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Conclusion: Given comparable findings in the presence and distribution of abnormalities between POCUS and chest CT, POCUS may be a viable alternative to chest CT for diagnosis and risk stratification in patients with suspected COVID-19.

153 Do Hydroxychloroquine, Disease-Modifying Antirheumatic Agents or Steroids, Serve to Prevent COVID-19 Infection?

Keyes D, Haidous A, Lake C, Stipek K, McHugh L, St Joseph Mercy Health System, Ann Arbor, MI; University of Michigan-Dearborn, Dearborn, MI; St Mary Mercy Hospital, Livonia, MI

Study Objectives: Emergency physicians and other specialists are in critical need of medicinal agents to prevent SARS-COV-2 (COVID-19) infection. International attention has been given to hydroxychloroquine (HCQ), in particular, and other antirheumatologic agents for this purpose. Several very commonly used medications work to block the cascade of chemokine influences and macrophage activation, but definitive prevention of ARDS is inconclusive. Agents proposed include TNF blocking agents, leukotriene antagonists and steroids. It may be possible to block infection, pneumonia and ARDS with prior use of these agents. The objective of this study is to compare attack rates of COVID-19 among patients who were already taking common rheumatologic agents prior to the COVID epidemic in the study region and those not taking these agents.

Methods: A retrospective cohort design. Data was used across multiple hospitals in MI. 990 patients with lupus (SLE) or rheumatoid arthritis (RA) and a COVID-19 test (whether negative or positive) were included. Agents chosen for analysis included HCQ, inductumab, adalimumab, montelukast and steroids. Unadjusted differences between treatment groups with chi-square or Fisher Exact tests were used. Use of all agents other than HCQ and montelukast were combined as one group for comparative analysis. Adjusted treatment effects were estimated using logistic regression. Predictive covariates for the latter included demographics and Charlson comorbidities. Influenza testing was also evaluated.

Results: After dropping N = 30 patients with no data on pre-COVID prescriptions, a sample size of N = 960 patients with an existing diagnosis of rheumatoid arthritis (RA) or systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) were analyzed. Of these patients, N = 214 patients had an active HCQ prescription at admission and N = 82 patients had a positive COVID-19 test result. None of the unadjusted or adjusted outcomes were statistically different between the ‘pretreatment’ groups (on-agent or off-agent) for HCQ for other rheumatological agents tested as a group, or for steroids.

Conclusion: In a retrospective observational study, there was no evidence of benefit for the prophylactic use of hydroxychloroquine, several representative rheumatologic agents or steroids for the prevention of infection with COVID-19.

154 Virtual Teledmedicine Training for Emergency Medicine Residents During the COVID-19 Pandemic

McNally K, Elahi N, Slovenia M, Ganti L, Lebowitz D, Macintosh T/UCF, Orlando, FL

Study Objectives: With the dawn of the COVID-19 pandemic and the need for enhanced social distancing measures, telemedicine has become an integral part of emergency medicine. Medical schools have started to integrate telemedicine training into their curricula, but there are few reports of telemedicine training in GME programs. The primary objective of this study was to examine current emergency medicine resident knowledge of telemedicine, expose residents to standardized telemedicine patients virtually, and analyze the effectiveness of telemedicine training on completing a successful encounter.

Methods: Seventeen emergency medicine residents first underwent a virtual standardized telemedicine encounter using the Zoom™ application without prior training in telemedicine. Standardized patients were queried on resident success during this untrained encounter using a survey with aspects of a successful encounter. The following session with sixteen of those 17 residents, involved a lecture by a telemedicine physician with years of experience on the fundamentals of a successful encounter, as well as pre-reading materials on the topic. After this intervention, sixteen residents underwent a repeat virtual encounter, with standardized patients responding to the same questions as the pre-training. Residents also underwent a post-survey on their experiences.

Results: Standardized patients evaluated 17 emergency residents before telemedicine training, and 16 of those 17 residents after telemedicine training with a 13-question survey focused on aspects of a successful telemedicine interview. Statistically significant differences were noted on aspects of the encounter related to telemedicine when analyzing pre- and post-training data and using a Z test for proportions: obtaining informed consent (0% vs. 61%, p = 0.00012), asking about privacy in the patient’s environment (6% vs. 87%, p < 0.00001), verifying name and/or date of birth (29% vs. 94%, p = 0.00014). Aspects of the encounter that did not have statistically significant results on pre- and post-tests included: resident introducing themselves (94% vs. 100%, p = 0.31732), asking focused questions about medical condition (100% vs. 100% p = 1), closing the encounter by explaining care plan (94% vs. 94%, p = 1). Fourteen residents responded to a post-training survey with 92.8% of respondents stating that they “strongly agree” that the telemedicine training was helpful to their education. Only 28.6% of respondents stated that they “strongly agree” that they understood how to do a virtual physical exam.

Conclusion: Overall, emergency medicine residents had significant improvement on aspects of an encounter with a standardized patient that were unique to telemedicine after undergoing training from an expert in the field. Residents scored well both before and after training on aspects of the encounters not pertaining specifically to telemedicine, suggesting good clinical overlap between virtual and in-person environments. Residents uniformly felt the training was helpful to their education. Participants did feel less confident with the ability to do a virtual physical exam, which could possibly be ameliorated with more practice in this environment. Many EM residencies are undergoing virtual didactics and because of this, similar training could easily be utilized across the country. This training could prove to be essential in the future because of the global health crisis of the COVID-19 pandemic.

155 Using Point-of-Care Ultrasound to Predict Clinical Outcomes in Patients With COVID-19

Shokooei H, Chahardoli M, Loeche MA, Sabbaghian Kermani S, Schulwolf S, Abdolali Zadeh Manjoutei S, Toffghi R, Yadeegari S, Duggan N/Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA; Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran

Study Objectives: Point-of-care ultrasound (POCUS) may be used as a valuable tool for risk stratification of patients with COVID-19 as its characteristic POCUS findings have recently been described. In the present study, we aim to define the prognostic value of cardiopulmonary POCUS in patients with COVID-19. Here, we correlate POCUS findings with patient-centered outcomes such as need for intubation, intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and mortality.

Methods: 125 patients presenting to an urban ED in Tehran, Iran with symptoms concerning for COVID-19 were prospectively enrolled between March 8 and April 4, 2020. Participants underwent pulmonary POCUS following a 12-zone PLUS-Co protocol, and cardiac POCUS using a standardized 4-view protocol. ED physicians performed scans and provided real-time scan interpretations, images were reassessed by a second, blinded reviewer for quality control and inter-rater reliability. For pulmonary POCUS, each lung zone was individually assessed for pleural line irregularities, alveolar interstitial syndrome (e.g., B-lines), and subpleural consolidations (SCs), then scored using a 4-point measure. Zone scores were aggregated to generate a cumulative lung involvement score per patient. Cardiac POCUS was assessed for ejection fraction, right ventricular function, pericardial effusion and inferior vena cava collapsibility. Clinical course and outcome variables were collected via retrospective chart review. Descriptive statistics were performed to evaluate the distribution and frequency of positive POCUS findings and their correlation with patient outcomes including ICU admission, mechanical ventilation, inpatient length of stay, and mortality.

Results: COVID-19-positive patients demonstrated higher bilateral lung involvement scores than COVID-19-negative patients overall (p<.001, r^2 = .667), with significantly increased B-lines (p adj=0.000000804), pulmonary consolidations (p adj=0.000050050), pleural thickening (p adj=0.00000742), and SCs (p adj=0.000050050). Increased B-lines were most pronounced in the AS, AX, and PLAPS distributions (p adj=0.000000804), whereas pleural thickening was noted in all lung regions (AS, AI, PS, PI, AX, PLAPS; p(adj)=0.0182,0.014,0.0575,0.0328,0.0003,0), and subpleural consolidation was most prominent in AS, AX, and PLAPS (p(adj)=0.0312,0.0398,0.0324). In performing regression analysis no single positive POCUS finding was significantly correlated with patient outcomes inducing mortality, and need for intubation, nor was lung involvement score as a whole.

Conclusion: In patients with COVID-19, regionalized POCUS findings and aggregate lung involvement scores were not predictive of patient outcomes including mortality. Despite this, cardiopulmonary POCUS may still provide valuable diagnostic and risk stratification in patients with suspected COVID-19.