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SUMMARY

Since isolates of *Hymenolepis nana* infecting humans and rodents are morphologically indistinguishable, the only way they can be reliably identified is by comparing the parasite in each host using molecular tools. In the current study, isolates of *H. nana* from rodent and human hosts from a broad geographical range were sequenced at the ribosomal first internal transcribed spacer (ITS1), the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 (C01) gene and the nuclear paramyosin gene loci.† Twenty-three isolates of *H. nana* were sequenced at the ITS1 locus and this confirmed the existence of spacers which, although similar in length (approximately 646 bp), differed in their primary sequences which led to the separation of the isolates into 2 clusters when analysed phylogenetically. This sequence variation was not, however, related to the host of origin of the isolate, thus was not a marker of genetic distinction between *H. nana* from rodents and humans. Sequencing of a 444 bp fragment of the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase 1 gene (C01) in 9 isolates of *H. nana* from rodents and 6 from humans identified a phylogenetically supported genetic divergence of approximately 5% between some mouse and human isolates. This suggests that *H. nana* is a species complex, or ‘cryptic’ species (= morphologically identical yet genetically distinct). A small segment of the nuclear gene, paramyosin, (625 bp or 840 bp) was sequenced in some mouse and human isolates. This suggests that exists in the north-west of Western Australia is likely to involve mainly human to human transmission.
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INTRODUCTION

The tapeworm *Hymenolepis nana* was first described as *Taenia nana* by Von Siebold in 1852 as a parasite found in humans. In 1906 Stiles described a morphologically identical parasite from a rodent host and named it *Hymenolepis nana* var. *fraterna* (see Joyeux, 1920 and Krabin & Matevosan, 1945 in Baer & Tenora, 1970). Controversy over their status as a single or dual species and host specificity has existed ever since (Baer & Tenora, 1970; Schantz, 1996). It is not entirely clear whether the species *Hymenolepis nana* and *Hymenolepis fraterna* are 2 distinct species, each highly host specific; whether they are 2 distinct species but capable of infecting both human and rodent hosts or whether they are simply the same species found in either host (see Brumpt, 1949 and Yamaguti, 1959 in Baer & Tenora, 1970; Ferretti, Gabriele & Palmas, 1981). Further nomenclature difficulties are encountered with the re-classification of Hymenolepidids with armed rostellae (hooks present) as *Rodentolepis* (Spasskii, 1954). Thus, *H. nana* (von Siebold, 1852) and *H. fraterna* (Stiles, 1906) are now classified as *Rodentolepis nana* and *R. fraterna* respectively by some taxonomists. Despite this revised nomenclature, the original confusion of speciation and host specificity remains to be solved. In a recent study, the oral inoculation of 51 samples of *H. nana* of human origin into specific pathogen-free hamsters, 4 mouse strains and 2 rat strains failed to establish infections (Macnish *et al.* 2002). Furthermore, inoculation of the same samples into thymus deficient- and cortisone
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isolates and only 2 base differences were detected in the ITS2 between both isolates in different hosts will also help in determining host specificity and transmission patterns and thus allow a more appropriate approach to the control of infections in endemic communities. The aim of this study was, therefore, to sequence the ribosomal ITS1, mitochondrial C01 and part of the paramyosin gene of numerous Hymenolepis isolates collected from humans and mice from several geographically separated regions to ascertain whether any significant genetic differences existed between H. nana isolates from the two host types.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of parasite material

Sources of all parasites used in this study are listed in Table 1. A reference isolate of Hymenolepis nana was obtained from Dr Akira Ito, Gifu University, Japan. Approximately 2000 H. nana eggs were inoculated into 5-week-old male BALB/c mice. Adult worms were dissected from the small intestine approximately 14 days post-inoculation then washed repeatedly in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and stored at −80 °C until DNA extraction. H. diminuta adult worms were obtained by dissection of infected 6-week-old male Wistar rats maintained by the Murdoch University Parasitology teaching resource. Adult worms of H. citelli (hamsters) and H. microstoma (mice) preserved in dimethyl sulphoxide-saturated NaCl were supplied by Dr Jerzy Behnke.

Purification of DNA from adult worms and cysticercoids

DNA was purified from H. nana, H. diminuta, H. microstoma and H. citelli using the QIAmp tissue purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) with some minor modifications. Briefly, 10 μl of glass milk matrix (Bio-Rad, California, USA) was substituted from the QIAmp spin columns as suggested by Morgan et al. (1998). DNA was eluted in 300 μl of...
Purification of DNA from human and mouse faces

DNA was purified from mouse faecal samples as previously described (Morgan et al. 1998). DNA was purified from human faecal samples using a method first described by Walsh, Metzger & Higuchi (1991) and modified by Paxinos et al. (1997). Some further modifications were used in our laboratory. Briefly, a small plug of faecal material was suspended in 250 μl of 10% Chelex® 100 (Bio-Rad, California, USA) in TE buffer, boiled for 7 min and vortexed vigorously. Samples were boiled again for 7 min then centrifuged at full speed for 5 min. The supernatants were de-proteinized using ProCipitate™ (LigoChem Inc, USA), which is a non-hazardous alternative to phenol/chloroform. Briefly, the supernatant was mixed with an equal volume of ProCipitate™ and mixed gently for 5 min at room temperature. The samples were centrifuged at full speed for 5 min. The supernatant was further concentrated using standard sodium acetate/ethanol precipitation then eluted in 50 μl of TE. Usually 2-3 μl of template DNA was used for subsequent PCR reactions.

Primer design for amplification of ITS1

DNA sequences of *H. nana* and *H. diminuta* spanning the 3’ end of the 18S rRNA gene, internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1), 5.8S, ITS2 and the 5’ end of the 28S rRNA gene (GenBank™ accession numbers AF466124 and AF466125 respectively) were used to identify regions conserved between the 2 species of interest. Primers from these regions, designated F3 (5’ GCGGAAGGATCATCAGTTC 3’) and R3 (5’ GCTCGACTCTTCATCGATCCACG 3’) were designed using the software package Amplify 2.1 (Bill Engels, University of Wisconsin) to allow the amplification of the ITS1 regions of *H. nana* and *H. diminuta*.

PCR amplification and sequencing of ITS1

DNA was amplified in 67 mM Tris–HCl (pH 8.8), 16.6 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 unit of Tth plus (Fisher Biotech, Perth, Australia), 200 μM of each dNTP and 12.5 pmol of each primer. Reactions were performed on a PE 2400 (Perkin Elmer, Foster City, California) thermal cycler. Samples were heated to 94 °C for 2 min, 63 °C for 2 min, 72 °C for 1 min, followed by 50 cycles of 94 °C for 20 sec, 63 °C for 20 sec, 72 °C for 45 sec and a final cycle of 72 °C for 7 min. Usually 0.5 unit of Taq Extender (Stratagene, USA) was added to the PCR mix to improve amplification efficiency.

Amplification products were purified using the QIAquick-spin PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Germany) and sequenced in both directions with F3 and R3 primers using an ABI Prism™ Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California) according to manufacturer’s instructions with some modifications. Briefly, the reagent volumes were halved and the annealing temperature was raised to 60 °C. In some instances, 2 μl of HalfTERM (Genpak Inc, Stony Brook, New York) was substituted for 2 μl of dye terminator mix as this reduced the cost of the reaction without compromising the quality of the sequence. The sequences were analysed using SeqEd v1.0.3 (Applied Biosystems).

When direct sequencing of the PCR product yielded poor results PCR products were cloned into a pCR®2.1 T-vector (Invitrogen, USA) and transformants were screened by PCR. Plasmid DNA was purified from overnight cultures using the Flexi-Prep® kit (Pharmacia Biotech Inc, USA). At least 3 positive clones were sequenced in both directions using universal M13 primers.

PCR amplification and sequencing of mitochondrial C01

A segment of the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit 1 (C01) was amplified using primers and conditions described by Okamoto et al. (1997) with a single modification which was to increase the annealing temperature from 42 °C to 55 °C. This modification was required to prevent non-specific amplification because problems were encountered with non-specific primer binding from DNA extracted from faecal samples.

When direct sequencing of the C01 fragment yielded poor results the PCR product was cloned in the manner described for the ITS1 fragments and sequenced using universal M13 forward and reverse primers.

Primer design, PCR amplification and sequencing of nuclear paramyosin (pmy)

A degenerate forward primer, designated Pmy-F (5’ AAYCAYTAVAGTCCGAGATGGAAC 3’) and located approximately 1550 bp downstream of the 5’ end of *pmy* was designed using available sequences of the closely related species *Echinococcus granulosus* (GenBank™ accession number Z21787), *Taenia solium* (L13723), *Schistosoma japonicum* (AF113971 and U11825) and *S. mansoni* (M35499). A degenerate reverse primer, designated Pmy-R (5’ ACCATACGRCGACCYCTCAGGDTAGC 3’) was a modified version of a primer designed by Laclette et al. (1991). Amplification of DNA extracted from whole worms was achieved using these primers. However, some difficulties were encountered with the amplification of *pmy* from DNA extracted from eggs in faeces. A nested PCR approach was used instead. Two new sets...
of primers, Ext-F (5’ AGAAAGAGCACCACCTCGC- CAC 3’) were located just 3’ of the Pmy-F primer. A conserved new external reverse primer, Ext-R (5’ GACAGTAATCTCAGGATCTC 3’) was located just 3’ of the Pmy-R primer. The external set of primers, Ext-F and Ext-R amplified a 700 bp product. The internal set of primers, Int-F (5’ ATTTCCTGA- GATGGGAGTCAGATTTAAG 3’) and Int R (5’ TTTGCGAAGAGTTTCACGACGTTG 3’), amplified a 625 bp product. DNA was amplified in 25 μl vol. reactions as for the ITS1 and C01 loci, except that 25 pmol of each primer was used and the MgCl₂ concentration was increased to 3 mM.

For the primary PCR reaction samples were heated to 94 °C for 3 min followed by 50 cycles of 94 °C for 30 sec, 58 °C for 20 sec, 72 °C for 45 sec and a final cycle of 72 °C for 7 min. One μl of the primary PCR reaction was used as a template for the secondary nested PCR reaction. Samples were heated to 94 °C for 1 min, followed by 50 cycles of 94 °C for 3 sec, 70 °C for 20 sec, 72 °C for 45 sec and a final cycle of 72 °C for 7 min.

Phylogenetic analyses

Nucleotide sequences were aligned using Clustal X (Thompson et al. 1997). Distance-based and parsimony analyses were performed using PAUP* (Swofford, D. L. 1999. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony *(and Other Methods). Version 4b2. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts). Maximum Likelihood analyses were performed using PUZZLE (version 4.1, (Strimmer & von Haeseler, 1996)). Distance-based analyses were conducted using Tamura-Nei distance estimates and trees were constructed using the Neighbour-Joining algorithm. Parsimony analyses were conducted using either the branch and bound or heuristic search methods. Bootstrap analyses were conducted using 1000 replicates. Trees were drawn using the Tree-View program (Page, 1996).

RESULTS

Sequence analysis of ITS1

The ITS1 region was sequenced from 23 isolates of H. nana (11 human, 12 mouse). Amplified ITS1 was cloned for 11 isolates and between 2 and 6 clones were sequenced for each isolate resulting in a total of 37 clones being analysed. Amplicons from the remaining isolates (5 human, 7 mouse) were sequenced directly. Sequence analysis determined that the PCR products from H. nana included 22 bp of the 3’ end of the 18S, 571 bp of the ITS1 and 53 bases of the 5’ end of the 5.8S. Although 23 isolates were analysed, only 6 distinct sequence types were identified. Of these 6 sequences, 13 isolates (H7, M7, M1, M12, H10, M2, H8, M9c1, H11c3, H11c1, M11c3, H2c3) possessed one sequence and 5 (M5, M6, H4c2, H4c1, H6c2) possessed another. The 2 predominant sequences were obtained from both cloned and directly sequenced amplified DNA’s.

Phylogenetic analysis of ITS1

Analysis of ITS1 nucleotide sequences was conducted using H. microstoma as an outgroup. Due to the uncertainties regarding the basis for the high levels of variability among cloned sequences from individual isolates of H. nana, each clone was included in the final phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 1). Analysis of ITS1 sequences provided confirmation that isolates M3, M4 and M15c4 are H. microstoma. Distance-based and Maximum Likelihood (ML) analyses identified 2 main clusters of isolates (Clusters 1 and 2, Fig. 1). Cluster 1, containing M5, M6, H3c1-c4, H4c1-c2, H6c1-c3, was supported by bootstrap analysis (89%) (Fig. 1). The topology of the tree for the remaining isolates of H. nana received poor bootstrap support. Parsimony analysis of these data was not possible due to the large number of trees with the same length generated. The most substantial sequence variation was seen between H. nana sequences in Cluster 1 versus those in Cluster 2. Two directly sequenced isolates from Portugal (M5, M6) shared identical sequences with cloned isolates within Cluster 1. Some variation was also seen between the isolates within Cluster 2 itself; however, this was usually low (98.8–99.4%).

Sequence analysis of C01

Sequence analysis determined that the PCR product obtained by amplification with primers pr-a and pr-b was 444 bp for H. nana (PCR results not shown). Direct sequences were obtained for H. diminuta (411 bp), H. microstoma (429 bp) and H. citelli (425 bp). At the genus level, H. nana was 85, 81.3 and 81.7% genetically similar to the other Hymenolepids H. microstoma, H. diminuta and H. citelli respectively (data not shown). Intra-specific variation was not detected between the human isolates of H. nana and was very low (99.5–100%) between the mouse isolates from Australia (M9, M11, M12, M13, M14), Japan (M1) and Italy (M2). However, extensive intra-specific variation was found between the 2 Portuguese mouse isolates, M5 and M6, and the remaining mouse isolates (M1, M2, M9, M11, M12, M13, M14) ranging from 95.0 to 96.0%. Similarly, high levels of intra-specific variation between the human isolates and the 2 rodents isolates, M5, M6, were observed (96-1%). Variation within an individual isolate, ascertained by sequencing 3 clones, was only observed for the H. nana isolate M6 and was low (98.8%). The remaining isolates of H. nana and other Hymenolepis species were sequenced directly and no
polymorphisms were found in the region sequenced for any species.

**Phylogenetic analysis of mitochondrial C01**

Analysis of C01 nucleotide sequences was conducted using *H. diminuta* and *H. citelli* as outgroups. Parsimony, distance-based and ML analyses produced trees with similar topology (Fig. 2). The rodent isolates M3, M4 and M15 were identified as *H. microstoma*. Isolate M15 was placed into the same clade as the *H. microstoma* reference sequence but this was poorly supported by bootstrap analysis. The isolates of *H. nana* were divided into 2 clades, one containing the mouse isolates M5 and M6 and the other containing the remaining human- and mouse-derived isolates of *H. nana*. The topology within the latter clade suggests a division correlating with host
origin, with isolates from the same host species clustering with each other. However, this topology was not supported by bootstrap analysis.

Sequence analysis of paramyosin

A PCR product of approximately 840 bp was obtained from the *H. nana* isolates M1, M2, M5, M6, *H. microstoma*, M3, *H. diminuta* and *H. citelli* using the primers Pmy-F and Pmy-R (results not shown). Direct sequencing of approximately 840 bp PCR product, using the primers Pmy-F and Pmy-R, was achieved with the *H. nana* isolates M1, M2, M5, M6, the reference isolate of *H. microstoma*, and the field isolate M3, *H. diminuta* and *H. citelli*. Unambiguous sequence of 782 bp, 775 bp, 796 bp, 788 bp was obtained for *H. nana*, *H. microstoma*, *H. diminuta* and *H. citelli* respectively. Direct
sequencing of the PCR product obtained using the nested PCR primers of *H. nana* isolates H7, H13, H14 and *H. diminuta* isolate H15 yielded poor results therefore the PCR products were cloned prior to sequencing. Sequence analysis of this PCR product confirmed the size of the PCR product was 625 bp which corresponded with the predicted fragment size using the secondary primers, Int-F and Int-R. Intra- and inter-specific variation between and within isolates of *H. nana* was not detected.

Phylogenetic analysis of paramyosin

Parsimony, distance and maximum likelihood (heuristic, quartet puzzling) analyses produced trees with the same topology (Fig. 3). Isolates of *H. nana* (human and mouse) possessed identical paramyosin nucleotide sequences and were placed into a single clade. *H. microstoma* was placed as the closest relative of *H. nana*. *Hymenolepis diminuta* and *H. citelli* were placed into the same clade and formed a sister group to *H. nana/H. microstoma*. The human isolate H15c4 was identified as *H. diminuta* based on sequence similarity and phylogeny. All of the nodes of the tree were very highly supported by bootstrap analysis using the distance-based and ML methods (99–100%). Bootstrap analysis using parsimony found high support (98–100%) for the grouping of the *H. nana* and *H. microstoma* but lower support (80–82%) for the grouping of *H. diminuta* with *H. citelli*. The monophyly of *Hymenolepis* was highly supported (100%) with respect to the outgroups used in the study.

**DISCUSSION**

A total of 23 isolates (human and mouse) of *H. nana*, representing a wide geographical distribution (Australia, Japan, Italy, Portugal) were characterized, by sequencing, at the ribosomal ITS1 locus. Of these, 14 isolates were also characterized at the mitochondrial CO1 locus and 7 at the paramyosin locus. More isolates were unable to be sequenced at the CO1 and paramyosin loci due to insufficient material. Phylogenetic analysis of the ITS1 region of these isolates identified 2 clusters whose composition did not correlate with host (mouse or human) or geographical origin of the isolates. Variation was found both between and within isolates of *H. nana*. The basis of the variation within a single isolate was not determined but could be due to either variation between eggs within a sample or variation between ITS repeats within an individual egg. Detailed insight into ‘strain resolution’ between the mouse and human isolates was not possible using this locus due to the high levels of polymorphism (Vogler & DeSalle, 1994; Sorensen, Curtis & Mindhella, 1998; Jobst, King & Hemleben, 1998).

Sequencing of the mitochondrial cytochrome *c* oxidase 1 gene (CO1) in a number of isolates of *H. nana* from rodents and humans identified a phylogenetically supported genetic divergence between...
some mouse isolates in comparison with isolates of
H. nana from humans. This provided evidence that
the mitochondrial C01 gene was useful for identifying genetic divergences in H. nana that were not
resolvable using nuclear loci. The difficulties in
amplifying mitochondrial genes from egg DNA
meant that important information was lost for some
isolates, such as H2, H3, H4 and H6, at the C01
locus. Genetic characterization of these particular
isolates, at the C01 locus, would be invaluable for a
direct comparison to be made between all the isolates
characterized in this study.

In the current study, the placement of the 2
Portuguese isolates (M5, M6) into a separate clade, as
a result of 5.0% genetic divergence at the C01 locus
that is well supported by bootstrap analysis, is highly
suggestive of the existence of ‘cryptic species’ of
H. nana (=genetically distinct yet morphologically identical). Given the geographical isolation of
Portugal from Australia it is possible that distinct
genotypes would evolve over time in this region. In
addition, the separation of all the human isolates into
a group within Cluster 2, whilst not supported by high bootstrap values, is well supported by biologi-
cal data obtained in a previous study (Macnish et al.
2002) suggesting that a barrier to gene flow may be
occurring in the Australian populations of H. nana.
This may be due to environmental and/or ecological
pressures caused by the documented absence of dom-
estic mice species in close proximity to human dwell-
ings, combined with the susceptibility or resistance
of the host (genetic factors, host immunity, host
diet). In addition, selection pressure by the host and/or
the parasite may contribute to the co-evolution of
particular host–parasite relationships.

The region of the Pmy gene characterized in this
study yielded phylogenetically informative data for
the resolution of the relationships between H. nana,
H. microstoma, H. diminuta and H. citelli that corre-
sponded with the relationships found using 2 other
genetic loci; the nuclear ribosomal ITS1 and the
mitochondrial C01 gene was useful for identify-
ing the relationships found using 2 other

genic loci to interpret the relationship between human and
mouse isolates with more clarity. Recently, the nico-
tinamide adenine dinucleotide dehydrogenase sub-
unit 2 and 4 (ND2 and ND4 respectively) genes were
characterized in 8 cestode species, including H. nana
(Nakao et al. 2000). Further characterization of the
ND4 mitochondrial gene in human and rodent iso-
lates of H. nana is facilitated by this recent research
and recommended for future characterization of
this parasite.
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