Hormone use in food-animal production: Dietary exposure of consumers and public health significance

INTRODUCTION

Hormones are chemical substances naturally secreted in the body that regulate a wide range of physiological functions. However, during the last decades many cases of their illegal anabolic use in farm animals, aiming to obtain higher yields and profits in livestock production, have been documented. Given that these substances are carried over into body fluids and edible tissues, consumers may experience their impact through daily consumption of different products of animal origin, especially milk, meat and their products. Since many toxic effects of both natural and synthetic hormones have been evidenced, their use as an animal growth promoter is banned by the European Union. Insofar, a unique solution as to how to control the abuse of natural hormones in farm animals has not been found. Further research is needed to determine their natural levels in food in dependence on many factors of influence. Systematic monitoring of hormonal substances at all critical points of food production “from field to table” is necessary, together with continuous development of modern analytical methods in order to prevent hormone abuse and protect consumer health.
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The use of hormones for anabolic purposes

The group of hormones acting as anabolic growth promoters includes both compounds that naturally occur in animal body and synthetic chemicals that mimic the action of their natural counterparts. Apart from oestrogenic, androgenic and progestogenic compounds, stilbene, thyrostatic, corticosteroid and beta-adrenergic compounds have been recognised as well, all of the aforementioned being used both individually or in efficient combinations [4,13-16]. The meat industry has widely used anabolic hormones to quickly get larger quantities of meat, decrease inputs and reduce production costs, but also to obtain leaner meat preferred by modern consumers. The efficiency of animal growth promotion depends on animal breed, age, reproductive status and mode of hormone administration; it is believed that the use of the latter hormones may increase the growth of farm animals by more than 20% [17].

In the last decades, the analyses performed on meat samples retrieved from butcher shops and supermarkets across the European Union have proven numerous cases of illicit hormone use [4]. The study carried out in the EU during 1994 in randomly chosen consumer population, the analyses of 1,183 pork steak samples revealed the traces of as many as 17 androgenic and 2 gestagenic hormones in 1.6% samples [18]. The study of the same design, carried out in the USA in 1999 on 103 beef samples, unmasked melengestrol acetate traces in roughly 75% of the samples, while approximately 20% of the samples showed traces of trenbolone. Zeranol or other hormones failed to be found [19,20].

As observed by the EU National Reference Laboratories (NRLs), the number of active compounds is very high and changes continuously over the last decades. Oestrogenic, gestagenic and androgenic compounds, as well as thyrostatic, corticosteroid and β-agonistic ones, are used alone or in form of growth-promoting "cocktails" composed of several hormones in low concentrations, which makes their detection even more difficult. Their use as growth-promoting substances in animal breeding is banned by the European Union, while the presence of their residues is monitored under surveillance programs defined by Directives 96/22/EC [21], 2008/97/EC [22] and 2003/74/EC [23]. These anabolic steroids are included into the Group A substances under Annex I to the Directive 96/23/EC [24], which addresses growth-promoting agents abused in animal fattening and unauthorized substances with no maximum residue limit (MRL). In this regard, a zero-tolerance policy has been adopted and special analytical requirements have been stipulated. The only exception from the above are natural sex hormones, coming as a result of physiological endogenous secretion, whose analytical distinction from their exogenous counterparts is virtually impossible [1,12,25].

Proven anabolic effects of hormones referred to above have gone in favour of “black marketing” of these substances in the livestock production. At the same time, therapeutic use of certain hormones for veterinary purposes is permitted. Contrary to the European legislation, some countries, e.g., the USA, Canada, Australia, New Zealand and some countries in South America, Asia and Africa, have granted the use of certain hormonal substances with anabolic effect as fattening agents, because the local authorities assessed this usage as risk-free [26].

Toxic effects on human health

Given that exogeneous hormones mimic body effects of their endogenous counterparts, their entry into an organism may affect hormonal balance of the human body and disturb its natural functions. As with any other natural or synthetic chemical, hormone overexposure can be toxic [16,27,28], while their presence in foodstuffs may affect sensitive consumer population even more severely. As for the natural sex hormones, it seems that their everyday endogenous production and exogeneous intake via food represent the key points of risk assessment when it comes to the adjudication of human toxicity. Whereas the safety level hasn’t been established yet, unnecessary exposure of children and foetuses to exogeneous natural or synthetic hormones present in food even in very low doses, should be avoided by all means [29].

Current growing trends in the incidence and prevalence of reproductive disorders and cancers are often linked to exogeneous steroids taken via food. Data have shown that maternal consumption of beef containing higher testosterone levels may affect testicular development in utero and impair reproductive abilities of a grown man [30]. Courant et al. [31] established that cow milk contains substantial amounts of hormones and may therefore represent a significant source of dietary exposure to these substances. Milk consumption has been associated with a higher risk of premature menarche [32], the development of ovarian, prostate and breast cancer, and numerous reproductive disorders [32-35].
In children, even slight variations in exogeneous steroid hormone blood levels may seriously affect their development during puberty, and even represent the grounds for health issues during adult life [36]. Several epidemiological studies performed in the last decades documented a premature puberty tendency, in particular in the USA in which the use of certain hormone-based drugs in food-production animals is allowed; however, this tendency is ever more often witnessed in European countries, too [36,37].

On the other hand, the tendency of increase in incidence of certain types of cancer, such as testicle, breast and prostate cancer, haven’t been fully clarified yet, but sex hormones have often been suspected to play the key role in their onset [38-40]. One of the drastic showcases witnessed in the past is the use of diethylstilbestrol (DES), a synthetic oestrogenic drug administered in the sixties and banned upon the discovery that it increases the risk of vaginal cancer in daughters born to DES-treated mothers. Oestrogen exposure is one of the well-established risk factors associated with breast cancer as the most common cancer seen in women [41,42]. Furthermore, lung cancer as one of the leading cancer-associated deaths in the USA, is linked to elevated levels of oestradiol, found in female smokers [43]. Oestrogens have also been associated with other types of cancer, such as head & neck squamous cell cancer (HNSCC) [44].

Food levels and consumer exposure

Given that natural sex hormones are present in farm animal tissues and body fluids in physiological amounts, and that their presence can come as a consequence of therapeutic use, it is understandable that these substances are to be found in final products, as well. Therefore, food of animal origin generally contains sex hormones, their concentration in final products thereby primarily being influenced by their levels in animals the food is produced from. Industrial processing of raw materials has failed to exhibit any substantial influence on hormone levels in final products, since these substances are thermally stable [5,45].

Table 1 shows the levels of major natural hormones in food of animal origin. However, these data do not enable the distinction between endogenous and exogenous levels and can therefore not be linked to possible abuse by the livestock industry.

Data on daily intake of hormones via food of animal origin are rather scarce. They mostly demonstrate that the concentrations of natural sex hormones in different types of food of animal origin are generally low in virtually all types of analysed foodstuffs as compared to the levels found in human body due to the natural endogenous secretion, so that this route of entry should be considered negligible [5,11,48]. However, Courant et al. [31] found that egg and milk cannot be dismissed as negligible sources of oestradiol (2.2±0.8 and 3.1±2.0 ng/day, respectively), whereas testosterone exposure comes as a result of meat and/or egg ingestion (12.2±48.2 and 5.2±2.3 ng/day, respectively). Of note, should the relative contribution of dietary intake to the daily intake of natural hormones be expressed in percentages, the contribution of food of animal origin, especially that of dairy products, may as well be perceived as fairly high (Table 2).

Table 1. Natural hormone levels in different types of food of animal origin

| Food-stuff | 17β-Oestradiol (µg/kg) | Progestosterone (µg/kg) | Testosterone (µg/kg) | References |
|------------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|
| Bovine muscle | 0.01 – 0.9 | 20.0 | 0.78 | [5,11,31] |
| Bovine fat | 0.2 | 4.55 | 10.95 | [5] |
| Porcine muscle | 0.2 | 1.76 | 0.23 | [5] |
| Milk | 0.01 – 0.04 | 12.5 | 0.12 | [5,11,46,47] |
| Butter | < 0.03 | 141 | < 0.05 | [5] |
| Fish | < 0.03 | 0.51 | 0.07 | [5] |

Table 2. Relative contribution of food of animal origin to the daily intake of natural hormones [49]

| Relative contribution (rough %) | 17β-Oestradiol and oestrone | Progestosterone | Testosterone |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Meat and fish | 15 – 20 | 5 | 20 – 30 |
| Dairy products | 60 – 70 | 80 | 30 – 40 |
| Eggs | 15 – 20 | 10 | 15 – 20 |

It should by all means be pointed out that scientific notions on the presence of hormones in food and consumer exposure are subject to constant change, virtually on a daily basis. In order to be able to give as well-substantiated assessment as possible, synergistic effects of a number of various hormonal substances, as well as other toxic substances possibly present in food, should be taken into account. Presence of hormones in food of animal origin and the issues arising on this ground should be perceived holistically, taking into account their total intake into consumer organisms, including that coming from the consumption of meat, milk, fish, eggs and products based on all of the afore-
mentioned, but also that coming from the consumption of food of plant origin.

Measures and monitoring options
Analytical techniques used to analyse the presence of hormones may generally be divided into screening and confirmatory ones [50]. Given that one mostly deals with illicit substances and that these analytical procedures are carried out in order to monitor possible illicit use of hormones in farm animals, identification of these substances and confirmation of their presence make use of most demanding confirmatory analytical techniques [51]. The most widely used confirmatory techniques are liquid (LC) and gas chromatography (GC) coupled with double mass spectrometry, which allow for an unquestionable identification based on the mass over ion charge ratio typical of a given substance, as well as for the precise quantification of the latter [16].

During the past few years, many authors have described the application of LC-MS/MS methods for the analysis of anabolic steroids in various biological samples, including urine, serum, hair, kidney and fat [52-54], all validated according to the criteria set out under the Decision 2002/657/CE [50] on banned substances. Although the levels of steroids that accumulate in animal tissues are normally lower than in other matrices, many effective methods of their determination in muscle tissue and sometimes even monitoring of a wide range of anabolic compounds are currently known and utilised [31,55,56]. Since the number of growth promoters is high and includes natural and synthetic compounds, the use of multianalyte techniques is becoming ever more interesting [57]. The use of ultra-resolution liquid chromatography techniques (UPLC) coupled with mass spectrometry has been shown to provide rapid separation of analytes, shorten the analyses and improve simultaneous detection of multiple steroids [56,58].

Nevertheless, gathering of solid evidence on the use of natural hormones in food-production animals still poses as an unresolved issue, since exogeneous natural hormones follow the same pathways as do natural hormones biosynthesised by animals, making the detection and corroboration of their exogeneous use/abuse very difficult [26,59]. On top of that, studies have shown that bovine exposure to testosterone or oestradiol can result in equal or even lower plasma concentrations of these compounds in comparison with the levels seen as a result of natural secretion [60,61]. On the other hand, exogeneous natural hormones are used in form of plane semisynthetic esters prone to swift hydrolysis as soon as they enter the bloodstream, whereupon non-esterized forms indistinguishable from naturally occurring ones are created [62].

CONCLUSIONS
In order to be able to provide as well-substantiated assessment of consumer exposure as possible, synergistic effects of a number of various hormonal substances, as well as other toxic substances possibly present in food, should be taken into account. Further research should be undertaken in order to investigate into yet non-investigated hormonal substances, since the “black market” tends to launch novel synthetic hormones and their mixtures containing numerous low-dosed active substances virtually on a daily basis. On top of that, further and continuous development of contemporary analytical techniques capable of detecting these newly launched compounds should be pursued, together with the use of matrices that shall enable the detection of prolonged illicit hormone use. It is necessary to ensure the monitoring of hormone presence at all critical points of food production chain, from farm animals to consumers, and to strive to find a definite and unique legal solution to the problem of controlling the use/abuse of exogenous natural hormones.
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Upotreba hormona u proizvodnji hrane za životinje: dijетarna izloženost potrošača i značaj u javnom zdravlju

Jelka Pleadin
Hrvatski Veterinarski Institut, Savska Cesta 143, 10000 Zagreb, Hrvatska

Kratak sadržaj
Hormoni su hemijske supstance koje se prirodno izlučuju u telu i koje regulišu širok spektar fizioloških funkcija. Međutim, posljednjih decenija su dokumentovani mnogi slučajevi ilegalne upotrebe anabolika kod domaćih životinja radi ostvarivanja specijalnih značajki, kao što su miris, boja, težina i čvrstoća. Ova praksa je uzrokovana raspoloživim hemijskim znanstvenim ispitivanjima za stvaranje stručnjaka za analizu hormonskih aktivnosti i identifikaciju zloupotreba. U tom smislu, stručnjaci za analizu hormonskih aktivnosti i identifikaciju zloupotreba prate analitičke metode u cilju sprečavanja zloupotrebe i zaštite javnog zdravlja.

Ključne reči: prirodni i sintetički hormoni, hrana životinjskog porekla, dijetarna izloženost, toksični efekti, potrošači.