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ABSTRACT

Introduction Predicting sudden cardiac death (SCD) is challenging as current risk predictors have significant limitations. Evaluating magnetocardiogram (MCG) parameters could be of great value and we plan to assess the capability of a new mobile unshielded MCG device in predicting SCD and ventricular arrhythmias (VA) in patients undergoing implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) implantation.

Methods and analysis A prospective multicentre (University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire (UHCW) National Health Service (NHS) Trust/University Hospital North Midlands NHS Trust, UK) observational study evaluating the VitalScan MCG (Creavo Medical Technologies, UK) to predict future VA risk; 270 patients meeting criteria for primary or secondary prevention ICDs (ischaemic or non-ischaemic aetiology) are being recruited. The first patient was recruited September 2019 and the study will be completed at final participant follow-up. The primary endpoint is appropriate ICD therapy for VA, secondary endpoint is SCD. Previous trials using MCG identified late QRS signals/QRS fragmentation as potential indicators of SCD in small samples using large shielded expensive MCG devices that were difficult to use clinically. It is hoped the MAGNETO-SCD trial will show this new MCG device can provide real world risk stratification for SCD/VA risk. The trial has recruited 25 patients (13 with secondary prevention indication) from a single site (UHCW) with recruitment starting at the second site in March 2020.

Ethics and dissemination Research Ethics Committee, Yorkshire and Humber Sheffield Research Ethics Committee UK (Ref: 19/YH/0143) and Health Research Authority (IRAS reference 254466, EDGE ID: 123146) approval received on 17/07/2019. The Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency approval received 11/07/2019. Results will be disseminated via a peer-reviewed publication and presentation at international conferences.

Trial registration numbers ClinicalTrials.gov Registry (NCT04352816) and EU Clinical Trials Registry (EudraCT2019-002994-76).

INTRODUCTION

Sudden cardiac death (SCD) is responsible for over 4 million deaths globally per year with the predominant mechanism being cardiac ventricular arrhythmias (VAs).1 2 The only effective therapy, at present, for patients in cardiac arrest with these VA is defibrillation. The development and success of the implantable cardioverter defibrillator (ICD) has led to this being a first-line treatment for patients identified as being at high risk of SCD.3–6 However, SCD remains a significant cause of death with the majority occurring in groups deemed to be low risk. Conversely, a significant number of patients receive an ICD which...
is never used. This incurs a significant cost implication for healthcare services worldwide and more importantly exposes patients to potentially unnecessary device-related morbidity such as inappropriate shocks or device infection. Due to these demonstrable limitations in SCD risk assessment, there is a clear need for clinical research in this area. The genesis of VA has been described as a ‘perfect storm’ of vulnerable substrate and multiple transient factors that participate in triggering the VA event.\textsuperscript{2} Identifying this vulnerable substrate is considered a key to the puzzle of risk prediction for SCD but remains elusive to date.

Heterogeneous electrical conduction within the myocardium is considered a key factor for the development of VA. Myocardial scar and ischaemia have been identified as causing delay in electrical conduction, creating a disordered wave front that allows for the genesis of re-entrant circuits within the ventricular myocardium.\textsuperscript{7} Any ideal tool for identifying this heterogeneous conduction would provide sensitive and specific measures for SCD risk, while being inexpensive, non-invasive, low risk and well tolerated by patients. Not surprisingly, surface ECG has been extensively studied in this role with features such as late potentials on signal averaged ECG, QRS duration and QT prolongation studied with no reliable predictive capability for these measures except in select groups.\textsuperscript{8} QRS fragmentation on ECG is thought to represent wave fronts of heterogeneous conduction. In a retrospective trial of 998 patients, Das \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{2} demonstrated that fragmented QRS (fQRS) on ECG was predictive of cardiac events (myocardial infarction (MI), need for revascularisation or cardiac death), but not for all-cause mortality. A retrospective trial of 10 904 Finnish subjects suggested that fQRS was a relatively common finding and was only predictive of all-cause, cardiac or arrhythmic death if the subject had known cardiac disease.\textsuperscript{10} Magnetocardiography (MCG) is a non-invasive, non-contact body-surface method which uses magnetometers to measure and map the magnetic fields generated by the electrical activity within the heart. Compared with the ECG it offers the potential of superior spatial resolution, better detection of currents tangential to the body surface and less interference from non-cardiac structures. This may allow superiority in detecting conduction characteristics consistent with arrhythmogenesis.\textsuperscript{11} Korhonen \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{12} studied 100 patients with remote MI presenting with or without ventricular tachycardia (VT) comparing late fields on signal averaged ECG and MCG. Late fields in the MCG QRS were more sensitive and equally specific in the VA group.\textsuperscript{14} Kawakami \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{15} prospectively studied VA risk in 51 patients with non-ischaemic cardiomyopathy for a mean follow-up of 2.9 years. They found that left intraventricular disorganised conduction (described as a deviation from the typical global clockwise left ventricle depolarisation) on MCG was predictive of major adverse cardiac events.\textsuperscript{15}

Although MCG has shown promise in the role of detecting arrhythmic substrate, its application has been limited by the availability of the technology. Established MCG systems use superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) sensors operating at around 77K and largely require shielded environments to achieve an MCG recording that is discernible from background interference. This requires a significant cost of installation and operation that has prevented the technology being applied in mainstream medical practice. The Vitalscan device (Creavo Medical Technologies, Coventry, UK) is a new generation MCG device where the magnetometers operate at room temperature and has been designed to be mobile, operate in unshielded environments and require minimal training to perform. The aim in its design was to make MCG a more accessible and feasible technology in cardiology diagnostics.

The MAGNETO-SCD trial has been designed to evaluate MCG features that may be predictive of VA risk using the Vitalscan device in a group of patients undergoing ICD implantation for both primary and secondary prevention according to current national and international guidelines.\textsuperscript{3,12}

**STUDY DESIGN**

The MAGNETO-SCD trial is a prospective multicentre observation study. Patients undergoing first time implantation of an ICD or cardiac resynchronisation therapy-defibrillator (CRT-D) implantation are being recruited. Prior to implantation of the device, they undergo a 15-minute MCG recording. All participants will receive the MCG recording, so there will be no randomisation. Participants will be followed up in the ICD clinic according to local protocol. The MCG analysis will be performed and correlated to ICD events including treatment of VA, detection of VA and burden of ventricular ectopy. Established MCG risk parameters such as QRS fragmentation will be identified and novel MCG parameters will be developed using unsupervised machine-learning algorithms.\textsuperscript{16} Statistical analysis identified a target sample size of 270
patients (see below). This was based on an event rate of 23% at 20 months (based on both primary and secondary prevention ICD trials). The MAGNETO-SCD trial started recruitment in September 2019. There is a minimum follow-up of 4 years with a maximum follow-up of 6 years. Interim results are expected to be reported at the end of 2021 (minimum follow-up at 12 months).

Objectives
The primary objective is to identify and assess the precision and accuracy of MCG parameters in the prediction of future ICD therapies for VA in patients meeting criteria for ICD implantation under current guidelines. The primary endpoint is the delivery of appropriate therapy by the ICD device. Therapies will be reviewed by the principal investigators and Trial Steering Committee to evaluate whether they are considered appropriate.

The secondary objectives are (a) to identify and assess the precision and accuracy of MCG parameters in the prediction of future VA in patients meeting the criteria for implantation under national and international guidelines, (b) to explore if the VitalScan MCG device is able to demonstrate similar findings to previous research using SQUID-based MCG technology, (c) to compare the predictive power of MCG to ECG parameters and LVEF for VA, (d) to explore the correlation between MCG parameters and LVEF, (e) to explore the effect of ICD therapy on quality of life, (f) whether MCG parameters can predict future inappropriate ICD therapies as they are known to increase mortality risk; if the study can identify patients that are not predicted to have SCD based on MCG parameters but are at increased risk of inappropriate ICD therapies, this may be a very important subgroup to avoid implanting an ICD.

Participants
The target population for this study is anyone listed for an ICD following an arrhythmia multidisciplinary team meeting who fulfil current national/international guidelines for new ICD or CRT defibrillator implantation who do not have a device in situ already. This will include any aetiology of cardiomyopathy and both primary and secondary prevention indications. All trial visits and procedures will be conducted at University Hospital Coventry and Warwickshire (UHCW) National Health Service (NHS) Trust, UK and University Hospital of North Midlands NHS Trust, UK. We expect the participants will fall into two categories: (a) those at highest risk with a secondary prevention indication and (b) those at lower risk with a primary prevention indication ±non-ischaemic aetiology.

Patient and public involvement
The trial protocol and documentation were reviewed by the UHCW Patients and Public Involvement Group. Feedback from this group was taken into account in revising the Patient Information Sheet. Feedback regarding the trial design and protocol was obtained with no suggestions for modification.

Inclusion criteria
Patients must be 18 years or older and able to give written informed consent (consent form attached as online supplemental file) to be included in the trial. Patients must meet national/international guidance for ICD implantation either for primary or secondary prevention of any aetiology.

Exclusion criteria
Patients are excluded from this trial if they are unable to lie still on a bed at a maximum of 30° upright angle for 15 min, if they have ongoing MI or active ischaemia (as evidenced by ECG changes, symptoms of chest pain or circulating cardiac biomarkers) or if they are deemed clinically unstable by their attending clinician. Patients with existing thoracic metallic implants (ie, pacing or defibrillator devices, metallic heart valves) will be excluded from the trial due to magnetic interference on the MCG device.

Study plan
The trial flow chart is shown in figure 1 and minimum follow-up is 4.5 years.

---

Figure 1: The MAGNETO-SCD flow from recruitment to end. CRF, case report form; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; MCG, magnetocardiogram; SCD, sudden cardiac death.
Screening and eligibility assessment

Patients who have been identified as needing an ICD will be considered for participation in the trial and will be drawn from both inpatient and outpatient groups. Once identified the research team evaluate their suitability against inclusion and exclusion criteria. If the patient is eligible, the research team will inform the responsible clinical team and approach the patient either in person or via a telephone consultation.

Baseline assessment

Once recruited demographic information, medical history, current medication and imaging of LVEF (either by echocardiography, MRI or nuclear medical imaging) is documented along with resting 12-lead ECG. Participants then undergo an MCG recording using a VitalScan MCG device in an unshielded location that has been previously identified as having a favourable level of background electromagnetic interference. The MCG is recorded on an MRI safe bed of aluminium construction to reduce the impact of bed vibration on the magnetic field under the scanner head. Scans are recorded with the participant lying horizontal with a maximum of 30° head up tilt if the participant is unable to tolerate a totally flat bed. The centre of the hexagonal MCG array is aligned to the fifth rib, 3–7 cm to the left of the left sternal edge (approximately at the location of V3 on a 12-lead ECG lead location). The MCG will be taken for 15 min to provide a large sample for signal averaging and stored on the device. Periodically, MCG recordings will be archived from the VitalScan device to ensure data integrity and to ensure adequate storage space on the device to allow continued recording.

All implanted ICDs will be programmed with extended detection algorithms to avoid labelling arrhythmias destined to be non-sustained as those that are potentially requiring ICD therapy for prevention of sudden death in accordance with current data. We also plan to analyse whether the VA event detected ±shocked is ventricular tachycardia or ventricular fibrillation based on ventricular rate and electrogram characteristics (rhythm regularity and morphology) following review by the trial management group. All patients will be optimised with regard to medical therapy in accordance to published guidelines.

Baseline blood tests and circulating vascular biomarker (high-sensitivity troponin, NT-pro brain natriuretic peptide, C reactive protein (CRP), high-sensitivity CRP, interleukin-6) will be collected and correlations made with primary and secondary endpoints.

Follow-up assessment

Participants will undergo standard care follow-up through the ICD clinic at their local centre (6 weeks post-implant and 6–12 monthly thereafter with remote monitoring based on patients’ preference). Details of any arrhythmia or ventricular ectopic burden will be collected. Patient clinical management will be as per standard clinical care; no additional trial specific procedures will be performed.

At 12 months following trial commencement, the Trial Steering Committee (TSC) will evaluate the presence of endpoints and the timing of the first endpoint if present.

MCG analysis

MCGs from the VitalScan device are recorded at 2100 Hz in their raw form as a derivative of the magnetic field under a 37-array sensor head in a hexagonal orientation (figure 2). MCG recordings will be transferred to the core lab and analysed in custom software in a python programming environment. Recordings are filtered using a notch and moving average filter at 50 Hz to remove magnetic fields associated with mains electricity. Principal component analysis is used to identify point sources of interference where present and to subtract them from the final waveform for analysis. Once the signal has been appropriately prepared, it will be analysed for VA risk.

Fragmentation scoring will be calculated as described by Muller et al. After signal filtering, MCG channels are normalised and a noise level defined as the difference between the maximum and minimum signal in a 40 msec section occurring 150 msec after the R peak. Every signal extrema within a manually defined QRS interval that exceeds this noise floor is identified. The sum of the differences of amplitudes (y) of neighbouring extrema is calculated and added to the absolute values of the first and last extrema. This sum is multiplied by the total number of extrema (M) to give the fragmentation score (S).

\[
S = M \left( l_y(t_1) + l_y(t_{sd}) + \sum_{k=2}^{M} |l_y(t_k) - l_y(t_{k+1})| \right)
\]

Figure 2: Scan head schematic showing sensor arrangement and locations.
This dimensionless number quantifies fragmentation and is calculated for all channels of the MCG. These can be averaged across multiple channels or the whole sensor array to give a total fragmentation score. In a prospective trial of patients with ischaemic cardiomyopathy, a fragmentation score from seven central coaxial channels of a 67-channel MCG demonstrated a sensitivity of 23% and specificity of 96%. This was superior to QRS duration on ECG (used currently in risk assessment) and when combined with LVEF below 30%, sensitivity increased to 50%.

QT spatial dispersion will be analysed as described by Van Leeuwen et al. Q wave onset and T wave end are visually identified in each MCG channel to the nearest millisecond by cursor placement. The T wave in the acquired signals can often be bipolar in nature and may not return to baseline until shortly before the subsequent P wave; T wave end is defined as the time of the visually determined vertex (maximum curvature) of the signal following the inflection point after T apex. In biphasic signals the T apex is interpolated from the neighbouring channels. If the difference between the results of the two observers is >5 msec for the MCG and 10 msec for the ECG, the values are reexamined and corrected, otherwise the results are averaged. Spatial dispersion is then quantified using a smoothness index (SI). SI quantifies local temporal differences and increases with greater variations in QT interval duration between neighbouring sensor locations. Variance from normal QT spatial dispersion was calculated by performing MCG on a group of normal patients and using these as weighting for correcting

| Procedure                                      | Phase 1 | Phase 2 (long-term follow-up) |
|------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| Eligibility assessment                         | X       | X                             |
| Informed consent                               |         |                               |
| Demographic data (DOB, sex, ethnicity, height and weight) | X       |                               |
| Smoking and diet                               | X       |                               |
| Relevant clinical history—especially determining arrhythmia aetiology | X       |                               |
| Evaluation of left ventricular systolic function via echocardiography, CMRI or radionucleotide imaging | X       |                               |
| Record current medications                     | X       |                               |
| Lying and standing BP                           | X       |                               |
| Routine blood tests: U&E (sodium, potassium, urea and creatinine), GFR, glucose, and HbA1c | X       |                               |
| Vascular biomarkers: high-sensitivity troponin, NT-pro BNP, CRP, high-sensitivity CRP, interleukin-6 | X       |                               |
| Magnetocardiogram                               | X       |                               |
| ICD implantation                               | X       |                               |
| Routine ICD interrogation                       | X       | X                             |
| Routine ICD interrogation                       | X       | X                             |
| Routine ICD interrogation                       | X       | X                             |
| Routine ICD interrogation                       | X       | X                             |

BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; BP, blood pressure; CMRI, cardiac MRI; CRP, C reactive protein; DOB, date of birth; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HbA1c, haemoglobin A1c; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator.
groups are known to have different risks for future VA events. Data on late gadolinium enhancement from cardiac MRI will also be used to correlate MCG parameters and VA outcomes as this has recently been shown to be an important predictor of risk.\textsuperscript{21} We plan to categorise and evaluate MCG parameters in our cohort according to LVEF as this is a known risk predictor for VA events.

**Statistical analysis**

A total sample size of 270 participants was calculated for the study. Sample size adequacy was determined by ensuring precise estimates (small margin of error (ME)) for the diagnostic measure sensitivity. We aim to have a tool with at least 80% sensitivity and an ME of 5%. Assuming that the study observed sensitivity will be 80%, the sample size required to give a 10% ME (corresponding to 95% CI, sensitivity being 70%–90%) is 62 patients with the primary outcome of a VA event. Assuming that the prevalence of VA is 23% at 20-month follow-up in the overall study population, we will recruit 270 patients. Sensitivity, rather than specificity, was used for sample size determination since the prevalence of VA is less than 50% and hence smaller sample size for sensitivity than specificity calculations. This event rate has been calculated from existing literature using both primary and secondary prevention groups.\textsuperscript{5}

\[
\text{Event Rate} = \frac{1.96}{\text{Margin of Error}} \times \text{Sensitivity} \times (1 - \text{Sensitivity}) \times \left( \frac{100}{\text{Event Rate}} \right)
\]

\[
\text{n} = \left( \frac{1.96}{\text{ME}} \right)^2 \times 0.8 \times (1 - 0.8) \times \left( \frac{100}{\text{Event Rate}} \right) = 267
\]

This will be combined with the observed prevalence in the cohort to calculate positive and negative predictive values. For the purpose of this trial, a negative predictive value is of greater clinical value and by powering the trial for sensitivity we are targeting a negative predictive value in excess of 90%.

**Ethics and monitoring**

The trial design and research protocol were approved by the Yorkshire and Humber Sheffield Research Ethics Committee UK (Ref: 19/YH/0143) and Health Research Authority (IRAS reference 251466, EDGE ID: 12346) with informed consent being obtained from participants. The trial is being conducted in accordance with UK laws, Good Clinical Practice and the Declaration of Helsinki 2002. The MAGNETO-SCD TSC consists of independent specialists in arrhythmia management and a member of the Patients and Public Engagement Committee. The TSC are responsible for the conduct of the study and publication of results. They will meet annually to evaluate recruitment against targets, endpoints and any adverse or serious adverse device events.

**DISCUSSION**

Risk stratification for SCD and indications for ICD implantation are currently driven largely by LVEF assessment. There is a growing body of evidence that this strategy is in need of refinement. There are a group of patients who, based on current recommendations, do not qualify for an ICD, yet will go on to have an SCD event. Conversely, there are patients who qualify for an ICD, who never require them, exposing them to unnecessary morbidity and associated costs to either patients, healthcare providers or both. Where LVEF alone is used to evaluate SCD risk, the annual rate of ICD therapy can range from 1.1% to 5.1%.\textsuperscript{22,23} The search for a safe but effective non-invasive risk stratification tool may be supported by detecting heterogeneous ventricular conduction and subsequent VA risk using MCG devices. However, the widespread adoption and depth of research into this technology has to date been hindered by the unavailability of MCG devices due to its prohibitive costs and complex installation requirements. The VitalScan MCG device represents one of a new generation of MCG recording machines designed for use in an unshielded clinical environment within room temperature to enable this largest prospective trial evaluating SCD risk using MCG in ICD recipients. This trial will evaluate previously identified MCG depolarisation characteristics associated with SCD risk using this novel MCG technology. The findings from this study may help identify those patients who qualify for an ICD by current guidelines, who never actually use their device and therefore are low risk. However, further studies will be needed to study MCG predictors of SCD in the general population, who currently do not qualify for an ICD.

The MAGNETO-SCD trial is required to help build an evidence base for the role of viable MCG devices in risk stratification of patients under consideration of ICD implantation. If this trial confirms a predictive role for MCG in this patient group, it would be logical to base future studies around different patient groups currently viewed as low risk.

**ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION**

The trial will be performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki 2002 and conducted in accordance with the Research Governance Framework for Health and Social Care, the applicable UK Statutory Requirements (such as Medicines and Healthcare products Regulatory Agency) and Good Clinical Practice E6(R2); and carried out under a Clinical Trial Authorisation in accordance with the Medicines for Human Use Clinical Trials regulations. The protocol and subsequent amendments have been submitted to and approved by Research Ethics Committee prior to circulation. Personal data recorded on all documents will be regarded as strictly confidential and will be handled and stored in accordance with the General Data Protection Regulation 2016/679 and the Data Protection Act (2018). The Yorkshire and Humber Sheffield Research Ethics Committee UK (Ref: 19/
YH/0143) and Health Research Authority (IRAS reference 254466, EDGE ID: 123146) have approved the current study.

All trial findings will be published in peer-reviewed journals and disseminated at appropriate conferences, departmental and scientific meetings.
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