Three-Body $pd$ Scattering with a Possible Long-Range Force
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Abstract. The nucleon-nucleon (NN) phase shifts are represented by using a hybrid potential which is composed of a short range potential of mesonic origin and a possible long range potential where the short range $\sigma$-meson potential is replaced by a Van der Waals (VW)-type potential. Therefore, “the on-shell equivalent but off-shell different” hybrid NN potentials are characterized by only two or four parameters instead of the original $\sigma$-meson potential. These potentials are used to calculate $pd$ elastic scattering and to compare with that of the Paris (PEST) potential. Since the hybrid potential needs a longer numerical calculation time due to slower Padé convergence, we utilized only ten single channel hybrid potentials together with four coupled-channel short-range PEST potentials for the $pd$ calculation. The $pd$ results seem to be unreasonable in fitting the short range case, although it is not a complete calculation. In spite of using such hybrid off-shell different potentials only for $^1S_0$ and $^3P_0$-states plus the short range NN for the other states, the $pd$ differential cross section could well represent the short range potential results except in the minimum cross section region.

1 Introduction

Three-nucleon scattering problems have been intensely investigated during almost a half century in an effort to constrain models of the nuclear force. Three-body forces, $J$-isobar effects, and the Coulomb force have been taken into account. However, we still see discrepancies between theoretical predictions based on certain nuclear forces and the experimental data. In addition, some attempts to investigate long-range nuclear interactions such as the Van der Waals (VW) force, which can originate from Coulomb-like potentials, have been made; however, the potentials have a very small coupling constant and produce only a minor effect.

Almost two decades ago, one of the authors (T.S.) in search of a possible long range force between hadrons$^1$, analysed S-wave phase shift data for proton-proton scattering. In the approach used the “once subtracted” (Kantor) scattering amplitude was employed. The Kantor amplitude is defined in terms of a dispersion treatment for on-the-energy shell analytic structure of the scattering amplitude in the complex energy plane, where the left-hand cut’s contribution to the integral is given by the difference between the real part of the amplitude and the right-hand cut contribution to the Cauchy integral. Because that difference is replaced by the experimental phase shifts, the Cauchy integral for the left-hand cut is given by those differences, or the potential. The integral range runs from $-\infty$ to the inverse potential range squared $-\mu^2$, where the Coulomb range is $\mu^2 = 0$ and just encloses the origin of the energy plane. The long-range force following from the Coulomb potential could be the VW force. In other words, the Kantor amplitude’s behavior at the threshold causes a cusp at the origin. The cusp was fitted by a long range potential with the asymptotic form of $V(r) \sim -C/r^6$. After a $\chi^2$ search, we obtained $\alpha$ to be 6.1 $\sim$ 7 and $C$ to be positive with the strength of the strong interaction. It is consistent with a potential corresponding to a strong VW force.

Here, we try to reproduce modern nuclear phase shifts by replacing the $\sigma$-meson term of the Paris potential with a VW potential $C/(r + \alpha)^3$ having two parameters, the range $\alpha$ and the depth $C$. We obtained a reasonable fit to the phase shifts $^1S_0$, $^1P_1$, $^1D_2$, $^1F_3$, $^1G_4$, $^3P_0$, $^3P_1$, $^3D_2$, $^3F_3$, $^3G_4$, $^3S_1 - ^1D_1$, $^3D_3 - ^1G_3$, $^3P_2 - ^1F_2$, and $^3F_4 - ^1H_4$ by using the GSE method$^2$. Preliminary calculations for the three-body $pd$ elastic scattering, which employ the long-range modified single channel potentials but the old short range coupled-channel potentials of the Paris (or PEST) potentials$^3$ $^4$, were performed to obtain sample physical observables$^5$. The preliminary calculation employing such off-shell different hybrid potentials found that the $pd$ differential cross section could well agree with the short range potential results except in the minimum cross section region from $90^\circ$ to $140^\circ$ which is the area sensitive to the three-body force$^6$ $^7$. In the section 2, we will show how to introduce the long-range hybrid-two-body potentials. Section 3 presents the two-body calculated results. Section 4 illustrates the three-body calculation results together with the simple Coulomb treatment, and finally we will discuss our new approach in section 5.

---
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2 The long-range modification of the NN-potential

The short range $\sigma$-meson origin potential is defined by

$$V^\sigma(r) = \frac{\mu^2_\pi^2}{4\pi} \left( 1 - \frac{\mu^2_\pi^2}{8M^2} \right) Y(\mu, r)$$

where $\mu_\pi$ is the $\sigma$-meson inverse range with $\mu_\sigma/\mu_\pi = 4, \mu_\pi$ the pion mass, $g_\sigma$ the coupling constant with $g_\sigma^2/4\pi = 5.2$, and $M$ the nucleon mass, respectively[8]. We define the modified potential by using the original NN-potential $V^{NN}$ and $V^\sigma(r)$ and the VW potential $V^W(r)$,

$$V^{\text{NN}}(r) = V^{\text{NN}}(r) - V^\sigma(r) + V^W(r)$$

$$= V^{\text{NN}}(r) + V^\phi(r). \quad (2)$$

We adopt the VW-type potential which is equivalent for the long range limit to the London type.

$$V^W(r) = -\frac{c}{r(a + r)^5} \rightarrow -\frac{c}{r^6}(r \rightarrow \infty). \quad (3)$$

2.1 Integral representation of the VW potential

The integral representation of the VW potential is given by,

$$V(r) = -c \int_0^\infty t^2 e^{-t} \sqrt{t} e^{\gamma t} \frac{d\gamma}{r} dt \quad (4)$$

putting $t = \frac{z}{2r}$, and $\alpha = r + a$, and $\alpha z = s$, we obtain

$$V(r) = -c' \int_0^\infty z^2 e^{-\frac{z^2}{2r}} \frac{dz^2}{r}$$

$$\quad = -2c' \int_0^\infty z^2 e^{-\frac{z^2}{2r}} \frac{dz^2}{r}$$

$$\quad = -2c' \int_0^\infty \frac{z^2}{\alpha} e^{-2\frac{z^2}{a}} \frac{dz^2}{r}$$

$$\quad = -2c' \Gamma(2\gamma + 2) e^{-\frac{z^2}{2\alpha}} \frac{dz}{a^{2\gamma+2}r}. \quad (5)$$

If we take $\gamma = 3/2$, and $c = 2c'4!$, we obtain the London-type Van der Waals potential,

$$V(r) = - \frac{c}{r(a + r)^5} \quad (7)$$

while adopting $\gamma = 4/2$, and $d_c = 2c'5!$ we obtain the Casimia-type VW form,

$$V(r) = - d_c \frac{r}{(a_r + r)^6}. \quad (8)$$

In the present work, the Casimia-type components was negligible.

2.2 The momentum representation of the VW potential

The momentum representation of $V^W(r)$ is given by using (5),

$$V^W(p, p') = \int V^W(r)e^{ip' r} dr$$

$$= -8\pi c' \int_0^\infty \frac{z^2 + 1}{(p - p')^2 + z^2} dz. \quad (9)$$

The partial wave expansion of (9) is obtained by usual way,

$$V_i^W(p, p') = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty P_i(\gamma) V^W(p, p') d\gamma$$

$$= -8\pi c' \int_0^\infty \frac{z^2 + 1}{(p - p')^2 + z^2} Q(p^2 + p'^2 + z^2) dz$$

$$\quad \text{where the singularity of long range limit at } z \rightarrow 0 \text{ could be removed in eq.(10) for } \gamma = 3/2.$$ (10)

2.3 The yukawa fitting for the VW-potential

We apply the Yukawa fitting to VW-potential for an actual calculation.

$$V^W(r) = -\frac{c}{r(a + r)^5}$$

$$\quad = -c \int_0^\infty \frac{\mu^4 e^{-\mu r} e^{-\mu r}}{24} d\mu$$

$$\quad = -c \sum \frac{\mu^4 e^{-\mu r} e^{-\mu r}}{24} w_i. \quad (11)$$

The strong point of Yukawa formulizations is that we easily transform momentum representation.

$$V^W(r) = -c \sum \frac{\mu^4 e^{-\mu r} e^{-\mu r}}{24} w_i$$

$$\quad \rightarrow -c \sum \frac{2\pi}{pp'} Q(x)$$

$$\quad x = \frac{p^2 + p'^2 + \mu^2}{2pp'}. \quad (12)$$

2.4 Auxiliary potential

In (2), we define the difference between the $\sigma$ origin potential and the VW-type potential as an auxiliary potentials $V^\phi$.

$$V^\phi = V^W - V^\sigma. \quad (14)$$

The Lippmann-Schwinger (LS) equation for $V^\phi$ is given by

$$T^\phi = V^\phi + V^\phi G_0 T^\phi. \quad (15)$$
In order to obtain overall fitting, we demanded that $T^\phi(k, k)$ should be vanished in the low energy limit. This means that phase shifts $\phi$ by $T^\phi(k, k)$ is 0. In the case of the isospin 1 and spin single states, the relation is satisfied not only for the low energy but also higher energy region, $^1G_4$ and $^1F_3$ for example. The results are shown by the dashed lines in Figs.1, 2, 4, and 6. On the contrary, we could require $T^\phi(k, k) = 0$ at the higher energy region which will be discussed in another occasion.

### 3 VW parameters and Two-Body Results

#### 3.1 VW parameters

We determine the VW potential parameters in (7) by fitting nuclear phase shifts. We show the VW-type potential parameters See Table 1.

**Table 1.** VW-type potential parameters for the central force in (6).

| two-body state | $c$  | $a$  | $\gamma$ |
|----------------|------|------|----------|
| $^1S_0$        | 214  | 0.25 | 3/2      |
| $^1P_1$        | 226  | 0.27 | 3/2      |
| $^1D_2$        | 190  | 0.21 | 3/2      |
| $^1F_3$        | 178  | 0.21 | 3/2      |
| $^1G_4$        | 138  | 0.18 | 3/2      |
| $^3P_0$        | 1226 | 0.348| 3/2      |
| $^3P_2$        | 190  | 0.18 | 3/2      |
| $^3D_3$        | 208  | 0.27 | 3/2      |
| $^3F_3$        | 190  | 0.27 | 3/2      |
| $^3G_4$        | 171  | 0.27 | 3/2      |
| $^3S_1$        | 276  | 0.25 | 3/2      |
| $^3D_1$        | 367  | 0.25 | 3/2      |
| $^3D_3$        | 205  | 0.25 | 3/2      |
| $^3G_3$        | 205  | 0.28 | 3/2      |
| $^3P_2$        | 460.9| 0.36 | 3/2      |
| $^3F_2$        | 528  | 0.16 | 3/2      |
| $^3F_4$        | 265  | 0.62 | 3/2      |
| $^3H_4$        | 528  | 0.16 | 3/2      |

It is noticed that only $^3P_0$, $^3P_2$ $^3F_2$, $^3F_4$, $^3H_4$ states need LS forces in the VW-type potential,

$$V^{WLS}(r) = -\frac{d}{(r+b)^2} V_{\text{so}}(r)^2 (\text{LS}).$$

(16)

See Table 2.

#### 3.2 Results of Two-Body Phase Shifts

Firstly, we show for single channel phase shifts the two-body results in Fig.1 to Fig.11. Next, we show the coupled state phase shifts in Fig.12 to Fig.15.
Fig. 4. $^1D_2$ phase shift.

Fig. 5. $^1F_3$ phase shift.

Fig. 6. $^1G_4$ phase shift.

Fig. 7. $^3P_0$ phase shift. The square represent the PEST and the solid line represents the long range modified potential.

Fig. 8. $^3P_1$ phase shift.

Fig. 9. $^3D_2$ phase shift.
Fig. 10. $^3F_3$ phase shift.

Fig. 11. $^3G_4$ phase shift.

Fig. 12. $^1S_1 - ^3D_1$ phase shift. The solid and dashed line represent the Paris potential and the squares and triangles represent the long range modified potential.

Fig. 13. $^3D_3 - ^3G_3$ phase shift.

Fig. 14. $^3P_2 - ^3F_2$ phase shift. The solid and dashed line represent the PEST and the squares and triangles represent the long range modified potential.

Fig. 15. $^3F_4 - ^3H_4$ phase shift.
4 Three-Body Calculations with the Long Range Potentials

4.1 Formalism

The AGS equation for the composite particles with the short range plus long range potential \( V^{(L)} = V^s + V^L \) was proposed by one of us (S.O) [9] where \( C \) is replaced by \( L \), is given in eqs. (133) and (126) of the reference: [9],

\[
X^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}}(q_0q'_0) = \sum_{ij} \int Z^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}}(q_0q'_0) \frac{q'^2 dq'}{2\pi^2},
\]

(Here, \( \tau^{(L)}_{\gamma j i} \)) is the two-body propagator, which is defined for the two-body LS amplitude by

\[
T^{(L)}_{\gamma j i} = V_{\gamma}^{L} + V_{\gamma}^L pD_{\gamma j i},
\]

\[
\equiv G_0^{-1} |\psi^{e}_{\gamma j i} > \psi^{e}_{\gamma j i} - G_0^{-1}
\]

\[
\tau^{(L)}_{\gamma j i} = \frac{S^{(L)}_{\gamma j i}(\gamma_j)}{E - \gamma_j (k_j^2)} - \frac{q_j^2}{2\mu_j}
\]

where only the rank one case is shown for simplicity, but our calculations correspond to ranks of the PEST-potentials. Here, \( E \) the three-body energy, \( \gamma_j \) the two-body energy, \( \mu_j \) the two-body reduced mass between nucleon and the composite particle, respectively. \( q_j \) is the spectator momentum of the \( \gamma \)-channel, therefore \( q_j^2 \) is the pole of the two-body amplitude in the complex three-body momentum plane, by neglecting the three-body force,

\[
Z^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}}(q_0q'_0) \equiv <\psi^{e}_{\text{ampl}} | G_0^{-1} T^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} - T^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} | \psi^{0}_{\text{ampl}} >
\]

\[
\equiv 2\delta^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} + V^{C,(L)}_{\text{ampl}} \delta_{\text{ampl}}.
\]

where \( 2\delta^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} \equiv 1 - \delta_{\text{ampl}} \). \( V^{C,(L)}_{\text{ampl}} \delta_{\text{ampl}} \) is the long range potential between the charged composite particle deuteron and the proton. The long range potential consists of the VW-potential and the Coulomb potential. However, we learned that the long range part of the VW-potential is rather mild and the long range behavior could decrease faster than the Coulomb potential. Therefore, the VW part could be treated as a part of the short range nuclear force which was parameterized in the previous section. Therefore, we put, by omitting the physical state quantum numbers \( m, n \),

\[
V^{(L)}_{\gamma j i} \delta_{\text{ampl}} \approx V^{C,(L)}_{\gamma j i} \delta_{\text{ampl}} \equiv V^{C,(pd)}_{\gamma j i},
\]

where the last term means the Coulomb potential between the proton and deuteron. Hereafter we omit \( m, n \) for simplicity except for the necessity.

On the other hand, the remaining part \( 2\delta^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} \) will be shown by using the short range form factor: \( g^s(p) \), the long range modified form factor: \( g^{L(1)}(p) = g^s G_0 T^{(L)}(p) \), and the three-body free Green’s function,

\[
\delta^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} = <\psi^{e}_{\text{ampl}} | G_0^{-1} T^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} - T^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} | \psi^{0}_{\text{ampl}} > V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} \delta_{\text{ampl}}.
\]

(23)

Since the long range modified form factor is smaller than the short range form factor in the 100 MeV region, then we can neglect such a term, and obtain

\[
\delta^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} \approx <\psi^{e}_{\text{ampl}} | G_0^{-1} T^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} | \psi^{0}_{\text{ampl}} > \equiv Z^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}}(q_0q'_0).
\]

(24)

In summary, we obtain

\[
X^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}}(q_0q'_0) = \sum_{ij} \int Z^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} + V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} | \psi^{0}_{\text{ampl}} >^\dagger | \psi^{0}_{\text{ampl}} >
\]

\[
\equiv Z^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}}(q_0q'_0),
\]

(25)

where \( V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} \delta_{\text{ampl}} \) exists only for the \( p-d \) Coulomb potential.

Therefore the three-body elastic amplitude is given by using two-potential theory for the three-body equation (25), as for the equation (142) of reference [9],

\[
X^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}}(q_0q'_0) = \sum_{ij} \int Z^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} + V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} | \psi^{0}_{\text{ampl}} >^\dagger | \psi^{0}_{\text{ampl}} >
\]

(26)

The Möller operator for the \( p-d \) Coulomb scattering is defined by

\[
X^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} = \psi^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} + V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} X^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}}
\]

\[
\equiv V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} \equiv \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}}.
\]

(27)

(28)

In order to obtain the leading term of the three-body elastic scattering,

\[
X^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} = \delta^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} = \sum_{ij} \int Z^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} + V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} X^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}}
\]

\[
\equiv \sum_{ij} \int \frac{S^{(L)}_{\gamma j i}(\gamma_j)}{E(q'_0) - Ho(q_j) - V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}}(\gamma_j)}.
\]

(29)

In our calculation, we adopted the \( E = 135 \) MeV elastic scattering case, then \( V^{C,(pd)}_{\gamma j i} \) effect is very small except for forward scattering, then we approximate it by taking

\[
\tau^{(L)}_{\gamma j i} \approx \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\gamma j i}
\]

(30)

Furthermore, in order to solve the leading equation (29), the long range VW-type potential is more important than the Coulomb term by the order of the coupling constant. Therefore, we can replace \( \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\gamma j i} \) by the VW-type potential,

\[
\tau^{(L)}_{\gamma j i} \approx \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\gamma j i} \approx \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\gamma j i}.
\]

\[
\tau^{C,(pd)}_{\gamma j i} \approx \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\gamma j i}.
\]

(31)

In conclusion, we solved the following equations,

\[
X^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} = \sum_{ij} \int \frac{Z^{(L)}_{\text{ampl}} + V^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} \tau^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}} X^{C,(pd)}_{\text{ampl}}}{S^{(L)}_{\gamma j i}(\gamma_j)}.
\]

(32)

(33)

(34)
Table 3. Explanation about Figs.16, and 17.
◦ includes the van der waals type hybrid potential and × is only the original nuclear PEST-potential.

| two-body state | CAL A | CAL B |
|----------------|-------|-------|
| $^1S_0$        | ◦     | ◦     |
| $^1P_1$        | ◦     | ×     |
| $^1D_2$        | ◦     | ×     |
| $^1G_3$        | ◦     | ×     |
| $^3P_0$        | ◦     | ◦     |
| $^3P_1$        | ◦     | ×     |
| $^3D_2$        | ◦     | ×     |
| $^3F_3$        | ◦     | ×     |
| $^3G_4$        | ◦     | x     |
| $^3S_1$        | x     | x     |
| $^3D_1$        | x     | x     |
| $^3D_3$        | x     | x     |
| $^3G_3$        | x     | x     |
| $^3P_2$        | x     | x     |
| $^3F_3$        | x     | x     |
| $^3H_4$        | x     | x     |

Finally, the total amplitude can be calculated by using the exact $p$-$d$ elastic scattering amplitude in (26)

$$X_{aB}^{(el)}(q,d) = \frac{\epsilon}{2q \sin^2(\theta/2)} \exp \left[-i e \ln \left(\frac{\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}{2}\right) + i \pi + 2i\eta_1 \right],$$

The Rutherford scattering amplitude $X_{aB}^{C(p)}$ is given,

$$X_{aB}^{C(p)} = \frac{\epsilon}{2q \sin^2(\theta/2)} \exp \left[-i e \ln \left(\frac{\sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2}}{2}\right) + i \pi + 2i\eta_1 \right],$$

with the reduced mass $\mu_{pd} = \mu_r$ of proton and deuteron, and $\eta_1 = \arg \Gamma(l + 1 + i\epsilon)$, (36)

4.2 The Three-Body Calculated Results

The three-body calculated results for the differential cross section in elastic $p$-$d$ scattering are illustrated in Fig.16 and the analysing power in Fig.17. In spite of good phase shift fitting by the VW-type potentials, ten long range modified single channel states plus PEST for the rest of the states, “CAL A” doesn’t give sufficient results in Fig.16. However, “CAL B” with two modified $^1S_0$, and $^3P_0$ states plus all other PEST potentials leads to successful results (the dashed-dotted line).

5 Conclusion and Discussion

Our motivation in the present work came from the serious discrepancy around the low energy S-wave Kantor amplitudes between the meson based short range potential and the experimental values. It was suggested that a Van der Waals type potential was preferable in the very low energy region. Therefore, we tried to represent the two-body phase shifts with a proper Van der Waals type potential instead of the $\sigma$-meson based short range potential. Fortunately, we could obtain a good fit for almost all states using only two parameters for the width and the range. Adding the shape of the potential did not give a better fit. We tried other long-range possible potential frameworks to fit the two-body phase shifts, however, almost all attempts failed. We did not have a reasonable understanding of this fact. Nevertheless, the three-body calculation raises a problem in which the three-body Padé convergence is slower than the short range potential calculation except for the two-body $J = 0$ cases which seems to be a kind of litmus test. (See Fig.18.)

Here we show a three-body calculation with the modified $^1P_1$ plus the original $^3S_1 - ^3D_1$ states obtained using the Padé approximation[10]. In this process, we found that the Padé convergence for such a long range modified potential is very slow in comparison with the short-range potential only.

Our results suggest that the present VW-type hybrid potential works only for $J = 0$ states. It means that $J = 0$ states have the potential of (7) but for the $J = 1–4$ states one should take another type or use short range only. Anyhow, we should investigate the reason why such a long range phenomenon is caused in the lower energy NN interaction.
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