control of this hardware. As far as brown coal surface mines are concerned, the following topics are of prime interest (Rucký et al. 2004):

- Facilitation of the process of control of excavator operation (e.g. prognoses of qualitative parameters of coal being mined).
- Calculations of volumes of mined materials in nearly real time.
- Very accurate control of creation of the movement plane of the excavator and immediate control of mining targets.
- Control and checking of associated mining mechanisms (e.g. dozers).

In 2006 Severočeské doly j.s.c launched a research project titled “GNSS-Aided Determination of the Position of the Bucked Wheel of the K800/103/N1 Excavator” (Fig. 1). A project was developed, in which all the components of the system were designed, including their positions, as well as systems of data transfers and data evaluation.
The system consisted of three basic elements (Talácko 2007):

- Measurement segment (GNSS, inertial sensors, control unit).
- Communication segment (radio or GPRS communication for reception and transmission of data).
- User segment (evaluation software).

On bucket wheel excavator K800/103 (Fig. 2) the measurement segment originally (2006) consisted of 2 DGPS devices Trimble DSM 232 (Dot 1, Dot 2), 2 inclinometers (Dot 3, Dot 4) and one incremental rotation speed sensor (Dot 5). Corrections for DGPS were transferred from the reference station to the excavator employing a radio-modem. A control unit controls the operation of the entire system. The position of the centre of the bucket wheel axis (Dot 6) is computed from X, Y, Z coordinates acquired from the GNSS devices and on the basis of sensors’ data (inclinations, rotation speeds) on a 5-second basis. Using radio-modems, the data is transmitted to the headquarters building of the Surveying and Geology Department, where it is saved. Then the data is processed by evaluation software (KVAS Prognosis Models). The system has been operated since December 2006.

2. The research programme

The data transferred is saved in database file *.DB. All the values measured are saved in this database. On a five-second basis the database should be updated with a series of newly measured values. The first tools have already been developed in the “KVAS – Prognosis Model” programme for visualisation of the excavator and utilisation of the results of computation of the position of the centre of the bucket wheel axis (Jiráňková 2012).

The section of digital operational map in (Fig. 3) shows the position of schematized K800/103 excavator. On the left hand side, the vertical geological profile is shown in simplified terms. The position of the bucket wheel in relation to the profile in the relevant site can be seen. The individual types of coal are colour–discerned on the basis of qualitative parameters. Mining plan for excavator is also shown (red lines) as well as boreholes. Another view is on (Fig. 4). The current status and the history of qualitative parameters of the coal (Elevation of bucket wheel – 1, Heating Value Qt – 2 and sulphur content Sd – 3), as derived from the model, can be seen in the left part of the figure.
The measured data also serves for detailed analysis of the entire process. The analysis is developed by the Institute of Geodesy and Mine Surveying of the Technical University – VŠB Ostrava. The following is carried out in particular:

- Analysis of data transfer (number of measurements received).
- Analysis of accuracy of individual meters (Analysis of gross errors; Analysis of the mean errors of individual measurements and identification of the critical point; Comparison of computed mean errors with values identified by the manufacturers of all the used meters).
- Analysis of the accuracy of the calculation of the bucket wheel axis centre (mean error propagation).
- Analysis of data during operation of the excavator.

The basic equations for determination of 3D (XK, YK and ZK) bucket wheel axis position were derived from geometry of excavator and positions of GNSS and inertial sensors at the K800/103/N1 (Fig. 5) excavator (1), (2), (3):

\[
Y_K = Y_{GPS1} + \sin \left( \arctg \frac{Y_{GPS2} - Y_{GPS1}}{X_{GPS2} - X_{GPS1}} \right) \times \left[ 7.557 + \frac{12.03}{40.423} \right] \times \cos \left( 19.648 - SKL2 - X \right) + \left( 35.966 \times \cos SK1 \right) \right];
\]

\[
X_K = X_{GPS1} + \cos \left( \arctg \frac{Y_{GPS2} - Y_{GPS1}}{X_{GPS2} - X_{GPS1}} \right) \times \left[ 7.557 + \frac{12.03}{40.423} \right] \times \cos \left( 19.648 - SKL2 - X \right) + \left( 35.966 \times \cos SK1 \right) \right];
\]

\[
Z_K = Z_{GPS1} - \left[ 1.77 + \sin \left( 19.648 - SKL2 - X \right) \times \left( 7.557 + \frac{12.03}{40.423} \right) \right] + 35.966 \times \sin SK1 \right].
\]

Accuracy of calculation 3D bucket wheel axis position was derived using of mean error propagation method:

\[
m_{Y_K} = \pm \sqrt{ \left( \frac{\partial Y_K}{\partial Y_{GPS1}} m_{Y_{GPS1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial Y_K}{\partial Y_{GPS2}} m_{Y_{GPS2}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial Y_K}{\partial X_{GPS1}} m_{X_{GPS1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial Y_K}{\partial X_{GPS2}} m_{X_{GPS2}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial Y_K}{\partial IRC} m_{IRC} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial Y_K}{\partial SKL1} m_{SKL1} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial Y_K}{\partial SKL2} m_{SKL2} \right)^2 \right];
\]

\[
m_{X_K} = \pm \sqrt{ \left( \frac{\partial X_K}{\partial Y_{GPS1}} m_{Y_{GPS1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial X_K}{\partial Y_{GPS2}} m_{Y_{GPS2}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial X_K}{\partial X_{GPS1}} m_{X_{GPS1}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial X_K}{\partial X_{GPS2}} m_{X_{GPS2}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial X_K}{\partial IRC} m_{IRC} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial X_K}{\partial SKL1} m_{SKL1} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial X_K}{\partial SKL2} m_{SKL2} \right)^2 \right];
\]
The equations (4–6) for mean errors $m_{X_k}$, $m_{Y_k}$, and $m_{Z_k}$ of $X_k$, $Y_k$, and $Z_k$ calculation we got using partial derivation. The final equations are quite extensive therefore we do not bring them in the article. We appointed values of mean errors individual measurement instruments to Equations (4), (5), (6) (final version) and have obtained mean errors $m_{X_k}$, $m_{Y_k}$, and $m_{Z_k}$ influenced by accuracy of individual measurement instruments.

3. Partial conclusions from the research project (2007)

The accuracy of determination of the position and elevation of the centre of the wheel axis depends also on the reliability of transfer of corrections from the reference station to the excavator as, unless the corrections are transferred from the reference station to the excavator, GNSS receivers switch automatically from the DGPS mode to the “navigation” mode – with considerably compromised accuracy. The first results of data analyses showed that it would be necessary to ensure better quality transfer of corrections from the base to the excavator and vice versa – the success rate was about 70%. This, consequently, had a negative impact on the accuracy of the GNSS measurements.

The first results already suggest that for the purpose of routine application, the RTK regime will have to be used and the GNSS receivers will have to be upgraded, particularly due to the need to increase the accuracy 3D position determinations. Using DGPS technology we achieved average accuracy of determination of the position and elevation of the centre of the wheel axis:

$$m_{X_k} = \pm 0.164 \text{ m} = \pm 0.164 \text{ m};$$
$$m_{Y_k} = \pm 0.143 \text{ m} = \pm 0.143 \text{ m};$$
$$m_{Z_k} = \pm 0.265 \text{ m} = \pm 0.265 \text{ m}.$$

4. The results of development in 2009

On the results of our analysis, SD Company has realized two important changes. Radio communication for reception and transmission of data was displaced by GPRS system. 2 DGPS devices Trimble DSM 232 were changed by 2 RTK Leica MNS1230 GG. The Leica MNS1200 GNSS is specifically designed for construction and mining machine operation at toughest conditions.

The results of accuracy analysis are better now and for main future purpose – prognosis of qualitative parameters of coal to be mined in near future (short term prognoses) – are satisfying. Data transfer success rate is better than 99% and average accuracy (RMS) of determination of the 3D position of the centre of the wheel axis:

$$m_{X_k} = \pm 0.025 \text{ m} ;$$
$$m_{Y_k} = \pm 0.012 \text{ m} ;$$
$$m_{Z_k} = \pm 0.041 \text{ m} .$$

5. Analysis of internal and real accuracy of the system for Determination of Excavator Bucket Wheel Position for the period 2011–2015

During this time, the position of two points on a bucket excavators was determined by using GNSS receivers (GNSS Trimble SPS 851). The inclination of the entire excavators and boom, by inclinometer (Sitall Electronic). Extension boom with incremental encoder (LARM Inc.) (Krivda 2013). In Tables 1 and 2 there are accuracies of measuring instruments (standard errors). The accuracy of the devices were analyzed except the period of mining. Wheel position has not changed. We used a large data set (5-second measurement intervals). For example on 1st July 2011 there were 17 271 measurements. For evaluation was chosen period from 11:57:49 to 3:03:09 p.m., which means 2225 measurements (Fig. 6) (Sládková et al. 2011).

| Table 1. Accuracy GNSS apparatus featured manufacturers |
|-------------------------------------------------------|
| **GNSS aparature** | horizontal accuracy | vertical accuracy |
| Trimble SPS 851 (RTK) | ±0.01 m + 1 ppm | ±0.02 m + 1 ppm |

| Table 2. Accuracy inclinometer featured by producers |
|------------------------------------------------------|
| **Inclinometer** | **Accuracy (in range)** |
| Sitall STS-015-180-2-RS232 | ±0.1° (inclination to ±60°) / ±0.2° (inclination ±80°) |

On large files measurements there were verified that the accuracy of the incremental rotary encoder (IRC) is several orders of magnitude higher than precision of other measuring instruments. For purposes of verifying the accuracy of the system as a whole are precision values IRC ignored and the values deemed as...
flawless (many times shown on large sets of measurements).

Measurements whose deviation from the mean value exceeded three times the median errors were excluded from tested datasets. From the data of 1.7.2011 were a four measurements of the number 2225. The values of precision measuring instruments correspond to the values declared by the producers (Table 3).

Table 3. An average achieved accuracy of GNSS devices

| GNSS device       | Horizontal accuracy | Vertical accuracy |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Trimble SPS 851   | 0.008 m             | 0.010 m           |

An average achieved accuracy of inclinometer Sitall-015-180-2 STS-232 is ±0.0046°. There were calculated standard error of the wheel center coordinates of each affected precision surveying and measuring instruments (Table 4) (Vrublová et al. 2012).

Table 4. Results of calculation errors secondary of the center coordinates wheel axis

| The mean error | \(m_{x_k}\) | \(m_{y_k}\) | \(m_{z_k}\) |
|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Dimension      | [m]      |          |          |
| Average        | 0.008    | 0.007    | 0.010    |
| The resulting values | \(m_{x_k} = 0.011\) | \(m_{z} = 0.010\) |

Compared with the previous period, there is a noticeable qualitative shift in determining the accuracy of the wheel centre. Achieved accuracy is a kind of intrinsic accuracy of the measuring instruments. The actual accuracy of the system can be verified by geodetic methods and is significantly lower. Still it is suitable for applications such as digital terrain model updates, prediction of qualitative parameters of coal, applications for elimination of risks and volume calculations of extracted masses. Direct measurement of the center of the axis of the wheel has not been possible, because it is a point that is inside the wheel and it is technically impossible to focus. There was chosen procedure, when there were measured the attitude and altitude oriented centers of both ends of the axis of the wheel, which are available for targeting. These are points O1 and O2, which are targeted to the Figure 7 by arrow.

The last measurement was on Nástud Tušimice mine since 13.5.2015 to 2.6.2015 and on Blina mine since 5.5.2015 to 21.5.2015. There were measured standpoints for measurements of points O1 and O2 by using GNSS with the same transformation key (same reference station) as uses GNSS receivers, which are components of excavator. From the standpoint there were measured points O1 and O2 by electronic tachymetres height and attitude and the center position against the wheel (usually not centreline) measured points O1 and O2 there were calculated coordinates of the center axis of the wheel XK, YK and ZK (point K). For simplicity, the coordinates were acquired by control geodetic measurement, regarded as correct. Simultaneously, a database system for monitoring wheel in real time deducted coordinates of the center axis of the wheel XK, YK and ZK calculated from measurements of measuring instruments on an excavator at a time when the inspection took place by measurement.

Comparison of coordinates obtained from the system for the center axis of the wheel and the geodetic measurement are in Tables 5 and 6. Deviations of Oxy express the deviation in position and Oz is the deviation in height are in Tables 5 and 6. Deviations of Oxy express the deviation in position and Oz is the deviation in height.
### Table 5. Results of control at Nástup Tušimice mine

| Excavator  | Day        | Time | $O_{xy}$ | $O_z$ |
|------------|------------|------|----------|-------|
| K800/103   | 13.5.2015  | 8:25 | 0.37     | 0.01  |
| K800/104   | 14.5.2015  | 8:35 | 0.38     | 0.06  |
| KU300/64   | 14.5.2015  | 10:05| 0.31     | −0.02 |
| KU300/76   | 14.5.2015  | 9:20 | 0.08     | −0.08 |
| KU300/88   | 14.5.2015  | 9:00 | 0.22     | −0.06 |
| KU300/89   | 14.5.2015  | 9:40 | 0.47     | 0.15  |
| SchR1550/109 | 21.5.2015 | 10:05| 0.20     | 0.27  |
| KU800/106  | 21.5.2015  | 8:50 | 0.66     | −0.06 |

### Table 6. Results of the control measurements at Bílina mine

| Excavator  | Day        | Time | $O_{xy}$ | $O_z$ |
|------------|------------|------|----------|-------|
| KU800/98   | 5.5.2015   | 11:00| 0.37     | 0.06  |
| K2000/101  | 5.5.2015   | 11:55| 0.68     | 0.01  |
| KU800/99   | 7.5.2015   | 8:55 | 0.34     | −0.05 |
| KK1300/111 | 7.5.2015   | 9:45 | 0.36     | −0.07 |
| KU302/102  | 11.5.2015  | 9:05 | 0.19     | −0.24 |
| KU300/70   | 11.5.2015  | 9:50 | 0.20     | −0.19 |
| KU300/83   | 11.5.2015  | 10:25| 0.37     | 0.27  |
| KU300/68   | 13.5.2015  | 8:35 | 0.71     | −0.15 |
| KU800/105  | 13.5.2015  | 9:18 | 0.73     | −0.14 |
| KU300/91   | 21.5.2015  | 9:12 | 0.37     | 0.11  |
| KU300/71   | 21.5.2015  | 9:25 | 0.48     | −0.10 |

### 6. The main goals

After the research programme is completed, a complex system can come into being of measurement of positions of mining mechanisms, which could be beneficial in multiple areas:

- Mining of coal, striping and combined haulage levels:
  - Visualisation of the positions of excavators and their movements and elevations in real time at earmarked computers connected to the network and provided with relevant software.
  - Information on the position of the wheel in relationship to the stratum profile or stripping level.
  - Saving of mining procedures and their retroactive retrieval in both graphic and numerical forms (checking and analytical purposes).

- Control of creation of movement surface/planes in real time and its immediate checks.
- Computation of qualitative parameters of coal in real time with all excavators and simulation of the functions of the qualitative parameter meters.
- Development of prognosis of qualitative parameters of coal to be mined in near future (short term prognoses).
- Computation of volumes of mined materials in real time (the volumes of mined materials will be known with almost "surveying" accuracy immediately after the relevant period – day/month).
- Measurement of extension of the wheel boom.

### 6. The main goals

After the research programme is completed, a complex system can come into being of measurement of positions of mining mechanisms, which could be beneficial in multiple areas:

- Mining of coal, striping and combined haulage levels:
  - Visualisation of the positions of excavators and their movements and elevations in real time at earmarked computers connected to the network and provided with relevant software.
  - Information on the position of the wheel in relationship to the stratum profile or stripping level.
  - Saving of mining procedures and their retroactive retrieval in both graphic and numerical forms (checking and analytical purposes).

### Conclusions

The process of mining of industrial minerals in rather complicated geological conditions (variable morphology and qualitative parameters – both vertically and horizontally, occurrence of idle underground mines etc.) cannot be presently fully automated, as is nowadays commonplace in, e.g. electrical engineering, in industry of manufacture of simple mechanical engineering products or in the food industry. Nevertheless, the developments in information technologies as well as in other technologies enable to expedite the feedback within the line of "planning – implementation-check". Information on where an excavator operates and what is being mined can be acquired (with some degree of inaccuracy) immediately. It is critical now if such mechanisms can be created that can exploit this data and influence the production process. In the meantime
we are at the beginning of resolving the task, to which multiple disciplines will have to contribute. Through resolving the issue of accurate and reliable determination of the 3D position of the operating machineries and associated mechanisms in mines, the mining engineers, surveyors, geologists, reclamation engineers and managerial staff will acquire a tool for better control and ensuing checks of production processes during open cast mining of industrial minerals.

Acknowledgements

This article was written in connection with project Institute of clean technologies for mining and utilization of raw materials for energy use – Sustainability program. Identification code: LO1406. Project is supported by the National Programme for Sustainability I (2013–2020) financed by the state budget of the Czech Republic.

References

Jiráňková, E. 2012. Utilisation of surface subsidence measurements in assessing failures of rigid strata overlying extracted coal seams, International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences 53: 111–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijrmms.2012.05.007

Křivda, V. 2013. Analysis of conflict situations in road traffic on roundabouts, Promet – Traffic&Transportation: Scientific Journal on Traffic and Transportation Research 25(3): 295–303. http://dx.doi.org/10.7307/ptt.v25i3.296

Rucký, P.; Blövský, J.; Vrubel, M. 2004. Některé zkušenosti s aplikací GPS v povrchovém dobývání na DNT–SD A.S. a v pořáském revíru [Experience about application of GPS during open cast mining at DNT-SD PLC and in the Rhineland Coal Basin], in Uhli-Rudy-Geologický růžkum, 2/2004.

Sládková, D.; Kapica, R.; Vrubel, M. 2011. Global navigation satellite system (GNSS) technology for automation of surface mining, International Journal of Mining, Reclamation and Environment 25(3): 284–294. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/17480930.2011.608879

Taláčko, M. 2007. Systém pro sledování prostorové polohy kolesa rypadla K 800/N1 [System for monitoring of the spatial position of the K800/N1 excavator wheel]. Technical Report 3/2007.

Vrublová, D.; Kapica, R.; Jurman, J. 2012. Methodology devising for bucket-wheel excavator surveying by laser scanning method to determine its main geometrical parameters, Geodesy and Cartography 38(4): 157–164. http://dx.doi.org/10.3846/20296991.2012.757438

Dana VRUBLOVÁ. Ing., PhD Asst. Prof., The Institute of Combined Studies in Most, Faculty of Mining and Geology, VSB – Technical University of Ostrava. Research interests: geodesy, cartography, mine surveying.

Roman KAPICA. Ing., PhD Asst. Prof., The Institute of Geodesy and Mining Surveying, Faculty of Mining and Geology, VSB – Technical University of Ostrava. Research interests: photogrammetry, laser scanning, close range photogrammetry, unmanned aircraft system.

Beáta GIBESOVÁ. Ing., PhD, The Institute of Combined Studies in Most, Faculty of Mining and Geology, VSB – Technical University of Ostrava. Research interests: waste management, waste processing and disposal, biotechnologies.

Jaroslav MUDRUŇKA. Ing., The Institute of Combined Studies in Most, Faculty of Mining and Geology, VSB – Technical University of Ostrava. Research interests: dust prevention in coal mines.

Adam STRUŠ. Ing., Institute of Geodesy and Mining Surveying, Faculty of Mining and Geology, VSB – Technical University of Ostrava. Research interests: geodesy, cartography.