STING, the Endoplasmic Reticulum, and Mitochondria: Is Three a Crowd or a Conversation?
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The anti-viral pattern recognition receptor STING and its partnering cytosolic DNA sensor cGAS have been increasingly recognized to respond to self DNA in multiple pathologic settings including cancer and autoimmune disease. Endogenous DNA sources that trigger STING include damaged nuclear DNA in micronuclei and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). STING resides in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), and particularly in the ER-mitochondria associated membranes. This unique location renders STING well poised to respond to intracellular organelle stress. Whereas the pathways linking mtDNA and STING have been addressed recently, the mechanisms governing ER stress and STING interaction remain more opaque. The ER and mitochondria share a close anatomic and functional relationship, with mutual production of, and inter-organelle communication via calcium and reactive oxygen species (ROS). This interdependent relationship has potential to both generate the essential ligands for STING activation and to regulate its activity. Herein, we review the interactions between STING and mitochondria, STING and ER, ER and mitochondria (vis-à-vis calcium and ROS), and the evidence for 3-way communication.
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INTRODUCTION

Nature has a dramatic capacity for repurposing. The same pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that recognize pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) on invaders such as bacteria and viruses also respond to endogenous products, particularly those generated during tissue damage (damage associated molecular patterns or DAMPs (1)). For example, Toll Like Receptor 4 (TLR4) not only recognizes bacterial cell wall lipopolysaccharide, but also responds to components of the extracellular matrix such as fibrinogen and fibronectin that are released during infectious and immune damage (2, 3). Not all endogenous PRR stimuli are from infection-mediated tissue damage, as PRRs are also involved in normal physiologic function. For instance, TLRs direct development and cell fate in Drosophila, C. elegans and mice (4). In the brain, TLRs modulate neuronal connectivity and function (5). “Sterile” PRR engagement also drives pathology: In autoimmune disease (e.g. lupus), nucleotide-activated receptors such as TLR7 (RNA) and TLR9 (DNA) respond to material released from apoptotic cells, regulating inflammation in a cell-specific manner (6, 7).
Most PRRs, such as TLRs, C-lectin type receptors, Retinoic acid-inducible gene I (RIG-I) like receptors, inflammasomes and Nod-like Receptors (NLRs), reside on the plasma membrane, within endosomes or within the cytosol. These locations prime PRRs to respond to both pathogen and endogenous products in the extracellular space or cytosol. In contrast, Stimulator of Interferon Gene (STING) resides in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), particularly in ER-mitochondrial appositions, with its triggering face to the cytosol (8). This unique location is not only useful for detecting cytosolic invaders; the organelle associations position STING to respond to alarm signals generated by the mitochondria and ER. Interestingly, the multi-molecular inflammation generating machinery triggered by RIG-I family PRRs and inflammasomes, including the lynchpin mitochondrial anti-viral signaling protein (MAVS) aggregates at the mitochondria (9); The MAVS C-terminal transmembrane domain inserts in the outer mitochondrial membrane where it nucleates the formation of filamentous signaling platforms (10, 11). Involvement of, and crosstalk between these organelles may critically contribute to PRR signaling by increasing signal amplitude and providing further context (intracellular stress). In this review, we will focus on the crosstalk between STING, ER and mitochondria. Although many previous lines of inquiry have focused on dyads in this triangle (Figure 1, conceptual framework for this review), we posit that DAMP-stimulated STING signaling may reflect three-way communication between these organelles and STING.

THE KEY PLAYERS: CGAS AND STING

Viruses depend exclusively upon host building blocks and machinery to produce the RNA and DNA strands that encode their genomes. During some portion of their lifecycle (e.g. uncoating, creating progeny), viral genomic nucleic acid will be present in the host cytosol. Thus, sensors of cytosolic nucleic acids such as STING constitute a vital defense that has been in place across 600 million years of evolution (12, 13). STING directly binds cyclic-di-nucleotides (CDNs). STING also “senses” cytosolic dsDNA indirectly via its “partner” in detection, cyclic-GMP-AMP (cGAMP) synthase (cGAS); upon binding dsDNA, cGAS generates endogenous cyclic-di-nucleotides that serve as the actual STING ligands. Although multiple molecules may detect cytosolic dsDNA in addition to cGAS (e.g. Gamma interferon inducible protein 16 (IFI16), Dead box helicase 41 (DDX41)), cGAS is the primary dsDNA-sensor required for STING activation by dsDNA (14, 15). The role of these other sensors remains unclear, though IFI16 promotes cGAS activation and enhances STING phosphorylation, translocation, and Tank binding kinase 1 (TBK1) recruitment (16, 17). DDX41 may promote IFN-induced cGAS expression (18). cGAS senses cytosolic DNA, but in the resting state in macrophages and other cell types, the vast majority of cGAS resides inside the nucleus, sequestered by chromatin (19–21). One study from Barnett et al. also placed cGAS at the plasma membrane via an N-terminal phosphoinositide interaction; the basis for this discrepancy with the other studies is not clear (22).
Recent cryo-electron microscopy structural data has elucidated the inhibitory relationship between chromatin and cGAS that prevents self-recognition: an acidic patch on the nucleosome histone H2A-H2B heterodimer occupies the dsDNA binding site, preventing cGAS activation and dimerization (23–27). This new information begs the question of how nuclear cGAS responds to pathogenic challenges, as described in the setting of HIV2 recognition (28). Perhaps nuclear proteins such as non-POU domain-containing octamer-binding protein (NONO) extract cGAS from the nucleosomes during infection to enable response to nucleus-located viruses. The mechanism by which nuclear cGAS access cytosolic dsDNA is equally mysterious. Clarification of this process awaits further study.

cGAS recognizes dsDNA at least 45 nt in length, retrovirus-transcribed cDNA and Y-form DNA with an overhanging stretch of guanines (29–31). Retroviral triggers of cGAS include both pathogen-derived nucleic acid and potentially endogenous retroviruses (30, 32). cGAS directly binds the DNA deoxyribose sugar phosphate backbone, explaining the sequence-independence of recognition (33). DsDNA recognition is both length and concentration dependent, requiring a size ~1 kb at more physiologic levels (31). DsDNA-bound cGAS forms liquid-phase like droplets, potentially increasing local DNA concentration and valency (34). The formation of two by two structures (2 strands of DNA, 2 cGAS molecules) induces a conformational change in cGAS, which activates its nucleotidyl-transferase enzymatic activity (35). Using ATP and GTP as initial substrates, cGAS catalyzes the production of an asymmetric cyclic-di-nucleotide product with a 2'-5' phosphodiester bond between the 2' hydroxyl of GMP and 5' phosphate of AMP, and a 3'-5' phosphodiester bond linking the 3'- hydroxyl of AMP back to the 5' phosphate of GMP, referred to as 2'-5'cGAMP (36, 37). STING also binds the bacterial second messenger cyclic-di-GMP, which was the first identified ligand for STING, cyclic-di-AMP produced by Gram-positive bacteria such as Staphylococcus and Listeria, and bacterial origin 3'-cGAMP (38–40). Interestingly, the affinity of STING for bacterial products is much lower (>1–2 logs) than the endogenously generated 23'-cGAMP, suggesting an anti-viral evolutionary priority (37, 41, 42). Another possibility is that bacteria serendipitously coopted STING's CDN-binding capacity to enhance type I interferon (IFN) production by the host cells, which benefits multiple bacterial species (13, 43, 44).

In its inactive state, the STING molecule, which has 4 membrane-spanning helices, resides in the ER plasma membrane as a dimer, with its v-like CDN binding domain facing the cytosol (45). Upon binding cGAMP, STING undergoes a conformational change that enables a lid-like 4-pass beta sheet to flop down over the CDN binding site in a “closed” position, and rotates the cytosolic portion 180 degrees. This rotation allows for higher order STING oligomerization and lateral stacking (46–48). CDNs such as cyclic-di-GMP stabilize STING in a more open position vs. cGAMP, perhaps explaining their lower affinity and activity (41, 49). In most vertebrates, with few exceptions, this lid also contains a flexible extended random coil C-terminal tail (CTT, amino acids 341–379 in humans) that has binding sites for TBK1 family kinases (TBK1 and Inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa B kinase (IKK)ε) (50). Some TBK1 associates constitutively with STING dimers, but the TBK1 dimer’s kinase domains face away from each other, preventing cis-phosphorylation (51). The higher order structures promote TBK1 trans-phosphorylation and activation. STING phosphorylation on Thr376 enhances TBK1 association ~20-fold (47). TBK1 also phosphorylates STING on Ser365/366 (mouse/human), forming a binding site for the interferon-regulatory transcription factor IRF3 (52). TBK1 phosphorylates IRF3, enabling the dimerization of IRF3 required for nuclear entry (53). The kinase domain of STING-attached TBK1 cannot access the cis-IRF3 molecule and thus relies on the close proximity of other TBK1 molecules to accomplish IRF3 activation (51). Changes in STING localization appear to be very important for specific activation steps in the TBK1-IRF3 signaling pathway. Following CDN binding, STING transits via the ER Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) to the Golgi in a Coat protein complex II (COPII), Sar1 GTPase, ADP ribosylation factor (Arf1)-dependent manner (54, 55). Blocking this transition with agents like Brefeldin A, or the Shigella flexneri Ipa1 protein prevents TBK1 association and phosphorylation (55, 56). Activated TBK1-STING then clusters together in peri-nuclear punctae where IRF3 is phosphorylated in multi-molecular “signalosome” complexes. These multi-molecular complexes also result in the activation of nuclear factor kappa-B (NF-kB), which then cooperates with IRF3 to induce the prototypic IFN gene IFNB1 and promotes pro-inflammatory cytokine transcription. For a summary of cGAS-STING activation, see Figure 2.

STING is most widely known for IFN stimulation, and secondarily pro-inflammatory cytokine stimulation via NF-kB. However, STING triggers multiple signaling cascades: STING activates MAP kinase signaling, STAT6, inflammasomes (e.g. NLR family pyrin domain containing 3 (NLRP3)), autophagy and apoptosis (57–62). STING also suppresses translation, inhibiting viral infection, independently of eukaryotic initiation factor 2α (63). The detailed mechanisms by which STING initiates these different functions remain to be elucidated. Consider NF-kB activation for example: multiple reports document the necessity of the CTT and TBK1 for NF-kB activation (50, 58, 64). TBK1 does appear to be critical for IF3 activation, an observation that has borne up over time. However, in myeloid cells, either TBK1 or IKKe can mediate NF-kB activation (50). TBK1 or IKKe activates Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase 7 (TAK1) and thus inhibitor of nuclear factor kappa-B kinase subunit (IKKβ/IKKε), resulting in inhibitor of IκB (IκB) phosphorylation, IκB proteasomal degradation and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) nuclear translocation (58). In myeloid cells, STING-dependent NF-kB activation did not require Tumor necrosis factor associated factor 6 (TRAF6). An alternatively spliced form of STING lacking the CTT, designated as MITA-related protein (MRP), functions as a dominant negative of IFN production yet activates NF-kB signaling independently of TBK1 (65). In the setting of genotoxic DNA damage, STING activates NF-kB independently of cGAS (and cGAMP) via association with p53, TRAF6, and IFIT16 (66). The zebrafish STING CTT contains an extra tail-end module that enhances NF-kB activation through increased recruitment of TRAF6 (67). In zebrafish, TRAF6 was essential for both NF-kB and IRF3 activity. Interestingly, TBK1 deletion in...
zebrafish only decreased IFN production by ~60% and NF-κB not at all, suggesting some flexibility and substitution capacity in STING modular functional domains. Together, these studies support context-dependent requirements for TBK1-family kinases and specific NF-kB activating pathways.

Evolution poses other questions regarding primordial STING function and NF-kB activation. Recognizable STING and cGAS orthologs are present in unicellular choanoflagellates, pre-dating NF-kB (68). *Nematostella vectensis*, a sea anemone that diverged from human ancestors >500 million years ago, possesses a STING molecule with only ~29% aa identity to human STING, but from human ancestors >500 million years ago, possesses a STING orthologue makes 3′-cGAMP, not 3′′-cGAMP (12). *Nematostella* cGAS also lacks the zinc-binding region present in vertebrates that is required for dsDNA binding. TBK1 and NF-kB also date back to *Nematostella*, but the CTT only developed in vertebrates, so it is not clear if primordial (pre-CTT) STING stimulates NF-kB in a TBK1-dependent manner (13). Thus, even though all the components were present early in evolution, their interactions and scope of activity remain a mystery.

The mechanisms by which STING induces autophagy are also not entirely clear. It has been proposed that the STING core moiety (lacking the CTT) contains a primordial autophagy function: In reconstitution experiments in HEK293 cells, the STING core was sufficient for initiating autophagy upon stimulation with exogenous cGAMP. Further, this core autophagy function exerted anti-viral activity – particularly against DNA virus such as Herpes Simplex Virus 1 (HSV1), but not the RNA virus Sendai virus (SeV). In this report, upon transit to the Golgi, the CTT-deleted STING core initiated Microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3 (LC3) lipidation through a non-canonical mechanism involving WD repeat domain phosphoinositide-interacting protein 2 (WIPI2) and Autophagy related 5 (Atg5), but not Unc-51 Like Autophagy Activating Kinase 1 (ULK1) and the Vacuolar protein sorting 34 (VPS34) complex. Although Mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) regulates autophagy, STING did not dephosphorylate or inhibit mTOR (54). However, in a recent report examining S365A and CTT deletion mutants in mice, HSV-1 viral resistance was IFN independent, but required the CTT and TBK1 for both autophagy and viral resistance. S365 phosphorylation was also important for enhancing NF-kB activation in macrophages (64). Multiple components of the cGAS-STING activation cascade interact with autophagy related molecules and pathways: TBK1 has been noted to activate mitophagy via phosphorylation of Calcium Binding And Coiled-Coil Domain 2 (NDP52), p62, TAX1BP1 and optineurin (69). Following translocation to the Golgi, STING co-localizes with p62, LC3 and Atg9a (59). cGAS may also participate in autophagy induction independently of STING: cGAS binds Beclin1, releasing Run domain Beclin-1 interacting and cysteine-rich containing (RUBICON), a potent negative regulator of autophagy) thus stimulating autophagy (70). In summary, multiple signaling routes link STING-cGAS signaling with autophagy, and activation of any particular pathway(s), or dependence upon specific STING moieties, may be context-dependent.

STING also stimulates apoptosis and cell death via multiple mechanisms (71). During “intrinsic” apoptosis, mitochondria
form Bcl2 Associated X (BAX)/Bcl2 antagonist killer 1 (BAK)-dependent micropores, resulting in mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization, release of cytochrome c, and caspase activation (72). BAX/BAK macropores subsequently enable herniation of inner mitochondrial membranes and extrusion of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) (73). STING promotes phosphorylation of receptor-interacting protein kinase 3 (RIP3), which activates p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis (PUMA, another pro-apoptotic Bcl2 family member), leading to mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (74). IRF3 and p53 also coordinate upregulate PUMA and Noxa (60). Moreover, activated IRF3 binds BAX directly via its BH3 domain, stimulating apoptosis (75). STING activation also leads to mitochondria-induced apoptosis indirectly via ER stress and its multiple pro-apoptotic programs (more on this connection below and in (71)). When apoptosis is inhibited by infection or genetically, STING-dependent type I IFN and TNF promote regulated necrosis or “necroptosis” (76, 77). Depending upon STING signaling strength and cell type, STING trafficking to lysosomes following autophagy induction results in lysosomal permeabilization and so called “lysosomal cell death” (61). Lysosomal rupture induces potassium efflux, and secondary NLRP3 activation, stimulating pyroptosis (61, 78). It should be noted however, that STING-inflammasome cooperation does not invariably increase pyroptosis (62).

Both cGAS and STING are subject to multiple types of transcriptional and post-translational modifications and regulation, reviewed extensively elsewhere (79–81), with a few examples presented here. IFN increases cGAS and STING expression, driving a positive feedback loop (18, 82). Both cGAS and STING expression are suppressed by DNA methylation in many tumors (83). Palmitoylation of STING in the Golgi is essential for its oligomerization and activity (84). cGAS can be inhibited by Protein Kinase B (Akt) phosphorylation and glutamylated (TTL14 and TTL16) (85, 86). Complex ubiquitination can activate or inhibit cGAS and STING by targeting them for degradation (79). The autophagic flux stimulated by STING may facilitate its lysosomal destruction post-stimulation in an ULK1-dependent manner (87). The same pro-apoptotic caspases stimulated by cGAS-STING operate to inhibit their activation: During intrinsic apoptosis, initiator and effector caspases (Caspase 9 and Caspase 3) result in cleavage of cGAS, STING and IRF3, thus limiting further STING signaling (88, 89). Inflammasome processed caspase1 also cleaves cGAS and inhibits its enzymatic activity following Gasdermin-D dependent K+ influx (90, 91). Regulation of STING/cGAS activity by ROS and calcium will be described below.

**STING IN THE “STERILE” PATHOLOGY OF DISEASE STATES**

Although cGAS and STING are poised to respond to pathogens, increasing evidence supports their critical role in a number of “sterile” physiologic and pathologic conditions, including cancer, heart disease, diabetes, neurodegenerative disease, lupus as well as normal aging/ cellular senescence (Figure 3) (92–98). For example, gain of function mutations in STING and diminished nuclease activity lead to distinctive IFN-driven autoinflammatory conditions such as STING Associated Vasculopathy presenting in Infancy (SAVI) and Aicardi Goutieres syndrome, respectively (93). Increased STING trafficking to the Golgi (and sustained activation) results in autoimmunity in COPA syndrome (99). Lupus patients show a strong type I IFN signature in their peripheral blood, and a sub-group of lupus patients (~15%) has elevated circulating cGAMP (100, 101). However some autoimmune conditions dependent upon other PRRs may be regulated by STING and worsen with STING deficiency (102, 103). In regards to cancer, STING essentially mediates the anti-tumor effect of radiation (104). IFN promotes maturation and antigen presentation by CD8+ dendritic cells (DC) and thus priming and activation of tumor infiltrating CD8 T cells and Natural Killer (NK) cells (49, 105). Related to these consequences of STING activation, STING agonists have shown promise as anti-cancer therapeutic agents (106). On the other hand, STING can promote toleragenic responses via Indoleamine-pyrrole 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO), especially with low antigenicity tumors, and induces CXCL10, iNOS expression and M1 differentiation. In this setting, STING or cGAS deficiency improved post-MI survival (110). STING activity may attenuate type I diabetes, but exacerbate type II diabetes and the associated metabolic syndrome (97, 111, 112). The dual positive and negative effects of STING on health mandate caution in modulating STING activity therapeutically.

One of the major questions posed by these observations relates to the source of “endogenous” STING/cGAS ligands in sterile pathology. In health, self DNA might be expected to be sequestered in the nucleus and within mitochondria. However, it has become clear that nuclei and mitochondria are not as “air tight” as previously thought. To maintain the status quo in health, nucleases patrol the cytosol and extracellular milieu, degrading rogue cytosolic nucleic acids. Even in the face of this nucleotide cleanup crew, increasing evidence suggests mtDNA and under certain conditions, nuclear and extracellular DNA serve as stimuli for STING. Extracellular dsDNA from apoptotic cells can be taken up by endocytosis, or in the forms of microvesicles and exosomes (113, 114). Internalized dsDNA translocates from lysosomes (especially if deficient in DNases) into the cytosol (115). Extracellular cGAMP that evades Ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase family member 1 (ENPP1) degradation can also be taken up by and stimulate cells (116). Studies in senescence, infection, neurodegenerative diseases, cancer and lupus have greatly elucidated the generation (and recognition) of mitochondrial and genomic DNA ligands. Genomic DNA will be discussed briefly, but the remaining focus will be on mtDNA.

Without killing the involved cell, genotoxic stress can result in partial breakdown of the laminin nuclear envelope structure and extrusion of so-called “micronuclei” into the cytoplasm (117). In senescence, telomere dysfunction and breakdowns in DNA repair lead to genotoxic stress. In cancer, genotoxic stress may
result from aberrant mitosis, mutation in DNA-repair enzymes as well as exogenously applied radiation. Micronuclei only form during cell division, and breakdown of the micronuclei envelope during mitosis is required for recognition (117, 118). This damaged genomic DNA in micronuclei then becomes an available substrate for cGAS (95). It is unclear how the extra-nuclear DNA avoids nucleosomal inhibition of cGAS, unless the DNA dissociates from histones. The chromatin state in micronuclei is not well described. Once cytosolic micronuclei dsDNA stimulates cGAS, the resulting cGAMP can also transfer across cellular boundaries via gap junctions (109, 119). For instance in cancer, cGAMP from tumor cells transfers to STING-expressing myeloid and B-cells that produce natural killer cell-stimulating IFN (120). One bacterium, Burkholderia pseudomallei, induces cell fusion, genomic instability and aberrant mitosis resulting in micronuclei formation. Interestingly, in this case, the micronuclei triggers STING activation and IFN transcription but not IFN protein, and STING/autophagy-dependent cell death. Polyethylene glycol induced cell fusion also triggers IFN gene expression and autophagy, suggesting a critical role for the cell fusion process (121). Micronuclei detected in human Huntington’s disease embryonic stem cell-derived neurons have been linked to inflammation and autophagy (122).

**FURTHER CUES ON ENDOGENOUS LIGANDS FROM LUPUS AND RELATED CONDITIONS: IMPORTANT ROLES FOR NUCLEASES AND MITOCHONDRIAL DNA**

Discovery of the linkage between nuclease deficiencies and type I interferonopathies has thrown the requirement for nucleotide “clean-up” into sharp relief. The cytosolic nuclease TREX1/DNaseIII was one of the first described molecular associations with type I IFN-driven diseases (123, 124). TREX1 deficiency and mutations have been implicated in Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome (AGS), Familial chilblain lupus, systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and retinal vasculopathy with cerebral leukodystrophy (RVCL) (123, 125–127). Full deletions are more likely to be associated with the severe early-onset manifestations, as in AGS, whereas heterozygous deficiencies are more common in complex milder or later onset conditions, such as familial chilblain lupus (126). AGS also results from defects in RNAse H2, which cleaves RNA from DNA to decrease DNA damage, and SAM and HD Domain Containing Deoxynucleoside Triphosphate Triphosphohydrolase 1 (SAMHD1), a dNTPase that acts at stalled replication forks and regulates reverse transcription to cDNA (128–130). Mice deficient in extracellular DNAseI develop high titer anti-nuclear antibodies and glomerulonephritis (131). Lysosomal DNAseII deficiency is embryonic lethal in mice, but completely rescued by cGAS or STING absence. Interestingly DNAseII-/–Ifnar (type I IFN receptor)-/- mice survive to adulthood, but develop rheumatoid arthritis-like disease, most likely reflecting the NF-kB stimulating activity of STING (132). TREX1, which is located in the cytosol and localizes to the ER, is active against ssDNA, nicked end dsDNA, and retroviral cDNA (produced for instance during HIV-1 infection) (133). TREX1 may also degrade endogenously transcribed retroviral elements (124, 134). Mutations in the DNase region are mostly associated with AGS, whereas DNase intact C-terminal truncations have been identified in RVCL and SLE (93). The non-DNase TREX1 functions may be mediated through its association with and regulation of oligosaccharide transferase, as C-terminal TREX1 mutations result in production of large amounts of immunostimulatory free glycans (135).

The association of SLE-like type I IFN driven diseases with these rare nuclease mutations serves as proof of principle.
regarding the importance of endogenous DNA in driving autoimmune disease. Work over the last decade has shed light on pathogenic mechanisms that may be more prevalent. Cells from lupus patients, most prominently neutrophils tend to extrude their nuclear contents in stringy structures rich in histones and dsDNA known as neutrophil extracellular traps (NETs). These NETs also contain inflammatory proteins such as Cathelecidin LL37 antimicrobial peptides and High Mobility Group Box 1 (HMGB1) (136). Wang et al. reported the presence of mitochondrial (mt)DNA in ex-vivo stimulated NETs and increased anti-mtDNA antibodies in lupus patients. These antibodies correlated with IFN scores and disease activity scores, including lupus nephritis scores, better than the standard anti-dsDNA. This group also found mtDNA in NETs in SLE subject kidney biopsies. MtDNA-anti-mtDNA complexes were strong stimulators of plasmacytoid DC IFN, even more so than anti-dsDNA. This group then performed a proof of concept trial with metformin, which decreases mitochondrial respiratory chain complex I and NADPH oxidase activity, suggesting that oxidation played a key role in pathogenesis (137). MtDNA lacks protective histones and DNA repair enzymes present in the nucleus and thus, is more susceptible to oxidation. Oxidized DNA is also resistant to TREX1 degradation, making this a plausible scenario (138). This study concluded the IFN was TLR9-dependent, but the evidence was very indirect.

Two further studies from 2016 further explored the relationship between oxidized mtDNA and lupus. Caielli et al. reported that neutrophils from healthy subjects that sustain mitochondrial damage extrude DNA. This damaged mtDNA dissociates from the mitochondrial transcription factor A mitochondrial (TFAM) molecule that packages it into nucleoids en route to lysosomes for degradation. Dissociation requires protein kinase A (PKA)-mediated TFAM phosphorylation. Exposure of either type I IFN-treated neutrophils or neutrophils from lupus patients to anti-RNP immune complexes decreased the cAMP required for PKA activation. The TFAM-associated nucleoids remained in the cytosol, became oxidized and were released from the cell through unclear means. TLR9 and RAGE participated in uptake of the TFAM-associated oxidized mtDNA nucleoids by DC, thereby stimulating IFN production. In support of this mechanism, oxidized mtDNA autoantibodies were present in a fraction of patients and oxidized mtDNA nucleoids visualized in SLE patient neutrophils (139). In the report by Lood et al, they tied oxidized mtDNA to STING-dependent IFN as follows: anti-RNP immune complex stimulation increased mitochondrial ROS. Mitochondrial ROS resulted in hypopolarization, translocation of mitochondria to the plasma membrane and release of oxidized mtDNA into the extracellular milieu. This oxidized mtDNA was a potent stimulus of IFN production by peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) and monocytic THP1 cells. In mice, injection of oxidized mtDNA induced IFN in a STING-dependent manner. Furthermore, lupus patient low-density granulocytes spontaneously released NETS enriched in mtDNA in a mitochondrial ROS-dependent manner. As proof of principle, they administered a mitochondrial ROS antagonist (mitoTEMPO) to mice continuously via a pump, decreasing disease severity in lupus prone MRL/lpr mice. MtDNA oxidation occurred independently of NADPH-oxidase, in Nox knockout mouse cells and chronic granulomatous disease patients (140). Together these reports firmly establish a link between mitochondrial ROS, oxidized mtDNA and IFN generation in lupus (summarized in Figure 4). Some of the differences, for instance TLR9 vs. STING dependence, might reflect species in some experiments (mouse vs. human) as well as mtDNA stimulated target cell (DC vs. PBMC and monocytes).

Beyond lupus, mtDNA appears to play a role in STING activation in other sterile diseases, such as cancer, and toxin-stimulated injury. Cisplatin-induced acute kidney injury depended upon mitochondrial damage and stimulation of STING. Interestingly, in this report, STING stimulated NF-κB but not type I IFN production, yet more evidence that different STING outputs can be uncoupled (141). In regards to cancer, oxidized mtDNA sensing by STING promoted the antitumor effect of irradiated immunogenic cancer cells (142). DC appropriated oxidized mtDNA released from dying irradiated tumors and then cross-presented antigen to cytotoxic CD8 T cells.

Mitochondria also mediate STING stimulation in a variety of infectious settings, in effect, functioning as both DAMP and PAMP. STING plays an unanticipated role in responding to RNA viruses, with mitochondria mediating the interaction. Interestingly, many of these viruses express mitochondria targeting proteins. Dengue virus M protein targets the mitochondrial membrane, forming pores that result in swelling and loss of membrane potential (143). NS2B3 cleaves mitofusins 1 (Mfn1) and Mfn2, influencing the structure and function of mitochondria (144). Dengue-induced mitochondrial stress and damage results in release of mtDNA into the cytosol (145). NS2B3 also directly cleaves STING, limiting production of type I IFN (146). Encephalomyocarditis and influenza induce mtDNA release into the cytoplasm via viroporins, stimulating cGAS and DDX41-dependent immune responses (147). Although Herpesviruses are DNA viruses, the HSV1 gene product UL12, that depletes TFAM and results in enlarged mitochondrial nucleoids and mtDNA release, is essential for full IFN production and anti-viral activity (145). Different strains of Mycobacterium tuberculosis induce varying amounts of mitochondrial stress and mtDNA release stimulating cGAS/STING-dependent IFN (148, 149). M. abscessus induces IFN and NLRP3 activity via mitochondrial oxidative stress. In this setting, IFN and IL-1β exhibited a mutually inhibitory reciprocal relationship (150).

STING AND THE ER: CROSS TALK AND CROSS REGULATION

The studies highlighted above describe a connection between mitochondria and STING activation via the release of mtDNA, which is oxidized in many cases. Increasing evidence also supports communication and cross-regulation between the ER and STING, in which an ER stress response known as the “Unfolded Protein Response” (UPR) takes center stage (UPR recently reviewed in (151) and in (152), Figure 5). The ER serves as the protein-producing factory of the cell. Different types of physiologic
Stimulation of ILN-treated neutrophils or neutrophils from lupus patients with anti-RNP immune complexes can lead to release of oxidized (ox) mtDNA by multiple mechanisms: 1) Stimulation of NETosis, with extrusion of DNA containing oxidized mtDNA. 2) Increased mitochondrial ROS leads to membrane translocation and extrusion of oxidized mtDNA into the extracellular milieu. 3) Anti-RNP and type I IFN decrease the levels of cyclic AMP (cAMP), a second messenger required for activation of protein kinase A (PKA), which normally phosphorylates TFAM, enabling its release from mtDNA. When TFAM is released, the mtDNA can then go to the lysosome for degradation. If PKA is inhibited, TFAM remains associated with mtDNA in nucleoids that accumulate in mitochondria and are then released from the neutrophils through unclear mechanisms. Extracellular oxidized mtDNA is sensed by monocytes in a STING-dependent manner and internalized by pDC via RAGE receptors. Downstream of RAGE, the IFN-generating sensor in pDC is unclear, although both oxidized and non-oxidized mtDNA stimulation of pDC is TLR9-dependent (139). The abundance of anti-mtDNA antibodies in lupus and correlation with disease support the critical involvement of these mechanisms in disease pathogenesis (137, 139, and 140).

Unfolded Protein Response (UPR), STING and autophagy. When cellular insults or protein production demands compromise ER function, the ER initiates the UPR. Misfolding proteins bind the chaperone BiP, releasing it from three stress sensors, IRE1 (blue), ATF6 (green) and PERK (red). IRE1 is a bifunctional kinase/ endonuclease that initiates JNK-dependent signaling and excises a 26bp stretch from the XBP1 mRNA, removing a premature stop codon via frameshift mutation. IRE1 also decreases ER load through more promiscuous endonuclease activity (RIDD). Upon release of BiP, ATF6 translocates to the Golgi, where S1 and S2 proteases generate an active transcription factor. PERK kinase phosphorylates eIF2α, resulting in global translational attenuation apart from select mRNAs such as ATF4, ATF4 promotes transcription of the pro-apoptotic transcription factor CHOP and Ero1α oxidoreductase. PERK also leads to nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) nuclear translocation and resulting anti-oxidant responses. The UPR promotes STING activity and STING increases the UPR (right green arrows). This STING-dependent increase in UPR also enhances autophagy of ER components (“ER-phagy”, left side), which can limit ER stress responses. Many questions remain regarding the mechanistic details connecting STING, UPR and ERphagy.
demands and insults that impact protein folding, including increased protein production, misfolding proteins, nutrient deprivation, hypoxia, calcium and oxidative dysregulation, all lead to induction of the UPR. The UPR encompasses three primary signaling arms set in motion by the activation of ER-membrane associated stress sensors, serine/threonine-protein kinase/endoribonuclease inositol-requiring enzyme 1 α (IRE1), Protein Kinase R-like endoplasmic reticulum kinase (PERK) and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6). Very briefly, in the absence of stress, these sensors associate with an ER-protein folding chaperone GRP78 (Immunoglobulin heavy chain binding protein (BiP)). An overabundance of unfolded protein results in the release of these sensors from BiP, thus activating UPR signaling. IRE1 is both a kinase and endonuclease which processes the X-box binding protein 1 (XBPI) transcription factor mRNA, yielding the active transcription factor. XBPI promotes production of ER chaperones, ER associated degradation (ERAD) proteins, and ER expansion. In certain settings, IRE1 also displays non-specific endonuclease function decreasing protein load, a process termed Regulated IRE1-dependent decay (RIDD). IRE1 kinase signals via NF-κB and JNK pathways, stimulating inflammation, autophagy and apoptosis. PERK is a kinase whose activity results in the phosphorylation of eukaryotic initiation factor 2 α (eIF2α). Phosphorylation inhibits global mRNA translation apart from select transcripts with 5’Cap-independent upstream open reading frames such as ATF4. PERK regulates amino acid acquisition, redox status and apoptosis via induction of the C/EBP Homologous Protein (CHOP) transcription factor. ATF6 is a proto-transcription factor that traffics to the Golgi following BiP dissociation. There, Site1 and Site2 proteases cleave ATF6 to an active transcription factor that induces ER chaperones and with XBPI, increases ER capacity. As the UPR accomplishes much of its adaptive program through gene transcription, it is often monitored experimentally by quantitatively UPR target gene expression. Together these pathways re-establish proteostasis (proteome homeostasis) by decreasing protein load, at least temporarily, and enhancing ER function. If ER stress is profound or fails to resolve, these pathways trigger apoptosis.

The UPR intersects with, and activates pro-inflammatory signaling [extensively reviewed elsewhere (153-155)]. Moreover, cells undergoing ER stress respond to PRRs with synergistic cytokine production; thus, the UPR acts as an amplifier for pattern recognition (153, 156, 157). In TLR4-stimulated macrophages, IFN-β was one of the most dramatically enhanced cytokines by ER stress (157). Further, using chemical UPR inducers and oxygen-glucose deprivation, we found that ER stress was sufficient for phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of IRF3 (158). Interestingly, IRF3 activation was only STING-dependent for certain types of ER stress induction, such as oxygen glucose deprivation and treatment with Thapsigargin, which induces ER stress via inhibition of the calcium-regulating sarco/endoplasmic reticulum Ca²⁺-ATPase (SERCA) pump (159). Oxygen glucose deprivation can also increase cytosolic calcium via modulation of SERCA, Ryanodine receptors (RyR) or other receptors (160, 161). Tunicamycin, an UPR-inducing N-linked glycosylation inhibitor resulted in IRF3 phosphorylation through a STING-independent, but ATF6-dependent mechanism (158). Calcium may be key for STING activation by the UPR, but increased cytosolic calcium, introduced with a calcium ionophore, was not sufficient in the absence of ER stress.

The linkage between ER stress and STING activation detected with in vitro pharmacologic manipulation was observed in several disease models: Patrakeev et al. reported that in early alcoholic liver disease, alcohol induced ER stress, which resulted in STING activated IRF3 and IRF3-dependent apoptosis (162). In traumatic brain injury, a PERK inhibitor abrogated STING activation and ameliorated damage (163). In a report from Cui et al, *Mycobacterium bovis* STING activation of TBK1 and IRF3 was dependent upon ER stress (164). In this study, IRF3-BAX-initiated apoptosis required TBK1 activity. We found that during *Brucella abortus* infection, induction of the UPR was critical for STING activation and induction of the STING-dependent type I IFN program genes. However, this study also brought up an interesting, somewhat thorny observation: Induction of the UPR was STING dependent (lower in STING-/- cells), so there is reciprocal regulation. Further, type I IFN enhanced UPR induction (165). This type of reciprocal crosstalk was also described in a report on heart inflammation and fibrosis. Angiotensin II induced STING expression and increased IFN in cardiac myocytes in an ER stress dependent manner. In this study, STING-/- mice exhibited decreased ER stress following aortic banding (166). Moretti et al. described STING activated ER stress and autophagy induction in the setting of *Listeria innocua* infection. *Listeria* c-di-AMP stimulated STING, resulting in upregulation of ER stress markers, inhibition of mTOR and autophagy. In this particular type of autophagy, “ER phagy”, ER membranes that were autophagocytosed included ER markers, ER stress proteins and STING. They observed a yin-yang relationship between ER stress and autophagy: ERphagy reduced ER stress (especially the PERK pathway). Inhibition of autophagy (and increased ER stress) resulted in apoptosis. PERK deletion decreased IFN production and autophagy, suggesting an ER-stress feed forward mechanism (167). Putting these studies together, ER stress can induce STING activity and STING increases ER stress and ER stress-dependent autophagy.

A report by Wu et al. examined the molecular basis for the UPR-STING connection by focusing on a STING gain of function (GOF) mutant associated with SAVI (168). Patients afflicted with SAVI develop early onset vasculitis, rash and interstitial lung disease (169). SAVI is largely IRF3-independent in mice, suggesting non-IFN STING activities are important drivers of the inflammatory disease (170). Wu et al. found increased expression of UPR markers, BiP and CHOP in GOF human T cells, less so in B cells and not in macrophages, MEFs or fibroblasts (UPR was cell type-dependent). In the Jurkat cell line, the STING GOF mutant was not sufficient for UPR induction but synergized with T cell receptor (TCR) stimulated ER stress. In wild type murine T cells, TCR engagement typically induces ER stress, but not apoptosis. In the wild type T cells, the strong STING agonist DMXAA and TCR stimulation, but not DMXAA alone, significantly increased ER stress. Similarly, the GOF STING mutant synergized with TCR signaling to increase
ER stress and IRF3-independent apoptosis. Furthermore, Wu et al. defined a requisite “UPR motif” within STING, in aa322-343, a highly conserved sequence encoding a helix on the exterior of the STING dimer, next to the CDN ligand-binding domain. Residues R331 and R334 were particularly important for UPR function. A deletion around the IRF3 binding site (343–354) abolished IFN, but not UPR or NF-κB outcomes (168).

**STING AND CALCIUM**

In addition to the UPR, a second related aspect of ER function, calcium regulation, has been implicated in STING activation and function. The ER serves as the primary calcium storage within the cell, maintaining a huge gradient across the ER membrane. ER calcium concentrations are estimated at 2mM with free calcium at 500μM, whereas cytoplasmic calcium is in the 100–100 nM range (171, 172). These high concentrations of calcium are critical for optimum function of the ER protein-folding machinery. ER-resident calcium binding proteins with low affinity but high capacity include the chaperones calreticulin, calnexin, BiP, grp94 and Protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) (173). Calreticulin and calnexin work with Erp57, the thiol disulfide isomerase, to form disulfide bonds and promote protein folding (174). Calreticulin and calnexin also direct protein trafficking through the ER and ERAD (175). The BiP ATPase prevents protein aggregation (176). Calsequestrins and Chromogranins further buffer ER calcium. Three families of proteins, SERCA, Inositol triphosphate receptors (IP3R) and RyRs, mediate the enormous ER-cytosol gradient and regulate calcium release. Expression and relative roles of these proteins is cell-dependent. For instance, there are 3 SERCA genes with multiple splice variants, but SERCA2b is most widely expressed, has the highest calcium affinity of the SERCAs and is primarily responsible for maintaining high ER calcium (177). Type 1 IP3R is located throughout the ER but type 3 IP3R localize to the mitochondrial associated membranes (MAMs) and primarily transmit calcium to mitochondria (178). RyRs are expressed most prominently in muscle, but even at lower concentrations, they may exert strong effects, as RyRs release ~20x more calcium into the cytosol than IP3Rs (179). Stromal interaction protein 1 (STIM1) is a transmembrane calcium sensor that senses ER calcium levels through its EF hand and other calcium binding sites (180). When ER calcium is depleted, STIM1 translocates to the plasma membrane where it binds the Calcium release-activated calcium channel protein 1 (Orai1) resulting in capacitative calcium entry, also known as Store operated calcium channel (SOC) entry (181).

STING monomers share 2 Ca2+ binding sites when they form dimers, and a certain amount of cytosolic calcium appears necessary for activation (182). For instance, during dsDNA-stimulated STING activation in macrophages, calcium chelators such as BAPTA and mitochondrial calcium export inhibitors (CGP37157 sodium pump inhibitor) both reduce IRF3 and NF-κB activation (183). W-7, a potent calmodulin inhibitor also reduced STING activation by a pharmacologic STING-stimulating adjuvant (184). Early sensing of HCMV (human cytomegalovirus) and Sendai virus membrane perturbations and ensuing STING activation is calcium dependent (185). Cyclosporin A (the calcineurin inhibitor) decreases mitochondrial calcium release and STING-dependent IFN in macrophages (183). Short-term elevations in cytosolic calcium increase STING activity through the following mechanism: calcium binds and activates Calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II (CAMKII), which then phosphorylates 5’ AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) (186). AMPK represses ULK1, which phosphorylates (and negatively regulates) STING (87). However, saturating levels of cytosolic Ca2+ (as following ionomycin treatment) can also inhibit STING activation, so a happy medium is required by STING for optimal function (187). The importance of the calcium-STING connection has borne out in lupus: dipyridamole (a Ca channel blocker and cGMP phosphodiesterase inhibitor) reduces cytokine production in SLE T cells (188). CAMKIV is overexpressed in lupus nephritis and CAMK deficiency or inhibitors (e.g., KN-93) decrease disease in murine lupus models (189, 190).

Calcium homeostasis is also important for controlling STING location in its basal state and during activation. The ER calcium sensor, STIM1 physically interacts with and inhibits STING activation and translocation to Golgi (191). Exogenously increased STIM1 greatly decreases STING activation and UPR induction. The STIM1-STING inhibition is mutual, in that STING inhibits STIM1 translocation. When STING is absent, STIM1 enriches at the plasma membrane, and mediates increased calcium entry via SOC.

Not only does calcium regulate STING activity and location, but STING, in a reciprocal fashion, may regulate calcium levels. STING associates closely with ER SERCA pumps and mitochondrial calcium transporters VDAC1 and VDAC3 in the MAMs (192). Direct association between STING and IP3Rs increases cytosolic calcium release and drives lethal coagulation during sepsis (193). The STING GOF mutant (chronic STING activity) exhibits decreased ER Ca2+ release and lower influx across the plasma membrane. However, acute T cell receptor signaling and activation of the GOF mutant resulted in increased calcium-dependent ER stress. Exacerbating this effect with the SERCA pump inhibitor Thapsigargin (but not Tunicamycin) synergized with the STING GOF mutation in inducing apoptosis (168). Thus, STING may regulate calcium homeostasis and set thresholds for calcium-mediated signaling and apoptosis. For a summary of STING and calcium reciprocal regulation, see Figure 6.

**THE ER MITOCHONDRIAL CONNECTION: COMMUNICATION VIA ROS AND CALCIUM**

It is evident how mtDNA could stimulate STING via cGAS. However, it is much less apparent how ER stress or calcium mechanistically stimulates STING without an activating ligand. This conundrum brings us to the base of our conceptual tripod (Figure 1): the ER-mitochondria connection. The ER and mitochondria share a close relationship, both anatomically in the MAMs and functionally. Mitochondria host metabolic pathways,
biosynthetic activities, ATP generation, and buffer calcium, generate most of the cellular reactive oxygen species (ROS), and regulate cell death by apoptosis. The ER synthesizes lipids and steroids, regulates calcium, and through oxidative protein folding, generates ROS. We hypothesize that the close connection and functional feedback between these organelles may generate the "missing ligand" in the form of released mitochondrial DNA. Below we will review their interconnections (Figure 7) focusing on two "coins of the realm", calcium (touched on above) and reactive oxygen species (ROS).

Close apposition between ER membranes and mitochondria at the MAMs or MERCs (mitochondria ER contacts) enables phospholipid transfer, calcium movement, and redox control and regulates mitochondrial fusion and fission, inflammasome activation, autophagy, and apoptosis (96, 194). Consider phospholipid synthesis as a prime example of the ER-mitochondrial partnership: ER synthesized phosphatidylserine goes to the mitochondria where it is decarboxylated to phosphatidylethanolamine, which then returns to the ER to be methylated to phosphatidylcholine, the most common lipid in cell membranes (195). During mitochondrial fission, the ER first wraps around mitochondria (196). Constriction of the mitochondria via ER-bound inverted formin 2 (INF2) requires actin polymerization and increased ER-mitochondria calcium transfer (197). Protein folding requires abundant ATP generated by mitochondrial respiration.

Multiple molecular interactions anatomically bridge the two organelles, facilitating the exchange of small molecules and calcium. These interacting partners include mitofusin2 (Mfn2) on the ER and mitofusin1 (Mfn1) on mitochondria, Vesicle associated membrane protein B (VAPB) and Protein tyrosine phosphatase interacting protein 51 (PTPIP51), IP3R3 and VDAC1 (voltage dependent anion channel 1) ((198–200), Figure 7). Mitofusins not only controls ER structure, but also regulate mitophagy and facilitate calcium transfer. VABP and PTPIP51 also facilitate calcium transfer between ER and mitochondria and regulate autophagy (199). On the mitochondrial side, the outer mitochondrial membrane is permeable to calcium through the VDAC channels, but the inner mitochondrial membrane is much less so. However, the steep negative membrane potential generated by respiration can drive the mitochondrial calcium uniporter (MCU) (201). On the external surface, VDACs form pores that allow release of small molecules such as ATP, metabolites, superoxide anions, and cytochrome c. GRP75 stabilizes the bridge between VDACs and IP3Rs (200). Therefore, when the ER releases calcium, particularly through IP3R, the mitochondria are well situated to act as a calcium buffer, maintaining optimally low cytoplasmic calcium. Too much ER calcium release, though and the mitochondria initiate apoptosis. ER stress sensors (more on this below) and protein-folding chaperones also cluster at the MAMs, including BiP, calnexin, calreticulin, ERP44, ERP57, and Sigma 1 receptor (202, 203).

Both ER and mitochondria generate ROS during normal physiologic function and pathologic intracellular stress. ROS also play a critical role in immunity, for instance in NF-κB induction, macrophage phagocytic function and inflammasome activation (204–206). The ER accounts for about 25% of total cellular ROS, primarily produced during protein folding (207). Formation of the inter- and intra-molecular disulfide bonds required for protein structure requires an oxidizing milieu. The Protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) oxidoreductase catalyzes the formation, reduction and isomerization of disulfide bonds. PDI family members ERP57 and ERP72 also form disulfide bonds (208). In order to re-oxidize PDI, electrons are transferred to Endoplasmic Reticulum Oxidoreductase 1 Alpha (ERO1α) via a flavin adenine cofactor, and from there to molecular oxygen, ultimately generating H2O2 (209, 210). During ER stress, ERO1α is one of the prime examples of the ER-mitochondrial partnership.
NOX2, NOX4 and NOX5 also localize to the ER (213, 214). Other ROS thus induces ER stress (212). NADPH family oxidoreductases lead to a hyperoxidizing environment, excessive ROS production and increase folding capacity (211). Too much ERO1 stimulation of PERK (via CHOP) to endoplasmic reticulum (ER) oxidoreductases (217). GSH also reduces disulfide bonds in improperly folded proteins. However, there are relatively low levels of GSH in the ER, predisposing to the oxidizing environment. Ratios of GSH:GSSG are 1:1–3:1 vs. 30–100:1 in the cytosol (218).

Mitochondria generate the lion’s share of ROS in the cell via fatty acid beta-oxidation, respiration (electron transport chain (ETC) Complex I and III, cytochrome b5 reductase) and other metabolic enzymes including monoamine oxidase, a-ketoglutarate dehydrogenase, pyruvate dehydrogenase, flavoprotein ubiquinone oxidoreductase (219). A subset of NOX4 localizes to the mitochondria where it regulates mitochondrial bioenergetics (220). Superoxide anions are the primary ROS produced by mitochondria. Mitochondrial ROS are scavenged by super oxide dismutases (SODs), glutaredoxin, glutathione, thioredoxin, glutathione reductases, peroxidase and peroxiredoxins (215, 216). Negative feedback loops also keep ROS generation in check. For instance, mitochondrial ROS stabilize Hypoxia inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF1α), which decreases the Krebs cycle and electron transport chain (ETC) activity and stimulates mitophagy (221–224). Mitochondrial fission and fusion also exhibit cross-regulation with ROS; for instance, reactive oxygen species modulator 1 (ROMO1) decreases ROS production and maintains structural integrity by enhancing OPAL Mitochondrial Dinamin Like GTPase (OPAL) oligomerization (increasing metabolic function and ROS production). However, excessive ROS inactivates ROMO1, leading to cleavage of OPAL, loss of mitochondrial cristae, mitochondrial fragmentation and decreased respiration (225). As an example of positive feedback, excessive ROS induces translocation of the fission-requiring dynamin protein Drp1, and fission promotes mitochondrial ROS over-production (226, 227).

FIGURE 7 | ER-mitochondria connections at the ER mitochondria-associated membranes (MAMs). Mitochondria are closely associated with ER membranes through multiple sets of molecular bridges, including the mitotins (Mfn) that regulate mitochondrial fission/fusion, the inositol triphosphate receptor (IP3R) calcium channel and non-selective voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC) stabilized by GRP75, and Vesicle APC-Binding Protein (VAPB) and protein tyrosine phosphatase-interacting protein 51 (PTP51), which also regulate calcium flux. ER stress sensors inositol-requiring enzyme 1 (IRE1), PKR-like ER kinase (PERK) and folding chaperones (e.g. GRP78/BiP) congregate at the ER mitochondria-associated membranes (MAMs). STING is also enriched at the MAMs. In the resting state, STING associates with STIM1. ER calcium is primarily regulated by three types of calcium channel: Sarcoplasm/ER calcium ATPase (SERCA), which pumps calcium (Ca2+) into the ER, and the IP3Rs and ryanodine receptors (RyR), which release ER calcium. Mitochondrial respiration and the action of the electron transport chain (ETC) generate ROS. Protein folding is the primary source of ROS generation in the ER. PERK indirectly induces (dashed arrow) Endoplasmic Reticulum Oxido-reductase 1 Alpha (Ero1α) expression, which is one of the primary sources of ER ROS. ROS decrease plasma calcium by inhibiting SERCA and activating IP3R and RyR. ROS also stimulate the translocation of Stromal interaction molecule 1 (STIM1) from ER to plasma membrane, where it interacts with Calcium release activated calcium channel protein 1 (Orai1) to enable store operated calcium entry (SOC). SOC stimulates NADPH oxidase, generating a positive feedback loop. At the mitochondria, too much calcium and ROS stimulate Bak/Bax mediated release of cytochrome c and extrusion of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). The mtDNA stimulates cGAS production of 2′-3′-cGAMP, an activating ligand for STING. Calcium regulating molecules are in green, apoptosis in solid yellow, and UPR-associated molecules as in Figure 5.
“nanodomain” of mitochondria-generated H2O2, which in turn induces a positive feedback increase in calcium release (229). ROS directly regulate the activity of ER calcium channels. For instance, oxidation of RyRs causes calcium leak, further ROS generation and muscle weakness (230). ROS inhibit SERCA by preventing ATP binding, thus depleting ER calcium and increasing cytosolic calcium. ERO1α highly enriches at the MAMs in normal oxidizing conditions (231). ERO1α activity generates H2O2, which oxidizes IP3Rs and results in increased activity and superoxide activates NOX2 (234, 235). As stressed, ERII is a feedback of the situation by stimulating NOX2-dependent ROS production. NOX2 can also stimulate mitochondrial ROS and mitochondrial superoxide activates NOX2 (234, 235). A stressed ER in need of more ATP for folding thus can communicate via ROS and calcium to mitochondria to increase ATP production. However too much cytosolic calcium or excess ROS results in opening of the mitochondrial membrane permeability transition pore, resulting in cytochrome c loss, compromise of ECT function (generating more ROS) and initiation of apoptosis (232). Besides activating ER calcium channels, ROS (hydrogen peroxide) also stimulate translocation of STIM1 and possibly STIM2 to the plasma membrane, increasing cytosolic calcium through SOC entry (236, 237). Here also, there is feed-forward reciprocal regulation: STIM1 and Orai1 calcium channels contribute to ROS generation by NADPH oxidase, and NOX2 drives STIM1-mediated SOC entry (238, 239). Putting these observations together, optimum calcium concentrations and limited release enhances communication between organelles and increases their function (i.e. protein folding and metabolic respiration), but excess ROS production and calcium movement out of the ER into mitochondria or cytosol initiates problematic positive feedback loops that can drive apoptosis.

The UPR further impacts ROS and calcium signaling and is in turn regulated by them. The pharmacologic agent Thapsigargin, a SERCA pump inhibitor, rapidly and potently induces the UPR by depleting ER calcium. Oxidized cholesterol causes inflammatory ER stress in macrophages (240). In skeletal muscle, free fatty acids increase oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction, thus leading to ER stress and autophagy. The Sigma1R, which modulates IP3R activity and calcium flux, decreases ER stress and stabilizes IRE1 oligomerization and generation of pro-survival responses (202, 241). TLR signaling induces IRE1 activation and XBP1 production via NOX2 by an unclear mechanism (156). In the direction of ER stress to calcium/ROS, ER stress increases cytosolic calcium to the point of calcium-dependent mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization and apoptosis. PERK, which is abundant at the MAMs, contributes to ROS-driven mitochondrial stress and apoptosis (242). PERK both increases ROS via ERO1α induction and conversely induces anti-oxidant responses via nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2). PERK directly phosphorylates Nrf2, leading to its dissociation from Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (KEAP1) which prevents Nrf2 nuclear translocation (243). With ATF4, Nrf2 induces SODs, Heme oxygenase-1, glutathione transferase and uncoupling mitochondrial protein 2 (UCP2) (215). PERK regulation of proteostasis (and oxidative ROS-generating protein folding) can also have a large impact on cell capacity to survive ER stress (244).

**EVIDENCE FOR A TRIAD OR GUILT BY ASSOCIATION?**

To this point, we have addressed the various dyads between STING and mitochondria, STING and ER, and mitochondria and ER, but what evidence is there for a three-way interaction? UPR activation has been previously implicated in some of the same settings where mitochondrial damage is now taking the spotlight. Consider the case of STING and the RNA virus Dengue virus. The elaboration of viral mitochondria-targeting proteins and resulting mitochondrial stress and damage was described above. Dengue replicates in ER-derived vesicles and also induces the UPR. Viral induction of PERK and IRE1 signaling pathways increases viral autophagy and replication (245). Similarly, in the case of Cisplatin induced acute kidney injury, Cisplatin has long been known to cause ER stress and UPR activation (246). In these two scenarios, mtDNA-induced STING activation and UPR coexist in the same pathologic setting, but the whether these manifestations are interconnected or occur independently is not yet clear.

More work on the relationship between UPR and mitochondria had been done in cancer (Figure 8). One report suggested ER stress contributes to mitochondrial exhaustion of CD8 T cells (247). In a murine sarcoma model, tumor-infiltrating PD1+ cells had greater levels of mitochondrial ROS. Mitochondrial ROS correlated with mitochondrial dysfunction as evident by lower oxygen consumption rates. PERK inhibition decreased mitochondrial ROS in PD1+ cells. PERK inhibitor and ERO1 inhibitor treated T cells exhibited both higher O2 consumption rates and improved IFN-γ production. IFN-γ, produced by tumor-infiltrating CD8 T cells and NK cells, enhances cytotoxicity and antigen presentation, and exerts direct anti-tumor effects – although in some settings, the cytokine may be pro-tumorigenic (248). Further, PERK deficiency and PERK and ERO1α inhibitor treatment of T cells resulted in higher energy reserve and enhanced anti-tumor activity in vivo. Others have shown constitutive XB1P activation by ROS (lipid peroxidation byproducts more specifically) drives tumor progression by limiting antigen presentation and T cell activation (249). Thus, through IRE1 or PERK, the UPR can have a deleterious effect on cancer containment. However, STING agonists (which can increase ER stress) improve CD8 T cell anti-tumor activity, despite increasing PD1 expression (49). When it comes to T cell regulation, STING may exert competing effects on IFN production vs. ER stress and exhaustion. Thus, in developing therapeutics, the various effects of STING agonists on mitochondria and UPR signaling in CD8 T cells or their interacting DCs will require clarification in specific contexts.

The effects of UPR/PERK mitochondria signaling may also be cell-specific. Myeloid derived suppressor cells (MDSC) have been implicated in tumor progression. These cells show signs of UPR activation correlating with chemoresistance (250). Thapsigargin treatment expanded splenic MDSC and enhanced tumor growth whereas the UPR inhibitor TUDCA had the opposite effect.
Mohamed et al. reported that the PERK pathway was highly activated in MDSC in tumors (251). PERK enhanced MDSC-mediated immunosuppression via Nrf2, preventing oxidative damage, mitochondrial DNA release and DNA sensing via cGAS/STING. Ablating PERK in the myeloid branch delayed tumor growth. In a separate report, CHOP contributed to MDSC activity. However, the PERK effect noted by Mohamed et al. required Nrf2, not CHOP. PERK deficient MDSC exhibited increased cellular ROS, altered mitochondrial morphology, membrane potential, reduced oxygen consumption and release of mtDNA. The mtDNA activated STING and induced type I IFN. Blocking STING or IFNAR restored the immunosuppressive effect of MDSC in the absence of PERK. Thus, in some settings STING agonists hold dramatic promise as anti-tumor agents. However, other reports suggest they may increase metastases and tumor progression. Understanding the potential mechanisms by which ER stress and mitochondrial dysfunction interact and regulate STING is lagging further behind but a ripe area for further study.

**SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVE**

An underlying hypothesis in this review is that ER stress may activate STING in the absence of an obvious ligand via calcium/ROS mediated mitochondrial damage and mtDNA release. To illustrate how this might work based on the previous discussion consider cancer once more: In tumor microenvironments, unregulated cellular proliferation may outstrip the neo-vascular supply of nutrients including oxygen, glucose and amino acids. This lack of nutrients negatively impacts ER function, triggering the UPR. Hypoxia may directly uncouple electron transport and damage mitochondria. However, it is also likely that the disruptions in ER calcium homeostasis, ROS production and stress will lead to mitochondrial damage and release of mtDNA into the cytosol. cGAS would then sense the mtDNA and generate cGAMP, which stimulates STING to produce type I IFN. This scenario raises multiple questions: It may be a logical fallacy to invoke crosstalk between all three corners of the triad; just because A goes to B and B goes to C, doesn’t mean A requires B to get to C. The effect of hypoxia on mitochondria may be sufficient in the absence of ER stress to cause mtDNA release. ER stress may activate STING in some unknown way without the mitochondrial intermediary, for instance by stabilizing STING oligomerization or altering STING trafficking.

The data presented above raise other questions regarding ER stress-mitochondria-STING interactions: It is still unclear why calcium mobilization during ER stress was necessary for Thapsigargin and oxygen-glucose induced STING activation—was it because of a unique calcium-dependent effect on mitochondria and subsequent mitochondrial DNA release or another mechanism? Was the role of the ER stress simply to generate ROS? Another issue is how the UPR could trigger mtDNA release without initiating apoptosis. The UPR triggers multiple pathways converging on mitochondria-dependent intrinsic apoptosis including suppression of anti-apoptotic molecules, induction of pro-apoptotic molecules and JNK

---

**FIGURE 8** | Different outcomes of PERK activation in T cells vs. MDSC and STING input. In T cells, PERK and ERO1α increase ROS production, leading to mitochondrial dysfunction, increased exhaustion and lower IFNg production, rendering these PD1+ T cells less adept at fighting tumors. ROS-stimulated XBP1 also decreases T cell activation. Although STING activation could make matters worse by increasing UPR induction and PDL1 expression, many studies indicate a positive role for STING and type I IFN in pDC-dependent CD8 T cell activation and anti-tumor activities, suggesting a balance of effects. On the other hand, in MDSC, PERK-stimulated Nrf2 activity predominates. Nrf2 prevents mitochondrial ROS and dysfunction. Mitochondrial ROS also leads to dsDNA extrusion and STING activation, which further inhibit MDSC via Type I IFN signaling. MDSC promote tumor progression.
signaling, in addition to the calcium and ROS dysregulation described above (253). The decision between mitochondrial DNA release and apoptosis may simply be a matter of degree of ER stress and relative amount of mitochondrial destruction, but such a threshold model would require further experimental support. There is certainly evidence for a yin-yang balance between apoptosis and mtDNA stimulation of STING in that caspase deficiency increases STING induced IFN (254). Alternatively, in addition to Bax-Bak pores, VDAC pores in oxidatively stressed mitochondria enable mtDNA extrusion, perhaps promoting STING activation short of apoptosis (255).

Let us come back full circle. What is the physiologic need for repurposing PRRs such as STING? One possibility is the context added by DAMPs; inside the cells, sufficient damage from pathogens can trigger PRRs to amplify immune responses. However, endogenous PRR stimulation represents a double-edged sword with its own perils, as manifest by the involvement of STING in heart disease, cancer and autoimmunity. In cancer, STING stimulation by endogenous stressors not only can bolster innate and adaptive anti-tumor immunity but can also undermine anti-tumor defenses. Similarly, STING may drive type I interferonopathies, but STING deficiency exacerbates autoimmunity triggered by other PRRs. STING is particularly well situated to respond to organelle-generated alarm signals resulting from disruptions in calcium homeostasis and critically increased reactive oxygen species. The close apposition of ER and mitochondria and calcium-ROS cross talk between these organelles offers the tantalizing possibility that stress initiated in either organelle could ultimately generate the required ligand for STING and regulate STING activity. It will be interesting to see how elucidation of the underlying mechanisms leading from intracellular stress and damage to STING activation unfolds. Linear sequential pathways are much easier to assess via common tools such as expression modulation or inhibitors, but reciprocal regulation and mutually augmenting feedback loops present much more of a challenge. Despite these issues, it remains important to determine the key intermediaries and interactions within these pathways under different scenarios, because this knowledge will be critical for guiding therapeutic interventions.
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