Oscillons and Domain Walls

Mark Hindmarsh 1 and Petja Salmi 1,†

1 Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9QH, UK
2 Lorentz Institute of Theoretical Physics, University of Leiden, The Netherlands

(Dated: February 2, 2008)

Oscillons, extremely long-lived localized oscillations of a scalar field, are shown to be produced by evolving domain wall networks in φ4 theory in two spatial dimensions. We study the oscillons in frequency space using the classical spectral function at zero momentum, and obtain approximate information of their velocity distribution. In order to gain some insight onto the dilute oscillon ‘gas’ produced by the domain walls, we prepare a denser gas by filling the simulation volume with oscillons boosted in random directions. We finish the study by revisiting collisions between oscillons and between an oscillon and a domain wall, showing that in the latter case they can pass straight through with minimal distortion.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There is good understanding of the formation of coherent structures in phase transitions. While the focus has been in time-independent solutions whose stability is protected e.g. by the conservation of a topological charge, there are time-dependent solitons as well, like Q-balls and oscillons. The stability of Q-balls is under control by conservation of baryon number, but there is no evident guarantee for oscillons, and the longevity of these localised, non-perturbative oscillations is not well-understood. They were found first already in the 70’s and then re-discovered when the dynamics of first order phase transitions and bubble nucleation was studied (for an extended investigation, see [8]). It should be noted that oscillon is not the only term appearing in the literature for the phenomenon, but we adopt it hereafter for the rest of this study.

Oscillons have attracted quite some attention recently. A significant development has been the extension of oscillon solutions to gauge theories. First discovery was made in gauged SU(2) model, where (1+1)-dimensional simulations showed that with a Gaussian initial data the fields settle quickly into an oscillating state that has not seen to decay, when the scalar to vector masses in the theory are set to be \( m_H = 2 m_V \). More recently, this study has been extended to SU(2)xU(1) model, describing thus the complete bosonic sector of the Standard Model. The full three dimensional simulations reported in [11] point that the result holds also in the presence of photons and without the assumption of spherical symmetry. Thus with the mentioned fine-tuned mass ratio there is an oscillon with energy of the order 10 TeV, yet out of reach of the current particle accelerators, but however much less massive than Q-balls (see e.g. [12]). The importance here does not lie merely in extending the appeareance of oscillons into a wider class of theories, but also in the potential phenomenological consequences. Oscillons could provide the necessary non-equilibrium conditions needed for baryogenesis. However, one must bear in mind that an earlier investigation of the potential of thermal production of oscillons at electroweak scale came to a negative conclusion [13].

Along the oscillon in the Standard Model, there are recent studies of oscillons in scalar theories [14, 15, 16]. A dedicated examination in three dimensions was carried out in [14] showing compelling evidence for a critical frequency minimising energy and the size of oscillon core. Similar results were communicated in [15] keeping the dimension of the theory a free parameter oscillon lifetime dependence on the dimensionality was investigated (see also [17]). Most recently, compact, non-radiating, periodic solutions in (1+1)-dimensional signum-Gordon model have been reported in [16].

As solutions of non-linear field equations, oscillons are well worth investigating, as are their effects if they are created in the Early Universe. In order for oscillons to play a role, there is need for processes to initiate large oscillations in the field in different models. Oscillon formation has been reported after supersymmetric hybrid inflation [18] as well as the QCD phase transition, where dense oscillating pseudosolitons in the axion field have been observed (for a study of pseudo-breathers in sine-Gordon model see [21]). In [21] oscillating field configurations were reported to form in close to quadratic potentials. Recently oscillons were found as a result of vortex-antivortex annihilation in two dimensional Abelian-Higgs model, providing thus another example of oscillons in gauge theory. Once formed, oscillons could considerably influence the dynamics of the system as has been suggested for the case of the bubble nucleation process [23].

While the oscillating energy concentrations have seen to form with a tiny initial density contrast in [21], the purpose of this study is to report oscillon formation via
much more violent process, namely from the domain collapse in \( \phi^4 \) theory. For a related study see [24] where it has been shown that collision of two bubbles in first order phase transition can lead to formation of long-lived quasiparticles.

The paper is organised as follows. First we review shortly the basics of the classical spectral function and examine the signal of oscillons in spectral function. We go on simulations with random initial conditions, the formation of oscillons from collapsing domains. We tackle this also statistically using spectral function. In order to have a good control of radiation we also prepare initial state of only oscillons moving into random directions and report the dynamics of this oscillon gas. We finish our study by reporting the off-axis collisions of oscillons and an oscillon and a stationary domain wall.

II. NUMERICAL SET-UP

The Lagrangian for a single real scalar field \( \phi \) is given by

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi \partial^\mu \phi - V(\phi),
\]

and the equation of motion thus reads

\[
\ddot{\phi} - \nabla^2 \phi + V'(\phi) = 0.
\]

In this study \( V \) is the degenerate double-well quartic potential

\[
V(\phi) = \frac{1}{4} \lambda (\phi^2 - \eta^2)^2.
\]

The vacuum expectation value and couplings can be scaled out and set to unity. With that choice the minima are at \( \phi = \pm 1 \) and the local maximum at \( \phi = 0 \).

The field equation is evolved on a two-dimensional lattice with periodic boundary conditions using a leapfrog update and a three-point spatial Laplacian accurate to \( O(dx^2) \). The lattice spacing for the data shown is set to be \( dx = 0.25 \) and the time step \( dt = 0.05 \). We utilise periodic boundary conditions throughout this study because we wish to allow oscillons to move without hitting any boundaries as well as let domains grow in simulations of random initial conditions.

III. OSCILLONS IN THE SPECTRAL FUNCTION

A. Spectral function in the classical approximation

The one-particle spectral function for a real scalar field \( \phi \) in the classical approximation was given in [25] by

\[
\rho(t, x) = -\frac{1}{T} \left( \Pi(t, x) \phi(0, 0) \right),
\]

where \( T \) is temperature and \( \Pi \) the field momentum \( \dot{\phi} \).

The numerical implementation of the correlator in (1) is straightforward in leapfrog discretization. In [25] the following symmetrized definition was suggested

\[
\rho(t, x) = -\frac{1}{T} \left( \Pi(t + \frac{dt}{2}, x) \frac{1}{2} \left( \phi(0, 0) + \phi(dt, 0) \right) \right).
\]

The classical spectral function at zero spatial momentum \( \rho(t, p = 0) \) can be obtained from a volume average of (5). The spectral function in frequency space, \( \rho(\omega, 0) \equiv \rho(\omega) \), can in turn be derived by performing Fourier transform.

We do not attempt to define temperature in what follows here, but merely adopt the correlator of the field and field momentum as a useful quantity to monitor in order to determine frequencies present in the system under the study. We simultaneously point out that our choice, inspired by spectral function, is not unique, but other correlators, like e.g. equal time correlator of \( \phi \) and \( \Pi \), could be utilised equally well. The choice of the reference point \( x = 0 \) of the field \( \phi \) in (1) obviously does not play a role in a homogeneous system where no lattice site is in special position. This is not strictly true when e.g. a stationary oscillon is placed in the middle of a lattice. Instead of choosing one lattice site, simulations averaging over all points in the lattice were performed. However, even though homogeneity cannot be directly assumed, an approximation of the spectral function at zero momentum where the correlator in (1) is replaced by the product of average value of \( \Pi \) at given time and \( \phi \) at reference time \( t = 0 \), \( \rho(t) = \Pi(t) \cdot \dot{\phi}(0) \), turns out to yield the same information in frequency space. Computationally this approximation is much more economical and will be utilised during the rest of this study.

B. Oscillon Signal in the Spectral Function

Oscillons are created on the lattice by using a Gaussian ansatz

\[
\phi(r) = (1 - C \cdot \exp(-r^2/r_0^2)),
\]

where \( r \) is the distance to the center of an oscillon \( r = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{1/2} \). The width of the distribution is set to be \( r_0 \approx 2.9 \) (in units of \( \sqrt{\lambda} \eta \)), suggested an optimal choice in [8] and the maximum displacement \( C = 1 \) so that the center of the oscillon starts from the local maximum of the potential. Oscillations take place at the basic frequency \( \omega_0 \) that must be less than the threshold for radiation \( m \), the mass in the theory. The following periodic ansatz that also assumes spherical symmetry

\[
\phi(r, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(r) \cos(n \omega_0 t),
\]

has seen to be rapidly covering [13, 26, 27], thus one expects also first few integer multiples of the frequency \( \omega_0 \) to appear in the spectra.
We perform a boost on oscillon and allow it then move on the lattice, the size of which for the data shown was set to be $400^2$. During the simulation we measure the mean $\bar{\Pi}(t)$ in order to attain $\rho(t)$. Figure 1 shows the spectral function $|\rho(\omega)|$ at zero momentum obtained by performing Fourier transform over the interval of length $5 \cdot 10^3$ in time units when an oscillon is stationary and when it is moving at velocity $v \simeq 0.42$. The location of the peak of the basic frequency of a moving oscillon is shifted left to a smaller value due to time dilation and its multiples correspondingly. The effect is best visible by comparing the location of the fourth peaks in the pictures or the distance between the peak of the basic frequency and that of radiation. This drift is further illustrated in Figure 2, where the relative frequencies where the peaks appear in the spectra are shown against inverse of the $\gamma$-factor, $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2}$. The deviations of measured values from the straight line $\omega = \omega_0/\gamma$ illustrate the numerical limitations of determining the basic frequency in the data as well as the precision in the velocity of a moving oscillon. The peaks at $\omega = m$ in Figure 1 indicate the presence of dispersive radiation component in the simulation box due to emission by oscillon.

In addition to the shift of the basic frequency there is much more drastic effect in the suppression of the height of the peak at the oscillation frequency as velocity of an oscillon increases. We expect exponential decrease (see Appendix). The value of the spectral function $\rho$ at $\omega = \omega_0(\gamma)$ is shown as a function of $v^2$ together with the prediction in Figure 3. We immediately point out that the precision in measuring the amplitude is not expected to be good. This is due to the restricted resolution in the frequency (order $10^{-3}$ in units of $\omega/m$) when
a discrete Fourier transform in a limited interval is carried out, whereas the peak itself is generally very narrow. Consequently, the positions of the data points in Figure 3 can be considered only indicative. Alas, while time dilation shifts the location of the oscillation frequency further away from the radiation frequency thus easing the distinction between these two, the strength of the signal gets unfortunately strongly suppressed.

IV. RADIATION OF OSCILLONS FROM COLLAPSING DOMAINS

We evolve equation (2) numerically on a lattice of size 400\(^2\) (physical size in linear dimension \(L\) is 100) with random initial conditions. We use “false vacuum” initial conditions, the field is set to the local maximum of the potential and the field momentum is given a small random value picked from a Gaussian distribution with a zero mean. During the early stage the evolution is damped, but at time \(t = 25\) the damping is turned off and then the field is allowed to evolve freely. Domains where \(\phi\) is in either of the two minima of the potential are formed and separated by domain walls. As is well-known the domains grow in size during the evolution; a numerical study of the scaling properties of domain walls in classical \(\phi^4\) theory is reported in [28] and very recently confirmed in the quantum theory in the semiclassical approximation in [29].

Obviously, a domain wall itself is an energy concentration due to the potential and gradient energy locked up in the wall, and a moving wall has naturally also a kinetic component. When a domain collapses the energy that was trapped in the domain wall is released. Thus there is energy available for the field to execute large oscillations. The simulations carried out here point that usually the domain collapse takes place rapidly and then there tends to be large amount of energy, especially in the kinetic form, localised around the quickly shrinking domain wall already well before the eventual disappearance. In particular, there appear some very high energy concentrations moving along the wall. A similar kind of observation of energy concentrations, often (but not necessarily) along the domain wall, was made in [30] where field dynamics of tachyonic preheating after hybrid inflation was studied. Once the domain has collapsed these energy concentrations either give rise to or turn into propagating non-linear waves. Oscillons are born.

The process of domain collapse is illustrated with two pair of snapshots. Figure 4 shows the isocontours of the field \(\phi\) (left) and the energy density (right) before (above) and after (below) the domain collapse. Inside the contours dark grey indicates a region where the field is close to the disappearing vacuum, in the areas in lighter grey the field is around the maximum of the potential and white marks the field close to the other vacuum that becomes dominating one. In turn, the higher the energy density the darker the corresponding area is displayed, and the pentagon-like shape of the domain is also clearly recognisable in the corresponding snapshot of the energy density where the enclosing domain wall appears black. In the second pair of snapshots the domain has collapsed - the large grey area has vanished indicating disapperance of this vacuum. Instead there are ripples where the field is far from the dominating vacuum positioned on a ring-like wave front. These small, elliptic regions show up in extremely high peaks of energy density (the view in the picture is tilted to visualise the height of peaks of energy concentration). These are oscillons which propagate along the spherical wave-front away from the location of the collapsed domain. Unlike the dispersive waves that are damped quickly, oscillons are far less dissipative and have a long range. It should be noted that the elliptic form results from Lorentz contraction and thus indicates the high velocity of emitted oscillons. Furthermore, the seeds of oscillons are not required to be spherical; asymmetric bubbles can collapse into an oscillon [31]. The production of oscillons is associated with regions of high velocity on the domain walls, rather than bubble collapse where a single oscillon is formed from a bubble: there are generally several oscillons created by one collapsing domain.

A. Statistical Analysis in Frequency Space

Eventually one or other of the two minima will completely dominate in a lattice of a finite size. However, if the simulation box becomes divided between two domains that span over the whole volume, typically a fairly static, intermediate state follows where there are those two domains, and domain walls, present and which lasts for a long time. We exploit this phenomenon in order to compare the signal of oscillons and domain walls in spectral function.

It is relatively easy to observe if there are only one or two large domains present by monitoring the total length of domain walls in the lattice. If the total length of domain walls does not exceeds twice the linear size of the lattice \(L\), there cannot be two domains spanning the box size. We determine the length of domain walls by counting the number of lattice sites where the field changes sign compared to its nearest neighbouring lattice points. We work under the hypothesis that oscillons are created only when larger domains collapse, thus from the last domain of the disappearing vacuum and the ones from smaller domains created earlier disappear when colliding with the domain walls still present (though as demonstrated later on this is not inevitably the outcome).

We generated 30,000 different initial configurations. Since our attention is in the aftermath of collapsed domains, the simulations are evolved much longer than in a study interested in the scaling regime \((t \lesssim L/2)\). We monitor the length of domain walls at two instants: \(t = 250\) and \(t = 750\). Out of all the configurations, in 18,931 there is only one large domain dominating at the
FIG. 4: Oscillon formation in a domain collapse: the left panel shows contours of the field $\phi$ the right panel present the total energy density before the domain collapse (above) and right after (below). The snapshots are separated by 27 time units; the physical size in linear dimension of the subbox shown is 30.

time of the first inspection. In 8174 cases the simulation box was divided into two large domains throughout this interval up to the final time $t = 750$. In the remaining cases the collapse of the other domain takes place during this interval and these events are discarded here.

We examine the spectral function separately for these two above mentioned cases - single domain (oscillons) or two domains (and thus long domain walls present). Figure 5 shows the spectral function $|\rho(\omega)|$ obtained an average over all the selected configurations and normalised by the number of events for one domain present (black) and for two domains (grey). The Fourier transform is made over the time interval of length 400 starting at time $t = 350$. This choice is to ensure that if there are shorter domain walls present at time $t = 250$ that do not trick the threshold $2L$ when monitoring is made, they have time to fade during the subsequent time interval before the observation interval commences.

For a single domain with oscillons the spectral function shows a fairly broad radiation peak, and a long “shoulder” of decreasing power together with almost complete absence of lowest frequencies. This is in stark contrast with the growing power at small frequencies in presence of two domains. We believe this is the signal of the long domain walls that are very static objects thus contributing to the lowest frequencies in the system. The peak at the radiation frequency is also narrower. This is easily understood by the fact that there is less kinetic energy in the system as large fraction of the energy remains locked up in the long domain walls. Thus these are cooler systems compared to those without domain walls. Not only the width, but also the location of the peak of radiation confirms this. In neither of the cases is the peak positioned precisely at $\omega = m$, but at slightly lower frequency. This is because the effective mass in the theory is less than the bare parameter $m$ due to the fluctuations of the field yielding a negative correction. In case of one domain the fluctuations are naturally larger and the shift is already visible effect yielding approximately 2% dislocation from $\omega = m$. We doubt that temperature
could be derived via kinetic energy in these cases yielding a sensible result because of the presence of oscillons which carry considerable kinetic energy, but should not be considered as thermal fluctuations.

The time dilation of the oscillation period relates the frequency and velocity via \( \omega = \omega_0 / \gamma \) and it is thus appealing to try to extract information of the velocity of oscillons from the power in the spectral function assuming that the signal in spectral function at frequencies \( \omega < \omega_0 \approx 0.85 \) is mainly due to the oscillons. Figure 6 shows that the "shoulder" can be reproduced. The signal in the spectral function \( \rho(\omega) \) can be transferred to an arbitrary velocity distribution \( n(v) \) with the use of the amplitude given by (A4). One now needs to assume that the formed oscillons have typically size \( r_0 \approx 3 \) - this is supported by the simulations. Figure 7 shows the velocity distribution derived by (A6) from the signal in spectral function. The result is noisy, the formula (A6) diverges when \( \omega \to 0 \) and it cannot be expected to yield correct result at higher velocities. Unfortunately, the relation \( \omega = \omega_0 / \gamma \) also transforms the discrete signal in frequency space to the least number of data points at the low velocity end of the distribution \( n(v) \). Rather the result suggests that low velocities are dominant. This is presumably true: the velocity distribution is not measured at time of formation, but considerably later on when oscillons have lost energy in the strongly radiative environment (oscillon survival in thermal environment have been studied in [32]).

V. OSCILLON GAS

While simulations with random initial conditions and the subsequent formation of oscillons from collapsing domains provide reasonably realistic model of how oscillons could be produced e.g. in conditions present in the Early Universe, the drawback is the strong radiative component. Inevitably, large fraction of the energy of the domain walls is realesed into dispersive modes that not only appear as the dominating signal in the spectral function, but also undoubtedly effect significantly the evolution of oscillons as discussed earlier.

In order to simulate the evolution of an ensemble of oscillons in a far less radiative environment, we followed a different approach. An oscillon gas is initialised by preparing an oscillon lattice where oscillons are placed initially at equal distance from each others, but boosted with a velocity \( v \) into random directions. In the subsequent evolution oscillons collide resulting to scattering, decaying and merging. The energy released from oscillons creates a radiative component, but this is starkly
FIG. 8: Sequence of snapshots showing the total energy density at times $t = 0, 937.5, 10190$.

Figure 8 shows three snapshots of the total energy density in a simulation where initially 36 oscillons at velocity $v = 0.5$ into random directions are placed on the lattice of size $800^2$ (physical size in linear dimension is 200). There is a reduction in the number of oscillons and energy in dispersive propagating waves is clearly visible in the two later snapshots, but this is far less strong than those originating from domain walls. Most importantly, the simulations show that few oscillons, typically half a dozen, survive for a long period of time, far greater than e.g. the time required an oscillon at initial velocity $v$ to travel around the lattice.

Because radiation is suppressed, higher concentrations in the energy density can be used to track oscillons. The survival of oscillons was studied more quantitatively by performing simulations of a larger ensemble. One hundred different initial states each having 121 oscillons at the beginning were evolved on a lattice of size $1500^2$. Figure 9 shows the fraction of lattice points where the total energy exceeds 0.15, 0.2 or 0.25 (20, 28 and 35 times the average from top to bottom) as a function of time.

FIG. 9: Fraction of points of the lattice where the total energy density exceeds 0.15, 0.2 or 0.25 (20, 28 and 35 times the average from top to bottom) as a function of time.

FIG. 10: The same data as in Figure 9 on a logarithmic scale.

energy in dispersive propagating waves is clearly visible in the two later snapshots, but this is far less strong than those originating from domain walls. Most importantly, the simulations show that few oscillons, typically half a dozen, survive for a long period of time, far greater than e.g. the time required an oscillon at initial velocity $v$ to travel around the lattice.

Because radiation is suppressed, higher concentrations in the energy density can be used to track oscillons. The survival of oscillons was studied more quantitatively by performing simulations of a larger ensemble. One hundred different initial states each having 121 oscillons at the beginning were evolved on a lattice of size $1500^2$. Figure 9 shows the fraction of lattice points where the total energy exceeds 0.15, 0.2 or 0.25 (20, 28 and 35 times the average from top to bottom) as a function of time (these thresholds correspond roughly 35, 28 and 20 times the mean value, respectively). For clarity the data is averaged over one oscillation period (roughly 5 in time
units). This is because all the oscillons start initially at the same phase and there is considerable variation in the height and width of the energy density within the period (see [33]). Some oscillations are still visible left in Figure [10] where the same data is plotted on a logarithmic scale. All the curves yield similar time evolution thus we conclude that there is no sensitivity to the thresholds chosen. As the dispersive waves do not contain such energy concentrations that would exceed these thresholds as can be seen in Figure [5] we further argue that the signal must be due to oscillons.

The data in Figures [9] and [10] clearly show that there is decrease in number of lattice sites where energy density exceeds the thresholds corresponding demise of oscillons in collisions. However, the main result is that there are two phases: steeply declining slope flattens around time $t = 10^3$ to a much less rapid decay. At the end of the simulation there is still almost 20% left of the initial number of lattice points where the thresholds are exceeded.

In the region of fast decline the slopes have values approximately $-0.7$. This is marginally consistent with a decay law inversely proportional to time. Such a power law is be predicted by a simple annihilation picture: oscillons have constant velocity $v$ and cross section $\sigma$. Then in two dimensions their number density $N = N(t)$ obeys the differential equation

$$\dot{N} = -\langle v\sigma \rangle N^2,$$

which yields time dependence $N \sim t^{-1}$.

While the steeper slopes can be understood on the basis of the differential equation [5], we do not have any quantitative explanation for the cross-over. On the qualitative level there are at least two effects that could lengthen the life time of oscillons at a later stage of the simulations. Firstly, collisions between oscillons, though not necessarily leading to a demise, cause considerable perturbation and oscillon radiates strongly before it settles back into a long-living state. If there occurs another collision during this relaxation stage that is then presumably highly likely to destroy the already perturbed oscillon. In simulation it has been witnessed that the second collision indeed is often the fateful one. Lower number density and consequently a longer mean free path can yield an enhancement of the survival probability in collisions. More importantly, due to the interactions oscillons slow down drastically (a decrease in the average velocity $\langle v \rangle$ can be partially responsible of the flatter slope than the expected $-1$ at the earlier stage). The reduced collision rate in turn increases the lifetime.

VI. OSCILLON COLLISIONS - MERGING AND SCATTERING

The simulations presented in previous section show that collisions between oscillons can, apart from scattering or demise, result to merging [26]. Off-axis collisions of oscillons were briefly studied in [33] reporting an attractive scattering. Reducing the velocity causes the trajectories of oscillons to bend more after the encounter. There is a critical velocity, below which the oscillons do not scatter anymore, but merge together. Figure [11] shows snapshots of the value of the field as well as the energy density in an off-axis collision of two oscillons with velocity $v \simeq 0.2$ when the displacement in the alignment between the centers of oscillons, the impact parameter, is 5.0 units. Considerable amount of energy is leaked in the process as can be seen from the spiral waves in the field. However, the energy density is simultaneously still concentrated in a very narrow region. Eventually, the resulting oscillon is deformed and the energy density is spread to a larger area.

VII. OSCILLONS CROSSING THE DOMAIN WALLS

As oscillons are made by domain walls and survive collisions, it is natural to pose the question what happens when an oscillon meets a domain wall. Figure [12] shows snapshots of an oscillon with an initial velocity $v \simeq 0.75$ crossing a domain wall. Oscillon is clearly recognisable both before the encounter and afterwards oscillating around the other vacuum. Snapshots of the total energy density show that crossing has caused a perturbation on the domain wall that propagates at the speed of light along the wall away from the interaction point. Snapshots in the kinetic energy where the static domain wall is initially invisible show that while oscillon has shed some energy to the domain wall, that is a relatively tiny fraction as the ripples along the domain wall are barely visible. Though the direct energy transfer between the oscillon and the domain wall is in this case relatively small, oscillon is deformed, slightly elongated in the direction of the domain wall, and potentially radiates some of its energy. It should be emphasised that the presented encounter is not necessarily a typical one. Apart from the velocity, the relative phase of the oscillon seems to strongly control the amount of energy transfer in a collision. There are two effects that readily seem to enhance the crossing probability at higher velocities. Lorentz contraction shortens the length of the disturbance oscillons creates and the lower frequency and thus slower time-evolution of the wave decreases the energy transfer to the domain wall. In any case, just the potential of oscillons crossing domain walls and propagate from one vacuum to another demonstrates how surprisingly persistent objects they are.

VIII. CONCLUSIONS

We have studied the field dynamics of a quartic double well potential with random initial conditions in two spatial dimensions. We have shown good evidence that when the field is undamped the collapse of domains takes
FIG. 11: Oscillons merging: the field (left) and the energy density (right) at three instants (chronologically from top to bottom) in an off-axis collision of oscillons at velocity $v \simeq 0.2$. Alignment of the centers is displaced by $5.0$ units.

place so rapidly that there is enough energy to excite the field into long-lived, non-perturbative oscillating energy concentrations. Furthermore, we have examined oscillons in a less radiative environment but in still a random system and shown that a fraction of oscillons persist for a long time. We reported on merging of oscillons in off-axis collisions at low velocities as well as the potential of oscillons to cross a domain wall at high enough velocity. Unfortunately the method inspired by the spectral function does not provide unambiguous information due to the suppression of signal with increasing velocity. On the other hand long domain walls leave a very distinctive trace and maybe similar techniques could be used in studies of defects as well.

We did not impose any damping for the system apart from at very early times to condense it. Damping is not likely to alter the process of domain collapse considerably, unless the dissipation is really strong, while the further evolution may be different. However, it is not clear what kind of consequences dissipation would have. Damping could reduce the dispersive radiation modes and thus even enhance the oscillon lifetime. Obvious reason for friction in the system is the Hubble damping in the Early Universe. A study in one dimension showed that oscil-
FIG. 12: An oscillon crossing a domain wall: upper panel show the value of field before (left) and after (right) the collision, middle shows the kinetic energy density and total energy below.

Oscillons could persist in an expanding background when the expansion rate is low enough [34]. Expansion can reduce velocities which may once again have twofold consequences, oscillon may merge and demise in collision easier, but may also reduce collision rate and then increase the lifetime.
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APPENDIX A

We present here an analytic outline how moving Gaussian distribution would appear in spectral function $\rho(\omega)$. 
The starting point are the ansätze \([8] \) and \([7]\). Assume now further that all the modes \(f_n(v)\) have the Gaussian form with the same width

\[
\phi(r, t) = \exp(-r^2/r_0^2) \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \cos(n\omega_0 t). \tag{A1}
\]

Consider now an arbitrary term in the sum under a boost with velocity \(v\) in \(x_1\)-direction. This yields

\[
a_n \exp \left( -\frac{n^2\omega_0^2 + x_1^2}{r_0^2} \right) \cdot \cos \left( \frac{n\omega_0}{\gamma} (t - \gamma vu) \right), \tag{A2}
\]

where we have defined \(u = x_1 - vt\). The volume average integrating over the variables \(u\) and \(x_1\) yields

\[
\frac{a_n}{\gamma} \exp \left( -\frac{n^2\omega_0^2 r_0^2}{4} v^2 \right) \cdot \cos \left( \frac{n\omega_0 t}{\gamma} \right). \tag{A3}
\]

The decrease in the frequency is now explicitly readable in the time dependent part. Turning to the basic frequency, set \(n = 1\) (it is also noticable that the higher modes \(n > 1\) that appear with weaker amplitudes \(a_n\) undergo an extra suppression, which is to a certain extent visible in Figure\(\text{II}\) compared to the static situation \(v = 0\). Spectral function in the approximation used is the product \(\Pi \cdot \phi\). Thus its amplitude has functional dependence on the kinematic variables \(v\) and \(\gamma\)

\[
A(v) \propto \frac{1}{\gamma^3} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0^2 r_0^2 v^2}{\gamma^2} \right). \tag{A4}
\]

Consider now an arbitrary velocity distribution \(n(v)\). This yields a signal in spectral function

\[
\rho(\omega) \propto \int dv n(v) A(v) \delta(\omega - \omega_0/v(v)). \tag{A5}
\]

By the simple relation \(\gamma = \omega_0/\omega\) this can be turned to the velocity distribution

\[
n(v) = \frac{\omega_0 \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \omega^2}}{\omega} \frac{\rho(\omega)}{A(\omega)}. \tag{A6}
\]

[1] T. W. B. Kibble, J. Phys. A9, 1387 (1976).
[2] W. H. Zurek, Nature 317, 505 (1985).
[3] M. Hindmarsh and A. Rajantie, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 4660 (2000), cond-mat/0007637.
[4] S. R. Coleman, Nucl. Phys. B262, 263 (1985).
[5] I. L. Bogolyubsky and V. G. Makhankov, JETP Lett. 24, 12 (1976).
[6] I. L. Bogolyubsky and V. G. Makhankov, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 25, 120 (1977).
[7] M. Gleiser, Phys. Rev. D49, 2978 (1994), hep-ph/9308279.
[8] E. J. Copeland, M. Gleiser, and H. R. Muller, Phys. Rev. D52, 1920 (1995), hep-ph/9503217.
[9] E. Farhi, N. Graham, V. Khemani, R. Markov, and R. Rosales, Phys. Rev. D72, 101701 (2005), hep-th/0505273.
[10] N. Graham, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 101801 (2007), hep-th/0610267.
[11] N. Graham, Phys. Rev. D76, 085017 (2007), arXiv:0706.4125 [hep-th].
[12] M. Dine and A. Kusenko, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 1 (2004), hep-ph/0303065.
[13] A. Riotto, Phys. Lett. B365, 64 (1996), hep-ph/9507201.
[14] G. Fodor, P. Forgacs, P. Grandclement, and I. Racz, Phys. Rev. D74, 124003 (2006), hep-th/0609023.
[15] P. M. Saffin and A. Tranberg, JHEP 01, 030 (2007), hep-th/0610191.
[16] H. Arodz, P. Klimas, and T. Tyranowski (2007), arXiv:0710.2244 [hep-th].
[17] M. Gleiser, Phys. Lett. B600, 126 (2004), hep-th/0408221.
[18] M. Broadhead and J. McDonald, Phys. Rev. D72, 043519 (2005), hep-ph/0503081.
[19] E. W. Kolb and I. I. Tkachev, Phys. Rev. D49, 5040 (1994), astro-ph/9311037.
[20] B. Piette and W. J. Zakrzewski, Nonlinearity 11, 1103 (1998).
[21] K. Kasuya, M. Kawasaki, and F. Takahashi, Phys. Lett. B559, 99 (2003), hep-ph/0209358.
[22] M. Gleiser and J. Thorarinson, Phys. Rev. D76, 041701 (2007), hep-th/0701294.
[23] M. Gleiser, B. Rogers, and J. Thorarinson (2007), arXiv:0708.3844 [hep-th].
[24] I. Dymnikova, L. Koziel, M. Khlopov, and S. Rubin, Grav. Cosmol. 6, 311 (2000), hep-th/0010120.
[25] G. Aarts, Phys. Lett. B518, 315 (2001), hep-ph/0108125.
[26] R. Watkins, DART-HEP-96/03, unpublished (1996).
[27] E. P. Honda and M. W. Choptuik, Phys. Rev. D65, 084037 (2002), hep-ph/0110065.
[28] T. Garagounis and M. Hindmarsh, Phys. Rev. D68, 103506 (2003), hep-ph/0212359.
[29] S. Gorsanyi and M. Hindmarsh (2007), arXiv:0712.0300 [hep-ph].
[30] E. J. Copeland, S. Pascoli, and A. Rajantie, Phys. Rev. D65, 103517 (2002), hep-ph/0202031.
[31] A. B. Adib, M. Gleiser, and C. A. S. Almeida, Phys. Rev. D66, 085011 (2002), hep-th/0203072.
[32] M. Gleiser and R. M. Haas, Phys. Rev. D54, 1626 (1996), hep-ph/9602282.
[33] M. Hindmarsh and P. Salmi, Phys. Rev. D74, 105005 (2006), hep-th/0606016.
[34] N. Graham and N. Stamatopoulos, Phys. Lett. B639, 541 (2006), hep-th/0604134.