Laboratory animal models for esophageal cancer
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Abstract

The incidence of esophageal cancer is rapidly increasing especially in developing countries. The major risk factors include unhealthy lifestyle practices such as alcohol consumption, smoking, and chewing tobacco to name a few. Diagnosis at an advanced stage and poor prognosis make esophageal cancer one of the most lethal diseases. These factors have urged further research in understanding the pathophysiology of the disease. Animal models not only aid in understanding the molecular pathogenesis of esophageal cancer but also help in developing therapeutic interventions for the disease. This review throws light on the various recent laboratory animal models for esophageal cancer.
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Introduction

Cancer is a fatal disease characterized by abnormal proliferation of cells as a result of genetic mutation [1]. Unlike benign tumors that have a good prognosis, malignant tumors or what is referred to as cancer, causes metastasis affecting other organs, eventually leading to death [2]. Hence, cancer is given its due importance in experimental research.

Esophageal cancer stands eighth among the most common cancers in the world [3]. It is also the sixth leading cause of cancer-related mortality in the world [4]. Developing countries make up for more than 80% of the total clinical cases of esophageal cancer [5]. It is often diagnosed at a very advanced stage and thus carries poor prognosis [6,7]. The incidence of the disease varies depending on geographical location [3], ethnicity [3], and socioeconomic status [8]. Consumption of alcohol, tobacco chewing, and smoking are important risk factors that contribute to this disease [9]. The two major subtypes of esophageal cancer are esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and esophageal adenocarcinoma, the former being more common [10]. However, the incidence of adenocarcinoma is also at rise in patients with Barrett’s esophagus due to excessive use of proton pump inhibitors [11,12].

Animal models play an important role in cancer research owing to their ability to generate a better understanding about various molecular mechanisms of metastasis and tumor host interaction [13-15]. They are also necessary to elucidate the pathophysiology of various diseases and indirectly facilitate the development of novel anticancer interventions. The present review article briefly mentions the recent laboratory animal models of esophageal cancer.

Laboratory Animal Models in Esophageal Cancer

Rat (Rattus norvegicus)

Rat reflux model

The reflux model is appropriate to study esophageal adenocarcinoma [15]. However, few recent studies have demonstrated the development of squamous cell carcinoma in this model [16,17]. The rat is considered as suitable for this model because of its larger size as compared to mouse and similar pathophysiology of esophageal adenocarcinoma as compared to that in humans. The EDA model, i.e., esophagoduodenal anastomosis with total gastrectomy is a preferred surgical procedure to establish this model [16]. The gastroesophageal junction and the gastroduodenal junction are ligated. Further, the distal esophagus is transected 2 mm above the gastroesophageal ligature, and the proximal duodenum is transected 3 mm distal to the pyloric area. Following this a gastrectomy is performed and both the ends of the esophagus and duodenum are anastomosed. The maximum duration required for the development of this model is approximately 40 weeks [16].

Orthotopic xenograft implantation model

This model is useful to study esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. The human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma cells such as TE6, TE10, or TT are injected subcutaneously into cervical esophagus of athymic nude rats. The model develops in 6 weeks [18]. One of the disadvantages of this model is the inability to study the tumor host relationship factors as the animals are immunodeficient.
Chemical induction

N-nitrosomethylbenzylamine (NMBA) is a common mutagen that can induce esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in rats. Rats are subcutaneously injected with NMBA at the rate of 3.5 mg/kg once a week for 5 weeks [19]. The maximum duration for the development of this model is 15 weeks [19].

Mouse (Mus musculus)

Transgenic mouse models

The advantage with transgenic animals is the short time period required for the development of the model, as the animals are genetically modified. The Epstein–Barr virus ED-L2, an early lytic cycle promoter that targets the cyclin D1 in a transgenic mouse model leading to dysplasia and premalignant condition in the esophagus is the most commonly used method to induce esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [20,21]. A genetic combination of the above-mentioned L2-cyclin D1 mice and a P53 (tumor suppressor gene) deficient mice is a comparatively better animal model for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [21,22]. Esophageal adenocarcinoma can be induced by insertion of interleukin-1β cDNA into the Epstein–Barr virus ED-L2 leading to columnar metaplasia similar to that observed in Barrett’s esophagitis [23].

Subcutaneous xenograft model

This is the most common and comparatively old method developed to induce esophageal cancer. Human esophageal cancer cell lines are injected subcutaneously into dorsolateral flanks of immunodeficient mice [24]. Several human esophageal cell lines are available such as KYSE-270, KYSE-30, and KYSE-70 that produce esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [20,21]. Esophageal adenocarcinoma can be induced by insertion of interleukin-1β cDNA into the Epstein–Barr virus ED-L2 leading to columnar metaplasia similar to that observed in Barrett’s esophagitis [23].

Orthotopic xenograft model

This is a recent method different from subcutaneous xenograft model and helpful in studying tumor progression and metastasis. Unlike, the previous model, this model is developed by surgical transplantation of histologically intact human cancer fragments. Initially, the esophageal cell line is subcutaneously injected into the immunodeficient mice. After development of the tumor, it is aseptically resected, fragmented and fragments of approximately 1 mm³ are surgically implanted into the esophageal serosa of another set of immunodeficient mice [26]. However, analysis of tumor growth in this model requires modern diagnostic techniques such as bioluminescent imaging [25].

Transfection with luciferase reporter gene is a commonly used noninvasive technique used to analyze tumor progression, wherein tumor cell lines are transfected with pLVX-luciferase plasmids before subcutaneous injection into immunodeficient mice [26,27]. The animals are further subjected to bioluminescent imaging during the experiment at regular intervals to study tumor progression. A bioluminescence imaging system with a novel luciferin analogue has recently been developed with enhanced penetration capacity to target deeper tissues [28].

Patient derived xenograft model

This model is similar to subcutaneous xenograft model except for the fact that the immunodeficient mice in this model are injected with tumor biopsy cells obtained from clinical cases of esophageal cancer [29,30]. The advantage with this model is that the heterogeneity of the tumor is maintained [25]. This animal model takes on an average 3 months to develop [29,30]. However, the inability to study tumor host interaction due to the use of immunodeficient mice also applies to this animal model.

Chemical induction in transgenic model

4-nitroquinoline 1-oxide (4-NQO), NMBA, deoxycholate, and N-methyl-N-nitrosourea are some of the mutagens used to induce esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Of these 4-NQO appears to be the preferred mutagen [31,32]. It takes on an average 16 weeks for the model to develop post 8 weeks of 4-NQO treatment. 4-NQO in conjunction with a genetically modified/transgenic mouse model stands one of the best animal models to study esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. A miR-31 (miR-31 is an upregulated microRNAs in squamous cell carcinoma) overexpressed transgenic model [33], Nrf2-knockout model [34], and p53 mutated model [35] are some of the few preferred transgenic animal models to which 4-NQO can be administered to induce esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.

Rabbit (Oryctolagus cuniculus)

Induction of tumor cells by surgical technique

This model is appropriate to develop esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. The VX2 tumor cell suspension is surgically introduced from the esophageal tunica adventitia into the submucosal layer or muscular layer of the cervical esophagus [36].

Endoscopic implantation model

This model is similar to surgical induction of VX2 tumor cell suspension except that the induction is performed with an endoscope. The tumors are harvested from rabbits that were previously implanted intramuscularly with VX2 tumor cells. The harvest is performed after 3 weeks of implantation [37]. Fragments from the harvested tumor are introduced with an endoscope into the submucosa of the esophagus [37]. Both the surgical and endoscopic implantation of VX2 cancer cell lines produce different
pathological features and effectively mimic human esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [38].

**Guinea pig (Cavia porcellus)**

Gastroesophageal reflux model

This model is appropriate to study esophageal adenocarcinoma. A gastroesophageal reflux model is developed by perfusion of esophagus with HCl containing 1 g/L pepsin for 20 min/day [39,40]. The animals develop inflammation as the result of the acid, which in turn triggers adenocarcinoma mimicking that of Barrett’s esophagus [25].

**Hamster (Mesocricetus auratus)**

Benzo[a]pyrene (BP) induced model

Although hamsters are widely used as a model for development of oral cancer, they have been neglected species in the development of esophageal cancer. Induction of esophageal cancer by instillation of BP in the esophagus twice weekly for 5 weeks is a good animal model to study esophageal squamous cell carcinoma [41].

**Conclusion**

The recent increase in the incidence of esophageal cancer, delayed diagnosis and poor prognosis are the major factors that demand better therapeutic interventions for this disease. As an efficient animal model is the first stepping stone toward developing an effective drug, this review was pursued. However, during the process of review, it was observed that there was paucity of efficient animal models. Although evident progress had been made in the development of transgenic models, certain important aspects such as the mechanisms related to tumor host relationship, effect of environment on the development of the disease and response of the disease to various therapeutic agents could not be completely elucidated due to the use of immunodeficient animals. Hence, a holistic understanding of the disease is yet to be achieved, which is only possible on overcoming these challenges. Researchers should also focus on identifying new molecular targets. These targets are to be validated in *in vitro* methods and the genes that regulate these molecular targets are to be identified. Subsequently, genetically modified preclinical models are to be developed. Some novel molecular targets for esophageal cancer have already been reported in previous studies [42,43]. These targets need to be taken to the next level of preclinical validation to develop efficient animal models.
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