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Abstract. New applications of HFC refrigerants in organic Rankine cycles at high saturation temperatures and the wider use of CO₂ for air-conditioning have pushed research to the characterization of two-phase heat transfer at medium/high reduced pressures and have pointed out the effect of these operating conditions on asymmetric distribution of refrigerant around tube perimeter and its indirect effect on heat transfer. Currently there is a lack of data about asymmetric distribution of liquid film at the wall, especially for refrigerants and micro-channels. In order to have a physical evidence of this asymmetry also for micro-channels and approach to a relationship between this phenomenon and dimensionless parameters, new data are here presented. The asymmetric annular flow of the refrigerant R245fa inside a horizontal, round 2.95 mm inner diameter channel is studied with pictures captured by a high speed video camera. The experimental results here presented were obtained at saturation temperatures equal to 20 °C and 40 °C at low mass velocities (50, 100 and 200 kg m⁻²s⁻¹) to asymmetric distribution, enriching the database presented in previous studies. The new dimensionless parameter, eccentricity, has been related to the dimensionless groups: Froude and Bond numbers, and Martinelli parameter, showing the mutual correlation among them.

1. Introduction
Two-phase flows are encountered in many industrial applications related to heat transfer process or to fluid transport such as evaporators and condensers for the refrigeration and air-conditioning sectors, steam generators of nuclear plants or ORC cycles, and pipelines for petrochemical transportation.

Among two-phase flows, the annular two-phase flow is the most desired due to the intense heat transfer induced by the vapor core draining the thin liquid film at the wall. The knowledge of the relation between heat transfer and operating parameters is of primary importance for an accurate design in order to get the desired value of the heat transfer coefficient to balance the heat transfer resistances at each fluid side, being important to not exceed with the heat transfer intensity only at one side, since this would not be useful for the global thermal resistance, while penalizing considerably the pressure drops and the energy consumptions.

Several models have been developed to describe the behavior of an annular flow in literature, and it is well established that several concurrent factors affect the heat transfer and pressure gradients [6, 7]; they are related to the geometry (horizontal or vertical configuration, diameter and surface aspect),
thermophysical properties, liquid film thickness, liquid and vapor velocities, and liquid droplet entrainment.

The knowledge of the liquid film thickness at the wall is of primary importance to characterize an annular two-phase flow. In facts, simple models proposed in literature have successfully correlated the Nusselt number and the shear stress at the wall to the liquid film thickness [for example: 8, 9], which is directly related to the void fraction and the fraction of liquid entrained in the vapor core. Most of the models available in literature are based on the hypothesis of the symmetry (uniformity) of the liquid film distribution around the perimeter.

Applications of refrigerants to ORC cycles recovering waste heat and also a lot of plants working with fluids evaporating at a reduced saturation pressure close to 0.5 or higher are appearing. The operating conditions of these applications are outside the range of applicability of methods currently available. In facts, recent experimental studies have investigated this new range of operating conditions and have pointed-out the effect of gravity on heat transfer coefficients variation around the perimeter related to asymmetric liquid distribution at the wall [1, 2, 3] for horizontal flows.

Several works in literature deal with the determination of the liquid film thickness during annular flows.

Luninski et al. [10] measured the film thickness of air-water mixtures at different positions around the tube periphery, in channels with diameters ranging from 8.15 to 12.5 mm.

Ong and Thome [11] proposed a top/bottom liquid film thickness comparison for refrigerants R134a, R236fa and R245fa during flow boiling in small channels of 1.03, 2.20 and 3.04 mm diameter.

Other experiments were performed by Farias et al. [12], Fukano and Osaka [13], Laurinat and Hanratty [14], Masala et al.[15].

Cioncolini and Thome [16], Hurlburt and Newell [17] and Schubring and Shedd [18] proposed to predict quantitatively the evolution of eccentricity mainly for slightly stratified air-water flows.

In the studies of Cioncolini and Thome [16] and Schubring and Shedd [18], the eccentricity is defined as the ratio between top film thickness and bottom film thickness as shown by Eq. (1):

\[ ecc_{ratio} = \frac{t_{top}}{t_{bottom}} \]  

where \( t_{top} \) and \( t_{bottom} \) are respectively top and bottom film thicknesses.

Cioncolini and Thome [16] proposed a correlation for predicting the eccentricity \( ecc_{ratio} \):

\[ ecc_{ratio} = \frac{0.0789Fr_v^{1.90}}{1 + 0.0789Fr_v^{1.90}} ; Fr_v > 1 \]  

with

\[ Fr_v = xFr_{v0} ; Fr_{v0} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{\gamma_{vap}}} \left( \frac{\rho_{liq} - \rho_{vap}}{\rho_{vap}} \right) \]  

The correlation proposed by Schubring and Shedd [18] is defined as:

\[ ecc_{ratio} = 1 - \exp(-0.63Fr_{ss}) \]  

\[ Fr_{ss} = \frac{xG}{\rho_{liq}(\partial t_{mean})^{0.5}} \]

with \( t_{mean} \) the mean film thickness calculated with the following empirical correlation:
Hurlburt and Newell [17] proposed a correlation to evaluate the grade of asymmetry at the bottom of the tube with respect to the mean film thickness on the circumference of the tube as follows:

$$\frac{t_{\text{mean}}}{D} = 4.7 \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{vap}}}{\rho_{\text{liq}}} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \left( \frac{G D}{\rho_{\text{liq}}} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

The correlation proposed by Hurlburt and Newell [17] is:

$$ecc_{\text{mean}} = \frac{t_{\text{mean}}}{t_{\text{bottom}}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

The correlation proposed by Hurlburt and Newell [17] is:

$$ecc_{\text{mean}} = 0.2 + 0.7 \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{1}{75}(\frac{x}{1-x})^{0.5} Fr_{hn}^{-2.0}} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

valid for $\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^{0.5} Fr_{hn} > 20$ and with $Fr_{hn} = \frac{\sqrt{G D}}{\rho_{\text{vap}}(D)^{0.5}}$.

These predictive methods are based on data mostly related to air-to-water flows at ambient temperature, with large diameters (they range between 8.8 and 98 mm) and large excursions of the mass velocity; hence, they are calibrated in a large range of Froude numbers; on the other side these methods have been calibrated on a limited range of reduced pressures (mainly low reduced pressures) and they have not a good agreement with experiments at low mass fluxes or medium reduced pressures, as demonstrated in the assessment by Layssac et al. [5].

The work by Donniacuo et al. [3] showed experimental results during the evaporation of R245fa in a 2.95 mm horizontal tube. The operating conditions in which the experiments were performed are: mass velocities equal to 300 and 400 kg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and saturation temperatures of 40, 60, 80 and 100°C. They experimentally showed that there is a combined effect of the Froude and Bond numbers on the stratification; the interrelationship being much evident at high reduced pressure or low mass fluxes.

Recently, Layssac et al [3] have proposed a correlation to account for the effects on the asymmetry both of the mass velocity, the diameter and of the thermodynamic properties.

The correlation proposed has the following form:

$$ecc_{\text{diff}} = \frac{2.7 Fr_{vo}^{-1.2} X}{1+2.7 Fr_{vo}^{-1.2} X} for Fr_{vo}^{-1.2} X > 0.01$$

$$ecc_{\text{diff}} \approx 0 for Fr_{vo}^{-1.2} X \leq 0.01$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $ecc_{\text{diff}}$ is defined as in the work by Donniacuo et al. [3] according to which the flow stratification is evaluated by considering the relative position of vapour core center compared to the flow center divided by internal radius as asymmetry parameter, whose strictly equivalent formula is:

$$ecc_{\text{diff}} = \frac{t_{\text{bottom}}-t_{\text{top}}}{D}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where $D$ is the internal diameter, $t_{\text{bottom}}$ and $t_{\text{top}}$ respectively the bottom and the top film thicknesses. The limit cases of this definition correspond to a centered flow for an eccentricity of 0 and a full stratified flow with a bottom film thickness tending to the internal diameter when eccentricity is 1.

Equation (9) included the Martinelli parameter, related to the case of a turbulent-turbulent two-phase flow, defined as:

$$X = \left(\frac{1-x}{x}\right)^{0.9} \left(\frac{\rho_{\text{vap}}}{\rho_{\text{liq}}}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{\mu_{\text{liq}}}{\rho_{\text{vap}}}\right)^{0.1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
The Martinelli parameter is able to include the effect of the thermodynamic properties, which is important to have a predictive model able to capture predictions when there is a large variation of the reduced pressure. In facts, the paper [3] showed that this method is much more improved also when compared to a database of experiments including a large variation of the Froude number and of the thermodynamic properties (by means of the Martinelli parameter).

Layssac et al. [3] proposed a correlation, with no Bond number, Eq. (9), due to its weak influence in the statistics, since it ranged only from 11 to 18.

The aim of this work is to expand the range of operating conditions investigated previously to have a larger database, especially in those operating ranges that enlarge the effects of asymmetry and of the Bond number. In particular, the experiments were performed at mass flux velocities ($G$) from 50 to $300 \text{ kg m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ and saturation temperatures ($T_{\text{sat}}$) of 20, 30, 40, 50° C, with Bond numbers from 8 to 10.

2. Experimental test facility, optical measurement technique and data reduction

The experimental test facility is well described in the work by Charnay et al. [1]. The same test bench was used to adjust the experimental conditions in terms of mass flow rate, vapor quality and saturation pressure at the inlet of the glass tube, placed at the end of the test section presented in Fig. 1(a).

The optical method used to measure the liquid film thickness is the same as that described in the paper presented by Donniacuo et al. [3]. A high-speed video camera system (Photron Fastcam SA3120KM2) enables the flow visualization by recording image sequences. The light system used for the visualization is provided through an adjustable light behind the visualization glass tube. The optical arrangement is a backlight imaging arrangement, resulting in a light gradient at the liquid–vapor interface due to shadowgraph effect. A high-speed camera system and a light behind the tube enable the visualization of the flow by recording frame sequences. The camera enables to get the local liquid film thickness with a mean resolution of 200 pixels.mm$^{-1}$.

In each condition of temperature and mass velocity, 4 series of 1363 frames are taken, corresponding to a total time of 2.7 s. The frame size is of 1024*1024 pixels and each pixel has a grayscale value ranging from 0 to 255. A MATLAB program has been conceived by Donniacuo et al. [3] which is able to get local film thickness for annular flows. An example of an image and the processing of the top and bottom thicknesses versus time is reported in Figure 1(b).

![Figure 1](image_url)

**Figure 1.** a) Schematic of the test facility. b) Local and average film thicknesses at saturation temperature of 80 °C, mass velocity of 300 kg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and vapor quality of 0.35.
This method, based on grayscale analysis, has been improved to be applied to intermittent flows where single-phase profiles have to be detected by Layssac et al. [5], also permitting to sensibly reduce the uncertainty on interface detection for annular parts. Since the direct measurement suffers of the refraction effects through the glass of the tube, a correction factor was introduced. In case of intermittent flow the average value of the thickness is calculated only while there is a two-phase flow.

The uncertainty on the apparent film thickness is ± 2 pixels. At each operating condition, the top and bottom liquid film thicknesses were calculated as the average value from 5452 recorded frames. The uncertainty of each measurement is calculated, taking into account several sources of uncertainty: the inner and outer diameter dimensions, the variation of liquid R245fa refractive index with the temperature and the limitations of image resolution due to finite pixel dimensions. The combined uncertainty for film thickness measurement was evaluated as following:

\[
\delta t_{\text{real}} = 2 \sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta t_{\text{app}}}{EF \cdot Sc}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{t_{\text{app}} \delta EF}{-EF \cdot Sc^2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{t_{\text{app}} \delta Sc}{EF \cdot Sc^2}\right)^2}
\]

with \( t_{\text{app}} \) the apparent film thickness, \( t_{\text{real}} \) the real film thickness \( EF \) the enlargement factor due to optical deformation, and \( Sc \) the scaling factor. In the present study, \( \delta t_{\text{app}} = 2 \) pixels, \( \delta EF = 0.047 \), \( \delta Sc = 0.84 \) mm/pixel for an outer diameter of 909 pixels. As a conclusion, \( \delta t_{\text{real}} \) for the top film thickness ranges between 0.02 mm and 0.03 mm depending on the experimental conditions.

3. Experimental results

This paper presents experimental results during an adiabatic two-phase flow of the fluid R245fa in a smooth tube with an internal diameter of 2.95 mm, varying the mass flux, \( G \), in the range 50-300 kg m\(^{-2}\)s\(^{-1}\) and the saturation temperature from 20 and 50 °C, in the whole range of vapour qualities.

Figure 2 (a)-(b) reports an example of the measured dimensionless top and bottom thicknesses as a function of the vapour quality at a constant mass flux, varying the Bond number from 8 to 10, where the Bond number is defined as:

\[
Bd = \frac{g (\rho_{liq} - \rho_{vap}) a^2}{\sigma}
\]

including the effect of the variation of the saturation pressure, through the variation of the liquid and vapour densities with respect to the surface tension.

Consistently to the results of Donniacuo et al. [3] it is evident how the saturation pressure affects the dimensionless thickness, especially at the bottom of the tube and for low vapour qualities. In particular, increasing the saturation pressures the thickness at the bottom increasing for the same mass flux and vapour quality. This effect is present also at the top of the tube where the variation is less relevant.

In this work to evaluate the stratification a new parameter, \( S \), the symmetry, is defined. \( S \) is the complement to 1 of the eccentricity, already defined by Eq. (10), as presented in the next equation

\[
S = 1 - \frac{t_{\text{bottom}} - t_{\text{top}}}{D}
\]
Figure 2. Dimensionless liquid film thickness at the wall for the fluid R245fa, an internal diameter of 2.95mm, a mass flux of 300 kg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$, varying the Bond number from 8.0 to 10.0 (i.e. the saturation temperature from 20°C to 50°C). a) Measurements at the top of the tube. b) Measurements at the bottom of the tube.

When symmetry is equal to 1 there is no stratification, since the eccentricity is zero. On the contrary, zero is the expected value of the symmetry in case of higher stratification. This new parameter enables to organize the experimental results as shown in Fig. 3(a)-(b) which displays that symmetry tends to 1 when the vapour quality increases. The limit case of zero, geometrically corresponding to a bottom film thickness tending to the top side of the tube is not reached in the present case. First bubbles appearing with nucleation, the symmetry parameter was evaluated to 0.35. The symmetry parameter is thus more pertinent than the eccentricity as it enables to highlight the behaviour of centred and almost centred flows, while keeping the same mathematical limits.

During the experiments two different kinds of flow patterns were observed: annular flow and intermittent flow where the intermittent is considered as the combination of bubbly flow, bubbly-slug flow and slug flow.

At a fixed value of $G$, equal 200 kg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$, by fixing also the value of the vapour quality, Fig. 3(a) shows the decline of symmetry when the Bond number is increasing from 8 to 10 and the vapour only Froude number is decreasing from 12 to 7.5. The definition of the vapour only Froude number used in this work is the one presented in Eq.(3).

The obtained trend of the symmetry, when these two dimensionless numbers are varying, is justified by the growth of $T_{sat}$.

Taking into account that the Bond number is the ratio between gravity and surface tension forces meanwhile the $Fr_{vo}$ number is the ratio between inertia and gravity forces it is possible to resume that higher saturation temperature provides a higher Bond number and a lower $Fr_{vo}$ number. This means higher stratification due to fact that the importance of the gravity forces is greater if compared to the surface tension and inertial forces.

Fig. 3(b) deals with the evolution of the symmetry at a Bond number equal to 8.6 with $Fr_{vo}$ number varying from 2.6 to 15. A fixed value of Bond number implies that the saturation temperature is constant: the thermodynamic properties of the fluid do not change and consequently the buoyancy and surface tension forces are the same. $Fr_{vo}$ number increases because of the growth of $G$ and the only force rising is the inertial force. It is possible to see that when the $Fr_{vo}$ number increases, symmetry increases that means lower stratification.
Figure 3. Plot of the experimental values of the symmetry parameter as defined in Eq. (14) as a function of the vapor quality, for the fluid R245fa. a) at \( G = 200 \) kg \( \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \), varying the Bond number from 8.0 to 10; b) at Bond number equal to 8.6 and varying \( Fr_{vo} \) from 2.6 to 15.

The complement to 1 of the correlation proposed by Layssac et al. [5], introduced before in Eq. (9), provides a method for the prediction of the symmetry, as presented in the next equation. This method has an additional benefit to keep all the data points and to avoid an arbitrary limit for the value of \( Fr_{vo}^{1.2} \chi \) of 0.01 as presented in Layssac et al. [5]. The new correlation is:

\[
S_{predicted} = 1 - ecc_{diff} = 1 - \frac{2.7 Fr_{vo}^{1.2} \chi}{1 + 2.7 Fr_{vo}^{1.2} \chi}
\]  

(15)

For a statistical analysis, the mean percentage error, MPE, and the mean average percentage error, MAPE, are considered. They are defined as follows:

\[
MPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{S_{meas,i} - S_{pred,i}}{S_{meas,i}}
\]

(16)

\[
MAPE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| \frac{S_{meas,i} - S_{pred,i}}{S_{meas,i}} \right|
\]

(17)

where \( n \) is the sample size, \( S_{meas} \) the experimental value of the symmetry and \( S_{pred} \) the predicted symmetry. MPE and MAPE obtained for the present data are respectively of -0.1\% and 4.0\%.

The comparisons of the measured values of the symmetry with the predictions of the new model, Eq. (14) are presented in Fig.4a) where it is possible to see that 94\% of the available data points fall within ±10\% error band.

Globally, it appears the present data points dispersion is slightly higher than in the case of Layssac et al. [5] experimental data while the mean predictions in both cases do not overestimate or underestimate the experimental data. The larger dispersion in present case can be related to the experimental conditions. Actually, the range of temperatures enables to reach a Bond number of 8, compared with the Layssac et al. [5] data which ranges from 11 to 18. This increases relative importance of surface tension effects on the two-phase flow, compared with buoyancy and inertia. Furthermore, the surface tension tends to replace inertia in mechanisms to centre the flow due to low mass velocity (50 kg \( \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \)). Consequently, the new experimental data would not be well predicted for low mass velocity and low temperature since the geometry of the flow would be more conducted by surface tension compared with Layssac et al. [5] database.
4. Conclusions

New data points have been obtained on Charnay et al. [1] test facility. These points correspond to lower temperatures and lower mass velocities compared to the work led by Layssac et al. [5].

The general trend of two-phase flow symmetry was still well predicted by the analysis of dimensionless numbers such as vapour only Froude number and Bond number. Introduction of a new symmetry parameter enabled to easily analyze all types of flows, especially centred flows and then to avoid arbitrary limit for the field of application of the correlation of Layssac et al. [5].

The comparison between present experimental data and correlations has led to a larger dispersion with respect to the previous work by Layssac et al. [5], especially for not centred flows. This may be due to the higher relative importance of surface tension in the present conditions. Thus, it is planned to take into account these points, as well as bibliography data, in the optimization algorithm developed and described by Layssac et al. [5], in order to calibrate the correlation in a wider range of operating conditions. This would enable to highlight the contribution of surface tension in the correlation. Furthermore, the surface tension effect could be further analysed by reducing the internal diameter of the test section and by measuring the side film thicknesses.
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**Nomenclature**

| Symbol | Description | Unit |
|--------|-------------|------|
| Bd     | Bond number | (-)  |
| D      | diameter    | (m)  |
| ecc    | eccentricity| (-)  |
| EF     | enlargement factor | (-) |
| Fr     | Froude number | (-) |
| g      | acceleration of gravity | (m s\(^{-2}\)) |
| G      | mass flux velocity | (kg m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)) |
| MAPE   | mean average percentage error | (%) |
| MPE    | mean percentage error | (%) |
| S      | symmetry    | (-)  |
| Sc     | scaling factor | (pixel mm\(^{-1}\)) |
| t      | film thicknesses | (m) |
| T      | temperature | (°C) |
| x      | vapor quality | (-) |

**Abbreviations**

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| ORC          | organic Rankine cycle |

**Subscripts**

| Subscript | Description |
|-----------|-------------|
| app       | apparent    |
| bottom    | bottom side |
| diff      | difference  |
| liq       | liquid phase |
| mean      | mean value  |
| pred      | predicted value |
| sat       | saturation  |
| meas      | measured value |

**Greek letters**

| Symbol | Description | Unit |
|--------|-------------|------|
| μ      | dynamic viscosity | (kg s\(^{-1}\) m\(^{-1}\)) |
| ρ      | density     | (kg m\(^{-3}\)) |
| σ      | surface tension | (N m\(^{-1}\)) |
| χ      | Martinelli parameter | (-) |

| Symbol | Description | Unit |
|--------|-------------|------|
| ss     | Schubring and Shedd method |
| top    | top side |
| vo     | as the cross section was filled only by the vapor |
| vap    | vapour phase |
| v      | related to the vapor |