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Abstract

We review thermal properties of graphene and few-layer graphene, and discuss applications of these materials in thermal management of advanced electronics. The intrinsic thermal conductivity of graphene – among the highest of known materials – is dominated by phonons near the room temperature. The examples of thermal management applications include the few-layer graphene heat spreaders integrated near the heat generating areas of the high-power density transistors. It has been demonstrated that few-layer graphene heat spreaders can lower the hot-spot temperature during device operation resulting in improved performance and reliability of the devices.
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I. Introduction

Thermal management represents a major challenge in the state-of-the-art electronics due to rapid increase of power densities [1, 2]. Efficient heat removal has become a critical issue for the performance and reliability of modern electronic, optoelectronic, photonic devices and systems. Development of the next generations of integrated circuits (ICs), high-power light-emitting diodes (LEDs), high-frequency high-power density communication devices makes the thermal management requirements extremely severe [1-8]. Theoretical and experimental studies have shown that micrometer or even nanometer scale hot spots generated in high-power density electronics due to non-uniform heat generation and heat dissipation may result in performance degradation and reliability issues [9, 10]. Efficient heat removal from hot spots area to the nearby surrounding area is the bottleneck of thermal management of high-power density devices such as GaN field-effect transistors (FETs). One possible approach for improving heat removal is introduction of micrometer or nanometer scale heat spreaders that specially designed for hot spots cooling. However, the thermal conductivity of semiconductor nanostructures is lower than that in corresponding bulk materials and diminishes with decreasing lateral dimensions [11-25]. This behavior of the thermal conductivity in nanostructures is explained by phonon boundary scattering and phonon confinement effects [18]. As a result, up to two-orders of magnitude reduction of the room-temperature (RT) thermal conductivity was reported for nanometer-thick films, multilayered planar heterostructures, homogeneous and segmented nanowires [19-25]. For this reason, the performance of nanometer scale heat spreaders implemented with conventional materials would be rather limited. Compared with metals or semiconductors, graphene has demonstrated extremely high intrinsic thermal conductivity, in the range from 2000 W/mK to 5000 W/mK at RT [26, 27].
This value is among the highest of known materials. Moreover, few-layer graphene (FLG) films with the thickness of a few nanometers also maintain rather high thermal conductivity unlike semiconductor or metals. Therefore, graphene and FLG are promising materials for micro or even nanometer scale heat spreader applications. Here we review the available thermal conductivity data for graphene and FLG and discuss their thermal applications as heat spreaders in high-power density electronics.

II. Thermal properties of graphene and few-layer graphene

Graphene consists of a single layer of sp$^2$ bonded carbon atoms packed in honey comb lattice. In addition to its unique optical [28] and electronic properties [29, 30], graphene has demonstrated extremely high thermal conductivity [26, 27]. The thermal conductivity of graphene was measured, for the first time, at the University of California – Riverside using an optothermal method based on Raman spectroscopy [26, 27]. The development of the original optothermal technique was crucial for the thermal measurements of the atomically thin materials. In this technique, a Raman spectrometer acts as a thermometer measuring the local temperature rise in graphene in response to the Raman laser heating. Graphene has distinctive signatures in Raman spectra with clear G peak and 2D band [31, 32]. It has been demonstrated [33, 34] that the G peak position of graphene’s Raman spectra exhibits strong temperature dependence. The shift in the position of the graphene G peak can be utilized to measure the local temperature rise.

Figure 1(a-b) shows the schematics of the optothermal measurement and a scanning electron microscopy of a representative suspended graphene flake used for the measurements [35]. A graphene layer is suspended across a trench fabricated on Si/SiO$_2$ wafer. The heating power $\Delta P$ is
provided by the Raman excitation laser focused on a suspended graphene layer. Even a small amount of power dissipated in graphene can be sufficient for inducing a measurable shift in the G peak position due to the extremely small thickness of the material. The temperature rise \( \Delta T \) in response to the dissipation power \( \Delta P \) is determined through micro-Raman spectrometer. During the measurement the laser power is increased gradually and the local temperature rise in the suspended graphene layer is measured through \( \Delta T = \Delta \omega G / \gamma G \), where \( \gamma G \) is the temperature coefficient of graphene G peak. The amount of power dissipated in graphene layer can be determined via the integrated Raman intensity of G peak or by a power detector placed under the graphene layer. The correlation between \( \Delta T \) and \( \Delta P \) in graphene samples with given geometry can give the thermal conductivity values by solving heat diffusion equation.

Using the optothermal method, Balandin and co-workers found that the intrinsic thermal conductivity \( \kappa \) of suspended graphene flakes [26, 27] is in a range of 2000 W/mK – 5000 W/mK at RT, exceeding \( \kappa \sim 2000 \text{ W/mK} \) of high quality bulk graphite. It has been established that the thermal conductivity varies with the size of graphene flake and that the heat is mainly conducted by acoustic phonons. The phonon mean free path (MFP) was estimated to be \( \sim 775 \text{ nm} \) at RT [27]. The high values of thermal conductivity in graphene were confirmed by a number of independent experimental studies [36-38]. Cai et al. [36] performed measurements of the thermal conductivity of suspended high-quality chemical vapor deposited (CVD) graphene and found RT \( \kappa \sim 1500 - 5000 \text{ W/mK} \). A recent study used direct imaging of nanoscale thermal transport in single and few-layer graphene with \( \sim 50\text{-nm} \) lateral resolution using high vacuum scanning thermal microscopy [37]. It was concluded that the heat transport in suspended graphene is substantially increased as compared to the adjacent areas of supported graphene. The nano-thermal images
indicated that the phonon MFP in the supported graphene can decrease down to 100 nm [37]. Another optothermal Raman study obtained a $\kappa$ value of $\sim 1800$ W/mK at 325 K and $\sim 710$ W/mK at 500 K [38] by assuming that the optical absorption coefficient is equal to 2.3% [39]. However, the absorption coefficient of graphene is a function of photon energy [40-42]. The value of 2.3% is observed only in the near-infrared at $\sim 1$ eV, and it steadily increases with increasing energy. Therefore value of 2.3 % assumed in Ref. [38] underestimated the amount of light absorbed by graphene, resulting in underestimation of the thermal conductivity. Optothermal method was also applied to measure thermal conductivity of few layer graphene (FLG) flakes of arbitrary shape via the iterative process of heat dissipation simulations [43]. Figure 2 shows the measured thermal conductivity of FLG as a function of number of graphene layers, $n$. It has been found that $K$ of suspended FLG will first decrease with increasing number of layers, then it will recover if $n$ keeps increasing and approaches bulk graphite limit $\sim 2000$ W/mK. This dependence of thermal conductivities on number of graphene layers can be explained by the intrinsic properties described by phonon-phonon scattering [43]. The increase in the number of graphene layers leads to the changes in the phonon dispersion, and results in more phonon states available for Umklapp scattering. The stronger Unklapp scattering decreases the intrinsic thermal conductivity.

Thermal conductivity of suspended graphene is closer to the intrinsic value which is determined by the phonon-phonon scattering. Thermal conductivity of the supported graphene is lower than that in suspended graphene due to the thermal coupling to the substrate and enhanced phonon scattering on the substrate defects and impurities. Seol et al. [44] have found $\kappa \sim 600$ W/mK for graphene-on-$\text{SiO}_2$/Si near RT. Encasing graphene within two layers of $\text{SiO}_2$ leads to further reduction of the thermal conductivity $\kappa$ to value $\sim 160$ W/mK due to the phonon boundary and
disorder scattering [45]. The thermal conductivity of the encased few-layer graphene increases with the increasing number of atomic planes and approaches the bulk graphite value. Thermal conductivities of graphene nanoribbons with less than five atomic layers and width between 16 nm and 52 nm were measured in the range 1000 – 1400 W/mK using an electrical self-heating method [46]. The electrical breakdown current density was measured on the order of $10^8$ A/cm$^2$, close to that of carbon nanotubes (CNTs). High electrical breakdown current density [46, 47], along with the high thermal conductivity, suggest potential applications of graphene nanoribbons as interconnects in next generation ICs.

Theoretical investigations of the lattice thermal conductivity in graphene were performed using different approaches, including the Boltzmann transport equation (BTE) and molecular dynamics (MD) simulations [48-51]. The non-equilibrium phonon distribution is described by the Boltzmann transport equation [48]:

$$\left. \left( \frac{\partial N_{s,q}}{\partial t} \right) \right|_{\text{drift}} + \left. \left( \frac{\partial N_{s,q}}{\partial t} \right) \right|_{\text{scatt}} = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $N_{s,q}$ is the number of phonons in $(s,q)$ phonon mode and $t$ is the time. The first term in Eq. (1) describes a change in $N_{s,q}$ due to the drift motion of phonons while the second term—due to scattering. The scattering term can be rewritten within the relaxation time approximation as

$$\left. \left( \frac{\partial N_{s,q}}{\partial t} \right) \right|_{\text{scatt}} = -n_{s,q}/\tau_{s,q},$$

where $\tau_{s,q}$ is the lifetime of the $(s,q)$ phonon mode, $N_0 = 1/(\text{Exp}(\hbar \omega_{s,q}/(k_B T)) - 1)$ is the Bose-Einstein distribution function, $T$ is the temperature and $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant. The latter leads to the following equation:

$$n = \tau (\frac{\partial N_0}{\partial T})|_{\text{drift}} = -\tau (\bar{v} \nabla T) \frac{\partial N_0}{\partial T}, \tag{2}$$
where $\nabla T$ is the temperature gradient and $\vec{v} = \frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \vec{q}}$ is the phonon group velocity. The heat flux along a graphene flake is given by the following expression [48, 52]

$$\vec{W} = \sum_{s, \vec{q}} \vec{v}_{s, \vec{q}} \hbar \omega_{s, \vec{q}} n_{s, \vec{q}}. \quad (3)$$

Substituting Eq. (2) into Eq. (3) one has

$$\vec{W} = -\sum_{\beta = x, y, z} (\nabla T)_\beta \sum_{s, \vec{q}} \tau_{s, \vec{q}} (v_{s, \vec{q}})_\beta \frac{\partial N_0(\omega_{s, \vec{q}})}{\partial T} \vec{v}_{s, \vec{q}} \hbar \omega_{s, \vec{q}}. \quad (4)$$

In the macroscopic approach, the thermal conductivity tensor $\kappa_{\alpha\beta}$ is defined by the equation:

$$W_\alpha = -\kappa_{\alpha\beta} (\nabla T)_\beta \hbar L_x L_y, \quad (5)$$

where $L_x$ and $L_y$ are the in-plane dimensions of the graphene flake and $h$ is the flake thickness. From Eqs. (4) and (5) one can obtain:

$$\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{hL_x L_y} \sum_{s, \vec{q}} \tau_{s, \vec{q}} (v_{s, \vec{q}})_\alpha (v_{s, \vec{q}})_\beta \frac{\partial N_0(\omega_{s, \vec{q}})}{\partial T} \hbar \omega_{s, \vec{q}}. \quad (6)$$

Making a transition from the summation over all phonon modes to the integration over the phonon wave vector and taking into account two-dimension phonon density of states, one can rewrite Eq. (6) for the scalar thermal conductivity $\kappa \equiv \kappa_{xx}$:

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{4\pi k_B T^2} \sum_{s = 1, 2, \ldots, 6} \int_{\vec{q}} \left[ \frac{\hbar \omega_{s, \vec{q}}}{\partial \vec{q}} \right]^2 \tau_{s, \vec{q}} \frac{\exp(\hbar \omega_{s, \vec{q}} / k_B T)}{[\exp(\hbar \omega_{s, \vec{q}} / k_B T) - 1]^2} dq. \quad (7)$$

In Eq. (7), the summation $s = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$ is performed over six phonon branches in graphene: four in plane branches - longitudinal acoustic (LA), longitudinal optic (LO), transverse acoustic (TA), transverse optic (TO) and two out-of-plane branches – transverse acoustic (ZA) and transverse optic (ZO). The calculation of $\kappa$ requires knowledge about the mechanisms of phonon scattering in graphene. The following types of phonon scattering are usually taken into account [17, 48-51, 53]: three-phonon Umklapp and normal scatterings, edge scattering, impurity, point-defect and isotope scatterings.
Using BTE or MD approaches the RT thermal conductivity values in a range from 100 to 8000 W/mK were predicted in dependence on the edge quality, isotope and defect concentration, flake shape and size [48 - 51]. The unusual strong influence of extrinsic parameters on the thermal conductivity in graphene was explained by the relatively weak phonon – phonon scattering, resulting in a large value of the intrinsic phonon mean free path $\sim 775$ nm [27]. Additional scattering of phonons on the flake edges or defects decreases significantly the phonon mean free path even for small defect concentration or smooth edges. The latter opens up a promising possibility for the fine tuning the phonon heat conduction in graphene by changing structural or geometric parameters of graphene flakes. Detailed description of thermal conductivity in graphene and comparison of the results from different groups was provided in recent reviews [35, 53-54].

III. Modeling-based design of graphene heat spreaders

Following the discovery of graphene’s extremely high thermal conductivity, graphene was proposed as candidate material for heat removal applications [1, 7, 55]. Early-stage works were focused on the design of graphene heat spreaders based on modeling results [56-58]. Graphene heat spreaders were designed in different device structures and heat propagation was simulated via finite element analysis method. The comparison of temperature rise in given device structures with and without graphene heat spreaders can illustrate the efficiency of graphene heat spreaders for the improvement of heat removal capability. The feasibility study of the use of graphene as the material for lateral heat spreaders in silicon-on-insulator (SOI)-based chips was reported in Ref. [56]. Figure 3 (a) shows the design of graphene lateral heat spreaders in SOI integrated circuits. A
graphene heat spreader layer was sandwiched between the oxide layer and the Si substrate and the two ends of graphene layer were connected to heat sinks. A conventional heat sink was attached to the bottom of Si substrate. The heat source was presented with several identical rectangular boxes of uniform power density, which simulated the heat generated by multiple metal-oxide-semiconductor field effect transistors (MOSFETs) in SOI circuits. The simulated temperature profiles along the top surface of a SOI based MOSFET with (black) and without (red) graphene heat spreaders are shown in Figure 3 (b). The insert shows the temperature profile for SOI wafer with seven active MOSFET devices. For a given device structure and power density, these simulations suggest that the hot-spot temperature can be reduced down to 70 K when graphene and FLG layers are embedded in the chip. The effect of graphene lateral heat spreader was more pronounced when the number of active transistors increases. It was also suggested that FLG heat spreaders may be more technologically feasible than signal layer graphene heat spreaders.

The design of graphene heat spreaders and interconnects in three-dimension (3D) integrated circuits have also been reported [55, 57]. Graphene layers incorporated into 3D chips can help in spreading heat laterally and cooling hot spots generated by Joule heating. Figure 4 (a) and (b) show the schematic of proposed 3D chip design with imbedded graphene layers as heat spreaders. The studied 3D chip contains two strata, each of which consists of a device layer and two interconnect layers. The main heat sink locates at the bottom of the substrate and additional heat sinks are connected to the ends of graphene heat spreaders. The vertical thermal via is also included in the chip design. Heat propagation equation was solved numerically using the finite element method. The simulated temperature profile of designed 3D chip are shown in Figure 4 (c) without and (d)
with graphene heat spreaders. The hot spot temperature in 3D chip with imbedded graphene heat spreaders (~393 K) is substantially lower than that without graphene heat spreaders (~446 K).

IV. Experimental demonstration of graphene heat spreaders for high-power transistors

The first experimental feasibility study of graphene lateral heat spreaders for electronic devices was demonstrated on GaN FETs [59]. The high-power GaN FETs are attractive for high-frequency high-power applications [60-62]. Commercial AlGaN/GaN heterostructure field-effect transistors (HFETs) emerged in 2005 and have developed rapidly since then. They have been used as power amplifiers or switches in wireless communications, power grids, radars, electric cars etc. AlGaN/GaN HFETs possess high electrical breakdown voltage [63], allowing high drain voltage applied. The large charge carrier concentration and saturation velocity lead to high saturation current. Therefore input power density of AlGaN/GaN HFETs could be extremely high, resulting in high output power [64-66]. Amplifiers fabricated using AlGaN/GaN HFETs have produced RF power over a wide frequency range up to several hundred watts, that is an order of magnitude larger than GaAs or InP based power devices. However, such high power density inevitably leads to huge amount of heat generation and presents extreme heat dissipation demands. Temperature rise due to self heating might lead to severe performance degradation and reliability issues [7, 67, 68]. Performance degradation of GaN transistors at elevated operation temperature includes degradation of drain current, gain and output power, as well as an increase in the gate leakage current. Moreover, the mean time to failure of GaN FETs decreases exponentially with increasing operation temperature [7]. For commercial AlGaN/GaN HFETs, the required lifetime is around $10^6$ hours and the corresponding operation temperature is below 180 °C. Improvement of heat
removal capability can reduce thermal resistance and increase the output power and lifetime of AlGaN/GaN HFETs. Similar considerations apply to GaN-based LEDs used in solid-state lighting applications.

It has been demonstrated [59] that the local thermal management of AlGaN/GaN HFETs can be substantially improved via introduction of the top-surface FLG heat spreaders. In the proof-of-concept experiments, FLG films have been exfoliated from the highly-oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) and transferred to the AlGaN/GaN devices on SiC substrate using polymethyl methacrylate (PMMA) membrane as supporting material. The method was analogous to the one used for transfer of the mechanically exfoliated graphene flake onto a boron nitride (BN) substrate [69]. The method was modified to allow for a fast transfer with the accuracy of spatial alignment around 1~2 μm. Figure 5 illustrates the structures of tested AlGaN/GaN HFETs and the schematics of FLG flakes transferred on top of it as top-surface heat spreaders. The tested AlGaN/GaN HFETs consisted of 30 nm AlGaN (~20% Al) barrier on 0.5 μm thick GaN channel layer deposited on insulating 4H-SiC substrate. The source and drain metal contacts were made of Ti/Al/Ti/Au, while the gate electrode was made of Ni/Au. The gate length and widths of the devices were 3.5 and 90 μm, respectively. The large source drain separation of 12 μm facilitated the heat spreader fabrication. The mobility values for representative devices were around 1150 cm$^2$/Vs.

Figure 6 provides microscopy images of graphene heat spreaders transferred on top of AlGaN/GaN HFETs. Since graphene film is electrically conductive, in order to avoid short circuiting the tested device, the graphene heat spreaders extend from the drain contact directly to the heat sinks on the side of the device. Microscopy images also show the flexibility of graphene heat spreaders and
close contact between graphene and the sample surface. The performance of graphene heat spreaders was demonstrated by comparison of temperature rise in operating AlGaN/GaN HFETs at same dissipation power with and without heat spreaders. The temperature rise in the device channel was in-situ monitored via Raman spectroscopy [70-72]. Raman spectrum of the FLG on AlGaN/GaN/SiC layered structure shows characteristic Raman peaks of FLG, GaN and SiC [59]. The narrow Ramam peak at 567 cm\(^{-1}\) is \(E_2\) mode of GaN. That peak position is sensitive to temperature and the temperature dependence has been well established [73,74], thus GaN \(E_2\) peak can be utilized for temperature measurement.

AlGaN/GaN HFET with graphene heat spreaders and the reference HFET without the heat spreaders were wire-bonded and placed under the Raman microscope (Renishaw inVia Raman system). Direct current (DC) bias was applied to the tested devices and temperature rise, \(\Delta T\), due to self-heating in the device channel was monitored by the Raman peak positions. Figure 7 shows GaN \(E_2\) peak in the Raman spectra of two identical AlGaN/GaN devices with and without graphene heat spreaders. The laser spot was focused at the channel region between the gate and the drain, closer to the gate, where \(\Delta T\) is expected to be the highest. At a power density of 12.8 W/mm, the temperature rise, \(\Delta T\), for the AlGaN/GaN HFET with and without graphene heat spreaders was 92 °C and 118 °C, respectively. In that measurement, same dissipation power was achieved in the latter device at 22 V source-drain bias due to small variations in the current–voltage characteristics (I-V). The results proved that graphene heat spreaders reduced the hotspot temperature around 20 °C of the tested device at given power density.

Figure 8 provides direct comparison of I-V characteristics of the HFETs with (solid lines) and
without (dashed lines) graphene heat spreaders. At $V_G = 2$ V, $I_{SD}$ increases from ~0.75 A/mm to ~0.84 A/mm – 12% improvement – as a result of better heat removal with the top lateral heat spreaders. At $V_G = 0$ V, $I_{SD}$ increased from 0.47 A/mm to 0.51 A/mm, which is 8% improvement. At $V_G = -2$V, the current density remains almost the same due to the low dissipation power density at this negative gate bias. Those experiments presented a direct evidence of the improvement in the AlGaN/GaN HFET performance with the top-surface few-layer graphene heat spreaders.

To emphasize the technological importance of findings of Ref. [59] it is illustrating to compare the thermal properties of FLG with those of metals, which can also be used as heat spreaders. It is well known that the thermal conductivity of metal films rapidly decreases with the film thickness [75-77]. For many technologically important metals, e.g. aluminum, copper or gold, the thermal conductivity of the metal film, $\kappa_F$, constitutes only ~20% of the thermal conductivity of bulk metal, $\kappa_M$, at the film thickness $H \approx 100$ nm. For example, the thermal conductivity of the gold film on etched Si for $H$ approaching the electron mean free path $\lambda \approx 41$ nm is $\kappa_F \approx 0.2 \times \kappa_M$ [77]. The expected down-scaling for aluminum films would give $\kappa_F \approx 26 - 48$ W/mK considering that the bulk RT $\kappa_M$ value for aluminum ranges from ~130 W/mK to 240 W/mK, depending on its purity and quality. The drastic degradation of the heat conduction properties of metal films is due to the increased electron scattering from the rough surfaces of the films and the polycrystalline grain boundaries. The surface roughness of thin metal films is usually rather high leading to stronger diffusive phonon scattering from interfaces. From the other side thermal conductivity of FLG is close to the bulk graphite limit of ~2000 W/mK and can be even larger up to 4000 W/mK for $n<4$. For this reason, the thermal conductivity of FLG is larger than that of thin metal films almost by
two orders of magnitude leading to substantial differences in the heat fluxes when these materials are used as heat spreaders.

V. CVD grown graphene heat spreaders

The first experimental demonstration of graphene heat spreaders on high-power electronic device was achieved by transferring mechanical exfoliated FLG on GaN transistors. However, this method cannot be applied in semiconductor industry due to the limited graphene flake size, randomness of flake shape and thickness, as well as the low throughput. The practical applications of graphene heat spreaders will rely on a method that could produce large size graphene flakes of high quality at low price. Fast progress of graphene growth by CVD method [78-80] and other techniques can make this feasible in the near future.

The reported thermal conductivity of CVD grown graphene is lower than that of exfoliated graphene flakes [36, 81], but still larger than conventional semiconductors or metals used in electronic devices. In a recent work, CVD grown graphene of different number of layers was fabricated and it was demonstrated as heat spreader in thermal packaging [82]. A platinum microheater embedded chips were used to evaluate the performance of the graphene heat spreaders. The Pt microheater made of titanium/platinum/gold (Ti/Pt/Au) provided heating source and temperature sensor. CVD grown single-layer and multilayer graphene were synthesized on copper substrate and then transferred onto the thermal evaluation chips as heat spreaders. Pt microheater was driven by electric current as hot spot, in which the temperature rise can be calculated by measuring the electric resistance. Thermal performance of the graphene heat spreaders was evaluated by the temperature drop after graphene transfer. It was found that the temperature of hot
spot driven at a heat flux up to 430 W cm\(^{-2}\) was decreased from 121 °C to 108 °C (\(\Delta T = 13 ^\circ C\)) after introduction of SLG heat spreader. These results prove the potential of CVD grown graphene as a promising heat spreader material for hot spot cooling in electronic devices.

VI. Conclusions

We reviewed thermal properties of graphene and multilayer graphene, and discussed possible graphene applications in heat spreaders for thermal management of high-power electronic and optoelectronic devices. Graphene heat spreaders can efficiently improve heat removal owing to the high thermal conductivity of graphene and its compatibility with various substrate materials. In addition, compared with conventional nanometer-thick thin films or nanowires, graphene thin films or graphene nanoribbons can maintain the high thermal conductivity at nanometer scale. The latter is important for the device-level targeted cooling of micrometer or nanometer scale hotspots. The proposed local heat spreading with materials that preserve good thermal properties at nanometre scale represents a transformative change in thermal management.
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Figure Captions

**Figure 1:** (a) Schematic of the thermal conductivity measurement showing suspended FLG flakes and excitation laser light. (b) Scanning electron microscopy image of the suspended graphene flake. Figure adopted from Ref. [35] with permission from Nature Publishing Group.

**Figure 2:** Measured thermal conductivity of suspended FLG as a function of the number of graphene layers, $n$. When $n > 4$, thermal conductivity drops below the bulk graphite limit due to the onset of phonon boundary scattering. It approaches bulk graphite limit when $n$ keeps increasing. Figure adopted from Ref. [43] with permission from Nature Publishing Group.

**Figure 3:** Graphene heat spreaders designed for SOI integrated circuits. (a) Schematic of the circuit on SOI substrate with graphene lateral heat spreaders attached to the side heat sinks and a main heat sink at the bottom of the substrate. (b) Temperature profile on the top surface of a SOI-based MOSFET with (black) and without (red) graphene heat spreaders. The insert shows the temperature profile for a SOI chip with seven active devices. Figure adopted from Ref. [55] with permission from IEEE.

**Figure 4:** Graphene heat spreaders designed for 3D integrated circuits. (a) A 3D view and (b) cross section of the schematic of designed 3D chip showing graphene heat spreaders for heat removal from the localized hot spots and interconnects. Simulated temperature profile across the 3D chip are shown for the designs (c) without and (d) with graphene heat spreaders. The units of temperature are in degree Kelvin. Figure adopted from Ref. [54] with permission from IEEE.
Figure 5: (a) Schematic of the FLG-graphite heat spreader attached to the AlGaN/GaN HFET (b) Schematic of the structure of tested device and graphene heat spreader. Figure adopted from Ref. [58] with permission from Nature Publishing Group.

Figure 6: Microscopy images of graphene heat spreaders transferred on AlGaN/GaN HFET. (a) Optical microscopy image of FLG overlapping the drain contact and the top surface of AlGaN/GaN HFET. Scale bar is 100μm. (b) Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of the heat spreader transferred on the top of drain contact. Graphene is indicated with green color, while metal contacts are with yellow color. Scale bar is 10μm. (c) A typical SEM image of FLG overlapping the boundary between metal contact and GaN surface. Scale bar is 1μm. Figure adopted from Ref. [58] with permission from Nature Publishing Group.

Figure 7: Comparison of temperature rise in operating AlGaN/GaN HFETs measured by Raman spectroscopy. (a) GaN E2 peak shift in AlGaN/GaN HFET without graphene heat spreader. (b) GaN E2 peak shift in AlGaN/GaN HFET with graphene heat spreader at same dissipation power. Smaller Raman peak shift indicating a temperature reduction in operating device. Figure adopted from Ref. [58] with permission from Nature Publishing Group.

Figure 8: Comparison of I-Vs of AlGaN/GaN HFETs with (dashed lines) and without (solid lines) graphene heat spreaders indicating improvement in I-Vs in HFETs after adding the lateral heat spreaders. Figure adopted from Ref. [58] with permission from Nature Publishing Group.
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Figure 3

(a) Schematic diagram of a graphene-based heat sink. The heat sink consists of a graphene layer with a SiO$_2$ layer (100 nm) on top, and a silicon substrate (500 µm) at the bottom. The dimensions are 100 µm x 100 µm. The heat sink is 50 nm wide and 25 nm high.

(b) Graph showing temperature variation with distance. The graph compares the temperature profiles with and without graphene. The inset shows the temperature profiles for seven gate fingers.
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