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In this paper, we consider the two-dimensional compressible magnetohydrodynamic system with Coulomb force. We apply the method of relative entropy to establish the weak-strong uniqueness property of this system.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the compressible magnetohydrodynamic equations with Coulomb force as follows:

\[ \partial_t \rho + \text{div} (\rho u) = 0, \quad (1) \]

\[ \partial_t (\rho u) + \text{div} (\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla \left( \frac{p + \frac{1}{2} |M|^2}{\rho} \right) - \mu \Delta u = 0, \quad (2) \]

\[ \partial_t M + \text{div} (u \otimes M) - \text{div} (M \otimes u) - \nu \Delta M = 0, \quad (3) \]

\[ \Delta \Phi = \rho - \bar{\rho}. \quad (4) \]

Here, \( \rho, u, M, \Phi \) stand for the electron density, electron velocity, magnetic field and electrostatic potential, respectively. \( \bar{\rho} > 0 \) denotes the constant background ion density. \( p = \rho'(\rho) = a \rho^\gamma \) is the pressure function with the constant \( a > 0 \) and the adiabatic exponent \( \gamma > 1 \). The constants \( \mu, \lambda \) are the viscosity coefficients satisfying \( \mu > 0 \) and \( \lambda + 2\mu N \geq 0 \) where \( N \) is dimension. The constant \( \nu > 0 \) is the magnetic diffusivity of the fluid. Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \) be a bounded smooth domain. This system can be applied to describe the dynamics of a charge transport where the compressible electron fluid interacts with its own electric field against a charged ion background together under the influence of the magnetic field. Usually, we denominate the equation (1) as the continuity equation, the equation (2) as the momentum balance equation and the equation (3) as the induction equation. The motion of such fluids is driven by two dominating body forces, namely, the Coulomb force (i.e. the electric field force) and the Lorentz force imposed on the fluid by the magnetic field. This is the reason why the physical phenomena of such fluids is more complicated.

In this paper, we consider the initial-boundary conditions of MHD system (1)–(4) as following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\rho(0, x) &= \rho_0(x) \in L^1(\Omega), \rho_0(x) \geq 0; \int_{\Omega} \rho_0 - \bar{\rho} dx = 0; \\
\rho(0, x)u(0, x) &= (\rho_0 u_0)(x), \rho_0|u_0|^2 \in L^1(\Omega); \\
M(0, x) &= M_0(x) \in L^2(\Omega), \text{div} M_0 = 0 \text{ in } \partial' (\Omega); \\
u|_{\partial \Omega} &= 0, M|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \nabla \Phi \cdot n|_{\partial \Omega} = 0.
\end{align*}
\]

(5)

where \( n \) denotes the outer normal vector of \( \partial \Omega \).

When there are no electromagnetic effect and no Coulomb force, the system (1)–(5) reduces to the compressible Navier-Stokes system. Many papers are devoted to the study...
of Navier-Stokes equations (see [1–5] and the references cited therein). These papers are focused on the existence of classical solution or weak solution and large time asymptotic behavior of solution et al. The weak-strong uniqueness of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations is an important aspect in mathematical theory research of Navier-Stokes equations. The relative entropy method is an important method to research weak-strong uniqueness property of compressible Navier-Stokes equations. With the assistance of the concept of relative entropy, Germain [6] introduced a class of weak solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations satisfying the relative entropy inequality with respect to a strong solution emanating from the same initial condition, and established the weak-strong uniqueness of this weak solution. Unfortunately, the existence of this class of weak solution is not known. Feireisl et al. [7] established the global existence of suitable weak solutions for any finite-energy initial data to compressible Navier-Stokes equations and proved the weak-strong uniqueness property within this class of suitable weak solutions by relative entropy inequality. By means of the relative entropy method, Feireisl et al. not only proved the weak-strong uniqueness of finite-energy weak solution to compressible Navier-Stokes equations with monotone pressure in [8], but also proved the weak-strong uniqueness property for compressible Navier-Stokes equations with non-monotone pressure in [9]. When the pressure function satisfies a hard sphere law, the weak-strong uniqueness of Navier-Stokes equations is established by Feireisl et al. in [10] and Chaudhur in [11] applying the method of relative entropy.

When there is no electromagnetic effect, the MHD system (1)–(5) reduces to the compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson system. Many researchers are interested to study the compressible NSP system (see [12–17] and the references cited therein). These papers are focused on the existence and asymptotic behavior of solution et al. For the weak-strong uniqueness of the compressible Navier-Stokes-Poisson system, we have discussed in [18].

Many researchers are interested in studying MHD system because of its physical importance, mathematical complexity and extensive applications (see [19–23] and references cited therein). There are also many references about the system (1)–(4) (see [19, 24–26]). In [24], Tan and Wang consider global existence and large time behavior of weak solution to the system (1)–(4). The existence of the finite-energy weak solution to the problem (1)–(5) is established by Feireisl et al. in [19] with $y \geq 4/3$ and Jiang et al. in [25] with $(9 + \sqrt{33})/12 < y \leq 4/3$. Although the existence of weak solution has been established, the uniqueness problem is still an open problem. So far, there are very few results concerning the uniqueness of weak solutions to the initial-boundary value problem (1)–(5). Only when the dimension is three and the Coulomb force $\rho \nabla \Phi$ is not taken into account in the system (1)–(5), the weak-strong uniqueness of the finite-energy weak solution to the system (1)–(5) is established by Yang et al. in [26]. Then, our goal of this paper is to consider the uniqueness property of weak solution to two-dimensional MHD system with Coulomb force. In spirit of Feireisl [8], we will establish the uniqueness property of weak solution to the system (1)–(5) by using of the method of relative entropy. Compared with [8], the main difficulty is to deal with the items about magnetic field and electrostatic potential.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definition of finite-energy weak solution for the compressible MHD equations (1)–(5) and state the main results. In Section 3, we derive the relative entropy inequality to the system (1)–(5). In last section, we prove the weak-strong uniqueness property of the compressible MHD equations with Coulomb force (1)–(5).

2. Main Results

In this section, we define the finite-energy weak solution to the initial-boundary value problem (1)–(5) and state the main results.

Definition 1. $(\rho, u, \Phi, M)$ is called as a finite-energy weak solution of (1)–(5), if

(i) $(\rho, u, \Phi, M)$ belongs to the following class

\begin{align*}
\rho &\geq 0, \rho \in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{1}(\Omega)), u \in L^{2}(0, T; H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)), \\
\phi &\in L^{\infty}(0, T; H^{1}(\Omega)), \\
M &\in L^{\infty}(0, T; L^{2}(\Omega)) \cap L^{2}(0, T; H^{1}_{0}(\Omega)), \\
\text{div } M(t) &\equiv 0 \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0, T).
\end{align*}

(ii) $E(t) \in L^{1}_{\text{loc}}(0, T)$ satisfies the energy inequality

\begin{equation}
\frac{d}{dt} E(t) + \int_{\Omega} \left( \mu \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + (\lambda + \mu) |\nabla u|^{2} + \nu |\nabla M|^{2} \right) (t, \cdot) dx \leq 0
\end{equation}

in $\mathcal{D}'(0, T)$, where the total energy $E(t)$ is defined as

\begin{equation}
E(t) = \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^{2} + \frac{a}{\gamma - 1} \rho^{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} |M|^{2} + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Phi|^{2} \right) (t, \cdot) dx.
\end{equation}

(iii) For any $t \in (0, T)$, the pair $(\rho, \Phi)$ satisfies the following Poisson equation

\begin{equation}
\Delta \Phi = \rho - \bar{\rho} \text{ in } \Omega, \nabla \phi \cdot n|_{\partial \Omega} = 0, \int_{\Omega} \phi dx = 0.
\end{equation}

(iv) Equation (1) holds in $\mathcal{D}'([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^{2})$, i.e

\begin{equation}
\int_{\Omega} \int_{0}^{T} \rho(\tau, \cdot) \phi(\tau, \cdot) dx dt - \int_{\Omega} \rho_{0} \phi(0, \cdot) dx \\
= \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \rho u \phi + \rho \phi \cdot \nabla \phi dx dt.
\end{equation}
for any \( \phi \in C_0^\infty([0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2) \) and \( \tau \in (0, T) \). Moreover, \((\rho, u)\) satisfies the renormalized equation

\[
\int_\Omega (b(\rho)\phi)(\tau, \cdot )dx - \int_\Omega b(\rho_0)\phi(0, \cdot )dx = \int_\Omega b(\rho)\phi_u + (b(\rho)u \cdot \nabla \phi)
\]

\[
+ \phi(b(\rho) - b'(\rho)\rho) \text{ div } u \, dx dt.
\]

(11)

where \( b \in C^2(\mathbb{R}) \) such that

\[
b'(z) = 0 \text{ for } |z| \text{ large enough.}
\]

(12)

(v) Equation Equation (2) (3) holds in \( \mathfrak{S}'([0, T) \times \Omega) \), i.e.

\[
\int_\Omega \rho u(\tau, \cdot ) \varphi(\tau, \cdot )dx - \int_\Omega \rho_0 u_0 \varphi(0, \cdot )dx
\]

\[
+ \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \mu \nabla u : \nabla \varphi + (\lambda + \mu) \text{ div } u \varphi dx dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \rho u \cdot \partial_\tau \varphi + \rho \Omega_\varphi u + \varphi \nabla \rho + \rho \varphi - \varphi \rho \varphi \cdot \varphi dx dt
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} |M|^2 \varphi - M \otimes M : \nabla \varphi + \rho \nabla \rho \varphi \cdot \varphi dx dt.
\]

(13)

\[
\int_\Omega M \cdot \varphi(\tau, \cdot )dx - \int_\Omega M_0 \cdot \varphi(0, \cdot )dx
\]

\[
+ \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \nabla M : \nabla \varphi dx dt
\]

\[
= \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega M \cdot \partial_\tau \varphi + u \otimes u + \nabla \varphi - M \otimes M : \nabla \varphi dx dt
\]

(14)

for any \( \varphi \in C_0^\infty([0, T) \times \Omega) \).

**Theorem 4.** Let \((\rho, u, \Phi, M)\) be a finite-energy weak solution to the MHD system (1)-(5) in the sense of Definition 1. Let \( r, \Psi \in C_0^\infty([0, T) \times \Omega) \) and \( U, H \in C_0^\infty([0, T) \times \Omega) \). Assume that \((\tau, U, \Psi, H)\) satisfy

\[
\partial_t H + \text{ div } (U \otimes H) - \text{ div } (H \otimes U) - \nu \Delta H = 0, \text{ div } H = 0;
\]

(16)

\[
\Delta \Psi = r - \rho, \partial_r r + \text{ div } (rU) = 0, \nabla \Psi \cdot n_{|\partial \Omega} = 0.
\]

(17)

Then the following relative entropy inequality holds for a.e \( \tau \in (0, T) \)

\[
e(\rho, u, \Phi, M | r, U, \Psi, H)(\tau) + \int_0^\tau \int_\Omega \mu \|\nabla (u - U)\|^2
\]

\[
+ (\lambda + \mu) \|\nabla (u - U)\|^2 + v \|\nabla (M - H)\|^2 dx dt
\]

\[
\leq e(\rho_0, u_0, \Phi_0, M_0 | r(0, \cdot ), U(0, \cdot ), \Psi(0, \cdot ), H(0, \cdot ))
\]

\[
+ \int_0^\tau \mathcal{R}(t) dt
\]

(18)

where

\[
e(\rho, u, \Phi, M | r, U, \Psi, H)(\tau)
\]

\[
= \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho |u - U|^2 + P'(r)(\rho - r) - P(r)
\]

\[
+ \frac{1}{2} |M - H|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla (\Phi - \Psi)\|^2 \right) \tau dx
\]

(19)

and the remainder \( \mathcal{R}(t) \) is defined as

\[
\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_\Omega \mu \nabla U : \nabla (U - u) + (\lambda + \mu) \text{ div } U \text{ div } (U - u) dx
\]

\[
+ \int_\Omega \rho (\partial_t U + u \cdot \nabla U) \cdot (U - u) dx
\]

\[
+ \int_\Omega \|H - H - u \otimes u - H\| \|\nabla (M - H)\| dx
\]

\[
+ \|M \otimes u - u \otimes u - M\| \|\nabla (M - H)\| dx
\]

\[
+ \int_\Omega \rho (u - U) \cdot \nabla \Phi + (rU - pu) \cdot \nabla \Phi \cdot \Psi dx
\]

\[
+ \int_\Omega (r - \rho) \partial_t \left( P'(r) \right) + (rU - pu) \cdot \nabla \left( P'(r) \right)
\]

\[
+ (r - \rho) \text{ div } U dx.
\]

(20)

**Theorem 5.** Let \( \gamma > 2 \). Assume that \((\rho, u, \Phi, M)\) is the finite-energy weak solution of the magnetohydrodynamic system (1)-(5) in the sense of Definition 1. And assume that \((\tau, U, \Psi, H)\) is the strong solution emanating from the same initial data and satisfy

\[
0 \leq \inf \{ r(t, x) \} \leq r(t, x) \leq \sup \{ r(t, x) \} < \infty, \quad U \in L^2(0, T; W^{2,3}(\Omega)),
\]

\[
H \in L^4(0, T; W^{2,3}(\Omega)), \quad H \in L^4(0, T; W^{2,3}(\Omega))
\]

(21)
with $q > 2$. Then
\[ \rho = r, \ u = U, \phi = \psi, \ M = H \text{ in } (0, T) \times \Omega. \]

\section{3. Relative Entropy Inequality}

In this section, we will establish the relative entropy inequality (18) to the system (11)–(5) and extend the admissible class of test function.

\subsection{3.1. Proof of Theorem 4}

\textbf{Proof.} For simplicity, we define symbols $r_0$, $u_0$, $H_0$ as
\[ r_0 = r(0, \cdot), \ u_0 = U(0, \cdot), \ H_0 = H(0, \cdot). \] (23)

Taking $1/2|U|^2$ as test function in (10), we have
\[ \int_\Omega \left( \int_0^t \rho \frac{U^2}{2}(\tau, \cdot) \, d\tau - \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |U_0|^2 \, dx \right) = \int_\Omega \rho U \cdot \partial_t U + \rho u \cdot \nabla U \cdot U \, dx dt. \] (24)

Similarly, substituting $\varphi$ for $U$ as a test function in (13), we can get
\begin{align*}
\int_\Omega \rho u \cdot U \, d\tau - \int_\Omega \rho_0 u_0 \cdot U_0 \, dx + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \mu \nabla u : \nabla U \\
+ (\lambda + \mu) \, \text{div} \ u \, \text{div} \ U \, dx dt \\
= \int_0^t \int_\Omega \rho_0 u_0 \cdot U_0 + \rho \partial_t U + \mu \nabla u : \nabla U + \rho \nabla \Phi \cdot \nabla U \, dx dt. \tag{25}
\end{align*}

Taking $\varphi = H$ in (14), we get
\begin{align*}
\int_\Omega (M \cdot H)(\tau, \cdot) \, dx - \int_\Omega M_0 \cdot H_0 \, dx + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \nabla M \\
: \nabla H \, dx dt = \int_0^t \int_\Omega M \cdot \partial_t H + u \otimes M : \nabla H - M \otimes u \\
: \nabla H \, dx dt. \tag{26}
\end{align*}

Multiplying (16) by $H$ and integrating over $(0, T) \times \Omega$, we can obtain
\[ \int_\Omega \left( \int_0^t H^2(\tau, \cdot) \, d\tau - \int_\Omega |H_0|^2 \right) + \int_0^t \int_\Omega |HV|^2 \, dx dt \\
= \int_0^t \int_\Omega U \otimes H : \nabla H - H \otimes U : \nabla H \, dx dt. \tag{27}
\]

By virtue of $\Phi, \Psi$ satisfying (4) and (17), one has
\[ \Delta(\Phi - \Psi) = r - r. \] (28)

Differentiating (28) with respect to $t$, we get
\[ \partial_t \Delta(\Phi - \Psi) = \partial_t r - \partial_t \rho = \text{div} \ (rU - \rho u). \] (29)

Multiplying (29) by $(\Phi - \Psi)$ and integrating the resulting equation over $\Omega$, we can obtain
\[ \partial_t \left( \int_\Omega \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(\Phi - \Psi)|^2 \, dx \right) = \int_\Omega (rU - \rho u) \cdot \nabla(\Phi - \Psi) \, dx. \tag{30} \]

From (7), we can deduce
\[ \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho |u|^2 + \frac{a}{y - 1} \rho^y + \frac{1}{2} |M|^2 \right) (\tau, \cdot) \, dx \\
+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |u|^2 \, dx dt \\
\leq \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |u_0|^2 + \frac{a}{y - 1} \rho_0^y + \frac{1}{2} |M_0|^2 \right) \, dx + \int_0^t \int_\Omega \rho u \cdot \nabla \Phi \, dx dt. \tag{31} \\
\]

Summing up relations (24)–(31), we can infer
\begin{align*}
\int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho |u - U|^2 + P(\rho) + \frac{1}{2} |M - H|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(\Phi - \Psi)|^2 \right) (\tau, \cdot) \, dx \\
+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla u : (u - U)| + (\lambda + \mu) \, \text{div} u \, \text{div} (u - U) \, dx dt \\
+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega |\nabla M|^2 + |\nabla H| + |H|^2 \, dx dt \\
\leq \int_\Omega \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |u_0 - U_0|^2 + P(\rho_0) + \frac{1}{2} |M_0 - H_0|^2 \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla(\Phi(\rho_0) - \Psi(\rho_0))|^2 \right) \, dx \\
+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega \rho(U - u) \cdot \partial_t u + \rho u \cdot \nabla U : (u - U) + P(\rho) \, dx U \\
- \frac{1}{2} |M|^2 \, \text{div} U \otimes M : \nabla U \, dx dt \\
- \int_0^t \int_\Omega M \cdot \partial_t H + u \otimes M : \nabla H - M \otimes u : \nabla H \\
+ H \otimes U : \nabla H - U \otimes H : \nabla H \, dx dt \\
+ \int_0^t \int_\Omega \rho(u - U) \cdot \nabla \Phi + (rU - \rho u) \cdot \nabla(\Phi - \Psi) \, dx dt. \tag{32} \\
\end{align*}

Note that
\begin{align*}
\int_\Omega \left( -P'(\rho)(\rho - r) - P(r) \right) (\tau, \cdot) \, dx \\
- \int_\Omega \left( -P'(r_0)(\rho - r_0) - P(r_0) \right) \, dx \\
= \int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t \left( -P'(\rho)(\rho - r) - P(r) \right) \, dx dt \\
- \int_0^t \int_\Omega (r - r) \partial_t \left( P'(r) \right) - \partial_t \rho P'(r) \, dx dt \tag{33} \\
= \int_0^t \int_\Omega (r - r) \partial_t \left( P'(r) \right) - \partial_t \rho P'(r) \, dx dt, \\
\int_0^t \int_\Omega rU \cdot \nabla \left( P'(r) \right) + p(r) \, \text{div} U \, dx dt = 0.
\end{align*}
Then we can rewrite (32) as

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho |u - U|^2 + P(\rho) - P'(r)(\rho - r) - P(r) + \frac{1}{2} |M - H|^2 \right) \, dx + \int_{\Omega} \mu \nabla \nabla : \nabla \nabla (u - U) \, dx + (\lambda + \mu) \div (u - U) \, dx$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{2} \rho_0 |u_0 - U_0|^2 + P(\rho_0) - P'(r_0)(\rho_0 - r_0) - P(r_0) + \frac{1}{2} |M_0 - H_0|^2 + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \Phi(\rho_0) - \nabla \Psi(\rho_0)|^2 \right) \, dx$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \rho (\partial_t U + u \cdot \nabla U) \cdot (U - u) \, dxdt$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} - \frac{1}{2} |M|^2 \div (U + M \otimes M) \div \nabla U \, dxdt$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} M \cdot \partial_t H + u \otimes M \cdot \nabla H - M \otimes u \cdot \nabla H$$

$$+ H \otimes U \cdot \nabla H - \partial_t M \cdot \nabla H \, dxdt$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} \rho (u - U) \cdot \nabla \Phi + (rU - \rho u) \cdot \nabla (\Phi - \Psi) \, dxdt$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} (r - r) \partial_t \left( P'(r) \right) + (rU - \rho u) \cdot \nabla \left( P'(r) \right)$$

$$- (\rho(r) - P(r)) \div U \, dxdt.$$

Finally, we can get

$$\varepsilon(t) + \int_{0}^{t} \mu |\nabla (u - U)|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \div (u - U)^2$$

$$+ \nabla |\nabla (M - H)|^2 \, dxdt \leq \varepsilon(0) + \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{R}(t) \, dt.$$

The proof of Theorem 4 is completed.

3.2 Extending the Admissible Class of Test Function. Making use of density argument, we can extend the class of test function \((r, U, \Psi, H)\) appeared in the relative entropy inequality (18), (20).

For the left hand side of (18) to be well defined, the function \((r, U, \Psi, H)\) must belong at least to the class:

\[ r \in C([0, \mathbb{T}] ; L^s_{\omega}(\Omega)); \ U \in C([0, \mathbb{T}] ; L^{2s^{1/2} - 1}(\Omega)); \]

\[ \nabla U \in L^2((0, \mathbb{T}) \times \Omega), \ U_{|_{\partial \Omega}} = 0; \]

\[ H \in L^2(0, \mathbb{T}; H^1(\Omega)) \cap C([0, \mathbb{T}] ; L^{2s(1/2)}_{\omega}(\Omega)); \]

\[ \Psi \in C([0, \mathbb{T}] ; W^{1,s}_{\omega}(\Omega)), \nabla \Psi \cdot n_{|_{\partial \Omega}} = 0. \]

Similarly, a short inspection of the integrals in (20) yields

$$\partial_r U \in L^1(0, \mathbb{T}; L^{2s^{1/2} - 1}(\Omega)); \nabla \Psi \in L^1((0, \mathbb{T}) ; L^{\infty}(\Omega))$$

$$\nabla H \in L^2((0, \mathbb{T}) ; L^{\infty}(\Omega)).$$

Moreover, the function \(r\) must be bounded away from zero, and

$$\partial_r \left( P'(r) \right) \in L^1(0, \mathbb{T}; L^{2s^{1/2} - 1}(\Omega)); \nabla \left( P'(r) \right) \in L^1(0, \mathbb{T}; L^{2s^{1/2} - 1}(\Omega)).$$

It is easy to prove that the relative entropy inequality (18), (20) can be extended to \((r, U, \Psi, H)\) satisfying (37)–(42) by density argument.

4. Weak-Strong Uniqueness

In this section, we will prove weak-strong uniqueness property of the MHD system (1)–(5). In order to prove the weak-strong uniqueness, we firstly rewrite the remainder \(\mathcal{R}(t)\) as another expression. Then we apply Gronwall’s inequality to prove the weak-strong uniqueness property.

4.1 Another Expression of \(\mathcal{R}(t)\)

**Lemma 6.** Let \((r, U, \Phi, H)\) be a strong solution to MHD system (1)–(5). For \(r \geq \varepsilon > 0\), the remainder \(\mathcal{R}(t)\) can be rewritten as
\[ R(t) = \int_{\Omega} \rho(u - U) \cdot \nabla U \cdot (U - u) \, dx \]
\[ - \int_{\Omega} \left( p(p) - p'(r)(\rho - r) - p(r) \right) \cdot \nabla U \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (u - U) \cdot \nabla H \cdot (H - M) + (M - H) \cdot \nabla H \cdot \nabla U \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (M - H) \cdot \nabla (M - H) \cdot U + U \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (\Phi - \Psi) \, dx. \]

Then we can rewrite \( R(t) \) as
\[ R(t) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho - r}{r} (U - u) \cdot (\mu \Delta U + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \div U) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} \frac{p}{r} (U - u) \cdot \left(-\nabla \left( \frac{|H|^2}{2} \right) \right) \div (H \otimes H) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (U - u) \cdot \nabla U \cdot (U - u) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (H \otimes U - U \otimes H) \cdot \nabla (M - H) \]
\[ + (M \otimes u - u \otimes M) \cdot \nabla H \cdot (U \otimes M - M \otimes U) \cdot \nabla M \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} \rho(u - U) \cdot \nabla U \cdot (U - u) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (H \otimes U - U \otimes H) \cdot \nabla (M - H) \]
\[ + (M \otimes u - u \otimes M) \cdot \nabla H \cdot (U \otimes M - M \otimes U) \cdot \nabla M \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (\Phi - \Psi) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (r - \rho) \partial_i (p'(r)) + (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (p'(r)) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (\rho(r) - p(\rho)) \div U \, dx. \]

Proof. Because \((r, U, \Phi, H)\) is a strong solution to MHD system (1)-(5), we can get
\[ \partial_t U + U \cdot \nabla U = r^{-1}(\mu \Delta U + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \div U) - r^{-1}\nabla(\rho(r)) \]
\[ - r^{-1}\nabla \left( \frac{|H|^2}{2} \right) + r^{-1} \div (H \otimes H) + \nabla \Psi. \]

From (44), the remainder \( R(t) \) is rewritten as
\[ R(t) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho - r}{r} (U - u) \cdot (\mu \Delta U + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \div U) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} \frac{p}{r} (U - u) \cdot \left(-\nabla \left( \frac{|H|^2}{2} \right) \right) \div (H \otimes H) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (U - u) \cdot \nabla U \cdot (U - u) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (H \otimes U - U \otimes H) \cdot \nabla (M - H) \]
\[ + (M \otimes u - u \otimes M) \cdot \nabla H \cdot (U \otimes M - M \otimes U) \cdot \nabla M \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} \rho(u - U) \cdot \nabla U \cdot (U - u) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (H \otimes U - U \otimes H) \cdot \nabla (M - H) \]
\[ + (M \otimes u - u \otimes M) \cdot \nabla H \cdot (U \otimes M - M \otimes U) \cdot \nabla M \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (\Phi - \Psi) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (r - \rho) \partial_i (p'(r)) + (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (p'(r)) \, dx \]
\[ + \int_{\Omega} (\rho(r) - p(\rho)) \div U \, dx. \]

Note that
\[ (r - \rho) \partial_i (p'(r)) + (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (p'(r)) = p'(r)(\rho - r) \div U. \]
On the basis of (48) and (49), we can infer
\[
\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_{\Omega} \rho (u - U) \cdot \nabla U \cdot (U - u) dx \\
- \int_{\Omega} \left( \rho (\rho) - P' (r) (\rho - r) - P (r) \right) \nabla U dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega} \rho (u - U) \cdot \nabla (H - M) + (M - H) \cdot \nabla (H - M) dx \\
\cdot (u - U) dx + \int_{\Omega} U \cdot \nabla (M - H) \cdot (M - H) dx \\
+ (M - H) \cdot \nabla (H - M) \cdot U dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho - r}{r} (U - u) \\
\cdot (\mu \Delta U + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} U) dx + \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho - r}{r} (U - u) \\
\cdot (H \cdot \nabla H - (u - u) \cdot \nabla H) dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega} (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (\Phi - \Psi) dx.
\]

(50)

The proof of Lemma 6 is completed. □

4.2. Proof of Theorem 5. To begin, it is easy to check that
\[
P(\rho) - P'(r)(\rho - r) - P(r) \geq c(r) \begin{cases} (\rho - r)^2, & \text{for } \frac{r}{2} \leq \rho \leq 2r, \\ (1 + \rho^\gamma), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

(51)

where \(c(r)\) is uniformly bounded for \(r\) belonging to compact sets in \((0, \infty)\).

**Proof.** There are two steps to prove Theorem 5.

Step 1: We estimate the remainder \(\mathcal{R}(t)\).

For \(\nabla U \in L^1 (0, T; L^\infty (\Omega))\) and (51), it is easy to infer that
\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} \rho (u - U) \cdot \nabla U \cdot (U - u) dx \right| \\
- \int_{\Omega} \left( \rho (\rho) - P' (r) (\rho - r) - P (r) \right) \nabla U dx \leq C \| \nabla U \|_{L^\infty (\Omega)} \| \varepsilon (t) \| \leq \varepsilon (t). 
\]

(52)

By using of Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's inequality, we can deduce
\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} (u - U) \cdot \nabla (H - M) dx + \int_{\Omega} (M - H) \cdot \nabla (u - u) dx \right| \\
\leq C \| u - U \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \| \nabla H \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} + \| M - H \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \| \nabla (u - u) \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \\
\cdot \| u - U \|_{L^{2\gamma + 1} (\Omega)} \leq C \| M - H \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \| \nabla H \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \\
\cdot \| u - U \|_{L^{2\gamma + 1} (\Omega)} \leq C \| \nabla H \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \left( \int_{\Omega} \| M - H \|_{L^2 (\Omega)}^2 dx \right) \\
+ \delta \left( \int_{\Omega} | \nabla (u - u) |^2 dx \right) \leq \eta (t) \varepsilon (t) + \delta \left( \int_{\Omega} | \nabla (u - u) |^2 dx \right),
\]

(53)

\[\text{here } \delta > 0 \text{ is sufficient small and } q > 2.\]

For \(\operatorname{div} M = \operatorname{div} H = 0\), it is easy to get that
\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} (M - H) \cdot \nabla (H - M) \cdot U dx \right| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (M - H) \cdot \nabla U \\
\cdot (H - M) dx \right| \leq C \| \nabla U \|_{L^\infty (\Omega)} \left( \int_{\Omega} | M - H |^2 dx \right) \leq \eta (t) \varepsilon (t).
\]

(54)

Now, we estimate the last three items of \(\mathcal{R}(t)\).

\[I = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\rho - r}{r} (\mu \Delta U + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} U)(U - u) dx \\
= \left( \int_{\{ \rho \geq 2r \}} \int_{\{ \rho < r \}} \int_{\{ \rho > 2r \}} \frac{\rho - r}{r} \cdot (\mu \Delta U + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} U)(U - u) dx \right) dx \]

\[\leq \eta (t) \varepsilon (t) + \delta \left( \int_{\Omega} | \nabla (u - u) |^2 dx \right),
\]

(55)

We can make use of Hölder's inequality, Sobolev's inequality and (51) to obtain
\[
|I_1| \leq \int_{\{ \rho \geq 2r \}} \int_{\{ \rho < r \}} \int_{\{ \rho > 2r \}} \frac{\rho - r}{r} \cdot (\mu \Delta U + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} U)(U - u) dx \]
\[
\leq C \| \nabla^2 U \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \| \rho - r \|_{L^1 (\{ \rho \geq 2r \})} \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2\gamma + 1} (\Omega)} \\
\leq C \| \nabla^2 U \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \| \rho - r \|_{L^1 (\{ \rho < r \})} \| \nabla u \|_{L^{2\gamma + 1} (\Omega)} \\
\leq C \| \nabla^2 U \|_{L^2 (\Omega)} \left( \int_{\{ \rho \geq 2r \}} \frac{\rho - r}{r} \cdot (\mu \Delta U + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} U)(U - u) dx \right) dx \\
\leq \eta (t) \varepsilon (t) + \delta \left( \int_{\Omega} | \nabla (u - u) |^2 dx \right),
\]

(56)

where \(q > 2\).
Note that
\[
\|\rho^{\gamma}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq (\varepsilon(t))^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad |\frac{\rho - r}{\rho + r}| |\rho^{1/2-\gamma/2}| \leq C \text{ as } \rho > 2 \varepsilon \geq 2 \varepsilon > 0, \gamma > 1.
\] (57)

By Hölder’s inequality and (57), we can obtain
\[
|J_3| = \left\{ \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} \frac{\rho - r}{r} (U - u)(\mu\Delta U + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla\div U) \, dx \right\}
\leq C \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} \frac{\rho - r}{r} |\mu\Delta U + (\lambda + \mu)\nabla\div U| \cdot r^{1/2} |U - u| \, dx
\leq C \|\nabla^2 U\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} \rho^{\gamma} \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_\Omega |U - u|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2}
\leq \eta(t)\varepsilon(t). (58)
\]

Therefore, we can get the estimate of I
\[
|I| \leq \eta(t)\varepsilon(t) + \delta \int_\Omega |\nabla (U - u)|^2 \, dx
\] (59)

with \(\delta > 0\) sufficiently small.

Similarly to the estimate of I, we write
\[
J = \int_\Omega \frac{\rho - r}{r} (H \cdot \nabla H \cdot (U - u) - (U - u) \cdot \nabla H \cdot H) \, dx
= \left( \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} + \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} + \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} \right) \frac{\rho - r}{r}
\cdot (H \cdot \nabla H \cdot (U - u) - (U - u) \cdot \nabla H \cdot H) \, dx
= J_1 + J_2 + J_3. (60)
\]

Applying Hölder’s inequality, Sobolev’s inequality, (51) and (57), we can infer that
\[
|J_1| = \left\{ \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} \frac{\rho - r}{r} (H \cdot \nabla H \cdot (U - u) - (U - u) \cdot \nabla H \cdot H) \, dx \right\}
\leq \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} |\rho - r| \cdot |H| \cdot |\nabla H| \cdot |U - u| \, dx
\leq C \|H\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\rho - r\|_{L^1(\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2)} \|U - u\|_{L^{2\gamma-2}(\Omega)}
\leq C \|H\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\nabla H\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\rho - r\|_{L^1(\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2)} \|U - u\|_{W^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)}
\leq C \|H\|_{W^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} |\rho - r|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \int_\Omega |\nabla (U - u)|^2 \, dx
\leq \eta(t)\varepsilon(t) + \delta \int_\Omega |\nabla (U - u)|^2 \, dx;
\]

with \(q > 2\).

Finally, we estimate the last item of \(\mathcal{R}(t)\).
\[
K = \int_\Omega (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (\Phi - \psi) \, dx
= \left( \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} + \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} + \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} \right) (r - \rho) U
\cdot \nabla (\Phi - \psi) \, dx \equiv K_1 + K_2 + K_3. (62)
\]

From (51), we can get
\[
|K_1| \leq \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} |r - \rho| |U| \cdot |\nabla (\Phi - \psi)| \, dx
\leq C \|U\|_{L^{2\gamma}(\Omega)} \|r - \rho\|_{L^1(\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2)} \|\nabla (\Phi - \psi)\|^{1/2}
\cdot (\varepsilon(t))^{1/2} \leq \eta(t)\varepsilon(t);
\]

\[
|K_2| \leq \int_{\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2} |r - \rho| |U| \cdot |\nabla (\Phi - \psi)| \, dx
\leq C \|U\|_{L^{2\gamma}(\Omega)} \|r - \rho\|_{L^1(\{\rho > 2\varepsilon\}^2)} \|\nabla (\Phi - \psi)\|^{1/2}
\cdot (\varepsilon(t))^{1/2} \leq \eta(t)\varepsilon(t).
\] (63)
Using of Hölder’s inequality and (57), we can infer that
\[ |K_3| = \int_{\rho \in (0,2r)} (r - \rho) U \cdot \nabla (\Phi - \psi) \, dx \]
\[ \leq C \int_{\rho \in (0,2r)} |\rho|^{\gamma/2} \cdot |U| \cdot |\nabla (\Phi - \psi)| \, dx \]
\[ \leq C \|U\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \|\rho\|_{L^2((\rho \geq 2r))} \|\nabla (\Phi - \psi)\| \leq \eta(t) \varepsilon(t) \]
(64)

with \( \gamma > 2 \).

Step 2: Making use of Gronwall’s inequality, we can prove the weak-strong uniqueness of MHD system (1)–(5).

Substituting inequalities (52)–(64) into (18), we can obtain
\[ \varepsilon(t) + \int_0^t \mu |\nabla (u - U)|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) |\text{div} (u - U)|^2 \]
\[ + \nu |\nabla (M - H)|^2 \, dx \\ dt \leq \int_0^t \eta(t) \varepsilon(t) \, dt \]
(65)

with \( \eta(t) \in L^1(0, T) \). By Gronwall’s inequality, we can deduce \( \varepsilon(t) = 0 \) in \( (0, T) \). Then this implies \( \rho = r, u = U, \Phi = \Psi, M = H \). The proof of Theorem 5 is completed.

Remark 7. The strong solution we work with in the weak-strong uniqueness theorem is only a hypothetical object which we do not know if it even exist. In the future, we will consider the existence of this strong solution.
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