Comparison between Lipofectamine RNAiMAX and GenMute transfection agents in two cellular models of human hepatoma
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Abstract

RNA interference is a powerful approach to understand gene function both for therapeutic and experimental purposes. Since the lack of knowledge in the gene silencing of various hepatic cell lines, this work was aimed to compare two transfection agents, the liposome-based Lipofectamine™ RNAiMAX and the HepG2-specific, polymer-based GenMute™, in two cellular models of human hepatoma, HepG2 and Huh7.5. In the first part, we assessed transfection efficiency of a fluorescent Cy3-labeled negative control siRNA by cell imaging analysis; we found that cells treated with GenMute present a higher uptake of the fluorescent negative control siRNA when compared to Lipofectamine RNAiMAX-trafficked cells, both in HepG2 and in Huh7.5 cells. In the second part, we evaluated GAPDH silencing with the two transfection reagents by RT-PCR finding similar GAPDH mRNA expression after each transfection treatment. Finally, we measured cell viability by the MTT assay, observing that cells transfected with GenMute have a higher viability with respect to Lipofectamine RNAiMAX-administered cells. These results suggest that GenMute reagent might be considered the most suitable transfection agent for hepatic gene silencing.

Introduction

Gene silencing mediated by RNA interference (RNAi) is a broadly used approach for both molecular studies and therapy.1 RNAi is a biological process mediated by double-stranded RNA,2 referred as small non-coding RNAs (20-30 nucleotides), such as short interfering RNAs (siRNAs).3 The administered siRNAs face several difficulties in reaching their target: they have to pass cellular membranes,4 and to avoid enzymatic5 or immune-mediated6 degradation. To overcome these obstacles, the use of nanoparticles is considered the best choice to deliver siRNAs. According to the material used, nanoparticles are subdivided in inorganic, organic, viral or hybrid nanoparticles. Among the organic nanoparticles, there are micelles, liposome, protein-based carriers, dendrimers, polymers and cyclodextrins.7

The delivery systems considered in this study are the liposome-based Lipofectamine™ RNAI MAX from Thermofisher Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA) and GenMute™ from SignaGen (Gaithersburg, MD, USA). The lipofectamine system is based on cationic lipid formulation and it is one of the most used transfection reagents, because of its efficacy on a wide range of cell types. The basic structure of cationic lipids consists of a phospholipid bilayer, with a positively charged head group and one or two hydrocarbon tails. The inner polar head creates electrostatic interactions with the phosphate backbone of the nucleic acid. The positive surface charge of the liposomes allows their endocytosis through the negatively charged cell membrane. According to the chemical structure of the head and tail groups, the efficiency and the toxicity of the liposomes can vary: weakly hypopholic head and long alkyl chains improved transfection efficiency, but are more toxic than neutral liposomes.8,9 On the contrary, GenMute is a novel non-liposomal delivery system; GenMute is sold in different versions optimized for various cell lines including hepatoma. It consists in a biodegradable polymer chemically adapted with defined hydrophobic groups, to induce pH-dependent conformational changes at physiological pH. These arrangements enable a strong stabilization of siRNA complex and guarantee high-rate intracellular delivery.

Considering that there is not much literature on the comparison of RNAi transfection technologies in hepatoma cells, this study had two goals: the first one was to compare two different delivery systems in two hepatoma cell lines of human origin (HepG2 and Huh7.5) by means of a fluorescent dye uptake methodology; the second one was to setup GAPDH gene silencing in the same cell cultures using both transfecting agents.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

HepG2 cells were grown in Minimum Essential Medium EAGLE, plus 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), 1% glutamine, 1% sodium pyruvate and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (penicillin, streptomycin and amphotericin B).

Huh7.5 cells were grown in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% Non Essential Amino Acids and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (penicillin, streptomycin and amphotericin B). All cells were cultured at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Cy3-labeled siRNA uptake

HepG2 and Huh7.5 cells were cultured in 96-well plate at 50.000 cells/well for 24 h in their culture medium prior to the experiment. On the day of transfection, cells were washed once with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and the culture medium was added with the transfection reagents (Lipofectamine™ RNAI MAX, Cat#: 13778-100; or GenMute™, Cat#: SL-100568-HepG2) plus 5, 10 or 20 nM of the Silencer® Cy3-labeled negative control siRNA (Cy3-siRNA) (Cat#: AM4621).

For Lipofectamine RNAiMAX, the culture medium was replaced by 100 µl of Opti-MEM (1X) plus GlutaMAX and 5% fetal bovine serum just before the Lipofectamine RNAiMAX reagent addi-
tion. Both the reagent and the Cy3-siRNA at different concentrations were diluted 1:1 in serum-free Opti-MEM and incubated for 5 min at room temperature, prior to be added to each well.

For GenMute treatment, cells were incubated in 100 µL of fresh culture medium 30 min before the transfection. For GenMute reagent preparation, once the transfection buffer has been diluted, it was incubated with the different concentrations of Cy3-siRNA for 15 min at room temperature and, then, added to each well. After 5 and 24 h of incubation at 37°C and 5% CO2, cells were washed once with PBS. Nuclei were incubated for 15 min with 1 µg/µL of the fluorescent DNA probe Hoechst 33342 (Thermofisher Scientific). Then, cells were washed once with PBS and observed by means of the fluorescent cell imager ZOE (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA, USA). Images were analyzed by ImageJ software, using the subtraction background method.

**GAPDH silencing**

HepG2 and Huh7.5 cells were seeded in 6-well plate at 2.5-3 × 10⁵ cells/well for 24 h in their respective culture medium before the experiments. The silencer Select GAPDH siRNA (Cat#: 4390843) and the Silencer Select Negative Control #1 siRNA (Cat#: 4390843) (both at 10 nM) were transfected by using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX Transfection Reagent or GenMute for HepG2, as previously described.

**RT-PCR**

After 24 and 48 h, total RNA was isolated from HepG2 and Huh7.5 cells with TRI reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), following the Chomczynski method. RNA was quantified by measuring the absorbance at 260/280 nm with T92+ UV Spectrophotometer. The cDNA was generated using iScript Supermix (Bio-Rad). The qPCR reactions were performed by CFX96TM Real-Time System (Bio-Rad) using 5 µL of SsoAdvanced™ SYBR® Green Supermix (Bio-Rad), 0.5 µL of each oligonucleotide primer (10 pmol/µL) and 1 µL of cDNA (2.5 ng/µL) to reach a final volume of 10 µL/well. GAPDH, USP28, TUBA1A and RPS9 gene amplification efficiencies were established by means of calibration curves (100.1%, 103.8%, 181.5%, 110.8%, respectively). The expression of the reference genes remained constant in the considered experimental groups. The amplicon context sequence of the primers (Bio-Rad) are reported in Table 1. The results were normalized to the endogenous controls, and fold change of the gene expression was calculated using threshold cycle (Ct) values.

**Cell viability**

Viability of HepG2 and Huh7.5 cells transfected with GAPDH siRNA or negative control siRNA by either Lipofectamine RNAiMAX or GenMute as previously described was assayed by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. Cells were washed once with PBS and 100 µL of serum-free medium containing MTT 1.2 mM was added to each well. For negative control, 4 µL of Tryton X 25% were added to three selected wells prior to the treatment with MTT. After 2 h of incubation at 37°C at 5% CO2, formazan crystals were dissolved in 100 µL of DMSO. The absorbance was measured at 540 nm by means of a microplate spectrophotometer.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed by means of R Statistical software (ver. 3.5.2) and the graphical interface R Studio (ver. 1.0.143). Parametric data were analyzed by
Student t-test or Tukey’s HSD Test. Non-parametric data were analyzed by Welch’s test, Wilcoxon’s Test or Dunn’s Test.

Results

Cy3-labeled negative control siRNA transfection

In both cell lines, a concentration-dependent uptake in Cy3-labeled negative control siRNA uptake occurred (Figure 1). After 5 h of incubation, using Lipofectamine RNAiMAX in HepG2 cells, the ratio Cy3/Hoe was significantly higher between the Cy3-siRNA treated cells and the control (Figure 1A), and the significance increased after 24 h (Figure 1C). We assisted to a significant increase in Cy3-siRNA uptake also using GenMute, at 5 (Figure 1B) and especially at 24 h of treatment respect with controls (Figure 1D). Comparing the data obtained from the transfection with the two reagents, we observed that the Cy3/Hoe ratio was more consistent using GenMute rather than Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Figure 2). In fact, the GenMute-mediated siRNA uptake was significantly higher exclusively in the 5-h treatment with Cy3-siRNA at both 10 and 20 nM (P≤0.005 and P≤0.0105, respectively); at 24 h the fluorescent signal of GenMute was 2-fold higher at 10 nM and at 20 nM when compared to the respective concentrations for Lipofectamine RNAiMAX, although the difference was not significant (Figure 2).

In Huh7.5 cells, Lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfection was significant at 5 h only for 20 nM Cy3-siRNA (Figure 3A), while at 24 h became significant also at 5 and 10 nM (Figure 3C). The transfection mediated by GenMute was significantly higher for all Cy3-siRNA concentrations at both 5 h (Figure 3B) and 24 h (Figure 3D) with respect to the relative controls, while a time-dependent increase in the transfection levels increased. Comparing the data of the two transfectants, we found that in cells treated with GenMute the Cy3/Hoe ratio was more consistent than in cells treated with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX. In fact, at all Cy3-siRNA concentrations the uptake of fluorescent probe was significantly higher using GenMute transfection when compared to Lipofectamine RNAiMAX (Figure 4).

GAPDH silencing

In HepG2 cells, GAPDH silencing obtained by using GenMute was significant with respect to its relative control only after 24 h of treatment with the transfection agent (Figure 5A). On the contrary, GAPDH was significantly silenced with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX after 48 h of siRNAs administration (Figure 5B). Comparing the data obtained by the two reagents, we found that, at 48 h, the silencing mediated by Lipofectamine RNAiMAX was significantly higher respect to that with GenMute (Figure 6).

In Huh7.5 cells, GAPDH mRNA expression was reduced after 24 and 48 h with both transfectants (Figure 7). Differently from what observed in HepG2 silencing, no significant differences were found comparing the two reagents in Huh7.5 cells (Figure 8).

Figure 2. Direct comparison among Cy3 uptake in HepG2 cells treated with the transcfecting agents Lipofectamine RNAiMAX and GenMute. Graphical representation after 5 h (A) and 24 h (C) of incubation and respective cell imaging (B-D). Values are expressed as arithmetic mean ± SE.

Figure 3. Cy3-labeled siRNA uptake by Huh7.5 cells after treatment with transcfecting agents for 5 or 24 h. A) Lipofectamine RNAiMAX treatment for 5 h; B) GenMute treatment for 5 h; C) Lipofectamine RNAiMAX treatment for 24 h; D) GenMute treatment for 24 h. Values are expressed as arithmetic mean ± SE.
Cell viability

After 24 h of either GAPDH or negative control siRNA incubation, cell viability in HepG2 cells was significantly lower in Lipofectamine RNAiMAX treated cells as compared to both GenMute transfected or control cells seeded with EAGLE medium (Figure 9A). After 48 h, the differences among groups were smoothed, even though the negative control siRNA transfected by GenMute displayed a significantly lower viability respect to negative control siRNA transfected by Lipofectamine RNAiMAX and the control cells grown in EAGLE medium (Figure 9B). In Huh7.5 cells, viability was significantly reduced by Lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfection when compared to GenMute or control cells seeded with DMEM medium after 24 h (Figure 9C) and 48 h (Figure 9D).

Discussion

In our first experiments, we compared the transfection efficiency in two immortalized hepatoma cell lines, HepG2 and Huh7.5 cells, by means of two transfection reagents, Lipofectamine RNAiMAX and GenMute. We observed that in both cell lines Cy3-siRNA uptake was significantly greater when using GenMute treatment with respect to Lipofectamine RNAiMAX. Several studies demonstrated that the presence of lateral chains on the vector correlates with a major transfection efficiency, since much more interactions between siRNA molecules and the vector can occur. For this reason, the possibility that siRNA is “unloaded” from the nanoparticle and, consequently, more susceptible to nuclease degradation is reduced. Moreover, the changes in carrier lateral groups represent a good strategy for the formulation of compounds released to specific cell targets. However, siRNA uptake mediated by Lipofectamine RNAiMAX was greater in Huh7.5 cells than in HepG2 cells. These data confirm the results recently published by Wang et al. according to which the transfection with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX in Huh7.5 was superior than in HepG2 cells (46.12% versus 24.32%, respectively). As to GenMute, our data confirm that the transfection is more efficient for HepG2 cells, for which the reagent is specifically designed.

To assess the extent of mRNA silencing mediated by the two transfection reagents, we used the endogenous model gene GAPDH. A decrease in GAPDH mRNA expression was found in hepatoma cell lines with both transfectants when treated with GAPDH siRNA as compared to the non-silenced control. Except for HepG2 cells after 48 h of transfection, we did not observe any appreciable differences when comparing Lipofectamine RNAiMAX and GenMute silencing efficiency. For this comparison, we used a mild concentration of GAPDH siRNA to avoid any effects on cellular viability. In fact, GAPDH is considered a potential therapeutic target since its suppression by antagonists and inhibitors is associated to cell proliferation arrest. Since we had found that GenMute transfected Cy3-siRNA with a significantly higher efficiency, we expected to find a difference with regards to silencing. On the contrary, a correlation between Cy3 transfection efficiency and GAPDH silencing was not found. In our opinion, the concentration of GAPDH siRNA used in GAPDH silencing experiments (10 nM) was higher enough to deliver saturating amounts of siRNA with both the transfecting agents; consequently, no difference was found in GAPDH silencing. This “saturating effect” was not visible for Cy3 delivery because the fluorescence signal is linear in a wide range of intensity.

After evaluating the transfectant cytotoxicity by MTT assay, we found that cell viability was greater in GenMute treated cells as compared to Lipofectamine RNAiMAX at 24 h. It has been recently shown a correlation between transfection efficiency and cell viability: the higher is the transfection, the higher is the cytotoxicity. According to Neuhaus and collaborators, it occurs independently to the transfectant used, while Wang et al. observe that it depends on cell type. Although the presence of lateral groups is associated to greater transfection efficiency, it appears to be harmful for cell viability. In our work, however, we did not find this correlation. Moreover, following manufacturers'
instructions, GenMute-mediated transfection is performed in the “physiological”/suitable culture medium of the cells, while Lipofectamine RNAiMAX works in a non-physiological medium, Opti-MEM plus GlutaMAX, which requires a reduced serum percentage.

In summary, i) GenMute-mediated Cy3-siRNA uptake was higher than Lipofectamine RNAiMAX; ii) GenMute-GAPDH silencing is comparable to that observe with the “gold standard” Lipofectamine RNAiMAX; and iii) viability in GenMute treated cells was greater than in Lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfected cells. Moreover, GenMute is cheaper than Lipofectamine RNAiMAX: not only for the transfection reagent itself, but also for the specific medium required by Lipofectamine RNAiMAX transfection.

In conclusion, in hepatocellular culture, we support the use of GenMute in comparison with Lipofectamine RNAiMAX to obtain a good viability and a satisfactory uptake of transfection agent during gene silencing.
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