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Abstract

A multigraph $G$ is triangle decomposable if its edge set can be partitioned into subsets, each of which induces a triangle of $G$, and rationally triangle decomposable if its triangles can be assigned rational weights such that for each edge $e$ of $G$, the sum of the weights of the triangles that contain $e$ equals 1.

We present a necessary and sufficient condition for a planar multigraph to be triangle decomposable. We also show that if a simple planar graph is rationally triangle decomposable, then it has such a decomposition using only weights 0, 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$. This result provides a characterization of rationally triangle decomposable simple planar graphs. Finally, if $G$ is a multigraph with $K_4$ as underlying graph, we give necessary and sufficient conditions on the multiplicities of its edges for $G$ to be triangle and rationally triangle decomposable.
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1 Introduction

We consider multigraphs, in which multiple edges between vertices are allowed, but loops are not, and reserve the term graph for a simple graph. For a graph $H$, a multigraph $G$ is $H$-decomposable if its edge set can be partitioned into subsets, each of which induces a subgraph isomorphic to $H$. Such a partition is called an $H$-decomposition of $G$. A $K_3$-decomposition is also called a triangle decomposition, and a $K_3$-decomposable multigraph is also said to be triangle decomposable. Given a multigraph $G$, a rational $K_3$-decomposition of $G$ is an
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assignment of nonnegative rational numbers, called \textit{weights}, to the copies of $K_3$ in $G$ such that for each edge $e$ of $G$, the sum of the weights of the triangles that contain $e$ equals 1. If $G$ admits a rational $K_3$-decomposition, we say that $G$ is \textit{rationally triangle decomposable} or \textit{rationally $K_3$-decomposable}.

We present a necessary and sufficient condition for a planar multigraph to be triangle decomposable. This result implies that a maximal planar graph is $K_3$-decomposable if and only if it is Eulerian. We also present results on rationally $K_3$-decomposable planar multigraphs, including a characterization of rationally $K_3$-decomposable planar simple graphs.

Triangle decompositions of graphs have a long history, beginning with the following problem raised by W. S. B. Woolhouse in 1844 in \textit{The Lady’s and Gentleman’s Diary} \cite{16} as cited by Biggs in \cite{2}:

"Determine the number of combinations that can be made of $n$ symbols, $p$ symbols in each; with this limitation, that no combination of $q$ symbols which may appear in any one of them shall be repeated in any other."

A version of this problem (in which each pair of symbols appears \textit{exactly} once) was solved for $p = 3$ and $q = 2$ by Thomas Kirkman \cite{11} as cited in \cite{2} in 1847. Structures satisfying these constraints became known as Steiner triple systems in honour of Jakob Steiner \cite{13} as cited in \cite{2}, who independently posed the question of their existence.

Simple necessary conditions for a connected multigraph $G$ to be triangle decomposable are that $G$ be Eulerian and $|E(G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. A multigraph that satisfies these conditions is called $K_3$-\textit{divisible}. Kirkman showed that being $K_3$-divisible is also sufficient for a complete graph to possess a triangle decomposition. A natural question, therefore, concerns the density of non-complete triangle decomposable graphs. Some work on this topic concerns a conjecture due to Nash-Williams \cite{12}. A graph $G$ of order $n$ and minimum degree $\delta(G)$ is $(1 - \varepsilon)$-\textit{dense} if $\delta(G) \geq (1 - \varepsilon)(n - 1)$. Nash-Williams conjectured that any sufficiently large $K_3$-divisible $\frac{3}{4}$-dense graph is $K_3$-decomposable. Keevash \cite{9} obtained an asymptotic result, a special case of which applies to this conjecture, with a value of $\varepsilon$ much smaller than $\frac{1}{4}$.

Holyer \cite{8} showed that the problem of deciding whether a given general graph is $K_n$-decomposable is NP-complete for $n \geq 3$. Conditions for different classes of planar graphs to be decomposable into paths of length 3 are presented in \cite{7}. For decompositions of graphs into other graphs $H$ of size $|E(H)| = 3$, see e.g. \cite{1, 6, 11}. On a somewhat different note, planar graphs decomposable into a forest and a matching are considered in several publications, including \cite{3, 14}, while it is shown in \cite{10} that any planar graph is decomposable into three forests, one of which has maximum degree at most four.

In contrast to the asymptotic results on $K_n$-decompositions of dense graphs, we consider planar multigraphs and, in Section \cite{2} characterize those that are triangle decomposable. We begin with some definitions and the statement of the characterization in Section \cite{2.1} followed by a number of lemmas in Section \cite{2.2} and the proof in Section \cite{2.3}. In Section \cite{3} we turn to rational decompositions of planar multigraphs. We show in Section \cite{3.1} that any rationally $K_3$-decomposable (simple) graph admits such a decomposition using only weights 0, 1 or $\frac{1}{2}$, a result which leads to a characterization of such graphs. We characterize $K_3$-decomposable and rationally $K_3$-decomposable multigraphs that have $K_4$ as underlying graph in Section \cite{3.2}. We close with some ideas for further work in Section \cite{4}.
2 Triangle Decompositions of Planar Multigraphs

2.1 Definitions and statement of main result

Since a multigraph is $K_3$-decomposable if and only if each of its blocks is $K_3$-decomposable, we consider only 2-connected planar multigraphs. In addition to being $K_3$-divisible, a $K_3$-decomposable multigraph also needs to satisfy the condition that each of its edges is contained in a triangle, a condition that holds trivially for (large enough) complete graphs. A $K_3$-divisible multigraph that satisfies this third necessary condition is called strongly $K_3$-divisible.

The planar graph $H$ obtained by joining the two vertices of $K_{2,7}$ of degree seven shows that a strongly $K_3$-divisible graph need not be $K_3$-decomposable: the removal of any triangle of $H$ results in a triangle-free graph.

We denote a triangle with vertex set $\{u, v, w\}$ by $\tau = uvw$ if we are not interested in the specific edges between its vertices. If specific edges are important, we denote $\tau$ by $efg$, where $e = uv$, $f = vw$, and $g = wg$. A triangle $\tau$ of a planar multigraph $G$ is called faced if there exists a plane embedding $\tilde{G}$ of $G$ such that $\tau$ is a face of $\tilde{G}$; otherwise $\tau$ is called faceless. The triangle $uvw$ of the graph in Fig. 1 is a faceless triangle; this can be seen without much effort, but also follows from Lemma 2 below. A separating triangle $uvw$ of $G$ is one such that $G - \{u, v, w\}$ is disconnected.

For vertices $u, v \in V(G)$, denote the number of edges joining $u$ and $v$ by $\mu(u, v)$. A duplicate triangle is a triangle $u_1u_2u_3$ such that $\mu(u_i, u_j) \geq 2$ for each $i \neq j$, and may be faced or faceless, separating or non-separating. By deleting the edges of a duplicate triangle we mean that we delete exactly one edge between each pair of vertices $u_i$ and $u_j$ of a duplicate triangle $u_1u_2u_3$.

A triangle depletion, or simply a depletion, of $G$ is any spanning subgraph of $G$ obtained by sequentially deleting edges of (any number of) faceless or duplicate triangles; note that $G$ is a depletion of itself.

The dual multigraph $G^*$ of a plane multigraph $G$ is a plane multigraph having a vertex for each face of $G$. The edges of $G^*$ correspond to the edges of $G$ as follows: if $e$ is an edge
of \( G \) that has a face \( F \) on one side and a face \( F' \) on the other side, then the corresponding dual edge \( e^* \in E(G^*) \) is an edge joining the vertices \( f \) and \( f' \) of \( G^* \) that correspond to the faces \( F \) and \( F' \) of \( G \). Note that under our assumption that \( G \) is 2-connected, \( G^* \) has no loops, and, using a careful geometric description of the placement of vertices and edges in the dual, as in [15, Remark 7.1.8], we see that \((G^*)^* \cong G\).

The statement of the main result of this section follows.

**Theorem 1** A planar multigraph \( G \) is triangle decomposable if and only if some depletion of \( G \) has a plane embedding whose dual is a bipartite multigraph in which all vertices of some partite set have degree three.

### 2.2 Lemmas

In our first result we present a characterization of faceless triangles of planar multigraphs.

**Lemma 2** A triangle \( \tau = v_1v_2v_3 \) of a planar multigraph \( G \) is faceless if and only if there exist two components \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) of \( G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \) such that each \( v_i \) is adjacent, in \( G \), to a vertex in each \( H_j \), \( i = 1, 2, 3, \ j = 1, 2 \).

**Proof.** Let \( \tilde{G} \) be a plane embedding of \( G \) having \( \tau \) as a face, but \( G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \) has components \( H_j \) as described. Let \( G' \) be the multigraph obtained by joining a new vertex \( v \) to each \( v_i \). By inserting \( v \) in the face \( \tau \) of \( \tilde{G} \), we get a plane embedding of \( G' \). However, by contracting each \( H_i \) to a single vertex we now obtain a \( K_{3,3} \) minor of \( G' \), a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose two such components \( H_j \) do not exist. Let \( \tilde{G} \) be a plane embedding of \( G \) and suppose \( \tau \) is not a face of \( \tilde{G} \). Then \( \tilde{G} \) has vertices interior and exterior to \( \tau \). By assumption we may assume without loss of generality that each component of \( G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \) interior to \( \tau \) has vertices adjacent, in \( G \), to at most two vertices \( v_i \), \( i = 1, 2, 3 \). Let \( H \) be a component of \( G - \{v_1, v_2, v_3\} \) interior to \( \tau \) such that no vertex of \( H \) is adjacent to (say) \( v_3 \). Let \( F \) be the face of \( \tilde{G} \) exterior to \( \tau \) that contains \( v_1v_2 \) on its boundary. By moving \( H \) to \( F \) we obtain an embedding of \( G \) such that \( H \) is exterior to \( \tau \). By repeating this procedure we eventually obtain an embedding \( \tilde{G}' \) of \( G \) such that \( \tau \) is a face of \( \tilde{G}' \).

Evidently, then, a faceless triangle is a separating triangle.

**Lemma 3** If a planar multigraph \( G \) is 2-connected, then so is any depletion of \( G \).

**Proof.** Suppose the statement of the lemma does not hold, and let \( G \) be a 2-connected planar multigraph with the minimum number of edges such that a depletion of \( G \) is not 2-connected. Then there exists a faceless or duplicate triangle \( \tau = uvw \) whose edges can be deleted from \( G \) to obtain a planar multigraph \( G' \) that is not 2-connected. This is impossible if \( \tau \) is a duplicate triangle, hence \( \tau \) is a faceless triangle. Some vertex, say \( v \), of \( \tau \) is a cut-vertex of \( G' \) but not of \( G \).

Let \( H \) be a component of \( G - \{u, v, w\} \) whose existence is guaranteed by Lemma 2. Then both \( u \) and \( w \) are adjacent, in \( G' - v \), to vertices of \( H \). Therefore \( u \) and \( w \) belong to the
same component, say $A$, of $G' - v$. Let $B$ be the union of all other components of $G' - v$. Then no vertex of $A$ is adjacent, in $G' - v$, to a vertex of $B$. Reinserting the edge $uw$ in $A$, we see that no vertex of $A + uw$ is adjacent, in $G - v$, to a vertex of $B$; that is, $v$ is also a cut-vertex of $G$, a contradiction. ■

We also need the following result.

**Proposition 4** [15 Theorem 7.1.13] A plane multigraph is Eulerian if and only if its dual is bipartite.

### 2.3 Proof of Theorem 1

We restate the characterization of triangle decomposable planar multigraphs for convenience.

**Theorem 1** A planar multigraph $G$ is triangle decomposable if and only if some depletion $G_\Delta$ of $G$ has a plane embedding whose dual is a bipartite multigraph in which all vertices of some partite set have degree three.

**Proof.** We may assume that $G$ is 2-connected. Suppose $G$ is triangle decomposable. Then $G$ is strongly $K_3$-divisible. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the collection of triangles in some triangle decomposition of $G$ and let $\mathcal{S}'$ consist of all faceless triangles, or triangles forming part of duplicate triangles, in $\mathcal{S}$. Since the triangles in $\mathcal{S}'$ are pairwise edge-disjoint, deleting their edges results in a depletion $G_\Delta$ of $G$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ is a triangle decomposition of $G$, $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$ is a triangle decomposition of $G_\Delta$, and every vertex of $G_\Delta$ is even.

Among all plane embeddings of $G_\Delta$, let $G_\Delta$ be one that maximizes the number of triangles in $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$ that are faces of the embedding. Suppose $\tau = uvw$ is a triangle in $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$ that is not a face of $G_\Delta$. Since $\tau$ is a faced triangle, Lemma 2 implies that we may assume without loss of generality that each component of $G - \{u, v, w\}$ interior to $\tau$ has vertices adjacent, in $G$, to at most two of $u$, $v$ and $w$. Since $\tau$ is not a duplicate triangle of $G_\Delta$, we may further assume that there is at least one component of $G - \{u, v, w\}$ interior to $\tau$. Let $H$ be such a component; say no vertex of $H$ is adjacent to $w$. Let $F$ and $F'$ be the faces interior and exterior to $\tau$, respectively, containing the edge $uv$ on their boundaries. Then neither $F$ nor $F'$ is contained in $\mathcal{S}$. By moving $H$ from $F$ to $F'$ we obtain an embedding of $G_\Delta$ such that $H$ is exterior to $\tau$. By repeating this procedure we eventually obtain an embedding $G_\Delta'$ of $G$ such that $\tau$ is a face of $G_\Delta'$ and such that each triangle in $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$ that is a face of $G_\Delta$ is also a face of $G_\Delta'$. This contradicts the choice of $G_\Delta$.

Hence all triangles in $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$ are faces of $G_\Delta$. By Lemma 3 $G_\Delta$ is 2-connected. Thus each edge of $G_\Delta$ lies on two faces. Since $G_\Delta$ is Eulerian, the dual $G_\Delta'$ of $G_\Delta$ is bipartite (Proposition 4). Let $(A, B)$ be a bipartition of $G_\Delta'$. Let $\tau, \tau'$ be two triangles in $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$, let $t, t'$ be the corresponding vertices of $G_\Delta'$ and assume without loss of generality that $t \in A$. Consider any $t - t'$ path $t = t_0, t_1, \ldots, t_k = t'$ in $G_\Delta^*$ and say $t_i$ corresponds to a face $F_i$ of $G_\Delta$, $i = 1, \ldots, k$. Then $F_1$ is adjacent to $\tau$, hence $F_1 \notin \mathcal{S}$. Since $F_2$ is adjacent to $F_1$ and the shared edge on the boundaries of $F_1$ and $F_2$ belongs to a triangle in $\mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$, $F_2 \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$. Continuing this argument we see that $F_i \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$ if and only if $i$ is even. Since $F_k = \tau' \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$, $k \in \mathcal{S} - \mathcal{S}'$.
is even. Therefore $t' = t_k \in A$. We conclude that $A$ consists of all vertices of $G^*_\Delta$ that correspond to triangles in $S - S'$, while all other vertices of $G^*_\Delta$ correspond to faces of $\tilde{G}_\Delta$ that are adjacent to triangles in $S - S'$; hence these vertices belong to $B$. Therefore $\deg v = 3$ for all $v \in A$.

Conversely, suppose some depletion $G_\Delta$ of $G$ has a plane embedding $\tilde{G}_\Delta$ whose dual $G^*_\Delta$ possesses the stated properties. By Proposition 4, $G_\Delta$ is Eulerian. Let $S'$ be the collection of edge disjoint triangles of $G$ whose deletion resulted in $G_\Delta$. Let $(A, B)$ be a bipartition of $G^*_\Delta$ such that all vertices in $A$ have degree three and let $S$ be the faces of $\tilde{G}_\Delta$ corresponding to the vertices in $A$. Since $A$ is an independent set of vertices that cover all edges of $G^*_\Delta$ (since $G^*_\Delta$ is a multigraph, it has no loops), $S$ consists of mutually edge-disjoint triangles covering all edges of $G_\Delta$. Therefore $S$ is a triangle decomposition of $G_\Delta$ and $S \cup S'$ is a triangle decomposition of $G$. ■

Triangle decompositions of a graph $G$ and its depletion $G_\Delta$ are illustrated in Fig. 2. Since $G$ itself is Eulerian, the dual of any embedding of $G$ is bipartite. However, no embedding of $G$ has a dual in which all vertices of one partite set of its bipartition have degree three: the edge $vw$ always lies on two nontriangular faces, and the corresponding vertices (of degree at least four) of the dual are in different partite sets. A $K_3$-decomposition of $G$ is obtained by first deleting $uvw$, partitioning the faces into two sets so that one set contains only triangles, which form part of the decomposition, and reinserting $uvw$ to complete the decomposition.

Theorem 1 implies that the necessary conditions for a multigraph to be triangle decomposable are also sufficient for maximal planar graphs, which trivially satisfy two of the conditions (of being strongly $K_3$-divisible) provided they have order at least three.

**Corollary 5** A maximal planar graph is triangle decomposable if and only if it is Eulerian.

**Proof.** Any plane embedding of a maximal planar graph $G$ of order at least three is a triangulation of the plane. Its dual is cubic, and bipartite because $G$ is Eulerian, and either partite set corresponds to a triangle decomposition of $G$. ■
Corollary 6 Any Eulerian multigraph whose edges can be partitioned into sets that induce maximal planar subgraphs is triangle decomposable.

3 Rational Triangle Decompositions

The main purpose of this section is to characterize rationally triangle decomposable planar graphs, which we do in Corollary 8 after first showing, in Theorem 7, that each such graph admits a rational triangle decomposition using only weights 0, 1 and \(\frac{1}{2}\). In Section 3.2, we characterize \(K_3\)-decomposable and rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable planar multigraphs that have \(K_4\) as underlying graph in terms of the multiplicities of their edges.

Dense graphs that admit rational \(K_3\)-decompositions were studied in [5]. The only condition among the three for a multigraph \(G\) to be \(K_3\)-decomposable that remains necessary for \(G\) to be rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable is the condition that each edge of \(G\) be contained in a triangle. Clearly, maximal planar graphs of order at least three are rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable: assign a weight of \(\frac{1}{2}\) to each face triangle in a plane embedding of the graph. In fact, each multigraph whose edges can be partitioned into sets that induce maximal planar subgraphs is rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable.

3.1 Rationally triangle decomposable planar graphs

Suppose \(G\) is a rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable multigraph and consider such a decomposition of \(G\). For a triangle \(\tau\) of \(G\), we denote the weight of \(\tau\) by \(w(\tau)\), and for any edge \(e\) of \(G\), we denote the sum of the weight of the triangles that contain \(e\) by \(w(e)\); since \(G\) is rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable, \(w(e) = 1\) for each edge \(e\).

While it is easy to find planar multigraphs that possess rational triangle decompositions with weights \(\frac{p}{q}\) and \(\frac{q-p}{q}\) for arbitrary integers \(q \geq 1\) and \(0 \leq p \leq q\), for example Eulerian maximal planar graphs, all examples of rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable multigraphs we know of also admit decompositions using only weights 0, 1 and \(\frac{1}{2}\). We show that this is true for all rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable (simple) planar graphs.

For a triangle \(\tau = xyz\) of a plane graph \(G\), let \(I_\tau\) denote the subgraph of \(G\) induced by \(\{x, y, z\}\) and all vertices interior to \(\tau\). We call \(I_\tau\) the interior graph of \(\tau\). A separating triangle of \(G\) is an innermost (or an outermost) separating triangle if its interior (or its exterior) contains no separating triangles. Similarly, a separating triangle containing an edge \(e\) is an outermost separating triangle containing \(e\) if no separating triangle in its exterior contains \(e\).

Theorem 7 If \(G\) is a rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable planar graph, then \(G\) has a \(K_3\)-decomposition using only weights 0, 1, and \(\frac{1}{2}\).

Proof. Suppose there exists a planar graph that is rationally \(K_3\)-decomposable but does not have a decomposition using only weights 0, 1, and \(\frac{1}{2}\). Let \(H\) be such a graph with the minimum number of edges. We establish the following properties of \(H\):

1. \(H\) is not maximal planar: A maximal planar graph has a \(K_3\)-decomposition where each face receives weight \(\frac{1}{2}\).
2. Every edge of $H$ is in at least two triangles: Suppose $e \in E(H)$ is in only one triangle $\tau$. Then in any rational $K_3$-decomposition of $H$, $\tau$ receives weight 1. Hence $H - \tau$ is rationally $K_3$-decomposable, and since $H - \tau$ has fewer edges than $H$, it has a rational $K_3$-decomposition using only weights 0, 1, and $\frac{1}{2}$. But then $H$ has a decomposition using only weights 0, 1, and $\frac{1}{2}$, which is a contradiction.

3. In any embedding of $H$, every edge incident with a nontriangular face belongs to a separating triangle: Let $e$ be an edge incident with a nontriangular face. As $e$ is in at least two triangles, and is incident with exactly two faces, one such triangle $\tau$ is not a face. Thus there are vertices interior and exterior to $\tau$, which is therefore a separating triangle.

4. In any embedding of $H$, there exists a separating triangle $\tau$, incident with an edge $e$ of a nontriangular face, whose exterior contains no triangles containing $e$ and whose interior graph $I_\tau$ is maximal planar: Let $e_1$ be an edge of a nontriangular face and let $\tau_1$ be the outermost separating triangle containing $e$. If $I_{\tau_1}$ is maximal planar, we are done. Otherwise, $I_{\tau_1}$ contains a nontriangular face; choose an edge $e_2$ of this face not also contained in $\tau_1$ and its outermost separating triangle $\tau_2$. Note that $\tau_2$ lies interior to $\tau_1$. As $H$ is finite, this process terminates.

Now, assume that $H$ is embedded in the plane and that $\tau$ is a separating triangle incident with an edge $e$ of a nontriangular face $f$, whose exterior contains no triangles containing $e$ and whose interior graph $I_\tau$ is maximal planar. We next prove the following claim regarding an innermost separating triangle of $H$.

Claim 7.1 Let $T$ be an innermost separating triangle of $H$. Then $I_T$ is maximal planar and any rational $K_3$-decomposition of $H$ gives the same weight to the faces of $I_T$ adjacent to $T$.

Proof of Claim 7.1. Suppose $I_T$ is not maximal planar. Then it contains a nontriangular face. But every edge of this face that does not belong to $T$ is in a separating triangle interior to $T$, which contradicts the choice of $T$. Hence $I_T$ is maximal planar.

Let $I_T^*$ be the dual of $I_T$ and let $D = I_T^* - T$. First suppose $D$ is bipartite with bipartition $(X, Y)$. We show that the vertices $x$, $y$ and $z$ of $D$ corresponding to the three faces of $I_T$ adjacent to $T$ are in the same partite set. Otherwise, assume without loss of generality that $x, y \in X$ and $z \in Y$. Since $I_T$ is maximal planar, $x$, $y$ and $z$ have degree 2 and every other vertex of $D$ has degree 3. But then the number of edges incident with a vertex in $X$ is congruent to 1 (mod 3) and the number of edges incident with a vertex in $Y$ is congruent to 2 (mod 3), which is impossible. Hence $x$, $y$ and $z$ belong to the same partite set.

Now, since every edge in $D$ corresponds to an edge of $I_T$ that lies on exactly two triangle faces, and $I_T$ has no separating triangles, every face in the same partite set receives the same weight, and the weights of the two sets sum to 1. Hence, the faces of $I_T$ adjacent to $T$ receive the same weight.

Now suppose $D$ is not bipartite. Then $D$ contains an odd cycle $f_1 f_2 f_3 \cdots f_k f_1$. Suppose $w(f_1) = x$. Then as every edge is incident with exactly two triangles, $w(f_2) = 1 - x$, and
$w(f_3) = x$, $\ldots$, $w(f_k) = x$, and $w(f_1) = 1 - x = x$. Hence $x = \frac{1}{2}$. Filling in the remaining weights from this cycle, every face receives weight $\frac{1}{2}$. Hence, the faces of $I_T$ adjacent to $T$ receive the same weight. □

Continuing with the proof of Theorem 7, consider a rational $K_3$-decomposition of $H$. Suppose $I_\tau$ contains a separating triangle other than $\tau$. Then choose an innermost separating triangle $\tau'$ of $I_\tau$ and let $H'$ be the graph obtained by deleting the interior of $\tau'$. By Claim 9, the interior faces of $I_\tau$ adjacent to $\tau'$ receive the same weight, say $x$. Then the rational $K_3$-decomposition of $H$ induces a rational $K_3$-decomposition of $H'$ in which $w_{H'}(\tau') = w_H(\tau') + x$. We continue this process until $\tau$ has no separating triangles in its interior. Finally, apply this process to $\tau$ itself, obtaining the graph $H'$. Now $e$ is contained in only one triangle in $H'$, namely $\tau$, so $w_{H'}(\tau) = 1$. Then $H' - \tau$ has a rational $K_3$-decomposition and since $H' - \tau$ has fewer edges than $H$, it has a rational $K_3$-decomposition using only weights 0, 1, and $\frac{1}{2}$. As a result, we obtain a rational $K_3$-decomposition of $H$ using only weights 0, 1, and $\frac{1}{2}$ by extending the decomposition of $H' - \tau$ and giving each face of $I_\tau$ (including $\tau$) weight $\frac{1}{2}$. This decomposition contradicts the assumption that $H$ does not have a decomposition using only weights 0, 1, and $\frac{1}{2}$, completing the proof. □

Let $2^G$ denote the multigraph obtained from a simple graph $G$ by replacing each edge by a pair of parallel edges. For an edge $e$ of $G$, denote the corresponding pair of edges of $2^G$ by $e_1$ and $e_2$. If $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ are edge disjoint triangles of $2^G$ with the same vertex set, denote the corresponding triangle of $G$ by $\tau$. For $u, v \in V(2^G)$, denote the set of edges joining $u$ and $v$ by $E(u,v)$. The characterization of rationally triangle decomposable planar graphs follows.

**Corollary 8** A simple planar graph $G$ is rationally $K_3$-decomposable if and only if $2^G$ is $K_3$-decomposable.

**Proof.** Suppose $G$ is rationally $K_3$-decomposable. By Theorem 7, $G$ has a rational $K_3$-decomposition using only weights 0, 1, and $\frac{1}{2}$. Let $T_2$ and $T_1$ denote the sets of triangles of $G$ with weights $\frac{1}{2}$ and 1, respectively. For any triangle $efg \in T_1$, partition the edges $e_i, f_i, g_i$, $i = 1, 2$, of $2^G$ arbitrarily into two triangles $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$, and let $w(\tau_1) = w(\tau_2) = 1$. Any edge of $G$ that belongs to a triangle in $T_2$ belongs to exactly two triangles in $T_2$ and to no triangles in $T_1$. Therefore, for the set of edges of $G$ that belong to triangles in $T_2$, the corresponding set of edge pairs of $2^G$ can be partitioned into edge disjoint triangles, each being allocated weight 1, to give a $K_3$-decomposition of $2^G$.

Conversely, assume $2^G$ is $K_3$-decomposable. For vertices $x, y, z$ of $2^G$ and edges $e_1, e_2 \in E(x,y), f_1, f_2 \in E(y,z)$ and $g_1, g_2 \in E(x,z)$, if $e_i, f_i, g_i$, $i = 1, 2$, can be partitioned into triangles $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ such that $w(\tau_1) = w(\tau_2) = 1$, let $w(\tau) = 1$, and if $e_i, f_i, g_i$ can be partitioned into triangles $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ such that (say) $w(\tau_1) = 0$ and $w(\tau_2) = 1$, let $w(\tau) = \frac{1}{2}$. Since each edge that belongs to $\tau_1$ also belongs to another triangle of $2^G$ with weight 1, this gives a rational $K_3$-decomposition of $G$. ■

**Corollary 9** If $G$ is a rationally $K_3$-decomposable planar graph, then $|E(G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

**Proof.** By Corollary 8, $2^G$ has a $K_3$-decomposition. Therefore $|E(2^G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Since $|E(2^G)| = 2|E(G)|$, we also have $|E(G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. ■
Figure 3: Labels of the vertices and edges of the multigraph $G$ with $K_4$ as underlying graph.

3.2 Multigraphs with $K_4$ as underlying graph

One reason why the proof of Theorem 7 fails for multigraphs is that multiple edges that do not lie on triangular faces are not necessarily contained in separating triangles. Hence statement (4) in the proof does not necessarily hold; certainly, if its underlying graph is complete, a multigraph contains no separating triangles at all.

It is easy to see that a multigraph $G$ with $K_3$ as underlying graph is rationally $K_3$-decomposable if and only if all edges have the same multiplicity, say $k$; in this case, $|E(G)| = 3k$ and $G$ can be decomposed into $k$ edge-disjoint triangles. In the remainder of this section we characterize $K_3$-decomposable and rationally $K_3$-decomposable multigraphs that have $K_4$ as underlying graph.

Denote the set of all multigraphs that have $K_4$ as underlying graph by $K_4$. For any $G \in K_4$ and distinct edges $e$ and $f$, let $w(e, f)$ be the sum of the weight of the triangles that contain both $e$ and $f$, and for any vertices $u, v$ of $G$, let $w(uv, e)$ be the sum of the weight of the triangles that contain $e$ and some edge joining $u$ and $v$. Also, for $u, v \in V(G)$, denote the set of edges joining $u$ and $v$ by $E(u, v)$.

Say $V(G) = \{a, b, c, d\}$. The following notation will be used throughout this subsection (see Fig. 3). Let $\mu(a, b) = r$, $\mu(a, c) = s$, $\mu(a, d) = t$, $\mu(b, c) = x$, $\mu(b, d) = y$ and $\mu(c, d) = z$, and let

$$E(a, b) = \{e_1, ..., e_r\}$$
$$E(a, c) = \{f_1, ..., f_s\}$$
$$E(a, d) = \{g_1, ..., g_t\}$$
$$E(b, c) = \{h_1, ..., h_x\}$$
$$E(b, d) = \{\ell_1, ..., \ell_y\}$$
$$E(c, d) = \{m_1, ..., m_z\}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

**Theorem 10** Let $G \in K_4$, let $u \in V(G)$ and let $V(G) - \{u\} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$. Then $G$ is $K_3$-decomposable if and only if
Proof. To simplify notation, let

\[ 0 \leq n \leq \min\{\mu(v_i, v_j) : i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j\} \] and

\[ \mu(u, v_i) = \mu(v_i, v_j) + \mu(v_i, v_k) - 2n \] for each \( i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \) each \( j \in \{1, 2, 3\} - \{i\} \) and

\[ k \in \{1, 2, 3\} - \{i, j\}. \]

and rationally \( K_3 \)-decomposable if and only if

\[ (i) \text{ there exists an integer } n' \text{ such that } 0 \leq n' \leq \min\{\mu(v_i, v_j) : i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j\} \] and

\[ \mu(u, v_i) = \mu(v_i, v_j) + \mu(v_i, v_k) - n' \] for each \( i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \) each \( j \in \{1, 2, 3\} - \{i\} \) and

\( k \in \{1, 2, 3\} - \{i, j\} \).

Moreover, if \( G \) is rationally \( K_3 \)-decomposable, it has a decomposition using only weights

\[ 0, 1 \text{ and } \frac{1}{2}. \]

\[ (ii) \text{ there exists an integer } n' \text{ such that } 0 \leq \frac{n'}{2} \leq \min\{\mu(v_i, v_j) : i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}, i \neq j\} \] and

\[ \mu(u, v_i) = \mu(v_i, v_j) + \mu(v_i, v_k) - n' \] for each \( i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \) each \( j \in \{1, 2, 3\} - \{i\} \) and

\( k \in \{1, 2, 3\} - \{i, j\} \).

Proof. To simplify notation, let \( V(G) = \{a, b, c, d\} \) and assume without loss of generality that \((i)\) holds with \( u = a. \) With notation as in \( (i), \) if \( n > 0, \) let

\[ G' = G - \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} \{h_{x-i}e_{y-i}m_{z-i}\} \] \hspace{1cm} \text{ (2)}

and let \( x' = x - n, \ y' = y - n \) and \( z' = z - n. \) Then in \( G', \)

\[ E'(a, b) = \{e_1, ..., e_r\} \]
\[ E'(a, c) = \{f_1, ..., f_s\} \]
\[ E'(a, d) = \{g_1, ..., g_t\} \]
\[ E'(b, c) = \{h_1, ..., h_s'\} \]
\[ E'(b, d) = \{\ell_1, ..., \ell_s'\} \]
\[ E'(c, d) = \{m_1, ..., m_{s'}\} \]

and \((i)\) holds for \( G' \) and \( a \) with \( n = 0. \) Now

\[ E(G') = \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{x'} \{e_i f_i h_i\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{y'} \{e_i x' + i g_i \ell_i\} \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{z'} \{f_i x' + i g_y + i m_i\} \right). \] \hspace{1cm} \text{ (3)}

Since each set of three edges in \( (2) \) and \( (3) \) induces a triangle, \( G \) is \( K_3 \)-decomposable.

Conversely, suppose \( G \) is \( K_3 \)-decomposable into \( \alpha \) triangles induced by \( \{b, c, d\}, \) \( \beta \) triangles induced by \( \{a, c, d\}, \) \( \psi \) triangles induced by \( \{a, b, d\} \) and \( \delta \) triangles induced by \( \{a, b, c\}. \) Then

\[ \mu(a, b) = \psi + \delta \]
\[ \mu(a, c) = \beta + \delta \]
\[ \mu(a, d) = \beta + \psi \]
\[ \mu(b, c) = \alpha + \delta, \text{ hence } \delta = \mu(b, c) - \alpha \]
\[ \mu(b, d) = \alpha + \psi, \text{ hence } \psi = \mu(b, d) - \alpha \]
\[ \mu(c, d) = \alpha + \beta, \text{ hence } \beta = \mu(c, d) - \alpha \]
and thus

\[ \mu(a, b) = \mu(b, c) + \mu(b, d) - 2\alpha \]
\[ \mu(a, c) = \mu(b, c) + \mu(c, d) - 2\alpha \]
\[ \mu(a, d) = \mu(b, d) + \mu(c, d) - 2\alpha. \]

Since \( \beta, \psi, \delta \geq 0, \alpha \leq \min\{\mu(b, c), \mu(b, d), \mu(c, d)\} \). Therefore (i) holds for \( u = a \) and \( n = \alpha \). Similarly, (i) holds for \( b, c \) and \( d \) with \( n = \beta, \psi \) and \( \delta \), respectively.

Suppose (ii) holds with \( u = a \). If \( n' \) is even, let \( n' = 2n \). Then (i) holds and \( G \) is \( K_3 \)-decomposable. Hence assume \( n' \) is odd. Say \( n' = 2n + 1 \) and let

\[ G' = G - \{e_r, f_s, g_t, h_x, \ell_y, m_z\}. \]

Since \( n + 1 \leq \min\{x, y, z\}, n \leq \min\{x-1, y-1, z-1\} \). The equations \( r = x+y-2n-1, s = x+z-2n-1 \) and \( t = y+z-2n-1 \) in \( G' \) imply the equations \( r-1 = (x-1)+(y-1)-2n, s-1 = (x-1)+(z-1)-2n \) and \( t-1 = (y-1)+(z-1)-2n \) in \( G' \). Hence (i) holds for \( G' \) with \( u = a \), and \( G' \) is \( K_3 \)-decomposable.

Since \( \{e_r, f_s, g_t, h_x, \ell_y, m_z\} \) induces a \( K_4 \), which is rationally \( K_3 \)-decomposable into four triangles, each of weight \( \frac{1}{2} \), \( G \) is rationally \( K_3 \)-decomposable using only weights of 0, 1 and \( \frac{1}{2} \).

Conversely, say \( G \) is rationally \( K_3 \)-decomposable and consider such a decomposition of \( G \). For each edge \( e_j \in E(a, b) \), any triangle that contains \( e_j \) also contains one edge in \( E(b, c) \cup E(b, d) \). Since \( w(e_j) = 1 \),

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{x} w(e_j, h_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{y} w(e_j, \ell_i) = 1, \]

hence

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{x} w(ab, h_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{y} w(ab, \ell_i) = r. \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Similarly,

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{x} w(ac, h_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{z} w(ac, m_i) = s \]

and

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{y} w(ad, \ell_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{z} w(ad, m_i) = t. \]

Let \( \mathcal{T} \) be the set of all triangles that do not contain any edges incident with \( a \), that is, triangles of the form \( h_i\ell_jm_k, i = 1, ..., x, j = 1, ..., y, k = 1, ..., z \), and let \( \omega \) be the total weight of the triangles in \( \mathcal{T} \). Then \( \omega \leq \min\{x, y, z\} \). For any edge \( h_i \), any triangle that contains \( h_i \) but no edge in \( E(a, b) \) belongs to \( \mathcal{T} \). Hence \( \sum_{i=1}^{x} w(ab, h_i) + \omega = x \). Similarly, \( \sum_{i=1}^{y} w(ab, \ell_i) + \omega = y \). Substitution in (4) gives \( r = x + y - 2\omega \). Similarly, \( s = x + z - 2\omega \) and \( t = y + z - 2\omega \). Since \( r, x, y \) are integers, \( 2\omega \) is an integer, say \( 2\omega = n' \). Then (ii) holds for \( a \). As before, similar arguments show that (ii) also holds for \( b, c \) and \( d \).
As shown above, if (ii) holds, then $G$ is rationally $K_3$-decomposable using only weights of 0, 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$. This proves the last part of the theorem. ■

By taking $\mu(v_1, v_2) = 0$ in Theorem 10(ii), we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 11** Let $G$ be a multigraph whose underlying graph is $K_4 - e$. Say $V(G) = \{u, v, v_1, v_2\}$, where $u$ and $v$ correspond to the vertices of $K_4 - e$ of degree three. The following conditions are equivalent:

1. $G$ is rationally $K_3$-decomposable.
2. $G$ is $K_3$-decomposable.
3. $\mu(u, v) = \mu(v, v_1) + \mu(v, v_2)$, $\mu(u, v_1) = \mu(v, v_1)$ and $\mu(u, v_2) = \mu(v, v_2)$.

The final corollary now follows similar to Corollary 9.

**Corollary 12** If $G$ is a rationally $K_3$-decomposable multigraph whose underlying graph is $K_3$, $K_4$ or $K_4 - e$, then $|E(G)| \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

4 Open Questions

1. Does Theorem 7 hold for rationally $K_3$-decomposable planar multigraphs?
2. Can we characterize rationally $K_3$-decomposable planar multigraphs?
3. Can we characterize rationally $K_3$-decomposable outerplanar graphs or multigraphs?
4. What can we say about graphs embeddable on other surfaces?
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