The SMS domain of Trs23p is responsible for the in vitro appearance of the TRAPP I complex in Saccharomyces cerevisiae
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Introduction

Newly synthesized proteins destined for secretion are packaged into COP II-coated transport vesicles at the endoplasmic reticulum (ER).

Saccharomyces cerevisiae transport protein particle (TRAPP) is a family of related multisubunit complexes required for endoplasmic reticulum-to-Golgi transport (TRAPP I), endosome-to-Golgi transport (TRAPP II) or cytosol to vacuole targeting (TRAPP III). To gain insight into the relationship between these complexes, we generated random and targeted mutations in the Trs23p core subunit. Remarkably, at physiological salt concentrations only two peaks (TRAPP I and a high molecular weight peak) are detected in wild-type cells. As the salt was raised, the high molecular weight peak resolved into TRAPP II and III peaks. Deletion of a Saccharomycotina-specific domain of Trs23p resulted in destabilization of TRAPP I but had no effect on TRAPP II or III. This mutation had no observable growth phenotype, normal levels of Ypt1p-directed guanine nucleotide exchange factor activity in vivo and did not display any in vivo nor in vitro blocks in membrane traffic. Biochemical analysis indicated that TRAPP I could be produced from the TRAPP II/III peak in vitro by increasing the salt concentration. Our data suggest that the SMS domain of Trs23p is responsible for the in vitro appearance of TRAPP I in S. cerevisiae. The implications of these findings are discussed.
Sec23p, a component of the ER-derived COP II coat, suggesting that the Sec23p-binding site on all copies of Bet3p must be blocked in both TRAPP II and III. In addition, this core of the complex was shown to be sufficient for Ypt1-directed guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) activity and indeed all three complexes have been reported to be capable of such activity. Finally, to date only one complex has been reported in mammalian cells and it was recently shown that this complex contains homologs of the S. cerevisiae TRAPP II- and III-specific proteins.

In an effort to better understand the relationship between the TRAPP complexes, we focused on Trs23p that links two Bet3p-containing subcomplexes to form the TRAPP holocomplex, thus providing GEF activity. In addition, Trs23p interacts with the GTPase Ypt1p. Also unique to this subunit is a PDZ-like domain in higher eukaryotes and a Saccharomyces-cerevisiae-specific domain seen in the S. cerevisiae protein. Furthermore, co-expression of the core proteins leads to assembly of a functional complex when using the S. cerevisiae proteins but the mammalian proteins fail to assemble suggesting differences in the assembly and/or stability of the core between both organisms.

Using random and targeted mutagenesis we have constructed a series of mutations in Trs23p. We show that neither the C-terminal 99 amino acids (trs23A99C) nor the Saccharomyces-cerevisiae-specific domain (trs23ASMS) of Trs23p are essential for viability. A more extensive characterization of trs23A99MS showed no growth defect and that TRAPP I subunits are shifted to a much smaller molecular size suggesting that this domain is responsible for the appearance of TRAPP I in vitro. The absence of TRAPP I did not affect ER-to-Golgi traffic either in vivo or in vitro, nor later Golgi traffic or autophagy. We demonstrate that under physiological conditions TRAPP II co-fractionates with TRAPP III, and this is the only source of TRAPP seen in trs23A99MS. Importantly, we demonstrate that the fraction containing a mixture of TRAPP II and III can be induced to produce TRAPP I and dimeric TRAPP II by incubation in high salt. Finally, we show that in contrast to S. cerevisiae, the closely-related yeast Pichia pastoris, which lacks the SMS domain in Trs23p, does not contain a TRAPP I peak. Our data suggest that the SMS domain stabilizes the TRAPP I core complex and that this complex may be an in vitro anomaly. The implications of these findings on membrane trafficking are discussed.

Results

Neither the C-terminal 99 residues nor the SMS domain of Trs23p are essential. In an effort to examine the role of the Trs23p subunit in TRAPP function, we conducted a random mutagenesis screen using hydroxylamine to identify conditional growth defects. Although the reason for the discrepancy in the SMS domain is not clear, the phenotype we now show is consistent with the non-essential nature of the carboxy-terminus of TRS23.

The SMS domain is required for efficient assembly of recombinant TRAPP I. To begin to address the consequences of the removal of either the carboxy-terminus or the SMS domain of Trs23p, we expressed these proteins in our recombinant bacterial system that generates a functional TRAPP I complex (rTRAPP I) that can be detected using size exclusion chromatography. As shown in Figure 2A, although the truncated trs23A99C protein was produced and could be detected by anti-Trs23p antibody in the cell lysate, it was largely insoluble and did not co-purify with His-tagged Bet3p. In contrast to the cells expressing wild-type Trs23p where rTRAPP I is found in a high molecular size fraction, rTRAPP I was absent in lysates expressing either the trs23A99C or the trs23ASMS proteins (Fig. 2B). Instead, cells expressing trs23A99C contained a Bet3p-Trs33p-Bet5p subcomplex while those expressing trs23ASMS contained a Bet3p-Trs33p-Bet5p-trs23ASMS subcomplex.

Since small amounts of rTRAPP I may be present in the lysates generated from the two mutants, we assayed the lysate for guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) activity for the GTPase Ypt1p since this activity is robust with rTRAPP I.
Compared to lysate containing wild-type Trs23p and consistent with no detectable rTRAPP I, lysate with trs23<sup>D99C</sup> displayed near background levels of GEF activity (Fig. 2C). In contrast, lysate prepared from cells expressing the trs23<sup>DSMS</sup> protein displayed GEF activity intermediate to that of wild-type and Ypt1p alone (Fig. 2C) suggesting that small, but undetectable, amounts of rTRAPP I do indeed form in these cells. Our results suggest that, in vitro, rTRAPP I cannot assemble with the trs23<sup>D99C</sup> protein. They further suggest that the SMS domain of Trs23p is not essential for GEF activity of rTRAPP I but is required for its efficient assembly.

The SMS domain contributes to Trs23p interactions. The lack of efficient assembly of rTRAPP I in the presence of either the trs23<sup>∆SMS</sup> protein or the SMS domain (trs23<sup>∆SMS</sup>), cells were plated on either SD-leucine or on 5-FOA to counterselect against wild-type TRS23 in the URA3-containing plasmid pRS316 and incubated at 30°C. (B) Serial 10-fold dilutions of wild-type or yeast strains with the mutations indicated were plated on YPD and incubated at either permissive temperature (30°C) or the restrictive temperatures of 16°C or 38°C. (C) A schematic showing all of the TRS23 mutations used in this study with their viability and growth phenotypes indicated (cs, cold sensitive; ts, heat sensitive). (D) Human TRAPPC4 (accession number NP_057230.1) and S. cerevisiae Trs23p (accession number NP_101532.1) were aligned using Clustal W and the gaps were inserted manually in accordance with Kim et al., 2006. Identities are shaded in black; the PDZ-like domain of human TRAPPC4 is underlined and the SMS domain of Trs23p is shaded in gray.

Figure 1. Growth properties of trs23 mutations. (A) MSY62 was transformed with a plasmid (pRS315) containing TRS23 that was subjected to either random mutagenesis yielding nonsense mutations after the 17th, 52nd and 120th amino acids (trs23<sup>Δ202C</sup>, trs23<sup>Δ167C</sup> and trs23<sup>Δ109C</sup>, respectively); or site-directed mutagenesis to generate a nonsense mutation after amino acid 110 (trs23<sup>Δ109C</sup>) or deletion of either the first 15 amino acids (trs23<sup>Δ15N</sup>) or the SMS domain (trs23<sup>ΔSMS</sup>). Cells were plated on either SD-leucine or on 5-FOA to counterselect against wild-type TRS23 in the URA3-containing plasmid pRS316 and incubated at 30°C. (B) Serial 10-fold dilutions of wild-type or yeast strains with the mutations indicated were plated on YPD and incubated at either permissive temperature (30°C) or the restrictive temperatures of 16°C or 38°C. (C) A schematic showing all of the TRS23 mutations used in this study with their viability and growth phenotypes indicated (cs, cold sensitive; ts, heat sensitive). (D) Human TRAPPC4 (accession number NP_057230.1) and S. cerevisiae Trs23p (accession number NP_101532.1) were aligned using Clustal W and the gaps were inserted manually in accordance with Kim et al., 2006. Identities are shaded in black; the PDZ-like domain of human TRAPPC4 is underlined and the SMS domain of Trs23p is shaded in gray.
to interact with both Trs31p and Trs20p (Fig. 3A), suggesting that the SMS domain contributes to these interactions. These differences were not due to reduced expression levels or instability of the mutant proteins (Fig. 3B). Weakened interactions between trs23ΔSMS and other TRAPP proteins helps to explain the intermediate GEF activity seen in bacterial lysates containing trs23ΔSMS (Fig. 2C) in the absence of detectable amounts of rTRAPP I (Fig. 2B).

**trs23ΔSMS retains Ypt1p GEF activity in yeast lysates.** We next explored the level of Ypt1p GEF activity in the yeast mutants trs23ΔSMS and trs23Δ99C. In lysates prepared from trs23ΔSMS, GEF activity was comparable to that of wild-type (Fig. 4A) confirming that this domain is not essential for GEF activity. In contrast, lysates from trs23Δ99C were reduced to near background levels and was similar to that of trs23Δ99C (Fig. 4A) that was previously shown to be defective in vitro (see ref. 14). These results are similar to those seen in vitro (Fig. 2C) and suggest that the assembly of TRAPP in trs23ΔSMS is more efficient in vivo compared with in vitro. Furthermore, the stability of TRAPP appears to be largely unaffected in trs23ΔSMS, in contrast to trs23Δ99C where several subunits are less stable (Fig. 5). Reduced levels of TRAPP could account for the growth phenotype seen for trs23Δ99C. For these reasons, we focused our attention on the trs23ΔSMS mutant which, although has weakened interactions, displays no defects in growth, TRAPP subunit levels or GEF activity in yeast.

**trs23ΔSMS contain only a single TRAPP peak at physiological salt.** Our results thus far suggest that the trs23ΔSMS protein has reduced interactions with TRAPP proteins and only weakly supports the formation of the TRAPP core in vitro yet yeast bearing this mutation are not defective in GEF activity. We therefore explored the effects of this mutation on the formation of the three reported yeast TRAPP complexes TRAPP I, II and III. Lysates from wild-type and trs23ΔSMS were fractionated in physiological salt (150 mM) by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column. This column was chosen since, unlike Superdex 200 that has been used for most previously-reported TRAPP studies, it readily separates TRAPP II and III.26 Consistent with previous studies,7,11,18,20,26,27 Bet3p, Trs23p and Trs33p in wild-type lysates are found in two high molecular weight fractions (Fig. 6A). While these have previously been reported to correspond to TRAPP II and I on a Superdex 200 column, we now show the remarkable result that the highest molecular weight peak (fractions 16–17) contains both the TRAPP III- and II-specific subunits Trs85p and Trs130p, respectively (Fig. 6A). Only at higher salt concentrations (300 mM) do we see these proteins separate into two peaks largely distinct from each other which are indicated as TRAPP III (fractions 16–17) and II (fractions 21–22) (Fig. 6A). The third TRAPP complex, TRAPP I, peaks at fractions 30–31 (Fig. 6A). In lysates from trs23ΔSMS, similar results were seen with respect to the peak seen in fractions 16–17, and the salt-induced separation into distinct TRAPP III and II peaks was also noted.

---

**Figure 2.** Assembly and function of recombinant TRAPP containing the trs23Δ99C and trs23ΔSMS proteins. (A) Bacterial cells expressing wild-type TRAPP I proteins (with His-tagged Bet3p and Trs33p) and Trs23p (lanes 1–3) or trs23Δ99C (lanes 4–6) were probed for Trs23 using anti-Trs23 antisera either in the total cell lysate (TCL; lanes 1 and 4), the soluble fraction (lanes 2 and 5) or in the eluate following a Ni²⁺-NTA agarose purification (lanes 3 and 6). (B) Bacterial cells expressing wild-type TRAPP I proteins (where Bet3p is His-tagged) and Trs23p, trs23Δ99C or trs23ΔSMS as indicated, were first purified with Ni²⁺-NTA agarose and then the eluates were fractionated by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column. Fractions from the column were then analyzed by SDS-PAGE and the gel was silver stained. The positions of the TRAPP proteins are indicated to the side of each panel and the position on the column of fully-assembled recombinant TRAPP I is indicated by an asterisk (*). (C) Lysates from the bacterial cells in (B) were assayed for Ypt1p GEF activity as described in Experimental Procedures [wild-type (●); trs23Δ99C (○); trs23ΔSMS (■)]. The intrinsic ability of Ypt1p to release nucleotide is also shown (△). Assays represent three replicates and error bars represent ± SEM.
(Fig. 6A). However, in trs23ΔSMS we no longer detected the TRAPP I peak that appears in fractions 30–31 in wild-type cells. Rather, the TRAPP subunits Bet3p, Trs23p and Trs33p are found in a peak in fractions 33/34 (Fig. 6A and B). This latter peak has a molecular size of ~67 kD which likely corresponds to monomers or very small subcomplexes of these subunits. In addition, we noted the appearance of a sizeable pool of Trs85p in trs23ΔSMS that increases under high salt conditions. The complexes seen on this column are likely representative of the cellular pool of TRAPP since the majority of TRAPP was loaded onto the columns at both 150 mM and 300 mM salt (Fig. 6C).

Collectively, our results demonstrate that, at physiological salt, trs23ΔSMS contain just a single TRAPP peak corresponding to the region where TRAPP III fractionates and that under these conditions the TRAPP II peak is not present even in wild-type. The fact that Trs85p and Trs130p co-fractionate in a single peak at physiological salt in both wild-type and trs23ΔSMS led us to consider the possibility that there is a single TRAPP complex that is unstable and easily fragments with increasing salt. Alternatively, these two TRAPP complexes could be either oligomerized or bound to other cellular components causing them to co-fractionate. To distinguish between these possibilities we constructed a strain that contains TAP-tagged Trs85p and Trs130p-myc. The strain was fractionated under conditions where only the TRAPP III peak, but not the TRAPP II peak, was detected (50 mM NaCl; see Fig. 8A). The TRAPP III-containing fraction was then treated with the crosslinking reagent DSP or left untreated before processing for immunoprecipitation. Although crosslinking was efficient (see Fig. 8B), when Trs85p was immunoprecipitated with anti-TAP IgG we failed to detect co-precipitation of Trs130p-myc even though > 50% of the cellular Trs85p was immunoprecipitated (Fig. 6D). Since multiple copies of Trs85p were not previously detected in TRAPP III25 and since at least two copies of Trs130p are present in TRAPP II,25 we should have easily been able to detect co-precipitation of Trs130p-myc if there was just a single complex in fractions 16–17. Therefore, we conclude that at physiological salt, TRAPP II and III represent distinct complexes that co-fractionate on a Superose 6 column.

TRAPP II/III provides Ypt1p GEF activity in trs23ΔSMS. The ability of TRAPP I to act as a GEF for Ypt1p is firmly established.7,14,15,18,28 The absence of TRAPP I in trs23ΔSMS along with near wild-type levels of GEF activity in vivo, suggested that an alternate Ypt1p GEF was functioning to support ER-to-Golgi transport. One possibility is that Dss4p, a protein that facilitates nucleotide release from several GTPases including Ypt1p29 and genetically interacts with TRAPP,30 can facilitate nucleotide exchange on Ypt1p in the absence of TRAPP I. If this were the case, we would expect to see a genetic interaction between DSS4 and TRS23. Since trs23A99C has an obvious growth phenotype, we used this mutant to explore genetic interactions with DSS4. Ovetexpression of DSS4 did not alleviate...
either the ts or cs phenotype of trs23Δ99C (not shown). In addition, tetrad analysis following a cross between the two haploid mutant yeast strains dss4Δ and trs23Δ99C did not reveal any growth defect or exacerbation of the growth phenotype seen for trs23Δ99C (22 tetrads dissected, co-segregation in 19 colonies). These results argue against Dss4p compensating for the lack of TRAPP I.

Alternatively, TRAPP II or III, the only TRAPP complexes detected in trs23ΔSMS at physiological salt, may act as a Ypt1p GEF since previous literature in this area in which lysates were assayed for GEF activity has been controversial.7,14,18,28 Yeast lysates from wild-type and trs23ΔSMS were fractionated by size exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6 column and fractions 16–17 (TRAPP II/III) and 29–31 (where TRAPP I fractionates) were assayed for Ypt1p GEF activity. Indeed, both the TRAPP II/III- and TRAPP I-containing fractions displayed Ypt1p GEF activity in wild-type. In contrast, and consistent with the absence of TRAPP I in trs23ΔSMS, this mutant only displayed Ypt1p GEF activity in the TRAPP II/III fractions (Fig. 4B). It is noteworthy that although TRAPP III has been reported to display Ypt1p GEF activity,10 the Trs85p peak near TRAPP I is not active in our assay, suggesting that only the high molecular weight peak of Trs85p possesses this activity. Our results suggest that in the absence of TRAPP I in trs23ΔSMS, this mutant only displayed Ypt1p GEF activity in the TRAPP II/III fractions (Fig. 4B).

Figure 5. The levels of TRAPP subunits are largely unaffected in trs23ΔSMS. Lysates from wild-type (lane 1), trs23ΔMPR/AWS (lane 2), trs23ΔSMS (lane 3) and trs23ΔA99C (lane 4) were probed with antibodies recognizing the TRAPP subunits indicated or anti-HA to detect endogenously-tagged Trs130p. Tubulin served as a loading control.

Figure 4. GEF activity is unaffected in S. cerevisiae containing trs23ΔSMS. (A) Lysates from yeast cells expressing wild-type Trs23p (■) or the mutant proteins trs23Δ99C (●), trs23ΔSMS (○) or trs23ΔMPR/AWS (X) were prepared and assayed for Ypt1p-directed GEF activity as described in Experimental Procedures. The intrinsic ability of Ypt1p to release nucleotide is also shown (▲). (B) Lysate from wild-type (■) or trs23ΔSMS (○) was fractionated by size exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6 column in 150mM salt. The fractions enriched in TRAPP II/III (fractions 16–17) and TRAPP I (fractions 29–31) were pooled, concentrated and assayed for Ypt1p GEF activity compared with the intrinsic ability of Ypt1p to release nucleotide. The intrinsic ability of Ypt1p to release nucleotide is also shown (▲). Assays in (A) and (B) represent three replicates and error bars represent ± SEM.

dsΔΔA and trs23ΔA99C did not reveal any growth defect or exacerbation of the growth phenotype seen for trs23ΔA99C (22 tetrads dissected, co-segregation in 19 colonies). These results argue against Dss4p compensating for the lack of TRAPP I.

Alternatively, TRAPP II or III, the only TRAPP complexes detected in trs23ΔSMS at physiological salt, may act as a Ypt1p GEF since previous literature in this area in which lysates were assayed for GEF activity has been controversial.7,14,18,28 Yeast lysates from wild-type and trs23ΔSMS were fractionated by size exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6 column and fractions 16–17 (TRAPP II/III) and 29–31 (where TRAPP I fractionates) were assayed for Ypt1p GEF activity. Indeed, both the TRAPP II/III- and TRAPP I-containing fractions displayed Ypt1p GEF activity in wild-type. In contrast, and consistent with the absence of TRAPP I in trs23ΔSMS, this mutant only displayed Ypt1p GEF activity in the TRAPP II/III fractions (Fig. 4B). It is noteworthy that although TRAPP III has been reported to display Ypt1p GEF activity,10 the Trs85p peak near TRAPP I is not active in our assay, suggesting that only the high molecular weight peak of Trs85p possesses this activity. Our results suggest that in the absence of TRAPP I, either TRAPP II or III can provide sufficient Ypt1p GEF activity to maintain cell growth.

trs23ΔSMS does not display defects in membrane traffic. The only notable defect seen in trs23ΔSMS has been the disappearance of its TRAPP I peak. Since TRAPP I is reported to function in ER-to-Golgi transport, we expected that a defect in this stage of membrane traffic would manifest in a growth
phenotype. However, this was not seen (see Fig. 1). In order to more closely assess this mutant for possible defects in membrane traffic we first examined the processing of the vacuolar protease carboxypeptidase Y (CPY) by pulse-chase analysis. This protein has ER (p1), Golgi (p2) and vacuolar (m) forms that are easily distinguished by SDS-PAGE. As shown in Figure 7A, trs23ΔSMS did not show a noticeable defect in CPY processing. The pattern was similar to wild-type and stood in stark contrast to that of sec18, which displayed the expected block in ER-to-Golgi traffic as evidenced by the failure of the cells to process CPY.

Since Trs23p is a component of TRAPP II that reportedly functions at the late Golgi, we also examined the cells for defects in later stages of the secretory pathway. Snc1p, a protein that cycles between the late Golgi and the plasma membrane, is normally found at steady-state on the plasma membrane of unbudded yeast cells or on the emerging bud in a polarized manner when fused to GFP (GFP-Snc1p).35 Defects in recycling lead to the accumulation of Snc1p inside of the cells or a loss of its polarized distribution. Wild-type cells displayed the expected distribution of GFP-Snc1p (Fig. 7B). As was the case with CPY processing, GFP-Snc1p localization was unaffected in trs23ΔSMS. This result contrasts with that of trs85Δ which showed a strong GFP-Snc1p recycling defect (Fig. 7B) as previously reported.27

To further explore trs23ΔSMS for a late secretory pathway defect we examined the localization of the fluorescently-tagged GTPase GFP-Ypt31p. This GTPase normally concentrates in small buds and at the mother/bud neck,32 which we saw in wild-type cells (Fig. 7C). This pattern was unaffected in trs23ΔSMS, in contrast to trs23Δ99C where it was clearly altered (Fig. 7C).

TRAPP III has recently been reported to function in autophagy.10 We therefore examined the cytosol-to-vacuole targeting (cvt) pathway in trs23ΔSMS using the marker Ape1p-GFP. This protein is processed by the cvt pathway and is ultimately cleaved releasing GFP which is detected by western analysis.33 As shown in Figure 7D, both wild-type and trs23ΔSMS were capable of processing Ape1p-GFP. In contrast, and as previously reported,12,13 trs85Δ cells were defective in this pathway (Fig. 7D).

We also examined trs23ΔSMS for defects in an in vitro assay that reconstitutes ER-to-Golgi transport.34 This assay measures the acquisition of Golgi-specific modifications of a marker protein called pro-α-factor. Compared with wild-type, trs23ΔSMS did not show a defect in transport in vitro (Fig. 7E). As was shown earlier using physiological salt (see Fig. 6A), lysates from trs23ΔSMS prepared in the buffer used for the in vitro assay (buffer B88) also showed a single TRAPP peak corresponding to TRAPP II/III (Fig. 7F). Interestingly, lysates from wild-type used for this assay showed a broadening of the TRAPP I peak suggesting this complex is not stable in this buffer. Collectively, our results suggest that trs23ΔSMS does not adversely affect membrane traffic in vitro nor in vivo.

**TRAPP I can form from TRAPP II/III in vitro.** Our results thus far suggest that in the absence of TRAPP I, cells survive with no adverse effects in ER-to-Golgi traffic. This was surprising given that TRAPP I is implicated in this pathway. One explanation is that TRAPP I represents either an intermediate in the assembly of TRAPP II and/or III or is a fragment of either complex since we found that its appearance is salt-dependent (Fig. 8A). To address this we first fractionated a lysate from wild-type cells in low (50 mM) salt where only the TRAPP II/III peak is detected (Fig. 8A). We then incubated this fraction either with or without the crosslinking reagent DSP and fractionated the sample in 300 mM salt, conditions where all three TRAPP complexes are detected (see Fig. 6A). As shown in Figure 8B, while the untreated sample did indeed show a TRAPP I peak, the crosslinked sample did not. Importantly, no intermediate peak between TRAPP II/III and TRAPP I was detected in the untreated sample. These results suggest that TRAPP I is a subcomplex of one or both of the larger (TRAPP II and III) complexes that forms in the presence of increasing salt in vitro.

**TRAPP I appearance is SMS domain-dependent.** TRAPP I, as defined by the co-fractionation of the TRAPP core subunits on a gel filtration column, is readily seen in *S. cerevisiae* but not in human cells.21,22,35,36 We speculated that this may be due to added stability afforded to this complex by the SMS domain of Trs23p. Like the human protein, Trs23p in other yeast such as *P. pastoris* do not have the SMS domain. Thus, if our hypothesis is correct, we would expect that *P. pastoris* would not display a TRAPP I peak. We found that our antibody raised against the human TRAPPC3 protein, the most conserved subunit in TRAPP, was cross-reactive with *P. pastoris* Bet3p providing us with a means to address whether this yeast has a TRAPP I peak. Lysate from *P. pastoris* lyses, a high molecular weight pool of Bet3p was detected that most likely corresponds to TRAPP II/III complexes. In *S. cerevisiae*, TRAPP I is detected in fraction 13 while TRAPP II/III is detected in fractions 8–9 (Fig. 9). In the *P. pastoris* lysate, a high molecular weight pool of Bet3p was detected that most likely corresponds to TRAPP II/III complexes. While a second peak of Bet3p was detected, it eluted in a fraction (fraction 17) with a molecular size much smaller than that expected for TRAPP I and no other peak of *P. pastoris* Bet3p was seen. The absence of a TRAPP I-equivalent was also noted for human cells, whose Trs23p homolog does not contain an SMS domain.21,22,36,37 This result is consistent with the notion that the SMS domain of Trs23p stabilizes *S. cerevisiae* TRAPP I.

**Discussion**

Here we demonstrate that the Saccharomycotina-specific (SMS) domain of *S. cerevisiae* Trs23p is not required for Ypt1p-directed GEF activity but is important for the stable interaction between Trs23p and other TRAPP subunits. Our data suggest that cells devoid of this domain of Trs23p contain two large TRAPP complexes called TRAPP II and III and do not contain the smaller TRAPP I complex. These cells resemble wild-type with respect to four different membrane trafficking assays both in vivo and in vitro and in terms of their growth characteristics. Based on our study, we suggest that the SMS domain of Trs23p stabilizes fragments (TRAPP I) of TRAPP II and/or III.
Collectively, our data may suggest that *S. cerevisiae* contain a single Golgi-localized TRAPP complex (TRAPP II) that is capable of supporting ER-to-Golgi traffic. This is based on a number of observations including: (1) removal of the SMS domain of Trs23p in *S. cerevisiae* results in a loss of the TRAPP I peak with no corresponding reduction in Ypt1p GEF activity or noticeable growth phenotype; (2) ER-to-Golgi traffic is normal both in vivo and in vitro; (3) a TRAPP I peak can be formed by incubating the TRAPP II/III peak with high salt in vitro. Since TRAPP II, but not TRAPP III, localizes to the Golgi we propose that TRAPP II can function in ER-to-Golgi transport. Since we cannot separate TRAPP II from TRAPP III, we cannot rule out the possibility that TRAPP III is the complex that functions in ER-to-Golgi traffic. In this respect it is noteworthy that depletion of Trs85p, the TRAPP III-specific subunit, was reported to be defective in ER-to-Golgi traffic.17 Although it remains a formal possibility that small structures are either bound to detergent-insoluble membranes, they oligomerize to greater than a dimer or are bound to a large protein structure as previously suggested.16 In addition, uncharacterized subunits may be present in the complex. An alternative explanation for our data are that in the *trs23Δ* cells, TRAPP I is more tightly associated with the TRAPP superstructures and would require higher salt to be liberated. We do not favor this possibility since increasing the salt concentration in *trs23Δ* redistributes TRAPP core proteins from the higher molecular size fractions to a smaller fraction. The resulting peak is significantly smaller than TRAPP I and, at physiological salt, is devoid of Trs23p. It is evident that data addressing the existence and function of TRAPP I (which has only been demonstrated in vitro) as an independent TRAPP complex must be cautiously interpreted in light of our in vitro data.

Our results implicate the SMS domain of Trs23p in the stability of TRAPP I. The added stability afforded to TRAPP I by the SMS domain may explain why a recombinant form of human TRAPP I could not be assembled like that seen for the *S. cerevisiae* subunits.15 Although it remains a formal possibility that small amounts of TRAPP I can support growth with no observable phenotype, given the very low levels of TRAPP in cells to begin with16 and the amounts of TRAPP I needed to support ER-to-Golgi transport in vitro,7 as well as the lack of GEF activity in the TRAPP I fraction of *trs23Δ* SMS (Fig. 4B) we do not favor this possibility.

Consistent with the notion that TRAPP I may be a fragment of TRAPP II or III is the fact that TRAPP I is not detected in live yeast cells.27 In addition, it has previously been shown that the relative distribution of core subunits between the two TRAPP peaks on a Superdex 200 size exclusion column (which does not resolve TRAPP II and III) is highly variable but TRAPP II/III levels of these subunits are generally higher than those in TRAPP I.17,20,26,27 The only exceptions to this are when high salt (500 mM) is used in the preparation of the lysate27 or when certain *trs120* or *trs130* (TRAPP II) mutants are used.26 The former is consistent with the notion that TRAPP I is an in vitro, salt-dependent fragment of TRAPP II and/or III, and the latter is consistent with the role of Trs120p and Trs130p in the overall architecture of TRAPP II.25 The notion that TRAPP I results from TRAPP II/III is analogous to a recent study that suggested that there were two Trs85p peaks on a size exclusion column (confirmed in the present study), where the low molecular weight peak may represent a breakdown product or an assembly intermediate of the higher molecular weight TRAPP III peak.20

If our suggestion of TRAPP I being an in vitro, salt-dependent fragment of TRAPP II/III is correct, this has strong implications for the role of tethering factors as determinants of specificity and for the mechanism of action of TRAPP in ER-to-Golgi traffic in particular. The Golgi would in fact have a single TRAPP complex (TRAPP II) that could function in traffic at both ends of the organelle. In mammalian cells the early secretory pathway is more complex with a pre-Golgi ERGIC compartment59 and the need to transport diverse-sized cargo.60 Whether the mammalian TRAPP complex functions at both ends of the Golgi is still unknown. However, it has been shown to function in the early secretory pathway21,22,36,37,41 and only a single complex containing all *S. cerevisiae* TRAPP subunit homologs has been reported.
suggesting that if mammalian TRAPP does indeed function at the late Golgi then a single complex would be expected to perform both of these functions. Indeed, parallels between *S. cerevisiae* and human TRAPP proteins with respect to their interactions with vesicle coat proteins have already been made. We suggest that factors on the vesicles (e.g., GTPases and SNAREs) in combination with coat proteins and tethers contribute to the overall specificity of vesicle transport. This notion helps to explain why some GTPases such as Ypt1p can function at multiple steps in membrane traffic and why some yeast SNAREs such as Sed5p, Vkt6p and Vti1p can also function in multiple membrane trafficking processes. In this respect, *S. cerevisiae* TRAPP II and mammalian TRAPP would be no different, with the particular combinations of factors and the combined effect of multiple layers of partial specificity dictating the overall specificity of the process.

**Figure 7.** For figure legend, see page 38.
Whether S. cerevisiae TRAPP functions on the Golgi where it interacts with incoming vesicles or binds to vesicles and interacts with a Golgi-localized protein is not clear and needs to be resolved. However, recent studies suggest the latter may be possible and the mammalian Bet3p homolog, TRAPPC3, has been shown to be required for homotypic vesicle fusion suggesting that at least this subunit can bind to vesicles independent of the Golgi. In addition, other MTCs or parts of these complexes such as the exocyst and the COG complex have been reported to localize to vesicles and recent studies have suggested the mammalian Bet3p homolog, TRAPPC3, has been shown to be required for homotypic vesicle fusion suggesting that at least this subunit can bind to vesicles independent of the Golgi. If TRAPP were to function analogously, it would have the ability to bind to both COP I and II vesicles.

Given the large size of TRAPP II and III, and the fact that the core subunit Bet3p binds to the inner Sec23/24p layer of the COP I coat, how can we envision TRAPP II or III functioning in ER-to-Golgi transport? The dimensions of a TRAPP II dimer (225 Å x 250 Å) may in theory have access to the Sec23/24p layer since the outer layer, composed of Sec13/31p, has openings.

Figure 7 (See previous page). Membrane trafficking is unaffected in the trs23ΔSMS mutant. (A) Wild-type, trs23ΔSMS and sec18 yeast were pulse-labeled with [35S]-methionine/cysteine and chased for the times indicated below the panels. Carboxypeptidase Y (CPY) was immunoprecipitated from lysates at each time point, fractionated by SDS-PAGE and visualized by autoradiography. The position of the ER (p1) and vacuolar (m) forms of CPY are indicated. (B) Wild-type, trs23ΔSMS and trs85Δ were transformed with a plasmid expressing GFP-Snc1p. The cells were fixed and viewed using an epifluorescence microscope. (C) Wild-type, trs23ΔSMS and trs23Δ99C were transformed with a plasmid expressing Ypt31p-GFP. The cells were fixed and viewed using an epifluorescence microscope. For quantitation in (B) and (C), a minimum of 100 cells from three replicates were counted. The error bars represent ± SEM and representative cells used for quantitation are shown as insets. (D) Wild-type, trs23ΔSMS and trs85Δ were transformed with a plasmid expressing Ape1p-GFP, grown in minimal medium without uracil, converted to spheroplasts and lysed. Samples were then fractionated by SDS-PAGE and probed with anti-GFP. The percentage of Ape1p-GFP processing, calculated using Image J, is indicated below each lane. (E) Reconstitution of ER-to-Golgi traffic was performed as described in Experimental Procedures. Reactions were performed with no additions either on ice (ice) or at 29°C (NA), or fully reconstituted at 29°C (Recon). The results are expressed as percentage of concanavalin A-precipitable pro-α-factor that has received α-1,6-mannose Golgi modifications. The results are the average of duplicates and the range of transport over three independent experiments was 13.6–15.4% (wild-type) and 12.6–14% (trs23ΔSMS). (F) Lysates were prepared from wild-type and trs23ΔSMS in buffer 88 that was used for the in vitro transport assay in (E) and fractionated on a Superose 6 column in 88. Fractions were probed with anti-Trs33p. I, II and III above the blots indicate the location of TRAPP I, II and III, respectively, under conditions where they separate from each other.

Figure 8. TRAPP I can form from TRAPP II/III. (A) Lysates of wild-type yeast were prepared in buffer containing 50 mM, 150 mM or 300 mM NaCl and fractionated by size exclusion chromatography on a Superose 6 column in buffer containing identical salt. The fractions were analyzed by western blotting for the presence of Trs23p. (B) The TRAPP II/III-containing fraction from wild-type lysate that was fractionated in the presence of 50 mM NaCl was incubated with 2 mM DSP or DMSO, quenched with Tris pH 7.5 and re-fractionated on a Superose 6 column in buffer containing 300 mM NaCl. Fractions were probed for the presence of Trs33p. Note that a cross-reactive band appears just above the Trs33p band in fractions 32–34 with the anti-Trs33p antibody in the DMSO-treated sample and the dash to the right of the Trs33 panel indicates the position of Trs33p. I, II and III above the wild-type and trs23ΔSMS blots indicate the location of TRAPP I, II and III, respectively, under conditions where they separate from each other.

Figure 9. P. pastoris does not contain a TRAPP I peak. Lysates in 150 mM NaCl from P. pastoris and S. cerevisiae were fractionated by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column. The fractions were probed for Trs33p (S. cerevisiae) or P. pastoris Bet3p using anti-TRAPPC3 IgG. The positions of TRAPP II/III and I are indicated above the top panel.
of ~300 Å. However, TRAPP II superstructures, such as those we presently demonstrate to occur at physiological salt, would seem to be prohibitively large to have similar access. In addition, it is unlikely that the openings presented by the COP II cage are completely unobstructed given the density and numbers of proteins that can be found on vesicles. In the case of COP II vesicles formed in vitro, the extent to which the outer layer of the coat remains intact is unclear and it has been suggested that either a portion of each vesicle has lost its outer layer or a portion of the vesicle population has lost its entire outer layer. In this case, the question of access of either TRAPP II or III to the Sec23/24p layer would be mitigated. It is important to stress that the extent to which the vesicle coat remains intact in vivo is not known.

Materials and Methods

Strain construction. A list of all strains used in this study is shown in Table 1. All trs23 mutations were constructed using the same parental strain (MSY62). The trs23 mutations were generated using the splicing by overlap extension PCR method or random mutagenesis. Mutant strains were created by plasmid shuffling using 5-fluoroorotic acid (5-FOA) counterselection. Endogenous TRS130 and TRS85 were tagged at the carboxyterminus with a hemagglutinin (HA) epitope by genomic insertion of a cassette amplified from pFA6a-3HA-HIS3MX6. Insertion at the correct location was verified by PCR and western blot analysis.

Spotting. Yeast strains were inoculated into 3 ml of minimal media and grown in a shaker incubator overnight at 30°C. The OD600 was normalized to the lowest value and 10-fold serial dilutions were spotted onto minimal media with 5-FOA.

Preparation of yeast lysates. For fractionation of yeast cytosols, cells were grown to mid log phase (OD600 of 1–1.5) overnight at 25°C. Cells were converted to spheroplasts as previously described. Spheroplasts were lyzed in lysis buffer [20 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 2 mM EDTA, 50–300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, and 1X protease inhibitor cocktail (PIC)], dounce homogenized and centrifuged at 21,000 g (high speed spin) in an SW55Ti rotor for 15 min at 4°C.

For GEF assays, membrane extraction and subcellular fractionation and examining levels of TRAPP proteins, cells were grown to early log phase (OD600 of 1.5) overnight at 25°C. Cells were converted to spheroplasts as above, lyzed in lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 1 mM DTT, 1x PIC), dounce homogenized and centrifuged at 500 g for 5 min to remove unbroken cells and debris. The supernatant was centrifuged at 16,000 g (low speed spin) in a table-top microcentrifuge for 10 min at 4°C.

Sucrose gradient fractionation and membrane floatation. For equilibrium fractionation, the 16,000 g pellet from 400 OD600 units of starting material was resuspended in 500 µl of 5% sucrose, dounce homogenized and layered on top of a discontinuous sucrose gradient (1 ml each of 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 30%, 35%, 40%, 45%, 50%, 55% and 500 µl of 60% sucrose). Gradients were centrifuged for 17 h at 178,000 g in an SW41Ti rotor at 4°C. Fractions of 500 µl were collected from top to bottom with a peristaltic pump.

For membrane floatation the 16,000 g pellet from 400 OD600 units of starting material was resuspended in 500 µl of 60% sucrose and placed on the bottom of a centrifuge tube. A discontinuous step sucrose gradient was layered on top (1 ml each of 50%, 40%, 30%, 20% and 10% sucrose) and gradients were centrifuged for 4 h at 178,000 g in an SW55Ti rotor. Fractions of 500 µl were collected from top to bottom with a peristaltic pump. Sucrose solutions (w/w) were dissolved in 20 mM HEPES pH 7.3.

Size exclusion chromatography. For comparison between wild-type and trs23ASMS, 5 mg of total protein from a 21,000 g supernatant was fractionated in the following gel filtration buffer: 20 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 50–300 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT (this component was excluded in crosslinking experiments). In Figure 7 buffer B88 was used.

Concentrated AKTA fractions for guanine nucleotide exchange factor assays (see below) were prepared by fractionating 20 mg of total protein from a 21,000 g supernatant (10 mg at a time) and fractions were concentrated using a Millipore Amicon centrifugal filter to a final volume of 200 µl. Gel filtration buffer contained 150 mM NaCl.

Samples were injected onto either a Superose 6 or a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare) connected to an AKTA liquid chromatography system. Fractions of 0.5 ml were collected and re-suspended in Laemmli sample buffer, fractionated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by western blotting. Endogenous Trs33p, Bet3p and Trs23p were detected using polyclonal antibodies raised in rabbits, the HA epitope was detected using a commercially available monoclonal antibody (HA.7, Sigma), the myc epitope was detected using the monoclonal antibody 9E10 and the TAP tag was detected with anti-TAP antibody (OpenBiosystems).

Recombinant protein. Yeast TRAPP subunits were expressed and purified from E. coli BL21(DE3) cells as previously described. Bet3p and Trs33p subunits were 6xHis-tagged and complexes were purified using Ni2+-NTA (Qiagen) followed by size-exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column.

Bacterial lysates. Yeast TRAPP subunits were expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) cells as for recombinant protein (see above). Cells were sonicated in lysis buffer (20 mM HEPES pH 7.3, 1 mM DTT) and the lysates were clarified by centrifugation at 30,000 g in a JA25.50 rotor (Beckman) prior to their use in guanine nucleotide exchange factor assays.

Guanine nucleotide exchange factor (GEF) assays. GEF assays were performed as previously described. Each reaction was started in a volume of 80 µl with yeast or bacterial lysates added to a final concentration of 4 mg/ml. For Ypt1p alone, bovine serum albumin (BSA) or E. coli BL21(DE3) lysate was added to a final concentration of 4 mg/ml. The zero minute time point for each sample was taken immediately after the pre-loaded Rab was
added and 18 μl was removed for each subsequent time point. Percent ³H-GDP remaining was based on the individual zero for each sample.

**In vivo and in vitro trafficking assays.** The CPY pulse chase experiment was performed as previously described with some modifications.® Five OD₆₀₀ units of cells in early log phase (OD₆₀₀ 1–1.5) were temperature shifted in a shaking 38.5°C water bath for 30 min. Cells were labeled with 250 μCi of ³⁵S-methionine/cysteine (Promix, Perkin-Elmer) (pulsed) for 4 min followed by the addition of cold methionine/cysteine (chased) at a final concentration of 10 mM. The zero time point was taken immediately after the cold methionine/cysteine was added. Cells

---

**Table 1. Yeast strains used in this study**

| Yeast Strain | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| MSY20        | MATα can100 leu2–3112 his3–11 trp1.4 ura3–1 ade2–1 |
| MSY62        | MATα his3Δ1 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 |
| MSY107       | MATα his3Δ1 leu2.10 ura3.40MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 pRS315-trs23.1202C |
| MSY109       | MATα his3Δ1 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 pRS315-trs23.1167C |
| MSY118       | MATα his3Δ1 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15trs23.4::KanMX pRS315-trs23.1199C |
| MSY119       | MATα his3Δ1 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS315-TRS23 |
| MSY243a      | MATα his3Δ11leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316- trs23.1199C |
| MSY245       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::Neurosporin pRS316-TRS23 |
| MSY251       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 pRS315-trs23.3015YPLAS |
| MSY297       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 pRS315- trs23.4SM5 |
| MSY366       | MATα trp1–901 leu2–3 112 ura3–52 his3–200 gal4A gal80Δ LYS2::GAL1UAS-GAL1TATA-HIS3 GAL2UAS-GAL2TATA-ADE2 URA3::MEL1UAS- MEL1TATA-lacZ pGADT7-BETS |
| MSY367       | MATα trp1–901 leu2–3 112 ura3–52 his3–200 gal4A gal80Δ LYS2::GAL1UAS-GAL1TATA-HIS3 GAL2UAS-GAL2TATA-ADE2 URA3::MEL1UAS- MEL1TATA-lacZ pGADT7-TR520 |
| MSY370       | MATα trp1–901 leu2–3 112 ura3–52 his3–200 gal4A gal80Δ LYS2::GAL1UAS-GAL1TATA-HIS3 GAL2UAS-GAL2TATA-ADE2 URA3::MEL1UAS- MEL1TATA-lacZ pGADT7-TRS31 |
| MSY449       | MATα ura3–52 his3–200 ade2–101 trp1–901 leu2–3 112 met- gal4Δ1 gal80.1 URA3::GAL1UAS-GAL1TATA-lacZ pGBKT7GTVY-TRS23 |
| MSY450       | MATα ura3–52 his3–200 ade2–101 trp1–901 leu2–3 112 met- gal4Δ1 gal80.1 URA3::GAL1UAS-GAL1TATA-lacZ pGBKT7GTVY- trs23.15MS |
| MSY453       | MATα trp1–901 leu2–3 112 ura3–52 his3–200 gal4Δ gal80Δ LYS2::GAL1UAS-GAL1TATA-HIS3 GAL2UAS-GAL2TATA-ADE2 URA3::MEL1UAS- MEL1TATA-lacZ pGADT7 |
| MSY458       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10ura3.40MET15trs23.4::KanMX pRS315-trs23.199C pRS416-GFP-SNC1 |
| MSY459       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS315-TRS23 pRS416-GFP-SNC1 |
| MSY460       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS315- trs23.3015YPLAS |
| MSY471       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS315- trs23.3015SM5 |
| MSY474       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX TRS130–3xHA::HIS3 pRS315- trs23.1199C |
| MSY475       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX TRS130–3xHA::HIS3 pRS315-TRS23 |
| MSY478       | MATα can100 leu2–3112 his3–11 trp1.4 ura3–1 ade2–1 trs85.1::HIS3 pRS416-GFP-SNC1 |
| MSY485       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316- trs23.199C pRS315-GFP-YPT31 |
| MSY486       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 pRS315 -GFP-YPT31 |
| MSY531       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX TRS130–3xHA::HIS3 pRS315- trs23.3015YPLAS |
| MSY532       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX TRS130–3xHA::HIS3 pRS315-trs23.3015SM5 |
| MSY545       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 pRS315- trs23.115N |
| MSY546       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 pRS315- trs23.1109C |
| MSY560       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS315-trs23.15SM5 pRS416-GFP-SNC1 |
| MSY561       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS315-trs23.15SM5 TRS85–3xHA::HIS3 |
| MSY563       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS315-TRS23 TRS85–3xHA::HIS3 |
| MSY570       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40 MET15trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-TRS23 pRS416-APE1-GFP |
| MSY572       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40MET15trs23.4::KanMX pRS315-trs23.15SM5 pRS416- APE1-GFP |
| MSY573       | MATα his3Δ11 leu2.10 lyz2.10 ura3.40 YDR108wtrs85.1::KanMX pRS416- APE1-GFP |
| MSY580       | MATαhis3Δ11 leu2.10 ura3.40MET15 trs23.4::KanMX pRS316-trs23.15SM5 pRS315-GFP-YPT31 |
| MSY582       | MATα/c his3Δ11/his3Δ200 leu2Δ0/leu2–3,112 ura3-50/ura3-52 LYS2/pys2-801 MET15/met15Δ0 SUC2/ suc2Δ9 CAN1/can1::hisG TRS130/ TRS130-3xmyc::HIS3 TRS85/TRS85-TAP::HIS3MX6 |
were chased for a total of 30 min with an equal volume of cells being removed every 10 min. Cells from each time point were converted to spheroplasts, lysed and CPY was immunoprecipitated overnight using a rabbit polyclonal anti-CPY antibody (Abcam). Immunoprecipitated CPY was fractionated by SDS-PAGE and the amounts loaded were normalized to the counts per minute measured from whole cell lysates. The gel was Coomassie-stained, dried and labeled CPY was detected by autoradiography.

Microscopy-based assays (GFP-Snc1p, GFP-Ypt31p) were performed by transforming yeast with the appropriate plasmid. Cells were grown to early log phase overnight using a rabbit polyclonal anti-CPY antibody, incubated at room temperature for 15 min, pelleted and resuspended in ice cold methanol for 5 min. Cells were then washed with wash buffer (1.4 M sorbitol, 50 mM KPi, pH 7.5) and resuspended in 100 μl of the same buffer. Cells were visualized using a Zeiss axioplan microscope fitted with an X-cite series 120Q light source (EXFO Life Sciences) and a Lumenera Infinity 3–1C 1.4 megapixel cooled CCD camera.

The in vitro reconstituted ER-to-Golgi assay using purified proteins was performed as described.34 Maturation of Ape1p-GFP was performed by first transforming the plasmid into yeast. The cells were then grown overnight to early log phase in minimal medium lacking uracil at which point they were converted to spheroplasts and lysed in 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.3, 100 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM DTT, 2 mM EDTA with protease inhibitors. Equal amounts of protein were fractionated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membrane and probed with mouse anti-GFP (Roche). Quantitation was performed using Image J freeware.

Yeast two-hybrid assay. TRS23 and variants of this protein were cloned into a Gateway®-modified pGBK7 vector (pGBK7 GTwy).21 All other TRAPP subunits were cloned into the pGADT7 vector by restriction enzyme cloning. Mating and analysis were as described by Scrivens et al.21

Chemical crosslinking. Fractionated samples in gel filtration buffer (50 mM NaCl) were treated with 2 mM dithiobis [succinimidyl] propionate (DSP) for 3 h on ice. The reaction was quenched by adding Tris pH 7.5 to a final concentration of 20 mM and incubating at room temperature for 15 min. For immunoprecipitation, the sample was diluted with gel filtration buffer (100 mM NaCl) containing 1% Triton X-100 and incubated with 2 μg IgG overnight on ice. The next day, immune complexes were collected on protein A beads, washed with lysis buffer and processed for western analysis. In some experiments the crosslinked samples were re-fractionated on a Superose 6 column as described above.
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