1. Introduction

Due to their interesting chemical and physical properties, perovskite oxide materials ABO₃ (A is a large cation, and B is a small transition metal or lanthanide cation) have been considered as an active area of research in solid-state chemistry and physics. The chemical flexibility and the vastness of compositional and configurational space in perovskite materials, have inspired researchers to multiply the site occupancies by including new elements in this structure.

This multiplicity leads to the elaboration of a new class of materials defined as double perovskite oxides, resulting from the doubling of the formula unit of the perovskite structure. Since the original studies in the early 1950s, the A₂B₂O₆ double perovskites (A is an alkali or rare-earth cation, while B and B' are transition metal cations) have received significant interest due to their extensive physical properties and potential for technological use. Rare earth materials exhibit a variety of promising optical, magnetic, electrical, and catalytic properties resulting from the presence of rare earth elements. The incorporation of rare earth elements into the double perovskite R₂B₂O₆ has therefore attracted considerable attention ever since its discovery. The novel functionalities of rare-earth-based double perovskites in particular, including multiferroicity, magnetocapacitance, magnetoresistance, photocatalytic activities, have attracted much interest in recent decades. In turn, alkaline earth-based double perovskites represent a very large family of oxide compounds with impressive electronic and magnetic properties, high low-field magnetoresistance, half-metallicity, and a wide variety of magnetic structures. All these features have inspired the scientific community to explore even more flexibility and degrees of freedom, by developing and conducting intensive researches on another class of mixed double perovskite materials of the general formula AA'B'B'O₆ (A an alkali earth cation, A' a rare-earth cation, while B and B' are transition metal cation). In these compounds, the A- and B-site cations occupy distinct or indistinguishable crystallographic sites depending on their charge and ionic radius. As a result, a variety of interesting properties such as magnetoelectricity, giant magnetocaloric effect, catalytic properties, half-metallicity, multiferroicity, and colossal magnetoresistance have been highlighted.

In view of the foregoing, novel double perovskite oxides R₂BaFeTiO₆ (R = La, Eu) are synthesized by solid-state reaction route which is the simplest and the most common method for synthesizing oxide materials. Based on the literature survey, there are only two papers concerning the double perovskite LaBaFeTiO₆, which cover the structural analysis and magnetic study by Mössbauer spectroscopy. These studies revealed that this material crystallizes in a Pm̅m cubic symmetry with a disordered distribution of Fe/Ti ions on the B-sites.
addition, Mössbauer spectroscopic measurements (at 80 K and 300 K) pointed to the paramagnetic feature of this double perovskite with a trivalent high spin state for the iron ions. However, the Eu-based system EuBaFeTiO₆ has not yet been investigated. In this paper, we have undertaken an investigation of their structural, morphological, vibrational, optical, dielectric, and electrical properties. Besides, our results which are presented herein provide the study of the effect of rare earth ions (R³⁺) on these different properties.

2. Materials and methods

LaBaFeTiO₆ and EuBaFeTiO₆ compounds were prepared by solid-state reaction using high-purity rare earth oxides R₂O₃ (Sigma-Aldrich with purity higher than 99.5%), barium carbonates BaCO₃ (Sigma-Aldrich with purity higher than 99% purity), iron oxide Fe₂O₃ (Sigma-Aldrich 99.9%) and titanium oxide TiO₂ (Sigma-Aldrich with purity higher than 99%). The phases were prepared according to the following reaction:

\[ \frac{1}{2}R_2O_3 + BaCO_3 + \frac{1}{2}Fe_2O_3 + TiO_2 \rightarrow RBaFeTiO_6 + CO_2 \]  

All these raw materials were weighed and mixed in stoichiometric ratios by grinding in an agate mortar. The mixtures were calcined at 700 °C during 24 h for decarbonation, before being sintered in a Pt crucible at 1250 °C (48 h) and 1300 °C (24 h), respectively. These powders have been reground and pelletized into cylindrical pellets in between these thermal treatments.

The phase identification and the determination of the crystal structure were carried out by X-ray diffraction measurements at room temperature. This analysis has been performed using a PANalytical X’pert PRO diffractometer using (CuKα) radiation (\(\lambda_{CuKα} = 1.54064 \text{ Å}\)) in a wide range of Bragg angles (10° ≤ 2θ ≤ 80°) with a step width of 0.06° and a count time of 27 seconds per step. The obtained data were analyzed by means of the standard Rietveld method using FULLPROF software suite. The density was measured using an Isolab Borosilicate Glass 3.3 Pycnometer with a 25 ml capacity. The micro-structure and elemental composition of the prepared powders were examined with a JEOL-6300F scanning electron microscope (SEM), operating with accelerating voltage of 20 keV. Energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and elemental mapping (EM) have been performed for compositional analysis of the samples. The Raman spectra were recorded using a Vertex 70 Bruker in the
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3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structural, morphological and elemental analysis

Fig. 1(a and b) illustrate the room temperature refined XRD patterns for the RBaFeTiO₆ (R = La, Eu) samples, where the strong intensities and the sharp shapes of the diffraction peaks are indicative of good crystallization. The quality of the Rietveld refinement is evaluated on the basis of $\chi^2$, $R_p$ and Bragg factor $R_b$ values. Indeed, the use of the agreement factors $R_p$ and $R_{wp}$ is unsatisfactory from a statistical point of view. They are strongly dependent on the background resolution and the fluctuations of the whole pattern. Thus, their values are affected by the scan time and step size which are in turn dependent on the quality of the X-ray diffraction measuring device. The obtained results are given in Table 1. The values of agreement factors ($\chi^2 < 1.5$, $R_p < 5$ and $R_b < 5$) establish the goodness of the fitting.23 We have also shown schematic representation of the RBaFeTiO₆ double perovskites structure using refined structural parameters (Fig. 1(c and d)). Both materials are found to crystallize in a cubic structure ($Pm\overline{3}m$, No. 221) with disordered $R^3+/Ba^{2+}$ and $Ti^{4+}/Fe^{3+}/O^{2-}$ ions, respectively. Furthermore, the crystal parameters obtained for the LaBaFeTiO₆ phase are consistent with those reported in the literature.24

The structure and stability of double perovskites RBaFeTiO₆ can be approximated using the ratio of $R^{3+}/Ba^{2+}/O^{2-}$ to $Fe^{3+}/Ti^{4+}/O^{2-}$ bond lengths as the tolerance factor ($t$):21

$$t = \frac{R_{Ba^{2+}} + \frac{1}{2}R_{Fe^{3+}} + \frac{1}{2}R_{Ti^{4+}}}{\sqrt{2} \left( \frac{R_{Fe^{3+}} + \frac{1}{2}R_{Ti^{4+}} + R_{O^{2-}}}{2} \right)}$$

where $R_{R^{3+}}, R_{Ba^{2+}}, R_{Fe^{3+}}, R_{Ti^{4+}}$ and $R_{O^{2-}}$ are the ionic radii of rare earth ions $R^{3+}$, $Ba^{2+}$, $Fe^{3+}$, $Ti^{4+}$ and $O^{2-}$ ions, respectively. The calculated values of the tolerance factors are found to be 0.996 (LaBaFeTiO₆) and 0.979 (EuBaFeTiO₆), asserting the formation of a cubic structure with favorable conditions for double perovskite structure. Besides, the noticed decrease in the tolerance factor value indicates the decrease in the cubic symmetry of these materials.

$$\rho' = \frac{W_3 - W_2}{W_1 - W_2} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{(W_1 - W_2) - (W_3 - W_4)}$$

where $W_1$, $W_2$, $W_3$ and $W_4$ correspond to the weight of (pycnometer + powder), pycnometer, (pycnometer + powder + water) and (pycnometer + powder), respectively. The obtained densities of RBaFeTiO₆ (R = La, Eu) are shown in Table 1. These measured densities ($\rho'$) are found to be 97% of the theoretical spectral range of 400–1600 cm⁻¹ with excitation lines of 455 nm. Fourier Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FT-IR) was performed to study the vibrational bands of the pattern by use of a Bruker Platinum-ATR apparatus. Optical study was performed at room temperature by means of a Jasco V-630 UV-vis-NIR spectrophotometer. The dielectric measurements of the silver coated pellets of the sample were conducted using an “HP LCR Meter 4284A” as a function of frequency 100 Hz ≤ $f$ ≤ 1 MHz at various temperatures.
density calculated from the lattice parameters derived from XRD data. Such results asserts the calculated structural parameters and thus the existence of only a single formula unit in the unit cell. Moreover, the small values of the measured densities compared to the theoretical ones is related to the presence of unavoidable pores during the heating process. The porosity ($P$) was calculated basing on the following equation: 

$$P(\%) = \left(1 - \frac{\rho}{\rho_t}\right) \times 100$$ (4)

Fig. 2  Williamson–Hall plot of RBaFeTiO$_6$ samples.

Fig. 3  SEM morphology and EDX analysis of double perovskites RBaFeTiO$_6$ powders.
Leading to small values of porosity, i.e. 3.27% for LaBaFeTiO$_6$ and 2.41% for EuBaFeTiO$_6$. This decrease in the porosity indicates a small delay in the densification process of the RBaFeTiO$_6$ matrix. The average crystallite size of the RBaFeTiO$_6$ powders was, first, evaluated by the X-ray line broadening technique using the Scherrer formula:$$D_{\text{Sch}} = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}$$ where $D_{\text{Sch}}$ is the average size of the ordered domains (crystalites), $K$ is the shape factor ($K = 0.9$), $\lambda$ is the X-ray wavelength ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ Å}$), $\beta$ is the full width at half maxima (FWHM) and $\theta$ is the Bragg angle. The instrumental broadening factor was taken into consideration when calculating the FWHM parameter ($\beta^2 = \sqrt{\beta_{\text{obs}}^2 - \beta_{\text{inst}}^2}$). The average crystallite sizes calculated based on the most intense diffraction peak are about 63 nm and 83 nm for the LaBaFeTiO$_6$ and EuBaFeTiO$_6$ samples, respectively. The crystallite size was also estimated using the Williamson–Hall method based on the following relation:$$\beta \cos \theta = \frac{K\lambda}{D_{W-H}} + 4\epsilon \sin \theta$$ where $\epsilon$ is the microstrain and $D_{W-H}$ the crystallite size. Fig. 2 shows the evolution of $\beta \cos \theta$ vs. $4 \sin \theta$. The crystallite size $D_{W-H}$ was calculated from the interception of the curve with the vertical axis ($\sin \theta = 0$), while the microstrain parameter is obtained from the slope of the obtained curve. The obtained parameters ($\epsilon$, $D_{W-H}$) were found to be $(4.65 \times 10^{-4}$, 78 nm) for LaBaFeTiO$_6$ and $(7.85 \times 10^{-4}$, 95 nm) for EuBaFeTiO$_6$, which is in a good agreement with $D_{\text{Sch}}$ values.

SEM images along with EDX spectra of RBaFeTiO$_6$ (R = La, Eu) powders are given in Fig. 3. One can observe that the synthesized powders consist of irregularly shaped grains with non-uniform size distribution. Moreover, the obtained powders present a large grain sizes reaching 90 μm and 109 μm for the
LaBaFeTiO$_6$ and EuBaFeTiO$_6$ samples, respectively. This indicates that the growth of grains is inhibited in the La-based sample compared to the Eu-based one. The difference in the grain size is probably related to the change in the rate and nature of nucleation in the two samples.

In order to control the composition of our samples, energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis was performed (Fig. 3). One can notice that no trace of impurity peaks was detected, affirming the purity and homogeneity of our compounds. Indeed, the obtained chemical composition values are almost in agreement with atomic composition of precursors. The small amount of carbon is due to the adhesive tape used during the analysis. Furthermore, the EDX mapping of the RBaFeTiO$_6$ ceramics highlights homogenous distribution of all contained elements (Fig. 4).

3.2. FTIR and Raman measurements

The FT-IR spectra of RBaFeTiO$_6$ (R = La, Eu) are recorded at room temperature in the 400–2000 cm$^{-1}$ wavenumbers range (Fig. 5). The evolution of the highlighted band wavenumbers as a function of rare earth elements asserts the effect of the distortion caused by the R$^{3+}$ cations. By passing from lanthanum (La) to europium (Eu), one can notice a significant displacement of the different bands towards larger wavenumbers. Such results affirm the influence of rare earth cations on the vibrational properties of these double perovskites. Indeed, the decrease in the rare earth size causes the reduction of the lattice volume, along which Ba$^{2+}$, Fe$^{3+}$ and Ti$^{4+}$ ions move towards those of oxygen inducing an increase in the force constant of (R$^{3+}$/Ba$^{2+}$)–O$^{2-}$ and (Fe$^{3+}$/Ti$^{4+}$)–O$^{2-}$ bonds and thus an increase in their vibrations frequencies. These findings are very consistent with those obtained by X-ray diffraction analysis.

The values of the observed absorption band wavenumbers of LaBaFeTiO$_6$ (EuBaFeTiO$_6$) are also indicated on the Fig. 5. The absorption bands at 547 (558) cm$^{-1}$ and 918 (928) cm$^{-1}$ are attributed to the Fe/Ti–O vibration band in (Fe/Ti)O$_6$ octahedra. The two peaks at 980 (987) cm$^{-1}$ and 1048 (1056) cm$^{-1}$ are associated with the O–Ba–O vibrations, while the band appearing at 855 (862) cm$^{-1}$ is believed to reflect the Ba–O vibration modes. The other small absorption band at 1463 (1473) cm$^{-1}$ is related to the O–(Fe/Ti)–O vibrations inside the (Fe/Ti)O$_6$ octahedra.

The dominance of the spectroscopic behavior by the vibration modes of Ba–O bonds and Fe/TiO$_6$ octahedra is consistent with the vibrational character of the RE(III)–O bonds, as their vibration bands generally appear over a lower wavenumber range (250–500 cm$^{-1}$). Such character is apparently explained by the inverse dependence of the vibrational frequency on the mass of the atoms, so that heavier atoms vibrate at lower frequencies.

Raman spectra of the two double perovskites LaBaFeTiO$_6$ and EuBaFeTiO$_6$ were collected in the range 400–1600 cm$^{-1}$ as represented in Fig. 6. The frequencies of the obtained Raman active modes are determined by fitting the recorded spectra and decomposing the fitted curves into individual Lorentzian components. The LaBaFeTiO$_6$ double perovskite exhibits nine Raman active modes, whereas the EuBaFeTiO$_6$ one shows only six Raman bands. The influence of the rare earth element on the different bond lengths in the RBaFeTiO$_6$ systems, as previously proved by the XRD and FTIR results, is evidenced by the observed shift in the positions of the highlighted Raman bands. The resonance peaks observed at 434 (448) cm$^{-1}$ and 536 (553) cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the A$_{1g}$ and A$_{2g}$ modes, respectively. The small shoulder observed at 995 (1001) cm$^{-1}$ is attributed to the double energy values of A$_{2g}$ vibrational mode. Besides, the peaks positioned at 1102 (1093) cm$^{-1}$ and 1388 (1392) cm$^{-1}$ correspond to the 2E$_g$ modes. It is worth noting that the peaks at 1388 (1392) cm$^{-1}$ are correlated with the existence of magnetic ordering in these materials.
3.3. Optical study

UV-Vis absorbance measurements were conducted to study the optical properties of the synthesized samples. Fig. 7(a) indicates that each of these materials exhibit similar absorption edges but with progressive intensity. The absorption intensity is highest for the La-based sample compared to the Eu-based one. The high absorption capability at UV-regime suggests that the EuBaFeTiO₆ material can be considered for photocatalytic activities. The absence of absorption peaks in the visible wavelength range indicates that these powders represent a highly reflective nature for visible light. Further analysis of the optical properties using Tauc plots have been performed. Indeed, the optical band gap \( E_g \) is determined by using Tauc relation:

\[
\alpha h \nu = A(h \nu - E_g)^n
\]

(7)

where \( \alpha \) is the absorption coefficient, \( (h \nu) \) is the incident photon energy, \( A \) is the characteristic parameter, while \( n \) is an exponent that is related to the optical absorption process and equal to 1/2 for a direct allowed transition or 2 for an indirect allowed transition.¹¹ Fig. 7(b and c) representing the Tauc plots revealed a direct band gap with an energy of 3.75 eV and 3.53 eV for the LaBaFeTiO₆ and EuBaFeTiO₆, respectively. These energies are estimated by extrapolating the linear portion of the curve to the energy axis \((\alpha h \nu)^2 = 0\). These findings highlights the influence of the rare earth ion on the optical properties of these materials. Moreover, such wide band gap energy values make these materials potential candidates for various application, as photovoltaic and optoelectronic devices.⁴²,⁴³

3.4. Dielectric and conductivity study

Fig. 8(a and b) represents the variation of the dielectric constant \( \varepsilon' \) with frequency at various temperatures. \( \varepsilon' \) presents a continuous decrease with increasing frequency for all temperatures, then it tends to become almost frequency independent in the high frequency region. Such behavior is termed as dielectric relaxation explained on the basis of dominant polarization mechanisms changing gradually with frequency due to the difference in their characteristic times.⁴¹,⁴⁴ At low frequencies, all polarization mechanisms contribute to the dielectric constant. With the frequency rise, the contributions of these various types of polarizations decrease progressively and hence the dielectric constant decreases along with frequency. The frequency dependence of loss factor \( \tan \delta \) is shown in Fig. 8(c and d). The \( \tan \delta \) values display an inverse frequency dependence explained by the dipole rotation mechanism. At low frequencies, a large number of dipoles align themselves along the applied field and contribute fully to the polarization, giving rise to a large value of the loss factor. At higher frequencies, the dipoles react weakly to the applied field, since the variation in the field is so rapid for them, and hence the orientational polarization ceases and no energy is required.

Fig. 7 (a) Absorbance spectra and Tauc plots for optical absorption curves of (b) LaBaFeTiO₆ and (c) EuBaFeTiO₆.
Fig. 8  (a and b) Frequency dependence of dielectric constant ($\varepsilon'$) and (c and d) loss factor ($\tan \delta$) at various temperatures.

Fig. 9  Frequency (angular) dependence of the conductivity ($\sigma$) at fixed temperatures and thermal evolution of $S$ and $(1 - S)$ parameters.
to rotate the dipoles. The low values of dielectric loss in the high-frequency region suggest that the good crystalline quality of these materials and offers potential for use in the electronic and electrical industry.\textsuperscript{45,46}

Fig. 9 depicts the frequency (angular) dependence of electrical conductivity ($\sigma$) at different temperatures. $\sigma(\omega)$ displays a frequency independent plateau at low frequencies and disperses at higher ones. The plateau region, corresponding to dc conductivity ($\sigma_{dc}$), is found to be extended toward higher frequencies with rise temperature, and hence the dispersion frequency termed as hopping frequency increases with increasing temperature. The change in $\sigma_{dc}$ with temperature implies that the electrical conduction process is thermally activated. The overall conduction behavior suggests that electrical conductivity occurs via hopping mechanism governed by the Jonscher’s power law:\textsuperscript{47}

$$\sigma = \sigma_{dc} + \sigma_{ac} = \sigma_{dc} + A\omega^S$$

(8)

where $\sigma_{dc}$ is the dc conductivity corresponding to the frequency-independent conductivity, whereas the ac conductivity $\sigma_{ac}$ describes the frequency dispersion. $A$ is a pre-exponential factor and $S$ the power law exponent ($0 < S < 1$) calculated from the linear fit of log–log plots. Table 2 shows the obtained $S$ exponents for both materials at different temperatures. The noticed temperature dependence suggests that the electrical conduction in the material is due to the thermally activated process. Several theoretical models were used to describe the temperature dependence of $S$.\textsuperscript{48} One can observe that the exponent $S$ increases with temperature until 423 K, before exhibiting a continuous decrease at higher temperatures. This trend implies that the conduction mechanism in these materials is dominated by two conduction mechanisms, i.e. non-overlapping small polaron tunneling and correlated barrier hopping mechanisms respectively. Therefore, these results are evidence for the similarity of the conduction mechanisms established in these materials. Furthermore, the values of $S$ do not exceed 1, which indicates that the electrical conduction mechanism occurs with sudden hopping as explained by Funke.\textsuperscript{49} For non-overlapping small polaron tunneling (NSPT) mechanism, the parameter $S$ varies as:\textsuperscript{50}

$$S(T, \omega) = 1 - \frac{4}{\ln(\frac{1}{\omega\tau_0}) - \frac{W_H}{k_BT}}$$

where $W_H$ is the polaron hopping energy, $k_B$ the Boltzmann constant, and $\tau_0$ the characteristic relaxation time. For larger values of $W_H/ k_B T$, $S$ exponent is re-established as:

$$S(T) = 1 + \frac{4k_BT}{W_H}$$

(10)

However, in the case of the correlated barrier hopping (CBH) the exponent $S$ is expressed as:\textsuperscript{51}

$$S(T, \omega) = 1 - \frac{6k_BT}{[W_M + k_BT \ln(\omega\tau_0)]}$$

(11)

Table 2  Power law exponent ($S$) values for different temperatures

| Temperature (K) | 298   | 323   | 373   | 423   | 473   | 523   | 573   |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $S_{LaBaFeTiO_6}$ | 0.36  | 0.52  | 0.76  | 0.91  | 0.85  | 0.72  | 0.52  |
| $S_{EuBaFeTiO_6}$ | 0.48  | 0.60  | 0.71  | 0.82  | 0.74  | 0.47  | 0.33  |

Fig. 10  Frequency dependent real and imaginary parts of impedance for (a) LaBaFeTiO$_6$ and (b) EuBaFeTiO$_6$. 
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where $W_M$ is the binding energy needed to move a charge carrier from one site to another. In the case of $W_M \gg k_BT \ln(\sigma_0)$, this relation is simplified as:

$$S(T) = 1 - \frac{6k_BT}{W_M} \quad (12)$$

Thus, the parameters $W_H$ and $W_M$ were calculated using the slope of $(1 - S)$ line as a function of temperature (Fig. 9), giving $W_H = 4.36$ meV and $W_M = 2.59$ meV for LaBaFeTiO$_6$, and $W_H = 2.71$ meV and $W_M = 3.51$ meV for EuBaFeTiO$_6$.

3.5. Impedance investigation

The frequency dependence of real ($Z'$) and imaginary ($Z''$) parts of electric impedance at various temperatures is represented in Fig. 10. One can observe that the magnitude of $Z'$ decreases with increasing frequency, in accordance with the observed increase in ac conductivity (Fig. 9). With further rise in frequency, the real part of impedance merges to a constant value for all selected temperatures, which may be assigned to the release of space charge polarization. Moreover, with increasing temperature, the $Z'$ values decrease and rise, describing the typical negative and positive temperature coefficient behaviors (NTCR, PTCR).

This feature suggests the possible use of these materials as PTC and NTC thermistors. However, it is clear from the loss spectra that the imaginary part ($Z''$) represents a higher value in the low frequency region, before decreasing with increasing frequency and reaching a low value. It can also be seen that $Z''$ represents a step like anomaly at 573 K. The absence of this trend for lower temperatures is certainly attributed to the fact that the peaks maxima for the other temperatures move to the low frequency side with decreasing temperature, before that the curves finally merge in the high frequency region. Such trend is indicative of thermally activated relaxation with distributed relaxation times.

4. Conclusions

Double perovskites RBaFeTiO$_6$ (R = La, Eu) have been studied with a variety of experimental characterizations, in order to investigate the effect of rare earth element on their structural, morphological, vibrational, optical and dielectric properties. Rietveld refinement of X-ray diffraction patterns revealed that these materials belong to the double perovskite family and crystallize in a cubic structure (Pm3m #221) space group. The occupancies of La$^{3+}$/Ba$^{2+}$ ions at A-sites and Fe$^{3+}$/Ti$^{4+}$ ions at B-sites are disordered in these materials. The effect of rare earth element on density and porosity are also reported. These two materials are found to represent an irregularly shaped grains with non-uniform size distribution. Besides, the La-based sample presents a smaller crystallite and grain size in compared to the Eu-based sample as confirmed by Scherrer’s equation, Williamson–Hall analysis and Scanning Electron Micrographs. The evidence of the expected stoichiometry of RBaFeTiO$_6$ was deduced by X-ray dispersive spectroscopy, while the homogenous distribution of all elements was verified through the elemental mapping. The recorded FTIR spectra, dominated by the M–O (M = Ba, Fe, Ti) vibration bands, proved the effect of the rare earth element on the bond lengths and the vibrational properties of these double perovskites. Different active modes were identified by the Raman spectroscopic analysis, noting that the higher frequency region modes point to the presence of magnetic ordering in the material. Moreover, both materials are found to exhibit a large direct band gap with an energy of 3.75 eV for LaBaFeTiO$_6$ and 3.53 eV for EuBaFeTiO$_6$. Dielectric relaxation and low loss are highlighted from frequency dependent permittivity. Electrical conduction follows Jonscher’s universal power law for both double perovskites. We showed that electrical conduction is dominated by NSPT mechanism at low temperatures and CBH at high ones. The overall analysis of the impedance features indicated the presence of NTCR/PTCR-like behavior in both samples.
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