Interference Effects in a Tunable Quantum Point Contact Integrated with an Electronic Cavity
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We show experimentally how quantum interference can be produced using an integrated quantum system comprising an arch-shaped short quantum wire (or quantum point contact, QPC) of 1D electrons and a reflector forming an electronic cavity. On tuning the coupling between the QPC and the electronic cavity, fine oscillations are observed when the arch QPC is operated in the quasi-1D regime. These oscillations correspond to interference between the 1D states and a state which is similar to the Fabry-Perot state and suppressed by a small transverse magnetic field of $\mu T$. Tuning the reflector, we find a peak in resistance which follows the behavior expected for a Fano resonance. We suggest that this is an interesting example of a Fano resonance in an open system which corresponds to interference at or near the Ohmic contacts due to a directly propagating, reflected discrete path and the continuum states of the cavity corresponding to multiple scattering. Remarkably, the Fano factor shows an oscillatory behavior taking peaks for each fine oscillation, thus, confirming coupling between the discrete and continuum states. The results indicate that such a simple quantum device can be used as building blocks to create more complex integrated quantum circuits for possible applications ranging from quantum-information processing to realizing the fundamentals of complex quantum systems.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum interference, one of the most remarkable effects of quantum mechanics, arising from the wave nature of particles has led to some celebrated results in mesoscopic systems, including weak localization in two-dimensional (2D) systems [1,2], Aharonov-Bohm oscillations in ring structures [3,4], sharp peaks in magnetoresistance in chaotic cavities [5,6], etc. Among its various applications, quantum interference has been used successfully as a tool to investigate properties of particles such as monitoring correlation and entanglement as demonstrated in Mach-Zehnder interferometry [7,8], Aharonov-Bohm interferometry [9,10], Hanbury Brown–Twiss interferometry [11,12], and the electronic analogue of the Hong-Ou-Mandel device [13,14]. Among all the striking phenomena, it is particularly interesting to note that quantum interference is extremely suitable for studying coupling between different quantum systems [15–17], which is crucial for the design of integrated quantum circuits.

A notable quantum-mechanical system is a ballistic quantum wire called a quantum point contact (QPC) when it is short, and it is defined using a pair of split gates [18–20] on a semiconductor heterostructure. Soon after the first observation of quantized conductance in a quasi-one-dimensional (1D) quantum wire [19,20], a number of interesting observations have been made such as the “0.7 structure” [21], incipient Wigner lattice [22,23], coherent electron focusing [24–26], etc. Experiments of coupling between a QPC, which provides a stream of collimated 1D electrons, and a waveguide [15] and that between a quantum dot and cavity [16] have been performed, where a pronounced modulation of conductance of the system and changing of Coulomb peaks were observed. Knowledge of the resonant interference effects arising from the coupling of two quantum states is of fundamental significance for the development of technology for quantum-information processing. Moreover, the Fano resonance, which arises from the quantum interference between discrete (bound) and continuum states of a neighboring medium resulting in an asymmetry in the resonance structure [27,28], is one of the definite methods for confirming quantum interference, particularly in systems with smaller length scales, and tunable electron path. We present a system as shown in Fig. 1 realized using an

*uceeya3@ucl.ac.uk
†Present address: Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Sheffield, Mappin Street, Sheffield S1 3JD, United Kingdom.
integrated quantum circuit having three components: a source of 1D electrons (emitted by a QPC), a continuum state (an electronic cavity of 2D electrons), and a reflector (a potential barrier to reflect the collimated electrons). Such an ensemble allows a beam of 1D electrons to interfere with the continuum states of the cavity after being reflected by the potential barrier. This system was used in our previous work [29] where we showed that a Fano resonance could be observed at the 1D-2D transition regime of a QPC. In the present work, we give evidence that a bound, localized state can be established within the continuum (2D), and there is a coupling between the bound state and the 1D states from which it is drawn. Moreover, we show that the coupling between the 1D-2D states enables the Fano resonance, which can be tuned both electrostatically and magnetically.

The paper is organized in the following manner: Section II covers the experimental setup, device design, and wafer details. Section III covers details of comprehensive experimental results. This section is further divided into four subsections discussing coupling between the 1D-2D electrons, Fano resonance, effect of perpendicular magnetic field, and temperature dependence.

II. EXPERIMENT

The samples consist of a pair of arch-shaped gates with a QPC forming in the center of the arch and a reflector inclined at 75° to the current flow direction such that the center of the QPC and the reflector are aligned as shown in Fig. 1(a). The opening angle of the arch is 45°, and the radius is 1.5 μm. Both the length and width of the QPC embedded in the arch are 200 nm, the width of the reflector is 300 nm [29]. The angle of the inclined reflector is crucial because it allows reflected electrons to propagate ballistically to Ohmic contact 3, while multiple scattering of others set up a continuum of cavity states (considering the normal 30° spread of the collimated 1D electrons [31]). A direct scattering of a beam of electrons from the reflector at or near the entrance of the QPC establishes the bound defined state. The scattering of electrons out of this state interfering with cavity states establish a Fano resonance.

The devices are fabricated on a high-mobility two-dimensional electron gas formed at the interface of a GaAs/Al0.33Ga0.67As heterostructure. The electron density (mobility) measured at 1.5 K is \(1.8 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-2}\) (2.1 \(\times 10^6 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}\)); therefore, both mean free path and phase coherence length are over 10 \(\mu\)m, which is much larger than the distance between the QPC and reflector (1.5 μm). All the measurements are performed with the standard lock-in technique in a cryo-free dilution refrigerator with a lattice temperature of 20 mK. For the four-terminal resistance measurement, a 10 nA at 77 Hz ac current is applied, while an ac voltage of \(10 \mu\text{V} at 77\text{ Hz}\) is used for the two-terminal conductance measurement. Figure 1(b) shows the conductance trace of the QPC with well-defined conductance plateaus. On the other hand, the conductance of the reflector (inset) drops around \(-0.27 \text{ V}\), which indicates a sharp change in the transmission probability; here, \(V_s\) and \(V_r\) are the voltages applied on the arch gates forming the QPC and reflector, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When the arch QPC and reflector are operated together, collimated ballistic 1D electrons [31] injected from the QPC are reflected by the potential barrier created by the reflector and cause a voltage drop \(V_{34}\) between Ohmics 3 and 4, such that

\[ V_{34} \propto n \times r, \]  

where \(n\) is the population of injected electrons, and \(r\) is the reflection probability [29].
A. Coupling between 1D-2D electrons

To tune the coupling between the 1D and 2D cavity states, we fix the arch QPC voltage at $-0.65$, $-0.43$, $-0.36$, $-0.36$, $-0.24$, $-0.21$, and 0 V [these three voltages are the center of fine oscillations, as indicated by the vertical lines in Fig. 2(a)], $-0.24$ V (peak I), $-0.21$ V (peak II), and 0 V and sweep the reflector voltage. The result shown in Fig. 3(a) is a direct measurement of strength of the coupling effect. When the arch QPC voltage is fixed, the population of injected electrons $n$ in Eq. (1) is constant, so the measured resistance depends on the reflection probability $r$ only. $r$ increases monotonically when $V_r$ becomes more negative; therefore, resistance $R$ should follow a similar monotonic change. The bottom two traces in Fig. 3(a) are for arch QPC voltages $V_r$ of 0 and $-0.21$ V, respectively (cavity is off). The resistance is initially almost constant when the reflector voltage $V_r > -0.25$ V. A sharp rise in resistance occurs as $V_r$ is made more negative, so the reflection probability $r$ increases rapidly and eventually saturates when $r$ becomes unity. When the arch QPC becomes more negative (top four traces, the cavity turns on), $R$ initially keeps on increasing and then decreases until it saturates and thereby produces a pronounced peak in the
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**Figure 2.** $R$ as a function of arch QPC voltage for various $V_r$. (a) When $-0.29$ V $\leq V_r \leq -0.27$ V, a pronounced double-peak structure and fine oscillations are observed. (b) When $V_r > -0.27$ V, all the features gradually smear out. Data are offset vertically by 20 $\Omega$ for clarity.
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**Figure 3.** $R$ as a function of $V_r$. (a) The arch-gate voltage is fixed at $-0.65$, $-0.43$, $-0.36$, $-0.24$, $-0.21$, and 0 V while sweeping $V_r$. Data are offset vertically for clarity. The right axis displays the reflector conductance as a function of $V_a$, as indicated by a red arrow. (b) Upper plots show the theoretical fitting (solid line) of $\Delta R(V_r, V_a) = R(V_r, V_a) - R(V_r, 0)$, where $V_a = -0.65$ V and $V_a = -0.50$ V, respectively; $\Delta R$ follows a well-defined Fano line shape. The lower plot shows Fano factor $q$ as a function of $V_a$. The correlation between $V_a$ and $R$ follows a well-defined Fano line shape.

We can visualize our system as consisting of a dynamic electronic cavity [16], which is defined by the arch gate and the reflector (the reflector is required to define the focal point of the cavity). The multiple reflection of emitted 1D electrons between the reflector and the arch gates give rise to a continuum of localized states. When the electrons under the gates are depleted and, thus, the cavity is switched on, the 1D states couple to the cavity states and, thus, give rise to the observed nontrivial features.

The framework above can be verified by changing the coupling between the 1D and cavity states. A strong coupling results in the appearance of fine oscillations, while at weak coupling, they smear out.
plot. It is necessary to emphasize that the reflector voltage range (from $-0.27$ to $-0.29$ V) where the peak in resistance occurs corresponds to the regime where the fine oscillations are most pronounced [Fig. 2(a)]. The dramatic change between different traces is a clear indication of the effect arising due to cavity formation. Once the cavity is on, i.e., both $V_a$ and $V_r$ are sufficiently negative, its size and, therefore, the energy spacing of cavity states can be adjusted by sweeping the reflector voltage. The strong coupling between the 1D and cavity leads to a peak in the measurement.

The fine oscillations corresponding to interference between the waves emerging from the 1D region and the reflected waves are observable as the phase coherence length (>10 μm) is greater than the size of the device. In this respect, our observation is similar to the Fabry-Perot interference previously observed [35].

**B. Fano resonance**

An analysis of the line shape of the peak in Fig. 3(a) clearly indicates that after the cavity is switched on, the dynamic change in resistance $\Delta R(V_r, V_a) = R(V_r, V_a) - R(V_r, 0)$ [we use $R(V_r, 0)$ to account for the change in the reflection probability, which is always present] follows the well-known Fano resonance [27,29,36]

$$R = R_0 \frac{\gamma (V - V_0)^2}{1 + \gamma^2 (V - V_0)^2} + R_{\text{inc}},$$

where $R$ is the measured resistance, $R_0$ is a constant representing the amplitude of the resonance, $q$ is the Fano factor which decides the asymmetry of the line shape, $\gamma = 20$ V$^{-1}$ is voltage-energy lever (estimated from the Fermi energy and the pinch-off voltage), $V_0$ is the arch-gate voltage at the center of the resonance (dip), and $R_{\text{inc}}$ denotes intrinsic contribution from the background as shown in Fig. 3(b). We notice that the Fano factor $q$ takes an oscillatory behavior against the arch QPC voltage as shown in the lower graph in Fig. 3(b). When compared with Fig. 2, $q$ has a peak (approximately 1.9) at the fine oscillations and remains constant (approximately 0.4) elsewhere. The increase in $q$ is due to an increase in the resonant scattering. This result supports the argument that the observed fine oscillations are correlated with the Fano resonance. It is necessary to draw attention to the fact that the Fano factor does not necessarily show a peak at a conductance plateau if there is no fine oscillation, as two conductance plateaus are well defined when $-0.6$ V $< V_a < -0.5$ V, while only a single Fano factor peak is observed. This observation suggests that the result does not arise simply due to the collimation of a QPC (which produces similar behavior at all the conductance plateaus) or an electrostatic effect.

The energy spacing between different cavity states is small (of the order of 100 μeV from Ref. [16]) due to the large size of the cavity. This indicates one 1D state may couple to several cavity states and can result in relatively broad fine oscillations. On the other hand, a single broad pattern from second ($V_a = -0.6$ V) and third ($V_a = -0.5$ V) plateaus or modes of the QPC may be attributed to coupling of different modes of QPC with the degenerate cavity states. In addition, the probability of intersubband transition in 1D constriction [37] may result in mixed states.

Most investigations of Fano resonance in nanostructures have utilized a discrete state, for example, in a quantum dot [36] or coupled QPCs [17]. It is clear that the system studied here has significant differences in the lack of control of the particular states undergoing interference as well as being open [38–40]. However, the discrete state forming part of the interference along with the continuum corresponds to a collimated electron wave between the QPC and cavity that is then reflected towards the Ohmic contact and subsequently interferes. This situation is essentially identical to the case of an optical wave reflected by a sharp bend in a waveguide which behaves as a localized state [15,32]. The momentum value and direction required for the reflected wave to reach the contact without further reflection are stringent, corresponding to a sharply defined state which then can interfere with the continuum comprising scattered electrons.

**C. Effect of perpendicular magnetic field**

The localized states, which represent the quantization of standing waves in the cavity, are highly dependent on the trajectory of electrons and, thus, sensitive to the perpendicular magnetic field. As a consequence, the fine oscillations arising from coupling between the cavity and 1D states should also be field sensitive [15]. In Fig. 4, we show (negative) transverse-magnetic-field dependence of

![FIG. 4. Effect of perpendicular magnetic field. The reflector voltage is set to $-0.28$ V, and the field is increased from 0 mT (bottom trace) to $-200$ mT (top trace) by steps of $-20$ mT. It is clear that the fine oscillations disappear around $-60$ mT (marked by an arrow). Peak I of the double-peak structure weakens with increasing field, while peak II gets enhanced by magnetic field and shifts towards less negative $V_a$ as indicated by a dashed black curve.](image-url)
the resistance, which gives information on the coupling between 1D states and cavity states. It is clear that the fine oscillations smear out at a weak field of $-60$ mT as expected. The double-peak structure (in the 1D-2D transition regime) follows a more complicated trend. The intensity of peak I gets reduced by increasing field, but it survives at much higher field compared to the fine oscillations ($-120$ mT at negative field end). On the contrary, peak II gets enhanced by the magnetic field [29]. Similar results are obtained with positive transverse magnetic field. We note that when peaks I and II are observed, the channel is much wider than that for the fine structure. Consequently, the restriction on the formation of the localized state is much weaker, accounting for the higher field necessary to remove the peaks. The initial enhancement of peak II is presumably a geometric effect.

A detailed magnetoresistance study is presented in Fig. 5. When the reflector is grounded or set to $-0.25$ V, a typical Hall voltage development is seen for both $V_a = 0$ V where the QPC is in the 2D regime and $V_a = -0.5$ V when the quasi-1D channel forms in the QPC, as shown in Fig. 5(a). In Fig. 5(b), a voltage of $-0.3$ V is applied to the reflector. When $V_a$ is 0 and $-0.21$ V (cavity is not formed), the result is almost similar to that in Fig. 5(a); however, Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) oscillations are seen in the large field regime, which come from the contribution of the reflected electrons. When the cavity is switched on ($V_a = -0.24$ or $-0.63$ V), additional structure, which is highly asymmetric against magnetic field, is observed in the small field regime up to $\pm 70$ mT, which is similar to the magnetic field value required to quench the fine oscillations as shown in Fig. 4. In the large field regime, a superposition of Hall voltage and SdH oscillations dominates. The dynamics in resistance with cavity switched on, e.g., green trace in Fig. 5(b), defined as $\Delta R(V_a, B) = R(V_a, B) - R(0, B)$ also resembles a Fano resonance. Figure 5(c) shows a theoretical fitting of $\Delta R(-0.24$ V, $B)$ [corresponding to green trace in Fig. 5(b)] with the Fano line shape. It is also noticed that there is a shift of minima of $R$ against magnetic field in Fig. 5, which is likely due to the combined effect of the inclined reflector and the negative field because both of them guide electrons to Ohmic 3, while positive field directs electrons to Ohmic 4 whose trajectory is then compensated by the reflector. The difference becomes more apparent when the electrons are more ballistic and collimated (i.e., with more negative $V_a$).

The self-consistency between the reflector [Fig. 3(b)] and magnetic-field- [Fig. 5(c)] induced Fano resonance shows that we can tune coupling between the 1D and cavity states both electrostatically and magnetically. To gain a comprehensive understanding of the scenario, a non-Hermitian description of the QPC-cavity hybrid system comprising of reflected wave functions into the continuum is necessary [41]. This is beyond the scope of current work.

**FIG. 5.** Magnetoresistance of the arch QPC reflector assembly. (a) The reflector is grounded or set to $-0.25$ V; the results show a typical Hall voltage development as the magnetic field is swept for different QPC voltage. Data for $V_a = -0.25$ V are offset vertically by $50$ $\Omega$ for clarity. (b) The reflector is set to $-0.3$ V, QPC voltage $V_a$ is set at $-0.63$ V (at fine oscillations), $-0.24$ V (peak I), $-0.21$ V (peak II), and 0 V, respectively. Data are offset vertically by $100$ $\Omega$ for clarity. (c) Theoretical fitting of $\Delta R(V_a, B) = R(V_a, B) - R(0, B)$, where $V_a = -0.24$ V, showing the experimental data follow a Fano line shape, the Fano factor $q = 0.823$.

**D. Temperature dependence**

Temperature-dependence measurement is a useful tool to investigate quantum effects such as the origin of fine oscillations. As mentioned previously, the energy spacing for cavity states is an order of magnitude smaller than the 1D subband spacing in the QPC; a slightly higher temperature makes the cavity states become a continuum, while 1D subbands are still well resolved. The thermal smearing of the cavity states, in turn, leads to smearing out of the fine
oscillations. Figure 6(a) shows the evolution of the fine oscillations in the lattice temperature range of 20 mK to 1.8 K. It is clear that the fine oscillations smear out at 1.8 K where the conductance plateaus of QPC still persist. On the other hand, the double-peak structure is present even at 1.8 K; however, its intensity decreases against rising temperature. The double-peak structure is a consequence of the interference effect, and its intensity can be expressed by [42]

\[ R \propto \exp(-l/l_\phi), \]

(3)

where \( l \) is the electron propagation length, and the temperature dependence of phase coherence length \( l_\phi \) is

\[ l_\phi \propto \sqrt{T^{-p}}, \]

(4)

where \( p = 1 \) for a 2D system [1] and \( p = \frac{3}{2} \) for a 1D system [43]. In our device, \( p = 1 \) gives the best fitting, as shown in Fig. 6(b), which is consistent with the fact that the cavity states are essential to observe the quantum interference [30].

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we show the operation of an integrated quantum device consisting of an arch-shaped QPC coupled to an electronic cavity, whose states can be tuned using a reflector gate. We demonstrate that it is possible to couple and decouple the 1D states with the 2D cavity states using either the reflector barrier or a transverse-magnetic field, resulting in the direct observation of Fano resonance which arises from the interference between the QPC (1D) and cavity states (2D). The present results show the promise of such integrated quantum devices in realizing complex quantum systems to study the 1D-2D transition and a possible precursor for quantum-information processing based on modulation of 1D states, for instance, a quantum analogy of amplitude modulation or phase modulation in classical information processing.
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