Carotid webs produce greater hemodynamic disturbances than atherosclerotic disease: a DSA time–density curve study
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ABSTRACT

Background Carotid webs (CaWs) are associated with ischemic strokes in younger patients without degrees of stenosis that are traditionally considered clinically significant.

Objective To compare the hemodynamic parameters in the internal carotid artery (ICA) bulb segment in patients with CaW with those in patients with atherosclerotic lesions using time–density curve (TDC) analysis of digital subtraction angiography (DSA) images.

Methods We retrospectively assessed DSA images of 47 carotid arteries in 41 adult patients who underwent ICA catheter angiography for evaluation after ischemic stroke. Hemodynamic parameters, including full width at half maximum (FWHM) and area under the time–density curve (AUC) as proxies for increased flow stasis, were calculated using TDC analyses of a region of interest (ROI) in the ICA bulb immediately rostral to the web/atherosclerotic plaque, relative to a standardized ROI in the ipsilateral distal common carotid artery (eg, relative FWHM (rFWHM)). Hemodynamic parameters were compared using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests. Logistic regression was used to predict CaW versus mild/moderate atherosclerosis for each hemodynamic parameter, adjusting for degree of stenosis.

Results Mean age of patients was 56.0±13 years, with 22 (53.7%) women. 17 CaWs, 22 atherosclerotic plaques (15 mild/moderate and 7 severe), and eight normal carotid arteries were assessed. Significant between-group differences were present in the relative total AUC (p<0.001), relative AUC at wash out (p=0.031), and relative FWHM (p=0.001). Logistic regression to predict CaW versus mild/moderate atherosclerosis showed that rAUC total had the highest predictive value (pAUC: 0.96, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.00), followed by rFWHM (0.87, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.00), and rAUC WO (0.74, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.91).

Conclusion CaW results in larger local hemodynamic disruption, characterized by flow stasis, than mild/moderate carotid atherosclerotic lesions, suggesting that CaWs may produce larger regions of thrombogenic flow stasis.

INTRODUCTION

Carotid webs (CaWs) are non-atherosclerotic intraluminal shelf-like fibroptic projections into the internal carotid artery (ICA) bulb, with an estimated population prevalence of 1–7%. Despite producing only mild luminal stenosis, CaWs are associated with up to 37% of cryptogenic ischemic strokes, particularly in younger patients without traditional risk factors and without significant intraluminal stenosis.

The pathophysiology of CaW-associated stroke is not well understood. However, it is postulated that CaW causes alterations of hemodynamic patterns distal to the web, which can cause thrombus formation and eventual embolization resulting in stroke.

CaWs appear on digital subtraction angiography (DSA) as distinct shelf-like filling defects in the bulb segment. Moreover, contrast pooling, a surrogate for prothrombogenic flow stasis, may be observed into the venous phase on DSA in the distal aspect of the CaW, despite the mild degree of stenosis. This phenomenon can be quantitatively assessed through regional density measurements of a series of contrast injection images, which can be calculated to produce time–density curves (TDCs).

TDC analyses enable calculation of parameters such as time to peak (TTP), relative TTP (rTTP), maximal upslope (MS), and angiographic full width at half maximum (FWHM), which have been used to assess flow characteristics of patients with atherosclerotic carotid stenosis. TDC analysis has not been applied to CaWs, which often produce stenoses similar to those of mild and moderate atherosclerotic plaques at the level of the carotid bulb. However, the different morphological and pathophysiologic features of CaWs may result in different distal hemodynamic patterns.

We aimed to compare DSA-based hemodynamic parameters in the ICA bulb segment in patients with CaW with those in patients with atherosclerotic stenosis and normal ICA using DSA-based TDC analysis. We hypothesized that the CaW will produce altered hemodynamics distinct from atherosclerotic lesions with similar degrees of luminal narrowing.

METHODS

Study design
We retrospectively analyzed consecutive DSA images of 47 carotid arteries in a cohort of 41 adult patients who underwent ICA catheter angiography for evaluation after ischemic stroke at a comprehensive stroke center between December 2013 and August 2020. This study was approved by the institutional review board and compliant with the Health Insurance Probability and Accountability Act.
Inclusion and exclusion criteria
We included adult patients with symptomatic CaW or atherosclerotic plaque in the carotid bulb who underwent DSA as part of a standard, routine clinical protocol for the evaluation of acute stroke between December 2013 and August 2020. Sixty-four patients with CaW and atherosclerotic plaques were identified by searching the institutional electronic medical record database (EPIC Systems Corporation, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) for carotid DSA reports that mentioned terms including ‘carotid web’, ‘web’, ‘shelf’, and permutations of ‘mild/moderate/severe’ and ‘narrowing/stenosis/atherosclerosis/plaque’. Symptomatic lesion was defined as a CaW or atherosclerotic plaque that was considered to be a cause of ipsilateral stroke. If imaging was obtained, the contralateral normal carotid arteries in the patients with CaW and atherosclerosis were also included for analysis. Each carotid artery was classified as CaW, atherosclerosis, or normal by consensus between two neurointerventionalists with 8 and 19 years of post-fellowship experience, based on morphology on DSA characterized by a focal shelf-like projection into the lumen without any findings suggestive of atherosclerosis on DSA and CT angiography. Patients with CaW and superimposed atherosclerotic calcifications were not included in the cohort.

Exclusion criteria included DSA images with incomplete inclusion of the common carotid artery (CCA) bifurcation and the carotid bulb (n=4), poor contrast bolus or vessel opacification (n=5), suboptimal subtraction (n=6), superimposed devices such as stents (n=2), images terminating too early (eg, before full wash out; n=4), and excessive patient motion (n=2). Among the 41 remaining patients, six had a DSA scan of the contralateral vessel that met the inclusion criteria.

Image analysis
The degree of stenosis in each atherosclerotic lesion was determined according to the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial criteria, which classifies stenosis as mild (0–49%), moderate (50–69%), or severe (70–99%). Degree of stenosis produced by CaW was similarly estimated according to previously published methods.

ROI placement
A region of interest (ROI) was placed in the carotid bulb just rostral to the CaW or atherosclerotic lesion while avoiding overlapping anatomic structures and external support devices. Each ROI was placed in a standardized location and measured approximately 1 cm along the longitudinal axis of the vessel to adequately cover the carotid bulb, with diameter spanning the entire caliber of the targeted vessel segment (figure 1). To account for differences in contrast injection rate, bolus volume, and catheter tip distance from bifurcation in each DSA examination, a second ROI was placed in the ipsilateral distal CCA to normalize the hemodynamic parameters for each vessel. Oblique lateral DSA images that best depicted the carotid bifurcation were used for ROI placement and TDC analysis.

Hemodynamic parameters
Hemodynamic parameters were estimated using TDC analyses (figure 2) of a ROI in the ICA bulb immediately distal to the web or atherosclerotic plaque, relative to a second ROI placed in the ipsilateral distal CCA. For each carotid artery, the following hemodynamic parameters were calculated: relative time at maximal intensity (rTMax), defined as the ratio of the differences in time of maximal intensity between these two target ROIs; total relative area under the curve (rAUC total), defined as the ratios of the AUC between the two ROIs from beginning of wash in to the end of wash out; rAUC during wash in (rAUC WI) and wash out (rAUC WO); relative full width at half maximum (rFWHM), defined as the ratio of the FWHM of the curve; relative time to peak (rTTP), defined as the ratio of the time difference in the time of maximum of the curve and bolus arrival, and relative maximal slope (rMS), defined as the maximum slope between the time of the contrast medium arrival and the time of maximal signal intensity of an ROI.

Figure 1 Region of interest placement: representative lateral digital subtraction angiography image of a patient with severe carotid atherosclerosis (A) and carotid web (B) with color-coded red region of interest (ROI) distal to the lesion and a second blue ROI placed at the distal common carotid artery bifurcation for calculation of normalized hemodynamic parameters. A normal carotid bifurcation (C) and ROI placement is shown for comparison.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS v27 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). Significance was defined as a two-tailed p value of ≤0.05. Patient characteristics were summarized as means and SD for continuous variables and as numbers and percentages for categorical variables.

χ² tests were used to compare the frequency of medical comorbidities among patients with CaW, atherosclerosis, and normal carotid arteries. Wilcoxon rank sum tests were used to compare the degrees of stenosis between CaW and groups with mild and moderate atherosclerosis. Non-parametric statistics using Kruskal-Wallis tests were used to compare hemodynamic parameters across the following groups: CaW, mild, moderate, and severe atherosclerosis, and normal carotid. Pairwise comparisons using Wilcoxon rank sum tests with the Holm correction for multiple comparisons was performed to further clarify the hemodynamic parameters that were significantly different among groups in the Kruskal-Wallis tests. For the subset of patients with mild atherosclerosis, moderate atherosclerosis, or CaW, a separate logistic regression was used to predict CaW for each hemodynamic parameter, including degree of stenosis as a covariate. Area under the curve (AUC) analysis from the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) was conducted using R 4.0.3 and the pROC package to calculate the predictive AUC (pAUC) of each hemodynamic parameter to distinguish between CaW and mild/moderate atherosclerosis groups.
RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Patient characteristics are summarized for each carotid artery in Table 1. Among the included 47 carotid arteries, classification breakdown was as follows: CaW (n=17), mild atherosclerosis (n=8), moderate atherosclerosis (n=7), severe atherosclerosis (n=7), and normal (n=8). The mean age overall was 56.0±13 years, and 53% of the patients were women. χ² tests showed differences in the rates of comorbidities including hypertension (p=0.021) and diabetes (p=0.017), but not dyslipidemia (p=0.177) among patient groups.

DSA image assessment

The mean degree of stenosis in the CaW group was 30.8% (range 15.9–58.3%). Groups with mild, moderate, and severe atherosclerosis had mean stenosis of 20.4% (range 5.9–46.3%), 61.8% (range 50.9–69.0%), and 83.1% (range 71.4–90.2%), respectively. Wilcoxon rank sum test demonstrated differences in the degrees of stenosis between CaW and mild atherosclerosis (p=0.04) and between CaW and moderate atherosclerosis groups (p<0.001). Contrast pooling immediately distal to the web was observed in 14 of the 17 cases. Of the 14 cases, definite pooling to the venous phase was seen in nine cases. In the remaining five, the contrast pooling was observed until the end of the cine image sequence, which terminated at the late arterial phase. Contrast pooling was absent in three of the 17 cases.

Assessment of hemodynamic parameters

Kruskal-Wallis group-level comparisons of relative hemodynamic parameters in the carotid bulb are summarized in Table 2. There were significant overall differences in rAUC total (p<0.001), rAUC WO (p=0.031), and rFWHM (p=0.001) among patient groups. No differences were observed for rTTP (p=0.882) or rMS (p=0.105). Although rFWHM differed across patient groups, secondary analysis showed that this might have been partially due to differences in the absolute FWHM in the CCA (online supplemental material). That said, post hoc pairwise comparisons with the Bonferroni-Holm correction showed that there was no significant difference in absolute FWHM in the CCA (online supplemental material). That said, post hoc pairwise comparisons with the Bonferroni-Holm correction showed that there was no significant difference in absolute FWHM in the moderate atherosclerosis and web groups (p=1.00), while rFWHM differed significantly between these two groups (p=0.02). We also conducted an analysis in which we randomly selected one observation from the seven patients with two observations. rAUC total and rFWHM were still significant (p<0.001), while rAUC WO was not (p=0.18). Pairwise comparisons using Holm correction for multiple comparisons (figure 3) showed higher rAUC total in the CaW group compared with the mild atherosclerosis (p=0.006), moderate atherosclerosis (p=0.002), severe atherosclerosis (p=0.006), and normal carotids (p=0.006). Similarly, rFWHM was higher in CaW than in mild atherosclerosis (p=0.02), moderate atherosclerosis (p=0.02), severe atherosclerosis (p=0.02), and normal carotids (p=0.003). Comparison of absolute parameters in the ICA and CCA are listed in the online supplemental material.

ROC analyses are summarized in Table 3. Using logistic regression to classify CaW versus mild/moderate atherosclerosis while
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Table 2  Hemodynamic parameters: summary statistics

| Parameter* | Normal (n=8) | Mild atherosclerosis (n=8) | Moderate atherosclerosis (n=7) | Severe atherosclerosis (n=7) | Carotid web (n=17) | P value† |
|------------|--------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|---------|
| rAUC total | 1.08 (0.33)  | 1.00 (0.42)               | 1.10 (0.36)                  | 0.90 (0.63)                 | 1.44 (0.39)        | <0.001  |
| rAUC WI    | 1.15 (0.44)  | 0.80 (1.7)                | 1.15 (1.1)                   | 0.84 (0.93)                 | 1.4 (0.96)         | 0.368   |
| rAUC WO    | 1.09 (0.75)  | 1.25 (0.89)               | 1.01 (0.83)                  | 0.90 (0.47)                 | 1.69 (0.70)        | 0.031   |
| rFWHM      | 1.10 (0.21)  | 0.97 (0.32)               | 1.00 (0.49)                  | 1.02 (0.38)                 | 1.43 (0.50)        | 0.001   |
| rTTP       | 1.00 (0.13)  | 1.00 (0.37)               | 1.33 (0.75)                  | 1.00 (0.67)                 | 1.00 (0.46)        | 0.882   |
| rMS        | 0.95 (0.40)  | 0.95 (0.61)               | 1.10 (0.23)                  | 0.52 (0.68)                 | 1.00 (0.30)        | 0.105   |

*All hemodynamic parameters are reported as median (IQR).
†P values: Kruskal-Wallis test, r: relative (normalized to distal common carotid).
AUC, area under the curve; FWHM, full width at half maximum; MS, maximum slope during wash in; TTP, time to peak; WI, wash in; WO, wash out.

accounting for the degree of stenosis, rAUC total had the highest predictive value (pAUC=0.96, 95% CI 0.90 to 1.00), followed by rFWHM (0.87, 95% CI 0.74 to 1.00), and rAUC WO (0.74, 95% CI 0.57 to 0.91). The rAUC WI, rMS, and rTTP had the lowest predictive values, with 95% CI that included 0.50.

DISCUSSION

The major finding of this study was that local hemodynamic parameters which characterize the amount of flow stasis were significantly elevated in CaW compared with mild and moderate carotid atherosclerosis, despite having degrees of stenosis that were below the range generally used to consider patients for endovascular treatments.17 Altered hemodynamics induced by CaW are probably related to the phenomenon of contrast pooling that continues into the venous phase on DSA in the ICA bulb, which is commonly observed in patients with CaW, but not with atherosclerotic plaques. The contrast pooling is due to increased particle residence time that is reflected in the increased rAUC total values that were seen in this study.

Current understanding of CaW and resultant altered flow dynamics is limited.18 Compagne et al., recently used computational fluid dynamics modeling to show that CaWs demonstrate a larger recirculation zone distal to the web, characterized by higher transverse wall shear stress and oscillatory shear index, compared with the contralateral normal carotid bifurcation.8 The study, however, was limited to computational flow modeling...
with multiple assumptions and did not account for patient-specific flow patterns.

In patients with CaWs, the intraluminal shelf-like projection causes a flow acceleration in the proximal side of the web. Distal to the web, the lumen area expands significantly, which creates an even larger unstable, separated, flow pattern that gives rise to a large recirculation region and potentially a flow stagnation region, probably represented by the larger AUC total demonstrated in our patients with CaWs. This sudden area expansion distal to the carotid web might result in an extreme form of flow disturbance; thus we expect that advance modalities such as 4D flow MRI may demonstrate larger recirculation zones and altered hemodynamic parameters distal to a CaW in comparison with a healthy bulb.

In the meantime, DSA remains the gold standard for carotid artery evaluation owing to its temporal and spatial resolution, and the possibility of effective therapeutic interventions. In some cases, there may be overlap between the appearance of carotid webs, particularly those that have a pyramidal morphology, and atherosclerotic plaques that can make the distinction difficult based on morphology alone. Our results suggest that adding TDC analysis to DSA to assess quantitative flow parameters may be useful when the distinction between CaW and mild and moderate atherosclerotic plaque is not clear. In addition, the altered hemodynamics associated with CaW compared with atherosclerosis suggest that the etiology of thrombogenesis in CaW may be distinct from atherosclerosis.

Limitations of this study are primarily due to its retrospective nature. There were technical differences in DSA acquisition that included injector rates, contrast bolus volumes, and catheter tip distances from carotid bifurcation. We attempted to mitigate these factors by calculating hemodynamic parameters relative to a standardized ROI within the distal CCA. Owing to the plane, positioning, and relative early origins of different branch vessels in the external carotid artery (ECA), it was not possible to place a uniformed standardized ROI in the ECA as opposed to the CCA, which was acquired in plane with the ICA. Furthermore, as subjects were identified by current or prior strokes, it was not possible to assess the predictive value of DSA-based parameters for the likelihood of developing stroke. Moreover, most of the patients underwent DSA following reperfusion. This retrospective selection criterion also limited the number of normal carotid DSA examinations as most of the patients with CaWs had either bilateral webs or unilateral webs that did not undergo imaging of the contralateral side. Lastly, there was an inherent age and sex bias towards young, female patients in the CaW group consistent with prior studies on CaW. Age has been shown to be associated with differences in flow and vessel wall parameters. Although using age- and sex-matched control subjects would be ideal, this was limited by the invasive nature of DSA. Thus, it might be better to investigate and quantify hemodynamic parameters in patients with carotid vessel disease using non-invasive methods.

**CONCLUSION**

CaW produces altered hemodynamic disturbances compared with mild and moderate carotid atherosclerosis, despite having similar degrees of luminal narrowing. These differences in hemodynamic parameters suggest that the anatomical shape and location of CaWs produce altered flow that suggests prothrombotic flow stasis compared with atherosclerotic lesions. Future studies are needed to further clarify the relationship between flow disturbance and the pathogenesis/risk of ischemic strokes in patients with CaW, which may lead to improved risk stratification and clinical management.
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SUPPLEMENTARY RESULTS

Comparison of Absolute Hemodynamic Parameters

Kruskal-Wallis group-level comparisons of absolute hemodynamic parameters in the common carotid artery (CCA) are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. There was significant overall difference in the absolute full width at half maximum (FWHM) ($p=0.001$) among patient groups, while the remaining parameters showed no difference. Comparison of absolute hemodynamic parameters in the internal carotid artery (ICA) (Supplementary Table 2) showed no significant differences.

Pairwise comparisons of absolute FWHM, in the ICA and CCA, without with Holm correction for multiple comparisons are summarized in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4, respectively. Carotid web (CaW) and moderate atherosclerosis groups did not significantly differ in absolute FWHM in the CCA ($p=0.29$ uncorrected, $p=1$ corrected).
### Supplementary Table 1  Comparison of Absolute CCA Parameters

| Parameter* | Normal (n= 8) | Mild Atherosclerosis (n= 7) | Moderate Atherosclerosis (n= 6) | Severe Atherosclerosis (n=5) | Carotid Web (n=15) | p value** |
|------------|---------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| AUC Total  | 2174.16 (3096.25) | 2400.79 (3314.63) | 883.67 (3673.77) | 1647.36 (1792.66) | 1718.00 (2846.22) | 0.911     |
| AUC WI     | 1298.35 (2161.15) | 401.45 (2734.98) | 1003.46 (1083.22) | 498.30 (1154.39) | 1220.53 (1370.07) | 0.570     |
| AUC WO     | 1280.02 (1344.38) | 763.41 (1689.79) | 576.72 (1284.85) | 836.58 (1551.80) | 1220.53 (1370.07) | 0.987     |
| FWHM       | 2.52 (1.01) | 2.50 (0.67) | 1.90 (0.34) | 2.70 (1.17) | 1.36 (0.81) | **0.001** |
| TTP        | 2.25 (1.38) | 2.00 (0.88) | 1.50 (0.50) | 1.75 (1.25) | 1.50 (1.00) | 0.093     |
| MS         | 561.50 (817.51) | 1680.20 (2024.86) | 2027.84 (2093.98) | 797.50 (758.18) | 1220.53 (1370.07) | 0.908     |

*All hemodynamic parameters are reported as median (interquartile range)

**p-values: Kruskal-Wallis Test

AUC: area under the curve; WI: wash in; WO: wash out; FWHM: full width at half maximum; TTP: time to peak; MS: maximum slope during wash in
## Supplementary Table 2  
Comparison of Absolute ICA Parameters

| Parameter* | Normal (n= 8) | Mild Atherosclerosis (n= 7) | Moderate Atherosclerosis (n= 6) | Severe Atherosclerosis (n=5) | Carotid Web (n=15) | p value** |
|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| AUC Total  | 2135.28      | 2052.59                     | 1136.67                       | 1776.06                     | 2361.60           | 0.901     |
|            | (3950.23)    | (3657.38)                   | (3491.17)                     | (1707.27)                   | (3840.50)         |           |
| AUC WI     | 966.48 (2067.10) | 1035.40                    | 1216.27                       | 771.96                      | 1206.20           | 0.926     |
|            | 1381.83      | 1038.07                     | 584.20                        | 697.59                      | 1587.80           |           |
| AUC WO     | 1753.61      | 2035.98                     | 2227.34                       | 1346.50                     | 2626.00           | 0.665     |
|            | (1753.61)    | (2035.98)                   | (2227.34)                     | (1346.50)                   | (2626.00)         |           |
| FWHM       | 2.75 (0.83)  | 2.49 (0.35)                 | 1.91 (0.50)                   | 2.81 (1.34)                 | 2.30 (1.14)       | 0.080     |
| TTP        | 2.00 (1.50)  | 2.00 (0.88)                 | 2.00 (1.00)                   | 2.00 (1.25)                 | 1.50 (1.50)       | 0.725     |
|            | 701.86       | 547.14                      | 566.22                        | 597.90                      |                   |           |
| MS         | 559.43 (1045.95) | 1789.24                    | 2768.95                       | 1642.18                     | 2524.00           | 0.720     |

*All hemodynamic parameters are reported as median (interquartile range)

**p-values: Kruskal-Wallis Test

AUC: area under the curve; WI: wash in; WO: wash out; FWHM: full width at half maximum; TTP: time to peak; MS: maximum slope during wash in
### Supplementary Table 3  Pairwise Comparisons of Absolute FWHM in the ICA

**a) Uncorrected p-values**

|          | Mild | Moderate | Normal | Severe |
|----------|------|----------|--------|--------|
| Moderate | 0.149| -        | -      | -      |
| Normal   | 0.713| 0.075    | -      | -      |
| Severe   | 0.495| 0.043    | 0.743  | -      |
| Web      | 0.468| 0.325    | 0.251  | 0.143  |

**b) Using Holm Correction**

|          | Mild | Moderate | Normal | Severe |
|----------|------|----------|--------|--------|
| Moderate | 1.00 | -        | -      | -      |
| Normal   | 1.00 | 0.67     | -      | -      |
| Severe   | 1.00 | 0.43     | 1.00   | -      |
| Web      | 1.00 | 1.00     | 1.00   | 1.00   |

### Supplementary Table 4  Pairwise Comparisons of Absolute FWHM in the CCA

**a) Uncorrected p-values**

|          | Mild | Moderate | Normal | Severe |
|----------|------|----------|--------|--------|
| Moderate | 0.043| -        | -      | -      |
| Normal   | 0.708| 0.019    | -      | -      |
| Severe   | 0.778| 0.027    | 0.937  | -      |
| Web      | 0.001| 0.288    | <0.001 | <0.001 |

**b) Using Holm Correction**

|          | Mild | Moderate | Normal | Severe |
|----------|------|----------|--------|--------|
| Moderate | 0.217| -        | -      | -      |
| Normal   | 1.00 | 0.131    | -      | -      |
| Severe   | 1.00 | 0.162    | 1.00   | -      |
| Web      | 0.006| 1.00     | 0.002  | 0.004  |