Computational prediction and experimental validation of evolutionarily conserved microRNA target genes in bilaterian animals
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Abstract

Background: In many eukaryotes, microRNAs (miRNAs) bind to complementary sites in the 3′-untranslated regions (3′-UTRs) of target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) and regulate their expression at the stage of translation. Recent studies have revealed that many miRNAs are evolutionarily conserved; however, the evolution of their target genes has yet to be systematically characterized. We sought to elucidate a set of conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs and to analyse the mechanism underlying miRNA-mediated gene regulation in the early stage of bilaterian evolution.

Results: Initially, we extracted five evolutionarily conserved miRNAs (let-7, miR-1, miR-124, miR-125/lin-4, and miR-34) among five diverse bilaterian animals. Subsequently, we designed a procedure to predict evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs by introducing orthologous gene information. As a result, we extracted 31 orthologous miRNA/target-gene pairs that were conserved among at least four diverse bilaterian animals; the prediction set showed prominent enrichment of orthologous miRNA/target-gene pairs that were verified experimentally. Approximately 84% of the target genes were regulated by three miRNAs (let-7, miR-1, and miR-124) and their function was classified mainly into the following categories: development, muscle formation, cell adhesion, and gene regulation. We used a reporter gene assay to experimentally verify the downregulation of six candidate pairs (out of six tested pairs) in HeLa cells.

Conclusions: The application of our new method enables the identification of 31 miRNA/target-gene pairs that were expected to have been regulated from the era of the common bilaterian ancestor. The downregulation of all six candidate pairs suggests that orthologous information contributed to the elucidation of the primordial set of genes that has been regulated by miRNAs; it was also an efficient tool for the elimination of false positives from the predicted candidates. In conclusion, our study identified potentially important miRNA-target pairs that were evolutionarily conserved throughout diverse bilaterian animals and that may provide new insights into early-stage miRNA functions.

Background

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a class of short (18-25 nucleotides) non-coding RNAs that regulate gene expression posttranscriptionally. Their regulatory potential relies heavily on the recognition of binding sites that are located mainly in the 3′-untranslated regions (3′-UTRs) of target messenger RNAs (mRNAs) [1]. Currently, numerous miRNAs with diverse sequences are being characterized in a wide range of species [2], suggesting that this small RNA molecule has a major effect on phylogeny. The importance of miRNAs is also suggested from recent research demonstrating that miRNA-guided gene regulation is involved in diverse biological functions, such as cell differentiation, development, carcinogenesis, and tumour suppression [3-6]. For example, phylogenetically conserved miRNAs (e.g., let-7, miR-1, miR-124, and miR-125) are involved in cell differentiation and development [7-10]. In this case, let-7 regulates the expression of RAS proteins known as critical oncogene products [11]. Moreover, miR-34, another evolutionarily conserved miRNA, is a direct downregulator of...
p53 and is involved in a genetic pathway that promotes cell-cycle progression [12].

In recent years, more than 700 miRNAs have been identified in humans [13], and this number is increasing. In a recent report by Friedman et al., the expression of a large number of target genes is predicted to be regulated by miRNAs [14]; however, relatively few of these have been verified experimentally. To overcome this problem, a series of computational methods has been developed to predict a large number of miRNA targets; e.g., TargetScan [14], RNAhybrid [15], MicroTAR [16], PITA [17], miRanda [18], and Pictar [19]. Nevertheless, these computational approaches often provide numerous target candidates with a large number of false positives because of the weak complementarity between miRNAs and 3′-UTRs [20]. Recently, a phylogenetic profiling approach has been applied to overcome this limitation. For example, studies of the evolution of orthologous target sites have provided insights into the prediction of efficient miRNA targets [21]. As for miRNAs, many miRNA families are found among various bilaterian animals, suggesting that several miRNAs and their target genes may have co-evolved; however, these features have yet to be systematically characterized.

In this study, we hypothesized that the core regulatory relationship between miRNAs and their target genes were conserved throughout the evolution of bilaterian animals. In addition, by predicting these relationships, we sought to elucidate the core function of miRNAs in the primitive gene-regulatory network of the common bilaterian ancestor. Accordingly, we focused on five miRNAs (let-7, miR-1, miR-124, miR-125/lin-4, and miR-34) that are conserved among bilaterian species (Homo sapiens (H. sapiens), Mus musculus (M. musculus), Gallus gallus (G. gallus), Drosophila melanogaster (D. melanogaster), and Caenorhabditis elegans (C. elegans)) and designed a procedure to extract conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs. We extracted evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs based on hybridization patterns and orthologous information. In addition, we experimentally verified several candidate pairs to support our methodology. Our results suggest a functional role of three major miRNAs (let-7, miR-1, and miR-124) that regulated genes related to development, muscle formation, and cell adhesion. These results suggest a new role for the core function of miRNAs in the primitive gene-regulatory network of the common bilaterian ancestor.

Results and Discussion

Extraction of evolutionarily conserved miRNAs among five bilaterian animals

To extract conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs, we chose five model species (H. sapiens, M. musculus, G. gallus, D. melanogaster, and C. elegans) among bilaterian animals, for which there exists a vast array of data on both miRNAs and mRNAs [13]. Previously, several important features were described to classify miRNAs into families. It is well known that the seed sequence (the 5′ side of the miRNA sequence) is important for interaction with the target mRNAs [22]. Many miRNA target prediction software programs were developed using the features of seed sequences [14-19]. Moreover, several features have been proposed to identify conserved miRNA families, such as conservation of the mature miRNA sequence (features of the earliest miRNA classification in miRBase) [23] and information on the phylogenetic relationship among miRNAs [24]. By focusing on these features, we proposed the following criteria for extracting well-conserved miRNA families among five species: (1) complete seed sequence matching, (2) mature miRNA sequence identity exceeding 75%, and (3) high conservation among miRNA families, considering the phylogenetic relationship among miRNAs (category I) [24]. Consequently, from 2,404 mature miRNA sequences, we extracted five miRNA families (let-7, miR-1, miR-124, miR-125/lin-4, and miR-34) conserved evolutionarily among the five bilaterian animals (Table 1). The sequence identity among most of the conserved miRNA families was over 80%. In particular, the sequences of let-7 and miR-1 family members showed very high mature miRNA sequence identity (exceeding 90%) among all five bilaterian species, which suggests that a strong selective pressure exists for the nucleotide sequence. Huang et al. described the extraction of 15 conserved miRNA families among six bilaterian animals (H. sapiens, M. musculus, G. gallus, D. rerio, D. melanogaster, and C. elegans) based on their original classification method [24], which included our five miRNA families. In this manuscript, we devised more stringent criteria based on nucleotide conservation to extract highly conserved miRNA families. Nematostella vectensis and Amphimedon queenslandica, which diverged before the emergence of bilaterian animals, reportedly express various types of miRNAs [25]; however, none of these miRNAs are sequencially similar to the five evolutionarily conserved miRNA families found in the current study (data not shown), which suggests that these evolutionarily conserved miRNAs appeared after the divergence of bilaterian animals or were lost in N. vectensis and A. queenslandica.

Filtering and enrichment of the evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs

To extract genes targeted through evolution by the five conserved miRNAs, we designed a procedure that comprised three screening steps (Figure 1 and Table 2). In step 1, we extracted potential target genes based on
optimal free-energy information with a requirement of complete seed-sequence-matching using the RNAhybrid software [15], which predicts potential binding sites of short RNAs among target sequences. For this purpose, the optimal free energy was determined beforehand to efficiently cover experimentally validated miRNA/target-gene pairs. We calculated the free energy of 139 experimentally validated miRNA/target-gene pairs with a complete match of seed sequence, as assessed using RNAhybrid; subsequently, we defined the optimal free energy as < -17 kcal/mole of potential miRNA/target-gene pairs (data not shown). The evaluation of target-gene extraction during each step was carried out using an index termed "Enrichment" (see Methods section). As a result, in step 1, the number of potential target-gene candidates decreased from 357,430 to 153,387, with an enrichment index of 1.8. This prediction set contained 112 of the 145 experimentally validated target-gene pairs.

In step 2, we considered the 3’-UTR binding pattern of the miRNA/target mRNA. We defined four binding parameters (i.e., number of mismatches of mRNA within the whole miRNA sequence, number of mismatches of miRNA within the whole miRNA sequence, number of G-U wobble pairs within the whole miRNA sequence, and number of G-U wobble pairs within the seed sequence) of the hybridization pattern (Additional file 1) for optimisation of the thresholds for each of the features used to predict reliable miRNA/mRNA pairs. The ranges of the four binding parameters were determined by calculating the coverage of 112 miRNA-mRNA pairs verified experimentally and of 153,387 miRNA-mRNA pairs predicted for each binding feature (Figure 2A-D). Five hundred parameter combinations were plotted on a 2D graph, using "Enrichment" on the X-axis and "Ratio of experimentally verified miRNA/mRNA" on the Y-axis (Figure 2E). From these parameter combinations, we defined the optimal combination of binding parameters for efficient screening based on a maximum EC value of 184.7 (number of mismatches of mRNA within the whole miRNA sequence: 12; number of mismatches of miRNA within the whole miRNA sequence: 10; number of G-U wobble pairs within the whole miRNA sequence: 4; and number of G-U wobble pairs within the seed sequence: 0). Accordingly, the number of potential target-gene candidates was reduced from 153,387 to 28,201 and the experimentally validated target genes decreased from 112 to 76 after introduction of the criterion of optimal hybridization pattern (Enrichment index, 6.6) (Table 2).

Finally, in step 3, we incorporated orthologous gene information and extracted genes that were evolutionarily conserved among more than four diverse bilaterian animals, including *H. sapiens*. As a result, the number of predicted miRNA/target-gene pairs was minimized substantially, from 10,356 to 31, using a significantly high Enrichment index of 25.7 (Table 2). The number of predicted miRNA/target-gene pairs was especially high for

| Table 1 List of miRNAs conserved among various bilaterian animals |
| --- |
| **Species** |
| **miRNA family** | *H. sapiens* | *M. musculus* | *G. gallus* | *D. melanogaster* | *C. elegans* | **Identity (%)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| let-7 | let-7a | let-7a | let-7a | let-7 | let-7 | 95.5 |
| miR-1 | miR-1 | miR-1a | miR-1 | miR-1 | 90.9 |
| miR-124 | miR-124 | miR-124a | miR-124 | miR-124 | miR-124 | 82.6 |
| miR-125/lin-4 | miR-125b | miR-125b-5p | miR-125b | miR-125 | lin-4 | 81.8 |
| miR-34 | miR-34a | miR-34a | miR-34a | miR-34 | miR-34 | 79.2 |

| Table 2 Summary of target gene extraction after each screening step |
| --- |
| **Species** |
| **miRNA family** | *H. sapiens* | *M. musculus* | *G. gallus* | *D. melanogaster* | *C. elegans* | **Ratio of predicted miRNA/target-gene pairs (%)** | **Ratio of experimentally verified miRNA/target-gene pairs (%)** | **Enrichment** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| All possible miRNA/gene pairs | 97820 | 98790 | 53995 | 52850 | 53975 | 357430/357430 (100) | 145/145 (100) | 1.0 |
| STEP 1 (Free energy and seed region) | 56666 | 53420 | 20410 | 14098 | 8793 | 153387/357430 (42.9) | 112/145 (77.2) | 1.8 |
| STEP 2 (Optimal parameter) | 12405 | 11256 | 2524 | 1118 | 898 | 28201/357430 (7.9) | 76/145 (52.4) | 6.6 |
| STEP 3 | 31 | 31/10356* (0.3) | 4/52 (7.7) | 25.7 |

The total number of possible target genes for the five miRNAs (let-7, miR-1, miR-124, miR-125/lin-4, and miR-34) is represented for the five model species at each screening step (see Figure 1). Asterisks indicate the number of orthologous genes conserved among at least four species. Enrichment was calculated as the "ratio of experimentally verified miRNA/target-gene pairs" divided by the "ratio of predicted miRNA/target-gene pairs".
Evolutionarily conserved miRNAs were extracted from the five model species (H. sapiens, M. musculus, G. gallus, D. melanogaster, and C. elegans). For each miRNA, potential target genes were predicted using the following criteria: optimal free-energy threshold and complete matching of nucleotide sequences between the seed sequence of miRNA/mRNA duplexes (step 1), binding pattern of the 3’-UTR of miRNA/mRNA duplexes (step 2), and orthologous gene information (step 3).
Figure 2 Parameters used for the prediction of miRNA/mRNA pairs and their coverage. To optimize the binding parameters of miRNA/mRNA duplexes, we determined the coverage of four binding parameters (mismatch of mRNA within the whole miRNA sequence (A), mismatch of miRNA within the whole miRNA sequence (B), G-U wobble pairs within the whole miRNA sequence (C), and G-U wobble pairs within the seed sequence (D)). Calculation of the coverage was performed using 112 experimentally verified miRNA/mRNA pairs (orange line) and 153,387 predicted miRNA/mRNA pairs (blue line). The yellow bar indicates differences in coverage between experimentally verified and computationally predicted miRNA/mRNA pairs. Four or five points chosen from the highest yellow bar were used as the range of each of the four binding parameters (blue squares) used in this study. We determined parameter space using the binding patterns of the miRNA/mRNA pairs based on four features (E). Five hundred parameter combinations were plotted on a 2D graph using “ratio of experimentally verified miRNA/mRNA” on the Y-axis and “Enrichment” on the X-axis. Black circles (57.3% of the coverage and 3.2-fold of the Enrichment) indicate the point that corresponded to optimized parameters for the prediction of final conserved miRNA/target pairs: 12 for the number of mismatches in the mRNA, 10 for the number of mismatches in the miRNA, 4 for the number of G-U wobble pairs within the whole miRNA sequence, and 0 for the number of G-U wobble pairs within the seed sequence (see Methods section).
the three miRNAs let-7 (eight targets), miR-1 (seven targets), and miR-124 (eleven targets) compared with miR-125/lin-4 (three targets) and miR-34 (two targets) (Additional file 2). This suggests that let-7, miR-1, and miR-124 may have played a major role in primordial miRNA gene regulation in the common bilaterian ancestor. To verify the significance of conserved miRNA-target gene pairs, we performed the same sequence analysis (from step 1 to step 3) against total 25 species-specific miRNAs (5 miRNAs each from 5 species) as a control experiment supposing that these miRNAs are also conserved in other bilaterians. For example, target prediction of hsa-miR-2277, a species-specific miRNA in human was performed in all 5 species (step 1 and step 2) and conserved targets were extracted (step 3). As a result, 11 out of 25 non-conserved miRNAs did not show any conserved miRNA-target gene pair. Furthermore, average number of the miRNA-target gene pairs of the negative control was 2.4, which is statistically lower than that of conserved miRNA-target gene pairs 6.2 based on the Welch’s t-test (P < 0.05). These results support that number of genes achieved from the prediction of conserved miRNAs target genes in this study is indeed significant. In summary, we developed a new filtering method for extracting evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs, which was used to extract 31 reliable miRNA/target-gene pairs among the five families of miRNAs.

We discovered that only one orthologous target gene, calponin-3 (CNN3), was conserved completely among the five bilaterian animals (Figure 3A). As for target genes conserved in four species, we found, for example, the La-related protein 4 (LARP4), ETS domain-containing protein Elk-3 (ELK3), argonaute-4 (EIF2C4), transgelin-2 (TAGLN2), and V-type proton ATPase subunit B brain isoform (ATP6V1B2) genes (Figure 3B and Additional file 3). Of note, the same approximate position of the predicted target site was observed in the orthologous 3′-UTR of CNN3 (120 nucleotides (nt)), LARP4 (3,100 nt), EIF2C4 (220 nt), and TAGLN2 (50 nt) among vertebrates (Figure 3 and Additional file 3). According to Bartel et al., the distribution of miRNA target sites within the 3′-UTR is biased near the mRNA stop codon or poly-A tail compared with the middle portion of 3′-UTR [26]. Our results show that target site distribution varied according to the type of miRNA target gene. The target sites on 3′-UTR of CNN3 and TAGLN2 were biased near the stop codon, from H. sapiens to C. elegans (Figure 3A and Additional file 3). Regarding the other candidates, we observed all types of target site distribution on 3′-UTR. A future statistical analysis of miRNA target-site distribution among conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs is required to substantiate this view. With the exception of 3′-UTR of the LARP4 gene, most of the binding patterns of evolutionarily conserved target sites were sequentially different, without taking the seed region into consideration. The target-site binding patterns within 3′-UTR of the LARP4 gene were identical between H. sapiens and M. musculus (Figure 3B), although the similarity of the two 3′-UTR sequences was ~70% (data not shown). A recent study reported on cooperative regulation by multiple miRNAs [19]. Likewise, the band 4.1-like protein 4B (EPB41L4B) gene was an orthologous target of two different types of miRNAs: miR-1 and miR-124 (Table 3). Our analysis suggests that multiple miRNA regulation may have already existed in the era of ancestral bilaterian species.

Experimental validation of miRNA target genes

To validate the evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene candidates, we performed transfection and luciferase assays on 6 of the 31 identified evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene candidates. Four of the six candidates (CNN3, LARP4, TAGLN2, and ATP6V1B2) were predicted to be regulated by miR-1, while one candidate (ELK3) was predicted to be targeted by miR-124, and the final candidate (EIF2C4) was predicted to be the target of let-7. We used the well-established downregulation of the delta-like protein 1 precursor (DLL1) gene by miR-34 as a positive control [22], and the LARP4/let-7 pair, which was extracted up to step 2 in our analysis, was chosen as a non-evolutionarily conserved pair. We subcloned the 3′-UTR sequence downstream from the Renilla luciferase gene (Figure 4A) and co-transfected 100 ng of the 3′-UTR reporter construct into HeLa cells using 5, 20, and 60 pmol of miRNA Mimics (hsa-let-7a, hsa-miR-1, hsa-miR-124, hsa-miR-34a, and miRIDIAN microRNA Hairpin Inhibitor Negative Control #1) (see Methods section). We observed the downregulation of six out of six candidates and of the positive control compared with the negative controls (Figure 4B, a-g). Typical results of the reporter gene assay are shown in Figure 4B for the indicated amounts of miRNAs (5, 20, and 60 pmol). The downregulation of these candidates was significant (P < 0.01), although some of these pairs represented an inhibition of only 30% under the current conditions. Among these candidates, TAGLN2 was previously suggested to be downregulated by miR-1, as assessed by microarray analysis [27]. This feature was recently confirmed using the “pulsed stable isotope labelling with amino acids in cell culture” (pSILAC) method and a reporter gene assay [28]. Regarding the let-7/LARP4 combination, the expression of LARP4 was not downregulated after let-7 transfection, which was supported statistically (Figure 4B, h). These experimental results suggest that our new method has the potential for efficiently extracting reliable miRNA/target-gene pairs and may be effective in the elucidation of the
primordial regulatory relationships between miRNAs and their target genes during the early stage of bilaterian evolution.

Possible regulation of evolutionarily conserved miRNA targets in bilaterian animals

To provide further insight into the primary functions of evolutionarily conserved miRNAs (Table 3), we next focused on the functions of the target genes and found that evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target genes could be largely classified into four functional categories: development, differentiation, muscle movement, and gene regulation. First, we describe the function of evolutionarily conserved genes involved in development and differentiation. The laminin subunit gamma-1 precursor (LAMC1) gene, which was possibly regulated by mir-124, is one of the major components of the basement membrane. According to Smyth et al. [29], null mutation of LAMC1 causes embryonic lethality because of the absence of the basement membrane and failure to differentiate the endoderm. Among other candidates regulated by mir-124, the expression of the leucine-rich repeat flightless-interacting protein 2 (LRRFIP2) gene induces an extra axis in Xenopus laevis embryos [30].

Table 3 Evolutionarily conserved genes regulated by miRNAs

| ID              | Name               | Function                                                   | let-7 | miR-1 | miR-124 | miR-125/lin4 | miR-34 |
|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|---------|-------------|--------|
| ENSG00000187772 | LIN2B8             | Lin-28 homolog B                                           |       | +     |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000198799 | LRIG2              | Leucine-rich repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains protein 2 precursor |       | +     |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000086544 | ITPKC              | Inositol-triphosphate 3-kinase C                           | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000196233 | LCROR              | Ligand-dependent corepressor                               | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000139263 | LRIG3              | Leucine-rich repeats and immunoglobulin-like domains protein 3 precursor | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000134698 | EIF2C4             | Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 2C 4              | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000170456 | DENND5B            | MGC24039 protein                                           | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000136231 | IGF2BP3            | Insulin-like growth factor 2 mRNA-binding protein 3         | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000158710 | TAGLN2             | Transgelin-2                                               |       | +*    |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000143549 | TPM3               | Troponymosin alpha-3 chain                                  | +*    |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000117519 | CNN3               | Calponin-3                                                 | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000071073 | MGAT4A             | Alpha-1,3-mannosyl-glycoprotein 4-beta-N-acetylgalosaminyltransferase A | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000161813 | LARP4              | La-related protein 4                                        | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000147416 | ATP6V1B2           | Vacuolar ATP synthase subunit B, brain isoform              | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000135862 | LAMC1              | Laminin subunit gamma-1 precursor                           | +*    |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000125695 | STRADA             | STE20-related adapter protein                              | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000131459 | GFPT2              | Glucosamine-fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase          | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000111145 | ELK3               | ETS domain-containing protein Elk-3                       | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000151726 | ACSL1              | Long-chain-fatty-acid-CoA ligase 1                         | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000164144 | ARFIP1             | Arfaptin-1                                                 | +**   |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000080819 | CPOX               | Coproporphyrinogen III oxidase, mitochondrial precursor     | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000138279 | ANXA7              | Annexin A                                                 | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000150093 | ITGB1              | Integrin beta-1 precursor                                  | +**   |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000093167 | LRRFIP2            | Leucine-rich repeat flightless-interacting protein 2        | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000116141 | MARK1              | Serine/threonine-protein kinase MARK1                      | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000166797 | FAM96A             | Protein FAM96A                                             | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000134194 | LIN28              | Lin-28 homolog A                                           | +*    |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000113282 | CLINT1             | EPN4_HUMAN Isoform 2 of Q14677-Homo sapiens                 | +     |       |         |             |        |
| ENSG00000137872 | SEMA6D             | Semaphorin-6D precursor                                     | +     |       |         |             |        |

List of conserved targets regulated by conserved miRNAs. Transcript of Band 4.1-like protein 4B is only regulated by two miRNAs (miR-1 and mir-124). *** and **** indicates experimentally verified miRNA-mRNA and possible miRNA-mRNA candidates revealed by microarray data, respectively.
Moreover, the semaphorin-6D precursor (SEMA6D) gene, plays an important role in cardiac morphogenesis during chick embryonic development [31]. The lin-28 gene, which regulates developmental timing in C. elegans, is reportedly controlled by lin-4, as assessed using in vivo experiments [32]. Subsequently, the lin-28 gene was also found to be regulated by miR-125, which is an orthologous miRNA of lin-4 in H. sapiens, M. musculus, and C. elegans [33]. Our prediction confirmed the regulation of the orthologous let-7/lin-28 pair was also evolutionarily conserved among bilaterians. This feature had been validated experimentally in H. sapiens [34]; however, in C. elegans, lin-28 is expressed in an early stage of development, while let-7 is expressed in a later stage of development, suggesting that regulation of let-7 by lin-28 is subtle in C. elegans [7]. It would be of interest to analyse the interaction of the let-7/lin-28 pair in other species, such as M. musculus and G. gallus, to understand whether stage-specific expression of let-7 is present in the common ancestor of bilaterian animals or if it is a trait acquired later during evolution, as many of the evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs were related to an essential function involved in differentiation and development.

Next, we focused on the tissue-specific miRNA/target genes. Among the 30 evolutionarily conserved target candidates, approximately one-third were expressed in a tissue-specific manner in humans, according to the BioGPS portal [8]. miR-1 is highly expressed in muscle tissues [35]. Here, three candidate genes regulated by miR-1 (i.e., TAGLN2, CNN3, and TPM3) are also expressed in muscle tissues, according to BioGPS. TAGLN2 is a homolog of TAGLN, which encodes an actin-binding protein and is a diagnostic marker of breast and colon carcinoma in humans [35]. The CNN3 gene also encodes an actin-binding protein that represses bone morphogenetic protein (BMP) signalling in chondrocytes, which is important for bone formation [36]. Moreover, the TPM3 gene encodes yet another actin-binding protein that modulates muscle contraction. The other miRNA, miR-124, is expressed in...
the nervous system [9]. Similarly, one of the evolutionarily conserved target candidates, the glucosamine-fructose-6-phosphate aminotransferase 2 (GFPT2) gene, is expressed in the central nervous system [37]. In addition, the annexin A7 (ANXA7) gene, which is another candidate target of miR-124, is involved in the development of the murine brain [38]. The striking overlap between the tissue specificity of evolutionarily conserved miRNA and that of their target genes suggests that one of the main functions of primordial miRNAs may have been the regulation of genes implicated in the temporal control of the development of muscle and of the nervous system, in a tissue-specific manner.

Finally, we found two interesting candidate genes, EIF2C4 and LARP4, which encode translation-related proteins. It is well accepted that miRNAs are regulators of gene expression, mostly at the translational level [1]. EIF2C4 is also known as Argonaute 4 (AGO4). Although the function of AGO4 is unknown, other Argonaute protein family members are involved in the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which is essential for the miRNA or siRNA pathways. A previous microarray analysis performed in HepG2 cells revealed that the EIF2C4 gene was affected by let-7 [6]. In the present study, we demonstrated for the first time the direct downregulation of EIF2C4 by let-7, as assessed using a reporter gene assay in HeLa cells (Figure 4B, e); therefore, we speculate that negative-feedback regulation of EIF2C4 by let-7 exists in the miRNA pathway. It has been reported that regulation of the AGO1 mRNA, which is a major component of the RISC complex, in the miRNA pathway by miR-168 controls plant development in Arabidopsis thaliana [39]. Another candidate, LARP4, encodes a member of La-motif protein family that controls translational efficiency [40]. We also demonstrated the downregulation of the LARP4 gene via miR-1 using a reporter gene assay (Figure 4B, c), which further supports our contention that some of the evolutionarily conserved miRNAs may play an important role in the regulation of translation by controlling the expression levels of translation factors and by negatively regulating their own miRNA pathway.

Conclusions

We developed a procedure to extract potential evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs based on orthologous gene information from five bilaterian animals, and efficiently extracted 31 evolutionarily
conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs from 357,430 pairs. We experimentally validated the downregulation of six candidate pairs (out of six tested pairs) in HeLa cells, which suggests that our method using orthologous information was efficient in extracting evolutionarily conserved miRNA target-gene candidates. Our findings reveal that miRNA target sites were conserved among various species, and demonstrate that especially let-7-, miR-1-, and miR-124-mediated gene regulation may have played an important role throughout evolution, in processes such as development, differentiation, and muscle movement. Moreover, our results indicate that miRNA-mediated translational regulation as well as tissue-specific expression of miRNA/target-gene pairs may have already existed in the common bilaterian ancestor. In conclusion, our study will provide new insights into the early stages of miRNA function.

**Methods**

miRNA and 3’-UTR sequence data

We downloaded 2,404 mature miRNA sequences (885 for *H. sapiens*, 689 for *M. musculus*, 520 for *G. gallus*, 153 for *D. melanogaster*, and 157 for *C. elegans*) from the miRBase, version 13.0 (http://microrna.sanger.ac.uk/sequences)[13]. We downloaded sequences corresponding to 3’-UTR using the Ensembl transcript ID annotation in FASTA format (40,498 transcripts for *H. sapiens*, 3,332 for *M. musculus*, 13,089 for *G. gallus*, 16,822 for *D. melanogaster*, and 13,560 for *C. elegans*) from the Ensmart database Ensembl release 53 (http://www.ensembl.org/index.html)[41]. Orthologous gene information was also downloaded from the Ensmart database Ensembl release 53. We obtained 145 experimentally verified miRNA/target-gene pairs from TarBase Version 5.0 (http://diana.cslab.ece.ntua.gr/tarbase/[42].

Identification of miRNAs conserved among bilaterian animals

The 2,404 miRNA sequences were aligned using ClustalX [43] with the following alignment parameters: gap opening, 22.50; gap extension, 0.83; and bootstrap value, 100. We checked the conservation of 2,404 miRNA sequences to extract evolutionarily conserved miRNAs. We defined the conservation threshold as an "overall sequence identity > 75% with complete matching of the seed sequence (1-7, 2-8, or 3-9 nucleotides from the miRNA’s 5’ end)"; furthermore, we introduced information on the phylogenetic relationship among miRNAs to extract reliably conserved miRNAs and used highly conserved miRNA families (category I) [24].

Extraction of evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs among bilaterian animals

To extract evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs among bilaterian animals, we devised a three-step filtering approach (Figure 1). In step 1, we predicted genes targeted by each of the five miRNAs (let-7, miR-1, miR-124, miR-125/lin-4, and miR-34) using RNAhybrid, which is fast and flexible software for miRNA target prediction, with the free-energy option and the seed-sequence option [15]. The RNA duplex free-energy filter was defined as the appropriate value that led to the efficient extraction of experimentally verified miRNA/target-gene pairs. We also considered a complete match across the seed sequence (1-7, 2-8, or 3-9 nucleotides from the miRNA’s 5’ end), which was used as a filter by adding the seed option of RNAhybrid.

In step 2, we used four binding parameters of the hybridization pattern of the miRNA/mRNA duplexes. According to a recent study, a binding rule is likely to exist for the recognition of target mRNAs by miRNAs [34]. Moreover, G-U wobble pairs within miRNA/mRNA duplexes play a key role in the interaction with target mRNAs [44]. Subsequently, potential candidates were extracted using four binding parameters (number of mismatches of mRNA within the whole miRNA sequence, number of mismatches of miRNA within the whole miRNA sequence, number of G-U wobble pairs within the whole miRNA sequence, and number of G-U wobble pairs within the seed sequence) of the miRNA/mRNA duplexes (Additional file 1). We used the hybridization pattern of experimentally verified and predicted miRNA/mRNA pairs to calculate coverage, by changing these binding parameters one by one (28-0 for the number of mismatches of mRNA within the whole miRNA sequence; 14-0 for the number of mismatches of miRNA within the whole miRNA sequence; 10-0 for the number of G-U wobble pairs within the whole miRNA sequence; and 6-0 for the number of G-U wobble pairs within the seed sequence) (Figure 2A-D). The range of each of the four binding parameters was determined based on the coverage of experimentally verified and predicted miRNA/mRNA pairs. Parameter combinations were then plotted on a 2D graph by calculating the “ratio of experimentally verified miRNA/mRNA” and “Enrichment” using the points in the four parameter ranges (Figure 2E). The criterion “Enrichment” was defined and calculated as the value of “ratio of experimentally verified miRNA/target-gene pairs” divided by the “ratio of predicted miRNA/target-gene pairs”. We obtained the most effective combination of four binding parameters for extracting miRNA/target-gene pairs based on the EC value. Parameter combination with highest EC value was selected.

In step 3, orthologous gene information was used to extract orthologous genes targeted by the same type of miRNA. For the retrieval of evolutionarily conserved miRNA/target-gene pairs from various bilaterian animals, we set the orthologous gene information criteria...
as orthologous genes conserved in at least four species, each containing the miRNA target site of interest.

Expression vectors

To construct target-site reporter plasmids, each DNA fragment (3’-UTR sequence of the DLL1 gene (668 nt; accession no. AF003522), ELK3 gene (519 nt; accession no. BC017371), EIF2C4 gene (2148 nt; accession no. AB046787), TAGLN2 gene (1391 nt; accession no. D21261), LARP4 gene (1678 nt; accession no. AY004310), CNN3 gene (1391 nt; accession no. BC025372), and ATP6V1B2 gene (1208 nt; accession no. L35249)) was amplified from HeLa genomic DNA via polymerase chain reaction using site-specific primers and was inserted into the XhoI/NotI sites of the psiCHECK-2 plasmid vector (which encodes both fireflies and Renilla luciferases; Promega, Madison, WI, USA) (Figure 4A). The oligonucleotide was designed to introduce XhoI and NotI sites at the 5’ and 3’ termini, respectively. The resulting plasmids were termed pLuc-DLL1, pLuc-CNN3, pLuc-LARP4, pLuc-ELK3, pLucEIF2C4, pLuc-TAGLN2, and pLuc-ATP6V1B2, respectively. miRIDIAN™ miRNA Mimic molecules are chemically modified double-stranded RNA oligonucleotides.

List of abbreviations used

miRNA: microRNA; 3’-UTR: 3’-untranslated region; EC value: multiplied value of Enrichment and Coverage; nt: nucleotides; SD: standard deviation.

Additional file 1: Basic concept of miRNA/miRNA duplex formation

Additional file 2: Summary of the number of target genes in each extraction step

Additional file 3: Additional examples of miRNA target sites in orthologous gene transcripts used for experimental verification
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