Low Frequency of ESRRA–C11orf20 Fusion Gene in Ovarian Carcinomas
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Abstract

The identification of recurrent gene fusions in common epithelial cancers—for example, TMPRSS2/ERG in prostate cancer and EML4/ALK in nonsmall cell lung carcinomas—has raised the question of whether fusion genes are pathogenetically important also in ovarian carcinomas. The first recurrent fusion transcript in serous ovarian carcinomas was reported by Salzman et al. in 2011, who used deep paired-end sequencing to detect the fusion gene ESRRA–C11orf20 in 10 out of 67 (15%) serous ovarian carcinomas examined, a finding that holds great promise for our understanding of ovarian tumorigenesis as well as, potentially, for new treatment strategies. We wanted to test how frequent the ESRRA/C11orf20 fusion is in ovarian carcinomas of all subtypes, and therefore examined a series of 230 ovarian carcinomas of which 197 were of the serous subtype and 163 of the 197 were of stages III and IV—that is, the very same carcinoma subset where the fusion transcript had been found. We performed PCR and high-throughput sequencing analyses in search of the fusion transcript. We used the same primers described previously for the detection of the fusion and the same primer combination, but found no ESRRA/C11orf20 fusion in our series. A synthetic DNA plasmid containing the reported ESRRA/C11orf20 fusion was included as a positive control for our PCR experiments. Data from high-throughput sequencing of 23 ovarian carcinomas were screened in search of alternative partner(s) for the ESRRA and/or C11orf20 gene, but none was found. We conclude that the frequency of the ESRRA/C11orf20 gene fusion in serous ovarian carcinomas of stages III and IV must be considerably less than that reported previously (0/163 in our experience compared with 10/67 in the previous study). At the very least, it seems clear that the said fusion cannot be a common pathogenetic event in this tumor type.

Introduction

Cancer of the ovary makes up 30% of all malignant diseases of the female genital tract. Prognosis is poor, with a mean 5-year survival rate in Europe of 32%. This unfavorable outcome is largely attributable to a lack of early warning symptoms and signs and also a lack of diagnostic tests that allow early detection. As a result, approximately 70% of patients present with advanced stage, metastatic disease [1].

A number of specific genes have been identified as playing a role in ovarian carcinogenesis: the ones that have received the most attention are BRCA1 and BRCA2 followed by TP53. In addition, integrated genomic analysis of ovarian carcinomas has identified four ovarian cancer transcriptional subtypes, three microRNA subtypes, four promoter methylation subtypes, and a transcriptional signature associated with survival duration [2], attesting to the genetic complexity of these tumors.

The identification of recurrent gene fusions in common epithelial cancers—for example, TMPRSS2/ERG in prostate cancer [3] and EML4/ALK in nonsmall cell lung carcinomas [4,5]—has raised the question of whether fusion genes are pathogenetically important also in ovarian carcinomas. Salzman et al. [6] reported the first recurrent fusion transcript in serous ovarian carcinomas. They used deep paired-end sequencing to detect the fusion gene ESRRA–C11orf20 in 10 out of 67 (15%) serous ovarian carcinomas examined, a finding that holds great promise for our understanding of ovarian tumorigenesis as well as, potentially, for new treatment strategies. The fusion was brought about by rearrangements in the long arm of chromosome 11, in subband 11q13.1. The gene ESRRA (estrogen-related receptor alpha) encodes a nuclear receptor that is closely related to the estrogen receptor, whereas its partner is but an open reading frame sequence. Because ESRRA and C11orf20 (also known as TEX40) normally lie only 11 kb apart, it is possible that the rearrangement leading to their fusion is an incidental consequence of another functionally important genetic event or that it is merely a “passenger” to other structural rearrangements.
Author Summary

The identification of characteristic fusion genes in cancer helps us to understand how a particular cancer arises and also to improve classification and diagnosis, with a view to develop specific medical treatments that target exactly those aberrant molecules that trigger the disease. A fusion transcript presumed to arise from a chromosomal rearrangement involving the ESRRA and C11orf20 genes has previously been described to be present in 15% of serous ovarian carcinomas—the first fusion transcript to be associated with this common and often fatal cancer. We assessed 163 similar ovarian carcinomas for the presence of the ESRRA–C11orf20 fusion transcript, plus a further 67 ovarian carcinomas of different histologic subtypes/grades, to see if these tumors were characterized by the same fusion. Surprisingly, we found no ESRRA–C11orf20 transcripts in any of the 230 carcinomas. The question as to whether fusion genes contribute to the pathogenesis of ovarian carcinoma therefore remains open.

To test how frequent ESRRA/C11orf20 fusion is in ovarian carcinomas of all subtypes, we performed PCR analysis of 230 ovarian carcinomas, of which 197 were of the serous subtype and 163 of the 197 were of stages III and IV—that is, the very same carcinoma subset examined by Salzman et al. [6].

Results and Discussion

The PCR analysis of the 230 ovarian carcinomas showed no fusion transcript for the ESRRA/C11orf20. A synthetic DNA plasmid containing the reported ESRRA/C11orf20 fusion was included as a positive control for our PCR experiments and was the only sample showing the transcript and demonstrating, at the same time, the validity of the experiments (Figure 1).

We also performed high-throughput sequencing of 23 ovarian carcinomas (already tested by PCR analysis), of which 10 were serous, five endometrioid, four clear cell, three mucinous, and one of a mixed endometrioid and undifferentiated subtype. Each sample was sequenced to yield about 60–70 million reads using the Illumina HiSeq 2000 instrument. We extracted from the raw data all sequences containing the last 20 bp before the putative break of the ESRRA exon 2 gene sequence, getting 2,705 reads in total. We also found 58, 59, and 49 reads containing the first 20 bp of exon 3, exon 4, and exon 5 gene sequences, respectively (Table 1). From the extracted ESRRA- and C11orf20-specific sequences, none contained sequences of both ESRRA and C11orf20. The comparison was performed by investigating if the ESRRA-specific sequences contained C11orf20 exon 3, 4, or 5 sequences and vice versa. It is possible to argue that if the fusion gene, if present, should be driven by the ESRRA promoter, and therefore that the fusion gene read counts should be more similar to the high ESRRA ones than to the low C11orf20 ones. As a result, assuming the presence of the fusion, the C11orf20 reads should have been totally dominated by the fusion, something that was not seen (Table 1). Furthermore, all 2,705 sequences were used in a Blast search to verify their identity. The Blast search identified specific ESRRA and C11orf20 sequences but revealed no sequences containing both ESRRA and C11orf20 gene sequences. When searching in the same series of sequenced carcinomas (n = 23) for involvement of either the ESRRA or C11orf20 in alternative fusions—that is, with other partner(s)—none was found.

We therefore conclude that the frequency of the ESRRA/C11orf20 fusion gene in serous ovarian carcinomas of stages III

Figure 1. Putative ESRRA-C11orf20 fusion transcript in ovarian carcinomas. (A) Schematic orientation of the ESRRA and C11orf20 genes in the genome (the size of each exon is not in scale). (B) Representation of the three forms of the putative fusion with involvement of ESRRA exon 2 with C11orf20 exon 3, 4, or 5. Arrows indicate position of the primers for the first PCR (F1 and R1) and the NESTED PCR (F2 and R2). Primer details are given in Materials and Methods. (C) Image of the gel electrophoresis for the synthetic transcript containing the fusion (internal positive control). A plasmid containing exon 2 of ESRRA and exon 5 of C11orf20 was used as a positive control for our PCR experiments. Three different concentrations of the plasmid were tested using the ESRRA and C11orf20 PCR primers. Lane 1, 1 kb DNA ladder; lanes 2 and 6, 2 fg plasmid (~30 copies of the plasmid); lanes 3 and 7, 20 fg plasmid (~300 copies of the plasmid); lane 4 and 8, 200 fg plasmid (~3,000 copies of the plasmid). Lanes 2–5, primers G1P1-FWD (ESRRA) and REV_pair3 (C11orf20) used; lanes 6–9, inner (nested) primers G1P2-FWD (ESRRA) and F1-REV (C11orf20) used. Lanes 5 and 9 are negative controls with no plasmid template.

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001784.g001

Table 1. ESRRA and C11orf20 exon reads in high-throughput data files.

| Gene     | Exon | Number of Reads |
|----------|------|-----------------|
| ESRRA    | Exon 2 | 2,705           |
| C11orf20 | Exon 3 | 58              |
| C11orf20 | Exon 4 | 59              |
| C11orf20 | Exon 5 | 49              |

doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001784.t001
and IV must be considerable less than that reported by Salzman et al. [0/163 in our experience, compared with 10/67 in their study] [6]. We have no explanation for the frequency differences observed. It is important to note that the difference in frequency calculated above is based only on adenocarcinomas of stages III and IV—that is, 163 tumors—as the remaining 67 tumors were of different histological subtypes or serous adenocarcinomas of grades I and II, which would not necessarily be expected to carry the same genetic predisposition.

Looking into the possible mechanism—that is, chromosomal rearrangement(s)—by which the ESRRA/C11orf20 fusion could have originated, it seems that a simple deletion or inversion could not alone have produced it. Both genes are located 5’ to 3’ from centromere to telomere on 11q, with C11orf20 proximal to ESRRA (Figure 1); therefore, to get a fusion in which ESRRA is 5’ in a chimeric transcript would require a tandem duplication with a breakpoint in the central region. Regardless of how it may have been generated, however, it seems clear that the said fusion cannot be a common pathogenetic event in this tumor type.

Materials and Methods

Specimen Collection and RNA Extraction

The tumors were surgically removed at The Norwegian Radium Hospital from 1999 to 2010. The RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY), and its quality was checked by Experion Automated Electrophoresis System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). cDNA was synthesized using the iScript advanced cDNA synthesis kit for RT-qPCR (Bio-Rad). Quality was checked using the TaqMan Gene Expression Assays for actin B (ACTB) and Glyceralddehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH). To measure the expression of ACTB and GAPDH, the assays Hs99999903_m1 and Hs99999905_m1, obtained from Applied Biosystems (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA), were used and run on a CFX96 Real-Time System (Bio-Rad).

RT-PCR

For the first RT-PCR reaction we used the G1P1-FWD (5’-GGCATTGAGCCCTCTCATCA-3’) mapping between 240 and 261 bp in the ESRRA gene (accession number NM_004451 version 4) and REV_pair3 (5’-GGTTCCTCAGGACAGAGAGA-3’) located between 661 and 698 bp of the C11orf20 (accession number NM_001039496 version 1) combination of primers—that is, the same primers as Salzman et al. [6]. The PCR cycles were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 30 s, followed by 30 cycles, 15 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 60 s at 72°C [6]. For the nested RT-PCR, the primers were G1P2-FWD (5’-AAAGGGTTTCCTCGGAGACAGAGA-3’) located between 290 and 312 base pairs in the ESRRA gene (accession number NM_004451 version 4) and F1-REV (5’-TAATTCACGTA-CAGGCTCTTGCTCCG-3’) mapping between 597 and 622 bp of the C11orf20 gene (accession number NM_001039496 version 1) [6]. The cycles were as follows: 15 s at 94°C, 30 s at 55°C, and 60 s at 72°C [6]. The nested PCR was run for 30 cycles. A synthetic DNA plasmid containing the reported ESRRA/C11orf20 fusion was included as a positive control in our PCR experiments.

RNA Sequencing

High-throughput sequencing was performed on 23 ovarian carcinomas, of which 10 were serous, five endometrioid, four clear cell, three mucinous, and one of a mixed endometrioid and undifferentiated subtype. A total of 3 μg of RNA was sent for high-throughput pair-end RNA-sequencing to the Norwegian Sequencing Centre at Ullevål Hospital (www.sequencing.uio.no). We used paired-end HTS with an average sequence read of 60–70 millions. We analyzed the sequences only with respect to the ESRRA and C11orf20 genes. The last 20 bp of the ESRRA exon 2 gene sequence before the putative break and the first 20 bp of the C11orf20 exon 3, exon 4, and exon 5 gene sequences have been extracted from the raw data (fastq-files) and further analyzed for putative gene fusions.
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