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Abstract

Background

The primary objective worldwide during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic had been controlling disease transmission. However, lockdown measures used to mitigate transmission affected human behavior and altered lifestyles, with a likely impact on chronic non-communicable diseases. More than a year into the pandemic, substantial peer-reviewed literature emerged on altered lifestyles following the varying lockdown measures imposed globally to control the virus spread. We explored the impact of lockdown measures on six lifestyle factors, namely diet, physical activity, sleep, stress, social connectedness, and the use of tobacco, alcohol, or other harmful substances.

Methods

We comprehensively searched PubMed and the World Health Organization’s global literature database on COVID-19 and retrieved 649 relevant articles for the narrative review. A critical interpretative synthesis of the articles was performed.

Results

Most of the articles included in the review identified the negative effect of lockdown measures on each of the lifestyle factors in many parts of the world. Encouraging lifestyle trends were also highlighted.
in a few articles. Such trends can positively influence the outcome of lifestyle-related chronic diseases, such as obesity and diabetes.

Conclusions

The lockdown associated with COVID-19 has largely had a negative impact on the lifestyles of individuals and communities across many countries and cultures. However, some individuals and communities also initiated positive lifestyle-related behavioral changes. If the knowledge generated by studying the impact of COVID-19-related lockdowns on the six lifestyle factors is further consolidated, it could improve chronic disease outcomes. This will help better understand lifestyle behaviors amidst crises and assist in redesigning extreme public health measures such as lockdowns. It is up to governments, communities, and healthcare/academic entities to derive benefit from lessons learned from the pandemic, with the ultimate objective of better educating and promoting healthy lifestyles among communities.
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Introduction

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic caused thousands of deaths, overloaded health systems, and disrupted social and economic infrastructure worldwide. While the world remained focused on controlling the pandemic, we cannot ignore its impact on lifestyles and, in turn, on chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs), which account for over 70% of global mortality. COVID-19 transmission-mitigating measures—requiring people to stay at home and practice physical distancing—afford us the opportunity to examine lifestyle factors and understand their effect on NCDs.

Various degrees of COVID-19 lockdown measures, including travel and movement restrictions, had helped reduce the spread of the virus. The lengths of lockdowns varied from country to country, as gathered from various news articles, ranging from 4 days in Turkey to 300 days in Qatar. The most common pattern of lockdowns was often for shorter periods (2–3 weeks) with extensions as needed. In the United States of America, the range was 20–267 days in different states.

However, these measures also have the potential to diminish access to healthy food; widen inequities between communities; result in suboptimal physical activity levels; exacerbate anxiety and stress; impair the quality of optimum sleep; and encourage substance abuse to allay anxiety and fear of the disease. Substantial published literature has emerged on altered lifestyles during the pandemic in different populations; however, to our knowledge, there has not been any synthesis of this literature at this stage of the pandemic.

We conducted an extensive narrative review to help understand lifestyle factors, particularly those related to NCDs, in the COVID-19 context. The review aims to synthesize alterations (positive or negative) in lifestyle factors among individuals and communities that arose because of varying countries’ lockdown measures. The review can serve as a guidance and a valuable source for healthcare institutions and stakeholders interested in improving healthy lifestyles and healthcare during future lockdown measures. Additionally, it can inspire further research in the emerging field of lifestyle medicine and its role before, during, and after crisis situations, such as pandemics. For the review, we recognize the American College of Lifestyle Medicine (ACLM) definition of a healthy lifestyle as one that includes eating a predominantly plant-based diet, being physically active, sleeping well, managing stress, remaining socially connected, and refraining from the use of tobacco, alcohol, and other harmful substances. Evidence points towards the fact that with optimum practice, these six healthy lifestyle factors can prevent, treat, and, in some cases, even reverse NCDs. This narrative review focuses on these evidence-based lifestyle factors and how they may have been altered during the COVID-19 pandemic. It is to be noted that the authors chose to do a narrative review as this is a less formal approach to a systematic review, as the pandemic was still evolving. This narrative review hopes to raise research questions and identify gaps for future systematic reviews.

Methods

The narrative review was conducted using a best-evidence synthesis approach put forth by Green et al. Following this approach, the expectation from a successful narrative review is to: “present information that is written using the required elements for a narrative review, be well structured, synthesize the available evidence about the topic, and convey a clear message.” Following the Scale for the Assessment of Narrative Review Articles (SANRA), the methodology with the checklist is reported as Supplementary Material 1 in the Extended Data.

Search strategy

The search strategy included a combination of keywords and controlled vocabulary (such as MeSH terms). The keywords included the following: COVID-19 AND (lifestyle OR dietary habits OR physical activity OR sleep OR tobacco OR alcohol OR substance OR stress OR relationships) AND (lockdown OR quarantine OR isolation). We searched using these terms in PubMed and the World Health Organization (WHO) global literature database on coronavirus disease initially in September 2020 and updated the search in PubMed on 31 January 2021. It is to note that the WHO database is the best available composite database which covers all major databases for COVID-19 publications. These two extensive databases were deemed sufficient by the authors given the narrative nature of the review. We placed no restrictions on language, geography, or publication date. The reference lists of the retrieved articles were also scanned for additional articles with relevance to our review. The number of retrieved items from each database is provided in the Extended data, in Supplementary Material 2.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

We included all articles that discussed lifestyle factors in the general population within the COVID-19 pandemic context. The review focused on the six lifestyle factors included in the definition of a healthy lifestyle by the American College of Lifestyle Medicine.

Articles were excluded if they did not focus specifically on the six lifestyle factors (dietary habits, physical activity, sleep, tobacco or other substance use, stress, and emotional well-being and social connectedness) or did not discuss lifestyle within the COVID-19 context. We excluded articles that discussed lifestyle change in healthcare workers as they are a specialized group and subject to additional stressors (long work hours, use of personal protective equipment, high risk of
individual’s mood was a significant factor for food choice. Similarly, a high prevalence of ‘emotional eating’ was reported in Saudi Arabian women and among a cohort of 675 Spanish individuals. Additionally, some people including children had inclined towards snacking to overcome boredom.

Staying home also limited access to fresh vegetables and fruits, particularly in the most vulnerable and poorest sections of the society due to limited availability, accessibility, and affordability. Poorer households were overwhelmed by food insecurity, as demonstrated by a study from Iran reporting 61% of 392 families having faced some level of food insecurity.

In some situations staying at home encouraged many individuals to eat a fresh, home-made, balanced diet, as evidenced by a reduction in the consumption of fast food, fewer purchases of ready-made meals, and by the dominance of online/in-person grocery shopping as the major source of food and food purchases in some countries. A study from China showed that families that did online shopping included a greater variety of foods in their diet than in normal circumstances. A large study of 7514 participants from Spain—predominantly young, university-educated females—reported a higher intake of fruits, vegetables, or legumes than during the pre-pandemic times. Gender (females faring better), family members staying at home, (less) TV watching while eating, and (higher) maternal education were determined to be factors influencing healthy food intake.

The change in dietary habits during the lockdown period had consequences. One Polish study with 2381 participants reported that 34% of respondents ate more during the lockdown, while another study from the same country with 1097 participants reported that 30% gained weight during the lockdown. Meanwhile, among 3533 respondents from Italy, 46.1% reported consuming more food and 19.5% had gained weight. A study from China showed that families that did online shopping included a greater variety of foods in their diet than in normal circumstances. A large study of 7514 participants from Spain also reported eating more during the lockdown and 44% of the 1350 adults in the study reported weight gain. A similar proportion (46%) of the Galician population from Spain also reported eating more during the lockdown, while another study from the same country with 1097 participants reported that 34% of respondents ate more during the lockdown and 34% of respondents ate more during the lockdown. A study from Spain also reported eating more during the lockdown and 44% of the 1350 adults in the study reported weight gain. A similar proportion (46%) of the Galician population from Spain also reported eating more during the lockdown, while another study from the same country with 1097 participants reported that 34% of respondents ate more during the lockdown. A similar proportion (46%) of the Galician population from Spain also reported eating more during the lockdown, while another study from the same country with 1097 participants reported that 34% of respondents ate more during the lockdown. A study from China showed that families that did online shopping included a greater variety of foods in their diet than in normal circumstances. A large study of 7514 participants from Spain—predominantly young, university-educated females—reported a higher intake of fruits, vegetables, or legumes than during the pre-pandemic times. Gender (females faring better), family members staying at home, (less) TV watching while eating, and (higher) maternal education were determined to be factors influencing healthy food intake.

In the review, we find that individuals who were overweight/obese pre-pandemic gained more weight during the pandemic, while the undernourished lost more weight. A study in Italy of 88 families found that children with obesity found it difficult to practice a healthy lifestyle during the pandemic, despite participating in a food education program in a hospital. Their unhealthy food consumption and sedentary lifestyle were attributed to a great deal of stress experienced by the children due to interruption of school and sports activities among other factors. Studies also reported that individuals
with eating disorders and other psychiatric disorders diagnosed prior to the lockdown fared worse during the lockdown period due to low self-directedness and less adaptive coping.13,19-44

Physical activity
The lockdown period saw a general reduction in physical activity levels as evidenced from the studies included in the review. A study from China of 339 participants revealed a significant reduction in the average exercise intensity for both males and females during the lockdown period (average steps per day, before vs during: 7038±1923 vs 3741±1042 steps, p < 0.001 for females and 8321±3000 vs 3728±1726 step, p < 0.001 for males). A similar observation was made in Italy where 68% of participants in a study with a sample size of 490 adults reported a decrease in exercise levels.42 In a Polish study sample of 238, 43% of participants also reported a reduction in their physical activity during the lockdown period.42 An international, multi-country online survey of 1047 participants found that the number of days per week of all physical activity declined by 24% during the lockdown period. The number of minutes per day spent on physical activity decreased by 33.5% while sitting time increased by 5–8 hours among the respondents.41 Diminished physical activity levels were also reported in other countries, such as Australia43, United Kingdom (UK)43, and the United States (US)44-46. Longitudinal tracking of steps among participants in a physical activity program in Australia saw a decrease in the number of steps during lockdown, which returned to normal with the lifting of restrictions46. A similar improvement in physical activity levels was observed after the end of lockdown in Greece.47

The work-from-home environment predisposed individuals to spend more time sitting, leaning, reclining, or lying down on the bed.47 However, there was no predictable pattern as to who was less active during the lockdown period. While some studies found that previously physically active individuals became less active during the lockdown period,45,46 other studies found no change47 or reported a further reduction in physical activity among previously inactive individuals.48 A cross-sectional study in 64 cities in China, with 369 participants, concluded that previously physically active individuals were in greater distress because of the lockdown45. Studies among preschoolers,42 children and adolescents in Spain43 and Brazil found a downward trend in physical activity levels and an increase in screen time/sedentary behavior among children during the lockdown period.4 A similar reduction in physical activity levels among children and adolescents was also reported in studies from Canada, Germany, UK, Italy, and Spain.4,49-51

A study in India found a reduction in physical activity and increased screen time among men and those belonging to the upper socio-economic strata.52 Ironically, a study from Germany found that participants who regularly consumed alcohol and expressed greater satisfaction with their life were more physically active.4 It was also observed that older people who were single/alone, affected by frailty, used to exercising in groups, or who were not accustomed to online apps (which support exercise routines) experienced a reduction in physical activity and/or increased levels of sedentary behavior.44-46

Lockdown provided an opportunity to be creative in finding ways to stay physically active. In South Korea, for example, it was observed that the public bicycle-sharing system recorded an increase in commuter and weekend users.47 It is, however, to be noted that such initiatives required commitment from citizens and authorities to ensure adherence to physical distancing, hand hygiene, facemask use, and cleaning of public facility/site surfaces. Staying physically active in home environments was possible, and its feasibility was shown during COVID-19 lockdown periods in countries like Japan, Scotland, and Switzerland, particularly among children with good parental support and encouragement. A study of 213 university students in Spain found an increase in the mean number of days they were engaged in moderate and vigorous physical activity.51 The use of physical activity apps was useful in improving physical activity in the US.47 Another study of 1521 adults from the UK reported that, although most adults claimed to have maintained or increased their engagement in physical activity during the pandemic, the majority did not meet the recommended physical activity guidelines.52 Studies performed in several countries, including a large study of 43,995 individuals from Brazil,43 reconfirmed that individuals who were physically active during the lockdown period were more resilient and had lower odds of suffering from stress, anxiety, and depression.45-47

Sleep
Stay-at-home restrictions affected the sleep of people in many parts of the world. A global study involving 6882 participants from 59 countries found a large proportion (66.2%) reported changes in their sleep patterns during the pandemic.50 In a large cross-sectional study across France, two weeks after the lockdown, 74% of the 1005 nationally representative participants reported problems trying to sleep as compared to 49% in a previous survey pre-lockdown.57 An Italian study including 400 university students and administrative staff reported that 40% of the respondents suffered from insomnia, while 15% specifically had issues in sleep initiation.55 A similar proportion (40.7%) among a sample of 1491 adults in Australia reported a negative change in sleep levels.54 Factors found to be associated with disturbed sleep were increased blue light exposure from extended screen time, browsing the internet to keep busy, and working remotely from home.54,58 Older age, living with a partner, and living in a higher income country were associated with better sleep health, while loss of job, challenges experienced in transitioning to working from home, being unable to pay important bills, and an increase in arguments and conflicts at home were associated with poorer sleep health.59 Poor sleep and anxiety/depression were found to be closely associated in a few studies.50-59

Respondents in some studies reported sleeping more during the lockdown period. This was particularly true for preschoolers, children, and adolescents, as observed in studies from...
Among other substances that cause stress and inactivity were cited as the main reasons for increased smoking in some individuals. This study also found that many parents wanted to appear on their best behavior in front of their children during lockdown and postulated that this was one of the reasons for them cutting down on their cigarette intake when spending more time at home. In a qualitative study in the US, several participants mentioned that their cessation efforts improved due to a decrease in opportunities for social smoking and because they were spending more time at home. However, other individuals in the same study claimed an increase in smoking due to lack of the same restrictions at home compared to those imposed at their workplaces.

Other harmful substances. Among other substances that cause dependence, alcohol stands out as one of the most used psychoactive substances during the lockdown period. Despite alcohol supply not being assured in many countries, A study from India documented that alcohol shop closures led to alcohol-dependent individuals experiencing withdrawal symptoms and suicides. Where alcohol was available, some people resorted to increased alcohol intake to overcome adverse psychological outcomes of the lockdown, including stress and insomnia. Data from the Office for National Statistics in the UK demonstrates that alcohol sales rose by over 30% in month-on-month volume when compared to the previous year. In a survey of 6416 people from China, 32% of regular alcohol drinkers reported increased consumption during the lockdown period. In Australia, 26.6% of 1491 participants reported an increase in alcohol consumption during the lockdown. A higher frequency of drinking was selectively observed in men, young adults aged 18–24 years, and those living in big cities. A study in Italy observed that emergency admission of adolescents and young people in one hospital due to alcohol-related emergencies increased manifold during the lockdown. In a survey of 1555 people in the UK, one third reported that they had stopped or reduced drinking during the lockdown, while one fifth drank more frequently during the same period. A survey of 4072 participants in Poland found a reduced frequency of drinking in most participants.

It was identified that those who consume alcohol found it more difficult to cope with the mental health impact of the pandemic and associated lockdowns. A large study from Australia found that people who were heavy drinkers, middle-aged, and had higher incomes before the pandemic, increased drinking during the pandemic. This study also found that individuals who had experienced recent job loss, were stressed/depressed, or had altered eating and sleep habits.

Brazil, Spain, Canada, and China. Among adults who slept more, the reported sleep quality was poor. Those who slept more also experienced sleepiness during the day and increased daytime sleep duration, as reported in a study of 203 corporate professionals and 325 university students in India. In the same study, it was also observed that females, younger people, and those experiencing loneliness and COVID-19-related worries had greater difficulty with sleep.

Frequent awakening and increased sleeping difficulty were noted in 69.6% of 365 patients in Portugal who had pre-existing sleep-disordered breathing. One study reported an increased frequency in the uptake of sleeping pills among study participants. Increased stress due to COVID-19, information overload, inconsistent bedtime, and low mood contribute to insomnia and this has subsequent harmful effects on overall health.

A few studies reported that individuals with previously erratic sleep patterns and social jetlag, were provided with an opportunity to normalize their sleep patterns and improve sleep hygiene for optimum functioning. One study from the US found that reduced rigid workload and school schedules led to an improvement in the overall sleep quality for some individuals, and a study in Canada found students were better able to sleep and reported reduced daytime sleepiness during the lockdown. A beneficial impact of quarantine on sleep was documented by a large global study of 14,000 individuals from 11 countries. A very small proportion of people were found to suffer from anxiety-induced insomnia in this study. At least one study showed that sleep problems encountered post-lockdown declined over time.

Substance use

Tobacco. Few studies were available on smoking behavior during COVID-19 lockdowns. Among those available, we found variations (increases, decreases, and remaining at pre-lockdown levels) in the smoking patterns among the respondents. A cross-sectional study involving 345 participants in the US found that half of respondents retained their pre-lockdown level of smoking, one quarter of them reduced smoking, and the remainder increased smoking. The same study also found that while 20% of respondents showed an increased motivation to quit, 15% showed a reduction in motivation. In a study of 957 participants from the Netherlands, 14.1% reported smoking less during the pandemic, while 18.9% reported smoking more. In Australia, 6.9% of 1491 adults reported a negative change in their smoking habits after the introduction of lockdown measures. In a multi-country study involving 6800 combustible and e-cigarette users from Italy, India, South Africa, UK, and the US, indoor smoking had increased in India and Italy, thus increasing the risk of secondhand smoke exposure among family members. Anxiety, boredom, and stress experienced by many people during COVID-19 contributed to increased smoking in some individuals. Stress and inactivity were cited as the main reasons for increased smoking during lockdown by a cohort of patients with cardiovascular disease in France.

Despite many deterrents, motivated individuals saw the lockdown period as an opportunity to become healthy and quit smoking. The motivation to quit was more discernible among those who smoked tobacco cigarettes than electronic cigarettes. Smokers who had a family member or someone close to them suffer from COVID-19 displayed a greater motivation to quit. One study reported that many parents wanted to appear on their best behavior in front of their children during lockdown and postulated that this was one of the reasons for them cutting down on their cigarette intake when spending more time at home. In a qualitative study in the US, several participants mentioned that their cessation efforts improved due to a decrease in opportunities for social smoking and because they were spending more time at home. However, other individuals in the same study claimed an increase in smoking due to lack of the same restrictions at home compared to those imposed at their workplaces.

It was identified that those who consume alcohol found it more difficult to cope with the mental health impact of the pandemic and associated lockdowns. A large study from Australia found that people who were heavy drinkers, middle-aged, and had higher incomes before the pandemic, increased drinking during the pandemic. This study also found that individuals who had experienced recent job loss, were stressed/depressed, or had altered eating and sleep habits...
consumed larger amounts of alcohol than those who weren’t 122. A study from the US found an increase in harmful alcohol use and related behaviors over the six months of lockdown-related measures 123.

A survey of 1555 patients with pre-existing alcoholic disorders in the UK concluded that ‘lockdown represents a risk factor for increased alcohol consumption in people with alcohol use disorders and relapse for those who were previously abstinent’ 124. Individuals who relapsed were at a high risk of harmful drinking and required a custom-tailored approach for follow-up and intervention.

A study of university students before and after university closures in the US revealed that those students who moved from on campus accommodation with peers to their homes with parents demonstrated a significant reduction in their drinking than those who remained in their pre-pandemic locations 125, 126. A study of 1951 students from Belgium found that pre-lockdown drinking motives reliably predicted alcohol consumption during the lockdown 127.

With regard to addictive drugs, the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction determined that people using addictive drugs may be at higher risk during the crisis, particularly because of the aging cohort of opioid users and associated comorbidities. Experts also agreed that movement restrictions reduced the availability of illicit drugs 128, thereby increasing the demand for addiction treatment. The limited availability of these services added to the suffering of this population. There was one study from France, however, which found an increase in cannabis use among 31.2% (195 of 620) of regular cannabis users making up 5.44% (620 of 11,391) of total participants 129. Another study from the Netherlands found increased use of cannabis among daily cannabis users 130. In addition, studies have demonstrated high anxiety levels among pre-COVID-19 substance users and COVID-19 substance initiators 131.

**Stress**

Watching, reading, or listening to the constant stream of COVID-19-related news, perceived health risks 132, and a perceived lack of autonomy 133 led to increased anxiety among individuals and families in many countries 133–138. The 2020 National Health Interview survey found that US adults were eight times more likely to fit the criteria for serious mental distress during the lockdown as compared to before 139. A study from China reported the psychological impacts of COVID-19, expressed as mild-to-severe anxiety, among 25% of 7143 college students 140. In total, 65% of 432 respondents in another study from Hong Kong reported clinical levels of depression, anxiety, and/or stress. Older adults, especially those in isolation and with cognitive decline 141–143, and their caregivers 144 were identified to be more prone to anxiety, stress, and depression 145. A high prevalence of stress and post-traumatic stress disorders was documented among Nepalese 146, Lebanese 147, Saudi Arabian 148, Vietnamese 149, and Philippine nationals 150 at the height of lockdown. High levels of anxiety and depression were also documented in studies from India 151–155, Ecuador 156, Albania 157, Ireland 158, Spain 159, Palestine 160, the UK 161, Kuwait 162, Brazil, and Portugal 163. The prevalence of people reporting clinical depression and anxiety in a sample of 1215 respondents in Italy was recorded to be 32.3% and 35.7%, respectively (compared to 15.39% and 21.40%, respectively, during pre-COVID-19 times) 164. A similar finding was made by another study from the country 160. One study from Jordan of 5274 participants found that four out of every ten participants in home quarantine suffered from some degree of anxiety 165.

Articles also suggest that quarantined children 166, adolescents 167, university students 168–170 and adults 171, mothers of young children 172, and other healthy adults suffered from symptoms of poor mental health, such as post-traumatic stress, anger, and confusion 173, 174. One study in Turkey found a difference in the self-reported quality of life (better) of children as opposed to the perception of their parents 175. Only a few studies made a clear distinction between the stress levels experienced by the general population as part of the community quarantine versus those who were quarantined as part of contact tracing or after testing COVID-19 positive. A few studies seem to suggest greater stress experienced by both those under isolation 127, 176 and others under home quarantine as part of contact tracing 177. One study from China, however, found that home self-quarantine was associated with increased happiness as compared to those who were in community quarantine 177. A study from the UK found that a majority of the older people interviewed identified positive aspects of lockdown and felt better prepared to deal with the lockdown 178.

Higher stress levels associated with lockdown measures were observed in some groups, such as women 179–182 (particularly pregnant women 183), single/divorced adults 184, older people (particularly with pre-existing mental health conditions 185–187), parents with young children 188, university students and young adults 189, workers 190, those self-medicating 191, the economically deprived 192, those with pre-existing depression 193, bipolar disorders 194, and other chronic medical conditions 195, 196, 197. A large study of 56,679 participants in China found that quarantine had a profound effect on the mental health of specific vulnerable groups, including those with pre-existing mental disorders, chronic physical diseases, frontline workers, and those living in the most affected areas 198. A similar large study of 53,524 participants from 26 countries during the pandemic found higher levels of stress associated with being young, female gender, lower level of education, being single, number of children in the family, and living in a country experiencing severe community spread 199.

Extreme stress driven by fear of COVID-19 infection, financial crisis, loneliness, the pressure to quarantine and isolate when tested, positive, stigma, and the unavailability of alcohol were identified as drivers of suicidal ideation and suicide during the pandemic and the associated lockdowns 173, 198–200. A healthy diet and refraining from reading updates about COVID-19 were very often shown to be the best predictors of
lower levels of anxiety and depressive symptoms. A longitudinal study reported that fear of COVID-19 decreased over time since the introduction of lockdown, but depression levels increased in a cohort of Chinese individuals. There were mixed results from New Zealand, one of the countries known to have best managed the pandemic, where 62% of those surveyed in a study reported ‘silver linings’ during the lockdown, such as enjoying working from home and a less polluted environment, while the same study found 16% of participants suffered from anxiety and 39% reported low well-being. A qualitative study from Italy found that, beyond the difficulties associated with lockdown, parents of children with neurodevelopmental disorders appreciated spending more quality time with their children, thus contributing to enhanced parent-child relationships. Some longitudinal studies found the mental health of individuals improved over time as extreme fear and the sense of emergency subsided.

Emotional wellbeing and social connectedness

The restriction of movement during the pandemic led to a significant reduction in social activity among family, friends/neighbors, and for entertainment. This contributed to diminished life satisfaction in >30% of participants in a large, multi-continent study involving 1047 participants. A study of 571 healthy adults in Israel found greater psychological distress, poor connectedness, and lowered resilience among women, young adults, and the unemployed. An online survey of 309 residents in Europe and the US revealed that 100% of participants faced some degree of social isolation during the lockdown period. This study found that younger adults (aged 18–29) reported higher self-isolation than older groups. Those feeling socially isolated were also less satisfied with their food habits, work, and housing. Prolonged social media use had a deleterious effect on the overall social well being of individuals as reported by studies in Saudi Arabia and Italy.

A study in Finland found that families used two types of coping during the lockdown – family conversations and family time. Family time, defined as “doing things together,” was more prevalent on weekends, when families preferred to do outdoor activities, such as playing together. A study in Canada found that time spent on the internet and video games by children increased during lockdown periods. No studies during the review period explicitly documented changes in other positive indoor behaviors, such as yoga and meditation.

Studies found that a lack of social interaction had a more profound effect on people with higher income and education. Lack of social interaction also had a negative impact as more time passes for older people, as evidenced by large cross-sectional studies in the UK and Switzerland. Children and adolescents reported being more restless, irritable, and inattentive during the lockdown with increased screen time being the likely contributor, along with worsening of relationships and dysfunctional parenting. Girls and women confined to homes and quarantine facilities faced increased family tensions, an overload of domestic work, and were vulnerable to physical and emotional abuse. Intimate partner violence—characterized by physical, sexual, or emotional violence between partners—was also of concern during challenging times of staying home and with physical distancing. A study in Switzerland found that older adults who managed to maintain social communication at a satisfactory level were able to manage their stress level, thus underscoring the importance of social connectedness.

Lifestyle factors in patients with pre-existing NCDs

Studies show that patients with pre-existing NCDs found it difficult to maintain a healthy lifestyle during the COVID-19 pandemic and associated lockdown measures introduced by countries. Patients with coronary heart disease, neurological disorders, diabetes, and cancer had to compromise on their physical activity routine, dietary patterns, and social connectedness. A study in Ireland came to a similar conclusion regarding increased mental health difficulties among children with Autistic Spectrum Disorder. Patients fearful of acquiring COVID-19 infection were also found to postpone medical care for their chronic problems, leading to greater physical and psychological distress, while adding to the suffering of chronically ill patients.

Some studies found an improvement in patients with conditions such as migraine, whereas other studies found limited or no change in the lifestyle of those with other chronic diseases.

Discussion

Our narrative review highlights the altered lifestyles of people worldwide during the lockdown period of the pandemic. Both positive and negative changes in the six lifestyle factors have occurred and their impact varied in different instances. These findings could be instructive to health practitioners and health departments in their strategies to manage chronic disease.

Regarding dietary habits, at the start of the pandemic the United Nations Systems Standing Committee on Nutrition warned that the COVID-19 pandemic would disrupt food systems globally. The committee predicted a deterioration in the nutritional status of individuals due to altered dietary practices. Particular concern was expressed over ‘food environments’, with regard to both external (availability and quality) and internal (accessibility, affordability, acceptability, and desirability) dimensions of the food systems. Observations, more than a year after the onset of the pandemic, now appear to confirm earlier fears of malnutrition and disrupted food environments, particularly in disadvantaged communities. In general,
the availability of healthy, plant-based food was ample in well-to-do communities. However, access to such food during the pandemic could be limited, at least in some settings and for specific populations. This lack of access may present health risks, such as lower immunity and adverse chronic disease outcomes, to individuals in those settings. Alternatively, staying at home encouraged individuals in some populations to eat fresh, homemade food\textsuperscript{23}, likely due to additional time available to cook. Home-cooking not only helps cut down the intake of unhealthy processed and fast foods but also has proven emotional and health benefits. Further research is needed to understand the motivational factors behind healthy dietary practices during the pandemic and whether pre-pandemic awareness and practices likely had a positive influence.

It is well known that any amount of physical activity, no matter how little, is beneficial. Sedentary behavior associated with excessive television watching, increased internet browsing, playing screen games, and using mobile devices are shown to negatively impact chronic disease outcomes\textsuperscript{24}. With parks and gymnasiums closed and public movement in the open discouraged, people’s ability to remain physically active was challenged during the lockdown period. Though the majority of studies showed a reduction in physical activity levels and increased sedentariness during the lockdown, it is heartening to note that some individuals in select settings saw an opportunity to be creative in finding ways of staying even minimally active (e.g., gardening, exploring online free exercise routines, and walking up and down the stairs/steps) in a home environment\textsuperscript{25,26}.

Adequate sleep improves concentration and diligent decision-making. Disrupted and suboptimal sleep on the other hand has been correlated with an elevated body mass index, obesity, metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes\textsuperscript{27}. Exposure to blue light also increases night-time heart rate, blood pressure, and core body temperature, and suppresses melatonin and sleepiness. The high prevalence of insomnia and an increase in screen time during the lockdown periods were concerning. Reversal of some of these newfound habits will be important in order to promote sleep hygiene in communities and reap the benefits of sleep in improving mood, immunity, and overall health and well-being of individuals and communities.

Reports of people hoarding cigarettes and alcohol along with food and toilet paper during the early phases of the pandemic are suggestive of the fact that smoking cessation and quitting alcohol was not a priority during the lockdown period; our review confirms this. Some smokers wanting to quit may have found accessing smoking cessation clinics a challenge due to the suspension of non-essential health services. This lack of access to addiction clinics will also affect those dependent on alcohol and other psychoactive substances. Getting substance cessation and control programs back on track at local, national, and international levels will require a redoubling of efforts as countries start relaxing lockdown measures.

Most of the studies in our review have unequivocally pointed towards high stress levels among populations worldwide during COVID-19 lockdowns. Stress is causally associated with risky behaviors, such as smoking, physical inactivity, and heavy alcohol use, lending to additional concern since these are independent risk factors for chronic diseases. However, on the positive side, staying at home provided an opportunity for families to bond and engage in activities at their convenience, which can elicit relaxation and calming responses. Examples of such activities include prayers, meditation, and breathing exercises, all having positive health benefits\textsuperscript{28}. Nevertheless, we did not find any studies where communities claimed to be using such positive coping measures to alleviate stress. This may indicate a lack of awareness in communities regarding the importance of healthy lifestyle measures for stress management, as well as public health professionals/healthcare practitioners not emphasizing the importance of addressing lifestyle-related factors adequately.

More importantly, one cannot deny that of all the lifestyle factors altered during COVID-19 lockdowns, the most crucial one was the loss of connectedness and social networking. This is unfortunate since positive resonance and micro-moments of connectivity encountered in situations of togetherness are associated with health and longevity. Virtual connectivity, though inadequately studied, and small acts of kindness where possible during this period, remain the best measures of improving relationships and connectedness. The lockdown no doubt led to an increase in family time, at least for nuclear families in most instances. This may have been an opportune time for families to bond and strengthen relationships. One concern of staying home, however, were reports of increased domestic violence\textsuperscript{29}. Future research is likely to provide more answers to the questions surrounding the nature of relationships and domestic activities, and their overall impact—positive or negative—on mental and physical health.

During this period, patients with pre-existing NCDs suffered both from their inability to maintain a healthy lifestyle and neglect from national health authorities and hospitals with guidance issued to delay routine care and non-urgent procedures. Since the advisory by the US Medicare and Medicaid Centers in mid-March 2020 to delay non-urgent procedures, adult patient visits for primary care and gastroenterology services declined by 49% and 61%, respectively\textsuperscript{30}. This likely compromises patients receiving timely care. Tapper and Asrani\textsuperscript{31} discuss care in the context of managing patients with liver cirrhosis (a common complication of obesity and diabetes) during COVID-19 and suggest three challenging phases in treating patients with chronic disease: 1) the current ‘intense period’ with a delay in routine care, 2) a ‘return to the norm’ when physical distancing ends and health systems respond to a backlog of cases, and 3) a ‘protracted period’ of poor outcomes due to unabated disease progression. The world is headed towards a catch-up period concerning NCDs due to altered lifestyles and concomitant treatment backlog. The emergence of technology, including machine learning and artificial intelligence, offers
hope for the future control of both communicable and non-communicable diseases.

The American College of Lifestyle Medicine proposes healthy lifestyle measures to reduce chronic disease risk and improve immunity during this period (Table 1). Though the measures may appear relatively easy and simple enough to implement, many people may not adhere to these recommendations for a variety of reasons, including poverty, lack of education, unaffordability of technological resources, cramped living spaces, and poor city planning. Governments, health care practitioners, and communities should do everything possible to break those barriers and ensure access to healthy lifestyle measures for all.

Strengths and limitations
This narrative review is a comprehensive analysis of the existing literature on the impact of COVID-19-related lockdown on the lifestyles of people globally. As the review is narrative, we included all the relevant and available published literature on the subject to ensure comprehensiveness. We did not undertake quality assessment of each of the publications; instead, we used data as reported in the articles. Most of the studies included in our review are observational, which is an inherent limitation of the review study design. Despite this general limitation—as is the case with all narrative reviews—we do not consider this a major impediment to our research pursuit, as our analysis relies largely on trends and qualitative aspects of data. Given the global nature of the review, we also acknowledge that the studies included are from various countries and cultures and, hence, cannot be generalized. We have identified patterns, where possible, and we hope, will have broad global implications and specific local implications. We only searched two databases for the study and with the huge volume of studies in this broad subject, it is possible we might have inadvertently missed some studies in our synthesis. The point of concept saturation was based on judgement of the authors though acceptable in an interpretivist paradigm, this can be seen as a limitation in a positivist paradigm. We also acknowledge that home quarantine, institutional quarantine, community quarantine, and isolation can each have different levels of impact on lifestyles, but did not find an adequate number of studies in each category to analyze them separately.

Conclusions
COVID-19 disrupted lifestyle balance among populations. The lockdown associated with COVID-19 largely had a negative impact on the lifestyles of individuals and communities across countries and cultures. However, some individuals and communities also initiated positive lifestyle-related behavioral change. If the knowledge generated by studying the impact of COVID-19-related lockdowns on the six lifestyle factors is further consolidated, it could improve chronic disease outcomes. This will help better understand lifestyle behaviors amidst crises and assist in redesigning extreme public health measures such as lockdowns.

Based on its rapid assessment of service delivery for NCDs in 163 countries, the World Health Organization had identified that the COVID-19 pandemic could throw the global health progress on NCDs off track. This requires that we act as one world and make every effort to promote healthy lifestyles in communities worldwide. COVID-19 will likely redefine conventional public health by placing additional emphasis on healthy lifestyles, disease prevention, and self-care. Given the strong evidence in favor of lifestyle medicine, the incorporation of lifestyle medicine in day-to-day medical practice and public health approaches is likely to receive worldwide attention. Serious consideration should be given to augmenting professional health curricula by including topics such as evidence-based public health practices, lifestyle medicine, and building health systems that can address the holistic needs of local populations before, during, and after crises. Also, integrating basic health, infectious disease, and lifestyle education in school and undergraduate college education would be a step in the right direction. It would be up to the governments, communities, and healthcare delivery systems to learn and benefit from the lifestyle medicine lessons learnt—during and consequent to the COVID-19 pandemic—with the ultimate objective of promoting healthy lifestyles in communities.

Table 1. Healthy lifestyle measures to reduce chronic disease risk and improve immunity

- Stress management by practicing techniques like meditation and yoga.
- Healthy eating focused on a plant-based diet and whole grains.
- Remaining physically active.
- Quitting smoking and harmful substances.
- Optimizing good sleep hygiene.
- Maintaining social connections virtually, like video or phone calls with friends and families.
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4. The search dates cover articles published from September 2020 to January 31, 2021, which is too short to include all relevant and important research, especially since articles related to COVID-19 are still being published. This is a significant drawback for review articles, which should be published in a timely manner to maintain their value. Another option is to extend the search and re-write the article.

5. The researchers removed papers unrelated to COVID-19. As previously noted, if the issue is lockdown during a transmissible disease, the other papers should have been added. Otherwise, terminology and expressions throughout the document should be specific to COVID-19.

6. Why were articles that examined the same factors among healthcare workers excluded? What do researchers mean when they state "additional stressors beyond the effects of the lockdown measures"? If they are more susceptible to contracting the virus, delivery and transportation workers are also at risk. If it is anything else, please provide a reasonable explanation as to why the pressures of other professions, in addition to the consequences of the lockdown, are not regarded significant enough to eliminate from the search. The review's goal is to examine how people's lifestyles changed during the pandemic. I don't see why the researchers made such a difference.

7. The researchers created statements by merging articles from various geographical and cultural backgrounds. Dietary choices and physical exercise, for example, can vary. What is normal in one culture may be unhealthy in another, therefore the changes observed during the lockdown are not proportional. I'm not sure if such a global mix of specific lifestyle behaviors is fair for comparison.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
No

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?
No

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Not applicable

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
No

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: neurology, multiple sclerosis, cognition, rehabilitation

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to state that I do not consider it to be of an acceptable scientific standard, for reasons outlined above.

Author Response 22 Sep 2024
Sathyanarayanan Doraiswamy

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments. Our point-by-point response is as below:

1. The manuscript's title suggests a focus on the COVID-19 pandemic. However, in several sections of the text, the researchers focused on modifications and preventive measures during times of crisis, such as lockdown. I would advise that researchers be more detailed in their interpretations of the outcomes. Because the reasons of a crisis or lockdown can vary, so can the effects. Either modify the manuscript's name or make your interpretations totally relevant to COVID-19, or at least to the lockdown during highly transmissible and fatal respiratory diseases.

Author Response: The review's objective is to explore the impact of COVID-19-related lockdown measures on six lifestyle factors: diet, physical activity, sleep, stress, social connectedness, and the use of tobacco, alcohol, or other harmful substances. This has now been made explicit in the introduction in line with the reviewer's kind suggestion.

1. In the introduction section, researchers use the statement "The review can be instructive..." - a narrative review can assist grasp the topic and provide hints, but it is still not regarded a method of high evidence, therefore the term is not acceptable.

Author Response: This has now been altered to reflect the guiding nature of a narrative review.

1. In the introduction, the purpose of the review was presented as understanding of lifestyle factors and how they may have altered during COVID-19. However, the discussion and conclusion focused on ways to improve healthy lifestyle and preventive measures to protect that habit. I recommend that researchers focus on
the purpose of the review and let the community decide the actions.

Author Response: The conclusion has now been altered. The section on conclusion also includes recommendations from the findings of the review for a variety of stakeholders including communities to consider. Authors have ensured that all recommendations are evidence based and have also called for further research when available evidence is inadequate.

1. The search dates cover articles published from September 2020 to January 31, 2021, which is too short to include all relevant and important research, especially since articles related to COVID-19 are still being published. This is a significant drawback for review articles, which should be published in a timely manner to maintain their value. Another option is to extend the search and re-write the article.

Author Response: As mentioned in the methods section, the review included a search of the global literature database on COVID-19 and lifestyles, initially in September 2020, and updated the search in PubMed on 31 January 2021. Hence, the review included all studies from the onset of the pandemic until 31 January 2021. To ensure timely publication, the review was immediately published in F1000 in the same year. Identifying peer reviewers took time, and with the peer review complete now, addressing the reviewers' comments in a satisfactory manner will help index the article. This will help greater reach and can inspire further research. Given the narrative nature of the article, the evidence generated should be sufficient in the humble view of the authors for other researchers to carry out more robust reviews such as systematic reviews and metanalysis on the impact of COVID-19 related lockdown on each of the six lifestyle factors.

1. The researchers removed papers unrelated to COVID-19. As previously noted, if the issue is lockdown during a transmissible disease, the other papers should have been added. Otherwise, terminology and expressions throughout the document should be specific to COVID-19.

Author Response: The authors confirm that they have maintained language specific to COVID-19 throughout the manuscript.

1. Why were articles that examined the same factors among healthcare workers excluded? What do researchers mean when they state “additional stressors beyond the effects of the lockdown measures”? If they are more susceptible to contracting the virus, delivery and transportation workers are also at risk. If it is anything else, please provide a reasonable explanation as to why the pressures of other professions, in addition to the consequences of the lockdown, are not regarded significant enough to eliminate from the search. The review’s goal is to examine how people’s lifestyles changed during the pandemic. I don’t see why the researchers made such a difference.

Author Response: The reasons for exclusion have now been elaborated in the manuscript by highlighting the additional stressors faced by health workers in the methods section. These additional stressors make them a very specific group affected by COVID-19. The review focusses only on general population and restricts itself to age, income and gender differentials where applicable.

1. The researchers created statements by merging articles from various geographical and cultural backgrounds. Dietary choices and physical exercise, for example, can vary. What is normal in one culture may be unhealthy in another, therefore the changes observed during the lockdown are not proportional. I’m not sure if such a
global mix of specific lifestyle behaviors is fair for comparison. 

Author Response: This limitation of the study is now made explicit under the limitations section.

Competing Interests: No competing interests.

Reviewer Report 29 June 2024

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.55830.r291274

© 2024 Williams A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Anna Williams
Save the Children Bangladesh, Dhaka, Dhaka Division, Bangladesh

The article describes the global literature on how people's patterns of behavior changed during COVID-19 lockdowns in regard to diet, physical activity, sleep, and substance use, and the effects on stress, emotional wellbeing and non-communicable diseases. It provides a narrative review with a critical interpretive synthesis and recommends increased public health investment and efforts around lifestyle medicine, or disease prevention through healthy lifestyle habits.

The article is well-written and presents a comprehensive analysis.

My largest comments are:

1) The timeframe of the 'lockdown period' is not explicitly defined. Across countries, lockdowns were shaped by national and sub-national policies, and likely varied substantially in their implementation. It would be helpful if, at minimum, a defined lockdown period of time that the search focused on were stated. Some reflection on how lockdown timeframes and policies differed across and within countries would contribute helpful nuance to the overall analysis.

2) The use of the present perfect tense (e.g., has had...has encouraged etc.) instead of the past tense makes it feel as if lockdowns are still happening, when at this point, they are now in the past. Suggest revising to consistently use the past tense.

More minor comments:

3) In Dietary Habits, Galican should be Galician.

4) Recommend that consideration be given, in the mention of families not engaging in meditation and yoga at home, to that families often destress through joint leisure activities, such as playing games and doing projects together.
Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Partly

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Yes

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Not applicable

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
No source data required

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
Yes

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Reviewer Expertise: Medical and health publications, plain language writing, public health, maternal and newborn health, midwifery

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 22 Sep 2024
Sathyanarayanan Doraiswamy

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments. Our point-by-point response is as below:

1) The timeframe of the 'lockdown period' is not explicitly defined. Across countries, lockdowns were shaped by national and sub-national policies, and likely varied substantially in their implementation. It would be helpful if, at minimum, a defined lockdown period of time that the search focused on were stated. Some reflection on how lockdown timeframes and policies differed across and within countries would contribute helpful nuance to the overall analysis.

   Lockdown timeframes are now included in the introduction section.

2) The use of the present perfect tense (e.g., has had...has encouraged etc.) instead of the past tense makes it feel as if lockdowns are still happening, when at this point, they are now in the past. Suggest revising to consistently use the past tense.

   Tense has been corrected to past tense in all applicable places.
More minor comments:
3) In Dietary Habits, Galican should be Galician.  
This is now corrected.

4) Recommend that consideration be given, in the mention of families not engaging in 
meditation and yoga at home, to that families often destress through joint leisure activities, 
such as playing games and doing projects together.  
This is now included in the sub-section on emotional well-being and social 
connectedness.

Competing Interests: No competing interests.
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© 2024 Górnicka M. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Magdalena Górnicka  
Department of Human Nutrition, Warsaw, Poland

This narrative review focuses on the impact of COVID-19 lockdown on six lifestyle factors: diet, physical activity, sleep, stress, social connectedness, and the use of tobacco, alcohol, or other harmful substances. The authors collected a significant number of articles, closely related to the title and the aim.

I consider the description of the methodology for including articles in the review to be sufficiently described.

I have one major comment:
- In the subsection Dietary habits, the authors also took into account the results concerning changes in body weight, which are a result / consequence of nutrition but not a lifestyle factor. In my opinion, this subsection requires modification and showing changes in dietary habits. Conclusions should take into account changes in body weight, which were also mainly conditioned by decreased activity.

Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?  
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?  
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?

No
Yes

**If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?**
Not applicable

**Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?**
Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?**
Yes

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** nutrition, nutritional assessment, dietary habits

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

**Author Response 22 Sep 2024**

**Sathyanarayanan Doraiswamy**

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments. Our point-by-point response is as below:

In the subsection Dietary habits, the authors also took into account the results concerning changes in body weight, which are a result / consequence of nutrition but not a lifestyle factor. In my opinion, this subsection requires modification and showing changes in dietary habits. Conclusions should take into account changes in body weight, which were also mainly conditioned by decreased activity.

Response: The subsection on dietary habits has now been reorganized to distinguish between habits and consequences.

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests.

**Reviewer Report 06 January 2022**

https://doi.org/10.5256/f1000research.55830.r115298

© 2022 Albahri A. This is an open access peer review report distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Ahmed Shihab Albahri**
Dear authors

My comments can be explained below:

1. Remove references from the conclusion section.

2. There is no clear conclusion that has been raised in this manuscript. Provide more criticism in the abstract, introduction, and conclusion.

3. Why do authors depend their search based on two databases only? What about other reliable databases such as Web of Science, Science Direct, and Scopus? I think there is no justification for this concern.

4. How can narrative review include a method like a systematic review? What is the difference? The authors should report that to the readers.

5. I can see the introduction is not suitable when it is presented. The introduction should clarify many subjects within. Thus, the introduction needs to clarify the motivation, challenges, contribution, objective, significance, and others. All the information should be presented in sequence idea.

6. Write the conclusion and consider the following comments: Highlight your analysis and reflect only the important points for the whole paper. Mention the benefits. Mention the implication in the last of this section.

7. The authors mentioned, "Articles were excluded if they did not focus specifically on the six lifestyle factors (dietary habits, physical activity, sleep, tobacco or other substance use, stress, and emotional well-being and social connectedness) or did not discuss lifestyle within the COVID-19 context." Why? what about others factors. Normally, this type of review is a comprehensive, critical, and objective analysis of the current knowledge on a topic. While we see here, the authors specified these factors from the beginning. I need the authors to defend this comment in the revised manuscript within the "method" section.

8. I am surprised the introduction contains only one reference. Also, there is no comparison with any published review articles. Therefore, the authors should provide a clear comparison with academic literature based on specific points. At least four published articles should be included in the benchmark comparison. I added some citations that the authors could use in this comparison.

In conclusion, I see this manuscript is sound and a high-quality study.

Best Wishes
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Is the work clearly and accurately presented and does it cite the current literature?
Yes

Is the study design appropriate and is the work technically sound?
Yes

Are sufficient details of methods and analysis provided to allow replication by others?
Partly

If applicable, is the statistical analysis and its interpretation appropriate?
Yes

Are all the source data underlying the results available to ensure full reproducibility?
Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the results?
No

**Competing Interests:** No competing interests were disclosed.

**Reviewer Expertise:** medical informatics

I confirm that I have read this submission and believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Author Response 22 Sep 2024

Sathyanarayanan Doraiswamy

The authors would like to thank the reviewer for the comments. Our point-by-point response is as below:

1. Remove references from the conclusion section.
   **This has now been done.**

2. There is no clear conclusion that has been raised in this manuscript. Provide more
criticism in the abstract, introduction, and conclusion.

The conclusion has been revised based on the valuable suggestions provided by the reviewer.

3. Why do authors depend their search based on two databases only? What about other reliable databases such as Web of Science, Science Direct, and Scopus? I think there is no justification for this concern.

Justification now provided in the methods section.

4. How can narrative review include a method like a systematic review? What is the difference? The authors should report that to the readers.

Key difference highlighted and a reference for further reading included in the introduction section.

5. I can see the introduction is not suitable when it is presented. The introduction should clarify many subjects within. Thus, the introduction needs to clarify the motivation, challenges, contribution, objective, significance, and others. All the information should be presented in sequence idea.

The introduction is presented in sequence in tandem with comments from all reviewers.

6. Write the conclusion and consider the following comments: Highlight your analysis and reflect only the important points for the whole paper. Mention the benefits. Mention the implication in the last of this section.

The first part of the conclusion has been rewritten in accordance with the reviewer's kind suggestion.

7. The authors mentioned, "Articles were excluded if they did not focus specifically on the six lifestyle factors (dietary habits, physical activity, sleep, tobacco or other substance use, stress, and emotional well-being and social connectedness) or did not discuss lifestyle within the COVID-19 context." Why? what about others factors. Normally, this type of review is a comprehensive, critical, and objective analysis of the current knowledge on a topic.

While we see here, the authors specified these factors from the beginning. I need the authors to defend this comment in the revised manuscript within the "method" section.

The review looks at six evidence-based lifestyle factors identified by the American College of Lifestyle Medicine. This is mentioned in the introduction and re-emphasized in the methods section.

8. I am surprised the introduction contains only one reference. Also, there is no comparison with any published review articles. Therefore, the authors should provide a clear comparison with academic literature based on specific points. At least four published articles should be included in the benchmark comparison. I added some citations that the authors could use in this comparison.

Four articles are now included in the introduction section. The specific articles kindly identified by the reviewer have also been included in the introduction and discussion sections.
**Competing Interests:** No competing interests.

The benefits of publishing with F1000Research:

- Your article is published within days, with no editorial bias
- You can publish traditional articles, null/negative results, case reports, data notes and more
- The peer review process is transparent and collaborative
- Your article is indexed in PubMed after passing peer review
- Dedicated customer support at every stage

For pre-submission enquiries, contact research@f1000.com