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ABSTRACT

Macrofungi belonging to the family “Polyporaceae” in the phylum Basidiomycota are among the commonplace causal agents of plant diseases. In the present study, we reported the molecular characterization of a macrofungi basidiomycetous brown-rot fungal phytopathogen Fomitopsis strain MM4. The fungal phytopathogen was identified and molecularly characterized from the infected stem and tissue of oil palm (Elaeis guineensis) in Kulai, Johor. The 18S rRNA nucleotide sequence of the fungal pathogen strain MM4 showed 99% similarity with partial sequences of Antrodia serialis maintained in the NCBI genebank database. The multiple sequence alignment and phylogenetic analysis revealed that the fungus clustered into a single branch of a phylogenetic tree; hence the fungus was designated as Fomitopsis meliae strain MM4. The pathogenicity test revealed significant differences (p ≤ 0.05) in disease severity caused by the characterized basidiomycetous brown-rot F. meliae fungal pathogen on oil palm seedlings. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of 18S rRNA F. meliae Basidiomycota brown-rot fungi infecting oil palm (Elaeis guineensis). The findings of this study thus support the diversity of pathogenic macrofungi affecting oil palm trees in Malaysia.
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Introduction

The West African oil palm (Elaeis guineensis) tree belonging to the polyporaceae family was first introduced into Malaysia in 1871 [1]. This tropical native plant is mainly cultivated as a source of vegetable oil and various useful purposes and is a commercially important crop in the tropical western region, particularly the South-East Asian countries. Over 90% of the world’s palm oil export are produced from Malaysia and Indonesia [2], and Malaysia ranks the second-largest palm oil producer [3,1]. The total profits of palm oil production accounted for about 8% of the total Malaysian Gross Domestic Product [2]. Malaysia’s economic growth and gross national income hinges closely on the high yield production of palm oil [4,2].

Unfortunately, various fungal diseases infecting oil palm trees are beginning to hinder the trees’ growth and could lead to great losses in yield [5,6]. Therefore, a decline in oil palm yield followed by a profit drop in the oil palm industry is possible [5]. Among the well-reported fungal diseases affecting oil palm trees, the basal stem rot caused by Ganoderma boninense is amongst the highly
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dramatic cost and losses for the oil palm sector in both Malaysia and Indonesia [8]. The high cost of replanting the oil palm trees would further reduce profits for both countries [9].

The brown-rot disease is also caused by Basidiomycete’s fungi affecting the oil palm [10,11]. Most Basidiomycetous fungi are white-rot species, while the fungus *Fomitopsis* belongs to the brown-rot fungi [12]. The pathogenicity of the brown-rot basidiomycetes fungi has to do with their ability to degrade lignin of the infected plant host through an oxidative mechanism without breaking down the cellulose [13] and xylan [14]. However, there are reports on certain basidiomycetous fungi adept in breaking down the plant cell wall, including cellulose and hemicellulose [15,16]. This fungal family depolymerizes the cellulose of plants, a necessary feature of their pathogenicity [17,18].

To date, over 40 species of wood decay brown-rot *Fomitopsis* basidiomycetous fungi has been reported [19]. In the case of the brown-rot fungal phytopathogen of the *Fomitopsis* species, diverse polyposes fungi possess porous, large fruiting bodies or tubes on their base. The fungi can be found on soft and hardwood plants [19,20,21]. They are Basidiomycetous-like mushrooms and hydnoid fungi belonging to the Genus *Antrodiola clade* [22]. Brown-rot *Fomitopsis* sp. are perennials, and their growth habitat is rarely annual, and their fruiting body forms a hoof-shaped to pendulous, which vary in size from 1 cm to 40 cm [23,24,25]. The fruiting body forms a red-belted conk and can be observed at the plant base or on the trunk [25]. The fungi are characterized by having sessile to effused-reflexed basidiomata, whitish to tan, or pinkish-coloured, porous surfaces. They exhibit clamped generative hypha (1.5 – 3.5 µm in diameter), mostly dimitic or trimitic hyphal structures [19,24]. Their thin-walled hyaline has smooth basidiospores, resulting in a brown rot in most vascular plants (gymnosperms). However, the fungi rarely affect flowering plants (angiosperms) [24]. Plants showing basidiomycetous fungal infection are easily recognizable by their pale yellow to brownish discoloured leaves, and the rapidly forming rot is the initial sign of the infection [26].

This study’s molecular methods characterize the brown-rot *Fomitopsis* basidiomycetes fungal pathogen isolated from a mature oil palm tree, *E. guineensis*. So far, there is no report on *Fomitopsis* basidiomycetes fungi infecting this commercial crop. Hence, the study focused on the molecular characterization of the brown-rot basidiomycetes fungal phytopathogen by phylogenetic evidence and then confirmed the pathogenicity of the isolated fungus on seeds and growing oil palm seedlings.

**Material and Methods**

**Sample selection and collection**

Samples were collected from ten infected oil palm (*E. guineensis*) tissue and stem fragments, randomly selected from different FELDA Taib Andak oil palm plantation areas in Kulai, Johor, Malaysia (coordinate: 10°4’N103°36’E). The samples were collected in sterilized plastic and brought to the laboratory for further analysis.

**Preparation of samples**

The symptomatic samples of stem and tissues collected were surface sterilized following the standard method described by [27,26], with slight modifications. The samples were systematically cut into small fragments of between 0.5 cm – 1.0 cm and washed thoroughly rinsed with sodium hypochlorite (1%) solution for two (2) minutes followed by a 3-minute soak in sterile distilled, twice. Then, the samples were air-dried on a Whatman no.1 filter paper before placing onto the potato dextrose agar (PDA, Oxoid Ltd, England) supplemented with streptomycin sulphate and incubated at 30°C for seven days.

**Isolation of the basidiomycetous brown-rot *Fomitopsis* fungal phytopathogen and culture maintenance**

Isolation of basidiomycetous fungal phytopathogen was conducted under aseptic conditions, focusing on the oil palm stem and tissues showing the signs of brown-rot basidiomycetes diseases and symptoms. After seven days of incubation at 30°C, the number of fragments incubated on PDA (Oxoid Ltd, England) supplemented with antibiotics showed fungal hyphal tips. Most hyphal tips exhibited morphologically different mycelium developing from these stem and tissue fragments. Each fungus was subcultured onto freshly prepared PDA plates until the pure cultures were obtained [26]. For long-term preservation, the fungal spores from pure cultures were cryopreserved in 80% glycerol and stored at -80°C [28].
Morphological identification of the basidiomycetous brown-rot Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen strain MM4

For the morphological characterization, the cultural traits which involve the visible observation traits of the fungal mycelia growing on PDA medium on day seven viz. The pigmentation, margin, elevation, mycelia appearances, mycelium texture, and colour of upper and lower sides of the mycelia were observed and recorded. The fungal isolates were subjected to microscopic observation for traits, such as the shape of conidia, presence, or absence of chlamydospores, branching patterns of conidiophores, and presence of septate hyphae, cuticular cells, and arthroconidia under a compound microscope (Nikon Eclipse E 200, Japan). Firstly, a minute mass of fungal mycelia on a sterilized glass slide, one drop of lactophenol staining reagent was placed using a dropper. A minute sample of the fungal mycelia was transferred onto a clean glass slide using a sterile needle to permit the lactophenol staining reagent to pass through the cell wall of the mycelium. The sample was then gently covered by a clean coverslip. The samples were visualized under a compound microscope at 100× magnification. The appearance of fungi and their morphological features were observed and recorded.

For scanning electron microscopic characterization, 5 mm discs of 5-day old cultures of the fungal mycelia were cut using a sterile scalpel and fixed in a modified Karnovsky fixative solution containing 2.5% glutaraldehyde: paraformaldehyde (2.5:2.5, w/v), 0.001 M CaCl₂, in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer pH 7.03 for 24h and the fixative were rinsed off three times with buffer solution, pH 7.0. Followed by 10-minute stepwise dehydration using increasing ethanol concentrations (25, 50, 75, 95, and 100%, v/v), once for concentrations lower than 95% and twice for 95 and 100% [29]. Samples were then rinsed with distilled water three times and dried in a desiccator for 18h. The specimens were mounted on aluminium stubs using double-sided carbon tape and sputter-coated with gold (Au) using JEOL-1600 auto fine coater (Japan), and scanning electron micrographs of the specimens were taken on a JEOL Hitachi (Japan).

Molecular identification

Extraction of genomic DNA from brown-rot basidiomycetous Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen strain MM4

The fungal strains were disrupted by the bead-beating method. At the same time, DNA was extracted using the fast DNA SPIN kit (cat. No.1165600200, MP Biomedicals, Santa Ana CA) following the manufacturer’s protocols. The fungal phytopathogen DNA extraction buffer was composed of 200 mM Tris HCl, pH 7.5, NaCl 250 mM, EDTA 25 mM, SDS 0.5 % [30]. In preparation for the extraction, the mycelia of the brown-rot Fomitopsis fungal pathogen was grounded with a mortar and pestle into a fine powder in the presence of liquid nitrogen and freeze-dried [31].

Approximately 25 mg of the ground phytopathogen fungal mycelia were suspended in an Eppendorf tube containing 250 µL of extraction buffer and stir it by a pipette tip. Then, the slurry was mixed homogeneously with 175 µL of phenol. A 75 µL chloroform was added and mixed, then centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 1 h in an Eppendorf tube. The top ether aqueous phase was withdrawn and transferred into an Eppendorf tube containing 12.5 µL RNAase. The turbid solution was incubated for 5 – 10 min at 37°C. The mixture was extracted with 1 volume of chloroform and centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 10 min. The upper ether aqueous phase was placed into a sterile Eppendorf tube mixed with ~125 µL of isopropanol. The DNA was precipitated, and the supernatant was decanted. The previous process was repeated, and the obtained pellet was rinsed with 70 % ethanol followed by vacuum-drying under. The pellet was resuspended in 50 µL 10 mM Tris HCl, pH 8, and then added 0.1 mM filter sterilized EDTA. The extracted brown-rot Basidiomycetous Fomitopsis fungal pathogen genomic DNA was checked for purity. The DNA concentration was determined on a spectrophotometer (Pharmacia Biotech, Ultrospec2000 UV Visible Spectrophotometer) at 280 nm.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of brown-rot basidiomycetous Fomitopsis strain MM4 genomic DNA

According to the manufacturer's protocol, the PCR reactions were performed in 20 µl volumes containing 2 µl of the brown-rot basidiomycetous Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen genomic DNA according to the manufacturer’s protocol (Qiagen® TopTaq Master Mix Kit). The amplification of rDNA-ITS region was carried out in a thermal cycler (Eppendorf Mastercycler ep Gradient S,
Hamburg, Germany) and primers were ITS1 and ITS4 with initial denaturation at 95°C for 2 min followed by 35-cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 1 min, annealing at 55°C for 30 seconds, and extension at 72°C for 2 min. The thermal cycles were terminated by a final extension for 10 min at 72°C and maintained at 4°C [32]. Sterilized distilled water was used to replace the DNA template as the negative control. The universal primer used in the present study is expressed in S1.

**DNA sequencing of the PCR amplification of rDNA-ITS region of brown-rot basidiomycetous Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen strain MM4**

PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN, Germany) and immediately sequenced by a DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems) with primers for 18S rDNA (ITS1 and ITS4) and an automatic sequence (Genetic Analyzer 310; Applied Biosystems) used according to the manufacturer’s instructions at First Base Laboratories Sdn. Bhd. (Malaysia). The DNA sequences were analyzed and searched on the DDBJ/EMBL/NCBI GenBank using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) programs.

**Phylogenetic analysis of the basidiomycetous brown-rot Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen strain MM4**

MEGA 8.0 (http://www.megasoftware.net/) was used for constructing an individual phylogenetic tree of the 18S rDNA gene of the closely related/ matched sequence to confirm the genera of the MM4 strain. The results showed that the strain MM4 to be a basidiomycetous brown rot-Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen. The sequence was deposited in the GenBank database with the accession number MZ437369.

**Biochemical identification of the basidiomycetous brown-rot Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen strain MM4**

The biochemical analysis of the basidiomycetous brown-rot Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen strain MM4 used BIOLOG™ Gen III microplate to ascertain the fungus species through the “metabolic fingerprint” following the standard method [33]. BIOLOG III analysis consists of the sum of 96 carbon sources was utilized to identify the microorganisms. In our own case, it was the Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen [34]. The BIOLOG III service was outsourced to a commercial service provider (Focus Biotech Laboratories Sdn. Bhd. Malaysia).

**Pathogenicity confirmatory test of the basidiomycetous brown-rot Fomitopsis fungal pathogen strain MM4 on oil palm Elaeis guineensis**

For the confirmatory pathogenicity test, healthy oil palm seeds (commercial standard) and thirty (30) oil palm seedlings, three months old, were used in this study. The oil palm seedlings were obtained commercially from Pendang Nursery Sendirian Berhad Kedah, Malaysia. The seedlings were planted in nursing planting seed trays (300 × 22 × 50 mm) containing (Levington’s F2 + sand, Levingtons M2, perlite in ratio 1:1:1) and watered twice daily. Phytopathogenicity tests were conducted according to the methods described in earlier studies with slight modifications [35, 36, 37]. Following the pathogenicity test used three techniques with one untreated sample (negative control). The techniques were (i) 50 ml of fungal pathogens spore suspension (1x10^6/mL) were sprayed on leaves of each oil palm seedlings and wrapped with a clear transparent plastic bag to retain high relative humidity; (ii) a small cut were excised on the stem bole of each oil palm seedlings using a sterile scalpel and (iii) three-month-old oil palm seedlings were uprooted gently, then dipped into a beaker containing spore suspension (2 × 10^6/mL) of fungal phytopathogen, with approximately 250 ml of the inoculum per seedling.

Then, the treated oil palm seedlings roots were kept in a planting tray containing soil and cultures of the fungal phytopathogen F. meliae [37] (iv) non-inoculant (negative control with seedlings sprayed with sterilized double-distilled water). The experiment was performed in triplicate. The progress of chlorosis/necrosis and lesions on each seedling was recorded weekly for three months. The disease rating scale used in this study was 0-5, modified from the disease rating scale as described by another study [36, 38] (Figure 6). The mean differences of the collected data were analyzed using the analysis of variance and post-hoc test Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) on the SPSS statistical software. The brown-rot basidiomycetous Fomitopsis fungal disease symptoms and its rating scale are stated in S2.

Infection in the shoots was confirmed and achieved following the method described by Sundram et al., [38] with slight modifications. For re-isolation of the fungal pathogen from the
inoculated seedling roots with pathogenic fungi by surface sterilizing with 70% ethanol for 5 minutes followed by cutting the shoots of about 1 cm lengths and placed on malt extract agar (MEA) (refer to S3) supplemented with antibiotics and kept at 30°C in an incubator for seven days. The pathogenicity test used oil palm seeds placed on PDA plate, inoculated with the fungal pathogen (50 mL) spore suspension, and incubated at 30°C. The formed fungal mycelium was subcultured on freshly prepared PDA.

The study used a modified method described in an earlier study to evaluate the rot or spoil of the shoot tissues injury caused by brown-rot basidiomycetous Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen after the 3-month inoculation duration [39,36]. The oil palm seedling shoots were first cut into longitudinal sections. Then the internal shoots were observed for symptoms severity, based on the scale of 0 – 4 (scale 0, healthy shoot with no internal decay tissue in plant shoot; scale 1, 1 % - 5 % of the internal decay tissue in plant shoot were decayed; scale 2, 5 – 15 % of the internal decay tissue in plant shoot were decayed; scale 3, 15 % - 50 % of the internal decay tissue in plant shoot were decayed; scale 4, more than 50 % of the internal decay tissue in plant shoot were decayed). The disease severity on the oil palm seedlings caused by the brown-rot basidiomycetous Fomitopsis fungal phytopathogen was expressed as a percentage (DS %) based on a modified formula as shown in equation (1) [40, 36].

\[ DS(\%) = \frac{N \times R \times 100}{T \times M} \]  

Note:
N = No.of seedlings in disease rating scale
T = Total number of seedlings assessed
R = Disease rating scale
M = Maximum disease rating scale

Basidiomycetous Fomitopsis fungal pathogen was confirmed using Koch’s postulate: in which the cross-sections of the infected tissues were placed into a plate containing PDA (Oxoid Ltd, England) and incubated at 30°C for seven days. The fungal strains recovered after seven days of incubation were maintained on PDA (Oxoid Ltd, England) for the subsequent study.

Results and Discussions
Morphology of the selected basidiomycetous brown-rot Fomitopsis phytopathogen

The detrimental effects of plant diseases on the oil palm E. guineensis have encouraged concerted efforts to identify the fungal phytopathogens of oil palm. In this study, a total of 14 isolates of the fungal phytopathogens, Fomitopsis, which causes brown rot in oil palm E. guineensis, were morphologically identified as basidiomycetes. This was based on the presence of clamp connections or basidia/ basidiospores in the cultures (Figure 1). Among the 14 strains, only a single strain isolated from the infected stem of the oil palm tree, designated as the MM4 strain, exhibited the highest clamp connections and basidiospores. Seeing this, hence the study focused only on the MM4 strain.

Strain MM4 was fast-growing, with the mycelia completely covering the entire Petri dish within 3-7 days of incubation, forming whitish mycelia (starting from the 3rd day onwards). The fungus then turned pinkish when exposed to light. The lowermost of the colony retained the same colour of the growth medium with no visible coloration, consistent with a report by Rungjindamai and his colleagues [26]. The morphological features of the MM4 fungal strain were seen to match the characteristics of Fomitopsis species, as previously reported [26].

Further magnification (5000 ×) under a scanning electron microscope (SEM) revealed distinct dimitic hyphal system patterns. Also, the clamp connections showing skeletal hyphae microscopic features were consistent with the Fomitopsis sp. (Figure 1). The micrographs matched the topological description of the above said fungal pathogen previously described by Liu et al., [41]. The dimitic and trimitic generative hyphae formed a thick wall that varies between 1.5 – 2.0 µm, the spore-bearing structure (Basidia) formed a thin-walled clavate or hyaline, and the reproductive spore (Basidiospores) were also thin-walled. Pertinently, the collective fungal attributes agreed with the reported features of Fomitopsis species by Rungjindamai and his colleagues [26].

Molecular identification of the strain MM4 by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) of the internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region

In this study, by using the universal primer (ITS1 – ITS4), PCR amplification of total genomic DNA of Fomitopsis species produced a single PCR product having a 662 bp. A previous study by Nusaibah and her co-workers [42] revealed that random amplified polymorphic DNA
(RAPD) and amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) analysis had been proven to evaluate the variability of the basidiomycetous fungal phytopathogen strains in a population that vary in field related traits. Thus, characterization of the fungus at the species level is challenging, and the methods are very sensitive to contamination by other non-targeted fungi [42]. The primer pair, ITS1 - ITS4 was used to amplify a region from the 3ꞌ terminal end of the 18S rDNA to the 5ꞌ terminal end regions [43]. The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) was used to provide tools for unambiguous identification of oil palm Fomitopsis against other species of Fomitopsis in this study. This is concurrent with the discovery reported by Liu and his colleagues [41].

The last 7 bases at the 3ꞌ terminal end of the aligned gene in this study were found to be CGGAGGA as described in Figure 2, and the first 21 bases in this position in the 12 Fomitopsis isolates were 5ꞌ TTCCGTAGGTGAAC-CTGCAGGA 3ꞌ in this study. The length of the ITS1 region of the oil palm Fomitopsis strain MM4 was 19 bp, and the length of the ITS4 was 20 bp (S2). Two sequences that vary between the oil palm Fomitopsis in the ITS region were identified as Antrodia serialis and Aspergillus niger (outgroup) (Figure 3). The maximum parsimony tree demonstrated that the phylogeny of the Fomitopsis meliae in the phylogenetic tree was closely related to Antrodia serialis and other fungal species. The species were previously identified from various environments [22, 13].

**BIOLOG GEN III biochemical characterization**

A slightly modified method of Blumenstein et al., [44] was used to identify the phenotypic characteristics and metabolic potential of the brown rot Fomitopsis basidiomycetous fungal phytopathogen. In this study, analysis conducted using BIO-
LOG GEN III® micro plate confirmatory test gives information on the identified fungal *Fomitopsis* to metabolize different carbon, nitrogen, phosphorous, and sulphur sources as described by Mackie.
Table 1. General biochemical analysis of *Fomitopsis meliae* strain MM4 using BIOLOG<sup>TM</sup> GEN III Microplate

| Carbon sources                  | Results  |
|---------------------------------|----------|
| N-Acetyl-D-Glucosamine          | +        |
| Adonitol                        | +        |
| D-Arabinose                     | +        |
| L-Arabinose                     | +        |
| D-Arabitol                      | +        |
| D-Cellobiose                    | +        |
| i-Erythritol                    | +        |
| D-Galactose                     | +        |
| D-Galacturonic Acid             | +        |
| D-Gluconic Acid                 | +        |
| α-D-Glucose                     | +        |
| D-Gluconic Acid                 | +        |
| Glycogen                        | +        |
| m-Inositol                      | -        |
| 2-Keto-D-Gluconic Acid          | -        |
| α-D-Lactose                     | +        |
| Lactulose                       | +        |
| D-Sorbitol                      | -        |
| L-Sorbose                       | -        |
| Stachyose                       | -        |
| Sucrose                         | -        |
| D-Tagatose                      | +        |
| D-Trehalose                     | -        |
| Turanose                        | +        |
| Xylitol                         | -        |
| D-Xylose                        | +        |
| γ-Amino-butryc Acid             | +        |
| Bromosuccinic Acid              | -        |
| Fumaric Acid                    | +        |
| β-Hydroxy-butyric Acid          | +        |
| γ-Hydroxy-butyric Acid phydroxy- | -        |
| Phenylacetic Acid               | -        |
| Hydroxyphenylacetic Acid        | -        |
| α-Keto-gluaric Acid             | +        |
| D-Lactic Acid MethylEster       | -        |
| L-Lactic Acid                   | -        |
| D-Malic Acid                    | -        |
| L-Malic Acid                    | -        |
| Quinic Acid                     | -        |
| D-Saccharic Acid                | +        |
| Sebacic Acid                    | -        |
| Succinic Acid                   | +        |
| Succinic acid                   | +        |
| Succinic Acid MonoMethyl Ester  | +        |
| N-Acetyl-L-Glutamic Acid        | +        |
| Alaninamide                     | +        |
| L-Alanine                       | +        |
| L-Alanyl-Glycine                | +        |
| L-Asparagine                    | +        |
| Maltitol                        | -        |
| Maltose                         | -        |
| D-Mannitol                      | +        |
| D-Mannose                       | -        |
| D-Melezitose                    | +        |
| D-Melibiase                     | +        |
| α-Methyl-D-Galactoside          | -        |
| β-Methyl-D-Galactoside          | +        |
| α-Methyl-D-Glucoside            | +        |
| β-Methyl-D-Glucoside            | +        |
| Palatinose                      | -        |
| D-Psicose                       | -        |
| D-Raffinose                     | -        |
| L-Rhamnose                      | +        |
| D-Ribose                        | +        |
| Salicin                         | -        |
| Sedoheptulosan                  | -        |
| L-Aspartic Acid                 | +        |
| L-Glutamic Acid                 | -        |
| Glycyl-L-Glutamic Acid          | +        |
| L-Ornithine                     | +        |
| L-Phenylalanine                 | +        |
| L-Proline                       | -        |
| L-Pyroglutamic Acid             | -        |
| L-Serine                        | -        |
| L-Threonine                     | -        |
| 2-Amino Ethanol                 | -        |
| Putrescine                      | -        |
| Adenosine                       | -        |
| Uridine                         | -        |
| H12 Adenosine-5'-Monophosphate  | -        |

Note: +: for positive result; -: for negative results

et al., [45]. The test authenticates the “metabolic fingerprint” for the brown-rot *F. meliae* basidiomycetous fungal phytopathogen. The carbon utilization by strain MM4 confirmed that the pathogenic fungus was the brown-rot basidiomycetous *Formitopsis*, an endophytic fungus as reported by Blumenstein et al., [44]. Notably, D-glucose, D-
xylose and D-cellobiose were among the 47 carbon sources which the *Fomitopsis* MM4 strain highly metabolized. The outcome seen here concurred with the biochemical features of basidiomycetes previously described by Taylor [46].

It has been reported that, the vast habitats of filamentous fungi such as Basidiomycetous phytopathogenic fungi suggest their environmental outstanding from saprotrophy to biotrophy [47]. In this perspective, the isolated fungi *F. meliae* shows a promising production of cellulolytic and xylanolytic enzymes using a wide range of lignocellulosic biomass as substrate. Therefore, the study focused on basidiomycetous *Fomitopsis*, based on reports describing the fungus, on rare occasions, an opportunistic pathogen, especially on immune-compromised organisms [48,49]. The enzymes produced by the filamentous fungus are induced in the presence of polymeric substrates. The available environmental substrates are hydrolysed into their sugar monomers and metabolized by the fungi for growth [50]. The results of the D–cellobiose, D–xylose, and D–glucose metabolizing fungus strain MM4 isolated from infected mature oil palm trees are tabulated in Table 1.

**Pathogenicity**

A pathogenicity test was carried out by spraying the inoculum on the oil palm seedlings. The present technique was found to be more effective than incision and dip rooting methods (Figure 4). Symptoms of brown-rot progression in seedlings inoculated with *F. meliae* comprised chlorosis or necrosis of oil palm leaves, yellowing or desiccation of the oil palm leaves initiated at the tip. Gradually, the internal structure of the affected seedling softens, lesions are formed, and the plant eventually dies. The symptoms were noticeable from the first week of inoculation and till the end of 3 months observation. The brown-rot symptoms were noted on 20 % of the inoculated seedlings, which were given a disease rating scale of 2 at week-1. The symptoms became severe over time, which caused 97% of the affected oil palm seedlings to die. Hence, a disease rating scale of 5 was given (Figure 5). The brown-rot *Fomitopsis*-related percentage of death and disease severity based on necrotic or chlorosis of the leaves and rotting at the oil palm seedling shoots after 3 months inoculation was estimated as 24.85% and
The three months inoculation after infecting the oil palm seedlings shoots with the *F. meliae*, pathogen, results of the longitudinal sections of the shoots clearly showed brown colour soft lesions, which later became fatal to the seedlings (Figure 6). On the contrary, the untreated seedlings (negative control) appeared healthy and grew well. The study then successfully re-isolated the brown-rot fungal phytopathogen *F. meliae* from the brown-rot of the symptomatic leaves, shoot and the seeds and inoculated with the same suspension of *Fomitopsis* in the plate containing PDA (Figure 7). The outcome proved that *F. meliae* was the causal agent of the brown-rot disease in the oil palm seedlings, which pertinently, has yet to be reported in the literature. The outcome of this study hence supported the notion that the fungus is an opportunistic pathogen on oil palm seeds, and possibly, seedlings, as well as maturing oil palm trees.

The benchmark for the cause-and-effect relationship between pathogens and plant diseases, including the species of basidiomycetous fungi such as *Fomitopsis* is the Koch postulate’s method [51, 52, 53]. It is stated that, microorganisms must be isolated from a diseased organism and grown in axenic culture. In this study, the seedlings inoculated with *F. meliae* revealed internal symptom of shoot lesion and the *F. meliae* fungus was successfully re-isolated from the brown-rot symptomatic seedlings. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of brown-rot basidiomycetous *Fomitopsis* fungal phytopathogen on oil palm. In this study, the visible symptoms of the *F. meliae* infection on the oil palm seedlings appeared after 3 months of inoculation.

Most importantly, symptoms of the *F. meliae*-affected seedlings included progressive yellowing or desiccation of the oil palm leaves, lesions in the shoots and death of the seedlings. These symptoms were not observed on the untreated seedlings (negative control). Symptoms such as wilting, yellowing of oil palm seedlings observed on the treated seedlings caused damaged to the vascular...
All 30 tested seedlings utilized in the present study were found to be infected with *Fomitopsis meliae*, as apparent in the brown-rot symptoms on the seedlings in the pathogenicity test. While there were distinguishing variations in terms of the size of pathogen-inoculated shoots, there were notable differences in the progression of shoot lesions of the infected seedlings. Tissue decay and rotting internal shoot of the oil palm seedlings seen in this study corresponded to the findings of Roccotelli et al., on wood rotting of lemon citrus plant [53]. Lesions or brown-rote in the dissected pathogen-inoculated oil palm seedlings increased in size over time and affected other parts of the seedlings. Idris et al., also described a similar observation on basal stem rot fungal pathogen [51]. As a matter of fact, the study found that the prevalence of lesions in shoots between seedlings were significantly different (P < 0.05) (Table 2).

The results of the pathogenicity test on 30 oil palm seedlings after 3 months inoculation was found to be significant (P < 0.05). Profound
changes were seen in the seedling’s structure after infection with the *F. meliae* fungal pathogen. The damages seen in the oil palm shoots system (Figure 6) was the direct cause of the stunted growth and eventually death of the seedlings [36]. The ANOVA results in Table 2 showed that the mean square within and between the groups total of infected leaves of oil palm seedlings was 525.047, while the infected oil palm seedlings showing lesions on the shoots was higher at 188.055. The percentage of disease severity caused by *F. meliae* on the oil palm seedlings was 2059.918 and while the percentage related to deaths of the seedlings was 1695.625, compared to the control (2209.199). Significant differences were noted for the percentage of disease severity, death, effects on the seedlings and lesions on shoots seen in all the treatments. Collectively, with a huge variance statistic, this supported the pathogenicity of the newly identified *F. meliae* fungal phytopathogen on the oil palm seedlings in the present study. Interestingly, the statistical analysis showed that, there were significant differences in all the treatments. This was seen in the expression of large statistical mean compared to the control (Table 2). The outcome thus confirmed that the identified fungal pathogen *F. meliae* is pathogenic.

Consequently, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) affirmed the significant differences between the different treatments on the oil palm seeds. Thus, the Duncan’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) were used (Tables 4-6) to identify which

| Table 4. Univariate analysis of variance using Post-hoc Duncan’s Multiple Range Test showing the effect on the oil palm leaves |
| --- |
| Source | Type III Sum of Squares | Degrees of Freedom (df) | Mean Square | F | Significance |
| Corrected Model | 18073.987 | 9 | 2008.221 | 2.774 | 0.028 |
| Intercept | 20347.656 | 1 | 20347.656 | 28.109 | 0.00 |
| Effect on Oil Palm Leaves Treated | 18073.987 | 9 | 2008.221 | 2.774 | 0.028 |
| Error | 14477.867 | 20 | 723.893 | |
| Total | 52899.510 | 30 | | |
| Corrected Total | 32551.854 | 29 | | |

a: $R^2 = 0.93$ (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.91$)

| Table 5. Univariate analysis of variance using Post-hoc Duncan’s Multiple Range Test showing the effect on oil palm shoot lesions |
| --- |
| Source | Type III Sum of Squares | Degrees of Freedom (df) | Mean Square | F | Significance |
| Corrected Model | 30230.864 | 9 | 3358.985 | 5.877 | 0.00 |
| Intercept | 39613.507 | 1 | 39613.507 | 69.312 | 0.00 |
| Oil palm Shoots Treated | 30230.864 | 9 | 3358.985 | 5.877 | 0.00 |
| Error | 11430.502 | 20 | 571.525 | |
| Total | 81274.873 | 30 | | |
| Corrected Total | 41661.366 | 29 | | |

a: $R^2 = 0.96$ (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.94$)

| Table 6. Univariate analysis of variance using Post-hoc Duncan’s Multiple Range Test showing the effect on oil palm control |
| --- |
| Source | Type III Sum of Squares | Degrees of Freedom (df) | Mean Square | F | Significance |
| Corrected Model | 34818.300 | 10 | 3481.830 | 10.460 | 0.00 |
| Intercept | 15254.222 | 1 | 15254.222 | 45.825 | 0.00 |
| Untreated (Control) | 34818.300 | 10 | 3481.830 | 10.460 | 0.00 |
| Error | 6324.667 | 19 | 332.877 | |
| Total | 55619.000 | 30 | | |
| Corrected Total | 41142.967 | 29 | | |

a: $R^2 = 0.99$ (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.97$)
of the group of treatment was significantly different [55]. The ANOVA output of treatment 1 (Leaves), treatment 2 (Shoots) and the control (untreated) was presented in Table 3. The degrees of freedom 14, from each treatment and mean square of each treatment viz; 35.003, 12.537, and 147.280 respectively (Table 3), were used for the calculation of DMRT using SPSS at 5% (0.05) significance level.

In view of the significant differences observed between the different treatments on the oil palm seeds, the means pairs of the treated oil palm were subjected to Duncans’s Multiple Range Test (DMRT) [55] to compare the means between all the treatments group (30 treatments) and compared to the control (Table 4). The univariate analysis using the Post-hoc Duncans Multiple Range Test showed the effect on oil palm leaves (Table 4), revealed a p value of 0.028. This means that the symptoms of the Fomitopsis infection is significant. Likewise, the p value of 0.00 from the Duncan’s univariate analysis (Table 5) signified a significantly greater symptoms severity, in references to lesions on the shoots of the infected oil palm seedlings compared to the leaves, investigated in this study. The effect of Fomitopsis infection on the treated oil palm leaves with corrected model and mean square 18073.987 and R2 of 0.93, showed that the brown-rot symptoms were lower compared to the shoot of the oil palm seedlings. In fact, the latter showed severe lesions appeared on the shoots as proven by the lower corrected model mean square of 3358.985 and an R2 of 0.96 (Figure 5). The intercept means square Post-hoc Duncan’s Multiple Range Test on the pathogenicity of Fomitopsis -untreated sample was considerably lower than the means of the treated samples, which showed values of 15254.222 and R2 of 0.96 (Table 6). The data confirmed the profound difference in symptoms severity between the Fomitopsis-inoculated oil palm seeds compared to uninoculated ones (Figure 7). Pertinently, the values obtained from the comparison of pairs of means by DMRT (Table 4-6) were much higher in this study compared to a report by Idris et al. [51]. In short, the study proved that the Fomitopsis is a potentially serious brown-rot disease causing agent in oil palm seedlings, which should be a matter of concern to oil palm producers.

Conclusion

The present study successfully identified basidimycetous fungal phytopathogen and carried out molecular characterization from the infected stem and tissue of the oil palm (Elaeis guineensis) sample from FELDA Taib Andak, Kulai, Johor Malaysia. The characterized fungi possess all the characteristics of Fomitopsis meliae. To our knowledge, this is the first report of basidimycetous brown rot fungi caused by Fomitopsis meliae in oil palm in Malaysia. The ANOVA affirmed that there were significance differences between the different treatments on the oil palm seedlings, in which Fomitopsis infection symptoms were more severe on the shoots compared to the leaves of the infected oil palm seedlings. Hence, it was demonstrated that the Fomitopsis is a potentially serious brown-rot disease causing agent in oil palm seedlings. Coupled with the growing ineffectiveness of chemical treatments to combat fungal diseases in oil palm, the use of alternative biological agents to curb further proliferation of fungal phytopathogens is highly recommendable.
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