$\bar{R}_{15}$ is of general type

Gregor Bruns
We prove that the moduli space \( \overline{R}_{15} \) of Prym curves of genus 15 is of general type. To this end we exhibit a virtual divisor \( \overline{D}_{15} \) on \( \overline{R}_{15} \) as the degeneracy locus of a globalized multiplication map of sections of line bundles. We then proceed to show that this locus is indeed of codimension one and calculate its class. Using this class, we can conclude that \( K_{\overline{R}_{15}} \) is big. This complements a 2010 result of Farkas and Ludwig: now the spaces \( \overline{R}_g \) are known to be of general type for \( g \geq 14 \).

1. Introduction

The study of Prym varieties has a long history, going back to work of Riemann, Wirtinger, Schottky and Jung in the late 19th and early 20th century. Of particular interest is the correspondence between moduli of étale double covers of curves of genus \( g \) and abelian varieties of dimension \( g - 1 \), given by the Prym map \( P_g : \mathcal{R}_g \rightarrow A_{g-1} \). Here we denote by \( \mathcal{R}_g \) the moduli space of pairs \([C, \eta]\) where \([C] \in \mathcal{M}_g\) is a smooth genus \( g \) curve and \( \eta \in \text{Pic}^0(C) \) is a 2-torsion point (or equivalently an étale double cover of \( C \)).

It turns out that every principally polarized abelian variety (ppav) up to dimension 5 is a Prym variety. This generalizes the well-known fact that the general ppav of dimension at most 3 is the Jacobian of a curve. In dimension greater than 5, Prym varieties are no longer dense in the moduli space of ppavs, but their locus is still of geometric interest.

It is natural to ask for a modular compactification of \( \mathcal{R}_g \) in order to study degenerations of Prym varieties and the birational geometry of their families. Explicit constructions were put forward in [Beauville 1977; Bernstein 1999] and in [Ballico et al. 2004], where the compactification is given in terms of admissible covers and Prym curves, respectively.

Much is already known about the birational geometry of \( \mathcal{R}_g \). It is a rational variety for \( g \leq 4 \), unirational for \( g \leq 7 \) and uniruled for \( g \leq 8 \) (see [Farkas and Verra...
The availability of a modular compactification has sparked interest in the Kodaira dimension of $\overline{R}_g$ for higher $g$. Farkas and Ludwig [2010] prove that $\overline{R}_g$ is of general type for $g \geq 14$ and $g \neq 15$. The Kodaira dimension of $\overline{R}_{12}$ is shown to be nonnegative.

In this note we close the gap at $g = 15$.

**Theorem 1.1.** *The moduli space $\overline{R}_{15}$ is of general type.*

We briefly outline the strategy of the proof. In order to show that the canonical class of $\overline{R}_{15}$ is big, we construct an effective divisor $D_{15}$ such that $K_{\overline{R}_{15}}$ can be written as a positive linear combination of the Hodge class, the class of $D_{15}$ and other effective divisor classes.

To motivate the construction of $D_{15}$, consider first the case of genus 6. A general curve $[C] \in M_6$ possesses a finite number of complete $g^2_6$. Any such $L \in W^2_6(C)$ induces a birational map to a plane sextic curve $\Gamma$ with 4 nodes. If there is a plane conic $Q$ totally tangent to $\Gamma$, i.e., $Q \cdot \Gamma = 2D$ where $D$ is effective of degree 6, then $\eta = O_{\Gamma}(-1) \otimes O_{\Gamma}(D)$ is 2-torsion.

The existence of such a totally tangent conic is equivalent to the failure of the map

$$\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \rightarrow \frac{H^0(C, L^\otimes 2)}{\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L)}$$

to be injective. It turns out that the closure of the locus of pairs $[C, \eta] \in R_6$ where this injectivity fails is a divisor, i.e., the condition to possess a totally tangent conic to a plane sextic model gives a divisorial condition on $R_6$. This divisor can also be identified with the closure of the ramification divisor of the Prym map $R_6 \rightarrow A_5$.

For details, see [Farkas et al. 2014].

We generalize this condition and adapt it to genus 15. A general genus 15 curve $C$ carries a finite number of complete $g^4_{16}$ linear series. For any such $L \in W^4_{16}(C)$ we can consider the multiplication map

$$\mu_{[C,L]} : \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \rightarrow H^0(C, L^\otimes 2).$$
The vector spaces on the left- and right-hand sides are of dimensions 15 and 18, respectively, and the map is injective for the general pair \([C, L]\). We can make use of a torsion bundle \(\eta\) to get the remaining three sections:

\[
\mu_{[C, \eta, L]} : \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \oplus \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \to H^0(C, L \otimes^2).
\]  

(1)

We consider the locus of Prym curves carrying a \(g_4^{16}\) such that this map fails to be an isomorphism. Unlike in genus 6, such curves are not directly characterized by having a totally tangent quadric hypersurface, although on those that have, the map (1) certainly fails to be injective.

It turns out that \(\mu_{[C, \eta, L]}\) is bijective for all \(L\) on the general pair \([C, \eta]\) \(\in \mathcal{R}_{15}\) and the failure locus is in codimension one. We may therefore consider the divisor

\[
\mathcal{D}_{15} = \{[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{R}_{15} | \exists L \in W^4_{16}(C) \text{ such that } \mu_{[C, \eta, L]} \text{ is not an isomorphism}\}.
\]

In order to show that (1) is indeed bijective for all \(\eta\) and \(L\) on a general curve \(C\), we first construct in Section 3A a single example, using a curve that carries a theta characteristic with a large number of sections. Afterwards we prove that the moduli space \(\mathcal{G}^{4,(2)}_{16}\) of triples \([C, \eta, L]\) is irreducible, allowing us to specialize the general triple to the constructed example. More generally, we obtain the following result:

**Proposition 1.2.** Assume \(g \geq 3\) and the Brill–Noether number \(\rho(g, r, d) = 0\). If either \(r \leq 2\) or \(g - d + r - 1 \leq 2\) then \(\mathcal{G}^{r,(2)}_{d}\) is irreducible.

Taking the closure \(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{15}\) of \(\mathcal{D}_{15}\) in an appropriate partial compactification \(\overline{\mathcal{R}}^0_{15}\) of \(\mathcal{R}_{15}\), we can calculate the class of \(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{15}\) using a determinantal description coming from globalizing the map (1) to a morphism of vector bundles.

**Theorem 1.3.** The locus \(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{15}\) is a divisor in \(\overline{\mathcal{R}}^0_{15}\) and we have the expression

\[
[\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{15}] + E \equiv 31020 \left(\frac{3127}{470} \lambda - (\delta''_0 + \delta'''_0) - \frac{3487}{1880} \delta_{\text{ram}}\right),
\]

where \(E\) is an effective class on \(\overline{\mathcal{R}}^0_{15}\).

A suitable positive linear combination of \(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{15}\) and another divisor \(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{15:2}\), which was described in [Farkas and Ludwig 2010], then shows that the canonical class of \(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{15}\) is big.

To be able to calculate the class of \(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{15}\), various technical difficulties have to be overcome. In Section 2 we closely follow the setup of [Farkas 2009; Farkas and Ludwig 2010] to construct partial compactifications of suitable open subsets of \(\mathcal{M}_g\) and \(\mathcal{R}_g\) and to extend the moduli stacks of linear series there. We also make use of a result in [Farkas and Ludwig 2010] showing that all pluricanonical forms defined on the smooth part of \(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_g\) extend to any resolution of singularities.
2. The moduli space of Prym curves

We follow the techniques and notations introduced in [Farkas and Ludwig 2010, Section 1]. First we recall the basic definitions.

A smooth Prym curve is a pair \([C, \eta]\) where \([C] \in \mathcal{M}_g\) is a smooth curve and \(\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(C) \setminus \{\mathcal{O}_C\}\) is such that \(\eta^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_C\). To such a pair we can naturally associate an étale double cover \(f : C' \to C\), where \(C'\) is given as \(\text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \eta)\). Conversely, every étale double cover determines a unique 2-torsion bundle \(\eta\) on \(C\).

We denote by \(R_g\) the moduli space of smooth Prym curves of genus \(g\) and by \(\pi : R_g \to \mathcal{M}_g\) the forgetful morphism \([C, \eta] \mapsto [C]\) of degree \(2^{2g} - 1\). The corresponding morphism on stacks is étale and denoted by \(\pi : R_g \to \mathcal{M}_g\) as well.

2A. Compactifying the space of Prym curves. In order to compactify \(R_g\), we make the following definitions. We say that a smooth rational component of a nodal curve is exceptional if it meets the other components in exactly two points. A nodal curve is called quasistable if every smooth rational component meets the rest of the curve in at least two points, and the exceptional components are pairwise disjoint.

Definition 2.1. A Prym curve of genus \(g\) is a triple \((C, \eta, \beta)\) consisting of a quasi-stable curve \(C\) of genus \(g\), a line bundle \(\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(C)\) and a sheaf homomorphism \(\beta : \eta^{\otimes 2} \to \mathcal{O}_C\), subject to the following conditions:

1. For each exceptional component \(E\) of \(C\) we have \(\eta|_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1)\).
2. For each nonexceptional component the morphism \(\beta\) is not the zero morphism.

A family of Prym curves over a scheme \(S\) is a triple \((\mathcal{C} \to S, \eta, \beta)\), where \(\mathcal{C} \to S\) is a flat family of quasistable curves, \(\eta\) is a line bundle on \(\mathcal{C}\) and \(\beta : \eta^{\otimes 2} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}\) is a sheaf homomorphism such that for each fiber \(C_s = \mathcal{C}(s)\) the triple \((C_s, \eta|_{C_s}, \beta|_{C_s})\) is a Prym curve.

If there is no danger of confusion, we usually omit the morphism \(\beta\) from the data to describe a Prym curve. We denote by \(\overline{R}_g\) the (nonsingular Deligne–Mumford) stack of Prym curves of genus \(g\) and its coarseing by \(\overline{R}_g\). The locus \(R_g\) of smooth Prym curves is contained in \(\overline{R}_g\) as an open subset and the forgetful map \(\pi\) extends to a ramified covering \(\overline{R}_g \to \overline{M}_g\), which we also denote by \(\pi\). Note that by blowing down all exceptional components of a quasistable curve we obtain a stable curve. It should also be remarked that there is a close relationship between the Prym curves discussed here and admissible covers in the sense of Beauville [1977]. For a detailed treatment of the previous statements, see [Ballico et al. 2004; Bernstein 1999].

2B. Boundary divisors. We study the boundary components of \(\overline{R}_g\). They lie over the boundary of \(\overline{M}_g\), so we can study the components lying over \(\Delta_i\) for \(i = 0, \ldots, \lfloor g/2 \rfloor\). As is customary, we denote by \(\delta_i\) the corresponding divisor classes.
The divisors $\Delta_i$, $\Delta_{g-i}$, $\Delta_{g;i}$ for $i \geq 1$. First consider $i \geq 1$ and let $X \in \Delta_i$ be general, i.e., $X = C \cup D$ is the union of two curves of genera $i$ and $g - i$ meeting transversally in a single node. The line bundle $\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(X)$ on the corresponding Prym curve is determined by its restrictions $\eta_{C} = \eta|_{C}$ and $\eta_{D} = \eta|_{D}$ satisfying $\eta^{\otimes 2}_{C} = \mathcal{O}_{C}$ and $\eta^{\otimes 2}_{D} = \mathcal{O}_{D}$.

Either one of $\eta_{C}$ and $\eta_{D}$ (but not both) can be trivial, so $\pi^{*}(\Delta_{i})$ splits into three irreducible components

$$\pi^{*}(\Delta_{i}) = \Delta_{i} + \Delta_{g-i} + \Delta_{i;g-i},$$

where the general element in $\Delta_{i}$ is $[C \cup D, \eta_{C} \neq \mathcal{O}_{C}, \mathcal{O}_{D}]$, the generic point of $\Delta_{g-i}$ is of the form $[C \cup D, \mathcal{O}_{C}, \eta_{D} \neq \mathcal{O}_{D}]$ and the generic point of $\Delta_{i;g-i}$ looks like $[C \cup D, \eta_{C} \neq \mathcal{O}_{C}, \eta_{D} \neq \mathcal{O}_{D}]$.

The divisor $\Delta_{0}''$. Now let $i = 0$. The generic point of $\Delta_{0}$ in $\overline{M}_{g}$ is a one-nodal irreducible curve $C$ of geometric genus $g - 1$. We first consider points of the form $[C, \eta]$ lying over $C$, i.e., without an exceptional component. Denote by $\nu : \tilde{C} \to C$ the normalization and by $p, q$ the preimages of the node. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{C}^{*} \to \text{Pic}^{0}(C) \xrightarrow{\nu^{*}} \text{Pic}^{0}(\tilde{C}) \to 0,$$

which restricts to

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \to \text{Pic}^{0}(C)[2] \xrightarrow{\nu^{*}} \text{Pic}^{0}(\tilde{C})[2] \to 0$$

on the 2-torsion part. The group $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ represents the two possible choices of gluing of the fibers at $p$ and $q$ for each line bundle in $\text{Pic}^{0}(\tilde{C})[2]$. For the case $\nu^{*}\eta = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$ there is exactly one possible choice of $\eta \neq \mathcal{O}_{C}$. These curves $[C, \eta]$ correspond to the classical Wirtinger covers

$$\tilde{C}_{1} \sqcup \tilde{C}_{2}/(p_{1} \sim q_{2}, p_{2} \sim q_{1}) \xrightarrow{2:1} \tilde{C}/(p \sim q) = C.$$

We denote by $\Delta_{0}''$ the closure of the locus of Wirtinger covers.

The divisor $\Delta_{0}'$. On the other hand, there are $2^{2(g-1)} - 1$ nontrivial elements in the group $\text{Pic}^{0}(\tilde{C})[2]$. For each of them there are two choices of gluing, so we have a total of $2 \cdot (2^{g-2} - 1)$ choices for $\eta$ such that $\nu^{*}\eta \neq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$. We let $\Delta_{0}'$ be the closure of the locus of pairs $[C, \eta]$ such that $\nu^{*}\eta \neq \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}$.

The divisor $\Delta_{0}^{\text{ram}}$. Let us turn to the case of curves of the form $[X = \tilde{C} \cup_{p,q} E, \eta]$, where $E$ is an exceptional component. The stabilization of such a curve is again a one-nodal curve $C$. Denote by $\beta$ the morphism $\eta^{\otimes 2} \to \mathcal{O}_{X}$. Since $\eta|_{E} = \mathcal{O}_{E}(1)$, we must have $\beta_{E\setminus(p,q)} = 0$ and $\deg(\eta^{\otimes 2}|_{\tilde{C}}) = -2$. It follows that $\eta^{\otimes 2}|_{\tilde{C}} = \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-p-q)$. There are $2^{2(g-1)}$ choices of square roots of $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{C}}(-p-q)$ and each of these determines uniquely a Prym curve $[X, \eta]$ of this form. We denote the closure of the locus of such curves by $\Delta_{0}^{\text{ram}}$. 
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As a result of the local analysis carried out for instance in [Chiodo et al. 2013], we see that $\pi$ is simply ramified over $\Delta_0^\text{ram}$ and unramified everywhere else. This gives the relation

$$\pi^*(\delta_0) = \delta_0' + \delta_0'' + 2\delta_0^\text{ram}.$$  

2C. The canonical class. In order to show that $\mathcal{R}_g$ is of general type, we need to show the canonical class is big for some desingularization $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_g$ of $\mathcal{R}_g$. Using the following extension result we see that all pluricanonical differentials on the smooth part of $\mathcal{R}_g$ extend to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_g$.

**Theorem 2.2** [Farkas and Ludwig 2010, Theorem 6.1]. Let $g \geq 4$ and $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_g \to \mathcal{R}_g$ be any desingularization. Then every pluricanonical form defined on the smooth locus $\mathcal{R}_g^\text{reg}$ of $\mathcal{R}_g$ extends holomorphically to $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_g$; that is, for all integers $l \geq 0$ we have isomorphisms

$$H^0(\mathcal{R}_g^\text{reg}, K_{\mathcal{R}_g}^\otimes l) \cong H^0(\hat{\mathcal{R}}_g, K_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}_g}^\otimes l).$$

Furthermore, one has the expression

$$K_{\mathcal{R}_g} = 13\lambda - 2(\delta'_0 + \delta''_0) - 3\delta_0^\text{ram} - 2 \sum_{i=1}^{[g/2]} (\delta_i + \delta_{g-i} + \delta_{i:g-i}) - (\delta_1 + \delta_{g-1} + \delta_{1:g-1})$$

for the canonical class $K_{\mathcal{R}_g}$ in terms of the divisor classes introduced before (see for example [Farkas and Ludwig 2010, Theorem 1.5]). Here we have abused notation and set $\lambda = \pi^*(\lambda)$, the pullback of the Hodge class from $\overline{M}_g$. It is therefore enough to exhibit an effective divisor $D$ of the form

$$D = a\lambda - (b'_0\delta'_0 + b''_0\delta''_0) - b_0^\text{ram}\delta_0^\text{ram} - \sum_{i=1}^{[g/2]} (b_i\delta_i + b_{g-i}\delta_{g-i} + b_{i:g-i}\delta_{i:g-i})$$

such that

$$\frac{a}{\gamma} < \frac{13}{2} \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \{b'_0, b''_0\} \cup \{b_i, b_{g-i}, b_{i:g-i} | i = 1, \ldots, [g/2]\}$$

as well as

$$\frac{a}{\gamma} < \frac{13}{3} \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \{b_0^\text{ram}, b_1, b_{g-1}, b_{1:g-1}\}.$$  

**Remark 2.3.** Actually, the situation turns out to be simpler. Proposition 1.9 of [Farkas and Ludwig 2010] shows that for $g \leq 23$ it is enough to consider the coefficients of $\lambda, \delta'_0, \delta''_0$ and $\delta_0^\text{ram}$. If they satisfy the inequalities above, the other boundary divisor coefficients are automatically suitably bounded. We will make full use of the fact that we do not have to consider singular curves of compact type.
2D. The universal Prym curve. Since we are only concerned with the boundary divisors $\Delta'_0$, $\Delta''_0$ and $\Delta^\text{ram}_0$, we partially compactify $\mathcal{M}_g$ by adding the open sublocus $\tilde{\Delta}_0 \subset \Delta_0$ of one-nodal irreducible curves. Set

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g = \mathcal{M}_g \cup \tilde{\Delta}_0$$

and let $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_g = \pi^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g)$. We also set

$$\mathcal{Z} = \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_g \times_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g, 1.$$

This is not yet the universal Prym curve over $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_g$, since the points on exceptional components of curves in $\Delta^\text{ram}_0$ are not present. We have to blow up $\mathcal{Z}$ along the locus $V$ of points

$$(X, \eta_X, p = q) \in \Delta^\text{ram}_0 \times_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g} \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_g, 1, \quad X = C \cup_{\{p, q\}} E \to C / p \sim q, \quad \eta_E = \mathcal{O}_E(1).$$

Set $X_g = \text{Bl}_V(\mathcal{Z})$ and let $f : X_g \to \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_g$ be the induced universal family of Prym curves. The family $X_g$ comes equipped with a Poincaré bundle $\mathcal{P}$ such that $\mathcal{P}|_{f^{-1}([X, \eta_X])} = \eta$. We need the following result from [Farkas and Ludwig 2010, Proposition 1.6]:

**Lemma 2.4.** In $\text{Pic}(\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_g)$ we have $f_*(\alpha_1^2(\mathcal{P})) = -\delta^\text{ram}_0 / 2$ and $f_*(c_1(\mathcal{P})c_1(\omega_X)) = 0$.

2E. Moduli spaces of linear series over the Prym moduli space. To compute the classes of divisors on $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_g$, a viable method is to give them a determinantal description, i.e., exhibit them as degeneracy loci of morphisms of vector bundles. To obtain these vector bundles, we consider the stack $\mathcal{G}^r(2)_d$ parametrizing triples $[C, \eta, L]$ where $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{R}_g$ and $L \in G^r_d(C)$. Note that in the case $\rho(g, r, d) = 0$ in which we are interested, the forgetful map $\mathcal{G}^r(2)_d \to \mathcal{R}_g$ is a generically finite cover of degree

$$N = g! \frac{1! 2! \cdots r!}{(g-d+r)! \cdots (g-d+2r)!}.$$

We want to first restrict this construction to an open subset of $\mathcal{R}_g$ such that various pushforwards of the Poincaré bundles on the universal curve are indeed vector bundles on $\mathcal{G}^r(2)_d$. Then we shall extend the stack over a suitable partial compactification to be able to also determine the behavior on the boundary.

Let $\mathcal{M}^0_g$ be the open substack of $\mathcal{M}_g$ classifying curves $C$ with $W^r_{d+1}(C) = \emptyset$ and $W^r_{d-1}(C) = \emptyset$. A general such curve indeed has a finite amount of $g^r_d$ linear series and all of them are very ample. Observe that both

$$\rho(g, r + 1, d) = -(g-d+2(r+1)) \leq -2, \quad \rho(g, r, d - 1) = -(r+1) \leq -2,$$

so the codimension of the complement of $\mathcal{M}^0_g$ in $\mathcal{M}_g$ is at least 2, for instance by results in [Eisenbud and Harris 1989]. Therefore, restricting to $\mathcal{M}^0_g$ does not change divisor class calculations.
To partially compactify $M_0^g$, add the locus $\Delta_0^g$ of Brill–Noether general irreducible one-nodal curves, i.e., $[C/p \sim q]$ with $[C] \in M_{g-1}^0$ satisfying the Brill–Noether theorem. Denote by $\overline{M}_g^0 = M_g^0 \cup \Delta_0^g$ the resulting partial compactification. Over $\overline{M}_g^0$ we consider the stack of pairs $[C, L]$ where $L \in G_d^g(C)$. We denote this stack by $\overline{G}_d^g$. Pulling back the universal curve $\overline{M}_g^0,1$ to $\overline{G}_d^g$, we get a universal family

$$f_d^r: \overline{G}_d^g \times \overline{M}_g^0,1 \to \overline{G}_d^r$$

and we choose a Poincaré bundle, i.e., an $L$ such that $L|_{(f_d^r)^{-1}([C,L])} = L$ for every $[C, L] \in \overline{G}_d^g$.

We are now ready to pull these constructions back to Prym curves. We let $R_g^0 = \pi^{-1}(\overline{M}_g^0)$ and

$$\sigma: \overline{G}_d^{r,(2)} = \overline{G}_d^r \times \overline{M}_g^0 R_g^0 \to R_g^0$$

be the stack parametrizing triples $[C, \eta, L]$ for $[C, \eta] \in R_g^0$ and $L \in W_d^r(C)$. We also have the universal curve

$$\chi: \overline{G}_d^{r,(2)} = X_g \times_{R_g^0} \overline{G}_d^{r,(2)} \to \overline{G}_d^{r,(2)}.$$

By pulling back from $R_g^0$ and $\overline{G}_d^{r,(2)}$, respectively, this comes equipped with two Poincaré bundles $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{L}$. We can also use $\sigma$ to pull back the boundary classes $\Delta'_g$, $\Delta''_g$ and $\Delta_0^{\text{ram}}$ from $R_g^0$ to $\overline{G}_d^{r,(2)}$. Slightly abusing notation, the pullbacks will be denoted by the same symbols.

### 3. A new divisor on $\overline{R}_{15}$

As before, we denote by $\chi: \overline{G}_{16}^{4,(2)} \to \overline{G}_{16}^{4,(2)}$ the universal curve and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a Poincaré bundle on $\overline{G}_{16}^{4,(2)}$. Furthermore, let $\omega_\chi$ be the relative dualizing sheaf of $\chi$ and $\sigma: \overline{G}_{16}^{4,(2)} \to \overline{R}_{15}$ be the generically finite cover of degree $N = 6006$.

By construction of our moduli stacks and Grauert’s theorem, the pushforwards of $\mathcal{L}$ and $\mathcal{L} \otimes 2$ by $\chi$ are vector bundles on $\overline{G}_{16}^{4,(2)}$ of ranks 5 and 18, respectively. The sheaf $\chi_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P})$ is possibly not a vector bundle, but at least it is torsion-free. By excluding the subvariety (of codimension at least two) where it fails to be locally free we can assume it is in fact a vector bundle of rank 2. Divisor class calculations will not be affected.

We may then consider the following morphism of vector bundles of the same rank:

$$\phi: \text{Sym}^2 \chi_* (\mathcal{L}) \oplus \text{Sym}^2 \chi_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P}) \to \chi_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes 2).$$

On the fiber over the class of a triple $[C, \eta, L]$ it is given by the multiplication map of sections

$$\mu_{[C, \eta, L]}: \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \oplus \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \to H^0(C, L \otimes 2).$$

(2)
The closure of the locus
\[ D_{15} = \{ [C, \eta] \in \mathcal{R}_{15} \mid \exists L \in W^4_{16}(C) \text{ such that } \mu_{[C, \eta, L]} \text{ is not an isomorphism} \} \]
therefore has a determinantal description as the pushforward of the first degeneracy locus of the map \( \phi \). Its expected codimension is one and we obtain a virtual divisor. Note that while the vector bundles involved in defining \( \phi \) clearly depend on the choice of the Poincaré bundle \( \mathcal{L} \), the resulting morphism \( \phi \) does not (cf. the remark before Theorem 2.1 in [Farkas 2009]).

3A. Proof of divisoriality of \( D_{15} \). We now prove that \( \overline{D}_{15} \) is a genuine divisor, that is, \( \mu_{[C, \eta, L]} \) is an isomorphism for every \( L \in W^4_{16}(C) \) on the general Prym curve \( [C, \eta] \). We will prove in Section 3B that \( G_{14}^{4, (2)} \) over the whole space \( \mathcal{R}_{15} \) is irreducible. Hence it will be enough to exhibit a single smooth curve \( C \) and two line bundles \( L \in W^4_{16}(C) \) and \( \eta \in \text{Pic}^0(C)[2] \) such that the multiplication map (2) is bijective. We can then specialize the general element of \( G_{14}^{4, (2)} \) to this particular example and conclude by semicontinuity.

We start with a smooth nonhyperelliptic curve \( C \in \mathcal{M}_{15} \) possessing a theta characteristic \( \vartheta \) with an exactly 5-dimensional space of global sections, i.e., \( |\vartheta| \in G^4_{14}(C) \) and \( \vartheta \otimes^2 \cong \omega_C \). In order to construct an \( L \) such that \( \mu_{[C, \eta, L]} \) is bijective, \( C \) should in fact be half-canonically embedded by \( \vartheta \) such that the image does not lie on any quadric hypersurface in \( \mathbb{P}^4 \).

Explicit models of such curves can be obtained as hyperplane sections of smooth canonical surfaces \( S \subseteq \mathbb{P}^5 \) with \( p_g = 6 \) and \( K_S^2 = 14 \). To construct such a surface, one can employ the method described by Catanese [1997].

\[ \text{Lemma 3.1. There exists a smooth projective surface } S \text{ of general type with invariants } (K_S^2, p_g, q) = (14, 6, 0), \text{ canonically embedded in } \mathbb{P}^5, \text{ not lying on any quadric hypersurface.} \]

\[ \text{Proof. The surfaces } S \text{ arise from Pfaffian resolutions} \]
\[ 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-7) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-4)^{\oplus 7} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(-3)^{\oplus 7} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{I}_S \rightarrow 0 \quad (3) \]

of the ideal sheaf \( \mathcal{I}_S \), where \( \alpha \) is a \( 7 \times 7 \) antisymmetric matrix with linear entries and \( p \) is the map given by the Pfaffians of \( 6 \times 6 \) principal submatrices of \( \alpha \).

Using the projective resolution (3) and Serre duality for Ext sheaves, we see that \( S \) is canonically embedded. We also see that \( S \) is a regular surface (i.e., \( q = 0 \)) and \( p_g = 6 \), which combines to give \( \chi(\mathcal{O}_S) = 7 \). Again using (3), the Hilbert polynomial of \( \mathcal{O}_S \) is \( P_S(t) = 7t^2 - 7t + 7 \), which tells us \( \deg(S) = 14 \), and because \( S \) is canonically embedded we have \( K_S^2 = 14 \). \( \square \)

A general hyperplane section \( C = H \cap S \) of \( S \) has, by the adjunction formula,
\[ \omega_C \cong (\mathcal{O}_S(1) \otimes \omega_S)|_C \cong \omega_S^{\otimes 2}|_C, \quad 2g - 2 = 2K_S \cdot K_S = 28, \]
so $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$ is half-canonically embedded of degree 14 and genus 15. Using the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_S(2) \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^5}(2) \to \mathcal{O}_S(2) \to 0$$

and $h^0(S, \omega_S^{\otimes 2}) = 21$ by Riemann–Roch, we get $H^0(\mathbb{P}^5, \mathcal{I}_S(2)) = 0$, so $S$ does not lie on a quadric hypersurface of $\mathbb{P}^5$. The same then applies for $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$. A moduli count shows that hyperplane sections of such $S$ form a 32-dimensional family.

**Remark 3.2.** This is not the only way in which such curves arise. Iliev and Markushevich [2000] also obtain a 32-dimensional family (i.e., an irreducible component of the expected dimension of the locus $\mathcal{T}_{15}^4$ of curves of genus 15 having a theta-characteristic with 5 independent global sections) as vanishing loci of sections of rank 2 ACM bundles on quartic 3-folds in $\mathbb{P}^4$.

**Lemma 3.3.** For a half-canonically embedded curve $C$ in $\mathbb{P}^4$ not lying on a quadric hypersurface, the multiplication map $\mu_{[C, \eta, L]}$ is bijective.

**Proof.** Set $\vartheta = \mathcal{O}_C(1)$. Of course $\mathcal{O}_C(2) = \omega_C$. The fact that $C$ does not lie on a quadric hypersurface is equivalent to the bijectivity of the multiplication map

$$\mu_\vartheta : \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, \vartheta) \to H^0(C, \omega_C).$$

We now choose any closed point $x \in C$. Using that $\vartheta$ is very ample we get

$$h^0(C, \vartheta(-2x)) = h^0(C, \vartheta) - 2.$$

By Serre duality this implies $h^0(C, \vartheta(2x)) = h^0(C, \vartheta)$. Let $L = \vartheta(2x)$, so $L$ is a complete $\mathcal{G}_{16}^4$ and $2x$ is contained in the base locus of $L$. In particular, we have $H^0(C, L) \cong H^0(C, \vartheta)$ and $|L| = |\vartheta| + 2x$. Taking symmetric powers, we get

$$\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \cong \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, \vartheta) \cong H^0(C, \omega_C).$$

The space $H^0(C, L^\otimes 2)$ is 18-dimensional, and it decomposes via the inclusion

$$H^0(C, \vartheta^\otimes 2) \hookrightarrow H^0(C, L^\otimes 2)$$

as

$$H^0(C, L^\otimes 2) \cong H^0(C, \omega_C) \oplus V \cong \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L) \oplus V,$$

where $\dim V = 3$. The sections in $\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L)$ vanish to orders at least 4 at $x$. By Riemann–Roch, the space $H^0(C, L^\otimes 2)$ does contain sections vanishing to orders 0, 1 and 2 at $x$. By the previous analysis, they must span $V$.

Choose a two-torsion bundle $\eta \in \text{Pic}^0(C)[2]$ such that $H^0(C, \vartheta \otimes \eta) = 0$. Since $\text{Pic}^0(C)[2]$ acts transitively on the theta-characteristics, such an $\eta$ always exists by a result of Mumford [1966]. Then we have

$$h^0(C, L \otimes \eta) = h^0(C, \vartheta(2x) \otimes \eta) \leq h^0(C, \vartheta \otimes \eta) + 2 = 2.$$
By Riemann–Roch we must in fact have $h^0(C, L \otimes \eta) = 2$. By construction,
\[ H^0(C, (L \otimes \eta)(-2x)) = H^0(C, \vartheta \otimes \eta) = 0, \]
so the two sections of $L \otimes \eta$ vanish to orders 0 and 1 at $x$. We conclude that the map
\[ \text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \to H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2}) \]
is injective and its image is precisely $V$. □

### 3B. Irreducibility of some spaces of linear series.

We now want to prove the irreducibility of $G^{4, (2)}_{16}$, i.e., the moduli space of triples $[C, \eta, L]$ where $[C, \eta] \in \mathcal{R}_{15}$ and $L \in W^4_{16}(C)$. This will show that for the general triple $[C, \eta, L]$, the map $\mu_{[C, \eta, L]}$ is an isomorphism. Notice that the pair $[C, L]$ constructed in Section 3A is not Petri general, so we need more than the existence of a unique component of $\mathcal{G}^{4, (2)}_{16}$ dominating $M_{15}$. Nonetheless, this fact is what we are going to establish first in greater generality:

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $g \geq 3$ and $\rho(g, r, d) = 0$. Then there is a unique irreducible component of $G^{r, (2)}_d$ dominating $M_g$, i.e., containing the Petri general triple $[C, \eta, L]$.

**Proof.** If $r = g - 1$, the only $g^r_d$ on a curve is the canonical bundle, so $G^{r, (2)}_d \cong \mathcal{R}_g$ is irreducible. Otherwise, set $k = g - d + r + 1 \geq 3$. We recall that the locus of Petri general pairs $[C, L]$ is a connected smooth open subset $U$ of one irreducible component of $G^{r, (2)}_d$ [Eisenbud and Harris 1987]. The restriction of $G^{r, (2)}_d$ to the preimage $U^{(2)}$ of $U$ is smooth, so in order to show $U^{(2)}$ is irreducible we only have to show it is connected.

Take a general $k$-gonal curve $[D, A]$. We then have $h^0(D, A^{\otimes l}) = l + 1$ for all $l \leq r + 1$ (see [Ballico 1989]). So there is a rational map
\[ \Psi : G^{1, (2)}_k \dashrightarrow G^{r, (2)}_d \]
defined by $[D, \eta, A] \mapsto [D, \eta, A^{\otimes r}]$. We claim $A^{\otimes r}$ is Petri general, i.e., the map
\[ \mu_{A^{\otimes r}} : H^0(D, A^{\otimes r}) \otimes H^0(D, \omega_D \otimes A^{\otimes (r-j)}) \to H^0(D, \omega_D) \]
is injective. The aforementioned result of Ballico implies
\[ h^0(D, \omega_D \otimes A^{\otimes (-j)}) = (k - 1)(r + 1 - j) \]
for all $j \leq r + 1$. Note also that $g = (k - 1)(r + 1)$. By counting dimensions we find that $\mu_{A^{\otimes r}}$ is injective if and only if it is surjective.
We write down the beginning of the long exact sequence coming from the base point free pencil trick:

\[ 0 \to H^0(\omega_D \otimes A^{(-j-1)}) \to H^0(A) \otimes H^0(\omega_D \otimes A^{(-j)}) \to H^0(\omega_D \otimes A^{(-j+1)}) \]

Comparing dimensions we find that the map on the right is surjective for all \( j \leq r \). Now note that \( h^0(D, A^{\otimes r}) = r + 1 \) is equivalent to \( H^0(D, A^{\otimes r}) \cong \text{Sym}^r H^0(D, A) \). The chain of surjective maps

\[ H^0(A)^{\otimes r} \otimes H^0(\omega_D \otimes A^{(-r)}) \to H^0(A)^{\otimes (r-1)} \otimes H^0(\omega_D \otimes A^{(-r+1)}) \to \cdots \]

\[ \cdots \to H^0(A) \otimes H^0(\omega_D \otimes A^{-1}) \]

then implies that the Petri map

\[ \mu_{A^{\otimes r}} : \text{Sym}^r H^0(D, A) \otimes H^0(D, \omega_D \otimes A^{(-r)}) \to H^0(D, \omega_D) \]

is surjective as well. So \([D, \eta, A^{\otimes r}]\) lies in \( U^{(2)} \).

In [Biggers and Fried 1986] it is shown that the Hurwitz space \( \mathcal{G}^{1,(2)}_k \) is irreducible for \( k \geq 3 \). Hence \( \Psi \) maps to the smooth locus of a unique component \( Z \) of \( \mathcal{G}^{r,(2)}_d \) and its image is an irreducible subset consisting generically of Petri general curves. Since the image is closed under monodromy of 2-torsion, it follows that \( U^{(2)} \) must be connected.

We employ this result to prove irreducibility of \( \mathcal{G}^{r,(2)}_d \) under special circumstances:

**Corollary 3.5.** Assume \( g \geq 3 \) and \( \rho(g, r, d) = 0 \). If \( r \leq 2 \) or \( r' = g - d + r - 1 \leq 2 \), then \( \mathcal{G}^{r,(2)}_d \) is irreducible.

**Proof.** Note that the Serre dual of a \( g^r_d \) is a \( g^{r'}_{2g - 2 - d} \), so the space \( \mathcal{G}^{r,(2)}_d \) is irreducible if and only if \( \mathcal{G}^{r',(2)}_d \) is. As mentioned above, if \( r = 0 \) or, equivalently, \( r' = g - 1 \), the unique \( g^r_d \) on a curve is its canonical bundle, so \( \mathcal{G}^{r,(2)}_d \cong \mathcal{R}_g \) is irreducible. The case \( r = 1 \) is just the aforementioned result by Biggers and Fried [1986] about the irreducibility of Hurwitz spaces.

In the remaining case \( r = 2 \) a general \( g^2_d \) maps \( C \) birationally to a nodal curve in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \). Thus we get a dominant rational map

\[ V^{d, g} \dasharrow \mathcal{G}^{2}_d \]

from the Severi variety \( V^{d, g} \) of irreducible plane curves of degree \( d \) and arithmetic genus \( g \). The Severi varieties are irreducible, as proven in [Harris 1986], so \( \mathcal{G}^{2}_d \) is irreducible as well.

Étale maps preserve dimension, so all components of \( \mathcal{G}^{2,(2)}_d \) have dimension \( 3g - 3 + \rho(g, r, d) = 3g - 3 \). Each component is generically smooth, which implies that the general element has injective Petri map. But by Proposition 3.4 there is only one such component.
In particular, \( \mathcal{O}_{16}^{4,(2)} \) is irreducible. We may therefore specialize a general triple \([C, \eta, L] \in \mathcal{G}_{16}^{4,(2)}\) to the previously constructed explicit example. This proves that the locus \( \overline{D}_{15} \) is a genuine divisor. We proceed to calculate its class.

3C. Calculation of the divisor class. Recall that we are considering the morphism

\[
\phi : \text{Sym}^2 \chi_*(\mathcal{L}) \oplus \text{Sym}^2 \chi_*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P}) \to \chi_*(\mathcal{L} \otimes^2)
\]

between vector bundles of the same rank. To calculate the Chern classes of these bundles we will employ Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch. For this we study the contribution coming from \( R_1 \chi_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P}) \).

Lemma 3.6. Let \([C, \eta] \in \Delta_0''\) be general and \(L \in W_{16}^4(C)\). Then \(h^0(C, L \otimes \eta) = 4\).

Proof. Let \( \nu : \widetilde{C} \to C \) be the normalization of \(C\) and \(x\) be the node. Then \( \nu^* \eta = \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}} \) and \( \nu^* L \in W_{16}^4(\widetilde{C}) \), since \( \widetilde{C} \) is Brill–Noether general. From the exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathcal{O}_C \to \nu_* \mathcal{O}_{\widetilde{C}} \to \mathcal{O}_x \to 0
\]

we get

\[
0 \to L \otimes \eta \to \nu_* \nu^* L \to L \otimes \eta|_x \to 0,
\]

and by the long exact sequence in cohomology we obtain

\[
0 \to H^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \to H^0(\widetilde{C}, \nu^* L) \xrightarrow{H^0(\nu^*)} \mathbb{C}.
\]

Now \( H^0(\nu^*) \) is the zero map, hence \( H^0(\nu^*) \) must be nonzero and we get

\[
h^0(C, L \otimes \eta) = h^0(\widetilde{C}, \nu^* L) - 1 = 4. \quad \square
\]

This implies that the dimension of \( h^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \) jumps by two on the boundary component \( \Delta_0'' \). Hence \( R^1 \chi_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P}) \) is supported at least on \( \Delta_0'' \), and there it is of rank 2.

Remark 3.7. In fact, \( \Delta_0'' \) seems to be the only divisor where \( R^1 \chi_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P}) \) is supported. Since a proof of this would take long, and is not strictly necessary to achieve the goal of the article, we do not assume this fact here and will discuss it in greater generality in future work.

Denote \( \mathcal{O} = c_1(R^1 \chi_* (\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P})) \).

Proposition 3.8. The pushforward to \( \overline{R}_{15}^0 \) of the class of the degeneracy locus \( Z_1(\phi) \) is

\[
[\overline{D}_{15}]^\text{virt} = 31020 \left( \frac{3127}{470} \lambda - \delta_0 + \delta_0'' - \frac{3487}{1880} \delta_0 \right) - 3 \sigma_*(\mathcal{O}),
\]

and \( [\overline{D}_{15}]^\text{virt} - n[\overline{D}_{15}] \) is an effective class supported on the boundary for some \( n \geq 1 \).
Proof. We introduce the following classes in $A^1(\bar{\mathcal{O}}_{16}^{4, (2)})$:

\[ a = \chi_*(c_1^2(\mathcal{L})), \quad b = \chi_*(c_1(\mathcal{L}) \cdot c_1(\omega_\chi)), \quad c = c_1(\chi_*(\mathcal{L})). \]

By Porteous’ formula, the class of the first degeneracy locus $Z_1(\phi)$ of $\phi$ is given by

\[ Z_1(\phi) = c_1(\chi_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})) - c_1(\text{Sym}^2 \chi_* \mathcal{L}) - c_1(\text{Sym}^2 \chi_*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P})). \]

For a vector bundle $\mathcal{G}$ we have the elementary fact

\[ c_1(\text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{G}) = (\text{rk}(\mathcal{G}) + 1)c_1(\mathcal{G}). \]

Furthermore, for every $[C, \eta] \in \bar{\mathcal{R}}_g^0$ and every $L \in W^4_{16}(C)$ we have $H^1(C, L^{\otimes 2}) = 0$, so $R^1\chi_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) = 0$. We can then apply Grothendieck–Riemann–Roch and express everything in terms of the classes $a$, $b$, $c$ and $d$. For instance we have

\[
 c_1(\chi_*(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2})) = \left[ \chi_*\left(1 + c_1(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) + \frac{1}{2}c_1^2(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) \right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_1(\omega_\chi) + \frac{1}{12}(c_1^2(\omega_\chi) + c_2(\Omega_\chi)) \right) \right]_1
 = \lambda + 2a - b,
\]

where $[-]_1$ denotes the degree 1 part of an expression. We have used Mumford’s formula to calculate $\chi_*(c_1^2(\omega_\chi) + c_2(\Omega_\chi)) = 12\lambda$. Similarly, also using Lemma 2.4, we find

\[ c_1(\chi_*(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{P})) = \lambda + \frac{1}{2}a - \frac{1}{2}b - \frac{1}{2}\delta_0 + \delta. \]

Using the results of [Farkas 2009], in particular Lemmata 2.6 and 2.13 as well as Proposition 2.12, we can calculate the pushforwards of $a$, $b$ and $c$ by $\sigma$:

\[
\sigma_*(a) = -146784\lambda + 20856(\delta'_0 + \delta''_0) + 41712\delta_0^{\text{ram}},
\sigma_*(b) = 4224 + 264(\delta'_0 + \delta''_0) + 528\delta_0^{\text{ram}},
\sigma_*(c) = -48279 + 6930(\delta'_0 + \delta''_0) + 13860\delta_0^{\text{ram}},
\]

and of course $\sigma_*(\lambda) = N\lambda$, $\sigma_*(\delta_0^{\text{ram}}) = N\delta_0^{\text{ram}}$, where $N = 6006$ is the degree of $\sigma$. Putting everything together, we obtain the result. The difference between $[\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{15}]^{\text{virt}}$ and $[\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{15}]$ arises from the boundary components where $\phi$ is degenerate.

\[\square\]

Theorem 3.9. $\bar{\mathcal{R}}_{15}$ is of general type.

Proof. The contribution of $\sigma_*(\delta)$ to $[\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{15}]$ only improves the ratio between the coefficients of $\lambda$ and the boundary components. The same goes for the boundary components where $\phi$ is degenerate. Hence we may as well work with the class $[\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{15}]^{\text{virt}} + 3\sigma_*(\delta)$. Then we take an appropriate linear combination of $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{15}$ and the
\( \mathcal{R}_{15} \) is of general type

divisor \( \overline{D}_{15:2} \) from [Farkas and Ludwig 2010] having class
\[
[\overline{D}_{15:2}] = 5808\lambda - 924(\delta'_0 + \delta''_0) - 990\delta_{\text{ram}}
\]
\[
= 924\left(\frac{44}{7}\lambda - (\delta'_0 + \delta''_0) - \frac{15}{14}\delta_{\text{ram}}\right).
\]
For instance we have
\[
\beta \overline{D}_{15:2} + \gamma \overline{D}_{15} = \epsilon \lambda - 2(\delta'_0 + \delta''_0) - 3\delta_{\text{ram}},
\]
where
\[
\beta = \frac{667}{680394}, \quad \gamma = \frac{4}{113399}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{10288}{793}.
\]
Here \( \epsilon < 13 \), hence the canonical class is big. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.10.** The map
\[
\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L \otimes \eta) \to H^0(C, L^{\otimes 2})/\text{Sym}^2 H^0(C, L)
\]
is identically zero along the boundary component \( \Delta''_0 \). Hence the morphism \( \phi \) is degenerate with order 3 along \( \Delta''_0 \). It follows that we can subtract \( 3\delta''_0 \) from \( Z_1(\phi) \) and still obtain an effective class.

**Acknowledgements**

This project was generously supported by the Graduiertenkolleg 1800 of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Berlin Mathematical School. I would like to thank my PhD advisor Gavril Farkas for his suggestion to study the circle of problems to which this question belongs, as well as for many helpful discussions. I also thank the anonymous referee for careful reading and helpful comments.

**References**

[Ballico 1989] E. Ballico, “A remark on linear series on general \( k \)-gonal curves”, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.* A (7) 3:2 (1989), 195–197. MR 1008591 Zbl 0702.14026

[Ballico et al. 2004] E. Ballico, C. Casagrande, and C. Fontanari, “Moduli of Prym curves”, *Doc. Math.* 9 (2004), 265–281. MR 2117416 Zbl 1072.14029

[Beauville 1977] A. Beauville, “Prym varieties and the Schottky problem”, *Invent. Math.* 41:2 (1977), 149–196. MR 0572974 Zbl 0333.14013

[Bernstein 1999] M. Bernstein, *Moduli of curves with level structure*, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University, 1999. Available at http://search.proquest.com/docview/304503454.

[Biggers and Fried 1986] R. Biggers and M. Fried, “Irreducibility of moduli spaces of cyclic unramified covers of genus \( g \) curves”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 295:1 (1986), 59–70. MR 831188 Zbl 0601.14022

[Catanese 1997] F. Catanese, “Homological algebra and algebraic surfaces”, pp. 3–56 in *Algebraic geometry* (Santa Cruz, 1995), edited by J. Kollár et al., Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 62, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997. MR 1492517 Zbl 0937.14024
[Chiodo et al. 2013] A. Chiodo, D. Eisenbud, G. Farkas, and F.-O. Schreyer, “Syzygies of torsion bundles and the geometry of the level $\ell$ modular variety over $\overline{M}_g$”, *Invent. Math.* **194**:1 (2013), 73–118. MR 3103256 Zbl 1284.14006

[Eisenbud and Harris 1987] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, “Irreducibility and monodromy of some families of linear series”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **20**:1 (1987), 65–87. MR 892142 Zbl 0625.14013

[Eisenbud and Harris 1989] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, “Irreducibility of some families of linear series with Brill–Noether number $-1$”, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **22**:1 (1989), 33–53. MR 985853 Zbl 0691.14006

[Farkas 2009] G. Farkas, “Koszul divisors on moduli spaces of curves”, *Amer. J. Math.* **131**:3 (2009), 819–867. MR 2530855 Zbl 1176.14006

[Farkas and Ludwig 2010] G. Farkas and K. Ludwig, “The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of Prym varieties”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **12**:3 (2010), 755–795. MR 2639318 Zbl 1193.14043

[Farkas and Verra 2016] G. Farkas and A. Verra, “Prym varieties and moduli of polarized Nikulin surfaces”, *Adv. Math.* **290** (2016), 314–328. MR 3451926 Zbl 1332.14035

[Farkas et al. 2014] G. Farkas, S. Grushevsky, R. Salvati Manni, and A. Verra, “Singularities of theta divisors and the geometry of $A_5$”, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* **16**:9 (2014), 1817–1848. MR 3273309 Zbl 06377393

[Harris 1986] J. Harris, “On the Severi problem”, *Invent. Math.* **84**:3 (1986), 445–461. MR 837522 Zbl 0596.14017

[Iliev and Markushevich 2000] A. Iliev and D. Markushevich, “Quartic 3-fold: Pfaffians, vector bundles, and half-canonical curves”, *Michigan Math. J.* **47**:2 (2000), 385–394. MR 1793633 Zbl 1077.14551

[Mumford 1966] D. Mumford, “On the equations defining abelian varieties. I”, *Invent. Math.* **1** (1966), 287–354. MR 0204427 Zbl 0219.14024

Communicated by David Eisenbud
Received 2015-12-18 Revised 2016-04-19 Accepted 2016-08-30
gregor.bruns@hu-berlin.de *Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, D-10099 Berlin, Germany*
Torsion pour les variétés abéliennes de type I et II
MARC HINDRY and NICOLAS RATAZZI 1845

Galois-generic points on Shimura varieties
ANNA CADORET and ARNO KRET 1893

Extremality of loci of hyperelliptic curves with marked Weierstrass points
DAWEI CHEN and NICOLA TARASCA 1935

$\mathbb{A}_1$ is of general type
GREGOR BRUNS 1949

A vanishing theorem for weight-one syzygies
LAWRENCE EIN, ROBERT LAZARFELD and DAVID YANG 1965

Effective cones of cycles on blowups of projective space
IZZET COSKUN, JOHN LESIEUTRE and JOHN CHRISTIAN OTTEM 1983

Cluster algebras and category $\mathcal{O}$ for representations of Borel subalgebras of quantum affine algebras
DAVID HERNANDEZ and BERNARD LECLERC 2015