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ABSTRACT
Here we review the field of atom chips in the context of Bose–Einstein Condensates (BEC) as well as cold matter in general. Twenty years after the first realization of the BEC and 15 years after the realization of the atom chip, the latter has been found to enable extraordinary feats: from producing BECs at a rate of several per second, through the realization of matter-wave interferometry, and all the way to novel probing of surfaces and new forces. In addition, technological applications are also being intensively pursued. This review will describe these developments and more, including new ideas which have not yet been realized.

1. Introduction
The atom chip is a device intended to miniaturize setups for quantum optics with cold matter. This means an integrated device that can create cold matter, trap it, manipulate it and measure it, while controlling both internal and external degrees of freedom. The enhanced accuracy and versatility is expected to enable not only new technology, but also a variety of novel fundamental studies.

The original vision of the atom chip is simple: combine the mature technology of the semiconductor industry with the dramatic progress made in atom optics. This combination creates a type of ‘solid state’ device for matter-wave optics with the best of both worlds: on the one hand, accuracy, scalability, complexity, miniaturization and integration, and on the other hand, the long coherence times of isolated cold matter. The atom chip is expected to operate with atom-surface distances in the range of 100 nm–100 µm, thus enabling the isolation required for atom optics, while simultaneously achieving the noted advantages of solid-state devices. Such a device could enable trapping and guiding potentials with virtually arbitrary architecture and the ability to individually address specific traps (e.g. in a high-density lattice of traps) and control interactions between them (e.g. with dynamic control over tunnelling barriers). The hope was – and still is – that such a level of miniaturization and integration can bring to cold matter and matter waves the same revolution that it brought to electronics and optics. In Figure 1, we show a schematic representation of such a vision.

This vision of the atom chip has already achieved several very important milestones. First it was shown that spin flips due to noise from the nearby surface are at a level where the lifetime of atoms trapped in a magnetic potential is long enough. Hence, atom loss is under control. It was then shown that the heating rate is low enough that the Bose–Einstein Condensate (BEC) can survive very close to a surface. This observation is truly remarkable as we remind the reader that atom chips, typically at room temperature, create a temperature ratio of nine orders of magnitude over a distance of a few µm.

Next, it was shown that spin coherence survives for a very long time, and finally, it was shown that even spatial coherence is very robust. All these findings are essential for the above vision. A more detailed description of these milestones, all of which are essential for the above vision, appears in the next sections.

The atom chip was first realized at the turn of the century (5–7), and the first BECs on atom chips were realized shortly thereafter (8, 9). Since its birth, the atom chip has continued to surprise and delight us, both in terms of fundamental physics and as a technological device. We note that atom chips are expected to go into space in 2016 (10) (Figure 2) and to be installed in the International Space Station in 2017 (11, 12), whereby fundamental tests
Figure 1. Futuristic visions of highly integrated atom chips. (a) A single substrate (gray shading) holds all the light sources (yellow), atom sources (purple), photonics, and micromagnetic traps. Successive stages of cooling and experimentation proceed in a series of miniature vacuum chambers (unshaded) from left to right. Conceptual sketch courtesy of Tim Freegarde (Southampton) and adapted from (1), with permission from Springer Science+Business Media. (b) An integrated design currently being realized incorporates the vacuum system, atom source and optical components in a permanently sealed microlitre system (2). The device is designed for magneto-optical trapping and cooling and is capable of passively maintaining ultrahigh vacuum for >1000 days. The composite chip size will be 20 × 24 × 5 mm³. Schematic courtesy of Matt Himsworth (Southampton). Compact modular systems developed by Dana Anderson’s group (Boulder) are now capable of producing chip-based BECs (3, 4).

Figure 2. Vehicle and payload of the DLR sounding rocket MAIUS-1 in launch configuration. The scientific payload developed by the consortium led by Ernst Rasel consists of an autonomous atom chip device for interferometry employing BECs, including the vacuum system housing a three-layer atom chip, solid-state laser system, electronics, control system and batteries. Courtesy of Stephan Seidel (Hannover), Jens Große (DLR-Bremen) and DLR-MORABA (18).

If we may summarize the last 15 years, it may be said that while enormous development has occurred, technological applications of the method are still in need of further progress. Work is being done on applications such as magnetic sensors, atomic clocks, inertial acceleration sensors, atom transport dynamics analogous to electronics (‘atomtronics’), building blocks for quantum communication (e.g. memory and repeaters) and devices for quantum simulation and computing. Just as important, in parallel, a mesmerizing amount of exciting fundamental physics has been done with atom chips and this in itself has made the effort worthwhile.

Focusing on experimental work with neutral atoms, such fundamental studies include the probing of Johnson (thermally-induced) noise (19–21), electron transport (22), the testing of the Casimir–Polder (CP) potential near surfaces (20, 23, 24), quantum reflection from surfaces (25), investigations of degenerate Bose gases in low dimensions (26–28), statistical many-body features such as thermalization (29–31), entanglement (32–34) and squeezing (35) effects in an interacting Bose gas, self-rephasing through collisions (36), complementarity in the context of general relativity (37), Fermi gases (38) and much more. Additional fundamental experiments are underway, such as testing the equivalence principle (39) and searching for the hypothesized fifth force (40, 41).

The starting point of many of these experiments is the BEC that we now celebrate in this Special Issue.

Theoretical work concerning the atom chip is overwhelming in scope and depth. In this review, we will be focusing on experimental work. Nevertheless, concerning neutral atoms, let us briefly mention here some of the extensive work done on Johnson noise and single-atom decoherence (42, 43), electron transport (44–46), CP interactions (47), decoherence in low dimensions (48), loss, heating, and decoherence of a many-body system near a surface (49, 50), quantum gates (51–53),

of physics in microgravity (e.g. the equivalence principle) and at extremely low temperatures (down to 1 pK) will take place. Quite a number of reviews have been written on the extraordinary conception and implementation of the atom chip (1, 13–17). Here we briefly present previous results while mainly aiming to update the reader on the state-of-the-art and on new ideas. It is our aim to hint at possible future directions and roadmaps, and in this way help young atom chip researchers who have just begun their journey.
hybrid devices (54), superconductors (55–58), exotic materials and geometries affecting everything from Johnson noise to CP forces and vacuum modes (59–63), double-well potentials and matter-wave interferometry (64–67), matter-wave pulse shaping (68), atomtronics (69–71), and so on. Two more examples consist of a simulator for quantum pumping of electrons in mesoscopic circuits (72) and the investigation of bosonic superflow (73). The last three topics are good examples of the possible uses of tunnelling barriers on atom chips.

This paper is structured as follows: after this brief introduction, Section 2 focuses on the wide range of particles that are now used with atom chips. While the first chips were planned for ground-state neutral bosons, atom chips have since been designed to trap and manipulate Rydberg atoms, fermions, molecules, ions and electrons. Figure 3 presents an example of an atom chip operated with cold ions. Atom chips are now being proposed for trapping antiprotons, positrons and antihydrogen. Our review does not deal with atom chips for room temperature atomic vapour although impressive work has been done here as well (74–77), nor with atom chips for solid-state ‘atom-like’ systems (78, 79). We mainly focus on cold neutral atoms where, again, the starting point of most experiments is the BEC.

Section 3 deals with the technology. While the first atom chips were mainly based on a surface with current-carrying wires, they have diversified significantly and now include permanent magnets, electrodes for electric fields, antennas for radio-frequency and microwave potentials and photonics. Superconductors are also being used now. Proposals exist to make use of exotic materials such as alloys, crystalline materials, nanowires, carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and so on. The vacuum chamber has also evolved. Some atom chips are utilized as a facet of the chamber itself such that the substrate holds the vacuum. Other atom chips have the vacuum within the substrate. These are important steps towards the vision of a fully self-contained atom chip which will have within the substrate its own vacuum (including pumps), particle sources, light sources and magnetic and electric field sources. Integrated readouts via photodiodes and cavities will be transmitted from the chip by way of electronics and fibres (Figure 1).

In Section 4, we focus on interactions between the atoms and the surface. These interactions include forces such as the CP force, and effects due to noise sources such as Johnson noise. Here we also describe limitations of atom chips due to imperfections in material or fabrication. We emphasize that while the atom chip was designed to serve as a base for matter-wave optics, it may also be used to provide new insights on materials, surfaces, and searches for such effects as the hypothesized fifth force.

As an example of matter-wave optics made possible by the atom chip, we focus on interferometry in Section 5. We discuss free-space, guided and trapped interferometry, including Mach–Zehnder interferometers as well as Sagnacs.

In Section 6, we describe potential applications such as clocks, sensors and quantum information processing (QIP). In addition, we expect that progress in atom chips will open new possibilities for the nascent field of atomtronics. We also discuss the use of atom chips for rapid production of BECs.

We give some concluding remarks and perspectives in Section 7.

Last but not least, let us note that we explicitly mention the names of those who led many of the atom chip and related efforts. This is done in order to honour these people and to make available a more intimate perspective. However, it is of course possible that, although we have made considerable efforts, we may have inadvertently neglected to mention some additional people. We apologize for any such oversights.

2. Chip-trappable species

In its earliest days, the atom chip was used for the control and manipulation of ground-state neutral bosons only, and the alkali metals WERE the bosons of choice. Although it remains true that the workhorse of atom chip experiments and technology is $^{87}$Rb, more recent developments have expanded to include a much wider range of ultracold neutral particles, including Rydberg atoms, fermions and molecules. Atom chips are even
being proposed for the capture and manipulation of antimatter.

This section gives a few detailed examples for each of these classes. In addition, we briefly discuss charged particles (ions and electrons), particularly in the context of QIP, but a more detailed review of this very wide field (see, e.g. (80–83)) is beyond the scope of the present survey. Except as explicitly noted, it is indeed $^{87}\text{Rb}$ that is used elsewhere in this review.

### 2.1. Rydberg atoms

Rydberg atoms are atoms excited to a very high principal quantum number $n$ (84). The excited valence electron is loosely bound and its radius is large ($\propto n^2$), making Rydberg atoms highly polarizable (electric polarizability $\propto n^7$) and extremely sensitive to external fields.

Since the alkali atoms so commonly used for ultracold experiments are precisely those most easily excited to one-electron Rydberg states, it is natural to marry atom chip studies of surface potentials with this great sensitivity. For example, Rydberg energy levels are very sensitive to residual electric fields and they have therefore been used as probes for weak fields emanating from the chip surface (85). Disruptive electrostatic fields near surfaces have been shown to arise mainly from surface adsorbates (86–88), which cannot be avoided entirely since the alkali atoms are chemically very reactive, and once released from the atom trap may interact and be absorbed by the surface. Nevertheless, it has recently been possible to reduce the stray fields and thereby to bring the Rydberg atoms much closer to the surface ($\approx 10\ \mu m$ (89)).

Rydberg electromagnetically induced transparency is a common method to probe the electrostatic field of adsorbed and polarized adatoms. A cryogenic atom chip was used to measure the temperature dependence of atom-surface physisorption on a high-$T_c$ YBCO/YSZ$^3$ surface (90). It was shown that the van der Waals interaction is the dominant factor at cryogenic temperatures.

The interaction between ground-state neutral atoms is fairly weak and short. Two-body interactions for Rydberg atoms can however, be vastly stronger, since their dipole–dipole interactions scale as $n^4$. Dipole blockade, a candidate for building quantum gates (91, 92), can occur at distances $>10\ \mu m$ between Rydberg atoms (93, 94). This is the same length scale achieved for permanent-magnet lattice traps recently implemented on atom chips (95), with much shorter length scales currently being implemented (Section 3.4) in the same laboratory.

Recent experiments have demonstrated several promising features of Rydberg atoms for QIP. While coherence lifetimes of cold Rydberg atoms were measured near the surface of an atom chip and shown to be sensitive to static electric field inhomogeneities, spin-echo and spin-locking methods extended the measured coherence times (97). Coherence of Rydberg qubits on a superconducting atom chip was maintained for $>600\ \mu s$ by the Haroche group, exceeding even the lifetime of the $n = 60$ Rydberg level used (96). The same superconducting atom chip (shown in Figure 4) was used for direct measurements of the dipole blockade regime, revealed by microwave spectroscopy of a dense Rydberg gas (98). These experiments are advancing the feasibility of quantum simulations and hybrid atom chip quantum information architecture based on Rydberg atoms.

Figure 4. Microwave spectroscopy of Rydberg atoms on a superconducting atom chip. (a) Scheme of the experimental setup. (b) Scheme of the superconducting atom chip. (c) Scheme of the field-ionization detection system. Note the different coordinate axis definitions in the three panels. The origin O is taken at the centre of the horizontal segment of the Z-wire connecting pads G and L. Adapted from (96), with permission © 2014 by the American Physical Society.

### 2.2. Fermions

Forced evaporative cooling is a common method for achieving ultracold Bose gases, but it does not work for a single-component Fermi gas because binary elastic collisions of identical spin-polarized fermions are prohibited at ultralow temperatures. This problem can be solved using two different spin states of fermionic $^{40}\text{K}$ (99) or by sympathetic cooling of fermionic $^6\text{Li}$ by bosonic $^7\text{Li}$ (100). Fermi degeneracy of $^{40}\text{K}$ on an atom chip was achieved by the Thywissen group by applying sympathetic cooling with $^{87}\text{Rb}$ (101) (Figure 5). The strong
confinement and large inter-species collision rate afforded by the atom chip trap permitted cooling to Fermi degeneracy in just 6 s, faster than previously possible in conventional magnetic traps.

Since anisotropic magnetic potentials with high aspect ratios are easily produced by atom chips, they serve as excellent platforms for studies of fermionic physics (103). Ideal Fermi gas splitting has been demonstrated with a radio-frequency (RF)-dressed double well on an atom chip (104). This kind of potential is species-selective and may be useful for studying tunable boson-fermion interactions in ultracold atomic mixtures and the effect of fermions on the coherence of bosons in the double well. Optical potentials can trap the spin mixtures which are necessary for investigating strong inter-particle interactions in fermions.

Bringing optical traps near the surface of an atom chip provides opportunities to combine strongly interacting degenerate Fermi gases with near-field RF and microwave probes (105). This has become a powerful tool for investigating quantum dynamics of fermions. The dynamics of a transversely magnetized unitary Fermi gas in an inhomogeneous magnetic field has been studied experimentally, and shows how a transversely spin-polarized Fermi gas decoheres and becomes strongly correlated at a Feshbach-tuned interaction resonance (106). In another experiment on spin diffusion, an unambiguous signature of the Leggett-Rice effect in a strongly interacting Fermi gas was observed (38). Recently, ‘p-wave contacts’ in non-equilibrium dynamics was also investigated (107). In this experiment, the s-wave interactions are suppressed by polarizing an ultracold Fermi gas of 40K, while p-wave interactions are enhanced by working near a scattering resonance.

Atom chip traps for 40K and 87Rb were compared as simulators for quantum pumping (72); this theoretical analysis showed both differences and common features for geometric behaviour and resonance transmission of bosons and fermions across a one-dimensional (1D) channel between magnetic reservoirs.

### 2.3. Molecules

With their numerous internal degrees of freedom and strong long-range interactions, ultracold molecules are another frontier in the investigation of fundamental phenomena, such as measurements of the electron electric dipole moment and parity violation, and tests of the hypothesized fifth force. Due to their complicated rotational-vibrational-electronic energy level manifolds, molecules generally lack the closed two-level cycling systems that have proven so useful for efficient laser cooling. So far, only alkaline-earth mono-halides, with their near-unity Franck–Condon factors, have been successfully cooled and slowed with lasers (SrF in (108, 109) and CsF in (110)). Temperatures on the order of a few mK have been achieved.

More generally, polar molecules have a high enough sensitivity to electric fields (due to Stark shifts) that electrostatic trapping can be accomplished once the molecules have undergone initial cooling. This has been achieved using time-varying inhomogeneous electric fields for a molecular beam of NH3 (111). Filtering of slow molecules for loading into electrostatic traps has been demonstrated for ND3 using an electrostatic quadrupole guide (112), and has also been demonstrated for Rb using a magnetic octupole guide as a prototype for paramagnetic molecules (113). Regarding chip potentials, storage and adiabatic cooling of CH3F has recently been demonstrated using a microstructured electric trap, and Sisyphus cooling has been applied via spontaneous emission between vibrational states to effect further reductions in temperature to the mK range (114).

Strong electric field gradients can be produced by an atom chip. This has been used to demonstrate trapping of Sr atoms using time-varying inhomogeneous electric fields generated by electrodes on an atom chip (116) (spinless 88Sr cannot be trapped using just magnetic fields...
Figure 6. Trapping molecules on a chip. (a) A pulsed beam of CO molecules is prepared in the upper \( \Lambda \)-doublet level of the \( a^3\Sigma_1^+ \) \( (v' = 0, J' = 1) \) state by direct laser excitation from the electronic ground state. (b) The molecules are collimated and then travel closely above the ‘molecule chip’ over its full 50-mm length. Upon arrival above the chip, the molecules are confined in tubular electric field microtraps centred 25 \( \mu \)m above the chip that move with the molecular beam at a velocity of several hundred m/s. (c) An array of these miniaturized moving traps (blue tubes) is brought to a standstill over a distance of only a few centimetres by applying phase-shifted MHz-range potentials to the microstructured electrode array. After a certain holding time, the molecules are accelerated off the chip again for detection. Adapted from (115), with permission from AAAS.

2.4. Antimatter

The Weak Equivalence Principle claims that the trajectory of a particle is independent of its composition and internal structure if the only force acting upon it is gravity. But does antimatter obey this rule? In fact, the Weak Equivalence Principle has never been examined experimentally for gravitational interactions of antimatter with matter. Some theoretical work has discussed the possibility that the gravitational interaction could be significantly different from the analogous matter–matter interaction, or even that it is repulsive (128). A recent review summarizes experimental progress for measuring the effect of gravity on cold neutral antimatter atoms (129).

Charged test particles are not well suited for determining gravitational forces although they are much easier to trap. Since the Coulomb force is orders-of-magnitude stronger than the gravitational force, any stray...
electric fields could mask small gravitational effects. The GBAR project (Gravitational Behaviour of Antihydrogen at Rest) proposes a cold atom scheme to solve this problem by neutralizing an antiproton with a positron to create antihydrogen \( [130] \).

Experiments with antihydrogen are also important for tests of CPT violation thought to be responsible for the lack of anti-matter in the observed natural universe \( [131] \). Other interesting uses of antihydrogen include the study of quantum reflection of antimatter from a matter surface \( [132] \).

Further into the future, a new international chip-based consortium is now developing ideas for replacing the macroscopic traps of the ALPHA \( [133] \) and AEGIS \( [134] \) collaborations at CERN with atom chips. Such a chip would enable the combined operations of trapping antiprotons and positrons, cooling them, recombining them, and finally trapping the resulting antihydrogen atom. The atom chip surface is essential for this idea, e.g. to cool the charged antiparticle constituents by way of resistive cooling \( [135] \) before recombining them. The nearby surface may also enable efficient multi-species traps as required for this experiment, while the high gradients may allow efficient recombination processes \( [136] \).

One of the challenges concerns the final temperature of the antihydrogen. Materials engineering focusing on new high current density conductors (Section 3.1) could enable much deeper atom chip traps, thereby improving prospects for efficient antihydrogen capture.

### 2.5. Charged particles

Trapped ions are advantageous for QIP because of their long lifetimes, long coherence times relative to gate times, strong inter-ion interactions, high reproducibility and efficient detection \( [82, 137] \). Ideally, the motional modes for ions in a multi-ion trap can be laser-cooled to the ground state of their motion, thereby providing a well-defined initial quantum state. This delicate preparation can however, be disturbed by even one quantum of motion absorbed from the environment \( [138] \).

Scaling quantum processors to hundreds or thousands of qubits in order to outperform their classical counterparts in certain applications remains a central challenge. Microfabricated ion traps provide an opportunity for significant advances in QIP, and to realize quantum simulations, cavity quantum electrodynamics, quantum hybrid systems, precision measurements and many other interesting topics.

Most ion chip traps use planar electrodes, unlike the traditional Paul trap and the Penning trap. For multi-layer chip designs, the ion is trapped between electrodes located in two or more planes \( [139] \). There are also single-layer chips with surface electrode traps that make use of mature microfabrication technologies \( [140] \). In addition to 1D trapping arrays, two-dimensional (2D) structures such as Y-shaped junctions \( [141] \) have been developed.

Microfabricated ion traps bring a greater level of precision and density to ion-based systems for QIP and quantum simulation. But trap miniaturization also worsens electric field noise as the ions are brought closer to the trap surface. Single ions are usually confined 30–200 µm from the electrodes, where measured heating rates are much faster than expected from Johnson (thermally-induced) noise and technical noise. The dependence on the distance \( d \) from the ion to the nearest electrode is very strong, and is consistent with a \( d^{-4} \) ‘anomalous heating’ scaling law \( [142] \).

Cooling the trap electrodes to cryogenic temperatures has been shown to drastically reduce heating rates \( [143, 144] \). Implementing \textit{in situ} \( \text{Ar}^+ \)-beam cleaning produced a 100-fold reduction in the heating rate \( [145] \). Pulsed laser cleaning of the trap surface has been reported to reduce the electric-field noise spectral density by about 50% \( [146] \). Heating rates of trapped single \( ^{88}\text{Sr}^+ \) ions in a superconducting microfabricated ion trap \( [147] \) showed no significant change across the superconducting transition. These experimental results suggest that anomalous heating is caused primarily by noise sources on the surface, and not in the bulk metal. Monolayer graphene, acting as a field-transparent coating and free of surface charges, was synthesized directly on the copper electrodes of an ion chip, but the measured heating rate was about 100 times faster than typical for an uncoated trap operated under similar conditions at 4 K \( [148] \). Given the beneficial results for \( \text{Ar}^+ \)-cleaning, it was suggested that graphene fails because of hydrocarbon contamination adsorbed during the preparatory baking for ultrahigh vacuum.

As an obstacle to high-fidelity two-qubit gate operations in large-scale trapped-ion QIP, anomalous heating still requires great efforts to be understood and further reduced, as recently reviewed in \( [138] \). Alongside efforts to suppress the origin of these stray electric fields, another approach could be taken by compensating for them using efficient measurement methods \( [150] \).

Delivering light to multiple individual ions in surface-electrode traps at various wavelengths is required for qubit manipulations and readout in QIP. The first realization of optical functionality for trapped ions with nanofabricated integrated optics has been reported very recently \( [149] \), with nanophotonic dielectric waveguides integrated within a linear surface-electrode ion chip. Qubit addressing at multiple locations was realized by focusing light from grating couplers emitted through openings in the trap electrodes to specific ions trapped 50 µm above the chip (Figure 7). This high level of
optics integration within the chip trap presents a scalable implementation for large-scale QIP.

A collective ion-photon interface was demonstrated by a microfabricated planar trap array of ion chains coupling to an optical cavity (151). The optical cavity serves as a quantum information bus between ions and achieves long-lived sub-wavelength localization of ions (152). This system was also used for investigating friction with trapped ions in an optical lattice and demonstrates extensive control achieved at the atomic scale (153).

Chip traps can also trap electrons. A trapped single electron (a geonium-atom (154)) is an outstanding system for testing the laws of physics with ultrahigh precision (155, 156). Figure 8 shows a planar Penning trap on a chip that has been designed and analysed for very accurate control of the dynamics of a trapped single electron (157, 158). Recently, microwave guiding (159) and beam splitting (160) of electrons was demonstrated by using microstructured guiding potentials created above the surface of planar microwave chips, providing novel tools for electron-based quantum matter-wave optics.

We have seen that the atom chip has proven useful for a variety of particle types and this spectrum is still growing. As this Special Issue concerns BECs, we will retain our focus on neutral atoms. We now describe a range of enabling technologies related to atom chips.

### 3. Enabling technologies

The original atom chip configurations were based on single-layer current-carrying wires deposited on top of substrates. These designs were simple but quite ingenious. For example, U- and Z-shaped wires were found to create quadrupole fields for a magneto-optical trap (MOT) and Ioffe-Pritchard fields for holding cold atoms. Many nice anecdotes may be related concerning those early days. For example, in Innsbruck the external bias coils were held by hand and moved around the chamber while the experiment was running until the right geometry could be found. Furthermore, contrary to expectations, it was surprising to find that the shadows in the cooling beams created by the etchings defining the wires did not hurt the reflection MOT. Nobel laureate Theodor Hänsch tells the story of how he drew the idea for an atomic conveyor belt on a napkin in a restaurant (162).

However, it soon became clear that many more novel ideas are required in order to make progress towards the ultimate vision of the atom chip. In recent years, multitudes of new technologies and techniques have been conceived and implemented, allowing impressive progress towards the realization of the atom chip vision. Here we present some of these new technologies and techniques.

#### 3.1. Materials

Proposals for using new materials include novel ideas for using molecular conductors such as CNTs (60, 62) and
graphene. CNTs are expected to sustain current densities up to 100 times those of gold, where current density is the figure of merit for potential gradients. These materials also decrease the magnitude of Johnson noise and the CP potential and may even have less electron scattering, thereby reducing potential roughness. They may also have the advantage of sharp absorption peaks, allowing them to be placed next to high-Q photonic devices without degrading the finesse. Since the deterministic directional growth of CNTs and electrically contacting them are still difficult procedures, chemical processes are also being developed to etch wires from graphene (163, 164). We expect that additional types of high current-density materials and composites will be developed that can more easily be utilized for fabrication of current-carrying wires (165, 166), thereby enabling the creation of tighter and deeper magnetic traps.

Anisotropic conductors have been suggested as current-carrying wires on the chip, since the decoherence rate may drop by several orders of magnitude, even at room temperature (61). This is due to the fact that conductance can be suppressed in the directions perpendicular to the current, precisely the directions that produce the magnetic fields inducing decoherence.

Utilizing alloys should reduce Johnson noise, especially at low temperatures. The alloy composition strongly affects the resistivity \( \rho \) and its temperature dependence becomes non-linear, thereby reducing \( T/\rho \), the dominant term in the noise (59). This may be advantageous compared to superconductors, which also exhibit very low noise, since alloys such as Au–Ag are easily deposited and do not suffer from limitations due to critical currents, sensitivity to external magnetic fields, or the appearance of vortices that may generate complex DC fields or increased noise (167).

The control of 2D electron gases has been considered by the Fromhold and Krüger groups as an alternative to current-carrying wires (169). Nanowires (170) and nanobridge wires (171) have also been suggested for suppressing Johnson noise, increasing current density and decreasing finite-size effects, whereby the magnetic field gradients suffer when atom-surface distances approach the width of the wire.

Permanent magnets have been used as another alternative to current-carrying wires for creating 1D and 2D magnetic lattices by the Hannaford (168) (Figure 9) and Spreeuw groups (95), respectively. They have the advantages of strong fields, less Johnson noise (due to their low conductivity) and no technical noise, but an obvious disadvantage is their lack of dynamical control. Crucially, permanent magnet lattices can be fabricated with more complex symmetries and with smaller periods than their optical counterparts (172, 173), which may also be advantageous for the goals of quantum simulations and QIP (Section 6.4).

The interaction with electric fields is also of interest for trapping on chips (116, 174) or for other manipulations through Stark shifts. Here novel materials may be used, such as transparent indium-tin oxide, with advantages for photonics as well as low Johnson noise.

New setups using atom chips cooled to cryogenic temperatures have allowed superconductors to be incorporated (175–181). To the best of our knowledge, the first cold atomic cloud (175) as well as the first BEC (177) on a superconducting atom chip were achieved by the Haroche group. The first trapping by a persistent current was done by the Shimizu group (176). These developments open the door for cryogenic material science (90), suppressing noise (178, 182–185), and attaining enhanced coherence (96, 186). Rapid sample exchange, as an alternative to single-use atom chips, has recently been implemented in a cryogenic apparatus (187) and may enable probing exotic materials, as well as shedding new light on, for example, high-\( T_c \) superconductivity or the evolution of domains in magnetic materials.

Cryogenic setups require special care to reduce heat loads. An electron beam source was developed to minimize the heating required to vaporize atoms for loading the MOT (188). Initial MOT loading also requires magnetic fields that can heat the chip environment. Most systems completely remove the heat load of these initial
steps by cooling the atomic ensemble in one chamber, and then transferring it to an adjoining science chamber using either magnetic or optical dipole transport (187). Lenses with tunable focal lengths (189) allow precise dipole transport to be performed without physically moving any optics (190). A magnetic conveyor-belt has also been used for transferring the atomic ensemble both horizontally and vertically, thereby allowing improved radiation shielding for reaching mK chip temperatures (181). This technique however, requires a large number of overlapping coil pairs to create the travelling quadrupole magnetic trap, and its efficiency drops for colder atoms due to Majorana spin-flip losses.

The persistent current carried in a superconductor by vortices can be utilized to create traps, as well as a magnetic lattice close to the surface (191, 192). Such a system is shown in Figure 10, where the location of the lattice sites is determined by the geometry of the superconducting surface. Corresponding theoretical proposals have been made in (57, 58, 193, 194). Let us also note that cryogenic surfaces hold the promise of a ‘quantum surface’ (Section 3.5).

### 3.2. Substrates

The substrate of an atom chip is just as important as the structures on it. For example, its thermal conductivity is crucial for determining the currents that may be driven through the chip wires (1). Its electrical insulation and breakdown point are paramount for enabling high electric fields. For specific applications one requires additional features such as low tangent loss for chips with high frequency radiation (e.g. ion chips). Substrates should have the right crystal unit structure and thermal expansion coefficient to accommodate unique materials (e.g. superconductors) and be strong enough for use as a facet of the vacuum chamber, in which case through-wafer etchings (vias) should also be vacuum compatible (195). Substrates should also be able to easily accommodate multi-layer chip designs (171, 196, 197).

Atom chip substrates have, almost since their inception, been envisioned by the Birkl and Ertmer groups as integrating optical elements and interactions (198). Chip fabrication processes (1, 199) are developing continuously and we have seen, for example, the fabrication of waveguides within the substrate (Figure 7), as well as high-Q devices, which are also of paramount importance (Section 3.3). Fabrication processes can now accommodate an optical window embedded within the substrate (200, 201) as well as transparent substrates (202, 203), allowing high-resolution imaging of the atomic ensemble. Let us also touch briefly upon the topic of the MOT, which is the first stage of cooling and collecting the atoms. Atom chips generally cannot utilize the standard six-beam configuration since the chip blocks...
some of the beams. Chip MOTs have evolved from using a flat mirror surface so that the reflected beams create the MOT, to a pyramidal micromirror where the facets create the MOT beams (204), and recently to a grating etched into the silicon wafer whereby the refracted light is used to create the MOT beams (205, 206) (Figure 11). The above-mentioned embedded optical window has recently enabled hybrid magnetic and optical potentials for confinement and controlled tunnelling (201), while transparent substrates have allowed the direct transmission of the MOT laser beams through the substrate (202).

As three-dimensional (3D) fabrication becomes more common, substrates allowing high aspect-ratio etching are also required. Tasks include etching channels for conductors in multi-layer chips, vias for back-side electrical contacts (195) and holes for loading atoms or ions from the back side. More elaborate tasks include vacuum chambers embedded within the substrate. Eventually, all the complex surrounding infrastructure, such as the vacuum system, optics and lasers, will be incorporated directly onto the chip (2) (Figure 1), with the substrate playing a crucial role in this effort. We may foresee a future in which a fully autonomous atom chip will look like a regular electronics chip from the outside.

### 3.3. Photonics

The first truly integrated photonics device in which current-carrying wires were joined with optical waveguides was realized by the Hinds group (207) (Figure 12). Their implementation used a trench cutting across the waveguides that was narrow enough for most of the light entering the trench to be collected by the waveguides on the far side (in the absence of atoms). An atom in the trench affects the phase and the intensity of the transmitted light. Conversely, the light affects the state of the atom. Thus, each waveguide comprises a microscopic atom-photon junction. These highly integrated photonic devices may form the basis for a quantum network where photons (flying qubits) transfer information to atoms (memory qubits).

Additional attempts included on-chip Fabry-Pérot (FP) cavities by the Stamper-Kurn group (208) and the detection of atoms with concave mirrors etched into the substrate, as reported in (209). Gluing fibres to the chips has also proven to be useful (210). Fibres have even enabled strong coupling of ultracold atoms to a single mode of a high-finesse FP cavity by the Reichel group ((33) and references therein). As explained in Section 6.5, this has proven to be extremely successful.

Chip-based high-Q microdisks were first coupled to ultracold atoms by the Kimble (211) and Mabuchi groups (212). They can be used as a means of simultaneously trapping and detecting atoms (213, 214), and the near-field optical interactions they enable are being pursued intensively (215, 216) (Section 6.4).
The idea of creating cavities from band-gap materials was analysed in (217). Single atoms have been brought close (≈ 250 nm) to a photonic crystal cavity using optical tweezers in (218), where the near-surface trap was made by reflecting the optical tweezer light from the photonic crystal itself. Figure 13 shows an example of an integrated device where atoms have been coupled into a photonic crystal waveguide (219). Such nanophotonic systems have recently been proposed for enabling a novel quantum interface in which atomic spin degrees of freedom, motion and photons are strongly coupled over long distances (220).

Arrays of tunable microcavities have also been successfully integrated on chips and may enable the creation of large numbers of tunable light-matter interfaces (221). A proposal for realizing QIP building blocks has also been made in (222), where arrays of cavities connected by silica waveguides and integrated with magnetic traps form long-range interactions.

### 3.4. Lattices

Lattices are of great interest both for fundamental science, where they may simulate solids, and for technological applications, such as clocks. Optical standing waves generated by counter-propagating lasers are most commonly used. Optical lattices are a very mature technology ((223) and references therein) and have even become a commercial product for atom chips (224) (Section 6.3). Plasmons have been suggested as an enabling technology for lattices that would allow sub-optical wavelength resolution (225) (Figure 14(a-c)). A proposal for nanoengineering a vortex array in a thin-film type-II superconductor has been analysed as a magnetic lattice for ultracold atoms (226). Engineering a row of microcantilever oscillators coupled to atoms in an atom chip optical lattice has also been proposed and analysed (227).

Another example of a lattice is also presented in Figure 14(d-f). This proposal is based on single electrons trapped in miniature capacitors, whereby their electric field attracts the induced electric dipole of the atom (1). Repulsive balance is provided by a blue-detuned evanescent optical field. The wires would be fabricated from indium-tin oxide to avoid interfering with the evanescent fields. A similar atom chip architecture is suggested for generating sub-wavelength magnetic lattices using an RF-dressed potential approach (228). In this proposal, the two layers of crossed-array conductors carry DC and RF currents with each successive parallel wire alternating in polarity and phase, respectively. The resulting combination of static and RF magnetic fields produces a 2D array with minima < 2 µm from the surface. The spatial periodicity of these minima depends on the wire spacing, reported as 1.5 µm for the DC wires and 1.0 µm for the RF wires.

Permanent magnet lattices are also a realistic option, such as a 2D lattice that has been loaded with 87Rb (Section 6.4). While this lattice has a period of 10 µm (95), it is now being replaced by a lattice having a 250 nm-period. General methods for designing tailored lattices of magnetic microtraps for ultracold atoms on the basis of patterned permanently magnetized films have been introduced (172, 173).

Near-surface lattices have the potential advantage of single-site addressability even for very small periodicities, e.g. with near-field optics. One may instead envision a nanoscale-charged electrode to create local Stark shifts next to each lattice site such that qubit rotations could be done in parallel on many selected sites. Existing lithographic techniques allow this to be done even for lattice periods as small as a few tens of nm.

For lattices based on fields emanating from the surface, one typically needs to trap the atoms at an atom-surface distance similar to the required period. Hence, very small periods require positioning the atoms very close to the surface. Consequently, all limitations must
be considered, such as losses, heating and decoherence from technical and Johnson noise and from effects arising from the CP potential, including ways in which these disruptive limitations can be reduced. To the best of our knowledge, the state-of-the-art for trapped atoms near an atom chip surface (where the trap is formed by fields from the surface) stands at 500 nm (Section 4).

3.5. Hybrid systems and quantum surfaces

Atom chips offer a rich ground for hybrid systems. In this section, we briefly discuss some suggestions and implementations for such systems. Several recent reviews have given detailed accounts of the great variety of possibilities (230, 231).

Integration of moving elements such as microelectromechanical systems (MEMS) exemplify yet another field holding the promise of multiple applications on the atom chip. Work performed by the Treutlein group is a first demonstration of a mechanical coupling between a resonator and ultracold atoms (229) (Figure 15). Cantilever oscillations modulate the potential, thereby coupling to atomic motion. Here high-quality fabrication is required at several levels. The resonant interaction of trapped cold atoms with a magnetic cantilever tip has been demonstrated in a joint project of the Kitching and Geraci groups (232). A proposal evaluating the interaction between a vibrating CNT and a BEC found a coupling that is strong enough to sense quantum features of the CNT current noise spectrum (233).

We have briefly mentioned plasmons in connection with the short-period lattice proposal shown in Figure 14(a-c). Surface plasmons are a type of surface polariton that results from the coupling between an electromagnetic field and collective oscillations of the conduction electrons in a metal. They can be created using light and they propagate along the surface of a thin metal layer. Such surface waves can be focused and engineered to generate intense sub-wavelength features because their velocity is much slower than light in free space (235). Plasmons can also be made to create light, e.g. for near-field dipole traps or single-site addressability. Experiments done by the Zimmermann group (234, 236) (Figure 16) have shown how plasmon potentials interact with atoms and have opened the door to yet another new kind of atom-surface interaction.

Metasurfaces are a fascinating new field which may have implications for atom chips. For example, metasurfaces may be designed to influence vacuum modes and in this way affect the emission properties of atoms (63).

Standard atom chip surfaces are classical in nature, including their on-chip sources of magnetic, electric and
optical fields that prepare, manipulate and measure quantum states of the atomic system. An interesting challenge is to create a surface that is also in a well-defined quantum state, whereby the surface quantum state and the atomic quantum state can interact with each other and be regarded as one quantum system.

The advent of cryogenic setups has made this a feasible prospect, opening the door to many fascinating atom-surface interactions for matter-wave optics and for probing the surface, e.g. trapping with the magnetic field from vortices (192, 226) and shedding new light on high-\(T_c\) superconductivity, respectively.

Superconductor persistent currents have been used to trap atoms (176, 180, 186), and a recent experiment demonstrated the sensitivity of a cold atomic cloud to single flux quanta in a 10 \(\mu\)m-radius superconducting ring of Nb (237). High-Q microwave cavities may also serve as buses mediating long-range interactions between atoms (238). Going to even lower surface temperatures (181, 239), one may imagine superconducting qubits interacting with atoms (54), or perhaps even flux qubits in a superposition of counter-propagating currents coupled to polarized atoms, thereby creating a highly entangled, so-called ‘high-\(N\)00\(N\)’ state (240). The direct interaction between superconducting qubits and atoms may enable an optimal system whereby the atoms serve as memories while the qubits give rise to fast quantum computing gates. Such hybrid devices are currently at the centre of intense work.

A quantum surface may also include quantum dots, wherein single electrons enable the entanglement of the electronic spin with the atomic spin. Surface plasmons and polaritons (Section 3.3) may also provide an interface between atoms and quantum states of the surface. A quantum surface may also generate squeezed currents, thereby allowing chip operations below shot-noise limits. These are amongst many possibilities just beginning to be explored.

This section on enabling technologies has only briefly touched upon the vast field of atom chip technology. It is truly impressive to see the level of creativity and ingenuity that appears in such a variety of novel realizations. We have also seen how photonics, plasmonics, MEMS, lattices, and quantum systems are being integrated with cold atoms. The atom chip has really brought material engineering together with quantum optics and this interface is sure to produce many more interesting results in the future.

4. Close encounters with the surface

We now move on to discuss what is perhaps the most crucial element of the atom chip: atom-surface interactions. These interactions are extremely interesting intrinsically since they allow the study of fundamental fluctuations and forces. In addition, they enable utilizing atoms as ultrasensitive surface probes. Nevertheless, atom-surface interactions also exhibit disruptive effects that need to be understood and overcome. For example, one challenge is to moderate the CP force, since it lowers the potential barrier to the surface and provides an escape route for the atoms.

Controlled proximity to the surface promises numerous advantages such as tunable tunnelling barriers, small-periodicity lattices, high gradients, low power consumption (for portable devices) and near-field optics or local fields (for single-site addressability). Tunnelling barriers may be used for atomic circuits (atomtronics), e.g. for interferometry, or for QIP gates. High gradients may ensure guided propagation with less excitation to higher vibrational states due to imperfections and noise, and they may also enable reaching the Lamb-Dicke regime to the point of using side-band cooling for single atoms, recently achieved in a (non-chip) 3D optical lattice (241).

Bringing cold atoms closer to surfaces offers an ever-widening variety of possibilities for applying the atom chip method to surface and solid-state science. The investigation of topics such as Johnson noise, the CP force, surface quantum phenomena, and electron transport are being realized and are discussed in this section. In relation to close encounters with the surface, we also discuss the achievement of spin and spatial coherence, as well as work on the hypothesized fifth force. Additional topics, including plasmons, vortices and high-\(T_c\) superconductors, as well as domain formation in exotic materials, have already been noted in Section 3. Even near-contact biophysical measurements may be projected for atom chips (Section 6.2).

4.1. Noise and stray fields

As atom traps are brought closer to the surface, the surface increasingly acts as a disruptive environment. The effect of noise on cold atoms in magnetic traps, due to technical sources and random thermally induced currents (Johnson noise), has been theoretically analysed in detail in (42, 43, 59, 61, 182). For neutral atoms, both sources of noise scale as \(d^{-2}\) for typical atom-surface distances \(d\) (21, 182) and may cause losses from atom chip traps due to spin-flip transitions (when the noise frequency is on resonance), as well as heating due to position and frequency instability of the traps or guides (43, 49).

Technical noise is the simplest form of disruptive noise, originating in the power supplies which drive the atom chip currents, or from electromagnetic noise that is picked...
up from the environment by the cables feeding the chip. By shifting the energy levels (Zeeman shifts), technical noise (at all frequencies) may also cause spin decoherence. Technical noise has a long correlation length and is therefore not expected to give rise to spatial decoherence directly. However, as shown in (50) in the context of atom–atom interactions, long correlation-length noise may cause spatial decoherence indirectly by giving rise to atom loss. Technical noise is typically the strongest type of noise encountered on most types of atom chips, except those with persistent supercurrents or with permanent magnets.

Johnson noise is another type of noise. Its origin lies with virtual electron currents due to thermal energy. Johnson noise becomes progressively more important relative to technical noise as atoms are brought closer to the surface; at small distances the power requirements are much lower and this typically enables the use of ultralow-noise current sources. The first experimental study of this noise in atom chips was done by the Hinds group (19). Results from the Vuletić group followed shortly thereafter (20), as shown in Figure 17. A systematic experimental study of the difference between the magnitude of Johnson noise and technical noise was done in (21). Superconducting surfaces are expected to have very low levels of noise (56, 182–184, 242) and this has indeed been confirmed by experiments measuring the effect of noise on spin-flips (178, 185). Additional experiments by the Fortágh group extended their previous studies to include the effect of noise on the coherence of superposition states (186).

Johnson noise may also be minimized using materials with lower conductance for the nearby surface, as accomplished with permanent-magnet chips. Thinner conducting layers reduce Johnson noise through the use of less material, which may also be achievable with CNTs or nanowires. Additional proposals have also been made for using exotic materials such as electrically anisotropic conductors (61), or by utilizing alloys at low temperatures (59), as noted in Section 3.1.

Electric fields caused by surface chemistry are also of great concern, especially for ultracold Rydberg atoms. Effects due to alkali adsorbates have been measured by the Cornell (86), Spreeuw (87) and Fortágh (88) groups, with recent results enabling their reduction and the consequent lowering of Rydberg atoms much closer to the chip surface (89) (Section 2.1). Patch potentials (forming DC fields) have recently been analysed experimentally by the Dumke group using Rydberg atoms as sensitive probes (90). Surface chemistry is also implicated in the ‘anomalous heating’ that plagues ion chips (Section 2.5), where dynamic patch potentials or surface dipoles are hypothesized as the source of high-frequency noise.

Disruptive fields in the DC domain may also be caused by magnetic impurities as well as by scattered electron currents from rough wire edges, polycrystalline domain walls and internal geometric imperfections or material impurities. Such fabrication imperfections can become especially damaging at small atom-surface distances and may cause potential roughness, thereby damaging or destroying the desired trapping or guiding of atoms. In the early days of the atom chip, these static magnetic fields sometimes became strong enough to cause breakup of the ultracold atomic cloud. This important ‘fragmentation’ phenomenon was first reported by the Ketterle and Pritchard groups (243) and by the Zimmermann group (244). It has been further characterized by many subsequent studies (19, 44, 245–247) and is reviewed in (16). Several ideas for combating such effects have arisen, including time-dependent potentials suggested by the Westbrook and Bouchoule group (248). Fragmentation effects may be somewhat overcome by using more advanced and careful fabrication techniques, such as those reviewed in (199). Further progress is still required. For example, it has been shown that significant effects come
from internal bulk scattering (45). It would be interesting to check if single-crystal gold exhibits the same magnitude of internal scattering. Similarly, it would be interesting to check other crystalline materials such as CNTs and graphene.

The recent advent of spatial interferometry close to the surface (249) could enable the measurement of correlation lengths, a topic of considerable interest extending beyond atom optics on atom chips. For example, what is the actual correlation length of Johnson noise? Is it on the same order as the distance to the surface – as predicted (43) but never measured directly? Similarly, what is the correlation length of the current shot-noise? There are many other interesting noise sources to be studied, another example being the noise peak produced by superconductors at $T_c$ (250, 251).

4.2. Coherence close to an atom chip

One of the major challenges of atom interferometry with magnetically sensitive atoms is the preservation of coherence. This problem increases when trapping and manipulating the atoms very close to the chip surface. Decoherence mechanisms, e.g. due to noise sources discussed above, were already analysed in the early days of the atom chip (see e.g. (43)).

Internal-state coherence (spin coherence) has been shown to be preserved for a long time for atoms trapped near a chip surface when the two spin components are realized with magnetically trappable ‘clock states’ at the ‘magic value’ of the magnetic field (3.23 G for the $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -1\rangle$ and $|2, 1\rangle$ states of $^{87}$Rb) (252), and most dramatically when spin self-rephasing occurs (36). However, spatial coherence, which is essential for spatial interferometry, has usually been observed only for distances of at least a few tens of μm from the chip (253–256). Diffraction has been observed for atoms dynamically reflected from close encounters with surfaces (236, 257), but the atoms were not trapped by the atom chip potential. Preliminary proof of robust spatial coherence at about 5 μm from the chip surface was recently provided by experiments with atoms trapped in a magnetic lattice generated by engineered potential corrugations in a chip wire (249). In addition to long-lived coherence, these experiments showed a coherence length of $\gtrsim 15 \mu$m, considerably longer than expected if decoherence arises from, for example, Johnson noise, whose correlation length is expected to be about 5 μm in this experiment.

Theoretical understanding of spatial coherence in a BEC trapped in a confined configuration would involve both the effects of atom–atom interactions, which may be the major limitation in systems at large distances from the surface of the chip, and the effects of external noise. These effects are usually non-additive and the interplay between them may yield counter-intuitive results. A discussion of this interplay in the context of atom chip interferometry has recently been presented (50). Using a double-well model, it was shown that interactions may partially suppress decoherence for external noise causing phase fluctuations between the two wells. For external noise causing atom loss with relative number fluctuations however, as is likely the case in the spatial coherence experiment, decoherence may be enhanced by the atom–atom interactions. However, the interplay of these effects during a non-adiabatic time evolution has yet to be investigated. All these factors affecting coherence are also highly relevant for the field of atomtronics.

4.3. CP effects

CP and van der Waals forces become measurable for atom–surface distances below about 10 μm. Pioneering experiments measured losses from a thermal-energy atomic beam of Na passing through a parallel-plate cavity at distances of 0.7–7 μm and were able to quantitatively distinguish between CP and (non-retarded) van der Waals interactions (258). Atomic beams transmitted through 50 nm-wide slits of a SiN nanograting acquire a phase shift due to the surface < 25 nm away. These phase shifts have been measured interferometrically in experiments sensitive to the non-retarded regime (259, 260). Experiments with cold atoms, also sensitive to interactions in this range, have been performed using evanescent-wave ‘atomic mirrors’ that add a repulsive force to the CP attraction (261).

Probing the CP force by balancing evanescent-wave and surface potentials has been refined considerably using ultracold atoms (236, 262). In the first of two experiments conducted by the Zimmermann group, the position of the potential barrier is adjusted from 160 to 230 nm by varying the laser power. Reflection probabilities are then measured for a range of initial velocities, controlled by switching magnetic potentials, that span a range from complete reflection below the classical barrier to complete transmission above it, the latter corresponding to complete atom loss. Quantum reflection (discussed at the end of this sub-section) is negligible for the velocity range chosen. Because the evanescent field can be accurately characterized, these experiments provide direct measurements of the CP force without requiring assumptions about the potential shape. The results suggest that the best agreement is reached with a full quantum electrodynamics calculation of the force (262). The second of these experiments refined the surface to include an overlayer of parallel stripes of gold, adjacent
to which the CP force is maximal due to the absence of the evanescent wave. The surface therefore acts as a diffraction grating for a BEC (incident at 3.4 cm/s) with a landscape that can be adjusted by varying the laser power. The data analysis explicitly accounts for the lateral periodicity of the potential, enabling a significant advance in our understanding of how CP forces are modified by surface structures (236). It should be noted that these experiments have been extended by the same group, again using only optical and CP forces emanating from the surface, to the coupling of ultracold atoms and surface plasmons (234, 263) (see Section 3.3).

Ultracold atoms brought close to the surface of an atom chip using purely magnetic potentials provide a potentially ideal platform for measuring CP interactions in the 0.1–10 µm range that spans the retarded and temperature-dependent regimes (reviewed in detail in (264)). The Vuletić measurements of atom loss due to Johnson noise (Section 4.1) near a copper conductor also included measurements near the dielectric substrate of the atom chip (20). This allowed CP-induced surface evaporative losses to be measured exclusively, and clearly showed the effects of the CP potential (Figure 17). This seminal study concluded that the CP force limits the atom chip trap depth at distances < 2 µm from the dielectric surface.

While the CP potential can destroy the trap at short atom-surface distances, it also affects the trap at larger distances. To quantify this weak effect at large distances, sensitive measurements of the trap frequency can be made as a function of the atom-surface distance (23). With measurement times on the order of 1 s and an oscillation frequency > 200 Hz, fractional changes ≲ 10^{-4} can be measured, thereby rendering the measurements sensitive to the CP force at distances up to ≈ 10 µm. Subsequent experiments were extended to measure temperature-dependent CP effects characteristic of these distances (24) (Figure 18). Both sets of results agreed very well with theoretical predictions based on the substrate being at the same (265) or elevated (266) temperatures relative to the environment. The agreement between theory and experiment is particularly impressive as it was obtained with no adjustable parameters. For completeness, we also mention (non-chip) high temperature experiments conducted at thermal equilibrium that show a CP force for Cs-sapphire interactions enhanced by about 50% at 100 nm and 1000 K (267).

A recent example of measuring dispersion interactions for controlled small distances d between the cold atoms and a surface, or in this case an object on the surface, is shown in Figure 19. Here a single CNT, standing vertically on an atom chip surface, is immersed in a 87Rb BEC (268). Atom losses caused by attractive forces between the atoms and the CNT were measured and used to characterize the atom-CNT interaction. In a related implementation, cold atoms were used in a scanning probe microscopy configuration to measure CNT surface structures (269). In addition, because the BEC and the nanotube are comparable in size and mass, it may be possible to use the atoms to cool the nanotube, leading ultimately to its vibrational ground state (270).

Detailed features of CP forces continue to be investigated intensively including, for example, the influence of surface geometry (e.g. (271)) and the design of nanowire or CNT-based atom chips that could enable purely magnetic atom chip traps at sub-µm distances (60, 62, 170, 171). Experimental and theoretical work has however, been much more extensive for Casimir forces than for CP interactions (for a review, see (272)), largely related to searches for repulsive Casimir forces that would allow, for example, the construction of frictionless MEMS devices. Casimir forces have been demonstrated to be very dependent on surface geometry, and measurements show significant deviations from a pairwise additive formalism (273). Structured materials including metamatals and layered substrates have been shown theoretically to exhibit tunable Casimir forces, including repulsion (274–277). Repulsive Casimir forces have been measured experimentally, but only with a very specific choice of materials and a liquid medium (278). It remains to be seen what kind of manipulations of the CP force are possible through geometry and materials on the atom chip (279–281).
Intimately related to the CP attractive potential is the phenomenon of quantum reflection. As an atom approaches a surface, its classical trajectory simply accelerates towards the surface until the atom is either adsorbed or reflected by repulsive forces operating at the atomic-scale distances of surface chemical potentials. Quantum mechanically however, if the atom is moving sufficiently slowly, the CP potential can instead cause reflection at much larger distances, with a probability related to the abruptness of the attractive potential (282). This quantum reflectivity was first observed in experiments using slow H atoms (283), and somewhat later using metastable Ne* (284), followed by observations for BECs of $^{23}$Na (285). Grazing-incidence reflectivity was considerably enhanced for Ne* when the flat surface was replaced with a ridged structure (286). It should be noted that reflection typically occurs at sub-µm distances from the surface. Quantum reflection can be firm but gentle, not even disrupting the extraordinarily fragile bond of He$_2$ (287). These reflection characteristics may also be relevant for experiments on antimatter (132) (Section 2.4).

Quantum reflection may be used to study CP interactions with different surface configurations. A recent suggestion for introducing atom chip technology envisions periodically doping the surface; the electric field generated by the surface dopants provides a force in addition to the CP interaction that can locally suppress quantum reflection (288). The surface, though flat, then acts as a diffraction grating for matter waves and may even be extended to realize further atom optics with flat substrates.

### 4.4. Electron transport

Here we briefly describe electron transport, a fundamental topic in solid-state physics, as a specific example of surface probing accomplished with atom chips. Several additional prospects for interactions with nearby surfaces, including hybrid devices and quantum surfaces, have been discussed in Section 3.5.

Although electron transport has been studied for decades, probing by ultracold atoms has offered new possibilities, including for example, the discovery of previously unknown effects at a microscopic level by the Folman and Schmiedmayer groups (22, 45) (Figure 20). It was found that electrons scatter collectively with a correlation length extending over tens of µm in a well-formed evaporated conductor. This exceeded by three orders of magnitude anything expected from the conductor itself, whose structural spatial correlations are no longer than a few tens of nm (typical of the grain size). It was explained by Fourier transforming the random scattering centres (due to impurities, grain boundaries and geometrical imperfections), and showing that specific scattering waves, namely those forming a 45°-angle with the wire axis, have the strongest scattering probability. This is a good example of how cold atoms in the vicinity of a surface may act as a novel probe. An additional suggestion for cold-atom microscopy of electron transport in topological insulators has been proposed in (46).

Different types of electron transport microscopy probes based on cold atoms could address many interesting questions. For example, can one detect and characterize different current regimes, e.g. ballistic, small mean-free-path, turbulent (chaotic)? Can one detect and...
characterize non-classical currents, e.g. squeezed currents \( 290 \)? Or relativistic corrections \( 291 \)? In addition, better understanding of electron transport may enable completely new types of conductors for atom optics on atom chips, as discussed in Section 3.1.

4.5. Searching for non-Newtonian gravity

Ultracold atoms are used to measure gravitational acceleration \( g \) and the universal gravitational constant \( G \), with interferometric measurements yielding the highest accuracy and precision (see, e.g. \( 292, 293 \)). Although these experiments do not use atom chips, the latter measurements in particular may soon be conducted in microgravity environments, for which the compactness afforded by atom chip-based platforms is crucial for experimental implementation \( 10, 11 \). Such microgravity experiments are also designed as tests of fundamental physics such as the Weak Equivalence Principle. Here we briefly discuss progress in experiments designed to improve known limits on non-Newtonian gravity by structuring the atom chip as a source of measurable gravitational fields in the 100 nm–100 \( \mu \)m range \( 40, 294 \).

Most experimental work to date has been based on the use of a vertical optical lattice, typically created by retro-reflecting an off-resonant laser from the atom chip surface \( 294, 295 \). The lattice site closest to the surface would then be only \( \lambda/2 \) away, well into the sub-\( \mu \)m range that is difficult to access using purely magnetic potentials. A variety of methods, using optical tweezers for example \( 218 \), have been proposed to place the atoms into just one particular lattice site, with a known location.

An ‘optical elevator’ has been developed, taking advantage of transparency built into an area of the atom chip surface. A counter-propagating (rather than retro-reflected) laser is frequency-chirped to generate a traveling wave \( 41 \) that can bring the atoms to the desired distance from the surface, as illustrated in Figure 21. The laser is then displaced to move the trapped cloud laterally over different regions of the atom chip, which is structured as a layered sandwich of metals with different densities. The gravitational field strength emanating from the surface is then a periodic function of the lateral position of the atoms. Since differences in the gravitational attraction can easily be masked by the much stronger CP interaction, a ‘Casimir shield’ is interposed directly above the surface \( 294, 296, 297 \). Useful strategies for minimizing limitations imposed by surface patch potentials have also been discussed \( 298 \).

Alternatively, magnetic potentials from the atom chip may be used to prepare and transport atoms and, in particular, to bring them close to the surface for initial loading into an optical lattice integrated into the atom chip (Section 6.3). Although these proof-of-principle experiments \( 223, 299 \) do not state the atom-surface distances achieved, they may in the near future enable precision measurements of atom-surface interactions and other short-range forces.

As discussed above, a multi-layer hybrid atom chip was used to magnetically transport cold atoms to the vicinity of a microcantilever \( 232 \) (Section 3.5). In addition to atom loss measurements for atom-cantilever separations of about 100 \( \mu \)m, the system was analysed as a detector for the force exerted on the cantilevers by the atoms at a range of a several \( \mu \)m. It is interesting to note that several microcantilever experiments (not using cold atoms) have provided some of the best limits on Yukawa-type deviations in the 3–15 \( \mu \)m range \( 300 \).

Pure magnetic traps may also be used for the complete experiment. As noted, the state-of-the-art for such traps stands at 500 nm \( 20 \), and analyses of nanowire and CNT traps \( 60, 62, 170, 171 \) suggest that even smaller distances may be achieved.

An alternative orientation of an atom chip has been proposed for measuring short-range interactions with a nanosphere \( 301 \). Orienting the atom chip vertically, the optical lattice may be created by a retro-reflecting laser aimed horizontally. The test mass consists of alternating vertical stripes behind the vertical wall of the atom.

**Figure 21.** Probing the surface for non-Newtonian gravitational effects. An optical lattice is used to position cold \( ^{88}Sr \) atoms near two adjacent test masses. (a) The atoms are first placed close to the transparent part of the test surface; a vertical translation of \( \Delta z = (\lambda/4\pi)\phi \) is accomplished by adjusting the relative optical phase \( \phi \) accumulated between the two laser beams (green arrows). (b) The counter-propagating (lower) lattice beam is then switched off adiabatically and the atoms remain trapped in the standing wave produced by the co-propagating beam and the weak reflected beam. (c) The lattice beam is translated laterally, placing the atoms just above the Casimir shield and close to the Al and Au masses. The width of the arrows represents the relative intensity of laser beams. Adapted from \( 41 \), with permission © 2009 by the American Physical Society.
chip; the ballistic trajectory of the falling nanospheres then depends on the density of the stripe closest to its initial position. An interferometric scheme is expected to improve the sensitivity of the ballistic experiment. This scheme may also be applicable for measuring falling atomic trajectories adjacent to alternating gravitational fields.

For completeness, we note here that a variety of experiments (reviewed, e.g. in (302)) have been conducted at considerably greater distances from the retro-reflecting surface used to create the vertical optical lattice. Combined with the gravitational gradient, the optical lattice produces a Wannier-Stark potential manifold, allowing very long-lived Bloch oscillations to be observed. This has improved the precision for corresponding measurements of g (303–305), though not to the level achieved in the interferometric measurements referred to above.

It remains to be seen if the atom chip can also contribute to improved limits for a variety of related searches, e.g. the so-called chameleon field and dark energy (306). Accurate control of small distances and wavepacket size, as well as exotic surfaces (e.g. very rough or even porous surfaces to increase surface area) may enable establishing better limits. Recent realizations of atom interferometers close to the surface (307) suggest the possibility of sensitive probing with ‘matter-wave homodyning’ (Section 6.2). In addition, measuring correlation lengths of different effects may be possible using wavepackets separated parallel to the surface (249).

5. Interferometry on an atom chip

Atom interferometry on a chip is much younger and less mature than conventional free-space interferometry using atomic beams, fountains and more recently, BECs. Nevertheless, its development offers many potential advantages for the future. Beyond the fact that atom chips provide a compact miniature platform for precise manipulation of atoms, they also provide a unique environment for new kinds of interferometric schemes, e.g. high-finesse configurations and making use of tunnelling barriers. In addition to traditional applications such as measuring acceleration and gravitation, interferometry with ultracold atoms near a chip surface allows precise measurements of atom-surface interactions and thorough investigations of the fundamental physics of a Bose gas as a many-particle system, e.g. using a single sample of a 1D gas.

In this section, we review spatial interferometry, in which each atom is split into a superposition of two locations or two momenta. At the end of this section, we will also briefly mention some interesting recent schemes of interferometry with superpositions of internal or discrete motional levels that are not separated in space.

Specific aspects of interferometry on atom chips have been discussed in previous reviews of atom interferometry (308, 309). Here we attempt to encompass most of the important aspects of this interferometry, with emphasis on new developments during the last few years and focusing on achievements and proposals for future advancement.

5.1. Bragg splitting

The first demonstration of spatial interferometry on an atom chip was the Michelson interferometer realized jointly by the groups of Cornell, Anderson, and Prentiss (253). A BEC was released at the center of a magnetic waveguide 250 µm from the chip surface and split by a laser pulse into a superposition of two wavepackets counter-propagating along the waveguide with momenta ±2kℏ, where k is the wave vector of the standing-wave light. The latter was formed by a tightly focused laser beam reflected by a pair of mirrors on the chip. The splitting laser pulse consisted of a pair of sub-pulses adjusted to ensure a splitting efficiency near 100%. The wavepackets propagated in the magnetic waveguide for up to about 10 ms, whereupon a Bragg pulse reversed their direction. They were recombined upon arrival back at the centre of the waveguide using a second pair of laser sub-pulses. The wavepackets reached a maximum separation of about 120 µm, so far unsurpassed in a coherent interferometer of trapped or guided atoms on a chip. The relative number of atoms in the output momentum components showed clear interference fringes as a function of the relative phase between the wavepackets, which was engineered by imparting an initial velocity to the atom cloud before the splitting.

The magnetic waveguide in this experiment is important for preventing the expansion of the BEC, which would occur if it was released into free space. An atom chip as a platform for BEC interferometry has a few additional advantages even if the interferometric sequence itself is performed with a freely propagating BEC, including simple and fast BEC formation. The atom chip also enables better control of the BEC after it is formed in order to optimize its shape and expansion rate. These advantages were exploited by the Rasel group in a device designed to perform interferometry in microgravity (310). The interferometry sequence of three Bragg pulses was applied after releasing the BEC from a magnetic trap on an atom chip during free-fall in a drop tower (Figure 22). This group is now preparing a dual-species 85Rb/87Rb atom chip interferometer for space flight (311).
5.2. Double-well interferometry

5.2.1. Adiabatic dressed-state potentials

A second kind of interferometry, which is unique to atoms and has no direct analogue in light waves, is based on splitting a BEC in a double-well potential. Coherent splitting of a BEC in a double-well potential was first demonstrated using an optical potential \( 312 \). The first demonstration of coherent double-well interferometry on an atom chip was achieved by the Schmiedmayer group by a splitting scheme based on an adiabatic dressed potential of RF and static magnetic fields \( 254 \). In the presence of a static field \( B_{st}(r) \) and an oscillating magnetic field \( B_{ac}(r) \cos(\omega t + \phi) \), the adiabatic eigenvalues of the magnetic energy in the rotating-wave approximation are given by

\[
V_{dressed}(r) = \mu_F \sqrt{[|B_{st}(r)| - \hbar \omega/\mu_F]^2 + |B_{ac\perp}(r)|^2},
\]

where \( \mu_F = m_F g_F \mu_B \) is the magnetic moment of the specific Zeeman sub-levels in the hyperfine state \( F \), and \( B_{ac\perp} \) is the component of the RF field that is perpendicular to the direction of the static field. When the frequency \( \omega \) of the RF field is ramped up above the Larmor frequency \( \omega_L = |\mu_F B_{st}|/\hbar \) the atom at the trap minimum of the static field, new trap minima appear at the points where \( \hbar \omega = \mu_F B_{st} \) so that the minimum of the static magnetic field may become a potential barrier. The details of the potential depend on the specific form of the vectorial static and RF fields, which are determined by the current configuration on the chip.

In the original experiment \( 254 \), the double-well potential split the BEC into two parallel elongated clouds separated by \( 3 \text{–} 80 \mu m \). After trap release and a short time-of-flight, interference fringes were measured for small cloud separations (they could not be optically resolved for cloud separations \( \gtrsim 5.5 \mu m \)). The fringe patterns were repeatable (deterministic), as shown by a very narrow distribution of phases over many experimental realizations (i.e. high contrast of the averaged fringes), thereby proving that the splitting process is phase-preserving and that the beam splitter is coherent. The relative phase between the two condensates was locked at zero whenever the chemical potential of the BEC was larger than the barrier height. However, once tunneling was fully inhibited, for a cloud separation \( > 3.4 \mu m \), the phase difference began to evolve deterministically.

Non-random phase differences were maintained for up to 2 ms, during which time the phase spread broadened, as measured by decreased fringe contrast. It was suggested that this rapid loss of coherence was due to the finite coherence length of the quasi-1D BEC along the axial direction, and this was later investigated in a study of many-body effects in a 1D Bose gas \( 29 \). Using their double-well interferometer, the Schmiedmayer group conducted additional studies of fundamental effects, such as relaxation and pre-thermalization in isolated quantum systems \( 30 \), as well as measurements of a variety of non-equilibrium effects in a 1D Bose gas \( 28, 31, 313 \).

An interferometric measurement of a non-magnetic (gravitational) potential difference between the locations of the two wells was performed by the Hinds group \( 256 \). The BEC was split into a double-well trap 130 \( \mu m \) from the chip using two RF currents whose relative magnitude determines the rotation of the splitting axis relative to the vertical axis. The phase of the observed interference fringes allowed the determination of the energy difference between the chemical potentials of the two
condensates. The precision of this measurement was limited by the chemical potential uncertainty, which determines the coherence time due to phase diffusion (about 10 ms in this experiment).

A more recent experiment (314) (Figure 23) reported a coherence time of more than 20 ms, a factor of three longer than the expected phase diffusion time for a coherent state. This was shown to be directly related to number squeezing, as also found in (315). The experiment demonstrated a novel beam recombiner that does not require a long time-of-flight to read out the relative phase; the barrier is reduced non-adiabatically and the two condensates are allowed to overlap for a given time and then they are separated again. The resulting population imbalance between the two wells is then proportional to the sine of the relative interferometric phase before the recombination.

The double-well method has so far been used mainly to explore many-body effects, but the fact that phase coherence can be maintained has yet to be exploited in practical interferometry. Atom–atom interactions play a crucial role in interferometry with a BEC in a trapping potential, as discussed extensively in the literature. For example, in addition to the work discussed above, superfluidity and hydrodynamics in a Josephson junction of a BEC were studied experimentally in an RF dressed double-well potential on a chip (315). Theoretical studies of BEC coherence in a double-well potential typical of atom chips were performed using various approaches, including stochastic methods (316, 317). A theoretical study of a full interferometric process in a Mach–Zehnder configuration with interacting atoms (318) examined the effects of number-squeezing on the phase stability, showing that the standard quantum limit (SQL) for phase sensitivity can be overcome and the Heisenberg limit reached.

5.2.2. Static potentials
Tunnelling barriers for splitting atoms on a chip by static magnetic fields created by current-carrying wires may have the advantage of better integrability with other atom chip circuits to be used as platforms for more complex interferometric schemes. However, such potentials, based on magnetic fields obeying the stationary Maxwell’s equations in the form of the Biot-Savart law, require the
atoms to be very close (a few µm) to the chip surface in order to allow fine control of the potential shape over a range comparable to the barrier penetration length (typically ≈ 1 µm for a chemical potential a few nK below the barrier). This may make the potential sensitive to imperfections in the structure of the wire, Johnson noise, time-dependent fluctuations of the currents and environmental magnetic noise that is transmitted by the metallic wires on the surface, as discussed in Section 4.1.

Splitting a BEC using the double-well potential of a static magnetic field on an atom chip was realized in (319). Interference fringes were observed, but the phase of these fringes was random. A double-well potential closer to the chip surface was later realized (Figure 24) and coherent properties such as enhanced or reduced number fluctuations were observed (35).

An example of how the atom chip allows the sculpturing of a variety of potential shapes is given in Figure 25. Here 9 parallel µm-scale wires can be used to fine-tune the shape of a tunnelling barrier. This offers a method for studying topological effects in tunnelling. Another example of engineering µm-scale wires specifically for tunnelling studies is given in (171). While the tunnelling experiments envisioned for these chips have not yet been tested with atoms, preliminary proof of spatial coherence from atoms trapped in a modulated potential just a few µm from the chip has been demonstrated by the Folman group (249) (Section 4.2).

5.3. Splitting with state-selective potentials

A state-selective dressed potential is formed by applying an inhomogeneous (on-chip) microwave field somewhat detuned from a specific transition between two Zeeman sub-levels in two hyperfine levels (321). Interaction with the microwave field shifts the energy of the two coupled levels by an amount which is proportional to the intensity of the field (AC Stark shift). This creates an effective potential for the two levels, whose shape is determined by the intensity and may be either attractive or repulsive.

The two states that are usually used for state-selective potential splitting are the two ‘trapped clock states’; for 87Rb these are |F, m_F⟩ = |1, −1⟩ and |2, 1⟩. These two states have the same magnetic susceptibility at the ‘magic field’ of 3.23 G; two photons (microwave+RF) are required for transitions between them. One of the two states can be shifted by a dressed microwave potential or they may both be shifted by a different potential. Splitting is done by applying a microwave π/2 pulse to create an equal superposition of the two states and then applying the state-dependent potential to make them move in different directions.

A BEC on a chip was first split with state-selective potentials by the Treutlein group in order to create an entangled two-component BEC, allowing the SQL of interferometry to be overcome (32, 321). The microwave near-field was created by a CPW on the chip surface, as shown in Figure 26.
Next, we discuss some recent proposals for spatial interferometry based on splitting by state-selective potentials.

A scheme for a double-well beam splitter was proposed by the Reichel group and some of its components were demonstrated experimentally (65). The idea is to use the pair of states $|F, m_F\rangle = |1, -1\rangle$ and $|2, 1\rangle$ in a state-dependent microwave-dressed potential which creates a single harmonic well for one of the states and a double-well potential for the other. A two-photon Rabi pulse can be tuned to transfer the ground state of the single well potential for the other. A two-photon Rabi pulse creates an equal superposition of two atomic internal states $(|1\rangle + |2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. Then a field gradient pushes the two components $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ with a different force and they acquire a state-dependent momentum $p_1$ and $p_2$, respectively. A second $\pi/2$ pulse creates the atomic states

\[ \frac{1}{2} [ (|1, p_1\rangle - |1, p_2\rangle) + (|2, p_1\rangle + |2, p_2\rangle) ], \tag{2} \]

such that each of the internal states is in a superposition of the two momentum states and may be used as a spatial interferometer. An interference signal can be obtained either by bringing the two wavepackets back to the same point and recombing them in a similar beam splitter, or by stopping their relative motion and observing interference fringes once they expand and overlap, as was done in the actual experiment.

A proof-of-principle experiment for interferometry based on an FGBS was demonstrated using $^{87}$Rb atoms in the states $|1\rangle \equiv |F = 2, m_F = 1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle \equiv |F = 2, m_F = 2\rangle$. A two-state system was engineered by keeping a relatively strong homogeneous magnetic field that induced nonlinear Zeeman splitting and pushed the transitions to other Zeeman sub-levels away from resonance with the RF field used for $\pi/2$ pulses. A magnetic field gradient generated by a current pulse in a chip wire was used to create the differential potential gradient. It was shown that differential momentum kicks of $100 \hbar k$ (in units of a $1 \mu$m-wavelength photon recoil) are possible in the short splitting time of a few $\mu$s. However, the recombination and imaging method allowed proof of the coherence only for a wavepacket separation of a few $\mu$m. New schemes for recombination of the split wavepackets, such as using a second beam splitter based on the same principle, would allow future experiments to benefit from the high momentum splitting achieved.

Compared to standard Stern–Gerlach interferometry, an important advantage of the FGBS for interferometry is that its output contains a superposition of pairs of wavepackets with an indistinguishable state, such that long-wavelength magnetic fluctuations in space do not affect the relative phase between the two paths. This makes interferometry with atoms split with the FGBS insensitive to stray magnetic fields during propagation through the interferometer arms, since the atoms are in a

5.4. Stern–Gerlach Interferometry

Stern–Gerlach interferometry, in which a magnetic field gradient coherently splits a beam of spin-$1/2$ particles into two spatially separated beams of different spin projections, was one of the first proposals for interferometry of massive particles and was made shortly after the discovery of the Stern–Gerlach effect (323, 324). However, it was predicted that coherent operation of such an interferometer would require extremely precise magnetic field gradients that were beyond reach ((325) and references therein). Nevertheless, it was recently demonstrated by the Folman group that coherent Stern–Gerlach interferometry is possible for a BEC on an atom chip (307). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first realization of a coherent spatial Stern–Gerlach beam splitter.

This realization is based on the general idea of a field-gradient beam splitter (FGBS): first, a $\pi/2$ Rabi pulse creates an equal superposition of two atomic internal states $(|1\rangle + |2\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$. Then a field gradient pushes the two components $|1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle$ with a different force and they acquire a state-dependent momentum $p_1$ and $p_2$, respectively. A second $\pi/2$ pulse creates the atomic states

\[ \frac{1}{2} [ (|1, p_1\rangle - |1, p_2\rangle) + (|2, p_1\rangle + |2, p_2\rangle) ], \tag{2} \]

such that each of the internal states is in a superposition of the two momentum states and may be used as a spatial interferometer. An interference signal can be obtained either by bringing the two wavepackets back to the same point and recombing them in a similar beam splitter, or by stopping their relative motion and observing interference fringes once they expand and overlap, as was done in the actual experiment.

A proof-of-principle experiment for interferometry based on an FGBS was demonstrated using $^{87}$Rb atoms in the states $|1\rangle \equiv |F = 2, m_F = 1\rangle$ and $|2\rangle \equiv |F = 2, m_F = 2\rangle$. A two-state system was engineered by keeping a relatively strong homogeneous magnetic field that induced nonlinear Zeeman splitting and pushed the transitions to other Zeeman sub-levels away from resonance with the RF field used for $\pi/2$ pulses. A magnetic field gradient generated by a current pulse in a chip wire was used to create the differential potential gradient. It was shown that differential momentum kicks of $100 \hbar k$ (in units of a $1 \mu$m-wavelength photon recoil) are possible in the short splitting time of a few $\mu$s. However, the recombination and imaging method allowed proof of the coherence only for a wavepacket separation of a few $\mu$m. New schemes for recombination of the split wavepackets, such as using a second beam splitter based on the same principle, would allow future experiments to benefit from the high momentum splitting achieved.

Compared to standard Stern–Gerlach interferometry, an important advantage of the FGBS for interferometry is that its output contains a superposition of pairs of wavepackets with an indistinguishable state, such that long-wavelength magnetic fluctuations in space do not affect the relative phase between the two paths. This makes interferometry with atoms split with the FGBS insensitive to stray magnetic fields during propagation through the interferometer arms, since the atoms are in a
superposition of different spin states only during the very short time of the splitting. On the other hand, momentum transfer by magnetic gradients cannot achieve the precision offered by splitting with optical beams and would therefore be more suitable for applications using an atom guide, where momentum precision may not be as crucial (Section 5.5). It should be noted that the FGBS may be realized with various kinds of state-selective potentials: magnetic, electric or optical.

The main limitation of splitting based on magnetic field gradients is the shot-to-shot stability of these magnetic fields, which are determined by current instabilities in the wires. An improved scheme based on a three-wire configuration was implemented to reduce the phase accumulation during the splitting due to the quadrupole field. This enabled considerable improvement of the phase stability in a later experiment, which demonstrated complementarity between interference visibility and distinguishability of two paths when the atom is used as a quantum clock (37) (Figure 27).

5.5. Guided atom interferometry

As mentioned in Section 5.1, the first realization of interferometry on an atom chip was a Michelson interferometer with a magnetically guided BEC (253). One of the obvious applications of guided interferometry is an area-enclosing loop, which would enable Sagnac interferometry for inertial sensing of rotation. The sensitivity of such a Sagnac interferometer is proportional to the area enclosed by the interferometer loop, which would be small on an atom chip as compared to free-space implementations. High sensitivity could be recovered however, by constructing a high-finesse loop that would enable the atoms to perform many revolutions. In addition, closed-loop interferometer configurations may have symmetry properties that allow a high interferometric sensitivity even for thermal atoms and in the presence of potential imperfections (64), as also shown for another symmetric interferometric scheme (67).

Prospects for guided closed-loop interferometry have encouraged several proposals for overcoming some major technical obstacles. To the best of our knowledge, the first attempt to realize a guided Sagnac interferometer was done by the Prentiss group (326). One detailed proposal for a full scheme of a Sagnac interferometer with a BEC on a chip is based on optical Bragg splitting and a magnetic atomic guide generated by an array of three or four parallel current-carrying wires (327). The proposed magnetic guide would prevent perturbations caused by the input and output currents on the loop by exchanging the active wires during the round trip of the atoms in the loop. Another suggestion for preventing the problem of input and output for the loop current is a superconducting loop that maintains a persistent current (328). Many very creative ideas frequently emerge, such as the recently suggested potential loop generated by the current in a (single-layer) Archimedean spiral of two interleaved wires (329). However, care has to be taken to ensure that the potential is completely smooth as any roughness, due for example to the current leads, would hinder the operation of the Sagnac interferometer.

Adiabatic dressed potentials have also been suggested as a method to create a variety of shapes of traps and guides for ultracold atoms. Designs of ring traps for atoms were suggested (322, 330–332) as loops for Sagnac interferometry as well as structures for studying superfluidity in confined geometries. Inductive dressed ring traps have also been suggested (333, 334), as well as rings based on moving actuators (335). Ring traps have been loaded (336, 337), but not on an atom chip. Finally, a proposed scheme for splitting and guiding by a 3D state-selective RF-dressed potential (314) was briefly discussed in Section 5.3. To the best of our knowledge, coherent splitting and a measurement of the Sagnac effect has not yet been demonstrated on any kind of ring potential.

While the coherence and precision of free-space atom interferometers based on splitting by laser pulses is ensured by the high momentum precision of such splitting, the precision of guided-atom interferometers may be ensured by their guiding potentials. Sensitivity to the precision of the beam splitter is reduced since the guiding potential fixes the exact path of the atoms and hence the interferometer area. In addition, for Sagnac interferometers where the atoms propagate in two opposite directions along the same closed loop, the interferometric signal is expected to be insensitive to the exact value of the

![Figure 27. Clock interferometry on a chip. A magnetic gradient pulse of duration $T_G$ is applied to induce a relative angle of rotation between two clock wave packets (inducing a clock ‘tick’ rate difference $\Delta \omega$ simulating a gravitational red shift). (a–b) For $T_G = 0$, the clock rate is approximately the same in the two wave packets, and interference is visible. (c–d) Visibility of the clock spatial interference may be destroyed ($\Delta \omega T_G = \pi$) or restored ($\Delta \omega T_G = 2\pi$) merely by adjusting $T_G$. Optical density curves are data (blue) and fits (red) to a simple combination of a sine with a Gaussian envelope. The vertical axis $z$ is relative to the chip surface. Adapted from (37), with permission by AAAS.](image-url)
momentum transfer $k$ at the splitting, as long as the splitting is symmetric (i.e., to $+k$ and $-k$). In addition to high interferometric precision for beam splitters with very precise momentum transfer such as with Bragg pulses (Section 5.1), this enables high interferometric precision also for splitting with state-selective potentials (Section 5.3) or FGBS (Section 5.4).

5.6. Internal and vibrational state interferometry

This section has concentrated on spatial interferometry, in which atoms are split into a superposition of spatially separated states. We end the section by briefly mentioning some interesting schemes that use quantum interference between internal or motional atomic states that are typically not separated in space.

Manipulation of atomic internal states, including Rabi flips and Ramsey interferometry, is a common exercise in atomic physics in general and in ultracold atoms and chip-based BECs in particular. One of the most important examples of internal state interferometry is that of a clock (252). Coherence times up to a minute have been observed on a chip (36).

An example of interferometric signals which reveal the effect of many-body interactions on two-state interference is the observation of periodic coherence revivals in a BEC consisting of the two trapped clock states (341).

Another example is the demonstration, by the Cataliotti group, of Ramsey interferometry with all five levels of the $F = 2$ hyperfine state of $^{87}$Rb (342). Later on, the same group developed a scheme for preparing any internal state at will with $^{87}$Rb atoms in the $F = 2$ hyperfine state manifold (338) (Figure 28). Optimal control theory was used for achieving this goal.

Interferometry with motional states of trapped atoms is based on the ability to control the occupation of higher-energy vibrational states in the trap. Transitions into such excited states can be induced by shaking an anharmonic trap (49). A procedure for exciting transverse vibrational modes in an elongated trap using adiabatic RF-dressed potentials has enabled the creation of twin-atom beams with opposite momenta (343). The same kind of transition was later used for performing vibrational state interferometry analogous to Ramsey interferometry of internal states (339) (Figure 29). Optimal control theory was again used in order to enable this interferometry. Indeed, it was suggested that control of vibrational states of trapped atoms may be beneficial in QIP (344, 345), as well as in loop interferometry (346, 347).

6. Atom chip applications

In this section, we review several applications for which the atom chip may provide an advantageous platform.

In terms of technological applications, atom chips not only offer robustness and low prices for mass production by utilizing fabrication techniques borrowed from semiconductor manufacturing, they also offer increased accuracy and reduced power consumption owing to their favourable scaling laws, whereby higher gradients are produced by lower currents. Prospects for precise integration of different elements also promise new possibilities, e.g. by integrating photonics for high-Q devices and high-efficiency collection (Figure 1).
The versatility of particles that can be trapped and manipulated has been described in Section 2 and the available technologies have been detailed in Section 3. In Sections 4 and 5, we presented some of the fundamental scientific topics which may be studied with the atom chip. Here we extend our discussion of the above-mentioned advantages for fundamental research to advantages that the atom chip offers for technological applications. For example, several groups are currently using it as the platform of choice for rapidly producing a BEC (4, 348).

Concurrently, atom chips are perhaps the best available technology for the emerging field of atomtronics (50, 51, 64, 69–71, 201, 334, 347, 349, 350), for which dynamic tunnelling barriers are required. Such barriers may be formed on atom chips at distances of several µm (170, 171). The atom chip offers the ability to realize guides and traps with virtually arbitrary architecture.

Crucially important to the realization of atom chip applications are of course imperfections and hindering interactions. Progress on overcoming these inhibiting factors, including issues related to fabrication, noise and coherence, were also presented in Section 4. It therefore seems that the door is indeed open for the realization of quantum technology applications based on atom chips.

In the following, we start with specific applications, namely acceleration and field sensors, clocks and devices for QIP, and we end with several examples of general performance-enhancing techniques.

### 6.1. Inertial sensors

Inertial sensors are particularly important for high-accuracy navigation that is independent of the global positioning system (GPS), and for detecting gravitational fields for geological searches, topography-based navigation and underground detection. These sensors are also important for fundamental research. To date, very good inertial sensors are mechanical – MEMS – while optical ring-laser and fibre-gyroscopes also exhibit high performance. Ultracold atoms for inertial sensing promise orders-of-magnitude improvement over existing technologies (e.g. (292)). The use of atom chip technology for an inertial sensing device based on cold atoms offers many possible advantages, such as integration and miniaturization, robustness, and a high bandwidth due to fast BEC production. In fact, the atom chip may enable continuous-mode operation by utilizing a thermal beam with tunnelling barriers (64). It was also shown that a guided high-finesse device may compensate for the loss of area due to miniaturization. However, to date, guided atom interferometry for inertial sensing has yet to be realized (Section 5.5).

---

The atom chip is already proving useful for inertial sensors in the preparatory cooling stage of the cycle. Atomic inertial sensors typically use laser-cooled atomic ensembles at temperatures of a few µK. Lower temperatures improve the precision both on the Earth and in microgravity due, for example, to longer evolution times. A high level of precision is necessary not only for technological applications, but also for fundamental studies, e.g. for verifying predictions of violations of general relativity postulates at different accuracy levels. One of the key properties of the atom chip is to enable high magnetic gradients at relatively low currents near the chip surface for fast evaporative cooling and production of BECs. Low power consumption is particularly important for mobile systems that operate on batteries. The ‘QUANTUS’ project (39) started as a feasibility study of a compact, robust and mobile experiment for the creation of a BEC in a microgravity environment. The latest result of this effort reports on the realization of a miniaturized setup, able to generate a flux of $4 \times 10^5$ quantum degenerate $^{87}$Rb atoms every 1.6 s. Ensembles of $1 \times 10^5$ atoms can be produced at a 1 Hz rate under microgravity conditions (348). Rates of 1.2 Hz were also reached for smaller numbers of atoms, with a projection of rates up to 10 Hz being attainable with improved vacuum. The entire setup was placed in a capsule, which was released from the top of a drop tower (Figure 22). A schematic illustration of the physical package is presented in Figure 30. In this context, it is important to mention the work on a miniaturized atom chip setup that can also generate BECs at a 1 Hz rate (4, 224).

Although guided interferometry on the atom chip using coherent splitting into a magnetic or an optical waveguide remains unrealized, the potential impact of such a device for various applications of inertial sensing is so...
important that engineering a working device continues to drive many efforts. Two such efforts are the ‘OnACIS’ (351) and ‘iSense’ (352, 353) projects. Another effort is taking place at SYRTE (354). A recent proposal is based on RF potentials and analyses the possibility of utilizing the atom chip for the creation of 3D traps which move on a loop in opposite directions (66). The latter is part of yet another effort to realize a chip-based sensor (355). A recent review of 20 years of development of the Sangac effect for atomic inertial sensing is in (356).

It stands to reason that the atom chip will eventually enable the right combination of methods for coherent guided interferometry to be realized. For example, integrating a mirror on the chip to create the necessary two Bragg beam splitters, together with the source of a magnetic guide, is important because any relative movement between the potential and the Bragg fringes will destroy the sensitivity. In another example, one may think of incorporating a bright or dark ring (346, 357, 358) for guiding, while two Stern–Gerlach type beam splitters (307) close a Ramsey-type sequence. It also remains to be seen whether guided interferometry allows one to relax the current stringent requirements regarding $\Delta p/p$ in interferometry since the guides determine the path (Section 5.3).

6.2. Field sensing

One of the well-known applications of the atom chip is to probe magnetic fields originating from the surface (e.g. from electron transport). Since atom–surface interactions were presented in Section 4, here we briefly describe this topic with an emphasis on applications.

Cold-atom magnetic microscopy has the advantage of both high sensitivity ($10^{-10}$ T) and high resolution (several $\mu$m). These properties were applied to discover long-range order in electronic transport in polycrystalline metal (gold) films (22). This technology is particularly attractive for exploring current transport and vortex formation in superconducting wires, as well as domain formation in different magnetic materials. The Lev group developed an advanced atom chip setup that is designed to cool the chip to below 4 K and enable an optical resolution of 0.7 $\mu$m at 780 nm (187). The atom chip may thus potentially give rise to new insight in the race to understand high-$T_c$ superconductivity. An alternative method to investigate magnetic fields through the corrugations they form in magnetic traps is based on phase–space tomography after displacement from equilibrium and evolution of the atom cloud in the trap (359). It was shown that the phase–space distribution is extremely sensitive to small anharmonicities in the potential that nanoscale imperfections can cause.

In addition to probing DC magnetic fields, microwave fields can also be investigated with microscopic resolution. In (360), it was shown how the magnetic field component of a microwave pulse drives different transitions in Rb at Rabi frequencies that depend on the local strength of the field. Imaging the atomic cloud allows a complete reconstruction of the microwave field, as demonstrated in Figure 31.

Other schemes use a BEC as an interferometric scanning probe for mapping the microwave field with similar microscopic resolution but with improved sensitivity due to entanglement between the atoms (361). This enabled surpassing the SQL for interferometry by 4 dB and reaching a magnetic field sensitivity of 77 pT/\sqrt{Hz} in a probe volume of 20 $\mu$m$^3$. It was suggested that this development could help improve integrated microwave circuits for QIP and in applications to communication technology.

Atom chip technology can also be used to detect electric field potentials originating from the surface (90). This is carried out with Rydberg states that are extremely sensitive to electric dipole interactions.

Looking into the future, it seems likely that atom chips will continue to achieve increased sensitivity through...
interferometric schemes. For example, the atom chip group at Ben-Gurion University is working on the concept of ‘matter-wave homodyning’, whereby one wavepacket is sent close to the surface for interaction with a system of interest, while the other wavepacket is maintained further away as a reference.

As an extension of cold-atom microscopy, where ultracold atoms in an elongated trap scan an area close to a metallic surface, a BEC in a vertical optical lattice trap can cover a large area parallel to the surface and allow simultaneous sensing of field patterns near it. For example, a BEC of $10^6 \ ^{87}\text{Rb}$ atoms with a ‘pancake’ shape of horizontal radius 100 $\mu\text{m}$ can be formed in a potential with a horizontal frequency of 14 Hz and a vertical frequency of 25 kHz (299) (note that tunnelling to other sites of the optical lattice may be suppressed by self-trapping due to atom–atom interactions). The necessary hardware has already been integrated onto an atom chip, as illustrated in the next section (Figure 32). Cold-atom magnetometry may even be applied to biophysical systems under ambient conditions, such as neural networks, using $\mu\text{m}$-thick membranes capable of holding ultrahigh vacuum over small areas.

6.3. Clocks

High-end applications that use secondary atomic frequency standards based on vapour cells must currently rely on frequent synchronization with the GPS system to correct long-term drifts. This is a major drawback and a significant problem for various military and civilian applications in which the GPS signal may be temporarily or permanently inaccessible. The Cs beam clock is a possible solution that has been available commercially for many years.

Progress in the field of ultracold atoms and the maturity of the technology has motivated various projects to develop more compact clocks with improved long-term stability. One approach is to release the trapped atoms and use a Ramsey sequence during a time-of-flight of several msec. This requires a very uniform microwave and magnetic c-field over several mm and the physical package is not very compact. The HORACE cold atom clock is one example of such an effort (362).

A contrasting approach achieves long coherence times – and therefore long measurement times and high accuracy – by trapping the atoms instead of releasing them. In 2004, it was demonstrated that atoms close to an atom chip can retain long coherence times for internal degrees of freedom (252). Confining the atoms to the small volume of an atom chip also relaxes the homogeneity conditions for the microwave radiation (363). In addition, it was subsequently discovered that the coherence lifetime of the atoms can be extended to almost 1 min due to spin rephasing induced by the identical spin rotation effect (36). Together with the vision of the atom chip platform presented in Figure 1, these developments are contributing to chip-based atomic clocks with improved accuracy and further miniaturization.

The first complete realization of an atom chip clock was reported in (364). Aside from the fact that the sequence of trapping atoms is more complex than that of free-falling experiments, there remain various noise sources that degrade the stability of this clock. The most dominant are shot-to-shot fluctuations in the atom temperature and in the offset magnetic field. Performance is also degraded by the local oscillator. Next-generation versions of this clock are expected to incorporate non-destructive detection and shorter cycle times.
Another approach would be to implement designs that incorporate different optical elements such as mirrors enabling, for example, a compact and robust on-chip optical lattice system for trapping and detection. One such design, where prisms embedded directly onto the chip create a 3D standing wave, has been developed by the Anderson group (223) (Figure 32) and is now a commercial product (224). This chip also features a through-chip optical window enabling high resolution imaging (the through-chip optical window of this design has also enabled hybrid magnetic+optical transistor-like potentials for atomtronics (201)). Optical lattice clocks currently hold the record for the best stability and accuracy (365), and precisely integrating the optical elements directly onto a chip surface to control all aspects of the potentials and of the detection elements promises improved robustness and miniaturization.

In addition to work on atom chips, there are also various efforts to use ion chips for miniature frequency standards. The ‘MITICC’ project is an example of such an attempt (366). It is based on trapping a single Yb$^{+}$ ion using a microfabricated circuit, with the aim of exhibiting a relative frequency stability of at least $10^{-14}$.

### 6.4. Quantum information processing

Key operations needed for the realization of QIP systems include qubit preparation, measurement, arbitrary single-qubit rotation, and entangling gates for two-qubit operations – all while achieving clock times faster than any loss, heating or decoherence time scales. Cold, isolated particles on chips constitute excellent platforms for accomplishing these operations and there is consequently great interest in them, due also to their accuracy, scalability and single-site addressability.

QIP with trapped ions currently seems to be quite a bit ahead of neutral atoms (80, 367, 368), with the most successful multi-particle quantum information processors to date being based on trapped ions, e.g. by the Blatt group ((369) and references therein). Ion chips are also poised to perform simulations, e.g. by the Wineland group (370). There are still numerous difficult challenges that the field is facing, such as fidelity, scale-up, and material engineering aspects to reduce heating and decoherence from the environment. Here we discuss a few examples of current projects.

Existing fabrication techniques for microfabricated ion chips limit the number of surface electrodes. The capacitors and bond pads can consume a majority of the overall chip area and perimeter, strongly constraining the layout of electrode structures and DC/RF lead traces which may obstruct laser access (the lasers must interact with ions confined $\approx 100\, \mu$m above the chip surface). This scale-up problem is the subject of major research efforts, with many ideas for new ion trap architectures. One such effort builds the chip around a BGA with connections that allow through-substrate vias to bring electrical signals from the back side of the trap die to the surface trap structure on the top side (371) (Figure 33). This kind of advance is an important step for increasing qubit densities and bringing the vision of a quantum computer closer to reality. A scalable architecture for an ion chip quantum simulator has also been suggested in (372).

Regarding scalability, (neutral) atom chips have an advantage because it is possible to trap large numbers of atoms in an optical or magnetic lattice, or in a combined potential, with single-site addressability. Additional ideas for lattices include plasmonic, RF dressing, and static electric lattices. Single-site addressability may come from near-field optics or local electric and magnetic fields emanating from the nearby surface. A commercially available integrated setup for optical lattices on atom chips was discussed earlier in this section, indicating the maturity of this technology.

Magnetic lattices may be made by current-carrying wires or by a lithographically patterned permanent magnetic film (Section 3.4). Beyond the 1D permanent magnet lattice presented in Figure 9, a 2D permanent magnet lattice has been realized for a BEC of $^{87}$Rb (95). This experiment demonstrated such an atom chip with a lattice constant of 10 $\mu$m, fabricated by selective etching of an FePt thin-film permanent magnet (Figure 34). This approach enables a large variety of trapping geometries over a wide range of length scales and is also suitable for experiments in quantum information science based on interactions between atoms in highly excited Rydberg energy levels. Plans to load a 250 nm-period lattice are now underway in the same group. Such a lattice can enable Hubbard-model physics with ground state atoms,
but in new geometries and regimes. Geometries will be more flexible in terms of lattice types, including interfaces between different lattices, both 1D and 2D, and everything in between. Disorder and designer defects can be built in. Tapered lattices with a gradually changing period across the chip may also be of interest.

An advantage of a permanent magnet lattice over optical lattices is that any lattice period and cell structure may be designed and fabricated. Reducing the lattice period may even enable direct interaction between ground state atoms. The nearby surface may also be engineered to render specific atoms resonant or non-resonant, thereby enabling parallel control over many qubits using, for example, nm-scale electrodes near each lattice site that would Stark-shift atomic energy levels.

Quantum simulations date back to the ideas of Richard Feynman, who famously expounded that the proper way to simulate quantum physics would be to use a quantum computer instead of a classical computer (373). Such simulations, based on small-scale quantum systems that are relatively easy to control, could be used to efficiently simulate other quantum systems that are difficult or impossible to describe analytically or numerically on classical computers.

Prospects for quantum simulations using systems of trapped ions are discussed in (374), where the available set of quantum operations and first proof-of-principle experiments for both analogue and digital quantum simulations with trapped ions are reviewed. Deterministic tuning of the Coulomb interaction between two ions was demonstrated in (370), independently controlling their local wells. The scheme is suitable for emulating a range of spin-spin interactions. It was suggested that extension of this building block to a 2D network, which is possible using ion trap microfabrication processes, may provide new quantum simulator architecture with broad flexibility in designing and scaling the arrangement of ions and their mutual interactions. All of these prospects of course rely on the ability to accurately control and manipulate systems of trapped ions, to engineer a large variety of interactions with high precision, and to measure relevant observables with nearly 100% efficiency.

Neutral atoms in an optical lattice on an atom chip also constitute a possible system for a quantum simulator (375). Quantum simulations using neutral atoms retain their scalability advantage as discussed earlier (376, 377). Building blocks for quantum simulations with ensembles of trapped atoms were demonstrated with bosons (378) and fermions (379). Permanent magnet atom chips with Rydberg atoms constitute another possible system for quantum simulators (95), with the benefit of long-range atom–atom interactions.

Building blocks for quantum simulations have also been demonstrated with magnetically trapped neutral atoms on an atom chip. The experimental generation of multi-particle entanglement on an atom chip was achieved in (32) by controlling elastic collisional interactions with a state-dependent potential, a significant step forward for atom chip applications in quantum simulations and QIP.

6.5. Methods for atom chip applications

General methods for simpler and more efficient atom chip techniques continue to be developed for practical operations, as noted throughout this review. For example, an efficient procedure for direct evaporative cooling, whereby the RF radiation is kept constant while the magnetic trap parameters are changed, was recently developed (380). In the remainder of this section, we discuss several additional experimental techniques that may become useful for technological applications based on atom chips.

Efficient and high-fidelity internal state manipulation is essential. A crucial milestone in QIP is the ability to prepare any initial state at will, an example of which was recently demonstrated via optimal control on an atom chip (338) (Figure 28). Optimal control allows one to accelerate the state preparation process to the ultimate bound imposed by quantum mechanics, the so-called quantum speed limit. With control pulses as short as...
100 µs, transfer to the desired final coherent superposition was achieved with only a few percent error. Another example is the realization of stimulated Raman adiabatic passage with microwave frequencies on an atom chip by the Westbrook group, thereby enabling highly efficient coherent population transfer (381). A 87Rb BEC in its maximal magnetic moment state $|F = 2, m_F = 2\rangle$ was prepared and coherently transferred to the $|F = 2, m_F = 1\rangle$ state, a gateway for addressing the $|F = 2, m_F = 1\rangle \leftrightarrow |F = 1, m_F = -1\rangle$ two-photon transition. This magnetically trappable ‘clock’ transition is very robust against magnetically-induced decoherence at the ‘magic field’ of 3.23 G (Section 5.3). New ideas concerning the manipulation of the external degrees of freedom are also continuing to surface. For example, using adiabatic passage to coherently move atoms from one magnetic guide to another is suggested in (382).

Further initial-state preparation may include squeezing, which is particularly important for metrological applications. Naturally, the precision of a measurement is limited by the projection noise of the system under test, which scales according to the SQL as $1/\sqrt{N}$. This is a consequence of the Poissonian statistics of $N$ independent particles without any correlations between them. Squeezing and entanglement can overcome the SQL, enabling $1/N$ scaling in a system of $N$ entangled particles (383). Attaining this fundamental Heisenberg limit is one of the goals of the emerging field of quantum metrology and has motivated many efforts to develop systems and schemes for generating entanglement (384).

Figure 35. Optical fibre-based high-finesse cavity mounted on an atom chip, enabling cavity-assisted detection of an atomic qubit. (a) For an atom in the dark state $|0\rangle$ (top), probe light is either transmitted, reflected or lost by mirror imperfections. For the bright state $|1\rangle$ (bottom), most incident photons are reflected. In both cases, only a small fraction is scattered by the atom. (b) The cavity is formed by the coated end facets of two optical fibres. The qubit states $|F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$ and $|F = 2, m_F = 0\rangle$ can be coupled by a resonant microwave pulse. The cavity and the atomic transition $|1\rangle \rightarrow |e\rangle$ are resonant with the $\pi$-polarized probe laser at 780 nm wavelength. (c) Typical detection trace, showing cavity transmission (blue) and reflection (red) for an atom initially in $|1\rangle$ performing a quantum jump to $|0\rangle$ owing to spontaneous emission. Adapted from (385), with permission by Macmillan Publishers Ltd.

A state-dependent microwave potential from an atom chip was used to generate spin-squeezed states of a two-component BEC (32). The observed reduction in spin noise demonstrated multi-particle entanglement on the atom chip and metrologically useful squeezing. Subsequent experiments by the Reichel group demonstrated entanglement by strongly coupling $N$ atoms to a single mode of a high-finesse optical cavity integrated on the atom chip (33). This integrated atom chip cavity was also used to deterministically entangle 36 qubit atoms in less than 5 µs (34). The high degree of entanglement utilizes quantum Zeno dynamics. The states created in the presence of the measurement are highly entangled in general, and their purity depends on the measurement being strong enough and, at the same time, sufficiently nondestructive.

Looking into the future, there are many ideas on how to use atom chips for enhanced entanglement. For example, having polarized atoms in a superposition of magnetic fields (created by a superposition of currents in a flux qubit) would create a highly entangled state, the so-called ‘high-N00N’ state (240). Such states are advantageous for metrology based on interferometry. It remains to be seen what methods eventually become mature enough to be used in actual applications.

As a final example, let us note that strong light-matter interaction is essential for many tasks, such as qubit read-out, non-destructive detection and photon switching. Such atom–photon interactions are also required for quantum communications (e.g. repeaters (386, 387)) or for links between quantum computers. A high level of

Figure 36. On-chip integrated resonator. (a) Schematic depiction of the single-photon Raman interaction. The two transitions in a three-level $\Lambda$-system (a single atom of $^{87}$Rb in this case) are coupled (b) via a microresonator to different directions of a nanofibre waveguide. A photon coming from the left is deterministically reflected (red arrows) due to destructive interference in the transmission (blue arrows), resulting in the Raman transfer of the atom from ground state $\alpha$ to $\beta$. The atom then becomes transparent to subsequent photons, which are therefore transmitted. Adapted from (216), with permission by Macmillan Publishers Ltd.
control (including physical stability) is required to transferring information from photons (flying qubits) to atoms or ions (trapped qubits) (388, 389). Such couplings have been realized in a fibre FP cavity on an atom chip (385) (Figure 35). In another experiment, light evanescently coupled by a fiber into an on-chip ultrahigh-Q microresonator was used to couple photons to single $^{87}$Rb atoms falling past the microresonator (216) (Figure 36), where a further step could be taken by trapping the atoms. Photonic crystals have also been used for localizing and interfacing atoms with guided photons (219, 220) (Figure 13).

7. Conclusion

In this review, we have tried to give the reader a glimpse of the atom chip in 2015. We have attempted not only to describe the state-of-the-art, but also to hint at possible future directions and roadmaps, and in this way help young atom chip researchers who have just begun their journey.

The atom chip has been around for 15 years. In that time it has transformed continuously: from current-carrying wires and permanent magnets to dipole traps and plasmonics; from macroscopic structures to micro and even nanoscales; from simple materials to superconductors, alloys, anisotropic conductors, CNTs and graphene; from external detection to on-chip single-atom detection; from single-layer to multi-layer chips, and all the way to 3D fabrication. It has progressed from slow experimental cycles and bulky machinery to BECs produced at a rate faster than 1 Hz in stand-alone portable packages, and it would not be surprising if this rate reaches 10 Hz in the near future.

This stunning transformation of the atom chip includes a wide variety of particles now routinely trapped, cooled, and manipulated, from neutral ground-state atoms, to Rydberg atoms, molecules, ions, electrons, and perhaps even antimatter in the near future.

The goals have also expanded: a wide variety of fundamental studies from single particles to many-body physics; from CP to searches for hypothesized forces; from surface science to foundations of quantum mechanics and all the way to quantum simulations. Applications have also been intensively pursued: from guided matter-wave interferometry, through clocks and all the way to QIP.

This widening of the techniques and scientific scope go hand-in-hand with the ever-growing number of groups, from just three at the turn of the century to a few dozen at the present time. If one takes into account all types of particles, the number of groups is very large indeed.

It is very hard, and perhaps even foolhardy, to guess what this field will achieve in the future or even what directions it will take. Given enough time and funding, it is clear that the vision presented in Figure 1 is achievable. Will atom chips really enable an applicative revolution, a new kind of twenty-first century technology? On the front of fundamental science, will more integration, miniaturization, accuracy (fidelity), complexity, scalability and control, enable new discoveries? The answer to both questions is – of course – that no-one knows. We can say with a high level of likelihood however, that if we extrapolate from the past, we should expect many fascinating novelties in the future.

Looking back at the last 15 years, one cannot but admire how dynamic this field has become. So much so that one is reminded of the saying attributed by some to Heraclitus of ancient Greece: ‘There is nothing permanent except change’. This is perhaps the most fitting summary and outlook one may propose for this field of surface interfaces with cold matter.

We hope that the reader has enjoyed this glimpse and remains eager to see what additional surprises await.

List of abbreviations

- BEC Bose–Einstein condensate (or condensation)
- BGA ball-grid array
- CNT carbon nanotube
- CP Casimir-Polder
- CPT charge-parity-time
- CPW co-planar waveguide
- FGBS field-gradient beam splitter
- FP Fabry-Pérot
- GBAR Gravitational Behaviour of Antihydrogen at Rest
- GPS global positioning system
- MEMS microelectro-mechanical system
- MOT magneto-optical trap
- RF radio-frequency
- SQL standard quantum limit
- QIP quantum information processing
- YBCO yttrium barium copper oxide ($\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}$)
- YZO yttrium-stabilized ZrO$_2$

Note

1. $\text{YBa}_2\text{Cu}_3\text{O}_{7-\delta}/\text{yttrium-stabilized ZrO}_2$.
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