On the Unruh effect in de Sitter space
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Abstract

We give an interpretation of the temperature in de Sitter universe in terms of a dynamical Unruh effect associated with the Hubble sphere. As with the quantum noise perceived by a uniformly accelerated observer in static space-times, observers endowed with a proper motion can in principle detect the effect. In particular, we study a “Kodama observer” as a two-field Unruh detector for which we show the effect is approximately thermal. We also estimate the back-reaction of the emitted radiation and find trajectories associated with the Kodama vector field are stable.
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1 Introduction

In Ref. [1], Kodama introduced a vector field which generalizes the notion of time-like Killing vectors to space-times with dynamical horizons \textsuperscript{1}. Recently many authors have focused their attention on the Kodama vector field and found interesting results related to the measurement of the Hawking radiation emitted by different kinds of dynamical horizons [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] or time-like naked singularities [15].

Here we present a more “physical” process of measurement in which the detector has finite mass and extension [16], and interacts with a massless scalar field like in Ref. [17]. The background is a de Sitter universe and the horizon is provided by its exponential expansion. The Kodama observer does not follow a cosmic fluid geodesic, but remains at constant proper distance from the horizon, and is thus “accelerated” with respect to locally inertial observers. Consequently, it perceives the de Sitter vacuum as a thermal bath with a temperature associated with the surface gravity of the Hubble sphere, much like a uniformly accelerated detector in Minkowski space-time measures a temperature associated with its acceleration. As usual, such result is obtained from the transition probability for the detector to emit a (scalar) radiation quantum and increase its energy. Further, assuming finite detector’s size and mass, we show that the Kodama trajectory remains stable during this process.

We shall use the metric signature $(+−−−)$ and implement units where \(c = G = k_B = 1\).
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\textsuperscript{1}For a clarification of the differences between Kodama and Killing vectors, see Ref. [2]. For the ability of Kodama vectors to define a local time flow, see Ref. [3]
2 Kodama observer in de Sitter space

We recall the de Sitter metric for an observer comoving with the cosmic fluid at constant $r$ reads

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - e^{2Ht} \left(dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega \right).$$

(2.1)

We are here interested in an observer at fixed areal position which moves along the trajectory

$$r e^{Ht} = K,$$

(2.2)

with $K$ constant. This observer stays at fixed distance from its cosmological horizon and its four-velocity is given by

$$u^\mu = \frac{k^\mu}{\sqrt{1 - H^2 K^2}},$$

(2.3)

where $k^\mu = (1, -Hr, 0, 0)$ is the Kodama vector related to the surface gravity of the de Sitter horizon

$$2\pi T_H = K^{-1}|_{K=H^{-1}} = H.$$

(2.4)

We also note the observer’s four-acceleration is

$$a^\mu = \left( \frac{H^3 K^2}{1 - H^2 K^2}, -\frac{H^2 K e^{-Ht}}{1 - H^2 K^2}, 0, 0 \right),$$

(2.5)

with modulus

$$A^2 = a^\mu a_\mu = -\frac{H^4 K^2}{1 - H^2 K^2}.$$

(2.6)

One can also introduce coordinates naturally associated with the Kodama detector, namely $K$ from Eq. (2.2) and $T$, so that the metric reads

$$ds^2 = (1 - H^2 K^2) dT^2 + 2H K dT dK - K^2 d\Omega^2,$$

(2.7)

which shows that the Kodama vector $k = \partial/\partial T$ is a Killing vector.

3 Particle detectors

The concept of particle becomes rather involved once gravity and curved space-times are taken into account [18]. In the following, we shall therefore employ the particle detector proposed by Unruh [19] and DeWitt [20], which can be described as a quantum mechanical particle with energy levels linearly coupled to a massless scalar field via a monopole moment operator.

3.1 Point-like detectors

Let us consider a massless scalar field $\hat{\phi}$ with Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_\phi$ obeying the massless Klein-Gordon equation with dispersion relation $\omega_k = |k|$. The point-like detector is a quantum mechanical system with a set of energy eigenstates $\{|E_i\rangle\}$ which moves along a prescribed classical trajectory $t = t(\tau), \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}(\tau)$, where $\tau$ is the detector’s proper time. The detector is coupled to the scalar field $\hat{\phi}$ via the interaction Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\text{int}} = \lambda \hat{M}(\tau)\hat{\phi}(\tau),$$

(3.1)

with $\lambda$ a small parameter and $\hat{M}(\tau)$ the detector’s monopole moment operator.

Suppose that at time $\tau_0$ the detector and field are in the product state $|0, E_0\rangle = |0\rangle |E_0\rangle$, where $|0\rangle$ is the scalar field vacuum and $|E_0\rangle$ a detector state with energy $E_0$ [21]. We want the probability that at
A later time $\tau_1 > \tau_0$ the detector is found in the state $|E_1\rangle$ with energy $E_1 \gtrsim E_0$, regardless of the final state of the field $\hat{\phi}$. The answer can be readily obtained in the so-called interaction picture where states are evolved by the Schrödinger equation with the interaction Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_{\text{int}}$. To first order in perturbation theory, the amplitude for the transition from $|0, E_0\rangle$ at time $\tau = \tau_0$ to $|\varphi, E_1\rangle$ at time $\tau = \tau_1$ is then

$$\langle \varphi, E_1 | 0, E_0 \rangle = \langle \varphi, E_1 | \hat{T} \exp \left( -i \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau \hat{H}_{\text{int}}(\tau) \right) | 0, E_0 \rangle$$

$$\simeq -i \lambda \langle E_1 | \hat{M}(0) | E_0 \rangle \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau e^{i \tau (E_1 - E_0)} \langle \varphi | \hat{\phi}(\tau) | 0 \rangle .$$  \tag{3.2}

where $\hat{T}$ is the time-ordering operator. Squaring (3.2) and summing over all final field states, yields

$$\sum_{\varphi} |\langle \varphi, E_1 | 0, E_0 \rangle|^2 = \lambda^2 |\langle E_1 | \hat{M}(0) | E_0 \rangle|^2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau' e^{-i(E_1 - E_0)(\tau - \tau')} \langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(\tau) \hat{\phi}(\tau') | 0 \rangle .$$  \tag{3.3}

The pre-factor $\lambda^2 |\langle E_1 | \hat{M}(0) | E_0 \rangle|^2$ depends only on the peculiar details of the detector, whereas the response function

$$R_{\tau_0, \tau_1}(\Delta E) = \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} d\tau' e^{-i\Delta E(\tau - \tau')} \langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(\tau) \hat{\phi}(\tau') | 0 \rangle$$  \tag{3.4}

is the same for all possible detectors. Here, we have set the energy gap $\Delta E \equiv E_1 - E_0 \gtrsim 0$ for excitations or decay, respectively. From now on, we will only consider the model-independent response function.

Introducing new coordinates $u := \tau$, $s := \tau - \tau'$ for $\tau > \tau'$ and $u := \tau'$, $s := \tau' - \tau$ for $\tau' > \tau$, the response function can be re-written as

$$R_{\tau_0, \tau_1}(\Delta E) = 2 \int_{\tau_0}^{\tau_1} du \int_{0}^{u - \tau_0} ds \text{ Re} \left( e^{-i\Delta E s} \langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(u) \hat{\phi}(u - s) | 0 \rangle \right) ,$$  \tag{3.5}

having used $\langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(\tau') \hat{\phi}(\tau) | 0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(\tau) \hat{\phi}(\tau') | 0 \rangle^* $, since $\hat{\phi}$ is a self-adjoint operator. Eq. (3.5) can be differentiated with respect to $\tau_1$ in order to obtain the transition rate

$$\dot{R}_{\tau_0, \tau}(\Delta E) = 2 \int_{0}^{\tau - \tau_0} ds \text{ Re} \left( e^{-i\Delta E s} \langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(\tau) \hat{\phi}(\tau - s) | 0 \rangle \right) ,$$  \tag{3.6}

where we set $\tau_1 \equiv \tau$. If the correlation function $\langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(\tau) \hat{\phi}(\tau - s) | 0 \rangle$ is invariant under $\tau$-translations, Eq. (3.6) can be finally simplified to

$$\dot{R}_{\tau_0, \tau}(\Delta E) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{-(\tau - \tau_0)}^{\tau - \tau_0} ds e^{-i\Delta E s} W_e(x(s), x(0)) .$$  \tag{3.7}

where

$$W_e(x(\tau), x(\tau')) \equiv \langle 0 | \hat{\phi}(x(\tau)) \hat{\phi}(x(\tau')) | 0 \rangle = \frac{1/4\pi^2}{|x(\tau) - x(\tau')|^2 - |t(\tau) - t(\tau') - i\epsilon|^2}$$  \tag{3.8}

is the positive frequency Wightman function.

### 3.2 Unruh effect in de Sitter universe

Let us apply the above construction to Kodama detectors in de Sitter space-time. Since Kodama observers are just the stationary de Sitter observers at constant distance from their cosmological horizon, we know that they will perceive a thermal bath at de Sitter temperature $[22]$

$$T_H = \frac{H}{2\pi} .$$  \tag{3.9}
We can confirm this expectation by noting that de Sitter space is conformally flat with conformal time \( \eta = -H^{-1}e^{-H t} \). Then, provided \( 1 - K^2 H^2 > 0 \), the Wightman function (3.8) becomes

\[
W(x,x') = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2} \frac{H^2}{e^{H(t+t')}} \frac{1}{(1 - K^2 H^2)(e^{-H t} - e^{-H t'} - i\epsilon)^2},
\]

and, in the limit of \( \tau_0 \to -\infty \), the detector transition rate (3.7) reads

\[
\dot{R}(\Delta E) = -\frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ds \exp(-i\Delta E \sqrt{1 - K^2 H^2} s) \left( e^{H s/2} - e^{-H s/2} - i\epsilon \right)^2,
\]

where we also used

\[
\tau = t \sqrt{1 - K^2 H^2}.
\]

As long as \( H > 0 \) (expanding universe) we can write the denominator as

\[
\left( e^{H s/2} - e^{-H s/2} - i\epsilon \right)^2 = 4 \sinh^2 \left( \frac{H (s - i\epsilon)/2}{2} \right).
\]

This function has infinitely many double poles in the complex \( s \)-plane, namely for \( s = s_j \) with

\[
s_j = \frac{2\pi i}{H} j, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

Since we are interested in the case of \( \Delta E > 0 \), we can close the contour of integration in the lower half plane, summing over the residues of all the double poles in the lower complex \( s \)-plane, with the exception of the \( s = 0 \) pole which has been slightly displaced above the integration path by the \( i\epsilon \)-prescription. The well known result turns out to be confirmed, namely

\[
\dot{R}(\Delta E) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{\Delta E \sqrt{1 - K^2 H^2}}{\exp \left( 2\pi \Delta E \sqrt{1 - K^2 H^2} \right) - 1},
\]

showing the presence of a cosmological Unruh effect with de Sitter temperature (3.9) red-shifted by the Tolman factor \( \sqrt{1 - K^2 H^2} \), here appearing as a Doppler shift due to the proper motion of the detector. In fact, we remarked before that \( K \) is also the static coordinate of the detector relative to the static patch.

Another intriguing formula can be written if we recall the acceleration (2.6), namely

\[
\frac{T_H}{\sqrt{1 - K^2 H^2}} = \frac{\sqrt{A^2 + H^2}}{2\pi}.
\]

The observed temperature here appears due to a mixing of a pure Unruh effect (the acceleration term) plus a cosmological expansion term (the \( H \) term), and is the de Sitter version [23] of a formula discovered by Deser and Levine for detectors in anti-de Sitter space [24].

Alternatively, we can understand this effect as the transition from cosmological energy \( \Delta E \), conjugated to cosmic de Sitter time \( t \), to the energy \( \Delta E \sqrt{1 - K^2 H^2} \) as measured locally by Kodama’s observers, with proper time \( \tau \) of Eq. (3.12).

### 3.3 Extended detector and back-reaction

We now repeat the previous analysis assuming the detector’s size is not a priori negligible. In order to simplify the computation, we still assume spherical symmetry and the detector therefore only extends along one dimension. We will not display all the details but focus on the main differences.

It is easy to see that a detector moving along a Kodama trajectory (2.2) in an expanding de Sitter universe, has the same dynamics of a particle which moves along the separatrix in the potential of an
From the equation of motion (2.2), we can therefore introduce the effective Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{ihO}} = \frac{m}{2} \left( \frac{d^2 r}{dt^2} + H^2 r^2 \right), \quad (3.17)$$

where \(m\) is a parameter with mass dimension whose relation with physical quantities will be clarified later. Our detector is initially (at \(t = 0\)) represented by a Gaussian wave packet of size \(b\) peaked around \(r = K\),

$$\psi(0, r) = \exp \left[ -i \frac{H K m r}{\hbar} - \frac{(r - K)^2}{2 b^2} \right], \quad (3.18)$$

which is then propagated to later times by the propagator obtained from the Lagrangian (3.17) (see, e.g., Ref. [16]),

$$G(t, r; 0, r') = \sqrt{\frac{i H m}{2 \pi \hbar \sinh(H t)}} \exp \left[ \frac{H m [(r'^2 - r^2) \cosh(H t) - 2 r r']}{2 \hbar \sinh(H t)} \right]. \quad (3.19)$$

The complete expression of the detector’s propagated wavefunction is rather cumbersome, however we notice that its square modulus reads

$$|\psi(t, r)|^2 \simeq \exp \left[ \frac{-2 b^2 H^2 m^2 (r - K e^{-H t})^2}{b^4 H^2 m^2 - \hbar^2 + (2 b^2 H^2 m^2) \cosh(H t)} \right], \quad (3.20)$$

which represents a Gaussian wave-packet peaked along the classical trajectory (2.2) employed in Sections 3.1-3.2 and spreading in time. The classical behavior is properly recovered in the limit \(\hbar \to 0\) followed by \(b \to 0\) [16], in which the detector’s wavefunction \(\psi(r, t)\) reproduces the usual Dirac \(\delta\)-function peaked on the classical trajectory.

Now, in order to study the probability for the detector to absorb a scalar quantum and make a transition between two different trajectories (parameterized by different \(m_i\) and \(K_i\)), one needs to compute the transition amplitude for finite \(b\) and \(\hbar\) (otherwise the result would automatically vanish, the response function involving the product of two Dirac \(\delta\)’s peaked on different trajectories). The detector also interacts with the quantized scalar field \(\varphi = \varphi(t, r)\) according to

$$L_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{2} Q \left( \psi_2^* \psi_1 + \psi_1^* \psi_2 \right) \varphi, \quad (3.21)$$

where \(Q\) is a coupling constant and \(\psi_i = \psi_i(t, r)\) two possible states of the detector corresponding to different trajectories \(r_i = K_i e^{-H t}\) and mass parameters \(m_i\). We assume the difference between the two states is small,

$$\begin{align*}
K_1 &= K - \frac{1}{2} \delta K \\
K_2 &= K + \frac{1}{2} \delta K
\end{align*} \quad \begin{align*}
m_1 &= m - \frac{1}{2} \delta m \\
m_2 &= m + \frac{1}{2} \delta m
\end{align*} \quad (3.22)$$

and expand to leading order in \(\delta K\) and \(\delta m\) and, subsequently, for short times (\(H t \sim H t' \ll 1\), keeping \(\hbar\) and \(b\) finite. In particular, one obtains

$$\psi_2^* \psi_1(t) \psi_1^* \psi_2(t') \simeq \exp \left[ -i \frac{H^2 K^2}{\hbar} \frac{\delta m (t - t')}{} + O(b) \right], \quad (3.23)$$

A fundamental difference with respect to the Unruh effect analyzed in Ref. [17] is that the acceleration parameter \(H\) is not varied here, since it is a property of the background space-time. A change \(\delta K\) implies a change in the detector’s acceleration according to Eq. (2.6).
in which we have evaluated the phase (in the saddle-point approximation) with \( r \) along the average trajectory between \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) [17]. Like the Unruh detector in Ref. [17], the above exponential does not contain a real (quadratic in \( \delta K \)) part, contrary to the case of a geodetic observer [see Eq. (A.5) in Appendix A], which implies that the transition amplitude will not vanish in the point-like limit \( b \to 0 \). Upon comparing with the result obtained for the point-like case, we immediately recognize that

\[
H^2 K^2 = E \sqrt{1 - H^2 K^2} ,
\]

where \( E \) is the detector’s proper energy and

\[
\psi_2^* \psi_1(t) \psi_1^* \psi_2(t') \simeq \exp \left[ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \delta E \sqrt{1 - H^2 K^2} (t - t') + \frac{i}{\hbar} \frac{2 - 3H^2 K^2}{K \sqrt{1 - K^2 H^2}} E \delta K (t - t') + O(b) \right] .
\]

We can now take the limit \( b \to 0 \), as part of the point-like limit in which one would not expect the second term in the above exponential. In Ref. [17], we required the analogue of the second term above vanished and obtained the equation of motion for a uniformly accelerated detector in Minkowski space-time, namely \( ma = f \) and constant. Following the same line of reasoning, we now obtain the equation of motion

\[
\delta K = 0 .
\]

This can be interpreted as meaning the Kodama trajectory is stable against thermal emission of scalar quanta in the de Sitter background.

The transition probability per unit de Sitter time can finally be computed by taking the classical limit, in which one recovers the same result in Eq. (3.15) with \( \Delta E = \delta E \).

4 Conclusions

We considered an expanding de Sitter universe that is inflating exponentially and studied the dynamics of an observer as an object which is collapsing towards the Hubble sphere. This is the “Kodama observer” placed at \( K = r e^{H t} \) constant, and its radial velocity (2.3) is in fact negative which means that the observer is moving towards decreasing radii. In particular, the observer’s motion is described by an inverted harmonic potential and involves the negative radial acceleration in Eq. (2.5). We can picture our observer/detector in spherical coordinates as a “shell” with a “density” profile \( |\psi|^2 \) peaked on the average radius \( r \) [see Eq. (3.20)] which sees the universe becoming less dense (in time) but in a homogenous way (in space). Of course, the detector’s proper time \( \tau \) in Eq. (3.12) differs from the cosmological time \( t \) for an observer comoving with the cosmic fluid.

The detector interacts with a scalar field so that it can absorb or emit quanta and change its proper mass (energy). Indeed, the Unruh effect is given by the simultaneous emission of a scalar quantum and detector’s excitation. We therefore considered the transition between two states of the detector corresponding to two different trajectories associated with different energies and values of \( K \) and obtained the transition rate (3.15) in the point-like limit. Our result shows the expected thermal behavior (3.9) associated with the trajectory’s stability (3.26).

In summary, we studied the response of a Kodama detector moving along trajectories at constant distance from the Hubble sphere of the de Sitter universe and found that it perceives a thermal noise associated with the emission of scalar quanta. We also estimated the back-reaction of the emitted radiation and showed trajectories associated with the Kodama vector fields are stable. This represents a novel semiclassical property of the (classically defined) Kodama vector.

A Extended detectors in flat space-time

In order to clarify the analysis of the extended Kodama detector in de Sitter space, let us review the case of a detector moving along a geodesic in flat space-time. Without loss of generality, we consider a detector
at fixed $x = 0$ in a Minkowskian coordinate system $\{t, x, y, z\}$ which, for simplicity, extends only along the axis $x$. This case can also be interpreted as a detector comoving with the cosmic fluid in a general Friedmann-Robertson-Walker metric by replacing $x$ with the radial comoving coordinate $r$.

The initial wavefunction for such a detector is now

$$\psi(0, x) = \frac{\exp \left[ -\frac{x^2}{2b^2} \right]}{\sqrt{b\sqrt{\pi}}}, \quad (A.1)$$

and is propagated in time by the free particle propagator

$$G(t, x; 0, x') = \sqrt{\frac{m}{2\pi i \hbar(t-t')}} \exp \left[ i \frac{m(x^2 - x'^2)}{2\hbar(t-t')} \right], \quad (A.2)$$

which yields

$$\psi(t, x) = \sqrt{\frac{bm}{i\sqrt{\hbar(t-i\hbar m)}}} \exp \left[ -\frac{m x^2}{2(b^2 m + i\hbar t)} \right], \quad (A.3)$$

As before, we now consider the transition between two detectors with slightly different positions and mass,

$$\begin{align*}
x_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} \delta x \\
x_2 &= \frac{1}{2} \delta x
\end{align*}, \quad \begin{align*}
m_1 &= m - \frac{1}{2} \delta m \\
m_2 &= m + \frac{1}{2} \delta m
\end{align*}, \quad (A.4)$$

and expand to leading order in $\delta x$ and $\delta m$, keeping $\hbar$ and $b$ finite. In particular, by expanding in $\hbar$ for $b$ fixed, one obtains

$$\psi_2^* \psi_1(t) \psi_1^* \psi_2(t') \simeq \exp \left[ -\frac{\delta x^2}{4b^2} + i \frac{\hbar t \delta x^2 \delta m}{8b^4 m^2} + O(\hbar^2) \right], \quad (A.5)$$

in which we have evaluated the phase for $x$ along the average trajectory between $x_1$ and $x_2$ (that is, $x = 0$). Note that the first term in the exponential generates a Dirac-$\delta(\delta x)$ which therefore forces the transition to occur at $\delta x = 0$ as one would expect for a geodetic motion with no emission or absorption. In fact, the condition $\delta m = 0$ is ensured by the Wightman’s function evaluated along geodesics. Further, no terms proportional to $1/\hbar$ appear at all and, in particular, none analogous to the second one in Eq. (3.25).
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