Application of Stem Cells in Oral Disease Therapy: Progresses and Perspectives
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Stem cells are undifferentiated and pluripotent cells that can differentiate into specialized cells with a more specific function. Stem cell therapies become preferred methods for the treatment of multiple diseases. Oral and maxillofacial defect is one kind of the diseases that could be most possibly cured by stem cell therapies. Here we discussed oral diseases, oral adult stem cells, iPS cells, and the progresses/challenges/perspectives of application of stem cells for oral disease treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Stem cells are undifferentiated and pluripotent cells that can produce more new stem cells (self-renewal) and differentiate into specialized cells with a more specific function such as skin cells, bone cells, and blood cells (Weissman, 2000). The major sources of stem cells include embryonic stem cells, adult stem cells, perinatal stem cells, and induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS cells). Stem cell research and clinical application has been dramatically advanced since the great invention of the iPS cell technology pioneered by Shinya Yamanaka in 2006 (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). Stem cells have been reported for the therapy of multiple diseases such as leukemia, lymphoma, brain and spinal cord injury, heart failure, hearing loss, blindness and vision impairment, teeth missing, and other degenerative diseases. Oral and maxillofacial defect (Figure 1) is one kind of the diseases that could be most probably cured by stem cell therapy.

ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL DEFECTS

The oral and maxillofacial areas consist of many different types of tissues. It differs one from another and affects not only the functions of breathing, chewing, speech and smell, etc., but also esthetics and have much influence on the patients psychologically especially after accident injuries or tumor section (Mao and Prockop, 2012). The defects occurred in oral area can be divided into four main groups: dental hard tissue defect, pulpal disease, periodontal diseases, and maxillofacial defects (Table 1).

Dental Defects

Dental Hard Tissue Defects

Dental caries is one of the most common reasons to cause dental hard tissue defects. It is a multifactorial disease caused by the demineralization of tooth enamel surface by oral bacteria, thus
Maxillofacial Defects
Maxillofacial tissues are important to individuals. People might have much trouble living confidently when suffering maxillofacial defects, usually caused by congenital anomalies, infections, trauma, and tumor section. Effective reconstruction or regeneration of the damaged part would be beneficial to patients both physiologically and psychologically (Mao and Prockop, 2012; Mertens et al., 2016). Flap transplants combined with dental implants or obturators are currently the main therapy methods to rehabilitate the defect tissues, however, the functions and esthetics still remain to be improved (Mertens et al., 2016; Okay et al., 2016; Wijbenga et al., 2016).

STEM CELLS SUITABLE FOR TREATMENT OF ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL DEFECTS

Stem cells are defined as the cells that can proliferate to achieve self-renewal and differentiate into multiple cell lineages (Weissman, 2000). There are four main types of stem cells: (1) embryonic stem (ES) cells from embryos; (2) adult stem cells from adult tissues; (3) perinatal stem cells existing in amniotic fluid; and (4) induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) transformed from regular adult cells using genetic reprogramming. ES cells are the stem cells with the best pluripotency but ethical, legal, and medical considerations have hindered the development in clinical applications (Murray et al., 2007), especially when embryo has to be destructed to collect enough stem cells. Amniotic fluid stem cells need to be more studied to understand their potential. Therefore, we focus on the adult stem cells and iPSCs which could be used for the treatment of oral and maxillofacial defects.

Oral-Derived Adult Stem Cells

Adult stem cells, also called somatic stem cells or postnatal stem cells (Tatullo et al., 2015), are harvested directly from adults. They are multipotent cells, only differentiating into limited types of cells or mesenchymal origin (Caplan, 1991). Dental tissues including bone marrow, dental pulp, dental follicle, periodontal ligament, apical papilla, and gingiva are good sources of adult stem cells. These cells commonly express specific molecular markers and can be isolated (Table 2). Recently, oral cavity has been paid more and more attention to gaining somatic stem cells as it's more flexible for the surgeons to harvest the tissues without undue trauma to the patients (Mao and Prockop, 2012).

There are different types of somatic stem cells in oral and maxillofacial compartments or “stem cell niches” (Figure 2). They are stem cells of the apical papilla (SCAP), inflammatory periapical progenitor cells (iPAPCs), dental follicle stem cells (DFSCs), dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs), periodontal ligament stem cells (PDLSCs), bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs), tooth germ progenitor cells (TGPCs), salivary gland stem cells (SGSCs), stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED), oral epithelial stem cells (OESCs), gingival-derived mesenchymal stem cells (GMSCs), and periosteal derived stem cells (PSCs;
Most adult stem cells we could get in oral and maxillofacial areas are mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs). Pre-clinical studies of their utilization in treatment of several diseases have been extensively conducted in animal models (Table 2).

### Oral-Derived Induced Pluripotent Stem (iPS) Cells

iPS cells are the pluripotent stem cells induced through reprogramming somatic cells genetically by introducing defined factors (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006). IPS technology is considered to be one of the most significant discovery and may make great contribution to the treatment of diseases. It brings hope to solve the ethical problems encountered by the application of human ES cells and limited material source for both ES cells and adult stem cells. Subsequently, a lot of studies have reported that defined transcription factors can directly induce one cell type converting to another without going through a pluripotent state, further promoting iPS cell technology (Zhou et al., 2008; Szabo et al., 2010; Vierbuchen et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2011; Kim E. Y. et al., 2016). During 10 year studies of iPS cells, extensive studies are focused on the multiple sides of iPS cells including method optimization, clinical application exploration, and the mechanisms of iPS cell reprogramming (Karagiannis and Eto, 2016). Most notably, iPS cell technology have made significant progresses in regenerative medicine that is to replace damaged organ part by establishing a normal functional cells, tissues or the whole organ (Mason and Dunnill, 2008).

In the field of dentistry, for the regeneration of periodontal tissues, alveolar bone, and tooth are urgently needed. As iPS cell technology is considered to have great potentials, researchers are interested in the dental-tissue-derived iPS cells and the application of iPS cells in dental tissue regeneration. It was shown that three kinds of human dental stem cells: DPSCs, SHED, and SCAP, could be induced to generate iPS cells by introducing 3–4 factors with higher efficiency than human fibroblasts (Figure 3; Yan et al., 2010). Mesenchymal stromal cells derived from human third molars also can be reprogramed into iPS cells with high efficiency in a similar strategy (Oda et al., 2010). However, cells such as dental pulp...
TABLE 2 | Features and applications of oral-derived adult stem cells.

| Resources          | Markers                | Terminal cells                                                                 | Applications (References)                                                                 |
|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| BMSCs              | Bone marrow            | STRO-1⁺, CD73⁺, CD90⁺, CD14⁻, CD34⁻, CD45⁻                                      | Treatment of periodontitis in human; Bone Regeneration Using Bone Marrow Stromal Cells; enhancement of new bone formation in immunocompromised mouse and rabbit models of distraction osteogenesis (DO); treatment of spinal cord injury in rat (Krebsbach et al., 1997; Menabde et al., 2009; Baba and Yamada, 2016; Ma et al., 2016; Okuda et al., 2017) |
| DPSCs              | Dental pulp            | CD105⁺, CD13⁺, CD73⁺, CD90⁺, CD150⁺, STRO-1⁺                                    | Restore mandible bone defects in human; bone regeneration in a rat critical-size calvarial defect model (d’Aquino et al., 2009a; Giuliani et al., 2013; Potdar and Jethmalani, 2015; Chamieh et al., 2016) |
| DFSCs              | Dental follicle        | CD144⁺, CD105⁺, CD34⁻                                                        | Enhancement of bone regeneration on titanium implants surfaces in human; cardiomyocyte differentiation and regeneration in vitro (Kemoun et al., 2007; Lucaciu et al., 2015; Sung et al., 2016; Lima et al., 2017) |
| Gingival           | Gingival              | CD146⁺, CD105⁺, CD34⁻                                                        | Periodontal regeneration in miniature-pigs; tendon regeneration in mouse model (Zhang et al., 2009; Moshavernia et al., 2014; Fawzy El-Sayed et al., 2015; Fawzy El-Sayed and Dörfer, 2016) |
| PDLSCs             | Periodontal ligament   | STRO-1⁺, CD146⁺                                                                | Treatment of periodontal defects in human; tooth replacement; cementum regeneration in vitro; tendon regeneration in mouse model (Feng et al., 2010; Gault et al., 2010; Moshavernia et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2016; Cho et al., 2016) |
| SCAP               | Apical papilla        | STRO-1⁺, CD146⁺, CD34⁻, CD45⁻                                                   | Generation of cell-based three dimensional (3D) nerve tissue in vitro (Otsu et al., 2014; Kim B. C. et al., 2016) |
| SHEDs              | Human exfoliated deciduous tooth | STRO-1⁺, CD144⁺, CD146⁺                                                 | Generate a functional dental pulp when injected into full-length root canals in vitro; treatment of Alzheimer’s disease (Rosa et al., 2013; Otsu et al., 2014) |

FIGURE 2 | Schematic of potential sources of adult stem cells in the oral environment. Cell types include tooth germ progenitor cells (TGPCs); dental follicle stem cells (DFSCs); salivary gland stem cells (SGSCs); stem cells of the apical papilla (SCAP); dental pulp stem cells (DPSCs); inflamed periapical progenitor cells (iPAPCs); stem cells from human exfoliated deciduous teeth (SHED); periodontal ligament stem cells (PDLSCs); bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs); oral epithelial stem cells (OESCs); gingival-derived mesenchymal stem cells (GMSCs); and periosteal stem cells (PSCs).

and apical papilla are not convenient to isolate from patients for the donor tissues cannot regenerate. Human deciduous teeth might be discarded after exfoliation, so human deciduous tooth dental pulp cells (HDDPCs) are considered as better iPS cell source (Tamaoki et al., 2010). Using gingival fibroblasts as iPS cell source is another wise choice. Gingiva can be easily acquired from patients with no need of tooth or pulp extraction surgery and have relatively high reprogramming efficiency (Egusa et al., 2010; Wada et al., 2011; Arakaki et al., 2013).
APPLICATIONS OF SOMATIC STEM CELLS IN ORAL AND MAXILLOFACIAL REPAIR AND REGENERATION

Stem cell therapy has become a promising alternative in dentistry and maxillofacial rehabilitation since it could provide better physiological structure and functions (Rada et al., 2002; d’Aquino et al., 2009b; Caton et al., 2011; Tatullo et al., 2015). Regenerative dentistry aims to regenerate the damaged dental tissues and to regain the tooth morphology and functions (Figure 3 and Table 2). There are total 44 registered clinical trials correlated with oral stem cell or oral disease (Table 3). The stem cells used in the clinical trials include MSCs (N = 14, included five trials of BM-MSCs), PDLSCs (N = 4), OESCs (N = 12), DPSCs (N = 5), adipose derived stem cells (ADSCs; N = 6), SHED (N = 1), nasal stem cells (N = 1), and HSCs (N = 1). As outlined in Table 4, there are seven trials proposed to treat periodontal disease with autologous MSCs, ADSCs, PDLSCs, or allogeneic DPSCs. Three of them have reported results as shown in Table 4 and Supplementary Table 1. There are four clinical trials with reported results from total 14 trials for bone disease therapy with bone marrow stromal cells, nasal stem cells, allogeneic MSCs, and ADSCs. There are 11 trials for eye diseases with autologous OESC sheets but none has reported results yet. The other diseases with clinical trials include dental pulp diseases (N = 3, with autologous SHED or DPSCs), dental diseases correlated with tooth extraction (N = 2, treated with OESCs or DPSCs), graft vs. host diseases with oral complications (N = 2, treated by HSCs or MSCs), facial diseases (N = 2, with autologous ADSCs), and Xerostomia/Sjögren’s Syndrome (N = 2, with autologous ADSCs or allogeneic MSCs). Among them, three trials have reported results. The clinical trials with reported results will be discussed below.

Somatic Stem Cells with Scaffolds in Oral and Maxillofacial Repair and Regeneration

Scaffolds Used in Oral and Maxillofacial Repair and Regeneration

Accurately designed scaffolds may improve the oral and maxillofacial regeneration (Lee et al., 2010; Mitsiadis et al., 2012; Hayashi et al., 2015). Scaffolds in oral and maxillofacial regeneration are three-dimensional (3D) biomaterials mimicking...
| MSCs | MSCs or BM-MSCs* | PDLCs or BM-MSCs | OECs or HSC | OECs or nasal stem cells | DPSCs or SHED | ADSCs |
|------|------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------------------|----------------|-------|
| NCT02731586 (India, enrolling): Effect of Allogenic MSCs on Osseointegration of Dental Implants | NCT02755125** (Norway, completing): Reconstitution of Jaw Bone Using MSCs | NCT01355785 (China, completed): Periododontal Tissue Regeneration Using Autologous PDLCs (Chen et al., 2016) | NCT02415218 (Japan, recruiting): Transplantation of Autologous OEC sheet for Limbal Stem-cell Deficiency | UMIN00011290 (Japan, recruiting): Bone Regeneration Using Allogenic Secretomes | NCT02523651 (China, recruiting): Open Trial of Transplantation of Fistula on Periodontal Disease Patients Receiving Stem Cells Injection Therapy | UMIN00007698 (Japan, enrolling): An Exploratory Open Trial of Transplantation of ADSCs for Periodontal Regeneration |
| NCT01389611 (Taiwan, recruiting):Bone Regeneration With MSCs | UMIN00011286** (Japan, completed): Bone Regeneration Using the Condition Media from Stem Cells | UMIN00005027*** (Japan, recruiting): Transplantation of Autologous OEC Sheet for Treating Limbal Stem-cell Deficiency Disease | UMIN000012819 (Japan, recruiting): Cultivated Autologous OEC Sheet Transplantation | UMIN000016515 (Japan, recruiting): A Clinical Bone Regeneration Study with Dental Pulp Stem Cells | NCT02513238 (Denmark, enrolling): ADSCs for Radiation Induced Xerostomia (MESRIX) |
| UMIN00006727** (Japan, published):Bone Augmentation with Tissue Engineered Bone (Ueda et al., 2005) | NCT01082822** (China, recruiting): Buccal Fat Pad Derived Stem Cells with Cortical Tenting in Posterior Mandible Reconstruction | NCT02449005** (China, active, not recruiting): Transplantation of Autologous BMSCs for the Regeneration of Infraorbital Periodontal Defects | NCT00172744 (Taiwan, completed): Effect of Cyclic Tensional Force on Osteogenic Differentiation of Human PDLCs | NCT00491959 (Taiwan, terminated): The Application of OEC Sheets Cultivated on Amino Membrane in Patients Suffering From Corneal Stem Cell Insufficiency or Symbiophoritis | NCT01390961 (South Korea, completed): The Effect of Human ADSCs in Romberg’s Disease (Koh et al., 2012) |
| NCT01932164** (Brazil, active, not recruiting): Use of MSCs for Alveolar Bone Tissue Engineering for Cleft Lip and Palate Patients | NCT00013911** (US, completed): Bone Regeneration Using Bone Marrow Stromal Cells (Krebsbach et al., 1997) | NCT01489501** (France, withdrawn): Multicenter Study of CAOMECSTransplantation to Patients With Total Limbal Stem Cell Deficiency | NCT02149732 (Korea, expanded access): Clinical Trial on the Effect of Autologous OEC Sheet Transplantation | NCT00009441 (Japan, active, no longer recruiting): Pulp regeneration with DPSCs | NCT02443579** (Iran, active, not recruiting): Effect of ADSCs in Maxillary Sinus Augmentation |
| NCT02055625: Sweden, recruiting: Treatment of Oral Mucosa in Patients With Graft vs. Host Disease Following Injection of MSCs—Human Pilot Study | NCT00953485 (China, recruiting): Allogeneic MSCs Transplantation for Primary Sjogren’s Syndrome (pSS; Xu et al., 2012) | NCT01239661** (Spain, active, not recruiting): Treatment Of Maxillary Bone Cysts With Autologous BMSCs (MSV-H) | NCT02023491 (US, completed): Potential of Transplanted Stem Cells to Mature Into Salivary Gland and Cheek Cells | NCT02842515 (France, recruiting): Feasibility of the Preparation of an Advanced Therapy Medicinal Product for Dental Pulp Regeneration (Pulp'R) | NCT02853942 (China, not recruiting): Autologous ADSCs Transplantation in the Treatment of Patients With Hemifacial Spasm |

BM-MSCs: bone marrow MSCs. *Stem cells combined with scaffolds. **https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266827677. The trials were identified through manually checking the cases obtained by searching "stem cell" combined with "oral," "dental," "pulp," "periodontal," "bone," or "oste" on the website of clinicaltrials.gov and umin.ac.jp.
TABLE 4: The diseases treated by stem cells in clinical trials correlated with oral disease and oral stem cells.

| Target diseases | Stem cells | Identifier (region, status) | Outcomes |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|----------|
| Bone diseases (craniofacial abnormality, mandibular fractures, bone atrophy, deft palate, maxillary cyst and edentulous alveolar bone loss) | bone marrow stromal cells, nasal stem cells, allogeneic MSCs, ADSCs | NCT000001391* (US, completed); NCT02755922 (US, completed); NCT02751125* (Norway, recruiting); NCT02900014 (France, recruiting); NCT01389661* (Spain, ongoing); NCT02731566 (India, enrolling); NCT01932164* (Brazil, active); UMIN000016515 (Japan, recruiting); UMIN000011286 (Japan, completed); UMIN000011290 (Japan, recruiting); UMIN000006720** (Japan, published); NCT02745379* (Iran, recruiting); NCT02209311* (Russia, enrolling); | 4/14 of trials provided results. Prolonged bone formation by transplanted bone marrow stromal cells was observed in mouse models and consistent bone formation by human bone marrow fibroblasts was achieved (NCT00001391) within vehicles containing hydroxyapatite/tricalcium phosphate ceramics (Krebsbach et al., 1997). MSCs from deciduous dental pulp associated with a collagen and hydroxyapatite biomaterial were used to reconstruct the alveolar bone defect in deft lip and palate patients, and progressive alveolar bone union in all patients, final completion of the alveolar defect with an 89.5% mean bone height was detected 6 months postoperatively (NCT01932164). Application of stem cell derived growth factors for bone regeneration showed no side effects (UMIN000011286). The use of tissue engineered bone made of scaffolds and autogenous MSCs showed a mean increase in mineralized tissue height, indicating stable and predictable implant success (UMIN000006720; Ueda et al., 2009). |
| Dental pulp diseases | autologous SHED, DPSCs | NCT01814436 (China, recruiting); NCT02842515* (France, completed); UMIN000009441 (Japan, no longer recruiting) | 0/3 of trials provided results. |
| Eye diseases (Kerato conjunctival disease, corneal epithelial stem cell deficiency, limbal stem cell deficiency) | autologous OESC sheet | UMIN000012819 (Japan, recruiting); UMIN000012264, UMIN000012260, UMIN000006745, UMIN000005400 (Japan, No longer recruiting); NCT02415218 (Japan, recruiting); NCT03015779 (China, enrolling); NCT02739113 (Taiwan, ongoing); NCT00491959 (Taiwan, terminated); NCT01489501 (France, withdrawn); NCT02149732 (South Korea, expanded access) | 0/11 of trials provided results. |
| Facial diseases (Injury of facial nerve, progressive hemifacial atrophy, romberg’s disease) | autologous ADSCs | NCT02853942 (China, not recruiting yet); NCT01309061 (South Korea, completed) | 1/2 of trials provided results. Intramuscular autologous transplantation of ADSCs enhance the survival of fat grafted into the face in patient with progressive hemifacial atrophy (NCT01309061; Koh et al., 2012). |
| GVHD with oral complications | HSCs; MSCs | NCT00023491 (US, completed); NCT02055625 (Sweden, recruiting) | 0/1 of trials provided results. |
| Healthy | autologous PDLSCs | NCT00172744 (Taiwan, ongoing) | 3/7 of trials provided results. Implantation of autologous MSCs with a 3D woven-fabric composite scaffold and platelet-rich plasma showed no clinical safety problems but decreasing trend of mobility and significantly improved changes in clinical attachment level, pocket depth, and linear bone growth (NCT02021130; Baba and Yamada, 2016). Autologous transplantation of PDLSCs in periodontitis patients no clinical safety problems but a significant increase in the alveolar bone height (decrease in the bone-defect depth) although no differences were detected between the Cell group and the Control group (NCT01357785; Chen et al., 2016). Autologous transplantation of PDLSCs showed no adverse reaction, reduction of pocket probing depth and clinical attachment gain, while greater linear bone gain at PDL cell sheets/β-TCP treated sites in the finished four cases (UMIN000005027) |
| Periodontal diseases | autologous MSCs, ADSCs, PDLSCs; allogeneic DPSCs | NCT02449005** (Greece, active); NCT00221130** (Japan, completed); NCT01357785 (China, completed); NCT01082822* (China, completed); NCT02523651 (China, recruiting); UMIN000007696 (Japan, enrolling); UMIN000005027 (Japan, completed) | 3/7 of trials provided results. Implantation of autologous MSCs with a 3D woven-fabric composite scaffold and platelet-rich plasma showed no clinical safety problems but decreasing trend of mobility and significantly improved changes in clinical attachment level, pocket depth, and linear bone growth (NCT02021130; Baba and Yamada, 2016). Autologous transplantation of PDLSCs in periodontitis patients no clinical safety problems but a significant increase in the alveolar bone height (decrease in the bone-defect depth) although no differences were detected between the Cell group and the Control group (NCT01357785; Chen et al., 2016). Autologous transplantation of PDLSCs showed no adverse reaction, reduction of pocket probing depth and clinical attachment gain, while greater linear bone gain at PDL cell sheets/β-TCP treated sites in the finished four cases (UMIN000005027) |
| Teeth extraction | OESCs, DPSCs | NCT00595695* (US, completed); UMIN000002050 (Japan, recruiting) | 0/2 of trials provided results. |
| Xerostomia, Sjögren’s Syndrome | autologous ADSCs; allogeneic MSCs; | NCT02513238 (Denmark, enrolling); NCT00953485 (China, recruiting) | 1/2 of trials provided results. Intravenously infused allogeneic MSCs suppressed autoimmune and restored salivary gland secretary function in both mouse models and Sjögren’s Syndrome patients (NCT00953485; Xu et al., 2012). |

*From clinical trials.gov and umin.ac.jp. **Stem cells combined with scaffolds.
extracellular matrix facilitating cell-scaffold interactions, cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation. Thus, the scaffolds are mainly made of degradable and low toxic materials (Horst et al., 2012). There are four main types of scaffolds including natural polymers, synthetic polymers, calcium phosphate-based ceramic scaffolds, and composite scaffolds. More details of chemical structure, features and applications of scaffolds could be found in the literature (Mele et al., 2016). Scaffold materials are often applied together with stem cells and bioactive factors such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs; Luu et al., 2007), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF; Schipani et al., 2009), platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF; Fiedler et al., 2004; Phipps et al., 2012), and SDF-1 (Kitaori et al., 2009). More details about growth factors used for craniofacial and bone regeneration could be found in the recent review (Mele et al., 2016).

The stem cells responded differently to various types of scaffolds (Motamedian et al., 2016). Eluted zinc released from zinc-modified titanium which is frequently applied in dental and maxillofacial implantation could stimulate osteoblast differentiation of DPSCs (Yusa et al., 2016a,b). Mangano et al. found that laser sintered titanium surface enhanced DPSCs to quickly differentiate into osteoblasts and endotheliocytes and then produce bone tissues along the implant surfaces. Eventually, a complete osteointegration was obtained (Mangano et al., 2010). After hooking into the biocoral scaffolds, DPSCs moved into the cavities and differentiated into osteoblasts, forming an engineered biocomplex (Mangano et al., 2011). Giuliani et al. used the Micro-CT as an effective tool to observe the proliferation rate of different cells on the PLGA scaffolds (Giuliani et al., 2014). The porous PLGA microscaffolds have been proved to enhance the adhesion of DPSCs, meanwhile maintaining the viability, stemness, and plasticity of the cultured dental pulp mesenchymal stem cells (Bhuptani and Patravale, 2016). And the scaffold morphology was also confirmed to influence the long-term kinetics of bone regeneration (Giuliani et al., 2016).

### Somatic Stem Cells with Scaffolds in Dental Repair and Regeneration

Stem cells and scaffolds could be transferred to dental canal systems to help regenerate vital pulp and continue root formation (Chrepa et al., 2015). Yadlapati et al. showed that VEGF-loaded fiber was biocompatible and might be a promising scaffold for additional optimization and use in endodontic regenerative procedures (Yadlapati et al., 2017). Theocharidou et al. proved low-level laser irradiation treatment to be beneficial for odontogenic differentiation and biomineralization of DPSCs inside the bioceramic scaffolds, making this therapeutic modality promising for targeted dentin engineering (Theocharidou et al., 2016). DPSCs and treated dentine matrix scaffolds were found to associate with significantly more bone formation when used to repair uninfected furcation perforations in the premolar teeth of dogs (Bakhtiar et al., 2016).

There are 12 cases using scaffolds for stem cell therapy among total 44 clinical trials correlated with oral disease and oral stem cells (Tables 3, 4). Eight of them are for the treatment of bone diseases including craniofacial abnormality, mandibular fractures, bone atrophy, cleft palate, maxillary cyst, and edentulous alveolar bone loss (five ongoing trials plus three trials with reported results) and three for periodontal diseases (NCT02449005, NCT00221130, NCT01082822), plus one for in vitro regeneration of dental pulp-like tissue with various scaffold and oral mucosa obtained during surgical tooth extractions (NCT00595595). Bio-Oss scaffolds were transplanted together with PDLS cell sheets for the chronic periodontitis therapy in a completed clinical trial (NCT01082822). Commerciaally available collagen scaffolds (collagen fleece) are used to hold autologous BM-MSCs enriched with autologous fibrin glue in clean room facilities for regeneration of periodontal tissues in periodontal infrabony defects in an ongoing clinical trial (NCT02449005). For adult periodontitis patients, the surgical implantation of autologous MSCs with a 3D woven-fabric composite scaffold and platelet-rich plasma showed no clinical safety problems but decreasing trend of mobility and significantly improved changes in clinical attachment level, pocket depth, and linear bone growth (NCT00221130; Baba and Yamada, 2016).

### Somatic Stem Cells with Scaffolds in Maxillofacial Repair and Regeneration

Stem cells combined with scaffold could regenerate bones effectively (Kitamura et al., 2011; Windisch et al., 2012). And plastic compression of collagen scaffolds seeded with DPSCs was shown to enhance the osteogenic differentiation of DPSCs as it increased the collagen fibrillary density in a rat critical-size calvarial defect model (Chamieh et al., 2016). In a clinical trial (NCT00001391) to examine the potential of cultured human bone marrow stromal cells which will ultimately be used to graft into craniofacial osseous defects, prolonged bone formation by transplanted bone marrow stromal cells was observed in mouse models and consistent bone formation by human marrow stromal fibroblasts was achieved within vehicles containing hydroxyapatite/tricalcium phosphate ceramics (HA/TCP) in the form of blocks, powder, and HA/TCP powder-type I bovine fibrillar collagen strips (Krebsbach et al., 1997). Another clinical trial (UMIN000006720) evaluated the use of tissue engineered bone made of scaffolds and autogenous MSCs for maxillary sinus floor augmentation or onlay plasty with simultaneous implant placement in six patients with 3–5 mm alveolar crestal bone height. Results showed a mean increase in mineralized tissue height of 7.3 ± 4.6 mm postsurgically, indicating that injectable tissue-engineered bone provided stable and predictable implant success (Ueda et al., 2005). A clinical study demonstrated that a DPSC/collagen sponge biocomplex could completely restore human mandible bone defects and indicated that this cell population could be used for the repair and/or regeneration of tissues and organs (d’Aquino et al., 2009a). It is reported that 3 years after transplants in human mandibles, histological, and in-line holo-tomography revealed that stem cells regenerated a compact rather than a spongy bone. It created steadier mandibles, which might well-increase implant stability and resistance to mechanical, physical, chemical, and pharmacological agents (Giuliani et al., 2013). MSCs from deciduous dental pulp associated with a collagen and hydroxyapatite biomaterial (Geistlich Bio-Oss®) were used to reconstruct the alveolar bone defect in cleft lip and palate patients, and the results showed progressive alveolar bone union in all patients, final completion...
of the alveolar defect with an 89.5% mean bone height 6 months postoperatively (NCT01932164).

The other five clinical trials using scaffolds for stem cells are ongoing without reported results. An ongoing clinical trial (NCT01389661) uses a bioengineered product composed of mesenchymal stem cells and a patented cross-linked matrix of autologous plasma for bone maxillary cysts refilling. Platelet rich fibrin (PRF) and bone allograft (FDBA; SureOss, Hansbiomed, Korea) are used to load the buccal fat pad derived stem cells for the treatment of alveolar bone loss in maxillary sinus augmentation (NCT02745379) and in posterior mandible augmentation (NCT02745366). Tissue engineered construction based on a synthetic tricalcium phosphate and autologous MSCs obtained from oral mucosa is used for maxilla alveolar process reconstruction in patients with verified diagnosis partially edentulous maxilla and alveolar bone atrophy (NCT02209311). Similarly, MSCs obtained from bone marrow are mixed with bicalcium phosphate to augment the alveolar ridge (NCT02751125).

Somatic Stem Cells without scaffolds in Oral and Maxillofacial Regeneration

Stem cells are applied without scaffolds in most clinical trials (32/44 of total trials; Tables 3, 4). There are 5 clinical trials with reported results, 6 clinical trials completed without reported results, and 21 trials ongoing without results. Among the clinical trials with results, the MSCs, PDLSCs, and ADSCs are used.

Oral MSCs have been used to promote the dental pulp and periodontal tissue regeneration. In an ongoing pilot study to determine whether MSC injections directly into mucosal lesions in patients with oral cGVHD are able to alleviate the symptoms and facilitate the reparative process, it states that 55% aGVHD patients who failed front-line steroid treatment responded to MSC infusion in the finished phase II clinical trial (NCT02055625). Intravenously infused allogeneic MSCs suppressed autoimmunity by directing T cells toward Treg and Th2, while suppressing Th17 and Th1 responses, and restored salivary gland secretory function in both mouse models and Sjögren’s Syndrome patients who have been resistant to multiple standard treatments (NCT00953485). The study suggests that allogeneic MSC treatment may provide an effective therapy for Sjögren’s Syndrome patients (Xu et al., 2012). Although results are not available yet, the other three trials with MSCs focused on osseointegration (NCT02731586), and regeneration of bones (NCT02755922, UMIN000011286).

Human PDLSCs, as well as DPSCs, SHEDs, and bone marrow stem cells have been studied to regenerate periodontal tissues (Seo et al., 2004; Ding et al., 2010; Khorsand et al., 2013; Du et al., 2014; Fu et al., 2014). Clinical trial (NCT01357785) identified no clinical safety problems that could be attributed to the investigational PDLSCs and found a significant increase in the alveolar bone height (decrease in the bone-defect depth) in each group but no statistically significant differences between the PDLSC group and the control group (Chen et al., 2016). Another clinical trial showed reduction of pocket probing depth and clinical attachment gain, while greater linear bone gain at PDLSC sheets/β-TCP treated sites with no adverse reaction in the finished four cases of autologous transplantation of PDLSC sheets to the denuded root surface during the modified Widman flap procedure for the treatment of periodontitis (UMIN000005027, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/266802767). Allogeneic human DPSCs are injected in local infected periodontal tissue for the treatment of chronic periodontal disease (NCT02526351) and a clinical trial UMIN000002050 aims to establish human dental pulp stem cell bank for dental diseases that extraction of tooth is necessary for treatment. A clinical trial is ongoing to clarify the efficiency of autologous SHED to regenerate pulp and periodontal tissue in the patients with immature permanent teeth and pulp necrosis (NCT01814436).

ADSCs represent an attractive and ethical cell source for stem cell therapy and have been used in six clinical trials (Table 3). Intramuscular autologous transplantation of ADSCs enhance the survival of fat grafted into the face in patient with progressive hemifacial atrophy (NCT01309061), suggesting ADSC injection may be used to treat Parry-Romberg disease without the need for microvascular free flap transfer (Koh et al., 2012). The three ongoing clinical trials of ADSCs without scaffolds aim to treat periodontitis, radiation induced xerostomia, and cranial nerve dysfunction.

APPLICATION OF ORAL DERIVED STEM CELLS IN NON-ORAL DISEASES

Oral derived multipotent mesenchymal stem cells are able to differentiate into odontoblast, cementoblast, osteoblast, chondrocyte, adipocyte, melanocyte, endothelial cell hepatocyte, and even myoblast and neural cell. Hence, besides the area of oral diseases, oral derived stem cells have the potential to be applied in brain, eye, heart, liver, bone, skin, and muscle diseases as well (Liu et al., 2015). In terms of animal models, researcher from Japan (Mita et al., 2015) reported that intranasal administration of SHED in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease (AD) could result in substantially improved cognitive function. The conditioned medium of SHED containing the factors involved in multiple neuro-regenerative mechanisms might account for the results. Iran scientists also showed that improvement of behavioral symptoms was efficiently observed in the mouse model of local sciatic demyelination damage by lysolecithin after transplantation of the DPSCs, to which a tetracycline (Tet)-inducible system expressing OLIG2 gene had been transfected (Askari et al., 2015). And Maraldi et al. confirmed strong potential of bioengineered constructs of stem cell (including dental pulp derived stem cell)-collagen scaffold for correcting large cranial defects in a rat model and highlighting the role of stem cells in neovascularization during skeletal defect reconstruction (Maraldi et al., 2013). Factors secreted from dental pulp stem cells also showed multifaceted benefits for treating experimental rheumatoid arthritis in animal models (Ishikawa et al., 2016). As it was significantly effective both in animal models. Evidence has been proved to be sufficient to step...
forward from preclinical animal models to human studies in regenerative medicine using oral-derived stem cells. Clinicians and researchers from Japan, Mainland China, Taiwan, South Korea, and France have all carried out clinical trials in eye diseases (Table 4). Oral mucosal epithelial cell sheet was used as the source of stem cells, and they were applied to treat keratoconjunctival disease, corneal epithelial stem cell deficiency, and limbal stem cell deficiency. As most of the trials are under the condition of recruiting, no results are posted online.

APPLICATION OF IPS CELLS IN DENTISTRY

iPS Cell Generation from Fibroblasts with Four Defined Factors

For the application of iPS cells in disease treatment, the first step is to get iPS cells with defined factors. Four factors Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, and Lin28 are sufficient to induce pluripotent stem cells from neonate fibroblasts (Yu et al., 2007). Masato and colleagues found that some family proteins of the four factors Oct3/4, Sox2, Klf4, and c-Myc are capable to reprogram mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) to iPS cells, and they also found that iPS cells can be generated without Myc although the generation of iPS cells takes more time than with Myc (Nakagawa et al., 2008). More than that, it has been shown that iPS cells can be induced from primary human fibroblasts with Oct4 and Sox2 or be acquired from mouse neural stem cells by introducing only one factor, Oct3/4 (Huangfu et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2009). Nevertheless, the four factors play important roles in the reprogramming, but unique factors may be needed for different cell type.

Generation of Dental Stem Cells with iPS Cell Technology

Four factors Oct4, Sox2, Nanog, and Lin28 or Oct3/4, Sox2, Klf4, and c-Myc carried by viral vectors can reprogram three different dental stem cells: SHED, SCAP, and DPSCs (Yan et al., 2010). It has also been demonstrated that three factors without c-Myc can reprogram adult wild-type mouse gingival fibroblasts (GFs), but generation of iPS cells from primary human gingival fibroblasts need four factors (Egusa et al., 2010; Wada et al., 2011). In dentistry, researchers prefer to adopt the classical Yamanaka iPS cell method. But dental tissues are very different from fibroblasts, and there may be new transcription factors involved in the induction. It was shown that miRNA-720 expressed in DPSCs participates in the regulation of the pluripotency factor Nanog and was involved in reprogramming (Hara et al., 2013; Eguchi and Kuboki, 2016). MSC-like populations are rich in dental tissues, which suggest that dental tissues have great potential to be directly reprogrammed into other tissues using defined transcription factors cultured in specific condition. More studies are needed to optimize iPS cell method for dental tissue reprogramming.

Dental Tissue Regeneration with iPS Cells

Many endeavors have been made with iPS cells to produce new cementum, alveolar bone, ameloblast, and so on (Figure 3; Duan et al., 2011; Yoshida et al., 2015). More and more researchers are interested in the possibility of tooth regeneration from iPS cells. The first trial to generate tooth-like structure from iPS derived neural crest like cells was failed (Otsu et al., 2012). Shortly, tooth-like structures, with bone-like and dental pulp-like areas, were generated from mouse iPS cells by mixing iPS cells with both embryonic dental epithelial and mesenchymal cells (Wen et al., 2012). Furthermore, it was shown that tooth-like structures, of which chemical composition are similar with human teeth, can be generated from patient’s own urine induced pluripotent stem cells (Cai et al., 2013). But generation of whole teeth from iPS cells is still a challenge (Wen et al., 2012). The formation of a tooth germ need the interaction between ectoderm-derived epithelial cells and neural-crest-derived mesenchymal cells, so iPS cells are supposed to differentiate into two lineages, and one of them must be odontogenic (Ning et al., 2010). However, it was showed that ES cell or iPS cell derived dental epithelial cells did not have odontogenic potential (Arakaki et al., 2012). Although, mouse iPS cells have potential to differentiate into odontogenic mesenchymal cells through neural crest-like cells, but no signal of tooth generation was shown (Otsu et al., 2012). More work should be done to regenerate a whole tooth.

Challenges for the Application of iPS Cells in Dentistry

Using retroviruses to generate iPS cells is simple and reproducible, and is the first choice in vitro studies. Integrating viruses such as retroviruses or lentiviruses to deliver transcription factors may cause insertional mutagenesis and induce tumorigenesis. New techniques including transduction of plasmids, proteins, and episomal expression vectors which will disappear during culture are emerging (Okita et al., 2008; Eggenschwiler and Cantz, 2009; Yu et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2009). However, virus-free methods are far less effective. In dentistry, retroviruses and plasmids are favored methods. For clinical application, integration-free methods are essential and more effective integration-free methods are needed.

It was shown that 30% for eight different human iPS cell lines differentiated into tooth-like structures successfully, although it was less efficient compared with 100% differentiation efficiency of mouse embryonic dental epithelium and dental mesenchyme (Cai et al., 2013). It has been questioned always that the iPS cells showed poorer differentiation capacity than ESCs. Neural differentiation of human iPS cells showed lower efficiency than ESCs (Hu et al., 2010) while other groups demonstrated that the ability of human iPS cells to differentiate into motor neurons has no difference with ESCs (Boulting et al., 2011). Although there must be inherent differences among ESCs, MSCs, and iPS cells, culture condition including types of feeder cells, medium, etc. are equally important to successful differentiation. All the conditions should be taken into account to optimize method. Ten years of iPS cell studies are full of surprises and challenges. Tooth regeneration was considered to be an important test ground for application of iPS cells and will meet new surprises and challenges.
PERSPECTIVES

There are more than 6,000 clinical trials correlated with stem cells but only total 44 registered clinical trials correlated with oral disease and oral stem cells worldwide (Figure 4, Tables 3, 4, more details could be found in Supplementary Table 1). Most of them are not completed and thus do not provide results. Homogeneity of stem cells, their delivery methods, the quality of regenerated tissues and whether it could be integrated with the host are still the problems to be solved (Mitsiadis et al., 2015). There are two main obstacles in stem cell therapy especially in tissue repair and regeneration. One is how to get enough desirable stem cells, the other is how to direct the differentiation of stem cells into functional cells and tissues. To get enough desirable adult stem cells, accurate cell surface markers should be screened or identified and then they could be used for isolation and enrichment of the stem cells. The next important step is to set up an optimized condition that the isolated stem cells could proliferate and expand to required amount but not differentiate into any other cells. For iPS cells, it is a key step to set up conditions to induce desirable pluripotent cells. To achieve these goals, stem cell fate should be under control.

Epigenetic Modification or Interference Is the Main Strategy to Control Stem Cell Fate

Epigenetic modifications including modification of histone, DNA methylation, and regulation of micro RNAs are established to affect the maintenance and differentiation of stem cells. DNA methylation and histone modifications are two primary mechanisms to regulate transcriptions of genes in adult stem cells. Generally, DNA methylation of CpG is associated with transcriptional repression, while DNA demethylation activate gene transcription. The modifications of post-translational histone including methylation and acetylation are major epigenetic regulation in dental pulp stem cells. The functions of miRNAs in epigenetic regulation of stem cells are extensively studied recently. Regulation of miRNA mainly rely on translational inhibition by degradation of targeted mRNA. Several miRNAs such as miRNA-204/211, miR-138, miR-125b, and miR-21 are important regulators involved in the osteogenic or adipogenic differentiation of bone marrow stem cells (BMSCs; Eskildsen et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2013; Hu et al., 2014; Wu et al., 2015). miR-720 was observed to promote odontogenic differentiation in dental pulp stem cells (Hara et al., 2013). Alteration of epigenetic modifications by inhibitors can affect the reprogramming of somatic cells or stem cells fate. It has been demonstrated that inhibition of DNA methyltransferase can increase the reprogramming efficiency of somatic cells dramatically (He et al., 2010). The balance between acetylation and deacetylation can be altered by histone deacetylases inhibitors (HDACi). HDACi including trichostatin A (TSA) and valproic acid VPA can promote proliferation and odontoblast differentiation of hDPSCs (Jin et al., 2013; Paino et al., 2014; Duncan et al., 2016).

Growing evidence shows that epigenetic modifications play a crucial role in the induction of iPS cell. As well as four defined factors, reprogramming of histone modifications are required for iPS cell induction. The situation of histone modification in iPS cells and ESCs in pluripotency associated genes are in the same, but in contrast to the differentiated cells. However, the epigenetic reprogramming in iPS cells is not complete since numerous differences in DNA methylation between human ESCs and iPS cells were observed (Lister et al., 2011). These differences are generated by reprogramming as well as epigenetic memories from origin tissues, and will affect the differentiation and application of iPS cells (Kim et al., 2010). For few knowledge

FIGURE 4 | Clinical trials correlated with oral stem cell and oral diseases in different regions. The numbers represent the number of clinical trials (oral-related/total stem cell). The “oral-related” cases were generated as same as Table 3. The numbers of “total stem cell” are the summary of the cases obtained by searching “stem cell” on clinicaltrials.gov and umin.ac.jp. Regional division was according to that on clinicaltrials.gov.
about the epigenetic differences between iPS cells and ESCs, complete reprogramming can’t be achieved now. Further efforts should be drawn to this field.

The Combination of 3D Printing, Nanotechnology, and Biomedicine Is the Main State of the Art Technology to Precisely Direct Stem Cell Differentiation

In vivo

Because of the progresses made recently, it is expected to be no problem to get enough desirable stem cells in near future. The most challenging issue is how to precisely control the differentiation of adult stem cells and iPS cells in vitro and in vivo. To address the issues, the researches could focus on three directions. One is uncovering the differentiation pathways of stem cells to a specific cell type. This step is most important and difficult. The second is to precisely drive the differentiation of stem cells in vitro with the target molecules, cytokines, or transcription factors identified in the clarified differentiation pathways. This step can also check or update the previous findings. The last one is controlling stem cells to develop accurately in vivo. This step, unlike the in vitro differentiation, is very complicated due to the body responses and undefined micro-environment. One ignored issue in wound repair and organ regeneration with stem cells is that the stem cells may migrate from the target area to elsewhere, thus reducing the therapy efficiency. Moreover, the cytokines or differentiating factors used to control stem cell fate will distribute everywhere in the body, which exaggerate the difficulty of precisely direct stem cell differentiation. The 3D print technology and nanotechnology may help solve these issues.

Nanotechnology is defined as the manipulation of matter with at least one dimension sized from 1 to 100 nanometers. Nanomaterials have unique optical, electronic, or mechanical properties and thus show special bioeffects. In a recent study with DPSCs, the ROS-scavenging events of cerium nanomaterials (CeNMs) were related to the aspect ratio-dependent cellular internalization, suggesting the promising use of CeNMs to protect stem cells from the ROS-insult environments and ultimately improve the stem cell potential for tissue engineering and regenerative medicine (Mahapatra et al., 2017). In another study, it is reported that enhanced rapid bone regeneration and complete mature bone-structure formation was obtained when using the physiological electric potential and the fabricated nanocomposite membrane mimicking the endogenous electric potential (Zhang et al., 2016). It provides another strategy to compose novel scaffolds for dental stem cells. And Heo et al. found that gold nanoparticles (GNPs) coated titanium could enhance the osteogenic differentiation in human adipose-derived stem cells (Heo et al., 2016). What’s more, nano-thin polymeric shells constructed and modified by nanostructure involvement were used to immobilize the DPSCs with a layer-by-layer technique to ensure the layers stability and integrity as well as separation from bacterial cells (Grzeczkowicz et al., 2015).

3D bioprinting, the combination of 3D printing technology, biology, and materials science, is the process of direct printing of biological materials (cells, proteins, etc.) into a confined space, where biological function and viability of biomaterials are preserved within the printed construct (Murphy and Atala, 2015).
TABLE 5 | Pros and cons of stem cells with different origins in clinical application.

| Pros | Autologous stem cells | Allogeneic stem cells | iPS cells | References |
|------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|
| Hypoimmunogenic or non-immunogenic; some of them display immunomodulatory; derived from accessible tissues such as human exfoliated deciduous teeth | Derived from healthy tissues; with numerous clinical studies; an established treatment strategy for many malignant hematological diseases | Pluripotency; non-immunogenic; unlimited source; no ethical issues; | Consentius et al., 2015; Juric et al., 2016 |
| Limited differentiation potential and finite life span; limited sources; difficult to treat the disease resulted from gene mutations | Ethical problems; limited sources (lack of suitable donor organs and tissues); immune rejection | Differentiation potential limited by epigenetic memory; tumorigenesis | Consentius et al., 2015; Girovanu et al., 2015 |

2014). One of the three major concepts in 3D bioprinting is the type of bio-ink, which is made up of poly (ethylene glycol; Arcaute et al., 2006; Chan et al., 2010), fibrinogen (Cui and Boland, 2009; Lee et al., 2009) and/or alginate (Fedorovich et al., 2012; Hockaday et al., 2012) and helped to maintain both the biological function and viability of biomaterials and the dimensions of printed objects (Shafiee and Atala, 2016). The combination of nanotechnology and 3D printing technology, allows the creation of sophisticated materials with exquisite fine structural detail and provides fantastic therapy strategies. Figure 5 outlines the strategies used potentially in oral disease treatment. For example, bone stem cells can be administrated in a 3D printed biocompatible and biodegradable scaffold which is made of nanomaterials and matches the bone wound. Stem cells, cytokines and cytokine expressing plasmids can be either used as bioink to directly print an organ-like structure with a bioprinter or loaded on magnetic nanoparticles (Sun et al., 2011) and then precisely immobilized in the target area with magnetic field. The design of novel nanostructured materials, such as biomimetic matrices and scaffolds for controlling cell fate and differentiation, and nanoparticles for diagnostics, imaging and targeted treatment, is a novel direction for stem cell therapy. The administration to patients of dynamic biological agents comprising stem cells, bioactive scaffolds and/or nanoparticles will certainly increase the regenerative impact of dental pathological tissues (Mitsiadis et al., 2012).

Biosafety and the Development of Technology Determine which Kind of Stem Cells to Be Used for Oral Disease Treatment

In summary, there are endogenous/autologous and exogenous/allogeneic adult stem cells and iPS cells for oral disease treatment. As compared in Table 5, these cells have their own pros and cons features. The features suggest the main research direction of stem cell therapy. For autologous/ endogenous stem cells, there are two main research directions: try to expand the stem cells in vitro without stemness loss or function change; try to speed up self-renewal of the in situ stem cells and precisely control their differentiation. For allogeneic stem cells, the in vitro expand technology is also very important and the technology to lower down the immune rejection is urgently needed. Besides the immune suppression drugs, regulatory immune cells such as Treg and Breg (Sun et al., 2015; Xu et al., 2016) may be used to overcome the immune rejection and enhance the efficiency of stem cell therapy. For iPS cells, the biosafety is the main issue that should be addressed due to their high tumorigenesis. The advances of technologies in these directions determine which stem cells could easily reach a desirable number and show highest biosafety and lowest immunogenicity, and therefore would be clinically used successfully.
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