The stress analysis in electric poles with CFRP to prevent the consecutive demolishing from car accidents

Suchat Suvimolwan and Atavit Sujaritpong

1 Civil Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, King Mongkut’s Institute of Technology Ladkrabang, Bangkok, Thailand

Abstract. In statistically accidental reports, we could find progressive collapse of prestressed concrete electric poles from car accident, this is due to the poor properties of concrete which limits the impact resistance, the failure will therefore occur in the position of impact where maximum stress is presented near the base. The weight of broken concrete at above the failure position will cause the impact force to the adjacent electric poles, resulting in consecutive damage and increase the tensile force in electric cables. This research will emphasize repositioning of failure at pole base by application of CFRP material. Finite element analysis will be introduced to analyse the thickness of CFRP in order to control the desired point of failure. The result can be a guideline to prevent the consecutive demolishing for the existing electric poles later on.

1 Introduction

Generally, the manufacturing of electric pole according to the Metropolitan Electricity Authority’s standard by concrete has been using as the main material for long, this is because concrete can be casted to shapes and sizes as desired including when considering cost and strength properties. However, due to the limitation of concrete itself especially flexural strength and brittle property of concrete are weak, we therefore can see many cases of consecutive broken down which occurred by the accidental from car crash.

Based on the incidents, this showed the strength of concrete is still not strong enough for the damage of electric poles. The consecutive broken down of electric poles mostly occurred at the position near by the pole base which highest moment was achieved. The tension force by weight of broken poles from the car crash was transferred thru the cable and to the next the poles at the top part, this caused the progressive broken to numbers of poles.

2 The failure of concrete poles, CFRP and related materials

2.1. The failure of concrete poles

The failure of concrete pole will occur in the position of impact where maximum stress is presented near the base. The concrete weight above the failure position will cause the impact force to the adjacent electric poles, resulting in consecutive damage and increase the tensile force in electric cables. To minimize the weight beyond the failure position is therefore considered to decrease these impact forces to the adjacent poles and may present the consecutive damage consequently.

2.2 Resulting of bending moment from the tensile force thru the cable

The resistance to the bending moment of concrete pole which installed cables and various accessories can be explained in Eq.1.

\[ \text{B.M.} = \text{F x S} \] (1)

Where B.M. is bending moment, F is tensile force and S is the distance of tensile force to the ground base.

Fig. 1. Position of force from the cable
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2.3 Pre-stressed electric concrete poles

Based on the Metropolitan Electricity Authority’s standard concrete electric poles has been manufactured in different heights with desired strength and bending moment for various functions. Table 1 is shown 4 main materials of concrete poles with the details of tensile strength as following.

| Materials       | Strength of Materials | Units |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------|
| Concrete        | 450 kgf/cm²           |       |
| Pre-stressed Wires | 12,500 kgf/cm²       |       |
| Stirrup Steel   | 2,400 kgf/cm²         |       |
| CFRP Fabric     | 35,500 kgf/cm²        |       |

In this study, the concrete poles height of 12m. with the bending moment of 3.5T-m., 12m. with bending moment of 5T-m. and 12.35m. with bending moment of 6.5T-m. will be used as the models in Finite Element Analysis, these 3 models will be called 12GW, 12m and 12.35m respectively in this study.

2.4 CFRP materials and properties

The prevention of consecutive damage in electric poles can be achieved by the strengthening with the application of Carbon Fibre Reinforced Plastic (CFRP), unidirectional fibre fabric type is considered to use due to the reason of high strength of CFRP material, easily to wrap the shapes of concrete poles and the cost is also reasonable when compared to other types.

| Properties       | CFRP 200g/m² | CFRP 230g/m² | CFRP 300g/m² | CFRP 530g/m² |
|------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Design Thickness | 0.11         | 0.13         | 0.17         | 0.29         |
| Ultimate Elongation | 2.10%       |              |              |              |
| Tensile strength | 35,500 kgf/cm² | “Design Value” |               |
| Tensile E-Modulus | 2.35x10⁶ kgf/cm² | “Design Value” |               |

Wrapping the concrete poles with CFRP is to strengthen and to increase the ductility of the concrete poles where the maximum stress is expected. The height of wrapping will be desired as the position of cracking control for the selected concrete poles. Thickness of 0.13mm, 0.17mm, 0.26mm and 0.34mm by CFRP will be applied in each 3 models for FEM analysis, this is for the total of 12 models plus 3 reference models without CFRP wrapping. The properties and details of CFRP Materials are given in Table 2.

2.5 Concrete footing at the ground base

The analysis will also consider the practical of CFRP installation with the existing concrete poles, as a result the concrete footing will be applied and casted after CFRP wrapping, the desired position is at the ground level which is 30cm. deep down and upward from this ground base. The thickness of concrete footing will be 2 times of the section areas of pole at the base, this is also to avoid the stress occurred under the ground base and to be practical for on-site installation of CFRP.

3 Modelling and Analysis by FEM

In this paper the finite element analysis will be introduced to analyse which materials will take the maximum stress as well the safety factor ratio of compressive strength in concrete on the compression zone, including the analysis on the thickness of CFRP wrapping in order to control the desired position of failure.

15 models will be created in Inventor program including reference models without CFRP, the solid body of models will consist of Concrete, Pre-stressed Wires, Stirrup Steel, CFRP Fabric and Concrete Footing at the ground level which require different menu in Inventor program, the thickness of CFRP were built in 4 types in between of 0.13mm until 2.8mm related to the result analysis required on each models as shown in Fig. 2 and 3.
Table 3 is shown the details of concrete poles, uniform loads, wrapping position, tensile forces and base level used with models in FEM analysis.

| Concrete Poles | Uniform Load 1 and 2 (Mpa) | CFRP Wrapping (m.) | Force (Wrap & Unwrapped) x Factor 2.0 (N) | Base Level (m.) |
|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 12GW (3.5T-m)  | 5.87                      | 6.05               | 11,541.18                                | 1.75            |
|                | 14.12                     |                    | 6,699.51                                  |                 |
| 12m. (5T-m)    | 7.34                      | 6.00               | 16,350.00                                 |                 |
|                | 17.64                     |                    | 9,570.73                                  |                 |
| 12.35m. (6.5T-m)| 9.27                      | 5.30               | 18,089.36                                 | 2.10            |
|                | 23.45                     |                    | 12,441.95                                 |                 |

The installation of CFRP are based on the standard procedure recommended by manufacturer with the special adhesives in reality, direction of fibre will be parallel to the height of poles in order to take on the action of tensile force transferred thru the cables from the broken poles.

5. Analysis results

The analysis was run by ANSYS program for all poles models, the deformation results of unwrapped are 27, 30, 20cm for 12GW, 12m and 12.35m respectively. Fig.4 is shown the contour of deformation of the FEM analysis.

Fig. 4. Deformation contour from FEM Analysis of Models

The analysis result by FEM program on the wrapped model of 12m. (3.5T-m) gave the deformation value of 42, 41, 40 and 39cm which was slightly decreased when thickness was increasing but higher than unwrapped. While the maximum stress are 699, 675, 653 and 647 MPa and mainly occurred in CFRP materials, these stress were just 19% of CFRP’s tensile strength, resulting by the thickness of 0.34mm, 0.51mm, 1.2mm and 1.4mm respectively. The details are shown in Fig. 5.

Fig. 5. Stress and Deformation Analysis of 12m. (BM 3.5T-m)

Considering the ratio of safety factor in compression zone, this analysis showed the potential of failure position on the height of poles, the result showed that increasing thickness of CFRP on the models could shift up the safety factor value at the poles base to be 1.05 while values at the position beyond the wrapping were shifted down below 1. This result showed that the thickness at 1.4mm is the most effective and safety for both deformation and prevention failure at the pole base. Fig. 6 is shown the relationship of safety factor ratio and the height of models.

Fig. 6. Graph of Safety Factor Analysis of 12m. (3.5T-m)

The analysis result of 12m. (5T-m) by FEM program is shown is Fig.7. The result gave the deformation value of 44, 43, 42 and 42 cm which were not much different when
thickness was increasing. While the maximum stress showed some decrease from 615, 602, 597 to 586 MPa and mainly occurred in CFRP materials, these stress were just 17% of CFRP’s tensile strength, resulting by the thickness of 0.78mm, 1.0mm, 1.2mm and 1.6mm respectively.

Fig. 8. Safety Factor Analysis of 12m. (BM 5T-m)

The analysis of safety factor in compression zone on 12m. (BM 5T-m) were started with CFRP at 0.78mm thickness which result showed the failure at the ground level, increasing thickness to 1.0mm, 1.2mm and 1.6mm were introduced with the pole models, and finally at 1.6mm could give the best result which shifted up the safety factor higher than 1 while the values at 6m were shifted down below 1. Fig. 8 is shown the safety factor ratio based on the height of models.

Fig. 9. Stress and Deformation Analysis of 12.35m.

The analysis result by FEM on model of 12.35m. (6.5T-m) gave the deformation value at 36, 34 and 33cm for the different of CFRP thickness. While the maximum stress are 671, 655, 499 and 517 MPa and mainly occurred in CFRP, these stress were just 18.5% of CFRP’s tensile strength resulting by the thickness of 1.2mm, 1.4mm, 2.4mm and 2.8mm respectively as shown in Fig. 9.

While the post-cracking by safety factor analysis of 12.35m pole model, the FEM analysis gave the similar result to the others. CFRP at 1.2mm thickness was introduced as the 1st model for the analysis, the result showed the failure at the ground base, therefore 3 more thickness of 1.4mm, 2.4mm and 2.8mm were built. CFRP at 2.4mm could give the safety factor higher than 1 at ground base while it shifted down the value below 1 at the position above the wrapping of CFRP. Fig. 10 is shown the relationship of safety factor and height of pole model.

Fig. 10. Safety Factor Analysis of 12.35m. (BM 6.5 T-m)

5. Conclusion

The analysis result by FEM program from the application of CFRP material showed the prevention of failure at the poles base with the effective thickness of 1.4mm, 1.6mm, and 2.4mm, and the result of the maximum stress occurred at CFRP materials instead of rebar or concrete while the maximum stress values are at 19%, 17% and 18.5% for the models of 12GW, 12m. and 12.35m. respectively, these are still within the tensile strength of CFRP material. Considering the position of post-cracking in concrete poles which were analysed by the ratio of safety factor at the compression zones, the result of wrapped poles with CFRP could give the higher values than 1 at the ground base, while the results at above wrapping position was below 1, this could prove that the stress to break down the poles, moved up beyond the position of wrapping areas as per the desired position.

Therefore this study showed the improvement successfully to strengthen and prevent the consecutive demolishing for the electric concrete poles by CFRP material which were analysed by FEM.
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