Comparison of eye measurements between young Korean women with inborn double eyelids and those with single eyelids
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Background Eye measurements in Koreans have been studied extensively, but researchers have reported widely differing values, even for the same parameters. These discrepancies are likely due to inconsistencies in the proportions of subjects with double eyelids included in their studies. We retrospectively studied eye measurements according to the presence or absence of double eyelids, and compared the results to those of previous studies.

Methods We conducted anthropometric measurements of eyes in young (20–29 years) Korean women with no congenital malformation, trauma, or surgery of the eyelids. The participants were dichotomized according to the presence of double eyelids, resulting in 116 eyes with single eyelids and 124 with double eyelids. We measured the palpebral fissure length (PFL), palpebral fissure height (PFH), margin reflex distance 1 (MRD1), intercanthal distance (ICD), interpupillary distance (IPD), outer canthal distance (OCD), and inclination of the palpebral fissure in each eye.

Results The mean PFL was 24.1 mm in the single-eyelid group and 25.5 mm in the double-eyelid group. The other mean values for the two groups were as follows: PFH, 8.0 mm and 9.9 mm, respectively; MRD1, 2.8 mm and 4.1 mm, respectively; IPD, 61.6 mm and 62.8 mm, respectively; and OCD, 85.3 mm and 87.9 mm, respectively.

Conclusions In the double-eyelid group, the horizontal and vertical length of the eye was greater than in the single-eyelid group, and the mean values of IPD, OCD, PFL, PFH, and MRD1 were statistically significantly higher.
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INTRODUCTION

Anthropometric studies of the eye are conducted in anthropology and in medicine, and provide useful information for medical diag-
many Koreans have single eyelids, but several previous studies did not indicate the distribution of subjects according to the presence or absence of double eyelids. We suspected that the discrepancies in previous results may have been caused by differences in the proportion of subjects with double eyelids who were included in the studies. Therefore, in this study, we performed eye measurements on participants with and without double eyelids, and compared the values with those from other studies through a statistical analysis.

**METHODS**

**Study participants**

Korean women in their 20s attending medical and nursing colleges were screened for inclusion in our study. Those with congenital malformations, trauma, or surgery of the eyelids were excluded. In total, 240 eyes from 120 participants, including 62 with double eyelids and 58 with single eyelids, were included. The study received approval from the bioethics committee (protocol: IRB CR-19-038). This study conformed to the ethical principles for medical research presented in the Declaration of Helsinki. The participants were informed about the purpose of our study, and signed informed consent forms were collected from them.

**Anthropometry and photographic analysis**

Frontal-view photographs were taken of all participants using a Nikon D80 digital camera (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) with a standard lens. Participants sat 1.0 m from the camera—representing the standard focal length—with their eyes gazing forward.

We measured the palpebral fissure length (PFL), palpebral fissure height (PFH), margin reflex distance 1 (MRD1), intercanthal distance (ICD), interpupillary distance (IPD), outer canthal distance (OCD), and palpebral fissure inclination (PFI) in each eye. These values were assessed quantitatively using Adobe Photoshop 7.0 (Adobe Inc., San Jose, CA, USA) and a Java-based image processing program (Image J 1.40; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) [12]. The following measurements were made using standardized photographs: (1) eye measurements—PFL, PFH, PFI, and MRD1 (Fig. 1); (2) canthal and IPD measurements—OCD, IPD, and ICD (Fig. 2).

To minimize potential error due to differences in size among the photographs, the corneal diameter of participants was measured and corrected to match the average corneal diameter (11.3 mm) of young Korean women [1].

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 19.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The values of the single-eyelid group and the double-eyelid group were compared using the independent t-test. P-values less than 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

**Table 1. Comparison of objective measurements in the double-eyelid and single-eyelid groups**

| Objective outcome | Double eyelids | Single eyelids | P-value |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| PFL (mm)          | 25.5±1.7      | 24.1±1.5      | <0.001  |
| PFH (mm)          | 9.9±1.0       | 8.0±0.9       | <0.001  |
| PFI (°)           | 8.4±2.9       | 8.8±3.0       | 0.275   |
| MRD1 (mm)         | 4.1±0.7       | 2.8±0.8       | <0.001  |
| OCD (mm)          | 87.9±4.9      | 85.3±3.8      | <0.001  |
| IPD (mm)          | 62.8±3.6      | 61.6±3.1      | 0.012   |
| ICD (mm)          | 38.0±3.1      | 38.2±1.8      | 0.773   |

Values are presented as mean±SD.

PFL, palpebral fissure length (distance between the medial and lateral canthus); PFH, palpebral fissure height (distance between the inferior margin of the eyelid and the superior margin of the eyelid over the pupil); PFI, palpebral fissure inclination in degrees (angle between the intersection of the horizontal reference line with a line drawn through the medial canthus to the lateral canthus); MRD1, margin reflex distance 1 (distance between the pupil center and the superior margin of the eyelid); OCD, outer canthal distance (distance between the lateral canthi); IPD, interpupillary distance (distance between the pupil centers); ICD, intercanthal distance (distance between the medial canthi).
RESULTS

The mean PFL was 24.1 ± 1.5 mm in the single-eyelid group and 25.5 ± 1.7 mm in the double-eyelid group. The PFH of the two groups was 8.0 ± 0.9 mm and 9.9 ± 1.0 mm, respectively, and the MRD1 was 2.8 ± 0.8 mm and 4.1 ± 0.7 mm, respectively. The ICD was 38.2 ± 1.8 mm and 38.0 ± 3.1 mm, the IPD was 61.6 ± 3.1 mm and 62.8 ± 3.6 mm, the OCD was 85.3 ± 3.8 mm and 87.9 ± 4.9 mm, and the PFI was 8.8° ± 3.0° and 8.4° ± 2.9° in the two groups, respectively (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

Various methods of making eyelid measurements exist, but photogrammetry requires minimal time and enables the measurements to be repeated; therefore, this method has been used in many studies of eye measurements in Koreans.

However, the results of some studies varied widely, leading us to consider that distribution of participants in those studies according to the presence or absence of double eyelids may have caused these disparities. The mechanism of eyelid enlargement after double eyelidplasty involves differences in tissue thickness, the lower positioning of the orbit septum, and the prominent preaponeurotic fat resulting in a single full lid. In other words, a dynamic connection of the levator aponeurosis to the tarsus and skin makes upper-eyelid excursion easier in subject with double eyelids [13]. Similarly, we hypothesized that there may be differences in eye size between people with inborn double eyelids and those with single eyelids.

Western research has included mostly participants with double eyelids, but many Koreans have single eyelids. Some studies have considered the proportion of double to single eyelids in their participants, but others have not mentioned this issue.

In this study, we dichotomized participants according to whether they had single or double eyelids, and measured seven parameters (PFL, PFH, PFI, MRD1, ICD, IPD, and OCD), which were then compared between the groups. The accuracy of the proportional measurement program was verified by comparing differences between the groups using the findings of previous studies. For PFI, Cho et al. [9] reported a mean value of 35.5 mm, while Song et al. [6] reported a value of 24.6 mm. In this study, the mean value of PFI was 24.1 mm in the single-eyelid group and 25.5 mm in the double-eyelid group. For IPD, Song et al. [3] reported a mean value of 64.3 mm. Hwang et al. [10] reported that the mean value of IPD was 66.6 mm. In this study, the mean value of IPD was 61.6 mm in the group with single eyelids and 25.5 mm in the group with double eyelids. For OCD, Bae et al. [1] reported a mean value of 87.4 mm, and Song et al. [6] reported a value of 90 mm. In this study, the mean value of OCD was 85.3 mm in the single-eyelid group and 87.9 mm in the single-eyelid group.

Table 2. Differences between the double-eyelid and single-eyelid groups for selected parameters

| Group                        | PFL Mean (mm) | IPD Mean (mm) | OCD Mean (mm) | PFL Length from medial canthus to pupil center | IPD Length from medial canthus to pupil center | OCD Length from medial canthus to pupil center |
|------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Single eyelids               | 2.6           | 0.7           | 2.6           | 0.7                                           | 0.7                                           | 0.7                                           |
| Double eyelids               | 2.6           | 0.7           | 2.6           | 0.7                                           | 0.7                                           | 0.7                                           |

Table 3. Eye measurements in previous studies

| Study (year) | Prevalence of double eyelid (%) | Mean (mm) |
|--------------|-------------------------------|------------|
|              | PFL PFH MRD1 IPD OCD          |            |
| Bae et al., 2007 [1] | 44.9 27.4 8.2 - - 87.4 - |            |
| Kim et al., 1985 [2] | 40 - 8.1 - - - |            |
| Song et al., 1999 [3] | 35.1 27.9 8.5 - 64.3 - |            |
| Park et al., 2000 [4] | 54 28 9.1 - - - |            |
| Song et al., 2001 [5] | 31.7 27.8 9.1 2.7 - - |            |
| Song et al., 2002 [6] | 47.2 24.6 10 - - 90 - |            |
| Park et al., 2008 [7] | 41.3 26.8 8.2 - - - |            |
| Park et al., 1990 [8] | - 28.4 8 2.7 65.1 - |            |
| Cho et al., 1993 [9] | - 35.5 8.5 - - 99.7 |            |
| Hwang et al., 1996 [10] | - - - 66.6 100 |            |
| Seo et al., 2009 [11] | - - 8.2 3.1 - - |            |
| Our study (single eyelid) | - 24.1 8.0 2.8 61.6 85.3 |            |
| Our study (double eyelid) | - 25.5 9.9 4.1 62.8 87.9 |            |

PFL, palpebral fissure length (distance between the medial and lateral canthus); PFH, palpebral fissure height (distance between the inferior margin of the eyelid and the superior margin of the eyelid over the pupil); MRD1, margin reflex distance 1 (distance between the pupil center and the superior margin of the eyelid); IPD, interpupillary distance (distance between the pupil centers); OCD, outer canthal distance (distance between the lateral canthi).
double-eyelid group. For PFH, Park et al. [8] reported a mean value of 8 mm, compared to the value of 10 mm reported by Song et al. [6]. In this study, the mean value of PFH was 8.0 mm in the single-eyelid group and 9.9 mm in the double-eyelid group. For MRD1, Seo and Ahn [11] reported a mean value of 3.1 mm, while Song et al. [5] reported a value of 2.7 mm. In this study, the mean value of MRD1 was 2.8 mm in the group with single eyelids and 4.1 mm in the group with double eyelids.

As mentioned above, the results of eye measurements varied across each study. In this study, the parameters that showed statistically significant differences according to the presence or absence of double eyelids were compared with previous studies. The proportion of participants with double eyelids in each study is also provided (Table 3).

Our results showed that the distance between the medial canthi (ICD) and the inclination between the medial canthus and the lateral canthus (PFI) did not show a statistically significant difference between the two groups, but the measurements were higher in the double-eyelid group than in the single-eyelid group. An illustration was made to reflect this finding, showing that the dimensions of the eye were larger in the double-eyelid group (Fig. 3). The length measurements in the four directions around the pupil center were larger in the double-eyelid group, and accordingly, the mean values of IPD, OCD, PFL, PFH, and MRD1 were statistically significantly larger in the double-eyelid group.

A number of previous studies have compared preoperative and postoperative measurements in patients undergoing eyelid surgery, with significant results [12,15,16]. However, no studies have compared the measurements of individuals with double eyelids who have not undergone surgery to those of individuals with single eyelid. In this study, eye measurements in Koreans were analyzed according to the presence or absence of double eyelids. Statistically significant differences were obtained for some parameters, which could be meaningful data for subsequent eyelid surgery or for Korean anthropometric studies.

Furthermore, the results of our study may be related to the reasons why many people without double eyelids in Korea undergo double-eyelid surgery (medial and/or lateral epicanthoplasty) to enlarge their eyes.

However, our study had some limitations. First, the number of participants was relatively small, meaning that our measurements may not be fully representative of young adults in Korea. Therefore, a further study is needed with a larger number of participants with and without double eyelids. Second, in other studies, the average corneal diameter was found to be 11–13 mm [1,3,8]. Based on these results, we standardized measurements based on an average corneal diameter of 11.3 mm because that average value was obtained from a large sample (827 people) of people from a similar age group (19–27 years). Nevertheless, it is necessary to consider the possibility of error introduced by using an estimated corneal diameter for standardization.
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