Treatment options of traditional Chinese patent medicines for dyslipidemia in patients with prediabetes: A systematic review and network meta-analysis
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Objective: To compare the clinical efficacy and safety of SIX Traditional Chinese Patent Medicines (TCPM) recommended by guidelines in improving lipids for patients with prediabetes by network meta-analysis.

Methods: Randomized controlled trials of 6 TCPM in the treatment of prediabetes were searched systematically in various databases. After extracting effective data, the risk of bias was assessed using Review Manager 5.3 and Cochrane Collaboration Systemic Evaluator's Manual. Network meta-analysis was performed using STATA 15.0 based on the frequency statistical model. The effect size and credibility of the evidence for the intervention were summarized based on a minimal contextualized framework.

Results: A total of 27 studies involving 2,227 patients were included. Compared with lifestyle modification (LM), Shenqi + LM [SMD −0.49 (95% CI: −0.85, −0.12)] and Jinqi + LM [SMD −0.44 (95% CI: −0.81, −0.06)] showed statistically significant effect in lowering TG, Shenqi + LM [SMD 0.29 (95% CI: 0.06, 0.51)] and Jinqi + LM [SMD 0.16 (95% CI: 0.01, 0.31)] in increasing HDL-C.

Conclusion: For patients with prediabetes, Traditional Chinese patent medicine Jinqi and Shenqi combined with lifestyle modification were associated with a significant reduction in TG and TC, while Shenqi + LM was among the most effective. Jinlida + LM was among the least effective.

Systematic Review Registration: https://clinicaltrials.gov/, identifier PROSPERO(CRD42021279332).
1 Introduction

Prediabetes refers to an intermediate stage of dysglycemia along the continuum from normo-glycemia to diabetes. It is characterized by mild impaired fasting blood glucose (IFG) and/or impaired glucose tolerance (IGT) and clinically assessed by fasting blood glucose (FBG), glycosylated hemoglobin level (HbA1C), and 2-h post-load blood glucose (2hBG) (Echouffo-Tcheugui and Selvin, 2021). The increasing prevalence of prediabetes globally is a major public health concern and exacerbates the growing epidemic of diabetes and its complications. According to the “Prevalence and Treatment of Diabetes in China, 2013–2018” issued by Chinese official institutions, the estimated prevalence of prediabetes was 35.7% in 2013 and 38.1% in 2018, bringing a huge potential burden to the health system (Xu et al., 2013). Dyslipidemia, the independent risk factor for coronary atherosclerosis, was shown to be a high-risk factor for cardiovascular disease associated with diabetes. Clinical studies have recently revealed that Traditional Chinese Patent Medicines (TCPM) played a positive role in improving the lipid profile and relieving symptoms of prediabetes. So, several TCPMs have been clearly recommended as the important intervention for prediabetes in Chinese domestic guidelines (Endocrinology and Metabolic Diseases Committee of the Chinese Physicians Association, Integrated Chinese and Western Medicine Branch, 2021; Chinese Diabetes Society, 2021), which are namely Shen qi jiang tang capsule/granule (Shenqi), Tian mai xiao ke tablet (Tianmai), Tian qi jiang tang capsule (Tianqi), Jin qi jiang tang tablet (Jinqi), Jin li da granule (Jinlida), and Tang mai kang granule (Tangmaikang) (Jiang et al., 2022).

In the traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) context, diabetes and pre-diabetes are both diseases caused by Fire toxin, which injures Qi and damages the normal function of the body. Qi is the essential substance to maintain fluid metabolism. Qi deficiency would cause clinical manifestations like thirst and fatigue in these patients (Pang and Ni, 2019). The included TCPM all followed the basic understanding of traditional context and contained mainly herbs that have the effect of clearing Fire and benefiting Qi, thus showing some positive significance in clinical research studies and getting recommended in experts’ advice and official guidelines (Xia et al., 2020). Until now, although there were some meta-analyses focusing on the clinical effectiveness and safety of TCM for treating prediabetes (Pang et al., 2017; Pang et al., 2018; Jiang et al., 2022), the general shortcomings still existed: 1) the main outcome was blood glucose indicators, such as glycosylated hemoglobin, FBG, and PBG, with insufficient emphasis on lipids. 2) The number of literatures covered was limited, the sample size was insufficient, and the heterogeneity among different comparisons was large; 3) in recent add-on research studies, there was still a lack of comparative efficacy between the various types of TCM.

Network meta-analysis (NMA) is a method which has been applied widely to assess all the available medications and rank different therapies through the transitivity of the same control within a consistent framework, even though when there was no direct comparison in head-to-head trials. Therefore, this study used the NMA to compare the effectiveness and safety of the aforementioned 6 TCPM in improving the lipid profile, in order to provide an evidence-based reference for clinical use in the supplementary therapy for prediabetes.

2 Methods

This article was reported following the guidelines of the Cochrane Multiple Interventions Methods Group and PRISMA Extension Statement (Hutton et al., 2015) and the checklist was shown in Supplementary File S1. The protocol for the research was submitted to the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) (CRD 42020180045). The web-based registration scheme could be found in Supplementary File S2. The introduction and discussion section of the article was elaborated with reference to the General requirements for developing, conducting, and reporting pharmacological research on medicinal plants and natural products (phytopharmacology) (Heinrich et al., 2020). The summary table describing the composition of the preparations and how these were reported in the original studies was structured following the principles described in the Four Pillars of Ethnopharmacology.

2.1 Inclusion criteria

The research studies were considered if they matched the following criteria: 1) randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with available data on blood lipids, including triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). LDL-C was chosen as the primary outcome for its independent predicting effect on the risk of ASCVD in individuals or populations. The others were secondary outcomes; 2) patients with prediabetes (≥18 years old) according to the various definitions indicated by authoritative academic institutions, including the ADA (American Diabetes Association, 2018), the WHO (WHO, 1999), and the Chinese Diabetes Society (CDS) (Chinese Diabetes Society, 2021); 3) treatments of interest in...
the experimental group contained 6 kinds of TCPM (Shenqi, Tianqi, Jinlida, Tianma, Jinqi, and Tangmaikang). The TCPM is defined as the Chinese medical products made from herbal medicine and processed into certain dosage forms. The formula is formed according to prescribed prescriptions under the guidance of the theory of TCM. The manufacturing is put in line with specified pharmaceutical technology based on the PRC Pharmacopoeia’s monograph (Pang et al., 2017). Interventions in the control group were not limited (L.M, placebo, oral hypoglycemic drugs, or their combination); 4) treatment duration of the study which includes HbA1c should be more than 12 weeks.

2.2 Exclusion criteria

The clinical studies were excluded with the following features: 1) Interventions in the experimental group contained oral hypoglycemic drugs. 2) Interventions in the experimental group included other TCM such as no-decoction pellets and water extracts. 3) The ingredients of applied TCPM were not completely stated or inconsistent with the description in Table 2. 4) Lipid-lowering drugs such as statins, fibrates, and monacolins were used in either group; 5) Common clinical practices in TCM include other TCM such as no-decoction pellets and water extracts. 3) The ingredients of applied TCPM were not completely stated or inconsistent with the description in Table 2. 4) Lipid-lowering drugs such as statins, fibrates, and monacolins were used in either group; 5) Common clinical practices in TCM such as acupuncture, cupping, Gua Sha and Tui Na were combined in either group; 6) Data of outcome indicators were still unavailable after contacting authors.

2.3 Study identification

A comprehensive search strategy whose search string followed the PICOS method was developed to find peer-reviewed published studies. The English database like PubMed, EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Web of Science, and Clinical trials, and the Chinese database like Sinomed, CNKI, and WanFang, and VIP was used for article retrieval up to July 2022. Two prior systematic reviews (Pang et al., 2017; Pang et al., 2018) which were searched ended in September 2017 have been updated and referred to. Additionally, we also consulted with experts to identify candidate studies. The full electronic search strategy for Pubmed and CNKI was presented in Supplementary File S3.

2.4 Data extraction

Two independent reviewers (Li Jiang and Chieh Chien) screened the literature and extract data separately with reference to the Cochrane Handbook (Higgins et al., 2019). Information was checked and adjudicated independently by an additional investigator (Yaofu Zhang) until agreement was achieved. A pre-tested standard data extraction form will be designed specifically for this review. It contained the following base entries: the first author of the article, year of publication, sample size, age and gender distribution of subjects, diagnostic criteria, mode of intervention (including dose, frequency, usage), duration of intervention, lipid-related outcome indicators, the plan of randomization and concealment and specific reports of adverse reactions, etc. A indicates the change in indicators before and after the intervention.

2.5 Quality assessment

The methodological quality of eligible RCTs was examined in Software Review Manager 5.3. The assessment tool standard covers 7 aspects: random sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding of participants and personnel; blinding of outcome assessment; incomplete outcome; selective reporting; other biases. For each study, the aforementioned seven items were evaluated as “low bias”, “high bias”, and “unclear”. The differences were reviewed by the third member, and finally determined and drew the bias risk map after discussion. The Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development, and Evaluation (GRADE) framework was used to assess the quality of evidence contributing to each estimated network, which namely have four grades, high, Moderate, low, and very low (Liu, 2022). The seven assessment domain of each contrast include the risk of bias, inconsistency, the indirectness, imprecision, publication bias, intransitivity, and incoherence in the GRADE framework for NMA (Puhan et al., 2014; Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2021).

2.6 Statistical analysis

We used frequentist network meta-analysis in software STATA 15.0 (Bhatnagar et al., 2014). For direct comparisons, the pooled analysis of the overall effect size for continuous variable outcome was presented as standardized mean difference (SMD) and an associated 95% confidence interval (CI) using the DerSimonian and Laird random-effects methods (DerSimonian and Laird, 1986). The assumption of consistency in the whole analytical network was conducted in a design-by-treatment approach. The loop-specific approach was used to evaluate the presence of inconsistency in network meta-analysis models locally. If no loop is formed, the node-splitting analysis can be applied (Caldwell et al., 2005). Heterogeneity was assessed by the Chi-squared test and the I² index (Higgins et al., 2019), which was considered significant if $I^2 > 75\%$ (Page et al., 2021). Sensitivity analyses, meta-regression, subgroup analyses, and a random-effects model were utilized if the heterogeneity was significant. Otherwise, the fixed-effects model was selected. Meta-regression was performed on the treatment duration ($\leq 3$, $3–6$, $\geq 6$ months), the type of TCPM, the control group
(LM, LM + placebo, LM + oral hypoglycemic drugs), the diagnostic criteria (WHO, ADA, and CDS), the risk of bias (high, not high, unclear) and the baseline of LDL-C (ideal level: < 2.6, appropriate level: 2.6–3.4, pathologically elevated: 3.4 mmol/L) (Joint committee issued Chinese guideline for the management of dyslipidemia in adults, 2016). The forest plot and the league table was used to display the pairwise comparison in NMA. The surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) would be used to estimate ranking probabilities for all treatments in order to create a treatment hierarchy (Salanti et al., 2011). The minimally contextualized framework was developed according to the result of the SUCRA and GRADE evaluation (Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2020). In addition, the correction funnel plot was drawn to assist in determining whether there is publication bias or a small sample effect among included literature.

3 Result

3.1 Baseline characteristics

A final total of 27 articles were included in the NMA. The process was shown in Figure 1. All trials were conducted in China and published between 2002 and 2018, corresponding to 2,227 adults (1,139 in the treatment group and 1,088 in the control group). Both groups were based on a lifestyle modification (LM), with the addition of TCPM in the treatment group and the addition of oral hypoglycemic drugs or placebo or blank to the control group. Among them, there were five articles related to Shenqi, two related to Tianmai, three related to Tianqi, five related to Jinqi, six related to Jinlida, and six related to Tangmaikang. LDL-C has been reported in 18 type of research, HDL-C in 17 researches, and TG, and TC in 27 researches. The basic characteristics of
| Study ID (Author + time) | Sample size (M/F) | Age (year) | Diagnostic criteria | Intervention Treatment group | Control group | Duration (month) | Outcome measure |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|------------------|-----------------|
| Chen (2005) | T:46 (22/14) C:32 (18/14) | T:53.1 ± 10.1C: 52.5 ± 9.3 | WHO 1999 | Shenqi capsule (0.7 g tid)+LM | LM | 12 | |
| Lin and Hu (2007) | T:29 (unclear) C: 29 (unclear) | T:53.6 ± 4.4C: 52.9 ± 5.8 | WHO 1999 | Shenqi granule (3 g bid)+LM | LM | 6 | |
| Tian and Li (2012) | T:30 (17/15) C:30 (15/15) | T:50.6 ± 6.4C: 51.2 ± 6.2 | WHO 1999 | Shenqi granule (3 g tid)+LM | LM | 6 | |
| Yan et al. (2011) | T:25 (13/12) C:25 (14/11) | T:51.4 ± 2.2C: 50.6 ± 2.4 | ADA 2008 | Shenqi granule (3 g bid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Zhao (2018) | T:45 (13/32) C:45 (15/30) | T:51.5 ± 4.8C: 51.3 ± 4.3 | ADA 2010 | Shenqi granule (3 g tid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Dong and Wang (2015) | T:42 (18/24) C:42 (20/22) | T:52.4 ± 8.6C: 54.1 ± 7.9 | CDS 2013 | Tianmai tablet (0.24 g bid)+LM | LM | 6 | |
| Zhang et al. (2011) | T:60 (28/32) C:60 (29/31) | — | WHO 1999 | Tianmai tablet (0.24 g bid)+LM | LM | 24 | |
| Wei (2009) | T:30 (10/19) C:30 (10/21) | T:52.6 ± 6.10C: 51.7 ± 5.6 | WHO 1999 | Tianqi capsule (8 g bid)+LM | LM | 6 | |
| Chen (2011) | T:63 (27/36) C:63 (32/27) | T:52.8 ± 10.5C: 52.9 ± 10.9 | WHO 1999 | Tianqi capsule (8 g bid)+LM | LM | 12 | |
| Wang et al. (2011a) | T:79 (40/54) C:79 (34/40) | T:51.4 ± 8.7C: 51.7 ± 9.1 | WHO 1999 | Tianqi capsule (8 g tid)+LM | LM | 12 | |
| Chen and Wu (2007) | T:32 (18/14) C:27 (15/12) | T:44 ± 8.6C: 45 ± 9.1 | ADA 1997 | Jinqi tablet (2.52 g tid)+LM | LM | 1 | |
| Mao (2003) | T:32 (15/17) C:30 (14/16) | T:64.8 ± 5.4C: 63.9 ± 5.8 | WHO 1985 | Jinqi tablet (2.94 g tid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Tan (2010) | T:42 (20/22) C:42 (21/21) | T:55.6 ± 2.1C: 54.8 ± 1.6 | ADA 2008 | Jinqi tablet (3.36 g tid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Zhou and Chen (2011b) | T:46 (18/24) C:42 (17/25) | T:45.4 ± 7.0C: 46.0 ± 6.8 | WHO 1985 | Jinqi tablet (4.2 g tid)+LM | LM | 12 | |
| Zhou (2002) | T:42 (9/15) C:22 (8/14) | T:72.6 ± 4.1C: 73.1 ± 3.8 | WHO 1999 | Jinlida granule (9 g tid)+LM | LM | 6 | |
| Wang et al. (2011a) | T:65 (35/30) C:65 (33/32) | T:46.4 ± 6.4C: 48.2 ± 9.6 | CDS 2013 | Jinlida granule (9 g bid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Cai et al. (2017) | T:60 (32/28) C:60 (30/30) | T:55.6 ± 2.1C: 54.8 ± 1.6 | WHO 2008 | Jinlida granule (9 g tid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Liu (2015) | T:52 (24/28) C:49 (22/27) | T:49.6 ± 11.3C: 47.9 ± 11.8 | WHO 2009 | Jinlida granule (9 g tid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Wang and Jiang (2018) | T:42 (23/19) C:37 (20/17) | T:57.1 ± 10.6C: 55.6 ± 11.4 | CDS 2010 | Jinlida granule (9 g tid)+LM | LM | 4 | |
| Yin (2016) | T:42 (22/20) C:41 (23/18) | T:47.8 ± 7.1C: 48.4 ± 6.8 | CDS 2010 | Jinlida granule (9 g tid)+LM | LM + metformin | 2 | |
| Shi et al. (2016) | T:32 (17/15) C:29 (14/15) | T:47.1 ± 7.1C: 49.9 ± 7.2 | WHO 1999 | Jinlida granule (9 g tid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Gu (2007) | T:36 (unclear) C:36 (un unclear) | 42.3 | WHO 1999 | Tangmaikang granule (5 g tid)+LM | LM | 24 | |
| Shen et al. (2006) | T:27 (unclear) C: 26 (unclear) | 55.4 ± 8.7 | WHO 2003 | Tangmaikang granule (5 g bid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Tao et al. (2012) | T:27 (14/13) C:28 (9/19) | T:55.1 ± 10.4C: 55.4 ± 7.7 | WHO 2003 | Tangmaikang granule (5 g bid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Cao and Jin (2010) | T:36 (22/14) C:36 (20/16) | T:55.5 ± 6.2C: 55.4 ± 2.4 | WHO 2008 | Tangmaikang granule (5 g tid)+LM | LM | 3 | |
| Hong et al. (2014) | T:45 (26/19) C:45 (28/17) | T:67.5 ± 5.8C: 65.4 ± 6.2 | WHO 1999 | Tangmaikang granule (5 g tid)+LM | LM + metformin | 3 | |
| Xiao et al. (2013) | T:45 (27/18) C:45 (26/19) | — | WHO 2006 | Tangmaikang granule (5 g tid)+LM | LM | 3 | |

Note: T: Treatment group; C: Control group; LM: lifestyle modification; ① TC (Total cholesterol); ② TG (triglyceride); ③ LDL- C; ④ HDL- C.
TABLE 2 Patented formulations of the Included TPCM.

| Study         | Formulation Source | Species, concentration | Quality control reported? (Y/N) | Chemical analysis reported? (Y/N) |
|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Chen (2005)   | Shenqi capsule/granule | [Henan Lingrui Pharmaceutical, Co. Ltd.] SFDA approval number: Z10970002 | 1.Total Ginsenoside of Panax ginseng C.A.Mey. [Araliaceae] from stems and leaves (Renshen jing ye zaogan) 6 g | Y—Prepared according to Chinese Pharmacopoeia (2020 Edition) Chinese Pharmacopoeia Commission (2020) |
| Lin and Hu (2007) |                   |                        |                                  |                                  |
| Tan and Li (2012) |                   |                        |                                  |                                  |
| Yan et al. (2011) |                   |                        |                                  |                                  |
| Zhao (2018)   |                   |                        |                                  |                                  |
| Dong and Wang (2015) | Tianmai tablet | [Hebei Fuge Pharmaceutical, Co. Ltd.] SFDA approval number: Z20049007 | 1.Chromium picolinate, 1.6 mg | N |
| Zhang et al. (2011) |                   |                        |                                  | N |
| Wei (2009)    | Tianqi capsule    | [Heilongjiang Weimingliang Pharmaceutical, Co. Ltd.] SFDA approval number: Z2006799 | 1.Panax ginseng C.A. Mey. [Araliaceae] | Y—Prepared according to the State Drug Administration standard WS-666 (Z-186) 2002, TLC was used to identify the thin layer chromatography of Nvzhenzi, Renshen, Huangqi, Huanglian and Wubeizi (Cao et al. 2009) |
| Chen (2011)   |                   |                        |                                  |                                  |
| Wang et al. (2011a) |                   |                        |                                  |                                  |
| (Continued on following page) |                   |                        |                                  |                                  |
| Study                  | Formulation | Source                                      | Species, concentration | Quality control reported? (Y/N) | Chemical analysis reported? (Y/N) |
|-----------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Chen and Wu (2007)    | Jinqi tablet | Tianjin Zhongxing Pharmaceutical, Co. Ltd. | Astragalus mongholicus Bunge [Fabaceae] (Huangqi), 513 g | Y                               | LC-MS/MS Wang et al. (2016a), UPLC-ESI-MS Jin et al. (2018) |
| Mao (2003)            |             |                                             | Coptis chinensis Franch [Ranunculaceae](Huanglian), 343 g | Y                              |                                    |
| Tan (2010)            |             |                                             | Lonicera japonica Thunb. [Caprifoliaceae](Jinyinhua), 2058g | Y                              |                                    |
| Zhou and Chen (2003)  |             |                                             | Pressed into 1,000 tablets | Y                              |                                    |
| Zhou (2002)           |             |                                             |                        |                                 |                                    |
| Wang et al. (2011b)   | Jinsha granule | Shejiiahuang Yifeng Pharmaceutical, Co. Ltd. | Panax ginseng C.A.Mey. [Araliaceae](Renshen), 184.5 g | Y                               | HPLC An et al. (2014), UV Spectrophotometry FU et al. (2022) |
| Cai et al. (2017)     |             |                                             | Polygonatum sibiricum Redouté [Asparagaceae](Huangjing), 244.5 g | Y                              |                                    |
| Liu (2015)            |             |                                             | Atractylodes lancea (Thunb.) DC. [Asteraceae](Chao Cangzhu), 122.2 g | Y                              |                                    |
| Wang and Jiang (2010) |             |                                             | Sophora flavescens Aiton [Fabaceae](Kushen), 100 g | Y                              |                                    |
| Yin (2016)            |             |                                             | Ophiopogon japonicus (Thunb.) Ker Gend. [Asparagaceae](Maidong), 244.5 g | Y                              |                                    |
| Shi et al. (2016)     |             |                                             | Rehmannia glutinosa (Gaertn.) DC. [Orobanchaceae](Dihuang), 184.5 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Reynoutria multiflora (Thunb.) Moldenke [Polygonaceae](Heshouwu), 149 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Cornus officinalis Siebold & Zucc. [Cornaceae](Shanbuxiao), 244.5 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Poria cocos (Schw.) Wolf. (Fuling), 149 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Eupatorium fortunei Tucez. [Asteraceae](Peilan), 100 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Gentiana lutea (L.) DC. [Gentianaceae](Yinyinhuo), 100 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Lonicera japonica Thunb. [Caprifoliaceae](Jinyinhua), 2058g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Atractylodes lancea (Thunb.) DC. [Asteraceae](Chao Cangzhu), 122.2 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Epimedium sagittatum (Siebold & Zucc.) Maxim. [Berberidaceae] (Yingyanghuo), 100 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Salvia miltiorrhiza Bunge [Lamiaceae](Danshen), 160 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                       |             |                                             | Pueraria montana var.lobata (Willd.) Maesen & S.M.Almeida ex Sanjappa & Predeep [Fabaceae](Gegen), 244.5 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                        |             |                                             | Litchi chinensis Sonn. [Sapindaceae](Lizhihe), 244.5 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                        |             |                                             | Lycium chinense Mill. [Solanaceae](Digupi), 149 g | Y                              |                                    |
|                        |             |                                             | Ethanol extracted and concentrated to 1000g. 9 g per granule | Y                              |                                    |

(Continued on following page)
the included studies was shown in Table 1. The source, ingredients, quality control, and chemical analysis of the included TPCM were shown in Table 2. A total of 40 preclinical studies investigated the lipid or glucose-lowering effects of 6 TPCM in prediabetes or T2DM. The beneficial effects and potential mechanisms are summarized in Table 3.

### 3.2 Bias assessment

The 27 included studies all used a randomized method, 17 using random number tables generated from random sequences, and two using systematic randomization (Mao, 2003; Wang et al., 2011a), and were therefore rated as “low risk”; one study was randomized according to the order of attendance, which was pseudo-randomized and rated as “high risk”. The remaining seven studies did not mention a specific randomized method and were therefore rated as “unclear risk”. Three studies were double-blinded with a placebo (Wei, 2009; Wang et al., 2011a; Chen, 2011) and were all rated as “low risk.” The remaining 23 studies did not report allocation concealment or blinded set-up and were therefore rated as “unclear risk.” Two studies (Yan et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011) reported missing visits and had incomplete outcome data, and were therefore rated as “high risk.” The remaining 25 studies had no missing data and were rated as “low risk.” None of the study protocols were first registered or could not be obtained, therefore the existence of selective reporting could not be determined and was reported as “unclear risk.” There exited no other bias in included literature. The bias assessment was shown in Figure 2.

### 3.3 GRADE assessment

The GRADE judgment was incorporated and the analyses showed the quality was low or very low for most of the comparisons. As for the primary outcome, LDL-C, no direct comparison showed significant results statistically in the total of eight comparisons, and all of them were evaluated as very low except Shenqi + LM vs. LM and Jinqi + LM vs. LM which were evaluated as low. For TG and TC, two direct comparisons showed significant results statistically (Shenqi + LM vs. LM and Jinqi + LM vs. LM) in a total of nine comparisons, and they were evaluated as moderate and low quality. For HDL-C, two direct comparisons showed significant results statistically (Tianmai + LM vs. placebo + LM and Jinqi + LM vs. LM) in the total of eight comparisons, and they were evaluated as very low and low. The detailed GRADE assessment was presented in Supplementary File S4.

### 3.4 Pairwise meta-analysis

Network evidence plots were made based on direct comparative relationships, as shown in Figure 3, with the

---

**TABLE 2 (Continued) Patented formulations of the Included TPCM.**

| Study | Formulation | Source | Species, concentration | Quality control reported? (Y/N) | Chemical analysis reported? (Y/N) |
|-------|-------------|--------|------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Cao and Jin (2010) | 4. *Salvia miltiorrhiza* Bunge [Lamiaceae] | (Danshen), 200 g | (Danshen), 200 g | Y | Y |
| Hong et al. (2014) | 5. *Achyranthes bidentata* Blume [Amaranthaceae] (Niuxi), 125 g | | | | |
| Xiao et al. (2013) | 6. *Ophiopogon japonicus* (Thunb.) Ker Gawl. [Asparagaceae] (Maidong), 125 g | | | | |
| | 7. *Polygonatum sibiricum* Redouté [Asparagaceae] (Huangjing), 125 g | | | | |
| | 8. *Pueraria montana* var.silotata (Vell.) Macson & S.M.Almeida ex Santappa & Predeep [Fabaceae] (Gegen), 125 g | | | | |
| | 9. *Morus alba* L. [Moraceae] (Sangye), 125 g | | | | |
| | 10. *Coptis chinensis* Franch. [Ranunculaceae] (Huanglian), 41.7 g | | | | |
| | 11. *Epimedium sagittatum* (Siebold & Zucc.) Maxim. [Berberidaceae] (Yinyanghuo), 166.7 g | | | | |
| | Water extracted to clear paste, add about 167 g of micronized silica gel, dry and put into capsules and make 1,000 capsules, 0.5 g per capsule | | | | |
| Formulation                  | References | Beneficial effects                        | Potential mechanisms                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Shenqi capsule/granule**  | Shi et al. (2022) | Improving insulin sensitivity            | Decreasing the mRNA expression level and serum concentration of inflammatory cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-1β, suppressing the p- NFκB protein over-expression, up-regulating protein expression of p-Akt and GLUT2 in a rat model of insulin resistance Shi et al. (2022) |
|                             | Zhang et al. (2019a) | Kidney protection                       | Reducing caspase-3-positive cells in diabetic kidneys, upregulating Bcl-2 and regucalcin expressions, and reducing casp3 and Apat1 expressions in diabetic rats Zhang et al. (2019a) |
| **Tianmai tablet**          | Wang et al. (2016b) | Improving insulin sensitivity            | Decreasing IRS-1, IRS-2, PI3-K p85α, and AKT2 gene expression and also IRS-1, IRS-2, PI3-K, AKT2, and p-AKT2 protein expression levels through the PI3K/AKT pathway in diabetic rats Wang et al. (2016b) |
|                             | Zhang et al. (2014a) | Reducing fasting glucose level           | Decreasing levels of forkhead box O3 (FoxO3), phosphoenolpyruvate carboxykinase 2 (Pck2), and protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (Ptp1b), increasing v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog 1 (Akt1) and insulin receptor substrate 2 (Irs2) through insulin signaling pathway in diabetic rats Zhang et al. (2014a) |
|                             | Zhang et al. (2014b) | Activating insulin synthesis             | Increasing the expression of miR-375 and miR-30d in diabetic rats Zhang et al. (2014b)                                                                                                                                 |
| **Tianqi capsule**          | Zhang et al. (2010) | Improving glucose metabolism            | Down-regulating the apolipoprotein E, apolipoprotein A-1, Ig gamma-2A chain C region, up-regulating transferrin (TTR), haptoglobin (Hp), serum amyloid p-component (SAP) and prothrombin in diabetic rats Zhang et al. (2010) |
|                             | Li et al. (2013) | Preventing diabetes                     | Reducing the “G” allele frequencies of rs1142345 (A>G) in the thiopeurine S-methyltransferase (TPMT) gene in prediabetic patients Li et al. (2013)                                                                                                         |
|                             | Qian et al. (2012) | Improving insulin sensitivity            | Up-regulating the expression of IRS-1 in the liver and IRS-2 in the skeletal muscles of the KK-Ay mice Qian et al. (2012); inhibiting the phosphorylation of JNK, ERK1/2, and p38; lowering the circulating T helper 17 (Th17) frequencies, serum interleukin-17 (IL-17) and interleukin-23 (IL-23) levels in diabetic SD rats Lv et al. (2017) |
|                             | Liu et al. (2017) | Increasing glucose uptake and glycogen synthesis | Elevating the insulin-stimulated glucose uptake with upregulated phosphorylation of AKT in PA-induced insulin resistant L6 myotubes Liu et al. (2017); downregulating miRNA-29b and targeting AKT Zhao et al. (2012); increasing the expression of AMPK in the liver and muscular tissues and the GLUT-4 in the skeletal muscles, reversing the decreased glycogen level in liver Qian et al. (2012); Zhao et al. (2012) |
|                             | Zhao et al. (2012) | Enhancing lipid metabolism              | Increasing the expression and tyrosine phosphorylation of AMPK Qian et al. (2012); decreasing the expression of acetyl CoA carboxylase (ACC), fatty acid synthase (FAS), and hormone-sensitive lipase (HSL) in diabetic KK-Ay mice Zhao et al. (2012); Liu et al. (2017) |
| **Jinlida granule**         | Zhou et al. (2022) | Enhancing lipid metabolism              | Increasing the expression of the thermogenic protein, UCP1, in the beige adipose tissue of mice, inhibiting the expression of miR-27a in X9 cells thereby promoting thermogenesis in beige adipocytes Zhou et al. (2022); activating the brown adipose tissue thermogenesis via enhancement of mitochondrial biogenesis and fatty acid oxidation metabolism Zhang et al. (2019b) |
|                             | Chen et al. (2017) | Reducing insulin resistance              | Reducing hepatic lipid accumulation and lowering levels of serum inflammatory factor CRP Chen et al. (2017)                                                                                                                                 |
| **Tangmaikang granule**     | Wang et al. (2018) | Improving dysfunction of Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Thyroid Axis | Increasing the levels of serum T3 and T4, TR mRNA in liver tissue, TSHR, and NIS mRNA in thyroid tissue, decreases the levels of Dop1 mRNA, pk xβ, NF-κB, TNFa and IL-6 in diabetic rats Wang et al. (2018) |
|                             | Jin et al. (2015) | Improving insulin sensitivity            | Increasing the expression of insulin receptor substrate (IRS-1) and protein, alleviating the expression of diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) in skeletal muscle Jin et al. (2015); increasing AMPK and acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) phosphorylation in skeletal muscle; reducing hepatic oxidative stress through reducing phosphorylation protein levels of JNK and p38MAPK Liu et al. (2015); Zang et al. (2015) |
|                             | Zang et al. (2015) | Improving insulin sensitivity            | Increasing the expression of insulin receptor substrate (IRS-1) and protein, alleviating the expression of diacylglycerol acyltransferase (DGAT) in skeletal muscle Jin et al. (2015); increasing AMPK and acetyl-CoA carboxylase (ACC) phosphorylation in skeletal muscle; reducing hepatic oxidative stress through reducing phosphorylation protein levels of JNK and p38MAPK Liu et al. (2015); Zang et al. (2015) |
|                             | Liu et al. (2015) | Reducing insulin resistance              | Reducing hepatic lipid accumulation and lowering levels of serum inflammatory factor CRP Chen et al. (2017)                                                                                                                                 |

**TABLE 3 Potential mechanisms of 6 TCPM on prediabetes and T2DM in vivo experiments.**
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node representing a certain intervention. The size of the node represented the total number of people in each study, and the thickness of the line represented the standard error of SMD or logarithmic OR. The relationship between the interventions did not form a closed loop and therefore no loop inconsistency testing was required. 27 studies (Zhou, 2002; Mao, 2003; Zhou and Chen, 2003; Chen, 2005; Shen et al., 2006; Chen and Wu, 2007; Gu, 2007; Lin and Hu, 2007; Wei, 2009; Cao and Jin, 2010; Tan, 2010; Wang et al., 2011a; Wang et al., 2011b; Chen, 2011; Salanti et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2012; Tian and Li, 2012; Dong and Wang, 2015; Liu, 2015; Shi et al., 2016; Yin, 2016; Cai et al., 2017; Wang and Jiang, 2018; Zhao, 2018; Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2020) reported TG and TC with a total arm size of 54 and 2,227 patients. 18 studies (25, 26, 28–33, 35, 39–43, 47–49) reported LDL-C with a total arm size of 36 and 1,633 patients. 17 studies (Zhou, 2002; Mao, 2003; Zhou and Chen, 2003; Chen, 2005; Shen et al., 2006; Chen and Wu, 2007; Lin and Hu, 2007; Wei, 2009; Cao and Jin, 2010; Tan, 2010; Wang et al., 2011b; Chen, 2011; Salanti et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2012; Tian and Li, 2012; Dong and Wang, 2015; Liu, 2015; Shi et al., 2016; Yin, 2016; Cai et al., 2017; Wang and Jiang, 2018; Zhao, 2018; Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2020) reported TG and TC with a total arm size of 54 and 2,227 patients. 18 studies (25, 26, 28–33, 35, 39–43, 47–49) reported LDL-C with a total arm size of 36 and 1,633 patients. 17 studies (Zhou, 2002; Mao, 2003; Zhou and Chen, 2003; Chen, 2005; Shen et al., 2006; Chen and Wu, 2007; Lin and Hu, 2007; Wei, 2009; Tan, 2010; Wang et al., 2011b; Chen, 2011; Salanti et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2012; Tian and Li, 2012; Dong and Wang, 2015; Liu, 2015; Shi et al., 2016; Yin, 2016; Cai et al., 2017; Wang and Jiang, 2018; Zhao, 2018; Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2020) reported TG and TC with a total arm size of 54 and 2,227 patients. 18 studies (25, 26, 28–33, 35, 39–43, 47–49) reported LDL-C with a total arm size of 36 and 1,633 patients. 17 studies (Zhou, 2002; Mao, 2003; Zhou and Chen, 2003; Chen, 2005; Shen et al., 2006; Chen and Wu, 2007; Lin and Hu, 2007; Wei, 2009; Tan, 2010; Wang et al., 2011b; Chen, 2011; Salanti et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2011; Tao et al., 2012; Tian and Li, 2012; Dong and Wang, 2015; Liu, 2015; Shi et al., 2016; Yin, 2016; Cai et al., 2017; Wang and Jiang, 2018; Zhao, 2018; Brignardello-Petersen et al., 2020) reported HDL-C with a total arm size of 34 and 1,508 patients.
3.5 Network meta-analysis

3.5.1 Primary outcome

Compared with LM, Jinlida + LM [SMD -0.31 (95% CI: -0.59, -0.04)] showed a statistically significant effect in lowering LDL-C. Compared with placebo + LM, except for Tianqi + LM [SMD 0.05 (95% CI: -0.26, 0.37)], other 5 TCPM showed statistically significant effect in lowering LDL-C, with SMD fluctuating between [-1.28 (95% CI: -2.11, -0.45)] (Shenqi + LM) to [-1.11 (95% CI: -1.98, -0.23)] (Tangmaikang + LM). Detailed comparative results are shown in Figure 4 and Table 4A.

3.5.2 Secondary outcomes

Compared with LM, Shenqi + LM and Jinqi + LM showed statistically significant effect in lowering TG [Shenqi -0.49 (95% CI: -0.85, -0.12), Jinqi -0.44 (95% CI: -0.81, -0.06)] and TC [Shenqi -0.51 (95% CI: -0.86, -0.17), Jinqi 0.44 (95% CI: -0.80, -0.08)]. Compared with placebo + LM, except for Tianqi + LM [SMD 0.20 (95% CI: -0.34, 0.74)], all TCPM showed statistically significant effect in lowering TG, with SMD fluctuating between [-1.48 (95% CI: -2.65, -0.31)] (Shenqi + LM) to [-1.10 (95% CI: -1.90, -0.30)] (Tianmai + LM). Except for Tianqi + LM [SMD 0.21 (95% CI: -0.32, 0.74)] and Jinlida + LM [SMD -1.07 (95% CI: -2.27, 0.14)], other 4 TCPM showed statistically significant effect in lowering TC, with SMD fluctuating between [-1.50 (95% CI: -2.30, -0.70)] (Tianmai + LM) to [-1.27 (95% CI: -2.51, -0.04)] (Tangmaikang + LM). None of the six TCPMs showed a statistical difference in TG or TC compared with oral hypoglycaemic drugs + LM. Detailed comparative results are shown in Figures 5, 6 and Table 4B.

The treatment hierarchy was summarized and reported as the surface under the cumulative ranking curve (SUCRA) and mean ranks, which was shown in Supplementary File S5.
3.5.3 Minimally contextualized framework

Supplementary File S6. SUCRA plot of all TCPM in each outcome was shown in basic

Comparisons for ΔLDL-C (bottom left) and ΔHDL-C (upper right) of the 6 TPCM.

|   | ΔLDL-C | ΔHDL-C |
|---|--------|--------|
| basic | 0.61 (0.40, 0.93) | 0.99 (0.72, 1.35) |
| placebo | 0.39 (0.19, 0.81) | 0.61 (0.37, 0.90) |
| Oral drugs | 1.09 (0.60, 1.95) | 1.40 (0.95, 2.07) |
| Shenqi | 1.26 (0.77, 2.05) | 0.37 (0.17, 0.82) |
| Tianmai | 0.34 (0.13, 0.92) | 0.26 (0.11, 0.64) |
| Jinqi | 1.18 (0.73, 1.90) | 1.37 (1.04, 1.80) |
| Jinlida | 1.10 (0.56, 2.17) | 2.83 (1.04, 7.67) |

Note: Data of comparisons for the ΔLDL-C and ΔHDL-C are SMD (95% CI). The 95% confidence interval which does not range across 1 favors the column-defining treatment and is shown in bold.

### 3.5.4 Adverse reaction

Among the included studies, 10 reported adverse reactions. Eight reported gastrointestinal upset reactions in the treatment group (Zhou and Chen, 2003; Shen et al., 2006; Cao and Jin, 2010; Tan, 2010; Yan et al., 2011; Liu, 2015; Shi et al., 2016; Zhao, 2018), including diarrhea and bloating, and two reported no adverse reactions (Xiao et al., 2013; Yin, 2016). Six reported gastrointestinal upset reactions in the control group (Cao and Jin, 2010; Tan, 2010; Xiao et al., 2013; Liu, 2015; Shi et al., 2016; Yin, 2016), including nausea, vomiting, abdominal distention, and diarrhea. The adverse reactions were all mild and did not affect the treatment. No acute complications of diabetes such as hypoglycemia and ketoacidosis were reported, so the 6 TCPM could ameliorate dyslipidemia with a favorable safety profile.

### 3.5.5 Network inconsistency and heterogeneity

There was no source of inconsistency for ΔLDL-C and ΔHDL-C. The global inconsistency test effect values (chi² for ΔTG and ΔTC were 0.65 and 0.69 respectively, with no statistically significant difference (p ≥ 0.05). The local inconsistency test showed that there was no difference between each comparison (p ≥ 0.05). Therefore, no evidence of inconsistency existed in all networks. The detailed data of global and local inconsistency was presented in Supplementary File S7.
The Chi-squared test and the $I^2$ index for the primary outcome LDL-C indicated a high degree of heterogeneity among the included literature ($I^2 = 78.3\%$). For the comparisons with significant heterogeneity, we conducted sensitivity analysis as presented in Figure 8. Although the exclusion of Zhang HF (2011) would increase the upper CI limit by $-0.19$ and the exclusion of Wang YR (2011) would decrease the lower CI limit by $-0.46$, the exclusion from any one study did not exceed the expected confidence interval ($-0.42$, $-0.25$), suggesting the stability of meta-analysis. Meta-regression was performed to further investigate the sources of heterogeneity as presented in Table 6. The results indicated heterogeneity might stem from the baseline of LDL-C among these six factors ($p = 0.076$). Thus, subgroup analysis was conducted for the baseline of LDL-C as presented in Figure 9. One study reported the ideal LDL-C levels at baseline ($< 2.6$ mmol/L), 13 studies reported the appropriate LDL-C levels at baseline ($2.6$ – $3.4$ mmol/L) and 4 studies reported pathologically elevated LDL-C levels ($> 3.4$ mmol/L). The heterogeneity analysis suggested that there was acceptable heterogeneity in subgroups ($I^2 = 0\%$, $52.8\%$, $65.8\%$). The combined results of SMD (95% CI) in fixed-effect model analysis were respectively $-0.64$ ($-1.08$, $-0.20$), $-0.11$ ($-0.22$, $0.01$) ($p = 0.013$) and $-0.97$ ($-1.21$, $-0.73$) ($p = 0.032$).

### 3.5.6 Publication bias
A funnel plot for risk of publication bias was shown in Supplementary File S8. The visual inspection of the funnel plot indicated that the nodes representing the comparison studies were evenly distributed at the ends of the null line. The fitted line was skewed at a greater angle, suggesting a small sample of events and a small possibility of publication bias for all outcomes.

### 4 Discussion
#### 4.1 The heterogeneity in NMA
The test for inconsistency is a necessary process for NMA. It contains two major connotations of heterogeneity and consistency. Consistency refers to the agreement between direct and indirect comparisons and can be tested by a
**Figure 6**
Forest plot in ΔTC.

**Table 4B** The league table of ΔTG and ΔTC (upper right) of the 6 TPCM.

|               | ΔTG      | ΔTC      | ΔTG      | ΔTC      | ΔTG      | ΔTC      | ΔTG      | ΔTC      | ΔTG      | ΔTC      |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| basic         | 2.61 (0.83, 8.23) | 1.08 (0.63, 1.84) | 0.60 (0.42, 0.84) | 0.58 (0.26, 1.33) | 3.22 (0.91, 11.41) | 0.65 (0.45, 0.92) | 0.90 (0.62, 1.31) | 0.73 (0.46, 1.15) |
| placebo       | 0.37 (0.12, 1.13) | 0.41 (0.12, 1.46) | 0.23 (0.07, 0.76) | 0.22 (0.10, 0.50) | 1.23 (0.73, 2.09) | 0.25 (0.07, 0.82) | 0.34 (0.10, 1.15) | 0.28 (0.08, 0.96) |
| Oral drugs    | 0.97 (0.56, 1.68) | 2.60 (0.75, 8.99) | 0.56 (0.29, 1.05) | 0.54 (0.20, 1.44) | 3.00 (0.76, 11.82) | 0.60 (0.32, 1.14) | 0.84 (0.48, 1.45) | 0.68 (0.45, 1.02) |
| Shenqi        | 1.68 (1.13, 2.35) | 1.68 (0.87, 3.27) | 0.97 (0.40, 2.38) | 0.53 (1.46, 19.98) | 1.08 (0.66, 1.78) | 1.51 (0.90, 2.51) | 1.22 (0.69, 2.16) | 1.25 (0.49, 3.21) |
| Tianmai       | 1.12 (0.52, 2.42) | 1.16 (0.45, 2.99) | 0.69 (0.29, 1.62) | 0.53 (2.12, 14.44) | 1.11 (0.45, 2.72) | 1.54 (0.63, 3.82) | 1.25 (0.49, 3.21) | 1.25 (0.49, 3.21) |
| Tianqi        | 0.30 (0.09, 1.05) | 0.82 (0.48, 1.41) | 0.32 (0.08, 1.22) | 0.19 (0.05, 0.68) | 0.27 (0.10, 0.72) | 0.20 (0.05, 0.74) | 0.28 (0.07, 1.04) | 0.23 (0.06, 0.87) |
| Jinqi         | 1.55 (1.06, 2.25) | 1.60 (0.82, 3.12) | 0.95 (0.56, 1.61) | 1.38 (0.59, 3.27) | 5.07 (1.40, 18.43) | 1.40 (0.83, 2.33) | 1.13 (0.63, 2.02) | 1.81 (0.48, 3.80) |
| Jinlida       | 1.21 (0.84, 1.73) | 3.25 (1.01, 10.45) | 1.25 (0.71, 2.20) | 0.74 (0.44, 1.24) | 1.08 (0.46, 2.54) | 3.96 (1.10, 14.34) | 0.78 (0.47, 1.31) | 0.81 (0.48, 1.38) |
| Tangmaikang   | 1.25 (0.79, 1.98) | 3.35 (1.81, 11.17) | 1.29 (0.83, 2.02) | 0.77 (0.43, 1.38) | 1.12 (0.45, 2.75) | **4.09 (1.10, 15.29)** | 0.81 (0.45, 1.46) | 1.03 (0.61, 1.75) |

Note: Data of comparisons for the ΔTG and ΔTC are SMD (95% CI). The 95% confidence interval which don’t range across 1 favors the column-defining treatment and are showed in bold.
design-by-treatment approach or loop-specific approach. The heterogeneity refers to the difference between each pair of direct comparisons and includes clinical, methodological, and statistical differences. The I² index gives the magnitude of statistical heterogeneity due to reasons other than the effect of chance (sampling error) (Higgins et al., 2019).

In this study, the consistency of direct and indirect comparisons was confirmed by the node-splitting analysis. Subsequently, the presence of non-negligible heterogeneity between included studies was confirmed in LDL-C. Meta-regression was thus performed for the different variables. Although none of the results were statistically different, the baseline values of LDL-C were the most likely source of heterogeneity, which was also consistent with the meta-regression of previous NMA (Zheng et al., 2020). The results of the subgroup analysis showed that the greater the baseline value, the more potent the lipid-lowering effect of TCPM if LDL-C did not reach the ideal value, which was consistent with previous results regarding the hypoglycaemic effect of TCPM (Jiang et al., 2021). Notably, among the 4 studies that reported pathologically elevated LDL-C levels, two studies using Shenqi (Tian WJ, Yan J) and one using jinlida (Wang SM). Both TCPMs were ranked highly in the NMA’s SUCRA, suggesting that the high ranking of the drugs in this NMA was associated with the high baseline of blood lipids. Therefore, the ranking result needs to be interpreted with caution when applied clinically.

4.2 Lipid monitoring and treatment are significant for the prevention of type 2 diabetes

Blood Lipids are the general term for lipids in blood plasma, including mainly total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TG), phospholipids, and free fatty acids. The level of lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C, HDL-C) reflects the level of lipoproteins (Kasper, 2016). Lipid monitoring and treatment are significant for the prevention of type 2 diabetes. On the one hand, prediabetes and diabetes are often associated with abnormalities in lipid metabolism. An analysis of the cross-sectional investigation of health and nutrition in the United States between 2011 and 2014 displayed that people with prediabetes (defined by ADA-FPG) were significantly more likely to have hyperlipidemia (51.2%) than the general population (Ali et al., 2018). On the other hand, Lipids are considered an essential hazard for the progression of prediabetes.
into diabetes and combined cardiovascular complications. A non-interventional cross-sectional study conducted in South Korea showed that prediabetes combined with abnormal lipid metabolism (high TG, low HDL-C) was 2.89 times more likely to develop cardiac complications later in life than patients with normal lipid metabolism (Kwon et al., 2021). Therefore, targeted measures should be taken to enhance the management of dyslipidemia in prediabetes.

Increased LDL-C is a major risk factor for the development of atherosclerosis (Jacobson et al., 2015). LDL enters the vascular

| Certainty of the evidence | Category | Intervention | Intervention vs. LM SMD (95% CI) | SUCRA |
|---------------------------|----------|--------------|---------------------------------|-------|
| ΔLDL-C                    | High certainty (moderate to high certainty evidence) | Category 1: among the most effective | None | None |
|                           | Low certainty (low to very low certainty evidence) | Category 1: might be among the most effective | Jinlida + LM | −0.31 (−0.59, −0.04) | 0.79 |
|                           | | Category 2: might be among the least effective | Shenqi + LM | −0.34 (−0.73, 0.05) | 0.79 |
|                           | | Category 3: among the least effective | Tianmai + LM | −0.23 (−0.72, 0.26) | 0.68 |
|                           | | Category 4: among the least effective | Jinqi + LM | −0.17 (−0.64, 0.31) | 0.62 |
|                           | | Category 5: among the least effective | Tangmaikang + LM | −0.10 (−0.78, 0.58) | 0.56 |
|                           | | Category 6: among the least effective | Oral drugs + LM | −0.08 (−0.67, 0.50) | 0.53 |
|                           | | Category 7: among the least effective | Placebo + LM | 0.94 (0.21, 1.67) | 0.09 |
|                           | | Category 8: among the least effective | Tianqi + LM | 0.99 (0.19, 1.79) | 0.05 |

| ΔTG                       | High certainty (moderate to high certainty evidence) | Category 1: among the most effective | Shenqi + LM | −0.49 (−0.85, −0.12) | 0.87 |
|                           | Low certainty (low to very low certainty evidence) | Category 1: among the most effective | Jinlida + LM | −0.19 (−0.55, 0.17) | 0.61 |
|                           | | Category 2: might be among the least effective | Jinqi + LM | −0.44 (−0.81, −0.06) | 0.83 |
|                           | | Category 3: among the least effective | Tangmaikang + LM | −0.22 (−0.68, 0.24) | 0.65 |
|                           | | Category 4: among the least effective | Tianmai + LM | −0.11 (−0.88, 0.66) | 0.56 |
|                           | | Category 5: among the least effective | Oral drugs + LM | 0.03 (−0.52, 0.59) | 0.41 |
|                           | | Category 6: among the least effective | Placebo + LM | 0.99 (−0.12, 2.10) | 0.11 |
|                           | | Category 7: among the least effective | Tianqi + LM | 1.19 (−0.05, 2.42) | 0.05 |

| ΔTC                       | High certainty (moderate to high certainty evidence) | Category 1: among the most effective | Shenqi + LM | −0.51 (−0.86, −0.17) | 0.85 |
|                           | Low certainty (low to very low certainty evidence) | Category 1: among the most effective | Jinlida + LM | −0.11 (−0.48, 0.27) | 0.49 |
|                           | | Category 2: might be among the least effective | Jinqi + LM | −0.44 (−0.80, −0.08) | 0.78 |
|                           | | Category 3: among the least effective | Tianmai + LM | −0.54 (−1.36, 0.28) | 0.80 |
|                           | | Category 4: among the least effective | Tangmaikang + LM | −0.31 (−0.77, 0.14) | 0.69 |
|                           | | Category 5: among the least effective | Oral drugs + LM | 0.07 (−0.46, 0.61) | 0.35 |
|                           | | Category 6: among the least effective | Placebo + LM | 0.96 (−0.19, 2.11) | 0.13 |
|                           | | Category 7: among the least effective | Tianqi + LM | 1.17 (−0.09, 2.43) | 0.05 |

| ΔHDL-C                    | High certainty (moderate to high certainty evidence) | Category 1: among the most effective | None | nN |
|                           | Low certainty (low to very low certainty evidence) | Category 1: among the most effective | Tianmai + LM | 0.05 (−0.23, 0.33) | 0.53 |
|                           | | Category 2: might be among the most effective | Shenqi + LM | 0.29 (0.06, 0.51) | 0.89 |
|                           | | Category 3: among the least effective | Jinqi + LM | 0.16 (0.01, 0.31) | 0.73 |
|                           | | Category 4: among the least effective | Tangmaikang + LM | 0.26 (−0.19, 0.70) | 0.81 |
|                           | | Category 5: among the least effective | Jinlida + LM | 0.10 (−0.04, 0.24) | 0.61 |
|                           | | Category 6: among the least effective | Oral drugs + LM | −0.01 (−0.32, 0.30) | 0.41 |
|                           | | Category 7: among the least effective | Tianqi + LM | −0.47 (−0.93, −0.01) | 0.08 |
|                           | | Category 8: among the least effective | Placebo + LM | −0.49 (−0.91, −0.07) | 0.06 |
wall through the endothelium and is modified to oxidized low-density lipoprotein (Ox-LDL) in the subendothelial layer. The latter grows and fuses to form the lipid core of the atherosclerotic plaque. In general, LDL-C is parallel to TC, but TC levels are also influenced by HDL-C levels, so LDL-C was recommended as an indicator of ASCVD risk in the guideline (Joint committee issued Chinese guideline for the management of dyslipidemia in adults, 2016). Therefore, LDL-C was chosen as the primary outcome of this study.

4.3 Shenqi granule was shown superior efficacy in TG and TC compared with other TCPM

The NMA analysis showed that no TCPM was among the most or least effective in reducing LDL-C based on the existing clinical studies. However, Shenqi + LM was among the most effective in reducing TG and TC, while Jinlida + LM was among the least effective.

Compared with other TCPM, Shenqi granule contains ginsenosides instead of Panax ginseng C.A.Mey. [Araliaceae], resulting in the richer active ingredient of ginseng in the formula. Roh et al. (2020) and Lu et al. (2020) have demonstrated that ginsenosides could improve lipid homeostasis in high-fat mice through various pathways, therefore significantly reducing body mass and serum levels of TC and TG. Meanwhile, pharmacological studies have shown that Shenqi granule could upregulate the expression of Bcl2 and relevant regulatory proteins and thus improve lipid metabolism (Zhang et al., 2019a). Network pharmacological prediction also found that Shenqi granule could participate in biological processes such as medium-density lipoprotein particle remodeling and RNA polymerase II promoter transcriptional regulation of glycolysis.
leading to the reverse of the defective hepatic insulin signaling pathway and the improvement of lipid disorder (Shi et al., 2022). Thereby, Shenqi granule was shown superior efficacy in lowering TG and TC compared with other TCPM.

Although Jinlida also has been demonstrated its lipid-lowering effects in several preclinical studies (Table 3), the treatment duration of the clinical studies was far shorter than its in vivo experiments (10 weeks in Zhou HR and 15 weeks in Zhang H). In fact, it was also the shortest intervention time of the six TCPMs with an average intervention length of 3.5 weeks, which may explain its slightly weaker advantage of lowering lipids compared to other TCPMs.

### 4.4 Strengths and limitations

This study was to compare the differences in the efficacy of 6 TCPM based on the network meta-analysis. Its strength lies in the first exploration of the clinical use of different TCPMs to improve lipid profiles in prediabetic patients. Based on direct and indirect evidence, we provided a preliminary comprehensive ranking of these drugs in terms of their effects on lipids levels, which could provide a basis for future clinical research.

However, the study has the following potential limitations: ① the included studies were all conducted on the Chinese mainland without information on race and ethnicity, and the findings need to be extended with caution given the cross-racial and ethnic differences in prevalence and genetics of prediabetes. ② the period of treatment in the included literature varied widely, ranging from 1 month to 12 months ③ Most studies did not describe or qualify the specific means and duration of lifestyle modification, so potential sources of heterogeneity between studies may be related to the rigorosity and duration of lifestyle interventions. ④ Adverse events were poorly reported, the extent of adverse effects was not differentiated, and safety needs further confirmation. All of the aforementioned shortcomings may affect the authenticity of the results, so the ranking results should be viewed with caution and clinical application needs to be considered in the context of actual circumstances, expert opinion, and guidelines.

### 5 Conclusion

For patients with prediabetes, Traditional Chinese patent medicine Jinqi and Shenqi combined with lifestyle modification were associated with a significant reduction in TG and TC, while
Shenqi + LM was among the most effective. Jilinlida + LM was among the least effective. Jinqi + LM might be among the most effective in reducing TG and TC. However, head-to-head comparisons between drugs and further mechanistic exploration are warranted.
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