Cell surface proteins Nasrat and Polehole stabilize the Torso-like extracellular determinant in Drosophila oogenesis
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Structural cell-surface and extracellular-matrix proteins modulate intercellular signaling events during development, but how this is achieved remains largely unknown. Here we identify a novel family of Drosophila proteins, Nasrat and Polehole, that coat the oocyte surface and play two roles: They mediate assembly of the eggshell, and act in the terminal regions of the embryo. Nasrat and Polehole are essential for extracellular accumulation of Torso-like, a factor secreted during oogenesis that initiates Torso receptor activation. Stabilization of secreted factors by specialized pericellular proteins may be a general mechanism during signaling and developmental patterning.
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Animal cells are surrounded by a complex array of molecules (e.g., components of the extracellular matrix and other secreted proteins) that provide structural support and mediate cell-cell adhesion and communication. Originally considered a passive environment, the cell surface is now viewed as a rich substrate for dynamic interactions influencing cell differentiation, tissue remodeling, and morphogenesis, in both normal and pathological states. For example, recent genetic analyses have revealed dedicated roles of cell-surface proteoglycans in several signaling pathways during development [Bernfield et al. 1999; Perrimon and Bernfield 2000; Selbeck 2000]. However, little is known about how these functions are exerted and it seems likely that additional cell-surface components and activities remain to be discovered. Here, we identify two novel Drosophila proteins associated to the oocyte surface and investigate their roles in early development.

Drosophila oocytes develop within egg chambers that consist of 15 germ-line-derived nurse cells connected to the oocyte, all of them surrounded by a monolayer of somatic follicle cells [Spradling 1993]. During oogenesis, the follicle cells secrete structural components of protective shell layers that enclose the egg [Mahowald and Kambsellis 1980; Spradling 1993]. In addition, both the nurse and follicle cells provide the oocyte with generic cytoplasmic constituents, plus a number of specific determinants required for early patterning of the embryo. Determinants from the nurse cells (mostly mRNAs) accumulate asymmetrically within the oocyte and are usually activated on fertilization [St Johnston and Nüsslein-Volhard 1992]. In contrast, the follicle cells secrete developmental factors that associate to the extracellular surface of the embryo, where they generate signals that activate transmembrane receptors in restricted positions [St Johnston and Nüsslein-Volhard 1992, see below].

Eggshell biogenesis and signaling by extracellular determinants may be interrelated phenomena in Drosophila. Genetic studies have identified two maternal effect loci, fs(1)Nasrat [fs(1)N] and fs(1)polehole [fs(1)pbh], which are essential for both types of processes [Degelmann et al. 1990, and references therein]. Females mutant for most fs(1)N and fs(1)pbh alleles lay eggs that collapse after deposition due to abnormalities in the vitelline membrane, the innermost eggshell layer. In addition, the fs(1)N12 and fs(1)pbh901 mutations [in homozygosis or in heteroallelic combinations with the above alleles] do not affect eggshell formation but cause the loss of the most anterior and posterior [terminal] regions of the embryo [Degelmann et al. 1990]. Terminal regions are normally patterned in response to the Torso-like factor secreted by specialized follicle cells at each end of the oocyte [Savant-Blonsale and Montell 1993; Martin et al. 1994; for review, see Duffy and Perrimon 1994]. Torso-like, a protein of unknown biochemical function, is thought to remain associated to the oocyte poles until the first stages of embryogenesis, when it triggers localized processing of the Trunk factor in the perivitelline space between the embryo and the vitelline envelope [Casanova et al. 1995; Casali and Casanova 2001]. Cleaved Trunk protein activates the Torso receptor tyrosine kinase present on the embryo surface, which then signals via the Ras/MAPK cascade to induce expression of the tailless [tll] and huckebein [hkb] genes at each pole of the embryo [Duffy and Perrimon 1994; Jiménez et al. 2000]. Thus, fs(1)N and fs(1)pbh have a dual role in eggshell biosynthesis and terminal cell signaling; however, the nature of the fs(1)N and fs(1)pbh products and their function in these processes is unknown.

Here we describe the cloning and characterization of fs(1)N and fs(1)pbh. We show that these genes encode related leucine-rich proteins that are secreted by the oocyte and remain associated to its plasma membrane. We identify a role of Nasrat and Polehole proteins in cross-linking of vitelline membrane product sV23. In addition, we provide evidence that Nasrat and Polehole are essential for extracellular accumulation of Torso-like at the oocyte surface. Thus, structural cell surface proteins can mediate cell signaling by stabilizing secreted factors in the extracellular space.
Results and Discussion

fs(1)N encodes a putative secreted protein

fs(1)N has been mapped to chromosomal position 1E–1F [Degelmann et al. 1990]. To begin the molecular identification of fs(1)N, we searched for new alleles of the gene by mobilizing a collection of P-element insertions in the region [see Materials and Methods]. We identified three imprecise excisions of insertion EP(X)1336 that behave as fs(1)N mutations and studied one of them, designated fs(1)N12. fs(1)N14 behaves as a null allele that affects both eggshell integrity and terminal patterning: females homozygous for fs(1)N14 produce collapsed eggs that fail to develop [data not shown], whereas fs(1)N14/fs(1)N12 females produce embryos with the terminal phenotype associated with fs(1)N12 allele [Fig. 1A,B; see also Degelmann et al. 1990]. Indeed, the latter embryos exhibit severely reduced till and hhk expression at the blastoderm stage, similar to the effect of mutations in other components of the terminal pathway [Fig. 1C–F; for review, see Duffy and Perrimon 1994].

The EP(X)1336 insertion is located 200-bp upstream of a novel gene predicted by the Drosophila genome project, CG11411. Molecular analyses showed that fs(1)N14 consists in a small deletion (<700 bp) of putative promoter and first exon sequences of CG11411 [data not shown; Fig. 1G]. Also, a genomic construct of this gene rescued the phenotypes associated with fs(1)N mutations, demonstrating that CG11411 is fs(1)N [Fig. 1C].

We isolated a full-length fs(1)N cDNA clone by screening an early embryonic library [see Materials and Methods]. The sequence of this clone extends 65-bp upstream of the putative ATG-initiator codon and fits the predicted exon/intron structure of the gene. fs(1)N encodes a protein of 2118 residues rich in leucine residues (15%). Comparative analyses did not reveal significant similarities of Nasrat to known proteins [see below]. Nasrat contains a short hydrophobic stretch at the N terminus that probably acts as a signal peptide [Fig. 1H; von Heijne 1986]. No other putative transmembrane regions were detected, suggesting that Nasrat is secreted [see below].

In this regard, Nasrat contains a large number of potential glycosylation sites, as seen in many secreted and membrane-anchored proteins; there are 25 N-linked glycosylation motifs [Hart et al. 1979] and five putative glycosaminoglycan (GAG) attachment sites [Krueger et al. 1990] in the protein [Fig. 1H]. In addition, Nasrat has an ATP/GTP-binding site motif present in proteins with diverse functions [Saraste et al. 1990, Fig. 1H].

Nasrat accumulates on the oocyte surface

We examined the expression of fs(1)N in ovaries by in situ hybridization. fs(1)N transcripts are detected in the nurse cells throughout most of oogenesis and in early blastoderm embryos, but not in the somatic follicle cells [Fig. 1I; data not shown]. This pattern of expression is consistent with a maternal function of fs(1)N in the germline as deduced from genetic analyses [Perrimon and Gans 1983, Degelmann et al. 1990].

Next, we monitored Nasrat protein distribution in the ovary using a transgenic construct encoding a Flu-tagged Nasrat derivative [Materials and Methods]. This construct rescues the sterility of fs(1)N12 females completely [data not shown], indicating that the Flu epitope does not interfere with Nasrat function. In early egg chambers (stage 5–6), Nasrat is found in the oocyte cytoplasm [see below]. In contrast, by stage 10 the protein localizes to the oocyte periphery [Fig. 1J]. At high magnification, Nasrat is detected apically of cortical actin [visualized with rhodamine-phalloidin; Fig. 1J,K], suggesting that Nasrat lies on the external surface of the oocyte membrane. Moreover, Nasrat shows a finger-like pattern that probably reflects the microvilli formed by the plasma membrane of the oocyte [Fig. 1K; Mahowald and Kambseliss 1980]. We also see actin staining of similar extensions from the plasma membrane of follicle cells, which appear to interdigitate with the oocyte microvilli [Fig. 1K]. Finally, there is...
localized accumulation of Nasrat at the ring canals between follicle cells (Fig. 1K). This may result from undetectable fs(1)N expression in those cells, or internalization of Nasrat protein from the extracellular space. Because the primary site of fs(1)N function is the germ-line [Perrimon and Gans 1983], we have not studied the significance of this localization further.

fs(1)ph encodes a secreted protein related to Nasrat

As the Drosophila genome project progressed, we noted significant similarities of Nasrat to the CG4790 gene product. The similarity is moderate but extends over a considerable length: 23% identity in a 700-amino acid overlap [residues 805–1495 of Nasrat and 430–1119 of CG4790 protein; data not shown]. Within this alignment there is a block of 200 amino acids with 25% identity [Fig. 2A]. Because fs(1)N and fs(1)ph share many genetic features [Degelmann et al. 1990], we wondered if CG4790 might be fs(1)ph. Consistent with this hypothesis, CG4790 maps to chromosomal position 5C8–10 whereas fs(1)ph lies in the 5C5–D6 interval [Degelmann et al. 1990]. We confirmed that CG4790 corresponds to fs(1)ph using a CG4790 transgene that rescued the eggshell and terminal defects caused by fs(1)ph mutations [see Materials and Methods].

A full-length fs(1)ph cDNA clone was obtained from an embryonic library [see Materials and Methods]. Its sequence is in agreement with the exon/intron annotations made for CG4790 by the Berkeley Genome Project, except for two differences in splicing sites that make the putative Polehole protein 43 amino acids longer than originally predicted. Like Nasrat, Polehole is rich in leucine residues (14%) and contains a putative signal peptide of 25 residues at the N terminus [von Heijne 1986; Fig. 2B]. Polehole also has a large number of potential glycosylation sites, including 26 N-linked glycosylation motifs and two GAG attachment sites [Fig. 2B]. Database searches did not detect similarity of Polehole to proteins other than Nasrat, suggesting that these two proteins form a unique family.

Interdependent colocalization of Nasrat and Polehole at the oocyte surface

We analyzed the cellular localization of Polehole in the ovary using an epitope-tag strategy. We generated a Flu-tagged Polehole construct that rescued the sterility of females homozygous for fs(1)phK646. As in the case of Nasrat, Polehole lies on the outer leaflet of the oocyte and outlines its microvilli [Fig. 2C,D]. Thus, Nasrat and Polehole colocalize within a thin layer on the oocyte surface that establishes an intricate pattern of connections with the follicle cells.

To investigate this colocalization further, we assayed accumulation of Flu-tagged Nasrat and Flu-tagged Polehole in fs(1)phK646 and fs(1)N14 mutant ovaries, respectively. In both cases, the tagged derivatives are lost from the oocyte surface (Fig. 2E,F). We do not observe unlocalized Nasrat and Polehole proteins within the mutant oocytes [Fig. 2E,F; data not shown], suggesting a defect in stability rather than transport. These results imply that Nasrat and Polehole are mutually required for their pericellular accumulation, which explains their similar mutant phenotypes. We also examined if this requirement is specific or reflects a general disorganization of the oocyte pericellular environment in the mutant ovaries. To this end, we monitored localization of Nudel, a protein required for dorsoventral patterning that associates to the oocyte surface [Hong and Hashimoto 1995; LeMosy et al. 1998]. As shown in Figure 2G, Nudel shows a normal distribution in fs(1)N14 egg chambers, arguing that interdependent accumulation of Nasrat and Polehole is selective.

Role of Nasrat and Polehole in eggshell assembly

The above results suggest that Nasrat and Polehole function coordinately at the oocyte surface. To explore their role in eggshell assembly, we first examined the localization of Nasrat in relation to the nascent vitelline membrane. Double staining for Nasrat and sV23, a vitelline membrane component [Pascucci et al. 1996], showed early cytoplasmic accumulation of Nasrat and
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**Figure 2.** Identification of Polehole and its colocalization with Nasrat at the oocyte periphery. (A) Alignment of Nasrat and CG4790 protein domains showing significant sequence similarity [BLAST E value of 3e-5]. Identical and similar residues are shaded in black and gray, respectively. Numbers indicate amino acid positions. (N) Nasrat; (C) CG4790. (B) Diagram of the Polehole protein. (SP) Putative signal peptide; (G) putative GAG attachment sites; (E) E-X-SG or SG-X-E; (N) potential N-linked glycosylation motifs; (N-X-S/T). (C) Stage 10 egg chamber expressing the Polehole–Flu construct stained with anti-Flu antibody (green) and rhodamine-phalloidin to label cortical actin (red); the tagged protein accumulates on the periphery of the oocyte. (D) Close-up view of the oocyte-follicle cell interface, stained as in C. Polehole–Flu outlines the microvilli formed by the oocyte membrane, which associate with similar projections from the follicle cells [(E,F) Loss of Nasrat–Flu (E) and Polehole–Flu (F) staining in fs(1)phK646 and fs(1)N14 mutant ovaries, respectively. (G) fs(1)N14 mutant egg chamber stained for Nudel protein; the pattern of accumulation appears completely normal (cf. LeMosy et al. 1998).]
sV23 in the oocyte and the follicle cells, respectively [Fig. 3A; see Materials and Methods]. At stage 10, the two proteins marked complementary layers in the space between the oocyte and the follicle cells [Fig. 3B–E]. These layers establish a remarkable pattern of connections that appear to reflect the interdigitating microvilli from both cell types [Fig. 3C–E]. We also detect weak sV23 staining on the oocyte surface (arrowhead, Fig. 3D). The elaborate interrelation of Nasrat with the vitelline membrane is consistent with a role of this protein in eggshell biogenesis.

Next, we analyzed the distribution of sV23 protein in mutants ovaries, which in both cases simultaneously lack Nasrat and Polehole at the oocyte surface. sV23 remained unaffected in stage 10 egg chambers of both mutant backgrounds [Fig. 3F; data not shown], indicating that sV23 is secreted and begins to accumulate independently of Nasrat and Polehole. This suggests that Nasrat and Polehole mediate subsequent steps of eggshell formation. Such steps include cross-linking modifications of vitelline membrane components that progressively render this membrane insoluble in reducing agents (e.g., DTT) and detergents [LeMosy and Hashimoto 2000, and references therein]. It has been shown that Nudel is required for cross-linking of sV23 and sV17 vitelline membrane proteins via nondisulfide bonds, which are insoluble in DTT (LeMosy and Hashimoto 2000). To test if Nasrat and Polehole also mediate these modifications, blastoderm embryos from either wild-type or fs(1)N14 females were extracted with 100 mM DTT and recovery of sV23 protein was assayed by Western blot (see Materials and Methods). Whereas wild-type embryos do not contain soluble sV23 protein, a large amount of product is recovered from embryos laid by fs(1)N14 females [Fig. 3G]. These results indicate that the combined activities of Nasrat and Polehole are required, directly or indirectly, for nondisulfide cross-linking of sV23 protein during oogenesis.

Role of Nasrat and Polehole in terminal signaling

The fs(1)N12 and fs(1)ph1901 alleles do not affect the eggshell but give rise to embryos that lack terminal structures [Degelmann et al. 1990]. We identified the molecular lesions associated to fs(1)N12 and fs(1)ph1901; P830L and Y742N, respectively [Fig. 4A]. To characterize the fs(1)N12 mutation further, we generated a Nasrat derivative carrying a deletion of 161 amino acids encompassing P830 [Nasrat161], Fig. 4B. Nasrat161 failed to rescue both the collapsed egg and terminal phenotypes associated with different fs(1)N alleles [data not shown], indicating that it lacks sequences required for both Nasrat functions. In contrast, a deletion of P830 and five adjacent residues [construct Nasrat6] only disrupts terminal patterning [Fig. 4B,C], suggesting that this motif specifically mediates terminal signaling.

What is the role of Nasrat and Polehole in terminal
signaling! Because Torso-like is the localized determinant for Torso receptor activation, we investigated the effects of loss of Nasrat and Polehole function on Torso-like distribution. Flu-tagged Torso-like protein is detected at stage 10 in the cytoplasm of posterior follicle cells (Fig. 4D, see Materials and Methods). In addition, Torso-like accumulates in a posterior crescent between the follicle cells and the oocyte that probably corresponds to the secreted, active form of the protein (Fig. 4D). This signal forms a gradient that peaks at the posterior end, the site of Torso-like production (Savant-Bhonsale and Montell 1993; Martin et al. 1994). We first analyzed the effects on Torso-like distribution of the fs(1)N12 and fs(1)ph1901 mutations that specifically disrupt terminal cell signaling. In both cases, extracellular Torso-like protein is still present between the posterior follicle cells and the oocyte. However, the signal appears consistently weaker than in wild-type ovaries, suggesting that Nasrat and Polehole are required for efficient Torso-like accumulation (data not shown). To test this idea, we examined Torso-like in fs(1)N14 ovaries, which lack both Nasrat and Polehole at the oocyte surface. These ovaries showed barely any Torso-like protein between the posterior follicle cells and the oocyte; weak localized staining is still observed in some cases, but most egg chambers lack the extracellular signal (Fig. 4E). These results indicate that Nasrat and Polehole are essential for accumulation and/or stability of secreted Torso-like product.

We also tested if this requirement involves direct physical interactions between Nasrat, Polehole, and Torso-like. Although we observed different interactions, we have been unable to demonstrate their specificity. For example, associations of Nasrat and Polehole with Torso-like were not prevented by the P830L and Y742N mutations (G. Jiménez, unpubl.). It may be difficult to prove relevant associations of Nasrat and/or Polehole with Torso-like if they require specific polysaccharide chains attached to these proteins, as it is thought to occur during binding of cell surface proteoglycans to secreted proteins (e.g., Perrimon and Bernfield 2000).

Conclusion

Nasrat and Polehole illustrate a role of cell surface molecules as common effectors of eggshell architecture and cell signaling events during development. To mediate these functions, Nasrat and Polehole promote their own accumulation at the oocyte surface, and also stabilize the Torso-like product deposited by follicle cells at each pole of the oocyte. We still do not know how these stabilizations occur molecularly, but one possibility is that Nasrat and Polehole function as protective molecules against unspecific degradation by extracellular proteases present between the oocyte and the follicle cells (e.g., Pascucci et al. 1996). Stabilization of secreted signals by cell surface molecules may be an important mechanism to ensure efficient activation of target receptors in other contexts. Indeed, recent studies have implicated cell surface proteoglycans in signaling by a variety of effectors such as the FGF, Hedgehog, TGF-β, and Wnt proteins (Bernfield et al. 1999, Perrimon and Bernfield 2000; Selleck 2000), raising the possibility that proteoglycans and related molecules promote accumulation of signaling products in those systems.

Materials and methods

Fly stocks and crosses

For a description of the fs(1)N12, fs(1)ph1901, fs(1)phK646, and fs(1)phK606 alleles (see Degellmann et al. 1990). To identify novel alleles of fs(1)N, 14 P-element insertions in the IE3–1F4 region were mobilized in males and the resulting excisions were tested for complementation of the fs(1)N12 allele. Excisions of line EF(V1)1536 that failed to complement fs(1)N12 were characterized by PCR. Transgenic lines were obtained by injecting the relevant constructs into y w embryos following standard methods (Spradling 1986). Transgenic constructs were introduced into appropriate mutant backgrounds using standard crossing schemes (details are available on request).

Molecular cloning

A 8.9-kb XhoI-SpeI fragment including the entire fs(1)N transcription unit and 1.4 kb of 5′ flanking sequences was recovered from cosmid 8D8 provided by S. Bolskakov, European Drosophila Genome Project. An 8-kb genomic fragment containing the fs(1)ph transcription unit was generated by PCR from wild-type Oregon II flies using the Expand Long Template PCR System (Roche). These genomic fragments rescued the corresponding fs(1)N and fs(1)ph mutations completely. fs(1)N and fs(1)ph cDNA clones were isolated by screening a 0- to 4-h embryonic library kindly provided by N. Brown (University of Cambridge, UK). To ensure the recovery of full-length clones, short (<1 kb) PCR fragments corresponding to the 5′ region of both genes were used as probes.

DNA sequencing and comparative analyses

All sequences were obtained using automated DNA sequencers (Applied Biosystems). For sequencing of fs(1)N12 and fs(1)ph1901 alleles, genomic DNA fragments for each mutant gene were amplified by PCR and either subcloned into pbLuasecript (Stratagene) in duplicate experiments or sequenced directly. Sequences of primers are available on request. Comparative sequence analyses were performed using the BLAST and FASTA programs.

DNA constructs

All recombinant DNA work was performed according to standard procedures. Coding sequences obtained by PCR were sequenced to ensure fidelity during amplification. To construct Flu-tagged Nasrat, a PCR fragment encoding three tandem copies of the Flu epitope [YPYDVPDYA] was cloned in frame into the unique SfiI site present downstream of the fs(1)N signal peptide sequence. Flu-tagged Polehole was made similarly, by cloning Flu sequences at the equivalent position using a Nrel site created in fs(1)ph by PCR-mediated mutagenesis. Finally, Flu-tagged Torso-like was generated by inserting the Flu sequence into a functional torso-like transgene (Savant-Bhonsale and Montell 1993), using the Nrel site present downstream of the signal peptide. The final constructs were identical to those employed in the rescue experiments (see above, Savant-Bhonsale and Montell 1993) except that they included Flu sequences.

Nasrat was generated by digesting a plasmid containing the original 8.9-kb fs(1)N genomic fragment with BglII, thus releasing the sequences to be deleted, and recircularizing it. To generate Nasrat+, we obtained by PCR-aided mutagenesis a cDNA fragment carrying the relevant 6-amino-acid internal deletion. This fragment, which included the two BglII sites present in the fs(1)N sequence, was then digested with BglII, purified and cloned into the unique BglII site of construct Nasrat+.

All constructs made for transformation experiments were assembled in pCaSpeR4 vector. Additional details on the sequence of primers and the construction of plasmids are available on request.

Histochemistry

Patterns of RNA expression in embryos and ovaries were determined by whole-mount in situ hybridization using digoxigenin-labeled anti-sense RNA probes essentially as described previously (Izumi et al. 1991). For fs(1)N hybridizations, a sense RNA probe was used as a negative control. Signals were detected using a secondary antibody coupled to alkaline phosphatase (Roche). Antibody and rhodamine-phalloidin stainings were performed according to standard procedures, detailed protocols are available on request. In general, we have been unable to monitor protein accumulation in ovaries after stage 10B, possibly owing to progressive...
impermeabilization of the vitelline membrane. Antibodies were used at the following concentrations: monoclonal anti-Flu (clone 12CA5 Roche), 1:100, anti-Nudel N-terminal and C-terminal antibodies [mixed 1:1], 1:500, anti-sV23, 1:500, FITC, Cy2 and Cy3 conjugated secondary antibodies [Jackson Laboratories], 1:200. Confocal images were obtained using a Leica TCS SP2 laser-scanning microscope, and assembled with Adobe Photoshop.

For analysis of sV23 cross-linking, 50 nondechorionated eggs (0–2 h collection) were homogenized in 100 µL of 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 0.15 M NaCl, 100 mM DTT and boiled for 5 min to release soluble proteins. Samples were centrifuged to remove insoluble material and supernatants were analyzed by SDS-PAGE followed by Western immunoblotting with sV23 antibody at 1:800 dilution.
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