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Abstract

We prove that the group $PSL_8(q)$ is (2, 3)-generated for any $q$. Actually, we find out explicit generators $x$ and $y$ of respective orders 2 and 3, for the group $SL_8(q)$.
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1. Introduction. A group $G$ is said to be (2, 3)-generated if it can be generated by an involution and an element of order 3. It is well known that the famous modular group $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to the free product of a cyclic group of order 2 and a cyclic group of order 3 and thus a group (of order at least 6) appears as an epimorphic image of $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ if and only if it is (2,3)-generated group. Many series of finite simple groups have been investigated with respect to this generation property. Almost all of them are (2,3)-generated. The most powerful (probabilistic) result in this direction is the theorem of Liebeck-Shalev and Lübeck-Malle (see Theorem 2 in [14]). This theorem states that all finite simple groups, except the symplectic groups $PSp_4(2^k)$, $PSp_4(3^k)$, the Suzuki groups $Sz(2^{2l+1})$ (which have no elements of order 3), and finitely many other groups, are (2,3)-generated. All these so far known other exceptions, for groups of Lie type, occur in small dimensions over small fields. In more recent works ([12], [11], [10]) the authors have dealt with the classification of the finite classical simple groups of dimension up to 6 which are (2,3)-generated. They use uniform arguments to treat these groups. As far as it concerns the projective special linear groups $PSL_n(q)$, (2,3)-generation has been proved in the cases $n = 2$, $q \neq 9$ [8], $n = 3$, $q \neq 4$ [5,2], $n = 4$, $q \neq 2$ [14], [13], [9], [12], $n = 5$, any $q$ [19], [11], $n = 6$, any $q$ [18], $n = 7$, any $q$ [17], $n \geq 5$, odd $q \neq 9$ [3], [4], and $n \geq 13$, any $q$ [13]. The present paper continues the investigation of the finite simple linear groups in small dimensions. We prove the following:

Theorem. The group $PSL_n(q)$ is (2,3)-generated for any $q$.

Thus we covered the missing in [4] cases $q = 9$ and $q$ even which is our contribution to the problem.

To prove the theorem, we shall employ the same technique developed by Kerope Bartev Tchakerian who passed away in 2012. This technique, which has been used in [9], [19], [13] and [17], relies on the known list of maximal subgroups of $PSL_n(q)$ and it is quite different from that of the authors of [4]. Their approach is based on the
classification of finite irreducible linear groups generated by root subgroups.

The paper is dedicated to the bright memory of our great teacher and friend Prof. Tchakerian.

2. Proof of the Theorem. Let \( G = SL_8(q) \) and \( \overline{G} = G/Z(G) = PSL_8(q) \), where \( q = p^m \) and \( p \) is a prime number. Set \( d = (8, q - 1) \). The group \( G \) acts (naturally) on the left on the eight-dimensional column vector space \( V = F^8 \) over the field \( F = GF(q) \) and \( \overline{G} \) acts on the corresponding projective space \( P(V) \). We denote by \( v_1, \ldots, v_8 \) the standard base of the space \( V \), i.e. \( v_i \) is a column which has 1 as its \( i \)-th coordinate, while all other coordinates are zeros.

We shall make use of the known list of maximal subgroups of \( G \) given in [1]. In Aschbacher’s notation any maximal subgroup of \( G \) belongs to one of the following families \( C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_8 \), and \( S \). Roughly speaking, they are:

- \( C_1 \): stabilizers of subspaces of \( P(V) \),
- \( C_2 \): stabilizers of direct sum decompositions of \( P(V) \),
- \( C_3 \): stabilizers of extension fields of \( F \) of prime degree,
- \( C_4 \): stabilizers of tensor product decompositions of \( P(V) \),
- \( C_5 \): stabilizers of subfields of \( F \) of prime index,
- \( C_6 \): normalizers of extraspecial groups in absolutely irreducible representations,
- \( C_8 \): classical subgroups,
- \( S \): almost simple subgroups, absolutely irreducible on \( P(V) \), and their simple base can not be realized over proper subfield of \( F \); not continued in members of \( C_8 \).

In [1] all maximal subgroups of \( G \) are given in detail with their exact structure. For instance, the stabilizers of 1-subspaces or hyperplanes of \( P(V) \) (in the family \( C_1 \) of reducible subgroups of \( G \)) are isomorphic to the group \( [q^7] : GL_7(q) / Z_4 \) which is homomorphic image of a split extension of a group of order \( q^7 \) by the general linear group \( GL_7(q) \). This type maximal subgroups play key role in our considerations.

In order to prove the theorem we have accepted the following well working (at least for linear groups of dimension \( \leq 12 \)) strategy. First we are looking for a maximal subgroup of \( G \) containing an element of unique order - no one of the other types maximal subgroups of \( G \) can contain elements of such an order. Into the second step we find out two elements of respective orders 2 and 3 in \( G \) such that their product has got this unique order. Finally, we prove that the group generated by these two elements is not contained in the corresponding type maximal subgroup of \( G \). In our case it is not difficult to choose such an order (with small specific correction, so that to cover almost all values of \( q \) in the final stage). Namely, let put \( Q = q^7 - 1 \) if \( q \neq 7 \) and \( Q = (q^7 - 1)/2 \) if \( q = 7 \). Obviously the above-mentioned stabilizers contain elements of order \( Q/(d,Q) \). Now it can be easily checked, for example using the well-known Zsigmondy’s theorem, that no one of the other types maximal subgroups of \( G \) has order divisible by \( Q/(d,Q) \). Indeed,
let take a primitive prime divisor of $p^7m - 1$, i.e., a prime $r$ which divides $p^7m - 1$ but does not divide $p^i - 1$ for $0 < i < 7m$. We have $r > 29$ (as $r - 1$ is a multiple of $7m$) and hence $r$ divides $Q/(d, Q)$. A quick inspection of the orders of other reducible subgroups, all the groups of the remaining families $C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_8$ of irreducible geometric subgroups of $G$, also in $S$-family leads to the following conclusion. The only one subgroup of order divisible by $r$ belongs to $C_8$-family and it is isomorphic to $PSU_8(q_0)$, $[3, (8, q_0 + 1)]$ if $m$ is even, $q = q_0^2$ and $c = q - 1/[(q_0 - 1), q_0 + 1]$ (in fact, this is done in [7], Section 2.5). (Here we use the notation $[l]$ as an indication for an arbitrary group of order $l$.) From the given structure of this subgroup (by simple arithmetic computations) one can deduce that its order is equal to $q_0^{28}(q_0^2 - 1)(q_0^4 - 1)(q_0^6 - 1)(q_0^8 - 1)/s$, where $s = 1, 2$ or $4$, and it is not difficult to see that this order is not divisible by $Q/(d, Q)$.

In this way we proved the following:

**Lemma 1.** For any maximal subgroup of the group $G$ either it stabilizes 1-subspace or hyperplane of $P(V)$ or it has no element of order $Q/(d, Q)$.

Now we are ready to proceed with the next steps in our strategy.

**2.1** We first suppose that $q > 3$. Let choose an element $\omega$ of order $Q$ in the multiplicative group of the field $GF(q^7)$ and let

$$f(t) = (t - \omega)(t - \omega^3)(t - \omega^9)(t - \omega^{27})(t - \omega^{27})(t - \omega^9)(t - \omega^3)(t - \omega) =$$

$$t^7 - at^6 + bt^5 - ct^4 + dt^3 - et^2 + ht - g.$$ 

Then $f(t) \in F[t]$ and the polynomial $f(t)$ is irreducible over the field $F$. Note that $g = \omega^{\frac{q - 1}{(q_0 - 1)}}$ has order $q - 1$ if $q \neq 7$, and $g^3 = 1 \neq g$ if $q = 7$.

Now let

$$x = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & dq^{-1} & 0 & e \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ag^{-1} & -1 & h \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & eg^{-1} & 0 & d \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & bg^{-1} & 0 & c \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & cg^{-1} & 0 & b \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & bg^{-1} & 0 & c \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & hq^{-1} & 0 & a \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g^{-1} & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.$$
\[
y = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

Then \(x\) and \(y\) are elements of \(G\) of orders 2 and 3, respectively. Denote

\[
z = xy = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & e & dg^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & h & ag^{-1} \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & d & eg^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & b & cg^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c & bg^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & a & hg^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & g^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

The characteristic polynomial of \(z\) is \(f_z(t) = (t - g^{-1})f(t)\) and the characteristic roots \(g^{-1}, \omega, \omega^2, \omega^3, \omega^4, \omega^5, \) and \(\omega^6\) of \(z\) are pairwise distinct. Then, in \(GL_8(q^7)\), \(z\) is conjugate to the matrix diag \((g^{-1}, \omega, \omega^2, \omega^3, \omega^4, \omega^5, \omega^6)\) and hence \(z\) is an element of \(G\) of order \(Q\).

Let \(H\) is the subgroup of \(G\) generated by the above elements \(x\) and \(y\).

**Lemma 2.** The group \(H\) can not stabilize 1-subspaces or hyperplanes of the space \(V\) or equivalently \(H\) acts irreducible on \(V\).

**Proof.** Assume that \(W\) is an \(H\)-invariant subspace of \(V\) and \(k = \dim W, k = 1\) or \(7\).

Let first \(k = 1\) and \(0 \neq w \in W\). Then \(y(w) = \lambda w\) where \(\lambda \in F\) and \(\lambda^3 = 1\). This yields

\[
w = \mu_1 v_1 + \mu_2 (\lambda^2 v_2 + \lambda v_3 + v_4) + \mu_3 v_5 + \mu_4 (\lambda^2 v_6 + \lambda v_7 + v_8) \quad (\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4 \in F),
\]

where \(\mu_1 = \mu_3 = 0\) if \(\lambda \neq 1\). Now \(x(w) = \nu w\) where \(\nu = \pm 1\). This yields consecutively \(\mu_1 \neq 0, \lambda = \nu g^{-1}\), and

\[
\mu_2 = \mu_4 (hg^{-1} + a \lambda - \nu \lambda^2),
\]

(1)
\[ \nu \mu_1 + \lambda \mu_2 = (d \nu + e) \mu_4, \]

\[ \mu_2 + \nu \mu_3 = (b \nu + c) \mu_4. \]

In particular, we have \( g^3 = \nu \) and \( g^6 = 1 \). This is impossible if \( q = 5 \) or \( q > 7 \) since then \( g \) has order \( q - 1 \). Thus (as \( q > 3 \)) \( q = 4 \) or \( q = 7 \) and, in both cases, \( g^3 = 1 \neq g \). So \( \nu = 1 \), \( \lambda = g^2 \neq 1 \) and it follows that \( \mu_1 = \mu_3 = 0 \). Then (1), (2), (3) produce \( a = (d + e + 1)g^3 - h \) and \( b = (d + e)g - c \). Now \( f(-1) = -(d + e + 1)(1 + g + g^2) = 0 \) both for \( q = 4 \) and \( q = 7 \), an impossibility as \( f(t) \) is irreducible over the field \( F \).

Now let \( k = 7 \). The subspace \( U \) of \( V \) which is generated by the vectors \( v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, \) and \( v_7 \) is \( \langle z \rangle \)-invariant. If \( W \neq U \) then \( U \cap W \) is \( \langle z \rangle \)-invariant and \( \dim (U \cap W) = 6 \). This means that the characteristic polynomial of \( z|_{U \cap W} \) has degree 6 and must divide \( f(z|_{U \cap W}) \) which is impossible as \( f(t) \) is irreducible over \( F \). Thus \( W = U \) but obviously \( U \) is not \( \langle y \rangle \)-invariant, a contradiction.

The lemma is proved. (Note that the statement is false for \( q = 2 \) or 3.)

Now, in \( G \), the elements \( \overline{x} \) and \( \overline{y} \) have orders 2 and 3, respectively, and (as easily seen by the above-mentioned diagonal matrix) \( \overline{z} = \overline{x} \overline{y} \) has order \( Q/(d, Q) \). So the group \( \overline{H} = \langle \overline{x}, \overline{y} \rangle \) has an element of order \( Q/(d, Q) \) and \( \overline{H} \) is irreducible on \( P(V) \) as \( H \) is irreducible on \( V \) by Lemma 2. Then Lemma 1 implies that \( \overline{H} \) cannot be contained in any maximal subgroup of \( \overline{G} \). Thus \( \overline{H} = \overline{G} \) and \( \overline{G} = \langle \overline{x}, \overline{y} \rangle \) is a \((2, 3)\)-generated group.

2.2 Lastly we suppose that \( q = 2 \) or 3. We treat both cases simultaneously. Let denote by \( x_q \) and \( y_q \) possible generators of \( SL_8(q) \) of respective orders 2 and 3. Now we shall prove that the projective images of the matrices \( x_q \) and \( y_q \) below can really generate \( PSL_8(q) \) in this cases. Let choose the involutions \( x_2 \) and \( x_3 \), also the elements \( y_2 \) and \( y_3 \) of order 3 to be as follows.

\[
x_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
y_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 
\end{bmatrix},
\]
Now \( GF \) and irreducible on the space \((v, \nu w)\) and all its roots have order \( \langle v \rangle \). As in the proof of Lemma 2, it can be checked that the group \( H \) is a 1-subspace of \( V \) yields to \( z_2 = x_2 y_2 = \langle 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0 \rangle \). The characteristic polynomial of \( z_3 \) is \( f_{z_3}(t) = (t+1)g_0(t), \) where \( g_2(t) = t^7 + t + 1 \) and \( g_3(t) = t^7 + t^6 + t^2 + 1 \). In any case the polynomial \( g_0(t) \) is irreducible over the field \( GF(q) \) and all its roots have order \( q^7 - 1 \) in \( GF(q^7) \) (see [4], Table C). It follows that the element \( z_q \) of \( SL_8(q) \) has order \( q^7 - 1 = Q \).

As in the proof of Lemma 2, it can be checked that the group \( H_q = \langle x_q, y_q \rangle \) acts irreducible on the space \( V \). Indeed, let \( W = \langle w \rangle \) is a 1-subspace of \( V \) which is stabilized by \( H_q \). Then \( y_q(w) = w \) yields to \( w = \mu_1 v_1 + \mu_2 v_2 + v_3 + \mu_1 (v_6 + v_7) + \mu_2 v_8 \) (if \( q = 2 \)) and \( w = (-\mu_5 + \mu_7)v_1 + (\mu_5 - \mu_6 - \mu_7)v_2 + (\mu_5 - \mu_6 + \mu_7)v_3 + (\mu_5 - \mu_7)v_4 + \mu_5 v_5 + \mu_7 v_7 + (\mu_5 + \mu_6 + \mu_7)v_8 \) (if \( q = 3 \)), where \( \mu_1, \mu_2 \in GF(2), \) and \( \mu_5, \mu_6, \mu_7 \in GF(3) \). Now \( x_2(w) = w \) and \( x_3(w) = \nu w \) (\( \nu = \pm 1 \)) force to \( \mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0 \), and \( \nu = -1, \mu_5 = \mu_6 = \mu_7 = 0 \), respectively, an impossibility as \( w \neq 0 \). If \( W \) is a hyperplane of \( V \),

\[
x_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
y_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
z_2 = x_2 y_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
z_3 = x_3 y_3 = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
\end{bmatrix}.
\]
(i.e. \(\dim(W)=7\)) which is invariant under the action of \(H_q\) we can obtain a contradiction (taking into account the exact form of \(z_q\)) just as in the proof of Lemma 2.

Now, to finalize our considerations it is enough to see that, in \(PSL_8(q)\), the elements \(x_q\), \(y_q\), and \(x_q\) have orders 2, 3, and \(Q/d\), respectively. So the group \(\overline{H}_q = \langle x_q, y_q \rangle\) contains an element of order \(Q/d\) and acts irreducible on \(P(V)\). According to Lemma 1 this means that \(\overline{H}_q = PSL_8(q)\) and \(PSL_8(q) = \langle x_q, y_q \rangle\) is a \((2,3)\)-generated group in these cases.

The theorem is proved.
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