INTRODUCTION

Root canal treatment of teeth with pulp canal obliteration (PCO) is a major challenge for both generalists and specialists. The search for a calcified root canal can be very time-consuming and is associated with an increased risk of creating a perforation.

Pulp canal obliteration are typical late sequelae after dental trauma. They occur in 15%–40% of cases after luxation injuries (Andreasen et al., 1987; Nikoui et al., 2003). In addition to trauma-induced PCO, increased apposition of dentine in the root canal system is also observed as a result of various other stimuli, for example caries, cervical pulpotomy or restorative therapy (Fleig et al., 2017; Mass & Zilberman, 2011; Sayegh & Reed, 1968), after orthodontic treatment (Delivanis & Sauer, 1982) or in elderly patients due to lifelong intracanal apposition of dentine (Carvalho & Lussi, 2017). The process of calcification is usually asymptomatic and is noticed either incidentally during a radiographic examination or it is indicated by a yellowish discoloration of the tooth. The apposition of...
dentine is considered an indirect vitality sign of the pulp—despite a frequently encountered negative response to sensitivity testing.

There is consensus, that root canal treatment is not indicated unless there is clinical and radiological evidence of pulpal or periapical pathosis (ESE, 2021; Krastl et al., 2021).

However, calcified teeth may develop apical periodontitis over time, resulting in the need for root canal treatment. This is to be expected in up to 27% of the examined teeth with PCO after a longer observation period (Oginni et al., 2009). Adequate root canal treatment in these cases is challenging and associated with various risks. A retrospective study published four decades ago, assessed the frequency of technical failures and the 4-year success rates of root canal treatments in incisors with post-traumatically reduced pulpal lumen and periapical lesions. In teeth with total obliteration, technical failures such as root perforation, fracture of a file or inaccessible root canals occurred in one third of the cases, which led to significantly reduced healing rates after root canal treatment (Cvek et al., 1982).

In contrast to that time, today’s methods and materials are considered to provide higher standards, better quality root canal treatment and appropriate handling of procedural errors. However, there is not much evidence to support the benefits of contemporary endodontic techniques for such cases. Only one recent retrospective study focused on the endodontic management of calcified root canals in a specialist setting. Using the operating microscope, all root canals could be detected, full working length could be established in 90% of the cases and a success rate of 80% could be achieved after an observation time of 3 years (Kiefner et al., 2017). However, up to one hour was needed to negotiate the root canals. Furthermore, even successful negotiation of an obliterated root canal might be associated with substantial loss of hard tissue particularly in the cervical region, which is prone to root fracture. This might impair stability and reduce the long-term prognosis of the tooth (Lang et al., 2006).

In order to minimize the risk of technical errors and to reduce the treatment time, a computer-assisted therapy approach was developed to localize calcified root canals in a minimally invasive way, and the term ‘Guided Endodontics’ was coined (Krastl et al., 2016; Zehnder et al., 2016).

A guided endodontic access preparation can be approached in two different ways: static guidance, implies the use of a template, whilst dynamic navigation relies on markers positioned in the patient’s mouth and a camera system.

Since the first publications (Buchgreitz et al., 2016; Krastl et al., 2016; van der Meer et al., 2016; Zehnder et al., 2016), research on guided endodontics has been conducted at many locations worldwide and numerous international publications are available.

This narrative review aims to update the present status on guided endodontics and to identify relevant research areas that could contribute to further improvements of this technique.

**PRESENT STATUS OF STATIC/TEMPLATE GUIDED ENDODONTICS**

**General workflow**

The traditional workflow of guided endodontics is based on the principle of template guided implantology (Jung et al., 2009; Kühl et al., 2015). For implementation, a CBCT with the smallest possible ‘field of view’ and ideally high resolution is required to visualize the calcified root canals. This is supplemented by a digital surface scan, which can be created either directly via an intraoral scanner or by digitizing a plaster cast using a laboratory scanner. For the virtual planning of the access cavity, a software is required to overlay the data of the CBCT in DICOM format with those of the surface scan (stl format). In the aligned 3D data set, the true-to-scale virtual image of the drill must be placed so that the tip reaches the visible part of the calcified root canal. In cases where the root canal cannot be visualized in the CBCT, the apex of the tooth is chosen as the apical target point for the drill. After planning the position of the drill and the position of a virtual sleeve above the incisal edge, a virtual template is designed by applying a template designer tool. Different commercially available virtual planning software applications can be used for this purpose (Krug et al., 2020a). For fabrication of the template, both subtractive CAD/CAM and additive 3D-printing are appropriate. After inserting the template with the incorporated sleeve in the patient’s mouth, the bur is moved a few millimetres in depth at low speed and with pumping movements whilst being guided by the sleeve. If the root canal cannot be negotiated at that depth with the help of a hand file, the drilling is continued after cleaning the bur of its debris. This procedure is repeated until the bur hits the mechanical stop of the sleeve, indicating that the apical target point has been reached. If the canal is successfully detected, conventional root canal treatment can now take place.

**Figures 1 and 2** depict the treatment of a patient using template guided endodontics who had received a full ceramic bridge from tooth 12 to 22 ten years previously due to a trauma that occurred about four decades ago.
The first laboratory studies on the accuracy of guided endodontic access cavity preparation were published in 2016 (Buchgreitz et al., 2016; Zehnder et al., 2016). Both studies demonstrated only small deviations between virtually planned and subsequently performed preparations in extracted human teeth, with a precision below a risk threshold.

This was promptly followed by the first clinical case reports, which also reported successful treatments of calcified anterior teeth in the maxilla (Krastl et al., 2016; van der Meer et al., 2016).

In order to enable a low-risk access to calcified root canals of mandibular incisors, the procedure was further developed to a ‘microguided’ approach by miniaturizing the diameter of the drill and of the corresponding sleeve (Connert et al., 2017a). By optimizing the fit between bur and sleeve, the accuracy could be further increased with mean deviations between the planned- and the prepared-access cavities ranging from 0.12 to 0.34 mm at the apical target point and a mean angle deviation of 1.59° (Connert et al., 2017b).

Another laboratory study compared root canal location and dentine loss between conventional freehand and guided access cavity preparations in 3D-printed teeth with simulated calcified root canals and demonstrated significantly less substance loss and a more predictable and expeditious location and negotiation of calcified root canals with the guided approach. Moreover, in contrast to the freehand approach, the results were not influenced by the experience of the operator (Connert et al., 2019).

Further modifications of the technique using a laser melting template (Zhang et al., 2020) or a sleeveless design
were recently presented. The latter aimed to improve accessibility in posterior teeth, particularly for patients with limited mouth opening. With this approach, the hand-piece head is guided by guiding rails placed against each other on the sides of the tooth. Since no sleeve is used, it is not necessary to use a dedicated bur (Torres et al., 2021b). Data on the accuracy of this modified approach has not been published yet.

The clinical implementation of guided endodontics has been demonstrated in various further case reports published during the past 5 years (Buchgreitz et al., 2019a; Casadei et al., 2020; Fonseca Tavares et al., 2018; Hegde et al., 2019; Ishak et al., 2020; Kamburoglu et al., 2021; Krug et al., 2020b; Lara-Mendes et al., 2018a, 2018b; Llaquet Pujol et al., 2021; Loureiro et al., 2021; Maia et al., 2019; Tavares et al., 2020; Todd et al., 2021; Torres et al., 2019).

In addition to the treatment of calcified teeth, further indications such as dens invaginatus therapy (Ali & Arslan, 2019; Ali et al., 2019; Mena-Álvarez et al., 2017; Zubizarreta-Macho et al., 2019), removal of glass fibre posts (Maia et al., 2021; Perez et al., 2021) or mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA) from root canals (Ali & Arslan, 2021) have been considered.

In a clinical study, Buchgreitz et al. (2019b) were able to locate and negotiate the root canal and complete the root canal treatment in all 50 cases, thus confirming that the guided access cavity preparation can be performed safely.

Two systematic reviews by Moreno-Rabie et al. (2020) and Zubizarreta-Macho et al. (2021) also concluded that guided endodontics is a ‘highly accurate and successful technique’ for opening root canals. However, the level of evidence is low and further high-quality studies are needed.

Limitations of the procedure are that static guidance can only be performed on straight roots or straight parts of curved roots. In addition, it requires more time for planning and the radiation dose is increased due to the mandatory CBCT. The costs for the patient are also increased due to the additional effort (CBCT, template).

The implementation in the posterior region is difficult due to the limited space available.

If preparation of the access cavity using GE leads to a perforation of the root, subsequent apicoectomy can still enable tooth preservation, as shown in a recent case report (Fonseca Tavares et al., 2021).

A wider range of commercially available drills in diameter and length would be desirable, as this would enable a patient-oriented approach. Particularly in the posterior region, a drill system graduated in length could compensate for the limited space available.

Magnet resonance imaging (MRI) may also gain importance in dentistry in the future. This would lead to the avoidance of ionizing radiation, which would be desirable especially in children as their tissues and organs are more sensitive to radiation (Dula et al., 2014).

Leontiev et al. (2021) showed in a proof-of-principle study that similarly precise access cavities based on MRI can be prepared in a laboratory environment. The (indirect) visualization of the tooth structure was achieved by using a splint with a hydrous gel, which enabled the successful superimposition of the MRI data with the surface scan. Thus, successful visualization of root canals was achieved in 91 out of 100 cases with a mean angular deviation of 1.82°.

However, these results still need to be confirmed clinically and at present MRI equipment is not available to dentists. Nevertheless, depending on technical progress, this is desirable and conceivable for the future.

Otherwise, high-quality, prospective clinical studies are still needed to increase the evidence for guided endodontics.

PRESENT STATUS OF DYNAMIC NAVIGATION

General workflow

In addition to the described static navigation using a template, dynamic navigation is also possible for the assessment of root canals. Here, too, the use was initially developed for implantology (Marquardt et al., 2007). The basis of planning is also the fusion of CBCT data with an intraoral surface scan. It may be necessary to attach reference marks to the patient’s dentition before the CBCT is created, but with newer systems, these can also be planned digitally and then fabricated by a 3D printer. Using a stereo camera connected to the dynamic navigation system, the drill can now be coordinated with the reference marks and the planning (Figure 3). This results in real-time navigation, which is visualized on a monitor. During access cavity preparation, the position and movement of the drill in the different sectional planes of the three-dimensional data set is immediately displayed. In contrast to static navigation, this procedure is not independent of the practitioner and requires a certain amount of practice. However, it is known from implantology that it can achieve a similar precision as
static navigation (Block et al., 2017). One advantage over static navigation is that the template fabrication step is eliminated and thus patients with severe pain can be treated more quickly.

**Review of present literature**

Although the application of this technique in endodontics is still quite new, there are already several studies on its accuracy.

In one of the first studies, Chong et al. (2019) showed on models with extracted teeth that dynamic navigation was able to detect root canals in 26 out of 29 cases. In two of the three remaining teeth, only one canal could be detected on maxillary molars due to tracking difficulties. In the third tooth (also a maxillary molar), the preparation was off-target.

In a laboratory study, Zubizarreta-Macho et al. (2020) determined the accuracy of static and dynamic navigation and compared it with freehand preparation. The results revealed that both navigation techniques were superior to freehand preparation. There were no significant differences between static and dynamic navigation. However, it should be mentioned that the mean angular deviation of 10° of static navigation in this study is quite high compared to other studies.

Using 138 printed teeth with simulated PCO, Jain et al. (2020a) reported that dynamic navigation can be used to successfully detect root canals. The angular deviation between the planned and the performed access cavity was 1.7° on average and is thus comparable to static navigation. In this study, a mean 3D deviation of 1.3 mm was measured using postoperative CBCT scans.

Another study compared dynamic navigation with freehand preparation to determine the mesiobuccal canal on 20 replicas. It was found that the navigated access cavities were prepared more precisely in terms of deviation from the ideal preparation and of angular deviation (Gambarini et al., 2020).

Dynamic navigation was compared with freehand preparation on 60 extracted teeth with PCO. Again, the navigated access cavities were more minimally invasive and more accurate in linear and angular deviation. In addition, navigation required less time to visualize the root canals (Dianat et al., 2020).

These results regarding substance loss and treatment time were confirmed by Jain et al. (2020b) in a study using printed replicas with simulated PCO.

Torres et al. (2021a) also concluded from their study on 3D printed models that dynamic navigation is an accurate technique to access heavily calcified root canals. However, it is also emphasized that this technique has a learning curve and requires training.

In the work of Connert et al. (2021), it was shown on 3D-printed teeth that, using a miniaturized dynamic navigation system, an inexperienced operator can prepare minimally invasive access cavities in a similar way to an experienced one, although there were significant differences in the freehand preparations. Here, too, the loss of substance was significantly lower with the navigated preparation than with the freehand approach.

The use of dynamic navigation also seems to be beneficial in removing fibre posts (Janabi et al., 2021).

Although there is a lack of prospective clinical studies, clinical implementation has been demonstrated. In their case report, Dianat et al. (2021) described the successful treatment of a maxillary molar using dynamic Navigation.

The disadvantages of dynamic navigation systems are the high acquisition costs for the navigation system. Furthermore, in contrast to static guidance, dynamic guidance is not independent of the dentist. It requires a certain amount of practice to prepare precise access cavities, especially as it is unfamiliar to look at a monitor and not at the patient. Some of the current commercially available systems are bulky in size and may be somewhat impractical to use.

**FUTURE DIRECTIONS OF DYNAMIC NAVIGATION**

For the future, it would be desirable if the systems were further reduced in size to improve handling on the patient. In addition, all systems should have the possibility to digitally plan the required markers in order to avoid having to perform another CBCT scan if a scan (without markers) is already available. Of course, it would be even better if no
markers were needed at all and the system could orient itself to the existing anatomical structures. Augmented reality (AR) navigation might be the next step towards simplification and improving the operator experience with dynamic navigation. The AR approach overlays images, such as radiographic images and navigation paths, with a view of the operative field in a wearable head-up display or a dedicated microscope. Thus, the operator can simultaneously visualize the operative field and 3D navigation images without having to look up at a display. Whilst such approaches have been used in neurosurgery (Contreras Lopez et al., 2019) clinical implementation in endodontics has only been proposed but has not yet been implemented in a clinical setup (Song et al., 2018).

CONCLUSION

Guided endodontics using static or dynamic navigation appears to be a safe and minimally invasive method for detecting calcified root canals. Dynamic navigation in particular still has great potential for further development. However, further high-quality clinical studies on both static and dynamic navigation are needed.
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