Conformal perturbation theory confronts lattice results in the vicinity of a critical point
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We study the accuracy and predictive power of conformal perturbation theory by a comparison with lattice results in the neighborhood of the finite-temperature deconfinement transition of SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, assuming that the infrared properties of this non-Abelian gauge theory near criticality can be described by the Ising model. The results of this comparison show that conformal perturbation theory yields quantitatively accurate predictions in a broad temperature range. We discuss the implications of these findings for the description of the critical point (belonging to the same universality class) of another strongly coupled, nonsupersymmetric non-Abelian gauge theory: the critical end point in the phase diagram of QCD at finite temperature and finite quark chemical potential.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since the publication of a seminal article by Zamolodchikov [1], conformal perturbation theory (CPT) has proved a powerful analytical tool to describe statistical-mechanics models and quantum field theories in the vicinity of a critical point. While its original application was limited to two-dimensional models (see for example Refs. [2–6]), recently it has also been extended to theories defined in three dimensions [7,8], thanks to the recent developments in the calculation of Wilson coefficients using the conformal bootstrap approach [9,10].

In this work, we propose to use CPT to study the behavior of quantum chromodynamics (QCD) and other strongly coupled non-Abelian gauge theories near the critical points associated with a continuous phase transition in their phase diagram. The long-term goal of this approach is to derive theoretical predictions for the dynamics of strong interactions under the extreme conditions of temperature and density that are realized in heavy-ion collisions, for the values of center-of-mass energy and nuclear masses allowing one to probe the neighborhood of the critical end point appearing at finite temperature $T$ and quark chemical potential $\mu$ in the QCD phase diagram. That critical point is the end point of the line of first-order transitions separating the hadronic from the deconfined phase (see Refs. [11] for reviews). It is well known that such a line does not extend to the $\mu = 0$ axis, where the deconfining and chiral-symmetry restoring transition is actually a crossover. Hence, the first-order transition line is believed to terminate at an end point corresponding to a continuous phase transition, where the infrared behavior of the theory should be described by the critical exponents characteristic of an effective field theory compatible with the expected symmetry and dimension requirements, which is just the Ising model in three dimensions. In the past few years, the breakthrough based on the conformal bootstrap [12] allowed the analytical evaluation of critical exponents in the Ising model to an unprecedented level of precision [10,13], in some cases superior to the corresponding Monte Carlo estimates by 2 orders of magnitude.

The experimental search for the QCD critical end point, proposed 21 years ago [14], remains a very active line of research [15–19]. Meanwhile, however, an ab initio derivation of the existence and location of this critical end point is still missing [20]: this is mainly due to the fact that the tool of choice for theoretical studies of strong interactions in the regime probed in heavy-ion collisions, namely numerical calculations in the lattice regularization [21], is obstructed by a computational sign problem when the quark chemical potential is finite [22]. As a consequence, complementary theoretical approaches could provide valuable information.
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on the region of the phase diagram in the neighborhood of the critical end point. We argue that conformal perturbation theory could allow one to study the physics of strongly coupled QCD matter at values of temperature and net baryonic density lying along the “trajectories” (in the phase diagram) scanned in experiments, as long as such trajectories pass sufficiently close to the critical point.

It is important to understand how well conformal perturbation theory works at a quantitative level, i.e., how large is the range of parameters of the underlying microscopic theory (in this case, QCD), for which the resulting low-energy physics can be approximated well by the associated conformal model (in this case, the Ising model in three dimensions) at or near criticality.

To this purpose, in this work we present a detailed comparison of theoretical predictions from conformal perturbation theory with those derived numerically using lattice simulations. We do this for SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, a strongly coupled non-Abelian gauge theory in four spacetime dimensions exhibiting a continuous phase transition at a finite deconfinement temperature $T_c$, which is in the same universality class [23] as the one associated with the critical end point of QCD, namely the one of the Ising model in three dimensions. In contrast to the critical end point of QCD, however, the critical behavior at the deconfinement transition in finite-temperature SU(2) Yang-Mills theory can be studied numerically to very high precision, making this theory a useful proxy with which to test the quantitative accuracy of conformal-perturbation-theory predictions.

In particular, we focus our comparison on the two-point correlation function of Wilson lines winding around in the Euclidean-time direction: an important observable in lattice gauge theory, which, at $T = 0$, can be directly linked to the potential $V(r)$ of a pair of static color sources a distance $r$ apart from each other, and, as a consequence, to the spectrum of heavy-quark bound states [24] [for a classic study of this quantity in SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, see Ref. [25]].

Presently, much analytical information is known about the behavior of the potential derived from this correlator in nonsupersymmetric non-Abelian gauge theories: at short distances, asymptotic freedom implies that its dominant contribution is a Coulomb term, arising from one-gluon exchange, and the separation between the momentum and mass scales allows one to organize the different terms appearing in perturbative calculations in a systematic way [26]. Conversely, the long-distance physics is dominated by nonperturbative features, resulting in a linearly rising potential $V(r)$ at asymptotically large $r$: assuming the formation of a confining flux tube, with energy per unit length $\sigma$ (the “string tension”), it is then possible to show that its dominant excitations in the infrared limit are described by massless bosonic oscillations in the transverse direction, that yield a characteristic $1/r$ correction to $V(r)$ [27]. This picture can be described by a low-energy effective theory, associated with the spontaneous breaking of translational and rotational symmetries in the presence of a confining string [28]: the requirement of a nonlinear realization of Lorentz-Poincaré invariance poses very tight constraints on the terms of this effective theory, making it highly predictive [28,29]; for a recent, comprehensive review, including a discussion of lattice results, see Ref. [30].

The situation in finite-temperature QCD is more subtle, due to the presence of the additional energy scale defined by the temperature $T$ [31] and to the nontrivial role of infrared divergences [32], with screening and damping effects. The modern, proper definition of the potential between heavy color sources has a real and an imaginary part, which can be reconstructed from a spectral-function analysis of thermal Wilson loops [33]. Nevertheless, the Euclidean correlator of Polyakov lines (and $-T$ times its logarithm, which, for simplicity, we still denote as $V$) still encodes interesting information about the thermal behavior of the theory. In the confining phase, the long-distance properties of this correlator can be accurately modeled assuming that the flux tube joining the color sources oscillates with a Euclidean action proportional to the area it spans, i.e., that in the infrared limit the dynamics of the theory is described by a low-energy effective action equal to the Nambu-Gotō action [34]. At intermediate quark-antiquark distances $r$, however, deviations from the ideal picture of a Nambu-Gotō string do show up, as well as corrections induced by the finite temperature [35]. In fact, it has been known for a long time that the Polyakov-loop operator captures much of the dynamics of Yang-Mills theory close to the deconfinement temperature (see, for example, Ref. [36]).

The purpose of this work is to study numerically, by Monte Carlo simulations on the lattice, the two-point correlation function of Polyakov lines in SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, at temperatures in the vicinity of its second-order deconfinement transition, and to compare the simulation results with analytical calculations in conformal perturbation theory. As will be discussed below, the main findings of this work are

(i) The results obtained from conformal perturbation theory are in very good agreement with those from lattice simulations in a rather wide temperature interval; i.e., conformal perturbation theory provides reliable predictions in a rather large neighborhood of the conformal point.

(ii) Conformal perturbation theory predicts the Polyakov-loop correlator to be described by an operator-product expansion (OPE) with different coefficients above and below the critical temperature $T_c$; the ratio of these coefficients is fixed by the universality class of the conformal model and can be predicted in conformal field theory. The numerical values of the
coefficients extracted from our lattice simulations of the SU(2) Yang-Mills theory above and below $T_c$ are such that their ratio agrees with the value predicted by conformal theory for the Ising universality class.

This article is organized as follows. In Sec. II we briefly review the main features of conformal perturbation theory, focusing on the formulas relevant for this work. Section III presents the setup and results of our lattice simulation of SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, as well as their comparison with the predictions of conformal perturbation theory. Finally, Sec. IV includes a detailed discussion about the applicability of conformal perturbation theory to the study of the critical end point of QCD and some concluding remarks. Preliminary results of this work have been reported in Ref. [37].

II. CONFORMAL PERTURBATION THEORY

Conformal perturbation theory is a mathematical tool to work out an expansion for the short-distance behavior of correlation functions of quantum field theories, in the vicinity of a conformally invariant critical point. In particular, following Ref. [3], CPT can be seen as a way to derive the coefficients of the Wilson operator-product expansion [38] that is induced when a conformally invariant theory is perturbed by a relevant operator. The method deals with short-distance divergences in a standard fashion and is self-consistent in the long-distance limit, where it yields finite results: this is a clear advantage over more conventional expansions, say, in powers of the mass perturbing the conformal theory, which are often plagued by infrared divergences.

Technically, the key aspect of CPT expansions is that, by construction, they clearly separate the nonperturbative features of the theory (i.e., the vacuum expectation values of different operators) from those that can be computed perturbatively (i.e., the Wilson coefficients). Another important feature of CPT is that it only requires the knowledge of limited information characterizing the theory [3,5]: this includes universal (like the critical indices) as well as nonuniversal data (like critical amplitudes of one-point functions, which can be obtained using off-critical methods, such as strong- or weak-coupling expansions, or numerical simulations).

The calculation of off-critical correlators by means of CPT has greatly benefited from the recent progress in the determination of universal quantities by the conformal-bootstrap method (see Ref. [39] for a recent review): in particular, accurate predictions have been worked out for the perturbations of conformal models in the universality class of the three-dimensional Ising model [7,8].

CPT predictions for this universality class can be directly tested against lattice results in the vicinity of the critical point associated with the finite-temperature deconfining phase transition in purely gluonic Yang-Mills theory with an SU(2) gauge group. In this case the correspondence between the degrees of freedom (d.o.f.) of the gauge theory and those of the spin model is clear [23]: $n$-point correlation functions of thermal Wilson lines (or Polyakov loops) are mapped to $n$-point correlators of spin d.o.f. In particular, in this work we are interested in the behavior of the two-point correlator of Polyakov loops in the gauge theory, which, denoting the spin d.o.f. by $σ$, is mapped to the $⟨σ(r)σ(0)⟩$ correlator in the Ising model.

The CPT analysis for the three-dimensional Ising model is straightforward. This model is characterized by two relevant operators, namely the energy density $ε$ and the magnetization (the one-point correlation function of the spin $σ$); the dimensions of these operators have been recently computed and are $Δ_{ε} = 1.412625(10)$ and $Δ_{σ} = 0.5181489(10)$ [9,10]. The action of the perturbed model is defined as

\[ S = S_{\text{CFT}} + t \int d^3x ε(x), \]

where $S_{\text{CFT}}$ denotes the action at the critical point, and the parameter $t$ is related to the deviation from the critical temperature of the model. For the noncritical theory at finite $t$, the behavior of the two-point correlation function of operators $O_i$ and $O_j$ at short separation $r$ can be expressed in terms of the Wilson coefficients $C_{ijk}$ appearing in the expansion:

\[ ⟨O_i(r)O_j(0)⟩ = \sum_k C_{ijk}(r,t)⟨O_k⟩_t. \]

The Wilson coefficients can be expanded in Taylor series in $t$,

\[ C_{ijk}(r,t) = \sum_{n=0}^{∞} \frac{t^n}{n!} \frac{∂^n C_{ijk}}{∂r^n}. \]

The partial derivatives appearing on the right-hand side of this equation are not divergent at large $r$. Defining $Δ_r = 3 − Δ_σ$ and writing the one-point correlation functions for the energy density and magnetization at finite $t$ as

\[ ⟨ε⟩_t = A^{±}|t|^{Δ_{ε}}, \quad ⟨σ⟩_t = B_{σ}|t|^{Δ_{σ}} \]

(see also Ref. [40]), the leading terms in the conformal perturbative expansion of the $⟨σ(r)σ(0)⟩_t$ correlator are

\[ ⟨σ(r)σ(0)⟩_t = C_{σσ1}(0,r) + C_{σσ}(0,r)A^{±}|t|^{Δ_{σ}} + t∂_rC_{σσ1}(0,r) + \ldots, \]

where $I$ is the identity operator, while $C_{σσ1}(0,r) = r^{−2Δ_r}$ and $C_{σσ}(0,r) = r^{Δ_{σ}−2Δ_r}$ denote the Wilson coefficients evaluated at the critical point. Finally, the partial derivative of $C_{σσ1}$ appearing in Eq. (5) can be written as
\[ \partial_t C_{a\sigma t}(0, r) = k_{a\sigma t} r^{\Delta_\sigma - 2\Delta_c} c_{a\sigma c}. \]  

(6)

with \( k_{a\sigma t} \approx -62.5336 \): as discussed in detail in the part of text between Eqs. (6) and (7) of Ref. [8], \( \partial_t C_{a\sigma t}(0, r) \) can be computed by a Mellin transform and reduced to a combination of Euler integrals of the second kind, which are functions of \( \Delta_\sigma \). Note that Eq. (5) defines the nonuniversal correlation function.

Introducing the combination

\[ s = r^{\Delta_c} t, \]

(7)

Eq. (5) can be rewritten as

\[ r^{\Delta_\sigma} \langle \sigma(r)\sigma(0) \rangle_t = 1 + c_{a\sigma c} A^\pm |s|^{\Delta_c} + k_{a\sigma t} c_{a\sigma c} s + \ldots \]  

(8)

In order to compare this analytical prediction from CPT with the numerical results from Monte Carlo simulations of SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, we have to fix some nonuniversal quantities. These include the following:

(i) The normalization of the Polyakov loop, i.e., a proportionality factor relating the \( \sigma \) spin expectation value in the Ising model, and the Polyakov loop \( P \) of SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, evaluated on the lattice. It should be noted that the latter quantity is a bare one, which would tend to zero in the continuum limit [41]. As a consequence, the proportionality factor relating \( \sigma \) and \( P \) is a function of the lattice spacing of the Yang-Mills theory \( a \) or, equivalently, of the Wilson parameter \( \beta = 4/g^2 \), where \( g \) denotes the bare lattice coupling. In this work, we fix this normalization by matching the two-point correlation function of Polyakov loops at the critical point to the corresponding spin-spin correlator in the critical Ising model.

(ii) Identifying \( r \) with the spatial separation \( R \) between the Polyakov lines, we reabsorb all nonuniversal factors into the definition of the perturbation coefficient \( t \) in the spin model. This quantity is related to the perturbing parameter of the SU(2) lattice gauge theory, which is \( \beta - \beta_c(N_t) \), where \( \beta_c(N_t) \) is the value of the Wilson parameter (or of the bare gauge coupling) corresponding to a lattice spacing \( a \) such that \( aN_t \) is the inverse of the critical deconfinement temperature in natural units. Note that the \( \beta - \beta_c(N_t) \) difference controls the deviation of the temperature from its critical value. To fix the nonuniversal relation between \( t \) and \( \beta - \beta_c(N_t) \), we take advantage of the universality of the last term on the right-hand side of Eq. (8), by fitting our results for the correlator as a function of \( r \), and using the result to fix the relation between \( t \) and \( \beta - \beta_c(N_t) \).

(iii) The amplitudes \( A^\pm \) can be determined using the second term in the expansion above. The numerical value of these amplitudes is one of the nontrivial results of our analysis; the \( A^+ / A^- \) ratio is universal, and this expectation provides a useful check of the self-consistency and robustness of the whole analysis.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR SU(2) YANG-MILLS THEORY

In order to test the predictions discussed in the previous section, we studied the behavior of the Polyakov loop correlators in the vicinity of the deconfinement transition of the \( 3 + 1 \)-dimensional SU(2) Yang-Mills theory. In the following Sec. III A, we define the setup of our lattice regularization of this theory; then, we present our numerical results, comparing them with CPT predictions in Sec. III B, and discussing their uncertainties in Sec. III C.

#### A. Setup of the lattice calculation

We regularize the theory on a finite hypercubic lattice of spacing \( a \) and sizes \( aN_t \) in the \( \tilde{O} \) (“Euclidean-time”) direction and \( L = aN_x \) in the three other (“spatial”) directions, labeled as \( 1, 2, \) and \( 3 \). We always take \( aN_t \gg aN_x \).

The fundamental d.o.f. of the lattice theory are matrices \( U_\mu(x) \), taking values in the defining representation of the SU(2) group, and associated with parallel transporters between neighboring sites \( x \) and \( x + a\hat{\mu} \). Periodic boundary conditions are assumed in all directions. The dynamics of the theory is defined by the Wilson action [21]

\[ S_w = -\frac{2}{g^2} \sum_{x} \sum_{0 \leq \mu < \nu \leq 3} \text{Tr} U_{\mu\nu}(x) \]

(9)

where \( U_{\mu\nu}(x) = U_\mu(x)U_\nu(x + a\hat{\mu})U_\nu^\dagger(x + a\hat{\nu})U_\mu^\dagger(x) \) is a plaquette having the site \( x \) as a corner and lying in the oriented \( (\mu, \nu) \) plane, and \( g^2 \) is the squared bare coupling; we also introduce the parameter \( \beta = 4/g^2 \).

The temperature \( T \) is related to the extent of the shortest compact size of the lattice as \( aN_t = 1/T \); as a consequence, \( T \) can be varied by changing \( N_t, a, \) or both. The physical value of the lattice spacing \( a \) is set nonperturbatively, as discussed in Ref. [42], and is controlled by the parameter \( \beta \).

We express our results in terms of the deconfinement temperature \( T_c \), using the value for the ratio of \( T_c \) over the square root of the zero-temperature string tension \( T_c / \sqrt{\sigma} = 0.7091(36) \), which was reported in Ref. [43].

The Polyakov loop at a spatial coordinate \( \vec{x} \) is defined as the trace of the closed Wilson line in the \( \tilde{O} \) direction:

\[ P(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \prod_{\mu=0}^{N_t} U_\mu(ta, \vec{x}). \]

(10)

The two-point correlation function of Polyakov loops is then defined as

\[ G(R) = \left\langle \frac{1}{N_x^2} \sum_{\vec{x} \vec{y}} P(\vec{x}) P(\vec{x} + R \hat{k}) \right\rangle. \]

(11)
where \( \hat{k} \) denotes one of the three “spatial” directions, the sum is over all spatial coordinates \( \vec{x} \), while the \( \langle ... \rangle \) average is taken over all values of all of the \( U_\mu(x) \) variables, with a measure that is proportional to the product of the Haar measures of all \( U_\mu(x) \) matrices and to \( \exp(-S_w) \), and normalized in such a way that the expectation value of the identity operator is 1.

We remark that, like Eq. (5), Eq. (11) defines the nonconnected (i.e., full) correlator, in which the square of the average value of the Polyakov loop (which, in the thermodynamic limit, is nonzero in the deconfined phase) is not subtracted. The reason for this choice is that we are going to compare the lattice results for this correlator with the analogous correlator in conformal perturbation theory, where the correlation function of interest is the nonconnected one [2–6]. The fact that the CPT formalism deals with the nonconnected correlators (i.e., does not encode any information on long-wavelength physics, including a possibly nonvanishing vacuum expectation value of the field) is unsurprising, given that it is ultimately formulated in terms of a particular type of operator-product expansion, which is expected to capture the behavior of physical correlators at short distances only. Accordingly, as will be discussed below (see also the values reported in Table III), we will restrict our fit ranges to distances shorter than the characteristic correlation length of the theory at that temperature.

One may wonder whether it might be possible to carry out a meaningful fit to CPT using the connected correlator, too. The answer is no: the reason is that, as discussed in Sec. II, the nontrivial information from CPT is expressed in terms of a nontrivial combination of the variables that describe the temperature and the distance [see Eqs. (7) and (8)], whereas the quantity that is subtracted from the full correlator to obtain the connected one, i.e., the square of the average value of the Polyakov loop, is, by definition, \( R \) independent, but temperature dependent.

Finally, it is also worth noting that, by contrast, the fact that the lattice correlator defined in Eq. (11) is a bare one does not hinder the possibility of a comparison with CPT predictions, thanks to the fact that the Polyakov loop undergoes a purely multiplicative renormalization [41].

In the confining phase (that is, for \( T < T_c \)) we fit our numerical results for \( G(R) \) to the functional form

\[
G(R) = A \left\{ \frac{\exp \left( - \frac{R}{\xi} \right)}{R} + \frac{\exp \left[ - \left( \frac{L - R}{\xi} \right) \right]}{L - R} \right\},
\]

with \( \xi \) (which is the largest correlation length of the theory) and \( A \) (which is an overall amplitude, with no direct physical meaning) as fitting parameters. Note that the second summand on the right-hand side of Eq. (12) accounts for the effect of the closest periodic copy of the Polyakov line on the hypertorus; we neglect the effect of other periodic copies [at distances \( L, \sqrt{L^2 + R^2} \), \( \sqrt{R^2 + 2L(L - R)}, \ldots \)] as well as corrections due to higher-energy states.

As expected in the presence of a continuous phase transition, \( \xi \to \infty \) for \( T \to T_c \). More precisely, in the proximity of the critical point, \( \xi \) diverges like \( |(T - T_c)/T_c|^\nu \) (which defines the hyperscaling exponent \( \nu \)), with two different amplitudes, respectively denoted as \( \xi_0^+ \) and as \( \xi_0^- \), for \( T > T_c \) and \( T < T_c \). While these amplitudes are not universal, their ratio is, and for the universality class of the Ising model, that ratio was evaluated to be \( \xi_0^+ / \xi_0^- = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\beta}} \approx 1.95(2) \) in Refs. [44]. This allows one to obtain an estimate of the typical correlation length also in the deconfined phase (at least for temperatures not very far from \( T_c \)). The characteristic correlation length estimated this way provides one with an upper bound for the range of distances over which the numerical results from lattice simulations of the SU(2) Yang-Mills theory can be compared with the analytical predictions from conformal perturbation theory: in all of the fits that we carried out, we always restricted our comparison of the \( G(R) \) correlator with CPT predictions to distances not larger than a maximum Polyakov-loop separation \( R_{\text{max}} \), with \( R_{\text{max}} \ll \xi \). At the same time, the shortest \( R \) distances probed in the fits are always larger than a few units of the lattice spacing \( a \). The double constraint

| \( N_t \times N_s^2 \) | \( \beta \) | \( T/T_c \) | \( n_{\text{conf}} \) | \( \xi/a \) |
|-----------------|-------|-----------|-------------|---------|
| \( 8 \times 80^3 \) | 2.48479 | 0.90 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | 9.24(3) |
| 2.50311 | 0.96 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | 23.3(2) |
| 2.50598 | 0.97 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | 43.3(4) |
| 2.51165 | 1 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | ~85 |
| 2.52295 | 1.02 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | ~45 |
| 2.52567 | 1.05 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | ~18 |
| 2.54189 | 1.10 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | 11.7(8) |
| \( 10 \times 80^3 \) | 2.55 | 0.90 | \( 10^5 \) | 29.4(3) |
| 2.569 | 0.96 | \( 10^5 \) | 42.9(4) |
| 2.572 | 0.97 | \( 10^5 \) | | |
| 2.58101 | 1 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | | |
| 2.58984 | 1.02 | \( 1.6 \times 10^5 \) | ~85 |
| 2.59271 | 1.05 | \( 1.6 \times 10^5 \) | ~55 |
| 2.61 | 1.10 | \( 1.6 \times 10^5 \) | ~23 |
| \( 12 \times 96^3 \) | 2.60573 | 0.90 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | 12.89(15) |
| 2.626 | 0.96 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | 34.8(4) |
| 2.62923 | 0.97 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | 41.3(3) |
| 2.63896 | 1 | \( 8 \times 10^4 \) | | |
| 2.64558 | 1.02 | \( 1.6 \times 10^5 \) | ~81 |
| 2.65541 | 1.05 | \( 1.6 \times 10^5 \) | ~65 |
| 2.67085 | 1.10 | \( 1.6 \times 10^5 \) | ~25 |
a \ll R \ll \xi$ enforces the hierarchy of scales, making a sensible comparison between lattice results and CPT predictions possible.

Table I summarizes the parameters of the Monte Carlo simulations carried out in the present work.

B. Comparison with CPT predictions

We analyzed our lattice results for the Polyakov-loop correlators in SU(2) Yang-Mills theory as follows:

(1) First, we fixed the normalization constant for the Polyakov loops by matching the value of $G(R)$ at the critical temperature $T = T_c$, to the corresponding quantity in the Ising model at criticality, i.e., the spin-spin correlator.

(2) Then, we fitted the numerical value of the correlator to Eq. (8), as a function of $R$, keeping the coefficients of the second and third term on the right-hand side of that equation as the parameters to be fitted.

(3) Finally, we used our best estimates for these coefficients to fix the remaining quantities and studied how they depend on the temperature $T$.

For the first of these steps, Fig. 1 shows an example of our results for the $G(R)$ correlator at the critical temperature: the lattice data confirm the expected power-law behavior (revealing itself as a straight line in the plot with logarithmic axes) and the presence of significant finite-size effects for the points at the largest values of $R$.

Thus, we fit the correlator at criticality to the form

$$G(R) = \frac{C_p^2}{R^{2\xi}}$$

for different ranges of values of the Polyakov-loop separation $R_{\text{min}} \leq R \leq R_{\text{max}}$. In order to avoid excessive contamination from lattice discretization artifacts (on the

![FIG. 1. Logarithmic plot of our results for the two-point correlation function of Polyakov loops $G(R)$ in the SU(2) gauge theory at the deconfinement temperature, as a function of the distance $R$.](image)

| $R_{\text{min}}/a$ | $R_{\text{max}}/a$ | $C_p^2$ | $\chi^2_{\text{red}}$ |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------|-------------------|
| 4                 | 12                | 0.005463(12) | 0.25             |
| 4                 | 20                | 0.005477(15) | 0.40             |
| 4                 | 30                | 0.005492(19) | 0.66             |
| 4                 | 40                | 0.005513(31) | 1.96             |

Table II. Results of our fits of the Polyakov-loop correlator $G(R)$ at $N_f = 10$, $N_s = 80$ and $\beta = 2.58101$, corresponding to $T = T_c$, to Eq. (13). The results for $C_p^2$, shown in the third column, are obtained from fits for $R_{\text{min}} \leq R \leq R_{\text{max}}$ (first two columns); the values of the reduced $\chi^2$ are listed in the last column.

For the analysis of the Polyakov loop correlators $G(R)$ at $T \neq T_c$, we fitted the results of the correlator to the functional form

$$G(R) = \frac{C_p^2}{R^{2\xi}} (1 + c_1 R^{\Delta_\epsilon} + c_2 R^{\Delta_t})$$

where the exponents $\Delta_\epsilon$, $\Delta_t$ and $\Delta_c$ are those discussed in Sec. II, while $c_1$ and $c_2$ are the free parameters. The results of this analysis are reported in Table III and shown in Fig. 2.

![TABLE III. Example of results of the fits of the correlator $G(R)$ to Eq. (14), obtained from simulations on lattices with $N_f = 10$ at different values of $\beta = 4/g^2$ (first column), corresponding to the temperatures reported in the second column, in the range $4a \leq R \leq R_{\text{max}}$, and for the values of $R_{\text{max}}$ shown in the third column. In the fourth column, we display our estimates for the correlation lengths in units of the lattice spacing, while the fitted parameters $c_1$ and $c_2$ are listed in the fifth and in the sixth column, respectively. Note that, as discussed in the text, at each temperature, the largest distances at which the correlators are fitted (shown in the third column) are always chosen to be shorter, or much shorter, than the corresponding correlation lengths reported in the fourth column.](image)

| $\beta$ | $T/T_c$ | $R_{\text{max}}/a$ | $\xi/a$ | $c_1$ | $c_2$ |
|---------|---------|-------------------|--------|-------|-------|
| 2.55    | 0.90    | [7–8]             | 11.72(8) | -0.169(1) | 0.099(1) |
| 2.569   | 0.96    | [11–14]           | 29.4(3)  | -0.067(2) | 0.037(1) |
| 2.572   | 0.97    | [12–21]           | 42.9(4)  | -0.048(3) | 0.026(2) |
| 2.58984 | 1.02    | [18–25]           | ~85     | 0.067(2)  | -0.019(1) |
| 2.59271 | 1.05    | [13–19]           | ~55     | 0.091(2)  | -0.0256(15) |
| 2.61    | 1.10    | [8–9]             | ~23     | 0.221(3)  | -0.081(3) |
FIG. 2. Example of results for the Polyakov-loop two-point correlation function (in logarithmic scale), plotted against the spatial separation $R$ (in linear scale), for different temperatures $T$ off $T_c$. These results were obtained from simulations on lattices with $N_t = 10$ lattice spacings in the Euclidean-time direction. The inset (in which both axes are in a linear scale) shows an enlargement of the region where the correlators at $T > T_c$ were fitted to the CPT prediction, Eq. (14), as discussed in the text.

where the inset shows a zoom onto the smaller range of distances, where the results at $T > T_c$ are fitted.

Next, we investigated the relation between the perturbing parameter $t$ and the difference $\beta - \beta_c(N_t)$ using the following relation:

$$c_2 = k_{\sigma 1} \cdot C_{\sigma \alpha} \cdot t.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Using the values for $k_{\sigma 1} \approx -62.5336$ and for $C_{\sigma \alpha} = 1.0518537(41)$ reported in Ref. [8] and in Refs. [9,10], respectively, the analysis of the dataset corresponding to $N_t = 10$ yields the values for $t$ reported in Table IV.

The table also reports the values of $\Delta \beta = [\beta - \beta_c(N_t)]/2$, which for the SU(2) lattice gauge theory is the perturbing parameter with respect to the plaquette operator $\sum_x \sum_{0 \leq l < 3} \text{Tr} U_{\sigma \alpha}(x)$. As expected, $t$ has a negative sign in the deconfined phase, where the center symmetry is broken, and a positive sign in the confining, $Z_2$-symmetric phase. The magnitude of these values of $t$ is similar to those studied in Ref. [8], for which conformal perturbation theory was found to give reliable predictions, which leads us to expect that this should also be the case here.

We note that, interestingly, $t$ is almost exactly proportional to $\Delta \beta$: this means that, in the neighborhood of the critical temperature, the energy operator of the three-dimensional Ising model encodes the dynamics of the Euclidean-action density operator of the four-dimensional SU(2) gauge theory in a quantitatively accurate way.

By fixing $t$, it is possible to derive the values of the amplitudes $A^+$ (in the confining phase, i.e., for $T < T_c$) and $A^-$ (at $T > T_c$) from the relation

$$A^\pm = \mp \frac{c_1}{C_{\sigma \alpha}} |t|^{\Delta \beta}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The determination of these amplitudes allows for a nontrivial test of the validity of this CPT analysis: in particular, $A^+$ should be constant and negative in the confining phase, while in the deconfined phase the amplitude $A^-$ should be constant and positive. Furthermore, the $A^+/A^-$ ratio should be universal and is predicted to be $A^+/A^- = -0.536(2)$ [45].

Our results for $A^\pm$ are reported in Table V: in the confining phase, the values for $A^+$ are indeed compatible with a constant [that we estimate as $A^+ = -50(2)$], while in the deconfined phase the values are slightly less stable, with a quantitatively significant deviation at the largest temperature. This may indicate that the largest temperature that we investigated is close to the limit where our leading-order conformal-perturbation-theory analysis breaks down. We remark that, as our fits to CPT were limited to spatial separations shorter (or much shorter) than the correlation length at that temperature, this slight instability of the fits in the deconfined phase is not simply interpretable as an effect caused by the fact that our fits do not include an $R$-independent term. Rather, it may be a numerical artifact induced by the fact that, in the deconfined phase, as the temperature is increased to values larger and larger than $T_c$, the correlation length decreases, and, as a

| $\beta$  | $T/T_c$ | $A^+$  | $A^-$  |
|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| 2.55   | 0.90   | -52.6(6) |       |
| 2.569  | 0.96   | -50(2)  |       |
| 2.572  | 0.97   | -49(5)  |       |
| 2.58984 | 1.02   | 91(6)   |       |
| 2.59271 | 1.05   | 94(5)   |       |
| 2.61   | 1.10   | 82(3)   |       |

TABLE V. Results for the amplitude $A^+$ in the confining phase (first three rows) and for $A^-$ in the deconfining phase (last three rows), for the values of inverse coupling $\beta$ and of the temperature $T$ reported in the first two columns, as discussed in the text.
consequence, the fitting range in $R$ (whose upper limit is bound to be shorter than the correlation length) reduces to only a few points.

We estimate the amplitude in the deconfined phase to be $A^- = 89(6)$. Accordingly, we obtain the numerical value $A^+ / A^- = -0.56(4)$, which is compatible with the one computed in Ref. [45].

### C. Systematic uncertainties

We conclude this section with a few comments on the uncertainties involved in our analysis.

In order to test the impact of finite-volume effects, we repeated a subset of our calculations also on lattices at a larger value of $N_s$ (the extent of the system in each of the spatial directions), namely $N_s = 96$. In all cases, we found that the results obtained from simulations with $N_s = 96$ were compatible with those at $N_s = 80$ within their uncertainties.\(^1\)

While in this work we have not carried out a systematic study of the continuum limit, we remark that, in addition to the results discussed here (from the analysis of data obtained at $N_s = 10$), we also repeated the analysis for those at $N_s = 8$ and at $N_s = 12$, finding the same qualitative picture.

One may wonder why conformal perturbation theory describes the dynamics of this gauge theory so well. The main reason is that, for the underlying conformal theory that is involved in this case (i.e., the one describing the Ising model in three dimensions at criticality), the conformal weights of the terms included in the expansion in Eq. (8) and the subleading terms, that are neglected, are separated by a finite (and sufficiently large) gap. More precisely, the terms appearing in the parentheses on the right-hand side of Eq. (8), besides the constant, have exponents (for $t$) which are approximately equal to 0.6 and 1.2, while the first subleading correction, that is neglected in Eq. (8), scales at least quadratically in $t$; see Eq. (5) of Ref. [7]. In turn, this feature of the conformal spectrum for the three-dimensional Ising universality class is due to the intrinsic simplicity of the operator content of the model.

Our results show that conformal perturbation theory works well in a wide neighborhood of the critical point, and at least for temperatures down to $T / T_c = 0.90$. While in principle it would be possible to test the CPT predictions at even lower temperatures, this would require significantly finer lattices (and, as a consequence, computationally much more demanding simulations), in order to keep the physical correlation length well separated from the lattice spacing.

---

**IV. PROPOSED EXTENSION FOR THE STUDY OF THE QCD CRITICAL POINT AND CONCLUDING REMARKS**

In this work we presented a numerical test of conformal perturbation theory as a tool to predict the behavior of strongly interacting gauge theories in the proximity of a critical point.

Specifically, we focused on SU(2) Yang-Mills theory at finite temperature $T$: this theory, which can be studied to high precision by numerical calculations on the lattice, has a second-order deconfinement phase transition at a finite temperature $T_c$, which is in the universality class of the three-dimensional Ising model. Accordingly, correlation functions in the gauge theory at criticality are mapped to those in the spin model, and universality arguments imply a set of interesting predictions for the behavior of the gauge theory at $T = T_c$. Conformal perturbation theory extends these predictions from the critical point to a whole finite neighborhood: as we discussed in detail in Sec. III, the physical correlation functions of the strongly coupled gauge theory near the critical point can be successfully described by means of the corresponding truncated conformal perturbation theory expansions, such as Eq. (8). The approximation involved in the truncation is robust, as long as the terms that are neglected are sufficiently suppressed. For the universality class of the Ising model in three dimensions, this is indeed the case, and conformal perturbation theory successfully predicts the behavior of the gauge theory in a large interval of temperatures.\(^2\)

This successful test of conformal perturbation theory opens up the possibility of interesting generalizations. Of particular relevance is the one for the critical end point in the phase diagram of QCD, which, if it exists, is expected to be in the universality class of the Ising model in three dimensions.

On the theoretical side, it should be emphasized that the origin of the critical point in the QCD phase diagram is totally different from the one in the purely gluonic SU(2) Yang-Mills theory, with $N = 2$ color charges, that we considered here. As we discussed above, the latter is the critical point associated with a phase transition taking place at finite temperature and at zero quark chemical potential $\mu$. The absence of dynamical fields in representations of nonvanishing $N$-ality implies that, at the classical level, the theory has an exact $Z_2$ global symmetry, associated with the center of the gauge group. The thermal deconfinement phase transition is then interpreted as the breakdown of this symmetry, taking place at a finite temperature $T_c$, which separates the

---

\(^1\)We also observed that, on smaller lattices with $N_s = 64$, some deviations start to be visible, at least for temperatures sufficiently close to $T_c$.

\(^2\)Note that this approach does not rely on the existence of a whole line of critical points. For a discussion of the predictivity of conformal field theory for models with one or more critical lines, see for example Ref. [46] and the bibliography therein.
phases to be separated by a second-order phase transition in the this scenario [55].

Although the restoration of the axial U(1) symmetry could change although the quark condensate vanishes at a symmetry of the QCD Lagrangian either. As a consequence, even though the quark condensate vanishes at a finite temperature and for finite chemical potential is expected to reveal interesting novel phases [53], and model calculations suggest the presence of a line of first-order transitions separating the hadronic phase from the quark-gluon plasma phase. If that line exists, then it should turn into the crossover band at a critical end point\(^3\) at finite values of \(T\) and \(\mu\), at which the long-distance physics is, again, expected to be described in terms of a conformal field theory in the universality class of the liquid-gas phase transition, i.e., in the universality class of the three-dimensional Ising model [56] (see also Ref. [57]). Recent works in this direction include Refs. [58].

The discussion above clarifies that, although the symmetries of SU(2) Yang-Mills theory at finite temperature (and vanishing chemical potential) and those of QCD with dynamical quarks of physical masses at finite temperature and finite chemical potential are remarkably different, their critical behavior at the deconfinement phase transition and at the QCD critical end point are remarkably described by the same universality class, i.e., their static, long-range properties are expected to be those characteristic of the Ising model in three dimensions.

We already mentioned that the critical point of the QCD phase diagram is inaccessible to theoretical first-principle methods: it lies in a region which is far from the domain of applicability of perturbative computations, and out of reach for lattice calculations, due to the presence of the sign problem [22]. The nature of the latter is more profound than what one could naively imagine for a purely computational problem [59], making it unlikely that this fundamental obstruction to explore the QCD phase diagram could be overcome by a sheer increase in computing power, at least for classical computers.\(^4\)

The location of the critical point in the QCD phase diagram, however, can be studied experimentally. From this point of view, the analysis of net-proton and net-kaon multiplicity distributions observed in heavy-ion collision experiments remains a key tool: for example, in Refs. [16,18] the STAR Collaboration presented results on the dependence of the mean, standard deviation, skewness and kurtosis of such distributions on the beam energy and centrality, and, in fact, a primary goal of the BES program at RHIC is to look for the first-order transition line and the QCD critical point [61].

If the location of the QCD critical point were determined to sufficient precision, then it would be possible to formulate theoretical predictions in its neighborhood using conformal perturbation theory, much like we did in the present work. This will require an identification of the “directions” in the QCD phase diagram that correspond to different types of perturbations of the three-dimensional Ising model, and this will then allow one to derive a whole class of phenomenological predictions (in particular for particle distributions, correlators, and pion interferometry) of direct relevance for experiments. Working out analytical predictions for the strong nuclear interaction in a highly nonperturbative regime by means of conformal perturbation theory remains an exciting prospect for the future.
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\(^3\)If the phase diagram is extended by the inclusion of a third axis, to study the dependence on the mass of the two light quark flavors \(m\), then for \(m = 0\) at zero and small \(\mu\) one expects the two phases to be separated by a second-order phase transition in the universality class of the O(4) spin model in three dimensions [54], although the restoration of the axial U(1) symmetry could change this scenario [55].

\(^4\)In principle, new developments in quantum computing might have the potential to tackle the sign problem [60].
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