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**ABSTRACT**

RX J1301.9+2747 is an ultrasoft active galactic nucleus (AGN) with unusual X-ray variability that is characterized by a long quiescent state and a short-lived flare state. The X-ray flares are found to recur quasi-periodically on a timescale of 13–20ks. Here, we report the analysis of the light curve in the quiescent state from two XMM-Newton observations spanning 18.5 years, along with the discovery of a possible quasi-periodic X-ray oscillation (QPO) with a period of ~1500s. The QPO is detected at the same frequency in the two independent observations, with a combined significance of >99.89%. The QPO is in agreement with the relation between frequency and black hole mass ($M_{BH}$) that has been reported in previous works for AGNs and Galactic black hole X-ray binaries (XRBs). The QPO frequency is stable over almost two decades, suggesting that it may correspond to the high-frequency type found in XRBs and originates, perhaps, from a certain disk resonance mode. In the 3:2 twin-frequency resonance model, our best estimate on the QPO frequency is stable over almost two decades, suggesting that it may correspond to the high-frequency type found in XRBs and originates, perhaps, from a certain disk resonance mode. In the 3:2 twin-frequency resonance model, our best estimate on the $M_{BH}$ range implies that a maximal black hole spin can be ruled out. We find that all ultrasoft AGNs reported so far display quasi-periodicities in the X-ray emission, suggesting a possible link on the part of the extreme variability phenomenon to the ultrasoft X-ray component. This indicates that ultrasoft AGNs could be the most promising candidates in future searches for X-ray periodicities.
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**1. Introduction**

Active galactic nuclei (AGNs) are powered by the accretion of matter onto supermassive black holes (SMBHs) with $M_{BH} \sim 10^6–10^9 M_{\odot}$. They are considered to be scaled-up counterparts of Galactic black hole X-ray binaries (XRBs, $M_{BH} \sim 10 M_{\odot}$, McHardy et al. 2006, and references therein). One example of the similarities between these two types of systems is the rapid X-ray variability (e.g., Gierliński et al. 2008a; Middleton & Done 2010; Zhou et al. 2015). High-frequency quasi-periodic oscillations (HFQPOs; 40–450Hz) have been detected in the power spectra of XRBs at their very high accretion states (see, e.g., Remillard & McClintock 2006). As the fastest coherent features in the X-ray emission, these HFQPOs are expected to originate from the innermost regions of the accretion flows (e.g., Lai & Tsang 2009). In addition, the frequencies of HFQPOs appear stable and do not vary significantly with the X-ray luminosity, suggesting that this might be a basic property that could potentially provide a probe into the BH mass and spin (Abramowicz & Kluzniak 2001; Abramowicz & Liu 2012; Motta et al. 2014; Šrámková et al. 2015; Goluchová et al. 2019).

If the accretion process is dominated by strong gravity and is independent of BH masses, a scale invariance implies that QPOs should also be present in AGNs. RE J1034+36 is the first AGN for which a significant QPO has been detected (Gierliński et al. 2008b). However, a systematic study of power spectra of 104 AGNs by González-Martín & Vaughan (2012) resulted in no conclusive detections of QPOs, except for RE J1034+36. Possible detections of QPOs ($f_{QPO} \sim [0.7–2.7] \times 10^{-4}$ Hz) were reported in a few other AGNs from dedicated searches, including 2XMM J123103.2+110648 (J1231, Lin et al. 2013), MS2254.9–3712 (Alston et al. 2015), 1H0707–495 (Pan et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2018), Mrk 766 (Zhang et al. 2017), and MCG-6-30-15 (Gupta et al. 2018). In addition, QPOs were also detected in the stellar tidal disruption events (TDEs) by SMBHs where transient accretion is triggered (Reis et al. 2012; Pasham et al. 2019).

It has been suggested that X-ray QPOs tend to occur in the highest accretion state (Remillard & McClintock 2006; Pan et al. 2016). Furthermore, by analyzing the latest X-ray observational data for RE J1034+36, Jin et al. (2020a) confirmed the presence of QPO signal with an even higher significance. Interestingly, they found that the QPO in 0.3–1 keV is leading by 1–4 keV and that the QPO is only detected in the spectral state, where the soft X-ray excess emission is relatively weak (see also Middleton et al. 2011). The hard X-ray power-law component, however, remains unchanged among the observations with and without the QPO. These results suggest that the QPO in RE J1034+36 is linked to the soft X-ray spectral component, which possibly originates from the inner disk (Jin et al. 2020b).
Among the AGNs with QPO detections, J1231 is peculiar in terms of its ultrasoft X-ray spectra, which lack significant emission at energies above ~2 keV and can be described entirely by a soft thermal component with a temperature of $kT \sim 0.12$ keV (Terashima et al. 2012). Such an extreme soft emission is unprecedented among AGNs. A similar level of extremely soft X-ray spectrum was also found in GSN 069 by Miniutti et al. (2013). In either case, the thermal X-ray spectrum appears to be a close analog to the disk-dominated spectrum that is typically seen in the high and soft states of XRBs. However, it was claimed that the ultrasoft X-ray spectra in the two AGNs could be associated with TDEs because both clearly show a long-term decline in the X-ray flux (Lin et al. 2017; Shu et al. 2018). More interestingly, Miniutti et al. (2019) discovered a variability of ~9 h X-ray quasi-periodic eruptions (QPEs) in GSN 069 during its flux decay phase, casting intriguing questions about the origin of its ultra-soft X-ray emission.

Besides J1231 and GSN 069, the ultra-soft X-ray emission is also detected in RX J1301.9+2746 (hereafter, J1302, Sun et al. 2013, Sun13). J1302 is the first AGN known to display short-lived X-ray flares over a stable quiescent flux state. Recent XMM-Newton observations confirmed the recurrence of the X-ray flares, with an amplitude similar to that of the QPEs in GSN 069 (Giustini et al. 2020). In this work, we report the detection of a possible X-ray QPO signal at $[6.68 \pm 0.52] \times 10^{-4}$ Hz (i.e., corresponding to ~0.4 h) in the light curve of the quiescent state for J1302. The signal was detected independently in two XMM-Newton observations, in 2000 December and 2019 May, respectively, with a combined significance level of $>99.89\%$ based on a consideration of the number of individual frequencies over which the QPO was investigated. The QPO has the highest frequency ever seen from an AGN, which is possibly due to the low BH mass for the source. Its remarkable stability over almost two decades may represent a fundamental property of the system. In Sect. 2, we describe the observations and data reduction. In Sect. 3, we present the light curve analysis and results. A discussion on the origin of the QPO and its implications are presented in Sect. 4.

2. Observations and data reduction

J1302 was observed twice by XMM-Newton with the European Photon Imaging Camera (EPIC), in 2000 December for an exposure time of 29 ks (OBSTD: 0124710801, hereafter, XMM2000) and in 2019 May for about 48 ks (OBSTD: 0851180501, XMM2019 hereafter). While the XMM-Newton data have already been presented in Sun13 and Giustini et al. (2020), here, we re-analyze the light curve in detail, especially at the quiescent state with the aim of searching for periodicity in the X-ray emission. The XMM-Newton data were processed following the standard procedures, using the Science Analysis Software (SAS) version 17.0.0, with the latest calibration files as of 2018 December. The epochs of high background events were examined and excluded using the light curves in the energy band above 12 keV. Source events were extracted from a circular region with a radius of 40″ centered at the source position, while background events were from source-free areas on the same chip using four circular regions identical to the source region. We primarily used the data from the EPIC PN camera, which have a much higher sensitivity. Only good events (i.e., PATTERN $\leq 4$ for PN) were used to generate light curves. The SAS tool epiclc-corr was used to correct for instrumental effects. Given the low count rates in the quiescent state (~0.1 counts s$^{-1}$), the photon pile-up effect is negligible.

3. Light curve analysis and results

Figure 1 (left panel) shows the background-subtracted light curves that were constructed in the 0.2–2 keV band with a bin size of 100 s, based on the XMM2000 and XMM2019 observations, respectively. Apart from the flares that were reported in previous works, a periodicity appears in the X-ray emission during the quiescent state. Timing studies of XRBs have suggested that QPOs can evolve with time in their amplitudes or frequencies, or both (Remillard & McClintock 2006). Such a time dependence has also been found in AGNs where the QPO signal is only seen in segment of light curves (e.g., Gierliński et al. 2008b; Pan et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017). Weighted Wavelet Z-transform (WWZ) is a powerful technique for analyzing and measuring non-stationary periodic features of the time series by performing signal decompositions in both frequency and time spaces simultaneously (Foster 1996; Czerny et al. 2010). We calculated the WWZ power as a function of frequency and time for the segment of quiescent light curve in the range of $t = 4000$–14 100 s for the XMM2000 observation and $t = 9000$–25 000 s for the XMM2019 observation, respectively (segment I in Fig. 1). The contour plots of the WWZ power in the time-frequency plane for both observations (Fig. A.1) suggest that a potential QPO signal is present within a frequency range of $\sim 6 \times 10^{-4}$–$8 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz, but does not appear throughout the whole light curve. Therefore, only the segment where the signal is relatively strong was selected for the following time analysis, which is $t = 6000$–14 100 s for the XMM2000 data, and $13 500$–21 300 s for the XMM2019 data, respectively. We performed similar WWZ analyses on the other segments of the light curve (Segment II), but did not find any significant signal at the similar frequency (Fig. A.2). Therefore, these segments are not considered further in our time analysis.

In Fig. 1 (middle panel), we plot the time-averaged WWZ power as a function of frequency (orange lines). The power spectra of both the XMM2000 and XMM2019 observations display a strong peak near $6.7 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz, or, potentially, a QPO component. By fitting a Gaussian function to the signal, we obtained a centroid frequency ($\nu$) of $6.54 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz and $6.82 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz, with frequency width (full-width at half-maximum) of $\delta \nu = 1.11 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz and $\delta \nu = 1.30 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz, for XMM2000 and XMM2019 observations, respectively. This corresponds to a quality factor of $Q = \nu / \delta \nu \geq 5$, indicating a coherent feature. We note that the centroid frequency of the signal for the XMM2019 observation is slightly higher than that in XMM2000, but it is not statistically significant within the errors. Therefore, the average frequency from the two observations is reported as the QPO frequency, $f_{\text{QPO}} = [6.68 \pm 0.52] \times 10^{-4}$ Hz, corresponding to a period of $\sim 15000$ s (~0.4 h).

To further investigate and quantify the periodicity, we employed the Lomb-Scargle Periodogram (LSP, Lomb 1976; Scargle 1982), which is a widely used method in the power spectrum analysis of non-uniformly sampled time-series data. This may be the case for our data, as the light curves may not be strictly evenly sampled due to the effect of high-background intervals. The LSP analysis for the above selected segments of light curve (inset panels in Fig. 1) provides very similar results to WWZ and detects the QPO at the same centroid frequency (blue lines), albeit with a smaller amplitude of the peak power. The significance of the LSP power can be assessed by testing the null hypothesis of no period being present. It is also known as the false-alarm probability of obtaining a power larger than the measured peak, assuming that the signal is on top of purely Gaussian-noise time series. The false-alarm probability
is \( p = 0.005 \) and \( p = 0.03 \), corresponding to a global confidence level of 99.5\% for XMM2000 and 97\% for XMM2019, respectively. We note that this test and quoted significance rely on only white noise variability. The presence of red noise is known to be a potential source of false features in the X-ray power spectra of AGNs (e.g., Vaughan 2005).

In order to rigorously estimate the confidence level, we employed Monte Carlo techniques and compared the spread of a series of simulated power spectra to the observed one in a similar manner to that outlined in Uttley et al. (2002). Firstly, we fitted the power density spectrum (PDS) of the observed light curve with a simple power-law function consisting of a power-law plus a constant: \( P(f) = N f^{-\alpha} + C \), where \( N \) is the normalization term, \( \alpha \) is the power-law index, and \( C \) is a non-negative constant representing the Poisson noise level (see Fig. A.3). A maximum likelihood method was used to determine the parameters in this model (Vaughan 2010). We obtained normalization \( N = 0.1245, \alpha = 0.4647, \) and \( C = 14.166 \). Simulated light curves for this best-fit power-law model were then constructed using the method of Timmer & Koenig (1995), which were resampled and binned to have the same duration, mean count rate, and variance as the observed light curve. We generated their power spectra using the same Lomb-Scargle method. For each simulated power spectrum, we found the spread in the value of normalized LSP power and obtained the spurious detection probability that a value would be at or greater than the power of interest at a frequency bin. At the frequency of \( f = 6.68 \times 10^{-5}\) Hz, where the QPO is indicated, we found that there are only 48 data points out of \( 10^4 \) with powers above the measured peak, which gives a confidence level of 99.952\% for the XMM2000 data. From the simulated light curves, we calculated the LSP power at each frequency corresponding to the confidence level of 99.952\% and constructed the significance curve that is shown in Fig. 1 (middle panel, grey curve). For comparison, we also built the 99.99\% confidence curve following the same procedures. The same light curve simulations were performed for the XMM2019 data, which yield a significance of 99.63\% for the QPO signal. We note that using a bending power model to fit the PDS and construct simulated light curves results in similar confidence levels for the QPO detections.

The statistical tests above yield a probability of finding a QPO with the power exceeding that found in the observed data in a given (predefined) frequency bin. In general, a QPO could have been detected at any plausible frequency for a pure noise power spectrum distribution (PSD). Hence, we proceeded to calculate the significance of a QPO peak globally by scanning all frequency bins over the range of interest (1.2 \times 10^{-5}–5.0 \times 10^{-5} Hz, Fig. 1). For each of the simulated LSP power spectra, the maximum power (\( \zeta_{max} \)) was noted. Using the 10\(^3\) values of \( \zeta_{max} \), we computed the cumulative distribution of the probability to exceed a given \( \zeta_{max} \) (Fig. A.4). By comparing with the observed \( \zeta_{max,obs} \), we found that the significance for the QPO detection is reduced to 96.81\% and 96.65\% for the XMM2000 and XMM2019 data, respectively. However, we show here that the QPO exists in two independent observations with a similar power level at the same frequency. The combined blind-chance probability that these two QPO signals arise from random noise alone is \( P_{false} = 1.068 \times 10^{-3} \), corresponding to a detection at the 99.89\% level, still making it statistically significant enough to claim the presence of a QPO. We note that the QPO feature is preserved at the same frequency in the PSD of the periodic light curve segment if the periodogram is calculated as the modulus-squared of the discrete Fourier transform (DFT), with an [rms/mean]\(^2\) normalization (Vaughan 2010), as shown in Fig. A.3. On the contrary, no QPO feature is seen in the PSD of the full light curve. This suggests that either the QPO is transient or the QPO phase is shifted due to the interruption by flare intervals.

With the light curve analysis tool efold provided in the HEASOFT software\(^1\), we folded the light curves with the best-estimate of the period of 1500\,s for the two XMM-Newton

\(^1\) https://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/xanadu/xrns/examples/efold.html
observations, which are displayed in the right panel of Fig. 1 (two cycles are shown). The best-fit sinusoidal curve is overlaid in red. It can be seen that the amplitude of the X-ray flux clearly varies with phase. The fractional rms modulation amplitude derived from the folded light curve is 8.5 ± 1.3% and 6.8 ± 0.4% for the XMM2000 and XMM2019 data, respectively, which is comparable to that in other AGNs. For example, the QPO rms variability for RE J1034+36 is found about 4% in 0.3–1 keV and 12% in the 1–4 keV (Jin et al. 2020a).

4. Discussion and conclusions

The X-ray light curve for J1302 is peculiar among AGNs and characterized by two distinct states: a long quiescent (or stable) state and a short-lived flare (or eruptive) state, which differs in count rates by nearly an order of magnitude (Sun13). The X-ray flares are persistent and recurrent with quasi periods of ∼13–20 ks (Fig. 1, see also Giustini et al. 2020), which are remarkably similar to the X-ray QPEs recently found in GSN 069 (Miniutti et al. 2019). In this work, we have presented the timing analysis of light curves in the quiescent state with two different techniques (WWZ and LSP), which have revealed a potential QPO from the peak in the PDS, with a centroid frequency of ∼6.7 × 10^{-7} Hz. The QPO is present in two XMM-Newton observations spanning 18.5 years, XMM2000 and XMM2019, at a confidence level of 96.81% and 96.65%, respectively. Considering that the QPO was detected at the same frequency in two independent observations, its combined confidence level is 99.893% (∼3.27σ if assuming normal distribution). The relative stability of the QPO in frequency indicates its probable association with HFQPOs, as observed in XRBs.

Another peculiarity that characterizes J1302 is its ultra-soft X-ray spectrum in the quiescent state, where the typical AGN hard X-ray power-law emission above 2 keV is completely absent. The X-ray spectrum can be well described by a thermal disk emission, plus a much weaker Comptonization component (Shu et al. 2017). While the disk emission appears stable between XMM2000 and XMM2019 observations, the additional harder spectral component has increased in flux by a factor of 3 (Giustini et al. 2020). The spectral modeling suggests that the source could be in a disk-dominated thermal state, which, considered analogously to XRBs, would suggest it is accreting at high rates. The black hole mass of J1302 is not well-determined. Using the width of the [OIII] λ5007 as a proxy for the stellar velocity dispersion of the host galaxy, we obtained a BH mass of $M_{BH} = 8 \times 10^5 M_{\odot}$, with an intrinsic scatter of 0.5 dex. With a bolometric luminosity of $\sim 10^{43}$ erg s^{-1} (Sun13), this implies an accretion rate in Eddington units of $L_{\text{edd}} / L_{\text{edd}} \sim 0.1$, suggesting that J1302 is indeed accreting at a high rate. These values are also consistent with the BH mass and Eddington ratio derived independently from detailed physical modeling of ultraviolet-to-X-ray energy spectra (Shu et al. 2017).

High accretion rates are a characteristic feature of narrow-line Seyfert 1 galaxies (NLS1s, e.g., Komossa 2008; Boller 2010), which can have BH masses as low as that of J1302 (Zhou et al. 2006). Interestingly, all five AGNs with potential HFQPO detections (including the prototype RE J1034+36) are NLS1s. The similar QPO frequency, X-ray spectral properties as well as the PDS shapes may suggest a common origin for the QPOs. The TDE Sw J1644+57 in which a 200 s QPO was detected is also believed to accrete at a super-Eddington rate (Reis et al. 2012). This led Pan et al. (2016) to propose the possible link of QPOs to accretion rates. However, the QPO mechanism in J1302 may be different from those in either RE J1034+36 or Sw J1644+57, as the QPOs for later cases are more significant (Gierlinski et al. 2008b) or only shown in hard X-rays (>2 keV, Reis et al. 2012) and not in the soft state. We note that by decomposing the soft excess emission of RE J1034+36 into multiple spectral components, including an inner disk emission and two warm Comptonized components, Jin et al. (2020b) recently found that the QPO appears only in the warmer Comptonized component (hotter part of the soft excess) and in the disk component below 0.5 keV, with the latter leading by ~680 s. This suggests that the QPO of RE J1034+36 may originate from the inner disk and is then transmitted to the coronal regions via a Comptonization process. Detailed spectral and timing analysis of the soft excess emission in other NLS1s is required to test whether similar QPO components can be revealed from standard AGN stochastic variability, which is helpful to gaining an understanding of the origin of QPO. While a 3.8 h QPO has been detected in the ultralow AGN J1231, it could be associated with a low-frequency QPO phenomenon (Lin et al. 2013). More recently, a remarkably stable QPO was detected in the TDE ASASSN-14li, which also has an ultralow X-ray spectrum (Pasham et al. 2019), likely originating from a newly formed compact accretion disk. The results appear to be at odds with the scenario in XRBs suggesting the absence of QPOs in the soft (thermal) state (Remillard & McClintock 2006); hence, this may require a new physical mechanism to explain the origin of QPOs in ultralow AGNs as well as TDEs.

We fit a simple absorbed power-law model to describe the soft X-ray spectra for all of the SMBH accretion systems with QPO detections. The resulting spectral indices plotted against the BH masses are shown in Fig. 2 (left, red points). As a comparison, we overplot the AGN sample of González-Martín & Vaughan (2012) in which a uniform search for QPOs was performed but yielded no detections. There appears to be a dependence of the detectability of QPOs on BH masses. About half of sources with QPO detections have a BH mass of $\lesssim 10^6 M_{\odot}$, while only one AGN without QPO detection falls within this mass region. We also plot the soft X-ray spectral indices versus Eddington ratios, as shown in Fig. 2 (right). It can be seen that while the QPOs tend to occur in the high-accretion systems, with $L / L_{\text{edd}} \geq 0.1$, most (6/9) have a steep soft X-ray spectrum with a photon index $\Gamma \gtrsim 4$. In contrast, for AGNs in which no QPOs were detected, only few of them have the steep photon index as high as $\Gamma = 4$. This suggests that the appearance of QPOs may be closely linked to the soft X-ray spectral component, especially in the disk-dominated state. Strictly speaking, the comparison with the AGN sample of González-Martín & Vaughan (2012) may not be appropriate as the QPO was searched using the full light curves. This would reduce the significance of QPO detection if the signal is present in part only of light curve (e.g., Gierlinski et al. 2008b; Pan et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017). A revised analysis of the QPO detectability in the sample of González-Martín & Vaughan (2012) with WWZ and LSP is necessary and will be presented elsewhere. In combination with high Eddington ratios (or small BH masses), the extremely steep soft X-ray spectral slope, as presented in Fig. 2, would be a useful tool for preselecting promising candidates in the search for QPOs among AGNs.

It has been proposed that HFQPO frequencies scale inversely with the BH masses ($f_{\text{QPO}} \propto M_{\text{BH}}^{-1}$ relation, Remillard & McClintock 2006) and such a relationship covers the entire mass range for all astrophysical BHs, from stellar and intermediate-mass to supermassive ones (Zhou et al. 2015). In Fig. 3 (upper panel), we reproduce the $f_{\text{QPO}} \propto M_{\text{BH}}^{-1}$ relation by including J1302 and latest SMBH systems with
QPO detections. Clearly, the QPO in J1302 conforms to the relation within the uncertainty range of $M_{\text{BH}}$, strengthening its association with the HFQPOs. The QPO frequency of J1302 is higher than that found in other AGNs, possibly due to its lower BH mass, which appears to fill the mass gap between AGNs and TDEs. In addition, the QPO frequency does not change for at least 18.5 years. This suggests that the QPO is produced by a stable mechanism and disfavors alternative models that involve temporal processes, such as orbiting hot spots in the disk (e.g., Schnittman & Bertschinger 2004). As we mentioned before, the ultrasoft X-ray spectrum of J1302 appears to be disk-dominated as in the case of ASASSN-14iii. The QPO of J1302 may represent a certain disk oscillation mode rarely seen in other AGNs.

We attempted to compare our QPO frequency with the three fundamental frequencies for a test particle moving around a spinning BH. The fastest one is the Keplerian orbital frequency ($\nu_0$). Perturbations can induce two additional frequencies in the radial or the vertical directions, known as the radial ($\nu_r$) or the vertical epicyclic ($\nu_\phi$) frequencies, respectively. According to the simplest version of the 3:2 resonance model as proposed by Kluzniak & Abramowicz (2001), the BH mass and spin relation can be inferred (Fig. 3, lower panel) by assuming that the observed QPO frequency corresponds to the radial epicyclic (solid line) and the vertical epicyclic (dashed line) frequencies, respectively. For the case of radial epicyclic oscillation mode, that is, the QPO frequency corresponds to the lower of the twin peak frequencies, our best estimate on the BH mass range ($M_{\text{BH}} = [0.5-2.5] \times 10^6 M_\odot$) enables us to constrain a dimensionless spin parameter $a' \lesssim 0.9$, indicating that a maximal BH spin can be ruled out. Associating the QPO frequency with the higher vertical epicyclic frequency will push the upper limit to a lower spin value of ~0.7. This is somehow consistent with the low BH spin of J1302 inferred by Middleton & Ingram (2015) based on the spectral model of Doppler disk tomography. Within the BH mass range, we cannot find formal solutions when choosing the Keplerian frequency at the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) for the case of the prograde orbit ($a' > 0$). Alternatively, the BH mass could be underestimated, for instance, $M_{\text{BH}} \gtrsim 5 \times 10^6 M_\odot$ is required to conform with the situation of higher frequencies and spin parameters. In this case, however, a lower accretion rate would be expected ($L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{Edd}} < 0.02$), which appears to be at odds with the disk-dominated thermal spectral state observed for the source.

In Fig. 3 (upper panel), we also overplot the frequencies for the QPEs, a new phenomenon of X-ray variability associated with accreting SMBHs. As mentioned, while only three ultrasoft AGNs are found (J1302, J1231, and GSN 069), all of them display quasi-periodic X-ray variations in either form of QPOs or QPEs. We performed similar search for QPO in the quiescent state of GSN 069, but did not find any significant signals. Thus, J1302 is the only AGN known so far to present both QPOs and QPEs, with the period ratios of ~8–13. Whether there is a physical connection between QPO and QPE is completely unclear. Miniutti et al. (2019) proposed that the observed QPO in J1231 itself may be the signature of a weak QPE or a QPE that has not fully developed. We note that both J1231 and GSN 069 exhibit long-term X-ray decays that can be explained as decade-long sustained TDEs (Lin et al. 2017; Shu et al. 2018). It is possible that the transient accretion in the case of TDEs triggers a disk instability, leading to the production of QPO/QPEs as the mass accretion rate drops. This is consistent with the transient nature of QPO/QPEs in both objects, which appear only at later times of the luminosity evolution. Further observations of GSN 069...
Combined with QPEs, our discovery of the very persistent (stable over a decade) QPO in J1302 may present a new probe into the complex accretion physics taking place in an AGN soft state that remains a poorly explored regime.
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Fig. 3. Upper: relation between QPO frequency and BH mass. Following Pan et al. (2016), we updated the relation to include more recent QPO detections in NLSy1 MS2254.9−3712 (Alston et al. 2015), Mrk 766 (Zhang et al. 2017), and MCG−6−30−15 (Gupta et al. 2018). TDE ASASSN-14li (Pasham et al. 2019), ultrasoft AGN J1231−2015, Mrk 766 (Zhang et al. 2017), and MCG−6−30−15 (Gupta et al. 2018), have higher QPO frequencies and lower BH masses in comparison to AGNs. As a comparison, we also overplot the frequencies for QPEs (green symbols, Miniutti et al. 2019; Giustini et al. 2020). Lower: relation between BH mass and dimensionless spin parameter assuming that the QPO originates from the 3:2 twin frequency disk resonance (see text for details). Only the case for the prograde orbit is shown. Solid and dashed lines represent cases in which the observed QPO frequency of J1302 corresponds to the radial and vertical epicyclic frequencies, respectively. For comparison, we also show the relation by assuming that the QPO frequency is the Keplerian frequency at ISCO (dotted line). Grey shaded region shows the J1302’s BH mass range ([0.25−2.5]×10^6 M_⊙, Sun13) and the thick black line represents the best estimate on the BH mass (8×10^5 M_⊙).

will be crucial in determining the time evolution of QPEs and testing the possibility that QPEs could develop into a QPO. The extreme variability phenomenon of QPEs is relatively new and we are only beginning to understand their underlying physics.
Appendix A: Supplementary figures

Fig. A.1. Contour plot of the WWZ power for the Segment I of light curve in the quiescent state (see the left panel of Fig. 1 in the main text). The WWZ power is plotted in the time-frequency (period) plane (XMM2000 observation on the left and XMM2019 observation on the right). The color scale of the WWZ power is shown in the lower panel. The significant regions are within a frequency range of $\sim 6 \times 10^{-4} - 8 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz for both observations. It can be seen that the QPO signal does not appear for the whole light curve, which is relatively strong in the time segment of 6000–14 100 s for the XMM2000 data, and of 13 500–21 300 s for the XMM2019 data, respectively. The time segments between the vertical dash-dotted lines are selected for the power spectra analysis in the main text.

Fig. A.2. Same contour plot of the WWZ power as Fig. A.1, but for segment II of the light curve. No significant quasi-periodic signal is found.
Fig. A.3. PSD calculated as the modulus-squared of the DFT, which is normalized with $[\text{rms/mean}]^2$. Top panel: PSD of light curve from the periodic segment (XMM2000 data on left and XMM2019 data on right). The red dashed line shows the single-trial 99.78% significance curve, while the black dashed line shows the best-fitted PSD model with a powerlaw plus constant ($P(f) = N f^{-\alpha} + C$). The corresponding data/model ratios are shown in the lower panel. The vertical line marks the QPO frequency found from the LSP and WWZ analyses (see text). Bottom panel: PSD for the full light curve of two XMM observations where no QPO is seen at the frequency of $\sim 6.7 \times 10^{-4}$ Hz.

Fig. A.4. Left: cumulative distribution of global chance probability of the maximum LSP power ($\zeta_{\text{max}}$) searched in the given frequency range of interest ($1.2 \times 10^{-3} - 5.1 \times 10^{-3}$ Hz). The probability $P(>\zeta_{\text{max}})$ is calculated as the values exceeding a given maximum power. The red vertical line represents the probability of maximum LSP power exceeding the observed QPO value, $\zeta_{\text{max,obs}} = 9.25$ for the XMM2000 data, which corresponds to a global statistical significance of 96.81% for the QPO detection. Right: same as left, but for the simulations of XMM2019 data.