A Novel Bioluminescent Protease Assay Using Engineered Firefly Luciferase
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Abstract: Proteases play important roles in a variety of disease processes. Understanding their biological functions underpins the efforts of drug discovery. We have developed a bioluminescent protease assay using a circularly permuted form of firefly luciferase, wherein the native enzyme termini were joined by a peptide containing a protease site of interest. Protease cleavage of these mutant luciferases greatly activates the enzyme, typically over 100 fold. The mutant luciferase substrates are easily generated by molecular cloning and cell-free translation reactions and thus the protease substrates do not need to be chemically synthesized or purchased. The assay has broad applicability using a variety of proteases and their cognate sites and can sensitively detect protease activity. In this report we further demonstrate its utility for the evaluation of protease recognition sequence specificity and subsequent establishment of an optimized assay for the identification and characterization of protease inhibitors using high throughput screening.

INTRODUCTION

Proteases are a diverse group of enzymes which hydrolyze peptide bonds. They are essential for many physiological processes including cell proliferation, cell differentiation, tissue remodeling, immune response, complement system, neuronal outgrowth, angiogenesis, blood coagulation, and apoptosis [1]. Accordingly, dysregulation of proteases have been implicated in numerous disease states such as cancer, osteoporosis, inflammatory disease, neurodegenerative disease, cardiovascular disease, type 2 diabetes, and acute injury [2]. Modulators to protease activities, either small molecules or antibodies, can be used as therapeutics to treat these diseases. Proteases constitute 5 to 10% of all pharmaceutical targets for small molecule drug discovery. To date, there have been six successful protease inhibitor drugs, including ACE inhibitors and HIV protease inhibitors [3], with inhibitors for several additional proteases currently in clinical trials. With over 560 proteases or protease homolog coding regions annotated from the human genome [1], this target class continues to be an important and active area for drug discovery.

Understanding protease function is critical to its application as a biomarker for physiological processes or for the development of disease treatments. The substrate specificity of proteases confers preferential targeting of its substrate in the presence of other peptides and proteins. Therefore, a better understanding of the binding to, and processing of, novel proteases with their natural substrates may help elucidate both their structure and function as well as suggest potential small molecule modulators [4]. Studying novel proteases using natural substrates can be technically difficult and therefore, many begin by first using short polypeptides as substrates. Standard methods of determining protease substrate specificity involve creating chemical combinatorial libraries of short polypeptides, typically tagged with fluorophores, and quencher molecule if the protease has a P' requirement [5-7]. This systematic approach provides a very rigorous and comprehensive method for determining substrate specificity. However it requires expertise and equipment for chemical synthesis, which are not readily available to most life science research laboratories. Fluorescent peptide substrates can also be purchased from commercial vendors but may be limited and costly. As an alternative to fluorescent peptides, some investigators have created protein fusion libraries which contain the potential substrate sequences and then rank specificity based upon the percent of cleaved product as measured by gel densitometry [8]. But this approach, in addition to being laborious and time consuming, has limited assay dynamic range and sensitivity.

Once the optimal peptide sequence has been established, most researchers use fluorescent peptides synthesized in-house or purchased from commercial sources, for their subsequent protease characterization studies. While adequate for many applications, these fluorescence assays may have limitations in sensitivity and dynamic range. Increasingly, investigators have turned to peptide conjugated aminoluciferin substrates. These bioluminescent substrates are significantly more sensitive and have wider dynamic ranges than analogous fluorescent substrates, typically 100 times more sensitive and 10-100 times wider dynamic range, in both biochemical and cell-based assay formats [9]. Additionally when performing a library screen for small molecule modulators, bioluminescent-based assays have been shown to be less hindered by non-specific compound interference than fluorescent-based assays [9-11].

We have previously described a novel biosensor using a genetically modified firefly luciferase that allows the facile interrogation of protease function without chemical synthesis [12]. It uses a bioluminescent substrate generated through
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molecular cloning and transcription/translation coupled cell-free expression. Thus protease substrates do not need to be purchased or chemically synthesized. The modified firefly luciferase is covalently joined at the native termini with a short peptide containing the protease recognition site which serves to restrict the luminescent reaction. Proteolytic cleavage of the peptide by the cognate protease activates the luciferase enzyme, typically over 100 fold. To express this mutant luciferase, new N and C termini were inserted at amino acids 234 and 233, respectively. Insertion of the polypeptide linker greatly reduces luciferase activity. Proteolytic cleavage by the cognate protease (scissors) activates the mutant luciferase enzyme resulting in a luminescent signal in the presence of the luciferin substrate (yellow circle).

Fig. (1). Schematic Representation of the CP234-Luc Assay.

Firefly luciferase is a 61 kDa monomeric enzyme that catalyzes the oxidation of firefly luciferin in the presence of ATP and oxygen to emit yellow-green light. Upon binding of substrates, the structure of firefly luciferase undergoes conformational changes from open to closed forms. We created a circularly permuted luciferase by covalently joining the native N and C termini of firefly luciferase through the cloning in of a short polypeptide linker containing a protease recognition sequence. This results in restricting the movement between the two domains and locking the enzyme in the less active open form. Protease cleavage releases this constriction thereby restoring higher activity. A. To express this mutant luciferase, new N and C termini were inserted at amino acids 234 and 233, respectively. B. Insertion of the polypeptide linker greatly reduces luciferase activity. Proteolytic cleavage by the cognate protease (scissors) activates the mutant luciferase enzyme resulting in a luminescent signal in the presence of the luciferin substrate (yellow circle).

Here we further demonstrate the utility of this mutant luciferase for protease detection, interrogation of multiple protease substrates, small molecule modulator screening, and inhibitor potency determination. For our model system, we inserted the Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease recognition sequence into the circularly permuted firefly luciferase gene. Using standard molecular cloning techniques we generated twenty substrates, differing at the P1' position, and examined the TEV protease recognition sequence specificity. One of these substrates was then used to screen the Library of Pharmacologically Active Compounds (LOPAC) for small molecule inhibitors of TEV protease and determine the potency of a subset of these inhibitors.

MATERIALS AND METHODOLOGY

Primers were purchased from IDT. Sequencing was performed by Agencourt Bioscience Corporation. Unless otherwise specified, all other reagents are products of Promega Corporation (http://www.promega.com).

Evaluation of Different Sites for Circular Permutation

Four different structurally tolerant firefly luciferase sites were evaluated for insertion of the new mutant luciferase termini. The TEV protease recognition sequence with linkers (GSS-ENLYFQS-SSG) was inserted into the luc2 gene, a synthetic firefly (Photinus pyralis) luciferase, circularly permuted (CP) at Thr235, Glu269, Leu309, and Pro359. The constructs encoded fusion proteins of the following type: Met-(Luc2 residues Y-544)- GSS-ENLYFQS-SSG -(Luc2 residues 4-X)-Val (Table 1).

Table 1. Sites of Circular Permutation

| Circular Permutation (CP) site | X  | Y  |
|-------------------------------|----|----|
| 235                          | 233| 235|
| 269                          | 267| 269|
| 309                          | 307| 309|
| 359                          | 355| 359|

To synthesize these constructs, splice overlapping extension (SOE) PCR was performed [13]. Briefly, two general and two specific PCR primers for each construct were designed to generate two PCR products containing the two halves of the mutant luciferase and TEV protease recognition sequence. The PCR primers were designed such that the 3' end of the first PCR product (the first half of the mutant lu-
ciferase and TEV protease recognition sequence) overlapped with the 5' end of the second PCR product (the second half of the mutant luciferase and TEV protease recognition sequence). A second round of (primerless) PCR splits the two PCR fragments together. This is followed by a third and final round of PCR using the two external primers which results in a single full length product. The final PCR product was then gel purified and ligated into the Flexi vector pF9A. One positive clone each was sequence confirmed (Agencourt). These constructs were kindly supplied by B.F. Binkowski.

The resultant clones were then transferred into the Flexi vector pF3K for expression in cell-free translation reactions. Transfer of the coding regions into Flexi vectors pF9A and pF3K were performed according to the manufacturer’s directions.

The two internal primers (2 and 3) were the same for all four constructs. Primer 2 was 5'-TTGGCACCGAGCTCGATGTTGACGAGATCGCCAGCGG-3' and primer 3 was 5'-AAGAAGGGGCTCGAGGAACCTGATCTCTAACATCAGCTCGTGGTG-3' and primer 4 was 5'-GTTTAACTCAGGGATGATCTGGTTGCCGAAG-3'. For CP309, primer 1 was 5'-GCGATCGCCATGAGGAGGAGCTATTCTTGCAGCAGACCC-3'. For CP269, primer 1 was 5'-GCGATCGCCATGGAGGAGGAGCTATTCTTGCAGCAGACCC-3'. A second round of (primerless) PCR splices the two regions together. This is followed by a third and final PCR (primerless) PCR which results in a single full length product. The final PCR product was then gel purified and ligated into the Flexi vector pF9A. One positive clone each was sequence confirmed (Agencourt).

For CP235, primer 1 was 5'-GCGATGCCATGCGATCTCTCAGCGTGGTG-3' and primer 4 was 5'-GTTTAACTCAGGGATGATCTGGTTGCCGAAG-3'. For CP269, primer 1 was 5'-GCGATGCCATGCGATCTCTCAGCGTGGTG-3' and primer 4 was 5'-GTTTAACTCAGGGATGATCTGGTTGCCGAAG-3'. For CP309, primer 1 was 5'-GCGATGCCATGCGATCTCTCAGCGTGGTG-3' and primer 4 was 5'-GTTTAACTCAGGGATGATCTGGTTGCCGAAG-3'. For CP359, primer 1 was 5'-GCGATGCCATGCGATCTCTCAGCGTGGTG-3' and primer 4 was 5'-GTTTAACTCAGGGATGATCTGGTTGCCGAAG-3'.

The first round of PCR reactions amplified the two halves of the mutant luciferase coding region in two independent reactions. PCR 1 reaction components were: 0.5 μL 50 ng/μL plasmid DNA template (containing the luc2 coding region); 5 μL 10X buffer; 5 μL 2 mM dNTPs; 2 μL 25 mM MgSO4; 33.5 μL dH2O; 1.5 μL 10 pmol/μL sense primer (primer 1 or 3); 1.5 μL 10 pmol/μL antisense primer (primer 2 or 4); 1 μL KOD Hot Start DNA Polymerase (Novagen). PCR 1 cycling parameters were: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 2 minutes followed by 25 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds; annealing at 50°C for 30 seconds; extension at 68°C for 1 minute and a final extension of 68°C for 7 minutes. After the removal of the residual PCR primers using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System, the second PCR reaction was performed. PCR 2 reaction components were: 1 ng/μL each purified PCR templates from the first round of PCR reactions; 5 μL 10X buffer; 5 μL 2 mM dNTPs; 2 μL 25 mM MgSO4; dH2O to 50 μL total volume; 1 μL KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase. PCR 2 cycling parameters were: an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 2 minutes followed by 10 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds; annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds; extension at 68°C for 1 minute and a final extension of 68°C for 7 minutes. PCR 3 immediately followed the PCR 2 reactions without template purification. The PCR 3 reaction components were: 1 μL PCR 2 product; 5 μL 10X buffer; 5 μL 2 mM dNTPs; 2 μL 25 mM MgSO4; 1.5 μL 10 pmol/μL outside primer 1; 1.5 μL 10 pmol/μL outside primer 4; 33 μL dH2O; 1 μL KOD Hot Start DNA polymerase. The PCR 3 cycling parameters were identical to the PCR 1 cycling parameters.

pF3K plasmid DNA encoding the circularly permuted luciferase with the TEV protease recognition sequence coding region, pF3K-CP235, 269, 309, 359-Luc/ENLYFQXS, were isolated from E. coli using the PureYield Plasmid Midiprep kit and used as template in the TNT™ SP6 High-Yield Protein Expression System according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 μg of DNA (or water: “no DNA” lysate) was added to 30 μL master mix lysate plus 2 μL Fluorotect™ GreenNuc in vitro Translation Labeling System for visualization on SDS-PAGE gels and the total volume was brought to 50 μL with dH2O. The 50 μL in vitro translation reactions were then incubated at 25°C for 2 hours. Fifteen μL of the reaction was then mixed with 15 μL of a 2X ProTEV protease buffer (100mM HEPES, 1mM EDTA, pH 7.0, plus fresh 2 mM DTT) with 1 μL of ProTEV protease (10U/μL) or dH2O. The digest reactions were incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes. Five μL of the digest reactions were placed in a white, flat bottom 96-well luminometer plate, in triplicate, and luminescence was measured following injection of 100 μL of Luciferase Assay Reagent using a Glomax® 96 microplate luminometer (3 second integration time). Five μL of the digest reactions were also size-fractionated on a 4-12% NuPAGE® SDS-PAGE gel (Invitrogen) in MES buffer and visualized on a fluorimager (ex. 488nm / em. 532nm, Typhoon 9410, GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences).

P1' Specificity

To examine the P1’ specificity of the TEV protease recognition sequence, we first created a vector with unique restriction sites. Here the luc2 gene was circularly permuted at Asp234, where X=233 and Y=234. The pF3A-based Flexi vector carries the CP234-Luc coding region with two unique restriction sites, NheI and BgIII, inserted at the native luciferase N and C termini to facilitate the cloning in of protease recognition sequences. These two restriction sites also code for flexible linkers Ala-Ser and Gly-Ser on the N and C end of the protease recognition sequence, respectively. The glycine amino acid was created by destroying the BglII restriction site during the ligation step. Next, twenty oligonucleotide pairs were synthesized (IDT) which encoded for the polypeptide ENLYFQX, where X was all possible amino acid pairs. Here the recognition sequence, we first created a vector with unique restriction sites. Here the luc2 gene was circularly permuted at Asp234, where X=233 and Y=234. The pF3A-based Flexi vector carries the CP234-Luc coding region with two unique restriction sites, NheI and BgIII, inserted at the native luciferase N and C termini to facilitate the cloning in of protease recognition sequences. These two restriction sites also code for flexible linkers Ala-Ser and Gly-Ser on the N and C end of the protease recognition sequence, respectively. The glycine amino acid was created by destroying the BglII restriction site during the ligation step. Next, twenty oligonucleotide pairs were synthesized (IDT) which encoded for the polypeptide ENLYFQX, where X was all possible amino acids (Table 2). The oligonucleotides also contained the specific NheI and BgIII 5’ and 3’ overhangs for direct ligation into the pF3A-CP234-Luc acceptor vector. The oligonucleotide pairs were hybridized together and ligation reactions performed using 50 ng linearized pF3A-CP234-Luc vector and 10 nM hybridized oligonucleotides according to manufacturer’s protocol. One positive clone each was then sequence confirmed (Agencourt).

**CP234-Luc/ENLYFQX Protein Expression**

The CP234-Luc/ENLYFQX plasmid DNA was isolated from E. coli using the PureYield Plasmid Midiprep kit and used as template in the TNT™ SP6 High-Yield Protein Expression System according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 μg of DNA (or water: “no DNA” lysate) was added to 30 μL master mix lysate plus 2 μL Fluorotect™ GreenNuc in vitro Translation Labeling System for visualization on SDS-PAGE gels and the total volume was brought to 50 μL with dH2O. The 50 μL in vitro translation reactions
were then incubated at 25°C for 2 hours. Fifteen μL of the reaction was then mixed with 15 μL of a 2X ProTEV protease buffer (100mM HEPES, 1mM EDTA, pH 7.0, plus fresh 2 mM DTT) with 1 μL of ProTEV protease (10U/μL) or dH2O. The digest reactions were incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes. Luminescence was measured from 5 μL of each digest reaction, in triplicate, following injection of 100 μL of Luciferase Assay Reagent using a MicroLumatPlus Microplate Luminometer LB96V (5 second integration time, EG&G Berthold). Five μL of the digest reactions were also size-fractionated on a 4-20% Criterion Tris-HCl SDS-PAGE gel (BioRad) in TGS buffer and visualized on a fluorimager (ex. 488nm / em. 532nm, Typhoon ® 9410, GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences).

TEV Protease Limit of Detection and Linear Range using the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS Assay

To determine the sensitivity and linearity of the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS assay, titrating amounts of TEV protease were mixed with the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS substrate (expressed using the TNT® SP6 High-Yield Protein Expression System) and luminescence was measured. Two μg CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS plasmid DNA (or water: “no DNA” lysate) was used as template and expressed as above. After expression, 15 μL of the lysate was mixed with 15 μL of a 2X ProTEV protease buffer plus titrating amounts of ProTEV protease (0 U to 3.16U). The digest reactions were incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes. Five μL of the digest reactions were placed in a white, flat bottom 96-well luminometer plate, in quadruplicate, and 100 μL of 1:1 diluted Bright-Glo™ Luciferase Assay Reagent in dH2O was added to each well. After incubation at room temperature for 5 minutes, luminescence was measured using a Glomax® 96 microplate luminometer (1 second integration time).

The signal to noise (S/N) was calculated from the luminescent values. S/N is defined as:

\[
S/N = \frac{\text{mean signal} - \text{mean background}}{\text{standard deviation of background}}
\]

For this assay,

\[
\text{mean signal} = \text{mean signal RLU} - \text{the mean no-DNA RLU, at the various TEV concentrations}
\]

\[
\text{mean background} = \text{mean signal RLU} - \text{the mean no-DNA RLU, when no TEV enzyme was added}
\]

\[
\text{standard deviation of background} = \text{standard deviation of the signal RLU replicates, when no TEV enzyme was added}
\]

**Table 2. Oligonucleotide Pairs for the Interrogation of TEV Protease Recognition Sequence P' Position (ENLYFQX)**

| X | Forward primer sequence (5'-3') | Reverse primer sequence (5'-3') |
|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| A | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| C | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| D | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| E | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| F | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| G | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| H | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| I | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| J | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| K | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| L | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| M | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| N | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| P | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| Q | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| R | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| S | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| T | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| V | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| W | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |
| Y | CTAGCGAGAACCTGTACTTCCAGGCCG | GATCCCGCCTTGAAGTACAGTTTCTCG |

The Library of Pharmacologically Active Compounds (LOPAC®1280, Sigma-Aldrich) was screened for TEV protease.
inhibitors in 384-well plates. CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC and a CP234-Luc/42 amino acid Gly/Ser rich peptide (CP234-Luc/42AA; previously described [12]) plasmid DNA were isolated from E. coli using the PureYield Plasmid Maxiprep kit. Six mL translation reactions for each were made using the TNT® SP6 High-Yield Protein Expression System. The reactions were gently rotated (~60 rpm) in a 50 mL conical tube for 2 hours at 25°C. The LOPAC® library of compounds was placed into two sets of four white, flat bottomed 384-well plates with each well containing 5 μL of compound at a concentration of 0.5 mM in DMSO. Columns 1, 2, 23, and 24 on each plate were used for solvent and enzyme control samples.

The library screens were performed using a Freedom EVO automation platform with a TeMo multi-channel pipetting system (Tecan). The translations were diluted into buffer as follows. For the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC translation, 5.83 mL was added to 3.0 mL of 20X ProTEV protease buffer, 60 μL 1 M DTT and dH₂O to a final volume of 35 mL. For the CP234-Luc/42AA, 5.83 mL was added to 3.0 mL 20X ProTEV protease buffer, 60 μL 1 M DTT, 11.7 mL 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and dH₂O to 46.7 mL. To assay the effect of the compounds on the CP234-Luc/42AA, 20 μL of the CP234-Luc/42AA mixture was added to all wells in one set of four plates. To the second set of four plates, 5 μL ProTEV protease diluted to 0.5 U/μL in 10 mM HEPES, pH 7.5 was added, except for the no enzyme control lanes which received only dilution buffer. The same set of plates then received 15 μL of the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC mix. The plates were spun down after each addition and were allowed to incubate 15 minutes at room temperature after the final addition. After this incubation, 25 μL Bright-Glo™ Luciferase Assay Reagent was added to each well of all plates. After incubation at room temperature for 5 minutes, the plates were read using a GENios Pro detection instrument (200 ms integration time; Tecan).

**CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC Verification Assays**

CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC verification assays were performed as follows. Fifteen μL TNT® SP6 High-Yield Protein Expression System lysate expressing CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC or CP234-Luc/42AA was mixed with 5 μL compound or vehicle, 7.5 U ProTEV protease and 1X ProTEV protease buffer (final concentration) in a total volume of 30 μL. The reactions were incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes and then stopped by adding 5 μL of the reaction, in triplicate, to 100 μL of a 1:1 dilution of Bright-Glo™ Luciferase Assay Reagent in dH₂O. After 5 minutes at room temperature, luminescence was measured using a GloMax® 9410, GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences) at 450V using no excitation or emission filters. Densitometry analysis (ImageQuant, GE Healthcare) was performed to determine percent cleavage of each sample. Each compound concentration was run in duplicate. Compounds were diluted in DMSO, except for NF-023 hydrate, which was diluted in dH₂O. The above protein fusion cleavage assay conditions were designed such that the percent cleavage of the no compound control was 70-80%. Starting below 95-100% cleavage ensures the assay quantitatively measures inhibition. Percent cleavage data was plotted against the logarithm of compound concentration and fit to a sigmoidal dose-response curve using GraphPad Prism 4 to estimate the IC₅₀ values.

**RESULTS**

**Establishment of an Optimal CP Site for the Engineered Luciferase TEV Protease Assay**

Four circularly permuted luciferases containing the TEV protease site were created: CP235, 269, 309, 359-Luc/ENLYFQS. For each of these constructs, the site of circular permutation was chosen in a solvent exposed surface loop bounded by secondary structures such as a beta sheet or alpha helix using PDB file 1LCI (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb/home/home.do).

All four mutant luciferases were activated upon TEV protease digest as determined by both an increase in luminescence as well as the appearance on SDS-PAGE gel of the expected sized lower molecular weight CP-Luc protein fragment. The CP235-Luc/ENLYFQ protein generated significantly more light output, both before and after cleavage, than the other three proteins. In addition, protease digest of the CP235-Luc/ENLYFQ protein resulted in the largest fold activation (550 fold activation; Fig. 2A). The CP309-Luc/ENLYFQ and CP359-Luc/ENLYFQ, the undigested luminescent values were less than three standard deviations between the GST and MBP was created by cloning the MBP gene into the pFN2K Flexi vector. This vector carries the GST coding region upstream of the two unique restriction sites into which the MBP was cloned. The 5' unique restriction site, SgfI, codes for amino acids Ala, thus the first alanine becomes the P₁ position for the TEV protease recognition sequence. This fusion protein was then expressed in KRX cells. The protein was purified using glutathione sepharose (GE Healthcare) as per the manufacturers’ directions. Protein concentration was estimated using Coomassie Plus Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce), using BSA as the standard.

One hundred μL reactions containing 35.5 μg GST-MBP, 1X ProTEV protease buffer, 1 mM DTT, titrating amounts of compound (or vehicle), and 10 U ProTEV protease were incubated at 30°C for 15 minutes. Reactions were stopped with the addition of 4X SDS sample buffer plus 200 mM DTT (final concentration was 1X SDS sample buffer plus 50 mM DTT). Samples were size fractionated on 4-20% Criterion Tris-HCl SDS-PAGE gels (BioRad) in TGS buffer. Gels were then stained with SimplyBlue SafeStain (Invitrogen) and destained overnight in water at room temperature. Gels were then scanned on a fluorimager (Typhoon® 9410, GE Healthcare Bio-Sciences) at 450V using no excitation or emission filters. Densitometry analysis (ImageQuant, GE Healthcare) was performed to determine percent cleavage of each sample. Each compound concentration was run in duplicate. Compounds were diluted in DMSO, except for NF-023 hydrate, which was diluted in dH₂O. The above protein fusion cleavage assay conditions were designed such that the percent cleavage of the no compound control was 70-80%. Starting below 95-100% cleavage ensures the assay quantitatively measures inhibition. Percent cleavage data was plotted against the logarithm of compound concentration and fit to a sigmoidal dose-response curve using GraphPad Prism 4 to estimate the IC₅₀ values.
above the “no DNA” lysate values. This indicates that their basal luminescent values were within the background “noise” of the GloMax® 96 microplate luminometer and therefore fold activations could not be calculated. Based on these results, we chose to use a mutant luciferase circularly permuted near Thr235, at Asp234, for all our subsequent studies.

Evaluation of TEV Protease Substrate Specificity

To enable both a comprehensive and easy method for interrogating protease specificity, we created a vector which carries the CP234-Luc coding region with two unique restriction sites inserted at the native luciferase N and C termini to facilitate the cloning in of protease recognition sequences. Using this vector, we generated twenty bioluminescent protease substrates: CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS proteins with all possible amino acids at the P₁' position, to assess the utility this assay for examining protease substrate specificity. Nineteen of the twenty were activated to various degrees upon TEV protease digest as determined by both an increase in luminescence (Fig. 3) as well as the appearance of the smaller 34 kDa and 26 kDa CP235-Luc protein fragments (data not shown). The CP234-Luc/ENLYFQP protein was not activated by or digested by TEV protease. The fold activations of the proteins after protease digestion ranged from none (P₁' = proline) to 420 (P₁' = serine). Gel analysis of the digested luciferase fragment showed qualitatively similar results, although precise quantitation is difficult (data not shown). These results suggest that most amino acids are tolerated at the P₁' position, with the exception of proline. This is consistent with previous reports [8].

Determination of TEV Protease Substrate Detection Limit and Linear Range

To determine the sensitivity and linear range of the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS assay, a TEV enzyme titration was performed. The luminescence from the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS assay was dependent on the TEV enzyme concentration. The signal to noise (S/N) was calculated from the luminescent values and is defined as the mean signal – mean background divided by the standard deviation of the background (Fig. 4). The limit of detection was defined as the amount of TEV protease detected at a S/N of 3 (i.e., 3 standard deviations higher than the background). Thus the limit

Fig. (2). Evaluation of Sites for Circular Permutation.
A. Cleavage dependent activation of CP-Luc/ENLYFQS fusion protein. Cell-free translation reactions were diluted 1:1 in 2X TEV protease buffer, incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes ± 10 U TEV protease and luminescence was measured from 5 µL aliquots. Data are the mean values, N = 3. Error bars are the standard deviation of the mean. B. Five µL of the protease digest reaction was size-fractionated on NuPAGE® gels and FluoroTect™ labeled proteins were visualized on a fluoromager. TEV protease digestion resulted in the expected sized fragments. Note, the digestions were not complete, and therefore, residual undigested 60 kDa protein remains in all of the “+” lanes. No expressed protein is visible in the no DNA lanes.
of detection was 0.163 mU TEV protease per 100 μL Bright Glo™ Luciferase Assay sample. The linear range of the assay was ≥ 1,000 fold (0.163 to 167 mU (the highest concentration tested in this experiment) of TEV protease per 100 μL Bright Glo™ Luciferase Assay sample).

**Screening of TEV Protease Inhibitors**

TEV protease is widely used as a tool to cleave an affinity tag from the protein of interest after protein purification. Therefore, it is desirable to have an inhibitor that can “turn off” TEV protease after fusion protein cleavage, but does not affect subsequent processing steps. Our initial attempts using known cysteine inhibitors either failed to inhibit TEV protease or affected downstream applications. To find a suitable inhibitor, we screened the LOPAC1280 library compounds in 384-well format at 100 μM using the CP 234-Luc/ENLYFQC protease assay to identify potential small-molecule inhibitors.

To control for non-specific CP234-Luc affecters, we used a previously described CP234-Luc protein containing a 42 amino acid glycine/serine rich sequence [12]. This 42 amino acid glycine/serine rich sequence is not recognized by native proteases in the TnT® SP6 High-Yield lysate or by the TEV protease (data not shown). Because of the long length of this polypeptide, the basal luminescence of the CP234-Luc/42AA protein was approximately 10 times greater than the luminescence of the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC protein after TEV protease digestion. The luminescence of the CP234-Luc/42AA protein was determined by incubating the protein in the TEV assay conditions with DMSO solvent. The luminescence of the CP234-Luc/42AA protein was also measured in the presence of the LOPAC 1280 library. Therefore, non-specific affecters were detected by a change in the CP234-Luc/42AA protein luminescent signal from the DMSO solvent control. Incubation with twenty-five LOPAC 1280 library compounds (out of 1280 compounds, 2%) resulted in a CP234-Luc/42AA luminescent signal outside three standard deviations.

![Fig. (3). Evaluation of TEV Protease Recognition Sequence P1' Specificity.](image1)

Cleavage dependent activation of CP234-Luc/ENLYFQX fusion proteins. Cell-free translation reactions were diluted 1:1 in 2X TEV protease buffer, incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes ± 10 U TEV protease and luminescence was measured from 5 μL aliquots. The X-axis is the amino acid at the P1' position (X) of the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQX fusion protein. The Y-axis is the fold activation of the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQX fusion proteins calculated by dividing the mean TEV digested values by the mean undigested values (no TEV digest), N = 3. Error bars are the standard deviation of the mean.

![Fig. (4). TEV Protease Titration.](image2)

TEV protease dose dependent activation of CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS protein. Cell-free translation reactions were diluted 1:1 in 2X TEV protease buffer, incubated at 30°C for 30 minutes with titrating amounts of TEV protease and luminescence was measured from 5 μL aliquots in 100 μL 1:1 diluted Bright Glo™ Luciferase Assay reagent in dH2O; N = 4. Results are plotted as signal to noise (S/N). The limit of detection was defined as the amount of TEV protease giving a S/N ratio = 3 (dotted line). The \( R^2 \) value was 0.99.
deviations from the mean of the DMSO solvent control. Presumably these compounds either interfere with CP 234-Luc activity or, as with highly colored compounds, they may be quenching the luminescent signal produced by the CP 234-Luc [11].

Hits were defined as those compounds which inhibited TEV protease activity greater than 60%. We chose to only examine these compounds because our goal was to find a very potent inhibitor of TEV protease activity. Representative screen data from plate 1 is shown (Fig. 5). On plate 1 there were three hits based on greater than 60% TEV protease inhibition: one was confirmed as an inhibitor, aurintricarboxylic acid (ATA; yellow triangle), one was not re-tested (green triangle) and one also caused a reduction in CP234-Luc/42AA assay activity (blue triangle and gray/black square), suggesting that the compound is non-specifically affecting the luminescent reaction. One additional compound (gray/black square) caused an increase in CP234-Luc/42AA activity; however it did not appear to affect the CP 234-Luc/ENLYFQC assay activity.

In total, twenty-one compounds inhibited greater than 60% of the TEV protease cleavage of CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC (out of 1280 compounds, 1.6%). Nine of the 21 compounds which inhibited greater than 60% of the TEV protease cleavage of CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC also resulted in a CP234-Luc/42AA luminescent signal outside three standard deviations from the mean of the DMSO solvent control and thus were excluded from further analysis. The remaining 12 compounds were, therefore, potential TEV protease specific inhibitors (out of 1280 compounds, 0.9%).

Fig. (5). Representative Data from Plate 1 of the LOPAC1280 Library Screen.
The LOPAC1280 library was screened for TEV protease inhibitors using the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC assay. Shown here are the data from plate 1 (N = 320 compounds). There were three hits (△, ▲, □) based on greater than 60% TEV protease inhibition, blue line (= 345,311 RLU). The CP234-Luc/42AA assay was also performed to detect potential non-specific affectors of the CP234-Luc assay and the results, which are overlaid on the graph in gray, indicate that two compounds non-specifically affected the assay. (○) = CP234-Luc/42AA activity in DMSO; (□, △) = CP234-Luc/42AA activity with compound library; (□) = CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC activity minus TEV enzyme in DMSO; (■) = CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC activity plus TEV enzyme in DMSO and (▲, △, □, □) = CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC activity plus TEV protease with compound library. Controls are plotted as the mean of N = 64 (CP234-Luc/42AA in DMSO) or 32 (CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC ± TEV protease in DMSO). Error bars are the standard deviation of the mean.

Five of the 12 compounds were re-tested using both the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC assay and the protein fusion cleavage assay. We did not test all twelve compounds because of cost considerations. Instead, we chose to re-test a range of potential TEV protease inhibitors: three potent inhibitors (inhibited >80% cleavage activity) and two moderate inhibitors (inhibited 60-70% cleavage activity). The five compounds were: aurintricarboxylic acid (ATA), 8,8’-[carbonylbis(2imidino-3,1-phenylenecarbonylimino)]bis(1,3,5-naphthalenesulturonic acid) hexasodium salt (NF-023), 4-[3-(4-Acetyl-3-hydroxy-2-propylphenoxy)propoxy]phenoxyacetic acid (L-165,041), SCH-202676 hydrobromide or N-(2,3-diphenyl-1,2,4-thiadiazol-5-(2H)-ylidene)methanamine hydrobromide (SCH HBr), and 6-hydroxyl-DL-DOPA or 2,5-dihydroxy-DL-tyrosine (L-DOPA). Three out of the five compounds showed dosage-dependent inhibition as shown in Fig. (6). For the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC assay, NF-023 and ATA were tested from 0 – 0.2 mM and the other three compounds were tested from 0 – 1 mM (Fig. 6A). For the protein fusion cleavage assay, compounds were tested from 0 – 0.1 mM (Fig. 6B). Inhibitor potency ranking was determined by estimating the IC50 values (see Materials and Methodology; Table 3).

Of the five compounds, SCH HBr and L-DOPA did not inhibit TEV protease cleavage in either assay re-test. The potency ranking using the two assays was the same for two out of the three remaining compounds. In the case of ATA, an IC50 value could not be determined using the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC assay. This was most likely due to assay interference by the dark red color of ATA. ATA (by protein fusion cleavage assay) and NF-023 were determined to be
potent inhibitors of TEV protease cleavage while L-165,041 was a slightly weaker inhibitor.

**DISCUSSION**

We have previously described the general applicability of a protease assay for substrate identification, with and without P' requirements, using a genetically engineered firefly luciferase biosensor, which is highly sensitive and has a wide dynamic range (Fig. 1) [12]. Furthermore, this assay does not require the purchase of, or chemical synthesis of, peptide substrates. In this report, we further demonstrate the utility of this assay for protease detection, substrate specificity analysis, small molecule inhibitor screening, and potency determination using TEV protease as a model system.

The TEV protease used in this study (ProTEV protease) is a 50kDa version of the NIa protease from TEV that has

### Table 3. IC₅₀ Determinations

| Compound     | IC₅₀ by CP₂₃⁴-Luc/ENLYFQC | IC₅₀ by Protein Fusion Cleavage |
|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ATA          | N.D.                      | 2.6 µM                         |
| NF-023       | 40 µM                     | 2.3 µM                         |
| L-165,041    | 146 µM                    | 90 µM                          |

N.D. – not determined due to interference from the color of compound at high concentrations. ATA - aurintricarboxylic acid, NF-023 - 8,8’-[carbonylbis(imino-3,1-phenylene)carbonylimino]bis(1,3,5-naphthalene-trisulfonic acid) hexasodium salt, L-165,041 - 4-[3-(4-Acetyl-3-hydroxy-2-propylphenoxy)propoxy]phenoxycetic acid. IC₅₀ values were estimated by plotting activity against the logarithm of compound concentration and fitting the data to a sigmoidal dose response curve using GraphPad Prism 4.

**Fig. (6). Inhibitor Potency Titration Curves.**

Five compounds were re-tested at titrating concentrations. The five compounds were: aurintricarboxylic acid (ATA), 8,8’-[carbonylbis(imino-3,1-phenylene)carbonylimino]bis(1,3,5-naphthalene-trisulfonic acid) hexasodium salt (NF-023), 4-[3-(4-Acetyl-3-hydroxy-2-propylphenoxy)propoxy]phenoxycetic acid (L-165,041), SCH-202676 hydrobromide or N-(2,3-diphenyl-1,2,4-thiadiazol-5-(2H)-ylidene)methanamine hydrobromide (SCH HBr), and 6-hydroxyl-DL-DOPA or 2,5-dihydroxy-DL-tyrosine (L-DOPA). A. Using the CP₂₃⁴-Luc/ENLYFQC assay, NF-023 and ATA were tested from 0 – 0.2 mM and the other three compounds were tested from 0 – 1 mM. Data are the mean values, N = 3. Error bars are the standard deviation of the mean. B. Using the protein fusion cleavage assay, compounds were tested from 0 – 0.1 mM. Data are the average of N = 2.
been engineered to be more stable than native TEV protease [8, 14, 15]. TEV protease is a highly site-specific cysteine protease that recognizes the seven amino acid sequence EXXYXQ(G/S). This site is most commonly ENLYFQG, with cleavage occurring between glutamine and glycine or serine [16, 17]. However, the protease will recognize and cleave sequences with a variety of amino acids at the G/S (or P1') position [8]. The protease is used primarily to cleave affinity tags from fusion proteins after protein purification.

We have previously optimized the linker length and site of circular permutation for a non-lytic, live cell cAMP biosensor which resulted in dramatic performance improvements [12]. However, our previous protease assay optimizations were only focused on the length of the polypeptide inserted between the native N and C termini [12]. In this study, we examined the performance of the CP-Luc/ENLYFQS proteins circularly permuted at different sites (Fig. 2). All four proteins were similarly digested by the TEV protease (Fig. 2B). Therefore to determine the optimal circularly permuted site, we compared the luminescent values and fold activations of the four proteins (Fig. 2A). To calculate fold activation, it is necessary that the basal luminescent values are greater than three standard deviations above the “no DNA” control. CP359-Luc/ENLYFQS and CP395-Luc/ENLYFQS basal luminescent values were within three standard deviations of the “no DNA control” and, since we could not calculate their fold activations, they were not chosen. A comparison of the luminescent values and fold activations of the remaining two proteins, CP235-Luc/ENLYFQS and CP269-Luc/ENLYFQS, showed that the most optimal protein in terms of basal and activated luminescence as well as fold activation was the CP235-Luc/ENLYFQS protein (Fig. 2A). We therefore used a mutant luciferase circularly permuted near Thr235, at Asp234, for all our subsequent studies (Figs. 3-6).

One of our main objectives for this protease assay was to facilitate the easy and rapid evaluation of multiple protease substrates. Although other methodologies exist, they can be prohibitive in terms of the cost to purchase the substrates or in the expertise required to synthesize the substrates. In the case of protein fusion cleavage assays, they are laborious to perform and are not well suited for high throughput screen-
ing applications. We therefore devised a bioluminescent-based CP234-Luc cloning method where substrates could be quickly and easily generated. Using this method, we generated 20 substrates and performed a TEV protease substrate specificity study. Our results are consistent with previous reports [8]. Furthermore, the dynamic range for the CP234-Luc/DEVDG P1' substrate was 420 fold (Fig. 3).

One limitation of the CP234-Luc method is that rigorous enzymatic analyses are difficult to perform. Since the protease substrates are generated in a cell-free lysate, the exact amount of substrate is hard to determine. Consequently, exact quantitation analysis is challenging. Other methodologies also have limitations quantitatively measuring protease activity. For example, it is important that the protein fusion cleavage assay conditions are engineered such that the substrate digestions are not allowed to reach 100% completion. If not, multiple substrates may appear to be equally preferred by the protease. This was demonstrated by the near complete intracellular processing of multiple substrates by TEV protease in E. coli [8]. Another potential limitation of protein fusion cleavage assays is their dependence on gel conditions and the densitometer dynamic range (sample loading, scan settings, etc.).

Nevertheless, the results from our semi-quantitative analysis based on fold of activation (Fig. 3) are consistent with results using other methods in previous reports [8]. The relative ranking of ten amino acids at the P1' position between the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS assay and an in vitro processing (protein fusion cleavage) assay were similar with two exceptions: Asp and Gln. The relative ranking of those two amino acids at the P1' position was significantly higher using CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS assay than the in vitro processing gel cleavage assay [8]. One possible explanation for this difference may be the context in which the protease recognition sequence is present. Since the context is non-native in both assays, it is possible that the differences observed in the relative rankings are due to the ability of the protease to access its substrate.

The CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS assay, similar to what we have previously demonstrated using a caspase-3 substrate (CP234-Luc/DEVDG) [12], has a wide dynamic range, ≥1,000 fold, and is highly sensitive with a limit of detection of 0.163 mU TEV protease/sample (Fig. 4). Unfortunately, we cannot compare the sensitivity of the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS assay with an analogous fluorescent assay because, to the best of our knowledge, such an assay is not commercially available. However, we can compare the sensitivity of the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQS assay with both analogous fluorescent assays as well as an analogous peptide conjugated aminoluciferin protease substrate assay [9]. The comparison between the assays can be estimated after normalizing the two different sources of caspase-3 protease (and assuming that the substrates used to determine specific activity are comparable). We estimated that the sensitivity of the CP234-Luc/DEVDG assay was 10 to 100 fold more sensitive than the two analogous fluorescent substrates tested; Rho110 and AMC and ~10 fold less sensitive than the Z-DEVD-aminoluciferin protease substrate assay. These results demonstrate that the CP234-Luc assay retains the high sensitivity and wide dynamic range afforded bioluminescence-based assays while enabling researchers to easily generate protease substrates of interest.

TEV protease is widely used as a tool to cleave a protein purification tag from the protein of interest. Therefore, we wanted to find an inhibitor which could “turn off” TEV protease after fusion protein cleavage, but did not affect subsequent processing steps. Initially, we tried several established inhibitors without success. For example, while 5 mM zinc effectively inhibited the protease, it also frequently precipitated the proteins out of solution. Similarly, inactivation of TEV, a cysteine protease, with sulfhydryl modifying reagents such as N-ethylmaleimide and iodoacetamide required concentrations at levels where other proteins in the solution were also modified. And finally, TEV protease proved resistant to various known inhibitors of cysteine proteases, including E-64 protease inhibitor, ALLN (N-acetyl-Leu-Leu-Nle-CHO), and EST ((2S,3S)-trans-Epoxy succinyl-L-leucylamido-3-methylbutane Ethyl Ester) (data not shown). A peptide substrate analog with a chloromethylketone (CMK) in the P1' position was synthesized according to previous studies [18]. This hexapeptide peptide CMK inhibitor was able to inhibit TEV protease cleavage with an IC50 of ~5.7 μM as measured by the protease fusion cleavage assay. Complete inhibition was observed at 20 μM after a 15 minute pre-incubation with the protease before adding substrate (data not shown). However, the cost of the peptide-based inhibitor prohibited its practical use.

We screened the LOPAC library in 384-well format to find a small molecule inhibitor of TEV protease which would not affect subsequent processing steps. The library was screened at ten times the concentration of a typical screen (100 μM versus 10 μM) (Fig. 5). We chose to perform the screen at this high concentration to increase the probability of finding a very potent inhibitor of TEV protease. The high compound concentration likely resulted in a higher than expected number [9-11] of non-specific effectors of CP234-Luc/42AA luminescence, in part due to increased color quenching. Of the 25 compounds which non-specifically affected the CP234-Luc/42AA luminescent signal, nine were visibly colored at 100 μM, four have been previously shown to inhibit luciferase activity (M.A. O’Brien, personal communication); three appeared to increase activity and the remaining nine compounds reduced activity. It would be interesting to determine the number of compounds which affect the CP234-Luc/42AA luminescent signal when screened at 10 μM concentration.

Five of the 12 compound hits found in the primary screen were re-tested using the same CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC assay as well as a protein fusion cleavage assay. Protein fusion cleavage is the most commonly used assay to assess inhibition of TEV protease activity. The results from the two assays were the same in terms of confirming the three hits as well as the relative ranking of two of the three hits (Fig. 6; Table 3). The estimated IC50 for L-165,041 was similar (within 10 fold) between the two assays, but the estimated IC50 for NF-023 was significantly different between the two assays. Although the reason for this difference is not understood, it is well known that different assays and conditions can result in different IC50 values. It is also worth noting that the P1' position of the TEV protease recognition sequence was not the same between the CP234-Luc/ENLYFQC and protein fusion cleavage assays, cysteine versus alanine, respectively. The experiments for which the TEV protease inhibitor was intended required that the P1' amino acid be a cysteine. And since all
bioluminescent substrates were readily available, we were able to choose that substrate for the screening study. But for the protein fusion cleavage assay, we did not have the option of choosing the P1' amino acid since alanine was pre-engineered into the pFN2K parent vector. Fortunately in this case, based on the P1' position study (Fig. 3), we do not believe that the variations observed in the NF-023 IC50 values were a result of this difference. In other applications, however, the ability to precisely choose the exact protease substrate may be critical.

The three confirmed TEV protease inhibitors were: aurintricarboxylic acid (ATA), 8,8'-[carboxylbis(imino-3,1-phenylenecarbonylimino)]bis(1,3,5-naphthalene-trisulfonic acid) hexafluorid salt (NF-023), and 4-[3-(4-Acetyl-3-hydroxy-2-propylenoxy)propoxy]phenoxyacetic acid (L-165,041). The chemical structures of the three compounds are shown in Fig. (7). ATA and NF-023 were strong TEV protease inhibitors while L-165,041 was a moderate inhibitor. Although ATA is listed as a DNA topoisomerase II inhibitor in the Sigma LOPAC library database, it has also been shown to inhibit cysteine proteases such as calpain [19] and caspases 3, 6, 7, and 9 [20]. Therefore, our results showing an inhibitory effect of ATA on the TEV cysteine protease are consistent with previous reports. NF-023, listed as a potent, selective P2X1 receptor antagonist in the Sigma LOPAC library database, is an analog of suramin, a hexafluorinated naphthyllurea. Suramin has many, widely diverse uses such as to treat trypanosomiasis and onchocerciasis [21], as an anti-tumor drug [22-25]. Malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum erythrocyte invasion inhibitor [26], HIV-1 reverse transcriptase inhibitor [27], and inhibitor of three neutrophil serine proteinases: neutrophil elastase, cathepsin G and proteinase 3 [28]. Interestingly, TEV protease is a serine-like cysteine protease. Thus it is possible that NF-023’s inhibitory mechanism of action on TEV protease may be the same as suramin’s on the neutrophil serine proteinases. Further supporting this idea is the fact that two (out of 12) additional hits found in the primary LOPAC screen were suramin and NF-449, another suramin analog. This suggests that a structure-activity relationship exists between suramin and related compounds and their TEV protease inhibitory properties. Finally, L-165,041 is a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor beta agonist. A search of the literature failed to yield any previous reports which would help explain this compound’s TEV protease inhibitory effects.

The LOPAC1280 library screen for TEV protease inhibitors demonstrates that the CP24-Luc protease assay can be used to screen for small molecule modulators in a high throughput 384-well format. More studies will be needed to fully validate its utility for high throughput screening, for example, improving assay quality (i.e., Z’ and Z values currently around 0.4-0.5) on a larger and more diverse compound library. Nevertheless, we were able to identify several novel TEV protease inhibitors. The use of these inhibitors to “turn off” TEV protease for applications in protein purification is being explored.

CONCLUSION

Proteases play a critical role in almost every aspect of biology. Therefore a better understanding of their often times complex functions and regulation, is vital to disease prevention and treatment. One of the first steps when investigating novel proteases is to establish its substrate specificity and a robust assay. Here we have demonstrated the utility of a genetically engineered luciferase protease assay which allows for the rapid and sensitive evaluation of multiple substrates without the need for chemically synthesizing peptide substrates, made in-house or purchased. For many, this will enable a more comprehensive study of novel proteases with the added increase in sensitivity and dynamic range afforded by the bioluminescent-based format. Once an optimal substrate sequence has been determined, that substrate can be directly used for applications such as protease detection, high throughput screening for protease modulators, and their further characterizations.
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