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ABSTRACT

The interstellar delivery of carbon atoms locked into molecules might be one of the key ingredients for the emergence of life. Cyanopolyynes are carbon chains delimitated at their two extremities by an atom of hydrogen and a cyano group, so that they might be excellent reservoirs of carbon. The simplest member, HC$_3$N, is ubiquitous in the galactic interstellar medium and found also in external galaxies. Thus, understanding the growth of cyanopolyynes in regions forming stars similar to our Sun, and what affects it, is particularly relevant. In the framework of the IRAM/NOEMA Large Program SOLIS (Seeds Of Life In Space), we have obtained a map of two cyanopolyynes, HC$_3$N and HC$_5$N in the protocluster OMC-2 FIR4. Because our Sun is thought to be born in a rich cluster, OMC-2 FIR4 is one of the closest and best known representatives of the environment in which the Sun may have been born. We find a HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio across the source in the range ∼ 1 – 30, with the smallest values (≤ 10) in FIR5 and in the Eastern region of FIR4. The ratios ≤ 10 can be reproduced by chemical models only if: (1) the cosmic-ray ionisation rate ζ is ∼ 4 × 10$^{-14}$ s$^{-1}$; (2) the gaseous elemental ratio C/O is close to unity; (3) O and C are largely depleted. The large ζ is comparable to that measured in FIR4 by previous works and was interpreted as due to a flux of energetic (≥ 10 MeV) particles from embedded sources. We suggest that these sources could lie East of FIR4 and FIR5. A temperature gradient across FIR4, with T decreasing by about 10 K, could also explain the observed change in the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N line ratio, without the need of a cosmic ray ionisation rate gradient. However, even in this case, a high constant cosmic-ray ionisation rate (of the order of 10$^{-14}$ s$^{-1}$) is necessary to reproduce the observations.
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1. Introduction
The origin of life, as we know it, requires the simultaneous presence of at least two "ingredients": liquid water and carbon atoms. In the past years, a lot of work has been devoted to the search for water reservoirs in all the evolutionary steps that lead to the formation of Sun-like stars, from pre-stellar cores (Caselli et al. 2012), to accreting protostars (Ceccarelli et al. 1999, Jorgensen et al. 2010), to protoplanetary disks (Hogerheijde et al. 2012, Podio et al. 2013, Clevees et al. 2014). However, relatively little is known about the presence and growth of carbon chains, which might be an important reservoir of carbon atoms usable to build large biotic molecules (e.g. Loison et al. 2004, Balucani et al. 2000, Balucani 2005). In this respect, cyanopolyynes, i.e. carbon chain molecules with an atom of hydrogen and a group of CN at their extremities (generic formula: HC\(_{2n+1}\)N), are among the best species to understand the formation of carbon chains. Indeed, they are ubiquitous in the interstellar medium, detected in the Milky Way as well as in external galaxies (e.g. Broten et al. 1978, Bell et al. 1992, Mauersberger et al. 1994). Even more interesting in the astrobiological context, cyanopolyynes were detected in protoplanetary disks (Chapillon et al. 2012, Oberg et al. 2013), on Titan’s atmosphere (e.g. Vuitton et al. 2007) and comets (e.g. Mumma & Charnley 2011), the continuous rain of which may have enriched the primitive Earth of carbon usable for synthesising biological molecules. All this makes cyanopolyynes excellent potential reservoirs of molecular carbon for the formation of longer chains of (pre-)biological importance.

The largest cyanopolyyne detected so far in the interstellar medium is HC\(_3\)N (Broten et al. 1978). However, only relatively small cyanopolyynes, up to HC\(_4\)N, have been detected in Solar-type protostars and in a relatively small sample: L1521E (Hirotta et al. 2004), L1527 (Sakai et al. 2008), L1512 and L1251A (Cordiner et al. 2011), Cha-MMS1 (Cordiner et al. 2012), and IRAS16293-2422 (Jaber Al-Edhari et al. 2017). Hence, what makes cyanopolyynes thrive in Solar-type protostellar environments is still an open question. In this paper, we report observations of HC\(_3\)N and HC\(_4\)N towards the source OMC-2 FIR4 obtained with the interferometer NOEMA within the Large Program SOLIS (Seeds Of Life In Space: Ceccarelli et al. in prep.).

OMC-2 FIR4 is part of the Orion Molecular Cloud 2, north of the famous KL object, at a distance of \(\sim\)240 pc (Menten et al. 2007, Hirotta et al. 2007). It lies on a bridge of material, probably a filament where new protostars are forming (Chini et al. 1997). FIR4 is in between two other young protostars: FIR3 (also known as SOF 2N or HOPS 370; Adams et al. 2012), about 30’’ north-west, and FIR5 (SOF 4 or HOPS 369; Adams et al. 2012), about 20’’ south-east (Mezger et al. 1990). OMC-2 FIR4 is itself a young proto-cluster which harbours several embedded low- and intermediate-mass protostars (Shimajiri et al. 2000, López-Sepulcre et al. 2013a). High-velocity symmetric wings in high-J CO and water lines detected by Herschel suggest the presence of a yet unveiled compact outflow from one of the embedded sources of the FIR4 cluster. The total mass of FIR4 is around 30\(M_\odot\) (Mezger et al. 1990, Crimier et al. 2009) and its luminosity is less than 1000\(L_\odot\) (Crimier et al. 2009, Furlan et al. 2014), respectively. Adams et al. (2012) found a mid-IR source, SOF 3 or HOPS 108, whose bolometric luminosity is estimated to be 38\(L_\odot\) by Furlan et al. (2014), associated with the extended FIR4 millimeter emission, but not coincident with its emission peak. No specific observations focused on FIR3 and FIR5, the status of which, therefore, remains poorly known. Finally, Herschel observations have revealed the presence of an inner source of energetic (\(\geq\)10 MeV) particles which ionise the surrounding envelope up to a distance of 3500–5000 AU (Ceccarelli et al. 2014). Intriguingly, the derived irradiation dose is of the same order of magnitude as that experienced by the young Sun and whose trace is recorded in meteoritic material, by the so-called Short-Lived Radionuclides (SLRs: e.g. Gounelle et al. 2013). This and the mounting evidence that the Sun was born in a crowded cluster of stars (even including massive stars) rather than in an isolated clump (Adams 2010) make OMC-2 FIR4 the closest analogue of what must have been the environment of our Sun at the very beginning of its formation. In this context, the study of the cyanopolyynes towards OMC-2 FIR4 provides constraints on their growth in a similar natal environment.

2. Observations and results
Observations with the IRAM NOEMA Interferometer of HC\(_3\)N (9–8) and HC\(_4\)N (31–30), at rest frequencies 81.881468 GHz (Thorwirth et al. 2000) and 82.339039 GHz (Bizzocchi et al. 2004), respectively, towards OMC-2 FIR4 have been carried out in 5 days between the 5th and the 19th of August, 2015. We used the array in D configuration, with baselines from 15 to 95 m, providing an angular resolution of \(\sim\)9.5 \(\times\) 6.1” at the frequency of both lines. The phase center was R.A.(J2000) = 05h35m26.9’s, Dec.(J2000) = -05\degree 09’ 56.8”, and the local standard of rest velocity was set to 11.4 km s\(^{-1}\). The primary beam is \(\sim\)61”. The system temperature was in between 100 and 200 K in all tracks, and the amount of precipitable water vapour was generally around 10 mm. The calibration of the bandpass was performed on 3C454.3, while 0524+034 was used for calibration of the gains in phase and amplitude. The absolute flux scale was set by observing MWC349 (~ 1.0 Jy at 82.1 GHz) when observable (three out of five days), and LKHA101 (~ 0.21 Jy at 82.1 GHz) during the other days.

The HC\(_3\)N line was observed in the Wide band correlator, providing a resolution in velocity of \(\sim\)7.15 km s\(^{-1}\), while the HC\(_4\)N line was observed also in the Narrow band correlator with a resolution in velocity of \(\sim\)0.57 km s\(^{-1}\). The following analysis of the HC\(_3\)N line will be conducted using mainly the higher resolution spectrum. We will show in the following that the difference in the spectral resolutions has a negligible effect on our analysis, which is focused on comparing the integrated intensities of the two lines. The continuum was imaged by averaging the line-free channels of the Wide and Narrow correlator units. Calibration and imaging were performed using the CLIC and MAPPING softwares of the GILDAS\(^1\) package using standard procedures. The continuum image was self-calibrated, and the solutions were applied to the lines. Other lines detected in the Wide broad band correlator will be presented in accompanying papers.

The line strength and energy of the upper level of the two transitions are given in Table 1 and are taken from the Cologne Database for Molecular Spectroscopy (CDMS; Müller et al. 2001, Müller et al. 2005).

---

\(^1\) The GILDAS software is developed at the IRAM and the Observatoire de Grenoble, and is available at http://www.iram.fr/IRAMFR/GILDAS.
In panel (c), we show the integrated emission of HC$_3$N (9–8), which also arises mainly from FIR4 and FIR5, but significant emission is detected also towards FIR3. Overall, the continuum emission is more consistent with that of HC$_3$N (compare panels (a) and (c)) than with that of HCN (compare panels (a) and (b) or (f)), although in both lines the emission is clearly shifted (and more extended) to the East of the continuum peak.

Concerning the comparison between the two carbon-chain species, the HC$_3$N emission is well centred towards FIR4, while the HC$_5$N emission is clearly displaced East of the map centre (compare panel (c) with panel (b) or (f)). Towards FIR5, both lines show faint and compact emission. Interestingly, the HC$_5$N emission seems clearly associated with the mid-IR embedded protostar detected at 8, 24, and 70 µm by Furlan et al. (2014), indicated by the open star in Fig. 1. This suggests an enhanced formation of HC$_5$N close to this protostar. Moreover, there is possibly a link between the different distribution of the two cyanopolyynes and the free-free emission detected with the JVLA (Lopez-Sepulcre, pers. comm.) East of FIR4. We will come back more extensively to this point in Sect. 4. However, a comparison with the JVLA data goes beyond the scope of this work and will be discussed in a forthcoming paper.

In both lines, we resolve out a significant fraction of the extended flux. Fig. A.1 shows the comparison between the NOEMA and IRAM-30m spectra extracted from the IRAM-30m beam: we find that in FIR4 we recover only 20% of the total flux in HC$_3$N, and ∼ 15% in HC$_5$N. Therefore, even though our maps are certainly resolving out a lot of extended emission, the fraction of this emission is comparable (within the calibration errors), and it is related to the same angular scale (because the observations of the two lines are simultaneous). Therefore, the comparison between the two maps should be only marginally affected by the differential filtering. Details on how we have estimated the missing flux are given in Sect. A.1 of the Appendix.

As stated before, our analysis on HC$_3$N is mainly based on the higher-spectral resolution data extracted from the Narrow correlator. However, we have evaluated whether the different spectral resolution had an effect in the comparison of the two lines: for this purpose, we have also extracted the spectrum of the HC$_5$N line from the Wide correlator. As an example, in Fig. A.1, we show the comparison between the Narrow and Wide spectra of HC$_3$N extracted from the region FIR4-HC$_3$N (red contour in Fig. 1). We have found that the difference in the integrated intensity between the Wide and Narrow spectrum is only ∼ 7%, smaller than the calibration uncertainty. Thus, because our analysis will be based on the total integrated intensity of the two lines, our conclusions will not be not affected by the different spectral resolutions. Moreover, by comparing the maps of the total integrated emission of HC$_3$N obtained from the Wide (panel (b)) and the Narrow (panel (f)) correlators, it is apparent that the two correlators do not produce maps with major overall differences in the morphology of the HC$_3$N emission.

From the presented dataset, we have detected both the HC$_3$N (9–8) and HC$_5$N (31–30) transitions towards OMC-2 FIR4 with excellent signal-to-noise ratio (larger than 10 for both lines). Fig. 1 shows the morphology of the continuum emission at ∼ 82 GHz (panel (a)), and that of the velocity-averaged emission of both lines in panels (b) - (f). In Fig. 1 panels (b) and (f) show the emission map of HC$_3$N (9–8) obtained by integrating the line over the channels with signal (in the Wide and Narrow spectra, respectively). Both plots indicate that the HC$_3$N emission arises mainly from two condensations associated with FIR4 and FIR5.

Fig. 1. Panel (a): 82 GHz continuum emission obtained with IRAM NOEMA towards OMC-2 FIR4. The first contour level is at 1.8×10$^{-3}$ Jy beam$^{-1}$, corresponding to the 3σ rms level of the map, and the others are 2, 3, 5, 8, and 10 times the 3σ rms level. The white solid circle shows the NOEMA field of view, while the dashed one is the IRAM-30m HPBW (∼30”). The triangles indicate the position of FIR3, FIR4, and FIR5, while the open star corresponds to the mid-IR protostar detected at 8, 24, and 70 µm by Furlan et al. (2014). Panel (b): integrated intensity map of HC$_3$N (9–8) obtained from the Wide correlator integrated in the velocity channels with signal. The contour levels are 3, 5, and 7 times the 1σ rms of the map, equal to ∼ 8.9 × 10$^{-4}$ Jy beam$^{-1}$. The solid circle (in this panel and in all panels from (b) to (f)) represents the NOEMA field of view (equal to the white circle in panel (a)). Panel (c): integrated intensity map of HC$_3$N (9–8). Both the first level and step correspond to the 3σ rms value of the integrated map (1σ ∼ 6.3×10$^{-4}$ Jy beam$^{-1}$). Panels (d, e, f): map of HC$_5$N (31–30) obtained averaging the emission in the three different velocity ranges indicated in the top right corner extracted from the Narrow correlator unit. In all panels, both the first contour level and step correspond to the 3σ rms value of the total integrated map, showed in panel (f) (1σ ∼ 3.6×10$^{-4}$ Jy beam$^{-1}$). Finally, in panels (c) and (f), the red and white contours correspond to the emitting region of HC$_3$N towards FIR4 with high and low HC$_3$N emission, respectively. From these contours, we have extracted the spectra discussed in Sect. 4. The blue contour indicates FIR5 as detected in the total integrated map of HC$_5$N (panel (f)).
d), while that towards FIR5 is at \(~ 11.5 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (panel e), indicating a small but significant difference in the velocities of the two condensations (the systemic one being \(~ 11.4 \text{ km s}^{-1}\), e.g. Lopez-Sepulcre et al. 2013b). However, as shown in panels (b) and (f) of Fig. 1, smoothing the HC$_3$N line to the same spectral resolution of the HC$_3$N does not significantly change the morphology of the line emission. The same effect is thus expected for the HC$_3$N, which allows us to conclude that the fact that HC$_3$N is more extended to the West than HC$_5$N is not due to its lower spectral resolution (and thus higher sensitivity). One can reasonably expect the same effect on the HC$_3$N line, because the HC$_3$N line shape of the spectra extracted from Widex is similar to that of HC$_3$N. As an example, in Fig. A-2, we show this comparison for the spectra of the two lines extracted in the region FIR4-HC$_3$N.

Because the velocity-averaged emission of HC$_3$N and HC$_5$N show morphological differences, we have extracted the spectra of both molecules from three sub-regions, indicated in panels (b) and (f) of Fig. 1, by a red, a blue, and a white contour. The red and blue contours represent the regions of FIR4 and FIR5, respectively, in which the velocity-averaged emission of both HC$_3$N and HC$_5$N are above 3σ rms. The white contour instead indicates the regions of FIR4 where the velocity-averaged emission of HC$_3$N is above 3σ rms, while that of HC$_5$N is under this value. The integrated flux densities of the lines extracted from these three regions, as well as those extracted from the total FIR4 region, are reported in Table I.

In summary, the analysis reported above shows that:

(i) HC$_3$N emission is mostly associated with FIR4 with a weak peak associated with FIR3 and FIR5.
(ii) HC$_3$N emission is also associated mainly with FIR4, but a strong peak is also associated with FIR5.
(iii) HC$_5$N and HC$_3$N emission do not spatially coincide in FIR4; specifically, while HC$_5$N emission overlaps quite well with the continuum emission, HC$_3$N emits only in the eastern-half of it.

3. Modeling

3.1. HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio

As mentioned in the Introduction, the main goal of this work is to understand the cyanopolyne formation/growth. We, therefore, start analysing the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N intensity ratio in the three regions reported in Table I (FIR4-HC$_3$N (red contour in Fig. 1), FIR4-lowHC$_5$N (white contour), and FIR5 (blue contour), to estimate the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio in each of them. To do this, we computed the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N line intensity ratio assuming LTE and optically thin lines, as a function of the gas temperature and assuming various HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratios. Note that the estimated gas temperature in the FIR4 extended envelope is 35–45 K (Ceccarelli et al. 2014), whereas no estimates of the gas temperature exist towards FIR5.

The results of the optically thin LTE modeling and their comparison with the observed line intensity ratios are reported in Fig. 2 and Table I. They imply that: (a) in FIR4-HC$_3$N the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio is between 4 and 12; (b) in FIR4-lowHC$_5$N the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio is between 10 and 30; (c) in FIR5, the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio is less than 6, regardless of the gas temperature (within 10 and 60 K).

Note that single-dish observations towards OMC-2 FIR4 show that the two lines are optically thin or only moderately optically thick (Jaber Al-Edhari et al. in preparation). In Appendix A-2, we show the IRAM-30m spectra of the HC$_3$N (9–8) line and its three $^{13}$C isotopologues, from which we have deduced the opacity of the main isotopologue line. Concerning the possible non-LTE excitation, since non-LTE effects would be much more severe for the HC$_5$N line than the HC$_3$N line (the HC$_3$N line has the higher upper level energy, and therefore it is more difficult to populate it according to LTE), the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio found by the LTE analysis could be overestimated.

Another possible explanation for the observed difference in the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N line intensity ratio is a slight temperature gradient across FIR4. Assuming that the average temperature of FIR4 is about 40 K (Ceccarelli et al. 2014), if one allows the gradient to be about 10 K, with the Eastern region FIR4-HC$_3$N being the warmer (Fig. 2), this leads to two possibilities. A) In FIR4-HC$_3$N the temperature is at most as high as \(~ 50\) K: the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N would be in the 4 – 14 range in FIR4-HC$_3$N, while it remains 10 – 30 in FIR4-lowHC$_5$N. In this case, the ratio might be constant, 10 – 14, across the whole region. B) In FIR4-lowHC$_5$N the temperature is as low as 30 K: the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N remains in the 4 – 12 range in FIR4-HC$_3$N, while it would be 8 – 30 in FIR4-lowHC$_5$N. In this case the ratio may be constant, around 8 – 12, across the region. Therefore, while a temperature gradient could explain the observed line ratio gradient, it would imply a HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio lower than 14. Please note that, since the amount of missing flux is comparable in the two lines (see Sect. 2), our column density ratios are expected to be affected by this by less than 30%.

Finally, we estimated the abundance of HC$_3$N in the three regions illustrated in Fig. 1 (FIR4-HC$_3$N, FIR4-lowHC$_5$N, and FIR5) from the line integrated intensity and continuum emission. The total column density of HC$_3$N was calculated assuming LTE for the line population, optically thin conditions, and gas temperatures between 10 and 50 K. The H$_2$ column densities, $N$(H$_2$)$_0$, were derived from the dust mass computed from the 3 mm continuum emission (panel a) in Fig. 1 from the equation:

$$M_{\text{dust}} = \frac{S_v d^2}{\kappa_v B_v(T)}$$

where: $S_v$ is the total integrated flux density; $d$ is the source distance; $\kappa_v$ is the dust mass opacity coefficient, extrapolated at 3 mm from the value of 1 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ at 250 GHz (Ossenkopf & Henning 1994), assuming a dust opacity index $\beta = 2$, i.e. a spectral index 2 + $\beta$ = 4; and $B_v(T)$ is the Planck function at dust temperature $T$. The equation is valid for optically thin emission. Then, we have computed the H$_2$ mass by multiplying $M_{\text{dust}}$ for a mass gas-to-dust ratio of 100, from which we have computed the average H$_2$ volume density assuming a spherical source, and finally computed N(H$_2$) by multiplying the volume density for the average diameter of the sources. We used dust temperatures in the range 10–50 K, as for the gas. We have obtained the following $N$(H$_2$) values: \(~ 1 – 5 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) in FIR4-HC$_3$N; \(~ 2 – 9 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) in FIR4-lowHC$_5$N; and \(~ 1.5 – 7 \times 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) in FIR5. The resulting HC$_3$N abundance with respect to H atoms is in the range 0.5 – 5 \times 10^{-11}.

3.2. Chemistry

Large cyanopolyynes are commonly associated with the early chemical evolution of molecular clouds, when carbon atoms are not yet completely locked up into CO (e.g. Loison et al. 2014 and references therein) and, hence, no protostar is yet present. An important chemical process relevant for the cyanopolyynes in protostars is the differential freeze-out of light versus heavy molecules, with the former sticking onto the dust
grains faster/earlier than the latter, which would introduce a so-called “freeze-out peak” of cyano_polyynes abundance in the gas (e.g., Brown & Charnley 1990). Furthermore, the sublimation of methane from ices, when the dust temperature exceeds about 30 K, introduces into the gas-phase carbon atoms for reactions leading to cyano_polyynes (Sakai et al. 2008, Hassel et al. 2008). Grain surface chemistry may also play an important role in the evolution of the abundances of cyano_polyynes (see Graninger et al. 2014).

Based on the analysis made in Sect. 3 the cyano_polyynes growth appears different in the three regions of Table 1 with the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio being smaller (≤ 6) in FIR5, larger (10–30) in FIR4-lowHC$_3$N and in between (4–12) in FIR4-HC$_3$N. In order to understand the origin of this difference, we run a time-dependent astrochemical model with different parameters. We first discuss the case of FIR4-HC$_3$N (Fig. 2) that the temperature of FIR4 was estimated to be ~ 40 K (Ceccarelli et al. 2014) while no estimates for FIR5 exist.

![Figure 2. Theoretical HC$_3$N (9-8)/HC$_5$N (31-30) line intensity ratio assuming LTE and optically thin conditions as a function of the gas temperature for different values of the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio as marked (black curves). The range of values observed within a 2σ error bar towards the three regions listed in Table 1 are showed as dashed areas: FIR4-HC$_3$N (blue), FIR4-lowHC$_3$N (green) and FIR5 (red). Note that the temperature of FIR4 was estimated to be ~ 40 K (Ceccarelli et al. 2014) while no estimates for FIR5 exist.](http://kida.obs.u-bordeaux.fr/)

The code was ran assuming that H$_2$ is initially molecular, while the other elements are either ionised or atomic. Note that all abundances are given with respect to H nuclei. Gaseous oxygen $A_O$ and carbon $A_C$ elemental abundances are varied from 0.5 to 2 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ and 0.3 to 1.5 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$, respectively, to simulate the freeze-out of these two elements in the grain mantles. We assumed that the nitrogen abundance $A_N$ scales by the same factor as carbon, since CO and N$_2$, the major carbon and nitrogen reservoirs in molecular gas, have the same binding energies (e.g. Bisschop et al. 2006, Noble et al. 2015). We therefore computed $A_N$ according to the formula $6.2 \times 10^{-5} A_C/1.7 \times 10^{-5}$. The other elements are depleted by a factor 100 with respect to the Solar photosphere values following Jenkins et al. (2003), as in several similar modelling works (e.g. Wakelam et al. 2010, Kong et al. 2013). We assumed the gas temperature and density are equal to those measured in the envelope of FIR4, namely T ~ 40 K and n$_H$ ~ 1.2 $\times$ 10$^6$ cm$^{-3}$ (Ceccarelli et al. 2014). For FIR5 we used the same values. For the temperature, this assumption is justified by the study of Friesen et al. (2017) who have found, from ammonia measurements, that the temperature changes by a few degrees in the whole region encompassing FIR3, FIR4 and FIR5. Finally, we ran three grids of models with the cosmic-ray ionisation rate $\zeta$ equal to 1 $\times$ 10$^{-17}$, 3 $\times$ 10$^{-16}$ and 4 $\times$ 10$^{-16}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively. The first represents the “canonical” value for galactic molecular clouds (e.g. Padovani et al. 2009), the second one is the value measured in the diffuse cloud in the vicinity of FIR4 (Lopez-Sepulcre et al. 2013), and the last one is the value measured in the envelope of FIR4 (Ceccarelli et al. 2014).

In order to find the solution(s) that best fit the observations, we plotted the contours of the HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio and overlapped them with the HC$_3$N abundance contours. For the latter, we considered the range 0.5–5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ (see Sect. 3.1). We produced plots at 1 $\times$ 10$^4$, 3 $\times$ 10$^4$, 1 $\times$ 10$^5$, 3 $\times$ 10$^5$, and 1 $\times$ 10$^6$ yr. Sample results discussing the case of $\zeta = 4 \times 10^{-14}$ s$^{-1}$ and $\zeta = 1 \times 10^{-15}$ s$^{-1}$ are shown in Fig. 3. All plots produced for the different ionisation rates at five different times from 1 $\times$ 10$^4$ yrs to 1 $\times$ 10$^6$ yrs are shown in Appendix A.4. Note that the gaseous C/O abundance ratio is very likely to be lower than 1 because, when the oxygen starts to be trapped in water ices, CO is already formed and, therefore, has already segregated as much oxygen as carbon. Therefore, we marked the C/O = 1 region in the figure as unlikely.

**Table 1.** Line spectroscopic parameters, and integrated flux density of the HC$_3$N (9–8) and HC$_5$N (31–30) lines extracted from the regions indicated in Fig. 1. Uncertainties are in brackets and include the calibration error on the absolute flux scale of the order of ~ 10%. Last row reports the calculated HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N abundance ratio, as computed in Sec. 3.

| Line                | $E_A$ | $S \mu^2$ | Integrated flux density                      |
|---------------------|-------|-----------|---------------------------------------------|
|                     |       |           |  FIR4-total | FIR4-HC$_3$N (red) | FIR4-lowHC$_3$N (white) | FIR5 (blue) |
| HC$_3$N (9–8)       | 19.6  | 124.8     | 6.00(0.6)   | 4.00(0.4)      | 2.00(0.2)          | 0.46(0.05)   |
| HC$_3$N (31–30)     | 63.4  | 581       | 0.29(0.03)  | 0.25(0.03)     | 0.050(0.007)       | 0.072(0.009) |
| HC$_3$N/HC$_5$N     |       |           | 10–30       | ≤ 6            |                       |              |

actions CN + C$_2$H$_2$ → HC$_5$N + H, C$_2$H + HC$_3$N → HC$_5$N + H, and C$_3$N + C$_2$H$_2$ → HC$_5$N + H, following laboratory experiments and computations by Cheikh (2012), Fournier (2014) and Fournier et al. in prep. Details on these reactions are reported in Appendix A.3.
or $3 \times 10^{-16}$ s$^{-1}$. On the contrary, we found solutions when $\zeta = 4 \times 10^{-14}$ s$^{-1}$ is considered. Specifically, the best solution, shown in the left panel of Fig. 3, is found at $3 \times 10^3$ yr and provides stringent constraints on the gaseous elemental abundances of carbon and oxygen as well: the C/O abundance ratio must be very close to unity, O/H lower than $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ and C/H lower than $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$. Slightly different ($\sim 20\%$) solutions are also found for an age within $1 \times 10^3$ and $3 \times 10^3$ yr.

**FIR4-lowHC**: In FIR4-lowHC, the measured HC$_3$N/H$_2$N abundance ratio is 10–30. In this case, a solution is found for $\zeta = 4 \times 10^{-14}$ s$^{-1}$ similar to the FIR4-HC$_3$N, but with slightly larger C/O ratios, as shown in the left panel of Fig. 3. However, solutions are also found with lower $\zeta$. The right panel of Fig. 3 shows the case obtained with $\zeta = 1 \times 10^{-17}$ s$^{-1}$ and time $= 1 \times 10^6$ yr. An HC$_3$N/H$_2$N abundance ratio between about 15 and 30 can be reproduced with a carbon abundance between 9 and $6 \times 10^{-5}$. Note that no solutions exist for $< 1 \times 10^5$ and $3 \times 10^5$ yr.

**FIR5**: In FIR5 the measured HC$_3$N/H$_2$N abundance ratio is lower than 6. Assuming a gas temperature and density similar to those in FIR4, the left panel of Fig. 3 applies to this source too. No solutions are found for $\zeta < 4 \times 10^{-17}$ s$^{-1}$. The constraint on the C/O gaseous elemental abundance ratio is even more stringent than in FIR4-HC$_3$N, and has to be very close to unity.

### 4. Discussion and conclusions

The high-spatial resolution SOLIS observations show that cyanopolyyenes are present in the three sources encompassed by the NOEMA primary beam: FIR3, FIR4 and FIR5. In FIR3, only HC$_3$N is detected, whereas both HC$_3$N and HC$_2$N are detected in FIR4 and FIR5. FIR4 is composed of two regions: an Eastern half, FIR4-HC$_3$N, in which the HC$_3$N is strong, and a Western half, FIR4-lowHC$_3$N, with faint HC$_3$N emission. The measured HC$_3$N/H$_2$N abundance ratio is $\lesssim 6$, 4–12 and 10–30 in FIR5, FIR4-HC$_3$N and FIR4-lowHC$_3$N, respectively.

Our analysis indicates that the FIR4-HC$_3$N and FIR5 sources are young, with an age between $10^3$ and $3 \times 10^5$ yr, and demonstrates the presence of a high cosmic-ray ionisation rate, $\zeta \sim 4 \times 10^{-14}$ s$^{-1}$, permeating these sources. In addition, the C/O gaseous elemental abundance ratio is very close to unity, the O abundance is $\leq 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ and the carbon abundance is $\leq 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$. Conversely, the lower value of the HC$_3$N/H$_2$N abundance ratio in FIR4-lowHC$_3$N can either be due to a lower cosmic rays ionisation rate or to a larger C/O gaseous abundance ratio.

The C/O gaseous elemental abundance ratio being close to unity, and the relatively low carbon and oxygen abundances, are consistent with the idea that FIR4 and FIR5 have passed through a cold phase where icy mantles have locked up a large fraction of oxygen (probably in the form of H$_2$O) and carbon (e.g. iced CO, CO$_2$, CH$_4$: e.g. Boogert et al. 2015, Ruffle et al. 1997). If the hypothesis that the difference between FIR4-HC$_3$N and FIR4-lowHC$_3$N is caused by a lower C/O ratio in FIR4-lowHC$_3$N is correct, it would imply that the freezing has been less efficient in FIR4-lowHC$_3$N. This could be due to warmer dust, but the source of the heating is not obvious, or to a lower density, so that the timescale for freezing is larger. Lacking measurements able to provide the gradient in density in FIR4, we cannot reach a firm conclusion on that.

More interesting, the low ($\lesssim 12$) measured HC$_3$N/H$_2$N abundance ratio in FIR5 and FIR4-HC$_3$N requires an enhanced cosmic-ray ionisation rate, which is what Ceccarelli et al. (2014) claimed for FIR4 based on a totally different dataset (high-J HCO$^+$ and N$_2$H$^+$ lines observed by Herschel). Ceccarelli et al. argued that the enhanced cosmic-ray ionisation rate is due to an embedded source of high energy ($\geq 10$ MeV) particles, similar to what happened to the young Solar System (see Sect. 1). In addition to confirming that claim, these new observations suggest that there may be a gradient in the cosmic-ray ionisation rate across the FIR4 condensation, with the eastern half strongly irradiated and the western region partially shielded and with a lower $\zeta$. Let us now discuss this possibility. The observed gradient would be consistent with the observed region of bright free-free emission partially overlapping with the FIR4-HC$_3$N region and extending outside the eastern border of FIR4 (Reipurth et al. 1999, Lopez-Sepulcre et al. 2013a and discussion there) and...
the possibility that the source(s) of energetic particles permeating FIR4-H$_2$C$_5$N and FIR5 lie there. In addition, there would not be the need to claim a gradient in the density, the cause of which would be mysterious, and, most importantly, a very narrow range of possible ages, around 1 × 10$^5$ yr. We conclude that, although not fully proven, the hypothesis of one or more sources of high energy particles to the East of FIR4 and FIR5 remains the most appealing and consistent to explain the observations so far.

An alternative explanation is a temperature gradient (see Sect.3), with temperature decreasing across FIR4 from East to West, which, as discussed in Sect. 4, would rule out a gradient in the irradiation by cosmic rays. However, for gradients of 10–20 K, a H$_2$C$_3$N/H$_2$C$_5$N abundance ratio ≤ 14 can be reproduced only if a high cosmic ray ionisation rate is present. Therefore, this possibility is still consistent with a high irradiation of FIR4.

In conclusion, even though the H$_2$C$_3$N/H$_2$C$_5$N line intensity ratio gradient in FIR4 can be caused by a gradient in temperature, the measured H$_2$C$_3$N/H$_2$C$_5$N abundance ratio points, anyway, to a strong irradiation by cosmic-rays/energetic particles of the region. We find that energetic particle irradiation promotes the production of carbon chains. As irradiation was also present during the early phases of our Solar System, it is tempting to speculate that such energetic processes have also promoted the production of important carbon reservoirs in the Solar Nebula. Such reservoirs could then be delivered to the early Earth to foster pre-biotic chemistry evolution. Whether the energetic particle irradiation experienced by the young Solar Nebula and the emergence of life on Earth are connected is a wild, but intriguing hypothesis which cries for more dedicate work, possibly in connection with biophysicists.
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Appendix A

A-1. Derivation of missing flux

To estimate how much flux is resolved out by the interferometer, we have compared IRAM-30m spectra with interferometric spectra extracted from a region corresponding to the beam of the single-dish observations (~ 30'). The IRAM-30m spectra have been converted from main beam temperature units (T_{MB}) to flux density units (F_{ν}) by assuming that the telescope beam is Gaussian and the source size is smaller than the beam, via the formula \( F_{ν} = 2K(ν^2/ν^2_c)(π/4\ln2)(T_{MB})^{\frac{1}{2}} \), where \( K \) is the Boltzmann constant, \( T_{MB} \) is the half power beam width of the IRAM-30m Telescope, and \( ν \) is the line rest frequency. The resulting spectra are shown in panels (a) and (b) of Fig. 1 with the NOEMA we are recovering ~ 14 – 15% of the flux detected with the IRAM-30m telescope in HC3N, and ~ 20% in HC5N.

A-2. IRAM-30m spectra of \( ^{13}\text{C} \) isotopologues of HC\(_3\)N

In Fig. 2 we show the IRAM-30m spectrum of HC\(_3\)N (9–8), and those of the two isotopologues HC\(_{13}\)CN and HC\(_{15}\)CCN in the same transition. The integrated intensities for the three transitions are: 7.1 ± 0.7 K km s\(^{-1}\) in HC\(_3\)N, 0.16 ± 0.02 K km s\(^{-1}\) in HC\(_{13}\)CN, and 0.11 ± 0.01 K km s\(^{-1}\) in HC\(_{15}\)CCN. Please note that the (9–8) line of the third isotopologue, H\(_{13}\)CCCN, lies outside the observed frequency range. Using the other two detected isotopologues, the \( ^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} \) line ratio is 44 ± 8 and 64 ± 12, respectively. Therefore, considering an elemental \( ^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} \) ratio of ~ 68 for the local interstellar medium (Milan et al. 2005), the line of the main isotopologue is optically thin or at most slightly thick. Note that the difference in the \( ^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C} \) intensity ratio between the two isotopologues is present in all the other detected lines and it is, therefore, real and due to the HC\(_3\)N chemistry, specifically its formation route. This is the focus of a forthcoming article by Jaber Al-Edhari et al. (in preparation).

A-3. New reactions rates for HC\(_3\)N

We adopted as a base the KIDA chemical database for the network of reactions. We modified it following the works by Long et al. (2014), Balucani et al. (2015), and Barone et al. (2015). In addition, we modified the rate \( k \) of two reactions involving the formation of HC\(_3\)N and included a new reaction, following the experimental results from Cheikh (2012), Fournier (2014) and Fournier et al. (in prep.). The new rates are listed in Tab. A-1, where we reported the coefficients \( α, β \) and \( γ \) defined, as usual, by the formula:

\[
k = a \frac{T^{β}}{300K} \exp[-γ/T]
\]

Table A-1. Modified and new reactions involving the formation of HC\(_3\)N. References: 1- Cheikh (2012); 2- Fournier (2014); 3- Fournier et al. (2017).

A-4. Chemical model predictions

In this Appendix we show the predictions of the chemical model described in Sect. 3.4 for three different values of the cosmic rays ionisation rate: \( ζ = 1 \times 10^{-17} \) s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. A-3), \( ζ = 3 \times 10^{-16} \) s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. A-4), and \( ζ = 4 \times 10^{-14} \) s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. A-5), at five different times: 1×10\(^3\) yrs, 3×10\(^3\) yrs, 1×10\(^4\) yrs, 3×10\(^4\) yrs, and 1×10\(^6\) yrs.
Fig. A-2. IRAM-30m spectra of the HC$_3$N, HCC$^{13}$CN and HC$^{13}$CCN (9–8) line (Jaber Al-Edhari et al., in preparation). The (9–8) line of the third isotopologue, H$^{13}$CCCN, lies outside the observed frequency range.
Fig. A-3. Predictions of the HCN/HC3N abundance ratio from the chemical models described in Sect. 3.2 for $\zeta = 1 \times 10^{-17}$ at the five different times (from top to bottom): $1 \times 10^4$ yrs, $3 \times 10^4$ yrs, $1 \times 10^5$ yrs, $3 \times 10^5$ yrs, and $1 \times 10^6$ yrs. All symbols used (contour plots, grey zone, etc.) are the same as in Fig. 3.

Fig. A-4. Same as Fig. A-3 for $\zeta = 3 \times 10^{-16}$. 
Fig. A-5. Same as Fig. A-3 for $\zeta = 4 \times 10^{-14}$. 