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Background. The frozen section (FS) has been a good technique in surgical management of breast lesions since many years. But complete agreement and cooperation have not been achieved everywhere among surgeons and pathologists especially in the developing countries. FS undergoes continuous criticism due to various shortcomings but continued to be evaluated especially in developing countries. Objectives. This review was conducted to synthesize information on the use of frozen section in carcinoma breast. Data Sources. The MEDLINE database for frozen section since its origin and its implication in recent breast surgery techniques was studied.

Study Eligibility Criteria. Sixty-five articles were reviewed with complete analysis on FS in both benign and malignant breast lesions.

Study Appraisal and Synthesis Methods. The analysis of frozen section was done as a diagnostic tool in breast lesions, margin status in breast conservative surgery in carcinoma breast, and sentinel lymph node and use of immunohistochemistry for sentinel lymph node FS.

Results. It was analysed that the FS gives accurate results in margin status analysis, decreasing rerecurrence.

Conclusion. The accuracy of FSA, low recurrence rate, avoidance of reoperation, and good cosmesis are the key points of its use in breast conservative surgery. Its use in sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is equivocal. However, application of immunohistochemistry on frozen section of SLNB is an evolving trend in today’s era.

1. Introduction

FS is an important part of breast surgery with its earliest application since 1891. Various review reports have been published on accuracy rates of FS ranging from 94% to 99% [1–4]. FS is required for assessment of margin status and sentinel lymph node status, and in addition to this, fresh frozen tissue is also required for supplementary testing. The need for axillary lymph node dissection (ALND) in patients with small metastases has been recently called into question. Sentinel lymph node biopsies (SLNBs) evaluated intraoperatively by frozen section may influence the need for further axillary dissection (AD) [5, 6]. Literature was reviewed, and data was collected to analyse the role of frozen section in breast cancer surgery. Studies showing variations and trends were analysed for the accuracy and use of FS in the diagnosis of carcinoma breast and its need for use in assessing the margin and sentinel lymph node status in breast conservative surgery.

2. Frozen Section as a Diagnostic Tool in Breast Lesions

FS analysis being an essential part of breast surgery had been utilised by Welch in 1891 for the diagnosis of benign tumors of the breast [1]. Initially, the processing of frozen tissue was the most common limitation among the major shortcomings of the method and its outcomes. The detailed technique of FS was mentioned by Cullen and was adapted as a diagnostic tool by Wilson at the Mayo Clinic in 1905 [7, 8]. Frozen
section has been criticized repeatedly since its origin for false-positive and false-negative (FN) results of the diagnosis and for being a difficult and demanding technique. The diagnosis of malignancy mistakenly made on borderline tumors leads to an unnecessary radical operation; therefore, the FS method was not considered a preferred method over biopsy [9–11]. Further studies done also showed that the careful processing of FS resulted in the same diagnostic qualities of slides made by the frozen and paraffin methods with few exceptions. But surgical revision of cases of frozen section diagnoses after a final study of paraffin sections always resulted in conflicts between the surgeon and the pathologist for under- or overdiagnosis of carcinoma breast, delayed reporting by pathologist, and inadequate sampling by surgeon [12].

However, a study conducted by Rosen emphasised that FS analysis is accurate in the diagnosis of infiltrating ductal cell carcinoma [13]. In the early 80s, frozen sections of core needle/open biopsy specimens and cytologic smears of breast mass aspirates were considered acceptable methods for the rapid and accurate initial evaluation. In an equivocal opinion given by Bauermeister, it was concluded that as negative report is always tenuous and should not lead to definitive therapy so selection of the patient for any type of procedure should be done depending upon the clinical situation [14]. Sixteen studies reported in the literature dealing with the accuracy of the frozen section method for the diagnosis of breast lesions were reviewed. Data collected was compiled and compared. The composite of these studies comprises 11,632 FS examinations of breast tissue (Table 1). There were 1.85% deferred (DFD) diagnoses, 1.06% FN diagnoses, and 0.36% false-positive (FP) fielding an overall accuracy of 97.28% for the method.

Many studies found FS as a highly accurate method for the breast lump diagnosis. It was suggested that this may be applied to open biopsy or core needle core biopsy (CNB) specimens and in either case the false-negative rate was found less than that experienced with needle aspiration. An analysis done by Santos et al. showed that frozen section and histopathological findings had excellent correlation in case of analysis of the fragments of palpable breast tumors obtained by CNB with 98.2% accuracy [32]. So, it was favoured that FS results of CNB in case of palpable tumors and suspected breast cancer have good histopathological concordance. But limited data is available to evaluate the accuracy of FS analysis and ultrasound-guided CNB of the nonpalpable breast lesions. Few studies done have shown good sensitivity/speciﬁcity characteristics and 98.3% accuracy [26]. Data reviewed have shown high accuracy rates of FS as diagnostic tool except in some cases, and FS is a prominent point of intersection between surgeons and pathologists. But it should not be used as a shortcut to a definitive diagnosis [33]. In our experience, only 7/55 (12.7%) fresh tissues were received for primary diagnosis of the breast lesion with 94.4% specificity and 100% sensitivity.

3. Frozen Section and Margin Status in Breast Conservative Surgery in Carcinoma Breast

Though assessment of margins using permanent section evaluation is the standard method of ensuring complete tumor excision in carcinoma breast surgery (BCS), FS is a good tool for decision-making at the time of surgery. If the margin is positive, surgical reexcision can be done to reduce the likelihood of subsequent local recurrence. The use of FS
in margin status in case of (BCS) showed 6.3%-26.9% reduction in two-stage surgery (Table 2) [14, 27, 34–38].

In our practice also, in 27/55 (49%), FS was performed for margin status and showed 100% specificity and 100% sensitivity. Intraoperative frozen section analysis helped in managing all the cases in a single-stage surgery. Bauermeister (1980) in his work experienced a modification in technique with intraoperative circumferential FS analysis, and no significant changes in results were found. However, only 5.0% FN rate was noticed because multiple tumors, invasive lobular carcinoma, large tumor size, and multiple excisions increased the chances of conversion of BCS to mastectomy [14]. Chagpar et al. observed that, in patients with DCIS, increased the chances of conversion of BCS to mastectomy [41]. With increasing interest in reducing the reoperation after FN FS analysis was due to DCIS, size of the tumor predominantly [40]. One study of Jorns et al. including 25 patients has mentioned good results of FS analysis in the margin status of DCIS [41]. With increasing interest in reducing the reoperation rates in BCS, other intraoperative modalities also come in competition with FS such as imprint cytology (IC) and imaging techniques. However, Osborn et al. have suggested that FS is cost-effective only when there are reexcision rates of more than 36% in an institution [42]. A systematic review including IC and FS done by Esbona and fellows showed decreased reoperation rates from 26% to 4% for IC and from 27 to 6% for FS [43]. Another systematic review done by Butler-Henderson and associates showed that FS and IC added an average 20-30 minutes to operation times whereas an ultrasound probe delivers results in a timely manner but has a limited role in cases with DCIS and multifocal cancer [44].

In spite of the impact of other modalities in intraoperative assessment of margins, FS continued to flourish. Emmadi and Wiley observed that negative margins especially <2 mm thickness carried a >25% risk of residual disease and recommended agreement with breast cancer summary protocols of the College of American Pathologists (CAP) in documenting the measurement of clearance at the closest margins in addition to only positive/negative margin status [45]. Furthermore, margin assessment was improved with multiple side sampling. Tan and fellows performed BCS with six margins of the excised breast tissue, and only 2.4% reoperation was observed in 4 out of 161 cases including one FN case and three of missed multicentric disease [46]. But margin analysis has technical difficulty of freezing the tissue and hence resulted in high FN rates; nonetheless, it still manages to reduce the reexcision rate if multiple tissues are sampled. The limitations of routine FSA for margin status include time resource allocations, labour intensity, technical challenges, and cost considerations [41, 47]. All over, intraoperative FS margin analysis helps in reducing number of reoperations in patients undergoing BCS. This method has significant implications for patient satisfaction and cost of care [48]. Systematic review and meta-analysis data suggested that frozen section and cytology have the greatest diagnostic accuracy [49]. However, these methods are resource-intensive and turnaround times for results have prevented widespread international adoption. Emerging technologies need to compete with the diagnostic accuracy of existing techniques while offering advantages in terms of speed, cost, and reliability.

### 4. Frozen Section, BCS, and Sentinel Lymph Node

Axillary lymph node involvement is considered the most important prognostic factor in early-stage BC. A total of 22/55 FSs for axillary lymph node status were reported with 100% specificity and 100% sensitivity for macrometastasis with treatment for early-stage BC further evolving with evolution of sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) along with breast imaging, radiation, and other treatments. Integration of SLNB as a standard point of care for the patient was the important and first step towards sparing the complications of ALND with a clinically negative axilla [50, 51]. Consequently, diagnosis of SLNBs with frozen section became common practice, with up to 35% of sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs) with metastasis requiring further ALND [49]. Retrospective intraoperative FS analysis of the SLN done by Francissen et al. on 628 patients showed a high FN rate of 16.1%. Only 12.4% of the patients benefited from intraoperative FS, as secondary ALND could be avoided. It was

| Sr. no. | Author, year | Margins | Positive | Reexcision | Mastectomy |
|---------|--------------|---------|----------|------------|------------|
| 1       | Bauermeister, 1980 [14] | 446     | 14.3%    | 6.3%       | 5.1%       |
| 2       | Sauter et al., 1994 [34] | 359     | 9.4%     | 6.6%       | 2.8%       |
| 3       | Weber et al., 1997 [35] | 140     | 15%      | 8.7%       | 6.3%       |
| 4       | Aziz et al., 2006 [36] | 1430    | 14.3%    | 10.6%      | 3.7%       |
| 5       | Belliolo et al., 2009 [27] | 258     | 18%      | 18%        | Nil        |
| 6       | Dener et al., 2009 [37] | 190     | 16%      | 12.7%      | 3.3%       |
| 7       | Osako et al., 2015 [38] | 1029    | 30.3%    | 26.9%      | 1.4%       |
observed that FS may be indicated for a selected group of patients [52].

Hashmi et al. performed a retrospective study on 154 patients. The SLNs were sectioned at 2 mm intervals and submitted entirely for frozen sections followed by histopathological examination of three levels of each section submitted. The sensitivity and specificity of frozen section analysis of SLN for the detection of macrometastases were found to be 100% while those for micrometastases were 33.3% and 100%, respectively [53].

In a review done by Poling et al., 1940 cases of FS were assessed for SLNB. FN results were seen in 95 cases (4.9% of total cases, 23.8% of positive node cases) with majority of missed metastases including isolated tumor cells or micrometastases. SLNBs evaluated intraoperatively by frozen section may impact the need for further AD; as in the later years, a trend of completion of AD was faced after a discrepant frozen SLNB. SLNBs may be unnecessary, and furthermore, it can compromise tissue for further study [54].

Despite an online appendix (2014) by ASCO addressing the known limitations of frozen section diagnosis, guidelines did not encourage or discourage the use of frozen section diagnosis for SLNB [55].

Retrospective monocentric study done by Hoen et al. of 293 patients operated on stage pT1 or pT2 breast cancer with SLNB showing a false-negative rate of 13.5%. Intraoperative frozen sections benefited only 12.8% of the patients who had their full lymph node dissection at the same surgery. The intraoperative frozen section of SLNB benefits a limited number of patients, and preoperative axillary ultrasound sound examination was suggested [56].

The trial of the American College of Surgeons Oncology Group Z0011 showed that early-stage breast cancer with limited sentinel node metastasis patients treated with breast conservation and systemic therapy did not show advantage from axillary lymph node dissection, hence resulting in a decline in the use of frozen section in the diagnosis of SLNs. Jorns et al. identified 116 pre-Z0011 and 134 post-Z0011 patients. There was post-Z0011 decline in ALND ($P = 0.014$), and SLN positivity was associated with larger ($\geq 1.6$ cm) tumor size ($P = 0.002$). These findings supported reduced requirement for SLN FS for BCS patients post-Z0011 [57].

Bishop and associates conducted a study to determine the effect of the Z0011 trial on utility of intraoperative sentinel lymph node evaluation and observed that, during the pre-Z0011 years, FS of SLNB was done in 22/22 cases (100%) in 2009 and 15/22 cases (68%) in 2010. In the post-Z0011 years from 2011 to 2015, FS of SLNB was sent only in 3/151 cases (2%) and it was observed that 28/151 (19%) revealed metastatic deposits in SLNB on histopathological examination. Therefore, routine frozen section diagnosis for SLNB biopsies can be avoided in these patients [58].

Lombardi and fellows perceived a low and good sensitivity of FS in detecting micrometastases (19%) and macrometastases (75%), respectively, with mainly FN in smaller metastases (mean 2.1 mm), more probable in infiltrating lobular carcinoma cases [59].

In a study done by Russo and fellows, a total of 281 patients were evaluated. Macrometastasis (13.1%) and micrometastasis (66.7%) ($P < 0.001$) were found in FN cases [60].

Z0011 criteria applied as a standard in management of axilla lead to a significant decline in intraoperative FS diagnosis of SLNBs of patients with cT1 to T2 cN0 stage and resulted in a substantial decrease in ALND in a large proportion of patients [58].

It was observed that eliminating routine FS diagnosis for SLNB in BCS patients is acceptable and cost-effective, especially when considering prolonged anaesthesia time and associated waiting time for FS results and leading to optimal use of resources in pathology departments.

### 5. Use of Immunohistochemistry for Sentinel Lymph Node FS

At our institution, rapid IHC was performed on random FSs of breast tissue for research purpose and total turnaround time observed was 15-20 minutes with 100% sensitivity and specificity. As mentioned in the literature, Chao (2004) supported intraoperative pathologic evaluation of the SLN as option of complete ALND in two-thirds of carcinoma breast cases with nodal disease. It was suggested that false-positive FS may result in unnecessary morbid ALND completion and one must be aware of the complications. However, in one-third of carcinoma breast cases with FN results, cytokeratin (CK) staining on paraffin-embedded tissue section improved the sensitivity but there was no clinically significant effect of immunohistochemically positive cells on therapy, hence warranting the use of immunohistochemistry (IHC) and necessitating the prospective randomized trials to show its clinical significance [61].

Salem and associates in year 2006 tried intraoperative IHC staining of touch imprints of axillary sentinel nodes and found feasible and a reliable method for evaluating axillary nodes [62]. Choi et al. (2006) and Krishnamurthy et al. (2009) experimented ultrarapid IHC with mean turnaround time of 20 min on 178 SLNBs. The sensitivity rates of frozen H&E staining (70.0%) and ultrarapid IHC (85.0%) were found to be statistically insignificant. Although 100% specificity was observed for each method, ultrarapid IHC benefited one case of micrometastasis and two cases of isolated metastases.
tumor cells (ITCs) only. So ultrarapid cytokeratin immuno-

histochemistry (CK-IHC) upgraded the rapid intraoperative
detection of sentinel node micrometastasis and ITCs in
breast cancer [63, 64].

Stovgaard et al. also studied that IHC on frozen section
leads to the detection of more ITC and MIC intraoperatively
[65]. Furthermore, Cserni (2012) reviewed the use of one-

step nucleic acid amplification (OSNA) automated molecu-
lar assay based on the quantification of cytokeratin 19
mRNA and found 96% concordance rate with histopathol-
ogy and IHC. But then, Shigematsu and fellows compared
cytokteratin immunohistochemistry (CK-IHC) assay on FSs
and OSNA assay of the whole node in intraoperative evalua-
tion for SLN metastases in patients with invasive breast
cancer. Hence, this suggested that both assays had compat-
dible diagnostic capacities and can be used as reliable tech-
niques for intraoperative diagnoses of SLN metastases in
breast cancer patients (Figure 1) [66, 67].
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