Changes in dietary patterns when females engage in a weight management programme and their ability to meet Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition’s fibre and sugar recommendations†
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Abstract

Objective: To investigate how dietary patterns (DP) change following engagement in a weight management programme. Using the DP identified, to study their relationship with weight loss achieved and ability to meet the Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition’s 2015 fibre and sugar recommendations.

Design: Secondary analysis of FFQ data, which collected dietary information at two time points: retrospectively before (T0) and presently during (T1) Slimming World’s programme, was analysed. Principal component analysis derived the DP present.

Setting: Online FFQ, hosted by Slimming World (SW) in the UK.

Participants: Female SW members (n = 325; 17–68 years old).

Results: At T0, two DP, ‘plant based’ and ‘processed meat and sugar added by the consumer’ were identified. At T1, three DP were identified, ‘high meat’, ‘high fat salt and sugar (HFSS) products’ and ‘nuts and oils’. Participants with a diet low in ‘HFSS products’ lost significantly more weight (P for trend = 0·001), were more likely to consume breakfast (P = 0·021) and consumed less free sugar compared with high ‘HFSS product’ consumers. Those initially presenting with a low ‘plant based’ diet score lost more weight at T1 (P for trend = 0·046). With engagement, mean fibre intake increased from 24·3 to 32·4 g/d (P < 0·001) and free sugar intake decreased from 12·6 to 8·7 % total dietary energy (P < 0·001).

Conclusions: An individual’s DP before and with engagement in a weight management programme may indicate their weight loss success. Advising reduced HFSS product intake may aid initial weight loss. SW appears to promote dietary changes towards UK fibre and sugar recommendations.

The obesity epidemic is becoming a widespread public health problem with 58 % of women and 68 % of men in 2015 being classed as overweight or obese in England(1). Recently, the evidence surrounding the effect of free sugars on weight gain has strengthened. From the available evidence, the Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (SACN) concluded a higher sugar consumption increases energy intake in adults. Conversely, SACN suggested that a higher whole grain consumption may decrease total dietary energy intake. As a result, SACN recommended that free sugars should be reduced to a maximum 5 % of total dietary energy and suggested without energy substitution this could induce moderate weight loss. They further recommended increasing the dietary reference value for dietary fibre to 30 g/d, in adults 16 years and over(2). The Eatwell plate was updated to (among other changes) reflect the SACN recommendations and this became the Eatwell guide(3).

For individuals who are overweight or obese, commercial weight management programmes are a popular, efficacious method of losing excess weight(4–6), with the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence recommending these programmes as an option in first- and second-line treatment for individuals with overweight or obesity(7). Slimming World (SW) is one such commercial weight management programme.
management programme, including lifestyle and behaviour change strategies that patients can be referred to through primary care to receive free membership for a limited period, usually 12 weeks \(^{(4,6,8)}\).

SW’s programme guides its members in making sustained healthy food and lifestyle choices through their Food Optimising plan \(^{(6)}\), encouraging members to alter their diet in line with the Eatwell guide \(^{(8)}\). Thus, it could be assumed by following SW’s Food Optimising plan individuals will move towards achieving SACN’s recommendations, reduce their energy consumption and lose weight \(^{(2,3)}\). However, this is yet to be investigated. Few studies have researched how dietary patterns (DP) change through engagement in a weight management programme in relation to weight loss \(^{(9–11)}\), and none have related this to SACN’s recommendations for fibre and sugar \(^{(12)}\). Of these few studies, most involved online programmes which are known to have mixed effectiveness \(^{(13)}\) and none studied a UK population. DP are intrinsic to the country in which they are found; thus, the results from these studies cannot be generalised \(^{(14)}\).

The primary aim of this research is to determine the main DP present before and during engagement in SW’s weight management programme. From this, identify how each DP correlates with weight loss and ability to meet weight management programme. From this, identify how each DP correlates with weight loss and ability to meet SACN’s recommendations for fibre and sugar. It is theorised that a DP, in line with SW’s Food Optimising plan, will correlate with greater weight loss, dietary fibre and a lower free sugar consumption. It is hypothesised participants’ mean dietary fibre intake will significantly increase and free sugar intake will significantly decrease with engagement.

**Methods**

**Study design and population**

Data obtained from a lifestyle, dietary habits and Fe FFQ \(^{(15)}\), completed as part of a larger online survey investigating relationships between weight status and fatigue levels, were drawn upon for secondary analysis. The FFQ collected self-reported anthropometric, lifestyle and dietary information from female, non-pregnant, members of SW in the UK. The FFQ was made available on the SW members’ website between 27 May 2016 and 10 June 2016. No reward was offered for participation.

In total, 825 members responded. Excluded from the analysis were 455 respondents with incomplete FFQ data and forty-five respondents who had been a member for less than 1 week. After these exclusions, 325 participants remained.

**The FFQ**

The FFQ has been validated, using Pearson’s correlation coefficients, in a New Zealand population against a 4-d food record suggesting reasonable relative validity of 0.34–0.62 \((P < 0.001)\) for DP found, ‘healthy’ and ‘sandwich and drinks’, respectively. When repeated after 1 month, the correlation coefficient between FFQ for both DP was 0.76 \((P < 0.001)\) \(^{(15)}\). The FFQ was adapted for a UK population through changing food item names to their UK English equivalent. The FFQ comprised 133 food items with seven pre-coded frequency classifications ranging from ‘never or less than once per month’ to ‘greater than four times per day’. In analysing responses, 1 month was taken as 4 weeks and all frequencies were converted into daily intakes in g. Participants reported their usual frequency of consumption of each food item at two time points simultaneously: retrospectively before joining SW (T0) and presently as a SW member (T1), see online supplementary material (S1).

Participants’ intake of each food item in g per day was calculated through \(^{(15)}\):

\[
\text{daily frequency of consumption of each food item} \times \text{average portion size (g) stated in the FFQ}
\]

Estimations of participants’ daily energy (kcal) and dietary fibre (Association of Analytical Chemists method) (g) consumption were calculated using daily intake of each food item (g) and nutritional information from McCance and Widdowson ‘The composition of food’ \(^{(10)}\). For food items not detailed in McCance and Widdowson, Nutritics Nutrition Analysis Software \(^{(17)}\) was used. Public Health England’s definition of free sugars is used to calculate free sugar content of food items: ‘all added sugars in any form; all sugars naturally present in fruit and vegetable juices, purées and pastes and similar products in which the structure has been broken down; all sugars in drinks (except for dairy-based drinks); and lactose and galactose added as ingredients. The sugars naturally present in milk and dairy products, fresh and most types of processed fruit and vegetables and in cereal grains, nuts and seeds are excluded from the definition’ \(^{(18)}\). Free sugar (% total dietary energy) content of food items was obtained from a published database detailing the amount of sugar added during manufacture of commonly consumed foods \(^{(19)}\); for fruit and vegetable juices and drinks (excluding dairy milk), the total sugar content of the food item was classed as a free sugar. Prior to analysis, the 133 food items were collapsed into thirty food groups based upon a previously published DP analysis \(^{(20)}\), online supplementary material (S2).

It is acknowledged that fibre intake is commonly overestimated in FFQ, mainly due to bias responses in fruit and vegetable consumption, with a higher discrepancy with those on a weight reducing diet. In the current study, dietary fibre intake was adjusted using a weighting factor developed to correct overestimates of fibre in UK women aged 35–69 \(^{(21)}\).

Participants self-reported their body weight before and with engagement in SW in any unit. All weights were converted to kg for analysis. The physical activity levels were collected through participants reporting the number
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of days they had ‘walked’, conducted ‘vigorous’ and ‘moderate’ physical activity for more than 10 min at a time. Participants reported the time spent doing each of the intensity levels to the nearest minute before SW and with engagement in SW.

Statistical analysis

Principal component analysis (PCA) is a statistical method of analysing DP. PCA is a variable reduction procedure using correlations between food group consumption to generate a smaller number of uncorrelated DP, termed principal components. PCA captures the diet in its entirety, in a way that traditional methods often cannot. The identified DP explain the majority of the variance present in the dataset. PCA can discern the relationships between DP and participants’ characteristics, weight loss and ability to meet dietary guidelines.

The analysis of DP was conducted separately for T0 and T1 data. Prior to analysis, participants’ daily intake (g/d) of each food group was energy adjusted, so that it acts as a measure of diet composition:

\[
\text{daily intake of food group (g/d)} / \text{total daily energy intake (kcal)} \times 1000
\]

and then transformed using a log_{10}(variable + 1) transformation to achieve a normal distribution for entry into PCA.

To ascertain the suitability of the data for PCA to return reliable and accurate DP, and adequate sample size, the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measurement of adequacy and Bartlett’s test of sphericity were determined. The energy-adjusted and transformed frequency values were entered into PCA in IBM® SPSS® statistics, version 22. The components (DP) were rotated using the varimax (orthogonal) rotation to enable interpretability of the food group loadings on the components. Food groups with meaningful loadings were retained as part of the component solution.

The Anderson–Rubin method was used to calculate a factor score detailing how the participants’ dietary intake matched each DP. Using the factor score, participants were grouped into quartiles (Q) of agreement for each DP. Quartile of factor score was compared with participants’ characteristics and nutrient intake. All P values are two-sided. To determine P for trend between quartiles of factor score and participants’ characteristics, the Jonckheere–Terpstra test was used. McNemar’s test was used to determine if the proportion of participants meeting SACN’s recommendations between T0 and T1 is statistically different. The chi square test was used to detect if the proportion of participants consuming breakfast always, sometimes or never, between quartiles of factor score is significantly different. Paired t tests determined significance of change in dietary intake of the food groups between T0 and T1, and Pearson’s correlation was used to determine the relationship between dietary fibre and free sugar consumption on weight loss achieved and to assess if exercise frequency at T1 influenced weight loss.

Results

Characteristics of the participants

Of the 325 participants eligible for entry into PCA, the mean age on completion of the questionnaire was 42.6 years (range 17–68), mean starting weight was 96.0 (range 59.2–165.1; SD 20.6) kg and BMI was 35.1 (range 22.5–60.2; SD 7.2) kg/m². Of the participants, 77% were in current employment, full or part-time and 8.7% were current smokers. Median length of SW membership of the 325 participants eligible for PCA was 3.3 (range 1–39; SD 3.2) weeks. At T1, 87.5% of participants self-reported a weight loss, with a mean change in body weight of –3.2 (SD 3.7) kg and mean change in percentage body weight of –3.3 (SD 3.2)%. Participants had a mean body weight change per week of engagement of –1.1 (SD 0.9) kg/week. No significant difference in age was apparent between completers and non-completers, not included in the analysis (P = 0.199).

Dietary patterns

At T0, two DP and, at T1, three DP were identified and retained from PCA. Table 1 depicts the meaningful food group loadings on each DP. The Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin measure of sampling adequacy was 0.717 and 0.643 for T0 and T1, respectively, >0.5 is acceptable. The Bartlett’s test of sphericity was below the acceptable level at <0.001, at both time points. At T0, component 1 was termed ‘plant based’. Food groups with meaningful loadings (associations), >0.3, on this component were legumes, fresh fruit, vegetables, whole grains, eggs, tea and coffee, soup, yoghurt and fish. The second component was termed ‘processed meat and sugar added by the consumer’ with processed meat and table sugar strongly associated with this component. These two components explained 17.6% of the variance, between participants’ FFQ responses.

At T1, PCA revealed a different set of DP. The first DP identified was named ‘high meat’. Processed meat, red meat and poultry had positive associations, whilst alternative milks were negatively associated with this component. The second component identified was named ‘high fat, salt and sugar (HFSS) products’. Confectionery, crisps, cakes and biscuits, spreads and non-alcoholic drinks had strong associations with this pattern. The final meaningful DP at T1 was named ‘nuts and oils’, characterised by positive associations with dried fruit, alternative milks, nuts and seeds and oils, whilst potatoes negatively associated with the DP. These three components explained 21.0% of the variance between participants’ FFQ responses.

The changes in DP between T0 and T1 reflect from changes in energy-adjusted food group consumption. The mean percentage change in energy-adjusted food group consumption of the participants is displayed in Fig. 1. At T1, the participants were consuming significantly
### Table 1: Meaningful rotated component loadings for retained dietary patterns before joining Slimming World (T0) and after joining Slimming World (T1)*

| Food items            | Component 1 | Component 2 | Component 1 | Component 2 | Component 3 |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                       | T0          | T1          | T0          | T1          | T0          |
| Number of subjects (n)| 325         | 325         | 325         | 325         | 325         |
| Legumes               | 0.708       | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Vegetables            | 0.699       | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Whole grains          | 0.644       | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Fresh fruit           | 0.624       | –0.302      | –           | –           | –           |
| Eggs                  | 0.519       | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Tea and coffee        | 0.430       | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Soup                  | 0.344       | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Fish                  | 0.358       | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Yoghurt               | 0.317       | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Processed meat        | –           | 0.905       | 0.701       | –           | –           |
| Table sugar†          | –           | 0.903       | –           | –           | –           |
| Red meat              | –           | –           | 0.791       | –           | –           |
| Poultry               | –           | –           | 0.748       | –           | –           |
| Confectionery         | –           | –           | –           | 0.708       | –           |
| Crisps                | –           | –           | –           | 0.709       | –           |
| Cakes and biscuits    | –           | –           | –           | 0.657       | –           |
| Non-alcoholic drinks  | –           | –           | –           | 0.358       | –           |
| Spreads               | –           | –           | –           | 0.446       | –           |
| Alternative milks     | –           | –           | –0.377      | –           | 0.392       |
| Dried fruit           | –           | –           | –           | 0.612       | –           |
| Nuts and seeds        | –           | –           | –           | 0.629       | –           |
| Potato                | –           | –           | –           | –0.489      | –           |
| Oils                  | –           | –           | –           | 0.597       | –           |
| Cows’ milk            | –           | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Refined grains        | –           | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Alcohol               | –           | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Fruit and vegetable juice | –            | –         | –           | –           | –           |
| Breakfast cereal      | –           | –           | –           | –           | –           |
| Cream-based products  | –           | –           | –           | –           | –           |

At T0, component 1 was termed ‘plant based’ and component 2 was termed ‘processed meat and sugar added by the consumer’. At T1, component 1 was termed ‘high meat’, component 2 was termed ‘high fat, salt and sugar products’ and component 3 was termed ‘nuts and oils’.

†Table sugar added to foods and drink by the participant.

---

**Fig. 1** Mean percentage change in energy-adjusted consumption of food groups between before Slimming World (T0) and during Slimming World membership (T1). Significance was calculated through a paired t test. The percentage change in energy-adjusted consumption of all food groups was significant at the $P < 0.05$ level (indicated by *) except for milk.
more fish, fresh fruit, vegetables and whole grains, whilst consuming significantly less processed meat, cheese, confectionery, crisps and cakes and biscuits.

**Participants’ characteristics by dietary pattern for each component**

For each DP, participants were grouped into quartile of agreement to that DP. Participants were grouped such that Q1 contains participants with a very low score, diet did not reflect the DP, whilst Q4 contains participants with a very high score, dietary agreement, for the DP. Quartiles of factor score at T0 and T1 were compared with participants’ characteristics separately. At T0, those with a high ‘plant based’ pattern score had significantly lower BMI (mean Q4 32·9 kg/m$^2$, Q1 34·9 % changed their diets to most strongly align with a SW member for a significantly shorter period ($P$ for trend = 0·003), had a lower starting BMI (mean Q4 10·259 KJ/d (2452 kcal/d), Q1 15·108 KJ/d (3611 kcal/d); $P$ for trend < 0·001), were older (mean Q4 44·0 years, Q1 39·4 years; $P$ for trend = 0·003), had a lower starting BMI (mean Q4 32·9 kg/m$^2$, Q1 36·8 kg/m$^2$; $P$ for trend = 0·001) and were more likely to consume vitamin and mineral supplements (mean Q4 51·3 % of participants consumed supplements, Q1 25·9 % consumed supplements; $P$ for trend = 0·001). Those in the highest quartile of ‘processed meat and sugar added by the consumer’ pattern were significantly younger (mean Q4 39·4 years, Q1 44·3 years; $P$ for trend = 0·006), exercised on a more frequent basis (mean Q4 6·1 frequency/week, Q1 4·5 frequency/week; $P$ for trend = 0·005) and more likely to be a current smoker ($P$ for trend = 0·002; Table 2).

At T1, participants in the highest quartile of the ‘high meat’ pattern consumed significantly less energy (mean Q4 6899 KJ/d (1649 kcal/d), Q1 9878 KJ/d (2361 kcal/d); $P$ for trend < 0·001). Those in the highest quartile of ‘HFSS products’ pattern were significantly younger (mean Q4 37·5 years, Q1 46·0 years; $P$ for trend < 0·001) and had higher energy intakes (mean Q4 9113 KJ/d (2178 kcal/d), Q1 7058 KJ/d (1687 kcal/d); $P$ for trend = 0·004), a higher frequency of exercise (mean Q4 9·1 frequency/week, Q1 7·3 frequency/week; $P$ for trend = 0·013) and older age (mean Q4 44·5 years, Q1 39·9 years; $P$ for trend = 0·007). Those aligning most strongly with a ‘nuts and oils’ DP had been a SW member for a significantly shorter period ($P$ for trend = 0·026; Table 3).

Of those initially following a ‘plant based’ pattern at T0, 34·9 % changed their diets to most strongly align with a ‘high meat’ pattern, 37·2 % aligned with a ‘HFSS products’ pattern and 27·9 % with a ‘nuts and oils’ pattern at T1. Of those initially following a ‘processed meat and sugar added by the consumer’ pattern at T0, 41·4 % most closely aligned with a ‘high meat’ pattern, 21·4 % with a ‘HFSS products’ pattern and 37·1 % with a ‘nuts and oils’ pattern at T1 (data not shown).

**Dietary patterns and weight loss**

Quartile of DP score, at T0, was compared with percentage weight change between T0 and T1. Participants with the lowest ‘plant based’ pattern score lost a significantly greater percentage body weight than those with the highest ‘plant based’ pattern score (mean Q1 -3·6 %, Q4 -2·8 %; $P$ for trend = 0·046). The relationship between quartile of ‘processed meat and sugar added by the consumer’ pattern and weight loss was non-significant ($P$ = 0·249; Fig. 2). The relationship between exercise frequency at T1 and weight loss achieved was non-significant ($r$ = 0·037; $P$ = 0·505), and the Pearson’s correlation between age and weight loss achieved was $r$ = −0·11 indicating a weak negative correlation (data not shown).

At T1, those who aligned least strongly with a ‘HFSS product’ pattern lost a significantly greater percentage body weight (mean Q1 -4·1 %, Q4 -2·2 %; $P$ for trend = 0·001). These individuals were significantly more likely to be consuming breakfast compared with those who strongly aligned with a ‘HFSS products’ pattern ($P$ = 0·021). No other significant relationships between the other DP at T1 and weight loss were observed.

**Fibre and free sugar consumption**

Before joining SW, mean dietary fibre intake was 24·3 (sd 19·8) g/d and mean free sugar consumption was 12·6 (sd 4·6) % of total dietary energy with 23·0 and 5·4 % of participants meeting the respective SACN recommendations. At T1, mean dietary fibre had significantly increased to 32·4 (sd 16·5) g/d ($P$ < 0·001; CI 6·4, 10·0) and free sugar intake had significantly decreased to 8·7 (sd 3·6) % ($P$ < 0·001; CI -4·4, -3·4) of total dietary energy. At T1, 45·8 and 11·7 % of participants met the respective SACN recommendations. No statistically significant relationship between dietary fibre or free sugar intake and weight loss was observed ($r$ = 0·066; $P$ = 0·237 and $r$ = −0·107; $P$ = 0·056, respectively).

Free sugar intake (% total dietary energy) was compared with energy consumption at T0 and T1. At T0, there was a significant positive correlation between free sugar and energy intake ($r$ = 0·264; $P$ < 0·001). At T1, there was no significant correlation between free sugar consumption and energy intake ($r$ = 0·06; $P$ = 0·284).

Following a ‘plant based’ DP at T0 correlated with a higher dietary fibre consumption, as did a DP low in ‘processed meat and sugar added by the consumer’. Those in the highest quartile of ‘plant based’ DP consumed significantly less free sugar than those in the lowest quartile (mean Q4 10·4 % total dietary energy, Q1 14·6 % total dietary energy; $P$ for trend < 0·001; Table 2). At T1, those aligning most strongly with the ‘high meat’ DP had a lower intake of dietary fibre and free sugar compared with those in the lowest quartile ($P$ for trend < 0·001 and < 0·019, respectively). Free sugar consumption positively correlated with agreement with the ‘HFSS products’ pattern ($P$ for trend < 0·001; Table 3).
Table 2 Mean characteristics of participants by quartile (Q) of factor score for components identified before engagement in Slimming World (T0)

| Characteristics                  | Component 1 |       |       |       | Component 2 |       |       |       |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|-------|
|                                  | Plant based | Processed meat and sugar added by the consumer |
|                                  | Q1          | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    | Q1          | Q2    | Q3    | Q4    |
| Number of subjects (n)           | 81          | 82    | 81    | 81    | 81          | 82    | 81    | 81    |
| Energy (KJ/d (kcal/d))           | 15 108 (3611) | 12 121 (2897) | 11 770 (2813) | 10 259 (2452)* | 12 401 (2964) | 11 067 (2645) | 12 707 (3037) | 13 071 (3124) |
| Age on questionnaire completion (years) | 39·4          | 42·1    | 42·7    | 44·0†    | 44·3          | 44·1    | 41·7    | 39·4†    |
| Weight BSW (kg)                  | 100·0        | 98·3    | 95·7    | 89·7†    | 94·1          | 93·6    | 99·8    | 96·2    |
| BMI BSW (kg/m²)                  | 36·8         | 35·9    | 34·7    | 32·9†    | 34·9          | 34·3    | 35·8    | 35·3    |
| Percentage weight loss (%)       | –3·6         | –3·3    | –3·4    | –2·8§    | –3·2          | –3·4    | –3·3    | –3·1    |
| Current smokers (%)              | 16·0         | 2·5     | 8·6     | 7·5     | 3·7           | 5·0     | 8·6     | 17·3†   |
| Exercise (frequency /week)       | 4·5          | 4·9     | 5·4     | 5·9     | 4·5           | 4·5     | 5·6     | 6·1†    |
| Employed (%)                     | 79·0         | 76·3    | 76·5    | 76·3    | 76·5          | 77·2    | 81·5    | 72·8    |
| Vitamin and mineral supplement use (%) | 25·9         | 35·8    | 38·3    | 51·3†   | 43·2          | 36·3    | 42·0    | 26·6    |
| Breakfast (%)                    | Always       | 42·0    | 50·0    | 60·3    | 56·3          | 55·6    | 61·0    | 60·6    | 47·5    |
|                                 | Sometimes    | 24·7    | 23·8    | 23·1    | 22·0          | 26·3    | 14·3    | 24·0    | 22·5    |
|                                 | Never        | 30·1    | 33·3    | 13·6    | 14·6          | 19·7    | 22·5    | 20·2    | 29·2    |
| Fibre (g/d)                      | 22·5         | 22·5    | 24·5    | 27·6†   | 26·8          | 25·5    | 24·6    | 20·2†   |
| Free sugar (% total kcal)        | 14·6         | 13·1    | 12·2    | 10·5*   | 12·7          | 12·7    | 13·2    | 11·8    |

BSW, before Slimming World.

*P-values < 0·05 were considered as significant. Exercise expressed as total frequency of vigorous and moderate physical activity and walking events lasting for at least 10 min/event per week.

†P for trend < 0·001 calculated using Jonckheere–Terpstra test.

§P for trend 0·025 < P < 0·05 calculated using Jonckheere–Terpstra test.

Otherwise no significant P for trend.
Table 3: Mean characteristics of participants by quartile of factor score for dietary patterns identified with engagement in Slimming World (T1)*

| Characteristics                              | Component 1                           | Component 2                           | Component 3                           |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                                              | High meat                             | HFSS products                         | Nuts and oils                         |
| Number of subjects (n)                       | Q1 (81)                               | Q1 (81)                               | Q1 (81)                               |
|                                              | Q2 (82)                               | Q2 (82)                               | Q2 (82)                               |
|                                              | Q3 (81)                               | Q3 (81)                               | Q3 (81)                               |
|                                              | Q4 (81)                               | Q4 (81)                               | Q4 (81)                               |
| Length of membership (weeks)                 | 3·4                                   | 3·2                                   | 3·4                                   |
|                                              | 3·2                                   | 3·4                                   | 3·2                                   |
|                                              | 3·4                                   | 3·2                                   | 3·4                                   |
|                                              | 3·4                                   | 3·2                                   | 3·4                                   |
| Energy (KJ (kcal/d))                         | 9878 (2361)                           | 7251 (1733)                           | 7058 (1687)                           |
|                                              | 7694 (1839)                           | 7364 (1760)                           | 7312 (1748)                           |
|                                              | 6878 (1644)                           | 7632 (1824)                           | 7485 (1789)                           |
|                                              | 6899 (1649)                           | 9113 (2178)                           | 9498 (2270)                           |
|                                              | 7251 (1733)                           | 7364 (1760)                           | 7632 (1824)                           |
|                                              | 7694 (1839)                           | 7364 (1760)                           | 7632 (1824)                           |
|                                              | 6878 (1644)                           | 6899 (1644)                           | 6878 (1644)                           |
| Age on questionnaire completion (years)      | 42·6                                  | 46·0                                  | 39·9                                  |
|                                              | 43·7                                  | 44·6                                  | 40·9                                  |
|                                              | 42·0                                  | 41·5                                  | 44·4                                  |
|                                              | 41·5                                  | 39·9                                  | 44·4                                  |
| Weight now (kg)                              | 92·3                                  | 88·1                                  | 93·5                                  |
|                                              | 93·6                                  | 92·3                                  | 93·8                                  |
|                                              | 89·7                                  | 92·3                                  | 93·4                                  |
|                                              | 95·7                                  | 94·2                                  | 90·7                                  |
| BMI now (kg/m²)                              | 33·9                                  | 32·3                                  | 34·3                                  |
|                                              | 33·7                                  | 33·9                                  | 34·5                                  |
|                                              | 33·0                                  | 34·3                                  | 34·1                                  |
|                                              | 35·0                                  | 35·2                                  | 32·9                                  |
| Percentage weight loss (%)                  | –2·9                                  | –4·1                                  | –3·8                                  |
|                                              | –3·4                                  | –2·8                                  | –3·1                                  |
|                                              | –3·4                                  | –4·0                                  | –3·4                                  |
|                                              | –3·3                                  | –2·2                                  | –2·8                                  |
| Current smokers (%)                          | 7·5                                   | 11·3                                  | 11·4                                  |
|                                              | 4·9                                   | 8·5                                   | 9·9                                   |
|                                              | 6·0                                   | 7·5                                   | 6·2                                   |
|                                              | 13·9                                  | 7·5                                   | 7·4                                   |
| Exercise (frequency/week)                   | 8·9                                   | 9·5                                   | 7·3                                   |
|                                              | 9·0                                   | 8·3                                   | 8·6                                   |
|                                              | 7·6                                   | 8·6                                   | 7·8                                   |
|                                              | 8·7                                   | 7·8                                   | 9·1                                   |
| Employed (%)                                 | 74·1                                  | 78·8                                  | 77·5                                  |
|                                              | 79·3                                  | 69·5                                  | 74·1                                  |
|                                              | 77·2                                  | 75·0                                  | 81·3                                  |
|                                              | 77·5                                  | 85·0                                  | 75·3                                  |
| Vitamin and mineral supplement use (%)       | 40·5                                  | 38·8                                  | 36·3                                  |
|                                              | 45·1                                  | 34·6                                  | 35·4                                  |
|                                              | 35·4                                  | 33·3                                  | 36·3                                  |
| Breakfast (%)                                | 80·2                                  | 91·4                                  | 82·7                                  |
| Always                                       | 87·8                                  | 89·0                                  | 84·8                                  |
|                                              | 95·1                                  | 82·1                                  | 87·8                                  |
|                                              | 77·1                                  | 74·7                                  | 90·1                                  |
| Sometimes                                    | 9·9                                   | 6·2                                   | 9·9                                   |
|                                              | 7·3                                   | 3·7                                   | 10·1                                  |
|                                              | 2·5                                   | 12·6                                  | 9·8                                   |
|                                              | 13·6                                  | 13·3                                  | 3·7                                   |
| Never                                        | 9·9                                   | 2·5                                   | 7·4                                   |
|                                              | 4·9                                   | 7·3                                   | 5·1                                   |
|                                              | 2·5                                   | 4·8                                   | 2·4                                   |
|                                              | 3·7                                   | 12·6                                  | 6·2                                   |
| Fibre (g/d)                                  | 40·8                                  | 33·5                                  | 30·3                                  |
|                                              | 32·1                                  | 32·5                                  | 30·4                                  |
|                                              | 29·3                                  | 31·4                                  | 31·2                                  |
|                                              | 27·5†                                 | 32·4                                  | 37·8                                  |
| Free sugar (g/d)                             | 9·5                                   | 8·3                                   | 8·9                                   |
|                                              | 8·7                                   | 7·7                                   | 8·5                                   |
|                                              | 8·2                                   | 9·1                                   | 8·8                                   |
|                                              | 8·4†                                  | 9·9†                                  | 8·7                                   |
HFSS, high fat, salt and sugar; Q, quartile.*
*P-values < 0·05 were considered as significant. Exercise expressed as total frequency of vigorous and moderate physical activity and walking events lasting for at least 10 min/event per week.
†P for trend < 0·001 calculated using Jonckheere–Terpstra test.
‡P for trend < 0·001 calculated using Jonckheere–Terpstra test.
§P for trend 0·025 < P < 0·05 calculated using Jonckheere–Terpstra test.
‖Chi square test of independence of factor quartile against category of breakfast consumption, P < 0·025, for all categories of breakfast consumption.
Otherwise no significant P for trend.
Discussion

Previously, the extent to which commercial weight management programmes promote adherence to SACN’s dietary fibre and free sugar recommendations has been unknown. This research identified that a greater number of participants met fibre and free sugar recommendations through engagement in a weight management programme, related to significant increased consumption of fresh fruit, vegetables, legumes and whole grains alongside a decreased intake of processed meat and confectionery.

A high ‘plant based’ DP score prior to joining SW was associated with a lower percentage body weight loss with engagement. A suggested mechanism is that a ‘plant based’ DP closely aligns with SW’s Food Optimising plan and Eatwell guide; thus, individuals may have more difficulty in reducing energy intake than those with poorer DP(3,8). A secondary analysis of overweight individuals taking part in a 3-month clinical weight-loss trial reported similar results(9). This suggests that initial DP may predict weight loss with engagement(8).

A low ‘HFSS products’ DP score at T1 correlated with greater percentage body weight loss. In a separate study, those who lost a clinically significant ≥5 % body weight consumed significantly less energy dense nutrient poor (HFSS) foods, compared with those who lost <5 % body weight(20). A plausible mechanism is that energy-dense, nutrient-poor foods are less satiating, leading to greater hunger experiences and overeating(29). A low ‘HFSS products’ DP was also associated with lower free sugar consumption, lower energy intake, having breakfast every day and a mean fibre intake that meets SACN’s recommendation.

Before engagement, the DP identified could be described as either ‘more healthy’ or ‘less healthy’ (plant based v. processed meat and sugar added by the consumer). This indicates an underlying dichotomous thinking style at T0 may be present, in which individuals follow an entirely healthy diet or not bother at all(30). After joining SW, a different set of three DP emerged. This change may result from participants following the core SW diet of ‘free foods’, and the DP indicates how participants use their allowance of ‘healthy extras’ and ‘syns’(28). Length of membership negatively correlated with a ‘nuts and oils’ pattern, suggesting participants altered their diet to include less of the above energy-dense items as length of membership increased. This may be through members choosing to use their allowance of ‘healthy extras’, in which nuts are included, on cereals or bread (significant increased consumption with engagement as a percentage of energy intake, Fig. 1) and choosing HFSS products as ‘syns’ rather than additional servings of nuts and oils.

The changes made by the participants reflect changes seen in a previously published analysis of individuals participating in a clinical weight-loss trial. Significant increases in vegetable, legume, whole grain and fruit consumption were seen, whilst significant decreases in participants processed and fatty meats, nuts and seeds and HFSS product consumption were reported, measured through diet histories taken at baseline and 3 months(31).

Through engagement in SW, mean dietary fibre intake increased, partly related to SW participants buying more fruit and vegetables, which are typically high in fibre(32,33). The National Diet and Nutrition Survey reported in 2014/2015–2016 the mean dietary fibre intake in women 19–64 years of age was 17.4 g/d(34). It could indicate this group consumed more fibre than the UK population average. However, overweight individuals tend to over report intakes of fruit and vegetables(35) and actual portion sizes may differ from portion sizes taken from the FFQ.

Fig. 2 Percentage weight change per quartile of factor score at baseline. Data are presented as a mean ± SE of the mean. P for trend of ‘plant based’ (m) = 0.046. P for trend for ‘processed meat and sugar added by the consumer’ (m) = 0.249. Significance of P for trend was set at P < 0.05. Significance was calculated using the Jonckheere–Terpstra test.
Dietary patterns in a weight loss programme

At T0, a significant positive correlation between free sugar consumption as a percentage of total dietary energy and total energy intake was seen. This is in line with SACN’s report that free sugar consumption is linked to a greater energy intake. At T1, there was no significant correlation between free sugar and energy intake or free sugar and weight loss. This may result from Food Optimising. Food Optimising promotes dietary changes which impact on a wide range of nutrients to induce a negative energy balance, such that free sugar intake alone may not predict weight loss.

The analysis has many strengths. An adequate sample size for PCA to return reliable and accurate DP was used. By energy adjusting the food groups, direct comparison between food group intakes as a proportion of the diet at the two time points was permitted. The data are collected from a community-based sample of people trying to lose weight, thus increasing the ecological validity of the results.

As limitations, the current study investigated the initial, short-term, changes in DP with engagement in a weight management programme; therefore, it remains unknown if the changes in DP are maintained over a longer period. The length of membership varied amongst participants, despite this, length of membership only significantly correlated with a decreased agreement with a ‘nuts and oil’ DP. As a retrospective design was used to collect dietary intake at T0, the reliability could be affected by recall bias, new education provided through engagement with SW and no reference to a timeframe for participants to report their retrospective intake. FFQ limit participants to report only their intake of the food items included within the questionnaire, it is noted that some sources of free sugars were not covered by the FFQ. The FFQ used in this analysis was validated against a 4-d food record at two separate time points rather than simultaneously. The FFQ used was validated using a New Zealand population, at the time of conducting the research no such FFQ validated in a UK population for the use of identifying DP, dietary fibre and free sugar intake existed. The retained DP accounted for 17.6 and 21.0% of the variance present in the dataset at T0 and T1, respectively. Whilst conceivably low, this is in the range of previous reports. At present, there are limited published reports of the free sugar content of foods; thus, this analysis used an Australian database detailing the content of sugar added to foods via the manufacturer. The FFQ did not collect data on the participants’ ethnicity. A major limitation is the crude estimation of physical activity, which did not take into account the intensity of physical activity.

Conclusions for practice

Through the SW programme, a significant increase in the proportion of participants meeting the SACN recommendations was seen. Despite this, the numbers meeting the recommendations represented a small proportion of participants. This indicates further work is required for the maximum of 5% energy derived from free sugar and the dietary reference value for dietary fibre to be achieved by all members. The research suggests that DP prior to engagement in a weight management programme have some ability to predict individuals’ initial weight loss. This research provides further evidence to support the promotion of a diet low in HFSS products to individuals wishing to lose weight and lower energy and free sugar intake. Healthcare professionals can use the results to tailor their signposting of patients to weight management services and to aid the translation of nutrient-based recommendations into practical advice for their patients. Future research needs to determine the DP in a male and female population engaging in a commercial weight management programme, over a longer period.
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