OBJECTIVE

The aim of this study was to calculate the shrinkage of the neck muscles and the dosimetric changes and to clarify the necessity of covering part of the muscle in the neck node region delineation for patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) treated with intensity-modulated radiotherapy.

METHODS

In total, 44 patients with NPC were enrolled. Distances between the lateral border of the neck muscles and longitudinal midline were measured on every selected slice. This process was repeated three times, and the mean values of the three distances of the planning computed tomography (CT) images and repeated CT images were adopted (labeled $d_1$ and $d_2$). The mean value of the differences between $d_1$ and $d_2$ was regarded as the medial shrinkage of the neck muscles. The initial clinical target volume of cervical lymph nodes (CTV-n) was shifted medially with the value of shrinkage, yielding a new CTV-n. Doses that covered 95% of the planning tumor volume (PTV) ($D_{95}$) and 99% of the PTV ($D_{99}$), mean dose ($D_{mean}$), and maximum dose ($D_{max}$) were used to calculate the dosimetric variation between the initial and new CTV-n. Comparisons were performed using the paired samples $t$ test.

RESULTS

The median $d_1$ was 3.81 cm (range: 1.19-8.20 cm), and the median $d_2$ was 3.68 cm (range: 0.94-9.59 cm), with a statistically significant difference ($P < .001$). The mean difference between $d_1$ and $d_2$ was $1.5 \pm 3.1$ mm ($SD$). The $D_{95}$ and $D_{99}$ of the PTV of the initial CTV-n decreased by 0.38% and 0.62% ($P < .001$ and $P < .001$, respectively).

CONCLUSION

Patients with NPC experienced medial shrinkage of the neck muscles by 1.5 mm, and the consequent dose variation was negligible. It is unnecessary to cover part of the muscles in the delineation of the CTV-n.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC) is one of the most common cancers with an unbalanced endemic distribution; more than 70% of new cases occur in East and Southeast Asia.1 Intensity-modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) is the mainstay treatment modality for patients with NPC because it provides sharp dose gradients between the boundary of target volumes and vital normal tissues,2-4 which maximizes both tumor coverage and sparing of organs at risk (OARs).2,5 Vital structures are in close proximity to the tumor volumes in the head and neck region.3 Small variables, including external daily setup variations and internal changes in the anatomy during the IMRT course, could greatly alter the actual dose delivery.4 Previous studies have revealed that significant anatomic changes, such as weight loss, occurred in the course of IMRT.6-10 which led to significant clinical consequences, including underdose of target volumes and overdose of normal tissues.

Adaptive radiotherapy refers to acquiring a new set of imaging during treatment to correct daily tumor and normal issue variations.11,12 Several studies of adaptive radiotherapy for head and neck cancer based on mid-treatment computed tomography (CT) found that replanning improved locoregional control and quality of life13,14 and normal tissue sparing.15 However, Zhao et al. observed no difference in disease control and toxicity, whereas replans improved target coverage and normal tissue dose.16 In summary, adaptive radiotherapy has shown promising outcomes in patients treated with IMRT for head and neck cancer.

The international guideline for the delineation of the clinical target volumes (CTVs) for NPC defined the intermediate-risk (prophylactic dose) nodal regions as CTVn2 and low-dose CTV as CTVn3.17 When an involved lymph node abuts a muscle and/or shows clear radiological indication of muscular infiltration, this muscle near the node should be included in the CTV.17 However, for patients without indication of muscular infiltration due to the shrinkage of nodal masses during IMRT, whether a medial displacement of cervical lymph node CTV delineation covering part of the muscles is necessary to ensure sufficient exposure of cervical lymph nodes to recover the dosimetric changes is unknown. However, none of the current guidelines specifically mention the extent of coverage of the medial cervical lymph node CTV muscles, and there are marked variations in practice among clinicians. We conducted a study to calculate the shrinkage of neck muscles and dosimetric changes and to clarify the necessity to cover part of muscles in cervical lymph node CTV delineation for patients with NPC treated with IMRT.

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Patients

From May 2017 to August 2019, a total of 44 NPC patients (median age: 47 years; range: 26-69 years) treated with platinum-based chemotherapy concurrent with IMRT were enrolled at our institution. Each patient had a repeated plain CT scan at end of radiotherapy. The data included age; sex; tumor, node, metastasis stages; and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and CT images. The retrospective analysis was approved by the ethics committee of the Fujian Cancer Hospital (K2021-074-01) and was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2 | Radiotherapy

Before radiotherapy, all patients were immobilized in the supine position with head-and-neck thermoplastic masks, and CT simulation was performed with serial 3-mm slices from the vertex to 2 cm below the clavicles. Pretreatment contrast-enhanced planning CT and T1- and plain T2-weighted MRI were performed for staging and target volume delineation. Plain CT scans were repeated for each patient at the end of the radiotherapy.

Target volume delineation and radiotherapy dose were implemented using an institutional treatment protocol. The gross tumor volume (GTV) represented visible primary nasopharyngeal tumor (GTV-P) and enlarged or suspicious lymph nodes (GTV-N) identified for each patient clinically and radiographically based on MRI, CT, and clinical information. The CTVs included the area of high-risk tumor invasion around the nasopharynx and lymphatic levels of the neck (CTV1), and CTV2 was designed for other potentially involved regions, including the nasopharyngeal cavity, maxillary sinus, pterygopalatine fossa, posterior ethmoid sinus, parapharyngeal space, skull base, anterior third of the clivus and cervical vertebra, inferior sphenoid sinus, and cavernous sinus. In our cohort, the muscles in the vicinity of clinical target volume of cervical lymph nodes (CTV-n) were not included in the radiotherapy plans of all patients. Planning tumor volumes (PTVs) based on CTV, CTV1, and CTV2 with a 3-mm margin were created to account for daily setup variations. The OARs include the brain stem, spinal cord, optic nerve, optic chiasm, temporal lobe, and others. Each of the patients received IMRT with a total dose of 70 Gy in 35 fractions at 2.0 Gy/fraction to the PTV of the GTV-P and PTV of the GTV-N, 60 Gy at 2.0 Gy/fraction to the PTV of the CTV1, and 54 Gy at 1.8 Gy/fraction to the PTV of the CTV-2.

2.3 | Medial shrinkage of neck muscles

For both CT images of pre-radiotherapy and post-radiotherapy, longitudinal midlines were made at the same anatomical level every three slices from the superior second cervical vertebra to the 34th slice (12 slices were selected in total); each longitudinal midline had two intersection points with anterior and posterior borders of the vertebral column. Distances perpendicular to the longitudinal midlines between the lateral border of the neck muscles and two intersection points as well as their midpoint were measured on every selected slice (Figure 1). This process was repeated three times to minimize the measurement errors for each patient. The mean values of the three distances of planning CT images and repeated CT images were adopted (labeled d1 and d2). The mean value of the differences between d1 and d2 in all patients was regarded as the medial shrinkage of the neck muscles.
2.4  |  Dosimetric comparison in the CTV-n

In this study, the CTV-n was defined as the region covering the neck muscle space but not covering the neck muscles. Information about the initial CTV-n on pre-radiotherapy was collected from planning CT images. For each patient, the initial CTV-n was shifted medially with the mean value of the differences between \(d_1\) and \(d_2\), yielding a new CTV-n. The initial treatment plan was mapped to the new CTV-n with the same beam configuration. Dose-volume histograms (DVHs) of the initial and new CTV-n were calculated to evaluate the differences in the dose between them. The dosimetric parameters included the dose that covers 95% of the PTV (D95), dose that covers 99% of the PTV (D99), mean dose (Dmean), and maximum dose (Dmax).

2.5  |  Statistical analyses

Comparisons between \(d_1\) and \(d_2\), as well as the dosimetric distribution of the initial and new CTV-n, were performed using the paired samples t test and then processed using SPSS version 26.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Statistical significance was set at \(P < .05\).

3  |  RESULTS

3.1  |  Patient characteristics

According to the eighth edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer staging system, 1 patient had Stage I, 6 had Stage II, 25 had Stage III, and 12 had Stage IV NPC. From the entire cohort, 31 were men, and 13 were women. The specific characteristics of the patients were shown in Table 1.

3.2  |  Medial shrinkage

The median \(d_1\) was 3.81 cm (range: 1.19-8.20 cm), and the median \(d_2\) was 3.68 cm (range: 0.94-9.59 cm), with a statistically significant

| TABLE 1  | Patient characteristics |
|----------|--------------------------|
| Patient characteristic | n  |
| Age (y) | Median 47 (range: 26-69) |
| Sex | | |
| Male | 31 |
| Female | 13 |
| T category | | |
| 1 | 12 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 3 | 23 |
| 4 | 5 |
| N category | | |
| 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 21 |
| 2 | 12 |
| 3 | 9 |
| Stage group | | |
| I | 1 |
| II | 6 |
| III | 25 |
| IVa | 12 |
| Cycles of CCT | | |
| 0 | 1 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 2 | 38 |

Abbreviation: CCT = Concurrent chemotherapy.
difference ($P < .001$). The mean value of the difference between $d_1$ and $d_2$ was 1.5 mm, which demonstrates that a mean medial shrinkage of 1.5 mm occurred in the neck muscles during the IMRT course.

### 3.3 Changes of dose distribution

For the PTV of the new CTV-n, both D95 and D99 decreased significantly compared with the initial value. D95 in the DVHs of the initial and new CTV-n are shown in Figure 2. The D95 and D99 of the PTV of the initial CTV-n were decreased by 0.38% and 0.62% ($P < .001$ and $P < .001$), respectively. The Dmean of the PTV of the initial CTV-n decreased by 0.15% ($P < .001$). Additionally, the Dmax of the PTV of the initial CTV-n decreased by 0.02%, without a statistically significant difference ($P = .432$). The absolute values of the dose variations are shown in Table 2.

### 4 DISCUSSION

Previous studies have revealed that significant anatomic changes occur during IMRT in patients with head and neck cancer, including weight loss and shrinkage of the primary tumor and nodal mass. Barker et al. found that the gross target volumes and parotid glands decreased in volume at a median rate of 1.7% to 1.8% and 0.6%, respectively, per day in the course of radiotherapy. Furthermore, many studies have shown that anatomical changes may influence the dose distribution of target volumes and OARs. Ahn et al. and Hansen et al. studied the dosimetric effect of anatomic changes between planning CT and repeated CT scans and observed inadequate doses to target volumes. In addition, an innovative study demonstrated that a medial shift of the parotid correlated highly with weight loss during radiotherapy. This implies that medial displacements of target volumes and normal tissues occur as a result of weight loss and underdosage of target volumes could occur.

International guidelines for the delineation of the CTV for NPC have not yet provided a practical reference for appropriate contouring of CTV-n regarding whether it covers part of muscles in the vicinity of CTV-n or not. Consequently, there are marked variations in practice regarding the CTV-n delineation among different centers. In this study, a statistically significant difference was observed in the distances from the lateral border of the neck muscles to the longitudinal midlines between planning and repeated CT images, which indicated that patients in our cohort experienced significant anatomic shrinkage in the neck region. To further investigate the influence of medial shrinkage, a new CTV-n was generated with the initial CTV-n shifting medially (1.5 mm) and its DVHs were calculated. The study found that the dose-volume parameters (including D95, D99, and Dmean of the PTV of the initial CTV-n) were all significantly higher than those of the new CTV-n (all $P < .001$). However, the absolute values of the dose variation between the initial CTV-n and new CTV-n were negligible. Furthermore, individualized asymmetric planning target volumes of 2-5 mm are used to account for daily setup errors, and 2-3 mm expansion from the CTV-n is generally applied in target volume delineation in clinical practice to cover daily positioning errors. By reason of the foregoing,
the medial shrinkage of the neck muscles by 1.5 mm will be included in the expansion from the CTV-n, which is reasonably considered insignificant during IMRT. In other words, it is unnecessary to cover part of the neck muscles in CTV-n delineation during IMRT.

There are some limitations to this study. The mean value of the difference between $d_1$ and $d_2$ was regarded as the medial shrinkage of the neck muscles, which was unrepresentative, especially for patients who experienced distinct weight loss. Second, this study was conducted in a single center with only 44 patients; thus, results regarding medial shrinkage need to be validated by more centers for generalizability. In addition, manual errors existed even though the measurements were repeated thrice. As a retrospective cohort study, the potential selection bias cannot be ignored. In summary, the results of medial shrinkage (1.5 mm) and consequent dosimetric variations during IMRT require a larger cohort and further research to confirm.

5 | CONCLUSIONS

In our cohort, patients with NPC experienced medial shrinkage by 1.5 mm of the neck muscles. Medial shrinkage was included in the expansion from CTV-n, and the consequent dosimetric variations were considered negligible. It is unnecessary to cover part of the neck muscles in CTV-n delineation during IMRT, which requires a larger cohort and further research to confirm.
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