Incidence of bone metastasis and factors contributing to its development and prognosis in newly diagnosed renal cell carcinoma: a population-based study
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Purpose: The purpose of this study was to assess the incidence of and the risk factors and prognostic factors for bone metastasis (BM) in initial metastatic renal cell carcinoma (RCC) based on a large population analysis.

Patients and methods: Data were obtained for a total of 45,824 RCC patients recorded in the database of the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results program of the National Cancer Institute between 2010 and 2014. Multivariate logistic and Cox regression analyses were used to identify the risk factors and prognostic factors associated with BM in RCC patients. Kaplan–Meier analysis was used to estimate the overall survival of RCC patients, and the difference between the survival curves was tested by log-rank tests.

Results: A total of 1,509 (3.29%) patients were diagnosed with bone metastases at initial diagnosis. Male gender, higher T stage, lymph node involvement, poor tumor grade, presence of lung, liver, and brain metastases, and the collecting duct type of RCC were positively associated with BM occurrence. The median survival time for RCC patients with bone metastases was 12.0 (95% confidence interval [CI]: 10.69–13.31) months, and the survival time for those with collecting duct, clear-cell, papillary, and chromophobe subtypes of RCC were 3 (95% CI: 0.23–5.77), 13 (95% CI: 11.60–14.40), 8 (95% CI: 5.09–10.91), and 11 (95% CI: 5.02–16.98) months; these differences were significantly different (P<0.01). Older age, higher T stage, lymph node involvement, poor tumor grade, the presence of lung, liver, and brain metastases, collecting duct RCC, and the absence of surgical treatments were the factors associated with worse prognoses.

Conclusion: BM was highly prevalent and significantly decreased the survival rate of RCC patients. A number of factors associated with the development and prognosis of BM were identified, and these insights provide preventive guidelines for screening and treatment of BM in RCC patients.
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Introduction

Renal cell cancer (RCC) represents 3% of all malignant tumors and accounts for 80%–85% of primary renal neoplasms.1 The 5-year survival rate of RCC is reported to be <10%, and surgical treatment is an accepted therapy for improving disease-free survival and patients’ quality of life.2 Around one-third of RCC patients experience synchronous metastatic disease, while 20%–30% of the remaining RCC patients experience metachronous metastatic disease.3 Bone metastasis (BM) has been reported to occur in 20% of metastatic RCC. BM, which leads to the occurrence of skeletal-related
events (SREs), can significantly increase morbidity and decrease patients’ quality of life.²,⁴

Among metastatic RCC patients, bone is involved in 20%–35% of patients. It was reported that only 2% of RCC patients with BM required surgery.¹ Early recognition and evaluation have provided better quality of life and prevented complications.² However, assessment of BM is not performed routinely in RCC patients. Thus, a reliable predictive system for BM in RCC is warranted.

A multicentric report based on the analysis of 470 patients suggested that age, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group status, histology, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center score, time from nephrectomy to BM, and the presence of concomitant metastases are factors significantly associated with prognosis.⁸ After analyzing 94 patients, Kume et al. identified another five risk factors for predicting the survival of RCC patients with BM, including RCC sarcomatoid differentiation, spinal involvement, extra-osseous metastases, alkaline phosphatase level >1.5 times the normal level, and C-reactive protein level >0.3 mg/dL.⁷ To summarize the clinical characteristics of metastatic RCC and to integrate the symptom-based survival predictive system, studies evaluating the risk factors and prognostic factors for BM in RCC patients are needed.

In our study, the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) database was used to assess the incidence and the risk factors for BM in initial RCC. At the same time, for patients who had developed BM during the time of RCC diagnosis, survival estimates were performed, and prognostic factors were identified.

Methods

Patient data were obtained from the SEER program database between 2010 and 2014, as the sites of metastases were not collected until 2010. The extracted data were restricted to those of patients with a primary site labeled as ‘C64.9 Kidney, ‘not otherwise specified’ (NOS) and one of four clinically relevant RCC-specific histologic subtype statuses: 1) clear cell (8310/3: clear-cell adenocarcinoma, NOS; 8322/3: water clear-cell adenocarcinoma; 8313/3: clear-cell adenocarcinofibroma), 2) papillary (8260/3: papillary adenocarcinoma, NOS), 3) chromophobe (8317/3: renal cell carcinoma, chromophobe type; 8270/3: chromophobe carcinoma), or 4) collecting duct (8319/3: collecting duct carcinoma). The statuses of age and laterality were not restricted. Patients diagnosed with carcinoma in situ and benign tumor were excluded. Patients diagnosed at autopsy or through death certificate and who had an unknown BM or follow-up status were removed. From the SEER database, 45,824 patients who were diagnosed with RCC from January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2014, and whose information also included definite details about BM were selected for analysis to identify the risk factors for bone metastases. Among those patients, 1,182 patients with bone metastases who were initially diagnosed between 2010 and 2013 (and thus had at least 1 year of follow-up) were selected for survival analysis to investigate the factors contributing to the prognosis of BM (Figure 1).

The SEER is an open database, and the data released from the SEER database do not require patients’ consent because cancer is a reportable disease in every state of the United States. The present study complied with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards, and the Research Ethics Board of the Tianjin Medical University Cancer Institute and Hospital approved the study.

Statistical analysis

The patient-related variables included age (≤40, 41–69, and ≥70 years); gender (female and male); race (white, black, American Indian/Alaska Native [AI], and Asian or Pacific Islander [API]); marital status (married and unmarried); insurance status (insured and uninsured); laterality (right, left, and bilateral); primary tumor (T) stage (T1, T2, T3, and T4); regional lymph node stage (N0, and N1); tumor grade (grade I, grade II, grade III, and grade IV); presence or absence of lung, liver, or brain metastases; and the four RCC-specific histologic subtypes. The risk factors for RCC patients with de novo BM were first analyzed by univariate logistic regression. Characteristics that were significant (with \( P < 0.05 \)) in the univariate logistic regression analysis were further analyzed with a multivariate logistic regression model. Survival curves were generated using the Kaplan–Meier method; the differences between the curves were tested by a log-rank test. When identifying factors associated with mortality, the surgical treatment method at the primary site (none, tumor destruction, and resection) was added to the aforementioned factors that were significant by the log-rank test, and these factors were analyzed together by multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis.

All the data were obtained using SEER*Stat Software version 8.3.5. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 23.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA), and all survival curves were prepared by MedCalc 15.2.2. Two-sided \( P \)-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.
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Figure 1 Flowchart of the patient selection for analyzing the risk factors for the morbidity and prognosis of bone metastases in RCC patients.  
Abbreviation: RCC, renal cell carcinoma.
Results

Incidence of bone metastases

A total of 45,824 eligible patients were diagnosed with malignant RCC of one of the four RCC-specific histologic subtypes between 2010 and 2014 in this study; patients with the clear-cell, papillary, chromophobe, and collecting duct histologic subtypes accounted for 75.90% (34,780/45,824), 16.67% (7,640/45,824), 7.09% (3,248/45,824), and 0.25% (116/45,824) of the patients, respectively. Of the patients in the entire cohort, 3.29% (1,509/45,824) were diagnosed with bone metastases at initial diagnosis. The incidence of bone metastases with the clear-cell, papillary, chromophobe, and collecting duct histologic subtypes was 3.87%, 1.52%, 0.80%, and 18.10%, respectively. In the cohort, 1,182 patients who presented with bone metastases were followed up for >1 year (Table 1).

Risk factors for developing BM

Age (odds ratio [OR] =1.18, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 1.07–1.30; P=0.001); gender (OR =1.40, 95% CI: 1.25–1.57; P<0.001); insurance status (OR =1.62, 95% CI: 1.25–2.09; P<0.001); primary T stage (OR =2.37; 95% CI: 2.25–2.51; P<0.001); regional lymph node stage (OR =12.88, 95% CI: 11.26–14.72; P<0.001); tumor grade (OR =2.61, 95% CI: 2.38–2.86; P<0.001); presence of lung metastases (OR =21.30, 95% CI: 19.00–23.88; P<0.001), liver metastases (OR=18.05, 95% CI: 15.15–21.51; P<0.001), or brain metastases (OR =17.64, 95% CI: 14.41–21.59; P<0.001); and the four RCC-specific histologic subtypes (OR =0.72, 95% CI: 0.68–0.76; P<0.001) were all significantly associated with greater possibility of having developed bone metastases at diagnosis in the multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Survival and prognostic factors for BM

The median overall survival (OS) for all 1,182 patients was 12 months (95% CI: 10.69–13.31 months, Figure 2A). Compared with patients with other RCC subtypes, patients with collecting duct subtype had the highest risk of both metastases and mortality. The median survival of patients with collecting duct RCC (CDRCC) was only 3 months (95% CI: 0.23–5.77 months), whereas the median survival of patients with clear-cell, papillary, and chromophobe subtypes was 13 (95% CI: 11.60–14.40), 8 (95% CI: 5.09–10.91), and 11 (95% CI: 5.02–16.98) months, respectively (P<0.001).

As shown in Table 2, male gender (OR =1.25, 95% CI: 1.04–1.51; P=0.02); higher T stage (OR =1.57, 95% CI: 1.42–1.73; P<0.001); lymph node involvement (OR =2.23, 95% CI: 1.75–2.84; P<0.001); poor tumor grade (OR =1.46, 95% CI: 1.30–1.63; P<0.001); presence of lung metastases (OR =5.15, 95% CI: 4.13–6.42; P<0.001), liver metastases (OR=3.14, 95% CI: 2.23–4.43; P<0.001), or brain metastases (OR=4.09, 95% CI: 2.82–5.92; P<0.001); and collecting duct subtype of RCC (OR =0.81, 95% CI: 0.74–0.88; P<0.001) were significantly associated with greater possibility of having developed bone metastases at diagnosis in the multivariate logistic regression analysis.

Table 1 Baseline of the demographic and related clinical characteristics for patients diagnosed with initial renal cell carcinoma

| Subject characteristics | No. of RCC patients (2010–2014) | No. of RCC patients (2010–2013) |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|                         | With BM (N=1,509, %)           | Without BM (N=44,315, %)       | With BM (N=1,182, %) | Without BM (N=34,725, %) |
| Age, years              |                                 |                                 |                    |                            |
| ≤40                     | 35 (1.37)                       | 2,515 (98.63)                   | 28 (1.39)          | 1,988 (98.61)              |
| 41–69                   | 1,048 (3.39)                    | 29,836 (96.61)                  | 835 (3.45)         | 23,342 (96.55)             |
| ≥70                     | 426 (3.43)                      | 11,964 (96.57)                  | 319 (3.28)         | 9,395 (96.72)              |
| Gender                  |                                 |                                 |                    |                            |
| Female                  | 431 (2.64)                      | 15,890 (97.36)                  | 337 (2.63)         | 12,489 (97.37)             |
| Male                    | 1,078 (3.65)                    | 28,425 (96.35)                  | 845 (3.66)         | 22,236 (96.34)             |
| Race                    |                                 |                                 |                    |                            |
| White                   | 1,278 (3.42)                    | 36,090 (96.58)                  | 1,002 (3.42)       | 28,319 (96.58)             |
| Black                   | 130 (2.48)                      | 5,114 (97.52)                   | 97 (2.35)          | 4024 (97.65)               |
| AI                      | 14 (3.30)                       | 410 (96.70)                     | 10 (3.04)          | 319 (96.96)                |
| API                     | 85 (3.48)                       | 2,356 (96.52)                   | 71 (3.72)          | 1,836 (96.28)              |
| Unknown                 | 2 (0.58)                        | 345 (99.42)                     | 2 (0.87)           | 227 (99.13)                |

(Continued)
Table 1 (Continued)

| Subject characteristics | No. of RCC patients (2010–2014) | No. of RCC patients (2010–2013) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                         | With BM (N=1,509, %) | Without BM (N=44,315, %) | With BM (N=1,182, %) | Without BM (N=34,725, %) |
| **Marital status**      |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| Unmarried               | 534 (3.51)                      | 14,667 (96.49)                 | 415 (3.49)             | 11,487 (96.51)           |
| Married                 | 910 (3.25)                      | 27,095 (96.75)                 | 715 (3.26)             | 21,223 (96.74)           |
| Unknown                 | 65 (2.48)                       | 2,553 (97.52)                  | 52 (2.52)              | 2,015 (97.48)            |
| **Insurance status**    |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| Insured                 | 1,422 (3.24)                    | 42,346 (96.76)                 | 1,115 (3.26)           | 33,075 (96.74)           |
| Uninsured               | 65 (5.14)                       | 1,199 (94.86)                  | 49 (4.58)              | 1,020 (95.42)            |
| Unknown                 | 22 (2.78)                       | 770 (97.22)                    | 18 (2.78)              | 630 (97.22)              |
| **Laterality**          |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| Left                    | 738 (3.28)                      | 21,748 (96.72)                 | 572 (3.25)             | 17,037 (96.75)           |
| Right                   | 702 (3.03)                      | 22,445 (96.97)                 | 558 (3.08)             | 17,586 (96.92)           |
| Bilateral               | 4 (8.51)                        | 43 (91.49)                     | 3 (7.32)               | 38 (92.68)               |
| Unknown                 | 65 (45.14)                      | 79 (54.86)                     | 49 (43.75)             | 64 (56.25)               |
| **T stage**             |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| T1                      | 373 (1.20)                      | 30,641 (98.80)                 | 306 (1.26)             | 23,977 (98.74)           |
| T2                      | 262 (5.51)                      | 4,492 (94.49)                  | 199 (5.27)             | 3,578 (94.73)            |
| T3                      | 489 (5.89)                      | 7,815 (94.11)                  | 366 (5.65)             | 6,116 (94.35)            |
| T4                      | 135 (19.65)                     | 552 (80.35)                    | 112 (21.25)            | 415 (78.75)              |
| Unknown                 | 250 (23.47)                     | 815 (76.53)                    | 199 (23.75)            | 639 (76.25)              |
| **N stage**             |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| N0                      | 958 (2.21)                      | 42,486 (97.79)                 | 750 (2.20)             | 33,292 (97.80)           |
| N1                      | 360 (22.50)                     | 1,240 (77.50)                  | 271 (22.01)            | 960 (77.99)              |
| Unknown                 | 191 (24.49)                     | 589 (75.51)                    | 161 (25.39)            | 473 (74.61)              |
| **Grade**               |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| I                       | 33 (0.75)                       | 4,381 (99.25)                  | 26 (0.74)              | 3,483 (99.26)            |
| II                      | 209 (1.04)                      | 19,971 (98.96)                 | 166 (1.07)             | 15,690 (98.93)           |
| III                     | 349 (3.22)                      | 10,504 (96.78)                 | 279 (3.30)             | 8,180 (96.70)            |
| IV                      | 163 (7.35)                      | 2,056 (92.65)                  | 117 (6.86)             | 1,589 (93.14)            |
| Unknown                 | 755 (9.25)                      | 7,403 (90.75)                  | 594 (9.31)             | 5,783 (90.69)            |
| **Lung Met**            |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| None                    | 808 (1.86)                      | 42,610 (98.14)                 | 629 (1.85)             | 33,419 (98.15)           |
| Yes                     | 660 (28.77)                     | 1,634 (71.23)                  | 519 (29.34)            | 1,250 (70.66)            |
| Unknown                 | 41 (36.61)                      | 71 (63.39)                     | 34 (37.88)             | 56 (62.22)               |
| **Liver Met**           |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| None                    | 1,260 (2.79)                    | 44,153 (97.21)                 | 979 (2.77)             | 34,403 (97.23)           |
| Yes                     | 212 (34.14)                     | 409 (65.86)                    | 173 (36.34)            | 303 (63.66)              |
| Unknown                 | 37 (61.67)                      | 23 (38.33)                     | 30 (61.22)             | 19 (38.78)               |
| **Brain Met**           |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| None                    | 1,320 (2.91)                    | 44,004 (97.09)                 | 1,031 (2.90)           | 34,475 (97.10)           |
| Yes                     | 155 (34.60)                     | 293 (65.40)                    | 125 (34.53)            | 237 (65.47)              |
| Unknown                 | 34 (65.38)                      | 18 (34.62)                     | 26 (66.67)             | 13 (33.33)               |
| **Histology**           |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| Clear-cell              | 1,346 (3.87)                    | 33,434 (96.13)                 | 1,047 (3.86)           | 26,068 (96.14)           |
| Papillary               | 116 (1.52)                      | 7,524 (98.48)                  | 96 (1.58)              | 5,997 (98.42)            |
| Chromophobe             | 26 (0.80)                       | 3,222 (99.20)                  | 21 (0.81)              | 2,584 (99.19)            |
| Collecting duct         | 21 (18.10)                      | 95 (81.90)                     | 18 (19.15)             | 76 (80.85)               |
| **Surg(pri)**           |                                 |                                 |                        |                          |
| None                    | 832 (25.96)                     | 2,373 (74.04)                  | 654 (26.60)            | 1,805 (73.40)            |
| Tumor de                | 8 (0.57)                        | 1,400 (99.43)                  | 7 (0.67)               | 1,039 (99.33)            |
| Resection               | 665 (1.62)                      | 40,422 (98.38)                 | 518 (1.60)             | 31,789 (98.40)           |
| Unknown                 | 4 (3.23)                        | 120 (96.77)                    | 3 (3.16)               | 92 (96.84)               |

Abbreviations: RCC, renal cell carcinoma; BM, bone metastases; Met, metastases; AI, American Indian/Alaska Native; API, Asian or Pacific Islander; Surg(pri), surgical treatments of primary site; Tumor de, tumor destruction.
Table 2 Univariate and multivariable logistic regression for analyzing the demographic and related clinical characteristics for developing bone metastases in patients diagnosed with initial renal cell carcinoma (diagnosed 2010–2014)

| Subject characteristics | Univariate | Multivariate |
|-------------------------|------------|--------------|
|                         | OR (95%CI) | P-value | OR (95%CI) | P-value |
| **Age, years**          |            |          |            |          |
| ≤40                     | 1.18 (1.07–1.30) | 0.001 | 0.96 (0.81–1.13) | 0.61 |
| 41–69                   | 2.53 (1.80–3.54) | <0.001 | 1.95 (1.10–3.45) | 0.02 |
| ≥70                     | 2.56 (1.81–3.62) | <0.001 | 1.64 (0.91–2.97) | 0.10 |
| **Gender**              |            |          |            |          |
| Female                  | 1 (reference) | 1.00 | 1 (reference) | 1.00 |
| Male                    | 1.40 (1.25–1.57) | <0.001 | 1.25 (1.04–1.51) | 0.02 |
| **Insurance status**    |            |          |            |          |
| Insured                 | 1 (reference) | 1.00 | 1 (reference) | 1.00 |
| Uninsured               | 1.62 (1.25–2.09) | <0.001 | 0.98 (0.61–1.57) | 0.93 |
| Unknown                 | NA         | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| **T stage**             |            |          |            |          |
| T1                      | 2.37 (2.25–2.51) | <0.001 | 1.57 (1.42–1.73) | <0.001 |
| T2                      | 4.79 (4.08–5.63) | <0.001 | 3.77 (2.93–4.85) | <0.001 |
| T3                      | 5.14 (4.48–5.90) | <0.001 | 3.09 (2.45–3.89) | <0.001 |
| T4                      | 20.09 (16.22–24.89) | <0.001 | 2.80 (1.85–4.23) | <0.001 |
| Unknown                 | NA         | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| **N stage**             |            |          |            |          |
| N0                      | NA         | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| N1                      | 12.88 (11.26–14.72) | <0.001 | 2.23 (1.75–2.84) | <0.001 |
| Unknown                 | NA         | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| **Grade**               |            |          |            |          |
| I                       | 2.61 (2.38–2.86) | <0.001 | 1.46 (1.30–1.63) | <0.001 |
| II                      | 1 (reference) | 1.00 | 1 (reference) | 1.00 |
| III                     | 1.39 (0.96–2.01) | 0.08 | 1.47 (0.93–2.32) | 0.10 |
| IV                      | 4.41 (3.08–6.31) | <0.001 | 2.65 (1.68–4.18) | <0.001 |
| Unknown                 | NA         | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| **Lung Met**            |            |          |            |          |
| None                    | 1 (reference) | 1.00 | 1 (reference) | 1.00 |
| Yes                     | 21.30 (19.00–23.88) | <0.001 | 5.15 (4.13–6.42) | <0.001 |
| Unknown                 | NA         | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| **Liver Met**           |            |          |            |          |
| None                    | 1 (reference) | 1.00 | 1 (reference) | 1.00 |
| Yes                     | 18.05 (15.15–21.51) | <0.001 | 3.14 (2.23–4.43) | <0.001 |
| Unknown                 | NA         | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| **Brain Met**           |            |          |            |          |
| None                    | 1 (reference) | 1.00 | 1 (reference) | 1.00 |
| Yes                     | 17.64 (14.41–21.59) | <0.001 | 4.09 (2.82–5.92) | <0.001 |
| Unknown                 | NA         | NA      | NA         | NA      |
| **Histology**           |            |          |            |          |
| Clear-cell              | 1 (reference) | 1.00 | 1 (reference) | 1.00 |
| Papillary               | 0.38 (0.32–0.46) | <0.001 | 0.49 (0.35–0.69) | <0.001 |
| Chromophobe             | 0.20 (0.14–0.30) | <0.001 | 0.37 (0.20–0.67) | 0.001 |
| Collecting duct         | 5.49 (3.41–8.34) | <0.001 | 1.71 (0.82–3.57) | 0.16 |

Note: All factors with unknown data were removed from univariate and multivariable logistic regression model.

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; Met, metastases; NA, not available.

Factors above, the type of surgical treatment at the primary site correlated with survival (P<0.001, Figure 2).

In the multivariate stepwise Cox regression analysis (Table 3), older age (hazard ratio [HR] = 1.53, 95% CI: 1.16–2.01; P=0.002); higher T stage (HR = 1.18, 95% CI: 1.03–1.35; P=0.02); lymph node involvement (HR = 1.47, 95% CI: 1.12–1.93; P=0.01); poor tumor grade (HR = 1.40, 95% CI: 1.19–1.66; P<0.001); presence of lung metastases (HR = 1.78, 95% CI: 1.39–2.28; P<0.001), liver metastases (HR = 1.44, 95% CI: 1.05–2.00; P=0.03), or brain metastases (HR = 1.61, 95% CI: 1.13–2.28; P=0.01); the absence of surgery (HR = 0.56, 95% CI: 0.48–0.65; P<0.001); and CDRCC...
Bone metastases mainly leading to osteolytic lesions in RCC were reported to compromise skeletal integrity.\(^2\) The outcome of RCC patients can be negatively affected by BM. The present study, performed on a large population, determined the incidence of BM at the initial diagnosis of RCC, for the first time. In our series, 3.29% of the patients in the whole cohort had BM at initial diagnosis. It was reported that synchronous metastasis occurs in approximately one-third of newly diagnosed RCC patients.\(^2\) At the same time, based on an analysis of 947 patients, BM was found in 26.7% of RCC patients.\(^8\) BM was reported to occur in 30%–40% of advanced RCC patients.\(^9,10\) Thus, the incidence of BM may be underestimated at initial diagnosis. This underestimation could be due to the following causes: 1) asymptomatic patients were unable to be assessed at initial diagnosis, and 2) the patients who developed BM later in their disease course were not recorded in the SEER database. The current guidelines only recommend bone imaging in symptomatic patients or in those with an unusual alkaline phosphatase level.\(^11,12\) Thus, a study assessing the risk factors for BM in RCC patients is necessary.

Risk factors for BM occurrence at RCC diagnosis were determined. The RCC patients had significantly greater odds of BM when they presented with a series of factors, including male gender; higher T stage; lymph node involvement; poor tumor grade; presence of lung, liver, or brain metastases; and CDRCC. Accordingly, skeletal scanning could be considered for RCC patients with the aforementioned factors.

Prognostic factors for BM occurrence at RCC diagnosis were found. The RCC patients had a significantly higher risk of mortality when they presented with a series of factors, including older age (≥70 years); higher T stage (T4); lymph node involvement; poor tumor grade; presence of lung, liver, or brain metastases; absence of surgery; and CDRCC.

---

**Figure 2** Kaplan–Meier analysis of overall survival among patients diagnosed with initial renal cell carcinoma with bone metastases (A, overall), stratified by age (B), marital status (C), laterality (D), T stage (E), N stage (F), grade (G), lung metastases (H), liver metastases (I), brain metastases (J), surgical treatments of primary site (K), and four common histopathologic groups (L).

Abbreviation: Tumor de, tumor destruction; Met, metastases.
Table 3 Multivariable Cox regression for analyzing the prognosis factors for primary renal cell carcinoma with bone metastases (diagnosed 2010–2013)

| Subject characteristics | No. of RCC patients with BM | Survival, median (IQR), months | HR (95% CI) | P value |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|---------|
|                         | Overall                     | Dead (N, %)                      |             |         |
| Age, years              |                             |                                 |             |         |
| ≤40                     | 28                          | 18 (64.29)                      | 13 (0.78–25.22) | 1.53 (1.16–2.01) | 0.002 |
| 41–69                   | 835                         | 595 (71.26)                     | 13 (11.61–14.39) | 2.63 (0.93–7.46) | 0.07  |
| ≥70                     | 319                         | 258 (80.88)                     | 7 (5.01–8.99) | 4.02 (1.38–11.69) | 0.11  |
| Marital status          |                             |                                 |             |         |
| Unmarried               | 415                         | 324 (78.07)                     | 9 (7.06–10.94) | 1 (reference) | 1.00  |
| Married                 | 715                         | 510 (71.33)                     | 13 (11.14–14.86) | 1.07 (0.84–1.37) | 0.59  |
| Unknown                 | 52                          | 37 (71.15)                      | NA          | NA      |
| Laterality              |                             |                                 |             |         |
| Left                    | 572                         | 412 (72.03)                     | 13 (10.83–15.17) | 1 (reference) | 1.00  |
| Right                   | 558                         | 413 (74.01)                     | 12 (10.21–13.79) | 1.00 (0.79–1.25) | 0.96  |
| Bilateral               | 3                           | 3 (100.00)                      | 1 (0.00–2.60) | NA      |
| Unknown                 | 49                          | 43 (87.76)                      | NA          | NA      |
| T stage                 |                             |                                 |             |         |
| T1                      | 306                         | 206 (67.32)                     | 15 (10.86–19.14) | 1 (reference) | NA    |
| T2                      | 199                         | 132 (66.33)                     | 14 (11.17–16.83) | 0.82 (0.56–1.20) | 0.31  |
| T3                      | 366                         | 263 (71.86)                     | 14 (11.94–16.06) | 1.28 (0.93–1.76) | 0.13  |
| T4                      | 112                         | 97 (86.61)                      | 8 (5.48–10.53) | 1.52 (0.97–2.38) | 0.07  |
| Unknown                 | 199                         | 173 (86.93)                     | NA          | NA      |
| N stage                 |                             |                                 |             |         |
| N0                      | 750                         | 509 (67.87)                     | 16 (13.76–18.24) | 1 (reference) | 1.00  |
| N1                      | 271                         | 224 (82.66)                     | 7 (5.45–8.55) | 1.47 (1.12–1.93) | 0.01  |
| Unknown                 | 161                         | 138 (85.71)                     | NA          | NA      |
| Grade                   |                             |                                 |             |         |
| I                       | 26                          | 20 (76.92)                      | 3 (0.00–6.00) | 1 (reference) | NA    |
| II                      | 166                         | 91 (54.81)                      | 27 (18.76–35.24) | 0.87 (0.43–1.74) | 0.69  |
| III                     | 279                         | 189 (67.74)                     | 15 (10.52–19.48) | 1.38 (0.69–2.74) | 0.37  |
| IV                      | 117                         | 95 (81.20)                      | 13 (11.05–14.95) | 1.83 (0.89–3.77) | 0.10  |
| Unknown                 | 594                         | 476 (80.13)                     | NA          | NA      |
| Lung Met                |                             |                                 |             |         |
| None                    | 629                         | 398 (63.28)                     | 19 (15.97–22.03) | 1 (reference) | 1.00  |
| Yes                     | 519                         | 450 (86.71)                     | 7 (5.89–8.11) | 1.78 (1.39–2.28) | <0.001 |
| Unknown                 | 34                          | 23 (67.65)                      | NA          | NA      |
| Liver Met               |                             |                                 |             |         |
| None                    | 979                         | 688 (70.28)                     | 14 (12.62–15.38) | 1 (reference) | 1.00  |
| Yes                     | 173                         | 156 (90.17)                     | 4 (2.45–5.55) | 1.44 (1.05–2.00) | 0.03  |
| Unknown                 | 30                          | 27 (90.00)                      | NA          | NA      |
| Brain Met               |                             |                                 |             |         |
| None                    | 1031                        | 740 (71.77)                     | 13 (11.61–14.39) | 1 (reference) | 1.00  |
| Yes                     | 125                         | 111 (88.80)                     | 6 (4.80–7.20) | 1.61 (1.13–2.28) | 0.01  |
| Unknown                 | 26                          | 20 (76.92)                      | NA          | NA      |
| Surg(pri)               |                             |                                 |             |         |
| None                    | 654                         | 559 (85.47)                     | 6 (5.14–6.86) | 1 (reference) | 1.00  |
| Tumor de                | 7                           | 4 (57.14)                       | 27 (8.53–45.47) | 0.69 (0.09–5.19) | 0.72  |
| Resection               | 518                         | 307 (59.27)                     | 25 (20.54–29.46) | 0.30 (0.22–0.42) | <0.001 |
| Unknown                 | 3                           | 1 (33.33)                       | NA          | NA      |
| Histology               |                             |                                 |             |         |
| Clear-cell              | 1,047                       | 762 (72.78)                     | 13 (11.60–14.40) | 1 (reference) | 1.00  |
| Papillary               | 96                          | 78 (81.25)                      | 8 (5.09–10.91) | 1.84 (1.19–2.86) | 0.01  |
| Chromophobe            | 21                          | 16 (76.19)                      | 11 (5.02–16.98) | 1.00 (0.46–2.15) | 0.99  |
| Collecting duct        | 18                          | 15 (83.33)                      | 3 (0.23–5.77) | 2.13 (1.01–4.51) | 0.05  |

Notes: All factors with unknown data were removed from the Cox and Kaplan–Meier model.
Abbreviations: IQR, interquartile range; RCC, renal cell carcinoma; BM, bone metastases; Met, metastases; Surg(pri), surgical treatments of primary site; Tumor de, tumor destruction; NA, not available.
Thus, a personalized treatment plan can be made based on these factors. Notably, we found that, rather than patients with grade I tumors, patients with grade II tumors had the longest median survival. One of the most important reasons for this finding may be the relative lack of patients with grade I tumors (N=26). Accordingly, these results should be evaluated with caution, and more studies should be conducted to confirm these results further.

In 1997, RCC was histologically classified into clear-cell, papillary, chromophobe, collecting duct, and unclassified RCC. CDRCC, a rare entity, was reported to occur in <2% of RCC patients. CDRCC develops from the collecting ducts in the renal medullary pyramid and usually results in poor prognosis of RCC patients. In the present study, CDRCC was associated with greater odds of BM occurrence and a dismal prognosis compared to the other RCC subtypes. This correlation was proven in two multi-institutional surgical case series. In our study, which included both surgical and non-surgical CDRCC patients from the United States, we provided further evidence to support the hypothesis that patients with CDRCC have a worse prognosis than those with other subtypes.

There are some limitations in the present study. First, the actual incidence rate of BM in patients with RCC might be underestimated because the asymptomatic patients were lost. Second, the SEER database had no records of patients who developed BM later in their disease course. Third, information on the number of bone lesions was unavailable in the public SEER dataset. Fourth, SREs, which are accepted as one of the important prognostic factors, were not recorded.

**Conclusion**

Based on the analysis of newly diagnosed initial RCC patients, the incidence and epidemiological characteristics of BM were determined. A series of risk factors for BM in RCC patients were identified; these factors can be potentially used for clinical surveillance. Survival estimates were conducted, and a list of prognostic factors for initial BM in RCC patients was found; these factors can be potentially used for making individualized treatment plans. CDRCC is associated with higher BM occurrence and poorer prognosis than the other RCC histological subtypes.
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