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Predictors of Coronary Artery Calcium and Long-Term Risks of Death, Myocardial Infarction, and Stroke in Young Adults

Aamir Javaid, BS; Joshua D. Mitchell, MD, MSCI; Todd C. Villines, MD

BACKGROUND: Coronary artery calcium (CAC) is well-validated for cardiovascular disease risk stratification in middle to older-aged adults; however, the 2019 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association guidelines state that more data are needed regarding the performance of CAC in low-risk younger adults.

METHODS AND RESULTS: We measured CAC in 13,397 patients aged 30 to 49 years without known cardiovascular disease or malignancy between 1997 and 2009. Outcomes of myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE; MI, stroke, or cardiovascular death), and all-cause mortality were assessed using Cox proportional hazard models, controlling for baseline risk factors (including atrial fibrillation for stroke and MACE) and the competing risk of death or non-cardiac death as appropriate. The cohort (74% men, mean age 44 years, and 76% with ≤1 cardiovascular disease risk factor) had a 20.6% prevalence of any CAC. CAC was independently predicted by age, male sex, White race, and cardiovascular disease risk factors. Over a mean of 11 years of follow-up, the relative adjusted subhazard ratio of CAC >0 was 2.9 for MI and 1.6 for MACE. CAC >100 was associated with significantly increased hazards of MI (adjusted subhazard ratio, 5.2), MACE (adjusted subhazard ratio, 3.1), stroke (adjusted subhazard ratio, 1.7), and all-cause mortality (hazard ratio, 2.1). CAC significantly improved the prognostic accuracy of risk factors for MACE, MI, and all-cause mortality by the likelihood ratio test (P<0.05).

CONCLUSIONS: CAC was prevalent in a large sample of low-risk young adults. Those with any CAC had significantly higher long-term hazards of MACE and MI, while severe CAC increased hazards for all outcomes including death. CAC may have utility for clinical decision-making among select young adults.
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Multiple cardiovascular guidelines recommend use of 10-year absolute atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk estimates to guide treatment and allocation of preventive therapies.1,2 Because these scores rely heavily on patient age, nearly all young adults (younger than 50 years) are estimated to have a low 10-year ASCVD risk, even those who have nonoptimal risk factors.3 Consequently, young adults are often not offered or adherent to preventive treatments despite the possibilities of subclinical atherosclerosis and elevated lifetime risk.4 The need for a clinical decision-making tool that identifies younger individuals at elevated cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk has led to interest in coronary artery calcification (CAC) as a direct marker of coronary artery disease.

The 2019 American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association (ACC/AHA) guidelines for primary prevention of ASCVD recommend CAC scoring for further risk assessment in borderline to intermediate-risk individuals—typically middle-aged adults—in whom management is uncertain.1,5 Although...
most studies of CAC have focused on the yield of testing middle to older-aged adults, the utility of CAC in younger populations is still not clear. The few studies in young adults examining the relationship between CAC and disease outcomes have been limited by small sample sizes, short duration of follow-up, or lack of cause-specific mortality, or were conducted in selected populations with a high prevalence of CVD risk factors. In response to increasing interest in CAC for risk assessment of young adults, we sought to provide data from the Walter Reed Cohort, a relatively healthy, young, low-risk population, to help further elucidate the potential role of CAC in identification of young adults at elevated risk, so that preventive measures might be employed to alter their atherosclerotic trajectories and long-term risks for CVD.

METHODS

Study Population
The Walter Reed Cohort is a study of 31,303 low-risk adult patients (13,397 patients aged 30–49 years) who underwent CAC testing at Walter Reed Army Medical Center between January 1997 and August 2009, with a mean of 11.1 years (SD, 3.4 years) of follow-up among the younger adult subset. Patients consisted of military health care system beneficiaries, including active duty military, retirees, and other Department of Defense (DoD) beneficiaries, as well as their dependents. To establish baseline covariates, patients were excluded if they did not have at least 1 year of enrollment in the military health care system before their CAC scan. Additional exclusion criteria included patients with pre-existing coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, cerebral revascularization, peripheral arterial disease, malignancy, or no follow-up after their CAC scan, or who were foreign military members. This study was approved by the local institutional review board and informed consent was waived because of the retrospective study design. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Baseline comorbidities were extracted using International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9), codes from the DoD Military Data Repository (MDR) for any outpatient or inpatient diagnoses entered before the date of CAC score, as previously described. The MDR contains comprehensive medical care claims and administrative information—including demographics, diagnoses, procedures, prescriptions, and vitals—for active duty military, retirees, and other DoD health care beneficiaries, as well as their dependents. The database includes services that are provided directly at military treatment facilities worldwide and at civilian facilities paid by the DoD.

Calcium Scoring
Electron beam computed tomography was performed using Imatron C-150 and C-300 LXP scanners (Imatron Inc.) to measure and score CAC using the Agatston method, as previously described. Coronary calcium tests were ordered at the discretion of the health care provider. Results were reported in the electronic health record, as per routine clinical care.

Outcome Measures
Patients were assessed for the primary outcomes of all-cause mortality, incident MI, stroke, and major adverse
cardiovascular events (MACE)—defined as stroke, MI, or cardiovascular death—during a mean follow-up of 11.1 years (SD, 3.4 years). ICD-9 codes from inpatient records were used to identify study outcomes, as previously described. In line with prior studies by the US Food and Drug Administration, codes for stroke were limited to the primary diagnosis, and codes for MI were obtained from the first 2 positions. Previous studies have demonstrated that ICD-9 codes for MI and stroke have a ≥90% positive predictive value for adjudicated MI and stroke outcomes and therefore have been used for assessing large-scale outcomes within the MDR. Death data and cause of death were obtained for all patients from the MDR and National Death Index and cross-referenced to the Social Security Death Index and the Veterans Affairs Beneficiary Identification Records Locator Subsystem.

Statistical Analysis

Patients were classified by the presence or absence of calcium and further subdivided into CAC score groups of 0 (none), 1 to 10 (mild), 11 to 100 (moderate), and >100 (severe) for this lower-aged cohort. Demographics and baseline characteristics were compared across the CAC groups using chi-square tests for categorical variables and analysis of variance accounting for unbalanced data for continuous variables. Independent predictors of any CAC (CAC >0) and severe CAC (CAC >100) were determined using multivariable logistic regression models, which included age (continuous), sex, race (compared with white race), and baseline cardiovascular risk factors including hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and tobacco dependence (current or prior). Cumulative incidence functions and univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were used to compare time to events. Traditional cardiovascular risk factors were forced into the multivariable Cox model. These models included age (continuous), sex, and risk factors of baseline hypertension, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and tobacco dependence (current or prior). Baseline atrial fibrillation was also included in the models for stroke and MACE. The Fine-Gray model was used to account for the competing risk of noncardiovascular death when assessing MACE-free survival and the competing risk of death when assessing MI and stroke. Gray test was used to compare the cumulative incidence between groups. The first event was used in the survival analysis for patients with >1 incidence of a particular outcome. Patients were followed for each outcome until the outcome occurred, the end of the study, or disenrollment from the health care system.

Harrell C statistic was used to assess the area under the curve for the primary outcomes accounting for censoring of events. The ability of CAC score to improve the predictive ability of baseline risk factors was further evaluated using the likelihood ratio test to compare the difference in the −2 log L fit statistics. CAC score was input into the model using the ln(CAC+1) transformation to account for its non-normal distribution, consistent with previous studies. Age was input into the models using the natural log transformation consistent with the pooled risk equation.

Given the large number of low-risk patients in the study, subgroup analyses were performed to explore the association of CAC and MACE in patients with 0, 1, or ≥2 traditional risk factors. Risk factors were counted based on the presence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, tobacco use, diabetes, and age 45 years and older in men. MACE was chosen as the preferred end point given the overall low mortality for young patients, and subhazard ratios were further adjusted for atrial fibrillation and competing risk of death.

A 2-tailed value of P<0.05 was considered significant for all comparisons. Statistics were computed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc).

RESULTS

The baseline characteristics of the 13 397 participants aged 30 to 49 years who met inclusion criteria (73.5% men; mean age, 43.6 years [SD, 3.5 years]; 73.8% White race) are shown in Table 1, stratified by CAC score category. The prevalence of hyperlipidemia was 32%, hypertension was 20%, tobacco use was 4.9%, and diabetes was 3.4%.

The prevalence of any CAC (>0) for the total sample was 20.6% (n=2759; number needed to scan to detect any CAC was 5), while 3.6% had a CAC score >100 (n=485; number needed to scan to detect CAC >100 was 28). The relative prevalence of CAC was higher in men, older individuals, and those with increased burden of CVD risk factors (Figure 1A through 1C). The odds of any CAC increased with male sex (odds ratio [OR], 3.56; 95% CI, 3.08–4.11), older age (OR, 4.91 per 10 years; 95% CI, 3.62–6.66), hypertension (OR, 1.31; 95% CI, 1.17–1.46), and hyperlipidemia (OR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.32–1.59) at the P<0.001 level. Similarly, the odds of severe CAC >100 increased with male sex (OR, 4.80; 95% CI, 3.25–7.11), age (OR, 2.97; 95% CI, 2.60–3.40), and hyperlipidemia (OR, 1.47; 95% CI, 1.21–1.78) at the P<0.001 level and hypertension (OR, 1.43; 95% CI, 1.15–1.78) and tobacco use (OR, 1.67; 95% CI, 1.19–2.35) at the P<0.05 level. Black race was associated with significantly lower odds of any CAC (OR, 0.53; 95% CI, 0.46–0.61 [P<0.001]) or severe CAC (OR, 0.58; 95% CI, 0.43–0.78 [P=0.004]) than White race (Table 2).

Over a mean follow-up of 11.1 years (3.4 years), there were 418 MACE (2.87 events per 1000 person-years;
95% CI, 2.61–3.16 events per 1000 person-years), 185 MIs (44% of MACE; 1.26 events per 1000 person-years; 95% CI, 1.09–1.46 events per 1000 person-years), 225 strokes (53% of MACE; 1.54 events per 1000 person-years; 95% CI, 1.35–1.75 events per 1000 person-years), and 141 deaths (0.96 deaths per 1000 person-years; 95% CI, 0.81–1.13 deaths per 1000 person-years), with 30 deaths attributable to cardiovascular causes (7% of MACE; 0.20 cardiovascular deaths per 1000 person-years; 95% CI, 0.14–0.29 cardiovascular deaths per 1000 person-years). The rates of all incident CVD events increased with increasing CAC scores (Figure 1D). The cumulative incidence of all events is shown in Figure 2 and the hazard ratios (HRs) in Table 3. Compared with patients without CAC, those with any detectable CAC (>0) had significantly increased hazards of MACE (adjusted subhazard ratio [aSHR], 1.64; 95% CI, 1.33–2.03) and MI (aSHR, 2.94; 95% CI, 2.18–3.95) when adjusted for differences in baseline risk factors, competing mortality, and atrial fibrillation (for MACE). CAC scores >100 were associated with significantly increased hazards of MI (aSHR, 5.16; 95% CI, 3.29–8.10), MACE (aSHR, 3.14; 95% CI, 2.26–4.36), stroke (aSHR, 1.73; 95% CI, 1.01–2.97), and all-cause mortality (HR, 2.08; 95% CI, 1.13–3.82). CAC scores of 11 to 100 were significantly associated with MI (aSHR, 3.09; 95% CI, 2.16–4.41) and MACE (aSHR, 1.53; 95% CI, 1.15–2.02).

The addition of CAC severity to baseline standard cardiovascular risk factors, including atrial fibrillation for stroke and MACE, significantly improved the predictive accuracy of the model for MACE (P<0.001), MI (P<0.001), and all-cause mortality (P=0.028) by the likelihood ratio test. The C statistic with 95% CI for each model is listed in Table 4.

In subgroup analyses comparing those with and without traditional cardiovascular risk factors, the presence of any CAC and severe CAC scores >100 were independently predictive of incident MACE across all risk factor strata (Figure 3). Among patients without traditional risk factors (n=5475), the presence of any CAC (n=748, 14%) was associated with an increased risk of MACE (aSHR, 1.51; 95% CI, 1.01–2.28 [P=0.046]).

**DISCUSSION**

In this large observational study of mostly low-risk young adults (mean age, 44 years) without baseline CVD or malignancy, we made several observations. First, despite their young age and low prevalence of traditional risk factors, CAC was relatively common, prevalent in 20.6% of patients (number needed to scan to detect CAC=5), with 3.6% having significantly elevated CAC scores (>100). Second, the assessment of CAC more accurately predicted future CVD events and

---

**Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of 13 397 Asymptomatic Adults Aged 30 to 49 Years**

| CAC 0 (n=10 638) | CAC 1–10 (n=916) | CAC 11–100 (n=1358) | CAC >100 (n=485) | P value |
|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Age, mean (SD), y | 43.4 (3.5)      | 43.9 (3.4)         | 44.6 (3.2)      | 45 (3.0) | <0.001 |
| Women, n (%)     | 2841 (27)       | 94 (10)            | 135 (10)        | 32 (7)  | <0.001 |
| Race, n (%)      |                 |                    |                 |         |
| White            | 7664 (72)       | 713 (78)           | 1109 (82)       | 395 (81) | <0.001 |
| Black            | 1864 (18)       | 120 (13)           | 126 (9)         | 54 (11) |         |
| Native American  | 30 (0)          | 8 (1)              | 3 (0)           | 3 (0)   |         |
| Asian            | 278 (3)         | 28 (3)             | 38 (3)          | 14 (3)  |         |
| Other            | 369 (3)         | 34 (4)             | 61 (4)          | 11 (2)  |         |
| Missing          | 433 (4)         | 13 (1)             | 21 (2)          | 8 (2)   |         |
| Hypertension, n (%) | 1961 (19)     | 190 (21)           | 353 (26)        | 143 (29) | <0.001 |
| Hyperlipidemia, n (%) | 3141 (29)       | 355 (39)           | 544 (40)        | 216 (45) | <0.001 |
| Diabetes, n (%)  | 349 (3)         | 32 (3)             | 50 (4)          | 24 (5)  | 0.225  |
| Tobacco use, n (%) | 493 (5)        | 51 (6)             | 73 (5)          | 41 (8)  | 0.001  |
| Charlson Comorbidity Index score, n (%) |         |                    |                 |         |
| 0                | 8468 (80)       | 719 (78)           | 1071 (79)       | 388 (80) | 0.39   |
| 1                | 1912 (18)       | 175 (19)           | 250 (18)        | 77 (16) |         |
| 2                | 178 (2)         | 13 (1)             | 25 (2)          | 12 (2)  |         |
| 3+               | 80 (1)          | 9 (1)              | 12 (1)          | 8 (2)   |         |
| Follow-up, mean (SD), y | 11.0 (3.4) | 11.3 (3.5) | 11.2 (3.4) | 10.8 (3.7) | 0.109 |

Demographics and baseline characteristics were compared across the coronary artery calcium (CAC) groups using chi-square tests for categorical variables and ANOVA accounting for unbalanced data for age (continuous).
all-cause mortality than traditional risk factors alone over a mean 11-year follow-up. Even CAC scores between 11 and 100, considered a low burden of CAC in older populations, carried considerable risk in this younger population, with a 3-fold higher hazard of MI and 1.5-fold higher hazard of MACE. While uncommon in this cohort, CAC scores >100 were associated with a 5-fold increased hazard for MI and significantly increased hazards for all studied end points. The high prevalence of premature coronary atherosclerosis in this real-world sample of young adults with a relatively low burden of CVD risk factors highlights the importance of adopting healthy lifestyle behaviors early in life. In addition, these findings suggest that CAC testing may be a reasonable method of further risk stratification for select young adults with elevated CVD risk.

**Comparisons of Risk Profile With the US Population**

Table S1 summarizes the risk profiles of the other major studies that have investigated the prevalence of CAC.

### Table 2. Independent Predictors of Any CAC (>0) and Severe CAC (>100) Among 13,397 Asymptomatic Adults Aged 30 to 49 Years

| Risk factors            | CAC >0 (n=2759) | CAC >100 (n=485) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
|                         | OR (95% CI)     | P value          | OR (95% CI)      | P value |
| Age, per 10-y increase  | 4.91 (3.62–6.66) | <0.001           | 2.97 (2.60–3.40) | <0.001 |
| Male sex                | 3.56 (3.08–4.11) | <0.001           | 4.80 (3.25–7.11) | <0.001 |
| Black (vs White) race   | 0.53 (0.46–0.61) | <0.001           | 0.58 (0.43–0.78) | 0.004  |
| Hypertension            | 1.31 (1.17–1.46) | <0.001           | 1.43 (1.15–1.78) | 0.001  |
| Hyperlipidemia          | 1.45 (1.32–1.59) | <0.001           | 1.47 (1.21–1.78) | <0.001 |
| Tobacco use             | 1.21 (1.00–1.46) | 0.052            | 1.67 (1.19–2.35) | 0.003  |
| Diabetes                | 1.05 (0.83–1.33) | 0.68             | 1.20 (0.77–1.85) | 0.43   |

Multivariable logistic regression models were created to determine factors associated with coronary artery calcium (CAC) >0 and CAC >100, forcing age (continuous), race, sex, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, tobacco use, and diabetes into the model. All independent variables except diabetes significantly predicted presence of CAC >100 after adjusting for other risk factors in the model. OR indicates odds ratio.
...cardiovascular risk factors in US young adults without known CVD. While the prevalence of risk factors in the general population is difficult to determine, most CAC studies had risk profiles that were similar or slightly higher than those in adults with a mean age of 44 years without CVD from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES). Our cohort, which, to our knowledge, is the second largest of CAC in US young adults to date, had an overall similar prevalence of risk factors to NHANES but slightly lower rates of diabetes and smoking and higher rates of dyslipidemia. The latter may be attributable to differing definitions of dyslipidemia between centers; we do not have access to the lipid values for our cohort.

**Comparisons of Long-Term Risks With Prior Data**

Our findings are consistent with and complimentary to the limited prior studies examining the associations of CAC and outcomes in young adults. Tota-Maharaj et al published a study on a multicenter referral-based...
Table 3. Prevalence and HRs for Cardiovascular Outcomes and Mortality by CAC Group Among 13,397 Asymptomatic Adults Aged 30 to 49 Years

| CAC score | No CAC vs any CAC | CAC subgroups |
|-----------|-------------------|---------------|
|           | 0 (n=10,638)      | >0 (n=2,759)  | 1–10 (n=916) | 11–100 (n=1,358) | >100 (n=485) | P value |
|           | 284 (2.7)         | 134 (4.8)     | 28 (3.1)     | 61 (4.5)         | 45 (9.3)     | ...     |
| MACE, n (%) | 284 (2.7) | 134 (4.8) | 28 (3.1) | 61 (4.5) | 45 (9.3) | ... |
| Unadjusted SHR | ... | 1.78 (1.45–2.19) | <0.001 | 1.10 (0.75–1.62) | 0.63 | 1.64 (1.23–2.16) | <0.001 | 3.62 (2.65–4.96) | <0.001 |
| Adjusted SHR | ... | 1.64 (1.33–2.03) | <0.001 | 0.95 (0.71–1.55) | 0.81 | 1.53 (1.15–2.02) | 0.003 | 3.14 (2.26–4.36) | <0.001 |
| Stroke, n (%) | 178 (1.7) | 32 (1.2) | 12 (1.3) | 20 (1.5) | 15 (3.1) | ... |
| Unadjusted SHR | ... | 0.99 (0.72–1.36) | 0.94 | 0.75 (0.42–1.35) | 0.34 | 0.85 (0.53–1.34) | 0.48 | 1.87 (1.11–3.16) | 0.020 |
| Adjusted SHR | ... | 0.97 (0.77–1.4) | 0.84 | 0.76 (0.42–1.37) | 0.36 | 0.83 (0.52–1.34) | 0.45 | 1.73 (1.01–2.97) | 0.047 |
| MI, n (%) | 98 (0.9) | 87 (3.2) | 16 (1.7) | 44 (3.2) | 27 (5.6) | ... |
| Unadjusted SHR | ... | 3.34 (2.50–4.47) | <0.001 | 1.82 (1.07–3.09) | 0.027 | 3.41 (2.39–4.88) | <0.001 | 6.20 (4.06–9.49) | <0.001 |
| Adjusted SHR | ... | 2.94 (2.18–3.95) | <0.001 | 1.64 (0.96–2.81) | 0.07 | 3.09 (2.16–4.41) | <0.001 | 5.16 (3.29–8.10) | <0.001 |
| Death, n (%) | 104 (1.0) | 37 (1.3) | 5 (0.5) | 20 (1.5) | 12 (2.5) | ... |
| Unadjusted HR | ... | 1.33 (0.91–1.93) | 0.14 | 0.53 (0.22–1.31) | 0.17 | 1.45 (0.90–2.34) | 0.13 | 2.56 (1.41–4.65) | 0.002 |
| Adjusted HR | ... | 1.15 (0.78–1.69) | 0.48 | 0.49 (0.20–1.21) | 0.12 | 1.25 (0.77–2.04) | 0.37 | 2.08 (1.13–3.82) | 0.019 |
| Cardiac death, n (%) | 19 (0.2) | 11 (0.4) | 1 (0.1) | 2 (0.1) | 8 (1.6) | ... |

Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazard models evaluated the risk of cardiovascular outcomes and death for patients with coronary artery calcium (CAC) compared with those without CAC. Values are number (percentage) or subhazard ratio (SHR)/hazard ratio (HR) (95% CI). Adjusted HRs and SHRs account for the presence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, age (continuous), sex, and tobacco use. Baseline atrial fibrillation is also included in the models for major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) and stroke. SHRs for myocardial infarction (MI) and stroke account for the competing risk of death. MACE accounts for the competing risk of noncardiac death. Please note the events in the CAC >0 column are the sum of those in the CAC 1 to 10, 11 to 100, and >100 categories.
Table 4. C Statistic for Outcomes With Risk Factors Alone and With Addition of CAC Score Among Adults Aged 30 to 49 Years

| Predictor                          | Risk factors C statistic | CAC+risk factors C statistic | P value |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| All-cause mortality               | 0.57 (0.52–0.63)         | 0.59 (0.54–0.64)              | 0.028   |
| MACE                              | 0.60 (0.57–0.63)         | 0.63 (0.60–0.66)              | <0.001  |
| MI                                | 0.61 (0.57–0.65)         | 0.63 (0.66–0.74)              | <0.001  |
| Stroke                            | 0.58 (0.54–0.62)         | 0.59 (0.54–0.63)              | 0.32    |

Harrell C statistic was used to assess the area under the curve for the primary outcomes accounting for censoring of events. Values are C statistic (95% CI). MACE indicates major adverse cardiovascular events (myocardial infarction [MI], stroke, and cardiac death).

*Reported P values are derived from the likelihood ratio test comparing the predictive ability of the models with and without coronary artery calcium (CAC) as measured by the −2 log L fit statistics. CAC score was input into the model using ln(CAC+1) transformation. Risk factors consist of the presence of hypertension, hyperlipidemia, diabetes, tobacco use, and ln(age).

The present study underscores the important conclusion that there is a nonnegligible prevalence of CAC in young adults and even low scores are associated with higher risk of CVD events by middle age.

Predictors of Premature CAC

Although age is a dominant factor associated with atherogenesis, multiple traditional risk factors and lifestyle behaviors have been associated with the development of premature CAC. In addition to age, the present study found strong independent associations of male sex, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, and tobacco use with any CAC and severe CAC. A substudy of participants in the CARDIA study demonstrated that age, male sex, and baseline characteristics including systolic blood pressure, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, cigarette smoking, blood glucose level, and body mass index were all independently correlated with the development of CAC 15 years later. Other studies have determined similar associations in young adults, eg, a large study (n=33 637) of South Korean adults younger than 40 years found a graded increase in CAC prevalence with increases in systolic blood pressure. Interestingly, the prevalence of any CAC in the CARDIA study nearly doubled from 10% at a mean age of 40 years to 20% at a mean age of 45 years. Recent efforts to predict the conversion to CAC >0 using genetic risk scores may have utility to identify high-risk young adults for early CAC scanning.

Our finding that Black race was associated with lower odds of CAC than White race is consistent with data from the CARDIA study, which demonstrated that White race was associated with higher odds of CAC among nearly equal numbers of Black and White participants. Likewise, MESA (Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis) found the relative risk of having CAC was 0.78 in Black compared with White patients, with Black patients having a significantly lower prevalence and severity of CAC. Adequate representation of historically underrepresented groups in medical research cohorts has long been a challenge, despite significant efforts to combat these disparities in CAC research through studies such as MESA and the MASALA (Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America) study, among others. Further measures to investigate CAC among underrepresented groups are warranted.

Previous studies have demonstrated an association with higher intake of fruits and vegetables, high levels of cardiorespiratory fitness, and lack of abdominal obesity with a lower likelihood of premature CAC. Multiple studies, including the present study, have demonstrated an increased prevalence of CAC among individuals with more CVD risk factors. The number of CVD risk factors could be a potential method to predict the likelihood of a positive CAC examination. In this study, for example, the number needed...
to scan for the entire cohort to detect severe CAC was 28, which decreased to 11 for individuals with 4 risk factors. Despite this, we found that 748 of 2759 (27%) individuals with CAC had no risk factors and still had a significantly increased hazards of MACE. A study in MESA demonstrated that individuals with CAC but no traditional CVD risk factors were at significantly elevated risk for CHD events compared with those with traditional risk factors but no CAC.39 In the CAC Consortium, Grandhi et al40 found that CAC was a more reliable predictor of long-term mortality than traditional CVD risk factors. Our study contributes to the literature in support of CAC as one of the strongest tools for risk prediction in primary prevention.41

CAC Testing in Young Adults

The 2019 ACC/AHA primary prevention guidelines recommend CAC scoring for adults at intermediate risk (≥7.5% to <20% 10-year ASCVD risk) and select adults at borderline risk (5% to <7.5% 10-year ASCVD risk) in cases where risk-based decisions for initiating statin therapy are uncertain. Specifically, risk can be reclassified upward in cases of CAC >100 or age/sex/race percentile score ≥75, or downward if CAC=0. However, no percentile scores exist for individuals under the age of 45 years and the guidelines conclude that more data are needed to support use of CAC scoring in the subgroup of patients younger than 45 years.1 Prior analyses have suggested that CAC testing may be the best option to determine the magnitude of potential benefit from initiating cardiovascular medications, including statins,10,42,43 aspirin,37 and antihypertensive therapy.44 We demonstrated a nonnegligible prevalence of CAC in young adults, which was associated with a clear graded increase in the incidence and hazards of CVD events with increasing CAC scores. While these findings suggest that the clinical utility of CAC testing may extend to select younger adults, particularly those with risk factors, future development of age-sex-race percentile scores for young adults could be useful for guiding interpretation of CAC scores and subsequent intensity of preventive interventions, as they have been for middle- and older-aged adults.45

Strengths and Limitations

The present study benefits from being a large, real-world sample of relatively healthy young adults with long-term follow-up, which may increase the generalizability of results. The study has several limitations, many that are inherent to large-scale observational
studies. First, the study population consisted of mostly White men from a single tertiary medical center of military healthcare beneficiaries, which entails broad, comprehensive access to medical care. This may reduce the generalizability of findings. Baseline covariates were assessed using the DoD MDR to include use of ICD-9 claims, which did not allow for direct measurement of several risk factors, such as blood pressure, lipid values, or height and weight. Consequently, it was impossible to calculate accurate 10-year or lifetime global risk scores for study participants. We used the Charlson Comorbidity Index to get a general sense of mortality risk in our sample, but this score is not optimal for risk assessment in individuals younger than 50 years. Furthermore, the exact clinical indications for CAC scoring were not documented and family history data could not be ascertained. While additional CAC parameters such as density, distribution, location, and radiomics-based advanced image analysis techniques have been shown to add prognostic value to CAC score, this deidentified data set only has Agatston CAC score documented without access to the original scans. Outcomes were assessed within the military health system using ICD-9 codes for MI and stroke, which have been shown to have a ≥90% positive predictive value for representing adjudicated clinical MI and stroke events. Although this methodology has been broadly used for large-scale outcome studies, there remains a risk for imprecision in accounting of outcomes. While all deaths and their causes were ascertained using the National Death Index, it is possible that some deaths may have been miscategorized (cardiac versus noncardiac). Our cohort also had a relatively low number of deaths attributable to cardiac causes and we did not differentiate between deaths caused by CHD and CVD events. Last, Harrel C statistic <0.7 could be interpreted as subsatisfactory performance even with the addition of CAC. However, this only underscores the necessity for better markers of risk in this young demographic and emphasizes the take-home message that CAC improves the predictive performance of ASCVD events compared with traditional risk factors alone.

CONCLUSIONS

In a large, low-risk cohort of young adults with long-term follow-up, there was a nonnegligible prevalence of CAC. Despite their young age, the presence and severity of CAC was strongly and independently associated with incident adverse events such as MACE, MI, stroke, and death when controlling for age, sex, and cardiovascular risk factors. CAC scoring significantly improved prognostic accuracy for long-term CVD and mortality outcomes. These results may support the utility of CAC scoring in selected younger individuals despite low 10-year CVD risk scores for guiding risk assessment and intensity of preventive interventions.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Table S1. Prevalence of CAC and Cardiovascular Risk Factors in Studies of Asymptomatic U.S. Young Adults without known Cardiovascular Disease.

|                      | NHANES*25 (n=12,282) | CACC†50 (n=373) | Framingham Heart51 (n=162) | Tota-Maharaj7 (n=8,143) | CARDIA†9 (n=3,043) | Dallas Heart52 (n=754) | CACC8 (n=22,346) | Walter Reed CARDIA†9 (n=3,141) | MESA45 (n=1,827) |
|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| Mean Age, y          | 44.2                  | 28              | 36                        | 40                     | 40                | 42                    | 44              | 44                              | 45              |
| CAC > 0, %           |                       | 13.1            | 11.7                      | 29.7                   | 10.2              | 24.1                  | 34.4            | 20.6                            | 20.1            |
| Female, %            | 52                    | 28              | 35                        | 46                     | 54                | 68                    | 25              | 23                              | 57              |
| White Race, %        | 65                    | 84              | -                         | 55                     | 40                | 88                    | 74              | 55                              | 38              |
| Black Race, %        | 11                    | 2.4             | -                         | 45                     | 41                | 2.3                   | 16              | 45                              | 29              |
| Hypertension, %      | 18                    | 15              | 16                        | 16                     | 20                | -                     | 20              | 20                              | 28              |
| Dyslipidemia, %      | 16                    | 42              | 24                        | -                      | -                 | 50                    | 32              | -                               | -               |
| Diabetes, %          | 6.6                   | 3.2             | 2.7                       | 4.4                    | -                 | 3.9                   | 4.9             | 9.1                             | 8.3             |
| Smoker, %            | 19$                   | 15$             | 36                        | 14                     | 39                | 11$                   | 4.9             | 39                              | 19              |
| Mean BMI, kg/m²      | -                     | 25              | -                         | 29                     | 27                | -                     | 29              | 29                              |                 |

* NHANES 2011-2016 participants aged ≥20 years old without known coronary artery disease. Thresholds for risk factor estimates of ≥140/≥90 or treated ≥130/≥80 for hypertension, ≥240 or treated ≥200 for dyslipidemia, A1c ≥6.5 for diabetes.
† Subset (n=373) of participants aged 20-30 from the total CAC Consortium young adult study (n=22,346).
‡ Data from CARDIA participants at two timepoints (mean age 40 and 45).
§ Current smoker; otherwise, ever smoker.
- Data not reported or reported as mean vital/lab (systolic blood pressure, low-density lipoprotein, etc.) rather than prevalence of risk factor.

NHANES = National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. CAC = Coronary Artery Calcium. CACC = CAC Consortium. CARDIA = Coronary Artery Development in Young Adults Study. MESA = Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis. BMI = Body Mass Index.