The Rotor-Router Model

Lionel Levine
University of California, Berkeley

Introduction

Diffusion-limited aggregation (DLA) is a model for dendritic growth in which a particle executes a random walk in the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$ beginning "at infinity" and ending when first adjacent to a region $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$. The terminus of the random walk is then adjoined to the region $A$, and the procedure is iterated. It is conjectured [2] that the resulting region $A$ has fractal dimension exceeding 1. Internal DLA (IDLA) is a variant in which the random walks begin at some fixed point in $A$ and end when they first leave the $A$. Unlike DLA, internal DLA does not give rise to fractal growth. Lawler et al. ([17], 1992) showed that after $n$ walks have been executed, the region $A$, rescaled by a factor of $n^{1/d}$, approaches a Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$ as $n \to \infty$. Lawler ([15], 1995) estimated the rate of convergence.

We propose the following deterministic analogue of IDLA. First, a cyclic ordering is specified of the $2d$ cardinal directions in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. A “rotor” pointing in one of the $2d$ cardinal directions is associated to each point in $A$. A particle is placed at the origin and is successively routed in the direction of the rotor at each point it visits until it leaves the region $A$. Moreover, every time the particle is routed away from a given point, the direction of the rotor at that point is incremented by one step in the cyclic ordering. When the particle reaches a point not in $A$, that point is adjoined to the region and given the first rotor direction in the ordering.

This “rotor-router” growth model is similar in many ways to Engel’s “probabilistic abacus” ([13], 1975) and to the abelian sandpile, or “chip-firing,” model introduced by Bak et al. ([1], 1988) and studied by Dhar ([9], 1990) and Bjorner, et al. ([5], 1991). In the abelian sandpile model, the points of $A$ are labeled by nonnegative integers, considered as representing the number of grains of sand at each point. A single grain of sand is placed
at the origin, and at each step, every point occupied by at least 2d grains of sand ejects a single grain to each of its 2d neighboring lattice points. Once the system has equilibrated, with every site occupied by at most 2d − 1 grains of sand, the process is repeated. Sandpiles have been studied in part from the point of view of complex systems, where the interest lies in the “self-organized criticality” of the model (cf. [1, 7, 9]). In contrast to diffusion-limited aggregation, however, many of the fundamental mathematical properties of which remain conjectural, sandpiles are tractable.

Much of the mathematics concerning the sandpile model rests on the abelian nature of the model. This refers to the nontrivial fact that if grains of sand are deposited in turn at two different points, allowing the system to equilibrate both before and after the second grain is deposited, the resulting configuration does not depend on the order in which the two grains were deposited. It is a consequence of a general result of Diaconis and Fulton ([11], 1991) that the rotor-router model has the same abelian property. Another result of [11] implies that internal DLA is abelian in the sense that the probability that two random walks with different starting points will terminate at a pair of points x, y is independent of the order in which the walks are performed.

Despite this common abelian property, there are substantive differences between IDLA and the sandpile model. While no asymptotic results are known for the sandpile model, it appears likely that its asymptotics are not spherical. In two dimensions, for example, numerical data indicate that sandpiles may have polygonal asymptotics. This is to be contrasted with the main result of [17], in which IDLA is shown to have spherical asymptotics. The rotor-router model may bridge the gap between sandpiles and IDLA. Like sandpiles, the rotor-router model is deterministic; but we conjecture that the asymptotics of the rotor-router model, like those of IDLA, are spherical.

This paper is intended to serve, first, as a thorough introduction to the rotor-router model, and second, as a source of conjectures and open problems which, it is hoped, will inspire future work on the model. Analogies with IDLA and with the sandpile model are emphasized throughout. The paper is structured as follows.

In section 1, we outline some preliminary definitions and prove an important finiteness lemma.

In section 2, we study the one-dimensional (d = 1) rotor-router model in some additional generality. Fixing positive integers r and s, when a particle leaves the interval A through its left endpoint, A is extended by not just
one but $r$ sites to its left; and when a particle leaves $A$ through its right endpoint, $A$ is extended by $s$ sites to the right. We reduce to a set of states describing the eventual behavior of the system. By identifying this collection of states with a suitable subset of the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^3$, we show that the process of adding a particle at the origin and allowing it to equilibrate can be realized by a piecewise linear function on $\mathbb{Z}^3$. We find a set of invariants of this function that is sufficient to distinguish between all its orbits. Our main invariant closely resembles an invariant of the continuum limit of IDLA in one dimension.

In section 3, we analyze the limiting behavior of the interval $A$ in the generalized one-dimensional model. Propp (2001) conjectured that after $t$ iterations, if $A$ is the interval $[x(t), y(t)]$, then the quantity $\mu(t) = x(t)\sqrt{s} + y(t)\sqrt{r}$ is bounded independent of $t$. We prove this, and show furthermore that the limit points of the sequence $\mu$ are confined to an interval of length $r\sqrt{s} + s\sqrt{r}$, which is best-possible given that $x$ and $y$ change by increments of $r$ and $s$, respectively. We show that in a special case, the $n$-th particle added ends up on the left or right of the interval accordingly as the $n$-th term of a certain Sturmian sequence with quadratic irrational slope is 0 or 1. Finally, we give a connection with Pythagorean triples. Given a positive Pythagorean triple $a^2 + b^2 = c^2$, Propp observed that in the continuum limit of IDLA, if initially $A$ is the interval $[-a, 0]$, then $A$ is the interval $[-c, b]$ at time $a+b-c$, and conjectured that the same would be true of the rotor-router model in the case $r = s = 1$. We prove this conjecture.

In section 4, we consider the higher-dimensional lattices $\mathbb{Z}^d$, $d \geq 2$. We give an estimate for the center of mass of the region $A$ and prove a weak form of the conjecture that the limiting shape of the rotor-router model in two dimensions is a disc.

In section 5, we discuss some outstanding conjectures pertaining to the one-, two- and three-dimensional models.

1 Preliminaries

We denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the set of integers, $\mathbb{N}$ the nonnegative integers, and $\mathbb{R}$ the real numbers. If $x \leq y \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $[x, y]$ the interval $\{z \in \mathbb{Z} : x \leq z \leq y\}$; we adopt the convention that $[x, y]$ is empty when $x > y$.

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_d$ be the standard basis vectors for the integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d$, and denote by $E_d = \{\pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_d\}$ the set of cardinal directions in $\mathbb{Z}^d$. Suppose
we are given an arbitrary total ordering \( \preceq \) of the set \( E_d \): write \( E_d = \{ \epsilon_i \}_{i=0}^{2d-1} \) with \( \epsilon_0 < \cdots < \epsilon_{2d-1} \).

Let \( A \subset \mathbb{Z}^d \) be a finite connected region of lattice points containing the origin. A state of the rotor-router automaton can be described by a pair \((x, l)\), where \( x \in A \) represents the position of the particle, and \( l : A \to [0, 2d - 1] \) indicates the direction of the rotor at each point. Define \( g(x, l) = (x + \epsilon_{l(x)}, l_x) \), where \( l_x \) is the labeling

\[
l_x(x') = \begin{cases} l(x') + 1 \pmod{2n} & \text{if } x' = x; \\ l(x'), & \text{else.} \end{cases}
\]

This is the state given by routing the particle in the direction of the rotor \( \epsilon_{l(x)} \) and then changing the direction of the rotor. Write \( g^n(0, l) = (x_n, l_n) \). The sequence of points \((x_0, x_1, \ldots)\) is a lattice path in \( A \), possibly self-intersecting, beginning at the origin. We denote this path by \( p = p(A, l) \). Lemma 1.1 below, shows that the path \( p \) leaves the region \( A \) in finitely many steps. Let \( N \) be minimal such that \( x_N \notin A \). We define \( f(A, l) = (A \cup \{x_N\}, l') \), where

\[
l'(x) = \begin{cases} l_N(x) & \text{if } x \in A; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = x_N. \end{cases}
\]

Then \( f \) describes the entire process of adding a particle at the origin and allowing the system to equilibrate.

**Lemma 1.1.** The lattice path \( p(A, l) \) leaves the region \( A \) in finitely many steps.

*Proof.* If not, the path would visit some point \( x \in A \) infinitely many times; but then it would be routed infinitely many times to each neighbor of \( x \). Inducting along a path from \( x \) to a point outside \( A \), we conclude the path does after all leave the region after finitely many steps. \( \square \)

---

2 Rotor-router dynamics in one dimension

In one dimension, rotors alternate between the two directions left and right; we denote these by \( L \) and \( R \), respectively. We introduce the following generalization of the rotor-router automaton in one dimension. Let \( r \) and \( s \) be positive integers. When the lattice path reaches an unoccupied site \( x < 0 \),
all $r$ sites in the interval $[x-r+1, x]$ become occupied; similarly, if the path reaches an unoccupied site $y > 0$, the $s$ sites $[y, y+s-1]$ become occupied. In either case, the newly occupied sites are initially labeled $R$.

Given integers $x \leq 0$ and $y \geq 0$, we denote by $\Sigma(x, y)$ the set of all states of the automaton for which the set of occupied sites is the interval $[x, y]$. Then $\Sigma(x, y)$ is naturally identified with the set of maps $[x, y] \to \{R, L\}$.

Let $\Sigma = \bigcup_{x \leq 0} \bigcup_{y \geq 0} \Sigma(x, y)$, and denote by $f = f_{r,s} : \Sigma \to \Sigma$ the map on states given by adding a single particle at the origin and allowing the system to equilibrate.

### 2.1 Recurrent states

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $\sigma \in \Sigma(x, y)$ be any state. There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_{r,s}^N(\sigma) \in \Sigma(x', y')$ for $x' < x$, $y' > y$.

**Proof.** Let $M = 1 + \max(|x|, y)$, and let $N = 2^M$. After $N$ particles have been deposited and allowed to equilibrate in turn, the origin will have been visited a total of at least $N$ times. Since the rotors at each site alternate pointing left and right, it follows by induction on $|k|$ that if $|k| \leq M$, then the site $k$ will be visited at least $2^{M-k}$ times. Taking $k = \pm M$ proves the lemma. \hfill $\square$

Given a state $\sigma \in \Sigma(x, y)$, let $0 > u_1 > \cdots > u_m$ be the sites to the left of the origin labeled $R$, and let $0 < v_1 < \cdots < v_n$ be the sites to the right of the origin labeled $L$. Additionally, define $u_0 = v_0 = 0$, $u_{m+1} = x - 1$, and $v_{n+1} = y + 1$. Then the path $p(\sigma)$ can be described as follows.

**Lemma 2.2.** If $\sigma(0) = L$, then the path $p(\sigma)$ travels directly left from the origin to $u_1$, then right to $v_1$, left to $u_2$, right to $v_2$, and so on, until it reaches either $u_{m+1}$ or $v_{n+1}$, at which point it stops. If $\sigma(0) = R$, the same is true interchanging left with right and $u_i$ with $v_i$. In particular, if $m < n$, the path will terminate at $u_{m+1}$; if $m > n$, it will terminate at $v_{n+1}$; and if $m = n$, it will terminate at $u_{m+1}$ or $v_{n+1}$ accordingly as $\sigma(0) = L$ or $\sigma(0) = R$.

**Proof.** Suppose $\sigma(0) = L$. Induct on $k$ to show that when the path first reaches the site $v_k$, it travels left from $v_k$ to $u_{k+1}$, then right from $u_{k+1}$ to $v_{k+1}$. When the path first arrives at $v_k$, it must have previously reached $v_{k-1}$, so by the inductive hypothesis, the path has come directly right from $u_k$ to $v_k$, hence the entire interval $[u_k, v_k]$ is now labeled $L$. Since also, by definition, the interval $[u_{k+1} + 1, u_k - 1]$ is labeled $L$, the path now travels
directly to the left until it reaches the site \( u_{k+1} \). Now the interval \([u_{k+1}, v_k]\) is entirely labeled \( R \), as is the interval \([v_k+1, v_{k+1}]\) by definition; so the path travels directly right from \( u_{k+1} \) to \( v_{k+1} \), and the inductive step is complete.

The proof in the case \( \sigma(0) = R \) is identical, interchanging the roles of \( u_k \) and \( v_k \).

Remark. It follows that the \( N \) in Lemma 2.1 can be taken substantially less than \( 2^M \). If, for example, the path \( p(f^i(\sigma)) \) terminates to the right of the origin for \( i = 0, \ldots, k \), then for \( i \geq 1 \) we have \( n(f^i(\sigma)) = y + (i - 1)s \), and so \( m(f^{i+1}(\sigma)) = m(f^i(\sigma)) - y - (i - 1)s \). Thus, to ensure that at least one particle terminates on the left it suffices to take \( N \) large enough so that \( N y + s^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} > |x| \). Likewise, to ensure that at least one particle terminates on the right it suffices to have \( N|x| + r^{\frac{N(N+1)}{2}} > y \). Certainly \( N = 1 + \sqrt{2M/\min(r, s)} \) is enough.

Let \( \text{Rec}(x, y) \subset \Sigma(x, y + s - 1) \) be the set of states for which there exists an integer \( 0 \leq i \leq y - x + 1 \) such that the interval \([x, x + i - 1]\) is entirely labeled \( R \), the interval \([x + i, y - 1]\) is entirely labeled \( L \), and the interval \([y, y + s - 1]\) is entirely labeled \( R \). Putting \( j = y - x - i \), we say as a shorthand that these states are of the form \( R^i L^j R^s \) for nonnegative integers \( i \) and \( j \).

We are interested primarily in the eventual behavior of \( f_{r,s} \) as a dynamical system on \( \Sigma \). The following proposition shows that for these purposes, it is sufficient to consider the states in \( \text{Rec} \). These states will be called the recurrent states of \( f_{r,s} \).

**Proposition 2.3.** The set \( \text{Rec} \) is closed under \( f_{r,s} \). Moreover, for any state \( \sigma \in \Sigma \), there is an integer \( N \geq 0 \) such that \( f_{r,s}^N(\sigma) \in \text{Rec} \).

**Proof.** Let \( \sigma \in \text{Rec}(x, y) \). Suppose first that the path \( p(\sigma) \) terminates at \( y + 1 \). Then by Lemma 2.2, \( p(\sigma) \) will reach a site \( u < 0 \) and travel directly right from there to the unoccupied site \( y + 1 \); in particular, the path never visits the interval \([x, u - 1]\), and \( f(\sigma) \) retains its original labels from \( \sigma \) on this interval. Since \( u \) is in the \( R^i \) block of \( \sigma \), all of these labels are \( R \). Also, because \( p(\sigma) \) travels to the right from \( u \) to \( y + 1 \), the interval \([u, y]\) is entirely labeled \( L \); and the \( s \) newly occupied sites in the interval \([y, y + s - 1]\) are labeled \( R \). Thus \( f_{r,s}(\sigma) \) has the form \( R^i L^j R^s \), as desired. On the other hand, if \( p(\sigma) \) terminates at \( x - 1 \), then by Lemma 2.2, it will reach some \( v > 0 \) and travel directly left to the unoccupied site \( x - 1 \). In this case, the interval
[x - r, v] is entirely labeled \( R \), while the sites in the interval \([v_n + 1, y]\) retain their original labels from \( \sigma \). Since \( \sigma \in \text{Rec} \), the labels on this interval are of the form \( L^j R^s \), so again \( f_{r,s}(\sigma) \) has the desired form.

It remains to show that for any \( \sigma \in \Sigma \), some iterate of \( f \) takes \( \sigma \) into \( \text{Rec} \). By Lemma 2.1 there exists \( N \) such that \( p(f^{N-2}(\sigma)) \) terminates on the left and \( p(f^{N-1}(\sigma)) \) terminates on the right. Since \( p(f^{N-2}(\sigma)) \) terminates on the left, we have \( f^{N-1}(\sigma)(t) = R \) for \( t \leq 0 \). Since \( p(f^{N-1}(\sigma)) \) terminates on the right, there is some point \( u_i < 0 \) such that \( f^N(\sigma) \) retains its original labels from \( f^{N-1}(\sigma) \) on the interval \([x, u_i - 1]\) and has the form \( L^j R^s \) on the interval \([u_i, y]\); hence \( f^N(\sigma) \) is of the form \( R^i L^j R^s \) as desired.

2.2 A piecewise linear function on \( \mathbb{Z}^3 \)

A state \( \sigma \in \text{Rec} \) has the form \( R^i L^j R^s \), and so is determined by the pair of integers \( i \) and \( j \) (recall that \( s \) was fixed at the outset, independent of \( \sigma \)). If we intend to compute \( f_{r,s}(\sigma) \), however, then the origin must be distinguished so that we know where to initiate the path \( p(\sigma) \). With the origin distinguished, \( \text{Rec} \) becomes a three-parameter family of states. There are several reasonable parameterizations of \( \text{Rec} \), but the one that simplifies computation most effectively is to let \( x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \) be the first occupied site, the first site in the final \( R^s \) block, and the first site in the \( L^j \) block, respectively (if \( j = 0 \), we adopt the convention that \( z = y \)). In this way, we identify \( \text{Rec} \) with the set of integer triples \((x, y, z) \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \) satisfying \( x \leq 0, y \geq 0 \) and \( x \leq z \leq y \). Our next proposition determines \( f_{r,s} \) explicitly as a piecewise linear function on these triples.

Proposition 2.4. \( f_{r,s} \) is given on \( \text{Rec} \) by

\[
f_{r,s}(x, y, z) =
\begin{cases}
(x, \ y + s, \ z - y) & \text{if } x + y \leq z, \\
(x - r, \ y, \ z - x + 1) & \text{if } x + y > z,
\end{cases}
\]

Proof. Consider first the case \( z > 0 \), i.e. the case when the origin is initially labeled \( R \). Then with \( m \) and \( n \) defined as in Lemma 2.2 we have \( m = -x \) and \( n = y - z \). By Lemma 2.2, the path \( p = p(x, y, z) \) ends up on the right if and only if \( m \geq n \), or \( x + y \leq z \). In this case, once the path reaches the site \( u_n = -n = z - y \), it travels right until it reaches an unoccupied site. The first site labeled \( L \) is then \( z - y \), so

\[
f(x, y, z) = (x, \ y + s, \ z - y).
\]
On the other hand, if \( x + y > z \), then the path travels left directly from the site \( v_{m+1} = z + m \) until it reaches an unoccupied site. If \( v_{m+1} < y - 1 \), the first site labeled \( L \) is then\( v_{m+1} + 1 = z + m + 1 = z - x + 1 \); if \( v_{m+1} = y - 1 \), then there are no sites labeled \( L \), so our convention dictates that \( z' = y = v_{m+1} + 1 = z - x + 1 \); hence

\[
    f(x, y, z) = (x - r, y, z - x + 1).
\]

It remains to consider the case \( z \leq 0 \). In this case, \( m = z - x \) and \( n = y - 1 \). The path ends up on the right if and only if \( m > n \), or \( x + y \leq z \), as before. If it ends up on the right, the path travels directly right from \( u_{n+1} = z - n - 1 = z - y \) to the unoccupied site, so the first site labeled \( L \) is \( z - y \), as desired. If the path ends up on the left, then it travels directly left from \( v_m = m = z - x \) to an unoccupied site. In the case that \( v_m < y - 1 \), the first site labeled \( L \) is then \( z - x + 1 \); if \( v_m = y - 1 \), there are no sites labeled \( L \), and by convention \( z' = y = z - x + 1 \). This completes the proof.

We will denote the states \((x, y + s, z - y)\) and \((x - r, y, z - x + 1)\) by \( f^+(x, y, z) \) and \( f^-(x, y, z) \), respectively. Thus \( f(x, y, z) = f^+(x, y, z) \) or \( f^-(x, y, z) \) accordingly as \( x + y \leq z \) or \( x + y > z \).

### 2.3 An invariant

Consider an analogous generalization of stochastic IDLA in one dimension, in which \( r \) or \( s \) sites become occupied accordingly as the random walks terminate on the left or right sight of the interval. Each random walk is a “gambler’s ruin” problem (see, for example, [14]), terminating on the right with probability \( \frac{|x|}{|x| + y} \), where \([x, y]\) is the interval of occupied sites. Thus the limiting value of the ratio \( \frac{|x|}{y} \) as time goes to infinity satisfies

\[
    \frac{|x|}{y} = \frac{ry/(|x| + y)}{s|x|/(|x| + y)}
\]

hence

\[
    \frac{|x|}{y} \to \sqrt{\frac{r}{s}} \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty.
\]

In fact, in the continuum limit of IDLA, as the frequency with which particles are dropped is taken to infinity and the interval is rescaled appropriately, the model becomes deterministic and the quantity \( sx^2 - ry^2 \) is exactly conserved.
This suggests that the quantity \( sx^2 - ry^2 \) is likely to be close to invariant in the rotor-router model as well. As the following lemma shows, this is indeed the case.

**Lemma 2.5.** The function

\[
gr_{r,s}(x, y, z) = sx^2 - ry^2 + (r - 2)sx + rsy - 2rsz
\]

is invariant under \( f_{r,s} \).

**Proof.** Compute

\[
g(f^-(x, y, z)) - g(x, y, z) = g(x - r, y, z - x + 1) - g(x, y, z)
\]

\[
= s(x - r)^2 - sx^2 - (r - 2)sx + 2rs(x - 1)
\]

\[
= -2rsx + r^2s - r^2s + 2rs + 2rsx - 2rs
\]

\[
= 0;
\]

\[
g(f^+(x, y, z)) - g(x, y, z) = g(x, y + s, z - y) - g(x, y, z)
\]

\[
= ry^2 - r(y + s)^2 + rs^2 + 2rsy.
\]

\[
= 0. \quad \Box
\]

The following section is devoted to showing (Theorem 2.8) that this invariant, together with the congruence classes of \( x \) (mod \( r \)) and \( y \) (mod \( s \)), is sufficient to distinguish between all orbits of \( f \). Later, in sections 3.1-3.3, we use this invariant to derive a variety of bounds on the growth of the interval \([x, y]\).

### 2.4 Classification of Orbits

Since \( g \) is linear in \( z \), it follows from Lemma 2.5 that given any \( x, y, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), there is at most one state \( \sigma = (x, y, z) \in \mathbf{Rec} \) for which \( g(\sigma) = n \); namely, set

\[
z = z_n(x, y) = \frac{sx^2 - ry^2 + (r - 2)sx + rsy - n}{2rs}
\]

\[
= \frac{x(x + r - 2)}{2r} - \frac{y(y - s)}{2s} - \frac{n}{2rs}
\]

if this is an integer and satisfies \( x \leq z \leq y \).
Lemma 2.6. Let $F(x, y) = z_n(x, y) - x$ and $G(x, y) = z_n(x, y) - y$. Then for all $x \leq 0$, $y \geq 0$ we have

(i) $F(x, y) \leq F(x - r, y)$;
(ii) $G(x, y) \leq G(x - r, y)$.
(iii) $F(x, y) \geq F(x, y + s)$.

Proof. From (2) we have

$$F(x, y) = \frac{x(x - r - 2)}{2r} - \frac{y(y - s)}{2s} - \frac{n}{2rs}.$$ 

Thus, for fixed $x$, $F$ is a quadratic in $y$ with maximum at $y = \frac{s}{2}$; and for fixed $y$, $F$ is a quadratic in $x$ with minimum at $x = 1 + \frac{r}{2}$. This proves (i) and (ii). Likewise,

$$G(x, y) = \frac{x(x + r - 2)}{2r} - \frac{y(y + s)}{2s} - \frac{n}{2rs},$$

so for fixed $y$, $G$ is a quadratic in $x$ with minimum at $x = 1 - \frac{r}{2}$, and this proves (iii). \hfill \square

We adopt the notation $\langle x, y, n \rangle$ as a shorthand for the state $(x, y, z_n(x, y))$. We will say that two states $(x, y, z)$ and $(x', y', z')$ are congruent if $x \equiv x' \pmod{r}$ and $y \equiv y' \pmod{s}$. By the congruence class of $(x, y, z)$ we will mean the pair of integer congruence classes $(x \mod{r}, y \mod{s})$. Trivially, congruence class is invariant under $f_{r,s}$. Also, notice that if $z_n(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\langle x', y', n \rangle \equiv \langle x, y, n \rangle$, then $z_n(x', y') \in \mathbb{Z}$ by (2). Given a state $\sigma_0 = \langle x_0, y_0, n \rangle \in \text{Rec}$ and fixing $y \geq y_0$ congruent to $y_0 \pmod{s}$, let

$$A_n(y) = \{ x \leq 0 : x \equiv x_0 \pmod{r}, x \leq z_n(x, y) \leq y \}.$$ 

Then $\langle x, y, n \rangle \in \text{Rec}$ if and only if $x \in A_n(y)$.

If $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \leq b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, we denote by $[a, b]_m$ the spaced interval $\{ a, a + m, a + 2m, \ldots, b \}$. This interval is said to have increment $m$. The following lemma describes the set of pairs $(x, y)$ for which the triple $\langle x, y, n \rangle$ is in $\text{Rec}$.

Lemma 2.7. $A_n(y)$ is a nonempty spaced interval with increment $r$. Furthermore, writing $A_n(y) = [a^- (y), a^+ (y)]_r$, the upper endpoint $a^+$ satisfies $a^+ (y + s) \leq a^+ (y)$. 
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Proof. Notice that \( x \in A_n(y) \) if and only if
\[
F(x, y) \geq 0 \geq G(x, y).
\] (2)

By parts (i) and (ii) of lemma 2.6, the set of \( x \leq 0 \) congruent to \( x_0 \) (mod \( r \)) satisfying (2) is a spaced interval of increment \( r \). This interval is nonempty since by lemma 2.1 there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( f^N(\sigma_0) \) has right endpoint \( y \).

Now since \( a^+(y) + r \notin A_n(y) \) and \( G(a^+(y) + r, y) \leq G(a^+(y), y) \leq 0 \), it must be that \( F(a^+(y) + r, y) < 0 \). Thus, by part (iii) of lemma 2.6, for any \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we have
\[
F(a^+(y) + kr, y + s) \leq F(a^+(y) + r, y) < 0,
\]
hence \( a^+(y + s) \leq a^+(y) \) as desired. \( \square \)

If \( O \subset \text{Rec} \) is an orbit of \( f \), we write \( g(O) \) for the constant value of \( g \) on \( O \), and \( c(O) \) for the common congruence class of the elements of \( O \). Our next result shows that \( g \) and \( c \) are a complete set of invariants for \( f \) in the sense that no two orbits of \( f \) have the same pair \((g, c)\).

**Theorem 2.8.** Suppose \( \sigma, \sigma' \in \text{Rec} \) are congruent states. Then \( \sigma \) and \( \sigma' \) are in the same orbit of \( f_{r,s} \) if and only if \( g_{r,s}(\sigma) = g_{r,s}(\sigma') \).

Proof. The “only if” direction is Lemma 2.3. For the “if” direction, let \( n = g_{r,s}(\sigma) \), and let \( O \) be the set of states \((x, y, z) \equiv \sigma \) satisfying \( g(x, y, z) = n \). If \( \alpha = (x, y, z) \in O \), then certainly \( f(\alpha) \in O \), so \( O \) contains at least one of the two states \( f^-(\alpha) = (x-r, y, z-x+1) \), \( f^+(\alpha) = (x, y+s, z-y) \) in the definition of the piecewise linear function of Proposition 2.4. But \( f^+(\alpha) \) is a legal state if and only if \( z-y \geq x \), while \( f^-(\alpha) \) is legal if and only if \( z-x+1 \leq y \), and these two conditions are mutually exclusive. Thus exactly one of the states \( f^+(\alpha) \) and \( f^-(\alpha) \) is in \( O \).

By Lemma 2.7, for any \( y \) we can write
\[
A_n(y) = [a^-(y), a^+(y)]_r,
\]
Denote by \( a^\pm(y) \) the states \((a^\pm(y), y, n)\). Since \( a^-(y) \) is the lower endpoint of the interval \( A_n(y) \), we have \( f^-(a^-(y)) \notin O \), hence \( f^+(a^-(y)) = (a^-(y), y+s, n) \in O \). Thus
\[
a^-(y) \leq a^+(y + s). \tag{3}
\]
But by lemma 2.7, \( a^+(y + s) \leq a^+(y) \), so the state \( \beta := \langle a^+(y + s), y, n \rangle \) is in \( \mathbb{O} \). Since
\[
f^+(\beta) = a^+(y + s) \in \mathbb{O},
\]
we have \( f^-(\beta) \notin \mathbb{O} \), and it follows that equality holds in (3). Letting \( \sigma_0 \in \mathbb{O} \) be the state with minimal \(|x|, y, n\), we conclude that every \( \sigma \in \mathbb{O} \) is \( f^k(\sigma_0) \) for some \( k \).

3 Bounds for the one-dimensional model

To any state \( \sigma \in \text{Rec} \) we associate an infinite lattice path \( P = P_{r,s}(\sigma) \) in the first quadrant, starting at the origin, whose \( n \)-th step is up or to the right accordingly as the \( n \)-th particle added to \( \sigma \) ends on the right or left of the interval. This section is devoted to bounding — and, in a special case, determining exactly — the shape of the path \( P \).

3.1 Linear bounds

The following result shows that the path \( P_{r,s}(\sigma) \) is bounded between two parallel lines of slope \( \alpha = \sqrt{\frac{r}{s}} \). In particular, for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), there is a line \( \ell \) of slope \( \alpha \) and a translation \( \ell' = \ell + (1 + \epsilon, -1 - \epsilon) \) of \( \ell \) such that all but finitely many steps of \( P \) lie between \( \ell \) and \( \ell' \) (figure 1).

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( \sigma_0 \in \text{Rec} \) be any state, and set \( \sigma_t = (x(t), y(t), z(t)) = f_{r,s}(\sigma(t-1)) \). Then \( |x\sqrt{s} + y\sqrt{r}| \) is bounded independent of \( t \). Specifically, given any \( \epsilon > 0 \) there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that for all \( t > N \),
\[
\frac{(r - 2)\sqrt{s} - s\sqrt{r} - \epsilon}{2} < x\sqrt{r} + y\sqrt{s} < \frac{(r + 2)\sqrt{s} + s\sqrt{r} + \epsilon}{2}. \quad (4)
\]

**Remark.** The difference between the upper and lower bounds in (4) approaches \( r\sqrt{s} + s\sqrt{r} \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \). This is best-possible, since the difference of the bounds must be at least the difference of \( x\sqrt{s} + (y+s)\sqrt{r} \) and \( (x-r)\sqrt{s} + y\sqrt{r} \).

**Proof.** Write \( u = \frac{r}{2}, \ v = \frac{s}{2} \). Let \( C = g_{r,s}(\sigma) \). Since \( g_{r,s} \) is invariant, we have
\[
sx^2 + (r - 2)sx = ry^2 - rsy + 2rsz + C \quad (5)
\]
Figure 1: The lattice path $P_{5,1}(0,0,0)$ is asymptotically bounded between two lines of slope $\sqrt{5}$ separated by a translation of $(1, -1)$. It crosses the lower line at the points $(1, 1), (5, 10), (221, 493), (1513, 3382), \ldots$. The dotted steps show the unique path bounded between these two lines, where it differs from $P$.

at any time $t$. Then completing the square and using the fact that $z \leq y$, we obtain

$$s(x + u - 1)^2 - s(u - 1)^2 = ry^2 - rsy + 2rsz + C$$

$$\leq ry^2 + rsy + C$$

$$= r(y + v)^2 - rv^2 + C. \quad (6)$$

Let $C' = s(u - 1)^2 - rv^2 + C$. Lemma 2.1 ensures that $x \to -\infty$ and $y \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. In particular, we can take $t$ sufficiently large so that $x \leq 1 - u$, $y \geq v$, and $r(y + v)^2 \geq C'$. Then we obtain from (6)

$$\sqrt{s(1 - x - u)} \leq \sqrt{r(y + v)^2 + C'}$$

$$\leq \sqrt{r(y + v)} + \sqrt{|C'|}, \quad (7)$$

which gives a time-independent lower bound for $x\sqrt{s} + y\sqrt{r}$.

Similarly, isolating the terms of (5) involving $y$, completing the square, and using the fact that $z \geq x$ gives

$$r(y - v)^2 - rv^2 = sx^2 + (r - 2)sx - 2rsz - C$$

$$\leq sx^2 - (r + 2)sx - C$$

$$= s(x - u - 1)^2 - s(u + 1)^2 - C. \quad (8)$$
Write \( C'' = rv^2 - s(u + 1)^2 - C \). By Lemma 2.1, we can take \( t \) sufficiently large so that \( x \leq -u - 1, y \geq v \) and \( s(x - u - 1)^2 \geq C'' \), and estimate
\[
\sqrt{r}(y - v) \leq \sqrt{s(x - u - 1)^2 + C''} \leq \sqrt{s(u + 1 - x)} + \sqrt{|C''|},
\]
which gives a time-independent upper bound.

To show (4), choose \( t \) sufficiently large so that \( \frac{|C'|}{\sqrt{r(y + v)}} \) and \( \frac{|C''|}{\sqrt{s(u + 1 - x)}} \) are strictly less than \( \epsilon \). Then using first-order Taylor estimates for the square roots in (7) and (9), we obtain
\[
\sqrt{s}(1 - x - u) \leq \sqrt{r}(y + v) + \frac{|C'|}{2\sqrt{r(y + v)}} < \sqrt{r}(y + v) + \frac{\epsilon}{2},
\]
\[
\sqrt{r}(y - v) \leq \sqrt{s}(u + 1 - x) + \frac{|C''|}{2\sqrt{s(u + 1 - x)}} < \sqrt{s}(u + 1 - x) + \frac{\epsilon}{2},
\]
and hence
\[
\frac{(r - 2)\sqrt{s} + s\sqrt{r} + \epsilon}{2} = (1 - u)\sqrt{s} - v\sqrt{r} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} < x\sqrt{s} + y\sqrt{r} < (1 + u)\sqrt{s} + v\sqrt{r} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \frac{(r + 2)\sqrt{s} + s\sqrt{r} + \epsilon}{2}. \tag*{□}
\]

### 3.2 Sturmian words

For certain values of \( r \) and \( s \), the inequality (4) holds for all \( t, t' \in \mathbb{N} \) even when \( \epsilon = 0 \), and the three coordinates \( x(t), y(t), z(t) \) can be determined exactly in closed form. In Proposition 3.2 we treat the case \( r = 2, s = 1 \).

By a binary word \( w = w_0w_1w_2\ldots \) we will mean a map \( \mathbb{N} \to \{0, 1\} \); we write \( w_i \) for the image of \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) under this map. To each state \( \sigma \in \text{Rec} \) we associate a binary word \( w = w_{r,s}(\sigma) \), whose \( n \)-th term is 0 or 1 accordingly as \( f_{r,s}(\sigma) \) is \( f^-(f^{n-1}\sigma) \) or \( f^+(f^{n-1}\sigma) \). A word \( w = w_0w_1w_2\ldots \) is called Sturmian if it has the form
\[
w_n = [(n + 1)\alpha + \beta] - [n\alpha + \beta]. \tag{10}
\]
for real numbers $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$, $\alpha$ irrational. Sturmian words have been extensively studied and have many equivalent characterizations; see [3] for a survey. The word defined by (10) is called the Sturmian word of slope $\alpha$ and intercept $\beta$.

**Proposition 3.2.** Suppose $r = 2$, $s = 1$, and $\sigma = (0, 0, 0)$. Then $w_{r,s}(\sigma)$ is Sturmian with slope $\alpha = \sqrt{2} - 1$ and intercept $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2}$.

**Proof.** We have $g_{2,1}(\sigma) = 0$ and from (2),

$$z_0(x, y) = \frac{x^2 - 2y(y - 1)}{4}. \quad (11)$$

We will show that $f^n(\sigma) = \sigma_n := (x(n), y(n), z(n))$, where

$$x(n) = -2\left[(n + \frac{1}{2})\alpha\right], \quad y(n) = n + \frac{x(n)}{2}, \quad z(n) = z_0(x(n), y(n)).$$

Since trivially $\sigma_n \equiv \sigma$ and $g_{2,1}(\sigma_n) = g_{2,1}(\sigma) = 0$, by Theorem [2.8] it is sufficient to show $x(n) \leq z(n) \leq y(n)$. Note the inequalities

$$-2\alpha(n + \frac{1}{2}) \leq x(n) < -2\alpha(n + \frac{1}{2}) + 2; \quad (12)$$

$$(1 - \alpha)n - \frac{\alpha}{2} \leq y(n) < (1 - \alpha)n - \frac{\alpha}{2} + 1. \quad (13)$$

Since $x^2$ is decreasing on the interval $x < 0$, we have from (12)

$$\alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - 2\alpha \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) + 1 < \frac{x^2}{4} \leq \alpha^2 \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2;$$

and since $y(y - 1)$ is increasing on the interval $y > 0$, we obtain from (13)

$$(1 - \alpha)^2 n^2 - (1 - \alpha^2)n + \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4} = \left((1 - \alpha)n - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\left((1 - \alpha)n - \frac{\alpha}{2} - 1\right) \leq y(y - 1) < \left((1 - \alpha)n - \frac{\alpha}{2}\right)\left((1 - \alpha)n - \frac{\alpha}{2} + 1\right) = (1 - \alpha)^2 n^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2 n - \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{\alpha^2}{4}.\quad (15)$$
Also notice that \( \alpha^2 = 1 - 2\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha)^2 \). Now (11) is bounded above by

\[
 z_0(x, y) \leq \alpha^2 \left( n^2 + n + \frac{1}{4} \right) - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{2} n^2 + \frac{1 - \alpha^2}{2} n - \frac{\alpha}{4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{8}
\]

\[
 = (1 - \alpha)n - \frac{\alpha}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \leq y - \frac{1}{8},
\]

so \( z \leq y \) as desired. Similarly, (12) is bounded below by

\[
 z_0(x, y) > \alpha^2 \left( n^2 + n + \frac{1}{4} \right) - 2\alpha \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + 1
\]

\[
 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{2} n^2 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^2}{2} n + \frac{\alpha}{4} - \frac{\alpha^2}{8}
\]

\[
 = -2\alpha n - \alpha + \frac{9}{8} > x - \frac{7}{8}.
\]

Since \( x \) and \( z_0(x, y) \) are integers, it follows that \( z \geq x \). \qed

For general \( r \) and \( s \), write \( w_{r,s} = w_{r,s}(0, 0, 0) \). It is not true that \( w_{r,s} \) is Sturmian for every pair \( r, s \). It is a classical theorem of Morse and Hedlund (see, e.g., [3], [8]) that a Sturmian word has exactly \( n + 1 \) distinct factors (subwords) of length \( n \); and it turns out, for example, that \( w(5, 1) \) has 70 factors of length 68. It does not even appear true that every \( w_{r,s} \) is eventually Sturmian. It does, however, appear that \( w_{r,s} \) is Sturmian for a substantial number of pairs \((r, s)\). The set of such pairs is quite complex; see figure 3 and the discussion in section 5.

### 3.3 Nonlinear bounds and Pythagorean triples

We now turn to the case \( r = s = 1 \) and consider an initial state consisting of an interval of occupied sites to the left of the origin. Our next two propositions can be seen as rotor-router analogues of the conservation of \( sx^2 - ry^2 \) in the continuum limit of IDLA (see section 2.3).

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( n \) be a positive integer and \( \sigma_0 = (-n, 0, 0) \). Let \( \sigma_t = (x(t), y(t), z(t)) = f_{1,1}(\sigma_{t-1}) \). Then \( x^2 - y^2 < n^2 + n \) and \( (x-1)^2 - (y-1)^2 > n^2 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \).
Figure 2: The lattice path $P_{1,1}(-4,0,0)$ is bounded between the hyperbolas $x^2 - y^2 = 20$ and $(x-1)^2 - (y-1)^2 = 16$.

Proof. For the first inequality, we may assume $x^2 > y^2$, i.e. $-x > y$. Then $x + 2z \leq x + 2y < y$. Now from lemma \ref{lem:2.5},

$$g_{1,1}(x, y, z) = x^2 - y^2 - x + y - 2z.$$ 

and since $g_{1,1}(-n, 0, 0) = n^2 + n$, at any time $t$ we have

$$x^2 - y^2 = n^2 + n + x - y + 2z < n^2 + n. \quad (14)$$

For the second inequality, suppose first that $x + y \leq -n$. Then

$$(x-1)^2 - (y-1)^2 = (x-y)(x+y-2) \geq -n(-n-2) > n^2.$$ 

On the other hand if $x + y > -n$, then $n + y + 2z > 2z - x \geq x$, so from \eqref{14},

$$(x-1)^2 - (y-1)^2 = x^2 - y^2 - 2x + 2y = n^2 + n - x + y + 2z > n^2. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 3.4.** Suppose that $a, b, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $a^2 + n^2 = b^2$. In the situation of Proposition 3.3, for $t = a + b - n$, we have $x(t) = -b$ and $y(t) = a$.  
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Proof. By Lemma 2.1 there exists some $t$ such that $y(t) = a$. Now by the first inequality of Proposition 3.3 for this value of $t$ we have
\[ x^2 < n^2 + n + a^2 = b^2 + n < b^2 + b < (b + 1)^2, \]
so $x \geq -b$. Now by the second inequality of Proposition 3.3
\[ (x - 1)^2 > n^2 + (a - 1)^2 = b^2 - 2a + 1 > (b - 1)^2, \]
so $x \leq 1 - b$. Thus either $x = -b$ or $x = 1 - b$. In the former case, the proof is complete; in the latter case, we will show that $x(t + 1) = -b$. Indeed, if this were not the case, we would have $x(t + 1) = 1 - b$ and $y(t + 1) = a + 1$, but then
\[ (x(t + 1) - 1)^2 - (y(t + 1) - 1)^2 = a^2 - b^2 = n^2, \]
contradicting the second inequality of Proposition 3.3.

4 Higher-dimensional analogues

4.1 The center of mass

Recall that in dimension two and higher, the rotor-router model depends on a cyclic ordering of the set of cardinal directions $E_d = \{\pm e_1, \ldots, \pm e_d\} \subset \mathbb{Z}^d$. Considering $E_d$ as the set of vertices of a regular octahedron in $\mathbb{R}^d$, if two orderings $\leq$ and $\leq'$ differ by an octahedral symmetry of $E_d$, the resulting rotor-router models will differ by this same symmetry. By applying suitable reflections any ordering can be transformed into one satisfying
\begin{align}
(i) & \quad e_1 < e_2 < \cdots < e_n; \\
(ii) & \quad e_i < -e_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n.
\end{align}

For the remainder of this section, we fix an ordering $\leq$ satisfying (15) (i) and (ii). Call a set $C \subset [1, d]$ a coclique if the intervals $\{\epsilon \in E_d : e_i < \epsilon < -e_i\}$, $i \in C$ are disjoint.

Theorem 4.1. The center of mass of the set of occupied sites is confined to the unit cube $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : 0 \leq x_i \leq 1\}$. Moreover, if $C \subset [1, d]$ is a coclique, then the center of mass lies below the hyperplane $\sum_{i \in C} x_i = 1$.\]
Proof. For $\epsilon \in E_d$, let $T(\epsilon)$ be the total number of steps taken in the direction $\epsilon$ by the first $n$ deposited particles. Then $\frac{1}{n}[T(e_i) - T(-e_i)]$ is the $i$-th coordinate of the center of mass of the set of occupied sites after $n$ particles have been allowed to equilibrate. If the rotor $r(x)$ at the site $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ satisfies $e_i < r(x) \leq -e_i$, then the site $x$ has ejected one more particle in the direction $e_i$ than in the direction $-e_i$; otherwise, $x$ has ejected equally many particles in the two directions. Hence

$$0 \leq T(e_i) - T(-e_i) \leq n,$$

and dividing by $n$, we conclude that the center of mass is confined to the unit cube.

If $C \subset [1, d]$ is a coclique, then every occupied site $x$ satisfies at most one of the inequalities $e_i < r(x) \leq -e_i$, $i \in C$, and so

$$0 \leq \sum_{i \in C} [T(e_i) - T(-e_i)] \leq n,$$

and dividing by $n$ gives the desired inequality. \qed

For example, suppose that $d = 3$ and $\leq$ is the ordering $e_1 < e_2 < -e_1 < -e_2 < e_3 < -e_3$. Then the sets $\{1, 3\}$ and $\{2, 3\}$ are cocliques, so the center of mass is confined to the portion of the unit cube lying below the planes $x + z = 1$ and $y + z = 1$.

### 4.2 Progress toward circularity

We conjecture that the limiting shape of the rotor-router model, like that of IDLA, is a Euclidean ball in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In this section, we prove a much weaker, but analogous result, Theorem 4.6.

The discrete Laplacian $\Delta F$ of a function $F: \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\Delta F(x) = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{\epsilon \in E_d} F(x + \epsilon) - F(x).$$

If $\Delta F(x) = 0$, then $F$ is said to be harmonic at $x$.

Fixing an ordering of $E_d$, write $E_d = \{e_i\}_{i=1}^{2d}$ with $e_1 < \cdots < e_{2d}$. Let $H_m(x)$ be the total number of times the site $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ is been visited by the first $m$ deposited particles. The following result shows that $H_m$ is approximately harmonic away from the origin.
Lemma 4.2. If \( x \neq 0 \), then \( \Delta H_m(x) \) is bounded independent of \( m \) and \( x \). Specifically,

\[
-d + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2d} \leq \Delta H_m \leq d + \frac{1}{2}. 
\]

(16)

Proof. Every time a particle visits the site \( x \), it comes from one of the neighboring sites \( x - \epsilon, \epsilon \in E_d \). When the site \( x - \epsilon_i \) is first visited, the particle stays there, and thereafter, the \( k \)-th particle to visit \( x - \epsilon_i \) is routed to \( x \) if and only if \( k \equiv i \pmod{2d} \). The total number of routings from \( x - \epsilon_i \) to \( x \) after \( n \) particles have been deposited is then at least \( \frac{1}{2d} [H_m(x - \epsilon_i) - i] \) and, if \( i < 2d \), at most \( a_i = \frac{1}{2d} [H_m(x - \epsilon_i) + 2d - 1 - i] \). In the case that \( i = 2d \), we have \( a_{2d} = \frac{1}{2d} [H_m(x - \epsilon_{2d}) - 1] \), whereas if the site \( x - \epsilon_{2d} \) has not yet been visited, then certainly no routings from \( x - \epsilon_{2d} \) have taken place, so the number of routings is actually \( a_{2d} + \frac{1}{2d} \). Summing the contribution from each \( x - \epsilon_i \), we obtain

\[
-\frac{2d(2d+1)}{4d} + \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{\epsilon \in E_d} H_m(x - \epsilon) \leq H_m(x) 
\]

\[
\leq \frac{(2d-1)(2d-2)}{4d} + \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{\epsilon \in E_d} H_m(x - \epsilon). 
\]

and this reduces to (16). \( \Box \)

There is a unique function \( G \) on \( \mathbb{Z}^d \) that is harmonic way from the origin and satisfies \( G(0) = 0 \) and \( \Delta G(0) = -1 \). This \( G \) is called the discrete harmonic Green’s function. Unlike its continuous counterpart, the discrete Green’s function does not have a simple closed form, and is given in two dimensions by an elliptic integral \([18]\). However, the discrete Green’s function does have the same asymptotics as its continous counterpart: in dimension 3 and higher \( G \) is asymptotic to a constant times \( r^{2-d} \), and in dimension 2 it is asymptotic to a constant times \( \log r \) (cf. \([16, 18]\)).

A first attempt at a proof of the circularity conjecture might run as follows. To show that the rescaled set of occupied sites converges to a ball, it would be enough to show that the function \( H_m \) is sufficiently radially symmetric, i.e. to bound \( |H_m(x) - H_m(y)| \) in terms of \( ||x||^2 - ||y||^2 \). Due to its asymptotics, Green’s function is itself approximately radially symmetric, and given Lemma 4.2, we might expect that the function \( H_m \) should approximately coincide with a suitable scaling and translation of \( G \), namely \( H_m(0) - \Delta H_m(0)G \). In two dimensions, however, we immediately encounter
the problem that $H_m$ is bounded below by zero, while $G \sim \frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{r}$ is not bounded below. Indeed, the data suggest that $G$ is an excellent approximation to $H_m$ near $r = 0$, but a bad approximation when $H_m$ is close to zero; see figure 4 and the discussion in section 5. Unfortunately, it is precisely when $H_m$ is close to zero that we need a good approximation.

We might expect these difficulties to disappear in dimensions three and higher, since $r^2 - d$ is bounded below by zero. Perhaps surprisingly, however, Green’s function does not appear to be a very good approximation in the three dimensional case, either; see figure 6 in section 5.

To avoid the inaccuracies of the Green’s function approximations, we will take a somewhat different approach. For the sake of simplicity, we treat only the two-dimensional model. Fix a map $r : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to E_2$ indicating the rotor direction at each point in the plane. Imagine now that several particles are simultaneously deposited at different points in the plane; write $\nu(x)$ for the number of particles at the site $x$. If $x$ is a point with $\nu(x) > 1$, let $x(r, \nu)$ denote the configuration obtained by routing one particle from $x$ to the neighboring site $x + r(x)$, and then changing the direction of the rotor $r(x)$ as dictated by the ordering $\leq$. A finite sequence of steps $(x) = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)$ is said to be terminating if the configuration $(r', \nu') = x(r, \nu) = (x_k x_{k-1} \ldots x_1)(r, \nu)$ is such that $\nu' \leq 1$ everywhere. The following result is a special case of Proposition 4.1 of [11], but we include a proof here for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 4.3.** Given a configuration of rotors $r_0 : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to E_2$, and a map $\nu_0 : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$ indicating the number of particles at each point in the plane, if $(x) = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ and $(y) = (y_1, \ldots, y_l)$ are any two terminating sequences of steps, then $k = l$ and the resulting configurations $x(r_0, \nu_0)$ and $y(r_0, \nu_0)$ are identical.

**Proof.** It suffices to show that $(x)$ is a permutation of $(y)$. If this were not the case, then reversing the roles of $(x)$ and $(y)$ if necessary, there exists $j$ such that the sequence $(x') = (x_1, \ldots, x_{j-1})$ is a permutation of a subsequence of $(y)$, but $(x'') = (x_1, \ldots, x_j)$ is not. Then $x_j$ occurs with the same multiplicity in $(x')$ and $(y)$, while every $x_i \neq x_j$ occurs with at most the same multiplicity. Now setting $y(r_0, \nu_0) = (r, \nu)$ and $x'(r_0, \nu_0) = (r', \nu')$, it follows that $\nu'(p) \leq \nu(p)$. But $(y)$ is a terminating sequence, so $\nu(p) \leq 1$, and hence $p$ is not a legal step after the sequence $(x_1, \ldots, x_j)$, a contradiction.

Consider now the following procedure. First, $m$ particles are deposited simultaneously at the origin, and at each step thereafter, all but one of the
particles at each occupied site are routed to neighboring sites, until there is at most one particle at each site. By Proposition 4.3, this procedure is guaranteed to terminate, and to give the same final configuration \((r, \nu)\) our original model, in which the particles were deposited one by one. Notice that at each step in this new procedure, each site ejects approximately equally many particles to each of its neighbors; letting \(H_{m,n}(x)\) be the number of particles at the site \(x\) after \(n\) steps, we see that 
\[
H_{m,n}(x) \approx H_{m,n-1} + \Delta H_{m,n-1},
\]
so our procedure has the effect of approximately iterating the operator \(\Delta + \text{Id}\). Our next two lemmas convert this observation into a precise estimate.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \(B_0(x, y) = \delta_{x_0}\delta_{y_0}\), where \(\delta\) denotes the Kronecker delta. Let \(B_n = B_{n-1} + \Delta B_{n-1}, n \geq 1\). Then
\[
B_n(x, y) = 4^{-n} \left( \frac{n}{2}(n+x+y) \right) \left( \frac{n}{2}(n+x-y) \right),
\]
where we adopt the convention that \(\binom{n}{k} = 0\) if \(k \notin \mathbb{N}\) or \(k > n\).

**Proof.** Induct on \(n\). Writing \(u = \frac{1}{2}(n+x+y), v = \frac{1}{2}(n+x-y)\), we have by the inductive hypothesis
\[
B_{n-1}(x-1, y) = 4^{1-n} \binom{n-1}{u-1} \binom{n-1}{v-1};
\]
\[
B_{n-1}(x, y-1) = 4^{1-n} \binom{n-1}{u-1} \binom{n-1}{v};
\]
\[
B_{n-1}(x, y+1) = 4^{1-n} \binom{n-1}{u} \binom{n-1}{v-1};
\]
\[
B_{n-1}(x+1, y) = 4^{1-n} \binom{n-1}{u} \binom{n-1}{v};
\]
whence
\[
B_n(x, y) = 4^{-n} \left[ \binom{n-1}{u-1} + \binom{n-1}{u} \right] \binom{n-1}{v-1} + 4^{-n} \left[ \binom{n-1}{u} + \binom{n-1}{u-1} \right] \binom{n-1}{v}
\]
\[
= 4^{-n} \binom{n}{u} \binom{n}{v}. \quad \square
\]
Lemma 4.5. \(|H_{m,n} - mB_n| \leq 3n.\)

Proof. By definition, \(F_{m,0} = m\delta_{x_0}\delta_{y_0} = mB_0.\) We now show by induction on \(n\) that
\[-3 \leq H_{m,n} - (\Delta + \text{Id})[H_{m,n-1}] \leq 3.\] (18)

On the \(n\)-th step, depending on the direction of the rotor \(r(z + \epsilon)\) and the congruence class of \(H_{m,n-1}(z + \epsilon)\) (mod 4), a point \(z \in \mathbb{Z}^2\) receives between \(\frac{1}{4}[H_{m,n-1}(z + \epsilon) - 4]\) and \(\frac{1}{4}[H_{m,n-1}(z + \epsilon) + 2]\) particles from each neighboring site \(z + \epsilon\). Moreover, the site \(z\) ejects all but one of its own particles, leaving
\[-4 + (\Delta + \text{Id})[H_{m,n-1}](z) + 1 \leq H_{m,n}(z) \leq 2 + (\Delta + \text{Id})[H_{m,n-1}](z) + 1,\]
and (18) follows. \(\square\)

We’re now ready to prove the promised weak circularity result. We will show that after \(m\) particles are deposited and allowed to equilibrate, every site in a disc centered at the origin of radius proportional to \(m^{1/4}\) is occupied. We will make use of Stirling’s inequality
\[
\binom{2n}{n} > \frac{4^n}{e^{2\sqrt{n}}}.\] (19)
and the fact that for any \(\epsilon > 0\) there exists \(N(\epsilon)\) such that for all \(t > N(\epsilon),\)
\[
\left(1 - \frac{a}{t}\right)^t > e^{-a-\epsilon}\] (20)

Theorem 4.6. Let \(\epsilon > 0.\) In either the type 1 or type 2 rotor-router model on \(\mathbb{Z}^2,\) if \(m\) is taken sufficiently large, then after \(m\) particles are deposited and allowed to equilibrate, every site in the open disc of radius \(r_0 = \left(\frac{8m}{3e^{6+\epsilon}}\right)^{1/4}\) centered at the origin is occupied.

Proof. Let \((x,y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\) and suppose first that \(x \equiv y \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.\) Write \(u = \frac{1}{2}|x+y|, v = \frac{1}{2}|x-y|\). Let \(r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} = \sqrt{2u^2 + 2v^2}\) and let \(n = \frac{1}{2}r^2.\) Note that \(n\) is an even integer. By Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 and
Stirling’s inequality (19), for any \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) we have

\[
H_{m,n}(x, y) \geq m4^{-n} \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ u + n/2 \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{c} n \\ v + n/2 \end{array} \right) - 3n
\]

\[
= m4^{-r^2/2} \left( \frac{r^2/2}{r^2/4} \right)^2 \prod_{i=1}^{u} \frac{r^2}{4} - i \prod_{j=1}^{v} \frac{r^2}{4} - j - \frac{3}{2}r^2
\]

\[
> \frac{4m}{e^4r^2} \prod_{i=1}^{u} \left( 1 - \frac{4(u+1)}{r^2+4i} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{v} \left( 1 - \frac{4(v+1)}{r^2+4j} \right) - \frac{3}{2}r^2
\]

\[
> \frac{4m}{e^4r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{4(u+1)}{r^2} \right)^u \left( 1 - \frac{4(v+1)}{r^2} \right)^v - \frac{3}{2}r^2
\]

(21)

We now use the inequality (20) in the cases

\[
a_1 = \frac{4u(u+1)}{r^2}, \quad t_1 = u;
\]

\[
a_2 = \frac{4v(v+1)}{r^2}, \quad t_2 = v.
\]

Let \( \delta \) be such that \( 2\delta + \frac{2}{N(\delta)} = \epsilon \). For \( u, v > N(\delta) \) and \( r < \left( \frac{8m}{3e^{6+\epsilon}} \right)^{1/4} \), we have from (21),

\[
H_{m,n}(x, y) > \frac{4m}{e^4r^2} \exp \left( - \frac{4u^2 + 4v^2 + 4u + 4v}{r^2} - 2\delta \right) - \frac{3}{2}r^2
\]

\[
= \frac{4m}{e^4+26r^2} \exp \left( - \frac{2u + 2v}{u^2 + v^2} \right) - \frac{3}{2}r^2
\]

\[
> \frac{4m}{e^6+26r^2} \exp \left( - \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{u^2 + v^2}} \right) - \frac{3}{2}r^2
\]

\[
> \frac{4m}{e^6+26r^2} \exp \left( - \frac{2}{N(\delta)} \right) - \frac{3}{2}r^2
\]

(22)

\[
= \frac{3}{2r^2} \left[ \frac{8m}{3e^{6+\epsilon}} - r^4 \right] > 0.
\]

It remains to consider the case that \( u \) or \( v \) is \( \leq N(\delta) \). First, let \( \beta = \left( \frac{4}{4-e^\epsilon} \right)^{1/2} \), and take \( m \) large enough so that \( mB_n(x, y) > 3n \) for all pairs \( x, y \)
for which \( u, v \leq \beta N(\delta) \). By Lemma 4.5, \( H_{m,n}(x, y) > 0 \) for all such pairs. Now suppose one of \( u, v \) is \( > \beta N(\delta) \). Taking \( y \) to \(-y\) if necessary, we can assume \( u \leq N(\delta), v > \beta N(\delta) \). In particular, this implies

\[
\frac{4u(u+1)}{r^2} < \frac{4u^2}{v^2} < \frac{4}{\beta^2} = 1 - e^{-\delta},
\]

so from (21) we obtain

\[
H_{m,n}(x, y) > \frac{4m}{r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{4u(u+1)}{r^2} \right) \exp \left( - \frac{4v(v+1)}{r^2} - \delta \right) - \frac{3}{2} r^2
\]

\[
> \frac{4m}{r^2} \exp \left( -4 - 2\delta - \frac{2v^2}{u^2 + v^2} - \frac{2v}{u^2 + v^2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} r^2
\]

\[
> \frac{4m}{r^2} \exp \left( -6 - 2\delta - \frac{2}{N(\delta)} \right) - \frac{3}{2} r^2
\]

and we recover equation (22).

In the cases \( x \equiv y \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \) and \( x \not\equiv y \pmod{2} \) the proof is similar, taking \( n = \frac{r^2-2}{2} \) and \( \frac{r^2-3}{2} \), respectively. \( \square \)

5 Conjectures

5.1 The Sturmian region

Figure 3 shows the set of pairs \((r, s)\) with \(1 \leq r, s < 30\) for which the binary word \( w_{r,s} \) is Sturmian in the first ten million terms. In each of these cases, \( w_{r,s} \) takes the form (10) with

\[
\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{s}}, \quad \beta = \frac{\alpha - 1}{r} + \frac{1}{2}.
\]

We make the obvious conjectures.

Conjecture 1.

(i) For \((r, s)\) in the diagonal stripe \(-4 \leq r - s \leq 3\), with the single exception of the case \( r = 4, s = 1 \) the sequence \( w_{r,s} \) is Sturmian.

(ii) If \((r, s)\) is just below the stripe, i.e. \( r - s = 4 \), then \( w_{r,s} \) is Sturmian if and only if \( r \) is even.

(iii) If \( r - s < -4 \), then with finitely many exceptions, \( w_{r,s} \) is not Sturmian.
Figure 3: Plot of the set of pairs \((r, s), 1 \leq r, s < 30\), for which \(w_{r,s}\) is Sturmian out to \(10^7\) places; \(r\) increases from left to right and \(s\) increases from bottom to top. The square of area 1 centered at \((r, s)\) is shaded green (light gray) if \(w_{r,s}\) is Sturmian, and blue (dark gray) if \(w_{r,s}\) is not Sturmian.

It is likely that (i) and the positive direction of (ii) can be proved in the same way as Proposition 3.2; the computations become quite extensive, however. Proving the negative direction of (ii), as well as (iii), may be trickier.

It is not hard to show that if \(w_{r,s}\) is Sturmian, then its slope \(\alpha\) must be given by (23); indeed, from Theorem 3.1 we have \(|x/y| \to \sqrt{r/s}\) as \(t \to \infty\), and so the proportion of \(u \leq t\) for which \(f(\sigma(u)) = f^-(\sigma(u))\) approaches

\[
\frac{|x|}{r + \frac{y}{s}} = \frac{|x|}{ry + \frac{1}{s}} \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{rs} + \frac{1}{s}} = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{\sqrt{r} + \sqrt{s}}
\]

and we recover (23). Likewise, from (4) one can deduce that if \(w_{r,s}\) is Sturmian, its intercept \(\beta\) must be given by (23).

The fact that \(w_{r,s}\) appears to be Sturmian for so many pairs \((r, s)\) suggests that an exact description of the triples \(f^n_{r,s}(0,0,0)\) for general \(r\) and \(s\) may be within reach. The subword complexity (number of factors of length \(n\)) of \(w_{r,s}\) would be worth investigating in this connection, since Sturmian words are of minimal complexity among aperiodic words (cf. [3]).
Figure 4: The function $H_m(r, 0)$ (middle curve) for the type 1 rotor-router model on $\mathbb{Z}^2$, plotted against the functions $F(r)$ (lower curve) and $\tilde{F}(r)$ (upper curve) of equation (24). Here $m = \lfloor 10000\pi \rfloor$, so that $H_m$ has its root near $r = 100$.

5.2 Green’s function estimates

The discrete Green’s function in two dimensions has the asymptotics (cf. [13])

$$G(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \log r + \frac{3}{2} \log 2 + \gamma + O\left(\frac{1}{r^2}\right) \right).$$

Set $\tilde{G}(r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( \log r + \frac{3}{2} \log 2 + \gamma \right)$. As in section 4.2, let $H_m(x, y)$ denote the total number of times the point $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is visited by the first $m$ deposited particles. As discussed in section 4.2, we might expect $H_m$ to coincide closely with the function $H_m(0, 0) - \Delta H_m(0, 0)G'$. Observe that every time a particle visits the origin, it has either been newly placed there, or it has come from one of the four adjacent sites $(\pm 1, 0)$, $(0, \pm 1)$; so by the same argument used in the proof of Lemma 4.2, we conclude that $\Delta H_m(0, 0)$ is approximately $-m$. Figure 4 plots $H_m(r, 0)$ against the two functions

$$F = H_m(0, 0) - m\tilde{G}, \quad \tilde{F} = H_m(0, 0) - m\left(\tilde{G} - \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Since we constructed $F$ to coincide with $H_m$ near zero, and $F$ is unbounded below while $H_m \geq 0$, it is not too surprising that $F$ gives a good approximation to $H_m$ near zero, but a bad approximation near the root of $H_m$. 

27
Figure 5: Near its root $r_0$, $H_m(r, 0)$ falls off like $|r - r_0|^\lambda$, where $\lambda$ varies only very slightly with $m$. Shown here is $H_m$ (upper curve) with $m = \lceil 10000\pi \rceil$, alongside the curves $H(r)$ and $|r - r_0|^\lambda$ for $\lambda = 2.232$.

Interestingly, however, the root of $\tilde{F}$ seems to coincide very closely with that of $H_m$.

Figure 5 shows $H_m$ plotted against $H$ and the function $|r - r_0|^\lambda$, where $r_0 \approx 100$ is the root of $H_m$ and $\lambda = 2.232$; this latter function gives a very good approximation to $H_m$ near its root.

Since Green’s function is bounded below on $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for $n \geq 3$, we might expect it to give better approximations to $H_m$ in higher dimensions than it does in dimension two. For the most part, however, these expectations do not seem to be borne out. Figure 6 shows $H_m(r, 0, 0)$ for the three-dimensional rotor-router model obtained from the ordering $e_1 < -e_1 < e_2 < -e_2 < e_3 < -e_3$, plotted against $m/r$, the principal term of the discrete Green’s function in three dimensions.
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Figure 6: The function $H_m(r, 0, 0)$ for a three-dimensional rotor-router model (darker curve), plotted against $m/r$, the dominant term of Green’s function on $\mathbb{Z}^3$. Here $m = \lfloor(4/3)\pi \cdot 15^3\rfloor$, so that $H_m$ has its root near $r = 15$.
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