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Abstract: The paper presents the results of experimental research on pool boiling heat transfer of dielectric liquid FC-72. Measurements were made at atmospheric pressure on open surfaces with microchannels. Heat transfer surfaces, in the form of parallel milled microchannels, were made of copper. The rectangular cross-sectional microchannels were 0.2 to 0.5 mm deep and 0.2 to 0.4 mm wide. The surfaces, compared to a smooth flat surface, provided a five-fold increase in the heat transfer coefficient and a two-fold increase in the critical heat flux. The article analyses the influence of the width and height of the microchannel on the heat transfer process. The maximum heat flux was 271.7 kW/m², and the highest heat transfer coefficient obtained was 25 kW/m²K. Furthermore, the experimental results were compared with selected correlations for the nucleate pool boiling.
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1. Introduction

Heat exchangers, which generate high values of heat flux, are found, for example, in high-power electronic devices and LEDs, nuclear reactors, as well as supercritical steam generators. These applications require the use of highly efficient cooling technology. Due to the boiling of the working fluid, it is possible to stabilize and very effectively remove heat from the devices mentioned above. The search for the most effective extended surfaces of the evaporator depends mainly on the geometric dimensions: width, height, and distance between the microchannels or microfins [1]. This makes it possible to obtain an increase in the heat flux dissipation, depending on the boiling liquid used.

Boiling of dielectric liquids is an area of interest for many researchers. Sajjad et al. [2] have presented an overview of surfaces and techniques used to enhance heat transfer through pool boiling of dielectric liquids and highly wetting liquids. The authors indicated that on a smooth surface, the use of FC-72 allowed them to obtain a critical heat flux (CHF) of about 270 kW/m² with a heat transfer coefficient (HTC) greater than 6 kW/m²K. The insufficient thermophysical properties of dielectric liquids force the use of special enhancement methods to increase the CHF and HTC. The authors analyzed the following types of passive cooling methods in particular: coated or additive surfaces, intrinsic or subtractive surfaces, and compound or hybrid surfaces. The use of appropriately selected enhanced surfaces enabled researchers to even obtain a 6-fold increase in porous substrates on the structure (CHF) and a 4.5-fold increase of carbon nanotube coating (HTC) in the boiling of FC-72 in relation to plain smooth surfaces.

Zhang et al. [3] have analyzed the boiling process of FC-72 boiling on surfaces with microfins with a respective width and thickness of 0.03 mm, heights of 0.06–0.12 mm, and pitches of 0.045–0.06 mm. Additionally, various configurations of the fin arrangement were tested. The CHF obtained ranged from 200 to 600 kW/m², while the maximum heat transfer coefficients were at the level of 12 kW/m²K. The authors found that changing the microfins’ configuration had a more significant effect on HTC than changing the pitch.

Yu and Lu [1] researched the pool boiling heat transfer of FC-72 for the array of microfins with a thickness of 1 mm, which were uniformly distributed over an area of
10 × 10 mm², with a variable microfin height (0.5–4 mm) and inter-fin space (0.5–2 mm). The heat transfer coefficients, related to the total extended area, showed a decrease when the space between the microfins was smaller and their height was greater. The HTC values obtained reached 10 kW/m²K, whereas the CHF reached the level of 1000 kW/m². The influence of the diameter of copper nanowires in the range of 35–200 nm on the intensification of heat transfer at the boiling of FC-72 was investigated by Kumar et al. [4]. An increase of approximately 45% in CHF was achieved compared to a plain smooth surface, reaching about 225 kW/m², and a 185% gain in HTC was achieved, which exceeded 12 kW/m²K. Kong et al. [5] proposed a bistructured surface in the form of a 0.03 mm thick and 0.06 mm high microfin, forming fins that are 0.7 and 1.4 mm thick, arranged in four configurations. The structural surfaces designed in this way allowed to achieve CHF values that exceeded 500 kW/m² for liquid subcooling 35 K. Hao et al. [6] used a shape memory alloy to change the geometry and shape of a surface with fins of 4 mm height and 0.5 × 2 mm² cross-section. With small heat flux, the fins deformed and formed closed tunnels, whereas with larger heat flux, they straightened, increasing the efficiency of vapor removal. The authors called this mechanism “adaptive control of boiling heat transfer”. These opened channels made it possible to increase the CHF for FC-72 from approximately 150 to 250 kW/m² and to increase HTC by approximately two times (to 13.5 kW/m²K).

The boiling of FC-72 was also investigated by Ho et al. [7]. They used surfaces with microcavity with a depth of 0.2 mm and microfins with a height of 0.4–0.55 mm of various configurations, obtained using the selective laser melting technique. For microfins, the HTC increased by up to 70% and the CHF increased by 76%, with the highest heat transfer coefficient reaching 12.7 kW/m²K. Furberg and Palm [8] used advanced dendritic and microporous surface technology: copper nanoparticles were joined to the porous structure by electrodeposition. For boiling FC-72, a more than four-fold increase in HTC was obtained, exceeding 40 kW/m²K.

The boiling of the working fluid under consideration was also analyzed in relation to heat exchangers with forced flow through micro- and minichannels with different spatial orientations. Piasecka and Stráik [9] obtained HTC values at the level of up to 100 kW/m²K for vertical minichannels (1.7 mm deep, 16 mm wide) with an enhanced surface in the form of microcavities. Stráik and Piasecka [10] conducted research on subcooled flow boiling in structured minichannels (laser surface texturing or electromachining) at different slopes. By analyzing the given Nusselt numbers, for the hydraulic diameter of the minichannel of 3 mm, HTCs were obtained in the range 0.86–5.8 W/m²K. For saturated flow boiling in a 1.7 mm deep minichannel with an enhanced surface, Piasecka et al. [11] obtained an HTC exceeding 60 kW/m²K.

The available literature lacks experimental data obtained with the use of FC-72 boiling on surfaces with microchannels. There is also no presentation of simple correlations that would make it possible to estimate the heat transfer coefficient for this type of surface. This article is an attempt to supplement and broaden the knowledge of the nucleate pool boiling of dielectric fluid. The purpose of this study was to analyze the results of FC-72 pool boiling tests on a surface with microchannels with a width of 0.2–0.4 mm and depths of 0.2–0.5 mm and to compare the heat transfer coefficients and the maximum heat fluxes with the data in the available literature. An attempt was made to adjust the existing correlations for pool boiling on a plain surface to that of a boiling on the analyzed extended surfaces.

### 2. Experimental Setup

The test stand shown in Figure 1, which is a modification of the stand described in [12,13], made it possible to measure the parameters necessary to analyze the heat transfer process. The temperatures of the copper block installed in the main module were measured, and the superheat of the test surface, the heat flux, and the heat transfer coefficients were determined. Based on the collected data, the boiling curves were plotted. K-type thermocouples with a diameter of 0.5 mm were used to measure the temperature in the upper part of the copper block and under the tested specimen. The thermocouples were
calibrated with an Altek 422 calibrator prior to measurements. Temperature measurements were recorded every 15 min by a FLUKE Hydra Series II data acquisition station. This time was sufficient for the test stand used and allowed for the temperatures to stabilize and the nucleation centers to be activated. The one-dimensional heat conduction in the copper block was checked by defining the coefficient of determination $R^2$. In the study, the linearity of the temperature values along the axis of the heating cylinder (copper block) was determined [14], obtaining a coefficient of determination greater than 0.99. $R^2$ belongs to the range $<$0,1>. This parameter was used to check the correct mounting of the specimen and thermocouples on the heating cylinder. If the value of the coefficient of determination was greater than 0.98, it was assumed that the specimen was soldered to the copper block correctly and there was no heat flow in the direction perpendicular to the copper block axis. A value of $R^2 < 0.98$ indicates a higher thermal resistance in the solder layer and the experimental measurements are too error prone.

The tests were carried out in a large volume of working fluid, that is, the liquid level above the specimen surface exceeded 50 mm. Before starting the measurements, the liquid was degassed in the standby, bringing it to the boiling point and keeping this process for 15 min. The research was carried out with an increase in the heat flux until the boiling crisis (CHF—critical heat flux) was reached. The experiment was performed at atmospheric pressure.

During the tests, a highly volatile FC-72 dielectric liquid with a low saturation temperature was used. The thermophysical properties of this liquid are given in Table 1 based on [4]. To properly perform the tests, the experimental stand had to meet high-tightness requirements. For this purpose, the glass vessel with a Teflon lid and top flange was sealed with high-temperature silicone.

### Table 1. Thermophysical properties of FC-72.

| Parameter          | Value       |
|--------------------|-------------|
| $T_{sat}$, K       | 329.6       |
| $\rho_l$, kg/m$^3$ | 1602        |
| $\rho_v$, kg/m$^3$ | 13.24       |
| $\lambda_l$, W/mK  | 0.0540      |
| $\sigma_l$, N/m    | 0.0081      |
| $\nu_l$, m$^2$/s   | 0.00000027  |
| $\mu_l$, Pa        | 0.0004325   |
| $c_p$, J/kgK       | 1101        |
| $Pr$               | 8.819       |

The capillary length ($L_{cap} = 0.72$ mm) was determined on the basis of the following formula:

$$ L_{cap} = \frac{4 \cdot \sigma_l \cdot \rho_v \cdot \nu_l}{\lambda_l} $$

The tests were carried out in a large volume of working fluid, that is, the liquid level above the specimen surface exceeded 50 mm. Before starting the measurements, the liquid was degassed in the standby, bringing it to the boiling point and keeping this process for 15 min. The research was carried out with an increase in the heat flux until the boiling crisis (CHF—critical heat flux) was reached. The experiment was performed at atmospheric pressure.

During the tests, a highly volatile FC-72 dielectric liquid with a low saturation temperature was used. The thermophysical properties of this liquid are given in Table 1 based on [4]. To properly perform the tests, the experimental stand had to meet high-tightness requirements.
requirements. For this purpose, the glass vessel with a Teflon lid and top flange was sealed with high-temperature silicone.

Table 1. Thermophysical properties of FC-72.

| Parameters at 1013.25 hPa | FC-72 |
|---------------------------|-------|
| \( T_{\text{sat}} \), K   | 329.6 |
| \( \rho_l \), kg/m\(^3\)   | 1602  |
| \( \rho_v \), kg/m\(^3\)   | 13.24 |
| \( i_{lv} \), J/kg        | 94900 |
| \( \lambda_l \), W/mK     | 0.0540|
| \( \sigma_l \), N/m        | 0.0081|
| \( \nu_l \), m\(^2\)/s    | 0.00000027 |
| \( \mu_l \), Pas          | 0.0004325 |
| \( c_p \), J/kgK          | 1101  |
| \( \Pr \)                 | 8.819 |

The capillary length (\( L_{\text{cap}} = 0.72 \) mm) was determined on the basis of the following formula:

\[
L_{\text{cap}} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{g(\rho_l - \rho_v)}}
\]

and the Prandtl number is defined as:

\[
\Pr = \frac{c_p \mu_l}{\lambda_l}
\]

The specimens with cut microchannels were made of copper with a thermal conductivity coefficient \( \lambda_{Cu} = 380 \) W/(mK) using a miller and an end milling cutter with a width of 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4 mm (Figure 2). The active area of the specimen was \( a \times w_s \) and was equal to 27 \( \times \) 27 mm\(^2\) (Figure 3). The heating cylinder was made of the same material as the specimens. Pure, lead-free tin with a thermal conductivity of \( \lambda_{Sn} = 66.5 \) W/mK was used to connect the specimen and the heating cylinder. The thickness of the tin layer was about 0.1 mm. Figure 3 shows the arrangement of the thermocouples and the geometric dimensions necessary for the calculation of the heat flux and the heat transfer coefficient.

The heat transfer coefficient between the surface with microchannels and boiling FC-72 was defined according to Newton’s law:

\[
\alpha = \frac{q}{\Delta T}
\]

The heating cylinder was covered with a thick insulating layer; hence, the one-dimensional Fourier equation was used to calculate the heat flux:

\[
q = \lambda_{Cu} \frac{T_{T8} - T_{T5}}{\delta_{T8-T5}} \cdot \frac{\pi d_{cyl}^2}{4a^2}
\]
The Prandtl number is defined as:

$$Pr = \frac{\lambda}{\mu}$$

The specimens with cut microchannels were made of copper with a thermal conductivity coefficient

$$\lambda_{Cu} = 380 \text{ W/(mK)}$$

using a miller and an end milling cutter with a width of 0.2, 0.3, and 0.4 mm (Figure 2). The active area of the specimen was $\times w_s$ and was equal to $27 \times 27 \text{ mm}^2$ (Figure 3). The heating cylinder was made of the same material as the specimens. Pure, lead-free tin with a thermal conductivity of

$$\lambda_{Sn} = 66.5 \text{ W/mK}$$

was used to connect the specimen and the heating cylinder. The thickness of the tin layer was about 0.1 mm. Figure 3 shows the arrangement of the thermocouples and the geometric dimensions necessary for the calculation of the heat flux and the heat transfer coefficient.

Figure 2. View of the specimens, with the specimen’s code: width-depth-pitch (mm). (a) MC-0.3-0.2-0.6. (b) MC-0.3-0.3-0.6. (c) MC-0.3-0.4-0.6. (d) MC-0.3-0.5-0.6. Designations according to table 2.

Figure 3. Arrangement of the thermocouples and magnification of the specimen with microchannel dimension.

The temperature difference between the surface with microchannels and the boiling liquid (superheat) related to the bottom of the microchannels (i.e., the base of the microfins) was defined in accordance with Figure 3:

$$\Delta T = \frac{T_{T3} + T_{T4}}{2} - q \left( \frac{\delta_{bs}}{\lambda_{Cu}} + \frac{\delta_{Sn}}{\lambda_{Sn}} \right) - \frac{T_{T1} + T_{T2}}{2}$$

The surface extension coefficient (enhancement factor) was defined as the ratio of the extended surface area to the base surface area:

$$\phi = \frac{A_{ext}}{A_{bs}} = \frac{(2h + p)w_s}{pw_s} = \frac{2h + p}{p}$$

where $p = 2w$ and the efficiency $\phi$ can be calculated as $\phi = h/w + 1$.

In the analysis of the experimental results, the efficiency of the microfin was taken into consideration, defined as the ratio of the heat transfer through the microfin at the actual temperature distribution to the amount of heat that would be transferred through the microfin at a constant temperature along the fin height [15]:

$$\eta = \frac{\tanh m \left( h + \frac{p - w}{2} \right)}{m \left( h + \frac{p - w}{2} \right)}$$

where the microfin parameter $m$ can be calculated from the following equation:

$$m = \sqrt{\frac{2 \alpha(p - w + w_s)}{\lambda_{Cu} (p - w)w_s}}$$

The fin efficiency can be approximated from the following relation:

$$\varepsilon \approx \phi \eta$$
For microchannels, the Bond number is defined as the square of the ratio of hydraulic diameter to capillary length, \( \text{Bo} = \left( \frac{d_h}{L_{\text{cap}}} \right)^2 \), which can also be defined as:

\[
\text{Bo} = \left( \frac{2wh}{w+h} \right)^2 \frac{g\left(\rho_l - \rho_v\right)}{\sigma}
\] (10)

Table 2 shows the geometrical parameters of the specimens tested and the remaining values analyzed in Section 3.

| Specimen Code | w, mm | h, mm | p, mm | \( \varphi \) | \( \varepsilon_{\text{CHF}} \) | \( \varepsilon_{\text{HTCmax}} \) | \( \frac{d_h}{L_{\text{cap}}} \) | Bo | Bo\( \text{HTCmax} \), \( \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{\text{smooth}}} \) |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-----------------|----------------|
| MC-0.2-0.2-0.4 | 0.20  | 0.20  | 0.40  | 2.00        | 1.96           | 1.95           | 0.278          | 0.077| 0.542           | 3.3             |
| MC-0.2-0.3-0.4 | 0.20  | 0.30  | 0.40  | 2.50        | 2.41           | 2.40           | 0.333          | 0.111| 0.800           | 3.8             |
| MC-0.2-0.4-0.4 | 0.20  | 0.40  | 0.40  | 3.00        | 2.82           | 2.79           | 0.370          | 0.137| 1.034           | 4.8             |
| MC-0.2-0.5-0.4 | 0.20  | 0.50  | 0.40  | 3.50        | 3.22           | 3.18           | 0.397          | 0.157| 1.261           | 4.8             |
| MC-0.3-0.2-0.6 | 0.30  | 0.20  | 0.60  | 1.67        | 1.66           | 1.65           | 0.333          | 0.111| 0.551           | 2.7             |
| MC-0.3-0.3-0.6 | 0.30  | 0.30  | 0.60  | 2.00        | 1.96           | 1.95           | 0.417          | 0.173| 0.812           | 4.3             |
| MC-0.3-0.4-0.6 | 0.30  | 0.40  | 0.60  | 2.33        | 2.29           | 2.27           | 0.476          | 0.226| 1.078           | 3.2             |
| MC-0.3-0.5-0.6 | 0.30  | 0.50  | 0.60  | 2.67        | 2.47           | 2.44           | 0.521          | 0.271| 1.271           | 5.1             |
| MC-0.4-0.2-0.8 | 0.40  | 0.20  | 0.80  | 1.50        | 1.46           | 1.46           | 0.370          | 0.137| 0.540           | 3.6             |
| MC-0.4-0.3-0.8 | 0.40  | 0.30  | 0.80  | 1.75        | 1.69           | 1.68           | 0.476          | 0.226| 0.798           | 5.2             |
| MC-0.4-0.4-0.8 | 0.40  | 0.40  | 0.80  | 2.00        | 1.93           | 1.92           | 0.556          | 0.308| 1.066           | 3.0             |
| MC-0.4-0.5-0.8 | 0.40  | 0.50  | 0.80  | 2.25        | 2.11           | 2.09           | 0.617          | 0.380| 1.291           | 4.2             |

The heat flux and the heat transfer coefficient uncertainty determination method were presented in a previous study [16]. The relative error of heat flux for the range of 6.1 to 271.7 kW/m² decreased in the range of 91% to 3.1%, as seen in Figure 4. A similar trend is shown in Figure 5, where the relative error of the heat transfer coefficient decreased in the range of 1.3 to 25.0 kW/m²K and the values fell between 91% and 4.1%.

**Table 2.** Surface codes and specifications.

**Figure 4.** Relative uncertainty at different heat fluxes.
The heat flux and the heat transfer coefficient uncertainty determination method were presented in a previous study [16]. The relative error of heat flux for the range of 6.1 to 271.7 kW/m² decreased in the range of 91% to 3.1%, as seen in Figure 4. A similar trend is shown in Figure 5, where the relative error of the heat transfer coefficient decreased in the range of 1.3 to 25.0 kW/m²K and the values fell between 91% and 4.1%.

3. Results and Discussion

Experimental investigations were carried out for boiling FC-72, at atmospheric pressure, on a smooth plain surface and surfaces with machined microchannels. The influence of the depth and width of the microchannels on the heat transfer performance was analyzed. The best results were obtained for surfaces with microchannels 0.3 mm wide and 0.5 mm deep (MC-0.3-0.5-0.6). The heat transfer coefficient reached the value of 24.95 kW/m²K with a heat flux of 198 kW/m² at superheat $\Delta T \approx 7.9$ K, as shown in Figures 6 and 7. An over five-fold increase in the HTC was obtained in relation to the smooth surface specimen, as shown in Figure 7, and at relatively small heat fluxes of 20 to 60 kW/m², the heat transfer coefficients were three times greater than for the smooth reference surface. This is related to the increase in the heat transfer surface, i.e., the increase in efficiency $\phi$ and effectiveness $\epsilon_{\text{CHF}}$.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Relative uncertainty at different heat transfer coefficients.

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** Boiling curves for FC-72: heat flux versus superheat.
3. Results and Discussion

Experimental investigations were carried out for boiling FC-72, at atmospheric pressure, and effectiveness (η) and effectiveness (ε) and effectiveness (η) and effectiveness (ε) and effectiveness (η) and effectiveness (ε). The most beneficial effects of using narrow microchannels were observed for microchannels with a depth of 0.4 mm. It was found that for 0.2 mm wide microchannels (MC-0.2-0.4-0.4), the HTC values at heat flux 200 kW/m² were greater by approximately 43% and 54% than the HTC values obtained using 0.3 (MC-0.3-0.4-0.6) and 0.4 (MC-0.4-0.4-0.8) mm wide channels, respectively. This phenomenon can be explained mainly by the increasing capillary pressure with smaller microchannel widths. However, for the remaining microchannel depths, this trend is not so clear and the HTC values were similar.

The depth of the microchannels, corresponding to the height of the microfins limiting the microchannels, also has a significant impact on the heat transfer process, as seen in Figure 8. The increasing depth of the microchannels, with their fixed width of 0.2 mm for the same heat fluxes, causes an increase of the heat transfer coefficient. The highest HTC value was found for the surface with the deepest microchannels (MC-0.2-0.5-0.4)—a 43% increase was obtained, compared to the shallowest microchannels (MC-0.2-0.2-0.4) at a

Figure 7 shows the effect of the width of the microchannel on the heat transfer coefficient at a fixed depth of the microchannel. For deeper microchannels (h = 0.4 mm and h = 0.5 mm), at q = 90–160 kW/m², the HTC was found to increase with a decrease in the width of the microchannel. The greatest benefits of using the narrowest microchannels were observed for microchannels with a depth of 0.4 mm. It was found that for 0.2 mm wide microchannels (MC-0.2-0.4-0.4), the HTC values at heat flux 200 kW/m² were greater by approximately 43% and 54% than the HTC values obtained using 0.3 (MC-0.3-0.4-0.6) and 0.4 (MC-0.4-0.4-0.8) mm wide channels, respectively. This phenomenon can be explained mainly by the increasing capillary pressure with smaller microchannel widths. However, for the remaining microchannel depths, this trend is not so clear and the HTC values were similar.

The depth of the microchannels, corresponding to the height of the microfins limiting the microchannels, also has a significant impact on the heat transfer process, as seen in Figure 8. The increasing depth of the microchannels, with their fixed width of 0.2 mm for the same heat fluxes, causes an increase of the heat transfer coefficient. The highest HTC value was found for the surface with the deepest microchannels (MC-0.2-0.5-0.4)—a 43% increase was obtained, compared to the shallowest microchannels (MC-0.2-0.2-0.4) at a
heat flux of about 200 kW/m$^2$. For the remaining microchannel widths, the largest HTC was obtained by using the deepest microchannels, but there were no consistent trends in heat transfer coefficient change. A similar influence of channel depth was observed in the studies by Cooke and Kandlikar [17] and Orman et al. [18]. It should also be noted that the heat transfer process is also affected by other parameters, such as the wetting angle [19,20], the surface wettability [21,22], and the roughness [23,24].

The smallest pitch ($p = 0.4$ mm) is obtained at the smallest microchannel width ($w = 0.2$ mm), resulting in the highest concentration of microchannels and the possibility of obtaining a high density of nucleation centers. Greater channel depth values provide a larger surface area, which also results in increased efficiency ($\eta$) and effectiveness ($\varepsilon$) of the microchannels. This promotes better heat transfer from the extended surface to the boiling liquid.

Figure 8 shows a comparison from the data of other authors with the authors' own experiment on FC-72. Two surfaces with the largest HTC (25 kW/m$^2$K for the specimen MC-0.3-0.5-0.6) and the largest CHF (271.7 kW/m$^2$ for the specimen MC-0.2-0.4-0.4) were compared. The surfaces with 4 mm high minifins tested by Hao et al. [6], the surfaces with 0.5–4 mm high minifins analyzed by Yu and Lu [1], and the surfaces with copper nanowires tested by Kumar et al. [4] were characterized by lower values of heat transfer coefficients. For the surfaces with minifins up to 8 mm high tested by Rainey and You [25], similar HTCs were obtained as in the case of MC-0.3-0.5-0.6 and MC-0.2-0.4-0.4, but the CHF was lower by 80% compared to the surface with the highest performance, which was MC-0.2-0.4-0.4. The surface investigated by Hao et al. [6] provided an 8% lower CHF, but at the same time, the maximum HTC was 88% lower than that of HTC for the surface MC-0.3-0.5-0.6.

Figure 9 shows a comparison from the data of other authors with the authors’ own experiment on FC-72. Two surfaces with the largest HTC (25 kW/m$^2$K for the specimen MC-0.3-0.5-0.6) and the largest CHF (271.7 kW/m$^2$ for the specimen MC-0.2-0.4-0.4) were compared. The surfaces with 4 mm high minifins tested by Hao et al. [6], the surfaces with 0.5–4 mm high minifins analyzed by Yu and Lu [1], and the surfaces with copper nanowires tested by Kumar et al. [4] were characterized by lower values of heat transfer coefficients. For the surfaces with minifins up to 8 mm high tested by Rainey and You [25], similar HTCs were obtained as in the case of MC-0.3-0.5-0.6 and MC-0.2-0.4-0.4, but the CHF was lower by 80% compared to the surface with the highest performance, which was MC-0.2-0.4-0.4. The surface investigated by Hao et al. [6] provided an 8% lower CHF, but at the same time, the maximum HTC was 88% lower than that of HTC for the surface MC-0.3-0.5-0.6.
nanowires tested by Kumar et al. [4] were characterized by lower values of heat transfer coefficients. For the surfaces with minifins up to 8 mm high tested by Rainey and You [25], similar HTCs were obtained as in the case of MC-0.3-0.5-0.6 and MC-0.2-0.4-0.4, but the CHF was lower by 80% compared to the surface with the highest performance, which was MC-0.2-0.4-0.4. The surface investigated by Hao et al. [6] provided an 8% lower CHF, but at the same time, the maximum HTC was 88% lower than that of HTC for the surface MC-0.3-0.5-0.6.

The critical heat flux (CHF) was determined in relation to the performance and efficiency of microfins, as well as the ratio of hydraulic diameter to capillary length. The results are shown in Figure 10a–c. The experimental values were compared with the theoretical dependencies of Kutateladze and Zuber.

Figure 9. Comparison of boiling curves of other authors (surfaces with minifins or with nanowires) with experimental data for liquid FC-72 [1,4,6,25]; (a) $\alpha$ vs. $q$ and (b) $q$ vs. $\Delta T$.

The critical heat flux (CHF) was determined in relation to the performance and efficiency of microfins, as well as the ratio of hydraulic diameter to capillary length. The results are shown in Figure 10a–c. The experimental values were compared with the theoretical dependencies of Kutateladze and Zuber.
The hydrodynamic instability model of the CHF was proposed by Kutateladze (Equation (11)) and later refined by Zuber (Equation (12)). Kutateladze [26] provided a

Figure 10. CHF vs. (a) microfin efficiency, (b) microfin effectiveness, and (c) Bo^{0.5} for FC-72.
The hydrodynamic instability model of the CHF was proposed by Kutateladze (Equation (11)) and later refined by Zuber (Equation (12)). Kutateladze [26] provided a relationship of the critical heat flux based on the hypothesis that the cause of the change in the mode of boiling was the hydrodynamic instability of the two-phase boundary layer located at the heat transfer surface.

\[
\text{CHF} = 0.161 i_{\text{w}} \sqrt{\rho_{\text{v}}} \left[ g(\rho_l - \rho_{\text{v}}) \sigma \right]^{0.25} \tag{11}
\]

Zuber additionally assumed that the boiling of the liquid may take place at a temperature lower than the saturation temperature, i.e., at free convection [27].

\[
\text{CHF} = 0.131 i_{\text{w}} \sqrt{\rho_{\text{v}}} \left[ g(\rho_l - \rho_{\text{v}}) \sigma \right]^{0.25} \left( \frac{\rho_l}{\rho_l + \rho_{\text{v}}} \right)^{0.5} \tag{12}
\]

During the pool boiling on surfaces with microchannels and microfins, the value of CHF is mainly influenced by the effectiveness of the microchannel and the Bond number, depending on the hydraulic diameter and capillary length. A similar conclusion can be found in [3]. The space between the microfins can significantly increase the capillary pressure, which promotes liquid replenishment within the microchannel and prevents the heat transfer surface from drying out. The critical heat flux is one of the most important factors that determine the possibility of using a heat exchanger based on the pool boiling phenomenon.

The highest value of CHF, at a level of about 270 kW/m², is obtained when \( \varepsilon = 2 \) to 2.3 and \( \varepsilon = 2.8 \) (Figure 10b). For \( \varepsilon < 2 \) and \( \varepsilon > 3 \), the CHF obtained was close to the values from the Kutateladze correlation. This means that the maximum heat flux is obtained for the average surface extension (\( \varphi = 2–3 \)) due to the high efficiency of the microfins analyzed. The models presented in the graphs (Figure 10) relate to a smooth surface. The points represent the experimental values for surfaces with microchannels. Based on these graphs, the possibility of obtaining the highest CHF values can be predicted. With the exception of the MC-0.2-0.4-0.4 and MC-0.4-0.4-0.8 surfaces, the largest CHF can be obtained with the appropriate width to depth ratio: 0.75 ≤ \( w/h \) ≤ 1, which corresponds to 2 ≤ \( \varepsilon \) ≤ 2.3.

In Figure 11, the HTC increase is related to the product of \( \text{Bo}^{0.5} \varepsilon \). Since the pitch of microchannels and microfins is equal to the double width of the microchannel, taking into account the dependence Equations (6), (9) and (10), an equivalent notation of values on the axis of abscissae in the following form can be used:

\[
\text{Bo}^{0.5} \varepsilon \text{HTC}_{\text{max}} = \frac{d_{\text{h}}}{L_{\text{cap}}} \eta_{\text{HTC}_{\text{max}}} \left( \frac{h}{w} + 1 \right) \tag{13}
\]

![Figure 11](image-url) Increase in the heat transfer coefficient at maximum HTC depending on the Bond number and the level of efficiency.
The dependence transformed in this way allows us to conclude that obtaining high HTC increments is possible by combining the average hydraulic diameters of the microchannel with its large depth, while increasing the hydraulic diameter should result in shallowing the microchannel or reducing the h/w ratio.

Figure 12 shows the dependence of the heat transfer coefficient on the geometric parameters of the microchannel. With the smallest microchannel width (0.2 mm), the largest HTC is obtained for the deepest microchannels (0.4–0.5 mm). Even with larger widths (0.3–0.4 mm), it is advantageous to use deep microchannels. In the case of shallower microchannels, nonmonotonic changes occur in the acquisition coefficient as a function of depth, and it is difficult to clearly indicate the best geometry of the tested surface.

Figure 12. The dependence of HTC on the depth and width of the microchannel.

Of many correlations, the Kutateladze correlation derived from the conditions of similarity is often used for a plain smooth surface [28].

\[
\alpha = 0.44 \frac{\lambda_l}{L_{\text{cap}}} \Pr_p^{0.35} \Re^{0.7} K_p^{0.7}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where the Reynolds number is defined as

\[
\Re = \frac{q L_{\text{cap}}}{\dot{m}_v \rho_v v_l}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

and

\[
K_p = \frac{p \cdot 10^{-4} L_{\text{cap}}}{\sigma}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Based on the experimental data and the theory of similarity, Kruzhilin [29] proposed the following dependence on the heat transfer coefficient by introducing two additional similarity numbers.

\[
\alpha = 0.082 \frac{\lambda_l}{L_{\text{cap}}} \Pr_p^{-0.45} K_q^{0.7} K_u^{0.33}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

\[
K_q = \frac{q \dot{m}_v \rho_v}{g T_{\text{sat}} \lambda_l (\rho_l - \rho_v)}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

\[
K_u = \frac{T_{\text{sat}} \rho_l \sigma \rho_l}{\dot{m}_v \rho_v^2 L_{\text{cap}}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)
Ku denotes the number of active nucleation sites, and Kq = RePr/Ku [30]. The results of the calculation of the HTCs obtained with the use of relations (14) and (17) are almost identical and in the range of 8 to 130 kW/m² (Figure 13).

Another correlation widely used in pool boiling is the Rohsenow correlation. The author assumed that the factor that causes the mixing of the liquid and the decisive factor in the heat transfer is the formation, growth, and displacement of vapor bubbles [15]. This dependence can be presented in the form of the following equations:

\[
\frac{c_p \Delta T}{i_{lv}} = C_{sf} \left[ \frac{q}{i_{lv} \mu_i} L_{cap} \right]^{0.33} \quad Pr^{1.7} \tag{20}
\]
\[
q = 229.859 \Delta T^3 \tag{21}
\]

The constant C_{sf} is selected depending on the type of boiling liquid and the surface. Due to the lack of data for FC-72 boiling on a copper surface with microchannels, the least squares method was used for the determination of this constant with respect to the experimental values.

The regression analysis carried out by the authors allowed for the determination of C_{sf} = 0.004 for FC-72-copper combination for a plain smooth surface. Passos in his study [31] proposed adopting C_{sf} = 0.0055 for a plain copper surface with boiling FC-72. Figure 13 shows the results of the experimental HTCs for the smooth surface with FC-72 and a comparison with the correlations discussed. Based on the graph, it can be concluded that the Rohsenow correlation (Equations (20) and (21)) in the range of ±30% is the best approximation in relation to the experimental data.

Comparison of the experimental HTC data for microchannels and calculation results according to the Rohsenow correlation (Equations (20) and (21)) shows that the vast majority fall within the range of ±30% of the error, as seen in Figure 14. The constant C_{sf} in Equation (20) for microchannels was 0.0018.

The possibility of a simple modification of the Rohsenow correlation was presented, which enables an approximate estimate of the heat transfer coefficients. This is the first attempt to adjust this correlation by changing the constant C_{sf} to the pool boiling on the microchannel surface. In the future, it is planned to use nonlinear regression to represent the constant and exponents in Equation (20) as a function of the geometric parameters of the microchannels.

Figure 13. Comparison of the calculated and experimental test results for FC-72, plain surface.
The article presents the results of experimental studies of heat transfer for pool boiling on a plain smooth surface and surfaces covered with microchannels using the FC-72 dielectric liquid. The experiment was performed at atmospheric pressure, within the range from the onset of nucleate boiling to the boiling crisis. The influence of geometrical parameters on the nucleate boiling was analyzed. The results presented in the work allow for the following conclusions to be drawn.

- For the surfaces with microchannels 0.3 mm wide and 0.5 mm deep, as well as 0.4 mm wide and 0.3 mm deep, the highest increase in the heat transfer coefficient was obtained (about 5.1-fold) in relation to the plain smooth surface.
- The heat transfer coefficients obtained for the tested microchannels reached a level of 25 kW/m²K and were comparable to HTCs for surfaces with nanotubes.
- The proposed surfaces with microchannels with a width of 0.2–0.4 mm and a depth of 0.2–0.5 mm allowed one to obtain a critical heat flux exceeding 270 kW/m², which is more than 2.1 times the CHF for the smooth plain surface tested.
- For most of the tested surfaces, the largest CHFs were obtained with the appropriate width to depth ratio: 0.75 ≤ w/h ≤ 1, which corresponds to the range of the fin effectiveness 2 ≤ ε ≤ 2.3.
- The comparison of the boiling curves showed the impact of the width and depth of the microchannels on the boiling process, but this process is also influenced by other factors, e.g., surface wettability, contact angle, and surface roughness.
- The proposed change of the constant in the Rohsenow correlation made it possible to determine the HTC for the surface with microchannels with an accuracy of ±30%.
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Nomenclature

| Symbol | Definition                              |
|--------|-----------------------------------------|
| A      | Area, m²                                 |
| a      | Width of specimen, m                    |
| B₀     | Bond number                             |
| cₚ     | Specific heat, J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹               |
| Cₛᶠ    | Rohsenow constant                        |
| CHF    | Critical heat flux, W m⁻²                |
| d      | Diameter, m                             |
| g      | Gravitational acceleration, m s⁻²       |
| HTC    | Heat transfer coefficient, W m⁻² K⁻¹     |
| h      | Microchannel depth, m                   |
| i      | Enthalpy, J kg⁻¹                        |
| L      | Total length, m                         |
| m      | Microfin parameter                      |
| p      | Pitch, m                                |
| Pr     | Prandtl number                          |
| q      | Heat flux, W m⁻²                        |
| Re     | Reynolds number                         |
| T      | Temperature, K                          |
| w      | Width, m                                |

Greek letters

| Symbol | Definition                              |
|--------|-----------------------------------------|
| α      | Heat transfer coefficient, W m⁻² K⁻¹     |
| ∆      | Error, uncertainty                      |
| ∆T     | Superheat referred to the microfin base, K |
| δ      | Thickness, m                            |
| ε      | Fin effectiveness                       |
| η      | Fin efficiency                          |
| ϕ      | Surface extension coefficient (enhancement factor) |
| λ      | Thermal conductivity, W m⁻¹ K⁻¹         |
| μ      | Dynamic viscosity Pa s                  |
| ρ      | Density, kg m⁻³                        |
| σ      | Surface tension, N m⁻¹                  |
| ν      | Kinematic viscosity m² s⁻¹              |

Subscripts

| Subscript | Definition  |
|-----------|-------------|
| bs        | Base        |
| cap       | Capillary   |
| Cu        | Copper      |
| cyl       | Cylinder    |
| ext       | Extended    |
| h         | Hydraulic   |
| l         | Liquid      |
| MC        | Microchannel|
| Sn        | Tin         |
| s         | Specimen    |
| sat       | Saturated   |
| T         | Thermocouple|
| T₁, … , T₈ | Thermocouple number |
| v         | Vapor       |
References

1. Yu, C.K.; Lu, D.C. Pool Boiling Heat Transfer on Horizontal Rectangular Fin Array in Saturated FC-72. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2007, 50, 3624–3637. [CrossRef]

2. Sajjad, U.; Sadeghianjahromi, A.; Ali, H.M.; Wang, C.-C. Enhanced Pool Boiling of Dielectric and Highly Wetting Liquids—A Review on Surface Engineering. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2021, 195, 117074. [CrossRef]

3. Zhang, Y.; Zhou, J.; Zhou, W.; Qi, B.; Wei, J. CHF Correlation of Boiling in FC-72 with Micro-Pin-Fins for Electronics Cooling. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2018, 138, 494–500. [CrossRef]

4. Kumar, U.; Suresh, S.; Thansekhar, M.; Babu, D. Effect of Diameter of Metal Nanowires on Pool Boiling Heat Transfer with FC-72. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2017, 423, 509–520. [CrossRef]

5. Kong, X.; Zhang, Y.; Wei, J. Experimental Study of Pool Boiling Heat Transfer on Novel Bistructured Surfaces Based on Micro-Pin-Finned Structure. *Exp. Therm. Fluid Sci.* 2018, 91, 9–19. [CrossRef]

6. Hao, W.; Wang, T.; Jiang, Y.; Guo, C.; Guo, C. Pool Boiling Heat Transfer on Deformable Structures Made of Shape-Memory-Alloys. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2017, 112, 236–247. [CrossRef]

7. Liang, G.; Mudawar, I. Pool Boiling Critical Heat Flux (CHF)—Part 1: Review of Mechanisms, Models, and Correlations. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2011, 54, 02049. [CrossRef]

8. Furberg, R.; Palm, B. Boiling Heat Transfer on a Dendritic and Micro-Porous Surface in R134a and FC-72. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2011, 31, 3595–3603. [CrossRef]

9. Piasecka, M.; Strak, K. Influence of the Surface Enhancement on the Flow Boiling Heat Transfer in a Minichannel. *Heat Transf. Eng.* 2019, 40, 1162–1175. [CrossRef]

10. Strak, K.; Piasecka, M. The Applicability of Heat Transfer Correlations to Flows in Minichannels and New Correlation for Subcooled Flow Boiling. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2020, 158, 119933. [CrossRef]

11. Piasecka, M.; Strak, K.; Maciejewsk, B. Heat Transfer Characteristics during Flow along Horizontal and Vertical Minichannels. *Int. J. Multiph. Flow* 2021, 137, 103559. [CrossRef]

12. Kaniowski, R.; Pastuszko, R. Boiling of a Refrigerant of Low GWP on the Surface with Copper Microchannels. *E3S Web Conf.* 2018, 70, 02007. [CrossRef]

13. Pastuszko, R.; Kaniowski, R.; Wojcik, T.M. Comparison of Pool Boiling Performance for Plain Micro-Fins and Micro-Fins with a Porous Layer. *Appl. Therm. Eng.* 2020, 166, 114658. [CrossRef]

14. Kaniowski, R.; Pastuszko, R.; Nowakowski, L. Effect of Geometrical Parameters of Open Microchannel Surfaces on Pool Boiling Heat Transfer. *EPJ Web Conf.* 2017, 143, 02049. [CrossRef]

15. Rohsenow, W.M.; Hartnett, J.P.; Cho, Y.I. *Handbook of Heat Transfer*, 3rd ed.; McGraw-Hill Education: New York, NY, USA, 1998; ISBN 978-0-07-053555-8.

16. Kaniowski, R.; Pastuszko, R. Pool Boiling of Water on Surfaces with Open Microchannels. *Energies* 2021, 14, 3062. [CrossRef]

17. Cooke, D.; Kandlikar, S.G. Effect of Open Microchannel Geometry on Pool Boiling Enhancement. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2012, 55, 1004–1013. [CrossRef]

18. Orman, L.J.; Radek, N.; Pietraszek, J.; Szczepaniak, M. Analysis of Enhanced Pool Boiling Heat Transfer on Laser—Textured Surfaces. *Energies* 2020, 13, 2700. [CrossRef]

19. Kandlikar, S.G. A Theoretical Model to Predict Pool Boiling CHF Incorporating Effects of Contact Angle and Orientation. *J. Heat Transf.* 2001, 123, 1071–1079. [CrossRef]

20. Liao, L.; Bao, R.; Liu, Z. Compositive Effects of Orientation and Contact Angle on Critical Heat Flux in Pool Boiling of Water. *Heat Mass Transf.* 2008, 44, 1447–1453. [CrossRef]

21. Lee, J.S.; Lee, J.S. Critical Heat Flux Enhancement of Pool Boiling with Adaptive Friction Control of Patterned Wettability. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2016, 96, 504–512. [CrossRef]

22. Phan, H.T.; Caney, N.; Marty, P.; Colasson, S.; Gavillet, J. Surface Wettability Control by Nanocoating: The Effects on Pool Boiling Heat Transfer and Nucleation Mechanism. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2009, 52, 5459–5471. [CrossRef]

23. Fan, S.; Jiao, L.; Wang, K.; Duan, F. Pool Boiling Heat Transfer of Saturated Water on Rough Surfaces with the Effect of Roughening Techniques. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2020, 159, 270. [CrossRef]

24. Kim, J.; Jun, S.; Laksmarain, R.; You, S.M. Effect of Surface Roughness on Pool Boiling Heat Transfer at a Heated Surface Having Moderate Wettability. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2016, 101, 992–1002. [CrossRef]

25. Rainey, K.N.; You, S.M. Pool Boiling Heat Transfer from Plain and Microporous, Square Pin-Finned Surfaces in Saturated FC-72. *J. Heat Transf.* 2000, 122, 509–516. [CrossRef]

26. Liang, G.; Mudawar, I. Pool Boiling Critical Heat Flux (CHF)—Part 1: Review of Mechanisms, Models, and Correlations. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2018, 117, 1352–1367. [CrossRef]

27. Zuber, N. Nucleate Boiling. The Region of Isolated Bubbles and the Similarity with Natural Convection. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 1963, 6, 53–78. [CrossRef]

28. Kutateladze, S.S. *Heat Transfer in Condensation and Boiling*, 2nd ed.; AEC-tr-3770; Physics and Math: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Technical Information Service: Washington, DC, USA, 1959.

29. Pioro, I.L.; Rohsenow, W.; Doerffer, S.S. Nucleate Pool-Boiling Heat Transfer. II: Assessment of Prediction Methods. *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 2004, 47, 5045–5057. [CrossRef]
30. Madejski, J. Theory of Heat Transfer; Wydawnictwo Uczelniiane Politechniki Szczecińskiej: Szczecin, Poland, 1998. (In Polish)
31. Passos, J.C.; Hirata, F.R.; Possamai, L.F.B.; Balsamo, M.; Misale, M. Confined Boiling of FC72 and FC87 on a Downward Facing Heating Copper Disk. *Int. J. Heat Fluid Flow* **2004**, *25*, 313–319. [CrossRef]