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OBJECTIVE — The purpose of this study was to assess incidence of and risk factors for recurrent cardiovascular disease (CVD) in type 2 diabetes.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — We estimated the incidence of recurrent cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetic patients, aged 40–97 years, followed by a network of diabetes clinics. The analysis was conducted separately for 2,788 patients with CVD at enrollment (cohort A) and for 844 patients developing the first episode during the observation period (cohort B).

RESULTS — During 4 years of follow-up, in cohort A the age-adjusted incidence of a recurrent event (per 1,000 person-years) was 72.7 (95% CI 58.3–87.1) in men and 32.5 (21.2–43.7) in women, whereas in cohort B it was 40.1 (17.4–62.9) in men and 22.4 (12.9–32.0) in women. After controls were included for potential predictors (familial CVD, obesity, smoking, diabetes duration, glycemic control, microvascular complications, geographic area, and antihypertensive treatment), male sex, older age, and insulin use were significant independent risk predictors (cohort A) and serum triglyceride levels ≥1.69 mmol/l emerged as the only metabolic (negative) prognostic factor (cohort B). In both cohorts, a prior CVD episode, especially myocardial infarction, was by far the strongest predictor of recurrent CVD.

CONCLUSIONS — Approximately 6% of unselected diabetic patients in secondary prevention develop recurrent major CVD every year. Those with long-standing previous CVD show a higher incidence of recurrence. Male sex, age, high triglyceride levels, and insulin use are additional predictors of recurrence.
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overnight collection; microalbuminuria excretion (UAE) was obtained in a timed schedule in the local laboratory. Urinary albumin excretion was measured in the fasting state. A1C values at enrollment and at each follow-up visit were determined for the primary care physician. A detailed description of the DAI study methodology has been presented elsewhere (5).

During the follow-up period, 157 clinics participated, with a total of 14,432 patients: 11,644 patients free of CVD at enrollment and 2,788 patients who entered the study with a known prior CVD event. For each period of enrollment, described herein, was analyzed in the 2,788 patients with a prior CVD event (cohort A) and in the 844 of the 11,644 patients without events at enrollment who developed their first CVD event during the observation period (cohort B).

Data collection and definitions

The data used in this analysis were collected in four waves of follow-up between 2000 and 2003. During the enrollment and follow-up visits, a standard questionnaire was used to collect, in addition to anthropometric data, information on lifestyle habits, drug therapy, laboratory measures (specified below), clinical history, microvascular complications (retinopathy, blindness, and foot ulcers), and cardiovascular complications. For patients who did not appear for the scheduled visits, information, including death, was obtained through telephone interviews with the patient, a relative, or the primary care physician.

The following tests were performed at enrollment and at each follow-up visit. Plasma glucose, A1C, and lipid profile were determined in the fasting state. A1C was measured at each clinic, not in a centralized laboratory; for this reason, glycemic control was estimated as the percent increment of the individual patient's A1C above the upper limit of the normal range of the local laboratory. Urinary albumin excretion (UAE) was obtained in a timed overnight collection; microalbuminuria was defined as UAE of 30–300 mg/l in at least three successive measurements in the absence of other known causes of proteinuria. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure \( \geq 140 \) mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure \( \geq 90 \) mmHg and/or antihypertensive treatment. Retinopathy was assessed by a comprehensive dilated eye examination and by the acquisition of high-quality stereoscopic photographs assessed by an ophthalmologist. Familial CVD was identified when the patient had a first-degree relative (parent, sibling, or child) who had had a major cardiovascular event at age <55 years. Alcohol consumption was calculated in equivalent milliliters of wine and transformed to grams per week (0 g/week, no consumption; 1–225 g/week, moderate consumption; and \( \geq 226 \) g/week, high consumption).

CVD events

Study events were all major IHD events (myocardial infarction, PTCA, and CABG), minor IHD events (angina and other forms of IHD), stroke, and limb amputations. Patients were classified as having IHD if they had one of the following: 1) a history of hospital admission for either fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction or an episode of angina; 2) a 12-lead electrocardiogram with positive results for prior myocardial infarction or angina by the Minnesota coding system (criteria I 1–3, IV 1–3, V 1–2, and VII 1); or 3) a history of CABG or PTCA. All patients had had at least one electrocardiogram in the 12 months preceding enrollment to exclude prior myocardial infarction. Stroke was defined according to World Health Organization criteria for confirmed and possible stroke (i.e., a clinical syndrome consisting of a rapidly developing neurological deficit persisting for \( \geq 24 \) h or leading to death, in the absence of other diseases that could explain the symptoms). A hospital discharge record or a specialist visit was required to certify the event.

A CVD event was considered as recurrent if it occurred at least 28 days after the first event. A minor IHD event after another minor or a major IHD event was not counted as a bona fide recurrent event, i.e., a new episode. Similarly, a major IHD event after a minor IHD event was not considered as recurrent. Such episodes were collectively indicated as events not classifiable as recurrent, in contrast with the truly recurrent CVD events. This choice was made on the grounds that, very often, angina and a subsequent myocardial infarction or revascularization are consequences of the same arterial lesion.

Statistical analysis

The analysis was conducted separately in the two study cohorts (A and B). The variables considered in the analyses were those collected at enrollment for cohort A and those collected at the follow-up visit at which the first CVD event was reported for cohort B.

Data for the continuous variables are expressed as means \( \pm \) SD or median (interquartile range) for non-normal variables and as proportions for categorical variables. The incidence density of recurrent CVD events was standardized on the basis of the age distribution of the 1998 Italian population.

Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards models were used to examine the risk factors for recurrent events. Preliminary data analysis was performed with univariate Cox models of all covariates: duration of diabetes, waist circumference, BMI, total cholesterol level, HDL cholesterol level, triglyceride level, A1C, blood pressure level, alcohol intake, smoke, familial CVD, microvascular complication, lipid-lowering treatment, antihypertensive treatment, and treatment of diabetes. The interaction between blood pressure level and antihypertensive treatment and between total cholesterol level and lipid-lowering therapy was evaluated. For cohort A, the overall model was also adjusted by the number of years from the first event to the enrollment to partially consider the patient’s history of CVD preceding the beginning of the study. All covariates with \( P \leq 0.1 \) (\( P \leq 0.05 \) in cohort B, given the smaller sample size) were entered into the final multivariate models. All analyses were performed using the Stata 8.0 statistical package.

RESULTS—During the 4-year follow-up, in cohort A, 414 of 2,788 patients (who had entered the study with a known previous CVD event) developed at least one event that fulfilled the stipulated criteria of a recurrent event. In cohort B, 54 of 844 patients (who had had their first event during the study) had a recurrent event. With regard to multiple events, 38 patients in cohort A and 4 in cohort B had two recurrent events, and only 1 patient in cohort A had three recurrent events. Events occurring in 386 patients of cohort A and 46 patients of cohort B were not included in the analysis because they did not satisfy the recurrent event criteria.
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Table 1—Clinical characteristics of patients with type 2 diabetes in the two study cohorts by presence of recurrent events

| Characteristics                  | Cohort A                      | Cohort B                      |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                  | No recurrent event            | With event not classifiable as recurrent | With recurrent event |
|                                  | With recurrent event          | With recurrent event          |
| Age (years)                      | 68 ± 8                        | 69 ± 8                        | 69 ± 8                        | 67 ± 8                        | 66 ± 7                        | 67 ± 9                        |
| BMI (kg/m²)                      | 29 ± 5                        | 28 ± 4                        | 28 ± 4                        | 29 ± 5                        | 30 ± 5                        | 29 ± 4                        |
| Waist circumference (cm)         | 99 ± 12                       | 98 ± 11                       | 99 ± 11                       | 99 ± 11                       | 99 ± 10                       | 98 ± 10                       |
| Total cholesterol (mmol/l)       | 5.53 ± 1.11                   | 5.56 ± 1.45                   | 5.53 ± 1.06                   | 5.40 ± 1.09                   | 5.56 ± 1.03                   | 5.69 ± 1.34                   |
| HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)         | 1.24 ± 0.34                   | 1.24 ± 0.31                   | 1.22 ± 0.36                   | 1.29 ± 0.36                   | 1.24 ± 0.28                   | 1.27 ± 0.39                   |
| LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)         | 3.47 ± 0.96                   | 3.47 ± 0.96                   | 3.49 ± 0.91                   | 3.36 ± 0.93                   | 3.49 ± 0.85                   | 3.41 ± 0.98                   |
| Diabetes duration (years)        | 10 (4–16)                     | 11 (5–17)                     | 11 (5–17)                     | 11 (6–17)                     | 11 (7–18)                     | 14 (6–20)                     |
| Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)   | 145 (135–160)                 | 145 (135–160)                 | 135 (145–160)                 | 140 (130–160)                 | 150 (140–165)                 | 140 (130–160)                 |
| Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)  | 80 (80–90)                    | 80 (80–90)                    | 80 (80–90)                    | 80 (80–90)                    | 80 (80–90)                    | 80 (80–90)                    |
| Plasma glucose (mmol/l)          | 9.0 (7.4–11.0)                | 8.8 (7.2–10.9)                | 8.6 (7.2–10.8)                | 8.8 (7.2–10.6)                | 8.9 (8.0–10.3)                | 8.7 (7.4–11.1)                |
| Triglycerides (mmol/l)           | 1.63 (1.19–2.25)              | 1.54 (1.14–2.24)              | 1.63 (1.22–2.26)              | 1.52 (1.11–2.04)              | 1.32 (1.06–2.21)              | 1.73 (1.13–2.25)              |
| Alcohol intake                   | 95.7                          | 95.7                          | 95.7                          | 95.7                          | 95.7                          | 95.7                          |
| Smoking                          | 92.5                          | 92.5                          | 92.5                          | 92.5                          | 92.5                          | 92.5                          |
| Men                              | 56.3                          | 51.3                          | 68.6                          | 50.0                          | 50.0                          | 46.3                          |
| Hypertension*                    | 91.2                          | 91.2                          | 92.8                          | 89.1                          | 95.7                          | 92.5                          |
| A1C†                             | 80.0                          | 76.5                          | 76.7                          | 80.3                          | 82.2                          | 82.7                          |
| Geographical area                | 12.4                          | 36.2                          | 36.2                          | 23.4                          | 28.3                          | 24.1                          |
| Smoking                          | 84.4                          | 84.4                          | 84.4                          | 84.4                          | 84.4                          | 84.4                          |
| Lipid-lowering treatment         | 77.7                          | 77.7                          | 77.7                          | 77.7                          | 77.7                          | 77.7                          |
| Diabetes treatment               | 77.7                          | 77.7                          | 77.7                          | 77.7                          | 77.7                          | 77.7                          |
| Diet alone                       | 11.9                          | 12.7                          | 7.7                           | 8.3                           | 4.3                           | 4.0                           |
| Oral agents                      | 64.7                          | 59.3                          | 58.7                          | 60.1                          | 67.4                          | 56.0                          |
| Insulin + oral agents            | 9.8                           | 12.7                          | 13.1                          | 9.6                           | 8.7                           | 12.0                          |
| Insulin                          | 13.6                          | 15.3                          | 20.5                          | 22.0                          | 19.6                          | 28.0                          |
| Geographic area                  | Northern Italy                | 54.7                          | 55.7                          | 61.1                          | 55.4                          | 39.1                          | 59.3                          |
| Central Italy                    | 10.3                          | 8.0                           | 9.7                           | 10.9                          | 13.1                          | 11.1                          |
| Southern Italy and Islands       | 35.0                          | 36.3                          | 29.2                          | 33.7                          | 47.8                          | 29.6                          |
| Stroke                           | 12.9                          | 15.0                          | 9.9                           | 9.9                           | 11.1                          | 11.1                          |
| Amputation                       | 4.5                           | 4.1                           | 8.7                           | 2.3                           | 0.0                           | 1.9                           |

Data are means ± SD, median (interquartile range), or %. *Defined as systolic pressure ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic pressure ≥90 mmHg and/or antihypertensive treatment. †Percentage of patients with values higher than the upper limit of the normal range. ‡Any combination of events.

not meet the required criteria for recurrent events (almost all IHD events).

As summarized in Table 1, the study population consisted of a high proportion of elderly patients with rather good glycemic control. The most common CVD risk factor was hypertension, which was found in almost all patients. In cohort A, patients with recurrent events were older, were more often male with a previous history of microvascular complications, and had more use of insulin and lipid-lowering medications. In cohort B, patients with recurrent events had higher total cholesterol and triglyceride levels, more often had a previous history of microvascular complications, myocardial infarction, or amputation, and had more use of insulin.

Of note, the age-standardized incidence rate of recurrent CVD was 40% lower in cohort B than in cohort A (Table 2). The average time between enrollment and the occurrence of the recurrent event in cohort A was 1.6 ± 0.14 years, whereas in cohort B the average time between the first and recurrent event was 1.5 ± 0.8 years. The average time between the first and the recurrent event in cohort A was 1.6 ± 1.04 years, whereas the average time between the first and the recurrent event was 8.1 ± 6.9 years in cohort A and 1.5 ± 0.8 years in cohort B.

In cohort A, the standardized incidence of recurrent episodes was 89.2 per 1,000 person-years (95% CI 71.3–107.2) among patients with a major IHD event,
11.4 (3.6–19.2) among those with a minor IHD event, 49.2 (35.5–62.9) among those with a prior stroke, and 79.8 (36.0–123.5) among those with amputation or combined events. A multivariate Cox model for cohorts A and B is shown in Table 3. In cohort A, age, male sex, and use of insulin, alone or in combination with oral agents, were independent predictors of recurrence. However, having had a major IHD event as the first event was the strongest factor of all, with stroke and combined events also being powerful predictors, whereas time between first and recurrent events did not make a significant independent contribution. In cohort B, the risk factor pattern was similar to that of cohort A, with prior events carrying the highest risk. In this model, the only metabolic factor with an independent risk prediction was a serum triglyceride level ≥1.69 mmol/l. No interaction between blood pressure level and antihypertensive treatment or between total cholesterol level and lipid-lowering therapy was found in either cohort.

Given the dominant role of the first event as a predictor, the multivariate models were also run after excluding this variable. In cohort A, some difference emerged: receiving lipid-lowering therapy (hazard ratio [HR] 1.32 [95% CI 1.07–1.63]), number of years from first event (1.57, [1.21–2.03] for >6 years), and living in Southern Italy (0.77 [0.62–0.96]) became significant. In cohort B no significant difference from the full model was found. Finally, given its value from the clinician point of view (although not considered in our analysis of recurrent events), we report the standardized incidence of a minor IHD event: 39.5 per 1,000 person-years (95% CI 30.3–48.7) in men and 57.1 (36.7–77.5) in women in cohort A and 21.3 (5.7–36.8) in men and 8.3 (2.4–14.3) in women in cohort B.

CONCLUSIONS — In our population of diabetic patients receiving usual care, we found that every year, 6.1% of the patients with a prior CVD event developed a new major atherosclerotic complication. This percentage compares very well with those (5.9–6.0% per year) of the cohorts of diabetic patients in secondary prevention analyzed in the PROactive (4) study and the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S) (7). A higher rate of 7.6% per year was reported in the Drugs and Evidence-Based Medicine in the Elderly (DEBATE) study (8), but that study was performed in subjects with a higher mean age (80 years).

The incidence rate of recurrent events in cohort B was almost half that of cohort A. Likely explanations of this difference are that among cohort B patients, there were more women, age was somewhat younger, familial CVD was less prevalent, and prior myocardial infarction was less frequent relative to prior stroke. Moreover, and more importantly, these patients had a shorter and more recent CVD history and were observed for only 1.7 year on average. The patients in cohort A, on the other hand, were “survivors” of a CVD event that preceded the recurrent event by a longer span of time; in fact, there was a remarkable difference in terms of number of years from first and recurrent event, which could be the explanation for this different outcome.

Our analysis highlighted features that can be useful in clinical practice. First, men had markedly higher rates of recurrent events than women. This result may be due to the greater predisposition to necrotic events of men than of postmenopausal women, who are more prone to nonmyocardial infarction IHD (angina) and, probably, heart failure (9–11). In the Framingham study, after the onset of angina, men had a twofold greater risk than women for both myocardial infarction and coronary death after adjustment for age and IHD risk factors (1). We described this sex effect previously in the prevalence (5) and incidence analyses of the first IHD event (12) and stroke (13) in the DAI population. In the present study, on the other hand, the incidence of minor IHD in cohort A was higher in women than in men.

Second, in the search for risk factors specific to recurrent major CVD, we found that age played an important role, with a 10-year difference translating into a 26% risk increment. The impact of age as a CVD risk factor in the general population is well known (14–18), but the current findings document the fact that age is an independent risk factor for relapsing major CVD in a population of diabetic patients not selected for age.

The fact that use of insulin, alone or in combination with oral agents, was an independent risk factor for recurrence in cohort A should not be overlooked. This finding was also reported in the PROactive cohort of type 2 patients with prior myocardial infarction who developed a second fatal or nonfatal myocardial infarction (4) and in the Cardiovascular Health Study (19), in which insulin was found to be associated with greater CVD mortality compared with oral agents. The association was true also when serum insulin concentrations were measured (20). In the DAI study, insulin treatment was an independent risk factor for IHD (12) and stroke (13) in patients free of CVD at baseline as well. On the other hand, the adverse prognosis associated with insulin use may be regarded as the result of an “indication bias”: more severe, longer-standing diabetes is preferentially treated with insulin and has a worse cardiovascular prognosis (21,22). In fact, in clinical trials such as the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial in type 1 diabetic patients and the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) in type 2 diabetic patients (23,24), insulin treatment had a clear-cut antiatherogenic effect. Therefore, the possibility that prolonged use of insulin in these type 2 diabetic patients resulted in less cardioprotection than control of glycemia by other pharmacological means cannot be ruled out.

Dyslipidemia, as indicated by a higher frequency of lipid-lowering treatment in cohort A and higher serum triglyceride levels in cohort B, emerged as an independent risk predictor. This finding resonates with the previous observation...
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Table 3—Multivariate HRs for predictors of recurrent events among type 2 diabetic patients

| Risk factor                              | HR (95%CI) | P value |
|------------------------------------------|------------|---------|
| **Cohort A: patients with CVD at baseline** |            |         |
| Age (10-year increments)                 | 1.26 (1.10–1.43) | <0.001  |
| Sex                                      |            |         |
| Men                                      | 1          |         |
| Women                                    | 0.70 (0.55–0.88) | <0.001  |
| Alcohol intake (g/week)                  |            |         |
| No consumption                           | 1          |         |
| Moderate                                 | 0.84 (0.65–1.07) | 0.16    |
| High                                     | 0.79 (0.60–1.05) | 0.10    |
| Microvascular complications              |            |         |
| No                                       | 1          |         |
| Yes                                      | 1.14 (0.93–1.39) | 0.22    |
| Antihypertensive therapy                 |            |         |
| No                                       | 1          |         |
| Yes                                      | 1.21 (0.95–1.53) | 0.13    |
| Lipid-lowering therapy                   |            |         |
| No                                       | 1          |         |
| Yes                                      | 1.16 (0.93–1.43) | 0.18    |
| Glycemic control                         |            |         |
| Diet                                     | 1.36 (0.94–1.97) | 0.11    |
| Oral agents                              | 1.81 (1.21–2.69) | <0.001  |
| **First event**                          |            |         |
| IHD event                                | 1          |         |
| Major IHD event                          | 5.58 (3.98–7.82) | <0.001  |
| Stroke                                   | 4.63 (3.12–6.88) | <0.001  |
| Other*                                   | 4.59 (2.97–7.08) | <0.001  |
| **Geographic area**                      |            |         |
| North                                    | 1          |         |
| Center                                   | 1.00 (0.71–1.39) | 0.98    |
| South and islands                        | 0.90 (0.72–1.12) | 0.33    |
| **Years from first event**               |            |         |
| <1.0                                     | 1          |         |
| 1.0–2.0                                  | 0.98 (0.67–1.44) | 0.93    |
| 2.1–6.0                                  | 1.13 (0.86–1.48) | 0.38    |
| >6.0                                     | 1.12 (0.86–1.46) | 0.38    |
| **Cohort B: patients with the first CVD event during the study period** | | |
| Age (10-year increments)                 | 1.20 (0.84–1.72) | 0.32    |
| Sex                                      |            |         |
| Men                                      | 1          |         |
| Women                                    | 0.90 (0.50–1.60) | 0.71    |
| Triglycerides (mmol/l)                   |            |         |
| <1.69                                    | 1          |         |
| ≥1.69                                    | 1.93 (1.10–3.40) | 0.02    |
| **First event**                          |            |         |
| IHD event                                | 1          |         |
| Major IHD event                          | 3.64 (1.89–6.98) | <0.001  |
| Stroke                                   | 3.23 (1.25–8.35) | 0.02    |
| Other*                                   | 6.21 (2.54–15.17) | <0.001  |

*Amputations or combinations of events.

in the DAI study (12) that high triglyceride levels are a significant predictor of the first IHD event in women without prior CVD.

The dominant observation in the DAI study seemed to be the strong impact of the type of the first CVD events on relapses—an observation that emerged from both study cohorts. Having had a major IHD event, alone or combined with another CVD event, was associated with the highest relative risk, possibly leaving little room for other modifiable factors.

A limitations of this study is the lack of centralized laboratory measurements. Also, given the fact that the observation period was relatively short, there may not have been time for other potentially significant factors to emerge. Finally, information on other potential risk predictors such as lipoprotein levels, postprandial glucose excursions, or genetic markers was lacking. The strength of this study, in addition to the large size, is that it provides a detailed description of the burden of secondary CVD prevention in diabetic patients under real-life conditions. Because in Italy the percentage of patients who seek care at the hospital-based diabetes clinics is very high (up to 80% of patients with known diabetes), our results can be confidently extrapolated to the entire type 2 diabetic population in the country.

In summary, this nationwide observational study outlines the natural history of recurrent events in type 2 diabetic patients managed by usual care. The observed risk profile has significant clinical implications. First, diabetic patients, especially elderly men, whose CVD onset is myocardial infarction or revascularization (or combined events) warrant close follow-up and intensive management. Second, patients using insulin show a higher frequency of recurrent events. Third, diabetic patients with high triglycerides levels may be targeted by aggressive treatment in secondary CVD prevention.

Acknowledgments—This study was supported in part by the Italian National Institute of Health, the Italian Association of Clinical Diabetologists, and the DAI Study Group.

References
1. Abbott RD, Donahue RP, Kannel WB, Wilson PW: The impact of diabetes on survival following myocardial infarction in men vs. women: the Framingham Study. JAMA 260:3456–3460, 1988
2. Miettinen H, Lehto S, Salomaa VV, Mäkonen M, Niemelä M, Haffner SM, Pyörälä K, Tuomilheto J: Impact of diabetes on mortality after the first myocardial infarction: the FINMONICA Myocardial Infarction Register Study Group. Diabetes Care 21:69–75, 1998
3. Haffner SM, Lehto S, Rönnemaa T, Pyörälä K, Laakso M: Mortality form coronary heart disease in subjects with type 2 diabetes and in nondiabetic subjects with
and without prior myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med 339:229–234, 1998
4. Erdmann E, Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Massi-Benedetti M, Moules IK, Skene AM, PROActive Investigators: The effect of pioglitazone on recurrent myocardial infarction in 2,445 patients with type 2 diabetes and previous myocardial infarction: results from the PROActive (PROActive 05) study. J Am Coll Cardiol 49:1772–1780, 2007
5. The DAI Study Group: Prevalence of coronary heart disease in a cohort of type 2 diabetic patients in Italy: the DAI study. Diabet Med 21:738–745, 2004
6. Harris MI, Hadden WC, Knowler WC, Bennett PH: International criteria for the diagnosis of diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance. Diabetes Care 8:562–567, 1985
7. Randomised trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: the Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). Lancet 344:1383–1389, 1994
8. Strandberg TE, Pulkala KH, Berglind S Nieminem MS, Tilvis RS: Multifactorial intervention to prevent recurrent cardiovascular events in patients 75 years or older: the Drugs and Evidence-Based Medicine in the Elderly (DEBATE) study: a randomized, controlled trial. Am Heart J 152:585–592, 2006
9. Lichtman JH, Krumholz HM, Wang Y, Radford MJ, Brass LM: Risk and predictors of stroke after myocardial infarction among the elderly: results from Cooperative Cardiovascular Project. Circulation 105:1082–1087, 2002
10. Croxson SC, Burden AC, Bodington M, Botha JL: The prevalence of diabetes in elderly people. Diabet Med 8:28–31, 1991
11. Murabito JM, Evans JC, Larson MG, Levy D: Prognosis after the onset of coronary heart disease: an investigation of differences in outcome between the sexes according to initial coronary disease presentation. Circulation 88:2548–2555, 1993
12. Avogaro A, Giorda C, Mognini M, Mannucci E, Rascetti R, Lombardo F, Spilale-Alegiani S, Turco S, Velussi M, Ferrannini E: Incidence of coronary heart disease in type 2 diabetic men and women: impact of microvascular complications, treatment, and geographic location. Diabetes Care 30:1241–1247, 2007
13. Giorda CB, Avogaro A, Mognini M, Lombardo F, Mannucci E, Turco S, Alegiani SS, Rascetti R, Velussi M, Ferrannini E, DAI Study Group: Incidence and risk factors for stroke in type 2 diabetic patients: the DAI study. Stroke 38:1154–1160, 2007
14. Stone PH, Thompson B, Anderson HV, Kronenberg MW, Gibson RS, Rogers WJ, Diver DJ, Theroux P, Warnica JW, Nasmith JB, Kells C, Kleiman N, McCabe CH, Schachman M, Knatterud GL, Braunwald E: Influence of race, sex, and age on management of unstable angina and non-Q-wave myocardial infarction: the TIMI III registry. JAMA 275:1104–1112, 1996
15. Frishman WH, Gomberg-Maitland M, Hirsch H, Catanes J, Furia-Palazzone S, Mueller H, Steingart R, Forman S: Differences between male and female patients with regard to baseline demographics and clinical outcomes in the Asymptomatic Cardiac Ischemia Pilot (ACIP) trial. Clin Cardiol 21:184–190, 1998
16. Wang S-L, Head J, Stevens L, Fuller JH, WHO Multinational Study Group: Excess mortality and its relation to hypertension and proteinuria in diabetic patients: the WHO multinational study of vascular disease in diabetes. Diabetes Care 19:305–312, 1996
17. Rosengren A, Wilhelmson L, Hagman M, Wedel H: Natural history of myocardial infarction and angina pectoris in a general population sample of middle-aged men: a 16-year follow-up of the Primary Prevention Study, Gothenburg, Sweden. J Intern Med 244:495–505, 1998
18. Lampe FC, Whincup PH, Wannamethee SG, Shaper AG, Walker M, Ebrahim S: The natural history of prevalent ischaemic heart disease in the middle-aged men. Eur Heart J 21:1052–1062, 2000
19. Kronmal RA, Barzilay JI, Smith NL, Psaty BM, Kuller LH, Burke GL, Furberg C: Mortality in pharmacologically treated older adults with diabetes: the Cardiovascular Health Study, 1989–2001. PLoS Med 3:e424, 2006
20. Kronmal RA, Barzilay JI, Tracy RP, Savage PJ, Orchard TJ, Burke GL: The relationship of fasting serum radioimmun insulin levels to incident coronary heart disease in an insulin-treated diabetic cohort. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 89:2852–2858, 2004
21. Delgado-Rodriguez M, Llorca J Bias. J: Epidemiol Community Health 38:635–641, 2004
22. Mamdani M, Sykora K, Li P, Normand SL, Streiner DL, Austin PC, Rochon PA, Anderson GM: Reader’s guide to critical appraisal of cohort studies: 2. Assessing potential for confounding. BMJ 330:960–962, 2005
23. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial/Epidemiology of Diabetes Interventions and Complications (DCCT/EDIC) Study Research Group: Intensive diabetes treatment and cardiovascular disease in patients with type 1 diabetes. N Engl J Med 353:2643–2653, 2005
24. Stratton IM, Adler AI, Neil HA, Matthews DR, Manley SE, Cull CA, Hadden D, Turner RC, Holman R: Association of glycaemia with macrovascular and microvascular complications of type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 35): prospective observational study. BMJ 321:405–412, 2000