Sodium butyrate and peppermint essential oil in jundiá diet: performance, histology, and challenge of *Ichthyophthirius multifiliis*.

**INTRODUCTION**

Herbal medicines and organic acids have great potential for use in aquaculture. They are biodegradable and have antimicrobial activities against various bacteria, parasites and fungi (ADEL et al., 2015; AHMED & SADEK, 2015; KOH et al., 2016; VALLADÃO et al., 2016). Moreover, they are a preventive alternative for maintaining the health of cultured fish, acting in the intestinal tract inhibit the growth pathogenic of bacteria, specially of Gram-negative bacteria, and improving fish digestibility and nutrient absorption, what may benefit zootechnical performance (ZHENG, 2009; TALPUR, 2014; ADEL et al., 2015).

Among the plant species, some authors have reported promising results with the inclusion of *Mentha piperita* in fish feed. ADEL et al. (2015)
observed that the addition of *M. piperita* essential oil (EO) to the diet *Rutilus frisii kutum* promoted higher growth and better feed conversion, in addition to improving the main hematological and immunological parameters of caspian white *Rutilus frisii kutum*. Other authors have observed the development of anthelmintic activity from the addition of *M. piperita* EO in pirarucu *Arapaima gigas* against monogenean *Dawestrema* spp. (MALHEIROS et al., 2016); a reduction of 70% in the prevalence of monogenean in Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (HASHIMOTO et al., 2016); and also a cytotoxic effect against *Ichthyophthirius multifilis* in an *in vitro* study (VALLADÃO et al., 2016).

Among organic salts, sodium butyrate has received great attention due to the improvement in growth performance and intestinal function through the addition to the diets of some species of fish, such as *Sparus aurata* (ROBLES et al., 2013); Nile tilapia *Oreochromis niloticus* (AHMED & SADEK, 2015), *Carassius auratus* (SUN et al., 2013), grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idellus* (LIU et al., 2016) and the common carp *Cyprinus carpio* (LIU et al., 2014). In addition, the use of sodium butyrate as an alternative to antibiotics is a target of growing interest in studies carried out in the area of aquaculture (LÜCKSTÄDT, 2008). Other positive effects are also seen in the intestinal tract of several animals (GUILLOTEAU et al., 2010), including enhancement of gut development, control of enteric pathogens, reduction of inflammation, improvement of growth performance (including carcass composition), and modulation of gut microbiota (BEDFORD & GONG, 2017). In addition to providing energy to the epithelial cells, sodium butyrate was also reported increasing the proliferation and differentiation of the epithelial cells and also improving the barrier function of the colon (ROBLES et al., 2013).

Among the fish species with great potential for continental aquaculture in southern Brazil, the jundiá *Rhamdia quelen* is the main native species produced (BALDISSEROTTO, 2009). Its production potential has been attributed to the fact that this species exhibits a docile behavior in captivity and apparently a low level of stress when submitted to conventional management methods (ZANIBONI-FILHO & SCHULZ, 2003), its large size (ZANIBONI-FILHO et al., 2004), and its satisfactory growth even at low temperatures (FRACALOSSI et al., 2004). In this context, this study evaluated the zootechnical performance, intestinal mucosa morphology, and reaction to challenge by the parasite *I. multifilis* in jundiá *R. quelen* larvae fed diets supplemented with *M. piperita* EO or sodium butyrate.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was conducted at the Laboratory of Biology and Cultivation of Freshwater Fish at the Federal University of Santa Catarina (UFSC), according to the procedure approved by the Committee on Ethics in the Use of Animals at UFSC, protocol No. 3451200917. The total of 900 larvae (2.9 ± 0.5 mg and 7.9 ± 1.3 mm) were randomly distributed in 15 experimental units (EUs), containing 20 L of water (60 larvae per EU). Fish were maintained in a water recirculation system coupled with a biological filter, salinized water (2.4 g L⁻¹ – sea salt without iodine), 12-h photoperiod, with constant renewal and aeration, for 30 days.

Five extruded diets were used in three replicates: diet free of additive inclusion, 0% (control); inclusion of 1% or 2% of *M. piperita* EO1% and EO2%, respectively; and inclusion of 0.25% or 0.50% sodium butyrate (Sodium butyrate 99%, Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri, USA), SB0.25% and SB0.50%, respectively.

**Mentha piperita** essential oil

The peppermint essential oil was obtained from the laboratory of medicinal plants and phytochemistry of Embrapa Amazônia Ocidental, located in Manaus (AM, Brazil). Peppermint specimens were grown at the medicinal plant collection also of Embrapa Amazônia Ocidental. Plant shoot (leaves) was removed for essential oil extraction by hydrodistillation in a Clevenger apparatus for 2 hours. In each distillation, 500 g leaves were used. The essential oil was stored at 4°C until analyses (POTZERMHEIM et al., 2012).

The chemical analysis of the essential oil was conducted using gas chromatography with mass spectrometry, according to MORAIS et al. (2012). The main components reported were: 27.5% menthol, 22.5% menthofuran, 12.8% pulegone, 12.5% menthyl acetate, 11% menthone, 3.5% limonene, and 2.1% 1,8-cineole.

**Preparation of diets**

The proximate analysis of the diets included moisture, crude protein, fat, ashes, crude fiber, protein, and which were analyzed by the fish nutrition laboratory of the Federal University of Santa Catarina (LabNutri/UFSC), following standard procedures of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC), 1999) (Table 1). Carbohydrate analyzes were performed using the RDC method, no. 360 (ANVISA, 2003).
Table 1 - Ingredients and proximate composition analysis of the experimental diets (g kg⁻¹) supplemented or not with sodium butyrate or peppermint essential oil, which were provided to R. quelen for 30 days.

| Ingredients (%)               | Control | EO1% | EO2% | SB0.25% | SB0.50% |
|-------------------------------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|
| Flour residue of salmon (71% CP) | 56.00   | 56.00| 56.00| 56.00   | 56.00   |
| Corn                          | 36.00   | 36.00| 36.00| 36.00   | 36.00   |
| Soy oil                       | 4.00    | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00    | 4.00    |
| Premix micromineral¹          | 1.00    | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00    | 1.00    |
| Premix macromineral²         | 1.00    | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00    | 1.00    |
| Cellulose                     | 2.00    | 2.00 | 1.74 | 1.49    |         |
| Sodium butyrate¹              | 0.00    | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.26    | 0.51    |
| Energy (cal·kg⁻¹)             | 4329    | 4329 | 4329 | 4329    | 4329    |
| Crude protein                 | 44.93   | 44.93| 44.93| 45.49   | 44.85   |
| Fat                           | 9.83    | 9.83 | 9.83 | 9.77    | 9.33    |
| Carbohydrate                  | 24.02   | 24.02| 24.02| 21.49   | 24.83   |
| Ashes                         | 11.28   | 11.28| 11.28| 11.10   | 11.52   |
| Moisture                      | 9.94    | 9.94 | 9.94 | 12.15   | 9.47    |

¹Micromineral premix composition: Phosphorus 7.38 g kg⁻¹; Copper 3500 mg kg⁻¹; Iodine 160 mg kg⁻¹; Iron 20000 mg kg⁻¹; Manganese 10000 mg kg⁻¹; Zinc 24000 mg kg⁻¹; Selenium 100 mg kg⁻¹; Vitamin A 2400000 UI kg⁻¹; Vitamin D 600000 UI kg⁻¹; Vitamin E 30000 UI kg⁻¹; Vitamin K 3000 mg kg⁻¹; Riboflavin 4500 mg kg⁻¹; Pantothenic Acid 1000 mg kg⁻¹; Nicacin 2000 mg kg⁻¹; Vitamin B12 8000 mg kg⁻¹; Choline 1000000 mg kg⁻¹; Folic acid 1200 mg kg⁻¹; Biotin 200 mg kg⁻¹; Thiamine 4000 mg kg⁻¹; Vitamin B6 3500 mg kg⁻¹; Vitamin C 60000 mg kg⁻¹. ²Macromineral premix composition: Calcium 70 g kg⁻¹; Magnesium 4 g kg⁻¹; Potassium 10,80 g kg⁻¹; Sodium 5.07 g kg⁻¹. ³Pure sodium butyrate 99% pure.

The sodium butyrate additive was incorporated into the diet at the time of formulation and preparation. The addition of the butyrate in the experimental diets replaced Cellulose. The M. piperita EO was added following the methodology described by DAIKIRI et al. (2013), using the dilution ratio of 1.5ml of EO in 100 g of alcohol 99.8% per kg of feed, applied with a manual spray over the diet, and after the inclusions, the feeds were dried at room temperature (25 – 30 °C) for 24 h and then stored at -18 °C until subsequent use. It was modified by using alcohol PA 99.8% instead of grain alcohol.

**Performance analysis**

After the experimental period, the live larvae were quantified, measured, and weighed. The following production parameters were evaluated: final mean length, final mean weight, final biomass (FB) (FB = mean final weight × number of survivors), and survival rate (S) [S = (Final population × 100)/Initial population].

**Evaluation of resistance to an infestation challenge with I. multifiliis**

At the end of the experimental period (30 days), 10 fish from each EU were transferred to circular net-cage tanks of 20 cm in diameter (10 L) and stored in a single fiber tank (1,000 L) in an external environment that was experimentally infested with I. multifiliis. The infestation was performed using cohabitation method, for four days, with juveniles of grass carp Ctenopharyngodon idella (n = 40) parasitized with I. multifiliis. The infested fish (grass carp) were kept out of the net-cage tanks, following the modified methodology described by ABDEL-HAFEZ et al. (2014b). The grass carp juveniles were obtained from local fish farming and were already infested with I. multifiliis. The fish were observed daily until the clinical signs of infestation (white patches) appeared. Once the pathology was confirmed, white spots presence, which occurred on the 4-day, a scraping from all fish was performed to remove and count the trophonts.

The trophonts were scraped from the body surface with a cover slip and transferred to 70% alcohol, with a standard volume (30 mL) for all collections. For counting, a 1 mL aliquot of the solution was removed after homogenization of the sample and the trophonts were quantified from direct counting using a Sedgewick-Rafter chamber under an optical microscope Zeiss Axio Vert.A1 (Zeiss, Baden-Württemberg, Germany). This process was performed three times per sample. The prevalence rate was calculated (number of infected fish/number
of fish analyzed × 100), and the mean infestation intensity (number of trophonts in the sample/number of fish infested by trophonts) were recorded.

Histological analyses

The initial portion of the intestine (three fish per EU) was sampled and processed in paraffin following routine techniques for 3 µm thick cross-sections using a Leica RM 2245 manual microtome; and subsequently, stained with hematoxylin-eosin. The images were captured using a Zeiss AxioVert. A1 light microscope with a coupled camera (Axiocam ERc5s) and analyzed with the aid of the Zen Lite 2012 image analysis software program. The gut analyses consisted of determining the villi quantity, its size (length, width, and perimeter), and the amount of goblet cells contained in the intestinal mucosa villi.

Statistical analysis

To compare the means among treatments, the normality of the data was analyzed using the Shapiro-Wilks test and the homoscedasticity of the variance using the Levene test. Once the difference among the treatments (analysis of variance) was reported, the averages were compared by Tukey’s test, at 5% probability, using the Statistica® software program, version 7.0.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Reaction to infestation by the parasite I. multifiliis

There was no mortality during tests to challenge infestation by parasite I. multifiliis, regardless of treatment. Dietary supplementation with M. piperita EO (1% or 2%) or with sodium butyrate (0.25% or 0.50%) did not inhibit I. multifiliis infestation in fish integument. The mean intensity of trophonts in the mucus of R. quelen larvae was similar among all diets used (P>0.05), i.e., control: 429.0 ± 40.0; EO1%: 442.3 ± 47.0; EO2%: 410.0 ± 68.0; SB0.25%: 434.0 ± 61.0; and SB0.50%: 390.3 ± 85.0, with 100% prevalence in all treatments.

Diets supplemented with M. piperita have caused other effects on fish in previous studies. Increased bactericidal activity of skin mucus for Caspian white fish (Rutilus frisii kutum) (ADEL et al., 2015), and also increased globulin levels for Cyprinus carpio (ABASALI & MOHAMAD, 2010), as well as developed a primary factor recognized to maintain a healthy immune system and immune functions of the blood for Oncorhynchus mykiss (NYA & AUSTIN, 2009). However, the supplementation up to 2% M. piperita EO diet was not an effective barrier for R. quelen larvae against infestation by I. multifiliis. Severe infestations were observed in all treatments, which are characterized by the presence of more than 100 trophonts per fish (XU et al., 2009).

Zootechnical performance

The larvae supplemented with the SB0.50% diet presented better performance in all zootechnical parameters analyzed (P<0.05) compared to the other treatments (Table 2), except for SB0.25%, for final length and survival. Fish supplemented with the SB0.50% treatment had a mean final weight and survival of approximately 54% and 70% higher than control fish, respectively.

The improved performance in the zootechnical parameters in fish supplemented with sodium butyrate diet was also observed in other species, e.g., increased growth of sea bream Sparus aurata with dietary supplementation of 0.3% commercial sodium butyrate (ROBLES et al., 2013), and improvement in weight gain and feed conversion of Nile tilapia O. niloticus, and common carp Cyprinus carpio, fed with diet supplemented with sodium butyrate between 0.5% and 1.5% (ZHENG, 2009). This performance improvement of larvae has been attributed to the action of butyrate in increasing the availability of essential amino acids and nucleotide derivatives, as well as increasing transmethylation activity, conditions that aid in the synthesis of some amino acids (ROBLES et al., 2013).

In the present study, up to 2% supplementation with M. piperita EO promoted only a slight improvement in the evaluated parameters, but these were not significant (P>0.05). The M. piperita used as a food supplement in other studies promoted zootechnical performance improvements of some fish species. Supplementation with 3% M. piperita in Rutilus frisii kutum juveniles promoted higher values for weight gain and feed conversion (ADEL et al., 2015). Similarly, Lates calcarifer juveniles fed for 30 days with dietary supplementation of 2% to 5% M. piperita showed...
better growth, weight gain, and feed conversion (TALPUR, 2014). It is possible that *R. quelen* larvae require higher doses of dietary supplements with *M. piperita* EO to improve performance.

**Intestinal mucosa**

Fish intestines from all treatments were intact and without detachment, lymphoeosinophilic infiltrates, or necrosis. The larvae from SB0.50% treatment had a greater villi width than that from other treatments (P<0.05) and a larger amount of goblet cells than the larvae from control or supplemented with EO (Table 3). Comparing the morphology of the intestinal tissue larvae from control treatment, larvae from SB0.50% treatment had a higher villi width and amount of goblet cells by approximately 50%. However, there was not difference in the villi number in the intestinal mucosa of larvae receiving different diets (P>0.05).

Changes in intestinal morphology are important and may affect growth rates (TIAN et al., 2017). In the present study, the treatment with higher zootechnical performance (SB0.50%) was the same that showed a significant increase in villi width and in quantity of goblet cells from intestinal mucosa. The intestinal mucosa of carp juveniles (*C. carpio*) supplemented with 1.5% or 3.0% sodium butyrate also changed, increasing villus height (LIU et al., 2014). This improvement in intestinal condition was attributed to the ability of sodium butyrate to provide energy for epithelial growth (LIU et al., 2014). This is mainly because it is a fat-soluble substance and can be rapidly absorbed and used by enteric epithelial cells (ROEDIGER, 1980). This supply of extra energy to these cells is further amplified by the decrease in the oxidation of glucose and amino acids, using butyrate as fuel (ROBLES, et al., 2013).

**CONCLUSION**

Although, the infestation of *R. quelen* larvae by *I. multifiliis* was not affected by *M. piperita* essential oil or sodium butyrate, the dietary supplementation

**Table 2 - Zootechnical performance of *Rhamdia quelen* larvae (mean ± SD) fed for 30 days with different diets.**

| Diets       | Final Average Length (cm) | Final Weight Average (g) | Final Biomass (g) | Survival (%)  |
|-------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Control     | 3.65 ± 0.06^a             | 0.52 ± 0.05^a            | 14.81 ± 1.50^a    | 47.77 ± 4.15^a|
| EO1%        | 3.58 ± 0.10^b             | 0.49 ± 0.02^c            | 18.16 ± 1.66^a    | 62.22 ± 5.66^a|
| EO2%        | 3.69 ± 0.14^b             | 0.52 ± 0.01^c            | 17.81 ± 3.04^a    | 56.66 ± 5.44^a|
| SB0.25%     | 3.93 ± 0.11^b             | 0.67 ± 0.03^b            | 30.83 ± 0.74^a    | 76.66 ± 2.72^ab|
| SB0.50%     | 4.23 ± 0.15^a             | 0.80 ± 0.05^c            | 38.91 ± 4.20^a    | 81.11 ± 4.15^a|

Control = only diet; EO1% = diet supplemented with 1% *Mentha piperita* essential oil; EO2% = diet supplemented with 2% *M. piperita* essential oil; SB0.25% = diet supplemented with 0.25% sodium butyrate; SB0.50% = diet supplemented with 0.50% sodium butyrate.

^Overlapped values with different letters in the same column are statistically different according to Tukey’s test (P<0.05).

**Table 3 - Histomorphology of the intestine of the jundiá *Rhamdia quelen* larvae fed with different diets.**

|                          | Control     | EO1%        | EO2%        | SB0.25%     | SB0.50%     |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Number of villi          | 14.0 ± 2.4^a| 9.7 ± 0.5^a | 11.7 ± 0.5^a| 12.3 ± 0.6^a| 11.7 ± 4.1^a|
| Length of villi (µm)     | 161.5 ± 5.6^b| 127.4 ± 16.0^b| 179.7 ± 19.8^b| 205.2 ± 14.7^b| 175.3 ± 31.5^b|
| Width of villi (µm)      | 58.4 ± 5.7^a | 57.0 ± 3.2^a | 61.7 ± 4.4^a | 69.5 ± 3.7^a | 89.2 ± 6.2^a |
| Goblet cell number       | 9.7 ± 0.5^a  | 9.3 ± 0.9^a  | 10.7 ± 0.9^a  | 12.3 ± 0.3^a  | 14.3 ± 0.6^a  |
| Perimeter of villi (µm^2)| 5,541.0 ± 463.7^ab| 3,030.0 ± 50.3^b| 5,184.6 ± 1,437.0^ab| 6,653.5 ± 809.9^a| 5,133.0 ± 1,662.0^ab|

Control = only diet; EO1% = diet supplemented with 1% *Mentha piperita* essential oil; EO2% = diet supplemented with 2% *M. piperita* essential oil; SB0.25% = diet supplemented with 0.25% sodium butyrate; SB0.50% = diet supplemented with 0.50% sodium butyrate.

^Overlapped values with different letters in the same line are statistically different according to Tukey’s test (P<0.05).
with 0.50% sodium butyrate improved the intestinal condition and zootecchnical performance of the fish.
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