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ABSTRACT – In this paper, the third of a series of four, 25 new species are described from the Neogene sediments of the Southern Ocean: six spumellarians (Actinomma telarania, Hexacanthium? cooki, Loncho­spaera shackletoni, Joergensenium pseudodictyocha, Cryptolar­nacium pauliani and Sponge­plegma dentifrangibula) and nineteen nassellarians (Arc­nachnocorallium cerebellum, A. stilla, A.? pyroensis, Amphiplec­ta? satoshii, Antarctissa kjelli, Lithomelissa celsagula, Botryopera pipera­ta, B. vavato, Lophoph­aena? neuma, L.? glob­beacuculla, L. pilea­ta, L. amictoria, Pseudo­dictyophimus igna­tius, Sp­ong­om­elissa? bipatens, Cer­t­ocry­rtis? ar­thuri, C.? ringis­tola, Ph­ormosticho­artus ashbyi, Cer­atospyri­ctis clina­men and Dor­cados­pyris k­ennetti). Keywords: Radiolaria, Polycystinea, Antarctic, Cenozoic, taxonomy

INTRODUCTION
Although Antarctic Neogene radiolarians have been studied since the beginning of the twentieth century (e.g. Popofsky, 1908), the fauna is yet to be documented exhaustively at the species level. With Renaudie & Lazarus (2012, 2013), we started a series of taxonomical articles in an attempt to fill this gap. So far, in the first two papers of this series (Renaudie & Lazarus, 2012, 2013), we described forty-five new species: two entactinarians, eight spumellar­ians and thirty-five nassellarians (including seventeen Plagi­acanthidae). Here, we add to this total, twenty-five new forms: six spumellarians and nineteen nassellarians (including no less than sixteen Plagia­canthidae). The remaining forms will be published in a final paper that will close this series.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
All studied samples (c. 350) come from Ocean Drilling Program (ODP) materials, mostly from the Kerguelen-Heard Plateau (Leg 119, Sites 737, 738, 744, 745 and 746; Leg 120, Sites 747, 748 and 751; Leg 183, Site 1138) with the addition of samples from the Atlantic sector (Leg 113, Sites 689, 690 and 693) (Fig. 1). Prepared slides were drawn from the junior author’s collection, or the Micropalaeontological Reference Center (MRC) radiolarian collection hosted by the Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin (Lazarus, 2006). Samples were prepared on random strewn slides using standard methods (Moore, 1973) and 45 µm (occasionally 38 and 63 µm) sieves.

The radiolarian biozonation follows Lazarus (1992) and Abelmann (1992). The age estimates used for the range chart (Fig. 2) are inferred linearly from an age model based on Gers­onde et al. (1990) for Leg 113, Barron et al. (1991) for Leg 119, Harwood et al. (1992) for Leg 120 and Bohaty et al. (2003) for Leg 183, with all ages adjusted to the Berggren et al. (1995) time-scale. The relative abundances given in the range chart are drawn from counts made on 45 µm strewn slides for 116 of the c. 350 samples. Measurements were made on specimen pictures using ImageJ (Abramoff et al., 2004): the range of variation and the mean (between brackets) are both given in micrometres (µm) under the Dimensions paragraph for each species.

Higher-level classification largely follows that of Riedel (1967), with a few subsequent emendations as noted individually.
Fig. 2. Range chart of the twenty-five new species described herein. Antarctic radiolarian zonation follows Abelmann (1992) and Lazarus (1992). Numerical age of zonal boundary after Spencer-Cervato (1999). Width of bars corresponds to a rough estimate of the species abundance: dashed line is 'sporadic', plain line 'rare' (<0.5% of the assemblages) and bold line 'common' (>0.5%). A. challengerae; Amphymenium challengerae; C. humerus; Cycladophora humerus.
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**SYSTEMATIC PALAEONTOLOGY**

Phylum *Rhizaria* Cavalier-Smith, 2002  
Class *Radiolaria* Müller, 1858  
Superorder *Polycystinea* Ehrenberg, 1838 *emend.* Riedel, 1967  
Order *Spumellaria* Ehrenberg, 1876  
Family *Actinomminidae* Haeckel, 1862 *emend.* Sanfilippo & Riedel, 1980  
Genus *Actinomma* Haeckel, 1862 *emend.* Bjørklund, 1976b

**Type species.** *Haliomma trinacrium* Haeckel, 1860.  
(Pl. 1, figs 1A–3C)

**Derivation of name.** From the occitan *telarania* (pronounced *telarana*), meaning ‘spider web’, after the anastomosed outer cortical shell.

**Diagnosis.** Shell ratio of 1:2:6:7; long, conical spines; thin, anastomosed outermost cortical shell.

**Holotype.** Plate 1, fig. 1A–B; sample 120-751A-4H-6, 98–102 cm (Early Pliocene); ECO-066.

**Material.** Eight specimens were observed in samples from ODP sites 690, 693, 744, 747, 751 and 1138.

**Description.** Four-shelled actinommid with two medullary and two cortical shells. Innermost shell is polyhedral; outer medullary shell is globular. Three or four (?) beams protrude from the outer medullary shell, join the cortical shells and protrude outside as long, conical spines. Three or four (?) additional by-spines, similar in shape and size to the beams, protrude directly from the inner cortical shell. Inner cortical shell is spherical, thick and bears large circular pores surrounded by hexagonal frames with raised apices. Those raised apices extend as needle-like by-spines, diverge distally into several thin bars parallel to the inner cortical shell, and anastomose to form the outer cortical shell.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 5 specimens.) Diameter of inner cortical shell: 95–153 (114); of outer medullary shell: 40–55 (44); of inner medullary shell: 13–22 (17).

**Occurrence.** Sporadic from the *Siphonosphaera vesuvius* Zone to the Upsilon Zone (Late Miocene to Early Pliocene).

**Remarks.** *Actinomma telarania* differs from *Actinomma kerguelensis* Caulet, 1991 and *A. livae* Goll & Bjørklund, 1989 in its long conical spines and its outermost shell being constituted of thin anastomosed material. It also differs from these species in its...
shell ratio. It is distinguished from other actinommids in the presence of an outer cortical shell, and from the species described as *Cromyechinus icosacanthus* Haeckel, 1887, *C. dodecacanthus* Haeckel, 1887 and *C. circumtextum* Haeckel, 1887 primarily in its presence of an outer cortical shell, and from the species described as *Sphaeroyple robusta* for *C. circumtextum* Haeckel, 1887, *Cromyechinus icosacanthus* *C. dodecacanthus* *Haeckel, 1887,* *C. circumtextum* *Haeckel, 1887,* *C. circumtextum* in its shell ratio.

Differentiation between immature (juvenile) specimens of this new species and immature specimens of *Sphaeroyple robusta* Kling, 1973 could be problematic as the three first shells are remarkably similar in both those species (see, for instance, Suzuki, 2006, fig. 11), the only true difference at this stage being the long conical spines of *A. telarania* that seem to appear quite early in the ontogeny of the species: the specimen in Plate 1, fig. 1A–B, whose outermost cortical shell has just started to form, already possesses fully developed conical spines. More juvenile specimens were not recognized in our material, making it difficult to judge when this character appears in regard to the formation of the third shell.

**Genus Hexacontium** Haeckel, 1881

**Type species.** *Hexacontium phaenaxonium* Haeckel, 1887.

*Hexacontium? cooki* n. sp.

(Pl. 3, figs 4A–7)

**Derivation of name.** Named after the explorer Captain James Cook.

**Diagnosis.** Large and thick-walled, slightly flattened spherical shape, cortical shell with large pores; six radial beams; numerous thorns.

**Holotype.** Plate 3, fig. 6; sample 119-744A-10H-1, 60–62 cm (Early Miocene); ECO-067.

**Material.** 420 specimens observed in samples from ODP Sites 690, 744, 747, 748 and 751.

**Description.** Large three-shelled actinommid with a shell ratio of approximately 1:2.5:10. Cortical shell is thick, with a circular to somewhat flattened circular outline, and is covered with fairly long, conical thorns arising from bar nodes. Pores on the cortical shell are large and closely packed (see Pl. 3, fig. 4A); they are elliptical to irregular in shape. Outer medullary shell has a somewhat flattened circular outline (see Pl. 3, figs 4B–5). Pores in the medullary shell are small, closely packed (usually arranged in a hexagonal pattern; Pl. 3, fig. 7) and polygonal. Inner medullary shell seems to be a polyhedron (Pl. 3, figs 5–6). Six radial beams arise from this polyhedron, join the outer medullary shell, where they become trilobated, extend to the cortical shell and then protrude as conical spines of same length and shape as the numerous thorns covering the cortical shell.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 4 specimens.) Diameter of cortical shell: 199–220 (213); of outer medullary shell: 48–66 (55); of inner medullary shell (based on 3 specimens): 15–23 (20).

**Occurrence.** Common from the *Stylosphaera radiosa* to the *Cycladophora humerus* Zone (Late Oligocene to Middle Miocene).

**Remarks.** This species shares many common features with *Actinomma golownini* Petrushesvayka, 1975 (= *A. tanyacantha* Chen, 1975; concerning the priority between Chen (1975) and Petrushesvayka (1975) species names, see Lazarus (1990, p. 710)) such as the shape, size and porosity of their medullary shells and their cortical shell outline. However, they differ in *A. golownini* having a thinner cortical shell bearing four supplementary spines similar to the six radial ones and in *H.? cooki* having a thick cortical shell bearing numerous thorns and being larger. This species is also very similar to the Arctic species *Hexalonche esmarcki* Goll & Bjørklund, 1989 but varies from it in its larger, thicker and ‘thornier’ cortical shell. Pores on the cortical shell of *H.? cooki* are also more regularly disposed and its outer medullary shell is flattened. It also differs from *Actinomma hayshi* Bjørklund, 1976b, in possessing numerous thorns, in the shape of the cortical and outer medullary shells and in the conical spines. The cortical shell of *H.? cooki* is also comparable to that of *Cenosphaera coronatoformis* Shilov, 1995 and *Pentactinosphaera hokurikuensis* (Nakaseko, 1955) in its size, thickness and the shape and disposition of its pores; it differs, however, from these species in the presence of numerous thorns and in their outer medullary shell being, in *C. coronatoformis*, non-existent or, in *P. hokurikuensis*, a heteropolar, pyriform meshwork of thin bars. Finally, it differs from *Halimma* *immensa* Kozlova in Petrushesvayka & Kozlova, 1979 primarily in the radial beams being, in the latter, irregularly distributed and thin as well as conical, and from *Halimma* *extima* Petrushesvayka in Petrushesvayka & Kozlova, 1979 in the shell ratio, the greater irregularity in the pore disposition in the latter and the outline of the cortical shell.

Because of its three-shelled skeleton and its six radial beams, *H.? cooki* was tentatively assigned to the genus *Hexacontium*; however, the tetrapetaloid structure of the inner medullary shell, typical of that genus, could not be observed due to the thickness of the cortical shell. This generic assignment is also problematic considering the fact that the genotype (H. *phaenaxonium*) was not illustrated by Haeckel and not found during the exploration of Haeckel’s material (Aita et al., 2009).

As is summarized in Burridge & Bjørklund, (2014, p. 78), there is something of a controversy as to whether some Cenozoic and Quaternary genera (including the genera *Hexacontium*, *Pentactinosphaera*, *Lonchosphaera* and *Joergensenium* that we mention in this series of papers) should be considered to be entactinarians or spumellarians: while some authors (e.g. Dumitrica, 1985; De Weyer et al., 2001) think their innermost medullary shell structure is homologous with the spicular inner shell of the entactinarians, other authors (e.g. Suzuki & Aita, 2011) tend to think that the order Entactinaria became extinct during the Mesozoic. While we do recognize the Cenozoic family Orosphaeridae to be a possible member of the order Entactinaria (as indicated in Renaudie & Lazarus, 2012), we are not convinced that the innermost shell of the Cenozoic genera mentioned above and the spicular inner shell of the entactinarians are indeed homologous. It is probable that studies on molecular phylogeny of radiolarian families will help in clarifying the matter in the near future, but in the meantime we prefer to think of those genera as belonging to the Spumellaria.

**Genus Joergensenium** Bjørklund et al., 2007
Type species. *Joergensenium rotatile* Bjørklund et al., 2007.

*Joergensenium pseudodictyocha* n. sp. (Pl. 1, figs 4A–5B; text-fig. 4b)

**Derivation of name.** After the resemblance of this species’ medullary shell with species of the silicoflagellate genus *Dictyocha*.

**Diagnosis.** Spicular inner shell; thin outer shell; high shell ratio.

**Holotype.** Plate 1, fig. 4A–B; sample 113-689B-3H-2, 148–150 cm (Late Miocene); ECO-050, circle 2.

**Material.** Ten specimens observed from ODP sites 689, 748, 751 and 1138.

**Description.** Small globular outer shell consisting of a meshwork of thin, anastomosed bars diverging from the small, thin spines extending from the silicoflagellate-looking, spicular inner medullary shell, which consists of a somewhat hexagonal ring from which arise six radial beams (in the plane of the ring; Pl. 1, fig. 7A; Fig. 4), and of three (Pl. 1, fig. 5B) bars starting from opposite sides of the ring and joining in the middle where one (two?) beam(s) arises perpendicularly to the plane of the ring (Pl. 1, fig. 5A). The shell ratio is approximately 1:2. Some small, thin by-spines arise from some bar nodes of the outer shell, but do not seem to be connected with the inner medullary shell (Pl. 1, figs 4A, 5B, 7B). Pores on the outer shell are polygonal (generally pentagonal or hexagonal; Pl. 1, figs 4B, 7B) and relatively large compared to the thickness of the bars separating them. There are generally 6 to 8 such pores on a half-equator.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 3 specimens.) Diameter of outer shell: 44–46 (45); diameter of inner medullary shell: 18–24 (21).

**Occurrence.** Sporadic from the *Siphonosphaera vesuvius* Zone (Late Miocene) to the Omega Zone (Pleistocene).

**Remarks.** This species differs from *Lonchosphaera spicata*, *L. suzukii* and *L. shackletoni* in its highly reduced size and its subsequently high shell ratio. The outer shell wall is otherwise very similar to that of *L. spicata*. *Pseudodictyocha* shares many features with *Sethostaurus parvulus* Popofsky, 1912 (size, shell ratio, shape and porosity of the outer shell) but differs from it in lacking the four long tribladed spines arising from the radial beams of *S. parvulus*. It differs also from *Melittosphaera magnaporulosa* (Clark & Campbell, 1942) in the latter having a properly latticed cortical shell wall and a spherical, latticed-wall medullary shell; from *Halimona* sp. Tan, 1993 in lacking the long radial spines and by-spines; from *Actinomma cocles* Renaudie & Lazarus, 2013 in its spicular medullary shell. *Actinomma(?)* sp. B Hull, 1996 (pl. 1, figs 3–4, 9, 12) seems to have the same medullary shell as *J. pseudodictyocha* and their cortical shell are also very similar; however, the specimen in Hull, (1996) has a lower shell ratio and longer, more numerous spines.

*J. pseudodictyocha* is very similar to the medullary shells of *J. rotatile* Bjørklund et al., 2007 and *J. appolo* Kamikuri, 2010; however, it differs from them quite clearly in their outer medullary shell consisting of seven cupolas, whereas the external shell of *J. pseudodictyocha* is simply spherical to slightly globular and does not show such cupolas.

Because of their size, it is possible that the two shells of this new species are indeed an outer and an inner medullary shell. Whether this species lacks a cortical shell or all specimens observed are juvenile or broken cannot be determined with certainty.

**Genus Lonchosphaera** Popofsky, 1908

**Type species.** *Lonchosphaera spicata* Popofsky, 1908.

*Lonchosphaera shackletoni* n. sp. (Pl. 2, figs 3A–5B; text-fig. 4c)

**Derivation of name.** Named after the polar explorer Sir Ernest Shackleton.

**Diagnosis.** Large irregular-pored cortical shell; polyhedral medullary shell with radial beams extending externally as long, tribladed spines.
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Holotype. Plate 2, fig. 4A–C; sample 183-1138A-13R-2, 20–22 cm (Late Miocene); ECO-068.

Material. Eight specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 690 and 1138.

Description. Large, spherical cortical shell with rough surface and numerous pores that are irregular in size, shape and randomly arranged. The bars between pores are rather thin. Cortical shell bears numerous thorns. A small number (two to eight) of long, tri-bladed spines also protrude from the cortical shell. They originate directly from the beams (text-fig. 4c) arising from the small irregular polyhedral medullary shell. In some specimens, a very thin meshwork links the beams somewhere between the cortical and medullary shells.

Dimensions. (Based on 4 specimens.) Diameter of the cortical shell: 166–192 (179); of the medullary shell: 18–22 (20).

Occurrence. Sporadic from the upper Cycladophora spongotherax Zone to the Siphonosphaera vesuvius Zone (Late Miocene).

Remarks. The medullary shell of Lonchosphaera shackletoni seems to be exactly similar to the one illustrated for L. spicata by Petrushevskaya (1975, pl. 17, fig. 8), hence the generic assignment. Although L. shackletoni is also very similar in structure with the specimens illustrated by Petrushevskaya (1975) as L. sp. B and L. sp. C (respectively her pl. 17, figs 9–10 and 11–15), the size of the cortical shell, the medullary to cortical shell ratio and the shape of the radial beams in these species are very different. L. shackletoni and L.? suzuki Renaudé & Lazarus, 2013 differ in the size of their cortical shell, in the tribladed radial spines and in the pore to bar area ratio of the cortical shell. The cortical wall in L.? suzuki is furthermore properly latticed whereas it is somewhat anastomosed as a 3D mesh in L. shackletoni. Additionally, it differs from the specimens illustrated as Octodendron hamuliferum Hollande & Enjumet, 1960 (in Tan, 1993, pl. 4, fig. 3 non figs 1–2) in the size of the cortical shell and in the radial spines being in the latter larger and serrated, with many small apophysies branching regularly from them.

Genus Spongoplegma Haeckel, 1881

Type species. Spongoplegma antarcticum Haeckel, 1887.

Spongoplegma dentifrangibula n. sp.  
(Pl. 2, figs 1A–2B; Pl. 1, fig. 6)

?1992 Spongoplegma sp. Chen in Lazarus: pl. 5, figs 3–4, non pl. 5, figs 1–2; non Spongoplegma sp. Chen, 1975: pl. 22, figs 1–2.

Derivation of name. From the Latin dentifragibulus (who breaks teeth), for the thick cortical shell of this species resembling a hard candy.

Diagnosis. Dense medullary meshwork; shell ratio of 1:2; thick latticed cortical shell with large, irregular pores.

Holotype. Plate 2, fig. 1A–B; sample 120-747A-1H-3, 45–47 cm (Pleistocene); ECO-069.

Material. 647 Specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 693, 738, 744, 747 and 1138.

Description. Two concentric shells consisting of a thick, latticed cortical shell and a medullary meshwork connected together by a fairly high number of rather thin, cylindrical (rarely bladed) radial beams. There is a depression on the cortical shell where each radial beam fuses with the shell, thus creating a ‘bumpy’ surface. Pores on the cortical shell are rounded to elliptical, of variable size (but somewhat large) and unevenly distributed. They are separated by thick, cylindrical bars. The medullary meshwork is twice as small as the cortical shell and is somewhat dense. It has a general spherical shape but has a cupula-shaped extension where the radial beams arise. It seems that the very centre of this medullary meshwork is denser and has a more regular geometrical pattern; but, due to the already substantial density of the outer meshwork, it is difficult to observe it.

Dimensions. (Based on 6 specimens.) Diameter of the cortical shell: 221–293 (260); of the medullary shell: 121–139 (126).

Occurrence. Sporadic from the Tau to the Phi Zone (Early Pliocene to Pleistocene), common from the Phi to the Omega Zone (Pleistocene to Holocene).

Remarks. S. dentifrangibula differs from Spongoplegma antarcticum Haeckel, 1887, S. medianum (Nigrini, 1967) and S. arcadophorum (Haeckel, 1887) in having a thick, latticed cortical shell (compared to a thin meshwork of anastomosed bars). It also differs from S. variabile Nakaseko, 1972 in having a thicker wall, bigger, unequal pores, a smaller but denser medullary meshwork and a ‘bumpy’ surface. It differs from S. holtedahlia (Bjorklund, 1976a) and S. nipponicum (Nakaseko, 1955) primarily in having a single cortical shell; from Rhizosphaera serrata Haeckel, 1887 and Haliomma capillacea Haeckel, 1887 in its thick cortical shell with wider bars between pores and its larger, denser medullary shell; from Actinomma gigantea Popofsky, 1912 in lacking external spines, in the diameter of its cortical shell (more than 200µm in A. gigantea; Popofsky, 1912) and in the lower number of radial beams.

Explanation of Plate 1, fig. 1. Actinomma telarania n. sp. Sample 120-751A-4H-3, 48–109 cm. Holotype: (A) focus on outer cortical shell; (B) focus on inner cortical shell. fig. 2. Actinomma telarania? Sample 120-751A-4H-4, 98–102 cm: (A) focus on inner shell; (B) focus on outer shell. fig. 3. Actinomma telarania n. sp. Sample 183-1138A-14R-2, 50–58 cm: (A) focus on inner medullary shell; (B) focus on outer cortical shell; (C) focus on outer cortical shell. fig. 4. Joergensenium pseudodictyocha n. sp. Sample 113-689B-III-2, 148–150 cm. Holotype: (A) focus on medullary shell; (B) focus on cortical shell. fig. 5. Joergensenium pseudodictyocha n. sp. Sample 113-689B-III-3, 116–118 cm. Broken specimen: (A) focus on cortical shell; (B) focus on medullary shell. fig. 6. Spongoplegma dentifrangibula n. sp. Sample 120-747A-1H-3, 45–47 cm. fig. 7. Joergensenium pseudodictyocha n. sp. Sample 183-1138A-11R-4, 70–78 cm: (A) focus on medullary shell; (B) focus on cortical shell. All scale bars 50µm. Magnification ×192 except 4A–5B, 7A–B (×384).
The specimen illustrated as *Spongoplega* sp. Chen (in Lazarus, 1992, pl. 5, figs 3–4), although weakly silicified compared to our specimens is likely to be conspecific with our new species. The specimen illustrated by Chen (in Lazarus, 1992) on plate 5, figs 1–2 as well as the specimens illustrated as *Spongoplega* sp. Chen (1975, pl. 22, figs 1–2), although having a slightly irregularly shaped spherical outline, does not seem to have a bumpy surface; they also are more regularly pored, thinner-walled, with a lower shell ratio: they probably both belong to species *Spongoplega variabile* Nakaseko, 1972 despite the irregularity of their shell outline.

Family **Pyloniidae** Haeckel, 1881

Genus *Cryptolarnacium* Dumitrica, 1989

**Type species.** *Cryptolarnacium hexastylus* Dumitrica, 1989.

*Cryptolarnacium pauliani* n. sp.

(Pl. 3, figs 1A–3B, 8)

**Derivation of name.** Named after Paulian Dumitrica, who described the genus, for his contribution to radiolarian research and specifically to the taxonomy of Pyloniidae.

**Diagnosis.** Two-shelled skeleton with a pyloniid medullary shell; four long conical spines.

**Holotype.** Plate 3, fig. 2A–C; sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm (Late Pliocene); ECO-062, circle 1.

**Material.** Eleven specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 747 and 751.

**Description.** Small two-shelled skeleton from which arises four very long (up to 180 µm), conical spines. Four additional spines arising also from the medullary shell can be seen on some specimens: these are situated at the bisection of the previously mentioned spines and are considerably smaller and thinner (so much so that they are hardly distinguishable from the other by-spines; Pl. 3, fig. 3A–B). Cortical shell is spherical to ellipsoidal, with small, regularly arranged circular pores and a fair amount of medium-lengthed by-spines. Incompletely formed cortical shells appear to be of pyloniid origin (Pl. 3, fig. 1A–B). The medullary shell has an oblong outline and seems to be a pyloniid medullary shell with two opposite gates (Pl. 3, figs 1B, 3B). Radial beams extend from it and protrude outside the cortical shell as long conical spines with a thickened base.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 3 specimens.) Diameter of the cortical shell: 62–70 (65).

**Occurrence.** Sporadic from the *Cycladophora spongorthorax* Zone to the Chi Zone (Late Miocene to Pleistocene).

**Remarks.** *Cryptolarnacium pauliani* differs from *Larnacalpis* sp. A Suzuki *et al.*, 2009b, from *Larnacalpis* sp. 2 Dumitrica, 1989 and from *Larnacilla?* sp. Dumitrica, 1989 (Dumitrica, 1989, pl. 11, fig. 4, non 3 and 5) in its long conical spines arising from the medullary shell; from *Larnacalpis* sp. Takahashi & Honjo, 1981 and from *Larnacalpis* sp. 1 Dumitrica, 1989 in its more or less spherical outline; and from *Tetrastylo octacantha* Müller, 1858 in the presence of a cortical shell and of spines. It is also distinguished from *Echinomma spharechinius* Haeckel, 1887, *Drymyomma elegans* Jørgensen, 1900 and *Actinomma coeles* Renaudie & Lazarus, 2013 in its pyloniid medullary shell and in its long conical spines. Finally, it differs from the type species of the genus, the Eocene *C. hexastylus*, in its thinner cortical shell, bearing larger pores, in its numerous by-spines and in the possession of four (instead of six) longer radial spines. Not only does the shell ratio seem lower in *C. hexastylus*, but the overall size of *C. pauliani* is also much less than *C. hexastylus* (*c. 65 µm v. c. 160 µm for the cortical shell*).

Order **Nassellaria** Ehrenberg, 1876

Family **Artostrobiidae** Riedel, 1967 emend. Foreman, 1973

Genus *Phormostichoartus* Campbell, 1951 *emend.* Nigrini, 1977

**Type species.** *Cyrtophormis cylindrica* Haeckel, 1887.

*Phormostichoartus ashbyi* n. sp.

(Pl. 4, figs 1–3)

**Derivation of name.** Named after Jeffrey Noel Ashby, for his contribution to Late Neogene radiolarian research.

**Diagnosis.** Spindle-shaped; four segments; downward-directed ventral tube; 3 to 4 pore rows per segment.

**Holotype.** Plate 4, fig. 1; sample 119-746A-5H-1, 53–55 cm (Late Miocene); ECO-070.

**Material.** 489 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 746, 747, 751 and 1138.

**Description.** Four-segmented, spindle-shaped, thick-walled artostrobiid with a short downward-directed ventral tube (in some specimens it appears as a ventral pore; Pl. 4, fig. 3). No externally expressed stricture, although both lumbar and post-abdominal strictures are marked internally. Relatively long, hyaline, inverted-truncated conical peristome. Abdomen and post-abdominal segment are of nearly equal length (peristome excluded) and breadth. The inflexion of the shell outline is on the abdomen. Thorax, abdomen and post-abdominal segment with 3 or 4 pore rows,
Explanation of Plate 3. **fig. 1.** Cryptolarnacium pauliani n. sp. Sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm: (A) focus on internal structure; (B) focus on shell wall. **fig. 2.** Cryptolarnacium pauliani n. sp. Sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm. Holotype: (A) focus on internal structure; (B) complete specimen; (C) focus on shell wall. **fig. 3.** Cryptolarnacium pauliani n. sp. Sample 120-747A-3H-1, 45–47 cm: (A) focus on internal structure; (B) focus on shell wall. **fig. 4.** Hexacontium? cooki n. sp. Sample 113-690B-6H-4, 22–24 cm: (A) focus on cortical shell; (B) focus on outer medullary shell. **fig. 5.** Hexacontium? cooki? Sample 119-744A-10H-7, 16–18 cm. **fig. 6.** Hexacontium? cooki n. sp. Sample 119-744A-10H-1, 60–62 cm. Holotype. **fig. 7.** Hexacontium? cooki n. sp. Sample 119-744A-10H-7, 16–18 cm. **fig. 8.** Cryptolarnacium pauliani n. sp. Sample 120-747A-2H-1, 45–47 cm. All scale bars 50 µm. Magnification ×384 except 4A-B, 6 (×192).
though the lineation on thoracic pores is less regular than on the two following segments. Pores of equal diameter on all segments except on cephalis (where they are smaller).

**Dimensions.** (Based on 6 specimens.) Total length: 99–139 (107); maximum breadth: 61–80 (66); length of the cephalothorax: 28–48 (37); length of hyaline peristome: 16–27 (21).

**Occurrence.** Common from the *Acrosphaera? labrata* Zone to the Tau Zone (Late Miocene to Early Pliocene), sporadic from the Tau to the Upsilon Zone (Early Pliocene).

**Remarks.** It differs from *Phormostichoartus orbula* (Harting, 1863), *P. fistula* Nigrini, 1977 and *P. pitomorphus* Caulet, 1986 in its shell outline, in the smaller number of pore rows per segment and in the length and breadth ratio of the abdomen v. the post-abdominal segment. It differs from other arctrostibiids, and in particular from *Botyrostrobus aquilonaris*, in its lower number of segments and the downward direction of its ventral tube. *Phormostichoartus* sp. A Ashby, 1986 (unpublished thesis, Victoria University of Wellington) differs from this new species only in the inflexion of the outline being on the post-abdominal segment instead of on the abdomen, as is the case in this new species.

Family **Plagiacanthidae** Hertwig, 1879 emend. Petrushovskaya, 1971

Genus **Amphiplecta** Haeckel, 1881 emend. Petrushovskaya, 1971 sensu Funakawa, 1994

**Type species.** *Amphiplecta acrostoma* Haeckel, 1887.

*Amphiplecta? satoshii* n. sp. (Pl. 5, figs 10–12B, 14A–15; Pl. 8, fig. 11)

**Derivation of name.** Named after Satoshi Funakawa, for his contribution to radiolarian research and particularly to plagiacanthid taxonomy.

**Diagnosis.** Large, elongated cephalis; dorsal shoulder; short flaring thorax.

**Holotype.** Plate 5, fig. 11 and Plate 8, fig. 11; Sample 120-751A-12H-6, 98–102 cm (Middle Miocene); ECO-071.

**Material.** Thirty-five specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 748 and 751.

**Description.** Dicyrtid with a long, apically-elongated cephalis (some specimens have a somewhat flattened apex; Pl. 5, figs 10–11) and a short, largely flaring thorax. Shell wall is smooth with randomly arranged, well-spaced, small, circular to elliptical pores. A large number of small thorns connected to one another are present at the apex of the cephalis including one broader thorn connected to spine A (see Pl. 5, fig. 11). Spine V is short, and can protrude at the collar stricture as a very short triangular horn (Pl. 5, fig. 10). In some specimens (such as in Pl. 5, fig. 11 and Pl. 8, fig. 11), apophyses j are strongly expressed and bifurcate, one upward, the other downward just before spine V connects to the wall. Spine A is fused to the dorsal part of the cephalic wall. An arch AD is well developed, thus creating a small shoulder. Spine D seems to bear some dents (Pl. 8, fig. 11) in addition to apophyse c which is thin and connects to the arch near its middle. Ax is sometimes seen as a small dent of MB. Spines Ll and Lr join MB close to spines A and D (Pl. 8, fig. 11) and project subhorizontally, almost perpendicularly to the sagittal plan (hence the flaring of the upper thorax). They do not form wings. Arch Vl can be seen in some rare specimens as a thin rod. Although the cephalis and the thorax are separated by arches AL and VL, there are no visible furrows along those arches. Arch AL is not distinct but may be embedded in the wall.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 4 specimens.) Height of the cephalis: 97–116 (105).

**Occurrence.** Rare from the *Actinomma golownini* to the lower *Cycladophora spongotherax* Zones (Middle Miocene).

**Remarks.** It differs from *Amphipectla tripleura* Funakawa, 1995b in the width/length ratio of its cephalis, in having less numerous, smaller pores as well as a smoother shell wall and in the dorsal shoulder being less developed but covered by the shell wall; from *A. cylindrocephala* Dumitrica, 1973 in the thickness of its wall, the shape of its cephalis and in having less numerous, smaller pores. Contrary to what is seen in *A. tripleura* and *A. acrostoma* Haeckel, 1887 (Petrushovskaya, 1971; Funakawa, 1994, 1995b), arch DL (or D-AL according to Funakawa 1995b) is not recognizable in our species, and arch AL is not distinguishable from the rest of the wall. This renders the genus assignment questionable; however, the fact that arch AD is clearly expressed as a bump external to the cephalis and the overall shape of the cephalis seems to indicate a close relationship of *A.? satoshii* with those two species.

*Amphiplecta? satoshii* also differs from *Lophophaena galeata* Ehrenberg, 1874 (see also Ogane et al., 2009, pl. 79, fig. 1a–c) and *L. capito* Ehrenberg, 1874 (see also Ogane et al., 2009, pl. 79, fig. 2a–c) in its elongated cephalis with a flattened top, the presence of a cluster of apical spines instead of just a unique spine and, more importantly, in its inner cephalic structure: *L. galeata* seems, based on the Ogane et al. (2009) pictures, to have well-expressed arches ap reminiscent of the ones in *Lithomelissa ehrenbergi* Bütschli, 1882 (see more in the Description and Remarks section of *Lithomelissa celsagula* n. sp.).

Genus **Antarctissa** Petrushovskaya, 1967

**Type species.** *Lithobotrys denticulata* Ehrenberg, 1844.

*Antarctissa kjelli* n. sp. (Pl. 7, figs 12–13B, 15; Pl. 8, fig. 10)

**Derivation of name.** Named after Kjell Rasmus Björklund for his contribution to radiolarian taxonomy.

**Diagnosis.** Strawberry-shaped cephalis with, apically, a cluster of supplementary horns; characteristic thorax termination with numerous ridges prolonged as feet, and a large hemispherical, thin sieve plate.

**Holotype.** Plate 7, fig. 12; sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm (Late Pliocene); ECO-031, circle 2.
Material. Seven specimens observed in samples from ODP site 747.

Description. Large dicyrtid with a cephalis elongated toward its somewhat blunt apex and a long thorax with a short flaring upper part and a lower part in the shape of a pinched cylinder (i.e. a hyperboloid) or, in later form, a proper cylinder (see Pl. 7, fig. 15). Thorax end with several ribs projecting as long, thin teeth. Below this termination is a large, thin, mainly concave sieve part and a lower part in the shape of a pinched cylinder (i.e. a somewhat blunt apex and a long thorax with a short flaring upper part). Large dicyrtid with a cephalis elongated toward its shoulder and never extending outside as wings.

Spines A and V join the wall at the collar stricture. The cephalis, however, exhibits a cluster of apically situated horns (unrelated to any internal spines or apophyses). Spine D is projected downward and bears an upward-directed apophyse c (evoking a similar disposition typical of genus Antarctissa; compare Pl. 8, fig. 10 with Petrushevskaya (1967, pl. 49, fig. 3)). Spines D, LI and LR join the thoracic wall between the upper and the lower thorax and continue outside as short needle-like wings. Spine AX is situated at the middle of MB and is fairly long and straight (Pl. 8, fig. 10). Pores on the cephalis are small, of more or less even size and slightly elliptical. Pores on the thorax are generally larger and more unevenly spaced as well as uneven in size. Cephalic wall is thicker and the bars between the pores more frankly crested than on the thorax.

Dimensions. (Based on 5 specimens.) Total length: 124–167 (133); length of cephalis: 35–51 (44); maximum breadth: 73–102 (89).

Occurrence. Sporadic from the Chi to the Omega Zone (Pleistocene to Holocene).

Remarks. Although the overall shape of the species as well as the thorax termination are very uncharacteristic of the genus Antarctissa, the fact that spine A, in particular, joins the cephalis wall at the level of the external collar stricture, and the shape and direction of spine D together seem to be characters strong enough to justify the assignment of this species to the genus. This species differs from Antarctissa strelkovi Petrushevskaya, 1967 in the shape of the cephalis, the length of the thorax and its peculiar termination. Although Antarctissa strelkovi and A. evanida Renaudie & Lazarus, 2013 also have clusters of apical horns (systematic in evanida but rarer in strelkovi), they are, in those two species, conical whereas in A. kjelli they are often linked together by blade-shaped connections (see Pl. 7, fig. 12). Finally, this species differs from Helotholus praeveema Weaver, 1983 in the shape of its cephalis (H. praeveema cephalis being more hemispherical), in the shoulders of the latter being twice as wide as its cephalis and in the spines D, LI and LR of H. praeveema joining the wall at the shoulder and never extending outside as wings.

Genus Arachnocorallium Haeckel, 1887 emend. Petrushevskaya, 1971

Type species. Arachnocorallium hexaptera Haeckel, 1887 (Psilomelissa calvata Haeckel, 1887).

Arachnocorallium cerebellum n. sp. (Pl. 5, figs 1–2, 13, 16A–B)

Derivation of name. Named after the resemblance of the specimen illustrated in Plate 5, fig. 1 to a brain (from the Latin cerebellum, ‘small brain’).

Diagnosis. Large, almost spherical, cephalic chamber; strong spines D, LI and LR that branch irregularly in their distal part; several long, subapical by-spines.

Holotype. Plate 5, fig. 2; sample 120-751A-4H-4, 98–102 cm (Early Pliocene); ECO-056, circle 2.

Material. 1396 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 693, 745, 746, 747, 751 and 1138.

Description. Shell consists only in a cephalis which is in two distinct parts: a large, spherical, almost globular upper part that bears regularly arranged, relatively large circular pores and whose wall is rough and crested and a more or less conical, narrow lower part with a few large circular pores and a rather smooth wall. Spine A is embedded in the wall of the lower and the upper part and eventually, in some specimens, protrudes as a fairly long conical spine (Pl. 5, fig. 2). Spines D, LI and LR are rather strong, relatively long and bifurcate distally. AX can be seen near the junction of MB, LI and LR as a triangular dent on MB. Several supplementary thin, long, conical horns can also be present subapically.

Dimensions. (Based on 6 specimens.) Total length (excluding spines): 80–105 (94).

Occurrence. Rare from the upper Cycladophora spongotherax Zone to the Tau Zone (Late Miocene to Early Pliocene), common from the Tau Zone to the Phi Zone (Early Pliocene to Pleistocene).

Remarks. It differs from Peridium sphaerum Funakawa, 1995b in the presence of the long by-spines and in the robustness, length and shape of the basal spines. It is also distinguishable from the specimen illustrated in Petrushevskaya (1971) as Lophophaeninae gen. sp. cf. Micromelissa coarctata Rüst, 1888 by its size, its numerous, larger pores and the additional spines being confined to the apical part of the cephalis. Psilomelissa? sp. A Hollis, 2002 is lacking an apical horn, has longer, tribladed D, LI and LR spines and lacks the basal constriction of the cephalic chamber.

Arachnocorallium stilla n. sp. (Pl. 5, figs 3–5B, 7)

?1996 Arachnocorallium group Motoyama: pl. 3, fig. 17 non fig. 18.

Derivation of name. From the Latin stilla meaning ‘drop’ (of water), after the outline of the shell.

Diagnosis. Elongated to tear-shaped cephalis; few irregularly-arranged pores, numerous spine-like thorns on upper cephalis.

Holotype. Plate 5, fig. 3; sample 120-745B-14H-6, 98–102 cm (Late Pliocene); ECO-082.
Material. 336 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 693, 745, 747, 748, 751, 1138.

Description. Shell consists only of an apically elongated tear-shaped cephalis. Pores are circular to elliptical, generally large, irregularly arranged. Shell wall is rough and numerous spine-like thorns arise from bar nodes. Spines A and V (Pl. 5, fig. 3) are incorporated in the shell wall but do not seem to protrude as horns (or they are not distinguishable from the numerous thorns). Spines D, Li and Lr are fairly long, conical and can bifurcate close to their base (Pl. 5, fig. 5). Ax is not noticeable.

Dimensions. (Based on 4 specimens.) Total length (excluding spines): 69–92 (82).

Occurrence. Common from the Actinomma golovinii Zone to the Acrosphaera australis Zone (Middle to Late Miocene), then rare until the Tau Zone (Early Pliocene) and finally sporadic until the Upsilon Zone (Late Pliocene).

Remarks. It differs from Peridium infundibuliforme (Funakawa, 1995b) in its upper cephalis bearing numerous spines, being crested, with a smaller number of pores. This new species also differs in spines D, Li and Lr being more robust and longer than in P. infundibuliforme. It is also distinguished from Plectantha oikiskos Jørgensen, 1905, Arachnocorys dubius Dogiel in Dogiel & Reshetnyak, 1952, Plectantha cresmatoplegma Nigrini, 1968 and Plagiacantha panarium Dumitrica, 1973 primarily by those species’ cephalic wall being only a thin meshwork spread between a series of spines connected to MB and directed upwards and not a proper eucephylic chamber as in A. stilla. It differs from Peridium longispinum Jørgensen, 1900 (see also Bjørklund 1976a, pl. 7, figs 9–15) in its elongated cephalis, thicker bars, shorter spines and in being much larger (c. 80 µm v. c. 45 µm); and from Peridium piriforme Popofsky, 1908 also in its larger size (P. piriforme is c. 60 µm) and, more importantly, in its basal spines (D, Li and Lr) being shorter and lacking the many distal branches that Popofsky described and illustrated for P. piriforme. It is finally distinguished from Arachnocoralium calvata (Haeckel, 1887) by its numerous thorns/spines and in its elongated cephalis.

The specimen illustrated on Plate 5, fig. 5A–B possesses a thin, unfinished thoracic wall, connected to spines D, Li and Lr but also to some thorns on the lower third of the cephalic wall (i.e. where the cephalis outline starts to narrow). This growth stage is reminiscent of what has been shown for Arachnocoralium calvata in Petrushevskaya, (1971, pl. 70, figs 1–8); however, in A. calvata, some branchings seem to connect the thorax wall to the upper third of the cephalic wall as well, in an early stage of the thorax development (Petrushevskaya, 1971, pl. 70, fig. 7), whereas here there is no trace of such connections in our specimens of A. stilla.

Arachnocalrium? pyroensis n. sp. (Pl. 5, figs 6A–B, 8–9B)

Derivation of name. From the Latin Pyrois which is another name for the planet Mars, with termination -ensis meaning ‘from’, pyroensis therefore stands for ‘Martian’ after the resemblance of the specimen seen in apical view (Pl. 5, fig. 6A–B) with the depiction of Martians in 1960s science fiction.

Diagnosis. Cephalis wall is a loose meshwork attached to a sagittal ring; spines D, Li and Lr are long and thin.

Holotype. Plate 5, fig. 9A–B; sample 120-751A-9H-1, 98–102 cm (Late Miocene); ECO-065, circle 2.

Material. 412 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 751 and 1138.

Description. Monocytid with a cephalis arranged on both sides of a clearly developed sagittal ring (i.e. A, V and arch AV; see Pl. 5, fig. 9B). This sagittal ring apex is orientated ventrally. Spines D, Li and Lr are well developed, long, thin and conical. A supplementary spine projects from spine A dorsally as a short conical horn. Ventrally, a triangular dent can be seen high on spine V. Shell wall is loose, consists of a few large, elliptical pores separated by crested bars. In apical view (see specimen Pl. 5, fig. 6A–B), the shell has a cardioid outline due to a constriction following spine A. The breadth (perpendicularly to the sagittal ring) seems on this specimen slightly greater than its width (parallel to the sagittal ring).

Dimensions. (Based on 4 specimens.) Total height (excluding spines): 57–60 (58).

Occurrence. Common in the Acrosphaera australis Zone (Late Miocene).

Remarks. Despite having what seems to be a sagittal ring, this species is unlikely to be a trissocyclid due to the absence of a basal ring. It differs from members of the genus Zygocircus Bütschli, 1882 and the genus Cortina Haeckel, 1887 in possessing a shell wall and in its well-developed spines D, Li and Lr. It differs from members of the genus Arachnocoralium in possessing a sagittal ring. The generic assignment is therefore provisional and based on the postulate that the presence of the sagittal ring in this species is only a convergence with the sagittal ring seen in the Trissocyclidae sensu Goll (1979), the Acanthodesmidae sensu Petrushevskaya (1971) or even the Stephanidae sensu Nishimura (1990).

Genus Botryopera Haeckel, 1887

Type species. Botryopera cyrtoloba Haeckel, 1887.

Botryopera piperata n. sp. (Pl. 7, figs 6–9B)

Derivation of name. From the Latin piperatus (that contains pepper, i.e. a pepper shaker).

Diagnosis. Thick-walled species of Botryopera with a sieve plate and numerous terminal thoracic teeth in adult specimens; short and wide apical and ventral horns.

Holotype. Plate 7, fig. 7; sample 120-748B-6H-7, 45–47 cm (Early Miocene); ECO-072.

Material. 100 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 693, 744, 747, 748, 751 and 1138.
Explaination of Plate 4. fig. 1. Phormostichoartus ashbyi n. sp. Sample 119-746A-5H-1, 53–55 cm. Holotype. fig. 2. Phormostichoartus ashbyi n. sp. Sample 119-746A-5H-3, 53–55 cm: (A) focus on shell wall; (B) focus on shell outline. fig. 3. Phormostichoartus ashbyi n. sp. Sample 120-747A-4H-3 45–47 cm. fig. 4. Dorcadospyris kennetti n. sp. Sample 120-748B-8H-2, 45–47 cm. Holotype. fig. 5. Dorcadospyris kennetti n. sp. Sample 120-748B-6H-1, 45–47 cm: (A) focus on cephalic wall; (B) focus on thorax outline. fig. 6. Botryopera vavato n. sp. Sample 119-737A-27X-2, 53–55 cm. fig. 7. Botryopera vavato n. sp. Sample 120-751A-8H-3, 98–102 cm. Holotype. fig. 8. Botryopera vavato n. sp. Sample 120-747A-5H-3, 45–47 cm. fig. 9. Lophophlaena? globeacuculla n. sp. Sample 120-747A-7H-5, 45–47 cm. fig. 10. Pseudodictyophimus ignatius n. sp. Sample 120-751A-13H-2, 98–102 cm. Holotype: (A) focus on shell wall; (B) focus on inner structure. fig. 11. Pseudodictyophimus ignatius n. sp. Sample 120-748B-5H-7, 45–47 cm: (A) focus on shell wall; (B) focus on inner structure. fig. 12. Lophophlaena? globeacuculla n. sp. Sample 120-747A-7H-5, 45–47 cm. All scale bars 50 µm. Magnification ×384 except for 11A–B (×192).
Description. Dicyrtid with a hemispherical cephalis (c. a third of the shell height) and a truncated conical thorax. Both segments are separated externally by furrows following arches AL and VL (Pl. 7, fig. 6). Spine A is fused to the dorsal side of the cephalic wall and protrudes subapically as a short triangular, trilobated, slightly incurved, robust horn. Spine V protrudes at the collar stricture as a shorter, triangular and trilobated horn. Spines D, L1 and Lr join the thoracic wall, are directed downwards (and eventually form furrows on the thoracic wall; Pl. 7, fig. 6) and continue after the thorax termination as small feet. These feet are short and conical and are undifferentiated from the fairly numerous teeth that also project at the thorax termination. The mouth is closed by a sieve plate, relatively thick, perforated by a few, randomly distributed, small circular pores (Pl. 7, fig. 9A). Additional robust spines can be present on the cephalis (Pl. 7, figs 6, 8). The shell wall is generally rather thick. Pores on the cephalis are small and circular while pores on the thorax are somewhat larger.

Dimensions. (Based on 7 specimens.) Total length: 83–103 (94); length of the cephalis: 33–47 (39).

Occurrence. Sporadic from the Stylosphaera radiosa Zone to the Chi Zone (Late Oligocene to Pleistocene).

Remarks. This species is easily distinguished from other species of Botryopera because of the presence of basal teeth and of a sieve plate closing the basal aperture. The thickness of the shell and the short, robust horns are also characteristic of this species. In particular, it differs from Botryopera chippewa Renaudie & Lazarus, 2013 in the cephalis to thorax height ratio, in its shell outline and the shape and length of the apical horn. It differs from Pseudodicytochaeus craticlipes Bailey, 1856 (and in particular to the form described as Pseudodicytochaeus craticlipes bicornis (Ehrenberg, 1861); see Petrushevskaya (1967, pl. 41, figs 1–5), Suzuki et al. (2009a, pl. 45, fig. 8a–d)) and from Trisulcus nanus (Popófsky, 1913) emend. Petrushevskaya, 1971 in the absence of wings, the length of the apical horn, the shell thickness and the less-marked shoulders. Cephalus spinus josephinus Alvira Martin, 1971 seems to be very similar to B. piperata as they share their thorax shape and most noticeably their peculiar thorax termination, but they differ in C. josphinus having thin, long apical and vertical spines and a more pronounced change of contour at the collar stricture (judging by the specimen illustrated in Alvira Martin, 1971, pl. 3, fig. 2). The specimen illustrated as Botryopera? pseudodontarticissa Petrushevskaya & Kozlova, 1979 in Hull (1996, pl. 4, figs 3–4) seems similar to B. piperata but lacks a sieve plate and robust horns (which can be due to preservation or ontogeny) and has larger, polygonal pores. B. pseudodontarticissa as described and illustrated in Petrushevskaya & Kozlova (1979) is distinguishable from this new species primarily in having a straight, cylindrical thorax and lacking horns. B. piperata finally differs from Botryopera? chlamyda Petrushevskaya, 1975 primarily in its flaring thorax and smoother wall and from the specimen illustrated as Pseudodicytochaeus? sp. indet. in Petrushevskaya & Kozlova (1972, pl. 37, fig. 22) in lacking wings.

Botryopera vavato n. sp. (Pl. 4, figs 6–8, 13)

Derivation of name. From the Latin vavato, meaning puppet.

Diagnosis. Species of Botryopera with a cylindrical thorax and thin, needle-like D, L1 and Lr wings.

Holotype. Plate 4, fig. 7; sample 120-751A-8H-3, 98–102 cm (Late Miocene); ECO-073.

Material. 173 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 693, 737, 747, 751 and 1138.

Description. Dicyrtid with a hemispherical to ellipsoidal (elongated toward the apex) cephalis and a cylindrical to barrel-shaped thorax. Both are separated externally by furrows along AL and VL. Spine A is fused to the dorsal side of the cephalic wall while spine V joins the wall at the collar stricture. Both can protrude as relatively small, barely noticeable needle-like spines. Spines D, L1 and Lr in contrast always protrude as long needle-like, downward-directed wings. Some bars can occasionally link the distal part of the wings to the rest of the thorax (see Pl. 4, fig. 7). Thorax termination seems closed. Pores on the cephalis and on the thorax are small, circular to elliptical, and randomly distributed. Cephalis can bear additional small spines (Pl. 4, figs 7–8).

Dimensions. (Based on 4 specimens.) Total length: 88–122 (110); length of the cephalis: 37–50 (46).

Occurrence. Sporadic from the upper Cycladophora spongotherax Zone to the Upsilon Zone (Late Miocene to Late Pliocene), rare from the Siphonosphaera vesuvius to the Tau Zone (Late Miocene).

Remarks. This species is characterized by its wings and its more or less cylindrical, closed thorax. The furrows along arches AL and VL, the shape of the cephalis and the disposition of spines A and V relative to the shell wall seem to be characteristic of this group of small dicyrtids that we have regrouped in this series of papers (Renaudie & Lazarus 2012, 2013) inside the genus Botryopera (with the exception of Botryopera? daleki Renaudie & Lazarus, 2013 as explained in the Remarks for that species).

This species differs from B. piperata and B. chippewa Renaudie & Lazarus, 2013 in its fairly long thorax, its short, weak apical spine and its wings; from Lithomelissa buetschlii Haeckel, 1887 primarily in its wall thickness, in the length of its cephalis and thorax in the cephalis/thorax ratio; from Dimelissa apis Petrushevskaya, 1971 and the specimens illustrated as Lithopera oceanica Ehrenberg group in Petrushevskaya (1975, pl. 19, figs 4–5) in its long, cylindrical thorax; from Trisulcus nanus (Popófsky, 1913) emend. Petrushevskaya, 1971 primarily in lacking the deep furrows along spines D, L1 and Lr at the collar stricture; from Lithomelissa setosa Jørgensen, 1900, in the presence of wings, the less marked shoulders and the modest yet present apical horn; from Lophophaenoma arctissa Petrushevskaya in Petrushevskaya & Kozlova, 1979 in the latter having a thicker shell wall and bearing fewer pores (specimens illustrated in Petrushevskaya & Kozlova, 1979, figs 339 and 342), in the wings (if any) being poorly developed and in the cephalis–thorax length ratio; from the specimen illustrated as Botryopera laticeps? (Jørgensen) in Petrushevskaya (1975, pl. 20, fig. 3) in the cephalis/thorax ratio and the thorax width; from Antarcitissa deflandrei Petrushevskaya, 1975 (= Antarcitisa conradae Chen, 1975) in the
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presence of wings, in the latter’s spine A joining the cephalic wall at the collar stricture, and in its cephalis apex being round instead of elongated into a peak as in *A. deflandrei*.

Genus *Ceratocyrtis* Bütschli, 1882

Type species. *Cornuttella cucullaris* Ehrenberg, 1874.

*Ceratocyrtis*? *arthuri* n. sp. (Pl. 6, figs 4A–B, 6A–B)

**Derivation of name.** Named after Arthur Popofsky, for his contribution to Antarctic radiolarian research.

**Diagnosis.** Dome-shaped skeleton; no external distinction between the cephalis and the thorax; all spines connect together halfway down the shell cavity.

**Holotype.** Plate 6, fig. 6A–B; sample 119-737A-25X-3, 53–55 cm (Late Miocene); ECO-074.

**Material.** 42 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 693, 737, 744, 745, 748, 751 and 1138.

**Description.** Small dicyrtid with a cephalis and a thorax indiscernible externally. Thorax seems to be closed by a sieve plate (Pl. 6, fig. 4A). Pores on the shell wall are irregular in size, shape (though usually polygonal) and disposition. MB is reduced to a point from where all spines (A, V, D, L, L, and Lr) project upwards and protrude outside the wall as short conical horns. Some additional spines are present on the cephalis and some of them may be related to apophyses (see Pl. 6, fig. 6B). The point where all spines converge, i.e. MB, is situated halfway down the shell cavity, meaning that, technically, the cephalis and the thorax are of equal length.

**Dimensions.** (Based on the 2 specimens illustrated.): Total height: 62–75.

**Occurrence.** Sporadic from the *Cycladophora golli regipileus* Zone to the Upsilon Zone (Early Miocene to Early Pliocene).

**Remarks.** Because of the thorax termination and the cephalis length and because the axobate was not observed, the generic assignment of this species to *Ceratocyrtis* is tentative. The fact that there is no discernible collar stricture and that MB is reduced to a point make us lean toward this assignment. *Clathromitra pterophormis* Haeckel, 1887 also have a highly reduced MB and a dome-shaped shell; however, they also have an axobate in the shape of a cluster of lumps, and all major spines protruding as long, robust, tribladed (and panelled, in the case of *C. pterophormis*) appendages. *C. arthuri* is distinguishable from *Ceratocyrtis masha* Bjørklund, 1976a in its smaller size, in MB being situated lower in the shell cavity and in the pores being smaller; from *C. stoermeri* Goll & Bjørklund, 1989 and *C. brouggeri* Goll & Bjørklund, 1989 in its thorax and cephalis being indistinguishable externally.

*Ceratocyrtis*? *ringisstola* n. sp. (Pl. 6, figs 5A–B, 7A–8B)

**Derivation of name.** From the Latin *ringa* (hoop) and *stola* (dress), *ringisstola* therefore stands for ‘dress with hoops’, i.e. a crinoline, after the general shape of the shell.

**Diagnosis.** Large dicyrtid; rim with teeth at thorax termination; small cephalis with internal spicule in a cross pattern.

**Holotype.** Plate 6, fig. 7A–B; sample 119-744A-7H-3, 53–55 cm (Middle Miocene); ECO-075.

**Material.** 12 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 744, 747 and 751.

**Description.** Large dicyrtid with a reduced cephalis and a long, conical thorax. The collar stricture is not marked externally. Thorax terminates on a rim that bears between 10 and 20 downward-directed, short, conical spines. Pores on the thorax are large and polygonal. There is a size gradient from the cephalis to the thorax rim for those pores and they seem to be distributed randomly. Numerous thorns arise from bar nodes on the cephalis and the upper thorax. Spines D, V, L, L, and Lr are of equal length and in the same plane, as a cross (see Pl. 6, fig. 8B), while MB is reduced to a point. A and Ax were not observed (maybe due to the fact that most of the specimens were observed in apical view). Spines D, V, L, L, and Lr protrude outside the wall as horns that are similar in shape and size to the numerous thorns that the wall already bears.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 4 specimens.) Breadth of thorax termination: 200–226 (221).

**Occurrence.** Sporadic from the *Eucyrtidium punctatum* to the upper *Cycladophora spongotherax* Zone (Middle to Late Miocene).

**Explanation of Plate 5.** Fig. 1. *Arachnocorallium cerebellum* n. sp. Sample 120-747A-4H-1, 45–47 cm. Fig. 2. *Arachnocorallium cerebellum* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-4H-4, 98–102 cm. Holotype. Fig. 3. *Arachnocorallium stilla* n. sp. Sample 119-745B-12H-8, 73–55 cm. Holotype. Fig. 4. *Arachnocorallium stilla*? Sample 120-751A-2H-3, 98–102 cm: (A) focus on cephalic wall; (B) focus on MB. Fig. 5. *Arachnocorallium stilla* n. sp. Sample 119-737B-5R-3, 53–55 cm: (A) focus on cephalic wall; (B) focus on MB. Fig. 6. *Arachnocorallium*? *pyroensis*? Sample 120-751A-9H-1, 98–102 cm. Apical view: (A) focus on cephalic wall; (B) focus on inner structure. Fig. 7. *Arachnocorallium stilla* n. sp. Sample 119-746A-7H-2, 53–55 cm. Fig. 8. *Arachnocorallium*? *pyroensis* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-9H-1, 98–102 cm. Holotype: (A) focus on cephalic wall; (B) focus on possible sagittal ring. Fig. 9. *Amphiplecta? satoshii* n. sp. Sample 119-746A-7H-2, 53–55 cm. Fig. 10. *Amphiplecta? satoshii* n. sp. Sample 119-746A-7H-2, 98–102 cm. Fig. 11. *Amphiplecta? satoshii* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-12H-6, 98–102 cm. Holotype. Fig. 12. *Amphiplecta? satoshii* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-12H-6, 98–102 cm: (A) focus on inner structure; (B) focus on cephalis wall. Fig. 13. *Amphiplecta? satoshii* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-4H-4, 98–102 cm. Fig. 14. *Amphiplecta? satoshii* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-12H-6, 98–102 cm: (A) focus on inner structure; (B) focus on cephalis wall. Fig. 15. *Amphiplecta? satoshii* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-12H-6, 98–102 cm. Broken specimen showing spine D. Fig. 16. *Arachnocorallium cerebellum*? Sample 119-745B-19H-6, 46–48 cm: (A) focus on cephalis wall; (B) focus on shell outline. All scale bars 50 µm. Magnification ×384.
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Remarks. The possible absence of an axobate, the thorax termination and the ‘cross’ disposition of spines D, V, L1 and Lr make the assignment to genus Ceratocyrtis questionable. All these characters would fit rather well with species of the genus Lamprotomitra; however, the reduced size of the cephalis, and the fact that the spines protrude outside as horns do not fit with the description this genus either. The cross disposition of the main spines evokes that typical of the genus Velicucillus Riedel & Campbell, 1952; however, species of this genus (such as V. oddgurneri Bjørklund, 1976a) generally have a highly flattened shell and relatively large cephalis. Early forms of Velicucillus altus Abelmann, 1990 have a more conical shell; however, the pore size, shape and density on C. ringisstola and the size of its cephalis are very unlike that of this species.

Genus Lithomelissa Ehrenberg, 1847

Type species. Lithomelissa microptera Ehrenberg, 1854.

Lithomelissa celsagula n. sp.

(Pl. 7, figs 10A–11B, 14A–B, 16A–B; Pl. 8, fig. 12)

1971 Lophophaena sp. G Petrushevskaya: pl. 56, fig. 17
non fig. 16.

Derivation of name. From the Latin, celsus (high) and gula (throat).

Diagnosis. Three wings; collar stricture follow arches ap; subapically, trilobed horn.

Holotype. Plate 7, fig. 10A–B; sample 120-748B-7H-4, 45–47 cm (Early Miocene); ECO-076.

Material. Six specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 748, 751 and 1138.

Description. Large dicyrtid with a kidney-shaped cephalic chamber and a thorax whose upper part is deformed by three, almost horizontal in some specimens, short, robust wings derived from spines D, L1 and Lr. These wings can be, proximally, linked to the thorax by arches (Pl. 7, fig. 10B). Spine A is free in the cephalic cavity and protrudes subapically as a trilobed horn. Arches ap are clearly marked (Pl. 8, fig. 12). The collar stricture on the dorsal side is situated at the level of apophyse a and follows arches ap. Pores vary widely in size and shape and are randomly distributed. Pores on the cephalis are smaller than on the thorax. Thorax termination is ragged. The shell is generally thin, except in some rare, late specimens (Pl. 7, fig. 11A–B).

Dimensions. (Based on 5 specimens.) Length of the cephalis: 42–66 (53); breadth at wings: 84–102 (91).

Occurrence. Sporadic from the Stylophaera radiosa Zone to the upper Cycladophora spongotorax Zone (Late Oligocene to Late Miocene).

Remarks. This species differs from Lithomelissa ehrenbergi Bütschli, 1882 in possessing three wings and in being generally larger; however, it shares with this species (see Bütschli, 1882, fig. 21a) and with L. mitra Bütschli, 1882 (see Bütschli, 1882, fig. 24, and Petrushevskaya, 1971, pl. 44, figs 1–2), L. microptera Ehrenberg, 1854 (see Petrushevskaya, 1971, pl. 44, fig. 3), L. macroptera Ehrenberg, 1874 (see Ogane et al., 2009, pl. 4, figs 3a–4d, 7a–d), L. porosa Kozlova, 1999, Lophophaena galeata Ehrenberg, 1874 (see Ogane et al., 2009, pl. 79, fig. 1a–c) and Lophophaena capitata Ehrenberg, 1874 (see Ogane et al., 2009, pl. 79, fig. 2a–c) its peculiar collar structure built on arches ap. This structure is to be compared with the structure of the genus Gondwanaria and some species of the genus Lipanella (see Funakawa, 2000, text-figs 4–7 and pl. 7, figs 3C, 4C and 5C).

Genus Lophophaena Ehrenberg, 1847 sensu Petrushevskaya, 1971

Type species. Lophophaena amictoria Ehrenberg, 1854.

Lophophaena amictoria n. sp.

(Pl. 8, figs 1–3)

1989 Peridium spp. Lazarus & Pallant: pl. 2, fig. 15 non figs 13–14, 16.

Derivation of name. From the Latin adjective amictorius (designing pieces of cloth that can be worn as a scarf), after the ‘neck’-structure of this species.

Diagnosis. Globular cephalis, diagonal inflated collar structure and three feet.

Holotype. Plate 8, fig. 2; sample 119-746A-7H-2, 53–55 cm (Late Miocene); ECO-077.

Material. 110 specimens observed in samples from 689, 690, 693, 744, 746, 748, 751, 1138.

Description. Spines A and V are free in the cephalic cavity and are both projected upwards (they form together an angle of c. 45°). They both protrude as short conical horns. MB is short and inclined toward spines V, L1 and Lr. Spines D, L1 and

Explanation of Plate 6. fig. 1. Spongomelissa? bipatens n. sp. Sample 120-748B-8H-2, 45–47 cm. Holotype, apical view: A–C. fig. 2. Spongomelissa? bipatens n. sp. Sample 120-748B-8H-1, 45–47 cm. Apical view: A–C. fig. 3. Spongomelissa? bipatens n. sp. Sample 120-748B-8H-2, 45–47 cm: A, B. fig. 4. Ceratocyrtis? arnutilis n. sp. Sample 119-737A-25X-3, 53–55 cm: (A) focus on cephalic wall; (B) focus on inner structure. fig. 5. Ceratocyrtis? ringisstola n. sp. Sample 119-744A-8H-1, 53–55 cm. Apical view: (A) focus on thorax termination; (B) focus on apex. fig. 6. Ceratocyrtis? arnutilis n. sp. Sample 119-737A-25X-3, 53–55 cm. Holotype: (A) focus on cephalic wall; (B) focus on inner structure. fig. 7. Ceratocyrtis? ringisstola n. sp. Sample 119-744A-7H-3, 53–55 cm. Holotype, tilted apical view: (A) focus on thorax termination; (B) focus on apex. fig. 8. Ceratocyrtis? ringisstola n. sp. Sample 119-744A-8H-1, 53–55 cm. Basal view: (A) focus on thorax termination; (B) focus on inner structure. All scale bars 50µm. Magnification ×384 except for 5A–B, 7A–8B (×192).
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Lr project downwards, reach the thorax wall at its midst, continue on the wall as ribs until they become, at the thorax termination, blade-like feet. Cephalis is globular. Cephalis and thorax are distinctly separated by an inflated distally extended annular structure, delimited on top by arches AV and on the bottom by a fold that follows MB, which somewhat resembles a scarf. Pores on the cephalis and on the collar structure are small and more or less circular while pores on the thoracic wall are larger and slightly more elliptical. They both seem to be arranged in a hexagonal pattern. Thorax termination is ragged.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 4 specimens.) Length of the eucephalic lobe: 26–35 (30); total length (excluding feet): 68–92 (81).

**Occurrence.** Rare from the Cycladophora golli regipileus Zone to the Actinomma golowinini Zone (Early to Middle Miocene), then sporadic until the Chi Zone (Pleistocene). Based on specimens reported by Lazarus & Pallant (1989) this species ranges into the Early Oligocene.

**Remarks.** This species differs from the *Lophophaena macrencephala* Clark & Campbell, 1945 group as illustrated in Dzinoridze et al. (1976) and *Lophophaena mugaica* (Grigorjeva, 1975) as illustrated in Kozlova (1999, pl. 3, fig. 16; pl. 4, fig. 3) primarily in possessing three well-developed feet. *L. mugaica* seems to share the same collar structure while *L. macrencephala* does not. *Lophophaena clevei* Petrushevskaya, 1971 (see Pl. 7, fig. 17 herein) also shares this structure (though slightly reduced in volume) and possesses three feet as well; however, its size and the length ratio between its cephalis and its thorax are significantly different from that of the new species.

*Lophophaena pileata* n. sp.  
(Pl. 7, figs 4–5)

**Derivation of name.** From the Latin *pileatus* (that wears a pointed hat).

**Diagnosis.** Hood-shaped cephalis with triangular apical horn unconnected to spine A.

**Holotype.** Plate 7, fig. 5; sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm (Late Pliocene); ECO-062, circle 2.

**Material.** Eleven specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 737, 745, 747, 748 and 751.

**Description.** Dicyrtid with an ellipsoid cephalis elongated toward the apex where a short triangular, tribladed horn is present. This horn does not seem connected to any internal spine or apophysis. Indeed spine A is fused to the dorsal side of the cephalic wall and does not seem to protrude outside of it (though it is possible that it merges with the horn), nor do spines V (which joins the wall on the ventral side of the collar structure) or D. Spines LI and LR are almost perpendicular to MB and join the wall in an intermediate position between the dorsal and the ventral side where, in some specimens (Pl. 7, fig. 4), they can protrude as very short wings. Thorax and cephalis are separated externally by furrows along arches AL and VL: because spines LI and LR are relatively close to spine D, the furrow along AL forms a smaller angle with the one along VL thus forming a more-or-less marked dorsal shoulder. The thorax is more or less conical in outline. Pores on both segments are numerous, circular, of various sizes but generally relatively large (smaller toward the apex of the cephalis) and randomly arranged.

**Dimensions.** (Based on the 2 specimens illustrated.) Length of the cephalis: 60–62; total length: 106–108.

**Occurrence.** Sporadic from the *Eucyrtidium punctatum* Zone to the Chi Zone (Early Miocene to Pleistocene).

**Remarks.** The apical triangular horn unconnected to spine A seems characteristic of this species. This horn evokes that of *Trisulcus boldyrae* Petrushevskaya, 1971; however, the thorax outline of both those species differs completely from one another. Because the collar structure follows arches AL and VL and because of the presence of a dorsal shoulder, the general outline of the cephalis is very similar to that of more common species, such as *Lophophaena thaumasia* Caulet, 1991 (which differs from *L. pileata* in its apical and ventral tribladed horn) and *Lophophaena simplex* Funakawa, 1994 (which differs from *L. pileata* in its numerous by-spines and its thorax ridges). This cephalis outline also evokes the kidney-shaped cephalis of species *Lithomelissa celsagula* and *L. ehrenbergi* discussed above; however, not only do those species lack a dorsal shoulder but they possess a more complex neck structure based on arches ap that *L. simplex*, *L. thaumasia* and *L. pileata* lack.

**Explanation of Plate 7.** fig. 1. *Lophophaena? neuma* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-12H-1, 98–102 cm. Holotype. fig. 2. *Lophophaena? neuma* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-12H-2, 98–102 cm. fig. 3. *Lophophaena? neuma* n. sp. Sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm. fig. 4. *Lophophaena pileata* n. sp. Sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm. fig. 5. *Lophophaena pileata* n. sp. Sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm. Holotype. fig. 6. *Botryopera pipera* n. sp. Sample 120-748B-6H-3, 45–47 cm. fig. 7. *Botryopera pipera* n. sp. Sample 120-748B-6H-7, 45–47 cm. Holotype. fig. 8. *Botryopera pipera* n. sp. Sample 119-744A-10H-2, 60–62 cm. fig. 9. *Botryopera pipera*? Sample 120-751A-6H-1, 53–55 cm. Basal view: (A) focus on sieve plate; (B) focus on cephalic inner structure. fig. 10. *Lithomelissa celsagula* n. sp. Sample 120-748B7H-4, 45–47 cm. Holotype, dorsal view: (A) focus on wing D; (B) focus on wings LI and LR. fig. 11. *Lithomelissa celsagula* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-3H-1, 98–102 cm: (A) focus on shell wall; (B) focus on inner structure. fig. 12. *Antarctissa kjelli* n. sp. Sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm. Holotype. fig. 13. *Antarctissa kjelli* n. sp. Sample 120-747A-2H-5, 45–47 cm. Holotype. fig. 14. *Lithomelissa celsagula* n. sp. Sample 183-1138A-17R-2, 105–107 cm: (A) focus on cephalic neck structure; (B) focus on internal structure. fig. 15. *Antarctissa kjelli* n. sp. Sample 120-747A-1H-1, 45–47 cm. Specimen with cylindrical thorax. fig. 16. *Lithomelissa celsagula* n. sp. Sample 120-748B-7H-4, 45–47 cm: (A) focus on cephalic wall; (B) focus on internal structure. fig. 17. *Lophophaena clevei* Petrushevskaya, 1971. Sample 119-744A-6H-1, 53–55 cm. All scale bars 50 µm. Magnification ×384.
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*Lophophaena? globaucula* n. sp.  
(Pl. 4, figs 9A–B, 12)

**Derivation of name.** From the Latin *globus* (spherical) and *cucul·la* (cap).

**Diagnosis.** Characterized by its three blade-like feet, its large spherical cephalis and its three horns.

**Holotype.** Plate 4, fig. 9A–B; sample 120-748B-5H-7, 45–47 cm (Middle Miocene); ECO-045, circle 2.

**Material.** 119 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 690, 693, 744, 747, 748, 751 and 1138.

**Description.** Large dicyrtid with a large, flattened, almost spherical cephalis and a truncated-conical to tetrahedral thorax. Both segments are separated by a clear collar stricture, above MB. Spine A is free in the cephalic cavity and protrudes subapically as a curved, conical horn. Two supplementary horns that are very similar in shape and size to the apical horn are also present subapically on the cephalis. They do not seem to be connected with any internal spine or apophyse. Spine V reaches the wall at the cephalic stricture but does not seem to continue outside as a horn. Spines D, L1 and Lr are projected downward, reach the thoracic wall at the third of its height and continue after the thorax termination as fairly long, blade-like, smooth feet. Pores on the cephalis are smaller than pores on the thorax. Both are round to elliptical. The pores on the thorax are more or less quincuncially arranged. Bars are crested on the cephalis.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 3 specimens.) Length of the cephalis: 36–50 (44); total length: 84–114 (103); maximum breadth: 78–105 (95).

**Occurrence.** Rare from the *Cycladophora humerus* Zone to the *Acrosphaera australis* zone (Middle to Late Miocene), then sporadically until the Psi Zone (Pleistocene).

**Remarks.** This species differs from species of the genus *Pseudodictyophimus* and from *Theoperidae* in the size and shape of its cephalis and the disposition of its collar stricture: in *Theoperidae*, the collar stricture is systematically situated at the same height as MB, while in *Pseudodictyophimus* the separation between the two external segments follows furrows along arches AL and VL (Funakawa, 1995a) which is clearly not the case here. It is distinguished from *Lophophaena witjazzi* (Petrushevskaya, 1971) in its apical horns being cylindrical instead of tribladed, its large thorax and in its three feet and from *L. capito* Ehrenberg, 1874 in its large thorax and in lacking wings.

*Lophophaena? neuma* n. sp.  
(Pl. 7, figs 1–3; Pl. 8, fig. 9)

**Derivation of name.** From the Latin *neuma* (ghost), for the resemblance of the species with the depiction of ghosts in modern popular culture.

**Diagnosis.** Flaring thorax with teeth at the rim; elongated cephalis; whole shell covered with thorns.

**Holotype.** Plate 7, fig. 1; sample 120-751A-12H-1, 98–102 cm (Middle Miocene); ECO-078.

**Material.** 44 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 748 and 751.

**Description.** Dicyrtid with a spherical to apically elongated cephalis and a largely flaring truncated-conical thorax. Thorax ends on a circular rim where numerous small triangular teeth project. Spine A is fused to the dorsal side of the cephalic wall and can protrude outside the wall as a short triangular spine that can be hardly differentiated from the multitude of conical thorns projecting from the bar nodes of the cephalic wall and the upper thoracic wall. Spines D, L1 and Lr join the upper thoracic wall and continue as ribs. Some specimens (Pl. 7, fig. 1) exhibit a more-or-less marked pore alignment following these ribs. Pores on the thorax are more or less even in size, circular to elliptical and numerous. Pores on the cephalis are slightly smaller than those on the thorax in general. The cephalic wall can be rough and crested in some specimens (Pl. 7, fig. 1).

**Dimensions.** (Based on 6 specimens.) Length of the cephalis: 44–58 (54); total length: 113–132 (127); maximum breadth: 80–114 (110).

**Occurrence.** Found sporadically from the *Cycladophora golli regipileus* Zone to the *Acrosphaera australis* Zone (Early to Late Miocene), rare in the *Actinomma golownini* Zone (Middle Miocene).

**Remarks.** This species differs from *Lophophaena nadezdae* Petrushevskaya, 1971 and *L. simplex* Funakawa, 1994 in its flaring thorax, in its whole shell being covered by tiny, needle-like thorns and its thorax terminating with a ring of small triangular teeth, slightly above the rim. It also differs from *L. hispida*

---

**Explanation of Plate 8.** **fig. 1.** *Lophophaena anictoria* n. sp. Sample 119-744A-2H-4, 53–55 cm: (A) focus on feet Lr; (B) focus on cephalic wall. **fig. 2.** *Lophophaena amictoria* n. sp. Sample 119-746A-7H-2, 53–55 cm. Holotype. **fig. 3.** *Lophophaena anictoria* n. sp. Sample 119-744A-4H-2, 59–61 cm. **fig. 4.** *Ceratospyris clinamen* n. sp. Sample 119-744A-6H-4, 60–62 cm. Holotype: (A) frontal view; (B) dorsal view. **fig. 5.** *Ceratospyris clinamen* n. sp. Sample 120-748A-5H-5, 45–47 cm: (A) dorsal view; (B) frontal view. **fig. 6.** *Ceratospyris clinamen* n. sp. Sample 120-748A-5H-4, 45–47 cm: (A) dorsal view; (B) frontal view. **fig. 7.** *Ceratospyris clinamen* n. sp. Sample 120-748A-5H-4, 45–47 cm: (A) frontal view; (B) dorsal view. **fig. 8.** *Pseudodictyophimus ignatius* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-10H-4, 98–102 cm. Specimen seen in apical view: (A) focus on cephalis wall; (B) focus on MB. **fig. 9.** *Lophophaena? neuma* n. sp. Sample 120-751A-12H-2, 98–102 cm. Specimen with spherical cephalis. **fig. 10.** *Antarctissa kjelli* n. sp. Close-up of specimen from Plate 7, fig. 13A–B. **fig. 11.** *Amphilpecta satoshi* n. sp. Enlargement of specimen (holotype) from Plate 5, fig. 11. **fig. 12.** *Lithomelissa celsagula* n. sp. Enlargement of specimen from Plate 7, fig. 14A–B. Scale bars are 50 µm for 1A–9, 10 µm for 10–12. Magnification ×384 except for 8A–B (×192) and 6A–7B (×358.4). A: cephalic spine; D: dorsal spine; V: ventral spine; MB: median bar; Ax: axobate; Lr and Ll: primary lateral spines; a: anterior apophyse on spine A; c: cervical apophyse on spine D; j: jugal apophyse on spine V.
(Ehrenberg, 1872) in the cephalic pores and the cephalic thorns being considerably smaller and in the flaring thorax of \textit{L.? neuma}. Although \textit{L.? neuma} differs from Petrushevskaya’s (1971) generic concept for \textit{Lophophaena}, because of the numerous similarities with the three species mentioned here, this species is tentatively assigned to this genus.

Genus \textit{Pseudodictyophimus} Petrushevskaya, 1971

**Type species.** \textit{Dictiophyrum gracilipes} Bailey, 1856.

\textit{Pseudodictyophimus ignatius} n. sp.

(Pl. 4, figs 10A–11B; Pl. 8, fig. 8A–B)

**Derivation of name.** After Ignatius J. Reilly, main character of John Kennedy Toole’s \textit{A Confederacy of Dunces}.

**Diagnosis.** Large species of \textit{Pseudodictyophimus} with numerous thorns, a widely opening thorax.

**Holotype.** Plate 4, fig. 10A–B; sample 120-751A-13H-2, 98–102 cm (Middle Miocene); ECO-079.

**Material.** 394 specimens were observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 690, 693, 744, 746, 747, 748, 751 and 1138.

**Description.** Large dicyrtid with a dome-shaped cephalis, bearing small rounded pores, an apical and ventral horns (usually trilobated at least at their base) and, often, numerous additional horns. The thorax is at least twice as wide and long as the cephalis. Both segments are separated by furrows along arches \textit{Al} and \textit{Vl}. Spines \textit{D}, \textit{Ll} and \textit{Lr} join the wall at the collar stricture, continue on at least half the length of the thorax, in the thoracic wall as ribs and then protrude as fairly long, downward-directed, conical to blade-like wings. Pores on the thorax are round to elliptical, relatively large (somewhat larger next to the ribs). Additional spines on the upper part of the thorax are frequent.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 4 specimens.) Total length: 89–104 (95); maximum breadth: 107–113 (109).

**Occurrence.** Sporadic from the \textit{Cycladophora antiqua} Zone to the \textit{Cycladophora golli regipileus} Zone (Early Miocene), then rare until the Upsilon Zone (Late Pliocene).

**Remarks.** It differs from \textit{P. tanythorax} Funakawa, 1994 and \textit{P. gracilipes} Bailey, 1856 in the flaring of its thorax, its size and the presence of additional horns. It differs from \textit{P. platycephalus} (Haeckel, 1887) primarily in the latter having a collar stricture barely marked externally and from the specimen illustrated as \textit{Dictyophyrum} cf. \textit{platycephalus} in Abelmann (1990) in its size and the width ratio of its cephalis and thorax; from \textit{Triophaenoscenium laimingi} Campbell & Clark, 1944 in its shorter apical horn, the thinner wall, the smaller pores and, more noticeably, in its size (the total length of \textit{T. laimingi} being often more than 400 μm and its width more than 200 μm; as per Campbell & Clark (1944) and personal observations on the specimens observed in the Southern Ocean); from \textit{Clathromitra pterophormis} Haeckel, 1887 in the same characters as above, and additionally in lacking a large dendritic \textit{Ax}; from \textit{Spongomelissa dilli} Chen, 1975 and \textit{Corythomelissa omoprominentia} Funakawa, 1995a in possessing long wings/feet but lacking a rimmed peristome; from \textit{Corythomelissa horrida} Petrushevskaya, 1975 and from \textit{C. spinosa} Funakawa, 1995a in being larger, in having a wider, open thorax and in the collar stricture being distinct externally; from \textit{Corythomelissa pachyostroma} Funakawa, 1995a, primarily in its weaker and shorter appendages but also in its additional spines.

Genus \textit{Spongomelissa} Haeckel, 1887

**Type species.** \textit{Lithomelissa spongiosa} Bütschli, 1882.

\textit{Spongomelissa? bipatens} n. sp.

(Pl. 6, figs 1A–3B)

**Derivation of name.** From the Latin word ‘\textit{bipatens}’ meaning ‘with two openings’; after the two apical openings that seem typical of this species.

**Diagnosis.** Large bell-shaped skeleton; cephalis, in apical view, separated into three by apophyses \textit{g}.

**Holotype.** Plate 6, fig. 1A–C; sample 120-748B-8H-2, 45–47 cm (Early Miocene); ECO-080, circle 1.

**Material.** 11 specimens observed in samples from ODP site 748.

**Description.** Large dicyrtid with a large bell-shaped thorax that ends on a short poreless rim with several short triangular teeth. No visible constriction or change in contour at the collar stricture. Spine \textit{A} is free in the cephalic cavity and protrudes apically as a short trilobated horn. The cephalic wall, in apical view, is separated in three sectors: one is latticed, with round to elliptical pores and thick bar nodes with thorns and the other two are one large pore each (may be covered by a velum; Pl. 6, fig. 1A) delimited by a strong, thick circular arch extending from apophyses \textit{g}. \textit{MB} is reduced. Apophyses \textit{a} can be seen joining \textit{A} with the shell wall (Pl. 6, fig. 3B). Still in apical view, the thorax contour is irregular with a few triangular spines extending laterally from the largest part of the bell-shaped thorax: some of them could be wings extending from \textit{D}, \textit{Ll} and \textit{Ll}, or even unobserved \textit{P}. Pores on the thorax are numerous, large, regularly arranged and spaced, and elliptical. Spines \textit{D}, \textit{V}, \textit{Ll} and \textit{Lr} seem to be all in the same horizontal plane (Pl. 6, fig. 1B) and do not seem to extend as horns or feet, but possibly wings (yet unconfirmed). No \textit{Ax} has been observed.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 4 specimens.) Maximum breadth of thorax: 159–202 (188).

**Occurrence.** Sporadic from the \textit{Stylosphaera radiosa} to the \textit{Eucyrtidium punctatum} Zone (Late Oligocene to Early Miocene).

**Remarks.** The peculiar cephalic structure of this species sets it aside from the other lophophaenids or clathromitrids present in the Southern Ocean, rendering the generic assignment problematic. This structure evokes that of the specimens illustrated by Sanfilippo & Riedel (1973) as \textit{Velicucullus} sp. (Sanfilippo & Riedel, 1973, pl. 20, figs 5–6; pl. 34, fig. 14) but the rest of the
skeleton differs widely from our new species (specifically the shell flattening and the thorax termination). It differs from *Spongomelissa adunca* Sanfilippo & Riedel, 1973 and *S. eaparthus* Sanfilippo & Riedel, 1973 in lacking feet, in the shell flattening of these two species and in the cephalis being reduced in height; from *Spongomelissa dilli* Chen, 1975 and *Corythomelissa omoprominentia* Funakawa, 1995a in the presence of teeth at the rim, in being taller and in its peculiar cephalic structure; from *Corythomelissa horrida* Petrushevsksaya, 1975, *C. pachyostreca* Funakawa, 1995a and *C. spinosa* Funakawa, 1995a in lacking feet, in possessing a rim at the thorax termination and in its shorter apical horn.

Family *Trissocyclidae* Haeckel, 1881 emend. Goll, 1968
Genus *Ceratospyris* Ehrenberg, 1847 sensu Nigrini, 1967

**Type species.** *Haliomma? radicatum* Ehrenberg, 1844.

*Ceratospyris clinamen* n. sp.
(Pl. 8, figs 4A–7B)

**Derivation of name.** Latin term meaning 'deviation': term coined by Lucretius to express Epicurus' idea of the unpredictability of the physical world; used here for the apparent randomness of this species' bar disposition.

**Diagnosis.** Wide bilobed cephalis, loose latticed shell joining asymmetrically the sagittal ring.

**Holotype.** Plate 8, fig. 4A–B; sample 119-744A-6H-4, 60–62 cm (Middle Miocene); ECO-081.

**Material.** 972 specimens observed in ODP sites 744, 747, 748, 751 and 1138.

**Description.** Bilobed cephalis with a weak sagittal constriction and a loose latticed shell wall that appears to be asymmetrical on both sides of the sagittal ring. Elliptical pores are randomly distributed and of various sizes. Bars are generally wide and often crested. The lattice wall joins the sagittal ring at the apex, in the middle of its frontal side and in the upper two-thirds of the dorsal side. The shell is wider than its height. Spine *A* and spine *D* both extend as fairly significant spines, one near the apex of the sagittal ring, the other near the antapex. Some additional spines, more modest in size, can be seen arising from some bar nodes. Spine *V* seems to be visible on the frontal side (see the knob-like thickening of the sagittal ring near its base (Pl. 8, figs 4A and 5A).

**Dimensions.** (Based on 5 specimens.) Height of the sagittal ring: 58–76 (70); width of the shell: 91–113 (102).

**Occurrence.** Common in the *Cycladophora spongotorhax* Zone (Middle to Late Miocene), sporadic until the *Siphonosphaera vesuvius* Zone (Late Miocene).

**Remarks.** This species differs from *Euconorion fridtofjansenii* Goll & Bjørklund, 1980, from *Ceratospyris borealis* Bailey, 1856 and from *Lophospyris cheni* Goll, 1976 primarily in its considerably wider cephalic lobes. Additionally it differs from *E. fridtofjansenii* and *C. borealis* in its deeper sagittal constriction and in the lattice wall connecting also to the middle of the sagittal ring and not exclusively to the top and bottom of it; and from *L. cheni* in its lattice wall bars being wider and flatter. It also shares, to some extent, similarities with *Clathrospyris sandellae* Goll, 1978 but they can be distinguished in the latter lacking an apical spine, having feet and in the latticed wall joining those feet distally. It is finally distinguished from other species of the genus *Ceratospyris*, such as *C. laventaensis* Campbell & Clark, 1944, which are also found in the middle Miocene Southern Ocean, in its peculiar asymmetrical lattice wall.

Genus *Dorcadospyris* Haeckel, 1881 emend. Goll, 1969

**Type species.** *Dorcadospyris dentata* Haeckel, 1887.

*Dorcadospyris? kennetti* n. sp.
(Pl. 4, figs 4–5B)

**Derivation of name.** Named after James Kennett, for his contribution to Southern Ocean palaeoceanography.

**Diagnosis.** Thorny trissocyclid with randomly distributed pores, no sagittal constriction and no sagittal-lattice pores on the dorsal side.

**Holotype.** Plate 4, fig. 4; sample 120-748B-8H-2, 45–47 cm (Early Miocene); ECO-080, circle 2.

**Material.** 153 specimens observed in samples from ODP sites 689, 748, 751 and 1138.

**Description.** Hemispherical to spherical cephalis separated into two chambers by a sagittal ring. No sagittal constriction. Ventral side of the cephalis shows several unpaired sagittal-lattice pores but dorsal side does not have any sagittal-lattice pores. The other pores on the shell wall are large, round to elliptical and more-or-less regularly arranged. Spine *A* extends as a short, conical apical horn. Spines *D*, *L* and *Lr* continue as fairly long, thin, conical feet that are bent downwards. Numerous thorns arise from bar nodes as thin, needle-like supplementary horns slightly shorter than the apical horn.

**Dimensions.** (Based on 3 specimens.) Height of the sagittal ring: 42–48 (46); maximum breadth: 48–59 (54).

**Occurrence.** Rare, from the *Stylosphaera radiosa* Zone to in the *Cycladophora spongotorhax* Zone (Late Oligocene to Late Miocene). Found sporadically until the *Siphonosphaera vesuvius* Zone (Late Miocene).

**Remarks.** This species differs from the two Oligocene morphotypes illustrated as Do2 and Do4 in Goll (1969, text-fig. 2) in being covered by needle-like thorns and in having fewer, larger pores. It differs from *Ceratospyris echinus* Ehrenberg, 1874 (see Ogane et al., 2009, pl. 9, fig. 5a–d) and from *C. setigera* Ehrenberg, 1874 (see Ogane et al., 2009, pl. 9, fig. 3a–c) primarily in having fewer, larger pores but also in its fewer, longer feet and shorter spines; from *C. heptaceros* Ehrenberg, 1874 (see Ogane et al., 2009, pl. 38, fig. 7a–c) and *Giraffospyris didiceros*
(Ehrenberg, 1874) in having larger, randomly distributed pores and more numerous, shorter spines.

CONCLUSIONS
Some of the new species presented in this paper may provide useful biostratigraphic information because of their abundance and continuity in the studied material or their short, well-defined range: *Arachnothorax? pyroensis*, *A. cerebellum*, *Hexacontium? cookii*, *Phormostichoartus ashbyi*, *Ceratospirys cinamen* and *Spongoplegma dentifrangibula*. Some species, such as *Arachnothorax cerebellum* (which peaks at 7% of some assemblages), *Arachnothorax? pyroensis* and *Ceratospirys cinamen* (both up to 6%), *Spongoplegma dentifrangibula* (up to 3%), *Hexacontium? cookii* and *Phormostichoartus ashbyi* (both up to 2%) or *Arachnothorax stilla* (up to 1% of some samples) are abundant enough not to be overlooked in ecological or macroevolutionary studies. But, most importantly in this paper, many Plagiacanthidae (and most specifically many Lophophaeinidae sensu Petrushevskaya (1971)) are described: *Botryopera pipera*, *B. vaivato*, *Antarticussa kjelli*, *Amphipecta? satoshii*, *Arachnothorax? pyroensis*, *A. cerebellum*, *A. stilla*, *Lophophaena? neuma*, *L. pileata*, *L.? cuculla*, *L. amictoria*, *Lithomelissa celsagula*, *Pseudodictyophimus ignatius*, *Ceratocyrtis arthurii*, *C.? ringistola* and *Spongomelessa? bipatens*. This complex group is very diversified and abundant in the Neogene Southern Ocean. All these new species, along with the ones described in our previous papers (Renaudie & Lazarus 2012, 2013) will be essential to understanding this group’s evolution.
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