Detection of the extra-Galactic background fluctuations at 170 $\mu$m*
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Abstract. We have used the Marano1 field observations with ISOPHOT at 170 $\mu$m to search for the Cosmic Far-InfraRed Background fluctuations. This field is part of the FIRBACK project (Puget et al. 1999). For the first time, fluctuations due to unresolved extra-Galactic sources are isolated. The emission of such sources clearly dominates, at arcminute scales, the background fluctuations in the lowest Galactic emission regions.

The study presented here is based on a power spectrum analysis which allow to statistically separate each of the background components. With this analysis, we clearly show that we detect the CFIRB fluctuations.
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1. Introduction

The major component of the extra-Galactic background is formed by the integrated light of all distant galaxies that are not resolved in the beam of the instrument. The detection of the far-infrared part of this background (the CFIRB, Cosmic Far-InfraRed Background) with COBE (Puget et al. 1996; Fixsen et al. 1998; Hauser et al. 1998; Schlegel et al. 1998; Lagache et al. 1999; Lagache et al 2000) has opened new perspectives in our understanding of galaxy evolution. The energy contained in the CFIRB, compared to the one in the UV/optical/near-IR domain (Lagache 1998; Gispert et al. 2000) shows that a new population of galaxies has to be considered in the general framework of galaxy evolution: the far-infrared galaxies. The closest and/or most luminous ones have been discovered by IRAS (see for a review Sanders & Mirabel 1996). Nowadays, the IR galaxies are hunted down by several deep cosmological surveys such as the ones with SCUBA at 850 $\mu$m (Hughes et al. 1998; Smail et al. 1997, 1998; Lilly et al. 1999; Barger et al. 1999), ISOPHOT at 170 $\mu$m (Kawara et al. 1998; Puget et al. 1999) and ISOCAM at 15 $\mu$m (Oliver et al. 1997; Aussel et al. 1999; Désert et al. 1999; Elbaz et al. 1999).

FIRBACK is a deep cosmological survey dedicated to the investigation of the nature of the galaxies that contribute to the CFIRB at 170 $\mu$m (Dole et al. 1999) with the ISOPHOT instrument (Lemke et al. 1996). It covers about 4 square degrees. The first FIRBACK field to be observed and analysed is the Marano1 region centered on RA(J2000)=3h13m9.6s and DEC(J2000)=−55d03m43.9s. This field covers 0.25 square degree and contains 24 extra-Galactic sources with fluxes ranging from 100 to 850 mJy (Puget et al. 1999). It lies in a very low cirrus emission region with HI column density equal on average to $10^{20}$ H cm$^{-2}$.

This low cirrus contamination allows to search for brightness fluctuations due to unresolved sources.

In this paper, we use the FIRBACK Marano1 field to isolate the background fluctuations with a high signal to noise ratio. We then discuss the separation of the background fluctuations between the Galactic and extra-Galactic component.

Throughout this paper, “background” refers to the extra-Galactic and Galactic backgrounds, and “CFIRB” to the extra-Galactic background at far-IR wavelengths.

2. Sources of the CFIRB

The CFIRB is made of sources with number counts as a function of flux which can be represented, for the present discussion, by a simple power law:

$$N(>S) = N_0 \left( \frac{S}{S_0} \right) ^{-\alpha}$$

(1)

For an Euclidean Universe, $\alpha=1.5$. Obviously, these number counts need to flatten at low fluxes to insure a finite value of the background. Thus, we assume in the present discussion that $\alpha=0$ for $S < S^*$. The intensity of the CFIRB, induced by all sources with flux up to $S_{\text{max}}$, is given by:

$$I_{\text{CFIRB}} = \int_0^{S_{\text{max}}} \frac{dN}{dS} S dS$$

(2)

For the simple Euclidean case ($\alpha=1.5$), the CFIRB integral is dominated by sources near $S^*$. 
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Fluctuations from sources below the detection limit \( S_0 \) (which corresponds to the flux of sources either at the confusion or at the sensitivity limit) are given by:

\[
\sigma^2 = \int_0^{S_0} S^2 \frac{dN}{dS} dS \text{ Jy}^2/\text{sr}
\]  

(3)

Computing \( \frac{dN}{dS} \) using Eq. (1), this gives:

\[
\sigma^2 = \frac{\alpha}{2 - \alpha} N_0 S_0^2 \left[ 1 - \left( \frac{S^*}{S_0} \right)^{2 - \alpha} \right] \text{ Jy}^2/\text{sr}
\]  

(4)

For the simple Euclidean case, the CFIRB fluctuations are dominated by sources which are just below the detection limit \( S_0 \).

Nevertheless, it is well known that strong cosmological evolution, associated with a strong negative K-correction, could lead to a very steep number count distribution (see for example Guiderdoni et al. 1998; Franceschini et al. 1998). For \( \alpha \geq 2 \), the CFIRB integral is still dominated by sources near \( S^* \) but its fluctuations are now also dominated by sources close to \( S^* \). In such a case, observations of the fluctuations will constrain sources that dominate the CFIRB. Furthermore, investigations of problems like the fraction of AGN contributing to the CFIRB, which has been identified as a major question of the coming years, cannot be conducted only with individually detected sources. These observed sources correspond to the bright part of the luminosity function and only represent a few percent of the CFIRB. Moreover, the fraction of AGNs could very well be increasing with luminosity (Sanders & Mirabel 1996). Thus the only way to tackle this problem will be to study the correlation between the extra-Galactic background fluctuations in the X-rays and Far-IR domains.

In the Far-IR, present observations show a very steep slope \( \alpha=2.2 \) (Dole et al. 1999). Sources detected above \( S_0 \) contribute only to less than \( 10\% \) of the CFIRB (with \( S_0=120 \text{ mJy} \)). Thus, it is essential to study the extra-Galactic background fluctuations which are likely to be dominated by sources with a flux comparable to those dominating the CFIRB intensity.

3. Summary on the data reduction and calibration

The data reduction and calibration for this field have been detailed in Lagache (1998), Puget et al. (1999) and Puget & Lagache (1999). We are just going to summarise here the different steps.

We use PIA, the ISOPHOT interactive analysis software version 6.4 (Gabriel et al. 1997), to correct for instrumental effects, glitches induced by cosmic particles and to provide an initial calibration. First we apply the non-linearity correction. Deglitching is performed for each individual ramp and then the mean signal per position is derived by averaging the linear fit on each ramp (see Gabriel et al. 1997 for details). The signal shows a long term drift which is corrected by using the two internal calibration (FCS) measurements bracketing each individual observation. Inside each raster, the long term drift represents less than \( 10\% \) of the signal. The calibration is performed by deriving the mean value of the two FCS measurements. The contribution of the long term drift is thus lower than \( 5\% \). Flat fielding is achieved using the high redundancy in the measurements. Glitches inducing long term drifts are also corrected. The flux is finally projected on a \( 10^\prime \times 10^\prime \) coordinate grid using our own projection procedure.

The final calibration is achieved using the footprint measured on Saturn. It is presented in Fig. 1 of Puget et al. (1999). Brightnesses derived using this footprint are in very good agreement with DIRBE. The footprint measured on Saturn has been compared to the one derived from the diffraction model, including the tripod (Klaas et al. in preparation). The model takes into account the bandpass filter and is computed for a \( \nu I_\nu \approx \text{constant spectrum} \). Thus, comparison between the model and Saturn footprints allows to test the wavelength dependence of the footprint. The solid angle supported by the two footprint differs only by \( 25\% \). Comparison of the two footprints power spectra, \( W_{\text{sk}} \) (Saturn) and \( W_{\text{mk}} \) (model), is shown on Fig. 1. The Saturn FWHM footprint is quite larger than the model one. The difference between these power spectra will be considered in Sect. 5.2.

The Marano1 observations consist of four \( 19 \times 19 \) rasters. Each raster was performed in the spacecraft (Y,Z) coordinate system which is parallel to the edges of the detector array. The field area covered by each raster is about \( 30^\prime \times 30^\prime \). The exposure time is \( 16s \) per pixel. Rasters were performed with one pixel overlap in each Y and Z direction. Therefore, for the maximum redundancy region, the effective exposure time is \( 256 \text{ sec per sky position} \) (16 sec per pixel, 4 rasters and redundancy of 4). Displacements between the four raster centers correspond to 2 pixels. This mode of observation, which does not provide proper sampling of the point-spread-function, was chosen deliberately because it allows a very clean determination of the instrumental noise.
Fig. 2. a The Marano1 region observed with ISOPHOT at 170 µm. b same as a with detected sources removed.

The final map is made of 16 coadded independent maps. The extraction of extra-Galactic sources and determination of their fluxes are made on this final coadded map. To compute the instrumental noise, we have measured the flux of the detected sources on the 16 independent maps. We then compute for each source the standard deviation with respect to the flux measured on the coadded map. The average of all the standard deviations gives the instrumental noise. We obtain 1.74 mJy rms in a 89.4′ × 89.4′ pixel. The signal in the map is around 3 MJy/sr. This leads to a very high signal to noise ratio in the map of about 300.

Using the four maps of the Marano1 field presented in Fig. 2 of Puget et al. (1999), we can make an estimate of the instrumental noise power spectrum, making the difference between the independent maps. We know that this power spectrum contains low spatial frequency noise, due to small distortions in the flat field and slow response changes during the observations. With such map differences, we obtain instrumental noise power spectra for pairs of maps which are all more than 10 times smaller than the measured total flux power spectrum (which is presented in Fig. 3). The instrumental noise power spectrum is rather flat in the range k=[0.1, 0.5] arcmin⁻¹ (about 30 Jy²/sr at k~0.2 arcmin⁻¹ and 10 Jy²/sr at k~0.4 arcmin⁻¹) and is in very good agreement with the one deduced from the noise (assumed to be white) computed on the extra-Galactic sources (1.74 mJy rms in a 89.4′ × 89.4′ pixel). The measured instrumental noise power spectrum is subtracted to the measured power spectrum before the analysis.

4. The extra-Galactic sources

In the Marano1 field, 24 extra-Galactic sources are detected with fluxes ranging from 100 to 850 mJy (Puget et al. 1999). Sources have been extracted up to the confusion limit (3σ=67.2 mJy) and source fluxes been computed using the Saturn footprint measurement for which a significant fraction of the integral is in the wing (and which is in good agreement with the footprint model). The source subtraction from the Marano1 map is very straightforward. We subtract at each source position the footprint, sampled at 10 arcsec and normalised to unit integral, multiplied by the source flux. The map obtained after the source subtraction is given Fig. 2 together with the original map. The standard deviation in the source subtracted map is around 0.15 MJy/sr, which is very close to the mean brightness, the difference between the two maps is less than 1%. These numbers clearly show that the background fluctuations are not dominated by strong sources detected above the confusion limit. As for the original map, the source subtracted map has a very high signal to noise ratio.
Background fluctuations (Fig. 2b) are made of two components: the Galactic cirrus one and the extra-Galactic one (the instrumental noise is negligible and is not correlated). We are going now to discuss the relative contribution of these two components.

5. Extra-Galactic and Galactic fluctuation separation

Our separation of the extra-Galactic and Galactic fluctuations is based on a power spectrum decomposition. This method allows us to discriminate the two components using the statistical properties of their spatial behaviour.

We know from previous works that the cirrus far-infrared emission power spectrum has a steep slope in $k^{-3}$ (Gautier et al. 1992; Kogut et al. 1996; Herbstmeier et al. 1998; Wright 1998). These observations cover the relevant spatial frequency range. Furthermore, Abergel et al. (1999) have shown that this spatial structure, measured from extinction, extend to arcsecond scales. Despite a large observational effort, no characteristic scale has been identified in the diffuse interstellar medium (Falgarone 1998).

For the extra-Galactic fluctuations, little is known. The power spectrum of galaxy clustering has been mainly studied from optical surveys. The main result is that the power spectrum can be represented by a simple power law with an index equal to $-1.3$ at spatial frequency larger than $\sim 0.1$ degree$^{-1}$ (Groth & Peebles 1977; Maddox et al. 1990; Peacock 1991; Kashlinsky 1992). For small spatial frequency, if we assume the standard inflationary model ($\Omega=1$ and the Harrison-Zeldovich power spectrum on large scales), the galaxy power spectrum is proportional to $k$. At Far-IR wavelengths, nothing is known about the galaxy clustering. A spatial and redshift distribution similar to the optical surveys (as the “Automatic Plate Measuring” survey) will give a negative slope around $-1.3$ whereas a Poissonian distribution will give a flat power spectrum.

In summary, we know that the cirrus emission power spectrum is steep and does not present any characteristic scale. The main problem in this determination comes from the normalisation which has been established in high cirrus emission regions. This normalisation does not necessarily apply to our very low cirrus emission field. For this reason, the normalisation is directly determined using the measured power spectrum (Fig. 3). Using the low frequency data points and assuming a $k^{-3}$ dependence, we find a power spectrum of:

$$P_{cc,170}(k) = 7.9^{+2.1}_{-2.7} \times k^{-3} \text{ Jy}^2/\text{sr}$$

with $k$ in arcmin$^{-1}$. The main uncertainty comes from the footprint used for deriving $P_{cc,170}(k)$. The normalisation of the power spectrum derived from the low frequency data points agrees reasonably well with Wright (1998) DIRBE results at high Galactic latitude. It is also in good agreement with the Gautier et al. (1992) normalisation scaled to 170$\mu$m (Appendix A).

5.2. Measured power spectrum

We can now compare the power spectrum measured on the source subtracted map (Fig. 1b) with our estimated one for the non cosmological components defined as:

$$P_{est} = P_{cc,170} \times W_k$$

where $W_k$ is the ISOPHOT 170$\mu$m beam power spectrum, and $P_{cc,170}$ the cirrus confusion noise. Fig. 3 shows the measured power spectrum together with $P_{est}$ computed for $W_k=W_{SK}$ and $W_k=W_{MK}$ (as defined in Fig. 1). We clearly see an excess between $k=0.25$ and $0.6$ arcmin$^{-1}$ which is more than a factor 10 at $k=0.4$ arcmin$^{-1}$. Any reasonable power law spectrum for the cirrus component multiplied by the footprint leads, as can be easily seen in Fig. 3, to a very steep spectrum at spatial frequency $k>0.2$ arcmin$^{-1}$. This is very different from the observed spectrum. The excess is quite independent of the footprint used. Moreover, it is more than 10 times larger than the measured instrumental noise power spectrum. Therefore, as no other major source of fluctuations is expected at this wavelength, the large excess observed between $k=0.25$ and $0.6$ arcmin$^{-1}$ is interpreted as due to unresolved extra-Galactic sources. The anisotropies of the background are thus dominated, at angular scales close to the angular resolution, by the structure of the CFIRB. This is the first detection of the fluctuations of the CFIRB.

CFIRB fluctuations are clearly detected between $k=0.25$ and $0.6$ arcmin$^{-1}$. This interval has not enough dynamic range to constrain the slope of the CFIRB fluctuation power spectrum: our present study does not allow to clearly constrain the clustering of galaxies. However, the power spectrum mean level can be determined.

Power spectra with simple power law with index smaller than $-1$ are not compatible with our measured power spectrum. For indexes in the range $[-1,0]$, the different power laws give equivalent results. For example, on Fig. 4 is shown the total power spectrum obtained by summing up the cirrus confusion noise and a constant CFIRB fluctuation power spectrum,
We have shown in the Marano1 region (30' × 30') that the extra-Galactic background fluctuations are at the level of the Hα diffuse emission. The far-infrared Galactic sky at high latitudes has essentially three components: the galactic cirrus and the emission from infrared galaxies at redshifts. The average total flux in our map is 3.16 MJy/sr. The cirrus emission has been derived at the time of our observation using the model of Reach et al. (1995) (calibrated on DIRBE data) and is equal to 0.67±0.07 MJy/sr. Following the DIRBE measurements, the CFIRB at 170 μm is equal to 0.77±0.25 MJy/sr. Thus, we obtain for the cirrus emission at 170 μm, I(170)=1.72±0.26 MJy/sr. Using the color ratio for the HI diffuse emission I(170)/I(100)=1.96 (Lagache et al. 1999), we derive B0= 0.88±0.13 MJy/sr= 8.8±1.3 10^5 Jy/sr and the normalisation of the power spectrum at 170 μm becomes:

\[ P_{cc,170}(k) = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} B_0 \left( \frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{-3} Jy^2/sr \]  

(A.1)

with \( k_0 = 10^{-2} \) arcmin\(^{-1} \), \( B_0 \) the cirrus brightness at 100 μm in Jy/sr and \( k \) the spatial frequency in arcmin\(^{-1} \).

The far-infrared Galactic sky at high latitudes has essentially three components: the zodiacal background, the emission from Galactic cirrus and the emission from infrared galaxies at all redshifts. The average total flux in our map is 3.16 MJy/sr. The zodiacal emission has been derived at the time of our observation using the model of Reach et al. (1995) (calibrated on DIRBE data) and is equal to 0.67±0.07 MJy/sr. Following the DIRBE measurements, the CFIRB at 170 μm is equal to 0.77±0.25 MJy/sr. Thus, we obtain for the cirrus emission at 170 μm, I(170)=1.72±0.26 MJy/sr. Using the color ratio for the HI diffuse emission I(170)/I(100)=1.96 (Lagache et al. 1999), we derive B0= 0.88±0.13 MJy/sr= 8.8±1.3 10^5 Jy/sr and the normalisation of the power spectrum at 170 μm becomes:

\[ P_{cc,170}(k) = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} \left( \frac{I(170)}{I(100)} \right)^2 B_0^3 = 3.63 \pm 1.10 \times 10^6 Jy^2/sr \]  

(A.2)

Using Eq. (A.1), this gives:

\[ P_{cc,170}(k) = 3.63 \pm 1.10 \times k^{-3} Jy^2/sr \]  

(A.3)

with \( k \) in arcmin\(^{-1} \). In fact Gautier et al. results fit to within a factor of 2 the Eq. (A.1). Taking into account this uncertainty, the cirrus power spectrum becomes:

\[ P_{cc,170}(k) = 3.63 \pm 1.10 \times k^{-3} Jy^2/sr \]  

(A.4)

We do not expect in such a low column density field (\( N_{HI} \sim 10^{20} H cm^{-2} \)) any contribution of dust associated with molecular gas (Lagache et al. 1998). Therefore, we can argue that the normalisation of the cirrus confusion noise at 170 μm, for our field, is between 1.1 and 11.1 Jy^2/sr.

Our normalisation computed from the low frequency data points is around 7.9 Jy^2/sr which is in the range of Gautier et al. results. This value of 7.9 Jy^2/sr agree also reasonably well with Wright (1998) measures using DIRBE data.
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Appendix A: comparison of the measured cirrus power spectrum with the Gautier et al. (1992) normalisation

The power spectrum of the cirrus emission derived by Gautier et al. (1992) from IRAS data at 100 μm follows:

\[ P_{cc,100}(k) = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} B_0 \left( \frac{k}{k_0} \right)^{-3} Jy^2/sr \]

(A.1)

with \( k_0 = 10^{-2} \) arcmin\(^{-1} \), \( B_0 \) the cirrus brightness at 100 μm in Jy/sr and \( k \) the spatial frequency in arcmin\(^{-1} \).

The far-infrared Galactic sky at high latitudes has essentially three components: the zodiacal background, the emission from Galactic cirrus and the emission from infrared galaxies at all redshifts. The average total flux in our map is 3.16 MJy/sr. The zodiacal emission has been derived at the time of our observation using the model of Reach et al. (1995) (calibrated on DIRBE data) and is equal to 0.67±0.07 MJy/sr. Following the DIRBE measurements, the CFIRB at 170 μm is equal to 0.77±0.25 MJy/sr. Thus, we obtain for the cirrus emission at 170 μm, I(170)=1.72±0.26 MJy/sr. Using the color ratio for the HI diffuse emission I(170)/I(100)=1.96 (Lagache et al. 1999), we derive B0= 0.88±0.13 MJy/sr= 8.8±1.3 10^5 Jy/sr and the normalisation of the power spectrum at 170 μm becomes:

\[ P_{cc,170}(k) = 1.4 \times 10^{-12} \left( \frac{I(170)}{I(100)} \right)^2 B_0^3 = 3.63 \pm 1.10 \times 10^6 Jy^2/sr \]

(A.2)

Using Eq. (A.1), this gives:

\[ P_{cc,170}(k) = 3.63 \pm 1.10 \times k^{-3} Jy^2/sr \]

(A.3)

with \( k \) in arcmin\(^{-1} \). In fact Gautier et al. results fit to within a factor of 2 the Eq. (A.1). Taking into account this uncertainty, the cirrus power spectrum becomes:

\[ P_{cc,170}(k) = 3.63 \pm 1.10 \times k^{-3} Jy^2/sr \]

(A.4)

We do not expect in such a low column density field (\( N_{HI} \sim 10^{20} H cm^{-2} \)) any contribution of dust associated with molecular gas (Lagache et al. 1998). Therefore, we can argue that the normalisation of the cirrus confusion noise at 170 μm, for our field, is between 1.1 and 11.1 Jy^2/sr.

Our normalisation computed from the low frequency data points is around 7.9 Jy^2/sr which is in the range of Gautier et al. results. This value of 7.9 Jy^2/sr agree also reasonably well with Wright (1998) measures using DIRBE data.
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