Magnetism in systems with various dimensionality: A comparison between Fe and Co
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A systematic ab initio study is performed for the spin and orbital moments and for the validity of the sum rules for x-ray magnetic circular dichroism for Fe systems with various dimensionality (bulk, Pt-supported monolayers and monatomic wires, free-standing monolayers and monatomic wires). Qualitatively, the results are similar to those for the respective Co systems, with the main difference that for the monatomic Fe wires the \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term in the spin sum rule is much larger than for the Co wires. The spin and orbital moments induced in the Pt substrate are also discussed.
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One of the outstanding features of magnetism in low-dimensional spin systems is the importance of the spin-orbit-coupling effects. Whereas the orbital moments in bulk materials of high symmetry are strongly reduced due to orbital quenching, they may attain large values in low-dimensional systems. For instance, in monatomic Co wires at the steps of a vicinal Pt surface an orbital moment of about 0.68\( \mu_B \) per Co atom was found\(^\text{14}\), which is a factor of about 5 larger than in bulk hcp Co and which represents the largest orbital moment ever reported for a 3d itinerant electron system. For the magnetic-anisotropy energy of this Co wire a value was deduced\(^\text{14}\) which is about 50 times larger than the one of hcp Co (which is already large). The large magnetic anisotropy is also relevant for the nature of magnetic excitations. It will generate an excitation gap for the linear excitations, i.e., the spin waves, and for one-dimensional systems it will lead to nonlinear excitation modes which possibly have the character of solitons\(^\text{22}\).

A suitable experimental method to resolve spin and orbital moments is the technique of x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD). This technique is based on the fact that for magnetic systems the absorption coefficient \( \mu(\epsilon) \) as function of the x-ray energy \( \epsilon \) is different for x-rays with left-circular polarization, \( \mu_-(\epsilon) \), and right-circular polarization, \( \mu_+(\epsilon) \). For example, in a 3d transition metal system one has to measure these absorption coefficients at the \( L_2 \) and \( L_3 \) edge corresponding to the 3d transition metal atoms in the investigated system, and one then can obtain the orbital moment \( m_l = -\mu_B \langle l_z \rangle \) and the spin moment \( m_s = -\mu_B \langle \sigma_z \rangle \) for a transition metal atom via the XMCD sum rules\(^\text{22}\) according to:

\[
\langle l_z \rangle = \frac{2I_mN_h}{I_t}, \quad \text{(1)}
\]

\[
\langle \sigma_z \rangle = \frac{3I_sN_h}{I_t} - 7\langle T_z \rangle, \quad \text{(2)}
\]

\[
I_m = \int_{E_F}^{E_c} \left[ (\mu_c)_{L_3} + (\mu_c)_{L_2} \right] d\epsilon, \quad \text{(3)}
\]

with the XMCD signal \( \mu_c = \mu^+ - \mu^- \) and with \( \mu_1 = 3\mu_0(\epsilon) \) the absorption coefficient for linear polarization along the \( z \)-axis. \( N_h \) is the number of holes in the \( d \) part of the valence band and \( \langle T_z \rangle \) is the expectation value of the magnetic dipolar operator

\[
\hat{T}_z = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \sigma - 3\hat{r} \cdot \sigma \right] z, \quad \text{(6)}
\]

where \( \sigma \) denotes the vector of the Pauli matrices. The quantities \( E_F \) and \( E_c \) denote the Fermi energy and a cutoff energy. For details of such calculations see Ref.\(^\text{4}\).

For a practical application of these sum rules there are several problems, especially for low-dimensional systems, and we just want to mention two of them. First, the \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term in general is not known from the experiment, and it is therefore often neglected. However, it is a measure of the anisotropy of the spin density in the material, and it is therefore expected that it becomes more and more important when going to systems with more and more reduced dimensionality and hence more and more reduced symmetry. Stöhr and König\(^\text{23}\) introduced an angle-dependent XMCD technique which allows to eliminate the \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term from the analysis with the spin sum rule. The basic idea is to measure \( \mu_c(\epsilon) \) for an orientation of the magnetization in \( x, y \), and \( z \) direction. For systems with weak spin-orbit coupling we have \( \langle T_x \rangle + \langle T_y \rangle + \langle T_z \rangle \approx 0 \), and if this precondition is fulfilled then the contribution of the magnetic dipolar term drops out when we average the spin sum rule over the three directions of the magnetization. However, for low-dimensional systems the effects...
of spin-orbit coupling are larger, and it might be that then the precondition for the application of the angle-dependent XMCD is no longer fulfilled. We have shown this explicitly for the extreme situation of monatomic Fe, Co, and Ni wires. A second problem is that a couple of assumptions and approximations had to be made to derive the XMCD sum rules (see, e.g., Ref. 8 and references therein) the validity of which is not guaranteed in all systems. The validity of the XMCD sum rules has been confirmed, e.g., for bulk Fe and Co, but for atoms at free surfaces and at interfaces, i.e., for sites with low symmetry, the application of the sum rules may be critical. It is therefore important to figure out the validity of the sum rules for low-dimensional systems.

In Ref. 11 we performed a systematic ab initio study for the spin and orbital moments and for the validity of the XMCD sum rules in Co systems with various dimensionality, i.e., for hcp Co, a Co monolayer on Pt (111) and a free standing Co (111) monolayer, a Co monatomic wire at the steps of a Pt (111) surface as well as for a free-standing monatomic Co wire. In the present Brief Report we discuss the respective data for the case of Fe. Corresponding XMCD measurements are on the way for bulk Fe, an Fe monolayer on Pt and a monatomic Fe wire on Pt.

The validity of the sum rules was tested by calculating the orbital and spin moments on the one hand directly by the ab initio density functional electron theory, on the other hand the absorption spectra were determined by the same ab initio theory and then a second set of orbital and spin moments was obtained via the sum rules. Deviations between the two sets of data show that the sum rules are violated. We performed ab-initio calculations by using the local-spin-density approximation (LSDA) in a combination with spin-orbit coupling, without and with an orbital-polarization (OP) term. The orbital polarization term corrects at least in part explicitly for those electronic correlations which are responsible for the orbital polarization effects (in free atoms described by Hund’s second rule) and which are not appropriately described by the LSDA. We used the tight-binding linear-muffin-tin-orbital (LMTO) method in the atomic sphere approximation, and the WIEN97 code which adopts the full-potential linearized-augmented-plane-wave (FLAPW) method in which the spin-orbit coupling and the tools for the calculation of the XMCD spectra and the orbital polarization term have been implemented. A supercell geometry was used for all calculations with perpendicular magnetization for the case of the monolayers and wires. For the monolayer the supercell consists of two Pt and one Fe (111) layers in the fcc stacking and a vacuum sheet on top of the Fe layer corresponding to two empty layers. The vicinal Pt surface with the Fe wires at the steps is modelled by the supercell shown in Fig. 3. To focus on the pure effect of the dimensionality we fixed the nearest-neighbor distances of the atoms for all considered systems to the one of fcc Pt (2.77Å), as it was done in the LSDA study of finite Co chains on a plane Pt (111) surface by Lazarovits et al.21. (In contrast, Spišák and Hafner22 have taken into account the structural relaxation effects in their LSDA study of monatomic Fe wires on vicinal Cu surfaces.)

Fig. 1 summarizes the results for the Co systems. For the spin moments nearly the same results were obtained when taking into account the OP term or when neglecting it. We therefore only show the data obtained from calculations with the OP term. Six types of calculations were performed:

1. A direct calculation of the spin moments (filled circles connected by full lines).
2. A calculation of the spin moments based on the spin sum rule, thereby including the \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term (filled triangles connected by full lines).
3. A calculation of the spin moments based on the spin sum rule, thereby neglecting the \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term (filled...
diamonds connected by full lines).

4. A direct calculation of the orbital moments in LSDA (open squares connected by dashed lines).

5. A direct calculation of the orbital moments in LSDA+OP (open circles connected by dashed lines).

6. A calculation of the orbital moments in LSDA+OP based on the orbital sum rule (open triangles connected by dashed lines).

The comparison of the results for the LMTO and the FLAPW calculations given in Figs. 1,2 shows that the general trends are essentially the same for both types of calculations. Quantitatively, there are some differences which result mainly from the fact that the LMTO method adopts a spherical approximation for the effective potential in each atomic sphere (ASA potential) whereas in the FLAPW calculation the full asphericity of the effective potential is taken into account. In the LMTO-ASA method the \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term is determined from the nonspherical charge and spin density obtained after the last iteration step. Because this charge and spin density is calculated from an ASA potential, the influence of the nonspherical parts of the effective potential within the spheres on the asphericity of the charge and spin density is neglected. Therefore, for a quantitative discussion the FLAPW results are more reliable.

The most important results for the Co systems are:

a. The spin moments increase only slightly with decreasing dimensionality.

b. The orbital moments increase strongly with decreasing dimensionality when we take into account the OP term.

c. The \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term appearing in the spin sum rule is only relevant for the monolayers, otherwise it is rather small (see the more realistic FLAPW data), even for the monatomic wires. It has been outlined in Ref. 11 that this results from the special band filling for the case of Co wires.

d. Both the spin sum rule and especially the orbital sum rule are rather well fulfilled for all Co systems, irrespective of the dimensionality.

Fig. 2 shows the corresponding results for the case of Fe. Again we want to focus on the pure influence of the dimensionality and we therefore fixed the nearest-neighbor distances of the atoms for all the considered systems to the one of fcc Pt. For bulk Fe we considered the hypothetical ferromagnetic fcc phase. The four most important results are:

a. The spin moments increase only slightly with decreasing dimensionality, as in the case of Co.

b. The orbital moments increase strongly with decreasing dimensionality when we take into account the OP term, as in the case of Co.

c. The contribution of the \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term to the spin sum rule is considerable for the monatomic layers and also for the monatomic wires. This will represent a problem for the determination of the spin moment from experimental XMCD spectra for Fe monolayers and Fe monatomic wires: The \( \langle T_z \rangle \) term cannot be neglected in the spin sum rule, and — at least for the monatomic wires — it cannot be determined safely from angle-dependent XMCD measurements because the above discussed precondition for the application of this technique is not fulfilled.

d. There is a tendency that the spin and orbital sum rules are a bit less well fulfilled than for the Co systems, but the violations are still moderate.
TABLE I: Results for the induced spin and orbital moments for the Pt supported monolayers obtained by the LSDA+OP calculations.

|          | Co       | Fe       |
|----------|----------|----------|
|          | LMTO     | FLAPW    | LMTO     | FLAPW    |
|          | spin     | orbital  | spin     | orbital  |
| 1. Pt layer | 0.28     | 0.08     | 0.10     | 0.04     |
| 2. Pt layer | 0.13     | 0.03     | 0.05     | 0.11     |

FIG. 3: The spin (upper graph) and orbital (lower graph) moments induced on the Pt atoms (open circles) by the monatomic Fe chains (full circles) at the steps of a vicinal Pt surface.

For an interpretation of the experiments it is also interesting to know the spin and orbital moments induced by the Co or Fe atoms in the Pt substrate, because the Pt atoms contribute to any magnetic property of the system, for instance, to the total magnetization and especially to the magneto-optical properties in the visible regime of light. Experimentally, they can be separated from the spin and orbital moments of the Co and Fe atoms by the XMCD technique. Table I shows the results obtained from the LSDA calculations for the Pt supported monolayers including the OP term (very similar results are obtained for the pure LSDA calculation). For the Fe monolayer the induced Pt moments are a bit smaller than for the Co monolayer, especially for the second Pt layer. It should be recalled that no relaxation effects are taken into account and that because of the supercell geometry there is a vacuum sheet below the second Pt layer. Therefore, the quantitative results should not be taken too literally when comparing with experimental data, but the calculations certainly yield the correct order of magnitude for the polarization effect. A similar discussion holds also for the case of the wires on Pt, for which the results for the monatomic Fe wire obtained by the LMTO method are given in Fig. 3. It is interesting to note that for this geometry we get nearly exactly the same results as for the case of a monatomic Co wire.

Altogether, the ab-initio electron theory does not predict a tremendous difference in the physics of Co and Fe monatomic wires although an eventual application of the XMCD spectroscopy on the Fe wires may be less reliable, particularly due to the discussed importance of the magnetic dipolar term.
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