A novel isoform of cryptochrome 4 (Cry4b) is expressed in the retina of a night-migratory songbird
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The primary sensory molecule underlying light-dependent magnetic compass orientation in migratory birds has still not been identified. The cryptochromes are the only known class of vertebrate proteins which could mediate this mechanism in the avian retina. Cryptochrome 4 of the night-migratory songbird the European robin (Erithacus rubecula; erCry4) has several of the properties needed to be the primary magnetoreceptor in the avian eye. Here, we report on the identification of a novel isoform of erCry4, which we named erCry4b. Cry4b includes an additional exon of 29 amino acids compared to the previously described form of Cry4, now called Cry4a. When comparing the retinal circadian mRNA expression pattern of the already known isoform erCry4a and the novel erCry4b isoform, we find that erCry4a is stably expressed throughout day and night, whereas erCry4b shows a diurnal mRNA oscillation. The differential characteristics of the two erCry4 isoforms regarding their 24-h rhythmicity in mRNA expression leads us to suggest that they might have different functions. Based on the 24-h expression pattern, erCry4a remains the more likely cryptochrome to be involved in radical-pair-based magnetoreception, but at the present time, an involvement of erCry4b cannot be excluded.

It is well known that migratory birds use directional information from the Earth’s magnetic field in addition to several other cues to orient and navigate often thousands of kilometres during their long journeys1,2. Already more than 160 years ago, Alexander Theodor von Middendorff suggested that the geomagnetic field plays a role in guiding birds3, but only in the 1960s, the use of the magnetic compass was demonstrated experimentally in a night-migratory songbird4,5. Since then, a better understanding of the magnetic compass of night-migratory songbirds has been reached: It is an inclination compass6,7, located in the retina8 of both eyes9–11, and dependent on the wavelengths of the surrounding light12–15. Magnetic compass information is processed in the thalamofugal visual pathway16 at night17, and a brain area named “Cluster N” is required for magnetic compass orientation in night-migratory songbirds8,18,19. It has also been reported that some non-migratory birds might be able to use a magnetic compass20–23 (but see refs.1,24,25).

The magnetic compass of night-migratory songbirds is most probably based on a light-dependent quantum mechanism involving radical pairs26–32, which is also in line with reports that radio-frequency oscillating magnetic fields disrupt the magnetic compass33–35. Cryptochromes, a class of photolyase-related flavoproteins first discovered in the plant A. thaliana36, are considered to be the primary magnetoreceptors in night-migratory songbirds37,38, since they are the only proteins known in the avian retina that are able to build radical pairs upon photoexcitation39,40. Cryptochromes are found in most plants and animals, where they seem to fulfil a wide variety of different functions. In some organisms, they are core elements of the circadian clock41–43 and cryptochromes are also reported to be involved in the response to DNA damage, cancer biology and metabolic signalling (for a review, see ref.44). Four cryptochrome proteins have been identified to date in the retina of migratory birds: Cryptochrome (Cry) 1a is located in UV/V cones of photoreceptor cells45, Cry1b was detected in photoreceptor inner segments, the ganglion cell layer and displaced ganglion cells46,47, Cry2 was found associated to the cell nuclei and is most probably involved in the circadian clock48,49, and Cry4 was detected in photoreceptor double cones and long-wavelength-sensitive single cones50. The Cry4 gene, first identified in zebrafish51 and partially
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characterised in chicken\textsuperscript{52,53} and European robin\textsuperscript{24}, has, in addition to fish and birds, so far only been found in amphibians\textsuperscript{54} and reptiles\textsuperscript{55}, which comprise the four animal classes with a well-documented magnetic sense\textsuperscript{1,56–61}. Cry4 is suggested to be the most likely candidate for being the magnetoreceptive protein: Not only is Cry4 of night-migratory European robins (\textit{Erithacus rubecula}) located in a very suitable site for magnetoreception\textsuperscript{31}, but it is also upregulated during the migratory season and shows only weak 24-h rhythmicity\textsuperscript{50}. Even more importantly, stably bound FAD in vitro has been seen in Cry4 from the chicken\textsuperscript{62,63} and the pigeon\textsuperscript{64–66} and stably bound FAD in vivo is a crucial prerequisite for a protein potentially acting as a magnetoreceptor\textsuperscript{27,31}. Furthermore, Cry4 has been suggested to interact with the long-wavelength opsin, iodopsin\textsuperscript{67}. Iodopsin is located exclusively in the double cones and long-wavelength single cones, which is where Cry4 is primarily located within the retina of the European robin\textsuperscript{50}, and which would seem to be a very suitable site for magnetoreception\textsuperscript{31}. Since over 90% of all human protein coding genes produce multiple mRNA isoforms (or splice variants)\textsuperscript{68} and two variants of the Cry1 gene, Cry1\textsubscript{a} and Cry1\textsubscript{b}, have already been found, we were interested if there might also be more than one isoform of avian Cry4. Here, we report that erCry4 is expressed in at least two splice variants: In addition to the already known erCry4 isoform, now called erCry4\textsubscript{a}, the novel isoform, called erCry4\textsubscript{b}, possesses one additional exon located within the DNA photolyase homology region. We found the Cry4\textsubscript{b} isoform in the retina from several other bird species and characterised the two isoforms concerning their 24-h mRNA expression and tissue distribution in the European robin.

Results

Cry4\textsubscript{b} is a splice variant of avian Cry4. By analysis of the RT-PCR fragment length and subsequent Sanger sequencing of retinal cDNA of the European robin, we detected a novel isoform of erCry4, which we named erCry4\textsubscript{b}. In contrast to erCry4 (now named erCry4\textsubscript{a}), the erCry4\textsubscript{b} mRNA comprises an additional exon of 87 nucleotides (nt) length (29 amino acids, aa) at nt position 532 to 618, which is characteristic for erCry4\textsubscript{b} (Fig. 1A, blue). This newly discovered exon is formed by retention of exon 3 of the erCry4 gene in the erCry4\textsubscript{b} isoform. The open reading frame (ORF) for the erCry4\textsubscript{b} isoform is composed of eleven exons and has a total length of 1671 nt (556 aa). The mRNA sequence of erCry4\textsubscript{b} is deposited under the GenBank accession number MN709784. By RT-PCR, we also detected the two isoforms expressed in the retinae from the Eurasian blackcap (\textit{Sylvia atricapilla}) and the zebra finch (\textit{Taeniopygia guttata}) (Fig. 1B). In the domestic chicken, we only observed a very faint band of the appropriate size for the Cry4\textsubscript{b} isoform, which suggests that Cry4\textsubscript{b} might also be expressed in the chicken, but possibly to a much lower extent (Fig. 1B). When subjecting the novel erCry4\textsubscript{b}-specific exon to a genomic BLAST database search (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), we received positive hits for a number of other listed bird species (Table 1). This suggests that the Cry4\textsubscript{b} isoform is most likely conserved among birds.

Tissue distribution and diurnal expression pattern. Using RT-PCR, we monitored the mRNA expression pattern of all five cryptochromes known so far in ten European robin tissues (retina, brain, heart, kidney, liver, lung, spinal cord, muscle, beak, and skin), with the TATA-box binding protein (\textit{Tbp}) as a reference. All cryptochromes were found to be expressed in all European robin tissues examined, even if the expression of the...
two Cry4 transcripts was extremely low in some of the tissues (heart, kidney, spinal cord and muscle) (Fig. 2 and Fig. S1).

We recently analysed the expression pattern over the course of 24 h in four different European robin retinal cryptochrome transcripts, erCry1a, erCry1b, erCry2 and erCry4, by quantitative RT-PCR. Here, the mRNA of retinal erCry4 (which included both isoforms erCry4a and erCry4b) did not show a pronounced 24-h rhythmicity (Fig. 3, bottom right). Separated examination of the erCry4 isoforms in this study revealed that the transcripts of erCry4a and erCry4b differ in their expression pattern: While erCry4a mRNA did not display a clear and significant 24-h rhythmicity in the retina (Fig. 3, top left; one-way ANOVA: p = 0.152, Cosinor analysis: p = 0.274), we observed a pronounced 24-h rhythm of erCry4b mRNA expression (Fig. 3, top right; one-way ANOVA: p < 0.001), with a peak in the afternoon (13:00 and 16:00 CET) and the lowest values at night (22:00, 1:00 and 4:00 CET). The increase in relative expression is about threefold (Tukey-HSD: p < 0.01) and statistically significant rhythmicity of erCry4b was confirmed by Cosinor analysis (p < 0.001). As expected, adding the values obtained for erCry4a and erCry4b, respectively, results in a graph (Fig. 3, bottom left) that is very similar to the pooled Cry4 mRNA expression pattern from our recent publication (Fig. 3, bottom right).

| Species                  | Order         | BLAST database (algorithm) | Sequence ID                                      | E value | Query cover (%) | Score |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------|-------|
| Anas platyrhynchos       | Anseriformes  | wgs (discontiguous megablast) | RHJV01000027.1                                  | 5e−20   | 88              | 102   |
| Chaetura pelagica        | Apodiformes   | wgs (blastn)               | AVOS01001646.1                                   | 2e−11   | 100             | 71.6  |
| Apteryx australis mantielli |              | retseq_genomes (discontiguous megablast) | NW_013992250.1                                  | 6e−19   | 100             | 96.9  |
| Calidris pugnax          | Charadriiformes | wgs (blastn)               | LDEH01010997.1                                  | 4e−23   | 95              | 111   |
| Charadrius vociferus     | Charadriiformes | wgs (blastn)               | JMFX02021239.1                                  | 5e−25   | 100             | 117   |
| Leptosomus discolor      | Leptosomiformes | wgs (discontiguous megablast) | JIRK01058655.1                                  | 1e−26   | 100             | 122   |
| Opisthocomus hoazin      | Opisthocomiformes | wgs (blastn)              | JMF10199884.2                                   | 3e−22   | 100             | 108   |
| Acrolotheres javanicus   | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | PEJO01000078.1                                   | 6e−37   | 100             | 156   |
| Camarhynchus parvulus    | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | CADCCX0100000210.1                              | 7e−24   | 94              | 113   |
| Corvus brachyrhynchos    | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | JMFN01044619.1                                   | 6e−37   | 100             | 156   |
| Corvus cornix cornix     | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | MYNZ020000042.1                                  | 1e−36   | 100             | 156   |
| Corvus hawasieni         | Passeriformes  | wgs, megablast             | QORP010000550.1                                  | 8e−37   | 100             | 156   |
| Cyanistes caerules       | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | PDCF01000051.1                                  | 8e−24   | 100             | 113   |
| Ficedula albicollis      | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | AGTO02005649.1                                   | 1e−38   | 100             | 161   |
| Geospiza fortis          | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | AKZB01054642.1                                   | 1e−24   | 95              | 115   |
| Parus major              | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | JRXK01014523.1                                   | 2e−31   | 100             | 137   |
| Phylloscopus trochiloides| Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | LXXO01163281.1                                   | 5e−32   | 100             | 139   |
| Phylloscopus trochiloides| Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | LXPQ01028412.1                                   | 6e−32   | 100             | 139   |
| Pseudopodoces humilis    | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | VKK01000002.1                                   | 1e−29   | 100             | 132   |
| Serinus canaria          | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | CAVT01003632.1                                   | 1e−26   | 100             | 122   |
| Sturnus vulgaris         | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | LNCF01015430.1                                   | 6e−37   | 100             | 156   |
| Taeniopygia guttata      | Passeriformes  | wgs (megablast)            | VOHI02000025.1                                  | 1e−24   | 100             | 119   |
| Zosterops lateralis melanoops | Passeriformes | wgs (megablast)            | LAPI01000220.1                                  | 3e−35   | 100             | 130   |
| Egretta garzetta         | Pelecaniformes | wgs (discontiguous megablast) | JIRC01021325.1                                  | 1e−13   | 72              | 78.8  |
| Nipponia nippon          | Pelecaniformes | wgs (megablast)            | JMFH01044201.1                                  | 1e−28   | 100             | 128   |
| Pelecanus crispus        | Pelecaniformes | wgs (megablast)            | JRG01025832.1                                   | 2e−21   | 74              | 104   |
| Fulmarus glacialis       | Procellariiformes | wgs (blastn)             | JIRN01102231.1                                   | 6e−24   | 100             | 113   |
| Aptenodytes forsteri     | Sphenisciformes | wgs (discontiguous megablast) | JMFQ01050174.1                                  | 5e−25   | 100             | 117   |
| Tyto alba                | Strigiformes   | wgs (blastn)               | JIRD01058060.1                                  | 1e−16   | 96              | 90.6  |
| Phalacrocorax carbo      | Suliformes     | wgs (blastn)               | JMF01031110.1                                   | 7e−17   | 94              | 90.6  |

**Table 1.** Positive hits of the erCry4b-specific exon 3 in BLAST databases. Date of search: July 9, 2020. wgs whole-genome shotgun contigs.
Figure 2. mRNA expression of the five different cryptochromes in various tissues from one European robin. The abundance of cryptochrome mRNA was measured by RT-PCR with cDNA from ten different tissues taken from one bird individual, and the −RT control as a negative control (see “Materials and methods”). The TATA-box binding protein (erTbp) served as a reference gene. Full-length gels are provided in the supplement (Fig. S1).

Figure 3. The daily mRNA expression pattern of the erCry4 isoforms erCry4a and erCry4b. 24-h profiles were measured in retinal tissue collected from three different individuals at eight time points (local time, CET) during the autumn migratory season. Each bar indicates the relative mRNA expression level normalised to the mRNA levels of erPrkca, erGluR2, and erTbp, which were used as reference genes (n = 3, mean values ± SEM; left y axis), and the mRNA amount in relation to the lowest expression value (right y axis). Significant differences at each time point were analysed by one-way factorial ANOVA, p < 0.05; post hoc Tukey HSD test: **p < 0.01. Only significant differences relative to the lowest level of mRNA expression of the respective gene are shown. Note the different y axes for each graph. Data for erCry4(a + b) (bottom right) is modified from ref.50. The light conditions (light and dark period) are illustrated by the black and white bars below the graphs.
for the suggested radical-pair mechanism of magnetoreception\textsuperscript{62,69}, Cry4(a) from several species has been shown with very recent findings of \textit{Cry4a}, and detected a widespread expression of all cryptochromes in all tissues examined. This result is consistent with the role in the retina. Future studies involving functional expression of Cry4a and Cry4b proteins followed by comprehensive oscillation, might have a role diverging from Cry4a function: It could either be a component of the circadian clock, share a putative magnetoreceptor function with \textit{erCry4a}, or play a completely different, so-far unknown role in the retina. The lack of 24-h rhythmicity of the \textit{erCry4b} mRNA is consistent with what would be predicted for a stably expressed retinal magnetoreceptor. On the other hand, \textit{erCry4b}, displaying a clear diurnal mRNA expression of \textit{erCry4b} and \textit{erCry4a} found a diverging 24-h expression pattern of retinal \textit{erCry4b} and \textit{erCry4a}, different \textit{24-h} mRNA expression pattern in the retina suggests that \textit{erCry4a} and \textit{erCry4b} have different functions question whether both or only one of them might be involved in magnetoreception. The fact that they display a different \textit{24-h} mRNA expression pattern in the retina suggests that \textit{erCry4a} and \textit{erCry4b} have different functions within the retina. The lack of \textit{24-h} rhythmicity of the \textit{erCry4a} mRNA is consistent with what would be predicted for a stably expressed retinal magnetoreceptor. On the other hand, \textit{erCry4b}, displaying a clear diurnal mRNA oscillation, might have a role diverging from \textit{Cry4a} function: It could either be a component of the circadian clock, share a putative magnetoreceptor function with \textit{erCry4a}, or play a completely different, so-far unknown role in the retina. Future studies involving functional expression of \textit{Cry4a} and \textit{Cry4b} proteins followed by comparative analysis of their photoresponses could potentially answer this question.

We also examined the tissue-wide mRNA distribution of all known cryptochromes in the European robin and detected a widespread expression of all cryptochromes in all tissues examined. This result is consistent with very recent findings of \textit{clCry1}, \textit{clCry2} and \textit{clCry4} in eleven tissues of the pigeon\textsuperscript{85}. The ubiquitous tissue expression of \textit{erCry1a}, \textit{erCry1b} and \textit{erCry2} is not in conflict with their probable role in the circadian clock.
since both mammalian Cry1 and Cry2\(^7\) as well as other circadian clock proteins have also been observed to be widely expressed throughout mammalian tissues\(^7\)–\(^9\). A universal tissue distribution of an assumed primary magnetoreceptor, Cry4, on the other side, which is thought to play its key role in the avian retina, seems not very plausible at first sight. However, many proteins perform multiple functions\(^4\). This phenomenon, termed ‘protein moonlighting’ or ‘gene sharing’, means that one gene can produce proteins with several different molecular functions\(^14\)–\(^16\). The moonlighting effect may manifest itself through expression in different cell types or different locations within a cell\(^73\). Not only might one and the same Cry4 isoform play different roles in different tissues, but both Cry4 isoforms could also have different functions (both within the retina and throughout the body).

To find out more about possibly diverging roles of the two Cry4 isoforms in the avian retina, it will be necessary to produce antibodies specifically recognising either Cry4a or Cry4b protein.

In conclusion, we found an additional isoform (Cry4b) of the putative magnetoreceptor Cry4 in the retina of several bird species. The mRNA of this novel isoform is primarily expressed during the day whereas the mRNA of the formerly known Cry4(a) is expressed at similar level throughout a 24-h period. This could indicate that the two isoforms play different roles within both the retina and/or the whole avian organism.

Materials and methods

Birds. Twenty-six European robins (Erithacus rubecula) and two Eurasian blackcaps (Sylvia atricapilla) were wild-caught in the vicinity of the campus of the University of Oldenburg using mist nets. Two zebra finches (Taeniopygia guttata) were captive-bred. Two chickens (Gallus gallus domesticus) were bred from specific-pathogen-free eggs (VALO Biomedia, Osterholz-Scharmbeck, Germany) and raised in the animal care facility of the University of Oldenburg. All animals were housed and caged individually. Two European robins (Erithacus rubecula) and two Eurasian blackcaps (Sylvia atricapilla) were included to confirm results from a previous study\(^50\).

All animal procedures were performed in accordance with local and national guidelines for the use of animals in research and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees of the Niedersächsisches Landesamt für Verbraucherschutz und Lebensmittelsicherheit (LAVES, Oldenburg, Germany), Az: 3314-42502-04-10/0121.

Tissue collection, RNA isolation and cDNA production. The tissues were collected from birds sacrificed by decapitation. One retina from each bird, free of vitreous, and the other tissues used in this study were put into ice-cold TRIzol Reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), shock-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until RNA extraction. The total RNA was isolated according to manufacturer’s instructions. RNA concentration was measured using the Infinite 200 PRO instrument (Tecan, Männedorf, Switzerland).

Gene-specific primers were designed to amplify the coding region of the European robin erCry4 mRNA sequence (gene bank accession number KX890129\(^8\)). The primers erCry4-Xho1-pT-AE-F, 5′-ggtattctcgagatgctgcatcgcaccat-3′ and erCry4-KpnI-pT-AE-R, 5′-tattggtaccgtgtattctgttgttcggg-3′ were used for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification with the Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA; Cat. No. F-530XL) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The cycle conditions were: one cycle denaturation at 98 °C (30 s), 30 cycles at 98 °C (10 s), 64 °C (30 s), 72 °C (60 s), with a final extension of 10 min at 72 °C. The PCR product was run on a 2% agarose gel for 120–150 min at 90 V. The two erCry4 isoforms erCry4a and erCry4b had separated on the gel due to their size difference. The PCR products were cut out from the gel, purified using the Qiagen Fast-Clean Kit and sequenced (LGC, Berlin, Germany). Sequence analysis was carried out using Clustal Omega from the European Bioinformatics Institute (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/). After detection of a longer isoform (erCry4b), the respective PCR product was digested with the XhoI/KpnI Fast Digest restriction enzymes (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cloned into the expression vector pTurboGFP-N (Evrogen, Moscow, Russia) according to the protocol for the Rapid DNA Dephos & Ligation Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Rotkreuz, Switzerland). To amplify erCry4b from the retinae of European robins, Eurasian blackcaps, zebra finches and domestic chickens (Fig. 1B), the primers Cry4-F493 (5′-gagttctgctgtcagcagac-3′) and Cry4-R835 (5′-tacgctgtgctgctg-3′) were used in a PCR reaction with the GoTaq Long PCR Master Mix (Promega), which included pre-incubation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 36 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 15 s, annealing at 56 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 30 s, with a final incubation at 72 °C for 10 min. The expected product sizes were 254 bp for Cry4a and 343 bp for Cry4b. Ten μl of a 25 μM PCR amplification assay were subsequently analysed on a 1.7% agarose gel with the BioRad QuickLadder 100 bp as ladder.

RT-PCR tissue distribution. We performed RT-PCR to characterize the gene expression of all known cryptochromes in ten different tissues. The method of RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis was the same as described in the section ‘Tissue collection, RNA isolation and cDNA production’. The RNA from all tissues had been subjected to quality analysis using a Bioanalyzer 2000 (Agilent), and only RNA with a RIN > 7.9 was used for cDNA synthesis. Specific primers (listed in Table 2) were designed using Primer 3 (https://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/). PCR was performed using GoTaq Long PCR Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), which included pre-incubation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 32 cycles of denaturation at 94 °C for 15 s, annealing at
56 °C for 30 s and extension at 72 °C for 30 s, with a final incubation at 72 °C for 10 min. Seven µl of a 25 µl PCR sample were run on a 2% agarose gel for 90 min at 70 V.

**Quantitative reverse transcription (qRT)-PCR.** The qRT-PCR was performed as described before50. Primer sequences are listed in Table 3. Shortly, 1/400 of the total cDNA yield (2.5 ng cDNA) was used for each PCR reaction, which contained 1 µl of a 2 µM primer solution, 5 µl FastStart Essential DNA Green Master (Roche) and 1 µl cDNA (diluted product; see above) in a 10 µl reaction volume. All samples were run in triplicate. The reaction was run at default settings (pre-incubation 95 °C, 10 s; 55 °C, 10 s; 72 °C, 10 s; 45 cycles) on the LightCycler 96 Instrument (Roche). Reference genes (listed in Table 3) had been selected for between-sample normalisation50.

**Statistical analysis.** The statistical analysis of the 24-h expression data was performed as described before50. All results are presented as means ± SEM. To test for a statistically significant difference among the different groups, the p value of ANOVA was calculated based on the normalized data (SPSS package 23, SPSS Inc., IL, USA), with Tukey HSD as post hoc test. To evaluate the rhythmicity in gene expression, the Cosinor method was performed with software available at https://www.circadian.org/softwar.html. The expression was considered to display a 24-h rhythmicity if it had both p < 0.05 by ANOVA and SE(A)/A < 0.3 by Cosinor analysis.
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