order sets and restrictions of complete respiratory panel ordering to ID physicians resulted in $33,760 saved.
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Background. Biofire respiratory panel is a multiplex PCR test designed to detect 17 pathogens within 1 hour. It has greater sensitivity, specificity, and number of pathogens detected compared with older testing methods. The aim of this research was to evaluate the impact of Biofire respiratory panel on antibiotic usage in the emergency department (ED) of an academic medical center.

Methods. This was an observational chart review. Patients with positive RSV or influenza rapid antigen test or PCR test, and patients with a positive Biofire test were included. RSV or influenza tests were reviewed from July to December 2015, and Biofire tests were reviewed from July to December 2016. The primary outcome was to evaluate the duration of antibiotic therapy in patients with viral respiratory infections diagnosed with RSV and influenza rapid antigen and PCR testing compared with Biofire viral respiratory panel. Secondary outcomes included virus type, antibiotic prescription rates on discharge, number of addictions, procalcitonin levels, and oseltamivir usage.

Results. In 2016, 67% (105/155) of biofire tests were positive. The most common pathogens were rhinovirus and enterovirus (42%). Of the positive results, 23/105 (22%) received antibiotics with 6 patients having antibiotics discontinued within 72 hours. Another 6 patients had bacterial coinfections. A total of 18/105 (17%) received antibiotic prescriptions on discharge. Median days of therapy (DOT) in hospital was 1 day and median DOT for prescriptions was 8.5 days. There were 5 procalcitonin tests and no oseltamivir usage. Overall 38/105 (36%) patients were admitted to inpatient. In 2015, 3% (20/1313) of RSV (14) and influenza (6) rapid antigen and PCR tests were positive. A total of 5/20 (25%) patients received antibiotics, with 3/20 (15%) patients receiving a prescription for outpatient antibiotics. Median DOT on the hospital was 3 days and median DOT for prescriptions was 10 days. There were 2 procalcitonin tests and 2 cases used oseltamivir. Overall 19 patients were admitted.

Conclusion. Antibiotics are withheld in the majority of patients with positive Biofire testing. Most patients were treated with supportive care measures only. Biofire continues to be a useful tool to identify candidates for antibiotic avoidance in the ED at our institution.
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Background. Multiplex RVP assays are frequently offered at medical centers to screen for viruses using nucleic acid technology. The University of Pittsburgh Medical Center (UPMC) uses the Genmark eSensor RVP detecting 14 virus types/subtypes. This study evaluated how RVPs are used in a large medical center to better understand physician practices.

Methods. A 32 question, descriptive survey, created using the Qualtrics survey database, was sent via email to pediatric, emergency, internal, and family physicians at large academic hospitals in the UPMC network. The anonymous survey was sent 3 times between January 2017 and March 2017. Survey data were analyzed using the SPSS statistics software.

Results. Results. 543/1265 (43%) survey responses were received; 492 were evaluated. 56% were female; 42% see children, 45% see adults, 13% see both; 16% see patients in the ED. Training levels included 51% residents/fellows and 49% attendings. Of doctors responding, 48% order RVPs. Most (85%) have changed treatment decisions based on a RVP result; 53% changed management ≥50% of the time.

Conclusion. Physicians order RVPs most frequently if they believe the results will change treatment. RVPs are ordered more for young and elderly patients, and those with underlying immunosuppression or chronic illness. The DO does not limit physician ordering and most are unaware of it. Suspected influenza or specific virus is also considered.

Disclosures. J. V. Williams, Quidel: Scientific Advisor, Consulting fee, Quidel: Consultant, Consulting fee B. Zimmerman, Sanofi: Grant, Investment in pharmaceutical research.

Patient Characteristics: Presents with influenza like illness and....
Conclusion. MT swabs perform as well as NP swabs for the quantification of RSV in infants. The difference in mean viral load is small compared with the standard deviation (0.82); see Figure 2 for Bland-Altman plot. Most parents (49/67 [73%]) who watched the viral loads from the MT and NP swabs was examined. A mixed effect model was used to evaluate the mean (SD) viral loads.

Results. 83 infants were enrolled and had collected swabs. Median age was 4 months [range 0–23]. 20 infants had swabs collected on multiple consecutive days. Median (Q1,Q3) duration of symptoms prior to enrollment was 5 days (4,7). Median (Q1,Q3) hospital stay length was 2 days (2,4). 1 infant was RSV negative according to the RT-qPCR assay. The mean (SD) viral loads were similar: 7.34 (1.26) and 7.09 (1.25) log_{10} copies/mL for 77 paired NP and MT swabs, respectively; see Figure 1 for median, range and quartiles. The correlation coefficient between the paired viral loads was high (r = 0.82); see Figure 2 for Bland-Altman plot. Most parents (49/67 [73%]) who watched the swabbing preferred the MT to the NP swab.
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