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Abstract—In this work, the problem of moment-based shape orientation and symmetry classification is jointly considered. A generalization and modification of current state-of-the-art geometric moment-based functions is introduced. The properties of these functions are investigated thoroughly using Fourier series analysis and several observations and closed-form solutions are derived. We demonstrate the connection between the results presented in this work and symmetry detection principles suggested from previous complex moment-based formulations. The proposed analysis offers a unifying framework for shape orientation/symmetry detection. In the context of symmetry classification and matching, the second part of this work presents a frequency domain method, aiming at computing a robust moment-based feature set based on a true polar Fourier representation of image complex gradients and a novel periodicity detection scheme using subspace analysis. The proposed approach removes the requirement for accurate shape centroid estimation, which is the main limitation of moment-based methods, operating in the image spatial domain. The proposed framework demonstrated improved performance, compared to state-of-the-art methods.
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I. INTRODUCTION

There is strong evidence that shape orientation identification is an important task, performed during the pre-attentive stage of the human visual processing system, which activates object-oriented mechanisms and helps in scene interpretation [1]. Shape orientation is also an important object visual attribute useful in many image processing and computer vision applications, such as shape analysis and representation, image retrieval, image normalization and object recognition. Over the past years, significant effort has been made by the computer vision community to develop robust and efficient algorithms which aim at defining and computing the orientation of a 2D shape. Unfortunately, the large shape variability hinders the formulation of a common approach for all possible shapes.

It seems reasonable that efficient orientation estimation techniques for machine vision applications should possess the following favorable properties:

1) Reasonable geometric interpretation.
2) Robustness to noise/outliers.
3) Low computational complexity.

4) Flexibility in a sense that important parameters should be automatically computed in a blind environment.
5) Robust performance for a large number of shapes.

In this work, moment-based shape orientation methods are pursued, as they offer a good compromise among the above requirements. Unlike any other methods (see [2],[3] for a detailed review), a moment-based formulation appears to be the only one which provides a complete solution to the shape orientation problem. Additionally, moments are useful features that can be employed to other pattern analysis tasks, such as recognition.

It was shown in [4] that the traditional approach to defining a pattern’s orientation based on second-order central geometric moments [5] will fail for all shapes which are rotationally symmetric of order \( n \) with \( n > 2 \). Defining and computing the orientation of symmetric shapes is the main scope of this work. More specifically, we jointly consider the problem of shape orientation and symmetry detection/classification. Such an approach appears to have a reasonable geometrical interpretation and, therefore, it may be useful for higher level processing such as pattern analysis and classification [6]. In contrast, moment-based methods which compute a single orientation for symmetric patterns [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13] have no clear geometric meaning and therefore their use is mainly limited to image normalization tasks.

In the first part of this work, the focus is on the shape orientation/symmetry classification problem based on a geometric moment-based approach. In particular, we introduce a novel modification and generalization of the objective functions proposed in [4],[6], such that a reflection symmetry criterion is satisfied. The properties of these functions are thoroughly investigated using Fourier series analysis which simplifies mathematical manipulations and enables the derivation of closed-form solutions. Furthermore, we demonstrate that shape orientation principles suggested in previous complex moment formulations [2], [14] can be naturally extended to the case of the proposed geometric moment-based functions. In the light of the presented analysis, moment-based shape orientation can be unified in a single framework.

In the context of moment-based symmetry classification and matching, in the second part of this work, a novel scheme is proposed, aiming at computing moment features in a more stable and robust way than the currently considered state-of-the-art method given in [2]. The core of our method is based on the computation of a moment-based feature set in the image Fourier domain; an approach motivated by the recent development of a fast and accurate algorithm for computing a true polar Fourier representation [15]. The shift invariance
Based on subspace analysis. This work is organized as follows. In the next section, the necessary definitions, that are used throughout in this work, are provided. A brief introduction to the methods which constitute the basis of our approach is presented in section III. In section IV, a detailed description of the novel proposed unifying approach is provided. Section V introduces the novel polar frequency domain moment-based formulation. In section VI, the performance of the proposed framework is evaluated. Finally, section VII outlines the contributions and offerings of this work.

II. DEFINITIONS

A. Types of symmetry

**Definition 1.** An image \(I(x)\), \(x = [x, y]^T \in \mathbb{R}^2\) is rotationally symmetric of order \(n\) (or \(n\)-fold rotationally symmetric) about the symmetry center \(x_0 = [x_0, y_0]^T\) if:

\[
I(x) = I(D(\delta_\lambda)(x - x_0)) \quad (\text{II.1})
\]

where \(\delta_\lambda = 2(\lambda - 1)\pi/n, \lambda = 1, \ldots, n\) and \(D\) is the rotation matrix operator:

\[
D(\delta) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(\delta) & \sin(\delta) \\
-\sin(\delta) & \cos(\delta)
\end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{II.2})
\]

Given a polar representation of \(I\) with respect to the symmetry center \(x_0\), (II.1) takes the form:

\[
I(r, \theta - \delta_\lambda) = I(r, \theta), \quad \lambda = 1, \ldots, n. \quad (\text{II.3})
\]

Additionally, the pattern can be expressed as a repetition of one fold as follows:

\[
I(r_1, \theta_\lambda), \quad \lambda = 1, \ldots, n \quad (\text{II.4})
\]

where \(I(r_1, \theta_1)\) represents one fold of the pattern and \(\theta_\lambda = \theta_1 + 2(\lambda - 1)\pi/n, \lambda = 1, \ldots, n\).

**Definition 2.** An image \(I\) is reflection symmetric about a line \(y = \tan \alpha(x - x_0) + y_0\) that passes through \(x_0\) if:

\[
I(x) = I(L(\alpha)(x - x_0)) \quad (\text{II.5})
\]

where \(L\) is the reflection matrix operator:

\[
L(\alpha) = \begin{bmatrix}
\cos(2\alpha) & \sin(2\alpha) \\
\sin(2\alpha) & -\cos(2\alpha)
\end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{II.6})
\]

Equivalently, in polar coordinates, it holds:

\[
I(r, \alpha + \theta) = I(r, \alpha - \theta) \quad (\text{II.7})
\]

An image \(I\) is both \(n\)-fold rotationally and reflection symmetric about \(x_0\), if there exist \(n\) lines \(\alpha_\lambda = \alpha_0 + (\lambda - 1)\pi/n, \lambda = 1, \ldots, n\) satisfying Eq. (II.5).

B. Optimal axes for shape orientation

Given a 2D pattern, its orientation can be naturally defined from the direction angle of one or more half lines originating from the pattern’s centroid.

**Definition 3.** Let \(\phi_i, i = 1, \ldots, l\) be the direction angles of a set of half lines originating from the pattern’s centroid. Then, the set \(\{\phi_i\}\) can be used to define the orientation of the pattern optimally, if it possesses the following two properties:

1) the set can be detected independently of the coordinate system [14].

2) the set is not redundant.

The first property ensures that the set \(\{\phi_i\}\) will be invariant if the pattern is translated or scaled, while rotation of the pattern by an angle \(\beta\) will result in a set \(\{\phi_i + \beta\}\). The second property ensures that the orientation of the pattern is unique, that is rotation of the pattern by any \(\phi_i\) will normalize the pattern to the same position. The second property also implies that the set of angles \(\{\phi_i\}\) must be equally-spaced over the interval \([0, 2\pi]\).

Figure 1 shows an example which demonstrates the connection between reflection symmetry identification and shape orientation considered in this work. In Fig. 1 (a), the axes of reflection symmetry for a symmetric pattern of order 6 are sketched. For the same pattern, the optimal axes are shown in Fig. 1 (b). It can be observed that the set of axes in Fig. 1 (b) is a subset of the reflection symmetry directions in Fig. 1 (a). For the rotationally symmetric shape of Fig. 1 (c), reflection symmetry does not exist, nevertheless optimal axes may well be defined.

![Identification of reflection symmetry and detection of optimal axes](Image)

(a) 6 lines indicate the reflection symmetry directions. (b), (c): 6 half-lines define the optimal axes.

C. Geometric and complex moments

The Geometric and Complex moments, that will be used in the analysis, are defined in this section:

**Definition 4.** The geometric central moments of an image \(I\) are defined as:

\[
\mu_{pq} = \int_x \int_y (x - \overline{x}_0)^p(y - \overline{y}_0)^q I(x, y)dydx \quad (\text{II.8})
\]

where \(\overline{p}_{pq} = \int_x \int_y x^p y^q I(x, y)dydx\). In the remaining of this paper, we will assume that the pattern’s centroid is used as the origin of the coordinate system and consequently \(\mu_{pq} = \overline{p}_{pq}\). Of particular interest in this work is the moment-based objective function obtained by rotating \(I\) by \(\delta \in [0, 2\pi]\) and evaluating \(\mu_{pq}\) as follows:

\[
M_{pq}(\delta) = \int_x \int_y x^p y^q I(D(\delta)x)dydx \quad (\text{II.9})
\]
A change of variables yields:
\[ M_{pq}(\delta) = \int_x \int_y d_x(\delta)^p d_y(\delta)^q I(x, y)dydx \] (II.10)
where
\[ \begin{bmatrix} d_x(\delta) \\ d_y(\delta) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x \cos \delta - y \sin \delta \\ x \sin \delta + y \cos \delta \end{bmatrix} \] (II.11)
The function \( M_{pq} \) is said to be degenerate, if \( M_{pq}(\delta) = \) constant, \( \forall \delta \in [0, 2\pi) \). Assuming \( K \) samples of \( I \), we may estimate (II.10) as follows:
\[ \tilde{M}_{pq}(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} d_x(\delta)^p d_y(\delta)^q I(x_i, y_i) \] (II.12)

**Definition 5.** The complex moments of an image \( I \) are defined in the polar domain as:
\[ c_{pq} = \int_r^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} r^{p+1} e^{i\theta} I(r, \theta) d\theta dr \] (II.13)
We estimate (II.13) as follows:
\[ \tilde{c}_{pq} = \sum_{i=1}^{K} r_i^{p+1} e^{i\theta_i} I(r_i, \theta_i) \] (II.14)

### III. Moment-based shape orientation: A brief review

In this section, the main results of [2], [4],[5],[6],[14] are summarized, constituting the basis of our work. For most irregular patterns (including patterns with a single axis of reflection symmetry and 2-fold rotationally symmetric shapes), orientation can be naturally derived from the direction of the pattern’s axis of elongation. The principal axis method [5] estimates this direction by seeking the minima of the second-order moment-based function \( M_{02} \). It can be shown that if \( M_{02} \) is non-degenerate, the minimization problem has two solutions, namely \( \phi^{pa} \) and \( \phi^{pa} + \pi \). Note that with the exception of 2-fold rotationally symmetric shapes, the set \( \{ \phi^{pa}, \phi^{pa} + \pi \} \), provides a sub-optimal solution to the orientation problem, since the second property of Def. 3 is not satisfied.

For \( n \)-fold (\( n > 2 \)) rotationally symmetric shapes, it can be proved that \( M_{02} \) is degenerate [4], [6]. More specifically, it was shown that the moment function \( M_{0N} \) will be non-degenerate only if \( N \geq n \). In this case, minimizing \( M_{0n} \) yields \( n \) generalized principal axis solutions uniformly distributed over \([0, 2\pi)\), namely \( \phi^{pa}_{\lambda} = \phi^{pa} + 2(\lambda - 1)\pi/n, \lambda = 1, \ldots, n \). It can be seen that the set \( \{ \phi^{pa}_{\lambda} \} \) provides an optimal solution to the shape orientation problem, by associating each fold with a unique half line. It was additionally shown in [6], that in the case that \( n \) is odd, the set \( \{ \phi^{pa}_{\lambda} \} \) will not coincide with the directions of reflection symmetry if those exist. The authors showed that, in this case, minimizing \( M_{0N} \) with \( N > n \) even is likely to provide a solution to this problem.

In addition to geometric moments, complex moments have also been employed as useful features to define the orientation of symmetric patterns. The authors in [10] showed that for an \( n \)-fold rotationally symmetric shape the complex moment \( c_{q-1q} \) will be non-zero only if \( q \) is an integer multiple of the fold number, that is \( q = sn, s \in \mathbb{N}^* \). If \( q_{min} \) is the smallest integer such that \( c_{q-1q} \neq 0 \) and \( \phi^{upa} = \angle c_{q_{min}−1q_{min}}, \) the universal principal axes [14] are defined as \( \phi^{upa}_{N} = (\phi^{upa} + 2(\lambda - 1)\pi)/q_{min}, \lambda = 1, \ldots, q_{min} \). Note that the set of \( \{ \phi^{upa}_{\lambda} \} \) is optimal only if \( q_{min} = n \).

The framework given in [2] is a generalization of the universal principal axes method and is considered state-of-the-art in moment-based shape orientation and symmetry classification. First, a method for the automatic selection of the order \( p \) is presented in [2]. Then, it is shown that classification of both rotational and reflection symmetry can be performed by considering all non-zero complex moments \( c_{pq}, i = 1,2, \ldots \). The phase of each non-zero generalized complex moment \( \phi^{gcm}_{pq} = \angle c_{pq} \), is used to define a set of directions \( \phi^{gcm}_{i\lambda} = (\phi^{gcm}_{pq} + (\lambda-1)\pi)/q_i, \lambda = 1, \ldots, q_i \). The reflection symmetry axes are obtained from the intersection of the axis sets \( \{ \phi^{gcm}_{i\lambda} \} \). Additionally, the fold number is estimated as the biggest common factor of the orders \( q_i \). Optimal axes are obtained by solving a simple linear programming problem.

### IV. A unifying framework for shape orientation

In this section, a novel methodology is presented that extends previous work on geometric moment-based functions and attempts to unify most of the results of the methods described above in a single framework and perspective. Two modifications to the geometric moment-based approach presented in [4] are introduced. Firstly, an one-to-one mapping \( f : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \) which operates on the distance \( r \) of each point from the origin is introduced:
\[ f(r) = f(\sqrt{x^2 + y^2}) = f(\sqrt{d_x^2(\delta) + d_y^2(\delta)}) \] (IV.1)

It should be noted that the above mapping does not modify the angle distribution \( \theta \). Then, a modified moment-based function \( W_{pq} \) can be defined, as follows:
\[ W_{pq}(\delta) = \int_x \int_y \left\{ f(\sqrt{d_x^2(\delta) + d_y^2(\delta)}) \right\} d_x p(\delta) d_y q(\delta) I(x, y)dydx \] (IV.2)

Since \( f \) is an one-to-one function and operates only on \( r \), inferring symmetry from either \( M_{pq} \) or \( W_{pq} \) is equivalent.

Secondly, moment-based functions of order \( p + q \) larger than \( n \) are examined. Such an attempt was also made in [6]; however, the presented approach neither guarantees the detection of possible reflection symmetry axes nor provides a closed-form solution. In general, optimizing \( W_{pq} \) for arbitrary \( p, q \) does not result in reflection symmetry identification [6]. Nevertheless, the orders \( p, q \) can be selected such that \( W_{pq} \) satisfies a reflection symmetry criterion. Indeed, if we set \( p = N_1 = 2k_1 + 1, q = N_2 = 2k_2 + 1 \), where \( k_1, k_2 \in \mathcal{N} \), then for a reflection symmetric pattern of order \( n \), \( W_{N_1,N_2} \) will necessarily have at least \( 2n \) zero-crossing points equally spaced over the interval \([0, 2\pi)\). The estimated zero-crossing points in this case will indicate the reflection symmetry directions.

\(^1\mathbb{N}^* \) is the set of non-negative integers
In the remaining section of this paper, an analysis of the functions $W_{N_1N_2}$ based on Fourier series decomposition is introduced. Using the proposed formulation all symmetry information (fold number estimation, axes of reflection symmetry/optimal axes for orientation and type of symmetry) can be extracted from $W_{N_1N_2}$ and via straightforward mathematical manipulations, one can employ solely closed-form solutions and formulæ to tackle these tasks. Proposition 1 provides a way to estimate the order of symmetry. Proposition 2 is related to the proper selection of the orders $N_1$ and $N_2$. Finally, proposition 3 proposes a method to estimate the possible axes of reflection symmetry and identify the type of symmetry.

**Proposition 1.** Let $W_{N_1N_2}$ be a non-degenerate moment-based function derived from a rotationally symmetric pattern of order $n$ and let $\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(l)$, $l = 1, 2, \ldots$, be the corresponding Fourier series coefficients. Then, $n$ can be estimated as the frequency bin of the first-non zero coefficient, or alternatively, is out of the scope of this work.

$A$ and $B$ are non-negative integers such that $A \leq N_1 < \frac{N_2}{2}$ and $B \leq N_2 < \frac{N_1}{2}$. Let also, for a fixed $s = \ln l, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $p_{s,i}, q_{s,i}$ be the integers which satisfy the conditions $2(p - q) = sn$ or $2(p + q + 1) = sn$. Finally, we denote $g$ the function $g(r) = f(r)r^{N_1+1}$. Then, it can be shown (see Appendix B) that the Fourier series expansion $\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)$ of the non-degenerate moment-based function $W_{N_1N_2}$ is given by:

$$\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{m} I(r_{\kappa}, \theta_{\kappa})g(r_{\kappa})e^{jsn\theta_{\kappa+1}}, \ s = \ln$$

(VI.4)

where $m$ is the total number of points in one fold. Let $p, q$ be non-negative integers such that $p_{\max} = \frac{N_1-1}{2}$ and $q_{\max} = \frac{N_2-1}{2}$. Let also, for a fixed $s = \ln l, l \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $p_{s,i}, q_{s,i}$ be the integers which satisfy the conditions $2(p - q) = sn$ or $2(p + q + 1) = sn$. Finally, we denote $g$ the function $g(r) = f(r)r^{N_1+1}$. Then, it can be shown (see Appendix B) that the Fourier series expansion $\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)$ of the non-degenerate moment-based function $W_{N_1N_2}$ is given by:

$$\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{m} I(r_{\kappa}, \theta_{\kappa})g(r_{\kappa})e^{jsn\theta_{\kappa+1}}, \ s = \ln$$

(VI.4)

where $B_{N_1N_2}(s) = \sum_{i}(-1)^{q_{s,i}}A(N_1-1, p_{s,i})A(N_2-1, q_{s,i})$ and $A(a, b)$ is a weighting coefficient depending solely on $a, b$.

In the case that $N_1 + N_2 < n$, a slightly modified version of the theorem given in [4], [6] can be proposed (for proof see Appendix C), as follows:

**Proposition 2.** Let $W_{N_1N_2}$ be a moment-based function derived from a rotationally symmetric pattern of order $n$. In the case that $N_1 + N_2 < n$, then $W_{N_1N_2}$ will be degenerate.

For $N_1 + N_2 > n$, $W_{N_1N_2}$ will be degenerate only in the case that $\{B_{N_1N_2}(s)\}^{s_{\max}}_{s_{\min}} = 0$, where $s_{\max} = [(N_1 + N_2)/n]$. Nonetheless, a thorough investigation of this scenario is out of the scope of this work.

Summarizing the results of this section so far, we have provided a methodology to estimate the order of symmetry through the Fourier series expansion of geometric moment-based functions of appropriate order. Analytical expressions for the Fourier coefficients are provided by (IV.4). Next, the focus is on reflection symmetry identification. This problem is closely related to the determination of the zero-crossing points of the cosine terms defined by $\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)$ in (IV.4).

To tackle this task, $W_{N_1N_2}$ is expressed as the superposition of the cosine terms (Fourier series) defined by $\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)$, as follows:

$$W_{N_1N_2}(\delta) = \sum_{s=1}^{s_{\max}} 2|\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)| \cos[sn\delta + \angle \hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)]$$

(IV.5)

We also denote $\Xi_{N_1N_2}(s, \delta) = 2|\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)| \cos[sn\delta + \angle \hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)]$ and $\xi(s, \delta) = \Xi_{N_1N_2}(s, \delta)/\pi B_{N_1N_2}(s)$. The zero-crossing points of $\Xi_{N_1N_2}(s, \delta)$ and $\xi(s, \delta)$ are given by:

$$z_{s,\lambda} = \frac{\pi}{2} - \angle \hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s) + (\lambda - 1)\pi,$$

(VI.6)

where $\hat{W}(s)$ is defined as the normalized Fourier coefficient:

$$\hat{W}(s) = \frac{\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)}{\pi e^{-\pi/2}B_{N_1N_2}(s)} = \sum_{n=1}^{m} I(r_{\kappa}, \theta_{\kappa})g(r_{\kappa})e^{jn\theta_{\kappa+1}},$$

(VI.7)

**Proposition 3.** Let $\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s)$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots$ be the non-zero Fourier Coefficients of the moment-based functions $W_{N_1N_2}$ with $N_1 = 2l_1+1, N_2 = 2l_2+1, i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, computed from a reflection symmetric pattern of order $n$. Then, the cosine terms defined from $\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(s), \Xi_{N_1N_2}(s, \delta)$ and $W_{N_1N_2}$ will necessarily have the same subset of $2n$ zero-crossing points.

Thus, reflection symmetry can be derived from the identification of the subset $\{z_l\}$ in $\{z_{s,\lambda}\}$ (for proof of Proposition 3, see Appendix D). More specifically, the axes of reflection symmetry will be included in the set of directions:

$$\alpha_{s,\lambda} = \frac{\pi}{sn} - z_{s,\lambda}, \lambda = 1, \ldots, sn$$

(IV.8)

In addition, the observation that $W_{N_1N_2}$ will necessarily include the same subset of a total of $2n$ zeros independently of the order selection (see Appendix D) is not valid for $n$-fold rotationally symmetric patterns with no reflection symmetry. Therefore, considering the derived Fourier series expansion of $W_{N_1N_2}$ enables us to apply the reflection symmetry identification principle, suggested in [2], in the proposed framework.

Similarly to [14], if $|\hat{W}(1)| \neq 0$, a set of optimal axes can be defined as:

$$\phi^\alpha_{\lambda} = \frac{\angle \hat{W}(1)}{sn} - 2(\lambda - 1)\pi, \lambda = 1, \ldots, n$$

(IV.9)

In the rare case that $|\hat{W}(1)|$ vanishes, then optimal axes can be obtained by solving the linear programming problem given in [2].

Equations (IV.8) and (IV.9) suggest that both problems of reflection symmetry identification and shape orientation reduce
to the computation of the normalized Fourier coefficient \( \hat{w}(s) \). This establishes a more clear connection of the proposed approach with the methods given in [2],[14]. It should be noted that \( \hat{w}(s) \) is computed using only the points contained in one fold of the pattern\(^2\). Knowledge of the number of points in one fold implicitly assumes knowledge of the fold number. This dependency can be alleviated by averaging over all points as follows:

\[
\hat{w}_{av}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} I(r_i, \theta_i)g(r_i)e^{jsn\theta_i}, s = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (IV.10)
\]

Let us now consider the term:

\[
\hat{q}(l) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} I(r_i, \theta_i)g(r_i)e^{j\theta l}, l = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (IV.11)
\]

Clearly, for \( l = sn \), the term \( \hat{q}(l) \equiv \hat{w}_{av}(s) \). Now for \( l \neq sn \), using the methodology presented in [10], it can be shown that \( \hat{q}(l) = 0 \). Thus, evaluating \( \hat{q}(l) \), \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \) and finding \( \hat{q}(l) \neq 0 \) is equivalent to computing the Fourier transform of all non-degenerate moment-based functions and picking the non-zero normalized Fourier coefficients \( \hat{w}_{av}(s) \) in one step. Finally, it can be observed that, in the special case where \( g(r) = r^l \) and \( g(r) = r^{p+1} \), we obtain \( \hat{q}(l) \equiv c_{l-1l} \) and \( \hat{q}(l) \equiv \hat{c}_{pl} \) respectively. Thus, the methods in [14] and [2] can be derived as special cases of the general approach presented in the section.

V. ROBUST FEATURE SELECTION IN THE POLAR FREQUENCY DOMAIN

An important conclusion that can be drawn from the analysis presented in the previous section is that the performance of moment-based shape orientation largely depends on a feature set where the difference between the zero and non-zero coefficients is emphasized as much as possible. It should also be noted that the same feature set can be additionally used for further pattern analysis tasks, such as classification. Thus, the aim of this section is to introduce a robust moment-based feature extraction methodology for symmetric patterns, that can be used for several pattern analysis tasks.

In general, moments are global features that appear to be quite insensitive to uniform distortions of the symmetric pattern. In the context of shape orientation and complex moments, this was experimentally verified in [12], where the boundaries of shapes were contaminated by Gaussian noise. Nevertheless, the accurate computation of the moment-based feature set and consequently the identification of non-zero coefficients in a stable and robust manner strongly depends on the accurate computation of the shape centroid. Inaccurate calculation of the symmetry center results in a non-uniform change of the angular distribution \( \theta \), thus rendering the values of zero and non-zero coefficients comparable. Errors in center estimation may be caused due to digitization errors, non-uniform illumination conditions, poor segmentation or partial occlusion. Although the symmetric patterns are assumed to be already segmented in this work, such distortion cases may well be encountered.

To alleviate the aforementioned problem of erroneous centroid estimation, the core of the approach is based on the computation of a feature set from the image Fourier domain. Let \( \hat{I}(k) \), \( k = [k_x, k_y]^T \in \mathbb{R}^2 \) be the 2D Fourier transform of \( I \) and \( M \) be the magnitude of \( \hat{I} \), that is \( M(k) = |\hat{I}(k)| \). The following lemmas are directly derived from the properties of the Fourier transform of symmetric images:

**Lemma A.** If \( I \) satisfies (II.1), then \( M \) will be also rotationally symmetric of order \( n \) or \( 2n \) around the origin, if \( n \) is even or odd respectively.

**Lemma B.** If \( I \) satisfies (II.5), then \( M \) will also be reflection symmetric about the line \( y = \tan \alpha x \).

Thus, (IV.11) in the image Fourier domain takes the form:

\[
\hat{q}_M(l) = \sum_{k_x, k_y} M(k_x, k_y)g(k_x)e^{jlk_y}, l = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (V.1)
\]

where \( M(k_x, k_y) \) is the polar representation of \( M \). Lemmas A and B suggest that a feature set computed from the image Fourier domain may well be used for symmetry classification. Additionally, the computation of the features does not employ the estimation of the pattern’s centroid, due to the shift invariant property of the Fourier transform. In the remaining of this section, we provide a detailed description of the proposed method based on the above principles.

The proposed approach starts with computing a gray level edge map \( G \) of the given image \( I \), which retains both magnitude and phase information, as follows:

\[
G = G_x + jG_y \quad (V.2)
\]

where \( G_x = \nabla_x I \) and \( G_y = \nabla_y I \) are the gradients along the horizontal and vertical direction respectively. This step provides the location, magnitude and orientation of the image high-activity structures which can be used as salient features to characterize symmetry. Areas of constant intensity level also provide symmetry information, nevertheless such areas are very sensitive to possible uneven lighting conditions. At the same time, low spatial frequency components inherent to the low pass nature of images will be filtered out as well. For real images, in most cases, the contribution of low spatial frequencies rather shadows the existence of periodicity than facilitates the symmetry detection process. Additionally, it should be noted that a band-pass filtered version of the original image, as suggested by the use of practical differential operators, eliminates possible noise and aliasing effects [16].

The next step is to compute the Fourier transform of \( G \), \( \hat{G} \), and consider its magnitude solely. In this case, we have:

\[
\hat{G}(k) = jk_x\hat{I}(k) - k_y\hat{I}(k) \quad (V.3)
\]

Thus, for the magnitude \( M_G \) we get:

\[
M_G(k) = \sqrt{(k_x^2 + k_y^2)}M(k) = k_xM(k) \quad (V.4)
\]

which shows that \( M_G \) retains all the symmetric properties of \( M \). In the remaining of this paper, we denote \( M \) the magnitude of the Fourier transform computed from either \( I \) or \( G \), to simplify notation.
Equation (V.1) indicates the use of a polar representation. A traditional approach to obtain such a representation is to evaluate the Fourier Transform of the image over the Cartesian grid using the standard FFT and then interpolate the outcome over a polar grid. In general, this method is unstable and sensitive to interpolation errors due to possible image noise [17]. Additionally, in the context of moment-based orientation and matching, such an approach will be biased towards moments that are largely affected by the Cartesian structure of the original FFT. More specifically, moments of order multiple of two or four are expected to have relatively significant values regardless of the real order of symmetry. The last observations emphasize the importance of using a true polar Fourier representation. Therefore, in this work, we adopt a fast and accurate polar Fourier transform recently proposed in [15]. The method is algebraically stable while the algorithm’s computational complexity is on the order of the standard Cartesian FFT.

To improve the robustness of the proposed method, a noise reduction scheme is also employed, based on the classical singular value decomposition (SVD) of the polar representation \( M(r_k, k_\theta) \) in a set of eigenimages [18]. More specifically, the magnitude of the polar Fourier transform \( M \) is decomposed to the following matrices:

\[
M = USV^T = \sum_{j=1}^{R} s_j M_j
\]

(V.5)

where the columns of \( U \) and \( V \) are the eigenvectors of the subspace spanned by the columns and rows of \( M \) respectively and \( S \) is a diagonal matrix containing the corresponding eigenvalues. \( M_j = \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{v}_j^T \) is the \( j^{th} \) eigimage, \( s_j \) is the corresponding eigenvalue and \( R \) is the rank of \( M \). Observing that \( \{ \mathbf{v}_j \}_{j=1}^R \) is a subspace spanned by the rows of \( M \), the following lemmas are given without proof:

**Lemma C.** Let \( M \) be the polar representation of a rotationally symmetric image of order \( n \) and let \( M_j \) be the corresponding \( j^{th} \) eigimage. Then, \( M_j \) will be rotationally symmetric of order \( s_n \), \( s \in \mathbb{N}^* \).

**Lemma D.** If \( M \) is reflection symmetric about a line \( y = \tan \alpha x \), then \( M_j \) will also be reflection symmetric about the same line.

Evaluating (V.1) using \( M_j \) only yields:

\[
\tilde{q}_{M_j}(l) = \sum_{k_r, k_\theta} M_j(k_r, k_\theta) g(k_r) e^{jlk_\theta} \\
= \sum_{k_r} u_j(k_r) g(k_r) \sum_{k_\theta} v_j(k_\theta) e^{jlk_\theta}, \quad l = 1, 2, \ldots
\]

(V.6)

The above equation suggests that the computation of the coefficients \( \tilde{q}_{M_j}(l), \quad l = 1, \ldots \) simply reduces to the computation of the DFT of \( v_j(k_\theta) \).

In the context of this work, the scheme suggested above can be interpreted as follows. Firstly, the estimation of each eigenvector combines all symmetry information provided by the rows of \( M \). In contrast to simple averaging schemes [9],[19], where a periodic pattern is constructed by averaging the polar representation over \( r \), the estimation in our case will be biased towards the rows of \( M \), that capture periodic components of large magnitude. This comes directly from the fact that SVD projects the input image on eigenimages that minimize the mean squared reconstruction error. This property is favorable since such components are likely to provide more robust estimations of the true periodicity. Secondly, it is suggested in [20] that SVD-based processing of an image results in noise filtering along the image vertical and horizontal lines. This is also a favorable property in our case, since symmetry is inferred solely from the rows of \( M \).

Elementary matrix approximation theory suggests that the best approximation \( \tilde{M} \) of the matrix \( M \), in terms of minimizing the mean squared error and under the constraint that \( \text{rank}(M) = L \), is given by reconstructing \( M \) using the \( L \) most significant eigenvectors according to (V.5). In our case, we are not interested in optimal compact representations and, given the \( L \) most important eigenvectors, we wish to estimate their fundamental frequency which is equal to the order of symmetry. Assuming that the image is corrupted by white noise isotropically distributed in all signal dimensions, the signal-to-noise ratio for the \( j^{th} \) eigenvector will be equal to \( s_j / \sigma^2 \), where \( \sigma^2 \) is the power of noise. In this case, the first eigenvector is guaranteed to be the least noisy periodic pattern achieving the highest signal-to-noise ratio. Any combination of eigenvectors will result in a more noisy periodic pattern and therefore in less reliable estimates of the pattern’s periodicity.

For cluttered images (for example images where the symmetric pattern is embedded in a complex background) which result in cluttered Fourier transforms, noise is not white and the above analysis does not hold. Assuming that there exists a range of values of \( k_r \), such that the corresponding rows of \( M \) are strong periodic patterns with dominant frequency equal to the order of symmetry, it is still expected that this periodicity will be captured by one of the most important eigenvectors. In particular, when the amount of clutter is not large, the periodic signal will be captured by the first eigenvector. Experimentation suggested that the remaining eigenvectors are noisy and therefore do not constitute a positive contribution to the order estimation process.

As the amount of distortion increases, there might be a case that the first eigenvector captures the effect of clutter (in most cases this is a pattern with strong very low frequency components) and the desired periodic pattern appears as one of the remaining eigenvectors. In this case, considering the first \( L \) eigenvectors, as described in the algorithm given below, equips the proposed scheme with further robustness at the cost of additional computational complexity. Note that if the desired periodic pattern does not appear as one of the very first few most significant eigenvectors, then the assumption for the existence of a strong periodic pattern along the rows of the \( M \) probably does not hold and the method is likely to fail. Therefore, one should check only for small values of \( L \); however, we note that we have not devised an algorithm for the automatic selection of the exact number of the eigenvectors to be examined.

In the following, the basic steps of the proposed frequency domain moment-based symmetry detection algorithm are described in detail. An image registration technique is used to
resolve the order ambiguity induced by the frequency domain formulation. If more than one eigenvectors are considered, the same method is employed to recover the true order of symmetry. In general, image registration methods can provide the basis of a brute force approach for symmetry detection, since we can always rotate the input image by $2\pi/l$, $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and check if the original image and its rotated version can be registered successfully. Once the order has been identified, the center of symmetry can be estimated using the approach suggested in [3],[19]. The method is based on the observation that symmetric images of order $n$, when rotated by $2\pi/n$, are related to each other by a pure translation. Once the translation is recovered, a simple geometric inspection reveals that both the distance and the direction of the center of symmetry with respect to the image center can be easily computed. Finally, the frequency domain formulation also induces a further ambiguity when the pattern’s orientation axes are to be computed, as described in the last step of our algorithm. To resolve the problem, an additional moment can be computed with good accuracy. To resolve the problem, an additional moment axes are to be computed, as described in the last step of our algorithm. To resolve the problem, an additional moment induces a further ambiguity when the pattern’s orientation is known. Given that the total energy of $\{F\}$ contains any moments are gathered in the set $\{F_n\}$, where $\{F_n\}$ includes the moment features which correspond to moment orders multiples of 5, while all the remaining moments are gathered in the set $\{F_z\}$.

For the image registration method used in our scheme, we suggest the use of fast FFT-based correlation schemes [16]. The values of $L$ and $\epsilon$ should be adjusted depending on the application. Note that the very last step of the algorithm can be modified such that no threshold selection is employed at the cost of additional computational complexity. This can be done by computing a reflected version of the input image about any of the axes which define the pattern’s orientation. Then, one needs to check if the original image and its reflected version can be registered successfully.

VI. RESULTS

The main target of this section is to draw a comparison between Shen’s complex moment formulation which operates on the image spatial domain [2] and the frequency domain moment-based approach proposed in section V. For this purpose we have considered more than 150 patterns taken from two different databases used in [3] and in [2],[12]. A representative sample of the images used is shown in Fig. 2. The section is organized in a way such that performance is assessed for progressively increased shape distortions and more challenging situations.

A. A study of the effect of erroneous symmetry center estimation

In this part of the experimental section, we study the performance of moment-based symmetry detection methods in the case where no shape distortion exists, but the shape centroid is artificially displaced. Let us first denote by $\{F\}$ any moment-based feature set computed from a symmetric pattern of order $n$, that is $\{F\} = \{c_pq\}, \{\tilde{q}\} \cup \{\tilde{q}_M\}$ or $\{\tilde{q}_1\}$. We also write $\{F\} = \{F_{n_z}\} \cup \{F_z\}$, where $\{F_{n_z}\}$ and $\{F_z\}$ is the set of the expected non-zero and zero coefficients respectively $^4$. For example, given a 5–fold rotationally symmetric pattern, the set $\{F_{n_z}\}$ includes the moment features which correspond to moment orders multiples of 5, while all the remaining moments are gathered in the set $\{F_z\}$.

For each tested pattern, we assume that the symmetry order is known. Given that the total energy of $\{F\}$ is normalized to one, that is \[ \sum_{n_z} |F_n|^2 = 1, \] the evaluation is based on computing the following metric:

\[ R = \sum_{n_z} |F_{n_z}|^2 \quad (VI.1) \]

The above simple metric can be used to measure the effect caused by deviations from perfect symmetry on the various moment-based symmetry detection methods. For example, for perfect symmetries, it is expected that the set $\{F_z\}$ contains negligible values and therefore $R \simeq 1$. As the amount of symmetry distortion increases, the moments included in the

$^3$each row of $M$ is normalized to zero mean to remove the local DC bias.

$^4$The indices $n_z$ and $z$ here stand for non-zero and zero respectively.
set \( \{ F_c \} \) will no longer be zero, and therefore the value of \( R \) is expected to decrease. The amount of decrease reflects the robustness of each method in relation to the type of symmetry distortion.

We study the performance of Shen’s method [2] which operates on the image spatial domain and therefore it is affected by the erroneous symmetry center estimation. We have examined displacements \( \Delta r \) from the real symmetry center of size up to 3 pixels. More specifically, for a fixed \( \Delta r \), we define \( \Delta x = \Delta r \cos(\gamma) \) and \( \Delta y = \Delta r \sin(\gamma) \), where \( \gamma \) is uniformly distributed over \([0, 2\pi]\). We then compute a polar representation of the image using \( c'_x(\Delta r, \gamma) = c_x + \Delta x \) and \( c'_y(\Delta r, \gamma) = c_y + \Delta y \), where \( c_x, c_y \) are the standard estimates of the pattern’s centroid coordinates. Finally, we compute \( R(\Delta r) = (1/N_\gamma) \sum \gamma R(\Delta r, \gamma) \), where \( N_\gamma \) is the number of angles used.

The method in [2] is compared with the proposed frequency domain moment-based scheme with \( L = 1 \) which does not employ any centroid estimation. Figure 3 shows a qualitative comparison of the two methods by plotting the distribution of the ratio \( R(\Delta r) \), for \( \Delta r = \{1, 2, 3\} \) in the same histogram. The width of each histogram bin is 0.1 units, while its center is indicated by the numbering of the \( x \)-axis in each plot. It can be seen that the performance of the method in [2] rapidly deteriorates with respect to increasing values of \( \Delta r \).

B. Classification accuracy for the case of local distortions and noise

The main purpose of this section is to draw a comparison between the performance of Shen’s method and that of the proposed scheme in the presence of controlled local distortions and noise. To generate the corrupted test images, we have used a linear degradation model [5]:

\[
I_c = (1 - W_G) I + n
\]  

where \( I \) and \( I_c \) is the original and the corrupted image respectively. The image \( I \) is assumed to be normalized in the range \([0, 1]\), while the image domain is supposed to be \([-1/2, 1/2] \times [-1/2, 1/2] \). \( W_G = e^{-|x-x_c|^2/2\sigma_c^2} \) is a 2D Gaussian function of standard deviation \( \sigma_c \) centered at the point \( x_c = [x_c, y_c]^T \) of the image plane, while \( n \) is zero mean white Gaussian noise.

The term \( 1 - W_G \) models local shape distortions controlled by the parameter \( \sigma_c \). For small values of \( \sigma_c \), very local and relatively abrupt changes of the image intensity values around the point \( x_c \) are modeled. As \( \sigma_c \) increases, the number of pixels affected also increases but the change in the intensity values becomes smoother. Fig. 4 (a),(b) and (c) shows three corrupted images for three representative values of \( \sigma_c = 0.2, 0.5 \) and 1 respectively. It can be observed that the term \( 1 - W_G \) attempts to model the effect of inefficient image segmentation.

Since the effect of the local distortion model will be generally different for each pattern, we have chosen a set of 5 representative shapes (shown in Fig. 2 (a)-(e)) of various symmetry orders and we present classification results for each pattern separately. Figures 2 (a),(d) and (e) illustrate examples of doughnut-like, disk-like and garland-like symmetric patterns. Figure 2 (b) shows a radial shape, while the pattern in Fig. 2 (c) represents more typical examples of symmetry. For each pattern, a set of test images is generated as follows. Given a fixed value of \( \sigma_c \) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR), a point on the pattern’s boundary is randomly selected and used as the center of \( W_G \). Boundary points are considered solely, since the outer part of the shape is more likely to be corrupted by local distortions such as segmentation errors. The term \( (1 - W_G) I \) is computed and then white Gaussian noise of fixed power is added to the result to obtain \( I_c \). The power of noise is calculated according to the given SNR value and the energy of the original image \( I \). Finally, for each \( \sigma_c \) and SNR, to assure the validity of the classification results, a total of 100 test images is generated. We have considered \( \sigma_c = 0.2, 0.5 \) and 1 and SNRs in the range \([-6, 12]\) dBs (see Fig. 4 (d) and (f) for an example).

Classification results are presented for 4 different cases. The first is the proposed scheme based on the first eigenvector solely. The classification is said to be correct if the symmetry order is given by \( \text{arg}_j \max \hat{\nu}_j(l) \). The second is the proposed scheme based on the combination of the first and second eigenvectors. In this case, the classification is said to be correct if any of \( \nu'_j = \text{arg}_j \max \hat{\nu}_j(l) \), \( j = 1, 2 \) coincides with the expected symmetry order. The third is a simple averaging scheme suggested as part of the works in [9],[19]. More specifically, we replace SVD with averaging over \( k_r \), that is we compute \( \alpha(k_o) = \sum_k M(k_r, k_o) \) and its spectrum \( \hat{\alpha} = \text{DFT}(\alpha) \). Similarly, the pattern is classified correctly if the order of symmetry is \( \text{arg}_j \max \hat{\nu}_j(l) \). Since two possible solutions are examined by our combined scheme, we also consider the second largest peak for a more balanced comparison. Finally, in a similar spirit, the performance of Shen’s method is evaluated.

The classification rate for each method and pattern is illustrated in Fig. 5. Each row of the figure gives the classification rate for each pattern for \( \sigma_c = 0.2, 0.5 \) and 1 respectively. The
robustness of the proposed combined scheme is evident. For $\sigma_c = 0.2$ and for SNR as low as -3 dB, the classification rate is higher than 0.8 for all patterns. For $\sigma_c = 0.5$ and SNR = 3 dB, the method achieves a minimum classification rate of 0.9. Good performance for most patterns is achieved for SNR in the range [3, 12] dB and $\sigma_c = 1$, with the exception of the fourth pattern (Fig. 5 (l)) where the method fails. With few exceptions, the scheme based on the first eigenvector solely, outperforms the rest of the methods examined. A characteristic case where the scheme fails is for the second pattern and $\sigma_c = 0.5$ (Fig. 5 (e)). It can be observed that using the second eigenvector, in this case, is highly beneficial. The scheme based on averaging is, in general, unstable. For the first and fifth pattern, the method achieves relatively good performance, while for the second and third pattern, the classification rate is very low for all values of $\sigma_c$. The method in [2] fails badly for the majority of the cases examined. Finally, the proposed scheme was also tested for the case of the first three eigenvectors. The gain in performance was not significant (for example, the method still fails for the fourth pattern and $\sigma_c = 1$). For simplicity, the obtained results are omitted.

In addition to classification accuracy, Fig. 6 shows the mean value of the orientation error in degrees defined as $\epsilon = |\phi_1 - \phi'_1|$, where $\{\phi_\lambda\}$ and $\{\phi'_\lambda\}$ is the set of orientation axes computed from the noisy and noise-free versions of each pattern respectively. It can be observed that, in most cases, the proposed scheme achieves the smallest error.

C. Experiments with real images

The last part of the experimental section illustrates some examples where the proposed scheme is used to detect symmetries in real images. The patterns depicted in Fig. 7 (a),(c),(d) and (f) are cases of non-perfect symmetries embedded in a complex background. Figure 7 (b) shows an example of partial occlusion, while Fig. 7 (e) gives an example of symmetry distorted by projective distortion. In general, moment-based methods which operate in the image spatial domain are unable to handle such cases, since they are not robust.

For simplicity, we do not assess the effectiveness of the image registration method employed in our scheme. The algorithm was able to detect the correct order of symmetry for the first five patterns. The true order was recovered from the spectrum of the first eigenvector, with the exception of Fig. 7 (c), where the correct solution was provided by the additional use of the second eigenvector. For each case, the spectrum of the extracted periodic pattern is shown in Fig. 8. Depending on the type of symmetry, Fig. 9 shows the estimated axes of orientation and reflection symmetry.

For the last pattern in Fig. 7 (f), a visual inspection reveals that the true order of symmetry is 3. However, it can be observed that only a very small number of image features indicate the existence of symmetry and, therefore, global schemes, such as the one presented in this work, are likely to fail.

For the example of the projective distortion, Fig. 8 (e) shows that the extracted periodic pattern is noisy, while the estimated axes of symmetry as sketched in Fig. 9 (e) do not accurately match with the true axes of symmetry. In general, for complex geometric transforms, such as affine, the assumption for the existence of a global periodic pattern implied by the definition of rotational symmetry is violated. For example, affine transformations induce directionality in the 2D space and potentially change the order of symmetry. To illustrate this, consider the three patterns in Fig. 10 (a)-(c)
Fig. 5. Classification rates. Each row shows the rate for the patterns of Fig. 1 for \( \sigma = 0.2, 0.5, 1 \). The range of SNR is \([-6, 12]\) dBs. Blue, diamond: first and second eigenvectors. Green, circle: first eigenvector. Red, asterisk: averaged pattern. Cyan, square: Shen’s method.
Fig. 6. Mean value of the orientation error in degrees. Each row shows the error for the patterns of Fig. 1 for $\sigma_c = 0.2, 0.5, 1$. The range of SNR is $[-6, 12]$ dBs. Blue, diamond: first and second eigenvectors. Red, asterisk: averaged pattern. Cyan, square: Shen’s method.
obtained by applying an affine transform to the image shown in Fig. 7 (c). For each case, the affine transformed image \( I_A \) is related to the original \( I \) according to the following equation:

\[
I_A(x) = I(Ax)
\]

where \( A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & s \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \) and \( s \) is the skew parameter. The values of \( s \) used were 0.1, 0.2 and 0.35. As \( s \) increases the induced orientation that the pattern exhibits is more evident and the deviation from rotational symmetry becomes more significant. The algorithm was able to detect the real order of symmetry for the first two cases for which the obtained orientation axes are sketched in Fig. 10 (d) and (e). For \( s = 0.35 \), the examination of the spectrum of the extracted periodic pattern (for simplicity not shown here) revealed that the peak indicating the correct order of symmetry was largely attenuated and the dominant peak was located at the frequency equal to two. This is well justified since a careful examination of Fig. 10 (c) shows that the image depicts a well-oriented 2-fold rotationally symmetric pattern. The pattern’s orientation axes, in this case, are sketched in Fig. 10 (e).

Table I summarizes the results obtained by applying a range of affine distortions to the synthetic patterns taken from [3] and [2]. The skewness parameter was varied in the range \([0.05, 0.35]\) with step equal to 0.05. The percentage of patterns for which the method was able to identify the order of symmetry correctly for \( s \leq s_i \) is defined as \( p(s_i) \).

The performance of the proposed scheme was evaluated for two different scenarios: affine transformations solely \( (\sigma_c = 0) \) and affine transformations plus local distortions \( (\sigma_c = 0.2, 0.5 \) and 1). In the noise-free case and for a considerable amount of affine distortion \( s_i = 0.2 \), 72% of the tested patterns were classified correctly. For the same affine distortion and \( \sigma_c = 1 \), the classification rate decreased by 16%. Much better performance was observed for moderate amount of affine and local distortions. For example, for \( s_i = 0.1 \) and \( \sigma_c = 0.5 \), the algorithm detected the real order of symmetry for the 83% of the total number of patterns considered.

In conclusion, the algorithm is capable of handling some amount of affine distortion; nevertheless more robust performance can be expected only when affine or other more complex geometric transformations are explicitly modeled.

The example of Fig. 10 illustrates that such distortions may change the symmetry properties of the observed objects and algorithms designed for the detection of rotational symmetries...
Fig. 10. (a)-(c): The affine transformed images obtained from the image in Fig. 7 (c) using \( s = 0.1, 0.2 \) and 0.35 respectively. (d)-(f): The orientation axes obtained using the proposed scheme.

| Skewness Parameter \( s \) | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 |
|-----------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| \( p(s_1) | (\sigma_c = 0) \) | 100% | 96% | 80% | 72% | 59% | 43% | 33% |
| \( p(s_1) | (\sigma_c = 0.2) \) | 100% | 95% | 78% | 70% | 55% | 38% | 30% |
| \( p(s_1) | (\sigma_c = 0.5) \) | 94% | 83% | 66% | 57% | 48% | 34% | 28% |
| \( p(s_1) | (\sigma_c = 1.0) \) | 85% | 74% | 63% | 56% | 45% | 36% | 25% |

TABLE I

CLASSIFICATION RESULTS FOR THE CASE OF AFFINE TRANSFORMATIONS AND LOCAL DISTORTIONS.

do not adapt to these changes. Note that such phenomena were not taken into consideration for the results given in Table I.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

The problem of moment-based shape orientation and symmetry classification was considered. In the first part of this work, we presented a study which attempts to unify many popular moment-based approaches in a single framework. In particular, we showed that results given from a complex moment formulation also apply to the case of appropriately defined geometric moment-based functions. Analytical expressions were derived based on Fourier series analysis. In the second part of this work, we presented a moment-based symmetry classification and matching algorithm which operates on the image Fourier domain and therefore does not require the accurate estimation of the symmetry center which is the main limitation of current approaches. Our formulation was based on a true polar Fourier representation of the extracted image gradients. Further robustness was achieved by using a periodicity estimation scheme based on subspace analysis. Simulation results demonstrated the efficiency of our approach.
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APPENDIX

PROOFS OF PROPOSITIONS OF SECTION IV

A. Proof of Proposition 1

From the very definition of rotational symmetry, we may observe that if the moment-based function \( W_{N_1N_2} \) is not degenerate, then it will be periodic with period \( 2\pi/n \). Let \( \hat{W}_{N_1N_2} \) be the Fourier series coefficients of \( W_{N_1N_2} \):

\[
\hat{W}_{N_1N_2}(\delta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} W_{N_1N_2}(\delta)e^{-j\delta}d\delta, \quad l = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

Then, \( \hat{W}_{N_1N_2} \) will be non-zero only if \( l = sn \), \( s \in \mathbb{N}^* \) and potentially for \( l = 0 \). Therefore, the order of symmetry can be estimated as the frequency bin of the first non-zero coefficient, or more robustly, as the biggest common factor of the bins corresponding to all non-zero coefficients. □

B. Calculation of Fourier series expansion for \( W_{N_1N_2} \)

Assume that we are given \( K = mn \) samples of \( I \) in polar coordinates as follows:

\[
I(r_k, \theta_{k\lambda}), \quad \kappa = 1, \ldots, m, \quad \lambda = 1, \ldots, n
\]

where \( m \) is the total number of points in one fold. Additionally, we have:

\[
d_x(r_k) = x_k \cos \theta_{k\lambda} - r_k \sin \theta_{k\lambda}, \quad d_y(r_k) = r_k \sin \theta_{k\lambda} + \delta
\]

where \( r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \) and \( \theta = \arctan (y/x) \). Similarly, we have \( d_y(r_k) = r_k \sin (\theta_{k\lambda} + \delta) \). Therefore, \( W_{N_1N_2}(\delta) \) can be expressed as follows:

\[
W_{N_1N_2}(\delta) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} f(r_i) d_x(r_i) I(r_i, \theta_{i\lambda}) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{m} g(r_k) \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} I(r_k, \theta_{k\lambda}) t[\theta_{k\lambda} + \delta]
\]

where \( g(r) = f(r)r^{N_1} \) and \( t(u) = \cos^{N_1} u \sin^{N_2} u \). By definition \( I(r_k, \theta_{k\lambda}) = I(r_k, \theta_{k\lambda}), \forall \lambda \) and therefore we have:

\[
W_{N_1N_2}(\delta) = \sum_{\kappa=1}^{m} f(r_k) g(r_k) \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} t[\theta_{k\lambda} + \delta]
\]

\(^{5}\arctan\) denotes the extended\(\arctan\) function such that \( \theta \in [0, 2\pi)\).
Using Fourier series decomposition, we get:

\[
\tilde{W}_{N_1,N_2}(s) = \frac{n}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi/n} W_{N_1,N_2}(\delta)e^{-jsn\delta} d\delta
\]

\[
= \frac{n}{2\pi} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m} I(r_k, \theta_k)g(r_k) \right\} + \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} \int_0^{2\pi/n} t[\theta_{\lambda} + \delta]e^{-jsn\delta} d\delta
\]

Let us consider the term \(I_{N_1,N_2}(s)\):

\[
I_{N_1,N_2}(s) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} \int_0^{2\pi/n} t[\theta_{\lambda} + \delta]e^{-jsn\delta} d\delta
\]

Changing variables \(u = \theta_{\lambda} + \delta\) yields:

\[
I_{N_1,N_2}(s) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} e^{jsn\theta_{\lambda}} \int_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{\theta_{\lambda} + 2\pi/n} t(u)e^{-jsnu} du
\]

\[
= \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} e^{jsn\theta_{\lambda}} \int_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{\theta_{\lambda} + 2\pi/n} t(u)e^{-jnu} du
\]

\[
= e^{jsn\theta_{\lambda}} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{n} \int_{\theta_{\lambda}}^{\theta_{\lambda} + 2\pi/n} t(u)e^{-jnu} du
\]

The function \(t\) can be expanded as follows [22]:

\[
t(u) = \cos^{N_1} u \sin^{N_2} u
\]

\[
= \sum_{p=0}^{i_1} A(i_1, p) \cos[(2p + 1)u]
\]

\[
+ \sum_{q=0}^{i_2} A(i_2, q) \sin[(2q + 1)u]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{p=0}^{i_1} \sum_{q=0}^{i_2} (-1)^q A(i_1, p) A(i_2, q) \right\}
\]

where \(A(a, b)\) is a weighting coefficient depending only on \(a\) and \(b\). Plugging (2) into (1), it is straightforward to see that, for a fixed \(s\), \(I_{N_1,N_2}(s)\) is non-zero only for \(2(p - q) = sn\) or \(2(p + q + 1) = sn\). Let, for a fixed \(s\), \(p, q, i_1, i_2\) be the integers which satisfy the above conditions\(^6\). Then, the final expression for \(\tilde{W}_{N_1,N_2}(s)\) is:

\[
\tilde{W}_{N_1,N_2}(s) = \pi e^{j\pi/2} B_{N_1,N_2}(s) \sum_{i_1} \sum_{i_2} \sum_{p=0}^{i_1} \sum_{q=0}^{i_2} (-1)^q A(i_1, p) A(i_2, q)
\]

where \(\int_0^{2\pi} \sin(snu)e^{-jsnu} du = \pi e^{-j\pi/2} \) and \(B_{N_1,N_2}(s) = \sum_i (-1)^{q_i} A \left( \frac{N_1-1}{2}, p_{\lambda} \right) A \left( \frac{N_2-1}{2}, q_{\lambda} \right)\).

\(^6\) Note that, if \(n\) is odd, the coefficients \(\tilde{W}_{N_1,N_2}(s)\) for \(s\) odd will not appear in the Fourier expansion of \(W_{N_1,N_2}\), since there are no integers \(p, q\) satisfying the above conditions. Nevertheless, it can be similarly shown, that the odd Fourier coefficients will appear from the Fourier expansion of the function \(W_{N_1,N_2}\). The function \(W_{N_1,N_2}\) satisfies a reflection symmetry criterion for \(n\) odd, while for \(n\) even, it is degenerate independently of the order \(N_2\).

C. Proof of Proposition 2

By definition, the first non-zero \(\tilde{W}_{N_1,N_2}(l)\) is for \(l = n\, or\, equivalently\, for\, s = 1\). In this case, there should exist \(p, q\) satisfying \(2(p - q) = n\) or \(2(p + q + 1) = n\). Since \(p_{\min} = 0\), \(q_{\min} = 0\, or\, \max = i_1\), \(q_{\max} = i_2\), we have \(2(p_{\max} - q_{\min}) = 2i_1 < N_1 < n\), \(2(q_{\max} - p_{\min}) = 2i_2 < N_2 < n\) and \(2(p_{\max} + q_{\max} + 1) = 2(i_1 + i_2 + 1) = N_1 + N_2 < n\). Therefore, there are no \(p, q\) satisfying the necessary conditions.

D. Proof of Proposition 3

Our proof is based on mathematical induction. First observe that for a reflection symmetric pattern of order \(n\), the set of zero-crossing points of \(W_{N_1,N_2}\) will necessarily include the same subset of a total of \(2n\) zeros \(\{z_i\}_{i=1}^n\), independently of the order selection. This is because the orders \(N_1\) and \(N_2\) are chosen such that a reflection symmetry criterion is satisfied. We have:

**Step 1.** Let \(N_1 = a_1, N_2 = b_1\) be chosen such that \(\tilde{W}_{a_1,b_1}(s) \neq 0\) only for \(s = 1\). In this case, \(W_{a_1,b_1} \equiv \Xi_{a_1,b_1}(1, ;)\) is a pure sinusoidal function with \(2n\) zeros \(\{z_i\}_1^n\).

**Step ρ.** Let \(N_1 = a_\rho, N_2 = b_\rho\) be chosen such that \(\tilde{W}_{a_\rho,b_\rho}(s) \neq 0\) only for \(s = 1, \ldots, \rho\). We have:

\[
W_{a_\rho,b_\rho}(\delta) = \sum_{s=1}^{\rho} \Xi_{a_\rho,b_\rho}(s, \delta) = \sum_{s=1}^{\rho} \pi B_{a_\rho,b_\rho}(s) \xi(s, \delta)
\]

Assume that \(W_{a_\rho,b_\rho}\) and \(\Xi_{a_\rho,b_\rho}(s, ;)\) have the same subset of \(2n\) zero-crossing points \(\{z_i\}_1^n\), that is \(W_{a_\rho,b_\rho}(z_i) = 0\) and \(\Xi_{a_\rho,b_\rho}(z_i) = 0\). This also implies that \(\xi(s, z_i) = 0\).

**Step ρ + 1.** Let \(N_1 = a_{\rho+1}, N_2 = b_{\rho+1}\) be chosen such that \(\tilde{W}_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(s) \neq 0\) only for \(s = 1, \ldots, \rho + 1\). We have:

\[
W_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(\delta) = \sum_{s=1}^{\rho+1} \Xi_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(s, \delta) = \sum_{s=1}^{\rho} \Xi_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(s, \delta) + \Xi_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(\rho + 1, \delta)
\]

\[
= S(\delta) + \Xi_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(\rho + 1, \delta)
\]

Now, \(S(z_i) = \sum_{s=1}^{\rho} \pi B_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(s) \xi(s, z_i) = 0\) from step ρ. Since \(W_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(z_i) = 0\), then necessarily \(\Xi_{a_{\rho+1},b_{\rho+1}}(\rho + 1, z_i) = 0\).
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