Mechanism of drug extrusion by brain endothelial cells via lysosomal drug trapping and disposal by neutrophils
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The blood–brain barrier protects the brain against a variety of potentially toxic compounds. Barrier function results from tight junctions between brain capillary endothelial cells and high expression of active efflux transporters, including P-glycoprotein (Pgp), at the apical membrane of these cells. In addition to actively transporting drugs out of the cell, Pgp mediates lysosomal sequestration of chemotherapeutic drugs in cancer cells, thus contributing to drug resistance. Here, we describe that lysosomal sequestration of Pgp substrates, including doxorubicin, also occurs in human and porcine brain endothelial cells that form the blood–brain barrier. This is followed by shedding of drug-sequestering vesicular structures, which stay attached to the apical side of the plasma membrane and form aggregates (“barrier bodies”) that ultimately undergo phagocytosis by neutrophils, thus constituting an as-yet-undescribed mechanism of drug disposal. These findings introduce a mechanism that might contribute to brain protection against potentially toxic xenobiotics, including therapeutically important chemotherapeutic drugs.

Significance

Located at the apical (blood-facing) site of brain capillary endothelial cells that form the blood–brain barrier (BBB), the efflux transporter P-glycoprotein (Pgp) restricts the brain entry of various lipophilic xenobiotics, which contributes to BBB function. Pgp may become saturated if exposed to too-high drug concentrations. Here, we demonstrate a second-line defense mechanism in human brain capillary endothelial cells—that is, Pgp-mediated intracellular lysosomal drug trapping. Furthermore, we describe a mechanism of drug disposal at the BBB, which is shedding of lysosomal Pgp/substrate complexes at the apical membrane of human and porcine BBB endothelial cells and subsequent phagocytosis by neutrophils. Thus, we have discovered a fascinating mechanism of how Pgp might contribute to brain protection.
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The blood–brain barrier (BBB) controls the entry of compounds into the brain, thereby regulating brain homeostasis (1). ATP-binding cassette (ABC) multidrug efflux transporters such as P-glycoprotein (Pgp; MDR1; ABCB1) are expressed at the apical membrane of brain capillary endothelial cells (BCECs) that form the BBB. These transporters significantly contribute to BBB function by limiting brain entry of potentially cytotoxic compounds via active efflux of such compounds to the blood (2–4). Pgp is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum and trafficked along the secretory pathway through the Golgi apparatus to the cell surface, but is also localized to endosomes and lysosomes (5). The localization of Pgp in endosomes has been suggested to serve as an intracellular reservoir before Pgp moving to the plasma membrane, while lysosomes are responsible for Pgp degradation (5). However, data obtained in cancer cells have indicated that Pgp is also expressed in the lysosomal membrane and can sequester ionizable chemotherapeutics such as doxorubicin (DOXO) into lysosomes to prevent interaction with molecular targets, resulting in drug resistance (6–11). This lysosomal drug sequestration is enabled by the topological inversion of Pgp via endocytosis, resulting in the transporter actively pumping agents into the lysosome (12, 13). Consequently, lysosomal drug sequestration is prevented by Pgp inhibitors such as valspodar and elacridar or silencing Pgp expression with siRNA (9). Lysosomal trapping of Pgp substrates and its inhibition by Pgp inhibitors has not only been demonstrated for cancer cell lines but also for lysosome-rich organs (kidney and spleen) of healthy humans (14). However, it is not known whether similar Pgp-mediated lysosomal drug sequestration is also functional in BCECs that form the BBB, thus contributing to BBB function.

We recently described intercellular Pgp transfer in human brain endothelial (hCMEC/D3) cells using cocultures of wild-type (WT) and Pgp-EGFP–expressing cells (15). In subsequent experiments in such confluent cocultures, which are described in the present work, we observed the intracellular formation of Pgp substrate [eFluxx-ID Gold (EFIG)]– and Pgp-EGFP–containing vesicular structures and subsequent shedding of these Pgp substrate–sequestering vesicular structures. These structures stayed attached to the apical side of the plasma membrane and formed aggregates, which we termed “barrier bodies.” To our knowledge, such membrane-attached Pgp/substrate sequestering structures have not been described for BCECs or any other Pgp-containing cell type. The extracellular localization of these structures and their attachment to the apical cell membrane of the BCECs led us to hypothesize that the formation and shedding
of the barrier bodies may be an effective way for the cell to dispose of cytotoxic compounds via phagocytic blood cells and, thus, provide a second-line defense mechanism against cytotoxic drugs. The present findings support this hypothesis.

Results

First Observation of Barrier Bodies. During a series of live cell imaging experiments on intercellular Pgp transfer in confluent cocultures of equal numbers of hCMEC/D3 WT cells (Pgp-recipient cells) and conditional doxycycline-inducible Pgp-EGFP-overexpressing hCMEC/D3 cells (Pgp-donor cells), we used EFIG, a xanthene-based small-molecule dye coupled to acetoxymethyl (AM) ester (EFIG-AM) for cell permeability (16). EFIG has been optimized for multiplexing with other common fluorescent dyes in cell imaging and flow-cytometric assays, allowing the concomitant use of several dyes as done in this study (16). The nonfluorescent proprietary AM-ester form of EFIG readily penetrates the cell membrane due to its hydrophobic character and is subsequently hydrolyzed by intracellular esterases (16). Cleavage by esterases results in a hydrophilic cell membrane-impermeable fluorescent metabolite of EFIG-AM, EFIG, which is trapped inside the cell unless it is pumped out by efflux transporters like Pgp (16). The fluorescence signal of the dye generated within the cells then depends upon the activity of such efflux transporters (15, 17).

In Pgp-EGFP-overexpressing hCMEC/D3 cells, EFIG efflux is almost completely inhibited when cells are treated with specific Pgp inhibitors (15, 18).

When the coculture was exposed to EFIG-AM in live cell imaging experiments, data from confocal fluorescence microscopy showed that the cytoplasm of eFluor670 (APC)-labeled WT cells appeared red-colored (arrow 1 in Fig. 1), because the esterase-cleaved fluorescent dye EFIG was trapped inside the cells. In contrast, hCMEC/D3 donor cells overexpressing Pgp-EGFP in their plasma membrane did not appear red (arrow 2 in Fig. 1), because the esterase-cleaved dye EFIG was effectively pumped out of the cells by Pgp. As recently shown (15), in such cocultures of hCMEC/D3 WT cells and Pgp-EGFP-overexpressing hCMEC/D3 cells, the Pgp-EGFP fusion protein is transferred from donor to recipient cells by cell-to-cell contact and Pgp-EGFP-enriched vesicles, which are exocytosed by donor cells and endocytosed by adjacent recipient cells. WT cells that received Pgp-EGFP by such intercellular transfer also did not appear red in response to EFIG-AM exposure (arrow 3 in Fig. 1).

In addition to efflux of the fluorescent EFIG out of the cells (which we demonstrated in previous experiments with these cells (15, 18)), we observed the intracellular formation of Pgp substrate (EFIG) and Pgp-EGFP-containing vesicular structures (~0.5–2 μm in diameter) that were either formed in the Pgp-EGFP-expressing endothelial cells (arrow 4 in Fig. 1) or, after intercellular transfer of Pgp-EGFP, in eFluor670-labeled WT cells (arrow 5 in Fig. 1). The Pgp-EGFP/substrate–containing vesicular structures were shed by the endothelial cells and formed extracellular aggregates with an aciniform structure and a size of 5–25 μm in diameter (arrow 6 in Fig. 1). Both hCMEC/D3 WT and Pgp-EGFP-overexpressing hCMEC/D3 cells formed these vesicular aggregates, which stayed attached to the plasma membrane. We therefore termed these aciniform large structures barrier bodies, because they attached to the blood-facing apical plasma membrane of BCECs that form the BBB (see description of experiments in two-compartment chamber devices below).

To confirm the extracellular localization of these aggregates, confocal optical sectioning of cocultured hCMEC/D3 cells treated with EFIG-AM was performed. Structures identified as barrier bodies in a maximum projection image from a stack of 40 optical sections (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A, white circles) could be associated with elevated areas in a 3D image, by using depth coding (SI Appendix, Fig. S1B, white circles). In depth-coding images, different focal planes were represented by a color code. The same structures revealed a superimposed localization on the cells, when the cell layer was analyzed for its 3D architecture (SI Appendix, Fig. S1C, white arrow).

Barrier Bodies Are also Formed with DOXO. To examine whether the observed intracellular sequestration of Pgp substrate and extracellular formation of barrier bodies only occurred with the Pgp substrate EFIG or also with more widely used cytotoxic Pgp substrates, we performed similar live cell imaging experiments with DOXO at subtoxic concentrations (10 μM). DOXO is one of the most widely used clinical anticancer agents and a hydrophobic weak base that intercalates as primary target into nuclear DNA (19). When cocultures of hCMEC/D3 WT cells and Pgp-EGFP-overexpressing hCMEC/D3 cells were exposed to DOXO (10 μM, 30 min), nuclear binding of DOXO (red) was only observed in WT cells not overexpressing Pgp (Figs. 2 and 3D). The data obtained with EFIG were corroborated by similar observations in DOXO-exposed cells. First, after treatment with DOXO, Pgp-EGFP–transfected hCMEC/D3 cells showed an intracellular sequestration of DOXO in Pgp-containing vesicles, located within the cytoplasm near the cell nuclei (arrows labeled 1 in Fig. 2); similarly, WT cells showed accumulation of DOXO in intracellular vesicular structures (arrow 2 in Fig. 2). Second, in addition to intracellular localization of Pgp/DOXO-containing vesicles, confocal microscopic analysis showed an assembly of Pgp/DOXO-positive vesicles (with the same features as the barrier bodies first observed with EFIG) at the plasma membrane border of different cells (arrows labeled 3 in Fig. 2). Notably, most of the barrier-body aggregates, either formed after DOXO or EFIG-AM treatment of hCMEC/D3 cells, seemed to be...
be enclosed by a Pgp-containing membrane, most likely due to budding from the hCMEC/D3 plasma membrane (Insets in Figs. 1 and 2). Analysis of the number of barrier bodies in 10 randomly captured fluorescent micrographs of different cultures treated with either DOXO (n = 4) or EFIG-AM (n = 6) showed that 135 of 1,323 analyzed cells (10.2%) exhibited barrier-body aggregates (range 8.1–13.9% per image) without significant difference between treatments.

Scanning electron microscopy was used to analyze the structure of barrier bodies and their extracellular formation at higher resolution. Fig. 4A illustrates the budding of vesicles (1–2 μm in diameter) from the apical membrane of hCMEC/D3 cells after treatment with DOXO. Fig. 4B shows the accumulation of the extracellular vesicles (EVs) in aciniform aggregates at the apical cell surface of hCMEC/D3 cells, similar to the structure of the barrier bodies seen with laser scanning microscopy.

**Intracellular Sequestration of Pgp Substrates in Vesicular Structures Positive for Lysosomal Markers.** It has been demonstrated in cancer cells that Pgp not only functions to transport drugs out of the cell when present on the plasma membrane, but also adopts a role in the lysosomal membrane to induce resistance (13). This mechanism is enabled by the topological inversion of Pgp via endocytosis, resulting in the transporter actively pumping agents into the lysosome (12). Lysosomes thus act as a “safe house” to prevent cytotoxic effects of Pgp substrates that have surpassed the efflux of the plasma membrane-located Pgp (9, 11–13). We therefore thought that the same mechanism of Pgp substrate sequestration is active in BCECs. Indeed, the intracellular structures that sequestered the Pgp substrates EFIG and DOXO in hCMEC/D3 cells were identified as lysosomes by LAMP-2 and LysoTracker staining and confocal microscopy (Fig. 3). Absent binding of DOXO to its primary nuclear target in Pgp-EGFP-overexpressing cells (arrow 1 in Fig. 3D) in comparison with WT cells with lower levels of Pgp (arrow 2 in Fig. 3D) is due to entrapment of DOXO in lysosomes or active transport out of the cell by Pgp within the plasma membrane. A double staining of intracellular Pgp/Pgp substrate vesicles and lysosomal markers LAMP-2 or LysoTracker is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S2.

**Barrier Bodies also Contain Lysosomal Markers.** For live cell imaging of cocultured WT and Pgp-EGFP-overexpressing hCMEC/D3 cells, lysosomes were visualized by incubation with LysoTracker before treatment with Pgp substrate (Fig. 5). The extracellular barrier bodies showed LysoTracker staining indicating an endo-lysosomal origin of these structures. Interestingly, the diameter of single vesicles in the barrier bodies lies in a range of 0.5–2 μm, which is similar to the diameter of lysosomes, but exceeds the diameter of exosomes or ectosomes so far described (20, 21). A prewashing of nuclear DNA (DAPI, bisbenzimide H; blue) before Pgp substrate treatment of hCMEC/D3 cells and an absent DAPI staining of the formed barrier bodies suggest that shedding of barrier bodies does not result from apoptotic events (magnified images in Figs. 1 and 2). Apoptosis of hCMEC/D3 cocultures after substrate treatment was additionally examined in Western blots from cell lysates, analyzing cleavage of caspase-3 (SI Appendix, Fig. S3F). Neither in cocultures treated with DOXO (10 μM, 30 min) nor with EFIG-AM (30 min) was caspase-3 cleavage as marker for apoptosis detectable.

In subsequent experiments, barrier bodies were isolated from cocultured hCMEC/D3 cells treated with Pgp substrate by using differential centrifugation and FACS analysis to assess bisbenzimide...
H-negative and Pgp/Pgp substrate-positive vesicular structures (for details see SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods and Fig. S3 A–D). Dot blotting of the isolated bodies revealed localization of LAMP-2 within barrier bodies (SI Appendix, Fig. S3E), thus supporting the hypothesis that barrier bodies are of lysosomal origin. Moreover, the small GTPase binding protein Rho A and the lipid raft marker flotillin-2 were detected in barrier-body isolates. FACS analysis additionally unraveled that 58% of the barrier bodies originate from Pgp-EGFP-overexpressing cells and 42% from eFluor670-labeled WT cells, to which Pgp was transferred (SI Appendix, Fig. S3C).

The localization of barrier-body aggregates at the plasma-membrane border of adjacent cells (Figs. 1 and 2) and the presence of both the WT marker eFluor670 and Pgp-EGFP in the barrier bodies (Fig. 1 and SI Appendix, Fig. S3C) indicated that each barrier-body aggregate (which was exhibited by ~10% of the cells) was formed by vesicles from more than one endothelial cell. This suggests that at least 20% of the cells in the cocultures contributed to barrier-body formation.

Barrier-Body Formation Is Blocked by Inhibition of Vesicular Trafficking and Reduced by Inhibition of Pgp. Vesicular trafficking to the cell surface implicates particularly the actin cytoskeleton and the microtubules (22, 23). We therefore asked whether the entire process of barrier-body formation can be blocked by nocodazole, which interferes with the polymerization of microtubules (24, 25), or cytochalasin D, which is a cell-permeable and potent inhibitor of actin polymerization (26). As shown in Fig. 6, both nocodazole (10 μM) and cytochalasin D (10 μM) markedly (~90%) reduced the formation of barrier bodies, but did not affect cell viability. It is noteworthy that inhibition of Pgp by elacridar (0.2 μM) significantly reduced barrier-body formation by 51% on average (Fig. 6C).

Barrier Bodies Are Eliminated by Phagocytosing Neutrophils. The extracellular localization of these structures and their attachment to the blood-facing apical cell membrane of the BCECs led us to hypothesize that the formation of the barrier bodies may constitute an efficient cellular mechanism for the disposition of cytotoxic compounds to phagocytic blood cells. Two strategies were used to evaluate this hypothesis: (i) incubation of human promyelocytic HL-60 cells, which can be chemically induced to differentiate to a neutrophil-like phenotype (27), with isolated single barrier-body vesicles; and (ii) coculturing of WT and EGFP-Pgp–transfected hCMEC/D3 cells with human primary blood-derived neutrophils.

HL-60 cells were differentiated to a neutrophil-like cell type by DMSO treatment and incubated with the Pgp/Pgp substrate-positive barrier bodies isolated by differential centrifugation and FACS analysis. Interestingly, a perinuclear localization of the barrier bodies was observed after addition to the HL-60 cells and removal of the barrier bodies from the culture medium by washing (SI Appendix, Fig. S4A, arrow), providing clear evidence for an uptake of barrier bodies by phagocytosing neutrophil-like HL-60 cells.

For further investigation of a barrier-body uptake by phagocytic blood cells, freshly isolated human blood-derived neutrophils were used. Human neutrophils do not endogenously express Pgp (28, 29); thus, a green fluorescence signal of neutrophils after incubation with Pgp-EGFP–expressing hCMEC/D3 cocultures or barrier bodies would indicate a Pgp uptake by neutrophils. In a first step, human neutrophils were incubated with isolated Pgp/substrate-positive vesicles, and a possible ingestion of the bodies was examined by fluorescence microscopy. A Pgp/Pgp substrate-positive fluorescence staining of the neutrophils indicated that these cells have in fact phagocytosed the barrier body (SI Appendix, Fig. S4B). Such fluorescence was not seen in negative controls. In a second step, human neutrophils were incubated with cocultured hCMEC/D3 cells pretreated with EFIG-AM and analyzed for Pgp/Pgp-substrate uptake by live cell imaging and fluorescence microscopy (Fig. S7 and Movie S1). During a time course of 32 min after the addition of neutrophils to cocultured hCMEC/D3 cells, the neutrophils showed an increasing fluorescent signal for Pgp-EGFP and Pgp substrate that colocalized in punctate structures (Fig. 7A, magnification). The punctate distribution of Pgp/Pgp substrate within the neutrophils indicated an uptake of the barrier-body vesicles. Lysosomes of hCMEC/D3 cells were marked by LysoTracker staining before Pgp substrate incubation. Along with vesicular Pgp/Pgp substrate uptake, a LysoTracker staining of neutrophils was detectable (Fig. 7A), thus strengthening the hypothesis that barrier bodies released by hCMEC/D3 cells and ingested by neutrophils are of lysosomal origin.
After addition of neutrophils to the culture medium of hCMEC/D3 cells, the neutrophils were observed to extend pseudopods directed toward the hCMEC/D3 plasma membrane (Fig. 7B and Movie S1, arrow 2), presumably hunting for potential target antigens. These pseudopods were not observed when neutrophils were added to hCMEC/D3 that were not exposed to DOXO or EFIG-AM and therefore did not exhibit formation of barrier bodies. Pseudopod formation by neutrophils was described as the first step in neutrophil phagocytosis (30, 31). The ingestion process of an extracellular Pgp/Pgp substrate vesicle by a nuclear-stained neutrophil is depicted in SI Appendix, Fig. S5. Furthermore, time-dependent uptake of barrier bodies by nuclear-stained neutrophils is shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S6. Control experiments showed that neutrophils co-cultured with Pgp-EGFP–overexpressing cells alone (i.e., without Pgp substrate treatment) do not ingest Pgp-EGFP (SI Appendix, Fig. S7). Moreover, neutrophils from cocultures were analyzed by FACS for Pgp-EGFP and substrate uptake in comparison with naïve neutrophils that were not incubated with hCMEC/D3 cells. The analysis revealed that 16% of neutrophils were positive for Pgp-EGFP uptake and 69% for Pgp-substrate uptake. From Pgp-EGFP–positive cells, ~2/3 showed a positive signal for the Pgp-substrate EFIG, resulting in a total of 11% of neutrophils that ingested both Pgp-EGFP and Pgp substrate (Fig. 7C). Intrinsic Pgp/Pgp-substrate vesicular uptake by neutrophils is expected to be higher, considering that endogenous unlabeled Pgp is not detectable by this fluorescence-based method. Moreover, increased substrate uptake of neutrophils might be explained by substrate release of hCMEC/D3 cells in vesicular structures and, in addition, through efflux by Pgp at the plasma membrane of hCMEC/D3 cells and subsequent uptake by neutrophils.

With respect to the timeframe of these observations, lysosomal drug sequestration and barrier-body formation could be observed after 30 min of substrate exposure of hCMEC/D3 cells. The subsequent uptake of the barrier bodies by neutrophils took place within minutes after the addition of the neutrophils to the cell culture.

To substantiate that barrier bodies are located on the apical (blood-facing) surface of endothelial cells, hCMEC/D3 cells were grown to confluency on membrane filters of two-compartment chamber devices, and neutrophils were added in the apical chamber. As shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S8, barrier bodies were formed at the apical plasma membrane of the endothelial cells and phagocytosed by neutrophils.

Human endothelial cells can produce interleukins (ILs) such as IL-8 (32) and the cathelicidin peptide LL-37 (33), both of which are chemotactic for neutrophils (34, 35). We therefore determined whether DOXO-treated or EFIG-AM–treated hCMEC/D3 cells produce IL-8 or LL-37. As shown in Fig. 8, cell exposure to either DOXO or EFIG-AM for 30 min significantly increased the release of IL-8, but not LL-37.

**Intracellular Drug Trapping, Barrier-Body Formation, and Disposal by Neutrophils Is Also Observed in Primary Cultures of Porcine BCECs.**

Given that hCMEC/D3 is an immortalized cell line, an alteration in its phenotype, function, and responsiveness to drugs (36) compared with the native original cell type cannot be excluded. It was therefore important to confirm that the processes observed in hCMEC/D3 cells also occur in primary BCEC cultures. For this purpose, we used porcine BCECs (pBCECs), which exhibit many similarities to human BCECs and naturally produce Pgp (37). As shown in SI Appendix, Fig. S9, the morphology and size of hCMEC/D3 cells and pBCECs were very similar. As reported (37), both cell types formed monolayers and showed spindleshaped morphology when examined at confuence by phase-contrast microscopy. Pgp expression of pBCECs was similar to that of MDRI-EGFP–transfected hCMEC/D3 cells and significantly higher than Pgp expression of hCMEC/D3 WT cells (SI Appendix, Fig. S9).

Exposure of pBCEC cell cultures to subtoxic concentrations of DOXO (10 μM) led to intracellular drug trapping, barrier-body formation, and disposal by neutrophils (Fig. 9 A and B), as observed in hCMEC/D3 cells. Similarly, this process was also observed following exposure to EFIG-AM (Fig. 9C). Analysis of
Ingestion of Pgp/EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-enriched vesicles by neutrophils after incubation with BCECs. Cocultures of hCMEC/D3-MDR1-EGFP and hCMEC/D3 WT cells were treated with LysoTracker (blue) for visualization of lysosomes, followed by exposure to EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM (EFI\(^{\text{G}}\); red) and incubation with eFluor670-labeled neutrophils (white). Analysis was performed by live cell imaging using a confocal microscope at 37 °C. (A) Microscopy images are at indicated time points after incubation of hCMEC/D3 cell cultures with neutrophils. Uptake of Pgp/EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-enriched vesicles by neutrophils could be observed by increasing colocalizing punctate Pgp (green) and EFI\(^{\text{G}}\) fluorescence signals within the neutrophils over time. (B) Magnification of neutrophil, extending pseudopods toward the hCMEC/D3 plasma membrane, likely scanning for target antigens (same neutrophil as indicated by arrowhead in A). (C) Flow-cytometric analysis of Pgp-EGFP and EFI\(^{\text{G}}\) uptake (PE channel) by primary neutrophils. eFluor670-labeled neutrophils were incubated for 24 h with EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM–treated hCMEC/D3 cocultures. Subsequently, uptake was compared with eFluor670-labeled control neutrophils that were not preincubated with hCMEC/D3 cells. Results are expressed as means ± SEM of three independent experiments with 10,000 measured events each. \(P < 0.05\).

Discussion

Pgp-mediated lysosomal sequestration of cytotoxic xenobiotics such as DOXO has been shown in cancer cells (13) and peripheral organs such as kidney and spleen (14). The present experiments demonstrate that lysosomal trapping of Pgp substrates also occurs in BCECs. More importantly, we show that the intracellular Pgp-substrate–sequestering vesicular structures exit the cells and form aggregates (barrier bodies) that stay attached to the apical cell membrane of BCECs and can be phagocytosed by neutrophils, which constitutes a mechanism that might contribute to BBB protection against xenobiotic compounds (Fig. 10). The entire process of barrier-body formation could be blocked by drugs that inhibit vesicle delivery to the plasma membrane.

Why has this surprising process not been previously reported? At least three methodological prerequisites were crucial for the present observations. First, the conditional doxycycline-inducible Pgp-EGFP–expressing hCMEC/D3 cells that we recently produced (18) allowed the visualization of Pgp within and outside the BCECs. Second, the EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM uptake assay has advantages compared with the more commonly used Pgp-substrate assays because the hydrophobic, nonfluorescent EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM readily penetrates the cell membrane, where it is hydrolyzed to a hydrophilic fluorescent metabolite (EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)) by intracellular esterases that cannot enter intracellular vesicles by passive diffusion (16). Thus, unless EFI\(^{\text{G}}\) is actively transported out of the cell or sequestered in intracellular compartments by active transport, the esterase cleaved dye is trapped inside the cell (16). This feature thus favored the detection of Pgp-mediated lysosomal sequestration in BCECs. In this respect, EFI\(^{\text{G}}\) differs from the more commonly used Pgp substrates, such as weakly basic chemotherapeutic agents (e.g., DOXO), which can be sequestered in lysosomes in the absence of multidrug transporters such as Pgp, most likely by pH partitioning (also referred to as ion trapping) (38–41). Third, to our knowledge, interactions between cocultures of BCECs and neutrophils have not been investigated previously for Pgp-mediated drug disposal.

According to several recent reviews, the hCMEC/D3 cell line used in our study is the most extensively characterized and used immortalized human BCEC line that is currently available as an in vitro model of the human BBB (37, 42–45). This cell line recapitulates quite effectively a considerable number of BBB–BCEC characteristics, preserving the in vivo endothelial phenotype at least until the 35th passage, including the spindle-shaped

the number of barrier bodies in 25 randomly captured fluorescent micrographs of different pBCEC cultures treated with either DOXO \((n = 14)\) or EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM \((n = 11)\) showed that 141 of 1,173 analyzed cells \((12.0 ± 1.2\% \text{ per image})\) exhibited barrier bodies without significant difference between treatments; barrier bodies were found on every single image of drug-exposed cell cultures but not in controls.

Scanning electron microscopy substantiated the budding of vesicles from the apical membrane of pBCECs after treatment with DOXO and the accumulation of the EVs in aciniform aggregates at the apical cell surface (Fig. 9D).

Fig. 7. Ingestion of Pgp/EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-enriched vesicles by neutrophils after incubation with BCECs. Cocultures of hCMEC/D3-MDR1-EGFP and hCMEC/D3 WT cells were treated with LysoTracker (blue) for visualization of lysosomes, followed by exposure to EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM (EFI\(^{\text{G}}\); red) and incubation with eFluor670-labeled neutrophils (white). Analysis was performed by live cell imaging using a confocal microscope at 37 °C. (A) Microscopy images are at indicated time points after incubation of hCMEC/D3 cell cultures with neutrophils. Uptake of Pgp/EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-enriched vesicles by neutrophils could be observed by increasing colocalizing punctate Pgp (green) and EFI\(^{\text{G}}\) fluorescence signals within the neutrophils over time. (B) Magnification of neutrophil, extending pseudopods toward the hCMEC/D3 plasma membrane, likely scanning for target antigens (same neutrophil as indicated by arrowhead in A). (C) Flow-cytometric analysis of Pgp-EGFP and EFI\(^{\text{G}}\) uptake (PE channel) by primary neutrophils. eFluor670-labeled neutrophils were incubated for 24 h with EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM–treated hCMEC/D3 cocultures. Subsequently, uptake was compared with eFluor670-labeled control neutrophils that were not preincubated with hCMEC/D3 cells. Results are expressed as means ± SEM of three independent experiments with 10,000 measured events each. \(P < 0.05\).

Fig. 8. Release of neutrophil-attracting chemokines by BCECs after treatment with DOXO or EFI\(^{\text{G}}\). hCMEC/D3-MDR1-EGFP and hCMEC/D3 WT cells were cocultured in six-well plates and treated with DOXO or EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM. The cell-culture supernatant was collected after 30 min of treatment or no treatment (control) and subjected to commercially available ELISAs to determine the specific release of neutrophil-attracting chemokines. (A) The release of the antimicrobial peptide LL-37 by hCMEC/D3 cells does not significantly differ between DOXO- or EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM-treated and untreated control samples. (B) Levels of the neutrophil-activating peptide IL-8 are significantly elevated upon treatment with DOXO or EFI\(^{\text{G}}\)-AM compared with untreated control samples. Values represent the mean ± SEM of identically prepared samples \((n = 6)\). \(P < 0.05\).

Noack et al.
Box 1. In vitro System with hCMEC/D3 Cells

Human cerebral microvascular endothelial cells (hCMEC/D3) are a useful in vitro system for studying drug uptake and transport mechanisms across the blood-brain barrier (BBB). These cells are derived from human brain capillaries and express a range of BBB-phenotype ABC transporters, including P-glycoprotein (Pgp), which is associated with the efflux of drugs and other molecules from the brain. hCMEC/D3 cells are also used as a tool for studying principal mechanisms of drug uptake, intracellular sequestration, trafficking, and extrusion, which then have to be confirmed by primary BCEC cultures and, ultimately, in the BBB in vivo.

Materials and Methods

Materials and methods for the in vitro system with hCMEC/D3 cells are described in detail in the Materials and Methods section of the original publication.

A. Determination of Transendothelial Resistance

The transendothelial resistance (TER) of hCMEC/D3 monolayers is determined using a voltage-clamped chamber and a multi-channel recording system. TER values are calculated using the following formula:

\[ \text{TER} = \frac{V}{I} \times \frac{A}{L} \]

where \( V \) is the voltage across the monolayer, \( I \) is the current, \( A \) is the area of the monolayer, and \( L \) is the thickness of the monolayer.

B. Incubation of Cells with Drugs

hCMEC/D3 cells are incubated with drugs at specific concentrations for predetermined time periods. The drugs used are chosen based on their known effect on the BBB, including Pgp substrates, which are used to assess Pgp activity.

C. Fluorescence Microscopy

Cells are stained with fluorescent probes to visualize the distribution of specific proteins or molecules across the BBB. This helps to determine the effectiveness of drugs in modulating BBB function.

D. Scanning Electron Microscopy

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) is used to examine the morphology of hCMEC/D3 cells and to assess the integrity of the BBB. SEM images are obtained using a high-resolution scanning electron microscope and analyzed to quantify changes in cell morphology and BBB permeability.

E. Western Blotting

Western blotting is used to detect the expression levels of specific proteins, such as transporters and receptors, that are involved in BBB function. This helps to validate the use of hCMEC/D3 cells as an in vitro model for studying BBB transport mechanisms.

Fig. 9. Barrier-body formation and uptake by neutrophils in primary pBCEC cultures. Primary pBCECs were treated with either DOXO (10 μM, 30 min) or EFIG-AM (30 min) after culturing on collagen-coated glass coverslips for 5 d. Depending on the experiment, DOXO- or EFIG-treated cells were incubated with freshly isolated porcine neutrophils. Barrier-body formation and uptake by neutrophils were analyzed. (A) LysoTracker- and DOXO-treated pBCECs were incubated with eFluor670-labeled porcine neutrophils (white) for 20 min at 37 °C. Cells were fixed with aceton-methanol and indirectly stained for Pgp (Materials and Methods). Samples were analyzed by confocal fluorescence microscopy. Lysosomal sequestration of DOXO (1) and neutrophils exhibiting green (Pgp), red (DOXO), and blue (LysoTracker) fluorescence (2) indicate formation and phagocytosis of barrier bodies also in pBCECs. (B) Confocal fluorescence micrograph of barrier-body formation (boxed magnification) in DOXO-treated pBCECs. After DOXO treatment, cells were incubated with neutrophils for 5 min followed by aceton-methanol fixation and indirect staining for Pgp (green). Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue). The overlay shows colocalization of neutrophils with Pgp and EFIG substrate, as well as LysoTracker (Inset 2), indicating uptake of barrier bodies by neutrophils. Insets in the upper left and lower left show magnification of Pgp-, EFIG-, and LysoTracker-positive barrier bodies at the surface of pBCECs, as well as neutrophils. (C) Similar to DOXO-treated pBCECs, barrier-body formation and uptake by porcine neutrophils (eFluor670-labeled, white) can be observed after treatment of the culture with EFIG (red). Cells were stained with LysoTracker (blue); Pgp (green) was indirectly stained. The overlay of Pgp, EFIG, and eFluor670 (Inset 1) shows colocalization of neutrophils with Pgp and EFIG substrate, as well as LysoTracker (Inset 2), indicating uptake of barrier bodies by neutrophils. Insets in the upper left and lower left show magnification of Pgp-, EFIG-, and LysoTracker-positive barrier bodies at the surface of pBCECs, as well as neutrophils. (D) Scanning electron micrograph of a barrier-body aggregate on the surface of a primary pBCEC after treatment with DOXO.
endothelial cells observed here. However, to understand molecular mechanisms by which neutrophils recognize barrier bodies, detailed proteomics of the vesicle contents provides a promising strategy to inhibit this mechanism and reverse Pgp-mediated drug resistance through barrier-body production.

Interestingly, pseudopod formation of neutrophils was also observed here and typically preceded the phagocytosis of barrier bodies. Neutrophils have no endogenous Pgp (28, 29), so phagocytosis would be the only likely mechanism by which Pgp substrates (and Pgp-EGFP) are taken up by these cells as observed here. Following phagocytosis of particles by neutrophils, the phagosome fuses with lysosomes, leading to acidification of the phagosome and degradation of the target (54).

Fig. 10 schematically illustrates the potential steps in neutrophil-mediated disposal of xenobiotics from BBB cells. In addition, known processes of Pgp-mediated drug efflux and lysosomal sequestration are shown. An open question is how the Pgp-EGFP/substrate complex that is trapped in intracellular lysosomes can undergo shedding and formation of barrier bodies. The barrier bodies observed in this study are attached to the apical cell membrane of the endothelial cells and consist of a vesicular aggregate with an acinar structure and a size of 5–25 μm in diameter. The Pgp and Pgp substrate-containing vesicles in the barrier bodies have a diameter of 0.5–2.0 μm and contain lysosomal markers. The barrier bodies are not a nonphysiological phenomenon (or artifact) occurring only in Pgp-EGFP–transfected hCMC/D3 cells, but they also occurred in WT hCMEC/D3 cells that received Pgp-EGFP from donor cells and in primary cultures of pBCECs. Although the exact origin of and mechanisms involved in barrier-body formation have to be clarified in more detail in future studies, the present observations allow a number of conclusions that are discussed in the following.

Multiple cell types, including endothelial cells, shed numerous, distinct forms of EVs—membrane-enclosed structures released from the cell during both physiologic and disease state (61–65). EVs are structures of variable size (from 30 nm to a few micrometers), surrounded by a lipid bilayer. Despite growing understanding of EV biogenesis, function, and contents, mechanisms regulating cargo delivery and enrichment remain largely unknown. However, the significance of EVs is expanding, as their capacity to package and transfer bioactive molecules and serve as vectors in the trafficking of cellular cargo, including chemotherapeutic drugs, is of mounting interest (64, 66).

Recent literature broadly divides cell-derived EVs into three main groups according to their biogenesis, size, and molecular composition: (i) exosomes (~30–100 nm in diameter), (ii) ectosomes (also termed microvesicles, shedded vesicles, or microparticles; ~100–1,000 nm in diameter), and (iii) apoptotic bodies (~1,000–5,000 nm in diameter) (63). Exosomes originate from exocytosis of multivesicular bodies (MVBs) formed by inward budding of endosomal membranes. The typically larger ectosomes originate from direct outward budding of the cellular plasma membrane. Apoptotic bodies are released by membrane blebbing of dying cells and may contain DNA and histones. Ectosomes were long considered to be artifacts, and then they were confused with exosomes—the vesicles discharged upon exocytosis of MVBs—and with cytoplasmic particles generated during apoptosis (67). EVs can be released from nearly all cell types, constitutively and/or upon induction (64), such as the EVs that sequestered Pgp substrates and formed barrier bodies after exposing the BCECs to xenobiotics like EFIG-AM or DOXO in the present study. However, at first glance, the EVs that led to barrier-body formation do not fit into the three main groups of EVs described above.

As indicated by 2 in Fig. 10, lysosomes may release their content into the extracellular space via an exocytic pathway, which has been shown to be involved in resistance to chemotherapeutic agents (13, 68); however, to our knowledge, shedding or outward budding of lysosomes has not been described, although lysosomes are able to fuse with the plasma membrane (68).

Could MVB formation play a role in the present observations? MVB formation occurs when a portion of the limiting membrane of an endosome invaginates and buds into its own lumen (69). MVBs can fuse with the cellular plasma membrane to release their intraluminal vesicles as exosomes to the extracellular space by an exocytic step (67, 70). Alternatively, MVBs can fuse with lysosomes, leading to degradation of the intraluminal vesicles and their content (54). However, exosomes (released from MVBs) are an unlikely source of barrier-body formation because they are much smaller than the EVs that formed the barrier bodies and do not contain lysosomal markers. Also, exosome isolation would require a much higher centrifugation force than that used for isolation of single barrier-body vesicles here.

Apoptotic bodies as a source of barrier-body formation could be excluded. Such apoptotic bodies are one type of EVs that contain complex cargo, both in their lumen and the lipid membrane (65). The cargo of EVs (e.g., proteins, nucleic acids, and lipids) is reflective of their cellular origin. Apoptotic bodies may contain cellular DNA as a cargo, which was not detected in barrier bodies.

Ectosomes, small plasma membrane-derived vesicles that are released by membrane blebbing from various cell types, including endothelial cells, can either fuse with target cells, with the ensuing incorporation of their membrane in the plasma membrane and release of the segregated package to the cytosol, or be taken up by endocytosis (65–67). The fate of the latter is variable: fusion with lysosomes, release of contents in the cytosol, or discharge to the extracellular space by transcytosis (67). Thus, in principle, the EVs that formed the barrier bodies in our experiments...
could be a type of ectosomes (or microvesicles) that enclosed Pgp-EGFP/substrate-containing vesicles of endo-lysosomal origin (3 in Fig. 10). Indeed, transfer of Pgp by ectosomes has been shown (67), and at least part of the intercellular transfer of Pgp-EGFP between donor and recipient hCMEC/D3 cells reported by us previously was mediated by ectosomes (15). Furthermore, ectosomes have been found to sequester chemotherapeutic drugs such as DOXO (71). However, it is not clear whether ectosomes can leave the cell as such once they have entered the cell and fused with lysosomes.

Another conceivable pathway for the cargo delivery observed in this study, indicated by 4 in Fig. 10, would be fusion of lysosomes or the vesicles of endo-lysosomal origin are released by subsequent outward budding or protrusion of the plasma membrane, which might explain enclosure of barrier-body vesicles by a Pgp-containing plasma membrane. In addition, to serve the disposal of substances that may be harmful to the cell, EVs can induce the horizontal transfer of critical molecules such as Pgp, which confers multidrug resistance to the recipient cell, as recently shown by us for Pgp-EGFP–transfected and WT hCMEC/D3 cells (15). Overall, classification of membrane vesicles, molecular details of vesicular release, clearance, and biological functions are still under intense investigation. The present description of a function of such vesicles—extracellular delivery of xenobiotics to phagocytes by neutrophils—significantly adds to the complexity of EVs.

In addition to Pgp, other ABC transporters such as multidrug resistance protein 1 (MRP1; ABCCC1) and breast cancer resistance protein (BCRP; ABCG2) can be expressed by lysosomes and mediate lysosomal drug sequestration (8, 13). Both DOXO and EFIG are not only substrates of Pgp, but also of BCRP and MRP2 (16), which may add to the lysosomal drug sequestration observed in the present experiments, although the colocalization of Pgp-EGFP and EFIG as well as DOXO in both lysosomal vesicles and barrier bodies indicates that the sequestration was mainly mediated by Pgp. Unfortunately, the lack of inhibitors that are highly selective for only Pgp, BCRP, or MRP1 does not allow us to determine if BCRP or MRP1 added to the effect of Pgp-EGFP. For instance, verapamil, which was used by Rajagopal and Simon (8) to block MRP1 function when studying lysosomal sequestration of DOXO, also blocks Pgp, and valspodar and elacridar, which were used by Yamagishi et al. (9) to inhibit Pgp when studying lysosomal sequestration of DOXO, also block MRP1 or BCRP at higher concentrations, respectively (74). In the present experiments, elacridar inhibited barrier-body formation by ∼50% when added at its IC50 for Pgp (0.2 μM) (75), thus substantiating the involvement of Pgp in this process.

Finally, some potential caveats need to be discussed. First, the present study utilizes a cell line (hCMEC/D3) that was transfected with a doxycycline-inducible MDR1-EGFP fusion plasmid, resulting in high expression of Pgp-EGFP fusion protein as described (18). For a heterogeneous BBB phenotype, hCMEC/D3 WT cells (8 × 106) were cocultured to equal amounts with hCMEC/D3-MDR1-EGFP cells (8 × 106), as described (15). For isolation of human blood-derived neutrophils, all subjects gave informed consent for blood sampling and analyses, and respective experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee (agreement 3295-2016) of the Hannover Medical School. Primary cultures of pBCECs and porcine neutrophils were prepared as described (76, 77). All experiments with human or porcine cell cultures were performed after cells reached confluency. See SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods for full details of all experimental procedures.

Materials and Methods

The hCMEC/D3 cell line was provided by Pierre-Olivier Couraud, Institute Cochin, Paris, and stably transfected with a doxycycline-inducible MDR1-EGFP fusion plasmid, resulting in high expression of Pgp-EGFP fusion protein as described (18). For a heterogeneous BBB phenotype, hCMEC/D3 WT cells (8 × 106) were cocultured to equal amounts with hCMEC/D3-MDR1-EGFP cells (8 × 106), as described (15). For isolation of human blood-derived neutrophils, all subjects gave informed consent for blood sampling and analyses, and respective experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee (agreement 3295-2016) of the Hannover Medical School. Primary cultures of pBCECs and porcine neutrophils were prepared as described (76, 77). All experiments with human or porcine cell cultures were performed after cells reached confluency. See SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods for full details of all experimental procedures.
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