Studies of the pharmacokinetic properties of nimorazole
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Summary The pharmacokinetics of the hypoxic radio-sensitizer nimorazole were studied in 19 individuals after single oral doses of between 0.5–3.5 g. HPLC measurements showed, after a rapid absorption, a linear relationship between peak plasma concentration and given dose. Mean elimination half life was 3.1 h. A tendency to a dose-dependent variation in the apparent volume of distribution, total body clearance and elimination half life suggest non-linear pharmacokinetics of nimorazole. Tumour concentrations measured in 5 patients gave tumour/plasma ratios between 0.8–1.3. No toxicity was observed. The results indicate that nimorazole may have potential as a clinically useful hypoxic radiosensitizer.

Recent studies in experimental tumours in vivo suggest that nimorazole (1-(N-β-ethyl-morpholine)-5-nitro-imidazole) may possess hypoxic cell radiosensitizing properties similar to that of misonidazole (MISO) when given in moderate doses (Overgaard et al., 1982b). At tumour concentrations of ~50 μg g⁻¹ both drugs were found to yield enhancement ratios of ~1.4 in a C3H mammary carcinoma (Overgaard et al., 1982b). Clinical studies of plasma and tumour concentrations indicate that an equivalent dose level in humans would require ~1.5–2.0 g m⁻² MISO on the likely assumption that a tumour-plasma ratio of about unity can be achieved (Ash & Schmidt, 1980; Dische, 1982; Rich et al., 1981). Such a dose cannot be given daily in conjunction with conventional radiation fractionation schedules due to excessive dose-limiting toxicity, primarily expressed as peripheral neuropathy (Dische et al., 1978; Kogelnik 1980; Phillips et al., 1981). Thus, accumulated doses >11–12 g m⁻² MISO over a 4-week period carry a high risk of unacceptable side effects (Dische et al., 1978, 1979; Kogelnik, 1980; Overgaard et al., 1982a; Phillips et al., 1981; Urtasun et al., 1982).

Clinical experience with nimorazole as an antimicrobial agent indicates that the drug is considerably less toxic than MISO. Total doses of 25 g in 10 days have easily been administered to both adults and children, the only side effect being mild gastrointestinal disturbances with nausea and occasional vomiting. This toxicity was observed in 5–25% of patients, was found to be dose-independent and often transitory and could otherwise be controlled with antiemetics (Arrubarrena et al., 1971; Cúè & Traslosheiros, 1969; Daza, 1973; Garcia et al., 1975; Oliva et al., 1972).

Experience from large animal toxicity studies (Farmitalia, Carlo Erba, unpublished observations) suggests that nimorazole may be even better tolerated than metronidazole (Flagyl) which itself can be administered in daily doses of 6 g m⁻² up to a total of ~100 g, although such high doses may be associated with significant gastrointestinal toxicity and some central and peripheral neuropathy (Kapp et al., 1982; Karim, 1978; Urtasun et al., 1975, 1982). If the lack of significant toxicity is confirmed, it may be possible to give nimorazole in conjunction with conventional daily radiation fractionation schedules at a dose level which may enhance the radiation response of hypoxic cells by a factor of ~1.4. Therefore, nimorazole represents a potentially attractive compound for hypoxic radiosensitization in clinical radiotherapy.

Although there is information about the tolerance and metabolism of single dose nimorazole (Giralsi et al., 1971), details of the pharmacokinetic properties with regard to absorption, elimination and plasma and tumour concentrations are very limited. The present study was therefore performed with the aim of obtaining further information about the pharmacokinetics of nimorazole given in single doses between 0.5–3.5 g.

Materials and methods

Pharmacokinetic measurements were performed in informed volunteers of whom 11 were patients receiving radiotherapy for malignant tumours, and 8 were healthy male scientists (Table I). All subjects had normal hepatic and renal function.

Nimorazole was supplied by Farmitalia, Carlo Erba in tablets of 500 mg which were given in single oral doses, as indicated in Table II. The drug was normally given with, or shortly after, a light breakfast. Serial venous blood samples were usually collected at intervals of 15–30 min over the first 2–3 h and then at 4, 6–8 and 24 h after administration.
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No specific restrictions were given with regard to drinking or eating in the test period. In subjects receiving multiple doses, these were given with at least 4 weeks' interval.

**Measurement of drug**

Plasma and tumour concentrations of nimorazole were measured by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC). The venous blood was collected in heparinized tubes, centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min after which the plasma was removed. Methanol (250 μl) was added to 25 μl plasma together with naproxen as internal standard. After Vortex mixing the samples were allowed to rest for 10 min before centrifugation at 3,000 g for 5 min. The supernatant was collected in a Hamilton syringe and used for HPLC determination. A Waters high performance liquid chromatography system was used composed of 2 model 600A pumps, a model 660 solvent flow programmer, a model U6K injector, a model 440 fixed wavelength UV detector having an 8 μl flow through cell. The system was connected to an Omniscribe dual channel chart recorder. Reversed phase HPLC measurements were performed by injecting 25 μl of the sample onto a nucleosil 10 μC18 column using 60% methanol in 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 6.5 as mobile phase at a flow of 2 ml min⁻¹. The UV-absorption was measured at 313 nm (Figure 1).

Tumour concentrations were measured in tissues obtained by biopsy. After weighing a known amount of internal standard was added together with methanol to a 5-fold increase in volume. After mixing with a rotating knife, the sample was centrifuged at 3,000 g for 10 min. The supernatant was removed and the solvent evaporated at 37°C under a stream of dry nitrogen. Dried residue was redissolved in 500 μl methanol and after Vortex agitation, injected onto the HPLC column and estimated similar to the plasma samples. All plasma and tumour measurements were performed in duplicate, and the daily standard calibration curve was performed using different but known concentrations of drugs in plasma.

**Pharmacokinetic calculations**

The plasma pharmacokinetic parameters are described on the assumption of first order absorption and elimination kinetics. The elimination rate constant ke is determined during the elimination phase by least square regression calculation of the slope of a log-linear plot of nimorazole dose versus time. The elimination half life is then given by ln 2/ke. The area under the curve (AUC₀⁻∞) which gives an expression of the concentration × time exposure to the drug was calculated by the trapezoidal rule from time 0 to time t (usually 6 h). The remaining area was

| Subject | Sex | Age (yr) | Weight (kg) | Height (cm) | Surface area (m²) | Diagnosis                |
|---------|-----|----------|-------------|-------------|------------------|------------------------|
| 1       | M   | 35       | 70          | 181         | 1.9              | Healthy                |
| 2       | M   | 29       | 67          | 177         | 1.9              | Healthy                |
| 3       | F   | 61       | 59          | 165         | 1.6              | Breast carcinoma       |
| 4       | M   | 36       | 90          | 196         | 2.3              | Healthy                |
| 5       | M   | 39       | 71          | 176         | 1.9              | Healthy                |
| 6       | M   | 32       | 79          | 185         | 2.1              | Healthy                |
| 7       | M   | 49       | 70          | 187         | 2.1              | Healthy                |
| 8       | F   | 65       | 65          | 154         | 1.6              | Rectal carcinoma       |
| 9       | M   | 63       | 49          | 168         | 1.5              | Epidermoid carcinoma   |
| 10      | M   | 65       | 101         | 176         | 2.2              | Epidermoid carcinoma of the anus |
| 11      | M   | 39       | 70          | 172         | 1.8              | Healthy                |
| 12      | M   | 76       | 55          | 170         | 1.6              | Prostate carcinoma     |
| 13      | M   | 50       | 55          | 173         | 1.6              | Epidermoid carcinoma of the larynx |
| 14      | M   | 25       | 76          | 189         | 2.1              | Healthy                |
| 15      | F   | 72       | 75          | 163         | 1.8              | Lymphoma               |
| 16      | M   | 58       | 64          | 170         | 1.7              | Myeloma                |
| 17      | M   | 71       | 80          | 177         | 1.9              | Lymphoma               |
| 18      | F   | 56       | 64          | 170         | 1.7              | Malignant melanoma     |
| 19      | M   | 30       | 73          | 178         | 2.0              | Malignant melanoma     |
Nimorazole was well tolerated, and with the exception of slight nausea in one subject (2.5 g dose), no acute or chronic side effects have been recorded.

Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters obtained after single doses from 0.5–3.5 g are shown in Table II and typical plasma profiles are illustrated in Figure 2. Nimorazole is easily absorbed after oral administration with a mean absorption half life of 24 min ± 2 (s.e.). The absorption appears to be dose-independent. Peak plasma concentrations are reached between 35 and 125 min after intake (median 90 min). The peak plasma concentration was linear–linear related to the given dose in g, and this correlation was further improved if the dose was expressed in g m⁻² or better as mg kg⁻¹ (Figure 3). Thus, the peak plasma concentration was found to be 20.0 ± 2.0 (s.e.), 38.0 ± 2.8 and 130 ± 0.10 μg ml⁻¹, respectively when calculated per g, g m⁻² and mg kg⁻¹, respectively. Plasma elimination occurred with a half life ranging from 2.0–4.6 h with a mean of 3.1 ± 0.1. The elimination half life was found to be one of the most variable parameters but was not significantly altered as a function of dose (Figure 5).

The exposure to the drug calculated as the area under the curve (AUC) was also found to be dose related, although to a smaller extent than the peak plasma concentration due to the variations in drug elimination. However, there was a well-established linear–linear relationship between AUC and given dose, especially when expressed as mg kg⁻¹ (Figure 4).

The distribution of the drug estimated as the apparent volume of distribution (Vₐ) had a mean value of 0.79 ± 0.041 kg⁻¹. Although not statistically significant there appeared to be a trend towards a lower volume of distribution with increasing dose (Figure 5). A similar tendency was seen for the total body clearance (mean 218 ± 191 min⁻¹) which also appeared, although not statistically significant, to become smaller with increasing given dose. Thus, from the present data there are suggestions that certain parameters follow non-linear pharmacokinetics. This suggestion gets further support when analysing two subjects (1 and 4) in which multiple dose levels have been measured. Both showed an increasing elimination half life and a decrease in total body clearance and Vₐ with increasing given doses (Table II). It is therefore likely that the pharmacokinetics may be non-linear on an individual basis, but that this non-linearity is so small that it requires a larger investigation before it becomes generally apparent.

From the point of hypoxic radiosensitizing effect, tumour rather than the plasma concentration is the

---

**Figure 1** HPLC pattern of plasma containing 79 μg ml⁻¹ nimorazole extracted with methanol-containing naproxen as internal standard.
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Calculated by the formula $Cₜ/kₜ$, where $Cₜ$ is the plasma concentration at time $t$. The apparent volume of distribution ($Vₐ$) was estimated as $D/AUC$ where $D$ is the total dose in g. Plasma clearance was calculated as $D/kₜ·AUC$. Under the assumption that the kinetics follow a two-compartment one way model, a rough estimate of the absorption rate ($kₐ$) is obtained by the formula $\text{AUC} = C₀/kₜ - C₀/kₜ$, where $C₀$ is the dose at time 0 obtained by extrapolation of the log-linear elimination curve. Similarly, the absorption half life was estimated as $\ln(2)/kₚ$. 

---

**Results**

**Figure 2** Typical plasma profiles of nimorazole following single oral doses.
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**Figure 3** Mean peak plasma concentration (μg ml⁻¹) as a function of dose (g) and dose (g m⁻²).
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Table II  Summary of plasma pharmacokinetic parameters

| Subject | Dose g m\(^{-2}\) mg kg\(^{-1}\) | Peak conc. (\(\mu g ml^{-1}\)) | Absorption half life (min) | Elimination half life (h) | Plasma clearance (ml min\(^{-1}\)) | V\(_d\) (l kg\(^{-1}\)) | AUC\(_{0-\infty}\) (\(lug ml^{-1} hr\)) |
|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1       | 0.5 0.3                        | 7.1                         | 5.9               | 60              | 22                          | 2.6            | 320              | 1.03 26 |
| 2       | 0.5 0.3                        | 7.5                         | 7.0               | 60              | 22                          | 2.5            | 278              | 0.90 30 |
| 3       | 1.0 0.6                        | 16.9                        | 14.7              | 120             | 49                          | 3.7            | 183              | 0.99 91 |
| 4       | 1.0 0.4                        | 11.1                        | 10.0              | 65              | 20                          | 2.4            | 417              | 0.96 40 |
| 5       | 1.5 0.8                        | 21.1                        | 23.5              | 35              | 11                          | 2.9            | 240              | 0.85 104 |
| 6       | 1.5 0.7                        | 19.0                        | 29.2              | 100             | 23                          | 2.7            | 187              | 0.55 134 |
| 1       | 1.5 0.8                        | 21.4                        | 24.6              | 60              | 44                          | 2.8            | 248              | 0.86 101 |
| 7       | 1.5 0.7                        | 21.4                        | 19.0              | 100             | 46                          | 2.0            | 397              | 0.98 63  |
| 8       | 1.5 0.9                        | 23.1                        | 25.7              | 90              | 31                          | 3.2            | 182              | 0.77 138 |
| 4       | 2.0 0.8                        | 22.8                        | 22.4              | 120             | 25                          | 3.1            | 273              | 0.80 122 |
| 9       | 2.0 1.3                        | 40.0                        | 49.8              | 60              | 18                          | 3.5            | 113              | 0.69 293 |
| 10      | 2.0 0.9                        | 19.8                        | 31.0              | 60              | 16                          | 2.9            | 233              | 0.58 143 |
| 11      | 2.0 1.1                        | 28.6                        | 39.1              | 100             | 24                          | 4.6            | 108              | 0.62 310 |
| 12      | 2.0 1.3                        | 35.7                        | 56.9              | 60              | 13                          | 2.6            | 140              | 0.57 238 |
| 13      | 2.0 1.2                        | 36.4                        | 44.2              | 90              | 12                          | 3.6            | 125              | 0.71 266 |
| 14      | 2.5 1.2                        | 32.9                        | 34.4              | 60              | 17                          | 3.5            | 210              | 0.84 199 |
| 15      | 2.5 1.3                        | 33.3                        | 25.0              | 60              | 23                          | 3.0            | 372              | 1.29 109 |
| 2       | 2.5 1.3                        | 37.3                        | 50.5              | 60              | 8                           | 3.5            | 145              | 0.66 285 |
| 16      | 3.0 1.7                        | 46.9                        | 69.5              | 90              | 32                          | 4.2            | 107              | 0.61 469 |
| 1       | 3.0 1.6                        | 42.9                        | 49.4              | 120             | 25                          | 3.2            | 168              | 0.67 296 |
| 4       | 3.0 1.3                        | 33.3                        | 41.0              | 125             | 41                          | 3.7            | 192              | 0.69 262 |
| 12      | 3.5 2.2                        | 63.6                        | 79.3              | 45              | 14                          | 2.9            | 155              | 0.71 376 |

Figure 2  Typical plasma concentration profiles of nimorazole given in different doses.
critical tissue. Tumour biopsies were obtained from 5 patients ~2 h after intake of the drug (Table III). The tumour/plasma ratios ranged from 0.84–1.30 indicating that the same concentration levels can be achieved in tumour and plasma. In a part of the tumour sample from subject 8 a low tumour concentration was obtained, but this sample consisted of necrotic fluid and in more solid tumour tissue from the same metastasis a considerably higher concentration was found.

Early in the study nimorazole was tested for its potential clinical radiosensitizing ability. The data in Figure 6 show the effect of nimorazole on the initial radiation response in metastases from a malignant melanoma. In the same patient, different cutaneous metastases were treated with single doses of radiation, either alone or 4 h after a dose of nimorazole (51 mg kg⁻¹). This 4 h interval between drug intake and radiation was because the treatment was performed prior to these
pharmacokinetic studies and used a schedule similar to that applied to MISO. Presuming that the dose response pattern for initial tumour regressing reflects the radiation response, nimorazole seems to result in an enhanced radiation response. Naturally such an assumption is subject to severe limitations, but is supported by the apparent dose-response relationship. No obvious differences in acute skin reaction at identical radiation dose levels was noted; however, the patient died 2 months after treatment due to disseminated disease.

### Table III  Comparable plasma and tumour concentrations

| Subject | Dose (mg kg⁻¹) | Time after intake (min) | Concentration (pg ml⁻¹) | Tumour (µg g⁻¹) | Tumour/plasma ratio | Biopsy |
|---------|----------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------------|--------|
| 10      | 19.8           | 135                    | 18.7                    | 19.8           | 1.06                | Local anal recurrence |
| 8       | 23.1           | 120                    | 20.7                    | 17.5           | 0.85                | Subcutaneous gluteal metastasis |
| 8       | 23.1           | 120                    | 20.7                    | <2             | <0.10               | Same, necrotic fluid |
| 17      | 25.0           | 100                    | 14.2                    | 14.1           | 0.99                | Subcutaneous chestwall metastasis |
| 18      | 31.3           | 120                    | 17.0                    | 14.3           | 0.84                | Cutaneous melanoma on leg |
| 9       | 40.0           | 120                    | 32.2                    | 41.9           | 1.30                | Neck node |

### Discussion

The plasma pharmacokinetic analysis of nimorazole indicates that the drug is readily absorbed and that concentrations >50 µg ml⁻¹ can be achieved in the plasma after doses of 1.5 g m⁻². With all the reservations inherent in attempts to extrapolate from experimental data to the clinical situation, it is reasonable to assume that such a concentration, if attainable in the tumour, may result in substantial hypoxic cell radiosensitization (Overgaard et al., 1982b).

The elimination of nimorazole occurs with a half life of ~3 h compared to 10–12 h for MISO. In spite of that, the peak plasma concentrations observed in the present study are very similar to those obtained with comparable doses of oral MISO and metronidazole (Deutsch et al., 1975; Dische et al., 1979; Kogelnik, 1980; Phillips et al., 1981; Schwade et al., 1981; Workman, 1980). However, exposure to the drug expressed by the AUC was, at equivalent doses, only about one third of the AUC values for MISO (Overgaard et al., unpublished observations), and this may account for the low toxicity. Thus, no neurological disturbances have been observed, the only side effect being gastrointestinal, and at a level which can be controlled by antiemetic therapy.

In addition, the tumour plasma ratios were similar to those observed with MISO (Rich et al., 1981), and it is likely that the concentration in viable tumour tissue will be of the same magnitude as the plasma level. The low level found in necrotic tissue in one tumour is also in agreement with more
detailed data from tumours exposed to MISO (Rich et al., 1981). The apparently similar tumour/plasma ratios of MISO and nimorazole despite differences in elimination half life were an expected observation (Workman, 1980). It is therefore likely that tumour concentrations corresponding to those which result in an enhancement ratio of 1.4 in an experimental tumour treated with single doses of nimorazole can be achieved in the clinical situation. Furthermore, the experimental results indicate that the dose-response relationship for the radiosensitizing effect of nimorazole is minimal once a threshold has been reached (Overgaard et al., 1982b). It is anticipated that this threshold level can be achieved clinically, since nimorazole appears to be no more toxic than metronidazole (Flagyl). Thus, it is expected that nimorazole could be given in daily doses of ~1.5 g m⁻² over 5–6 weeks which will allow the drug to be used in conventional radiation fractionation schedules. An on-going phase 1 clinical study has shown that 2 g daily for 4 weeks can be tolerated without side effects other than moderate and acceptable nausea (Timothy et al., unpublished observations).

The tendency to non-linear pharmacokinetics observed in the present study is not unexpected since similar patterns have been observed for both MISO and nimorazole in mice (Workman, 1979, 1980). However, the extent of the observed non-linearity is small and within the variations otherwise observed among the investigated subjects. It may therefore have no practical implication for the use of nimorazole as a hypoxic radiosensitizer.

Nimorazole is almost completely metabolized and two metabolites have been characterized (Giraldi, 1971; Workman, 1980). In the present study these have not been analysed, but preliminary studies suggest a lower hypoxic radiosensitizing ability than nimorazole and these may therefore not contribute significantly to the overall hypoxic radiosensitization (Smithen & Hardy, 1982).

The present pharmacokinetic data together with the experimental tumour studies (Overgaard et al., 1982b) give promise of a hypoxic radiosensitizer that may be used clinically in doses which, when given in normal radiation fraction schemes, may result in higher enhancement ratios than any currently available sensitizer in clinical use, and with a low toxicity. Therefore, nimorazole deserves a high priority among those hypoxic cell radiosensitizers which are currently being considered as candidates for clinical evaluation.
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