Abstract. mTOR is involved in the proliferation of liver cancer. However, the clinical benefit of treatment with mTOR inhibitors for liver cancer is controversial. Protein disulfide isomerase A member 3 (PDIA3) is a chaperone protein, and it supports the assembly of mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1) and stabilizes signaling. Inhibition of PDIA3 function by a small molecule known as 16F16 may destabilize mTORC1 and enhance the effect of the mTOR inhibitor everolimus (Ev). The aim of the present study was to elucidate the usefulness of combination treatment with Ev and 16F16 in liver cancer using cultured Li-7 and HuH-6 cells. The proliferation of cultured cells was examined following treatment with 0.01 µM Ev, 2 µM 16F16 or both. The expression levels and phosphorylation of S6 kinase (S6K) and 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) were examined by western blotting. Li-7 was susceptible to Ev, and proliferation was reduced to 69.5±7.2% by Ev compared with that of untreated cells. Proliferation was reduced to 90.2±10.8% by 16F16 but to 62.3±12.2% by combination treatment with Ev and 16F16. HuH-6 cells were resistant to Ev, and proliferation was reduced to 86.7±6.1% by Ev and 86.6±4.8% by 16F16. However, combination treatment suppressed proliferation to 57.7±4.0%. Phosphorylation of S6K was reduced by Ev in both Li-7 and HuH-6 cells. Phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 was reduced by combination treatment in both Li-7 and HuH-6 cells. Immunoprecipitation assays demonstrated that PDIA3 formed a complex with 4E-BP1 but not with S6K. The small molecule 16F16 increased susceptibility to Ev in cultured liver cancer cells, which are resistant to Ev. The inhibition was associated with reduction of 4E-BP1 phosphorylation, which formed a complex with PDIA3. Combination treatment with Ev and 16F16 could be a novel therapeutic strategy for liver cancer.

Introduction

Liver cancer is the third leading causes of cancer death in the world (1). Early detection of liver cancer is difficult and most of cases are diagnosed at an advanced stage. Surgical resection is the primary treatment for liver cancer, and in some cases, liver cancer is treated with chemotherapy, transcatheter arterial chemoembolization, and radiofrequency ablation (2-5). The prognosis of liver cancer is not favorable even after complete surgical resection.

There are multiple mechanisms for carcinogenesis of liver cancer (6). Mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a serine/threonine kinase, which regulates cell proliferation, cell death, metabolism and expression of growth factors (Fig. 1A) (7-10). mTOR is overexpressed in approximately 40% of liver cancer, and liver cancer with overexpression of mTOR follows an unfavorable clinical course (11). Preclinical studies showed that inhibition of the mTOR pathway suppress the development of liver cancer (12-16). However, clinical trials of mTOR inhibitors
in liver cancer did not demonstrate significant improvement of survival (17). Subpopulation analysis of a clinical trial of everolimus (Ev) suggested that liver cancer without the expression of tuberous sclerosis complex 2 (TSC2), which suppresses mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1), is associated with susceptibility to Ev, and liver cancer with TSC2 expression was resistant to Ev (18). Alternatively, the activation of other signaling pathways such as mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and phosphatidylinositol-3 kinase (PI3K) has been suggested (6). A novel strategy is awaited to improve the effect of mTOR inhibitor and the prognosis of patients of liver cancer.

Protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) is a chaperone protein that supports the folding of synthesized proteins (19). PDIs have also been shown to be involved in multiple cellular functions such as degradation of protein, antigen processing, stabilization of receptors and intracellular signaling, and cell death (20). PDIs are involved in cellular functions in carcinoma cells. In liver cancer, a molecule of the PDI family, PDI A member 3 (PDIA3), which is known as GRP58 or ERP57, is highly expressed (21). The prognosis of liver cancer with a high PDIA3 expression level is worse than that of liver cancer with a low expression level.

PDIA3 is involved in the assembly and stability of signaling molecules such as mTOR and STAT3 (19,22). It has been shown that PDIA3 forms a complex with mTORC1 and stabilizes the signaling pathway (23). The knock-down of PDIA3 reduced the phosphorylation activity of mTORC1, whereas overexpression enhanced the activity. It is thus plausible that inhibition of PDIA3 function destabilizes mTORC1 and attenuates its signaling activity. 16F16 is a small compound that inhibits the function of PDIs (24). It is expected that the suppression of the PDIA3 function by 16F16 destabilizes the assembly of mTORC1 and increases the effect of mTOR inhibitor against liver cancer.

The aim of the present study is to explore whether PDIA3 inhibitor could increase the antiproliferative effect of Ev in liver cancer. The effect was investigated in 2 cultured liver cancer cell lines; i.e., Li-7, which lacks TSC2 expression and is susceptible to Ev, and HuH-6, which expresses TSC2 and is resistant to Ev (18). Using these cultured cell lines, the effects of Ev and 16F16 on cell proliferation and phosphorylation of molecules in the mTOR signaling pathway were investigated. The expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is essential for the formation of blood vessels in liver cancer (25), was also examined.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and culture. Cultured human liver cancer cell lines, Li-7 and HuH-6, were obtained from RIKEN BioResource Center, and Japanese Collection of Research Bioresources, respectively. Li-7 was derived from hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (26), and Hu-H-6 was derived from hepatoblastoma (27). The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Nichirei Biosciences, Inc.) at 37°C.

Viability assay. Cultured cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 3x10^3 cells/well and cultured at 37°C for 24 h. Ev, an inhibitor of mTOR (Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.), and 16F16, an inhibitor of PDIs (Enzo Life Sciences, Inc.), were then added to the culture medium, and the cells were cultured at 37°C for 72 h. Viable cells were determined using Cell Counting Kit-8 (Dojindo Molecular Technologies, Inc.). Ten microliters CCK-8 solution containing 2-(2-methoxy-4-nitrophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)-5-(2,4-disulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, monosodium salt was added to each well and incubated at 37°C for 2 h. The absorbance at 450 nm was measured using an iMark Microplate Reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.). Experiments were performed in triplicate. Cell viability was calculated as the percentage of viable cells treated with 16F16 and/or Ev compared with untreated cells.

Proliferation assay. Cultured cells were plated in 96-well plates at a density of 3x10^3 cells/well and cultured at 37°C for 24 h. Then, 0.01 µM Ev and 2 µM 16F16 were added to the culture medium, and the cells were cultured at 37°C for 72 h. After 3 washes with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), the cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6)/0.5% SDS and sonicated for 20 min. The protein concentration was quantified using Pierce 660 nm Protein Assay Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), and protein samples were used for western blot analysis.

Immunoprecipitation (IP) analysis. Cultured HuH-6 cells were plated in 100-mm dishes at a density of 1.0x10^6 cells/dish and cultured at 37°C for 24 h. Then, 0.01 µM Ev and 2 µM 16F16 were added to culture medium, and the cells were cultured at 37°C for 72 h. After 3 washes with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), the cells were lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.6)/0.5% SDS and sonicated for 20 min. The protein concentration was quantified using Pierce 660 nm Protein Assay Reagent. Immune precipitation was done in a solution containing 500 µg protein, Protein A/G PLUS-Agarose (cat. no. 6200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and antibodies listed in Table I or isotype mouse IgG1 or rabbit IgG (cat. nos. 5415 and 3900; both from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) in 500 µl IP Lysis Buffer (with protease inhibitor cocktail (cat. no. P8340); dilution, 1:100; Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), and incubated on ice for 10 min. The lysate was then collected with a scraper and transferred to a 1.5-ml tube. The lysate was centrifuged at 12,000 x g at 4°C for 5 min and the supernatant was transferred to a new 1.5 ml tube. The protein concentration was quantified using Pierce 660 nm Protein Assay Reagent.

Immunoprecipitation was done in a solution containing 500 µg protein, Protein A/G PLUS-Agarose (cat. no. 6200, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), and antibodies listed in Table I or isotype mouse IgG1 or rabbit IgG (cat. nos. 5415 and 3900; dilution 1:100; both from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) in 500 µl IP Lysis Buffer with protease inhibitor cocktail at 4°C overnight. The mixture was added to Sigma Prep Spin Columns with Break-Away Tips (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA), and the columns were washed with 500 µl IP Lysis Buffer 3 times. Then, 30 µl of Laemmli Sample Buffer (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc.) with 3-mercaptethanol was loaded into the columns, and the columns were incubated at 95°C for 5 min. Protein samples were retrieved by centrifugation at 100 x g for 3 min and the samples were used for western blot analysis.

Western blot analysis. Protein samples were electrophoresed in 5-20% polyacrylamide gel (e-PAGEL; ATTO Corp.) and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. After
blocking with a mixture of 5% skim milk and Tris-buffered saline/0.05% Tween-20 at room temperature for 1 h, the membrane was incubated with antibodies listed in Table I at 4°C overnight. After washing with 25 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0)/150 mM NaCl/0.01% Triton X, the membranes were incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated anti-mouse immunoglobulin antibody (True Blot, cat. no. 18-8817-33; dilution, 1:10,000; Rockland Inc.), anti-mouse immunoglobulin antibody (cat. no. A106PU; dilution, 1:10,000), or anti-rabbit immunoglobulin antibody (cat. no. A102PU; dilution, 1:10,000, both from American Qualex Scientific Products, Inc.) at room temperature for 1 h. The peroxidase activity was detected as chemiluminescence using SuperSignal West Dura Extended Duration Substrate (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

Table I. List of antibodies used in the present study.

| Antibody   | Cat. no. | Company                                      | Dilution | WB | IP |
|------------|----------|----------------------------------------------|----------|----|----|
| PDIA3      | ab13506  | Abcam                                        | 1:2,000  | 1:100 |
| TSC2       | 4308     | Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.              | 1:1,000  | 1:100 |
| mTOR       | 2972     | Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.              | 1:1,000  | 1:100 |
| 4E-BP1     | 9644     | Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.              | 1:1,000  | 1:100 |
| p-4E-BP1    | 13396    | Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.              | 1:1,000  | -   |
| S6K        | 9202     | Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.              | 1:1,000  | 1:100 |
| p-S6K       | 9234     | Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.              | 1:1,000  | -   |
| β-actin    | A5316    | Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA                    | 1:10,000 | -   |

IP, immunoprecipitation; WB, western blotting; PDIA3, protein disulfide isomerase A member 3; TSC2, tuberous sclerosis complex 2; 4E-BP1, 4E-binding protein 1; S6K, S6 kinase.

Reverse transcription-quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR). Cells were plated on 60-mm dishes at 1.0×10⁶ cells/well and cultured at 37°C for 24 h. Then, 0.01 μM Ev and/or 2 μM 16F16 were added to culture medium and the cells were cultured at 37°C for 72 h. After a wash with PBS, total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at room temperature for 1 h. The concentration of total RNA was measured using NanoDrop (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

Total RNA (200 ng) was treated with DNase I (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at room temperature for 15 min. cDNA was reverse-transcribed from total RNA using a SuperScript VILO cDNA Synthesis Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. Quantitative PCR was performed in a 20-μl reaction mixture containing 1X TaqMan Fast Universal PCR Master Mix (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 1X TaqMan primers and probes and reverse-transcribed cDNA. The TaqMan primers and probes were as follows: vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (Hs00900055_m1) and 18S ribosome RNA (rRNA) (Hs03928990) (all from Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The reaction was initiated with incubation at 95°C for 20 sec, followed by 40 cycles of incubation at 95°C for 1 sec and at 60°C for 20 sec. Alterations in fluorescence were monitored using the Step One Plus Real-Time PCR System (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The expression level of VEGF was standardized with that of 18S rRNA. The expression levels were calculated by the 2^{-ΔΔCq} method (28).

Statistical analysis. All statistical analyses were performed using R software. The half maximal-inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) was calculated as the estimated value ± standard error. All other data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Comparison of data between 2 groups was performed using Mann-Whitney U test. Three or more groups were conducted by Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn’s post-hoc test. P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

Results

Expression of molecules in cultured cells. Expression of TSC2 was not detected in Li-7 cells, whereas TSC2 was expressed in HuH-6 cells (Fig. 1B). The expression of mTOR, S6 kinase (S6K), 4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1), and PDIA3 was noted in both HuH-6 and Li-7 cells, and their expression levels appeared comparable between the 2 lines.

Viability of cultured cells treated with everolimus and 16F16. Li-7 cells were susceptible to Ev, and HuH-6 cells were resistant to Ev (Fig. 2A). Treatment with 0.01 μM Ev inhibited viability to 54.0±5.0% in Li-7 cells, but only to 97.8±5.0% in HuH-6 cells. The IC₅₀ of Ev was 0.02±0.01 μM in Li-7 cells and 9.26±4.44 μM in HuH-6 cells. It was shown that high concentration of 16F16 reduces the viability of culture liver cancer cells. Susceptibility to 16F16 was, however, comparable between Li-7 and HuH-6 cells (Fig. 2B). The IC₅₀ of 16F16 was 5.27±0.16 and 5.05±0.12 μM in Li-7 and HuH-6 cells, respectively.

Susceptibility in Li-7 cells and resistance in HuH-6 cells to Ev was comparable with a previous report (18). The difference
in the cell viability by Ev between Li-7 and HuH-6 was evident at 0.01 µM. Although 16F16 reduced the cell viability at high concentration, the susceptibility was same in Li-7 and HuH-6 cells. At low concentration of 2 µM 16F16, the viability was suppressed only to 98.2±8.6% in Li-7 cells and 98.1±2.4% in HuH-6 cells. It was considered that at this concentration, 16F16 did not show a significant cytotoxic effect in either cell line. Depending on these evidences, the subsequent experiments were performed under treatment with 0.01 µM Ev alone, 2 µM 16F16 alone, and a combination of 0.01 µM Ev and 2 µM 16F16.

**Effect of combination treatment with everolimus and 16F16 on cultured cells.** Li-7 cells treated with Ev alone appeared less viable at 72 h compared with untreated Li-7 cells (Fig. 3A). 16F16 did not appear to affect viability. Cultured cell treated with Ev and 16F16 appeared less viable. Conversely, HuH-6 cells appeared viable at 72 h when treated with Ev alone or 16F16 alone (Fig. 3B). Cultured cells treated with Ev and 16F16 in combination appeared to be less viable.

Proliferation of Li-7 cells was significantly reduced to 69.5±7.2% by treatment with Ev alone compared with untreated Li-7 cells at 72 h (Fig. 3C). Proliferation was reduced to 90.2±10.8% by treatment with 16F16 but was significantly suppressed to 62.3±12.2% by combination treatment with Ev and 16F16. In HuH-6 cells, proliferation was reduced to 86.7±6.1% by Ev alone and 86.6±4.8% by 16F16 alone, whereas proliferation was significantly inhibited to 57.7±4.0% by combination treatment with Ev and 16F16 (Fig. 3D).

**Expression and phosphorylation of molecules of the mTOR signaling pathway.** The expression and phosphorylation state of S6K and 4E-BP1, which are downstream molecules in mTORC1 signaling pathway, were analyzed by western blot. In Li-7 cells, the expression of S6K was slightly decreased by treatment with Ev or 16F16 and by the Ev and 16F16 in combination, as compared with untreated cells (Fig. 4A). p-S6K was reduced by treatment with Ev. Treatment with 16F16 slightly reduced S6K phosphorylation, and p-S6K was reduced by combination treatment with Ev and 16F16. The expression of 4E-BP1 appeared to be reduced in treated cells. p-4E-BP1 appeared to be slightly reduced in cells treated with Ev alone and 16F16 alone, whereas phosphorylation was not inhibited by treatment with 16F16, but it was reduced by the combination treatment. Expression of 4E-BP1 was slightly elevated in treated cells. Expression of p-4E-BP1 was comparable between treatment with Ev alone and 16F16 alone, whereas
phosphorylation was reduced by the combination treatment (Fig. 4B).

Immunoprecipitation analysis. Whether PDIA3 forms a complex with S6K and 4E-BP1 was examined by immunoprecipitation using HuH-6 cell lysates. In the sample immunoprecipitated with anti-PDIA3 antibody, no positive band was observed in western blots with anti-S6K antibody (Fig. 5A). No positive band was detected in western blotting with anti-PDIA3 antibody in the sample immunoprecipitated with anti-S6K antibody (Fig. 5A). On the other hand, in the sample immunoprecipitated with anti-PDIA3 antibody, a positive band was detected in western blotting with anti-4E-BP1 antibody (Fig. 5B). Immunoprecipitated PDIA3 was observed in western blotting with anti-PDIA3 antibody in the sample immunoprecipitated with anti-4E-BP1 antibody (Fig. 5B). The immunoprecipitation analysis demonstrated complex formation of PDIA3 with 4E-BP1 but not with S6K.

VEGF expression in cultured cells. mTORC1 signaling regulates the expression of VEGF, which plays an important role in angiogenesis and the growth of liver cancer. VEGF mRNA expression was examined in cultured cells by RT-PCR. In Li-7 cells, the expression of VEGF mRNA was reduced to 44.1±4.9 and 47.5±2.4% of untreated cells by treatment with Ev alone and by combination treatment with Ev and 16F16, respectively (Fig. 6A). In contrast, VEGF mRNA expression was roughly comparable among HuH-6 cells, and there was no apparent alteration by Ev or 16F16 (Fig. 6B).

Discussion

This is the first study to show enhancement of the antiproliferative effect of inhibitor for mTOR by inhibitor for PDIA3 in liver cancer. Two cell lines of liver cancer, one susceptible and one resistant to mTOR inhibitor, were used in the present study. Combination treatment with Ev and 16F16 suppressed the proliferation of cultured liver cancer cells, and the suppression was associated with reduced phosphorylation of 4E-BP1, which formed a complex with PDIA3. It is noteworthy that enhancement was evident in a cultured liver cancer cell that was resistant to mTOR inhibitor.

It is thought that the activation of mTOR is associated with the pathogenesis and aggressiveness of liver cancer. In vitro and animal studies have shown that mTOR inhibitors could be effective for the treatment of liver cancer (11,13,15,29). However, clinical trials have failed to demonstrate significant improvement in the prognosis in patients with liver cancer (17). To date, no mTOR inhibitors have been approved for the treatment of liver cancer. This may be due in part to the incomplete inhibition of mTOR and the activation of other signaling pathways such as MAPK and PI3K by feedback mechanism (30). Subpopulation analysis of liver cancer treated with Ev suggested that liver cancer with loss of TSC2 is susceptible to Ev, but liver cancer with the expression of
TSC2 is resistant to Ev. To overcome the incomplete effect of mTOR inhibitors for liver cancer, combination therapies have been utilized. Concomitant inhibition of mTOR and MAPK or DNA replication was shown to possibly be effective for the treatment of liver cancer (31-33).

The association of PDIA3 with mTOR was shown in a previous study by Ramirez-Rangel and colleagues (23). It was demonstrated that knock-down of PDIA3 by specific siRNA suppressed the proliferation, while overexpression enhanced proliferation, and cell proliferation was correlated with phosphorylation of S6K and 4E-BP1. It was also shown that PDIA3 forms a complex with mTORC1 but not with mTORC2 (23). 16F16 may have an effect similar to that of siRNA for PDIA3, since a high concentration of 16F16 reduced the cell viability and proliferation of cultured liver cancer cells. However, enhancement of the antiproliferative effect of Ev by 16F16 was achieved at a suboptimal concentration of 16F16, at which cellular viability was not affected. Although the phosphorylation of S6K was inhibited by Ev alone, the phosphorylation of 4E-BP1 was reduced by combination treatment with Ev and 16F16. This may suggest that the mTOR-4E-BP1 signaling pathway is more affected by the integrity of the complex supported by PDIA3. This is accounted for by the formation of a complex of PDIA3 with 4E-BP1 but not with S6K, as shown in the immunoprecipitation assay.
There was a difference in the association of cell proliferation with the phosphorylation of S6K and 4E-BP1 between Li-7 and HuH-6 cells, which were susceptible and resistant to Ev, respectively. Cell proliferation was inhibited by Ev alone in Li-7 cells, whereas proliferation was only inhibited by combination treatment with Ev and 16F16 in HuH-6 cells. This may be explained by the expression of TSC2, which is a molecule upstream of mTORC1 and inhibits mTOR function (18,34). Thus, molecular analysis of TSC2 expression may be useful to determine cases that can benefit most from combination treatment with Ev and 16F16. However, there could be a difference in the mechanism of pathogenesis between cultured liver cancer cell lines. It may be also accounted for by the origin of the cultured cells; Li-7 was derived from HCC, and Huh-6 was derived from hepatoblastoma. It is plausible that the inhibition of proliferation is a synergistic effect due to mTOR inhibition and an unknown molecule involved in the pathogenesis by 16F16. The further investigation on the pathogenesis of liver cancer is needed.

Angiogenesis plays an important role in the progression of liver cancer (25,35). It has been shown that VEGF released from liver cancer initiates angiogenesis and vascular formation (35,36). In the present study, VEGF expression was reduced by treatment with Ev in Li-7 cells but was unchanged by treatment with Ev and or Ev and 16F16 in combination in HuH-6 cells. For the treatment of liver cancer, which is resistant to Ev, the combination of Ev and 16F16 is effective for inhibiting proliferation but not sufficiently effective for inhibiting angiogenesis. Anti-angiogenic therapy may therefore be needed as an adjunct to combination treatment with Ev and 16F16.

The present study was in vitro study using cultured liver cells. There are a couple of issues that needs to be addressed in the future study. 16F16 was used at the concentration, at which the viability of cultured liver cancer cells was not affected. However, the toxicity of 16F16 was not fully elucidated in vivo. Further, the effect of mTOR inhibitor for human liver cancer is controversial (17). Thus, the effect of combination treatment of Ev and 16F16 needs to be verified in animal model inoculated with cultured liver cancer cells. In liver cancer, the recurrence soon after the resection of the primary tumor is not infrequent. A novel therapy to prevent the survival and induction of cancer stem cell is expected. It is considered that mTORC1 signaling is involved in the development and maintenance of stem cells (37). Further study is needed to examine whether the combination treatment of Ev and 16F16 suppress the development of cancer stem cell of liver cancer.

It has been shown that the level of PDIA3 expression is associated with the prognosis of liver cancer (21). Liver cancer with high PDIA3 expression follows an unfavorable course and is characterized by high proliferation activity and a low frequency of cell death. The expression of PDIA3 and its association with prognosis has been reported for other carcinomas (21,22,38-41). Furthermore, knock-down of PDIA3 may enhance the effect of radiation therapy in laryngeal cancer (38) and breast cancer (42). The addition of a PDIA3 inhibitor may be beneficial to enhance the effect of chemotherapy and radiation therapy. PDIA3 may form a complex with other molecules that are involved in the carcinogenesis and behavior of tumors. Targeting of chaperone protein would be a novel strategy for enhancing the therapeutic effects of small molecule inhibitors, radiation, and chemotherapy.
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