Bacterial community composition in the salivary glands of triatomines (Hemiptera: Reduviidae)
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Abstract

Background

Chagas disease is caused by the parasite Trypanosoma cruzi and is transmitted through triatomines (Hemiptera: Reduviidae). In the last year, many studies of triatomine gut microbiota have outlined its potential role in modulating vector competence. However, little is known about the microbiota present in the salivary glands of triatomines. Bacterial composition of salivary glands in selected triatomine species was investigated, as well as environmental influences on the acquisition of bacterial communities.

Methodology/Principal findings

The diversity of the bacterial communities of 30 pairs of salivary glands of triatomines was studied by sequencing of the V1–V3 variable region of the 16S rRNA using the MiSeq platform (Illumina), and bacteria isolated from skin of three vertebrate hosts were identified based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis (targeting the V3–V5 region). In a comparative analysis of microbiota in the salivary glands of triatomine species, operational taxonomic units belonging to Arsenophonous appeared as dominant in Triatoma spp (74% of the total 16S coverage), while these units belonging to unclassified Enterobacteriaceae were dominant in Rhodnius spp (57% of the total 16S coverage). Some intraspecific changes in the composition of the triatomine microbiota were observed, suggesting that some bacteria may have been acquired from the environment.

Conclusions and significance

Our study revealed the presence of a low-diversity microbiota associated to the salivary glands of the evaluated triatomines. The predominant bacteria genera are associated with triatomine genera and the bacteria can be acquired in the environment in which the insects
reside. Further studies are necessary to determine the influence of bacterial communities on vector competence.

Author summary

Chagas disease is caused by the parasite *Trypanosoma cruzi* and is transmitted through triatomines (Hemiptera: Reduviidae). It is estimated that over 10 000 people die every year from clinical manifestations of Chagas disease, and more than 25 million people risk acquiring the disease per year. Vector control remains the most effective method to prevent infection. In previous studies, the microbiota affected vector competence, thereby highlighting its potential for vector control. In this study, we demonstrate the presence of cultivable and non-cultivable bacteria in the salivary glands of different species of triatomines. The predominant bacterial genera appear to be specific to certain triatomines, e.g., the operational taxonomic units belonging to *Arsenophonus* bacterial genus is associated with the *Triatoma* spp, while these units belonging to unclassified Enterobacteriaceae bacterial family are associated with the *Rhodnius* spp. The operational taxonomic units found in low relative abundance also varied between species of triatomines and their occurrence could be influenced by the environment in which insects reside as well as inter-bacterial modulation by species-specific manner.

Introduction

In the last few years, multiple studies have focused on understanding the role of microbiota in vector competence, due to the ability of microbiota to acquire, maintain and modulate of pathogens transmission [1, 2]. Bacterial communities can establish different interactions with insects, such as commensal, mutual or pathogenic relationships. Establishment of the microbiota depends on the environmental conditions in which the insect is found, as well as the tolerance of the microbiota to the insect’s immune system [3]. In the gut, bacteria have an important role in supplying essential nutrients to the insect, facilitating digestion and defending the gut against opportunistic pathogens, such as parasites and other bacteria [4, 5].

Several studies have demonstrated the influence of environmental conditions on bacterial communities. Variability in insect-associated microbiota has been detected among mosquitoes from different geographic locations and between field and lab populations [6, 7, 8]. *A. stephensi* mosquitoes raised in the lab showed reduced bacterial diversity in their midguts compared to field-caught mosquitoes. Similarly, *A. gambiae* mosquitoes raised in the lab possessed 45 distinct Operational Taxonomic Units (OTUs) of bacteria, compared to 155 OTUs in field-caught mosquitoes. Differences among bacterial taxa richness in field-caught mosquitoes demonstrate the extent to which bacteria are acquired from the habitat [9, 10].

More than 57 species of cultivable bacteria have been identified in the triatome gut [11]. Some culture-independent methods have also revealed the microbiota composition in the gut of triatomines and interactions with *T. cruzi* and *T. rangeli* parasites [12, 2]. The bacterial communities present in the salivary glands of some species of ticks (*Ixodes ovatus*, *I. persulcatus* and *Haemaphysalis flavia*) and mosquitoes (*Anopheles gambiae*, *A. culicifacies* and *A. stephensi*) have been characterized and shown to be species-specific [13, 14, 15]. However, the bacterial communities in triatome salivary glands have never been investigated.
In this study was characterized for the first time, the presence of different cultivable and non-cultivable bacteria in the salivary glands of triatomines. Environmental influences on microbiota composition were also evaluated through the collection of insects in different localities. Furthermore, the antimicrobial production of cultivable bacteria present in the salivary glands of *T. infestans* was tested in order to establish a relationship between insects and bacteria colonization.

**Materials and methods**

**Ethics statement**

The animal care and experimental protocols were conducted following the guidelines of the institutional care and use committee (Committee for Evaluation of Animal Use for Research from Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, CAUP-UFRJ) and the NHI Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (ISBN 0_309_05377_3). The protocols were approved by CAUP-UFRJ under registry #BQM001 and #IBQM027. Technicians dedicated to the animal facility carried out all aspects related to animal husbandry under strict guidelines to ensure their careful and consistent handling. All human participants involved in this study gave their written consent.

**Insects**

Eighty-five insects in their adult stages, including males and females, were obtained from different endemic areas in South America and insectary specimens. The insects were maintained at 28˚C with 60–80% relative humidity and fed at 3-week intervals. The geographic origin of each triatomine and insectary are given in Table 1 and S1 Fig.

**Isolation of bacteria from mammals**

To verify whether the microbiota present in the triatomines’ salivary glands could have been acquired via contact with vertebrates, the bacteria found on the isolated from skin of the animals that served as food for insects and on the skin of the humans that handled them were isolated. The participants in the present study were technicians who worked in the insectaries of

| Species             | Institute of maintenance | Field caught | Period of maintenance in the insectary | Host for feeding | Number of specimens |
|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| *R. prolixus*       | Fiocruz                  | -            | < 5 years                              | Mice             | 5                   |
| *R. prolixus*       | UFRJ                     | -            | > 30 years                             | Rabbit           | 5                   |
| *R. brethesi*       | Fiocruz                  | -            | < 5 years                              | Mice             | 5                   |
| *R. milesi*         | Fiocruz                  | -            | < 5 years                              | Mice             | 5                   |
| *R. milesi*         | UFRJ                     | -            | < 2 years                              | Rabbit           | 5                   |
| *R. neglectus*      | Fiocruz                  | -            | < 5 years                              | Mice             | 5                   |
| *R. equatoriensis*  | Fiocruz                  | -            | < 5 years                              | Mice             | 5                   |
| *R. nasutus*        | Ø                        | +            | Ø                                      | Ø                | 5                   |

### Table 1. Triatomine species, institute and period of their maintenance, host for feeding and number of specimens.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006739.t001
the research institutions (UFRJ and Fiocruz). In the insectary of Fiocruz, all personnel have to wash their hands every 30 minutes to avoid infections in the insectary. One technician of each institution was chosen randomly for this study. The technicians were fit and healthy without any cuts or wounds on their hands and were informed about all aspects of the experiment. The participant’s hands were swabbed, beginning from the flexor aspect of wrist, across the palm and up all five fingers. The swabs were rolled over BHI-agar plates, under sterile conditions, that were then incubated at 37°C for 24–72 h.

Two rabbits and two mice used to feed insects were anesthetized via an intramuscular injection of ketamine (100 mg/kg of body weight) in the leg and the mouse back skin and rabbit ear skin were swabbed and the swabs rolled over BHI-agar plates, which were then incubated at 37°C for 24–72 h. All experimental procedures were conducted following the guidelines of the institutional care and use committee described previously.

Salivary gland dissection and incubation of bacteria

Salivary glands of *Rhodnius prolixus*, *R. nasutus*, *R. brethesi*, *R. milesi*, *R. neglectus*, *R. equatoriensis*, *Panstrongylus megistus* and *T. infestans* obtained from different places were dissected 7 days after insect feeding. Manipulations were come out on a sterile glass slide containing phosphate buffered saline (PBS, pH 7.4) by pulling off the insect head under a stereo microscope. Pairs of salivary glands were isolated in a drop of 1x PBS, cleaned of any adhering tissue, rinsed twice in sterile PBS, transferred to a microcentrifuge tube and macerated with the help of homogenizers for 30s. The samples were centrifuged at 2000 x g for 15 min and supernatant containing the salivary gland content of each insect was transferred onto BHI-agar plates, incubated at 28°C for 24–72 h. All steps were performed under aseptic conditions.

Bacterial isolates and 16S rRNA sequence analysis

Colony-forming units (CFU) were selected based on size and colony appearance (smooth or rough) and presence of pigments, as an attempt to cover all colony morphologies observed. Phenotypic characterization of the bacterial isolates was performed using microbiological data, colony morphology and coloration plus Gram-staining. From each agar plate containing salivary gland material, four bacterial isolates with the same characteristics were purified in slant cultures and stored at~ 80°C. Only one isolate of each morphotype was identified by 16S rRNA sequence analysis. Bacterial DNA was recovered by a thermal lysis protocol consisting in resuspending each colony in 25 μl sterile PCR water and boiling the suspension at 100°C for 15 min. PCR amplification was performed by adding 1.5 μl DNA solution to 23.5 μl of mix containing 1x buffer GOTAQ Green master mix (Promega), 0.4mg/ml of BSA (Sigma), 0.05% of Igepal (Sigma), and 20 pmol of each universal primer, 27F (5’-GAGTTTGATCMT GGCT CAG-3’) and 1492R (5’-GGYTACCTTGTTAAGCAGACT-3’) [16]. The PCR reaction was conducted using the following conditions: An initial denaturation step at 94°C for 6 min was followed by 30 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 55°C for 1 min 30 s and 72°C for 2 min, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products were confirmed by electrophoresis on a 0.8% agarose gel, purified using the Agencourt AMPure XP (Beckman Coulter, USA) and sequenced using the universal primer 338F (5’-ACTCCTACGGGAGGCAGCAG-3’) by ABI3500 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems) at Biotecnologia, Pesquisa e Inovação LTDA- BPI company (Botucatu, SP). Each PCR product generated one sequence. All sequences were analyzed using the online portal of the SILVA SINA alignment service of the ARB-Silva database (http://www.arb-silva.de/aligner/) [17]. Sequence data have been deposited in the GenBank database under the respective accession numbers (Tables 1 and 2).
Bacterial community analysis

DNA isolation. Salivary glands of T. brasiliensis, T. infestans, T. rubrovaria, R. milesi and R. prolixus obtained from Fiocruz and the UFRJ insectary were dissected and subjected to DNA isolation. For each composite sample, pairs of salivary glands from 30 insects were pooled. The biological replication was ensured by using different generations of insects for each composite sample. The DNA of salivary glands was isolated using the Ultra Clean Microbial DNA Isolation Kit (MO BIO Laboratories, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. DNA concentration was determined in a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and the DNA samples were shipped to the MR DNA (www.mrdnalab.com, Shallowater, TX, USA) for the partial sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene.

Primers and 16S rRNA gene amplification conditions

The V1- V3 variable region of the 16S rRNA was amplified by PCR using the primers 27Fmod (AGRTGTTGTAGCAGCAGGTCCTAC) and 519Rmod (GTNTTACNGCGGCKGCTG) with barcode on the forward primer [18], using the HotStarTaq Plus Master Mix Kit (Qiagen, USA) under the following conditions: 94°C for 3 minutes, followed by 28 cycles of 94°C for 30 seconds, 53°C for 40 seconds and 72°C for 1 minute, after which a final elongation step at 72°C for 5 minutes was performed. After amplification, PCR products were separated in 2% agarose gel to determine the success of amplification and the relative intensity of bands. Multiple samples were pooled together in equal proportions based on their molecular weight and DNA concentrations. Pooled samples were purified using calibrated Ampure XP beads and then the pooled and purified PCR product was used to prepare a DNA library by following Illumina TruSeq DNA library preparation protocol. Paired-end Sequencing was performed at MR DNA (www.mrdnalab.com, Shallowater, TX, USA) on a MiSeq following the manufacturer’s guidelines.

Bioinformatics analysis

The raw joined sequences were processed using Mothur v.1.39.1 software [19]. Sequences from both ends were joined with make.contigs command and the primers and barcodes list

| Source of isolation | Bacterial species                  | Identity (%) | GenBank accession # |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Rhodnius nasutus    | Gordonia polyisoprenivorans       | 100          | KX830836            |
| Rhodnius brethesi   | Rhodococcus rhodnii               | 100          | KX830837            |
| Rhodnius milesi     | Rhodococcus rhodnii               | 100          | KX830838            |
| Rhodnius neglectus  | Rhodococcus rhodnii               | 100          | KX830840            |
| Rhodnius prolixus   | Rhodococcus rhodnii               | 100          | KX830841            |
| Rhodnius equatoriens | Rhodococcus rhodnii             | 99.8         | KX830842            |
| Panstrongylus megistus | Enterococcus faecalis        | 100          | KX830839            |
| Triatoma infestans  | Enterococcus faecalis             | 100          | KX830843            |
| Triatoma infestans  | Proteus mirabilis                 | 99.8         | KX830845            |
| Triatoma infestans  | Corynebacterium xerosis           | 100          | KX830848            |
| Triatoma infestans  | Rhodococcus rhodnii               | 99.8         | KX830849            |

Table 2. Bacterial isolates from triatomine salivary glands, their origin, identity and GenBank ID.

a. Insects collected in the field and kept in Renne Rachou insectary
b. Insects collected in the field and kept in Instituto Oswaldo Cruz insectary
c. Insects from Instituto Oswaldo Cruz insectary

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006739.t002
and with \( \text{checkorient} = \text{t} \) and \( \text{pdiffs} = 1 \). The sequences were then screened with \text{scree.seqs} command, removing the sequences with any ambiguity (maxambig = 0), large homopolymer (maxhomop = 8) short and very long reads (minlength = 450, maxlength = 550). The sequences were then aligned using a modified Silva database (across a virtual PCR with the same primers of the samples) as reference [20] and the resultant alignment were submitted to screen seqs and filter seqs to remove sequences with bad alignment and uninformative columns of the alignment. The sequences were then pre-clustered using the command \text{pre.cluster} with parameter \( \text{diffs} = 4 \). The chimeras were detected with the command \text{chimera search} and then eliminated. The sequences were classified using \text{classify.seqs} command, with RDP database [21] as reference and a bootstrap cutoff of 80. Sequences classified into chloroplasts, mitochondria, Eukarya, Archaea and those not assigned to any kingdom were removed. The resultant sequences was used as input for \text{cluster.split} command, using \text{splitmethod} = \text{fasta} and \text{taxlevel} = 4. It clustered all sequences into operational taxonomic units (OTUs), with a cutoff of 3% of dissimilarity. The sequences were further filtered to remove singletons. At this point, the number of sequences per sample varied from 6,785 to 68,236. To avoid bias due sampling effort, the samples were then randomly normalized to the same number of sequences (6,785). Then the taxonomy summary was used to understand the bacterial composition of each sample and the OTU distribution was used to calculate the diversity index, to establish the relationship between samples and to evaluate significant differences over specific OTUs among the triatomine species.

**Statistical analysis**

Diversity and taxonomic composition graphics were constructed using Microsoft Excel software and formatted in PowerPoint. After testing for normality and homoscedasticity, statistical differences of the diversity and richness indexes were tested using ANOVA, followed by Tukey test in PAST 3.11 [22]. The relationship of the microbial structure of the different samples was assessed using non-metric multidimensional scaling, with Bray-Curtis distance. The effect of triatomine genera and local of incubation over microbial community were tested using a two way permanova in PAST 3.11. To identify the OTUs significantly altered in Triatomine genera, Indicator Species Analysis [23] was used, using Mothur v.1.39.1. An OTU was considered an indicator when both the indicator value was higher than 80 and the p-value lower than 0.05. A box-plot of the relative abundance of the selected OTUs was generated using PAST 3.11 and formatted using Adobe Illustrator.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers**

The data generated were deposited in the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) and are available under accession number SRP119410.

**Antagonistic interactions among bacterial isolates**

Antagonistic interactions of bacteria were tested in an antimicrobial substance production method previously described [24]. Therefore, the bacteria strains tested for antimicrobial substance production will be termed “producer” strains while those used as targets will be called “indicator” strains. One hundred thousand cells of each producer strain were spotted onto BHI-agar and incubated at 28°C until the colony diameter reached 8 mm. In parallel, each indicator strain was grown in liquid medium at 28°C for 24–72 h. Then, \( 10^5 \) cells of each indicator strain were mixed with 3 ml of BHI soft agar and poured over the plates. The plates were incubated at 28°C for 24–72 h and the diameter of the inhibition zone around the spotted
strain was measured. An indicator strain was considered sensitive to the activity of the producer strain when it exhibited a clear inhibition zone with a diameter > 8 mm.

The bacteria isolates from T. infestans salivary gland were used for antagonistic interactions against indicator strains Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213 and Escherichia coli ATCC 25922. In addition, these isolates were screened against each other for antagonistic interaction to determine whether one would inhibit growth of the others.

Results

Isolation of cultivable bacteria from the salivary glands of different triatomine species

To verify whether the microbiota present in the triatomines’ salivary glands could be influenced by the environment or whether there would be a species-specific relationship between bacteria and insects, luminal contents of salivary glands from triatomines of different species and kept under different environmental conditions were inoculated on BHI agar. Five distinct bacterial strains were isolated and characterized based on colony morphology and coloration. In each salivary gland, only one CFU morphotype was observed and only Proteus mirabilis reacted Gram-negative, whereas the others reacted Gram-positive. Bacteria isolated from the salivary glands of R. brethesi, R. milesi, R. neglectus, R. prolixus and R. equatorientes were identified as R. rhodnii and the colonies developed a pink pigmentation (Table 2). Among the Rhodnius species collected in the field, Gordonia polyisoprenivorans was identified and developed an orange pigmentation. Different bacteria species were found in T. infestans collected in different localities (Table 2).

Comparative analysis of microbiota in the salivary glands of triatomine species

To characterize bacterial communities in the salivary glands of triatomine species, bacterial DNA was extracted and amplified with polymerase chain reaction of 16S rDNA. Thereafter, the amplicons were sequenced by next-generation sequencing technologies using the Illumina MiSeq platform. To assess the influence of the insectary on the microbial composition, the microbiome of the five different triatomine species were compared, each obtained from both UFRJ and Fiocruz insectaries. Bacterial richness found in the samples was low and relatively similar among species. The OTU count ranged from 40 to 60 per sample, with exception of the R. prolixus samples collected in the UFRJ insectary, which exhibited 150 OTUs. Statistical analysis of the bacterial OTUs revealed significant influences of both insectary (two-way ANOVA, \( p < 0.04 \)) and species (two-way ANOVA, \( p < 0.01 \)), with higher values found in UFRJ compared to Fiocruz and higher values found in R. prolixus compared to other triatomines (Fig 1). Bacterial diversity was quantified with the Shannon index. The analysis indicated a similar pattern to bacterial richness, with higher diversity found in the UFRJ insectary (\( p < 0.01 \)) and a near-significant difference in diversity as a function of species (\( p = 0.056 \)). Higher diversity was observed in R. prolixus (Fig 1). Detailed statistics of the sequencing database are summarized in S1 Table.

In the rarefaction curves of samples from T. brasiliensis, T. infestans, T. rubrovaria, R. milesi and R. prolixus (Fiocruz), the OTU coverage reached maximum saturation with fewer than 500 sequences. In samples from R. prolixus collected in the UFRJ insectary, the OTU coverage reached saturation with 3000 sequences. The rarefaction curve indicated sequencing depth was adequate to capture all bacterial communities present in the samples (S2 Fig).

The 16S rRNA sequences obtained from salivary glands were classified into 11 phyla. Most samples revealed high dominance of Proteobacteria (up to 99.9% of sequences), followed by Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes. However, the sequences obtained from R.
prolixus collected in the UFRJ insectary exhibited the highest phylum diversity with preponderance of Firmicutes (up to 40% of sequences) (Fig 2A). Taxonomic assignment at the genus level revealed the presence of 64 known genera along with 34 unclassified genera (classified into family, order or class). All genera accounting for at least 0.1% of the communities are graphically represented in Fig 2B.

Substantial differences in the bacterial community composition were observed among the insect genera. While the most abundant bacterial genus found in Triatoma spp. was Arsenophus, followed by Burkholderia, the most abundant bacteria in Rhodnius spp. belonged to unclassified Enterobacteriaceae family, followed by the Rhodococcus genus and the Bacteriodales genus (Fig 2B).

Nonmetric multidimensional scaling was performed with Bray–Curtis distances to visualize the relationships among the bacterial community compositions based on the taxa present and their relative abundances, providing a score between 1 (complete similarity or no change) and 0 (complete dissimilarity or complete change).

Considering the ordination in the graph, the microbiomes were dispersed by the triatomine species as well as by the location sites. The Triatoma spp. bacterial communities were dispersed collectively on the left of the ordination, whereas the Rhodnius spp. bacterial communities were dispersed on the right (Fig 3). Moreover, the sites where the insects were kept influenced the microbial composition (Fig 3). The PERMANOVA analysis indicated highly significant effects of both insect genus and insectary \((p < 0.01)\) as well as the interaction of the two factors \((p < 0.01)\).

An indicator species analysis was performed to evaluate the relative abundances of the main bacterial OTUs between the two triatomine genera. Three OTUs were significantly more abundant in the Triatoma genus, two belonging to Arsenophonus bacterial genus and one belonging to Stenotrophomonas bacterial genus. Four OTUs were significantly more abundant in Rhodnius, two belonging to unclassified Enterobacteriaceae family, one to Rhodococcus genus and one to unclassified Betaproteobacteria class (Fig 4).

Only four OTUs were found in all samples: Arsenophus, Rhodococcus, and two units of unclassified Enterobacteriaceae. These units represent the core microbiome of the triatomine species analyzed in this study.
Isolation of cultivable bacteria from vertebrate hosts

To investigate the influence of vertebrate hosts on the microbiota composition of salivary glands, cultivable bacteria were isolated from mouse back skin, human hand skin, and rabbit ear skin. A total of 22 CFUs were isolated from vertebrate hosts. Ten CFUs were isolated from rabbit skin, and two of them were found in the salivary glands of the triatomine species.
Corynebacterium xerosis and R. rhodnii. Eleven CFUs were isolated from human and mouse skin (Table 3).

**Antagonistic interactions among bacterial isolates from triatomine salivary glands**

To assess whether the cultivable bacteria present in the salivary glands were capable of producing substances that would inhibit the growth of other bacteria, the bacterial strains *P. mirabilis*, *C. xerosis*, *E. faecalis* and *R. rhodnii* isolated from triatomines were screened for antimicrobial substance production by cross-inhibition tests among the four strains. The results showed zones of inhibition larger than 8 mm in diameter. *E. faecalis* and *R. rhodnii* were the only bacteria exhibiting antagonistic activities against *C. xerosis* and *E. faecalis*, respectively (Fig 5 and S3 Fig).

The production of antimicrobial substances against *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* was quantified in the four isolated strains, to assess whether the strains could inhibit bacteria of medical importance. The four strains had no antimicrobial activity against *S. aureus*. Only *E. faecalis* showed antimicrobial activity against *E. coli* (S4 Fig).

**Discussion**

Insects are colonized by microorganisms which may offer fitness benefits: at least 15–20% of all insects live in symbiotic relationships with bacteria [25]. Bacterial communities can be influenced by conditions and resources in insect habitats, insect immunological tolerances and transmission mechanisms [3]. Microbial symbionts may contribute to the nutrition and development of insects and may produce bioactive compounds that protect the host against adverse conditions, predators and direct competitors, thereby increasing insect fitness [26, 27].

This study demonstrated the presence of different bacterial groups in the salivary glands of several triatomine species. In *Rhodnius* genus, the bacterium *R. rhodnii* was cultured from...
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insects grown in the insectary, whereas *G. polyisoprenivorans* was cultured from insects (Table 2). *R. rhodnii* has been described as an endosymbiont present in the gut of *R. prolixus*. This species is important for insect development and insects that are not colonized by the endosymbiont reveal high mortality rates and can not molt [28, 29, 30, 31]. *T. infestans* harbored different culturable species of bacteria in its salivary glands (Table 2), suggesting that bacteria were acquired in the environment in which the insects were collected during the initial development stage of insects.

Certain bacteria identified in the salivary glands of triatomines (such as *R. rhodnii*, *E. faecalis* and *Corynebacterium* sp.) have also been observed in the guts of *P. megistus*, *R. prolixus* and *T. infestans*. Moreover, other bacteria were identified in different conditions and species, indicating the habitat conditions and the species may influence in the bacterial community establishment [11, 32]. Bacteria found in triatomines under laboratory conditions may not reflect natural characteristics, because among the wild *T. infestans*, 14 bacteria were isolated and these were not found in the same species kept in laboratory conditions [33]. Microbial composition differed among the triatomine species with *T. infestans* and *T. vitticeps* presenting the largest diversity of gut bacteria compared to *R. prolixus*, *P. megistus* and *Dipetalogaster maximus* [34].

![Fig 4. Principal OTUs responsible for observed differences in the salivary-gland microbiota.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006739.g004)

**Table 3. Bacterial isolates, their origin, growth medium and GenBank ID.**

| Source of isolation | Bacterial species                | Identity (%) | Gen Bank accession # |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Rabbit*             | Corynebacterium xerosis         | 99.0         | MF581327            |
| Rabbit*             | Bacillus amyloliqufaciens       | 100          | MF581328            |
| Rabbit*             | Staphylococcus saprophyticus    | 100          | MF581329            |
| Rabbit*             | Jeotgalicoccus nanhaiensis      | 100          | MF581330            |
| Rabbit*             | Rhodococcus rhodnii             | 100          | MF581331            |
| Rabbit*             | Staphylococcus epidermidis      | 100          | MF581332            |
| Rabbit*             | Rothia nasimurium               | 99.0         | MF581333            |
| Rabbit*             | Staphylococcus spp.             | 99.0         | MF581334            |
| Rabbit*             | Staphylococcus xylosus          | 99.0         | MF581336            |
| Rabbit*             | Aerococcus viridans             | 99.0         | MF581337            |
| Human*              | Micrococcus luteus              | 100          | MF581338            |
| Human*              | Rothia nasimurium               | 99.0         | MF581318            |
| Human*              | Pantoea septica                 | 99.0         | MF581319            |
| Human*              | Kocuria kristinae               | 99.0         | MF581320            |
| Human*              | Staphylococcus xylosus          | 99.0         | MF581321            |
| Human*              | Kytococcus sedentarius          | 99.0         | MF581323            |
| Human*              | Staphylococcus epidermidis      | 100          | MF581324            |
| Human*              | Bacillus niacini                | 99.0         | MF581325            |
| Human*              | Staphylococcus xylosis          | 99.0         | MF581326            |
| Human*              | Micrococcus luteus              | 99.0         | MF581317            |
| Human*              | Staphylococcus spp.             | 99.0         | MF581335            |
| Mouse*              | Staphylococcus spp.             | 99.0         | MG680926            |

a. Samples collect from UFRJ insectary
b. Samples collect from Instituto Oswaldo Cruz insectary

[https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006739.t003](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006739.t003)
To date most bacteria known in triatomines have been studied by culture methods. Bacteria isolated by these methods grow rapidly; however, they are rarely numerically dominant in the communities from which they are obtained. Culture methods may favor the growth of fast-growing bacteria at the expense of slow-growing species. It is estimated that 1% of the bacteria on Earth can be readily cultivated in vitro. Present estimates point to 61 distinct bacterial phyla, of which 31 reveal no cultivable representatives [35]. For endosymbionts, the ability to find cultivable representatives may be lower, since several bacterial symbionts depend on their hosts for their development. Therefore, we evaluated the bacterial communities from the salivary glands of T. brasiliensis, T. infestans, T. rubrovaria, R. milesi and R. prolixus with 16S rRNA gene sequencing.

The Shannon index was used to estimate the diversity, species count, and distribution of the bacterial species. In analyses of the bacterial species diversity, the triatomine salivary glands presented low diversity when compared with the bacterial community diversity in mammals, with few bacterial phyla dominating the communities (Figs 1, 2A and S2). Previous studies reveal different species of the genus Anopheles possess low bacterial diversity with few phylotypes with 16S rRNA sequencing [13]. In bees (Apis mellifera), 8–10 bacterial species constitute more than 98% of the gut bacterial community, indicating low bacterial diversity in the gut when compared to the other bacterial communities associated with the insects [36, 37, 38].

In insects, the establishment of bacterial communities depends on the bacterial tolerance toward unfavorable conditions such as pH, reactive nitrogen, oxygen species, concentration of antimicrobial compounds and host habit [39, 40, 41]; however, other factors may contribute to microbial richness and diversity, including microbial evolution, microbial biogeography, microbial dormancy, metabolic diversity and neutral processes [42].

The phylum Proteobacteria is highly diverse and contains a large variety of species that are adapted to several environments. For this reason, it was not a surprise to find this phylum with higher relative abundance in almost all bacterial communities found in the salivary glands of triatomines. This abundant phylum was also found in the salivary glands and guts of other insects [43, 44, 15]; however, dominance of the Firmicutes phylum as well as greater diversity and richness observed in the R. prolixus samples from the UFRJ insectary demonstrate the influence of environment on the bacterial composition.

In the UFRJ insectary, triatomines are in contact with different rabbits while feeding, increasing the chances of being colonized by several bacteria, culminating in higher diversity.
in salivary glands when compared with triatomines from Fiocruz. The increase in bacterial diversity found in *R. prolixus* obtained from the UFRJ insectary compared to *T. infestans*, *T. brasiliensis*, *T. rubrovaria* and *R. milesi* collected in the same insectary could be the result of an adaptation since the *R. prolixus* colony reared in the insectary for more than 30 years, suggesting the microbiota has already adapted to the environmental conditions, in contrast to other triatomine species, which have been established for less than 6 years (Fig 2). These results are corroborated in previous studies, which have revealed the biological and ecological factors such as age, genetics and environment can influence the composition of the bacterial communities [45].

According to our analysis of the microbial composition at the genus level, *Triatoma* spp are dominated by OTUs belonging to *Arsenophonus* bacterial genus, whereas the *Rhodnius* spp are dominated by an unclassified Enterobacteriaceae OTU. Thus, the relationships among bacteria and triatomines appear to be species-specific (Fig 2B). These species-specific relationships are also corroborated by the distribution of OTUs among the triatomine species (Figs 3 and 4). These similarities in the bacterial communities suggest the predominant bacterial OTUs differed among the triatomine genera. This hypothesis was based on the abundance of bacterial OTUs genera found in gut of certain insect species, such as bacterial OTU belonging to the *Candidatus Rohrkolberia cinguli* OTU in *D. maximus* [34].

To evaluate the influence of vertebrate host on the bacterial composition of salivary glands, a total of 22 CFUs were isolated from rabbit, human and mouse skin from the UFRJ and Fiocruz insectaries. The majority of bacteria identified such as *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, *Pantoea* sp., *R. rhodnii* and *Micrococcus luteus* are frequently present in the soil and water, whereas others such as *Staphylococcus saprophyticus*, *C. xerosis* and *S. epidermidis* are found in humans (Table 3). In humans, these bacteria are opportunistic and are found on the skin and in the urinary tract, causing urinary tract infections and hospitalization [46].

It may be that, the bacterial strains present in the insects were acquired through contact with hosts, because bacterial species (*C. xerosis* and *R. rhodnii*) present on the vertebrate hosts were found in the salivary glands, suggesting horizontal transmission. Indeed bacteria can be acquired by more than one route such as trophalaxia, coprophagy and vertical or transovarial transmission [47, 48, 49]. In *R. prolixus*, *R. rhodnii* transmission occurred by coprophagy [50]; however, in *T. infestans*, vertical transovarial transmission occurs, due to the presence of bacteria in the embryonic gut prior to the egg hatching [51].

Most bacterial isolates studied in this work did not reveal antagonistic activities against each other or against the indicator species, with the exception of *E. faecalis*, which was able to inhibit *C. xerosis* and *E. coli*; a Gram-positive bacterium (*R. rhodnii*) also inhibited *E. faecalis*, suggesting interbacterial modulation, if present, occurs in a species-specific manner (Figs 5, S3 and S4).

The gut microbiota can be controlled by the IMD immunological pathway via lysozymes and antimicrobial peptides, resulting in a decrease in the number of bacterial species a few days after feeding [52, 53]. In contrast, when triatomines are infected with *T. cruzi* the microbial composition changes in a species-specific manner. This parasite modulates the vector immunity, increasing the basal response against microbial proliferation such as the phagoloxidase complex, antimicrobial proteases, and antimicrobial peptides (AMPs). The competition between the parasite and microbiota will determine the success of colonization by trypanosomes in the insect gut [54, 55, 56, 2].

A strategy to eliminate Chagas disease is paratransgenesis, where the symbiotic bacteria are isolated and genetically transformed *in vitro*. The symbiont is altered and reintroduced into the host vector to produce molecules that interfere with the pathogen transmission [57]. In *R.
prolixus, the genetic modification of an endosymbiotic bacterium, R. rhodnii produced an anti-parasitic peptide called cecropin A. In the gut infected with T. cruzi, cecropin A was able to eliminate 65% of the parasites. Thus a genetically altered symbiont could be used to eliminate the transport of an infectious agent from a vector [58, 59]. Therefore, it is essential to understand the microbial composition and its relationship with the insects in order to select adequate bacterial strain for paratransgenesis.

In our study, we fully characterized bacterial communities in the salivary glands of triatomines. Bacterial communities varied among triatomine species and were species-specific. As our molecular-level understanding of the influence of bacteria on vector competence remains limited, further studies are needed to understand whether the microbiota is capable of interfering with T. cruzi colonization in insects.
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