Clinical Significance of Metastasis or Micrometastasis to the Lymph Node Along the Superior Mesenteric Vein in Gastric Carcinoma: A Retrospective Analysis
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Background: The validity of lymphadenectomy of the lymph node along the superior mesenteric vein (LN14v) in gastric cancer remains controversial. The study investigated the characteristics and prognosis of gastric cancer with metastasis or micrometastasis to LN14v.

Methods: A retrospective study of 626 patients undergoing radical gastrectomy in our center from January 2003 to December 2015 was analyzed. In total, 303 patients had lymphadenectomy of LN14v, and lymph node micrometastasis was evaluated by immunohistochemical staining for cytokeratin nodes CK8/18. A logistic regression model was applied to confirm the predictive factors of micrometastasis. Survival analysis was performed to evaluate the effect of micrometastasis or metastasis on prognosis.

Results: The metastatic rate of the LN14v lymph node was 15.8%, and the micrometastatic rate was 3.3%. Multivariate analysis showed site, Borrmann classification, postoperative lymph node metastasis (pN), and metastasis in LN6 and LN9 were predictive factors for LN14v micrometastasis or metastasis (P < 0.05). The 5-year survival rate in the positive group (LN14v micrometastasis or metastasis) was 12.4%. The prognosis of patients without LN14v lymph node micrometastasis was better than that of the positive group, whereas the difference between group of LN14v micrometastasis and LN14v metastasis was not obvious. In matched analysis, patients with stage III gastric cancer L/M area, pN2-3, and LN6(+) who underwent lymphadenectomy of LN14v had better survival than those without lymphadenectomy of LN14v.

Conclusion: Lymph node micrometastasis may provide accurate prognostic information for patients with gastric cancer. Moreover, lymphadenectomy of LN14v might improve the survival of patients with stage III gastric cancer of L/M area, pN2-3, and LN6(+)..
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INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) is one of the most common cancer-related cause of death throughout the world (1, 2). Radical gastrectomy is the optimal choice to cure locally advanced resectable GC. The 15-year results of a Dutch trial showed that D2 lymphadenectomy was associated with a lesser rate of recurrence and improved the overall survival than did D1 dissection, and gastrectomy plus D2 lymph node dissection has been increasingly considered as the standard surgical procedure for advanced resectable gastric cancer (3). However, over 50% of patients who had worse survival subsequently relapsed or died after radical surgery because of lymph node metastasis, distant metastasis, or locoregional recurrence (4). Among the metastatic routes in GC (direct infiltration or spread, lymph node metastasis, hematogenous metastasis, and implantation metastasis), lymph node metastasis remains the most common pathway. However, the extent of lymphadenectomy during the surgery that will maximize survival with few complications remains controversial.

According to the 6th edition of International Union Against Cancer (UICC), lymph node metastasis (LNM) is classified into isolated tumor cells (ITC), micrometastasis (MI), and macrometastasis (MA), depending on the size of the metastatic deposit (5). Methods to investigate the presence of MI vary, from serial slices with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining, immunohistochemical (IHC) staining, to real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (6–9). Cytokeratin is one component of the cytoskeleton of epithelial cells that is not present in normal lymph nodes, and its corresponding antibody is widely used to detect minute deposits of tumor cells in lymph nodes by IHC staining. Controversies remain regarding the clinical features of MI and its prognostic significance for GC (10–13).

One particular study, the JCOG9501 trial, did not conclude that D2 lymphadenectomy plus para-aortic lymph node dissection improves survival in comparison to D2 lymphadenectomy alone (14, 15). Furthermore, the necessity for patients with GC to undergo LN14v dissection and how to identify the subgroup who received maximum benefit from LN14v dissection remain controversial. The current study was therefore designed to analyze the characteristics associated with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis and the clinical features and prognostic significance of MI for GC. In addition, oncological outcomes were also analyzed.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Patients

From January 2003 to December 2015, 626 patients with GC (including esophagogastric junction carcinoma) in the Cancer Hospital of China Medical University, Liaoning Cancer Hospital, were prospectively enrolled in this study (Supplementary Figure 1). To analyze the characteristics associated with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis, the inclusion criteria were as follows: (1) age range from 18 to 75 years old, (2) histopathological examination diagnosis of gastric carcinoma based on well-established criteria, (3) intraoperative exploration and postoperative tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) stage revealed no distant metastases (M0), (4) no conditions preventing resection were found, and (5) radical gastrectomy plus the LN14v lymph node dissection was performed (D2 or D2+). The study exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) R0 surgery after conversion therapy, (2) gastric remnant carcinoma, (3) LN14v lymph node biopsy, (4) presence of other malignancies, and (5) lack of complete follow-up data. A total of 303 patients receiving radical gastrectomy plus LN14v lymph node dissection were retrospectively identified for comparison between groups. Patients with clinical or pathologic TNM stage II-III or with positive lymph nodes received a perioperative chemotherapy regimen of SOX or XELOX, divided into two to four preoperative and several postoperative cycles. There were 255 patients without LN14v lymph node metastasis who underwent CK8/18 immunohistochemistry to detect minute deposits of tumor cells in the lymph nodes. Patients without micrometastases in the lymph nodes were classified as the negative group, and patients with micrometastases or metastases in the lymph nodes were classified as the positive group. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Liaoning Cancer Hospital.

LN14v Lymph Node Dissection

The LN14v lymph nodes are classified as lymph nodes along the superior mesenteric vein. All radical gastrectomies plus the LN14v lymph node dissection were performed by three experienced surgeons. The dissection criteria for the LN14v lymph nodes were as follows: First, omentobursectomy and lymphadenectomy of LN6 was performed, and then LN14v lymph nodes were completely removed from the root of lymph nodes. In order to expose the superior mesenteric vein, Henle trunk, and middle colic vein, the soft tissue around the superior mesenteric vein was completely removed as well.

Clinicopathological Features

Patients’ clinicopathological characteristics included tumor size, gastric location (upper [U], middle [M], lower [L] area), grade of differentiation (differentiated, undifferentiated), Borrmann type, histological type (adenocarcinoma, signet ring, or mucinous carcinoma), pathologic TNM stage (postoperative category), extent of resection (total, distal, or proximal), and the number of harvested lymph nodes.

Immunohistochemical Staining

A total of 576 lymph nodes without LN14v metastasis from 255 patients were reexamined by one pathologist to confirm the absence of lymph node metastasis by H&E staining. Lymph nodes were stored in 261 paraaffin-embedded blocks and three serial tissue sections 4 mm thick were cut from each block, and immunohistochemical staining (CK8/18) was performed to evaluate lymph node metastasis. The tumor tissues of GC were used as the positive control for staining in the same manner as the experimental group (Supplementary Figure 2).
Assessing Staining Results
We evaluated the staining results according to the location, structure, morphology, and staining color. CK8/18 was mainly located in the cytoplasm at the marginal sinus of the lymph nodes (Supplementary Figure 2). Positive-staining cells were brown-yellow in color, while the negative cells were unstained. Furthermore, positive samples were reconfirmed by observing the structure and morphology of the cells. Only if the size of the cell nest was 0.2–2 mm was it defined as MI and the sample was classified as positive sample. Any serial sections with positive staining were categorized as the positive group. All of the slices were independently observed under the microscope (×100 and ×200). Any disagreements between the pathologists were resolved by consensus following a review of the samples.

Statistical Analysis
Mean ± standard deviation was used to represent continuous values, and categorical data were expressed as percentages. Chi-square test or Fisher’s test was applied to evaluate the relationship between clinicopathological characteristics and LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis variance. Independent factors associated with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis were analyzed by logistic regression. Variables with a P value of 0.05 in univariate analysis were selected for the multivariate analysis. To predict prognostic risk factors, we used the multivariable Cox proportional hazard model and univariate analysis. The Kaplan–Meier method and log-rank test were applied to distinguish differences in survival data between two groups. Data were processed by SPSS 23.0 software and GraphPad Prism 7.0. And P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS
Clinicopathological Features and Clinical Outcomes
A total of 303 patients underwent radical surgery plus LN14v dissection in the study, and the clinicopathological features are shown in Table 1. Most patients were male (66.0%), and their age ranged from 28 to 75 years old (mean: 55.9). A majority of tumors were located in the L area (67.7%), were poorly differentiated (77.2%), and were of the adenocarcinoma histological type (73.9%). A minority of patients underwent total or proximal gastrectomy (24.1%). Cases were classified into stage I (17.5%), II (20.1%), or III (62.4%) based on postsurgical pathology. The incidence of metastasis in LN14v lymph nodes was 15.8%, and the incidence of micrometastasis was 3.3%. The total metastatic rate in LN14v was 19.1%. The median number of overall harvested lymph nodes and harvested LN14v lymph nodes was 28.5 and 2.0, respectively. Patients were followed up every 3 months during the first 3 years, subsequently every 6 months for the following 2 years, and once a year after 5 years until the time of death or the study deadline, December 31, 2018. The follow-up time ranged from 3 to 178 months (median: 46 m). The 3- and 5-year overall survival rates of patients with radical gastrectomy plus LN14v dissection were 71.2 and 50.7%, respectively.

Clinicopathological Characteristics Associated With LN14v Metastasis or Micrometastasis
We sought to identify subgroups that were likely to have LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis. We found that metastasis or micrometastasis in LN14v was associated with tumor size (P < 0.001), location (P = 0.027), Borrmann type (P = 0.003), pT category (P < 0.001), pN category (P < 0.001), pTNM stage (P < 0.001), and the number of metastatic lymph nodes (P < 0.001) (Table 2). In regard of multivariate analysis, logistic regression analysis demonstrated that location (P = 0.004, RR: 0.320, 95% CI, 0.146–0.700), Borrmann type (P = 0.010, RR: 1.519, 95% CI, 1.104–2.089), and pN category (P < 0.001, RR: 3.709, 95% CI, 0.700) were independent factors associated with metastasis or micrometastasis variance. Independent factors associated with tumor size (P = 0.004, RR: 2.089), and pN category (P < 0.001, RR: 3.709, 95% CI, 0.700) were independent factors associated with metastasis or micrometastasis variance.

| Clinicopathological characteristics | Value | Percentage (%) |
|------------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| Age (y)                            | 55.9±10.74 |               |
| Gender                             |       |                |
| Male                               | 200   | 66.0          |
| Female                             | 103   | 34.0          |
| Tumor size (cm)                    | 6.0±10.74 |               |
| Location                           |       |                |
| U                                  | 14    | 4.6           |
| M                                  | 84    | 27.7          |
| L                                  | 205   | 67.7          |
| Histological type                  |       |                |
| Adenocarcinoma                     | 224   | 73.9          |
| Signet-ring or mucinous carcinoma  | 79    | 26.1          |
| Grade of differentiation           |       |                |
| Well or moderate                   | 69    | 22.8          |
| Poor                               | 234   | 77.2          |
| Borrmann type                      |       |                |
| I, II                              | 178   | 58.7          |
| III                                | 108   | 35.6          |
| IV                                 | 17    | 5.6           |
| Postoperative T category (pT)      |       |                |
| T1-2                               | 81    | 26.7          |
| T3-4                               | 222   | 73.3          |
| Postoperative N category (pN)      |       |                |
| N0                                 | 89    | 29.4          |
| N1                                 | 41    | 13.5          |
| N2                                 | 63    | 20.8          |
| N3                                 | 110   | 36.3          |
| Extent of resection                |       |                |
| Total or proximal                  | 73    | 24.1          |
| Distal                             | 230   | 75.9          |
| Pathological stage (pTNM)          |       |                |
| I                                  | 53    | 17.5          |
| II                                 | 61    | 20.1          |
| III                                | 189   | 62.4          |
| The number of harvested lymph nodes| 28.5±10.52 |               |
| The number of metastatic lymph nodes| 6.3±7.51 |               |
| Without 14v micrometastasis        | 245   | 80.9          |
| With 14v micrometastasis           | 10    | 3.3           |
| With 14v metastasis                | 48    | 15.8          |
| The number of harvested lymph nodes of 14v | 2.0±1.439 |               |

Location, U/M/L, the upper/middle/lower third of stomach.
2.326–5.914) were significantly correlated with metastasis or micrometastasis in LN14v (Table 3).

### Regional Lymph Nodes Associated With LN14v Metastasis or Micrometastasis

In order to investigate the LN14v lymphatic drainage pathway, the study included lymph nodes 1–4 (4sa, 4sb, and 4sd), 5–7, 8a, 9–11, and 12a for univariate and multivariable analysis. The analyses showed that the metastatic status of LN14v was significantly correlated with that of all regional nodes (all $P < 0.05$, Table 4). Multivariable analysis results revealed the

| Variable | 14v micrometastasis or metastasis (+) | 14v micrometastasis (−) |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Age (y)  | <60 159 36 0.686 66 22 0.930       |
| Gender   | Male 162 38 0.930 Female 83 20     |
| Tumor size (cm) | <5.0 127 16 0.001 5.0–7.0 118 42 0.027 |
| Location | L 14 0 0.027 M 73 11 L 158 47     |
| Histological type | Adenocarcinoma 179 45 0.480 Signet-ring or mucinous carcinoma 66 13 |
| Grade of differentiation | Well or moderate 57 12 0.674 Poor 118 46 |
| Borrmann type | I, II 154 24 0.003 III 81 27 IV 10 7 |
| Postoperative T category (pT) | T1-2 77 4 0.000 T3-4 168 54 |
| Postoperative N category (pN) | N0 89 0 0.000 N1 37 4 0.000 N2 55 8 0.000 N3 64 48 0.000 |
| Extent of resection | Total or proximal 62 11 0.310 Distal 183 47 0.310 |
| Pathological stage (pTNM) | I 52 1 0.000 II 59 2 0.000 III 134 55 0.000 |

Table 2 | Univariate analysis of clinicopathological features associated with 14v metastatic status.

| Variable | 14v micrometastasis or metastasis (+) | 14v micrometastasis (−) |
|----------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Age (y)  | <60 159 36 0.686 66 22 0.930       |
| Gender   | Male 162 38 0.930 Female 83 20     |
| Tumor size (cm) | <5.0 127 16 0.001 5.0–7.0 118 42 0.027 |
| Location | L 14 0 0.027 M 73 11 L 158 47     |
| Histological type | Adenocarcinoma 179 45 0.480 Signet-ring or mucinous carcinoma 66 13 |
| Grade of differentiation | Well or moderate 57 12 0.674 Poor 118 46 |
| Borrmann type | I, II 154 24 0.003 III 81 27 IV 10 7 |
| Postoperative T category (pT) | T1-2 77 4 0.000 T3-4 168 54 |
| Postoperative N category (pN) | N0 89 0 0.000 N1 37 4 0.000 N2 55 8 0.000 N3 64 48 0.000 |
| Extent of resection | Total or proximal 62 11 0.310 Distal 183 47 0.310 |
| Pathological stage (pTNM) | I 52 1 0.000 II 59 2 0.000 III 134 55 0.000 |

Table 3 | Multivariate analysis of clinicopathological features associated with 14v metastatic status.

| Variables | $\beta$ | RR(95% CI) | $P$ value |
|-----------|--------|------------|-----------|
| Tumor size | 0.331  | 1.392 (0.649–2.988) | 0.396   |
| Location   | −0.139 | 0.320 (0.146–0.700) | 0.004   |
| Borrmann type | 0.418  | 1.519 (1.104–2.089) | 0.010   |
| Postoperative T category (pT) | 0.624  | 1.966 (0.565–6.160) | 0.306   |
| Postoperative N category (pN) | 1.311  | 3.709 (2.526–5.914) | 0.000   |
| Pathological stage (pTNM) | −0.747 | 0.474 (0.097–2.313) | 0.356   |

Table 4 | Univariate analysis of regional lymph nodes associated with 14v metastatic status.
metastasis of LN6 and LN9 to be independent variables associated with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis (P = 0.003, RR: 0.101, 95% CI, 0.022–0.496; P =0.013, RR: 0.093, 95% CI, 0.014–0.608) (Table 5). Of 146 patients with LN6 metastasis, 34.2% cases had metastasis or micrometastasis in LN14v. LN6 status had a low false-negative rate (10.7%) in predicting the absence of metastasis or micrometastasis in LN14v.

### Prognostic Value of Metastatic Status of LN14v in Gastric Cancer

The 5-year overall survival rate of patients with LN14v metastasis and LN14v micrometastasis was 12.9 and 10.0%. The 5-year survival rate of patients in the positive group (LN14v micrometastasis or metastasis) was 12.4%. The negative group (with neither LN14v metastasis nor micrometastasis) had a more favorable survival in comparison to the positive group (P = 0.000, HR = 4.001, 95% CI, 2.789–5.739, Figure 1). In stratified analysis, the negative group had a higher 5-years overall survival rate (60.1%) than that in the group with LN14v micrometastasis or metastasis (P < 0.001, HR=2.093, 95% CI,1.480–2.961; P < 0.001, HR=3.931, 95% CI, 2.671–5.787, Figure 2). The difference between patients with LN14v micrometastasis and LN14v metastasis was not significant (P=0.901, HR = 1.047, 95% CI, 0.501–2.171). Univariate analysis results showed age, gender, tumor size, Borrmann type, pT stage, pN stage, TNM stage, and pathological type were correlated with the prognosis. Furthermore, multivariable Cox proportional hazard model analysis demonstrated that LN14v metastatic status (P = 0.001, HR = 1.936, 95% CI, 1.323–2.834), pT stage (P = 0.003, HR = 2.725, 95% CI, 1.416–5.244), pN stage (P < 0.001, HR=2.090, 95% CI, 1.688–2.588), pathological type (P = 0.043, HR = 1.448, 95% CI, 1.012–2.072), and Borrmann type (P < 0.001, HR = 1.341, 95% CI, 1.148–1.566) were significant prognostic variables (Table 6). Notably, patients who underwent radical gastrectomy plus the LN14v dissection, and those with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis, had worse survival than patients in stages I, II, and III with neither LN14v metastasis nor micrometastasis (P < 0.001, Figure 3).

### The Benefit of Lymphadenectomy of LN14v in Gastric Cancer

Having established that 14v metastatic status was of prognostic significance for adequately staged patients treated by radical gastrectomy plus the 14v dissection, we sought to identify patient subgroups for whom the benefit was maximized and

---

**TABLE 5 | Multivariate analysis of regional lymph nodes associated with 14v metastatic status.**

| Lymphatic metastasis | β     | RR(95%CI) | P value |
|----------------------|-------|-----------|---------|
| No. 1                | 1.378 | 3.968 (0.340–46.257) | 0.271   |
| No. 3                | −0.172| 0.842 (0.054–13.085)  | 0.902   |
| No. 4                | 1.464 | 4.325 (0.206–90.878)  | 0.346   |
| No. 5                | −0.189| 0.150 (0.010–2.203)   | 0.167   |
| No. 6                | −2.294| 0.101 (0.022–0.496)   | 0.003   |
| No. 7                | 1.062 | 2.891 (0.118–71.054)  | 0.516   |
| No. 8a               | −1.395| 0.248 (0.037–1.681)   | 0.153   |
| No. 9                | −2.38 | 0.093 (0.014–0.608)   | 0.013   |
| No. 11               | 2.048 | 7.750 (0.203–296.209) | 0.270   |
| No. 12a              | −0.345| 0.708 (0.029–17.523)  | 0.833   |

*No. 1, right paracardial lymph node; No. 3, lymph node along the lesser curvature; No. 4 (4sa, 4sb, 4sd), lymph node along the short gastric vessels, the left gastroepiploic vessels, and the right gastroepiploic vessels; No. 5, the suprapyloric lymph node; No. 6, the infrapyloric lymph node; No. 7, lymph node along the left gastric artery; No. 8a, lymph node along the common hepatic artery; No. 9, lymph node around the celiac artery; No. 11 (11p and 11d), lymph node along the proximal splenic artery and distal splenic artery; No. 12a, lymph node in the hepatoduodenal ligament (along the hepatic artery); No. 14v, lymph node along the superior mesenteric vein.*

---

**FIGURE 1** | Kaplan-Meier curves were employed to compare the overall survival data between the negative group (without 14v micrometastasis and metastasis) and the positive group (with micrometastasis or metastasis) (P < 0.001, HR = 4.001, 95% CI = 2.789–5.739).

**FIGURE 2** | Comparisons of overall survival by 14v metastasis or micrometastasis status in patients with GC. Kaplan-Meier curves were employed to analyze the difference between groups (the group without 14v micrometastasis or metastasis vs the group with 14v micrometastasis, P < 0.001, HR = 2.093, 95% CI = 1.480–2.961; the group without 14v micrometastasis or metastasis vs the group with 14v metastasis, P < 0.001, HR = 3.931, 95% CI = 2.671–5.787; the group with 14v micrometastasis vs the group with 14v micrometastasis, P = 0.901, HR = 1.047, 95% CI = 0.501–2.171).
those for whom was it not of prognostic significance. Assuming that the metastasis or micrometastasis of LN 14v was independently associated with site, Borrmann classification, postoperative lymph node metastasis (pN), the metastasis of LN 6, the study made comparisons of outcomes between different groups. In matched analysis, patients with gastric cancer of stage III, L/M area, pN2-3 and LN 6(+), underwent lymphadenectomy of LN 14v had better survival than those without lymphadenectomy of LN 14v (P = 0.006, Figure 4).

**DISCUSSION**

Immunohistochemical staining for cytokeratin nodes CK8/18 to evaluate micrometastases has been reported in other fields (16). This study used CK8/18 to evaluate micrometastases in lymph nodes to identify clinicopathological characteristics and prognosis of GC with metastasis or micrometastasis to LN14v, and it demonstrated location, pN stage, Bormann type, and the LN6 metastatic status were predictive factors for LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis, implying that tumors located in the M or L area, with stage pN3a or N3b, Borrmann III or IV subtypes, and metastasis in LN 6 were likely to be presented with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis. The results identified a patient subgroup who may obtain maximum benefit from LN14v dissection and those for whom LN14v dissection seemed not to be of prognostic significance. Second, it revealed that the micrometastatic status of LN14v lymph nodes is one of the important prognostic factors. Lymph node micrometastasis could provide more accurate prognostic information for patients with GC. Thus, immunohistochemical detection of micrometastasis of lymph nodes is recommended.

Whether LN14v metastasis was associated with regional lymph node (local disease) or systemic disease is a contentious issue (17, 18). When compared to those with locoregional lymph node metastasis, patients with LN14v metastasis had the worst 5-year survival rate (<10%), and it was similar to that of LN16 metastasis, which was categorized as stage IV, implying its systemic disease role. However, several studies demonstrated some patients with LN14v metastasis benefited from LN14v dissection, which prolonged their survival—indicating at least some patients with LN14v metastasis had local rather than

**TABLE 6 |** Univariate and multivariate analysis of overall survival in patients with gastric cancer undergoing radical gastrectomy plus 14v dissection.

| Variables | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis |
|-----------|---------------------|----------------------|
|           | β       | HR (95% CI) | P value   | β       | HR (95% CI) | P value   |
| Gender    | 0.354   | 0.702 (0.503–0.990) | 0.037     | 0.081   | 0.922 (0.773–1.110) | 0.366     |
| Age       | 0.378   | 1.459 (1.052–2.023) | 0.024     | 0.268   | 1.307 (0.932–1.832) | 0.12      |
| Tumor size| 0.919   | 2.506 (1.761–3.567) | 0.001     | 0.04    | 1.040 (0.695–1.557) | 0.847     |
| Location  | 0.09    | 1.094 (0.823–1.454) | 0.537     |
| Borrmann type | 0.409 | 1.505 (1.294–1.751) | 0.001     |
| Postoperative T category (pT) | 1.757 | 5.797 (3.267–10.286) | 0.001     |
| Postoperative N category (pN) | 0.908 | 2.480 (2.058–2.989) | 0.001     |
| Micro- or metastasis Status of 14v | 1.386 | 4.001 (2.789–5.739) | 0.000     |
| Histological type | 0.582 | 1.789 (1.269–2.524) | 0.001     |
| Grade of differentiation | 0.542 | 1.719 (1.101–2.684) | 0.017     |
| Extent of resection | 0.461 | 1.586 (1.107–2.271) | 0.012     |

**FIGURE 3** | Overall survival after R0 resection categorized by tumor stage and 14v metastatic status (14v (+)), 14v metastasis or micrometastasis; stage I, II, III, P < 0.001 (the group of 14v (+) vs the group of all stages, 14v (+), log-rank test).

**FIGURE 4** | Overall survival after R0 resection categorized by lymphadenectomy of 14v in patients with gastric cancer of stage III, L or Middle area, pN2-3, and LN 6(+), P = 0.006.
systemic disease (17). According to the 2nd edition of the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma, LN14v dissection was included in the N2 group for tumors located at the lower third of the stomach (19). However, it was once classified as M1 status in the 3rd edition of the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma, which recommended it was unnecessary to dissect LN14v for patients with GC. Furthermore, the 3rd edition of the Japanese GC treatment guidelines 2010 proposed patients with tumors located in the lower third of the stomach with LN6 metastasis need dissection of LN14v (20). Therefore, during evaluations of whether it is essential for patients with GC to undergo dissection of LN14v, the LN14v metastatic rate, the clinicopathological features associated with LN14v metastasis, the security and feasibility of LN14v dissection, and the significance of dissection should be considered.

Lymphatic metastasis is considered to spread via lymphatic flow from the primary tumor site, and the lymphatic flows from any particular point have some preferred pathway (21–24). There are three lymphatic pathways in the region of the lower stomach. The lymphatic drainage from LN6 directly flows to LN14v, and then lymphatic flow reaches LN16, which finally joins the thoracic duct. In terms of lymphatic flow pathways, LN6 is anatomically upstream of LN14v, whose metastatic status is very closely correlated to that of LN6. A previous study investigated the impact of regional nodes’ metastatic status on LN14v metastasis (17). It revealed that the metastatic status of LN6 were predictive factors for LN14v metastasis. Our study was consistent with the previous research and the stepwise lymphatic metastasis theory. In our study, we found LN6 metastatic status was a significant independent variable for the metastatic status of LN14v. Of 146 patients with LN6 metastasis, 34.2% cases presented with the metastasis or micrometastasis in LN14v. Similar to previous studies, the LN6 status predicted the absence of LN14v metastases, with a low false-negative rate (10.7%). In addition, the study also demonstrated tumor site, Borrmann classification, and pN stage were also correlated with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis. Tumors located in the region of the low or middle stomach and presented with Borrmann III/IV subtype and stage pN2-3 seem likely to metastasize or micrometastasize to LN14v.

Interactions among various factors promote the occurrence and development of GC, which has complicated biological characteristics, high heterogeneity, and poor prognosis (25). One study reported that the 5-year survival rate of patients with GC with lymph node metastasis to LN14v was extremely low (11.3%), which was also described in another study with a poor 5-year survival rate (9.0%) (26, 27). Our study provided a comparable result in that patients with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis had unfavorable prognosis, and the 5-year survival rate was 12.4%. It should be noted that patients with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis had a lower 5-year survival rate in comparison with those who had regional lymph node metastasis. However, according to Sasaki’s therapeutic index theory, the therapeutic index of LN14v dissection is 2.1 in lower-third GC—which was comparable to that of LN12a dissection (2.7), the N2 group lymph node dissection (28). Although the benefits to patients from LN14v dissection vary, it is important to distinguish those who would benefit from LN14v dissection. Eom et al. noted that even if patients with LN14v metastasis had an unfavorable prognosis, LN14v dissection could improve overall prognosis, especially in those with tumor sites located in the middle or lower area of the stomach, positive LN6 lymph nodes, and clinical stage III/IV gastric cancer (17). Our study revealed tumor site, Borrmann classification, and pN stage were significantly correlated with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis, which was consistent with the previous study. In a matching analysis, we also suggested patients with stage III GC, L/IM location, stage pN2-3, and LN6(+) who underwent lymphadenectomy of LN14v had better survival than those without lymphadenectomy of LN14v.

At present, detection methods for lymph node micrometastasis mainly include serial sections, PCR, and immunohistochemistry. Although serial sections can significantly improve the detection of lymph node micrometastasis, it is difficult to promote it in clinical practice because the procedure is difficult and time-consuming. PCR is characterized by high sensitivity and specificity in detecting lymph node micrometastasis, but the requirement for fresh samples, the relatively complicated operation process, and high costs hinder its routine application in clinical pathological diagnosis. Compared with previous ones, the immunohistochemical method seems to be more useful in clinical work. Cytokeratin is one component of the cytoskeleton of epithelial cells and is not present in normal lymph nodes. Ishii et al. reported that using CK8/18 monoclonal antibody is one accurate method to detect lymph node micrometastasis in gastric cancer (29). In 35 patients in whom micrometastasis was detected in the lymph nodes, the positivity rate for CK8/18 monoclonal antibody testing was 11.4%. Our study suggests that the micrometastasis rate in LN14v is only 3.9%. The discrepancy may result from different intervals between serial sections, the harvested number of sections from different paraffin-embedded blocks, the included cases, and the focused lymph node.

Although the majority of studies have demonstrated that patients with lymph node micrometastasis have a worse prognosis, whether lymph node micrometastasis results in postoperative recurrence or metastasis and consequently affects patients’ prognosis remains controversial (30–32). Micrometastases can be promoted or inhibited by various factors, such as the host’s immune status, postoperative radiotherapy or chemotherapy, and tumor microenvironment. In accord with a previous study, we showed that micrometastatic status is a significant variable associated with patient survival. However, the difference between survival of patients with LN14v micrometastasis and that of LN14v metastasis was not obvious, which may result from the limited samples of positive micrometastatic cases. Additional multicenter, randomized trials are required to further investigate the extent of the impact of micrometastatic status on survival in GC. This study shows that micrometastatic status can be considered as one promising prognostic predictor in GC that can provide accurate pathological staging and treatment guidelines. We recommend patients with LN14v micrometastasis, or who are suspected of having LN14v micrometastasis, undergo LN14v dissection.

Our study has some limitations, mainly because it is a retrospective case-control study with a limited number of participants. Therefore, first, the small sample size may
produce selection bias. Second, in comparison with the previous study, different interval between serial sections and the harvested number of sections from different paraffin-embedded blocks might have an effect on the apparent micrometastatic rate in LN14v. Third, although the study revealed that LN14v metastatic or micrometastatic status was an independent risk factor associated with survival, it was not a randomized study and could not clarify the impact of LN14v dissection on survival for patients with LN14v metastasis or micrometastasis.

In conclusion, locally advanced gastric carcinoma, located in the lower or middle stomach area with LN6 metastasis, is likely to have metastasis or micrometastasis in LN14v, and lymphadenectomy of LN14v might improve the survival of patients with stage III GC, located in the lower or middle area, stage pN2-3, and LN 6(+) if serious complications of LN14v dissection can be sufficiently controlled. Micrometastatic status of LN14v can be considered as one promising prognostic predictor for GC.

**ETHICS STATEMENT**

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Ethics Committee of Liaoning Cancer Hospital. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

XX searched and reviewed the literature, designed the research, and wrote the paper. GZ designed the research. YZ performed statistical analysis. TZ reviewed the literature and interpreted the paper. ZZ participated in reviewing the literature, designing the research, revising and writing the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL**

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fonc.2021.707249/full#supplementary-material

**REFERENCES**

1. Liu YY, Fang WL, Wang F, Hsu JT, Tsai CY, Liu KH, et al. Does a Higher Cutoff Value of Lymph Node Retrieval Substantially Improve Survival in Patients With Advanced Gastric Cancer? Time to Embrace a New Digit. Oncologist (2017) 22(1):97–106. doi: 10.1634/theoncologist.2016-0239

2. Machlowska J, Baj J, Sitarz M, Maciejewski R, Sitarz R. Gastric Cancer: Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Classification, Genomic Characteristics and Treatment Strategies. Int J Mol Sci (2020) 21(11):4012. doi: 10.3390/ijms21114012

3. Songun I, Putter H, Kranenbarg EM, Sasako M, van de Velde CJ. Surgical Treatment of Gastric Cancer: 15-Year Follow-Up Results of the Randomised Nationwide Dutch D1D2 Trial. Lancet Oncol (2010) 11(5):439–49. doi: 10.1016/S1470-2045(10)70070-X

4. Smyth EC, Fassan M, Cunningham D, Allum WH, Okines AF, Lappin A, et al. Effect of Pathologic Tumor Response and Nodal Status on Survival in the Medical Research Council Adjuvant Gastric Infusional Chemotherapy Trial. J Clin Oncol (2016) 34(23):2721–7. doi: 10.1200/JCO.2015.65.7692

5. Hermann P, Hutter RVR, Sobin LH, Wittekind C. International Union Against Cancer. Classification of Isolated Tumor Cells and Micrometastasis. Cancer (1999) 86(12):2668–73. doi: 10.1002/(SICI)1097-0142(19991215)86:12<2668::AID-CNC2-R>3.0.CO;2-R

6. Khoo JJ, Ng CS, Sabaratnam S. Arulanantham S. Sentinel Node Biopsy Examination for Breast Cancer in a Routine Laboratory Practice: Results of a Pilot Study. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev (2016) 17(3):1149–55. doi: 10.7314/APJCP.2016.17.3.1149

7. Sanei MH, Tabatabae SA, Hashemi SM, Cherei A, Mahzouni P, Sanei R. Comparing the Efficacy of Routine H&E Staining and Cytokeratin Immunohistochemical Staining in Detection of Micro-Metastasis on Serial Sections of Dye-Mapped Sentinel Lymph Nodes in Colorectal Carcinoma. Adv BioMed Res (2016) 5:13. doi: 10.4103/2277-9175.175246

8. Sproll C, Frew A, Hassel A, Hobbins M, Aust V, Storb SH, et al. Immunohistochemical Detection of Lymph Node DTCs in Patients With Node-Negative HNSCC. Int J Cancer (2017) 140(9):2112–24. doi: 10.1002/ijc.36017

9. Yanagita S, Uenoosono Y, Arigami T, Kita Y, Mori S, Natsugoe S. Utility of the Sentinel Node Concept for Detection of Lateral Pelvic Lymph Node Metastasis in Lower Rectal Cancer. BMC Cancer (2017) 17(1):433. doi: 10.1186/s12885-017-3408-0

10. Lee CM, Cho JM, Jang YJ, Park SS, Park SH, Kim SJ, et al. Should Lymph Node Micrometastasis be Considered in Node Staging for Gastric Cancer?: The Significance of Lymph Node Micrometastasis in Gastric Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol (2015) 22(3):765–71. doi: 10.1245/s10434-014-4073-z

11. Lee T, Tanaka H, Ohira M, Okita Y, Yoshih M, Sakurai K, et al. Clinical Impact of the Extent of Lymph Node Micrometastasis in Undifferentiated-Type Early Gastric Cancer. Oncology (2014) 86(4):244–52. doi: 10.1159/000358803

12. Li Y, Du P, Zhou Y, Cheng Q, Chen D, Wang D, et al. Lymph Node Micrometastases is a Poor Prognostic Factor for Patients in Pn0 Gastric Cancer: A Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies. J Surg Res (2014) 191(2):413–22. doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2014.05.088

13. Mpallas KD, Lagopoulou VI, Kamparoudis AG. Prognostic Significance of Solitary Lymphnode Metastasis and Micrometastasis in Gastric Cancer. Front Surg (2018) 5:63. doi: 10.3389/fsurg.2018.00063

14. Fazl Z, Hayashi T, Yoshikawa T. Lymph Node Dissection for Gastric Cancer: Establishment of D2 and the Current Position of Splenectomy in Europe and Japan. Eur J Surg Oncol (2021) S0748-7983(21)00447-9. doi: 10.1016/j.ejso.2021.04.019

15. Liang H, Deng J. Evaluation of Rational Extent Lymphadenectomy for Local Advanced Gastric Cancer. Chin J Cancer Res (2016) 28(4):397–403. doi: 10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604.2016.04.02

16. Wang D, Smit JK, Zwaan E, Muijs CT, Groen H, Hollema H, et al. Neoadjuvant Therapy Reduces the Incidence of Nodal Micrometastases in Esophageal Adenocarcinoma. Am J Surg (2013) 206(5):732–8. doi: 10.1016/j.amjsurg.2013.03.004

17. Eom BW, Joo J, Kim YW, Reim D, Park JY, Yoon HM, et al. Improved Survival After Adding Dissection of the Superior Mesenteric Vein Lymph Node (14v) to Standard D2 Gastrectomy for Advanced Distal Gastric Cancer. Surgery (2014) 155(3):408–16. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2013.08.019

18. Zhang J, Zou S, Luo R, Zhu Z, Wang D, Xu H, et al. Is it Worthy of Adding Dissection of the Superior Mesenteric Vein Lymph Node (14v) to Standard D2 Gastrectomy for Distal Gastric Cancers With No. 6 Lymph Node Metastasis? Clin Transl Oncol (2019) 21(12):1699–706. doi: 10.1007/s12794-019-02103-0

19. Japanese Gastric Cancer A. Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma - 2nd English Edition. Gastric Cancer (1998) 1(1):10–24. doi: 10.1007/PL00011681

20. Japanese Gastric Cancer A. Japanese Gastric Cancer Treatment Guidelines 2010 (Ver. 3). Gastric Cancer (2011) 14(2):113–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jtsg.2011.04.002-4

**DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT**

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.
21. Griniatsos J, Moris D, Spartalis E, Gakiopoulou H, Karavokyros I, Apostolou K, et al. Towards a Tailored Lymphadenectomy for Gastric Cancer Based on the Correlation Between the Primary Tumor Location and the First Lymphatic Drain Basin: Preliminary Data. *J BUON* (2017) 22(5):1137–43.

22. Lee JH, Lee HJ, Kong SH, Park DJ, Lee HS, Kim WH, et al. Analysis of the Lymphatic Stream to Predict Sentinel Nodes in Gastric Cancer Patients. *Ann Surg Oncol* (2014) 21(4):1090–8. doi: 10.1245/s10434-013-3392-9

23. Saito R, Kawaguchi Y, Akaike H, Shiraishi K, Maruyama S, Shimizu H, et al. Prognostic Significance of Lymph Node Dissection Along the Upper-Third-Stomach in Patients With Lower-Third Gastric Cancer. *Anticancer Res* (2019) 39(3):1485–9. doi: 10.21873/anticancer.13266

24. Zhao B, Mei D, Zhang J, Luo R, Lu H, Xu H, et al. Impact of Skip Lymph Node Metastasis on the Prognosis of Gastric Cancer Patients Who Underwent Curative Gastrectomy. *J BUON* (2019) 24(2):693–700.

25. Xu X, Zheng G, Zhang T, Zhao Y, Zheng Z. Is Pathologic Tumor Regression Grade After Neo-Adjuvant Chemotherapy a Promising Prognostic Indicator for Patients With Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer? A Cohort Study Evaluating Tumor Regression Response. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* (2019) 84(3):635–46. doi: 10.1007/s00280-019-03893-4

26. An JY, Pak KH, Inaba K, Cheong JH, Hyung WJ, Noh SH. Relevance of Lymph Node Metastasis Along the Superior Mesenteric Vein in Gastric Cancer. *Br J Surg* (2011) 98(5):667–72. doi: 10.1002/bjs.7414

27. Masuda TA, Sakaguchi Y, Toh Y, Aoki Y, Harimoto N, Taomoto J, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Gastric Cancer With Metastasis to the Lymph Node Along the Superior Mesenteric Vein (14v). *Dig Surg* (2008) 25(3):351–8. doi: 10.1159/000165382

28. Sasako M, McCulloch P, Kinoshita T, Maruyama K. New Method to Evaluate the Therapeutic Value of Lymph Node Dissection for Gastric Cancer. *Br J Surg* (1995) 82(3):346–51. doi: 10.1002/bjs.1800820321

29. Ishii K, Kinami S, Funaki K, Fujita H, Ninomiya I, Fushida S, et al. Detection of Sentinel and Non-Sentinel Lymph Node Micrometastases by Complete Serial Sectioning and Immunohistochemical Analysis for Gastric Cancer. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2008) 27:7. doi: 10.1186/1756-9966-27-7

30. Blouhos K, Boulas KA, Tsalis K, Baretas N, Aftzoglou M, Hatzigeorgiadis A. Recurrence of a P2n0cm0 Lower Third Gastric Cancer With No. 6 Lymph Node Micrometastasis After R0 Extended Surgery. Should Adjuvant Therapy be Performed in Conventionally Node-Negative But Micrometastasis-Positive P2 Gastric Cancer? *J Gastrointest Cancer* (2017) 48(1):89–93. doi: 10.1007/s12029-016-9811-y

31. Li Y, Wang D, Li Y, Liu X, Chen D, Yuan C, et al. Clinical Significance of Lymph Node Micrometastasis in Pn0 Gastric Cancer Patients. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* (2021) 2021:6854646. doi: 10.1155/2021/6854646

32. Yamamoto A, Shoda K, Kawaguchi Y, Akaike H, Saito R, Ashizawa N, et al. Prognostic Impact of Lymph Node Micrometastasis in Patients With Gastric Cancer. *Surg Today* (2021). doi: 10.1007/s00595-021-02302-9

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher’s Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2021 Xu, Zheng, Zhao and Zheng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.