Introducing HIJING++: the Heavy Ion Monte Carlo Generator for the High-Luminosity LHC Era
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Beyond 2025 we will enter the High-Luminosity era of the LHC, right after the upgrades of the third Long Shutdown of the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). The ongoing state-of-the-art experimental instrument upgrades require high-performance simulation support in the background, that is modern, robust, and comes with long term support. The original FORTRAN based HIJING (Heavy Ion Jet INteraction Generator) has been used intensively since almost three decades by the high energy physics and heavy-ion community. However, it is getting overly challenging to conform to these new requirements. Our novel Monte Carlo event generator, the HIJING++ is the successor of the old FORTRAN version containing all the physics that its predecessor have. Moreover, among others a flexible module handling layer and an analysis interface is also introduced. This latter supports the most popular event container formats such as simple ascii, ROOT and the HepMC format, together with RIVET support. In this paper we compare the pre-release results of HIJING++ with proton-proton experimental data and Pythia8 calculations.

PACS: 24.10.Lx, 25.75.-q, 25.75.Ag, 25.75.Dw
1. Introduction

The main motivation during the development of HIJING++ [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] is to obtain an event generator of high-energy proton-proton and heavy-ion collisions that is easily maintainable, extendible and works effectively with high throughput. To achieve this, we built up HIJING++ from scratch and performed an initial tune of the parameters for RHIC and LHC energies. In this way it was possible to design a framework that is powerful not just computationally, but thanks to modern C++ techniques also has an effective analysis interface with multiple compatible formats. Among a simple ascii and the popular ROOT [6] formats the interface incorporates also the HepMC [7] event format which is a de facto standard in High Energy Physics. In this contribution we present the first consistent results for pseudorapidity and transverse momentum distribution at LHC energies using RIVET [8] analyses.

2. Run settings

The main input parameters for HIJING++ can be listed in command cards familiar from Pythia8. These include the kinematical parameters like collision energy, the type of the target and projectile (proton-proton in this contribution) and the PDF sets to be used. For this latter during the ongoing tuning we have chosen the nCTEQ15 sets that are available in the LHAPDF6 framework [9, 10]. Our argument for these (nuclear) PDF sets is mainly practical: it is a comprehensive parametrization for all common heavy and light nuclei including the protons as well, where we don’t expect any nuclear effects to play role. We checked this assumption also with other, purely proton PDFs like CT14nlo [11]. We have found that the HIJING++ results using the two different PDF sets are consistent, therefore we decided to use the one that has extensions also for heavier nuclei. For the Pythia8 [12] comparisons we used the Monash 2013 tune [13].

The pre-release version of HIJING++ is already capable to reproduce a variety of experimental results without any further artificial post-tuning. The HepMC event format also provides a possibility to use RIVET for analyzing the output in a standardized way, fine tune the physically irrelevant numerical parameters of the Monte Carlo event generator and validate the results more effectively. In the following section we present the RIVET results based on ALICE publications [14, 15, 16].

3. Results

3.1 Pseudorapidity distributions

On Figure 1 the pseudorapidity distributions of charged hadrons in proton-proton collisions at \( \sqrt{s} = 0.9 \) TeV, 2.36 TeV and 7 TeV are plotted (top left, middle and right panel respectively) [14]. On the bottom panels the Monte Carlo over data ratios can be seen. At the lowest and highest collision energies the current pre-release HIJING++ results describe better the experimental multiplicity than Pythia8 with the default settings, while at \( \sqrt{s} = 2.36 \) TeV the results of the two event generators are almost the same. Although the current HIJING++ is still the pre-release version with the tuning is currently ongoing, the pseudorapidity distributions are already compatible with the experimental results within \( \sim 4\% \) precision.
Despite the differences between the identified particle ratios, the increasing tendency of the Monte Carlo results is slower. The best agreement is around the midrapidity region at √s = 7 TeV energy. For the η particles, the Pythia8 calculations are closer to the experimental measurements, the HIJING++ underestimates the yield. The agreement is the best at the mid-√s region at √s = 7 TeV, where the HIJING++ results lie within ∼10% of the experimental data.

On Figures 3 the ratio of the identified η and π⁰ particles measured at midrapidity at √s = 7 TeV and √s = 7 TeV center-of-mass energies are shown along with the Monte Carlo over data ratios. Despite the differences between the identified π⁰ and η yields of HIJING++ and Pythia8, the ratios show strong similarities both in √s = 2.76 and 7 TeV energies. For both event generators the agreement with the experimental measurements is well within ∼10% at the low- and high-√s region at √s = 2.76 TeV, while at the mid-√s around p_T = 5 GeV/c the theoretical calculations are flatter than the ALICE results. At √s = 7 TeV the experimental points going to the 0.5 value faster, the increasing tendency of the Monte Carlo results is slower. The best agreement is around p_T ∼ 3 GeV/c, where the data-MC disagreement is only a few %.

4. Summary and outlook

In this paper we presented the theoretical calculations of the pre-release version of the new HIJING++ Monte Carlo particle event generator. We compared the pseudorapidity and p_T distributions of charged and identified hadrons with experimental proton-proton measurements and Pythia8 results using the HepMC format and RIVET analyses. We showed that although the fine-tuning of HIJING++ is currently ongoing, the current version already has a good agreement.
Figure 2: Spectra of identified $\pi^0$ (left panel) and $\eta$ (right panel) particles at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV (top panels) and 7 TeV (bottom panels) in proton-proton collisions [15, 16].

with experimental proton-proton data. The present calculations show, that despite the $p_T$ spectra of identified $\pi^0$ and $\eta$ hadrons differ substantially in HIJING++ and Pythia8, their ratios are well in agreement both at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV and 7 TeV center-of-mass energies.
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