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Abstract. The main result proved in the paper is the computation of the explicit equations defining the Hurwitz schemes of coverings with punctures as subschemes of the Sato infinite Grassmannian. As an application, we characterize the existence of certain linear series on a smooth curve in terms of soliton equations.

1. Introduction

It is well known that the Krichever map can be extended to the case when the geometric data are given by a finite covering of pointed Riemann surfaces and trivializations at the punctures. This has been studied in works authored by M.R. Adams and M.J. Bergvelt ([AB]), M.J. Bergvelt and ten A.P.E. Kroode ([B]) and Y. Li and M. Mulase ([LM1, LM2]). The soliton hierarchies appearing naturally in this problem are given by the flows defined by the Heisenberg algebras in \( \hat{\text{sl}(n, \mathbb{C})} \) (the affine Kac-Moody algebra associated with the loop group of \( \text{Sl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \)).

The objective of this paper is to characterize the Hurwitz schemes parametrizing finite coverings of Riemann surfaces in terms of soliton equations satisfied by certain \( \tau \)-functions.

For this goal, the first main step consists of generalizing the “formal geometry” developed by the authors in [MP] to the case of the “formal spectral cover”. Let \( V \) be a finite a separable \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra of dimension \( n \) and \( V_+ \subset V \) a \( \mathbb{C}[[z]] \)-subalgebra of rank \( n \) over \( \mathbb{C}[[z]] \). The properties of the infinite Grassmannian, \( \text{Gr}(V, V_+) \), and of the “formal spectral cover”, \( \text{Spf} V_+ \), are studied with the help of the \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra
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structure of $V$. This construction allows us to prove a new bilinear identity (Theorem 3.15) that depends on the algebra structure of $V$.

Consider the Hurwitz space $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ (where $e_1 + \cdots + e_r = n$) parametrizing geometric data $(Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_{\bar{y}})$, where $Y \rightarrow X$ is a finite covering of degree $n$, $x \in X$, $\bar{y} = \pi^{-1}(x) = \{e_1y_1 + \cdots + e_ry_r\}$ and $t_x, t_{\bar{y}}$ are formal parameters at $x$ and $\bar{y}$ respectively. Theorem 4.6 shows that the Krichever functor defines an immersion:

$$K : H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}(V, V_+)$$

where $V = \mathbb{C}((z^{1/e_1})) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}((z^{1/e_r}))$. The main result of the paper is Theorem 4.8, which gives a characterization of the image of $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ in $\text{Gr}(V, V_+)$ uniquely in terms of the piece of data $(Y, \bar{y}, t\bar{y})$ of the geometric data and the algebra structure of $V$. This characterization allows us to prove that the Hurwitz space is a scheme (Theorem 4.9). Furthermore, the $\tau$-functions of this space are explicitly characterized by a set of differential equations given in Theorems 4.11 and 4.14.

In the last section, we apply the above results to study the finite dimensional Hurwitz scheme $H(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$, which parametrizes finite coverings of $\mathbb{P}^1$ with a fibre of type $(1, \ldots, 1)$. One could say that the paper gives an explicit method for constructing arbitrary finite coverings of Riemann surfaces from a local datum (the algebra structure of $V$) and a system of differential equations related to a soliton hierarchy.

Another application of our results is to be found in [GMP], where the equations defining the moduli space of curves with an automorphism of prime order as a subscheme of the Sato Grassmannian are given.

Along this paper we shall assume that the base field is $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers.

2. Vector-valued infinite Grassmannians

Let $V$ be a separable and finite $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$-algebra of dimension $n$ and let $V_+ \subset V$ be a $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$-subalgebra of rank $n$ over $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$. Let us denote by $Gr(V)$ the infinite Grassmannian of $(V, V_+)$ constructed in [AMP] (see also [PS, SW, SS]). It is worth recalling that §§2 and 3 of [AMP] are concerned with the existence and basic properties of this Grassmannian. Let us summarize some of them.

The infinite Grassmannian of $(V, V_+)$ is a $\mathbb{C}$-scheme whose set of rational points is:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\text{subspaces } U \subset V \text{ such that } U \rightarrow V/V_+ \\
\text{has finite dimensional kernel and cokernel}
\end{array} \right\}$$
The connected components of this scheme are indexed by the Poincaré-Euler characteristic of \( U \to V/V_+ \). The connected component of index \( m \) will be denoted by \( \text{Gr}^m(V) \). Recall that \( \text{Gr}(V) \) is equipped with the determinant bundle, whose dual has a canonical global section \( \Omega_+ \).

Let us recall briefly the definition of \( \Omega_+ \). On the connected component of index 0, \( \text{Gr}^0(V) \), it is the determinant of the natural map \( L \to V/V_+ \) (\( L \) being the universal submodule). For an integer \( m > 0 \), set \( v_m \in V_+ \) such that \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} V_+/v_mV_+ = m \). Then, the section \( \Omega_+ \) on \( \text{Gr}^m(V) \) for \( m > 0 \) (resp. \( m < 0 \)), which will be denoted by \( \Omega_m^+ \), is the determinant of the map \( L \to V/v_mV_+ \) (resp. \( L \to V/v_{-1}^{-m}V_+ \)).

The fourth section of [AMP] is devoted to the study of some groups acting on the Grassmannian and uses the notions of the formal curve, its Jacobian, etc. Now, we shall employ the same techniques to generalize those notions to our present setting.

**Example 1.** The main examples of couples \((V,V_+)\) of the above type are the following:

1. \( V = \mathbb{C}(z^{1/e}), V_+ = \mathbb{C}[z^{1/e}] \), where \( e \) is a positive integer.
2. \( V = \mathbb{C}(z) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A_0, V_+ = \mathbb{C}[z] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} A_0 \), where \( A_0 \) is a finite separable \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra.
3. \( V = \mathbb{C}(z^{1/e_1}) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}(z^{1/e_r}), V_+ = \mathbb{C}[z^{1/e_1}] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}[z^{1/e_r}] \), where \( e_1, \ldots, e_r \) are positive integers.

**Definition 2.1.** The formal base curve associated with the couple \((V,V_+)\) is the formal scheme \( \hat{C} := \text{Spf} \mathbb{C}[z] \).

The formal spectral cover associated with the couple \((V,V_+)\) is the formal scheme:

\[ \hat{C}_V := \text{Spf} V_+ \]

In the rest of this paper, it will be assumed that \( \hat{C}_V \) is a smooth curve. Let us observe that, in general, \( \hat{C}_V \) is not connected.

Let \( V_+ = V_1^+ \times \cdots \times V_r^+ \) be the decomposition of \( V_+ \) as a product of local \( \mathbb{C}[z] \)-algebras. Then, the smoothness of \( \hat{C}_V \) implies that there exist isomorphisms \( V_i^+ \simeq \mathbb{C}[z_i] \) for all \( i \). Further, note that the parameters \( z_i \) can be chosen such that:

\[ \mathbb{C}[z] \hookrightarrow V_i^+ \simeq \mathbb{C}[z_i] \]

\[ z \mapsto z_i^{e_i} \]

so that one has isomorphisms \( V_i \simeq \mathbb{C}[z^{1/e_i}] \). Summing up, the assumption of the smoothness of the formal spectral cover is equivalent to considering the third case of Example 1.

Below, we shall identify \( z^{1/e_i} \) with \( z_i \).
From [AMP] one knows that the restricted linear group $\text{Gl}(V)$ of the couple $(V, V_+)$, defined as a contravariant functor on the category of $\mathbb{C}$-schemes, acts on $\text{Gr}(V)$. Moreover, if $\text{Det}_V$ denotes the determinant bundle on $\text{Gr}(V)$, then $g^*\text{Det}_V \simeq \text{Det}_V$ for every $g \in \text{Gl}(V)$.

The action of $\text{Gl}(V)$ on $\text{Gr}(V)$ induces a central extension of functors of groups over the category of $\mathbb{C}$-schemes (see [AMP], Theorem 4.3):  

\begin{equation}
0 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to \widetilde{\text{Gl}}(V) \to \text{Gl}(V) \to 0
\end{equation}

Let $V^*$ be the contravariant functor over the category of $\mathbb{C}$-schemes with values in the category of abelian groups defined as follows:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{V}^*: & \quad \text{category of } \mathbb{C} \text{-schemes} \\
& \quad \to \text{category of groups} \\
S & \quad \mapsto (V \hat{\otimes}_\mathbb{C} R^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S))^* = \left\{ \text{invertible elements} \right\}_{\text{of } V \hat{\otimes}_\mathbb{C} R^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S)}
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

Analogously as in [AMP] §4, one can prove that $\mathcal{V}^*$ is representable by a formal group scheme $\Gamma_V$ and that the connected component of the origin, $\Gamma^0_V$, decomposes as:

$$
\Gamma^0_V = \Gamma^-_V \times \mathbb{G}_m^r \times \Gamma^+_V
$$

where $\Gamma^-_V \simeq \Gamma^{-1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma^{-r}$, $\Gamma^+_V \simeq \Gamma^{+1} \times \cdots \times \Gamma^{+r}$ and $\Gamma_i = \Gamma^{-i} \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \Gamma^{+i}$ is the group scheme associated with the factor $V_i \simeq \mathbb{C}(z_i))$.

It follows that a point $\gamma \in \Gamma^0_V(R)$ with values in a ring $R$ is given by a triple $(\gamma_-, \gamma_0, \gamma_+) \in \Gamma^-_V \times \mathbb{G}_m^r \times \Gamma^+_V$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_- &= (\gamma_-^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_-^{(r)}), \text{ where } \gamma_-^{(i)} = 1 + \sum_{j=-m}^{1} a_j^{(i)} z_j, \quad a_j^{(i)} \in \text{Rad}(R) \\
\gamma_0 &= (\gamma_0^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_0^{(r)}), \text{ where } \gamma_0^{(i)} \in R \text{ is invertible} \\
\gamma_+ &= (\gamma_+^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_+^{(r)}), \text{ where } \gamma_+^{(i)} = 1 + \sum_{j\geq 1} a_j^{(i)} z_j, \quad a_j^{(i)} \in R
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. For the case $n = r = 1$, this group was introduced in [C] and was applied to problems related to the tame symbol.

Remark 2. The central extension of $\text{Gl}(V)$ of equation 2.2 induces a central extension of the group scheme $\widetilde{\Gamma}_V$:

$$
0 \to \mathbb{G}_m \to \widetilde{\Gamma}_V \to \Gamma_V \to 0
$$

whose restriction to $\Gamma_i = \Gamma^-_V \times \mathbb{G}_m \times \Gamma^+_V$ is the central extension constructed in [AMP]. Further, note that the central extension $\widetilde{\Gamma}_V$ gives
rise to a pairing:

$$\Gamma_V \times \Gamma_V \longrightarrow G_m$$

$$\langle \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \rangle \longmapsto \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_1^{-1} \gamma_2^{-1}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_i \in \tilde{\Gamma}_V$ is an element whose image is $\gamma_i$.

Remark 3. The algebraic version of the loop group of $\text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the sub-functor of groups $L\text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C}((z)))) \subset \text{Gl}(V)$ defined by:

$$L\text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C}((z))))(S) := \{ \text{automorphisms of } V \otimes H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_S) \}$$

for a $\mathbb{C}$-scheme $S$.

This functor is representable by $\text{LGl}(n, \mathbb{C}((z))))$, which is a formal group $\mathbb{C}$-scheme. It has some distinguished subgroups $L\text{Gl}(n)^-, \text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and $L\text{Gl}(n)^+$. The “big cell” of $L\text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C}((z))))$ is defined as:

$$L\text{Gl}(n)^0 := L\text{Gl}(n)^- \cdot \text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C}) \cdot L\text{Gl}(n)^+$$

and is an open subscheme of the connected component of the origin in $L\text{Gl}(n, \mathbb{C}((z))))$ ([BL] Proposition 1.11, [PS] Ch. 8.1.2, [F] §2).

The theory of formal Jacobians developed in [AMP] can be extended to the case of general formal spectral covers since the central extension 2.2 induces a central extension of $L\text{Gl}(n)^0$.

The natural action of $\Gamma_V$ on $V$ (by homotheties) induces a natural action on the Grassmannian, from which one deduces a natural immersion $\Gamma_V^0 \subset L\text{Gl}(n)^0$ such that $\Gamma_V^- \subset L\text{Gl}(n)^-$, $\mathbb{G}_m \subset \text{Gl}(n, k)$ and $\Gamma_V^+ \subset L\text{Gl}(n)^+$. Therefore, the elements of $\Gamma_V$ can be interpreted as matrices of size $n \times n$ with entries in $\mathbb{C}((z)) \subset V$ (see [AB, B, BF] for the relation of this kind of techniques with the Heisenberg algebras).

**Definition 2.4.** The formal Jacobian of the formal spectral cover $\widehat{C}_V$ is the formal group scheme $\Gamma_V^-$ and will be denoted by $\mathcal{J}(\widehat{C}_V)$.

The above-defined Jacobian satisfies the functorial properties of the formal Jacobian of an integral formal curve of [AMP]. Note, moreover, that $\mathcal{J}(\widehat{C}_V)$ is the formal spectrum of the ring:

$$\mathbb{C}\{x_{1}^{(1)}, x_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots \}\otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}\{x_{r}^{(r)}, x_{2}^{(r)}, \ldots \}$$

where $\mathbb{C}\{x_{1}^{(i)}, x_{2}^{(i)}, \ldots \} := \lim_{\longleftarrow} \mathbb{C}[[x_{1}^{(i)}, \ldots, x_{m}^{(i)}]].$

By the very definition of the functor $V^*$ (see 2.3) and the decomposition $\Gamma_V \simeq \Gamma_1^- \times \cdots \times \Gamma_r^-$, one knows that a morphism $\widehat{C}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{J}(\widehat{C}_V)$ is defined by $r$ series in one variable with coefficients in the ring:

$$\mathcal{O}(\widehat{C}_V) = \mathbb{C}[[\bar{z}_1]] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}[[\bar{z}_r]] \simeq V_+$$
where we distinguish the variables $\bar{z}_i \in \mathcal{O}(\hat{C}_V)$ and the variables $z_i \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)$.

Then, we define the Abel morphism of degree one:

$$\phi_1: \hat{C}_V \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)$$

as the morphism corresponding to the series:

$$\left( (1 - \frac{\bar{z}_1}{z_1})^{-1}, \ldots, (1 - \frac{\bar{z}_r}{z_r})^{-1} \right)$$

Equivalently, this is the morphism induced by the ring homomorphism:

$$\mathbb{C}\{\{x_1^{(1)}, x_2^{(1)}, \ldots\}\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\{x_1^{(r)}, x_2^{(r)}, \ldots\}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\bar{z}_1] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}[\bar{z}_r]$$

$$x_i^{(j)} \mapsto \bar{z}_j^{(i)}$$

One checks that the triple $(\hat{C}_V, \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V), \phi_1)$ verifies the Albanese property as follows from [AMP] §4.

Remark 4. The representation of the Lie algebra of $\Gamma_0^+$ as a Lie subalgebra of $\text{Lie}(\text{LGl}(n)^0)$ is precisely the principal Heisenberg algebra of type $\underline{n} = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$. $\Gamma^0_V$, as a subgroup of $\text{LGl}(n)^0$, is a principal Heisenberg group of type $\underline{n} = (e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ ([AB, BF]).

Since $\mathbb{C}$ has characteristic zero, we can define the exponential map for the formal Jacobian as follows. Let $\hat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty$ be the formal group scheme $\lim_{\rightarrow} \hat{\mathbb{A}}_n$:

$$\hat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty = \text{Spf} \mathbb{C}\{\{t_1, \ldots\}\}$$

endowed with the additive group law.

Thus, the exponential map is the morphism:

$$\hat{\mathbb{A}}_\infty^r \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)$$

$$\\{(a_i^{(1)})_{i>0}, \ldots, (a_i^{(r)})_{i>0}\} \mapsto (\exp(\sum_{i>0} a_i^{(1)}), \ldots, \exp(\sum_{i>0} a_i^{(r)}))$$

induced by the following ring homomorphism:

$$\mathbb{C}\{\{x_1^{(1)}, \ldots\}\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\{x_1^{(r)}, \ldots\}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\{\{t_1^{(1)}, \ldots\}\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\{t_1^{(r)}, \ldots\}\}$$

$$1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_i^{(j)} \otimes \cdots \otimes 1 \mapsto 1 \otimes \cdots \otimes p_i(t_i^{(j)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes 1$$

where $t_i^{(j)} = (t_i^{(j)}_1, t_i^{(j)}_2, \ldots)$ and $p_i(t_i^{(j)})$ is the $i$-th Schur polynomial on $t_i^{(j)}$; that is, the coefficient of $z_j^{-k}$ in the series $\exp(\sum_{k>0} t_i^{(j)} z_j^{-k})$. Obviously, the exponential map is an isomorphism of formal group schemes.
Therefore, henceforth we shall understand that the group $J(\hat{C}_V)$ is the formal spectrum of the ring:

$$\mathbb{C}\{\{t_1^{(1)}, \ldots\}\} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\{t_1^{(r)}, \ldots\}\}$$

and its universal element will be:

$$\prod_{i=1}^r \exp \left( \sum_{j \geq 1} \frac{t_j^{(i)}}{z_i^j} \right)$$

3. $\tau$-functions and Baker-Akhiezer functions

Following §4 of [MP], this section generalizes the notions of $\tau$-functions and Baker-Akhiezer functions) and their properties to our situation ([DJKM, K].

Let us consider the natural action:

$$\mu : \Gamma_V \times \text{Gr}(V) \rightarrow \text{Gr}(V)$$

given in [AMP] and let us define a Poincaré sheaf on $\Gamma_V \times \text{Gr}(V)$ as:

$$\mathcal{P} := \mu^* \text{Det}^*_V$$

Then, for each rational point $U \in \text{Gr}(V)$ one has an invertible sheaf on $\Gamma_V$:

$$\tilde{L}_\tau(U) := \mathcal{P}|_{\Gamma_V \times \{U\}}$$

and a natural homomorphism:

$$H^0(\Gamma_V \times \text{Gr}(V), \mathcal{P}) \rightarrow H^0(\Gamma_V \times \{U\}, \tilde{L}_\tau(U))$$

**Definition 3.2.** The $\tau$-section of the point $U$, $\tilde{\tau}_U$, is the image of $\mu^*\Omega_+$ by the homomorphism 3.1. Here, $\Omega_+$ is the canonical global section of $\text{Det}^*_V$ defined in [AMP].

To generalize $\tau$-functions, we must restrict our definition to the formal scheme $\mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V) = \Gamma_V \subset \Gamma_V$. Let us consider the invertible sheaf on $\mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)$:

$$\mathcal{L}_\tau(U) := \tilde{L}_\tau(U)|_{\mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V) \times \{U\}}$$

which is trivial. A trivialization of $\mathcal{L}_\tau(U)$ can be given by the global section:

$$\sigma_0(g) := g \cdot \delta_U \quad g \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)$$

where $\delta_U$ is a non-zero element in the fibre of $\mathcal{L}_\tau(U)$ over the point $(1, U) \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V) \times \{U\}$. Then, the $\tau$-function is defined as the trivialization of the restriction of $\tilde{\tau}_U$ to $\mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)$.
Definition 3.3. The \( \tau \)-function of the point \( U \), \( \tau_U \), is the function:

\[
\tau_U \in \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)) = \mathbb{C}\{\{t_1^{(1)}, \ldots\}\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\{t_r^{(r)}, \ldots\}\}
\]

such that:

\[
\tau_U(g) = \frac{\Omega_+(gU)}{\sigma_0(g)} \quad g \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)
\]

Remark 5. Let \( V_- \) be the subspace \( z_1^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z_1^{-1}] \times \cdots \times z_r^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z_r^{-1}] \) and note that \( V = V_- \oplus V_+ \) and that \( V_- \in \text{Gr}(V) \). Let \( X \subset \text{Gr}(V) \) denote the orbit of \( V_- \subset V \) under the action of \( \Gamma_V^+ \), which acts freely on \( \text{Gr}(V) \). Therefore, the bosonization isomorphism \( B : \Omega(S) \sim \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_V^+) \) is the isomorphism induced by the restriction homomorphism:

\[
H^0(\text{Gr}^0(V), \text{Det}_{\nu}^*) \to H^0(X, \text{Det}_{\nu}^*|_X)
\]

and the isomorphism \( H^0(X, \text{Det}_{\nu}^*|_X) \sim \mathcal{O}(\Gamma_V^+) \) induced by \( \Omega_+ \).

In order to write down expressions for the Baker-Akhiezer function analogous to the classical ones, let us observe that the composition of the Abel morphism with the exponential map is:

\[
\hat{C}_V \xrightarrow{\phi_1} \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V) \xrightarrow{\exp^{-1}} \hat{A}_r^\infty
\]

which maps \( z_j \) to the point of \( \hat{A}_r^\infty \) with coordinates:

\[
[z_j] := \left( (0,\ldots), (z_j, \frac{z_2^2}{2}, \frac{z_3^3}{3}, \ldots), (0,\ldots) \right)
\]

or, what amounts to the same, the map \( \phi_1 \) is induced by the ring homomorphism:

\[
\mathbb{C}\{\{t_1^{(1)}, \ldots\}\} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}\{\{t_r^{(r)}, \ldots\}\} \to \mathbb{C}[[z_1]] \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}[[z_r]]
\]

\[
t_i^{(j)} \mapsto (0,\ldots, 0, \frac{z_i^j}{i}, 0,\ldots, 0)
\]

It follows that there is a natural “addition” morphism:

\[
(3.4) \quad \hat{C}_V \times \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V) \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)
\]

\[
(z, t) \xrightarrow{\beta} t + [z_i]
\]

where \( z_i = (z_1, \ldots, z_r) \), \( t = (t_1^{(1)}, \ldots, t_r^{(r)}) \) and \( t + [z_i] \) denotes the point of \( \hat{A}_r^\infty \) with coordinates \( (\ldots, t_i^{(j)} + \frac{z_i^j}{i}, \ldots) \).

Analogously to [AMP], one defines the Baker-Akhiezer function of a point \( U \in \text{Gr}(V) \) (see also [P]). Recall that \( V = \prod V_i \).
Definition 3.5. The $u$-th Baker-Akhiezer function of a point $U \in \text{Gr}(V)$ is the $V$-valued function:

$$
\psi_{u,U}(z,t) := \left( \exp\left(-\sum_{i \geq 1} t^{(1)}_i z_i \right), \ldots, \exp\left(-\sum_{i \geq 1} t^{(r)}_i z_i \right) \right)
$$

where $1 \leq u \leq r$, $U_{uv} := (1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, z_v^{-1}, \ldots, 1) \cdot U$ and $t + [z_v] := (t^{(1)}, \ldots, t^{(v)} + [z_v], \ldots, t^{(r)})$.

Let $\hat{C}_V^N$ be the formal scheme:

$$
\hat{C}_V^N = \text{Spf} \left( \left( V_1^1 \right)^{\hat{\otimes}N} \times \cdots \times \text{Spf} \left( \left( V_r^r \right)^{\hat{\otimes}N} \right) \right)
$$

which is the formal spectrum of the $\mathbb{C}$-algebra:

$$
\mathcal{O}(\hat{C}_V^N) = \left( V_1^1 \right)^{\hat{\otimes}N} \otimes \cdots \otimes \left( V_r^r \right)^{\hat{\otimes}N}
$$

Accordingly, if we denote $(V_i^i)^{\hat{\otimes}N} = \mathbb{C}[[z_i]]^{\hat{\otimes}N}$ by $\mathbb{C}[[x_1^{(i)}, \ldots, x_N^{(i)}]]$, we have:

$$(3.6) \quad \mathcal{O}(\hat{C}_V^N) = \mathbb{C}[[x_1^{(1)}, \ldots, x_N^{(1)}, \ldots, x_1^{(r)}, \ldots, x_N^{(r)}]]$$

Recall that a morphism $\hat{C}_V^N \to \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)$ is determined by a set of $r$ series $(s_1(z_1), \ldots, s_r(z_r)) \in \Gamma_V(\hat{C}_V^N)$. Thus, we define the Abel morphism of degree $N$ as the morphism:

$$
\phi_N : \hat{C}_V^N \to \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)
$$

given by the series:

$$(3.7) \quad \left( \prod_{k=1}^N (1 - \frac{x_k^{(1)}}{z_1})^{-1}, \ldots, \prod_{k=1}^N (1 - \frac{x_k^{(r)}}{z_r})^{-1} \right)$$

A closed point of $\text{Gr}(V)$ is a point whose residue field is an extension of $\mathbb{C}$. So, when dealing with closed points we must consider non-finite extensions of $\mathbb{C}$ since local rings of $\text{Gr}(V)$ do not need to be finitely generated. However, our arguments will not make use of the particular structure of $\mathbb{C}$ and remain valid for any such extension. Therefore, and for the sake of simplicity, we write $\mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 3.8. Let $U \in \text{Gr}^0(V)$ be a closed point. Let $N > 0$ be an integer number such that $V/(V_+ + z^N U) = (0)$. Let

$$
\{ f_i := (f_i^{(1)}(z_1), \ldots, f_i^{(r)}(z_r)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq N \cdot r \}
$$

be a basis of $V_+ \cap z^N U$ as $\mathbb{C}$-vector space such that $f_i^{(j)} \in V_+^j$.
It then holds that:

\[
\phi^*_N \tau_U = \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} (x_k^{(i)} - x_l^{(i)})^{-1} \left| \begin{array}{cccc}
  f_1^{(1)}(x_1^{(1)}) & \ldots & f_1^{(r)}(x_1^{(r)}) & \ldots & f_1^{(1)}(x_N^{(1)}) & \ldots & f_1^{(r)}(x_N^{(r)}) \\
  \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
  f_N^{(1)}(x_1^{(1)}) & \ldots & f_N^{(r)}(x_1^{(r)}) & \ldots & f_N^{(1)}(x_N^{(1)}) & \ldots & f_N^{(r)}(x_N^{(r)})
\end{array} \right|
\]

as functions of \( \mathcal{O}(\hat{C}_V^N) \) (up to a non-zero constant).

In the case \( V = \mathbb{C}(z) \), this result is deeply connected with Fay’s trisecant formula ([Fa]) and Sato’s theory of infinite Grassmann mani-

Proof. The proof consists of repeating the arguments of the proof of Lemma 4.6 of [MP] but taking into account the decomposition (3.6).

Let \( A \) denote the \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra \( \mathcal{O}(\hat{C}_V^N) \) and let \( g \) be the element (3.7). Then, \( \phi^*_N \tau_U(x) \) is the determinant of the map:

\[
gU \rightarrow V/V_+
\]

(from now on, we understand that the subspaces \( U,V,\ldots \) have been tensorialized by \( A \)).

Let \( \alpha_N \) be the following homomorphism of \( A \)-modules:

\[
\alpha_N : V_+ \rightarrow A^\oplus N^r = A^\oplus r \times \cdots \times A^\oplus r
\]

\[
(f^{(1)}(z_1), \ldots, f^{(r)}(z_r)) \mapsto (f^{(1)}(x_1^{(1)}), \ldots, f^{(1)}(x_1^{(r)}), \ldots, f^{(1)}(x_N^{(1)}), \ldots, f^{(1)}(x_N^{(r)}))
\]

whose kernel is generated by:

\[
\bar{g} := \left( \prod_{k=1}^N (z_1 - x_k^{(1)}), \ldots, \prod_{k=1}^N (z_r - x_k^{(r)}) \right) \in V_+
\]

Consider the following exact sequence of complexes of \( A \)-modules (written vertically):

\[
0 \rightarrow \bar{g} \cdot V_+ \longrightarrow V_+ \overset{\beta}{\longrightarrow} V_+/\bar{g} \cdot V_+ \longrightarrow 0
\]

\[
0 \rightarrow V/z_N^r U \longrightarrow (V/z_N^r U) \oplus A^\oplus N^r \longrightarrow A^\oplus N^r \longrightarrow 0
\]

Observe that the complex on the l.h.s. is quasi-isomorphic to the complex (3.9) and that, therefore, \( \phi^*_N \tau_U(x) \) equals the determinant of \( \beta \). Furthermore, it turns out that:

\[
|\bar{\alpha}_N| = \prod_{1 \leq k < l \leq N} (x_k^{(i)} - x_l^{(i)}) \in A
\]
It remains to compute the determinant of the complex in the middle. To this end, we consider another exact sequence of complexes:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & V_+ \cap z^N U & \rightarrow & V_+ & \rightarrow & V_+ / V_+ \cap z^N U & \rightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \rightarrow & A^{\oplus N} & \rightarrow & A^{\oplus N} \oplus V / z^N U & \rightarrow & V / z^N U & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

The hypothesis implies that the morphism of the complex on the r.h.s. is an isomorphism and that its determinant belongs to $\mathbb{C}^*$. In order to compute $|\alpha^U_N|$, we consider a basis of the $A$-module $V_+ \cap z^N U$. We may choose a basis:

\[
\{ f_i := (f_1^{(1)}(z_1), \ldots, f_r^{(r)}(z_r)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq N \cdot r \}
\]

as in the statement. Then, the determinant $|\alpha^U_N|$ is given by the numerator of the statement, and the conclusion follows from the multiplicative behavior of determinants. \hfill \square

**Theorem 3.10.** Let $U \in \text{Gr}^0(V)$. Then:

\[
\psi_{u,U}(z, t) = (1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1) \cdot \sum_{i>0} (\psi_{u,U}^{(i,1)}(z_1), \ldots, \psi_{u,U}^{(i,r)}(z_r)) p_{ui,U}(t)
\]

where:

\[
\{ (\psi_{u,U}^{(i,1)}(z_1), \ldots, \psi_{u,U}^{(i,r)}(z_r)) \mid i > 0, 1 \leq u \leq r \}
\]

is a basis of $U$ and $p_{ui,U}(t)$ are functions in $t$.

**Proof.** Observe that the canonical morphism $\text{lim} \overset{\rightarrow}{N} \hat{\Gamma}_V^N \rightarrow \Gamma_V$ is a quotient by a permutation group and that $\hat{\Gamma}_V = \bigsqcup \text{Spf} \, V_+^i$. Then, the first step consists of computing $\psi_{u,U}|_{\text{Spf} \, V_+^i \times \hat{\Gamma}_V^N}$ for all $N >> 0$. Note that the canonical morphism:

\[
\phi_{i,N}: \text{Spf} \, V_+^i \times \hat{\Gamma}_V^N \overset{\phi_1 \times \phi_N}{\longrightarrow} \Gamma_V^i \times \Gamma_V^N \longrightarrow \Gamma_V^N
\]

is given by the series:

\[(1, \ldots, (1 - \frac{z_i}{z_i})^{-1}, \ldots, 1) \cdot g \in V_+ \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}(\hat{\Gamma}_V^N)
\]

where $V_+^i \simeq \mathbb{C}[z_i]$ and $g$ is the element (3.7).

Accordingly, the restriction of the Baker-Akhiezer function of $U$ to the product $\text{Spf} \, V_+^i \times \hat{\Gamma}_V^N$ is:

\[
g^{(i)}(z_i)^{-1} \cdot \frac{\phi_{i,N}^T U_{ui}}{\phi_N^T U}
\]
In order to compute $\phi_{i,N}^* U_{ui}$, which coincides with the determinant of:

$$z_N^*(1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1)U \rightarrow V/\bar{g}_i V_+$$

($\bar{g}_i$ being $(1, \ldots, z_i - \bar{z}_i, \ldots, 1)$), we proceed as in the proof of Lemma 3.8. We replace $\alpha_N$ by:

$$\alpha_{i,N}(f^{(1)}(z_1), \ldots, f^{(r)}(z_r)) := (f^{(1)}(x_1^{(1)}), \ldots, f^{(r)}(x_i^{(1)}), \ldots, f^{(1)}(x_N^{(1)}), \ldots, f^{(r)}(x_{N'}^{(r)}), f^{(i)}(\bar{z}_i))$$

which takes values in $A^{\oplus N-r+1}$. We thus have that:

$$|\bar{\alpha}_{i,N}| = |\bar{\alpha}_N| \cdot \prod_{k=1}^N (x_k^{(i)} - \bar{z}_i)$$

It will suffice to calculate the determinant of the following restriction of $\alpha_{i,N}$:

$$V_+ \cap z_N^*(1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1)U \rightarrow A^{\oplus N-r+1}$$

Consider elements $g_k = (g_k^{(1)}, \ldots, g_k^{(r)}) \in U$ such that $\{g_1, \ldots, g_{Nr}\}$ is a basis of $z_N^* V_+ \cap U$, $\{g_1, \ldots, g_{(N+1)r}\}$ is a basis of $z_N^* V_+ \cap U$ and $\{g_1, \ldots, g_{Nr}, g_{Nr+u}\}$ is a basis of $z_N^* (1, \ldots, z_u^{-1}, \ldots, 1)V_+ \cap U$. Let $f_k := z_N^* g_k$. Then, $\{1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1\} f_k \mid k = 1, \ldots, Nr + u$ is a basis of $V_+ \cap z_N^* (1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1)U$.

The determinant of the morphism 3.11 is:

$$\begin{vmatrix}
\bar{z}_i^{\delta_{ui}} f_1^{(i)}(\bar{z}_i) \\
\vdots \\
\bar{z}_i^{\delta_{ui}} f_N^{(i)}(\bar{z}_i) \\
\bar{z}_i^{\delta_{ui}} f_{Nr+u}^{(i)}(\bar{z}_i)
\end{vmatrix}

\begin{vmatrix}
M_1 & \ldots & M_N
\end{vmatrix}$$

(observe that $\bar{z}_i^{\delta_{ui}}$ equals $\bar{z}_u$ if $i = u$ and 1 otherwise) where $M_j$ is the following matrix:

$$M_j := \begin{pmatrix}
f_1^{(1)}(x_j^{(1)}) & \ldots & x_j^{(u)} f_1^{(u)}(x_j^{(u)}) & \ldots & f_1^{(r)}(x_j^{(r)}) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
f_{Nr}^{(1)}(x_j^{(1)}) & \ldots & x_j^{(u)} f_{Nr}^{(u)}(x_j^{(u)}) & \ldots & f_{Nr}^{(r)}(x_j^{(r)}) \\
f_{Nr+u}^{(1)}(x_j^{(1)}) & \ldots & x_j^{(u)} f_{Nr+u}^{(u)}(x_j^{(u)}) & \ldots & f_{Nr+u}^{(r)}(x_j^{(r)})
\end{pmatrix}$$
Substituting, we have that:

\[
\frac{\phi_{i,N}^*}{\phi_{N}^*} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( \frac{z_{i}^{d_{u_{i}}}}{z_{i}^{x}} \right)^{f_{N,r+u}(z_{i})} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( x_{j}^{u} \right)^{f_{j}(z_{i})} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} f_{j}(z_{i}) p_{u,j,U}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( \frac{z_{i}^{d_{u_{i}}}}{z_{i}^{x}} \right)^{g_{N,r+u}(z_{i})} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left( x_{j}^{u} \right)^{g_{j}(z_{i})} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} g_{j}(z_{i}) p_{u,j,U}(x)
\]

where \( p_{u,j,U}(x) \) are certain polynomials which are independent of \( i \).

The statement follows now from the definitions of \( \psi_{u,U} \) and \( g \) and of the variables \( t \), which are a basis of the ring of symmetric functions on the variables \( x \) (the explicit expression is \( \exp(\sum_{j \geq 1} t^{(i)} f_{j}(z_{i})^{(-1)}) = \prod_{j \geq 1} (1 - \frac{z_{i}^{x}}{z_{i}^{x}})^{-1} \).

Recall that, in order to define \( \Omega_{\pm}^{m} \) and \( \Omega_{\pm}^{-m} \) \((m > 0)\), we need to choose an element \( v_{m} \) with \( \dim_{V_{+}} v_{m} V_{+} = m \). Let us set \( v_{m} \) as follows:

- for \( m \leq \frac{1}{2}(r - n) \), let \( q, p, s, t \) be integer numbers defined by \( -m = q \cdot (n - r) + p \), \( 0 \leq p < n - r \), \( p = s \cdot r + t \), \( 0 \leq t < r \). Then, we set:

  \[
v_{m} := (z_{1}^{-1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{t}^{s+1} \cdots z_{t+1}^{s+1} \cdots z_{r}^{s})
\]

- for \( m > \frac{1}{2}(r - n) \), we set:

  \[
v_{m} := (z_{1}^{-1}, z_{2}, \ldots) \cdot v_{r-n-m}^{-1}
\]

**Theorem 3.12.** Let \( U \in \text{Gr}^{m}(V) \). It holds that:

\[
\psi_{u,U}(z, t) = v_{m}^{-1} \cdot (1, \ldots, z_{u}, \ldots, 1) \cdot \sum_{i > 0} \left( \psi_{u,U}^{(i,1)}(z_{1}), \ldots, \psi_{u,U}^{(i,r)}(z_{r}) \right) p_{u,i,U}(t)
\]

where:

\[
\{(\psi_{u,U}^{(i,1)}(z_{1}), \ldots, \psi_{u,U}^{(i,r)}(z_{r})) | i > 0, 1 \leq u \leq r \}
\]

is a basis of \( U \) and \( p_{u,i,U}(t) \) are functions in \( t \).

**Proof.** The commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
v_{m}^{-1}U & \rightarrow & V/V_{+} \\
\cong & \Downarrow & \cong \\
U & \rightarrow & V/v_{m}V_{+}
\end{array}
\]
shows that \( \tau_{v^{-1}_m U}(g) = \tau_U(g) \). We thus have:

\[
\psi_{u,U}(g,z) = \psi_{u,v^{-1}_m U}(g,z) = (1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1) \cdot \sum_{i>0} (\psi_{u,U}^{(i,1)}(z_1), \ldots, \psi_{u,U}^{(i,r)}(z_r)) p_{ui,v^{-1}_m U}(t) = v^{-1}_m(1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1) \cdot \sum_{i>0} (\psi_{u,U}^{(i,1)}(z_1), \ldots, \psi_{u,U}^{(i,r)}(z_r)) p_{ui,U}(t)
\]

where \( \psi_{u,U}^{(i,j)}(z) := v_m \cdot \psi_{u,v^{-1}_m U}^{(i,j)}(z) \). In particular, observe that:

\[
\{ (\psi_{u,U}^{(i,1)}(z_1), \ldots, \psi_{u,U}^{(i,r)}(z_r)) \mid i > 0, 1 \leq u \leq r \}
\]

is a basis of \( U \).

The above results allow us to prove a generalization of the bilinear identities of the KP-hierarchy.

Since \( V \) is a finite separable \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra, it carries the metric of the trace \( \text{Tr} : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}((z)) \), which is non-degenerate. Therefore, \( V \) can be endowed with the non-degenerate pairing:

\[
T_2 : V \times V \to \mathbb{C}
\]

\[
(a, b) \mapsto \text{Res}_{z=0}(\text{Tr}(a, b)) dz
\]

**Lemma 3.13.** The pairing \( T_2 \) gives rise to an isomorphism of \( \mathbb{C} \)-schemes:

\[
R : \text{Gr}(V) \to \text{Gr}(V)
\]

\[
U \mapsto U^\perp
\]

where \( U^\perp \) denotes the orthogonal of \( U \) w.r.t. \( T_2 \).

**Proof.** The proof reduces easily to the \( r = 1 \) case; that is, \( V = \mathbb{C}((z^{1/e})) \). Then, the metric given by the trace is:

\[
\text{Tr}(z^{i/e}, z^{j/e}) = \begin{cases} 
  e & \text{if } i + j = 0 \\
  ez & \text{if } i + j = e \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i, j < e
\]

Taking into account that \( V \) is a \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra, one has that:

\[
T_2(z^{i/e}, z^{j/e}) = \begin{cases} 
  e & \text{if } i + j = -e \\
  0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases} \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathbb{Z}
\]

A straightforward calculation shows that \( U^\perp \) belongs to \( \text{Gr}(V) \) for any \( U \in \text{Gr}(V) \); that is, we have obtained the morphism \( R \) ([MP], §5). \( \square \)
It is worth pointing out the following identities:
\[ R(\text{Gr}^m(V)) = \text{Gr}^{r-n-m}(V) \]
\[ R^* \text{Det}_V \simeq \text{Det}_V \]
and \((g \cdot U) \perp = g^{-1} \cdot U \perp\) for \(U \in \text{Gr}(V)\) and \(g \in \mathcal{J}(\hat{C}_V)\). Further, for \(m \neq \frac{1}{2}(r-n)\) and \(U \in \text{Gr}^m(V)\), it holds that:
\[ R^* \Omega^m_+ = \Omega^{r-n-m}_+ \]
\[ \tau_{U \perp}(g) = \tau_U(g^{-1}) \]

Remark 6. The latter two identities also hold for \(m = \frac{1}{2}(r-n)\) whenever one can take \(v_m\) such that \(v_m^2 = z^{-1} \cdot z\) (e.g. when \(e_i\) is odd for all \(i\)). Since this is not possible in general, we will omit this case. However, although with different explicit expressions, our techniques can be applied to it.

**Definition 3.14.** The \(u\)-th adjoint Baker-Akhiezer function of a point \(U \in \text{Gr}(V)\) is defined by:
\[ \psi^*_u,U(z, t) := \psi_{u,U \perp}(z, -t) \]

Note that one has the following identity:
\[ \psi^*_{u,U}(z_j, t) = \exp\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t^{(j)}_i}{z_j^i}\right) \tau_{U \perp}(t - [z_j]) / \tau_U(t) \]

**Theorem 3.15** (Bilinear Identity). Let \(U, U' \in \text{Gr}^m(V)\) \((m \neq \frac{1}{2}(r-n))\) be two rational points of the same index.

Then, \(U = U'\) if and only if the following conditions hold:
\[ T_2\left(\frac{z \cdot \psi_{u,U}(z, t)}{1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1}, \frac{\psi^*_{v,U'}(z, t')}{1, \ldots, z_v, \ldots, 1}\right) = 0 \quad 1 \leq u, v \leq r \]

**Proof.** Since \(T_2\) is non-degenerate we know that a vector \(w \in V\) lies in \(U' \in \text{Gr}^m(V)\) if and only if:
\[ T_2\left(w, \frac{v_{r-n-m} \psi^*_{v,U'}(z, t')}{1, \ldots, z_v, \ldots, 1}\right) = 0 \quad 1 \leq v \leq r \]
Recalling that \(v_m v_{r-n-m} = z^{-1}z\), and the properties of the trace, the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.12. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.16.** Let \(U, U'\) be two rational points of \(U, U' \in \text{Gr}^m(V)\) \((m \neq \frac{1}{2}(r-n))\). Then, \(U = U'\) if and only if:
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{r} \text{Res}_{z=0} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{e_i} \left( \psi^*_{u,U}(z_j^{1/e_i}, t) \psi_{v,U'}(z_j^{1/e_i}, t') \right) \frac{dz}{z} \right) = 0 \]
for all $1 \leq u, v \leq r$ ($\xi_i$ is a primitive $e_i$-th root of 1 in $\mathbb{C}$).

Proof. It suffices to make explicit the condition of the previous theorem. The very definition of the metric $T_2$ yields:
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left( \frac{\text{Tr} \left( z_i^{1-\delta_{uv}} \psi_{u,v}^{(i)}(z_i,t) \psi_{v,u}^{*,(i)}(z_i',t') \right)}{z} \right) \frac{dz}{z}
\]
and the claim follows since the trace map of $V$ as a $\mathbb{C}((z))$-algebra is given by:
\[
\text{Tr}: V = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r \to \mathbb{C}((z))
\]
\[(f_1(z_1), \ldots, f_r(z_r)) \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{e_1} f_1(\xi_1^j z^{1/e_1}) + \cdots + \sum_{j=1}^{e_r} f_r(\xi_r^j z^{1/e_r})
\]

This set of equations is equivalent to a set of differential equations for the $\tau$-functions.

**Definition 3.17.** Let $\mathbf{n}$ denote the partition of $n$ given by $\{e_1, \ldots, e_r\}$. The $\mathbf{n}$-KP hierarchy is the following set of equations:
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} \left( \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{e_i} (\xi_i^j z^{1/e_i})^{1-\delta_{uv}} \psi_{u,v}^{(i)}(\xi_i^j z^{1/e_i},t) \psi_{v,u}^{*,(i)}(\xi_i^j z^{1/e_i},t')} {z} \right) \frac{dz}{z} = 0
\]
for all $1 \leq u, v \leq r$ ($\xi_i$ is a primitive $e_i$-th root of 1 in $\mathbb{C}$).

It would be interesting to compare the other hierarchies with those given in [B], which are expressed in terms of pseudodifferential operators and representation theory of infinite dimensional Lie algebras.

**3. A. Subschemes of the Grassmannian.** For each subset $i, = \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, r\}$, let us denote by $V_i$ the vector space $\prod_{j \in i} V_j$, $(V_i)_+ = \prod_{j \notin i} (V_j)_+$, by $V^i$ the vector space $\prod_{j \notin i} V_j$ and by $(V^i)_+ = \prod_{j \notin i} V^i_+$. One can consider the following morphism:
\[
\text{Gr}(V_i) \times \text{Gr}(V^i) \xrightarrow{\gamma_i} \text{Gr}(V)
\]
\[(W, W') \mapsto W \times W' \subset V
\]

**Definition 3.19.** A subspace $U$ is said “decomposable” if it lies in the image of $\gamma_i$ for some $i$. That is, there exists a subset $i$, and subspaces $W \in \text{Gr}(V_i)$, $W' \in \text{Gr}(V^i)$ such that $U = W \times W'$.

The “decomposable Grassmannian” of $V$ is the subscheme of $\text{Gr}(V)$ whose points are the decomposable subspaces, that is:
\[
\text{Gr}^{\text{dec}}(V) = \bigcup_{i, \subseteq \{1, \ldots, r\}} \text{Im} \gamma_i
\]
Proposition 3.20. The morphism 3.18 is a closed immersion for any \(i_*.\) In particular, \(Gr^{dec}(V)\) is a closed subscheme of \(Gr(V)\).

**Proof.** The map is clearly injective. If \(U\) denotes an \(S\)-valued point of \(Gr(V)(S)\), one has to show that the subset of \(S\) of those \(s \in S\) such that \(U_s\) decomposes as a product of subspaces is a closed subscheme of \(S\).

Let \(p_i\) (resp. \(p^i\)) denote the projection \(V \to V_i\) (resp. \(V \to V^i\)). Then, there is a natural injective morphism:

\[
U \longrightarrow p_i(U) \times p^i(U) \subseteq V_i \times V^i = V
\]

The desired subset consists exactly of those points where \(p_i(U) \times p^i(U) \subseteq U\), which is a closed subscheme. \(\square\)

One can compute explicitly the equations of all these closed subschemes of \(Gr(V)\):

Theorem 3.21. Let \(U\) be a closed point of \(Gr^m(V)\) \((m \neq \frac{1}{2}(r-n))\). It holds that:

1. \(U \in \text{Im} \gamma_i\) if and only if its Baker-Akhiezer function satisfies the following equations:

\[
\text{Res}_{z=0} \left( \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \text{Tr} \left( z_i^{1-\delta_{iu}-\delta_{iv}} \psi_{u,U}(z_i, t) \psi_{v,U}^*(z_i, t') \right) \right) \frac{dz}{z} = 0
\]

for all \(1 \leq u, v \leq r\).

2. \(U \in Gr^{dec}(V)\) if and only if its Baker-Akhiezer function satisfies the following equations:

\[
\prod_{i \subseteq \{1, \ldots, r\}} \text{Res}_{z=0} \left( \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \text{Tr} \left( z_i^{1-\delta_{iu}-\delta_{iv}} \psi_{u,U}(z_i, t) \psi_{v,U}^*(z_i, t') \right) \right) \frac{dz}{z} = 0
\]

for all \(1 \leq u, v \leq r\).

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.15 and the Bilinear Identity for the KP hierarchy. \(\square\)

4. **Algebro-geometric points of \(Gr(V)\)**

The goal of this section consists of giving an explicit characterization of some points of \(Gr(V)\) defined by geometric data (see [AB, LM1, LM2, MP]). More precisely, we wish to define a subscheme of \(Gr(V)\) representing the Hurwitz functor of pointed coverings with formal parameters at the marked points.
In fact, we restrict ourselves to the following type of coverings. Let \( \pi : Y \to X \) be a finite morphism between proper curves over \( \mathbb{C} \) where \( Y \) is reduced and \( X \) integral. Let \( x \in X \) be a smooth point. Define \( A := H^0(X - x, \mathcal{O}_X) \), \( B := H^0(Y - \pi^{-1}(x), \mathcal{O}_Y) \), \( \Sigma_X \) the function field of \( A \) and \( \Sigma_Y \) the total quotient ring of \( B \), and let \( \text{Tr} \) denote the trace of \( \Sigma_Y \) as a finite \( \Sigma_X \)-algebra.

The triple \((Y, X, x)\) is said to have the property \((\ast)\) when \( \text{Tr}(B) \subseteq A \).

Let us observe that every covering \( \pi : Y \to X \) with either \( X \) smooth or \( \pi \) flat has the property \((\ast)\).

From now on, we set the numerical invariants \( n \) and \( n = \{e_1, \ldots, e_r\} \) (with \( e_1 + \cdots + e_r = n \)), which define the \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra \( V \).

**Definition 4.1.** The Hurwitz functor \( \mathcal{H}^\infty \) of pointed coverings of curves of degree \( n \) with a fibre of type \( (e_1, \ldots, e_r) \) and a formal parameter along the fibre is the contravariant functor on the category of \( \mathbb{C} \)-schemes:

\[
\mathcal{H}^\infty : \left\{ \text{category of } \mathbb{C} \text{-schemes} \right\} \rightsquigarrow \left\{ \text{category of sets} \right\}
\]

that associates with a \( \mathbb{C} \)-scheme \( S \) the set of equivalence classes of data \( \{Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_y\} \) where:

1. \( p_Y : Y \to S \) is a proper and flat morphism whose fibres are geometrically reduced curves.
2. \( p_X : X \to S \) is a proper and flat morphism whose fibres are geometrically integral curves.
3. \( \pi : Y \to X \) is a finite morphism of \( S \)-schemes of degree \( n \) such that its fibres over closed points \( s \in S \) have the property \((\ast)\).
4. \( x : S \to X \) is a rational \( S \)-point such that the divisor \( x(s) \) is a smooth point of \( X := p_X^{-1}(s) \) for all closed points \( s \in S \).
5. \( \bar{y} = \{y_1, \ldots, y_r\} \) is a set of disjoint smooth sections of \( p_Y \) such that the Cartier divisor \( \pi^{-1}(x(S)) \) is \( e_1 y_1(S) + \cdots + e_r y_r(S) \).
6. For all closed point \( s \in S \) and each irreducible component of the fibre \( Y_s \), there is at least one point \( y_j(s) \) lying on that component.
7. \( t_x \) is a formal parameter along \( x(S) \):
   \[
t_x : \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X, x(s)} \sim \mathcal{O}_S[[z]]
   \]
8. \( t_y = \{t_{y_1}, \ldots, t_{y_r}\} \) are formal parameters along \( y_1(S), \ldots, y_r(S) \) such that \( \pi^*(t_x)_{y_j(S)} = t_{y_j} \).
(9) \{Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_{\bar{y}}\} and \{Y', X', \pi', x', \bar{y}', t_{x'}, t_{\bar{y}'}\} are said to be equivalent when there is a commutative diagram of \(S\)-schemes:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Y & \sim & Y' \\
\pi & \downarrow & \pi' \\
X & \sim & X'
\end{array}
\]

compatible with all the data.

Now, a Krichever morphism can be defined for this functor as the morphism of functors:

\[
(4.2) \quad K: H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \longrightarrow \text{Gr}(V)
\]

given by:

\[
K(Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_{\bar{y}}) = t_{\bar{y}} \left( \lim_{i} (p_Y)_* O_Y(i\pi^{-1}(x)) \right) \subset V \hat{\otimes}_C O_S
\]

where \(O_Y(i\pi^{-1}(x))\) is the sheaf associated with the Cartier divisor \(i\pi^{-1}(x)\) and \(t_{\bar{y}}\) is understood as the isomorphism induced by:

\[
\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y}(S) \times \cdots \times \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{Y,y_r}(S) \simeq O_S[[z_1]] \times \cdots \times O_S[[z_r]] \simeq V_+ \hat{\otimes}_C O_S
\]

Note that for a closed point \((Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_{\bar{y}}) \in H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)\) these definitions yield:

\[
K(Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_{\bar{y}}) = t_{\bar{y}} (H^0(Y - \pi^{-1}(x), O_Y)) \subset V
\]

Let \(\mathcal{M}^\infty(r)\) be the moduli scheme representing the classes of sets of data \((Y; y_1, \ldots, y_r; t_1, \ldots, t_r)\) of geometrically reduced curves with \(r\) marked pairwise distinct smooth points \(\{y_1, \ldots, y_r\}\) and formal parameters \(\{t_1, \ldots, t_r\}\) at these points and such that any irreducible component contains at least one of the marked points. Following the arguments of [MP] for \(\mathcal{M}^\infty(1)\), we can prove that the Krichever morphism induces a closed immersion:

\[
\mathcal{M}^\infty(r) \xrightarrow{K} \text{Gr}(V)
\]

\[
(Y; y_1, \ldots, y_r; t_1, \ldots, t_r) \mapsto t_{\bar{y}} (H^0(Y - \{y_1, \ldots, y_r\}, O_Y)) \subset V
\]

whose image is characterized by the following:

**Theorem 4.3.** A point \(U \in \text{Gr}(V)(S)\) lies in \(K(\mathcal{M}^\infty(r))\) if and only if \(U \cdot U \subseteq U\) and \(O_S \subseteq U\), where \(\cdot\) denotes the product of \(V\).

**Proof.** The direct proof is trivial. Let us prove the converse. Consider the filtration of \(V = V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r = \mathbb{C}((z_1)) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}((z_r))\) defined by:

\[
\ldots \subset V(m-1) \subset V(m) \subset V(m+1) \subset \ldots
\]

where \(V(m) := z^{-m}V_+\).
Then, any point \( U \in \text{Gr}(V)(R) \) (\( R \) being a \( \mathbb{C} \)-algebra) carries a natural filtration \( \{ U(m) := U \cap V(m) \}_{m \geq 0} \). Let us denote by \( U \) the corresponding graded \( R \)-module. If \( U \) satisfies \( U \cdot U \subseteq U \) and \( R \subseteq U \), then \( U \) is also a graded \( R \)-algebra.

It is easy to check that \( Y = \text{Proj} \ U \) is an algebraic curve over \( R \).

Observe that the filtrations induced by \( z_1, \ldots, z_r \) give rise to pairwise disjoint sections of \( Y \) (smooth and of degree 1). The other geometric data are constructed using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 6.4 of [MP].

Let us denote the trace map of the separable \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra \( V \) by:

\[ \text{Tr}: V \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}((z)) \]

which is a \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-linear map. For a point \( U \in \text{Gr}(V) \), let us denote by \( \text{Tr}(U) \subseteq \mathbb{C}((z)) \) the image of \( U \) under the trace map.

Note that for a point \( Y := (Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_{\bar{y}}) \) of \( \mathcal{H}_\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \), we have a commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
B & \longrightarrow & V \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}((z))
\end{array}
\]

where \( B := K(Y) = t_{\bar{y}}(H^0(Y - \pi^{-1}(x), \mathcal{O}_Y)) \) and \( A := t_x(H^0(X - x, \mathcal{O}_X)) \). Then, the restriction of the trace of \( V \) as a \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra to \( B \) coincides with the restriction of the trace of \( \Sigma_Y \) as a \( \Sigma_X \)-algebra to \( B \). Therefore, both trace maps will be denoted by \( \text{Tr} \).

**Lemma 4.4.** Let \( B \) be a \( S \)-valued point of \( \text{Gr}(V) \) such that \( \text{Tr}(B) \subseteq B \).

It holds that:

\[ \text{Tr}(B) \in \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}((z)))(S) \]

and \( \text{Tr}(B) = B \cap \mathcal{O}_S((z)) \).

**Proof.** By the local nature of the hypotheses, we may assume that \( S \) is affine, \( S = \text{Spec} \ R \). Since \( B \in \text{Gr}(V) \), there exists \( m \) such that \( \hat{V}_S/(B + z^m \cdot \hat{V}_S^+) = (0) \) and that \( B \cap z^m \cdot \hat{V}_S^+ \) is a free \( R \)-module of finite rank.

Observe that \( \text{Tr}(B) \) is quasicoherent and that \( \text{Tr}(B)_s \subseteq \hat{V}_s \) for all closed point \( s \in S \). So, in order to show that \( \text{Tr}(B) \in \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}((z))) \) it suffices to check that \( R((z))/(\text{Tr}(B) + z^m \cdot R[[z]]) = (0) \) and that \( \text{Tr}(B) \cap z^m \cdot R[[z]] \) is free of finite rank (see [AMP]).

For the first claim, note that the trace gives rise to a surjection:

\[ \hat{V}_S/(B + z^m \cdot \hat{V}_S^+) \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} R((z))/(\text{Tr}(B) + z^m \cdot R[[z]]) \]
The second claim follows from the fact that the composition:

\[ \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \cap z^m \cdot R[[z]] \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B} \cap z^m \cdot \hat{V}_S^+ \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr}} \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \cap z^m \cdot R[[z]] \]

is the identity map because \( \text{Tr}(z^m \cdot \hat{V}_S^+) = z^m \text{Tr}(\hat{V}_S^+) = z^m \cdot R[[z]]. \)

The second part of the statement follows easily from the \( R((z)) \)-linearity of \( \text{Tr} \) and from \( \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \).

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \( \mathcal{Y} := (Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_{\bar{y}}) \) be an \( S \)-valued point of \( \mathcal{H}_\infty^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \).

It holds that:

\[ K(X, x, t_x) = K(\mathcal{Y}) \cap \mathcal{O}_S((z)) = \text{Tr}(K(\mathcal{Y})) \]

**Proof.** As in the previous lemma we may assume that \( S \) is affine, \( S = \text{Spec } R \). For the point \( \mathcal{Y} \), define \( \mathcal{B} := K(\mathcal{Y}) = t_{\bar{y}}(H^0(Y - \pi^{-1}(x), O_Y)) \)
and \( A := K(X, x, t_x) = t_x(H^0(X - x, O_X)). \)

From the commutative diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B} & \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Tr}} & \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\hat{V}_S & \xrightarrow{\text{Tr}} & R((z)) \\
\end{array}
\]

one has that \( A \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cap R((z)) \). The inclusion \( \mathcal{B} \cap R((z)) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \) implies that \( \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B} \cap R((z))) \subseteq \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \). Having in mind that \( \text{Tr} \) is \( R((z)) \)-linear, one concludes that \( \mathcal{B} \cap R((z)) \subseteq \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \). Summing up, we have proved that:

\[ A \subseteq \mathcal{B} \cap R((z)) \subseteq \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \]

Since \( \mathcal{B} \) is a finite \( A \)-module, so \( \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \) does. Bearing in mind the compatibility of the trace w.r.t. base changes, the above inclusion implies that \( A_s \subseteq \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B})_s \) for all closed points \( s \in S \). Now, recalling that the data \( \mathcal{Y} \) satisfies the property \((*)\) at closed points, one obtains that \( A_s \subseteq \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B})_s \) for all \( s \) and that, therefore:

\[ A = \mathcal{B} \cap R((z)) = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \]

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 4.6.** The Krichever morphism 4.2 is injective.

**Proof.** We will keep the same notations as in the previous lemma. It suffices to show that the geometric data \( \mathcal{Y} := (Y, X, \pi, x, \bar{y}, t_x, t_{\bar{y}}) \) can be recovered from the point \( \mathcal{B} = K(\mathcal{Y}) \in \text{Gr}(V) \). Observe that \( \mathcal{B} \) determines uniquely the data \( (Y, \bar{y}, t_{\bar{y}}) \).

Lemma 4.5 shows that:

\[ A = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) = \mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{O}_S((z)) \in \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}((z)))(S) \]
and note that this is an \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-subalgebra of \( \mathcal{O}_S((z)) \) because \( \mathcal{B} \) is an \( \mathcal{O}_S \)-subalgebra of \( V \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \).

Theorem 4.3 shows that \( A = \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \) corresponds to the point \((X,x,t_x)\) of \( \mathcal{M}^\infty(1)(S) \). Since the inclusion \( \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \) is compatible with the filtrations induced by those of \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \) and \( V \), respectively, it gives rise to a morphism \( \pi : Y \to X \), which is finite and such that \( \pi^{-1}(x) = \bar{y} \) and \((\pi^*t_x)_y = t_y^j\).

All the above results allow us to give characterizations of the functor \( \mathcal{H}^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \) as a subset of \( \mathcal{M}^\infty(r) \subset \text{Gr}(V) \).

**Corollary 4.7.** Let \( \mathcal{B} \) be an \( S \)-valued point of \( \mathcal{M}^\infty(r) \). The point \( \mathcal{B} \) belongs to \( \mathcal{H}^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)(S) \) if and only if:

\[
\mathcal{B} \cap \mathcal{O}_S((z)) \in \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}((z)))(S)
\]

**Proof.** The first part of the proof follows from Lemma 4.5. The converse is a consequence of the proof of Theorem 4.6. \( \square \)

**Theorem 4.8.** Let \( \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{M}^\infty(r) \subset \text{Gr}(V) \) be an \( S \)-valued point. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. \( \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)(S) \).
2. \( \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \).

**Proof.** \( (1) \Rightarrow (2) \) is a consequence of Lemma 4.5.

\( (2) \Rightarrow (1) \) follows from Lemma 4.4 and Corollary 4.7. \( \square \)

**Remark 7.** Our approach to the Hurwitz functor is closely related to the Li and Mulase study of the category of morphisms of algebraic curves ([LM1]). Those authors study the equivalence of some geometrical data (essentially a pointed covering with parameters and a vector bundle upstairs) and certain triples \((A,B,W)\) of points of the Grassmannian (where \( A \) is related to the curve downstairs, \( B \) to the curve upstairs and \( W \) to the vector bundle). From their point of view, we are restricting ourselves to the case when the vector bundle is the sheaf of algebraic functions on the curve upstairs and the covering has the property \((*)\). Then, roughly speaking, we prove that the triple \((A,B,W)\) is determined by \( \mathcal{B} \).

**Theorem 4.9.** The functor \( \mathcal{H}^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \) is representable by a closed subscheme \( \mathcal{H}^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \) of \( \text{Gr}(V) \).

**Proof.** Recall that Theorem 4.6 states that \( \mathcal{H}^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \) is a subfunctor of \( \mathcal{M}^\infty(r) \). Our task consists of proving that it is a closed subfunctor, since \( \mathcal{M}^\infty(r) \) is closed in \( \text{Gr}(V) \) ([MP]).

Theorem 4.8 reduces the proof to checking that for \( \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{M}^\infty(r)(S) \) the condition \( \text{Tr}(\mathcal{B}) \subseteq \mathcal{B} \) is fulfilled on a closed subscheme of \( S \). Recall
that such a condition is closed because $B \in \text{Gr}(V)(S)$ and $\text{Tr}(B)$ is quasi-coherent.

**Remark 8.** Note that the points of $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ corresponding to coverings where the curve upstairs is not connected are precisely the points of the intersection $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \cap \text{Gr}^{\text{dec}}(V)$ (see Definition 3.19).

**Theorem 4.10.** Let $B \in \mathcal{M}^\infty(r) \subset \text{Gr}^m(V)$ ($m \neq \frac{1}{2}(r-n)$) be a closed point. Let $u_1, \ldots, u_r$ be integer numbers defined by $v_m = z_1^{u_1} \cdots z_r^{u_r}$.

Then, $B \in H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ if and only if the following “bilinear identities” are satisfied:

$$\text{Res}_{z=0} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r \left( \sum_{i=1}^{e_j} \psi_{u,B}^{(j)}(\xi_j z^{1/e_j}, t) \right) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^r \left( \sum_{i=1}^{e_j} \psi_{v,B}^{* (j)}(\xi_j z^{1/e_j}, s) \right) \right) \frac{dz}{z} = 0$$

for all $1 \leq u, v \leq r$.

**Proof.** Let us observe that from the bilinear identity given in Theorem 3.15, the condition $\text{Tr}(B) \subseteq B$ is equivalent to the conditions:

$$T_2 \left( \text{Tr} \left( \frac{v_m^{\psi_{u,B}(z, t)}}{(1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1)} \right) \cdot \frac{v_r-n-m^{\psi_{v,B}^*(z, s)}}{(1, \ldots, z_v, \ldots, 1)} \right) = 0$$

for all $1 \leq u, v \leq r$. Recalling the definition of $T_2$ and the explicit expression of the trace of $V$ as a $\mathbb{C}((z))$-algebra, one concludes. \(\square\)

**Remark 9.** Let us observe that the bilinear identities does not characterize the points of $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ in $\text{Gr}^m(V)$; in fact, a point of $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ is characterized by these bilinear equations and the equations characterizing $\mathcal{M}^\infty(r) \subset \text{Gr}^m(V)$ (see [MP]) which are not a hierarchy of soliton equations. This is clarified in Theorem 4.14.

**Theorem 4.11.** A closed point $B \in \mathcal{M}^\infty(r)$ ($B \notin \text{Gr}^{\frac{1}{2}(r-n)}(V)$) is a point of $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ if and only if its $\tau$-function fulfills the following set of equations:

$$
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \left( \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \epsilon_k^{(1-\delta_{u_j-\alpha_1+\beta_1})} D_{\lambda_j,\alpha_1}(-\delta_{\lambda_j}) p_{\beta_1}(-\delta_{\lambda_j}) D_{\lambda_j}^\delta(-\delta_{\lambda_j}) \cdot \epsilon_k^{(1-\delta_{u_k-\alpha_2+\beta_2})} D_{\mu_k,\alpha_2}(-\delta_{\mu_k}) p_{\beta_2}(-\delta_{\mu_k}) D_{\mu_k}^\delta(-\delta_{\mu_k}) \tau_{B_{uv}}(t) \cdot \tau_{B_{uv}}(s) = 0
\right)
$$

for all Young diagrams $\lambda_1, \mu_1, \ldots, \lambda_r, \mu_r$ and $1 \leq u, v \leq r$. Here $D_{\lambda,\alpha}$ and $D_{\lambda}^\delta$ are differential operators whose explicit expression will be given in the proof. The third sum runs over the set of 4-tuples $\{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\}$ of non-negative integers such that $\frac{u_j-\delta_{\alpha_1+\beta_1}}{e_j} + \frac{1-u_k-\delta_{\alpha_2+\beta_2}}{e_k} = 0$, and $u_i$ are given by $v_m = z_1^{u_1} \cdots z_r^{u_r}$. 


Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 5.4 of [MP] and is based on that given by Fay in [Fa]. To begin, let us state some results to be used.

First, let $\chi_\lambda$ be the Schur polynomial corresponding to $\lambda$, $t$ (resp. $s$) be the set of variables $(t_1, t_2, \ldots)$ (resp. $(s_1, s_2, \ldots)$), and $\partial_t$ denotes $(\frac{\partial}{\partial t_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_2}, \ldots)$. From the fact $\chi_\lambda(\partial_t)\chi_\mu(t)|_{t=0} = \delta_{\lambda, \mu}$ we have that a function $f(t) \in \mathbb{C}\{\{t\}\}$ admits an expansion of the type:

$$f(t) = (\sum_\lambda \chi_\lambda(t)\chi_\lambda(\partial_s)f(s)|_{s=0}$$

where $\lambda$ runs over the set of Young diagrams.

Second, recall Pieri’s formula ([M], formula I.5.16):

$$\chi_\lambda(t)p_m(t) = \sum_{\mu - \lambda = (m)} \chi_\mu(t)$$

where the condition $\mu - \lambda = (m)$ means that the skew diagram $\mu - \lambda$ is a horizontal $m$-strip and $p_m(t)$ is defined by the identity $p_m(t) = \chi_{(m)}(t)$ or, equivalently, by:

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} p_k(t)z^k = \exp(\sum_{k \geq 1} t_kz^k)$$

Third, the following computation is useful:

$$\chi_\lambda(\partial_t)(p_m(t)f(t))|_{t=0} = \chi_\lambda(\partial_t)(\sum_\mu p_m(t)\chi_\mu(t)\chi_\mu(\partial_s)f(s)|_{s=0})|_{t=0} =$$

$$= \chi_\lambda(\partial_t)(\sum_\mu \sum_{\gamma - \mu = (m)} \chi_\gamma(t)\chi_\mu(\partial_s)f(s)|_{s=0})|_{t=0} =$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda - \mu = (m)} \chi_\mu(\partial_s)f(s)|_{s=0}$$

and let us define the operator $D_{\lambda, m}(\partial_t)$ by the following identity:

$$D_{\lambda, m}(\partial_t)f(t) := \sum_{\lambda - \mu = (m)} \chi_\mu(\partial_t)f(t)|_{t=0}$$

Finally, observe that 3.5 allows us to write down the following explicit expression for $\psi_{u,B}^{(j)}(z_j, t)$:

$$\psi_{u,B}^{(j)}(z_j, t) = \exp\left(-\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{t_i^{(j)}}{z_j^i} \frac{\tau_{B_1}(t + [z_j])}{\tau_B(t)}\right) =$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{p_i(-t^{(j)})}{z_j^i} \frac{\tau_{B_1}(\partial_t^{(j)})z_j^i}{\tau_B(t)}\right)$$

(4.13)
since \( \exp\left( \sum_{i \geq 1} z_j \tilde{\delta}_{i(j)} \right) \tau_{B_{uj}}(t) = \tau_{B_{uj}}(t + [z_j]) \). Similarly, one has that:

\[
\psi^*_{v,B}(z_k, t) = \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{p_i(t(k))}{z_k^i} \right) \left( \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{p_i(-\tilde{\delta}_{i(k)})}{z_k^i} \right) \tau_{B_{kv}}(t) / \tau_B(t)
\]

We are now ready to prove the statement. The bilinear identity of Theorem 4.10 says that the coefficient of \( z^{-1} \) of a certain function vanishes. Note that the coefficient of \( z_j^m \) in \( \psi_{u,B}^{(j)}(\xi_j z^{1/e_j}, t) \) is equal to \( \xi_j^{m} \) times the coefficient of \( z_j^m \) in \( \psi_{u,B}^{(j)}(z_j, t) \). From formulae 4.12 and 4.13, the residue condition reads:

\[
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq e_j} \left( \frac{p_{\alpha_1}(-t(j)) p_{\beta_1}(-\tilde{\delta}_{l(j)})}{\xi_j^{(u_j - u_j + \alpha_1 - \beta_1)}} \tau_{B_{uj}}(t) \cdot \frac{p_{\alpha_2}(-t(k)) p_{\beta_2}(-\tilde{\delta}_{k(j)})}{\xi_k^{(u_k - 1 + \delta_k + \alpha_2 - \beta_2)}} \tau_{B_{kv}}(s) \right) = 0
\]

where the third sum runs over the set of 4-tuples \( \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2\} \) of non-negative integers such that \( \frac{u_j - u_j - \alpha_1 - \beta_1}{e_j} + \frac{1 - u_k - \delta_k + \alpha_2 - \beta_2}{e_k} = 0 \).

This is a function, \( F \), on \( 2r \) sets of variables; namely, \( t = (t^{(1)}, \ldots, t^{(r)}) \) and \( s = (s^{(1)}, \ldots, s^{(r)}) \). Its vanishing is equivalent to the vanishing of:

\[
\left( \prod_{1 \leq a \leq r} \chi_{\lambda a}(-\tilde{\delta}_{t^{(a)}}) \chi_{\mu a}(-\tilde{\delta}_{s^{(a)}}) \right) F_{|t=s=0} = 0
\]

for all Young diagrams \( \lambda_1, \mu_1, \ldots, \lambda_r, \mu_r \).

Using the facts discussed at the beginning of the proof, we arrive at the following identity:

\[
\sum_{1 \leq j \leq r} \sum_{1 \leq k \leq r} \sum_{1 \leq l \leq e_j} \left( \xi_j^{(u_j - \delta_k - \alpha_1 + \beta_1)} D_{\lambda_j, \alpha_1}(-\tilde{\delta}_{l(j)} p_{\beta_1}(-\tilde{\delta}_{l(j)}) \tilde{D}_{\lambda_j}(-\tilde{\delta}_t) \tau_{B_{uj}}(t) \right) \cdot
\]

\[
\cdot \xi_k^{(1 - u_k - \delta_k + \alpha_2 - \beta_2)} D_{\mu_k, \alpha_2}(-\tilde{\delta}_{k(j)} p_{\beta_2}(-\tilde{\delta}_{k(j)} \tilde{D}_{\mu_k}(-\tilde{\delta}_s) \tau_{B_{kv}}(s)) \right) = 0
\]

where \( \tilde{D}_{\lambda}(-\tilde{\delta}_t) \) is the operator \( \prod_{a \neq j} \chi_{\lambda a}(-\tilde{\delta}_{t^{(a)}}) |_{t^{(a)}=0} \).

**Remark 10.** The technique used in the above proof allows one to translate some of our previous results, such as Theorem 3.21, into a set of differential equations.

**Theorem 4.14.** Let \( U \) be a closed point of \( \text{Gr}^m(V) \) (\( m \neq \frac{1}{2}(r - n) \)) and let \( \{u_1, \ldots, u_r\} \) be integer numbers defined by \( v_m = z_1^{u_1} \cdots z_r^{u_r} \).

Then, \( U \) is a point of \( \mathcal{H}^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \) if and only if its Baker-Akhiezer function satisfies the following differential equations:

- the equations of Theorem 4.11;
the equations:

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum \left( D_{\lambda_j, \alpha_1}(-\partial_{l(j)})p_{\beta_1}(\partial_{l(j)})\tilde{D}_{\lambda_j}^j(-\partial_{l}) \cdot D_{\mu_j, \alpha_2}(-\partial_{l'(j)})p_{\beta_2}(\partial_{l'(j)})\tilde{D}_{\mu_j}^j(-\partial_{l'}) \cdot D_{\nu_j, \alpha_3}(\partial_{l'(j)})p_{\beta_3}(\partial_{l'(j)})\tilde{D}_{\nu_j}^j(\partial_{l'}) \right) \tau_{U_{l,j}}(t)\tau_{U_{l',j'}}(t')\tau_{U_{l''}}(t'') = 0 \]

for all \( 1 \leq u, v, w \leq r \), all Young diagrams \( \lambda, \mu, \nu \) and all \( t, t', t'' \) (the inner sum runs over the 6-tuples \( \{\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \beta_3\} \) such that \(-\alpha_1 + \beta_1 - \alpha_2 + \beta_2 - \alpha_3 + \beta_3 = \delta_{u_j} + \delta_{v_j} + \delta_{w_j} - u_j \). The equations:

\[ \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum \left( D_{\lambda_j, \alpha}(\partial_{l(j)})p_{\beta}(\partial_{l(j)})\tilde{D}_{\lambda_j}^j(\partial_{l}) \right) \tau_{U_{j,u}}(t) = 0 \]

for all \( 1 \leq u \leq r \), all Young diagrams \( \lambda \) and all \( t \) (the inner sum runs over the pairs \( (\alpha, \beta) \) such that \(-\alpha + \beta = u_j + \delta_{u_j} - 1 \)).

Proof. It will suffice to check that the second and third sets of differential equations are the equations characterizing \( M^\infty(r) \) in \( \text{Gr}(V) \). From Theorem 4.3, we know that \( M^\infty(r) \) consists of those \( U \in \text{Gr}(V) \) such that \( U \cdot U \subseteq U \) and \( \mathbb{C} \subset U \).

Theorem 3.12 implies that these two conditions are equivalent to:

\[ \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Tr} \left( \frac{v_m \psi_{u,U}(z, t)\psi_{v,U}(z, t')\psi_{w,U}(z, t'')}{(1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, z_v, \ldots, z_w, \ldots, 1)} \right) dz = 0 \]

and

\[ \text{Res}_{z=0} \text{Tr} \left( \frac{v_{r-n-m} \psi_{u,U}^*(z, t)}{(1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1)} \right) dz = 0 \]

respectively. Proceeding as in the previous proof, one concludes. \( \square \)

5. Curves with prescribed involutive series

Theorems 4.8, 4.10, 4.11 completely characterize those algebraic curves that are a finite covering of another curve with invariants \((n; e_1, \ldots, e_r)\). We shall now apply these results to characterize the existence of algebraic 1-dimensional series on a curve with prescribed numerical invariants. However, an explicit resolution of this problem would require us to compute the Baker-Akhiezer function of \( \text{Tr}(B) \) as a point of \( \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}((z))) \) for \( B \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r) \).

Let \( Y \) be a smooth algebraic curve of genus \( g \) over \( \mathbb{C} \). An involutive algebraic series of genus \( g_0 \) and degree \( n \) over \( Y \) is the algebraic series \( \gamma_n^1 \) defined by a finite morphism:

\[
\pi: Y \longrightarrow X
\]
where $X$ is a smooth algebraic curve of genus $g_0$:
\[
\gamma^1_n = \{\pi^{-1}(x) \mid x \in X\} \subset S^n Y
\]
or, equivalently:
\[
\gamma^1_n \equiv \Gamma \pi \hookrightarrow X \times Y
\]
($\Gamma$, being the graph of the morphism $\pi$).

If $X$ is the projective line ($g_0 = 0$), then the algebraic series defined
by $\pi$ is a linear series, $\gamma^1_n$, of degree $n$.

For instance, a curve with a linear series $\gamma^1_2$ is a hyperelliptic curve; a
curve of genus $g > 3$ with a linear series $\gamma^1_3$ is a trigonal curve; a curve
with an algebraic series $\gamma^1_2$ of genus $g_0 > 0$ is called a $g_0$-hyperelliptic
curve ([A]).

The simplest case is the moduli space of curves of genus $g$ with a
linear series $\gamma^1_n$ (this problem is trivial for big enough $n$). Let us denote
by $H^\infty(g, 0; e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ the subfunctor of $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ consisting of
coverings of the type:
\[
\pi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1
\]
where $Y$ is of arithmetic genus $g$, $x \in \mathbb{P}_1$ and $\pi^{-1}(x) = e_1 y_1 + \cdots + e_r y_r$
(with $e_1 + \cdots + e_r = n$).

In other words, the set $H^\infty(g, 0; e_1, \ldots, e_r)(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of curves
of genus $g$ with a linear series $g^1_n$ and a divisor $D \in g^1_n$ of the type
$D = e_1 y_1 + \cdots + e_r y_r$.

Theorem 5.1. The functor $H^\infty(g, 0; e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ is representable by a
closed subscheme, $H^\infty(g, 0; e_1, \ldots, e_r)$, of $H^\infty(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$.

Proof. The condition that the fibres of the family of curves $Y \rightarrow S$
have arithmetic genus $g$ means that $H^\infty(g, 0; e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ lies inside the
connected component $Gr^{1-g}(V)$, which is a closed subscheme of $Gr(V)$.

The second condition, namely that $X_s = \mathbb{P}_1$ for all $s \in S$, is equivalent
to saying that $Tr(K(Y))$ lies in the connected component of index
1, $Gr^1(\mathbb{C}(z))$. This is also a closed condition. \hfill \Box

In particular, if we set $e_1 = \cdots = e_r = 1$ and $r = n$, then the moduli
space $H^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ represents all curves of genus $g$ with a linear
series $g^1_n$ and parameters at the marked points.

Let $H(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ be the Hurwitz functor classifying the set of data $(Y, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ of coverings $Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1$ with a distinguished fibre of
pairwise different points $\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$.

Note that there is a canonical morphism:
\[
\Phi: H^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1) \rightarrow H(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)
\]
that forgets the formal parameters.
Theorem 5.3. The functor $\mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ is representable by a closed subscheme, $\mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$, of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$.

Proof. Let us define a morphism:

$$\sigma : \mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$$

as follows: $\sigma(Y, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ is the unique $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ on the fibre $\Phi^{-1}(Y, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ such that $K(\mathcal{Y}) \cap \mathbb{C}(z) = \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$.

Geometrically, this construction corresponds to choosing the set of data $(Y, \mathbb{P}_1, \pi, x, y, t_x, t_y)$ (with $y = (y_1, \ldots, y_r)$) such that $(\mathbb{P}_1, x, t_x)$ satisfies:

$$t_x(H^0(\mathbb{P}_1 - x, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_1})) = \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}] \in \text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}(z))$$

Since $\sigma$ is injective, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ is a closed subfunctor of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ (via the morphism $\sigma$). Since an $S$-valued point, $\mathcal{Y}$, of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$, belongs to $\mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ precisely when $\text{Tr}(K(\mathcal{Y})) \subseteq O_S[z^{-1}]$ and since this is a closed condition (because $O_S[z^{-1}]$ is a point of $\text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}(z)))$, the theorem is proved. \qed

Remark 11. Let us note that the morphisms $\Phi$ and $\sigma$ define morphisms between the schemes $\mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ and $\mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ such that $\sigma$ is a canonical section of $\Phi$.

Furthermore, the group $G := \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}(z))$ (see [MP2] for its definition and properties) acts on $\text{Gr}(\mathbb{C}(z))$ and on $\text{Gr}(V)$ because, in our case, the $\mathbb{C}(z)$-algebra $V$ is $\mathbb{C}(z) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{C}(z)$. If $G_+$ is the subgroup of $G$ representing “coordinate changes” ([MP2]), then the morphism 5.2 is a $G_+$-principal bundle, that is:

$$\mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1) = \mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)/G_+$$

Theorem 5.5. The equations defining the subscheme $\mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ as a subscheme of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ (via the section $\sigma$) are as follows:

$$\text{Res}_{z = 0} \left( z^{-i} \cdot \text{Tr} \left( \frac{v_{1-g} \psi_{u, B}(z, t)}{1, \ldots, z_u, \ldots, 1} \right) \right) dz = 0 \quad i \geq 2, \ 1 \leq u \leq r$$

Proof. Note that a point $B \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ lies in $\mathcal{H}(g, 0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ if and only if $\text{Tr} B = \mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]$. We can write this condition in terms of Baker-Akhiezer functions.

The equality is equivalent to saying that $z^{-i} \in (\text{Tr} B)^\perp$ for all $i \geq 2$. And the claim follows. \qed
has arithmetic genus $g_0$. Analogously to Theorem 5.1, one proves that this subfunctor is representable by a closed subscheme of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(1, \ldots, 1)$, which will be denoted by $\mathcal{H}^\infty(g, g_0; 1, \ldots, 1)$, since we know that the following two conditions:

- $B \in \text{Gr}^{1-g}(V)$,
- $\text{Tr}(B) \in \text{Gr}^{1-g_0}(\mathbb{C}((z)))$.

are closed ($B$ belongs to $\mathcal{H}^\infty(1, \ldots, 1)$).

If we assume that $B \in \text{Gr}^{1-g}(V)$, the second condition can be translated, in some particular cases, into a set of differential equations. We shall study this problem elsewhere and shall obtain, for those cases, explicit characterizations (for instance, characterizations of $g_0$-hyperelliptic curves).

Remark 12. One can consider the Hurwitz functor parametrizing families of $n$-sheeted coverings $Y \to X$ of smooth connected curves with $Y$ of genus $g$ and $X$ of genus $g_0$. Let us denote it by $\mathcal{H}_n(g, g_0)$. Let $\mathcal{W}$ denote the open subscheme of $\mathcal{H}^\infty(g, g_0; 1, \ldots, 1)$ whose points corresponds to geometric data with both curves smooth and connected. We therefore have a canonical forgetful morphism:

$$\Psi : \mathcal{W} \to \mathcal{H}_n(g, g_0)$$

Note that the fibre of $\Psi$ over a covering, $Y \to X$, is isomorphic to the complementary in $X$ of the set of ramification points.

6. Final Remarks

It was pointed out by Li and Mulase [LM2] that a Zariski open subset of the moduli space of Higgs pairs over a curve can be embedded into a quotient Grassmannian and that the restriction of the $n$-component KP-flow is precisely the Hamiltonian flow of the Hitchin system.

In our setting, a local analogue for the Hitchin system appears naturally when we try to solve the following question: how do the algebro-geometric objects introduced here ($\tau$-functions, Baker functions, etc.) depend on the structure of $\mathbb{C}((z))$-algebra of $V$? Let us discuss this question briefly.

For a monic polynomial of degree $n$, $P(T) \in \mathbb{C}[[z]][T]$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$, let us define the finite $\mathbb{C}((z))$-algebra:

$$V_P := \mathbb{C}((z))/(P(T))$$

and a rank $n$ free $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$-module $(V_P)_+: = \mathbb{C}[[z]]/(P(T))$. Then, $\hat{C}_P := \text{Spf}(V_P)_+$ is called the formal spectral cover of polynomial $P$.

Let us denote by $\mathbb{A}^n_{\bullet}$ the infinite dimensional affine space representing $\mathbb{C}[[z]] \times \ldots \times \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ (see [MP], §3.A). For each point $s = (s_1, \ldots, s_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n_{\bullet}$, we have...
\[ P_s = \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i s_i T^n - s_1 T^{n-1} + \cdots + (-1)^n s_n \]

and, for the sake of simplicity, let us write \( V_s = V_{P_s}, V_{s+} = (V_{P_s})_+ \) and \( \hat{C}_s = \hat{C}_{P_s} \).

One can define a family of infinite Grassmannians parametrized by the space \( \mathbb{A}^n_{\infty} \):

\[ G_{\mathbb{R}} \to \mathbb{A}^n_{\infty} \]

such that the fibre of \( s, \pi^{-1}(s) \), is the infinite Grassmannian of the couple \( (V_s, V_{s+}) \).

There is an open dense subscheme \( U \subset \mathbb{A}^n_{\infty} \) such that for each \( s \in U \) the formal spectral cover \( \hat{C}_s \) is smooth. The fibres of \( \pi \) over \( U \) correspond to the Grassmannians of \( (V_s, V_{s+}) \) where \( V_s \) is a separable \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra, which have been studied in §§1-4 of this paper.

Note that there is a natural representation of \( \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}[[z]]} V_+ \) in the Lie algebra of vector fields over \( \text{Gr}(V) \):

\[ \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}[[z]]} V_+ \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}((z))} V \xrightarrow{\Psi} T\text{Gr}(V) \]

where the fibre of \( \Psi \) at the point \( U \) is given by:

\[ \Psi_U: \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}((z))} V \to T_U\text{Gr}(V) = \text{Hom}(U, V/U) \]

\[ \varphi \mapsto \Psi_U(\varphi) := (U \hookrightarrow V \xrightarrow{\varphi} V \to V/U) \]

Using this representation, a notion of a local Higgs pair can be introduced and the corresponding moduli space can be studied. The local analogue of the Hitchin fibration is then related to the fibration (6.1).

Further, the different \( n \)-KP hierarchies can be interpreted as the flows defining the fibres of the local Hitchin map. We hope to study all these aspects elsewhere.

**Remark 13.** Let us denote by \( V_{s_1} \) and \( V_{s_2} \) two different \( \mathbb{C}((z)) \)-algebra structures on \( V \) and let us assume that these structures are determined by two partitions of \( n \), \( \underline{n}_1 = (e_1^1, \ldots, e_{r_1}^1) \) and \( \underline{n}_2 = (e_1^2, \ldots, e_{r_2}^2) \). In §9 of [AB], the following question is stated: given a point \( U \) coming from two geometric data:

\[ (Y^i, X^i, \pi^i, x^i, g^i, t_{x^i}, t_{g^i}) \in H^\infty(e_1^1, \ldots, e_{r_1}^1) \subset \text{Gr}(V) \quad i = 1, 2 \]

is there any relation between the curves \( Y^1 \) and \( Y^2 \)? The answer to this question is that in general \( Y^1 \) and \( Y^2 \) do not have to be isomorphic (even if both curves are irreducible).

The following example, related to the constructions of §5, is instructive for understanding the above question. Let \( \pi^i: Y^i \to \mathbb{P}^1 \) \( (i = 1, 2) \) be two different finite coverings of degree \( n \) such that:

\[ \pi^1_* \mathcal{O}_{Y^1} \simeq \pi^2_* \mathcal{O}_{Y^2} \]
Obviously, we can find non-isomorphic smooth curves $Y^1$ and $Y^2$ fulfilling this condition, since the set of rank $n$ locally free sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is discrete (they are of the form $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_n)$) while the set of degree $n$ coverings is not. Choosing a point $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and a formal trivialization at that point, $t_x$, one observes that $(Y^1, \mathbb{P}^1, x, t_x)$ and $(Y^2, \mathbb{P}^1, x, t_x)$ define the same point of $\text{Gr}(V)$.
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