A Versatile Class of Cell Surface Directional Motors Gives Rise to Gliding Motility and Sporulation in *Myxococcus xanthus*
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Abstract

Eukaryotic cells utilize an arsenal of processive transport systems to deliver macromolecules to specific subcellular sites. In prokaryotes, such transport mechanisms have only been shown to mediate gliding motility, a form of microbial surface translocation. Here, we show that the motility function of the *Myxococcus xanthus* Agl-Glt machinery results from the recent specialization of a versatile class of bacterial transporters. Specifically, we demonstrate that the Agl motility motor is modular and associates from the rest of the gliding machinery (the Glt complex) to bind the newly expressed Nfs complex, a close Glt parologue, during sporulation. Following this association, the Agl system transports Nfs proteins directionally around the spore surface. Since the main spore coat polymer is secreted at discrete sites around the spore surface, its transport by Agl-Nfs ensures its distribution around the spore. Thus, the Agl-Glt/Nfs machineries may constitute a novel class of directional bacterial surface transporters that can be diversified to specific tasks depending on the cognate cargo and machinery-specific accessories.

Introduction

In euukaryotic cells, motor-assisted intracellular transport regulates fundamental cellular processes including cell division, macromolecule secretion, and cell migration. Because of its small size, the bacterial cell has long been considered to be a disordered compartment where biochemical reactions and cellular processes are governed by diffusion-driven random collisions. However, in recent years, it has become clear that bacteria are highly organized with the actin-like MreB cytoskeleton on the cytosolic side [8,9]. In *M. xanthus*, we have shown that the motility machinery consists of a new type of processive transport system (Agl-Glt) [4,5]. Phylogenomic studies suggested that the motility function of the Agl-Glt machinery emerged from the recent specialization of an older system, predicting that bacterial Agl-Glt-like transporters may be adapted to other functions [5]. Here, we show that in the same bacterium, Agl, the motor component of Agl-Glt machinery, forms a second transport system that propels spore coat assembly proteins during sporulation. This finding suggests that a previously overseen type of bacterial surface transport can be adapted to mediate very different cellular tasks in prokaryotes.

* M. xanthus* cells move across solid surfaces by a process termed gliding (A)-motility where surface translocation occurs in the absence of extracellular organelles [6]. In recent years, remarkable progress has been made to elucidate the motility mechanism with the first identification of the motility machinery and the tracking of its localization in live gliding cells [7]. The motility machinery consists of a molecular motor, Agl (AglR, Q, and S), a three subunit flagellar-type proton channel that assembles in the bacterial inner membrane [4]. During motility, the Agl motor harvests the proton motive force (pmf) to move directionally along a looped continuous path spanning the entire cell length [5]. Helical trafficking of the motor may occur through a connection with the actin-like MreB cytoskeleton on the cytosolic side [8,9].
the cell envelope, mechanical work from the motor is transduced to the cell surface by the Glt (Gliding transducer, GhtA–K) complex through a direct interaction involving AglR and GltG [5,10]. Transported Glt proteins produce thrust when the machinery comes in contact with the underlying substrate at areas termed focal adhesions (FAs) [4,11]. How exactly the Glt proteins contact the substrate is unknown [7,12], but adhesion is facilitated by slime, a yet undefined sugar polysaccharide specifically bound by the outermost components of the motility machinery [13]. Thus, the Agl-Glt machinery may be viewed as a modular transport system where an active transport unit (Agl) combines with a specific cargo (Glt) to propel the cells on surfaces.

Remarkably, the glt genes are paralogous to the nfs (necessary for sporulation, nfsA–H, Figure 1) genes involved in assembly of the Myxococcus spore coat [5,14]. Bacterial sporulation is a stress-induced differentiation leading to the formation of highly resistant quiescent cell types. In the model bacteria Bacillus and Streptomyces sp., a spore is formed after elaboration of a complex proteinaceous outer shell, called the spore coat. However, the coat proteins and assembly mechanisms are unrelated (for reviews see [15,16]). Myxococcus spores are formed following starvation in multicellular fruiting bodies. During multicellular development, the initiating signals have not been identified, but sporulation can be conveniently induced in vitro by the addition of glycerol [14]. Glycerol-induced spores display certain morphological differences compared to fruiting body spores (e.g., the absence of the outermost protein cuticula) [17], but they also share many similarities: resistance to heat and sonic disruption as well as germination. Contrary to Bacillus and Streptomyces spores, the Myxococcus spore coat is mostly composed of two carbohydrates, N-acetyl-galactosamine and glucose, in a molar ratio of 3:1 [18,19]. The exact structure of the spore coat polymer(s) is unknown, and glucose molecules may form an alpha 1,3-glycan chain independently from the N-acetyl-galactosamine [18,19]. Recently, a locus of nine genes, named exoA–I, has been shown to be essential for spore coat synthesis [20]. Annotation of exoA–I indicates that the main spore coat polymer is likely a capsular-type polysaccharide, exported by an outer membrane Wza-like translocon (the ExoA protein) [20]. For simplicity, the exo-dependent spore coat polymer will be named Exo throughout the rest of this article. Myxococcus sporulation is a stepwise process. During the first step of sporulation, the peptidoglycan (PG) layer is seemingly degraded, an MreB-dependent process, which leads to cell rounding [20]. Subsequently,
The Exo polymer is exported by ExoA and deposited around the collapsed inner and outer membranes [20]. Tight wrapping of Exo around the spore membranes requires the Nfs complex [20]. How exactly the Nfs system promotes spore coat assembly is unknown and is addressed in this article.

The Glt and Nfs proteins are highly similar and seem to associate with extracellular sugar polymers (slime and Exo, respectively). Thus, starting from the premise that both systems share similar operating principles, we investigated the function of Nfs in spore coat assembly. Doing so, we discovered that following the onset of sporulation, the Agl motility motor dissociates from the Glt complex and becomes recruited to the Nfs complex to transport it around the spore membranes. We further show that following its secretion at discrete sites around the spore surface, the Exo polymer is recruited by mobile Nfs units, suggesting that the Agl-Nfs machinery constructs the coat by wrapping Exo strands around the cell surface. We conclude that the Agl-Glt/Nfs machineries constitute a versatile class of active surface transport machineries that may carry out multiple functions in bacterial cell surface organization.

**Results**

**The Nfs and Glt Complexes Are Paralogous Complexes**

A recent phylogenetic study showed that the glt and nfs genes likely arose from the recent duplication of a single gene system in one of the terminal branches of the deltaproteobacteria (Figure 1A) [5]. Thus, the Nfs and Glt proteins are paralogues, and when predictable, protein domains are systematically conserved between Nfs and Glt proteins (Table S1). The nfs complex consists of eight genes, nfsA–H (Figure 1B), respectively, homologous to gltA–H (Table S1). Nfs is therefore predicted to be a somewhat simpler assemblage, missing paralogues to GltI, J, and K. Gene synteny is maintained between the nfs and glt clusters (Figure 1B). Of note, however, gltA–C and gltD–H form two distinct genomic clusters, but the nfsA–H genes are clustered in a single genomic region, possibly forming an operon (Figure 1B) [20]. Consistent with the notion that the nfs genes form a complex in the spore membrane, all nfs genes are essential for sporulation and their products localize to the cell envelope (tested for NfsA, B, C, D, E, and G and predicted for NfsF and H) [20]. Therefore, we predict that the Nfs proteins assemble a Glt-like membrane sporulation complex (Figure 1B).

**aglRQS and nfs Are Part of a Sporulation Pathway**

Previous works showed that Glt promotes motility in association with the Agl motor [4,5,8]. Therefore, although Nfs might be functional on its own, its function may also require an Agl-like motor. Reasoning that each complex may have its own dedicated motor, we tested whether the MXAN_3003–5 genes, which encode the only additional complete set of Agl homologues in M. xanthus and are dispensable for motility, are required for sporulation like the nfs genes [5]. Because nfs mutants are defective for sporulation whether they are extracted from fruiting bodies or after glycerol-induction [14], we measured sporulation after glycerol induction throughout this study. Under these conditions, a mutant carrying

---

**Figure 2. The Agl interacts with Nfs to promote spore coat assembly.** (A) Sporulation titers after heat and sonication counted by DAPI staining in various strains. Corresponding DAPI-staining images are shown. Note the 10^2 spores/mL detection limit of the assay. Scale bar = 5 μm. (B) Thin sections of myxospores observed by transmission electron microscopy. WT, aglQ, nfsD, and exoA strains were observed 24 h after the induction of sporulation. Arrows point to spore coat material that detaches from the surface of sporulating cells in aglQ and nfsD mutants. Scale bars = 0.1 μm. (C) GSLI-FITC staining of the spore coat material. WT, aglQ, nfsD, and exoA strains were observed 4 h after the induction of sporulation. Scale bar = 1 μm. (D) AglR interacts with GltG and NfsG in a bacterial two hybrid assay.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001728.g002

---
an in-frame deletion in the MXAN_3004 gene (the aglQ homologue) formed perfectly viable spores, indicating that the putative MXAN_3003-5 motor does not play a significant role in sporulation (Figure 2A).

We next tested whether AglRQS itself may be required for sporulation. Strikingly, the aglR, aglQ, and aglS mutants all showed a severe sporulation defect, comparable to the sporulation defects of the nfsD mutant and the exoA mutant lacking the Wza homologue (Figure 2A). An aglQ_{D328N} point mutant, carrying a substitution in the AglRQS channel previously shown to abolish proton conductance and to paralyze the Agl-Glt motility machinery [4], also failed to sporulate (Figure 2A). Therefore, the AglRQS complex and, importantly, its proton-conducting activity are required for both motility and sporulation.

gaslQ Are Required for Spore Coat Assembly

In the nfs mutants, the sporulation program is correctly initiated following glycerol induction: the cells round up and the Exo polymer is produced and exported to the cell surface [20]. However, in absence of Nfs, Exo is loosely attached to the spore surface, which results in loss of cell integrity and abortive sporulation [20]. By Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM), we also observed a thin and complex electron dense layer around the membranes of WT spores [20], suggesting the presence of a spore coat (Figure 2B). In both the nfsD and aglQ mutants, this layer was absent and replaced by hair-like filaments that seemed loosely attached to the spore surface at one end (Figure 2B). Since the filament was completely absent in an exoA mutant, they may constitute Exo polymer filaments (Figure 2B) [20]. To confirm that the filaments are not artifacts of TEM sections, we tested a fluorescein-coupled lectin, Griffonia (Bandeiraea) Simplicifolia lectin I (GSL-I), with a selectivity for α-D-galactosamine, the major sugar component of the spore coat [18,21]. As expected, GSL-I stained the surface of WT spores but not that of spore-coat deficient mutants (Figure 2C). On WT spores, GSL-I staining decorated the entire surface with occasional brighter dots (Figure 2C). Moreover, as expected if the spore coat was loosely attached, GSL-I staining of aglQ and nfsD mutants was not compact around the cell surface (Figures 2G and S1). Taken together, the TEM and lectin-staining experiments suggest that a function of the Agl-Nfs machinery is to promote the formation of a compact spore coat layer around the spore membrane. Additionally, we identified GSL-I staining as a useful tool to detect the spore coat by live fluorescence microscopy (see Movie S1).

AglRQS Associates with Nfs to Form a Sporulation-Specific Machinery

In the gliding machinery, AglRQS contacts the Glt complex through the specific association of AglR and GhG [22]. We used a bacterial two-hybrid assay to test whether AglR also contacts the Nfs system through an interaction with NfsG, the GltG paralogue. Consistent with interaction, a significant β-galactosidase activity (≥1,000 Miller units) was obtained when AglR and NfsG were expressed together (Figure 2D). No significant β-galactosidase activity was measured when each protein was expressed alone or when MXAN_3003 (the AglR homologue) was co-expressed with NfsG or GhG (Figure 2D). Thus, the AglRQS motor can associate with both the Glt and Nfs complexes.

A Genetic Switch Activates the Agl-Nfs Machinery at the Onset of Sporulation

Myxococcus cells do not complete the sporulation process when spotted directly on an agar pad atop a microscope slide. Thus, to

Figure 3. The Agl-Nfs machinery is sporulation-specific. (A) nfs and glt genes are differentially regulated during sporulation. Expression of NfsD, GltD, and AglQ as inferred from the measurements of relative single cell mCherry/sfGFP fluorescence intensities over time after sporulation induction. Shown are the average fluorescence intensity ratios (measured intensity/maximum intensity) of 25 cells for each time point (B) Time course of AglQ-sfGFP (left), GltD-mCherry (middle panel), and NfsD-mCherry (right panel) dynamics during sporulation. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001728.g003

The AglRQS Motor Rotates the Nfs Complex Around the Spore Surface

In sharp contrast to the focal localization of AglQ during motility [4], AglQ-sfGFP accumulated circumferentially all around the spore membrane throughout the sporulation process (Figure 3B). However, when we analyzed the localization

monitor sporulation by live microscopy and elucidate how the Agl-Nfs machinery drives spore coat assembly, we developed a microfluidic chamber assay where cells are immobilized and sporulate in liquid directly on the microscope stage (Figure S2A,B and Movie S1). Under these conditions, viable spores were obtained approximately 250–300 min after glycerol addition (Figure S2A,B). To test the dynamics of the Agl-Nfs machinery during sporulation, we constructed functional NfsD-mCherry and AglQ-sfGFP [super-folder GFP] fusions to use as proxies to monitor both Nfs and Agl dynamics (Figure S3). Time-course sporulation experiments showed that NfsD-mCherry is expressed in lieu of GltD-mCherry (the motility NfsD paralogue) during sporulation, suggesting that sporulation depends on a genetic switch that results in the substitution of the Glt complex by the Nfs complex (Figures 3A–B and S4). Consistent with its role in spore coat assembly, expression of AglQ was even increased up to two-fold at the onset of sporulation and maintained at high level throughout the sporulation process (Figure 3A–B).
of NfsD-mCherry, we found that this protein covered the entire cell surface during the initial stage of sporulation but later formed fluorescent-bright clusters at the end of the cell-rounding phase, a sporulation stage where the Nfs complex would be expected to become active (Figure 3B). In time-lapse experiments, the NfsD-mCherry foci were observed to move in orbital trajectories around the spore surface (Figure 4A and Movie S2). Orbital trajectories could be captured both when the microscope focal plane was set to the middle or to the top of a sporulating cell, showing that NfsD rotates all around the spore circumference (Figure 4Ai and 4Aii). Rotating NfsD-mCherry clusters could be tracked for distances between 3/4 and up to a full spore circumference, suggesting a high level of directionality (Figures 4Ai and S5). To measure the movement parameters of NfsD-mCherry precisely, we designed a computational method to measure the distance traveled by NfsD-mCherry foci at subpixel resolution. Moreover, since sporulating cells are spherical, the distance that separates two clusters at distinct times is not the euclidian distance but the orthodromic distance (Figure S5). Orthodromic distances traveled by NfsD-mCherry clusters were thus calculated for each time point by projection (see Methods and Figure S5). This analysis revealed that NfsD-mCherry foci moved at instantaneous speeds ranging from 0.1–0.3 μm.min⁻¹ (Figure 4B). Movement was clearly directional because (i) the distance to the origin increased over the time (Figure 4C) and (ii) NfsD-mCherry movements could be described by a Mean Square Displacement (MSD) over time that increased as $4Dt + v^2t^2$ (with $v$ the mean velocity, $v = 0.12 ± 0.05$ μm.min⁻¹, and $D$ the apparent diffusion coefficient, $D = 0.012 ± 0.007$ μm².min⁻¹, Figure 4D). This directional movement must be linked to the activity of the Agl motor because

Figure 4. The AglRQS motor rotates the Nfs complex around the spore surface. (A) NfsD-mCherry moves in orbital trajectories around the surface of 4-h-old spores. Observation of dynamic NfsD-mCherry clusters at different focal planes, middle (i) and top (ii) sections of a spore. For each time lapse, trajectories were computed by summing the different time points in consecutive frames and shown in “fire” colors to the right. Scale bar = 1 μm. (B) Instantaneous speed histogram of tracked NfsD-mCherry clusters over time in WT spores and in the different mutants. (C) Distance from the origin of NfsD-mCherry clusters in WT spores and different mutants. (D) Mean square displacement (MSD) of NfsD-mCherry clusters over time in WT spores and in the different mutants. (E) NfsD-mCherry rotation is abolished by CCCP. (F) Rotation of NfsD-mCherry requires AglQ. (G) Movement of NfsD-mCherry in the exoA mutant.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001728.g004
movement was immediately stopped by addition of Carbonyl Cyanide-m-ChloroPhenylhydrazone (CCCP), a proton motive force uncoupler (Figures 4E and S7C and Movie S3) and paralyzed NfsD-mCherry foci formed both in the aglQ mutant and in the aglQD28N mutant (Figure 4B,C,F and unpublished data).

MSD analysis of NfsD-mCherry clusters in the aglQ mutant showed a typical subdiffusive behavior, consistent with the absence of significant active movements (Figure 4D).

The Agl-Nfs Machinery Transports Glycan Strands Across the Surface of Sporulating Cells

During motility, Agl functions to transport Glt proteins and slime along the cell surface [4,11]. Thus, the Agl motor may transport the Nfs complex and associated Exo strands to construct a densely packed spore coat around the spore membrane. We used a micron-sized polystyrene beads assay [4] to test directly whether Agl has a surface transport activity and found that beads were transported along the spore surface with instantaneous speeds matching the dynamics of NfsD-mCherry (0.1–0.3 μm.min⁻¹, Figure 5A-B). Consistent with bead transport by the Agl motor, this transport was undetectable in the aglQ mutant and abolished by the addition of CCCP (unpublished data and Figure 5B).

To test whether Exo is the terminal cargo of the Agl-Nfs machinery, we stained NsfD-mCherry–expressing spores with GSL-I and imaged each fluorophore simultaneously 4 h after the induction of sporulation. As already mentioned, GSL-I staining covers the entire spore surface but also forms prominent fluorescent clusters (Figure 2C). When these clusters were imaged by time-lapse, they rotated together with NfsD-mCherry clusters (Figure 5C and Movie S4). Co-tracking of NfsD-mCherry and GSL-I foci were occasionally observed to dissociate, which was

Figure 5. The Nfs complex transports the spore coat polymer at the surface of the developing spores. (A) Snapshots of two beads moving on spore surface. Two beads are attached to a WT spore; another bead is stuck to the bottom of flow chamber providing a fixed reference. The bead in focus is tracked using the low-powered laser as in the Methods section. Both beads move independently at different times (arrows). Scale bar = 1 μm. (B) Speed histogram of tracked polystyrene beads at the spore surface: WT spores, exoA spores, exoA spores in the presence of CCCP (10 μM), and exoA aglQ spores. WT spores in the presence of CCCP and aglQ spores yielded similar results as the exoA CCCP and exoA aglQ spores and are therefore not represented for improved clarity. (C) Dynamics of NfsD-mCherry and GSL-I-FITC on a sporulating cell. A time lapse of a 4-h-old sporulating cell is shown with its corresponding kymograph. The white arrow points to the dissociation of the red and green signals. Scale bar = 1 μm.
doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001728.g005
followed by rapid dispersal of the GSL-I cluster (Figure 5C). Thus, Exo-linked rotating Agl-Nfs complexes are likely involved in the construction of a densely packed protective mesh at the surface of developing spores.

Nfs Transport Does Not Require the MreB Cytoskeleton

Mature spores apparently lack PG and the actin-like MreB cytoskeleton [20,23]. Consistent with published data [20], we found that MreB is only required for the initial cell rounding phase of sporulation using A22, a specific MreB-inhibitor (Figure S6A–B) [9]. Since the activity of the Agl-Glt motility machinery requires MreB [8,9,11], we tested the effect of A22 on NfsD-mCherry dynamics and found that MreB is dispensable for NfsD-mCherry rotation (Figure S6C). Contrarily to Bacillus endospores, myxosporules do not contain PG or only trace amounts [23]. The Exo spore coat may provide spore integrity in absence of other rigid cellular scaffolds because exo, nfs, and agl mutants all show aberrant cell morphologies after 24 h (Figure S2A) [20]. Thus, during sporulation, both MreB and the PG seem dispensable for the activity of Agl-Nfs.

The Exo Polymer Is Essential for Transport Directionality

What is the mechanism of Nfs transport? In absence of a rigid scaffold (i.e., MreB filaments and the PG), Agl motor units may distribute circumferentially (Figure 3B) to transport Nfs proteins from one motor unit to the next, similar to actin filaments being moved by immobilized Myosin motors [24]. Alternatively and because the Nfs proteins are terminally associated with the Exo polymer, Exo secretion itself could push Nfs proteins around the spore surface in a mechanism reminiscent of PG glycan strand insertion rotating PG synthetic complexes [25–27]. To discriminate between these two possibilities, we tested NfsD-mCherry dynamics in the exoA mutant. In the absence of ExoA, several critical features of Nfs transport emerged: (i) NfsD-mCherry clusters formed foci that appeared smaller in exoA mutant than in WT cells, suggesting that Exo polymers organize NfsD-mCherry clustering at the spore surface (compare Figure 4G and A); and (ii) NfsD-mCherry movement was erratic and characterized by frequent reversals and saltatory motions, suggesting that directionality is lost in the mutant (Figure 4G). To test this possibility, we computed the MSD of NfsD-mCherry clusters as a function of time in exoA mutant cells and found it to be mostly linear, a characteristic of undirected random motion (Figure 4D). In contrast, the MSD of WT cells is characteristic of directed motion (Figure 4D).

In the exoA mutant, although NfsD-mCherry clusters appear to move randomly, this movement is unlikely to be driven by diffusion alone because many clusters showed short and fast movement phases with burst speeds similar to NfsD-mCherry clusters in WT cells (Figure 4B,C,G). These fast movements depend on the activity of the Agl-motor because (i) they were completely abolished by CCCP (Figure S7A) or in an exoA aglQ double mutant (Figures 4B,C,D,G and S7B) and (ii) the MSD of NfsD-mCherry was constant over time in the exoA aglQ double mutant (Figure 4D). Finally to prove that AglQ-dependent transport can still occur in absence of the Exo polymer, we further tested bead transport in absence in the exoA mutant. Similar to the movements of NfsD-mCherry clusters in the exoA mutant, bursts of fast bead movements were observed in this strain (Figure 5B). Since movements were completely abolished by the addition of CCCP or in an aglQ mutant background and thus depend on the activity of the Agl motor (Figure 5B), all together, these results show that surface movements at the cell surface (Nfs and beads) result from active Agl-dependent transport and not
spore coat polymer secretion, which may instead serve to guide motion (see Discussion).

The Exo Export System Localizes at Discrete Sites Around the Spore

Gene homologies suggest that the exoA–I genes responsible for spore coat synthesis encode a capsular polysaccharide synthesis and Wza-type export apparatus (Figure 6A) [20]. To further understand the link between Agl-Nfs activity and the export of Exo to the spore surface, we localized structural components of the export apparatus. Fusions to ExoA and ExoC, respectively, encoding Wza and transmembrane Wzc-domain homologues were nonfunctional (unpublished data). Although in general proteobacterial Wzc proteins carry both a transmembrane and a cytosolic BY-kinase domains, in *Myxococcus* the Wzc transmembrane domain and the kinase Wzc domain are carried by two distinct polypeptides (respectively named ExoC and ExoD/BtkA) [28], a conformation often found in firmicutes [29]. Nevertheless, BtkA-dependent tyrosine phosphorylation of the Wzc-like transmembrane polypeptide is essential for *Myxococcus* sporulation [28], suggesting that BtkA can be used to localize Exo export sites. We successfully obtained a functional BtkA-sfGFP fusion (Figure S3). Consistent with previous expression studies [28], BtkA-sfGFP was only expressed following sporulation induction. At 4 h, BtkA-sfGFP formed prominent foci in ≈50% of the cells (Figure 6B–C). Each cell contained on average 1.7 ± 1 clusters (counted for 305 cells, with a maximum number of clusters of six per cell), all located near the spore membrane suggesting that BtkA-sfGFP is indeed recruited to the export apparatus. Z-sections of 4-h-old spores and 3D reconstructions further revealed that BtkA-sfGFP foci form at discrete sites around the spore periphery (Figure 6D and Movie S5). Importantly, the BtkA-sfGFP foci did not colocalize with NfsD-mCherry and often formed in distinct z-planes around the spore (Figures 6E and S8). In total the BtkA localization data suggest that sporulating cells only assemble a few discrete fixed export sites in the cell envelope, suggesting that rotating Agl-Nfs machineries act downstream from Exo secretion to construct the spore coat (see Discussion).

Discussion

Agl-Glt/Nfs Machineries Are Surface Transport Systems

In this report, we show that the Agl motility motor is modular and interacts with Glt or Nfs proteins, depending on the growth phase. The output of these interactions is remarkably different because Agl-Glt drives gliding motility while Agl-Nfs drives spore coat assembly (Figure 7). Both Agl-Glt and Agl-Nfs interact with extracellular polysaccharides (respectively, slime and the Exo polymer) and the specific function of each system may be linked, at least partially, to the chemical properties of the cognate polymer. For example, motility could be facilitated by the chemical adhesiveness of slime, while the structure of Exo might make it particularly suited to form a coat around the spore membrane (Figure 7).

Since both slime and the Exo polymer are still detected at the cell surface in *agl* mutants, Agl-Glt/Nfs systems are not involved in the synthesis/export of associated sugars. This is particularly clear during sporulation where the synthesis and transport of the Exo polymer is controlled by the *exo* locus. Rather, several lines of
evidence suggest that Agl-Glt/Nfs system transport their cognate polymers after export along the cell surface: (i) AglRQS form a flagellar motor-like complex, a predicted pmf-driven motor that interacts both with Glt and Nfs proteins (through a specific interaction between AglR and GltG/NfsG). Accordingly, both the Agl system and pmf are required for Glt and Nfs movements (this work and [8,11,30]). (ii) Agl exerts transport activity at the surface of motile cells and spores, monitored by addition of polystyrene beads. Transport of slime and Exo was observed directly with fluorescent lectins, and in both cases mobile lectin patches were translocated together with mobile AglQ (slime) and NfsD (Exo) complexes (this work and [13]). (iii) During sporulation, secretion of the Exo polymer is not a significant driving force for Nfs movement. Thus, rotation does not result from a pushing action of the Exo polymer, for example, like when MreB-associated PG synthetic complexes are moved circumferentially by the incorporation of new glycan strands in the PG meshwork [25–27]. Importantly, however, transport directionality was lost in absence of Exo polymer secretion. When Agl-Nfs-linked Exo strands become deposited at the spore surface, they could act like a molecular ratchet, preventing motor back steps and restricting Agl-Nfs movements in one dimension. The rigidity of the growing Exo meshwork may also support Nfs movements, especially since MreB and the PG may not be involved or present. In the motility system, slime could perform analogous functions and explain the mysterious directionality of Agl-Glt complexes. Unfortunately this could not be tested because the main slime polymer has not been characterized.

Although it is still unclear how the Agl-Glt machinery accommodates the rigid PG layer during gliding, the situation may be simpler in spores: circumferential AglQ motors may transport Nfs subunits from one motor to the next, fueled by the pmf and guided by linked Exo strands. Testing this mechanism further will require defining the structure of the Exo polymer and its connection with the Nfs proteins.

**Spore Coat Assembly by Agl-Nfs**

The connection between Agl-Nfs and Exo and the severe spore coat assembly defect observed in nfs and agl mutants suggests that Agl-Nfs mediates spore coat assembly directly. As discussed above Nfs function can be separated from secretion. Using a BtkA-sfGFP fusion, we localized the Exo secretion apparatus and found it to form a limited number of sites around the spore periphery, suggesting that secretion is spatially constricted. This localization is not surprising because immuno-localization of Wza only suggested that the export apparatus forms discrete sites in *Escherichia coli* [31]. Thus, a rotary transport complex may be needed to build a homogenous glycan layer all around the spore. Our experiments and others [20] suggest that the activity of Agl-Nfs is necessary to anchor the spore coat polymer at the surface. In this process, scanning Agl-Nfs complexes may capture newly secreted glycan Exo strands and incorporate/deposit them where necessary in the growing spore coat meshwork. This could occur, for example, if a glycosyl transferase activity is linked to the Nfs complex. However, other mechanisms are also possible and more work is needed to understand how Agl-Nfs contributes to spore coat assembly at the molecular level.

**Agl-Glt/Nfs Proteins Define a Versatile Class of Transport Systems in Bacteria**

The Agl-Glt/Nfs machineries likely evolved by modular expansion of a conserved system of seven proteins (Figure S9) [5]. This “core” system is found as a standalone machinery in many gammaproteobacteria and some deltaproteobacteria, suggesting that it carries function [5]. Because the core complex consists of an Agl-like motor and a simplified Nfs/Glt-like apparatus (Figure S9), this machinery may constitute a basal transport machinery. A survey of Agl-Glt/Nfs-like machineries in the deltaproteobacteria shows that these machineries adopt many potential conformations and therefore may cover a potentially broad functional repertoire (Figure S9) [5]. Functional specialization of Agl-Glt/Nfs machineries may be linked to the type of transported cargo, and thus expansion of the core system in the deltaproteobacteria may have evolved diverse tasks, sugar polymer transport (Nfs and Glt), but also potentially protein and lipid transport. Even though glt and nfs paralogues have a rather narrow distribution [5], the Agl motor itself is a member of a ubiquitous family of bacterial motors (Mot/Tol/Exb) [4]. Therefore, it is conceivable that other motor associations also promote processive transport in bacteria.

Many Agl-Glt/Nfs systems are present in bacteria that are not currently genetically tractable. Computational genetic reconstruction of ancestral assemblages is an emerging powerful means to explore both the function of a macromolecular complex and how it evolved [32]. In future works, the reductive genetic study of the Agl-Glt/Nfs machineries in *Myxococcus* should be instrumental to characterize functional intermediates and test the functional repertoire of these systems. Such study would also allow deciphering the evolution of this complex biological machine with high likelihood, an emerging challenge in evolution biology [33]. Finally, critical traits of the *Myxococcus* lifestyle evolved from the diversification of a single molecular system, showing that modifications of a single genetic system can give rise to profound ecological adaptations.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bioinformatics Analysis of glt and nfs Genes**

Protein sequences were analyzed by BlastP (NCBI) searches of the nonredundant (nr) and Pfam (release 24.0) [see comment on Table S1] databases [34]. Signal peptides signal and transmembrane helices were the predicted using the signalP 3.0 [35] and TMHMM v.2.0 [36] servers, respectively.

**Bacterial Strains, Plasmids, and Growth**

Strains, primers, and plasmids are listed in Tables S2, S3, and S4. See Tables S2, S3, and S4 for strains and their mode of construction. *M. xanthus* strains were grown at 32°C in CYE rich media as previously described [37]. Plasmids were introduced in *M. xanthus* by electroporation. Mutants and transformants were obtained by homologous recombination based on a previously reported method [37]. *E. coli* cells were grown under standard laboratory conditions in Luria-Bertani broth supplemented with antibiotics, if necessary.

**Bacterial Two-Hybrid Experiments**

Bacterial two-hybrid experiments, plate, and β-Galactosidase assays were performed as previously described [5] and as recommended by the manufacturer’s instructions (Euromedx).

**Determination of Spore Titers**

Sporulation was induced by adding glycerol to a final concentration of 0.5 M directly to a flask of CYE-grown *Myxococcus* cells (OD_600 of 0.5) as previously described [14]. Viable spore titers were determined after 24 h based on resistance to heat and sonication. For this, 10 mL cells were harvested, pelleted at
5,000 x g during 5 min at room temperature, resuspended in 10 ml sterile water, incubated at 50°C for 2 h, and sonicated three times (30 pulses, output 3, 50% duty) in ice water. The surviving spores were counted directly on the microscope after 10 min incubation in a DAPI staining solution (formaldehyde 4% — DAPI 1 µg/mL) with a detection limit of 10^2 spores/ml.

**Western Blotting and Spore Protein Extraction**

Protein lysates for Western blot analysis were generated by harvesting sporulating cells. Spores were pelleted and resuspended in Tris-HCl 50 mM pH 7.8 supplemented with benzonase (Sigma) and phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, Sigma). Spores were lysed in a Fast-Prep-24 at 65.0 s^-1 for 45 s, 8 times (matrix lysing B, MP Biomedical, France). Protein concentrations were determined by Bradford assay (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Lysates were solubilized in Laemml sample buffer and resolved by sodium-dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) with 8% polyacrylamide concentration for GltD. Proteins were transferred to nitrocellulose membrane (0.45 µm, Bio-Rad) using a tank transfer system (Bio-Rad) and probed with anti-GltD rabbit polyclonal antibody [5] at a 1:1,000 dilution and HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (Bio-Rad) at 1:5,000. The signals were revealed with a SuperSignal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Thermo Scientific) and imaged in a LAS4000 Luminescent Image Analyzer (GE Healthcare).

**Live Microscopy Sporulation Assay**

Commercial microscopy chambers (Flitray uncoated, Biovalley) were filled with 100 µL of carboxymethylcellulose sodium salt (medium viscosity, Sigma-Aldrich) solution (1.5 mg/mL) diluted in de-ionized water and left 15 min at room temperature. The excess of coating solution was removed by flushing with de-ionized water first, followed by flushing with TPM buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.6; 8 mM MgSO_4; 1 mM KH_2PO_4). The chambers were then filled with 100 µL of a CYE-grown cell suspension (OD_600nm = 0.5) previously washes and resuspended in TPM solution and left at room temperature during 20 min. Nonadhering cells were flushed with TPM, and sporulation was induced by adding glycerol to a final concentration of 0.5 M directly on the microscope stage. Time-lapse experiments and image processing were performed as previously described using an inverted Nikon TE2000-E-PFS inverted epifluorescence microscope [38].

All image analysis was performed under Image J (NIH). Fluorescence quantifications were performed by integrating fluorescence intensities and normalizing over the level of background fluorescence measured for cells that do not express fluorescent proteins. Kymographs were obtained as follows: a typical NfsD-mCherry cluster path was obtained by summing a stack of time-lapse images. Kymographs were then computed by reslicing along that path, defined with the “Segmented Line” selection tool.

To measure the fluorescence areas and discriminate GSL-I staining in various mutants, we first defined the specific signal by thresholding and removing background fluorescence, by subtraction. For each cell, the total area of GSL-I-specific fluorescence was measured and normalized by the total area of the cell. Box plots were then computed under R.

In drug experiments, Carbonyl Cyanine-M-Chlorophenylhydrazone (CCC, 1 mM, Sigma Aldrich) and A22 (50 µg/mL, Calbiochem) were injected manually into the flow chamber. For lectin staining, a Griffonia (Bandeiraea) simplicifolia (GSL)-FITC conjugated 2 mg/mL stock solution (ClimiSciences) was diluted 1:100 in TPM containing 1 mM of CaCl_2 and 100 µg/mL of bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich) immediately prior to injection. The mixture was then injected. The unbound lectin was washed (TPM, CaCl_2 1 mM, BSA 100 µg/mL) out of the flow chamber after 40 min of incubation.

**Subpixel Resolution Tracking of Fluorescent Foci at the Surface of Sporulating Cells**

For each experiment, stacks of images were first normalized to correct for background fluctuations over time. If required, the background intensity of phase contrast images was subtracted to optimize auto-thresholding operations. Cell boundary and major and minor axis were detected using a specifically developed plug-in for ImageJ. Briefly, cells were detected using an autothresholding function, and subpixel resolution refined cell contours were obtained using a cubic spline-fitting algorithm. Major axis was deduced from the skeleton and expended in both directions to the most probable point, maximizing the cell-boundary curvature and minimizing the angle between the centerline and the cell boundary. Fluorescent foci were detected using a local and subpixel resolution maxima detection algorithm. The position of each focus is first determined using a polar coordinate system (r, θ), where the radial distance (r) represents the distance from the focus position and the cell center and the angular coordinate (θ) represents the angle formed between the major cell axis and the focus (Figure S5). Since sporulating cells are spherical and NfsD-mCherry foci form close to the cell surface, the polar coordinate system was extended to a 3D spherical coordinate system (p, θ, φ), where the radial distance (p) represents the distance from the cell center to the cell boundary, the angular coordinate (θ) represents the angle formed between the major cell axis and the orthogonal projection of the focus position on the focus plane, and the azimuthal angle (φ) is deduced using the relation φ = arcos(r/p) (Figure S5). The distance between two points at the surface of a spherical point of respective spherical coordinates (p_1, θ_1, φ_1) and (p_2, θ_2, φ_2) was deduced from the relation d = p × arcos(cos(θ_1) × cos(φ_1) × cos(θ_2) × cos(φ_2) + sin(θ_1) × cos(φ_1) × sin(θ_2) × cos(φ_2) + sin(φ_1) × sin(φ_2)). By convention, a positive angular coordinate means that the angle θ is measured counterclockwise from the polar axis formed by the major axis.

Fluorescent foci were tracked over time with a specifically developed plug-in for ImageJ. Briefly, cells are tracked with an optimized nearest-neighbor linking algorithm using the polar (r, θ, φ) or the spherical coordinates (p, θ, φ). From foci trajectories, the distance between two temporal points was used to calculate instantaneous speeds shown in Figure 4B and combined with the cumulated distance and the distance from the origin to compute the Mean Square Displacement (MSD). For WT cells, the mean velocity and the diffusion coefficient were extracted from the second order fit of the MSD. For each condition tested, the MSD of at least 15 individual foci trajectory was calculated.

**TEM**

*M. sanhu* cells were fixed for 1 h with glutaraldehyde 2.5% in CYE medium and postfixed 1 h in 2% OsO_4. Then cells were dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in epon. Ultrathin sections were stained with aqueous uranyl acetate for 10 min and lead citrate for 3 min. Sample observations were performed on a Tecnai-G2 LaB6 microscope (FEI Company) operating at 200 kV.

**Bead Tracking**

Custom microscopy chambers were made of a 1 mm-thick coverslip and a thin coverslip (#1, thickness 100 µm) separated by a double layer of double-sided sticky tape (Scotch). Chambers were...
immersed using 1.5%-agarose in DMSO (6 M, Sigma-Aldrich) for 15 min. Then, chambers were extensively washed with TPM containing 10 mM glucose. The chambers were filled with CYE-grown cell suspension (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.8) exposed to glycerol (final concentration of 0.5 M) 2 h or 4 h prior to experiments, and washed and resuspended in TPM solution directly before infection. After 30 min, access was washed out, leaving sporulated and nonsporulated \textit{M. xanthus} stuck to the surfaces of the microscopy chamber. Submicron-sized polystyrene beads (diameter 520 nm) were gently placed atop the sporulating cells using an optical trap system as previously described [4]. A low-powered tracking laser (<1 mW power at the sample, wavelength 835 nm) was focused on a spore-attached bead. The forward scattered laser light was collected on a position-sensitive photodiode (Model 2931, New Focus). The bead position was recorded and used to update the stage position using a PID feedback at a frequency of 50 Hz. The accuracy of this technique was measured to be better than 4 nm. Simultaneously, we used an EMCCD camera (iXon, Andor) to record time-lapsed sequences in bacteria.

Supporting Information

Figure S1 Loose anchoring of the main spore coat polymer in the \textit{aglQ} mutant. Box plot representations of the ratio between the area of GSL-I fluorescence and a cell total area (fluorescence area ratio) are shown. For each strain, measurements were performed over 20 cells.

(TIF)

Figure S2 Sporulation of \textit{Myxococcus} cells in the microfluidic chamber. (A) Sporulation kinetics after addition of glycerol. Following induction, cell rounding is observed with kinetics similar to cell rounding in liquid flasks. Aberrant cell shapes are observed both with the \textit{aglQ} and \textit{nfsD} mutants as described by [20]. Scale bar = 1 μm. (B) Germination after CYE treatment. Speros germinate indicating that the observed round cells are indeed spores and not spheroplasts.

(TIF)

Figure S3 \textit{AglQ}-sfGFP, \textit{NfsD-mCherry}, and \textit{BtkA-sfGFP} are fully functional for sporulation. Spore titers were determined and expressed as in Figure 2A.

(TIF)

Figure S4 GltD expression is down-regulated during sporulation. (A) Detection of GltD by Western blotting during a sporulation time course using GltD-specific antibodies. Extracts from a \textit{gltD} mutant are shown as a specificity control. A nonspecific cross-reactive specie is shown as a control for comparable protein loading in all lanes. (B) Quantifications of the relative amounts of detectable GltD protein of the experiment shown in (A).

(TIF)

Figure S5 Tracking \textit{NfsD-mCherry} at the surface of sporulating cells. (A) Subpixel-resolution \textit{NfsD-mCherry} foci tracking and methodology. Tracking of a rotating \textit{NfsD-mCherry} cluster in the focal plane is shown. In this example, the \textit{NfsD-mCherry} cluster is moving in the microscope focal plane, and therefore the orthodromic distance can be directly inferred from the images (orange and green). When clusters move out of the focal plane, orthodromic distances are calculated from euclidian distances (purple). (B) Geometric projections used to calculate orthodromic distances from Euclidian distances.

(TIF)

Figure S6 The MreB cytoskeleton is not required for the function of \textit{Agl-Nfs}. (A) Addition of A22 immediately after Glycerol induction blocks cell rounding of WT but not mreB<sup>V32A</sup> cells. (B) Addition of A22 after cell rounding initiation does not block sporulation. (C) The rotation of \textit{NfsD-mCherry} is not affected by the addition of A22. Scale bar = 1 μm.

(TIF)

Figure S7 \textit{NfsD-mCherry} movement depends on \textit{Agl} motor activity in absence of Exo secretion. (A) \textit{NfsD-mCherry} movement in an \textit{exa1 aglQ} mutant. Time-lapse recording was obtained on 4-h-old sporulating cells. Scale bar = 1 μm. (B) \textit{NfsD-mCherry} movement is abolished by CCCP in the \textit{exa1} mutant.

(TIF)

Figure S8 Individual z-sections of \textit{BtkA-sfGFP} and \textit{NfsD-mCherry} localization in the cell shown in Figure 6E.

(TIF)

Figure S9 Modular architecture of \textit{Agl-Glt/Nfs} machineries in bacteria.

(AVI)

Movie S1 Sporulation of \textit{Myxococcus} cells in the microfluidic chamber. Sporulation kinetics after addition of glycerol. The movie is accelerated by a factor of 720.

(AVI)

Movie S2 Rotation of \textit{NfsD-mCherry}. The time-lapse recording was obtained on a 4-h-old sporulating cell. The movie is accelerated by a factor of 480.

(AVI)

Movie S3 Rotation of \textit{NfsD-mCherry} is abolished in presence of CCCP (1 mM). The time-lapse recording was obtained on a 4-h-old sporulating cell. The movie is accelerated by a factor of 490.

(AVI)

Movie S4 Co-rotation of \textit{NfsD-mCherry} and GSL-I FITC on a sporulating cell. The time-lapse recording was obtained on a 4-h-old sporulating cell. The movie is accelerated by a factor of 360.

(AVI)

Movie S5 3D reconstruction of a spore expressed \textit{BtkA-sfGFP} and stained by FM4-64. The Z-stack recording was obtained on a 4-h-old sporulating cell. Each image corresponds to a 10° rotation of the cell.

(AVI)

Table S1 Bioinformatic analysis of the Glt and the Nfs clusters.

(DOCX)

Table S2 Strains used in this study.

(DOCX)

Table S3 Primers used in this study.

(DOCX)

Table S4 Plasmids used in this study.

(DOCX)
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