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Forty million people are estimated to be in some form of modern slavery across the globe. Understanding the factors that make any particular individual or geographical region vulnerable to such abuse is essential for the development of effective interventions and policy. Efforts to isolate and assess the importance of individual drivers statistically are impeded by two key challenges: data scarcity and high dimensionality, typical of many “wicked problems”. The hidden nature of modern slavery restricts available data points; and the large number of candidate variables that are potentially predictive of slavery inflate the feature space exponentially. The result is a “small n, large p” setting, where overfitting and significant inter-correlation of explanatory variables can render more traditional statistical approaches problematic. Recent advances in non-parametric computational methods, however, offer scope to overcome such challenges and better capture the complex nature of modern slavery. We present an approach that combines non-linear machine-learning models and strict cross-validation methods with novel variable importance techniques, emphasising the importance of stability of model explanations via a Rashomon-set analysis. This approach is used to model the prevalence of slavery in 48 countries, with results bringing to light the importance of new predictive factors—such as a country’s capacity to protect the physical security of women, which has been previously under-emphasised in quantitative models. Further analyses uncover that women are particularly vulnerable to exploitation in areas where there is poor access to resources. Our model was then leveraged to produce new out-of-sample estimates of slavery prevalence for countries where no survey data currently exists.
Introduction

Slavery has existed in the world for over 4000 years, with the most common reference point being the period of transatlantic chattel slavery from the early 1600s to the late 1800s. The formal abolition of slavery was followed by the 1926 Slavery Convention, which is the first international legal instrument that defined and sought to prevent the practice of slavery thereafter. The twentieth century saw further effort to eradicate slavery formally as the issue became incorporated in a range of international human rights instruments, International Labour Organisation (ILO) laws, and domestic legislation. These efforts have eliminated many forms of slavery that involve the direct appeal to ownership of the other and the invocation of property rights claims by slave holders; however, since the 1990s, an increasing number of state and non-state actors have recognised that forms of slavery persist. These new forms of slavery, sometimes termed modern slavery, do not make direct appeals to ownership, but do involve the intentional denial of agency through the use of force, threat, and coercion such that enslaved peoples are treated “as if” they are property.

Today, modern slavery is a phenomenon that continues to affect men, women, and children in all countries in the world (ILO, 2017) and has been formally articulated by the United Nations as part of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), in particular SDG 8.7, which commits states to take effective measures to end modern slavery by 2030. Recent estimates have put the number of enslaved people globally at over 40 million (WFF, 2018a), translating to 5.4 victims from every 1000 people world-wide. Modern slavery is highly challenging to identify and measure. Although several definitions of modern day slavery exist, here we use the definition set out in the Bellagio-Harvard Guidelines on the Legal Parameters of Slavery: “any situation of exploitation that a person cannot refuse or leave because of threats, violence, coercion, deception, and/or an abuse of power” (WFF, 2018a). This definition encapsulates a wide variety of exploitative and coercive practices such as forced labour, debt bondage, forced marriage, sexual exploitation, bonded labour, and human trafficking (ILO, 2017). These types of slavery can be broken down yet further, and alternative valid typologies exist (Cooper et al., 2017). Yet recent trends, perhaps driven by the quantification of the United Nations’ SDGs, have increasingly considered this unifying umbrella definition of modern slavery, encapsulating as it does a wide range of exploitation—from coercive recruitment and grooming in the adult entertainment industry to bonded agricultural labour and state-sanctioned work camps.

Often perceived to be a Low-to-Middle-Income Country (LMIC) or poorer community issue, such an encapsulating definition has highlighted and demonstrated that no country is unscathed of exploitative and coercive practices. It has also turned the task of understanding the causes of modern slavery, encompassing many types of practices, into a “wicked problem” (Rittel and Webber, 1973; Pryshlakivsky and Searcy, 2013) with many potential predictors. Yet, if we are to address the global slavery challenge and meet SDG 8.7 (United Nations, 2021), it is crucial to not only find new ways to measure prevalence (WFF, 2018a; Bales et al., 2015; Larsen and Durgana, 2017), but to understand better the conditions, which allow its continued perpetuation. Thus, we must try to isolate and address the core, driving factors that are leaving particular individuals, regions, or countries at risk.

Estimating slavery prevalence. Estimating the prevalence of slavery remains a central part in helping to prevent it. National and regional estimates not only highlight the extent of the issue, but serve as dependent variables in analyses that attempt to model, and hence find explanations for, slavery’s underlying root causes (Landman, 2020). However, the hidden nature of modern slavery makes it intrinsically difficult to measure (Chan et al., 2020) and there remains much uncertainty around the true number of people enslaved (Silverman, 2018). In recent years, the WFF has made valuable progress in this area, providing estimates of slavery incidence across 48 counties in 2016 and 2018, based upon surveys from the Gallup World Poll (GWP). These estimates have been further extrapolated out-of-sample to countries where no GWP survey data existed using a theoretically driven risk or “Vulnerability Model” (WFF, 2018a; Diego-Rosell and Joudo Larsen, 2018). While this has proven a highly beneficial exercise (Gleason, 2019; Cockayne et al., 2019), the methods used to produce such estimates are not without their limitations (Silverman, 2018; Gleason, 2019; Cockayne et al., 2019; Datta et al., 2018; Gallagher, 2017; Guth et al., 2014; Weitzer, 2014).

A core criticism of the GSI prevalence estimates is the assumption that a country’s vulnerability to modern slavery is equivalent to the actual prevalence of slavery within a country (Silverman, 2018). Owing to the hidden nature of modern slavery practices, and a lack of data in the field, this assumption is hard to validate. Moreover, despite recent advances in Multiple Systems Estimation (MSE) (Bales et al., 2015; Cruyff et al., 2017; Bales et al., 2020; Silverman, 2019), assessment of national slavery prevalence to any degree of accuracy remains an active research area. Other criticisms of the GSI include the poor geographic representativeness of the data (very few western countries have been surveyed) (Gleason, 2019), the loose or changing definition of modern slavery (Gallagher, 2017; Datta and Bales, 2013), the uncertainty of the prevalence estimates not being highlighted (Silverman, 2018), and a lack of transparency around the methodology and data (Silverman, 2018; Gallagher, 2017). Despite these documented short-comings, the GSI prevalence estimates remain the most ambitious and indeed only attempt thus far to estimate the national slavery prevalence in a large number of countries using survey data.

Identifying drivers of modern slavery. An issue with modelling a global problem like modern slavery (particularly when using the all-encompassing definition) is that there exists an extensive range of candidate independent variables to investigate, while at the same time a shortage of prevalence estimates to regress against (due to its hidden nature and aforementioned difficulties with measurement). Therefore, aggregated data used to model slavery prevalence in a population will likely be “small n, large p” in nature (Johnstone and Titterington, 2009). This, not unexpected, issue is symptomatic of many “wicked problems” (Head and Alford, 2015) facing computational social sciences, with few observational units, n, available to researchers in comparison to the vast number of potential driving factors, p, to be modelled. In such situations, dangers of overfitting and significant correlation between predictors can render traditional statistical approaches problematic—and as a result, investigation of the factors underlying modern-slavery remains a challenging statistical task.

Owing to the “small n, large p” problem, prior research exploring the factors underlying slavery has predominantly been theoretically driven, with social science literature reporting individuals most vulnerable to exploitation as being: economic migrants; political asylum seekers; illiterate (Fitzgibbon, 2003) orphaned children (Rau, 2002); homeless people (ILO, 2001); and the jobless (Diego-Rosell and Joudo Larsen, 2018). Such categories pertain to those who either have poor well-being
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factors emphasises the complexity of slavery as a social problem, and Kooijmans, 2008). It is estimated that over 120,000 children and criminals (Fitzgibbon, 2003; Van de Glind and Kooijmans, 2008). It is estimated that over 120,000 children have been used in armed conflicts in Africa alone (Van de Glind and Kooijmans, 2008), with battle deaths also leaving children orphaned and vulnerable (Fitzgibbon, 2003). Destruction of infrastructure and societal systems leaves populations isolated and unprotected, making conflict-torn areas easy targets, where criminal activity and criminal networks are rarely investigated.

At a national level, higher slavery incidences have been linked to both low GDP and higher levels of corruption (Bales, 2006). Yet poverty neither equates to slavery, nor makes it inevitable, and there are many poor areas where slavery is rare (Manokha, 2004). However, poverty can leave people in desperate circumstances, and is a well-established factor in making individuals easier for traffickers to coerce (Adesina, 2014). Slavery and human trafficking in turn undermines local and national economies, lowering GDP further (Datta and Bales, 2013), making cause and effect harder to discern. The impact of armed conflict on slavery is also well reported in the literature, with its effects being both direct and indirect. Men, women, and children have been abducted and forced to serve as soldiers, porters or smugglers of looted goods, forced labourers in military camps, and sex slaves for militia officers (Fitzgibbon, 2003; Van de Glind and Kooijmans, 2008). It is estimated that over 120,000 children have been used in armed conflicts in Africa alone (Van de Glind and Kooijmans, 2008), with battle deaths also leaving children orphaned and vulnerable (Fitzgibbon, 2003). Destruction of infrastructure and societal systems leaves populations isolated and unprotected, making conflict-torn areas easy targets, where criminal activity and criminal networks are rarely investigated.

At higher regional levels, areas such as Africa and Asia have been linked to higher prevalence of slavery (WFF, 2018a). This is thought to be caused by a number of factors including: geographic position with regards to trade routes and smuggling channels (Manzo, 2005); occurrence of natural disasters destroying livelihoods and displacing populations; diseases such as HIV and AIDS increasing the number of orphaned children (Rau, 2002; Roser and Ritchie, 2018); and desertification and rising sea levels causing famine (Brown et al., 2021). The sheer range of factors emphasises the complexity of slavery as a social problem, stemming from the multitude of possible causes interacting with one another.

Overcoming the limitations of traditional approaches. Studies capitalising on the national prevalence estimates represent an important step forward in quantifying and understanding the statistical relationships between variables that may affect slavery. Yet, the insights that can be gained from the linear regression/correlation analyses predominantly used thus far in the literature are constrained by three key factors to overcome: issues of (1) significant correlation between predictors; (2) ungrounded assumptions of linearity and (3) overfitting. These challenges respectively have direct impact on assessing the importance of explanatory variables, overall explanatory-power of different models, and the generalisability of resulting models.

Significant correlation of predictors. In a traditional regression model predictors should ideally be independent if \( \beta \) coefficients are to be used as reliable estimates of variable importance (Nathans et al., 2012). Owing to such inter-correlations, the WFF, for example, excludes several variables from its factor analyses despite “conceptual gaps that were potentially addressed by their inclusion” (WFF, 2018b). Omitted variables in this instance included: political/civil rights, wages, literacy, child mortality, corruption, GDP, government effectiveness, and gender inequality (WFF, 2018b), many of which have been highlighted as important in the literature. Omitting variables with partial collinearities can not only impact model accuracy, but can alter the explanations for slavery produced. Such variables can be involved in non-linear interactions, interpretation of which may offer benefits to policy. Recognising and understanding the effects of such collinearities in a model, rather than simply discarding them, is hence a key focus of this study.
Assumptions of linearity. Traditional regression analyses do not readily reveal non-linear dependencies, tipping-point thresholds, nor sub-population effects—despite such aspects being important issues when forming and implementing policy interventions. If addressing some key factor (e.g., education of women) predominantly impacts a particular context only (e.g., a specific age group), its importance can be lost within linear models—and insufficiently reflected via analysis of $\beta$ coefficients. In domains such as slavery this is an important consideration, with the literature clearly identify variation in the relevance of particular drivers in different geographical regions. In Northern African countries, for example, relative AIDS prevalence is suggested as a strong candidate predictor of slavery, due to the number of children orphaned by the disease (Rau, 2002); in Western countries this relationship would be unanticipated, given the different and better resource and health services. Standard regression approaches often struggle to delineate non-linear contexts of this nature, despite their common occurrence in this domain. To overcome this limitation, the present study evaluates both non-linear and linear methods to model slavery prevalence.

Overfitting. Performing traditional regression analysis on small data carries significant risk of model over-fitting (Yarkoni and Westfall, 2017). This is a critical issue, with models that appear to provide “goodness of fit” to data, offering no guarantees of out-of-sample generalisability in reality. Such cases undermine the efficacy of any explanations emerging from models; and this adds risk to any real-world interventions formed from them. This issue is exacerbated in high-dimensional settings where multiple variables are modelled simultaneously (Yarkoni and Westfall, 2017). Partly, this may be why hypothetic- deductive approaches remain so prevalent in the social sciences, with studies tending to focus on a few key hypotheses in order to prevent the increase of family-wise error rates. While this does indeed help to prevent overfitting, the ability to uncover new, and perhaps unexpected, predictors is often lost. Furthermore, the ability to assess relative variable importance across a wide range of features is foregone, with interactions between variables left unmodelled—a situation that is particularly problematic to “wicked problems” such as modern slavery, where driving factors are unlikely to act in isolation.

In response to issues of multicollinearity, non-linearity and overfitting, the field of machine learning has sought to develop alternative ways to guard against model overfitting, while accommodating both non-linear and multivariate data. This has produced a rich array of cross-validation techniques, methods, which support inductive experimental setups while defending against p-hacking and “procedural overfitting” (Yarkoni and Westfall, 2017). Cross-validation aims to find model parameterisations that maximise generalisability, rather than minimising regression residuals (whilst additionally reducing the influence/biases of the researcher in model specification and model selection phases). Owing to their inductive nature, such frameworks permit discovery of potential new predictors—and crucially allows comparative assessment of the importance of variables, even in non-linear settings. Hence, a strict cross validation approach is taken in this study to help guard against model overfitting.

Cross-validation was, however, designed with large datasets in mind. If stable model interpretations are to be found using smaller datasets, we require additional considerations and alterations to a typical machine-learning methodology. The steps required to achieve this are outlined in detail in the Method section, and centre on integration of feature compression/selection directly into the modelling process, rather than being undertaken a priori as has been common in the field.

Reduction of the variable space at some point is unavoidable, if the “large $p$” problem (Kim et al., 2015) is to be handled. A set of $k$, potentially latent, variables must therefore be identified, where $k < p$. Unlike methods such as partial least squares, which identify such latent variables via parametric modelling assumptions, importantly our new approach treats $k$ as a hyper-parameter to be identified as part of model class exploration. To traverse the model space, $M$, we employ full, leave-one-out cross validation (LOOCV), allowing model parameters to be optimised, whilst using all of the “small $n$” data. This further ensures that insights extracted from fitted models will generalise to the whole dataset as much as possible.

With a best performing compression strategy and model parameterisation, $M \in M$, having been identified via this method, a final issue remains. The potential for multicollinearity in the data increases the likelihood that multiple equally well-performing models exist—models that have (almost) equivalent prediction accuracy to $M$, but which leverage their independent variables in different ways. To interpret a single model, and assume its internal predictive mechanisms are fully representative of the phenomena being analysed, is not therefore credible in “small $n$” situations. To remedy this “instability”, and to increase confidence in the driving factors isolated, we therefore employ a Rashomon-set analysis (Rudin, 2019; Fisher et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020). This involves different well-performing model solutions (i.e., those within an “epsilon-threshold” of the best model’s prediction accuracy) being fit to the full dataset. Variable importance methods can then be applied to all models in this Rashomon set, allowing analysis of variable importance volatility and shedding light on both masking and interaction effects across predictors. Together, these steps allow for meaningful, potentially novel insights to be extracted via a non-linear, inductive approach even in “small $n$, large $p$” settings.

Study overview
In this study, we apply the “small $n$, large $p$” workflow introduced in the previous section to model the prevalence of slavery over 70 country-year data points. To disassociate from some of the criticisms made of the GSI prevalence estimates (Silverman, 2018; Gleason, 2019; Gallagher, 2017), we strictly use 2016 and 2018 data, which have been derived directly from the Gallup World Poll surveys, and not extrapolated in any way (see Methods and Landman and Silverman (2019) for more detail). All data is drawn from published and freely available open source datasets, and is comprised of 106 independent variables (covering a range of economic, socio-demographic and contextual indicators) with a single dependent variable depicting slavery prevalence (derived from GWP surveyed data). The primary goal of the study is to explore the driving factors of modern slavery at the global level, whilst avoiding assumptions of traditional linear methodologies predominately used in the field to date. Use of this inductive methodology provides the opportunity for new candidate predictors to emerge. We evaluate the utility of this approach via comparison to a (i) pre-selection of features using domain theory, and (ii) use of full, raw feature sets with statistical methods such as Partial Least Squares and Lasso regression. As well as providing insight into the drivers of slavery, our final model is also used to generate new out-of-sample estimates of slavery prevalence for countries where no survey data currently exists.

Methods

Data

Selecting the dependent variable. A single dependent variable was used. This was the GSI’s country level prevalence estimates derived from the Gallup World Poll (GWP) survey data
(provided by the ILO and WFF) and converted to a percentage of the population. This included prevalence estimates for 48 unique countries over 2016 and 2018\(^5\). For 22 countries there were estimates for both 2016 and 2018, giving a total of 70 data points over the two years\(^3\). It is noted that the countries in this sample did not include any countries from Western Europe or North America, and thus the generalisability of the findings to these regions are limited. The median value of prevalence was 0.46%, with the upper and lower quartiles as 0.26% and 0.77% respectively.

**Selecting the independent variables.** The independent variables were scraped from online sources such as the World Bank Development Indicators (2018), UNAIDS (2019), the Woman-Stats project (Caprioli et al., 2009), the Early Warning Project, CIRI Human Rights Data Project (Cingranelli et al., 2014), and Landman and Silverman (2019) and the UN’s Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) indicators. A total of 106 features were selected and collated\(^4\). The features, feature descriptions, and sources can be found in the Supplementary Material, page 11, section Variable Descriptions. The data sources were verified to ensure that sufficient information on how the data was coded/collated was available, missing data was minimal for the 70 data points, and information existed for the time period that the data reflected. If the variable was a composite scale or score then the variables from which it was constructed from, and how, needed to be clear before being selected.

**Data pre-processing.** Information on the open source variables indicated that often data had been collected over multiple years. When this was the case, the most recent year in the collection time frame was recorded. The year the data was collected was an important part of the data processing as for 22 countries there were two dependent variable data points—slavery prevalence in 2016 and slavery prevalence in 2018. Therefore, data was sought to cover both these time periods. Overall, there was not enough data specific to the years 2016 and 2018 so the data was grouped by time period (2016 and before, and post 2016) and the most recent data from each group selected\(^5\). As an example, the Physical Security of Women Scale had data only from the years 2014 and 2019, therefore the former went in group 1 to predict slavery in 2016 and the latter went into group 2 to predict slavery in 2018. Grouping the data in this manner reduced the missing data, but with the caveat that the features that were assumed to be relatively stable between 2011 and 2016 for group 1, and between 2016 to 2019 for group 2.

After this, any missing data that remained was then dealt with in the following way. First, variables which had >50% of data missing were discarded. Then, remaining data were imputed in two steps. Where a country had either 2016 or 2018 data, the data from the year where it was available was used for both years (therefore, in a small number of cases the same feature value was used to predict two different dependent variable values—prevalence in 2016 and 2018). After this, the subsequent missing values were minimal, and can be viewed in the Supplementary Material, Fig. S6. The final step was to use a multivariate feature imputation method with regression trees for the remaining missing values\(^5\). Variables were then normalised to be between between 0 and 1.

**Analysis.** The methodology used in this study was exploratory, inductive and data driven. This involved evaluating a number of different approaches and model classes to assess what configuration of method and model produced the most accurate predictions. Firstly, the utility of using all the features versus a smaller pool of features selected from the literature (the approach used by the GSI (WFF, 2018b)) was tested. This theory driven selection process was undertaken using a literature review and by consulting with domain experts. The 106 features were reduced to 35 spanning poverty, globalisation and the country’s wealth, education, politics, violence, and conflict (the full list of features and their sources can be found in the Supplementary Material, page 11, section Variable Descriptions). Secondly, the value in using feature decomposition prior to modelling verses inputting the raw variables into the model was assessed. Non-negative Matrix Factorisation (NMF) was chosen as it forces the matrices to be non-negative, making the emergent components easier to interpret than when using other methods such as Principal Component Analysis (PCA). Finally, three different model classes (also selected for their interpretability) linear regression, decision tree, and random forest, with optimised meta-parameters, were also evaluated. The resultant sixteen combinations of different methods and models types can be found in Table 1. Leave one out cross validation (LOOCV) was used to evaluate the model parameters selected to ensure that the model was generalisable to the full dataset. Given the lack of data and that fitting the model for an explanation, rather than pure prediction, was the goal of the study, no data was held back to create a separate test set.

To harness the model for out-of-sample prediction (the prediction of slavery in countries where no survey data exists) additional independent variable data were scraped for the 171 countries (in 2018) unseen by the model. Where independent variable data was not directly available, the same imputation method was used as described previously. For more information on the missing data prior to imputation for this sample see the Supplementary Material, Fig. S6. The model was then trained on the 70 data points for 2016 and 2018 where survey data was used in the estimates, and out-of-sample predictions made for the countries with no survey data.

| Table 1 LOOCV performance of the different pipelines. |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Feature selection | Feature compression | Model class | MAE   |
| Full feature set | NMF | Linear Regression | 0.266 |
| Full feature set | NMF | Decision Tree | 0.241 |
| Full feature set | NMF | Decision Tree (MF) | 0.227 |
| Full feature set | Partial Least Squares (PLS) | Regression | 0.269 |
| Full feature set | No compression | Lasso Regression | 0.248 |
| Full feature set | No compression | Decision Tree | 0.322 |
| Full feature set | No compression | Decision Tree (MF) | 0.250 |
| Full feature set | No compression | Random Forest | 0.246 |
| Theory-based | NMF | Linear Regression | 0.291 |
| Theory-based | NMF | Decision Tree | 0.282 |
| Theory-based | NMF | Decision Tree (MF) | 0.232 |
| Theory-based | NMF | Random Forest | 0.248 |
| Theory-based | Partial Least Squares (PLS) | Regression | 0.284 |
| Theory-based | No compression | Lasso Regression | 0.248 |
| Theory-based | No compression | Decision Tree | 0.293 |
| Theory-based | No compression | Decision Tree (MF) | 0.228 |
| Theory-based | No compression | Random Forest | 0.249 |

Notes: The best performing pipeline is highlighted in bold. MAE mean absolute error. NMF Non-negative Matrix Factorisation. Theory-based refers to feature selection based on the literature (P = 34). MF refers to allowing the “max features” hyper-parameter to be tuned, so that the decision tree could not select from all features at each split. A Lasso regression (L1 norm regularisation) was used instead of a linear regression when no feature compression was used due to the the high number of independent variables. For a graphical visualisation of the MAEs see the Supplementary Material, Fig. S1.
Results

Model selection. The model selection phase of our analysis considered a range of candidate features spaces: the full feature set (all 106 national level variables), a subset of 34 variables reported in the literature as relating to modern-slavery, and a range of compressed feature spaces (expressing between 2 and 8 components). This meant that as well as testing different model classes, the utility of feature-selection based on theory and different feature compressions could also be tested. Non-negative Matrix Factorisation (NMF) was selected to identify latent variables due to ease of interpretation in comparison to other compression methods, such as PCA. NMF parameterisations ($k$) were explored as part of the grid search, meaning the interactions between feature compression and model class parameterisations were also captured. This allowed identification of optimal latent variable compression for each predictive mechanism. The model classes explored ranged from linear and regularised regression-based models, to non-linear approaches such as decision trees and random forest models (also chosen due to high interpretability). Partial least squares was also examined due to its internal identification of latent structures. The best performing parameterisation for each of these modelling strategies is detailed in Table 1, with Fig. 1 illustrating the distribution of performances for all models with MAE < 0.27.

The best performing model used the full feature pool ($p = 106$), compressed the feature space via latent NMF components ($k = 6$), then used a (non-linear) decision tree model with a restricted number of “max features” (MF) available at each split (to help prevent the tree from getting stuck at a local minima). For the full set of model parameters see the Supplementary Material, page 11, section Model Parameters. The best model’s performance was a significant improvement on both the mean (MAE = 0.366, $p < 0.001$) and median (MAE = 0.349, $p < 0.001$) baseline predictions analysed using a Wilcoxon Signed-Rank t-test.

Predictions using leave-one-out analysis compared to the “actual” slavery prevalence estimations can be viewed in Fig. 2, accompanied by the distribution of 10,000 bootstrapped predictions to illustrate the associated uncertainty. The graph highlights that the best model, $\hat{M}$, was less effective at predicting a subset of countries, and in particular those with the highest prevalence of slavery, which it tended to underestimate.

Model interpretation. In the model interpretation phase, the best model, $M$, was re-fit to the data, and the resulting NMF components and variable loadings analysed to determine component themes (see Supplementary Materials, Fig. S3 for a breakdown of the model). Components’ relative importances were then compared using permutation importance (Altmann et al., 2010). Finally, a group of similarly performing models that constituted a Rashomon set (Rudin, 2019; Fisher et al., 2019; Smith et al., 2020) were utilised to investigate whether insights converged across multiple well performing models, or whether alternative explanations exist.

For $M$, the Rashomon stage reduced the 106 different variables to 6 latent components, interpretable as: Democratic Rule, Armed Conflict, (lack of) Physical Security for Women, Social Inequality and Discrimination, Access to Resources, and Religious and Political Freedoms (specific variable loading’s can be viewed in the Supplementary Material, Fig. S2). Interestingly, the component themes that emerged closely matched the vulnerability factors used by the WFF in their vulnerability model, with one notable exception: the addition of a component focusing specifically on the Physical Security of Women. The component permutation importance for $M$ was also calculated, with Fig. 3 providing a comparison of the components’ importance, and illustrating that access to resources was identified as the most important predictor of national slavery prevalence in the model. This, however, only reflects the viewpoint of a single model. To test the stability of the insights produced, further analysis was performed using a Rashomon set approach (Rudin, 2019). Risk of model instability is relatively high in “small $n$, large $p$”, and can be exacerbated when non-parametric approaches such as decision trees (Breiman, 2001) are employed to handle multicollinearity between the components. To deal with this it is therefore necessary to examine whether other well performing models gave converging or diverging explanations (referred to as the Rashomon effect(Semenova and Rudin, 2019)). In this case, the Rashomon set was defined as any model whose MAE performance was within 2.5% of the best performing model ($< 0.233$). Models retained in the Rashomon set are outlined in Table 2, and feature; three other models with the same pipeline as the best model (using NMF→DT (MF) on all the features); a DT (MF) using just the theory features, and a final NMF→DT (MF), which used only theory-selected features. The disagreements in predictions made across the Rashomon set can be viewed in Fig. 4.

Despite NMF models utilising different random seeds for initialising coordinate descent and different coefficients for the regularisation terms (alpha), $K = 6$ emerged as optimal across all pipelines on the full feature set. Corresponding NMF themes remained predominantly stable across each model (see the Supplementary Material, Fig. S4), providing strong evidence for the applicability of the six latent variables identified.

Within model’s sharing the same class as $\hat{M}$ (NMF→DT (MF), all features), the permutation importances of components were compared across models, in order to understand how other competing solutions compared to the explanation provided by $\hat{M}$. This comparison can be viewed in Fig. 5. The graph illustrates the different predictive strategies the models used following feature reduction. The variation across models highlights the risk of over-interpreting insights from a single model. For example, in the best performing model, $\hat{M}$, Democratic Rule and Armed Conflict are relatively unimportant predictors of slavery prevalence, yet are the most important in Rashomon models, $M_2$, $M_3$, and $M_4$. 

---

Fig. 1 The LOOCV performance of all models where MAE was < 0.27. Each point represents the performance of a distinct set of hyperparameters within each model class.
Permutation importance (Altmann et al., 2010) was used. Validation (LOOCV), compared to the “actual” prevalence as estimated using the Gallup World Poll (GWP) survey data. The grey box plots illustrate the distribution of 10,000 bootstrapped LOOCV predictions (using the full pipeline NMF→DT) to help illustrate the uncertainty associated with our model’s predictions. The box shows the quartiles of the bootstrapped predictions while the whiskers extend to show the rest of the distribution, except for points that were determined to be “outliers” (using a function of the inter-quartile range), which are not plotted. The x-axis is ordered by the MAE.

Predicting prevalence for countries with no survey data. As a final stage of analysis we present new estimates for countries where no GWP survey data has been collected, projecting the best performing model out-of-sample in order to generate new estimates of prevalence. The model was fitted to the 70 country-year data points over the years 2016 and 2018 for which survey data were available. Predictions are output for the 172 countries in 2018 for which no survey data exists. Figure 8 shows the estimates produced compared to the estimates made by the model used in the 2018 edition of the Global Slavery Index (GSI) WFF (2018a). The GSI, which we compare to, used a hierarchical Bayesian linear model with additional adjustments (see WFF (2018a) and Diego-Rosell and Joudo Larsen (2018) for further methodological details).

These out of sample predictions constitute the best predictions within the parameters of our grid search, noting that that our parameter and model choices were influenced by the goals of the investigation—improved understanding of the predictors of slavery. It is well recognised that the goals of explanation and prediction do not always align (Yarkoni and Westfall, 2017; Breiman et al., 2001; Shmueli et al., 2010), and the model selection and parameter searches in our implementations were guided by a need for model interpretability (e.g., use of linear latent variable structures), not prediction. It therefore remains possible that predictions could be improved through the use of other models (i.e., non-linear compression and black box models) or data sampling techniques (such as taking the mean of the bootstrapped predictions). Nevertheless, considering the estimates produced by computational models with well-established and understood mechanisms, establishes not only (a) interpretable estimates, but also (b) whether different models with different features diverge or converge in their predictions, and (c) what further data and features might improve prediction.
This study, for the first time, presents an inductive machine-learning methodology and contemporary variable importance analysis to explore the complex predictors of modern slavery in the real world. By evaluating multiple different pipelines the utility of theory-driven feature selection versus guided feature compression (to help deal with the "large p" problem) has been tested, as well as comparing the performance of non-linear models versus their more traditional linear counterparts. Generally, and with but few exceptions, models accessing all features performed better than those using features selected from the qualitative literature. Given the generous selection of variables entered into the theory-selected feature pool this highlights the potential of an inductive methodology to uncover novel predictors, even in "small n, large p" contexts. Model class comparisons showed that non-linear models gave better predictions than their linear counterparts, supporting the notion that our analysis is capturing non-linearities that have not been previously modelled quantitatively before. Finally, allowing guided feature compression, parameterisable as part of a grid search, outperformed traditional approaches that incorporated latent structures, such as partial least squares.

The majority of the models in our Rashomon set benefited from identification of latent components. This broadly validates...
the utility of summarising predictors of slavery into $k$ latent factors (first done by the WFF in the construction of the Vulnerability Model (WFF, 2018b)). Whilst the final model decided on by the WFF consists of 5 factors, the naturally occurring solution (based on eigenvalues greater than 1) actually consisted of 6 factors (WFF, 2018b), corroborating our bottom-up findings. The present study extends the WFF’s initial work by constructing components directly shaped by the outcome variable, slavery prevalence, and thus a step towards overcoming a core criticism— that vulnerability or risk of slavery is not the same as prevalence (Silverman, 2018).

Importantly, our analysis highlights the additional contribution of a new component, Physical Security of Women, reflecting the onus on a country’s law enforcement to protect woman from domestic violence, rape and sexual assault, marital rape, shame/honour killings or femicides (Caprioli and et al., 2009). The Physical Security of Women has been previously been overlooked in quantitative models (WFF, 2018b), perhaps due to the non-linear effect it has on the prediction of slavery, in combination with its interactions with other components. Most notable is the finding that not only is there a greater vulnerability to women’s physical security in areas lacking access to resources, but this in turn is an indicator of slavery occurrence (including the sexual exploitation and forced marriage of women).

In previous models, gender inequality features have had to be removed because of issues with multicollinearity (WFF, 2018b). Our methodology, which does not require the removal of correlated variables, demonstrates that gender inequality is likely a core piece of the puzzle in predicting national slavery figures. In addition to the Physical Security of Women being a predictive component, variables depicting either the reporting or prevalence of rape also loaded highly onto two other components (see the variable loadings in the Supplementary Material, Fig. S2). This highlights that when explanation is the goal, it can be important for the researcher not to remove features that are correlated a priori, and instead navigate issues of multicollinearity within the modelling so that a more complete explanation can be achieved.

A Rashomon set analysis highlighted that despite the latent components found to be stable, there existed a high degree of variability in the importance ratings the models assigned to each component. All components were used to differing degrees in each Rashomon model, emphasising the care that must be employed when using machine learning not just for prediction, but to understand underlying factors. There is danger, particularly in the social sciences, in focusing on a single model for interpretation. Even if the model performs well, misleading explanations can be drawn, particularly in the presence of significant correlations (Fisher et al., 2019), and when there is a degree of uncertainty around the accuracy of the data (see limitations below).

Finally, this study leveraged machine learning to generate new estimates of slavery prevalence. These estimates are of course useful unto themselves in understanding both the extent of slavery, and surrounding uncertainty. Additional insights can also be obtained by reflecting on the differences between the estimates produced by different models/methodologies. It is highlighted here that we are not claiming these estimates to be an improvement over the GSI’s, instead merely being transparent about what our model would predict given the data available (which is still subject to data representation issues (Gleason, 2019)), so that insights from comparing the estimates can be gleaned. Our model was not optimised for prediction (instead explanations), and we did not have access to the raw GWP data used by the WFF. However, we make an effort to use a transparent data driven methodology, as well as estimating the uncertainty of our estimates (two criticisms of the GSI methodology (Silverman, 2018; Gallagher, 2017)).

In contrast, the WFF’s approach we considered in comparison, involved multiple adjustments and does not solely reflect the information held in independent variable data (WFF, 2018a). This prompts the question of what additional information or intuition experts believe is not currently being captured in data and what would be valuable data for researchers to have. Understanding these data gaps, as well as when and why certain estimates don’t align with experts’ expectations, will be crucial for advancing the measurement of modern-day slavery forward. Future efforts might focus on how human judgement and computational modelling can be combined to build prediction models—harnessing the advantages of a data driven approach (objectivity, quantification, and out-of-sample predictions) combined with expert human judgement and additional contextual information.

In this study, while key limitations of traditional regression approaches were mitigated against, several limitations remain inherent to the data. The dependent variable, although derived from survey data collected using a representative sampling
methodology (Gallup World Poll, 2020), nonetheless represents an estimate rather than a direct measure of slavery. Consequently, prevalence estimates must be considered more a reflection of slavery risk across the given the population, rather then corresponding to formal incidence numbers. Further, the estimates we produce are not able to offer indication of the breakdown in the typology of slavery occurring within a country. Recent work has focused on establishing proxy indicators for specific types of vulnerability/exploitation, or exploitation within specific industries, using small scale surveys married to digital traces such as mobile phone records (Engelmann et al., 2018), satellite imagery (Boyd et al., 2018; Jackson et al., 2018, 2020; Foody et al., 2019), and vessel data (Nakamura et al., 2018). Such approaches can help to bolster the data in this domain without putting vulnerable individuals at further risk.

This study applied machine-learning methods to the context of modern slavery to better understand the global drivers of exploitative practices. Using rigorous cross validation approaches, careful consideration of model stability, and an in-depth variable importance analysis, stable predictive components emerged using data characterised as “small n, large p”. Notably, a novel predictive component was found in the Physical Security of Women, which was shown to predict prevalence non-linearly, and in association with other components (in particular Access to Resources). The limitations our methodology overcame, namely assumptions of linearity, significant correlations between variables, and over-fitting, allowed for the discovery of the predictive capabilities of this component. Although the importance of this feature may be unsurprising to many working with exploited women and girls, it has previously been under-emphasised in global quantitative models and research studying modern slavery as an umbrella term for all coercive activities (e.g., WFF (2018b)). This study also investigated under what contexts the Physical Security of Women is most predictive—where access to resources is poor. These findings make the case for further exploration of data-driven, inductive approaches and out-of-sample prediction to complement existing methodologies being used to study complex social, or “wicked”, problems such as modern-day slavery.

Data availability
The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study, along with the code used to analyse them, are available for download in the ml-slavery GitHub repository: https://github.com/ml-slavery/ml-slavery
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Notes
1 Where researchers collect or select data or statistical analyses based on either the conscious or unconscious motivation to obtain a statistically significant result (Head et al., 2015).
2 The 2018 GSI in actually includes estimates of prevalence for a total of 167 countries. However, the majority of these were produced using a risk model, and not estimated from survey data. The reliability and practical usefulness of these extrapolated estimates have been queried (Silverman, 2018; Landman and Silverman, 2019). Therefore, this analysis only uses estimates that were derived directly from the GWP survey data (as in Landman and Silverman (2019)).
3 Given that the sampling procedure for both the 2016 and 2018 Gallup World Poll was random (Gallup World Poll, 2020), there was no known reason to assume that the 2016 survey would have directly influenced the 2018 survey, and thus the country prevalence estimates for 2016 and 2018 were treated as independent.
4 Features were selected based on past literature, the authors’ intuition, and conversations with domain experts. Initially there were 137 variables in the feature pool, before 31 were discarded due to missing data, duplication, or measuring a phenomena too similar to the dependent variable.
5 For ease, the 2018 SDG data was used for 2016 and 2018 due to the multiple sources and dates from which the data were collected.
6 The CART regression tree method was chosen due to features being too highly correlated to perform regression-based imputation methods, such as predictive mean matching (causing singularities in the matrix). Further, as linear models such as linear regressions were being utilised in this analysis, a non-linear imputation method was preferable to avoid the variables’ collinearity being inflated by the imputation process.
7 In this particular analysis we chose not to add a weighting to the high estimates, but recognise that this might be appropriate if using the model as a tool to identify areas at high risk.
8 While selecting an epsilon-values of this nature when generate Rashomon set’s remains a subjective task, Fig. 1 illustrates the visible gap in performance between the set of models included in comparison to competitors.
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