MR Imaging Criteria for the Detection of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma: Discrimination of Early-Stage Primary Tumors from Benign Hyperplasia

A.D. King, L.Y.S. Wong, B.K.H. Law, K.S. Bhatia, J.K.S. Woo, Q.-Y. Al, T.Y. Tan, J. Goh, K.L. Chuah, F.K.F. Mo, K.C.A. Chan, A.T.C. Chan, and A.C. Vlantis

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: MR imaging can detect nasopharyngeal carcinoma that is hidden from endoscopic view, but for accurate detection carcinoma confined within the nasopharynx (stage T1) must be distinguished from benign hyperplasia of the nasopharynx. This study aimed to document the MR imaging features of stage T1 nasopharyngeal carcinoma and to attempt to identify features distinguishing it from benign hyperplasia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: MR images of 189 patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma confined to the nasopharynx and those of 144 patients with benign hyperplasia were reviewed and compared in this retrospective study. The center, volume, size asymmetry (maximum percentage difference in area between the right and left nasopharyngeal halves), signal intensity asymmetry, deep mucosal white line (greater contrast enhancement along the deep tumor margin), and absence/distortion of the adenoidal septa were evaluated. Differences were assessed with logistic regression and the χ2 test.

RESULTS: The nasopharyngeal carcinoma center was lateral, central, or diffuse in 134/189 (70.9%), 25/189 (13.2%), and 30/189 (15.9%) cases, respectively. Nasopharyngeal carcinomas involving the walls showed that a deep mucosal white line was present in 180/183 (98.4%), with a focal loss of this line in 153/180 (85%) cases. Adenoidal septa were absent or distorted in 111/111 (100%) nasopharyngeal carcinomas involving the adenoid. Compared with benign hyperplasia, nasopharyngeal carcinoma had a significantly greater volume, size asymmetry, signal asymmetry, focal loss of the deep mucosal white line, and absence/distortion of the adenoidal septa (P < .001). Although size asymmetry was the most accurate criterion (89.5%) for nasopharyngeal carcinoma detection, use of this parameter alone would have missed 11.9% of early-stage T1 nasopharyngeal carcinomas.

CONCLUSIONS: MR imaging features can help distinguish stage T1 nasopharyngeal carcinoma from benign hyperplasia in most cases.

ABBREVIATIONS: BH = benign hyperplasia; BH1 = BH type 1; BH2 = BH type 2; %ΔA = maximum percentage difference in area; NPC = nasopharyngeal carcinoma

Undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is highly responsive to radiation therapy and often curable when detected at an early stage. Endoscopy remains the standard investigation of primary tumor detection, followed by endoscopic biopsy for histologic confirmation. However, endoscopic visualization is not possible for all tumors, including small nasopharyngeal tumors in the lateral nasopharyngeal recess or a submucosal location. Our previous research demonstrated the importance of MR imaging as an adjunct to endoscopy during NPC detection. Overall, MR imaging–based detection of NPC is very accurate (95%); additionally, 10% of MR imaging–detected NPCs are endoscopically occult, and MR imaging can detect slow-growing tumors up to 3 years before endoscopic detection.1-3 However, earlier MR imaging research was conducted in a largely symptomatic group of patients with NPC, which included those with advanced local disease in whom NPC detection had been assisted by submucosal tumor spread into the deep tissues surrounding the nasopharynx.
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By contrast, the detection of early-stage T1 tumors confined within the nasopharynx, which must also be discriminated from benign hyperplasia (BH) along the nasopharyngeal walls and in the adenoid, presents a greater challenge to MR imaging. Currently, the use of MR imaging as an adjunct for the detection of stage T1 NPC is increasing as more asymptomatic patients with early-stage cancers are detected through screening programs that use plasma markers such as Epstein-Barr virus DNA.1-4 5

Although size asymmetry between the right and left nasopharyngeal halves and loss of the normal adenoidal septa are among the features used to detect NPC via MR imaging,1,2,6 the incidence of each sign has not been assessed. In addition, with a greater focus on early NPC detection through screening programs, we have become more aware of the range of MR imaging features that may be seen in NPC confined to the nasopharynx. These MR imaging features, which include the presence and integrity of a deep mucosal line along the walls of the nasopharynx (ie, a line of greater enhancement along the deep layer of the tumor) and variations in signal intensity and heterogeneity, have received scant attention in the literature. We have also observed that some of these MR imaging features of stage T1 NPC overlap those of BH; thus potentially reducing the accuracy of MR imaging for NPC detection.

In this era of screening programs for early NPC detection, our first aim was to document the MR imaging features of NPC confined to the nasopharynx or early-stage T1 lesions, which are the least obvious of the 4 T-stages on MR images. Our second aim was to compare these MR imaging features with those of BH to determine whether our current MR imaging criteria2 require refinement to improve NPC detection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
This retrospective study was approved by the Chinese University of Hong Kong institutional review board, and the requirement for written informed consent from the patients was waived. The NPC and non-NPC groups included 28 and 160 patients, respectively, who participated in a previous study2 and long-term follow-up analysis8 to compare the diagnostic accuracy of MR imaging with that of endoscopy and endoscopic biopsy.

NPC Group
The nasopharyngeal MR images of 212 consecutive patients with primary NPC tumors confined to nasopharynx (stage T1) who underwent contrast-enhanced MR imaging between January 2005 and June 2014 were reviewed. The post-nasopharyngeal biopsy MR images of 23 patients were degraded, leaving 189 patients for analysis (141 men, 48 women; mean age, 52.5 years; age range, 23–80 years). Among these cases, 188 were undifferentiated carcinoma and one was a poorly differentiated carcinoma.

BH Group
The nasopharyngeal MR images of 206 consecutive patients who were investigated for suspected NPC but had no endoscopic evidence of NPC at the time of contrast-enhanced MR imaging, between July 2005 and June 2014, and after a minimum follow-up of 2 years were reviewed. Of these, 62 (30.1%) patients with a normal nasopharynx, defined as a mucosal thickness of ≤3 mm plus an adenoid that was absent, or vestibial (contrast-enhancing septa with little or no intervening solid tissue) or comprising cysts (including a Tornwaldt cyst) were excluded from the study, leaving 144 patients with benign hyperplasia for analysis (84 men, 60 women; mean age, 48.2 years; age range, 17–83 years). BH presents in 2 main patterns with different MR imaging features and was therefore divided into types 1 (BH1) and 2 (BH2) based on the dominant pattern on T1-weighted postcontrast images, according to previously described criteria.2 Essentially, BH1 comprised a mucosal thickness of >3 mm with homogeneous contrast enhancement and/or an adenoid without contrast-enhancing septa and was present in 66/144 cases (45.8%). BH2 comprised a mucosal thickness of >3 mm with a line/strip of greater contrast enhancement in the deep layer relative to the superficial layer of the wall (deep mucosal white line) and/or an adenoidal mass with contrast-enhancing septa separated by linear bands of minimally contrast-enhancing tissue (striped appearance) and was present in 78/144 cases (54.2%).

MR Imaging Acquisition
MR imaging of the nasopharynx was performed on a 1.5T or 3T whole-body MR imaging system. The examination targeted the nasopharynx, and approximately 20 minutes were required to perform the following 4 sequences in the MR imaging protocol: an axial fat-suppressed T2-weighted sequence (TR/TE, 2500/100 ms; echo-train length, 15; FOV, 22 cm; section thickness, 4 mm with no intersection gap; matrix, 256 × 202), an axial T1-weighted spin-echo sequence (TR/TE, 500/20 ms; FOV, 22 cm; section thickness, 4 mm with no intersection gap; matrix, 512 × 512), and a T1-weighted spin-echo sequence in the axial and coronal planes following a bolus injection of 0.1 mmol of gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem; Guerbet, Aulnaysous-Bois, France) per kilogram of body weight.

MR Imaging Analysis
The nasopharynx was divided into 2 main sites: the nasopharyngeal walls and the adenoid, which consisted of a focal bulge in the roof/posterior wall. NPC was assessed regarding the following parameters: site (walls, adenoid, subsites, and tumor center), size (volume and size asymmetry), signal intensity (intensity, homogeneity, and asymmetry), deep mucosal white line, and adenoidal septa as defined in Table 1. Cysts were excluded from the analysis of MR imaging features related to signal intensity and asymmetry. BH was assessed regarding the following parameters: site (walls and adenoid), size (volume and size asymmetry), signal intensity (intensity and signal asymmetry), deep mucosal white line (walls), and adenoidal septa (adenoid), as described for NPC. For the investigation of signal intensity, BH was divided into BH1 and BH2, and the representative ROI on each T1-weighted postcontrast image was obtained from either the enhancing wall of a BH1 or from the band of low contrast enhancement in the wall or adenoidal columns of a BH2. By definition, the deep mucosal white line is not present in BH1 and was therefore only assessed in BH2. MR images were assessed by a single radiologist (A.D.K.) with >20 years of experience with the MR imaging of NPC.
**Statistical Analysis**

Differences between NPC and BH were compared using logistic regression analyses (odds ratios and corresponding 95% confidence intervals) of volume, the maximum percentage difference in area (%ΔA), and signal intensity, and the χ² test to evaluate signal intensity asymmetry, the deep mucosal white line, and adenoidal septa. Differences in signal intensity among NPC, BH1, and BH2, including a subgroup analysis of signal intensities obtained on the same MR imaging scanner, were compared using the analysis of variance and are depicted with boxplots. A receiver operating characteristic curve analysis and subsequent area under the curve calculation were used to identify the optimal thresholds for volume and %ΔA by maximizing the sensitivity and specificity, and the diagnostic performances of these thresholds were calculated. Five-fold cross-validation was performed to examine the accuracy of the volume and size asymmetry. All statistical tests were 2-sided, and a P value of < .05 indicated a statistically significant difference. Analyses were performed with SPSS statistical analysis software (Version 23.0; IBM, Armonk, New York).

**RESULTS**

**MR Imaging Features of Early-Stage T1 NPC Confined to the Nasopharynx**

NPC involved the adenoid in 111 patients and/or the walls in 183 patients. Affected subsites, including those deep to the adenoid, were the lateral nasopharyngeal recess, the roof, and the posterior/inferior subsites in 157/189 (83.1%), 184/189 (97.4%), and 148/189 patients (78.3%), respectively. Twenty of 189 patients (10.6%) had 1 subsite only, and all subsites were affected bilaterally in 12/189 patients (6.3%). The tumor center was lateral in 134/189 patients (70.9%) (Fig 1), of which 95 crossed the midline; central in 25/189 patients (13.2%) (Fig 2); and diffuse in 30/189 patients (15.9%) (Fig 3). NPCs were enhanced heterogeneously in 129/189 patients (68.3%) (Fig 1C). Despite large variations in size, asymmetry, and signal intensity between the 2 halves of the nasopharynx, almost all cases exhibited the deep mucosal white line (180/183, 98.4%) (Figs 1A, -B and 3B), which was interrupted in at least 1 slice in 153/180 patients (85%) (Fig 1B). Furthermore, the adenoidal septa were absent/distorted in 111/111 patients (100%) with an adenoid (Fig 2). Further details are presented in the On-line Table.

**MR Imaging Features of BH**

BH1 involved the walls in all 66/66 patients (100%) (Fig 4A), and no adenoid without contrast-enhancing septa was observed. BH2 involved the walls, adenoid, and both sites in 17/78 (22%), 5/78 (6%), and 56/78 patients (72%), respectively (Fig 4B, -C). Size and shape (volume and size asymmetry), signal intensity (intensity and signal asymmetry), deep mucosal white line, and adenoidal septa data are listed in the On-line Table.

**Comparison of the MR Imaging Features of Early-Stage T1 NPC and BH**

The NPC signal intensity fell between those of BH1 and BH2 (T1 postcontrast BH1>NPC>BH2; T2 BH2>NPC>BH1), and significant differences were observed among the 3 groups (P < .001) (On-line Table and Fig 5). A subgroup analysis of cases performed on the same 1.5T MR imaging scanner (NPC = 31, BH1 = 43, BH2 = 64) revealed the same signal intensity differences among the 3 groups, which remained significant for all T1 postcontrast scans (BH1 versus BH2, P < .001; BH1 versus NPC, P < .001; BH2 versus NPC, P = .015) and T2 comparisons of BH1 versus BH2 (P < .001) and BH2 versus NPC (P = .018), but not BH1 versus NPC (P = .183).

Compared with BH, stage T1 NPC confined to the nasopharynx exhibited a significantly greater volume, size asymmetry, sig-
nal asymmetry, focal loss of the deep mucosal white line, and absence/distortion of the adenoidal septa \((P < .001)\) (On-line Table). The optimum NPC detection thresholds were a volume of \(\geq 5.01\) cm\(^3\) \((P < .001\), area under the receiver operating characteristic curve = 0.676\) and a \%\(\Delta A\) of \(\geq 33.7\%\) \((P < .001\), area under the receiver operating characteristic curve = 0.948\) (Fig 6). The diagnostic performance of MR imaging stage T1 NPC detection based on volume, \%\(\Delta A\), signal asymmetry, deep mucosal white line absence/focal loss, and adenoidal septa absence/distortion is shown in Table 2. The estimated accuracy of volume and size asymmetry obtained from 5-fold cross-validation was not overestimated and was within the 95% CI of observed accuracy of volume and size asymmetry. Although a \%\(\Delta A\) of \(\geq 33.7\%\) was the most accurate criterion for NPC detection, this parameter yielded 22 (11.6\%) false-negatives among 189 cases and 13 (9.0\%) false-positives among 144 cases. False-negative results arose in NPCs that were diffuse \((n = 12)\) (Fig 3), central \((n = 8)\), or lateral \((n = 2)\) and had a \%\(\Delta A\) of 2.4–31.0\% (mean, 15.5\%). False-positive results arose in asymmetric BHs with \%\(\Delta A\) values of 34.6–82.3\% (mean, 51.9\%) (Fig 7). A subgroup analysis of the diagnostic performances of criteria in symmetric \((\%\Delta A < 33.7\%)\) NPC and BH showed the highest level of accuracy for a focal loss of the mucosal white line (90.7\%), followed by absent/distorted adenoidal septa (89.3\%), signal asymmetry (83.0\%), and volume (71.2\%) (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

Plasma markers are currently used to screen patients at risk of NPC, including asymptomatic subjects from regional populations and family screening programs as well as symptomatic patients with nonspecific symptoms such as epistaxis. In this setting, MR imaging is also used to detect clinically occult early stage NPC in patients with abnormal blood test results but normal endoscopic results. Unfortunately, it is common to encounter patients who have both false-positive NPC plasma markers and BH of the nasopharyngeal walls and adenoid. In these patients, the challenge is not only to detect stage T1 NPC confined to the nasopharynx using MR imaging but also to discriminate these cancers from benign disease and thus reduce the number of unnecessary nasopharyngeal biopsies.

Although detailed MR imaging patterns of the local spread of NPCs have been well-described in the literature,\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^11\) there is a paucity of data related to stage T1 NPC confined to the nasopharynx, despite its importance for NPC screening. In this study, we found that stage T1 NPCs varied considerably in size, from small tumors localized at a single site to large exophytic tumors involv-
ing all sites and filling the nasopharyngeal cavity. Most NPCs arose laterally to cause size and signal asymmetries between the nasopharyngeal halves, whereas less than a third were diffuse or located centrally in the roof or posterior wall. The deep mucosal white line along the deep tumor margin was almost universally present among stage T1 cancers. This deep mucosal white line of greater contrast enhancement in the deep relative to the superficial layer has received scant attention in the literature. We believe that this line represents contrast enhancement in the dense fibrous tissue containing submucosal blood vessels. In most NPCs (84%), the deep mucosal white line exhibited focal discontinuity on at least 1 imaging slice. Although the integrity of this line has not been previously assessed in NPC, we believe that discontinuity might represent the earliest sign of impending extranasopharyngeal invasion. A loss or distortion of the normal contrast-enhancing adenoidal septa was an additional feature observed in stage T1 NPC. Although this feature has been described in NPC, its incidence has not been reported.1,2,8 In the present study, all stage T1 NPCs exhibited this complete absence or distortion of the adenoidal septa, regardless of whether the tumor arose from within the adenoid or spread into the hypertrophied adenoid from adjacent walls.

The MR imaging features of stage T1 NPC differed significantly from those of BH. Compared with all cases of BH, stage T1 NPC confined to the nasopharynx had a greater volume, size asymmetry, and signal asymmetry; of these, size asymmetry was the most accurate criterion for NPC identification. This is not surprising because most stage T1 NPCs were centered laterally, whereas BH is a diffuse process. Although this study quantified differences in size between the 2 sides of the nasopharynx, in routine practice, this feature can usually be easily assessed subjectively. However, although most stage T1 NPCs could be detected via size asymmetry, a minority (11.9%) of early cancers were symmetric, especially those that were diffuse or centrally located. These early cancers were difficult to detect by MR imaging because they were not accompanied by the deep tumor invasion associated with higher T-stage tumors, which was used in previous studies that achieved NPC detection sensitivities of 97%–100%.2,12

Differences in signal intensity (ie, NPC had a lower and higher contrast enhancement relative to BH1 and BH2, respectively) helped to identify focal tumors, though overall signal intensity alone was a poor discriminator. We found that stage
T1 NPCs exhibited variable contrast enhancement both within heterogeneous tumors and among different tumors. BH is also known to exhibit a range of MR imaging appearances. Notably, there were no differences between NPC and BH in this study when the signal intensities of BH1 and BH2 were combined. The range of NPC contrast-enhancement levels and the overlap with BH are of concern regarding symmetric NPCs, which can exhibit higher contrast enhancement and thus appear like BH1 (Figs 3A and 4A) or lower contrast enhancement and thus appear like BH2 (Figs 3B and 4C). Signal intensity was also assessed on the basis of the asymmetry in signal intensity between the 2 sides of the nasopharynx. Although this criterion was highly accurate for NPC, the positive predictive value was low, especially in symmetric NPCs (positive predictive value, 42%). Volume was the poorest discriminator among all criteria, even for symmetric NPCs, because the volumes of both NPC and BH ranged widely. Therefore, the use of volume to determine NPC would have led to a high number of nasopharyngeal biopsies for benign disease.

The 2 remaining MR imaging criteria related to the adenoidal septa (adenoid) and the deep mucosal white line (walls) exhibited greater promise for NPC detection. These 2 criteria detected NPC with a high level of accuracy, including symmetric tumors that may otherwise have been missed by MR imaging. Regarding the first criterion, distortion, disruption, or a complete loss of the adenoidal septa was significantly more likely to appear in NPC compared with adenoidal BH. However, the adenoidal septa should be assessed carefully because distortion caused by cysts or a single central column of lymphoid hyperplasia could be mistaken for NPC. Accordingly, vestigial adenoids and those comprising mainly cysts were not assessed in this study. Moreover, some previous MR imaging studies reported a higher rate of absent adenoidal septa in cases of adenoidal BH. Therefore, we suggest that an hypertrophied adenoid with absent or distorted septa should only be biopsied in a patient who is at a high risk of NPC and has undergone an MR imaging examination dedicated to the nasopharynx. However, the previously reported high negative predictive value of this sign suggests that nasopharyngeal biopsy can be avoided if the adenoidal septa are symmetric and separated by hyperplastic columns of low contrast enhancement (ie, striped appearance). Regarding the second criterion, a focal loss of the deep mucosal white line was found to be significantly more common in NPC than in BH2. However, any assessment of the loss of this deep mucosal white line should be mindful of the fact that this line is not seen in the BH1 pattern. The BH1 and BH2 patterns often coexist in the nasopharynx; therefore, a focal loss of this line should only be determined on a slice through the nasopharynx where the line is present but focally disrupted.

Despite the use of new criteria, the ability of MR imaging to discriminate NPC from BH remains hindered by the false-positive findings of NPC, which are caused by asymmetric BH. The presence of a focal area of BH2 (Fig 7) is of special concern because the deep mucosal white line and superficial band of low contrast enhancement show a striking similarity to some small-stage T1 NPCs (Fig 1A). Accordingly, we have continued to follow these subjects. We believe that the diffuse BH2 pattern might be a response to stimulants such as Epstein-Barr virus infection, which cause a greater abundance of lymphoid cells in the lymphoid stroma and epithelium and may cause the appearance of a band of
low contrast enhancement in the superficial layer of the nasopharyngeal mucosa, as well as in the columns of the hypertrophied adenoid. Differential rates of resolution might explain the asymmetry and why a minority of BH2 cases are focal by the time the MR imaging examination is performed. These focal sites of BH2 might act as a chronic reservoir of infection and become the MR imaging precursors of NPC. Furthermore, recruitment of vessels by the growing tumor might result in areas of greater enhancement like those observed in BH1 and explain the heterogeneous enhancement pattern of early T-stage NPC. Although currently this scenario is only conjecture, the BH2 pattern is interesting and we believe it deserves future study.

Size asymmetry was the best overall criterion for detecting stage T1 NPC; however, size asymmetry included not only subjects with a focal mass but also those with asymmetry in an otherwise diffuse lymphoid hyperplasia pattern. Our previous NPC detection study with long-term follow-up showed that asymmetry in a diffuse benign hyperplasia pattern without a focal mass had a low specificity for NPC; therefore, to keep nasopharyngeal biopsies of healthy subjects to a minimum, we did not use this criterion to indicate NPC in a recent population screening study.

Taking into consideration the new criteria in this study and recent research, we have updated our proposed MR imaging grading system (Table 4): The grades for normal (grade 1) and probably benign (grade 2) are unchanged; asymmetry in thickness of an otherwise benign hyperplasia pattern is now graded as indeterminate (grade 3); the new signs (asymmetry in signal, focal loss of the deep mucosal white line, or absence/distortion of adenoidal septa) together with a focal mass are graded as suspicious (grade 4); and extension outside the nasopharynx is graded as probably malignant (grade 5). Future studies are required to validate this proposed MR imaging grading system, but we hope it will provide a framework for further refinement and inclusion of new indicators of malignancy such as the presence of metastatic retropharyngeal nodes.

This study had several limitations. First, the signal intensity in an NPC may be influenced by the subjective choice of the representative ROI and possibly by postbiopsy effects that are not obvious on the images. Differences in magnet strengths and between manufacturers must also be considered as potential limitations when comparing signal ratios. Given these potential limitations, we only used the signal intensity data to illustrate the main differences among the 3 groups (NPC, BH1, and BH2) and not to identify diagnostic thresholds. In addition, a subgroup analysis of cases performed on the same scanner yielded results similar to those of the overall analysis, except for the difference in T2 signal intensity ratios between NPC and BH1, which showed the same trend but no longer reached statistical significance. Second, our results may not be applicable to MR imaging examinations that are not dedicated to the nasopharynx, such as examinations of the brain, which...
often include sections through the nasopharyngeal roof. Finally, we did not examine the role of diffusion-weighted imaging, which remains in the early stages of development for small nasopharyngeal lesions, or the combined performance of MR imaging and nasopharyngeal endoscopy and plasma levels of Epstein-Barr virus DNA.

CONCLUSIONS

In this era of plasma marker screening for NPC, MR imaging is assuming a greater role in the detection of endoscopically occult stage T1 disease confined to the nasopharyngeal mucosa or adenoid. We found that although stage T1 NPCs varied in size, most were centered laterally, thus causing asymmetries in size and signal intensity, and nearly all exhibited a mucosal white line that was frequently interrupted and absent or distorted adenoidal septa. Compared with BH, stage T1 NPC exhibited significantly greater volume, size, and signal intensity asymmetries; focal losses of the deep mucosal white line; and absence/distortion of the adenoidal septa. The contrast enhancement of stage T1 NPC was weaker than that of BH1 and stronger than that of BH2, though considerable overlap was observed. Although size asymmetry remained the best criterion for identifying stage T1 NPC, the ability of MR imaging to detect stage T1 NPC was reduced for symmetric tumors that were diffuse or centrally located and shared overlapping signal intensities with those of BH. For these cancers, the focal loss of the deep mucosal white line and absent/distorted adenoidal stripes were the best criteria for NPC detection, followed by signal asymmetry. Therefore, a range of MR imaging criteria is needed to detect stage T1 NPC, and we have included these in our proposed guidelines. Future studies are needed to validate and refine these criteria.
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Table 3: MR imaging criteria subgroup analysis of symmetric (ΔΔA < 33.7%) NPC and BH

| Test positive for NPC | Volume | Signal Asymmetry | Deep Mucosal White Line | Adenoid |
|-----------------------|--------|------------------|------------------------|--------|
| True-positive (No.)   | Volume ≤ 5.01 cm³ | Signal asymmetry present | Focal loss of the deep mucosal white line | Septa absent or distorted (excluding septa distorted by cysts) |
| False-positive (No.)  | n = 153 (NPC = 22, BH = 131) | n = 153 (NPC = 22, BH = 131) | n = 83 (NPC = 20, BH2 = 63) | n = 72 (NPC = 16, BH2 = 56) |
| False-negative (No.)  | 20 | 11 | 16 | 16 |
| True-negative (No.)   | 42 | 15 | 8 | 8 |
| False-negative (No.)  | 89 | 116 | 55 | 48 |
| Sensitivity (%)       | 90.1 | 50.0 | 80.0 | 100.0 |
| Specificity (%)       | 67.9 | 88.5 | 87.3 | 85.7 |
| Positive predictive value (%) | 32.3 | 42.3 | 66.7 | 66.7 |
| Negative predictive value (%) | 97.8 | 91.3 | 93.2 | 100.0 |
| Accuracy (%)          | 71.2 | 83.0 | 85.5 | 88.9 |

Table 4: Proposed MR imaging criteria for primary NPC detection

| Grade | Condition | Appearance |
|-------|-----------|------------|
| 1     | Normal    | Thin mucosa ≤ 3 mm; adenoid absent, vestigial or composed almost entirely of cysts |
| 2     | Probably benign | Diffusely thickened >3-mm symmetric mucosa either homogeneously enhancing (benign hyperplasia type 1) or with greater contrast enhancement in the deep layer (mucosal white line) compared with the superficial layer (benign hyperplasia type 2); adenoid with intact contrast-enhancing septa (benign hyperplasia type 2) |
| 3     | Indeterminate | Diffusely thickened >3-mm mucosa asymmetric in thickness; adenoid asymmetric in thickness |
| 4     | Suspicious | 1) Diffusely thickened >3-mm mucosa asymmetric in signal intensity; adenoid asymmetric in signal intensity |
|       |           | 2) Diffusely thickened mucosa >3 mm with focal loss of the mucosal white line; absence/distortion of the thin contrast-enhancing adenoidal septa (not attributed to cysts) |
|       |           | 3) Focal mass confined within the nasopharynx |
| 5     | Probably malignant | Superficial extension to the nasal cavity/oropharynx or deep extension to the sites bordering the nasopharynx |
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