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ABSTRACT

Mathematical and computational models are useful tools for virtual policy experiments on infectious disease control. Most models fail to provide flexible and rapid simulation of various epidemic scenarios for policy assessment. This paper establishes a multi-scale agent-based model to investigate the infectious disease propagation between cities and within a city using the knowledge from person-to-person transmission. In the model, the contact and infection of individuals at the micro scale where an agent represents a person provide insights for the interactions of agents at the meso scale where an agent refers to hundreds of individuals. Four cities with frequent population movements in China are taken as an example and actual data on traffic patterns and demographic parameters are adopted. The scenarios for dynamic propagation of infectious disease with no external measures are compared versus the scenarios with vaccination and non-pharmaceutical interventions. The model predicts that the peak of infections will decline by 67.37% with 80% vaccination rate, compared to a drop of 89.36% when isolation and quarantine measures are in place. The results highlight the importance of controlling the source of infection by isolation and quarantine throughout the epidemic. We also study the effect when cities implement inconsistent public health interventions, which is common in practical situations. Based on our results, the model can be applied to COVID-19 and other infectious diseases according to the various needs of government agencies.

1. Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic is caused by a novel coronavirus identified in December 2019. Since 2021, variants of this virus have emerged or become dominant in many countries, with Delta variant being the most transmissible. Over 68% of COVID-19 infections in the majority of European countries and the US are Delta variant. As of 18 August 2021, more than 209 million cases and 4.38 million deaths have been reported, making it one of the world’s deadliest pandemics to date [1]. To curb disease propagation, non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) have been implemented to various degrees across the world and major efforts have been taken to develop effective vaccines. The uncertain situation requires a flexible model of infectious disease transmission to understand the future trajectory of this disease and to analyze the efficacy of vaccination and possible NPIs, which would provide the authorities with practical recommendations on disease control [2].

Infectious disease transmission models are generally one of these approaches that we refer to here as statistical model, equation-based model, and agent-based model. Statistical model adopts historical data and uses machine learning and regression methods to predict short-term disease spread [3] or mine the relationship between aggregate variables, for example population movements and the infections [4,5]. However, without specifying the causal connections between these variables, it is hard for these models to predict the epidemiological dynamics (such as time to peak and whether the resurgence will occur) or to evaluate the effect of possible interventions.

Equation-based model provides a theoretical framework for epidemiological analyses to project how infectious diseases progress in a population. A classical model is SIR (susceptible-infected-removed) presented by Kermack and McKendrick [6]. Complicated equation-based models are gradually proposed considering vaccine [7], quarantine [8,9], dynamic transmission rate [10], diversity of population [11], and other important factors in epidemic spreading. Most recently, Pei Xing et al. [12] integrated mobility data into the disease spread between locations, while the transmission within each location is modeled as a SI model. Although equation-based models are proper for simulating large-scale behaviors of a pandemic, they often fail to explain social phenomena associated with individual interactions. Furthermore, different interventions such as wearing masks and social distancing take effect through only one
parameter like the transmission rate in the SIR-like model. These concerns limit their utility in investigating public health interventions at a finer resolution and providing specific and targeted suggestions.

Agent-based model is an increasingly popular method for visualizing and informing such a complex dynamic system in public health, as the model can capture the interactive, spatial, heterogeneous, and local characteristics of the epidemic spreading [13]. Various agent-based models have been used to investigate the COVID-19 transmission dynamics [14]. Bouchina and Jebrane [15] considered relaxed and strict control measures in a close region of 250 individuals. Silva et al. [16] analyzed seven different scenarios of social distancing interventions with varying epidemiological and economic effects. They considered combining the use of face masks and partial isolation as a practicable approach. The flexibility of the agent-based model enables its application to different topics such as university campus [17], facilities [18], and hospitals [19]. However, agent-based models generally require a long simulation time due to a large number of independent individuals and the complexity of models when applied to disease spread in cities and countries. It is unpractical using such a model to run multiple simulations of various scenarios especially in an uncertain situation where a previously unknown virus or a new variant strikes.

In this paper, we propose a multi-scale agent-based model (MSABM) for disease spread between cities and within a city. The degree of freedom of the model is reduced using the knowledge of person-to-person transmission without losing the significant complexity of the agent-based model. At the micro scale, an agent represents a person while at the meso scale an agent refers to hundreds of individuals. The micro-scale contact and infection of individuals provide insights for the meso-scale interactions of agents. The MSABM has advantages over traditional methods. First, this model requires less computational resources and shorter execution time which improves the efficiency of simulations over a large area. Second, the heterogeneity is incorporated into the model through defining each agent individually with its own profile of infection and mobility. Third, the easiness for visualization of our approach helps exhibit the evolution of complex dynamic systems, especially when some phenomena are counterintuitive. Fourth, the model is highly flexible as it contains relatively more adjustable parameters compared to classical mathematical models. It thus allows the design of what-if scenarios that consider vaccination and different types of NPIs, through modifying the simulation parameters and observing the population outcomes.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the proposed model. Section 3 presents the results and discussions. Finally, conclusions are drawn in Section 4.

2. The multi-scale agent-based model (MSABM) of infectious disease transmission

This article aims to simulate the agent-based spreading of infectious diseases using the knowledge from person-to-person transmission. Fig. 1 provides the schematic diagram of the proposed model. At the micro scale, the pattern of infection is relatively straightforward. A susceptible individual can either stay healthy or be infected after its contact with an infectious individual. At the meso scale, an agent represents hundreds or thousands of individuals in the scenarios where virus spreads in a large area like cities or countries. The similarity in the pattern of infection for the two scales allows us to construct the meso-scale disease transmission between agents with the law extracted from the micro scale. Specifically, the interactions of agents at the meso scale can be related to the contact and infection of individuals at the micro scale. This section is divided into three parts, that is, parameters and initialization, behavioral rules, and computational process to present and describe the MSABM for disease propagation in detail. The total framework of the paper is depicted in Fig. 2.

2.1. Parameter and initialization

In this sub-section, we first describe four important parameters of the model. Then the consideration of individual differences and agent initialization are introduced.

1) Probability of being infected, \( \eta \): At the micro scale, the probability of a close contact being infected is considered through the use of parameter \( \eta \). This probability depends on several factors such as one’s health condition, personal protection measures, and vaccination. Value of \( \eta \) ranges from 0 to 1. A smaller \( \eta \) represents a lower probability of infection. It is assumed that vaccine could provide perfect protection to a proportion (vaccine efficacy, \( \nu^v \)) of individuals who receive it, and \( \eta \) of these individuals equals to zero.

2) Infection proportion of agent \( a \), \( \nu^a \): At the meso scale, suppose that an agent indicates \( i \) individuals. The parameter \( \nu^a \) that ranges from 0 to 1 is used to denote the infection proportion of agent \( a \) at time \( t \). For example, \( \nu^a \) equals to 0.1 if one individual related to agent \( a \) is infected. The value of \( \nu^a \) depends on the previous state of agent \( a \) and agent \( b \) in contact with it (that is, \( \nu^a_{t−1,i} \) and \( \nu^b_{t−1,j} \)) and can be expresses as

\[
\nu^a_i = m \times \nu^b_j + n \times \nu^a_{t−1,i} + k,
\]

where the weights \( (m, n) \) and biases \( (k) \) are obtained through linear regression of multiple simulation results at the micro scale. Considering the effect of vaccination, the range of infection proportion is limited to below 1−\( \nu^v \) where \( \nu^v \) and \( \nu^v \) denote the vaccination rate and vaccine efficacy respectively.

3) Characteristics of movement, \( v \): The infection maintains a close association with population movements. \( v \) summarizes several factors that affect the mobility of a person such as commuting to work, attending school, and purchase of necessities. With \( v \) it is possible to simulate the distinct class of agents. \( v \) can be the same for groups of agents, for example, people with the same pace of life such as work schedule. Furthermore, factors difficult to describe such as entertainment needs and temporary business trips, alter this parameter and present the individual heterogeneity. In the model, population is divided into three types, floating population \( (v_1) \), permanent working population \( (v_2) \), and permanent non-working population \( (v_3) \). The moving speed \( v \) varies for different types of population.

4) Efficiency of isolation and strength of quarantine, \( \gamma \): Isolation is the act of separating an infectious patient from healthy individuals in order to protect the general public from exposure of a contagious disease [20]. Various approaches of isolation exist such as isolation in hospitals or temporal facilities, and self-isolation in situations when medical resources are insufficient. Different from isolation, quarantine separates and restricts the movement of close contacts, people who might have been exposed to a contagious disease [21]. The parameter \( \gamma \) is used to quantify the efficiency of isolation and strength of quarantine. For example, if \( \gamma \) for agent \( i \) is 0.7, when its proportion of infections \( \nu^i_e \) equals to or is larger than 0.7 the agent is unable to interact with other agents and \( \nu^e \) of this agent stops rising.
Individual differences are considered for a more realistic simulation.

- For each individual, it is assigned a probability of infection $\eta$ which is randomly set with values uniformly distributed between the defined lower and upper limits $([\eta_l, \eta_u])$. Values close to $\eta_l$ denote people in good health or people that take proper protection measures. Values close to $\eta_u$ refer to people at higher risk of infection.
- As for the moving speed, the lower and upper limits $([v_l, v_u])$ are set for each type of population. Values close to $v_u$ represent agents with high movement speed and mobility, while values close to $v_l$ denote poor mobility.
- The model also emphasizes the heterogeneity in the efficiency of isolation and strength of quarantine. For each agent, $\gamma_i$ is sampled from chi-square-like distribution with expectation $\gamma$ and variance $0.2^2 \gamma$, as in practice there exist occasions when individuals or groups are isolated after being infected for a long time.

An agent is represented as $a^g_{ij}$ in the agent-based model. $i$ denotes the type of population that is related to agents’ movement behaviors which are described in Section 2.2. $g$ is the location tag, indicating the city to which the agent belongs. The agents of the same population type $i$ inside the city $g$ are numbered with $j$. In the first iteration ($t = 1$), the location of each agent is initialized randomly in a two-dimensional space. Each coordinate $a^g_{ij,d}$ ($d \in \{(x, y)\}$) is determined with a value uniformly distributed between the upper $U^g_d$ and lower $L^g_d$ boundaries of the city, expressed as

$$a^g_{ij,d}(1) = L^g_d + \text{rand}(0, 1)(U^g_d - L^g_d).$$

where rand$(0, 1)$ function provides a random value between zero and one within a uniform distribution.

### 2.2. Behavioral rules

To simulate disease transmission dynamics, agents update their states and locations characterized by simple rules and interactive behaviors. In this process, agents observe contact and infection rule to determine whether being infected. Mobility rule guides the movement behavior of agents.

1) **Contact and infection**: For each collision between two agents, the validity of collision is determined firstly for the micro and meso scale. A random number is generated within a uniform distribution $U[0, 1]$. If the number is less than or equals to the contact rate denoted as $\sigma$, the two agents contact and they can influence each other.

At the micro scale, a similar probabilistic process as above is conducted to determine whether the susceptible individual will be infected by the infectious one in contact with it. If the random number is less than or equals to the susceptibility $\eta$ of the individual, it is infected, otherwise, it stays healthy in spite of the contact. At the meso scale, the infection proportion $\epsilon$ of the two agents in contact changes according to Eq. (1).

2) **Mobility rule**: At the meso scale, the model selects the motion path for each agent through a probabilistic decision process. Under this process, a random number is generated within a uniform distributed $U[0, 1]$. If the number is less than or equals to the predefined percentage of floating population $\Per_f$, the agent flows between city;
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To simulate disease transmission dynamics, agents update their states and locations characterized by simple rules and interactive behaviors. In this process, agents observe contact and infection rule to determine whether being infected. Mobility rule guides the movement behavior of agents.

1) **Contact and infection**: For each collision between two agents, the validity of collision is determined firstly for the micro and meso scale. A random number is generated within a uniform distribution $U[0, 1]$. If the number is less than or equals to the contact rate denoted as $\sigma$, the two agents contact and they can influence each other.

At the micro scale, a similar probabilistic process as above is conducted to determine whether the susceptible individual will be infected by the infectious one in contact with it. If the random number is less than or equals to the susceptibility $\eta$ of the individual, it is infected, otherwise, it stays healthy in spite of the contact. At the meso scale, the infection proportion $\epsilon$ of the two agents in contact changes according to Eq. (1).

2) **Mobility rule**: At the meso scale, the model selects the motion path for each agent through a probabilistic decision process. Under this process, a random number is generated within a uniform distributed $U[0, 1]$. If the number is less than or equals to the predefined percentage of floating population $\Per_f$, the agent flows between city;
Algorithm 1: The micro-scale simulation.
1 repeat
2    \( \eta \leftarrow \text{Initialization for each agent } a_{ij}^{(m)} \)
3    \( v \leftarrow \text{Initialize Susceptibility}(I_{ij}, u_{ij}, v', v'') \)
4    \( \sigma \leftarrow \text{Initialize Contact Rate} \)
5    \( a_{ij}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \text{Initialize Position}(L_{ij}, U_{ij}) \)
6 until \( t > \text{maximum simulation iterations} \)
7 Output: \( e_t^m, e_t^n, e_t^k \)

Algorithm 2: The meso-scale simulation.
1 Input: \( y, x, m, n, k, \text{Per}_{ij}, L_{ij}, U_{ij} \) \( \text{Initialize for each agent } a_{ij}^{(m)} \)
2 \( v \leftarrow \text{Initialize Mobility}(Per_{ij}, L_{ij}, U_{ij}) \)
3 \( \sigma \leftarrow \text{Initialize Contact Rate} \)
4 \( y \leftarrow \text{Initialize Isolation & Quarantine Strength} \)
5 \( \alpha_{ij}^{(t+1)} \leftarrow \text{Initialize Position}(L_{ij}, U_{ij}) \)
6 end function repeat
7 function Contact & Infection(\( \gamma, x, m, n, k, v', v'' \))
8 function Mobility(\( x, \text{Per}_{ij} \))
9 function Isolation & Quarantine(\( y \))
10 until \( t > \text{maximum simulation iterations} \)
11 Output: infection proportion of each city

to initialize the susceptible of each agent. The focus of this scale is the contacts between individuals, and cross-city movements are not considered. Individuals are located at one city and their positions are initialized as shown in line 7. The fraction of working population \( \text{Per}_{ij} \) and the predefined lower and upper moving speed \( [I_{ij}, u_{ij}] \) are employed to characterize the mobility of individuals (line 5).

At the meso scale, the proportion of floating population \( \text{Per}_{ij} \) and working residents \( \text{Per}_{ij} \), and the range \( [I_{ij}, u_{ij}] \) are used to initialize the moving speed of agents. The rule of mobility is conducted independently for each agent (Algorithm 2, line 10). The infection pattern extracted from micro simulation guides the changes in infection proportion of agents (line 9). When the infection proportion \( \epsilon \) of an agent equals or is larger than the value of \( \gamma \) for this agent, it is unable to interact with other agents and its \( k \) stops rising (line 11). The states and locations of agents are updated every frame, until the maximum number of simulation iterations is reached. The infection proportion of agents per iteration in each city acts as output data. The Unity platform [22] and C# program are employed to implement the simulations described in this paper. Snapshots of meso-scale simulation using the model are provided in Fig. 4.

3. Results and discussion

The model is flexible and enables testing of scenarios considering different strategies of vaccination and NPIs. This section shows the characteristics of the proposed model and the results that it can provide.

3.1. Study area and data setting

In our approach the infectious disease transmission is simulated using the census and mobility data of four important cities, that is, Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei in China’s Yangtze River Delta Economic Zone. The number of permanent and floating population as well as the percentage of working (between 15 and 64 years old) and non-working residents are obtained from China statistical yearbook 2019 [23]. The census data is shown in Table 1. It is assumed that a floating agent stays in a city for about two days before moving to other cities. The proportion of agents moving from one city to other cities is extracted from Baidu Migration Platform [24], as shown in Table 2.

The moving speed differs for floating individuals, working-age adults, and the non-working population. These values are obtained through calculating the actual moving speed. On average, people spend about 28 min on commuting and move about 9.18 km in China [25]. The speed of the working population is thus about 0.33 kilometers per minute. The non-working population usually moves by foot with the speed of 0.08 kilometers per minute [26]. The floating population tend to drive or take the high-speed rail, the speed of which is about 1.13 kilometers per minute.

3.2. Infection pattern extracted from micro simulation

Since there are a large population under voluntary home isolation, it is assumed that 1.78% of the total population (about 963,200 people) in our study area may be affected by the epidemic. Value of this percentage refers to the article that simulates the transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China [27]. The total population is used when calculating the infection proportion of a city in the following sections. The number of agents at the meso scale is set to 1120, and an agent represents 860 individuals. The micro-scale simulation aims to provide the contact and infection pattern of two agents at the meso scale. Therefore, the micro-scale simulation considers a population of 1720 individuals. The weights (\( m, n \)) and biases (\( k \)) of Eq. (1) are obtained through linear regression of multiple simulation results at the micro scale.

The coefficients of linear regression equation are shown in Fig. 5. Five cases are adopted with varying vaccination rate and contact rate. Fig. 5 shows that with the increasing strength of interventions from case I to V, the value of \( k \) increases while the value of the other two coefficients \( m \) and \( \epsilon \) decreases. It shows that with the enhancement of measures the infection proportion of agents at the current time depends
more on its own state at the previous iteration. For example, the equations for case I and II are as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon^a_1 &= 0.0309 \times \epsilon^b_1 + 0.9744 \times \epsilon^a_0 + 0.0210 \\
\epsilon^b_1 &= 0.0220 \times \epsilon^b_0 + 0.9844 \times \epsilon^a_1 + 0.0129 
\end{align*}
\]

For the same \( \epsilon^b_1 \) and \( \epsilon^a_0 \), the value of \( \epsilon^a_1 \) in case II is smaller than that in case I. It suggests that the stricter intervention will lead to a smaller increase in the proportion of infections for an agent. The infection pattern extracted from the micro simulations is in line with our intuition that vigorous interventions can reduce the number of people infected by the virus.

3.3. Transmission dynamics in the absence of governmental interventions

In this basic scenario, the model is tested when the first infected person occurs in Shanghai which is a national center for commerce, trade, and transportation. The value of parameters is listed in Table 3. The lower (\( l_r \)) and upper (\( u_r \)) limits of the infection probability are set to 0.15 and 0.25, as the average probability of infection is 0.2 [18,28]. Similarly, the lower (\( l_s \)) and upper (\( u_s \)) limits of the moving speed are set as a realistic interval considering the actual moving speed.

Fig. 6 exhibits the variability in the proportion of infected people as a function of iterations. Each simulation is executed repeatedly five times considering the stochastic scheme of agent-based models. The model predicts that, in the absence of control interventions, the disease will infect 1.73% of the total population by the time of simulation. As for the four cities, the worst-hit place is Shanghai which has the largest population and the most frequent population movements. From the figure we can conclude that time to the rapid growth of infections is positively correlated with the index of population inflow from Shanghai where the disease starts in our simulation. This result corresponds with the actual COVID-19 transmission process [29].

Table 2
The proportion of movements between four cities.

| City     | Working-age residents | Non-working residents | Floating population |
|----------|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Shanghai | 213                   | 87                     | 200                 |
| Hangzhou | 114                   | 46                     | 100                 |
| Nanjing  | 100                   | 40                     | 40                  |
| Hefei    | 100                   | 40                     | 40                  |

3.3. Transmission dynamics in the absence of governmental interventions

In this basic scenario, the model is tested when the first infected person occurs in Shanghai which is a national center for commerce, trade, and transportation. The value of parameters is listed in Table 3. The lower (\( l_r \)) and upper (\( u_r \)) limits of the infection probability are set to 0.15 and 0.25, as the average probability of infection is 0.2 [18,28]. Similarly, the lower (\( l_s \)) and upper (\( u_s \)) limits of the moving speed are set as a realistic interval considering the actual moving speed.

Fig. 6 exhibits the variability in the proportion of infected people as a function of iterations. Each simulation is executed repeatedly five times considering the stochastic scheme of agent-based models. The model predicts that, in the absence of control interventions, the disease will infect 1.73% of the total population by the time of simulation. As for the four cities, the worst-hit place is Shanghai which has the largest population and the most frequent population movements. From the figure we can conclude that time to the rapid growth of infections is positively correlated with the index of population inflow from Shanghai where the disease starts in our simulation. This result corresponds with the actual COVID-19 transmission process [29].

Table 3
Default values of parameters used for COVID-19 simulation.

| Symbol | Meaning                                               | Value                     |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| \( \sigma \) | contact rate                                         | 1                         |
| \( l_r, u_r \) | range of susceptibility                              | [0.15, 0.25]              |
| \( l_s, u_s \) | range of moving speed for floating population        | [0.85, 1.41]              |
| \( l_s, u_s \) | range of moving speed for the permanent working population | [0.25, 0.41]              |
| \( l_s, u_s \) | range of moving speed for permanent non-working residents | [0.06, 0.10]              |
| \( P_{ct} \) | percentage of the floating population                | Table 1                   |
| \( P_{ct} \) | percentage of the working population in permanent residents | 0.71                     |
| \( P_{ct} \) | proportion of population moving from city \( i \) to city \( j \) | Table 2                   |
In order to facilitate calculations, the duration of immunity is set to 2 months in our simulations in the following sections. It can be seen from Fig. 9, the number of outbreaks is negatively correlated with the duration of immunity. The model predicts two waves of the epidemic during the simulation time when the duration of immunity is taken to be 3 months.

3.5. Vaccination

Effective and sufficient vaccination is one of the most important measures against COVID-19. However, it will be hard to accomplish when the immunity response is imperfect (WHO requires a cutoff of 50% efficacy to approve a COVID-19 vaccine) or when the supply constraints and other factors limit the vaccination rate. The model is extended to consider the impact of vaccination. As vaccine efficacy ($\nu^v$) can be below 100%, it is assumed that the vaccine provides perfect protection to a proportion $\nu^v$ of individuals who receive it.

Fig. 10 demonstrates the transmission dynamics based on different vaccination rate. It is shown that with increasing vaccination rate the size of the infected population decreases. For example, when 80% of the population are vaccinated (Fig. 10D), the peak of infections declines by 67.37% compared with no vaccination (Fig. 10A). From Fig. 10D more than 0.25% of the population are infected with the virus during the plateau (e.g., from iteration 2000 to 4000 approximately). The results suggest that controlling the source of infection through isolation and quarantine interventions is an essential way to further reduce the infections and flatten the curve. From Fig. 11, the model predicts a drop of 89.56% with 80% vaccination rate and $\gamma$ equaling to 0.3 when compared to the situation shown in Fig. 10A.

3.6. Medium-term infectious disease propagation and the deployment of NPIs

The medium-term dynamic effect of NPIs deployment is explored in this sub-section. In our simulation, the movements of floating population are reduced by 20% and the contact rate is set to 0.5 which is half of its original value (in agreement with elementary scales of social distancing in Rothwell. [31]). The isolation and quarantine parameter $\gamma$ is set to 0.3 throughout the epidemic as it is important to control the source of infection. It is assumed that 80% of the population are vaccinated.

The adoption of NPIs decreases the risk of person-to-person transmission, especially when NPIs are implemented continuously. The figure shows the dynamics of virus transmission, assuming single periods of NPIs lasting from months 1 to 3 (Fig. 12A) or 1 to 4 (Fig. 12C), and two shorter periods during months 1 to 3 and 5.5 to 6.5 (Fig. 12B). In Fig. 12B, the additional implementation of NPIs during months 5.5 to 6.5 leads to a lower value of the third peak when compared to the scenario shown in Fig. 12A. As can be seen from Fig. 12B and C, the separate adoption of four-month NPIs causes more infections than the continuous adoption of NPIs. The proportion of infections will increase when the measures ease and then declines due to the effect of isolation and quarantine interventions. Quantification of the impact of NPIs deployment is helpful to give the government a reference in order to make an effective and dynamic emergency plan to curb infectious disease propagation.

3.7. Inconsistent interventions

Simulations in previous sections assume that all cities implement the same interventions consistently. This section studies the impact when cities take different and inconsistent measures against COVID-19. To do that two cases I and II are simulated. For both cases, simulations for cities are executed through adopting the following parameter settings, that is, contact rate $\sigma$, the parameter of isolation and quarantine $\gamma$, and vaccination rate equal to 0.5, 0.3, and 80% respectively, except
for Hangzhou in case II that maintains the same parameters as the basic scenario with no governmental interventions in Section 3.3.

Fig. 13 and Table 4 show the simulated results of the two cases. As can be seen there are more infected agents in case II than in case I. In case II, Hangzhou that does not take stringent measures as other cities account for the majority of infections. The fraction of infected individuals in the other three cities also increases when compared to case I. It takes less time for the cities other than Hangzhou in Case II to reach the first and second peak. The virus takes root in one city and then spreads into other cities through the inextricable connections between them. The model illustrates that regional coordination and collaboration are important to control the spread of a virus that crosses boundaries with ease.

4. Conclusion

The virus’s delta variant drives COVID-19 surge and becomes dominant in many countries like the US, the UK, India, and China. Under such an uncertain situation, a flexible and rapid model of infectious disease transmission is needed to investigate the medium-term propagation of the epidemic and the efficacy of governmental interventions including vaccination and NPIs. This paper proposes a multi-scale agent-based model (MSABM) to explore the spread of infectious disease between cities and within a city under different scenarios. In the model, the interaction pattern of agents at the meso scale stems from the contact and

---

**Fig. 9.** The effects of duration of immunity on disease transmission dynamics ($\gamma = 0.7$ is assumed in all the cases).

**Fig. 10.** Infectious disease propagation with different vaccination rate ($\gamma = 1$ and $\varepsilon^v = 0.8$ are assumed in all the cases).

**Table 4**
The values of the first and second peak and the corresponding number of iterations for case I and II.

| Peak                     | Case        | Total value (iteration) | Shanghai  | Hangzhou  | Nanjing  | Hefei    |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| the first peak (iteration) | case I      | 0.0943 (1491)           | 0.1024 (1444) | 0.1023 (1535) | 0.0866 (1662) | 0.0882 (1956) |
|                          | case II     | 0.2118 (1496)           | 0.1041 (1096) | /          | 0.0926 (1652) | 0.0955 (1880) |
| the second peak (iteration) | case I      | 0.0704 (5655)           | 0.0753 (5414) | 0.0788 (5658) | 0.0683 (5836) | 0.0699 (5926) |
|                          | case II     | 0.1998 (5182)           | 0.0761 (4985) | 0.6402 (5489) | 0.0712 (5807) | 0.0679 (5904) |

---

**Fig. 11.** Infectious disease propagation with different values of $\gamma$ when 80% of population has been vaccinated with vaccine efficacy 80%. $\gamma$ represents the strength of isolation and quarantine.
Fig. 12. Effect of the duration of NPIs adoption on infectious disease propagation. NPIs are assumed to be adopted during the first three months (A), the first three months as well as months 5.5 to 6.5 (B), the first four months (C), or all the time (D).

Fig. 13. Infectious disease transmission with coordinated and inconsistent measures. In Case I, the four cities implement coordinated public health interventions. While in Case II, the measures in Hangzhou are not as strict as that in the other three cities.
infection of individuals at the micro scale. The degree of freedom of the agent-based model is thus reduced without losing the significant complexity of the model, e.g., the consideration of cross-city mobility in our model. Census and traffic data of four interdependent cities (Shanghai, Hangzhou, Nanjing, and Hefei) in China are adopted.

Application of the model to COVID-19 and several hypothetical scenarios illustrates the model’s utility. The results show that time to the rapid growth of infections is positively correlated with the index of population inflow from the city where the disease starts. The infections will be contained if patients and the close contacts are isolated or quarantined before 30% of people in a community are infected by the virus. The duration of immunity is set to 2 months in our simulations in order to facilitate calculations, shorter than the duration in the real world (6 months or longer), which leads to more frequent outbreaks of the epidemic in the paper. Our results highlight the necessity of controlling the source of infection even if the vaccine is available. With 80% vaccination rate the peak of infections declines by 67.37%, compared to a drop of 89.56% when isolation and quarantine measures are in place. The adoption of NPIs decreases the risk of disease transmission particularly when NPIs are implemented continuously. The analysis of inconsistent interventions demonstrates the importance of regional coordination. Easing measures in one city will not only cause a significant increase in the number of infection in this city, but also worsen the situation in other cities. This model can provide quantified theoretical references to public health decision makers for minimizing the disease spread. By considering the effects that interventions and vaccination have on disease transmission, governments can make more-informed decisions about how to control the epidemic.
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