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Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa) is the principal food for more than 50% people and contributes about one-fifth to the total calories consumption of the world. The statistics showed that during the crop year 2016-17 India produced 110.15 million metric tonnes of milled rice from 43.19 million ha area, making the country the world’s second largest producer of rice. To meet the food and nutritional requirement, India alone would need about 156 metric tonne of rice by 2030 at an annual increment of 3 metric tonnes in the current rice production. There are several constraints to achieve desired potential of rice but in SRI methods help increase fields by over 50% while using 40% less water than conventional method. The SRI methods increase yield the productivity of water since yield can double or more with only half as much water, the productivity of water in greatly increased this is especially important in countries or places where water is becoming scarcer.

In India, the green revolution was oriented toward high input usage particularly, fertilizer, water and plant protection chemical,
As a result of excessive use of inputs, the yield also stagnated in many part of rice growing region in India. Through technological break through to meet the growing demand. Hence some improved management practices like SRI was implemented in many part of India SRI can be a most suitable method of rice cultivation to poor farmers who have relatively more labour than land and capital.

Materials and Methods

The present study is a part of mandatory programme of Krishi vigyan Kendra Damoh (M.P). participatory rural appraisal (PRA), group discussion and transect walk were followed to explore the detail information of study area and between technology intervention, HRD, component (Training/ Kisan mela /sangosthi /field day etc) were also include to excel the farmers understanding and skill about the demonstrated technology on transplanting of rice in single seedling at two leaf stage (8-12 days) at a distance of 25 cm or more in square i.e system of rice Intensification method of transplanting. The front line demonstration conduct in twenty four farmers Held at Bandakpur and Halgaj Village of Damoh District during Kharif 2015-16 and 2016-17. Under Improved practice (SRI) plots use of SRI method of transplanting in cvIR64. Other hand in farmers practices (FP) i.e 3-4 seedling about 20-25 days old seedling without maintains proper distance. Data on the No of Effective tillers, grain per penicle biological yield, harvest index (Table 1) and Gross return (Rs/ha), Net return (Rs/ha), B:C ratio were computed (Table 2). Finally the extension gap, technology gap and technology index (Table 3) were also calculated.

Results and Discussion

Frontline demonstration on SRI method of rice transplanting conducted by using most popular Variety IR64 in area 10ha at 24 farmers field in Bandakpur and Halgaj Village of Damoh District. Effective tiller per plant and grain per penicle were found tower 15 tiller/plant and 68 grain per penicle in FP (results Calculated that average of two year) followed by 35 tillers/plant and 112 grains/penicle in improved practices (IP). The same trend found in case of Net profit, an average of Rs 61000/ha was recorded under IP, while it was Rs 22200/ha under FP. Benefit cost Ratio was 2.65 under IP, while it was 1.88 under F,P (Table 2) in Table 3 the extension gap i.e. 28q/ha during the period of study emphasized the need to educate the farmers through varies means for the adoption of improved technology. The trend of technology gap ranging between 4-8 q/ha reflected farmer’s co operation in carrying out demonstration with encouraging results in both the years.

Table 1 Performance of FLD as affected by improved practices (IP) as well as farmers practices (FP) (mean of two year 2015-16 and 2016-17)

| S. No. | Parameters                  | Treatments |
|-------|-----------------------------|------------|
|       |                             | IP         | FP         |
| 1.    | Effective Tiller/plant (No) | 35         | 15         |
| 2.    | Grain/penicle (No)          | 112        | 68         |
| 3.    | Grain yield (q/ha)          | 54         | 26         |
| 4.    | Biological yield(q/ha)      | 116        | 56         |
| 5.    | Harvest Index (%)           | 46.5       | 46.4       |
Table 2: Economics of FLD as affected by IP as well as FP

| Year    | Yield q/ha | % increase over FP | Gross Expenditure Rs/ha | Grass income Rs/ha | Net Profit | B.C Ratio |
|---------|------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------|
|         | IP         | FP                 | IP                      | FP                | IP         | FP        |
| 2015-16 | 56         | 24                 | 133.3                   | 36000             | 101600     | 65600     | 2.82      | 1.81      |
| 2016-17 | 52         | 28                 | 85.7                    | 38000             | 94400      | 56400     | 2.48      | 1.95      |
| mean    | 54         | 26                 | 109.5                   | 37000             | 98000      | 61000     | 2.65      | 1.88      |

Table 3: Productivity, Technology gap, extension gap and Technology index of SRI as affected by IP as well as FP

| Year    | Area (ha) | No. of farmer | Yield q/ha | % increase over FP | Technology Gap (q/ha) | Extension Gap (q/ha) | Technology Index (%) |
|---------|-----------|---------------|------------|--------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
|         | Potential | IP            | FP         |                    |                       |                      |                      |
| 2016-17 | 5         | 12            | 60         | 56                 | 24                    | 4                    | 32                   | 6.6                   |
| 2017-18 | 5         | 12            | 60         | 51                 | 28                    | 8                    | 24                   | 13.3                  |
| Total /Mean | 10     | 24            | 60         | 54                 | 26                    | 109.5                | 6                    | 28                   | 9.9                   |

The technology index showed the feasibility of the demonstrated technology at farmers field. The lower value of technology index, the more is the feasibility of the technology. As such, the reduction in technology index from 6.6% during 2015-16 to 13.3% during 2016-17 exhibited the feasibility of the demonstrated technology in this region.

In conclusion frontline demonstration on transplanting of rice in SRI method was conducted in two village of Damoh District and result conclude the average highest Yield 54q/ha in IP fallowed by 26 q/ha in F.P, means 109.5% gain. It was observed that the potential yield can be achieved by imparting scientific knowledge to the farmers providing the quality need based input and proper application of SRI method of transplanting. Horizontal spread of improved technology may be achieved by successful implementation of FLD and various extension activities in farmers field.
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