Additive Manufacturing of Cobalt-Based Dental Alloys: Analysis of Microstructure and Physicomechanical Properties
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The limitations of investment casting of cobalt-based alloys are claimed to be less problematic with significant improvements in metal additive manufacturing by selective laser melting (SLM). Despite these advantages, the metallic devices are likely to display mechanical anisotropy in relation to build orientations, which could consequently affect their performance "in vivo." In addition, there is inconclusive evidence concerning the requisite composition and postprocessing steps (e.g., heat treatment to relieve stress) that must be completed prior to using the devices. In the current paper, we evaluate the microstructure of ternary cobalt-chromium-molybdenum (Co-Cr-Mo) and cobalt-chromium-tungsten (Co-Cr-W) alloys built with direct metal printing and LaserCUSING SLM systems, respectively, at 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90° inclinations (Φ) in as-built (AB) and heat-treated (HT) conditions. The study also examines the tensile properties (Young's modulus, E; yield strength, R\text{P0.2}; elongation at failure, A\text{t}; and ultimate tensile strength, R\text{m}), relative density (RD), and microhardness (HV5) and macrohardness (HV20) as relevant physicomechanical properties of the alloys. Data obtained indicate improved tensile properties and HV values after a short and cost-effective heat-treatment cycle of Co-Cr-Mo alloys; however, the process did not homogenize the microstructure of the alloy. Annealing heat treatment of Co-Cr-W led to significant isotropic characteristics with increased E and A\text{t} (except for Φ = 90°) in contrast to decreased R\text{P0.2}, R\text{m}, and HV values, compared to the AB form. Similarly, the interlaced weld-bead structures in AB Co-Cr-W were removed during heat treatment, which led to a complete recrystallization of the microstructure. Both alloys exhibited defect-free microstructures with RD exceeding 99.5%.

1. Introduction

The high strength and stainless nature of ternary cobalt-chromium-molybdenum (Co-Cr-Mo) and cobalt-chromium-tungsten (Co-Cr-W) alloys, first patented in 1907 by Haynes, led to the development of compositional variants of cobalt-based alloys for clinical applications such as artificial knee and hip joints and denture frameworks for fixed and removable prostheses [1]. In dentistry, the first use of a cobalt-based alloy for investment casting is reported to have started in 1936 [2]. This was three decades after William Taggart invented a practical method for casting gold inlays [3]. Although casting or "lost-wax" technique is still popular in the dental technology, the steps involved are time-consuming and fraught with processing variables. In light of this, the advent of selective laser melting (SLM) could indeed be considered a watershed as it is claimed to offer significant benefits in terms of manufacturing speed. Since the devices are digitally
built, they are likely to produce predictable clinical outcomes and be much closer to manufacturers’ specification [4–6]. SLM is a metal additive-manufacturing (AM) process that employs high-energy laser beams to melt powders together, in a layer-by-layer fashion, into three-dimensional (3D) objects. However, it is a complex thermophysical process, which is dependent on many parameters including alloying constituents, machines, and the parameters of the controlled environment [6]. One of the potential limitations of the process is that the devices are likely to display mechanical anisotropy in relation to build orientations and this could affect their performance “in vivo” [7–10]. While a few studies [11, 12] have attempted to investigate this limitation, there is inconclusive evidence concerning requisite composition and postprocessing steps (e.g., heat treatment to relieve residual stress) [13] that must be completed prior to using the devices. In the current paper, we evaluate the microstructure of commercially available ternary Co-Cr-Mo (ST2724G) and Co-Cr-W (Remanium CL) alloys, marketed for dental applications. Samples were built with direct metal printing and LaserCUSING SLM systems, respectively, at 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90° inclinations to the layering (polar angle $\Phi$) in “as-built” (AB) and “heat-treated” (HT) forms. The study also evaluates the tensile properties (Young’s modulus, $E$; yield strength, $R_{P0.2}$; elongation at failure, $A_t$; and ultimate tensile strength, $R_m$), relative density (RD), and microhardness (HV5) and macrohardness (HV20) as relevant physicomechanical properties of the alloys.

2. Experimental

2.1. Specimen Preparation. AB and HT Co-Cr-Mo samples (Co Bal Cr 29; Mo 5.5; Si 1<; Mn 1<; Fe 1 wt.%) [14] were built with Type 5 ST2724G alloy (SINT-TECH Parc Européen d’Entreprises, Rue Richard Wagner 63200 Riom, France), whereas Co-Cr-W counterparts (Co Bal Cr 28; W 9; Si 1.5; Mn < 1; Fe < 1; Nb < 1; N < 1 wt.%) [15] were built with the Remanium CL alloy (Dentaurum GmbH & Co. KG, Turnstraße 31, 75228 Ispringen, Germany) at 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90° inclinations, subsequently referred to as polar angle ($\Phi$). The ST2724G raw powder had a powder density of 4.1 g/cm³ and an average particle size ($D_{50}$) of 8–9 µm. Figure 1 shows the schematic of sample orientations. For Co-Cr-Mo specimens, a dumbbell-shaped CAD model (SolidWorks; Dassault Systèmes SolidWorks Corp., 300 Baker Avenue, Concord, MA 01742) designed in accordance with ISO 22674 requirements [16] was sent to 3D Systems (Rue Richard Wagner, 63200 Riom, France), and the samples were built at a layer thickness of 30 µm in an inert environment using a ProX DMP 200 printer [17]. The heat treatment for Co-Cr-Mo was completed in an air furnace at 800°C for 20 minutes by the manufacturer (as recommended for dental devices) prior to the removal of the support structures (Mark Barnes Engineering, 35 Olympic Circuit, Southport QLD 4215, Australia). Unlike the directly built Co-Cr-Mo specimens, Co-Cr-W samples were supplied as 7 mm × 55 mm cylindrical bars built in an inert environment using an Mlab printer (Concept Laser GmbH, An der Zeil 8, 96215 Lichtenfels, Germany). Heat treatment was completed by the supplier in a furnace under argon atmosphere at a rate of 400°C/hour to 1150°C followed by a 1 h dwell time before cooling down to 300°C [18]. This particular treatment is recommended by Concept Laser for the Co-Cr-W alloy for dental applications. The cylindrical samples were turned on a CNC milling machine (Griffith Technical Solutions, Nathan Campus, Griffith University, 170 Kessels Road QLD 4111, Australia) using cooling emulsion to minimize possible alterations to their microstructure.

2.2. Microstructural Analysis. The microstructure analysis involved optical examination of randomly selected test specimens for grain size, porosity and voids, dendritic growth, cracks, and other defects. Four grinding and mechanical polishing steps were performed to expose the metallurgical structure. The steps comprised grinding with silicon carbide (SiC) 220 sandpaper, polishing process with 9 µm and 3 µm diamond suspension, and surface finishing with a silicon monoxide (SiO) solution with a grain size of 0.5 µm. The visibility of the scan track pattern and the inherent grain structure was enhanced by a subsequent etching process. Two etching procedures were employed: etching with “Beraha 3” acid (comprising 60 ml H₂O, 40 ml hydrochloric acid, 5 g ammonium hydrogen difluoride, and 1 g potassium bisulphite) and electrochemical etching at 3 V for 10 seconds in a 3% hydrochloric acid solution. High-resolution images of the etched microsections were taken with an optical light microscope (Axio Imager z2m; Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany). In determining the RD, area porosities were calculated on the micrographs with the Zeiss AxioVison software package (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany).

2.3. Tensile Test. Tensile testing to assess Young’s modulus ($E$), 0.2% yield strength ($R_{P0.2}$), elongation at failure ($A_t$), and ultimate tensile strength ($R_m$) was performed as per ISO 22674:2016 guidelines [19]. Test specimens ($n \geq 3$) from each configuration and condition (inclination and treatment)
were subjected to uniaxial tensile loading and stressed until failure occurred. Specimens (gauge diameter 3 mm, gauge length 15 mm, and radial shoulders) were tested to yield at a maximum load of 10 kN and 1.5 mm/min cross-head speed using an Instron 5584 tensile testing machine with an inbuilt video extensometer type 2663-821 (Instron Corp., Norwood, MA, USA). Applied nomenclature for the tensile properties is in accordance with DIN EN ISO 6892-1:2009 [20].

2.4. Hardness Test. Vickers hardness (HV) tests were performed with a Struers Durascan 70 G5 hardness tester (Struers GmbH, Kernen im Remstal, Germany) in accordance with DIN EN ISO 6507-2:2016 test guidelines [21] to measure the microhardness (HV5) and macrohardness (HV20) of the alloys, using 49.03 N and 196.1 N indentation force, respectively.

3. Results

For microstructure analysis, only images from cross-sectional micrographs are presented in the paper due to the configurational similarities and corresponding redundancy of longitudinal micrographs (Figure 2). For instance, the cross-sectional cut of a 0° orientated specimen is similar to the longitudinal cut of a 90° specimen; likewise, the cross-sectional cut of a 30° inclined specimen matches the longitudinal cut of a 60° specimen. Figure 3 shows the obtained area porosities of both alloys, measured on the microsections. The relative density (RD) is 99.93% for Co-Cr-Mo and 99.86% for Co-Cr-W, respectively. Relative densities obtained via microsections result generally in higher percentages compared to the determination via the Archimedes method [22]. On the 90° inclined Co-Cr-W sample, small delaminations induced spikes in area porosity. In Figures 4 and 5, the microstructures of Co-Cr-Mo and Co-Cr-W specimens are depicted for each inclination and both conditions, i.e., AB and HT conditions for the left and right columns, respectively. The tensile test data are summarized in Table 1, while Figure 6 shows representative stress-strain graphs of the alloys. Figure 7 compares and summarizes Young’s modulus (E) data of the alloys after heat treatment as per dependency on the inclination angle. Figure 8 presents the graphical summaries of the yield strength (R_p0.2), elongation at failure (A_t), and ultimate tensile strength (R_m). In addition, the corresponding minimum requirements stated in the ISO 22674 standard [16] are included in the graphical summaries as well. Lastly, microhardness (HV5) and macrohardness (HV20) results are summarized in Figure 9.

4. Discussion

While in the mouth, dental devices are subjected to stress from masticatory actions; hence, alloys should show high recovery capacity, resilience, high specific stiffness (E/RD), and elongation, if devices are to withstand biting forces without fracture [23]. Figure 10 shows an illustration of a denture framework with different functional parts, such as clasp components and connectors. These parts explain the importance of orientation-dependent fluctuations in the material characteristics, which are present in selective laser-melted metals.

The standards for assessing the mechanical properties of dental alloys [19] place emphasis on E, A_t, and R_p0.2 of the alloys. In a clinical context, R_p0.2 is relevant for predicting failure in multiple unit dental bridges. A_t determines how the alloys can sustain a large permanent deformation before they fracture. It is worth noting that A_t could serve as a quality control measure that verifies the level of impurities and proper processing of the devices, while E measures the alloy’s ability to resist flexure, especially in metal-ceramic restorations where flexure will cause fracture of the porcelain [24]. Literature about alloys provides information on their hardness; while a low value cannot resist occlusal forces, an extremely high value could make the alloy difficult to grind and polish and even wear the
opposing teeth [23]. Table 2 summarizes the tensile properties as a range, i.e., respective minima and maxima for alloys examined in comparison to data from alloy manufacturers and standard requirements for dental alloys.

4.1. Microstructural Analysis. SLM-produced Co-Cr alloys are reported to display superior homogeneity compared to cast Co-Cr alloys, which are inherently associated with large initial grain size, nonhomogeneities, and other casting defects [6].
4.1.1. Relative Density. The evaluated area porosities on the micrographs revealed average RDs greater than 99.8% and individual RDs greater than 99.5% in all cases. Since the applied heat treatment in this study did not comprise a hot isostatic pressing (HIP), which could enhance the RD further, it can be concluded that the post-heat-treatment did not alter the RD of the specimens [26]. It is worth stating that the observed density does not negate a possible inhomogeneity at the increasing build height [9]. Given that the criterion applied in the aeronautics industry for
RD is greater than 99%, these values could be considered to be exceptional for the manufacturing process [27]. For most applications, such a high RD is not required and a correlated reduction in mechanical strength is traded for speed and economic fabrication [28–30]. However, for dental metallic devices, the RD is also relevant to accomplish a tissue-friendly, void-free satin finish, which is achieved via electrolytic polishing to prevent fitting and cleaning problems on the fitting surface of denture frameworks. Given that in SLM the residual porosity tends to be common in the subsurface, which is the transition area between the contour and core irradiation, lower RD could potentially lead to laid open pores after polishing [10, 31, 32].

### 4.1.2. As-Built Condition

In the AB condition, the stacking scheme of the individual weld beads as well as the applied irradiation strategy controls the micrographs (left-hand side in Figures 4 and 5). The absence of needle-like-appearing fine-structured platelets, seen in cast samples, is noteworthy. These are formed at thermodynamic equilibrium conditions at 417°C alongside the allotropic transformation from the face-centered cubic (fcc; γ-Co) to the hexagonal close packed (hcp; ε-Co) crystal structure [33]. In investment casting, cooling rates are very slow within the pool of molten ingots, and larger grains are formed around tiny nuclei that grow until the grain boundaries meet the solid state. Because of this, dendritic structures may be very large in cast Co-Cr alloys, and the size of a single grain can approach the

| Alloy, condition, and inclination (Φ) | Young’s modulus E (GPa) | Yield strength $R_{p0.2}$ (MPa) | Elongation at failure $A_t$ (%) | Ultimate tensile strength $R_m$ (MPa) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                                      | Mean  SD                 | Mean  SD                        | Mean  SD                        | Mean  SD                        |
| Co-Cr-Mo 0°_AB                       | 98.38 17.01              | 702 15.4                        | 5.7 1.04                        | 923 32.4                        |
| Co-Cr-Mo 0°_HT                       | 156.48 19.50             | 819 29.1                        | 13.3 2.32                       | 1097 21.6                       |
| Co-Cr-Mo 30°_AB                      | 105.32 19.39             | 783 23.7                        | 6.7 1.94                        | 1102 45.2                       |
| Co-Cr-Mo 30°_HT                      | 149.73 6.29              | 1002 41.1                       | 8.3 0.81                        | 1262 14.1                       |
| Co-Cr-Mo 60°_AB                      | 112.11 58.51             | 696 34.3                        | 7.5 2.10                        | 1012 24.0                       |
| Co-Cr-Mo 60°_HT                      | 105.67 13.18             | 808 37.8                        | 9.4 1.32                        | 1054 20.9                       |
| Co-Cr-Mo 90°_AB                      | 100.21 6.95              | 674 9.0                         | 14.8 1.62                       | 1033 12.4                       |
| Co-Cr-Mo 90°_HT                      | 164.54 15.10             | 757 7.2                         | 16.7 1.51                       | 1052 6.3                        |
| Co-Cr-W 0°_AB                        | 183.44 15.58             | 917 9.9                         | 11.1 1.14                       | 1263 8.6                        |
| Co-Cr-W 0°_HT                        | 216.32 21.99             | 655 26.6                        | 15.0 1.54                       | 1111 8.9                        |
| Co-Cr-W 30°_AB                       | 147.50 20.57             | 965 5.9                         | 10.4 1.31                       | 1272 10.3                       |
| Co-Cr-W 30°_HT                       | 186.96 7.90              | 651 4.9                         | 15.5 1.04                       | 1127 12.3                       |
| Co-Cr-W 60°_AB                       | 167.17 26.23             | 845 11.1                        | 17.1 1.35                       | 1247 6.1                        |
| Co-Cr-W 60°_HT                       | 214.51 29.25             | 669 20.0                        | 18.0 2.90                       | 1162 13.4                       |
| Co-Cr-W 90°_AB                       | 138.55 6.93              | 755 8.7                         | 24.3 0.70                       | 1188 6.3                        |
| Co-Cr-W 90°_HT                       | 202.35 22.08             | 658 7.1                         | 16.9 1.51                       | 1108 10.9                       |

Figure 6: Representative stress-strain graphs of Co-Cr-Mo (a) and Co-Cr-W (b) alloys.
diameter of a removable partial denture framework clasp [5]. Due to the rapid cooling rates in SLM, no large grains or dendritic structures are evident. Moreover, the absence of the platelets indicates that the fcc to hcp transformation did not take place, and the thermodynamic equilibrium was not reached. This suggests that the metastable $\gamma$-Co is present in the as-built condition, which is comparable with a recently published study on heat treatments of additively manufactured Co-Cr-Mo. According to Kajima et al. [34], $\varepsilon$-Co is only present after an additional heat treatment and the volume fraction between $\gamma$-Co and $\varepsilon$-Co varies upon the heat-treatment temperature, with $\gamma$-Co remaining as the predominant phase (≥75% volume fraction). The transformation of $\gamma$-Co to $\varepsilon$-Co is dependent on the grain size, and for fine-grained structures (as is the case in SLM), this transformation is suppressed, resulting in the predominant $\gamma$-Co [35].

4.1.3. Heat-Treated Condition. Heat treatments and modification of elemental composition could change the microstructure and consequently alter the physical-mechanical-chemical properties of Co-based alloys. In SLM, heat treatment is required to remove accumulated thermal stresses in long-span devices [13]. It is worth clarifying that the cycles for both alloys differ significantly. Co-Cr-Mo was heat treated at 800°C, which induced slow-progressing recrystallization, whereas at 1150°C for Co-Cr-W, a soft annealing with directional recrystallization occurred. The latter led to a complete restructuration of the microstructure, whereby the stacking scheme of single weld beads was no more visible (Figure 5). At 1150°C, Cr and W are solved in $\gamma$-Co and a chemically homogeneous situation is given. This step was followed by a very slow cooling (furnace cooling), which ensured thermodynamic equilibrium conditions. In general,

Figure 7: Mean value of $E$ for Co-Cr-Mo and Co-Cr-W alloys.

Figure 8: $R_{p0.2}$, $R_m$, and $A_t$ values for Co-Cr-Mo (a) and Co-Cr-W (b) alloys.
the Co-Cr-W material displayed predominately isotropic properties with only minor fluctuations after heat treatment, while $E$ was raised significantly and strength and hardness were reduced. Savage [36] explained that the preferred temperature range for the isothermal transformation (notably by lamellar constituent) of the ternary Co-based alloys is about 1040°C to 1100°C and the length of the isothermal treatment is a function of composition of alloy and temperature of transformation, but in most instances, a one-hour dwell time at the target temperature is sufficient. The isothermal heat treatment at 800°C for 20 minutes of Co-Cr-Mo resulted in significantly improved $E$ and slightly increased $R_{p0.2}$, $A_t$, $R_m$, and HV. An assumption of the origin of the higher and unsystematically varied $R_m$ was given in a study by Turrubiates-Estrada et al. [37]. It was indicated that heat treatment at 800°C for 20 minutes promotes the formation of $\varepsilon$-Co and intermetallic precipitations and/or carbides (Figure 11, [38]). According to Kajima et al. [34], heat treatment at 800°C for 6 h can lead to a volume fraction of around 20–25% $\varepsilon$-Co in SLM samples. The formation of the second phases in a sufficient fine distribution (high undercooling) could be the origin of the observed increase in the mechanical values.

It should be noted that, during the additive formation, the material undergoes several heating cycles. After the main fabrication step, i.e., the raw metal powder being completely molten and rapidly solidified, the solidified volume passes a continuous time-temperature-transformation (TTT) as shown in Figure 12, [38]. During cooling, the observed volume undergoes several postheating cycles by additive formation of further layers on top of the observed volume; hence, it is possible that a considerable fraction of $\varepsilon$-Co can be generated via alterations in the process conduct [10].

4.2. Tensile Properties. All test specimens yielded at the ultimate stress without localized necking. Specimen fracture occurred along the gauge length, indicating the homogeneous nature of the alloys. The overall polar angle dependence of the mechanical properties was low, except for $A_t$, which showed large fluctuations in the AB condition for both alloys and for Co-Cr-Mo in the heat-treatment condition. These findings confirm the assertion that ductility represents the most volatile tensile characteristic. Our data for polar dependency at $\Phi = 90^\circ$ corroborate findings by Takaichi et al. [12], but contrast studies that examine Al-based [9] and Fe-based [8] metals. This shows that in SLM characteristics on the anisotropy vary and are dependent on raw materials.

Although there are no existing manufacturer data on build orientations for the Co-Cr-Mo alloy used in our study,
our data show that Co-Cr-Mo in the AB form required heat treatment to attain the minimum $E$ value as per standards requirements (except for $\Phi = 60^\circ$). Despite the improved tensile properties and HV values, the short and cost-effective heat-treatment cycle did not completely homogenize the properties of alloys. Both alloys showed increased $E$ after heat treatment, which is related to the increased ratio of $\epsilon$-Co. Differences in $E$ values for the two alloys are probably due to varying ratios of $\gamma$-Co to $\epsilon$-Co in the respective structure. Since the phase content is not absolute, it may change during the tensile test via strain-induced phase transformation, and the $\epsilon$-Co fraction can increase [12]. The precise influence of this effect needs to be studied further.

Table 2: Tensile properties of alloys in comparison to standards requirements.

|                  | Young's modulus $E$ (GPa) | Yield strength $R_{P0.2}$ (MPa) | Elongation at failure $A_t$ (%) | Ultimate tensile strength $R_m$ (MPa) | Additional information                                      |
|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| AB Co-Cr-Mo range at 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90° in the current study | 98.38–112.11               | 674–783                         | 5.7–14.8                         | 923–1102                                      | Recommended heat treatment for dental parts is 800°C for 20–25 min |
| HT Co-Cr-Mo range at 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90° in the current study | 105.67–164.54             | 757–1002                        | 8.3–16.7                         | 1012–1262                                      |                                                            |
| AB Co-Cr-Mo data from the manufacturer as per ASTM E8 [25] | **                         | 850 ± 100                       | 10 ± 2                           | 1200 ± 100                                      | ** Not provided; manufacturer’s density is ≈100% in AB and HT conditions; HV5 after heat treatment is 500 ± 20     |
| HT Co-Cr-Mo data from the manufacturer as per ASTM E8 [25] | **                         | 900 ± 100                       | 15 ± 2                           | 1260 ± 100                                      |                                                            |
| AB Co-Cr-W range at 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90° in the current study | 138.55–183.44              | 755–965                         | 10.4–24.3                       | 1188–1263                                      | Recommended heat treatment for dental parts is slow heating (400°C/h) up to 1150°C, followed by a dwell time of 1 h, and then furnace cooling to 300°C |
| HT Co-Cr-W range at 0°, 30°, 60°, and 90° in the current study | 186.96–216.32              | 651–669                         | 15–18                           | 1108–1162                                      | Manufacturer-provided density at all orientations is 8.6 g/cm³ |
| HT Co-Cr-W data range (0°, 45°, and 90°) from the manufacturer [15] | 230                        | 792–835                         | 8–11                            | 1136–1200                                      |                                                            |
| ISO 22674:2016 [16] | 150                        | 500                             | 2                               | **                                       | ** Not provided                                             |

Figure 11: Isothermal TTT diagram of CoCr27Mo5C0.23, adapted from [38].
The divergences observed in AB conditions are possibly due to varying irradiation in the printing or manufacturing systems. In the heat-treated condition, the differences observed are related to the partial recrystallization that occurred at 800°C in comparison to the full recrystallization at 1150°C followed by furnace cooling, allowing thermodynamic equilibrium conditions. Apart from the HV values, $E$, $R_{0.2}$, $A_t$, and $R_m$ are higher in Co-Cr-W than in Co-Cr-Mo. This simultaneous increase in their respective AB conditions could be an indication that the C-formed curves of the TTT diagram (Figure 11) are moved to lower times for the Co-Cr-W alloy. Applying the annealing heat treatment, $E$ and $A_t$ (except for $\Phi = 90^\circ$) increased, whilst $R_{0.2}$, $R_m$, and HV of Co-Cr-W decreased.

Concerning the standards requirements for Type 5 dental alloys, both alloys can be considered suitable for clinical use in their heat-treated condition. Although AB Co-Cr-W also fulfills these requirements, a heat treatment is highly recommended, not only to minimize possible distortions from residual stress, but also to enhance the ductility and stiffness of the material. To guarantee the integrity of the built parts, it is recommended that heat treatment be performed on built parts whilst they are firmly attached to the support structure on the substrate plate. $E$ and $R_m$ values provided by the Co-Cr-W manufacturer are somewhat comparable to ours. $A_t$ values at 0° and 90° in this study are higher than those of the manufacturer, whereas our $R_{0.2}$ values are lower. The higher and arbitrary $R_m$ values for the Co-Cr-Mo alloy may be due to the increased surface roughness of the specimen or the possible subsurface porosity acting as crack initiation [9]. However, these prospective “inhibitors” were absent in the machined Co-Cr-W specimens with documented studies suggesting the machining process results in improved mechanical properties by enhancing $R_m$ by 5–10% and $E$ up to 10% [39–41].

4.3. Hardness. In our study, we observed minor deviations between microhardness (HV5) and macrohardness (HV20). However, data from the microhardness (HV5) tests might be prone to fluctuations due to limited indentation depth (approximately 20 µm at 380 HV5), which is lower than a single layer (~30 µm) and thus may not be a conclusive measure (Figure 13). For comparison, the indentation depth for 380 HV20 is approximately 40 µm. The hardness tests did not reveal any significant fluctuations regarding the sample orientation. In addition, both alloys exhibited similar HVs in their AB conditions, despite the differences in their $R_{0.2}$ and $R_m$ values. In their respective HT conditions, HV values differ (~435 HV for Co-Cr-Mo and ~360 HV for Co-Cr-W), but with comparable $R_m$ values. The disparity between HV values and tensile properties is possibly because hardness testing focuses on compressive stresses, whereas the tensile test produces failure at uniaxial forces. It is documented that the mechanical properties of SLM-produced alloys do differ in regard to tensile versus compressive loading [42]. Moreover, it is known that the conclusion from hardness to tensile strength is problematic for selective laser-melted metals [10].

5. Conclusion

In this study, we assessed the clinical properties of laser-melted Co-Cr-Mo and Co-Cr-W alloys in as-built and heat-treated conditions. The anisotropy observed in the alloys primarily influenced ductility in addition to minor fluctuations in tensile strength and Young’s modulus. In the as-built condition, the alloys displayed reduced Young’s modulus and hence required heat treatment to meet clinical requirements set out in the standards. Similarly, heat treatment is recommended if the desired ductility and isotropic characteristics are to be guaranteed. Although the study confirms the capacity of the SLM systems to produce consistent parts under controlled manufacturing parameters, further
microstructure analysis could shed light on phase contents and their alteration via the applied heat treatments.
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