Therefore, accurate and safe cervical pedicle screw insertion techniques are necessary. Following the first description of cervical pedicle screw insertion by Abumi et al.1, different surgical techniques have been developed and evaluated, including the techniques relying on anatomical landmarks for cervical pedicle screw insertion, techniques with direct exposure of the pedicle by laminoforaminotomy to palpate the medial and superior pedicle walls, by the funnel technique, and the computer-assisted navigation system 5-8,15,16,18,21,23,25,33,37. The accuracy of cervical pedicle screw insertion varied significantly in literature, ranging from 16.8 to 97% 16,21,23,29,32. The navigation system has been shown to improve screw accuracy significantly, but has limited application due to its high cost and lengthy registration procedure. Furthermore, surgical skills and experience are still needed and the surgeon should never solely
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Objective : To present the accuracy and safety of cervical pedicle screw insertion using the technique with direct exposure of the pedicle by laminoforaminotomy.

Methods : We retrospectively reviewed 12 consecutive patients. A total of 104 subaxial cervical pedicle screws in 12 patients had been inserted. We also assessed the clinical and radiological outcomes and analyzed the direction and grade of pedicle perforation (grade 0: no perforation, 1: <25%, 2: 20% to 50%, 3: >50% of screw diameter) on the postoperative vascular-enhanced computed tomography scans. Grade 2 and 3 were considered as incorrect position.

Results : The correct position was found in 95 screws (91.3%); grade 0-75 screws, grade 1-20 screws and the incorrect position in 9 screws (8.7%); grade 2-6 screws, grade 3-3 screws. There was no neurovascular complication related with cervical pedicle screw insertion.

Conclusion : This technique (technique with direct exposure of the pedicle by laminoforaminotomy) could be considered relatively safe and easy method to insert cervical pedicle screw.
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INTRODUCTION

Because a cervical pedicle screw has more rigid fixation than other posterior fixation techniques, including posterior wiring, lateral mass screw, or facet screw fixation. Cervical pedicle screw not only allow for shorter instrumentation with sagittal correction11-13,19,31,34, but also are valuable for simultaneous posterior decompression and reconstruction1-3. Then, the cervical pedicle screw insertion has become popular in a wide range of cervical spine related disorder (traumatic, degenerative, inflammatory, and neoplastic conditions). However, cervical pedicle screw insertion is technically demanding because of the anatomical variations in cervical pedicle size, lack of anatomical landmarks, small pedicle diameter, and the large convergence angle of cervical pedicles14,20. The potential risk of neurovascular injury remain the issue preventing wide acceptance15,24.

Therefore, accurate and safe cervical pedicle screw insertion techniques are necessary. Following the first description of cervical pedicle screw insertion by Abumi et al.1, different surgical techniques have been developed and evaluated, including the techniques relying on anatomical landmarks for cervical pedicle screw insertion, techniques with direct exposure of the pedicle by laminoforaminotomy to palpate the medial and superior pedicle walls, by the funnel technique, and the computer-assisted navigation system 5-8,15,16,21,23,25,33,37. The accuracy of cervical pedicle screw insertion varied significantly in literature, ranging from 16.8 to 97% 16,21,23,29,32. The navigation system has been shown to improve screw accuracy significantly, but has limited application due to its high cost and lengthy registration procedure. Furthermore, surgical skills and experience are still needed and the surgeon should never solely
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The authors considered every pedicle to be built as a funnel with a wide posterior base, which narrows towards the isthmus. A distinct characteristic of the cervical pedicles is that the lateral pedicle wall is always the thinnest cortex. Therefore, the medial pedicle cortex was used as a safe guide into the pedicle isthmus, then through it and then into the vertebral body. The arch of the medial pedicle wall, under which advancement into the pedicle proceeded, was always identified.

First step: finding of entry point
Patients were placed in the prone position with the head fixed using a Mayfield clamp. A standard midline incision was made and paravertebral muscles were dissected and retracted laterally to expose facet joints. Under the lateral fluoroscopic guide and the basis of the lateral mass depth, and the convergence angle of the pedicle obtained from a preoperative computed tomography (CT) scans, 3 mm cutting burr was used to remove the outer cortex of the lateral mass over the pedicle entrance in the slightly lateral to the center of the facet, close to posterior margin of superior articular surface, the appropriate convergence angle to visualize the entrance of the pedicle (Fig. 1A). The pedicle screw insertion point is indicated by a cancellous bone at the pedicle entrance, which is commonly observed to be reddish because of a bloody cancellous bone.

Second step: identification of the pedicle medial and superior walls
A laminoforaminotomy was performed to provide visual and tactile cues regarding the orientation of the pedicle medial and superior walls. The ligamentum flavum at each level was gently dissected free from the inferior aspect of the superior laminar arch and from the superior aspect of the inferior laminar arch using a small curved curette. Thereafter, the inferior aspect of the superior lamina and the superior aspect of the inferior lamina were removed in varying amounts using a 3 mm cutting burr followed by 1 mm and 2 mm Kerrison punches (Fig. 1B).
The ball-tip hook then could be used to identify the medial and superior walls of the pedicle before the insertion of each screw (Fig. 2A).

Third step: screw insertion
The direction in the sagittal plane of the pedicle probe and screw was intraoperatively determined using lateral fluoroscopic imaging. The pedicle was probed as close to the medial wall as possible by gentle manual pressure using a 15-degree, 2 mm-diameter curved gear shift probe (Fig. 2B). After probing about 2 cm depth, lack of pedicle perforation was confirmed using a ball-tip probe.

If perforation was detected within the pedicle, the trajectory was changed or the segment was skipped. Then sequential drilling, tapping, and screwing were performed. For preventing iatrogenic neural tissue injury during rod connection procedure, Rods were connected to the screws by appropriately sized slotted connectors before posterior decompression. Laminectomy was conducted for posterior decompression. Local bone harvested from the decompression site was grafted in the lateral mass bone defect.

Radiographic analysis
Using preoperative and postoperative vascular enhanced CT axial images, the diameter and convergence angle of the each pedicle and the screws were measured and the difference between pedicles and screws in diameter and convergence angle were analyzed (Fig. 3). The degree of perforation was classified as grade 0 if the screw was located within the pedicle; grade 1, if perforation was made by the screw by less than 25% of the screw diameter; grade 2, if perforation was made by 25% to 50% of the screw diameter; and grade 3, if perforation was made by over 50% of the screw diameter (Fig. 4). Grade 0 and 1 were classified as the correct position and the others, as the incorrect position (Fig. 5). The direction of pedicle perforation was assessed; medial, lateral, cranial, and caudal.

Interobserver and intraobserver error analysis
The intraobserver and interobserver agreement rate and k values were obtained to check errors between 2 observers in grading of the pedicle perforation.

Clinical analysis
Operative time, intraoperative blood loss, and pedicle screw-related complications such as vertebral artery injury, nerve root injury, or irritation sign were analyzed.

RESULTS
The interobserver agreement rate was 87% for the grade of pedicle perforation (mean k=0.65), and intraobserver agreement rate was 93% (mean k=0.77).

The intraobserver and interobserver error analyses showed good agreement. The mean number of subaxial fusion segments was 3.33±0.6. In all cases cervical screw insertion was performed.
performed before total laminectomy. The number of screws inserted was 16 at C3, 18 at C4, 24 at C5, 24 at C6, and 22 at C7 (Table 1). Laminectomy with fusion was performed in 8 cases, anterior cervical discectomy and fusion in 1, cervicothoracic fusion in 3. The mean axial diameter of cervical pedicles was 5.0±1.0 mm and the mean convergence angle was 41.2±5.1 degrees. All the screws had a diameter of 4 mm and the mean convergence angle of the screws inserted was 36.6±4.2 degrees. The mean difference between the preoperative convergence angle of the pedicles and the convergence angle of the inserted screws was 4.57±4.3 degrees.

Perforation of cervical pedicles occurred in 29 screws (27.9%): grade 1 in 20 (19.2%), grade 2 in 6 (5.8%), and grade 3 in 3 (2.9%) (Table 2). Overall, the correct position (perforation of grade 0, 1) was found in 95 screws (91.3%) and the incorrect position (perforation of grade 2, 3) in 9 screws (8.7%).

The mean difference between the convergence angle of pedicles and that of screws was 3.67±2.7 degrees in the correct position group and 10.04±3.4 degrees in the incorrect position group. Incorrect position occurred in 1 screw (6.2%) at C3, 2 (11.1%) at C4, 3 (12.5%) at C5, 2 (8.3%) at C6, and 1 (4.5%) at C7 (Table 1). The direction of perforation was lateral in 21 (72.4%), followed by medial in 6 (20.6%), and caudal in 2 (6.8%) screw. No perforation was directed toward cranial side (Table 3).

The mean operative time was 185±80 minutes. The mean intraoperative blood loss was 285±87 mL. Blood transfusion was performed in 6 cases. Vertebral artery injury or nerve root irritation symptom was not observed in any case. Postoperative vascular-enhanced CT scan confirmed that the blood flow of the vertebral artery maintained in all cases.

**DISCUSSION**

Cervical pedicle screw insertion is advantageous for certain pathologies of the cervical spine. Biomechanical studies have reported that cervical pedicle screws provide greater stability than other posterior cervical fixation procedures. However, cervical pedicle screw insertion is more technically demanding than in thoracic or lumbar vertebrae because of the smaller pedicle dimension, the individual variations in pedicle anatomy, and the potential risk of injury to neurovascular structures.

The cervical pedicle screw insertion technique was first described by Abumi et al. Since Abumi technique of cervical pedicle screw insertion, several reports have discussed various methods to insert cervical pedicle screw in easier and safer techniques. However, the methods for precisely and reproducibly determining the ideal entry points and trajectories are yet to be defined.

Recently, an anatomical study of subaxial cervical pedicles and lateral masses using CT scans of adult volunteers provided entry points and trajectories for subaxial cervical pedicle screw insertion. Zheng et al. reported a high success rate using subaxial cervical pedicle screw insertion, with an overall ac-

---

Table 1. Total number of cervical pedicle screw and pedicle perforation

| Level | Total number of cervical pedicle screws | Total number of pedicle perforation | Incorrect position |
|-------|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| C3    | 16                                     | 4                                  | 1 (6.2%)           |
| C4    | 18                                     | 5                                  | 2 (11.1%)          |
| C5    | 24                                     | 11                                 | 3 (12.5%)          |
| C6    | 24                                     | 7                                  | 2 (8.3%)           |
| C7    | 22                                     | 2                                  | 1 (4.5%)           |
| **Total** | **104**                              | **29 (27.9%)**                     | **9 (8.65%)**      |
accuracy of 83.3%, including a non-critical perforation of 13.3% and a critical perforation of 3.3%. This success can be achieved using the recently proposed guidelines and the oblique view obtained through fluoroscopy. However, landmarks to the cervical pedicle entrance alone are insufficient for achieving accurate cervical pedicle screw insertion because of the variation in pedicle anatomy. Then, several insertion techniques using direct pedicle exposure have been advocated in clinical or cadaver studies.

Abumi et al. described a technique in which the cortex at the insertion point is penetrated using a high speed burr, resulting in direct observation of the pedicle entrance. They also reported a pedicle-perforation rate of 6.7% in another clinical report. Karaikovic et al. used the funnel technique, in which the entrance into the pedicle and vertebral body was identified by removing the outer cortex. They used the medial cervical pedicle cortex as a guide into the vertebral body through the pedicle isthmus. This study reported a screw perforation rate of 16.8%, including non-critical perforations of 9.7% and critical perforations of 7.1%, using the funnel technique. Miller et al. used partial laminectomy and a tapping technique in which the entrance point for screw insertion and angulations for screw placement were guided by direct determination of the superior, medial, and inferior borders of the pedicle through the laminar window opening. Ludwig et al. inserted a cervical pedicle screw insertion after a laminoforaminotomy, which provides visual and tactile cues regarding orientation of the medial, and superior pedicle walls. Yoshimoto et al. observed incomplete perforation in 7.3% and complete perforation in 3.7%. In 100 cases of cervical pedicle screw insertion using an oblique view in the year 2006, Yukawa et al. reported incomplete perforation in 10.3% and complete perforation in 4%. In 2005, Neo et al. mainly applied the Abumi technique to 18 cases and found pedicle perforation in 25%, a rate higher than previous reports.

On the other hand, several authors have reported cervical pedicle screw insertion techniques using computer-assisted navigation systems and computer-assisted navigation systems have lower pedicle screw perforation than free-hand techniques (direct pedicle exposure techniques). Kotani et al. reported that the screw misplacement rate was significantly lower in a computer-assisted group (1.2%) than in a free-hand group (6.7%). Increasing use of three-dimensional fluoroscopy-based computer-assisted navigation systems has recently been reported. Three-dimensional fluoroscopy is superior to conventional CT-based image guidance because anatomical registration is not required and real-time updates of the spine position can be obtained intraoperatively. Ito et al. reported that the rate of pedicle perforations was 2.8% in the absence of clinically significant perforation when a three-dimensional fluoroscopy-based navigation system was used. Ishikawa et al. reported that the prevalence of pedicle perforation was 18.7% in a three-dimensional fluoroscopy-based navigation group and 27% in a conventional free-hand group. Although computer-assisted navigation systems can improve the accuracy of cervical pedicle screw insertion, there are also some disadvantages, such as the requirement of very expensive system costs.

These results cannot be compared directly because the criteria for assessing development and degree of pedicle perforation vary. The absolute rate of pedicle perforation was 27.9% in our study. We classified perforation of less than 1 mm as the correct position and perforation of over 1 mm, as the incorrect position. Incorrect position was 8.7%. This result seems higher perforation rate than some of previous studies, because we included pedicle perforation of less than 1 mm at classification. Pedicle perforation of less than 1 mm during insertion of screws was usually insignificant. The perforation rate could vary according to each grading system, which has not standardized yet. The method used in this study is basically a modification to the Abumi technique. The difference lies in the laminoforaminotomy performed to provide visual and tactile cues regarding the orientation of the pedicle medial and superior walls. In addition, the use of a 15-degree, 2 mm-diameter curved gear shift probe is expected to reduce the risk of lateral perforation by guiding the trajectory along the strong medial cortex of the pedicle, which is about two times thicker than lateral cortex. The method used in this study requires removal of the bone in the lateral mass, similar to the Abumi and funnel techniques. Therefore expected outcome includes a significant reduction of screw fixation strength. However, Kowalski et al. reported no significant difference in the biomechanical pullout strength of cervical pedicle screw when the lateral mass cancellous bone is removed.

The cervical pedicle screw insertion technique with direct exposure of the pedicle by laminoforaminotomy did not require

| Level | Correct position | Incorrect position |
|-------|----------------|--------------------|
|       | Grade 0 | Grade 1 | Grade 2 | Grade 3 |
| C3    | 12     | 3      | 1       | 0       |
| C4    | 13     | 3      | 0       | 2       |
| C5    | 13     | 8      | 2       | 1       |
| C6    | 17     | 5      | 2       | 0       |
| C7    | 20     | 1      | 1       | 0       |
| Total | 75 (72.1%) | 20 (19.2%) | 6 (5.8%) | 3 (2.9%) |
|       | 95 (91.3%) | 9 (8.7%)    |          |          |

| Direction of perforation | Rate of perforation |
|--------------------------|---------------------|
| Medial                   | 21 (72.4%)          |
| Lateral                  | 6 (20.6%)           |
| Cranial                  | 0 (0%)              |
| Caudal                   | 2 (6.8%)            |
CONCLUSION

We performed cervical pedicle screw insertion using the technique with direct exposure of the pedicle by laminoforaminotomy and with 91.3% correct position without clinical complications. This technique could be considered relatively safe and easy method to insert cervical pedicle screw.
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