Finite-size scalings in measurement-induced dynamical phase transition
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Repetitive measurements can cause freezing of dynamics of a quantum state, which is known as quantum Zeno effect. We consider an interacting one-dimensional fermionic system and study the fate of the many-body quantum Zeno transition if the system is allowed to evolve repetitively under the unitary dynamics, followed by a measurement process. Measurement induced phase transitions can be accessed by tuning a suitably defined parameter representing measurement strength (frequency). We use different diagnostics, such as long-time evolved entanglement entropy, purity and their fluctuations in order to characterize the transition. We further perform a finite size scaling analysis in order to detect the transition points and evaluate associated scaling exponents via an unbiased numerical strategy of cost function minimization, which provides a platform to compare finite-size scaling ansätze proposed previously in context of many-body Zeno transition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum measurement is a crucial element of quantum theory, and in particular in the fledgling field of quantum technologies. It finds important roles, e.g., in resource theory [1–4] and quantum computation [5–7], and leads to fascinating quantum phenomena, such as the quantum Zeno effect [8, 9]. In the quantum Zeno effect, frequent wave function collapses, induced by repetitive measurements, tend to stagnate the time evolution of a quantum mechanical system. Since its initial conceptualization [10], there has been a substantial number of studies on the quantum Zeno phenomenon in various systems [12–19]. In particular, quantum Zeno effects in quantum many-body systems have drawn significant attention in recent times [19–23].

The concept of entanglement entropy from quantum information theory often leads towards building a natural information-theoretic understanding of quantum many-body phenomenology [24, 25]. Importantly, entanglement entropy is now accessible in cold-atom experiments [26, 27]. Recent works have pointed out a phase transition in the form of (sub)-volume-law to area-law (in the quantum Zeno regime) scaling of entanglement entropy in presence of quantum measurements. In general, a weakly entangled quantum state under a entanglement-rich driving Hamiltonian accumulates additional (quantum) correlations between its sub-parts during the course of unitary evolution. Accordingly, the bipartite entanglement entropy of a long-time evolved state turns out to be of the order of the system size. However, such growth of the entanglement entropy is inhibited in presence of the quantum measurements. In particular, long-time evolved entanglement entropy becomes of the order of the boundary area once the projective measurements are frequent enough. Hence, measurement frequency acts as a control parameter that induces volume- to area-law transition, and consequently, leads the system in the quantum Zeno phase.

Measurement-induced phase transitions have been reported in many-body context, such as in random circuits [28–36], spin chains [37–40], and also very recently in long-range model [41]. Particularly, the nature of the transitions and their scalings hold special interest [42, 43]. A number of recent studies suggest a conformal critical point in the measurement induced transition [44–48], which differs from the treatment via algebraic scaling ansatz initially proposed by Li et. al. [28, 30]. The many-body complexity, along-with the probabilistic description of quantum mechanics, makes it difficult to cross-examine the quality of the proposed scaling ansätze. This work adopts an unbiased means via so-called cost function minimization approach in order to closely inspect the scaling associated with measurement-induced phase transition in a one-dimensional interacting fermionic system.

The generic feature of measurement-induced phase transition in entanglement entropy can be identified in the system under study, as one may expect by the virtue
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of universality. We, in particular, aim towards performing an accurate finite-size scaling analysis at finite interactions and report the phase diagram from there-off. In order to do so, the scaling analyses are performed within a general framework via several complementary measures, that includes, apart from entanglement entropy; another quantity purity of the reduced density matrix. Purification plays important roles in several quantum-information theoretic ideas and applications [49–53]. Particularly, there has been a steady experimental development towards projective measurement based purification schemes in context of quantum computers [54–57]. Similar to the entanglement entropy, there is a measurement-induced dynamical phase transition in linearized purity as well. A pure product state under weak measurement strength is expected to gain a considerable amount of entanglement during unitary evolution, ensuring a close to maximally mixed reduced density matrix. In this regime mixedness rapidly grows with system size. Enhanced measurement strength implies an enhanced purification of the reduced density matrix of the system. Beyond the transition point the purity obeys the area law in the quantum Zeno phase.

The results are bench-marked following two key steps. First, the critical points are first coarse-grained from the extremums of the entanglement fluctuations. We then resort to a newly introduced unbiased numerical recipe for extracting the fine-grained transition points [58]. This is done via cost function approach in order to estimate the quality of the finite-size collapse of the data corresponding to the transition indicators under study, i.e. entanglement entropy and purity. It is worth mentioning that all the indicators offer a consistent picture over the extracted information regarding the transition points and the scaling exponents over a wide range of interactions under consideration. Higher quality in the data collapse confirmed by the cost function minimization approach clearly asserts the conformal nature of the critical point. Moreover, we investigate the robustness of our scaling analysis by considering different initial states.

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows. Sec. II introduces the model and measurement protocols are presented. Section II also presents the phase-diagram showing the measurement-induced Zeno transition line and the details of involved methodologies are provided in the following sections. The entanglement dynamics and related scaling analysis are described in Sec. III. Section IV put forward the analysis related to purity. The conclusions are drawn in Sec. V. In Sec. VII, Appendix I contains a short discussion over the choice of initial state.

II. MODEL AND PROTOCOLS

We consider a one-dimensional fermionic lattice of length $L$ described by following Hamiltonian:

$$
\hat{H} = -\sum_j (\hat{c}_j\hat{c}_{j+1} + \text{H.c.}) + V \sum_j \hat{n}_j\hat{n}_{j+1},
$$

where $\hat{c}_j^\dagger (\hat{c}_j)$ is the fermionic creation (annihilation) operator at site $i$, $\hat{n}_j = \hat{c}_j^\dagger\hat{c}_j$ is the number operator, and $V$ is the interaction strength. We use periodic boundary condition to reduce the finite-size effects. We consider following stochastic protocol: A many-body quantum state evolves under the driving Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ for a time interval $\Delta t$, and it is then followed by measurement carried out simultaneously on each $L$ lattice sites. For a given choice of an initial state, a long-time evolved steady state is achieved by repeating the protocol for several times. Let, $\psi(t)$ is the evolved state at the time $t$. The evolved state at time $t + \Delta t$ under one-time action can be written as $|\psi(t + \Delta t)\rangle = \hat{M}\hat{U}|\psi(t)\rangle$, where $\hat{U} = e^{-i\hat{H}\Delta t}$ and henceforth, $t$ will be in units of $\hbar$. The operator $\hat{M}$ associated with projective measurements is given by $\hat{M} = \otimes_{j=1}^L \hat{M}^r_j$ with $r = 0, 1$, where

$$
\hat{M}^0_j = \hat{n}_j + \sqrt{1 - \eta}(1 - \hat{n}_j),

\hat{M}^1_j = \sqrt{\eta}(1 - \hat{n}_j).
$$

The local probabilities of two possible readouts are given by $p^0_j = \eta|\psi(t)(1 - \hat{n}_j)|\psi(t)\rangle$, and $p^1_j = 1 - p^0_j$. Without loss of generality, we choose the initial state as Neel state i.e. $|\psi(t = 0)\rangle = \Pi_{j=1}^L |\psi_{j+1}\rangle |\psi_j\rangle |0\rangle$. However, we have checked the robustness of our results by investigating different initial states (see Appendix VII). We choose $\Delta t = 10^{-2}$. We define a parameter $g = \eta/\Delta t$. In the limit $g \ll 1$ (i.e. $\eta \ll \Delta t$), the occurrence of $\hat{M}_j^0$ takes place with extremely low possibility and also, $\hat{M}_j^0$ is close to an identity operator. That implies that in this limit the dynamics is close to unitary with extremely rare measurements. On the other hand, for $g >> 1$, the measurements occur very frequently. In this regime, one may expect quantum Zeno effect, for which the final state should be close to the initial state. The question we wish to address here is that if there is a critical value of measurement strength $g_c$ above which the many-body quantum Zeno transition occurs? And if so, then what is the nature of this transition? Our main results are reported in Fig. 1, where we have identified the phase diagram of many-body quantum Zeno transition as a function of interaction strength $V$ and measurement strength $g$. We use entanglement entropy and purity as two diagnostics for characterizing such transition. In the subsequent sections we use discuss the details.

III. ENTANGLEMENT DYNAMICS

We study the entanglement dynamics for our protocols. In the limit $g = 0$, the dynamics is purely unitary. However, for $g > 0$ given that in each small time intervals $\Delta t$, unitary evolution is followed by a measurement i.e. $|\psi(t + \Delta t)\rangle = \hat{M}\hat{U}|\psi(t)\rangle$, the dynamics does not remain unitary. Hence, the state is required to be normalized in each step. The reduced density matrix $\rho_A$ of a finite subsystem $A$ of length $L/2$ is defined as
\[ \rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \langle \psi(t) | \psi(t) \rangle, \] where the trace is over the degrees of freedom of the complement B of A. Here we only restrict ourselves to one of the most useful entanglement measures, the von Neumann (entanglement) entropy \( S = -\text{Tr} \left[ \rho_A \ln \rho_A \right] \). It is well known that in the limit \( g = 0 \), when the initial Neel state is evolved under the unitary evolution governed by the Hamiltonian \( \hat{H} \), \( S(t) \) grows linearly with time \( t \) for short time, while in the long time limit it saturates to the thermodynamic entropy densities of the generalized Gibbs ensemble (GGE), which is extensive in subsystem size [59, 60].

Figure 2 shows the variation of \( S(t) \) with \( t \) for different values of \( g \) for \( L = 8 \) and \( V = 1 \). Vertical dashed lines represent the time window with \( T_1 = 10 \) and \( T_2 = 20 \), which we have chosen to calculate the long time average of \( S(t) \).

\[ \text{FIG. 2. Variation of } S(t) \text{ with } t \text{ for different values of } g \text{ for } L = 8 \text{ and } V = 1. \] Vertical dashed lines represent the time window with \( T_1 = 10 \) and \( T_2 = 20 \), which we have chosen to calculate the long time average of \( S(t) \).

\[ \text{FIG. 3. Variation of the fluctuation of the long time average of } S(t) \text{ with } g \text{ for different values of } L \text{ for } V = 1. \] Inset shows the variation of \( g_c \) with \( 1/L \). Here \( g_c \) is identified as the value of \( g \) for which \( \delta S \) is maximum. Dashed lines corresponds to the best fit, i.e. \( g_c(L) = 1.62 + 0.54/L \).

Different values of \( g \) for a fixed \( L = 8 \). We repeat our stochastic measurement protocols for \( N = 1000 \) times and the data presented in Fig. 2 is averaged over different realizations. We find that given that the initial state is a zero entangled product state, \( S(t) \) grows for a short time, and then it saturates. The long time saturation value of \( S(t) \) decreases as we increase \( g \). This result can be anticipated as one may expect many-body quantum Zeno effect in the limit \( g \gg 1 \). In this limit the final state should be close to the initial Neel state, which is a product state. We evaluate the long time average value of \( S(t) \) i.e. \( \overline{S(t)} = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} S(t) \). We choose \( T_2 = 20 \), and \( T_1 = 10 \).

Then we investigate the fluctuation of \( \overline{S(t)} \). Given
that we have repeated our protocols $N = 1000$ times, we calculate the standard deviation of $\overline{S(T)}$ i.e., $\delta S = \sqrt{\langle S^2 \rangle - \langle S \rangle^2}$, where $\langle \rangle$ stands for averaging over $N$ times [62]. The standard deviation of the entanglement entropy displays a maximum at the many-body localization phase transition. We find analogous features even for many-body Zeno transition in Fig. 3, and we identify the value of $g$ for which $\delta S$ is maximum as a transition point for a given system size. In the inset of Fig. 3, we plot the critical value of $g$, i.e., $g_c$ as a function of $1/L$, and the extrapolated data gives a rough estimation of $g_c$ in the thermodynamics limit, which we have found to be $1.62$.

Next, in Fig. 4, we plot the variation of $\overline{S(T)}$ with $g$ for different values of $L$. Remarkably, we find that above some value of $g$, $\overline{S(T)}$ obeys area law i.e. remains independent of $L$, while for small values of $g$, $\overline{S(T)}$ increases with the system size. This results indicates a clear signature of many-body Zeno transition beyond a critical measurement strength $g_c$. However, in order to understand a phase-transition from a finite size results, one needs to perform finite size scaling analysis. On the other hand in order to determine the correct scaling functional form, it is important to know, how $\overline{S}$ scales with $L$ at $g_c$. In the inset of Fig. 4, we plot $\overline{S(g_c)}$ with $L$, where $g_c$ is identified as before i.e. the value $g$ for which $\delta S$ is maximum for a given $L$. The data suggests that $\overline{S(g_c)}$ can be fitted reasonably well using both $ln L$ and $L^{0.32}$. Due to the system size limitations, it is almost impossible to conclude definitely that whether $\overline{S(g_c)}$ scales as $ln L$ or $L^{0.32}$.

In order to obtain data collapse, we use the following scaling ansätze: 1) $\overline{S} = N^{-\gamma_1} f[(g - g_c)L^{1/\nu}]$ [46], and 2) $\overline{S} - \overline{S}(g_c) = f[(g - g_c)L^{1/\nu}]$ [44, 62], where $f[\cdot]$ can be some arbitrary function. In the Fig. 5 we show the data collapse using both the ansätze i.e. in the right panel we re-scaled $\overline{S}$ by $\overline{S}/L^{\nu_1}$ and $g$ by $(g - g_c)L^{1/\nu}$ and in the left panel we plot $\overline{S} - \overline{S}(g_c)$ in the y axes and re-scaled in x axes $g$ by $(g - g_c)L^{1/\nu}$. In order to find the best fit values of the scaling exponents and $g_c$ from the data collapse, we use the cost function approach which was introduced in Ref [58] recently. The cost function is defined for a quantity $X$ that consists of $N_p$ values at different $g$ and $L$ as,

$$C_X = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{N_p} \sum_{i=1}^{N_p} |X_{j+1} - X_j|}{\max(X_j) - \min(X_j)} - 1.$$ 

Then we sort all $N_p$ values of $X_j$ according to non-decreasing values of $L \text{sgn}[g - g_c]|g - g_c|^{\nu}$. In the case of ideal data collapse $\sum_{j=1}^{N_p} |X_{j+1} - X_j| = \max(X_j) - \min(X_j)$, hence $C_X = 0$. However, otherwise $C_X > 0$. One is reminded here of the concept of visibility in interference phenomena [61]. For the 1st ansatz, we choose $X = \overline{S}/L^{\nu_1}$, and find the values of $\gamma_1$, $\nu$ and $g_c$, for which the cost function gets minimized. The best data collapse is obtained for $\gamma_1 = 0.3$, the scaling exponent $\nu = 1.84$, and critical measurement strength $g_c = 1.65$. Since the best fit value obtained for $\gamma_1$ is $< 1$, that makes quantum Zeno transition different compared to many-body localization (MBL) transition. While in the MBL transition near the critical point, the entanglement entropy shows volume law scaling i.e. $\gamma = 1$ [58, 62], here in the quantum Zeno transition the entanglement entropy scaling obeys sub-volume law. We do similar exercises for 2nd ansatz as well, but in two steps. In the first step we calculate the standard deviation of $g_c$, obtained from logarithmic extrapolation, as discussed in the inset of Fig. 4, is used in $\overline{S(g_c)}$. The fine grained value of the critical point and other scaling exponents are extracted via cost function minimization in the final step. The obtained values are $g_c = 1.63$ and $\nu = 1.05$.

However, the question which is still remain unanswered is that which of these two ansatzs gives better data collapse. For that we plot the difference between cost function values obtained after carried out minimization process using both the ansatzs. In the inset of Fig. 5 (right panel), we show the variation of $\Delta C = C_1 - C_2$ with the interaction strength $V$ (where $C_1$ ($C_2$) is the value of cost function obtained for 1st (2nd) ansatz). We find that $\Delta C > 0$ and that indicates that 2nd ansatz is much better suited for our data.

**IV. PURITY**

Next, we use the purity of the reduced density matrix $\rho_A$ as another diagnostic to characterize the Zeno transition. The purity $P$ is defined as $P = \text{Tr} \rho_A^2$. Given that our initial Neel state remains pure even after bi-partition, one expects that for $g >> 1$ (deep into many-body Zeno phase) $P \simeq 1$. However, in the limit $g << 1$, one expects the time evolved state after bi-partition will be mixed, and hence $\text{Tr} \rho_A^2 << 1$. 

![FIG. 6. Variation of the mixedness $1 - P(t)$ with $t$ for different values of $g$ for $L = 8$ and $V = 1$. Vertical dashed lines represent the time window with $T_1 = 10$ and $T_2 = 20$, which we have chosen to calculate the time average of $1 - P(t)$.](attachment:image.png)
we assume that near the transition point \( 1 - P(t) \) can be described by either \( 1 - P(t) = (1 - L^{-\gamma_1}) h[(g - g_c) L^{1/\nu}] \) (ansatz 1) or \( 1 - P(t) = (1 - (c_1 \ln L)^{-1}) h[(g - g_c) L^{1/\nu}] \) (ansatz 2), where \( h[] \) can be some arbitrary function such that \( 1 - P(t) \) remains \([0, 1]\). In the Fig. 8 we show the data collapse by re-scaling \( 1 - P \) by \((1 - P)/(1 - L^{-\gamma_1})\) and \( g \) by \((g - g_c) L^{1/\nu} \) (in the left panel) and by re-scaling \( 1 - P \) by \((1 - P)/(1 - (c_1 \ln L)^{-1})\) and \( g \) by \((g - g_c) L^{1/\nu} \) (in the right panel). The best fit values of different scaling exponents and \( g_c \) for the data collapse are obtained once again minimizing the cost function, and \( \gamma_1 = 0.68 \), \( \nu = 1.89 \) \((1.87)\), and the critical measurement strength \( g_c = 1.62 \) \((1.62)\) are obtained as the best fit values using 1st \( (2nd) \) ansatz.

**V. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we explored many-body quantum Zeno effect in an one-dimensional interacting fermionic system subjected to repeated projective measurements. By tuning the measurement strength, we demonstrated measurement-induced dynamical phase transition due to the competition between unitary time evolution and projective measurements. The transition occurs between two distinct phases - (sub)-volume-law obeying entanglement entropy in the long-time evolved steady states in the limits of weak interactions and area-law obeying long-time evolved steady states in the limits of strong interactions (quantum Zeno phase). We gain further insights by investigating the fluctuations of entanglement entropy. Following the analysis on entanglement entropy, we turned our focus to the purity of the reduced density matrices. While, in the Zeno phase the purity remain independent of the system size, the purity grows with system size beyond the transition point.

Next we performed careful scaling analyses for systems with finite interactions. The scaling analysis is carried out by employing the measures under study, i.e. entanglement entropy, purity and their fluctuations. Information regarding the transition points and scaling exponents are extracted via an unbiased numerical strategy of cost function minimization that ensures the quality of finite-size data collapse. We discuss finite-size scaling ansätze proposed previously context of many-body Zeno transition. Cost function minimization approach.
provides a powerful means for examining their quality. Finally, we provide the phase diagram involving quantum Zeno transition for the interacting system.

In future it will be interesting devise a concrete formalism for an effective description via a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian, which has only been non-rigorously suggested in context of other systems [63–65]. Another general interest is to build a quasi-particle description for the dynamics of entanglement entropy [66] for systems under repetitive projective measurements.
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VII. APPENDIX I

In this appendix we study the many-body Zeno transition for the initial state \( |\psi(t=0)\rangle = \Pi_{i=1}^{L/2} c_i^\dagger |0\rangle \). As mentioned in the main text (where we have chosen the Neel state as an initial state), we first calculate the entanglement dynamics for different values of measurement strength \( g \). Then we evaluate the long-time average \( \bar{S}(t) \). Next, we investigate \( \bar{S}(t) \) with \( g \) for different system sizes. Figure. 9 shows the variation of \( \bar{S} \) with \( g \) for \( L = 6, 8, 10, 12 \). Inset of Fig. 9 once again shows the data collapse using ansatz 2, surprisingly \( g_c \) and \( \nu \) obtained from the best fit is very close to the one reported in the main text for the Neel state. It proves the robustness of our finding.

**FIG. 9.** Variation of the long time average of \( S(t) \) with \( g \) for different values of \( L \) for \( V = 1 \). Inset shows the data collapse, where \( g_c = 1.62 \), and \( \nu = 1.11 \).
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