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Editorial on the Research Topic

Crop Yield and Quality Response to the Interaction Between Environment and Genetic Factors

Crop yield and quality are important traits with many components. Crop yield is significantly correlated with flowering time or heading, plant height, branching or tillering, grain number, and grain weight, whereas quality is a result of metabolic flux attributed to compound biosynthesis and metabolism (Gijzen et al., 1994; Clerget et al., 2016). Although crop yield and quality are mainly controlled by genetics, the influence of the environment (including both biotic and abiotic factors) is increasingly recognized. In order to adapt to stress, the recovery of defense genes from exotic or wild relatives and re-modeling of the regulatory system may reduce damage to crops, which lack the option of physically escaping harsh environments like animals (Yu et al., 2021).

Several studies have been conducted on the genetic control and identification of important genes in crop yield and quality traits (Yin et al., 2002; Nakata et al., 2017), with a major focus on a single or limited environment(s) or gene(s). However, the effectiveness of the gene expression can differ in various environments. Therefore, it is of value to further explore the genetic and molecular mechanisms underlying the interaction between the environment and genetic factors to improve crop yield and quality.

In this Research Topic, we collected investigations on regulatory mechanisms underlying interactions between the environment and genetic factors and their effects on crop yield and quality. The Topic includes four research papers and one review.

Because of the complexities of the environment, which include climate, soil condition, and crop management practices, one of the most difficult aspects of predicting crop yield is applying appropriate models including climatic and phenotypic data and molecular markers (Kadam et al., 2016). Climatic and phenotypic data can be easily recorded with automatic instruments; however, they often fluctuate significantly. Genomic data can include QTLs, candidate genes, and molecular markers, such as SNPs, and are becoming easier and less costly to obtain. For example, Razzaq et al. reviewed candidate genes controlling fiber quality in sub-genomes A and D of cotton (Gossypium hirsutum), finding that chromosome 7 carries abundant SNPs for stable and potent QTLs for fiber quality.

Jarquin et al. applied five models with climatic information to study the genomic prediction efficiency of corn yield. The exclusion of the environment led to greater error variation, implicating the importance of environmental effects. Improvement in the prediction ability has been observed when the environmental data is included, as compared to prediction ability with only parental data (general or specific combining ability). The prediction ability also depends on the nature of the data provided. Normally, prediction models that used tested genotypes in the observed environment were the most accurate, whereas models based on both untested genotypes and unobserved environments...
were the least accurate. In partial summary, including environmental factors improves the genomic prediction of crop yield.

Regardless of the efficacy of genomic prediction, breeders and researchers should further elucidate the effects of different types of environments on crop yield and quality. One of the problems in obtaining high yields is soil stress, such as salinity. Crops have very strong plasticity and multiple pathways to avoid salt stress (Zörb et al., 2019). When plants are subjected to salt stress, they usually accumulate excessive Na⁺. There is a type of NXH (sodium/hydrogen antiporter) gene family that can regulate the equilibrium of sodium and hydrogen ions. Fu et al. found that NXH genes are a large family in the four cotton sub-species. Interestingly, these genes can be divided into three types: endosome-, plasma membrane-, and vacuole-type. The respective types may be responsible for the different functions, such as the control of gene behavior, transport of sodium and hydrogen exchange, or accumulation.

In addition to modulation of sodium/hydrogen ions in cells to improve crop salt adaptability, Ca²⁺ ions, as a means of signal transduction, play a significant role in mediating salt stress (Henriksson and Henriksson, 2005). Calmodulin-binding transcription activator (CAMTA) is a class of transcription factor that is highly related to the content of calmodulin, which in turn is responsive to Ca²⁺ ion content (Snedden and Fromm, 2001). Yuan et al. identified three subfamilies of CAMTAs in both Cucurbita maxima and C. moschata, although they have different numbers of activators. Cis-acting element analysis showed the structure of the genes and indicated that most of them had a putative function in salt resistance.

Drought stress is another adverse and widespread environmental response that severely affects crop yield (Mendanha et al., 2020). Although mechanisms of resistance to drought stress have been widely studied, many still remain unclear. The NAC (an acronym for the NAM, ATAF, and CUC) superfamily of transcription factors plays a vital role in the regulation of drought stress (Deng et al., 2019). Ferrira et al. investigated the function of one NAC gene in soybean (Glycine max), GmNAC81, via overexpression under drought stress. Because GmNAC81 acts as an executor of the cell death program, the plants had increased sensitivity to drought stress, exhibiting faster water loss in the leaves and reduced photosynthetic efficiency. Furthermore, the expression of key regulators and effectors of ABA signaling was blocked when GmNAC81 was over-expressed. Knockout of the gene via genome editing may provide more evidence to elucidate its function.

In conclusion, precise genomic prediction using environmental, phenotypic, and genomic data is a desirable trend for breeding. The mechanism of even a single environmental stressor, such as salt or drought stress, can be modulated by different gene families, for example including NXH, CAMTA, and NAC. Further identification and evidence of the functions of these transcriptional factors are of importance.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

This manuscript was written by SH with contributions from MD-B and Z-HC.

**FUNDING**

This work was supported by National Key Research and Development Project (2018YFD1000900), Zhejiang Key Laboratory of Digital Dry Land Crops (2022E00102), and Zhejiang Science and Technology Major Program on Agricultural New Variety Breeding (2021C02064). Z-HC is supported by Australian Research Council (DE140101143) and Horticulture Innovation Australia (MT13041, VG16070, VG17003, LP18000). MD-B is supported by FAPEMIG (grant PPM-00640-18) and Caramuru Alimentos.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

As Editors of this topic, we wish to thank all the colleagues, authors, reviewers, and support staff who contributed to the success of this Frontiers Research Topic. We also acknowledge the Frontiers Editorial Office and the Chief Editors for their technical support.
Yin, X., Chasalow, S. D., Stam, P., Kropff, M. J., Dourleijn, C. J., Bos, I., et al. (2002). Use of Component Analysis in QTL Mapping of Complex Crop Traits: a Case Study on Yield in Barley. *Plant Breed.* 121, 314–319. doi:10.1046/j.1439-0523.2002.729117.x

Yu, L., Zhou, C., Fan, J., Shanklin, J., and Xu, C. (2021). Mechanisms and Functions of Membrane Lipid Remodeling in Plants. *Plant J.* 107, 37–53. doi:10.1111/tpj.15273

Zörb, C., Geilfus, C. M., and Dietz, K. J. (2019). Salinity and Crop Yield. *Plant Biol.* 21, 31–38. doi:10.1111/plb.12884

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher’s Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Hua, Dal-Bianco and Chen. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.