A Propensity Score-Matched Analysis for Non-Intubated Thoracic Surgery
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Background: Most observations of non-intubated anesthesia under spontaneous breathing are small-cohort, non-homogeneous surgery types and lack an intubation control. We therefore retrospectively compared the perioperative conditions and postoperative recovery of non-intubated video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (NIVATS group) and intubated VATS (IVATS group) with a propensity score-matching analysis.

Material/Methods: We case-matched 119 patients in the NIVATS group with patients in the IVATS group by a propensity score-matched analysis. All of them underwent lobectomy.

Results: In the NIVATS group, operative and anesthesia times were significantly shorter (P<0.01). NIVATS showed a faster and more stable recovery in the PACU, postoperative awaking and post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) stay times was shorter (P<0.01), and use of sedatives and analgesics was lower (P<0.05). The incidence of pulmonary exudation, atelectasis, and pleural effusion were higher (P<0.05). Although intraoperative SpO2 was lower and PETCO2 was higher in the NIVATS group (P<0.01), postoperative PaCO2 and SaO2 in both groups were similar (P>0.05). Postoperative counts of leukocytes and neutrophils and hemoglobin levels also had no difference between the 2 groups (P>0.05).

Conclusions: NIVATS has a more rapid and stable recovery in the PACU, and has no significant influence on oxygenation, but is more likely to cause postoperative radiologic complications.

MeSH Keywords: Intubation, Intratracheal • Postanesthesia Nursing • Thoracic Surgery, Video-Assisted

Full-text PDF: https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/910605

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS]

This work is licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)
Background

Thoracic anesthesia under spontaneous ventilation is not a recent innovation. Awake thoracic surgery was first proposed to be performed under thoracic epidural anesthesia in 1950 by Buckingham [1]. A few years later, Vischnevski performed major lung resections and even esophagectomies under a multistep local analgesia [2].

Non-intubated video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery (VATS) gradually gained popularity in the past 2 decades. In 1998, Mukaida first reported thoracoscopic surgery for pneumothorax under local and epidural anesthesia [3]. The successful debut of non-intubated anesthesia with spontaneous breathing for VATS wedge resection of lung masses was reported in 2004 [4]. Non-intubated anesthesia with spontaneous breathing has been widely performed in a variety of VATS procedures, such as lung biopsies [5], mediastinal tumors [6], bullectomy [3,7], metastatic tumors [8], empyema thoracis [9], emphysematous bulla [7], wedge resections [10], segmentectomy [11], lobectomies [12,13], and even lung-volume reduction surgery was safe and feasible using awake VATS technique, with similar or even better results [14]. All of these studies yielded encouraging results.

However, small sample size, use of a variety of procedures, and the absence of standard VATS control under intubation make these studies less convincing [3,8,13,15,16]. In addition, few of these studies observed the pulmonary gas exchange during procedures and most ignored the early recovery changes in the PACU, which reduce the credibility of the results. Therefore, we performed a more delicately designed study to shed light on the issue and obtain a more well-established theory.

Non-intubated VATS had been used at our institute for various diagnostic and therapeutic procedures since 2011 [17,18], and this provided a basis for our study. The aim of this study was to retrospectively compare the perioperative conditions of non-intubated VATS and intubated VATS with large-cohort design using a propensity score-matching analysis. Our primary hypothesis was that non-intubated VATS is superior in PACU, and the secondary hypothesis was that non-intubated VATS has no significant influence on pulmonary gas exchange during the operation.

Material and Methods

Study design

The study design was reviewed and approved by the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University Research Ethics Committee. All patients provided informed consent and the data were collected from the institutional medical records database. All cases dated up to December 2011 received intubated anesthesia, whereas those dated after December 2011 received non-intubated anesthesia. From January 2012 onwards, patients were able to choose the anesthesia type (NIVATS or IVATS anesthesia) and gave their consent after the procedures of the 2 anesthesia types were explained in detail. In fact, 983 patients underwent VATS with double-lumen tube intubation anesthesia (IVATS group) from January 1, 2010 to December 30, 2011 and 798 patients underwent VATS with non-intubation spontaneous breathing anesthesia (NIVATS group) from January 1, 2012 to December 30, 2014. There were no major changes in the approach of surgery during this period. In order to counterbalance the discrepancies between the 2 groups, propensity score-matching analysis was used to minimize the selection bias between the 2 groups. Two comparable patient groups who underwent lobectomy (n=119 for each group) were identified using this method. The baseline characteristic of the propensity score-matched pairs is listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Eligibility criteria for NIVATS group

Exclusion criteria were: younger than age 18 years, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status IV or higher, morbidly obese, bleeding disorders, sleep apnea, compromised coagulation, unfavorable airway or spinal anatomy, preoperative decompensated heart diseases, expected dense or extensive pleural adhesions, noncompliance to the procedure, and patient refusal [13,14].

Anesthesia

Non-intubation VATS group

Anesthetic techniques were performed as described previously [18]. Patients received thoracic epidural anesthesia, or LMA Classic (Teleflex, Sweetmeat, Ireland) insertion combined with intrathoracic vagal blockade and intercostal nerve blockade. An epidural catheter was inserted at the T5/T6 or T6/T7 thoracic interspace. We administered 2% lidocaine 2 mL as a testing dose and 0.375–0.5% ropivacaine was used to attain a sensory block between the T2 dermatomes. Mask- and nasopharyngeal airway-assisted ventilation was provided with an oxygen flow of 3–5 L/min. Sedation was initiated by intravenous infusion of remifentanil and propofol. LMA was inserted after anesthetic induction, allowing spontaneous ventilation.

At the end of procedure, the collapsed lung was re-expanded with positive pressure through mask ventilation or negative-pressure suction through the chest tube. Intravenous drugs were stopped immediately and the epidural catheter was removed. Patients were transferred to the PACU, then to the ward or ICU according to the evaluation of their preoperative cardio-pulmonary function and intraoperative conditions.
In the IVATS group, a Mallinckrodt double-lumen endobronchial tube (DLT, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) was inserted after induction with propofol, sufentanil, and neuromuscular blockade. Proper tube position was confirmed by flexible fiberoptic bronchoscopy. Protective ventilation strategy was commenced with tidal volumes 5–6 mL/kg and positive end-expiratory pressure 5 cmH$_2$O, with peak pressure of under 30 cmH$_2$O. Patients were normally extubated in the PACU or occasionally remained intubated, then were transferred to the ICU or ward.

**Surgical procedure**

The procedures in NIVATS and IVATS group were similar. Thoracoscopic lobectomy was performed using 3-port VATS, as described by McKenna [19]. One 22Ch chest drainage tube was inserted at the end of the procedure.

### Table 1. Preoperative patients’ characteristic of VATS lobectomy after matching.

| Variable                                | IVATS group (n=119) | NIVATS group (n=119) | P value |
|-----------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Age (years)                             | 55.34±13.83         | 56.98±11.05          | 0.311   |
| Gender (M/F)                            | 68/51               | 69/50                | 0.896   |
| Body mass index (Kg/m$^2$)              | 22.40±2.85          | 22.51±2.57           | 0.756   |
| ASA physical status class (N [%])       |                     |                      | 0.623   |
| I                                       | 95 (79.8)           | 98 (82.4)            |         |
| II                                      | 23 (19.3)           | 20 (16.8)            |         |
| III                                     | 1 (0.8)             | 1 (0.8)              |         |
| Comorbidity (N [%])                     |                     |                      | 0.290   |
| Cardiovascular diseases                 | 9 (7.6)             | 8 (6.7)              |         |
| Diabetes                                | 4 (3.4)             | 6 (5.0)              |         |
| Pulmonary diseases                      | 7 (5.9)             | 2 (1.7)              |         |
| Prior Thoracic Surgery                  | 4 (3.4)             | 1 (0.8)              |         |
| None                                    | 95 (79.8)           | 102 (85.2)           |         |
| LVEF                                    | 71.40±6.43 (n=92)   | 71.68±5.90 (n=107)   | 0.749   |
| Cardiac Risk index (N [%])              |                     |                      | 0.562   |
| 1 point                                 | 117 (98.3)          | 118 (99.2)           |         |
| 2 points                                | 2 (1.7)             | 1 (0.8)              |         |
| Preoperative hospital stay (d)          | 9 (7, 13)           | 8 (5, 12)            | 0.934   |
| Preoperative Leukocyte (×10$^9$)        | 6.86±1.96           | 6.46±1.83            | 0.104   |
| Preoperative Neutrophil (%)             | 59.43±11.92         | 59.36±9.50           | 0.960   |
| Preoperative Hemoglobin (g)             | 134.08±15.10        | 132.77±14.239        | 0.494   |
| Pulmonary function tests (%)            |                     |                      |         |
| FVC% predicted                          | 97.70±17.04 (n=82)  | 96.56±17.35 (n=110)  | 0.649   |
| FEV$_1$ % predicted                     | 90.74±20.74 (n=82)  | 90.96±16.64 (n=110)  | 0.935   |
| FEV$_1$/FVC                             | 92.40±19.60 (n=82)  | 97.61±10.45 (n=110)  | 0.019   |

**Intubation VATS group**

In the IVATS group, a Mallinckrodt double-lumen endobronchial tube (DLT, Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN) was inserted after induction with propofol, sufentanil, and neuromuscular blockade. Proper tube position was confirmed by flexible fiberoptic bronchoscopy. Protective ventilation strategy was commenced with tidal volumes 5–6 mL/kg and positive end-expiratory pressure 5 cmH$_2$O, with peak pressure of under 30 cmH$_2$O. Patients were normally extubated in the PACU or occasionally remained intubated, then were transferred to the ICU or ward.

**Surgical procedure**

The procedures in NIVATS and IVATS group were similar. Thoracoscopic lobectomy was performed using 3-port VATS, as described by McKenna [19]. One 22Ch chest drainage tube was inserted at the end of the procedure.

**Statistical analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed using JMP, version 9, for Windows (JMP, Cary, NC). The missing data of BMI and preoperative leukocyte, neutrophil, and hemoglobin values were replaced by series mean. A propensity score-matching analysis
was used to minimize the selection bias between the NIVATS and IVATS groups. The propensity score-matching was conducted using R 3.4.0 [20].

Continuous data were presented as the mean ± standard deviations for normal distribution, or as median (lower and upper quartiles) for skewness distribution. Dichotomous data were presented as numbers (%). The differences between the 2 groups were analyzed by the independent samples t test for continuous data and the Mann-Whitney U test was used for dichotomous data and skewed distributed data. The preoperative and postoperative counts of leukocytes, neutrophils, and hemoglobin levels were analyzed by paired t test and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA). The comparisons of drugs used in the PACU and chest radiograph results between the 2 groups were analyzed by Binomial test. P<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

Results

The significant skewed distributed data were preoperative hospital days, blood loss and urine volume. Patient characteristic had no difference between the 2 groups after matching, except for FEV₁/FVC (P=0.019), but it was still in normal range (Table 1).

In the NIVATS group, the number of cases of malignant disease was higher, the operation and anesthesia time was significantly shorter (P<0.01), blood loss was lower, but urine volume was higher (P<0.05), and the lowest SpO₂ was lower but the highest P_{ET}CO₂ was higher (P<0.01) (Table 2).

The NIVATS group had a faster and more stable recovery in the PACU. The postoperative awaking time and PACU stay time were shorter (P<0.01). Although there was no difference in total drugs usage in the PACU between the 2 groups (P>0.05), the use of sedatives, analgesics, and urapidil were lower in the NIVATS group (P<0.05) (Table 3).

The chest radiograph results were significantly different between the 2 groups (P<0.05), and the incidences of pulmonary exudation, atelectasis, and pleural effusion were higher in the NIVATS group (P<0.05) (Table 4).

Counts of leukocyte, neutrophils, and hemoglobin had no difference before and after the operation in each group (P>0.05). Postoperative counts of leukocyte and neutrophils and hemoglobin levels were higher than preoperative values in both groups (P<0.01) (Figure 1). There was no significant difference in postoperative PaO₂ and SaO₂ between the 2 groups (P>0.05), but PaCO₂ was higher and pH was lower in the NIVATS group (P<0.05) (Figure 2).

| Table 2. Intraoperative variables. |
|-----------------------------------|
| Variables                        | IVATS group (n=119) | NIVATS group (n=119) | P value |
| Pathologic diagnosis (N. [%])     |                     |                      | 0.000   |
| Benign/malignant                 | 37 (31.1)/82 (68.9) | 10 (8.4)/109 (91.6)  | 0.000   |
| Primary/metastatic               | 80 (97.6)/2 (2.4)   | 106 (97.2)/3 (2.8)   | 0.487   |
| Surgical location (N. [%])       |                      |                      | 1.000   |
| Left/right lung                  | 44 (37.0)/75 (63.0) | 44 (37.0)/75 (63.0)  | 0.270   |
| The number of lobes involved in surgery (N. [%]) | | | |
| Upper lobe                       | 50 (42.0)           | 57 (47.9)           |         |
| Middle lobe                      | 7 (5.9)             | 7 (5.9)             |         |
| Lower lobe                       | 53 (44.5)           | 50 (42.0)           |         |
| Two lobes                        | 9 (7.6)             | 5 (4.2)             |         |
| Operative time (min)             | 217.64±59.71        | 175.63±55.67        | 0.000   |
| Anesthesia time (min)            | 301.87±63.69        | 250.63±55.67        | 0.000   |
| Blood loss (ml)                  | 100 (50, 300)       | 50 (30, 120)        | 0.000   |
| The amount of liquid infusion (ml)| 1822.29±536.64      | 2105.04±520.24      | 0.000   |
| Urine volume (ml)                | 700 (488, 1000)     | 850 (700, 1000)     | 0.002   |
| The lowest intraoperative SpO₂ (%)| 99.10±1.75         | 97.12±3.19         | 0.000   |
| The highest intraoperative P_{ET}CO₂ (mmHg)| 37.93±3.50   | 48.02±8.90         | 0.000   |

Anesthesia time began from the first electronically monitored observation in the operation room to until the time the patient was transferred to PACU. Operative time was comprised between skin incision and completion of skin closure.
This was a retrospective study comparing the specific peri-operative conditions of NIVATS under spontaneous breathing with those of IVATS under one-lung ventilation. Our results suggest that NIVATS has a faster and more stable recovery in the PACU, which has not been reported before. NIVATS had no significant influence on oxygenation during the operation but resulted in more atelectasis or exudation in postoperative chest radiograph results.

Table 3. Early recovery in Post-Anesthesia Care Unit.

| Variables                           | IVATS group (n=119) | NIVATS group (n=119) | P value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Extubation time (min)               | 30.92±9.07 (n=119)  | 20.38±6.28 (n=13)    | 0.000   |
| (Double-lumen tube)                 |                     | (Laryngeal mask)     |         |
| Post-operative awaking time (min)   | 46.89±10.54         | 21.48±6.74           | 0.000   |
| Vital signs before leaving PACU     |                     |                      |         |
| HR (bpm)                            | 81.77±10.96         | 79.71±10.44          | 0.139   |
| MAP (mmHg)                          | 81.63±12.29         | 78.51±12.35          | 0.052   |
| SpO₂ (%)                            | 99.13±0.82          | 99.24±0.83           | 0.310   |
| Drugs usage in PACU (N, [%])        |                     |                      |         |
| Neostigmine + atropine              | 82 (68.9)           | 0 (0.0)              | 0.142   |
| Sedatives (midazolam, propofol)     | 27 (22.7)           | 14 (11.8)            | 0.000   |
| Analgesics (tramadol, opioids, NSAIDs) | 47 (39.5)       | 24 (20.2)            | 0.002   |
| Naloxone                            | 2 (1.7)             | 3 (2.5)              | 0.000   |
| Flumazenil                          | 0 (0.0)             | 4 (3.4)              | 0.330   |
| Urapidil                            | 17 (14.3)           | 6 (5.0)              | 0.001   |
| Staying in PACU time (min)          | 61.60±10.27         | 37.24±7.27           | 0.000   |

Staying in PACU time was defined as the time from arrival in the PACU until the patient was discharged to the ward.

Table 4. Postoperative chest radiography results.

| Variables                           | IVATS group (n=119) | NIVATS group (n=119) | P value |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Chest radiograph results (N, [%])   |                     |                      |         |
| Normal                              | 95 (79.8)           | 78 (65.5)            | 0.009   |
| Pulmonary exudation                 | 11 (9.2)            | 18 (15.1)            | 0.025   |
| Atelectasis                         | 3 (2.5)             | 19 (16.0)            | 0.000   |
| Pleural effusion                    | 2 (1.7)             | 0                    | 0.000   |
| Pneumonitis                         | 3 (2.5)             | 2 (1.7)              | 0.426   |
| Two or more kinds of results        | 5 (4.2)             | 2 (1.7)              | 0.119   |

Discussion

This was a retrospective study comparing the specific peri-operative conditions of NIVATS under spontaneous breathing with those of IVATS under one-lung ventilation. Our results suggest that NIVATS has a faster and more stable recovery in the PACU,
patients received sedatives, analgesics, and urapidil, possibly
NIVATS group had a faster and more stable recovery, and fewer
application of non-intubated VATS may evolve into an ERAS pro-
active PaO$_2$ was much higher than those in IVATS, the similar postopera-
tion through the chest tube at the end of the procedure, in the
ated complications, consequently shortening the operative time,
NIVATS is performed more often in malignant disease, but the
in intubation, in which the lung is directly inflated through an
dentine ratio of primary and metastatic diseases was the
we found a low incidence of atelectasis and earlier removal of
fenestration, and pleurodesis [12]. Therefore, their studies
found non-pulmonary surgeries such as pleural biopsy, heart
eference may primarily account for this discrepancy. We mainly
in pleural effusion were larger in the NIVATS group, while Klijian and Tacconi argued that spontaneous breathing
reduction of the 2 groups suggest that NIVATS had
Enhance Recovery after Surgery (ERAS) has been proposed and
Our results suggest that application of non-intubated VATS may evolve into an ERAS pro-
the PACU, patients in the NIVATS group had a faster and more stable recovery, and fewer
due to the use of epidural anesthesia in 97% of patients. NIVATS
excludes tracheal intubation, one-lung ventilation, and muscle
and secondary infection caused by atelectasis might result in exudation. All these factors can
increase the incidence of postoperative atelectasis and exu-
dation. More chest radiography complications can lead to de-
the use of epidural anesthesia in 97% of patients. NIVATS
excludes tracheal intubation, one-lung ventilation, and muscle
paralysis; therefore, intraoperative spontaneous ventilation is
preserved and unnecessary deep general anesthesia is avoided,
so a much shorter surgery and anesthesia time becomes possible.
Furthermore, patients in the NIVATS group regained conscious-
ness earlier and most of them had stable hemodynamics. The
mechanism of iatrogenic pneumothorax via small intercostal
incision VATS under spontaneous breathing is more physiological
than that via one-lung ventilation, and results in less lung in-
flammation and stress [28]. Consequently, there is faster and
improved early recovery and outcome in the PACU.

We found that the proportions of atelectasis, pulmonary exu-
dation, and pleural effusion were larger in the NIVATS group,
and pleurodesis [12]. Therefore, their studies
found a low incidence of atelectasis and earlier removal of
chest tubes. In addition, using the mask or laryngeal mask to
inflate the lung in NIVATS may also contribute to higher inci-
dence of atelectasis. Lung expansion is not as sufficient as that
in intubation, in which the lung is directly inflated through an
endotracheal-tube. Furthermore, secondary infection caused by
atelectasis might result in exudation. All these factors can
increase the incidence of postoperative atelectasis and exu-
dation. More chest radiography complications can lead to de-
layed chest tube extraction. To avoid atelectasis, surgeons tried
to re-expand the collapsed lung with negative-pressure suc-
tion through the chest tube at the end of the procedure, in the
PACU, and in the ward.

As this is a retrospective study, several limitations need to be
mentioned. First, this is a single-center study with inevitable se-
lection bias. We attempted to offset the selection bias through
propensity-matching. Second, it is difficult to judge whether

| Figure 2. Changes in postoperative blood gas values in IVATS group and NIVATS group. * P values shown are for the comparison between IVATS group and NIVATS group after the operation. |
the epidural anesthesia or the spontaneous breathing can improve recovery, but we are sure that spontaneous breathing under epidural anesthesia improves the recovery more than intubation does. Third, the fact that the 2 groups had surgery in different time periods may have affected the results. Other factors, such as general improvement in the use of VATS and improved postoperative care over time may have contributed to the difference in outcomes between NIVATS and IVATS. However, we matched the basic patient characteristics and observed the same type of operation in a single center to reduce the influence. Moreover, similar studies should avoid the period when both techniques are being used, as this is a transition period, and there could be a bias in play whereby more complex patients received the older approach while the less sick patients getting the newer approach. Fourth, we just retrospectively recorded the analgesic usage in the PACU, while the comparison of the pain scores in recovery was ignored, which limited our ability to assess postoperative pain. We hope to improve this observation in prospective clinical trials.
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Conclusions

NIVATS results in a faster and more stable recovery with less analgesic use in the PACU. NIVATS has no significant influence on oxygenation during the operation but resulted in more atelectasis in postoperative chest radiography, which should be promptly dealt with after the operation. A further evaluation in multi-center, prospective, and large-cohort clinical trial is required on different effects of specific anesthesia methods.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for advice from Professor John Laffey on how to collect the medical data. We also thank Dr Xiaotao Zhen and Dr Chongyang Duan for help with imaging diagnosis and statistics.

Conflicts of interest

None.