Recognition of Brain Metastases Using Gadolinium-Enhanced SWI MRI: Proof-of-Concept Study
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Background and purpose: SWI MRI, a T2*-dominant MRI sequence with T1 shine-through effect, uses intrinsic structural susceptibility to create enhancement among brain structures. We evaluated whether gadolinium-enhanced SWI (SWI-Gd) improves brain metastasis detection in combination with other MRI sequences.

Materials and methods: MRI images of 24 patients (46 studies) were prospectively acquired using a 1.5-T scanner. T1-weighted, unenhanced SWI (SWI-U) and SWI-Gd were evaluated blindly to clinical features by two board-certified radiologists.

Results: SWI-Gd revealed more significant metastatic lesions than either T1-Gd or SWI-U (p = 0.0004 for either comparator sequence). Moreover, SWI-Gd revealed more lesions only for those patients with ≤5 lesions on T1-Gd (n = 30 studies from 16 patients; p = 0.046). Performing SWI-Gd added <5 min of scanning time with no further additional risk.

Conclusions: Our findings suggest that, when added to T1-Gd and other common sequences, SWI-Gd may improve the diagnostic yield of brain metastases with only a few extra minutes of scanning time and no further risk than that of a regular gadolinium-enhanced MRI.
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INTRODUCTION

Cerebral metastases are the most common form of brain tumors in adults (1, 2), are a significant source of morbidity and mortality, and have direct implications on the treatment and prognosis of the primary tumor (3, 4).

Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the standard for diagnosing brain metastases (5); however, there is no one-size-fits-all MRI protocol for their evaluation (6). Treatment of brain metastases depends on a number of tumor and host factors, as well as lesion location and surgical accessibility, for which an adequate MRI staging is crucial (5). Taking advantage of already established MRI methods—with simple modifications—can potentially result in earlier detection of metastatic lesions.

Susceptibility-weighted imaging (SWI) is an MRI technique that takes advantage of intrinsic magnetic susceptibility differences between adjacent tissues (7), leading to a better distinction
of inter- and intratissue characteristics (8, 9). SWI is routinely performed without the use of gadolinium contrast enhancement and is used clinically to evaluate a number of neurological conditions (10, 11).

Although SWI is a T2*-dominant sequence, there is also a so-called T1 shine-through effect, in which lesions preserve on SWI the characteristics expected on T1-weighted images (12). Hence, gadolinium can hypothetically enhance lesions on SWI and, by creating further intratissue contrast, unmask small lesions early in the course of metastatic seeding.

Gadolinium enhancement has been used experimentally in SWI for evaluating primary gliomas, where it has shown promising results on staging, grading, and even determining the aggressiveness of primary brain tumors (13). SWI-Gd has been recently shown to be helpful for the detection of blood–brain barrier dysfunction in patients with multiple sclerosis (14), suggesting that it can also improve the imaging assessment of brain metastases. Hence, gadolinium enhancement in SWI (SWI-Gd) can potentially reveal certain characteristics of cerebral metastases not observed otherwise. The present study was therefore designed to investigate if SWI-Gd can improve identifying metastatic brain lesions in comparison to the usual (T1-Gd and SWI-U) MRI sequences.

METHODS

Ethics
The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Review Board of Hospital San Javier, Guadalajara, Mexico. All patients signed the informed consent.

Study Design and Selection of Participants
This study was designed as a prospective one. All participants were adults (≥18 years old) with a histologically confirmed systemic tumor who came for MRI evaluation either in search of cerebral metastases or to evaluate previously determined systemic tumor who came for MRI evaluation either in search of cerebral metastases or to evaluate previously determined metastatic disease. Demographic data, as well as specific details about the diagnosis of the primary and metastatic tumor, and other diagnostic data were acquired retrospectively from hospital records.

MRI Protocol
MRI studies were acquired on a 1.5-T scanner (Achieva; Philips Healthcare, Best, The Netherlands) using a 16-channel coil. After signing the informed consent, participants underwent a standard unenhanced MRI protocol [T1-, T2-, diffusion-weighted, and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR)], followed by T1-GD images (duration of acquisition: 3’17”) and SWI-Gd (duration of acquisition: 3’26”), instead of only acquiring the routine T1-Gd images. The order of sequence acquisition postcontrast infusion was as follows: (1) Perfusion-weighted (duration: 1’1’’); (2) T1-weighted (duration: 3’17”); SWI (duration: 3’26”). Therefore, gadolinium-enhanced T1-weighted images were acquired 1’1” post-infusion, while SWI-Gd were acquired 4’38” post-infusion. Lesions of interest were defined a priori as follows: in SWI-U, as single or multiple, hypointense or hyperintense lesions, as previously reported (15). In gadolinium-enhanced sequences, lesions show nodular or ring enhancement. MRI studies were performed from September 2017 to August 2018. Studies that had artifacts interfering with the interpretation or those suggestive of an alternative diagnosis were excluded from the study.

Contrast-enhanced with fast field echo (FFE) T1-weighted images were acquired using the following parameters: slice thickness 0.55 mm; FOV 230 × 183 × 142 mm³; matrix 256 × 159; TR/TE/TI 11,000/130/2,800 ms; and acquisition time 5 min and 8 s. SWI images were acquired with a flow-compensated 3D gradient-echo method using the following parameters: FOV, 230 × 187 × 130 mm³; matrix, 244 × 186 mm³; voxel size, 1.0 × 1.0 × 2.0 mm³; voxel volume, 2 mm³; TR/TE, 51/60 ms; slice thickness, 1 mm; flip angle = 20°; acquisition time, 3 min and 26 s. For image reconstruction on SWI, we used raw data, as well as minimum intensity projection (MinIP). Gadolinium-enhanced SWI and T1-weighted images were repeated after intravenous administration of 0.2 ml/kg (0.1 mmol/kg) of gadoterate meglumine (Dotarem®; Guerbet; Paris, France), which was infused as a bolus at a rate of 2.0 ml/s. The order of imaging acquisition was consistent between participants. SWI images were reviewed simultaneously in phase and magnitude; phase was used to detect the paramagnetic/diamagnetic signal that is suggestive of blood or calcium content. All images were analyzed blindly to patient information by two radiologists; one of them (JC-C) is a neuroradiologist with 30 years of diagnostic experience, while the second one (DAL-G) has 3 years of neuroimaging diagnostic experience. Images were analyzed jointly by both reviewers, and individual lesions, as well as lesion burden, were determined by consensus.

Statistical Analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using Prism version 6 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA). Images were analyzed independently by two observers, and the number of metastatic lesions was counted manually on unenhanced SWI and T1-weighted followed by Gd-enhanced sequences. Continuous data such as means and standard deviations were obtained. Student t and one-way ANOVA tests were used to compare differences between groups, and p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Sample
Thirty-five patients agreed to participate; however, six did not sign the informed consent and were therefore excluded from the study; of the 29 remaining patients, five patients had to be excluded due to technical reasons. Our final sample included 24 patients (19 female, 5 male), with a total of 58 MRI studies, of which 12 studies were excluded from the analysis due to movement artifacts, leaving us with 46 MRI studies that were considered of good quality for analysis (on average, 1.9 studies/patient).
Demographic and Tumor-Specific Baseline Characteristics

Patients presented with a wide variety of clinical manifestations, but the presence of headache, altered mental status, and focal neurological signs were the most commonly recorded (Table 1). The mean age at diagnosis of the primary tumor was 56.4 ± 16.1 years, while the age at diagnosis of metastatic disease was 57.8 ± 16.5 years. The mean time from diagnosis of the primary tumor to metastatic brain disease was 372 ± 519 days. The most common tumor was breast cancer (n = 11; 45.8%) followed by lung (n = 7; 29.5%), and colon (n = 2; 8.3%) cancer; there was one patient each with pancreatic, kidney, melanoma, or hematopoietic cancer.

MRI Findings

Burden of Metastatic Brain Disease

In T1-Gd, we observed an average of 5.61 ± 10.02 brain metastases; in SWI-U, we observed an average of 6.22 ± 11.61 brain metastases. In contrast, in the SWI-Gd, we observed, on average, 12.52 ± 21.95 brain metastases. SWI-Gd was statistically superior to either reference sequence (one-way ANOVA, \( p = 0.0009 \); intergroup Student’s t-test, \( p = 0.0004 \)) (Figure 1A).

A sub-analysis of MRI studies independently of the burden of metastasis showed that, in 24 out of 46 sets of images obtained from 14 patients, SWI-Gd revealed one or more enhancing lesions that had not been observed in T1-Gd; in comparison, T1-Gd revealed more enhancing lesions than those seen by SWI-Gd in only one case. We observed no difference in the number of metastatic lesions in the remaining studies.

We then wondered if SWI-Gd would result in an improved ability to determine the number of brain lesions in patients with a smaller burden of metastatic disease (Figure 2). First, we reviewed MRI scans from patients with \( \leq 5 \) brain metastases in T1-Gd. A total of 30 MRIs from 16 patients were analyzed. In this group, T1-Gd revealed a mean of 2.13 ± 1.0 metastases; SWI-U, 1.47 ± 1.46 metastases; while SWI-Gd, 3.03 ± 2.71 metastases. Here, we also observed that SWI-Gd was statistically superior
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analyzed. In this group, T1-Gd revealed 1.6 ± 0.5 metastases; SWI-U, 1.0 ± 0.86 metastases; and SWI-Gd, 1.85 ± 0.93 metastases. At this level (two or fewer lesions or visible on T1-Gd), SWI-Gd was superior to SWI-U (Student’s t-test, \( p = 0.01 \)), but not statistically different to T1-Gd (Figure 1C).

**Non-oncologic Findings**

Images suggestive of microbleeds were observed in three scans using SWI-U and four scans using SWI-Gd (\( p = \text{ns} \)). Microbleeds were concurrent on SWI-U and SWI-Gd in two patients with breast cancer metastases and one patient with hematopoietic cancer metastases. The differing case occurred in one patient with malignant melanoma metastases. Six patients had non-specific white matter intensities suggestive of microangiopathy; three had dilated perivascular spaces; two patients had intrasellar arachnoidocele; and one had leukoaraiosis associated with radiotherapy. As expected, non-oncological findings were consistent between studies.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we present compelling preliminary evidence suggesting that SWI-Gd MRI increases the ability to recognize brain metastases from extracerebral tumors (Figure 2).

Ever since its inception in the clinical arena, brain MRI changed the way intracranial metastases are diagnosed (16). Among the common MRI sequences available, SWI takes advantage of intrinsic tissue magnetic characteristics to generate intrinsic contrast. SWI generates invaluable information about cerebral vasculature, deoxyhemoglobin in veins, hemorrhage and microbleeds, iron, and calcium deposition, and neovascularization, all without the need for contrast agents (17–19). SWI is therefore used routinely to evaluate a number of neurological conditions, including diffuse axonal injury, stroke, multiple sclerosis, or cerebral amyloid angiopathy, to name a few (10, 11). Recent evidence suggests that gadolinium can be useful in susceptibility-weighted MRI (14) hypothetically by taking advantage of the so-called T1 shine-through effect (12),

---

**FIGURE 2** | Illustrative cases of lesions seen only with SWI-Gd. (A–C) MRI from a 45 years old female patient diagnosed with breast cancer and preexisting metastases on the right parieto-occipital region and a second one on the fifth left temporal gyrus. T1-Gd shows heterogeneous enhancement on both lesions (A) that were heterogeneous but predominantly hypointense on SWI-U (B). SWI-Gd showed annular enhancement and edema of the previously described lesions, as well as an otherwise not seen lesion (arrow) in the left occipital lobe with annular enhancement (C). (D–F) MRI from a 58 years old female with pancreatic cancer. T1-Gd shows three small enhancing lesions: two in the right frontal lobe; another in the left frontal lobe (D), that were not observed with SWI-U (E). SWI-Gd shows the lesions observed in T1-Gd, as well as two previously unseen lesions (arrows) (F).
potentially leading to improved detection of brain metastases, lesions know to be small, complex, and heterogeneous.

The diagnostic sensitivity of different MRI sequences (including T1-Gd and SWI-U) for the detection of brain metastases has been addressed before. In the case of brain metastases from systemic melanoma or breast cancer, T1-Gd has been shown to be better than other modalities (15, 20). Moreover, in direct comparison with T1-Gd for detecting melanoma metastases, SWI-U has shown no diagnostic advantage (21). To our knowledge, the present study is the first one to prospectively evaluate the added value of SWI-Gd for the potential detection of brain metastases. Here, we observed that SWI-Gd reveals more lesions with a greater level of detail than the usual sequences. This held true across the whole sample, as well as when we selected those participants with ≤5 lesions. However, we failed to observe differences when only those with ≤2 were considered, probably reflecting that SWI-Gd has no role at the lower burden of metastatic disease, but may also reflect a lack of power to detect differences due to the small sample size. Interestingly, some lesions that were visible in SWI-U became clearer after contrast administration; however, others were only observable only in SWI-Gd, even with a side-by-side unblinded comparison with SWI-U or T1-Gd. As expected, we did not observe improved detection of hemorrhagic lesions between SWI-U and SWI-Gd. This may be also due to the small sample size, as we observed microhemorrhages on a very small subset (three patients on SWI-U; four patients on SWI-Gd).

SWI-Gd has been evaluated for primary brain tumors with mixed results. In gliomas, SWI is useful in determining certain characteristics of the tumor, including growth potential (low- and high-grade) (22), intratumor vasculature (23, 24), and treatment response (25, 26). To our knowledge, our study is the first to analyze SWI-Gd for metastatic brain disease; its utility in the diagnosis of primary gliomas suggests that it can be a useful addition to the standard MRI protocol for evaluating brain metastases.

In the present study, the interval between gadolinium infusion and SWI acquisition was around 5 min. The timing between infusion of gadolinium and MRI acquisition can critically interfere with image enhancement, where delayed postcontrast acquisition (~20 min) may theoretically result in improved rates of lesion detection (27). However, shorter intervals (as in the present study, in which the delay was 4’38”) have shown minimal, if any, impact on image quality or detection ability (28).

Due to the non-invasive nature of the present study, while all primary tumors were histologically confirmed, we did not obtain histological confirmation from the observed metastatic lesions. However, preclinical evidence derived from animal models of tumor metastases suggests that gadolinium-enhancing lesions correspond to metastatic seeding (29).

Our study has several limitations. First of all, we have a relatively small sample size due to the exploratory (proof-of-concept) design; therefore, our findings will have to be replicated or rejected in future studies. Other important limitations include a mixture of primary tumors, analysis of parenchymal (but not leptomeningeal) lesions, as well as expected differences in tumor biology among participants. Also, we did not perform a concordance analysis between MRI evaluators. While some patients were scanned only once, some others were scanned as many as four times during the duration of the present study, and we did not perform an intrasubject analysis. Those limitations, inherent to a proof-of-concept study, will, therefore, need to be validated in larger, tumor-specific, cohorts.

The study has also some technical limitations. In every case, T1-Gd was acquired before SWI-Gd; while the span between both was short, it is possible that the observed advantage of SWI-Gd was due in part to delayed enhancement. We plan to evaluate this in a follow-up study. Also, we used a 1.5-T scanner, something that can be seen as a limitation as well as an advantage: a limitation as it is known that more powerful scanners have better sensibility and require half the dose of gadolinium to achieve similar results; on the opposite end, 1.5-T scanners are widely available, making the results more easily generalizable.

In conclusion, here we observe for the first time that SWI-Gd can be a valuable addition to the detection of brain metastases. By taking advantage of gadolinium contrast, SWI-Gd may improve the detection of brain metastases when added to the standard contrast-enhanced MRI sequences without further risk (as the patient is already receiving gadolinium) and with only a few extra minutes of scanning.
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