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ABSTRACT
Endoscopic transsphenoidal pituitary surgery has become increasingly more popular for the removal of pituitary adenomas. It is also widely recognised that transsphenoidal microscopic removal of pituitary adenomas is a well-established procedure with good outcomes. Our objective was to meta-analyse the short-term results of endoscopic and microscopic pituitary adenoma surgery. We undertook a systematic review of the English literature on results of transsphenoidal surgery, both microscopic and endoscopic from 1990 to 2011. Series with less than 10 patients were excluded. Pooled data were analysed using meta-analysis techniques to obtain estimate of death, complication rates and extent of tumour removal. Complications evaluated included cerebrospinal fluid leak, meningitis, vascular complications, visual complications, diabetes insipidus, hypopituitarism and cranial nerve injury. Data were also analysed for tumour size and sex. 38 studies met the inclusion criteria yielding 24 endoscopic and 22 microscopic datasets (eight studies included both endoscopic and microscopic series). Meta-analysis of the available literature showed that the endoscopic transsphenoidal technique was associated with a higher incidence of vascular complications (p<0.0001). No difference was found between the two techniques in all other variables examined. Meta-analysis of the available literature reveals that endoscopic removal of pituitary adenoma, in the short term, does not seem to confer any advantages over the microscopic technique and the incidence of reported vascular complications was higher with endoscopic than with microscopic removal of pituitary adenomas. While we recognise the limitations of meta-analysis, our study suggests that a multicentre, randomised, comparative effectiveness study of the two modalities would be worthwhile. Moreover, the overall better results compared to microscopic microsurgical techniques. In the absence of significant randomised clinical trials on the subject, a systematic review of published results of microsurgical and endoscopic techniques in the treatment of pituitary adenomas may be appropriate in comparing the two techniques.

INTRODUCTION
The most widely used approach to remove pituitary adenomas since the 1960s has been the transsphenoidal route, executed using the operating microscope. This approach is generally regarded as being associated with good outcomes. However, starting approximately 15 years ago and more so in the past 5 years, there has been a trend towards using endoscopic transsphenoidal techniques for the removal of pituitary adenomas. Endoscopic techniques have been recommended reportedly because of their lesser invasiveness, fewer complications and overall better results compared to microscopic microsurgical techniques. In the absence of significant randomised clinical trials on the subject, a systematic review of published results of microsurgical and endoscopic techniques in the treatment of pituitary adenomas may be appropriate in comparing the two techniques.

METHODS
Search strategy
The MEDLINE (1990 to present) and the EMBASE (1990 to present) databases were the information source used. The following keywords were searched individually or in association: pituitary, adenoma, sella, transsphenoidal, endoscopy, microscopic, Cushing’s disease, prolactinoma, acromegaly, endonasal, minimally invasive surgery, complication, skull base and surgery. The included limits were: English for the language category and humans for the study category. The date of the last search was August 2011.

Study selection criteria
Series with 10 or more patients with pituitary adenoma, operated on using either microscopic or endoscopic techniques were included. Manuscripts in which the approach was guided by the endoscope and tumour removal was carried out using the microscope were included in the microscope group. As the intent of this report was to analyse the results of papers in which transsphenoidal surgery (either endoscopic or microscopic) was deemed to be the best modality to treat pituitary adenoma, we excluded reports in which a decision had been made preoperatively to use a combined transsphenoidal and intracranial approach. Series including mixed pathology and in which it was not possible to extrapolate the adenoma results were also excluded.

Mined data
Mined data include year of publication, study design, number and sex of subjects, size of the adenoma (micro vs macro), death, cerebrospinal fluid leak, meningitis, vascular complications, visual complications, diabetes insipidus transient and permanent, hypopituitarism, cranial nerve injury and complete versus partial resection. Vascular complications included carotid or other vessels injury, intracerebral haematomata, or any symptomatic intratumoral or intrasellar haemorrhage. Venous bleeding from the cavernous sinus was tabulated as a vascular complication when it prevented the completion of the surgical procedure.
procedure. Epistaxis was not included among the vascular complications. Cerebrospinal fluid leak included all postoperative leaks and all patients who developed an intra-operative leak requiring lumbar drainage. Decreased postoperative anterior pituitary function did not include Cushings’s patients receiving postoperative cortisol or patients in whom a hypophysectomy was carried out.

Statistical analysis
There were 38 studies that fulfilled the inclusion criteria. All of them except one were prospective and randomised were retrospective studies. Only eight out of these 38 studies reported data on complications from both the endoscopic and microscopic surgery groups. Only one of these eight studies was prospective and randomised, with only 10 patients in each arm, the others being retrospective studies. In six out of these seven studies the endoscopic and microscopic patients were accrued along longitudinally different time frames. Furthermore, in two of these seven studies tumour resection was either not evaluated at all, or was judged by the surgeon at the time of the operation. In addition, not all of these studies reported data on other relevant metrics (ie, tumour size, vascular and or visual complications). Due to the overall limitations of these studies we felt that we could have got more useful information by analysing all the data reported in the literature that fulfilled our inclusion criteria. Given the nature of the studies available in the literature it was not possible to compare the results (eg, complication rates) of endoscopic surgery to microscopic surgery using the traditional meta-analysis method with relative risk or OR. Instead, weighted summary rates and 95% CI of death and major complications/events (cerebrospinal fluid leak, meningitis, vascular complications, visual loss, diabetes insipidus temporary or permanent, hypopituitarism, complete resection, and nerve injury) were provided using the appropriate meta-analysis models (ie, random effects or fixed effects) for endoscopic and microscopic surgery, respectively. Then we compared the outcomes between endoscopic and microscopic surgery using the estimated proportions and SE (ie, 95% CI). Freeman–Tukey double arcsine transformation is used for proportions. Tests for heterogeneity were performed for each meta-analysis. Based on results of the heterogeneity, test, random-effects (heterogeneity present) or fixed-effects (heterogeneity absent) models were chosen to estimate the results. Using this methodology, each study was weighted more fairly than giving more weight to those studies with larger sample sizes, especially when the interstudy variation was larger than the intrastudy variation. Tabaei et al used this methodological approach in 2009 when reviewing endoscopic pituitary surgery. The meta-analysis was performed using ‘meta’ package from the R statistical software.

RESULTS
Sixteen manuscripts dealt with transsphenoidal endoscopic removal of pituitary tumours and 14 manuscripts reported on transsphenoidal removal of pituitary tumours using the operating microscope.

Eight manuscripts included both techniques. Study type and demographics of all the studies are presented in table 1. Estimated proportions with 95% CI of macro tumour size and nerve injury between endoscopic and microscopic surgery, respectively, and are listed in table 2. We failed to demonstrate any statistically significant difference in the pooled rate of death, cerebrospinal fluid leak, meningitis, visual complication, diabetes insipidus temporary, diabetes insipidus permanent, hypopituitarism, complete resection and nerve injury between endoscopic and microscopic surgery using the estimated proportions and SE. On the other hand, the vascular complication rate in endoscopic surgery is higher than in microscopic surgery (figures 1 and 2, p<0.0001) from those studies reporting the vascular complication information. Furthermore, the macro tumours or the extent of complete tumour resection, two variables that could have affected the vascular complication rate, were found not to be statistically significant in the endoscopic or microscopic series reporting vascular complications (p=0.24 and 0.10 for macro tumour size and complete resection, respectively).

DISCUSSION
Transsphenoidal microscopic pituitary surgery
Transsphenoidal microscopic pituitary surgery is a time confirmed effective way of treating pituitary adenomas, as shown by the low incidence of complications in any clinical/practice setting according to the Cric-sponsored survey of the late 1990s, although a statistically significant difference was noted in terms of better results in the hands of more experienced surgeons. Microscopic pituitary surgery relies on three-dimensional visualisation and thus on the ability to operate in three-dimensional space. Important conceptual nuances that need to be implemented in its execution to lead to optimal results are the recognition that the pituitary gland is a midline, extra-
arachnoidal structure, and that the normal anterior pituitary gland is distended by the macroadenoma into a thin layer of tissue surrounding the pathology whose preservation maximises postoperative pituitary function. Therefore, microscopic pituitary surgery needs to be extra-arachnoidal, to respect the principle of midline and needs to try to identify and preserve normal pituitary tissue.

**Table 1  Study types and demographics**

| Authors | Year | Duration of study | Operation type | No of patients | Mean age, years (range) | Women (%) | Macroadenomas (%) |
|---------|------|-------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Jho and Carru | 1997 | 1993–6 | Endoscopic | 44 | 38 (14–88) | 19 (43) | 31 (70) |
| Cappabianca et al | 2002 | 1997–2001 | Endoscopic | 146 | 46.1 (16–74) | 76 (52) | 125 (86) |
| White et al | 2004 | 2000–2 | Endoscopic | 50 | 41.1 (NA) | 26 (52) | NA |
| Senior et al | 2008 | NA | Endoscopic | 148 | 46 (NA) | 81 (55) | 114 (81) |
| Netea-Meier et al | 2006 | 1998–2004 | Endoscopic | 35 | 41 (14–68) | 25 (71) | 6 (17) |
| Rudnik et al | 2005 | 2001–2004 | Endoscopic | 63 | 48.3 (11–77) | 34 (54) | 52 (83) |
| Kenan et al | 2006 | 1997–2005 | Endoscopic | 78 | 44.7 (11–67) | NA | 67 (86) |
| Jain et al | 2007 | NA | Endoscopic | 10 | 40.1 (NA) | 6 (60) | 9 (90) |
| Uren et al | 2007 | 2001–5 | Endoscopic | 32 | 53 (25–69) | 12 (38) | 23 (72) |
| Frank et al | 2006 | 1998–2004 | Endoscopic | 381 | 48 (7–89) | 227 (60) | 284 (75) |
| Dehdashti et al | 2008 | 2004–7 | Endoscopic | 200 | 49.9 (20–78) | 109 (55) | 158 (79) |
| Charalampaki et al | 2007 | 2004–5 | Endoscopic | 50 | 56 (28–84) | 30 (60) | 41 (82) |
| Yano et al | 2009 | 2001–8 | Endoscopic | 194 | 53 (15–85)* | 120 (62) | NA |
| Zhang et al | 2008 | 1998–2005 | Endoscopic | 78 | 45.1 (15–76) | 42 (54) | 67 (86) |
| Campbell et al | 2010 | 2005–9 | Endoscopic | 26 | 45.7 (20–69) | 12 (46) | 22 |
| Gondim et al | 2010 | 2009–2006 | Endoscopic | 301 | 42 (12–79) | 167 (55) | 248 |
| Hofstetter et al | 2010 | 2004–10 | Endoscopic | 24 | 50.7 (22–75) | 11 (46) | 19 |
| Nakao et al | 2011 | 2000–8 | Endoscopic | 43 | 55 (31–75) | 20 (46) | 43 |
| Casler et al | 2005 | 1996–2003 | Endoscopic | 15 | 41.6 | 9 (60) | NA |
| Duz et al | 2008 | 1996–2007 | Endoscopic | 28 | NA | NA | NA |
| Choe et al | 2008 | 2004–7 | Endoscopic | 12 | 47±12 | 7 (58) | 9 |
| O’Malley et al | 2008 | 2003–8 | Endoscopic | 25 | 47.9 (18–73) | 10 (40) | 22 |
| D’Haens et al | 2009 | 2001–7 | Endoscopic | 60 | 37 (10–70) | 41 (68) | 31 |
| Messerer et al | 2011 | 2006–9 | Endoscopic | 82 | 57 (20–82) | 35 (43) | 82 |
| Jain et al | 2007 | NA | Microscopic | 10 | 31.6 (18–58) | 5 (50) | 8 (80) |
| Mortini et al | 2005 | 1990–2002 | Microscopic | 1140 | 43 (8–82) | 681 (60) | 788 (60) |
| Höybye et al | 2004 | 1999–9 | Microscopic | 34 | 40 (13–74) | 26 (76) | 2 (6) |
| White et al | 2004 | 1996–9 | Microscopic | 50 | 43.5 (NA) | 17 (34) | NA |
| Ciric et al | 1997 | NA | Microscopic | 638 | NA | NA | NA |
| De et al | 2003 | 1980–2001 | Microscopic | 90 | 61 (29–86) | 46 (51) | 61 (68) |
| Rees et al | 2002 | 1980–2000 | Microscopic | 54 | 41.3 (14–73) | 42 (78) | 10 (19) |
| Shimon et al | 2002 | 1990–2000 | Microscopic | 74 | 39 (8–72) | 64 (86) | 3 (4) |
| Han et al | 2008 | 1996–2006 | Microscopic | 592 | 42.3 (NA) | 274 (46) | 592 (100)* |
| Kaltas et al | 2001 | 1993–8 | Microscopic | 67 | 46 (12–80)* | 31 (46) | 50 (75) |
| Kreutzer et al | 2001 | 1992–8 | Microscopic | 57 | 43.9 (16–71) | 37 (65) | 38 (67) |
| Semple and Laws | 1999 | 1992–7 | Microscopic | 105 | 38.5 (6–78) | 83 (79) | 13 (12) |
| Zhang et al | 1999 | 1982–97 | Microscopic | 208 | 47.5 (16–71) | 110 (53) | 208 (100) |
| Koren et al | 1999 | 1993–5 | Microscopic | 37 | NA | NA | 23 (62) |
| Badie et al | 2000 | 1996–9 | Microscopic | 34 | 45.5 (NA) | 17 (50) | 21 (62) |
| Sheehan et al | 1999 | 1995–7 | Microscopic | 70 | 58.5 (25–85) | 21 (30) | 70 (100) |
| Casler et al | 2005 | 1996–2003 | Microscopic | 15 | 50.6 | 10 (67) | NA |
| Choe et al | 2008 | 1997–2004 | Microscopic | 11 | 48±10 | 9 (82) | 8 |
| O’Malley et al | 2008 | 2003–8 | Microscopic | 25 | 50.8 (23–78) | 10 (40) | 23 |
| Duz et al | 2008 | 1996–2007 | Microscopic | 65 | NA | NA | NA |
| D’Haens et al | 2009 | 2001–7 | Microscopic | 60 | 35 (10–68) | 16 (27) | 27 |
| Messerer et al | 2011 | 2005 | Microscopic | 82 | 56.5 (27–84) | 35 (43) | 82 |

*Median age.
†Adenoma size was only available in 140 of 148 patients.
‡Including 438 macro and 154 giant.

**Endoscopic pituitary surgery**

Endoscopic pituitary surgery has been advocated for the surgical treatment of pituitary adenomas since the late 1990s on the basis of its panoramic improved visualisation and thus the ability to visualise structures easily such as the optic-carotid recesses and to access suprasellar areas visually that are not in the direct line of vision during microscopic pituitary
This improved visualisation was felt to be theoretically associated with a greater extent of resection and improved safety, less invasiveness and potential better results—compared to microscopic pituitary surgery. However, endoscopic pituitary surgery is bidimensional and thus depth perception is missing, although manoeuvres have been recommended to minimise this drawback. The three surgical principles as described under microscopic surgery should also be applicable to endoscopic surgery.

Results of our study

Our study did not demonstrate any short-term advantages of endoscopic pituitary adenoma surgery. The review of the literature suggests an increased incidence of vascular complications associated with it. Recognising the limitations of a systematic review in coming to firm conclusions, it is conceivable that the greater incidence of vascular complications associated with the endoscopic transphenoidal surgical technique is the consequence of either a more robust sphenoid exposure of the carotid optic recess with a greater potential for carotid artery injury, or the lack of three-dimensional depth perception during suprasellar manoeuvres. Indeed, the majority of vascular complications associated with the endoscopic technique involved suprasellar vascular injuries including intracerebral haemorrhages, midbrain stroke, mesencephalic haemorrhage, posterior cerebral artery laceration and internal carotid artery injury.

Other possible advantages of endoscopic pituitary surgery

Other theoretical advantages of endoscopic pituitary surgery such as its limited invasiveness or minimally invasive feature, leading to an overall better quality of life, have not been addressed by our study. Indeed, the only study that looked at outcome and quality of life after endoscopic surgery for pituitary adenomas showed only a trend to improved scores in the endoscopic group compared with previous studies in patients treated by conventional approaches. A recent study has reported a 29.6% incidence of extracranial complications following endoscopic transphenoidal sellar surgery, with the majority of them being prolonged nasal crusting (10.8%) and synechiae formation (8.8%). Again, without making a definitive statement, it appears plausible that these nasal complications are the consequence of liberal and repetitive passage of instrumentation through the nasal cavity. That is even more likely to occur whenever nasal septal flaps are developed. Postoperative nasal debridement is usually required.

Table 2 Estimated proportion with 95% CI of complications/events for endoscopy and microscopy

| Complication/events             | No of studies for endoscopy | Proportion (95% CI) for endoscopy | No of studies for microscopy | Proportion (95% CI) for microscopy |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Death                           | 19                          | 0.49% (0.23% to 0.84%)†           | 18                           | 0.23% (0.10% to 0.42%)†           |
| Cerebrospinal fluid leak        | 24                          | 7.00% (4.84% to 9.52%)            | 19                           | 6.34% (3.86% to 9.37%)            |
| Meningitis                      | 13                          | 1.11% (0.64% to 1.71%)†           | 14                           | 2.08% (0.83% to 3.86%)            |
| Vascular complications*         | 17                          | 1.58% (1.07% to 2.19%)†           | 12                           | 0.50% (0.28% to 0.78%)†           |
| Visual loss                     | 13                          | 0.72% (0.37% to 1.19%)†           | 14                           | 0.60% (0.23% to 1.14%)            |
| Diabetes insipidus temporary    | 18                          | 9.10% (6.57% to 11.99%)           | 14                           | 10.23% (6.50% to 14.69%)          |
| Diabetes insipidus permanent    | 21                          | 2.31% (1.41% to 3.41%)            | 15                           | 4.25% (1.96% to 7.36%)            |
| Hypopituitarism                 | 17                          | 8.51% (5.16% to 12.59%)           | 12                           | 11.64% (5.14% to 20.32%)          |
| Complete resection              | 22                          | 68.77% (64.37% to 73.00%)         | 18                           | 64.44% (57.62% to 70.98%)         |
| Nerve injury                    | 8                           | 0.28% (0.05% to 0.71%)†           | 7                            | 0.53% (0.08% to 1.34%)            |

*Vascular complication rate is higher using the endoscope than using the microscope (p<0.0001).
†A fixed-effects pooled estimate is used for the proportions. Otherwise, a random-effects pooled estimate is used.
Other systematic reviews

There are three systematic reviews comparing endoscopic to microscopic pituitary adenoma removal.\textsuperscript{54–56} One such review\textsuperscript{55} is difficult to evaluate due to the fact that it includes, among other things, mixed patient/pathology cohorts in which endonasal microscopic surgery ‘…in some cases with endoscopic assistance’ was compared to a sublabial microscopic technique.\textsuperscript{57} The other two reviews, one analysing 11\textsuperscript{55} and the other 10 studies,\textsuperscript{56} with the same 10 studies being evaluated by both, reached somewhat different conclusions. Goudakos \textit{et al}\textsuperscript{55} indicated that the occurrence of diabetes insipidus, rhinological complications and length of hospital stay was significantly less in the endoscopic than in the microscopic group, while rates of complete tumour resection, cerebrospinal fluid leak, operative time and blood loss were not different in the two groups. The other review\textsuperscript{56} shows that the purely endoscopic approach was linked to less blood loss, shorter hospital stay, shorter operative times and fewer nasal complications, but a higher incidence of cerebrospinal fluid leak than the microscopic approach, while other outcomes and complication rates were the same in the two groups.

Our review analysed a total of 5643 patients, of which were 2125 operated using the endoscope and 3518 using the microscope; data on vascular complications were available in 1887 patients operated with the endoscope and 3023 patients operated using the microscope. This is in contrast to 812 patients (314 endoscopic and 373 microscopic)\textsuperscript{55} and 687 patients (314 endoscopic and 373 microscopic)\textsuperscript{56} collated by the three other systematic reviews.

Perspective

The need for careful evaluation of surgical innovations led to the convening of the Balliol colloquium and the Balliol collaboration at Balliol College in Oxford, UK, between 2007 and 2009. Participants included surgeons, methodologists, statisticians and the evidence-based medicine community. The results of such an effort were published in 2009.\textsuperscript{58} Suffice it to say that notwithstanding transformative innovations such as tracheotomy for tracheal obstruction, leading to ‘an advance that is clear and substantial and that cannot be explained by either chance or bias’ the majority of surgical innovations are incremental and are ‘prone to overoptimistic assessments by their developers and, therefore, need controlled randomised studies, when possible’.\textsuperscript{58} The colloquium proposed a progressive algorithm, ‘the IDEAL recommendations’, to evaluate surgical innovations.\textsuperscript{58} Stage 1, the idea phase, should be prompted by the need for a new solution to a clinical problem. It is essentially the proof of a concept and is implemented in only a few patients with careful outcome—especially negative outcome—reporting.

Stage 2a, the development phase, involves the planned use of the procedure in a small group of selected patients to accrue outcome on the safety of the procedure and its technical and procedural success or lack thereof. Protocols should be registered beyond the surgeons’ institution to secure equipoise and should undergo some form of ethical approval and informed research consent. It is our belief that endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery has fulfilled splendidly the requirements under these two progressive algorithm stages.

Stage 2b, the exploration phase, involves the evaluation of the outcome of a rather well-defined procedure in a larger group of patients. Rigorous prospective trials looking at short-term outcomes (technical clinical and patient centered) need to be employed in this stage. Clearly, stringent quality controls need to be in place.

Stage 3, the assessment phase, involves well-defined indications, a stable procedure executed by many surgeons and the comparison of this procedure with the gold standard procedure. This is usually done using randomised clinical trials or alternative well-structured trials, such as parallel group non-randomised studies, controlled interrupted-time series studies, tracker trials or similar designs. Again, institutional review board approval and informed research consent are mandatory.

Stage 4, the long-term study phase, is a surveillance study wherein the now established procedure is evaluated for rare and long-term outcomes. No ethical approval is necessary.

Endoscopic pituitary surgery and the IDEAL framework

It appears that 12 years after the publication of one of the first peer-reviewed papers on the use of endoscopic pituitary surgery,\textsuperscript{26} the advantages of the procedure are still not fully consolidated in accordance with the above algorithm for the evaluation of new surgical techniques. Clearly, the introduction of new surgical technology/procedures, such as endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery, is both a laudatory step towards innovation as well as a complex process requiring rigorous scrutiny with evidence-based methods such as discussed above or a similar acceptable variant thereof.\textsuperscript{58–60}

---

Figure 2. Proportion of vascular complications with microscopy. Test for heterogeneity: Q=11.67 on 11 degrees of freedom (p=0.39).

| Study          | Events | Total | proportion | 95%-CI |
|----------------|--------|-------|------------|--------|
| Mortini \textit{et al} 2005 | 6 | 1140 | 0.01 | [0.00:0.01] |
| Hoybye \textit{et al} 2004 | 0 | 34 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.10] |
| White \textit{et al} 2004 | 0 | 50 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.07] |
| Ciric \textit{et al} 1997 | 4 | 638 | 0.01 | [0.00:0.02] |
| DE \textit{et al} 2003 | 2 | 90 | 0.02 | [0.00:0.08] |
| Han \textit{et al} 2008 | 0 | 592 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.01] |
| Semple and Laws 1999 | 0 | 105 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.03] |
| Zhang \textit{et al} 1999 | 2 | 208 | 0.01 | [0.00:0.03] |
| Sheehan \textit{et al} 1999 | 0 | 70 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.05] |
| Choe \textit{et al} 2008 | 0 | 11 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.28] |
| O’Malley \textit{et al} 2008 | 0 | 25 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.14] |
| D’Haens \textit{et al} 2009 | 0 | 60 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.06] |
| Summary (Fixed) | 14 | 3023 | 0.00 | [0.00:0.01] |

Proportions of vascular complications with microscopy

Test for heterogeneity: Q=11.67 on 11 degrees of freedom (p=0.39)
CONCLUSIONS
Meta-analysis of available literature on endoscopic transsphenoidal removal of pituitary adenomas seems to suggest that the endoscopic technique is associated with a higher incidence of vascular complications compared to microscopic transsphenoidal removal of pituitary adenomas. Moreover, in the short term, the same analysis of the literature reveals that there is no clear, unequivocal advantage to endoscopic transsphenoidal removal compared to microscopic transsphenoidal removal of pituitary adenomas.
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