From EIT photon correlations to Raman anti-correlations in coherently prepared Rb vapor.
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We have experimentally observed switching between photon-photon correlations (bunching) and anti-correlations (anti-bunching) between two orthogonally polarized laser beams in an EIT configuration in Rb vapor. The bunching and anti-bunching switching occurs at a specific magnetic field strength.

PACS numbers: 32.80.Qk, 42.65.Dr, 42.50.Hz

Interaction of macroscopic laser fields with a resonant atomic 3-level Lambda system has been the focus of recent research. In particular, interesting phenomena such as photon-photon correlation, phase squeezing, and new entangled states of the radiation field have been studied. Sub-Poissonian statistics and a reduction of noise below photonic shot noise have been demonstrated\cite{1}. New bright source of entangled photon states with controllable coherent time has been demonstrated\cite{2} by employing parametric generation with counter-propagating electromagnetic waves in Rb vapor. The photon statistics of the light emitted from atomic ensemble into a single field mode of an optical cavity has been studied\cite{3}, smooth transition from bunching to anti-bunching has been experimentally demonstrated by changing number of atoms in the cavity.

In this Letter, we study intensity correlations and anti-correlations of optical fields propagating through a dense Rubidium vapor. The main result of the paper is shown in Fig. 1. For lower level coherence between Zeeman sub-levels prepared by laser beams with orthogonal polarizations (Fig. 1a), we observe that the intensity fluctuations of two laser beams are correlated under the condition of electromagnetically induced transparency (EIT) (see in Fig. 1b) and anti-correlated when two-photon detuning is introduced (see in Fig. 1c). That is, we have observed an interesting transition between bunching and anti-bunching by changing two-photon detuning. The two-photon spectral width of this transition is narrower than the width of the EIT window. A theoretical approach similar to that developed in\cite{7,8} has been used to explain the observed results.

An experimental setup is shown in Fig. 1a. All measurements have been performed with an external-cavity diode laser (ECDL) tuned to the center of the Doppler broadened $D_1$ line (5S$_{1/2}$ ($F = 2$) $\leftrightarrow$ 5P$_{1/2}$ ($F' = 1$)). The output laser beam is split into two by a beam-splitter, then the polarization of the one of the beams is rotated by a $\lambda/2$-wave plate, and these two orthogonally linear polarized beams are combined together by a polarizing beam-splitter (PBS). After the $\lambda/4$ wave-plate the beam is a combination of two orthogonal circularly polarized optical fields which induce a ground state Zeeman coherence in Rb atoms. A simplified $\Lambda$-scheme is depicted in insets of Fig. 1(b,c). A glass cell ($l = 7.5$ cm) with Rb vapor at density $10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ has been installed in a two-layer magnetic shield.

After the cell, the transmitted laser beams with opposite circular polarizations are separated by a second $\lambda/4$
wave-plate and another polarizing beam splitter. Transmitted laser beams were focused on fast photodiodes (PD) ET 2030A (Electro-Optics Technology) with frequency bandwidth 75 kHz - 1.2 GHz. The optical path lengths for both beams are the same. Signals from PDs are analyzed by a digital oscilloscope (DO) (Agilent 54624A with frequency bandwidth 100 MHz).

At the optical power of each beam at the entrance window of the Rb cell is 0.5 mW, beam diameters 0.1 cm, we vary a magnitude of longitudinal magnetic field and observe change in transmission. Linear transmission is less than 1%, and at maximum of EIT transmission is 0.63. The measured FWHM is 0.86 Gauss that is five times broader than for a low power limit 0.16 Gauss, i.e. the width of EIT is determined by strong power broadening. By using ground state Zeeman splitting $\Delta \omega / 2 \pi B = 0.7$ MHz/Gauss, one can estimate the spectral width of the EIT resonance of 1.2 MHz that are several times narrower than natural FWHM of hyperfine transitions 6 MHz and Rabi frequency.

To study fluctuations of optical fields transmitted through the dense Rb vapor, we have registered the dependence of the intensities of both optical beams on time, $\langle I_1, 2 \rangle + \delta I_1, 2 (t)$. Here $\langle I_1, 2 \rangle$ are the average intensities of the laser beams, and $\delta I_1, 2 (t)$ are the time dependent intensity fluctuations shown in Fig.2. Data presented in Fig. 2 are a part of the 10 ìsec recorded data. The signal in Volts is proportional to laser intensity as 500 V/W. Waveforms shown in Fig.2a are obtained under the condition that when one of the beams is blocked before the cell and there is no magnetic field ($B = 0$). The first curve shows intensity fluctuations of optical beam before it goes into the cell, it has practically no amplitude noise, and in order to see optical intensity noise above the photodetector noise the optical power has to be increased at least three times. The second curve (with a larger waveform amplitude) shows excess noise of transmitted optical fields induced by the dense Rb vapor.

Waveforms in Fig.2b show intensity fluctuations of two separated optical beams. Beams are separated by a tilted glass plate (an incident angle is close to the Brewster angle) with good quality parallel optical surfaces. Distance between beams is 0.3 cm that is bigger than the beam diameters. One can see that the vapor induced noise is slightly correlated. Correlation increases when separation is reduced. Strong correlation between signals is shown in Fig.2c under resonance EIT conditions. In Figure 2d waveforms are recorded with two-photon detuning by applying a magnetic field $B = -0.47$ Gauss.

One can see from Fig.2 that there are strong correlations and anticorrelations between beams governed by the EIT condition. The correlation function $G^{(2)} (\tau)$ between intensities of two optical beams is calculated by

$$G^{(2)} (\tau) = \frac{\langle \delta I_1 (t) \delta I_2 (t + \tau) \rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \delta I_1 (t) \rangle^2 \langle \delta I_2 (t + \tau) \rangle^2}}$$

where averaging over the time is defined as $\langle Q (t) \rangle = \int_0^T Q (t) dt / T$, $\tau$ is the selected time delay between the recorded signals, $T$ is the time of integration, in our case $T = 10 \mu$s.

In Figure 1b, the curve is calculated by using waveforms recorded under resonance EIT condition ($B = 0$). The correlation peak at delay time $\tau = 0$ has an amplitude of 0.9 and the background average value near 0.15. Figure 1c demonstrates a pronounced modification of waveforms due to two-photon detuning (applied magnetic field $B = -0.47$ Gauss) showing that the waveforms are anti-correlated. For the reduced optical power, the width of the correlation peaks has
results of analysis for power of 0.7 at the delay 0.3 and a correlation peak with magnitude nearly 4 times narrower than the width of the EIT resonance at the low power limit 0.9. The smallest measured width of the correlation resonance coincides with the width of the EIT resonance at the low power limit 0.16 Gauss.

The intensity fluctuations can be described in various ways, e.g. by the Heisenberg-Langevin approach, a Fokker-Planck analysis, the quantum regression theorem, etc. [18], each provides their own insights to the classical and quantum fluctuations in the system under investigation. Intensity correlations and sub-Poisson statistics were observed with two lasers in EIT regime [4, 11]. In nonlinear magneto-rotation [11], quadrature squeezing theoretically predicted [12] has been experimentally demonstrated [14].

In the present experiment, we have two beams of strong laser radiation with different polarizations interacting with the atomic system (as shown in Fig. 1a). There are two mechanisms by which the intensity fluctuations can be generated in an atomic medium. One is related to the phase fluctuations of laser field (a linear effect) [15] and the second is four-wave mixing of vacuum modes (a non-linear effect) [17]. It was shown that the efficiency of phase noise (PhN) conversion to intensity noise (IN) is smaller at higher intensity, when non-linear processes are dominant. The PhN-to-IN conversion phenomenon was used for noise spectroscopy of resonance medium [13]. Noise spectroscopy of EIT is studied in [16]. Also excess noise was observed under EIT conditions. We studied correlations on delay time and dependence of the maximal correlation on two-photon detuning. In our case optical density has been large and noise spectrum is defined by the shape of spectral hole due to one-photon saturation [4].

Physical insight into this process is gained on the basis of a simplified approach treating the laser fields classically, using the density matrix for treating the resonance atomic response, and taking into account propagation that are very essential for the problem. The laser beams are in the resonance three-level medium as depicted in Fig. 1.

Indeed, the polarizations and coherence in the three-level system are given [18] by

\begin{equation}
\rho_{cb} = \frac{\Gamma_{ca} + \Gamma_{ab}}{2\Gamma_{ca}\Gamma_{ab}} \frac{\Omega_1\Omega_2}{\Gamma_{ab}^2 + \frac{|\Omega_2|^2}{\Gamma_{ca}}},
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\rho_{ab} = -\frac{n_{cb}\Omega_1 + \rho_{cb}\Omega_2}{\Gamma_{ab}}, \quad \rho_{ca} = \frac{i(n_{ca}\Omega_2 + \rho_{cb}\Omega_1)}{\Gamma_{ca}}
\end{equation}

where \(\Gamma_{ab} = \gamma_{ab} + i(\omega_{ab} - \nu_1); \Gamma_{ca} = \gamma_{ca} + i(\omega_{ac} - \nu_2); \Gamma_{bc} = \gamma_{cb} + i(\omega_{bc} - \nu_1 + \nu_2);\) the atomic frequencies, and \(\nu_1(t) = \nu_2(t)\) are the instantaneous frequency of laser radiation in both beams having orthogonal polarizations. Practically all population is distributed between levels \(b\) and \(c\), \(n_b = n_c = 1/2\), no population is in level \(a\), \(n_a = 0\). The equations for field propagation are

\begin{equation}
\frac{\partial \Omega_1}{\partial z} = -i\eta_b\rho_{ab}, \quad \frac{\partial \Omega_2}{\partial z} = -i\eta_c\rho_{ac},
\end{equation}

where \(\eta_b = \nu_1N\nu_b/(2\epsilon_0c), \eta_c = \nu_2N\nu_c/(2\epsilon_0c)\) are the coupling constants, \(N\) is the density of medium, \(\epsilon_0\) is the permittivity of the vacuum, and \(c\) is the speed of light in vacuum.

Depending on the one- and two-photon detunings the amplitude fluctuations for fields occurs in phase or \(\pi\) out of phase. When two fields are in the resonance with atomic transitions, phase fluctuation of laser field leads to detuning from the resonance, but one-photon detunings for both fields are the same, so the change of intensities are in the same direction, and the fields are correlated. When the detuning is included, then the change of absorption is different for these two beams. One field frequency is tuning closer to the resonance but another is tuning out of resonance. The last gives rise for anti-correlations. The time scale to establish correlation or anti-correlation between intensities of laser beams depends on long-lived coherence relaxation between magnetic sublevels. An interesting result is that the spectral width of correlation function is more tolerant to the power broadening giving us 4 times narrower peak than the EIT width.

The second mechanism, using four-wave mixing, gives us a nonlinear contribution to the intensity fluctuations. Let us note that both mechanisms leads to correlation at the EIT condition; correlated intensities can be also considered from the point of view of matched pulses [18].

A useful theoretical approach for this situation is the technique developed for the CEL [8, 8]. The system is practically the same, but instead of V-scheme we have here Lambda-system, and the basic processes, described in term of the CEL analysis, is locking and unlocking. The basic equation describing the obtain results for the angle \(\theta\), which is the phase deference of two radiation modes, is given by

\begin{equation}
\dot{\theta} = a - b\sin\theta + F(\theta)
\end{equation}
The correlation peak in Fig. 1b is quite narrow, just \( \tau = 18 \) ns. The corresponding frequency spectrum has a width 18 MHz that is an order more then EIT width 1.2 MHz. We have observed the noise spectrum of laser radiation after the cell directly with a spectrum analyzer. Probably correlated spectral components of coupled optical fields find appropriate degenerated \( \Lambda \) systems. The magnetic field changes correlations between the waveforms. In Fig. 1c one can see the anti-correlation peak and some fluctuations near zero level \( (B = -0.47 \text{ Gauss}) \). On the slope of the EIT resonance phase noise should be more efficiently converted to the intensity noise. In Figure 2 one can see that the noise on the slope of the EIT resonance, more pronounced to compare with at the EIT resonance.

In conclusion, we report an experimental observation of intensity correlations and anti-correlations of coupled fields in a dense Rb vapor where lower level coherence is created between Zeeman sub-levels by two laser beams with orthogonal polarizations. Intensity fluctuations induced by resonant medium are correlated under resonance EIT condition and anti-correlated at some value of two photon detuning. Narrow correlation and anti-correlations peaks are associated with frequencies above EIT width and natural optical width. Dependence of correlations on magnetic field (two-photon detuning) show resonance behavior. The resonances are near 4 times narrower than the width of the observed EIT resonances.

Correlation properties of coupled fields in \( \Lambda \) scheme can be used to reduce noise and improve performance of EIT based atomic clocks and magnetometers. The PhN to-IN conversion is an important physical process limiting the accuracy. In EIT atomic clock and magnetometers [21], it is possible to avoid the contribution of the atomic medium induced excess intensity noise. In \( \Lambda \)-EIT we demonstrated that waveforms of transmitted optical field can be strongly correlated. If one would detect coupled fields separately by two independent photo-detectors and then subtract signals, the noise would be reduced to photonic shot noise or even less (sub-Poissonian photon statistics of coupled optical fields).
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\[ F(\theta) = \cos \theta F_{-}(\theta) + \sin \theta F_{+}(\theta), \ F_{\pm}(\theta) \text{ are the Langevin forces. When two modes are locked their intensities start correlating [2].} \]

We performed numerical simulations by using the quantum regression theory taking the same values of the parameters as in the current experiments and the results will be published elsewhere [20].
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