Hospitalizations and Mortality of Individuals with Dementia: Evidence from Czech National Registers
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Abstract
Background: Facing an increasing prevalence of dementia, the Czech Republic is developing a new nationwide strategy for the management and prevention of dementia. Lack of evidence about characteristics of individuals with dementia in the country is a major obstacle.
Objective: The study aimed to 1) characterize individuals with dementia, 2) compare their mortality with the general population, and 3) analyze differences in survival between different dementia disorders.
Methods: The study capitalizes on two nationwide registers in the Czech Republic, from which information about individuals who were hospitalized with dementia or died from it between 1994 and 2014 was retrieved. Standardized intensity of hospitalizations was calculated for each year, mortality was studied using standardized mortality ratio, life-tables, Kaplan-Mayer curves, and Cox proportional hazard models.
Results: Standardized intensity of hospitalizations for dementia increased more than 3 times from 1994 to 2014. Standardized mortality ratio was 3.03 (95% confidence interval 2.97–3.08). One-year survival rate was 45% and five-year survival rate 16%. Vascular dementia was the most common type of dementia disorders and was associated with higher hazard of death than Alzheimer’s disease, even after adjusting for sociodemographic and clinical covariates (hazard ratio 1.04; 95% confidence interval 1.02–1.05).
Conclusion: The study provides estimates on demographic characteristics and mortality of the Czech hospitalized dementia population, which have not been so far available and which are unique also in the context of the entire region of Central and Eastern Europe.
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INTRODUCTION

The Czech population has been significantly aging [1]. The drastic improvement in health following the fall of communism in 1989 led to an increase in life expectancy in men from 68 to 76 years and in women from 75 to 82 years between 1990 and 2017 [2]. The share of people above 65 years of age grew from 13% to 19% in the same period [2]. As a result, the Czech Republic is facing challenges of increasing prevalence of age-related disorders, such as dementia.
The large associated costs, driven mainly by informal caregiving and social care, create a pressure on household as well as public budgets [3, 4]. For the Czech Republic, Broulikova and colleagues estimated that in 2017 the lifetime costs per a woman developing dementia at the age of 70 years amounted to over 200,000 Euro, out of which over 90% was consumed by informal care. The costs are decreasing with age and are generally lower for men due to a shorter life expectancy [5]. To put this burden in the context of Czech economic situation, the average annual salary amounted to 13,450 Euro in 2017 [6]. The World Health Organization (WHO) claims dementia a public health priority and encourages individual countries to formulate detailed policies addressing the complex needs of this population and endorsing prevention [3, 7]. The Czech Republic recently joined this initiative, developing a new national strategy for dementia.

An important obstacle in creating such a public policy plan is a lack of epidemiological evidence. Some countries such as Sweden, Norway, Denmark, France, Spain, Austria, and South Korea [8–14] maintain national registers collecting and regularly analyzing data about people diagnosed with dementia that serve as a basis for policy and system adjustment. Central and Eastern Europe (CEE) countries, including the Czech Republic, do not possess such a systematic source of data that is specific for dementia [15]. A need to improve epidemiological evidence about dementia in CEE has been explicitly formulated in literature [16]. The goal of the present study is to bridge the information gap surrounding dementia in the Czech Republic. Capitalizing on data from two nationwide administrative health registers collected during a twenty-one-year period, we aimed to 1) characterize individuals who were either hospitalized with dementia or had dementia diagnosis as a death cause in their death certificate, 2) compare their mortality with the general population, and 3) study differences in survival between different dementia disorders among those who were hospitalized with dementia diagnosis.

METHODS

Source of data

We analyzed data spanning the period from January 1994 until December 2014 retrieved from two national registers maintained by the Czech Institute of Health Information and Statistics. First, the National Register of Hospitalized Patients (NRHP) provided data about all hospitalizations, registered at discharge in all Czech hospitals. The record of each hospitalization includes the main diagnosis, up to five additional diagnoses, and sociodemographic characteristics of the patient. Second, the National Register of Deaths (NRD) encompassed all deaths in the country and their causes. Both registers use the International Classification of Diseases 10 (ICD 10) coding [17]. The registers were merged using a unique personal identifier, with the data having been pseudoanonymized beforehand. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee at the National Institute of Mental Health in Kiceany, Czech Republic.

Dementia

Dementia was identified in the NRHP or NRD if Alzheimer’s disease (AD; ICD 10 code G30 or F00), vascular dementia (VaD; F01), unspecified dementia (F03), dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB; G31.8), Parkinson’s disease with dementia (PDD; F02.3), and frontotemporal dementia (FTD; G31.0) appeared as the main or additional diagnosis. In case different types of dementia disorders appeared during one hospitalization at the same time, we kept the one listed on the higher position (the highest position is the main diagnoses, followed by additional diagnosis 1, additional diagnosis 2, etc.). However, if unspecified dementia appeared on a higher position than other dementia diagnosis, we kept the one on the lower position because it is more specific. Fifteen percent of people in our sample were diagnosed with two or more different dementia disorders during the observed period (data not presented in tables). As our method on defining diagnoses is arbitrary, we performed three sensitivity analyses to test the robustness of our approach: First, we introduced a mixed dementia category for those who obtained multiple dementia diagnoses during their first hospitalization (sensitivity analysis 1). Second, we considered the highest dementia diagnosis even if it was unspecified dementia (sensitivity analysis 2). Third, we excluded all people who were given multiple dementia diagnoses during the observed period (sensitivity analysis 3).

Analytical samples

We conducted the main analyses using four different samples, which we refer to as “hospitalizations”, “hospitalized cohort”, “deceased cohort”, and “2014
hospitalizations contains hospitalizations where dementia appears as a diagnosis; i.e., readmissions of the same individual are included. The hospitalized cohort contains incident cases of dementia. The deceased cohort contains individuals with dementia who died during the observed period. The 2014 cohort contains individuals who have ever been diagnosed with dementia and lived (and may have died) at some point in 2014.

The samples were arrived at as follows: Merging the NRHP (49,148,789 observations) with the NRD (2,281,623), we identified 193,226 individuals with dementia diagnosis either obtained during hospitalization in NRHP or filled out as a death cause in NRD. Then we searched for all their hospitalizations (1,665,496) to collect data about comorbidities. After retrieving the information about comorbidities, we retained 345,462 observations consisting of hospitalizations including dementia as diagnosis and all hospitalizations of individuals identified only in NRD. Subsequently, observations with missing information about sex (8) or incorrect date of death (1,186) were deleted. To retain ages typical for dementia, we further restricted this intermediate dataset to individuals aged at least 65 years at their first hospitalization for dementia and not more than 100 years at the end of follow-up or their death (29,993 observations excluded).

The resulting dataset that counted 314,335 observations was used to derive the deceased cohort (145,293; keeping those who died), the 2014 cohort (43,019; those who lived in 2014), and hospitalizations (293,508; hospitalizations where dementia appears as a diagnosis). Finally, the hospitalized cohort was derived from hospitalizations as follows: As the hospitalized cohort contains only incident cases, we considered first five years in our data (1994-1998) as a wash out period. We removed (31,333) observations of 22,160 individuals who were first hospitalized with dementia diagnosis before 1999. Then, only the first hospitalization of each individual remaining in the sample was preserved (150,311). Figure 1 depicts the process of samples creation.

**Covariates**

Following characteristics were obtained from the NRHP about the hospitalized cohort at the time of their first hospitalization: age, sex (men versus women), civil status (partner versus no partner versus unknown), type of facility (general hospital versus long term care facility versus psychiatric hospital versus other), reason for admission (treatment versus diagnosis versus other), care plans after the discharge (none versus outpatient versus institutional versus unknown), and region of the hospital. In addition, we created a Charlson comorbidity index (CCI) [18], which is a predictor of mortality [19] and an indicator of overall comorbidity used for patients with dementia [20]. The CCI is defined as a sum of 17 diseases. The disease was counted if the respective ICD 10 code, used according to Sundararajan et al. [21], appeared at least once as the main or additional diagnosis in the NRHP before the diagnosis of dementia. Every individual received automatically 1 point for the diagnosis of dementia. The diseases were weighted according to a previously established method [18]. We further report age at death and the most prevalent causes of death, coded according to ICD 10. These characteristics of the deceased cohort were retrieved from the NRD.

**Statistical analysis**

**Aim 1: Characteristics of individuals with dementia**

First, we present standardized intensity of hospitalizations for dementia. We analyzed only AD, VaD, and unspecified dementia as other types of dementia disorders appeared rarely. Dividing the number of individuals hospitalized with dementia by the mid-year population of the Czech Republic in each year, the intensity of hospitalization was calculated for each five-year age interval (65–69, 70–74, 75–79, 80–84, 85–89, 90–94, 95+) according to sex. Next, it was multiplied by the European standard population (EUROSTAT) and summed up for all age groups, giving the final standardized intensity of hospitalizations per 100,000 inhabitants. We also report the figure per dementia disorder.

Second, we present descriptive characteristics of the hospitalized cohort as mean ± standard deviation (SD) for continuous variables with normal distribution, median and interquartile range (IQR) for skewed continuous variables and frequency (n; %) for categorical variable. We compared the characteristics between types of dementia disorders (AD, VaD, unspecified dementia, DLB, PDD, and FTD), using analysis of variance (ANOVA) for continuous variables with normal distribution, Kruskal-Wallis test for skewed continuous variables and chi-square test for categorical ones. Third, we present descriptive
Aim 2: Comparison of mortality of dementia patients with the general population

We calculated the standardized mortality ratio (SMR) for the year 2014, using the 2014 cohort. This measure compares the mortality in people with dementia with the mortality observed in the general population, adjusting for age and sex. We used five-year age intervals (65–69, 70–74, 75–79, 80–84, 85–89, 90–94, 95–100) and two sex categories. The number of deaths and the Czech mid-year population in 2014 was retrieved from the Czech Statistical Office [22]. We provide SMR only for the most recent year as the potential developments in mortality patterns would be blurred by changes in diagnostic practices that likely occurred during the observed period.

Aim 3: Differences in survival between different dementia disorders

We further analyzed survival of the hospitalized cohort. We used life tables for the analysis of survival rates and Kaplan–Meier curves to estimate median survival time, with log-rank tests assessing differences between types of dementia disorders. We employed Cox proportional hazards regression models to estimate hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the associations of type of dementia disorder (AD, VaD, unspecified, DLB, PDD, and FTD) with all-cause mortality. The models were adjusted for age, sex, and CCI (Model 1) and also for type of facility, civil status, reason for admission,
planned care, and region (Model 2). These covariates were chosen based on literature as sociodemographic and clinical characteristics associated with mortality in people with dementia [23–27]. The individuals in the hospitalized cohort were followed from the discharge from their first hospitalization for dementia until 31 December 2014 or death, whichever came first. We used the date of discharge rather than date of admission to be in line with international register-based studies on this topic [23–27] and because it is a more reliable information with no missing values.

**RESULTS**

During the observed period, 293,508 hospitalizations for dementia occurred. The standardized intensity of dementia hospitalizations increased more than three times from 1994 to 2014, adjusting for age in 5-year age intervals, and for sex. At the beginning of the follow-up period in 1994, it was similar for women (94 per 100,000 inhabitants) and men (93 per 100,000 inhabitants); however, the intensity of hospitalizations increased until 2014 to a greater extent in women (328 per 100,000 inhabitants) than men (296 per 100,000 inhabitants). The most common type of dementia disorder was VaD throughout the whole follow-up period, followed by AD and then unspecified dementia. The intensity of hospitalizations for VaD increased 2.5 times during the follow-up (from 51 to 128 hospitalizations per 100,000 inhabitants), while for AD 4.5 times (from 26 to 118 hospitalizations per 100,000 inhabitants) and for unspecified dementia even 4.6 times (from 16 to 74 hospitalizations per 100,000 inhabitants). The greatest intensity of hospitalization was in the age group 80–84, then 75–79 and 85–89, while the lowest were observed in the 95+, 65–69, then 70–74 and 90–94 categories. Figure 2 depicts the changes in standardized intensity of hospitalization for different diagnoses and sex.

Table 1 presents characteristics of the hospitalized cohort. The majority of them were women (66%) and they were on average 81 years old when first hospitalized for dementia. The most common type of dementia disorder was VaD (49%), followed by AD (27%), and unspecified dementia (22%). Other dementia diagnoses were rare (PDD 0.9%; DLB 0.7%; FTD 0.6). The group with DLB was the youngest one and the group with AD consisted of most women. Table 2 reports results concerning deaths within the deceased cohort. The mean age at death ranged from 79.2 (76.9) in women (men) with DLB to 83.9 (81.0) years in individuals with unspecified dementia. The most frequent cause of death was chronic ischemic heart disease (15%) followed by atherosclerosis (13%). Only 11% of the deceased cohort had dementia diagnosis in their death certificate, of whom almost half had AD (47%).

People with dementia demonstrated three times higher mortality than general population, adjusting for age and sex (SMR = 3.03; 95% CI 2.97–3.08). Figure 3 compares proportions of deaths in the 2014 cohort and the general 2014 population for five-year age intervals. Two-sample z-test for the difference between proportions shows significantly higher proportions of deaths in the study cohort against the general population in age groups over 80 years.

The median survival time of the hospitalized cohort was 264 days (95% CI 259–269) while the median follow-up time reached 203 days (range 0 to 16 years, IQR 2.2 years; reversed Kaplan-Meier 2,056 days). During the whole follow-up period, 120,386 individuals (80%) died. Further, one-year survival rate for all dementia disorders together was 45%
and five-year survival rate 16% (Table 3). Individuals with DLB and FTD had the highest survival rates (DLB: one-year 65% and five-year 36%; FTD: one-year 66% and five-year 33%), while those with VaD had the lowest survival rates (one-year 42% and five-year 14%). DLB patients survived the longest and those with VaD the shortest; using log-rank test, we found the differences between the dementia disorders statistically significant ($p < 0.001$).

Individuals with VaD and unspecified dementia had higher hazards of death in comparison to those with AD (VaD: HR 1.16; 95% CI 1.14–1.18; unspecified dementia: HR 1.07; 95% CI 1.05–1.09; Table 3, Model 0). On the contrary, DLB as well as FTD patients had lower hazards of death than AD (DLB: HR 0.62; 95% CI 0.58–0.67; FTD: HR 0.63; 95% CI 0.58–0.69). There was no difference in risk of death between PDD and AD. Adjustment for covariates did not change the associations to a great extent, except for HR of unspecified dementia that became close to unity and was not longer greater than AD (Table 3, Model 1 and 2). These results did not greatly differ in sensitivity analyses (Table 4). Those with mixed dementia had higher risk of death than AD patients (fully adjusted HR 1.21; 95% CI 1.17–1.26, sensitivity analysis 1).

**DISCUSSION**

In this nationwide, longitudinal, register-based study encompassing 150,000 people with dementia over the period of 21 years, we found that the intensity of dementia hospitalizations more than tripled

| Characteristic | Value |
|---------------|-------|
| Age at death, mean ± SD for men (men) | 83.5 ± 6.5 (80.7 ± 6.7) AD 82.2 ± 6.4 (80.2 ± 6.5) VaD 83.9 ± 6.4 (81.0 ± 6.7) Unspecified 84.1 ± 6.5 (80.9 ± 6.9) PDD 80.8 ± 6.4 (78.9 ± 5.9) DLB 79.2 ± 7.9 (76.9 ± 6.6) FTD 81.1 ± 7.3 (77.5 ± 7.0) |
| Chronic ischemic heart disease | 21,135 (14.5) |
| Atherosclerosis | 18,998 (13.1) |
| Cerebral atherosclerosis | 10,748 (7.4) |
| Stroke | 10,185 (7.0) |
| Dementia | 16,230 (11.2) |
| Other | 67,997 (46.8) |
| SD, standard deviation; AD, Alzheimer’s disease; VaD, vascular dementia; PDD, Parkinson’s disease with dementia; DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; FTD, frontotemporal dementia. |
from 1994 to 2014. Individuals with dementia had three times the mortality than general population. VaD was the most prevalent type of dementia disorder, occurring even more frequently than AD, and was associated with a higher risk of death when compared to AD. The unusually high share of VaD patients is probably related to the common overdiagnosis of vascular dementia versus other causes of dementia. This study is important in the context of the ongoing development of the new national strategy for dementia in the Czech Republic as it contributes to filling the knowledge gap about epidemiological situation surrounding dementia.

The growing intensity of dementia hospitalizations cannot be explained by population aging, because our results are adjusted for age. It might be explained by either an increase in the prevalence of dementia or its more frequent detection. Another contributing factor is a slightly growing readmission rate (data not presented in tables). Higher prevalence may be due to increased incidence of dementia or improved survival of affected individuals. Several authors have recently pointed toward declining incidence of dementia in the USA and Western Europe, while evidence about countries in CEE has been largely lacking [28, 29]. As Seblova et al. recently suggested that the age-specific
its better detection and therefore to more frequent treatment rates. In addition, we cannot exclude a possibility that the practice of admitting people with dementia to the hospital had changed during the studied years and that specifically in the recent years patients with dementia were more likely to be admitted than in the past.

We found that mortality of people with dementia exceeds three times the mortality of the general population, which is in line with findings from other countries [34]. The mortality nevertheless appears to be larger than in Western European countries—while we found the survival rate one year after first hospitalization to be 45% and five years after it 16%, a Dutch register-based study of a similar cohort of inpatients reported 57% and 29%, respectively [34]. This may follow from differences in dementia diagnosis and treatment. As shown by the Czech Institute of Health Information and Statistics and the Czech Alzheimer Society [33], most dementia diagnoses in the Czech Republic are established only during the individual’s death. Similarly, a case study from a Czech

prevalence of cognitive impairment has declined during the past decade in the Czech Republic [30], it may be speculated that the incidence of dementia may have been declining in a CEE country as well. Decreasing mortality of individuals with dementia has been observed in previous studies from other countries [31].

In our study, we observed that the age of death has been gradually increasing during the studied period from 81 years in 1994 to 83.7 years in 2014 (data not presented in tables). The reason for the increased intensity of hospitalizations is not entirely clear, but we speculate that the prevalence of dementia may have risen due to improved survival of affected individuals. However, improved detection and diagnostics of dementia is another likely explanation of the results. It is estimated that the share of people with dementia receiving treatment in the Czech Republic increased from 9% in 2004 [32] to 26% in 2012 [33]. We speculate that increased awareness of the dementia syndrome among physicians contributed to its better detection and therefore to more frequent hospitalizations.

| Table 4 |
| Sensitivity analyses | AD | VaD | Unspecified | DLB | PDD | FTD | Mixed |
|----------------------|----|-----|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|
| Sensitivity analysis 1 | Model 0 | Reference | 1.16 | 1.06 | 0.61 | 0.94 | 0.62 | 1.25 |
|                      |      |       | (1.14–1.17)** | (1.04–1.08)** | (0.56–0.66)** | (0.88–1.00) | (0.56–0.67)** | (1.21–1.29)** |
|                      | Model 1 |       | 1.04 | 0.98 | 0.63 | 0.95 | 0.61 | 1.21 |
|                      |      |       | (1.03–1.06)** | (0.96–1.00)** | (0.58–0.69)** | (0.89–1.02) | (0.56–0.67)** | (1.17–1.25)** |
|                      | Model 2 |       | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.65 | 0.97 | 0.61 | 1.21 |
|                      |      |       | (1.03–1.06)** | (0.97–1.01) | (0.60–0.70)** | (0.91–1.04) | (0.56–0.66)** | (1.17–1.26)** |
| Sensitivity analysis 2 | Model 0 |       | 1.16 | 1.07 | 0.62 | 0.95 | 0.63 | / |
|                      |      |       | (1.14–1.18)** | (1.05–1.09)** | (0.58–0.67)** | (0.89–1.01) | (0.58–0.69)** | / |
|                      | Model 1 |       | 1.04 | 0.99 | 0.65 | 0.96 | 0.63 | / |
|                      |      |       | (1.03–1.06)** | (0.97–1.01) | (0.60–0.70)** | (0.90–1.03) | (0.58–0.68)** | / |
|                      | Model 2 |       | 1.04 | 1.00 | 0.66 | 0.98 | 0.62 | / |
|                      |      |       | (1.03–1.06)** | (0.98–1.02) | (0.61–0.72)** | (0.92–1.05) | (0.57–0.68)** | / |
| Sensitivity analysis 3 | Model 0 |       | 1.18 | 1.10 | 0.61 | 0.90 | 0.62 | / |
|                      |      |       | (1.16–1.20)** | (1.08–1.12)** | (0.56–0.67)** | (0.83–0.97) | (0.56–0.68)** | / |
|                      | Model 1 |       | 1.05 | 1.01 | 0.63 | 0.91 | 0.62 | / |
|                      |      |       | (1.04–1.07)** | (0.99–1.03) | (0.58–0.69)** | (0.84–0.98) | (0.57–0.68)** | / |
|                      | Model 2 |       | 1.06 | 1.02 | 0.65 | 0.93 | 0.62 | / |
|                      |      |       | (1.04–1.07)** | (1.01–1.04)** | (0.59–0.71)** | (0.86–1.00) | (0.56–0.68)** | / |

Results are hazard ratios with 95% confidence intervals for the risk of all-cause mortality in individuals with different dementia disorders. AD, Alzheimer’s disease; VaD, vascular dementia; DLB, dementia with Lewy bodies; PDD, Parkinson’s disease dementia; FTD, frontotemporal dementia; mixed, mixed dementia. *p<0.05; **p<0.001. Model 0: not adjusted; Model 1: adjusted for age, sex and Charlson comorbidity index; Model 2: adjusted for age, sex, Charlson comorbidity index, type of facility, region, civil status, reason for admission and planned care. Sensitivity analysis 1: category of mixed dementia was assigned to those who obtained multiple dementia diagnoses during their first hospitalization (AD: n = 39,167; VaD: n = 72,438; PDD: n = 1,258; FTD: n = 828; DLB: n = 918; unspecified: n = 31,744; mixed: n = 3,958); Sensitivity analysis 2: the highest dementia diagnosis was considered even if it was unspecified dementia (AD: n = 39,901; VaD: n = 74,166; PDD: n = 1,302; FTD: n = 866; DLB: n = 977; unspecified: n = 33,099); Sensitivity analysis 3: all people who have been assigned multiple dementia diagnoses during the observed period were excluded (AD: n = 34,071; VaD: n = 65,228; PDD: n = 1,076; FTD: n = 696; DLB: n = 752; unspecified: n = 26,073).
psychiatric hospital reports that more than a half of the patients admitted for hospitalization had not been given a dementia diagnosis before the hospitalization despite already being in a progressed stage of the disease [35]. The lower mortality of dementia patients in Western Europe may then follow from the fact that their hospitalization starts when their health condition is still better.

Previous literature suggested that AD has the slowest course of all dementia types [36]. As in a study by Garcia-Ptacek and colleagues, the lower risk of mortality of AD patients relative to those with VaD persisted even when sociodemographic and clinical covariates were accounted for [36]. Our study supports these findings as well, however, we observed that individuals with DLB and FTD have lower risk of death than AD, which contradicts results by Garcia-Ptacek. Different diagnostic and coding practices may likely explain these results. Our study is in accord with international evidence that the most common causes of death of individuals with dementia are cardiovascular diseases and that only a minority of patients have a record of dementia on a death certificate [24]. However, the fact that most people with dementia were diagnosed with VaD, while the AD diagnosis appeared only in about a quarter of cases, contradicts the foreign evidence, as most studies show that AD is the most common type of dementia [13, 23, 37]. This may be because individuals with VaD are possibly overrepresented in our cohort with respect to those with AD for the following two reasons. First, individuals with VaD might get hospitalized more frequently because they are affected by more comorbidities [38]. Second, VaD may be more easily diagnosed as a consequence of clear cerebrovascular diseases, while signs of AD may be still falsely considered as normal consequences of aging by physicians not specialized in diagnosing dementia. Nevertheless, it cannot be ruled out that this is a true finding and that VaD was the most common dementia disorder in the Czech Republic between 1994 and 2014. The high occurrence of VaD may then be a consequence of a high exposure of the population to cardiovascular risk factors over the life-course of an individual. CEE has been well-known for high exposure to cardiovascular risks during the second part of the 20th century [39, 40]. However, we noted a trend that the intensity of hospitalizations for AD has increased to a greater extent than for VaD and we may expect that AD will soon become the most frequent type of dementia disorders.

Future research should focus on two understudied groups of people with dementia that are not included in our study. First, there are people with dementia who receive only primary care and outpatient specialist care. The Institute of Health Information and Statistics has recently established a new Register of Reimbursed Health Services, which includes all treatment and medication reimbursed by a health care insurer. While we do not have access to this register, preliminary (unpublished) data shows that, in 2015, health care insurers reimbursed inpatient and outpatient treatment of dementia or dementia medication for about 92,480 individuals older than 50 years. In comparison, our 2014 cohort (including only people between 65 and 100 years of age) counts 41,913 individuals. The new register will hopefully allow future research of the Czech dementia population to incorporate outpatient cases, understand the difference in characteristics and mortality between individuals receiving outpatient and inpatient care, and validate the accuracy of comorbidities listed in the NRHP. Second, there are people with undetected dementia, about which we have currently no information. Previous study estimated that barely a half of dementia cases are detected by the Swedish registers of inpatient and outpatient care and causes of death [41]. Other analyses report likelihood of dementia detection ranging between 26% and 55% in patient registers and between 28% and 53% in death registers [42–45].

This study has several limitations related to the source of data used for this study and to the low accuracy in diagnosing dementia. It is likely that there is a variation to what degree dementia is actually considered at hospitalizations and death certificates, and also that mild cases are not detected. The results are probably subject to selection bias with respect to the entire Czech dementia population. People with dementia who have been hospitalized presumably show higher mortality than those who have not. Further, validation of the diagnoses of dementia has not been performed. Studies from other countries suggest that misclassification of different dementia disorders in national patient registers is common [41]. This likely holds for our study as well, given the fact that 15% of people in our sample were diagnosed with two or more different dementia disorders during the observed period (data not presented in tables). The high misclassification of dementia disorders in the present study may underestimate clinical differences between different types of dementia that were found more pronounced in previous studies [26, 38, 46].
The present study has nevertheless also many strengths. It encompasses all dementia hospitalizations and registered deaths in the Czech Republic in 21 years. It provides information about the characteristics and mortality of the Czech dementia population, distinguishing between different types of dementia disorders. To the best of our knowledge, it is the very first study of its kind for a CEE country. These countries have been largely underrepresented in studies on mental disorders [47]; however, existing evidence shows that older adults in CEE have a higher burden of brain disorders compared to their counterparts in Western Europe and Scandinavia [48–50]. We believe that the study will prove exceptionally useful in the development of Czech health and social care for dementia and that it will be of great value also to other post-communist CEE countries.
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