The Persistence of Fluoroquinolone-Resistant *Campylobacter* in Poultry Production
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**BACKGROUND:** The use of antibiotics in food animal production has been associated with antibiotic-resistant infections in humans. In 2005, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) banned fluoroquinolone use in U.S. poultry production in order to reduce the prevalence of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter*. Little is known about the potential efficacy of this policy.

**OBJECTIVES:** Our primary objective was to follow temporal changes in the prevalence of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* among poultry products from two conventional producers who announced their cessation of fluoroquinolone use in 2002 (3 years before the FDA’s ban). Our secondary objective was to compare, over time, the prevalence of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* in conventional poultry products to those from producers who claim to use no antibiotics.

**METHODS:** We collected poultry samples from two conventional producers and three antibiotic-free producers over the course of 20 weeks in 2004 (*n* = 198) and 15 weeks in 2006 (*n* = 210). We compared the rates of fluoroquinolone resistance among *Campylobacter* isolates from the different producers.

**RESULTS:** We found no significant change in the proportion of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates from the two conventional producers over the study period. In addition, *Campylobacter* strains from the two conventional producers were significantly more likely to be fluoroquinolone resistant than those from the antibiotic-free producers.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The results from this study indicate that fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* may be persistent contaminants of poultry products even after on-farm fluoroquinolone use has ceased. The FDA’s ban on fluoroquinolones in poultry production may be insufficient to reduce resistant *Campylobacter* in poultry products.
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Resistance to antimicrobials is a growing crisis in clinical medicine, and it is generally recognized that misuse and overuse in any sector contributes to this burden. Antimicrobial use in food animal production is an area of concern because the on-farm selection of antimicrobial-resistant zoonotic pathogens can lead to human exposure and infection via various pathways, including meat and poultry products. Fluoroquinolone use in poultry production selects for fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* populations and is associated with an increase in fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* infections in humans via poultry exposure (Gupta et al. 2004, 2005).

*Campylobacter* is an important foodborne zoonotic pathogen causing enteritis and diarrhea (campylobacteriosis). *Campylobacter* infection is also associated with a number of rare neuropathologic sequelae, including Guillain-Barré syndrome (Hughes et al. 1999). In the United States, *Campylobacter* is the most common cause of bacterial diarrhea, with over a million people estimated to be affected annually [Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 2005]. Campylobacteriosis is typically self-limiting, with symptoms rarely lasting more than 10 days (Butzler 2004; CDC 2005); however, it can be fatal in more vulnerable populations (Djureric et al. 1996; Manfredi et al. 1999; Tee and Mijch 1998).

Indeed, antimicrobial therapy is essential for elderly, pregnant, and immunocompromised patients for whom hydration and electrolyte maintenance may be insufficient (Allos 2001). Until recently, fluoroquinolones were regularly prescribed for those requiring antimicrobial therapy. However, a sharp increase in the prevalence of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter*, shown to occur in parallel with the use of fluoroquinolones in U.S. poultry production, has limited fluoroquinolones’ effectiveness in the clinical setting (Allos 2001; Collignon 2005; Gupta et al. 2004). Immunocompromised patients with *Campylobacter* bacteremia often require a prolonged course of multiantimicrobial therapy (Tee and Mijch 1998); therefore, the loss of fluoroquinolones as an effective therapeutic has become a threat to these patients.

Based on a risk assessment of the contribution of fluoroquinolone use in poultry production to fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* infections in humans, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) suspended all fluoroquinolone use in poultry production as of 12 September 2005 (FDA 2000). The goal of this policy is to eliminate on-farm selection of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* and thereby reduce human exposure via food to these organisms. However, this policy’s efficacy may be limited by stable reservoirs of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* strains in and around poultry production facilities. These reservoirs can serve to sustain resistant *Campylobacter* in poultry environments, even after the cessation of on-farm fluoroquinolone use (Bull et al. 2006; Moore et al. 2006). Furthermore, some studies indicate that fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* isolates may actually be more fit than the wild-type with respect to poultry colonization (Zhang et al. 2006). Therefore, to better assess this policy’s efficacy, it is essential to monitor the prevalence of resistant strains in poultry flocks, production facilities, consumer poultry products, and human infections. If resistant strains continue to persist in spite of the fluoroquinolone ban, it may be necessary to implement other measures in order to reduce fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* populations.

Previously, we reported that poultry products from two conventional producers were more likely to be contaminated with fluoroquinolone-resistant *Campylobacter* than products from producers who claimed to use no antibiotics (Price et al. 2005), even though both conventional producers had announced discontinuation of fluoroquinolone use 1 year before the study. Because of the relatively short period of time between this announcement and our analysis, we undertook the current study of products for an additional 3 years (i.e., 4 years beyond the point at which these two companies committed to stop using fluoroquinolones).

**Methods**

**Poultry producers.** We included products from five different poultry producers in the present study: A) Bell & Evans (Fredericksburg, PA);...
B) Murray’s (South Fallsburg, NY); C) Eberly (Stevens, PA); D) Perdue (Salisbury, MD); and E) Tyson (Springdale, AR). Producers A–C claim that their chickens are raised without any antibiotics, including fluoroquinolones. We refer to these producers and their products as “antibiotic-free.” Producers D and E do not claim general prohibitions on antibiotics; we refer to these producers and their products as “conventional.” A critical caveat to this designation is that each of the conventional producers announced separately in February 2002 that they had adopted company policies prohibiting the use of fluoroquinolones. In the same announcement, producer D claimed that no fluoroquinolones had been used in the year before the announcement. Finally, all producers except producer C claimed to exclusively slaughter their own flocks in their processing plants. Representatives from producer C acknowledged that “custom flocks,” including those treated with antibiotics, were occasionally processed in their facilities during the study period.

Sampling and enrichment. We purchased fresh chicken products from grocery stores in the Baltimore, Maryland, area on a weekly basis from 19 January 2004 to 7 June 2004 and from 20 February 2006 to 5 June 2006. Two to three packages from each of the five producers were purchased each time (except when availability was limited). Thighs and legs (bone-in and skin-on) were the default cuts for the study. However, these cuts were not consistently available for all producers; in those cases, we tested alternative cuts, including breasts, quarters, and whole chickens. Packages were refrigerated at 4°C until they were sampled (within 48 hr of purchase). A single piece of chicken was sampled from each package as follows. First, each package was wiped with 70% ethanol and cut open with a new disposable razor blade; the plastic cover was then removed and photocopied for our records. We used sterile forceps to transfer the entire piece of chicken to a stomacher bag containing 200 mL sterile Bolton broth (Oxoid, Hampshire UK) supplemented with laked horse blood (Quad Five, Ryegate, MT); samples were shaken by hand for 2 min, the chicken was removed using forceps, and the bag was sealed 1–2 cm above the top of the broth. Enrichments were incubated at 42°C for 22–26 hr (Hunt 2000; Price et al. 2005).

Isolation. Ten microliters of the enrichment (~10⁶ colony forming units) was streaked onto CCDA (blood-free Campylobacter medium; Oxoid) and incubated for 22–26 hr at 42°C. A single typical Campylobacter colony was transferred to a fresh CCDA plate and streaked for isolated colonies (this process was repeated once to insure the isolation of a single strain). A single purified colony was then streaked for confluent growth on CCDA and incubated for 22–26 hr. A 10-µL loop-full of cellular material was transferred to Campylobacter freezing medium (Hunt 2000), frozen on dry ice, and stored at −80°C.

DNA isolation. DNA was isolated using a rapid freeze–thaw method. Briefly, one 10-µL loop-full of cellular material was transferred to 150 µL Tri-EDTA in a 200-µL capacity polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tube of 96-well PCR plate. Cellular suspensions were covered and placed in a chilled aluminum block on dry ice for 2 min. Frozen cellular suspensions were then heated in a 95°C aluminum block for 2 min. This process was repeated three times, ending with a final denaturing step of 95°C for 10 min. Cellular debris was pelleted by centrifugation, and 100 µL supernatant was transferred to a fresh PCR tube or 96-well PCR plate.

Species confirmation. Presumptive Campylobacter isolates were confirmed and the species identified using a PCR amplification/restriction digest described previously (Engvall et al. 2002). Briefly, THERM1 and THERM4 PCR primers were used to amplify a region of DNA specific to thermophilic members of the genus Campylobacter. This PCR product was then digested in two separate reactions using the restriction endonucleases, Alul and Tip509I (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). The restriction patterns produced from this digestion are distinctive among the thermophilic Campylobacter species (Engvall et al. 2002).

Susceptibility. Susceptibility to fluoroquinolone was determined using standard Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute methods and Campylobacter-specific methods described previously by McDermott and Walker (2003). Briefly, Campylobacter isolates were grown overnight on CCDA under microaerophilic conditions. Colonies were suspended to approximately 0.5 McFarland standard in Mueller-Hinton broth and inoculated onto Mueller-Hinton agar supplemented with 5% sheep blood and ciprofloxacin (USBiological, Swampscott, MA) at concentrations of 0.12–32 µg/mL. Plates were grown 22–26 hr at 42°C under microaerophilic conditions. The reference strain used was Campylobacter jejuni (ATCC 33506; American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA). Strains were designated resistant if their minimal inhibitory concentration was >4 µg/mL.

Statistical analysis. We performed statistical analyses using Stata 8.0 (StataCorp, College Station, TX). Chi-square analysis was used to compare the proportions of samples testing positive for Campylobacter and those positive for Campylobacter resistant to fluoroquinolones. Relative proportions with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were computed for all pair-wise comparisons of producers. We detected no fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter isolates from two producers in 2006 (Table 1); zeros were replaced with ones for relative proportion calculations involving these producers. We used univariate analysis to examine the association between species and fluoroquinolone resistance.

Results

Fluoroquinolone resistance. Overall, 13% of Campylobacter isolates were resistant to fluoroquinolones in 2004 and 20% in 2006 (a non-significant increase; p = 0.06) (Table 1). The proportion of Campylobacter isolates resistant to fluoroquinolones did not change significantly between the two test periods for any particular producer (Table 1). The proportion of resistant isolates from the two conventional producers was consistent with those collected in 2003 (Price et al. 2005).

Pair-wise comparisons revealed significant differences in the proportion of fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter among the different producers. Without exception, Campylobacter from conventional products were more likely to be fluoroquinolone resistant than Campylobacter isolated from antibiotic-free products (Table 2). Fluoroquinolone resistance was significantly more prevalent among isolates from conventional products compared with antibiotic-free products (Table 2). These

Table 1. Prevalence of Campylobacter and fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter among producers in 2004 and 2006.

| Producer | 2004 | 2006 |
|----------|------|------|
|          | No. of samples | Percent (no.) Campylobacter<sup>a</sup> | Percent (no.) FB-resistant Campylobacter<sup>b</sup> | No. of samples | Percent (no.) Campylobacter<sup>a</sup> | Percent (no.) FB-resistant Campylobacter<sup>b</sup> |
| Antibiotic-free | | | | | | |
| A | 40 | 67.5 (27) | 3.7 (1) | 45 | 66.7 (30) | 0.0 (0) |
| B | 39 | 63.2 (24) | 4.2 (1) | 33 | 50.9 (20) | 0.0 (0) |
| C | 40 | 92.5 (37) | 2.1 (1) | 42 | 95.2 (40) | 15.0 (6) |
| Conventional | | | | | | |
| D | 40 | 62.5 (25) | 24.0 (6) | 45 | 77.6 (35) | 37.1 (13) |
| E | 40 | 97.5 (39) | 28.2 (11) | 45 | 93.3 (42) | 42.9 (18) |
| Total | 198 | 76.8 (152) | 13.2 (20) | 210 | 84.3 (177) | 20.9 (37) |

FB, fluoroquinolone.

<sup>a</sup>Percentage of samples contaminated with Campylobacter (susceptible or resistant).<sup>b</sup>Percentage of Campylobacter isolates resistant to fluoroquinolone. *Significant increase over the 2004 proportion (p < 0.05).
every flock to reusing litter for multiple flocks before removal (Morison C, personal communication). Complete Campylobacter decontamination is probably rare under any standard practice, and contaminated litter can be a significant source of Campylobacter carryover and colonization in poultry houses (Petersen and Wedderkop 2001). Campylobacter in poultry house water distribution systems is another potential reservoir of resistant strains. Although individual Campylobacter cells are sensitive to many common disinfectants, they can form disinfectant-resistant biofilms in the water distribution systems of poultry houses (Trachoo and Frank 2002; Trachoo et al. 2002). Campylobacter can also reside in protozoa that contaminate water distribution systems, thereby increasing their resistance to chemical disinfectants (Snelling et al. 2005).

Colonization with fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter is not limited to Campylobacter sources within the broiler facility; the immediate external environment has also been shown to be an important source of Campylobacter for colonization. Once a flock becomes colonized with fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter, these resistant organisms can be pumped into the environment via tunnel ventilation systems. Campylobacter has been detected in the air up to 30 m downwind of facilities housing colonized flocks (Bull et al. 2006). In addition, wild birds and surface waters can also become colonized or contaminated with fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter, thereby becoming reservoirs for subsequent flocks (Bull et al. 2006; Chuma et al. 2000; Waldenstrom et al. 2005). Finally, recent studies have demonstrated that houseflies can carry Campylobacter and that these flies are undetected by conventional biosecurity measures, with as many as 30,000 entering a facility during a single flock rotation (Hald et al. 2004). The combination of environmental, animal, and insect reservoirs and potential carriers provide significant challenges to poultry producers who wish to eliminate the fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter colonizing their flocks.

The continued presence of fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter on poultry products may be a result of more than contamination in and around farms. Controlled physiology experiments indicate that fluoroquinolone-resistant strains may be fit than wild-type Campylobacter in their ability to persist on poultry products (Cui et al. 2005; Price et al. 2005, respectively (Table 1), again consistent with previous studies (Cui et al. 2005; Price et al. 2005). Among the five producers, only producer B (antibiotic-free) was significantly more contaminated in 2006 than in 2004 (p = 0.006). The reason for this increase is not known, but the increase may reflect changes in production methods that are beyond the scope of this article.

In our pair-wise analysis, significant differences in the prevalence of Campylobacter contamination were shown both among the three antibiotic-free producers and between the two conventional producers. We also found significant differences in the prevalence of Campylobacter contamination between specific antibiotic-free and conventional producers, but there was no overall difference between the two groups (conventional vs. antibiotic-free) in either year (Table 3).

Of the isolates, 92% were identified as either Campylobacter coli (36%) or C. jejuni (56%). One isolate was identified as being Campylobacter lari, and the remaining isolates were identified as Campylobacter spp., based on standard phenotypic analysis. We found no significant difference in the prevalence of fluoroquinolone resistance between the C. coli, C. jejuni, or Campylobacter spp. collected in this study (C. lari was too rare to contribute significantly to this assessment).

Discussion

This is the first published study reporting the temporal trends in fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter on poultry products from two major U.S. broiler producers after they voluntarily ceased using fluoroquinolones for broiler production. The results of this study indicate that fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter may be persistent contaminants of poultry products for years after on-farm fluoroquinolone use has ended.

Sustained resistance. Poor hygiene practices and insufficient biosecurity measures may play critical roles in sustaining fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter populations (Moore et al. 2006; Newell and Fearnley 2003). In the United States, protocols for cleaning broiler chicken houses range from removing the upper layer of litter between

Table 2. Relative proportions of fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter among producers.

| Reference producer | Comparison producer | 2004 Relative proportion resistant (95% CI) | p-Value | 2006 Relative proportion resistant (95% CI) | p-Value |
|--------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------------|---------|------------------------------------------|---------|
| A                  | B                   | 1.1 (0.1–17.0)                            | 0.932   | >1.0 (0.1–15.3)                           | 1.000   |
| C                  | D                   | 0.7 (0.0–11.2)                            | 0.820   | >4.5 (0.6–35.4)                           | 0.107   |
| D                  | E                   | 6.5 (0.8–50.1)                            | 0.032   | >11.1 (1.5–80.3)                          | 0.001   |
| E                  | F                   | 7.6 (1.0–55.6)                            | 0.011   | >12.9 (1.8–91.1)                          | 0.000   |
| B                 | C                   | 0.6 (0.0–9.9)                             | 0.754   | >4.5 (0.6–35.4)                           | 0.107   |
| C                 | D                   | 5.8 (0.7–44.4)                            | 0.047   | >11.1 (1.5–80.3)                          | 0.001   |
| D                 | E                   | 6.8 (0.9–49.2)                            | 0.018   | >12.9 (1.8–91.1)                          | 0.000   |
| C                 | F                   | 8.9 (1.1–69.3)                            | 0.009   | 2.5 (1.5–8.8)                            | 0.028   |
| E                 | F                   | 10.4 (1.4–76.9)                           | 0.002   | 2.9 (1.3–6.5)                             | 0.006   |
| D                 | F                   | 1.2 (0.5–2.8)                             | 0.710   | 1.2 (0.7–2.0)                            | 0.611   |

The relative proportion resistant is the proportion of fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter from the comparison producer divided by the proportion of fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter from the reference producer. Each producer was compared with every other producer in a pair-wise fashion.

*Zero counts were replaced with 1 in order to estimate relative proportions; “>” indicates that the replacement of zero values resulted in an underestimate of the actual relative proportions.

Table 3. Relative proportions of Campylobacter contamination (susceptible and resistant) among producers.

| Reference producer | Comparison producer | 2004 Relative proportion contaminated (95% CI) | p-Value | 2006 Relative proportion contaminated (95% CI) | p-Value |
|--------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------------|---------|
| A                  | B                   | 0.9 (0.7–1.3)                               | 0.687   | 1.4 (1.1–1.7)                              | 0.012   |
| C                  | D                   | 1.4 (1.1–1.7)                               | 0.005   | 1.4 (1.1–1.8)                              | 0.001   |
| D                  | E                   | 0.3 (0.7–1.3)                               | 0.639   | 1.2 (0.9–1.5)                              | 0.239   |
| E                  | F                   | 1.4 (1.2–1.8)                               | 0.000   | 1.4 (1.1–1.7)                              | 0.002   |
| B                  | C                   | 1.5 (1.1–1.9)                               | 0.002   | 1.0 (0.9–1.2)                              | 0.456   |
| C                  | D                   | 1.0 (0.7–1.4)                               | 0.952   | 0.9 (0.6–1.3)                              | 0.124   |
| D                  | E                   | 1.5 (0.2–0.5)                               | 0.000   | 1.0 (0.9–1.2)                              | 0.691   |
| C                  | F                   | 0.7 (0.5–0.9)                               | 0.001   | 0.8 (0.7–1.0)                              | 0.018   |
| D                  | F                   | 1.1 (1.0–1.2)                               | 0.305   | 1.0 (0.9–1.1)                              | 0.703   |
| E                  | F                   | 1.6 (1.2–2.0)                               | 0.000   | 1.2 (1.0–1.4)                              | 0.036   |

The relative proportion contaminated is the proportion of products contaminated with Campylobacter from the comparison producer divided by the proportion of products contaminated with Campylobacter from the reference producer. Each producer was compared with every other producer in a pair-wise fashion.
both colonize and persist in the gut of chickens (Zhang et al. 2006). If these findings hold true in the setting of real-world poultry facilities, merely removing the fluoroquinolones from production may be insufficient to reduce the prevalence of resistant strains.

Although the present study does not include samples from before the voluntary cessation of fluoroquinolone use by two conventional producers, it clearly shows that the prevalence of fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter is not decreasing on their products in the years following cessation and has not decreased to the level found on the products of antibiotic-free producers who claim no history of fluoroquinolone use. On the other hand, this study also shows that the resistance is not increasing significantly. In Spain, where fluoroquinolones were used heavily in poultry production, approximately 99% of poultry-associated Campylobacter isolates were fluoroquinolone resistant in the late 1990s (Garau et al. 1999; Saenz et al. 2000). Compared with this, holding the proportion of Campylobacter resistant to fluoroquinolones < 50% may be considered, by some, to be a victory.

Antibiotic-free versus conventional products. Consistent with our previous study (Price et al. 2005), Campylobacter isolates from antibiotic-free products were significantly less likely to be fluoroquinolone resistant than those from conventional producers. The substantial, although not statistically significant, increase from 2004 to 2006 in fluoroquinolone-resistant strains among Campylobacter isolated from the antibiotic-free producer C may be due to cross-contamination from processing equipment previously used to slaughter conventional flocks. Producer C was the only antibiotic-free producer that processed both antibiotic-free and conventional flocks in their processing facilities during the time of the study.

Such cross-contamination may take place in conventional processing facilities as well. For example, it is feasible that some growers who raise chickens under contract with conventional producers have no history of on-farm fluoroquinolone use. These growers may raise flocks that become cross-contaminated by slaughter equipment in conventional slaughter facilities. Previous studies have shown that cross-contamination is a regular occurrence in processing plants (Newell et al. 2001). However, it should be emphasized that antibiotic use on the farm is generally dictated by the producers who control the processing plants and with whom growers contract.

Campylobacter contamination. The prevalence of Campylobacter (susceptible and resistant) in poultry products varied significantly among producers; however, there was no consistent pattern with regard to antibiotic-use group. These findings are consistent with those from Denmark, where Campylobacter contamination on poultry products did not change significantly after antimicrobials were removed as feed additives (Evans and Wegener 2003). On-farm and processing plant practices, such as between-flock cleaning and decontamination of slaughter equipment, likely outweigh any impact from antimicrobial use on general Campylobacter contamination.

Limitations of the study. The present study had four primary limitations. First, the study was limited in geographic region; however, despite this limitation, the results are probably generalizable because integrator-defined production methods vary little from region to region. Moreover, broiler production has become regionally concentrated in the southeastern United States, and products from this region are distributed widely throughout the United States. Second, the study was limited in the number of producers included in the study. We focused on conventional producers D and E because they announced that they had ceased using fluoroquinolones in 2002. The three antibiotic-free producers were the only three with branded products consistently available in the Baltimore area. Third, we could not test the same cut each time from each producer. Thighs and legs (bone-in, skin-on) were the default cuts for the study; however, because these cuts were not consistently available for some producers, alternative cuts were tested occasionally. However, because products were tested for the presence or absence of Campylobacter rather than by quantifying colony forming units, the choice of cut probably did not affect the outcome of the study. Finally, actual use of fluoroquinolones during the test period could not be determined because information on drug use in food animals is considered proprietary and not subject to mandatory disclosure to regulatory bodies (National Research Council 1999).

Public health implications. Fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter strains pose a significant public health threat in the United States. In response to growing concerns over the contribution of agricultural antimicrobial use to resistant human infections, the FDA banned the use of fluoroquinolones in U.S. poultry production (FDA 2000). The results from the present study indicate that fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter may be persistent contaminants of poultry products even after on-farm fluoroquinolone use has ceased. Thus, the FDA’s policy alone may be insufficient to reduce consumer exposure to fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter. Without additional interventions, fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter may continue to be a public health burden for years after the FDA’s ban.

References

Allos BM. 2001. Campylobacter jejuni infections: update on emerging issues and trends. Clin Infect Dis 32:1201–1206.

Bull SC, Allen VM, Domingue G, Jorgensen F, Frim JA, Ure R, et al. 2006. Sources of Campylobacter spp. colonizing housed broiler flocks during rearing. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:452–455.

Butsler JP. 2004. Campylobacter, from obscurity to celebrity. Clin Microbiol Infect 10:868–876.

CDC (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention). 2005. Campylobacter Infections: How Common Is Campylobacter? Available: http://www.cdc.gov/cid/dbd/diseaseinfo/campylobacter_g.htm [accessed 16 May 2007].

Chuma T, Hashimoto S, Okamoto K. 2000. Detection of thermo- philic Campylobacter from sparrows by multiplex PCR: the role of sparrows as a source of contamination of broilers with Campylobacter. J Vet Med Sci 62:1291–1296.

Collignon P. 2005. Fluoroquinolone use in food animals. Emerg Infect Dis 11:1789–1790.

Cui S, Ge B, Zhang J, Meng J. 2005. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Campylobacter spp. and Salmonella serovars in organic chickens from Maryland retail stores. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:3001–3011.

Djuretic T, Ryan MJ, Fleming DM, Wall PG. 1996. Infectious intestinal disease in elderly people. Commun Dis Rep CDR Rev 6:R107–R112.

Engvall ED, Brandstrom B, Gunnarsson A, Morner T, Wahlström H, Fermer C. 2002. Validation of a polymerase chain reaction/restriction enzyme analysis method for species identification of thermophilic campylobacters isolated from domestic and wild animals. J Appl Microbiol 92:47–54.

Evans MC, Wegener HC. 2003. Antimicrobial growth promoters and Salmonella spp., Campylobacter spp. in poultry and swine, Denmark. Emerg Infect Dis 9:489–492.

FDA (U.S. Food and Drug Administration). 2000. FDA/CVM Proposes to Withdraw Poultry Fluoroquinolones Approval. Available: http://www.fda.gov/cvm/cvm_updates/hooho.htm [accessed 21 May 2007].

Garau J, Jercavins M, Rodriguez-Cardalleira M, Gomez-Verar JR, Coll I, Vidal D, et al. 1989. Emergence and dissemination of quinolone-resistant Escherichia coli in the community. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 43:2768–2771.

Gupta A, Nelson JM, Barrett TJ, Tauxe RV, Rossiter SP, Friedman CR, et al. 2004. Antimicrobial resistance among Campylobacter strains, United States, 1997–2001. Emerg Infect Dis 10:1102–1109.

Gupta A, Tauxe RV, Angulo FJ. 2005. Fluoroquinolone use in food animals: response [Letter]. Emerg Infect Dis 11:1791–1792.

Haywood B, Skovgaard H, Bang D, Pedersen K, Dybdahl J, Jespersen JB, et al. 2004. Flies and Campylobacter infection of broiler flocks. Emerg Infect Dis 10:1490–1492.

Hughes RA, Hadden RD, Gregson NA, Smith KJ. 1999. Pathogenesis of Guillain-Barre syndrome. J Neuroimmunol 100:74–77.

Hunt JM, Abeyta C, Tran T. 2001. Isolation of Campylobacter Species from Food and Water. Bacteriological Analytical Manual Online. Available: http://www.cfsan.fda.gov/~ebam/bam-7.html [accessed 16 May 2007].

Liangtongkum T, Morishita TY, Ison AJ, Huang S, McDermott PF, Zhang Q. 2006. Effect of conventional and organic production practices on the prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of Campylobacter spp. in poultry. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:3603–3607.

Manfredi R, Nanetti A, Ferrì M, Chiodo F. 1999. Fatal Campylobacter jejuni bacteraemia in patients with AIDS. J Med Microbiol 48:601–603.

McDermott PF, Walker RD. 2003. Standardizing antimicrobial susceptibility testing of Campylobacter species [Letter]. J Clin Microbiol 41:1810.

Moore JE, Barton MD, Blair IS, Corcoran D, Dooley JS, Humphrey T, et al. 2001. Changes in the carriage of Campylobacter spp. in poultry. Appl Environ Microbiol 72:3603–3607.

Newell DG, Fermer C. 2003. Sources of Campylobacter colonization in broiler chickens. Appl Environ Microbiol 69:4334–4351.

Newell DG, Shreve JE, Toszeghy M, Domingue G, Bull S, Humphrey T, et al. 2001. Changes in the carriage of Campylobacter strains by poultry carcasses during processing in abattoirs. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:2363–2364.
Fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter persists on poultry products

Petersen L, Wedderkopp A. 2001. Evidence that certain clones of Campylobacter jejuni persist during successive broiler flock rotations. Appl Environ Microbiol 67:2739–2745.

Price LB, Johnson E, Vadas R, Silbergeld E. 2005. Fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter isolates from conventional and antibiotic-free chicken products. Environ Health Perspect 113:557–560.

Saenz Y, Zarazaga M, Lantero M, Gastanares MJ, Baquero F, Torres C. 2000. Antibiotic resistance in Campylobacter strains isolated from animals, foods, and humans in Spain in 1997–1998. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 44:267–271.

Snelling WJ, McKenna JP, Lecky DM, Dooley JS. 2005. Survival of Campylobacter jejuni in waterborne protozoa. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:5560–5571.

Tee W, Mijch A. 1998. Campylobacter jejuni bacteremia in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected and non-HIV-infected patients: comparison of clinical features and review. Clin Infect Dis 26:91–96.

Trachoo N, Frank JF. 2002. Effectiveness of chemical sanitizers against Campylobacter jejuni-containing biofilms. J Food Prot 65:1117–1121.

Trachoo N, Frank JF, Stern NJ. 2002. Survival of Campylobacter jejuni in biofilms isolated from chicken houses. J Food Prot 65:1110–1116.

Waldenstrom J, Mevius D, Veldman K, Broman T, Hasselquist D, Olsen B. 2005. Antimicrobial resistance profiles of Campylobacter jejuni isolates from wild birds in Sweden. Appl Environ Microbiol 71:2438–2441.

Zhang Q, Sahin O, McDermott PF, Payot S. 2006. Fitness of antimicrobial-resistant Campylobacter and Salmonella. Microbes Infect 8:1972–1978.