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Abstract—This paper proposes a methodology for an optimal operation of smart distribution network considering the network reconfiguration, distributed generation (DG) units allocation and optimally placing the shunt capacitors for reactive power compensation. In this work, the total power losses minimization objective is considered. By optimizing this objective, it can also results in the reduction of voltage deviation. The proposed problem is solved using evolutionary-based gravitational search algorithm (GSA). Simulation studies are performed on 33 bus radial distribution system (RDS). Simulation results reveal that there is a drastic reduction in the power losses by utilizing the network reconfiguration, DG allocation, and reactive power compensation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Distribution system is the most important component of current smart power system, and it aims to provide the electricity to its customers in an efficient, reliable, economic, and environmentally friendly way while satisfying all the operating constraints of the system. It can be observed that during the last few years, there is a rapid expansion of power systems due to the drastic increase in the load demand which leads to high power losses and poor voltage regulation. To overcome this increased load demand and economic benefits, renewable energy has been introduced to the power system. In recent years, distributed generations (DGs) are emerging as an important alternative solution for the enhancement of smart power distribution systems [1]. Along with this, reactive power compensation and optimal network reconfiguration (ONR) are considered as the tools for the enhancement of smart power distribution systems.

Various objectives considered for the optimal reconfiguration of smart systems include investment and operational cost minimization, active power loss minimization, enhancement of reliability, etc. Several researchers proposed various approaches to handle the distribution networks include optimal network reconfiguration (ONR), optimal allocation of DG, and simultaneous optimization of both ONR and DG allocation. ONR is an approach to change the configuration of distribution system by changing the opening/closing status of the sectionalizing (normally closed) and the tie (normally open) switches of the network, so that the radiality and connectivity are well maintained [2]. The distribution networks need to be expanded to meet the increasing demand, however, it is a big issue as it is associated with various economic and environmental factors. In this situation, ONR is a viable solution to this problem [3, 4]. ONR problem is a highly non-linear, complex, mixed-integer, large-scale, combinatorial, non-differential, and constrained optimization problem.

The author in [5] proposes an approach for the economic operation of distribution networks by considering the curtailment costs. A multi-objective-based ONR is proposed in [6] for the minimization of loss and enhancement of voltage profile. A day-ahead ONR model is proposed in [7] for smart distribution networks including the renewables-based DGs and storage systems by considering voltage deviations and operating cost minimization objectives. The author in [8] proposes an approach for calculating the daily profit and risk of RDSs by considering the uncertainties of power outputs from the DG units and electricity prices. An analytical optimization approach is proposed in [9] for optimal investment of DG units along with capacitors to minimize interconnection costs of renewable sources and to enhance the voltage profile of the system. An approach for simultaneous ONR and optimal DG allocations in a RDS by considering the voltage stability improvement and active power loss minimization objectives has been proposed in [10]. Simultaneous and optimal allocation of RESs and reconfiguration in RDSs with reliability enhancement and cost of power losses minimization objectives are solved by using the information gap decision theory has been proposed in [11]. The ONR and supply restoration approach based on the improved genetic algorithm (GA) has been proposed in [12]. Multi-criteria based ONR of RDS considering reliability, stability improvement, and loss minimization objectives have been proposed in [13].

The above literature review revealed that there is a need for simultaneous optimization of ONR, reactive power compensation, and optimal allocation of DG units. To address the complexity and computational burden involved with the loss minimization objective, it uses the gravitational search algorithm (GSA). The work presented in this paper is as follows: Distribution load flow (DLF) analysis of the radial distribution system (RDS) has been presented in Section 2. The proposed optimal network reconfiguration (ONR) approach has been presented in Section 3. In Section 4, gravitational search algorithm (GSA) is described. In Section 5, 33 bus RDS is used to demonstrate the proposed approach and analyze the results for the considered test system. Finally, conclusions are drawn from the proposed study are reported in Section 6.
II. DISTRIBUTION LOAD FLOW (DLF)

Load flow analysis is a tool for steady-state analysis of the distribution network in both operational and planning stages. In the literature, several researchers use conventional approaches like Fast Decoupled, Newton Raphson load flow approaches to address static and dynamic distribution network problems [14]. However, these load flow approaches are inefficient due to high resistance to reactance (R/X) ratio of the distribution line and its radial structure, which has resulted in the development of special load flows for the RDSs [15]. Most of the load flow calculations are based on forward-backward sweep methods. The load flow approach presented in this paper is based on an iterative approach which is based on the receiving end voltage of the RDS. Here, an effective approach like Fast Decoupled, Newton Raphson load flow is performed to achieve optimum power losses in the system. As mentioned earlier, minimization of total power loss \( P_{\text{loss}} \) in the RDS is selected as a primary objective of ONR problem [18, 19]. However, the problem is also carried out with the objectives of voltage profile improvement, loadability and power quality enhancement, minimization of total network cost and emissions, economic and reliable operation. Real and reactive power losses in a branch connected between the nodes/buses a and b can be expressed by,

\[
P_{\text{loss},ab} = \frac{P_{ab}^2 + Q_{ab}^2}{|V_{al}|^2}
\]

\[
Q_{\text{loss},ab} = \frac{x_{ab}(P_{ab}^2 + Q_{ab}^2)}{|V_{bh}|^2}
\]

III. PROBLEM FORMULATION

Integration of renewable power generation along with ONR is performed to achieve optimum power losses in the system. The power loss minimization objective can be expressed by [21],

\[
f = \text{minimize} \left( P_{\text{loss}} \right)
\]

In this work, optimization is performed, by considering the network reconfiguration, reactive power compensation by using the shunt capacitors, and by optimally allocating the DG units [22], then there is a minimization in the voltage deviation in the system. This voltage deviation can be expressed as,

\[
\Delta V = \frac{|V_a - V_b|}{V_i} \quad a = 1, 2, ..., N_b
\]

The above problem is solved by considering the following constraints.

A. Equality Constraints

These constraints are expressed as [23],

\[
P_D = P_G^{\text{grid}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{DG}} P_{DG,i}
\]

\[
Q_D = Q_G^{\text{grid}} + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{DG}} Q_{DG,i} + \sum_{j=1}^{N_{RC}} Q_{RC,j}
\]

B. Inequality Constraints

These constraints for the RDS are DG power limits, bus voltage, and branch current limits.

1) DG power constraints: The real and reactive power generations from DG units [24] are limited by,

\[
P_{DG,i} \leq P_{DG,i}^{\text{min}} \leq P_{DG,i}^{\text{max}}
\]
In this work 33 bus RDS is used for the analysis and explanation of network reconfiguration. The base voltage and base MVA for 33 bus is considered as 12.66 kV and 100 MVA [30]. In this test system, a maximum of three DG units are incorporated and the maximum size of DG is 2000 kW. In this paper, 3 case studies are considered, and they are:

- Case 1: Optimal operation with only reconfiguration.
- Case 2: Optimal operation with only reactive power compensation.
- Case 3: Optimal operation with only DG allocation.
- Case 4: Optimal operation with reconfiguration, reactive power compensation, and DG allocation.

This test system data has been taken from the [31, 32]. This test system has 33 buses, 32 lines, and bus 1 is assigned as the substation bus. In this test system, the active and reactive power demands are 3715 kW and 2300 kVAr. Single line diagram (SLD) of 33 bus system is depicted in Fig. 3. This system has 5 open tie switches which form the loops in the system and they are 33 to 37. This system has 32 sectionalizing switches marked as 1 to 32 which are normally closed and they are shown in Fig. 3.

### A. Case 1

As mentioned earlier, a similar analysis can be made for a 33 bus RDS. Initial and final configurations of the system are depicted in Fig. 3 and 4. A comparison of node voltages before and after the reconfiguration is shown in Table 1. Under the base case condition (i.e., before the network reconfiguration), the switches 33 to 37 are opened and the system power losses are 202.6592 kW. The minimum voltage has occurred at bus 18 and its value is 0.9038 p.u.
Fig. 3. Initial Configuration of a 33 Bus RDS.

Fig. 4. Final Configuration of a 33 Bus RDS for Case 1.

Fig. 5. Comparison of Node Voltages before and after Reconfiguration (Case 1) for a 33 Bus RDS.
TABLE I. SIMULATION RESULTS FOR 33 BUS RDS BEFORE AND AFTER THE NETWORK RECONFIGURATION

| Before network reconfiguration | After network reconfiguration |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Tie switches opened for reconfiguration | 33, 34, 35, 36, 37 | 7, 9, 14, 32, 37 |
| Power loss (kW) | 202.6592 kW | 150.8846 kW |
| Reduction in power loss (%) | - | 25.55 % |
| Minimum voltage (p.u.) | 0.9038 pu at bus 18 | 0.9378 pu at bus 32 |

From Fig. 5, it can be seen that the voltages at node numbers 5 to 18 and 26 to 33 have drastically improved and at several other nodes, the voltage is improved to a reasonable extent. Though there is a decrease in voltages at some nodes, it is to a reasonable extent. From Table I it can be seen that there is a reduction in active power loss of 25.55%. The minimum voltage after reconfiguration is found to be 0.9378 p.u.

B. Case 2

In this case, reactive power compensation is used to reduce active power losses further. Table II presents the simulation results for 33 bus RDS for reactive power compensation using differential evolution (DE) and GSA.

TABLE II. RESULTS FOR 33 BUS RDS FOR REACTIVE POWER COMPENSATION USING DE AND GSA

| Reactive power compensation using DE | Reactive power compensation using GSA |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Bus number and reactive power compensation (kVAR) | 552.4 kVAR at bus 6 | 550.4 kVAR at bus 6 |
|                                       | 560.5 kVAR at bus 28 | 562.8 kVAR at bus 28 |
|                                       | 545.9 kVAR at bus 29 | 548.5 kVAR at bus 29 |
| Total compensation | 1658.8 kVAR | 1661.7 kVAR |
| Power loss before the compensation | 202.6592 kW | 202.6592 kW |
| Power loss after the compensation | 142.52 kW | 140.83 kW |
| Power loss reduction | 29.68% | 30.51% |
| Minimum voltage | 0.9794 pu at bus 33 | 0.9798 pu at buses 17 and 33 |

Active power losses before reactive power compensation is 202.6592 kW. In this paper, GSA is used for determining the optimum size of the capacitor at the potential candidate bus. In this work, it is considered that a maximum of 3 capacitors can be placed for the reactive power compensation. By using GSA, the total compensation required for this system is 1661.7 kVAR, and it is placed at buses 6, 28, and 29 with the compensation values of 550.4 kVAR, 562.8 kVAR, and 548.5 kVAR, respectively. The SLD of 33 bus RDS for Case 2 after incorporating the shunt capacitors is depicted in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6. SLD of 33 Bus RDS for Case 2 after Incorporating the Shunt Capacitors.
The active power loss obtained in this case is 140.83 kW which resulted in the reduction of 30.51% compared to the case without any compensation. The results obtained with GSA are also compared with DEA, and the results are reported in Table II. Voltage profile of RDS has been improved after incorporating the shunt capacitors. Comparison of node voltages before and after the reconfiguration for 33 bus RDS is depicted in Fig. 7. Minimum voltage after installing the capacitors is found to be 0.9798 p.u.

C. Case 3

In this case, proposed optimization problem of RDS is solved by optimally allocating the DG units at various buses in the system. Table III presents the simulation results for Case 3. In this case, DG of 835.8 kW is placed at bus 8, 1105.6 kW is placed at bus 23, and 1084.9 kW is placed at bus 29. Hence, the total size of DG is 3026.3 kW. By optimally placing the DG units, the system power losses have been decreased to 84.62 kW from the base case loss of 202.66 kW. Therefore, in this case, there is a loss reduction of 58.25% compared to base case losses. Fig. 8 depicts the improved voltage profiles for case 3. The minimum voltage obtained in this case after DG allocation is 0.9742 p.u. which has been occurred at bus number 17, whereas in the base case, the minimum voltage occurred is 0.9038 p.u. at bus number 18.

D. Case 4

This case considers network reconfiguration, DG allocation, and reactive power compensation simultaneously for minimizing the system power losses. Table III presents the simulation results for Case 4. The opened tie-switches for ONR are 7, 9, 14, 32, and 37, and the system configuration for case 4 after the ONR has been depicted in Fig. 9. The total optimum DG size obtained is 2956.1 kW. The buses 13, 23, and 30 are placed with DG units with capacities of 822.4 kW, 1150.5 kW, and 983.2 kW, respectively. Here, the shunt capacitors are placed at buses 6, 28, and 29 with the reactive power compensation of 560.5 kVAR, 565.2 kVAR, and 540.8 kVAR, respectively.

---

**TABLE III. SIMULATION RESULTS FOR 33 BUS RDS FOR CASES 3 AND 4**

| Case    | Tie switches opened for reconfiguration | Bus number and DG size (kW) | Total DG size (kW) | Bus number and reactive power compensation (kVAR) | Total compensation (kVAR) | Power loss (kW) | Power loss reduction | Minimum voltage |
|---------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Case 3  | 33, 34, 35, 36, 37                     | 835.8 kW at bus 8, 1105.6 kW at bus 23, 1084.9 kW at bus 29 | 3026.3 kW          | ---                                           | ---                       | 84.62 kW        | 58.25 %              | 0.9742 pu at bus 17 |
| Case 4  | 7, 9, 14, 32, 37                       | 822.4 kW at bus 13, 1150.5 kW at bus 23, 983.2 kW at bus 30 | 2956.1 kW          | 560.5 kW at bus 6, 565.2 kW at bus 28, 540.8 kW at bus 29 | 1666.5 kVAR           | 55.98 kW        | 72.38 %              | 0.9802 pu at bus 17 |
The total optimum reactive power compensation required for Case 4 is 1666.5 kVAR. The obtained optimum loss is 55.98 kW which is 72.38% less when compared to base case power loss (i.e., 202.66 kW). The voltage profile obtained in this case has been depicted in Fig. 8. From this figure, it can be observed that the voltage profile obtained in this case is better than all other cases studied in this work. Final configuration of a 33 bus RDS for Case 4 after incorporating the shunt capacitors and DG units has been depicted in Fig. 9. Minimum voltage occurred in this case after the ONR, DG allocation and reactive power compensation is 0.9802 p.u. at bus number 17, which has been improved from 0.9038 p.u. (i.e., base case).

VI. CONCLUSIONS

This paper proposed an approach for the optimal allocation of distributed generations (DGs), shunt capacitors along optimal network reconfiguration using the meta-heuristic-based gravitational search algorithm (GSA). Here, the minimization of total active power losses is considered as an objective function, which will also enhance voltage profile in the system and hence reduces voltage deviation. The proposed problem has been implemented on the standard 33 bus radial distribution system (RDS). The obtained results show the improved voltage profile and reduced power losses in the system. The proposed work can also be extended to unbalanced RDSs and the optimal allocation of electric vehicles (EVs) can also be studied. Optimal allocation of energy storage systems (ESSs) along with FACTS devices such as D-STATCOM is scope for future research.
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