Harnessing optogenetics to probe sub-cellular mechanics
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Abstract

The mechanics of the actin cytoskeleton regulates cell morphogenesis during essential physiological processes. However, the spatially heterogeneous and dynamic nature of the actin cytoskeleton make mechanical measurements and modeling challenging. Here we develop a new approach to probe the mechanics of the contractile actin cytoskeleton by integrating optogenetic control of RhoA, live cell imaging and traction force microscopy. Local activation of RhoA stimulates local contraction, leading to increased traction forces that rapidly propagate across the cell via stress fibers and drive actin flow towards the region of heightened RhoA. Surprisingly, the flow reverses direction when local RhoA activation stops. These experimental data are used to constrain a physical model, which demonstrates that stress fibers are elastic-like, even at time scales exceeding turnover of constituent proteins. We identify zyxin as a regulator of stress fiber mechanics, as they are fluid-like in its absence. Such molecular control of actin mechanics likely plays critical roles in regulation of morphogenic events.
Introduction

A diverse array of essential physiological processes, ranging from the subcellular to the multicellular, depend on the spatial and temporal regulation of contractile forces\textsuperscript{1-4}. This regulation drives changes in cell shape\textsuperscript{5,6} and mediates interactions with the extracellular environment\textsuperscript{7,8}. Changes in contractility can furthermore alter gene expression\textsuperscript{9} and impact development\textsuperscript{10,11}. The molecular machinery required for generating contractile forces is well conserved and dominated by the actin cytoskeleton, myosin II activity and associated regulatory proteins\textsuperscript{4,12,13}. Specifically, actin filaments dynamically organize into distinct contractile architectures, including the cortex and stress fibers\textsuperscript{14,15}. Contractile forces are transmitted across the cell by actin arrays and are ultimately to the extracellular matrix by focal adhesions\textsuperscript{12,13,16,17}.

The regulation of cellular force transmission is controlled by the mechanical properties of actomyosin assemblies. Cellular mechanics has been explored extensively both experimentally\textsuperscript{14,18-20} and theoretically\textsuperscript{21-23}. Current understanding is that, at time scales comparable to those of typical kinetic processes, the actin cytoskeleton behaves like an elastic solid. Such elasticity enables rapid force transmission across the cell and reversible deformations to preserve cytoskeletal architecture. In contrast, at longer time scales, it is thought dynamic processes make the cytoskeleton predominately like a viscous fluid. Such viscosity enables cytoskeletal flows and remodeling. However, the molecular regulation of cell mechanics is not well understood.

Cellular contractility is largely controlled by the activity of the small GTPase RhoA\textsuperscript{24,25}, which in adherent cells is preferentially active at the cell periphery\textsuperscript{26,27}. RhoA regulates contractility through the promotion of actin polymerization and myosin light chain phosphorylation via the downstream effectors Diaphanous-related formins and Rho-associated Kinase (ROCK), respectively. RhoA activity is required for stress fibers and focal adhesions\textsuperscript{24,25}. Little, however, is known about how small changes in activity can regulate cell contractility, actin architecture and adhesion.

In this paper, we have used an optogenetic probe to locally activate RhoA in adherent fibroblasts. Plasma membrane recruitment of the RhoA specific guanine exchange factor (GEF) LARG induces local
RhoA activation\textsuperscript{6,28-30}. Local activation of RhoA leads to an increase in actin polymerization and myosin activity in the region of activation, but it does not stimulate \textit{de novo} stress fiber formation or changes in focal adhesion morphology. We find that exogenous RhoA activation leads to an immediate increase in both the local and global contractility of the cell, followed by a rapid relaxation after GEF recruitment is stopped. The local increase in stress fiber contractility drives an actomyosin flow towards regions of increased RhoA activity. Surprisingly, these flows reverse direction as soon as GEF recruitment ceases. Using physical modeling, we show this behavior is consistent with stress fibers behaving as predominately elastic-like over hour time scales. We find that zyxin is necessary for this elasticity; in its absence, stress fibers become predominately fluid-like even at second time scales. These results suggest that stress fiber mechanics is sensitive to small changes in composition, which has significant implications for regulation of force transmission and cytoskeletal organization.

**Results**

**Spatiotemporal control of RhoA and its downstream effectors**

To spatially and temporally control contractility in adherent cells, we adapted a previously established optogenetic probe\textsuperscript{6,28} to act on the RhoA signaling pathway (Figure 1a). During stimulation by blue light, a cytosolic fusion protein, photo-recruitable GEF (prGEF), consisting of tandem PDZ domains fused to the DH domain of the RhoA specific GEF LARG\textsuperscript{6}, is recruited to the plasma membrane where it activates RhoA (Figure 1b). To illustrate the local recruitment of prGEF we tagged it with the fluorophore mCherry and imaged an NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing the constructs on a glass coverslip (Figure 1c). A digital micromirror device was used to spatially control the illumination of the blue activating light (orange box, Figure 1c), and was pulsed before each image acquisition during the recruitment period. Recruitment of the prGEF to the activation region was rapid and reversible upon extinguishing the stimulating blue light (Figure 1c,d and Supplementary Movie 1).

To investigate whether recruitment of the prGEF resulted in activity of proteins downstream of RhoA, we tracked the dynamics of actin and myosin light chain (MLC) during recruitment (Figure 1e,g). Both actin and myosin accumulated in the activation regions, resulting in an increase in fluorescence intensity during the 15 min activation period (Figure 1e-h and Supplementary Movies 2-3).
Interestingly, local activation of RhoA did not lead to *de novo* stress fiber assembly in the activation region (Figure 1e and Supplementary Movie 2). At the end of the activation period, fluorescence intensities of both actin and myosin returned to baseline levels. These results indicate that exogenous RhoA activation via LARG recruitment is not sufficient to maintain elevated RhoA activity and the concomitant increases in local actin and myosin concentrations. To confirm that local activation of RhoA was acting on actin and myosin through its downstream effectors, formin and ROCK, the experiments were repeated in the presence of either SMIFH2, a pan formin inhibitor, or Y-27632, a Rho-associated Kinase inhibitor. Local recruitment of actin and MLC were significantly inhibited by the presence of SMIFH2 and Y-27632, respectively. These results illustrate that RhoA activity and recruitment of its downstream effectors can be spatially and temporally controlled via light.

**Focal adhesion dynamics and morphology are unperturbed by local increases in RhoA activity**

Previous work has suggested that focal adhesion formation and maturation are tension dependent processes driven by increased RhoA activity at adhesion sites. To test these hypotheses we examined how local RhoA activation affected traction forces and focal adhesions. Cells expressing mCherry-vinculin, a marker of focal adhesions, were plated on polyacrylamide gels coated with fibronectin and traction stresses were measured via Traction Force Microscopy (Figure 2a,b and Supplementary Movie 4). During local activation of RhoA, traction stresses increased at focal adhesion sites, on a similar timescale to that of myosin localization (Figure 1g). Despite the increased force, the total number of adhesions remained essentially constant (Figure 2c). Individual adhesion morphology and vinculin intensity were also unaffected, despite large increases in stress at a majority of previously established adhesion sites during local RhoA activation (Figure 2d & Supplementary Figure S1). Too much RhoA activation, however, results in adhesion failure and detachment from the substrate (Supplementary Figure S2). These result are consistent with previous results that tension alone is not sufficient to drive changes in focal adhesion size.

To determine the effect of local RhoA activation on the overall contractility of the cell, we used traction force microscopy to measure the total strain energy, which reflects the amount of mechanical work done by the cell on its environment. Activation induced a rapid increase in both traction stresses and
strain energy (Figure 2b,c) At the end of the activation period, the strain energy decreased to their original baseline values (Figure 2c). Interestingly, traction stresses were mostly seen to increase at the cell periphery, where traction stresses were already established, and in areas immediately adjacent to the activation region. No change was seen in the activation area itself (Figure 2b). This suggests that locally generated forces balance within the activation region and only unbalanced forces at the edge of this region are turned into productive traction forces. Thus, a local increase of tension leads to globally distributed traction forces at pre-existing focal adhesions.

**Cells maintain a contractile set point**

That cells return to a similar baseline contractility following a period of exogenous RhoA activation is consistent with previously established ideas of tensional homeostasis\(^\text{36-38}\). To explicitly probe this behavior, we performed a series of local activations of different sizes on a single cell (Figure 2e and Supplementary Movie 5). After measuring the strain energy at an initial steady state, a cell was exposed to three 15 min periods of local RhoA activation of increasing size with relaxation periods between each activation (Figure 2e,f). The strain energy increased concomitant with the size of the activation region. During activation, both local stresses immediately surrounding the activation region, and long-range stresses at the cell periphery could be seen to increase (Figure 2e). Following each activation, the strain energy returned to the initial baseline level (Figure 2f).

To elucidate the underlying mechanical principles, we built a physical model that would capture this physical response. We constructed a continuum model of the cell as a contractile element in series with a viscous and an elastic element (Figure 2g). Contractility was assumed to increase with an exponentially plateauing ramp in the activated region, consistent with the observed accumulation profiles for actin and myosin (Figure 1g), and the substrate was represented as an elastic spring coupled to the cell by a friction element. The model parameters for the elastic modulus, viscosity, friction and contractility were found by fitting the model to the strain energy data, while the value of the substrate stiffness was fixed. This procedure resulted in a curve in good agreement with the experimental data (Figure 2f).
We find that both the viscous and elastic elements are necessary to accurately capture the behavior of the system. The ratio of viscosity ($\sim 7 \times 10^6 \text{ Pa}\cdot\text{s}$) to elasticity ($\sim 2 \times 10^3 \text{ Pa}$) defines a viscoelastic relaxation time of approximately 50 minutes; this time scale determines the transition from when the cytoskeleton behaves predominately elastic-like ($< 50 \text{ min}$) to predominately fluid-like ($> 50 \text{ min}$). Our results thus indicate that stress fibers are predominately elastic on the scale of tens of minutes, despite proteins within the stress fiber turning over on timescales of tens of seconds. This strong elastic behavior is also consistent with the immediate increase in cell traction stresses at the cell periphery (Figure 2b,e) upon local activation of RhoA in the center of the cell.

**Stress fibers contract independent of the background network**

To investigate the cytoskeletal architectures that give rise to this strong contractility, we tracked myosin dynamics during local RhoA activation. In the steady state, as new actomyosin is polymerized and incorporated into stress fibers, there is a retrograde flow of actomyosin from the periphery towards the cell center. Using particle image velocimetry (PIV) we measured both the local direction and magnitude of myosin flow. We found that myosin flow rates along the stress fiber increased as myosin accumulated in the activation region creating a local contraction, and that this flow was directed along the orientation of the stress fibers spanning the activation region (Figure 3a,b, Supplementary Figure S3, and Supplementary Movie 6). The flow direction was independent of the activation region geometry, with the direction always being determined by the stress fiber orientation (Supplementary Movie 7).

The cytoskeleton of a strongly adherent cell is typically thought to be a 2D material comprised of stress fibers embedded in an isotropic cortex. Since flows induced by local RhoA activation appear to track the orientation of the stress fibers (Figs. 3a,b and S2), we sought to address the relative contractile contributions of the stress fibers and the actin networks. We therefore built a 2D discrete model analogous to the 1D continuum model described above (Figure 3c). The model consists of a triangular mesh with the same contractile, viscous and elastic elements connected in series, with lines of increased contractility representing the stress fibers. Using a simple rectangular cell, we first verified that, without stress fibers, this model recapitulates the results from the one-dimensional continuum model.
model (Figure 3d). Similar to the 1D model above, the contractile components in a region in the center of the cell were slowly increased with an exponentially plateauing ramp. The parameters were then adjusted so that the model both qualitatively and quantitatively recapitulated the expected flow patterns of the 1D continuum model (Figure 3d).

To explore the relative contributions of the background mesh and the stress fibers, we considered two test cases: (1) If both the mesh and the stress fibers contained contractile elements, the stress fibers pinched inward transverse to their orientation during local activation (Figure 3e); and (2) when contractile elements were only included in the stress fibers, the cytoskeletal flow was restricted to directions along the stress fiber (Figure 3f), consistent with our experimental results (Figure 3a-b, Supplementary Figure S3, and Supplementary Movies 6-7). Since transverse deformations were never seen in experiments, it is clear that the stress fibers must be the predominant contractile elements observable at this resolution which respond to local RhoA-induced contractions. Furthermore, this result illustrates that it is appropriate to think of a stress fiber as a one-dimensional contractile element with viscous and elastic components embedded in a passive viscoelastic network.

**Stress fibers flow in response to local strain induced by RhoA activation**

Having identified the stress fiber as the main contractile unit responding to exogenous RhoA activation, we next sought to address whether stress fibers undergo deformation during contraction. Since stress fibers can be considered as 1D structures, we analyzed myosin flow along the fiber using kymographs. A kymograph drawn along a single stress fiber illustrates that myosin puncta flowed from both ends towards the activation regions when RhoA was activated locally (Figure 4a-b, Supplementary Figure S5). Similarly, a kymograph drawn by projecting the flow speed along the stress fiber from the velocity field created by our PIV analysis illustrates even more clearly how cytoskeletal flow was perturbed by local RhoA activation. Flow of myosin from both ends of the stress fiber reoriented towards the recruitment regions and increased from ~1 nm/s on average to more than 3 nm/s during activation (Figure 4c and Supplementary Figure S5). Strikingly, the flow was also seen to reverse direction, flowing away from the recruitment region and towards the cell periphery, during the relaxation period following the local activation (Figure 4b,c). This flow reversal is reminiscent of the restoring force in
elastic objects that restores its original shape after removal of external force (e.g. recoil of an elastic band after stretch).

We next developed a protocol to measure the magnitude of the stress fiber displacement during these periods of contraction and relaxation (Figure 4d). The displacement in a given fiber was determined by measuring the relative position of puncta along the fiber following 15 minutes of local RhoA activation and 15 minutes after it ceased. During contraction, puncta on either side of the activation region contracted on average ~3 µm from their original position before relaxing back to ~1 µm from their original position (Figure 4d). The relaxation response across many stress fibers from multiple cells could be further clustered into two groups, one which exhibited strong reversal (~80% of the original position) and one which exhibited little to no reversal (~25% of the original position) (Figure 4d).

To determine whether stress fibers were stretching due to the local contraction, we used cells expressing mApple-α-actinin, an actin crosslinker which localizes to well defined puncta on stress fibers (Figure 4e and Supplementary Movie 8). We created kymographs of α-actinin flow during local activation of RhoA and tracked paths of individual puncta (Figure 4f). The velocity of individual puncta was determined from the slope of the tracks in the kymograph and plotted as a function of distance from the activation zone (Figure 4g). Puncta along the stress fiber moved at similar speeds, indicating that, in general, the stress fiber was translating as a rigid rod during the local contraction (Figure 4g).

Where present, changes in velocity between neighboring puncta were abrupt (blue arrow Fig 4g), suggesting points of structural failure along a fiber. These results indicate that the strain induced in the stress fiber is restricted to the local contraction in the activation region and discrete sites of extension in regions outside the activation region.

By fitting the experimental kymographs to both our 1D continuum and 2D discrete models, we show that similar flow patterns emerge naturally from the mechanics of the system (Figure 4h). The high elasticity of the stress fiber, specifically the ratio of elasticity to viscosity, is sufficient to recapitulate the flow profiles that were seen during both RhoA activation and relaxation. Furthermore, the
parameters found from the kymograph fitting process were consistent with the parameter values found when fitting the strain energy (Table 2).

Zyxin is recruited to sites of extension and compression on stress fibers

In order to probe the underlying molecular basis of this elasticity, we sought to identify stress fiber-associated proteins that could contribute to the recoil behavior. Zyxin has been previously established as a mechanosensitive protein that dynamically localizes to sites of strain along stress fibers\textsuperscript{43,44}, in addition to focal adhesions\textsuperscript{45}. Using cells expressing mCherry-zyxin, we monitored zyxin activity during RhoA activation (Figure 5a and Supplementary Movie 9). Zyxin recruitment was consistently observed in a small population of focal adhesions outside of the local activation region (Figure 5b). Surprisingly, we found that zyxin also accumulated along stress fibers in the region of local activation (Figure 5c-d).

Given the myosin accumulation and direction of flow, this suggests that zyxin is recruited to both sites of compression and extension. Paxillin, another mechanosensitive LIM domain protein that responds to stress\textsuperscript{46,47}, behaved similarly to zyxin (Supplementary Figure S4).

Zyxin is required for stress fibers to behave elastically

To further explore the role of zyxin in stress fiber mechanical behavior we used mouse embryonic fibroblast cells derived from zyxin\textsuperscript{−/−} mice\textsuperscript{48}. Despite the loss of zyxin, these cells form actin stress fibers and focal adhesions and are highly contractile\textsuperscript{49}. When we locally activated RhoA in the zyxin\textsuperscript{−/−} cells, myosin accumulated in the activation region (Figure 5e and Supplementary Movie 10). This accumulation drove a contractile flow into the local activation area that was indistinguishable from wild type cells. Upon stopping the GEF recruitment in zyxin\textsuperscript{−/−} cells, cytoskeletal flow returned to pre-activation rates, consistent with the reduced local contraction, but did not reverse direction (Figure 5e-f,i, Supplementary Figure S5, and Supplementary Movie 10). Expression of EGFP-zyxin in this cell line restored the flow reversal (Figure 5g-i, Supplementary Figure S5 and Supplementary Movie 11).

Together these results indicate that zyxin is required for the flow reversal occurring after local RhoA activation ends.
Using the kymographs produced in the zyxin$^{-}$ and zyxin$^{-}$+EGFP-zyxin cells, we again fit the data to our mechanical model (Figure 5j-i, Supplementary Figure S6). For the zyxin$^{-}$ cells, we found the viscoelastic relaxation time reduced to 1 sec, indicating that the stress fibers are predominately fluid-like at all physiological time scales. Rescue of the zyxin$^{-}$ cells with EGFP-zyxin resulted in parameter fits that were consistent with the NIH 3T3 fibroblast data. Zyxin is thus important for maintaining the qualitative mechanical response of stress fibers, ensuring they are predominately elastic at $\sim$1 hr time scales.

Discussion

This study demonstrates that the mechanical behavior of adherent cells is strongly shaped by stress fibers and their ability rapid force transmission and cytoskeletal architecture even in the face of molecular turnover and flow. Using an optogenetic probe to locally activate RhoA via recruitment of the DH domain of LARG, a RhoA specific GEF, we find that we can stimulate a local contraction in stress fibers due to an increased accumulation of actin and myosin in the activation area (Figure 6, 1). This local contraction causes a tension gradient and a flow towards the activation region (Figure 6, 2). The flow of myosin and $\alpha$-actinin increases the strain both on the interface coupling the stress fiber to the adhesion and in the activation region, leading to recruitment of the mechanosensitive protein zyxin (Figure 6, 3). When local activation of RhoA is stopped, the system relaxes to the pre-activation state, mainly driven by elastic energy accumulated in the strained regions, and results in a cytoskeletal flow of material away from the local activation region (Figure 6, 4).

This elastic behavior is dependent on zyxin. Previous reports have shown that zyxin localizes along the stress fiber at the interface of the adhesion$^{50,51}$. This positioning suggests that previously reported zyxin mediated stress fiber repair mechanisms$^{46,49}$ are also occurring at the adhesion interface as actin is assembled and is incorporated into the stress fiber while under tension. The localization of zyxin to sites of compression, however, is novel. While it is known that the LIM domain of zyxin is sufficient for localization$^{52}$, the exact mechanism through which zyxin recognizes sites of strain remains unknown.
These data further illustrate that RhoA activity and its downstream effectors are tightly regulated by the cell. We see no evidence that RhoA activation alone leads to *de novo* stress fiber formation or adhesion maturation. Instead these processes likely result from concurrent changes in cytoskeletal architecture\(^{35,53}\). More interestingly, the data suggest cells regulate total RhoA activity to maintain a constant homeostasis\(^{36-38}\). Specifically, the relaxation kinetics of the downstream effectors match the kinetics of the optogenetic probe\(^2\), thus indicating that there is no positive feedback loop whereby production of RhoA•GTP alone is sufficient to promote further activation of RhoA. To sustain a given contractile state, therefore, the cell must actively regulate and maintain a specific RhoA•GTP concentration.

By using an optogenetic approach to perturb the local mechanical balance within the cell, we were able probe the material properties of the cytoskeleton in ways previously inaccessible. Given that typical turnover rates for proteins in the cytoskeleton are on the order of tens of seconds\(^{15}\), it is surprising that the cell behaves elastically on timescales of \~1 hour. The viscous behavior of cells is typically associated with irreversible changes brought on through remodeling and dynamic activity of proteins (e.g. cytoskeletal remodeling during migration)\(^{21,54,55}\). Conversely, elasticity has typically been used to describe cellular material properties that ignore the dynamic activity of the components\(^{36,56,57}\). The finding that cells can maintain their elasticity and their dynamic activity simultaneously has exciting implications for interpreting the underlying physics of active materials. The fact that this behavior can be controlled by the activity of a single protein suggests intriguing potential mechanisms to regulate cell mechanics during morphogenesis and development.
Methods

Cell culture and transfection
NIH 3T3 fibroblasts (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, VA) were cultured in DMEM media (Mediatech, Herndon, VA) and supplemented with 10% FBS (HyClone; ThermoFisher Scientific, Hampton, NH), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen). Zyxin+/− and zyxin+/−+EGFP-zyxin Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast cells were a gift of Mary Beckerle’s lab (University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT) and cultured similarly to the NIH 3T3 fibroblasts. All cells were transiently transfected via electroporation 24 hrs prior to experiment using a Neon Transfection system (ThermoFisher Scientific). Following transfection, cells were plated on glass coverslips and imaged the next day.

Drug treatments
Cells were treated with either the 10 µM SMIFH2 a pan-formin inhibitor or 1µM of Y-27632 which inhibits ROCK (ThermoFisher Scientific), for at least 30 minutes prior to imaging.

Plasmids
The optogenetic membrane tether consisting of Stargazin-GFP-LOVpep and prGEF constructs used are previously described (Wagner 2016). prGEF-YFP was constructed in an identical manner to prGEF with YFP replacing mCherry. This construct was used in experiments where the effects on various downstream markers were visualized. To examine effects on the actin and myosin networks, we used mApple-Actin and mApple-MLC constructs (gifts from M Davidson, University of Florida, Gainesville FL), mCherry-Vinculin (gift from V Weaver, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA) and mCherry-zyxin (gift from M Beckerle, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT).

Live cell imaging
Glass coverslips were placed in a Chamlide magnetic chamber (Live Cell Instrument, Seoul, Korea) in culture media supplemented with 10 mM HEPES and 30µL/mL Oxyrase (Oxyrase Inc., Mansfield, OH) and maintained at 37°C. Cells were imaged on an inverted Nikon Ti-E microscope (Nikon, Melville, NY) with a Yokogawa CSU-X confocal scanhead (Yokogawa Electric, Tokyo, Japan), and laser merge module.
containing 491nm, 561nm, and 642nm laser lines (Spectral Applied Research, Ontario, Canada). Images were collected on either a CoolSNAP HQ2 CCD (Roper Scientific, Trenton, NJ) or Zyla 4.2 sCMOS Camera (Andor, Belfast, United Kingdom). Local recruitment using the optogenetic probe was performed using a 405 nM laser coupled to a Mosaic digital micromirror device (Andor). Images were collected using a 60x 1.49 NA ApoTIRF oil immersion objective (Nikon). All hardware was controlled using MetaMorph Automation and Image Analysis Software (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA).

Unless otherwise stated, cells were imaged in the 561 channel every 20 s for 45 min, with the first 15 min used to determine the steady state of the system, the second 15 min to perform local recruitment, and the final 15 min to record any recovery. During recruitment a local region drawn in MetaMorph was illuminated by the 405nm laser for 960 ms at a power < 1 µJ/s immediately prior to the acquisition of each 561 image.

**Local recruitment analysis**

All data analysis was performed using MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA). Regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn to calculate the average intensity in the local recruitment region, a control area within the cell but far away from the recruitment area, and a background area outside of the cell. The average background intensity was subtracted from the control region and this curve was used to determine a photobleaching correction. The photobleaching correction was then applied to the background subtracted average intensity in the local recruitment region and normalized to the average value of the first 15 min of the data.

**Focal adhesion analysis**

Images were thresholded and segmented to create binary masks using MATLAB. Adhesion masks were filtered to exclude adhesions smaller than 0.4 µm² due to the inability to segment them consistently. The binary mask was then used to calculate the total number and average fluorescence intensity of adhesions in each frame. These masks were also used to calculate the average stress under the adhesions. To calculate the percentage of adhesions which increased in intensity or stress during activation, we compared the maximum intensity of the adhesion during activation to the intensity
immediately prior to activation. Adhesions were considered to have shown an increase in either intensity or stress if the magnitude of the increase was greater than 10%.

**Kymograph and local displacement analysis**

Kymographs were created in MATLAB by drawing lines along stress fibers and averaging across a width of 9 pixels. Local displacement was determined by locating a feature 5µm from the edge of the activation zone in a kymograph immediately prior to activation. The location of this feature was then tracked and recorded following the 15 minute period of activation, and then again following 15 minutes of relaxation.

**Cytoskeletal flow analysis**

Images were first corrected for bleaching and then filtered with a 3D Gaussian filter to remove noise. Flow fields were calculated using an implementation of the Brox et al. optical flow algorithm\(^ {39,40} \) that ensures spatial and temporal smoothness. Flow field kymographs were generated by projecting the flow vectors onto the line defining the kymograph. To compare the direction of flow with the organization of the cytoskeleton, the local orientation of actin fibers was extracted from the structure tensor\(^ {58} \).

**α-actinin spacing analysis**

Kymographs were drawn as above. For each timepoint in the kymograph, local peaks in the linescan were determined. Peaks were then connected to create tracks across the kymograph. Local velocity was determined by isolating the section of the track during the activation period and fitting the trajectory to a straight line. The fitted slope was taken as the velocity.

**Traction force microscopy**

Traction force microscopy was performed as described previously\(^ {33,34,36} \). Briefly, polyacrylamide gels embedded with 40-nm fluorescent microspheres (Invitrogen) were polymerized on activated glass coverslips. The shear modulus of the gels used in these experiments was 8.6 kPa. Following polymerization gels were washed with PBS and crosslinked with the extracellular matrix protein
fibronectin (Millipore, Billerica, MA) using the photoactivatable crosslinker sulfo-sanpah (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were plated and allowed to spread for at least 4 hours prior to imaging as described above.

Following imaging, cells were removed from the substrate using 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulfate and a reference image of the fluorescent beads in the unstrained substrate was taken. The image stack was then aligned to correct for drift and compared to the reference image using particle imaging velocimetry to create a displacement field with a grid spacing of 0.86 µm. Displacement vectors were filtered and interpolated using the Kriging interpolation method. Traction stresses were reconstructed via Fourier Transform Traction Cytometry\textsuperscript{33,59}, with a regularization parameter chosen by minimizing the L2 curve\textsuperscript{34}. The strain energy was calculated as one half the integral of the traction stress field dotted into the displacement field\textsuperscript{36}.

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were repeated a minimum of 3 times. Cells presented in figures are representative samples of the population behavior. Box plots represent the 25\textsuperscript{th}, 50\textsuperscript{th} and 75\textsuperscript{th} percentiles of the data. Whiskers on the boxplot extend to the most extreme data points not considered outliers. Error bars represent the standard deviation, except where noted otherwise. Statistical significance was determined using independent two-sample Student’s t tests of the mean to compare groups of data. Statistical significance is indicated by asterisks: (*) represents a \( p \)-value < 0.05; (**) represents a \( p \)-value < 0.01.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1

RhoA activity can be spatiotemporally controlled via an optogenetic probe. (a) Spatiotemporal control of RhoA activity is achieved using an optogenetic probe to recruit the RhoA specific GEF LARG to the plasma membrane. A LOVpep molecule is anchored to the membrane via fusion to the transmembrane protein Stargazin, while a protein consisting of tandem PDZ domains fused to the DH domain of LARG (prGEF) is distributed throughout the cytosol. Upon stimulation with 405nm light, the LOVpep undergoes a conformational change exposing a high-affinity binding site which drives the prGEF to the membrane where it can activate RhoA. When the activating light is removed, the LOVpep undergoes a thermodynamically driven refolding halting further recruitment of prGEF. (b) The RhoA signaling pathway. RhoA*GTP activates both Dia and ROCK, which in turn promote actin polymerization and myosin II activation, respectively. (c) Representative images of a 3T3 fibroblast expressing an mCherry-tagged version of prGEF. Upon local activation (top row – orange box) mCherry-prGEF rapidly accumulates in the activation region. Removal of the activating light (bottom row) results in the accumulated mCherry-prGEF dispersing back into the cytosol. (d) Quantification of the local intensity increase of mCherry-prGEF in the activation region of the cell shown in c. The activation period is indicated by a blue background. (e-f) Representative images of cells expressing either mApple-Actin (e) or mApple-Myosin Light Chain (f) prior to activation, following 15 minutes of activation in the region indicated, and following 15 minutes of relaxation. Both actin and myosin exhibit increases in intensity in the local region of activation. (g) Quantification of the local intensity increase of actin and myosin from the cells in e-f. Both signals begin increasing immediately upon RhoA activation, and dissipate as soon as the activating light is switched off. (h) Mean maximum intensity fold-increase of actin or myosin in regions of activation in control cells, or cells treated with 10 µM SMIFH2 (Dia inhibitor) or 1µM Y-27632 (ROCK inhibitor). Inhibition of either Dia or ROCK results in reduced average increases in local intensity during RhoA activation. Time is min:sec.

Figure 2

Increased RhoA activity leads to increased cell contractility. (a) A cell expressing mCherry-Vinculin is shown prior to activation, after 15 minutes of activation, and after 15 minutes of relaxation. The
activation region is indicated by the orange box. (b) The corresponding traction stress maps for the
time series shown in a. (c) A plot showing the strain energy versus time and the number of focal
adhesions versus time, with the activation period indicated by the blue background. The strain energy
begins to increase immediately upon activation, and begins to relax as soon as the activating light is
removed. In contrast, the number of focal adhesions remains relatively constant and does not respond
to the local increase in RhoA activity. (d) The average stress and fluorescence intensity of a
representative adhesion marked by the white box in a. Kymographs were generated by drawing a line
along the long axis of the adhesion. The activation period is indicated by the orange bar above the
kymograph. (e) A sequence of traction maps from a cell exposed to a series of activations in regions of
different size. Time is hr:min:sec. (f) A plot of the experimental (black line) and theoretical (red line)
strain energy vs time for the cell shown in e. The contractile response of the cell is proportional to the
size of the activation region and retreats to a baseline value following each activation period. (g) A
cartoon of the continuum model used to describe the cell in e. The model consists of a contractile
element ($\sigma_m$) in series with a viscous ($\eta$) and an elastic element ($k$), connected via a frictional elements
($\gamma$) to an elastic substrate ($k_M$).

**Figure 3**

Stress fibers direct contractile flow. (a) Fluorescence time series of a cell expressing mApple-MLC
shown prior and after two separate periods of activation (orange boxes). (b) Flow fields of myosin
calculated from the images in a. Flow is always directed along the direction of the stress fibers. (c) A
two dimensional model of the cell was created using a triangular mesh of viscoelastic-cables ($k, \eta$)
connected at vertices viscously coupled ($\gamma$) to the environment. Stress fibers (blue line) consisting of
contractile ($\sigma_m$), viscous ($\eta_{sf}$) and elastic elements ($k_{sf}$) were embedded in the network. Using a
simplified rectangular cell with this network, local RhoA activation could be simulated by activating
force dipoles in network links in a region in the center of the cell. (d) To calibrate the 2D discrete
model, the average flow (white box in c), was measured and compared to the 1D continuum model
presented above. (e-f) The 2D discrete model was used to explore two contractile scenarios: (e)
contractile stress fibers (blue) embedded in a contractile mesh (grey); and (f) contractile stress fibers
embedded in a non-contractile background. If both the stress fibers and mesh are contractile, a
transverse contraction pinches together the stress fibers. If only the stress fibers contract, the flow
profile is restricted to the orientation of the fibers, mimicking the experimental results.

**Figure 4**

Stress fibers behave elastically. (a) Image showing a cell labeled with mApple-MLC. The activation
regions are indicated by the orange boxes. (b) A kymograph drawn along the stress fiber (green line in
a). During activation periods myosin flows towards the activation regions. (c) A kymograph created
from the same region as b using the flow maps determined previously. Flow was projected onto the
stress fiber and color coded to indicate speed and direction. This flow map illustrates that during
relaxation periods, myosin flow reverses direction away from the activation periods. (d) A
quantification of displacement of stress fibers during contraction and relaxation. Puncta ~5 µm from
the activation zone were tracked and measured following 15 minutes of activation, and again following
15 min of relaxation. Puncta translated about 3 µm from their original position, and relaxed to ~1 µm
from their original position elastically. The relaxation response could be further broken into two
groups, one with a strong reversal (~80% of their original position) and one with a weak reversal (~25%
of their original position). (e) A cell transfected with mApple-α-actinin. The activation area is indicated
by the orange box. (f) A kymograph drawn along the direction indicated in e, overlain with tracks of the
individual α-actinin puncta during activation. (g) The velocity of individual puncta along the stress fiber
is measured from the slope of the tracks and plotted against the distance from the activation region.
Adjacent puncta all move at approximately the same speed. Sudden changes in velocity (blue
arrowhead) correlate with what appear to be site of mechanical failure along the stress fiber and the
appearance of new puncta. The black line represents the stress fiber from f, while the grey lines are
other stress fibers from the same cell. (h) A representative kymograph is fit to both the 1D continuum
and 2D discrete models. Both models are able to recapitulate the flow patterns seen experimentally.

**Figure 5**

Zyxin accumulates at sites of strain on stress fibers during local RhoA activation. (a) A NIH 3T3
expressing mCherry-zyxin. The activation region is indicated by the orange box. (b) A kymograph of a
representative adhesion marked by the white box in a. (c) The average intensity of zyxin in the
activation region. (d) A kymograph illustrating the local zyxin accumulation during activation along the
green line shown in a. (e) A zyxin^{+/--} MEF expressing mApple-MLC before activation, at peak activation,
and following relaxation. Myosin accumulates as in 3T3s. (f) A kymograph of myosin intensity and flow
speed drawn along the green line indicated in e. Zyxin^{+/--} MEFs exhibit little to no elastic flow reversal.
(g) A zyxin^{+/--} MEF rescued with EGFP-zyxin and expressing mApple-MLC, during an activation
sequence. (h) A kymograph of myosin and flow illustrating a strong elastic flow reversal along the line
drawn in g. (i) Displacement analysis of the zyxin^{+/--} and zyxin^{+/--}+EGFP-zyxin MEFs. Without zyxin, cells
do not exhibit an elastic response. (j) A kymograph representing the average fit of the continuum
model to the zyxin^{+/--} data. (k) A kymograph representing the average fit of the continuum model to
the zyxin^{+/--}+EGFP-zyxin data. (l) The elastic (E) and viscous (η) parameters found from fitting the
experimental kymographs to the continuum model. Without zyxin, the elasticity increases and the
viscosity decreases.

**Figure 6**

Molecular and mechanical models of local RhoA activation in stress fibers. Molecular model: (1) Local
recruitment of prGEF leads to activation of RhoA and accumulation of actin and myosin. The local
increase in actin and myosin in turn stimulates a local contraction in the stress fiber. (2) The increased
local contractility induces a flow of myosin and α-actinin along the stress fiber towards the activation
region. (3) Increased flow induces higher strain at both the interface coupling the stress fiber to the
focal adhesion and the activation region, resulting in recruitment of the mechanosensitive protein
zyxin. (4) When local activation of RhoA stops, the flow reverses direction as the stress fiber relaxes
elastically. Mechanical model: The stress fiber is represented as a contractile, viscous and elastic
element connected in series. Upon local activation of RhoA, the contractile element is compressed,
leading to extension of both the viscous and elastic elements. As local activation stops, the energy
stored in the elastic element allows it to relax back to its original state, while the energy in the viscous
element is lost.
Supplementary Movie Captions

Supplementary Movie 1
A NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing mCherry-prGEF during a local activation of RhoA (from Figure 1c). Local activation area is indicated by the white box. Time is in min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 2
A NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing mApple-Actin during local activation of RhoA (from Figure 1e). Local activation area is indicated by the white box. Time is in min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 3
A NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing mApple-MLC during a local activation of RhoA (from Figure 1f). Local activation area is indicated by the white box. Time is in min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 4
A NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing mCherry-vinculin during a local activation of RhoA (from Figure 2a) and the corresponding traction stress maps (from Figure 2b). Local activation is indicated by the white box. Time is in min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 5
Traction stress maps of a NIH 3T3 fibroblast during a series of local RhoA activations (from Figure 2e). Local activation is indicated by white boxes. Time is in hr:min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 6
A NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing mApple-MLC during a local activation of RhoA and the corresponding cytoskeletal flow maps (from Figure 3a,b). Local activation is indicated by white boxes. Time is in hr:min:sec.
Supplementary Movie 7
A NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing mApple-MLC during 3 sequential local activations of RhoA. Each activation is the same area but of a different geometry. Local activation is indicated by white boxes. Time is in hr:min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 8
A NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing mApple-α-actinin during a local activation of RhoA (from Figure 4e). Local activation is indicated by white boxes. Time is in min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 9
A NIH 3T3 fibroblast expressing mCherry-Zyxin during a local activation of RhoA (from Figure 5a). Local activation is indicated by white boxes. Time is in min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 10
A zyxin^{+/-} Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast expressing mApple-MLC during a local activation of RhoA (from Figure 5e). Local activation is indicated by white boxes. Time is in min:sec.

Supplementary Movie 11
A zyxin^{+/-}+EGFP-zyxin Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast expressing mApple-MLC during a local activation of RhoA (from Figure 5g). Local activation is indicated by white boxes. Time is in min:sec.
Figure 1

- **Figure 1a**: Diagram of the plasma membrane with LOVpep, 2xPDZ, LARG-DH, prGEF, LOVpep, and GAP-GEF indicated.
- **Figure 1b**: Diagram of the molecular interactions involving RhoA-GDP, RhoA-GTP, Dia, ROCK, F-actin, and Myosin II.
- **Figure 1c**: Images showing recruitment of mCherry-prGEF at 05:00, 05:04, and 05:24.
- **Figure 1d**: Graphs showing intensity fold increase for mCherry-prGEF, Actin, and MLC over time.
- **Figure 1e**: Images of mApple-Actin showing pre-activation at 15:00, peak activation at 30:00, and post-activation at 45:00.
- **Figure 1f**: Images of mApple-MLC showing the same time points as Figure 1e.
- **Figure 1g**: Graphs showing downstream effector intensity fold increase for Actin and MLC over time, with significant differences highlighted.
- **Figure 1h**: Graphs showing downstream effector intensity fold increase for Actin and MLC with treatments, marked with significance levels (***).
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