ABSTRACT

Purpose: In this study, we aimed to evaluate the clinical validity of the modified tunneling technique using minimal soft tissue harvesting and volume-stable collagen matrix in the anterior mandible.

Methods: In total, 27 anterior mandibular teeth and palatal donor sites in 17 patients with ≥1 mm of gingival recession (GR) were analyzed before and after root coverage. For the recipient sites, vertical vestibular incisions were made in the interdental area and a subperiosteal tunnel was created with an elevator. After both sides of the marginal gingiva were tied to one another, a prepared connective tissue graft and volume-stable collagen matrix were inserted through the vestibular vertical incision and were fixed with resorbable suture material. The root coverage results of the recipient site were measured at baseline (T0), 3 weeks (T3), 12 weeks (T12), and the latest visit (Tl). For palatal donor sites, a free gingival graft from a pre-decided area avoiding the main trunk of the greater palatine artery was harvested using a prefabricated surgical template at a depth of 2 mm after de-epithelization using a rotating bur. In each patient, the clinical and volumetric changes at the donor sites between T0 and T3 were measured.

Results: During an average follow-up of 14.5 months, teeth with denuded root lengths of 1–3 mm (n=12), 3–6 mm (n=11), and >6 mm (n=2) achieved root coverage of 97.01%±7.65%, 86.70%±5.66%, and 82.53%±1.39%, respectively. Miller classification I (n=12), II (n=10), and III (n=3) teeth showed mean coverage rates of 97.01%±7.65%, 86.91%±5.90%, and 83.19%±1.62%, respectively. At the donor sites, an average defect depth of 1.41 mm (70.5%) recovered in 3 weeks, and the wounds were epithelized completely in all cases.

Conclusions: The modified tunneling technique in this study is a promising treatment modality for overcoming GR in the anterior mandible.
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INTRODUCTION

The coronally advanced flap (CAF) with a subepithelial connective tissue graft (SCTG) is considered a gold-standard procedure because of its high success rate, harmonious color...
matching with the surrounding tissue, and phenotypical thickening [1-3]. However, in
the mandible, the thin anterior gingiva makes it difficult to perform partial-thickness flap
operations [4,5], and the shallow vestibular depth or frenulum often acts as an opposing
force for the CAF, which compromises the results of the CAF procedure by exposing the graft
and increasing the risk of graft necrosis [6,7].

To compensate for the disadvantages of the CAF procedure in root coverage, various methods
and modifications of the pedicle flap have been devised to minimize coronal movement
of the mucogingival junction and operative complications without releasing incisions;
examples include the lateral repositioned flap [8], double papilla flap [9,10], and tunneling
technique [11,12]. The tunneling technique is a procedure without coronal displacement
of the mucogingival junction, as described by Raetzke [11]. With the tunneling technique
[12,13], minimal damage to the blood supply and diminished risk of scar tissue formation
was possible without a releasing incision or papilla dissection [14]. Furthermore, a double-
papilla repositioned flap could be used when the interdental papillae on both sides of the
exposed root surface were intact without any destruction. Minimal damage of the underlying
periosteum on the adjacent papillae and reduction of the tension on the recipient site could
be a major advantage of using the double-papilla repositioned flap, without increasing the
shallowness of the vestibule or displacing the mucogingival junction [9,10].

At the donor site, various techniques are used to obtain the SCTG from the palate, including
the trap-door, de-epithelization with laser or rotary/hand instruments, and single-incision
techniques [11,15,16]. The single-incision technique was introduced as the least invasive
of these techniques because it ensures healing by primary intention and reduces pain/
discomfort [16,17]. However, sloughing of the overlaying flap [18], reduced visibility, and
decreased predictability of graft size are disadvantages of the single-incision technique
[19]. At the same time, the greater palatine artery limits the size of the palatal donor area,
reducing the visible denuded area and graft tissue area ratio, which is a significant factor
associated with optimal root coverage results [20].

This study aimed to evaluate the clinical validity of the modified tunnel technique using
minimal soft tissue harvesting and volume-stable collagen matrix in the anterior mandible.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study population**

This retrospective study included patients who visited the Department of Periodontology of
Seoul National University Dental Hospital from August 2018 to August 2019 to receive root
coverage treatment of anterior mandibular teeth. In total, 27 anterior mandibular teeth in
17 healthy patients aged 21–39 years (mean age: 29.65 years) with gingival recession (GR)
of ≥1 mm and a periodontal probing depth of ≤3 mm with Miller class I–III recession were
included in the recipient site study. Patients with active periodontal disease or an ambiguous
cementoenamel junction, dental caries, restorations, and systemic disease were excluded, as
were those taking any medication that could potentially affect periodontal wound healing.
Table 1 presents the distribution of the recipient site study population and the details of
the target teeth. This retrospective study was exempted from Institutional Review Board (IRB)
approval by the Seoul National University Dental Hospital Research Ethics Committee
(IRB254/04-20).
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Study design

For each patient, clinical photographs and impressions for the mandible and maxilla using hydrocolloid material (Alginate GC Aroma Fine Plus, GC Co., Tokyo, Japan) were taken at baseline (T₀), 3 weeks (T₃), 12 weeks (T₁₂), and the latest visit (T₇). The denuded root length was defined as the distance from the cementoenamel junction to the marginal gingiva and was derived from clinical photographs at T₀, T₁₂, and T₇ using the proportional ratio between the denuded root length and the incisal edge of tooth #31, which was measured with digital calipers (Mitutoyo Co., Kanagawa, Japan) on the T₀ casts. For the palatal donor sites, the volumetric change at the donor sites from the T₀ to T₃ casts was measured using an optical scanner (Medit, Seoul, Korea) and computer-aided design software (Dental CAD, EGS, Bologna, Italy). The region of interest (ROI) was set along the border of established donor area on the casts (Figure 1A). Three-dimensional images of the T₀ and T₃ casts obtained with optical scanning were superimposed using 3D measurement software (Polyworks, Innovmetric, Quebec, Canada) (Figure 1B). A polyline drawn along the ROI at T₀ was projected to an arbitrary average surface vector, and volumes from the projected polyline to the scanned surface at T₀ and T₃ were calculated (Figure 1C). The volumetric change was divided by the area of the projection and quantified as the displacement between the surfaces (Figure 1D). The present manuscript follows the STROBE statement for improving the quality of reports of cross-sectional studies (http://www.strobe-statement.org/).
Statistical analysis of the recipient and donor sites

The root coverage results of the recipient site (between T₀, T₁₂, and T₃) and donor site (between T₀ and T₃) were analyzed using the paired t-test in SPSS version 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

Presurgical procedure

All the patients were instructed to maintain proper oral hygiene. Scaling and root planing were performed 1 month before the day scheduled for surgery, and patients with a plaque index <20% underwent the root coverage procedure.

Surgical procedures

Recipient site preparation

After the surgical field was anesthetized using 2% lidocaine and 1:100,000 epinephrine (Huons, Seongnam, Korea), thorough debridement of teeth, flattening of the root eminence, and de-epithelization of the gingival margin were performed (Figure 2B). Vertical vestibular incisions were made through the periosteum in the interdental area beyond the teeth that required root coverage, from the vestibular depth apically to beneath the papillae that remained intact (Figure 2C). A subperiosteal tunnel was created with a specifically designed elevator (CM9, Osung, Gimpo, Korea) exposing the facial bone (Figure 2D). The tunnel was extended to just below the papilla coronally and beyond the mucogingival junction apically so that the marginal gingiva of the teeth to be treated was mobilized and allowed coronal repositioning with low tension.
The mobilized mesial and distal sides of the marginal gingiva were tied to one another (Figure 2E and F). The prepared connective tissue graft was inserted through the vestibular vertical incision and placed on the coronal part of the tunnel (Figure 2G), stabilized using interrupted sutures with 5-0 irradiated polyglactin 910 (Vicryl Rapide, Ethicon, Cincinnati, OH, USA) along the vertical incisions (Figure 2H). Volume-stable collagen matrix (Collagen Graft, GENOSS, Suwon, Korea) was inserted through the vestibular incision and placed apical part of the tunnel beneath the connective tissue graft (Figure 2I). The vertical vestibular incisions were sutured with 5-0 irradiated polyglactin 910 suture material (Ethilon, Ethicon) (Figure 2J).

### Donor site preparation

Before entering the surgical phase, the required width and length of connective tissue graft were calculated with a periodontal probe in the T₀ casts, and the appropriate palatal donor site area was decided via intraoral palpation of the palate to avoid the main trunk of the greater palatine artery, as depicted in Figure 3A and B. Once the harvest area was established and marked on the T₀ casts (Figure 3C), the protecting device and surgical template were fabricated using a vacuum former (Biostar, SCHEU, Iserlohn, Germany) (Figure 3D).

The surgical field was anesthetized with 2% lidocaine and 1:100,000 epinephrine (Huons). Applying a prefabricated surgical template, an incision was made using a #15c blade at a depth of 2 mm along the marked area on the surgical template (Figure 4A). De-epithelization was performed with a diamond point (EX-21, Mani, Tochigi, Japan) (Figure 4B), and a de-epithelialized SCTG was obtained (Figure 4C). While adipose tissue was being trimmed from the graft, a prefabricated protecting device was applied to the patient for hemostasis (Figure 4D and E).
Simple interrupted suturing was performed at the end of surgery after confirming hemostasis in the donor area (Figure 4F).

**Postsurgical procedures**  
Antibiotics (amoxicillin [500 mg] orally 3 times daily), analgesics (aceclofenac [250 mg] orally 2 times daily), and 0.1% chlorhexidine gluconate (rinse twice a day) were prescribed, and patients were instructed not to exert external forces on the surgical area with actions...
such as pulling their lips or toothbrushing for 3 weeks. At 21 days postoperatively, the sutures were removed, and no adverse events were noted.

RESULTS

Root coverage results of the recipient site

Of the 27 survey target teeth, 2 teeth were classified as having non-caries cervical lesions (NCCLs), and 12, 10, and 3 teeth were classified as having Miller class I, II, and III recession, respectively. The average follow-up period at the latest visit (T1) was 14.5 months (Table 1). The average length of the denuded root was 3.39±1.55 mm at T0. The average root coverage was 2.87±1.30 mm (87.61%±13.31%) at T1, and 2.94±1.27 mm (89.09%±11.67%) at T1 (Table 1).

On average, the 12 teeth with a denuded root length of 1–3 mm had 97.01%±7.65% root coverage, the 11 teeth with a denuded root length of 3–6 mm had 86.70%±5.66% root coverage, and the 2 teeth with a denuded root length of >6 mm had 82.53%±1.39% root coverage (Table 2). In the 12 teeth with Miller class I recession, 97.01%±7.65% root coverage was achieved, while the 10 teeth with Miller class II recession showed 86.69%±5.90% root coverage and the 3 teeth with Miller class III recession demonstrated 83.19%±1.62% root coverage (Table 3). Ten of the 12 teeth (83.33%) with Miller class I recession and 1 of the 10 teeth (10%) with Miller class II recession showed complete root coverage. Teeth with a 1- to 3-mm denuded root length showed a significantly higher root coverage rate (P<0.05) than did those with a 3- to 6-mm denuded root length, and teeth with Miller class I recession also showed significantly more root coverage than did those with Miller class II recession. The teeth with NCCLs (n=2) showed 61.27%±9.13% root coverage at T1. Figure 5 demonstrates representative clinical cases.

Creeping attachment was observed in 14 teeth at T1 among 17 teeth that showed incomplete root coverage at T12, and 1 of those teeth achieved complete root coverage by creeping attachment at T1. The mean creeping attachment of the 14 teeth was 0.21±0.20 mm (5.78%±5.19%).

| Denuded root length | 1–3 mm (n=12) | 3–6 mm (n=11) | 6–7 mm (n=2) |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| Denuded root length at T0 (mm) | 2.01±0.57 | 4.27±0.62 | 6.92±0.45 |
| Root coverage at T1 (mm) | 1.94±0.55 | 3.71±0.63 | 5.71±0.28 |
| Root coverage rate at T1 (%) | 97.01±7.65 | 86.70±5.66<sup>a</sup> | 82.53±1.39 |
| Complete root coverage at T1 (%) | 83.33% (10 out of 12) | 18.18% (2 out of 11) | - |

Teeth with non-caries cervical lesions (n=2) were excluded. All data are provided as mean±standard deviation.
<sup>a</sup>Statistically significant difference compared to the 1- to 3-mm group (P<0.05) using the independent t-test.

| Miller classification | I (n=12) | II (n=10) | III (n=3) |
|-----------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Denuded root length at T0 (mm) | 2.18±0.68 | 4.91±1.16 | 3.92±0.48 |
| Root coverage at T1 (mm) | 2.93±0.55 | 4.25±0.92 | 3.26±0.44 |
| Root coverage rate at T1 (%) | 97.01±7.65 | 86.91±5.90<sup>a</sup> | 83.19±1.62 |
| Complete root coverage at T1 (%) | 83.33% (10 out of 12) | 10% (1 out of 10) | - |

Teeth with non-caries cervical lesions (n=2) were excluded. All data are provided as mean±standard deviation.
<sup>a</sup>Statistically significant difference compared to the Miller classification I group (P<0.05) using the independent t-test.
Volumetric analysis of early healing of donor site

No severe complications at donor sites were noted 1 week after surgery. The mean surface area was 135.79±22.61 mm$^2$, and the mean dimensional change between $T_0$ and $T_3$ was 0.59±0.40 mm in depth, which was statistically significant ($P<0.001$). This result indicates that approximately 1.41 mm (70.5%) of the donor site volume defect recovered in 3 weeks on average, as the harvesting incision was performed at a depth of 2 mm. Clinically, almost complete epithelization of the wound was noticed in all patients at $T_3$ (Figure 4H-J).

DISCUSSION

CAF with SCTG has been reported to achieve mean root coverage values of 64.5% to 97.3% and complete root coverage of 10%–66% in teeth with Miller class I or II recession (at least 2-mm root recession) [2,21-26]. Regarding the tunneling technique with subperiosteal incision, teeth with a denuded root length of 1–3 mm showed 95% mean coverage and those with a denuded root length of 4–6 mm demonstrated 73% mean root coverage [27]. However, few studies have reported the success rate of CAF or the tunneling (envelope) technique with the SCTG procedure in the anterior mandible, especially in teeth with a denuded root length of >3 mm. The tunneling technique is a procedure without coronal displacement of the mucogingival junction, as described by Raetzke [11], but for teeth with a denuded root length above 3 mm, the graft is exposed in the tunneling technique, although exposure of the graft up to 2.8 mm does not hinder the result of root coverage [28,29]. Because of the thin anterior gingiva and shallow vestibule in the anterior mandible, the vestibular incision sub-
periosteal tunnel access technique described by Zadeh [30] also has difficulties in coronally repositioning the overlying flap in teeth with a denuded root length above 3 mm. However, the double-papilla pedicle flap for the treatment of denuded tooth roots in the anterior mandible was reported to show better clinical outcomes than the CAF procedure [31].

In a study where the free gingival graft, trap-door, and single-incision techniques were compared in terms of early healing of the palatal donor area, the single-incision group showed complete epithelization in 50% of patients within 2 weeks and in all patients within 3 weeks. In contrast, the free gingival graft group showed complete epithelization in 50% of the patients at 3 weeks and in all patients at 4 weeks, and patients exhibited postoperative discomfort at 1 week postoperatively [19]. In this study, modifications were applied to the graft harvesting procedure in the palatal donor area, such as minimizing the graft size using an auxiliary volume-stable collagen matrix and a well-designed harvesting area that avoided the greater palatine artery. In this study, an average of 1.41 mm (70.5%) of the donor site volume defect recovered, and complete epithelization was noted in all patients at 3 weeks, representing a healing rate that was 1 week faster than that reported for the conventional free gingival graft procedure and similar to that reported for the single-incision technique.

To minimize the graft size, an auxiliary volume-stable collagen matrix was used. The collagen matrix placed beneath the SCTG and apical portion of the denuded root reduced the required size of the graft. Although the collagen matrix appears to be less effective for root coverage than SCTG [32-34], it reduces the required size of the graft and plays the roles of biotype modification and gingival thickness improvement, helping to prevent relapse of GR and improving long-term results [35-37].

For successful periodontal plastic surgery, patients’ subjective discomfort, gingival thickness (to prevent relapse of GR), and multifaceted esthetic criteria [38], such as gingival health, harmonious gingival color, and morphological features that include surface texture and gingival contour should be considered. In a further study of the modified tunneling technique described herein, these aspects need to be evaluated over the long term.

In conclusion, the modified tunneling technique in this study is a promising treatment modality for overcoming GR in the anterior mandible.
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