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Abstract

We describe a very simple method for “consistent sampling” that allows for sampling with replacement. The method extends previous approaches to consistent sampling, which assign a pseudorandom real number to each element, and sample those with the smallest associated numbers. When sampling with replacement, our extension gives the item sampled a new, larger, associated pseudorandom number, and returns it to the pool of items being sampled.

1 Introduction

We describe a simple method for “consistent sampling” that extends previous methods to handle sampling with replacement. We describe the method and an open-source implementation.

Notation

Let $I$ denote a finite nonempty population $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ of $n$ items from which we wish to draw a sample

$$S = S(I, u, s)$$

of size $s$, where $u$ is a seed drawn at random from some large universe $U$ of seeds. We emphasize that $u$ is the only source of randomness for the sampling procedure; once $u$ is specified the sampling process is deterministic.

Sampling may be performed “with replacement” or “without replacement.” When desired to distinguish these cases we give a superscript “+” or “−” to indicate sampling with or without replacement, as in

$$S^+(I, u, s)$$

or

$$S^-(I, u, s) .$$

If no superscript is given, the sample may be either with replacement or without replacement.

When sampling is done with replacement the result is a multiset (set with multiplicities).
The sampling method should be random in the sense that the result of picking seed $u$ at random and then drawing the sample $S(\mathcal{I}, u, s)$ should result in a simple random sample (possibly with replacement) of size $s$ of $\mathcal{I}$. (The literature generally uses the term simple random sample to refer to the case where sampling is done without replacement; the term simple random sample with replacement is then used to clarify when sampling is done with replacement.)

1.1 Consistent Sampling

We say that a sampling method $S$ is “consistent” if it is consistent in two ways:

- It is “consistent with respect to sample size”. That is, for any $\mathcal{I}$ and any $u$, we have that for any $s$ and $s'$ with $s' \geq s$:
  \[ S(\mathcal{I}, u, s) \subseteq S(\mathcal{I}, u, s') , \]
  so that a larger sample is just an extension of a smaller sample. That is, consistency with respect to sample size implies that the sampling routine draws elements one at a time from $\mathcal{I}$ in a particular sequence depending on the seed $u$; the sampling is finished when a total of $s$ elements have been drawn.

  With a slight overload of notation, we let $S(\mathcal{I}, u)$ denote the full sequence of outputs produced by $S$ for a given seed $u$: these are the elements produced by $S$ as $s$ increases, for $s = 1, 2, \ldots$.

  If we are sampling without replacement then $S^-(\mathcal{I}, u)$ is a finite sequence of length $n = |\mathcal{I}|$. If we are sampling with replacement, then $S^+(\mathcal{I}, u)$ is an infinite sequence.

- It is “consistent with respect to population”. That is, for any two nonempty sets $\mathcal{J}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ with $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$, we have
  \[ S(\mathcal{J}, u) = S(\mathcal{K}, u) \cap \mathcal{J} \]
  where
  \[ S \cap \mathcal{J} \]
  denotes the subsequence of sequence $S$ obtained by retaining only elements in $\mathcal{J}$.

1.2 Proposed Method

We associate with each item $i$ with a pseudorandom “(first) ticket number” $\tau_{i,1} = f(i, u)$, where $u$ is a random seed. These ticket numbers are uniformly and independently distributed in the real interval $(0, 1)$, for any fixed $i$, as $u$ varies.

To draw a sample from $\mathcal{I}$ without replacement, we draw them in order of increasing ticket number.

See Wikipedia\footnote{https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Simple_random_sample} for a prior use of this metaphor of “ticket numbers.”

To sample with replacement, when an item $i$ is drawn for the $j$th time, where $j > 1$, it receives a new ticket number $\tau_{i,j} = g(\tau_{i,j-1})$, where $g$ is a pseudorandom function mapping each real number
We more generally assume that for any \( i \) the sequence
\[
\tau_i,1, \tau_i,2, \tau_i,3, \ldots
\]
is indistinguishable from a sequence
\[
x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots
\]
where \( x_1 \) is chosen uniformly from the real interval \((0,1)\) and for \( j > 1 \), \( x_j \) is chosen uniformly from the interval \((x_{j-1}, 1)\).

See Figure 1.

The method works for sampling with replacement, since when a ticket with number \( \tau \) is drawn from \( Q \) because it has the minimum ticket number, then all of the remaining tickets in \( Q \) have ticket numbers that are uniformly distributed in \((\tau, 1)\), conditioned on having just drawn a ticket with number \( \tau \). So adding a replacement ticket with ticket number drawn uniformly from \((\tau, 1)\) makes the new ticket indistinguishable from those already there.

Another useful way of looking what happens with sampling replacement is to view \( Q \) as being initialized with an infinite number of tickets for each item, one for each possible generation. Then sampling from this \( Q \) without replacement is equivalent to sampling from the original \( Q \) with replacement.

Suitable functions \( f \) and \( g \) are constructible from, say, the cryptographic hash function SHA256. (See Section 3 for details.) These functions can be implemented in an efficient manner, with only one or two calls to the underlying SHA256 hash function required per invocation of \( f \) or \( g \). The function \( g \) does not need to take seed \( u \) as an input if the ticket numbers are represented in a way that preserves the full output entropy of the SHA256 hash function.

The consistent sampling method puts the elements of \( I \) into a shuffled order. A sample of size \( s \) is then just the length-\( s \) prefix of that order.

The sampling method is consistent. Note that if \( I \) is a population of items, and if \( J \) is a subset of \( I \), then the order produced for \( J \) is a subsequence of the order produced for \( I \).

2 Discussion

The method of assigning a random or pseudorandom number (our “ticket number”) to each element is not new, nor is the term “consistent sampling.”

The general approach was introduced by Broder et al. [3, 2], who produced sketches of documents on the web to find similar documents. Similarity was estimated by first computing for each document a sketch consisting of the set of \( s \) features having the smallest hash-value. Similar documents then have similar sketches. The estimates the Jacquard similarity of the two documents.

Recently, Manasse et al. [6] extended this approach to weighted consistent sampling.

Kutsov et al. [5] extend consistent sampling to the case where features are small sets of elements rather than individual elements.
Consistent Sampling Method

Input: integer \( n \), random seed \( u \), integer \( s \), boolean WITH\_REPLACEMENT.

Output: A random sample \( S \) of size \( s \) of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), drawn with replacement if input WITH\_REPLACEMENT is True.

Method:

1. Create a “first ticket” \((\tau_{i,1}, i, 1)\) for each item \(i\), for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\) where the first ticket number
   \[ \tau_{i,1} = f(i, u) \]
   is the result of applying pseudorandom function \(f\) to inputs \(i\) and \(u\) to yield a result uniformly distributed in the interval \((0, 1)\) (for any fixed \(i\), as \(u\) varies).

2. Initialize priority-queue (min-heap) \(Q\) with the set of tickets so created, keyed with their ticket numbers.

3. Initialize the sample \(S\) to be the empty set \(\emptyset\).

4. While \(S\) has size less than \(s\):
   (a) Extract from \(Q\) the ticket \(t\) with the least ticket number.
   (b) Let \(t = (\tau_{i,j}, i, j)\). Place item \(i\) into set \(S\).
   (c) If we are drawing with replacement (that is, if WITH\_REPLACEMENT is True), then
      - Add ticket \(t'\) to \(Q\), where \(t' = (\tau_{i,j+1}, i, j + 1)\), where
        \[ \tau_{i,j+1} = g(\tau_{i,j}) \]
        for a suitable pseudo-random function \(g\).

5. Return \(S\) as the desired sample of size \(s\).

Figure 1: The proposed consistent sampling method, based on pseudorandom functions \(f\) and \(g\). The priority queue \(Q\) contains exactly one ticket \((\tau, i, j)\) for each item \(i\). The value \(j\) is the “generation number” for the ticket, saying how many tickets have been generated for this item so far. If we are sampling without replacement, tickets only have generation number 1. Otherwise, tickets with generation number greater than 1 are replacement tickets.
Kane et al. [4] apply consistent sampling to the problem of counting the number of distinct elements in a stream.

Bavarian et al. [5, 1] prove the optimality of such approaches for a certain matching game.

2.1 Extension to sampling with replacement

The extension of consistent sampling to handle sampling with replacement (step 5(c) in Figure 1) appears to be new.

Although our extension (generating a new larger ticket number for an element when it is sampled with replacement) is very simple and straightforward, it appears to be irrelevant or unmotivated by previous applications, and so remained unstudied.

2.2 Generality

It is easy to argue, as follows, that the approach taken here is without loss of generality.

Assume we have some consistent sampling method that works over subsets of some countable population $I$. Let the randomness $u$ be fixed and arbitrary.

Consider the set $V$ of pairs $(i, j)$ where $i \in I$ and $j$ is a positive integer.

Given $u$, define a relationship “$<$” on $V$ so that $(i, j) < (i', j')$ if for some $J$ the sampling method on input $J$ outputs the $j$-th occurrence of $i$ at some time before it outputs the $j'$-th occurrence of $i'$.

Consistency implies that (for each fixed $u$) the binary relation “$<$” is a total order on $V$, which implies (Cantor’s Theorem) that $(V, <)$ is isomorphic to a subset of $\mathbb{Q}$ (the rationals). Thus, we can associate a real number $\tau_{i,j}$ with each pair $(i, j)$ and output pairs in order of increasing value $\tau_{i,j}$. But this is precisely what our proposed method does.

(To be precise, we have just argued that using ticket numbers doesn’t cause us to miss any opportunities for representing a consistent sampling method.)

2.3 Analysis

It is interesting to ask about the relationship between the number $s$ of items drawn for the sample and the ticket number (call it $\tau_s$) of the last ticket drawn. Or similarly, if one draws all items with ticket number less than a limit $\lambda$, one may be interested in the distribution of the number $s$ of items drawn.

In this direction, we note that if we define

$$y_i = 1 - x_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the $x$s are as in [1], then $y_k$ is distributed as the product of $k$ independent uniform variates $z_1, \ldots, z_k$. Since

$$\ln(z_i) \sim -\text{Exp}(1),$$
we have
\[ \ln(y_k) \sim -\text{Gamma}(k, 1), \]
and
\[ E(\ln(y_k)) = -k. \]
Therefore, if the proposed method is to be used for sampling with replacement where a given item may be selected and replaced many (perhaps hundreds) of times, then the representations of \( \tau(i, j) \) should have sufficient precision to handle numbers that are extremely close to 1 (or if the \( y \)s are represented instead of the \( x \)s, to handle numbers with large negative exponents). That is to say, the number of bits needed to represent \( \tau(i, j) \) grows linearly with \( j \).

3 Implementation

Python 3 code for this method is given in Github:

https://github.com/ron-rivest/consistent_sampler

The representation of ticket numbers in this python code uses variable-length numbers (represented as decimal strings) with no upper limit on the precision.

We note that for sampling with replacement the implementation picks a pseudorandom \( y \) in the range \((x, 1)\) by:

1. Obtaining \( x' \) by deleting all digits in \( x \) after the initial segment of 9’s. For example, \( x = 0.99995241 \) becomes \( x' = 0.9999 \). Set counter \( i \) to 1.
2. Generating a uniform pseudorandom variate \( v \) by hashing \( x \) and \( i \). Then increase \( i \) by one.
   Example: \( v = 0.77318824 \).
3. Creating a candidate \( y \) by appending the digits of \( v \) to the end of \( x' \). Example: \( y = 0.999977318824 \).
4. Returning \( y \) if it is larger than \( x \). Otherwise return to step 2 and repeat.

This approach is quite portable, and avoids having to do high-precision multiplication. The expected number of iterations of this loop to obtain a value \( y \) that is larger than \( x \) depends on \( x \), but is not more than ten, and has expected value 3.143.

The efficiency of the method is determined by the efficiency of SHA256, which is called once to compute each initial ticket number, and about 3.14 times for each replacement ticket number. A typical laptop can compute about one million SHA256 hash values per second.
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