Evaluation Of Subjective Cognitive Function Using The Cognitive Complaints In Bipolar Disorder Rating Assessment (COBRA) In Japanese Adults
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Purpose: To examine the relationship between depressive symptoms, subjective cognitive function, and quality of life in Japanese adults using the Cognitive Complaints in Bipolar Disorder Rating Assessment (COBRA).

Patients and methods: We evaluated 585 adult community volunteers using the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for evaluation of depressive symptoms and the COBRA for evaluation of subjective cognitive function. We additionally used the 8-item Short-Form Health Survey and the Sheehan Disability Scale to evaluate the quality of life (QoL).

Results: Measures of subjective cognitive function were significantly correlated with depressive symptoms and QoL. Structural equation modeling demonstrated that depressive symptoms directly and indirectly decreased QoL via their effects on subjective cognitive dysfunction. Measures of depressive symptoms were more closely related to QoL than were measures of subjective cognitive function.

Limitations: Study participants were general adult population community volunteers and included healthy people; thus, these results may not be generalizable to patients with depression or bipolar disorder. In addition, the cross-sectional design of this study prevented the identification of causal relationships among the parameters.

Conclusion: Changes in subjective cognitive function may affect QoL via depressive symptoms. Evaluations of subjective cognitive function may help identify factors that reduce QoL.
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Introduction
Quality of life (QoL) of adults from the general population is affected by various factors. A significant association between depressive symptoms and QoL has been shown, and cognitive function has been shown to affect the QoL of workers. Both depression severity and cognitive symptoms correlate with poor health-related QoL in major depressive disorder. According to recent research on depression, subjective cognitive function and depression severity correlate with psychosocial function; however, the objective cognitive function does not significantly correlate with psychosocial function. Lately, the association between subjective cognitive function, depressive symptoms, and QoL has been gaining attention not only in major depressive disorder but also in other mood disorders and with respect to adults in the general population.

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a persistent chronic disorder that is characterized by variations in mood state and energy levels. BD affects more than one percent of the...
world’s population regardless of nationality, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status.\textsuperscript{4} The fifth edition of the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Psychiatric Disorders (DSM-5)\textsuperscript{5} states that the main features of BD are persistent episodes of mania and depression. Although this condition negatively influences the QoL of affected individuals\textsuperscript{6} and is a global source of disability, the biological basis of BD remains generally unknown, and the treatment of this disease is far from satisfactory.\textsuperscript{7} Objective cognitive functions, such as verbal memory and executive functioning as well as subjective cognitive functions, are often impaired in patients with euthymic BD.\textsuperscript{8,9}

Neuro-assessment instruments have been used to evaluate the subjective and objective cognitive functions of patients with BD.\textsuperscript{10–12} However, patients with BD, particularly those with hypomanic or manic symptoms, sometimes fail to recognize the significance of their symptoms;\textsuperscript{13} therefore, it is often necessary to assess their subjective cognitive function during remission. The Cognitive Complaints in Bipolar Disorder Rating Assessment (COBRA) is a self-reported instrument that was established by the Bipolar Disorder Program in Barcelona to measure subjective cognitive impairments in patients with BD.\textsuperscript{14} Indeed, the International Society for Bipolar Disorders Targeting Cognition Task Force recommends that the COBRA be used as an adjunct to objective clinical assessments of cognition.\textsuperscript{15}

Although the COBRA has been employed in cognitive impairment studies related to QoL,\textsuperscript{10} these studies are limited. Recently, Toyoshima et al\textsuperscript{16} proposed a validated Japanese version of the COBRA and applied it to Japanese patients with euthymic BD; this version showed some association between impairment of subjective cognition and QoL.\textsuperscript{17} However, there is limited data regarding the use of the COBRA in the general adult population. The present study, therefore, aimed to assess the relationship between subjective cognition and QoL in the general Japanese adult population using the COBRA. We hypothesized that a decrease or decline in subjective cognitive function would lead to a concomitant decline in QoL.

Materials And Methods

Research Subjects

The current study recruited 597 subjects, irrespective of health and psychiatric status, from April 2017 to April 2018 using a convenience sampling method. The study was conducted at the Tokyo Medical University in Tokyo, Japan, and was approved by the local ethics committee of Tokyo Medical University (approval number, 2016-144). After the nature and purpose of the study were explained to the participants (249 men and 348 women), they provided written informed consent. This study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Assessments

All subjects were evaluated for subjective cognitive function using the COBRA; QoL, the 8-item Short-Form Health Survey (SF-8); QoL and functional impairment, the Sheehan Disability Scale (SDS); and depressive symptoms, the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). Of the 597 subjects who consented to participate, 12 did not complete their questionnaires and were therefore excluded from the analysis. The clinical and sociodemographic data of the remaining 585 subjects were collected (Table 1).

Subjective Cognitive Assessments

The COBRA utilizes queries informed by everyday mental tasks. Sixteen items, including verbal learning and memory, executive functionality, attention/concentration, working memory, processing speed, and mental tracking, are used to measure subjective cognitive dysfunction,\textsuperscript{14} and a four-point scale is used to rate these items (0 = never, 1 = sometimes, 2 = often, and 3 = always). The total COBRA score is calculated by adding the rating of each item; the highest possible score is 48, and scores of ≥15 indicate moderate to severe subjective cognitive impairment.\textsuperscript{15}

This study utilized the Japanese version of the COBRA,\textsuperscript{16} which was checked by the original proponents to ensure that the contents retained their originality. Discriminative capacity analysis has shown that a score of 10 obtains the best balance between sensitivity (68.1%) and specificity (68.5%) between bipolar disorder patients and healthy subjects.\textsuperscript{14}

Assessment Of QoL

The 8-item-containing Short-Form Health Survey (SF-8) was also employed to evaluate the health-based dimensions of QoL,\textsuperscript{18} such as vitality, bodily pain, overall health status, cognitive health, and physical functioning. The SF-8 contains a physical component summary (PCS) and a mental component summary (MCS). The PCS includes measures of physical functioning (PF), role-physical (i.e., limits linked to physical problems) (RP), bodily pain (BP), and general health perception (GH). The MCS includes
measures of vitality (VT), social functioning (SF), role-emotional (i.e., limits linked to emotional problems) (RE), and mental health (MH). Each item enquires about health status alterations. The scores of the eight scales and two-component summary scores were standardized with the Japanese population norms to obtain mean scores of 50 and standard deviations of 10 (norm-based scoring: NBS). The NBS enables the comparison of the health-related QoL among different disease populations.

### Measures Of Disability And Impairment

Sheehan et al. developed the Sheehan disability scale (SDS), which evaluates global impairment and is made up of three impairment-related items that affect work, social life, family life, and supplementary dimensions of QoL. Researchers such as Endicott et al. and Arbuckle et al. have previously applied this tool to patients with BD. The SDS requires patients to rate the degree to which their symptoms affect their work/school, social life, and family life/home obligations on a 10-point visual analog scale. This scale ranges from 0 to 10 (0 = lack of impairment or dysfunction, 1–3 = mild dysfunction, 4–6 = moderate dysfunction, 7–9 = marked dysfunction, and 10 = immense disability). The following question precedes each item: “Have the symptoms disrupted your work/school, social life, and family life/home responsibilities?” The total scores range from 0 to 30; higher scores indicate more disruption in the three domains (i.e., work/school life, social life, and family life) as a result of mental illness. Functional remission was defined as scores ≤6. In primary care settings, over 80 percent of the patients with psychiatric disorders have high SDS scores, while nearly 50 percent of those with high SDS scores have at least one mental disorder.

### Measurement Of Depressive Symptoms

The PHQ-9 is a self-administered questionnaire that may be used to screen for major depressive episodes and measure the extent of depression-associated symptoms. The Japanese version of the PHQ-9, developed and validated by Muramatsu et al., was adopted for the present study. This study used a summary score for evaluating the severity of depressive symptoms. Specifically, we calculated the number of times (0–27 points) that patients experienced nine depressive symptoms in the previous 2 weeks using a 4-point Likert scale for each item (0 = not at all, 1 = several days, 2 = more than half the days, and 3 = nearly every day). For the Japanese version of PHQ-9, the sensitivity (90.5%) and specificity (76.6%) were confirmed using the optimal cut-off points ≥10 for depression.

### Table 1 Socio-Demographic And Clinical Data

| Patient Details                          | Mean (SD)      | n (%)       |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| Age (years) (n=584)                     | 41.71 (12.10)  |             |
| Sex, male, n (%) (n=584)                | 249 (42.6)     |             |
| Sex, female, n (%) (n=584)              | 335 (57.4)     |             |
| Married, n (%) (n=580)                  | 383 (65.5)     |             |
| Years of education (n=585)              | 14.60 (1.82)   |             |
| Currently employed, n (%) (n=584)       | 571 (97.6)     |             |
| Psychiatric history, n (%) (n=585)      | 68 (11.6)      |             |
| Current psychiatric treatment, n (%) (n=575) | 23 (3.9)     |             |
| Family history of psychiatric treatment, n (%) (n=531) | 58 (9.9)     |             |
| Drinking, n (%) (n=584)                 | 379 (63.4)     |             |
| Smoking, n (%) (n=584)                  | 114 (19.5)     |             |
| PHQ-9 (n=585)                           | 4.05 (4.23)    |             |
| SDS work, (n=580)                       | 2.04 (2.52)    |             |
| SDS social (n=580)                      | 1.72 (2.44)    |             |
| SDS family/home (n=580)                 | 1.52 (2.38)    |             |
| SDS total (n=580)                       | 5.28 (6.61)    |             |
| PF (n=580)                              | 49.99 (5.64)   |             |
| RP (n=580)                              | 50.22 (5.14)   |             |
| BP (n=580)                              | 49.87 (8.69)   |             |
| GH (n=580)                              | 49.27 (8.14)   |             |
| VT (n=580)                              | 49.89 (6.71)   |             |
| SF (n=580)                              | 49.12 (7.83)   |             |
| RE (n=580)                              | 49.59 (6.13)   |             |
| MH (n=580)                              | 49.22 (7.56)   |             |
| PCS (n=580)                             | 49.00 (6.30)   |             |
| MCS (n=580)                             | 48.44 (7.76)   |             |
| COBRA (n=581)                           | 8.32 (6.60)    |             |

**Abbreviations:** PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; SDS, Sheehan disability scale; PF, physical functioning; RP, role physical; BP, bodily pain; GH, general health; VT, vitality; SF, social functioning; RE, role emotional; MH, mental health; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary; COBRA, Cognitive Complaints in Bipolar Disorder Rating Assessment.
Statistical Tests
Spearman correlations were used to assess the statistical associations between COBRA and QoL (SDS and SF-8), PHQ-9, and clinical parameters (age, sex, married, years of education, currently employed, psychiatric history, current psychiatric treatment, family history of psychiatric treatment, drinking and smoking). A stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed using SDS as the dependent variable and COBRA and PHQ-9 as the independent variables. A stepwise multiple regression analysis was also performed using COBRA as the dependent variable and PHQ-9 and clinical parameters (married, psychiatric history, current psychiatric treatment) as the independent variables. A multiple regression analysis by forced entry method was performed using PHQ-9 as the dependent variable and clinical parameters (married, psychiatric history, current psychiatric treatment) as the independent variables. We also used a covariance structure analysis to assess the relationships among COBRA, PHQ-9, and QoL scores. Mann–Whitney U-tests were performed to examine the differences of PHQ-9, SDS, and SF-8 scores between high and low COBRA scores (>14 and ≤14, respectively). All statistical evaluations were performed using SPSS Version 23.0 and Stata 15. In all statistical analyses, p-values of <0.05 were considered significant. Data are presented as mean ± SD.

Results
Clinical and socio-demographic data are shown in Table 1. A total of 585 subjects were included in this study. The mean age and education of participants were 41.19 ± 12.10 years and 14.6 ± 1.82 years, respectively. Additionally, 249 (42.6%) participants were men, 571 (97.6%) were employed, 68 (11.6%) had a psychiatric history, 23 (3.9%) were undergoing psychiatric treatment, and 58 (9.9%) had a family history of psychiatric treatment. Further, 379 (63.4%) participants were drinkers, and 114 (19.5%) were smokers. The scores on the PHQ-9, SDS, PCS, MCS, and COBRA were, 4.05 ± 4.23, 5.28 ± 6.61, 49.00 ± 6.30, 48.44 ± 7.76, and 8.32 ± 6.60, respectively; this was almost the same as the data obtained from healthy Japanese people.

Relationship Between Subjective Cognitive Impairment And QoL
Following analysis, our results showed a significant correlation between COBRA and QoL scores (Table 2). There were also significant correlations between COBRA scores and SDS or SF-8 scores (p < 0.01). These results indicated that impaired subjective cognitive function was associated with a lower QoL.

Relationship Between Subjective Cognitive Impairment And Depressive Symptoms
As shown in Table 2, there was a significant correlation between COBRA and PHQ-9 scores (ρ = 0.407, p < 0.01). This indicated that there was an association between subjective cognitive impairment and depressive symptoms among the general adult population volunteers.

Association Between Subjective Cognitive Impairment, QoL, And Depressive Symptoms
A stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed to examine the relationships among subjective cognitive impairment, QoL, and depressive symptoms. Results demonstrated that PHQ-9 scores (β = 0.519, p < 0.001) and COBRA scores (β = 0.136, p < 0.001) significantly predicted SDS scores (Adjusted $R^2 = 0.345$, p < 0.001; Table 3).

To assess the relationships among COBRA, PHQ-9, and SDS scores, we modeled a structural equation based on the results of the multiple regression analyses. This equation as...
well as the results of the path coefficients calculated by Stata are shown in Figure 1. The indirect effect of PHQ-9 scores on SDS scores via COBRA scores was 0.06 ($Z = 3.64, p < 0.001$). Fit indices revealed a moderate fit (root mean square error of approximation [RMSEA] = 0.073, Confirmatory Fit Index [CFI] = 0.991, and Tucker–Levis Index [TLI] = 0.978). According to this model, depressive symptoms not only affected QoL directly, but also affected QoL through subjective cognitive function. To assess the complex relationships among the COBRA, PHQ-9, and SF-8 component summary scores (PCS, MCS), we modeled a structural equation based on the results of the univariate and multiple regression analyses. This equation as well as the results of the path coefficients calculated by Stata are shown in Figure 2. The indirect effect of PHQ-9 on MCS via COBRA was significant: $-0.04 (Z = -2.43, p = 0.015)$. However, the indirect effect of PHQ-9 on PCS via COBRA was nonsignificant: $-0.03 (Z = -1.68, p = 0.093)$. Fit indices of the model showed a good fit (RMSEA = 0.000, CFI = 1.000, TLI = 1.000). According to these results, depressive symptoms impact QoL through subjective cognitive function and affect mental QoL more than physical QoL.

Mann–Whitney U-tests were performed to examine the differences in PHQ-9, SDS, and SF-8 scores between high

Table 3 Stepwise Multiple Regression Analysis Of The SDS Total Score

| Independent Factors | Partial Regression Coefficient (B) | 95% Confidence Interval | Standardized Partial Regression Coefficient (β) | p |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---|
| (Constant)          | 0.861                             | 0.122 to 1.600          | –                                              | – |
| PHQ-9               | 0.808                             | 0.696 to 0.921          | 0.519                                          | <0.001 |
| COBRA               | 0.138                             | 0.065 to 0.211          | 0.136                                          | <0.001 |

Notes: Adjusted $R^2 = 0.345, F = 151.5, p < 0.001$. Dependent factor: SDS total score. Two independent factors: PHQ-9 and COBRA scores.

Abbreviations: COBRA, Cognitive Complaints in Bipolar Disorder Rating Assessment; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; SDS, Sheehan disability scale.

Figure 1 Results of covariance structure analysis in the structural equation model with depressive symptoms (PHQ-9), subjective cognitive function (COBRA), and quality of life (SDS) in 585 adult volunteer subjects from the community.

Notes: Rectangles indicate the observed variables. The oval indicates the latent variable. The numbers beside the arrows show the standardized path coefficients (minimum –1, maximum 1).

Abbreviations: PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; COBRA, Cognitive Complaints in Bipolar Disorder Rating Assessment; SDS, Sheehan disability scale.
and low COBRA scores. PHQ-9 \((Z = -6.265, p < 0.001)\), SDS work \((Z = -5.793, p < 0.001)\), SDS social \((Z = -6.35, p < 0.001)\), SDS family/home \((Z = -5.944, p < 0.001)\), SDS total \((Z = -6.32, p < 0.001)\), PCS \((Z = -3.093, p = 0.002)\), and MCS \((Z = -5.341, p < 0.001)\) scores were significantly different (Table 4).

### Relationship Between Subjective Cognition And Clinical Parameters

Our results demonstrated that there were no significant correlations between subjective cognition and age \((\rho = 0.065, p = 0.120)\) or years of education \((\rho = 0.077, p = 0.064)\). The lower COBRA scores were related to “married” \((p = 0.03)\), “without a history of psychiatric illness” \((p = 0.014)\) and “not being treated for a psychiatric illness” \((p = 0.024)\) (Table 5).

Mann–Whitney U-tests were performed to investigate the differences in PHQ-9 with respect to married, history of psychiatric illness, and being treated for a psychiatric illness. Married \((Z = -5.017, p < 0.001)\), history of psychiatric illness \((Z = -5.224, p < 0.001)\), and being treated for a psychiatric illness \((Z = -4.436, p < 0.001)\) were all significantly different. In other words, “married”, “without a history of psychiatric illness”, and “not being treated for a psychiatric illness” each had low PHQ-9 scores. A stepwise multiple regression analysis was performed to examine the relationships between subjective cognitive function, depressive symptoms, and clinical parameters (married, psychiatric history, current psychiatric treatment). Results demonstrated that PHQ-9 scores \((\beta = 0.380, p < 0.001)\) and current psychiatric treatment \((\beta = 0.380, p = 0.045)\) significantly predicted COBRA scores (Adjusted \(R^2 = 0.158, p < 0.001)\), while married and psychiatric history did not predict COBRA scores. A multiple regression analysis by the forced entry method was performed to examine the relationships between depressive symptoms and clinical parameters (married, psychiatric history, current psychiatric treatment). Results demonstrated that psychiatric history \((\beta = 0.197, p < 0.001)\) and married \((\beta = -0.189, p < 0.001)\) significantly predicted PHQ-9 scores (Adjusted \(R^2 = 0.094, p < 0.001)\); however, current psychiatric treatment \((\beta = 0.082, p = 0.066)\) was not significant.

### Discussion

The results of the present study support the hypothesis that the symptoms of depression are more strongly associated with QoL than is cognitive function in the volunteer population. Previous studies have reported that residual depressive symptoms were strongly associated with QoL in patients with remitted major depressive disorder.29
Another investigation demonstrated that subjective cognitive dysfunction was correlated with measures of QoL in patients with euthymic BD.\textsuperscript{17} Unlike previously published results, the findings of the current study may be representative of the Japanese general adult population. However, further research is needed to determine the relationships between depressive symptoms, cognitive function, and QoL in Japanese adults.

The average COBRA score in this study was $8.32 \pm 6.60$, which is lower than previously reported scores of Japanese patients with euthymic BD; e.g., the average COBRA score in a study conducted by Toyoshima et al.\textsuperscript{16} was $13.63 \pm 7.95$. Furthermore, prior studies reported a correlation between subjective cognitive function and QoL and an association between subjective cognitive function and depressive symptoms in Japanese patients with remitted BD.\textsuperscript{16,17} Similarly, one study demonstrated that both objective and subjective cognitive functions influence QoL in a group of Chinese patients with BD.\textsuperscript{30} However, the relationship between subjective cognitive function and depressive symptoms or QoL has not been examined in the general adult population using the COBRA.

The present study found that depressive symptoms exerted a stronger effect on QoL in the general adult population than did COBRA; this finding may be useful when examining the associations among depression, cognitive function, and QoL using COBRA in patients with remitted BD. The importance of this application is

| Table 4 Relationships Between COBRA Scores And PHQ-9, SDS Work, SDS Social, SDS Total, SDS Family/Home, PCS, And MCS Scores |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                  | N               | Average Rank   | Rank Sum        |
| PHQ-9            | COBRA ≤14      | 480            | 271.14          | 130,146         |
|                  | COBRA >14      | 101            | 385.4           | 38,925          |
|                  | Total           | 581            | 385.4           | 130,146         |
| SDS work        | COBRA ≤14      | 479            | 272.3           | 130,431.5       |
|                  | COBRA >14      | 100            | 374.79          | 37,478.5        |
|                  | Total           | 579            | 374.79          | 130,431.5       |
| SDS social      | COBRA ≤14      | 479            | 271.11          | 129,862         |
|                  | COBRA >14      | 100            | 380.48          | 38,048          |
|                  | Total           | 579            | 380.48          | 129,862         |
| SDS family/home | COBRA ≤14      | 479            | 272.67          | 130,607.5       |
|                  | COBRA >14      | 100            | 373.03          | 37,302.5        |
|                  | Total           | 579            | 373.03          | 130,607.5       |
| SDS total       | COBRA ≤14      | 479            | 270.39          | 129,517.5       |
|                  | COBRA >14      | 100            | 383.93          | 38,392.5        |
|                  | Total           | 579            | 383.93          | 129,517.5       |
| PCS              | COBRA ≤14      | 479            | 299.82          | 143,616         |
|                  | COBRA >14      | 100            | 242.94          | 24,294          |
|                  | Total           | 579            | 242.94          | 143,616         |
| MCS              | COBRA ≤14      | 479            | 306.97          | 147,036.5       |
|                  | COBRA >14      | 100            | 208.74          | 20,873.5        |
|                  | Total           | 579            | 208.74          | 147,036.5       |

| PHQ-9 | SDS Work | SDS Social | SDS Family/Home | SDS Total | PCS | MCS |
|-------|----------|------------|-----------------|-----------|-----|-----|
| Mann–Whitney U | 14,706 | 15,471.5 | 14,902 | 15,647.5 | 14,557.5 | 19,244 | 15,823.5 |
| Wilcoxon W | 130,146 | 130,431.5 | 129,862 | 130,607.5 | 129,517.5 | 24,294 | 20,873.5 |
| Z       | −6.265  | −5.793    | −6.35          | −5.944    | −6.32       | −3.093 | −5.341 |
| p       | <0.001  | <0.001    | <0.001         | <0.001    | <0.001      | 0.002  | <0.001 |

Grouping variable: COBRA ≤14, COBRA >14

Note: Analysis was conducted using Mann–Whitney U-tests.

Abbreviations: COBRA, Cognitive Complaints in Bipolar Disorder Rating Assessment; PHQ-9, Patient Health Questionnaire-9; SDS, Sheehan disability scale; SF-8, the 8-item-containing Short-Form Health Survey; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary.
highlighted by the hypothesis that cognitive complaints may worsen as QoL declines and BD progresses.\textsuperscript{31} This is also supported by previous reports that patients with BD who were aware of their cognitive impairment experienced severe chronic symptoms and those who were not cognitively impaired also manifested poor social, occupational, and neuropsychological functioning.\textsuperscript{32}

In the future, it will be important to clearly distinguish between BD-induced depression and secondary depression. In this regard, a neuropsychological evaluation may be necessary to delineate cognitive dysfunctions associated with different types of depression.\textsuperscript{33} In this study, current psychiatric treatment significantly predicted subjective cognitive impairment, while psychiatric history did not. This result may indicate that subjective cognitive function is more affected by psychosocial states than temperament characteristics. To investigate these research issues, in the future, we would use a clinimetric approach, which is an innovative clinically based evaluation method for the evaluation of a number of clinical factors that do not fit into the traditional psychometric model.\textsuperscript{34–36}

### Limitations

The present study was subject to several limitations. The cross-sectional design of this study prevented the identification of causal relationships among the parameters. However, replicating this study with patients with BD and known healthy controls may help overcome this limitation. Other limitations involve the lack of controls and the heterogeneity among the volunteers regarding their psychiatric health as well as social and educational backgrounds; this diversity may limit the generalizability of the results to patients with affective disorder.

### Conclusion

Although a further systematic investigation is required to reproduce and confirm the generalizability of this study, our data indicated that symptoms of depression rather than subjective cognitive function may be strongly related to QoL in the Japanese general adult population. The present study found that as changes in cognitive function may account for the aggravation of QoL by depressive symptoms, evaluations of cognitive function may help to identify factors affecting the reduction of QoL and inform therapeutic interventions.

### Abbreviations

BD, bipolar disorder; COBRA, Cognitive Complaints in Bipolar Disorder Rating Assessment; SDS, Sheehan disability scale; SF-8, Short-Form Health Survey; PCS, physical component summary; MCS, mental component summary; PF, physical functioning; RP, role-physical; BP, bodily pain; GH, general health perception; VT, vitality; SF, social functioning; RE, role-emotional; MH, mental health; NBS, norm-based scoring; RMSEA, root mean square error of approximation; CFI, Confirmatory Fit Index; TLI, Tucker–Levis Index.
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