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**ABSTRACT**

**Aim:** The aim of this review article is not only to analyze the clinical burden of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in intensive care unit (ICU) setting of India, along with the patterns of prevalence and its prevention measures, but also to focus on the new anti-MRSA research molecules which are in late stage of clinical development.

**Background:** Methicillin resistance is reported to be present in 13–47% of *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in India. Therapeutic options to combat MRSA are becoming less, because of emerging resistance to multiple classes of antibiotics. Intensive care units are the harbinger of multidrug-resistant organisms including MRSA and are responsible for its spread within the hospital. The emergence of MRSA in ICUs is associated with poor clinical outcomes, high morbidity, mortality, and escalating treatment costs. There is an urgency to bolster the antibiotic pipeline targeting MRSA. The research efforts for antibiotic development need to match with the pace of emergence of resistance, and new antibiotics are needed to control the impending threat of untreatable MRSA infections.

**Review results:** Fortunately, several potential antibiotic agents are in the pipeline and the future of MRSA management appears reassuring.

**Clinical significance:** The authors believe that this knowledge may help form the basis for strategic allocation of current healthcare resources and the future needs.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) is the *Staphylococcus aureus* isolate which is resistant to all currently available β-lactam antibiotics, namely, penicillins, cephalosporins, and carbapenems. The emergence of MRSA is associated with significantly poor clinical outcomes, high morbidity, mortality, and treatment costs.¹ It is becoming increasingly difficult to combat MRSA because of emerging resistance to other antibiotic classes severely limiting the available treatment options. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* is increasing at an alarming rate in both hospital and community settings. Hospital-acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA) is a prominent nosocomial pathogen associated with prolonged hospital stay, indwelling percutaneous catheters, dialysis, mechanical ventilation, tracheostomy, and patients who are debilitated, elderly, and immunocompromised.² Its remarkable increase in the intensive care units (ICUs) is a cause of concern even in countries where effective infection control measures are routinely implemented. A World Health Organization review revealed that in low- and middle-income countries the frequency of ICU-acquired infection is at least two to three times higher than in high-income countries.³ In fact, the prevalence rate of MRSA is recognized as a marker for the quality of care and is considered as the benchmark for hospital infection-control practices.⁴

Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* causes a wide range of infections commonly involving the skin, soft tissue, bone, joints, bloodstream, urinary tract, respiratory tract, surgical wounds,
and device-associated infections such as indwelling catheters or prosthetic devices. Its range of clinical manifestations include common skin and soft tissue infection (SSTI) boils, carbuncles, impetigo, cellulitis, and wound infections to the more serious manifestations such as ventilator-associated pneumonia, community-acquired pneumonia, necrotizing pneumonia, necrotizing fasciitis, and sepsis.\textsuperscript{5} Methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} can thrive for months in a hostile environment and is thereby transmitted from surfaces long after it is initially deposited. A battery of potent virulence factors contribute to the success of \textit{S. aureus} as a pathogen, including its capacity to persist as a commensal, frequently developing resistance to multiple antimicrobial agents and its multiple virulence determinants.\textsuperscript{6} It spreads through cross-infection from colonized patient-contaminated environmental surfaces and the colonized healthcare workers (HCWs) who act as reservoirs for the spread of MRSA to other patients, other HCWs, and the community. The major drivers of the emergence of MRSA resistance include the following:\textsuperscript{7}

- Wide availability of antibiotics in India
- Inappropriate and irrational antibiotic use
- Ease of purchasing antibiotics in India
- Suboptimal dosage of antibiotics (and discontinuation of antibiotics by patients on resolution of symptoms)
- Inappropriate administration of antibiotics
- Frequent self-medication by patients.

Furthermore, health sector in India is under-resourced, which leads to conditions favorable for perpetuation of drug resistance.

The scope of this literature review article is HA-MRSA, with a focus on the ICU infections. The authors believe that knowledge pertaining to its prevalence, risk factors, and emerging treatment modalities may help form the basis for strategic allocation of the healthcare resources, at present and in the future. The objectives of this review article are as follows:

- To review the clinical burden of MRSA in ICU setting in India
- To understand the patterns of prevalence
- To review knowledge on prevention measure of MRSA in the ICU setting, and
- To gauge the ongoing research aimed at combatting the impending rise of MRSA

**Evolution of MRSA**

Methicillin was developed in the late 1950s and is a semisynthetic derivative of penicillin. It was developed by modifying the penicillin structure which conferred resistance to penicillinase. The mechanism of methicillin is inhibition of bacterial cell wall synthesis, like other penicillins. Methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} isolates were notified within 1 year of its introduction. Since then, the introduction of other antibiotics has provided a selective pressure for the evolution of new and diverse MRSA clones. In 1968, the United States recorded the first outbreak of MRSA and soon thereafter resistant strains were recovered from other parts of the world. Since 1987, the prevalence of MRSA is reported to have increased close to 25-fold in the ICUs of the United States.

Some theories have been proposed for evolutionary descent and population biology of MRSA. Robinson et al.\textsuperscript{8} have postulated that all the major MRSA clones could have evolved from one common ancestor, \textit{S. aureus} phage type 80/81. Kreiswirth et al.\textsuperscript{9} proposed a similar theory of single ancestral origin of \textit{S. aureus} strain that acquired \textit{mecA}, but few other studies\textsuperscript{10} have shown that some MRSA are very divergent, implying that SCC\textit{mec} has been transferred between different \textit{S. aureus} lineages. Enright et al.\textsuperscript{11} demonstrated that MRSA clones evolved from five different groups of related genotypes or clonal complexes, each arising from a distinct ancestral genotype. The drug resistance of MRSA still continues to evolve. Historically, this infection was confined only to the healthcare setting, then the community-acquired MRSA emerged, and the current status is that the boundary between hospital-onset and community-acquired MRSA infections has become blurred.

**Prevalence of MRSA in the ICUs of India**

Methicillin-resistant \textit{S. aureus} is associated with poor clinical outcomes in ICUs. It poses a significant burden on hospital infection control practices. Furthermore, the ICU is a critical place for the wider dissemination of MRSA, since patients are admitted from and discharged to different healthcare settings such as wards and other hospitals. Methicillin resistance is reported to be 13–47% of \textit{S. aureus} infections in India. Patients in an ICU, especially a surgical ICU, have wounds, drains, and invasive monitoring devices that cause skin breach which further increases the risk of developing infections. Additionally, impaired neutrophil properties due to conditions such as chronic liver disease, diabetes, or steroid therapy may render these patients susceptible to MRSA. Furthermore, specific defects associated with granulocyte function, such as decreased chemotaxis and impaired phagocytosis-associated burst activity, have been documented with liver disease and diabetes. Table 1 shows the prevalence of MRSA (as a percentage of all \textit{S. aureus} infections) in ICUs reported by different studies in India. Different rates reported from different regions may be due to varying proportions of underlying condition: for instance, MRSA rates are reportedly higher in oncology patients owing to higher antibiotic usage, differing local infection control practices, and pathogen-specific characteristics of the circulating clones. Table 2 depicts the percentage of MRSA isolates from various clinical specimens reported by studies in India.

**MRSA Transmission**

The potential agents for MRSA transmission are colonized HCWs and contaminated hand-touch surfaces.\textsuperscript{27} The main mode of transmission is through direct contact with discharge, soiled areas, wounds, or physical contact with MRSA-affected patients, carriers, and their environment. Factors increasing the chances of transmission are close skin-to-skin contact, breaks in the skin (indwelling catheters or wounds), crowded ICUs, and poor personal hygiene. In the resource-poor settings such as India, MRSA poses a serious threat whereby the associated morbidity and mortality are more than that seen in resource-rich setting of the developed nations. When an infection occurs after a breach of the body’s defense of the skin, the pathogen is often endogenous. \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} from a nasal colonization can be transferred to skin and other body areas. Hence, colonization with MRSA often precedes infection by MRSA. The connection between transmission of MRSA from an exogenous source via hands, equipment, and the hospital environment and subsequent endogenous carriage of MRSA is the primary consideration of infection prevention and control consideration for the elimination of MRSA transmission in hospital setting.\textsuperscript{28}

Data pertaining to MRSA transmission dynamics continue to be scarce. An Indian study explored the MRSA transmission dynamics
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Table 1: Prevalence of MRSA infection in ICUs in India

| Serial number | Region       | Year     | Study design | Sample size | Prevalence (%) | Author                  |
|---------------|--------------|----------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| 1             | Pan India    | 2008     | Retrospective| 13,975      | 43             | Wattal et al.          |
| 2             | Pan India    | 2009     | Retrospective| 12,335      | 47             | RajaduraiPandi et al.  |
| 3             | Delhi        | 2010     | Surveillance | 248         | 43             | Singh et al.           |
| 4             | Punjab       | 2012     |             | 248         | 20.7           | Singh et al.           |
| 5             | Punjab       | 2013     |             | 248         | 13             | Singh et al.           |
| 6             | Bengaluru    | 2013     | Prospective | 70          | 23             | Singh et al.           |
| 7             | Chennai      | 2010     | Retrospective| 400         | 22.5           | Singh et al.           |
| 8             | Punjab       | 2013     | Prospective | 906         | 31.1           | Datta et al.           |
| 9             | Tamil Nadu   | 2006     | Retrospective| 13,610      | 32             | RajaduraiPandi et al.  |
| 10            | Mumbai, Delhi, and Bengaluru | 1996 | Surveillance study | 13,610 | 32 | Mehta et al. |

MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; ICU, intensive care unit

Table 2: Percentage of MRSA isolates from various clinical specimens reported by studies in India

| Clinical specimen | Tsiring et al. (Sikkim) (n = 827) 2011 (%) | Tiwari et al. (Bhubaneswar) (n = 204) 2011 (%) | Khan et al. (Lucknow) (n = 350) 2017 (%) | Arora et al. (Punjab) (n = 6,743) 2010 (%) | Pai et al. (Mangalore) (n = 237) 2010 (%) | Kaur et al. (Pune) (n = 335) 2015 (%) | INSAR study (n = 26,310) 2013 (%) |
|------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Pus              | 27.05                                      | 45                                          | 24                                       | 51.2                                      | 27.07                                   | 13.56                              | 40                               |
| Blood            | 50                                         | 4.29                                        | 31.6                                     | 22.2                                      | 42.8                                    | 5.56                               | 48                               |
| Urine            | 45.83                                      | 20.5                                        | 43.71                                    | 10.8                                      | 42.8                                    | 5.32                               | 52                               |
| Sputum           | 56.52                                      | 11.14                                       | 0.02                                     | 29.4 (respiratory samples)                | 7.69                                    | 41 (respiratory samples)            |                                  |
| Throat           | 41                                         |                                             | 12                                       |                                           |                                         |                                    |                                  |

MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*

in ICU using mechanistic statistical models. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* infection data were collected for 50 months retrospectively. A total of 72 MRSA infections were observed during this study period, corresponding to an average of 1.44 cases/month, and nearly 78% of these infections were nosocomial. Only 4.2% of the patients were MRSA-positive when admitted. The transmission rate was estimated to be 0.094/day using the structured hidden Markov model. Thus, high transmission rates are prevalent in ICUs in India. Another method of measuring transmission is MRSA ICU-acquisition rates, which are calculated as the total number of imported or ICU-acquired cases divided by the total number of ICU admissions over the same time period, respectively. Koessler et al. reported an MRSA acquisition rate of 3.8% during the hospital stay.

**Carriage Status among HCWs of India**

Healthcare providers working in proximity with MRSA-infected patients are colonized in the course of their work. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* colonization is the most important risk factor for subsequent MRSA infection. Furthermore, if MRSA carriage is present at more than one site, then it strongly predicts the development of MRSA infection during ICU admission. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* carriage rates among professionally exposed individuals can diminish the efficacy of hospital infection control programs.

Due to the opportunistic nature of *S. aureus*, carriage may evolve into a wide range of infections. Singh et al. reported carriage rates from North India and showed a higher proportion of MRSA carriage among the nurses (73.3%) as compared with laboratory technicians, doctors, and ward attendants, although the difference between these groups was statistically insignificant. This finding is similar to the result reported by Kalyani et al. Furthermore, a study from Northeast India showed that carriage rates were highest from the orthopedics department, followed by those in the surgery and the gynecology departments.

The incidence of nasal carriage among HCWs as reported by various studies from India is enumerated in Table 3. The high carriage rates reported from India reflect the irrational usage of antimicrobials in our community.

**Risk Factors for MRSA**

Effective control of MRSA infection necessitates a thorough knowledge and analysis of its risk factors. This knowledge can also help guide the empirical antibiotic choices, enhance infection control, prevent delay in prescribing the suitable antibiotic, thereby reducing mortality and morbidity in the ICU. It also prevents overuse of empirical broad-spectrum antibiotics which can perpetuate MRSA and contribute to antibiotic-related complications. Callejo-Torre et al. had reported in a multicenter cohort study of 69,894 patients that the risk factors on ICU admission included male gender, urgent surgery, trauma critical patient, immunosuppression, admitted from other ICUs, hospital ward or long-term facility, and SSTI. However, they also mentioned that clinical and demographic risk factors should not be used to accurately prescribe empirical anti-MRSA treatment.
A dose–effect relationship has been established between the prescription of antimicrobial drugs and MRSA infections. Having said that, the local epidemiology and resistance profile of bacteria causing infections is important while making the choice of empirical antibiotics. Following is the list of risk factors for developing MRSA infections:

- Compromised immune system
- Infants
- Elderly
- Chronically ill
- Burn survivors
- Organ transplant recipients
- Cancer patients receiving chemotherapy agents
- Steroid users
- Diabetic patients
- Intravenous drug users
- HIV
- Length of stay in hospital
- Exposure to antibiotics
- Exposure to people infected with MRSA
- Duration of hospitalization in ICU
- Simultaneous MRSA colonization in another patient in the ICU
- Prior use of antibiotics
- Presence of central line
- Breech in skin continuity and skin lesions
- Smokers
- Illicit drug users
- COPD
- Liver disease
- Patients who had received inpatient antibiotics within the past 3 months.

### Antibiotic Resistance Patterns among MRSA in India

The resistance patterns of prevalent MRSA strains in any setup are liable to continuous changes over a period, owing to changes in antibiotic prescription patterns, infection control measures, and awareness among HCWs. As a result of increasing antibiotic pressure in hospitals, new strains with higher antibiotic resistance emerge and they replace the previous strains. Different patterns of antibiotic resistance have been reported from different regions of India. Table 4 depicts the antibiotic resistance rates (percentage) of MRSA as reported from India.

Arora et al.23 reported the percentage of multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains among MRSA to be 73%. In the various reports from different parts of India, the burden of such strains ranged from 23.2% to 63.6%.

When linezolid was launched, researchers predicted that resistance would never develop to this molecule owing to its unique mechanism of action (prevention of 50S subunit of prokaryotic ribosome to complex with the 30S initiation complex, thus inhibiting protein synthesis at the initiation step). But Rajaduraipandi et al.18 reported 2.4% of linezolid-resistant *S. aureus* from South India in 2006. Furthermore, Thool et al.42 reported a 24% incidence of linezolid resistance in the orthopedic patients (12 of 50 patients), which reflected the nosocomial spread and abuse of this antibiotic. Similarly, multiple studies across India have also reported linezolid resistance among enterococci.43 The highly emerging resistance of linezolid is a matter of great concern as it was considered to be the last resort for MDR bacteria. On similar lines, high resistance to vancomycin has been reported from different parts of the country. A study conducted in Northeast India44 evaluating 827 clinical specimens (including pus, sputum, urine, blood, and throat) screened for MRSA reported high resistance to vancomycin (79.83% resistant) as well as imipenem (64.60% resistant).

D’Souza et al.45 performed antibiotic susceptibility testing and correlated it with SCCmec characterization. They found that of the SCCmec III strains, 38% were MDR and the rest were susceptible only to chloramphenicol, rifampin, vancomycin, and linezolid. Among the SCCmec IV strains, 83% were susceptible to many antimicrobial classes, and the rest were susceptible to three classes, none of them being MDR. Among the SCCmec V strains, 64% were susceptible to many antimicrobial classes, 24% were susceptible to three classes, and 12% were MDR. Furthermore, as community and hospital strains intermingle, there is a growing concern that highly virulent community strains that affect healthy individuals will become less susceptible to antibiotics.

### Current Therapeutic Approach

Havey et al.46 identified in their retrospective cohort study (*n* = 100) that infection with *S. aureus* was one of the predictors of prolonged duration of treatment among ICU admitted patients who have bloodstream infections. The virulence determinants of MRSA have continually evolved, and hence the surveillance of clinical and microbiological parameters have become an essential component of infection control practices including the choice of empirical antibiotic. The factors driving the choice of antibiotic in treating MRSA include comorbidities, allergies, local epidemiology, antibiotic susceptibility pattern, safety of antibiotic, and drug interactions.

Antibiotic selection must be based on host, microbiological, and pharmacological factors. Institution-specific data, such as susceptibility patterns and local antibiotic use, also need to be evaluated. The antimicrobial therapy should be individualized based upon culture and sensitivity results.
Glycopeptides, including vancomycin, are the mainstay of the treatment of MRSA. But evidence suggests toward a phenomenon of high vancomycin minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs), also known as “MIC creep.” The CLSI recently reduced the cutoff value of vancomycin sensitivity toward MRSA from an MIC of ≤4 to an MIC of ≤2. Thereafter, much data have emerged demonstrating increasing rates of treatment failure and higher mortality among patients treated with vancomycin when MICs are higher, even if those MICs are within the currently accepted range of susceptibility (≤2).27

Linezolid, tigecycline, and daptomycin are the other alternatives to vancomycin in the event of adverse reactions or resistance. On the contrary, newer drugs such as tedizolid, telavancin, and dalbavancin, which are being used for the treatment of MRSA infections also possess higher efficacy. However, linezolid resistance has already been reported from India. It is important that treating physicians utilize these options judiciously and de-escalate to β-lactams once treatment of MRSA infections also possesses higher efficacy. However, linezolid resistance has already been reported from India. It is important that treating physicians utilize these options judiciously and de-escalate to β-lactams once vancomycin is being used for the treatment of MRSA infections.

## Conclusion

Antimicrobial resistance is a phenomenon inevitably related to microbial evolution and antibiotic use. In this context, the evolutionary success of MRSA has been remarkable. Methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* has been considered the prototype of multiresistant nosocomial pathogens. It is considered a major public health issue worldwide and is associated with considerable morbidity and mortality. In developing countries such as India, it is being increasingly reported in both healthcare and community-associated infections. The prevalence of MRSA is reported to be as high as 13–47% in various regions of India. It tends to fast acquire resistance to the newest antibiotics by virtue of new antibiotic-resistance determinants and new virulence traits. Despite an array of antibiotics, MRSA continues to pose therapeutic dilemma and remains the most feared multiple-antibiotic resistant pathogen in the ICUs. The main reason behind it is that the existing therapeutic options to treat MRSA infections are becoming limited. Resistance to vancomycin and linezolid has already been reported from different parts of India. The research efforts for antibiotic development need to be at par with it. Newer antibiotics are needed to combat the impending threat of untreatable MRSA infections.
Table 5: Therapeutic options for managing MRSA infections

| Serial number | Drug name | Drug class       | Mechanism of action                                                                 | Bacteriostatic/ bactericidal | Route of administration | Indications                                                                 | Major adverse effects                                                                 |
|---------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1             | Vancomycin| Glycopeptide     | Vancomycin inhibits the cross-linking within peptidoglycan layer of bacterial cell wall | Bactericidal (variable)      | IV                       | MRSA, Staphylococcus endocarditis, and Diphtheroid endocarditis                | Infusion-related anaphylactoid reactions, nephrotoxicity, pseudomembranous colitis, ototoxicity, neutropenia, and phlebitis |
| 2             | Linezolid | Oxazolidinone    | Inhibits bacterial protein synthesis                                                  | Bacteriostatic               | IV and oral              | SSTI, vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus faecium infections, nosocomial pneumonia | Diarrhea, vomiting, headache, nausea, and anemia                                |
| 3             | Tigecycline| Glycylcycline    | Bacteriostatic: inhibits protein translation in bacteria by binding to the 30 S ribosomal subunit | Bacteriostatic               | IV                       | Complicated skin and skin structure infections (cSSSI), complicated intra-abdominal infections, and community-acquired bacterial pneumonia | Nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, abdominal pain, headache, and increased serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase (SGPT) |
| 4             | Teicoplanin| Glycopeptide     | Inhibits bacterial cell wall synthesis                                               | Bactericidal                 | IM or IV                 | Skin and soft tissue infections, urinary tract infections, lower respiratory tract infections, joint and bone infections, septicemia, endocarditis, and peritonitis related to continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis | Local reactions, hypersensitivity, increased transaminases, eosinophilia, thrombocytopenia, increase in serum creatinine, blood urea, renal failure, hearing loss, and tinnitus |
| 5             | Daptomycin| Cyclic lipopeptide | Bacterial cell membrane lysis                                                       | Bactericidal                 | IV                       | cSSSI, Staphylococcus aureus bloodstream infections (bacteremia), right-sided infective endocarditis | Diarrhea, headache, dizziness, rash, abnormal liver function tests, elevated creatine phosphokinase (CPK), urinary tract infections, hypotension, and dyspnea |
| 6             | Ceftaroline| Cephalosporins  | Bactericidal: binds to essential penicillin-binding protein                          | Bactericidal                 | IV                       | ABSSI and CAP                                                                 | Diarrhea, nausea, rash, vomiting, and pyrexia                                   |
| 7             | Ceftobiprole| Cephalosporins | Has high affinity for PBP2a of MRSA                                                  | Bactericidal                 | IV                       | HAP, VAP, and CAP                                                              | Hypersensitivity reactions, Clostridium difficile-associated, direct Coombs’ test seroconversion |
| 8             | Clindamycin| Lincosamide antibiotic | Inhibits bacterial protein synthesis at the level of the 50S ribosome               | Bacteriostatic               | IV or oral                | Skin and skin structure infections, gynecological infections, intra-abdominal infections, septicemia, and bone and joint infections | Pruritus, rash, urticarial, abdominal pain, diarrhea, and esophagitis |

MRSA, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus; IV, intravenous; IM, intramuscular; ABSSI, acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections; HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infection
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**Table 6: Various investigational drugs in the late phase of clinical development for MRSA**

| Molecule       | Class                  | Potential clinical use                                                                 | Phase of clinical development | Route of administration |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Levofloxacin   | Fluoroquinolines       | ABSSSI, DFI, HAP, CAP, and BJI                                                         | Phase III                    | IV/oral                 |
| Radezolid      | Oxazolidinone          | SSTI and CAP                                                                            | Phase II                     | Oral                    |
| Eravacycline   | Tetracycline; synthetic | Intra-abdominal infections and UTI                                                      | Phase III IGNITE             | IV and oral             |
| Omadacycline   | Tetracycline; aminomethylcyclohexone | SSTI and CAP                                                                 | Phase III                    | IV and per oral         |
| Lefamulin      | Pleromutulin           | SSTI and CAP                                                                            | Phase III                    | IV and per oral         |
| Brilacidin     | Defensin-mimetic       | ABSSSI                                                                                 | Phase II b                   | IV, per oral and oral rinse for oral mucositis |
| Debio 1450 Afabinicin | Fabl enzyme inhibitor | ABSSSI                                                                                 | Phase II                     | IV and per oral         |
| CEM-102 Taksta | Fusidic acid           | ABSSSI                                                                                 | Phase III                    | IV and per oral         |

MRSA, methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*; ABSSI, acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections; DFI, diabetic foot infections; HAP, hospital-acquired pneumonia; CAP, community-acquired pneumonia; BJI, bone and joint infection; IV, intravenous; SSTI, skin and soft tissue infection; UTI, urinary tract infection; ABSSSI, acute bacterial skin and skin structure infections.

**Clinical Significance**

Several potential antibiotic agents are in the pipeline and, therefore, the future of MRSA management seems reassuring. Furthermore, the hospitals need to implement MRSA surveillance, stricter hand hygiene measures besides developing a strong antibiotic stewardship program which includes development of antibiotic policies based on local microorganism flora and the sensitivity patterns, prescription audit, and pharmacovigilance.

**References**

1. Borg MA, Kraker M, Scicluna EA, van de Sande-Bruinsma N, Tiemersma E, Monen J, et al. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in invasive isolates from southern and eastern Mediterranean countries. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007;60(6):1310–1315.
2. Frinkin SK, Hageman JC, Morrison M, Sanza LT, Sabetti KC, Jernigan JA, et al. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in three communities. *N Engl J Med* 2005;352(14):1436–1444.
3. World Health Organization. Health care-associated infections fact sheet. Available at: http://www.who.int/gpsc/country_work/gpsc_cscf_fact_sheet_en.pdf, accessed 17.11.18.
4. Cola JE, Duckworth GJ, Edwards DJ, Farrington M, Fry C, Humphreys H, et al. Guidelines for the control and prevention of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in healthcare facilities. *J Hosp Infect* 2006;63(Suppl):S1–544.
5. Basak S, Mallick SK, Bose S, Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections. *J Clin Diag Res* 2010;4:2111–2115.
6. Fluit AC, Wielders CL, Verhoef J, Schmitz FJ. Epidemiology and susceptibility of 3051 *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from 25 university hospitals participating in the European SENTRY study. *J Clin Microbiol* 2001;39(10):3727–3732.
7. Geneva: 1996. World Health Organization. The world health report.
8. Robinson DA, Kearns AM, Holmes A, Morrison D, Grundmann H, Edwards G, et al. Re-emergence of early pandemic *Staphylococcus aureus* as a community-acquired meticillin-resistant clone. *Lancet* 2005;365(9466):1256–1258.
9. Kreiswirth B, Kornblum J, Arbeit RD, Eisner W, Maslow JN, McGeer A, et al. Evidence for a clonal origin of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Science* 1993;259(5092):227–230.
10. Musser JM, Kapur V. Clonal analysis of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains from intercontinental sources: association of the mec gene with divergent phylogenetic lineages implies dissemination by horizontal transfer and recombination. *J Clin Microbiol* 1992;30(8):2058–2063.
11. Enright MC, Robinson DA, Randle G, Feil EJ, Grundmann H, Spratt BG. The evolutionary history of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99(11):7687–7692.
12. Indian Network for Surveillance of Antimicrobial Resistance (INSAR) group. India. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in India: prevalence & susceptibility pattern. Indian J Med Res 2013;137(2):363–369.
13. Wattal C, Goel N, Oberoi JK, Raveendran R, Datta S, Prasad KJ. Surveillance of multidrug resistant organisms in tertiary care hospital in Delhi, India. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2010;58(Suppl):32–36.
14. Jindal N, Malhotra R, Grover P, Singh S, Bansal R, Kaur S. Methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in Malwa region of Punjab (North-West India). *Indian J Med Res* 2016;143(3):371–372. DOI: 10.4103/0971-5916.182630.
15. Eshwara VK, Munif M, Tellapragada C, Kamath A, Varma M, Lewis LE, et al. *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia in an Indian tertiary care hospital: observational study on clinical epidemiology, resistance characteristics, and carriage of the Panton-Valentine leukocidin gene. *Int J Infect Dis* 2013;17(11):e1051–e1055.
16. Gopakrishnan R, Suresh Kumar D. Changing trends in antimicrobial susceptibility and hospital acquired infections over an 8 year period in a tertiary care hospital in relation to introduction of an infection control programme. *J Assoc Physicians India* 2010;58(Suppl):25–31.
17. Datta P, Vasdeva HR, Chander J. Optimization of multiple mucocutaneous site sampling method for screening MRSA colonization in ICU. *Indian J Crit Care Med* 2013;17(4):243–245. DOI: 10.4103/0972-5229.118421.
18. Rajaduraiandhi K, Mani KR, Panneerselvam K, Mani M, Bhaskar M, Manikandan P. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: a multicentre study. *Indian J Med Microbiol* 2006;24(1):34–38.
19. Mehta AA, Rodrigues CC, Kumar RR, Rattan AA, Sridhar HH, Mattoo VV, et al. A pilot programme of MRSA surveillance in India (*MRSA Surveillance Study Group*). *J Postgrad Med* 1996;42(1):1–3.
20. Tsering DC, Pal R, Kar S. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: prevalence and current susceptibility pattern in Sikkim. *J Glob Infect Dis* 2011;3(1):9–13. DOI: 10.4103/0974-777X.77289.
MRSA in ICU Setting of India: A Review of Clinical Burden, Patterns of Prevalence, Preventive Measures, and Future Strategies

21. Tiwari S, Sahu M, Rautaraya B, Karuna T, Mishra SR, Bhattacharya S. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus and its antibiotic susceptibility pattern in a tertiary care hospital. J Indian Med Assoc 2011;109(11):800–801.

22. Khan N, Khare V, Farid R, Yaqoob S. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance pattern of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus isolated from different clinical samples. Int J Adv Res 2017;5(3):2195–2201. DOI: 10.21474/IJAR01/3740.

23. Arora S, Devi P, Arora U, Devi B. Prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in a Tertiary Care Hospital in Northern India. J Lab Physicians 2010;2(2):78–81. DOI: 10.4103/0974-2727.272154.

24. Pai V, Rao VI, Rao SP. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) isolates at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Mangaluru, South India. J Lab Physicians 2010;2(2):82–84. DOI: 10.4103/0974-2727.272115.

25. Kaur DC, Chate SS. Study of antibiotic resistance pattern in methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus with special reference to newer antibiotic. J Glob Infect Dis 2015;7(2):78–84. DOI: 10.4103/0974-777X.157245.

26. Abbas A, Nirwan PS, Srivastava P. Prevalence and antibiogram of hospital acquired-methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus and community acquired-methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus at a tertiary care hospital National Institute of Medical Sciences. Community Acquir Infect 2015;2(1):13–15. DOI: 10.4103/2225-6482.153857.

27. Kei J, Richards JR. The prevalence of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus on inanimate objects in an urban emergency department. J Emerg Med 2011;41(2):124–127.

28. Dancer SJ. Controlling hospital-acquired infection: focus on the role of the environment and new technologies for decontamination. Clin Microbiol Rev 2014;27(4):665–690. DOI: 10.1128/CMR.00020-14.

29. Koesler T, Pasricha J, Camus V, Renzi G, Harbarth S, Schrenzel J, et al. Prevalence and acquisition rate of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in internal medicine wards at the University Hospital of Geneva (HUG). BMC Proc 2011;5(Suppl 6):P7. DOI: 10.1186/1753-5229.5.S6-P7.

30. Singh S, Malhotra R, Grover P, Bansal R, Galhotra S, Kaur R, et al. Antimicrobial resistance profile of Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus colonizing the anterior nares of health-care workers and outpatients attending the remotely located tertiary care hospital of North India. J Lab Physicians 2017;9(4):317–321. DOI: 10.4103/JLP.JLP_8_17.

31. Kalyani K, Jayakumari K, Kumar JS. Prevalence of MRSA among HCWs of Shri Satya Sai Medical College and Hospital – A tertiary care centre. IOSR J Dent Med Sci 2012;11(2):23–27. DOI: 10.9790/0853-0323237.

32. Rongpharpi SR, Hazarika NK, Kalita H. The prevalence of nasal carriage of Staphylococcus aureus among healthcare workers at a tertiary care hospital in Assam with special reference to MRSA. J Clin Diagn Res 2013;7(2):257–260. DOI: 10.7860/JCDR/2013/4320.2741.

33. Mathanraj S, Sujatha S, Sivasangeetha K, Parija SC. Survey of Staphylococcus isolates among hospital personnel, environment and their antibiotic with special emphasis on methicillin resistance. Indian J Med Microbiol 2005;23(3):186–188.

34. Radhakrishna M, D’Souza M, Kotigadde S, Saralaya KV, Kotian MS. Prevalence of methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus carriage amongst health care workers of critical care units in Kasturba Medical College Hospital, Mangaluru, India. J Clin Diagn Res 2013;7(12):2697–2700. DOI: 10.7860/JCDR/2013/5160.3735.

35. Shobha KL, Rao PS, Thomas J. Survey of Staphylococcus isolates among hospital personnel, environment and their antibiotic with