromagnetic pulses of toroidal topology, the propagating counterparts of localized toroidal dipole excitations in matter, exhibit unique electromagnetic wave properties (23, 24).

The work on dynamic toroidal multipoles has so far mainly involved Maxwell electromagnetic metamaterials, and the associated spin effects have therefore been overlooked (3). However, those are unavoidable in quantum mechanical systems, such as atoms and molecules—Dirac’s equation only conserves the sum of orbital angular momentum and spin (25). In this communication, we consider the possibility of using spin effects to open new toroidal excitation channels. We demonstrate that using the nonrelativistic toroidal dipole operator \( \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} \) is exceedingly difficult due to field frequency–curvature mismatch and selection rules that make the transition hard to distinguish from more regular electromagnetic transitions. However, the appearance of the spin part \( \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\sigma} \) in the relativistic case eliminates the frequency-curvature problem and yields selection rules that involve spin; this makes the corresponding transitions easier to isolate from the background. We identify pairs of energy levels and experimental conditions for which toroidal transitions in hydrogen-like atoms are likely to be detectable.

RESULTS

Nonrelativistic case: Vector potential curvature problem

The length scale of electronic optical transitions of interest in atomic physics, chemistry, and molecular biology is typically two to four orders shorter than the wavelength of light. This creates a problem for a hypothetical spectroscopy based on the classical \( \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p} \) toroidal dipole—we demonstrate here that significant electric field curvature

---

1School of Chemistry, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ²Centre for Disruptive Photonic Technologies, SPMS, The Photonics Institute, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371, Singapore. ³Centre for Quantum Technologies, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117543, Singapore. ⁴MajuLab, International Joint Research Unit IRL 3654, CNRS, Université Côte d’Azur, Sorbonne Université, National University of Singapore, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore, Singapore. ⁵Optoelectronics Research Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK. ⁶Hagler Institute for Advanced Studies, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA.

*Corresponding author. Email: david.wilkowski@ntu.edu.sg
is required on the molecular length scale and that the optimal frequency-wavelength combination for $r \times r \times p$ spectroscopy is three orders of magnitude away from the constraint imposed by the speed of light.

For an electron in a scalar potential $\phi(r)$ and a vector potential $A(r)$, the Hamiltonian, in the Coulomb gauge, can be split into the background term $\hat{H}_0$ and the coupling term $\hat{H}_1$ (1)

$$\hat{H}_0 = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m} - e\phi + \frac{e^2}{2m} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{A}, \quad \hat{H}_1 = \frac{e}{m} \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{p}$$

where $m$ is the electron mass, and $e$ is the elementary charge. The two electromagnetic operators appearing in $\hat{H}_0$ are not interesting to us here—they are purely coordinate operators that cannot contain anything proportional to $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r}$. In the coupling term $\hat{H}_1$, consider an electromagnetic plane wave with a vector potential amplitude $A_0$ and angular frequency $\omega$, traveling in the direction of a unit vector $\mathbf{n}$

$$\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r}, t) = A_0 \exp\left[i(\omega/c) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r} - i\omega t\right]$$

(2)

The toroidal operator originates in the Taylor expansion of the spatial part

$$\exp\left[i(\omega/c) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r}\right] = 1 + i(\omega/c) \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r} - (\omega/c)^2(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2/2 + \ldots$$

(3)

for which straightforward vector calculus transformations yield

$$(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{r})^2 \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{r}) - (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r}) \cdot (\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{r}) \mathbf{p} - \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$$

(4)

Although electronic transitions under $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ operator are possible—a direct calculation confirms that there are plenty of nonzero transition moments within the orbital structure of any reasonable molecule—the conclusions regarding the sensitivity and selectivity of such transitions are pessimistic. First, there are electric and/or magnetic operators in every irreducible representation of the rotation group, meaning that any allowed $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ transition will overlap with an electric or a magnetic transition of exactly the same frequency. Second, $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ term in the plane wave expansion in Eq. 3 is a factor of $(\omega r/c)^2 = (2\pi r/\lambda)^2$—here, $r$ is the characteristic size of the molecular orbitals in question—smaller than the zero-order term responsible for the electric dipolar interaction, meaning that the transition rate in the Fermi golden rule is a factor of $(2\pi r/\lambda)^3$ smaller. For common atom sizes ($\sim 10^{-10}$ m) and a wavelength in ultraviolet, we expect a typical $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ transition rate to be a factor of $10^{11}$ weaker than a typical electric dipolar transition rate.

These are likely the reasons why toroidal transitions have never been observed in chemical spectroscopies. So far, dynamic toroidal excitations have only been seen in metamaterials, where resonant structures with the feature size comparable to the wavelength can be engineered in such a way that $2\pi r/\lambda = 1$, and the lower order electric and magnetic multipole suppressed by design (3, 11).

Relativistic case: Spin part of the toroidal operator

We now consider an important difference between Maxwell electromagnetic metamaterials and molecules: The orbital angular momentum $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ that occurs in the $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ is only a constant of motion in the rotation subgroup of the Galilean group. The corresponding invariant in Lorentz and Poincare groups also includes relativistic boosts, leading to the conservation of the sum of orbital angular momentum and spin. The corresponding $\hbar(\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\sigma})$ correction to the toroidal operator, where $\mathbf{\sigma}$ is a vector of Pauli matrices, clearly should be evaluated.

In the standard derivation of the electromagnetic and spin Hamiltonian by approximate elimination of negative energies from Dirac’s equation, the first occurrence of the $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\sigma}$ product is in the angular magnetoelectric (AME) part (26) of the gauge invariant form of spin-orbit (SO) coupling

$$\hat{H}_{\text{SO+AME}} = -\frac{e\hbar}{4m^2c^2} \mathbf{\sigma} \cdot \left([\nabla \phi] \times (\mathbf{p} + e\mathbf{A})\right)$$

(5)

The conventional SO term is not interesting to us here—it comes from the scalar potential—but the triple product with the vector potential is easily rearranged into a form that exposes the presence of the spin part of the toroidal operator

$$\hat{H}_{\text{AME}} = -\frac{e^2\hbar}{4m^2c^2} \mathbf{A} \cdot \left([\nabla \phi] \times \mathbf{\sigma}\right)$$

(6)

This is directly visible in the special case of a hydrogen-like atom with a nuclear charge $Z$

$$\nabla \phi(r) = -\frac{Ze}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r^3}$$

(7)

where the scalar part $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\sigma}$ of the toroidal dipole moment is coupled to the vector potential

$$\hat{H}_{\text{AME}} = -\frac{e\alpha\hbar}{c} \frac{Ze}{r^3} \mathbf{A} \cdot [\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{\sigma}]$$

(8)

where $\alpha$ is the fine structure constant, and $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton. This was previously considered a spin interaction (26); the form presented here views it instead as pertaining to the relativistic component of the toroidal dipole moment.

Selection rules

Although the interaction described by Eq. 8 is exceedingly weak, its transitions are nonetheless expected to be observable because their selection rules are different from the selection rules associated with electric and magnetic dipoles (Table 1). The corresponding operators are

$$d \propto \mathbf{r}, \quad \mu_S \propto \mathbf{S}, \quad t_S \propto \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{S}$$

(9)
toroidal transitions become distinguishable. Orbital and spin quantum numbers again become independent, and stronger electric dipole ones. However, in strong magnetic fields, because toroidal transitions then coincide in energy with the much situation is not suitable for observation of toroidal transitions. This allowed.

Similar arguments apply to other multipoles and their cross terms.

**DISCUSSION**

We now show that toroidal dipole transitions can be observed in hydrogen-like atoms when the spin projection \( m_s \) is a good quantum number. In practice, this means Paschen-Back regime: strong static magnetic field and weak fine structure interaction. We will skip the consideration of nuclear spin because the transitions in question conserve nuclear spin orientation.

Consider a hydrogen-like atom exposed to a plane electromagnetic wave with circular polarization propagating along the \( z \) axis, here chosen as the quantization axis. \( \mathbf{B} = B \hat{\mathbf{z}}_z \) is the optical magnetic field, and \( \hat{\mathbf{z}}_z \) is a unit vector corresponding to the two possible signs of circular polarization. The interaction Hamiltonian in Eq. 8 becomes

\[
\hat{H}_{\text{AME}}(\phi_z) = \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\mu_b}{\epsilon c^3} Z B \rho e^{\text{isp}} \sigma Z - z \sigma_z
\]

where \( \phi \) is the azimuthal angle, \( \rho \) is the radial position operator, \( k \) is the wave number, and \( \sigma_z = \sigma_S \pm \sigma_L \). The last term is of particular interest because \( z \sigma_z \) simultaneously flips the spin and drives spatial orbital transitions with \( \Delta L = \pm 1 \). In principle, this makes highly transition-selective multiple-quantum filtered spectoscopies possible because spin can also be manipulated separately, with very high precision, using microwave pulses.

Consider now \( n^2S_{1/2} \rightarrow n^2P_{3/2,1/2} \) transition for which the energies are shown in Fig. 2 as functions of the external static magnetic field \( B_{\text{DC}} = B_{\text{DC}} z \). The transitions \( | m = 0, m_s = \pm 1/2 \rangle \rightarrow \ | m = 0, m_s = \mp 1 \rangle \) (black dashed arrows) are only toroidal dipole allowed.

In a zero magnetic field, SO coupling partially lifts the excited state degeneracy and makes \( j \) a good quantum number (27). This situation is not suitable for observation of toroidal transitions because toroidal transitions then coincide in energy with the much stronger electric dipole ones. However, in strong magnetic fields, orbital and spin quantum numbers again become independent, and toroidal transitions become distinguishable.

State mixing (green arrows in Fig. 2) decreases as \( \epsilon = \Delta E_{FS}/2\mu_b B_{\text{DC}} \), where \( \Delta E_{FS} \) is the fine structure energy splitting; toroidal transition rate exceeds electric dipolar transition rate when

\[
\frac{|\langle 0, \mp 1/2 | H_{\text{AME}} | 0, \pm 1/2 \rangle |^2}{\epsilon^2} \frac{|\langle \mp 1, \pm 1/2 | H_{\text{E}} | 0, \mp 1/2 \rangle |^2}{\epsilon^2} \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{a_0 k}{\epsilon} \right)^2 > 1
\]

where \( a_0 \) is the Bohr radius, and \( H_{\text{E}} = -\mathbf{d} \cdot \mathbf{E} \) is the electric dipole Hamiltonian. Thus, the most easily observable toroidal transitions are likely to be those with small \( \Delta E_{FS} \). For hydrogen-like atoms \( \Delta E_{FS} \approx \alpha^4 m_e^2 Z^2/4 n^3 \), which is favorable for light atoms and states with high principal quantum numbers. For actual hydrogen at \( B_{\text{DC}} = 5 \text{T} \), the inequality in Eq. 11 is fulfilled for the \( n \approx 51 \) Rydberg state and above, which may be addressed from \( n = 2 \) (Balmer series) with a continuous wave laser at a wavelength around 364 nm. This wavelength is available by doubling frequency of a tuneable Ti:Sapphire laser, for example. The fundamental laser may be frequency-locked on an optical frequency comb to get a precise and tuneable optical frequency.

Although its frequency is now different, the toroidal transition moment is around \( \frac{1}{2} a_0 (a_0 k)^2 \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-11} \) times smaller than the electric dipole transition moment. We therefore propose the following practical measures to make its observation possible:

---

**Table 1. Selection rules for electric, magnetic, and toroidal dipolar transitions in a hydrogen-like atom.** \( L, m_L, m_s \) are, respectively, the orbital quantum number, the orbital projection quantum number, and the spin projection quantum number. For magnetic and toroidal dipole moments, the photon polarization either changes the orbital angular momentum or flips the spin, and therefore, \( \Delta m_{L} \leq 1 \) (31).

| Moment         | Parity symmetry | Time-reversal symmetry | Orbital selection rule | Spin selection rule | \( J \) selection rule |
|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Electric dipole| Odd             | Even                   | \( \Delta L = \pm 1, \Delta m_L = 0, \pm 1 \) | \( \Delta m_s = 0 \) | \( \Delta J = 0, \pm 1, \Delta m_J = 0, \pm 1 \) |
| Magnetic dipole| Even            | Odd                    | \( \Delta L = 0, \Delta m_L = 0, \pm 1 \) | \( \Delta m_s = 0 \) | \( \Delta J = 0, \pm 1, \Delta m_J = 0, \pm 1 \) |
| Toroidal dipole| Odd             | Odd                    | \( \Delta L = \pm 1, \Delta m_L = 0, \pm 1 \) | \( \Delta m_s = 0 \) | \( \Delta J = 0, \pm 1, \Delta m_J = 0, \pm 1 \) |
1) Frequency-modulation spectroscopy should be used to detect the weak resonance against the background signal coming from the off-resonance nearby electric dipole transitions (28).

2) Because de-excitation occurs mainly over inelastic electric dipole allowed transitions, appropriate frequency filtering of the fluorescence signal should be performed to remove the spurious signal at the excitation frequency.

3) Hydrogen atoms could be replaced by lithium atoms, which also have favorable fine structure splitting (29). There, we can consider excitations from the fundamental ground state but deeper into the ultraviolet part of the spectrum.

4) Toroidal dipole coupling operator is odd under time-reversal symmetry. It therefore changes sign under a change of the static magnetic field sign (equivalent to a flip in the light polarization state in Eq. 10), whereas the electric dipole operator remains unaffected. Hence, the experiment may be conducted in a differential mode, where the toroidal contribution is extracted from the difference in absorption between the experiments conducted with $B_{DC} > 0$ and $B_{DC} < 0$ or in a way similar to the recent measurement of parity nonconservation in caesium (7).

In high magnetic fields, diamagnetic response operators (which scale as $B^3$) are also expected to become pertinent because they mix the principal and the orbital quantum numbers (30). This breaks the selection rules in Table 1 and could therefore impose extra conditions on the optimal magnetic field and the choice of atoms for observation of toroidal excitations.

Last, it is important to emphasize the difference between the well-characterized static nuclear toroidal moments that contribute, for example, to the parity-violating transition between the $6S$ and $7S$ states of caesium (7) and the dynamic spin-dependent toroidal interactions between electromagnetic waves and the electronic structure or atoms that are explored in this work.
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