Exercise-Induced Laryngeal Obstruction: When Pediatric Exertional Dyspnea Does not Respond to Bronchodilators
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Exertional dyspnea is a common complaint in general pediatric practice. While a high proportion of the general pediatric population has asthma, other diagnoses, including exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction should be considered, especially when asthma therapy is not sufficient to control symptoms. This review describes some of the key clinical features of exertional-induced laryngeal obstruction as well as preferred diagnostic and therapeutic approaches. Importantly, current diagnostic technology has considerably improved in the last decade at specialty centers. At the same time, infrastructure for clinical trials is emerging and there is not strong evidence to support specific therapies at the current time.
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INTRODUCTION

Exertional dyspnea is a common presenting complaint in a general pediatric population. It is an important symptom regardless of cause because it may affect quality of life and willingness to exercise in an age characterized by concerns about decreased physical activity (1–3). It also may be a reflection of conditioning status or underlying pathology in a variety of organ systems (4). Finally, treatment of the conditions that cause exercise intolerance may safely increase a child's willingness to exercise, thereby optimizing normal growth and maturation and improving the child's cardiovascular risk profile later in life (5).

Among primary respiratory conditions leading to exertional dyspnea in pediatric populations, asthma is thought to be the most common cause, affecting nearly 300 million people globally and 10% of pediatric populations (6, 7). Exertional symptoms are present in the majority of patients, the impact of other diseases is important for clinicians, researchers, and the general population to consider.

Exercise-induced laryngeal obstruction (EILO) another common condition that causes exertional dyspnea and it is increasingly recognized for its impact on individual patients and populations. The disease is characterized by symptomatic upper airway obstruction at the level of the glottis or supraglottis during exercise in the absence of symptoms or obstruction at rest (8). In the evaluation of exertional dyspnea, EILO is a condition that warrants attention alongside asthma in selected populations, but our understanding of the condition is primitive when compared to asthma. This review will summarize this understanding of EILO and outline potential areas of high-yield scientific growth in the future.
DEFINITION, NOMENCLATURE, AND DESCRIPTION

In 2015, an international expert panel published a consensus document which discussed definitions and nomenclature related to episodic shortness of breath caused by the upper airway (8). “Inducible laryngeal obstruction” was introduced as the preferred term to describe glottic or supraglottic obstruction which occurred in response to a variety of environmental, psychologic, or exertional triggers. EILO is the term used to describe this phenomenon when the trigger is isolated to exercise. It replaces previously used terms including vocal cord dysfunction and paradoxical vocal fold motion.

EILO is defined by the presence of laryngoscopically visible upper airway obstruction that occurs during exercise before self-resolving (8). By definition, this same obstruction does not occur at rest. Historically, many of the descriptions of this condition highlighted involvement of the vocal folds. EILO can also be caused by a variety of structures in the supraglottic region including the arytenoid cartilages, tissue in the intrarytenoid space, aryepiglottic folds, and rarely, the epiglottis. Although obstruction at either the glottic or supraglottic level is sufficient to make a diagnosis, the precise degree of obstruction at either anatomic level which differentiates 1) normal upper airway function from 2) an observed abnormality lacking clinical significance from 3) a clinical problem has not been defined.

EPIDEMIOLOGY AND IMPACT

The precise incidence and prevalence of this condition across a cross section of a population representing a variety of races and ethnicities has not been perfectly defined. Studies published to assess EILO prevalence have varied in terms of diagnostic methodologies. Nonetheless, in northern Europe, two studies both estimated that the population prevalence of EILO across adolescents and young adult seems to be in the range of 5% (9, 10). Other studies have assessed sample EILO prevalence in referral clinics as well as patient characteristics among samples of patients previously diagnosed with EILO. Within clinics focused on refractory asthma or exertional dyspnea, EILO has been detected in a large, but highly variable proportion of patients (11–14). Many of these studies as well as others document and unequal gender distribution of identified subjects with a strong female predominance (15, 16). When race and ethnicity is reported, there is a notable Caucasian predominance (15, 16). There is not a clear understanding of changes in disease prevalence across the age spectrum.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY

The mechanism of EILO is not completely understood. A variety of intrinsic and extrinsic contributors may be important. Anatomic factors, including airway size or airway pliability, logically impact the physics of airflow and may contribute to the frequency and severity of disease (17, 18).

Upper airway microanatomic factors could impact stimuli processing or the magnitude of inappropriate neuromuscular responses, possibly mediated through epithelial, neurologic, or muscular dysfunction (19).

The literature has highlighted a behavioral phenotype of EILO patients (20). Our understanding of the relevance of these behavioral observations is primitive and causality is certainly not proven.

Potential extrinsic contributors to the process of inappropriate upper airway obstruction during exercise include asthma, reflux, and nasal disease (21). Asthma triggers and EILO triggers are not necessarily identical (22). In EILO, upper airway abnormalities have been detected almost exclusively during high-intensity exercise (15). Surrogate testing has not yet been identified.

CLINICAL PRESENTATION

Many of the case reports and case series focusing on EILO discuss competitive young females presenting with frightening inspiratory stridor during strenuous exercise which resolves within minutes of exercise termination. Sometimes a personality phenotype characterized by perfectionistic or anxiety spectrum features co-travels with the description of symptoms (23).

In clinical practice, disease variability is likely extensive. The presence or absence of stridor may simply depend on disease severity and patient size (which is an important determinant of airflow) and extensive focus on stridor has the potential to mislead clinicians into discarding the diagnosis in smaller patients. Patient demographic features including age, gender, and athletic level have varied in the literature. A 32-year-old female presenting with long-standing exertional stridor secondary to supraglottic obstruction was one of the first cases presented in the literature (24). Young children with prominent supraglottic obstruction may also present with stridor, especially those known to have a degree of congenital laryngomalacia (18). The literature describes a female predominance, yet at the same time, males make up a large proportion of patients. Some cases come to light in the evaluation of “steroid-resistant asthma” (25, 26).

At an anecdotal level, our experience at a referral center that focuses on exertional dyspnea supports messages of EILO variability and the importance of curiosity during clinical histories.

We have recognized age as potentially misleading. Early school-age patients, later found to have prominent supraglottic EILO via continuous laryngoscopy during exercise (CLE), have initially presented with highly consistent exertional stridor which is not frightening to the patient, but highly concerning to observers. Patients in their 50s, later found to have prominent glottic EILO, have presented with “steroid-dependent asthma” and significant side effects from chronic oral steroid use including weight increases of over 50 kg over the course of therapy.

We are concerned that excessive focus on athletic level has the potential to unconsciously affect the rigor of diagnostic evaluation was performed by clinicians. In this line of thinking, we are concerned that patients not performing at a high level will be less likely to receive extensive exercise diagnostics because the perceived impact of exertional symptoms seems lower.
We have recognized descriptions of symptoms across the respiratory cycle as potentially misleading. Patients of almost all ages frequently refer to all audible respiratory noise as “wheeze,” even those later to proven to struggle with isolated inspiratory obstruction with EILO. Many patients with severe obstructive disease, later proven to be unaffected by EILO, seem to describe symptoms as inspiratory despite the physiology of their diseases. While not published, we have recognized excessive focus on audible stridor to be potentially misleading as well. Smaller patients may not have airflows sufficient to generate an audible noise or findings may be subtle (Video 1). Other patients, despite reproduction of obvious audible stridor during exercise testing, do not seem to be aware of the noise and subsequently do not report it to healthcare providers.

**DIAGNOSTIC EVALUATION WITH CONSIDERATION OF DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

The diagnostic evaluation of EILO should be one part of a general evaluation looking and causes of exertional dyspnea. In the pediatric population, as part of this evaluation, it is reasonable to consider both very common and potentially dangerous conditions.

When considering respiratory causes of exertional dyspnea, clearly asthma needs to be considered (Figure 1). In pediatric populations, many patients with exercised-induced bronchoconstriction struggle with non-exertional symptoms of cough and wheeze at baseline or during viral illness. As asthma is quite common, we recommend the use of spirometry and bronchodilator testing as an initial screen. At a more detailed level, and depending on available resources, provocative testing with methacholine challenge or eucapnic voluntary hyperventilation can be considered.

Cardiac causes of exertional dyspnea within pediatric populations, in whom congenital heart disease was presumably identified in infancy, are not as common as respiratory causes (given that asthma affects roughly 10% of the population). Importantly, identification of audible adventitial sounds should direct the clinical evaluation away from extensive cardiac testing because adventitial sounds are confined to the airway space. Syncope is a symptom that should always trigger a rigorous cardiac evaluation as left-sided obstructive lesions and dysrhythmias can be fatal.

Presyncope is a challenging coexisting complaint to evaluate. It can be associated with a number of cardiac, autonomic, and respiratory conditions. When clearly linked temporally with coexisting respiratory symptoms, such as described hyperventilation, it may be reasonable to defer extensive cardiac evaluation.

Once attention is turned to EILO, clinicians may be burdened by the relatively large number of methods described in the literature to evaluate the condition. Published case series have included subjects through the use of clinical history alone, flow volume loop analysis at rest, flow volume loop analysis during exercise, auscultation during exercise, ultrasound-based approaches, impulse oscillometry, resting laryngoscopy, post-exercise laryngoscopy, pre-and post-exercise laryngoscopy, and CLE (27–31).

**CONTINUOUS LARYNGOSCOPY DURING EXERCISE**

In centers with appropriate resources to perform safe and tolerable examinations, we recommend CLE as a preferred diagnostic approach over resting laryngoscopy and post-exertional laryngoscopy. In this procedure, a flexible laryngoscope is introduced prior to exercise and remains in place throughout an exercise challenge intended to reproduce characteristic field symptoms. CLE is reported in the literature as part of exercise testing in a variety of exercise modes including treadmill ergometry, cycle ergometry, rowing, and swimming (31–34). Each exercise modality presents unique challenges to proceduralists, with cycle ergometry likely being the safest and most feasible of the above exercise modes (Figure 2). Centers interested in pursuing this diagnostic approach also need to consider the relative likelihood of reproducing field symptoms across these different exercise modes in any given patient. Some authors have advocated for the use of treadmill ergometry as the preferred exercise mode for this reason (35).

There are multiple lines of reasoning that support the use of CLE as the preferred diagnostic method for EILO when available resources support the possibility. Importantly, the literature describes the visualized endoscopic findings of EILO patients as a function of exercise intensity (15). This study clearly describes an absence of resting findings as well as an absence of visualized findings during moderate exercise intensity. This study also describes a very rapid resolution of visualized findings upon exercise termination. Secondly, laryngoscopy can occasionally be an uncomfortable procedure if not performed by an experienced endoscopist. Performing a laryngoscopy after intense exercise during a period of shortness of breath has the potential to introduce abnormal laryngeal movements that do not accurately represent glottic behavior during intense exercise. Finally, although not quantitatively described in published literature, in our experience patients demonstrate an improved level of diagnostic acceptance and understanding after observing endoscopic and external video footage of observe symptoms which are perceived to reproduce characteristic field symptoms.

**THERAPEUTIC OPTIONS**

**Medical Therapies**

Currently, EILO therapies likely vary across centers and regions. Studies have documented case-based successes of medical, surgical, and behavioral therapies. There have not been published prospective randomized trials with well-described therapies on patients with symptoms isolated to exercise. There is a case series of patients with non-specific ILO in which tricyclic antidepressants were concluded to demonstrate potential benefit (36). This therapy is not recommended at the current time for EILO because patients with symptoms isolated to exercise were not a focus of this trial. The use of inhaled anticholinergic agents...
FIGURE 1 | A suggested diagnostic algorithm for young athletes complaining of exertional dyspnea.

FIGURE 2 | Continuous laryngoscopy during exercise apparatus enables real-time visualization of the larynx with simultaneous ventilator and metabolic data collection. Verbal and written consent for the use of this image was obtained from the patient for use in this manuscript.
has also been proposed (37). Anticholinergic agents theoretically decrease muscarinic stimulus of laryngeal adductors and may favorably alter upper airway secretions.

Medical therapy may be more relevant for the treatment of conditions which may contribute to the presentation of EILO. Asthma, nasal disease, gastroesophageal reflux, and behavioral health disorders may theoretically affect EILO and may be amenable to medical therapy.

**Surgical Therapies**

Surgery may be a reasonable option for patients with EILO in patients with a prominent supraglottic component to the disease. Some European laryngology groups have used supraglottoplasty for selected patients because some of the laryngeal findings are reminiscent of patients with congenital laryngomalacia (38–42). The specific surgical approach includes release of the aryepiglottic folds and reduction in the size of the arytenoid towers. While initial reports of the approach seemed promising, it is important to understand that there is not a published body of data describing complications of the procedure.

Botulinum toxin, which can be injected into specific structures in the larynx, has been proposed as a potential therapy for patients that fail non-invasive therapies (43–45). As with medical therapies noted above, there is not sufficient evidence in patients with symptoms limited to exercise to warrant a recommendation for the use of this therapy.

**Behavioral Therapies**

Speech-language pathology intervention has been noted to be a potential therapy since the early reports of irritant-associated ILO were published (46). Specific breathing techniques taught by speech and language pathologists may differ across centers (47–50). General relaxation and biofeedback have also been incorporated in some of the therapies (16, 51). Behavioral therapies do not carry specific medical or surgical risks, but the potential positive effects of these therapies are challenging to study as they are likely multifactorial.

**FUTURE**

There are a number of very important gaps in our understanding of EILO. These range from basic science considerations to detailed treatment considerations.

Most importantly, the subtypes and associated mechanisms of EILO are very poorly understood. The impact of this problem is obvious when one considers the very broad spectrum of treatment approaches for the disease which may vary across regions of the world. As noted above, there are published schools of thought that focus on medical interventions with anticholinergic agents or reflux suppression, surgical interventions with supraglottoplasty, and behavioral health interventions with respiratory retraining, biofeedback, and psychotherapy.

Working backwards from these empiric therapies, it is possible to hypothesize that the primary physiologic abnormality underlying the condition varies across different subtypes of disease yet to be identified definitively. It is also possible to hypothesize that the mechanism of disease relates to structural considerations of airway size and macro anatomy, epithelial integrity and micro-anatomy, airway muscles, airway nerves, intrinsic or extrinsic airway irritants, or central nervous processes.

At the current time, the hurdles to elucidating disease mechanism are numerous. They include the lack of a definitive categorization system of different disease subtypes and the lack of a widely-agreed-upon metric of disease severity. There is also a lack of feasible assays to assess airway size, airway pliability, airway irritability, epithelial integrity, muscle function, nerve function, and instantaneous central nervous stress.

In addition to a developing understanding of disease mechanism, there is a poor understanding of the differences observed across patients in terms of clinical presentation. For example, why do patients with similar degrees of airway obstruction observed on CLE present with seemingly different histories in terms of symptom quantity, sensation perception, and disease impact?

There are important gaps to fill in terms of diagnostic testing. While recent literature suggests that CLE is the preferred methodology for diagnosing the condition. There are still many unanswered question regarding exercise mode, exercise protocol, and environmental conditions, the answers to which will impact test sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value.

Finally, there are many unanswered questions related to disease treatment. It is possible to hypothesize that different schools of thought regarding disease treatment evolved across different medical specialties. As noted above, seminal literature on the condition developed within the fields of otolaryngology and pulmonology. Subsequently, authors from a number of different specialties including general medicine, allergy/immunology, sports medicine, and performance psychology have contributed to our collective knowledge of disease treatment. Ultimately, a better understanding of disease mechanism will lead to more personalized treatments.

In terms of quantifying the effects of these treatments and comparing them against one another, improved clinical trials infrastructure is required. Both physiologic in patient-reported measures of short-term and long-term disease impact will be required. Clinical trials will also rely on standardization of provocative protocols. Differences reported across centers will need to be understood as well.
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