INFLUENCE OF DIAMETER AND GEOMETRY IN THE TAPPING OF THE PILOT HOLE IN PEDICLE SCREWS

ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the insertion torque and the pulling force of each screw with different diameters and tap. Methods: Polyurethane blocks with a pilot hole of 2.7 mm were used in the study. An experimental group with 5 blocks was formed, the insertion torque was evaluated with a torque meter, and the pullout strength of each Globus screw of 5.5 mm and 6.5 mm was assessed. Results: The comparison of the insertion torque on the 5.5 mm screws with pilot hole without tapping and with a smaller diameter than that of the screw (4.5 mm) and a different thread, and with the tapping with the same diameter as that of the screw (5.5 mm) and equal or different thread presented a statistical difference with a higher value of the insertion torque in the group in which the tapping was not performed. As for the pulling force of the 5.5 mm screw, the non-tapping of the pilot hole resulted in statistical difference with the same diameter of the screw (5.5 mm) and with a different thread of the screw. The pullout force on the 6.5 mm screw was higher in the group where the pilot hole was not tapped according to the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test, with significance level of $p < 0.05$ in the comparison of the groups. Conclusions: Pilot hole tapping reduced insertion torque and pullout resistance of the pedicle screw influencing the fixation with tapping with the same screw diameter and different thread design.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar o torque de inserção e a força de arrancamento de cada parafuso com diferentes diâmetros e machos. Métodos: Foram utilizados no estudo blocos de poliuretano com orifício piloto de 2,7mm, sendo feito um grupo experimental com 5 blocos sendo avaliado o torque de inserção com torqueímetro e avaliado o arrancamento de cada parafuso de parafusos Globus 5,5mm e 6,5mm. Resultados: A comparação do torque de inserção nos parafusos de 5,5mm entre a utilização de orifício piloto sem macheamento e o macheamento com diâmetro inferior ao diâmetro do parafuso (4,5mm) e rosca diferente, e com o macheamento com diâmetro igual do parafuso (5,5mm) e com rosca igual ou diferente apresentou diferença estatística com maior valor do torque de inserção no grupo em que o macheamento não foi realizado. Na força de arrancamento do parafuso 5,5mm e o não macheamento do orifício piloto apresentou diferença estatística com o mesmo diâmetro do parafuso (5,5mm) e rosca diferente do parafuso. A força de arrancamento no parafuso e 6,5mm foi maior no grupo em que o orifício piloto não foi macheado utilizando o teste não paramétrico de Kruskal Wallis com nível de significância adotado ($p < 0.05$) na comparação dos grupos. Conclusões: O macheamento do orifício piloto diminuiu o torque de inserção e resistência ao arrancamento do parafuso pedicular influenciando a fixação com macheamento com o mesmo diâmetro do parafuso e desenho de rosca diferente.

Descritores: Coluna vertebral; Parafusos ósseos; Fusão vertebral.
INTRODUCTION

Spinal fixation systems that use the vertebral pedicle as the implant anchor point have been used extensively in the spinal surgery environment. The insertion torque and the pullout strength of pedicle screws is influenced by different factors, such as bone mineral density, screw geometry, and pilot hole preparation.

Tapping consists of using a tool to cut the inner surface of the pilot hole for the adaptation of the screw thread. This technical step for the placement of screws in the bones originated from osteosynthesis of the long bones and was adapted for spine surgery. However, tapping the pilot hole with an instrument of outer diameter equal to the outer diameter of the pedicle screw reduces the pullout strength of the pedicle screw. The use of tapping with a diameter less than the outer diameter of the screw has shown to be advantageous by increasing security during the insertion of the pedicle screws and by not reducing the resistance of these implants to pullout. Another variable that has been evaluated is tap thread design and it has been observed that the use of a tap with thread design different from the screw thread design, even though of smaller diameter in relation to the outer diameter of the screw, causes a reduction in the pullout strength of the implants.

The objective of this study was to experimentally evaluate the influence of tap diameter and thread design on the insertion torque and pullout strength of two modalities of pedicle screws, 5.5 and 6.5 mm, used in spinal fixation systems.

METHODS

The experimental groups were formed according to the mode of pilot hole, the external diameter of screw used (5.5 and 6.5mm), the diameter and design of the tap thread. Each experimental group was made up 5 polyurethane blocks. (Figure 1)

We used polyurethane blocks with a density of 10 PCF or 0.16g/cm³ and diameter of 5cmx8cmx5cm (Nacional Ltda.) in our biomechanical trial. A 2.7 mm perforation was drilled in the center of the upper face of the polyurethane block to make the pilot hole. The pilot hole was made according to the experimental group as follows: 1 – without tapping, 2 – tapping with a tap smaller in diameter than the screw and with the same thread design, 3 – tapping with a tap smaller in diameter than the outer diameter of the screw and with different thread design, 4 – tapping with a tap with a diameter equal to the outer diameter of the screw and with the same thread design, and 5 – tapping with a tap of the same outer diameter as the screw and with a different thread design. Globus-type 5.5 and 6.5 mm screws were used in the study. The screws were inserted after preparation of the pilot hole according to experimental group.

The insertion torque was evaluated during the insertion of the screw by means of a key attached to a digital electronic torque meter (TL-500/MKT-1 Mackena Corporation, São Paulo, Brazil). The maximum insertion torque was recorded.

The pullout strength was evaluated using the EMIC® universal test machine (DL 10000; EMIC, São José dos Pinhais, PR, Brazil). A rod was attached to the head of the screw and the pullout force was applied vertically. The pullout force was applied at a velocity of 2.0 mm/min until the screw was pulled out of the polyurethane block. (Figure 2)

Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric tests were performed to compare the “pullout strength” and “insertion torque” variables between the screw and diameter groups.

In the Kruskal-Wallis test, p-values less than the adopted level of significance (generally 0.05) meant that at least one of the groups differed from the others. To further define these differences, Dunn’s multiple comparisons post-test was performed and comparisons with p-values less than the adopted level of significance (generally 0.05) were indicative of a difference between groups observed.

RESULTS

The insertion torque and pullout strength values of the 5.5 mm and 6.5 mm screws are shown in Table 1 and in Figures 3 and 6. A statistical difference was observed in the 5.5 mm screw experimental group in relation to the mean insertion torque values using a tap with a diameter less than that of the screw (4.5 mm) and with a different thread design. However, no statistical difference was observed in relation to the insertion torque using a tap with the same diameter as the screw (5.5 mm) and with a different thread design, a greater insertion torque value having been observed for a tap with the same diameter as the screw (5.5 mm) and with the same thread design.

In the experimental group of screws with a 5.5 mm diameter, we observed a statistical difference when comparing the insertion torque using a tap of the same diameter and a different thread design with that using a tap with a diameter less than the diameter of the screw (4.5mm) and a different thread design, and with that using a tap with a diameter equal to the screw (5.5mm) and either the same or a different thread design with a lower insertion torque value. (Figure 3)

In the 6.5 mm screw experimental group, a statistical difference was observed between the insertion torque of the tap with the same diameter as the screw (6.5 mm) and a different thread
design and that of the tap with a diameter less than that of the screw (5.5 mm) and a different thread design. The lowest insertion torque was observed with the tap of the same diameter as the screw (6.5 mm) and the same thread design. The highest insertion torque was observed when making the pilot hole without tapping. The insertion torque was lower in the groups in which the pilot hole was tapped with a different thread design. (Figure 4)

The pullout strength in the group of 5.5 mm screws presented a statistical difference when the tap had a diameter less than the diameter of the screw (4.5 mm) or equal to the diameter of the screw (5.5 mm), regardless of thread design. (Figure 5) No tapping of the pilot hole only presented a statistical difference with the same diameter as the screw (5.5 mm) and a different thread design, with a greater insertion torque value in the group in which tapping was not used. (Figure 3)

In the group of 6.5 mm screws, we observed a statistical difference in pullout strength between tapping with a diameter less than the diameter of the screw (5.5 mm) or equal to the diameter of the screw (6.5 mm), regardless of thread design. (Figure 6) A statistical difference was observed between tapping with a diameter equal to the diameter of the screw (6.5 mm) when the thread design was either the same or different from that of the screw. (Figure 4) The pullout strength was lower in the groups where the pilot hole was tapped with a different thread.

Table 1. Mean pullout strength and mean insertion torque of 5.5 and 6.5 screws. Groups: 1 – without tapping, 2 – tapping with a tap smaller in diameter than the screw and with the same thread design, 3 – tapping with a tap smaller in diameter than the outer diameter of the screw and with a different thread design, 4 – tapping with a tap of the same diameter as the outer diameter of the screw and with the same thread design, and 5 – tapping with a tap of the same outer diameter as the screw and with a different thread design.

| Groups | Screws 5.5 | Screws 6.5 |
|--------|------------|------------|
|        | 5.5 mm     | 6.5 mm     |        | 5.5 mm     | 6.5 mm     |
| 1       | Without tapping | 615.326   | Mean pullout strength | 615.326   | Mean pullout strength | 615.326   |
| 2       | Tap with same diameter and thread design | 607.212   | Mean insertion torque | 0.5758    | Mean insertion torque | 0.5758    |
| 3       | Tap with same diameter and different thread design | 587.912   | 0.5758    | 667.326   | 0.9402    |
| 4       | Tap with smaller diameter and with same thread design | 536.788   | 0.5260    | 645.184   | 0.8908    |
| 5       | Tap with smaller diameter and different thread design | 536.788   | 0.5260    | 645.184   | 0.8908    |

The pullout strength of the 5.5 mm and 6.5 mm screws was influenced by the design of the tap thread in relation to the screw thread, with tapping at a diameter less than or equal to the diameter of the screws reducing the strength. However, non-tapping of the pilot hole increased the resistance of the screws to pullout.

DISCUSSION

Tapping of the pilot hole reduced the insertion torque and pullout strength in accordance with the technical steps performed for spine fixation. In our study, we sought to establish a correlation between the insertion torque and the pullout strength of the screw, using different tap diameters and geometries in relation to the outer diameter of the screw.

In our trial, we observed that the use of tapping as compared to non-tapping of the pilot hole reduced the resistance and insertion
There is a study of the reduction of the implant pullout strength with age in the resistance of screws to pullout. Design. In the literature, it has been reported as a factor in the decrease in insertion torque and resistance of the screws using a tap with a diameter less than the outer diameter of the screw and with a different thread design. Additionally, we observed a reduction in insertion torque and resistance of the screws using a tap with a diameter less than or equal to the screw thread, with tapping of a diameter less than or equal to the diameter of the screws used.

**CONCLUSION**

The tapping of the pilot hole reduces the insertion torque and pullout strength of the pedicle screw. In addition, the geometry of the thread and the diameter of the tap interfere with the insertion torque and pullout of the screw, influencing fixation.
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