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Abstract — Polymer clay nanocomposites are mostly described as materials with improved properties. However, many studies are not concluding about the possible benefits. This work reports permeability measurements on different polyolefins nanocomposites. Clay exfoliation has been proved by various techniques. Unfortunately, the nanocomposite does not exhibit good barrier properties. Hence, permeability coefficients were found to increase. A poor quality of the interface between filler and medium is likely to be responsible for this degradation. Insufficient interactions between silicate layers and the surrounding polymer lead to preferential pathways for diffusion. Organoclay exfoliation does not necessarily lead to better barrier properties. A good quality of the interface between polymer and filler is required to reach a high performance level. The standard techniques used to characterize exfoliation degree do not permit to highlight this kind of phenomena.

Résumé — Caractérisation de nanocomposites polymère silicate par des méthodes de rhéologie et de perméabilité : rôle de la qualité de l’interface — Les nanocomposites polymère argile sont généralement décrits comme des matériaux aux propriétés améliorées. Cependant de nombreuses études ne sont pas forcément concluantes quant aux bénéfices attendus. Le présent travail rapporte des mesures de perméabilité sur différents nanocomposites à matrice polyoléfine. L’exfoliation de l’argile a été établie grâce à diverses techniques. Malheureusement les nanocomposites ne présentent pas de meilleures propriétés barrière. Plus spécifiquement une augmentation des coefficients de perméabilité a été constatée. Une mauvaise qualité de l’interface entre l’argile et la matrice est probablement responsable de cette dégradation. Une interaction insuffisante entre les feuillets de silicate et le polymère les entourant entraîne la formation de chemins préférés de diffusion. L’exfoliation d’argile organophile n’est donc pas suffisante pour conduire à l’obtention de meilleures propriétés barrière. Une bonne qualité de l’interface entre le polymère et la charge est nécessaire pour atteindre de bonnes performances. Les techniques classiques qui caractérisent l’exfoliation ne permettent pas de mettre en avant ce type de phénomène.
INTRODUCTION

Many current studies deal with the enhancement of physical properties that are achieved with nanocomposites compared to their neat matrix or to conventionally filled polymers systems [1-9]. Nanocomposites are composites with at least one fillers dimension in the nanometer range. Smectites are swelling clays made of stacked platelets of one nanometer thick each. Hence, they constitute an ideal filler for the synthesis of nanocomposite, requiring the chains of polymer to intercalate and swell the clay layers. An organic treatment, where the alkaline cations initially present are exchanged with alkylammoniums, is often preferred especially for unpolar polymer matrices. The major interest of nanocomposites stands in the material properties improvement at low clay loading because interactions are more numerous [1, 4-15]. It is generally admitted that, for a given filler fraction, the smaller the filler is, the larger the interactions are. So, exfoliation of the clay platelets in the polymer matrix is the key parameter which will greatly modify the properties. Therefore, many publications focused on the compatibility improvement between the filler and the matrix. The influence of the type of cations surrounding the platelets together with the cationic exchange rate has been widely documented [16-29]. For certain polymers, the introduction of a compatibilizer was found to be the successful way to separate the clay platelets in the matrix. For instance, polypropylene grafted maleic anhydride has been quickly identified as a selected choice to achieve exfoliation in polypropylene [30, 31]. The systematic influence of different compatibilizers has been later studied in various polymer matrices [32-46]. However, a too high affinity between the polymer and the clay does not lead to the best exfoliated state due to a packing effect [47-51]. Still, the microstructure is commonly seen as the Grail for the enhancement of the properties, with the shortcut that an exfoliated state can be only possible with a good interface between the polymer and the clay. In this study, an important degradation of some properties has been obtained while the microstructure exhibits a good exfoliation degree. The aim of this paper is to understand the mechanisms of such undesirable phenomena.

In the oil and gas industry, polymer materials offer good barrier properties relevant for many applications such as protective coatings, selective barriers for the separation of gases etc. In the case of pipelines for underwater transport of crude oil, leak-proof sealing for the transported fluids and protection from the external environment, are provided by polymer sheaths. These polymers are exposed to different gases under extreme conditions of temperature and pressure (up to 130°C and up to 500 bar) [52, 53]. In the automotive industry, fuel systems (tanks, pipes etc) are often made of polymers and their permeability coefficients to small molecules, which are present in typical gasoline compositions, are also critical.

The incorporation of platelet shape nanofillers has been investigated in order to improve the performances of such materials. Penetrant transport through a polymer membrane can be defined as the property of this material to be penetrated and crossed by molecules [54-57]. It is described by a solution-diffusion mechanism. The permeability coefficient, noted \( P \), is, by definition, the product of the solubility coefficient, \( S \), by the diffusion coefficient, \( D \):

\[
P = D \times S
\]

\( D \) is a kinetic term that reflects the dynamics of the penetrant in the polymer phase, whereas the solubility coefficient has a thermodynamic origin and depends on the penetrant-polymer interactions. These transport parameters are dependent on the characteristics of the membrane material and of the fluids [55-62]. Due to their morphology, the case of semicrystalline polymers is particular. Indeed, studies have shown that the sorption and diffusion phenomena took place exclusively in the amorphous phase of the polymer and not in its crystalline zones. Most of the existing models are based on the two-phase model proposed and developed by Michaels and Bixler [63, 64] and Michaels and Parker [65] in which a semicrystalline polymer is represented by a mixture of crystallites and amorphous domains. The crystalline zones act as excluded volumes for the sorption process and are impermeable barriers for the diffusion process. Indeed, this dispersed crystalline phase presents a resistance to the permeant passage and then contributes to the increase of the effective path length of diffusion. The tortuosity factor characterizes the more tortuous pathway that a diffusing molecule must take in a semicrystalline polymer to pass round impenetrable crystalline zones. It is a geometrical term which depends on the crystallites anisotropy degree, the degree of crystallinity and hence, the thermal history. Moreover, the existence of the crystalline zones does not seem to influence the sorption mode in the amorphous phase.

To describe the permeability in filled polymers, the same approach has been considered and the simplest model, developed by Nielsen [66], is based on tortuosity effects. The relative permeability is given by the following expression:

\[
P_{rel} = \frac{P_{nc}}{P_p} = \frac{1 - \varphi_c}{\tau}
\]

where \( P_{nc} \) is the permeability coefficient of the polymer-silicate nanocomposite and \( P_p \) that of the pure polymer,
\( \varphi_c \) represents the volume fraction of clay and \( \tau \), the tortuosity factor is expressed by:

\[
\tau = 1 + \frac{L}{2W} \varphi_c
\]

where \( L \) and \( W \) are respectively the filler length and its width. The model developed by Nielsen [66] is often referred in the community for its simplicity. However, its demonstration suffers from physical coherence as the development should have been carried out in two dimensions instead of only one. This has been modified by Lape et al. [67] and by Waché [68] in 2004, who took into account the area of the platelets, leading to a factor 3 instead of the 2 in the denominator. Many different approaches have been developed since to simulate the permeability of nanocomposites, all leading to expressions explaining a decrease of the permeability [69-76]. The second part of Nielsen’s publication, which adds an interphase between the filler and the matrix is often forgotten by the community, probably because of its difficulty to evaluate experimentally the different parameters of this zone. Based on interfacial effect, we suggested previously a more simple tortuous model able to support increase as well as decrease in permeability [68].

Therefore, the presence of impermeable particles within a diffusive medium lowers the overall rate of transport. For instance, a decrease in the coefficient of permeability has been many times reported [11, 32, 71, 77-85]. This enhancement of the barrier properties has been explained through this tortuous effect because the layered silicates present a very high aspect ratio [66, 70, 74-76, 86, 87]. In this case, exfoliation largely affects the apparent shape factor of the particles.

The aim of this work is to show that exfoliation of the clay doesn’t necessarily lead to the enhancement of all the properties and especially the barrier properties which are closely related to the quality of the interface [68]. In the past recent years, different studies have revealed that the permeability of nanocomposites is a lot more complex that a simple tortuous model. Especially in polyolefins, a decrease of the barrier properties is sometimes reported [68, 88-90]. Hence, the relative barrier properties have been found to depend either on the permeant molecules [39, 91], or the cationic exchange [92, 93], or the clay concentration in an unexpected way [68, 91, 94, 95].

1 EXPERIMENTAL

1.1 Materials

Medium density polyethylene denoted PE3802 (\( M_w = 175\,000\,\text{g.mol}^{-1} \); crystallinity degree of 55%) and a polyethylene grafted maleic anhydride with less than 1% in weight of maleic anhydride, Orevac 18302, (PEgMA) provided by Arkema were used in this study. The filler is an organophilic montmorillonite, namely the Cloisite 20A supplied by Chimilab Essor. The cation present in the clay interlayer is a dimethylidiatallowammonium. The Cationic Exchange Capacity (CEC) is 0.93 meq.g\(^{-1}\) clay and the exchange ratio is around 1. The clay interlayer distance \( d_{001} \) is about 25.5 Å.

Polymer and clay were dried blended before mixing in a Haake Rheocord at 135°C, 100 rpm during 12 minutes. Sheets of 1 mm thick were then molded by compression using a Darragon press at 170°C and 107 Pa. Blends based on PE/PEgMA/Cloisite 20A but also on PEgMA/Cloisite 20A were considered. The grafted PE was studied as a compatibilizer. The weight fraction of clay in the blends was varied from 2 to 40 wt%.

1.2 Characterization

Nanostructure investigation was conducted using X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) measurements and Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM). The XRD measurements were performed on a X'Pert Philips diffractometer with Cu K\(_\alpha\) radiation generated at 35 kV and 35 mA. All samples were analyzed in a reflection mode as the clay layers were preferentially orientated parallel to the mould surface. Transmission electronic microscopy was conducted on a Tecnai apparatus operating at 120 kV. Samples were ultramicrotomed under cryogenic conditions. Viscoelastic properties have been evaluated on a RDA2 rheometer at 170°C under N\(_2\). Frequency response was recorded from 0.01 to 200 rad.s\(^{-1}\) for a 4% deformation (in the linear viscoelasticity zone).

1.3 Liquid Permeability Measurement

All the samples needed for the permeation tests were extracted from plane sheets made by compression molding of polymer pellets. The dimensions of the polymer membranes were 1 mm in thickness and 60 mm in diameter.

The employed method allows us to determine the amount of liquid crossing the material by the weight loss of a metallic cell which contains the liquid and is closed by the polymer membrane to be studied (Fig. 1) [96-98]. In that respect, this cell is periodically weighed, once a day, on a precision balance to ascertain the global weight loss of the assembly. All the measurements were conducted at 60°C using toluene as diffusive molecules. Figure 2 represents the theoretical curve of weight loss according to time obtained for one polymer. This plot can be divided into two parts. In the first region, called the transient state, the transfer of matter by diffusion is
nonzero and the penetrant concentration is a function of position and time. The second region, called the steady state, corresponds to a constant flux of molecules through the membrane and to a concentration that does not vary with time. By assuming that Fick’s first and second laws are obeyed, one may determine the permeability coefficient, $P$, at a given temperature, from the slope of the straight line in the steady state, that is when the weight loss is constant. Thus, $P$ is given by the following relation:

$$P = \frac{\Delta m}{t} \times \frac{l}{A}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

where $l$ is the membrane thickness (cm), $A$ the diffusion area ($\text{cm}^2$) and $\Delta m/t$ the slope of the straight line in steady state ($\text{g.s}^{-1}$). So, $P$ can be expressed in $\text{g.cm}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$.

2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The first formulations have been manufactured with PE and Cloisite 20A. XRD analysis reveals that there is neither intercalation nor exfoliation. The clay is always stacked in aggregates of microscopic size. The filled material is a conventional composite. Figure 3 represents the evolution of the complex viscosity versus the solicitation frequency for PE/Cloisite 20A systems at different organoclay loadings. This figure shows that up to 15 wt% of organoclay, there is no real modification of the polymer behavior. For higher clay fraction, the evolution is different and there is no visible plateau for the viscosity.

From the complex viscosities, Newtonian viscosities have been estimated using a 4 parameters Carreau model. They have been normalised to that of the matrix and their evolutions with filler fraction are reported in Figure 4. A large increase appears for a critical fraction of $\phi_c = 0.075$. This change arises from the fact that each particle could no more freely rotate without interacting with its neighbours. It closely depends on the shape factor $\alpha$ of the particle. By assuming the volume swept by each particle to be related to the percolation fraction of spheres in a cubic central faces network, it is thus possible to obtain an approximation of the shape factor $\alpha$ as a function of this critical fraction $\phi_c$:

$$\phi_c = \frac{\pi \alpha^2}{2 \sqrt{2(1 + \alpha^2)^3}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

Figure 2
Theoretical curve of a liquid permeation experiment through one polymer at a given temperature.

Figure 3
Evolution of the complex viscosity of PE/Cloisite 20A samples as a function of the frequency for different clay loadings. The temperature was 170°C and the deformation was chosen to be in the linear viscoelasticity zone.
This leads to $x = 14$.

As neither intercalation nor exfoliation was achieved with PE and Cloisite systems, it was decided to use a compatibilizer such as PEgMA and the same methodology was applied to the PEgMA/Cloisite 20A samples [99].

X-Ray Diffraction spectra corresponding to the PEgMA/clay mixtures are shown in Figure 5. Up to a critical fraction of 10 wt% organoclay, there is no diffraction peak. This indicates an exfoliated state of the clay. At higher clay loading a diffraction peak reappears, only an intercalation of few Angstrom is obtained. The clay partially reaggregates because there is no more space in the polymer phase. Those formulations have a mixed intercalated/exfoliated structure.

The structures presumed via XRD measurements were confirmed with TEM observations of the samples. It has been checked by transmission electron microscopy that the structure is well exfoliated for 5 wt% clay [100]. Indeed, in Figure 6, individual dark lines (silicate layers) are homogenously dispersed in the polymer matrix.

The good degree of exfoliation can also be detected by using viscoelastic measurements because the viscosity exhibits a large increase at even very low clay loading (Fig. 7). This is completely different from the previous system PE/organoclay. As the clay fraction increases,
the Newtonian plateau increases and then disappears all over the studied frequency range. The clay content corresponding to the behaviour change is around 3 wt% compared to 20 wt% in the case of a PE matrix.

The exfoliation can be identified by a modification of the properties which appears at a clay loading much lower than for the conventional composite. The volume excluded is considerably higher because the shape factor of the platelets is bigger than that of the aggregates. In Figure 8, the critical fraction \( \phi_c \) is only 0.008 for the PEGMA matrix which represents a shape factor \( \alpha \) of about 131. The basal extension of layers has been estimated to 600 nm using granulometry results. This means that the number of layers per stacking is around 17 in the PE matrix against only 2 in the PEGMA matrix. The maleic anhydride groups give to the polymer chains a polarity sufficient to intercalate the clay layers and exfoliate them around the matrix.

The last step consisted in using PEGMA as a compatibilizer between the PE chains and the organoclay and thus, in studying the morphology and the properties of various ternary mixtures PE/PEGMA/Cloisite 20A. Exfoliation of the clay in such a modified PE matrix is then expected. As can be seen from Figure 9, when the PEGMA loading increases, the Bragg peak position progressively moves on lower angles and its intensity decreases, meaning that the average distance between clay layers growths and that the average number of platelets per stacking decreases. At a weight fraction of 20 wt% of compatibilizing agent, there is no more well defined diffraction peak meaning that the clay layers are well separated and distributed in the compatibilized matrix. The structure of these samples is partially exfoliated. In transmission electronic microscopy this is confirmed by the presence of both single layers and stacking of about ten layers (Fig. 10). The increase of the viscoelastic properties is not presented here but corresponds to an intermediate behaviour relative to PE and to PEGMA cases.
The ternary blending corresponding to 75% PE-20% PEGMA-5% Cloisite 20A has been chosen for the permeation tests with toluene at 60°C. The measured permeability coefficient for this nanocomposite was $2.22 \times 10^{-7} \text{g.cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. In order to evaluate the effect of the clay on the barrier properties, the same permeation measurements have been performed on an unfilled reference. In the case of the previous ternary mixture, the reference matrix is made of 79% of PE and 21% of PEGMA. The measured permeability coefficient of toluene at 60°C through that system was $1.42 \times 10^{-7} \text{g.cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$. Thus, the permeability is higher for the nanocomposite than for its neat matrix. This higher value cannot be attributed to the presence of shorter chains such as PEGMA. Indeed, by considering the system 79% PE-21% PEGMA as the reference matrix, the effect of these more mobile chains has already been taken into account. It has also been checked by differential scanning calorimetry that the degree of crystallinity was not changed due to the presence of maleated chains of polyethylene.

As this increase of the permeability coefficient was quite surprising, the barrier properties of the binary mixtures PEGMA-Cloisite 20A have been also investigated. The experimental values of toluene permeation for the different PEGMA-Cloisite 20A nanocomposites at 60°C are summarized in Table 1. The relative permeability coefficients have been calculated by using Equation (2) and are plotted against the organophilic clay weight fraction in Figure 11. The resulting plot exhibits an unusual behaviour. Indeed, the barrier properties are not systematically enhanced as it is commonly mentioned in the literature [32, 71, 79-81, 84, 85]. The permeability of the sample with 5 wt% of Cloisite even increases compared to the PEGMA matrix although an exfoliated state has been reached. The relative permeability predicted by Nielsen’s modified model is also plotted in Figure 11 for different shape factors and it is impossible to find an agreement between the model and the data. Whatever the state of exfoliation of the clay platelets or the orientation of the silicate layers relative to the diffusion direction are, a decrease should have been obtained. Thus exfoliation is not the only factor governing the reduction in permeability.

Several assumptions were taken into account to explain the higher permeability value in the presence of the clay layers [68]. As previously demonstrated, exfoliation and orientation of the platelets cannot be responsible. The second point concerns the crystallinity degree of the resulting nanocomposite, $\chi_c$, which could have varied. But DSC (Differential Scanning Calorimetry) measurements do not reveal change of $\chi_c$ due to blending of the polymer with the clay. X-ray measurements data on higher angles confirm this point as no change in the crystal form was noticed.

Another explanation could be the existence of particular interactions between the clay and the diffusing molecules. Some sorption tests with different chemical penetrants were carried out in order to evaluate the solubility coefficient of the penetrant in the nanocomposite revealing only a moderate increase of the solubility insufficient to explain alone the increase of the nanocomposite permeability.

Therefore, we suggest the existence of preferential ways for diffusion inside the nanocomposite. The diffusion coefficients $D$ have been extracted from the permeation measurements [68, 100]. It appears that $D$ is higher in the nanocomposite which means a greater mobility of the diffusing molecules or a lower resistance of the nanocomposite to the transport of small molecules. It is reasonable to propose that this additional mobility is the consequence of either the presence of nano-voids in the polymer, or the poor quality interface between the clay

### Table 1

| Clay weight fraction (%) | 0   | 2   | 5   | 10  | 25  | 40  |
|-------------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Permeability coefficient ($10^{-7} \text{g.cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$) | 9.20 | 8.40 | 12.3 | 9.10 | 7.98 | 5.94 |

Figure 11

Experimental relative permeability in PEGMA compared to the Nielsen's model for different shape factors $a$. 

### Table 1

- **Toluene permeation results obtained at 60°C for the PEGMA/Cloisite 20A mixtures for different clay amounts**

- **Clay weight fraction (%)** 0 2 5 10 25 40
- **Permeability coefficient ($10^{-7} \text{g.cm}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$)** 9.20 8.40 12.3 9.10 7.98 5.94
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sheets and the polymer [101]. The alkyl ammonium promoting the clay exfoliation may also perturb the interaction between the polymer and the nanoplatelet by creating a localized weak zone.

At room temperature, the considered polymer is far above its glass transition, therefore, all stress due to the crystallisation should have been relaxed. So the most relevant hypothesis is that, due to the non-polar character of the modified polyethylene backbone, the interactions between PEGMA and the silicate layers are not sufficient to have a good contact between those two parts. In fact, we suggest that the interface close to the clay layer and the PEGMA corresponds to lower density zones than in the bulk polymer, acting as preferential pathways for diffusion of the species (Fig. 12) and lead, as a consequence, to higher permeability coefficients. This interpretation is supported by the fact that measurements performed on a polar system such as polyamide with an exfoliated clay exhibit an improvement of the barrier properties (decrease of the permeability and diffusion coefficients) [68, 83]. Moreover, in this case, some modifications in the crystallites have been observed (such as the removal of the long period by SAXS measurements and the appearance of the γ crystalline phase instead of the α one), which are not sufficient to explain the decrease of the diffusion, but ascertain that the clay layers have an influence on the nucleation process. Confronting this idea of lower density zones, or greater mobility, in simple equations to simulate the barrier properties by considering a higher value of the velocity of the diffusing specie in the neighborhood of the clay, has given promising results [68].

To conclude on barrier properties, it has been shown that, in opposite to what is usually admitted, the transport parameters of the nanocomposites have been deteriorated. It has been suggested that the polymer chains may organize themselves near the clay layers in a low density way. Those domains could accelerate the diffusion and explain the obtained results.

CONCLUSIONS

The exfoliation of the clay has been proven by various techniques such as X-Ray Diffraction, Transmission Electronic Microscopy in a PEGMA matrix but also in a compatibilized polyethylene matrix. The barrier properties to toluene have been characterized. Unfortunately, the nanocomposites do not exhibit good barrier properties. Several assumptions have been discussed to explain this phenomenon. A poor quality of the interface between the filler and the medium will induce a lower resistance for fluid transport and is likely to be responsible for those results. A schematic representation of the system has been proposed by considering that some regions with lower density or higher mobility may exist in the neighboring of the fillers. Besides, it reveals that permeability measurements could be a very useful tool to test the interface quality.

In polyethylene systems, the exfoliation of the silicate layers does not lead necessarily to better barrier properties. A good interface between the clay and the polymer matrix is required to reach a high performance level. The control and improvement of interfacial resistance is a keypoint for the development of new promising materials with enhanced barrier and mechanical properties.
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