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Abstract: Burning pineapple residues on peat soils before pineapple replanting raises concerns on hazards of peat fires. A study was conducted to determine whether ash produced from pineapple residues could be used to minimize carbon dioxide (CO₂) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) emissions in cultivated tropical peatlands. The effects of pineapple residue ash fertilization on CO₂ and N₂O emissions from a peat soil grown with pineapple were determined using closed chamber method with the following treatments: (i) 25, 50, 70, and 100% of the suggested rate of pineapple residue ash + NPK fertilizer, (ii) NPK fertilizer, and (iii) peat soil only. Soils treated with pineapple residue ash (25%) decreased CO₂ and N₂O emissions relative to soils without ash due to adsorption of organic compounds, ammonium, and nitrate ions onto the charged surface of ash through hydrogen bonding. The ability of the ash to maintain higher soil pH during pineapple growth primarily contributed to low CO₂ and N₂O emissions. Co-application of pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizer also improves soil ammonium and nitrate availability, and fruit quality of pineapples. Compound NPK fertilizers can be amended with pineapple residue ash to minimize CO₂ and N₂O emissions without reducing peat soil and pineapple productivity.
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1. Introduction

In situ burning of pineapple residues on tropical peat soils before replanting of pineapples is a waste management practice in the pineapple industry. For every growing season, about 13 t/ha of pineapple residues are generated [1], but in situ recycling of pineapple residues, especially pineapple leaves during replanting of pineapples, is comparatively low vis a vis the total amount of residues generated from pineapple roots, stems, leaves, crowns, peduncles, and fruits [2]. Open burning of pineapple residues raises concern on the hazards of peat fires, CO₂ and N₂O emissions, and the sustainability of managing pineapple residues, because 90% of pineapples (Ananas comosus L. Merr) are cultivated on peat soils in Malaysia [3]. Burning pineapple residues on tropical peat soils, particularly during the dry season, leads to air pollution and regional haze in Malaysia, Singapore,
and Indonesia. Exposure to unhealthy air quality may cause respiratory diseases such as asthma, lung cancer, and death because haze pollutant contains fine particulate matter (diameter less than 2.5 µm) that can penetrate blood streams and lungs [4–6]. However, the adverse health effects largely depend on exposure and spatial proximity to population areas [4,5]. Peat fires emit toxic gaseous compounds that are carcinogenic, including furfurals, benzene, and aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons [5,7]. Additionally, peat fires may lead to acid rain, loss of biodiversity, and plant photosynthesis reduction [6].

In situ burning of pineapple residues neither improves macronutrient uptake nor pineapple yield, although this practice minimizes the occurrence of pests and diseases [1,8]. At present, there is limited information concerning the effects of burned pineapple residues on CO2 and N2O emissions from drained peat soils grown with pineapple despite the possibility that ash, the by-product of burned pineapple residues could increase soil pH and accelerate microbial metabolism for organic matter decomposition [9,10]. Unlike the oil palm industry, where extensive studies had been carried out to measure greenhouse gas emissions from oil palm plantations on peat [11–13], less attention has been focused on drained peatlands under pineapples cultivation. Pineapples cultivation on peat soils in Malaysia was reported to release approximately 179.6 t CO2 ha−1 yr−1 [14] and 15.7 t N2O ha−1 yr−1 [15]. While efforts had been carried out to quantify CO2 and N2O emissions from tropical peat soils cultivated with pineapple [16–19], the effects of pineapple residue ash on CO2 and N2O emissions from drained peat soils are yet to be determined in field and laboratory experiments.

Naturally, tropical peat soils are sinks for CO2 and a negligible source of N2O [9,10]. However, once these organic soils are drained for agriculture as an example, their upper layers become aerobic and oxidizes. This process results in large emissions of CO2 and N2O as organic matter decomposes [20,21]. CO2 is emitted from peat soils through microbial respiration, root respiration, physical oxidation, and burning of plant litter and organic matter or by wildfires [22–24]. N2O emission is derived from both nitrification and denitrification processes that are regulated by microbial activities [25,26]. The emission of CO2 from peat soils is influenced by land use type [27], type of peat [28], temperature [29], photosynthetic activities [30], and fertilization [24,31]. Soil water content, temperature, nitrogen availability, and fertilization affect N2O emission from peat soils [25,26,32,33].

According to the conventional agronomic management for pineapples grown on drained peat soils, fertilization is commonly carried out using Bordeaux mixture (foliar fertilization) and compound (NPK 30:1:32) fertilizers [34]. For nitrogen-based fertilizers, their effects on CO2 and N2O emissions had been attributed to the association of nitrogen fertilization with factors that directly or indirectly influence soil microbial activities [35]. Application of ammonium-nitrogen fertilizers on peat soils had been reported to reduce CO2 emission because of a reduction in the decomposition of organic matter and an increase in soil acidity [36].

To date, the mechanism that explains how ash affects CO2 and N2O emissions from tropical peat soils is still poorly understood and not elucidated. Ash application may increase CO2 emission because of the stimulation of microbial activities [37]. Additionally, ash may co-metabolize soil organic matter in peat soils through increased production of extracellular enzymes [38]. Increase in soil microbial activity and organic matter decomposition resulting in higher CO2 emission can also be related to increase in soil pH following ash application because of its alkalinity [9,32,35]. Although N2O emission is commonly related to nitrogen fertilization [39], increase in soil pH following application of ash can affect microbial nitrification and denitrification processes because higher total nitrogen gas emissions had been reported to occur under neutral soil environment compared with acidic and alkaline conditions [10]. On the contrary, reduction of CO2 and N2O emissions following ash application could be attributed to soil organic matter sorption of ash, especially within the pores and external surfaces of the ash, to suppress oxidative organic matter degradation [37,40]. Moreover, calcium ions in ash had been reported to inhibit nitrification and denitrification [10]. However, several studies had reported that
the mechanism behind the reduction of CO₂ and N₂O emissions indirectly relates to an increase in soil pH [9,10,37,40].

CO₂ and N₂O emission reported in Malaysia in 2011 from cropland, including drained cultivated peat soils, was 18,316.05 Gg CO₂ eq and 10,994.94 Gg CO₂ eq of N₂O [41]. The annual loss of these greenhouse gases is expected to increase because of large-scale or production agriculture [42,43]. Thus, the understanding of the co-application of pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizers to minimize greenhouse gases from tropical peat soils grown with pineapple is essential. Plant residue ash differs from that of biochar. Generally, plant residue ash is the final inorganic non-combustible fraction after complete thermochemical combustion [44–46]. The non-combustible fraction primarily contains the mineral components of the original plant residue. In comparison, biochar is a solid carbonaceous by-product of organic materials produced through a thermochemical process under limited oxygen called pyrolysis [47–50]. Both plant residue ash and biochar have various uses, such as reducing soil acidification due to their alkaline nature, soil amendment in improving the fertility of degraded soils, and fertilizer materials for agricultural and horticultural purposes [46,49,51]. However, their potential application and physicochemical characteristics depend on the type of biomass, combustion or pyrolysis conditions (temperature), and residence time [52–54].

Since the 1960s, the Malaysian pineapple industry has contributed to, and it continues to significantly improve, Malaysia’s gross domestic product and financial and economic progress [55]. In 2018, Malaysia’s total pineapple production was 375,900 metric tons [56], while its export production value is forecasted to increase approximately 51.6% or by US$ 80.4 million in 2020 [57]. This projection further indicates that the importance of the pineapple industry to the country’s socio-economic growth, notably the well-being of pineapple farmers, cannot be ignored or disregarded. Therefore, an alternative approach is needed to sustainably manage pineapple wastes not only to minimize environmental pollution but also to improve nutrient use efficiency for pineapple grown on peat soils and the economy of pineapple-producing countries. Converting pineapple wastes into value-added products such as potassium-humate for application in fertigation systems [58], such as a fiber substitution in the paper production industry [59] and biowaste compost to improve soil fertility [60], are some alternative means of managing pineapple residues sustainably. Pineapple residues are also used as raw materials to extract cellulose nanoparticles for application in the pharmaceutical, automotive, and biomedical industries [61]. Generally, plant residues are converted into activated carbons to remove heavy metals in water treatment plants, air filters, and decolorization and deodorization purposes in the food industry [62].

Based on the aforementioned rationale, the objective of this study was to determine the effects of pineapple residue ash on CO₂ and N₂O emissions from a drained tropical peat soils grown with pineapple. In this study, we postulated that pineapple residue ash will decrease peat soil CO₂ and N₂O emissions. This hypothesis is based on the assumption that the functional groups in pineapple residue ash will enable adsorption of anionic organic compounds and ammonium ions onto the charged surface of the ash, thereby protecting organic matter and nutrients from microbial degradation. To test this hypothesis, the closed chamber method was used to measure CO₂ and N₂O emissions on peat soils cultivated with pineapple on drained sapric peat soil, which is fertilized with compound NPK fertilizers and pineapple residue ash. A laboratory incubation experiment was conducted to verify the findings obtained in the field study. It is hoped that the present study could present a deeper understanding on the mechanism of CO₂ and N₂O emissions affected by fertilization as well as providing information on crop residue management besides the potential mitigation measures to reduce CO₂ and N₂O emissions from drained tropical peat soils grown with pineapples.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Experimental Site Description

A field study was carried out from December 2016 to March 2018 at the Peat Research Station located within the vicinity of the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI) in Saratok, Malaysia at the following coordinates: 1°55′30.9″ N, 111°14′15.1″ E. The soil is classified as sapric peat soil, is dark brown, and has a strong smell with thickness ranging from 0.5 to 3 m. According to the Von Post Scale, the peat soil falls under group H7 to H9, which is highly decomposed with faintly recognized plant materials. The total peatland area at the research station encompassed 387 hectares and was heavily logged for high value timbers species from 1970 to 1990. The monthly rainfall distribution pattern exhibits a dry period in July (172 mm) and an intense wet period between November and January (450 to 514 mm). The experimental site has a mean annual rainfall of 3923 mm, whereas the mean annual temperature is 27.7 °C. The mean temperature at the research station ranges from 22.8 to 32.5 °C, while mean relative humidity ranges from 55.2 to 60.1% throughout the year. Meteorological data presented were calculated based on a 19-year average (from 2000 to 2018) obtained from an existing weather station installed at the research site.

2.2. Peat Soil Physical and Chemical Characteristics

Prior to establishing the field study in 2016, the experimental site (one hectare) was cultivated with pineapple (Moris cultivar) and Zingiber officinale Roscoe. (Bentong ginger variety) from 2012 to 2015, after which it was abandoned to fallow for one and a half years. The felling-burying technique was employed to remove trees, shrubs, woody biomass, old crop stands, and vegetation from the experimental area in December 2016. This land clearing technique involves felling, stacking, and burying plant debris (at a depth of 2 m) in dug-out pits using a hydraulic excavator. Water table depth varies between 29 to 38 cm at the experimental site. Physical and chemical properties of the peat soils were determined, namely bulk density, water holding capacity, moisture, pH, electrical conductivity, cation exchange capacity (CEC), total organic carbon, total nitrogen, exchangeable ammonium, and available nitrate. For this purpose, soil samples at depths of 0 to 20 cm, 20 to 40 cm, and 40 to 60 cm were taken systematically at 16 points over a 20 m × 20 m grid. The core method was used to determine the soil bulk density [63], whereas the gravimetric method was utilized to analyze the soil moisture [63]. Soil pH and electrical conductivity were measured in a ratio of 1:5 soil to water suspension [64]. Soil CEC was measured using the Harada and Inoko method [65]. Total organic carbon was determined using the method of Walkley and Black [66]. The Kjeldahl method was used to determine total nitrogen [67], whereas the steam distillation method was utilized to measure exchangeable ammonium-nitrogen and available nitrate [68].

2.3. Preparation and Characterization of Pineapple Residue Ash

Pineapple residues, namely stems, crowns, peduncles, and leaves, were obtained from small-scale pineapple growers at Saratok, Malaysia. Pineapple residue ash was prepared by manually removing impurities using water, after which the residues were air-dried, shredded, and oven-dried at 80 °C for three days. Afterward, the oven-dried residues were incinerated using a muffle furnace (Carbolite ELF11/6) for one hour at 300 °C, after which samples were calcined at 600 °C for 10 min. The color of the pineapple residue ash was nearly white. In this present study, the emission of gaseous compounds from the combustion of pineapple residues in the muffle furnace chamber was not identified nor quantified. Ash was produced under controlled conditions using a laboratory furnace. The pH of the pineapple residue ash was determined based on a 1:10 ash to water suspension [69]. The CEC of the pineapple residue ash was measured according to the method by Wiersum and Bakema [70]. Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) (Nicolet 6700, Thermo Electron Corporation, Madison, WI, USA) was used to identify functional groups in pineapple residue ash, whereas an Ultra High Resolution Scanning Electron Microscope...
(FESEM) with Energy Dispersive X-Ray (EDX) (Nova NanoSem 230, Fei Company, Hillsboro, OR, USA) was used to determine their elemental and morphological characteristics. A surface area analyzer was used to determine the surface area of pineapple residue ash (ASAP 2460, Micromeritics Instrument Corp, Norcross, GA, USA).

2.4. Field Experimental Design and Treatments

The field study was a $6 \times 4 \times 5$ factorial experiment in a randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications involving (i) six rates of fertilizer treatments (a mixture of pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizer): T1 to T6; (ii) four gas flux measurements: 1, 7, 15, and 30 days after fertilization; and (iii) five sampling time: morning, noon, evening, midnight, and morning—following day. Pineapples were planted on raised beds measuring 1 m (width) $\times$ 3.5 m (length) $\times$ 0.4 m (height). A total of 18 raised beds were built in December 2016, and the distance between each raised bed was 0.5 m. The Moris cultivar was used as the test crop because it is one of the most cultivated varieties in Sarawak, Malaysia. Suckers were used as propagation material in this study. The Moris suckers were planted at a planting distance of 30 cm $\times$ 60 cm in two rows on each raised bed. For each raised bed, there were a total of 18 pineapple plants. The pineapples were planted on raised beds to minimize flooding, particularly during the wet monsoon season. The pineapple plants were managed using standard agronomic procedures for pineapple grown on tropical peat soils [34].

The field experiment involves six treatments comprising different amounts of pineapple residue ash (Table 1). The recommended rate of pineapple residue ash applied was calculated according to the compound NPK fertilizer requirement for pineapples grown on peat soils [34]. The recommended rate of pineapple residue ash applied refers to the nutrient requirement of pineapple at the vegetative and fruiting stages [34,71]. The compound N$_2$O:P$_2$O$_5$ :K$_2$O fertilizer used in this present study has a ratio of 30:1:32. The compound NPK fertilizer contained ammonium sulfate, Christmas Island rock phosphate, and muriate potash. For each treatment (T1 to T5), the amount of compound NPK fertilizer used was 20 g. Fertilization was carried out at three, six, and nine months after planting in March, June, and September 2017, respectively. Pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizers were meticulously mixed according to the aforementioned treatments and application rates (Table 1). The mixture was applied circularly onto the peat soil, approximately 5 cm from the base of the pineapple plants. Treatment T1 containing 20 g of pineapple residue ash (100%) was selected as a treatment to determine the effect of the amount of ash on the leaching and adsorption of macronutrients and greenhouse gas emissions in peat soils. It was hypothesized that a higher amount of ash would increase the number of negatively charged surfaces for nutrient and organic adsorption or ion exchange. Soil samples were obtained at depths of 0–30 cm, 30–60 cm, and 60–90 cm every 7, 15, and 30 days after fertilization, after which they were analyzed for pH, exchangeable ammonium, and available nitrate according to the method by Ismail et al. [64] and Bremner and Keeney [68], respectively. The soil samples were taken at the aerobic (0 to 30 cm) and anaerobic (30 to 60 cm and 60 to 90 cm) zones for the determination of leached ammonium, nitrate, phosphorus, and potassium using the ion exchange method [72,73] that was not reported in this present study. Pineapple fruit was harvested in February 2018 (14 months after planting), after which the total soluble solids (TTS) of the fruits were measured using a refractometer (Atago PAL-1, Spectrum Technologies Inc., Aurora, IL, USA).
Table 1. Pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizer application rates for pineapple grown on a drained tropical peat soil.

| Fertilization Treatments                              | Application Rate (per Plant) |  |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|
| T1 100% PA + compound NPK fertilizer                 | 20.0 g of PA + 20 g of NPK fertilizer 30:1:32 |  |
| T2 70% PA + compound NPK fertilizer                  | 14.0 g of PA + 20 g of NPK fertilizer 30:1:32 |  |
| T3 50% PA + compound NPK fertilizer                  | 10.0 g of PA + 20 g of NPK fertilizer 30:1:32 |  |
| T4 25% PA + compound NPK fertilizer                  | 5.0 g of PA + 20 g of NPK fertilizer 30:1:32 |  |
| T5 Control: Compound NPK fertilizer only              | 20 g of NPK fertilizer 30:1:32                |  |
| T6 Control: Peat soil alone (without fertilizer)      | Nil                                         |  |

Note: PA—pineapple residue ash; compound NPK fertilizer ratio—30:1:32.

2.5. Gas Flux Measurements

The closed chamber method [74] was used to trap soil CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emitted from the soil surface in the field experiments. Eighteen closed chambers were constructed using acrylic material measuring 20 cm (width) × 20 cm (length) × 20 cm (height). The closed chambers were square-shaped but have a hollow base with sharp edges. Battery-operated fans were installed in each closed chamber to enable equilibrium gas pressure within and outside the chamber during sampling. The acrylic closed chambers were attached to a square acrylic collar that was pushed vertically approximately 6 cm into the soil. This depth was chosen because peat decomposition takes place in the upper 10 cm of the peat profile and soil temperature decreases rapidly with increasing depth [25]. The top of the collar was sealed with a self-adhesive foam gasket to prevent gas leaks. The closed chambers were placed between rows of pineapple plants. Upon attaining equilibrium (30 min), gas samples of 20 mL were extracted from the chamber using a polypropylene syringe equipped with a three-way stopcock, after which the extracted gas was transferred into a 20 mL glass vial. The gas samples were analyzed for CO$_2$ and N$_2$O simultaneously using gas chromatography (Agilent 7890A, Agilent Technologies Inc, Wilmington, DE, USA) fitted with thermal conductivity (TCD), flame ionization (FID), and micro-electron capture (ECD) detectors with stainless steel packed columns. The operating temperatures of the TCD, FID, and micro-ECD were 200, 250, and 350 $^\circ$C, respectively, whereas the flow rate was 20 mL min$^{-1}$.

The gas flux was calculated based on the increase in gas concentration within the chamber with time, chamber volume, and soil area covered by the chamber according to Equation (1) [74,75]:

$$\text{Flux} = \left[ \frac{d(\text{Gas})}{dt} \right] \times \frac{PV}{ART}$$

In which (i) $d(\text{Gas}/dt)$ represents the evolution rate of CO$_2$ or N$_2$O within the chamber headspace at a specified time after depositing the chamber in place; (ii) $P$ represents the atmospheric pressure; (iii) $V$ represents the volume of headspace in the chamber; (iv) $A$ represents the area of soil covered by the chamber; (v) $R$ represents the gas constant; and (vi) $T$ represents the air temperature. The flux value was determined from the slope of the linear regression of gas concentration versus time. The values were converted into units of kg ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$.

The gas flux was measured in the morning (6 a.m.), noon (12 p.m.), evening (6 p.m.), midnight (12 a.m.), and in the morning of the following day (6 a.m.) to acquire a 24-hour greenhouse gas emission. Measurements of CO$_2$ and N$_2$O flux were carried out every 1, 7, 15, and 30 days after pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilization for pineapple in March, June, and September 2017. Soil temperature was measured using Eijkelkamp IP68 sensors (Eijkelkamp, Giesbeek, The Netherlands) at the same time of the flux measurement, whereas climatic data (temperature, air humidity, and rainfall) were recorded using a weather station installed at the study site (WatchDog 2900ET, Spectrum Technologies Inc, Plainfield, IL, USA).
2.6. Laboratory Experiment

A laboratory incubation experiment with peat soils [36,76] was conducted to determine the effects of pineapple residue ash on CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions. The same treatments evaluated in the field study (Table 1) were also used in the laboratory experiment. Rates of the pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizers applied were scaled down from the standard fertilizer recommendation for pineapple cultivation (ratio of 1:5 ash to fertilizer). The experiment was carried out in a controlled condition (26 °C) arranged in completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications.

Peat soil samples used in the laboratory study were collected from the field experimental site located within the vicinity of the research station. Soil samples at a depth of 0 to 10 cm were obtained systematically at 16 points over a 20 m × 20 m grid, which were then bulked. For each treatment, 120 g of soil was placed in a one-liter conical flask. The peat soils were aerobically pre-incubated for four days at room temperature (26 °C) in a dark chamber to stimulate microbial activity and also to prevent evaporative water loss [36,76]. At the start of the experiment (Day 0), pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizers were added to each flask and manually mixed thoroughly based on the aforementioned treatments (Table 1). The flasks were sealed with a silicone rubber stopper equipped with a thermometer and septa for gas sampling. The treatments were incubated at room temperature (26 °C) for 30 days at approximately 80% soil moisture content. Sub-soil samples were collected at day 7, 15, and 30 of incubation, after which they were analyzed for pH [64], exchangeable ammonium, and available nitrate [68].

The CO$_2$ and N$_2$O production rates were measured daily for a period of 30 days. Gas samples were extracted using a polypropylene syringe equipped with a three-way stopcock before closure of the flask and at the end of four-hour period [36]. Extracted gas samples were transferred into 20 mL vacuum vials and analyzed for CO$_2$ and N$_2$O using gas chromatography (Agilent 7890A, Agilent Technologies Inc, Wilmington, DE, USA) fitted with TCD, FID, and micro-ECD detectors. The gas flux was calculated as the difference between the two sampling occasions (before and after closure of the flask). Results obtained were expressed in µg g$^{-1}$ soil h$^{-1}$.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was utilized to evaluate treatment effects, whereas significant differences between treatment means were compared using Tukey’s New Multiple Range Test with $p \leq 0.05$. The linear mixed effects model utilizing the mixed (Proc MIXED) procedure with repeated measures analysis was performed to test the significance of fertilizer rate as the fixed effect and flux measurement and sampling time as random effects on soil CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions. Data subjected to linear mixed effects model test were not significant for the random effects, and thus, the general linear model (Proc GLM) procedure was used. Pearson correlation analysis was performed to assess the relationship between CO$_2$, N$_2$O, and soil temperature. Statistical analyses were carried out using the Statistical Analysis System (SAS) Version 9.1.

3. Results

3.1. Peat Soil Physicochemical Properties

The physical and chemical characteristics of the peat soils at the experimental site before commencing the study are presented in Table 2. Results of the soil properties were compared to those reported for tropical peat soils in Southeast Asia [76–85]. There was no significant difference between soil physical properties (bulk density) and depth except for soil moisture. Soil bulk density, pH, electrical conductivity, CEC, and total organic carbon were similar regardless of soil depth, and these results are within the reported range [77–80,82–85]. Total nitrogen, exchangeable ammonium, and available nitrate of the peat soil were high, and these nutrients showed significant differences with increasing soil depths. The total nitrogen was within the reported range [83–85], whereas ammonium and nitrate values are higher than the reported range [76].
During flower induction (nine months old), the h (T1 to T4) also showed
higher soil pH (Table 4) and total soluble solids (fruit quality) (Table 5) than with the con-

3.2. Characteristics of Pineapple Residue ash

Selected physicochemical properties of pineapple residue ash are presented in Table 3. The pineapple residue ash is alkaline with a pH value of 12.34, whereas it is low in CEC. The major and minor elements in the pineapple residue ash were oxygen, magnesium, calcium, phosphorus, and potassium, whereas the functional groups identified were hydroxyl (O-H) stretching vibration, carbon-oxygen (C-O) radicals, and methylene (CH2). The pineapple residue ash has a high total surface area, whereas FESEM micrographs, as shown in Figure 1, indicate that pineapple residue ash is amorphous.

Table 2. Selected physicochemical properties of a drained sapric peat soil at different soil depths at the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI) Peat Research Station, Saratok, Malaysia.

| Variable                  | Value Obtained per Soil Depth (cm)       | Reported Range          |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
|                           | 0 to 20 cm | 20 to 40 cm | 40 to 60 cm |                     |
| Bulk density (g cm⁻³)     | 0.14 ± 0.003 | 0.13 ± 0.002 | 0.13 ± 0.002 | 0.1 to 0.2 [80]    |
| Moisture (%)              | 81.2 ± 0.5 | 85.6 ± 0.4 | 89.3 ± 0.3 | 90–95 [83]         |
| pH                       | 3.9 ± 0.1 | 3.9 ± 0.1 | 3.9 ± 0.1 | 3.0–4.5 [80]       |
| Electrical conductivity (μS cm⁻¹) | 177.4 ± 2.3 | 176.1 ± 1.5 | 173.2 ± 1.7 | 159.8–358 [78] |
| Cation exchange capacity (cmol(+) kg⁻¹) | 143.2 ± 11.1 | 135.5 ± 10.2 | 139.5 ± 14.4 | 200 [77]           |
| Total organic carbon (%)  | 41.8 ± 0.5 | 41.1 ± 0.3 | 40.7 ± 0.4 | 12–60 [77]         |
| Total nitrogen (%)        | 1.39 ± 0.02 | 1.13 ± 0.01 | 1.11 ± 0.02 | 1.10–1.67 [83]    |
| Ammonium-nitrogen (mg kg⁻¹) | 1098.3 ± 15.6 | 1081.4 ± 14.7 | 738.2 ± 11.3 | 12–60 [77]         |
| Nitrate-nitrogen (mg kg⁻¹) | 549.1 ± 9.8 | 445.3 ± 10.6 | 322.9 ± 14.1 | 62–200 [77]        |

Means (value ± standard error) with different letters across the column indicate significant differences using Tukey’s test with p ≤ 0.05 (n = 48).

Figure 1. Ultra High Resolution Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) micrographs of pineapple residue ash at different optical magnifications: (a) 10 µm (b) 4 µm and (c) 2 µm.
Table 3. Selected physicochemical characteristics of pineapple residue ash.

| Properties                              | Values                |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| pH                                      | 12.34 (±0.02)         |
| Cation exchange capacity (cmol+ kg−1)    | 23.0 (±0.15)          |
| Chemical composition (weight %)         |                       |
| O2: 67.5                                |                       |
| Mg: 20.6                                |                       |
| Ca: 6.8                                 |                       |
| P: 4.0                                  |                       |
| K: 1.1                                  |                       |
| Functional groups (cm−1)                |                       |
| OH: 3696.17                             |                       |
| CH2: 1415.87                            |                       |
| C-O: 1038.83                            |                       |
| Surface area (m² g−1)                   | 365.2                 |

Values in parenthesis represent the standard error of the mean.

3.3. Soil CO² from Peat Soils Grown with Pineapples

Pineapple residue ash application significantly affected CO² emission, but the emission differed according to the amount of ash applied and vegetative phase of the pineapple plants (Figure 2a). With the exclusion of T3 and T1, peat soils treated with pineapple residue ash significantly reduced CO² emission compared with those without ash (T5 and T6) throughout the growth period of the pineapple plants. During the early development of the pineapple plants (three months age), the CO² emission from T3 was higher compared with other treatments, including the controls (T5 and T6). However, at six months after planting the pineapple suckers, T3 and T1 showed lower CO² emission than with NPK fertilization without ash (T5), but the emission from T3 was significantly higher compared with non-treated peat soils (T6). During flower induction (nine months old), the CO² emissions in the control treatments (T5 and T6) remained higher compared with those with ash (T1 to T4).

Throughout the pineapple growth and development, the average CO² emissions (Figure 2c) were significantly lower in treatments T1, T2, and T4 compared with the controls, but T4 was most effective in decreasing the CO² emission. Additionally, T4 was most effective in retaining exchangeable ammonium and nitrate relative to other treatments (T1 to T3), including NPK fertilization without ash (T5: control) (Table 4). During the pineapple growth phases, peat soils treated with pineapple residue ash (T1 to T4) also showed higher soil pH (Table 4) and total soluble solids (fruit quality) (Table 5) than with the control treatments (T5 and T6). The treatments with pineapple residue ash (T1 to T3) significantly increased fresh fruit weight compared with the controls except T4 (Table 5). Averaged soil CO² emissions were significantly higher at three months after planting. Thereafter, the CO² emissions decreased (Figure 3).
Table 4. Mean pH, exchangeable ammonium, and available nitrate in a drained peat soil (at all soil depths: at 0–30 cm, 30–60 cm, and 60–90 cm) grown with pineapples treated with different amounts of pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizer. 

| Treatments | Pineapple Plant Age (Soil pH) | Exchangeable Ammonium (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) | Available Nitrate (mg kg\(^{-1}\)) |
|------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
|            | 3 Months                       | 6 Months                                 | 9 Months                          |                                  |
| T1         | 5.84 ± 0.06                    | 5.99 ± 0.06                              | 6.31 ± 0.03                       | 688.76 ± 5.67                    | 279.68 ± 2.44                    |
| T2         | 6.13 ± 0.07                    | 6.18 ± 0.05                              | 6.36 ± 0.07                       | 553.42 ± 4.39                    | 231.85 ± 3.06                    |
| T3         | 5.87 ± 0.12                    | 6.02 ± 0.08                              | 6.43 ± 0.05                       | 1438.68 ± 10.37                  | 246.62 ± 4.27                    |
| T4         | 5.66 ± 0.09                    | 5.96 ± 0.02                              | 6.05 ± 0.07                       | 1465.52 ± 8.05                   | 577.13 ± 2.85                    |
| T5         | 4.40 ± 0.03                    | 4.22 ± 0.02                              | 4.19 ± 0.02                       | 704.25 ± 3.76                    | 324.93 ± 7.46                    |
| T6         | 3.92 ± 0.07                    | 4.14 ± 0.02                              | 4.05 ± 0.01                       | 1460.40 ± 7.15                   | 237.61 ± 5.74                    |

Values (mean ± standard error) with different letters within the same column are significantly different using Tukey’s test with \( p \leq 0.05 \) (\( n = 486 \)).

Figure 2. Soil (a) \( \text{CO}_2 \) and (b) \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions from peat soils with pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilization at different vegetative stages, and averaged (c) \( \text{CO}_2 \) and (d) \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions from treatments throughout the pineapple growing season. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (\( n = 1080 \)). Means with different letters are significantly different using Tukey’s test with \( p \leq 0.05 \). PA: pineapple residue ash. Letters with an asterisk represent sixth-month pineapple age, and prime represents ninth-month pineapple age.
Table 5. Total soluble solids and fresh fruit yield of *Ananas comosus* L. Merr grown on a tropical peat soil treated with different amounts of pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizer.

| Treatments | Total Soluble Solids (°Brix) | Fresh Fruit Weight (kg) |
|------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|
| T1         | 13.62 ± 0.02                   | 2.10 ± 0.05             |
| T2         | 13.48 ± 0.06                   | 2.00 ± 0.003            |
| T3         | 13.51 ± 0.03                   | 1.92 ± 0.02             |
| T4         | 13.29 ± 0.05                   | 1.80 ± 0.01             |
| T5         | 12.82 ± 0.05                   | 1.72 ± 0.04             |
| T6         | 12.65 ± 0.09                   | 1.61 ± 0.01             |

Means (value ± standard error) with different letters within the same column indicate significant differences using Tukey’s test with *p* ≤ 0.05 (*n* = 324).

Figure 3. Averaged CO₂ and N₂O emissions over all treatments (T1 to T6) from tropical peat soils throughout the pineapple growing season in 2017 (*n* = 2160). Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean. Means with different letters are significantly different using Tukey’s test with *p* ≤ 0.05. Letters with an asterisk represent N₂O emissions.

During the growth period of the pineapple plants, there was no distinct CO₂ emission pattern of fertilization (Figure 4a,c) nor a distinct pattern of time of gas sampling (Figure 5a,c). With the exception of the flower induction phase (nine months age), CO₂ emission was higher at day 1 after fertilization but lower at day 30 throughout the pineapple growth period (three and six months old) (Figure 4a). Likewise, the averaged CO₂ emission (over all treatments: T1 to T6) was higher at day 1 after fertilization but lower at day 30 (Figure 4c).

Compared with the time of sampling (Figure 5a), at three and six months after planting, the CO₂ emission was higher in the morning but decreased at noon, followed by an increase in the evening to midnight, after which the emission decreased until the following morning. Conversely, CO₂ emission was higher at noon but lower in the morning (following day) at the flower induction phase. The averaged CO₂ emission from peat soils was higher in the morning but decreased from noon to evening, followed by an increase at midnight, after which the CO₂ emission decreased until the following morning (Figure 5c).

Throughout the pineapple growth period, there was no significant correlation between CO₂ emission and soil temperature (Table 6). However, the mean soil temperature was significantly different depending on the time of gas sampling, whereas the mean day- and night-time temperature differences were low throughout the vegetative phase of the pineapple plants (March to September 2017) (Table 6).
Figure 4. Soil (a) CO₂ and (b) N₂O emissions after fertilization from peat soils grown with pineapple at different vegetative stage, and the averaged (c) CO₂ and (d) N₂O emissions after fertilization over all treatments (T1 to T6) throughout the pineapple growing season. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (n = 1080). Means with different letters are significantly different using Tukey’s test with \( p \leq 0.05 \). Letters with an asterisk represent sixth-month pineapple age, and prime represents ninth-month pineapple age.

Figure 5. Cont.
Figure 5. Soil (a) CO₂ and (b) N₂O emissions at different times of the day from peat soils grown with pineapple at different vegetative stage, and averaged (c) CO₂ and (d) N₂O emissions at different times over all treatments (T1 to T6) throughout the pineapple growing season. Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (n = 1080). Means with different letters are significantly different using Tukey’s test with p ≤ 0.05. Letters with an asterisk represent sixth-month pineapple age, and prime represents ninth-month pineapple age.

Table 6. Relationship between soil CO₂ and N₂O emissions, and soil temperature throughout the pineapple growth period, and mean temperatures at the experimental site (MARDI Peat Research Station, Saratok, Malaysia).

| Variable                  | Pineapple Growth Period (Soil Temperature) | March 2017 (3 Months Old) | June 2017 (6 Months Old) | September 2017 (9 Months Old) |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Soil CO₂ emission         |                                          | r = 0.07204               | r = −0.09884             | r = 0.04833                   |
|                           |                                          | p = 0.2089                | p = 0.0756               | p = 0.3729                    |
| Soil N₂O emission         |                                          | r = −0.21878              | r = 0.00602              | r = −0.08808                  |
|                           |                                          | p = 0.0001                | p = 0.9140              | p = 0.1039                    |
| Soil temperature (°C)     |                                          |                           |                          |                               |
| Morning                   | 26.6 d                                   | 27.5 b                    | 25.9 d                   |
| Noon                      | 29.1 b                                   | 29.9 a                    | 29.6 a                   |
| Evening                   | 30.4 a                                   | 30.3 a                    | 29.6 a                   |
| Midnigh                  | 27.7 c                                   | 27.7 b                    | 27.2 b                   |
| Morning-following day     | 26.1 d                                   | 26.7 c                    | 26.5 c                   |
| Temperature (°C)          |                                          |                           |                          |                               |
| Mean day-time temperature | 29.2                                     | 29.5                      | 28.7                      |
| Mean night-time temperature| 24.3                                     | 25.0                      | 24.4                      |
| Mean day- and night-time temperature differences | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.3 |

The top values indicate Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r), while the bottom values (P) denote the probability level at 0.05 (n = 1080). Means (value ± standard error) with different letters within the same column indicate significant differences using Tukey’s test with p ≤ 0.05.

3.4. Soil N₂O from Peat Soils Grown with Pineapples

During the growth phases of the pineapple plants (Figure 2b), N₂O emissions from the peat soils only (T6) were lower compared with NPK fertilization (T5). During the early development of the pineapple plants, that is, at three months after planting (March 2017), N₂O emissions of the pineapple residue ash treatments were significantly higher compared with NPK fertilization (T5), except T2. Conversely, compared with control (T5), the rest of the pineapple residue ash treatments showed lower N₂O emissions (sixth month after planting), except T2. At the flower induction phase of the pineapple plants (September
the pineapple residue ash treatments (T1 to T4) effectively decreased \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission compared with those with no ash (T5 and T6).

With the exception of T2 (Figure 2d), the averaged \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions were significantly lower with the pineapple residue ash treatments (T1, T3, and T4) compared with NPK fertilization (T5). Additionally, T4 was the most effective treatment in decreasing \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission. This finding on the effectiveness of T4 is similar to that of \( \text{CO}_2 \) emissions (Figure 2c). The averaged soil \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions were significantly higher at six months after planting but lower during the flower induction phase (ninth months after planting) (Figure 3).

Throughout the pineapple growth and development, there was no distinct \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission pattern with fertilization (Figure 4b,d) and so was time of gas sampling (Figure 5b,d). During the early development of the pineapple plants (three months old), \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission was higher at day 30 after fertilization but lower at day 7 (Figure 4b). At six months after planting, \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission was higher at days 7 and 30 after fertilization but lower at day 1. However, during the flower induction phase (nine months old), \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission was higher at day 7 after fertilization but lower at days 15 and 30. Averaged \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions (over all treatments: T1 to T6) was higher at day 30 after fertilization but lower at day 15 (Figure 4d).

Compared with time of sampling (Figure 5b), at three months after planting, \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission was higher in the morning but lower at noon, evening, and the following morning. At six months old, \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission decreased from morning to noon but peaked in the evening, after which emission decreased at midnight, followed by an increase the following morning. During the growth period of the pineapple plants, there was no significant correlation between the \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission and soil temperature (Table 6). However, an exception to this finding was the weak and negative correlation between \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission and soil temperature at the third month after planting, suggesting that \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emission decreased with increasing temperature.

### 3.5. Soil \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) Emissions from Laboratory Incubation Experiment

In the laboratory incubation experiment, averaged soil \( \text{CO}_2 \) emissions under the pineapple residue ash treatments (T1 to T3) were lower compared with those without the ash (T5 and T6), except T4 (Figure 6a). Ash-treated peat soils (T3 and T4) showed lower \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions compared with other treatments, including controls (Figure 6b). Soil \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) emissions under NPK fertilization (T5) remained higher, and this occurred throughout the incubation experiment.

Compared with control treatments (T5 and T6), the treatments with pineapple residue ash (T1 to T4) showed higher soil pH (Table 7). Soil exchangeable ammonium and available nitrate varied with treatments (Table 7). The soil exchangeable ammonium was lower in the ash-treated peat soils (T1 to T4) compared with NPK fertilized peat soils (T5). Soil exchangeable ammonium of T6 was lower compared with other treatments. Treatment T1 showed higher available nitrate compared with other treatments, including controls (T5 and T6), but T3 and T5 showed lower nitrate contents.
**Figure 6.** Averaged soil (a) CO₂ and (b) N₂O emissions from peat soils with pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilization after 30 days of incubation in the laboratory. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean (n = 540). Means with different letters are significantly different using Tukey’s test with p ≤ 0.05. PA: pineapple residue ash.

| Treatments | pH      | Exchangeable Ammonium (mg kg⁻¹) | Available Nitrate (mg kg⁻¹) |
|------------|---------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| T1         | 8.18 ± 0.02 | 898.01 ± 2.19                   | 31.68 ± 0.22                |
| T2         | 8.08 ± 0.02 | 1218.61 ± 3.48                  | 23.70 ± 0.92                |
| T3         | 7.99 ± 0.01 | 847.03 ± 5.27                   | 21.97 ± 0.91                |
| T4         | 7.49 ± 0.03 | 1067.88 ± 3.62                  | 23.21 ± 0.49                |
| T5         | 4.71 ± 0.02 | 1318.93 ± 3.29                  | 21.38 ± 0.93                |
| T6         | 4.10 ± 0.02 | 110.49 ± 1.97                   | 25.47 ± 0.71                |

Table 7. Mean soil pH, exchangeable ammonium, and available nitrate after 30 days of incubation in the laboratory treated with different amounts of pineapple residue ash and compound NPK fertilizer. Means (value ± standard error) with different letters within the same column indicate significant differences using Tukey’s test with p ≤ 0.05 (n = 54).

**4. Discussion**

**4.1. Peat Soil Physicochemical Properties**

The water table was high and fluctuated between 29 to 38 cm throughout the soil sampling activity (before commencing the studies), which was conducted during the wet monsoon season in December 2016. The high water table at the experimental area relatively explains the higher soil moisture content at deeper soil depths. Additionally, the high water table at the study site may have increased the peat pore volume, which somewhat describes the soil’s low bulk density. The bulk density of the peat soil in this present study is within the reported range [80,81,84]. This observation is related to the oxidative decomposition of organic materials (sapric) once the peatland is drained and cultivated.

The peat soil is acidic but not saline, as indicated by the low soil electrical conductivity. The existing tidal gate located at the primary outlet drain prevents seawater intrusion into the research station. The peat soils’ high CEC values relate to ion exchange, particularly exchangeable hydrogen ions from carboxylic and phenolic acids in humic substances and organic colloids [1,77]. The CEC of the peat soil also depends on the nature of its organic matter and decomposition stages [86]. The soil’s total organic carbon content is high, which relates to the ligneous woody material in sapric peats. The total nitrogen content is high but is unavailable because nitrogen occurs mostly in organic forms in peat soils [77]. Very little nitrogen is mineralized because of the high C/N ratio in peat soils. Thus, this leads to low inorganic nitrogen availability for plant uptake unless a substantial number of nitrogen-based fertilizers are applied. However, the low oxidative decomposition of peat soils with increasing water down the soil profile is associated with...
a decreasing amount of total nitrogen, exchangeable ammonium-nitrogen, and available nitrate-nitrogen with increasing soil depth. The current study was conducted on a drained cultivated peatland, and this somewhat explains the higher contents of exchangeable ammonium-nitrogen and available nitrate-nitrogen of the soil compared to the reported values [76]. Moreover, the inorganic nitrogen values reported [76] were based on the study on a partially drained mixed swamp forest. Furthermore, agronomic practices, fertilization, and planting of ginger and pineapple at the experimental area (2012 to 2015) prior to the study might be partly responsible for the increase in nitrogen mineralization. However, there are no specific reasons that explain the higher ammonium-nitrogen and nitrate-nitrogen to that of total nitrogen at 0–20 cm and 20–40 cm soil depths. The anomaly may have been influenced by the delay in the laboratory analysis due to transportation and preparation of the samples before nutrient analysis. The delay may have led to biological transformation, causing changes to the amount and forms of inorganic nitrogen in the sample [68]. Additionally, air-drying of the peat sample may have also led to an increase in ammonium-nitrogen and nitrate-nitrogen. Moreover, clearing of trees, woody biomass, old crop stands, and vegetation (land clearing using the felling-burying technique) at the experimental area in December 2016 may have influenced the decomposition of plant residues and mineralization of soil organic nitrogen.

4.2. Pineapple Residue Ash Application on CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O Emissions in Peat Soils Cultivated with Pineapples

Contrary to the believe that ash increases CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions, in this present study, it decreased soil CO\textsubscript{2} emission because of sorption of organic matter to the ash either onto the external ash surfaces or within the pores of the ash [37]. Sorption of organic matter by the ash inhibited decomposition of organic matter, leading to low CO\textsubscript{2} emission. Furthermore, electrostatic repulsion between the negatively charged anionic organic peat compounds and ash might have induced adsorption through hydrogen bonding [40,87]. This hydrogen bonding could be associated with the presence of hydroxyl in ash, identified through FTIR analysis (Table 3). The lower CO\textsubscript{2} emission with pineapple residue ash can also be attributed to calcium ions in the ash, because it might have influenced the formation of soil mineral aggregates to protect the ash and organic matter in the peat from being rapidly degraded by soil microorganisms [37,88]. Moreover, the effectiveness of the pineapple residue ash (T1 to T4) in decreasing CO\textsubscript{2} emission at flower induction stage (nine months after planting) was because some of the ash did not rapidly break down over time but it instead remained in the soil to improve nutrient retention [89]. This suggests that sorption of organic matter by ash occurred slowly through diffusion onto the ash surfaces [90].

On the contrary, the higher CO\textsubscript{2} emission from the ash treatments, particularly T3 during the early growth of the pineapple plants, was unexpected. There are no specific reasons that explain the anomaly from the findings obtained. Perhaps the availability of suitable substrate for microbial metabolism and microbial communities of the peat soil may have influenced CO\textsubscript{2} emission [23,28,91].

Soil CO\textsubscript{2} emission might have also been affected by the degradation of root exudates by rhizosphere microorganisms [23]. Root exudates are labile, easily decomposed, and composed of low molecular weight of organic compounds namely carbohydrates and carboxylic and amino acids [23]. These organic compounds are used as energy sources by microorganisms followed by CO\textsubscript{2} release as a by-product of the microbial metabolism. The higher CO\textsubscript{2} emission at three months after planting was because of early development of the pineapple rooting system, whereas the lower CO\textsubscript{2} emission at nine months after planting relates to the flower induction stage of the pineapple plants where the vegetative growth was less active.

Throughout the pineapple growth period, changes in soil CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O emission after fertilization across time are difficult to explain. However, the differences in CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions might have been influenced by the diversity of the microbial structure in the soil. During the early development of the pineapple plants and at six months...
old, the higher CO\textsubscript{2} emission at day one after fertilization could be attributed to the readily available nutrients from the fertilizers for microbial degradation, which accelerate peat decomposition. Additionally, soil CO\textsubscript{2} emission may have been influenced by the slow release of nutrients with ash treatments, which affected the activity of microbial metabolism leading to lower CO\textsubscript{2} emission at day 30 after fertilization. Moreover, CO\textsubscript{2} emission might have been affected by the leaching of the nutrients from the fertilizer down the soil profile at the study site, primarily during the beginning of the wet season at nine months after planting (flower induction phase). The CO\textsubscript{2} emission might have been affected by heterotrophic activity at the upper surface of the peat, where decomposition mostly takes place (10 cm) when there are fewer substrates (due to leaching).

Although CO\textsubscript{2} emission was affected by the sampling time, the higher CO\textsubscript{2} emission at midnight was unexpected, as respiration, which includes root respiration of the pineapple plants, should have dominated during day time but should decrease at night. The cause for this finding is uncertain, but this discrepancy could be associated with pineapple plants. Pineapples are a Crassulacean Acid Metabolism (CAM) plant, which assimilates CO\textsubscript{2} at night and keeps them in the form of acid in the leaves while emitting the gas during the day for it to be processed into carbohydrates to increase water efficiency \cite{92,93}. It is able to adsorb CO\textsubscript{2} more efficiently with high temperature differences between day and night. However, the low temperature difference between day and night times throughout the pineapple growth cycle in 2017 (Table 6) may have hindered the photosynthetic rate of the plants \cite{94,95}. Thus, the CAM plant undergoes less efficient photosynthesis, resembling that of a C\textsubscript{3} plant.

The lower N\textsubscript{2}O emission from the unfertilized peat soils compared with NPK fertilization treatments was expected, because in acidic conditions, N\textsubscript{2}O emission from denitrification processes occurs slowly \cite{96}. This observation is consistent with the low soil pH of the non-fertilized soils, which remained acidic throughout the pineapple growth period (Table 4). Conversely, the higher N\textsubscript{2}O emission in the treatments with NPK fertilization was due to the readily available ammonium and nitrates, which might have influenced the microbially mediated processes of denitrification and nitrification in the rhizosphere \cite{96}. The lower N\textsubscript{2}O emissions in pineapple residue ash-treated peat soils could be primarily attributed to increase in soil pH because N\textsubscript{2}O:N\textsubscript{2} product ratio of denitrification increases with low pH \cite{9,10,96}. During the pineapple growth period, the soil pH following the application of pineapple residue ash (T\textsubscript{1} to T\textsubscript{4}) was consistently higher (Table 4) compared with controls. Furthermore, adsorption of ammonium ions by hydroxyl onto the charged surface of pineapple residue ash following NPK fertilization may have protected ammonium ions and inhibit microbial nitrification \cite{72,97}, leading to low N\textsubscript{2}O emission. The effectiveness of the pineapple residue ash treatments (T\textsubscript{1} to T\textsubscript{4}) in decreasing N\textsubscript{2}O emission at flower induction demonstrates the ability of this material to adsorb organic matter onto its surface through diffusion. Again, these findings suggest that the significant reduction in N\textsubscript{2}O emission was due to accumulation of some of the ash in the peat soils, which did not break down rapidly.

The differences in soil N\textsubscript{2}O emission with time of gas sampling and pineapple growth and development was because N\textsubscript{2}O emission is regulated by nitrification and denitrification. N\textsubscript{2}O emission is influenced by the availability of adequate substrates at the root zone. The substrates were used by nitrifying microorganisms and this led to N\textsubscript{2}O production \cite{96}. Moreover, the diversity and structure of microorganisms at the root zone of the pineapple plants might have influenced N\textsubscript{2}O emission \cite{98}. Similar to that of CO\textsubscript{2} emission, changes in N\textsubscript{2}O emissions after fertilization across time throughout the vegetative phases of the pineapple plants might also be affected by the slow release of nutrients with ash treatments and leaching of nutrients from the fertilizers down the soil profile during the wet season.

Soil CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were high with NPK fertilization because nitrogen-based fertilizers affect both CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions by providing nitrogen to plants and microorganisms, and also by influencing soil pH, which also influences microbial activities \cite{32,35}. Although CO\textsubscript{2} and N\textsubscript{2}O emissions were affected by time of gas sampling,
these observations were not consistent with the insignificant correlation between these greenhouse gases and soil temperature (Table 6). This indicates that despite the ability of soil temperature to regulate CO₂ and N₂O emission in peat soils, differences in CO₂ and N₂O emission relatively rely on moderate soil temperature variation in the wet and dry monsoons of Southeast Asia.

Among the pineapple residue ash treatments (T1 to T4), the effectiveness of T4 in decreasing soil CO₂ and N₂O emissions after NPK fertilization (Figure 2c,d) could be associated to the amount of pineapple residue ash used. The lower amount of pineapple residue ash in T4 (25%) concurred with the optimal and equilibrium sorption of organic matter, ammonium, and nitrate onto the charged surface of the ash through hydrogen bonding [99,100] to suppress organic matter degradation. Additionally, this observation is consistent with the higher retention of exchangeable ammonium and available nitrate in ash-treated peats (T4) compared with other treatments (T1 to T3), including control (T5), throughout the pineapple growth period (Table 4). The higher concentration of exchangeable ammonium and available nitrate in T4 increased nitrogen uptake by pineapple plants, and this explains the higher fruit yield and improved fruit quality (fruit sweetness expressed as total soluble solids in °Brix) of the pineapples (Table 5). The temporary adsorption and absorption of ammonium and nitrate ions by pineapple residue ash allow these ions to diffuse when water passes through the soil, causing the ions to be available for plant uptake [72]. The results from this study indicate that utilizing pineapple residues as a soil amendment to minimize CO₂ and N₂O emissions offers an alternative approach for managing pineapple wastes on peat soils without reducing peat soil and pineapple productivity.

Although the types of gaseous compounds emitted during the production of ash using a laboratory furnace were not identified and quantified in this study, it can be assumed that the major gases generated during plant residue combustion are carbon monoxide and carbon dioxide [101]. The emission of these gases during plant residue combustion was because pineapple residues are primarily made up of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin [102]. Other gaseous compounds that may be emitted include a minimal amount of hydrogen, methane, and ethane [101].

### 4.3. Pineapple Residue Ash on CO₂ and N₂O Emissions in Peat Soils—A Laboratory Incubation Experiment

In contrast to the in situ field results, in the laboratory experiment, ash-treated peat soil (T4) was not effective in decreasing soil CO₂ emission after NPK fertilization compared with the other ash treatments. The reasons for this discrepancy were not clear. However, this anomaly could be ascribed to the availability of adequate substrate, which was microbially utilized, thus leading to higher CO₂ emission during the relatively shorter incubation period compared with the field study duration [37]. However, heterogeneity of soil organic matter, water table, and structure of microorganisms at the rhizosphere during the pineapple cultivation might have influenced CO₂ emission from the ash-treated peat soils compared to that of the laboratory experiment [28,103]. Moreover, the pineapple residue ash was applied thrice (every three months) during the NPK fertilization phase, and this leads to the slow accumulation of ash in the peat soil to enable sorption of organic matter to the ash through diffusion onto ash surface [89,90]. This partly explains the effectiveness of all of the ash-treated peat soils (T1 to T4) in decreasing soil CO₂ emission in the field experiment compared with the laboratory incubation experiment (T1 to T3).

The effectiveness of the ash in T3 and T4 in decreasing N₂O emissions after NPK fertilization in the laboratory incubation experiment corroborates the results of the present in situ field gas measurements. Although the exchangeable ammonium and available nitrate contents were low in T3 and T4, in the laboratory incubation experiment, the lower N₂O emissions from T3 and T4 compared with other treatments including controls could be primarily attributed to increase in soil pH which ranged from 7.49 to 7.99 (Table 7). It is generally accepted that the N₂O:N₂ product ratio of denitrification decreases with increasing pH. The higher soil pH in treatments with pineapple residue ash compared with
NPK fertilized soil including unfertilized peat soils in the laboratory incubation experiment were consistent with the results obtained in the field study. The significant increase in soil pH under the treatments with ash lends to support the ability of pineapple residue ash to buffer soil pH in cultivated peat soils to minimize CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions.

5. Conclusions

Application of pineapple residue ash in conjunction with NPK fertilizers decreased CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions from tropical peat soils cultivated with pineapple. The findings from the study postulated possible mechanisms that could explain the reduction in CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions with pineapple residue ash application. The effectiveness of pineapple residue ash in decreasing soil CO$_2$ emission is because of the adsorption of anionic organic peat compounds onto the ash through hydrogen bonding to inhibit organic matter degradation. The adsorption of ammonium ions by hydroxyl onto the charged surface of pineapple residue ash following NPK fertilization physically protects ammonium against microbial nitrification, which explains the low N$_2$O emission from ash-treated peat soils. The buffering capacity of the ash increased soil pH, and this is also one of the reasons for reduced CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions. Soil CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions were not affected by soil temperature, but the emissions appear to be regulated by moderate soil temperature variation. The buffering capacity of pineapple residue ash decreases soil acidity; hence, this serves as a viable liming source for conventional agriculture production on tropical peat soils. The outcomes of this present study opine that converting pineapple residues as a useful source of soil amendment to minimize CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions offers a feasible option for managing pineapple wastes on peat soils without reducing peat soil and pineapple productivity. The findings from the study suggest that monthly fertilization using compound NPK fertilizers in combination with pineapple residue ash could be adopted by local farmers to improve agronomic efficiency by producing ash on a small scale in pineapple farms. Pineapple residue ash as a value-added product in pineapple cultivation will reduce farm operation costs, namely agricultural lime and fertilizer input and biomass burning for land preparation. These reductions will lower the risk of peat fires in the dry season and suppress CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions due to fertilization activities. However, further studies are required to enhance the formulation rate of pineapple residue ash in conjunction with compound NPK fertilizers, and ash production technique to improve its efficiency in improving nutrient adsorption and reducing CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions in cultivated tropical peat soils. These improvements include the optimization temperature for the thermochemical process and residence time in the combustion furnace. Results from one cycle of pineapple cultivation may not be sufficiently conclusive to verify the findings of the study. Thus, long-term soil greenhouse gas monitoring for pineapple cultivation at a larger scale is needed to confirm the results of this study, because factors such as soil microbiota, soil organic matter heterogeneity, and rainfall distribution may influence the outcome of the study. Additionally, the effect of pineapple residue ash on greenhouse gas emissions depends on the amount of ash added into the peat soil. It is crucial to determine the effect of ash with and without fertilizer application in the long-term because CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions is influenced by soil microbial activities. Next-generation sequencing (NGS) needs to be considered to assess the interaction between soil microbiota and the fate of pineapple residue ash in cultivated peat soils. This method may provide insights into the mechanism and microbially mediated processes that influence greenhouse gas emissions affected by ash addition. In addition, the characterization of gaseous compounds emitted during the combustion of plant residues in the furnace chamber during ash production needs to be determined. The characterization of gaseous by-products during the thermochemical process is needed to evaluate the environmental impact of pineapple residue ash as a value-added fertilizer product (life cycle assessment) and balance of soil CO$_2$ and N$_2$O emissions in pineapple cultivation on tropical peat soils.
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