Emergence of physiological rhythmicity in term and preterm neonates in a neonatal intensive care unit
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Abstract

Background: Biological rhythmicity, particularly circadian rhythmicity, is considered to be a key mechanism in the maintenance of physiological function. Very little is known, however, about biological rhythmicity pattern in preterm and term neonates in neonatal intensive care units (NICU). In this study, we investigated whether term and preterm neonates admitted to NICU exhibit biological rhythmicity during the neonatal period.

Methods: Twenty-four-hour continuous recording of four physiological variables (heart rate: HR recorded by electrocardiogram; pulse rate: PR recorded by pulse oxymetry; respiratory rate: RR; and oxygen saturation of pulse oxymetry: SpO₂) was conducted on 187 neonates in NICU during 0–21 days of postnatal age (PNA). Rhythmicity was analyzed by spectral analysis (SPSS procedure Spectra). The Fisher test was performed to test the statistical significance of the cycles. The cycle with the largest peak of the periodogram intensities was determined as dominant cycle and confirmed by Fourier analysis. The amplitudes and amplitude indexes for each dominant cycle were calculated.

Results: Circadian cycles were observed among 23.8% neonates in HR, 20% in PR, 27.8% in RR and 16% in SpO₂ in 0–3 days of PNA. Percentages of circadian cycles were the highest (40%) at <28 wks of gestational age (GA), decreasing with GA, and the lowest (14.3%) at >= 37 wks GA within 3 days of PNA in PR and were decreased in the later PNA. An increase of the amplitude with GA was observed in PR, and significant group differences were present in all periods. Amplitudes and amplitude indexes were positively correlated with postconceptional age (PCA) in PR (p < 0.001). Among clinical parameters, oxygen administration showed significant association (p < 0.05) with circadian rhythms of PR in the first 3 days of life.

Conclusion: Whereas circadian rhythmicity in neonates may result from maternal influence, the increase of amplitude indexes in PR with PCA may be related to physiological maturity. Further studies are needed to elucidate the effect of oxygenation on physiological rhythmicity in neonates.
Background

Preterm neonates hospitalized in a neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) face many challenges to adapt to the new environment. Heat loss [1], weight loss [2], respiratory distress and cardiac instability [3] are very common features for them. An artificial environment in NICU is mandatory to support these neonates; however, external influences such as constant light, noise, and medical intervention may be stressful. Further, neonates are deprived of maternal influences, which is essential for their development. It has been thought that this environmental condition may influence the development of biological rhythm in preterm neonates [4-6].

Circadian rhythms are generated endogenously by a biological clock, which is located in the anterior hypothalamic suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) [7,8], and are modulated by exogenous factors [9,10]. Many physiological processes are now known to be cyclically organized [11]. They show different cycles: circadian cycles last approximately 24 hours, ultradian cycles shorter than 24 hours, and infradian cycles longer than 24 hours [12]. These rhythms interact mutually as well as with the outside fluctuating environment under the control of feedback systems providing an orderly function that enables life [11].

Circadian rhythms have been described in the human fetus [13-16] and have been attributed either to the maternal environment or to the maturation of the fetal nervous system [13,17,18]. The SCN has been detected as early as 18-20 weeks of gestational age [19], and primate studies indicated that the SCN is responsive to light at 24 weeks of gestational age [20]. In term neonates, circadian rhythms have been reported to be present immediately after birth but to eventually disappear [4,21], not being detected again until 3 to 4 weeks of postnatal life [22]. Some studies showed that circadian rhythms are predominant in preterm neonates [4,21,23], while others showed ultradian rhythms to be dominant in preterm neonates [22,24-27]. To elucidate the developmental process of physiological rhythmicities, we studied four physiological variables in preterm and term neonates.

Methods

Subjects and data collection

From January 2004 to March 2006, 520 neonates were admitted to the NICU at Miechuo Medical Center. All of them were monitored with electrocardiogram (ECG) for heart rate (HR), respiration rate (RR), and with pulse oxymetry on the wrist or the feet for saturation of pulse oxymetry oxygen (SpO2) and pulse rate (PR) throughout their stay in the NICU. Monitored physiological information was transformed as measurement variables at 10-second intervals by the Wave Achieving System (WAS-; Philips Electronics Japan, Tokyo, Japan) through the local area network in the NICU. The data were recorded for 24 hours for the following postnatal periods: Period 1: days 0-3; Period 2: days 4-6; Period 3: days 7-13; and Period 4: days 14-21. Subjects with continuously disrupted data for more than 1 minute were excluded from the study. A total of 187 neonates (114 boys and 73 girls) were recorded from period 1 to period 4.

The NICU was maintained under a light-dark cycle. The light was dimmed (less than 30 lux) during the night from 21:00 pm to 07:00 am, while it was maintained at a higher level (300-580 lux) during the daytime. NICU staff also varied according to time of day: the number of attendants at night was one third that of attendants during daytime hours. Parent’s visitations were allowed three times a day (11:00 to 12:00 in the morning, 14:00 to 15:00 in the afternoon, and 17:00 to 21:00 in the evening). Bathing and measurement of body weight were conducted daily in the morning. Medical examinations, such as blood sampling, radiography, or ultrasonography, were mostly provided in the morning if necessary.

Written informed consent was obtained from the parents, and the study was approved by the ethical committee of the institute. Demographics and health status information’s were obtained from the medical records.

Analysis of rhythms

Physiological rhythmicity was analyzed for HR, PR, RR and SpO2 with spectral analysis (periodogram) with SPSS 11.5 software (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL), as previously reported [28]. Briefly, 24 hours sessions were run in 10-second intervals and were aggregated into 1-minute time blocks. Periodogram analysis was performed with a time series of 1440 minutes (N = 1440 observations). The Fisher test was used to test the statistical significance of the cyclic components (N = 1440, α = 0.05) [28,29]. Among the significant cycles, the cycle with the largest peak in the periodogram was considered to be the dominant cycle for each time series data and was used for further analysis [28]. All dominant cycles were confirmed by Fourier analysis, and further circadian cycles were confirmed by cosinor analysis with a significance of p < 0.05 by least square analysis (Figure 1). The amplitude, the distance between mesor and the highest value of the cosine curve, was calculated for each dominant cycle. In addition, an amplitude index was calculated as follows:

Amplitude index = amplitude ÷ mean of variables × 100.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS and Statview. ANOVA was used to evaluate the differences between gestational age groups. The Pearson correlation coefficient was used to
analyze the relationships between postconceptional age (PCA) and rhythmicity parameters. Univariate analysis using Mann-Whitney U-test for continuous variables or Fisher’s exact test for categorical variables was used to compare clinical variables according to the development of physiological rhythmicity. A multiple logistic regression analysis was performed using a step-wise approach to determine the independent relationship of significant variables found in the univariate analysis.

Results
Sample characteristics
The demographics of neonates are shown in Table 1. The median gestational age (GA) was 34 weeks (range: 23–42 weeks), and the median birth weight was 1968 g (range: 454–4132 g). Among these neonates, 9.1% were born at < 28 weeks of gestation age and 14.4% had birth weight of less than 1000 g. The median age at hospitalization was 0 day (range: 0–9 day) and the median duration of hospitalization was 32 days (range: 5–182 days). One hundred eleven neonates (59.4%) were intubated and 72 neonates (38.5%) received oxygen.

Rhythmicity analysis
Results of the analyses of rhythmicity are summarized in Table 2. To ensure the accuracy of rhythmicity analysis, parameters missing more than 7% of total data were excluded from the analysis in each study. Among 461 time series recorded for each parameters, eligible samples were obtained in 304 for HR, 379 for PR, 372 for RR, and 383 for SpO2 within the 4 periods. Among eligible samples, rhythmicity was observed in more than 90% of neonates in each period for HR, PR, RR and SpO2 (Table 2). The percentage was not much lower (HR: 89%, PR: 90%, RR: 79%, SpO2: 76%) after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (p < 0.0001).

Table 1: Demographic characteristics of 187 preterm and term neonates.

| Variables/Categories                          | n (%)         |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------|
| Gender (boys/Girls)                          | 114 (61)/73 (39) |
| Gestational age (wks), median (range)        | 34 (23–42)    |
| < 28                                         | 17 (9.1)      |
| 28–32                                        | 49 (26.2)     |
| 33–36                                        | 58 (31)       |
| ≥37                                          | 63 (33.7)     |
| Birth Weight (g), median (range)             | 1968 (454–4132) |
| < 1000                                       | 27 (14.4)     |
| 1000–1499                                    | 31 (16.6)     |
| 1500–1999                                    | 38 (20.3)     |
| ≥2000                                        | 91 (48.7)     |
| Apgar score 1 min/5 min, median (range)      | 8 (0–10)/9 (2–10) |
| Age at hospitalization (day), median (range) | 0 (0–9)       |
| Hospitalization (day), median (range)        | 32 (5–182)    |
| Caesarian Section                            | 96 (51.3)     |
| Multiple gestation                           | 4 (2.3)       |
| Intubation                                    | 111 (59.4)    |
| Oxygenation                                   | 72 (38.5)     |
| Birth asphyxia                                | 27 (14.4)     |
| Intrauterine growth retardation               | 23 (12.3)     |
| Respiratory distress syndrome                 | 31 (16.6)     |
| Transient tachypnea of the newborn           | 38 (20.3)     |

Data are expressed as mean ± SD or n (%).
Without correction for multiple testing, circadian cycle (1440 minutes) was observed among 23.8% neonates in HR, 20% in PR, 27.8% in RR and 16% in SpO2 in Period 1. Because many samples were excluded from HR analysis, and the percentage of eligible samples was consistently lower than for PR, further analysis of cardiac rhythmicity used PR instead of HR.

**Rhythmicity and gestational age**

Rhythmicity was analyzed in four gestational age groups: <28 wks, 28–32 wks, 33–36 wks, ≥37 wks. The distribution of circadian cycles in each gestational age groups and periods is summarized in Table 3. In PR, the percentage of circadian cycles was highest (40%) at <28 wks of GA, decreasing with GA, and lowest (14.3%) at ≥37 wks of GA in Period 1. A similar tendency was observed in each period in PR; however, there was no consistent tendency in percentages of circadian cycle in RR and SpO2.

Amplitudes and amplitude indexes of all detected cycles in PR in each period are shown in Figure 2. An increase of circadian amplitude with gestational age was observed in PR, and significant differences were present among gestational age groups in all periods (Figure 2A). These changes were not observed in RR and SpO2 (data not shown). Amplitude indexes showed similar tendency to amplitudes in PR (Figure 2B). There were no significant associations between cycles and amplitudes in any parameter in each period (data not shown).

**Relationship between rhythmicity and postconceptional age**

In examining the relationship with postconceptional age (PCA), correlation of coefficient was performed using amplitudes and amplitude indexes in each period for all parameters. Amplitudes and amplitude indexes of PR were positively correlated with PCA in all four periods (Figure 3).

---

**Table 2: Descriptive profiles for significant cycles of HR, PR, RR and SpO2.**

| Period   | Period 1 | Period 2 | Period 3 | Period 4 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|          | (0–3)    | (4–6)    | (7–13)   | (14–21)  |
| Sampling |          |          |          |          |
| n        | 116      | 114      | 125      | 106      |
| Eligible sample* | | | | |
| HR       | 82 (70.7)| 64 (56.1)| 91 (72.8)| 67 (63.2)|
| PR       | 101 (87.1)| 88 (77.2)| 106 (84.8)| 84 (79.2)|
| RR       | 99 (85.3)| 85 (74.6)| 104 (83.2)| 84 (79.2)|
| SpO2     | 103 (88.8)| 89 (78.1)| 106 (84.8)| 85 (80.2)|
| Significant cycle** | | | | |
| HR       | 80 (98)  | 64 (100)| 89 (98)  | 67 (100)|
| PR       | 100 (99) | 87 (99)  | 104 (98.1)| 83 (99)|
| RR       | 90 (91)  | 84 (99)  | 97 (93.3)| 79 (94)|
| SpO2     | 94 (91.3)| 86 (97)  | 103 (97) | 78 (92)|
| Circadian cycle*** | | | | |
| HR       | 19 (23.8)| 11 (17.2)| 20 (22.5)| 13 (19.4)|
| PR       | 20 (20)  | 16 (18.4)| 20 (19.2)| 16 (19.3)|
| RR       | 25 (27.8)| 28 (33.3)| 21 (21.6)| 11 (13.9)|
| SpO2     | 15 (16)  | 10 (11.6)| 17 (16.5)| 15 (19.2)|

Data are shown in n (%). Parentheses are percentages of * eligible samples in all samples, ** significant cycles in all eligible samples, and *** circadian cycles in significant cycles.

---

**Table 3: Distribution of circadian cycles according to gestational age groups in each period.**

| Gestational age | Period 1 | Period 2 | Period 3 | Period 4 |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Groups          | (0–3 d)  | (4–6 d)  | (7–13 d) | (14–21 d)|
| PR              |          |          |          |          |
| <28 wks         | 10 (40)  | 12 (25)  | 12 (41.7)| 13 (30.8)|
| 28–32 wks       | 26 (23.1)| 22 (27.3)| 42 (26.2)| 39 (23.1)|
| 33–36 wks       | 29 (17.2)| 26 (19.2)| 31 (6.5) | 23 (13.0)|
| ≥37 wks         | 35 (14.3)| 27 (7.4) | 19 (10.5)| 8 (0.0)  |
| RR              |          |          |          |          |
| <28 wks         | 7 (14.3) | 11 (9.1) | 13 (38.5)| 13 (0.0) |
| 28–32 wks       | 24 (33.3)| 20 (45)  | 38 (23.7)| 36 (22.2)|
| 33–36 wks       | 25 (32)  | 27 (33.3)| 28 (10.7)| 22 (9.1) |
| ≥37 wks         | 34 (23.5)| 26 (34.6)| 18 (42.2)| 8 (12.5)|
| SpO2            |          |          |          |          |
| <28 wks         | 10 (0)   | 12 (25)  | 12 (35)  | 13 (2)   |
| 28–32 wks       | 25 (20)  | 20 (15)  | 40 (17.5)| 37 (24.3)|
| 33–36 wks       | 26 (19.2)| 25 (20)  | 32 (12.5)| 20 (3)   |
| ≥37 wks         | 33 (15.2)| 29 (13.8)| 19 (15.8)| 8 (12.5)|

Data are shown in n (%).
Clinical conditions associated with rhythmicity

To determine whether clinical conditions may affect the emergence and development of rhythmicity, clinical factors were determined according to cycle length with circadian cycles (1440 minutes) or ultradian cycles (≤720 minutes). On univariate analyses in Period 1, circadian cycle (1440 minutes) was significantly associated (p < 0.05) only with oxygen administration at data sampling in PR (Table 4), while there were no significant associations in RR or SpO2 (data not shown). In Periods 3 and 4 in PR, gestational age was found to be significantly associated with circadian cycle (p < 0.01) as well as with oxygen administration (p < 0.05). Neither gestational age nor oxygen administration qualified as an independent factor for existence of circadian cycle in multivariate logistic regression models. Clinical parameters were not associated with the existence of significant cycles in amplitude or amplitude index.

Discussion

Rhythmicity has been previously studied in preterm and term infants for various physiological variables, such as body temperature [24,30], blood pressure [21], heart rate [18], sleep-wake pattern [24], rest-activity pattern [26], melatonin secretion [31], and electroencephalogram [32]. In this study, we have investigated rhythmicity in PR, RR, and SpO2. All of these are important parameters in the regulation of human physiology, and yet little is known about rhythmicity of these variables in neonates. We have shown that most of the analyzed neonates had individual rhythmicity for these parameters with variable cycles after birth, even in extremely immature infants.
Emergence of circadian rhythmicity has been reported to be associated with brain maturation of preterm infants [33,34]. In term neonates, circadian cycles are detected immediately after birth and subsequently disappear and are not detectable until 3 to 4 weeks of postnatal life [22]. It has been suggested that circadian cycles in the early neonatal period are due to maternal influence in utero and that endogenous rhythmicity appears only later [13,17,18]. However, conclusive studies are limited by subject number because of the difficulty in collecting continuous data in NICU. Our sample size of 187 neonates is larger than that of previous studies. As a result, circadian cycles were confirmed in early neonatal period for all parameters either in preterm or term neonates. In PR, comparatively higher percentages of circadian cycles were observed during early neonatal period in preterm neonates and persisted through the later neonatal period, especially in extremely immature infants, while percentages of circadian cycles decreased through the later period in term neonates. These results partially support the previous studies [4,21,23]. The fact that environmental conditions were rhythmic in our study (i.e., presence of a light-dark cycle, of a cycle of NICU staffing, of a cycle of bathing, etc.) prevents us from making inferences about the endogenous or exogenous nature of biological rhythmicity in our subjects.

Although exact factors for the development of rhythmicity are still unclear, it has been suggested that physiological complications may play a role [35]. Among clinical parameters, disease conditions such as respiratory problems or asphyxia, and therapeutic drugs such as phenobarbital or aminophylline, were not associated with emergence of circadian cycles. Only oxygen administration revealed significant association with emergence of circadian cycles in PR within 3 days of birth. Disruption of circadian rhythmicity by reduction of oxygen supply, and restoration by re-oxygenation, has been demonstrated in rats [36,37]. Reduced oxygen activates hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) [38], which is involved in oxygen homeostasis. Chilov and colleagues also indicated that oxygen supply modulates the circadian clock at the molecular levels via HIF-1 in the mouse brain [39]. Our observations support these experimental results and suggested that oxygen supply may also influence rhythmicity in humans. Further analyses are required to explore the influencing mechanisms on emergence of rhythmicities in neonates.

**Conclusion**

Preterm neonates are at great risk of life-threatening events such as infection, respiratory distress or circulatory failure. As shown in this study, co-existence of circadian cycles with low amplitude in preterm neonates may complementarily support immature homeostasis and function against unstable physiological condition. Our results should aid further research on physiological rhythmicity in neonates.
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