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A palladium pre-catalyst, [Pd(PCy3)2] is reported for the efficient and selective C–F alumination of fluorobenzenes with the aluminium(II) reagent [(ArNCMe)2CHAl] (1, Ar = 2,6-di-iso-propylphenyl). The catalytic protocol results in the transformation of sp² C–F bonds to sp² C–Al bonds and provides a route to reactive organoaluminium complexes (2a–h) from fluorocarbons. The catalyst is highly active. Reactions proceed within 5 minutes at 25 °C (and at appreciable rates at even −50 °C) and the scope includes low-fluorine-content substrates such as fluorobenzene, difluorobenzenes and trifluorobenzenes. The reaction proceeds with complete chemoselectivity (C–F vs. C–H) and high regioselectivities (>90% for C–F bonds adjacent to the most acidic C–H sites). The heterometallic complex [Pd(PCy3)(I)2] was shown to be catalytically competent. Catalytic C–F alumination proceeds with a KIE of 1.1–1.3. DFT calculations have been used to model potential mechanisms for C–F bond activation. These calculations suggest that two competing mechanisms may be in operation. Pathway 1 involves a ligand-assisted oxidative addition to [Pd(I)2] and leads directly to the product. Pathway 2 involves a stepwise C–H → C–F functionalisation mechanism in which the C–H bond is broken and reformed along the reaction coordinate, guiding the catalyst to an adjacent C–F site. This second mechanism explains the experimentally observed regioselectivity. Experimental support for this C–H activation playing a key role in C–F alumination was obtained by employing [((MesNCMe)2CH)AlH2] (3, Mes = 2,4,6-tri-methylphenyl) as a reagent in place of 1. In this instance, the kinetic C–H alumination intermediate could be isolated. Under catalytic conditions this intermediate converts to the thermodynamic C–F alumination product.

Introduction

The importance of fluorine in synthetic chemistry has inspired methods to generate reactive building blocks from commercial and inexpensive fluorobenzenes such as fluorobenzene (FB), difluorobenzenes (difBs) and trifluorobenzenes (trifBs). These studies are motivated by the importance of fluorine as both a hydrogen isostere and a radiolabel in drug discovery. Two approaches have emerged: C–H and C–F bond functionalisation (Fig. 1).

For C–H bond functionalisation, the selectivity is often dictated by the ortho-fluorine effect, with C–H bonds being flanked by one or more fluorine atoms being the most reactive sites. 1,2-diFB and FB are particularly challenging substrates in these reactions, leading some to explore novel strategies for substrate activation. These include the reversible generation of π-coordinated arene complexes as a means to decrease the pKₐ of the C–H bonds, along with stepwise carboxylation/decarboxylation to obtain meta-substitution products from FB.

An alternative method to prepare fluorinated building blocks from fluorobenzenes is C–F bond functionalisation. A number
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of non-catalysed, photo-catalysed and transition metal catalysed methods for the borylation,\textsuperscript{15–20} magnesiation,\textsuperscript{21–24} aluminisation\textsuperscript{25–28} and silylation\textsuperscript{29–33} of fluorobenzenes have emerged in recent years. The advantage of these approaches is that they form new C–B, C–Mg, C–Al and C–Si bonds respectively, allowing access to main group intermediates that can be used in onwards reactions. Due to established trends in C–F bond strengths,\textsuperscript{34} the generation of reactive building blocks from low-fluorine-content substrates (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6–n}F\textsubscript{n}, n \leq 3) is considerably more challenging than for high-fluorine-content analogues (C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6–n}F\textsubscript{n}, n > 3). When catalysts or reagents have been found to react with these substrates, chemoselectivity and regioselectivity become a critical issue.

For example, the copper-catalysed \textit{ipso}-borylation of (poly) fluorinated benzenes with low-fluorine-content substrates proceeds with poor chemoselectivity leading to (poly)borylated products with complete exchange of C–F for C–B bonds.\textsuperscript{35} Similarly, the borylation of triFBs with nucleophilic [B(CN)\textsubscript{3}]\textsubscript{2}/[C\textsubscript{6}H\textsubscript{6}]/[C\textsubscript{0}nF\textsubscript{n}, n > 3] is facile, but when multiple isomers can form, low regioselectivity is observed.\textsuperscript{36} A rare example of a catalytic system that operates with high selectivity has been reported by Marder, Radius and co-workers.\textsuperscript{37,38} The nickel-catalysed C–F borylation of FBs, diFBs and triFBs proceeds with excellent chemoselectivity. In cases where regioselectivity is an issue, bond functionalisation was found to occur with high selectivity (>10 : 1) for C–F positions that are adjacent to the most acidic

Fig. 2  Palladium-catalysed C–F bond aluminisation of FB, diFBs and triFBs.
C–H bonds. The precise origin of this selectivity remains unclear.

In this paper, we report an exceptionally mild (25 °C, <5 min) catalytic approach to the conversion of C–F bonds of FB, diFBs and triFBs to C–Al bonds. We show that the aluminium reagent 1 (Fig. 2), which is known to react with high-fluorine-content substrates in the absence of a catalyst,\textsuperscript{25,26,29} can effect C–F aluminisation of low-fluorine-content substrates on addition of catalytic [Pd(PCy$_3$)$_2$]. High selectivity (20 : 1) is observed for reaction sites adjacent to acidic C–H bonds and this selectivity parallels that observed in the hydrodefluorination of polyfluorinated substrates with related aluminium reagents and precious metal catalysts.\textsuperscript{27,28} We provide experimental and computational evidence that supports two competing mechanisms. One of these new pathways explains the regioselectivity and provides the rare mechanistic insight into how the reversible breaking of a C–H bond can determine the regioselectivity of catalytic C–F bond functionalisation.

### Results and discussion

#### Reaction scope

The reactions of the monomeric aluminium(i) complex \textsuperscript{1}\textsuperscript{40,41} with FB, diFBs and triFBs catalysed by 3 mol% [Pd(PCy$_3$)$_2$] in benzene or toluene solutions proceed extremely rapidly at 25 °C. Facile C–F bond aluminisation to form 2a–h was observed. In all cases the reactions were complete within the acquisition of the first time point (<5 min) as evidenced by $^{19}$F NMR spectroscopy (Fig. 2). Even more surprisingly, low temperature experiments indicate that 2a and 2c were formed in high yield at −30 °C and −50 °C, respectively. There is no appreciable reaction of these substrates with 1 in the absence of a catalyst at 25 °C or below. At higher temperatures slow and non-selective C–F aluminisation of triFBs could be observed. For example, 1,2,3-triFB yields a 1 : 3 mixture of regioisomers from C–F aluminisation with 1 in 63% yield after 96 h at 80 °C with the major product resulting from reaction of the central C–F bond of the three. Complementary regioselectivity is observed during catalysis. When more than one regioisomer is possible, high selectivity is recorded for the functionalisation of C–F bonds adjacent to acidic C–H bonds. Hence, 1,2,3-triFB and 1,2,4-triFB lead to products in which the aluminium fragment is installed next to an existing C–H bond (Fig. 2). The high activity and selectivity of the catalytic protocol means it can be applied to the late-stage functionalisation of complex molecules. For example, the palladium catalysed reaction of 1 with Blonanserin, an active pharmaceutical ingredient with a fluorobenzene motif, proceeds rapidly at 25 °C to form 2h.\textsuperscript{41}

#### An off-cycle intermediate and KIEs

We have previously reported [Pd(PCy$_3$)$_2$] mixtures as highly active catalysts for the C–H aluminisation of benzene, toluene and xylenes with 1, [Pd(1)$_2$(PCy$_3$)$_2$] was identified as an off-cycle resting state in catalysis.\textsuperscript{42} This complex reacts stoichiometrically with 1,3-diFB to form 2c (Fig. 3a). Similarly, [Pd(1)$_2$(PCy$_3$)$_2$] was catalytically competent for the C–F bond aluminisation of 1,3-diFB with 1 in cyclohexane solution at 25 °C (Fig. 3a). Curious as to whether C–H activation plays a role in reactions described herein, the KIE for the reaction of 1 with fluorobenzene and fluorobenzene-$d_3$ was measured by two different approaches. A KIE of 1.2 ± 0.1 was measured by relative rates (Fig. 3b) and a KIE of 1.1 (Fig. 3c) was determined by intermolecular competition. These experiments reveal a small isotope effect that is most conservatively interpreted as a secondary KIE. Related palladium-catalysed reactions of 1 with benzene and furan involve turnover limiting C–H and activation have been recorded with KIEs ranging between 4–6. These data suggest that in the case of FB, breaking of the C–H bond is unlikely to be involved in the turnover-limiting step.\textsuperscript{43}

#### DFT calculated mechanism

To gain a deeper understanding of the KIE and the origin of selectivity, a series of plausible mechanisms were investigated by DFT calculations using the M06L functional. Although 1,3-
diFB was the initial focus of these calculations key transition states have been located for a number of substrates (vide infra). Two distinct mechanisms were found to be viable: the first involves a ligand-assisted oxidative addition step to break the C–F bond and form the product in a concerted step (pathway 1), the second, more complicated pathway, is based on a stepwise C–H → C–F functionalisation process (pathway 2).

Calculations were initiated from [Pd(1)]2 a proposed on-cycle intermediate formed from ligand dissociation from [Pd(1)]2(PCy3)].41 1,3-diFB can associate with this 14-electron bent Pd(0) fragment leading to the formation of an encounter complex Int-1. TS-1 was identified as a low-energy transition state (ΔG‡ = 20.7 kcal mol⁻¹) that connects directly to Int-2, a palladium complex of the product 2c (Fig. 4a – pathway 1). TS-1 involves the ligand-assisted oxidative addition of the C–F bond of 1,3-diFB to [Pd(1)]2. This pathway relies on the participation of the vacant 3p-orbital on the aluminylene ligand in a four-membered transition state for C–F bond-breaking (Fig. 4b). Macgregor, Braun and coworkers have proposed a related ligand-assisted oxidative addition pathway involving the addition of a C–F bond of pentafluoropyridine to a Rh–boryl complex.13 In contrast, the direct C–F oxidative addition to Pd in the absence of ligand-assistance (TS-2, ΔG‡ = 41.0 kcal mol⁻¹) is not expected to be competitive with the other pathways (Fig. 4).

A plausible mechanism involving C–H activation was also identified from Int-1 (Fig. 5 and – pathway 2). Breaking of the C–H bond is predicted to be slightly endergonic and forms Int-3 by a classical three-centred oxidative addition transition state, TS-3, with an activation barrier of ΔG‡ = 17.7 kcal mol⁻¹. Int-3 can undergo a cis-trans isomerisation process affording Int-4 and ultimately the more stable isomer, Int-5. From Int-5 there are two plausible pathways, a low-energy and non-reversible pathway to form the C–F aluminamation product and a higher energy and potentially reversible pathway to form the C–H aluminamation product.

Hence, isomerisation of Int-5 back to Int-4 followed by a concerted double-migration of both the phenyl and hydride ligands on Pd to Al may occur with a modest energy activation barrier (ΔG‡ = 14.6 kcal mol⁻¹) leading to the formation of Al–C and Al–H bonds in Int-6. We have previously identified this step by DFT in a closely related mechanism.42 Both are substantiated by experimental data including benchmarking of the DFT methods by experimentally determined activation parameters. Aldridge and coworkers have identified a related double-migration pathway through the analysis of a series of crystallographic snapshots of gallium–rhodium hydride complexes.44 Dissociation of the σ-alane ligand from Int-6 would liberate the C–H aluminamation product and regenerate a catalytically active Pd fragment.

Alternatively, C–F bond breaking may occur directly from Int-5. Int-5 possesses a periplanar arrangement between the Al and the F centres. NBO calculations identified a stabilizing donor–acceptor interaction between the lone pair of the F atom and the vacant p-orbital on Al. This intermediate is perfectly organised for C–F bond activation. Fluoride abstraction occurs with a readily accessible local Gibbs activation barrier (ΔG‡ = 6.7 kcal mol⁻¹). TS-5 is a late transition state in line with the Al–F bond length (1.79 Å), C···F bond length (1.97 Å) and the C≡C bond length (1.31 Å), that results in the formation of a benzene intermediate Int-7. Insertion of the benzene ligand into the Al–Pd bond proceeds via TS-6 (ΔG‡ = 7.4 kcal mol⁻¹). The Al···C (2.18 Å) and the Pd···C (2.30 Å) bond lengths in TS-6 are consistent with a late transition state. The resulting complex Int-8, can undergo an isomerisation and subsequent reductive

![Fig. 4](image-url) Pathway 1: (a) DFT calculated ligand-assisted oxidative addition pathway, (b) Geometries of ligand-assisted oxidative addition transition state (TS-1, di-iso-propylphenyl groups omitted for clarity) and direct oxidative addition to Pd transition state (TS-2, β-diketiminate ligands omitted for clarity) with bond lengths (Å).
elimination by TS-7, to form the C–F alumination product Int-2.
This step has the highest activation barrier and could be
considered to be turnover limiting ($\Delta G^\ddagger = 19.8$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). Int-2,
formed as the most thermodynamically stable product of
the reaction ($\Delta G_{\text{298K}}^0 = -65.9$ kcal mol$^{-1}$). Dissociation of 2c
from Int-2 would liberate the C–F alumination product and
regenerate a catalytically active Pd fragment.

While uncommon, the generation of benzyne intermediates
during C–F bond activation has been observed experimentally.
For example, Jones and Hughes prepared tetrafluorobenzyne compounds via ortho-fluoride abstraction from pentafluorophenyl ligands on zirconium.$^{45-49}$ The structure and bonding situation of Int-7
were further investigated by DFT: the optimized geometry shows an $\eta^2$ coordination of the benzyne
triple bond. The palladium centre has a trigonal planar
arrangement characteristic of [M($\eta^2$-alkyne)L$_2$] complexes. The
length of the coordinated triple bond is similar to a related Pd
$\eta^2$-benzyne complexes.$^{50}$ The bonding situation in Int-7 was
further examined by NBO analysis. At the second-order pertur-
bation level, donation from the triple bond of the benzyn
ligand to Pd-based orbitals is apparent (ESI†).

A number of mechanistic studies have concluded on the
potential for C–H activation as a prerequisite for C–F bond
functionalisation. For example, Goldman and co-workers
proposed a mechanism for the net oxidative addition of the
sp$^3$ C–F bond of fluoroethane to an iridium pincer complex
involving stepwise C–H activation followed by $\beta$-fluoride elim-
nation.$^{51}$ Similarly, Braun and coworkers very recently described

---

Fig. 5 Pathway 2: DFT calculated stepwise pathway for C–H → C–F functionalisation (part 1).

Fig. 6 Pathway 2: DFT calculated stepwise pathway for C–H → C–F functionalisation (part 2).
the reaction of 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropene with a Rh(i) complex that, in the presence of a fluorosilane, proceeds by an initial C-H activation step followed by a 1,2-fluorine atom shift. While mechanistic data for these stepwise processes operating for fluoroarenes is less well described, Johnson and coworkers have proposed that reversible C-H activation occurs en route to non-reversible C-F activation during reactions with in situ generated [Ni(PEt3)2].

### Origin of selectivity

For pathway 2, calculations clearly predict the C-F functionalisation pathway to be kinetically favoured and lead to the thermodynamic product. In contrast, C-H activation proceeds by a higher energy barrier and leads to a kinetic product. For 1,3-diFB, C-H bond functionalisation is not competitive with C-F bond functionalisation in the forward direction (TS-4 vs. TS-5, \( \Delta G^\ddagger = 7.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1} \)). For pathway 1, there is no issue of chemoselectivity. Experimentally, 1 and 1,3-diFB leads exclusively to 2c with no evidence for C-H functionalisation.

Which of the two pathways dominates is expected to differ based on the well-understood trends in C-H and C-F bond strengths of fluoroarenes. Ligand-assisted oxidative addition (pathway 1) is likely to be favoured for substrates with the strongest and least acidic C-H bonds and should proceed to break C-F bonds flanked by additional fluorine atom(s). These are the weakest C-F bonds and lead to the formation of the strongest C-M bonds. The stepwise C-H \( \rightarrow \) C-F functionalisation process (pathway 2) should be favoured for substrates with more acidic C-H bonds. The C-H bond is broken and reformed in the mechanism, guiding the catalyst to an adjacent C-F bond. There is a strict ortho relationship between the two reactive sites which determines the regioselectivity of the reaction. The DFT studies predict that neither pathway involves the breaking of the C-H bond in the turnover-limiting step. Therefore, neither mechanism predicts a strong primary KIE.

In order to better understand the interplay of the two possible mechanisms additional substrates were considered. Key transition states for FB and 1,2,3-triFB were calculated and compared to those of 1,3-diFB (Table 1). It appears that while both mechanisms may be in operation, variation of the substrate influences the barriers of these transition states and may led to switches in the favoured mechanism as the fluorine content of the substrate changes. For FB, ligand-assisted oxidative addition is expected to be the dominant pathway, while for 1,3-diFB the stepwise C-H \( \rightarrow \) C-F functionalisation process is expected to operate exclusively. Based on our current understanding, the stepwise C-H \( \rightarrow \) C-F functionalisation mechanism is likely the dominant mechanism at play for diFBs and triFBs as it provides the clearest rationale for the origin of the regioselectivity for these substrates (Fig. 2).

#### C-H bond activation

Unambiguous support for C-H activation playing a role in C-F bond functionalisation was obtained during reactions of the aluminium(iii) dihydride 3, an analogue of 1, with 1,3,5-di-

### Table 1: Comparison of DFT calculated activation barriers for key steps in pathway 1 and 2

|         | FB       | 1,3-DiFB  | 1,2,3-TriFB |
|---------|----------|-----------|-------------|
| TS-1 pathway 1 (\( \Delta G^\ddagger \) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) | 21.4      | 20.7       | 22.7\(^a\)  |
| TS-3 pathway 2 (\( \Delta G^\ddagger \) kcal mol\(^{-1}\)) | 23.0      | 17.7       | 22.8\(^a\)  |

\(^a\) For reaction at the 1/3-position.
Conclusions

In summary, we report a highly active catalytic system for the C–F alumination of fluoroarenes. Reactions proceed rapidly at 25 °C and below. The substrate scope includes highly challenging low-fluorine-content substrates ($C_nH_{2n−2}F_n$ $n \leq 3$) and even an active pharmaceutical ingredient. Heterometallic Al–Pd–Al complexes have been proposed as on-cycle intermediates during catalysis. Catalytic C–F bond functionalisation occurs without a strong KIE and DFT calculations suggest that two plausible mechanisms may be in operation. The simplest mechanism (pathway 1) involves the ligand-assisted oxidative addition of the C–F bond of the substrate to a Al–Pd–Al heterometallic complex and proceeds directly to the palladium-bound product. The second more complex mechanism (pathway 2) involves a stepwise C–H $\rightarrow$ C–F functionalisation process in which the C–H bond breaks and reforms, directing the catalyst to an adjacent C–F site. This latter mechanism provides a rationale for the regioselectivity of the reaction of diFB and triFB under catalytic conditions. Clear experimental support for C–H functionalisation playing a role in catalysis was obtained by the identification of a 100% atom efficient palladium catalysed isomerisation of the kinetic C–H alumination product to the thermodynamic C–F alumination product. The new organoaluminium compounds derived from C–F functionalisation have potential in synthesis. Preliminary experiments show that these are viable partners in a nickel-catalysed cross-coupling with aryl bromides (ESI†). Future studies will focus on generating synthetic value from organoaluminium compounds including those derived from active pharmaceutical ingredients.

Author contributions

FR and WY conducted the experimental work. RKB conducted reactions with Blonanserin. FR conducted the DFT calculations. AJPW collected and analysed single crystal X-ray diffraction data. MRC managed the project. The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the European Research Council for a Marie-Curie Award and ERC StG (FluoroCross: 745806 and FluoroFix: 677367). Johnson Matthey are thanked for generous donation of PdCl₂. Marti Garcon and Dr Tom Hooper are thanked for productive scientific discussions.

References

1 W. Chen, C. Bakewell and M. Crimmin, Synthesis, 2017, 49, 810–821.
2 D. Lentz, T. Braun and M. F. Kuehnel, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 3328–3348.
3 T. Braun and F. Wehmeier, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2011, 613–625.
4 J. L. Kiplinger, T. G. Richmond and C. E. Osterberg, Chem. Rev., 1994, 94, 373–431.
5 S. Preshlock, M. Tredwell and V. Gouverneur, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 719–766.
6 D. O’Hagan, J. Fluorine Chem., 2010, 131, 1071–1081.
7 S. PURSER, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow and V. Gouverneur, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 320–330.
8 O. Eisenstein, J. Milani and R. N. Perutz, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 8710–8753.
9 S. D. Pike, M. R. Crimmin and A. B. Chaplin, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 3615–3633.
10 P. Ricci, K. Krämér, X. C. Cambeiro and I. Larrosa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 13258–13261.
11 Q.-K. Kang, Y. Lin, Y. Li and H. Shi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 3706–3711.
12 M. Font, A. R. A. Spencer and I. Larrosa, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 7133–7137.
13 M. Teltewskoi, J. A. Panetier, S. A. Macgregor and T. Braun, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 3947–3951.
14 S. I. Kallāne, M. Teltewskoi, T. Braun and B. Braun, Organometallics, 2015, 34, 1156–1169.
15 W.-H. Guo, Q.-Q. Min, J.-W. Gu and X. Zhang, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 9075–9078.
16 X.-W. Liu, J. Echavarren, C. Zarate and R. Martin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 12470–12473.
17 S. Lim, D. Song, S. Jeon, Y. K. Kim, H. Kim, S. Lee, H. Cho, B. C. Lee, S. E. Kim, K. K. Kim and E. L. Lee, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 7249–7252.
18 Y. Su, D. C. Huan Do, Y. Li and R. Kinjo, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2019, 141, 13729–13733.
19 P.-J. Xia, Z.-P. Ye, Y.-Z. Hu, J.-A. Xiao, K. Chen, H.-Y. Xiang, X.-Q. Chen and H. Yang, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 1742–1747.
20 W. Xu, H. Jiang, J. Leng, H.-W. Ong and J. Wu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 59, 4009–4016.
21 C. Bakewell, B. J. Ward, A. J. P. White and M. R. Crimmin, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 2348–2356.
22 C. Bakewell, A. J. P. White and M. R. Crimmin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 12763–12766.
23 T. X. Gentner, B. Rösch, G. Ballmann, J. Langer, H. Elsen and S. Harder, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2019, 58, 607–611.
24 During the revision of this paper a study on the magnesiation of fluoroarenes using a Rh-Al catalyst was published: I. Fuji, K. Semba, Q.-Z. Li, S. Sakaki and Y. Nakao, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 11647–11652.
25 M. R. Crimmin, M. J. Butler and A. J. P. White, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 15994–15996.
26 T. Chu, Y. Boyko, I. Korobkov and G. I. Nikonov, Organometallics, 2015, 34, 5363–5365.
27 W. Chen, T. N. Hooper, J. Ng, A. J. P. White and M. R. Crimmin, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2017, 56, 12687–12691.
28 O. Ekkert, S. D. A. Strudley, A. Rozenfeld, A. J. P. White and M. R. Crimmin, Organometallics, 2014, 33, 7027–7030.
Performing the catalytic isomerisation of 4c to 5c’ in the presence of excess durene or 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran did not result in the trapping or identification of a fluorobenzyne intermediate. Similarly, a cross-over experiment in which the catalytic isomerisation of 4c was carried out in the presence of 1,3,5-trifluorobenzene only led to the C-F functionalised product 5c’. Conversion of the aluminium hydride 4c to its fluoride analogue 4c’ by reaction with AgF followed by exposure to the catalytic conditions did not result in the formation of 5c’. In combination, these experiments rule out the formation of “free” benzyne intermediates and suggests that the isomerisation is an intramolecular process in which the aluminium hydride moiety plays an important role.