Radiological interventions in malignant biliary obstruction
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Abstract
Malignant biliary obstruction is commonly caused by gall bladder carcinoma, cholangiocarcinoma and metastatic nodes. Percutaneous interventional techniques play an important role in managing these patients. Biliary drainage, which forms the major bulk of radiological interventions, can be palliative in inoperable patients or pre-operative to improve liver function prior to surgery. Other interventions include cholecystostomy and radiofrequency ablation. We present here the indications, contraindications, technique and complications of the radiological interventions performed in patients with malignant biliary obstruction.
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Core tip: Malignant biliary obstruction is a common cause of jaundice and frequently needs percutaneous radiological interventions as a part of pre-operative or palliative treatment. Various techniques are available for biliary drainage and tumor palliation. The indications, technique, advantages and complications of each of the procedures are presented in this review.

INTRODUCTION

Biliary obstruction is caused by many conditions, including benign and malignant diseases. Malignant biliary obstruction (MBO) is usually caused by cholangiocarcinoma, gall bladder and pancreatic malignancies, metastatic lymphadenopathy and infrequently by hepatic and advanced gastric and duodenal malignancies. Frequently the tumors are unresectable at diagnosis and only palliative treatment is possible to improve patients’ quality of life[1]. The key purpose of biliary interventions in these patients is to decompress the obstructed biliary system and if possible to develop a
communication between the biliary tree and the bowel allowing physiological bile flow. This decreases pain, jaundice and occurrence of cholangitis by relieving the obstruction. As hepatic dysfunction is a risk factor for major hepatic resection, biliary drainage helps in improving the liver function prior to surgery or neoadjuvant chemotherapy\(^2\,^3\).

The treatment modalities available for the management of MBO can be categorized into surgical, endoscopic and percutaneous. Each approach has its own benefits and drawbacks. The choice of the method of treatment depends on the local protocols and expertise, type of biliary stricture, and patients' condition and choice. Surgical palliation comprise of a biliary bypass (usually hepaticojejunostomy) and a gastric bypass (gastrojejunostomy), which are usually done for advanced cancers of head of pancreas\(^4\,^5\). This approach is associated with longer duration of hospital stay\(^6\). Elective surgical palliation is less frequently done at present due to the availability of minimally invasive techniques and duodenal and biliary stents. Endoscopic drainage and stenting is very effective in treatment of mid and distal malignant biliary strictures\(^7\). The advantages of this technique include lesser pain, absence of discomfort caused by external catheter and lower incidence of biliary peritonitis\(^8\,^9\). Pancreatitis is the main complication. The incidence of cholangitis is higher when compared with percutaneous treatment (PCT) due to non-drainage of some segments, especially in hilar obstructions\(^2\,^10\). However, in too low or too high obstructions the success rate of endoscopic approach is less and in such cases percutaneous technique is preferred\(^11\,^12\). Recently, endoscopic ultrasound has been used to assist biliary drainage in low obstructions where endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography fails\(^13\). Endoscopic ultrasound helps in performing intraduodenal (hepatogastrostomy) and extraduodenal (choledochoduodenostomy, choledochujejunostomy) drainage procedures with success rates above 90%, but is associated with significant complications\(^13\).

PCT is a well-recognised and acceptable treatment modality in the management of MBO. Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage (PTBD) is in practice as a part of treatment of biliary obstruction for more than four decades. This procedure has undergone immense technical modifications and improvement with the advent of newer and effective stents. Further, percutaneous technique is successful in cases where endoscopy has failed like, duodenal stricture, high or multiple obstructions, failure to traverse the biliary stricture or failure to canulate papilla, post surgical patients with altered anatomy or biliary enteric anastomosis\(^11\,^11\). Drainage of 25%-30% of normal liver is adequate to improve jaundice and liver functions\(^17\,^14\). Nevertheless, as bile stasis in any dilated biliary segment can result in infection, drainage of such segments is necessary. Baseline imaging is important to evaluate the extent and severity of biliary dilatation and level of obstruction. Any dilated biliary tree which gets opacified on preliminary cholangiogram needs separate drainage if the communication is narrow, as there is very high incidence of post intervention cholangitis\(^15\,^16\).

Hence, cholangiogram should be carefully done in cases hilar obstruction of unilateral drainage to prevent infection\(^17\,^10\). In this article we review the indications, technique and complications of percutaneous management of malignant biliary obstructions.

**INDICATIONS AND CONTRAINDICATIONS**

The percutaneous drainage is performed as a preoperative procedure for resectable malignancies, prior to neoadjuvant chemotherapy or as a palliative technique\(^7\). Indications of percutaneous management of malignant biliary obstruction includes high biliary obstructions, failed endoscopic drainage, post-operative cases with biliary obstruction, recurrent malignancies and multiple segment strictures. There are no absolute contraindication for PCT of MBO. Relative contraindications are hemorrhagic disorders, allergy to iodinated contrast agents and ascites.

Various percutaneous techniques which are available in the treatment of MBO include PTBD, biliary stenting, percutaneous cholecystostomy, and therapeutic techniques like photodynamic therapy, brachytherapy and radiofrequency ablation.

**PRE-PROCEDURE IMAGING AND PREPARATION**

All patients with surgical obstructive jaundice should undergo multiphase contrast enhanced computed tomography (CT) scan and/or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) prior to percutaneous management\(^20\). Contrast enhanced CT scan and MRI are useful in identifying the level and cause of obstruction and defining the extent of the disease, thus providing a platform to plan further management (Figures 1 and 2). MRCP provides information about the extent of biliary obstruction and dilatation, level of obstruction and presence of any variations in biliary anatomy (Figure 3). Once the baseline imaging diagnosis is done, the best possible management in each case is discussed and decided at the gastrointestinal-radiology meeting involving gastrointestinal surgeons, gastroenterologists and radiologists.

The role of ultrasonography cannot be underestimated\(^20\). It is very useful as a screening procedure prior to percutaneous intervention. It helps in assessment of the biliary dilatation, presence of ascites, volume of the liver lobes/segments and patency of biliary confluence (primary and secondary) as this decides the approach used, lobe to be drained and the paraphernalia needed.

Before percutaneous intervention is done, patient's coagulation profile should be checked. Routinely, prothrombin time (PT) and international normalized ratio (INR) are usually sufficient. PT values should preferably be less than four seconds above the control level and INR less than 1.5 for the procedure to be free of any significant bleeding complications\(^21\). If coagulation parameters
are deranged, vitamin K injection can be given for three days (in elective cases) and the procedure should be done after normalization. In case of emergencies (patient in cholangitis with risk of septicaemia), if PT and INR are deranged, fresh frozen plasma is given before and during the procedure and drainage should be performed. In emergent situations where coagulation profile is not known, drainage can be done immediately. However, fresh frozen plasma is kept ready in case of any uncontrolled bleeding.

A dose of intravenous antibiotics (preferably cephalosporins) and fluids are given prior to the procedure. In elective cases, the procedure is performed after at least three hours of fasting. Ascites is a relative contraindication and should be drained before PTBD is performed. Preliminary ultrasound provides good information of the approach to be used for intervention. The procedure is performed under local anesthesia (1%
TECHNIQUES

Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage - external/internal

The procedure is done with the patient in supine position after preliminary screening with ultrasonography. The skin area, depending on whether the right or left or both ductal system needs drainage, is cleaned and draped. The sectoral duct (usually segment 3 or 6 for left and right sided PTBD respectively) is punctured by 18G Chiba needle, using ultrasonography as guidance at approximately 1-3 cm away from the secondary biliary confluence. Once there is backflow of bile, a 0.032/0.035 inch soft “J” tip guide wire (Terumo, Japan) is passed through the needle, which is then exchanged for a 5F or 6F dilator followed by removal of the guidewire. Cholangiogram is performed slowly to define the biliary anatomy and type of obstruction (tapered, abrupt, irregular) (Figure 4A). Subsequently, the dilator is exchanged for a biliary manipulation catheter or an angled tip angiographic catheter over the wire. When the catheter tip is at the site of obstruction, attempt is made to manipulate the soft hydrophilic guidewire (either straight or “J” tip) to cross the stricture. Once the wire is across the stricture and in the duodenum, the catheter is pushed over the wire into the duodenum. The soft wire is then replaced by Super Stiff Amplatz guidewire over which the tract and stricture are dilated with 7F and 8F dilators. Then, an 8.3F internal-external drainage catheter (Ring biliary catheter, Cook) is positioned across the stricture and the position is confirmed with contrast injection (Figure 4B). In patients where initial attempt to cross the stricture fails, an external drainage catheter is left with tip proximal to the obstruction (Figure 4C) and internalization is attempted after a gap of two-four days. This two-step procedure frequently facilitates reduction of inflammation and edema and enhances the likelihood of negotiating the obstruction\textsuperscript{[20,22]}

For strictures distal to the hilum, \textit{i.e.,} with patent primary biliary confluence, the technique is usually simple and single drainage is sufficient. Drainage of single or both systems can be done when the primary biliary confluence is completely occluded (Figure 4D)\textsuperscript{[17]}. Multiple segment drainage may also be performed when secondary confluence is involved. Chronically obstructed biliary segments with parenchymal atrophy need not be drained as improvement in liver function is unlikely\textsuperscript{[1]}. However their drainage becomes necessary when they get infected due to stasis.

Various difficulties are encountered while performing PTBD. If biliary dilatation is mild or minimal, a micro-puncture access set (Cook, Bloomington, United States) with 21G needle and 0.018 wire can be used for initial puncture. In grossly dilated biliary system, it is difficult to identify the point of obstruction and to obtain stability for passing the guide wire. Here, an external drainage is done initially to decompress the system which increases stability, reduces edema, straightens the wire course and

lignocaine) and light conscious sedation.
also helps in identifying the point for passing the wire in subsequent sitting[22]. Some studies however have shown no difference in success rates between dilated and non-dilated biliary systems[23,24]. Sometimes there may be kinking of the manipulation catheter at the entry site on the surface of the liver or the bile duct which can be bridged by vascular sheath to provide stability. When there is difficulty in dilating the stricture over the stiff wire, dilatation with balloon catheter can be done. The technique of poking with the wire is also important for a successful procedure. Whenever there is a beak seen, one can wedge the catheter to the beak and attempt to push the wire (usually straight tip) through it. If the obstruction is irregular or abrupt plateau or rounded, it is better to keep the catheter proximally and use “J” tip wire to cross the stricture. Failure rates are higher with obstructive lesions which have flat or ovoid shape[25]. The type of stricture may also affect success rate depending on the type of drainage procedure used, with PCT with internal drainage being best for Bismuth type IV strictures[26]. For Bismuth type II and type III, endoscopic and percutaneous procedures have equal success and patency rates. Overall, the success rates depend on the local protocol for the types of strictures and individual expertise.

Another advantage of the procedure is the facility to take endobiliary tissue sample for histopathology[27,28]. The sampling can be done using cytologic brush or forceps introduced through 8F sheath prior to dilatation and stenting (Figure 5). Cholangioscopy have also been used to improve sampling results[29].

**BILIARY STENTING**

Once the obstruction is traversed, stenting can be done in the same sitting to reduce the incidence of procedure related complications[30]. Self expandable metallic stents are preferred. Metallic stents have higher patency rates, lesser overall cost and shorter hospital stay than plastic stents[30,31]. The stricture is dilated with plastic dilators, if necessary, so that the stent apparatus could be passed. Once the proximal and distal markers of the stent are at appropriate positions, the stent is released (Figure 6A). The proximal and distal ends of the stent should be at least 1 cm and preferably 2 cm from the proximal and distal ends of obstruction, respectively[30]. Pre-stent dilatation with balloon catheter increases tumor bleeding and hence is generally not done[20]. The metallic stent self expands and results in biliary drainage and decompression of the biliary system (Figure 6B). The metallic stents have thermal memory and expand to their maximum width when they reach the body temperature, usually occurring in 24-48 h. If the expansion is not adequate after 48 h, dilatation of the stent with balloon catheter may be needed for successful drainage. Self expandable metallic stents can be either covered or uncovered. Uncovered stents are usually preferred due to their lower costs, very low incidence of migration and lower complications of cholangitis and pancreatitis[32,33]. But, there is higher incidence of blockage due to tumor ingrowth. Covered stents are coated with polyurethane material and have no gaps between the struts which reduce the chances of tumor in-growth and thus improve stent patency[34]. But they are associated with increased risks of stent migration and side branch occlusion. The latter potentially leads to cholangitis, cholecystitis and pancreatitis[34,35]. The patency rate for uncovered stents is about 70% at 6 mo and 50% at one year[36,37]. With the availability of newer chemotherapy and radiotherapy regimens in unresectable malignancies, better stents with longer patency rates are needed.

As with PTBD, unilateral stents are usually sufficient in cases of hilar obstruction. When bilateral stenting is needed, they should be deployed simultaneously by placing them side-by-side for optimal expansion of both the stents and successful drainage (Figure 6C)[16]. When stenting is needed for the contralateral side after unilateral stenting, it is important to place the lower part of the stent within pre-existing stent to allow its expansion and biliary drainage. This requires passing the device through the struts of the existing stent and often balloon dilatation of the entry point in the stent. In cases of blocked endoscopically placed plastic stent, percutaneous drainage may be needed if endoscopic retrieval fails (Figure 6D).

Stent blockage may occur due to tumor ingrowth, tumor overgrowth or sludge. This needs repeat procedure. PTBD can be done again and a ring biliary catheter or another stent can be placed through the blocked stent (Figure 7). Another problem is stent fracture which can occur when balloon dilatation is attempted. This may cause early blockage of the stent.

**COMPLICATIONS OF PTBD AND STENTING**

Although safe, PCT of malignant biliary obstruction is associated with complications, which can be immediate or late[7]. The incidence of complications of PCT with metallic stents ranges from 8%-42%[11]. The complications can be categorized into early (occurring within 30 d) and late.
Early complications, with exception of pain, are seen in about 25%-50% of patients of which about half were procedure related\(^29,32,37-39\). The complications include pain at the site of puncture (more common with right sided punctures), bile leak with risk of biliary peritonitis and biloma formation, hemorrhage including haemobilia (Figure 8A), biliovenous fistula (Figure 8B), arterial injury (Figure 8C), cholangitis and septicaemia, acute pancreatitis and catheter related problems like kinking or dislocation (Figure 9). The right lobe punctures are painful as the needle has to traverse the intercostal space. Left lobe punctures are associated with higher risk of bile leak and thus biliary peritonitis which may cause acute abdomen. Right sided punctures are also associated with the risk of pneumothorax and hemothorax. The rates of complications are lower with metallic stents compared with plastic stents (16% vs 29%)\(^40\). Vascular injury during the procedure can be arterial or venous. Arterial injury occurs in 1%-2% of cases and is more commonly associated with 18-gauge puncture needles and placement of three or more catheters in a single day\(^41,42\). Left sided punctures are associated with higher incidence of hemorrhagic complications and is due to the lack of tamponading effect in left lobe punctures (Figure 8C)\(^41,43\). The hemorrhage usually resolves spontaneously, and may need temporary clamping of the catheter. If it is persistent, CT angiography should be done and if it shows active contrast extravasation or pseudoaneurysm,
treatment by embolization is needed. Biliovenous fistulas present with hemorrhage in the catheter or hemobilia and can be diagnosed on cholangiogram with filling of portal venous or hepatic venous radicles (Figure 8B). They can be managed by temporary catheter clamping or by changing the catheter to a larger bore catheter.\textsuperscript{29} Balloon dilatation was associated with early complication rate of 8.4% and stenting with 5.2%. Cholangitis occurs in about 15%-20% patients and may result in abscess formation (Figure 10)[37,39]. Acute pancreatitis is uncommon, but may be severe and is seen in less than 5% patients (Figure 10)[38,39]. Other complications like bilioma with biliary peritonitis and hemoperitoneum may also occur (Figure 10C-E).

Late complications include cholangitis, liver abscess, sepsicaemia, drainage catheter or stent blockage. Stent occlusion could be due to tumor ingrowth, tumor overgrowth or sludge. Recurrent cholangitis due to stent occlusion is seen in about 30% cases, which needs repeat stenting\textsuperscript{29}.

The effects of procedure related radiation exposure also needs emphasis. Average effective patient radiation doses for percutaneous transhepatic interventions vary from 1.8 to 12 mSv\textsuperscript{44}. The maximum surface dose is found to be for left forearm\textsuperscript{45}. Radiation-induced cancer risk is considerable for young patients undergoing these interventions. Effective measures need to be taken to control patient and staff doses.

**PERCUTANEOUS CHOLECYSTOSTOMY**

Percutaneous cholecystostomy is usually done to decompress acutely inflamed gall bladder in patients with comorbid conditions where surgery is not possible\textsuperscript{46,47}. It is infrequently performed in malignant biliary obstructions to relieve jaundice especially when endoscopic approach has failed, in cases of acute cholangitis and the biliary radicles are not dilated\textsuperscript{48,49}. For this procedure to be successful, the gall bladder should be distended and obstruction must be below the level of cystic duct insertion into the bile duct. The two ultrasound guided approaches available are transhepatic and transperitoneal, of which the former is preferred\textsuperscript{47,48}. In transhepatic approach, the gall bladder is punctured through small parenchyma of liver (Figure 11). This provides better catheter support and helps in avoiding biliary leak and thus biliary peritonitis. However, there is risk of bleeding as liver is punctured and hence this approach should be avoided in patients with bleeding disorder and liver disease. In transperitoneal approach, gall bladder wall is directly punctured through the abdominal wall. Seldinger or single puncture trocar technique can be used for both approaches depending on individual preferences. An 8F catheter is usually sufficient. The catheter must...
be left in-situ for 2 wk (transhepatic approach) or 3 wk (transperitoneal route) for maturation of the tract and reduce the risk of complications and patient discomfort. Contrast study may be performed prior to catheter removal to confirm maturation of the tract. This route can subsequently be used for safe and effective stent placement across the stricture. The wire must be passed through the cystic duct and passed across the obstruction followed by stricture dilatation and catheter or stent placement. Although, the procedure is similar to PTBD, it may be associated with higher failure rates due to smaller tortuous cystic duct, valves in the cystic duct and mobile gall bladder.

Complications of percutaneous cholecystostomy are seen in 5%-15% of cases and include pain, bleeding, pneumothorax, biliary peritonitis and fistula formation. Another complication of cholecystostomy is catheter dislodgement, which occurs due to collapse of the gall bladder. This may cause bile leak and biliary peritonitis, more commonly with transperitoneal punctures. This may be prevented by the use of locking catheters.

**PATIENT CARE AFTER BILIARY INTERVENTIONS**

After the procedure, parenteral antibiotics should be
given for a day followed by oral antibiotics for a week. Procedure related cholangitis is more common with endoscopic procedure or PCT with internal drainage\[26\]. Adequate care of the external catheter must also be taken. The entry site of the catheter must be kept clean. The catheter must be flushed regularly, about 2-3 times a day with normal saline\[23\]. The catheter must preferably be changed every 1-2 mo. Loss of fluid and bile salts is seen in cases with prolonged external drainage, and this can be reduced by refeeding or instilling the drained bile into the nasojejunal tube. The catheter must be well secured to the skin to prevent its dislodgement. Adequate adhesive tape or appropriate fixation devices must be used to prevent kinking of the catheter at the skin entry site. Catheters with locking loop (Cook Medical, Bloomington, United States) may also be used when external drainage catheter needs to be placed for longer duration. Patients, in whom external-internal drainage catheter is placed, must be advised to remove the cap of the catheter and its holes. In case of catheter malposition causing leak, it should be repositioned. If none of these is present, then the catheter should be upgraded to a larger bore catheter. If leak still occurs, a colostomy bag can be placed around the catheter to collect the leaking fluid and stenting should be suggested.

A problem with catheter placement is pericatheter leak of fluid at the skin entry site\[23\]. Any such patient should be initially assessed with ultrasonography to look for ascites (which may be leaking around the catheter) or biliary dilatation (which suggests catheter blockage). In case of ascites, it needs to be drained. A cholangiogram should be performed to check the position of the catheter and its holes. In case of catheter malposition causing leak, it should be repositioned. If none of these is present, then the catheter should be upgraded to a larger bore catheter. If leak still occurs, a colostomy bag can be placed around the catheter to collect the leaking fluid and stenting should be suggested.

RE-INTERVENTION

Repeat procedure may be needed in cases of blocked stent, stent migration, dislodged catheters, infection in non-drained system and when there is no improvement in jaundice\[34\]. Occlusion of metallic biliary stent may be caused by biliary sludge or by tumor ingrowth or over growth. This complication is managed by endoscopic or percutaneous approach. Endoscopically, a plastic stent may often be placed through the blocked primary stent successfully\[34,53\]. Management by percutaneous approach involves dilatation of the occluded stent by balloon catheter or placement of a ring biliary catheter or smaller calibre stent coaxially within the blocked stent (Figure 7)\[34,54\]. Expanded polytetrafluoroethylene/fluorinated ethylene propylene covered metallic stents prevent tumor ingrowth and help in improvement of patency of stent and decrease in frequency of re-intervention\[56\]. However, tumor progression longitudinally may lead to involvement of more proximal ducts thus reducing the efficiency of the stent. Further drainage may be needed in these patients, but this is often challenging. Stent migration is another complication which requires repeat procedure. This, however, is rare with metallic stents, and is seen with plastic stents\[21\].

In cases of dislodged catheter, repeat intervention is needed. If the tract has been already formed (catheter placed 2-3 wk prior to dislodgement) it can be meticulously and carefully probed under fluoroscopy with a dilator or catheter and “J” tip guide wire to enter the biliary system. If not successful, repeat procedure should be performed afresh either immediately or later depending on the biliary dilatation. In cases of infection or non-resolving jaundice, the non-drained biliary system should be drained with PTBD or stenting.

INTRALUMINAL PALLIATIVE THERAPY

Intraluminal palliative treatments can be performed through PTBD routes especially in cases of cholangiocarcinoma to improve life expectancy of patients and also stent patency\[57,58\]. These include intrabiliary brachytherapy, photodynamic therapy and radiofrequency ablation which can be done either prior to or after biliary stenting. These techniques are mostly performed for unresectable cholangiocarcinoma. Intraluminal brachytherapy can be done after PTBD, before or after stenting\[59,60\]. The procedure has high success rates and is a safe palliative therapy which improves patient survival and stent patency. In photodynamic therapy, a photosensitising agent is injected intravenously and 24-48 h later a laser probe is placed at the site of biliary stricture where it activates the agent to release oxygen free radicals which kill cancer cells\[61-63\]. This causes tumor necrosis and improves stent patency and median survival time. Intrabiliary radiofrequency ablation is a recent addition in the palliation of malignant biliary obstruction\[58\]. The RF probe is inserted through a sheath placed by PTBD and the active part of the probe is placed across the stricture. The output power is about 10 W and ablation is done for 1-2 min at each level, depending on the length of the stricture. This procedure is safe and has the potential to improve stent patency and survival\[64\].

Complications of intrabiliary palliative techniques include cholangitis, liver abscess, gall bladder empyema, hemobilia, gastroduodenal ulcerations, bile duct perforation and peritonitis, some of which may be life threatening\[58,60,61\].

A summary of various techniques used, their indications and complications is described in Table 1.

FOLLOW-UP

After a biliary procedure, follow-up of patients is important to study the long term outcome of the intervention performed. Although initial studies showed higher success rates of endoscopic methods and higher complication rates of percutaneous techniques, recent studies show comparable technical success and complications for both these procedures\[38,39\]. Long term survival of patients is not affected by the type of procedure (single stage or two-stage) or type of stent (covered or uncovered)\[32\]. But patients treated with chemotherapy after biliary drainage or stenting have
**Table 1** Summary of various techniques used in malignant biliary obstruction

| Technique | Indications | PTBD - catheter drainage | Biliary stenting | Percutaneous cholecystostomy | Intraluminal palliation (PTD, RFA, BT) |
|-----------|-------------|---------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| MBO - pre-operative/palliative | MBO - inoperable for palliation | Temporary relief of biliary obstruction | Palliation for inoperable MBO |
| Percutaneous, USG and fluoroscopy guidance | Fluoroscopy guidance | USG guidance, transhepatic route preferred | Placement of probe under fluoroscopy |
| Improve liver function | Palliation - improves liver function and quality of life | Improves liver function | |
| Cholangitis, hemorrhage, pericather leak | Hemorrhage, stent block, tumor over or ingrowth | Relieves obstruction, increases stent patency rates | |
| Discomfort due to the catheter | Discomfort due to the catheter | Catheter displacement, biliary peritonitis | |

PTBD: Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage; MBO: Malignant biliary obstruction; BT: Brachytherapy; PTD: Photodynamic therapy; RFA: Radiofrequency ablation; USG: Ultrasonography.

longer survival rates[37]. Variable results are found in literature regarding survival rates with respect to unilateral and bilateral stents, with some showing longer survival rates with bilateral stenting and others showing no difference[20,36,45]. Due to increasing survival rates with better chemotherapy, stents with longer patency rates are needed to maintain biliary drainage. For Bismuth type II and type III strictures, endoscopic and percutaneous procedures have equal success and patency rates[26]. However, PCT with internal drainage is best for Bismuth type IV strictures[20]. The mean survival of patients of malignant biliary obstruction post percutaneous intervention ranges from 103-225 d[22,32,37,46]. Mean stent patency rates ranged from 82-372 d. The stent patency rates are higher for metallic stents (85%) compared with plastic stents (67.6%)[40].

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, percutaneous management of malignant biliary obstruction is a well-established method of treatment. Appropriate pre-procedure planning and interdisciplinary discussions are required for optimal patient management. A complete knowledge of the available techniques, success rates and risk of complications is needed for successful procedure. Further developments in stent structure and in intraluminal treatments for the malignancies would potentially improve the survival and long term patency rates.
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