Teaching Bioterrorism Preparedness with Simulation - The Pneumonic Plague Example
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Abstract

Future bioterrorism acts are an unfortunate possibility for which healthcare providers and medical systems must prepare. Plague, caused by *Yersinia pestis*, is a potential bioterrorism agent which healthcare providers in the developed world have only a low familiarity. This article provides a teaching strategy using medical simulation to assist healthcare professionals and institutions in preparing for bioterrorism events.
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Introduction

*Yersinia pestis* is the etiologic agent for plague, one of the category A potential biologic weapons as categorized by the Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Category A infections (1) can be easily transmitted from person to person (2) result in high mortality rates (3) may cause public panic and disruption and (4) require special action for public health preparedness.

*Yersinia pestis* infection most commonly can manifest itself in three different fashions:

1. Bubonic plague: an infection transmitted by flea bites with rodent vectors. Contact with infected animals causes human risk.
2. Septicemic plague: a blood borne infection.
3. Pneumonic plague: a form of infection which can be transmitted person to person by respiratory droplets. It is the pneumonic plague version which is the most likely biologic weapon in that an aerosolized form could infect primary victims found in a confined area and these primary victims could then infect secondary victims. In the natural disease, pneumonic plague can also be secondary to bubonic plague or septicemic plague.

The large outbreaks of pneumonic plague in its natural form that have occurred in the past [1,2] provide the only available clinical experience with human pneumonic plague. Intentional dissemination of plague could occur by aerosolized *Yersinia pestis*. This mechanism has been shown to produce disease in nonhuman primates [3]. The first signs of illness expected would be fever with cough with the production of bloody, watery, or purulent sputum, and dyspnea [3]. Prominent gastrointestinal symptoms including nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, and diarrhea may also be present [4,5]. Chest X-ray findings are variable but bilateral infiltrates or consolidation are usually seen with a propensity for the lower lobes; mediastinal and hilar adenopathy may also be seen [6,7]. Patients with pneumonic plague deteriorate very rapidly. Early diagnosis is extremely important for patient survival. Therefore frontline healthcare providers should have training in the diagnosis and management of bioterrorism agents such as pneumonic plague. Suboptimal physician training in bioterrorism preparedness has been described [8,9]. This article seeks to provide a mechanism to improve bioterrorism preparedness.

This article describes a simulation based training format. In a simulation based format, physicians have an opportunity to work with simulated patients, make a diagnosis, and design a treatment plan in a more realistic process than traditional lecture based training.

The use of simulation in medical education has increased dramatically over the last decade. Evidence supports simulation's role in patient safety improvement [10]. Many medical students and residents in training have a simulation component to their education on a regular basis. Modern simulation includes the use of high-fidelity patient simulators which have the appearance of human beings; they have blinking eyes, have heart sounds, lung sounds, and pulses (Figure 1). These simulators can demonstrate "patient" improvement when proper treatment is administered and they can deteriorate when a diagnosis is missed or the treatment regimen is suboptimal. Simulation based training has been shown to have significant advantages over traditional training in multiple areas [11-16]. Bioterrorism of course is such a rare event; however, the use of simulation as a teaching tool is an excellent way to train for such a rare event.
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Background: Pneumonic Plague

The fatality rate for patients with pneumonic plague when treatment is delayed for greater than twenty-four hours is extremely high [19]. This observation highlights the importance of ensuring that physicians who make contact with pneumonic plague victims have an opportunity to make a lifesaving diagnosis. Patients with pneumonic plague had dyspnea, chest pain, and a cough that can produce bloody sputum [20]. Pneumonic plague progresses rapidly and is usually fatal without prompt treatment [20]. Fulminant pneumonia often associated with disseminated intravascular coagulation, shock, and multi-organ failure, follows after an incubation period of 1-6 days [21]. The same 1-6 day course is anticipated for weaponized plague [22]. This severe pneumonia may also present with the addition of gastrointestinal symptoms such as abdominal pain, vomiting, and diarrhea [21]. Aerosolized plague might also result in rare pharyngeal plague or ocular plague [23].

In a community a experiencing a pneumonic plague epidemic, all persons developing a temperature of 38.5°C or greater or a new cough should begin antibiotic treatment. Diagnosis of pneumonic plague may be made by the culture of the organism from the sputum or blood. Cultures can be positive within 24-28 hrs [24]. CDC guidelines point out that streptomycin is the preferred treatment for plague and is Food and Drug Administration approved for this indication, but may not be readily available in a mass casualty situation. Gentamicin is an off label second choice. Other alternatives include tetracyclines, chloramphenicol, and fluoroquinolones [23]. For mass casualty post exposure prophylaxis, doxycycline and ciprofloxacin are recommended. Credible reports exist that the former Soviet Union engineered multi-drug resistant Yersinia pestis as a part of its biological weapons program [25]; close follow-up for antibiotic effectiveness would be appropriate.

Patients typically require aggressive fluid resuscitation and may need vasopressors, hemodynamic monitoring, and mechanical ventilation. Symptomatic patients should be isolated with respiratory precautions; this includes gowns, gloves, and eye protection as well as disposable surgical masks.

Physician diagnosis and management of diseases caused by bioterrorism agents has been described as poor [26]. Physicians in the developed world most likely have never seen a case of pneumonic plague. In the US patient deaths from pneumonic plague contracted from domestic cats have been described [5,27,28], but the last person-to-person transmission of plague in the United States was reported in 1925 [20,23]. For these reasons it is appropriate to consider new educational formats such as medical simulation to prepare healthcare providers for a potential bioterrorism attack with weaponized plague.

Discussion ‘Simulation Training’

The scenario described in this article is a more difficult scenario than the skills and drills exercises frequently encountered in many simulation labs. In medical training today, learners are closely supervised; this decreases the chance of error. This close supervision however does not, in many cases, allow for the learner to function at the level of his or her capacity. The author has found that learners appreciate the challenge of the simulation lab where they can feel proud of their efforts when they successfully make a diagnosis. When the diagnosis is missed they have had an opportunity to learn and reflect. The reset button on the patient simulator can be selected and a do over can occur unlike with real patients. It is therefore the author’s philosophy that simulation should be as challenging as the learners can handle. Learners are advised before they initiate a scenario that patients in the simulation lab have a higher mortality rate than the general population. Learners have expressed satisfaction that the exercises are appropriately challenging.

When this scenario is used, it is recommended that the participants enter the activity without previous warnings on the topic of discussion. If they make the correct diagnosis they must then also struggle with the selection of the proper antibiotic treatment and notification of appropriate officials.

Scenario components are listed in Table 1, which can be used as a “tear out” for simulation lab directors who wish to use this template in a teaching exercise. These components include learning objectives. The simulation overview is used by the simulation director to plan the educational activity. Learners of course will enter this environment without knowledge of the differential diagnosis; review of the patient history and exam by the simulation director with the person portraying the voice of the patient and the actor/actress portraying the family members is important to complete prior to the initiation of the scenario. Laboratory studies will be made available to the participants when they ask for these individual results. Simulation parameters are the settings which are used to allow the patient simulator to realistically project the simulation patient’s status. Expected actions by participants can help lead the discussion of the debrief session as the participants review their strengths and weaknesses. Table 1 also includes a list of personnel and props which provide the simulation lab director a list of those individuals and items the simulation director will require in order to successfully run the scenario. The debriefing points contribute to the discussion after the scenario. The scenario itself usually takes about fifteen or twenty minutes to run. Set-up time can easily be two or three hours.

The debrief session is the most important part of the exercise. This is the time that the learners can discuss their successes, discuss the areas in which they could have improved, and provide self-critique. The facilitator should promote discussion among the participants, not give a lecture [29-31]. It is important that the debrief session be run in a fashion so that the learners are aware of their limitations but also are not traumatized by any failure which might occur. A comprehensive debrief session frequently lasts 30 to 45 minutes.

When this scenario was run with medical students at the author’s institution, the students were unable to make the correct diagnosis. They recognized the simulated illness as consistent with a serious pulmonary infection, but failed to initiate respiratory precautions such as masks for themselves and the simulated patient. The debrief discussion included the observation that the diagnosis was difficult and that student inability to make the diagnosis was not a surprise. The debriefing conversation included mechanisms to improve differential diagnosis formation. The students verbalized observations that the simulation exercise was valuable and those they felt they would be more likely to initiate respiratory precautions in the future with real patients who present with apparent pulmonary infections.

Conclusion

Pneumonic plague is a significant potential bioterrorism agent which could be released onto an unsuspecting public via an aerosolized...
mechanism. Healthcare provider familiarity with plague is low in the developed world, hence ability to diagnose and manage the first victims of a weaponized plague attack may be suboptimal. Since victims of pneumonic plague may deteriorate very rapidly, early diagnosis would be essential in the event of an attack. The use of training with a simulated patient diagnosis of pneumonic plague may be a valuable addition to a bioterrorism management plan.

Table 1: Bioterrorism – pneumonic plague.

| Learning objectives |  • Realize that all frontline medical care providers should be familiar with bioterrorism concepts such as preparedness, recognition and reporting to healthcare authorities
|  • Initiate management of a critically ill simulated patient with unknown diagnosis
|  • Create a differential diagnosis which includes pneumonic plague
|  • Manage pneumonic plague

| Patient history and exam |  The patient is a 39-year-old who presents to the healthcare facility with fever, shortness of breath and a productive cough with bloody sputum. She was in good health until just several hours ago. She also experiences headache, nausea, and vomiting. The patient is a manager in a high end retail store and lives in a middle class apartment complex with her husband. She does not have a pet. Past medical history shows no other hospitalizations. She has never had surgery. She denies tobacco. She denies ethanol use. She has no known drug allergies. She is at this healthcare facility with her husband and sister who are also coughing although they are not febrile and do not appear ill. Exam shows an uncomfortable patient. She is wearing a cap with the logo from a local sporting team. A little bit of blood is seen exiting her mouth after one of her coughs. Temperature is 103.1°. Pulmonary exam shows significant rales and rhonchi. Pulse is 110. Respirations are 28. Social history shows that she attended a major sporting event 3 days ago.

| Labs |  CBC-wbc=19,000, hgb=14.5 hct=42 platelets=174,000

| Simulation parameters |  • Realize that the patient is extremely ill.
|  • With physical exam, identify lungs as the likely source of infection.
|  • Order appropriate diagnostic studies such as Complete Blood Count, microscopy of sputum and sputum culture
|  • Order Chest X-ray.
|  • Construct a differential diagnosis which includes transmissible pulmonary infections such as pneumonic plague.
|  • Take a social history which includes the patient’s avid support of a local sports team and realize the patient could have been exposed to pathogens in the arena.
|  • Identify the fact that the patient’s husband and sister are also coughing.
|  • Realize the potential risk of pneumonic plague transmission to healthcare workers and initiate respiratory precautions.
|  • Provide supportive care including oxygen.
|  • Initiate appropriate antibiotic therapy.
|  • Contact appropriate health officials

| Expected actions by participants |  • High-fidelity patient simulator.
|  • Actor- patients husband.
|  • Actress- patient’s sister.
|  • Actress voice of the simulator.
|  • Cap from a local athletic team to place on the simulator.
|  • A streak of blood for the corner of the patient’s mouth to simulate hemoptysis.
|  • Chest X-ray consistent with pneumonic plague (such X-rays can be found on Google images).
|  • Resuscitative equipment such as oxygen by mask or endotracheal tube at the discretion of the simulation director.

| Personnel/Props |  • Order Chest X-ray
|  • Order appropriate diagnostic studies such as Complete Blood Count, microscopy of sputum and sputum culture
|  • With physical exam, identify lungs as the likely source of infection.
|  • Realize that the patient is extremely ill.
|  • Pulmonary exam reveals significant rales and rhonchi throughout the exam.
|  • This is a difficult diagnosis. An important purpose of a medical simulation experience is to present and discuss rare diagnoses so that learners and clinicians can become familiarized. If the participants have initiated antibiotic therapy and respiratory precautions, they may well have performed valuable medical service in a real similar situation.
|  • Plague infection as a result of a terrorist attack would be different than natural infection. Bubonic plague is the most common form of plague seen in the natural world whereas pneumonic plague would be the most likely seen in a terrorist attack. A terrorism attack with plague would most likely occur via an aerosol of Yersinia Pestis. The possible diagnoses of plague may at first be overlooked given clinical similarity to other pneumonias.
|  • Symptoms would occur 1 to 6 days after exposure with death following soon after the onset of symptoms if the exposed patients are untreated; the fatality rate for patients is high when treatment is delayed for greater than 24 hours. The first signs of illness are expected to be fever with cough and dyspnea. The production of bloody, watery or purulent sputum along with gastrointestinal symptoms may also occur.
|  • Chest x-ray findings in pneumonic plague are variable but bilateral infiltrates or consolidation are likely. Symptomatic patients should be placed in respiratory isolation.
|  • The first clinical suspension of plague must lead to notification of health departments and local or state officials. Many hospitals may not have facilities to perform diagnostic testing for plague and may need outside assistance from specialized laboratories for such study.
|  • Antibiotic options for pneumonic plague include streptomycin, which is used infrequently United States; only modest supplies are available. Gentamycin is an off label second choice. Tetracycline Doxycycline are also FDA approved for plague. Other medications which have been used to treat plague include tetracyclines, and fluoroquinolones and chloramphenicol.
|  • A seven-day course of doxycycline is recommended for postexposure prophylaxis.
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