Semiconductor microcavities in the strong coupling regime offer an ideal testbed for harnessing light–matter interactions at nanoscale size. In addition, they appear to be highly suitable systems for realization of a new generation of optoelectronic devices of unprecedented efficiency operating on new physical principles such as, e.g., polariton lasing which requires no population inversion. However, the strength of light–matter coupling in GaAs–based microcavities, normally quantified in units of Rabi energy, is limited by the number of quantum wells (QWs) that can be integrated at the antinodes of the electric field inside the microcavity. Conventional approaches to enhance Rabi splitting required for high temperature operation of these devices include increasing cavity thickness to incorporate larger number of QWs at the expense of growing mode volume. Additionally, to compensate for the undesired penetration of the electric field into the mirrors, insertion of extra QWs in the first periods of the Bragg reflector where electric field retains its strength, has also been implemented.

In recent years the Bragg polariton concept has attracted increasing attention, as a new tool for tailoring light–matter coupling. Several recent reports explore the possibility of creating Bragg polaritons by incorporation of excitonic resonances periodically throughout a photonic crystal. Both confined excitons inside a quantum well as well as large oscillator strength bulk excitons in materials such as ZnO have been considered. However, the relatively small refractive index contrasts of the considered structures impose limitations on the width of the PBG, hindering clear separation of Bragg polariton branches. Similarly, exciton-Bragg mode hybridization has also been reported in a CdTe microcavity with exciton coupling occurring to both cavity and PBG leakage modes owing to the very large exciton binding energies of CdTe systems.

In this Letter we use a periodic Bragg structure referred to as unfolded microcavity with well defined PBG and sharp Bragg resonances, to experimentally demonstrate strong coherent coupling between Bragg photons and InGaAs QW excitons. The new eigenmodes of the system exhibit characteristic anticrossing behavior and are an admixture of excitons and Bragg photons. The corresponding Bragg polariton branches are shown to be in excellent agreement with the theoretical models.

Our structure is an optimized unfolded microcavity in which QWs are incorporated into a Bragg mirror stack. The sample shown in Figure 1(c) consists of a stack of quarter wavelength thick alternating high refractive index (n_{GaAs} = 3.5) GaAs layer and of a smaller effective refractive index (n_{eff} ~ 3.2) pseudo-layer AlAs/GaAs/GaAs/AlAs whose effective optical thickness is λ/4. The 10nm wide InGa1.00.9As QWs are placed symmetrically inside the pseudo-layer at the antinodes of the electric field as shown in Figure 1(a). The sample is mounted inside a He cryostat and the temperature is maintained at 32K.

In Figure 1(b) reflectivity spectra of the pseudo–Bragg stack without excitonic absorption calculated using standard transfer matrix technique are presented showing 81meV (50nm) wide PBG centered at 1.418eV (875nm). The maximum reflectivity at the center of the PBG for a 30–period stack sample exceeds 99%. Clear indication of the existence of the Bragg polariton mode is seen in Figure 1(b) (solid red line) when “switching on” the excitonic absorption in the transfer matrix model, which results in a sharp mode inside the otherwise forbidden PBG. We experimentally probe Bragg polariton branches using white light reflectivity measurements. Tuning of the Bragg mode, located at the high energy side of the PBG through the excitonic resonance is achieved by scanning the reflectivity spot across the sample at normal incidence and exploiting the built–in wedge, intentionally introduced during the sample growth.

In Figure 2(a) a series of reflectivity spectra taken at different positions across the sample in the order of increasing layer thickness is presented. Increasing layer thickness results in the shifting of the whole PBG to lower energies with the corresponding tuning of the Bragg resonance. It is convenient to define as detuning ∆, the difference in energy between the edge of the PBG and the exciton resonance. As seen in Figure 2(a), for positive detuning conditions a sharp exciton–like resonance is observed within the PBG while the Bragg mode
appears on the high energy side of the PBG. With decreasing detuning the Bragg mode is continuously tuned across the excitonic resonance exhibiting a characteristic anticrossing behavior at zero detuning until it reappears on the other side of the excitonic resonance. Such anticrossing behavior with a Rabi splitting of 9.3 meV is a direct manifestation of the strong coupling regime which gives rise to the new eigenmodes of the system, namely Bragg polaritons, part light part matter quasiparticles. Persistence of strong coupling regime in this sample up to a temperature of 100K has been experimentally verified.

Notably, in addition to the two anticrossing branches, a third dispersionless feature is also observed between them. In order to shed light on the origin of this dispersionless branch, we perform transfer matrix calculations of the normal incidence reflectivity spectra with increasing layer thickness. In order to confirm this hypothesis we developed a coupled harmonic oscillator model to fit our Bragg polariton branches vs detuning. The good agreement is clearly confirmed when extracting both experimental (black solid line) and calculated (solid line) reflectivity dip positions for clear anticrossing behavior with Rabi splitting of 9.3meV. (b) Modeled reflectivity spectra (c) Extracted Bragg polariton branches vs detuning. Experimental reflectivity dip positions (black circles), transfer matrix (solid line) and coupled harmonic oscillator model (thick gray line). Dashed lines indicate the position of uncoupled exciton and Bragg modes. Calculated Bragg polariton linewidths are plotted in gray assuming exciton, photon decay rates of uncoupled exciton and Bragg modes. Calculated Bragg polariton linewidths are plotted in gray assuming exciton, photon decay rates 1.5meV, 8meV respectively.

We note that our 30–period Bragg structure can be approximated by an infinite periodic medium characterized by an effective refractive index \( n_{\text{eff}} \), in which both photonic and excitonic states are represented using Bloch waves. We denote the bare uncoupled photonic modes propagating in positive and negative directions with \( |C_+\rangle \) and \( |C_-\rangle \), respectively, and the corresponding excitonic modes with \( |X_+\rangle \) and \( |X_-\rangle \). Thus, the Hamiltonian of the system can be written in the form:

\[
\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
\omega_+ & \Omega_B & \Omega_R & 0 \\
\Omega_B & \omega_0 - \Omega_0 & \Omega_R & 0 \\
\Omega_R & 0 & \omega_\chi & 0 \\
0 & \Omega_R & 0 & \omega_\chi
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(1)

where, \( \omega_\chi \) is the resonance frequency of two degenerate exciton modes \( |X_+\rangle \) and \( |X_-\rangle \); \( \omega_\chi \) is the Bragg frequency corresponding to the center of PBG; \( \Omega_B \) is the half–width of PBG and \( \Omega_R \) is the vacuum Rabi splitting measuring the strength of the exciton–photonic interaction in the structure. It is worth noticing that the two exciton modes are degenerate because excitons in different QWs are well separated and do not interact directly with each other. As shown in Figure 3, the energies of the uncoupled photonic modes \( |C_+\rangle \) and \( |C_-\rangle \)
can be expressed in terms of the Bloch wavevector $K$ as $\omega_+ = Kc/n_{\text{eff}}$ and $\omega_- = 2\pi c/(n_{\text{eff}} D) - Kc/n_{\text{eff}}$, where $D$ is the period of the structure. The value of the Bragg frequency is given by $\omega_B = \pi c/(n_{\text{eff}} D)$, the parameter which is varied during the experiment by varying the period of the structure. The Hamiltonian (1) can be simplified if instead of the bare photonic and excitonic basis states, we use the basis of upper and lower photonic Bloch modes $|B_U\rangle$ and $|B_L\rangle$ - obtained by direct diagonalization of the photonic part – and symmetric and antisymmetric combination of excitonic Bloch states $|X_S\rangle$ and $|X_A\rangle$. Expressed in the new basis, the Hamiltonian (1) has a simpler form

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
\omega_U & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \Omega_R \\
0 & \omega_L & \sqrt{2} \Omega_R & 0 \\
0 & \sqrt{2} \Omega_R & \omega_X & 0 \\
\sqrt{2} \Omega_R & 0 & 0 & \omega_X
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_U$ and $\omega_L$ represent the frequencies of the upper and lower photonic Bloch modes.

While in an infinite Bragg reflector the Bloch wavevector $K$ has a continuous spectrum, in our finite size system, $\omega_U$ and $\omega_L$ are complex due to radiation losses and take discrete values. These define the eigenmodes of the finite Bragg reflector with frequencies $\omega_U = \tilde{\omega}_U - i\gamma_U$ and $\omega_L = \tilde{\omega}_L - i\gamma_L$ on the upper and lower sides of the PBG, corresponding to minima in the reflection spectrum outside the PBG [18] (see Figure 3). Here $\gamma_U, \gamma_L$ denote respective radiative decay rates which decrease with increasing number of periods. Notably, modes with different $\omega_U$, are well separated and orthogonal to each other and we thus consider interaction of the exciton with only the first Bragg mode. The exciton eigenfrequency is also complex: $\omega_x = \tilde{\omega}_x - i\gamma_x$ with $\gamma_x$ being the inverse of the exciton lifetime. For the conditions of our experiments, when the exciton frequency $\omega_x$ is in the vicinity of the upper photonic Bloch mode $|B_U\rangle$, assuming $\Omega_R << \Omega_B$, the interaction between the exciton states $|X_S\rangle, |X_A\rangle$, and the lower photonic state $|B_L\rangle$ can be neglected. Recalling the definition of detuning $\Delta$ as the difference between the upper edge of the PBG and the exciton resonance frequency, $\Delta = \tilde{\omega}_U - \tilde{\omega}_x$, the Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
\tilde{\omega}_x + \Delta & -i\gamma_U & 0 & \sqrt{2} \Omega_R \\
0 & \tilde{\omega}_x - i\gamma_x & 0 & \sqrt{2} \Omega_R \\
0 & \sqrt{2} \Omega_R & \tilde{\omega}_x - i\gamma_x & 0 \\
\sqrt{2} \Omega_R & 0 & 0 & \tilde{\omega}_x - i\gamma_x
\end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

and has three eigenfrequencies:

$$\omega_1 = \tilde{\omega}_x - i\gamma_x$$

$$\omega_{2,3} = \tilde{\omega}_x + \frac{1}{2}(\Delta - i\gamma_+ \pm \sqrt{\Delta^2 + 8\Omega_R^2 - \gamma_+^2 - 2i\Delta \gamma_-})$$

(5)

corresponding to the triplet of branches observed in the experiments. Here, $\gamma_+ = \gamma_U \pm \gamma_x$. Therefore, the three modes result from the coupling of one photonic mode to two excitonic ones. In Figure 2(c) the three branches predicted from the coupled oscillator model are plotted as a function of the detuning (grey solid lines), showing excellent agreement with the experimental data (black circles). Remarkably, the real part of the middle branch eigenfrequency $\omega_1$ does not vary with detuning $\Delta$ since the asymmetric photonic Bloch mode does not interact with the symmetric exciton Bloch mode $|X_S\rangle$ [16]. This can be directly envisioned when plotting in Figure 3(b) the squared electric field at the QW sites calculated for the first and second Bragg modes in the absence of the excitonic absorption. As expected, the electric field envelope corresponds to a Bloch wave exhibiting half a cycle within the structure. Furthermore, it is seen that only a fraction of the structure’s 30 QWs contribute towards strong coupling, namely the ones positioned in the high field region, whereas the rest in the low field region remain uncoupled. This picture can differ substantially in structures with narrow PBG where exciton coupling to both photonic Bloch modes on either side of the PBG can occur. Such coupling mixes all four modes and introduces dispersion to the middle branch [13]. However, in the latter case the individual modes become less pronounced as opposed to the sharp modes of this study.

The investigated Bragg structure presents several important advantages over the conventional strongly coupled microcavity system: a) it ensures maximal overlap between the exciton wavefunction and the light mode as opposed to microcavity in which electric field penetration into the mirrors reduces Rabi
FIG. 4: (Color online) Bragg polariton amplification for pump probe configuration shown in (a). Probe reflectivity spectra at normal incidence (purple). 50mW pump pulse is resonant with the lower polariton branch at 20° (green). Strong amplification of the 230µW probe pulse is observed when the pump beam is switched on (red line). (b) Contour plot on probe reflectivity spectra at different time delays showing dispersive gain peaks (c) Gain vs time delay showing ultrafast nature of the amplification process.

To confirm the potential of Bragg polaritons we explore their nonlinear properties in angle-resonant polariton parametric amplification geometry exploiting their microcavity-like dispersion relations [Fig. 4(a)]. We use spectrally filtered 3.5meV bandwidth 1ps pump pulses to resonantly excite lower polariton branch at the “magic” angle. Broadband 150fs probe pulses are incident normal to the sample and their time-dependent reflectivity changes are recorded. In [Fig. 4(a)] probe reflectivity spectra in the absence of the pump is presented, clearly showing polariton dips at all three branches (purple line). With the pump on the reflected probe spectrum at zero time delay changes dramatically: a strong peak appears, blue shifted by 3.5meV with respect to the bare lower polariton reflectivity dip, and yields a peak gain of 6.4 [Fig. 4(c)]. While this blue shift is larger than that generally observed in III-V microcavities, it remains smaller than half the Rabi splitting. The temporal dynamics of the normalized probe spectra [Fig.4(b)] show an ultrafast (1.8ps) response for the main gain peak [Fig.4(c)], similar to parametric amplification in conventional microcavities. The well resolved spectral fringes on the side of the main gain peak seen in Fig.4(b) are ascribed to typical feature arising from the energy-shifting resonance in time integrated spectra at fixed pump-probe time delay (see [20]). Finally, compared to the case of strongly coupled planar microcavity, the gain peak is broader (2.5meV) due to broader polariton resonances and shorter polariton lifetimes.

In conclusion, we show that our unfolded microcavity structure offers a novel laboratory for the exploration of the strong coupling regime. Tuning of the characteristic mode of a Bragg structure through the excitonic resonance produces strong coupling with the appearance of mixed Bragg polariton states. Interpreting the eigenmodes of the structure in terms of the normal modes of a coupled harmonic oscillator system closely reproduces the Bragg polariton branches. Our results suggest that Bragg polaritons owing to their excitonic content can exhibit large nonlinearities. Furthermore their polariton-like dispersion offers new opportunities for the study of nonlinear phenomena such as stimulated scattering, amplification and Bragg polariton lasing.
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