Modification of Graphene Oxide and Preparation of RuO\textsubscript{2} Nanocomposites

Kyeong-Won Park\textsuperscript{a}

\textsuperscript{a}Department of Chemistry and Faculty of General Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Republic of Korea. E-mail: nano2k@nate.com; Tel: +82-55-755-7880; Fax: +82-55-755-7881

Abstract

Graphene-oxide (G) was prepared by the Hummers’ method. A G-COOH layer was synthesised using chloroacetic acid and G. To fabricate carboxylated graphene-RuO\textsubscript{2} (G-COORu) nano-composites, RuO\textsubscript{2} nano-particles were grown on graphene layers using a one-step thermal method, -COOH(G-COOH), and RuCl\textsubscript{3}. All materials were characterised using X-ray diffraction, transmission electron microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, \textsuperscript{13}C-nuclear magnetic resonance as well as X-ray photoelectron, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy, and Raman. The electrochemical characteristics of the G-COORu supercapacitors were analysed using electrochemical impedance spectroscopy, cyclic voltammetry, constant current charge–discharge tests, and Nyquist impedance plots. The supercapacitors exhibit a specific capacitance of \(~\text{125 F g}^{-1}\) at \(100 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}\) within the potential range of 0–1.0 V. The method used here provides a simple approach for the deposition of RuO\textsubscript{2} nano-particles on graphene layers and can be widened to the fabrication of other classes of hybrids based on G layers for specific technical applications.
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1. Introduction

After graphene was developed from graphite, active research focusing on various scientific and technical applications of graphene, including energy storage materials [1-3], nano-electronics, and optoelectronic devices, has been conducted [4-7]. Graphene layers, which are composed of carbon and have a two-dimensional structure [8,9], are used in electrochemical energy storage applications because of their excellent chemical stability [9], large surface area [10,11], and high electrical conductivity [11,12]. Graphene layers overlap each other to create a network, which accelerates the transfer of electrons between the active material and charge amasser. Therefore, they are excellent candidates for electrode materials in energy storage systems. Redox-active materials are increasingly grown on such conductive graphene layers. Consequently, the capacity increase of energy storage materials has become a major research topic in electrochemistry.

Almost graphene-based nano-composites are arranged by the reduction of graphene oxide (G) and subsequent loading of quasi-capacitive nano-materials. However, the good electrical and surface properties of graphene layers cannot be fully utilised due to the formation of graphene aggregates during the reaction. Therefore, a strategy is required to synthesise nano-composites with good electrochemical properties on the surface of graphene. Accordingly, research on the large-scale fabrication of individual graphene layers has recently attracted great interest [13-15]. In addition, G layers have great potential for future applications due to their very large specific surface areas, oxygen-bearing functional groups (including epoxides, carboxyl groups, hydroxyl, and carbonyl), and high hydrophilicity [13-14].

In a previous study, G layers modified with polyethylene glycol were used as aqueous carriers for water-insoluble drug delivery [16]. The oxygen-bearing functional groups of the G layers were used as sites for the deposition of porphyrins, organic macro-molecules, and metal nano-particles. Consequently, a new route to nano-metre-sized magnetic, catalyst, and
optoelectronic materials with various functionalities has been developed [17-19]. Unique nano-spheres that form on G layers can be applied in many technical fields such as nano-electronics [20], batteries [21], nano-composites [22], sensors [23], and micro-supercapacitors [24].

Several reports have been published on the growth and exfoliation properties of graphene [8]. Metal oxides, such as NiO, MnO, IrO₂, MnO₂, and RuO₂, are known to contribute to the pseudocapacitance and thus can develop the capacitance of carbon-based supercapacitors [25-28]. Ruthenium oxide can be applied as a molecular sieve [29], catalyst [30], battery electrode material [31], and supercapacitor [32-37].

The interest in RuO₂ as a pseudocapacitor electrode material is increasing because of its high theoretical capacitance, environmental friendliness, and wide potential window.

To obtain a larger capacitance from a given amount of RuO₂, the pseudocapacitor must be prepared as a small-sized, hydrated amorphous and porous structure. In addition to a large surface area, it should provide conduction paths that enable protons to access the inner parts of RuO₂. Therefore, scientists have used carbon-based materials, such as carbon nano-tubes (CNT) or G, to improve the performance of RuO₂. In most studies, nano-composites are combinations of RuO₂ and carbon materials [38-40]. In addition, carboxylic acid (-COOH) groups derivatised with Ru, that is, -COORu, have also been reported [41-43].

We previously treated a G sample with Cl-CH₂-COOH (chloroacetic acid) under strong basic conditions to activate epoxide and ester groups and convert hydroxyl groups to -COOH moieties [44,45]. It was anticipated that the carboxylate groups produced under strongly basic conditions would function as binding sites for Ru ions.

In this study, we report a easy one-step solvent thermal method for the synthesis of G-COORu nanocomposites. In this method, G-COOH and RuCl₃ are used as precursors for the formation of well-dispersed G-COORu. The use of G-COORu in a supercapacitor is discussed.
2. Experimental Methods

2.1 Preparation of G

The G samples were prepared according to the modified Hummers’ method [46,47] by reacting commercial graphite powder (Aldrich; 5.5 g) and NaNO₃ (4.0 g) with concentrated H₂SO₄ (380 mL). The mixture was stirred in an ice bath, and KMnO₄ (23.0 g) was slowly added over a duration of 60 min. The stirring in an ice bath was continued for 120 min. Subsequently, the mixture was vigorously stirred for 48 hrs at room temperature and then 700.0 mL of an aqueous H₂SO₄ solution (5 wt.%) was added over a duration of 60 min under stirring, while the temperature was maintained at 97 °C. The resultant mixture was further stirred for 120 min at 97 °C. After the temperature was reduced to 62 °C, 16 mL H₂O₂ (30.0 wt.% aqueous solution) was injected, and the mixture was stirred for 120 min at room temperature. To remove extraneous oxidation products, the resultant mixture was purified by repeating the following procedure 18 times: centrifugation, removal of supernatant liquid, dispersion of solids using vigorous stirring, and ultrasonication for 60 min at 150.0 W. The resultant solids were recovered by centrifugation, washed with ethanol and deionised water until H⁺ was completely removed, and then dried in air at 45 °C.

2.2 Carboxylation of G

For the carboxylation, an aqueous suspension (6.0 mL) of G was diluted by a factor of 2.0 to a concentration of ~2.0 mg/mL and then ultrasonicated for 60 min to obtain a clear solution. Subsequently, NaOH (1.20 g) and Cl-CH₂-COOH (chloroacetic acid; 1.00 g) were injected to the G solution and the mixture was ultrasonicated for 120 min [44,45] to convert the -OH groups into -COOH via the conjugation of acetic acid moieties, thereby producing G-COOH. The resulting G-COOH solution was neutralised and subsequently purified by repeated washing and filtration.
2.3 Preparation of the G-COORu nano-composite

The G-COORu nano-composite was synthesised using a hydrothermal process. The G-COOH (0.50 g) and RuCl₃·xH₂O (1.00 g) were dispersed in deionised water (20.0 mL) and ultrasonicated for 25 min. Subsequently, the mixture was transferred to a teflon-lined autoclave and heated to 182 °C for 600 min. After cooling to room temperature, the resulting grey-black suspension was filtered through a 0.22 μm microporous membrane to separate the G-COORu nanocomposite.

2.4 Characterisation of G, G-COOH, and the G-COORu nanocomposite

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the samples were recorded on a Bruker D8-Advance diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 0.1542 nm), scattering angles (2θ) of 4°–82°, 41 keV, and a cathode current of 20.0 mA. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a JEOL JSM-840A SEM equipped with an energy-dispersive spectrometer (EDS). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were obtained using a JEOL JEM-200 CX TEM operated at 200 kV. Raman spectra of the samples were measured at the sample surface using a confocal Raman microscope system equipped with a 514.5 nm Ar laser module (Jobin Yvon-Horiba LabRAM, Olympus BX 41 microscope). The samples were ultrasonicated in EtOH, and they were applied to a glass slide in the form of drops for the analyses. The samples were analysed using a green laser with a 51× maximum magnification and a red laser with a 101× magnification.

Solid-state $^{13}$C-cross-polarisation magic angle spinning nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy ($^{13}$C-NMR) experiments were performed on a 400 MHz solid-state Bruker Avance II+ spectrometer. Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were recorded using the attenuated total reflectance (ATR) equipment of a Nicolet iS50 FTIR spectrometer. The X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were recorded using a VersaProbe/Ulvac-PHI and Al Kα
radiation (hv = 1486.6 eV). The pressure in the analyser was maintained at ~6.70 × 10⁻⁷ Pa. The XPS data were processed using a DS-300 data system.

2.5 Preparation of working electrodes

The electrodes were prepared by mixing 80 wt% of G-COORu nano-composites, 10 wt% of acetylene black, and 10 wt% of polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) slurry in a tetrahydrofuran (THF) solvent. The mixtures were pressed onto a Ni foam grid and dried at above 75 °C overnight. The prepared working electrode contained ~16.0 mg of the electroactive material and had a geometric surface area of ~1.0 cm².

2.6 Electrochemical study

A typical three-electrode experimental cell, including working electrode, Pt wire counter electrode, and Ag/AgCl reference electrode, was used to measure the electrochemical properties of the working electrode. All electrochemical measurements were conducted in a 1.0 M Na₂SO₄ electrolyte. Galvanostatic charge–discharge, cyclic voltammetry (CV), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were conducted on a VERSA STAT 3 instrument (Princeton Applied Research, USA). Impedance spectroscopy measurements were performed at a direct current (DC) bias of 50.0 mV with a sinusoidal signal of 0.10 mV over the frequency range of 10.0 kHz to 0.10 Hz.

3. Results and Discussion

Graphene oxide was prepared from powder graphite via the modified Hummers’ method [46,47]. The G-COOH was obtained by the reaction of GO with chloroacetic acid. In addition, a G-COORu nanocomposite was prepared from G-COOH and RuCl₃. The surface morphology and structure of the samples were analysed using TEM and SEM, as described in Section 2.

Figure 1 shows the TEM and SEM results for G-COOH, G, and G-COORu. The general structure of G (Fig. 1a) greatly differs from that of G-COOH (Fig. 1b). The jeep-shaped G
thin plates were well exfoliated. The G was exfoliated as single layers such that jeep-shaped G can be observed (Fig. 1a). The single-layer character of G-COOH causes its wrinkled appearance (Fig. 1b). The RuO$_2$ nanoparticles (~2.0 nm) can be observed on the surface of G-COOH (Figs 1c and 1d).

![Fig. 1. SEM images of (a) G, (b) G-COOH, and (c) G-COORu and (d) TEM image of G-COORu.](image)

The chemical composition of the G-COORu sample was analysed using an EDS mounted on a SEM (Fig. 2a). The EDS spectrum shows that the G-COORu sample only consists of Ru, O, and C, thus confirming the chemical purity of the sample. The Ru map shown in Fig. 2b correlates well with the EDS map of Ru in the G-COORu sample shown in the inset (a) of Fig. 2. The Ru map correlates (Fig. 2b) well with the remaining red parts of the G-COORu map.
The crystalline structures of the RuO$_2$ nanoparticle wires on G-COOH were analysed using XRD. The XRD patterns shown in Fig. 3 reveal the (110) diffraction peak of graphite (Fig. 3a) at 26.80°, which implies that the interlayer spacing is 0.340 nm. After exfoliation, the interlayer spacing of G (Fig. 3b; 10.10°, 0.870 nm) was greater than that of graphite due to the introduction of oxygenated functional groups on the graphene layers [48-50]. The XRD pattern of G-COOH (Fig. 3c) exhibits main peaks at 25.04° and 44.31°. The XRD peaks (Fig. 3d) of the G-COORu nanocomposite can be assigned to RuO$_2$ (JCPDS-01-1253). The peaks observed in the pattern of the G-RuO$_2$ nanocomposite correspond to Ru metal (JCPDS-01-1253), indicating that RuCl$_3$ was partially reduced to Ru metal in the composite. This phenomenon is attributed to spontaneous reduction via electron transfer from the graphene surface to RuCl$_3$ during the formation of the material [51]. The (002) and (001) diffraction peaks in the XRD patterns demonstrate the presence of G and graphene. However, these peaks disappear in the spectra of the G-RuO$_2$ nanocomposites, which indicates the disordered stacking of graphene layers in the composite [52]. The attached particles may prevent the
restacking of graphene layers. Therefore, the characteristic diffractions peaks of the layered structure disappear.

![Graph](image)

**Fig. 3.** Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of (a) graphite, (b) graphene oxide (G), (c) G-COOH, and (d) G-COORu.

Raman spectroscopy can be employed to characterise the ordering, bonding, and crystallite size of carbon materials. It is known that the G band (~1582 cm\(^{-1}\)) is due to the in-plane phonon modes of graphene, which indicate sp\(^2\) bonding. Moreover, the D band at ~1352 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to the disorder in the graphene layers (sp\(^3\) bonding) [53]. Figure 4 shows the Raman spectra of graphite, G, G-COOH, and G-COORu. The peaks observed at ~1591 cm\(^{-1}\) and ~1350 correspond to the G and D bands, respectively. The Raman spectrum of graphite (Fig. 4a) shows the in-phase vibration of the graphite lattice at 1592 cm\(^{-1}\) (G band) and a weak D band at 1352 cm\(^{-1}\) [53,54], which indicate a well-ordered structure. The G band in the Raman spectrum of G (Fig. 4b) is broader and shifted to a higher frequency (1592 cm\(^{-1}\)) due to the
presence of isolated double bonds that resonate at higher frequencies compared with graphite band [55]. In addition, the D band at 1338 cm\(^{-1}\) becomes prominent, indicating smaller in-plane sp\(^2\) domains (G band) caused by extensive oxidation during exfoliation. The Raman spectra of G-COOH and G-COORu also exhibit G and D bands (Figs 4c and d). Notably, the increase in the G/D intensity ratios of G-COOH and G-COORu compared with the intensity of G indicates a decrease in the sizes of the in-plane sp\(^2\) domains and a simultaneous increase in areas with disordered structures upon reduction [56].

![Raman spectra](image)

**Fig. 4.** Raman spectra of (a) graphite, (b) graphene oxide (G), (c) G-COOH, and (d) G-COORu.

The \(^{13}\)C NMR spectrum of G (Fig. 5a) confirms the presence of abundant epoxide and hydroxyl groups [57], which align perpendicular to the carbon atoms in the basal plane. The amount of carboxyl groups at the edges of the basal plane is too small for \(^{13}\)C NMR detection, which agrees with previous studies [57] on G prepared by the Hummers’ method [46]. However, after the reaction with RuCl\(_3\)-xH\(_2\)O, the amount of epoxide and hydroxyl groups in
the exfoliated G is significantly reduced (Fig. 5b). As shown in Fig. 5c, -COOH groups and Ru ions are present in combination with the generated -COORu, but the -COOH groups disappeared. In addition, many sp² carbon atoms were introduced, as demonstrated by the increased peak height in the 91–151 ppm range, which indicates the formation of graphene-based materials.

![Graphene NMR Spectra](image)

**Fig. 5.** $^{13}$C-NMR spectra of (a) graphene oxide (G), (b) G-COOH, and (c) G-COORu.

The FTIR spectra were obtained to characterise the oxygen-bearing functional groups of G after the reduction. Figure 6a shows the characteristic bands in the FTIR spectra of G: a broad band ranging from 3601 to 3251 cm⁻¹, which indicates the presence of -OH groups; stretching vibrations corresponding to the C=O bonds of carboxylic groups at 1713 cm⁻¹; stretching vibrations corresponding to the C-O bonds of ether groups at 1056 cm⁻¹; an in-plane -OH bending mode observed at 1348 cm⁻¹, and a C=C aromatic ring stretching peak at 1571 cm⁻¹ [58]. The FTIR spectra confirm that G contains carbonyl, hydroxyl, epoxy, and carboxylic groups. The spectra of the G-COORu nanocomposite obtained by the reaction of G with
chloroacetic acid are shown in Figs. 6b and c. The intensities of the characteristic vibration bands due to the νC=O, νC-O-C, and νC-OH vibrations dramatically decrease, indicating the efficient reduction of G. New peaks at 871 and 658 cm^{-1} can be observed in Fig. 6c, which are characteristics of the Ru-O and O-Ru-O stretching vibrations of RuO_{2} [59].

![FTIR spectra](image)

**Fig. 6.** Fourier-transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of (a) graphene oxide (G), (b) G-COOH, and (c) G-COORu.

The chemical states of G-COORu were investigated by XPS. As shown in Fig. 7a, the XPS results demonstrate the presence of the elements O, C, and Ru. Figure 7b shows that the O 1s spectrum can be divided into four parts: peaks of Ru–O–Ru at 529.7 eV and Ru–O–H at 530.8 eV, which can be ascribed to RuO_{2} [60,61]; deconvoluted peaks at 284.7, 285.8, 288, and 288.8 eV in the C 1s/Ru 3d spectrum (Fig. 7c), which can be attributed to C–C, C–O, C=O, and O–C=O, respectively [62,63]; peaks of Ru 3d_{5/2} at 281.1 eV and Ru 3d_{3/2} at 285.2 eV, which represent the doublet due to the spin-orbit coupling at a fixed distance of 4.170 eV; and the peak position of Ru 3d_{5/2}, which demonstrates the existence of Ru^{4+} and can be as assigned
to RuO$_2$ [64]. Furthermore, two satellite peaks are located at 281.7 and 286.1 eV. They have a shake-up feature and are caused by the final state effect [61,65].

The two peaks at 462.35 and 484.8 eV in Fig. 7d correspond to Ru 3p$_{3/2}$ and Ru 3p$_{1/2}$ [66–68], respectively. The Ru 3p$_{3/2}$ peak can be deconvoluted into two components, which correspond to RuO$_2$ (462.3 eV) and RuOH (465.3 eV) [69].

![Graphene oxide (G)-COORu, XPS spectra](image)

**Fig. 7.** X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) of (a) graphene oxide (G)-COORu, (b) O 1s, (c) C 1s/Ru 3d, and (d) Ru 3d.

The performance of a supercapacitor device using graphene-based materials can be analysed using galvanostatic charge–discharge, CV, and EIS analyses. The EIS analysis is one of the main methods that is used to examine the fundamental behaviour of electrode materials for supercapacitors [70]. The specific capacitance of a material is calculated from the slope of its charge–discharge curves [71]. The EIS data are analysed using Nyquist plots. Each data point in the Nyquist plot corresponds to a different frequency [71]. The CV is considered to be a
suitable tool to estimate the difference between non-faradic and faradic reactions. Figure 8 shows the CV curves obtained for the G-COOH (Fig. 8a) and G-COORu (Fig. 9b) electrodes using a three-electrode cell at a voltage scan rate of 10 mV/s in an 1 M Na$_2$SO$_4$ electrolyte, with a Pt wire as auxiliary electrode and Ag/AgCl as reference electrode. The CV curve obtained for G-COORu has a rectangular shape within a potential window of -0.20 to 0.80 V (vs. Ag/AgCl), which indicates double-layer capacitance characteristics. However, when the potential increases to ~0.80 V, hydrogen evolution becomes increasingly apparent.

![Cyclic voltammetry curves](image)

**Fig. 8.** Cyclic voltammetry (CV) curves of (a) graphene oxide (G)-COOH and (b) G-COORu.

Galvanostatic cycling of supercapacitor electrodes was performed at a constant current density of 100 mA g$^{-1}$. Figure 9 shows that the charge–discharge curves are linear over the whole potential range and have constant slopes, indicating nearly perfect capacitive behaviour [71,72]. The specific capacitance was evaluated using the slope of the charge–discharge curve, according to the equation $C = I\Delta t/(m\Delta V)$, where $I$ is the applied current and $m$ (16.0 mg, not including the mass of PEFE) is the mass of each electrode [71,72]. The maximum specific capacitance is ~125 F g$^{-1}$, and the maximum storage energy was calculated to be 62.5 Wh/kg.
according to the relation \( CV_{i2}/2 \) [71,73], where \( C \) is the specific capacitance (125 F g\(^{-1}\)) and \( V_i \) is the initial voltage (0.0 V).

**Fig. 9.** Galvanostatic charge–discharge curves of graphene oxide (G)-COORu at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\).

The charge–discharge curves of G-COORu at different specific currents (80, 100, 120, and 150 mA g\(^{-1}\)) within the potential window of 0.0 to 1.0 V are shown in Fig. 10. Even at a high specific current of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\), a specific capacitance of \( \sim 126 \) F g\(^{-1}\) was achieved, implying that the ratio of G-COOH (1) to RuO\(_2\) (2) of the composite has a relatively good rate capability at any specific current. Note that it is very important for the electrode materials of a supercapacitor to provide high power densities.
Fig. 10. Galvanostatic charge–discharge curves of graphene oxide (G)-COORu at 80, 100, 130, and 150 mA g⁻¹.

A long cycling life is an important requirement for supercapacitor electrodes [74,71]. A cycling life test (>501 cycles) was conducted on the ternary composite electrode. Figure 11 demonstrates very stable charge–discharge cycles and shows that the decay of the specific capacity of the composite electrodes after 501 cycles is less than 5.0%. The results of the charge–discharge cycle testing of the ternary composite film suggest that the synergetic interaction between G-COORu and PTFE significantly improves the electrical properties and mechanical stability of the electrode.
Fig. 11. Results of the galvanostatic charge–discharge 501-cycle test for (G)-COORu at 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) (100% = ~125 F g\(^{-1}\)).

A typical Nyquist impedance plot for this electrode is presented in Fig. 12. This plot was recorded at an alternating current (AC) voltage amplitude of 50.0 mV, frequency ranging from 0.10 Hz to 10.0 kHz, and initial potential of 0.10 V. The plot shows one depressed semicircle with a larger diameter at high frequency, which is due to the high charge transfer resistance. This semicircular loop at high frequency is too small to be identified and the slope of the impedance plot at low frequency increases and becomes purely capacitive [75,76]. The 45°-slope portion of the Nyquist plot can be attributed to the Warburg resistance, which results from the frequency dependence of the ion diffusion and transport in the electrolyte. The large Warburg region of these electrodes indicates a large variation in the ion diffusion path lengths and increased obstruction of the ion movement.
4. Conclusions

In summary, we used a hydrothermal procedure to prepare G-COORu nanocomposites, identify the properties and morphology of the materials, and investigate their electrochemical properties. The G-COORu nanocomposites exhibited high specific capacitances of 100 mA g\(^{-1}\) to 126 F g\(^{-1}\) in the potential range of 0–1 V. More research and optimisation are needed to achieve an improved performance of the nanocomposites. However, based on the present results, we strongly believe that graphene-based materials are likely to be used in high-efficiency supercapacitors.
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