Subtropical Forages Differentially Influenced the Ruminal Fermentation and Microbial Community of Jersey Cow In Vitro
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Abstract

Oven dried cassava residues, corn straw silage, elephant grass and sugarcane tail silage were used as substrates to do a 24 h of incubation with a 100 ml glass syringe at 39°C. Gas production was recorded at the end of incubation and ruminal fluid was harvested to determine volatile fatty acids (VFA) using gas chromatograph, quantify microbial populations using real time PCR, and analyze microbial community using high throughput sequencing. Results showed in vitro incubation not only decreased population of bacteria, fungi, methanogen and some cellulolytic bacteria (P<0.05), but also increased diversity of bacteria, reversed Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio, and decreased abundance of Prevotella, M. gottschalkii and Entodinium. Gas production, acetate/propionate ratio and abundances of Succiniclasticum, Entodinium and Diploplastron were the highest, while total VFA concentration, fungal and cellulolytic bacterial populations, and abundances of Methanomassiliicoccales and Ostracodinum were the lowest with cassava residues (P<0.05). Influence on fermentation pattern and microbiota of three gramineous substrates was similar, but inoculum incubated with sugarcane tail silage had higher abundance of Methanomassiliicoccales and Diploplastron. In conclusion, cassava residues which is a low neutral detergent fiber forage showed a completely different fermentation pattern and influence on microbiome community indicated NDF was the most crucial factor to determine microbial community in vitro.
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Introduction

Forage usually makes up half or more of the ruminants’ diet, influencing dry matter intake and microbial community composition both in vivo and in vitro [1-3]. On account of distinct nutritive profile, different forages have discrete impacts on ruminal fermentation and microbiota [4,5]. Changes in the ruminal microbial community induced by forage can provide a clear understanding of interaction between forage and microbes [6]. Due to high biodiversity in tropical and subtropical areas, a variety of roughages is available for the ruminants. However, sustainably available roughage sources used for the ruminants on commercial scale are still the by-products of agricultural cultivations. Among those, cassava starch residues, corn straw silage, sugarcane tail silage and elephant grass are the typical representatives and widely used in the southern China. Cassava starch residue is a source of non-forage fiber which has potential to be used as both beef and dairy cattle diet, as its dry matter contains low fiber but high soluble carbohydrates [7]. Corn straw is a by-product of edible corn produced in the subtropical areas and intensively used as forage [8]. Sugarcane is the most productive crop in the tropical areas, and its tail that contains most of leaves is a nutritious forage for the ruminants [9]. Elephant grass is a fast-growing plant and famous for its higher production in the subtropical areas. It is extensively used as a stable forage source for the ruminants [10].

The microbes inhabiting the gut/rumen are known to impose protective effects and nutritional benefits to the host [11] and due to their superior metabolic potentials compared to the host they are rightly considered equivalent to an organ [12,13]. Composition of the microbial community in the rumen and the end products of fermentation depend on the diet fed to the animals [14,15]. To study the impacts of forage source on ruminal fermentation, the in vitro techniques are widely used to realize more controlled and reproducible conditions, compared to in vivo experiments [16]. On the other hand, the advancement in latest microbial molecular techniques, in particular, high throughput sequencing technology have enabled to explore the rumen microbial consortium with higher precision.

Although, nutritional values and digestibility of these typical subtropical forages have been highly explored previously in both in vitro and in vivo studies, but their differential impacts on the ruminal microbial community are poorly researched due to limitations of microbial molecular research techniques in the past. Thus, the primary objective of this study was to examine the impacts of four typical subtropical forages on the ruminal fermentation, microbial population and community composition. Secondly, this study also compared the changes in microbial community composition before and after the incubation to elucidate the effect of fiber structure on ruminal microbiota and fermentation.

Materials and Methods

Ethical statement

Ruminal fluid inoculum donors Jersey cows in this study were housed at the Buffalo Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanning, Guangxi province, China. All the experimental protocols regarding animal handling and treatment were approved by the Animal Care Committee, Guangxi University.
guidance of the International Cooperation Committee of Animal Welfare, China.

**Rumen inoculum donors and their rations**

Rumen inoculum was collected from three ruminal cannulated dry pregnant Jersey cows (Bos taurus) with similar live weights (~500 kg), before their morning feeding. Animals received 3 kg concentrate per head per day and corn silage for ad libitum, and free access. Composition of the concentrate feed offered to the animals was as follows (based on dry matter): maize 52%, wheat bran 18.5%, soybean meal 8%, cotton seed meal 15%, stone dust 2%, calcium hydrogen phosphate 1.5%, sodium chloride 2% and premix 1%. The premix contained per kilogram: 11.9 g of MgSO$_4$·H$_2$O; 2.5 g of FeSO$_4$·7H$_2$O, 0.8 g of CuSO$_4$·5H$_2$O, 3 g of MnSO$_4$·H$_2$O, 5 g of ZnSO$_4$·H$_2$O, 10 mg of Na$_2$SeO$_3$, 40 mg of KI, 30 mg of CoCl$_2$·6H$_2$O, 28.5 g of vitamin A, 0.44 g of vitamin D, and 16.2 g of vitamin E.

**Substrates and their nutritional composition analysis**

Cassava residues (Manihot esculenta), corn straw silage (Zea mays), elephant grass (Pennisetum purpureum), and sugarcane tail silage (Saccharum officinarum) were used as substrates for *in vitro* fermentation, and taken from the farm of Buffalo Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Nanning, Guangxi province, China. Forage samples were dried at 65°C, ground through 1 millimeter (mm) screen and stored at -20°C until analysed for nutritional composition and *in vitro* gas production. Forages samples were analysed for dry matter (DM) contents by oven-drying for 8 hours (h) at 105°C, and crude protein (CP) was calculated as N × 6.25 [17]. Neutral detergent fibre (NDF) and acid detergent fibre (ADF) contents were determined according to the method as described by Van Soest et al. [18]. Nutritional composition of the four substrates is shown in Table 1. Nutritional values of substrates used for *in vitro* incubation (Dry matter basis).

**In vitro fermentation and gas production**

*In vitro* fermentation system was set up following the procedure as described by Tang et al. [19]. Equal volumes of rumen inoculum taken from the selected three Jersey cows were mixed together. Rumen contents were strained through a four layered cheesecloth into a pre-warmed Erlenmeyer flask. All the laboratory handling of rumen inoculum was performed under the continuous flow of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) gas. *In vitro* fermentation process was carried out in glass syringes (100 ml) fitted with plungers [19]. Every glass syringe was anaerobically dispensed with fermentation medium comprising: 10 ml of rumen inoculum, 20 ml of McDougal’s buffer solution and 200 ml of dried forage as substrate. For every substrate, eight experimental replicates (n=8) were set devised and resulting 32 in total. In addition, a similar set of four glass syringes containing only fermentation medium was also run to serve as the blank controls to correct the gas production resulting of fermentation of dry matter in the rumen inoculum. Every glass syringe individually containing fermentation medium and substrate was incubated in a shaking water bath at 39°C and gas production was recorded after 24 hr of incubation.

**Sampling and volatile fatty acids analysis**

After 24 hr of incubation, fermentation process in four of the eight replicates was ceased by placing them into an ice-cold water bath, and samples of fermented rumen inoculum were collected immediately. Collected samples were filtered through a four layered cheese cloth into a 50 ml centrifuge tube. A 2 ml aliquot of the filtrate was instantly subjected to determining the concentrations of volatile fatty acids (VFA) by using a gas chromatograph (GC-2010, Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan), equipped with a flame ionization detector and a capillary column (HP-INNOWAX, 1909N-133, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) as described by Zhang et al. [20]. Another 2 ml aliquot of the filtrate was stored at -20°C for metagenomic DNA extraction.

**DNA extraction and real time quantitative PCR (qRT-PCR)**

DNA was extracted from 2 ml of the preserved sample following the procedure as reported by Rius et al. [21], and further employed to perform quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) to quantify the populations of bacteria, methanogen, fungi, protozoa, *Ruminococcus albus*, *Fibrobacter succinogenes*, *Selenomonas ruminantium*, and *Prevotella ruminicola* [22]. Primers used were the same as described by Jiao et al. (Table 2) [22]. Briefly; standard curves were generated by tenfold serial dilutions of plasmid DNAs containing the extracts of 16s and 18s rRNA gene inserts from every microbial group and bacterial species. qRT-PCR assay was performed with a 10 μL reaction mixture volume using SYBR Green Master Mix (Perfect Real Time Takara, Japan), on a Roche light cycle 480 real time PCR system (Riche, Basel, Switzerland). Reaction mixture contained 5 μL of Fast SYBR Green Master Mix, 0.5 μL of each primer (20 pmol μL$^{-1}$), 3.5 μL of nuclease-free water and 0.5 μL of DNA template (10 ng μL$^{-1}$). All standard dilutions and samples were assayed in triplicate with amplification carried out according to the following program: 95°C for 10 min for initial denaturation, then 30 cycles at 95°C for 20 s, annealing for 30 s at 62°C, followed by terminal elongation at 72°C for 5 min. The corresponding qRT-PCR efficiency for every microbial group and bacterial species ranged from 90% to 100%. Total 16s rRNA and 18s rRNA gene copy numbers in samples were determined by relating the threshold cycle values to the standard curves. Copy numbers for the 16s rRNA gene in ml of rumen inoculum were calculated as proposed by Li et al. [23]. Values were converted to log 10 for further statistical analysis.

| Primer’s name | Sequence (5′-3′) | Size (bp) | Literature cited |
|---------------|-----------------|----------|------------------|
| Bacteria-F    | CGGCAACCGGACGGAACCCC | 146      | Denman and McSweeney |
| Bacteria-R    | CCATTGACGTGCACTGTGAGCC |              |                   |

Table 1: Nutritive values of substrates used for *in vitro* incubation (Dry matter basis).
Fungi-F
Fungi-R
Protozoa-F
Protozoa-R
Methanogen-F
Methanogen-R
Fibrobacter succinogenes-F
Fibrobacter succinogenes-R
Selenomonas ruminantium-F
Selenomonas ruminantium-R
Ruminococcus albus-F
Ruminococcus albus-R
Prevotella ruminicola-F
Prevotella ruminicola-R

Table 2: Primers used for qRT-PCR.

Table 3: Primers used for microbial community composition analysis.

Microbes | Primer sequence (5’-3’) | Size (bp) | Literature cited |
----------|------------------------|----------|-----------------|
Bacteria-F | GGGCAGACGGGTAGATTA | 427 | Hristov |
Bacteria-R | CCGCGNGCTGGCCAC | 472 | Jin |
Methanogen-F | GCGGTTGTGTCGAAGGAGC | 511 | Kittelmann |
Methanogen-R | AGGAAATTGGCGGGGAGGCAC | 511 | Kittelmann |
Protozoa-F | AATTGCGAAAGCTCTATCC | 511 | Kittelmann |
Protozoa-R | GACTAGGGATTGGAGTGA | 511 | Kittelmann |

Table: Primers used for microbial community composition analysis.

Statistical analysis of data

All the preliminary data including rumen fermentation parameters, microbial population and microbial relative abundance were sorted by Microsoft excel. Ruminal fermentation and microbial population data were analyzed as a one-way factorial design using the ANOVA procedure of SAS (2005), according to the following statistical model:

\[ Y_{ij} = \mu + \alpha_i + \epsilon_{ij} \]

Where: \( Y_{ij} \) is dependent variable, \( \alpha_i \) is effect of substrate (i=1,4) and \( \epsilon_{ij} \) is the residual error. Differences among means were tested using Duncan’s multiple range tests. Statistical significance was considered if \( P<0.05 \).

Results

Effects of substrate on in vitro gas production, fermentation parameters and microbial population

After 24 h of incubation, the highest GR butyrate concentration and acetate/propionate (A/P) ratio, while the lowest total VFA, acetate and propionate concentrations were observed on incubation with cassava residues (\( P<0.05 \), Table 4). Second highest GP, the highest propionate concentration and the lowest A/P ratio resulted with corn straw silage among the four substrates (\( P<0.05 \)). The lowest GP and butyrate (\( P<0.05 \)), while the highest total VFA and acetate concentrations (\( P>0.05 \)) were observed with elephant grass. Acetate, propionate as well as total VFA concentrations after incubation with the four substrates were lower than those in fresh rumen inoculum. For the microbial populations; it was found the gene number of bacteria, fungi, \( P. ruminicola \), \( R. albus \), \( F. succinogenes \) and \( S. ruminantium \) were the highest gene numbers of protozoa and

Index

| Substrate source | SE | M | P |
|------------------|----|--|---|
| Fresh rumen inoculum |  |  |  |
| Cassava residues |  |  |  |
| Corn straw silage |  |  |  |
| Elephant grass |  |  |  |
| Sugar cane tail silage |  |  |  |
Effects of substrates on ruminal bacterial community

After 24 hr of incubation, bacterial Cha01 and Shannon index were increased, but Simpson index was decreased by in vitro incubation with the four substrates (Table 5). Comparing the four substrates, the lowest bacterial Cha01 and Shannon index were observed with cassava residues, there was no difference in diversity indices for the other three substrates (Table 5). Community composition analysis showed, at phylum level, abundances of Firmicutes, Proteobacteria and Chloroflexi were increased, but the abundance of Bacteroidetes was decreased (from 60% to <42%) by in vitro incubation with the four substrates (Table 6). At genus level, abundance of dominant genus Prevotella was substantially decreased (from 57% to <30%), while abundance of unclassified bacterial genera was increased (from 33% to >46%) by in vitro incubation with the four substrates (Table 6). Comparing the four substrates, bacterial community of cassava residues had lower abundance of phyla Proteobacteria and Synergistetes, but higher abundance of Chloroflexi than that for other three substrates (Table 6). In addition, cassava residues had higher abundances of bacterial genera Ruminococcus and Succiniciadislacusticum, while lower abundance of unclassified bacterial genera than the other three substrates (Table 6).
Effects of substrates on ruminal protozoal community

After 24 h of incubation, protozoal Shannon index was greatly increased by in vitro incubation with three gramineous substrates (from 161 to >238); however, Shannon index was decreased, and Simpson index was increased by in vitro incubation with cassava residues (Table 5). Comparing the four substrates, cassava residues had lower Shannon and Simpson index, and Simpson index was greater than those of corn straw silage and elephant grass (Table 5). Community composition analysis showed, the abundances of Entodinium, Ophryoscolex and Metadinium were decreased, while the abundance of Ostracodinium was greatly increased (from 1.5% to >41%) by incubation with three gramineous substrates (Table 8). Besides, abundance of Diploplastron, which was a low abundant genus in fresh rumen inoculum and fermented inocula of the three gramineous substrates (<3%), was greatly increased by incubation with cassava residues (from <9.8% to 59%) Comparing of four substrates, cassava residues had much lower abundance of Ostracodinium, Polyplastron and Ophryoscolex, and much higher abundance of Entodinium and Diploplastron than those of other three gramineous substrates. Sugarcane tail silage had lower abundance of Ostracodinium, but higher abundance of Diplodinium than those of corn straw silage and elephant grass (Table 8).
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Discussion

Standardized method of in vitro batch culture system has been intensively used to evaluate the quality of ruminant's rations recently [30]. Numerous studies have researched the influence of forage source on in vitro gas production and rumen fermentation parameters [31,32]. However, studies addressing the changes in ruminal microbial...
community composition after in vitro incubation with different substrates are limited [33]. In contrast, the current study not only focused the effects of substrate on in vitro digestibility of substrates, but it also explored the interaction between forage source and microbial community including bacteria, methanogen and protozoa.

Digestibility of substrates is determined by its in vitro gas production. As cassava residues produced highest gas and VFA, induding it had the highest in vitro degradability among all the substrates. The potential reason was higher soluble carbohydrate and the lowest NDF contents of cassava residues among the four substrates; because lower NDF content was always related with higher gas production and digestibility in vitro [34,35]. The highest butyrate and the lowest acetate concentrations after incubation with cassava residues were probably due to the increased number of protozoa; because butyrate and acetate are two main VFA produced by protozoa on fermentation of starch and cellulose respectively [36-38].

The batch incubation system is characterized for being unable to keep the microbial growth and population steady for long time due to exhaustion of substrates and accumulation of fermentation products. Besides, response of different microbial groups to in vitro incubation was also different which can cause the change in ruminal microbe community profile during in vitro incubation [39]. The population of almost every ruminal microbial group in this study including Bacteria, Methanogen, Fungi, R. albus, F. succinogenes, Ruminicola and S. ruminantium were decreased after in vitro incubation as compared with those in freshly taken ruminal liquor. In particular, fungal population was drastically decreased by incubation with the four substrates. This result was consistent with the findings of Soto et al. [32], who reported that populations of bacteria, fungi, F. succinogenes, R. llavefaciens were decreased in fermented inoculum as compared with those in fresh rumen fluid, and this study also reported that bacteria, fungi, methanogens, and F. succinogenes were decreased even though a single-flow continuous-cultivation system was used. The rapid disappearance of fermentable substrates can explain the decrease of these microbial groups, especially cellulolytic bacteria and fungi. Whereas, the probable reason behind the drastic decrease of fungal population was the higher sensitivity of anaerobic fungi to in vitro incubation system.

Due to different nutritional characteristics of substrates, the response of every microbial group to substrates is also different. This can cause alterations in microbial community profile during in vitro incubation [39]. In the present study, in vitro incubation with cassava residues resulted in lower populations of bacteria, fungi, R. albus and F. succinogenes as compared with those with high fiber containing substrates. These ruminal fiber degrading microbes especially, fungi were decreased in population by incubation with cassava residues which was a low fiber containing substrate. This result indicated low fiber substrate was not beneficial for the growth of fiber degrading microbes during in vitro incubation. This result was also consistent with the findings of Saro et al. [40], who reported abundances of ruminal F. succinogenes, R. llavefaciens and fungi were higher in high NDF grass hay feeding sheep than in alfalfa hay feeding sheep. Moreover, Huws et al. [41] also reported abundance of ruminal cellulolytic bacteria of steers fed with high NDF grass silage was higher than that fed with low NDF red clover silage.

Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes still stood dominant bacterial phyla after incubation with every substrate. However, abundance of Firmicutes was increased while the abundance of Bacteroidetes was decreased after incubation as compared with those in fresh ruminal fluid; indicating the influence of in vitro incubation on bacterial community. At genus level, Prevotella, a group of multifunctional key microbe in the rumen was greatly decreased in abundance by in vitro incubation with every substrate. Prevotella has been reported to be responsible for cellulose, hemicellulose starch and protein degradation in the rumen [42,43]. This suggested that the ability of in vitro system to ferment substrate was depressed as compared with that of in vivo. This result was consistent with reports addressing that ferment ability of in vitro system was lower than that of in vivo [44]. Besides, bacterial diversity was increased by in vitro incubation which was evidenced by increased Shannon diversity index, but the newly increased bacteria were unclassified bacteria in this study. The possible reason was that when high abundant bacteria such as Prevotella were decreased in abundance by in vitro incubation, the less abundant species which were fit for in vitro environment were increased to take the ecological niche. However, Soto et al. [32] reported bacterial diversity was decreased by in vitro batch culture system, which was not consistent with the results of this study. This inconsistent was explained by the difference of method used to explore the diversity. The sequencing method used in this study has higher resolution than terminal-restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) which was a method used by Soto et al. [32]; therefore, this study showed higher abundance of unclassified bacterial species than that reported by Soto et al. [32]. Incubation with cassava residues decreased Shannon index, indicating low NDF forages can decrease bacterial diversity. This was consistent with findings of Grilli et al. [45], who reported that goat ruminal bacteria Shannon index was decreased when goat was fed with 60% alfalfa hay as compared to fed with 100% alfalfa hay.

Methanogen population was not greatly influenced by in vitro fermentation. However, methanogen community composition was altered, and diversity was increased by incubation with the four substrate. In particular, the abundance of M. gottschalkii was decreased, while abundance of Methanomassiliicoccales Group10 sp., previously named as Rumen Cluster C (RCC), was increased after incubation with three gramineous substrates. Methanomassiliicoccales was a group of methanogens that strictly use hydrogen to reduce both methylamines and methanol to methane [46], and degradation products of pectin can promote their growth [47]. Higher abundance of Methanomassiliicoccales in inoculum after incubation with the three gramineous substrates was probably due to higher pectin contents in those substrates, or their fermentation products contained higher methyl compounds; but needs to be further confirmed. RCC are important methane producers in rumen and due to their low abundance, it is hard to get their pure culture. However, in current study we found that in vitro incubation of sugarcane tail silage with Jersey cow rumen fluid can elevate the abundance of Methanomassiliicoccales up to more than 40%, indicating forage with high NDF contents was a better source to get Methanomassiliicoccales enriched.

As compared with methanogen and bacterial, protozoal population and diversities were not greatly influenced by in vitro incubation, while their community demonstrated variations after incubation. Our study found abundance of low abundant protozoa, such as Ostracodinium and Diploplastron, and high abundant Endotrichum in fresh rumen liquor were reversed after in vitro incubation with specific substrates. The reason for this change was unclear, but we can predict that this probably be related with inherent variation of protozoal community in ruminants [48], and it has been reported that ruminal protozoal community has a great variation among individual buffalo even though they were feed same diet in same shed. Our study found Endotrichum...
which is a dominant protozoal genus in ruminants, took a larger account of protozoal community (31%) after incubation with cassava residues as compared with the other three gramineous substrates. This result was consistent with findings of Coleman [49], who reported that Entodinium has the highest starch uptake rate as compared with another protozoa group. Thus, higher population of Entodinium with cassava residues was probably due to high starch contents of this substrate. Whereas, the reason for substantially higher abundance of Diploplastron after incubation with cassava residues, and much higher abundance of Ostracodinium after incubation with the three gramineous substrates was still unclear. We can predict that NDF contents of these substrates may be the most probable determiners. Though, three gramineous substrates demonstrated similar fermentation patterns and influence on microbial population and community, but incubation with sugarcane tail silage resulted in higher abundances of Methanomasillicoccales and Diploplastron than those of the other two gramineous substrates; indicated sugarcane tail silage might have special nutritional characteristics to be evaluated further.

Conclusion

The 24 hr in vitro batch incubation not only decreased the populations of bacteria, fungi, methanogens and some cellulytic bacteria, but also changed the microbial community as compared with fresh rumen fluid. The original Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio was reversed and abundance of Prevotella was greatly decreased. Cassava residues which is a low NDF contents substrate greatly decreased fungal and cellulytic bacterial populations, and increased abundances of Succiniclasticum and Diploplastron as compared with the other three high NDF gramineous substrates. Therefore, it is concluded that NDF contents is important to determine microbial population and community in vitro. Besides, Methanomasillicoccales was greatly enriched after incubation with sugarcane tail silage indicated in vitro incubation with sepcial substrate was a potential way to enrich special methanogen.
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