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Abstract
Solid lubricants such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) are used in rolling-element bearings (REBs) when conventional lubrication (i.e. by fluids or greases) cannot be applied owing to extreme operating conditions (e.g. high temperatures or vacuum). Often a double transfer film mechanism is used with a cage acting as a lubricant reservoir resupplying the REB with solid lubricant by cage wear. An increase in service life of such bearings requires a better understanding of the transfer processes in the sliding and rolling contacts. Here, we investigate the effect of PTFE resupply on friction and lubricant film formation in a steel/steel and steel/glass rolling contact by tribometry and classical molecular dynamics (MD). A ball-on-disk tribometer is enhanced by a pin-on-disk sliding contact that transfers PTFE to the disk. The experiment allows simultaneous in situ measurement of friction and film thickness by white light interferometry in the rolling point contact. Increasing the pin load results in an increased PTFE film thickness in the rolling contact accompanied by a significant decrease in friction. To elucidate the observed film transfer and friction mechanism, sliding MD simulations with a newly developed density-functional-based, non-reactive force field for PTFE-lubricated iron oxide surfaces are performed. A strong adhesion of PTFE chains to iron oxide drives transfer film formation, while shear-induced chain alignment within PTFE results in reduced friction. The simulations reveal an anti-correlation between PTFE film thickness and friction coefficient – in agreement with the experiments. These investigations are a first step towards methods to control PTFE transfer film formation in REBs.
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1 Introduction

Rolling-element bearings (REBs) are commonly lubricated with oils or greases to ensure low friction and wear losses. However, these conventional lubricants fail under extreme conditions, for instance due to their volatility and instability at elevated temperatures or under vacuum operation. Under such circumstances, solid lubrication of REBs is considered a viable alternative [1–3]. In recent years, several groups studied the behaviour of REBs lubricated by pure PTFE or PTFE composites [4, 5]. Unfortunately, attempts to lubricate a REB with an initially applied PTFE layer fails, since the latter is removed within short time by high pressure and shear in the rolling contacts [6]. Consequently, another lubrication concept is required. By constantly resupplying PTFE using the double transfer mechanism, an effective and durable lubrication of a rolling contact can be achieved [7]. Several authors report REBs with double transfer film PTFE lubrication of the contacts between rolling elements and races [4, 5, 8]. From the cage, which is manufactured from the polymer or its composites, material is transferred to the rolling elements, which in turn transfer material to the inner and outer races [8].

In order to optimize PTFE lubrication of REBs, a detailed understanding of the mechanisms underlying double transfer film lubrication in highly loaded rolling contacts is required. To achieve this, two separate processes have to be elucidated: a) the formation of the transfer films from a sacrificial PTFE reservoir (e.g. the cage) and b) the lubrication of the roller-element/race contacts by the PTFE transfer films. Process a) has been addressed in several experimental studies that were conducted on the transfer mechanisms attributed to PTFE in sliding contacts with a single counter body [9, 10]. The low friction coefficient of PTFE sliding on a surface results from transfer film formation on the counter surface as well as from chain reorientation at the sliding interface [9]. The formation of the transfer film is affected by the physical properties of the counter surface. For instance, the average roughness [11] or surface texturing [12] can have a great impact on the formation of the transfer film. There is a general consensus about the observation that in sliding PTFE/steel contacts the friction coefficient decreases while the wear rate increases with increasing contact pressure [9, 10, 13].

Interestingly, little attention has been paid to process b) namely the lubrication in a highly loaded point contact with a PTFE transfer film confined between two counter surfaces. Neither the spatial distribution and characteristic thickness of the confined film nor the velocity accommodation mode within the PTFE are known. The aim of this article is to close this gap by elucidating the nano-tribological processes in PTFE-lubricated rolling contacts using a combination of experiments in model contacts and classical molecular dynamics (MD) simulations. An enhancement of a classical ball-on-disk tribometer allows simultaneous in-situ measurements of the friction coefficient and the film thickness in a rolling contact. The film thickness is measured by white light interferometry (WLI), which is a well-established method for fluid lubricants [14]. Although WLI has already been used to measure the film thickness of PTFE transfer films [15], this is the first time that it is applied for the in-situ observation of the PTFE film in a rolling contact. Our experimental setup allows to display the spatial PTFE distribution within the contact region and provides an accurate measure for the central film thickness in the rolling contact. This film thickness is used as an input parameter for MD sliding simulations.

Atomistic simulations of PTFE systems have emerged in the past 15 years as a valuable tool to provide insights into their tribological behaviour [16, 17]. In particular, the orientation of the PTFE chains was found to play a crucial role for their frictional response [17]. So far, most atomistic simulations considered pure PTFE systems [16–19], with only few exceptions investigating PTFE in contact with other materials [20, 21]. Despite the technological relevance of PTFE-lubricated steel contacts, to the best of our knowledge, there is no classical MD study in the literature that addresses the tribology and transport of PTFE in contact with iron oxide, a model frequently used for steel surfaces in atomistic simulations [22]. One possible reason for this could be the lack of a suitable force field to describe the interaction between iron oxide and PTFE. In this work, we develop a density-functional-theory-based force field that is specifically tailored for the present tribological situation. Using this newly developed force field, we perform MD simulations that show how shear deformation induces structural transformations within PTFE resulting in alignment of PTFE chains that minimizes the shear stress. Moreover, we demonstrate that the PTFE - α-Fe2O3 adhesion is strong enough to ensure that PTFE sticks to both surfaces of a PTFE-lubricated α-Fe2O3 contact upon separation of the two surfaces. Explicit
simulations of the transfer of PTFE from the pin to the contact show that this enables the resupply of PTFE in the ball-disk contact. Finally, we rationalize the experimentally observed relation between film thickness and friction coefficient by explicitly calculating the friction coefficients for varying amounts of PTFE in the contact.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Experimental Setup

To study the friction and PTFE transfer film formation in a rolling point contact, we modified a ball-on-disk tribometer (EHD2, PCS Instruments). The sketch of the tribometer in Figure 1 illustrates the experimental setup.

![Figure 1. Schematic experimental setup: In the contact between a pin and a rotating glass disk, a PTFE transfer film is created in the sliding track. A steel ball runs on the transfer film and develops a ball running track within the sliding track. The effect of this lubrication mechanism is examined by friction and film thickness measurements in the rolling point contact.](image)

The original tribometer with a polished steel ball (diameter 19.05 mm) loaded against a steel or a glass disk is extended by a PTFE pin that acts as a solid lubricant reservoir. The pin has a diameter of 4.3 mm and is also loaded against the disk with a force $F_{Pin}$. In each experiment, a new polished steel ball is pressed against the disk with a load of $F_{Ball} = 20$ N. The roughness and the elastic material properties of the specimens are provided in Table 1. With the given test rig, it is possible to measure the friction coefficient and PTFE film thickness in-situ during operation. The friction coefficient can be calculated from the measured torque at the ball drive shaft. The film thickness is measured by the principle of WLI, which is described in detail in Ref. [14] and shortly summarised here for the reader’s convenience. White light is focused by an optic through the glass disk onto the rolling contact and is split into two parallel beams at a semi-transparent chromium layer. One beam is reflected at the chromium layer while the other travels through a SiO$_2$ spacer layer and the PTFE film to the surface of the steel ball, where it is reflected. The additional SiO$_2$ layer increases the distance travelled and thus ensures a sufficiently large path difference for interference, which is otherwise limited by the wavelength of the light. Depending on the phase offset, refractive indices and interference order, the thickness of the lubricating film is determined by identifying the wavelength of greatest gain.
Table 1. Material properties of specimens

| Parameter                      | Glass Disk | Steel Disk | Steel Ball |
|--------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Young’s modulus               | 75 MPa     | 207 MPa    | 207 MPa    |
| Poisson ratio                 | 0.22       | 0.29       | 0.29       |
| Surface roughness ($R_a$)     | 20 nm      | 20 nm      | 20 nm      |

Before each measurement, an initial PTFE layer is created on the disk by loading the PTFE pin against the glass disk (rotating with a speed of 400 mm/s) for 15 minutes. Thereafter, the ball is positioned in the clearly visible sliding track of the pin and is pressed against the glass disk. For all measurements, the ball was placed on a disk radius between 36 and 42 mm. Both the ball and the disk are driven at constant circumferential speeds of 380 mm/s and 420 mm/s, respectively. Consequently, the rolling speed is 400 mm/s and the slide-roll-ratio is 10%. The ambient temperature is 23°C. Each measurement is performed 3 times to ensure reproducibility.

2.2 Atomistic sliding simulations

The following two types of classical MD sliding simulations are performed.

i) To study structural transformations within a PTFE lubrication layer, we simulate the sliding of a $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$/(0001)/PTFE/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$/(0001) contact (Figure 2a). The hematite surfaces are either Fe- or OH-terminated and slide along the [1010] direction at constant pressure $P_n$. After initial temperature and pressure equilibration, an upper 4-Å-thick atoms layer is driven rigidly at constant velocity $v_x$ while the atomic positions of a lower rigid layer with the same height are kept fixed. The normal load of the system is controlled using the pressure-coupling algorithm described in Ref. [23]. During sliding the forces on the upper rigid layer are recorded and their sum is divided by the lateral surface area – resulting in the frictional shear stress experienced by the tribosystem.

ii) Transfer and transport of PTFE are studied by shear simulations of a PTFE block sliding against an iron oxide surface (Figure 2b). In these simulations a Fe- or OH-terminated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) slab is brought into contact with a PTFE slab (mimicking the PTFE pin – disk contact) with a constant velocity $v_z$ applied to an upper rigid atomic layer (thickness 4 Å) that is simultaneously driven at a constant velocity $v_x$. A 2-nm-thick layer at the bottom of the PTFE slab is kept fixed. After reaching a normal load of 100 MPa, $v_z$ is inverted and the system is separated. Afterwards, in an analogous way, the resulting (now PTFE-covered) $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) slab is brought into contact with a second $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) slab and separated again (mimicking the ball – disk contact).

In both types of simulations the $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) slabs consists of 12960 atoms in the Fe-terminated case and of 13392 atoms in the OH-terminated case, resulting in a slab size of $6.086 \times 5.270 \times 43$ nm$^3$. Atoms in 5-Å-thick regions adjacent to the rigid layers are thermalized using Langevin thermostats with a time constant $\tau = 1$ ps and a target temperature of 300 K. Thermalization is only performed in the direction normal to the loading and the sliding directions. The equations of motion are integrated using at time step of 0.5 fs. Periodic boundary conditions are applied in the interface plane directions. Details of the interatomic interactions are given in the Appendix. All classical MD simulations are carried out with LAMMPS [24].
Figure 2. (a) Setup for the MD sliding simulations under a normal load $P_n$. The upper rigid layer is driven at constant velocity $v_x$, while the lower rigid layer is kept fixed. (b) Setup for the MD transport simulations, where a $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) slab approaches a PTFE slab with constant velocity $v_z$. Once the system reaches a target normal pressure $P_n$, $v_z$ is inverted and the system is separated. H, O, Fe, C, F atoms are shown as white, red, brown, grey and green spheres, respectively.

The PTFE in our tribosystems is represented by an all-atom oligomer model. This choice is motivated by a previous study with polyether ether ketone [25] showing that the mechanical and tribological response of a thermoplastic polymer can be reasonably described by finite chains. To create an amorphous PTFE sample, we initially randomize 400 C$_{40}$F$_{82}$ PTFE oligomer molecules at 1500 K using a Langevin thermostat with time constant $\tau = 1$ ps for 1 ns within a simulation box with fixed volume corresponding to a density of 0.1 g/cm$^3$. As boundary condition in the direction $z$ normal to the designated sliding interfaces, we use two purely repulsive harmonic walls separated by 23.2 nm. Atoms whose distances to walls $r < r_c$ interact with the wall via a parabolic potential $E_{wall} = K_{wall}(r - r_c)^2$, where $r_c = 0.1$ nm and the spring constant is $K_{wall} = 20$ eV/Å$^2$. Periodic boundary conditions are used in the $xy$ plane. Afterwards, the cell size in the $x$ and $y$ directions is linearly decreased to achieve a final density of 1.5 g/cm$^3$ within 0.5 ns while the $z$ coordinates of the walls are kept fixed. In a third step, the system is equilibrated at 500 K and the cell is allowed to relax in the $xy$ plane using a Berendsen barostat with target pressure $P_{barostat} = 0$ MPa for 3 ns. Finally, the temperature of the system is linearly decreased to 0 K with a rate of 0.25 K/ps and the sample is relaxed.

3 Results

In this section, we present the results of the experimental measurements and of the MD simulations. We first focus on the influence of sliding time and pin load on friction coefficient and film thickness of a PTFE-lubricated rolling point contact. Next, the atomistic mechanisms within the sliding and rolling contacts are clarified by means of the MD simulations.

3.1 Friction and film thickness measurements

During a running-in phase, the PTFE pin slides on the disk with a pin load of 80 N and a velocity of 400 mm/s to create an initial transfer film. For the subsequent measurements, the steel ball is loaded against the disk with 20 N. The transient friction coefficients are shown for the contact between the steel
ball and a steel disk in Figure 3a and between the steel ball and a SiO₂-coated disk in Figure 3b. Within the first 500 seconds, the PTFE pin load is kept at 80 N in order to constantly resupply the rolling contact. For both disks (steel or coated glass) the measured friction coefficients have average values of 0.026. When the PTFE pin is removed, the friction coefficient measured on the steel disk shows a gradual rise and reaches within 400 sec a constant value of 0.07, while it immediately increased to a steady state value of 0.056 for the glass disks.

The transient values of the central film thickness measured during an experiment on the glass disk are presented in Figure 3c. When the rolling contact is resupplied with PTFE by the pin sliding on the disk within the first 500 sec, the central film thickness values scatter in the range between 5 and 64 nm with an average of 23.9 ± 1.9 nm. When the PTFE pin is removed after 500 sec, the film thickness decreases to an average of 12.5 ± 1.0 nm with values ranging between 2 and 49 nm. Even though the scattering between the data points is high, the average values of the film thickness suggest a clear influence of the pin load.

Figure 3. Evolution of coefficient of friction and lubricant film thickness in a rolling ball-on-disk contact when the PTFE pin load changes from 80 N to 0 N; (a) coefficient of friction in the steel/steel contact (b) coefficient of friction in steel/glass contact (c) central film thickness in the steel/glass contact.

Figure 4 shows WLI images of the rolling point contact between a glass disk and a steel ball at a ball load of $F_{\text{Ball}} = 20$ N. The circular area represents the contact region and the interference patterns indicate the increase of the gap height between disk and ball outside the contact. The colours in the contact zone can be correlated to the PTFE film thickness. The WLI image of an unlubricated contact
at a speed of 0 mm/s serves as a reference (presented in Figure 4a). The film height in the contact region is zero, which is represented by the light blue colour. Figures 4b-e show the film formation in the rolling contact at a rolling speed of 400 mm/s when PTFE is resupplied with a pin load of 80 N. The colours in the contact region vary from blue to yellow and red, indicating an inhomogeneous film thickness within the contact zone ranging between 0 and 80 nm. When the load on the PTFE pin is removed, the colour in the contact zone is light blue to yellow, indicating a more homogeneous PTFE film with a thickness of few nanometres (Figures 4f-h). The interference patterns in rolling direction in the lower part of Figure 4f-h indicate the formation of side bands next to the ball running track with a thickness of more than a micrometre.

Figure 4. WLI images of ball/disk contact at a ball load of 20 N and a slide-roll ratio of 10 %: (a) reference of an unlubricated contact at $v_{Roll} = 0$ mm/s captured before measurement; (b-e) the film formation at $v_{Roll} = 400$ mm/s and $F_{Pin} = 80$ N is inhomogeneous within the contact cross section and varies within the measurement intervals of 500 sec; (f-h) the film formation at $v_{Roll} = 400$ mm/s and $F_{Pin} = 0$ N is more homogeneous and shows PTFE residues even without relubrication.

To determine the effect of the PTFE quantity which is transferred from pin to disk, the pin load is increased stepwise from 0 to 100 N. Each step has a duration of 500 seconds, during which the pin load is kept constant while friction and film thickness are measured every 5 seconds. From all measured values of the friction coefficient and film thickness recorded within a single load step an average value is calculated. In Figure 5 these averages are shown as a function of the pin load. While especially the film thickness values scatter strongly, as can be seen in Figure 3c, the resulting averages are highly reproducible, indicated by the error bars obtained as standard error of the mean of three independent measurements. The average friction coefficient decreases from 0.048 at a pin load of 0 N to 0.025 at pin load of 80 N. At a pin load of 100 N, the friction coefficient is slightly higher compared to the value at 80 N. The film thickness increases from 7 nm at 0 N pin load to 22 nm at 100 N pin load.
3.2 Simulation results

Non-reactive MD simulations are performed to gain atomic-scale insights into the tribology of PTFE-lubricated steel contacts and to investigate the transfer of PTFE films on steel. We start by considering PTFE between two Fe-terminated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) surfaces (Figure 6a). Following Ref. [22], these surfaces are chosen as representative models of oxidised steel surfaces. In order to assess the influence of the surface chemical termination on the tribological properties of the PTFE/steel system, additional simulations with hydroxylated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) surfaces are conducted. The PTFE-lubricated model system is obtained by inserting the 21-nm-thick, amorphous PTFE slab (modelled with 400 C$_{10}$F$_{82}$ oligomer; see Section 2.2) between two $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) slabs. Even though PTFE is typically semi-crystalline a purely amorphous sample is considered as a limit case to explore whether shear can result in ordering of the molecules [25].

The PTFE molecules are modelled using the OPLS all-atom force field with the parameters devised by Watkins and Jorgensen [26]. To study the tribological behaviour of PTFE/steel systems, we extend this PTFE interatomic potential by developing a force field for Fe- and OH-terminated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) surfaces and their interaction with PTFE. The force-field parameters are fitted to DFT results to accurately describe the elastic response of Fe$_2$O$_3$ and the adsorption of PTFE oligomers on the Fe$_2$O$_3$ surface (as explained in detail in the Appendix).

Upon shearing at $T = 300$ K, with $P_n = 100$ MPa and $v_s = 100$ m/s, for both the Fe- and the OH-terminated systems, we observe a shear-induced alignment of the molecules resulting in pseudo-crystalline arrangements reminiscent of the hexagonal Phase II [27] (see snapshots in Figure 6b and the Supplemental Videos). The spatial extension of the aligned phase grows gradually with time along the $z$ direction. To quantify the alignment, the C–C bond unit vectors of the molecules are determined and projected on the sliding direction. The average absolute value of this projection is shown as solid lines in Figure 6c. While initially this value is close to 0.5 (corresponding to the isotropic case), it rapidly increases with time and slowly approaches the fully aligned case (upper dashed lines). Even though we obtain qualitatively identical results for both terminations, the alignment in the OH-terminated case is significantly faster.

To elucidate the faster alignment in the hydroxylated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ system, we investigate the time evolution of the shear stress (dotted lines in Figure 6c) and of the PTFE velocity profiles (Figure 6d). At the onset of sliding, the shear stress in the OH-terminated system is significantly higher than in the Fe-terminated system. The corresponding velocity profile reveals that the shear is localized between the molecules and the surface termination. The lower friction in the Fe-terminated case can be attributed to the atomic-

![Figure 5. Coefficient of friction (COF, blue) and average film thickness (green) as a function of the load on the PTFE pin. The error bars are the standard error of the mean of three independent measurements.](image-url)
scale smoothness of this surface, while hydroxyl groups act as steric obstacles [28] that increase friction in the OH-terminated case. As sliding progresses, the shear deformation becomes localized within the PTFE film and the PTFE/Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} wall slip disappears. In the OH-terminated case this transition occurs faster due to the larger shear stress and atomic surface roughness. Eventually, as soon as the entire shear stress is accommodated in PTFE, the shear stresses are approximately identical for both surface terminations and are close to the shear stress of entirely aligned PTFE molecules (lower dashed line in Figure 6c). We note that the alignment of molecules occurs in the region where the shear stress is localized.

Figure 6. (a) Snapshot of the α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/PTFE/α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} system prior to sliding. (b) Snapshots of the system after 22 ns sliding at a normal load $P_n = 100$ MPa. (c) Time evolution of the shear stress $\tau$ (dotted lines, left scale) and average orientation of the molecules (solid lines, right scale) for OH-terminated (green) and Fe-terminated (blue) α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} slabs. The shear stress curves represent a running-average over 0.4 ns. The orientation is measured by the average absolute projection of the normalized carbon-carbon bond vectors on the sliding direction. The upper horizontal solid line indicates the average orientation of a reference simulation with hydroxylated surfaces and a complete alignment of all PTFE molecules prior to sliding. The lower dashed line represents the corresponding shear stress in this reference simulation. (d) Velocity profile of the PTFE molecules $v(z)$ for different times for the Fe-terminated case. Each profile is averaged over 0.25 ns.

Whether a PTFE film transfer from one steel surface to another occurs or not depends on the balance between the molecular cohesion within the PTFE film and the adhesion of the PTFE to the steel surfaces. If PTFE/steel adhesion is weak in comparison to PTFE/PTFE adhesion, the transfer film will likely remain completely on one of the two surfaces, while a strong polymer/steel adhesion should result in a partitioning of the PTFE film between the two sliding bodies. To investigate which of the two situations is more likely, we “open” the contacts of Figure 6c by separating the two oxide slabs with a constant velocity $v_x = 5$ m/s that is added to the upper rigid layer after different times ($t_{sep} = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8$ ns for both terminations). For all systems, independent of termination and degree of orientation, we observe the formation of free surfaces within PTFE, i.e. both α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} slabs are covered by a PTFE film (see Figure 7 for final snapshots of separation simulations starting with different $t_{sep}$). The partitioning of the PTFE between upper and lower α-Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} slabs is on average approximately 50%/50%, but for individual snapshots sharing of the PTFE layer can be asymmetric (e.g. 32%/68% in Figure 7b 0ns). Interestingly, separation of the Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} surfaces produces cracks that propagates preferentially along the boundary between crystalline and amorphous PTFE phases. Indeed the crystal-amorphous interface allow for an easy separation given the strong cohesion of both crystalline and amorphous PTFE phases.
Figure 7. Final snapshots of separation simulations of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$/PTFE/$\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ systems for the Fe- (a) and OH-terminated case (b). After sliding for a time $t_{sep}$ (Figure 6c), a constant velocity $v_z$ is added to the upper rigid layer. Yellow numbers refer to the percentage of PTFE molecules remaining on the lower and upper $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ slabs, respectively.

To more directly mimic the experiment, another series of simulations is performed. We start with an $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) slab (representing the disk) that slides with a velocity $v_x = 100$ m/s and approaches a PTFE slab (representing the experimental PTFE pin) with $v_z = 5$ m/s. The “pin” is modelled by 400 C$_{40}$F$_{82}$ molecules, while the disk surface is Fe-terminated (we note however that a hydroxylated “disk” yields the same results). To circumvent the computational burden to simulate the shear-induced alignment of the PTFE oligomers during the running-in phase, the PTFE molecules are pre-aligned in the shear direction. As soon as the normal load of the system reaches 100 MPa, the vertical velocity $v_z$ is inverted and the system is again separated (see (i)-(iii) in Figure 8). In agreement with the experiment, there is a significant amount of PTFE that is removed from the “pin” and sticks to the “disk”.

Next, we bring the PTFE-covered “disk” in contact with a second uncovered Fe-terminated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ surface (the “ball”; note that a hydroxylated “ball” yields the same results) mimicking the formation of the ball-on-disk contact (see (iv)-(vi) in Figure 8). For this purpose, we use again a sliding velocity $v_x = 100$ m/s and approach the “ball” with $v_z = 5$ m/s. Once the normal load reaches $P_n = 1000$ MPa, we again invert $v_z$ and separate the system. In agreement with the successful lubrication observed in the experiment now also the “ball” is covered with PTFE.
Figure 8. Transfer of the PTFE lubricant from the pin to the ball-disk contact. (i) A α-Fe₂O₃ (0001) surface approaches the PTFE pin with \( v_z = 5 \) m/s while sliding with \( v_x = 100 \) m/s. (ii) After a normal load \( P_n = 100 \) MPa is reached \( v_z \) is inverted and the system is separated (iii). (iv) The resulting PTFE-covered surface is brought into contact with a second α-Fe₂O₃ (0001) surface again with \( v_z \). Once \( P_n = 1 \) GPa is reached \( v_z \) is inverted (v) and the contact is opened (vi).

Interestingly, the experiments showed a decrease of friction with increasing PTFE film thickness (Figure 5). In our final simulations, this relationship is rationalized by explicitly modelling contacts with 180, 270, 360 and 450 C₄₀F₈₂ molecules (resulting in film thicknesses between 9 and 23 nm) between two OH-terminated slabs. Again, the molecules are pre-aligned with the sliding direction. To somewhat reduce the large velocity gap between the experiment and the simulations, the sliding velocity is set to \( v_x = 10 \) m/s and sliding is performed for 3 ns. Figure 9a shows the average velocity profile of the PTFE phase for the different thicknesses when applying a normal load \( P_n = 100 \) MPa. In all four cases the velocity decreases roughly linearly between the upper and the lower iron oxide slab, i.e. the average shear rate decreases with increasing thickness. To calculate a coefficient of friction in the four cases, additional simulations at \( P_n = 250, 500 \) and \( 1000 \) MPa are conducted. Figure 9b shows the average shear stress \( \tau \) as a function of the applied normal load \( P_n \). Since there is a clear linear relationship between both quantities, the coefficient of friction can be estimated from the slope of these curves (allowing for an adhesive offset). The resulting coefficients of friction are in good agreement with the experimental values (Figure 9c). While the exact numerical values should not be over-interpreted, it is crucial that both experiment and the simulations show the same trends in the decrease of friction with increasing film thickness suggesting that the reduction of the experimental COF with increasing lubricant film thickness is related with the decrease of the shear rate within the PTFE phase.
Figure 9. (a) Average PTFE velocity profiles for different PTFE film thicknesses as indicated in the legend. The sliding system consists of CaF₂-lubricated, self-mated OH-terminated α-Fe₂O₃ (0001) slabs in relative motion with \( v_x = 10 \) m/s and \( P_n = 100 \) MPa. The profiles are averaged over 2 ns. (b) Average shear stress \( \tau \) as a function of the applied normal load \( P_n \) for 9, 14, 18 and 24 nm thick PTFE films. Solid lines are linear fits to the measured MD data points. (c) Coefficient of friction estimated from the slope of the fits of (b) as a function of the film thickness (green). The experimental data points from Figure 5 are shown in blue.

4 Discussion and conclusion

These results show that a constant external resupply enables an effective PTFE lubrication of highly loaded rolling contacts. Our in-situ experiments reveal that the thickness of the PTFE film in the rolling contact can be directly controlled by the pin load. Despite the scattering of the transient measured film thickness and the inhomogeneity of the film formation within the contact region, the friction coefficient is constant during the observation period - after the running-in is completed. With increasing pin load, and thus increasing film thickness, the friction coefficient decreases to a minimal value of 0.025 at a pin load of 80 N. This effect is observed on SiO₂-coated glass and steel disks, although the friction coefficients measured without lubrication differ for both materials. This suggests that at a contact pressure of approximately 5 MPa between pin and disk the film thickness is sufficient to completely separate the surfaces of ball and disk.

The transfer film formation of PTFE is possible due to the strong adhesion of PTFE on the steel or glass disk. Our atomistic simulations show that the separation of two PTFE-lubricated α-Fe₂O₃-surfaces results in the PTFE coverage of both surfaces. We note that in our simulations no chemical reactions are considered between PTFE and the surfaces and adhesion is fully determined by physisorption. PTFE chains that break due to shear forces [29, 30] can chemisorb on the surface which should further enhance the PTFE adhesion on the surfaces and in turn the PTFE transfer film formation.

Furthermore, our simulations show that the arrangement of the molecules is crucial for friction. In particular, shear can disentangle PTFE chains in amorphous regions and induce the alignment of the molecules with the sliding directions. This structural running-in process results in a strong reduction of shear stress. Surprisingly, our MD work also suggests that alignment plays a role in the transfer film formation since the PTFE cleavage surfaces that form upon “contact opening” are localized close to crystal-amorphous interfaces.

Shear deformation in the PTFE layer is accommodated by an approximately linear velocity profile within the crystal-like region. Consequently, a thicker PTFE film consisting of shear-aligned molecules should reduce the shear rate which could explain the friction decrease with increasing film thickness observed in the experiments.

Future work will address the optimization of transfer film formation using PTFE compounds to obtain more homogenous films. Moreover, the friction behaviour and film formation at different operating points will be investigated to determine the operating limits for PTFE-lubricated, highly loaded rolling
contacts. Future atomistic studies should also focus on the velocity dependence of PTFE film transfer and friction, since in our shearing simulations velocities are still 2-3 orders of magnitude higher than in the experiments.

In general, transfer film formation and friction of solid lubricants is a highly complex problem that impedes the rational design of double-film-transfer REBs. However, an understanding of the underlying mechanisms can be gained with the presented approach that combines in-situ friction and WLI measurements with MD sliding simulations. Hopefully, our work and follow-up studies will pave the way for an improvement of the reliability of solid-lubricated, rolling-element bearings.

5 Appendix

A force field for the PTFE/α-Fe₂O₃ system

To accurately describe non-reactive interactions in PTFE-lubricated α-Fe₂O₃ contacts, we develop a force field that is based on density-functional theory (DFT) simulations and is specifically tailored for our purposes as described in the following.

PTFE. The interaction between and within PTFE molecules is described by the all-atoms force field developed by Watkins and Jorgensen [26]. We find that this model can describe different crystalline PTFE phases with good accuracy (see Table 2). Densities and elastic properties of amorphous PTFE as calculated using this force field are reported in Table 3 for CₓF₂ₓ₂ molecules with x = 20, 40, 60. The preparation of the samples used to calculate the elastic properties of amorphous PTFE follows the procedure described in the Methods section. However, in this case, periodic boundary conditions are applied in all three Cartesian directions. The negligible variation of density and elastic properties when x increases from 40 to 60 supports our choice to model PTFE using C₄₀F₈₂ in this work.

| Phase II  | a (Å), c (Å) | Force field | Reference  |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Phase III | a (Å), b (Å), c (Å) | 8.23, 5.83, 2.62 | 8.70, 5.97, 2.65 |
| Phase III | a (Å), b (Å), c (Å), γ (°) | 9.61, 5.04, 2.62, 102.5 | 9.79, 5.15, 2.67, 101.8 |

Table 2. Comparison of the lattice constants of different PTFE phases as predicted by the force field of Watkins and Jorgensen [26] with literature values (X-ray diffraction from Ref. [31], DFT (PBE-D) results from Ref. [32]).

| Amorphous PTFE | C₂₀F₁₂₂ | C₄₀F₈₂ | C₆₀F₁₂₂ |
|----------------|---------|--------|---------|
| Density (g/cm³) | 2.15 | 2.09 | 2.07 |
| Bulk modulus K (GPa) | 4.8 | 5.4 | 5.6 |
| Young’s modulus E (GPa) | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.1 |
| Poisson’s ratio ν | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.38 |

Bulk α-Fe₂O₃. For bulk α-Fe₂O₃ we use a model that is similar to the one used by Latorre et al. [22] and by Berro et al. [33]. Based on the relaxed DFT configuration, we use harmonic interactions of the form $E_{\text{bond}} = K_{\text{bond}}(r - r_0)^2$ with spring constant $K_{\text{bond}} = 1.085$ eV/Å² between all atomic pairs separated by less than 3.2 Å. The choice of this spring constant allows for a good description of the elastic
Fe-terminated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001), $\alpha$-Fe$_3$O$_3$ (0001) surfaces are created by removing the periodic boundary conditions from the bulk sample along the z direction. Details concerning the surface termination are described below in the technical section about the DFT calculations. To account for the surface relaxation as observed in DFT, each terminating Fe atom is displaced by 0.63 Å towards the surface along the z direction. Using this geometry, in analogy to the bulk case, all atoms separated by less than 3.2 Å interact via harmonic terms with spring constant $K_{\text{bond}} = 1.085$ eV/Å$^2$. The set of additional equilibrium bond lengths $r_0$ compared to the bulk case is {1.779, 3.093, 3.125} Å.

The atomic interactions between PTFE and $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) are modelled via a Lennard-Jones (LJ) potential

$$E_i,j = \sum_i \sum_{j>i} 4\epsilon_{ij} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma_{ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{6} \right].$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{Eq. 1}

The two-body parameters $\epsilon_{ij}$ and $\sigma_{ij}$ for atom pairs $ij$ are expressed as functions of the atomic parameters as

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \sqrt{\epsilon_i \epsilon_j} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{ij} = \sqrt{\sigma_i \sigma_j}$$

to ensure that the mixing rules are compatible with those adopted by Watkins and Jorgensen. The atomic parameters for C$_{40}$F$_{82}$ are taken from Ref. [26], the atomic parameters for $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) are $\epsilon_Fe = 0.02363$ eV, $\sigma_Fe = 2.41$ Å, $\epsilon_O = 0.01031$ eV, and $\sigma_O = 3.21$ Å. These parameters are obtained by fitting the energy of 500 different configurations of a C$_{3}$F$_{18}$ molecule interacting with a $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) surface (see green curves in Figure 10a). For each of these different configurations, the molecule and the slab are held rigid using their relaxed DFT geometries. The choice to omit Coulombic interactions between PTFE is physically motivated by the fact that electrostatic interactions only play a minor role for the tribology of perfluorinated carbon compounds [28, 34]. As a test, we verify that the adsorption energy of C$_3$F$_{18}$ as obtained with a geometry optimization using the force field can reproduce the DFT result (-0.70 eV in both cases).

OH-terminated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001). To assess how the chemical structure of $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) surfaces influences our results we also consider the hydroxylated surface (Figure 10b). The model is adapted from Ref. [35] as discussed in more detail below in the technical section about the DFT simulations. To construct the hydroxylated surface from the bulk cell and mimic the DFT geometry, we remove the periodic boundary conditions along the z direction to obtain a Fe-terminated $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) surface. Each surface Fe atom is displaced by 0.291 Å in the z direction towards the bulk. Next, we hydroxylate each of these Fe surface atoms by adding OH groups with the following displacement vectors relative to the position of the surface Fe atom: $\vec{r}_O = (-0.161, -0.002, 1.819)$ Å and $\vec{r}_{H1} = (-0.248, 0.837, 2.303)$ Å (Figure 10b). Finally, H atoms are added to passivate O atoms in the second O layer with the displacement vector $\vec{r}_{H2} = (-2.781, 0.395, 0.206)$ Å relative to the position of the surface Fe atom (Figure 10b). These numerical values are extracted from the relaxed DFT configurations. Using this geometry, harmonic interactions between all atom pairs that do not contain H atoms and are separated by less than 3.2 Å are introduced - again with $K_{\text{bond}} = 1.085$ eV/Å$^2$. This procedure yields the set {1.826, 1.854, 2.922, 2.931, 3.069} Å in addition to the set of equilibrium bond lengths $r_0$ from the bulk case.

Each H termination is connected to the neighbouring O atom by a harmonic bonding term with $K_{\text{bond}} = 25.24$ eV/Å$^2$ and $r_0 = 0.982$ Å. These values are obtained by fits to the distance-energy DFT curves

|         | DFT     | Force field |
|---------|---------|-------------|
| $C_{11}$ (GPa) | 325     | 318         |
| $C_{12}$ (GPa) | 146     | 122         |
| $C_{13}$ (GPa) | 124     | 78          |
| $C_{14}$ (GPa) | 12      | -1          |
| $C_{33}$ (GPa) | 293     | 245         |
| $C_{44}$ (GPa) | 82      | 88          |

Table 4. Elastic constants as calculated by DFT and by the present force field.
upon elongation and compression of individual O–H bonds while keeping all other atomic positions fixed (Figure 10c). In addition, one harmonic H–O–Fe angle with the functional form \( E_{\text{angle}} = K_\theta (\theta - \theta_0)^2 \) with \( K_\theta = 0.601 \text{ eV/\text{rad}^2} \) and \( \theta_0 = 121.58^\circ \) is introduced for each H atom in the top H layer. The numerical values are obtained by fits to reference DFT calculations, where the position of individual H atoms are varied to sample different H–O–Fe angles keeping all bond lengths fixed (Figure 10c). For each H atom in the lower H layer, three such H–O–Fe angles with the same parameters are introduced. Moreover, since no Lennard-Jones or Coulombic terms are used to model interactions within \( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\), we additionally add one dihedral interaction involving the atom types H–O–F–O (the latter O being part of the lower layer of hydroxyl groups, Figure 10c) for each H in the upper hydrogen layer to obtain an energetic barrier for the rotation of the OH group. The dihedral has the form \( E_{\text{dihedral}} = \frac{1}{2} K_\phi [1 - \cos(2\phi)] \) with \( K_\phi = -0.1488 \text{ eV} \) and is obtained by fits to DFT benchmark simulations, in which the corresponding dihedral angle is varied while keeping all bond lengths fixed (Figure 10c).

In analogy to the Fe-terminated case, the interaction between OH-terminated \( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (0001) and PTFE is modelled via LJ interactions (Eq. 1). The atomic LJ parameters for the hydroxyl groups are fitted to the interaction with a C\(_8\)F\(_{18}\) molecule, while the parameters for Fe and the remaining O atoms in the slab remain fixed at the values determined in the Fe-terminated case (see blue curves in Figure 10). This procedure yields \( \epsilon_H = 0.01604 \text{ eV}, \sigma_H = 2.01 \text{ Å} \) and \( \epsilon_{O2} = 0.00507 \text{ eV} \), and \( \sigma_{O2} = 3.37 \text{ Å} \). O2 refers to the oxygen atoms being part of the hydroxyl groups. Again, we verify that the adsorption energy of C\(_8\)F\(_{18}\) obtained by geometry optimization using the force field is in good agreement with the DFT result (-0.39 eV and -0.38 eV, respectively).

**Figure 10.** (a) Interaction energy between a C\(_8\)F\(_{18}\) molecule and a Fe-terminated \( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (0001) surface (green) and between a C\(_8\)F\(_{18}\) molecule and an OH-terminated \( \alpha\)-Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) (0001) surface (blue) for different configurations of the molecule on top of the surface. Energies obtained by DFT are represented...
by dark colours while light colours show the energies measured on the same configurations using the present force field. The different configurations of the molecule include rotations and translations in the surface plane and different distances to the surface. A typical configuration for the OH-terminated case is shown in the inset. (b) Snapshot of the model used for OH-terminated α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ (0001) surfaces. The labels are explained in the text. (c) Energy as a function of the O–H bond length (i), of the H–O–Fe angle (ii) and of the dihedral angle H-O-Fe-O (iii) as obtained by DFT and by the present force field.

DFT simulations.

All DFT calculations are performed by using the Vienna ab initio simulations package (VASP) [36]. VASP employs a plane wave basis set to represent the valence electrons whereas core electrons are taken into account using the projector-augmented wave (PAW) method [37, 38]. In order to partially correct deficiencies of local and semilocal DFT in describing the strongly correlated d-electrons of Fe the DFT+U formalism is used, where a Hubbard model-like term for the onsite Coulomb interactions is added to the semilocal DFT functional [39]. For the latter, we use the PBE functional [40]. Following previous works using VASP to simulate hematite surfaces [35, 41], we select a value of 4 eV for the effective Hubbard parameter U-J. The energy cutoff defining the plane wave basis set is set to 520 eV for the relaxation of the hematite bulk unit cell and the calculation of the elastic constants and to 400 eV for all other simulations. Reciprocal space integration is performed using a 3×3×3 Monkhorst-Pack grid for the bulk relaxation and all simulations featuring the hematite slab supercell are Γ-point calculations. A Gaussian smearing of the orbital occupancies with a width of 0.1 eV is used throughout. Long-range dispersion forces are taken into account by Grimme’s D3 method with the PBE parameters as implemented in the VASP code [42, 43]. Antiferromagnetic ordering of the Fe magnetic moments of the hematite ground state as reported in Ref. [41] is imposed for both bulk and slab calculations.

The unit cell of the hematite bulk structure used in this work contains six Fe$_2$O$_3$ layers along the [0001] direction and is repeated twice in the other directions. Relaxation of the structure yields lattice constants of $a = 5.07$ Å and $c = 13.86$ Å in full agreement with the earlier reports [35, 41]. From the bulk unit cell, symmetric 4 × 2 × 1 slab models are constructed. The cutting plane is chosen such that the surface top and bottom layers consist of bulk Fe layers with half occupation such that the overall Fe$_2$O$_3$ stoichiometry of the resulting slab is conserved. DFT calculations within a GGA+U approach determined this surface termination to be the most stable one within a wide range of oxygen chemical potentials [41]. The model for the hydroxylated hematite (0001) surface (Figure 10) is set up following Ref. [35], where DFT simulations using again a GGA+U approach predict dissociative chemisorption of H$_2$O molecules as favourable adsorption mechanism of water on hematite (0001) surfaces. Hydroxlation occurs via the dissociation of water molecules into OH$^-$ and H$^+$ ions that subsequently bond to the terminal Fe$^{3+}$ and surface O$^{2-}$ ions, respectively.

6 Declarations

Acknowledgements

We thank Daniele Savio for initial contributions to the project. We gratefully acknowledge funding by the DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) within the SPP 2074 (project No. MO 879/22-1). Computing time was granted by the John von Neumann Institute for Computing (NIC), and provided on the supercomputer JUWELS at the Jülich Supercomputing Centre (JSC) (project hfr04 for the DFT simulations and project hfr014 for the MD simulations) [44], and by the state of Baden-Württemberg through bwHPC and the DFG (grant No. INST 39/963-1 FUGG). Visualization, pre- and postprocessing were carried out with OVITO [45], ASE [46] and matscipy (github.com/libAtoms/matscipy).

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare no competing interests.

Availability of data and material: The data related to this study are available from the corresponding authors upon request.
Code availability: All classical molecular dynamics simulations were performed using the open-source code LAMMPS [24]. DFT simulations were conducted using VASP [36]. Visualization, pre- and postprocessing were carried out with OVITO [45], ASE [46] and matscipy (github.com/libAtoms/matscipy).

Authors’ contribution: Stephan von Goeldel and Thomas Reichenbach contributed equally to this work.

7 References
1. Birkhofer, H., Kümmerle, T.: Feststoffgeschmierte Wälzlager. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg (2012)
2. Marquart, M., Sauer, B.: Optimized Cage Design for Increasing the Life of Solid Lubricated Roller Bearings. In: Volume 3: Design, Materials and Manufacturing, Parts A, B, and C. pp. 985–993. American Society of Mechanical Engineers (2012)
3. Marquart, M., Wahl, M., Enrich, S., Zhang, G., Sauer, B., Kopnarski, M., Wetzel, B.: Enhancing the lifetime of MoS2-lubricated ball bearings. Wear. 303, 169–177 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2013.03.003
4. Suzuki, M., Prat, P.: Synergism of an MoS2 sputtered film and a transfer film of a PTFE composite. Wear. 225–229, 995–1003 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1648(99)00073-3
5. Choe, B., Lee, J., Jeon, D., Lee, Y.: Experimental study on dynamic behavior of ball bearing cage in cryogenic environments, Part I: Effects of cage guidance and pocket clearances. Mech. Syst. Signal Process. 115, 545–569 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mssp.2018.06.018
6. Lu, X., Wong, K.C., Wong, P.C., Mitchell, K.A.R., Cotter, J., Eadie, D.T.: Surface characterization of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) transfer films during rolling–sliding tribology tests using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy. Wear. 261, 1155–1162 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2006.03.020
7. Fusaro, R.L.: Self-lubricating polymer composites and polymer transfer film lubrication for space applications. Tribol. Int. 23, 105–122 (1990). https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-679X(90)90043-O
8. Colas, G., Saulot, A., Michel, Y., Filletier, T., Merstallinger, A.: Experimental analysis of friction and wear of self-lubricating composites used for dry lubrication of ball bearing for space applications. Lubricants. 9, (2021). https://doi.org/10.3390/lubricants9040038
9. Biswas, S.K., Vijayan, K.: Friction and wear of PTFE - a review. Wear. 158, 193–211 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1648(92)90039-B
10. Blanchet, T.A., Kennedy, F.E.: Sliding wear mechanism of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) and PTFE composites. Wear. 153, 229–243 (1992). https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1648(92)90271-9
11. Lancaster, J.K.: Accelerated wear testing as an aid to failure diagnosis and materials selection. Tribol. Int. 15, 323–329 (1982). https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-679X(82)90141-4
12. Ding, L., Axinte, D., Butler-Smith, P., Abdelhafeez Hassan, A.: Study on the characterisation of the PTFE transfer film and the dimensional designing of surface texturing in a dry-lubricated bearing system. Wear. 448–449, 203238 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wear.2020.203238
13. Uchiyama, Y., Tanaka, K.: Wear laws for polytetrafluoroethylene. Wear. 58, 223–235 (1980). https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1648(80)90152-0
14. Johnston, G.J., Wayte, R., Spikes, H.A.: The measurement and study of very thin lubricant films in concentrated contacts. Tribol. Trans. 34, 187–194 (1991). https://doi.org/10.1080/10402009108982026
15. Ye, J., Sun, W., Zhang, Y., Liu, X., Liu, K.: Measuring Evolution of Transfer Film–Substrate Interface Using Low Wear Alumina PTFE. Tribol. Lett. 66, 1–14 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11249-018-1054-6
16. Barry, P.R., Chiu, P.Y., Perry, S.S., Sawyer, W.G., Phillpot, S.R., Sinnott, S.B.: The effect of normal load on polytetrafluoroethylene tribology. J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 21, (2009). https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-8984/21/14/144201
17. Barry, P.R., Chiu, P.Y., Perry, S.S., Sawyer, W.G., Sinnott, S.B., Phillpot, S.R.: Effect of Temperature on the Friction and Wear of PTFE by Atomic-Level Simulation. Tribol. Lett. 58,
Brownell, M., Nair, A.K.: Deformation mechanisms of polytetrafluoroethylene at the nano- and microscales. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 21, 490–503 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1039/C8CP05111A

Pan, D., Fan, B., Qi, X., Yang, Y., Hao, X.: Investigation of PTFE Tribological Properties Using Molecular Dynamics Simulation. Tribol. Lett. 67, 28 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11249-019-1141-3

Onodera, T., Nunoshige, J., Kawasaki, K., Adachi, K., Kurihara, K., Kubo, M.: Structure and Function of Transfer Film Formed from PTFE/PEEK Polymer Blend. J. Phys. Chem. C. 121, 14589–14596 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpc.c7b02860

Onodera, T., Park, M., Souma, K., Ozawa, N., Kubo, M.: Transfer-Film Formation Mechanism of Polytetrafluoroethylene: A Computational Chemistry Approach. J. Phys. Chem. C. 117, 10464–10472 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp400515j

Latorre, C.A., Ewen, J.P., Gattinoni, C., Dini, D.: Simulating Surfactant–Iron Oxide Interfaces: From Density Functional Theory to Molecular Dynamics. J. Phys. Chem. B. 123, 6870–6881 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpcb.9b02925

Pastewka, L., Moser, S., Moseler, M.: Atomistic insights into the running-in, lubrication, and failure of hydrogenated diamond-like carbon coatings. Tribol. Lett. 39, 49–61 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11249-009-9566-8

Plimpton, S.: Fast Parallel Algorithms for Short-Range Molecular Dynamics. J. Comput. Phys. 117, 1–19 (1995). https://doi.org/10.1006/jcph.1995.1039

Savio, D., Hamann, J., Romero, P.A., Klingshirm, C., Bactavatchalou, R., Dienwiebel, M., Moseler, M.: Multiscale Friction Simulation of Dry Polymer Contacts: Reaching Experimental Length Scales by Coupling Molecular Dynamics and Contact Mechanics. Tribol. Lett. 69, 70 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11249-021-01444-8

Watkins, E.K., Jorgensen, W.L.: Perfluoroalkanes: Conformational Analysis and Liquid-State Properties from ab Initio and Monte Carlo Calculations. J. Phys. Chem. A. 105, 4118–4125 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp004071w

Brown, E.N., Trujillo, C.P., Gray, G.T., Rae, P.J., Bourne, N.K.: Soft recovery of polytetrafluoroethylene shocked through the crystalline phase II-III transition. J. Appl. Phys. 101, 024916 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2424536

Reichenbach, T., Mayrhofer, L., Kuwahara, T., Moseler, M., Moras, G.: Steric Effects Control Dry Friction of H- And F-Terminated Carbon Surfaces. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces. 12, 8805–8816 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.9b18019

Campbell, K.L., Sidebottom, M.A., Atkinson, C.C., Babuska, T.F., Kolanovic, C.A., Boulden, B.J., Junk, C.P., Krick, B.A.: Ultralow Wear PTFE-Based Polymer Composites - The Role of Water and Tribochemistry. Macromolecules. 52, 5268–5277 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.macromol.9b00316

Pitenis, A.A., Harris, K.L., Junk, C.P., Blackman, G.S., Sawyer, W.G., Krick, B.A.: Ultralow Wear PTFE and Alumina Composites: It is All About Tribochemistry. Tribol. Lett. 57, 4 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11249-014-0445-6

Bunn, C.W., Howells, E.R.: Structures of Molecules and Crystals of Fluoro-Carbons. Nature. 174, 549–551 (1954). https://doi.org/10.1038/174549a0

Fatti, G., Righi, M.C., Dini, D., Ciniero, A.: First-Principles Insights into the Structural and Electronic Properties of Polytetrafluoroethylene in Its High-Pressure Phase (Form III). J. Phys. Chem. C. 123, 6250–6255 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpc.c8b11631

Berro, H., Fillot, N., Vergne, P.: Molecular dynamics simulation of surface energy and ZDDP effects on friction in nano-scale lubricated contacts. Tribol. Int. 43, 1811–1822 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.triboint.2010.02.011

Mayrhofer, L., Moras, G., Mulakaluri, N., Rajagopalan, S., Stevens, P.A., Moseler, M.: Fluorine-Terminated Diamond Surfaces as Dense Dipole Lattices: The Electrostatic Origin of Polar Hydrophobicity. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 138, 4018–4028 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.5b04073

Ovcharenko, R., Voloshina, E., Sauer, J.: Water adsorption and O-defect formation on Fe 2 O 3 (0001) surfaces. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 18, 25560–25568 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1039/C6CP05313K
36. Kresse, G., Furthmüller, J.: Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. Phys. Rev. B. 54, 11169–11186 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.54.11169

37. Kresse, G., Joubert, D.: From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B. 59, 1758–1775 (1999). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.59.1758

38. Blöchl, P.E.: Projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B. 50, 17953–17979 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.17953

39. Dudarev, S.L., Botton, G.A., Savrasov, S.Y., Humphreys, C.J., Sutton, A.P.: Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+U study. Phys. Rev. B. 57, 1505–1509 (1998). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.57.1505

40. Perdew, J.P., Burke, K., Ernzerhof, M., Ernzerhof, M.: Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.77.3865

41. Rohrbach, A., Hafner, J., Kresse, G.: Ab initio study of the (0001) surfaces of hematite and chromia: Influence of strong electronic correlations. Phys. Rev. B. 70, 125426 (2004). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.70.125426

42. Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S., Krieg, H.: A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. J. Chem. Phys. 132, (2010). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344

43. Grimme, S., Ehrlich, S., Goerigk, L.: Effect of the damping function in dispersion corrected density functional theory. J. Comput. Chem. 32, 1456–1465 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21759

44. Jülich Supercomputing Centre: JUWELS: Modular Tier-0/1 Supercomputer at Jülich Supercomputing Centre. J. large-scale Res. Facil. JLSRF. 5, A135 (2019). https://doi.org/10.17815/jlsrf-5-171

45. Stukowski, A.: Visualization and analysis of atomistic simulation data with OVITO—the Open Visualization Tool. Model. Simul. Mater. Sci. Eng. 18, 015012 (2010). https://doi.org/10.1088/0965-0393/18/1/015012

46. Hjorth Larsen, A., Jørgen Mortensen, J., Blomqvist, J., Castelli, I.E., Christensen, R., Dulak, M., Friis, J., Groves, M.N., Hammer, B., Hargus, C., Hermes, E.D., Jennings, P.C., Bjerre Jensen, P., Kermode, J., Kitchin, J.R., Leonhard Kolsbjerg, E., Kubal, J., Kaasbjerg, K., Lysgaard, S., Bergmann Maronsson, J., Maxson, T., Olsen, T., Pastewka, L., Peterson, A., Rostgaard, C., Schiotz, J., Schütz, O., Strange, M., Thygesen, K.S., Vegge, T., Vilhelmsen, L., Walter, M., Zeng, Z., Jacobsen, K.W.: The atomic simulation environment—a Python library for working with atoms. J. Phys. Condens. Matter. 29, 273002 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-648X/aa680e
Supplementary Files

This is a list of supplementary files associated with this preprint. Click to download.

- MovieS1xz.avi.mp4
- MovieS1yz.avi.mp4