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Introduction

Carbohydrates are among the most important naturally occurring biomolecules, and are regarded a vital source of food in the food industry as well as a potential source of fuel in the fuel industry. The outstanding properties and usefulness of carbohydrates in alternative energy and biomass have contributed to its growing importance among researchers in the area. These organic compounds represent the major component of biomass, and it is believed that around 20% of all manufactured chemicals will come from biomass by 2020. The compounds can be used as alternative to chemicals derived from fossil fuels, while biomass waste may also be converted into fuel, chemicals and other commodity materials.

The methods employed for the determination of carbohydrates are found to be essentially relevant because these compounds are widely used in the food and pharmaceutical industries, apart from their usefulness as precursors in the production of chemicals and biofuel. In view of that, it has become, undoubtedly, crucial to develop efficient analytical methods with high precision and limits of detection suitable for routine analyses and determination of carbohydrates. The main goal is to help reduce industrial losses and contribute toward the optimization and control of processes aiming at generating high value-added products.

Among the wide range of methods reported in the literature, high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) stands out when it comes to carbohydrates determination in biomass samples. Several detectors coupled to HPLC have been used for this purpose, these include refractive index detector, spectrophotometric...
detector, capillary electrophoresis detector, evaporative light scattering detector, mass spectroscopy detector, high-performance liquid chromatography with dual detectors, diode array detector and refractive index and electrochemical detectors (pulsed amperometric detection). Refractive index (RI) detection is found to be susceptible to co-elution of non-object compounds that are present in the samples, in addition to being unsuitable for gradient elution and sensitive to small temperature changes. Electrochemical detector equipped to pulsed amperometric detector (PAD) has some advantages, these include selectivity, due to pulsed amperometric detection, and sensibility. Rodriguez-Gómez et al. studied a simple and reliable method for the determination of fructooligosaccharides (FOS), kestose (GF2), nystose (GF3), and fructofuranosynystose (GF4) in the presence of fructose, glucose and lactose in dairy products. Their proposed HPLC-RI method exhibited limits of quantification (LOQ) 100 times higher than those of the high-performance anion-exchange chromatography (HPAEC)-PAD method. The HPLC-RI method requires the analysis of samples with concentration of analytes superior to 0.1 mg mL⁻¹.

Gangola et al. developed a reliable and rapid method for the analysis of soluble sugars and raffinose family oligosaccharides in chickpea using HPAEC-PAD and HPLC-RI techniques. The results showed that HPAEC-PAD exhibited higher sensitivity and shorter run time compared to HPLC-RI when it comes to analyzing the members of raffinose family oligosaccharides and other soluble sugars. The HPAEC-PAD method has been successful at separating glucose, fructose, sucrose, raffinose, stachyose and verbascose in 35 min.

The electrochemical detector is based on a three-electrode electrochemical cell. One of the outstanding merits of this detector is the possibility of modifying the working electrode as required. For example, the use of electrodes modified with metal nanoparticles for non-enzymatic electrochemical detection of carbohydrates is an alternative to unmodified electrodes. Furthermore, some substrates, including metal substrates (Au, Ag and Pt), carbon electrodes, boron-doped diamond electrodes (BDD) and carbon nanotube electrodes (CNT), are seen to be more adequate for surface modification. Bearing this in mind, the present work sought to develop a new method for carbohydrates detection based on glassy carbon electrode modified with carbon nanotubes containing nanoparticles of cobalt oxide (GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH) applied for the determination of carbohydrates in sugarcane bagasse samples.

### Experimental

**Reagents and solutions**

Cobalt(II) chloride hexahydrate (CoCl₂·6H₂O, 99%), N-N-dimethylformamide (C₉H₁₂NO, 99.8%), D-glucose (C₆H₁₂O₆, 99%), D-galactose (C₆H₁₂O₆, 99%), L-arabinose (C₅H₁₀O₅, 99%) and D-xylose (C₅H₁₀O₅, 99%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). All solutions were prepared using deionized water purified in a Milli-Q system with resistivity of no less than 18.2 MΩ cm at 25 °C. Purified multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) with an outer diameter of 6-9 nm were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Phosphate buffer (pH 6.5) was prepared using 30 mL of 0.10 mol L⁻¹ Na₂HPO₄ and 70 mL of 0.10 mol L⁻¹ NaH₂PO₄. The sugar solutions were prepared shortly before usage.

**Instrumentation**

Electrochemical experiments were carried out using potentiostat/galvanostat Autolab PGSTAT-30 controlled by general purpose electrochemical system (GPES) software (Metrohm, Utrecht, The Netherlands). A conventional cell with three electrodes was used, where GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH was used as the working electrode (geometric area = 0.070 cm²), Ag/AgCl (KCl, 3.0 mol L⁻¹) as reference electrode and platinum wire (3.0 cm × 0.1 cm) as auxiliary electrode. Prior to undertaking all electrochemical experiments, the electrochemical cell was saturated with nitrogen for 15 min. All experiments were performed at room temperature.

GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode was characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX). The analysis was carried out using a scanning electron microscope with cannon electron emission field (SEM-FEG), model JSM (Jeol, Inc., Peabody, USA). ImageJ software was used to measure the size of the nanoparticles. For the analysis of the modified electrodes, glassy carbon plates with a total area of 1.0 cm² and a thickness of 0.20 cm with a covered area of 0.070 cm² were used.

Chromatographic measurements were conducted with the aid of 850 Professional IC (Metrohm, Utrecht, The Netherlands), controlled by MagicNet software, equipped with extender module 872 (post-column) and an autosampler 863-Compact Autosampler (Metrohm, Utrecht, The Netherlands). An RPAD with electrochemical cell in wall-jet configuration was used (945 professional detector Vario ion chromatography (IC) amperometric, Metrohm, Utrecht, The Netherlands). The cell was...
composed of platinum (Pt) as auxiliary electrode, palladium (Pd) as reference electrode and GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH as working electrode. The chromatographic separation was performed using Dionex® CarboPac PA 1 (250 × 4 mm internal diameter) column coupled to CarboPac PA 1 guard column (50 × 4 mm internal diameter).

Modifications of GCE surface with MWCNT and cobalt oxide nanoparticles

The surface of the glassy carbon electrode (GCE) was cleaned by polishing with 0.3 µm alumina powder (Merck, Darmstadt, Germany) and rinsed in ethanol and deionized water. Prior to the modification of the GCE surface, the MWCNTs were functionalized. An amount of 2.0 g of MWCNTs was ultra-sonicated for 4.0 h in a mixture of 60.0 mL of H2SO4 (18.0 mol L−1) and 20.0 mL of HNO3 (16.0 mol L−1). After sonication, the MWCNTs were filtered through of a polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE) membrane of 5.0 µm and washed with deionized water until the pH of the supernatant reached 6.0. After washing and neutralization, the MWCNTs were dried in oven for 12 h at 80 °C. The main purpose of functionalization is to generate functional groups –COOH on the surface of the MWCNTs which act as nucleation sites that allow one to obtain smaller particles and higher dispersions. For the electrode surface modification with MWCNTs, a suspension of 1.0 mg of MWCNT functionalized in 10.0 mL N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) was prepared by sonication for 30 min. The volume of 5.0 µL of homogeneous MWCNT suspension was dropped onto the GCE surface, and the coating was thereafter dried at 50 °C for 2.0 h.

Subsequently, the GCE modified with MWCNTs was electrochemically modified with cobalt nanoparticles by electrodeposition in a solution of 1.0 × 10−3mol L−1 CoCl2·6H2O in phosphate buffer (pH of 6.0). The electrodeposition was carried out by cyclic voltammetry; this involved 30 successive cycles of −1.1 to 1.2 V versus Ag/AgCl at 100 mV s−1. After that, the GCE/MWCNT containing cobalt nanoparticles was subjected to 45 successive cycles of −0.3 to 0.7 V versus Ag/AgCl at 50 mV s−1 in 0.1 mol L−1 NaOH to enable the formation of cobalt oxide species. Thereafter, the GCE/MWCNT containing cobalt oxide nanoparticles (GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH) was rinsed with deionized water.

Preparation of the hydrolyzed sugarcane bagasse

Sugarcane bagasse was obtained from a sugar and alcohol industry in the Araraquara region (São Paulo, Brazil). Biomass hydrolysis was carried out according to the method employed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). First, the sugarcane bagasse was placed in a Soxhlet apparatus for the removal of extractives. Initially, water was used to remove aqueous extractives, and ethanol was subsequently used for the removal of ethanolic extracts. After that, the remaining solid was dried at 40 °C (overnight). Samples of 300 mg of this solid were transferred to a flask of 250 mL, and 3.0 mL of H2SO4 72% (v/v) were added to the mixture. The mixture was kept under vigorous stirring in a thermostatic bath at 45 °C for 60 min. The hydrolysis reaction was halted by the addition of 85.0 mL of deionized water. For the complete hydrolysis of the remaining oligomers, the mixture was autoclaved at 120 °C and at 1.05 bar for 60 min. The final mixture was neutralized via the addition of CaCO3. Subsequently, the mixture was filtered twice through of a polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE) membrane of pore diameter of 0.45 µm was used for the first filtration, and the second filtration was performed with a pore diameter membrane filter of 0.22 µm.

Chromatographic measurements

The mobile phase was constituted by 91% of deionized water and 9% of 0.10 mol L−1 NaOH at flow of 1.0 mL min−1. During the chromatographic runs, the flow of 0.30 mL min−1 of 0.300 mol L−1 NaOH was maintained in extender module 872 in order to keep the ionic strength constant in the detector. Injection volume was set at 25 µL. The temperatures of the column oven and the amperometric detector were kept constant at 25 and 35 °C, respectively, during the experiments. The potential pulse applied for the RPAD technique was composed of four stages: 0.58 V (versus Pd) for 200 ms (E1), 0.10 V (versus Pd) for 50 ms (E2); 0.70 V (versus Pd) for 50 ms (E3) and 0.58 V (versus Pd) for 50 ms (E4).

Results and Discussion

Electrochemical behavior

Figure 1a shows 30 cyclic voltammograms during the electrodeposition on the GCE/MWCNT/Co electrode in phosphate buffer solution of 0.1 mol L−1 (pH 6.5) containing 1.0 × 10−3 mol L−1 of cobalt chloride (CoCl2). As can be observed, there is a cathodic peak at −1.0 V (peak 1) in the first scan; this peak is related to equation 1. The cathodic peak (1) decreases as the number of cycles is increased; this indicates that the Co⁺ ion was slowly reduced on the cobalt oxide layer deposited on the surface...
of the glassy carbon electrode modified with carbon nanotubes.

An anodic peak was observed at $-0.30$ V versus Ag/AgCl (peak II), this peak is related to the dissolution of the cobalt layer deposited on the surface of the electrode.\textsuperscript{30,33}

It is worth noting that no oxidation peaks were observed relative to the Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} or Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} species formed at potentials less than 1.05 V versus Ag/AgCl. Nonetheless, an oxidation peak was observed at the potential of 1.05 V versus Ag/AgCl along with an increase in current related to the evolution of oxygen (peak III) which can be attributed to the formation of CoOOH (equations 2-3). Cathodic peak currents (peak IV) at potential of 0.85 V versus Ag/AgCl correspond to the reduction of CoOOH to Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} or Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}.\textsuperscript{30,33}

Co\textsuperscript{2+} + 2e\textsuperscript{−} $\rightarrow$ Co\textsuperscript{0}(s) \hspace{1cm} (1)

Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} + OH\textsuperscript{−} $\rightleftharpoons$ CoOOH + H\textsubscript{2}O + e\textsuperscript{−} \hspace{1cm} (2)

Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} + H\textsubscript{2}O + OH\textsuperscript{−} $\rightleftharpoons$ 3CoOOH + e\textsuperscript{−} \hspace{1cm} (3)

The behavior of the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode is shown in Figure 1b. One will notice that it is a relatively complex behavior because these species have several oxidation states with different varieties of cobalt oxides and hydroxides present in the region of the potential investigated.

The hydrated species of Co\textsuperscript{II} are gradually converted into species containing Co\textsuperscript{III}, which include Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, CoO\textsubscript{4}, Co(OH)\textsubscript{2}, and different crystallographic forms of CoOOH species are simultaneously present under these experimental conditions.\textsuperscript{34,35}

Studies carried out with X-ray photoelectrons in cobalt oxide/hydroxide showed a detailed structure, indicating the simultaneous presence of various species of oxide/hydroxide of Co\textsuperscript{II} and Co\textsuperscript{III} on the surface of the electrode.\textsuperscript{35}

The most relevant species of cobalt oxide/hydroxide are related to the composition of the solution and the applied potentials. Figure 1b presents cyclic voltammogram in solution of NaOH 0.1 mol L\textsuperscript{−1}, where three sets of peaks were obtained. The peaks are Ia, Ila/Ilc and IIIa/IIIc, and were obtained at potentials of 0.07, 0.24 and 0.56 V versus Ag/AgCl, respectively.

Peak Ia presented an irreversible behavior, which is most often not reported in the literature. This behavior can be attributed to the overlap of peak Ia with the redox pair Ila/Ilc. Furthermore, the intensity of peak Ia can be reduced if the potential window is restricted to potential of $+0.15$ V versus Ag/AgCl. This peak potential can probably be attributed to the electrochemical transition involving hydrated Co\textsuperscript{II} forming intermediate species of cobalt oxide, Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}, according to equation 4.

3Co(OH)\textsubscript{2} + 2OH\textsuperscript{−} $\rightarrow$ Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} + 4H\textsubscript{2}O + 2e\textsuperscript{−} \hspace{1cm} (4)

The peaks of redox potential Ila/Ilc can be attributed to the oxy-reduction of cobalt during the conversion of Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4}/CoOOH, leading to the formation of the oxide hydroxide species of cobalt(III) as shown in equation 5. The behavior observed in the peaks of redox potential IIIa/IIIc can be associated with the oxy-reduction process of CoOOH/CoO\textsubscript{2}, where Co\textsuperscript{III} is oxidized to Co\textsuperscript{IV}, based on equation 6;\textsuperscript{33,34} these species are responsible for the oxidation of carbohydrates on the surface of the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode.

Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} + OH\textsuperscript{−} + H\textsubscript{2}O $\rightleftharpoons$ 3CoOOH + e\textsuperscript{−} \hspace{1cm} (5)

CoOOH + OH\textsuperscript{−} $\rightarrow$ Co\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} + H\textsubscript{2}O + e\textsuperscript{−} \hspace{1cm} (6)

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{(a) Consecutive cyclic voltammograms for the formation of cobalt nanoparticles on glassy carbon electrode modified with carbon nanotubes in 0.1 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} of phosphate buffer solution (pH 6.5) containing $1.0 \times 10\textsuperscript{−3}$ mol L\textsuperscript{−1} of cobalt chloride (CoCl\textsubscript{2}) (scan rate 50 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}). (b) Voltammetric behavior of GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode (0.1 mol L\textsuperscript{−1} NaOH; scan rate 50 mV s\textsuperscript{−1}).}
\end{figure}
Characterization of GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH

The glassy carbon electrode modified with carbon nanotubes and the glassy carbon electrode modified with carbon nanotubes containing cobalt nanoparticles (MWCNT/CoOOH) were studied by comparing the images of the surfaces in various magnifications of micrograph images generated by SEM-FEG.

In Figure S1a of the Supplementary Information (SI) section, one will observe that the carbon nanotubes are dispersed on the GCE surface. As the nanotubes are randomly scattered, this dispersion can act as adsorption sites for the nanoparticles in these tangled carbon nanotubes. Figure S1b shows the details of the nanotubes with the diameter of around 10 to 20 nm; this is consistent with the manufacturer’s specifications.

The histogram depicting the size of the nanoparticles is presented in Figure 2a. Here, one notices that most of the nanoparticles that were subjected to characterization display sizes between 50 and 100 nm in diameter with a mean of 75.1 ± 19.6 nm.

Figure 2b shows the image of the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode surface. In this figure, one will observe that the nanoparticles display a spherical pattern and are dispersed on the surface of carbon nanotubes. This dispersion behavior seen throughout the electrode surface may result in improvements in detection and quantification of the analytes, while further increasing the stability and detectability of the electrode when applied in HPLC-RPAD.

The chemical characterization using dispersive energy spectroscopy (EDS) technique exhibited in the SI section (Figure S2) shows intense carbon peaks due to the presence of the glassy carbon used as substrate and carbon nanotubes of the modified electrode; the presence of oxygen and cobalt peaks is an indication that the modification occurred effectively over the course of the formation of cobalt oxide.

Electro-oxidation of carbohydrates

The electro-oxidation of carbohydrates (arabinose, galactose, glucose e xylose) on the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode is shown in Figure 3. As can be seen in the figure, instead of a peak, one notices the formation of an anodic wave at a potential around 0.58 V versus Ag/AgCl and a decrease in the cathodic peak current. The electro-oxidation of carbohydrates on GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH occurs in the presence of the Co IV catalyst through an active redox mediator unit. During the carbohydrate oxidation process, the catalyst is reduced to Co III and the formation of ketones occurs prior to the evolution of oxygen, based on equation 7. In this case, glucose is oxidized via electrochemical-chemical (EC) mechanism. The other sugars presented patterns of behavior similar to glucose.

2CoO 2  + D-Glucose $\rightarrow$ 2CoOOH + Gluconolactone (7)
Optimization of chromatographic parameters

The reverse pulsed amperometric detection (RPAD) technique is performed with a sequence of potentials after the application of the oxidation potential of carbohydrates (shown in Figure S3, SI section). Below is a detailed description of the pulse programming:

First pulse (E₁): the duration of this pulse is 250 ms, and the potential applied is 0.58 V versus Pd. The first 50 ms are used for the conditioning of the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode. It is worth noting that when the potential in the range of 0 to 50 ms is applied, the electrode current is not recorded in the chromatogram; the system records the data related to the electrode current in the chromatogram only when the potential applied is within the range of 50 to 200 ms. Second pulse (E₂): a potential pulse of 0.10 V versus Pd for 50 ms is applied aiming at the total reduction of all the species absorbed on the surface of the electrode. During the application of this potential pulse, the electrode current is not recorded in the chromatogram. Third pulse (E₃): the duration of this pulse is 50 ms. A positive potential of 0.70 V versus Pd is applied aiming at the oxidation of all cobalt species on the surface of the electrode and for the formation of CoIV which plays a key role in the oxidation of carbohydrates. During the application of this potential pulse, the electrode current is not recorded in the chromatogram. Fourth pulse (E₄): this potential pulse of 0.58 V versus Pd is applied for 50 ms aiming at initiating the stabilization of the electrode for posterior oxidation of carbohydrates. During the application of this potential pulse, the electrode current is not recorded in the chromatogram.

This cycle of potentials is repeated throughout the chromatographic measurements. The potentials applied in the RPAD are important for the monitoring of carbohydrates, since these potentials exert a direct influence over the resolution of the chromatograms.

In order to obtain the best potential programming of the pulse sequence used for the determination of carbohydrates, the pulses applied in the oxidation of the carbohydrates via the reverse pulsed amperometric detection were optimized.

The carbohydrate selected for this study was arabinose. The study showed that the peak area for arabinose increases as the potential increases up to 0.58 V versus Pd (shown in Figure S4, SI section), where the potential remains constant up to 0.60 V versus Pd. At potentials above this value, the peak area tends to decrease, indicating that the CoIV species are not electroactive at higher potentials. Furthermore, the potential of 0.58 V versus Pd. was found to be the best analysis potential for the studies involving the application of the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode. The potential of 0.60 V was not selected due to the studies related to the steady state. In this region of the potential, the discharge produced by oxygen contributed to an increase in current and, consequently, resulted in an increase in the area of the peak in the chromatogram.

The optimization of the separation method for carbohydrates was carried out in order to evaluate the composition of the mobile phase relative to the retention time. Figure S5 (SI section) shows the chromatograms with the carbohydrates based on studies of six mobile phase conditions, which included the following: (A - 100% H₂O); (B - 1% of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 99% of H₂O); (C - 5% of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 95% of H₂O); (D - 9% of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 91% of H₂O); (E - 10% of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 90 % of H₂O); and (F - 15% of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 85% of H₂O).

The chromatograms are presented according to each mobile phase composition. In Figures S5a-c, a greater separation is observed between the peaks, where one notices an enlargement of the peaks and a significant increase in the retention time. This behavior is attributed to a possible larger interaction of the analytes with the column. However, for the chromatograms shown in Figures S5d-f, a decrease is observed in the retention time, but the chromatograms e and f presented a relatively smaller separation between the peaks and a decrease in resolution. With regard to the mobile phase chosen for the development of the analytical method, the chromatogram shown in Figure S5d was found to be the best pattern of behavior in terms of separation - with composition of mobile phase of 9% of 0.1 mol L⁻¹ NaOH and 91% of H₂O.

In general, the elution sequence (see Table 1, data from Figure 4) of these compounds is associated with the pH value of approximately 10. The neutral carbohydrates do not undergo deprotonation, and, as such, they do not interact with the column by an anion exchange mechanism. The separation phenomena must, therefore, be based on ion-dipole interaction. The order of separation and elution is related to the parameters that influence the separation: hydroxyl groups number, anomerism, positional isomerism and degree of polymerization. These parameters can be applied when it comes to separation with water. As the concentration of the mobile phase is increased with OH species, these species bind to the column, diminishing the interaction of the OH groups of the sugars with the column. The decline in interaction leads to a reduction of the retention time and an increase in the output velocity of the column analytes, reaching the point of decreasing the resolution. In view of that, the mobile phase of 9% of NaOH with 91% of H₂O was chosen.
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Figure 4 shows the chromatogram with standard solutions of arabinose, galactose, glucose and xylose using GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode as the electrochemical detector. The proposed method favored a good separation between the peaks of the carbohydrates.

The experiments were carried out with standard solutions of the concentrations ranging from $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1.0 \times 10^{-4}$ mol L$^{-1}$ aiming at evaluating the limits of detection (LOD), quantification (LOQ) and the amperometric sensitivity (AS) of the HPLC-RPAD.

For arabinose, the LOD and LOQ obtained were $3.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$, respectively; and the AS obtained was $1.2 \times 10^{6}$ A L mol$^{-1}$, this was calculated based on the regression equation $y = -2.85 + 1.18 \times 10^{6}x$.

For galactose, the LOD and LOQ obtained were $4.4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$, respectively; and the AS obtained was $1.5 \times 10^{6}$ A L mol$^{-1}$, this was calculated based on the regression equation $y = -2.70 + 1.52 \times 10^{6}x$.

For glucose, the LOD and LOQ obtained were $3.6 \times 10^{-6}$ and $1.1 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$, respectively; and the AS obtained was $1.3 \times 10^{6}$ A L mol$^{-1}$, this was calculated based on the regression equation $y = 5.26 + 1.34 \times 10^{6}x$.

For xylose, the LOD and LOQ obtained were $5.0 \times 10^{-6}$ and $2.1 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$; and the AS obtained was $0.9 \times 10^{6}$ A L mol$^{-1}$.

Table 2 shows the results obtained for the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH-based HPLC-RPAD technique compared to those of other chromatography methods reported in the literature. One will notice that the chromatographic methods using derivatization with trimethylsilylation (TMS), alditol acetate and fluorescent reagents obtained much closer detection limits compared to the HPLC-RPAD technique.

Table 1. Molecular structure, pKa value, retention time, resolution and number of theoretical plates for the bohydrates (data from Figure 4)

| Carbohydrate   | Molecular structure | pKa$^a$ | Retention time / min | Resolution | Number of theoretical plates |
|----------------|---------------------|---------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| D-(−)-Arabinose| ![Molecular Structure](image1.png) | 12.43   | 10.70                | 4.64       | 6632                        |
| D-(+)-Galactose| ![Molecular Structure](image2.png) | 12.35   | 13.37                | 2.55       | 7532                        |
| D-(+)-Glucose | ![Molecular Structure](image3.png) | 12.28   | 14.95                | 3.51       | 8806                        |
| D-(+)-Xylose  | ![Molecular Structure](image4.png) | 12.15   | 17.13                | 8.54       | 12554                       |

$^a$Logarithmic acid dissociation constant at 25 °C.
to the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH-based HPLC-RPAD technique. Furthermore, sample preparation based on derivatization renders the technique less applicable to the quantification of large sample sets. The HPLC-RPAD technique can significantly reduce sample preparation time compared to chromatography with derivatization. This is because, in the case of the latter, one needs to execute many steps apart from the large number of manual processing steps involved. The greater number of steps involved makes the procedure involving chromatography with derivatization time-consuming and tedious to perform.

A further drawback that is worth pointing out is that derivatization suffers from instability due to the presence of moisture. Aside the instability, the method involving derivatization also yields multiple peaks for a given sugar as a result of the anomeric preservation prevalent throughout the procedure. The HPLC-RPAD technique has an advantage over the reverse phase chromatography because the former leads to relatively faster and more efficient separation of complex carbohydrate mixtures compared to the latter. Electrochemical detection allows the selective measurement of electroactive species, paving the

| Type of technique                              | Analyte     | LOD / (mol L⁻¹) | LOQ / (mol L⁻¹) | Reference |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| HPLC-fluorescence with derivatization         | galactose   | 55.6 × 10⁻⁹     | NS⁰             | 37        |
|                                               | arabinose   | 79.9 × 10⁻⁹     | NS⁰             |           |
|                                               | glucose     | 55.6 × 10⁻⁹     | NS⁰             |           |
|                                               | xylose      | 55.6 × 10⁻⁹     | NS⁰             |           |
| HPAEC-fluorescence with derivatization        | arabinose   | 3.3 × 10⁻⁶      | 8.6 × 10⁻⁶      | 38        |
|                                               | galactose   | 5.5 × 10⁻⁶      | 1.9 × 10⁻⁶      |           |
|                                               | glucose     | 3.9 × 10⁻⁶      | 1.4 × 10⁻⁶      |           |
|                                               | xylose      | 3.3 × 10⁻⁶      | 1.0 × 10⁻⁶      |           |
| HPAEC-UV-Vis with derivatization              | arabinose   | 3.3 × 10⁻⁷      | 1.0 × 10⁻⁴      | 39        |
|                                               | galactose   | 3.3 × 10⁻⁷      | 1.0 × 10⁻⁴      |           |
|                                               | glucose     | 6.1 × 10⁻⁷      | 1.8 × 10⁻⁴      |           |
|                                               | xylose      | 3.3 × 10⁻⁷      | 1.0 × 10⁻⁴      |           |
| Gas chromatography with alditol acetate derivatization | arabinose   | 3.3 × 10⁻⁸      | NS⁰             | 36        |
|                                               | galactose   | 2.7 × 10⁻⁸      | NS⁰             |           |
|                                               | glucose     | 2.7 × 10⁻⁸      | NS⁰             |           |
|                                               | xylose      | 1.7 × 10⁻⁸      | NS⁰             |           |
| HPLC-RID                                      | glucose     | 0.3 × 10⁻⁵      | 1.0 × 10⁻⁵      | 40        |
| HPAEC-PAD                                     | arabinose   | 1.5 × 10⁻⁴      | NS⁰             | 36        |
|                                               | galactose   | 1.3 × 10⁻⁴      | NS⁰             |           |
|                                               | glucose     | 6.5 × 10⁻⁵      | NS⁰             |           |
|                                               | xylose      | 1.4 × 10⁻⁴      | NS⁰             |           |
| HPAEC-PAD                                     | arabinose   | 9.3 × 10⁻⁴      | 3.1 × 10⁻⁴      | 38        |
|                                               | galactose   | 1.8 × 10⁻⁵      | 5.3 × 10⁻⁵      |           |
|                                               | glucose     | 1.6 × 10⁻⁵      | 5.5 × 10⁻⁵      |           |
|                                               | xylose      | 1.2 × 10⁻⁴      | 3.9 × 10⁻⁴      |           |
| HPLC-RPAD GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH                     | arabinose   | 3.4 × 10⁻⁸      | 1.1 × 10⁻⁸      | this work |
|                                               | galactose   | 4.4 × 10⁻⁸      | 1.4 × 10⁻⁸      |           |
|                                               | glucose     | 3.6 × 10⁻⁸      | 1.1 × 10⁻⁸      |           |
|                                               | xylose      | 5.0 × 10⁻⁸      | 2.1 × 10⁻⁸      |           |

⁰Non-specified; LOD: limit of detection; LOQ: limit of quantification; HPLC: high performance liquid chromatography; HPAEC: high performance anion exchange chromatography; HPAEC-UV-VIS: high performance anion exchange chromatography with UV-Vis detection; HPLC-RID: high performance liquid chromatography with refractive index detector; HPAEC-PAD: high performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detector; HPLC-RPAD GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH: high-performance liquid chromatography with reverse pulsed amperometric detection using glassy carbon electrode modified with multi-walled carbon nanotubes containing cobalt oxide nanoparticles.
way for many interfering species to pass through the system undetected, once they cannot be oxidized or reduced.\textsuperscript{36}

Figure 5 shows the calibration curve with a good linearity for arabinose, galactose, glucose and xylose. The linear correlation coefficients are close to 0.999.

The samples of sugarcane bagasse were subjected to hydrolysis, neutralization and filtration. Samples of hydrolyzed sugarcane bagasse were injected into the HPLC-RPAD based GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH detector under optimized conditions; the identification of the chromatographic peaks was carried out based on the retention time of each analyte and confirmed by the addition of standard solution. Figure 6 shows the chromatogram for the concentrated sample, where the presence of arabinose, galactose, glucose and xylose can be detected.

The standard addition method was used to determine the concentration of carbohydrates present in the hydrolyzed sugarcane bagasse. This method is particularly important when the sample is very complex, as is the case of sugarcane bagasse. The curve of the standard addition method for arabinose presented the regression equation $y = 23.0 + 1.6 \times 10^6 x$, with a linear correlation of 0.998; the sample dilution was performed 70 times because the sample showed high concentrations of carbohydrates.

The concentration of arabinose in the diluted aliquot was $1.4 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$ and the total concentration in the sample was $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$. The regression equation for galactose was $y = 97.1 + 1.3 \times 10^6 x$, with a linear correlation of 0.999; here, the sample dilution was found to be unnecessary because the sample concentration was within the studied range. As a result, the determined concentration for galactose was $7.2 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$. The curve of the standard addition method for glucose presented the regression equation $y = 76.4 + 1.8 \times 10^6 x$, with a linear correlation of 0.995. The sample was diluted 70 times and the concentration of glucose in the diluted aliquot was $4.3 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$, while the total concentration in the sample was $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$. The linear regression equation for xylose was $y = 44.8 + 1.3 \times 10^6 x$, with a linear correlation of 0.998. The sample was diluted 70 times and the concentration of xylose in the diluted aliquot was $3.5 \times 10^{-5}$ mol L$^{-1}$, while the total concentration in the sample was $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$.

The standard addition method was performed on three different GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrodes in order to verify the reproducibility of detection.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Calibration curve for (a) arabinose, (b) galactose, (c) glucose and (d) xylose. Detection potential of 0.58 V *versus* Pd for GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode, mobile phase composition of 9% of 0.1 mol L$^{-1}$ NaOH and 91% of H$_2$O, flow of mobile phase of 1.0 mL min$^{-1}$, column oven temperature of 25 °C and detector temperature of 35 °C.
After carrying out the standard addition method, a validation of the method was evaluated through the recovery studies for the carbohydrates, namely, arabinose, galactose, glucose and xylose. Three different solutions of the carbohydrates were injected, as shown in Table 3. The mean recovery for arabinose was 100.0%, with a coefficient of variation (CV) of 3.6%; the average recovery for galactose was 98.5%, with a CV of 1.9%; the average recovery for glucose was 93.3%, with a CV of 10.1%; and xylose exhibited a mean recovery of 101.1%, with a CV of 6.9%. These results show that the method is suitable and has high reliability for the detection of carbohydrates in hydrolyzed sugarcane bagasse.

Conclusions

The glassy carbon electrode modified with carbon nanotubes containing nanoparticles of cobalt oxides (GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH) was successfully applied as an amperometric detector for the determination of carbohydrates by HPLC-PAD.

The new method developed in this work for pulsed amperometric detection of the four carbohydrates investigated here was found to be selective, sensitive, reproducible and reliable. These properties make the method an interesting alternative for further analytical applications involving sugarcane bagasse.

The GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode was applied for the detection of samples of biomass hydrolysate, where the concentrations of arabinose, galactose, glucose and xylose determined in the sugarcane bagasse samples were $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$, $7.2 \times 10^{-5}$, $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ and $2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ mol L$^{-1}$, respectively.

The results demonstrate that the proposed method can be used for the detection of these carbohydrates without the need of derivatization. In addition, the method offers the advantage of detection with considerable accuracy and reliability, and without the interference of other electroactive species.

Supplementary Information

Supplementary information (containing details related to the energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) of the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode, the pulse sequence applied in the analysis of sugars with reverse pulsed amperometric detection (RPAD) using the GCE/MWCNT/CoOOH electrode, and the chromatograms related to the studies of mobile phase concentration in the separation of carbohydrates) is available free of charge at http://jbcs.sbq.org.br as PDF file.
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