Proton relaxation times and interstitial fluid pressure in human melanoma xenografts
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Summary The interstitial fluid pressure (IFP) and the proton spin–lattice and spin–spin relaxation times ($T_1$ and $T_2$) of some experimental tumours have been shown to be related to tumour water content. These observations have led to the hypothesis that magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) might be a clinically useful non-invasive method for assessment of tumour IFP. The purpose of the work reported here was to examine the general validity of this hypothesis. R-18 human melanoma xenografts grown intradermally in Balb/c nu/nu mice were used as the tumour model system. Median $T_1$ and $T_2$ were determined by spin–echo MRI using a 1.5-T clinical whole-body tomograph. IFP was measured using the wick-in-needle technique. No correlation was found between tumour IFP and fractional tumour water content. Moreover, there was no correlation between median $T_1$ or $T_2$ and IFP, suggesting that proton $T_1$ and $T_2$ values determined by MRI cannot be used clinically to assess tumour IFP and thereby to predict the uptake of macromolecular therapeutic agents.
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Most tumours show an elevated interstitial fluid pressure (IFP) compared with normal tissues (Jain, 1987). Highly elevated IFP might lead to a pressure difference between the microvascular and interstitial space that is close to 0 mmHg (Boucher and Jain, 1992) and hence to inadequate uptake and heterogeneous distribution of monoclonal antibodies and other macromolecular therapeutic agents (Jain and Baxter, 1988; Cobb, 1989). Many human tumours show a maximum antibody uptake per gram of tissue of only around 0.005% of the injected dose per gram of body weight (Bradwell et al, 1985). Antibodies are preferentially distributed in regions close to blood vessels, and there are many regions without or with negligible antibody uptake (Jones et al, 1986; Sands et al, 1988).

Measurements of IFP in human tumours using the wick-in-needle technique have shown that the IFP can differ substantially among individual tumours of the same histological type (Boucher et al, 1991; Less et al, 1992). Patients with tumours showing an IFP close to normal tissue values, i.e. tumours with a significant pressure difference between the microvascular and interstitial space are more likely to benefit from treatment modalities involving macromolecular therapeutic agents than patients with highly elevated tumour IFP (Jain and Baxter, 1988). The wick-in-needle technique is invasive and can be used to measure IFP only in superficial tumours. A non-invasive method for measurement of IFP would therefore be useful for predicting the uptake of macromolecules in tumours and hence therapeutic response.

Studies of experimental tumours have suggested that the IFP is related to tumour water content in some tumour lines (Lee et al, 1992; Leunig et al, 1994). The proton spin–lattice and spin–spin relaxation times ($T_1$ and $T_2$) of tumour tissue are, to a large extent, determined by the tumour water content (Braunschweiger et al, 1986; Belfi et al, 1991). These observations have led to the suggestion that proton magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) might be used to estimate tumour IFP non-invasively (Lee et al, 1992; Steen, 1992).

The objective of the work reported here was to investigate in detail the validity of this suggestion, using R-18 human melanoma xenografts as tumour models. Proton $T_2$ and $T_1$ determined by MRI, and IFP, determined by using the wick-in-needle technique, were measured in vivo for the same individual tumours before the tumours were excised and fractional tumour water content was measured in vitro.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice and tumours

Adult Balb/c nu/nu mice (8–12 weeks old), bred at our research institute, were used as host animals for xenografted tumours. The mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions at constant temperature (24–26°C) and humidity (30–50%). Sterilised food and tap water were given ad libitum.

The experiments were performed using the amelanotic R-18 human melanoma line (Rofstad, 1994). Xenografted tumours were initiated from exponentially growing monolayer cultures in passages 75–100. Monolayer cells, cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (25 mm Hepes and L-glutamine) supplemented with 13% fetal calf serum, 250 mg 1⁻¹ penicillin and 50 mg 1⁻¹ streptomycin, were detached by trypsinization (treatment with 0.05% trypsin/0.02% EDTA solution at 37°C for 2 min). Approximately 4.0 × 10⁶ cells in 10 μl of Ca²⁺- and Mg²⁺-free Hanks’ balanced salt solution were inoculated intradermally in the flanks of the mice using a 100 μl Hamilton syringe (Rofstad, 1994). The cells were verified to be free from Mycoplasma contamination by using the Hoechst fluorescence and mycoxin methods.

Tumours with volumes ranging from 200 to 700 mm³ were first subjected to MRI, then to measurement of IFP and finally to
measurement of fractional tumour water content. Fractional tumour water content was determined by drying the tumour tissue at 40°C until a constant weight was reached.

**Anaesthesia**

The mice were kept under anaesthesia during MRI and measurement of IFP. Propanidid (Gedeon Richter, Budapest, Hungary), fentanyl/fluanisone (Janssen Pharmaceutica, Beerse, Belgium) and diazepam (Dumex, Copenhagen, Denmark) were administered intraperitoneally in doses of 400 mg kg⁻¹, 0.24/12 mg kg⁻¹ and 4 mg kg⁻¹ respectively. The body core temperature of the mice was kept at 36–38°C using a heating pad.

**Magnetic resonance imaging**

MRI was performed in the central axial plane of the tumours using a 1.5-T clinical whole-body tomograph (Signa, General Electric NMR Instruments, Fremont, CA, USA) and a specially designed mouse probe with a Q-factor of about 250 (Rofstad et al, 1994). The console settings, chosen to optimize signal-to-noise ratio, were as follows: image matrix, 256 × 256; field of view, 8 × 8 cm; scan thickness, 3 mm; number of excitations, 2. Two spin–echo pulse sequences were used, one with a repetition time (TR) of 600 ms and echo times (TEs) of 20, 40, 60 and 80 ms and the other with a TR of 2000 ms and TEs of 20, 40, 60 and 80 ms.

The region of interest, corresponding to the whole central axial tumour cross-section, was defined with a cursor. \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) were calculated for each voxel within the region of interest. The number of voxels analysed for each tumour depended on the size of the central axial tumour cross-section and ranged from 136 to 591. \( T_1 \) was determined by an iterative solution of two equations:

\[
I_1 = N_0 \left[ 1 - 2 \exp\left(\frac{-TR_1 + TE_1}{T_1}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-TR_1}{T_1}\right) \right] \exp\left(\frac{-TE_1}{T_2}\right)
\]

\[
I_2 = N_0 \left[ 1 - 2 \exp\left(\frac{-TR_1 + TE_1}{T_1}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-TR_1}{T_1}\right) \right] \exp\left(\frac{-TE_1}{T_2}\right)
\]

where \( N_0 \) is proton density, \( I_1 \) is the image intensity at TR₁ (600 ms) and TE₁, \( I_2 \) is the image intensity at TR₁ (2000 ms) and TE₁, and \( i \in \{1,4\} \) (the four TEs). \( T_2 \) was calculated from a regression analysis of the logarithm of voxel intensity vs TE. Histograms for \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) were generated for each tumour (Rofstad et al, 1994).

**Measurement of interstitial fluid pressure**

Tumour IFP was measured using the wick-in-needle technique (Fadnes et al, 1977). A 23-gauge needle (Microlance, Dublin, Ireland), filled with multifilamentous nylon thread, was connected to an Abbott Transpac II pressure transducer (Abbott Ireland, Sligo, Ireland) by a polyethylene tubing filled with sterile heparinized (70 units ml⁻¹) saline. The nylon thread increased the contact area and improved the fluid communication in the needle. The pressure transducer was connected to a model 13–6615–50 preamplifier and a model TA240 Easysay dual-channel chart recorder (Gould, Cleveland, OH, USA). The equipment was calibrated by determining the linear relationship between imposed pressure and measured pressure. The pressure of 30 cm of saline was maintained for 5 min to test for possible leaks in the system. The needle was inserted in the central region of the tumour for measurement of IFP. The IFP was recorded for at least 10 min. After a stable IFP value was reached, the fluid communication between the pressure transducer and the tumour was tested by compressing and decompressing the tubing between the needle and the transducer using a screw clamp (Tufto and Rofstad, 1995). Measurements were discarded if the readings following these tests differed by more than 1 mmHg. Tumour IFP was determined by calculating the mean of these two readings. The IFP measured in normal tissue, i.e. subdermally in tumour-free dorsal skin or intramuscularly in the proximal portion of the lower extremity, served as an internal control.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistically significant correlations were searched for by linear regression analysis. A significance criterion of \( P < 0.05 \) was used.

**RESULTS**

Twenty-two R-18 tumours were subjected to investigation. The \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) distributions of a typical tumour are illustrated in Figure 1. The proton relaxation times were not uniform across the tumour; \( T_1 \) ranged from 1552 to 1788 ms and \( T_2 \) from 56 to 63 ms. Median \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) differed among individual tumours from 1379 to 1939 ms and from 58 to 77 ms respectively. The coefficient of variation...
for a single tumour ranged from 3% to 14% for \( T_1 \) and from 2% to 7% for \( T_2 \). There was no correlation between median \( T_1 \) or \( T_2 \) and tumour volume \( (P > 0.05) \) for \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \). Median \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) were not correlated with each other either \( (P > 0.05) \).

Fractional tumour water content covered a narrow range from 79% to 82%. Tumours with a high fractional water content showed a longer median \( T_1 \) than tumours with a low fractional water content. The relationship between median \( T_1 \) and fractional tumour water content was statistically significant \( (P < 0.05) \) despite the narrow range of the latter parameter. There was no correlation between median \( T_1 \) and fractional tumour water content \( (P > 0.05) \).

The IFP measured subdarmally in tumour-free dorsal skin or intramuscularly in the proximal portion of the lower extremity ranged from \(-1\) to \(+1\) mmHg. On the other hand, the IFP was elevated in all tumours and covered a wide range from 5 to 31 mmHg. There was no correlation between IFP and tumour volume \( (P > 0.05) \). The IFP showed no correlation with fractional tumour water content either \( (P > 0.05) \). Moreover, there was no correlation between the proton relaxation times and IFP, irrespective of whether median \( T_1 \) or \( T_2 \) was considered \( (P > 0.05) \) for \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

R-18 human melanoma xenografted tumours growing orthotopically in congenitally athymic nude mice were chosen as the model system in the present study. This model system is suitable for elucidating the question under consideration for several reasons. First, many essential biological properties of the donor patient’s tumour, including angiogenic, vascular, histopathological and pathophysiological parameters, have been shown to be retained in R-18 tumours (Rofstad, 1994). Moreover, R-18 tumours are amelanotic, implying that paramagnetic relaxation enhancement by melanin is avoided (Atlas et al, 1990). Finally, the fraction of necrotic tissue in R-18 tumours is usually less than 3%, implying that MRI data are not confounded by necrosis-induced \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) shortening (Jakobsen et al, 1995).

Several methods for calculation of proton \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) values from MR images have been reported, and experiments with test phantoms have shown that the calculated values at best agree within about 10% of those measured by MR spectroscopy (Schneider et al, 1983; Bakker et al, 1984). A relatively simple model was used to calculate \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) in the present work, ignoring the possibility that the relaxation process might be bi- or multieponential. Moreover, correction for \( T_2 \) decay during signal acquisition was not included in the model. However, experiments with gadolinium diethylenetriamine penta-acetic acid (Gd-DTPA) phantoms have verified linear correlations between 1/\( T_1 \) calculated from images and 1/\( T_1 \) measured by relaxometry and between 1/\( T_2 \) calculated from images and 1/\( T_2 \) measured by relaxometry (Rofstad et al, 1994). Although the numeric values for \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) calculated here might deviate somewhat from the true values, our model gives accurate relative values for the relaxation times. Consequently, our values for \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) are valid for use in correlation analyses.

No correlation between median \( T_1 \) or \( T_2 \) and IFP was found for R-18 tumours. The lack of correlation can probably not be attributed to inadequate experimental procedures. MRI and IFP measurements according to the present procedures give highly reproducible results, as has been verified by performing repeated experiments with the same tumours (Rofstad et al, 1994; Tufto and Rofstad, 1995). The differences in \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) between tumours were larger than those between voxels in a single tumour, suggesting that the measured differences in median \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) are biological rather than methodological in origin. Moreover, the values for median \( T_1 \), median \( T_2 \) and IFP determined here are most probably representative for the whole tumour. Median \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) of a tumour were calculated from a single central axial scan. Single-scan and multi-scan experiments have been shown to give almost identical median values for \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) in non-necrotic tumours (Rofstad et al, 1994). IFP was measured in a single location in the central region of a tumour. This procedure is justified by theoretical and experimental studies which have suggested that, in tumours growing as a single nodule, the IFP is relatively uniform throughout the tumour and drops precipitously to normal tissue values at the tumour-normal tissue interface (Jain and Baxter, 1988; Boucher et al, 1990).

Median \( T_1 \) was found to be positively correlated with fractional tumour water content, in agreement with the present hypothesis. However, a statistically significant correlation was not found between median \( T_1 \) and fractional tumour water content. It is probable that this does not mean that the proton \( T_1 \) values of R-18 tumours are not determined mainly by the water content of the tumour tissue. The lack of a significant correlation was rather a consequence of the small differences in fractional water content between individual tumours. This interpretation is supported by a previous observation; a strong correlation was found between median \( T_2 \) and fractional tumour water content when human melanoma xenografted tumours of different lines showing large

**Figure 2** Proton \( T_1 \) (A) and \( T_2 \) (B) vs interstitial fluid pressure for R-18 human melanoma xenografted tumours. Points represent median values of individual tumours.
differences in fractional tumour water content were studied (Rofstad et al., 1994).

The range of distribution of IFP in R-18 tumours is sufficiently large that significant correlations between proton relaxation times and IFP should have been detected if present. The IFP of individual R-18 tumours ranged from 5 to 31 mmHg. This range of distribution is similar to those reported for experimental rodent and human tumours in general (Boucher et al., 1990; Zlotecki et al., 1993; Kristjansen et al., 1993; Znati et al., 1996) and melanomas in humans (Boucher et al., 1991; Curti et al., 1993).

The present study was based on the hypothesis that an increase in tumour IFP was accompanied by an increase in fractional tumour water content, which would be detected by MRI as an increase in proton $T_1$ and $T_2$ values. The IFP was found to differ significantly among individual tumours despite differences in the fractional tumour water content, and there was no correlation between these two parameters. The compliance of the tumour tissue, i.e., $C = \delta V/\delta P$ where $C$ is compliance, $\delta V$ is increase in fluid volume and $\delta P$ is increase in IFP, was probably not sufficiently large that the fractional tumour water content was influenced significantly by the IFP. Other tumour parameters, particularly parameters influencing the volume of the extracellular space such as the amount and distribution of stromal components and cellular adhesion molecules, were probably more determinative for the fractional tumour water content than the IFP.

The study reported here was performed using tumours of a single melanoma line, i.e. tumours that were of the same origin and thus were similar, and no correlation was found between proton $T_1$ or $T_2$ and IFP. Significant correlations are less likely to be found in studies involving tumours of different lines than in studies involving tumours of the same line (Rofstad, 1994). Experimental studies involving tumours of different lines are analogous to clinical studies. Consequently, the present study provides strong evidence against the hypothesis that proton $T_1$ and $T_2$ values determined by MRI can be used clinically to assess tumour IFP and thereby to predict the uptake of macromolecular therapeutic agents.
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