Assessment of the causal association between celiac disease and cardiovascular diseases
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Background: Epidemiological studies have reported inconsistent results of the association between celiac disease (CD) and cardiovascular diseases. Moreover, the causality remains largely unknown. Therefore, we aimed to investigate whether CD is causally associated cardiovascular diseases, including ischemic stroke, large artery stroke, cardioembolic stroke, small vessel stroke, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolism using an mendelian randomization (MR) approach.

Methods: Summary-level data for CD were derived from a large-sample genome-wide association study (GWAS) including 12,041 CD cases and 12,228 controls of European ancestry. The corresponding data for ischemic stroke (34,217 cases and 406,111 controls), large artery stroke (4,373 cases and 406,111 controls), cardioembolic stroke (7,193 cases and 406,111 controls), small vessel stroke (5,386 cases and 192,662 controls), coronary heart disease (22,233 cases and 64,762 controls), myocardial infarction (11,622 cases and 187,840 controls), angina (18,168 cases and 187,840 controls), heart failure (47,309 cases and 930,014 controls), atrial fibrillation (60,620 cases and 970,216 controls), and venous thromboembolism (9,176 cases and 209,616 controls) were obtained from the IEU GWAS database. We calculated the causal effect using the inverse variance weighted method. Sensitivity analyses and leave-one-out analyses were performed to ensure the consistency and robustness of causal estimates.

Results: The MR inverse variance weighted estimates indicated no causal effect of genetically predicted CD on ischemic stroke (OR = 1.001, 95% CI: 0.984-1.018), large artery stroke (OR = 1.003, 95% CI: 0.961-1.048), cardioembolic stroke (OR = 1.009, 95% CI: 0.977-1.042), small vessel stroke (OR = 1.023, 95% CI: 0.981-1.066), coronary heart disease (OR = 0.995, 95% CI: 0.977-1.013), myocardial infarction (OR = 0.994, 95% CI: 0.959-1.030), angina (OR = 1.006, 95% CI: 0.981-1.032), heart failure (OR = 0.999, 95% CI: 0.982-1.016), atrial fibrillation (OR = 1.000, 95% CI: 0.990-1.011), and venous thromboembolism (OR = 1.001, 95% CI: 0.971-1.032). Sensitivity analyses using the MR-Egger, weighted median, and simple mode methods yielded
similar results. No evidence of horizontal pleiotropy was identified (MR Pleiotropy Residual Sum and Outlier global test and MR-Egger intercept with \( P > 0.05 \)).

**Conclusion:** Our findings do not support a causal contribution of CD itself to ischemic stroke, large artery stroke, cardioembolic stroke, small vessel stroke, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolism risk.
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**Introduction**

Celiac disease (CD) is a highly prevalent chronic intestinal disease induced by dietary gluten in genetically susceptible individuals. CD affects about 1% of the general population in Western countries and its prevalence is increasing (1). CD pathogenesis is related to immune-mediated mechanisms. Although CD primarily affects the gastrointestinal tract, it is universally recognized that CD is a systemic autoimmune condition that may presents with a broad spectrum of symptoms (1). Given that several other systemic autoimmune diseases such as primary Sjögren syndrome and rheumatoid arthritis have been found to be linked with atherosclerosis development and a greater risk of cardiovascular events (2–4), a number of observational studies have attempted to assess the relationship between CD and cardiovascular disease. However, the scientific evidence is still scant and contradictory (5–9); not all studies have revealed a positive association.

Data from observational studies are sensitive to a multitude of confounding factors (10, 11); the potential causality of CD on cardiovascular disease can not be established in observational studies.

Mendelian randomization (MR) is a causal inference approach using genetic variants (single nucleotide polymorphisms [SNPs]) as instrumental variables for an exposure of interest to investigate if the exposure is a causal contributor for a health outcome (12). It is widely accepted that MR is less prone to residual confounding and reverse causality owing to the use of randomly allocated instrumental variables. Using large-scale genome-wide association study (GWAS) summary-level data, MR has proven to be an effective and reliable alternative to randomized controlled trials for evaluating causality (12). In the present study, we aimed to investigate the causal effect of CD on cardiovascular diseases, including ischemic stroke, large artery stroke, cardioembolic stroke, small vessel stroke, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolism using an MR approach.

**Materials and methods**

We performed two-sample MR using GWAS summary data. No ethical approval was required for our study, because we only used publicly available summary data. For avoiding the influence of population structure, our study was restricted to participants of European ancestry. We reported the MR study following the recommendations of the STROBE-MR Guidelines (Supplementary STROBE-MR Checklist).

**Instrumental variable selection**

In this MR study, GWAS summary statistics were obtained from the IEU GWAS database\(^1\). Developed by the Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit at University of Bristol, the IEU GWAS database contains freely available GWAS summary-level data for a variety of human phenotypes. The summary statistics for CD were obtained from a GWAS dataset (GWAS ID: ieu-a-1058) built by Trynka et al. (13), including 12,041 cases and 12,228 controls of European ancestry. CD was defined based on standard clinical criteria, compatible serology, and small intestinal biopsy. More details on the characteristics of the CD cases can be found in the original study (13). We identified single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) strongly associated with CD at the genome-wide significance threshold (\( P < 5 \times 10^{-8} \)). For minimizing MR biases caused by correlation between SNPs, instrumental variables were restricted to independent SNPs without linkage disequilibrium (\( R^2 < 0.001 \)). We did not use palindromic SNPs with intermediate allele frequencies, since they may invert the direction of a causal effect. Instrumental variable selection was done using the R package “TwoSampleMR” version
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\(^1\) https://gwas.mrcieu.ac.uk/
0.5.6 (14, 15). Fifteen instrumental SNPs were identified for CD. A list of the instrumental variables is shown in Supplementary Table 1.

Outcome data source

The outcomes analyzed included ischemic stroke, large artery stroke, cardioembolic stroke, small vessel stroke, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolism. Summary-level data for these outcomes were obtained from the IEU GWAS database. Table 1 shows the detailed information on the outcome datasets. If instrumental SNPs were missing in the outcome dataset, we applied proxy SNPs based on a linkage disequilibrium cut-off of $R^2 \geq 0.8$. We harmonized all instrumental variables for each trait to ensure the genetic associations reflect the same effect allele. All data applied were derived from individuals of European ancestry.

Statistical analyses

We measured the strength of the instrumental SNPs by calculating the $F$ statistic as previously described (16); it is widely accepted that an $F$-statistics > 10 makes weak instrument bias unlikely (17). We investigated the genetically predicted effects of CD on cardiovascular disease risk using the inverse variance weighted method. This method assumes that all instrumental variables are valid and can provide the highest precision (18, 19). However, it is sensitive to pleiotropy, which describes a situation where a genetic variant influences two or more phenotypes. Thus, we used the Mendelian randomisation Egger Regression (MR-Egger), weighted median, simple mode, and the Mendelian randomisation pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO) approaches as sensitivity analyses to assess robustness of findings (20–22). Based on an intercept term, the MR-Egger approach can detect horizontal pleiotropy (20). The presence of pleiotropy was also evaluated applying the MR-PRESSO method. The MR-PRESSO method can remove outlying SNPs if present and re-evaluate the effect estimates (22). For evaluating the presence of heterogeneity between variant-specific estimates, we used the Cochran’s Q statistical test (22). We calculated statistical power using the method proposed by Brion and colleagues (23). Supplementary Table 2 shows the results for statistical power calculation. We carried out all MR analyses using the TwoSampleMR (version 0.5.6) and MR-PRESSO (version 1.0) packages in R version 4.1.0. Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.
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**Table 1** Detailed information on the outcome GWAS datasets.

| Outcome | Author or Consortium | Year | PMID | GWAS ID | Population | Cases/controls | Number of SNPs |
|---------|---------------------|------|------|---------|------------|----------------|----------------|
| Ischemic stroke | Malik R | 2018 | 29531354 | ebi-a-GCST005843 | European | 34217/406111 | 7537579 |
| Ischemic stroke (large artery atherosclerosis) | Malik R | 2018 | 29531354 | ebi-a-GCST005840 | European | 4373/406111 | 799279 |
| Ischemic stroke (cardioembolic) | Malik R | 2018 | 29531354 | ebi-a-GCST005842 | European | 7193/406111 | 7954834 |
| Ischemic stroke (small-vessel) | Malik R | 2018 | 29531354 | ebi-a-GCST005841 | European | 5386/192662 | 6150261 |
| Coronary heart disease | Schunkert H | 2011 | 2350990 | ebi-a-GCST005844 | European | 2350990 | 6150261 |
| Myocardial infarction | FinnGen | 2021 | NA | finn-b-I9_MI_STRICT | European | 11622/187840 | 16380431 |
| Angina | FinnGen | 2021 | NA | finn-b-I9_ANGINA | European | 18168/187840 | 16380431 |
| Heart failure | Shah S | 2020 | 31919418 | ebi-a-GCST009541 | European | 47227639 | 1030836 |
| Atrial fibrillation | Nielsen JB | 2018 | 30061737 | ebi-a-GCST006414 | European | 6066970312 | 1030836 |
| Venous thromboembolism | FinnGen | 2021 | NA | finn-b-I9_VTE | European | 91762016 | 1030836 |

SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; GWAS, genome wide association study.

---

2 https://github.com/MRCIEU/TwoSampleMR
## TABLE 2 Assessing the cause effect of celiac disease on cardiovascular diseases.

| Outcome                                      | Number of instrumental SNPs | MR method | OR (95% CI)          | P-value |
|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------------------|---------|
| Ischemic stroke                              | 15                          | IVW       | 1.001 (0.984-1.018)  | 0.899   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 1.004 (0.979-1.030)  | 0.763   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 1.000 (0.982-1.019)  | 0.961   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 1.004 (0.978-1.031)  | 0.752   |
| Ischemic stroke (large-artery atherosclerosis) | 15                          | IVW       | 1.003 (0.961-1.048)  | 0.876   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 1.040 (0.980-1.104)  | 0.222   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 1.010 (0.963-1.060)  | 0.685   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 0.956 (0.886-1.032)  | 0.272   |
| Ischemic stroke (cardioembolic)              | 15                          | IVW       | 1.009 (0.977-1.042)  | 0.568   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 1.001 (0.955-1.051)  | 0.954   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 1.024 (0.980-1.069)  | 0.291   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 1.016 (0.948-1.090)  | 0.660   |
| Ischemic stroke (small-vessel)               | 15                          | IVW       | 1.022 (0.981-1.066)  | 0.298   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 1.031 (0.970-1.096)  | 0.347   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 1.017 (0.956-1.082)  | 0.592   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 1.015 (0.917-1.124)  | 0.776   |
| Coronary heart disease                       | 15                          | IVW       | 0.995 (0.977-1.013)  | 0.596   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 0.983 (0.957-1.009)  | 0.229   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 0.994 (0.973-1.014)  | 0.542   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 1.002 (0.971-1.033)  | 0.906   |
| Myocardial infarction                        | 15                          | IVW       | 0.994 (0.959-1.030)  | 0.736   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 0.986 (0.935-1.041)  | 0.626   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 0.984 (0.948-1.021)  | 0.380   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 1.008 (0.937-1.084)  | 0.843   |
| Angina                                       | 15                          | IVW       | 1.006 (0.981-1.032)  | 0.650   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 1.014 (0.975-1.054)  | 0.503   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 1.009 (0.979-1.039)  | 0.562   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 1.002 (0.961-1.045)  | 0.927   |
| Heart failure                                | 13                          | IVW       | 0.999 (0.982-1.016)  | 0.879   |
|                                              | 13                          | MR-Egger  | 0.983 (0.959-1.008)  | 0.204   |
|                                              | 13                          | Weighted median | 0.993 (0.972-1.014)  | 0.519   |
|                                              | 13                          | Simple mode | 1.001 (0.970-1.034)  | 0.929   |
| Atrial fibrillation                          | 15                          | IVW       | 1.000 (0.990-1.011)  | 0.984   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 0.994 (0.979-1.009)  | 0.445   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 0.996 (0.981-1.011)  | 0.588   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 0.988 (0.965-1.011)  | 0.328   |
| Venous thromboembolism                       | 15                          | IVW       | 1.001 (0.971-1.032)  | 0.958   |
|                                              | 15                          | MR-Egger  | 1.008 (0.963-1.057)  | 0.728   |
|                                              | 15                          | Weighted median | 0.989 (0.955-1.024)  | 0.521   |
|                                              | 15                          | Simple mode | 0.987 (0.958-1.040)  | 0.624   |

CI, confidence interval; IVW, inverse variance weighted; MR-Egger, Mendelian randomization Egger; OR, odds ratio.

### Results

Among the SNPs used as instrumental variables, rs13198474, rs9296009, and rs931 have the strongest association with CD ($P = 1.00 \times 10^{-200}$). The 15 SNPs for CD corresponded to a $F$-statistic of 331.17, which explained approximately 17.0% of the variation in CD.

The main MR analyses revealed no causal effect of genetically predicted CD on ischemic stroke (OR = 1.001, 95% CI: 0.984-1.018, $P = 0.899$), large artery stroke (OR = 1.003,
FIGURE 1

Meta-analytic mendelian randomization (MR) effect estimates and 95% confidence intervals for the association between celiac disease and ischemic stroke. The inverse variance weighted (IVW) method was applied in the main MR estimate. Sensitivity analyses were performed using the MR-Egger, weighted median, and simple mode methods.

95% CI: 0.961-1.048, \(P = 0.876\), cardioembolic stroke (OR = 1.009, 95% CI: 0.977-1.042, \(P = 0.568\)), small vessel stroke (OR = 1.023, 95% CI: 0.981-1.066, \(P = 0.298\)), coronary heart disease (OR = 0.995, 95% CI: 0.977-1.013, \(P = 0.596\)), myocardial infarction (OR = 0.994, 95% CI: 0.959-1.030, \(P = 0.736\)), angina (OR = 1.006, 95% CI: 0.981-1.032, \(P = 0.850\)), heart failure (OR = 0.999, 95% CI: 0.982-1.016, \(P = 0.879\)), atrial fibrillation (OR = 1.000, 95% CI: 0.990-1.011, \(P = 0.984\)), and venous thromboembolism (OR = 1.001, 95% CI: 0.971-1.032, \(P = 0.958\)) (Table 2 and Figure 1). Sensitivity analyses using the MR-Egger, weighted median, and simple mode methods also did not suggest a causal association between celiac disease and cardiovascular diseases (Table 2). The MR-Egger intercepts indicated no evidence of directional pleiotropy effects (all \(P > 0.100\)) (Table 3). In addition, the MR-PRESSO global test did not suggest the presence of pleiotropy (Table 3). The leave-one-out sensitivity analyses demonstrated that the causal estimates were not disproportionately affected by any single SNP (Supplementary Table 3).

For replication, we used a GWAS dataset built by Dubois et al. (24) for CD (GWAS ID: ieu-a-1060), including 3,796 cases and 8,154 controls of European ancestry. Seven instrumental SNPs were identified for CD using this dataset (Supplementary Table 4). Neither primary MR analyses nor sensitivity analyses indicated a causal effect of CD on ischemic stroke, large artery stroke, cardioembolic stroke, small vessel stroke, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolism (Supplementary Table 5).
TABLE 3 Evaluation for pleiotropy.

| Outcome                              | MR-Egger intercept | P-value | MR-PRESSO global test P-value |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------|-------------------------------|
| Ischemic stroke                      | –0.001             | 0.762   | 0.313                         |
| Ischemic stroke (large artery atherosclerosis) | –0.019             | 0.131   | 0.758                         |
| Ischemic stroke (cardioembolic)      | 0.004              | 0.661   | 0.402                         |
| Ischemic stroke (small-vessel)       | –0.004             | 0.721   | 0.502                         |
| Coronary heart disease               | 0.006              | 0.243   | 0.245                         |
| Myocardial infarction                | 0.004              | 0.713   | 0.055                         |
| Angina                               | –0.004             | 0.606   | 0.248                         |
| Heart failure                        | 0.007              | 0.118   | 0.589                         |
| Atrial fibrillation                  | 0.003              | 0.297   | 0.424                         |
| Venous thromboembolism               | –0.004             | 0.670   | 0.155                         |

MR, mendelian randomization.

Discussion

Although a number of observational studies have been performed to evaluate the relationship between CD and cardiovascular disease risk, whether CD have a causal effect on cardiovascular disease remains largely unknown. Our MR analyses provided no evidence to support a causal association of CD with ischemic stroke, large artery stroke, cardioembolic stroke, small vessel stroke, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolism in individuals of European ancestry.

The findings of our study were concordant with those from several previous meta-analyses. Emilsson et al. (25) reviewed over 3,800 publications and selected nine studies in their meta-analyses for assessing the relationship between CD and cardiovascular outcomes including myocardial infarction and cardiovascular death. They found that CD was not associated with myocardial infarction (OR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.83-1.40) and cardiovascular death (OR = 1.12, 95% CI: 0.96-1.29). Strengths of this meta-analysis included the use of systematic literature review as a methodology and eliminating the risk for miscounting study subjects. The major limitations were the low number of included publications and utilizing only data from individuals of European descent. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Heikkilä et al. (26) also did not provide evidence for supporting an association of CD with coronary heart disease and stroke. Their pooled estimates showed that the overall hazard ratio (HR) was 1.05 (95% CI: 0.93-1.19) for coronary heart disease, and the results were similar for stroke (HR: 1.09, 95% CI: 0.93-1.27) and brain hemorrhage (HR: 1.16, 95% CI: 0.97-1.37). Compared with other meta-analyses in the field, Heikkilä et al. (25) stated that the major strengths of their meta-analysis were the inclusion of studies with a prospective design and a low possibility of recall bias. By analyzing CD-associated genetic variants in a large meta-analytical dataset for coronary heart disease (22,233 cases and 64,762 controls), Jasen and colleagues (27) found that genetic variants associated with CD did not confer a higher risk of developing coronary heart disease. In addition, Jasen and colleagues suggested that the positive association between CD and coronary heart disease reported in observational studies may have been due to residual confounding. Their genetically based analyses did not support a causal contribution of CD itself to coronary heart disease risk.

The major strength of our study is the MR design. Compared with conventional observational studies, the possibility of residual confounding and reverse causation is greatly reduced in MR. Being a valuable tool for causal inference, MR has been widely used in the cardiovascular disease field (28, 29). We investigated the association of CD with 10 cardiovascular diseases using a two-sample MR design. Besides the primary MR analyses, a series of sensitivity analyses were conducted for ensuring the consistency and robustness of causal assessments. The MR-Egger intercept tests and MR-PRESSO global tests did not provide evidence of pleiotropy. Moreover, we used another GWAS dataset for CD for validating the MR findings for the null association of CD with the 10 cardiovascular diseases, yielding similar MR estimates.

Limitations

Despite the strengths, some limitations of this study should be noted. Firstly, CD is a multifactorial autoimmune disease, and genetic factors only explain a small fraction of CD pathogenesis. In the GWAS dataset for CD, the extracted instrumental SNPs explained approximately 17.0% of the variation in CD, which was not high in MR analysis. However, F-statistics for all SNPs were larger than 10, greatly reducing the possibility that the null results were the result of weak instrument bias. Secondly, because the summary-level data did not provide information on gender, we could not evaluate the causal association of CD with cardiovascular diseases in males and females, respectively. Epidemiological studies suggested that gender may play a role in cardiovascular disease susceptibility and mortality (30, 31).
Future large-scale MR studies using individual-level data are required to analyze gender-specific associations. Thirdly, the inverse variance weighted method can be subject to biases owing to sample overlap between the exposure and outcome datasets. We did not apply other MR methods such as MRap that may account for bias introduced by sample overlap (32). Fourthly, our MR study was restricted to participants of European ancestry. This minimized population stratification bias, but the MR results could not be directly extrapolated to other ethnic groups.

Conclusion

In summary, our findings suggest that CD itself has no causal effect on ischemic stroke, large artery stroke, cardioembolic stroke, small vessel stroke, coronary heart disease, myocardial infarction, angina, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and venous thromboembolism in individuals of European ancestry. Well-conducted and long-term follow-up RCTs with large samples of well-characterized CD patients are needed to clarify our findings.
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