Pioneer transcription factors specifically target their recognition DNA sequences within nucleosomes. FoxA is the pioneer transcription factor that binds to the ALB1 gene enhancer in liver precursor cells, and is required for liver differentiation in embryos. The ALB1 enhancer DNA sequence is reportedly incorporated into nucleosomes in cells, although the nucleosome structure containing the targeting sites for FoxA has not been clarified yet. In this study, we determined the nucleosome structure containing the ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence, by cryogenic electron microscopy at 4.0 Å resolution. The nucleosome structure with the ALB1 enhancer DNA is not significantly different from the previously reported nucleosome structure with the Widom 601 DNA. Interestingly, in the nucleosomes, the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA contains local flexible regions, as compared to the Widom 601 sequence. Consistently, DNaseI treatments revealed that, in the nucleosome, the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA is more accessible than the Widom 601 sequence. The histones also associated less strongly with the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA than the Widom 601 DNA in the nucleosome. Therefore, the local histone–DNA contacts may be responsible for the enhanced DNA accessibility in the nucleosome with the ALB1 enhancer DNA.

## 1. Introduction

In eukaryotes, genomic DNA is organized into chromatin, in which the nucleosome is the fundamental unit [1]. The protein components of the nucleosome are two histone H2A–H2B dimers and two histone H3–H4 dimers, which associate as a histone octamer [2]. A DNA segment of about 145 base pairs is then wrapped around the histone octamer in the nucleosome [2]. In chromatin, nucleosomes are connected by linker DNAs, and linker histones bind to the DNA region lying on the dyad axis of the nucleosome together with the linker DNAs [1,3,4].

Nucleosome formation generally restricts the binding of transcription factors (TFs) to the DNA wrapped around the histone octamer. Therefore, in active promoters, TFs predominantly bind nucleosome-free DNA regions [5]. By contrast, pioneer transcription factors bind their target sequences within nucleosomes, and create open chromatin conformations around their target sequences [6–8]. This nucleosome reconfiguration by pioneer TFs may be an
initial step in the gene activation cascades required for tissue development [9–11].

FoxA (FoxA1, FoxA2 and FoxA3) is a pioneer TF [6–8]. FoxA binds nucleosomal target sequences at the ALB1 enhancer locus [12,13]. Interestingly, in the nucleosome containing the ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence, the FoxA target sequence is located near the nucleosomal dyad axis, which overlaps the linker histone-binding site [3,4], and FoxA competes with the linker histone H1 for nucleosome binding [12,14]. This FoxA-mediated linker histone removal may generate a more relaxed nucleosome configuration [14,15].

In order to understand how FoxA functions as a pioneer TF, it is essential to determine the three-dimensional structure and physical characteristics of its target nucleosome. However, the nucleosome structure containing a native DNA sequence with pioneer TF-binding sites has not been reported yet. In the present study, we reconstituted the nucleosome containing the specific ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence, and reconstructed the ALB1 nucleosome structure by cryogenic electron microscopy to near-atomic resolution.

2. Results

2.1. Nucleosome reconstitution with the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA

A genome-wide DNA sequence analysis revealed that the ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence is incorporated into nucleosomes in cells [14,16]. Therefore, we reconstituted the nucleosome with the mouse ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence (180 base pairs) [12]. To do so, the histone octamer was formed with human recombinant histones H2A, H2B, H3.1 and H4, and the nucleosome was reconstituted by the salt-dialysis method (figure 1a). The reconstituted ALB1 nucleosome was purified by native PAGE (figure 1b). The purified ALB1 nucleosome contained stoichiometric amounts of human histones H2A, H2B, H3.1 and H4, indicating that the nucleosome was properly formed (figure 1c).

2.2. Nucleosome positioning on the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA

To map the nucleosome positioning, the ALB1 nucleosome reconstituted with the 180 base-pair ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA sequence was fixed with 0.5% formaldehyde, and was then treated with MNase, which preferentially digests linker DNA regions. As shown in figure 2a, about 145 base-pair DNA fragments of the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA were protected from the MNase attack, suggesting that the protected DNA region is tightly wrapped within the nucleosome. We then sequenced 20 MNase-resistant DNA fragments, and found that 65% of the DNA fragments were mapped to 2 base pairs away from one end of the 180 base-pair DNA (figure 2b, right position), although 25% of the MNase-resistant DNA fragments were mapped to the opposite end of the 180 base-pair DNA (figure 2b, left position). Therefore, the right position may be the predominant translational nucleosome position in the 180 base-pair ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA.

To confirm these nucleosome positions, we reconstituted the nucleosome with the 180 base-pair ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA sequence containing three additional base pairs at both ends (the 186 base-pair ALB1 DNA). We performed the MNase treatment assay without cross-linking, and the sequences of the resulting DNA fragments were analysed by massive parallel sequencing. The centres of the MNase-resistant DNA fragments, which may correspond to the nucleosomal dyad, were plotted (figure 2c). Consistent with...
the MNase mapping data with cross-linking (figure 2b), two nucleosome positions, corresponding to the right and left positions, were found on the ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence in the non-cross-linked nucleosomes (figure 2c).

2.3. Cryo-EM structure of the nucleosome containing the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA sequence

The reconstituted nucleosome with the 186 base-pair ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence was fixed with paraformaldehyde by the GnaFix method [17]. We then collected images of the ALB1 nucleosome by the cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) method (figure 3a,b). The cryo-EM structures of the ALB1 nucleosome were reconstructed, and the best three-dimensional class was selected and refined to 4.0 Å resolution (figure 3c–e and table 1). In this analysis, we prepared the sample in the presence of FoxA1(170–472), which includes the DNA-binding and histone-binding domains [15]. However, we could not detect the extra volume corresponding to FoxA1(170–472) around the predicted FoxA-binding sites, suggesting that it may have dissociated during the sample preparation process. Although the three-dimensional classification seeks to separate unique three-dimensional structures, the orientation of the DNA sequence cannot be clearly distinguished in the original nucleosome images. We then symmetrized the images. In addition, our cryo-EM nucleosome structure may represent a mixture of two differently positioned nucleosomes (figure 2). Therefore, the DNA may appear as an average structure. In the structure, the nucleosomal DNA corresponding to 146 base pairs was clearly visible, but the 40 base-pair linker DNA segments disappeared, probably due to the symmetry imposition (figure 3c).

2.4. Cryo-EM structure of the ALB1 nucleosome with a linker DNA

To visualize the linker DNA region, we next reconstructed the cryo-EM structure of the ALB1 nucleosome without the symmetrizing process. The ALB1 nucleosome was then successfully reconstructed at 4.5 Å resolution (figure 4a,b). This time, the linker DNA region was clearly visualized, extending the reconstructed electron potential of the DNA ends beyond the limits of the symmetrized reconstruction (figure 4c,e). As shown in figure 2, the right position is the major position of the ALB1 nucleosome. Therefore, we superimposed the ALB1 enhancer DNA sequence on the structure by orienting the linker sequence towards the protruding DNA end, and thus mapped the possible locations of the two FoxA-binding sites on the nucleosome structure (figure 4b). We used the ALB1 nucleosome structure for further comparisons with the known nucleosome structure.

2.5. The ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA structure in the nucleosome

We then compared the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA structure with the Widom 601 DNA structure in the nucleosomes. The Widom 601 sequence is a well-known nucleosome positioning sequence that is tightly wrapped around the histone octamer [18,19]. A previous cryo-EM analysis revealed that, up to a resolution of 3.9 Å, the Widom 601 DNA structure in the nucleosome is identical to that in the crystal structure [20]. Therefore, we superimposed the crystal structure of the nucleosomal Widom 601 DNA onto the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA structure. As shown in figure 5a, the DNA path...
in the ALB1 nucleosome is quite similar to that in the Widom 601 nucleosome, and no obvious difference is apparent. The DNA path of the ALB1 nucleosome is also similar to that in the nucleosome containing the 3’-LTR of the mouse mammary tumour virus sequence (figure 5b) [21]. The local resolution map revealed that the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA contained DNA regions with low resolution, suggesting that these DNA regions are flexible in the nucleosome (figure 5c, right panel). By contrast, these flexible regions are not obvious in the local resolution map of the cryo-EM Widom 601 nucleosome structure [20]. Interestingly, the flexible DNA regions of the ALB1 nucleosome are located near the direct binding sites for histones (figure 5c, left panel). This fact suggested that when compared with the Widom 601 DNA, the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA may be loosely bound to the histones in the nucleosome.

2.6. The nucleosome containing the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA is more accessible to DNasel than that containing the Widom 601 DNA

To test whether the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA is actually loosened, we performed a DNasel treatment assay. In this assay, the endonuclease DNasel attacks more efficiently if the nucleosomal DNA is loosened. We found that the nucleosomal ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA (186 base pairs) was more susceptible to the DNasel than the nucleosomal Widom 601 DNA (figure 6a). We then identified the DNasel-sensitive sites of the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA in the nucleosome, by denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. In the ALB1 nucleosome with the right position, the DNA regions around 20 bases and 40 bases from the labelled end were DNasel-sensitive sites (figure 6b). These regions coincide with the low-resolution DNA regions of the ALB1 nucleosome structure (figure 6c). Therefore, we conclude that the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA is locally loosened in the nucleosome.

We then compared the thermal stabilities of the ALB1 nucleosome and the Widom 601 nucleosome. To eliminate the effect of the nucleosome positions, we reconstituted the ALB1 (right position) and Widom 601 nucleosomes with 147 base-pair DNAs. In the thermal stability assay, the dissociations of the H2A–H2B and H3–H4 dimers from the nucleosome are independently monitored, as the first and second peaks, respectively. In the Widom 601 nucleosome, the H2A–H2B dimers dissociated at 70–75°C, while the second peak for the H3–H4 dimer dissociation was observed...
at 86–90°C (figure 6d). Interestingly, in the ALB1 nucleosome, the first and second peaks were both shifted towards lower temperatures (figure 6d). These data indicate that the histones of the ALB1 nucleosome associate more weakly with the DNA than those of the Widom 601 nucleosome, consistent with our structural analysis. Therefore, the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA sequence may be more accessible to the DNA with the histones. This characteristic of the nucleosomal ALB1 enhancer DNA may play a role in accommodating the pioneer TFs within the nucleosome.

### 3. Discussion

The nucleosomal DNA binding of the proteins that regulate genomic DNA functions, such as replication, recombination, repair and transcription, depends on the sequence-dependent conformations and physical properties of the DNA wrapped in nucleosomes, as well as their histone compositions. As a consequence, structural studies of nucleosomal DNA by X-ray crystallography have been severely limited, because the crystallization of the nucleosome is highly contingent on the DNA sequence. In fact, many crystal structures of nucleosomes have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank, but most of them contained the palindromic DNA regions located near a putative FoxA-binding site. Interestingly, in the nucleosome, the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA sequence contains flexible regions, and the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA in the nucleosome may allow the efficient binding of pioneer TFs, such as FoxA, to the nucleosomal DNA. Interestingly, in the nucleosome, the ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence by the cryo-EM method at near-atomic resolution. This method allows us to analyse the nucleosome structure with a native DNA sequence. In addition, the cryo-EM method can avoid the potential restriction of the DNA structure by the effects of crystal packing.

We found that the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA exhibited higher accessibility to DNAse I than the Widom 601 sequence in the nucleosome (figure 6), although the DNA-binding paths of both nucleosomes are not significantly different (figures 3–5). This higher accessibility suggests that the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA in the nucleosome may allow the efficient binding of pioneer TFs, such as FoxA, to the nucleosomal DNA. Interestingly, in the nucleosome, the ALB1 enhancer (N1) sequence contains flexible regions, and the DNA regions are located near a putative FoxA-binding region (figures 4 and 6). We also found that the histones are more weakly associated in the ALB1 nucleosome than in the Widom 601 nucleosome (figure 6). Therefore, the enhanced DNA accessibility and the weaker histone association found in the ALB1 nucleosome may be induced by the reduced local histone–DNA contacts. Unfortunately, the resolution of our cryo-EM structures (4.0–4.5 Å) is not high enough to clarify the detailed histone–DNA interactions in the ALB1 nucleosome. Further structural studies will be required to reveal the mechanism by which the association of the histones is weakened and how the ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA sequence becomes accessible to pioneer TFs in the nucleosome.

### 4. Material and methods

#### 4.1. Purification of recombinant histones

All human histones were prepared as recombinant proteins, and were purified by the method described previously [29,30].

#### 4.2. Purification of nucleosomes

The 186 base-pair mouse ALB1 enhancer (N1) DNA fragment [12,16,31] (sequence: open Biol. rsb.royalsocietypublishing.org
GCCTTGCCAGTTTTCCATGTACATGCAGAAAGAAGTTTGGACTGATCAATACAGTCCTCTGCCTTTAAAGCAATA
GGAAAGAT) and the 193 base-pair DNA fragment containing the Widom 601 sequence [18,32] (sequence: ATCGGACCCTATCGCGAGCCAGGCCTGAGAATCCGGTGCCGAGGCCGCTCAATTGGTCGTAGACAGCTCTAG
CACCGCTTAAACGCACGTACGCGCTGTCCCCCGTTTTAACCGCCAAGGGGATTACTCCCTAGTCTCCAGGCACGT
GTCAGATATATACATCCAGGCCTTGTGTCGCAAATTCATAGAT) were purified by the methods described previously [33]. The 180 base-pair mouse ALB1 enhancer DNA fragment, which was missing three bases at both ends (derived from the EcoRV site) of the 186 base-pair ALB1 DNA, was amplified by PCR and purified by non-denaturing 6% PAGE, using a Prep Cell apparatus (Bio-Rad). For the reconstitution of the histone octamer, human H2A, H2B, H3.1 and H4 were mixed in denaturing buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 7 M guanidine hydrochloride and 20 mM 2-mercaptoethanol), and the mixture was rotated at 4°C for 1.5 h, followed by dialysis against refolding buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 2 M NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol). The resulting human histone octamer was further purified by Superdex200 (GE Healthcare) gel filtration chromatography. The ALB1 nucleosome and the 601 nucleosome were reconstituted with the histone octamer and the ALB1 DNA fragment and the Widom 601 DNA fragment, respectively, by the salt dialysis method [29,34]. The reconstituted nucleosomes were finally purified by non-denaturing 6% PAGE, using a Prep Cell apparatus (Bio-Rad). The nucleosomes were collected in TCS buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5) and 1 mM DTT) and were concentrated using a Millipore concentrator (MW cut-off 30 000).

4.3. Mapping of nucleosome positioning by micrococcal nuclease treatment

The nucleosome containing the 180 base-pair ALB1 enhancer DNA was dialysed against 20 mM HEPES-NaOH (pH 7.5) buffer containing 1 mM DTT, and was treated with 0.5% formaldehyde at 25°C for 30 s. The reaction was stopped by adding glycine to a final concentration of 200 mM, and the
sample was dialysed against TCS buffer. The cross-linked ALB1 nucleosome (0.6 µg of DNA) was incubated at 37 °C for the indicated times in the presence of 0.24 units of micrococcal nuclease (MNase; Takara), in 60 µl of reaction solution (50 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 25 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM CaCl2 and 1.9 mM DTT). After the incubation, each reaction aliquot (10 µl) was stopped by adding 5 µl of deproteinization solution (0.25 mg ml⁻¹ proteinase K solution (Roche), 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM EDTA, and 0.1% SDS). For decross-linking, NaCl was added to the sample to a final concentration of 420 mM, and the sample was incubated overnight at 65 °C. The samples were then analysed by non-denaturing 8% PAGE in 0.5×TBE buffer. The DNA bands were visualized by ethidium bromide staining. The resulting DNA fragments (about 145 base pairs) were purified by the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega). The DNA ends were treated with Klenow polymerase, and the 5'-ends were phosphorylated by T4 polynucleotide kinase. The products were ligated into the pGEM-T-Easy vector, which was digested and dephosphorylated by EcoRV and calf intestinal alkaline phosphatase (CIAP), respectively, prior to insert ligation. The sequences of the inserted DNA fragments were analysed by using primers with sequences complementary to those flanking the insert DNA.

### 4.4. Deep sequencing analysis of the nucleosome positioning

Purified nucleosomes, containing the 186 base-pair ALB1 enhancer DNA fragment, were treated with MNase (0.5 units µg⁻¹ DNA). The resulting DNA fragments (about 145 base pairs) were purified by the Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega). The purified DNA fragments were then sequenced, using an Illumina MiSeq (Illumina K.K.; USA). To reconstruct the full-length nucleosomal DNAs from the paired-end reads of the MNase-seq, each pair was transformed (concatenated) into the single-end read using FLASh [35] (version: 1.2.11, options: -m 10 -M 200). We restricted the reads to lengths of 147 ± 10 bp, to eliminate the non-nucleosomal DNA fragments. The concatenated reads were mapped to the N1 sequence using Bowtie [36] (version: 1.2, options: -v3 -m1). The distribution of dyad positions was estimated as the proportions (count/total) of mid-points of the mapped reads at each 1 bp position in the N1 sequence.

![Figure 5. Comparison of the ALB1 nucleosome, the Widom 601 nucleosome and the MMTV nucleosome. (a) Various views of the superimposition of the cryo-EM map (surface rendered in grey) of the ALB1 nucleosome structure, contoured at 5.3 sigma above mean density, and the crystal structure of the Widom 601 nucleosome (PDB: 3LZ0). The side views of the left and right panels are related by 45° rotation and 180° rotation to the disc view (centre panel) on the vertical axis, respectively. (b) The superimposition of the cryo-EM map (surface rendered in grey) of the ALB1 nucleosome structure, contoured at 5.3 sigma above mean density, and the crystal structure of the MMTV nucleosome (PDB: 5F99). (c) Local resolution map of the ALB1 nucleosome, showing the resolution range across the map from 3.6 Å to 8.6 Å (right panel). A close-up view of the Widom 601 nucleosome region (crystal structure) corresponding to the ALB1 nucleosome region (dashed line box) is shown in the left panel. Histones H3 and H4 are coloured green and blue, respectively. The low-resolution (lower than 8.6 Å) DNA region of the cryo-EM ALB1 nucleosome is mapped on the Widom 601 nucleosome structure and coloured red.](image-url)
4.5. Grafix

Nucleosomes (4 μM) containing the 186 base-pair ALB1 enhancer DNA fragment were mixed with FoxA1(170–472) (12.2 μM), in 0.1 ml of reaction buffer containing 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5), 50 mM NaCl, 0.25 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 0.25 mM DTT. The reaction mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. After the incubation, the sample was applied onto the top of the

**Figure 6.** DNA accessibility and nucleosome stability. (a) DNasel treatment assay. The nucleosomes containing the 186 base-pair ALB1 enhancer DNA and the 193 base-pair Widom 601 DNA were treated with DNasel. The reactions were stopped by adding a proteinase K solution, containing SDS and EDTA, and the products were analysed by non-denaturing 8% PAGE with ethidium bromide staining. (b) DNasel footprinting assay. The nucleosomes containing 147 base pairs of the ALB1 enhancer DNA (right position) or the Widom 601 DNA were treated with DNasel (1 and 4 units). The reactions were stopped by adding a proteinase K solution containing EDTA. The purified DNA products were analysed by denaturing 8% PAGE, and were detected by the 5’ end-labelled Cy5 fluorescence. (c) The local resolution of the ALB1 nucleosome structure, plotted against the DNA sequence of the ALB1 nucleosome. Two columns of bars indicate two strands of DNA on the ALB1 nucleosome. The DNA sequence is aligned according to the right nucleosome position, and the superhelical locations (SHLs) of the nucleosomes are presented. The DNA sequences of the FoxA1 target DNA sites, eG and eH, are surrounded by red and yellow boxes, respectively. The DNasel hypersensitive sites shown in (b) are presented on the right side of the figure. The graph bars correspond to the local resolutions of the ALB1 nucleosome cryo-EM reconstruction, as shown in figure 5c. (d) Thermal stability curves of the ALB1 nucleosome (147 base pairs, right position) and the Widom 601 nucleosome (147 base pairs). The normalized fluorescence intensities of the ALB1 and Widom 601 nucleosome samples were plotted at each temperature, from 55°C to 95°C. Standard deviation values are shown (n = 3).
4.6. Cryo-electron microscopy

Aliquots (2.5 μl) of the purified ALB1 nucleosome mixed with the human FoxA1 deletion mutant, FoxA1(170–472), which contains both the DNA-binding and histone-binding domains, were applied to Quantifoil holey carbon grids (R1.2/1.3 200-mesh Cu), which were freshly cleaned using a Solarus Plasma Cleaner (Gatan, Pleasanton, USA) for 15 s at 20 W in a 23% H2, 77% O2 gas mix. The grids were blotted for 3 s at 16°C and 100% relative humidity, and then immediate plunge-frozen in liquid ethane with a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher, Hillsboro, USA). Cryo-EM data were collected using the EPU automation software on a Talos Arctica microscope (Thermo Fisher, Hillsboro, USA), operating at 200 kV at a calibrated magnification of 100 000× (pixel size of 1.40 Å), with defocus ranging from −1.5 to −3.0 μm. Digital micrographs were recorded with 2-second exposure times on a Falcon 3 direct electron detector (Thermo Fisher, Hillsboro, USA) in the linear mode, at a dose rate of approximately 40 electrons per Å2 per second with 25 ms per frame time, retaining a total of 79 frames with an accumulated total dose of approximately 80 electrons per Å2.

4.7. Image processing

All frames in 2312 movies were aligned using MOTIONCOR2 [37], with dose weighting. The contrast transfer function (CTF) was estimated by CTFFIND4 [38] from digital micrographs, without dose weighting. In total, 1116 micrographs were selected based on good CTF fit correlation to approximately 8 Å resolution with minimal astigmatism. RELION 2.1 [39] was used for all subsequent image processing operations. A total of 1 182 985 particles of the ALB1 nucleosome were picked semi-automatically with a box-size of 140 × 140 pixels, followed by two rounds of two-dimensional classification to discard bad particles, resulting in the selection of 626 544 particles. The crystal structure of a canonical nucleosome (PDB: 3LZ0), low-pass filtered to 60 Å, was used as an initial alignment model. After the first round of three-dimensional classification, 288 789 particles were selected for three-dimensional refinement, and C2 symmetry was applied to the three-dimensional reconstruction. Based on CTF fit correlation to approximately 5 Å resolution, 236 386 particles were further selected before three-dimensional refinement. The final C2-symmetrized map was sharpened with an exponential B-factor (−325 Å2). For the three-dimensional reconstruction without symmetry, a second round of three-dimensional classification was performed using a subset of 288 789 particles, and the reconstruction with the linker DNA containing 139 343 particles was selected for three-dimensional refinement. To sharpen the final unsymmetrized map, an exponential B-factor (−331 Å2) was applied. The resolution of each final three-dimensional map was estimated following the gold standard Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) at FSC = 0.143 [39]. Maps were normalized with MAPMAN [40]. The model of 3LZ0.pdb was docked into the electron potential map of the ALB1 nucleosome reconstruction with UCSF CHIMERA [41]. The local resolution map of the ALB1 nucleosome was created by RESMAP [42]. Iso-electron potential surfaces were visualized with UCSF CHIMERA [43] using the ambient occlusion shader (figure 3c) and UCSF CHIMERA [41] (figures 4 and 5).

4.8. DNasel treatment assay

The nucleosome containing the 186 base-pair ALB1 enhancer DNA fragment or the 193 base-pair Widom 601 DNA fragment (200 ng of DNA) was incubated with DNasel (0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04 and 0.05 units) in 10 μl of 35 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0) buffer, containing 10 mM NaCl, 1.5 mM MgCl2 and 1.6 mM DTT, at 26°C for 15 min. After the incubation, the reactions were stopped by adding 5 μl of deproteinization solution (0.25 mg ml−1 proteinase K solution (Roche), 20 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.0), 20 mM EDTA and 0.1% SDS). The reaction products were analysed by non-denaturing 8% PAGE in 0.5 × TBE buffer. The DNA fragments were stained with ethidium bromide.

4.9. DNasel footprinting

For the DNasel footprinting reaction, purified nucleosomes containing the 5′-Cy5 labelled ALB1 DNA fragment (right position, 147 base pairs) or a Widom 601 DNA fragment (147 base pairs, 3 μg of DNA) were incubated with DNasel (1 and 4 units), in 22 μl of reaction buffer (15 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.5) and 1.5 mM MgCl2) at 25°C for 5 min. After the incubation, the reactions were stopped by adding 6 μl of deproteinization solution (33.3 mM EDTA and 12.4 mg ml−1 proteinase K solution (Roche)). The DNA fragments were purified by phenol–chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. The purified DNA fragments were analysed by denaturing 8% PAGE. The Cy5 fluorescence signal was detected with an Amersham Typhoon scanner (GE Healthcare). The DNA sequences used in DNasel footprinting are described below.

**ALB1** right position (147 base pairs): AGCAGGGGACCTG TACCTTGCCTATACCGAGGAAATTTTTCTCTAAATTACCA TCACTGCCCAAGCTTGGCTCCTTTTGCGCCATTTCCTGA CATGCAAGAAAAGATTGTTTGGACTGATCAATACGCTT CTGCCCTTTAAGCAATAGGA

Widom 601 (147 base pairs): ATCGGAAATCCCGG TGCCGAGGCGCTCAATTGTCCTAGACCGCTCTAGCC ACCGGTTTTAACCACCCGACACCCCTCCCGCTTTT TACCGCCAAAGGGACATTCCCTCTAGTCTCCAGGCGACT TGCAGATATATACAGCATG

4.10. Thermal stability assay of nucleosomes

The thermal stability assay was performed by the method described previously [44,45]. Purified nucleosomes containing either the ALB1 enhancer DNA fragment or the Widom 601 DNA fragment (1.1 μM) were mixed with SYPRO Orange dye (Sigma-Aldrich) in 20 mM Tris–HCl buffer (pH 7.5), containing 100 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT. The SYPRO Orange fluorescence was monitored with a StepOnePlus™ Real-Time PCR system (Applied Biosystems),
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