Noise-enhanced quantum transport on a closed loop using quantum walks
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We study the effect of noise on the transport of a quantum state from a closed loop of \( n \) sites with one of the sites as a sink. Using a discrete-time quantum walk dynamics, we demonstrate that the transport efficiency can be enhanced with noise when the number of sites in the loop is small and reduced when the number of sites in the loop grows. By using the concept of measurement induced disturbance we identify the regimes in which genuine quantum effects are responsible for the enhanced transport.

I. INTRODUCTION

Studying energy transfer or transport efficiencies in the presence of quantum coherence has recently become an active area due to its applications in many different scientific fields. A number of microscopic models have been developed and their studies highlight the possibility of quantum coherence playing an important role in, for example, the energy and charge transfer process in mesoscopic bio-chemical systems [1–7] or artificial photosynthetic complexes [8, 9]. At the same time first experimental studies have shown that quantum coherence can play a significant role in photosynthetic light-harvesting complexes [10–12] and in chromophoric energy transport [13]. Since one of the main results found in many of these studies is the existence of enhanced transport efficiency in the presence of noise [6, 7, 10, 14, 15], it has become clear that understanding the dynamics of noisy quantum state transport can play a significant role in modeling and understanding natural physical and bio-chemical processes. Identifying models and dynamics in which the effect of the noise contributes constructively to the transport efficiency is therefore an important task. While the dynamics of quantum systems can be described by different forms of evolution, here we concentrate on quantum walks, which have been shown to be an effective model to understand, for example, photosynthetic energy transfer [10, 15].

Quantum walks evolve a particle in a series of superpositions in position space [16–21] and are the quantum analogue to classical random walks. They are studied in two forms, continuous-time quantum walk and discrete-time quantum walk. The continuous-time quantum walk is directly defined on the position Hilbert space using an Hamiltonian with a transition probability of the amplitude to its neighboring position [21]. The discrete-time quantum walk is defined on a position Hilbert space along with an additional internal degree of freedom of the coin (particle) Hilbert space [20]. Over the past decade, both the versions of quantum walks have emerged as an efficient tool to develop quantum algorithms [22, 23], to have coherent control of atoms and Bose-Einstein condensates in optical lattices [24, 25], to create topological phases [26], to construct protocols for quantum state transfer [27, 28], and to generate entanglement [29] among many other applications. Many organic and bio-chemical systems can be modeled by considering a closed loop of \( n \) sites, which has connections to other systems at specific positions. The dynamics of energy, charge and excitations on such a system can be can be modeled using quantum walks. Therefore, quantum walks are well suited to serve as a framework to simulate, control and understand the dynamics of physical and bio-chemical systems [30].

Transport process using quantum walk has also been studied using both, continuous-time model (see Ref. [31] for a recent review on the topic) and discrete-time model [32, 33]. Using discrete-time model the dynamics of the quantum walk on one-dimension with absorbing boundary conditions [32] has been reported. For a system with internal degree of freedom, compared to the continuous-time model, discrete-time quantum walk can serve as a well-suited model to study the transport process. In Ref. [33], comparison of survival probability (inverse of transport probability) on a closed loop using classical transport and discrete-time quantum walk with an absorbing traps has been studied. As the motive for the authors of Ref. [33] was to compare the survival probability of the classical transport and discrete-time quantum walk, the effect of noise on the transport of quantum state through an absorbing traps was not considered in the study. Quantum systems are not free from the environment noise and hence, looking into the effect of noise on the quantum transport process is very important forward. In this article we present the transport process using a simple form of the discrete-time quantum walk evolution of a closed loop on \( n \)– sites [34–36] and study the effect of noise on the transport efficiency with one of the sites as a sink.
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To measure the transport efficiency resulting from a discrete-time quantum walk on such a closed loop we designate one of the sites as a sink at which a certain part of the amplitude of the particle gets absorbed and might, for example, be transported to a neighbouring loop or network. Since this is a periodic system it is clear that for infinitely long times 100% of the wavefunction will be absorbed at the sink (we do not consider backflow out of the sink), however the efficiency at short times will depend strongly on the sink’s position and strength as well as the noise level in the system. By modeling the strength of the environmental effects using a depolarizing and a dephasing channel, we find that for small loops any level of noise will lead to enhanced state transport. For small loop with a large number of sites and sink, however, a decreased efficiency is found. For a loop with a large number of sites, however, a decreased efficiency is found. Studying the transport efficiency as a function of time we also identify regimes in which temporal enhancement exists. Finally, we determine the quantumness of the transport by using measurement induced disturbance [37, 38] and highlight the regimes where the quantum correlations and enhancement of transport coexist.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we define the discrete-time quantum walk model used to describe the evolution process and transport efficiency. In Section III the effects of depolarizing and dephasing noise on the transport process are discussed and in Section IV we use measurement induced disturbance to highlight the regime in which quantumness and efficient transport coexist. In Section V we conclude.

II. MODEL, EVOLUTION PROCESS AND TRANSPORT EFFICIENCY

Discrete-time quantum walk in one-dimension is modelled using the two internal degree of freedom of a particle on a position space. The Hilbert space of the particle $\mathcal{H}_c$ is defined by, $|\uparrow\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $|\downarrow\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ as the basis state and the position Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_p$ is defined by the basis state described in terms of $|j\rangle$, where $j \in \mathbb{I}$. Each step of the walk comprises of the coin operation, $B(\theta) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{bmatrix}$ which evolves the particle in superposition of its basis states followed by the shift operation

$$S \equiv \sum_j [ |\uparrow\rangle \langle j| \otimes |j+1\rangle + |\downarrow\rangle \langle j| \otimes |j-1\rangle]$$

(1)

which shift the particle in superposition of the position space. Therefore, the effective operation for each step of the discrete-time quantum walk is

$$W(\theta) \equiv S[B(\theta) \otimes 1]$$

(2)

and the state after time $t$ ($t$ step),

$$|\Psi_t\rangle = W(\theta)^t |\Psi_0\rangle,$$

(3)

where

$$|\Psi_0\rangle = (\cos(\delta/2) |\uparrow\rangle + e^{i\eta} \sin(\delta/2) |\downarrow\rangle) \otimes |j=0\rangle.$$  

(4)

The parameters $\delta$ and $\eta$ in the initial state and the parameter $\theta$ in the coin operation play a prominent role on the dynamics of walk. In this paper we will restrict our study to walk with a symmetric transition of amplitude to its neighboring sites. One of the configuration for the symmetric evolution of the walk will be to use a coin operation of the from $B = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -i \\ -i & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for a particle with the initial state $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle)$.

Alternatively, for the symmetric evolution of the walk on an $n-$ cycle we can describe the dynamics by combining the coin and the shift operator. For this description, we will denote the wavefunction of the particle as $|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_j |\psi(j, t)\rangle$, where the $\psi(j, t)$ represent two-component amplitude vectors of the particle at position $j$ and time $t$,

$$|\psi(j, t)\rangle = (c_1 |\downarrow\rangle + c_2 |\uparrow\rangle) \otimes |j, t\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_L(j, t) \\ \psi_R(j, t) \end{bmatrix}.$$  

(5)

Here $L$ indicates the left-moving component and $R$ the right-moving one. The position space for the particle to move in is a closed loop with $n$ discrete sites, $j = 1, \ldots, n$ (see Fig. 1), and we choose the wavefunction at $t = 0$ to be localized at $j = 1$

$$|\psi(1, 0)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\downarrow\rangle + |\uparrow\rangle) \otimes |1, 0\rangle.$$  

(6)
After an evolution for time $t$ with equal left- and right-moving components the particle’s state will evolve into

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_j |\psi(j, t)\rangle = \sum_j \left[ \psi_L(j, t) \psi_R(j, t) \right] = W^t \left[ \psi_L(1, 0) \psi_R(1, 0) \right],$$

where the evolution operator is given by

$$W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} a^* & -ia^* \\ -ia & a \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8)$$

The operators $a$ and $a^*$ are then defined by their effect on the wavefunction through

$$a\psi_{L(R)}(j, t) = \psi_{L(R)}\left( (j + 1) \mod (n + 1), t + 1 \right), \quad (9a)$$

$$a^*\psi_{L(R)}(j, t) = \psi_{L(R)}\left( (j - 1) \mod (n + 1), t + 1 \right), \quad (9b)$$

so that the evolution at every position $j$ can be calculated by using

$$\psi_L(j, t + 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \psi_L\left( (j + 1) \mod (n + 1), t \right) - i\psi_R\left( (j + 1) \mod (n + 1), t \right) \right], \quad (10a)$$

$$\psi_R(j, t + 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \psi_R\left( (j - 1) \mod (n + 1), t \right) - i\psi_L\left( (j - 1) \mod (n + 1), t \right) \right]. \quad (10b)$$

To quantify the efficiency of the transport, we will designate one of the position $k$ on the loop to act as a sink ($k = 5$ in Fig. 1). Depending on the strength of the sink potential $r$ ($0 \leq r \leq 1$), a certain fraction of the wave amplitude at this position is transported to a neighbouring loop/network. Therefore, the left- and the right-moving components of the wavefunction at the neighbouring positions of the sink, $(k \pm 1) \mod (n + 1)$, at time $(t + 1)$ after being absorbed at the sink are given by

$$\psi_L\left( (k + 1) \mod (n + 1), t + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \psi_L\left( (k + 2) \mod (n + 1), t \right) - i\sqrt{1-r} \psi_R(k, t) \right], \quad (11a)$$

$$\psi_R\left( (k + 1) \mod (n + 1), t + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sqrt{1-r} \psi_R(k, t) - i\psi_L\left( (k + 2) \mod (n + 1), t \right) \right], \quad (11b)$$

$$\psi_L\left( (k - 1) \mod (n + 1), t + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \sqrt{1-r} \psi_L(k, t) - i\psi_R\left( (k - 2) \mod (n + 1), t \right) \right], \quad (11c)$$

$$\psi_R\left( (k - 1) \mod (n + 1), t + 1 \right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \psi_R\left( (k - 2) \mod (n + 1), t \right) - i\sqrt{1-r} \psi_L(k, t) \right]. \quad (11d)$$

If the initial state of the particle at origin [Eq. (6)] is written in the form of the density matrix,

$$\rho(0) = |\psi(1, 0)\rangle\langle\psi(1, 0)|, \quad (12)$$

the transport efficiency (TE) at time $t$ is given by

$$\text{TE}(t) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle\psi(j, t)|\rho(t)|\psi(j, t)\rangle, \quad (13)$$
where the density matrix $\rho(t)$ is defined as
\begin{align*}
\rho(t) &= (\mathbb{I}_2 \otimes s_k)W \rho(t-1) W^\dagger (\mathbb{I}_2 \otimes s_k)^\dagger, \\
&= S_k W \rho(t-1) W^\dagger S_k^\dagger.
\end{align*}
\(\text{(14)}\)

Here $s_k$ is obtained by replacing 1 with $\sqrt{1-r}$ at position $(k,k)$ in an $n \times n$ unity matrix. In Eq. (13), the $\sum_{j=1}^n \langle \psi(j,t) | \rho(t) | \psi(j,t) \rangle$ is the probability of finding the particle in the loop after some fraction being absorbed at the sink.

FIG. 2: (Color online) Probability distribution in a loop of 21 sites at $t = 25$ for a fully absorbing sink potential ($r = 1$). Shown are the two situations where the sink is located the nearest to $(k = 2$, red dashed line) or the farthest from $(k = 11$, blue solid line) the initial position. The arrows indicate the position of the sink site.

FIG. 3: (Color online) Transport efficiency as a function of time on a loop, when the sink potential $r = 1$ is located at (a) the site nearest to the initial site and (b) furthest from the initial site. Note the different axis scalings.

When sink potential has maximum strength ($r = 1$), the right hand side of the Eq. (11) consists only of a single term which describes complete absorption of the amplitude into the site $k$, which suppresses the interference of the clockwise and counter-clockwise moving parts. In Fig. 2 we show this situation for a loop of 21—sites and the two situations in which the sink is either located at the site nearest ($k = 2$) or the farthest away ($k = 11$) from the initial position. In the first case half of the amplitude gets absorbed and transferred at $t = 1$ and no longer contributes to the interference on the ring for times $t > 1$. The remaining amplitude continues to evolve towards and away from $k$, which quickly leads to more of the amplitude being absorbed and transferred, especially if the number of sites in the loop is small (see Fig. 3(a)). When $k = 11$ the interference leads to the well known fast spreading of the amplitude.
around the loop, which results in an initially slower absorption rate, that even over time does not catch up with the one for the sink being at the nearest neighbour position (see Fig. 3(b)).

![Transport efficiency as a function of sink potential](image)

**FIG. 4:** (Color online) Transport efficiency as a function of sink potential $r$ at time $t = 40$ (a) when sink is the nearest neighbour ($k = 2$) of the initial site and (b) when sink is the farthest site from the initial site.

For $r < 1$, a fraction of the amplitude ($\sqrt{1-r}$) at the position of the sink is retained in the loop and continues to evolve and contribute to the interference. When $k$ is the nearest neighbour, we still find a steep increase in the transport efficiency even for small values of $r$, which becomes less pronounced with increasing loop size (see Fig. 4(a)). A similar behavior is found when $k$ is the farthest site from the initial position, however with increasing loop size, the curves also show a local maximum for finite value of $r < 1$ (see Fig. 4(b)). This can be attributed to the role of quantum interference during crossover of the left and right moving components and is therefore a unique property of the ring geometry.

### III. DECOHERENCE

Decoherence can be introduced into the above model by considering a depolarizing and a dephasing channel, which correspond to noise sources affecting the amplitude and the phase of the particle. Their respective effects will be different and in this section we will discuss changes to the transport efficiency resulting from the presence of noise.

#### A. Depolarizing channel

A depolarizing noise channel for a qubit system with density matrix $\rho_{2s}(t)$ can be described by replacing $\rho_{2s}(t)$ with a combination of a completely mixed state and the unchanged state

$$\tilde{\rho}_{2s}(t) = \frac{P}{3} \left( \sigma_1 \rho_{2s}(t) \sigma_1^\dagger + \sigma_2 \rho_{2s}(t) \sigma_2^\dagger + \sigma_3 \rho_{2s}(t) \sigma_3^\dagger \right) + (1-P) \rho(t)_{2s},$$

where $P \in [0,1]$ describes the strength of the noise and $\sigma_1$, $\sigma_2$, and $\sigma_3$ are the Pauli operators. For the discrete position space of the quantum walk to translates into

$$\dot{\rho}(t) = S_k W \left[ (1-P) \dot{\rho}(t-1) + \frac{P}{3} (X \dot{\rho}(t-1) X^\dagger \right] W^\dagger S_k^\dagger,$$

with $X = \sigma_1 \otimes 1$, $Y = \sigma_2 \otimes 1$ and $Z = \sigma_3 \otimes 1$, from which the transport efficiency can be obtained as,

$$TE(t) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle \psi(j,t) | \dot{\rho}(t) | \psi(j,t) \rangle.$$
FIG. 5: (Color online) Transport efficiency as a function of depolarizing noise level $P$ for a sink potential of $r = 0.6$. In the left column the position of the sink is located on the nearest neighbour from the initial position and in the right column on the farthest site.
For short times and when the sink is the nearest site to the initial position \((k = 2)\) one can see a clear increase in the transport efficiency with increasing noise (see Fig. 5, left column). An inverse effect, that is a clear decrease in transport efficiency with noise is seen when the sink is the farthest site from the initial position (see Fig. 5, right column). A similar trend for all value of \(r\) can be found at short times and we shown the representative situations for \(n = 8\) and \(n = 9\) at \(t = 10\) in Fig. 6 . An increase in transport efficiency can be seen when the sink is the nearest neighbour (Fig. 6, left column) and a decrease when the sink is the farthest site (Fig. 6, right column). For longer times the behaviour of the transport efficiency as a function of noise and sink potential shows a complex behaviour that does not immediately allow to identify general trends (see Fig. 7).

To illustrate this complex behaviour more clearly we show in Figs. 8 and 9 the transport efficiency as a function of noise strength for two different value of \(r\) at \(t = 40\) with the sink placed at different positions. For \(r = 0.6\) we find a general decrease in transport efficiency with increasing \(P\) and distance of sink from the initial position (Fig.8). A noteworthy exception from this trend can be seen for \(n = 8\), where a small increase in transport efficiency is found for very small levels of noise. However, with increasing noise this quantity follows the general trend again.

For \(r = 1\) and the sink site \(k\) being far away from the initial position we find a clear increase in transport efficiency for values up to \(P \approx 0.3\) (see Figs 9(a-c)), however with increasing loop size and distance between the initial position and sink, a steep decrease in the transport efficiency is seen for all \(r\) (see examples in Fig.8(d) and Fig.9(d)). This is also very clearly visible from Fig. 7(h).

The reason for this complex behaviour can be found in the fact that the noise changes the ballistic-like probability distribution of the particle over time into a Gaussian-like distribution around the initial position [34]. For short times \((t = 10)\) and when sink is the nearest neighbour to the initial position, this increases the fraction of the amplitude staying close to the initial position and consequently increases the transport efficiency. If the sink is far away from the initial position and the loop has only a small number of sites \((n \approx 7, 8, 9)\), the fraction of the amplitude that reaches the sink is smaller in presence of noise, leading to the described decrease.

For longer times and \(r < 1\), the crossover of the clock-wise and counter clock-wise moving part of the amplitude at the sink result in the mixing of the amplitude and wider spread of the probability distribution on the loop. In the presence of noise this spreading decreases, which results in a decrease of amplitude moving towards sink, which in turn affects the transport efficiency. Therefore, with an increase in distance of the sink from the initial position an increase in the degradation of transport efficiency is seen (see Fig. 8 for \(r = 0.6\)). When \(n\) is small and the sink away from the initial position, the small increase in transport efficiency with noise for \(r = 1\) is mainly due to the absence of the mixing of the clockwise and counter clockwise moving component of the amplitude.
The density matrix of a two-state quantum walker in the presence of a dephasing channel can be written as

$$\tilde{\rho}(t) = S_k W \left[ P \tilde{\rho}(t-1) E E^\dagger + (1 - P) \tilde{\rho}(t-1) \right] W^\dagger S_k^\dagger,$$

(18)
where

$$E = \mid \uparrow \rangle \langle \uparrow \mid + e^{-i\delta} \mid \downarrow \rangle \langle \downarrow \mid,$$

and $P$ and $\delta$ are the dephasing noise level and angle, respectively. For $\delta = 0$, $E$ is identity corresponding to a noiseless evolution and for $\delta = \pi$ it represents a phase flip channel. When $P = 1$ and $\delta = \pi$ the evolution in Eq.(18) is identical to a phase flip operation at each step of the quantum walk and the resulting probability distribution is identical to the noiseless evolution. This can be seen as a symmetry of the quantum walk [34] and indicates that using a dephasing noise channel a maximally mixed state $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ is obtained for $P = 0$.5. Note that no such symmetry exists in the presence of depolarizing noise, which means that a maximally mixed state $\tilde{\rho}(t)$ in Eq.(16) is obtained only for $P = 1$.

In Fig. 10 we show the transport efficiency as a function of $\delta$ for different noise levels $P$ for an 8–site loop with the sinks at $k = 2$ and $k = 5$ at time $t = 40$ when the sink potential is $r = 1$. One can clearly see an increase in the transport efficiency in the presence of depolarizing noise, which gets more pronounced the larger the noise and the dephasing angle. However, the difference between the maximum and the minimum of the transport efficiency is very small and given by 0.6% when the trap site is the nearest neighbour and 2.5% when the trap site is the farthest from the initial position.

The transport efficiency for fixed $\delta = \pi$ as a function of $t$ and noise level $P$ is shown in Fig. 11. For short times ($t = 10$) and when the sink is the nearest neighbour to the initial position, one can clearly see an increase for any value of $P$, with the maximum located at $P = 0.5$ (see Fig. 11(a)). Conversely, for the sink being farthest away from the initial position ($k = 5$ for $n = 8$), a suppression of the transport efficiency is found, with the minimum located at $P = 0.5$ (see Fig. 11(b)). For long times, the effect of noise on the transport efficiency becomes too small to be visible (see Fig. 11) and we show in Fig. 12 that the detailed trend is inverse to the one seen for short times.

The effects of a dephasing noise channel are therefore very similar to the effects stemming from a depolarizing noise channel discussed in the preceding section. However, there is one major difference in that the extrema for the transport efficiency for the dephasing channel are achieved at $P = 0.5$ [34].

**IV. QUANTUMNESS IN THE TRANSPORT PROCESS**

Let us finally discuss the quantum nature of the above walks in order to identify the role quantum correlations play in the appearance of transport enhancements. To quantify this we will make use of the concept of measurement...
induced disturbance (MID) [37], which can be seen as a measure of quantum correlations between the closed loop with the sink and the surviving part of the particle state as the subsystems.

MID assumes that the quantum correlations of the bipartite state $\tilde{\rho}$ living in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$ can be obtained by using a reasonable measure of total correlations and subtracting a reasonable measure of classical correlations. If the reduced density matrices are denoted by $\tilde{\rho}_A$ and $\tilde{\rho}_B$, a reasonable measure of total correlations between systems $A$ (loop) and $B$ (particle) is the mutual information, given by

$$I(\tilde{\rho}) = S(\tilde{\rho}_A) + S(\tilde{\rho}_B) - S(\tilde{\rho}),$$

where $S(\tilde{\rho}) = -\text{Tr}(\tilde{\rho} \ln \tilde{\rho})$ denotes the von Neumann entropy. By taking the complete projective measurement $\Pi_A \otimes \Pi_B$ determined by the eigen-projectors $\Pi_A^j$ and $\Pi_B^j$ of the marginal states $\rho_A = \sum_j p_A^j \Pi_A^j$ and $\rho_B = \sum_j p_B^j \Pi_B^j$, where $p_A$ and $p_B$ are the corresponding eigenvalues, one can define the MID as

$$Q(\tilde{\rho}) = I(\tilde{\rho}) - I[\Pi(\tilde{\rho})].$$

FIG. 9: (Color online) Transport efficiency subject to a depolarizing noise channel on a closed loop of (a) 7 sites (b) 8 sites (c) 9 sites and (d) 21 sites after $t = 40$ with $r = 1$. Note different axis scalings.

FIG. 10: (Color online) Transport efficiency with sink at position (a) 2 and (b) 5 on an 8–site ring as a function of $\delta$ for different dephasing noise level at $t = 40$. For any non-zero noise level transport efficiency is maximum for $\delta = \pi$. 
In the preceding expression
\[
\Pi(\tilde{\rho}) \equiv \sum_{j,k} \Pi_A^j \otimes \Pi_B^k \tilde{\rho} \Pi_A^j \otimes \Pi_B^k
\]  
(22)
is the post-measurement state after local measurements \(\Pi_A\) and \(\Pi_B\). The state \(\Pi(\tilde{\rho})\) may be considered classical in the sense that there is a (unique) local measurement strategy, namely \(\Pi\), that leaves \(\Pi(\tilde{\rho})\) unchanged. This strategy is special in that it produces a classical state in \(\rho\) while keeping the reduced states invariant. If we accept that \(I[\Pi(\tilde{\rho})]\) is a good measure of classical correlations in \(\tilde{\rho}\), then one can consider MID as a computable measure of quantum correlation [37].

In absence of noise, quantum correlations between the loop and the particle vanish only when the particle is completely transported to the sink, that is, the transport efficiency is one (TE=1). In the presence of noise, however, we find that quantum correlations vanish before the state is completely transported to the sink. In Fig. 13, we show the transport efficiency and the corresponding quantum correlations as a function of time for different depolarizing noise levels. One can see that even a small increase in the noise level leads to a significant decrease in the strength of the quantum correlations and they vanish even before the transport efficiency gets close to one. This shows that the quantum correlations are only present for a very short amount of time during the transport process. From Fig. 13, we can note that the quantum correlations during the transport process on a loop of small size \((7, 8, 9)\) reduce faster with time when the sink is the nearest neighbour than when the sink is the farthest site. From this one can draw the conclusion that an enhancement of transport efficiency can be seen when the noise level is very small, the sink is the nearest neighbour to the initial position, and the evolution has been brief. In this regime quantum correlations and enhancement of transport efficiency coexist.

Let us finally point out that if the sink is connected to a second loop and if the quantum correlations between the surviving particle and the loop are non-zero, then non-zero quantum correlation between the second loop and the initial loop will be established.
(a)7-site with sink, $k = 2$

(b)7-site with sink, $k = 4$

(c)8-site with sink, $k = 5$

(d)9-site with sink, $k = 5$

FIG. 13: (Color online) Transport efficiency of two-state particle and quantum correlations between the particle and the ring when subjected to the decoherence due to depolarizing channel with time $t$ when $r = 1$ for loop with (a) $n = 7, k = 2$ (b) $n = 7, k = 4$ (c) $n = 8, k = 5$ and (d) $n = 9, k = 5$.

V. CONCLUSION

Using a discrete-time quantum walk as a transport process we have studied the transport efficiency of a quantum state on a closed loop. In particular, we looked at the enhancement of quantum transport in presence of a depolarizing and a dephasing noise channel. We have shown that these noise channels can enhance the transport efficiency only when the number of sites in the closed loop is small. In addition, we have found enhancement for short times when the sink is the nearest neighbour of the initial site and for longer times $t$, when the sink is farthest from the initial position.

Furthermore we investigated the loss of quantum correlations due to the presence of noise and identified regimes where noise-enhanced transport and significant quantum correlations coexist. Such regimes are important for example in systems in which energy or electrons are transferred from one loop or molecule to another, as they allow to generate quantum correlations between the different systems. Together with helping to understand and model the energy transfer and state transport processes in naturally occurring system, the recent experimental progress in creating quantum walks in various physical systems (NMR [39–41], cold ions [42, 43], photons [44–49], and ultracold atoms [50]) will soon allow to study and engineer the processes we have described here in laboratory.
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