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Alternative splicing (AS) is one of the key processes involved in the regulation of gene expression in eukaryotic cells. AS catalyzes the removal of intronic sequences and the joining of selected exons, thus ensuring the correct processing of the primary transcript into the mature mRNA. The combinatorial nature of AS allows a great expansion of the genome coding potential, as multiple splice-variants encoding for different proteins may arise from a single gene. Splicing is mediated by a large macromolecular complex, the spliceosome, whose activity needs a fine regulation exerted by cis-acting RNA sequence elements and trans-acting RNA binding proteins (RBPs). The activity of both core spliceosomal components and accessory splicing factors is modulated by their reversible phosphorylation. The kinases and phosphatases involved in these posttranslational modifications significantly contribute to AS regulation and to its integration in the complex regulatory network that controls gene expression in eukaryotic cells. Herein, we will review the major canonical and noncanonical splicing factor kinases and phosphatases, focusing on those whose activity has been implicated in the aberrant splicing events that characterize neoplastic transformation.

1. Introduction

In eukaryotic cells, the expression of each gene is finely tuned by a complex network of regulative processes affecting all steps of transcript maturation, from nuclear transcription to cytosolic export and utilization of the mRNA. A crucial step in this regulative network is represented by pre-mRNA splicing, the molecular process that mediates the removal of intronic sequences and the joining of exons. What makes splicing an outstanding player in controlling gene expression is its flexibility, which allows a remarkable increase of the coding potential of the genome through alternative selection of exons. Indeed, alternative splicing (AS) allows each gene to encode for several coding and noncoding mRNA variants, which often display different activities and/or patterns of expression. AS is, therefore, one of the principal mechanisms underlying the well-known discrepancy between increasing organismal complexity and content of genes contained in the genome [1]. In line with its central contribution to genome complexity, it is estimated that up to 90% of human multiexon genes undergo AS [2], and the importance of this regulative mechanism for both developmentally regulated and pathological cellular processes is now well recognized (reviewed in [3]).

The splicing process is carried out by the spliceosome, a complex macromolecular machinery composed of five small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particles (U1, U2, U4, U5, and U6 snRNPs) and more than 200 auxiliary proteins. The spliceosome mediates the recognition of the short consensus sequences surrounding the 5’-(GU) and the 3’-(AG) splice site and catalyzes the two transesterification reactions necessary for the removal of the intron and ligation of the selected exons (reviewed in [4]). Due to the degenerate nature of the sequence elements recognized by the spliceosome, its recruitment to the maturing pre-mRNA requires the action of both cis-acting RNA sequence elements and trans-acting RNA binding proteins (RBPs), such as Ser/Arg (SR) rich proteins, heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNPs), and splicing factors belonging to other RBP families. In addition, AS is also regulated by mechanisms acting both co- and posttranscriptionally, through epigenetic modifications of the chromatin, regulation of the RNA polymerase II (RNAPII)
transcription rate, and posttranslational modifications of both spliceosome components and auxiliary splicing factors, among which reversible phosphorylation acts as a major player.

2. Impact of Phosphorylation on the Catalysis of Splicing

A proper regulation of the phosphorylation status of the spliceosomal proteins and of accessory splicing factors is crucial for the correct regulation of both constitutive and AS events. Early studies already described the importance of a correct balance between phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events in the splicing process by showing that both activation [5] and inhibition [6] of the PP1 and PP2A phosphatases are required for splicing catalysis. Several reports have then further highlighted the importance of regulated phosphorylation events for the correct assembly and catalytic activation of spliceosomal components, such as PRP28 [7], PRP6, or PRP31 [8]. Equally, dephosphorylation events, such as those regarding the U5 and U2 snRNP component, U5-156kDa and SAP155 [9, 10], were shown to be essential for spliceosome assembly, proving the importance of subsequent rounds of phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events in the regulation of the splicing process.

Regulative phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events concern not only the spliceosomal components but also some accessory RBPs that cooperate with the spliceosome in the selection of splice sites. For example, phosphorylation of the splicing factors SF1 and SRSF1 (prototypic SR protein previously known as ASF/SF2) modulates their interaction with U2AF65 and U1snRNP, respectively, thus modulating spliceosome assembly [11, 12]. The dynamic phosphorylation/dephosphorylation of SR proteins is particularly relevant for the regulation of their functions, as both hypo- and hyperphosphorylation can inhibit splicing [13]. For instance, phosphorylation of SRSF1 promotes spliceosome assembly, whereas its dephosphorylation is necessary for the catalysis of the first transesterification reaction [14].

3. Phosphorylation and Splicing Factors

SR proteins are a family of nuclear RBPs involved in the regulation of both constitutive and AS, whose activity is greatly modulated by reversible phosphorylation. Their structure is generally characterized by two N-terminal RNA recognition motif (RRM) a C-terminal region enriched in Arg-Ser residues (RS domain), which are the main targets of regulative phosphorylation. Phosphorylation of the RS domain of SR proteins has a great impact on their functionality, as it may affect their binding to target mRNAs, their interaction with other proteins and their intracellular localization. As an example, binding of SRSF5 (previously reported as SRp40) to its high-affinity RNA-binding site is strictly dependent on the phosphorylation of its RS domain [15]. One of the most significant examples of how phosphorylation may affect the splicing activity of SR proteins is represented by SRSF10 (previously known as SRp38). This SR protein acts as a specific splicing activator in its RS-phosphorylated form [16], whereas dephosphorylation converts it into a potent splicing repressor [17]. Notably, dephosphorylation of SRSF10 occurs during the M phase of the cell cycle [17] or under stress condition [18], when general inhibition of splicing occurs. In particular, it was demonstrated that, under normal conditions, phosphorylated SRSF10 is a sequence-specific splicing activator, which promotes U1 and U2 snRNP assembly on target pre-mRNAs endowed with SRSF10-dependent exonic splicing enhancer (ESE) sequences [16]. Conversely, under stressful cellular conditions, as during heat shock, SRSF10 is rapidly dephosphorylated by PP1, while other SR proteins are maintained in phosphorylated state by SR protein kinases (SRPKs) [19]. Interestingly, during the stress response all SR proteins are similarly dephosphorylated by PP1. However, they are rapidly rephosphorylated by SRPKs, while SRSF10, which is a poor substrate for SRPKs, remains dephosphorylated. Under this condition, SRSF10 can still interact with the U1 snRNP, but in this case the interaction impairs its ability to recognize the 5′ splice site, thus resulting in splicing inhibition [18].

Phosphorylation of the RS domain can also dictate SR protein subcellular localization, by affecting both their intranuclear localization and their nucleocytoplasmic shuttling. In interphase cells, SR proteins are enriched in interchromatin granules called nuclear speckles, which are enriched in factors involved in pre-mRNA processing and RNA transport (reviewed in [20]). The recruitment of SR proteins to nascent pre-mRNAs from these sites of storage is regulated by their phosphorylation (Figure 1); indeed, it has been shown that phosphorylation of the RS domain is a prerequisite for their recruitment to transcription sites in vivo [21]. This modification plays also an important role in the regulation of nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of SR proteins. For instance, phosphorylation of SR proteins in the cytoplasm is required for their nuclear import [22]. On the other hand, dephosphorylation of the RS domain is essential for their translocation to the cytoplasm during mRNP maturation (Figure 1) [23]. Interestingly, dephosphorylation of SRSF1 and SRSF7 (previously known as 9G8) enhances their interaction with the export receptor TAP, thereby favoring also the export of their target mRNAs [24, 25]. Furthermore, SRSF1 translational activity is increased by dephosphorylation of its RS domain (Figure 1) [26]. Phosphorylation has therefore a great impact also on the splicing unrelated functions in which many SR proteins are involved (reviewed in [27]).

Ser/Thr phosphorylation represents an important regulative process not only for SR proteins but also for hnRNPs and other splicing factors. For instance, hnRNP A1 is phosphorylated by the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) p38 in response to stress conditions (Figure 2), thus causing its cytoplasmic translocation and consequent modulation of hnRNP A1-sensitive AS events [28, 29]. Similarly, phosphorylation of the SR-like protein TRA2-β by CLK2 induces its relocalization into the cytoplasm, thus reducing its ability to bind its own mRNA and regulate its splicing [30]. For other splicing factors, instead, Ser/Thr phosphorylation affects the splicing activity by modulating their interaction with other proteins.
For instance, phosphorylation of hnRNP L reduces its interaction with the U2AF65 subunit of the U2 auxiliary factor [31, 32]. The function of some splicing factors can be influenced also by Tyr phosphorylation. A well-documented example in this sense is SAM68, a member of the signal transduction and activation of RNA (STAR) family of RBPs (reviewed in [33]). Tyr phosphorylation by SRC-family kinases (SFKs) caused the accumulation of SAM68 in nuclear granules, named SAM68 nuclear bodies (SNBs) [34, 35]. Moreover, it was shown that this posttranslational modification negatively affected the interaction of SAM68 with hnRNP A1 and with the BCL-X pre-mRNA, thus impairing its ability to promote splicing of this target gene [35]. On the other hand, Ser/Thr phosphorylation of SAM68 by the MAPKs ERK1/2 was reported to increase splicing of the variable exons in the CD44 gene [36, 37]. Notably, SAM68 represents an interesting example of how Ser/Thr and Tyr-phosphorylation may have opposite impact on the splicing activity of an RBP toward a target pre-mRNA (Figure 2). This was formally shown by studying its effect on the CCND1 gene. Increased expression of SAM68 promotes splicing of the cyclin D1b variant of the CCND1 gene in prostate cancer cells. This activity is further enhanced by activation of the RAS/ERK pathway and counteracted by SFKs [38]. In both cases, the effect was due to modulation of the affinity for RNA, as ERK-dependent phosphorylation increased binding of SAM68 to intron 4, whereas SFK-dependent phosphorylation abolished it (Figure 2) [38]. Thus, activation of signaling pathways can indirectly modulate AS events through posttranslational modification of selected splicing factors (see also later).

4. Splicing Factor Kinases

Phosphorylation of spliceosomal components and splicing factors is mediated by numerous protein kinases. Some of these kinases, such as the SRPK and CLK families, are
specifically devoted to this function, whereas others also participate to signal transduction pathways or phosphorylate distinct primary substrates in addition to the splicing factors. Herein, we will review the kinases whose ability to influence splicing decisions has been better characterized. For convenience, we will classify them as SR-protein specific kinases; signaling-activated splicing kinases, and “atypical” splicing factor kinases.

5. SR-Protein Specific Kinases

5.1. SR-Protein Kinases (SRPKs). The first SR protein kinase identified was SRPK1, which was isolated from mitotic cells, and it was described to phosphorylate SR proteins and to promote their release from nuclear speckles during the G2/M phase of the cell cycle [39]. However, SRPK1 is present and active also in interphase cells. SRPK1 is the prototype of the SRPK family, which also includes the two homologous SRPK2 and SRPK3 proteins. SRPKs are characterized by a bipartite catalytic domain separated by a unique spacer sequence (reviewed in [40]) and are mainly localized in the cytoplasm of mammalian cells. This is due to the presence of a strong cytoplasmic retention signal localized in the spacer domain [41] and of their interaction with the molecular chaperones HSP70 and HSP90, which in complex seem to favor the folding of SRPKs into an active state [42]. However, SRPKs can translocate into the nucleus of cells under several conditions, such as during the G2/M phase of the cell cycle [39], or after osmotic stress [42], or as a consequence of activation of the epidermal growth factor (EGF) signal transduction pathway [43]. Due to this dual localization, SRPKs can phosphorylate SR proteins both in the nucleus and in the cytoplasm, thus affecting several aspects of their function. SRPK-mediated phosphorylation of SR proteins in the cytoplasm is necessary to ensure SR proteins nuclear import (Figure 1) [44], as it enhances their interaction with the specific transportin SR2 [22, 45]. SRPK nuclear activity promotes release of SR proteins in the nucleoplasm from the nuclear speckles [46]. For instance, several reports suggest that SRPK-mediated phosphorylation of SRSF1 is essential for its nuclear localization and the resulting splicing activity triggered by stimulation of specific signaling pathways (i.e., IGF-1 and EGF treatments) [43, 47]. However, under conditions that strongly increase nuclear localization of SRPKs, such as under cellular stress, they can also induce nuclear speckles enlargement [42, 48]. Indeed,
Zhong and colleagues showed that osmotic stress induced by sorbitol treatment can lead to a massive nuclear translocation of SRPK1, which causes hyperphosphorylation of SR proteins and inhibits their splicing activity toward the reporter EIA minigene [42]. These studies indicated that SRPK-mediated phosphorylation of SR proteins can finely tune their splicing activity in response to external and internal cues.

5.2. Cyclin-Dependent Like Kinases (CLKs). The cyclin-dependent like kinases (CLK1-4) represent the other prototypical family of SR protein kinases. They are characterized by a C-terminal kinase domain, with dual specificity, and an N-terminal RS domain, which allows their interaction with the SR proteins. CLKs colocalize with SR proteins in nuclear speckles, and their overexpression leads to hyperphosphorylation of SR proteins and induces speckles disassembly [49]. Several studies reported the ability of CLKs to influence splicing events by regulating the subnuclear localization of SR proteins (Figure 1). In particular, the release of SR proteins from nuclear speckles induced by CLKs overexpression has been reported to modulate splicing of the EIA reporter minigene [50] and of the exon 10 of the TAU gene [51], whose aberrant regulation has been implicated in several neurodegenerative diseases. Recently it has been shown that CLKs also modulate the activity of splicing factors not related to the SR-protein family, such as SPF45. CLK-mediated phosphorylation of SPF45 interferes with its proteasomal degradation and enhances exon 6 inclusion of FAS by promoting binding of this splicing factor to the FAS pre-mRNA [52]. The nuclear localization of CLKs is one of the major differences between them and SRPKs, which are instead mainly cytosolic. Because of their different localization, CLKs and SRPKs can cooperate in regulating SR proteins subcellular localization. Indeed, it has been shown that SRPK1 interacts with SRSF1 and phosphorylates the N-terminal part (RS1) of its RS domain, a posttranslational modification that is essential for its assembly into nuclear speckles, whereas CLKs phosphorylate the C-terminal part (RS2) of its RS domain, thereby causing release of SRSF1 from the speckles [53]. Moreover, SRPKs and CLKs have also distinct substrate specificity, as SRPKs preferentially phosphorylate Ser-Arg sites, while CLKs have a broader specificity and can phosphorylate also Ser-Lys or Ser-Pro sites [54]. Therefore, even if apparently redundant, the coordinated activity of SRPKs and CLKs is crucial for correct splicing regulation. This was well illustrated by Nowak and colleagues, whose work highlighted how SR-proteins phosphorylation induced by these two families of kinases may differentially control a single splicing event [55]. The vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGFA) gene, a key regulator of angiogenesis, produces several isoforms by alternative splice-site selection in the terminal exon 8: proximal splice-site selection results in proangiogenic VEGFxxx isoforms, whereas distal splice-site selection results in antiangiogenic isoforms VEGFxccb. Different growth factors inversely influence these splicing events by inducing in both cases phosphorylation of SR proteins. However, IGF-1 and TNF-α induced production of VEGFxxx through activation of SRPKs, whereas TGF-β1 enhanced VEGFxccb production by activating CLKs [55].

6. Signaling-Activated Splicing Factor Kinases

6.1. AKT. The Ser/Thr kinase AKT, also known as PKB, is the hinge molecule of the phosphoinositide-3-kinase-protein kinase (PI3 K) signaling pathway, which transduces the signal of several growth factors and cytokines. Through the phosphorylation of its many nuclear and cytosolic targets, AKT can regulate a multitude of cellular processes, such as cell metabolism, proliferation, and survival (reviewed in [56]). To exert its multiple functions, AKT regulates different steps of the gene expression network, from transcription, to AS and translation. Indeed, several reports have highlighted the ability of AKT to directly and indirectly modulate the function of many RBPs. AKT phosphorylates both hnRNPs and SR proteins, which contain within their RS domain multiple AKT phosphorylation consensus sequences: RXRXX(S/T) [57]. By modulating their phosphorylation status, AKT regulates both splicing and splicing independent functions of hnRNPs and SR proteins. For example, AS of a four-exon cassette in the CASPASE-9 gene allows expression of a proapoptotic splice variant (exon inclusion, CASPASE-9a) or an antiapoptotic splice variant, (exon skipped, CASPASE-9b). AKT-dependent phosphorylation of hnRNP L increases its affinity for exon 3 and induces expression of the antiapoptotic variant. Indeed, AKT-phosphorylated hnRNP L competes with hnRNP U for the binding to the mRNA and impairs its ability to promote the pro-apoptotic CASPASE-9a [58] (Figure 2). This is a clear example of how AKT may promote cell survival by regulating a key AS event. On the other hand, phosphorylation of hnRNP A1 by AKT has no effects on splicing, but it modulates the translational activity of this RBP. Following phosphorylation, hnRNP A1 loses its ability to promote IRES dependent translation of the CCND1 and the c-MYC mRNAs [59]. In the case of SR proteins, AKT was shown to modulate both splicing and translational activity through phosphorylation. Growth factor-induced phosphorylation of SRSF1 and SRSF7 by AKT enhanced their ability to promote the inclusion of the EDA exon in the fibronectin mRNA and translation of the spliced mRNA [60]. One of the most characterized AS events regulated by AKT is affecting PKCβ pre-mRNA after insulin stimulation. This hormone induces splicing of the PKCβ II isoform, which enhances insulin-stimulated glucose transport better than the PKCβ I variant, even if they differ only for two residues in their C-terminus.
Insulin stimulation induces PI3K-dependent activation of AKT, which phosphorylates SRSF5 [62, 63], thus promoting PKCβII splicing. Furthermore, AKT phosphorylates CLK1 and enhances its activity (Figure 2). In turn, CLK1 phosphorylates SRSF4 (previously named SRp75) and SRSF6 (previously named SRp55), thus contributing to the PKCβ II splicing regulation [64]. Recently, it has been suggested that AKT and CLK may also regulate SRSF5 splicing activity by affecting its nuclear localization, which was impaired when a CLK mutant that cannot be phosphorylated by AKT is expressed [65]. Importantly, this orchestrated regulation of SRSF5-dependent PKCβ II splicing by AKT and CLK was essential for adypogenetic differentiation, thus providing physiological relevance for this signaling route.

AKT was also shown to regulate the activity of SRPKs. A recent work documented that EGF signaling induces a massive reprogramming of AS that depends on AKT-induced nuclear translocation of SRPKs [43]. In fact, AKT binding to SRPKs induces their autophosphorylation and dissociation from the HSP70 chaperone, which normally holds SRPKs into the cytoplasm, thus favoring their nuclear translocation guided by HSP90 (Figure 2). Once in the nucleus, SRPKs can phosphorylate SR proteins and modulate the splicing pattern of several genes. Thus, given its ability to modulate the activity of both regulators (SRPKs and CLKs) and effectors (SR proteins and hnRNPs) of AS, AKT stands up as a crucial player in the modulation of splicing in response to external cues, and this activity might represent a primary function of AKT in the regulation of multiple cellular processes.

6.2. Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinases (MAPKs). MAPKs are a family of Ser/Thr kinases that transduce external signals into the cell and regulate many different cellular processes, such as metabolism, proliferation, survival, differentiation, and motility (reviewed in [66]). The MAPK family includes the extracellular regulated kinases (ERK1/2), the c-Jun amino terminal kinases (JNK 1–3), p38 (α, β, γ, and δ), and ERK5 family. The role of MAPKs in these cellular processes is mediated by regulation of protein activity and stability and by modulation of gene expression, which also occurs through AS. The first evidence of MAPK-modulated splicing came from studies on the regulation of AS of the CD44 gene, which encodes for the extracellular receptor for hyaluronic acid, a key component of the extracellular matrix. The CD44 gene is characterized by a block of variable exons (v2–v10) embedded between ten constant exons; the inclusion of the variable exons into the mature transcript modulates CD44 protein interaction with its substrate, thus significantly affecting cell adhesion, migration, and proliferation (reviewed in [67]). The inclusion of the variable exon v5 in the mature mRNA of CD44 upon T-cell activation is dependent by the RAS-RAF-MEK-ERK signaling cascade [68]. The target of this pathway is SAM68, whose ability to promote exon v5 inclusion is increased by ERKs-mediated phosphorylation [36]. SAM68 interacts with the splicing factor U2AF65, and this interaction seems to enhance the recognition of the 3’ splice-site. Phosphorylation by ERKs reduces the affinity of the SAM68/U2AF65 complex to the CD44 pre-mRNA, probably favoring the subsequent recruitment of other spliceosomal components [69]. SAM68 is not the only RBP regulated by ERK1/2. Furthermore, other MAPKs, like p38 or JNKs, are known to phosphorylate and modulate the activity of splicing factors. For example, it has been recently demonstrated that phosphorylation of the splicing factor SPF45 can be mediated by all the three families of MAPKs in response to different stimuli (e.g., oxidative stress activates ERK1/2 and JNK mediated phosphorylation, whereas UV-light induces p38 and JNK activity) [70] (Figure 2). These kinases phosphorylate SPF45 on two residues, Thr 71 and Ser 222; these posttranslational modifications inhibit SPF45-dependent exon 6 inclusion in the FAS gene, thus leading to the production of a dominant negative isoform of this death receptor [70].

Modulation of hnRNP A1 activity by p38 is another well characterized regulative phosphorylation event operated by a MAPK. Environmental stresses, such as osmotic stress or UV irradiation, induce p38 activation and phosphorylation of hnRNP A1, leading to the relocation of this nuclear RBP into the cytoplasm, where it concentrates into discrete phase-dense particles, called stress granules (SGs) [29] (Figure 2). The nuclear exclusion of hnRNP A1 is the result of its reduced interaction with the transportin Trn1, which under normal conditions mediates its nuclear translocation [71], and leads to consequent modulation of hnRNP A1-dependent splicing events, which were tested using the EIA minigene reporter [28]. HnRNP A1 phosphorylation is mediated by the p38 effectors MAP kinase signal-integrating kinases (MNK1/2) [28], which can also regulate the translational activity of this splicing factor. It was observed that the increase in TNF-α protein production following T-cell activation relies on MNK-mediated phosphorylation of hnRNP A1. However, in this cellular context, phosphorylation of hnRNP A1 does not affect its localization, but it rather lowers its affinity for the AU-rich element (ARE) in the 3’ UTR of the TNF-α mRNA, thus probably relieving a translation repressive control and allowing enhanced TNF-α production [72].

Thus, MAPKs can regulate different steps of mRNA processing through phosphorylation of several splicing factors, integrating in this way this complex regulative step of gene expression with the response of the cell to external cues.

6.3. Tyrosine Kinases. Protein tyrosine kinases (PTKs) catalyze the transfer of a phosphate group from ATP to a tyrosine residue of their target proteins. PTKs may be classified in two different classes: the transmembrane receptors tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and the nonreceptor tyrosine kinases (nRTKs). PTKs mediate the phosphorylation of several proteins in response to both internal and external cues, leading to the modification of their activity or affecting their interaction with other proteins. Transduction pathways triggered by PTK activation affect gene expression, also at the level of AS, even though only a small number of splicing factors have been shown to be regulated by Tyr-phosphorylation. Among these few RBPs, the members of the STAR proteins family, and in particular SAM68, stand out (Figure 2). In many STAR family members, the RNA binding domain is flanked by regulatory regions, like proline-rich or tyrosine-rich sequences, which mediate their interaction with the Src Homology 3 (SH3)
and SH2 domains of other proteins, including PTKs. For instance, the breast tumor kinase (BRK) is a nRTKs that interacts in the nucleus with a proline rich region of SAM68 through its SH3 domain. BRK-dependent phosphorylation of SAM68 reduces its RNA binding affinity [73]. Analogously, BRK phosphorylates also the SAM68 homologous proteins SLM-1 and SLM-2, reducing their affinity to the RNA also in this case [74]. SAM68 is also substrate of FYN, another soluble nRTKs. In this case, it was also shown that Tyr-phosphorylation interfered with SAM68-dependent splicing [74]. SAM68 is also substrate of FYN, another SLM-1 and SLM-2, reducing their affinity to the RNA also in this case [74]. SAM68 is also substrate of FYN, another soluble nRTKs. In this case, it was also shown that Tyr-phosphorylation interfered with SAM68-dependent splicing of the BCL-X and CCND1 genes [35, 38]. FYN-dependent phosphorylation reduced the affinity of SAM68 for these target RNAs and affected its interaction with different proteins, such as hnRNP A1, thereby affecting the outcome of AS events [35, 38]. Tyr-phosphorylation also influences the splicing activity of the nuclear RBP YT521-B, which can also be elicited by several nRTKs such as FYN, SRC, or c-ABL [75, 76]. This posttranslational modification induces translocation of YT521-B from the nuclear YT bodies, where it normally resides, to the nucleoplasm. Phosphorylated YT521-B shows reduced ability to modulate splice-site selection of different targets, in association with a reduced binding to their mRNA, possibly because the nucleoplasmic translocation distances YT521-B from the effective sites of pre-mRNA processing [76].

6.4. cAMP-Dependent Protein Kinase (PKA). Increased intracellular levels of the second messenger cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate (cAMP) lead to the activation of the cAMP-dependent protein kinase (PKA), which transduces the signals of many hormones, growth factors, and neurotransmitters [77]. PKA is a tetrameric protein, composed of two regulatory subunits (R) and two catalytic subunits (C): binding of cAMP to the R subunits leads to their dissociation from the C subunit and activation of the kinase [77]. Activated PKA phosphorylates several effectors, including transcription factors, ion channels, and metabolic enzymes, thus influencing multiple cellular functions. PKA activity is also regulated by interaction of the R subunits with the PKA-anchoring proteins (AKAPs); AKAPs maintain PKA in specific subcellular compartments and in proximity of its substrates, thus retaining PKA activity where it is needed. The first evidence of a possible involvement of PKA in the regulation of AS came from the observation that a fraction of the C subunit translocates into the nucleus, colocalizes with SRSF2 (previously reported as SC35) in splicing speckles, and phosphorylates several SR proteins, at least in vitro [78]. Localization of the C subunit in nuclear speckles seems to be related to its interaction with the C-subunit binding protein HA95 [78] and to the SR protein SRSF17A, which was shown to be a novel AKAP required to anchor PKA C subunit in splicing speckles [79]. Importantly, modulation of EIA reporter minigene splicing by SRSF17A is dependent on its interaction with PKA [79]. Moreover, nuclear PKA itself is able to modulate AS of the EIA reporter minigene, even in the absence of the cAMP stimulation [78].

Several stimuli that increase the cAMP intracellular levels were shown to affect AS events through phosphorylation of both SR proteins and hnRNPs by PKA. For example, it was demonstrated that forskolin, which stimulates the synthesis of cAMP, modulates AS of exon 10 of the TAU gene [80, 81]. Notably, activated PKA affects the activity of two SR proteins, SRSF1 and SRSF7, which inversely modulate exon 10 splicing: SRSF1 promotes exon 10 inclusion, whereas SRSF7 prevents it. However, PKA-dependent phosphorylation of SRSF1 enhances its activity [80] whereas it inhibits SRSF7 [81], thus globally favoring exon 10 inclusion.

PKA is also able to modulate AS of genes that are crucial for neuronal differentiation, through the phosphorylation of hnRNP K. After phosphorylation by PKA, hnRNP K shows higher binding activity to its target mRNAs with respect to its competitor U2AF65; this mechanism impairs the recognition of the 3' splice site and leads to the skipping of its target exons [82]. On a broader view, hnRNP K target motifs are found in many genes involved in neuronal differentiation and in neurological diseases [82]. These pieces of evidence suggest that PKA mediated regulation of hnRNPs and SR proteins activity may be an important player in the complex network of regulative mechanisms that finely control AS events during neuronal development (reviewed in [83]). Although cAMP and PKA are usually involved in cell differentiation, their contribution to cancer has also been demonstrated. It will be interesting to investigate whether PKA-dependent modulation of AS also occurs in genes with relevance to human cancer.

7. Other Kinases

In this section, we will discuss the regulative activity of some proteins that showed an unexpected kinase activity towards splicing factors, so that they cannot be included in any of the classes described previously. Some of these kinases were known to have other specific substrates different from splicing factors, for others, instead, the kinase activity was totally unpredicted.

7.1. DNA Topoisomerase I. The first of these atypical kinases to be described was the DNA topoisomerase I, whose best known function is to relieve both positive and negative DNA supercoils ensuring correct DNA topology during transcription, DNA replication and repair (reviewed in [84]). Despite the absence of a canonical ATP binding site, DNA topoisomerase I was shown to phosphorylate SR proteins, in particular the prototypic SRSF1, within their RS domain [85]. This phosphorylation event can significantly affect SR proteins modulatory activity on AS events. Indeed, it has been demonstrated that cells deficient for this enzyme show a general status of hypophosphorylation for the SR proteins, which correlates with an impaired regulation of several AS events, whereas constitutive splicing results unaffected [86]. Moreover, treatment with a selective inhibitor of the kinase activity of DNA topoisomerase I results in reduced phosphorylation levels for SR proteins, which in turn leads to a defective spliceosome assembly and alterations in the splicing pattern of several genes [87]. As it is now well established that pre-mRNA splicing occurs cotranscriptionally, it has been suggested that this double activity of DNA topoisomerase I
could be one of the mechanisms ensuring the correct coordination between DNA transcription and splicing [88]. Indeed, DNA topoisomerase I activity is fundamental to solve DNA supercoils generated by RNA pol II progression along the DNA template and might simultaneously ensure a regulated splicing factor activity through their phosphorylation.

7.2. Dual-Specificity Tyrosine-(Y)-Phosphorylation Regulated Kinase 1A (DIRK1A). Another protein kinase able to modulate the splicing activity of SR proteins is DIRK1A (dual-specificity tyrosine-(Y)-phosphorylation regulated kinase 1A). This dual-specificity protein kinase autoprophosphorylates on Tyr, Ser, and Thr residues but phosphorylates substrates only on Ser or Thr residues (reviewed in [89]). The human DIRK1A gene maps to chromosome 21, and it is ubiquitously expressed in adult and fetal tissues, with high levels of expression in the brain. DIRK1A is supposed to play a major role during neuronal development, through its interaction with several cytoskeletal, synaptic, and nuclear proteins (reviewed in [89]). Several SR proteins were shown to interact with DYRK1A. Indeed, DYRK1A has been reported to colocalize with SRSF2 in nuclear speckles, and its overexpression induces the disassembly of this subnuclear structures [90]. Alteration of subcellular localization of the SR proteins phosphorylated by DYRK1A seems to be the main mechanism by which this kinase regulates the splicing activity of its target factors. For instance, phosphorylation of SRSF1 and SRSF7 by DYRK1A induces their cytoplasmic translocation [91, 92], whereas phosphorylation of SRSF2 and SRSF6 causes their dissociation from nuclear speckles [93, 94]. For each of these splicing factors the mislocalization induced by DYRK1A impaired their ability to modulate the inclusion of exon 10 of the \( \text{TAU} \) gene, thus shifting the splicing balance toward the exclusion of this exon.

7.3. Fas-Activated Serine/Threonine Kinase (FAST). Fas-activated serine/threonine kinase (FAST) is a constitutively phosphorylated Ser/Thr kinase, which undergoes rapid dephosphorylation after the binding of Fas ligand to its receptor Fas, an interaction that triggers T-cell apoptosis. It was known that dephosphorylated FAST was able to interact with and phosphorylate the RBP TIA1 [95], but the functional relevance of this interaction in the regulation of the splicing process remained unknown for a long time. It was later discovered that phosphorylation of TIA1 by FAST regulates its ability to promote the inclusion of exon 6 of the \( \text{FAS} \) gene [96]. Phosphorylated TIA1 enhances U1 snRNP recruitment to FAS pre-mRNA, thus favoring the recognition of this variable exon. Inclusion of exon 6 into the FAS mRNA favors the production of a proapoptotic isoform of this gene, suggesting that FAST and TIA1 take part to a positive regulatory circuitry that enhances Fas-dependent apoptosis once activated. Furthermore FAST is also endowed with an intrinsic splicing activity, independent from TIA1 [97]. It was observed that FAST can modulate the splicing of the \( \text{FGFR2} \) reporter gene in the same direction of TIA1, favoring the inclusion of exon III b but independently from this RBP. Thus, FAST can directly and indirectly affect splicing, and it would be interesting to determine how many targets are influenced by this kinase in T cells.

7.4. Aurora Kinase A (AURKA). AURKA was identified in a high-throughput siRNA screening for factors involved in the regulation of AS of two apoptotic genes: \( \text{BCL-X} \) and MCL1 [98]. Among several regulators identified by the screen, authors noticed a peculiar enrichment for proteins involved in the regulation of the cell cycle. They focused their study on AURKA, a kinase involved in the regulation of centrosomal splitting that is frequently upregulated in cancers, where it is supposed to promote aneuploidy [99]. AURKA was demonstrated to positively regulate splicing of the antiapoptotic variant \( \text{BCL-X}_L \) through stabilization of SRSF1. Cells depleted of AURKA showed reduced levels of SRSF1, which then resulted in increased levels of the \( \text{BCL-X}_S \) pro-apoptotic variant. Moreover, since AURKA is activated at the G2/M phase of the cell cycle, the authors suggested that this kinase links \( \text{BCL-X} \) splicing regulation to cell cycle progression. These observations suggest that, in addition to the effects on centrosome duplication, upregulation of AURKA can favor neoplastic transformation also by promoting antiapoptotic splice variants.

8. Splicing Factor Kinases in Cancer and Other Human Diseases

Due to the important role played by the AS process in the control of gene expression, any alterations of its regulation can profoundly modify important cellular processes, thus resulting in a potential cause of disease (reviewed in [100]). Altered expression, activity, or subcellular localization of splicing factor kinases can be among the causes of the aberrant splicing events associated to several diseases, particularly neurodegenerative pathologies and cancer.

Aberrant inclusion of exon 10 of the \( \text{TAU} \) gene is a well-known example of pathogenetic splicing event, caused by the deregulated activity or expression of splicing factor kinases. \( \text{TAU} \) protein is a microtubule associated protein, which controls assembly and stability of microtubules. Exon 10 of the \( \text{TAU} \) gene encodes for one of the four microtubule binding domain repeats (R) of the \( \text{TAU} \) protein and regulates its affinity for microtubules and, consequently, its ability to induce their polymerization. Alternative inclusion of exon 10 leads to the production of either 4R-tau (inclusion) or 3R-tau (exclusion), and equal levels of these two isoforms seem to be essential for normal function of the human brain. Alteration of the normal ratio 1:1 between the 4R and the 3R isoform, in both directions, has been observed in several cases of Alzheimer's disease (AD); moreover, nearly half of the mutations in the \( \text{TAU} \) gene associated with FTDP-17 (frontotemporal dementia with parkinsonism linked to chromosome 17) affects exon 10 splicing, both inhibiting or promoting its inclusion, strongly suggesting that a proper regulation of this splicing event is essential for the maintenance of the healthy balance between 4R and 3R isoforms (reviewed in [101]). Several reports have highlighted or suggested a strong correlation between aberrant splicing of
tumor grade and areas associated with higher resistance to chemotherapeutic treatments [105, 108]. Through modulation of selective splicing events, SRPK1 may allow cancer cells to enhance their proliferative, invasive, and angiogenic potential. For example, in pancreatic, breast, and colon cancer cells SRPK1 promotes the generation of specific splice variants of the MAP2K2 gene, which sustained higher activity of the MAPK pathway [106]. Recently, SRSF1 mediated splicing of the MNK2b isoform of the MKNK2 gene has been correlated with resistance to gemcitabine treatment in pancreatic cancer cells [109]; since SRPK1 is upregulated in this cancer type and promotes cell survival, it would be interesting to evaluate whether this kinase contributes to the SRSF1-induced prosurvival pathway. A similar regulation has been described in Wilms Tumor, wherein SRPK1 promotes the production of the proangiogenic isoform VEGFΔ5 of the VEGFA gene through the phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of SRSF1 [48]. In these nephroblastomas tumors SRPK1 transcriptional upregulation is driven by the mutated transcription factor WT1, and its splicing activity is fundamental for the high levels of vascularization required by these tumors [48]. Importantly, the physiological relevance of SRPK1 for angiogenesis has been demonstrated, as injection of an SRPK1/2 inhibitor reduced it in a mouse model of retinal neovascularization, suggesting that targeting AS through their upstream regulator could be a potential tool to target pathological angiogenesis in cancer [48].

Several signal transduction kinases, whose activity is often deregulated by neoplastic transformation, exert their oncogenic activity in part through the aberrant regulation of splicing events. For instance the MAPK pathway, which is frequently hyperactivated in tumors, can promote the acquisition of an invasive and migratory phenotype by modulating the AS pattern of the cell adhesion molecule CD44. In fact, it has been shown that hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) can induce cell migration of cancer cells by promoting this splicing event, as a consequence of induced ERK1/2-mediated phosphorylation of SAM68, induced by the MET receptor signaling pathway [110]. Also epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), which is crucial for the invasiveness of cancer cells, is regulated by AS events that are sensitive to activation of the MAPK pathway. Indeed, production of the constitutively active AROP splice variant of the RON oncogene, the extracellular receptor for HGF, leads to EMT in colorectal cancer cells [111]. This splicing event is promoted by the upregulation of SRSF1. Remarkably, under conditions that favor EMT, epithelial cells release soluble factors that activate the ERK1/2 pathway. This in turn causes phosphorylation and activation of SAM68, which causes retention of a cryptic intron in the 3' UTR of the SRSF1 mRNA, reducing the amount of the nonsense-mediated-decay (NMD) targeted splice variant and enhancing expression of SRSF1 [112]. Thus, activation of the ERK1/2 pathway triggers a cascade of splicing events that culminate in a cellular response favoring cancer cell invasion.

Activation of the AKT pathway has also been suggested to promote cancer cell survival through the regulation of specific splicing events. For example, it has been observed that hyperactivation of AKT through the RAS signaling pathway is implicated in the production of pro-survival splice variants of the KLF-6 and CASPASE-9 genes in nonsmall-cell lung cancer and hepatocellular carcinoma, respectively [113, 114]. In both cases, AKT induces SRSF1 phosphorylation, enhancing its ability to promote KLF-6 SV1 and CASPASE-9b isoforms. These observations strongly suggest a primary role for this splicing regulatory activity in the oncogenic potential of AKT.

9. Protein Phosphatase Regulating Splicing Factors

In the previous paragraphs, we have broadly described the importance of a proper balance between phosphorylation and dephosphorylation events in the regulation of the pre-mRNA splicing process. Therefore, even if this review focuses primarily on the activity of the numerous kinases involved in this regulation, a brief description of the protein phosphatases counteracting their activity is also required for a comprehensive overview of the phosphorylative regulation of splicing.

PP1 and PP2A were the first Ser/Thr phosphatases whose activity was demonstrated to be necessary for splicing catalysis [5, 6]. PP1 and PP2A are required for the later steps of the splicing reaction, in particular for the
second transesterification reaction, whose accomplishment is favored by dephosphorylation of U5 (U5-156 kDa) and U2 (SAPI55) snRNP components [9]. In particular, PP1-mediated dephosphorylation of SAPI55 is favored by the nuclear inhibitor of PP1 (NIPPI). NIPPI is a nuclear regulatory subunit of PP1, enriched in nuclear speckles [115], known to interact with several splicing regulators, like CDC5L or the same SAPI55 [116, 117]. In particular, NIPPI stimulates PP1-mediated dephosphorylation of SAPI55 by facilitating the interaction between the phosphatase and its substrate [10]. In subsequent studies, PP2Cy was also demonstrated to be important for the splicing process, as it was shown to be physically associated with the spliceosome, and its enzymatic activity was necessary for the early steps of spliceosome assembly [118].

Ser/Thr phosphatases are important regulators of both constitutive and AS events, as it was suggested by pioneering studies showing alternative 5' splice selection after addition of PP1 in splicing assay in vitro [119]. Furthermore, PP2Cy was shown to interact with the RBP YB-1 and to modulate AS of the CD44 gene [120], while PP1 was demonstrated to interact with a short motif RVXF motif within the RRM of several splicing factors, like SRSFI, SRSF9 (previously known as SRp30C), and the SR-like protein TRA2-β [121]. Dephosphorylation of TRA2-β by PP1 positively modulates its dimerization and its interaction with partner proteins, like SRSFI. Moreover, PP1 regulates alternative splice selection in TRA2-β target mRNAs like the SMN2 gene [121]. Exclusion of the exon 7 of SMN2 gene, combined with the primary deletion of SMN1 gene, is the cause of the spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) [122]. TRA2-β promotes the inclusion of the exon 7 of SMN2 favoring the production of a functional full length protein. TRA2-β splicing activity is enhanced by inhibition of PP1 activity [121] and, surprisingly, by activation of PP2A [123]. Indeed, the Stamm's group found that a class of compounds derivative from cantharidin (a well-known phosphatase inhibitors) activates PP2A, which in turn dephosphorylates TRA2-β on Thr33, favoring inclusion of exon 7 [123]. These observations suggest the possibility to develop new protein phosphatase inhibitors that could be used for the therapeutic correction of the splicing defects occurring in neurodegenerative diseases like SMA.

Modulating protein phosphatases' activity in order to manipulate pathogenetic splicing events has been suggested as a potential therapeutic tool also for cancer treatment. Indeed, it has been shown that genotoxic agents inducing apoptosis in cancer cells act through the generation of ceramide and activation of PP1, which in turn promotes the formation of the proapoptotic BCL-Xs and CASPASE-9b splice variants [124]. On the other hand, it has been shown that the proapoptotic activity of synthetic ceramides, like C6 pyridinium ceramide, is instead associated with activation of PP1 and the consequent reduced phosphorylation of several splicing factors and modulation of several splicing events [125]. These observations underline the importance of the regulated activity of protein phosphatases for proper regulation of the splicing process and strongly suggest the possibility to develop new molecules targeting their activity, which could be used for the therapeutic correction of the splicing defects occurring in several human diseases.

10. Concluding Remarks

Increasing evidence points out to a key role of misregulation of AS in the cellular transformation process. Cancer-specific splice variants can potentially be used as accurate diagnostic and prognostic markers, as it was recently highlighted by genome-wide studies [126, 127]. Targeting the splicing process represents, therefore, an attractive therapeutic target for cancer treatment, and it is currently under intense investigation. Therapeutic modulation of AS is mainly realized through RNA-based technologies (reviewed in [128]) or through chemical reagents inhibiting spliceosome activity (reviewed in [129]). The RNA-based technologies exploit antisense oligonucleotide masking specific sequence elements to splicing factors and/or the spliceosome [130], whereas chemical approaches make use of drugs that directly target the activity of spliceosomal components, as for example spliceostatin A, which inhibits the SF3b subunit of the U2 snRNP, thereby modulating the AS of genes important for cell cycle control [131].

Considering the important control exerted by protein kinases on AS, modulation of their activity represents a potential approach for the development of new drugs targeting RNA splicing in cancer therapy. These suggestions are supported by recent reports highlighting the high efficacy of SRPK1/2 inhibitors in reducing angiogenesis through the negative modulation of the AS of the proangiogenic splice variant VEGFxx gene [48]. Considering the great impact that SRPKs have on the splicing activity of SR proteins and the large number of AS events that they regulate, modulation of SRPK activity could be a powerful tool in the emerging field of splicing-modulating therapies. It is also important to mention that SRSFI, a well-known target of SRPKs, is upregulated in human cancers and functions as an oncogene [132]. For the same reasons, CLKs are a fascinating chemotherapeutic target too, and important efforts are being made for the realization of selective and efficient CLKs inhibitors [133].

Signal-transduction pathways able to modulate the phosphorylation status of SR proteins or the activity of other RBPs represent another potential druggable target for RNA splicing modulation. For example, it has been recently shown that amiloride, a well-known diuretic, can reduce proliferative and invasive properties of both hepatocellular carcinoma and leukemia cancer cells by inducing hypophosphorylation of SR-proteins [134, 135]. Genome-wide exon array analysis has demonstrated that amiloride treatment induces the modulation of a large number of AS events, and, in particular, it negatively regulates protumoral splice variants of several genes, such as the antiapoptotic BCL-Xs or proinvasive ΔRON. Reduced phosphorylation levels of AKT and ERKs were observed after amiloride treatment, suggesting that this drug reduces SR protein phosphorylation through inactivation of these kinases [135].

Deregulation of signal-transduction pathways in cancer cells is a general feature, and much effort has been made in order to develop chemotherapeutic agents that efficiently
inhibit the activity of the kinases mediating the intracellular transduction of these signals, such as AKT or kinases of the MAPK and SRC families (reviewed in [136–138]). As many of these inhibitors are already in clinical practice, and many of them are undergoing promising clinical trials, it would be very interesting to understand whether their antiproliferative and cytotoxic effects could be partly due to their ability to interfere with AS events regulated by these kinases. Even more attractive is the possibility to exploit protein kinase inhibitors to selectively affect splicing decisions in order to restore in cancer cells a normal, nonpathological AS pattern.

Shedding light on the expression, structure, and functions of the kinases regulating the activity of splicing factors is therefore an important step for a comprehensive understanding of the molecular mechanisms regulating pre-mRNA processing, which is essential for the rational design of future therapies targeting the aberrant AS process in cancer and other human diseases.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

The work in the laboratory of C. Sette was supported by Association for International Cancer Research (AICR Grant no. 12-0150), the Associazione Italiana Ricerca sul Cancro (AIRC), and Istituto Superiore della Sanità (ISS Grant Convenzione 11US/6A).

References

[1] N. L. Barbosa-Morais, M. Irimia, Q. Pan et al., “The evolutionary landscape of alternative splicing in vertebrate species,” Science, vol. 338, no. 6114, pp. 1587–1593, 2012.

[2] M. Sultan, M. H. Schulz, H. Richard et al., “A global view of gene activity and alternative splicing by deep sequencing of the human transcriptome,” Science, vol. 321, no. 5891, pp. 956–960, 2008.

[3] M. Irimia and B. J. Blencowe, “Alternative splicing: decoding an expansive regulatory layer,” Current Opinion in Cell Biology, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 323–332, 2012.

[4] C. L. Will and R. Lührmann, “Spliceosome structure and function,” Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, vol. 3, no. 7, 2011.

[5] J. E. Mermoud, P. Cohen, and A. I. Lamond, “Ser/Thr-specific protein phosphatases are required for both catalytic steps of pre-mRNA splicing,” Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 20, no. 20, pp. 5263–5269, 1992.

[6] J. E. Mermoud, P. T. W. Cohen, and A. I. Lamond, “Regulation of mammalian spliceosome assembly by a protein phosphorylation mechanism,” EMBO Journal, vol. 13, no. 23, pp. 5679–5688, 1994.

[7] R. Mathew, K. Hartmuth, S. Möhlmann, H. Urlaub, R. Ficner, and R. Lührmann, “Phosphorylation of human PRP28 by SRPK2 is required for integration of the U4/U6-Us tri-snRNP into the spliceosome,” Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 435–443, 2008.

[8] M. Schneider, H.-H. Hsiao, C. L. Will, R. Giet, H. Urlaub, and R. Lührmann, “Human PRP4 kinase is required for stable tri-snRNP association during spliceosomal B complex formation,” Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 216–221, 2010.

[9] Y. Shi, B. Reddy, and J. L. Manley, “PP1/PP2A phosphatases are required for the second step of Pre-mRNA splicing and target specific snRNP proteins,” Molecular Cell, vol. 23, no. 6, pp. 819–829, 2006.

[10] N. Tanuma, S.-E. Kim, M. Beullens et al., “Nuclear inhibitor of protein phosphatase-1 (NIPPI) directs protein phosphatase-1 (PP1) to dephosphorylate the U2 small nuclear ribonucleoprotein particle (snRNP) component, spliceosome-associated protein 155 (Sap155),” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 283, no. 51, pp. 35805–35814, 2008.

[11] V. Manseau, M. Swenson, J.-P. Le Caer, A. Sobel, C. L. Kielkopf, and A. Maucuer, “Major phosphorylation of SFI on adjacent Ser-Pro motifs enhances interaction with U2AF65,” FEBS Journal, vol. 273, no. 3, pp. 577–587, 2006.

[12] S. Cho, A. Hoang, R. Sinha et al., “Interaction between the RNA binding domains of Ser-Arg splicing factor I and U1-70K snRNP protein determines early spliceosome assembly,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 108, no. 20, pp. 8233–8238, 2011.

[13] J. Prasad, K. Colwill, T. Pawson, and J. L. Manley, “The protein kinase Clk/Sty directly modulates SR protein activity: both hyper- and hypophosphorylation inhibit splicing,” Molecular and Cellular Biology, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 6991–7000, 1999.

[14] W. Cao, S. F. Jamison, and M. A. Garcia-Blanco, “Both phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of ASF/SF2 are required for pre-mRNA splicing in vitro,” RNA, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 1456–1467, 1997.

[15] R. Tacke, Y. Chen, and J. L. Manley, “Sequence-specific RNA binding by an SR protein requires RS domain phosphorylation: creation of an SRp40-specific splicing enhancer,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 94, no. 4, pp. 1148–1153, 1997.

[16] Y. Feng, M. Chen, and J. L. Manley, “Phosphorylation switches the general splicing repressor SRp38 to a sequence-specific activator,” Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, vol. 15, no. 10, pp. 1040–1048, 2008.

[17] C. Shin and J. L. Manley, “The SR protein SRp38 represses splicing in M phase cells,” Cell, vol. 111, no. 3, pp. 407–417, 2002.

[18] C. Shin, Y. Feng, and J. L. Manley, “Dephosphorylated SRp38 acts as a splicing repressor in response to heat shock,” Nature, vol. 427, no. 6974, pp. 553–558, 2004.

[19] Y. Shi and J. L. Manley, “A complex signaling pathway regulates SRp38 phosphorylation and pre-mRNA splicing in response to heat shock,” Molecular Cell, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 79–90, 2007.

[20] D. L. Spector and A. I. Lamond, “Nuclear speckles,” Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, vol. 3, no. 2, 2011.

[21] T. Misteli, J. F. C´aceres, J. Q. Clement, A. R. Krainer, M. F. Wilkinson, and D. L. Spector, “Serine phosphorylation of SR proteins is required for their recruitment to sites of transcription in vivo,” Journal of Cell Biology, vol. 143, no. 2, pp. 297–307, 1998.

[22] M.-C. Lai, R.-I. Lin, and W.-Y. Tarn, “Transportin-SR2 mediates nuclear import of phosphorylated SR proteins,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 98, no. 18, pp. 10154–10159, 2001.
during mRNP maturation,” *Molecular Cell*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 413–425, 2005.

[24] Y. Huang, T. A. Yario, and J. A. Steitz, “A molecular link between SR protein dephosphorylation and mRNA export,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 101, no. 26, pp. 9666–9670, 2004.

[25] M.-C. Lai and W.-Y. Tarn, “Hypophosphorylated ASF/SF2 binds TAP and is present in messenger ribonucleoproteins,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 279, no. 30, pp. 31745–31749, 2004.

[26] J. R. Sanford, J. D. Ellis, D. Cazalla, and J. F. Cáceres, “Reversible phosphorylation differentially affects nuclear and cytoplasmic functions of splicing factor 2/alternative splicing factor,” *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 102, no. 42, pp. 15042–15047, 2005.

[27] X.-Y. Zhong, P. Wang, J. Han, M. G. Rosenfeld, and X.-D. Fu, “SR proteins in vertical integration of gene expression from transcription to RNA processing to translation,” *Molecular Cell*, vol. 35, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2009.

[28] W. V. D. H. Van Oordt, M. T. Diaz-Meco, J. Lozano, A. R. Krainer, J. Moscat, and J. F. Cáceres, “The MKK(3/6)-p38-signaling cascade alters the subcellular distribution of hnRNP A1 and modulates alternative splicing regulation,” *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 149, no. 2, pp. 307–316, 2000.

[29] S. Guil, J. C. Long, and J. F. Cáceres, “hnRNP A1 relocalization to the stress granules reflects a role in the stress response,” *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 26, no. 15, pp. 5744–5758, 2006.

[30] P. Stoilov, R. Dauod, O. Nayler, and S. Stamm, “Human tr2-betaatal autoregulates its protein concentration by influencing alternative splicing of its pre-mRNA,” *Human Molecular Genetics*, vol. 13, no. 5, pp. 509–524, 2004.

[31] R. W. Goehje, J. C. Shultz, C. Murudkar et al., “hnRNPL regulates the tumorigenic capacity of lung cancer xenografts in mice via caspase-9 pre-mRNA processing,” *Journal of Clinical Investigation*, vol. 120, no. 11, pp. 3923–3939, 2010.

[32] G. Liu, A. Razanau, Y. Hai et al., “A conserved serine of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein L (hnRNPL) mediates depolymerization-regulated alternative splicing of potassium channels,” *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 287, no. 27, pp. 22709–22716, 2012.

[33] C. Sette, “Post-translational regulation of star proteins and effects on their biological functions,” *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology*, vol. 693, pp. 54–66, 2010.

[34] K. E. Lukong, D. Larocque, A. L. Tyner, and S. Richard, “Tyrosine phosphorylation of Sam68 by breast tumor kinase regulates its splice site utilization, cell migration and invasion,” *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 1165–1177, 2000.

[35] M. P. Paronetto, T. Achsel, A. Massiello, C. E. Chalfant, and C. Sette, “The RNA-binding protein Sam68 modulates the alternative splicing of Bcl-x,” *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 176, no. 7, pp. 929–939, 2007.

[36] N. Matter, P. Herrlich, and H. König, “Signal-dependent regulation of splicing via phosphorylation of Sam68,” *Nature*, vol. 420, no. 6916, pp. 691–695, 2002.

[37] C. Cheng and P. A. Sharp, “Regulation of CD44 alternative splicing by SRM160 and its potential role in tumor cell invasion,” *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 362–370, 2006.

[38] M. P. Paronetto, M. Cappellari, R. Bussa et al., “Alternative splicing of the cyclin D1 proto-oncogene is regulated by the RNA-binding protein Sam68,” *Cancer Research*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 229–239, 2010.

[39] J.-F. Gui, W. S. Lane, and X.-D. Fu, “A serine kinase regulates intracellular localization of splicing factors in the cell cycle,” *Nature*, vol. 369, no. 6482, pp. 678–682, 1994.

[40] G. Ghosh and J. A. Adams, “Phosphorylation mechanism and structure of serine-arginine protein kinases,” *FEBS Journal*, vol. 278, no. 4, pp. 587–597, 2011.

[41] J.-H. Ding, X.-Y. Zhong, J. C. Hagopian et al., “Regulated cellular partitioning of SR protein-specific kinases in mammalian cells,” *Molecular Biology of the Cell*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 876–885, 2006.

[42] X.-Y. Zhong, J.-H. Ding, J. A. Adams, G. Ghosh, and X.-D. Fu, “Regulation of SR protein phosphorylation and alternative splicing by modulating kinetic interactions of SRPK1 with molecular chaperones,” *Genes and Development*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 482–495, 2009.

[43] Z. Zhou, J. Qiu, W. Liu et al., “The Akt-SRPK-SR axis constitutes a major pathway in transducing EGF signaling to regulate alternative splicing in the nucleus,” *Molecular Cell*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 422–433, 2012.

[44] C. Y. Yun and X.-D. Fu, “Conserved SR protein kinase functions in nuclear import and its action is counteracted by arginine methylation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae,” *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 150, no. 4, pp. 707–717, 2000.

[45] C. Y. Yun, A. L. Velazquez-Dones, S. K. Lyman, and X.-D. Fu, “Phosphorylation-dependent and -independent nuclear import of RS domain-containing splicing factors and regulators,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 278, no. 20, pp. 18050–18055, 2003.

[46] H.-Y. Wang, W. Lin, J. A. Dyck et al., “SRPK2: a differentially expressed SR protein-specific kinase involved in mediating the interaction and localization of pre-mRNA splicing factors in mammalian cells,” *Journal of Cell Biology*, vol. 140, no. 4, pp. 737–750, 1998.

[47] D. G. Nowak, E. M. Amin, E. S. Ren nel et al., “Regulation of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) splicing from pro-angiogenic to anti-angiogenic isoforms: a novel therapeutic strategy for angiogenesis,” *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 285, no. 8, pp. 5532–5540, 2010.

[48] E. M. Amin, S. Oltean, J. Hua et al., “WT1 mutants reveal SRPK1 to be a downstream angiogenesis target by altering VEGF splicing,” *Cancer Cell*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 768–780, 2011.

[49] K. Colwill, T. Pawson, B. Andrews et al., “The Clk/Sty protein kinase phosphorylates SR splicing factors and regulates their intranuclear distribution,” *EMBO Journal*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 265–275, 1996.

[50] P. I. Duncan, D. F. Stojdl, R. M. Marius, and J. C. Bell, “In vivo regulation of alternative pre-mRNA splicing by the Clk1 protein kinase,” *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 5996–6001, 1997.

[51] A. M. Hartmann, D. Rujescu, T. Giannakouros et al., “Regulation of alternative splicing of human tau exon 10 by phosphorylation of phosphorylation factors,” *Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 80–90, 2001.

[52] Y. Liu, L. Conaway, J. Rutherford Bethard et al., “Phosphorylation of the alternative mRNA splicing factor 45 (SPF45) by Clk1 regulates its splice site utilization, cell migration and invasion,” *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 4949–4962, 2013.

[53] J. C. K. Ngo, S. Chakrabarti, J.-H. Ding et al., “Interplay between SRPK and Clk/Sty kinases in phosphorylation of the splicing factor ASF/SF2 is regulated by a docking motif in ASF/SF2,” *Molecular Cell*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 77–89, 2005.
K. Jiang, N. A. Patel, J. E. Watson et al., “Akt2 regulation of M. Cargnello and P. P. Roux, “Activation and function of pro- and anti-angiogenic isoforms of VEGF is differentially regulated by splicing and growth factors,” Journal of Cell Science, vol. 121, no. 20, pp. 3487–3495, 2008.

T. F. Franke, “PI3K/Akt: getting it right matters,” Oncogene, vol. 27, no. 50, pp. 6473–6488, 2008.

T. Obata, M. B. Yaffe, G. G. Leparc et al., “Peptide and protein library screening defines optimal substrate motifs for AKT/PKB,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 275, no. 46, pp. 36108–36115, 2000.

N. T. Vu, M. A. Park, J. C. Shultz et al., “hnRNP U enhances caspase-9 splicing and is modulated by AKT-dependent phosphorylation of hnRNP L,” The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 288, no. 12, pp. 8575–8584, 2013.

O. D. Jo, J. Martin, A. Bernath, J. Masri, A. Lichtenstein, and J. Gera, “Heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 regulates cyclin D1 and c-myc internal ribosome entry site function through Akt signaling,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 283, no. 34, pp. 23274–23287, 2008.

M. Blaustein, F. Pelisch, T. Tanos et al., “Concerted regulation of nuclear and cytoplasmic activities of SR proteins by AKT,” Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, vol. 12, no. 12, pp. 1037–1044, 2005.

C. E. Chalfant, H. Mischak, J. E. Watson et al., “Regulation of alternative splicing of protein kinase Cβ by insulin,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 270, no. 22, pp. 13326–13332, 1995.

N. A. Patel, C. E. Chalfant, J. E. Watson et al., “Insulin regulates alternative splicing of protein kinase C beta II through a phosphorylatedinositol 3-kinase-dependent pathway involving the nuclear serine/arginine-rich splicing factor, Srp40, in skeletal muscle cells,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 276, no. 25, pp. 22648–22654, 2001.

A. Haegebarth, D. Heap, W. Bie, J. J. Derry, S. Richard, and A. L. Tyner, “The nuclear tyrosine kinase BRK/Sik phosphorylates and inhibits the RNA-binding activities of the Sam68-like mammalian proteins SLM-I and SLM-2,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 279, no. 52, pp. 54398–54404, 2004.

A. M. Hartmann, O. Nayler, F. W. Schwaiger, A. Obermeier, and S. Stamm, “The interaction and colocalization of Sam68 with the splicing-associated factor YT521-B in nuclear dots is regulated by the Src family kinase p59(fyn),” Molecular Biology of the Cell, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 3909–3926, 1999.

Rafalska, Z. Zhang, N. Benderska et al., “The intranuclear localization and function of YT521-B is regulated by tyrosine phosphorylation,” Human Molecular Genetics, vol. 13, no. 15, pp. 1535–1549, 2004.

P. Sassone-Corsi, “The cysc AMP pathway,” Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, vol. 4, no. 12, 2012.

A. K. Kvissel, S. Ørstavik, S. Eikvar et al., “Involvement of the catalytic subunit of protein kinase A and of HAF95 in pre-mRNA splicing,” Experimental Cell Research, vol. 313, no. 13, pp. 2795–2809, 2007.

E. Jarnæss, A. J. Stokka, A.-K. Kvissel et al., “Splicing factor arginine/serine-rich 17A (SFRS17A) is an A-kinase anchoring protein that targets protein kinase A to splicing factor compartments,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 284, no. 50, pp. 35154–35164, 2009.

J. Shi, W. Qian, X. Yin et al., “Cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase regulates the alternative splicing of tau exon 10: a mechanism involved in tau pathology of Alzheimer disease,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 286, no. 16, pp. 14639–14648, 2011.

J. Gu, J. Shi, S. Wu et al., “Cyclic AMP-dependent protein kinase regulates 9G8-mediated alternative splicing of tau exon 10,” FEBS Letters, vol. 586, no. 16, pp. 2239–2244, 2012.

W. Cao, A. Razanau, D. Feng, Y. G. Lobo, and J. Xie, “Control of alternative splicing by forskolin through hnRNP K during neuronal differentiation,” Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 40, no. 16, pp. 8059–8071, 2012.

S. Zheng and D. L. Black, “Alternative pre-mRNA splicing in neurons: growing up and extending its reach,” Trends in Genetics, vol. 29, no. 8, pp. 442–448, 2013.
J. M. Izquierdo and J. Valcárcel, “Fas-activated M. Álvarez, X. Estivill, and S. de la Luna, “DYRK1A accumulates R. Reiter, P. Gais, U. Jutting et al., “Aurora kinase A messenger
RNA phosphorylation and exonic enhancer-dependent splicing in Mammalian cells lacking topoisomerase I,” Cancer Research, vol. 63, no. 23, pp. 8203–8211, 2003.

J. Soret, M. Gabut, C. Dupon et al., “Specific inhibition of serine- and arginine-rich splicing factors phosphorylation, spliceosome assembly, and splicing by the antitumor drug NB-506,” Cancer Research, vol. 61, no. 18, pp. 6876–6884, 2001.

M. Malanga, A. Czubaty, A. Girstun, K. Staron, and F. R. Althaus, “Poly(ADP-ribose) binds to the splicing factor ASF/SF2 and regulates its phosphorylation by DNA topoisomerase I,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 283, no. 29, pp. 19991–19998, 2008.

F. J. Tejedor and B. Hämmerle, “MNB/DYRK1A as a multiple regulator of neuronal development,” FEBS Journal, vol. 278, no. 2, pp. 223–235, 2011.

M. Álvarez, X. Estivill, and S. de la Luna, “DYRK1A accumulates in splicing speckles through a novel targeting signal and induces speckle disassembly,” Journal of Cell Science, vol. 116, no. 15, pp. 3099–3107, 2003.

J. Shi, T. Zhang, C. Zhou et al., “Increased dosage of Dyrk1A alters alternative splicing factor (ASF)-regulated alternative splicing of tau in down syndrome,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 283, no. 42, pp. 286660–286669, 2008.

S. Ding, J. Shi, W. Qian et al., “Regulation of alternative splicing of tau exon 10 by 9G8 and Dyrk1A,” Neurobiology of Aging, 2011.

W. Qian, H. Liang, J. Shi et al., “Regulation of the alternative splicing of tau exon 10 by SC35 and Dyrk1A,” Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 39, no. 14, pp. 6161–6171, 2011.

X. Yin, N. Jin, J. Gu et al., “Dual-specificity tyrosine phosphorylation-regulated kinase 1A (Dyrk1A) modulates serine/arginine-rich protein 55 (SRp55)-promoted Tau exon 10 inclusion,” The Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 287, no. 36, pp. 30497–30506, 2012.

Q. Tian, J.-L. Taupin, S. Elledge, M. Robertson, and P. Anderson, “Fas-activated serine/threonine kinase (FAST) phosphorylates TIA-1 during Fas-mediated apoptosis,” Journal of Experimental Medicine, vol. 182, no. 3, pp. 865–874, 1995.

J. M. Izquierdo and J. Valcárcel, “Fas-activated Serine/Threonine Kinase (FAST K) synergizes with TIA-1/TIAR proteins to regulate Fas alternative splicing,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 282, no. 3, pp. 1539–1543, 2007.

M. Simarro, D. Mauger, C. Dupon et al., “Fas-activated serine/threonine phosphoprotein (FAST) is a regulator of alternative splicing,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 104, no. 27, pp. 11370–11375, 2007.

M. J. Moore, Q. Wang, C. J. Kennedy, and P. A. Silver, “An alternative splicing network links cell-cycle control to apoptosis,” Cell, vol. 142, no. 4, pp. 625–636, 2010.

R. Reiter, P. Gais, U. Jutting et al., “Aurora kinase A messenger RNA overexpression is correlated with tumor progression and shortened survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma,” Clinical Cancer Research, vol. 12, no. 17, pp. 5136–5141, 2006.

T. A. Cooper, L. Wan, and G. Dreyfuss, “RNA and disease,” Cell, vol. 136, no. 4, pp. 777–793, 2009.

A. Andreadis, “Tau splicing and the intricacies of dementia,” Journal of Cellular Physiology, vol. 227, no. 3, pp. 1220–1225, 2012.

D. C. Glatz, D. Rujescu, Y. Tang et al., “The alternative splicing of tau exon 10 and its regulatory proteins CLK2 and TRA2B ETA1 changes in sporadic Alzheimer’s disease,” Journal of Neurochemistry, vol. 96, no. 3, pp. 635–644, 2006.

Z. Liang, F. Liu, J. Grundke-Iqbal, K. Iqbal, and C.-X. Gong, “Down-regulation of cAMP-dependent protein kinase by over-activated calpain in Alzheimer disease brain,” Journal of Neurochemistry, vol. 103, no. 6, pp. 2462–2470, 2007.

J. Zhou, Q. Yu, and T. Zou, “Alternative splicing of exon 10 in the tau gene as a target for treatment of tauopathies,” BMC Neuroscience, vol. 9, no. 2, article S10, 2008.

G. M. Hayes, P. E. Carrigan, A. M. Beck, and L. J. Miller, “Targeting the RNA splicing machinery as a novel treatment strategy for pancreatic carcinoma,” Cancer Research, vol. 66, no. 7, pp. 3819–3827, 2006.

G. M. Hayes, P. E. Carrigan, and L. J. Miller, “Serine-arginine protein kinase 1 overexpression is associated with tumorigenic imbalance in mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways in breast, colonic, and pancreatic carcinomas,” Cancer Research, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 2072–2080, 2007.

S. Gout, E. Brambilla, A. Boudria et al., “Abnormal expression of the pre-mRNA splicing regulators SR5F1, SRSF2, SRPK1 and SRPK2 in non-small cell lung carcinoma,” PLoS ONE, vol. 7, no. 10, Article ID e46539, 2012.

K. Odunsi, P. Mhawech-Fauceglia, C. Andrews et al., “Elevated expression of the serine-arginine protein kinase 1 gene in ovarian cancer and its role in Cisplatin cytotoxicity in vitro,” PLoS ONE, vol. 7, no. 12, 2012.

L. Adesso, S. Calabretta, F. Barbagallo et al., “Gemcitabine triggers a pro-survival response in pancreatic cancer cells through activation of the MNK2/eIF4E pathway,” Oncogene, vol. 32, no. 23, pp. 2848–2857, 2013.

A. Locatelli and C. A. Lange, “Met receptors induce Sam68-dependent cell migration by activation of alternate extracellular signal-regulated kinase family members,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 286, no. 24, pp. 21062–21072, 2011.

C. Ghigna, S. Giordano, H. Shen et al., “Cell motility is controlled by SF2/ASF through alternative splicing of the Ron protooncogene,” Molecular Cell, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 881–890, 2005.

C. Valacca, S. Bonomi, E. Buratti et al., “Sam68 regulates EMT through alternative splicing-activated nonsense-mediated mRNA decay of the SF2/ASF proto-oncogene,” Journal of Cell Biology, vol. 191, no. 1, pp. 87–99, 2010.

S. Yea, G. Narla, X. Zhao et al., “Ras promotes growth by alternative splicing-mediated inactivation of the KLIF6 tumor suppressor in hepatocellular carcinoma,” Gastroenterology, vol. 134, no. 5, pp. 1521–1531, 2008.

J. C. Shultz, R. W. Goche, D. S. Wijesinghe et al., “Alternative splicing of caspase 9 is modulated by the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt pathway via phosphorylation of SRp30a,” Cancer Research, vol. 70, no. 22, pp. 9185–9196, 2010.

L. Trinkle-Mulcahy, P. Ajulu, A. Prescott et al., “Nuclear organisation of NIPPI, a regulatory subunit of protein phosphatase 1 that associates with pre-mRNA splicing factors,” Journal of Cell Science, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 157–168, 1999.
A. Boudrez, M. Beullens, P. Groenen et al., “NIPP1-mediated interaction of protein phosphatase-1 with CDC5L, a regulator of pre-mRNA splicing and mitotic entry,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 275, no. 33, pp. 25411–25417, 2000.

A. Boudrez, M. Beullens, E. Waelkens, W. Stalmans, and M. Bollen, “Phosphorylation-dependent interaction between the splicing factors SAPI55 and NIPP1,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 277, no. 35, pp. 31834–31841, 2002.

M. V. Murray, R. Kobayashi, and A. R. Krainer, “The type 2C Ser/Thr phosphatase PP2Cγ is a pre-mRNA splicing factor,” Genes and Development, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 87–97, 1999.

B. Cardinali, P. T. W. Cohen, and A. I. Lamond, “Protein phosphatase 1 can modulate alternative 5’ splice site selection in a HeLa splicing extract,” FEBS Letters, vol. 352, no. 3, pp. 276–280, 1994.

E. Allemand, M. L. Hastings, M. V. Murray, M. P. Myers, and A. R. Krainer, “Alternative splicing regulation by interaction of phosphatase PP2Cγ with nucleic acid-binding protein YB-1,” Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, vol. 14, no. 7, pp. 630–638, 2007.

T. Novoyatleva, B. Heinrich, Y. Tang et al., “Protein phosphatase 1 binds to the RNA recognition motif of several splicing factors and regulates alternative pre-mRNA processing,” Human Molecular Genetics, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 52–70, 2008.

C. L. Lorson, E. Hahnen, E. J. Androphy, and B. Wirth, “A single nucleotide in the SMN gene regulates splicing and is responsible for spinal muscular atrophy,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 96, no. 11, pp. 6307–6311, 1999.

Z. Zhang, O. Kelemen, M. A. Van Santen et al., “Synthesis and characterization of pseudocantharidins, novel phosphatase modulators that promote the inclusion of exon 7 into the SMN (survival of motoneuron) pre-mRNA,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 286, no. 12, pp. 10126–10136, 2011.

C. E. Chalfant, K. Rathman, R. L. Pinkerman et al., “De novo ceramide regulates the alternative splicing of caspase 9 and Bcl-x in A549 lung adenocarcinoma cells. Dependence on protein phosphatase-1,” Journal of Biological Chemistry, vol. 277, no. 15, pp. 12587–12595, 2002.

C. Sumanasekera, O. Kelemen, M. Beullens et al., “C6 pyridinium ceramide influences alternative pre-mRNA splicing by inhibiting protein phosphatase-1,” Nucleic Acids Research, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 4025–4039, 2012.

J. P. Venables, R. Klinck, A. Bramard et al., “Identification of alternative splicing markers for breast cancer,” Cancer Research, vol. 68, no. 22, pp. 9525–9531, 2008.

K. Lemos, A. M. Grawenda, K. Lodder et al., “Alternate splicing of the p53 inhibitor HDMX offers a superior prognostic biomarker than p53 mutation in human cancer,” Cancer Research, vol. 72, no. 16, pp. 4074–4084, 2012.

R. Kole, A. R. Krainer, and S. Altman, “RNA therapeutics: beyond RNA interference and antisense oligonucleotides,” Nature Reviews Drug Discovery, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 125–140, 2012.

S. Bonnal, L. Vigevani, and J. Valcárcel, “The spliceosome as a target of novel antitumour drugs,” Nature Reviews. Drug Discovery, vol. 11, no. 11, pp. 847–859, 2012.

F. Zammarchi, E. De Stanchina, E. Bournazou et al., “Antitumorigenic potential of STAT3 alternative splicing modulation,” Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 108, no. 43, pp. 17779–17784, 2011.

A. Corrionero, B. Miñana, and J. Valcárcel, “Reduced fidelity of branch point recognition and alternative splicing induced by the anti-tumor drug spliceostatin A,” Genes and Development, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 445–459, 2011.

R. Karni, E. De Stanchina, S. W. Lowe, R. Sinha, D. Mu, and A. R. Krainer, “The gene encoding the splicing factor SF2/ASF is a proto-oncogene,” Nature Structural and Molecular Biology, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 185–193, 2007.

O. Fedorov, K. Huber, A. Eisenreich et al., “Specific CLK inhibitors from a novel chemotype for regulation of alternative splicing,” Chemistry and Biology, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 67–76, 2011.

W.-H. Chang, T.-C. Liu, W.-K. Yang et al., “Amiloride modulates alternative splicing in leukemic cells and resensitizes Bcr-AblT315I mutant cells to imatinib,” Cancer Research, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 383–392, 2011.

J.-Y. Chang, D.-M. Yang, W.-H. Chang et al., “Small molecule Amiloride modulates oncogenic RNA alternative splicing to devitalize human cancer cells,” PLoS ONE, vol. 6, no. 6, Article ID e18643, 2011.

S. K. Pal, K. Reckamp, H. Yu, and R. A. Figlin, “Akt inhibitors in clinical development for the treatment of cancer,” Expert Opinion on Investigational Drugs, vol. 19, no. 11, pp. 1355–1366, 2010.

L. Santarpia, S. M. Lippman, and A. K. El-Naggar, “Targeting the MAPKRASRAF signaling pathway in cancer therapy,” Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Targets, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 103–119, 2012.

S. Zhang and D. Yu, “Targeting Src family kinases in anticancer therapies: turning promise into triumph,” Trends in Pharmacological Sciences, vol. 33, no. 3, pp. 122–128, 2012.