Textures and Vortices in $d$-Wave Fermi Condensates in Atomic Gases
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Fundamental properties of superfluids with $d$-wave pairing symmetry are investigated theoretically. We consider neutral atomic Fermi gases in a harmonic trap, the Cooper pairing being produced by a Feshbach resonance via a $d$-wave interaction channel. A Ginzburg-Landau (GL) functional is constructed which is symmetry constrained for five component order parameters (OPs). We find stable OP textures and vortices for all the three phases which are known to be the energy minimum of the GL functional; the ferromagnetic, polar and cyclic phases both at rest and under rotation. In particular, we touch upon the stability conditions for a non-Abelian fractional 1/3-vortex in the cyclic phase under rotation. It is proposed how to create the intriguing 1/3-vortex experimentally in atomic gases via optical means.
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1. Introduction

Superfluids with $s$-wave pairing symmetry have been realized by using a Feshbach resonance of $^6$Li atom gases in 2005\(^1\) by sweeping a magnetic field. It is natural to expect that the research front of cold atom gases develops towards realizing condensates with a higher relative angular momentum of the Cooper pairs. In fact, much effort from theoretical and experimental sides is now focused on $p$-wave pairing in $^6$Li where a $p$-wave Feshbach resonance is already confirmed to exist.\(^2\) Although $p$-wave molecules have been formed,\(^3\) experimental evidence of $p$-wave superfluidity in $^6$Li has not been reported yet.

It might be useful to theoretically investigate superfluidity with higher angular momentum $l$ of the Cooper pair, such as $d$-wave ($l = 2$), or $f$-wave ($l = 3$), in neutral Fermi gases to stimulate experimental and theoretical works towards this direction. Hulet has performed a coupled channels calculation and found a Feshbach resonance for $d$-wave channel in $^6$Li,\(^3\) and hence we have a good reason to explore this possibility from a theoretical point of view. There have already been appearing theoretical studies towards this direction.\(^4,5\)

It is known that the pairing symmetry of high $T_c$ cuprates is described by the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ state. The study of superfluids with $d$-wave symmetry might be important because several strongly correlated superconductors, or so-called heavy Fermion superconductors belong to unconventional pairing states, such as $d$, $d + id$, or $f$, etc, including high $T_c$ whose pairing mechanism is still unclear. Note that in the heavy Fermion superconductor UPt\(_3\) a $f$-wave pairing is realized.\(^6-8\) It is also interesting because of the richer physics associated with the many internal degrees of freedom of the relative orbital angular momentum $l > 1$ of the Cooper pairs compared to the $l = 0$ ($s$) and $l = 1$ ($p$) cases. In particular, the spatial structure of the order parameter (OP), or the textures and vortices, which are hallmarkers of superfluidity, are intriguing in the confined ultracold atomic gases.

We note that the OP structures of the $d$-wave superfluid of Fermionic atoms and spinor condensates of Bosonic spin-2 atoms are mathematically similar because both OPs have 5 components. Whereas the latter has been extensively investigated already,\(^9-12\) the former has not been studied so far in connection with neutral atom gases. The boundary conditions due to the harmonic trap are different between the two cases in an essential way, giving rise to novel textures and vortices in the $d$-wave superfluid. This difference arises because of the different origin of the OP degeneracy due to the internal degrees of freedom: The orbital angular momentum lives in real space in the $d$-wave superfluid unlike the spin degrees of freedom in the Bosonic case although both are of the same $SO(3)$ symmetry in a homogeneous system.

Mermin\(^13\) presents a general framework based on Ginzburg-Landau (GL) formalism to describe a $d$-wave superfluid in an infinite bulk system. He exhaustively classifies the ground state phase diagram into three regions; ferromagnetic (FM), polar (PO) and cyclic (CY) phases, depending on the coupling constants or fourth order coefficients $\beta_1$, $\beta_2$, and $\beta_3$ in the GL functional. The resulting phase diagram in the ($\beta_1$, $\beta_3$) plane normalized by $\beta_2$ is shown in Fig. 1 where the three phases are enumerated: the ferromagnetic (FM), polar (PO), and cyclic (CY) phases. The cyclic phase is the most intriguing one among them because Fermion superfluids with $s$-wave ($l = 0$) or spinless $p$-wave ($l = 1$) pairings produced by a Feshbach resonance via a magnetic sweep, or the spin-1 spinor BEC\(^14,15\) do not support this phase which only appears above $l \ge 2$.

The motivation of this paper is to provide the fundamental physical properties of a $d$-wave superfluid confined by a harmonic potential in two-dimensions (2D) in order to help to identify the $d$-wave nature experimentally, focusing on the OP spatial structures or textures at rest and vortices under rotation. We study the most stable textures and vortices for each phase under trapped geometry. In particular, the non-Abelian 1/3-vortex which is the energetically favored state under external rotation in the cyclic phase is examined in some details. The existence of a 1/3-vortex provides a spectro-
scopic means to characterize \(d\)-wave superfluidity. Mutually non-commutative \(1/3\)-vortices themselves are already pointed out to be allowed topologically in an \(F = 2\) spinor BEC by several authors.\(^{16–18}\) However, there is no serious calculation to examine its stability from energetic point of view in \(d\)-wave Fermion superfluids, which is one of our main purposes in this paper. For the \(n = 2\) spinor BEC we studied the energetics of \(1/3\)-vortices in our recent paper.\(^{19}\) The non-Abelian \(1/3\)-vortices might turn out to be useful for quantum computation because a spatial arrangement of mutually non-commutative \(1/3\)-vortices can be used for storing information, namely they can be used as a topologically protected qubit. This is somewhat similar to the idea that utilizes the Majorana particles bound to the vortex core in chiral \(p + ip\) superconductors.\(^{20}\) Note that the \(1/3\)-vortex discussed here does not support Majorana zero energy particles.

The arrangement of this paper is following. In §2, we give a detailed derivation of the GL functional form relevant to the present trapped systems. The GL gradient term, which is important in the trapped Fermi gases, is derived here. We examine the case in which the GL coefficients are given by weak coupling estimate. This case is situated at the boundary between FM and CY in the fourth order parameter space mentioned above (see (a) in Fig. 1), finding that the FM phase is stabilized in trapped systems over the CY phase. In §3 we examine the spatial structures, or textures of the three phases when trapped, and also vortices under rotation for each phase within the strong coupling case. In particular, the \(1/3\)-vortex in CY is discussed in detail because it is unique in CY phase and they are topologically interesting due to their non-commutative properties. In the final section we will devote to conclusions and summary and touch on an experimental method to create a \(1/3\)-vortex in neutral Fermi atomic gases. A part of this paper is published in ref. 21.

2. Formulation and Preliminary

2.1 Ginzburg-Landau functional

Our arguments are based on GL theory which is general enough to describe a \(d\)-wave superfluid in terms of the free energy expanded up to fourth order in the OP. GL theory is valid near the transition temperature \(T_c\), however, its range of applicability is known to be wider empirically than that. The OP \(\Delta(r, \mathbf{k})\) for a \(d\)-wave superfluid is spanned by the spherical harmonics \(Y_{lm}(\mathbf{k})\) (\(\mathbf{k}\) is a unit vector in the momentum space.)

\[
\Delta(r, \mathbf{k}) = A_m(r)Y_{lm}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}_iB_{ij}\mathbf{k}_j
\]  
(1)

with \(l = 2, m = -2, \ldots, 2\) and \(i, j = 1, 2, 3\). The repeated indices are summed over. The coefficient \(A_m(r)\) is a complex valued functions of \(r = (x, y)\). To emphasize the essential points, we consider a two-dimensional system assuming that the obtained objects extend uniformly to the third dimension. Alternatively to \(A_m\) we may sometimes use the symmetric traceless \(3\times3\) matrix \(B_{ij}\). The \(B\)-matrix that has five independent elements is convenient in constructing the GL functional for the OP with \(SO(3)\) symmetry in addition to \(U(1)\) gauge symmetry. In the following we use these two notations interchangeably. The bulk GL free energy functional \(f_{\text{bulk}}\) is derived by Mermin\(^{13}\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_{\text{bulk}} &= \alpha T r B^*B + \beta_1 |Tr B^2|^2 \\
 &+ \beta_2 (Tr B^*B)^2 + \beta_3 Tr(B^2B^*)
\end{align*}
\]  
(2)

where \(\alpha(T) = \alpha_0(T - T_c)\) and \(T_c\) is the transition temperature. There are three independent fourth order terms \(\beta_1, \beta_2\) and \(\beta_3\). The trace operation for a matrix is denoted by \(Tr\). After some algebra this bulk energy \(f_{\text{bulk}}\) is recast into

\[
\begin{align*}
 f_{\text{bulk}} &= \alpha_0(T - T_c)\Sigma_i |A_i|^2 + \frac{15}{2} \left\{ \beta_2 + \frac{1}{3} \beta_3 \right. \\
 &+ (\beta_1 + \frac{1}{6} \beta_3)|\Theta|^2 - \frac{1}{12} \beta_3 |\tilde{f}|^2 \left. \right\} \Sigma_{ij} |A_i|^2 |A_j|^2
\end{align*}
\]  
(3)

where the orbital singlet pairing amplitude

\[
\Theta = (-1)^i A_i A_{-i}/\Sigma_i |A_i|^2
\]  
(4)

and the orbital momentum

\[
\tilde{f} = A_i^* F_{ij} A_j / \Sigma_i |A_i|^2
\]  
(5)

with \(\mathbf{F}\) being the \(5\times5\) spin matrix.\(^{22}\)

The three phases are characterized by FM (|\(\Theta| = 0\)) (a) in Fig. 1, finding that the FM phase is stabilized in the fourth order parameter space mentioned above (see Fig. 1. (Color online) Phase diagram of the stable phases in uniform system where \(\beta_1\) vs \(\beta_3\) plane normalized by \(\beta_2\) is shown; ferromagnetic (FM), polar (PO) and cyclic (CY) phases. (a) corresponds to the weak coupling case, (b), (c) and (d) correspond to the parameter values adopted in the strong coupling cases.)
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It is clear from eq. (3) that CY is stable when \(\beta_1 + \beta_3/6 > 0\) and \(\beta_3 < 0\), while FM and PO phases occupy the other regions of the \((\beta_3, \beta_1)\) parameter space normalized by \(\beta_2\) (see Fig. 1). The canonical CY phase is of the form

\[ \Delta(k) = i\sqrt{2}\beta_2(k) + \sqrt{2}\beta_2(k) + i\sqrt{2}(0, 0, i)^T. \]

The other cyclic states are obtained from this by rotations. The weak coupling estimate for the Fermi sphere \((\beta_2 = 2\beta_1\text{ and } \beta_3 = 0)\) predicts that the stable phase is on the boundary between the CY and FM phases (see (a) in Fig. 1).

The gradient terms are constructed by considering the possible contractions of \((\partial_i B_{jk})^*\) and \((\partial_i B_{mn})\); namely, there are three independent terms: (1) \((\partial_i B_{jk})^*(\partial_i B_{jk})\), (2) \((\partial_i B_{jk})^*(\partial_i B_{ij})\), and (3) \((\partial_i B_{ij})^*(\partial_i B_{jk})\). This can be understood from the fact that the decomposition of the two angular momenta \((L = 1) \times (L = 2) \to L = 3, 2, 1\) where the former (latter) corresponds to \(\partial\) (OP). Then, the gradient energy\(^{23, 24}\) can be summed up as

\[ f_{\text{grad}} = K_1(\partial_i B_{jk})^*(\partial_i B_{jk}) + K_2(\partial_i B_{ij})^*(\partial_i B_{ij}) + K_3(\partial_i B_{ij})^*(\partial_i B_{jk}) \]

\tag{6}

where \(K_1 = K, K_2 = 2K, K_3 = 2K\) with \(K = 2K_0/35\) in the weak coupling approximation which also gives \(\alpha = 2\alpha_0/15, \beta_2 = 2\beta_1 = 8\beta_3/315,\text{ OP amplitude}\)

\[ \Delta_0 = \sqrt{\alpha_0/2\beta_0}\text{ and the coherence length } \xi_0 = \sqrt{K_0/\alpha_0}. \]

This is analogous to that in p-wave superfluids.\(^{25, 26}\) This flexible feature is absent in CY because \(|\hat{f}| = 0\). Thus under confinement the degeneracy between FM and CY is lifted, the latter being never stabilized over FM in the weak-coupling limit.

\[ f_{\text{total}} = f_{\text{bulk}} + f_{\text{grad}} + f_{\text{harmonic}} + f_{\text{cent}} \]

\tag{8}

where \(f_{\text{cent}}\) is the centrifugal potential.\(^{25, 26}\) The associated mass current is derived as

\[ j_i = \frac{4m}{\hbar} \text{Im}[K_1 B_{jk}^* \nabla_i B_{jk} + K_2 B_{jk}^* \nabla_i B_{ij} + K_3 B_{ij}^* \nabla_i B_{jk}]. \]

\tag{9}

The final numerical calculations are done in two-dimensional plane of Cartesian coordinates \((x, y)\). The coupled GL equations for five components are solved on discretized lattice using 161x161 meshes via a simple iteration. The OP amplitudes and length are scaled by \(\Delta_0\) and \(\xi_0\) respectively. The trap frequency \(\omega\) is normalized by \(\sqrt{\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}}\) with \(m\) the atomic mass. We fix \(\omega = 1/80\) in these units throughout this paper (except for the cases explicitly stated otherwise). The temperature is fixed to \(T = 0.8T_c\). The field of view in the OP contour maps is \(30\xi_0 \times 30\xi_0\). The rotation frequency \(\Omega\) is normalized by the trap frequency \(\omega\).

\[ \text{2.2 Weak coupling calculation} \]

Here before discussing the detailed results on each phase in the strong coupling case, we briefly touch on the weak coupling case, which indicates the stable phase on the boundary between FM and CY for an infinite system as shown in (a) of Fig. 1, in order to understand the importance of the boundary condition through the gradient terms. We perform numerical calculations for a trapped system by solving the GL equations for five components. It turns out that FM is energetically favorable over CY in the weak coupling case. This is because of the boundary condition, which strongly constrains the possible phase. In fact, FM is more flexible than CY in the sense that the \(f\)-vectors can arrange to stabilize the state. As will be seen later (see Fig. 3(a)), the \(f\)-vectors tend to align along the circumference on the boundary. This arrangement is energetically advantageous because the four point nodes situated along the \(f\) direction, which is explained later in \(\S 3.1\), tend to lie outside the system, leading to maximal gain in the condensation energy. This is analogous to that in p-wave superfluids.\(^{25, 26}\) This flexible feature is absent in CY because \(|\hat{f}| = 0\). Thus under confinement the degeneracy between FM and CY is lifted, the latter being never stabilized over FM in the weak-coupling limit.

\[ \text{3. Strong coupling calculation} \]

\[ \text{3.1 Ferromagnetic phase} \]

We start out with the FM phase by choosing the parameter values \(\beta_1 = 1\) and \(\beta_3 = 1\) (see (b) in Fig. 1). In Fig. 2 we show the contour maps of the OP magnitude, \(|f|\), and \(|\Theta|\) for three cases: (a) \(\Omega = 0\), (b) \(\Omega = 0.2\), and (c) \(\Omega = 0.25\). It is seen from those figures that at rest the moment vector lies in the 2D plane, pointing to the horizontal \(x\)-direction mostly and curving along the circumference regions. There is no \(f_z\) component at rest as seen Fig. 3(a). The non-vanishing components consist exclusively of \(A_1, A_0,\) and \(A_{-1}\) as seen from Fig. 2(a), which form the in-plane moment components \(f_x\) and \(f_y\).

Numerical data show that in our solutions the relation

\[ A_j = A_j^* \]

\tag{10}

holds at every spatial point at rest. Since

\[ f_z = (2|A_2|^2 + |A_1|^2 - |A_{-1}|^2 - 2|A_{-2}|^2)/|\Delta|^2 \]

\tag{11}

this leads to \(f_z = 0\). As for \(\Theta\) it is now given by

\[ \Theta = (2|A_2|^2 - 2|A_1|^2 + A_0^5)/|\Delta|^2. \]

\tag{12}

Because of the fact that \(|A_2|^2 < |A_{-2}|^2\) and \(|A_0|^2 = \sqrt{2}|A_1|^2\), \(\Theta = 0\). According to our numerical data the above is nearly satisfied. Therefore, the obtained phase shown in Fig. 2(a) is genuine ferromagnetic state.
Fig. 2. (Color online) Contour maps of $|A_2|$, $|A_{-2}|$, $|f|$, and $|\Theta|$ in FM phase. $\beta_1 = 1$ and $\beta_3 = 1$. (a) $\Omega = 0$, (b) $\Omega = 0.2$, and (c) $\Omega = 0.25$.

Fig. 3. (Color online) The $f_x$-$f_y$ vectorial patterns are shown, corresponding to (a), (b), and (c) respectively in Fig. 2. The arrow (color code) denotes $f_x$-$f_y$ ($f_z$) components.

As for the nodal structure of this FM phase, we start with the most general expression for the $d$-wave OP:

$$\Delta(\mathbf{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{5}{8}} \left[ A_2 \sqrt{3}(\hat{k}_x + i\hat{k}_y)\hat{k}_z - A_1 \sqrt{3}(\hat{k}_x + i\hat{k}_y)\hat{k}_z + A_0 \sqrt{2}(3\hat{k}_z^2 - 1) + A_{-1} \sqrt{2}\hat{k}_z - A_{-2} \sqrt{2}\hat{k}_z + A_{-2} \sqrt{2}\hat{k}_z - A_{-1} \sqrt{2}\hat{k}_z \right].$$

(13)

The nodal condition $|\Delta| = 0$ is generally satisfied by the four point nodes on the Fermi sphere. At the central region where $\hat{f}$ aligns horizontally the four point nodes situated at $\theta \sim 90^\circ \pm 15^\circ$ and $\phi = 0^\circ$ and $180^\circ$ as shown in Fig. 4(a) where the Fermi sphere is described by $\theta$ and $\phi$ in the spherical coordinates. At the circumference region the $\hat{f}$-vector tends to align so that two of the four point nodes are outside of the condensate. We display an example in Fig 4(b) where the two point nodes at $\theta = 90^\circ$ and $\phi \sim 130^\circ$ and $315^\circ$ are nearly outside the condensate. Therefore, it is understood that the curving of the $f$-vectors in the boundary region is due to the saving of the condensation energy by excluding the point nodes from the condensate. This situation is the same as in the $p$-wave condensate in the trapped systems.\(^{25,26}\)

Under rotation $\Omega = 0.2$ shown in Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 3(b) the $A_{-1}$ component exhibits phase singularities on the $y$-axis seen as two dots in Fig. 2(b). Those singularities in $A_{-1}$ are filled by the $A_1$ component seen as two yellow points. Likewise the two singularities in the $A_{-2}$ component are filled by the $A_2$ component. At those singularities the $f$-vectors wind around near those cores and $f_z$ component is induced as seen from Fig. 3(b). In addition, the other components such as $A_{\pm 2}$ are induced by rotation (see Fig. 2(b)). Upon further increasing the rotation to $\Omega = 0.25$, Fig. 2(c) and Fig. 3(c) show that the two additional similar objects enter from the $x$ axis, forming a rhombus configuration and $A_{\pm 2}$ increase further.
ther. Note, however, that the exact four-fold symmetry is not attained at this rotation frequency.

3.2 Polar phase

We now go on discussing the polar (PO) phase characterized by $\langle \hat{f} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Theta \rangle \neq 0$. We take up the parameter values $\beta_1 = -1$ and $\beta_2 = 1$ as a representative point for PO as shown in (c) in Fig. 1. Figure 5(a) displays the PO phase where $|A_0| \neq 0$ and all the others vanish, correspondingly $\langle \hat{f} \rangle = 0$ and $\langle \Theta \rangle = 1$ throughout the system. This is genuine PO configuration.

Under rotation at $\Omega = 0.18$ shown in Fig. 5(b) the two HQVs emerge from the outside along the $x$ axis. Namely while $|A_0|$ remains intact, $A_{\pm 2}$ components mainly carry two HQVs. It is seen from Fig. 5(b) that $|A_{-2}|$ exhibits the suppressions on the $x$ axis, which are compensated by $A_2$ component. The OP can be described locally around one of those singular points by the half quantum vortex form: $\Delta(\hat{k}) = \exp(i\varphi/2) \exp(-i(\varphi/4)\hat{f}_j) Y_{2,2}(\hat{k}) + Y_{2,-2}(\hat{k}) = Y_{2,2}(\hat{k}) + e^{i\varphi} Y_{2,-2}(\hat{k})$. Correspondingly at the core regions the ferromagnetic component is induced (see $\langle \hat{f} \rangle$ and $\langle \Theta \rangle$ in Fig. 5(b)). It should be noted here that since there is non-vanishing component of $A_0$ at those cores, those vortices are not true half-quantum vortex.

At $\Omega = 0.25$ it is seen from Fig. 5(c) those HQV-like objects increase in number which is now 4. Moreover a new feature at this higher rotation emerges: four singular points in $A_0$ are seen in Fig. 5(c). Thus four HQV-like objects and four singular cores in $A_0$ coexist in the system, each of which are paired up as seen from $\langle \hat{f} \rangle$ and $\langle \Theta \rangle$ in Fig. 5(c). Note that those two kinds of objects show only non-vanishing $f_z$ component. There is no $f_x$ and $f_y$ components throughout the system because $A_{\pm 1}$ are completely absent.

3.3 Cyclic phase

We take up the $\beta_i$ values appropriate for CY; $\beta_1 = 1, \beta_2 = -1$ (see (d) in Fig. 1). The following results do not depend on these values and are generic in the cyclic region. By solving the GL equations, we obtain the stable CY in the presence of a trap. Among various CY forms derived from the canonical CY described by $(i, 0, \sqrt{2}, 0, i)^T$, we stabilize the particular CY phase, called CY-z: $(1, 0, 0, \sqrt{2}, 0)^T$, which is obtained by

$$
\exp(i(\cos^{-1}(1/\sqrt{3})\hat{F}_j) \exp(-i(\pi/4)\hat{f}_j)(i, 0, \sqrt{2}, 0, i)^T
$$

through rotations of the canonical CY. This CY-z will be seen to support the 1/3-vortex later. As seen from Fig. 6(a) CY-z is dominant in the central region because $\langle \hat{f} \rangle = 0$ and simultaneously $\langle \Theta \rangle = 0$. The surface region consists of FM or PO phases, which are intermingled. Note that FM is advantageous in the surrounding region because as mentioned the system can gain in the condensation energy by tuning the direction of $\hat{f}$. This OP texture differs completely from the spinor F=2 BEC where the CY-z extends all the way to the surface of the cloud because of different boundary conditions. We emphasize that whenever OP varies spatially, the gradient coupling terms $f_{\text{grad}}$ in eq. (4) will inevitably induce other components.

The gap structure of CY consists of 8 point nodes; In canonical CY: $(i, 0, \sqrt{2}, 0, i)^T$ the point nodes are situated at the 8 directions $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$ given by 8 corners of the inscribed cube inside the Fermi sphere. In CY-z the inscribed cube is rotated so that the $(1, -1, 1)$ direction lies now parallel to the $z$ axis. Thus this CY-z is three-fold symmetric with respect to combined gauge transformation and rotations about the $z$ axis. This is the origin for the possible existence of a 1/3-vortex in CY-z as discussed below. The mass current given by eq. (9) flows spontaneously circularly around the center of the trap and gradually decreases farther away from the origin.

By rotating CY-z we can create the 1/3-vortex whose main structure is described by $(e^{i\varphi} 0, 0, \sqrt{2}, 0)^T$ in the central region as seen from Fig. 6(b). Note that at the center the $A_2$ component vanishes whereas the $A_{-1}$ component is non-zero. Simultaneously the other components are induced around the surface region. Combination of the three-fold symmetry around the $z$-axis for CY-z with an additional gauge transformation leads to the 1/3-vortex form. Namely, CY-z: $Y_{2,2}(\hat{k}) + \sqrt{2}Y_{2,-1}(\hat{k})$ transforms into $Y_{2,2}(\hat{k})e^{i\varphi} + \sqrt{2}Y_{2,-1}(\hat{k})e^{-i\varphi}$ under a rotation of an angle $\phi$ around the $z$-axis, which can be rewritten as $e^{-i\varphi}(Y_{2,2}(\hat{k})e^{i\varphi} + \sqrt{2}Y_{2,-1}(\hat{k}))$ by identifying $\phi = \varphi/3$. After the gauge transformation by $\varphi/3$ this becomes the 1/3-vortex form $(e^{i\varphi} 0, 0, \sqrt{2}, 0)^T$. The vortex center is dominated by the $A_{-1}$ component where the $A_2$ component vanishes due to the phase singularity at the core as seen from Fig. 6(b). Thus the core of the 1/3-vortex is ferromagnetic. The nodal structure of the ferromagnetic core region is characterized by a line node on the equator of the Fermi sphere in addition to point nodes at both poles because the corresponding basis function is $Y_{2,1}(\hat{k}) \propto k_x(\hat{k}_x - i\hat{k}_y)$.

We also calculated the mass current for the 1/3-vortex and the line integral of the velocity field $\hat{f}_v \cdot d\hat{r}$ along various closed paths around the center. We find that the line integral yields approximately $(1/3)(h/2m)$ when circling near the center, implying 1/3 quantization. However, this conclusion is not exact because the system is inhomogeneous, a situation different from the infinite system where the quantization is exact if the line integral is taken along a path far away from the vortex line.

It is remarkable that this 1/3-vortex is the most stable vortex among various possible ones which we have solved in order to compare their energies. The critical rotation frequency is found to be $\Omega_{cr} = 0.21\omega$ at $T = 0.8T_c$ beyond which a single 1/3-vortex becomes energetically stable compared to the CY-z.

4. Conclusions and summary

We have examined theoretically textures and vortices for $d$-wave superfluids produced by a Feshbach resonance of neutral Fermionic atomic gases. Our arguments are based on Ginzburg-Landau framework which is general enough to capture the basic and fundamental properties of $d$-wave superfluids confined by a harmonic trap because GL functional is determined by symmetry based arguments; $U(1)$ gauge symmetry and $SO(3)$ spatial ro-


Fig. 5. (Color online) Contour maps of $|A_2| \sim |A_{-2}|$, $|\vec{f}|$, and $|\Theta|$ in PO phase. $\beta_1 = -1$ and $\beta_3 = 1$. (a) $\Omega = 0$, (b) $\Omega = 0.18$, and (c) $\Omega = 0.25$.

Fig. 6. (Color online) Contour maps of $|A_2| \sim |A_{-2}|$, $|\vec{f}|$, and $|\Theta|$ in CY phase. $\beta_1 = 1$ and $\beta_3 = -1$. (a) $\Omega = 0$ and (b) $\Omega = 0.22$.

tation group.

We exhausts all three possible stable phases; ferromagnetic, polar and cyclic phases, and show the possible textures and vortices for each phase at rest and under rotation. We hope that this study helps to identify the $d$-wave superfluidity experimentally.

In particular, the 1/3-vortex characteristic to CY is intriguing because this is energetically stable over other possible vortices. A possible way to realize it experimentally as follows. The initial preparation of CY-z itself is not difficult where the appropriate ratio $(1 : \sqrt{2})$ in $|A_2|$ and $|A_{-1}|$ is needed. Since these two components are different orbital angular momentum states, the population in the components can be adjusted using Raman transitions, similarly as in $F=2$ spinor BEC$^{27,28}$ or by using RF transition in the presence of Zeeman splitting of the 5 components under an applied field as was done by Hirano's group.$^{29}$ Furthermore, once CY-z is prepared, it is possible to imprint the unit phase winding in the $A_2$ component using a circular polarized Raman transition.$^{27,28}$ It may be difficult to use the optical spoon method to create the vortex, which was utilized to produce vortices in scalar BECs.$^{30}$
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Appendix

In general, the contractions of the tensor \((\partial \delta B_{jk})^* (\partial \delta B_{\mu \nu})\) with the rank six are given by the following three forms: 
\((\partial \delta B_{jk})^* (\partial \delta B_{jk})\), 
\((\partial \delta B_{jk})^* (\partial \delta B_{\nu j})\), and 
\((\partial \delta B_{ij})^* (\partial \delta B_{ik})\). The linear combination of these invariants gives rise to the gradient term of the GL functional:

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{\text{grad}} &= K_1 (\partial \delta B_{jk})^* (\partial \delta B_{jk}) + K_2 (\partial \delta B_{jk})^* (\partial \delta B_{ij}) + K_3 (\partial \delta B_{ij})^* (\partial \delta B_{ik}) \\
&= (K_1 + K_2 + K_3) \left[ |\partial \delta B_{11}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{22}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{33}|^2 \right] \\
&+ (2K_1 + K_2 + K_3) \left[ |\partial \delta B_{12}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{23}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{31}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{12}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{23}|^2 \right] \\
&+ K_1 \left[ (|\partial \delta B_{22}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{31}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{12}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{22}|^2) \right] \\
&+ 2 \left[ (|\partial \delta B_{23}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{31}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{12}|^2) \right] \\
&+ K_2 \left[ (|\partial \delta B_{23}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{31}|^2 + |\partial \delta B_{12}|^2) \right]
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand, the GL functional can be also written in terms of the OP \(A_k\) with \(k = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2\). In particular, the gradient term is rewritten as

\[
f_g = -N_F C_2 (\hbar \nu)^2 \frac{1}{3} \langle Y_{1,i}^* (\hat{k}) Y_{1,j} (\hat{k}) Y_{2,k}^* (\hat{k}) Y_{2,l} (\hat{k}) \rangle_{\hat{k}} (\partial \delta A_k)^* (\partial \delta A_l)
\]

where \(N_F\) is the density of states at the Fermi level. The coefficient \(C_2\) is given by

\[
C_2 = -\pi k_B T \sum_{\omega_n = -\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4|\omega_n|^3} = -\frac{7\zeta(3)}{4(2\pi k_B T)^2}
\]

where \(\omega_n\) is the Matsubara frequency. Therefore

\[
f_g = \frac{7\zeta(3) N_F (\hbar \nu)^2}{48\pi^2 k_B T^2} \langle Y_{1,i}^* (\hat{k}) Y_{1,j} (\hat{k}) Y_{2,k}^* (\hat{k}) Y_{2,l} (\hat{k}) \rangle_{\hat{k}} (\partial \delta A_k)^* (\partial \delta A_l)
\]

Near \(T \rightarrow T_c\), the above GL functional becomes

\[
I_{\text{grad}} = K_0 \langle |Y_{1,i}|^2 |Y_{2,k}|^2 \rangle (\partial \delta A_k)^2
\]

where we define \(K_0 \equiv 7\zeta(3) N_F (\hbar \nu)^2 / 48\pi^2 k_B T^2\). Among various combinations of the above indices \(i, j, k, l\), the non-zero contributions are classified into three cases (A), (B), and (C):

(A) \(i = j, k = l\)

\[
\begin{align*}
I_{\text{grad}} &= K_0 \langle |Y_{1,0}|^2 |Y_{2,0}|^2 \rangle (\partial \delta A_0)^2 \\
&= K_0 \left[ (|Y_{1,0}|^2 |Y_{2,0}|^2) (\partial \delta A_0)^2 + (|Y_{1,0}|^2 |Y_{2,1}|^2) (\partial \delta A_1)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-1})^2 \right] \\
&+ (|Y_{1,0}|^2 |Y_{2,2}|^2) (\partial \delta A_2)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 + (|Y_{1,1}|^2 |Y_{2,0}|^2) (\partial \delta A_0)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-0})^2 \\
&+ (|Y_{1,1}|^2 |Y_{2,1}|^2) (\partial \delta A_1)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-1})^2 + (\partial \delta A_2)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 \\
&+ (|Y_{1,1}|^2 |Y_{2,2}|^2) (\partial \delta A_2)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 + (\partial \delta A_2)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 \right]
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\langle |Y_{1,0}|^2 |Y_{2,0}|^2 \rangle = 11/7\), etc. The other cases (B) \(i = j \pm 1, k = l \mp 1\) and (C) \(i = j \pm 2, k = l \mp 2\) are treated similarly. By summing up those terms (A), (B), and (C) we obtain

\[
I_{\text{grad}} = \frac{K_0}{7} \left[ 11 |\partial \delta A_0|^2 + 9 (|\partial \delta A_1|^2 + |\partial \delta A_{-1}|^2) + 3 (|\partial \delta A_2|^2 + |\partial \delta A_{-2}|^2) + 5 (|\partial \delta A_0|^2 + |\partial \delta A_{-0}|^2) \\
+ 6 (|\partial \delta A_1|^2 + |\partial \delta A_{-1}|^2 + |\partial \delta A_2|^2 + |\partial \delta A_{-2}|^2) + 9 (|\partial \delta A_2|^2 + |\partial \delta A_{-2}|^2 + |\partial \delta A_2|^2 + |\partial \delta A_{-2}|^2) \\
+ \sqrt{3} (\partial \delta A_1)^2 (\partial \delta A_0)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-0})^2 (\partial \delta A_{-1})^2 (\partial \delta A_{-1})^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 \\
+ 3 \sqrt{2} (\partial \delta A_2)^2 (\partial \delta A_1)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-1})^2 (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-1})^2 (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 \\
+ 6 (\partial \delta A_1)^2 (\partial \delta A_{-1})^2 + 2 \sqrt{6} (\partial \delta A_2)^2 (\partial \delta A_0)^2 + (\partial \delta A_{-0})^2 (\partial \delta A_{-2})^2 + C.C. \right]
\]
This is further rewritten as

\[ f_{\text{grad}} = \frac{K_0}{7} \left[ 11|\partial_z A_0|^2 + 9 \left(|\partial_x A_1|^2 + |\partial_z A_{-1}|^2\right) + 3 \left(|\partial_x A_2|^2 + |\partial_z A_{-2}|^2\right) + 5 \left(|\partial_x A_0|^2 + |\partial_y A_0|^2\right) \\
+ 6 \left(|\partial_x A_1|^2 + |\partial_y A_1|^2 + |\partial_x A_{-1}|^2 + |\partial_y A_{-1}|^2\right) + 9 \left(|\partial_x A_2|^2 + |\partial_y A_2|^2 + |\partial_x A_{-2}|^2 + |\partial_y A_{-2}|^2\right) \\
+ \left(\frac{\sqrt{6}}{2}(\partial_x A_0)^* \{ (\partial_x - i\partial_y)A_{-1} \} + \{ (\partial_x + i\partial_y)A_0 \}^*(\partial_x A_{-1}) \\
- (\partial_x A_1)^* \{ (\partial_x - i\partial_y)A_0 \} - \{ (\partial_x + i\partial_y)A_1 \}^*(\partial_x A_0) \\
+ 3(\partial_x A_{-1})^* \{ (\partial_x - i\partial_y)A_{-2} \} + \{ (\partial_x + i\partial_y)A_{-1} \}^*(\partial_x A_{-2}) \\
- (\partial_x A_2)^* \{ (\partial_x - i\partial_y)A_1 \} - \{ (\partial_x + i\partial_y)A_2 \}^*(\partial_x A_1) - \{ (\partial_x + i\partial_y)A_1 \}^* \{ (\partial_x - i\partial_y)A_{-1} \} \\
- \sqrt{6}\{ (\partial_x + i\partial_y)A_2 \}^* \{ (\partial_x - i\partial_y)A_0 \} + \{ (\partial_x + i\partial_y)A_0 \}^* \{ (\partial_x - i\partial_y)A_{-2} \} + C.C. \right) \right] \]  

(21)

where \( \partial_0 = \partial_x \) and \( \partial_z = \mp(\partial_x \mp i\partial_y)/\sqrt{2} \). After rearranging it, we finally obtain

\[ f_{\text{grad}} = \frac{K_0}{7} \left[ 11 \left| \partial_x A_{3z^2-r^2} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_y A_{3y^2-r^2} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_x A_{3z^2-r^2} \right|^2 \\
+ 9 \left| \partial_x A_{xy} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_y A_{yz} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_x A_{zx} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_y A_{xy} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_x A_{yz} \right|^2 \right] \\
+ 3\left( \left| \partial_x A_{yz} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_y A_{zx} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_x A_{xy} \right|^2 \right) + \left| \partial_x A_{xy} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_y A_{yz} \right|^2 \\
+ 3\left( \left| \partial_y A_{yz} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_y A_{zx} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_x A_{xy} \right|^2 \right) + \left| \partial_x A_{xy} \right|^2 + \left| \partial_y A_{yz} \right|^2 \\
+ \left( \partial_y A_{yz} \right)^* \{ \partial_x A_{zx} \} + \{ \partial_y A_{zx} \}^* (\partial_y A_{yz}) \right) + \left( \partial_x A_{xy} \right)^* (\partial_x A_{zx}) + \{ \partial_x A_{zx} \}^* \left( \partial_x A_{xy} \right) + \{ \partial_x A_{zx} \}^* \left( \partial_x A_{xy} \right) + C.C. \right] \\
- 2\sqrt{3}\left( \left| \partial_x A_{3y^2-r^2} \right|^* (\partial_x A_{zx}) + \{ \partial_x A_{3z^2-r^2} \}^* (\partial_x A_{xy}) \right) \\
+ \left( \partial_x A_{3y^2-r^2} \right)^* \{ \partial_x A_{zx} \} + \{ \partial_x A_{3z^2-r^2} \}^* (\partial_y A_{yz}) + \{ \partial_y A_{3z^2-r^2} \}^* (\partial_y A_{yz}) + C.C. \right] \]  

(22)

where we transform the basis of \( A \) from the z component of the orbital angular momentum to the direction of the rectangular coordinates.

In order to confirm that eq. (22) is equivalent to the gradient form eq. (14) written in terms of \( B \), we first note that the transformation between \( B \) and \( A \) is given as

\[
\begin{align*}
A_{3z^2-r^2} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} B_{33}, \quad A_{3x^2-r^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} B_{11}, \quad A_{3y^2-r^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{5}} B_{22}, \\
A_{x^2-y^2} &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{15}} (B_{11} - B_{22}), \quad A_{y^2-z^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{15}} (B_{22} - B_{33}), \quad A_{z^2-x^2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{15}} (B_{33} - B_{11}) \\
A_{xy} &= \sqrt{\frac{4}{15}} B_{12}, \quad A_{yz} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{15}} B_{23}, \quad A_{zx} = \sqrt{\frac{4}{15}} B_{31}.
\end{align*}
\]  

(23)

By substituting \( B \) in place of \( A \), we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
f_{\text{grad}} &= \frac{2}{7} K_0 \left[ |\partial_1 B_{11}|^2 + |\partial_2 B_{22}|^2 + |\partial_3 B_{33}|^2 \right] \\
+ \frac{12}{35} K_0 \left[ |\partial_1 B_{12}|^2 + |\partial_2 B_{23}|^2 + |\partial_3 B_{31}|^2 + |\partial_1 B_{31}|^2 + |\partial_2 B_{13}|^2 + |\partial_3 B_{23}|^2 \right] \\
+ \frac{2}{35} K_0 \left[ |\partial_1 B_{22}|^2 + |\partial_2 B_{33}|^2 + |\partial_3 B_{13}|^2 + |\partial_1 B_{33}|^2 + |\partial_2 B_{11}|^2 + |\partial_3 B_{21}|^2 \right] \\
+ 2 \left[ |\partial_1 B_{23}|^2 + |\partial_2 B_{31}|^2 + |\partial_3 B_{12}|^2 \right] \\
+ \frac{4}{35} K_0 \left[ (\partial_1 B_{23})^*(\partial_2 B_{31}) + (\partial_2 B_{31})^*(\partial_3 B_{12}) + (\partial_3 B_{12})^*(\partial_1 B_{23}) \right] \\
+ (\partial_1 B_{33})^*(\partial_3 B_{21}) + (\partial_3 B_{21})^*(\partial_1 B_{33}) + (\partial_2 B_{23})^*(\partial_2 B_{31}) \right] \\
+ (\partial_3 B_{11})^*(\partial_1 B_{33}) + (\partial_1 B_{33})^*(\partial_3 B_{11}) + (\partial_2 B_{23})^*(\partial_2 B_{31}) + (\partial_3 B_{21})^*(\partial_3 B_{21}) + C.C. \right] \\
+ \frac{4}{35} K_0 \left[ (\partial_2 B_{23})^*(\partial_3 B_{31}) + (\partial_3 B_{31})^*(\partial_2 B_{23}) + (\partial_1 B_{12})^*(\partial_3 B_{23}) \right]
\end{align*}
\]
\[ + (\partial_3 B_{33})^*(\partial_1 B_{31}) + (\partial_2 B_{22})^*(\partial_1 B_{12}) + (\partial_3 B_{33})^*(\partial_2 B_{23}) \]
\[ + (\partial_1 B_{11})^*(\partial_3 B_{31}) + (\partial_2 B_{22})^*(\partial_1 B_{12}) + (\partial_3 B_{33})^*(\partial_2 B_{23}) + C.C. \]  

(24)

where we use the traceless property of \( B \). This is indeed the gradient GL functional eq. (14) written in terms of \( B \) above. The coefficients are

\[ 2K_1 = K_2 = K_3 = (4/35)K_0. \]  

(25)
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