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Abstract

In this work, we propose a novel and easy-to-apply data augmentation strategy, namely Bilateral Generation (BiG), with a contrastive training objective for improving the performance of ranking question answer pairs with existing labeled data. In specific, we synthesize pseudo-positive QA pairs in contrast to the original negative QA pairs with two pre-trained generation models, one for question generation, the other for answer generation, which are fine-tuned on the limited positive QA pairs from the original dataset. With the augmented dataset, we design a contrastive training objective for learning to rank question answer pairs. Experimental results on three benchmark datasets show that our method significantly improves the performance of ranking models by making full use of existing labeled data and can be easily applied to different ranking models.

1 Introduction

Ranking question answer pairs, also known as answer selection, is a fundamental task in question answering (QA) systems. It aims to rank a set of candidate answers for selecting the relevant or correct answers to the given question. Many efforts have been made on developing various neural models to measure the relevance degree between the question and answer pair, including Siamese Structure (Tay et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2020), Attention-based Structure (Chen et al., 2017; Shen et al., 2018; Deng et al., 2021), and Compare-Aggregate Structure (Yoon et al., 2019). Recently, models with contextualized representations, e.g., ELMo (Peters et al., 2018) and BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), contribute to major improvement on answer selection (Yoon et al., 2019; Garg et al., 2020).

Table 1: An Example from WikiQA with Synthesized Data. $q$, $a_1$, $a_2$, $a_3$ are the original data, but all these answers are irrelevant to the given question. $q^*$, $q_1^*$, $q_2^*$, $q_3^*$ are the corresponding synthesized data.

Acquiring the ground-truth positive answer to the given question or manually annotating the relevance degree between a QA pair can be extremely time-consuming. Therefore, the existing answer selection datasets are often limited in scale. Such data scarceness issue poses a great challenge for the modern neural models, which are often data-hungry for the training process. Moreover, the negative samples, i.e., the irrelevant answers to the given question are constructed by random negative sampling from the whole document pool (Tay et al., 2017), leaving less to learn for differentiating the relevant answer from a set of highly irrelevant answers (Zhang et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2019). Therefore, the data scarceness and label imbalance issues lead to the underutilization of the in-domain knowledge in the original datasets, and even a waste of valuable labeled data.

Some early works (Min et al., 2017; Deng et al., 2018) leverage transfer learning (TL) based approaches to transfer knowledge from large-scale QA datasets, e.g., SQuAD (Rajpurkar et al., 2016), for improving resource-poor answer selection tasks. With similar motivations, Yoon et al. (2019) combine ELMo with transfer learning from a large-scale relevant-sentence-selection dataset to enhance the performance of the compare-aggregate model. Garg et al. (2020) adopt BERT-based mod-
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els with a Transfer-and-Adapt (TANDA) approach by using a large and high-quality QA dataset. However, such TL-based methods heavily rely on the existence of large-scale high-quality datasets with similar problem settings, which is also unrealistic in real-world applications. Besides, compared with utilizing cross-domain knowledge via TL, it would be more efficient to take advantage of the in-domain knowledge from the original dataset.

Another way to handle the data scarceness and label imbalance issues is to employ hard negative sampling strategies (Zhang et al., 2017; Kumar et al., 2019) or curriculum training approaches (MacAvaney et al., 2020) for taking advantages of those randomly sampled negative answers. The essential motivation behind these methods is that the hard negative samples or the “difficult” samples play a more important role in training a better ranking model. However, those “less” important samples are likely to be overlooked in such cases, leading to a potential waste of data. In order to maximize the utility of the existing labeled data, we aim at investigating data augmentation strategy to construct weak supervision signals for enhancing answer selection models.

In this work, we propose an effective data augmentation strategy, namely Bilateral Generation (BiG), with a contrastive training objective for improving the performance of ranking question answer pairs with existing labeled data. In specific, we first fine-tune a Question Generator (QG) and an Answer Generator (AG) from the pre-trained generative language model, such as BART (Lewis et al., 2020), with the positive samples in the training data. Then, as the example shown in Table 1, we use the fine-tuned QG and AG to generate a high-quality question-related answer $a^*$ and answer-focused questions $q^*$ from the given negative samples $(q, a^-)$. The synthesized QA pairs $(q, a^*)$ and $(q^*, a^-)$ can be regarded as new “positive” samples in contrast to the original negative sample. By doing so, given a small amount of labeled data even with extremely imbalanced labels, such data augmentation strategy can easily synthesize high-quality data with weak supervision signals. Although such pseudo-positive QA pairs may not be perfectly matched, e.g., $(q_3^{*}, a_3^-)$, they are supposed to be more interrelated than the original negative QA pairs, which can be served as pairwise samples for contrastive estimation. For instance, $(q_3^{*}, a_3^-)$ can be used to establish a contrastive sample with $(q, a_3^-)$, rather than be simply regarded as a positive QA pair. Therefore, we further design a contrastive training objective for ranking QA pairs.

We conduct experiments by applying the proposed BiG method with both the attention-based ranking model and the pre-trained BERT ranking model. Experimental results on three benchmark datasets including TREC-QA, WikiQA, and ANTIQUE show the effectiveness and the applicability of our method, which significantly improves the original ranking models across all datasets.

2 Method

2.1 Preliminaries

Let an ad-hoc neural ranking model be denoted as $R_{\theta}(q, a)$, which measures the relevance degree of a given question answer pair $(q, a)$ parameterized by the model parameters $\theta$. Given a set of training samples, there are three mainstream approaches to optimize the ranking performance, namely, pointwise training, pairwise training, and listwise training (Lai et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020). Here we focus on pointwise and pairwise training, since listwise training is less practical in applications when there is a large set of candidate answers.

The pointwise training aims to optimize the predicted probability distribution of each QA pair to be consistent with the ground-truth label by minimizing the cross-entropy loss function:

$$L_{pt}(q, a, y_i) = -[y_i \log p_i + (1 - y_i) \log (1 - p_i)],$$

where $y_i$ is the label of the $i$-th training sample.

On the other hand, the pairwise training is to optimize the relative order of a pair of predicted relevance scores, i.e., $R_{\theta}(q, a^+) + R_{\theta}(q, a^-)$, which typically adopt the same question $q$ with one relevant answer $a^+$ and one irrelevant answer $a^-$. The main idea behind pairwise training is contrastive estimation. One widely-used pairwise loss function is the hinge loss:

$$L_{pt}(q, a^+, a^-) = \max(0, M - R_{\theta}(q, a^+) + R_{\theta}(q, a^-)), $$

where the model learns to rank the relevant answer $a^+$ higher than the irrelevant answer $a^-$, by imposing a margin $M$ between their relevance scores with the given question $q$.

2.2 BiG Data Augmentation

To maximize the utility of the valuable labeled data as well as alleviate the label imbalance issue, pre-
trained language models, such as BART (Lewis et al., 2020), preserve promising advantages of synthesizing high-quality pseudo QA pairs through conditional generation, which can serve as weak supervision signals for contrastive learning with original data. Due the nature of question answering, there are two intuitive ways to generate augmentations, i.e., question generation and answer generation, namely Bilateral Generation (BiG).

With the pre-trained conditional generation model, a certain number of training data is required to fine-tune a Question Generator (QG) and an Answer Generator (AG). We adopt the positive samples, i.e., the relevant \((q, a^+)\) pair, as the training data to fine-tune the QG and AG:

\[
H = \text{QGEnc}(a^+; \text{[SEP]}), \quad q = \text{QGDec}(H),
\]

\[
H = \text{AGEnc}(q; \text{[SEP]}), \quad a^+ = \text{AGDec}(H),
\]

where \([\text{SEP}]\) is a separation token.

Then, the learned QG and AG models can be employed to generate answer-related questions \(q^*\) and question-related answers \(a^*\), respectively. In our case, we are able to synthesize pseudo-positive QA pairs from the original negative QA pairs. For instance, given a negative QA pair \((q, a^-)\), two pseudo-positive QA pairs, i.e., \((q^*, a^-)\) and \((q, a^+)\), can be generated by the learned question generator QG and answer generator AG:

\[
q^* = \text{QG}(a^-; \text{[SEP]}), \quad a^* = \text{AG}(q; \text{[SEP]}).
\]

One one hand, since the generation model is pre-trained on large scale corpus and further fine-tuned on positive QA pairs, we are guaranteed to generate reliable questions or answers related to the source text. In other words, the relevance degree between the synthesized QA pairs is supposed to be sufficiently higher than the original negative QA pairs constructed by randomly sampling. One the other hand, compared with the original positive QA pairs, the synthesized QA pairs are likely to contain certain redundant information or lack of necessary information. Regarding the synthesized QA pairs as ground-truth positive pairs may introduce undesired noises into the original dataset. Therefore, the pseudo-positive nature of the synthesized QA pairs leaves room for contrastive learning.

### 2.3 Contrastive Training Objective

As mentioned in Section 2.1, conventional supervised ranking problem can only optimize the ranking performance with existing labeled data. Here we introduce contrastive learning to rank by leveraging generation-augmented contrastive data for further improving the ranking performance.

Given a question \(q\) from the original dataset, there are a set of relevant answers \(A^+ = \{a^+\}\) and a set of irrelevant answers \(A^- = \{a^-\}\), correspondingly. The pairwise training for conventional supervised learning to rank can be defined as:

\[
L_{\text{pr}}(q, a^+, A^-) = \frac{\sum_{a^+ \in A^+ \cap A^-} \sum_{a^- \in A^-} L(q, a^+, a^-)}{|A^+| \cdot |A^-|}.
\]

With the synthesized pseudo-positive samples, we leverage such contrastive weak supervision signals to enhance the pairwise training in Eq.7:

\[
L_{\text{pr}}^{\text{str}}(q, A^+, A^-) = \frac{\sum_{a^+ \in A^+ \cap A^-} \sum_{a^- \in A^-} L(q, a^+, a^-)}{|A^+| \cdot |A^-|} + \frac{1}{|A^-|} \sum_{a^- \in A^-} \left[L(q, a^+, a^-) + L(q^*, a^-, a^-)\right],
\]

where the second term implements the contrastive training between the synthesized pseudo-positive QA pairs, \((q, a^+)\) and \((q^*, a^-)\), and the original negative QA pairs, \((q, a^-)\).

## 3 Experiment

### 3.1 Experimental Setup

#### 3.1.1 Datasets and Evaluation Metrics

We evaluate our method on three widely-used benchmark datasets for answer selection: TREC-QA, WikiQA, and ANTIQUE. The statistics of these datasets are described in Table 2.

- **TREC-QA** (Wang et al., 2007), collected from TREC QA track 8-13 data, is a widely-adopted benchmark for factoid question answering.
- **WikiQA** (Yang et al., 2015) is an open-domain factoid answer selection benchmark, in which the answers are collected from the Wikipedia.

Following previous studies (Garg et al., 2020), we adopt the RAW version of training data and evaluate on the cleaned test data for both TREC-QA and WikiQA. The mean average precision (MAP) and mean reciprocal rank (MRR) are adopted as the evaluation metrics.

- **ANTIQUE** (Hashemi et al., 2020) is an open-domain non-factoid QA dataset collected from community question answering services with a diverse set of categories.
Table 2: Summary statistics of datasets.

| Dataset (train/dev/test) | #Question | #QA Pairs | %Positive (train/dev/test) |
|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|
| TREC-QA                  | 1160/65/68 | 53417/1117/1442 | 12.0/18.4/17.2            |
| WikiQA                   | 2118/126/243 | 20360/1130/2351 | 12.0/12.4/12.5           |
| ANTIQUE                  | 2426/-/200 | 27422/-/6589   | 72.2/-/38.4              |

Although there are four-level relevance labels for the candidate answers, we follow previous studies (Hashemi et al., 2020; MacAvaney et al., 2020) to assume that the label 3 and label 4 are relevant, while 1 and 2 are non-relevant. MRR and P@1 are adopted for evaluation on the binary labels, while nDCG is adopted for evaluation on the four-level relevance labels.

3.1.2 Baseline Methods

Since TREC-QA and WikiQA have been extensively studied for answer selection, we are able to compare with more diverse baseline methods, including traditional methods and Transfer Learning based methods.

- We consider the methods without using external resources during the training phase as traditional methods. aNMM (Yang et al., 2016), RNN-POA (Chen et al., 2017), and KABLSTM (Shen et al., 2018) develop different kinds of attention-based structures for enhancing the interaction between the question and answer. HyperQA (Tay et al., 2018) and SD (Chen et al., 2020) study the similarity aggregation approaches in siamese neural network structure. Currently, models based on pre-trained contextualized representations, e.g., BERT (Devlin et al., 2019), achieve state-of-the-art performance among the traditional methods.

- TL-based methods refer to those methods that transfers cross-domain knowledge from external large-scale resources to enhance the resource-poor tasks. Here we compare our results with two state-of-the-art TL-based methods, i.e., CA+LM+LC+TL (Yoon et al., 2019) and TANDA (Garg et al., 2020), which adopts QNLI (86K QA pairs) and ASNQ (20M QA pairs) as source dataset, respectively, for transfer learning.

As for ANTIQUE, we compare to the benchmark performance on BERT and a newly developed curriculum learning strategy (MacAvaney et al., 2020) for learning to handle difficult cases.

3.1.3 Implementation Details

We adopt the Large version of BART (Lewis et al., 2020) as the conditional generation model for data augmentation. During the fine-tuning of the question generator and answer generator, we set the maximum sequence length for question and answer as 30 and 50, respectively. Both of the question generator and answer generator are fine-tuned for 5 epochs. All other hyper-parameters are set to default as the original BART. Since the proposed method can be applied to any ranking model, we choose two widely-adopted ranking models, namely, BERT (Devlin et al., 2019) and aNMM (Yang et al., 2016), as the base models for evaluation. The maximum sequence length of the concatenated QA pair is set to be 128. We train all the models for 5 epochs. All other hyper-parameters are also set to default as the original BERT. And we use MatchZoo (Guo et al., 2019) to implement the aNMM model.

3.2 Overall Performance

Table 3 summarizes the method comparisons on TREC-QA and WikiQA. Here we combine our BiG method with both an attention-based ranking model, namely aNMM, and the pre-trained BERT ranking model. Two groups of methods on answer selection, in terms of whether to use large-scale external resources, are adopted for comparisons.

Among traditional methods, models using contextualized representations, i.e., BERT\textsubscript{base} and BERT\textsubscript{large}, achieve distinguishable state-of-the-art performance, showing the power of pre-trained language models. All the ranking models combined with the proposed data augmentation strategy, BiG, consistently and substantially improve the perfor-
In this paper, we study the data augmentation for improving the performance of ranking question answer pairs when encountering data scarceness and label imbalance issues. We propose an easy-to-apply and effective data augmentation strategy, namely Bilateral Generation, with a contrastive learning objective to maximize the utility of limited labeled data. Experimental results show that the proposed method significantly improves the performance of ranking models on three factoid and non-factoid QA benchmark datasets.

The proposed data augmentation strategy can be easily extended to few-shot learning scenario to further handle more severe resource-poor applications, such as domain-specific question answering, e-Commerce question answering, etc.

### 4 Conclusions

Performance of the original ranking models. For instance, BERT\textsubscript{base}-BiG outperforms the original BERT\textsubscript{base} by about 5% and 2% on TREC-QA and WikiQA datasets, respectively. The results indicate that the proposed data augmentation strategy effectively synthesizes valuable contrastive data for learning to rank question answer pairs without the need of large-scale annotated data.

As for transfer learning based methods, the performances on target tasks step up to the next stage with large-scale external resources. Compared with CA+LM+LC+TL(QNLI) and TANDA(QNLI), which employs 86K external annotated QA pairs from QNLI for training, BiG-enhanced models achieve a competitive performance by only manipulating the limited original data. Even if comparing to TANDA(ASNQ), which employs 20M external QA pairs from Natural Questions for training, BiG-enhanced models can still outperform it on the MRR metric of TREC-QA.

Table 4 presents the experimental results on ANTIQUE. The synthesized contrastive data by QG effectively evoke such valuable knowledge for learning. Compared with pointwise training with only the original data, “w/o contrastive learning”, where the synthesized QA pairs are regarded as ground-truth positive samples for pointwise training, can achieve relatively better performance. However, there is still a great margin to reach the performance of BERT\textsubscript{base}-BiG. The results indicate that the synthesized QA pairs are actually more relevant than the original negative samples, but the proposed contrastive learning objective can better utilize the synthesized data than treating them as positive samples for pointwise training.
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