Breast cancer diagnosis and treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic in a nationwide, insured population
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Abstract

Purpose The early months of the COVID-19 pandemic led to reduced cancer screenings and delayed cancer surgeries. We used insurance claims data to understand how breast cancer incidence and treatment after diagnosis changed nationwide over the course of the pandemic.

Methods Using the Optum Research Database from January 2017 to March 2021, including approximately 19 million US adults with commercial health insurance, we identified new breast cancer diagnoses and first treatment after diagnosis. We compared breast cancer incidence and proportion of newly diagnosed patients receiving pre-operative systemic therapy pre-COVID, in the first 2 months of the COVID pandemic and in the later part of the COVID pandemic.

Results Average monthly breast cancer incidence was 19.3 (95% CI 19.1–19.5) cases per 100,000 women and men pre-COVID, 11.6 (95% CI 10.8–12.4) per 100,000 in April–May 2020, and 19.7 (95% CI 19.3–20.1) per 100,000 in June 2020–February 2021. Use of pre-operative systemic therapy was 12.0% (11.7–12.4) pre-COVID, 37.7% (34.9–40.7) for patients diagnosed March–April 2020, and 14.8% (14.0–15.7) for patients diagnosed May 2020–January 2021. The changes in breast cancer incidence across the pandemic did not vary by demographic factors. Use of pre-operative systemic therapy across the pandemic varied by geographic region, but not by area socioeconomic deprivation or race/ethnicity.

Conclusion In this US-insured population, the dramatic changes in breast cancer incidence and the use of pre-operative systemic therapy experienced in the first 2 months of the pandemic did not persist, although a modest change in the initial management of breast cancer continued.

Keywords COVID-19 · Breast cancer incidence · Neoadjuvant endocrine · Preoperative endocrine · Insurance claims

Introduction

The early months of the COVID-19 pandemic caused major disruption to health care delivery, in both cancer diagnosis, including lower rates of screening [1–7], and cancer treatment, including widely reported of delays in therapy [5, 8, 9]. Many hospitals postponed non-emergent surgeries, including cancer surgeries, and in the case of breast cancer, guidelines recommended considering pre-operative systemic therapy if surgery might not be readily available [10–12]. After the initial turmoil, most reports going beyond the first few months of the pandemic have shown at least some degree of recovery of screening toward the pre-pandemic levels [1–3, 7]. However, it is not yet known whether and to what extent changes in the management of breast cancer that occurred during the early period of the pandemic may have continued.
The impact of the pandemic, including on health care delivery, has varied greatly across the United States (US) and the world. Different regions of the US have been more severely affected by the pandemic at different times [13], and COVID-19 incidence and outcomes have varied by demographic factors, such as race/ethnicity [13–16] and socioeconomic status [15, 16]. The initial impact of the pandemic on cancer screening also varied across geographic regions [1]. Whether its impact on the treatment of breast cancer varied by geographic regions or other demographic factors is not yet known.

In this study, we used data from the Optum database of insurance claims and electronic health records for US individuals through March 2021 to assess the incidence of breast cancer diagnosis and first treatment after diagnosis before the pandemic, during the first 2 months of the pandemic when disruption in cancer screening and care delivery were most pronounced [1, 17], and during the later months of the pandemic. Moreover, we sought to understand whether the changes observed over the course of the pandemic in breast cancer incidence and treatment varied by geographic region, local area socioeconomic deprivation, or race/ethnicity.

Methods

Data source and study sample

We analyzed de-identified data from the Optum Research Database, consisting of private insurance claims linked to electronic health record information from US individuals, from January 2017 through March 2021. Our study sample included individuals at least 18 years of age, with at least 6 months of electronic health record information prior to and at least 30 days of enrollment in an Optum health plan after their first recorded diagnostic or procedure claims code during the study interval and with no breast cancer diagnosis prior to their entry into the cohort.

Inference of breast cancer incidence and first treatment after diagnosis

To identify people who were newly diagnosed with invasive breast cancer, we selected individuals who had a first-ever International Classification of Disease (ICD) code for invasive breast cancer (ICD-10 C50; ICD-9 174 and 175) and a breast cancer diagnostic procedure (biopsy or fine needle aspiration) Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) code within ± 30 days of that ICD code. We did not exclude male breast cancer. To select early-stage breast cancers, we excluded individuals with a secondary malignant neoplasm diagnosis code. We set the date of diagnosis as the date of the breast cancer diagnostic procedure code. To identify first treatment after breast cancer diagnosis, we selected those individuals with a new breast cancer diagnosis who had at least 60-day enrollment in an Optum health plan after diagnosis date. We then recorded whether a medication code for an endocrine drug (tamoxifen, letrozole, anastrozole, or exemestane), medication code for a chemotherapy drug, or CPT code for a breast surgical procedure appeared first within those 60 days. If none of these occurred within 60 days, we classified these patients as not having received treatment during follow-up.

Statistical analysis

We defined three time periods corresponding to the pre-COVID period, COVID period 1 representing the first 2 months of the pandemic, and COVID period 2 representing the subsequent months of the pandemic. For breast cancer incidence analyses, we defined COVID period 1 as diagnosis dates in April and May 2020, while for the first-treatment analyses, we defined it as diagnosis dates in March and April 2020, reflecting the delay between diagnosis and treatment. COVID period 2 ended in February 2021 for breast cancer incidence analyses and in January 2021 for first-treatment analyses, due to the requirement for longer follow-up to assess first treatment. We used Chi-squared tests to compare proportions other than the proportion of patients receiving pre-operative systemic therapy, which was tested in the logistic regression model. We used a univariate Poisson model including COVID period to calculate monthly breast cancer incidence and 95% confidence intervals; to assess whether incidence differed across the three COVID periods, we reported the P value of the COVID period term.

For analyses of the impact of geographic region, Area Deprivation Index (ADI) [18], and race/ethnicity on breast cancer incidence and treatment across the pandemic, we note that Optum data are available as two unlinked databases, one with five-digit zip code information and a separate one with race/ethnicity information from the electronic health record. Therefore, we assessed in different datasets using the separate models, adding main effect and the interaction term of interest, to test whether the change in breast cancer incidence or in first treatment across time periods varied by geographic region, by ADI, and by race/ethnicity, reporting the P value of the interaction term between COVID period and each covariate. We defined geographic region according to the nine Census Bureau-designated divisions. ADI
was derived from areal-level income, education, employment, and housing conditions and linked to five-digit zip code; we divided our study sample into those living in less-deprived areas (0–80th ADI percentile) and those living in most deprived areas (over 80th ADI percentile). All analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc.).

Results

Breast cancer incidence across the COVID-19 pandemic

Between January 2017 and February 2021, Optum included 19,329,646 unique women and men who met criteria for inclusion in our cohort. Average monthly breast cancer incidence (including both females and males) prior to the onset of COVID was 19.3 (95% CI 19.1–19.5) cases per 100,000 individuals (Fig. 1). In April and May 2020 (COVID period 1), breast cancer incidence dropped by 40% to 11.6 (95% CI 10.8–12.4) cases per 100,000 (P < 0.001 compared to pre-COVID), and from June 2020 to February 2021 (COVID period 2), it recovered to 19.7 (95% CI 19.3–20.1) cases per 100,000 (P = 0.10 compared to pre-COVID).

First treatment for breast cancer across the COVID-19 pandemic

Between January 2017 and January 2021, we identified 34,893 women and men who met criteria for a new breast cancer diagnosis and who received a first treatment (either surgery, endocrine therapy, or chemotherapy) during the first two months after diagnosis. Prior to the onset of COVID, 12.0% (95% CI 11.7–12.4) of individuals were prescribed pre-operative systemic therapy, increasing to 37.7% (95% CI 34.9–40.7) during COVID period 1 (P < 0.001 compared to pre-COVID) and declining, yet remaining above pre-COVID level, to 14.8% (95% CI 14.0–15.7) during COVID period 2 (P < 0.001 compared to pre-COVID) (Fig. 2). Much of this change was due to the use of pre-operative endocrine therapy, which comprised 5.0% of first therapy pre-COVID, 26.8% during COVID period 1 (P < 0.001 compared to pre-COVID), and 6.2% during COVID period 2 (P < 0.001 compared to pre-COVID) (Fig. 2).

We identified 8,099 individuals with newly diagnosed breast cancer who did not receive a first treatment during the first 2 months after diagnosis. The proportion of individuals who had no treatment within 2 months of diagnosis was modestly less during the early pandemic: 18.9% pre-COVID; 15.7% during COVID period 1 (Chi-squared P = 0.005 compared to pre-COVID); and 19.1% during COVID period 2 (P = 0.74 compared to pre-COVID).

We next asked whether those patients who were prescribed pre-operative endocrine therapy during the first 2 months of the pandemic (COVID period 1) were more likely to be prescribed it for a short period of time as compared to other patients in the cohort. In COVID period 1, 65.9% of patients who were prescribed pre-operative endocrine therapy went onto surgery in less than 2 months, as compared to 32.2% in the pre-COVID period (P < 0.001 as compared to COVID period 1) and 30.2% in COVID period 2 (P < 0.001 as compared to COVID period 1).

Variability across the United States in breast cancer diagnosis and treatment during COVID-19

We next investigated whether the reduction in breast cancer incidence during the first 2 months of the pandemic or the recovery in breast cancer incidence thereafter differed by geographic region, ADI, or race/ethnicity (Table 1; Fig. 3).
We found no significant differences by these factors (interaction term with COVID period $P = 0.11$ for geographic region, $P = 0.61$ for ADI, and $P = 0.29$ for race/ethnicity).

All races/ethnicities experienced a recovery in breast cancer incidence in COVID period 2 to a higher incidence compared to pre-COVID, with the exception of the Hispanic population ($P = 0.03$ recovery relative to the non-Hispanic White population, not significant when adjusting for multiple hypothesis testing).

The use of pre-operative systemic therapy across COVID period differed significantly by geographic region ($P = 0.01$), but not by ADI ($P = 0.32$) nor by race/ethnicity ($P = 0.58$) (Table 1). During COVID period 1, the Mountain region saw the least increase in pre-operative systemic therapy with a 2.7-fold odds ratio from 12% (10.8–13.3) to 27% (19.9–35.6), while the Mid-Atlantic region saw the greatest increase (8.4-fold odds ratio) from 11.5% (10.2–12.9) to 52% (40.8–63.0).

**Discussion**

In this study of insurance claims and electronic health data from 19 million US individuals with commercial health insurance, we observed a 40% reduction of breast cancer incidence during the first 2 months of the pandemic, recovering to baseline or slightly above thereafter. We also observed a 4.4-fold odds ratio in the use of pre-operative systemic therapy, especially endocrine therapy, as first treatment after breast cancer diagnosis during the first 2 months of the pandemic; in the later stages of the pandemic, the use of pre-operative systemic therapy remained modestly elevated (1.3-fold odds ratio) compared to prior to the pandemic. We did not observe any differences in the change in breast cancer incidence over the course of the pandemic based on geographic region, area socioeconomic deprivation, or race/ethnicity. Increased use of pre-operative systemic therapy varied by geographic region.

Prior studies have examined breast cancer screening during the pandemic [1–7], but there are relatively few reports thus far on changes in breast cancer diagnosis and to our knowledge the current study represents the report with the most recent data. One study of a single health care system found that the percentage of mammograms leading to a breast cancer diagnosis was higher during the pandemic [2], highlighting the likely reluctance of asymptomatic women to seek non-urgent care. As expected, we found that breast cancer diagnoses declined sharply in the first 2 months of the pandemic, although less severely than did screening in another population with commercial insurance [1]. We also...
We found no differences in breast cancer incidence by race/ethnicity, area socioeconomic deprivation, or geographic region. Some previous studies have similarly reported no difference in breast cancer screening changes

found that there was a trend toward higher breast cancer incidence after the first 2 months of the pandemic, suggesting that many of the missed diagnoses in the first 2 months were captured subsequently.

Table 1 Monthly breast cancer incidence and use of pre-operative systemic therapy across the COVID-19 pandemic

| Geographic region | Average monthly breast cancer incidence per 100,000 (95% CI) | Percent use of pre-operative systemic therapy (95% CI) |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
|                   | N (%)* | Pre-COVID | COVID period 1 | COVID period 2 | N (%)* | Pre-COVID | COVID period 1 | COVID period 2 |
| Overall population† | 19,329,646 (100%) | 19.3 (19.1–19.5) | 11.6 (10.8–12.4) | 19.7 (19.3–20.1) | 34,893 (100%) | 12.0 (11.7–12.4) | 37.7 (34.9–40.7) | 14.8 (14.0–15.7) |
| Pacific          | 2,116,559 (11%) | 19.5 (18.9–20.1) | 12.9 (10.5–15.8) | 21.3 (20.0–22.7) | 3,522 (10%) | 12.8 (11.6–14.1) | 34.9 (27.2–43.5) | 16.1 (13.6–19.0) |
| Mountain         | 1,936,080 (10%) | 19.5 (18.9–20.2) | 13.1 (10.8–16.0) | 19.5 (18.2–20.8) | 3,441 (10%) | 12.0 (10.8–13.3) | 27 (19.9–35.6) | 14.6 (12.1–17.5) |
| West North Central | 1,799,461 (9%) | 20.0 (19.3–20.7) | 10.7 (8.4–13.6) | 18.8 (17.5–20.2) | 3,305 (10%) | 10.3 (9.2–11.6) | 29.7 (21.2–39.8) | 12.8 (10.4–15.8) |
| West South Central | 2,746,831 (14%) | 16.3 (15.8–16.8) | 10.1 (8.3–12.3) | 16.3 (15.3–17.4) | 3,841 (11%) | 15.9 (14.6–17.3) | 48.8 (40.2–57.5) | 18.8 (16.0–21.8) |
| East North Central | 2,990,294 (16%) | 20.2 (19.6–20.7) | 13.4 (11.3–15.8) | 21.9 (20.8–23.1) | 5,700 (16%) | 11.8 (10.8–12.8) | 46.7 (39.0–54.6) | 15.4 (13.5–17.6) |
| East South Central | 939,172 (5%) | 18.9 (18.0–19.9) | 12.8 (9.5–17.2) | 19.5 (17.7–21.4) | 1,722 (5%) | 11.9 (10.3–13.8) | 34.1 (21.7–49.1) | 15.8 (12.3–20.0) |
| New England      | 722,506 (5%) | 21.2 (20.1–22.3) | 10.7 (7.6–14.9) | 20.8 (18.9–22.8) | 1,574 (5%) | 9.4 (7.9–11.2) | 49.1 (36.0–62.3) | 11.9 (8.9–15.7) |
| Mid-Atlantic      | 1,588,729 (8%) | 18.8 (18.1–19.5) | 8.8 (6.7–11.6) | 18.7 (17.4–20.1) | 2,802 (8%) | 11.5 (10.2–12.9) | 52 (40.8–63.0) | 13.7 (11.0–17.0) |
| South Atlantic    | 4,490,014 (23%) | 20.1 (19.7–20.5) | 11.5 (10.0–13.1) | 20.1 (19.3–21.0) | 8,986 (26%) | 11.5 (10.8–12.3) | 30.5 (25.3–36.4) | 13.6 (12.1–15.4) |

| Area deprivation index ** | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Less deprived             | 17,049,014 (88%)                 | 19.5 (19.3–19.7)                | 11.5 (10.7–12.4)                | 19.9 (19.4–20.3)                | 30,606 (88%)                     | 11.9 (11.5–12.3)                | 37.5 (34.5–40.7)                | 14.9 (14.0–15.8)                |
| Most deprived             | 2,280,632 (12%)                  | 18.4 (17.9–19.0)                | 12.0 (10.1–14.4)                | 18.8 (17.7–19.9)                | 4287 (12%)                       | 13.3 (12.2–14.5)                | 39.4 (31.3–48.1)                | 14.3 (12.1–16.8)                |

| Race/ethnicity            | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| White Non-Hispanic        | 12,895,517 (72%)                 | 19.9 (19.6–20.1)                | 11.6 (10.7–12.6)                | 20.6 (20.1–21.1)                | 25,535 (77%)                     | 11.5 (11.1–11.9)                | 36.6 (33.3–40.1)                | 13.8 (12.9–14.8)                |
| Black                     | 1,967,524 (11%)                  | 20.4 (19.8–21.1)                | 13.3 (11.0–16.1)                | 21.1 (19.8–22.4)                | 3,790 (11%)                      | 14.4 (13.2–15.7)                | 41.8 (33.0–51.2)                | 17.3 (14.7–20.2)                |
| Hispanic                  | 2,241,709 (13%)                  | 15.5 (15.0–16.1)                | 10.0 (8.0–12.5)                 | 14.6 (13.5–15.7)                | 2,820 (8%)                       | 13.4 (12.0–14.8)                | 44.7 (34.6–55.4)                | 18.8 (15.6–22.5)                |
| Asian                     | 844,278 (5%)                     | 17.5 (16.5–18.6)                | 11.7 (7.8–17.4)                 | 18.6 (16.6–20.9)                | 1,1124 (3%)                      | 14.1 (12.0–16.6)                | 30.3 (17.1–47.7)                | 19.1 (14.4–24.8)                |

CI Confidence interval

*For breast cancer incidence, population is people aged 18 or older, with no prior history of breast cancer, and with at least 30 days of follow-up. For use of pre-operative systemic therapy, total number of patients represents those same patients with a breast cancer diagnosis and at least 60 days of follow-up after diagnosis

†Overall population is that for geographic region and area deprivation index. Race/ethnicity data are derived from a smaller unlinked data set of overlapping patients, N=17,949,028 total population and N=40,950 patients diagnosed with breast cancer

**Less deprived corresponds to Area Deprivation Index percentiles between 0 and 80%, and most deprived corresponds to Area Deprivation Index percentiles over 80%
during the pandemic by race/ethnicity [3, 19], while one study showed greater declines in non-White races/ethnicities, especially Hispanic women [20]. Part of the discrepancy in results is likely explained by different patient populations. There may indeed be less disparity by demographic factors in a commercially insured population as studied here, where disparity in access is somewhat mitigated. The study of a state-wide community health care system that found variability in the decline in breast cancer screening by race/ethnicity also reported that the largest reductions in screening were experienced by people with Medicaid or no insurance [20], populations not captured in this study. Similarly, while in this study sample, complete recovery of breast cancer incidence was observed after the first 2 months, other studies that included individuals without commercial insurance have identified groups that may not have fully recovered, including women of any race/ethnicity in a study of an urban safety-net hospital [21] and Asian and Hispanic...
women in the Breast Cancer Surveillance Consortium [7]. This variance in results underscores the importance of studying multiple types of populations to identify the optimal targets to improve disparities in access and outcomes during the COVID-19 pandemic.

In examining treatment after breast cancer diagnosis, we found remarkably high uptake of pre-operative systemic therapy in the early months of the pandemic, consistent with survey data from this time period indicating increased willingness among physicians to use neoadjuvant endocrine therapy [22]; this use was more likely to be short term than in other periods and likely intended to tide patients over until surgery. Across this large national population, the uptake was similar to that reported in a study of a single academic center [23], suggesting that the patterns observed, while variable across geographic regions, may have occurred across multiple practice types. While longer than 8 weeks from diagnosis to first treatment is generally considered treatment delay [24, 25], in the early months of the pandemic, the proportion of patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer who did not receive any treatment in the first 2 months was, somewhat counterintuitively, reduced compared to the pre-pandemic or later-pandemic periods. This may reflect the widespread adoption of short-term endocrine therapy to protect against pandemic-induced surgery delays. Whether this practice pattern may translate into increased use of pre-operative systemic therapy going forward is not known, but we did note that the use of pre-operative systemic therapy remained modestly elevated in the later pandemic as compared to the pre-pandemic period. Further follow-up will be required to determine whether this change in practice may persist, especially as it remains possible that stage shift during the later pandemic because of the missed diagnoses in the early pandemic also increased the use of pre-operative systemic therapy.

This study has some limitations. First, the study sample included only individuals with commercial insurance, and other datasets are necessary to study disparities that may exist in access to cancer diagnosis and care during the COVID-19 pandemic. Second, we do not have information on tumor stage or other characteristics at diagnosis, limiting information on how choice of first treatment may have been dictated by a change in these variables during the pandemic. Similarly, we do not have information on health care system factors (for example, academic versus community practice) that may have led to variability in practice patterns during the pandemic. These limitations are offset by the large national sample, including multiple geographic regions and practice types.

As we enter a new phase of the pandemic, continued study is necessary to determine what may be the long-lasting impacts on the COVID-19 pandemic on breast cancer diagnosis and care. In this study of commercially insured US individuals, we observed a strong and persistent recovery in breast cancer incidence, but continued elevation in the use of pre-operative systemic therapy long after breast cancer incidence returned to baseline. This study offers a nationwide, broadly generalizable perspective on the care of insured patients with newly diagnosed breast cancer during the course of the pandemic to date, which may inform planning by health care systems and policy makers.
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