Recent experiments have addressed the nature of the charge order seen in underdoped cuprates. Here, I show that x-ray absorption and linear dichroism are an excellent probe of such order. Ab-initio calculations reveal that a d-wave charge density wave order involving the oxygen ions is a much better description of the data than alternate models.

PACS numbers: 78.70.Dm, 75.25.Dk, 74.72.Kf

The observation of charge order in underdoped YBCO by NMR [1] followed by its observation by both soft [2] and hard [3] x-ray scattering, along with the probable role of the charge order in Fermi surface reconstruction [4], has been one of the most significant developments in the field of high temperature cuprate superconductivity in the past few years. Of particular note was the suggestion that the charge order was of a novel d-wave nature, involving charge modulations that are out of phase on the two oxygen ions in a given CuO$_2$ unit [5]. Such a d-wave phasing relation has been demonstrated by Fourier transformed STM data [6] and is consistent as well with the azimuthal dependence of resonant x-ray scattering at the Cu L$_3$ edge [7]. The latter involves modeling the x-ray data as due to a spatial modulation of the energies of the copper 3d orbitals relative to the oxygen 2p ones [8].

Related to these measurements was a claim of seeing x-ray natural circular dichroism (XNCD) at the Cu K edge in underdoped Bi2212 [9]. An XNCD signal has been claimed as well in underdoped LBCO [10]. Although chiral charge order could cause an XNCD signal [11], a more natural explanation of the data is linear dichroism contamination, which is always present in circularly polarized beams [12]. This has been confirmed by recent measurements at the Cu K edge in Bi2212, where the expected azimuthal angular dependence of $\cos(2\psi)$ for linear dichroism was seen in the “XNCD” signal [13].

Here, I show that in fact linear dichroism itself provides an exquisite probe of charge order in the cuprates if the ordering is one-dimensional in nature (stripes) as opposed to two-dimensional in nature (checkerboards) - in the latter case, there is no linear dichroism due to charge order. For calculational purposes, I consider Hg1201 since that material is tetragonal, meaning there is no structural linear dichroism to contend with. Calculations were performed with the multiple scattering Greens function code FDMNES [14] including spin-orbit interactions [15], for various cluster radii. Atom positions for Hg1201 were taken from Wagner et al. [16]. Results shown here are for the Cu L$_2$ and L$_3$ edges for a cluster radius of 5 Å (36 atoms surrounding each absorbing copper site), with calculations also performed at the Cu K and Cu L$_1$ edges.

Fig. 1, I show the four ordering patterns assumed: (a) nematic order within a CuO$_2$ unit (the two oxygen ions being out of phase), (b) charge modulation on the copper sites, (c) s-wave charge modulation on the oxygen sites (the two oxygen ions in each CuO$_2$ unit are in phase) and (d) d-wave charge modulation on the oxygen sites (the two oxygen ions in each CuO$_2$ unit are out of phase). To simplify the calculations, a charge ordering wavevector of 0.25 in reciprocal lattice units. (a) is for nematic order, with (blue) squares the unmodulated copper sites, and filled and open (red) circles oxygen sites with an excess (deficit) of 2p electrons. (b) is for a copper charge modulation, with (blue) squares the unmodulated copper sites, and filled and open (blue) circles copper sites with an excess (deficit) of 3d electrons, with (red) diamonds the unmodulated oxygen sites. (c) is for an oxygen s-wave charge modulation, with (blue) squares the unmodulated copper sites, and filled and open (red) circles oxygen sites with an excess (deficit) of 2p electrons, with unmodulated oxygen sites as (red) diamonds. (d) is for an oxygen d-wave charge modulation, with the same notation as in (c).
FIG. 2: (Color online) X-ray absorption at the Cu $L_3$ and $L_2$ edges for a $k$ vector along the $c$-axis for Hg1201. Two absorption curves are shown, one for the electric field vector along $x$, the other along $y$. The linear dichroism (LD) is taken as the difference for the electric field along $y$ and that along $x$, with the charge modulation wavevector along $x$. Each panel (a)-(d) corresponds to the modulations shown in Fig. 1, with a maximum modulation of $\pm 0.05$ electrons.

In Fig. 2, results for the Cu $L_3$ and $L_2$ edges are shown for the four cases illustrated in Fig. 1 assuming a charge modulation of $\pm 0.05$ electrons as assumed in earlier modeling [8]. Here, the x-ray $k$ vector is directed along the $c$ axis [17]. The absorption for nematic order (a) is similar to that without any charge modulation, except for the presence of a substantial dichroism near each absorption peak (almost 5% of the absorption maximum at the $L_3$ edge). For copper charge modulation (b) one finds substantial broadening of the two absorption peaks due to splitting of the peaks from the fact that there are now three different copper sites (Fig. 1b). Similar broadening is also seen for the s-wave oxygen modulation case (c). For the d-wave modulation case (d), though, one finds absorption peaks whose widths are only moderately larger than without charge order, with a significantly reduced linear dichroism (1% of the absorption maximum at the $L_3$ edge).

In Fig. 3, results are shown analogous to Fig. 2, but for a charge modulation of $\pm 0.1$ electrons instead. For this increased value, one sees substantial splitting of the absorption peaks in all cases except for the case of nematic order. In addition, the linear dichroism signal is enhanced by roughly a factor of four. Although we do not show results for the Cu K and L$_1$ edges, in those cases as well, a substantial dichroism exists. The shape of the absorption peaks is also altered relative to that without charge order, and this is most pronounced for the case of an s-wave oxygen modulation.

The observed absorption peaks for the $L_3$ edge of underdoped cuprates are actually quite narrow. For the case of Hg1201, the FWHM is around 1.2 eV with no sign of splitting of the peak [18]. If anything, even narrower peaks are seen in underdoped YBCO [2] and Bi2201 [19]. The simulation results presented here are actually broader than what is observed, even in the case of no charge modulation, and this could be corrected by reducing the assumed core hole broadening. Regardless, the narrowness of the peaks and the absence of any splitting is definitely inconsistent with either a charge modulation on the copper sites, or an s-wave modulation on the oxygen sites. As such, the results presented here are completely consistent with a d-wave pattern as advocated in previous work [6,7]. Further information could be obtained if the light spot imaged a single charge ordering domain, since for each charge modulation pattern, the linear dichroism has a unique energy profile.

These results can easily be extended by looking at resonant x-ray scattering at the charge ordering wavevector. In addition, related results can be obtained in the case of spin ordering, where again, depending on the pattern (spins on the copper sites, or spins on the oxygen sites), one sees a significant difference in the dichroism. The latter is particularly relevant, since resonant x-ray scattering at the magnetic wavevector of underdoped cuprates is not possible at the Cu $L_2$ and $L_3$ edges because of the kinematic constraint, making absorption the only ideal x-ray probe of the spin order [20].

In summary, linear dichroism is an exquisite probe of charge order in cuprates, and can be used to determine the actual modulation pattern due to the site sensitivity.
of resonant x-rays. Similar considerations also apply to the incommensurate spin order seen for even more underdoped samples.
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