Highly permeable CHA membranes prepared by fluoro synthesis for efficient CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation

Liang Yu,$^*$ Allan Holmgren, Ming Zhou and Jonas Hedlund

All-silica CHA nanocrystals, much smaller (20–200 nm) than previously reported, were prepared by an improved method developed in the present work. The nanocrystals are prepared by adding milled crystals to a fluoro synthesis mixture and we observed that much smaller crystals are obtained by adding a much higher fraction of milled crystals. In the next step, CHA membranes with a thickness of ca. 1.3 μm were prepared by hydrothermal treatment of a monolayer of nanocrystals supported on porous graded alumina discs in a fluoro synthesis gel. Finally, the membranes were calcined at 480 °C. The highest measured single gas CO$_2$ permeance was $172 \times 10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ at room temperature. The highly permeable membranes were evaluated for separation of CO$_2$ from an equimolar mixture with CH$_4$ at varying temperatures. The highest observed CO$_2$ mixture permeance was $84 \times 10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ at 276 K with a separation selectivity of 47 at 9 bar feed pressure and atmospheric permeate pressure. At room temperature, the CO$_2$ mixture permeance was also as high as $78 \times 10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ with a separation selectivity of 32. To the best of our knowledge, these CO$_2$ permeances are by far the highest reported for CHA membranes, while the selectivity is similar to that reported previously at comparable test conditions.

Introduction

Natural gas and biogas, i.e. mixtures of mainly CH$_4$ and CO$_2$, are environmentally-friendly fuels. However, removal of CO$_2$ from the raw gas is necessary before use in most applications.$^1$

Removal of CO$_2$ from CH$_4$ can be carried out by, e.g. pressure swing adsorption, cryogenic separation, absorption or membrane separation. Absorption or adsorption is currently the dominating techniques for CO$_2$ separation despite being energy-intensive and rather expensive and the development of efficient CO$_2$ separation methods is accordingly of great interest.$^2$ Membrane separation processes have relatively low energy consumption. In recent years, the application of membrane technology for CO$_2$ separation has hence received considerable attention.$^3$ Membranes can allow for efficient and sustainable separation of CO$_2$ without phase change, and the membrane processes are highly amenable to scale up or scale down.

Despite these advantages, membranes do not dominate industrial CO$_2$ separation from CH$_4$. Current commercial CO$_2$-selective membranes are polymeric membranes possessing limited stability to CO$_2$, and limited lifespan. Additionally, these membranes have low CO$_2$ permeance, i.e. below 1000 gpu (3.35 $\times$ $10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$), necessitating large membrane areas and many membrane modules, resulting in relatively high costs. Zeolite membranes have been considered promising alternatives to polymeric membranes due to much higher chemical stability.$^4$ In addition, zeolite membranes are porous, which may allow for much higher permeances compared to dense polymeric membranes. In turn, a much smaller membrane area and fewer membrane modules would be needed for a given separation task. We have shown that highly permeable zeolite membranes are economically competitive with polymeric membranes for CO$_2$ separation.$^3$

Several types of zeolite membrane have been investigated for CO$_2$ separation from CH$_4$, such as MFI,$^5$ zeolite T,$^6$ DDR,$^6$ SAPO-34,$^7$ and AlPO-18 (ref. 10) etc. Particularly interesting for this separation is CHA (also known as SSZ-13) zeolite. The unit cell is rhombohedral with a 3-dimensional pore structure and intersecting channels running in the ($\{100\}$ family of directions. The window diameter is 0.37 nm $\times$ 0.37 nm,$^11$ i.e. in-between the kinetic diameters 0.33 and 0.38 nm of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, respectively. SSZ-13 and all-silica CHA zeolites displayed a CO$_2$/CH$_4$ adsorption selectivity of up to 4.1 at room temperature.$^{12}$ The pore size and its selective adsorption properties, makes this zeolite promising as a membrane and simulations have shown that the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ permeation selectivity could be around 100 at room temperature.$^{13}$ Previously, SSZ-13 crystals$^{14}$ and membranes$^{15,16}$ were synthesized using $N,N,N$-trimethyl-1-adamant ammonium hydroxide (TMA$\text{AdaOH}$) as the structure-directing agent (SDA). A SSZ-13 membrane with a CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation selectivity of 13 and a CO$_2$ permeance of $1.7 \times 10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ has been reported.$^{16}$ Steam-stable high-silica CHA membranes with a CO$_2$/
CH$_4$ selectivity as high as 300 and a CO$_2$ permeance of 2.0 × 10$^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ have also been reported.$^{17}$ Another example is a high-silica SSZ-13 CHA membrane with a CO$_2$ permeance of 3.0 × 10$^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ and a separation selectivity of 42 for equimolar CO$_2$/CH$_4$ mixtures.$^{18}$

Fluoride-mediated synthesis of zeolite membranes has been reported to improve the stability and reduce membrane defects.$^{19}$ In aluminium-free fluoride media, the concentration of lattice defects should also be low as indicated by research of Hensen et al.$^{20}$ However, the precursors, i.e. CHA seeds, utilized so far were too large to admit the growth of a thin intergrown membrane in fluoride media.

To prepare thin and aluminium-free CHA membranes entirely in fluoride media, Si-CHA zeolite nanocrystals, which are also prepared from fluoride media, are needed. The synthesis of such nanoparticles is a challenge due to the inhomogeneous nature of the fluoride synthesis gel.$^{21}$ However, in the present work, we successfully synthesised Si-CHA zeolite nanocrystals in fluoride media for the first time. In the next step, these crystals were used as seeds and enabled growth of very thin and highly permeable membranes by hydrothermal treatment in a fluoride synthesis gel.

**Experimental**

**Preparation of Si-CHA nanocrystals**

In the first step, CHA microcrystals were prepared by mixing distilled water, colloidal silica (Ludox AS-40), $N,N,N$-trimethyl-1-adamant ammonium hydroxide (TMAdaOH 25%, SACHEM, Inc.) and hydrofluoric acid (48%) in a PTFE bottle. After stirring overnight, part of the water was removed by freeze-drying to obtain a synthesis gel with a molar composition of 1.0SiO$_2$: 1.4TMAdaF: 9.4H$_2$O. Hydrothermal synthesis was then carried out at 175 °C for 1 day. Pure CHA microcrystals were obtained after centrifuging and washing by distilled water six times.

The CHA microcrystals were milled in DDI water using 3 mm glass beads in a glass bottle by shaking at 500 rpm for 1 day. In the next step, CHA nanocrystals were prepared using essentially the same procedure as for the preparation of Si-CHA microcrystals, except that a certain amount of milled CHA microcrystals dispersed in water was added to the synthesis gel before freeze-drying. The mass ratio between milled CHA microcrystals and SiO$_2$ in the gel was 1:3.9. After freeze-drying, the final molar composition of the gel used for growth of nanocrystals (apart from the milled CHA microcrystals) was the same as for the gel used for preparation of CHA microcrystals. Finally, CHA nanocrystals were obtained by hydrothermal treatment of the gel at 160 °C for 1 day. After repeated centrifugation and re-dispersion in water six times, a 1 wt% colloidal dispersion of CHA nanocrystals was prepared and the pH was adjusted to 10 by ammonia.

**Membrane preparation and characterisation**

The membranes were prepared as described in detail in a pending patent application.$^{21}$ Porous graded $z$-alumina discs (Fraunhofer IKTS, Germany) with a diameter of 25 mm were used as supports. The top layer of the disc was 30 μm thick with a pore size of 100 nm, and the base layer was 3 mm thick with a pore size of 3 μm. Before seeding, the colloidal dispersion of CHA nanocrystals was filtered through a 0.8 μm filter. The synthesis gel used for growth of the seed layer to a membrane was prepared in the same way and had the same molar composition as the gel used for the preparation of CHA microcrystals but the gel was aged at 60 °C for 6 h prior to use. The aged gel was poured into a PTFE-lined autoclave (30 ml) in which the seeded $z$-alumina support was placed on the bottom of the autoclave with the seeded side down. Film growth was carried out at 160 °C for 18 h. After synthesis, the membrane was rinsed in a 0.1 M aqueous NH$_3$ solution and then dried at 80 °C overnight. Finally, the organic template in the membranes was removed by calcination at 480 °C for 16 h at a heating rate of 0.2 °C min$^{-1}$ and a cooling rate of 0.3 °C min$^{-1}$.

The phase of the zeolite crystals and films was determined by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using a PANalytical Empyrean diffractometer equipped with a Cu LFF HR X-ray tube and a PIxcel$^{12}$ detector. During the measurement, the irradiated length was fixed to 1 mm by a variable divergence slit. The morphology and microstructure of the crystals and membranes was investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), using an FEI Magellan 400 field emission instrument. The samples were not coated prior to analysis.

**Single gas and mixed-gas separation experiments**

The membranes were mounted in stainless steel cells using graphite gaskets (Eriks, the Netherlands) for sealing. To evaluate the quality of the as-synthesized membranes, single gas He permeation experiments was carried out before calcination using 6 bar (absolute) feed pressure and atmospheric permeate pressure, i.e. a ΔP of 5 bar. Single gas permeation experiments of He and CO$_2$ at room temperature were carried out using 1.8 bar (absolute) feed pressure and atmospheric permeate pressure, i.e. a ΔP of 0.8 bar directly after calcination. Single gas CO$_2$ permeation experiments at room temperature were also carried out at different feed pressures and atmospheric permeate pressure after calcination.

Before separation experiments, the membranes were dried in a flow of helium at 300 °C for 6 h with a heating rate of 1 °C min$^{-1}$ and then allowed to cool naturally. For permeation experiments at sub-ambient temperatures, the cell was placed in a thermostated silicone oil bath. Permeation experiments were performed in a continuous flow mode using an equimolar CO$_2$/CH$_4$ gas mixture that was fed to the membrane using mass flow controllers. The retentate pressure was controlled by a back pressure regulator and the pressure on both sides of the membrane was monitored by pressure gauges. The feed pressure was 9 bar (absolute pressure) and the volumetric flow rate was 10 NL min$^{-1}$. The permeate was kept at atmospheric pressure and no sweep gas was used. Consequently, the pressure ratio, $\varphi = P_{\text{feed}}/P_{\text{perm}}$, was 9. The permeate flow was measured using a bubble flow meter, and the composition of feed and permeate streams was analysed online using a GC (490 Micro GC, Agilent).
Results and discussion

Fig. 1a shows a SEM image of as-synthesised CHA microcrystals. The crystals were ca. 20 μm in size, with a well-defined pseudocube habit, as reported previously for CHA crystals prepared in fluoride media. The XRD pattern for these crystals is shown in Fig. 2. The detected reflections were typical of the CHA framework, no other phase was present as evident from comparison with the reference pattern of K-exchanged aluminosilicate CHA indicated by bars. The shift of the reflections can be assigned to the aluminium free form of the zeolite crystals prepared in the present work as compared to the reference zeolite with an Si/Al ratio of 2. Fig. 1b shows a SEM image of the prepared Si-CHA nanocrystals. The size of the crystals is ranging from ca. 20 to 200 nm and again, the crystals display a well-defined pseudocube habit.

XRD data confirm that the nanocrystals are comprised of pure CHA phase, see Fig. 2. The lower intensities and broader reflections are a result of broadening due to small crystal size. The SEM image in Fig. 1c illustrates that CHA microcrystals with a size of ca. 3 μm also formed in the autoclave during membrane synthesis. The recovered CHA microcrystals could also be used for preparation of CHA nanocrystals, implying that our method offers a green synthesis route.

Fig. 3a shows a SEM image of the support seeded with Si-CHA nanocrystals. As the rounded alumina grains are barely visible, it can be concluded that the seed layer is a quite dense monolayer of CHA crystals. The XRD pattern of an as-synthesised CHA membrane (Fig. 2) shows that the film comprises only the CHA phase, i.e. with no presence of other zeolite or amorphous phases. The strong diffraction peak at $2\theta = 9.6^\circ$ shows that the CHA crystals in the film are weakly (100) oriented, which is in line with previous reports for other Si-CHA membranes. Consequently, crystals are preferentially oriented with pores running in the (100) family of directions across the membrane, i.e. perpendicular to the membrane surface.
Non-calcined as-synthesised CHA membranes contain TMAda template molecules blocking the zeolite pores. Accordingly, defect-free membranes are impermeable before removing the template by calcination. To evaluate the quality of the as-synthesised membranes, the single gas helium permeance was measured at 293 K and 5 bar feed pressure and atmospheric permeate pressure for 9 membranes. The helium permeance was very low in the range 0.5 to $6 \times 10^{-9}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ indicating that the membranes are essentially free from defects before calcination. After calcination, the morphology of the membranes was characterised by SEM. Top-view SEM images (see Fig. 3b) show that the film appears to be rather even with a total thickness of about 1.3 μm (see Fig. 3c). Moreover, the support was open and clean, no invasion could be observed.

Table 1 shows single gas permeances before and after calcination for four membranes prepared in the same batch. Very low helium permeances, in average $2.8 \times 10^{-9}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$, were observed before calcination, i.e. the membranes are essentially defect-free before removing template. After calcination, the average single gas helium permeance increases to about $34 \times 10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$. Meanwhile, the average CO$_2$ single gas permeance was as high as $122 \times 10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ at 1.8 bar (absolute) feed pressure and 1 bar (absolute) permeate pressure at room temperature. A previously reported SSZ-13 membrane displayed a single gas CO$_2$ permeance of approximately $3 \times 10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ at room temperature and 6 bar feed pressure and atmospheric pressure on permeate side. The very high permeance of the CHA membranes reported in the present work is a result of the graded support with low flow resistance and the thin zeolite film layer grown on a support without invasion as well as the low pressure difference ($\Delta P = 0.8$ bar) for the measurement, and also of the drying procedure. As reported for polymeric membranes, the drying process may have a significant effect on the separation performance. For zeolite membranes, adsorption of e.g. water from the ambient in the zeolite pores may reduce the CO$_2$ permeance. For non-dried membranes, about 50% lower CO$_2$ permeance was observed with similar separation factor, during mixture separation.

Fig. 4 shows the CO$_2$ single gas permeance and flux as well as CO$_2$/CH$_4$ ideal selectivity as a function of $\Delta P$ at atmospheric permeate pressure and room temperature for membrane M1. The highest observed CO$_2$ single gas permeance was $172 \times 10^{-7}$ mol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ Pa$^{-1}$ at the lowest investigated $\Delta P$ of 0.5 bar. As $\Delta P$ increase, i.e. the feed pressure increased, the permeance reduced somewhat, which indicates that the adsorbed concentration of CO$_2$ is not increasing proportionally to the feed pressure increase and also that the pressure drop over the support is increasing with increasing feed pressure and $\Delta P$. As the single gas CH$_4$ permeance was very low and almost constant (not shown), the ideal selectivity follows the same trend as the intergrown zeolite crystals. No defects such as pinholes or cracks in the membrane could be observed. The cross-sectional SEM image show that the film appears to be rather even with a total thickness of about 1.3 μm (see Fig. 3c). Moreover, the support was open and clean, no invasion could be observed.
The single gas CO\(_2\) permeance and is decreasing with increasing \(\Delta P\). The CO\(_2\) flux increases with increasing \(\Delta P\). The highest CO\(_2\) flux was 5.5 mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) at a \(\Delta P\) of 4.5 bar. However, the flux did not increase proportionally to \(\Delta P\); as \(\Delta P\) increased from 0.5 to 4.5 bar, nine times, the flux only increased from 1.03 to 5.49 mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\), only around five times. Again, this can be ascribed to that the adsorbed concentration of CO\(_2\) is not increasing proportionally to the feed pressure increase and also that the pressure drop over the support is increasing with increasing feed pressure and \(\Delta P\).

The average separation selectivity for equimolar CO\(_2/\)CH\(_4\) gas mixture for four CHA membranes prepared using the same method but in 4 batches was 25 with a standard deviation of 8 at room temperature. The best membrane was further investigated and all other data was recorded for this membrane. Fig. 5 illustrates the measured membrane separation selectivity and permeance as a function of temperature with experimental error indicated at 293 K. The membranes were CO\(_2\)-selective in the entire studied temperature range. The separation selectivity increased with decreasing temperature most likely due to both increased CO\(_2/\)CH\(_4\) adsorption selectivity and increased blocking of defects by capillary condensation of CO\(_2\) at lower temperatures.
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**Fig. 4** (a) CO\(_2\) single gas permeance and flux, (b) CO\(_2/\)CH\(_4\) ideal selectivity as a function of \(\Delta P\), i.e. the pressure difference between the feed side and permeate side, moreover the absolute pressure on the permeate side was kept at 1 bar.

**Fig. 5** Equimolar CO\(_2/\)CH\(_4\) gas mixture separation results at feed pressure of 9 bar and permeate pressure of 1 bar. (a) CO\(_2/\)CH\(_4\) separation selectivity as a function of temperature; (b) CO\(_2\) and CH\(_4\) permeances as a function of temperature and (c) comparison with data from SSZ-13 and SAPO-34 membranes reported by other groups.\(^{16,18,27,28}\) Data within the dotted oval are results from the present work. The points with error bars indicate the average and standard deviation for four membranes prepared using the same method but in 4 batches measured at room temperature and the best membrane was selected for the measurement at different temperatures.
Table 2. CO₂ flux, permeate concentration and CO₂/CH₄ separation factors observed at various temperatures for the best membrane. Average and standard deviation for four membranes prepared using the same method but in 4 batches measured at 293 K given within brackets.

| T (K) | CO₂ flux (mol m⁻² s⁻¹) | CO₂ permeate concentration (mol%) | CH₄ permeate concentration (mol%) | CO₂/CH₄ membrane separation factor |
|-------|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 318   | 2.45                    | 94.74                            | 5.26                            | 18                               |
| 306   | 2.61                    | 95.32                            | 4.77                            | 20                               |
| 295   | 2.77 (2.67 ± 0.6)       | 96.23                            | 3.77                            | 26 (20 ± 6)                      |
| 276   | 2.97                    | 97.35                            | 2.65                            | 37                               |
| 263   | 2.84                    | 97.88                            | 2.12                            | 46                               |
| 255   | 2.83                    | 98.18                            | 1.82                            | 54                               |
| 249   | 2.78                    | 98.35                            | 1.65                            | 60                               |

Average and standard deviation at about 293 K measured for four CHA membranes prepared using the same method but in 4 batches.

Fig. 5b shows that the CO₂ permeance was very high in the studied temperature range, and passed through a maximum value at 276 K. The highest observed CO₂ permeance during mixture separation was 84 × 10⁻⁷ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ with a CO₂/CH₄ separation selectivity of 47 at the same temperature. The mixture CO₂ permeance was also as high as 78 × 10⁻⁷ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ at room temperature. To the best of our knowledge, these CO₂ permeances are the highest reported for CHA membranes at the similar conditions. However, this permeance is much lower than the single gas permeance of 122 × 10⁻⁷ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹, measured at comparable conditions, at a feed pressure of 4.5 bar during single permeation, i.e. the same as the partial pressure of CO₂ of 4.5 bar on feed side during mixture separation. This is likely due to the influence of CH₄ on the transport of CO₂ through the membrane by competitive adsorption with CO₂. Fig. 5c summarizes the best CO₂/CH₄ separation data reported for CHA membrane in the literature and the separation data obtained in the present work. Obviously, the membranes reported in the present work display very high permeance, while the separation selectivity is comparable to previous reports for the best SSZ-13 and SAPO-34 zeolite membranes, but lower than Zhou et al., that reported a SSZ-13 membrane with a selectivity of 300. The observed CO₂ permeances for the CHA membranes in the present work are comparable with our previous reported high-flux MFI membrane, but with 8 times higher separation factor at similar test conditions. In addition, polymeric membranes usually show comparable selectivities of 10–100 for CO₂/CH₄ separation, however the CO₂ permeances are normally lower than 1000 GPU, i.e. lower than 3.35 × 10⁻⁷ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹.

Table 2 shows the CO₂ fluxes, the concentration of CO₂ and CH₄ in the permeate stream and the CO₂/CH₄ separation factor with average and standard deviation at room temperature for four CHA membranes prepared using the same method but in 4 batches. The latter term denotes the ratio of CO₂ and CH₄ concentration in the permeate stream over the same ratio in the feed. In the entire temperature range, the observed CO₂ flux was very high, i.e. 2.45–2.97 mol m⁻² s⁻¹, corresponding to 388–470 kg m⁻² h⁻¹ although the partial pressure difference of CO₂ across the membrane was relatively low at about 350 kPa. At room temperature, the average separation factor was 20 with a standard deviation of 6 for four CHA membranes and the best separation factor was 26 with a CO₂ flux of 2.77 mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (438 kg m⁻² h⁻¹), which was significantly higher than that for our MFI membranes with a separation factor of 3.5 and a flux of 300 kg m⁻² h⁻¹. It was also more than 175 times higher than that (2.5 kg m⁻² h⁻¹) reported for the highly CO₂-selective SAPO-34 zeolite membranes at similar experimental conditions.

Conclusions
In the present work, high-flux CHA membranes with a thickness of ca. 1.3 µm were synthesized from Si-CHA nanocrystals with much smaller size (20–200 nm) than previously reported using fluoride as mineralizing agent for the first time. The membranes displayed a very high performance for separation of equimolar CO₂/CH₄ mixtures with the highest observed CO₂ mixture permeance of 84 × 10⁻⁷ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ Pa⁻¹ and a separation selectivity of 47 at 9 bar feed pressure, atmospheric permeate pressure and 276 K. The separation selectivity was comparable with that reported for other high-flux CHA membranes, nevertheless with much higher CO₂ permeance and flux to that reported previously at comparable test conditions.
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