Semi-Synthesis of Harringtonolide Derivatives and Their Antiproliferative Activity
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Abstract: Harringtonolide (HO), a natural product isolated from Cephalotaxus harringtonia, exhibits potent antiproliferative activity. However, little information has been reported on the systematic structure−activity relationship (SAR) of HO derivatives. Modifications on tropone, lactone, and allyl positions of HO (1) were carried out to provide 17 derivatives (2–13, 11a–11f). The in vitro antiproliferative activity against four cancer cell lines (HCT-116, A375, A549, and Huh-7) and one normal cell line (L-02) was tested. Amongst these novel derivatives, compound 6 exhibited comparable cell growth inhibitory activity to HO and displayed better selectivity index (SI = 56.5) between Huh-7 and L-02 cells. The SAR results revealed that the tropone and lactone moieties are essential for the cytotoxic activities, which provided useful suggestions for further structural optimization of HO.
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1. Introduction

Cephalotane-type diterpenoids are widely distributed in the plant of the Cephalotaxus genus [1–5], which is the sole member of the Cephalotaxaceae family. Harringtonolide (also named as hainanolide, HO) is the first member of cephalotane-type diterpenoids that was isolated and structurally identified in 1978 from the seeds of Cephalotaxus harringtonia by Buta and coworkers. Structurally, HO, as a typical cephalotane-type diterpenoid, has a tropone ring, a fused tetracarbocyclic skeleton (A−B−C−D ring), a bridged lactone (E-ring), and a tetrahydrofuran ring (F-ring) in the molecule. Right after its isolation, it was shown to possess potent plant growth inhibitory [6], antiviral [7], anti-inflammatory [8], and antiproliferative activities [1,8,9]. During the past decades, HO has attracted considerable attention of synthetic chemists because of its unique cage-like troponoid skeleton and remarkable biological effects [10–18]. However, great efforts have been mainly devoted to the total synthesis of HO. Therefore, almost all of these HO derivatives (Figure 1) were extracted and separated from the kingdom of plants, such as hainanolidol with F-ring-opening [19], cephanolide A with A-ring-contracted [20], fortalpinoid K without a complete tropone moiety [21], fortalpinoid J [21], cephinoids F-G [8], fortunolide B [22] and 10-hydroxyharringtonolide [23] with hydroxy substitutions in HO, and 6-en-harringtonolide with a double bond adjacent to tropone unit [23]. Only 13-Bromoharringtonolide is the semi-synthetic HO derivative reported by Evanno and coworkers [9]. However, to our knowledge, detailed anti-proliferative structure−activity relationship (SAR) for HO (1) is still insufficient up to now. In order to enrich the chemical diversity of HO and discover pharmacologically interesting compounds, a series of novel HO derivatives were semi-synthesized through substitution at tropone, selective reduction of lactone, and allylic oxidation. Moreover, the antiproliferative activity of these derivatives against the HCT-116 (human colon cancer), A375 (human melanoma cancer), A-549 (human lung adenocarci-
noma cancer), and Huh-7 (human hepatoma cancer) was evaluated, and their SARs were discussed in the present study.

Figure 1. Structures of harringtonolide and its analogues.

2. Results

2.1. Chemical Synthesis

Two C-15 substituted analogues (2 and 3) were synthesized to probe and define the importance of the C-15 substituent. Scheme 1 outlines the synthesis of target compounds 2 and 3. The reaction of 1 with NH₂-NH₂·H₂O in EtOH at room temperature (rt) produced 2 in 96% yield. Further amidation of 2 with acetyl chloride and Et₃N in CH₂Cl₂ at rt provided 3 in 92% yield.

Scheme 1. Reagents: (a) NH₂-NH₂·H₂O, EtOH and (b) AcCOCl, Et₃N.

To explore the role of lactone, compounds with reduced lactone ring (4 and 5) were synthesized as shown in Scheme 2. Selective reduction of the lactone with DIBAL-H at −78 °C afforded lactol 4 in 24% yield, which subsequently underwent an esterification reaction with Ac₂O to give compound 5 in 92% yield.
In order to discover HO derivatives with diverse allyl alcohol replacements, allylic oxidation of HO with SeO₂ was conducted. Interestingly, under the condition of Riley oxidation (SeO₂/TBHP) at rt, compounds 6 with a double bond between C-6 and C-7 in 16% yield and 7 with A-ring contracted in 5% yield were produced as shown in Scheme 3. The structure of compound 6 is consistent with the natural product 6-en-harringtonolide [23] isolated from Cephalotaxus mannii as shown in Figure 1. The formation of compound 6 was likely based on the elimination of hydroxyl. A possible mechanism for the formation of 7 is presented in Scheme S1, which is meaningful to explore the conversion of tropone to benzene ring in cephalotane-type diterpenoids.

Next, another route was designed to obtain our target compounds. At the beginning, HO (1) was treated with NBS/AIBN at 65 °C for 12 h to obtain compound 7α-Br harringtonolide (8) in 54% yield. Compound 8 was then subjected to hydrolysis with AgBF₄ in acetone/H₂O at 65 °C to produce 7β-OH harringtonolide (10) in 47% yield and 7α-OH harringtonolide (12) in 21% yield (Scheme 4). The β-orientation of 7-OH in compound 10 was deduced from the ROESY correlations (Figure S1) of 7-H with 1-H and 10-H. The structure of compound 12 is identical to the natural product cephinoid F [8] isolated from Cephalotaxus lanceolata as shown in Figure 1.

Furthermore, to explore the effects of hydroxy group and its stereochemistry of HO on antiproliferative activity, a series of HO derivatives were designed in which the hydroxy at C-7 was modified as shown in Scheme 4. The cytotoxicity assay (vide infra) of these two compounds indicated that the stereochemistry at C-7 influences the cytotoxic activities with β-orientation favored. Thus, compound 10 was then coupled with acyl moieties to its 7β-OH position to afford a series of new ester derivatives 11a–11f. The 3,5,6-trimethylpyrazine-2-carboxylic acid (TMPA), used in the synthesis of 11f, was obtained according to the previous report [24]. Interestingly, treatment of 8 with MeONa/MeOH at rt provided 9 with a methoxy substituent at tropone in 72% yield rather than allyl position, the generation of 9 may be through the rearrangement of allyl carbocation and subsequent replacement by methoxy anion (Scheme S2). The oxidation of hydroxy in compound 12 with Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP) in CH₂Cl₂ at rt produced 13 in 78% yield.
Scheme 4. Reagents: (f) NBS/AIBN, DCE; (g) MeONa/MeOH; (h) AgBF₄, acetone/H₂O; (i) RCOOH, EDCI/DMAP; and (j) DMP, CH₂Cl₂.

2.2. Cytotoxicity

All the synthesized derivatives of HO were tested for their antiproliferative activity against four human cancer cell lines, including the HCT-116, A375, A549, and Huh-7 cell lines, using the MTT assay. Cisplatin was used as a positive control, while lead compound HO (1) was also included in the study for comparison. IC₅₀ values (50% inhibition concentration of cell viability) of the tested compounds were summarized in Table 1.

Parent compound 1 showed strong potency against HCT-116, A375, A549, and Huh-7 cells with IC₅₀ values of 0.61, 1.34, 1.67, and 1.25 μM, respectively. Unfortunately, compounds 2-5, 7, and 9 were inactive against four tested cancer cells at 50 μM. The results revealed that structural variations in the tropone ring and lactone will dramatically impact the cytotoxic activities. 7-OH, 7-keto, or 7-ester group derivatives 10–13 showed weak cytotoxic activities or were inactive. In particular, compound 6 showed comparable cytotoxic activities to HO against HCT-116 and Huh-7 cells with IC₅₀ values of 0.86 and 1.19 μM, respectively.

The selectivity index is crucial for drug development, because it could mediate side effects, including tissues toxicity [25,26]. Therefore, according to cytotoxic activity results, compounds 6 and 10 with potent cytotoxicity in Huh-7 cells were selected to investigate the selectivity between normal and cancer cells. These two compounds were tested on human normal hepatic L-02 cells with HO as control. The results are listed in Table 2. Interestingly, compound 6 displayed obvious selectivity between Huh-7 and L-02 cells with SI = 56.5 compared with the parent compound 1 with SI = 2.8.
Table 1. The in-vitro cytotoxicity of the harringtonolide (HO) analogues.

| Compounds | HCT-116 (µM) | A375 (µM) | A549 (µM) | Huh-7 (µM) |
|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| HO (1)    | 0.61 ± 0.03  | 1.34 ± 0.23 | 1.67 ± 0.23 | 1.25 ± 0.08 |
| 2         | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| 3         | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| 4         | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| 5         | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| 6         | 0.86 ± 0.05  | 4.85 ± 0.42 | 5.47 ± 0.13 | 1.19 ± 0.08 |
| 7         | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| 8         | 2.19 ± 0.23  | 3.40 ± 0.22 | 43.40 ± 1.08 | 30.75 ± 0.60 |
| 9         | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| 10        | 2.29 ± 0.27  | 5.79 ± 1.26 | 8.25 ± 0.20 | 6.34 ± 0.32 |
| 11a       | 5.95 ± 0.45  | 30.13 ± 1.58 | 27.49 ± 0.89 | >50        |
| 11b       | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| 11c       | 10.98 ± 0.34 | 16.42 ± 1.92 | 23.25 ± 0.67 | >50        |
| 11d       | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| 11e       | 5.47 ± 0.27  | 7.08 ± 0.42 | 17.98 ± 4.18 | >50        |
| 11f       | 10.77 ± 0.42 | 18.56 ± 2.23 | 25.95 ± 0.68 | >50        |
| 12        | 31.88 ± 0.69 | 40.42 ± 7.58 | 34.72 ± 1.94 | >50        |
| 13        | >50          | >50       | >50       | >50        |
| Cisplatin | 31.88 ± 0.69 | 40.42 ± 7.58 | 34.72 ± 1.94 | >50        |

Table 2. Cytotoxicity of compounds 1, 6 and 10 on L-02 cells.

| Compound | IC50 (µM) | Huh-7 | L-02 |
|----------|-----------|-------|------|
| 6        | 1.19 ± 0.08 | 67.2 ± 0.43 | 56.5 |
| 10       | 6.34 ± 0.32 | 22.33 ± 1.11 | 3.5  |
| HO (1)   | 1.25 ± 0.08 | 3.46 ± 0.36 | 2.8  |

SI* = Selectivity index is defined as IC50 (L-02)/IC50 (Huh-7).

3. Discussion

Combined with previous reports [8,21], systematic SAR of the HO analogues as antiproliferative agents (Figure 2) was discussed as follows based on above-described results. (1) From the screening results in Table 1, it was observed that substitution with a bromine atom, an amino or a methoxy group at tropone (2-bromoharringtonolide [9] and compounds 2–3, 9), lack of tropone ring (fortalpinoid K [21]), and A-ring contraction of tropone (cephanolide A [20] and compound 7) in HO led to losing of cytotoxic activities. (2) Reduction of lactone in HO also led to losing of cytotoxic activities as observed in the compounds 4 and 5. These results indicated that the tropone and lactone moieties are essential for the cytotoxic activities. (3) The introduction of an α-oriented hydroxyl at C-7 or other positions drastically decreased cytotoxic activities in accord with previous reports [8,21–23], whereas the presence of a 7β-OH exerts no obvious effects on the cytotoxic activities against HCT-116 cells as observed in the cases of compounds 10 (IC50 = 2.29 µM) and 12 (IC50 = 31.88 µM). However, further esterification at 7β-OH greatly decreased cytotoxic activities against A549 cells in different levels as observed in compounds 11a (IC50 = 27.49 µM), 11c (IC50 = 23.25 µM), 11e (IC50 = 17.98 µM), and 11f (IC50 = 25.95 µM) compared with HO (IC50 = 1.67 µM) or led to losing of cytotoxic activities as observed in compounds 11b and 11d (IC50 > 50 µM against tested cells). The compounds 11a, 11c, 11e, and 11f also showed weak cytotoxic activities against three other cancer cell lines. This could be attributed to the steric hindrance that affecting the binding of compounds to their targets [27,28]. (4) The presence of a double bond adjacent to the tropone unit had no obvious effects on the cytotoxic activities against HCT-116 and Huh-7 cells as observed in the cases of compound 6 (IC50 = 0.86 and 1.19 µM, respectively) compared with HO.
(IC₅₀ = 0.61 and 1.25 μM, respectively) but obviously increased the selectivity between Huh-7 and L-02 cells, as observed in Table 2. The selectivity index of compound 6 (SI = 56.5) was 20 times higher than HO (SI = 2.8). (5) Carbonyl adjacent to the tropone motif led to losing of cytotoxic activities, as observed in 13 (IC₅₀ > 50 μM against tested cells).

Figure 2. Systematic structure–activity relationship (SAR) of harringtonolide (HO) derivatives.

According to the SAR analysis of HO derivatives, compound 6 exhibited the most potent antiproliferative activity and low toxicity. Our studies indicated that analogue 6 could be further investigated as an antitumor drug candidate. Additionally, there is an urgent need for thorough research to understand the mechanism of action and targets of this kind of analogues.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Chemistry

HO, used as starting material, was isolated from Cephalotaxus fortunei Hook. f. by our group, and its structure was identified by ESI-MS and NMR. All reagents were purchased from Energy Chemical (Shanghai, China) and Aladdin (Shanghai, China) and were used without any further purification. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using silica gel plates (GF254, Qingdao Marine Chemical Ltd., Qingdao, China) and visualized under ultraviolet (UV) light (254 nm). Silica gel column chromatography was performed using 200–300 mesh (Qingdao Marine Chemical Ltd., Qingdao, China).

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance III-500 and Bruker Avance III-600 spectrometers (Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany) at ambient temperature using TMS as the internal standard. High-resolution electrospray ionization (HRESI) mass spectra were carried out using an Agilent 6520B Q-TOF mass spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). All final compounds have purity >95% (Figure S2) as determined by an Agilent 1260 instrument equipped with VWD detector (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) using a COSMOSIL 5C18-AR-II column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, i.d., 5 μm, Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan).

4.1.1. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 2 and 3

To a solution of 1 (310 mg, 1 mmol) in EtOH (10 mL), 80% hydrazine monohydrate (NH₂-H₂N-H₂O; 5 mL) was added. The solution was allowed to stir at rt for 8 h until all starting material was consumed as determined by TLC. The reaction was quenched by the addition of H₂O, and the mixture was extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was
purified by column chromatography using CH$_2$Cl$_2$-MeOH (10:1) to afford 2 as a yellow solid (312 mg, 96%).

(3R,4aR,10aS,11S)-8-amino-5,11-dimethyl-2a,3,4a,9,10a1-hexahydro-1H-2,4-dioxa-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopentajkacenaphthylen-8-yl) acetamide (3).

1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 7.18 (s, 1H), 6.07 (brs, 2H), 5.43 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.38-3.32 (m, 2H), 2.90 (ddd, J = 14.6, 7.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (dd, J = 15.5, 6.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (dd, J = 15.4, 11.9, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 1.68 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.29 (ddd, J = 14.6, 11.9, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 0.86 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). 13C-NMR (151 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 175.67, 174.19, 152.80, 148.32, 144.37, 131.75, 132.71, 118.29, 85.95, 80.21, 78.99, 50.79, 45.47, 42.01, 40.56, 25.35, 24.00, 22.91, 14.81. HR-MS (ESI) m/z: 443.1101 [M + Na]$^+$ (Calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{19}$NNaO$_5$: 443.1101).

To a solution of 2 (8.1 mg, 0.025 mmol) in 1 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane, triethylamine (TEA; 6.9 µL, 0.05 mmol) was added, and then, acetyl chloride (2.7 µL, 0.0375 mmol) was added to the solution at 0 °C. The resulting solution was allowed to warm to rt and stirred for 4 h. Then, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with dichloromethane. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography using hexanes-EtOAc (1:2) to afford 3 as a yellow solid (8.4 mg, 92%).

N-(3R,4aR,10aS,11S)-5,11-dimethyl-1,7-dioxo-2a,2a1,3,4a,7,9,10a1-octahydro-1H-2,4-dioxa-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopentajkacenaphthylen-8-yl) acetamide (3).

1H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 8.68 (s, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 5.40 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (dt, J = 8.9, 5.7, 1H), 2.80 (dq, J = 12.7, 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.64-2.57 (m, 1H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.70 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.21-1.13 (m, 1H), 0.86 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). 13C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 179.73, 173.72, 168.74, 147.10, 145.28, 143.03, 141.91, 138.19, 136.84, 86.21, 80.24, 79.72, 50.89, 45.64, 42.26, 40.30, 30.29, 24.58, 24.15, 22.31, 14.87. HR-MS (ESI) m/z: 390.1311 [M + Na]$^+$ (Calcd for C$_{21}$H$_{21}$NNaO$_5$: 390.1312).

4.1.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 4 and 5

To a solution of 1 (155 mg, 0.5 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (5 mL), diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H; 1.0 M solution in hexane, 1.3 mL, 1.3 mmol) was added in 3 portions at −78 °C under nitrogen, and the mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 3 h. Saturated aqueous sodium potassium tartrate was then added to quench the reaction. The resulting mixture was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous Na$_2$SO$_4$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel by eluting with CH$_2$Cl$_2$-MeOH (20:1) to furnish the corresponding lactol 4 as a yellow solid (37.5 mg, 24%).

(1R,3R,4aR,10aS,11S)-1-hydroxy-5,11-dimethyl-2a,3,4a$^+$,9,10a1-hexahydro-1H-2,4-dioxa-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopentajkacenaphthylen-7(2a1H)-one (4).

1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 6.98 (s, 1H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 5.33 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 4.61 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.72 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.69(d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.08 (dt, J = 8.8, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.91-2.83 (m, 1H), 2.57 (dd, J = 14.9, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.05 (dd, J = 13.9, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 1.40 (q, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 1.30 (td, J = 13.1, 5.9 Hz, 2H), 0.91 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). 13C-NMR (151 MHz, CDCl$_3$): δ 186.78, 149.61, 146.69, 146.50, 144.64, 140.76, 138.95, 91.82, 87.42, 80.99, 74.86, 42.68, 41.05, 39.54, 38.67, 33.14, 24.79, 24.30, 13.72. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 335.1252, [M + Na]$^+$ (Calcd for C$_{19}$H$_{19}$NNaO$_4$: 335.1254).

To a solution of 4 (10 mg, 0.032 mmol) in dry pyridine (0.5 mL, 6.2 mmol) at 0 °C, acetic anhydride (0.5 mL, 5.3 mmol) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 2 h. The mixture was slowly poured into 15 mL of fast-stirring ice water. The solution was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and washed with 1 M HCl, water, and brine. After the solution was dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and filtered, the solvent was removed to give a crude product. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel by eluting with hexanes-EtOAc (1:1) to furnish the corresponding 5 as a yellow solid (10.4 mg, 92%).
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(1S,3R,4aR,10aS,11S)-5,11-dimethyl-7-oxo-2a,2a1,3,4a,7,9,10,10a1-octahydro-1H-2,4-dioxo-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopenta[jkl]acenaphthylene-1-yl acetate (5).

1H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.87 (s, 1H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 5.33 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 4.66 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dt, J = 8.7, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.82 (dddd, J = 14.6, 12.3, 8.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (dd, J = 15.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.83 (dd, J = 14.2, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 1.49 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.37 (dd, J = 14.2, 12.4, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 0.97 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). 13C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ 186.76, 170.79, 148.24, 146.30, 145.77, 144.28, 141.03, 139.15, 91.92, 87.46, 80.72, 75.18, 43.77, 41.07, 38.54, 38.28, 32.90, 24.38, 24.20, 21.61, 13.67. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 377.1354, [M + Na]+ (Calcd for C21H22NaO5: 377.1359).

4.1.3. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 6 and 7

A round-bottom flask was charged with selenium dioxide (SeO2, 14.2 mg, 0.128 mmol) and tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP, 5.5 M solution in hexane, 145.5 μL, 0.8 mmol). The resulting mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (4.0 mL) and stirred at rt for 30 min. Then, the solution was added to compound 1 (100 mg, 0.32 mmol) in CH2Cl2 (2 mL) and stirred for the next 24 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous Na2SO3 solution and extracted with CH2Cl2. The combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried (Na2SO4), the solvent was removed to give a crude product. The obtained crude product was purified by column chromatography hexanes-EtOAc (3:2) to afford 6 (15.8 mg, 16%) and 7 (4.7 mg, 5%) as a yellow solid.

(3R,4aR,10aS,11S)-5,11-dimethyl-2a,3,4a,10a1-tetrahydro-1H-2,4-dioxo-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopenta[jkl]acenaphthylene-1,7(2a1H)-dione (6).

1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.80 (s, 1H), 6.69 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.42 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (dt, J = 9.0, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.10 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 0.95 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). 13C-NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3): δ 187.69, 171.24, 144.65, 143.89, 142.98, 140.33, 139.29, 136.17, 134.28, 131.23, 86.53, 80.58, 80.35, 52.28, 47.99, 41.56, 39.99, 23.48, 15.95. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 331.0941, [M + Na]+ (Calcd for C18H16NaO4: 331.0941).

(3R,4aR,9aS,10S)-5,10-dimethyl-2a,2a1,4a,9a1-tetrahydro-1H-3H,2,4-dioxo-3,9a-methanopentaluleno [4,3,2,1-cdef]phenanthrene-1,8(9H)-dione (7).

1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.65 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 5.60 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.65-3.58 (m, 2H), 3.08 (d, J = 19.3 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (d, J = 19.3 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (s, 3H), 1.21 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 0.83 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). 13C-NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3): δ 194.75, 172.67, 147.35, 143.87, 141.41, 131.60, 129.08, 127.23, 82.94, 80.08, 78.94, 47.56, 47.13, 42.81, 40.14, 37.99, 18.91, 15.29. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 319.0939, [M + Na]+ (Calcd for C18H16NaO4: 319.0941).

4.1.4. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compounds 8–13

To a stirred solution of 1 (620 mg, 2 mmol) in 1,2-dichloroethane (100 mL), N-Bromosuccinimide (NBS; 427.2 mg, 2.4 mmol), in 3 portions every 6 h, and azodiisobutyronitrile (AIBN; 10.7 mg, 0.065 mmol) were added. The reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 18 h. After cooling, the reaction solution was evaporated in vacuo and diluted with CH2Cl2, washed with H2O and brine, dried, and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel CH2Cl2-EtOAc (20:1) to give 8 as a yellow solid (419 mg, 54%).

(3R,4aR,9R,10aS,11S)-9-bromo-5,11-dimethyl-2a,3,4a,9,10a1-hexahydro-1H-2,4-dioxo-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopenta[jkl]acenaphthylene-1,7(2a1H)-dione (8).

1H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.97 (s, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (dt, J = 8.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.11 (dd, J = 17.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 1.79 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 0.87 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H). 13C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ 186.28, 172.59, 147.43, 144.36, 144.19, 143.14, 141.90, 139.12, 85.81,
Molecules 2021, 26, 1380

80.00, 79.78, 47.82, 47.23, 45.84, 41.67, 40.04, 32.78, 24.22, 14.88. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 411.0199, [M + Na]+ (Calcd for C_{19}H_{27}BrNaO_{4}: 411.0202).

To a solution of 8 (10 mg, 0.026 mmol) in MeOH (0.5 mL) at 0 °C, MeONa (3.5 mg, 0.064 mmol) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was then allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. The mixture was slowly poured into 10 mL water to quench the reaction. The solution was extracted with CH_{2}Cl_{2} and washed with water, and brine. After the solution was dried over Na_{2}SO_{4} and filtered, the solvent was removed to give a crude product. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel by eluting with hexanes-EtOAc (3:2) to furnish the corresponding 9 as a white solid (7.8 mg, 88%).

(3R,4aR,10aS,11S)-8-methoxy-5,11-dimethyl-2a,3,4a,9,10a1-hexahydro-1H-2,4-dioxa-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopenta[j]acenaphthylene-1,7(2a1H)-dione (9).

1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_{3}): δ 7.04 (s, 1H), 5.36 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.45 (d, J = 15.9, 6.4, 1.3, 1H), 3.40-3.33 (m, 2H), 2.84 (dd, J = 14.6, 8.1, 1.3, 1H), 2.46 (dd, J = 15.9, 12.3, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.74 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.21 (dd, J = 14.7, 12.4, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H).

13C-NMR (151 MHz, CDCl_{3}): δ 180.89, 173.87, 162.43, 145.58, 143.58, 143.26, 136.85, 132.38, 85.83, 80.03, 79.51, 59.19, 50.30, 46.04, 41.91, 40.59, 24.51, 23.34, 22.12, 14.83. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 363.1202, [M + Na]+ (Calcd for C_{20}H_{22}O_{4}Na: 363.1203).

To a solution of compound 8 (388 mg, 1 mmol) was dissolved in a solution of acetone and water (1:1, 5 mL) and then AgBF_{4} (973.5 mg, 5 mmol) was added. After stirring for 2 h at 70 °C, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH_{2}Cl_{2} and washed with water. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_{2}Cl_{2}. The combined organic layers were dried over Na_{2}SO_{4}, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography hexanes-EtOAc (2:1) to afford 10 (153.2 mg, 47% yield) and 12 as yellow solids (68.5 mg, 21% yield).

(3R,4aR,9S,10aS,11S)-8-methoxy-5,11-dimethyl-2a,3,4a,9,10a1-hexahydro-1H-2,4-dioxa-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopenta[j]acenaphthylene-1,7(2a1H)-dione (10).

1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl_{3}): δ 7.41 (s, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 5.35 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (t, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (dt, J = 8.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (dd, J = 14.2, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.73 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.18 (dd, J = 14.2, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H).

13C-NMR (151 MHz, CDCl_{3}): δ 187.20, 173.10, 148.51, 146.34, 144.66, 143.94, 141.81, 135.35, 85.79, 80.10, 79.51, 68.25, 48.44, 44.91, 42.12, 40.09, 31.96, 24.19, 14.86. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 327.1229, [M + H]^+ (Calcd for C_{19}H_{20}O_{3}: 327.1227).

(3R,4aR,9S,10aS,11S)-8-methoxy-5,11-dimethyl-2a,3,4a,9,10a1-hexahydro-1H-2,4-dioxa-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopenta[j]acenaphthylene-1,7(2a1H)-dione (12).

1H-NMR (600 MHz, MeOD): δ 6.82 (s, 1H), 6.75 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.78 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.34 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.61-4.59 (m, 1H), 4.05 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (dt, J = 9.1, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dd, J = 15.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.56–1.46 (m, 2H), 0.70 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H).

13C-NMR (151 MHz, MeOD): δ 195.28, 182.96, 156.04, 153.08, 154.31, 154.02, 149.58, 148.23, 94.68, 89.02, 88.07, 81.60, 56.58, 54.84, 50.35, 48.56, 40.35, 32.95, 24.25. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 327.1228, [M + H]^+ (Calcd for C_{19}H_{20}O_{3}: 327.1227).

To a solution of compound 10 (10 mg, 0.03 mmol) in 2 mL of anhydrous dichloromethane, 1-(3-(dimethylamino)propyl)-3-ethyldiethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI; 11.5 mg, 0.06 mmol), DMAP (catalytic amount), and carboxylic acid (0.045 mmol) were added. The resulting solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. Then, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over Na_{2}SO_{4}, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was subjected to column chromatography hexanes-EtOAc (1:1) to afford 11a–11f as white solids.

(3R,4aR,9S,10aS,11S)-5,11-dimethyl-1,7-dioxa-2a,3a1,3,4a,7,9,10a1-octahydro-1H-2,4-dioxa-3,10a-methanocyclohepta[bc]cyclopenta[j]acenaphthylene-9-yl acetate (11a). Yield: 96% (10.6 mg).
Yield: 76% (10.8 mg).

(3R,4aR,9S,10aS,11S)-5,11-dimethyl-1,7-dioxo-2a,2a1,3,4,7,9,10,10a1-octahydro-1H-2,4-dioxo-3,10a-methanocylohepta[bc]cyclopent[a][k]acenaphthylene-9-yl)oxy)-4-oxobutanoic acid (11b). Yield: 86% (11.0 mg).

1H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.19 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 5.98 (dd, J = 10.3, 8.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dt, J = 8.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (dd, J = 14.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (ddd, J = 16.5, 9.8, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.82-2.68 (m, 3H), 2.39 (s, 3H), 1.74 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 0.88 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H).

13C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ 186.30, 172.31, 169.39, 146.58, 143.89, 142.89, 142.67, 142.18, 135.17, 85.90, 80.04, 79.51, 68.63, 48.74, 44.68, 42.11, 39.97, 29.19, 24.09, 20.98, 14.84.

HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 391.1145, [M + H]+ (Calcd for C23H22NaO7: 391.1152).

4-(((3R,4aR,9S,10aS,11S)-5,11-dimethyl-1,7-dioxo-2a,2a1,3,4,7,9,10,10a1-octahydro-1H-2,4-dioxo-3,10a-methanocylohepta[bc]cyclopent[a][k]acenaphthylene-9-yl)oxy)-4-oxobutanoic acid (11b).

Yield: 91% (11.8 mg).

1H-NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3): δ 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.94 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (dd, J = 10.4, 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.98 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.38 (dt, J = 9.0, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.20 (dd, J = 8.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.79 (q, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.23 (dd, J = 14.0, 10.7 Hz, 1H), 0.99 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H).

13C-NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3): δ 186.30, 172.31, 169.39, 146.58, 143.89, 142.89, 142.67, 142.18, 135.17, 85.90, 80.04, 79.51, 68.63, 48.74, 44.68, 42.11, 39.97, 29.19, 24.09, 20.98, 14.84.

HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 391.1145, [M + H]+ (Calcd for C23H22NaO7: 391.1152).

Yield: 76% (10.8 mg).
1H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.21 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (s, 1H), 6.21 (ddd, J = 10.5, 8.1, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.38 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.60 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dt, J = 8.7, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (dd, J = 14.0, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.79 (s, 3H), 2.60 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 6H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.86 (q, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.50 (dd, J = 14.0, 10.8 Hz, 1H), 0.91 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H). 13C-NMR (151 MHz, CDCl3): δ 186.41, 172.43, 164.37, 155.50, 152.28, 149.89, 146.52, 143.74, 142.63, 142.59, 142.16, 138.21, 135.74, 85.90, 80.07, 79.51, 69.56, 48.81, 44.82, 42.05, 40.04, 28.97, 24.07, 22.81, 21.86, 14.90. HR-MS(ESI) m/z: 497.1679, [M + Na]⁺ (Calcd for C32H32N2NaO6: 497.1683).

To a stirred solution of 12 (10 mg, 0.03 mmol) in CH2Cl2, DMP (17 mg, 0.04 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h and then diluted with CH2Cl2. The organic phase was dried (Na2SO4) and filtered. Concentration of the filtrate gave a residue that was fractionated by column chromatography hexanes-EtOAc (1:1) to afford 13 (7.6 mg, 78%) as a yellow solid.

4.2. Cell Culture

HCT-116 (Human colon cancer cell line), A375 (human melanoma cancer cell line), A-549 (human lung adenocarcinoma cell line), Huh-7 (human hepatoma cancer cell line), and L-02 (normal hepatic cell line) were purchased from the Cell Bank of Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM; NY, USA) at 37 °C, all supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; NY, USA) at 37 °C with 5% CO2.

4.3. MTT Assay

The cytotoxic activity was evaluated using the MTT assay. Briefly, cells were seeded in 96-well plates at a density of 5 × 10^4 cells/well in 100 µL of DMEM medium at 37 °C for 24 h. Stock solutions of test compounds were prepared in DMSO at concentration of 50 mM, and twofold serial dilution with a culture medium was performed to obtain appropriate concentrations right before the assay. After that, the cells were incubated with synthetic derivatives or cisplatin as a positive control at the various concentrations (0.78125–50 µM) for 48 h. Then, 20 µL of 3-(4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT, Aladdin, Shanghai, China) solution (5 mg/mL in PBS) was added, and then the cells were incubated at 37 °C for an additional 4 h. The supernatant was removed, and 150 µL of dimethyl sulfoxide was added to dissolve the MTT formazan. The optical density (OD) was measured on a microplate reader (SpectraMax Plus 384, Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) at a wavelength of 570 nm. The cytotoxic activity was expressed as the IC50 values. The above tests were repeated three times in parallel. Vehicle DMSO (0.1%) was used as a negative control.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online. Scheme S1: Possible mechanism for the formation of the derivatives 7; Scheme S2: Possible mechanism for the formation of the derivatives 9; Figure S1: NMR spectra for all compounds; Figure S2: Purity assay for all compounds [29–32].
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