The introduction of West Nile virus (WNV) into the northeastern United States in 1999 and its subsequent rapid spread throughout the United States raised concerns about the potential for the introduction and spread of the virus in the Caribbean (4, 6, 7, 16). Since 1999, evidence of WNV transmission has been reported throughout the Caribbean, where diagnosis has been complicated by the coinfection of other flaviviruses, including the dengue virus (DENV) (12). The continued spread of WNV through North America, Latin America, and the Caribbean has highlighted the need for disease-specific diagnostic tests for flaviviruses. Until recently, DENV has been the only circulating flavivirus in Puerto Rico; therefore, the surveillance system testing algorithm was not designed to detect other arboviruses. The first serological evidence of WNV in Puerto Rico was reported in wild birds in 2003. The first WNV isolate was obtained in mosquitoes in June 2007 in the municipalities of Ceiba and Naguabo along the northeastern coast of the island and coincided with the epidemic curve indicated that the dengue outbreak began May 2007, 1 week prior to the serological detection of WNV in sentinel chickens (1). The purpose of this study was to evaluate a new testing algorithm to differentiate between WNV and DENV cases in IgM-cross-reactive samples. A new testing algorithm was developed to evaluate suspected WNV-positive serum samples using a 90%-plaque-reduction neutralization test (PRNT90) with IgG depletion. Further differentiation was achieved using the dengue nonstructural protein 1 (NS1) antigen enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). These results will likely prove useful in developing a better testing algorithm for DENV- and WNV-cross-reactive samples using IgM, PRNT90 with IgG depletion, and the NS1 antigen ELISA.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Criteria for sample submission. In 2003, a human encephalitis surveillance program which focused on suspected neuroinvasive WNV cases was established in Puerto Rico. Lectures and presentations on WNV and the importance of surveillance were provided to promote participation from health care providers. Health care providers were encouraged to submit samples from all patients suspected of having WNV fever and WNV neuroinvasive disease to the CDC Dengue Branch for WNV diagnostic testing. These samples were laboratory tested for both WNV and DENV using IgM antibody capture ELISA (MAC ELISA) and real-time reverse transcriptase PCR (RT-PCR) techniques upon submission. Samples that were negative by RT-PCR for both DENV and WNV with cross-reactivity to both WNV and DENV in the MAC ELISA were selected for this study. These samples were then evaluated using the NS1 antigen ELISA and PRNT90 with IgG depletion to further evaluate the infecting virus.

**Real-time RT-PCR.** A Singleplex RT-PCR was used for the detection of dengue virus serotypes 1 to 4 (DENV1 to -4) as previously described (11). Additionally, the samples were tested with a WNV real-time RT-PCR assay as previously described (15). MAC ELISA. Serum samples (n = 2,231) were submitted to the CDC’s Dengue Branch in 2007 as a part of the island-wide surveillance system for WNV. The samples were initially tested using the MAC ELISA with WNV- and DENV (DENV1 to -4)-recombinant envelope and prM antigen, as previously described (17). The ratio of positive to negative results for DENV1 to -4 and WNV
reactivities to the DENV and WNV antigens received further testing. WNV antigens were interpreted as cross-reactive (CR). IgM results that had described by Martin et al. (17). Samples with equal reactivities for DENV and than that for the other antigen. This interpretation of the results was previously ELISA, we deemed the infecting virus that which had a P/N value 2-fold greater considered positive for that antigen. For each sample positive by the MAC 

incubated for 1 h at room temperature. A 1% agarose medium mixture was the sample virus mixture was inoculated onto the Vero cell monolayer and phosphate-buffered saline with 30% fetal bovine serum. Following incubation, an average of 50 plaques per well. The starting dilution of the sample was 1:16 in cells; and WNV, ChimeriVax [donated by Acambis] amplified in Vero cells) for (DEN1, Hawaii; DEN2, NGC; DEN3, H87; DEN4, H241 amplified in C6/36 kidney cells) were plated onto 6-well plates to confluence. Serum samples were heat inactivated at 56°C for 30 min and incubated with the virus reference strains (DEN; Hawaii; DENV2, NGC; DENV3, H87; DENV4, H241 amplified in C6/36 cells; and WNV, ChimeriVax [donated by Acambis] amplified in Vero cells) for 2 h at room temperature. Control-virus-only wells were titrated to produce an average of 50 plaques per well. The starting dilution of the sample was 1:16 in phosphate-buffered saline with 30% fetal bovine serum. Following incubation, the sample virus mixture was inoculated onto the Vero cell monolayer and incubated for 1 h at room temperature. A 1% agarose medium mixture was added onto the plate, which was incubated at 37°C with 10% CO2 for 5 days. Following incubation, the plates were treated with neutral red solution overnight and the plaques were counted. Samples were tested in duplicate, and an average plaque count was determined for each dilution and sample. The endpoint titer was reported as the reciprocal of the titer in which there was a 90% reduction in number of plaques compared to the number for the virus control for each sample. Endpoint titers were determined for each virus tested following five 2-fold serial dilutions of the serum sample starting with 1:16 and ending with 1:512 (19, 20).

Dengue virus NS1 antigen ELISA. All IgM-cross-reactive samples were tested for dengue NS1 antigen with the Bio-Rad Platelia dengue NS1 antigen ELISA (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Marnes-La-Coquette, France), according to the manufacturer's instructions. This test has not been approved for use by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and can be used only for research purposes. Briefly, 100 µl of diluted horseradish peroxidase-labeled anti-NS1 monoclonal antibody was combined with 50 µl of serum, and positive and negative controls were diluted 1:2. Each sample was inoculated in duplicate on a 96-well plate precoated with an anti-NS1 capture antibody and incubated for 90 min at 37°C. Following incubation, the plate was washed 6 times, and 160 µl 3.3',5.5' tetramethyl-benzidine (TMB) substrate was added for 30 min at room temperature. The reaction was stopped with 100 µl of stop solution, and the plate was read at 450/620 nm. Results were analyzed by comparing the average optical density of the sample to the cutoff control optical density. Samples were classified as negative, equivocal, and positive according to ratios of <0.5 unit, 0.5 to <1 unit, and ≥1 unit, respectively. All equivocal samples were repeated. The results for all positive samples are represented in Tables 1 and 2.

RESULTS

Of 2,321 serum samples that were tested using MAC ELISA, 867 (37%) were positive for WNV. Of the 867 samples that were positive by WNV MAC ELISA, 485 (56%) were cross-reactive to both the WNV and DENV antigens. Forty-four percent of the samples (373) were confirmed to be positive for DENV based on either (i) reactivity only to DENV antigen or (ii) no reactivity to WNV or NS1 but a DENV identification by RT-PCR and regular PRNT 90. Of the remaining cross-reactive samples, a subset of 43 samples was tested using the PRNT 90 with IgG depletion in order to neutralize with only IgM. This subset was determined based on the serum volume required for all the testing for the modified algorithm.

Reactivity to both the WNV and DENV antigens in the MAC ELISA. Samples from suspected WNV cases that were reactive to both the WNV and DENV antigens in the MAC ELISA are presented in Tables 1 and 2. From the subset of 43 samples that underwent further testing, 23 samples had equal reactivities to the WNV and DENV antigens or did not display a ≥2-fold difference between reactivities to the two sets of antigens (Tables 1 and 3); 20 specimens were at least twice as reactive to WNV antigen as to DENV antigen (Tables 2 and 3). Table 2 also shows specimens that were positive for WNV and negative for DENV in the MAC ELISA.

Confirmation of the MAC ELISA by the PRNT 90 with IgG depletion. Of the 43 specimens tested by the PRNT 90 with IgG depletion, 23 of 43 specimens were positive. The first arrow indicates the date the dengue outbreak was declared. The samples from this study correspond to July through December of 2007.

FIG. 1. Epidemiology curve of the dengue outbreak during the introduction of WNV in Puerto Rico. The second arrow depicts the seroconversion of the sentinel chickens in the Ceiba region of Puerto Rico. The first arrow indicates the date the dengue outbreak was declared. The sample to the cutoff control optical density. Samples were classified as negative, equivocal, and positive according to ratios of <0.5 unit, 0.5 to <1 unit, and ≥1 unit, respectively. All equivocal samples were repeated. The results for all positive samples are represented in Tables 1 and 2.

RESULTS

Of 2,321 serum samples that were tested using MAC ELISA, 867 (37%) were positive for WNV. Of the 867 samples that were positive by WNV MAC ELISA, 485 (56%) were cross-reactive to both the WNV and DENV antigens. Forty-four percent of the samples (373) were confirmed to be positive for DENV based on either (i) reactivity only to DENV antigen or (ii) no reactivity to WNV or NS1 but a DENV identification by RT-PCR and regular PRNT 90. Of the remaining cross-reactive samples, a subset of 43 samples was tested using the PRNT 90 with IgG depletion in order to neutralize with only IgM. This subset was determined based on the serum volume required for all the testing for the modified algorithm.

Reactivity to both the WNV and DENV antigens in the MAC ELISA. Samples from suspected WNV cases that were reactive to both the WNV and DENV antigens in the MAC ELISA are presented in Tables 1 and 2. From the subset of 43 samples that underwent further testing, 23 samples had equal reactivities to the WNV and DENV antigens or did not display a ≥2-fold difference between reactivities to the two sets of antigens (Tables 1 and 3); 20 specimens were at least twice as reactive to WNV antigen as to DENV antigen (Tables 2 and 3). Table 2 also shows specimens that were positive for WNV and negative for DENV in the MAC ELISA.

Confirmation of the MAC ELISA by the PRNT 90 with IgG depletion. Of the 43 specimens tested by the PRNT 90 with IgG
depletion, 31 (72%) were identified as DENV positive and 10 provided a serotype-specific result (Table 1 to 3). Of the 20 samples in Table 2 that would have been categorized as WNV infections based on the results of the MAC ELISA, 14 (70%) were classified as DENV infections using the PRNT90 with IgG depletion (Table 3). Sample 2B was confirmed as WNV positive based on the PRNT90 with IgG depletion result (Table 2). Because serum was depleted of IgG before the PRNT 90 was performed, the results in Tables 1 and 2 refer only to neutralization by IgM. As the example in Table 4 shows, all four

| Sample | DPO | DENV P/N | WNV P/N | PRNT90 titer of antigen to: | PRNT90 interpretation | Presence of DENV NS1 |
|--------|-----|----------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1A     | 1   | 3.9      | 7.2      | 32 <16 64 <16 32 16        | CR                    | Neg                  |
| 1B     | 1   | 3.2      | 2        | 64 >512 >512 32 <32 32    | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1C     | 1   | 5.5      | 5.5      | 512 512 512 64 32 32      | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1D     | 1   | 3.2      | 3.3      | 64 >512 128 16 64 32     | DENV2                 | Neg                  |
| 1E     | 1   | 1.9      | 2.1      | 64 32 32 64 16 16        | CR                    | Pos                  |
| 1F     | 4   | 2.4      | 2.2      | 32 128 64 32 32 32      | CR                    | Pos                  |
| 1G     | 4   | 3.6      | 2.2      | 128 128 64 16 16 32     | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1H     | 4   | 2.1      | 3.4      | 32 256 128 32 <32 32    | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1I     | 4   | 2.3      | 4.1      | 16 32 64 32 <16 16     | CR                    | Neg                  |
| 1J     | 4   | 2.7      | 4.4      | 512 >512 >512 64 16     | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1K     | 4   | 5.6      | 6.7      | 64 >512 128 32 16      | DENV3                 | Neg                  |
| 1L     | 5   | 3.5      | 3.5      | >512 >512 64 32 16    | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1M     | 5   | 2.8      | 2.9      | 128 64 256 32 <32 32  | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1N     | 5   | 2.2      | 4.3      | 32 64 64 32 16 16      | CR                    | Pos                  |
| 1O     | 5   | 3       | 4        | 64 128 32 32 32 16    | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1P     | 5   | 5.4      | 3.9      | 128 256 256 32 32 32   | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1Q     | 5   | 5.2      | 8.8      | 256 128 128 16 <16 16  | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1R     | 6   | 3.6      | 4.9      | 256 128 64 16 16 16   | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1S     | 7   | 5.5      | 4        | <512 256 16 32 32     | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1T     | 7   | 16.3     | 21       | >512 >512 16 64 32 16 | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1U     | 5   | 5       | 5.5      | 128 64 256 32 32 16   | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1V     | 5   | 2.2      | 4.3      | 32 64 64 32 16 16      | CR                    | Pos                  |
| 1W     | 5   | 2.8      | 2.9      | 128 64 256 32 32 16   | DENV                  | Neg                  |

* The samples are listed according to day after onset of illness (DPO) and were tested with the MAC ELISA, PRNT90 IgG depletion, and NS1 ELISA. These samples had equal reactivities or less than 2-fold difference in reactivities to DENV and WNV antigen in the MAC ELISA. CR, cross-reactive; SLEV, St. Louis encephalitis virus; Neg, negative; Pos, positive. Boldface indicates the infecting virus.

---

**TABLE 1. DENV and WNV IgM-positive samples**

| Sample | DPO | DENV P/N | WNV P/N | PRNT90 titer of antigen to: | PRNT90 interpretation | Presence of DENV NS1 |
|--------|-----|----------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1A     | 1   | 3.9      | 7.2      | 32 <16 64 <16 32 16        | CR                    | Neg                  |
| 1B     | 1   | 3.2      | 2        | 64 >512 >512 32 <32 32    | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1C     | 1   | 5.5      | 5.5      | 512 512 512 64 32 32      | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1D     | 1   | 3.2      | 3.3      | 64 >512 128 16 64 32     | DENV2                 | Neg                  |
| 1E     | 1   | 1.9      | 2.1      | 64 32 32 64 16 16        | CR                    | Pos                  |
| 1F     | 4   | 2.4      | 2.2      | 32 128 64 32 32 32      | CR                    | Pos                  |
| 1G     | 4   | 3.6      | 2.2      | 128 128 64 16 16 32     | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1H     | 4   | 2.1      | 3.4      | 32 256 128 32 <32 32    | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1I     | 4   | 2.3      | 4.1      | 16 32 64 32 <16 16     | CR                    | Neg                  |
| 1J     | 4   | 2.7      | 4.4      | 512 >512 >512 64 16     | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1K     | 4   | 5.6      | 6.7      | 64 >512 128 32 16      | DENV3                 | Neg                  |
| 1L     | 5   | 3.5      | 3.5      | >512 >512 64 32 16    | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1M     | 5   | 2.8      | 2.9      | 128 64 256 32 <32 32  | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1N     | 5   | 2.2      | 4.3      | 32 64 64 32 16 16      | CR                    | Pos                  |
| 1O     | 5   | 3       | 4        | 64 128 32 32 32 16    | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1P     | 5   | 5.4      | 3.9      | 128 256 256 32 32 32   | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1Q     | 5   | 5.2      | 8.8      | 256 128 128 16 <16 16  | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1R     | 6   | 3.6      | 4.9      | 256 128 64 16 16 16   | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1S     | 7   | 5.5      | 4        | >512 256 16 32 32     | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1T     | 7   | 16.3     | 21       | >512 >512 16 64 32 16 | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1U     | 5   | 5       | 5.5      | 128 64 256 32 32 16   | DENV                  | Neg                  |
| 1V     | 5   | 2.2      | 4.3      | 32 64 64 32 16 16      | CR                    | Pos                  |
| 1W     | 5   | 2.8      | 2.9      | 128 64 256 32 32 16   | DENV                  | Neg                  |

* The samples are listed according to day after onset of illness (DPO) and were tested with the MAC ELISA, PRNT90 IgG depletion, and NS1 ELISA. These samples had equal reactivities or less than 2-fold difference in reactivities to DENV and WNV antigen in the MAC ELISA. CR, cross-reactive; SLEV, St. Louis encephalitis virus; Neg, negative; Pos, positive. Boldface indicates the infecting virus.
TABLE 3. Summary of results presented in Tables 1 and 2

| IgM positivity | No. of cases | % (no.) of samples positive by: | | | |
|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
|                |             | PRNT<sub>90</sub> with IgG depletion | DENV NS1 assay | PRNT<sub>90</sub> with IgG depletion and NS1 assay |
| DENV = WNV     | 23          | 74 (17)                          | 17 (4)       | 4 (1) |
| WNV > DENV     | 20          | 70 (14)                          | 20 (4)       | 10 (2) |
| Total          | 43          | 72 (31)                          | 19 (8)       | 7 (3)  |

* This table presents the total numbers of cases that were either not interpretable by MAC ELISA alone or that were potentially false positive for WNV in this study. These cases were resolved by the PRNT<sub>90</sub> with IgG depletion and/or the DENV NS1 ELISA.

The identification of West Nile fever cases in a country where dengue is endemic presents us with many challenges. These challenges are due to the extensive cross-reactivity of flavivirus antigen in serological assays. Without the identification of the infecting virus either through nucleic acid or virus isolation, serology results often cannot with confidence provide a correct diagnosis when more than one flavivirus is circulating in the population. In order to resolve this limitation, the laboratory testing algorithm was modified in this study to include PRNT<sub>90</sub> with IgG depletion and an NS1 antigen test. The PRNT<sub>90</sub> with IgG depletion allowed for the differentiation of 17 (74%) samples that were initially designated uninterpretable due to cross-reactivity in the MAC ELISA, as well as of 14 (70%) WNV samples that were false positive for WNV in the MAC ELISA (Tables 1 and 2). The dengue NS1 antigen ELISA further confirmed dengue infection in 8 (19%) of the total 43 samples.

NS1 antigen detection has been shown to be useful as a tool for the diagnosis of an acute dengue infection. Previous studies have demonstrated that the NS1 antigen ELISA is specific for DENV rather than for other flaviviruses, such as WNV, Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), and yellow fever virus (2, 3, 8, 13). The advantage of NS1 antigen detection is that it appears as early as 1 day after the onset of symptoms (DPO) and up to 13 DPO and may bridge the gap in which viral nucleic acid and IgM antibody detection is less likely to be positive (DPO = 4 to 5) (24). Moreover, a previous study evaluating commercially available NS1 detection systems demonstrated the excellent sensitivity (83.2%) and specificity (100%) of the Bio-Rad Platelia dengue NS1 antigen test (2). Because the NS1 test has high specificity for DENV, it can be utilized for differential diagnosis in cases in which the antigen for the IgM ELISA cross-reacts and the infecting virus cannot be identified by conventional RT-PCR.

Cross-reactivity between DENV and WNV was not observed in previous years of WNV surveillance in Puerto Rico (2003 to 2006). This may have been because the earlier surveillance system was a neuroinvasive WNV reporting system, whereas the WNV fever surveillance system, which began in July of 2007, tested cases that were suspected of having WNV regardless of encephalitic symptoms. In 2007, the American Red Cross (ARC) screened blood donations in Puerto Rico for WNV and confirmed WNV transmission in humans (5). The goal of this study was to identify WNV cases using serological techniques that can differentiate between DENV and WNV despite the dengue immune background in the Puerto Rican population.

The background IgG reactivity of past DENV infections often cannot be distinguished from the current or most recent infection because of the high avidity of this antibody. A serosurvey conducted in 1982 in the municipality of Florida, Puerto Rico, indicated that 68 to 80% of individuals had past exposure to dengue; however, recent unpublished CDC data indicate that these levels are now much higher, demonstrating a high prevalence of dengue in Puerto Rico (22). In the case of multiple arboviral infections over time, the sequence of infecting viral species can be an important determinant in the resulting serological responses detected by immunological assays. Secondary flavivirus infections where DENV infection is
followed by an infection with a non-dengue flavivirus (e.g., WNV) are hypothesized to result in less common epitopes based on the neutralization test; however, the epitopes are not well understood in the secondary flavivirus infections. The anamnestic response to the second flavivirus infection is believed to be less potent than that to sequential DENV infections. Alternatively, previous studies in Asia demonstrated that exposure to Japanese encephalitis virus (a flavivirus in the same serocomplex group as WNV) followed by a natural DENV infection caused a positive serological response to both viruses, although DENV neutralization titers were measurably higher than those for JEV (10). However, sequential flavivirus infection in which the individual has been exposed to DENV on one or more occasions followed by a non-dengue flavivirus results in a different immune response. In an animal study by Edelman et al. (9), animals subjected to sequential infections with multiple DENV and JEV infections responded with high neutralizing titers to both JEV and DENV. Fifty animals displayed “original antigenic sin” in which the highest neutralizing titer was to DENV despite the DENV. Fifty animals displayed “original antigenic sin” in sequential infections with multiple DENV and JEV infections in which the highest neutralizing titer was to DENV despite the highest neutralizing titer being caused by JEV (9). In that study, the incidence of cases in which original antigenic sin occurred varied and was dependent on the first acquired flavivirus infection (14).

The PRNT is the gold standard for differential diagnosis of flavivirus infections in humans. However, due to background DENV IgG reactivity in a population in which the disease is endemic, this test is not always a useful tool for differential diagnosis of secondary flavivirus infections. IgM antibodies are more specific and less cross-reactive than IgG (9, 23). For example, for an individual who acquired a laboratory infection of DENV4 after previous vaccination with JEV, when the IgM fraction of the individual’s serum was neutralized, DENV4-specific results were obtained, while the IgG was broadly reactive (3). In our study, the PRNT90 with IgG depletion used IgM antibodies to neutralize, and this resulted in the differentiation of 74% of the samples that were cross-reactive in the MAC ELISA for both WNV and DENV. The combination of PRNT90 with IgG depletion and the NS1 antigen test differentiated 36 (84%) of the samples in this study.

Cocirculation of flaviviruses such as DENV and WNV presents difficult challenges in the interpretation of results from standard serological assays, often complicating the diagnosis of the current infection. Frequently a series of confirmatory diagnostic tests is necessary, and our proposed testing algorithm was effective in determining the infecting virus in samples with a cross-reactive result for WNV and DENV in the MAC ELISA. Our findings show that the PRNT90 with IgG depletion, although technically difficult and time-consuming, is useful for differentiation of WNV and DENV, especially when

| FIG. 2. Algorithm of testing during the transmission season for WNV and DENV, separated by acute- and convalescent-phase serum or cerebral spinal fluid (CSF) samples. The n value for each box represents the number of positive samples within that test group from the 43 subset samples used in the study and described in Tables 1 to 2. |

| TABLE 5. Interpretation of results following testing using the algorithm shown in Fig. 2a |

| Result(s) for acute-phase sample | Result(s) for convalescent-phase sample | Interpretation |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|
| **IgM**<br>WNV positivity | **IgM**<br>DENV positivity | **NS1**<br>positivity | **RT-PCR**<br>DENV/WNV | **IgM**<br>WNV positivity | **IgM**<br>DENV positivity | **NS1**<br>positivity | **PRNT90**<br>with IgG depletion | |
| __-__ | __-__ | __-__ | __-__ | __-__ | __-__ | __-__ | ND | Negative |
| +/- | +/- | - | + for WNV | +/- | +/- | - | ND | Confirmed WNV infection |
| + | + | +/- | + for DENV | + | +/- | +/- | ND | Confirmed DENV infection |
| + | +2x > WNV | - | - | + | +2x > WNV | - | + for DENV | Presumed DENV infection |
| +2x > DENV | + | - | - | +2x > DENV | + | - | + for WNV | Presumed WNV infection |

* With this table, a decision for the interpretation of the laboratory tests can be made for patients suspected of having WNV or DENV infection during cocirculation of both flaviviruses. Acute phase, 0 to 5 days after the onset of symptoms; convalescent phase, 6 to 14 days after the onset of symptoms; ND, not done; WNV, West Nile virus; DENV, dengue viruses; +/-, positive or negative; +2x > WNV, the sample’s reactivity to the DENV antigen was >2-fold different from its reactivity to the WNV antigen; +2x > DENV, the sample’s reactivity to the WNV antigen was >2-fold different from its reactivity to the DENV antigen.
used in combination with the NS1 antigen ELISA. Further evaluation of this algorithm is necessary for more complete information on the antibody response during the course of infection through the convalescent phase following a WNV infection in an individual that has past single or multiple exposures to DENV.
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