Sensitive and specific assay for the simultaneous detection of *Mycoplasma genitalium* and macrolide resistance-associated mutations
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Abstract

Patients infected by *Mycoplasma genitalium* are often treated empirically with the macrolide azithromycin. Macrolide resistance is becoming quite common; empirical treatment is compromised. Sequencing was initially used to detect azithromycin resistance-associated mutations. As this was laborious, qPCRs have been developed for their detection. In the present study, we describe a fast, sensitive, and specific qPCR assay that enables routine testing of *M. genitalium* and macrolide resistance-associated mutations in a single assay. *M. genitalium* positive clinical samples were used to compare (i) the commonly used MgPa assay for the detection of *M. genitalium* infections (MgPa qPCR), (ii) a combined 23S rRNA gene PCR/sequencing assay (Mg23S qPCR/Sequencing) to identify macrolide resistance-associated mutations, and (iii) our newly developed probe-based melt curve qPCR for simultaneous detection of *M. genitalium* and macrolide resistance-associated mutations (Macrolide-R/MG ELItel MGB Kit, Elitech Bothel USA in short Mg Macrolide R qPCR). Specificity of the qPCR was tested using urogenital samples that were tested positive for a range of other micro-organisms. *M. genitalium* was detected in 196/236 (83.1%) samples by the MgPa qPCR, versus 172/236 (72.9%) by the combined Mg23S qPCR/Sequencing, and 202/236 (85.6%) by the Mg Macrolide R qPCR. The Mg Macrolide R qPCR showed high concordance to the Mg23S qPCR/Sequencing assay (201 vs 202 could be genotyped, respectively) for the detection of the macrolide resistant mutations. None of the other urogenital pathogens were tested positive in the Mg Macrolide R qPCR, indicating specificity. The Mg Macrolide R qPCR is fast, sensitive, specific, and can easily be implemented in the routine diagnostics.
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Introduction

*Mycoplasma genitalium* causes sexually transmissible infections such as non-gonococcal urethritis (NGU) in man [1–3] and urethritis, pelvic inflammatory disease (PID), and cervicitis in women [1, 4, 5]. In addition, the bacterium is associated with extra-genital infections and an increased risk of obstetric complications such as premature birth and spontaneous abortion [5]. Furthermore, *M. genitalium* might also be associated with infertility in women [5]. *M. genitalium* infects between 1 and 3% of the people in the general population [6, 7].

Culturing *M. genitalium* is difficult since the bacterium is fastidious and requires special media and conditions [8]. Therefore, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is mainly used for detection of *M. genitalium*. Diagnostic PCR tests for *M. genitalium* are mostly based on the target operon MgPa [9] and the 16S rRNA gene [10], but these methods are not suitable to determine the susceptibility of the bacterium to macrolides. Therefore, patients infected with *M. genitalium* are often treated empirically with a single-dose therapy of 1 g of the macrolide antibiotic azithromycin [11–13]. However, the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the European guidelines of the International Union against Sexually Transmitted Infections (IUSTI) of 2016 recommend to treat *M. genitalium* infections with 500 mg azithromycin on day one, followed by 250 mg on days 2–5 [14].
Unfortunately, the meta-analysis of Lau et al. revealed that the efficacy of a single-dose of 1 g azithromycin for the treatment of urogenital *M. genitalium* has decreased from 85.3 to 60% over the past 7 years in the world [15]. This was mainly thought to be the result of an increase in azithromycin resistance, caused by mutations at positions A2058 and A2059 (*Escherichia coli* numbering) in region five (V-region) of the 23S rRNA gene. These mutations are associated with macrolide resistance of *M. genitalium* [16].

The macrolide resistance-associated substitutions (single nucleotide polymorphisms, SNPs) in the 23S rRNA gene are mainly identified by sequencing, which is a laborious and time-consuming technique. Recently, new tests are described to identify the substitutions in the 23S rRNA gene. Different methods are being used to identify these mutations, such as labeled hydrolysis probes [17], high-resolution melting (HRM) analysis [18–20], different forward primers targeting wild type and mutants [21], and FRET probes coupled with melting curve analysis [19]. However, these methods are less sensitive compared to the standard *M. genitalium* detection quantitative PCR (qPCR) or need more qPCR reactions to identify both *M. genitalium* and macrolide resistance-associated genes. In addition, the analysis of some of the qPCRs is complex or the qPCRs are relatively laborious.

Alternatively, a new multiplex qPCR assay has been developed by SpeeDx that allows simultaneous detection of *M. genitalium* and mutations commonly associated with macrolide resistance (including A2058G, A2059G, A2058T, A2058C, and A2059C) [22, 23]. This multiplex qPCR has shown to be sensitive and specific for the detection of *M. genitalium* and macrolide resistance-associated mutations [24].

In the present study, a new qPCR that enables routine testing of *M. genitalium* and macrolide resistance-associated mutations in a single assay is described and its performance is compared to other molecular methods. The assay uses two probes: a hydrolysis probe for the specific detection of *M. genitalium* and a melt probe for the discrimination of mutants from wild types based on melting curve analysis [25].

### Materials and methods

#### Ethics statement

Patients, and/or their legal representatives, visiting a Dutch hospital, are actively informed of the “opt-out” system regarding research on archival patient material. Dutch law requires all studies using such materials to obtain an official approval by the local ethics committee. The Medical Ethics Committee of the University Medical Centre Utrecht, The Netherlands (protocol number 16–238/C), has approved the current study. Only material from patients that did not opt-out has been included in this study.

#### Sample selection

Between January 2014 and January 2015, 236 urogenital samples were collected from Dutch patients that presented with urogenital symptoms in general practitioners or hospitals. The samples were sent in for routine molecular detection of *M. genitalium*. DNA was extracted essentially as described by Nijhuis et al. [26] and *M. genitalium* was detected in all 236 urogenital samples by qPCR essentially as described by Jensen et al. [27] and had been stored for more than a year at −20 °C. Collection was built to contain a good distribution of wild type and all potential resistance-associated mutations. In order to avoid patient bias, the collection did only contain a single sample of each patient. The origin of the samples can be found in Table 1.

#### Routine qPCR detection of *M. genitalium* (MgPa qPCR)

For this study, the *M. genitalium* positive DNA samples were tested for a second time to detect the MgPa operon and to determine the DNA load of *M. genitalium*. The DNA load had to be checked for DNA degradation, since the samples were stored for more than a year. qPCR was used for specific amplification of a 78-bp fragment in the MgPa operon of *M. genitalium* using primers and probe as described by Jensen et al. [27] (Table 2). DNA amplification and detection were performed using 2.0 μL of DNA isolates and real-time PCR LightCycler® 480 Software (Roche Diagnostics, Almere, the Netherlands). This MgPa assay is considered to be the standard method to detect *M. genitalium* and therefore used in the present study to compare with the newly designed 23S PCR Macrolide-R/MG ELiTé MGB Kit, Elitech Bothel USA, in short Mg Macrolide<sup>R</sup> assay.

#### Identification of macrolide resistance-associated mutations by combined Mg23S qPCR and sequencing (Mg23S qPCR/sequencing)

A 282-bp fragment of the V-region of the 23S rRNA gene was amplified essentially as described by Nijhuis et al. (2015) [26], but instead of a probe the dye Syto-82 was used to detect *M. genitalium* (Table 2). The amplification product formed by the

| Table 1  | Summary of patient demographics and clinical samples used in Mg Macrolide<sup>R</sup> <em>qPCR</em> study |
|----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Number   | Male                  | Female                 | Total                  |
| Number   | 80 (34%)              | 156 (66%)              | 236                    |
| Median age (range) | 35 (22–68)          | 30 (15–56)             | 31 (16–68)             |
| Urine sample | 66                   | 7                      | 73                     |
| Genital swab | 9                    | 149                    | 158                    |
| Anorectal swab | 5                    | 0                      | 5                      |
standard Mg23S qPCR was used for Sanger DNA sequencing to identify possible macrolide resistance-associated mutations. Sequences were detected by an ABI 3730 System (Life Technologies).

The samples of which no sequences could be determined after the standard real-time Mg23S qPCR were then analyzed using a reverse transcriptase nested (RTN)—qPCR. An RTN-qPCR can be used to increase the DNA load by first making cDNA of the rRNA followed by amplification and afterwards performing an extra nested-qPCR to increase the DNA load even more.

The RT-PCR was performed with 15.0 μL final PCR volume using TaqMan® Fast Virus 1-Step Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and the primers which had been used for the standard Mg23S qPCR (MG23S primers, Table 2). The mix contained 2.0 μL of DNA isolates. The qPCR was performed on the LightCycler 480 II (Roche) using the following qPCR profile: reverse transcription at 50 °C for 5 min, denaturation at 95 °C for 2 min, 45 amplification cycles consisting of 95 °C for 5 s, annealing at 62 °C for 1 min, and a slow increase in temperature to 97 °C with the continuous acquisition of fluorescence and finally cooling at 40 °C for 30 s to check if amplification product had been formed. Next, the amplification product of 263 bp formed by the RTN-qPCR was used for Sanger DNA sequencing.

**Detection of macrolide resistance-associated mutations by the newly designed Mg Macrolide \textsuperscript{R} qPCR**

For the Mg Macrolide \textsuperscript{R} assay, species specific primers (MG23S-L1 and MG23S-E1) were designed (Table 2), according to the 23S rRNA gene sequence after alignment of the *M. genitalium* gene with the genes of closely related species, such as *M. pneumoniae*, *M. hominis*, *M. gallisepticum*, and *Ureaplasma parvum*. The designed primers and probes (Table 2) were analyzed using a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST, http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) for their specificity. If *M. genitalium* is present, a 111-bp amplicon will be formed, and two probes are used in order to detect the specifics of this fragment: the MG23S-R6G probe, which is a hydrolysis probe, and MG23S-FAM, a Pleiades probe [25] (Table 2). A Pleiades probe is a type of hybridization probe, which allows for real-time DNA detection and subsequent melt curve analysis. The MG23S-R6G probe detects specifically *M. genitalium*, while MG23S-FAM discriminates mutants from wild type based on melting curve analysis, i.e., during the melting step of the assay, the probe will be dissociated at a lower temperature from the DNA when containing a mutation at position A2058 or A2059 compared to the wild type. When the melting curve did not allow a clear distinction between A2058G and A2059G, the type of mutation was specified by the use of RTN-qPCR followed by sequencing.

### Table 2 Primers and probe used in this study

| PCR Target | Oligo name | Sequence (5′-3′) | Final concentration (nM) | Product size | Source |
|------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------|
| MgPa       | Mycgen-Fw  | GAGAAAATACCTTGGATGCTACGCAA | 300 | 78 bp | Jensen et al. [27] |
| MgPa       | Mycgen-Rev | GTTAATACATATAAAGCTCTACGTTTGTC | 300 | - | - |
| Mg23S      | MG23S-Fw  | GAAGGTTAAAGAGGAGGTTAGCAAT | 200 | - | - |
| Mg23S      | MG23S-Rev | TACCTATCTCATGTTGTTGTTT | 150 | 282 bp | Nijhuis et al. [26] |
| Mg23S      | MG23S-RTN-Rw | AGGAGGTTAGCAATTTATGCA | 150 | 263 bp | This study |
| Mg23S      | MG23S-RTN-Rev | TCTCTACATGTTGTTGTTTGA | 150 | - | - |
| Mg23S      | MG23S-L1 | CTCGGTGAAATCCAGGTAC | 150 | 111 bp | This study |
| Mg         | MG23S-E1  | TCTCTACATGTTGTTGTTGTTTGA | 1200 | - | - |
| Mg Macrolide \textsuperscript{R} | MG23S-FAM | G*GA*CGGAAA*GACCCC | 167 | - | - |
| Mg Macrolide \textsuperscript{R} | MG23S-R6G | CGTTGGCCCTAAGGCTGTTT | 167 | - | - |

*Modification in the nucleotide for stronger bonding*
The Mg MacrolideR assay was optimized by testing different primer ratio’s (MG23SL1:MG23SE1 of 1:1; 1:2; 1:4; 1:8; 1:16; 1:100) and using different qPCR profiles. After optimization, all clinical samples were tested with the Mg MacrolideR assay. The assay was performed with 15.0-μL PCR mixture using LightCycler® 480 Probes Master (Roche Diagnostics) as master mix. Primers were used in a ratio MG23SL1:MG23SE1 of 1:8. The mix contained 2.0 μL of DNA isolates. The qPCR was performed on the LightCycler 480 II (LED) using the following qPCR profile: denaturation at 95 °C for 10 min, 45 amplification cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s, annealing at 56 °C for 30 s, and extension at 72 °C for 15 s. Directly after amplification, a melting step was performed, consisting of 95 °C for 15 s, 40 °C for 1 min, and a slow increase in temperature to 80 °C with the continuous acquisition of fluorescence and finally cooling at 40 °C for 30 s.

**Testing of the specificity of the Mg MacrolideR qPCR**

To determine specificity of the Mg MacrolideR qPCR, it was tested on several urogenital pathogens: Acinetobacter baumannii, Acinetobacter calcoaceticus, Candida albicans, Citrobacter freundii, Chlamydia trachomatis, Enterobacter aerogenes, Enterobacter cloaca, Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus faecium, Escherichia coli, Klebsiella oxytoca, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Morganella morganii, Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Proteus mirabilis, Proteus vulgaris, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Serratia liquefaciens, Serratia marcescens, Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Staphylococcus haemolyticus, Staphylococcus hominis, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, Streptococcus agalactiae, or Ureaplasma parvum. In addition, our Mg MacrolideR qPCR was also tested on an additional 56 urogenital samples that had previously been tested negative for any STD.

**Results**

**Detection of M. genitalium using the Mg MacrolideR qPCR**

*M. genitalium* was detected in the Mg MacrolideR qPCR using the hydrolysis probe MG23S-R6G. Of the 236 sequenced samples, 202 (85.6%) samples were tested positive using the Mg MacrolideR qPCR (Table 3). The Mg MacrolideR qPCR was not able to detect *M. genitalium* in 34/236 (14.4%) isolates. The initial Cq-value of these isolates were between 34 and 40 for 5 isolates and all other isolates had initial Cq-values above 40. The assay detected the majority of the sequenced samples that were determined after the standard Mg23S qPCR (165/172, 95.9%). In addition, more than half of the sequenced samples whose substitutions could be determined only after the RTN-PCR (37/64, 57.8%) were detected by the Mg MacrolideR assay as well.

The Mg MacrolideR qPCR could detect more samples (30/236 12.7%) compared to the standard Mg23S PCR followed by sequencing. 196/236 (83.1%) samples were tested positive for the MgPa operon. The Mg MacrolideR qPCR could detect six extra isolates (6/202, 3.0%) compared to the MgPa assay.

**Direct detection of macrolide resistance-associated mutations by the Mg MacrolideR assay**

Macrolide resistance-associated mutations in *M. genitalium* were detected by the melt probe MG23S-FAM. Wild type or mutated genes were identified in all 202 samples that were positive for *M. genitalium* using the hydrolysis probe MG23S-R6G. Figure 1 shows representative melting peaks of several samples. The average of the top of the melting peaks (Tm) of wild types was located at approximately 64 °C, the mutant A2058C was located at 59 °C, the mutant A2058T at 55 °C, and the mutant A2058G and A2059G at 53 °C. One sample showed a curve in between wild type and the C-mutation but was identified as wild type when analyzing the sequence. The other 201/202 (99.5%) were concordant with sequencing.

**Identification of macrolide resistance-associated mutations**

The sequence of the 23S rRNA fragment containing putative resistance mutations of 172/236 (72.9%) was determined using the amplification product of the standard Mg23S qPCR (Table 3). When the standard method did not give results, RTN-qPCR was used to determine the sequence of the remaining 64/236 (27.1%) samples. The majority of the samples were wild type (185/236, 78.4%). In 51/236 (21.6%) samples, different mutations were found. The mutation A2058G was found most frequently (22/51, 43.1%), followed by A2059G (17/51, 33.3%), A2058T (8/51, 15.7%), A2058C (3/62, 5.9%), and A2059C (1/51, 2.0%).

**Specificity of the Mg MacrolideR qPCR**

None of the other tested urogenitalmicro-organisms then *M. genitalium* were tested positive in the *M. genitalium* Mg MacrolideR qPCR, indicating a high specificity. In addition, all 56 urine samples that were originally negative tested for any STD were tested negative using the Mg MacrolideR qPCR as well.
Patients suspected for infection with *M. genitalium* are often treated empirically with azithromycin in the Western world but, unfortunately, empirical treatment is often failing because azithromycin resistance is increasing and can be as high as 50% [28]. Diagnostic tests to detect azithromycin resistant-associated genes have recently been developed but are not commonly used in routine diagnostics as they tend to be quite laborious and time consuming. In the present paper, a diagnostic test is described that not only detects the presence of *M. genitalium*, but also detects all macrolide resistance-associated mutations at position A2058 and A2059 in 23S rRNA gene in *M. genitalium*.

The newly developed Mg Macrolide R qPCR is more sensitive compared to the routine diagnostic PCR based on the MgPa operon, since six extra isolates (6/202, 3.0%) were detected.

In contrast to most of the studies, in this study, the focus was exclusively on samples derived from general practitioners. Hence, the prevalence of mutations might be slightly different compared to other studies that mainly focus on high-risk patients. Interestingly, we found two third of the samples derived from women. In this study, no significant difference between the prevalence of mutations were found between women and men infected by *M. genitalium* (data not shown). However, since this study only used a small number of patients’ samples, it would be of interest to further investigate the prevalence of resistance in the general population.

**Table 3** Comparison between the results of the sequenced samples after the standard Mg23S qPCR and the Mg Macrolide R qPCR

|                      | Sequecning after Mg23S qPCR + RTN-qPCR | Sequecning after Mg23S qPCR | Mg Macrolide R qPCR | Difference |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| WT                   | 185                                   | 138 (75%)                   | 159 (86%)           | 21 (11%)   |
| Mutants              | 51                                    | 34 (67%)                    | 43 (84%)            | 9 (18%)    |
| A2058C               | 3                                     | 2 (67%)                     | 3 (100%)            | 1 (33%)    |
| A2058G               | 22                                    | 15 (68%)                    | 18 (82%)            | 3 (14%)    |
| A2058T               | 8                                     | 7 (88%)                     | 8 (100%)            | 1 (13%)    |
| A2059C               | 1                                     | 0 (0%)                      | 0 (0%)              | 0 (0%)     |
| A2059G               | 17                                    | 10 (59%)                    | 14 (82%)            | 4 (24%)    |
| Total                | 236                                   | 172 (73%)                   | 202 (86%)           | 30 (13%)   |

**Fig. 1** Typical example of melt peak analysis using the Mg Macrolide R qPCR for a set of seventeen clinical samples. Wild type strains are in grey and have a melt peak at ~ 64 °C, A2058C in orange at ~ 59 °C, A2058T in red at ~ 55 °C, A2058G in blue, and A2059G in green at 53 °C. No DNA controls are in black. Boxes indicate the location of melt peak bins.
Initially, the MgPa operon was thought to be a perfect target for the detection of *M. genitalium*, since the operon contains repetitive elements termed MgPars. Targeting these multi-copy regions increases the sensitivity of the qPCR. However, the repetitive regions contain extensive variation, while the non-repeat regions contain low-level variation [29]. Detecting all *M. genitalium* strains using these multi-copy regions is, therefore, almost impossible. Although the 23S rRNA is a single-copy gene, this gene is very suitable for detection since the 23S rRNA is highly conserved. This might explain the difference in sensitivity of the MgPa assay and Mg MacrolideR assay. Using RNA as input obviously increases the sensitivity when compared to DNA as used in our RTN-qPCR sequencing method and is employed by Aptima PCRs [30, 31].

The Mg MacrolideR qPCR was also more sensitive compared to the standard Mg23S qPCR. This can be explained by the difference in the length of the amplification product: the amplicon of the Mg23S qPCR is 282 bp, while the amplicon of the Mg MacrolideR qPCR is 111 bp. Therefore, the Mg MacrolideR qPCR might be more efficient.

The Mg MacrolideR qPCR is specific in detecting mutations and a clear distinction can be made between the melting peaks of wild type and mutants. With exception of A2058G and A2059G, there is also a clear difference between the mutants. While the average of the melting peaks was stable (not shown), this is highly dependent on the PCR setup. Therefore it is necessary to always include the relevant controls.

Mutations detected by this qPCR were fully consistent for 201/202 (99.5%) samples with sequencing data. One sample showed a curve in between wild type and the C-mutation but was identified as wild type when analyzing the sequence. Possibly, the sample contained a mixed population of wildtype and C-mutants, and direct sequencing of PCR products identified only the major population and the smaller population of C-mutants was only detected by our qPCR. The isolate would be determined as non-wild type, and thus treatment with azithromycin would not be recommended, while in the sequence-based analysis, it would have been typed as wildtype and hence azithromycin treatment would have been recommended. As this might be an isolate containing both wild type and mutant *M. genitalium*, so if one is optimistic, one might even claim a 100% specificity.

The Mg MacrolideR qPCR was not able to detect *M. genitalium* in 34/236 (14.4%) isolates. The Cq-value of these isolates was determined and only 5/34 had a lower Cq-value between 34 and 40. All the other isolates had Cq-values above 40 or could not be determined (data not shown). The inability to detect *M. genitalium* in these isolates can be explained by DNA degradation, since the Mg MacrolideR qPCR was performed more than a year later after sample collection. The Mg MacrolideR qPCR will likely detect more samples when the test is performed directly after sample collection. Another explanation for not detecting *M. genitalium* in all samples is the use of the asymmetrical assay, since best results with the Mg MacrolideR assay were found when the primer ratio MG23SL1:MG23SE1 of 1:8 was used. This results in less competition between the MG23S-FAM probe and the complementary DNA strain, and a higher fluorescence signal. This might have an effect on the sensitivity of the assay since amplification will not be exponential, but more linear.

Recently, several qPCR-based methods to detect macrolide resistance in *M. genitalium* have been described. Most of them have some disadvantages when compared to our qPCR, as our assay can detect *M. genitalium* and at the same time, macrolide resistance-associated mutations. Other qPCRs like the monoplex PCR [21] and the FRET qPCR need more reactions [19]. The Mg MacrolideR qPCR is more sensitive compared to the standard *M. genitalium* detection qPCR, while the FRET qPCR of Touati et al. was less sensitive compared to their standard *M. genitalium* detection qPCR [19]. The Mg MacrolideR qPCR might also be more sensitive than the monoplex PCR of Wold et al. since they only used clinical samples with a Cq-value of <32 [21]. Preselection of samples with a relatively high bacterial load suggests that the sensitivity of this assay may limit its utility in clinical routine.

Another advantage is the ability to detect different mutations with only one probe. qPCRs with hydrolysis probes need at least six different probes to detect wild type and all five mutants described so far (A2058C, A2058G, A2058T, A2059C, and A2059G). qPCRs that detect only wild type and the most common mutations A2058G and A2059G, such as the hydrolysis probe assay by Kristiansen et al., [17], and depending on the local prevalence, will possibly miss a significant part of the resistant strains [32]. Lastly, the analysis of melting curves is relatively easy compared to the hydrolysis probe assay by Kristiansen et al. [17].

Recently, the multiplex qPCR assay developed by SpeeDx has shown to be sensitive and specific for the detection of *M. genitalium* and macrolide resistance-associated mutations [22–24]. Because of the promising results of the Mg MacrolideR qPCR, it would be of interest to compare the assays for ease of use and performance in a routine setting. Furthermore, it would be interesting to also include an RNA-based qPCR method like Aptima, as is expected that this would result in a higher sensitivity [30, 31].

In this report, a FRET-probe-based Mg MacrolideR qPCR was described that has shown to be both sensitive and specific for detecting *M. genitalium* and macrolide resistance-associated mutations. The Mg MacrolideR qPCR can be used in routine diagnostic but has also potential to distinguish between most of the mutations. Therefore, it might be of interest to use this assay in research settings. The Mg MacrolideR assay is planned to be used in a large-scale study to investigate superiority to the MgPa assay, the multiplex qPCR of SpeeDx and an RNA-based qPCR; and to investigate the prevalence of resistant *M. genitalium* in a large study group.
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