Quantitative 3D Telomeric Imaging of Buccal Cells Reveals Alzheimer’s Disease-Specific Signatures
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Abstract. This study validates and expands on our previous work that assessed three-dimensional (3D) nuclear telomere profiling in buccal cells of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) patients and non-AD controls (Mathur et al., J Alzheimers Dis 39, 35–48, 2014). While the previous study used age- and gender-matched caregiver controls, the current study consented a new cohort of 44 age- and gender-matched healthy non-caregiver controls and 44 AD study participants. 3D telomeric profiles of buccal cells of AD patients and their non-AD controls were examined with participant information blinded to the analysis. In agreement with our previous study, we demonstrate that 3D telomeric profiles allow for the distinction between AD and non-AD individuals. This validation cohort provides an indication that the total number of 3D telomeric signals and their telomere lengths may be a suitable biomarker to differentiate between AD and non-AD and between mild, moderate, and severe AD. Further studies with larger sample sizes are required to move this technology further toward the clinic.
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INTRODUCTION

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia affecting approximately five million Americans age 65 and older, as well as an estimated 200,000 Americans under the age of 65 who are afflicted with early-onset AD [1, 2]. AD is clinically defined as a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that involves cognitive impairment, memory loss, visual-spatial retrogression, and language impairment [3].

Current guidelines set by the Alzheimer’s Association and the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke identify three stages of AD: preclinical AD, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) due to AD, and dementia due to AD [4–6]. Every year, approximately 15% of MCI patients progress to dementia [1, 7, 8]. The final stage, dementia due to AD, can be described as a state that affects memory, thinking, and behavior, thus impairing everyday living of a patient, in addition to significant changes in the brain, cerebrospinal fluid, and blood [9]. Dementia due to AD is the most common and most identifiable stage of this condition [9]. In this study, we categorized patients into three stages of mild, moderate, and severe AD based on the patient’s cognitive scores on MMSE and MoCA examinations [10–12].

Currently, AD is only confirmed postmortem through pathological studies of the brain and consequently, it is the fifth leading cause of death for people age 65 and older [1, 13]. Although AD has
been associated with pathologies such as tau protein hyperphosphorylation and amyloid-β (Aβ) plaque formation, measuring levels of these proteins in the cerebrospinal fluid and blood are not highly specific, and can be invasive [1, 9]. Thus, there is a need for a non-invasive biomarker with high sensitivity/specificity that can diagnose AD and indicate disease progression. In addition to tau and Aβ pathology, AD has also been correlated with markers of genomic instability such as changes in nuclear telomere length [14–18]. A recent meta-study confirmed telomere shortening in AD [19].

Telomeres are highly repetitive (TTAGGG)n hexanucleotide sequences situated at the terminal ends of mammalian chromosomes [20–22]. Together with a protein complex termed shelterin, they protect the ends of chromosomes from deterioration and prevent end-to-end fusions with neighboring chromosomes [23]. Telomeres play a vital role in genomic stability and cell senescence, thus making them an important structure in diseases related to genomic instability and aging, including AD [14, 24–27]. Telomeres of normal cells shorten with each cell division until a final critical length is reached; this final critical length is known as the Hayflick limit [28]. At this point of their cell division cycles, normal cells enter a state of senescence, which is considered a tumor preventative state [29]. During senescence, telomeres form aggregates as reported in culture for mesenchymal stem cells [30]. Telomeres were implicated in the age-related deterioration of hematopoietic stem cells [31]. In line with these observations, oncogene-induced escape from senescence was shown to be associated with derepressed hTERT promoter activity [32]. Changes in telomere biology were recently proposed as possible markers for aging [33], and a recent meta-analysis confirmed the loss of telomere sequences as a feature associated with AD [19]. Thus, telomeres may serve as a biomarker for disease including as aging [34] and cancer [35].

The primary objective of this study was to validate the data on changes in the 3D organization of telomeres in AD as reported in a previous AD/non-AD cohort [28]. In this cohort, we described a non-invasive method to examine and define alterations in AD and during AD progression using quantitative 3D nuclear telomere imaging of buccal cells (BCs). As a follow-up to our initial cohort of 82 subjects with age- and gender-matched caregiver controls (41 AD and 41 non-AD) [36], the current study reports on a second independent patient cohort in which we investigated the 3D nuclear telomeric profiles from BCs of 88 study participants using age- and gender-matched non-caregiver controls (44 AD and 44 non-AD). This is in contrast to the previous study that was based on caregiver controls [28]. Our data indicate that 3D telomere lengths and telomere numbers are sufficient to distinguish between AD and non-AD independent of the control group (caregivers or non-caregivers) and between mild, moderate and severe AD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The patients recruited for the study were from Queen’s University Memory Clinics and were diagnosed according to the National Institute of Neurological and Communicative Disorders and Stroke, and the Alzheimer’s Disease and Related Disorders Association (NINCDS-ADRDA) criteria [3–5]. In total, our study followed 44 AD patients who were age- and sex-matched to 44 normal study participants (Table 1). All AD patients were on standard AD treatment with cholinesterase inhibitors.

The stratification of AD patients as mild, moderate, or advanced AD was based on their regular clinic appointments and their respective Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA) score and the Mini-Mental State Examination. All patients were on treatment with cholinesterase inhibitors.

Table 1 Patient demographics

| Population          | Test Score Ranges (MoCA/30:MMSE/30) | Number of Subjects | Mean Age (y ± S.D.) | Gender (Male/Female) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Mild AD             | >18: ≥22                             | 24                 | 76.8 ± 9.3          | 6/17                 |
| Controls, Mild AD   | N/A                                  | 24                 | 75.2 ± 9.9          | 6/17                 |
| Moderate AD         | ≤18:21–16                            | 15                 | 75.8 ± 9.3          | 7/8                  |
| Controls, Moderate AD| N/A                                | 15                 | 74.5 ± 7.6          | 7/8                  |
| Severe AD           | ≤21: ≤16                             | 5                  | 85.2 ± 1.6          | 2/3                  |
| Controls, Severe AD | N/A                                  | 5                  | 83.2 ± 2.5          | 2/3                  |

AD, Alzheimer’s disease; MoCA, Montreal Cognitive Assessment; MMSE, Mini-Mental State Examination. All patients were on treatment with cholinesterase inhibitors.
Patients with a MoCA score of >18/30 and/or MMSE score of ≥22/30 were considered to have mild AD. Patients with a MoCA score of ≤18/30 and/or MMSE score between 21/30 and 16/30 were considered to be in the moderate stage of AD. Finally, patients with an MMSE score lower than 16/30 were considered to have severe AD. In this study that was performed in a blinded manner, no other classification of AD was done, and no information on disease duration or comorbidities was available.

Collection of buccal cells and sample preparation

The collection of patient samples was done as previously described [36]. Using Epicentre Catch-A11 sample collection swabs, buccal cells were collected by the Queen’s University Memory Clinics’ personnel in duplicates from each participant’s cheek and smeared onto a marked square of microscope VWR pre-cleaned frosted slides. The slides were frozen at −20°C and shipped to the University of Manitoba in dry ice. The Manitoba laboratory personnel were blinded to sample diagnoses, which were revealed upon completion of sample imaging and analysis.

Three-dimensional quantitative fluorescent in situ hybridization of telomeres (3D Q-FISH)

3D Q-FISH was carried out as follows: Slides were fixed using fresh 3.7% formaldehyde/1× phosphate buffered saline buffer (PBS) (formaldehyde, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO; Sodium chloride (NaCl), EM Science, Darmstadt, Germany; Potassium chloride (KCl), Fisher Scientific, Fair Lawn, NJ; Sodium phosphate (Na2HPO4), Fisher Scientific; Potassium phosphate (KH2PO4), Sigma-Aldrich) for 20 min and washed in 1× PBS (NaCl, EM Science; KCl, Fisher Scientific; Na2HPO4, Fisher Scientific; KH2PO4, Sigma-Aldrich) three times for 5 min each cycle. Slides were then incubated in 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich) for 10 min, followed by incubation in 20% glycerol (Sigma-Aldrich) for 60 min. Four repeated cycles of a glycerol/liquid nitrogen freeze-thaw treatment were performed. Afterwards, the slides underwent three 1× PBS washes for 5 min each cycle. The slides were then incubated in 0.1 M hydrochloric acid (HCl) (Sigma-Aldrich) for 5 min and 15 s followed by two washes of 5 min in 1× PBS. After equilibration at room temperature for 1-2 h in 70% Formamide/2× saline-sodium citrate buffer (SSC) (Formamide, Sigma-Aldrich; NaCl, EM Science; Sodium citrate (NaH2C6H5O7), Fisher Scientific) at pH 7.0, slides were washed twice for 5 min in 1× PBS, and thereafter hybridized with four µl of telomere peptide nucleic acid (PNA) probe (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) using a Hybrite™ (Vysis, Abbott Diagnostics, Des Plains, IL). The samples underwent denaturation for 3 min at 80°C, followed by probe annealing to the template for 2 h at 30°C. Slides then underwent a series of washes in 70% Formamide/10 mM Tris at pH 7.4 (Formamide, Sigma-Aldrich; Tris, Sigma-Aldrich) three times for 15 min each cycle, 1× PBS one cycle for 1 min, 0.1× SSC at 55°C one cycle for 5 min, and 2× SSC/0.05% Tween-20 (Twee-20, Sigma-Aldrich) three times for 5 min each cycle. Afterwards, cells were counterstained using 0.1 µg/ml, 4’6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (Sigma-Aldrich). Slides were rinsed with deionized distilled water (DDW) to remove excess DAPI and incubated in DDW for 2 min. Lastly, slides were mounted with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Burlington, Ontario, Canada).

Teloview: Semi-automated image acquisition and analysis

3D fluorescence microscopy was performed using a Zeiss AxioImager Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Toronto, Ontario), equipped with an AxioCam HRm camera and 63×/1.4 oil Plan Apochromat objective as described previously [36]. The data acquisition was carried out using the AXIOVISION 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss). For 3D imaging, 80 image z-stacks were taken with a sampling distance of 200 nm along the z-axis and 102 nm in the x, y directions. Cyanine 3 (Cy3) and DAPI filters were used in multichannel mode in order to visualize the telomere PNA probe signals and nuclear DNA staining, respectively. To standardize fluorescent intensity between samples, the same exposure time of 800 ms was used for Cy3 imaging of telomeres in all interphase nuclei. Earlier work has shown that fluorescent intensity is proportional to size [37].

The recorded images were deconvolved using a constrained iterative algorithm [38], converted into TIFF files and analyzed using the Teloview™ software [27] (3D Signatures Inc., Winnipeg, MB, Canada). Teloview™ loads the 3D images and displays a maximum projection along the three axes, x, y, and z. Using Teloview™, we measured the following parameters for 30 interphase buccal cell nuclei per patient and determined telomeric signal...
intensity (telomere length), the number of telomeric signals, the number of telomere aggregates, and \(\frac{a}{c}\) ratio. The latter pertains to the 3D spatial position of telomeres during the cell cycle and was described in detail by Vermolen et al. [27]: Telomeres in nuclei are positioned within a spheroid structure. A spheroid has the two main axes, \(a\) and \(b\), which are equal in length, and a third axis \(c\) that has a different length; if \(a < c\), we have a prolate spheroid; and if \(a > c\), we have an oblate spheroid. We can therefore define a telomere ratio parameter, \(\rho T\), which gives us a measurement of the disk-like nature of this organization. If \(\rho T \sim 1\), then the telomeres are distributed in a spherical way within the cell. However, if \(\rho T > 1\), then the telomeric territory is more disk-like. This measurement has allowed us to classify the 3D telomere positions during the cell cycle. In brief, large \(\frac{a}{c}\) ratios represent cells in G2, while small \(\frac{a}{c}\) ratios represent cells in G0/G1 and S (for additional details, see [27]).

Using the different telomeric aspects described above, T eloView™ generated specific 3D telomere profiles for each buccal sample examined.

Statistical analysis

For each 3D parameter, by-pair analysis comparing each AD patient to his/her matched control was conducted via chi-square analysis or Wilcoxon rank sum tests. As a group of similar AD severity, the comparisons were done using randomized blocks analysis of variance and Mantel-Haenszel stratified analysis, followed by the Breslow-Day test for homogeneity across pairs as well as a log-linear analysis. To compare each AD severity to one another, we tested for severity effect with nested randomized block ANOVAs. Contingency analysis and Mantel-Haenszel stratified analysis were used to compare distributions of telomere signal fluorescent intensity (telomere length) categorized by quartiles. Significance level was set at \(p < 0.05\). We calculated that with 44 matched pairs in a randomized block design we have more than 80% power to detect effect sizes as large as those observed previously between AD and controls of moderate and severe groups for both the telomere lengths and telomere signals.

RESULTS

Semi-automated 3D image acquisition and analysis using T eloView

3D imaging of buccal cells was performed from AD and non-AD study participants. Figure 1 shows a representative example of the 2D and 3D telomeric imaging that is performed on buccal cells (Materials and Methods). A comparison of all AD groups (mild, moderate, and severe) was published in our previous study [36]. The imaging data were quantified using T eloView™ (Materials and Methods).

When compared with our previous study [36], all 3D telomere parameters were confirmed as indicated in Table 2. Two exceptions were noted, namely, the \(\frac{a}{c}\) ratio and aggregate numbers in severe AD, which showed differences from the earlier study (Table 2, Table 2).

Fig. 1. Representative two (2)- and three dimensional (3D) image of a buccal cell nucleus hybridized with a CY3-labeled telomere probe (red) <Materials and Methods). (a) 2D image of nucleus hybridized with CY3-labeled telomere probe (red). Nucleus (blue). (b) 3D image of the identical nucleus. Telomeres are shown in red. Disease progression and telomere images were illustrated in [36].
Table 2

3D telomere parameters of AD and non-AD study participants

| Participant | Diagnosis         | Number of subjects | Telomere length >15000 [a.u.] | Number of telomeres | Nuclear volume [µm³] | a/c ratio | Telomeric aggregates |
|-------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------------------|
| Mild AD     | Mild AD Control   | 24                  | p < 0.0001                    | 0.4487              | 0.1892               | 0.9807    | 0.2532              |
| Moderate AD | Moderate AD Control | 15                | p < 0.0001                    | 0.0037              | 0.9863               | 0.1415    | 0.0554              |
| Severe AD   | Severe AD Control | 5                  | p < 0.0001                    | 0.0452              | 0.9987               | 0.0024*   | 0.1984*             |

Summary of 3D nuclear telomere parameters according to clinical diagnosis. While the data confirm the study cohort examined by Mathur et al. [36, Table 3], *indicates data different from the previous study. For the discussion of these data, see text. [a.u.] - arbitrary units.

$p = 0.0024$ and $0.1984$ respectively). It is of note that the low number of severe AD participants and non-AD controls in the current cohort (five each) may likely be the cause of this difference (Tables 1 and 2).

We found a significant increase in telomere length attrition and telomere number elevation in buccal cells of AD patients compared to their respective controls and as AD progressed from mild to moderate to severe (Table 2). The telomere length differences measured between AD and non-AD and between mild, moderate and severe AD were highly significant (Table 2, $p < 0.0001$) as non-caregiver controls were participating in the current study. Moreover, the increase in the detected telomere numbers was significant as the disease progressed from mild to moderate to severe AD ($p = 0.0037$ for the difference between mild and moderate AD, and $p = 0.0452$ for the difference between moderate and severe AD).

As reported previously [36], nuclear volumes and $a/c$ ratios remained unchanged ($p > 0.05$), with the exception of the $a/c$ ratio in severe AD versus controls ($p = 0.0024$) (Table 2). Telomeric aggregates showed a trend to increase in moderate AD compared to mild AD and their respective controls ($p = 0.0554$) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

While many studies have been performed the analysis of telomeres and genomic instability in AD [14–18], 3D analysis of telomeric architecture and DNA structure have not been conducted before, except as done in our previous study [36]. The current study was conducted under a new experimental design, in which we investigated age- and sex-matched non-caregiver controls and AD participants and the 3D telomeric parameters of buccal cells from both groups. This point is important as caregivers are expected to exhibit telomere shortening as a result of constant and long-lasting daily stress [39, 40].

Nuclear volumes were unchanged and so were $a/c$ ratios, except for severe AD. However, the low number of study participants in this group of AD patients may have had an impact on this result. An increase in telomere aggregates was noted in our previous AD study cohort compared to controls, and as AD progressed. In the current study, moderate AD showed a trend to significance ($p = 0.0554$), while severe AD did not follow this trend.

The current cohort confirmed the previously published data on 3D nuclear telomere profiling of AD and non-AD [28] showing that 3D nuclear telomere numbers were elevated and telomere lengths decreased. Overall, the difference between telomere lengths in AD and non-AD was more significant in the current study cohort than in the Mathur et al. [36] cohort. While the 2014 data showed significance in telomere length decrease, the current data indicate indirectly that the shorter telomeres of caregivers reduced the significance between the groups in the previous cohort. Therefore, the recent data on telomere length decreases show higher significance than those in the previous one [28]. While both studies indicate significant telomere length decreases, the current study shows the highest significance in differences measured between AD and non-AD and between AD subgroups (mild, moderate, and severe) ($p < 0.0001$). A recent meta-analysis that focused on telomere length alone confirmed our findings of shorter telomeres in AD as a general feature of AD [19].

Taken together, our data indicate that 3D telomere profiles can differentiate between AD and non-AD and between AD severity groups. This finding may be important in determining the onset of AD as well as its progression and enable future personalized AD
patient management. Limitations of this study are the numbers of patients enrolled and the medical classification system used (MoCA and MMSE without additional clinical classification, absence of information on disease duration and comorbidities). Future validation of these findings in larger cohorts and with detailed clinical classification is recommended.
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