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We examine the possibility of using graphene nanoribbons (GNRs) with directly substituted chromium atoms as a spintronic device. Using density functional theory, we simulate a voltage bias across a constructed GNR in a device setup, where a magnetic dimer has been substituted into the lattice. Through this first principles approach, we calculate the electronic and magnetic properties as a function of Hubbard U, voltage, and magnetic configuration. By calculating the total energy of each magnetic configuration, we determine that initial antiferromagnetic ground state flips to a ferromagnetic state with applied bias. Mapping this transition point to the calculated conductance for the system reveals that there is a distinct change in conductance through the GNR, which indicates the possibility of a spin valve. We also show that this corresponds to a distinct shift in the induced magnetization within the graphene.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the last couple of decades, solid-state research has guided the advancement of technologies, which includes the control and manipulation of charge transport in various materials. Progress in the overall understanding of electronic systems has led to the development of p-n junctions, giant and colossal magnetoresistance, and the whole realm of semiconductor physics, for which today’s technology is based. Currently, the vast majority of these technologies take advantage of only the charge degree of freedom in materials. However, over the last two decades, there has been a significant push to combine the electronic and spin degrees of freedom in both multiferroic materials and spintronic devices [1–3]. These endeavors have ranged from molecular spintronics [4–6] to quantum dot systems [7] to topological insulators [8], where a major motivation is the realization and enhancement of quantum computation and nanotechnology [9–11].

Recently, graphene has gained a large amount of experimental and theoretical attention due to its distinctive electron mobility produced through carbon-carbon (C-C) π bonding that enables an electron delocalization throughout its honeycomb lattice structure [12–16]. Due to the presence of a Dirac cone in the electronic structure, graphene is known as a Dirac material [17]. Therefore, the presence of a Dirac cone allows graphene to be classified as both a zero-gap semiconductor and a zero density of states metal [12, 18], which means that the electrons have the characteristics of ultra-relativistic massless particles [19]. Furthermore, graphene also exhibits enhanced thermal and tensile strength properties [20–22].

Besides the well-known electronic and thermal properties of graphene, it has been shown that graphene can obtain distinct magnetic properties through the addition of adatoms placed on the lattice, through the placement of graphene on a substrate (e.g. YIG), and through various other interactions [23–31]. Recently, it was shown that the direct substitution of magnetic impurities into the...
graphene lattice could also induce localized magnetism that interacts through the conduction electrons in the graphene[32, 33]. Furthermore, this work, along with others, have detailed the potential for using graphene substitution as spintronic transistors, spin valves, and other devices[34, 35].

In our previous computational work[33], the presence of two transition-metal atoms in graphene produced a distinct induction of magnetism in local carbon atoms, where it was shown that the spatial effect of two magnetic impurities produced RKKY interactions through the conduction electrons that culminated in either ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic ground states[36–39]. Here, the ability to induce magnetism through a RKKY interaction indicates a high potential for the use of a transition-metal substituted graphene nanoribbon (GNR) as a possible spintronic device. While the use of GNRs as a spintronic device has been demonstrated in various studies[34, 35, 40–42], these studies have mainly focused on the utilization of magnetic edge states in the GNR. Therefore, it is proposed that direct substitution of the magnetic atoms may provide better control and handling of the spin states through an applied voltage coupling to the RKKY interactions.

In this study, we explore the possibility of controlling the magnetic states generated by two chromium atoms substituted into graphene through the use of an applied bias voltage. Using density functional theory through a generalized gradient approximation, we simulated a spintronic device made from a GNR with two chromium atoms substituted into the lattice. By calculating multiple magnetic configurations using a varying on-site potential and applied voltage bias, we determined the device density of states, magnetic profile, and voltage dependence for these spintronic devices. Furthermore, we examine the conductivity of the GNR and show that as the nanoribbon crosses a critical voltage, the magnetic ground state flips from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic, which produces a distinct change in the induced magnetism of graphene and the overall conductance. This study provides a theoretical realization of a graphene-based spintronic device and will hopefully motivate experimental endeavors in this direction.

**COMPUTATIONAL METHODS**

This report presents first principles calculations of electron interactions throughout a magnetically-doped GNR device. To simulate a spin switch device, we constructed a GNR (10.4 Å by 22.7 Å) with 0.710 nm electrodes on each side consisting of 120 total atoms. The electrodes are used to simulate a voltage bias across the device. In the center of the GNR, two of the carbons were replaced by chromium atoms, where the chromium atoms were separated by four carbon atoms along the zig-zag chain direction. To assure a nanoribbon configuration, the dangling bonds of the edge carbons are capped with hydrogen (Illustrated in Fig. 1).

Using the density functional codes of Atomistic Toolkit[43], we performed a geometry optimization on a supercell of graphene to obtain the required distortion using a 5x5 k-point optimization in 2D. This optimization allowed us to obtain the known graphene impurity distortion shown by Crook et al.[33]. Once the geometry was precisely determined, the supercell was converted into a two-point probe, and the distortion was kept constant to reduce computational time for the device setup. The electronic structure was then optimized using a spin-
FIG. 3: The local density of states for Chromium and Carbon with Hubbard U of (a) U= 0 eV and (b) U= 4 eV for a bias of 0 and 1 V each. The black line denotes the spin up electron states while the red line denotes spin down. The dotted lines indicate the Fermi levels of the device. Note, in the case of a 1 V bias, there are two Fermi levels at -0.5 eV and 0.5 eV due to the bias. The atoms used for this figure are the top Cr atom and the second carbon atom down from that Cr with respect the orientation seen in Fig. 1.

FIG. 4: The projected density of states for a bias of 0 and 1 V both in the case of a Hubbard U of 0 eV and 4 eV. Again, the black denotes spin up and red denotes spin down. The Fermi levels are again shown with dotted lines.

ANTIFERROMAGNETIC/FERROMAGNETIC SPIN FLIP

In a previous study, it was shown that the magnetic interactions between chromium atoms in graphene interact through an induction of magnetism in carbon and couple through the carbon conduction electrons[33]. This indicated that there might be the potential to affect the magnetic states through the use of an external electric field or applied voltage. Therefore, this study presents the results from a device setup to show that two Cr atoms in a GNR may have the ability to produce spin switch, which opens the possibility for creating a spintronic device using magnetically-doped graphene.

To create a spin interaction, two chromium atoms are placed in the GNR along the zig-zag direction with a spacing of four carbon atoms. ∆E is determined by comparing the calculated total energy for the antiferromagnetic (↑↓) and ferromagnetic (↑↑) Cr-Cr configurations. To model this system, we consider a classical dimer with a Hamiltonian given by

$$H = -\frac{J}{2} \vec{S}_1 \cdot \vec{S}_2,$$

where J is the superexchange energy ($J > 0$ for FM and $J < 0$ for AFM) and $S$ is the spin[47]. This allows us to evaluate the classical energy as

$$E = -\frac{J S^2}{2} \cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2),$$

where $\theta = 0^\circ$ or $180^\circ$ for up or down spins, respectively. A general $\theta$ can be used for frustrated or canted spins[48].
From this, \( E_{FM} = -JS^2/2 \) and \( E_{AFM} = JS^2/2 \), which makes \( \Delta E = JS^2 \). Therefore, the change in energy is directly related to the Cr-Cr dimer superexchange energy. It should be noted that this is a generalization since the induction of magnetic moments in the near by carbon atoms will produce a magnetic "dumb-bell". However, the size of the induced moment is small and will only provide a small perturbation of the original Hamiltonian.

As shown in Fig. 2(a), the zero-bias magnetic ground state for the device setup is determined to be antiferromagnetic, which is consistent with the bulk supercell calculations from Ref. [33]. Furthermore, as the applied voltage bias across the device is increased, there is a distinct change in the magnetic ground state, at \( V_c = 0.37 \) V, where the ground state is shifted to a ferromagnetic state.

At the same critical voltage, we find that conductance shows a dramatic increase throughout the GNR (Fig. 2(b)), while producing an overall drop in the average magnetic moment in carbon (Fig. 2(c)). Interestingly, the critical voltage remains constant regardless of the assumed onsite Hubbard U. As the voltage further increases, the simulation of the \( U = 0 \) eV device oscillates back to an antiferromagnetic ground state, which could be indicative of the interaction between the conduction electrons and the voltage bias that produces a voltage dependent interaction as observed in Ref. [[40]]. However, as the on-site potential is increased, the overall oscillatory nature is reduced and practically levels out, which provides a potential experimental verification point for the spin flip nature.

For the \( U = 0 \) calculations, the conductance drops again around 1.25 eV, which indicates that this phenomenon may be dependent on the AFM state. The low conductance in the AFM state below the critical voltage is consistent with a magnetoresistance device. Therefore, the use of conductance could be used to determine that a spin flip has occurred. From these calculations, there is a threshold of about 60 \( \mu \)S indicating a transition from AFM to FM.

**THE DEVICE DENSITY OF STATES**

The shift in the magnetic state indicates that, as the voltage is increased, the electrons used to produce the antiferromagnetic interaction are elevated into the conduction band. This is shown in the calculated density of states (DOS) (shown in Fig. 3), where the change in the electronic structure shifts the magnetic interaction between the chromium atoms and produces a ferromagnetic ground state configuration. Figure 3(a) shows the calculated local DOS for both the Cr and C sites at both 0 and 1 V for a Hubbard U of 0 eV (Fig. 3(b) shows U = 4.0 eV). A comparison of the projected DOS for both U = 0 and 4 eV (shown in Fig. 4) shows that this effect is produced regardless of an on-site potential. When the density of states of the device has zero bias, there are clearly no states at the Fermi level and therefore no conduction. This changes as one increases the on-site potential. However, at a bias of 1.0 V, this behavior changes as the number of states at the Fermi level raises.

If we look at the local density of states for just one chromium atom, we see a similar pattern of no states at the Fermi level without a bias present and then states become present when the bias is added. This means that the conduction of the graphene increases as the voltage increases, which is supported by the calculated conductance of the device at each bias. There is a direct correlation of conductance and magnetic ordering as seen in Fig. 2 indicating that the increased conductance is producing the flip in exchange interaction between the chromium atoms. We can see similar patterns in the local density of states for the carbon. However, the number of states are about an order of magnitude less than that of Cr.
FIG. 6: The magnetic moment for the Cr atoms as a function of Hubbard U. For simplicity the magnetic moment of Cr in the case of no bias was used since the magnetic moment varied little with respect to bias.

MAGNETIZATION MAPPING

In Fig. 5, we determine the magnetic moment as a function of the atomic spacing throughout the simulated GNR along with varying voltage and on-site potential. The first two columns show a consistent AFM configuration for the Cr-Cr dimers. However, it is clear that a distinct magnetization is drawn into the nearest neighbor carbon atoms, which has shown a proximity induced magnetization of graphene in Ref. [33]. The magnetic moment on the Cr atoms to be $>3.6 \mu_B$, where the addition magnetization of the carbon lowers the overall magnetic moment per Cr atom to about $2 \mu_B$. These values are in slightly disagreement with the findings of Refs. [26] and [25]. However, this could be due to the configuration and/or type of calculation used. Since the spin flip effect is independent of the local magnetic moment, the slight difference is inconsequential.

Furthermore, the induction of magnetic moment on the carbon atoms is reduced slightly with increasing on-site potential, which shown as an increase in the Cr magnetic moment as a function of $U$ in Fig. 6. As the applied voltage is increased to the critical threshold of 0.37 V, there is a dramatic shift in the magnetization profile as conductance is increased and the Cr-Cr dimer transitions to a FM state. There is also a shift in the magnetic moment of the carbon (shown in Fig. 2(c)).

The decrease in magnetization in the FM states implies that the magnetization is inversely related to conductance, where the largest decline in magnetic moment is in the two middle carbons between the Cr. This seems to be produced by the shift in conductance which is affected by the voltage-dependent magnetic interaction and supports the conclusion that conduction electrons mediate through a RKKY interaction.[36–38].

Therefore, regardless of magnetic moment on the carbon and/or Cr atoms, the spin flip occurs at the same critical voltage. This change in magnetic state (AFM $\rightarrow$ FM) is occupied by a distinct drop in magnetization in the middle carbon atoms produced by the increase in conduction of the graphene sheet.

CONCLUSIONS

Overall, we examine the voltage-dependence of magnetically-substituted GNR device and show that the magnetic interactions change with applied voltage strength. Based on an analysis of the projected DOS and the conductivity, it appears that the coupling interaction between the Cr is competing with the conduction electrons that contribute to antiferromagnetic ordering. Once the critical voltage is reached, the magnetic coupling between the Cr atoms flips into an overall FM interaction. This changes the magnetic induction within the GNR, where it is effectively changed from AFM to FM. As shown in Ref. [33], the FM state allows for a greater conduction of the carbon atoms (shown by the LDOS in Fig. 3). Therefore, the overall conductance is increased by a few orders of magnitude.

The dramatic change in the conductance of the device at the same critical voltage for which there is a change in the magnetic state of the chromium atoms allows one to resolve the magnetic phase, and to employ this effect in prospective graphene-based nano-spintronic devices. This correlation is supported by the apparent decrease in conductance when the $U = 0$ eV case flips back to AFM ground state. Essentially, the AFM ground state forces the carbon atoms into an induced AFM state, which reduces the conductance by producing a correlation barrier. Once the GNR is changed into the FM state, the correlation barrier is reduced or eliminated.

In closing, this study shows the potential for GNRs to be utilized as spintronic devices with direct magnetic substitutions. It is the goal of this research to motivate experimental endeavors in this direction.
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