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Abstract

Background: Anorexia nervosa (AN) has consistently been found to be associated with poor cognitive flexibility and central coherence. These two cognitive functions have been considered important maintenance factors in AN and are addressed by specific treatment approaches such as cognitive remediation therapy. While there is clear empirical evidence that difficulties in such cognitive functions are related to impaired daily functioning in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, this potential association has received only little attention in AN research so far. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine potential relationships between weak cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and poor quality of life (QoL) in AN.

Methods: Cognitive flexibility and central coherence were measured by both neuropsychological (i.e., performance based) and self-report measures alongside with self-reported QoL in a sample of 138 adult patients with AN.

Results: Self-report but not performance based measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence were associated with QoL. Weaker cognitive flexibility and central coherence were correlated with poorer QoL. These associations were independent of comorbid depression. The link between weak central coherence and poor QoL was particularly strong in patients with the restricting subtype of AN. The link between cognitive flexibility and QoL, however, was independent of AN subtype.

Conclusions: Weak cognitive flexibility and central coherence are associated with low QoL in AN, especially in patients with the restrictive subtype. However, this relationship is dependent on the method of measurement, where self-report measures seem to be more relevant than performance based measures.
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Plain English summary

Cognitive flexibility is a mental function that refers to the ability to switch flexibly between different rules, tasks, and actions. Central coherence is another mental function that is often considered as 'bigger picture' thinking or the ability to see 'the forest for the trees', whereas weak central coherence is mirrored by an excessive focus on...
Background

Anorexia nervosa (AN) has consistently been found to be associated with weak set-shifting [1, 2] and central coherence [3]. Set-shifting is also referred to as cognitive flexibility and is the ability to adapt to changing environmental demands by flexibly switching between different rules, tasks, actions, and mental sets [4, 5]. Accordingly, weak set-shifting or cognitive inflexibility results in rigid or perseverative thinking and behaviour. Central coherence describes the ability to overview complex stimuli or information, and is often considered as ‘bigger picture’ thinking or the capability to see ‘the forest for the trees’, whereas weak central coherence is reflected by an excessive focus on detail [6]. Weak set-shifting was also observed in women recovered from AN and in unaffected first-degree relatives of patients with AN and has thus been considered a potential endophenotype [7, 8].

Both weak set-shifting and central coherence are typically mirrored by obsessive pre-occupations with food, body shape and weight, and compulsive calorie-counting and exercising in AN. As a result, they have been incorporated in maintenance models of AN [9, 10] and are addressed by specific treatment methods such as cognitive remediation therapy [11, 12] and the Maudsley Model of Anorexia Nervosa Treatment for Adults (MANTRA) [13, 14]. From research on other mental disorders, it is known that difficulties in these cognitive functions are associated with reduced daily functioning and quality of life (QoL) in schizophrenia [15] and bipolar disorder [16], and that cognitive remediation therapy can help to improve cognitive and daily functioning in patients with these disorders [17, 18]. It is also known that AN is associated with severe impairment in social and occupational functions and poor health-related quality of life (QoL) [19–22] and that poor psychosocial functioning and QoL predict future AN symptom severity and mortality [23, 24].

However, a recent systematic review has indicated that only very few studies to date have investigated whether and to what extent weak cognitive flexibility and central coherence are associated with impaired daily functioning or QoL in AN [25]. In two of these studies, cognitive flexibility was assessed by performance based tests such as the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (WCST) and the Trail Making Test (TMT), and was not associated with functional impairment [26, 27]. One study found a significant correlation between the parameter ‘difficulty maintaining set’ in the WCST and QoL in AN [28]. However, this parameter is not considered to be a good indicator of set-shifting ability. It refers to the number of times the participant shows an incorrect response after a series of consecutive correct responses and may thus be more indicative of distractibility [29]. Another study found a correlation between ‘categories completed’ in the WCST and financial QoL in AN [30]. Again, however, ‘categories completed’ is not considered a good indicator of set-shifting ability but rather of general abstract reasoning or the ability to learn the rules of the task [25].

In sum, no relationship could be observed between established, performance based indicators of cognitive flexibility/central coherence and daily functioning/QoL in AN [26–28, 30]. However, sample sizes in these studies were mostly small and only performance based measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence were used. Notably, previous studies indicate that performance based and self-report measures may map different aspects of cognitive flexibility and central coherence [31–34]. While performance based measures are considered to provide a relatively objective measure of an actual cognitive function in a single situation under almost ideal conditions, self-report measures are considered to map a summary of subjective experiences across different situations in daily life and possibly also metacognitions, but are also more susceptible to bias (e.g. through retrospection bias, reduced introspection, social desirability, aggravation or dissimulation).

Against this background, the aim of the current study was to investigate potential associations between cognitive flexibility, central coherence and quality of life using both performance based and self-report measures in a large, heterogeneous AN sample. Both for models of the development and maintenance of the disorder and for
the development/ refinement and application of specific interventions, it seems important to better understand the relevance of reduced cognitive flexibility and central coherence for the daily functioning and quality of life in patients with AN. Because previous studies failed to establish a link between performance based measures of cognitive flexibility/central coherence and daily functioning/QoL and because of the common finding that associations between performance based and self-report measures are generally often low [31, 34], we expected that rather low self-reported than poor performance based cognitive flexibility and central coherence would be associated with poor QoL in AN. Since it has been discussed in the literature that both AN subtypes and comorbid depression may have an influence on cognitive flexibility/central coherence in AN, but the findings on this are inconsistent [35–39], we considered both factors as potential moderator variables in the current study, but without formulating a directed hypothesis on this in advance.

**Methods**

**Participants**
The total sample was comprised of 138 adult inpatients with AN. Participants were recruited consecutively from a specialised eating disorders clinic and assessed during the first ten days after admission. For being eligible to take part in the study, participants had to be at least 18 years old and had to meet AN criteria according to the DSM-5 [40]. Study assessors (trained psychologists and physicians with long-standing clinical experience) screened participants for eligibility using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM disorders [41]. Comorbid depression and AN subtype were diagnosed in the same way. Exclusion criteria were an acute life-threatening condition, medical instability, or severe psychiatric comorbidity (i.e., schizophrenia, bipolar disorder). All participants provided written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg (S-031/2015), and the Ethics Committee of the Medical Association of Lower Saxony, Germany (Bo/279/2014). A power analysis yielded that, with this sample size, a small to medium effect ($r=0.24$) could be detected in a bivariate correlation with $\alpha=0.05$ and power $=80%$.

**Measures**

**Set-shifting**
Set-shifting ability was measured using the *Wisconsin Card Sorting Test* (WCST) [42] and the *Trail Making Test* (TMT) [43]. These are the two most widely used measures of set-shifting in AN research and it has been shown in several meta-analyses that these measures are suitable for mapping weak set-shifting in AN patients [2, 44, 45]. In the WCST, participants have to match stimulus cards with one of four category cards. The sorting rule can refer to the colour, shape or number of displayed symbols. The sorting rules change several times during the task without being predictable. The participants have to figure out what the new rule is based on error feedback. Perseveration errors are the most commonly used and most sensitive performance index of set-shifting in the WCST. This index is determined by counting how often a participant sorts the cards according to a previously correct but now incorrect rule, despite negative feedback.

In the TMT, participants first have to connect numbered circles in the correct numerical order (i.e. 1–2–3; trail A). In the next run, however, they are asked to alternatively link numbers and letters (i.e. 1–A–2–B–3–C; trail B). Set-shifting performance can be indexed by the time taken to complete this second trail correctly. Both the WCST and TMT were performed using Inquisit 4 (Millisecond Software).

**Central coherence**
Central coherence was assessed by the *Navon Task* [46]. In this task, subjects are presented with large letters (e.g. H or S = global stimulus) formed from small letters (e.g. $_H$ or $_{_S}$ = local stimulus). In congruent runs, the large letter (global stimulus) corresponds to the small letters (local stimuli) from which it is formed (e.g. a large H consists of many small $_H$). In incongruent runs, the large letter (global stimulus) does not correspond to the small letters (local stimuli) of which it is made (e.g. here a large H is made of many small $_S$). In one condition, participants are asked to respond to the global stimulus (e.g. they should press the H key when shown a large H, regardless of which small letters it is made of). In the other condition, they should respond to the local stimuli (e.g. they should press the H key when the small letters are $_{_S}$, regardless of what the large letter is). Longer reaction times in incongruent global runs may serve as a simple indicator of weak central coherence (since it can be assumed that with longer reaction times the processing of the global stimulus is more disturbed by incongruent local stimuli). In addition, two further indices can be calculated. One is the global interference effect. For this, the mean reaction times in congruent local runs is subtracted from the mean reaction times in incongruent local runs. This index reflects the extent to which the processing of local stimuli slows down when there is interference from an incongruent global stimulus. Low values in this index reflect a strong detail focus or advanced local information processing. The final index, the local interference effect, is calculated by subtracting mean reaction times in congruent global runs from mean reaction times in incongruent...
global runs. This index reflects the extent to which the processing of global stimuli is slowed down by interference with incongruent local stimuli. Probably, this is the most relevant indicator of weak central coherence. High values here indicate weak central coherence, i.e. greater difficulty seeing the ‘bigger picture’ (the global stimulus) when there is interference with incongruent local stimuli than when there is no such interference. The logic behind this is that if a subject focuses very strongly on details and these details are incongruent with the global stimulus, the processing of the global stimulus is more strongly compromised. This task has been used less frequently than others to investigate central coherence in AN but it has, nonetheless, been demonstrated to map weak central coherence in this population [47]. The advantages of this task, however, are that interference between local and global information processing can be mapped more directly than in other tasks and that potential measurement biases are reduced because a computerised version of the task is available. The task was performed using Inquisit 4 (Millisecond Software).

Cognitive rigidity and attention to detail
The Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire (DFlex) [48] was developed as a self-report measure of difficulty with set-shifting/cognitive flexibility and weak central coherence [48]. It is composed of two subscales with 12 items each: cognitive rigidity (mapping weak set-shifting/cognitive flexibility; e.g. ‘I like doing things in a particular order or routine’) and attention to detail (mapping weak central coherence; e.g. ‘I can get lost in details and forget the real purpose of a task’). The developers of the questionnaire recommended to use the two subscales independently [48]. Answers are scored on a six-point Likert scale. Higher scores indicate more cognitive rigidity and more attention to detail, respectively. The scale has shown good to excellent reliability and validity in previous research and discriminated between patients with an eating disorder and healthy controls [48].

Health-related quality of life
Health-related quality of life (QoL) was assessed by the Essen Quality of Life-Index for Eating Disorders (ELI) [49]. This self-report questionnaire features excellent reliability and high validity [49]. It consists of ten items assessing mental, physical, and social impairments during the last four weeks. Answers are scored on a four-point Likert scale, with higher scores reflecting greater impairment.

Statistical analyses
All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics version 25 (SPSS Inc., IBM Corporation, Armonk, New York, NY, USA). Univariate outliers (> 3 SD) were winsorised. Since QoL, WCST, and TMT data were not normally distributed, Spearman rank correlation coefficients were calculated to uncover potential associations between cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and QoL. Bootstrapping for Pearson Product Moment correlation coefficients was also performed with bias corrected and accelerated 95% confidence intervals and 10,000 bootstrap samples. However, this yielded the same results like the non-parametric Spearman Rank correlations. For ease of interpretation, we thus only report the latter. In addition, we conducted separate moderator analyses to examine potential effects of comorbid depression and AN subtype on the aforementioned associations. To this end, we used the PROCESS macro for SPSS [50]. Statistical significance was defined at the 0.05 $p$-level, two-tailed.

Results
Demographic and clinical characteristics of the sample are summarised in Table 1. As can be seen, almost all participants were women, and the sample covered a broad age range (18–54 years) and also a broad range of symptom severity (as indicated by the body mass index ranging between 9.70 and 18.01). More than two thirds of the patients had the restricting subtype of AN.

Cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and QoL data are also summarised in Table 1. Correlation coefficients together with $p$-values are displayed in Table 2.

Table 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of sample ($n = 138$) and cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and quality of life data

|                          | $M$ (SD) or % ($n$) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Age (years)              | 25.32 (7.93)         |
| Gender (% women)         | 98 (135)             |
| Body mass index (kg/m²)  | 14.42 (1.83)         |
| EDE-Q                    | 3.69 (1.44)          |
| AN subtype (% restricting)| 72 (99)              |
| WCST nr. of perseverative errors | 7.18 (3.99) |
| TMT trial B (seconds)    | 83.55 (42.42)        |
| $NT_{\text{incongruent global}}$ | 607.46 (500.40) |
| $NT_{\text{global interference}}$ | 43.12 (128.46) |
| $NT_{\text{local interference}}$ | 61.92 (284.30) |
| DFlexcognitive rigidity  | 43.96 (10.34)        |
| DFlexattention to detail | 49.09 (9.93)         |
| ELI                      | 25.10 (7.76)         |

AN = Anorexia Nervosa; EDE-Q = Eating Disorder Examination Questionnaire; EDE-Q data were available from 134 patients; WCST = Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (number of perseverative errors), WCST data were available from 119 patients; TMT = Trail Making Test (time for trail B), TMT data were available from 137 patients; NT = Navon Task, NT data were available from 108 patients; DFlex = Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire; ELI = Essen Quality of Life-Index for Eating Disorders.
Performance based measures of cognitive flexibility (i.e., WCST, TMT) and central coherence (NT) were not correlated with QoL. However, greater self-reported attention to detail and cognitive rigidity were associated with lower QoL with a moderate effect size. Besides, self-report and performance based measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence did not correlate with each other, and performance based measures only inconsistently correlated across each other.

Additional moderator analyses revealed that AN subtype was a significant moderator of the association between self-reported attention to detail and QoL, $\Delta R^2 = 0.03$, $F(1, 134) = 4.30$, $p = 0.040$. Only in patients with the restricting subtype of AN, attention to detail was significantly correlated with QoL, $b = 0.43$, 95% bias corrected CI (0.289; 0.568), $p<0.001$. In patients with the binge/purge subtype of AN, there was no such association, $b = 0.16$, 95% bias corrected CI ($-0.061$; 0.375), $p = 0.157$. This moderator effect is illustrated in Fig. 1.

### Table 2: Correlations between cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and quality of life measures

|               | ELI   | WCST  | TMT   | NT incongruent global | NT global interference | NT local interference | DFlex cognitive rigidity | DFlex attention to detail |
|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| ELI           |       |       |       |                       |                        |                       |                          |                          |
| WCST          | 0.15 (0.105) |       |       |                       |                        |                       |                          |                          |
| TMT           | 0.05 (0.532) | 0.15 (0.097) |       |                       |                        |                       |                          |                          |
| NT incongruent global | -0.03 (0.794) | -0.33 (0.001) | 0.46 ($<0.001$) |                        |                       |                       |                          |                          |
| NT global interference | -0.08 (0.422) | -0.01 (0.944) | -0.19 (0.047) | -0.11 (0.248) |                        |                       |                          |                          |
| NT local interference | 0.02 (0.819) | -0.16 (0.133) | 0.14 (0.143) | 0.36 ($<0.001$) | 0.04 (0.699) |                        |                          |                          |
| DFlex cognitive rigidity | 0.28 (0.001) | 0.13 (0.175) | 0.13 (0.175) | -0.07 (0.481) | -0.17 (0.086) | 0.10 (0.330) |                        |                          |
| DFlex attention to detail | 0.44 ($<0.001$) | 0.03 (0.750) | 0.03 (0.750) | 0.01 (0.938) | -0.11 (0.280) | -0.04 (0.671) | 0.66 ($<0.001$) |                        |

ELI = Essen Quality of Life-Index for Eating Disorders; WCST = Wisconsin card sorting test (number of perseverative errors); TMT = Trail Making Test (time for trail B); NT = Navon Task; DFlex = Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire
However, AN subtype did not moderate the association between self-reported cognitive rigidity and QoL, $\Delta R^2 = 0.02, F(1, 134) = 3.17, p = 0.077$. Furthermore, comorbid depression did not moderate the associations between self-reported cognitive rigidity, attention to detail, and QoL ($p = 0.176$ and $p = 0.472$, respectively).

**Discussion**

This is the first study examining potential associations between QoL and both neuropsychological (i.e., performance based) and self-report measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence in AN. Consistent with our hypothesis, we found that self-report but not performance based measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence were associated with QoL. Both high cognitive rigidity and attention to detail, as measured by the DFlex, were associated with lower QoL. This finding is novel. The finding also supports the call for more ecologically valid measures of cognitive functioning in AN [34, 51] because unlike performance based measures, self-report measures are considered to more closely reflect experiences in real-world settings. The current finding that the association between attention to detail and QoL is particularly strong in patients with the restrictive subtype of AN tends to be consistent with tentative evidence that this subtype is associated with weaker central coherence [38]. However, this result must be interpreted with caution since the moderator effect was only small and 72% of the participants had the restricting subtype. It could thus be that this simply reflects that the association between attention to detail and QoL is more consolidated in this larger subsample. The current finding that the aforementioned association between attention to detail and QoL is independent of comorbid depression tends to be in line with a recent systematic review that concluded that central coherence in AN is not affected by comorbid depression [37]. The finding that performance based measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence are not related to QoL in AN is consistent with the findings of previous studies in smaller samples [26–28, 30]. In addition, the finding that self-report and performance based measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence do not correlate is in line with earlier research in AN and in other domains [31, 32]. While performance based measures are considered to provide a relatively objective depiction of actual cognitive function in a given situation under almost ideal conditions (i.e., in the lab), self-report measures are considered to provide information about cumulative subjective experiences across different real-world situations and possibly also metacognitions, but are also more susceptible to bias.

Although, of course, no causal conclusions can be drawn from correlational evidence, the present study adds to the understanding of the potential impact of weak cognitive flexibility and central coherence on daily functioning in AN. This is important since improvements in daily functioning are milestones of recovery and cognitive flexibility and central coherence have become treatment targets in AN [11, 13, 14] although until now it had been largely unclear whether these factors have any influence at all on daily functioning in AN. Future research may use prospective longitudinal designs to investigate whether weak cognitive flexibility and central coherence actually precede limitations in daily functioning in AN. Moreover, it would be particularly important to determine whether improvements in cognitive flexibility and central coherence during treatment predict improvements in daily functioning in AN. If this were the case, existing interventions could be adapted to focus more on these cognitive functions. In the light of the findings of the present study, it would be advisable in such future studies to use self-report measures or, for example, ecological momentary assessment [52–54] in addition to objective performance based measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence in a single situation in the lab.

Strengths of the current study include the large, heterogeneous sample, the use of the two most established neuropsychological measures and the most established self-assessment measure of cognitive flexibility and central coherence in AN research [2, 25], and the inclusion of comorbid depression and AN subtype as potential moderator variables. However, the study also has some limitations. The cross-sectional design precludes any causal interpretations. Although it seems more plausible that weak cognitive flexibility and central coherence contribute to reduced QoL, it cannot be ruled out that the reverse is not true or that other, latent variables influence both. More prospective, longitudinal studies in even larger samples are needed to better understand potential causal relationships. Although the sample size of the present study was significantly larger than that of other studies in this realm in the past [26–28, 30, 55], it was still too small to detect small effects. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that with an even larger sample size, small sized correlations between WCST, TMT, and NT scores and QoL would be detected. As all participants in the current study were adult inpatients, and the vast majority female, the results may not be readily transferable to other patient groups (i.e., younger, male, and outpatients). Finally, the lack of a complete structured clinical interview and a measure for depression severity is another limitation of the current study.

**Conclusions**

This study contributes to a better understanding of the relevance of weak cognitive flexibility and central coherence in patients with AN. The results indicate that weak
self-reported cognitive flexibility and central coherence are associated with low QoL in AN. Neuropsychological measures, on the other hand, showed no association with QoL. The associations between poor cognitive flexibility, central coherence, and QoL were independent of comorbid depression. The association between attention to detail and QoL, however, was significantly stronger in patients with the restricting subtype of AN whereas the link between cognitive rigidity and QoL was independent of the AN subtype. These findings provide tentative support for the assumption that self-assessed cognitive flexibility and central coherence are relevant treatment targets in AN. The current findings furthermore suggest that self-report measures are used in addition to objective performance-based measures of cognitive flexibility and central coherence in AN research.

Abbreviations
AN: Anorexia nervosa; QoL: Quality of life; ELI: Essen Quality of Life‑Index for Eating Disorders; DFlex: Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire; WCST: Wisconsin Card Sorting Test; TMT: Trail Making Test; NT: Navon Task.

Acknowledgements
Not applicable.

Authors’ contributions
TB conceptualised the study, prepared the methodology, acted as project administrator, conducted the data curation and the formal analysis, and drafted the manuscript. HF and ALR collected the data, helped with data curation, and edited the first draft of the manuscript. WWL and AL helped with data collection and edited the first draft of the manuscript. HCF acted as project co‑administrator and supervisor and edited the first draft of the manuscript. HF and ALR drafted the manuscript. TT conceptualised the study, prepared the methodology, acted as project co‑administrator, conducted the data curation and the formal analysis, and edited the first draft of the manuscript. HF and ALR collected the data, helped with data collection and edited the first draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL. This study received no third-party funding.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used in the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations
Ethics approval and consent to participate
The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Heidelberg (S-031/2015), and the Ethics Committee of the Medical Association of Lower Saxony, Germany (Bo/279/2014). All participants provided written informed consent.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1Department of Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy, Institute of Psychology, University of Goettingen, Gosslerstrasse 14, 37073 Goettingen, Germany.
2Department of General Internal Medicine and Psychosomatics, Center for Psychosocial Medicine, University Hospital Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 410, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany.
3Klinik Lueneburger Heide, Am Klausbush 21, 29549 Bad Bevensen, Germany.

Received: 29 September 2021 Accepted: 1 February 2022
Published online: 15 February 2022

References
1. Smith KE, Mason TB, Johnson JS, Lavender JM, Wonderlich SA. A systematic review of reviews of neurocognitive functioning in eating disorders: the state of the literature and future directions. Int J Eat Disord. 2018;51(8):798–821.
2. Wu M, Brockmeyer T, Hartmann M, Skunde M, Herzog W, Friederich HC. Set‑shifting ability across the spectrum of eating disorders and in overweight and obesity: a systematic review and meta‑analysis. Psychol Med. 2014;44(16):3365–85.
3. Lang K, Lopez C, Stahl D, Tchanturia K, Treasure J. Central coherence in eating disorders: an updated systematic review and meta‑analysis. World J Biol Psychiatry. 2014;15(8):586–98.
4. Monsell S. Task switching. Trends Cogn Sci. 2003;7(3):134–40.
5. Robbins TW, Gilleen CM, Smith DG, de Wit S, Ersche KD. Neurocognitive endophenotypes of impulsivity and compulsivity: towards dimensional psychiatry. Trends Cogn Sci. 2012;16(1):81–91.
6. Happé F, Frith U. The weak coherence account: detail‑focused cognitive style in autism spectrum disorders. J Autism Dev Disord. 2006;36(1):5–25.
7. Holliday I, Tchanturia K, Landau S, Collier D, Treasure J. Is impaired set‑shifting an endophenotype of anorexia nervosa? Am J Psychiatry. 2005;162(12):2269−75.
8. Lena SM, Fiocco AJ, Leyenaar JK. The role of cognitive deficits in the development of eating disorders. Neuropsychol Rev. 2004;14(2):99–113.
9. Treasure J, Schmidt U. The cognitive‑interpersonal maintenance model of anorexia nervosa revisited: a summary of the evidence for cognitive, socio‑emotional and interpersonal predisposing and perpetuating factors. J Eat Disord. 2013;1:1.
10. Schmidt U, Treasure J. Anorexia nervosa: valued and visible. A cognitive‑interpersonal maintenance model and its implications for research and practice. Brit J Clin Psychol. 2006;45:343–66.
11. Tchanturia K, Lounes N, Hottum S. Cognitive remediation in anorexia nervosa and related conditions: a systematic review. Eur Eat Disord Rev J Eat Disord Assoc. 2014;22(6):454–62.
12. Hagan KE, Christensen KA, Forbush KT. A preliminary systematic review and meta‑analysis of randomized‑controlled trials of cognitive remediation therapy for anorexia nervosa. Eat Behav. 2020;37:101391.
13. Schmidt U, Wade TD, Treasure J. The maudsley model of anorexia nervosa treatment for adults (MANTRA): development, key features, and preliminary evidence. J Cogn Psychother. 2014;28(1):48–71.
14. Schmidt U, Magill N, Renwick B, Keyes A, Kenyon M, Dejong H, et al. The Maudsley Outpatient Study of Treatments for Anorexia Nervosa and Related Conditions (MOSAIC): comparison of the Maudsley Model of Anorexia Nervosa Treatment for Adults (MANTRA) with specialist supportive clinical management (SSCM) in outpatients with broadly defined anorexia nervosa: A randomized controlled trial. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2015;83(4):796–807.
15. Green MF, Llerena K, Kern RS. The “right stuff” revisited: what have we learned about the determinants of daily functioning in schizophrenia? Schizophr Bull. 2015;41(4):781–75.
16. Depp CA, Mausbach BT, Harmell AL, Sava LN, Bowie CR, Harvey PD, et al. Meta‑analysis of the association between cognitive abilities and everyday functioning in bipolar disorder. Bipolar Disord. 2012;14(3):217–26.
17. Vita A, Barlati S, Ceraso A, Nicolino G, Ariu C, Deste G, et al. Effectiveness, core elements, and moderators of response of cognitive remediation for schizophrenia: a systematic review and meta‑analysis of randomized controlled trials. JAMA Psychiat. 2021;78(8):848–58.
18. Bellani M, Biagianti B, Zovetti N, Rossetti MG, Bressi C, Perlini C, et al. The effects of cognitive remediation on cognitive abilities and real‑world functioning among people with bipolar disorder: a systematic review: Special Section on “Translational and Neuroscience Studies in Affective Disorders”: Section Editor, Maria Nobile MD, PhD. This Section of JAD focuses on the relevance of translational and neuroscience studies in providing a better understanding of the neural basis of affective disorders. The main aim is to briefly summaries relevant research findings in...
clinical neuroscience with particular regards to specific innovative topics in mood and anxiety disorders. J Affect Disord. 2019;257:691–7.

19. Tchanturia K, Hambrook D, Curtis H, Jones T, Lounes N, Fenn K, et al. Work and social adjustment in patients with anorexia nervosa. Compr Psychia
try. 2013;54(1):41–5.

20. Ágh T, Kovács G, Supina D, Pawaskar M, Herman BK, Vokó Z, et al. A sys
tematic review of the health-related quality of life and economic burdens of anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa, and binge eating disorder. Eat
Weight Disord. 2016;21(3):353–64.

21. Dejong H, Oldershaw A, Sternheim L, Samarawickrema N, Kenyon MD,
Broadbent H, et al. Quality of life in anorexia nervosa, bulimia nervosa and eating disorder not-otherwise-specified. J Eat Disord. 2013;1(1):43

22. Sy R, Ponton K, de Marco P, Pi S, d’Hak WW. Quality of life in anorexia
nervosa: a review of the literature. Eat Disord. 2013;21(3):206–22.

23. Franko DL, Keshaviah A, Eddy KT, Krishna M, Davis MC, Keel PK, et al. A
longitudinal investigation of mortality in anorexia nervosa and bulimia
nervosa. Am J Psychiatry. 2013;170(8):917–25.

24. Mitchell D, Morin A, Mond J, Slewa-Younes N, Hay P. The bidirectional
relationship between quality of life and eating disorder symptoms: a 9-year community-based study of Australian women. PloS ONE.
2015;10(3):e0120591.

25. Dann KM, Hay P, Touyz S. Are poor set-shifting and central coherence
associated with everyday function in anorexia nervosa? A systematic
review. J Eat Disord. 2021;9(1):40.

26. Renwick B, Musiat P, Lose A, Dejong H, Broadbent H, Kenyon M, et al. Neuro-
and social-cognitive clustering highlights distinct profiles in adults with anorexia nervosa. Int J Eat Disord. 2015;48(1):26–34.

27. Oldershaw A, Lavender T, Schmidt U. Are socio-emotional and neuro-
cognitive functioning predictors of therapeutic outcomes for adults with
anorexia nervosa? Eur Eat Disord Rev. 2018;26(4):346–59.

28. Hamatani S, Tomotake M, Takeda T, Kameoka N, Kawabata M, Kubo H,
et al. Influence of cognitive function on quality of life in anorexia nervosa
patients. Psychiatry Clin Neurosci. 2017;71(5):328–35.

29. Figueroa IJ, Youmans RJ. Failure to maintain set. Proc Hum Fact Ergonom
cs Soc Annu Meet. 2013;57(1):828–32.

30. Talbott A, Hay P, Touyz S. Exploring the relationship between cognitive
style and daily functioning in patients with anorexia nervosa. Adv Eat
Disord. 2015;3(3):300–10.

31. Lounes N, Khan G, Tchanturia K. Assessment of cognitive flexibility in
anorexia nervosa--self-report or experimental measure? A brief report. J
Int Neuropsychol Soc JINS. 2011;17(9):925–8.

32. Snyder HR, Friedman NP, Hankin BL. Associations between task perfor
mance and self-report measures of cognitive control: shared versus distinct
abilities. Assessment. 2021;28(4):1080–96.

33. Buchanan T. Self-report measures of executive function problems
correlate with personality, not performance-based executive function
measures, in nonclinical samples. Psychol Assess. 2016;28(4):372–85.

34. Stedal K, Dahlgren CL. Neuropsychological assessment in adolescents
and unipolar depression. Psychiatry Res. 2012;200(2–3):538–43.

35. Abbate-Daga G, Buzzichelli S, Marzola E, Aloi M, Amianto F, Fassino S.
Cognitive flexibility and unipolar depression in anorexia nervosa. Int Neuropsychol Soc JINS. 2011;17(5):925–8.

36. Smith KE, Mason TB, Schaefer LM, Juarcisio A, Dvorak R, Weinbach N,
et al. Examining intra-individual variability in food-related executive
control and negative affect as predictors of binge eating using ecological
momentary assessment. J Psychiatr Res. 2020;120:137–43.

37. Tchanturia K, Stahl D, Southgate L, Treasure J. A systematic
review and meta-analysis of set-shifting ability in eating disorders. Psy
chol Med. 2007;37(8):1075–84.

38. van Autreve S, de Baene W, Baeken C, van Heeringen C, Vervaet M. Do
depressive symptoms and daily living styles correlate with personality, not performance-based executive function
measures, in nonclinical samples. Psychol Assess. 2016;28(4):372–85.

Publisher's Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

41. First MB, Williams JB, Benjamin LS, Spitzer RL. Structured clinical interview
for DSM-5 personality disorders: SCID-5-PD. Arlington, VA: American
Psychiatric Association, 2016.

42. Ee BERG. A simple objective technique for measuring flexibility in think
ning. J Gen Psychol. 1948;39:15–22.

43. Reitan RM. Validity of the trail making test as an indicator of organic brain
damage. Percept Mot Skills. 1958;8(3):271–6.

44. Keegan E, Tchanturia K, Wade TD. Central coherence and set-shifting
between nonunderweight eating disorders and anorexia nervosa: a
systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Eat Disord. 2021;54(3):229–43.

45. Roberts ME, Tchanturia K, Stahl D, Southgate L, Treasure J. A systematic
review and meta-analysis of set-shifting ability in eating disorders. Psy
chol Med. 2007;37(8):1075–84.

46. Navon D. Forest before trees: the precedence of global features in visual
perception. Cogn Psychol. 1977;9(3):353–83.

47. Weinbach N, Perry A, Sher H, Lock JD, Henik A. Weak central coherence
in weight-restored adolescent anorexia nervosa: characteristics and
remediation. Int J Eat Disord. 2017;50(8):924–32.

48. Roberts ME, Bartheil FM-S, Lopez C, Tchanturia K, Treasure JL. Development
and validation of the Detail and Flexibility Questionnaire (DFlex) in
eating disorders. Eat Behav. 2011;12(3):168–74.

49. Tagay S, Lindner M, Friederich H-C, Schlottbohm E. Validierung des Ess
ner Lebensqualitätsindex für Essstörungen (ELI). Psychoterrorpsychosom
Rehabilit Med Psychother Psychosom Med Psychol. 2015;65(9–10):331–8.

50. Hayes AF. An introduction tomediation, moderation, and conditional pro
cess analysis: a regression-based approach. New York: Guildford Press; 2013.

51. Överås M, Kapstad H, Brunborg C, Landrø NI, Lask B. Are poor set-shifting
abilities associated with a higher frequency of body checking in anorexia
nervosa? J Eat Disord. 2015;3(1):17.

52. Smith KE, Mason TB, Schaefer LM, Juarcisio A, Dvorak R, Weinbach N,
et al. Examining intra-individual variability in food-related executive
control and negative affect as predictors of binge eating using ecological
momentary assessment. J Psychiatr Res. 2020;120:137–43.

53. Jones A, Tiplady B, Houben K, Nederkoorn C, Field M. Do daily fluc
tuations in inhibitory control predict alcohol consumption? An
ecological momentary assessment study. Psychopharmacology. 2018;235(5):1487–96.

54. Tseng VW, Costa JDR, Jung MF, Choudhury T. Using smartphone sensor
data to assess inhibitory control in the wild: longitudinal study. JMIR
Mhealth Uhealth. 2020;8(12):e21703.

55. Duriez P, Kaya Lefèvre H, Di Lodovico L, Viltart O, Gorwood P. Increased
cognitive flexibility mediates the improvement of eating disorders symp
toms, depressive symptoms and level of daily life functioning in patients
with anorexia nervosa treated in specialised centres. Eur Eat Disord Rev.
2021;29(4):600–10.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:
• fast, convenient online submission
• thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
• rapid publication on acceptance
• support for research data, including large and complex data types
• gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
• maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress. Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions