The cytochemical detection of oestrogen receptors in fine needle aspirates of breast cancer; correlation with biochemical assay and prediction of response to endocrine therapy
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Summary A total of 98 breast aspirates from patients with breast cancer have been fixed and stained for oestrogen receptors using the Abbott ERICA kit. In a preliminary series of 41 aspirates, cytochemical staining index (% cells staining × mean intensity) related to the receptor concentration determined biochemically on a subsequent biopsy with a correlation coefficient of +0.65. In a second series of 56 aspirates examined after lysis and cytocentrifugation, the correlation coefficient was +0.73. For 14 patients, the response of the primary tumour to endocrine therapy was assessed objectively by serial clinical and mammographic measurements (Forrest et al., 1986) and was found to relate strongly to the cytochemical staining of the initial aspirate. The potential and limitations of this technique are discussed.

Methods and materials

Patients

Aspirates were taken from patients presenting to the departmental clinic for the first time. The patients' ages ranged from 33 to 76 years. Aspirates were obtained by making approximately 10 passes through the tumour using a 21 gauge needle, mainly by two of us (PAL, EDCA). After preparation of smears for diagnostic cytopathology, residual material was used for fixation and cytochemical staining. In one subset of 14 patients with large tumours (>4 cm, T3/T4 and one T3), the patient proceeded after aspiration to wedge biopsy for further biochemical and histological investigations prior to initiating endocrine therapy. The response of the primary tumour was monitored objectively by sequential clinical and mammographic measurements (Forrest et al., 1986). Of these patients, five received the LHRR agonist Zoladex (ICI 118630), five aminoglutethimide, two 4-hydroxyandrostenedione and two tamoxifen. One patient was premenopausal, 12 were postmenopausal and one was male. Response was classed as regression, progression or stasis depending on a statistical evaluation of the changes in tumour size during a 12-week period (see Forrest et al., 1986). The biochemical value of oestrogen receptor concentration was recorded in the patient's notes at the start of treatment but 'response' was decided by statistical evaluation, and in the absence of the knowledge of the cytochemical assay result.

Cytological assay

In an initial series of 41 patients, aspirates were taken and fixed by a variety of techniques (A, B, C, D): in brief, these involved:

A. Preparation of a smear and fixation immediately in the clinic;
B. Preparation of a smear, storage on dry ice and fixation at the end of the clinic (essentially the method of Coombes et al., 1987);
C. Collection of the aspirate in 'storage buffer' (PBS containing 50% glycerol v/v and 3 mM magnesium chloride), on ice, with cytocentrifugation and fixation at the end of the clinic; and
D. Collection of the aspirate in saline/tissue culture medium (RPMI), on ice, with cytocentrifugation and fixation at the end of the clinic.

Ultimately a fifth method (E) was preferred and this was used to process a second series of aspirates from a further 56 patients. In this method (which is essentially that employed by Dr R.E. Leake, Department of Biochemistry, University of Glasgow), the residue of aspirate remaining after the preparation of the diagnostic smears was flushed gently from the syringe using tissue culture medium (0.2–1.0 ml RPMI). After addition of water (3:2 v/v) and brief (30 sec), gentle agitation to lyse red cells, further RPMI (12:5 v/v) was added and the cells were harvested by centrifugation for 15 min at 250 g in an MSE Mistral centrifuge. After removal of the supernatant fluid, the cells were resuspended in a suitable volume of medium (≥300 μl) and three 100 μl aliquots were cytocentrifuged for 10 min at 1,000 rpm (89 g) onto slides precoated with polylysine (Abbott) in a Cytospin 2 centrifuge. In order to ensure adhesion of the cells to the slides, these were left to air-dry for 2 min, prior to fixation and staining with the Abbott ERICA kit as we have described previously (Hawkins et al., 1986). A single quality control slide (Abbott) was stained in each batch of aspirates. Each slide was assessed independently by two observers (RAH, KS) for (a) the % cells staining, and (b) an average intensity of staining on a scale of 0, 1, 2 or 3 for all the cells in the cytospin area. After correction for any staining in the 'control' section (exposed to non-specific rat serum), a cytochemical staining index (average intensity of staining
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x% cells staining/100) was calculated for the 'test' section (exposed to the anti-receptor antibody). Where there was significant disagreement between the observers (11.8% cases), the slides were accepted after resoring by mutual consent (7.5%) or rejected as 'unassessable' (4.3%). Specimens showing very few cells, very large amounts of non-specific (control) staining or for which the formal histopathological report was 'benign' or 'inadequate' were also rejected. Specimens from patients on tamoxifen at aspiration were excluded.

In the second series of aspirates, for example, the 56 assessable specimens were derived from a total of 91 patients, exclusions being 3 because of tamoxifen treatment, 15 unassessable and 17 because the formal diagnostic smear was classified as 'acelluar', 'benign' or 'lymphoma'.

Biochemical assay of oestrogen receptor activity

Solid tumour was obtained by biopsy or mastectomy, within 1–2 weeks of aspiration. This was used for the determination of oestrogen receptor activity by saturation analysis, with separation of free and bound hormone by dextran-coated charcoal (DCC) adsorption as described previously (Hawkins et al., 1981). Specimens containing ≥ 5 fmol oestrogen receptor sites mg⁻¹ soluble protein are considered 'receptor-positive'.

Results

1. Correlation between cytochemical and biochemical estimates of receptor activity

In a preliminary series of 41 patients (of average age 55 years), 78% of the tumours contained receptors (range 5–789 fmol mg⁻¹ protein) by DCC assay and 73% showed cytochemical staining after fixation by a variety of methods (A, B, C, D). The degree of cytochemical staining ('staining index') in the tumour aspirate ranged from 0 to 2.9 and correlated moderately well (r = 0.65) with the receptor site concentration determined biochemically by DCC assay on the subsequent surgical biopsy specimen (not shown). In this series, however, cell losses during fixation and processing were a problem. A second series was therefore examined by method 'E'.

In the second series of patients (of average age 61 years), 48/56 (86%) of the tumours contained receptors (5–933 fmol mg⁻¹ protein) by DCC assay and 46/56 (82%) showed staining. The degree of staining in these cells, ranging from 0 to 2.9, showed a slightly stronger correlation with receptor site concentration by DCC assay (r = 0.73); the data are plotted in Figure 1. Similar correlations were observed when the relationship between % cells staining (r = 0.69) or staining intensity (r = 0.78) and receptor concentration were examined separately (not shown). It is noted, however, that under the conditions of assay employed, the tissues of receptor concentration less than 30 fmol mg⁻¹ protein showed relatively low levels of staining. The relationship between the qualitative categorisations of each assay result is summarised in Table I.

2. Relationship of cytochemical staining for receptor and response to endocrine therapy

Response of the primary tumour to endocrine therapy was assessed in 14 patients with large, but operable primary tumours. Six of the patients responded, 5 showed no clear change on therapy and 3 tumour progression (Table II).

With one exception, the tumours showing regression had a staining index of ≥ 1.40; tumours showing stasis had indices between 0.12 and 1.05, and those tumours which progressed an index of 0.

Again in this small subseries, cytochemical staining correlated strongly with biochemical receptor site concentration (r = 0.93). Accordingly, biochemical receptor site concentra-
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Table I The qualitative relationship between cytochemical staining (ERICA) on an aspirate and oestrogen receptor activity determined biochemically by DCC assay in 56 patients with breast cancer

| Biochemical receptor activity (fmol mg⁻¹ protein) | Cytochemical staining ( fmol mg⁻¹ protein) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| &nbsp;                                       | &nbsp;                                     |
| &nbsp;                                       | &nbsp;                                     |

*Activity was taken as — when receptor site concentration was < 5 fmol mg⁻¹ protein and + when ≥ 5. BStaining was taken as — when the staining index = 0 and all values > 0 were designated +.

Table II Relationship between cytochemical staining (ERICA) in breast tumour aspirates and response to endocrine therapy

| Patient | Cytochemical staining index (aspirates) | Biochemical receptor sites mg⁻¹ protein (biopsy) | Clinical response |
|---------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|------------------|
| 1       | 2.90                                   | 174                                           | Regr*            |
| 2       | 2.25                                   | 364                                           | Regr             |
| 3       | 2.25                                   | 344                                           | Regr             |
| 4       | 1.83                                   | 412                                           | Regr             |
| 5       | 1.40                                   | 147                                           | Regr             |
| 6       | 1.05                                   | 167                                           | Stasis           |
| 7*      | 0.55                                   | 91                                            | Stasis           |
| 8       | 0.51                                   | 73                                            | Stasis           |
| 9       | 0.49                                   | 73                                            | Stasis           |
| 10      | 0.12                                   | 77                                            | Stasis           |
| 11      | 0.03                                   | 17                                            | Regr             |
| 12      | 0                                      | 6                                             | Progression      |
| 13      | 0                                      | 0                                             | Progression      |
| 14 (male)| 0                                      | 0                                             | Progression      |

*Regr = regression; BStaining index = staining intensity x % cells staining/100; *Early T₄a tumour, all other patients had T₂/T₃ tumours; n.a. = no biopsy available.

The same data are shown expressed in qualitative terms in the contingency Table III, where it can be seen that there is a significant relationship between receptor status and response for the cytochemical assay, though the relationship for the biochemical assay does not quite attain significance.
Table III Relationship between receptor status and response to endocrine therapy in 14 patients with large, primary tumours of the breast

| Receptor status | Regression | Stasis | Progression |
|-----------------|------------|--------|-------------|
| Cytochemical staining<sup>a</sup> |            |        |             |
| +               | 6          | 5      | 0           |
| −               | 0          | 0      | 3           |
| Biochemical receptor activity<sup>b</sup> | P<0.0055 |        |             |
| +               | 6          | 5      | 1           |
| −               | 0          | 0      | 2           |

<sup>a</sup>Staining was taken as + when the staining index = 0 and all values >0 were designated +. <sup>b</sup>Activity was taken as − when receptor site concentration was <5 fmol mg<sup>−1</sup> protein and + when ≥5; P values were derived from an exact test for trend.

Discussion

The studies which we report here confirm the reports of others who have suggested that cytochemical staining of breast aspirates by the ERICA kit (1) accurately reflects the oestrogen receptor content of the tumour and therefore (2) accurately relates to the patient’s clinical response to endocrine therapy. Despite the presence of a few tumours of moderate/higher receptor value showing a low staining index (<0.5, see Figure 1), there was a good correlation between cytochemical and biochemical assays. The reasons for the low degree of staining in a few tumours of higher biochemically determined receptor concentration are not fully resolved.

Inspection of such specimens shows that the lower values of staining index bears almost entirely from the cell percentage of the cells showing staining and not from a low intensity of staining. However, these specimens were not associated with either poorer cellularity or higher blood content than those showing a stronger correlation between cytochemistry and biochemistry. Nevertheless our finding of a correlation coefficient of +0.73 (n=56) for the quantitative relationship between staining on aspirates and receptor site concentration in a biopsy agrees well with the equivalent correlations of +0.74 (n=33) and +0.76 (n=35) reported by Flowers et al. (1985) and Cavaillès et al. (1987) respectively. These correlations are higher than that of +0.48 (n=95) found by McLelland et al. (1987), but their series included some comparisons between ERICA staining and DCC assays on different tumour deposits. Weintraub and his colleagues (1987) compared ERICA staining in aspirates and frozen sections from the same tumour specimen and also found a correlation coefficient of +0.55 between aspirate staining and the concentration of biochemically determined receptor sites. In general, these correlations are of the same order of magnitude as those found by ourselves (r=+0.87, n=34, Hawkins et al., 1986) and others, e.g. Andersen et al. (1986) (r=+0.91, n=35), McLelland et al. (1985) (r=+0.79, n=62) and King et al. (1985) (r=+0.76, n=38) when comparing ERICA staining in frozen or paraffin sections with the concentration of biochemically determined receptor sites in the same tumour specimen. In view of the heterogeneity of breast tumours with respect to oestrogen receptor concentration (e.g. Braunsberg et al., 1975; Hawkins et al., 1977; Senbano et al., 1986), it may not be possible to attain a better correlation between assays on aspirates and those on excision biopsies.

The relationship between ERICA staining and response to endocrine therapy has been determined on only a small series of patients. Nevertheless, in our experience, the primary tumour model used gives a much more precise index of tumour response than do studies of metastatic disease: it allows receptor activity and response of the same tumour mass to be related. The relationship between staining and response is striking and could not occur by chance. These findings support the contention of Coombs et al. (1987) who, though using a slightly different technique for processing of the aspirates, demonstrated that cytochemical staining accurately predicts response to endocrine therapy in 60 patients with metastatic or locally advanced breast cancer. The present data are insufficient to allow selection of a clinically useful ‘cut-off point’ for the staining index, though McLelland & Coomes (1985) have previously suggested a value of 0.5 on a comparable scale.

It is to be noted that for one patient (Number 11), response was associated with a very low staining intensity: this woman was postmenopausal, treated by administration of LHRR agonist and showed a statistically significant regression. Pretreatment receptor levels were low by either cytochemical or biochemical techniques, though at subsequent mastectomy, a second biochemical assay showed a much higher receptor level (102 fmol mg<sup>−1</sup> protein).

Despite the potential value of the staining of breast aspirates by ERICA, it is important to consider five limitations to this method. Firstly, the method we have preferred does produce some morphological damage to the aspirated cells and would not permit interpretation of cell-type in all cases, though a concomitantly, can be processed for each aspirate. Reduction of the centrifugation times and g forces to 5 min at 115 g for harvesting the cells and 4 min at 89 g for cytopinning has been found subsequently to lessen the damage. This technique differs from the more direct method of preparing a smear used by Coomes and his group. In our hands, however, we found that staining smears used much larger amounts of antibody, yielded much wider areas to assess microscopically, and gave problems, with the interpretation particularly of bloody smears, since red blood cells contain high levels of endogenous peroxidase. Secondly, in its present form, the assay may not be sufficiently sensitive to detect staining in some premenopausal women who, with low levels of receptor activity by biochemical assay (20-30 fmol mg<sup>−1</sup> protein) may nevertheless respond to endocrine therapy (Hawkins – unpublished, Nicholson, R.I. – personal communication).

Thirdly, a minimum number of tumour cells (perhaps 20) is required as an adequate sample for assessment: although staining may be visible in a lesser number of cells, the small number of cells may be insufficient to take account of possible heterogeneity (R+ and R− cells). We would not agree with Flowers et al. (1985), who considered 5 cells as adequate. Fourthly, it is impossible to establish some measure of quality control be applied to the ERICA assay to assess consistency and sensitivity. Although we routinely processed and scored the control slide provided by Abbott, this single control may be inappropriately high for assessing samples with receptor levels as low as 20 fmol mg<sup>−1</sup> protein and we did detect some significant variations in staining of the control slide between assays. This may be of importance since our experience with advanced disease would indicate that 20 fmol mg<sup>−1</sup> protein is a suitable ‘cut-off’ for selecting patients for endocrine therapy. Lastly, since neither of us who scored the slides were pathologists, we confined our assessments to only those patients in whom carcinoma had been diagnosed by the breast cytologist on concomitantly prepared smears of the aspirated cells, and it may well be that a superior predictive index can be derived when the standard material is scored by an experienced breast cytopathologist.

We wish to thank Dr Robin Leake, University of Glasgow, for supplying details of his method for fixing breast aspirates and for useful discussions, Dr R.C. Coomes, St George’s Hospital Medical School, London, also kindly made available details of his technique at an early stage. We are grateful to Drs T.J. Anderson, J. Lamb and E. McGoogan of the Department of Pathology for the cytopathological diagnosis on the aspirates, for selecting the appropriate area.
of solid tumour for DCC assay, and for advice and discussion of the
problems involved in the cytochemical technique. Miss G. White,
Scottish Cancer Trials Office, kindly advised on the statistical
assessments.
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