Assessment of indirect inflammatory markers in patients with myocardial bridging
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Abstract

Introduction: Myocardial bridging (MB) is a congenital variant of the coronary artery in which a portion of the epicardial coronary artery takes an intramuscular course. Although it is considered a benign anomaly, it may lead to such complications as myocardial ischaemia, acute coronary syndrome, coronary spasm, exercise-induced dysrhythmias or even sudden death. MB may be related to increased inflammatory and atherosclerotic processes. This study was conducted with the aim of evaluating the relationship between neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR) and MB.

Methods: Taking into consideration the inclusion criteria, 86 patients with MB and 88 with normal coronary angiographies (control group) were included in the study. The association between MB and laboratory and other clinical parameters was evaluated.

Results: The platelet distribution width (PDW) (17.3 ± 0.40 vs 16.1 ± 0.5; p < 0.05), NLR (3.2 ± 1.3 vs 2.2 ± 0.9; p < 0.05) and red cell distribution width (RDW) (14.3 ± 1.3 vs 13.1 ± 1.1; p < 0.05) were significantly higher in the MB group than in the control group.

Conclusions: This study demonstrated that compared to normal coronary arteries, PDW, NLR and RDW were significantly higher in MB patients. Further studies are needed to clarify the increased inflammatory parameters in patients with MB.
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Platelet distribution width (PDW) is a direct measure of the variation in platelet size and a marker of platelet activation. Red cell distribution width (RDW) is a direct measure of the variation in erythrocyte size, which is measured as a component of routine blood counts. The RDW is a well-recognised indicator of chronic inflammation and oxidative stress, and elevated RDW is strongly associated with poor clinical outcomes among patients with coronary artery disease (CAD). The neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR), derived from the white blood cell (WBC) count, is a common prognostic indicator in cardiovascular disease.

The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationships between MB and PDW and other haematological parameters in an effort to identify useful clinical indicators in patients undergoing coronary angiography.

Methods

A retrospective evaluation was conducted of consecutive patients undergoing coronary angiography. Stable angina was defined as discomfort in the chest, back, shoulder, jaw or arms, typically elicited by exertion or emotional stress, and relieved by rest or nitroglycerin.

All patients enrolled in the study underwent coronary angiography as a result of chest pain and objective signs of ischaemia during treadmill exercises. Routine laboratory and clinical parameters (e.g. hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, diabetes mellitus, tobacco use, family history of cardiovascular disease) were obtained from the patients’ medical records.

Study exclusion criteria included CAD, mild-to-severe valve disease, heart failure, anaemia, renal failure, inflammatory diseases, coronary ectasia, malignancy, peripheral and cerebral arterial disease and thyroid gland dysfunction (hyper-hypothyroidism).

All patients underwent transthoracic echocardiography using the Vivid S5 (GE Healthcare) echocardiography device and Mass S5 probe (2–4 MHz). Standard two-dimensional and colour-flow Doppler views were acquired according to the guidelines of the American Society of Echocardiography and European Society of Echocardiography. The ejection fraction was measured according to the Simpson’s method.

Coronary angiography was performed with the Judkins technique and Innova 3100-IQ angiographic system (General Electric, Buc Cedex, France). A typical description of bridging on angiographic view involves systolic narrowing, or ‘milking’ of an epicardial artery, with a ‘step-down’ and ‘step-up’ demarcating the impacted area. Angiographic views were evaluated based on these MB criteria, and ≥ 50% systolic narrowing of an epicardial artery was considered MB. Coronary angiograms were assessed independently for objective evaluation of MB by two invasive cardiologists blinded to the clinical findings.

Prior to coronary angiography, eight-hour postprandial venous blood was collected from all patients for routine laboratory...
testing. Complete blood counts (CBC), including haemoglobin, haematocrit and WBC count were analysed using an automated CBC device (Abbott Cell Dyn; Abbott Laboratories, Effingham, Illinois, USA). Biochemical parameters were measured using an Olympus AU 600 auto-analyzer (Olympus Optical Co. Ltd, Schimatsu-Mishima, Japan). All study parameters were reviewed and approved by the local ethics committee.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using the SPSS (version 20.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois) software package. Continuous variables are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (mean ± SD) and categorical variables as percentage (%). The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was used to evaluate the distribution of variables. The Student’s t-test was used to evaluate continuous variables showing normal distribution and the Mann–Whitney U-test to evaluate variables that did not show normal distribution. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results
The study population consisted of 1 368 consecutive patients undergoing coronary angiography. Out of the total population, 86 patients with MB were included in the study group. The control group consisted of 88 age-matched subjects with normal coronary angiograms, selected consecutively during the same study period as the study group. The same exclusion criteria were applied to the study and control groups.

The distribution of cardiovascular risk factors, demographic characteristics, and laboratory parameters in the two groups are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the MB group was 56 ± 9 years and control group was 54 ± 7 years (p = 0.468).

There was no statistically significant difference between the two groups with regard to known CAD risk factors, such as diabetes mellitus and smoking history, except hypertension was more prevalent in the MB group than in the control group (25 vs 36%, p = 0.034; Table 1). The ejection fraction was similar between the two groups (62.4 ± 3.1 vs 60.2 ± 4.2%, p = 0.471; Table 1). The PDW (17.3 ± 0.4 vs 16.1 ± 0.5%, p = 0.003), NLR (3.2 ± 1.3 vs 2.2 ± 0.9%, p = 0.034), and RDW (14.3 ± 1.3 vs 13.1 ± 1.1%, p = 0.032) were significantly increased in the MB group relative to the control group (Table 2).

Discussion
In this study we examined the relationship between MB and PDW and other haematological parameters. MB was independently associated with increased values of PDW, NLR and RDW.

MB is a congenital variant of the coronary artery in which a portion of the epicardial coronary artery takes an intramuscular course.2 This arrangement of a ‘tunnelled’ segment of the artery under the ‘bridge’ of overlying myocardium frequently results in vessel compression during systole. While this condition is frequently asymptomatic, in many cases it may be responsible for adverse complications, including coronary atherosclerosis, angina, myocardial ischaemia,1 acute coronary syndromes,2-4 left ventricular dysfunction and stunning,5 arrhythmias,6 and even sudden cardiac death.7-9

Early pathological analysis of myocardial bridging recognised ‘sparing’ of the bridged segments from atherosclerotic lesions.10 The intima of the tunnelled segment is significantly thinner than the proximal segment, and includes a predominance of the ‘contractile’ subtype of smooth muscle cells, thought to be negatively associated with progression of atherosclerotic lesions.21 In addition, known as vasoactive agents, endothelial nitric oxide synthase, endothelin-1 and angiotensin-converting enzyme levels are decreased in the bridged coronary wall.22 These agents have been implicated in the proliferation of smooth muscle cells, resulting in increased size of atherosclerotic lesions. Systolic kinking of the bridged segments and endothelial dysfunction may also predispose to coronary vasospasm and thrombus formation.23

Conversely, the proximal segment of the bridge appears to develop atherosclerosis at an increased rate, approximately 90%.24 Endothelial cell morphology at the entrance to the tunnelled segment reveals a ‘flat, polygonal and polymorphic’ structure, indicative of a low-shear stress state, while the endothelial cells within the tunnel maintain a helical orientation, a sign of laminar flow and high shear.25 This suggests a haemodynamic basis for the increased plaque formation proximal to the tunnel, through impairment of endothelial cell function and morphology. Also, expression of the vasoactive agents, endothelial nitric oxide synthase, endothelin-1 and angiotensin-converting enzyme are all increased in the proximal segment.26

Table 1. Distribution of baseline characteristic of all patients

| Variables             | Normal coronary artery (n = 88) | Myocardial bridging (n = 86) | p-value |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age (years)           | 54 ± 7                         | 56 ± 9                      | 0.468   |
| Male gender, n (%)    | 58 (66)                        | 62 (72)                     | 0.342   |
| Family history, n (%) | 28 (32)                        | 24 (28)                     | 0.580   |
| Hyperlipidaemia, n (%)| 19 (22)                        | 22 (25)                     | 0.385   |
| Smoking, n (%)        | 23 (26)                        | 21 (24)                     | 0.486   |
| Diabetes mellitus, n (%)| 16 (18)                     | 19 (22)                     | 0.385   |
| Hypertension, n (%)   | 22 (25)                        | 31 (36)                     | 0.034   |
| SBP (mmHg)            | 121 ± 11                       | 125 ± 8                     | 0.548   |
| DBP (mmHg)            | 78 ± 9                         | 81 ± 6                      | 0.783   |
| Heart rate (bpm)      | 74 ± 15                        | 78 ± 9                      | 0.673   |
| Ejection fraction (%) | 62.4 ± 3.1                     | 60.2 ± 4.2                  | 0.471   |

Values are mean (± SD), SBP: systolic blood pressure, DBP: diastolic blood pressure.

Table 2. Distribution of the haematological parameters of all cases

| Variables             | Normal coronary artery (n = 88) | Myocardial bridging (n = 86) | p-value |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| White blood cells (10^3/µl) | 7.9 ± 2.1 | 8.1 ± 2.3 | 0.278   |
| Mean corpuscular volume (fl) | 88.9 ± 8.3 | 86.9 ± 7.8 | 0.878   |
| Plaquette (> 1 000/mm³) | 266 ± 38         | 272 ± 41                  | 0.647   |
| Haemoglobin (g/dl)     | 13.8 ± 1.9       | 14.1 ± 1.3                | 0.387   |
| RDW (%)                | 13.1 ± 1.1       | 14.3 ± 1.3                | 0.032   |
| Mean platelet volume (fl) | 8.8 ± 0.9       | 8.9 ± 1.1                 | 0.093   |
| Platelet distribution width (%) | 16.1 ± 0.9 | 17.3 ± 1.1 | 0.003   |
| NLR                    | 2.2 ± 0.9        | 3.2 ± 1.1                 | 0.034   |
| RDW: red blood cell distribution width, NLR: neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio.
PDW is a more specific indicator of platelet activation than mean platelet volume in the absence of platelet swelling. Elevat ed PDW directly measures the variability in platelet size during platelet distension and serves as a marker of platelet activation. Increased platelet number and size, and the presence of pseudopodia may influence PDW. Significant elevation of PDW has been observed among patients with acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina pectoris.

Jindal et al. reported a significant association between PDW and microvascular dysfunction among diabetic patients. Numerous factors contribute to microvascular and circulatory dysfunction, including coronary microvascular imbalance and increased tone, endothelial thickening of small vessels and endothelial nitric oxide imbalance, and blood viscosity.

In our study, we detected higher PDW levels in patients with MB. We assumed that a higher PDW level was related to increased vasoactive agents, including endothelial nitric oxide synthase, endothelin-1 and angiotensin-converting enzyme in the proximal segment of the MB.

The NLR is related to the development of atherosclerosis in the coronary arteries, and NLR is an excellent indicator of cardiovascular disease. Among patients with acute coronary syndrome, neutrophils are functionally activated, and the presence of localised neutrophil infiltration in atherosclerotic lesions has been demonstrated, assuming that neutrophils play a key role in the mediation and destabilisation of atherosclerotic plaques. Our study demonstrated a significant correlation between the presence of MB and NLR, an inflammatory marker linked to early atherosclerosis.

Chronic inflammation may act synergistically to raise RDW and augment the atherosclerotic process. The RDW is an independent predictor of mortality and coronary morbidity among patients with myocardial infarction. In our study, higher RDW was found in patients with MB than in the control group.

The relationship between cardiovascular disease and increased platelet activity is well known. In this study, we found a significant relationship between MB and PDW, an established indicator of platelet activity. Additionally, we found a significant relationship between MB and NLR, an indicator of systemic inflammation. These predictive parameters are easily measured and are inexpensive in routine clinical practice.

There were some limitations to this study. We evaluated the coronary arteries using coronary angiography. Although it is well known that intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) provides a more accurate evaluation of coronary atherosclerosis, we were unable to perform IVUS assessments.

Coronary atherosclerosis is present anatomically in approximately 25% of patients, based on autopsy and computed tomography (CT), but results in angiographically detectable systolic compression in less than 10% of patients. Cardiac CT angiography may be a useful tool to more precisely detect MB, however, we were unable to perform cardiac CT angiography in this study. In addition, the study data are reflective of the cross-sectional design and may not reflect the long-term clinical status of the patients.

**Conclusion**

To the best of our knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate the relationship between MB and indirect inflammatory markers. Our study reveals a significant association between indirect inflammatory markers and MB. Further studies are needed to clarify the relationship between MB and indirect inflammatory markers.
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Accuracy of heart rate apps varies

Consumers are being warned about the accuracy of heart rate apps after a study found huge variability between commercially available apps, even those using the same technology. The research is published in the European Journal of Preventive Cardiology.

‘Heart rate apps come installed on many smartphones and once people see them it is human nature to use them and compare their results with others’, said last author Dr Christophe Wyss, a cardiologist at Heart Clinic Zurich, Switzerland. ‘The problem is that there is no law requiring validation of these apps and therefore no way for consumers to know if the results are accurate.’

This study tested the accuracy of four commercially available heart rate apps (randomly selected) using two phones, the iPhone 4 and iPhone 5. Some apps use contact photoplethysmography (touching fingertip to the phone’s built-in camera) while other apps use non-contact photoplethysmography (camera is held in front of the face).

Accuracy was assessed by comparing the results with the clinical gold-standard measurements. These are the electrocardiogram (ECG), which measures the electrical activity of the heart using leads on the chest, and fingertip pulse oximetry, which uses photoplethysmography.

The study included 108 patients who had their heart rate measured by ECG, pulse oximetry, and each app using each phone.

The researchers found substantial differences in accuracy between the four apps. In some apps there were differences of more than 20 beats per minute compared to ECG in over 20% of the measurements. The non-contact apps performed less well than the contact apps, particularly at higher heart rates and lower body temperatures. The non-contact apps had a tendency to overestimate higher heart rates.

Dr Wyss said: ‘While it’s easy to use the non-contact apps – you just look at your smartphone camera and it gives your heart rate – the number it gives is not as accurate as when you have contact with your smartphone by putting your fingertip on the camera.’

But the performance of the two contact apps was also different. One app measured heart rate with comparable accuracy to pulse oximetry but the other app did not give the correct measurement. ‘The one contact app was excellent, performing almost like a medically approved pulse oximeter device, but the other app was not accurate even though they use the same technology’, said Dr Wyss.

The researchers tried to find the reason for the difference in performance between the two contact apps, but they found that the variation could not be explained by camera technology. ‘The difference in performance between the contact apps is probably down to the algorithm the app uses to calculate heart rate, which is commercially confidential’, said Dr Wyss. ‘It means that just because the underlying technology works in one app doesn’t mean it works in another one and we can’t assume that all contact heart rate apps are accurate.’

Dr Wyss said: ‘Before you measure your heart rate, have a specific question in mind, don’t just measure it for fun. For example, “is my heart rate too high when I feel something strange in my heart?” or “is it too low when I feel dizzy?”.’

He concluded: ‘Consumers and interpreting physicians need to be aware that the differences between apps are huge and there are no criteria to assess them. We also don’t know what happens to the heart rate data and whether it is stored somewhere, which could be an issue for data protection.’
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