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Abstract

Medicaid, the state-level public insurance in the United States, has widely differing criteria treatment for hepatitis C virus (HCV) such as stage of liver fibrosis, documented sobriety, and specialist consultation. In a rural health network, facilities located in two less restrictive states prescribed HCV drugs at a significantly higher rate than two more restrictive states (rate ratio 4.7, CI 2.6–8.5). Prescription rates per population were highly associated with HCV treatment policies.

Introduction

In the United States, an estimated 2.4 million persons have chronic hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection [1], and the number of deaths from HCV-related mortality is greater than those from HIV and TB combined [2]. Treatment of HCV with direct acting antivirals (DAAs) can cure over 95% of patients with HCV, and cure has been shown to greatly reduce liver-related as well as all-cause mortality [3, 4]. The medical benefits of early treatment of treatment of HCV, before any liver fibrosis has occurred, further improves medical outcomes for HCV patients [5]. However, access to treatment has been limited, often due to treatment criteria that are at least partially attributable to the cost of drugs [6].

American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people have over twice the national rate of HCV related mortality, making access to treatment among this population a priority [7]. Surveillance data from the Indian Health Service (IHS), the federal agency that provides direct medical care to AI/AN communities, documented approximately 30,000 unique patients with HCV, with significant differences in HCV burden by region [8].

The overall Indian health care system is comprised of federal (IHS), tribal, and urban Indian facilities. It is the largest health system provider to AI/AN communities, serving approximately 2.6 million persons in 37 states. State Medicaid programs are a key public insurer for an important proportion of patients in this health network. However, state Medicaid programs vary greatly in HCV treatment eligibility criteria, with some states requiring late stage liver fibrosis, specialist consultation, documented periods of sobriety and other qualifications prior to HCV treatment approval [9]. These eligibility requirements for treatment of a confirmed diagnosis of an infectious disease are thought to be unique to HCV, and are not in alignment with clinical recommendations [10].

We examined prescription data to determine if state Medicaid policy correlated with significant differences for facilities of the Indian health care system.

Methods

For purposes of comparison, we considered all state pairs served by the Indian health care system that had notably differing HCV treatment eligibility in state Medicaid programs, were contiguous, and had similar Affordable Care Act Medicaid Expansion policies. For meaningful estimates, the states had to have at least 50,000 tribal registrants served.
Medicaid eligibility for HCV differ by criteria such as liver disease progression, substance use/sobriety requirements, and prescriber restrictions. We used an external, publicly available resource to assign a rating to HCV policy by state [5]. Tribal registrants are defined as enrolled members of the tribe within the geographic area served by the federal or tribal health facility. Registrant data was taken from the fiscal year 2018 IHS User Population Memorandum.

Prescription data were compiled via the IHS National Service Supply Center (NSSC), a central purchasing option for federal and tribal service units. These data record orders for HCV DAA prescriptions in 28-day units.

Results
Contiguous state pairs that met the inclusion criteria were New Mexico/Arizona and Washington/Oregon. These dyads showed a significant difference in prescription rates per 100,000 inhabitants. States with a better Medicaid rating (WA, NM) had a prescription rate 4.7 times higher than their comparison states (Table 1).

Conclusions
Prescriptions per patient population were significantly higher in states with less restrictive Medicaid policies, with a rate ratio of nearly five times compared to neighboring states with more restrictive criteria. In the long term, policy-related treatment disparities may result in measurable differences by state in rates of hepatocellular carcinoma, liver transplants, and other adverse outcomes. The disparity in prescription rates between the two less restrictive states (OR and NM) may be attributable to differences in HCV burden, as IHS data document the Southwest as the region with the lowest apparent HCV seroprevalence [8].

There are indications that some states are reducing HCV treatment restrictions. For example, Arizona recently eliminated fibrosis requirements, and Oregon eliminated fibrosis and sobriety barriers; these reforms may increase future prescription rates. However, a majority of state Medicaid programs retain varying degrees of eligibility criteria unique to HCV.

Table 1 Direct acting antiviral (DAA) HCV prescriptions by population served, Indian Health Service and tribal health facilities, Washington and Oregon, New Mexico and Arizona, 2018

| Active Indian registrants | DAA prescriptions (28-day units) | Prescriptions per 100,000 population | Medicaid HCV drug access grade | DAA prescriptions rate ratio (95% CI) |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| WA                       | 127,167                         | 116                                 | 91.2                          | A-                                  | 4.7 (2.6–8.5)                     |
| OR                       | 61,530                          | 12                                  | 9.5                           | D                                   |                                      |
| NM                       | 366,163                         | 114                                 | 31.1                          | A                                   | 4.7 (3.3–6.8)                     |
| AZ                       | 601,817                         | 40                                  | 6.7                           | C+                                  |                                      |
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