Tumour response to TRK inhibition in a patient with pancreatic adenocarcinoma harbouring an NTRK gene fusion
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Background: Although rare, NTRK gene fusions are known to be oncogenic drivers in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). We report the response of a metastatic CTRC-NTRK1 gene fusion-positive PDAC to targeted treatment with the oral tropomyosin receptor kinase (TRK) inhibitor larotrectinib and the eventual development of resistance to treatment.

Patient, methods and results: A 61-year-old woman presented with a 2.5-cm mass in the body of the pancreas and a 1.2-cm liver lesion on routine follow-up for endometrial cancer that was in complete remission. Liver biopsy confirmed a primary PDAC unrelated to the endometrial cancer. The patient was treated with gemcitabine, nab-paclitaxel and ADI-PEG 20 for 12 months until disease progression and toxicity emerged (best overall response (BOR): partial response (PR)). The patient switched to a modified regimen of folinic acid, fluorouracil, irinotecan and oxaliplatin for 4 months until neuropathy occurred. Oxaliplatin was withheld until disease progression 6 months later (BOR: stable disease). Despite recommencing oxaliplatin, the disease continued to progress. At this time, somatic profiling of the liver lesion revealed a CTRC-NTRK1 gene fusion. Treatment with larotrectinib 100 mg twice daily was commenced with BOR of PR at 2 months. The patient progressed after 6 months and was re-biopsied. Treatment was switched to the investigational next-generation TRK inhibitor selitrectinib (BAY 2731954, LOXO-195) 100 mg twice daily. After 2 months, the disease progressed and dabrafenib-trametinib combination therapy was initiated due to existence of a BRAF-V600E mutation. However, the cancer continued to progress and the patient died 2 months later.

Conclusions: Targeted TRK inhibition with larotrectinib in PDAC harbouring a CTRC-NTRK1 gene fusion is well tolerated and can improve quality of life for the patient. However, acquired resistance to therapy can emerge in some patients. Next-generation TRK inhibitors such as selitrectinib are currently in development to overcome this resistance (NCT02576431; NCT03215511).
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Background

The most common type of malignant cancer of the pancreas is pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC). More than one-half of PDAC cases are identified with locally advanced or metastatic disease at presentation, with metastases mainly found in the liver, lungs and peritoneum [1]. The overall survival across all stages of disease is 8%; this decreases to 3% in patients who present with advanced disease [1]. PDAC is characterised by several germline or acquired genetic mutations. In total, 90%–95% of PDAC tumours are found to harbour an oncogenic KRAS mutation; other frequent mutations are TP53 (75%), SMAD4 (22%), CDKN2A/B (18%) [2] and BRCA1/2 (4.6–8%) [3]. Although rare, NTRK gene fusions are also known to be oncogenic drivers in <1% of PDAC cases [4], thus providing a potential target for therapy. NTRK gene fusions occur in up to 1% of all solid tumours in both adult and paediatric patients and have been shown to be oncogenic drivers that are actionable with tropomyosin receptor kinase (TRK) inhibitors, such as larotrectinib [5–7].

Patient, methods and results

A 61-year-old woman with stage 1A, grade 1 endometrial cancer underwent a total abdominal hysterectomy with sentinel lymph node dissection followed by two rounds of intravaginal radiation...
Figure 1. Computed tomography imaging. Computed tomography taken at (A) baseline before initiation of larotrectinib and (B) showing the best overall response of partial response to treatment with larotrectinib.

Figure 2. [18F]2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) imaging. (A) FDG-PET imaging taken at baseline before initiation of larotrectinib. 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG)-avidity is visible in the primary pancreatic tumour and liver metastases. (B) FDG-PET imaging showing the best overall response of partial response to treatment with larotrectinib. FDG-avidity in previously hypermetabolic pancreatic and liver lesions is resolved.
and achieved complete remission without subsequent recurrence [8]. Seven months later, routine follow-up computed tomography imaging revealed a 2.5-cm mass in the body of the pancreas and a 1.2-cm liver lesion; positron emission tomography confirmed 2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG)-avidity in these areas (Figures 1A and 2A). Liver biopsy demonstrated a poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma that was CK7+/CK20−, consistent with a primary PDAC; this was morphologically different from the prior endometrial cancer. Germline profiling was negative for mutations in BRCA1/2, PALB2, ATM and DNA mismatch repair genes. Of specific note, there was insufficient tissue present for genomic profiling of the PDAC at this time; both the primary and metastatic tumour sites were very small and the yield was anticipated to be low.

The patient began treatment with gemcitabine, nab-paclitaxel and ADI-PEG 20 as part of a phase IB/II clinical trial, continuing this regimen for 12 months until disease progression/emerging toxicity (haemolytic uremic syndrome) occurred. The best overall response (BOR) during this period was partial response (PR). The patient was switched to mFOLFIRINOX (a modified regimen of FOLinic acid, Fluorouracil, IRINotecan, and OXaliplatin) and underwent eight cycles over 4 months; the BOR was stable disease. The patient experienced neuropathy and therefore oxaliplatin was withheld for the next 11 cycles until disease progression 6 months after starting mFOLFIRINOX.
later; the BOR during this period was stable disease. Oxaliplatin was recommenced in the context of disease progression and the patient received two cycles of mFOLFIRINOX over 1 month; however, the disease continued to progress.

A second liver biopsy was undertaken as there was now sufficient tissue to perform next-generation sequencing for somatic profiling. Liver biopsy analysis confirmed moderately differentiated liver adenocarcinoma which was morphologically and immunophenotypically compatible with pancreatic cancer (Figure 3A). Immunohistochemistry showed tumour cells that were CK7 and negative for CK20, CDX2, PAX8, WT1, ER and PgR, supporting this diagnosis. TrkA immunohistochemistry further showed tumour cells strongly and diffusely positive for TrkA expression (Figure 3B). Somatic DNA profiling using Memorial Sloan Kettering-Integrated Mutation Profiling of Actionable Cancer Targets (MSK-IMPACT™; Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA) [9, 10] revealed an in-frame fusion of CTRC to NTRK1 and includes the kinase domain of NTRK1. One of the breakpoints is within exon2 of CTRC.

Investigational panel
Somatic alterations CTRC–NTRK1 gene rearrangement
(c.88:CTRC_c.850+45;NTRK1del) SDHC gain (1q23.3)6
CDKN28 deletion (9p21.3) CDRK2ap16NK4A deletion (9p21.3) CDRK2ap14AF deletion (9p21.3) SMA4 exon9 deletion (p. R361_C363del [c.1081_1089delCGCTTTTGT]) ARID2 rearrangement7 (c.419-2860_c.638-69del)

NGS, next-generation sequencing; POD, progression of disease.

Table 1. NGS and Archer™ results pre- and post-larotrectinib treatment

| NGS pre-larotrectinib Liver mass, right | Archer™ pre-larotrectinib Liver mass, right | NGS at POD on larotrectinib Liver lesion |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Clinically validated panel Somatic alterations Negative | Negative | BRAF exon15 alteration (p.V600E [c.1799T>A]) |
| Investigational panel Somatic alterations CTRC–NTRK1 gene rearrangement | CTRC–NTRK1 gene rearrangement | CTRC–NTRK1 gene rearrangement |
| (c.88:CTRC_c.850+45;NTRK1del) | (c.88:CTRC_c.850+46;NTRK1del) | |
| SDHC gain (1q23.3) | SDHC gain (1q23.3) | |
| CDKN28 deletion (9p21.3) | CDKN28 deletion (9p21.3) | |
| CDRK2ap16NK4A deletion (9p21.3) | CDRK2ap16NK4A deletion (9p21.3) | |
| CDRK2ap14AF deletion (9p21.3) | CDRK2ap14AF deletion (9p21.3) | |
| SMA4 exon9 deletion (p. R361_C363del [c.1081_1089delCGCTTTTGT]) | SMA4 exon9 deletion (p. R361_C363del [c.1081_1089delCGCTTTTGT]) | |
| ARID2 rearrangement7 (c.419-2860_c.638-69del) | ARID2 rearrangement7 (c.419-2860_c.638-69del) | |
| SMA4 exon9 deletion (p. R361_C363del [c.1081_1089delCGCTTTTGT]) | SMA4 exon9 deletion (p. R361_C363del [c.1081_1089delCGCTTTTGT]) | |
| ARAF exon2 alteration (p. V21G [c.62T>G]) | ARAF exon2 alteration (p. V21G [c.62T>G]) | |
| TBX3 exon7 alteration (p. G509A [c.1526G>C]) | TBX3 exon7 alteration (p. G509A [c.1526G>C]) | |

Discussion
This case is of special interest for several reasons. The patient presented with metastatic PDAC after a history of unrelated endometrial cancer; while there was no germline mutation to explain the tumour co-occurrence, ultimately, somatic profiling revealed a CTRC–NTRK1 gene fusion that was therapeutically actionable.
in the PDAC. The patient received targeted therapy with larotrectinib that was well tolerated and led to a BOR of PR with excellent quality of life. The patient developed resistance to larotrectinib after 6 months which was associated with the emergence of an acquired BRAF mutation as a new oncogenic driver.

Despite initial durable responses to TRK inhibition therapy, it is expected that acquired resistance to therapy will ultimately emerge in a fraction of patients, often mediated by solvent-front mutations that directly interfere with binding by larotrectinib [12] or by the emergence of bypass mutations. On-target kinase domain mutations are the most common mechanism of acquired drug resistance to TRK inhibitors found in patients [13]. However, a mechanistic study of off-target resistance to TRK inhibitors recently reported by Cocco et al. [14] indicated that a subset of patients with gastrointestinal malignancies treated with TRK inhibitors may develop resistance due to MAP kinase pathway-activating genomic alterations. Exploration of molecular mechanisms of resistance used preclinical patient-derived xenograph (PDX) models established from the pre-treatment tumour of a patient with a CTRC-NTRK1 gene fusion-positive PDAC who had an acquired resistance to larotrectinib. In these PDX models, prolonged treatment with larotrectinib resulted in the outgrowth of tumours with a newly acquired BRAF-V600E mutation, thus replicating the development of this bypass mutation in the clinical setting and in this case report. The frequency of resistance mutations in response to TRK inhibitor treatment in patients with TRK fusion cancer and the relative frequency of solvent-front versus bypass resistance mutations remains to be determined [14].

Conclusion

In all, the patient lived for 14 months after an actionable gene fusion was identified, relatively late in the patient’s disease course. This present case illustrates the clinical benefit of larotrectinib in the treatment of patients harbouring NTRK gene fusions, as well as the mechanism behind acquired resistance to TRK inhibitors and the clinical implications of this resistance.
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