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Abstract. In this paper we report an experimental investigation of various statistical properties of the spatial Fourier modes of the vorticity field in turbulent jets for a large range of Reynolds numbers ($530 \leq R_\lambda \leq 6100$). The continuous time evolution of a spatial Fourier mode of the vorticity distribution, characterized by a well defined wavevector, is obtained from acoustic scattering measurements. The spatial enstrophy spectrum, as a function of the spatial wave-vector, is determined by scanning the incoming sound frequencies. Time-frequency analysis of the turbulent vorticity fluctuations is also performed for different length scales of the flows. Vorticity time-correlations show that the characteristic time of a Fourier mode behaves as the sweeping time. Finally, we report preliminary Lagrangian velocity measurements obtained using acoustic scattering by soap bubbles inflated with helium. Gathering a very large number of passages of isolated bubbles in the scattering volume, one is able to compute the Lagrangian velocity PDF and velocity spectrum. Despite the spatial filtering due to the finite size of the bubble, the latter exhibits a power law with the -2 exponent predicted by the Komogorov theory, over one decade of frequencies.
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1. Introduction

In fully developed turbulence, most of the experimental, numerical and theoretical works [1] rely on the statistics of the longitudinal velocity increments to study the dynamic of a given turbulent length scales in the physical space. In the Fourier space, there are numerous theoretical and some numerical studies ([2]), but very few experiments. The objective of this paper is mainly to give experimental vorticity data in the Fourier space. Firstly, the ultra-sound acoustic scattering method is described, and the experimental conditions are detailed. Then, both time and spatial spectra of vorticity are presented and are extended to a time-frequency analysis. Correlation time of the vorticity Fourier mode is discussed. Finally, we show how the same scattering method can be applied to detect and follow the trajectory of isolated soap bubbles in a turbulent air jet, in order to extract Lagrangian velocity data and compute statistics.
1.1. Acoustic scattering by vorticity

Wave propagation (light and sound) in fluids is known to be strongly affected by turbulent velocity and/or temperature gradients. In the case of direct propagation, turbulence is responsible for random wave amplitude and phase fluctuations along the ray paths leading to the well-known stellar scintillation phenomena limiting the spatial resolution of optical and radio observations [2, 3]. Acoustic wave propagation is also sensitive to local velocity and temperature fluctuations induced by turbulence: such fluctuations are responsible for local fluctuations of the sound velocity along the ray paths which result in distortions of the wavefronts [2, 4, 5, 6].

In the presence of spatial inhomogeneities with length scales comparable to the acoustic wave-length \( \lambda_s \), an incident acoustic plane wave can also be scattered giving rise to scattered acoustic waves propagating in directions away from the incident wave direction of propagation [7, 8]. There exists a large number of theoretical [9, 10, 11, 12, 13] and numerical [14, 15, 16] studies dealing with the acoustic scattering phenomenon by velocity fields. Most of it was initiated nearly fifty years ago and started with the papers authored by A.M. Obukhov [9], R.H. Kraichnan [10], B. T.Chu and L. S. G. Kovásznay [11], G. K. Batchelor [12] to mention but a few. Recently, F. Lund [13] has established, under reasonable and fairly restrictive assumptions, a linear relation between the scattered amplitude of a plane acoustic wave incident on a turbulent flow and the spatial Fourier transform of the vorticity field.

In analogy with the more usual light scattering phenomenon, and following Batchelor [12] the physical mechanism at the origin of acoustic scattering by vorticity can be thought of as follows: an acoustic wave impinging on a vorticity distribution induces fluctuations of the vorticity at the incoming sound frequency (by virtue of the Kelvin circulation theorem). Each scatterer (vortex) acting as a secondary source will, in turn, radiate a sound wave. The coherent average (taking into account the relative positions of the individual vorticity elements) over the scatterers distribution results in the emission, outside the vorticity domain, of a scattered acoustic waves. Note that whereas the light scattering process is usually linear, the acoustic scattering phenomenon depicted here stems from the non-linear term of the Navier-Stokes equation, and requires more detailed explanations and computations, beyond the scope of this paper. In particular, one can find in [13] a clear explanation of the respective contributions to the total acoustic scattering amplitude of the vorticity field on one hand, and of the irrotational velocity field, induced by the vorticity field, on the other. Using a Born approximation, Lund et al. obtain the following linear relation between the
scattered acoustic pressure amplitude and the spatial Fourier transform of the vorticity field:

\[
\frac{p_{\text{scat}}(\nu)}{p_{\text{inc}}} = \pi^2 i \frac{-\cos(\theta_{\text{scat}})}{1 - \cos(\theta_{\text{scat}})} \frac{\nu e^{i2\pi \nu D/c}}{c^2 D} (n \wedge r). \Omega(q_{\text{scat}}, \nu - \nu_o) \tag{1}
\]

where \( \wedge \) and "\( \cdot \)" stand for the vector product and the scalar product respectively, and where the scattering wave-vector (also called momentum transfert) is given by:

\[
q_{\text{scat}} = \frac{2\pi}{c} (\nu r - \nu_o n) \simeq \frac{4\pi \nu_o}{c} \sin\left(\frac{\theta_{\text{scat}}}{2}\right) \frac{r - n}{|r - n|} \quad \text{for} \ \nu \simeq \nu_o \tag{2}
\]

\[\text{Figure 1. Acoustic Scattering by a Vorticity Distribution}\]

In the above equations, the meaning of the different variables is the following according to the schematic drawing in fig. (1):

- \( q_{\text{scat}} = k - k_o \) is the scattering wave-vector.
- \( n \) and \( r \) are the unit vectors in the incident and scattered wave directions of propagation, respectively.
- \( k_o \) (resp. \( \nu_o \)) is the vector wave-number (resp. frequency) of the incoming sound wave (in the direction \( n \)).
- \( k \) (resp. \( \nu \)) is the vector wave-number (resp. the frequency) of the scattered sound wave (in the direction of observation \( r \)).
- \( \theta_{\text{scat}} \) is the scattering angle.
− $p_{\text{inc}}$ is the complex pressure amplitude of the incoming sound wave (assumed to be plane and monochromatic).

− $p_{\text{scat}}$ is the complex pressure amplitude of the scattered sound wave.

− $D$, $c$ and $\lambda_s$ stand respectively for the acoustical path between the measurement area and the detector, the adiabatic sound velocity and the acoustic wavelength.

1.2. Acoustic scattering: a probe of vorticity

Equation (1), indicates that, using an incident acoustic plane wave (ideally of infinite extension), one can directly probe the time-space Fourier mode $\Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}, f = \nu - \nu_o)$ of a well defined component of the vorticity field $\Omega_{\perp}(r, t)$:

$$\Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}, f) = \int \int \int \int \Omega_{\perp}(r, t)e^{-j(q_{\text{scat}}r - 2\pi ft)}dtdr$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (3)

Actually, the direction of the probed vorticity component (indicated by the subscript $\perp$) is perpendicular to the scattering plane defined by the vector wave-numbers of the incident and scattered (detected) acoustic waves. Equation (1) can be reformulated as a relation between the scattered amplitude and the convolution product of the incident acoustic amplitude and $\Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}, f = \nu - \nu_o)$. Using an inverse Fourier transform on the frequency variable, one then obtains the following linear relation between the time variables:

$$p_{\text{scat}}(k, t) \propto L(\theta_{\text{scat}})\Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}} = k - k_o, t).p_{\text{inc}}(k_o, t)$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (4)

expressing the scattered pressure amplitude as the result of a modulation of the incident acoustic pressure by the space Fourier transform $\Omega(q_{\text{scat}}, t)$. The variations of the angular prefactor $L(\theta_{\text{scat}})$ with the scattering angle $\theta_{\text{scat}}$, represented on figure (2), are typical of a quadrupolar like radiation pattern, diverging at null scattering angle (where the Born approximation breaks down), and exhibiting null values at $\theta_{\text{scat}} = 90^\circ$ and $\theta_{\text{scat}} = 180^\circ$ (back-scattering).

Among the several hypothesis put forward in [11, 13] to arrive at Eqn. (4), necessary for the Born approximation to be applicable, the velocity amplitude $u_{\text{sound}} = \frac{p_{\text{inc}}}{\rho_o c}$ of the incident wave and the Mach number $M = \frac{v_{\text{flow}}}{c}$ of the flow under investigation (associated to the probed vorticity distribution) must be such that: $u_{\text{sound}} \ll v_{\text{flow}} \ll c$. In addition, the time scales of the flow are required to be much smaller
than the period $T_o = \frac{1}{\nu_o}$ of the incident wave. These conditions can be easily fulfilled using ultrasonic acoustic waves with low enough intensity. From a practical point of view, equations (1) and (4) imply that the scattered pressure signal is a narrow-band signal centered around the incident frequency $\nu_o$; the continuous time evolution of $\Omega_\perp(q_{scat}, t)$ can then be easily recovered by a simple demodulation operation using for example an heterodyne detection (either analog or digital) [17]. After demodulation, for a fixed incoming sound frequency $\nu_o$ and a fixed scattering angle $\theta_{scat}$ one thus gets the time dynamics of a spatial Fourier mode of the vorticity $\Omega_\perp(q_{scat}, t)$, characterized by a well defined vector wave-number $q_{scat}$ (with specified modulus and orientation).

The overall effect of the acoustic scattering process can then be viewed as a time-continuous spatial band-pass filtering operation of the vorticity distribution $\Omega_\perp(r, t)$. The center frequency of the spatial filtering operation is given by the scattering vector wave-number $q_{scat}$ which depends on a combination of the incident frequency $\nu_o$ and of the scattering angle $\theta_{scat}$ as stated by Eqn (2). For practical reasons and as we dispose of wide-band transducers (emission and reception), the analysed length-scale of the flow is tuned through the selection of an incident frequency $\nu_o$ while the scattering angle is usually kept constant. It is worth noting here that, as a result of the demodulation process according to which the instantaneous scattered pressure signal is multiplied by both the in phase ($\cos(2\pi\nu_o t)$) and the in quadrature ($\sin(2\pi\nu_o t)$) signals phase-locked with the electric signal driving the
sound transmitter we get finally a low frequency signal which is complex (modulus and phase) and directly proportional to the complex quantity \(\Omega_\perp(q_{scat}, t)\), where:

\[
\Omega_\perp(q_{scat}, t) = \int \int \int \Omega_\perp(r, t)e^{-jqr}d^3r
\]  

Thus, the instantaneous phase of the demodulated scattered pressure signal is simply a measure of the phase modulation of the scattered pressure wave with respect to the incident wave (chosen as a phase reference). Similarly, the instantaneous amplitude of the demodulated scattered pressure signal is a measure of the amplitude modulation with respect to the constant amplitude of the incident wave. Both informations (phase and amplitude) are easily recovered from the electric signals owing to the linearity property of the acoustic transducers used to generate and detect acoustic waves.

### 1.3. Spatial filtering: finite size effects and spectral resolution

As indicated by equation (1) and figure (2), the acoustic amplitude scattered by vorticity distributions is a strongly decreasing function of the scattering angle \(\theta_{scat}\). In order to preserve an acceptable signal-to-noise ratio, one needs to work with low scattering angles (typ. between 10° and 60°). Hence, we work with a bistatic configuration, using a pair of acoustic transducers: one transmitter and one receiver. The relative positions of the two transducers, as well as their arrangement with respect to the flow under investigation define the scattering angle and the orientation of the scattering wave-vector \(q_{scat}\) with respect to the flow geometry (e.g. its mean velocity). A typical experimental configuration, for the study of a turbulent jet flow is represented on figure(3). Equation (1) is valid for an ideal incident plane wave and a perfectly directive detection. In a real experiment, transducers are of finite dimensions and one has to take into account diffraction effects. Let \(L\) be the typical size of the acoustic transducers and \(\lambda_s = \frac{c}{\nu_0}\) the acoustic wavelength, at large distances from the transducer (in the far-field limit \(D \geq \frac{L^2}{\lambda_s}\) [18, 4]), one expects acoustic energy to be either emitted by the transmitter or detected by the receiver in a cone corresponding to a continuous distribution of angles defining the angular aperture (or directivity). In particular, the principal diffraction lobe, around the normal to each transducer, is a cone with a half angle aperture \(\Delta\theta\) given by [18, 4]: \(\Delta\theta \simeq \sin(\Delta\theta) = \frac{\lambda_s}{L}\). By differentiating Eqn. (2), one can associate to these distributions of emission and detection angles, a
distribution of probed scattering wave-vectors \( \mathbf{q}_{\text{scat}} = 4\pi \frac{\sin(\theta_{\text{scat}})}{\lambda_s} \) with a typical width:

\[
\Delta q_{\text{scat}} = 2\pi \frac{\cos(\frac{\theta_{\text{scat}}}{2})}{\lambda_s} \Delta \theta \simeq \frac{2\pi}{L \cos(\frac{\pi}{2})} \tag{6}
\]

Equation (6) gives an estimation of the width of spatial band-pass filtering operation performed by the scattering process around the spatial frequency (length scale) \( q_{\text{scat}} \). It is interesting at this point to notice that Equation (6) can be interpreted as a mere consequence of the uncertainty relation \( \Delta q_{\text{scat}} \Delta x \simeq \frac{2\pi}{L} \), where \( \Delta x = L \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}) \) is roughly the extension of the measurement volume (in the direction of \( \mathbf{q}_{\text{scat}} \)), defined by the intersection of the incident and detected (antenna beam) acoustic beams (cf fig. (3)). An equivalent formulation, albeit in the physical space, of the previous result can be found for example in Ref. ([4] pp. 443–446), where the scattering amplitude in an experimental bistatic configuration is expressed as:

\[
\Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}, t) = \int \int +\infty \int -\infty |\mathcal{F}_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{F}_{\text{trans}}(\mathbf{r})| \Omega_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{-j \mathbf{q}_{\text{scat}} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^3r \tag{7}
\]

where \( G(\mathbf{r}) = |\mathcal{F}_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{F}_{\text{trans}}(\mathbf{r})| \) is a ponderation function defined as the modulus of the cross product of the complex amplitudes of the incident (resp. detected) \( \mathcal{F}_{\text{rec}}(\mathbf{r}) \) (resp. \( \mathcal{F}_{\text{trans}}(\mathbf{r}) \)) acoustic beams.

Let’s note \( V_{\text{scat}} \), the volume of the region of space over which \( G(\mathbf{r}) \) takes appreciable non zero values and let’s call \( V_{\text{scat}} \) the scattering volume, equation (7) can be expressed as a windowed spatial Fourier transform:

\[
\Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}, t) = \int \int \int V_{\text{scat}} \Omega_{\perp}(\mathbf{r}, t) e^{-j \mathbf{q}_{\text{scat}} \cdot \mathbf{r}} d^3r \tag{8}
\]

In conclusion, we deal with a complex signal \( z_{\text{scat}}(t) = \rho(t) e^{j\phi(t)} \propto \Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}, t) \) with a spectral resolution given by :

\[
q_{\text{scat}} = q_{\text{scat}} e_x \pm \Delta q_x e_x \pm \Delta q_y e_y \pm \Delta q_z e_z \tag{9}
\]

\[
q_{\text{scat}} = \frac{4\pi \nu_o}{c} \sin(\frac{\theta_{\text{scat}}}{2}) \tag{10}
\]

\[
\Delta q_x \simeq \Delta q_y \simeq \Delta q_z \simeq \left( \frac{1}{V_{\text{scat}}} \right)^{1/3} \simeq \frac{1}{L \cos(\frac{\theta_{\text{scat}}}{2})} \tag{11}
\]

In the following, we shall refer to \( p_{\text{scat}}(t) \) as the scattered pressure signal (real) with frequencies around \( \nu_o \) (high frequency) and to
$z_{\text{scat}}(t)$ as the demodulated scattered pressure signal (complex) with low frequencies.

2. Experimental Conditions

2.1. Flow Configurations

Two axisymmetric turbulent jets have been investigated: a laboratory air jet at moderate Reynolds number, (hereafter, called LEGI jet), and a low temperature gaseous helium jet at very high Reynolds number which has been performed in the cryogenic facility hosted by the CERN in Geneva. In the laboratory air jet the center of the measurement volume was located on the axis at about 40 diameters downstream the nozzle (with a diameter of 12 cm). The mean velocity and the rms velocity have been measured in the center of scattering measurement volume, with conventional instrumentation (hot wire and Pitot anemometry).
Table I. Turbulent flows characteristics

| Flow  | $R_\lambda$ | $\lambda$ (mm) | $\eta$ (µm) | $\ell_o/\pi$ | $2\pi/q_{\min}$ | $2\pi/q_{\max}$ |
|-------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| LEGI Jet | 530       | 8.4             | 180         | 0.75         | $\approx 3.5\lambda$ | $\approx 10\eta$ |
|        | 740       | 6.7             | 120         | "           | $\approx 4.5\lambda$ | $\approx 15\eta$ |
|        | 785       | 6.5             | 107         | "           | $\approx 5\lambda$  | $\approx 17\eta$ |
| CERN Jet | 3450      | 0.69            | 5.45        | 1.5          | $\approx 10\lambda$ | $\approx 1.2\lambda$ |
|        | 4750      | 0.51            | 3.40        | "           | $\approx 15\lambda$ | $\approx 1.8\lambda$ |
|        | 6090      | 0.42            | 2.55        | "           | $\approx 17\lambda$ | $\approx 2\lambda$ |

The value of the Taylor microscale Reynolds number estimated from longitudinal velocity samples and isotropic and homogeneous relations, ranges $530 \leq R_\lambda \leq 785$ [19].

The high Reynolds jet investigated with acoustic scattering [20, 21] consists in an axisymmetric gaseous helium jet at a temperature of about 4°K. The flow emerges from a 2.5 cm diameter nozzle into a large thermally insulated cylindrical chamber (4.6 m high and with a diameter of 1.4 m). The cryostat is connected to a large refrigerator, with 6 kW cooling power, allowing flow rates of cryogenic Helium as high as 250 g/s. Taking advantage of the very low kinematical viscosity of Helium at such a low temperature ($\nu \approx 810^{-8} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$ at $T = 4.5 \text{ K}$ and $P = 1.2 \text{ bar}$), very large Reynolds numbers can be achieved (up to $R_\lambda \approx 6000$). Longitudinal velocity fluctuations have also been measured with a dedicated super-conducting ‘hot-wire’ anemometer located at the center of the acoustic measurement volume, on the jet axis, 50 nozzle diameters downstream. For the highest flow rate the mean velocity in the measurement volume was $\approx 4.4 \text{ m/s}$ and the rms velocity $\approx 1.25 \text{ m/s}$.

The main flow characteristics of the different experiments are summarized in table I, as well as the range of investigated spatial wave-vectors.

2.2. Experimental Set-Up

Acoustic waves are generated and detected using Sell-type transducers (see e.g. [22]). The Sell transducers are electro-acoustic reciprocal transducers, consisting in a circular plane piston, of diameter $L = 15 \text{ cm}$ and $L = 5 \text{ cm}$, respectively in the LEGI and the CERN jet flows, polarized with a high static voltage ($\approx 200 \text{ Volts DC}$). The circular membrane made of a very thin (thickness $\approx 15 \text{ µm}$) mylar sheet achieves a large frequency band-width (between 1 kHz and 200 kHz in air flows). Indeed, when the working fluid is air, frequencies higher than...
200 kHz are strongly attenuated by dissipative processes (viscosity and thermal conductivity). At small acoustic frequencies (below 1 kHz), the main frequency limitations stem from the turbulent noise generated by the turbulent flow under investigation and the beams divergency due to diffraction effects. The transmitter is driven by a MOSFET power amplifier (NF 4005, 100VA), while the acoustic pressure signal collected on the receiver is converted into a voltage signal using a home made linear, low noise charge amplifier.

In all experiments, the scattering angle $\theta_{\text{scat}}$ is kept at a constant value (typ. 60° in air flows) chosen so as to realize a trade-off between optimizing the sensitivity of the measurements and limiting the spurious effects induced by diffraction side-lobes. Moreover, in any case, the size $L$ of the transducers is such that the linear size $(V_{\text{scat}})^{1/3}$ is of the same order than the integral length scale of the turbulent flow (note that in the CERN experiment, the sound velocity is about 110 m/s, nearly three times smaller than in the air at usual temperature). In a typical sound scattering experiment time series of the acoustic pressure signal are collected by the Sell receiver and then sampled and recorded using Agilent E1430 VXIbus-based analog to digital converters with a high precision (23 bits). Each E1430 module is provided with an analog anti-aliasing filter, digital filtering and decimation circuits and its own local oscillator allowing real-time heterodyne demodulation. In order to reduce phase noise and frequency drift, the sampling clock, the local oscillator clocks of the E1430 digitizer and the clock of the frequency generator (Agilent E33120) which generates the continuous sinusoid signal driving the transmitter are locked on the same 10 MHz master clock. The frequency of the local oscillator used for the numerical demodulation by the E1430 digitizer is thus precisely tuned to the frequency $\nu_o$ of the incoming sound wave.

### 3. Spectral Analysis and Spatial Enstrophy Spectrum

#### 3.1. Temporal and Spectral Characteristics of the Scattered Pressure Signal

We consider in this section, the averaged statistical spectral properties of the demodulated scattered pressure signal, recorded on the detector for fixed incoming sound frequency $\nu_o$ and scattering angle $\theta_{\text{scat}}$, defining a single analysing wave-vector $q_{\text{scat}}$ according to Eqs. (1, 2). Indeed, being a direct image of the time evolution of $\Omega_{\bot}(r,t)$ which is a stochastic signal, one expects the demodulated scattered pressure signal $z_{\text{scat}}(t)$ to be also a complex random signal. We can
express the complex signal $z_{\text{scat}}(t)$ as $\rho(t) e^{i\phi(t)}$, where $\rho(t)$ (resp. $\phi(t)$) is the instantaneous amplitude modulation (resp. phase modulation). Typical evolutions of these two quantities are represented on figure (4), obtained in the LEGI jet for a scattering wave-vector $(q_{\text{scat}} \lambda = 4.93)$. The upper plot shows the evolution, along time, of the instantaneous intensity $I(t) = |z_{\text{scat}}|^2 = \rho(t)^2$, proportional to the instantaneous spatial enstrophy $|\Omega(q_{\text{scat}}, t)|^2$ which clearly exhibits very large fluctuations. The lower part of figure (4) sketches the time evolution of the instantaneous phase $\phi(t)$. In this representation, the phase $\phi(t)$ has been unwrapped, using an appropriate algorithm, to take into account phase jumps greater than $\pi$. The behaviour of the phase shift is roughly linear with a mean slope $\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt} = 5800 \text{ rad/s}$. Indeed, since in this experiment the direction of the scattering wave-vector $q_{\text{scat}}$ has been chosen to be aligned with the mean flow velocity vector $V_{\text{avg}}$, the linear phase shift is a mere consequence of a Doppler effect $\delta \nu(t) = \nu(t) - \nu_o = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}$ with:

$$\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt} = q_{\text{scat}} V_{\text{avg}}$$

(12)

This Doppler effect is related to the advection of the vorticity distribution by the large scale velocity field. Note however, that local fluctuations of the instantaneous frequency shift are still visible, owing to turbulent fluctuations in time of the local velocity itself. From the knowledge of $q_{\text{scat}} (739 \text{ m}^{-1})$ and the estimated slope of $\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}$, we get an estimation of the local mean flow velocity : $7.79 \text{ m/s}$ of the order of the mean flow velocity obtained with usual hot-wire measurements, averaged on a much longer time ($7.39 \text{ m/s}$).

Let's turn now to the spectral properties of the demodulated scattered pressure signal. From a long time serie ($2^{20}$ points sampled at $F_s = 16384 \text{ Hz}$, corresponding to 2500 integral times $T_{\text{int}}$), we estimate the average Power Spectral Density (the spectrum hereafter will be referred as $PSD_{\text{scat}}(\delta \nu)$) using the usual Welch’s averaged periodogram method [23] (with a hanning window of length 2048 samples and an overlap between consecutive segments of 1024 samples). The resulting PSD estimation, for the previous signal is plotted on figure (5). The spectrum $PSD_{\text{scat}}(\delta \nu)$, is asymmetric (with respect to the incident frequency $\nu_o$ corresponding to a null Doppler frequency $\delta \nu = 0$) and has a Gaussian shape centered on a positive Doppler shift frequency as we choose $q_{\text{scat}} V_{\text{avg}} > 0$. Note that, thanks to complex nature of the demodulated signal $z_{\text{scat}}(t)$, we are sensitive to the direction of the flow: we are thus able to discriminate positive (i.e. downstream) and negative (i.e. upstream) velocities. Indeed, the Gaussian shape of the scattered pressure $PSD_{\text{scat}}(\delta \nu)$ is a direct consequence of the Gaussian
statistics of the large scale velocity. Using a non linear Gaussian fit (the dashed line on figure (5)):

\[
PSD_{\text{scat}}(\delta \nu) = \frac{A(\nu_o)}{\sqrt{2\pi \delta \nu_{\text{rms}}}} \exp \left( -\frac{(\delta \nu - \delta \nu_{\text{avg}})^2}{2(\delta \nu_{\text{rms}})^2} \right) \tag{13}
\]

we find a value \( \delta \nu_{\text{avg}} = 865.5 \) Hz and a value \( \delta \nu_{\text{rms}} = 224.5 \) Hz measuring the width of the fluctuations of the advection velocity around its mean value. The estimated values \( \delta \nu_{\text{avg}} \) and \( \delta \nu_{\text{rms}} \) can be converted into values of the advection velocity according to Eqn. (12): \( V_{\text{avg}} = 7.37 \) m/s and \( V_{\text{rms}} = 1.91 \) m/s in very good agreement with hot-wire anemometry measurements of the longitudinal velocity (7.39 m/s and 1.89 m/s).
Acoustic scattering measurements have been also conducted in the
CERN jet. Indeed, taking advantage of the very low kinematical vis-
cosity of gaseous Helium at low temperature (around 4 °K), it is
possible to achieve large Reynolds numbers, in a well controlled flow
(implying statistical stationarity of the turbulent flows under inves-
tigation), while keeping moderate Mach number values in order for
the Born approximation used in acoustic scattering analysis to apply.
Figure (6) displays the PSD of the scattered acoustic pressure signal for
$R_\lambda = 3450$). For this figure, the scattering angle is set to $\theta_{\text{scat}} = 30^\circ$
and the incoming sound frequency to $\nu_0 = 110 \text{ kHz}$ giving a scattering wave-number $q_{\text{scat}} = 3250 \text{ m}^{-1}$ equivalent to an anylsing scale $2.8\lambda$.

![Graph showing Power Spectral Density of $\Omega_\perp(q_{\text{scat}}, t)$, at a scale $\sim 3\lambda$ in the CERN helium jet flow ($R_\lambda \simeq 3450$).](image)

**Figure 6.** Power Spectral Density of $\Omega_\perp(q_{\text{scat}}, t)$, at a scale $\sim 3\lambda$ in the CERN helium jet flow ($R_\lambda \simeq 3450$).

As in the LEGI jet, a large amplitude scattered peak is still visible on the PSD; when fitted with a Gaussian, one gets an estimation of the mean advection velocity of $1.24 \text{ m/s}$, in agreement with longitudinal velocity statistics obtained by hot-wire anemometry. Notice, however, that from the variance of the Doppler shift frequency $\delta\nu_{\text{rms}}$ we obtain an estimation of the variance of the velocity significantly larger than the one given by hot-wire anemometry. The observed discrepancy, of order $20\%$, could be ascribed to the finite size of the transducers.

A salient feature of the PSD at large Reynolds number, lies in the presence of significative scattered pressure amplitude at high Doppler frequency shifts, manifesting as exponential tails on both side of the
principal Gaussian peak. Note that a close look at figure (5), also reveals a similar behavior, albeit with a much smaller amplitude (relative to the Gaussian peak). We have checked that the two exponential-like wings are approximately symmetric with respect to the mean Doppler shift frequency, indicating that this high frequency part of the PSD is associated with vorticity events, advected by the large scale flow. As the typical frequencies involved in these exponential wings are an order of magnitude larger than the Doppler shifts, we are inclined to attribute them to a much richer dynamic of the time evolution of the small scale vorticity events. Comparisons with PSD obtained at still higher Reynolds numbers in the CERN experiment (up to \( R_\lambda = 6090 \)), indicate a clear enhancement of this high frequency behaviour (with respect to the low frequency Gaussian part).

3.3. Spatial Enstrophy Spectrum

In the LEGI Jet experiment, the scattering measurements have been repeated for various incoming sound frequencies (the scattering angle being held to the constant value 60 deg) in order to scan a large range of spatial wave-vector numbers. For each incoming sound frequency \( \nu_o \), the time spectrum \( PSD_{scat}(\delta \nu) \) has been fitted with a Gaussian function (according to Eqn. (13)) in order to estimate \( \delta \nu_{avg}(q_{scat}) \), \( \delta \nu_{rms}(q_{scat}) \) and \( A(q_{scat}) \). Figure (7) shows a plot of the evolution of \( \delta \nu_{avg}(q_{scat}) \) and \( \delta \nu_{rms}(q_{scat}) \) with the wave-number \( q_{scat} \). A linear evolution is roughly evidenced, according to Eqn. (12).

By integrating the scattered pressure PSD with respect to the Doppler shift frequency \( \delta \nu \), one gets for each wave-number \( q_{scat} \), a measure of the spatial enstrophy spectrum according to the Wiener-Kintchine theorem [17]:

\[
\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{+T/2} |\Omega(q_{scat}, t)|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |\Omega(q_{scat}, \delta \nu)|^2 d(\delta \nu) \tag{14}
\]

Using Eqn. (1) and Eqn. (13):

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |z_{scat}(\delta \nu)|^2 d(\delta \nu) = A(\nu_o) \tag{15}
\]

one sees that the quantity \( q_{scat}^2 A(\nu_o) \), is a direct estimation of the spatial enstrophy spectrum \( Enstro(q_{scat}) = q_{scat}^2 \langle |\Omega(q_{scat}, t)|^2 \rangle_t \) at wave-number \( q_{scat} = \frac{4\pi \nu_o}{c \sin(\theta_{scat})} \) up to a multiplicative factor \( |H(\nu_o)|^2 \) which accounts for the frequency response of both transmitter
and receiver around the working frequency $\nu_0$. Actually, the transfer function $H(\nu_0)$ is evaluated from measurements of the electrical response delivered by the receiver when the transmitter is driven by a wide-band electrical white noise. We have plot on figure (8) the variations of $\text{Enstro}(q_{\text{scat}})$ against the turbulent wave-numbers $q_{\text{scat}}$, for various length scales in the inertial range ($R_\lambda = 785$).

This log-log representation suggests a power-law scaling, with an exponent slightly positive and close to Kolmogorov 1941 prediction (dashed line with a slope $1/3$). Resorting to a scale invariance argument and assuming the statistical homogeneity and isotropy of the flow, one expects (following Kolmogorov) the spatial enstrophy spectrum to scale as: $\text{Enstro}(k) \propto k^2 E(k)$ where $E(k) \propto k^{-5/3}$ is the turbulent energy spectral density. This latter result is the mere consequence of
the definition of the vorticity field $\Omega(r, t) = \nabla \times u(r, t)$ which leads to $\Omega(k, t) = -ik \times u(k, t)$ in the Fourier space.

The spatial enstrophy spectrum differs significantly from time-spectra measured with multi-wires velocity probes [24, 25, 26]. In its principle, the acoustic scattering measurement gives a good resolution in the Fourier space, thus it gives the true 1D spectrum, as the spatial Fourier transform of the vorticity field is evaluated at a well defined spatial wave-vector. In the case of multi-wires anemometry measurements of the Eulerian vorticity, the vorticity spectrum evaluated at wave-number $q_x = 2\pi \frac{\nu}{\langle \nu \rangle}$ involves the contributions of all the spatial wave-vectors with the 1D projection $q_x$ [27, 28]. Taken as a whole, such a ‘1D spectrum’ is mainly dominated by the small scales (large $q_x$) viscous cut-off and hence does not exhibit any inertial scaling [29].

Figure 8. Spatial enstrophy spectral density as a function of the non dimensionnal wave-number in the LEGI jet ($R_\lambda \approx 785$).
3.4. Time-Frequency Distributions

A detailed examination of the time evolution of the scattered pressure signal (phase and amplitude as depicted on figure (4)), reveals strong fluctuations which can be ascribed to the passage in the measurement volume of finite duration vorticity events. In turn the scattered acoustic signal exhibits a time-varying spectrum, as the frequency of the measured pressure signal drops from $\nu_0$ to $\nu_0 + \delta \nu$ when a vorticity event is present, with significative energy at the wave-vector $\mathbf{q}_{\text{scat}}$ in the spatial Fourier domain. Due to the randomness of the advection velocity of the vorticity field, the true vorticity spectrum is blurred and wiped out by the time averaging process. Thus we seek after a representation of the signal which preserves simultaneously the time and frequency information.

Time-Frequency distributions [30, 31, 32], among which the Wigner-Ville distribution (WVD) is the most famous, provide a simultaneous description (in time and frequency) of the energy distributions of non-stationary signals in the time-frequency plane. The Wigner-Ville distribution $W_z(t, \nu)$ of a complex analytic signal $z(t)$ is defined as the time Fourier transform of the local auto-correlation function of $z(t)$:

$$R_z(t, \tau) = z(t + \frac{\tau}{2})z^*(t - \frac{\tau}{2})$$

with respect to the lag variable $\tau$:

$$W_z(t, \nu) = F_\tau[R_z(t, \tau)]$$

where $z(t)$ is the time signal and $z^*(t)$ its complex conjugate. The operator $F_\tau$ denotes the Fourier transform operator, with respect to the variable ($\tau$). This definition generalizes, in some way, the Wiener-Kinchine theorem to non-stationary signals, for which the auto-correlation function depends on two time variables:

$$R_z(t_1, t_2) = \langle z(t_1)z^*(t_2) \rangle \neq R_z(t_1 - t_2).$$

From an experimental and heuristic point of view, the effect of the operator $R_z(t, \tau)$ is equivalent to a local phase conjugation of the signal which enhances the phase derivative related to the Doppler shift [30]. Similarly, one usually defines the ambiguity function $A_z(\theta, \tau)$ as the inverse Fourier transform ($F_t^{-1}$) of $R_z(t, \tau)$ with respect to the first variable $t$.

$$A_z(\theta, \tau) = F_t^{-1}[z(t + \frac{\tau}{2})z^*(t - \frac{\tau}{2})] = F_t^{-1}[R_z(t, \tau)]$$

Thus, $W_z(t, \nu)$ and $A_z(\theta, \tau)$ are related by the two-dimensional Fourier transform

$$W_z(t, \nu) = \int \int A_z(\theta, \tau)e^{-j(\theta \tau + \nu \tau)}d\theta d\tau$$

The ambiguity function reduces to a deterministic correlation function in time if $\theta$ is set to zero. Similarly, as can be seen in a dual
form which starts with \( Z(\nu) = F_t[z(t)] \), it can be seen as a
deterministic correlation of spectra if \( \tau \) is set to zero. Starting from
the Wigner-Ville distribution \( W_z(t, \nu) \), a wide class of Time-Frequency
Distributions (TFD) can be developed by means of bi-dimensional
smoothing operations with appropriate kernels \( \phi(\theta, \tau) \):

\[
C_z(t, \nu, \phi) = \int \int \int e^{j((\xi-t)\theta - \nu \tau)} \phi(\theta, \tau) z(\xi + \frac{\tau}{2}) z^*(\xi - \frac{\tau}{2}) d\xi d\tau d\theta \quad (19)
\]

The purpose of such a generalisation of the WVD is to provide the
experimentalist with an operational definition of the Time-Frequency
Distribution \( TFD_z(t, \nu) \) of any signal \( z(t) \), which can be interpreted as
a time-frequency power density: \( TFD_z(t, \nu) \Delta \nu \Delta t \) is the energy of the
signal \( z(t) \) between the instants \( t \) and \( t + \Delta t \), in the frequency band
\( [\nu \nu + \Delta \nu] \). Indeed, one can show that with such a definition (smoothing
kernels), the so-called covariance property (invariance with respect to
time and frequency translations or modulations) and marginal preser-
vations are respected:

\[
PSD_z(\nu) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{+T/2} TFD_z(t, \nu) \, dt \quad (20)
\]

\[
|z(t)z^*(t)| = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} TFD_{scat}(t, \nu) \, d\nu \quad (21)
\]

An example of such a Time-Frequency analysis is displayed on figure
(9) corresponding to the time-series presented on figure (4). The center
part of figure (9) is a pseudo-color image (with a linear gray-scale) of
the level \( TFD_{scat}(t, \nu) \). This representation evidences the existence of
finite duration events, with local random Doppler shifts corresponding
to their own advection velocity. On the left side of figure (9), the time
averaged spectrum has been represented, together with the frequency
marginal \( PSD_z(\nu) = \lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{-T/2}^{+T/2} TFD_{scat}(t, \nu) \, dt \) of \( TFD_{scat}(t, \nu) \).
The latter representation demonstrates the blurring effect of the ran-
dom advection by the large scale velocity field. A way to overcome this
random sweeping effect is to account for the instantaneous advection
velocity (at each time where a vorticity event is present, detected by a
large amplitude of \( \rho(t) \)) . Noting that, as the major effect of the advec-
tion velocity is to induce a phase modulation according to a Doppler
frequency shift, we have observed that random advection velocity can
be safely compensated and fully eliminated by considering only the modulus \( \rho(t) \) of the scattering complex pressure signal \( z_{scat}(t) \) thus ignoring the phase information \( \phi(t) \).

4. Time-Space Correlations

4.1. Characteristic time of a vorticity mode

Following the later remark, the characteristic time of any spatial vorticity Fourier mode \( \Omega_\perp(q_{scat}, t) \) can be studied by considering only its modulus \( \propto \rho(t) \). Figure (10) shows the normalized auto-covariance \( Cov_{\rho \rho}(\tau) \) of the vorticity modulus for different wave-vectors \( q_{scatt} \) aligned.
with the jet axis in the case of the LEGI experiment. The typical shape of these correlation curves (right figure) brings out two times: a short one (hereafter referred as $\tau_s$) characterized by a fast decrease of the correlation, and a longer one (noted $\tau_l$) associated with low, but significant correlation values. Qualitatively, the short time part can be rather well fitted by a Gaussian curve (as shown in the left figure), while the long time correlation part has rather an exponential-like shape.

![Figure 10. Time correlation of vorticity modulus for different scales $1/q_{\text{scat}}$ in the LEGI jet ($R_\lambda \simeq 740$) (log-lin coordinates in the insert)](image)

The short time corresponds to the average time during which the component of a vorticity event $\Omega_\perp$ is tuned with the analyzing wavenumber $q_{\text{scat}}$. This short time $\tau_s$ clearly varies with the analyzing scale $q_{\text{scat}}^{-1}$ (see below).

In our experiments, the duration $\tau_s$ was systematically much shorter...
than the mean transit time in the measurement volume evaluated from the size \( L \) of the scattering volume and the mean advection velocity:
\[
\tau_s \ll \frac{L}{v_{avg}} \quad \text{(the mean transit time in this experiment is } \simeq 25 \text{ ms)}.
\]
Accordingly, this latter observation reveals the Lagrangian feature of the time analysis performed on the spatial Fourier modes of vorticity by means of the covariance estimator \( C_{\nu\nu}(\tau) \). The short time corresponds to the average duration during which the component \( \Omega_{\perp} \) of a vorticity event is observed at the analyzing wave-number \( q_{\text{scat}} \). In our experiments, this duration \( \tau_s \) is systematically shorter than the corresponding crossing time in the measurement volume. This short time \( \tau_s \) clearly varies with the analyzing scale \( q_{\text{scat}}^{-1} \). On the contrary, the long time part of the correlation does not seem to depend on the scale \( q_{\text{scatt}}^{-1} \). In particular, we observe that the correlation curves cross the null value axis at a typical time of the order of \( \tau_0 = 2\pi(U_{\text{rms}}q_0)^{-1} \) where \( q_0 \) is the wave-number characteristic of the integral length scale of the flow. In our experimental conditions, this large scale time is longer than the crossing time of the measurement volume. This weak auto-correlation of the modulus \( \rho(t) \) at long time delays \( \tau \) (larger than the duration time \( \tau_s \)) suggests that it should reflect the time behaviour of the inter-event dynamic (involving several isolated events). The significantly non zero values, is an indication of the organization of several events belonging to the same large structure of the turbulent flow. We will not investigate further these long time correlation property as they clearly do not correspond to a Lagrangian description of the flow.

Focusing on the short time \( \tau_s \), the main question is to know how it depends on both the length scale and the Reynolds number.

### 4.2. Scale dependence

The figure (11) shows the behaviour of the short time \( \tau_s \) with respect to the length scale \( q_{\text{scat}} \). Here \( \tau_s \) has been arbitrarily defined as the width at the half correlation value. We checked that another definition of \( \tau_s \) (for instance, the standard deviation of the Gaussian fit) does not change the results.

Despite the low extent of the analyzing wave-number range (\( \sim \) one decade), data are in better agreement with a slope \(-1\) than a slope \(-2/3\), meaning that the characteristic time of \( \Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}, t) \), depends linearly on the scale, whatever the Reynolds number. Thus, \( \tau_s \) does not scale as the eddy turn over time (\( \sim q^{-2/3} \)). For the smallest inertial scale range (the only one attainable with our acoustic scattering device), experiments show that \( \tau_s \) is actually proportional to the local sweeping time, defined as \( \tau_{\text{sweep}} = 2\pi(U_{\text{rms}}q_{\text{scat}})^{-1} \). Putting forward an analogy between a given Fourier mode \( \Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}) \) (in the Fourier space) and a
velocity increment $\delta v(\delta r)$ over a given separation $|\delta r| \sim q_{\text{scat}}^{-1}$ (in the real space) then, the experimental data of the figure (11) are in an agreement with those obtained, numerically by Sanada et al. [33], and experimentally by Xu et al. [34]. Such a result would suggest that $\tau_{\text{sweep}}$ is the pertinent time of the vortex stretchings and/or tippings due to the local velocity gradients. In both papers [33, 34], it appears that for the largest scales of the inertial range, the eddy turn-over time possibly becomes again shorter than the sweeping one. Unfortunately our acoustic device can not reach such inertial scales.

Figure 11. Scaling of $\tau_s$ (normalized by the large scale time $\tau_0$) with the wave number $q_{\text{scat}}$: ($\times$) $R_\lambda \approx 530$, ($\bigtriangledown$) $R_\lambda \approx 6090$. 

\[ \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_0} \]
4.3. Reynolds dependence

The figure (11) also indicates that the ratio of the vorticity mode life-time $\tau_s$ on the local sweeping time $\tau_{\text{sweep}}$ weakly depends on the Reynolds number.

![Graph showing power law behaviour of $a(R_\lambda)$ in jets for $530 \leq R_\lambda \leq 6090$.](image)

*Figure 12. Power law behaviour of $a(R_\lambda)$ in jets for $530 \leq R_\lambda \leq 6090$.*

The figure (12) shows how this ratio $a(R_\lambda) = \frac{\tau_s}{\tau_{\text{sweep}}}$ behaves with the Reynolds number. Thanks to the large range of investigated Reynolds numbers, ($100 \leq R_\lambda \leq 6000$), it is reasonable to infer a power law scaling with an exponent close to $-1/4$. Note that the numerical data of Sanada et al. [33] are in very good agreement with our experiments (the two points of figure 12 have been taken from the figure 8 of [33]). In others words, for a fixed scale $2\pi (q_{\text{scat}})^{-1}$, the vorticity correla-
tion time decreases with the Reynolds number. In connection with the vorticity time-correlation, Novikov [35, 36] has introduced a typical characteristic length $\ell_c$ which is the inertial scale where the viscous dissipation of the enstrophy is balanced by the large scale effects. In terms of time scales, the Novikov prediction is such as $\tau_c \simeq \tau_0 1.40R^{2/5}$ (where $\tau_c$ is the time scale of the vorticity correlation).

This prediction cannot be directly compared to the experimental scaling of the figure (12) because the latter relies on the analysis of a single length scale whereas the theoretical result is based on the global budget of the vorticity correlations involving all the scales. However, one expects that experimental data are not in agreement with the previous prediction, because it is mainly derived from Kolmogorov arguments and it does not account for sweeping effects.

5. Lagrangian Velocity Measurements

The interest in the statistics of the Lagrangian velocity (following the fluid particles) in turbulent flows has been recently renewed by the emergence of new experimental techniques for the continuous tracking along time of isolated particles [37, 38] (using acoustical devices) or the measurement of a large number of individual particle acceleration events [39] (using fast optical techniques). In the first type of experiment [37], a very few number (ideally one) of solid beads are injected in a closed turbulent water flow. The trajectory of a single particle is then followed along time intervals, as large as the integral length scale of the turbulent flow, using an acoustic scattering device consisting in an array of small transducers (in a backscattering configuration).

The purpose of the present experiment is to extend the latter acoustic tracking technique to the study of the Lagrangian turbulent velocity in open turbulent air flows. Material particles, with an acoustic index different from the acoustic index of the propagating medium, can efficiently scatter acoustic waves [4]. Provided the acoustic contrast (the relative difference between the acoustic index of the particle and that of the medium) is sufficient, acoustic scattering by particles injected in a turbulent flow can largely overcome the acoustic scattering by the turbulent vorticity fluctuations. When a particle is advected by the local flow velocity, the scattered pressure amplitude $p_{scat}(t)$, at a large enough scattering wave-number ($q_{scat}r \geq 2\pi$, where $r$ is the typical size of the particle), will exhibit Doppler shift phase modulations. We have seeded the LEGI jet, with very small soap bubbles (of diameter $\leq 3 \text{ mm}$), at a small rate of injection (typ. $\leq 100 \text{ bubbles/s}$) to have at most one particle at the same time in the scattering volume $V_{scat}$.
The soap bubbles are inflated with gaseous helium, with a home-made apparatus, so as to match precisely the average density of the bubble with the density of the surrounding fluid (the low density helium compensates properly the mass of the soap film). Thanks to this density matching, and to the small size of the bubbles (limiting wake effects behind the bubble [37]), inertial effects are small and each isolated particule is expected to follow, nearly instantaneously, the fluid particule velocity. In this experiment, the scattering angle is set to 120° in order to increase, both the wave-number $q_{\text{scat}}$ and the size of the scattering volume $V_{\text{scat}}$ in the direction of $\mathbf{q}_{\text{scat}}$ (see figure (3)) up to a length larger than the integral length scale $L_{\text{int}}$ of the turbulent flow. Moreover, at such a large scattering angle, the contribution of the vorticity fluctuations to the scattering amplitude is significantly reduced (see figure (2)).

In this preliminary experiment, the mean velocity was about 4 m/s ($R_{\lambda} \sim 530$).

5.1. SCATTERED PRESSURE SIGNAL PSD AND COVARIANCE

A first experiment has been performed to study the correlation and the spectrum of the acoustic pressure scattered by the soap bubbles. Simultaneous acquisitions have been realized with the superposition of two incident frequencies (80 kHz − 100 kHz and 100 kHz − 120 kHz). The two demodulated scattered signals around each incident frequency is then extracted by means of a band pass filtering and a demodulation. Such a double channel experiment allows a direct comparison of the detection at different incoming sound frequencies. In all experiments, the passage of a bubble in the scattering volume is simultaneously detected (around each $\nu_o$) as a strong increase of the scattered pressure intensity $I_{\text{scat}}(t) = \rho(t)^2$, indicating a large signal to noise ratio of the acoustic scattering set-up. Also, the Doppler shift frequency, around each incoming frequency $\nu_o$ scales continuously in time with $\nu_o$ according to Eqn. 12. The high level of similarity at each time $t$, of both $\rho(t)$ and $\frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}$ is a good indication of the efficiency of the acoustic scattering technique. Moreover, thanks to the small bubble flow rate, we effectively observe isolated bubbles passing through the scattering volume.

Indeed, the time interval during which the scattered pressure is significant is of order $\Delta T = \frac{\Delta L}{V_{\text{avg}}}$, where $\Delta L$ is the size of the scattering volume in the direction of $\mathbf{q}_{\text{scat}}$ (aligned with the mean flow). This is illustrated by the plot of the normalized auto-covariance of $\rho(t)$ on figure (13-left). The covariance, decreases slowly from its maximum value (at the delay $\tau = 0$), to a null value at the delay $\tau = \frac{\Delta L}{V_{\text{avg}}}$ $\simeq 50 ms$.

Remind that in the case of acoustic scattering by vorticity fluctuations,
Figure 13. Normalized Covariance $C_{\rho\rho}(\tau)$ of $\rho_{\text{scat}}(t)$ (left) and $PSD_{\text{scat}}(\delta\nu)$ (right) of the acoustic pressure scattered by soap bubbles in the LEGI turbulent jet flow at $R_\lambda \simeq 500$.

A much more rapid decrease of the auto-covariance is observed leading to an estimation of the duration of vorticity events of a few ms (cf figure (10)). The length of the negative part of the auto-covariance can be ascribed to the mean time between the passage of two consecutive bubbles in the scattering volume. The demodulated scattered pressure signals have been largely over-sampled at $F_s = 32768$ Hz and further band-pass filtered with a Butterworth digital filter of order 8. The right part of figure (13) displays the power spectral density for the scattered pressure signal at an incoming frequency $\nu_o = 80$ kHz. As expected, the shape of $PSD_{\text{scat}}(\delta\nu)$ is Gaussian ($\delta\nu_{\text{avg}}$ and $\delta\nu_{\text{std}}$, in excellent agreement with the statistics of the longitudinal flow velocity (given by hot-wire anemometry).
5.2. LAGRANGIAN VELOCITY PDF AND SPECTRUM

To investigate the statistical properties of the Lagrangian velocity, a very large number of bubble trajectories is required for purpose of statistical convergency.

Figure 14. PDF (left) and PSD (right) of the Lagrangian Velocity in the LEGI turbulent jet flow at $R_\lambda \simeq 500$

Thus we have performed a long time experiment, collecting $2.7 \times 10^8$ complex data points sampled at $F_s = 32768$ Hz. Using a post-processing algorithm, relying on an appropriate thresholding of the scattered acoustic intensity $I_{scat}(t)$, the passage of isolated bubbles in the scattering volume are identified and localized. About $13 \times 10^6$ passing bubbles have been identified and localized. After a band-pass filtering, the projection of the instantaneous velocity (onto the direction of the jet axis) of each isolated bubble is estimated from...
the unwrapped phase signal $\phi(t)$ (according to $v_{\text{bubble}}(t) = \frac{1}{q_{\text{scat}}} \frac{d\phi(t)}{dt}$) with a finite difference schema.

From all these Lagrangian velocity signal we have computed firstly the probability density function $PDF(v_{\text{bubble}})$ of the Lagrangian velocity depicted on figure (14 left). The Lagrangian PDF has a nice gaussian shape, indicating a mean value and rms values of the Lagrangian velocity (note that they are ensemble averages) close to the corresponding Eulerian values (determined with hot-wire anemometry). The equality of the Lagrangian and Eulerian probability densities is a well known property of homogeneous turbulent flows [2, 40].

The time power density spectrum $PSD_{\text{Lag}}(\delta \nu)$ have been computed with the Welch’s averaged periodogram method. The resulting PSD is displayed on figure (14 right). In this log-log representation, a clear power-law scaling over roughly one decade is visible. The exponent of the observed power law scaling is closed to the value $-2$ in agreement with the theoretical prediction of Kolmogorov [2]. At higher frequencies, the DSP display a significant cut-off, above the frequency $\nu_c \simeq 70$ Hz very close to the low-pass filtering frequency due to the radius $r_b = 3$ mm of the bubbles ($\nu_b \simeq \epsilon^{1/3} r_b^{-2/3} \simeq 70$ Hz where $\epsilon$ is the mean energy dissipation rate).

6. Concluding remarks

Wave scattering (e.g. light and neutron scattering in condensed matter and X-ray scattering in solid state physics) has proved to be a powerful tool in the study and of complex systems (e.g. phase transitions, DNA structure and denaturation). Turbulent flows are also known to be very complex and disordered. The scattering of coherent acoustic waves by turbulent vorticity fluctuations allows the direct and continuous (in time) probing of a spatial Fourier mode $\Omega_{\perp}(q_{\text{scat}}, t)$, at a well defined spatial wave-vector $q_{\text{scat}}$. In addition, the acoustic scattering technique is non intrusive and sensitive to the local orientation of the vorticity field [41]. Thus it appears as a relevant means of investigation of turbulence, where the vorticity dynamic is known to play a crucial role (e.g. vortex stretching and bending), particularly in the energy transfers across the length scales [43, 44]. By selected different spatial wave-vectors, one is able to analyse scale by scale the statistical properties of the turbulent vorticity distribution. For example, we have evidenced a scaling law with exponent 1/3 for enstrophy spectral density, in agreement with the Kolmogorov prediction. Time correlation of the amplitude (modulus) vorticity modes reveals a short life-time of the vorticity events at a fixed scale (possibly coherent structures [32]).
the average duration of the detected vorticity events is much smaller than their mean transit time across the scattering volume we argue that our analysis is of a Lagrangian type. Still, the vorticity correlations also exhibit a long time behaviour, with a lower level of correlation, indicating probably a large scale structure of the vorticity distribution which could be interpreted as the consequence of the turbulent cascade process.

Acoustic scattering can also be used to access Lagrangian statistics of the turbulent velocity thanks to the possibility to detect very small particles (using high enough ultrasound frequencies), on very large volumes (of order the integral length scale of the flow). With this preliminary experiment, we have found again, in an open flow, Lagrangian probability density function of the turbulent velocity field equal to the Eulerian PDF as expected. Beside, we have also evidenced a Lagrangian power law scaling \( \propto \omega^{-2} \) for spectral power density, according to the Kolmogorov 41 theory. The power law scaling extends over roughly one decade. Further investigation are in progress. In particular, we have already generated and successfully detected bubbles with a smaller radius \((\leq 1 \text{ mm})\) and thus we expect to increase the latter power law scaling range towards the dissipative time-scales.
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