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ABSTRACT

To evaluate energy efficient concepts for the modular treatment of brackish water, pilot trials for groundwater desalination and arsenic (As) removal were carried out in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. Groundwater here is affected by naturally occurring high iron (Fe²⁺) and As concentrations, while, in coastal regions, groundwater is additionally contaminated by high salinity mostly due to seawater intrusion. Desalination was conducted by membrane capacitive deionization (MCDI), which shows low specific energy consumption (SEC). Anoxic groundwater with As(III) and Fe²⁺ was treated using a pre-oxidation step called subsurface arsenic removal (SAR) with the main advantage that no As-laden waste is produced. The pilot plant was operated using a photovoltaic system (3 kWp) and a small wind turbine (2 kWp). The SEC of drinking water produced was 3.97 kWh/m³. Concentrations of 1,560 mg/L were lowered to 188 mg/L, while Fe²⁺ was reduced from 1.8 mg/L to the below detection limit and As from 2.3 to 0.18 μg/L. The results show that SAR is a feasible remediation technique for Fe²⁺ and As removal in remote areas, and demonstrate the potential of MCDI for brackish water desalination coupled with renewable energies. However, improvements in energy demand of the MCDI module can still be achieved.
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HIGHLIGHTS

- Pilot trials for groundwater treatment were successfully carried out in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam.
- Subsurface arsenic (SAR) and iron removal and membrane capacitive deionization (MCDI) achieved drinking water standard.
- The process was run by renewable energy (photovoltaic and wind turbine).
- Efficiency improvements in energy conversion losses are needed for the MCDI.
- The findings can serve as an example for coastal groundwater treatment.
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Currently, about 600 million people worldwide live in low-elevation coastal zones affected by progressive salinization (Wheeler 2011; Dasgupta et al. 2014a). Groundwater in coastal line aquifers is often affected from seawater intrusion due to overextraction and rising sea levels, which will be aggravated by climate change in the future. The salinity level is subject to seasonal variations during dry and wet periods, and tidal channels are an important potential source of high salinity water (Brecht et al. 2012; Dasgupta et al. 2014b; Colombani et al. 2016). In the Mekong Delta, the salt concentration in the groundwater varies between 1 and >10 g/L (Wassmann et al. 2004; Hien et al. 2009; Ngo-Duc 2014; Vu et al. 2018).

In addition, high arsenic (As) concentrations are widespread in the groundwater of tidal delta plains in South and Southeast Asia such as the Ganges–Brahmaputra–Meghna in Bangladesh, the Irrawaddy delta in Myanmar, and the Mekong and Red river delta in Vietnam (Smedley et al. 2002; Buschmann et al. 2008; Winkel et al. 2011; Ayers et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2018; Le Luu 2019). As dissolved in groundwater in South Asia is caused by subsurface sediments, where As is adsorbed onto hydrous ferric oxides (HFOs; Hossain et al. 2012; Sankar et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2018). Manganese is also often co-associated with iron. Previous studies confirmed that reductive dissolution of HFOs driven by microbial metabolism of organic matter results in increased concentrations of dissolved As in shallow groundwater in Bangladesh (Nickson et al. 2000) and other South Asian countries (Berg et al. 2001; Datta et al. 2014; Stopelli et al. 2020).

**Goal and scope**

This study was carried out within the German joint research project WaKap as part of one of three plants installed around the Mekong Delta for subsurface arsenic removal (SAR) and/or desalination of brackish water (WaKap 2016). This study focused on the implementation of a combined desalination and As remediation technology using membrane capacitive deionization (MCDI) and SAR, respectively.

Combining the two technologies aimed at a robust, sustainable and easy-to-use approach for high removal and water recovery efficiencies as well as low energy demand. Additionally, an important goal was to evaluate the supply of the system with renewable power sources such as solar (photovoltaic, PV) and wind (wind turbine, WT) power. A sustainability assessment and evaluation of the system was
carried out taking environmental and socio-economic aspects of the region into consideration (WaKap 2016; Fritz et al. 2020).

State of the art

Capacitive deionization (CDI) is a relatively new electrochemical desalination process with the general advantage of low specific energy consumption (SEC) since no high pressure pumps or heat sources are required. In this process, saline water flows between two porous electrodes made of activated carbon, on which a voltage is applied. The positive ions are thereby attracted to the negatively charged electrode and vice versa. After the electrodes are loaded, the salt ions can be removed by reversing the polarity of the electrodes. Through a constant change of charge (desalination) and discharge (regeneration), two water streams with desalinated water or concentrated salt water are produced (AlMarzooqi et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2019). Mounting ion exchange membranes in front of the electrodes enhances the desalination performance of the CDI module, because co-ion leakage is lowered and the discharge phase can be shortened (Zhao et al. 2013). This technology is then defined as MCDI. CDI is ideally suited for decentralized applications since it can be directly powered by solar PV energy (Tan et al. 2018).

SAR aims at the removal of As, Fe and Mn, in advance of the MCDI process. For this, part of the extracted groundwater is enriched with oxygen and introduced back into the underground (aquifer). Dissolved iron (Fe$^{2+}$) and manganese (Mn$^{2+}$) ions are oxidized and precipitated as sparingly soluble (hydr)oxides forming a zone with treated water around the re-injection zone in the aquifer that is it naturally occurs (Rott & Friedle 2000; van Halem et al. 2009; Stopelli et al. 2020).

In contrast, every membrane-based technology for removing As from water creates an As-concentrated volume as a co-product, and safe disposal methods need to be evaluated. Examples are reverse osmosis (RO) or nano-, ultra- and microfiltration (Shih 2003; Figoli et al. 2016). The RO and dense NF membranes can remove sufficient As to meet drinking water standards (Schmidt et al. 2016; Figoli et al. 2020). UF and MF treatments need a coagulation/flocculation process upfront to remove As, due to the membranes having a course porosity, which cannot retain dissolved As (Čurko et al. 2011; Elcik et al. 2013).

Renewable energy systems, especially PV panels, are mostly needed on the numerous Vietnamese islands without stable grid connections. Due to a generous feed-in tariff of US$93.5/MWh for new projects, together with supporting policies such as tax exemptions, Vietnam experienced a solar PV installation boom, also on the main land in the first half of 2019, with installed capacity increasing to 4,450 MW (Do et al. 2020). Underlying drivers include the government’s desire to enhance energy self-sufficiency and the public’s demand for local environmental quality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pilot plant

The modular pilot plant for the desalination of brackish water including the subsurface removal of Fe$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ and As was installed in June 2018 on a household rooftop in Trà Vinh city, in a coastal region in the Mekong Delta, Vietnam. The process scheme of the system consisting of an MCDI (CapDI, Voltea®) plant with upfront SAR (FERMA-NOX®-Wasseraufbereitung type BV 104) for iron and As removal is shown in Figure 1. Two different product streams are produced from the modular system: As and Fe$^{2+}$ free treated water from SAR is stored in T1 (e.g. for washing purposes), while drinking water from the MCDI is stored in T2.

(1) SAR: A submersible pump (PENTAX® 4ST 14-8) is used to pump the groundwater (water table at 2 m below the surface) through a sand filter (1554 FRP Vessel, sand
0.5–1 mm as filtration media) and to prevent particles from passing into a storage tank on the rooftop (T1, 12 m above the surface). The treatment process is divided into the aeration and infiltration cycles: firstly, water from T1 is aerated with an air injector using a booster pump (feed pump 1; GRUNDFOS® SCALA2) and stored in the SAR tank (900 L). Then, the oxygen-enriched water is infiltrated back into the aquifer by gravity (SAR backflow). Iron oxidation and As adsorption take place underground, and water with very low, nontoxic concentrations of As is obtained around the well. Subsequently, all extracted water from the well, which is stored in T1, can be used by the household or further treated with MCDI.

Aeration and infiltration cycles must be repeated constantly to maintain the oxygenated zone around the well. When water is extracted from the well, oxidation–adsorption takes place in the oxidation zone and the infiltrated oxygen is gradually consumed. In order to avoid leakage of As or any other contaminant, a daily water extraction limit $V_E$ is calculated as a design parameter based on the previous experience of the manufacturer and fixed for using SAR (Luong et al. 2018, 2019; Cañas Kurz et al. 2020). With a daily operation of two infiltration cycles (each cycle $V_I = 900$ L), the maximum designed capacity of the SAR plant is $V_E = 8.3$ m$^3$/d. This leads to an extraction/infiltration ratio at the pilot site of $Q_E = V_I/V_E = 0.22$ m$^3$/m$^3$. Since the oxygenated water is always infiltrated back into the aquifer, another advantage of the process is that no water is lost and no waste stream is produced.

(2) MCDI desalination: Secondly, a home-made MCDI unit with a commercial electrode module (Voltea B.V® Model C3) is used for the desalination of the groundwater and to obtain drinking water (see Figure 2). The information provided by the manufacturer is the total surface area of the electrodes at 3.7 m$^2$ as well as sulfonyl and quaternary ammonium groups as active functionality groups for the cation and anion exchange membranes, respectively. By using a diaphragm pump (feed pump 2; SHURflo® 8000-243-155), SAR-treated water from T1 is passed through the MCDI module in alternate cycles for desalination (charge) and regeneration of the electrodes (discharge). The MCDI module is operated with constant current (CC) during both phases with reversed voltage in the discharge phase using an XP power supply (XP Power HDS 1500 PS12, with a maximum electrical current at the outlet.
To determine the TDS removal, the electrical conductivity (EC) of the water stream was measured at the inlet and the outlet of the MCDI module with conductivity sensors from Supmea (SPEC-EC100). The EC in μS/cm was then converted to a TDS concentration in mg/L by multiplying the EC value with the factor $K = 0.5$ (Walton 1989). This factor was confirmed experimentally to fit to the concentrations used in these studies by comparing the EC values of solutions with known TDS concentrations up to 1.65 g/L. The diluate of the MCDI is stored in T2, which is connected to the water supply, whereas the concentrate effluent is discharged directly into the sewer.

The pilot plant is operated by renewable energy (see Figure 3). A 2 kWp WT (Hummer, 2 kW-Windspot 220 V) is controlled by an on-grid inverter, and a 3 kWp solar PV system (SUNERGY, SUN72M, monocrystalline) is connected with a hybrid inverter (Goodwee, GW-3648) that includes two lithium batteries (Scared sun, 2,400 Wh 50 Ah) for a total battery storage capacity of 4.8 kWh. The pilot plant is supplied directly by PV power. Excess energy is fed into the regional power grid; if energy is required, for example at night, the plant is powered additionally by the battery storage. If the battery state of charge (SOC) is lower than 20%, the plant can be supplied through the grid. A separate net-metering system controls the in-going and out-going energy of the latter. Additional power generation from the WT is directly fed into the grid.

Furthermore, a home-made weather station including anemometer (Davies, 6410) and pyranometer (Apogee Instr., SP-215) is used for monitoring wind direction, wind speed, ambience temperature and solar radiation at the pilot site.

Laboratory tests

Laboratory tests were carried out in order to compare the performance of two MCDI modules with different setups. The described MCDI module of the pilot plant-treating groundwater in Trà Vinh is hereinafter defined as setup 1. A second MCDI module consisting of the development kit (DK) of Voltea B.V.® (maximum electrical current at the outlet $I_{max} = 60$ A) using another electrode module (C3 model) as well as the automation and control system is used to desalinate NaCl (>99.8%) diluted with deionized water (EC = 3.29 mS/cm) under laboratory conditions. The DK includes conductivity sensors at the inlet and outlet as well as a pressure sensor to constantly record the same parameters as the pilot plant. This installation is subsequently defined as setup 2.

The focus in evaluating the performance of each setup is its SEC, which is defined by the used energy divided by the produced volume of the diluate ($V_d$). Two different types are therefore defined. One SEC for desalinating the water in the MCDI module to separate the salt ions from the water, taking into account the electrical power input measured at the outlet of the according power supply ($P_{CDI}$) and ($t$),
and another $\text{SEC}_{\text{CDI,sys}}$ for the system, which includes the performance of the diaphragm pump ($P_p$). They are calculated as stated in the following equations:

$$\text{SEC} = \frac{P_{\text{CDI}} \cdot t}{V_d}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$\text{SEC}_{\text{CDI,sys}} = \frac{(P_{\text{CDI}} + P_p) \cdot t}{V_d}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

To compare the performance of the MCDI modules of desalinating water, the charge efficiency $\eta_{\text{ch}}$ can be used. Hereby, the electrical current $I_{\text{ch}}$ during charge time is compared to the amount of adsorbed ions in the electrodes as stated in the following equation:

$$\eta_{\text{ch}} = \frac{\Delta m}{M \cdot Q / F}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\Delta m$ is the removed amount of total dissolved solids (TDS) in g, $M$ the molar mass, $Q$ the electrical charge and $F$ the Faraday constant. For all calculations, the molar mass of NaCl ($M = 58.5$ g/mol) is assumed.

**Sample analysis**

The raw water samples were taken directly from the pipe behind the submersible pump after water was abstracted for some time. Subsequently, the samples were acidified for conservation as prescribed by the standard DIN EN ISO 5667–3.

Values for pH and EC were measured on site using a portable sensor (WTW Multi-Parameter 3430). Total Fe and Mn were measured with the flame technique using atomic absorption spectrometry (AAS, Analytik Jena, contrAA® 300) and a 50 mm burner with an air/acylene gas mixture. To measure total As (t-As) concentrations, AAS together with the hydride generation (HS 55 batch system) was used (Analytik Jena AG 2019). Ion chromatography (Metrohm, 883 Basic IC plus) was used to analyze ions. The concentration of cations was determined with a Metrosep C4-150/4.0 column according to DIN EN ISO 14911, and the concentrations of anions with a Metrosep A Supp 5-150/4.0 column. Total organic carbon (TOC) was analyzed with a Shimadzu TOC-L Analyzer. All measurements were repeated at least twice unless specified. Additionally, samples were analyzed by the certified commercial lab QUATEST3 in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Raw water quality at the pilot site**

The chemical and physical properties of the raw groundwater in comparison to the Vietnamese standard for drinking water quality are summarized in Table 1. Analysis was carried out at different times before commissioning. In addition to initial elevated concentrations of $Fe^{2+}$ ($c_{\text{max}} = 3.1$ mg/L) and t-As ($c_{\text{max}} = 11 \mu$g/L), the groundwater at the pilot site has an increased salinization with TDS concentrations of $c > 1.5$ g/L. In order to assess the groundwater quality at the beginning of the pilot trials, relevant water parameters were monitored over a period of 1 month directly before commissioning. During this period, an average of 15.5 m$^3$ of water was extracted on a daily basis, while no infiltration was carried out. This volume of water is greater than the extraction limit of 8.3 m$^3$/d. Measurements showed a slow increase in $Fe^{2+}$ and t-As concentrations during this month of sampling until the start of the SAR operation, which indicates that the extraction of large amounts of groundwater without treatment has an influence on the concentrations given hydrogeologically. However, the averaged concentrations of $Fe^{2+}$ and $Mn^{2+}$ were similar compared with the values from March 2018, and the averaged t-As level decreased slightly, remaining below the WHO guideline value of $c = 10 \mu$g/L, which also indicates that the rise in the contaminant levels during the measurement period was within the naturally occurring fluctuations. The value for the t-As concentration from the pre-commissioning phase differed from the initial measured value of 11 $\mu$g/L (see Table 1) 1 year before and can be also attributed to naturally occurring fluctuations.

**Iron, As and Mn removal (SAR)**

Results for the first 181 days of operation of the SAR plant for t-As, $Fe^{2+}$ and $Mn^{2+}$ removal are shown in Figure 4.
The averaged background concentrations measured during 34 days before commissioning (see Table 1) are considered as the initial concentrations for Fe\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and t-As, which are indicated at the y-axes as \(c_{0,Fe}\), \(c_{0,Mn}\) and \(c_{0,As}\), respectively. During this time, the t-As and Fe\(^{2+}\) concentrations increased to \(c_{As} > 4.8 \mu g/L\) and \(c_{Fe} > 2.1 \text{ mg/L}\) due to naturally fluctuations and the extraction of unusual high amounts of water without infiltration cycles. The Mn concentration remained at \(c_{Mn} > 0.24 \text{ mg/L}\). Thus, the t-As concentration at the first day of SAR operation is \(c_{As} > c_{0,As}\), indicating as well the slower removal of As over Fe. Measurements in Table 1 show also that the t-As concentrations can be above 10 \(\mu g/L\) on this site.

After the start of operation, the concentration of As was continuously lowered following the removal of Fe\(^{2+}\) until the value 0.55 \(\mu g/L\) was reached on day 78, corresponding to elimination rates of 76%. The highest increase in t-As measured from day 91 to 120 from 1.0 to 1.6 \(\mu g/L\) follows the rise in Fe due to the technical failure of the pump outlined above. The t-As mobilization decreases immediately when normal operation continues from day 120. The last measured value of t-As indicated a concentration of

### Table 1 | Analysis of raw groundwater at the pilot site in Trà Vinh

| Parameter | Unit | Sampling\(^a\) 2 August 2017 | Sampling\(^b\) 2 August 2017 | Sampling\(^a\) 7 March 2018 | Pre-commissioning\(^a,c\) | Vietnamese standard\(^d\) |
|-----------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Ph        | –    | 7.2                         | NM                          | 6.9                         | 7.1 ± 0.3                | 6.5–8.5                  |
| EC        | mS/cm| 3.3                         | NM                          | 3.3                         | 3.3 ± 0.3                | NA                      |
| t-As      | \(\mu g/L\) | 10                      | 11                          | 2.6                         | 2.3 ± 1                  | 10                      |
| Fe\(^{2+}\) | \(\mu g/L\) | 1.7                      | 3.1                         | 1.8                         | 1.8 ± 0.7                | 0.3                     |
| Mn\(^{2+}\) | \(\mu g/L\) | 0.66                      | 0.69                        | 0.26                        | 0.25 ± 0.05              | 0.3                     |
| F\(^-\)   | \(\mu g/L\) | NM                        | NM                          | NM                          | 0.92 ± 0.0               | 1.5                     |
| Cl\(^-\)  | \(\mu g/L\) | 886                        | 827                         | 955                         | 1,035 ± 7                | 250                     |
| NO\(_3^-\) | \(\mu g/L\) | 11                         | NM                          | 11                          | 8.1 ± 5                  | 50                      |
| SO\(_4^{2-}\) | \(\mu g/L\) | 95.2                      | 97.8                        | 138                         | 101 ± 1                  | 250                     |
| PO\(_4^{3-}\) | \(\mu g/L\) | BDL                        | BDL                         | BDL                         | BDL –                    | NA                      |
| Na\(^+\)  | \(\mu g/L\) | 343                        | 338                         | 374                         | 379 ± 22                 | 200                     |
| K\(^+\)   | \(\mu g/L\) | 12                         | 12                          | 10                          | 13 ± 1                   | NA                      |
| Ca\(^{2+}\) | \(\mu g/L\) | 170                        | 178                         | NM                          | 204 ± 21                 | 120\(^c\)               |
| Mg\(^{2+}\) | \(\mu g/L\) | 111                        | 111                         | 110                         | 120 ± 8                  | NA                      |
| NH\(_4^+\) | \(\mu g/L\) | 2.1                        | 2.4                         | 2.1                         | 3.1 ± 0.3                | 3                       |
| HCO\(_3^-\) | \(\mu g/L\) | NM                        | 437                         | NM                          | NM –                     | NA                      |
| TOC       | mg/L | 16.2                       | NM                          | NM                          | 4.2 ± 2                  | NA                      |

\(^a\) Analyzed in own laboratories (see the section Materials and Methods).

\(^b\) Certified commercial lab: QUATEST3, HCMC, Vietnam.

\(^c\) Averaged value from samples taken before commissioning between 25 May 2018 and 28 June 2018 (number of samples = 8).

\(^d\) QCVN 01 : 2009/BYT.

\(^e\) Calculated from hardness limit CaCO\(_3\) = 300 mg/L.

NM: Not measured; BDL: below detection limit; NA: not applicable.

The averaged background concentrations measured during 34 days before commissioning (see Table 1) are considered as the initial concentrations for Fe\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and t-As, which are indicated at the y-axes as \(c_{0,Fe}\), \(c_{0,Mn}\) and \(c_{0,As}\), respectively. During this time, the t-As and Fe\(^{2+}\) concentrations increased to \(c_{As} > 4.8 \mu g/L\) and \(c_{Fe} > 2.1 \text{ mg/L}\) due to naturally fluctuations and the extraction of unusual high amounts of water without infiltration cycles. The Mn concentration remained at \(c_{Mn} > 0.24 \text{ mg/L}\). Thus, the t-As concentration at the first day of SAR operation is \(c_{As} > c_{0,As}\), indicating as well the slower removal of As over Fe. Measurements in Table 1 show also that the t-As concentrations can be above 10 \(\mu g/L\) on this site.

After the start of operation, the concentration of As was continuously lowered following the removal of Fe\(^{2+}\) until the value 0.55 \(\mu g/L\) was reached on day 78, corresponding to elimination rates of 76%. The highest increase in t-As measured from day 91 to 120 from 1.0 to 1.6 \(\mu g/L\) follows the rise in Fe due to the technical failure of the pump outlined above. The t-As mobilization decreases immediately when normal operation continues from day 120. The last measured value of t-As indicated a concentration of
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**Figure 4** | Iron, As and manganese removal with SAR treatment at the pilot site in Trà Vinh.

The averaged background concentrations measured during 34 days before commissioning (see Table 1) are considered as the initial concentrations for Fe\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\) and t-As, which are indicated at the y-axes as \(c_{0,Fe}\), \(c_{0,Mn}\) and \(c_{0,As}\), respectively. During this time, the t-As and Fe\(^{2+}\) concentrations increased to \(c_{As} > 4.8 \mu g/L\) and \(c_{Fe} > 2.1 \text{ mg/L}\) due to naturally fluctuations and the extraction of unusual high amounts of water without infiltration cycles. The Mn concentration remained at \(c_{Mn} > 0.24 \text{ mg/L}\). Thus, the t-As concentration at the first day of SAR operation is \(c_{As} > c_{0,As}\), indicating as well the slower removal of As over Fe. Measurements in Table 1 show also that the t-As concentrations can be above 10 \(\mu g/L\) on this site.

After the start of operation, the concentration of As was continuously lowered following the removal of Fe\(^{2+}\) until the value 0.55 \(\mu g/L\) was reached on day 78, corresponding to elimination rates of 76%. The highest increase in t-As measured from day 91 to 120 from 1.0 to 1.6 \(\mu g/L\) follows the rise in Fe due to the technical failure of the pump outlined above. The t-As mobilization decreases immediately when normal operation continues from day 120. The last measured value of t-As indicated a concentration of
1.1 μg/L and thus a removal of 54%. These two abovementioned removal rates for t-As are acceptable for an already significantly low background concentration of \( c_{0,As} = 2.3 \mu g/L \). In earlier SAR experiments in South Vietnam, Cañas Kurz et al. (2020) showed with the same technology for high background concentrations of \( c_{As} = 80 \mu g/L \) a removal to \( c_{As} < 5 \mu g/L \).

After initiating the first infiltration cycle, results show an immediate strong decrease in Fe concentrations within the first days of operation. Values as low as \( c = 0.1 \) mg/L for \( Fe^{2+} \) were measured after day 3 until constant values were achieved after day 37. Manganese concentration dropped linearly to below \( c = 0.06 \) mg/L within the first 7 days of operation, indicating a removal of 75%. However, Fe concentrations spiked irregularly within the first 30 days and increases in \( Mn^{2+} \) were observed throughout the 70 days of operation. Subsequently, concentrations do not exceed the initial value of \( c_{0,Mn} \). Increases in \( Fe^{3+} \) and \( Mn^{2+} \) concentrations can be explained by minor technical problems during pilot operation including the incomplete infiltration cycles caused by malfunction of the submersible pump, over-extraction (abstraction above the plant capacity of 8.3 m³), and the failure of the water meter. These technical complications did not stop the process completely but by not maintaining a correct extraction/infiltration ratio, interrupted the proper operation of the infiltration and abstraction cycles temporarily, which causes the concentration peaks (Luong et al. 2019).

In general, the results show a slow but continuous removal for all three species (\( Fe^{2+} \), As and \( Mn^{2+} \)), and after 181 days of operation, no breakthrough above the limits for drinking water standard was observed.

In addition, ammonium (\( NH_4^+ \)) was lowered from initial concentrations of 3.1 mg/L to an average of 1.1 mg/L corresponding to a removal of 65%.

**Desalination results (MCDI)**

To determine the ideal operational parameters for the MCDI plant, laboratory tests with synthetic water (setup 2) have been carried out. Subsequently, these parameters were adjusted for the operation at the pilot site with setup 1. The comparison between lab and pilot scale is discussed below.

The optimum process parameters for the different MCDI setups employed are shown in Table 2. The general goal is to achieve a diluate concentration of <450 mg NaCl/L or <600 mg TDS/L (WHO guidelines for ‘good’ drinking water; WHO 2017). Different operational parameters are therefore chosen for the different setups, such as the electrical current for CC charge and the adsorption times in addition to the discharge current and discharge phase times, which are adapted accordingly. To obtain a reasonable water recovery rate, the discharge phase times have to be set as short as possible. Thus, the CC in the discharge phase is set always higher than the CC during the charge phase. The TDS concentrations of the feed are set depending on the quality of the groundwater (\( EC = 3.3 \) mS/cm, converted with the \( K \) factor, see the section Materials and Methods) and are averaged over the time of the experiment \( (t_{tot,av} = 64 \) min) as well as the diluate salt concentration. Best results with setup 1 are obtained at a slightly lower feed \( EC = 3.12 \) mS/cm than the overall seasonal average at the pilot site. The test with setup 2 in the laboratory showed a lower \( SEC_{CDI,2} = 0.97 \) kWh/m³ (see Equation (1)). After the transition from the laboratory to the pilot site, a higher \( SEC_{CDI,1} = 1.75 \) kWh/m³ has been

| Setup number | Units | 1 | 2 |
|--------------|-------|---|---|
| Feed EC | mS/cm | 3.12 | 3.29 |
| Feed TDS concentration | mg/L | 1,560 | 1,645 |
| Diluate TDS concentration | mg/L | 188 | 410 |
| TDS reduction | mg/L | 1,372 | 1,235 |
| Energy use in one cycle | kJ | 4.7 | 10.2 |
| Product rate | L/d | 477 | 400 |
| SEC of the desalination module | kWh/m³ | 1.75 | 0.97 |
| Charge efficiency | – | 75% | 77% |
| Water recovery | – | 33% | 65% |
| TDS removal | – | 88% | 75% |
| Constant current (charge phase) | A | 50 | 12.3 |
| Constant current (discharge phase) | A | 66 | 54.1 |
| Voltage limit | V | 1.3 | 1.3 |
| Water flow (charge phase) | L/min | 1 | 0.4 |
| Phase time (charge phase) | s | 45 | 440 |
| Cycle time | s | 135 | 630 |
achieved, treating a complex salt matrix with setup 1 and thus bivalent cations as well, which can reduce Na\(^+\) and Cl\(^-\) ions adsorption (Suss 2017). The corresponding energy values were measured at the outlet of the power supply for the MCDI module, giving the values for the actual desalination performance, without considering the further periphery. The component within the home-made experimental setup with the highest energy consumption is the power supply, which has very poor energy efficiency as low as 20%, and is thus the component with the highest potential for improvement. This is achieved in large commercial modules by a design that allows a more efficient conversion of an alternate current (AC) grid with the voltage of 230 V in a low ampere range to a direct current circuit with a voltage of <2 V and a high ampere range. Therefore, the energy loss of the power supply in the experimental units (setup 1 and setup 2) is not considered in the following (see Equation (2)).

The SEC\(_{\text{CDI,sys}}\) can be calculated using Equation (2) to SEC\(_{\text{CDI,sys,1}} = 2.20\) kWh/m\(^3\) for setup 1 and SEC\(_{\text{CDI,sys,2}} = 1.08\) kWh/m\(^3\) for setup 2. The performance of the diaphragm pump (\(P_{p,1} = 8.9\) W and \(P_{p,2} = 1.8\) W, respectively), which is proportional to the pressure loss over the MCDI module, is then added to the power consumption for the desalination. It should be noted that MCDI can be also operated by gravitational flow in combination with a flow regulating valve, and consequently, the diaphragm pump can be omitted. The comparatively low water recovery in setup 1 of 33% shows that the size of the module should be increased to handle the water under pilot conditions. Thus, a higher water recovery and hence a lower SEC value could be achieved.

The desalination with MCDI setup 1 on the pilot site with operational parameters of Table 2 started after the pre-treatment with SAR was running stably on day 112 (see Figure 4). The late application of MCDI also ensures that the Fe\(^{2+}\) concentration in groundwater is in compliance with the manufacturer’s feed water recommendations of Fe\(^{2+} < 0.5\) mg/L (Voltea 2016). Although the desalination efficiency of MCDI can be mainly accessed by the overall salt removal (see Table 2), the adsorption efficiency for selected ions was taken within 12 weeks to evaluate the overall ion removal especially for Fe\(^{2+}\) and t-As.

Figure 5 shows the elimination rates for ionic species at their given averaged inlet concentrations at the MCDI module (after SAR treatment, Figure 4) in between days 112 and 181. The samples were taken from the inlet and the diluate stream of the MCDI. The electroosorption of the bivalent Fe\(^{2+}\) ions was with 87% \(\pm\) very high. The t-As concentration after the SAR treatment of averaged 1.2 \(\mu g/L\) was lowered to below 0.18 \(\mu g/L\) through MCDI, indicating another removal of 85%.

The results also showed specific ion removals of 75% Na\(^+\), 90% Cl\(^-\), and up to 97% for Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\), indicating a stronger selective adsorption of bivalent cations as shown in literature results (Suss 2017). However, lower sulfate (SO\(_4^{2-}\)) mitigation was observed with only 54% removal, which can be explained by the increasing hydrated radius and decreasing permeability of the bivalent ions Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\) and SO\(_4^{2-}\), respectively (Tansel et al. 2006; Li et al. 2016).

| Renewable energy supply |

Measurements of solar radiation at the pilot plant location and the results of the PV panels show an average daily production of 11.2 ± 1.3 kWh/d (the upper line in gray) for the measured days between May 2018 and December 2019 with an average radiation of 338 ± 53 W/m\(^2\) (the lower line in blue) and maximum radiation values between 990 and 1215 W/m\(^2\) (Figure 6). The average WT power production was 3.4 ± 0.3 kWh/d. The average wind speed measured at the pilot location was 4.0 ± 1.3 m/s. However, the WT could not be operated continuously due to noise complaints from neighbors.

| Figure 5 | MCDI averaged specific removal of ionic species and TOC at different initial concentrations. Values averaged from inlet and outlet concentrations. If more than one measurement, horizontal and vertical bars show the standard deviation of the averaged values. X-axis: log-scale. Dotted line: logarithmic trend excluding t-As. |
The power generation and power consumption at the pilot site for four different scenarios is shown in Figure 7(a)–7(d). Figure 7(a) shows the power production of the PV panels (blue line) on a typical clear-sky, sunny day with no power consumption and a peak performance of \( P_{\text{peak}} = 2060 \) W. The yellow line with the same absolute values as the power production shows the feeding to the grid (net-metering). Figure 7(b) shows the PV power production and the supply of the pilot plant. The purple line shows the load of the pilot plant at a typical daily operation including the desalination with MCDI (24 h operation) with averaged \( P_{\text{CDI, tot}} = 176 \) W for the MCDI module and its periphery, SAR (abstraction and oxygenation cycle with the SCALA 2 pump, beginning at 18:00) and the submersible pump for feeding T1 during SAR operation with averaged \( P_{\text{SAR}} = 1,732 \) W. In this example, the load is supplied by the grid during night time (yellow line: positive). When solar power production starts at sunrise (06:00), the load is supplied by PV. The addition of the power production (blue line, positive) and the load (purple line, negative) equals the amount of energy supplied by or fed into the grid (yellow line, positive or negative, respectively).

Figure 7(c) shows the semi-autonomous supply for the SAR when using both battery (orange line) and grid for two infiltration cycles during the night at 18:00 and 00:00, respectively. Following the discharge of the battery (orange line) after the first SAR cycle (18:00), the second SAR cycle (00:00) is supplied directly from the grid (yellow line). Battery recharge for the next cycle is then powered by PV, and SOC is completed (SOC = 100%) around 10:15. After this, the energy produced is fed into the grid (net-metering: yellow line decreasing) following the absolute values of the power production (blue line). Figure 7(d) shows the autonomous supply with PV for SAR operation without MCDI (one cycle, 11:30).

To use the solar power production more efficiently, the operation of SAR is shifted during day time as shown in Figure 7(d), demonstrating that an autonomous (off-grid) operation is feasible. By doing this, the consumption of energy from the grid can be significantly reduced by 3.65 kWh, which is the average energy of one full infiltration cycle, and thus the degree of autonomy is increased. A high degree in autonomy or even of grid solutions is worthwhile, especially for a possible operation on the numerous small Vietnamese islands.

In total, the average energy consumption for the operation of the SAR and MCDI module was 11.6 kWh/d. A degree of autonomy for the overall pilot plant of 97% could be achieved with a total energy supply of 11.2 kWh with PV. The energy deficit was supplied by the power grid. If the wind power of \( 3.4 \pm 0.3 \) kWh/d at an average wind speed of \( 4.0 \pm 1.3 \) m/s can be used, the degree of autonomy increases to 126% and thus 3.0 kWh/d of electrical energy can be fed into the grid, which provides governmental financial benefit (see the section State of the art), or used for a different purpose.
It should be noted that the SEC of the total SAR process consists of an SEC for the subsurface treatment (SEC(SAR)) and an SEC for supply of the product water (SEC(SAR, supply)) (see Table 3). On the pilot site, the water is supplied by the PENTAX well pump to the rooftop of the house via an elevation of \( H = 14 \) m, with an average performance of \( P_{wp} = 1,332 \) W and a maximum water flow of \( V = 1,500 \) L/h. Hence, the SEC value for supply is \( SEC_{SAR, supply} = 0.89 \text{ kWh/m}^3 \). This value is highly dependent on construction and environmental conditions. The advantage of supplying the water on the rooftop is that no additional auxiliary pumps are needed to maintain the necessary pressure to provide treated water to the complete household, which is standard in Vietnamese households due to nonconstant pressure conditions in the public water grid.

The SAR module treated 8.3 m\(^3\) of water, which is potentially available subsurface. However, at the pilot site, in average 3.64 m\(^3\) of water were supplied to the user. The MCDI module produced 0.48 m\(^3\) of drinking water per day. Thus, the SEC values equal to \( SEC_{SAR} = 0.88 \text{ kWh/m}^3 \) (\( SEC_{SAR, supply} = 0.89 \text{ kWh/m}^3 \)), \( SEC_{CDI} = 1.75 \text{ kWh/m}^3 \) (\( SEC_{CDI, sys} = 2.20 \text{ kWh/m}^3 \)) and hence the overall SEC\(_{pilot,tot} = 3.97 \text{ kWh/m}^3 \).

The two treatment stages produce water in different qualities and amounts, which can be used for different purposes modularly. The product water of the SAR treatment can be used for washing, for example, and the diluate of the MCDI for drinking.

### CONCLUSION AND OUTLOOK

- SAR has proved a feasible *in situ* remediation technique for Fe, Mn and As in brackish anoxic aquifers in combination with MCDI desalination, resulting in a total SEC \( SEC_{pilot, tot} = 3.97 \text{ kWh/m}^3 \) of drinking water. Fe, Mn and As concentrations could be lowered below drinking regulation standards. The TDS removal by the MCDI of 1,372 mg/L to a concentration of \( c = 188 \text{ mg/L} \) could be achieved.
- Finally, two water streams were produced, which can be modularly adjusted according to the local requirements. One treated by SAR with low Fe, Mn and As but high TDS, for washing purposes and another additionally treated by MCDI, for drinking purposes.
- The very low efficiency of the power supply for the MCDI module shows the improvement potential of the homemade desalination plant on the pilot site.
- Based on the previous experience, it is expected that the efficiency of the system can be increased by upscaling the capacity of the MCDI module.
- The supply with 3 kW\(_p\) solar PV energy was feasible and a degree of autonomy of 97% for the water treatment was achieved, producing 0.48 m\(^3\) drinking water and supplying 3.16 m\(^3\) of washing water per day (out of the potential 8.3 m\(^3\)). Using a 2 kW\(_p\) WT, a degree of autonomy of 126% can be realized. However, the use of the WT was difficult because the high noise level was not accepted by those living in the neighborhood.
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