Reference values for the cross-sectional area of the normal sciatic nerve using high-resolution ultrasonography
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Abstract

Aim: High-resolution ultrasonography is a new and promising technique to evaluate peripheral and spinal nerves. Its validity as a diagnostic tool in neurological diseases has been demonstrated in adults. The aims of study were to establish the reference values for the cross-sectional area of the normal sciatic nerve on high-resolution ultrasonography, and to determine the relationship between the cross-sectional area of the normal sciatic nerve and the subjects’ age, gender, height (in cm), weight (in kg), and body mass index. Material and methods: Two hundred subjects of both genders and above 18 years of age were studied with high-resolution ultrasonography. The subjects had no history of peripheral neuropathy or trauma to the lower limb. The cross-sectional areas of the normal sciatic nerves were obtained at two different levels in both lower limbs. The mean cross-sectional areas of the sciatic nerves were measured at two different levels, one located at 1 cm above the bifurcation of the sciatic nerve into the tibial and common peroneal nerves, and the other 4 cm above the bifurcation of the sciatic nerve into the tibial and common peroneal nerves. Results: A positive correlation of the mean cross-sectional area was established with height, weight, and body mass index. Women had smaller cross-sectional areas of the normal sciatic nerves than men at both measuring sites. No significant relationship was established with the age of the subjects. Conclusions: The established reference values of the cross-sectional area of the sciatic nerve can facilitate the analysis of pathological nerve conditions.

Introduction

High-resolution ultrasonography (HRUS) is a new tool to investigate the peripheral and spinal nerves\(^1\). It serves as a diagnostic tool in neurological diseases in adults\(^2\). The introduction of US probes with high frequencies (greater than 12–15 MHz) has played a significant role in the ultrasound diagnostics of the peripheral nerves\(^3\).

Normal peripheral nerves have a typical sonographic appearance, demonstrating multiple hypoechogenic bands representing fascicular bundles surrounded by a hyper-echoic rim\(^1\). High-resolution ultrasonography provides a cost-efficient and accurate imaging modality in the diagnosis of peripheral nerve lesions\(^4\). The variability in cross-sectional area (CSA) measurements is helpful in investigating pathologies of the peripheral nerves\(^3\).

The cross-sectional area (CSA) tends to be symmetrical in both lower limbs, and it is larger in the lower limb motor nerves than in the sensory nerves at similar sites\(^5\). Recent imaging techniques allow for the assessment of anatomical characteristics of extremities exposed to a traumatic injury, greatly enhancing the quality of patient care, and help in optimizing clinical outcomes\(^6\).

The examination of the peripheral nerves by US imaging is non-invasive and easily tolerated by patients\(^7\). High-resolution ultrasonography is helpful in assessing the morphology of the peripheral nerves\(^8\). It has added to the diagnosis and treatment decisions among mononeuropathies, and assisted in the diagnosis of peripheral nerve tumors, hereditary neuropathy, and dysimmune neuropathy\(^8\).\(^9\).
High-resolution ultrasonography can detect changes in the peripheral nerves caused by a number of disease processes including trauma, infection, inflammation, and benign and malignant tumors, in a cost-effective manner\(^\text{(10)}\).

The method is able to detect acute and chronic changes in the nerves caused by compression neuropathies\(^\text{(11)}\). Chronic nerve compression causes disruption of the paranodal junctions and axonal domains required for proper peripheral nerve function\(^\text{(12)}\).

Ultrasound evaluation can lead to early diagnosis of nerve injuries and hence facilitate prompt treatment\(^\text{(13)}\). The ability of the clinical evaluation and electrodiagnostic studies to determine the extent of nerve damage within the first 6 weeks after trauma is limited\(^\text{(14)}\). The availability of CT and MR neurography is limited and the associated costs are high\(^\text{(15)}\). On the other hand, ultrasonography is a cost-efficient, portable, and dynamic modality\(^\text{(15)}\).

Not many studies have been done in the past to determine the reference values of the cross-sectional area of the normal sciatic nerve. Thus, the present study seeks to obtain high-resolution ultrasonography images of the normal sciatic nerve, and on that basis assess possible relationships between the cross-sectional area and the patient’s age, height, weight, and body mass index (BMI)\(^\text{(1)}\).

**Material and methods**

Two hundred subjects of both genders, above 18 years of age, and without any history of peripheral neuropathy or trauma to the lower limb, were studied by high-resolution ultrasonography.

**Patient inclusion criteria**

Subjects with no history of peripheral neuropathy or trauma to the lower limb.

**Exclusion criteria**

All patients with peripheral neuropathy due to:
- trauma involving a lower extremity and/or lumbar plexus injury,
- hypothyroidism,
- diabetes mellitus,
- pregnancy,
- alcohol,
- drug-induced.

After taking the informed written consent from each patient, detailed clinical history was recorded, and general physical and local examination was carried out, and high-resolution ultrasonography of the sciatic nerve was performed in both lower limbs.

---

**Fig. 1.** A linear transducer (Philips Affinity 50) with a frequency of 5–18 MHz.

**Fig. 2.** A. With the subject lying in prone position, the transducer is kept perpendicular to the popliteal fossa. B. High-resolution ultrasonography image at the same level showing two branches of the sciatic nerve (yellow arrows) i.e. tibial nerve medially and common peroneal nerve (CPN) laterally (N – nerve).
The cross-sectional areas of the sciatic nerve were measured at the following locations. Level I was located 1 cm above the bifurcation of the sciatic nerve into the tibial and common peroneal nerves. Level II was located 4 cm above the bifurcation of the sciatic nerve into the tibial and common peroneal nerves (Fig. 2, Fig. 3, Fig. 4). At each site, the cross-sectional area of the sciatic nerve was obtained by tracing the nerve just inside its hyperechoic rim. Three measurements were taken at each site, with the transducer repositioned. The mean value was used for each level (Fig. 5, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8, Fig. 9, Fig. 10, Fig. 11, Fig. 12).

The age, gender, height, weight and body mass index obtained for each subject were documented, and then correlation coefficients were calculated by statistically correlating these parameters with the cross-sectional area of the sciatic nerve at both levels.
Fig. 5. High-resolution ultrasonography of the normal sciatic nerve at levels I (images A, B, C) and II (images D, E, F) in the right lower limb in a 64-year-old male weighing 83 kg, with a height of 180 cm, and BMI of 25.6. The mean cross-sectional area measured at level I was 0.662 cm², and at level II it was 0.418 cm² (RT – right, LT – left, PT – point, VAL – value, yellow arrow – sciatic nerve).

Fig. 6. High-resolution ultrasonography of the normal sciatic nerve at levels I (images A, B, C) and II (images D, E, F) in the left lower limb in a 64-year-old male weighing 83 kg, with a height of 180 cm, and BMI of 25.6. The mean cross-sectional area measured at level I was 0.680 cm², and at level II it was 0.409 cm² (RT – right, LT – left, PT – point, VAL – value, yellow arrow – sciatic nerve).
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**Fig. 7.** High-resolution ultrasonography of the normal sciatic nerve at levels I (images A, B, C) and II (images D, E, F) in the right lower limb in a 61-year-old male weighing 55 kg, with a height of 154 cm, and BMI of 23.2. The mean cross-sectional area measured at level I was 0.360 cm$^2$, and at level II it was 0.236 cm$^2$ (RT – right, LT – left, PT – point, VAL – value, yellow arrow – sciatic nerve)

**Fig. 8.** High-resolution ultrasonography of the normal sciatic nerve at levels I (images A, B, C) and II (images D, E, F) in the left lower limb in a 61-year-old male weighing 55 kg, with a height of 154 cm, and BMI of 23.2. The mean cross-sectional area measured at level I was 0.383 cm$^2$, and at level II it was 0.282 cm$^2$ (RT – right, LT – left, PT – point, VAL – value, yellow arrow – sciatic nerve)
Fig. 9. High-resolution ultrasonography of the normal sciatic nerve at levels I (images A, B, C) and II (images D, E, F) in the right lower limb in a 37-year-old male weighing 86 kg, with a height of 184 cm, and BMI of 25.4. The mean cross-sectional area measured at level I was 0.627 cm², and at level II it was 0.444 cm² (RT – right, LT – left, PT – point, VAL – value, yellow arrow – sciatic nerve).

Fig. 10. High-resolution ultrasonography of the normal sciatic nerve at levels I (images A, B, C) and II (images D, E, F) in the left lower limb in a 37-year-old male weighing 86 kg, with a height of 184 cm, and BMI of 25.4. The mean cross-sectional area measured at level I was 0.666 cm², and at level II it was 0.407 cm² (RT – right, LT – left, PT – point, VAL – value, yellow arrow – sciatic nerve).
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Fig. 11. High-resolution ultrasonography of the normal sciatic nerve at levels I (images A, B, C) and II (images D, E, F) in the right lower limb in a 42-year-old female weighing 52 kg, with a height of 155 cm, and BMI of 21.6. The mean cross-sectional area measured at level I was 0.326 cm$^2$, and at level II it was 0.237 cm$^2$ (RT – right, LT – left, PT – point, VAL – value, yellow arrow – sciatic nerve).

Fig. 12. High-resolution ultrasonography of the normal sciatic nerve at levels I (images A, B, C) and II (images D, E, F) in the left lower limb in a 42-year-old female weighing 52 kg, with a height of 155 cm, and BMI of 21.6. The mean cross-sectional area measured at level I was 0.353 cm$^2$, and at level II it was 0.227 cm$^2$ (RT – right, LT – left, PT – point, VAL – value, yellow arrow – sciatic nerve).
Statistical analysis

The data was analyzed using SPSS 19.5 software. The p value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. An independent sample t-test was used to evaluate and interpret the data. The correlation of the cross-sectional area of the sciatic nerve with the subjects’ age, gender, height, weight and BMI was done using Pearson’s correlation analysis.

Results

The mean cross-sectional area of the normal sciatic nerves located 4 cm above the bifurcation of the sciatic nerve into the tibial and common peroneal nerves (Level I) was 0.512 cm² in the right lower limb and 0.514 cm² in the left lower limb.

The mean cross-sectional area of the normal sciatic nerve 1 cm above the bifurcation of the sciatic nerve into the tibial and common peroneal nerves (Level II) was 0.391 cm² in the right lower limb and 0.390 cm² in the left lower limb. Women had smaller cross-sectional areas of the normal sciatic nerves than men in both measuring sites (Tab. 1). However, no correlation was observed between the cross-sectional area and the age of the subjects (p >0.05) (Tab. 2). The mean cross-sectional areas at two levels in both lower limbs showed a positive correlation (p <0.05) with height, weight and body mass index, as calculated by Pearson’s correlation analysis (Tab. 3, Tab. 4 and Tab. 5).

Discussion

The sciatic nerve is the thickest nerve and the largest branch of the sacral plexus. It has the root values of L4, L5, S1, S2, S3. It has two parts: tibial and common peroneal. The tibial part is formed by the ventral divisions, and the common peroneal part by the dorsal divisions of the anterior primary rami of L4, L5, S1, S2, S3(16).
Ricci et al. conducted a study involving ultrasound observations of the sciatic nerve and its branches at the popliteal fossa in normal subjects and in patients with venous disease. The sciatic nerve and its branches were visible and easily recognized in all the cases. The sciatic nerve and the small saphenous vein lay in close proximity in cases with small saphenous vein incompetence. Vincent et al. conducted a study on the use of ultrasound in identifying the sciatic nerves at three locations in the lower extremity. Similarly in our study, high-resolution ultrasonography was highly useful in tracing the course of the sciatic nerve in all the cases studied, and measuring the cross-sectional area accurately.

Chen et al. conducted a study based on high-resolution ultrasonography to establish the reference values for the cross-sectional area of the normal sciatic nerve in 200 healthy male or female volunteers, aged 18–80 years. Pearson’s correlation analysis showed that the mean CSA of the sciatic nerve was positively correlated with subject height and weight. There was no difference in mean CSAs among the three age groups. In our study, one additional parameter (BMI) was included. Similarly to the height and weight, the BMI also showed a positive correlation with the cross-sectional area.

High-resolution ultrasonography is an effective imaging technique that complements electrophysiological and other neuroimaging studies. Lee et al. stated that high-resolution ultrasonography plays a role in determining the correct diagnosis and location of lesions in all 13 cases included in the study. In 7 (58%) out of 12 cases, high-resolution ultrasonography provided the correct diagnosis when other imaging and electrophysiological studies were either inconclusive or inadequate. Kerasnoudis et al. conducted a study in 75 healthy subjects to establish a relationship between cross-sectional area variability measured on high-resolution ultrasonography with pathological changes in the peripheral nerves. The CSA variability measures may be helpful in investigating the pathologies of the peripheral nerves.

In the present study, the cross-sectional area of the sciatic nerve showed a positive correlation with the height and weight of the patients. The cross-sectional area of the sciatic nerve was found to be higher in men than in women. No significant relationship was established with the age of the subjects. The results of the present assessment are consistent with the findings of the study conducted by Chen et al. In our study, one additional parameter (BMI) was included. Similarly to the height and weight, the BMI also showed a positive correlation with the cross-sectional area.

Quirimli et al. conducted a study in 100 healthy volunteers where the median, ulnar, fibular, tibial (branch of the sciatic nerve), sural and superficial fibular nerves at defined sites were focused with high-resolution ultrasonography. The cross-sectional area (CSA) values were measured in...
healthy subjects. The CSA tends to be bilaterally symmetrical in both lower limbs\(^{(5)}\). Also in our study, the cross-sectional area measures turned out to be symmetrical.

Kowalska et al. conducted a study in 47 patients aged 16–65 (mean age 33) who were referred to ultrasound examinations due to a clinical suspicion of posttraumatic peripheral neuropathies. The results of the clinical and surgical verification were consistent with the ultrasound findings in 100% of cases. The results showed that high-resolution ultrasonography is a useful method in assessing patients for various types of treatment of peripheral neuropathies\(^{(19)}\). Likewise, in our study any change in the observed cross-sectional area helps in the identification of initial stages of peripheral neuropathies.

In the present study, high-resolution ultrasonography (5–18 MHz) was used to measure the cross-sectional area (CSA) of the normal sciatic nerve. It was clear that the CSA of the sciatic nerve was a more consistent measurement than the diameter. The results highlight the basic clinical applications of high-resolution ultrasonography for the future diagnosis, treatment, and prognostic evaluation of peripheral neuropathies.
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A limitation of the study is that the measurement of the cross-sectional area of the sciatic nerve was done only at two levels.

### Conclusions

High-resolution ultrasonography allows direct imaging of the peripheral nerves including the sciatic nerve. It is a preferred technique, since it is easily accessible, noninvasive, and associated with a shorter examination time and lower costs. The proximal part of the normal sciatic nerve has a greater cross-sectional area, which decreases as the nerve courses distally. The reference values of the cross-sectional area of the sciatic nerve can facilitate the analysis of abnormal nerve conditions including peripheral neuropathies.
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