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Abstract: Nitrogen and sulphur oxides (NO$_x$, SO$_x$) have become a global issue in recent years due to the fastest industrialization and urbanization. Numerous techniques are used to treat the harmful exhaust emissions, including dry, traditional wet and hybrid wet-scrubbing techniques. However, several difficulties, including high-energy requirement, limited scrubbing-liquid regeneration, formation of secondary pollutants and low efficiency, limit their industrial utilization. Regardless, the hybrid wet-scrubbing technology is gaining popularity due to low-costs, less-energy consumption and high-efficiency removal of air pollutants. The removal/reduction of NO$_x$ and SO$_x$ from the atmosphere has been the subject of several reviews in recent years. The goal of this review article is to help scientists grasp the fundamental ideas and requirements before using it commercially. This review paper emphasizes the use of green and electron-rich donors, new breakthroughs, reducing GHG emissions, and improved NO$_x$ and SO$_x$ removal catalytic systems, including selective/non-catalytic reduction (SCR/SNCR) and other techniques (functionalization by magnetic nanoparticles; NP, etc.). It also explains that various wet-scrubbing techniques, synthesis of solid iron-oxide such as magnetic (Fe$_3$O$_4$) NP are receiving more interest from researchers due to the wide range of its application in numerous fields. In addition, EDTA coating on Fe$_3$O$_4$ NP is widely used due to its high stability over a wide pH range and solid catalytic systems. As a result, the Fe$_3$O$_4$@EDTA-Fe catalyst is projected to be an optimal catalyst in terms of stability, synergistic efficiency, and reusability. Finally, this review paper discusses the current of a heterogeneous catalytic system for environmental remedies and sustainable approaches.
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1. Introduction

To keep life simple and comfortable is a concept as old as the beginning of time and this leads to the new inventions, discoveries and forward efforts. The quest for ease and a more convenient life strives to utilize the surrounding things and convert them into useful items. The start of the things always positive and man used the available items present in the surroundings. The same concept comes from burning or combustion (burning of substance by oxygen and generating heat i.e., energy accompanying flame i.e., light). This burning of fossil fuels has been observed from the earliest times and all people get advantages in different ways. Every new civilization improves it to meet their needs. Although the use of fossil fuels has created new customs, the overuse of combustion and its adverse effects
cannot be denied [1,2]. Although, the energy obtained through combustion is very useful, it leaves adverse effect on marine life, ecosystem, human health and environment [3,4].

The rapid, cheap, and unlimited energy demands are the major reasons for producing electricity via combustion or fossil fuel. This results in an over demand for cheap energy and combustion of fossil-fuel eliminating toxic gases such as nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}), sulfur dioxide/trioxide (SO\textsubscript{x}) and carbon monoxide (CO), which are rapidly increasing air-pollution [5]. The total contribution by fossil fuels for electricity production is shown in Figure 1 [6–8]. The basic reason is that fossil fuel combustion for electricity production is the major anthropogenic source of atmospheric pollutants. Therefore, coal is the most significant source of electrical energy, and it is projected to increase by a particular ratio each year for the next 25 years. Coal is cheap and readily available everywhere, and it is still believed that coal will be the considerable source for the next two decades for the production of power [9–11]. NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{x} containing flue gases were also released by the combustion of fuel and gas, but the concentration of these gases in coal-burning was roughly 2 to 3 times higher than that in gas burning [12]. Based on this calculation approximately 40 to 50% of the electrical energy of the world is being generated by coal-fired power plants [13]. Previously, several review studies were conducted to cover significant features of NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{x} elimination techniques, including wet scrubbing, SCR/SNCR etc. However, there is still a gap in the literature for a state-of-the-art review paper focused on a combination of using green and electron-rich donors, new breakthroughs, reducing GHG emissions, and improved NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{x} removal catalytic systems, including selective/non-catalytic reduction (SCR/SNCR) and other techniques (functionalization by magnetic nanoparticles; NP, etc..) [14].
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**Figure 1.** World demand forecast by primary energy source. Adapted with permission from [6]. Copyright, 2019 Elsevier.

### 2. NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{x} Emission from Combustion

Industrialization and urbanization push the environment more adversely by producing more than 90% of NO\textsubscript{x} because of fuel combustion. Furthermore, the pollutants from various sources such as unlimited combustion of industries, coal-fired power plants, on-road vehicles, aviation transport, gas and fuel refineries combustion exacerbate the situation daily. These sources left the worst effect on the atmospheric equilibrium by the emission of acidic gases (NO\textsubscript{x}, SO\textsubscript{x}) and particulate matter (PM), which are causing many negative impacts on environment, as well as for health [15,16]. The short overview of primary pollutants (NO\textsubscript{x}, SO\textsubscript{x}) is shown in Table 1 [17]. The infinite industrial exhaust in the lower atmosphere needs controlled for the sustainability of environmental balance by
treating the toxic gases. Recently, various stringent laws and regulations have been developed worldwide to reduce the peril influence of toxic gases, especially by anthropogenic sources. The general trend for NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{x} emissions from power plants can be found such as in this Equation (1) [12].

\begin{equation}
\text{Gas-emission} > \text{oil-emission} > \text{coal-emission}
\end{equation}

**Table 1.** Details of NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2} combustion pollutants.

| Name            | Formula | Characteristic | Source                  | When and Where | Health Problems                | Atmospheric Problems |
|-----------------|---------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| Sulphur dioxide | SO\textsubscript{2} | Colourless     | Coal plants, vehicles, industries | London 1952, Beijing, China 1985 | Damages Lungs tissue, asthma | Smog, ozone formation, acid rain |
| Nitric oxide    | NO      | Colorless      | Coal plants, vehicles, industries | Los Angeles 1940, Beijing, China 1985 | Chronic respiratory, visibility, lungs damage, breast cancer, asthma attacks | Smog, ozone formation, acid rain, secondary pollutants |
| Nitrogen dioxides | NO\textsubscript{2} | Reddish-brown | Combustion, nitric acid plants | London 1952, Beijing, China 1985 | Smog, ozone formation, acid rain, secondary pollutants |

2.1. Adverse Effect of NO\textsubscript{x}

The pollution is chronic and becomes acute after entering the human body. The most common and fundamental way in contact with air respiration and ingestion and skin contact also risk [18]. Even though the harmful effect of only NO\textsubscript{x} is not irrevocable and thought to be toxic. This gas in the air and oxygen combination also generates many other secondary pollutants and forms photochemical smog [19,20]. For example, the NO is less harmful than NO\textsubscript{2} and affects the eyes and throat. The more common and stable nitrogen oxides are given in Table 2 with their characteristics. As a result, the number of contaminants released into the atmosphere increases, and people are affected by blends and combinations of pollutants that may lead to more severe health issues [21].

**Table 2.** The most common existing nitrogen oxides and their physical properties of these oxides are given. Adapted with permission from [22]. Copyright, 2010 Elsevier.

| Nitrogen Oxides | Color      | Solubility (g dm\textsuperscript{-3}) [23] | State | Density (g dm\textsuperscript{-3}) |
|-----------------|------------|---------------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| NO              | Colorless  | 0.032                                       | Gas   | 1.3402                            |
| N\textsubscript{2}O     | Colorless | 0.111                                       | Gas   | 1.8                               |
| NO\textsubscript{2}    | Red-brown  | 213.0                                       | Gas   | 3.4                               |
| N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} | Transparent | 213.0                                      | Liquid | 1492.7 (273 K)                   |
| N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} | White     | 500.0                                       | Solid | 20,508 K                          |

2.2. NO\textsubscript{x} Treatment Techniques

To meet the requirement of environmental protection organizations, a number of ways have been developed and executed on the individual industrial level for the treatment of NO\textsubscript{x}, SO\textsubscript{2}. Some techniques are used to decrease NO\textsubscript{x} production during combustion, on the other hand, many ways are also applied to reduce the post-combustion. The overview of currently used abatement technologies is shown in Figure 2. The treatments include dry sorbent injection (DSI), selective noncatalytic reduction (SNCR), wet flue gas desulfurization (FGD), and selective catalytic reduction (SCR) [24,25]. For the treatment of NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2}, FGD and SCR are the two most commonly employed technologies.
2.2.1. Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR)

SCR is the most widely executed technique all over the world for flue gas and fuel combustion treatment on industrial and large-scale NO\textsubscript{x} emission. This technique was introduced by Japan about 45 years ago and later also implemented in USA, Germany and many other European countries. In this technique, ammonia and air are mixed with NO gas in the presence of a catalyst (metallic-oxides), and under high temperature (250–600 °C), NO reduced into N\textsubscript{2} and water ash are shown here [26,27].

Usually, the reduction efficiency of NO\textsubscript{x} depends upon the addition of ammonia for a specific amount of NO\textsubscript{x} (NO and NO\textsubscript{2}) as shown following, Equations (2)–(4).

\begin{align}
4\text{NH}_3 + 2\text{NO} + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow 2\text{N}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
4\text{NH}_3 + 2\text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2 & \rightarrow 3\text{N}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
2\text{NO}_2 + \text{NO} + 4\text{NH}_3 & \rightarrow 2\text{N}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align}

Because of its high acid strength (H\textsuperscript{+} ≤ −5.6) and remarkable Lewis and Brönsted acidity, Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} is the most extensively used catalyst of all [28]. The doping of Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} to Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} catalyst for the NH\textsubscript{3}-SCR reaction was initially described in 1985, and it is still in use today [29]. Although this phenomenon was discovered, the promotion mechanism was lost due to the limited characteristic procedures available at the time. According to a recent study, Ma et al. discovered that excellent SCR performance is achieved through using a Nb promoted CeZrO\textsubscript{x} catalyst, which demonstrated high catalytic activity and N\textsubscript{2} selectivity over a wide temperature range of 190–460 °C [30]. Mosrati et al. revealed that after Nb doping, a Ce/Ti catalyst displayed high activity and N\textsubscript{2} selectivity, and Ce dispersion and strong acidity were essential factors in the catalyst’s high activity [31]. Nb addition can boost the catalyst’s anti-heavy metal poisoning activity as well as catalytic activity. Li et al.
reported that modifying Mn/TiO$_2$ catalysts with Nb increases Zn resistance [32]. Adding Nb to MnO$_x$ (Mn-Nb mixed oxide catalysts) increases NO conversion and N$_2$ selectivity due to increased Bronsted acidity and combining MnO$_x$ and NbO$_x$ [33]. In spite of the fact that the Nb modification boosted the acidity of the catalyst, it also reduced its reducibility, resulting in a synergistic relationship between acidity and reducibility in terms of the catalyst’s catalytic activity. In other words, the acidity and reducibility work together to enhance the catalytic activity [32].

2.2.2. Selective Noncatalytic Reduction (SNCR)

It is possible to reduce NO by using a reagent, often ammonia or urea, at temperatures between 850 ºC and 1175 ºC using the SNCR approach [34]. This technique was also introduced by Japan in the late of 1970s [9,35]. In this experiment, the reagent, ammonia, combines with hydroxyl radicals (OH) to generate an amidogen radical (−NH$_2$), which is as follows:

$$\text{NH}_3 + \text{OH} \rightleftharpoons \text{NH}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (5)$$

when exposed to NO, this radical is selectively reactive and is most commonly involved in the following reactions:

$$\text{NH}_2 + \text{NO} \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (6)$$

$$\text{NH}_2 + \text{NO} \rightleftharpoons \text{NNH} + \text{HO} \quad (7)$$

The importance of reaction (8) can be attributed to the fact that it is a chain branching reaction that regenerates OH radicals required by the chain propagation reaction (5). However, a further reaction occurs with the NNH radical:

$$\text{NNH} + \text{NO} \rightleftharpoons \text{N}_2 + \text{HNO} \quad (8)$$

$$\text{NNH} + \text{M} \rightleftharpoons \text{H} + \text{NO} + \text{M} \quad (9)$$

More hydroxyl radicals are generated because of a chain reaction involving the H atom. However, for normal operation of this technique the stoichiometric ratio is about 4-times as compared to SCR for NO$_x$ removal-reduction as shown in the following chemical reactions Equations (10) and (11) [36].

$$4\text{CO(NH}_3)_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow 2\text{NH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 \quad (10)$$

$$4\text{NO} + 4\text{NH}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 4\text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (11)$$

In stationary combustion systems, ammonium sulphate is being investigated as an addition for the simultaneous control of NO$_x$ via SNCR) and deposition and corrosion and sulfation of alkali chlorides). According to Kristian et al., ammonium sulphate SNCR performance was assessed in a laboratory-scale flow reactor. NO reductions up to 95% were achieved at temperature range 1025–1075 ºC, while using 5 and 10 w% solutions of aqueous ammonium sulphate, respectively, corresponding to ammonium sulphate/NO ratios over 1 [37]. According to reported literature, sulphur from ammonium sulphate is primarily emitted as SO$_3$, even though SO$_2$ is identified in high concentrations at very high temperature (e.g., over 1000 ºC). There was evidence that adding KCl to the SNCR process promoted the reaction at lower temperatures, resulting in an additional 50 degrees Celsius of reduction potential. The high degree of KCl sulfation at or below 1000 ºC was enabled by ammonium sulphate, suggesting the possibility of utilizing ammonium sulphate in full-scale combustion facilities to reduce NO$_x$ and corrosion simultaneously. The experiments were analyzed in terms of a thorough kinetic model that was used. Even though the NO reduction at the optimum was significantly underestimated, the model accurately reproduced the SNCR experiments performed using ammonium sulphate. In addition, KCl sulfation was effectively documented; the enhancing effect of KCl on SNCR with ammonium sulphate was grossly overestimated. Possible explanations for this disparity were considered [22,38].
2.2.3. Limitations of SCR and SNCR

However, the aforementioned methods are decent but still have limitations such as high temperature 700–1100 °C, less efficient for reduction-removal for SNCR, intrinsic use of additional chemicals, high cost, use of surplus freshwater, land area for FGD, and high temperature 300–750 °C, deactivation of catalysts and control of secondary pollutants such as ammonia (NH₃) and hydrocarbons for SCR [39,40]. Another major drawback of this technology is that at high temperature for NH₃ and NO gas mixture, the NH₃ becomes oxidized at >400 °C. The oxidation of NH₃ inhibits the further reduction or transformation of NO, which is not acceptable [41]. The universal law is that at high temperatures, the molecules of substances produce more energy, similarly, NH₃ slips from the reaction chamber and escape into the atmosphere [35]. These parameters limit the applicability for execution on the industrial level. The low temperature and environmentally friendly techniques are highly appreciated.

2.2.4. Common Solid Adsorbent Materials

Mostly post-combustion techniques are practiced due to the efficient reduction of NOₓ and the objective of this work focuses on this method. Some other techniques also have been accessed, which are based on physical absorption of NO, including metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) such as (MIL: Materials of Institute Lavoisier) MIL-88A(Fe), MIL-96( Pt), MIL-100(Fe, Mn) and MIF-74(Co, Mn), activated carbon (AC), lime (CaSO₄), zeolites and direct metal oxides. However, due to low efficiency, deactivation of materials, mist flue gas and selectivity either for NO or SO₂ also inhibit the large-scale applications of these techniques [42,43]. Moreover, MOFs and zeolites are famous for their highest absorption capacity due to their large surface area and highest active sites for scavenging. Hence, the MOF’s materials are also extensively used for storage, transportation of gases and specially for NO storage, only MOFs were being chosen. To avoid the side effects (e.g., redox reaction of NOₓ), it is stored in special non-reacting cages made of MOFs and only released at the time of use [43,44].

MgO-organic component materials were synthesized using glucose and polyvinylpyrrolidone as raw materials in a one-step hydrothermal process. When combined with NOₓ removal, the material is utilized to increase the efficiency of SO₂ removal while simultaneously decreasing the competitive adsorption of both. It was determined if MgO-organic component/pure MgO/MgO (PVP modified)/MgO (glucose modified) improved the adsorption of SO₂ and NOₓ in the simulated coal-fired flue gas in the trials, and the comparison of the test findings was made. The MgO-organic components SO₂ dynamic adsorption capacity was 0.3627 mmol/g, while NOₓ was 0.2176 mmol/g, and the adsorption breakthrough time (time taken when the NOₓ removal rate was 50%) was as long as 60 min (total flow rate of simulated flue gas is 200 mL/min, space velocity is 24,000 h⁻¹, the reaction temperature is 100 °C, the concentration of SO₂ and NOₓ is 50% [45].

3. Low Temperature-Based Abatement Technique

3.1. Metal Ligand Absorption

Researchers and environmentalists have been working on alternative solutions to the concerns listed above and explored various approaches. Another critical thing to be discussed here is the NO solubility problem in water. Some other toxic gases such as CO₂ and SO₂ are easily treated via the alkali absorption method [46,47]. Since the solubility problem NO can be treated such as other gases, it required some combined or integrated system applied for NO reduction [48]. This solubility problem indicated the NOₓ removal would be easier if chemical modifications were used for its absorption and reduction. Many ways came to notice, but most practice has been undertaken by wet scrubbing using Fe-EDTA. There are several reasons for considering flue gas treatment and reduction techniques, which are discussed herein. The MnOₓ/CNTₓ catalysts were found to have unusual SCR activity at low temperatures when they were first synthesized. When using the optimal 1.2 percent MnOₓ/CNTₓ catalyst at 80–180 °C, the NO conversion ranged between
57.4 and 89.2 percent. This occurred from the use of amorphous MnOx catalysts, which have a higher ratio of Mn4+ to Mn3+ and O3 to (O3 + O2) than the crystalline MnOx catalysts [49]. By impregnation and in situ deposition methods, the same Ce/Mn molar ratio was achieved in the preparation of Ce (1.0) Mn/TiO2 catalysts. In comparison to the impregnation-prepared Ce(1.0)Mn/TiO2-IP catalyst, the in situ deposition-prepared Ce(1.0)Mn/TiO2-SP catalyst demonstrated superior catalytic activity throughout a wide temperature range (150–300 °C) and at high-gas hourly-spaced velocities ranging from 10,500 to 27,000 h⁻¹. Furthermore, the Ce(1.0)Mn/TiO2-SP catalyst produced by the in situ deposition approach has superior sulphur resistance to the Ce(1.0)Mn/TiO2-IP catalyst [50,51]. By using the citric acid–ethanol dispersion method, a variety of Gadolinium (Gd)-modified MnOx/ZSM-5 catalysts were produced and assessed using a low-temperature NH3-SCR reaction. Of them, the GdMn/Z-0.3 catalyst, which had a molar ratio of 0.3 for Gd to Mn, had the maximum catalytic activity, and it was capable of achieving a 100 percent NO conversion in the temperature range of 120–240 degrees Celsius. Furthermore, when tested in the presence of 100 ppm SO2, GdMn/Z-0.3 demonstrated superior SO2 resistance when compared to Mn/Z. It was demonstrated that such catalytic efficacy was primarily driven by surface chemisorbed oxygen species, a wide surface area, an abundance of Mn4+ and, a proper acidity and reducibility, and the of the catalyst, among other factors [52].

3.2. Metal Ligand Stability

Among transition metal chelating complexes, Fe-EDTA is the most favorable and stable chelate against the long-range of pH. Comparison for the metal chelate system are also shown in Figure 3. On top of that, ferrous (Fe(II)) has the great affinity towards the NO compared to other d-block elements. By taking advantage of this Fe-EDTA stability and greatest affinity, it has been used for NOx removal from flue gas. Another advantage of this process (wet scrubbing) is the simultaneous removal of NOx and SO2 under ambient conditions. Moreover, the NOx interaction with Fe(II) is direct chemically binding and forms a very stable bond among other transition metals [12,53].

![Figure 3](image_url)

**Figure 3.** (A) Relative stability of Fe-EDTA with other metals, (B) relative NO gas and transition metal interactions.

### 3.3. Principle of Gas Absorption

Basically, this concept is composed of two steps, (i) Fe-EDTA solution absorbs NOx molecule via making metal-nitrosyl-complex and then (ii) this NOx reduced into N2O, N2 and N-S compounds by utilizing the SO2, which is a compulsory part of flue gas. This SO2 transformed into SO4²⁻ by alkali absorption and reduced NOx by converting itself into SO4²⁻-ions. During the reduction of NOx, the scrubber (Fe-EDTA) also regenerated irrespective the valence form of iron, Fe(II) or Fe(III). As Fe(III) is more stable due to more stability than Fe(II), it cannot be restored without the help of the electron donor externally.
The number of electron donors used to reduce Fe(III) back to Fe(II) depends upon the source, condition and more important the NO\textsubscript{x} reduction process.

4. Wet Scrubbing

4.1. Chemically Absorption by Metal-Ligand System

Several studies have demonstrated that wet scrubbing procedures are highly efficient, do not require intrinsic chemicals and are regenerable, requiring no additional fresh water or solution. This approach obtains EDTA-Fe(II) for chemical NO absorption by forming a metal-nitrosyl-complex, then, subsequently, reduced either by externally added sulphite solutions (SO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−}) or by transforming SO\textsubscript{2} into SO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2−} ions through alkali absorption. The reductant may be activated carbon (AC) or an electrochemical system to provide electron for NO\textsubscript{x} reduction and Fe-EDTA regeneration. As this reduction has been performed chemically so it is famous by name de-NO\textsubscript{x}/chem-de-NO\textsubscript{x}. Although wet scrubbing is good enough but due to oxygen contents which is a compulsory part of flue gas, make scrubber inactive by oxidation of EDTA-Fe(II) into EDTA-Fe(III) as shown in the following Equations \cite{54,55}.

\[
\text{Fe(II)-[EDTA]} + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{[EDTA-Fe(II) (NO)]}
\] (12)

\[
\text{SO}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow \text{SO}_3^{2−} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\] (13)

\[
\text{Fe(II)-[EDTA(NO)]} + \text{SO}_3^{2−} + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Fe(II)-[EDTA]} + \text{SO}_4^{2−} + \text{N}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\] (14)

\[
\text{Fe(II)-[EDTA]} + \text{O}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{Fe(III)-[EDTA]} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\] (15)

4.2. NO\textsubscript{x} Removal by Different Techniques

In the same way, there is also another analog technique of chemical wet scrubbing, i.e., bio-de-NO\textsubscript{x}. In this technique, the absorption step is similar to chem-de-NO\textsubscript{x} but some biological reduction methods used the transformation of NO\textsubscript{x} regeneration of Fe-EDTA. The later step is carried out in the presence of microorganisms or bacteria under typical conditions; hence, it is called bio-de-NO\textsubscript{x}. The schematic diagram of bio-de-NO\textsubscript{x} and chem-de-NO\textsubscript{x} is shown in Figure 4. The difference in reduction or source of electron donor varies in both processes \cite{56,57}.

![Schematic diagram for de-NO\textsubscript{x} and bio-de-NO\textsubscript{x}](image)

Figure 4. Schematic diagram for de-NO\textsubscript{x} and bio-de-NO\textsubscript{x}.

The absorption of NO\textsubscript{x} for Fe-EDTA-based wet scrubbing is the same but reduction after making metal-nitrosyl-complex is different. The reduction in de-NO\textsubscript{x}/chem-de-NO\textsubscript{x}
is also carried out chemically by AC, sulphite solution; hence, it is known as chemical absorption and chemical reduction (CA-CR). In the same way, chemical absorption is integrated with the biological approach so that it is named a bio-de-NOx system. In this integrated system, the reduction is carried out biologically via bacteria or microorganism by using glucose, ethanol, and chemical absorption and biological reduction (CA-BR). Both techniques CA-CR and CA-BR are accomplished at low temperature or mostly at room temperature, which is more suitable and cost-effective. As these processes are relatively similar, the problem (regeneration, efficiency, sustainability) of these technologies is also similar to some extent. Our newly published review paper on hybrid wet-scrubbing approaches for the removal of NOx and SO2 can be referred to for further information [2,35].

5. Challenges of Wet Scrubbing Method

5.1. Regeneration of Fe-EDTA

The oxidized (EDTA-Fe(III)) fails to bind the NO from flue gas due to the stability of ferric (FeIII) ions [58]. Reducing Fe(III) into ferrous (FeII) can be accomplished in many ways but the preparation of reducing agents and constantly feeding-up reactors is not highly appreciated. To more sustainable and promising method, the system should be self-generated, i.e., it can be regenerate when used in operation, and the regeneration of Fe(II) is a pre-requisite of this technique. Therefore, the regeneration of Fe(II)-EDTA is not an easy task, so we have added some external reductants, which can assist the regeneration of Fe(II) from Fe(III) [59]. These externally added reductants help reduce the NO into N2 and regeneration Fe(II) after completing the cycle, as shown in Figure 5. Many researchers used a number of reductants to restore the Fe(II) back for NO absorption; some examples of reductants are also given in Table 3.

\[
\text{Fe(II)-EDTA + NO} \xrightarrow{\text{Reduction}} \text{Fe(II)-EDTA-NO} \xrightarrow{\text{Regeneration}} \text{Fe(III)-EDTA + N}_2
\]

Figure 5. NO absorption, reduction and regeneration of Fe(II)-EDTA by external reductant.

Table 3. The commonly used electron donor for regeneration of Fe-EDTA (ferric to ferrous) by different people during NOx reduction via wet scrubbing (chemical reduction and biological reduction).

| Chemical Reduction | Year | Ref. | Biological Reduction | Year | Ref. |
|--------------------|------|------|----------------------|------|------|
| N\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{3} | 1984 | [60] | Ethanol | 1999 | [61] |
| SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} | 1980 | [62] | Acetate | 2003 | [63] |
| HSO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{2-} | 1990 | [55] | Glucose | 2007 | [64] |
| Polyphenolic compounds | 1991 | [59] | Gallic acid pyrogallol and tannic acid | 1991 | [65] |
| Hydrazine | 1994 | [1] |
| Na\textsubscript{2}S\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} | 2005 | [66] |
| Na\textsubscript{2}S | 2006 | [67] |

5.2. Secondary Pollutant

Although this system has many advantages such as it operates at low temperature, does not need extra intrinsic chemicals and scrubber solution is also regenerated, paradoxically, this system still has drawback by generating the secondary pollutant, nitrous oxide (N2O). This N2O is generated as an intermediate or by-products with N2 during NOx reduction as shown in Figure 6 [55]. On the top of that the production of secondary pollutants, nitrous oxide (N2O) more water-soluble and separation from solution mixture is not easy. The main reason is the optimize pH for NO absorption and separation of...
N$_2$O is different, hence, to grab the N$_2$O almost impossible. The emission of this N$_2$O is released by tail gas or treated gas, which is very secondary pollutant and very stable in the atmosphere.

\[
\text{Fe(II)-EDTA-NO} \rightarrow \text{Fe(III)-EDTA + N}_2 \\
\text{Fe(II)-EDTA + N}_2\text{O}
\]

**Figure 6.** Reduction of NO via formation of N$_2$O as an intermediate.

6. **Drawback of Wet Scrubbing**

The absorption and reduction take place under the same conditions (specially pH) so that the recovery of N$_2$O is not easy by this technique. Another critical problem is that the solubility of N$_2$O in water is 5-fold than that of NO gas, so the separation of N$_2$O is not easy [68]. It is one of the stable greenhouse gases (GHG) and creates a number of problems after emission from NO$_x$ treatment plant. N$_2$O takes place by tail gas, which is a very serious issue because it is one of the GHG and causes serious problems such as ozone depletion substance (ODS) [69]. The accumulation of N$_2$O in the atmosphere is again a critical problem that generates more N-oxides and, subsequently, causes atmospheric pollution.

7. **Iron Oxide Nanoparticles**

The retrieval of N$_2$O is not possible from water, i.e., a significant amount is dissolved into water and finally released with tail gas, which again leads to the problem. This problem is not due to the solution mixture, it only relates to the applied condition and specially pH, and it is the main problem of this system. This problem can be resolved if the system operates under two different conditions i.e., absorption and reduction. This could be achieved easily if we transform the nature of Fe-EDTA into a solid form or by anchoring it into metallic particles solid particles. For metallic support, the iron-oxide nanoparticles (IONP) could be an excellent choice for many reasons. Iron is an indispensable part of chemistry, and a number of solid materials, composites and other catalytic tools are used on micro- and macro-levels. In addition, the overall reported iron-oxides are 16, but there are three main groups. Obviously, these three groups are due the stability and extensive occurring on the earth crust throughout the world. These iron oxides exist naturally and these groups with examples shown in Table 4 [70].

| Name                  | Oxidation State | Examples                        |
|-----------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Ferric oxides         | Fe(III)         | Ferrhydrite, goethite, lepidocrocite |
| Ferrous oxides        | Fe(II)          | Fe(II)O, Fe(II)(OH)$_2$         |
| Mixed-valent iron oxides | Fe(III) and Fe(II) | Magnetite, green rust (GR)     |

7.1. **Synthesis of Magnetic Iron-Oxide Nanoparticles**

Out of these oxides, ferric Fe(III) oxides exist abundantly due to oxygen and more stable electronic configuration. The Fe(III) oxides formed naturally into magnetite and green rust via Fe(II) conversion under specific conditions [70]. However, the magnetic compounds exist naturally and are synthesized in the laboratory. The synthesized magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) are nano-sized super magnetic independent particles with different characteristics, structures, and its applications. There are a number of ways to synthesis these magnetic nanoparticles (NP) depending upon the characteristic application in nanoscience and nanotechnology [71,72]. Due to distinguished magnetic response, large surface area, and low
cytotoxicity, the synthesis of $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ NP materials has been of hot interest in the last two decades. Therefore, several different ways have been discovered, to date, and a relative comparison of synthesis is shown in Figure 7 [73].

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Figure 7.** Relative comparison for the synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles (IONP) by three different main categories that are further classified into different types based on sources and predominant principles. Adapted with permission from [73]. Copyright, 2016 Dovepress.

### 7.2. Solvothermal Synthesis

Out of these fabrication methods, the chemical method is attaining more interest and is mostly implemented due to high efficiency, low cost, simple easily adaptable and controllable [74]. Mostly chemical methods are achieved by basic iron salts, common acid-base and solvents used under easily achievable temperature and pH. The predominant techniques are different based on crystalline structure, size, and sometimes its magnetic properties [75]. The solvothermal method is more suitable for crystalline $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ NP than the oxygen-free environment, which is relatively expensive with controlled particles and shape [74]. The most common chemical reactions involved in this method during synthesis are given below Equations (16)–(21) [76].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{FeCl}_3 + 3\text{CH}_3\text{COONa} &\rightarrow \text{Fe} (\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_3 + 3\text{NaCl} \\
\text{Fe}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_3 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} &\rightarrow 3\text{CH}_3\text{COOH} + \text{Fe(OH)}_3 \\
\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} &\rightarrow \text{HOCH}_2\text{CH} = \text{C} = \text{CH}_2 + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} &\rightarrow \text{CH} = \text{CH} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}
\end{align*}
\]
Fe(OH)$_3$ + HOCH$_2$CH$_2$OH → Fe(OH)$_2$ + CH$_2$CHO + 2H$_2$O  
\[ (20) \]

2Fe(OH)$_3$ + Fe(OH)$_2$ → Fe$_3$O$_4$ + 4H$_2$O  
\[ (21) \]

7.3. LaMer and Dinegar Model

The uniform dispersion of these synthesized particles is the priority for all applications and their subsequent results. The growth of monodispersed and uniform-sized metal-oxide (e.g., Fe-O) NP from their precursors depends upon the specific conditions, which remained without changing for a longer time. For more detailed understanding and justification, mostly two models explain this phenomenon and mechanism for completion of the growth of nuclei. The classic model, LaMer and Dinegar mechanism for growth into three stages, as shown in Figure 8, (I) the diffusion of monomers concentration gradually increases up to a specific supersaturation concentration essential for nucleation. (II) after supersaturation, a burst of nucleation occurs in which the solute diffuses from the solution for growth. (III) this growth proceeds by adding the monomer to the particle surface until the monodisperse final size particle is gained [77,78].

Figure 8. LaMer and Dinegar mechanism for monodisperse nanoparticles growth Adapted with permission from [78]. Copyright, 2016 American Chemical Society.

8. General Applications of Magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$)

Two kinds of iron oxides are magnetic in nature (i) magnetite (Fe$_3$O$_4$) and (ii) maghemite (Fe$_2$O$_3$, γ-Fe$_2$O$_3$). These magnetic particles are different regarding the composition of iron, oxygen and grown under different conditions. Both are magnetic and extensively used in various kinds of applications. In addition, magnetite magnetic nanoparticles (Fe$_3$O$_4$ NP) are receiving more interest from researchers due to the wide range of their application in numerous fields. The magnetic response is responsible for multifunctional applications in various sectors including energy storage, carbon capture, medical treatment, enzyme control, hydrogen storage, optical applications, nano electronics, and environmental remediation, as shown in Figure 9 [79–81]. These applications include utilization in the medical field such as for drug delivery, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and environmental treatments such as the removal of pollutants or contaminant particles [7]. Herein, Fe$_3$O$_4$ is employed for the treatment of flue gas due to its distinguished magnetic and stability characteristic [82,83].
Moreover, there are several other applications in which magnetic Fe$_3$O$_4$ NP is directly used, such as in loudspeakers, for damping and cooling agents, low friction seals, the active magnetic membrane used for biological reactor and microfluidic flow [84].

9. Functionalization of Magnetite NP

The predominant and outstanding characteristic of the Fe$_3$O$_4$ NP, which distinguished these NP over the other nanomaterials, is its magnetic property. Taking advantage of Fe$_3$O$_4$ NP, these are used in numerous fields by functionalization or coating of different materials with it [85,86]. The functionalization of Fe$_3$O$_4$ is very useful and makes for unique application in various fields and in the environment. This surface functionalization imparts characteristic properties, enhances stabilization, dispersion, interaction between the NP and target place, and stability over a long range of pH and temperature. The surface coating also avoids leaching, dissolution, aggregation, dispersion, surface charge exchange, increased surface area, porosity, and adsorption characterizations [75,87]. These unique characteristics make the material more functional, useful, and environmentally friendly.

9.1. Functionalized Magnetic NP for NO$_x$ and SO$_x$ Removal

A number of ways have been applied to make Fe$_3$O$_4$ useful for various fields, including drug and gene transportation, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), filtration and purification, wastewater and atmospheric treatment purposes [74,82]. Additionally, the magnetism is distinguished property, making these particles more promising for the en-

Figure 9. Applications of magnetite in various fields. Adapted with permission from [80]. Copyright, 2019 Springer.
vironment. Taking advantage of magnetically fast separation and easy recycling ability without a mechanical loss for several cycles made them more suitable for low operational cost and long-lasting adsorbents [74,88,89]. Recently, Fe(OH)₃ and Fe₂O₃ catalysts for the selective catalytic reduction of NOₓ with NH₃ (NH₃-SCR) were synthesized using a precipitation method and, subsequently, sulfated; the enhancing effect of SO₄²⁻ functionalization on the performance of Fe₂O₃ catalyst in NH₃-SCR was then examined. Results show that when compared to unmodified Fe₂O₃, the SO₄²⁻-functionalized Fe₂O₃ catalysts had much higher SCR activity than non-treated Fe₂O₃ catalysts. In particular, the SO₄²⁻/Fe(OH)₃ catalyst demonstrates exceptional performance in NH₃-SCR, with NOₓ conversion rates of more than 80% at temperatures ranging from 250 to 450 °C; in addition, it exhibits good catalytic stability and resistance to H₂O + SO₂ in the presence of NH₃. In addition, the functionalization of Fe₂O₃ NP by sulfuric acid inhibits its further growth and SO₄²⁻ ions combined with Fe³⁺ to form a stable sulphate complex. Actually, it increases the active-sites and the acid strength, which can inhibit the ammonia over-oxidation on Fe₂O₃ and improve the NOₓ performance of Fe₂O₃ [90,91]. According to another study, improving the low-temperature SO₄²⁻-tolerant selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of NOₓ with NH₃ is an intractable problem due to the difficulty of decomposing accumulated sulphates below 300 °C [92,93]. Moreover, the wide range of surface modification on the magnetic support offers more specific and efficient catalysts. After surface modification, Fe₃O₄ is widely used for NOₓ, SOₓ removal and reduction, while a few examples of these kinds of materials are enlisted in Table 5.

### Table 5. Functionalization of Fe₃O₄ by different organic/inorganic layers used for NOₓ removal and NOₓ reduction under different systems.

| System/Material       | Purpose                        | Reductant/Name of Technique                  | Year | Ref. |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|------|------|
| Fe₃O₄-Chitosan         | NOₓ removal                     | C₆H₁₂O₆, microorganisms (Biological reduction) | 2012 | [94] |
| Fe₃O₄-poly(styrene-glycidylmethacrylate) | NOₓ removal                     | By microorganisms (Biological reduction)     | 2013 | [95] |
| Fe₃O₄                 | De-NOₓ                          | SCR                                         | 2010 | [96] |
| Fe₃O₄/rGO             | NOₓ-sensing                     | Injection of NO in reacting chamber          | 2016 | [97] |
| Fe₃O₄/mpg-C₃N₄        | NOₓ oxidation and storage       | Photocatalytic oxidation under visible light | 2019 | [98] |
| Fe₃O₄-TiO₂            | NOₓ removal                     | Adsorption fixed-bed reactor and High temperature | 2016 | [99] |
| Fe₃O₄ and Fe₂O₃      | De-NOₓ                          | SCR by NH₃                                  | 2009 | [100]|
| Rod-Shaped Fe₂O₃    | NOₓ reduction                   | SCR by NH₃                                  | 2012 | [101]|
| Fe₃O₄@CuS            | Hg capture (Flue gas treatment) | Adsorption fixed-bed reactor                 | 2018 | [102]|

### 9.2. Surface Modification of NP by Ligands

The surface modification depends upon the stability of the loaded-layer and its application under specific conditions. The metal organic ligands (M-L) are relatively more stable after loading on Fe₃O₄ NP. A very common example of M-L is Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and Fe, extensively used for NOₓ scrubbing treatment [103,104]. Although, this M-L system has been used for gas treatment for the last three decades. However, some very critical factors inhibit the industrial applications of EDTA-Fe for flue gas treatment. Those factors can be avoided if this EDTA-Fe load on the surface of Fe₃O₄ achieves this, then, the liquid system is transformed into a solid system. Moreover, previous studies also
indicated the Fe$_3$O$_4$@EDTA system is very stable and showed high reusability [105,106]. The multi chelating ends (amino and carboxylic) of EDTA anchored the Fe$_3$O$_4$ solid NP and positively charged metal ions (FeIII/II) by improving the overall stability and catalytic properties and the proposed general structure is shown in Figure 10 [107,108]. The EDTA further provides stability to the developed system due to its high stability over long-range of pH and potential of making metal-complexes without reduction [109,110]. Hence, the Fe$_3$O$_4$@EDTA-Fe is expected to be an ideal catalyst regarding stability, synergistic efficiency, and reusability.

![Proposed general structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$@EDTA-Fe.](image)

Figure 10. Proposed general structure of Fe$_3$O$_4$@EDTA-Fe.

10. Conclusions

Overall, the removal of NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ from the atmosphere offers significant promise for commercialization on a wide scale for various applications. This study discovered that wet scrubbing is an effective approach that can be used in mild conditions and has a low operational cost due to the ease with which it can be set up. These are the main advantages of this technique, according to the findings. Nevertheless, the need for a substantial amount of freshwater and the need to regenerate the catalyst or scrubbing solution are the primary obstacles to its widespread deployment. To meet the requirements of environmental protection organizations, various methods for the treatment of NO$_x$, SO$_2$, and other pollutants have been devised and implemented at the individual industrial level. Some strategies are used to reduce NO$_x$ production during combustion, while others reduce post-combustion NO$_x$. Dry sorbent injection (DSI), selective noncatalytic reduction (SNCR), wet flue gas desulfurization (FGD), and selective catalytic reduction (SCR) are some of the treatment options available (SCR). FGD and SCR were determined to be the most often employed methods for treating NO$_x$ and SO$_2$, concurrently. N$_2$O cannot be recovered using this wet scrubbing method since the absorption and reduction occur under the same conditions (in particular, pH). Another major issue is that the solubility of N$_2$O in water is five times that of NO gas, making the separation of N$_2$O a difficult operation. It is a stable greenhouse gas (GHG) that causes various difficulties when emitted by NO$_x$ treatment plants. N$_2$O is produced by tail gas, a severe concern because it is a greenhouse gas (GHG) that causes serious difficulties such as ozone depletion (ODS). To address these challenges, solvothermal production of solid magnetic nanomaterials such as iron-oxide nanoparticles and conducting functionalization of magnetite NP and surface modification of NP by ligands were used. It was also discovered that using various wet-scrubbing processes, the synthesis of solid iron-oxides such as magnetic (Fe$_3$O$_4$) NP is gaining popularity among researchers due to the vast range of applications in a variety of sectors. Furthermore, EDTA coatings on Fe$_3$O$_4$ NPs are commonly used because of their great stability over a wide pH range and their ability to form solid catalytic systems. Therefore, the Fe$_3$O$_4$@EDTA-Fe catalyst is expected to be the most stable, efficient, and reusable catalyst available in terms of stability, synergistic efficiency, and reusability. This review is beneficial for environmentalists, scientists, and specialists involved in minimizing
the detrimental impacts of NO\textsubscript{x} and SO\textsubscript{2} at academic and commercial levels in the research and development sectors.
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