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Abstract

**Background:** *Dioscorea* is an important plant genus in terms of food supply and pharmaceutical applications. However, its classification and identification are controversial. DNA barcoding is a recent aid to taxonomic identification and uses a short standardized DNA region to discriminate plant species. In this study, the applicability of three candidate DNA barcodes (*rbcl, matK, and psbA-trnH*) to identify species within *Dioscorea* was tested.

**Methodology/Principal Findings:** One-hundred and forty-eight individual plant samples of *Dioscorea*, encompassing 38 species, seven varieties and one subspecies, representing majority species distributed in China of this genus, were collected from its main distributing areas. Samples were assessed by PCR amplification, sequence quality, extent of specific genetic divergence, DNA barcoding gap, and the ability to discriminate between species. *matK* successfully identified 23.26% of all species, compared with 9.30% for *rbcl* and 11.63% for *psbA-trnH*. Therefore, *matK* is recommended as the best DNA barcoding candidate. We found that the combination of two or three loci achieved a higher success rate of species discrimination than one locus alone. However, experimental cost would be much higher if two or three loci, rather than a single locus, were assessed.

**Conclusions:** We conclude that *matK* is a strong, although not perfect, candidate as a DNA barcode for *Dioscorea* identification. This assessment takes into account both its ability for species discrimination and the cost of experiments.

Introduction

*Dioscorea* is a genus of more than 600 plant species in the family Dioscoreaceae [1], which contains approximately 70 sections. These species are mainly found in Southeast Asia, Africa, Central America, South America, and in other tropical or subtropical regions where some *Dioscorea* species are an economically important supply of starch in the staple diet. The genus is also a favored source of medicinal plants used to extract precursors of cortisone and other steroid hormones [2–4]. The importance of *Dioscorea* in terms of food supply and pharmaceutical use, together with the controversy over classification [5–8], has given impetus to improve the identification of this genus.

In this study, we aimed to establish a high-quality system for taxonomic identification to meet the requirements of agriculture and the pharmaceutical industry. Since the early 20th century, morphology, cytology, palynology, and other traditional means of identification of this genus have been explored successively [9–16]. With the development of molecular biology, however, some DNA sequences, such as those of *rbcl, matK* and *trnL-F*, have been used to solve complicated taxonomic problems and to infer phylogenetic relationships among organisms, including members of the Dioscoreaceae [17–19].

DNA barcoding has recently emerged as an aid for global species identification and has been successfully used in several studies when morphological characteristics were absent [20–23]. In animals, the mitochondrial gene cytochrome *c* oxidase I (COI) has provided a favorable solution in species identification [24–29]; however, this gene has limited usefulness in plants. Therefore, several candidate regions have been proposed for use in plants, including portions of some coding genes (*matK, rbcl, npd* and *npG1*) [23], noncoding spacers (*psbA-trnH, atpF-tpaH* and ITS) [30–32], or a combination of several regions [33].

Little research has been carried out to investigate the applicability and effectiveness of different DNA regions as barcodes to identify species within *Dioscorea*. In particular, characterisation of species found in China, one of the most likely centers of origin [34,35], was rarely included in previous studies. This study focuses on *Dioscorea* species distributed in China, and three candidate DNA barcode regions (*matK, rbcl* and *psbA-trnH*) in the plastid genome were evaluated for identification. We aimed to address several questions: for example, which of these three
regions is the most useful as a barcode and how effective are these three regions and their combinations for this discrimination?

Results

Sequence analysis and amplification efficiency

The sequence information of three candidate DNA barcode markers, matK, rbcL, and psbA-trnH, is provided in Table 1. For individual regions, aligned sequence lengths ranged from 535 bp for psbA-trnH to 752 for matK. rbcL was the most conserved gene (522/553 nucleotides), based on both sequence length and number of conserved sites. matK had the greatest nucleotide variation (110/752), followed by psbA-trnH (74/535), based on sequence length and number of variable sites. psbA-trnH had the highest percentage of parsimony (parsim)-informative sites (70/535), followed by matK (61/752). It could be inferred that psbA-trnH and matK are the best regions for use as DNA barcodes for phylogenetic reconstruction, whereas rbcL was the least suitable marker for Dioscorea.

The efficiency of PCR amplification is one of the important indicators for evaluating the applicability of DNA barcodes. The amplification efficiency of matK using universal primers was 70.23% (the lowest efficiency found), while the amplification rate of rbcL and psbA-trnH was 83.33% and 74.07%, respectively. 81.4% amplification efficiency was achieved with another matK universal primer set 3F_Kim/1R_KIM. Samples that failed to amplify with universal primers were successfully amplified using specific primer sets designed by ourselves based on the Dioscorea sequences available in Genbank. Samples that amplified successfully using universal primers were randomly picked to be amplified by the self-designed primers to verify their scope of use; amplification success rates were all found to be 100%.

Intra-specific variation and inter-specific divergence

The maximum intra-specific divergence and the minimum inter-specific divergence of the three candidate barcodes and their combinations, matK-rbcL, matK-psbA-trnH, rbcL-psbA-trnH, matK+rbcL-psbA-trnH, matK+rbcL+psbA-trnH, were estimated using six metrics [36]. The non-coding region (psbA-trnH) showed greater intra- and inter-specific divergence than the coding regions (matK and rbcL; Table 2). PsbA-trnH had the highest inter-specific divergence, followed by that of rbcL+psbA-trnH and matK+psbA-trnH, and the inter-specific divergence of rbcL was the lowest (Table 2). matK had the maximum intra-specific variation while rbcL had the minimum. Furthermore, all seven barcodes showed higher genetic variability between than within species.

Statistical comparison of divergence

It can be seen from the Wilcoxon signed rank tests that the inter-specific divergence of matK was higher than that of rbcL, and rbcL exhibited a higher inter-specific divergence than did psbA-trnH (Table 3). P-values were less than zero showed that the differences were highly significant. These statistically analysed data suggest that matK would serve as an ideal candidate for identifying Dioscorea.

DNA barcoding gap assessment

We examined the distributions of intra-specific versus inter-specific divergence in the seven barcodes at a scale of 0.001 distance units. Although no distinct barcoding gaps as typical of COI were found in the distributions of all the loci, it does suggest a clearly defined range, where the intraspecific variation is considerably lower than the interspecific divergence (Fig. 1). And among them, matK revealed a relatively well separated distribution. For matK, the intra-specific distances mainly distributed in section 0.000–0.010, while the inter-specific distances mainly distributed in section 0.050–0.060. And for matK congeneric species with a genetic distance of zero accounted for only 4.914% of the total samples (8.209% for rbcL and 10.57% for psbA-trnH). So it’s proposed that matK could be used to discriminate most species in this study. The loci combination matK+rbcL+psbA-trnH could also be used for species identification in Dioscorea with the lowest ratio of samples (0.486%) having an inter-specific distance of zero. For matK+rbcL+psbA-trnH, the intra-specific distances mainly distributed in section 0.000–0.010, and the inter-specific distances mainly distributed in section 0.060–0.070. Furthermore, it was confirmed that the interspecific divergences of all the seven loci was significantly higher than that of the corresponding intraspecific variations by Wilcoxon two-sample tests. And the most significant difference was observed in matK for single locus and matK+rbcL+psbA-trnH for loci combination (Table S1).

Applicability for species discrimination

BLAST1 searches and the nearest genetic distance were used to test the applicability of the three loci and four combinations for species identification (Table 2). Our results revealed that matK possessed the highest identification efficiency of the three loci. In contrast, the rates of successful species identification using psbA-trnH were the lowest. In addition, the success rates of combined barcodes were higher than those of the single locus using both methods. matK+rbcL+psbA-trnH had the highest authentication capability, which correctly identified 53.49% of the species by both the BLAST1 search and the nearest genetic distance methods.

Discussion

Assessment of the applicability of the three candidate barcodes

An ideal DNA barcode must have high PCR amplification efficiency, whilst containing enough variability to be used for species identification and adequate conserved regions for the design of universal primers [37]. In this study, it was found that the chloroplast matK gene was a promising candidate for authenticating Dioscorea species based on amplification efficiency, barcoding

| Marker     | Sequence length (bp) | Alignment length (bp) | Conserved sites (bp) | Variable sites (bp) | Parsim-informative sites (bp) |
|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| matK       | 794–1054             | 752                   | 642                  | 110                 | 81                           |
| rbcL       | 631–743              | 553                   | 522                  | 31                  | 23                           |
| psbA-trnH  | 268–631              | 535                   | 454                  | 74                  | 70                           |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032057.t001
Table 2. Measures of inter- and intra-specific divergence and identification efficiency of the potential barcodes and combined barcodes.

|                         | matK           | rbCL           | psbA-trnH       | matK+rbCL       | matK+psbA-trnH  | rbCL+psbA-trnH  | matK+rbCL+psbA-trnH |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------|
| All intraspecific distances | 0.0095±0.0167  | 0.0019±0.0045  | 0.0195±0.00469 | 0.0062±0.001  | 0.0082±0.0127 | 0.0072±0.0147 | 0.0082±0.0127       |
| Mean theta              | 0.0118±0.0164  | 0.0026±0.00444 | 0.0155±0.0273  | 0.0078±0.0098 | 0.0089±0.009 | 0.0065±0.009 | 0.0089±0.009       |
| Average coalescent depth| 0.0178±0.0224  | 0.005±0.0074   | 0.0401±0.0699  | 0.0121±0.0139 | 0.0161±0.017 | 0.0156±0.0221 | 0.0161±0.017       |
| All interspecific distances | 0.0295±0.0249  | 0.0125±0.0076  | 0.0879±0.0857  | 0.0222±0.0162 | 0.0325±0.0246 | 0.0355±0.0293 | 0.0325±0.0246       |
| The minimum interspecific distance | 0.0035±0.0009  | 0.0003±0.0029  | 0.0002±0.0061  | 0.0029±0.0062 | 0.0031±0.006 | 0.002±0.0035 | 0.0031±0.006       |
| Efficiency of PCR amplification with universal primers/% | 70.23 (81.40) | 83.33 | 74.07          |                |                |                |                    |
| Relative identification efficiency/% | 23.26 (27.70) | 9.30 (10.81)  | 11.63 (20.27)  | 46.51 (60.81) | 32.56 (53.38) | 37.21 (38.51) | 53.49 (74.32)       |
| Nearest distance        | 23.26 (27.70) | 9.30 (10.81)  | 4.65 (14.86)   | 46.51 (60.81) | 30.23 (50.00) | 27.91 (34.46) | 53.49 (71.62)       |

1 Efficiency of PCR amplification with universal primer 3F_Kim and 1R_KIM recommended by CBOL (http://barcoding.si.edu) in a sample pool composed of one randomly selected sample from all species.
2 Species identification efficiency with sample identification efficiency in bracket.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032057.t002

Table 3. Wilcoxon signed rank test of the inter-specific divergences among the three loci.

|                      | W+  | W−  | Relative Ranks, n, P value | Result |
|----------------------|-----|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| matK                 | 5949, n = 10403, p = 0.0 | 3268, n = 10003, p = 0.0 | matK > rbCL |
| psbA-trnH            | 2863, n = 10368, p = 0.0 | 6319, n = 10013, p = 0.0 | psbA-trnH > matK |
| rbCL                 | 2503, n = 10213, p = 0.0 | 6299, n = 10013, p = 0.0 | rbCL > psbA-trnH |
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032057.t003

Applying and deletions appear to be a common characteristic of this genetic region, even in closely related species [43–50]. The variable lengths of this region make sequence alignment difficult. Large insertion or deletion was also found in different populations of Dioscorea. For example, Dioscorea zingiberensis C.H. Wright collected from Yichang, Madao and Enshi in China had a 234-bp insertion segment at 183 bp compared to other populations. The generation mechanism of indels in psbA-trnH remains ambiguous, and one hypothesis was raised by Aldrich et al., [45] that the deletion of insert often occurred between imperfect AT-rich repeats flanking the insert, which was also supported by the detection of imperfect AT-rich repeats flanking the indel in Dioscorea zingiberensis. In contrast with the problems of indels for sequence alignment, indels will ultimately enrich the information needed for species discrimination [30]. Such indels indicate a divergent trend of two groups separated by Yangtze River in Dioscorea zingiberensis [51]. The insertions were only detected in the three populations of north group, while the others exhibited deletion.

The combinations of psbK-psbA-atpF-atpH, matK+atpF-atpH+psbK-psbA and matK+atpF-atpH+psbA-trnH were used to discriminate 101 individual plants belonging to 31 species and 18 families, and achieved high success rate [40]. When combinations of two or three loci were used in Dioscorea, much higher identification efficiency was achieved than any of single locus. The amplification efficiency of 100% was obtained using specific primers and the identification efficiency was highest when using the three loci (23.26%). The chloroplast rbCl gene did not have enough inter-specific divergence, although its amplification efficiency was not low. The success rate of identification of psbA-trnH was too low to be useful for this purpose.

Dioscorea zingiberensis as it is for other species [43,44]. In addition, the presence of a poly-A/T in this region often reduces the success rate of DNA sequencing.

DNA Barcoding for Species Discrimination

**Table 3. Wilcoxon signed rank test of the inter-specific divergences among the three loci.**

| W+    | W−    | Relative Ranks, n, P value | Result |
|-------|-------|----------------------------|--------|
| matK  | 5949, n = 10403, p = 0.0 | 3268, n = 10003, p = 0.0 | matK > rbCL |
| psbA-trnH | 2863, n = 10368, p = 0.0 | 6319, n = 10013, p = 0.0 | psbA-trnH > matK |
| rbCL  | 2503, n = 10213, p = 0.0 | 6299, n = 10013, p = 0.0 | rbCL > psbA-trnH |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0032057.t003

**Applying of matK in discrimination of Dioscorea species.**

matK is a recommended DNA barcode candidate gene because of its high evolution rate [23,52,53]. Lahaye and colleagues amplified matK successfully in 398 samples using primers 390F/1326R in 2008 and more than 90% species could be identified. However, these results have been reviewed unfavorably by some researchers. Kress and colleagues [32] doubted whether amplification efficiency could remain high in plants from other families, as 96% of samples in Lahaye’s study were orchids. The criticism of matK for use as a DNA barcode is its poor performance of primer universality [52,54] so different primers are needed to amplify samples from different taxa. Kress and Erickson [31] achieved a
39.3% amplification rate for 96 species belonging to 48 genera, although 10 pairs of primers were used. Fazekas and colleagues [39] amplified 251 individual plants from 32 genera and 92 species, but their success rate was only 87.6%.

The amplification efficiency of *Dioscorea* were 70.23% and 81.40% with primers intF/intR and 3F_Kim/1R_KIM respectively and a 100% success rate was achieved using our in-house designed specific primers. For an ideal barcode a distinct gap with no overlap is essential [29,36]. But in this study, no distinct barcoding gap was found even though intra-specific divergence and interspecific divergence was mainly non-overlapping (Fig. 1).

Nevertheless, based on the histogram of DNA barcoding gap and species identification, *matK* was proven to be better than the other loci in our study.

ITS and ITS2 have also been proposed to be the most promising universal DNA barcode in plants [53,56], unfortunately, because of the low sequencing success of the ITS and ITS2 region brought by serious endophyte interference in our study, this region was not included for further analysis.

In conclusion, our study shows that the *matK* is a strong, although not perfect, candidate for *Dioscorea* identification. It remains necessary to carry out further research on other more variable DNA barcodes such as *psbK-psbI* and *atpF-atpH* in species identification of this genus.

**Materials and Methods**

**Plant materials**

Plant samples were collected from different locations in China and identified by one of our authors, Prof. Yueyu Hang. In total, 148 individual samples belonging to 36 species, seven variants and one subspecies, representing majority species (46/61) and all six sections distributed in China of this genus, were collected for further analysis. Fresh leaves were dried in silica gel at the time of collection. Voucher specimens were deposited in the herbarium at the Kunming Institute of Botany, Chinese Academy of Sciences (KIM) (Table S2).

**DNA extraction, amplification, sequencing**

Genomic DNA was extracted following a cetyl trimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) protocol modified from Paterson et al. [57]. The universal primers intF and intR (RBG Edinburgh recommended), 1F and 724R [58], and psbAF and trnH2 [59] were used in the amplification of *matK*, *rbcL*, and *psbA-trnH* regions of the cpDNA respectively. As to these samples failed to amplify using universal primers, specific primers were designed with the aid of Oligo primer design software (Molecular Biology Insights, Inc., Cascade, Colorado, USA), based on genus *Dioscorea* sequences deposited in the GenBank database. For example the *matK* sequence of *D. alata* L. (AB040268), the *rbcL* sequence of *D. alata* L. (AY667098) and the *psbA-trnH* region of *D. elephantipes* (L’Her.) Engl. (EF380353.1) were used. In addition, the universal primer set 3F_Kim and 1R_KIM currently recommended by CBOL (http://barcoding.si.edu/) was also adopted to evaluate the efficiency of PCR amplification in a sample pool composed of one randomly selected sample from all species. Detailed sequences of all the primers and reaction conditions are listed in Table S3.

Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification of the three candidate barcodes was carried out using the following program: a pre melt of 3 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 45 s denaturation at 94°C, 30 s annealing reaction at 53–58°C, and finally a 1.5 min 30 s extension at 72°C. Each 20-μl reaction mixture contained 30 ng of genomic DNA template, 2.5 mmol/L MgCl2, 1× Mg-free DNA polymerase buffer, 0.12 mmol/L dNTPs, 0.3 μmol/L each primer, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase.

PCR products were examined electrophoretically using 0.8–1.2% agarose gels. Purification and bidirectional sequencing were completed by Beijing Genomics Institute (BGI) using the amplification primers.

**Sequence alignment and data analysis**

Sequences were aligned and adjusted manually using Sequencer v.4.5 software (GeneCodes, Ann Arbor, MI, USA). The nucleotide sequence data of the three regions were deposited in the GenBank database (Table S2). All genetic distances were calculated using MEGA (4.0 Version) software.

Average intra-specific distance, mean theta and coalescent depth were calculated to determine intra-specific variation [36,55], and average interspecific distance, theta prime and the minimum interspecific distance were calculated to determine interspecific divergence [36,55,60]. Wilcoxon signed-rank tests were performed as previously described [23,31]. The distribution of intra-specific versus interspecific variability was evaluated by assessment of the presence of DNA barcoding gaps [31,36]. Two methods of species identification, including BLAST1 protein similarity search and the nearest distance method, were carried out as described previously [61]. BLAST1 searches were conducted on a local reference library constructed for each region. The barcode sequence of each species was queried against the local library with the “blastn” command. The identity of a sample was based on the best hit and the E-value for the match must be lower than the cutoff value. In comparison, for the nearest genetic distance method, the identity of a sample was determined based on the subject sequence which has the smallest genetic distance and the distance must be less than a distance threshold. The traffic light approach was used to identify the combination of markers [62]. The combination would have identification power as long as the sequences could be identified by any of the markers in combination, while the combination would be incapable of identifying sequences if none of the markers in combination could identify sequences successfully.
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