Simultaneous Evaluation of the Influence of Panax ginseng on the Pharmacokinetics of Three Diester Alkaloids after Oral Administration of Aconiti Lateralis Radix in Rats Using UHPLC/QQQ-MS/MS
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Objectives. To investigate whether Panax ginseng (P. ginseng) could affect the metabolism of Diester Alkaloids (DAs) derived from Aconiti Lateralis Radix in vivo.

Methods and Results. 24 male Sprague-Dawley rats were randomized for 7-day treatment with P. ginseng (low, middle, and high), or vehicle. Aconiti Lateralis Radix was administered orally to each group on the 8th day. Plasma samples were collected, and Xevo TQ-S was used to detect the concentration of aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in plasma. We describe a fast and reproducible method to detect the concentration of aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in plasma. Compared to the control group, the AUC (0-t) of three DAs increased in both the middle and high dosing groups. The Vz/F of three DAs in these groups as well as the CLz/F of aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in P. ginseng groups and the CLz/F of mesaconitine and hypaconitine in P. ginseng middle and high groups were decreased compared to the control group.

Conclusion. Orally administrated P. ginseng potentially inhibits the metabolism of DAs from Aconiti Lateralis Radix in rats.

1. Introduction

Panax ginseng C. A. Mey (referred as P. ginseng in this study) and Aconiti Lateralis Radix are two traditional Chinese medicines that have a long history of successful application in the clinic [1–3].

P. ginseng has been used for the treatment of various diseases such as liver dysfunction [4], hypertension [5], and cerebrovascular disease [6] in China, Japan, and Korea. Various ginsenosides such as Rg1, Rb1, Rc, Rd, Rg3, Rh1, and Rf have all been found to be important constituents in P. ginseng extracts [7–10]. Aconiti Lateralis Radix is traditional Chinese medicine famed for its toxicity and contains a range of diverse alkaloids such as aconitine, mesaconitine, hypaconitine, and benzoylaconitine among many others [11]. Aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine, which are classed as DAs, have been shown to be the major toxic components in Aconiti Lateralis Radix and have been known to exert both potent cardiac toxicity and neurotoxicity in several studies [12, 13].

Routes of administration vary when a combination of P. ginseng with Aconiti Lateralis Radix is required, including the two traditional routes, by injection and by mouth [1, 2]. These routes are commonly used for a range of disorders, most notable in the treatment of heart failure and rescuing hemorrhagic shock [14–19]. Although this treatment is often successful, overdose is common among patients. New measures to reduce this risk are now in place including more strictly controlled usage to limit cardiac toxicity and neurotoxicity. To date, the mode of action of this toxicity is unknown; however, several hypotheses have been developed. It was found that toxicity was reduced in doses that had increased levels of monoester alkaloids, while toxicity was directly correlated to an increase in DAs [20, 21].

In our previous work, we reasoned that P. ginseng could have a direct effect on the metabolism of these
DAs (aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine) in vitro. Furthermore, we speculated that metabolism stemmed from oxidative transformations mediated by CYP3A4, which was confirmed to be the primary sub-cytochrome P450s (CYPs) for phase 1 metabolism of DAs. And researchers also found that biological activities and pharmacokinetics difference of three representative alkaloids: aconitine, benzoyleconitine, and aconine were observed after oral administration in rats [22]. Though aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine were all belonging to DAs, the structural involvement of sub-CYPs in the metabolism and toxicity of them [23] was not entirely consistent. Zhang Q et al. investigated the pharmacokinetic difference of alkaloids in rats after oral administration of decoction of Aconiti Lateralis Radix. They found that different preparative methods resulted in significant difference on exposure and pharmacokinetic characteristics of alkaloids [24]. Therefore, we wanted to further evaluate whether P. ginseng directly influences the metabolism of DAs in vivo, which to the best of our knowledge has yet to be studied.

The present study therefore aims to investigate the pharmacokinetic difference between aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine after exposure to P. ginseng in rats.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials and Chemicals. P. ginseng was purchased from qiancao Group Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). Aconiti Lateralis Radix was produced by Tongrentang (Beijing, China). Carbamazepines were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co., Ltd. (Darmstadt, Germany). Ginsenoside RF (CAS:52286-58-5), Notoginsenoside R2 (CAS:80418-25-3), Ginsenoside Rg2 (CAS:52286-74-5), Ginsenoside Rhi (CAS:63223-86-9), Ginsenoside Rg3 (CAS:14197-60-5), Ginsenoside Rb3 (CAS: 68406-26-8), Chikusetsusaponin IVa (51415-02-2), Ginsenoside F2 (CAS:62025-49-4), Ginsenoside Rd (CAS:52705-93-8), Ginsenoside Rg6 (CAS:147419-93-0), Aconitine (CAS: 302-27-2), Mesaconitine (CAS:2752-64-9), and Hypaconitine (CAS: 6900-87-4) were purchased from EFEBIO Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). Aconitine and Methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from Fisher Scientific Company Inc. (Boston, USA).

2.2. The Preparation of P. ginseng and Aconiti Lateralis Radix Extract. P. ginseng (300 g) was soaked in 2.4 L doubly distilled water and boiled for 1 hour. The filtrate was collected and previous step was repeated. The combined filtrates were concentrated by rotary evaporation to afford extracts of 0.1, 1, 10g/kg for P. ginseng group (low, middle, and high) respectively, once daily. Distilled water was administered at a dosage of 2 ml/kg for control group, simultaneously. On the 8th day, Aconiti Lateralis Radix extracts (1g/kg) were orally administered to all groups. 0.5 ml plasma samples were collected in 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes (containing heparin sodium) at 10, 30, 60, 90, 120, 240, 480, 720, 1440, and 2880 min. All plasma samples were centrifuged at 15 min at 4000g. The supernatant was separated to another 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes and stored at -20°C.

2.3. The Preparation of Reference Solution. Stock solutions were prepared by dissolving accurately weighed reference compounds in methanol at concentrations 1mg/ml individually. Then working solutions were diluted with 50% methanol respectively at concentration of 10 μg/ml, and centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 min. Then samples were filtered through a 0.22 μm micropore membrane. A 5 μL volume of supernatant was injected into LC-MS system for analysis.

2.4. The Qualitative Analysis of Herb Extracts. UPLC/Q-TOF-MS (Waters, USA) was used to detect the target components of herb extracts. The extracts were centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 min, diluted to a specific concentration, and filtered through a 0.22 μm Micropore membrane. A 5μl injection was used for subsequent analysis.

Chromatographic separation was conducted on Waters ACQUITY UPLC HSS T3 1.8 μm column (2.1 mm × 100 mm) and the column temperature was 45°C. The flow rate was 0.4ml/min. Room temperature was 20 ± 2°C. Phase A consisted of water and 0.1% formic acid (v/v) and phase B consisted of acetonitrile (ACN) 0.1% formic acid (v/v). Column separation for Aconiti Lateralis Radix extract was performed by gradient elution program: 0-1 min, 10%; 2-25 min, 90%; 26-28 min, 10%; 30 min, 10% B. Column separation for P. ginseng extract was performed by gradient elution program: 0-1 min, 2.0-20% B; 1-27 min, 20-50% B; 29-30 min, 2% B.

Electrospray ionization (ESI) and positive ion V mode were used to determine the components of Aconiti Lateralis Radix extract. Negative ion V mode was used to determine the components of P. ginseng extract. The main parameters for mass spectrum were set as follows: capillary voltage: 3.0 kv; sample cone: 40 v; source temperature: 100°C; desolvation temperature: 350°C; cone gas flow: 450 L/h; desolvation gas flow: 900 L/h; injection volume: 5μL. The composition identification was done by combining reference substance and molecular weight deviation.

2.5. Animals and Treatments. 24 male Sprague-Dawley (SD) rats (180-220 g) were obtained from the animal experiment center of the Academy of Military Medical Science of People’s license (Beijing, China): SCXK (army)-2012-0004. The environment of raising animals was controlled (room temperature: 22 ± 2°C, humidity: 50 ± 5%, 12 h dark-light cycle). All of the animal experiments were performed in accordance with the guidelines of European community, approved by Institution of Animal Care and Use Committee, Academy of Military Medical Science (IACUC-AMMS). All animals were kept in these environments for 5 days before experiments.

Rats were randomly divided into 4 groups: control group, P ginseng (low, middle, and high) group. 7 days of oral administration of P. ginseng extract was administered at a dosage of 0.1, 1, 10 g/kg for P. ginseng group (low, middle, and high), respectively, once daily. Distilled water was administered at a dosage of 2 ml/kg for control group, simultaneously. On the 8th day, Aconiti Lateralis Radix extracts (1g/kg) were orally administered to all groups. 0.5 ml plasma samples were collected in 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes (containing heparin sodium) at 10, 30, 60, 90, 120, 240, 480, 720, 1440, and 2880 min. All plasma samples were centrifuged for 15 min at 4000g. The supernatant was separated to another 1.5 ml centrifuge tubes and stored at -20°C.

2.6. Preparation of Plasma Samples and Method Validation. Liquid-liquid extraction (ethyl acetate) and protein
precipitation (50% acetonitrile plus 50% methanol) methods were compared to determine the best method to prepare the plasma sample. Liquid-liquid extraction method was chosen, due to reproducibly high recovery rates. The details of the extraction are as follows: 10 μL carbamazepine (IS) was added to 90 μL plasma, spiked with 400 μL ethyl acetate and the mixture vortexed for 15 seconds. After centrifugation at 12,000g for 10 min, the supernatant was transferred to another 1.5 mL centrifuge tube and dried under a stream of nitrogen at 37°C. The residue was redissolved in 100 μL 50% acetonitrile and centrifuged at 12,000g for 10 min and filtered through a 0.22 μm Micropore membrane. A 5 μL volume of supernatant was injected into LC-MS system for analysis.

Plasma from rats without any processing was used to evaluate the detection methods. 0.1, 0.25, 0.5, 1.25, 5, 10 ng/mL were chosen to calculate standards curve. 0.1, 0.4, 4, 8 ng/mL were selected as the QC sample (n = 4) with repeated operations used to calculate accuracy and precision of the detection method. The data was acquired after keeping the samples at either +4°C 24 hours or room temperature (about 25°C) for 24 hours as well as using freeze-thaw methods (three times) to evaluate stability. Recovery of the developed methods was calculated by the following formula: recovery = measured value/ theoretical value × 100%. 2.8. Statistical Analysis. The data was acquired and analyzed by XEVO-QT-S (Waters). The pharmacokinetic parameters were analyzed by DAS pharmacokinetic program (Version 2.0). SAS statistical software was used to determine the difference between each group. All data was presented as mean ± SD, and P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. The figures were processed and displayed by GraphPad prism software (Version 5.01).

### 3. Results

3.1. The Qualitative Analysis of Herb Extracts. The main components in *P. ginseng* included ginsenoside Rf, Rg2, Rg3, Rb3, Rhl, Rd, Rg6, F2, notoginsenoside R2, and chikusetsusaponin IVa (Figure 1 and Table 1). The positive ion peak chromatograms ofaconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in Aconiti Lateralis Radix by UPLC-Q/TOF-MS were shown in Figure 2 and clearly show that aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine were successfully extracted from Aconiti Lateralis Radix. The assigned identity, retention time, molecular formula, theoretical mass/Da, mean measured mass/ Da, and mass accuracy (1.0 × 10⁻⁶) of herb extract were shown in Tables 1 and 2.

#### 3.2. Method Validation

3.2.1. Selectivity and Specificity. The ion pair of relevant compounds were 646.17>586.03 (aconitine), 632.25>571.92 (mesaconitine), and 616.30>555.97 (hypaconitine) with carbamazepine (237.07>194.03) used as the internal standards (IS). The molecular weight and retention time of each compound in the sample were shown in Figure 4, with these peaks not observed for the blank control sample (Figure 3). The limit of detection (LOD) and the lowest limit of quantification (LLOQ) were defined by signal-to-noise ratio method. LOD should be three times of the noise level (S/N >3). LLOQ should be ten times of the noise level (S/N >10). The LOD and LLOQ of three DAs in this experiment were 0.025 ng/ml and 0.1 ng/ml, respectively (Table 3).

3.2.2. Standard Curves and Linearity. The standard curve and correlation coefficient of the three components in the selected

| Peak no. | Assigned identity | Rt /min | Molecular formula | Theoretical mass/Da | Mean measured mass/Da | Mass accuracy/×10⁻⁶ |
|----------|------------------|---------|------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 1        | ginsenoside Rf   | 9.96    | C42H72O14        | 845.4899            | 845.495              | 6.03               |
| 2        | notoginsenoside R2 | 10.89  | C41H70O13       | 815.4810            | 815.4793            | -2.08              |
| 3        | ginsenoside Rg2  | 11.80  | C42H72O13       | 829.4950            | 829.4910            | -4.82              |
| 4        | ginsenoside Rhl  | 11.93  | C39H68O9        | 683.4370            | 683.4380            | 1.46               |
| 5        | ginsenoside Rh3  | 14.69  | C35H82O22       | 1077.5846           | 1077.5762           | -7.80              |
| 6        | chikusetsusaponin IVa | 15.21 | C42H66O14      | 793.4374            | 793.4314            | -7.56              |
| 7        | ginsenoside Rd   | 16.02  | C44H66O18       | 991.3988            | 991.3899            | -8.98              |
| 8        | ginsenoside Rg6  | 18.82  | C42H70O12       | 811.4844            | 811.4838            | -0.74              |
| 9        | ginsenoside F2   | 21.36  | C42H72O13       | 783.4895            | 783.4824            | -9.06              |
| 10       | ginsenoside Rg3  | 22.88  | C42H72O13       | 783.4895            | 783.4904            | 1.15               |

Table 1: Main compounds detected in *P. ginseng* extracts.
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Figure 1: Continued.
methods were shown in Table 5. The stability of three compounds is shown in Table 5(a), with the accuracies ranging from 83.09 ± 2.45% to 111.64 ± 9.18% for aconitine, 51.24 ± 22.2 μg/L·h for hypaconitine) and high group (162.98 ± 44.93 μg/L·h for mesaconitine, and 71.75 ± 22.2 μg/L·h for hypaconitine) when compared to the AUC_{(0-4)} of the control group (40.77 ± 9.71 μg/L·h for aconitine, 51.24 ± 21.48 μg/L·h for mesaconitine, and 48.12 ± 24.59 μg/L·h for hypaconitine).

3.2.3. Precision and Accuracy. The precision and accuracy of the detection of three compounds in both intra- and interday at the linear range are shown in Table 4. Four QC concentrations (0.1, 0.4, 4, 8 ng/mL) were analyzed for each compound. The average precision and accuracy of intraday samples ranged from 2.17% to 9.67%. The average precision and accuracy of interday ranged from 1.98% to 15.88% and from 97.74% to 105.02%, and the RSDs from 97.02 ± 2.77% to 100.64 ± 3.64%, respectively, which proved that the methods had a good accuracy and precision.

3.2.4. Stability and Recovery. The stability data of detected methods were shown in Table 5. The stability of three compounds in 4°C and room temperature (about 25°C) within 24 hours are shown in Table 5(a), with the accuracies ranging from 97.02 ± 9.71 μg/L·h for aconitine, 82.45 ± 18.57 μg/L·h for mesaconitine, and 126.07 ± 44.93 μg/L·h for hypaconitine) when compared to the AUC_{(0-4)} of the control group (40.77 ± 9.71 μg/L·h for aconitine, 51.24 ± 21.48 μg/L·h for mesaconitine, and 48.12 ± 24.59 μg/L·h for hypaconitine).

Table 2: Main compounds detected in Aconiti Lateralis Radix extracts.

| Peak no. | Assigned identity | Rt/min | Molecular formula | Theoretical mass/Da | Mean measured mass/Da | [M+H]^+ | Mass accuracy /×10^-6 |
|----------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------------|
| 1        | inositol          | 0.51   | C_9H_12O_6        | 180.0643            | 180.0648              | -2.78   |                      |
| 2        | fuziline          | 3.01   | C_24H_39NO_7     | 454.2805            | 454.2811              | -1.32   |                      |
| 3        | fuziline          | 3.15   | C_24H_39NO_7     | 454.2805            | 454.2837              | -7.04   |                      |
| 4        | bullatine         | 3.82   | C_24H_39NO_6     | 438.2856            | 438.2819              | 8.44    |                      |
| 5        | talatisamine      | 4.05   | C_23H_39NO_5     | 422.2906            | 422.2905              | 0.24    |                      |
| 6        | benzoylmesaconine | 8.32   | C_14H_6O_6       | 590.2695            | 590.2696              | -0.17   |                      |
| 7        | chasmanine        | 9.51   | C_23H_41NO_6     | 452.3012            | 452.3055              | -9.51   |                      |
| 8        | mesaconitine      | 12.61  | C_23H_38NO_11    | 632.3071            | 632.3021              | 7.91    |                      |
| 9        | hypaconitine      | 15.92  | C_59H_36NO_10    | 616.3122            | 616.3136              | -0.65   |                      |
| 10       | aconitine         | 15.93  | C_59H_36NO_11    | 646.3227            | 646.3220              | 1.08    |                      |
| 11       | aldehydepaconitine| 18.05  | C_33H_41NO_11    | 630.2944            | 630.2971              | -9.04   |                      |

Figure 1: The negative ion peak chromatograms of P. ginseng extracts and reference substances of main components by UPLC-Q/TOF-MS. (a) Reference substances and (b) P. ginseng extracts (1: ginsenoside Rf; 2: notoginsenoside R2; 3: ginsenoside Rg2; 4: ginsenoside Rh1; 5: ginsenoside Rb3; 6: chikusetsusaponin IVa; 7: ginsenoside Rd; 8: ginsenoside Rg6; 9: ginsenoside F2; 10: ginsenoside Rg3).
Figure 2: The positive ion peak chromatograms of aconitine, mesaconitine, hypaconitine, and Aconiti Lateralis Radix extracts by UPLC-Q/TOF-MS. (a) aconitine: 646.3220 (m/z); (b) mesaconitine: 632.3021 (m/z); (c) hypaconitine: 616.3136 (m/z); and (d) Aconiti Lateralis Radix extracts (1: inositol; 2: fuziline; 3: fuziline; 4: bullatine; 5: talatisamine; 6: benzoylmesaconine; 7: chasmanine; 8: mesaconitine; 9/10: hypaconitine/aconitine; 11: aldohypaconitine).

Table 3: Standard curves, linear range, correlation coefficient, LOD, and LLOQ of aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in rat plasma.

| Name       | Standard curves       | Linear range (ng/mL) | R²         | LLOQ (ng/mL) | LOD (ng/mL) |
|------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------|--------------|-------------|
| Aconitine  | \( y = 0.3651x + 0.0210 \) | 0.1-10               | 0.9997     | 0.1          | 0.025       |
| Mesaconitine | \( y = 0.7952x + 0.0218 \) | 0.1-10               | 0.9987     | 0.1          | 0.025       |
| Hypaconitine | \( y = 0.718x + 0.0605 \)  | 0.1-10               | 0.9970     | 0.1          | 0.025       |
Figure 3: The positive ion peak chromatograms of aconitine, mesaconitine, hypaconitine, and carbamazepine (IS) in blank group by XEVO-QT-S. (a) Carbamazepine, the ion pair 237.07>194.03. (b) Hypaconitine, the ion pair 616.30>555.97. (c) Mesaconitine, the ion pair 632.25>571.92. (d) Aconitine, the ion pair 646.17>586.03.

Table 4: Intra- and Interday precision and accuracy of these standard curves.

| Name      | QC conc. (ng/mL) | Concentration (ng/mL) | RSD (%) | Accuracy (%) | Concentration (ng/mL) | RSD (%) | Accuracy (%) |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|
| Aconitine | 0.10             | 0.09 ± 0.01           | 6.03    | 91.18 ± 5.49 | 0.08 ± 0.01           | 8.12    | 83.09 ± 6.75 |
|           | 0.40             | 0.39 ± 0.01           | 3.31    | 98.05 ± 3.24 | 0.40 ± 0.02           | 4.06    | 100.49 ± 4.08 |
|           | 4.00             | 3.30 ± 0.30           | 7.06    | 107.38 ± 7.58| 4.00 ± 0.08           | 1.98    | 99.92 ± 1.98 |
|           | 8.00             | 8.02 ± 0.36           | 4.45    | 100.25 ± 4.46| 7.94 ± 0.19           | 2.35    | 99.28 ± 2.34 |
| Mesaconitine | 0.10       | 0.11 ± 0.00           | 2.20    | 111.64 ± 2.45| 0.10 ± 0.02           | 15.88   | 100.71 ± 15.99 |
|           | 0.40             | 0.40 ± 0.01           | 3.73    | 100.26 ± 3.74| 0.38 ± 0.03           | 7.86    | 95.08 ± 7.47 |
|           | 4.00             | 3.96 ± 0.23           | 5.76    | 99.08 ± 5.7  | 3.98 ± 0.24           | 6.10    | 99.44 ± 6.06 |
|           | 8.00             | 7.93 ± 0.28           | 3.57    | 99.07 ± 3.54 | 7.94 ± 0.25           | 3.15    | 99.30 ± 3.13 |
| Hypaconitine | 0.10       | 0.10 ± 0.01           | 5.79    | 104.52 ± 6.05| 0.11 ± 0.00           | 3.45    | 105.48 ± 3.64 |
|           | 0.40             | 0.38 ± 0.01           | 3.39    | 95.53 ± 3.24 | 0.39 ± 0.01           | 3.82    | 97.76 ± 3.74 |
|           | 4.00             | 4.17 ± 0.27           | 6.36    | 104.26 ± 6.63| 4.06 ± 0.18           | 4.51    | 101.58 ± 4.58 |
|           | 8.00             | 8.02 ± 0.36           | 4.45    | 100.25 ± 4.46| 7.94 ± 0.19           | 2.35    | 99.28 ± 2.34 |
Table 5
(a) The stability at 4°C and room temperature of detected methods.

| Name      | QC conc. (ng/mL) | 4°C temperature stability (24 h) | Room temperature stability (24 h) |
|-----------|------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|           | Concentration (ng/mL) | RSD (%) | Accuracy (%) | Concentration (ng/mL) | RSD (%) | Accuracy (%) |
| Aconitine | 0.40             | 0.40 ± 0.01 | 2.16 | 99.65 ± 2.16 | 0.40 ± 0.01 | 2.51 | 100.49 ± 2.52 |
|           | 4.00             | 3.96 ± 0.4 | 10.10 | 98.98 ± 0.99 | 3.85 ± 0.13 | 3.38 | 96.29 ± 3.26 |
|           | 8.00             | 8.13 ± 0.1 | 1.27 | 101.57 ± 1.29 | 7.81 ± 0.36 | 4.64 | 97.66 ± 4.54 |
| Mesoconitine | 0.40          | 0.40 ± 0.03 | 8.71 | 100.28 ± 8.73 | 0.39 ± 0.03 | 7.21 | 96.34 ± 6.95 |
|           | 4.00             | 3.88 ± 0.37 | 9.47 | 97.02 ± 9.18 | 3.75 ± 0.11 | 2.95 | 93.68 ± 2.77 |
|           | 8.00             | 7.95 ± 0.37 | 4.59 | 99.43 ± 4.57 | 8.06 ± 0.38 | 4.74 | 100.72 ± 4.78 |
| Hypaconitine | 0.40           | 0.39 ± 0.01 | 2.41 | 97.44 ± 2.35 | 0.40 ± 0.01 | 2.51 | 100.49 ± 2.52 |
|           | 4.00             | 4.20 ± 0.22 | 5.23 | 104.96 ± 5.49 | 3.95 ± 0.17 | 4.35 | 98.72 ± 4.30 |
|           | 8.00             | 8.09 ± 0.39 | 4.76 | 101.13 ± 4.82 | 8.05 ± 0.29 | 3.58 | 100.64 ± 3.60 |

(b) The stability at freeze-thaw of detected methods.

| Name      | QC conc. (ng/mL) | Concentration (ng/mL) | Freeze-thaw | Accuracy (%) |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|--------------|
| Aconitine | 0.40             | 0.40 ± 0.01           | 2.06        | 99.71 ± 2.06 |
|           | 4.00             | 4.00 ± 0.25           | 6.24        | 99.91 ± 6.23 |
|           | 8.00             | 8.02 ± 0.15           | 1.87        | 100.22 ± 1.87 |
| Mesoconitine | 0.40        | 0.38 ± 0.03           | 7.69        | 95.09 ± 7.31 |
|           | 4.00             | 4.01 ± 0.36           | 8.89        | 100.37 ± 8.92 |
|           | 8.00             | 7.97 ± 0.27           | 3.36        | 99.59 ± 3.35 |
| Hypaconitine | 0.40          | 0.40 ± 0.01           | 2.06        | 99.71 ± 2.06 |
|           | 4.00             | 4.01 ± 0.24           | 6.01        | 100.26 ± 6.03 |
|           | 8.00             | 8.19 ± 0.26           | 3.12        | 102.33 ± 3.19 |
Figure 5: Standard curves of aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in biological samples. (a) aconitine, \( Y = 0.3651X + 0.021, R^2 = 0.9997 \); (b) mesaconitine, \( Y = 0.7952X + 0.0218, R^2 = 0.9987 \); (c) hypaconitine, \( Y = 0.718X + 0.0605, R^2 = 0.9970 \).

Table 6: Recovery of the developed methods.

| Name       | QC conc. (ng/mL) | Recovery (Mean ± SD) | RSD (%) |
|------------|------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Aconitine  | 0.40             | 99.29 ± 3.88         | 3.90    |
|            | 4.00             | 104.26 ± 9.40        | 9.02    |
|            | 8.00             | 102.00 ± 6.29        | 6.17    |
| Mesaconitine| 0.40             | 101.67 ± 9.83        | 9.67    |
|            | 4.00             | 105.02 ± 8.19        | 7.80    |
|            | 8.00             | 98.93 ± 5.25         | 5.30    |
| Hypaconitine| 0.40             | 97.74 ± 3.07         | 3.14    |
|            | 4.00             | 104.56 ± 6.28        | 6.01    |
|            | 8.00             | 103.32 ± 2.24        | 2.17    |

The \( V_z/F \) of the DAs in the \( P. ginseng \) middle group (1458.36 ± 189.64 L/kg for aconitine, 1745.91 ± 488.37 L/kg for mesaconitine, and 1400.22 ± 272.41 L/kg for hypaconitine) and high group (1547.04 ± 685.53 L/kg for aconitine, 1395.19 ± 472.75 L/kg for mesaconitine, and 2283.28 ± 953.88 L/kg for hypaconitine) were decreased when compared to the control (3345.75 ± 1370.68 L/kg for aconitine, 3146.87 ± 1886.84 L/kg for mesaconitine, and 3881.01 ± 1698.43 for hypaconitine).

The \( CL_z/F \) of aconitine in all \( P. ginseng \) groups were low (172.11 ± 35.46 L/h/Kg), middle (169.57 ± 40.27 L/h/Kg), and high (51.09 ± 21.00 L/h/Kg). The \( CL_z/F \) of mesaconitine and hypaconitine in \( P. ginseng \) middle (120.75 ± 29.09 L/h/Kg...
for mesaconitine, 141.34 ± 15.57 L/h/Kg for hyaconitine) and high groups (81.37 ± 29.62 L/h/Kg for mesaconitine, 139.20 ± 37.51 L/h/Kg for hyaconitine) were decreased in comparison to the control group (225.85 ± 54.74 L/h/Kg for aconitine, 217.47 ± 130.54 L/h/Kg for mesaconitine, and 204.16 ± 98.97 L/h/Kg for hyaconitine).

The $C_{\text{max}}$ of aconitine (11.53 ± 3.49 µg/L) and mesaconitine (8.94 ± 2.33 µg/L) increased in $P. \text{ginseng}$ high groups compared to control (3.88 ± 0.92 µg/L for aconitine, 5.48 ± 2.61 µg/L for mesaconitine), whereas the $C_{\text{max}}$ of hyaconitine did not change in all groups. The t$1/2$ of three alkaloids of all groups had no significant difference.

### 4. Discussion

$P. \text{ginseng}$ and Aconiti Lateralis Radix are widely used in traditional medicine [25] in many Asian countries such as China, Japan, India, and Korea. $P. \text{ginseng}$, which displays immune enhancement [26], anticancer [27], anti-inflammatory [28], and antioxidant activities [29], can increase the efficacy of Aconiti Lateralis Radix [3, 25, 30].

Aconiti Lateralis Radix is the root of the Aconite and works to excite central nervous system as well as improve heart function in cases of heart failure [12, 31]. Reports have suggested that Shenfu injection could treat advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer (NSCLC) [32].

It has also been found that the method of extraction used can produce different concentrations of the active DAs in vitro [33]. Due to the inherent toxicity of DAs, the dosages found in marketed drugs which also contain Aconiti Lateralis Radix are strictly limited according to the 2015 edition of Chinese Pharmacopoeia. It has also been observed that a small change in the concentration of DAs can have a significant influence on the toxicity and efficiency of Aconiti Lateralis Radix [34]. CYP3A4 is the main metabolizing enzymes of CYP450 in human and is responsible for the metabolism of almost 60% endogenous and exogenous substances [35].

### Table 7: Effect of $P. \text{ginseng}$ on the pharmacokinetics of aconitine at day 7 after administration.

|                  | Control       | $P. \text{ginseng}$-low | $P. \text{ginseng}$-middle | $P. \text{ginseng}$-high |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| AUC$\text{(0→∞)}$ (µg/L·h) | 40.77 ± 9.71  | 58 ± 13.02               | 61.05 ± 12.82*             | 162.98 ± 48.50**        |
| AUC$\text{(0→co)}$ (µg/L·h) | 46.20 ± 9.57  | 60.32 ± 12.99            | 61.49 ± 13.16*             | 228.56 ± 100.03**       |
| t$1/2$ (h)       | 10.71 ± 4.78  | 10.10 ± 3.72             | 6.14 ± 1.18                | 26.49 ± 23.27           |
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (h) | 2.67 ± 2.91   | 4.00 ± 3.27              | 2.11 ± 2.97                | 1.33 ± 0.41             |
| $V_{\text{z/F}}$ (L/kg) | 3345.75 ± 1370.68 | 2480.3 ± 944.53         | 1458.36 ± 189.64**         | 1547.04 ± 685.53**      |
| $C_{\text{max}}$ (µg/L) | 3.88 ± 0.92   | 5.03 ± 3.02              | 5.79 ± 2.80                | 11.53 ± 3.49**          |

Note: Compared with the control group, *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

### Table 8: Effect of $P. \text{ginseng}$ on the pharmacokinetics of mesaconitine at day 7 after administration.

|                  | Control       | $P. \text{ginseng}$-low | $P. \text{ginseng}$-middle | $P. \text{ginseng}$-high |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| AUC$\text{(0→∞)}$ (µg/L·h) | 51.24 ± 21.48 | 65.53 ± 9.06             | 82.45 ± 18.57*             | 126.07 ± 44.93**        |
| AUC$\text{(0→co)}$ (µg/L·h) | 58.66 ± 27.59 | 71.36 ± 12.85            | 87.1 ± 21.80*              | 138.96 ± 55.36**        |
| t$1/2$ (h)       | 13.17 ± 10.45 | 12.12 ± 6.11             | 10.31 ± 3.00               | 12.56 ± 4.48            |
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (h) | 1.58 ± 1.32   | 0.89 ± 0.60              | 1.83 ± 1.21                | 2.20 ± 1.98             |
| $V_{\text{z/F}}$ (L/kg) | 3146.87 ± 1886.84 | 2386.47 ± 832.39      | 1745.91 ± 488.37*          | 1395.19 ± 472.75**      |
| $C_{\text{max}}$ (µg/L) | 5.48 ± 2.61   | 4.66 ± 1.03              | 6.02 ± 1.63                | 8.94 ± 2.33*            |

Note: Compared with the control group, *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

### Table 9: Effect of $P. \text{ginseng}$ on the pharmacokinetics of hyaconitine at day 7 after administration.

|                  | Control       | $P. \text{ginseng}$-low | $P. \text{ginseng}$-middle | $P. \text{ginseng}$-high |
|------------------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| AUC$\text{(0→∞)}$ (µg/L·h) | 48.12 ± 24.59 | 65.64 ± 13.14            | 70.71 ± 7.55*              | 71.75 ± 22.2*           |
| AUC$\text{(0→co)}$ (µg/L·h) | 60.16 ± 28.03 | 70.68 ± 8.89*            | 71.49 ± 8.07*              | 77.26 ± 25.15*          |
| t$1/2$ (h)       | 14.11 ± 5.41  | 9.70 ± 3.55              | 6.97 ± 1.77                | 11.67 ± 4.21            |
| $T_{\text{max}}$ (h) | 2.67 ± 2.91   | 3.61 ± 3.47              | 2.53 ± 3.01                | 1.83 ± 1.29             |
| $V_{\text{z/F}}$ (L/kg) | 3881.01 ± 1698.43 | 2023.85 ± 818.73     | 1400.22 ± 272.41*          | 2283.28 ± 953.88*       |
| $C_{\text{max}}$ (µg/L) | 4.63 ± 1.96   | 4.02 ± 2.01              | 5.85 ± 2.71                | 4.87 ± 1.36             |

Note: Compared with the control group, *p<0.05, **p<0.01.
Figure 6: The curves of concentration and time of aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in rat's plasma. (a) Aconitine, (b) mesaconitine, and (c) hypaconitine.
method. According to the results obtained in this study, we surmise that P. ginseng may inhibit the metabolism of small quantities of aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in Aconiti Lateralis Radix thus exerting a dramatic change in calcium ion levels which plays a vital role in excitation-contraction coupling in cardiac myocytes. Researchers have also found that [3] SFI significantly decreased serum CK, LDH, and TNF-α levels in myocardial ischemia/reperfusion injury (MIRI) rats, while it significantly increased the level of left ventricular systolic pressure (LVSP), left ventricular diastolic pressure (LVDP), maximal rate of the increase of left ventricular pressure (+dp/dtmax), maximal rate of the decrease of left ventricular pressure (-dp/dtmax), left ventricle ejection fraction percentage (EF), and stroke volume (SV).

Aconitine-type alkaloids associated with Aconiti Lateralis Radix efficacy have lower intake and slower elimination in CHF rats, indicating a noninterdependent relationship between its efficacy and toxicity [42]. Coupled with P. ginseng, aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypaconitine in Aconiti Lateralis Radix may account for the lower intake and slower elimination in the CHF patient; however, this requires further work to be confirmed.

5. Conclusion
A rapid and accurate method to detect the concentration of aconitine, mesaconitine, and hypoaconitine in biological samples has been developed and successfully used. From the data obtained in this study, we suggest that P. ginseng could inhibit the metabolism of DAs in vivo. Our findings have important implications for P. ginseng therapy with medicine containing DAs in clinics.
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