Supernova Legacy Survey: using spectral signatures to improve Type Ia supernovae as distance indicators

E. S. Walker,1,2,3⋆ I. M. Hook,1,4 M. Sullivan,1 D. A. Howell,5,6 P. Astier,7 C. Balland,8,9 S. Basa,9 T. J. Brondor,10 R. Carlberg,11 A. Conley,12 D. Fouchez,13 J. Guy,7 D. Hardin,7 R. Pain,7 K. Perrett,11,14 C. Pritchet,15 N. Regnault,7 J. Rich,16 G. Aldering,17 H. K. Fakhouri,17 T. Kronborg,7 N. Palanque-Delabrouille,16 S. Perlmutter,17 V. Ruhlmann-Kleider16 and T. Zhang9

1 University of Oxford, Astrophysics, Denys Wilkinson Building, Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3RH
2 INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Trieste, via Tiepolo 11, 34143 Trieste, Italy
3 Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, Piazza dei Cavalieri 7, 56126 Pisa, Italy
4 INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Roma, via di Fragscati 33, 00040 Monteporzio Catone, Italy
5 Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network, 6740 Cortona Dr., Suite 102, Goleta, CA 93117, USA
6 Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Broida Hall, Mail Code 9530, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9530, USA
7 LPNHE, Université Pierre et Marie Curie Paris 6, Université Paris Diderot Paris 7, CNRS-IN2P3, 4 place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France
8 University of Paris 11, 91405 Orsay, France
9 LAM, CNRS, BP8, Pôle de l’étoile, Site de Château-Gombert, 38 rue Frédéric Joliot-Curie, 13388 Marseille Cedex 13, France
10 Advanced Electric Lasers Branch, Air Force Research Laboratory, 3550 Aberdeen SE, Kirtland Air Force Base, New Mexico 87117, USA
11 Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, University of Toronto, 50 St George Street, Toronto, ON M5S 3H4, Canada
12 Department of Astrophysical and Planetary Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO 80309-0391, USA
13 CPPM, CNRS-Luminy, Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
14 Network Information Operations, DRDC Ottawa, 3701 Carling Avenue, Ottawa, ON K1A 0Z4, Canada
15 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Victoria, PO Box 3055, Victoria, BC V8W 3P6, Canada
16 CEA/ Saclay, DSM/Inra/Spp, 91191 GIF-sur-Yvette Cedex, France
17 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Mail Stop 50-232, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

ABSTRACT

Optical long-slit spectroscopy at the Gemini-North telescope using the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (GMOS) was used to classify targets from the Supernova Legacy Survey (SNLS) from 2005 July and 2006 May–2008 May. During this time, 95 objects were observed. Where possible, the objects’ redshifts (z) were measured from narrow emission or absorption features in the host galaxy spectrum, otherwise they were measured from the broader supernova features. We present spectra of 68 confirmed or probable SNe Ia from SNLS with redshifts in the range 0.17 ≤ z ≤ 1.02. In combination with earlier SNLS Gemini and VLT spectra, we used these new observations to measure pseudo-equivalent widths (EWs) of three spectral features – Ca ii H&K, Si ii and Mg ii – in 144 objects and compared them to the EWs of low-redshift SNe Ia from a sample drawn from the literature. No signs of changes with z are seen for the Ca ii H&K and Mg ii features. Systematically lower EW Si ii is seen at high redshift, but this can be explained by a change in demographics of the SNe Ia population within a two-component model combined with an observed correlation between EW Si ii and photometric light-curve stretch.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of cosmic acceleration (e.g. Riess et al. 1998; Perlmutter et al. 1999), Type Ia supernovae have played a vital role as distance measures in the universe, up to and beyond z = 1 through surveys such as the Supernova Legacy Survey (SNLS; Astier et al. 2006) and ESSENCE (Wood-Vasey et al. 2007). This is made possible by the fact that SNe Ia appear to be ‘standardizable’ candles, a property first noted by Phillips (1993) and later parameterized in a number of different ways (e.g. Riess, Press & Kirshner 1996; Perlmutter et al. 1997; Wang et al. 2003; Guy et al. 2005; Jha,
and used successfully on a small sample of spectra to compare low- and high-redshift objects for five distinct features in the spectrum. The blueshift of the absorption minimum in Ca II H&K was also measured and compared to nearby supernovae and no evidence for any significant differences was found between the two samples (see also Garavini et al. 2007).

An EW analysis of the first two and a half years of Gemini SNLS spectra was published in Brongers et al. (2008, hereafter B08). Rest-frame EW measurements were made for the Ca II H&K, Si II 4000 (hereafter referred to as just Si II) and Mg II features (marked in Fig. 2) as well as the Ca II H&K ejection velocity. The spectra of 55 high-z supernovae were compared to 167 spectra from 24 low-z objects encompassing all three SNe Ia sub-types (normal, overluminous and underluminous). No significant difference was seen between the two samples for the EWs of Ca II H&K and Si II or the ejection velocity. For the Mg II feature, a slight difference was noted, but it was not significant enough to confirm a change with redshift, and could just have been a statistical effect. This is discussed further in Section 4.4. B08 also found a relation between the EW Si II and $M_B$, the absolute $B$-band magnitude at maximum, which could be used to calibrate the supernovae in the same way as light-curve stretch and colour.

With the well-measured light curves of the SNLS supernovae it has also been possible to compare photometric properties. In Conley et al. (2006), the rise-times of low- and high-z supernovae are compared and they find no compelling evidence that there is a difference between the two populations.

However, as was concluded by F08, there is to be expected some change in photometric properties due to changing demographics. Due to the two-channel progenitor model (Scannapieco & Bildsten 2005; Mannucci et al. 2005; Mannucci, della Valle & Panagia 2006; Sullivan et al. 2006), the ‘prompt’ component which are brighter supernovae with broader light curves should dominate at higher redshift, whereas at $z = 0$, the ‘delayed’ component will be more important. Howell et al. (2007) calculate that this should result in a 6 per cent increase in light-curve width between $z = 0$ and 1.5. Using observational data from Sullivan et al. (2006), they measure a percentage change in stretch of $8.1 \pm 2.7$ per cent between $0.03 < z < 1.12$ which is consistent with changing demographics. The spectral changes observed in Sullivan et al. (2009) are consistent with this.

In summary, no firm evidence of evolution in the spectral properties of supernovae has been observed, but the studies are being hampered by the lack of local spectra to compare with. In this paper, we present an analysis of EWs of the Ca II H&K, Si II and Mg II spectral features. This study significantly expands on the work of B08 using new data from SNLS with a different method of accounting for the effects of host galaxy light, as well as an expanded sample of low-z SNe Ia from the literature for comparison.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe the new observations. In Section 3 we detail the methods and measurements applied to the high-z sample of SNe Ia. Section 4 describes the low-z sample drawn from the literature and used for comparison. Section 5 details the results of the comparison study and an investigation into the possible cosmological uses for SNe Ia spectra. These are discussed further in Section 6 and our conclusions are summarized in Section 7.

2 OBSERVATIONS

The targets were selected from real-time SNLS imaging which took place at the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope (CFHT). Fainter
objects were usually observed spectroscopically at Gemini, with brighter candidates at either VLT or Keck [see Perrett et al. (in preparation) for more details on the real-time pipeline].

2.1 Observational set-up

The new spectra presented here were taken in queue mode on the Gemini-North telescope with Target of Opportunity (ToO) status, although earlier programmes also used Gemini-South. The observational setups and data reduction methods used for SNLS-Gemini spectroscopy using GMOS (Hook et al. 2004) have been described in detail previously (Howell et al. 2005, hereafter H05; B08). In summary, a ‘classical’ setup with central wavelength of 680 nm was used for observations of brighter targets which were expected to be at a lower redshift, whereas nod-and-shuffle mode (N&S; Glazebrook & Bland-Hawthorn 2001) with a redder central wavelength of 720 nm was used for fainter targets. The only change to the methods of observational setup since H05 and B08 are that from 2007 March, first N&S and then classical observations were taken at two wavelength settings in order to cover the gaps in the spectra caused by the spacing of the three GMOS CCDs. In these cases, for the R400 grating and with 2 × 2 binning, the change in central wavelength used was 50 nm. Due to the unavailability of the R400 grating, three targets were observed with the R150 grating which has a lower dispersion. For these objects, a change in central wavelength of 100 nm was used.

The position angle of the slit was primarily determined by aligning the target and the centre of the host galaxy in order to try to observe narrow emission/absorption features in the host spectrum. The second constraint was the availability of guide stars in the field. Occasionally, less optimum position angles were used or the object was moved from the centre of the slit. All of these considerations mean that the observations were not made at parallactic angle, but this is taken into account in the analysis that follows.

The new targets observed as part of the SNLS Gemini spectroscopic follow-up programme are listed in Table A1 which is available online (see Supporting Information).

2.2 Data reduction

The data were reduced using standard IRAF2 and Gemini-specific3 tasks to perform bias-subtraction, flat-fielding, sky-subtraction and chip mosaicking. A wavelength solution was applied to the 2D spectrum. When combining the 2D frames, bad-pixel masks were used to take account of the ‘missing data’ in the gaps between the CCDs. A 1D spectrum was extracted from the 2D spectrum and corrected for telluric absorption features. It was generally not possible to extract the target light separately from the host due to the small angular separation of the objects on the sky. A sky spectrum was also extracted from the 2D frames, and an error spectrum was estimated from this by assuming that the noise is dominated by sky emission. Where appropriate in some of the earlier data which were observed at only one central wavelength, a linear interpolation was used to remove the chip gaps and the error spectra set to a high value in these regions to deweight them.

2.3 Redshift determination and object classification

The redshifts of the supernova and its host are ideally measured using narrow host features such as [O II], [O III], Hβ and Hα emission, and H&K absorption. This typically gives an error of $z \pm 0.001$, but if many lines are present then the error can be reduced further. If no host features are present then the redshift measurement relies on the wider supernova features. These are less precise due to the higher velocities involved and typically measure $z \pm 0.01$ depending on the quality of the spectrum.

A high-$z$ SN Ia is classified by identifying, typically, the Si II feature at $\lambda = 4000$ Å in the spectra. Other features used are Si II $6150$ and S II. To aid classification, all spectra are also run through the SUPERFIT program (H05) which performs a $\chi^2$-minimization to best fit the observed spectra to a low-$z$ supernova spectrum combined with host galaxy light. Objects are classified as SN Ia (certain or highly probable Ia), SN Ia? (probable Ia), SN II (SN Type II), SN (supernova of unknown type) or SN? (probable SN of unknown type). To go alongside this, spectra are assigned a confidence index (CI) from 0 to 5 with 5 being a definite Ia and 0 definitely not. Objects of CI = 0 are typically core-collapse supernovae. The definitions of the CIs are given in H05.

The redshifts, their errors and CIs of all the objects observed in this study are given in the online Table A2 (see Supporting Information).

2.4 Sub-type identification

A number of SNe Ia were observed to have spectral features similar to known peculiar objects from their best-fitting SUPERFIT matches H05. Identification of these objects is important so they can be flagged and removed from later studies. The objects which were identified as having unusual spectral features during this period of observation are given in Table 1.

There are several key features which are distinct to each of the comparison spectra. SNLS-03D3bb (SN 2003fg; Howell et al. 2006) was an overluminous SNe Ia whose progenitor system is debated, but it is thought to be of super-Chandrasekhar mass. The spectrum showed low ejection velocities, as well as an unburnt C II feature at 6150 Å.

| Table 1. Unusual SNe Ia identified in this sample from their spectral features only. The stretch measurements are made using the SiFTO (Conley et al. 2008) light-curve fitter. The references for the comparative objects are SNLS-03D3bb (Howell et al. 2006), SN 2001ay (Howell & Nugent 2004) and SN 1999aa (Garavini et al. 2004). |
| Object | Stretch | Comments |
|--------|---------|----------|
| 07D3af | 0.943 ± 0.017 | 03D3bb like C II feature at 4200 Å |
| 07D3ap | 0.955 ± 0.018 | SN 2001ay like High-velocity Si II |
| 07D3cr | 0.872 ± 0.043 | SN 2001ay like Broad Ca II |
| 07D3dj | 1.118 ± 0.018 | 03D3bb or SN 1999aa like Little Ca II and probable C II |
| 08D2aa | 1.128 ± 0.016 | SN 1999aa or SN 2001ay like C II feature at 4200 Å |
| 08D2ad | 1.155 ± 0.021 | 03D3bb like C II feature at 4200 Å |

1 Observing programmes: GN-2003B-Q-9, GS-2003B-Q-8, GN-2004A-Q-19, GS-2004A-Q-11, GN-2004B-Q-16, GS-2004B-Q-31, GN-2005A-Q-11, GS-2005A-Q-11, GS-2005B-Q-7, GS-2005B-Q-6, GN-2006A-Q-7, GN-2006B-Q-10, GN-2007A-Q-8, GN-2007B-Q-17, GN-2008A-Q-24, PI Hook.
2 http://iraf.noao.edu/
3 http://www.gemini.edu/
4200 Å, SN 2001ay (Howell & Nugent 2004) has a high stretch value ($s = 1.6$), but appeared spectroscopically normal around maximum light, except for high-velocity Ca II and Si II features. SN 1999aa (Garavini et al. 2007) has been seen as a transitional object between normal SNe Ia and the overluminous objects typified by SN 1991T (Filippenko et al. 1992b), showing spectral features of both types of object. SN 1999aa spectra at maximum contained smaller amounts of intermediate-mass elements and larger amounts of iron-group elements, and appeared peculiar until maximum light after which it appeared to be spectroscopically normal.

3 METHODS AND MEASUREMENTS

For the use of SNe Ia as cosmological distance indicators, it is important to verify that the objects we observe in the high-$z$ universe have similar properties to those at low $z$, or, if there is some change in the mean properties (Howell et al. 2007, for example) that these differences can be calibrated out. All previous work on high-$z$ SNe Ia spectra (e.g. E08; F08; B08 Hook et al. 2005; Blondin et al. 2006) have found no definitive evidence for evolution beyond what is expected from known changes in demographics.

In this paper out of the 95 objects observed, 68 were confirmed as Type Ia or probably Type Ia events. Previous Gemini SNLS samples have published a further 41 (H05) and 46 (B08).

3.1 Sample selection

For the study here, all SNLS supernovae observed with Gemini, including objects published in H05 and B08, with confidence indices of 4 or 5, were considered. A cut was then made based on the availability of final light curves and measurements of conditions on the night of observation, specifically hour angle (HA) and mean seeing. The HA and seeing are required for light-loss correction described in Section 3.3.3. In the case of observations spread over more than one night, the larger value of the seeing was used.

A comparison sample of spectra drawn from the first three years of SNLS-VLT spectra analysed in real-time (Basu et al. in preparation) was also used. These objects were observed using the FORS1 spectrograph (Appenzeller et al. 1998) on the VLT. Again, these objects were required to have CI = 4 or 5 and have final light curves and a measurement of seeing for the EW study. In this case, the measurements of the HA on the night of observation were not required as FORS1 employs an atmospheric dispersion correction (ADC) which minimizes the amount of light lost when not observing at the parallactic angle.

Removing objects classified as peculiar (see Table 1), the sample for the EW study contains 149 objects, 82 from Gemini and 67 from the VLT. A histogram of redshift is shown for the final Gemini and VLT samples in Fig. 1. It shows that the Gemini sample peaks at a higher mean redshift, as expected since Gemini was not only used to observe the candidates that were expected to be at higher redshift, but also contains a larger number of lower redshift objects than the VLT sample. These are objects from the D3 field which is not visible in the southern sky. The mean redshifts illustrated by the dotted line are $z_{\text{Gem}} = 0.63$ and $z_{\text{VLT}} = 0.60$. The median of the VLT sample is similar to its mean, but the Gemini sample, due to the large number of points at $z > 0.8$, has a median value of 0.70.

3.2 Photometric data and host galaxy template fitting

For this study, SNLS multicolour photometry of the supernovae and their host galaxies was used for two main purposes: first for subtraction of the host galaxy contamination from the spectra and, secondly, for comparison of spectral features with photometric properties of the supernovae.

The photometric light-curve data for the high-$z$ SNLS sample above, and the low-$z$ comparison sample described in Section 4, were fitted using the SiFTO light-curve fitter (Conley et al. 2008) to ensure homogeneity in the sample. This provided measurements of light-curve stretch, colour and date of maximum. It also permitted the interpolation of supernova magnitudes in $g’r’i’z’$ bands to the date of spectroscopic observation.

From stacked images, the host galaxy surface brightness in $u’g’r’i’z’$ bands at the position of the supernova was measured. These were used to find a best-fitting galaxy template spectrum. The same template library as in E08 was used, which was drawn from the PEGASE program (Fioc & Rocca-Volmerange 1997, 1999), and a star-burst template (SB1) from Calzetti, Kinney & Storchi-Bergmann (1994) was added. Emission-line features were interpolated over.

A $\chi^2$ minimization was used to compare synthetic photometry from the templates in the $u’g’r’i’z’$ bands, $f_{\text{temp}}$, to the measured values $f_{\text{gal},i}$ by minimizing

$$
\chi^2 = \sum_i \frac{(f_{\text{gal},i} - (A\lambda + B)f_{\text{temp}})_i^2}{\sigma_{\text{gal},i}^2} \tag{1}
$$

where $\sigma_{\text{gal},i}$ is the error on the galaxy flux in band $i$. The template is normalized by the function $A\lambda + B$ to allow for reddening in the host galaxy. The best-fitting galaxy template $(A\lambda + B)f_{\text{temp}}$ is denoted below as $f^b_\lambda$.

3.3 Galaxy subtraction method

Here we use a galaxy subtraction method similar to the one described in E08, rather than the correction factor method in B08, but with one large difference. The objects observed as part of the E08 study were all observed at parallactic angle where supernova light-loss from the slit was minimized whereas in this study the observations were not taken at parallactic angle. In order to carry out a galaxy subtraction, we assume the galaxy is a flat background and the supernova is modelled as a point source with an full width at half-maximum (FWHM) equal to the seeing in the band used for object acquisition (either $i’$ or $r’$), and scaling with wavelength as $\lambda^{-0.2}$. Under this assumption, light from the supernova will be lost due to atmospheric differential refraction (ADR). We account for this in Section 3.3.3.
The galaxy subtraction method is summarized in the following sections.

### 3.3.1 Correcting to compensate for different observing conditions

Starting with the raw spectrum, \( f_{SN}^{raw}(\lambda) \), which is the sum of supernova flux, \( f_{SN}^{raw}(\lambda) \), and galaxy flux, \( f_{g}^{raw}(\lambda) \), the aim is to produce a final corrected supernova spectrum, \( f_{corr}(\lambda) \).

The SNLS observations were not spectrophotometric, therefore before the galaxy template (which is normalized to SNLS host photometry) can be subtracted, the observed spectrum must be placed on the same flux scale. This is done by multiplying by a correction factor \( A_{sens} \) calculated by comparing photometry of the supernova and host from the imaging, correctly scaled to match observing conditions and observational setup, to the synthetic \( r' \)- and \( i' \)-band flux in the observed spectrum. \( A_{sens} \) is the mean of the corrections needed to match the \( r' \)- and \( i' \)-band flux.

\[
f_{corr}(\lambda) = f_{SN}^{corr}(\lambda) \times A_{sens}.
\]

We denote the photometrically normalized SN and host galaxy spectra as

\[
f_{SN}(\lambda) = f_{SN}^{corr}(\lambda) \times A_{sens}
\]

\[
f_{g}(\lambda) = f_{g}^{corr}(\lambda) \times A_{sens}.
\]

### 3.3.2 Template subtraction

The FC of galaxy light in the total spectrum is calculated for both the \( r' \) and \( i' \) bands using the galaxy and SN photometry and accounting for the effects of the observing conditions. Any object with FC of galaxy light in the total spectrum FC \( \geq 0.1 \) in either band has the best-fitting galaxy template subtracted-off. The FC is calculated in two bands instead of one because as it is measured in the observed frame, there will be a redshift dependence on the value of FC as the filters will fall at different points in the rest frame of the object. In situations with \( z < 0.7 \), the \( i' \)-band filter falls in the redder part of the spectrum where there is less supernova flux relative to galaxy; and at \( z > 0.7 \), the reverse is true. The FC will also be phase-dependent as the supernova spectrum becomes redder with time. The value of 0.1 was chosen because at this level of contamination, the error on the EW measurements would be \( \approx 10 \) per cent, which is comparable to the size of the error introduced by misidentifying the galaxy host type (see Section 3.5). Also, below this level of contamination, the risk of subtracting too much galaxy template is high.

Before subtraction can occur, the best-fitting galaxy template, \( f_{g}^{best}(\lambda) \), must be scaled to account for differences between the photometry apertures and the spectroscopy apertures. The galaxy photometry is in units of per square arcsec (or effectively in an aperture of size \( 1 \times 1 \) arcsec\(^2\)). The aperture size of the spectroscopy is given by the slitwidth, which is always 0.75 arcsec for GMOS observations, but varies for the VLT spectra, multiplied by the size of the aperture window used during extraction, and is denoted by \( A_{ap} \) in units of arcsec\(^2\). Under the assumption of a flat host galaxy background, the flux of the host transmitted through the slit, \( f_{g}^{int}(\lambda) \), is then

\[
f_{g}^{int}(\lambda) = f_{g}^{corr}(\lambda) \times A_{ap}.
\]

The galaxy template is then interpolated to the same wavelength scale as the observed spectrum and subtracted off leaving the intermediate step

\[
f_{corr}^{int}(\lambda) = f_{corr}(\lambda) - f_{g}^{int}(\lambda)
\]

\[
= f_{SN}(\lambda) + f_{g}^{int}(\lambda) - f_{g}^{int}(\lambda).
\]

In an ideal situation, where the galaxy template matches the observed galaxy perfectly, \( f_{g}^{int} = f_{g}^{corr} \) leaving a spectrum containing only supernova flux.

### 3.3.3 Correcting for ADR

The spectrum now contained only supernova light, but the colours were not correct due to the loss of light at the wavelengths not used for acquisition. See Filippenko (1982) for the equations governing light loss as a function of wavelength, HA, seeing, airmass, etc. A correction function, \( c_{ADR}(\lambda) \), for the supernova spectrum was found by fitting a second-order polynomial to the inverse of the fraction of SN light which is transmitted through the slit at the effective wavelength of each band, i.e. multiplication by \( c_{ADR}(\lambda) \) should have corrected the spectrum so it represented the total supernova flux.

The fitting was calculated using MPFITFUN, part of MPFIT (Markwardt 2008). The final, subtracted, ADR-corrected spectrum, \( f_{corr}(\lambda) \), was given by

\[
f_{corr}^{int}(\lambda) = (f_{SN}(\lambda) + f_{g}^{int}(\lambda) - f_{g}^{int}(\lambda))c_{ADR}(\lambda).
\]

Note that this correction was not applied to the VLT spectra.

### 3.3.4 Extinction correction

The final step is to correct for extinction in both the host galaxy and the Milky Way. In the rest frame, the SALT2 colour law (Guy et al. 2007), a function of wavelength, redshift and SN colour, is applied to each object to account for the host extinction. This correction is small and has almost no effect on the EW measurements. In the observed frame, the Cardelli, Clayton & Mathis (1989) extinction law is applied to account for Milky Way extinction using the dust maps of Schlegel, Finkbeiner & Davis (1998). The SNLS fields are selected to be in regions with low galactic extinction so the Milky Way correction is not large either. These corrections can be summarized as \( c_{ext}(\lambda, z, c) \) where \( c \) is the supernova colour.

\[
f_{corr}(\lambda) = f_{SN}(\lambda) + f_{g}^{int}(\lambda) - f_{g}(\lambda)c_{ADR}(\lambda)c_{ext}(\lambda, z, c).
\]

The final spectrum contains only supernova light and can have the EWs of the features measured.

The same scaling treatment is applied to the error spectrum, but no subtractions are done because it is assumed to contain only sky noise. As an example, the galaxy-subtracted spectrum for 07D3ea is illustrated in Fig. 2.

### 3.4 Equivalent width measurements

The EWs were measured in the same way as in B08, fitting a pseudo-continuum to the feature and calculating the EW between these limits. The pseudo-continuum was defined by the highest point in a region either side of the feature. In general, an EW and its associated statistical error are given by

\[
EW = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( 1 - \frac{f_{g}(\lambda_{i})}{f_{g}(\lambda_{i})} \right) \Delta \lambda_{i}
\]

\[
\sigma_{EW}^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \left( \frac{\sigma_{f}(\lambda_{i})}{f_{g}(\lambda_{i})} \right)^{2} + \left( \frac{f_{g}(\lambda_{i})}{f_{g}(\lambda_{i})} \sigma_{\lambda}^{2} \right) \Delta \lambda_{i}^{2} \right)
\]

where \( f_{g} \) is the flux of the spectrum, \( f_{g} \) is the flux of the pseudo-continuum, \( \sigma_{\lambda} \) is the uncertainty in the flux from the error spectrum and \( \sigma_{\lambda} \) is the uncertainty in the pseudo-continuum. However, as error spectra were available for the SNLS observations, it was possible...
error to account for the uncertainty in the placement of the feature bounds was calculated by varying the boundaries within ±10 Å at random and evaluating the EW 50 times. The resulting EWs were fitted with a Gaussian, and the pseudo-continuum contribution to the EW error was given by the standard deviation of the Gaussian. This was added in quadrature to the statistical error.

The EW measurements for the 149 objects in the final sample are in Tables A3, A4 and A5, which are available online (see Supporting Information). At this point, five objects were removed from the sample as the galaxy subtraction method was believed to have failed for these objects. This was reflected in either negative values of EWs or values of EW Mg II close to 0. These objects tended to occur in the very centre or on the outskirts of the host where the assumption that the host contribution does not vary within the size of the spectroscopy aperture is no longer valid. The objects are marked with an asterisk (*) in the results tables. This left a total of 144 objects: 78 objects from the Gemini telescopes and 66 from the VLT.

3.5 Evaluation of additional sources of error

Using the multicolour host galaxy subtraction method, there are several extra terms in the error calculation as well as the statistical error. First, there is an uncertainty in the selection of the galaxy template. Any galaxy template within a 3σ range of the best-fitting galaxy is identified to rule out any galaxy template which is definitely not the host. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 for the example of 06D1bz. The best-fitting template is that of an S0 galaxy, but the elliptical and irregular galaxy spectrum lie within the 3σ range.

Any galaxy template fit falling within this 3σ range is appropriately scaled, subtracted from the raw spectrum and the EW measured. The scatter in EW measurements is then used to produce an error due to misidentification of the galaxy type. It is typically in the order of a few Å (i.e. 10 per cent EW) and is added in quadrature to the 1σ statistical error from the EW measurement.

Another change from B08 is that measurements of EW features where a GMOS chip gap has fallen in the boundary regions of the feature are no longer removed from the sample. Instead, a linear interpolation is made across the gap. The presence of the chip gap adds to the error on the EW measurement as there is no data in that region. To compensate for this, the statistical error is increased by a multiplicative correction factor \( \Delta \chi^2 \) to take into account the number of pixels which form the feature where there is essentially

Figure 2. Example of host galaxy subtraction from a high-z SNLS supernova spectrum. The raw data (for object 07D3ea at \( z = 0.471 \)) are shown in light grey, with a smoothed version over the top in black. The SN spectrum after subtraction is shown as the smoothed light grey line underneath. 07D3ea was best fit by a starburst galaxy template and has FC = 0.19 in the i’ band. The three regions of the spectral features Ca ii H&K, Si ii and Mg ii for this supernova are marked.

Figure 3. A histogram plotting the minimum \( \chi^2 \) values found for each of the galaxy templates for the photometry of 06D1bz. The best fit is an S0 galaxy, but the elliptical and irregular templates cannot be ruled out. The lower line marks the minimum \( \chi^2 \) and the higher line marks the 3σ region. There is no \( \chi^2 \) value for the Sa template as this fit does not converge.
no information.

\[ A_{\text{gap}} = \sqrt{\frac{N_{\text{tot}}}{N_{\text{tot}} - N_{\text{gap}}}}. \]

where \( N_{\text{tot}} \) is the total number of pixels in the feature and \( N_{\text{gap}} \) is the number of pixels from the chip gap included in that feature.

4 LOW-z COMPARISON SAMPLE

4.1 Spectroscopy sample

The EWs of the \( \text{Ca}^^{II} \) H&K, \( \text{Si}^^{II} \) and \( \text{Mg}^^{II} \) features change with the phase of the supernova so it is important to find a sample with good phase coverage. In order to select a sample, the SUSPECT archive\(^4\) was used to search for published spectra with phases of \( \pm 11 \) d from B-band maximum. This phase range was chosen to match the range of rest-frame phases in the high-z spectra. Before \(-11\) days spectra are rare because of the time needed to discover the objects and arrange spectroscopy scheduling. After \(11\) days, there are not many high-z spectra as the supernovae have faded. The selection criteria applied to the spectra were that; there should be at least two spectra within the \( \pm 11 \) day range as we want to study the changing strengths of spectra features with time; the spectra should include all three of the \( \text{Ca}^^{II} \) H&K, \( \text{Si}^^{II} \) and \( \text{Mg}^^{II} \) features so as to be certain the supernovae are normal in the whole region being investigated; all the objects should be classified as core-normal supernovae. Unfortunately, many published spectra do not have the wavelength coverage to include the \( \text{Ca}^^{II} \) H&K feature which is in the near-UV and so were excluded from the sample. This is due to a combination of two factors: the design of the spectrograph may have low throughput in this region as observing bluewards of \(4000\) Å is more expensive than in the rest of the optical spectrum; or because at low-altitude it is more difficult to observe at these shorter wavelengths.

Applying these criteria to the SUSPECT archive produced 104 spectra from 14 objects. This was then combined with 49 spectra from 11 objects published in Matheson et al. (2008); however, the latter objects are excluded from the analysis of the \( \text{Ca}^^{II} \) H&K feature. This is because the spectrograph used has a blue limit of \(3700\) Å which would mean the feature would only be completely visible in spectra of objects with a narrow \( \text{Ca}^^{II} \) feature at certain redshifts and so bias the sample. Table 3 details the 25 low-z objects used in this study.

\(^4\) http://bruford.nhn.ou.edu/~suscet/
4.2 Photometry sample
The photometric properties of all 23 supernovae which have published photometry were refitted using the SiFTO light-curve fitter to produce a consistently fitted sample. The light curves were fitted for stretch, colour, date of maximum and peak magnitude, which were useful for studying any possible relation between the spectroscopy features and residuals from the Hubble diagram. The results are summarized in Table A6, which also shows any independent distance modulus measurements available for the host.

4.3 Low-z equivalent width measurements and errors
The EWs were evaluated using equation (2) and all measurements were made in the object’s rest frame. The values are given in the online Table A7 (see Supporting Information). Error spectra were not available for the low-z spectra as they were for the high-z SNLS data which is why equation (2) was used. This meant that no statistical error on the EW could be measured. The assumption in B08 was that the main source of error was due to incorrectly placing the pseudo-continuum bounds around the features and this error was quantified in the same way as described for the high-z data in Section 3.4; however, the low-z spectra are of high signal-to-noise ratio and, as the EW measurement code had been made much more automated, these errors were negligible. When one measurement of the EW was required per supernova, the mean over all epochs was measured and the error was assigned based on the rms scatter about the mean. This was a good estimation for the features being investigated here as the spectral features appear to be approximately constant with phase within the phase range investigated (see Fig. 4).

4.4 Low-redshift trends
We calculate low-redshift trends as a measure of the variation of spectral features with phase relative to the date of maximum. The trend is calculated by measuring a resistant median each day \(\pm 10\) from B-band maximum. The resistant median removes outliers which are more than 3\(\sigma\) from the median. The weights applied to each measurement is a Gaussian centred on that date with an FWHM of 4 d. This time-frame was chosen as smaller time intervals result in the trend becoming affected by the scatter of the EW and any poor phase sampling. A weighted rms was calculated to produce the 1\(\sigma\) scatter for the sample. The resulting trends are presented in Fig. 4.

The trends shown in Fig. 4 show that over the range of phases studied, all three features are approximately constant. The Ca II H&K feature decreases in strength slightly post-maximum, but only by 20 Å. Both the Ca II H&K and Mg II features show one supernova with a significantly reduced EW measurement. In the case of Ca II H&K, it is SN 1998aq and for the Mg II feature it is SN 1994D. Both objects appear to have quite a blue colour (see Table A6 in the online material). Due to the small size of the sample, especially for the Ca II H&K feature, it is not possible to tell whether these are anomalous results, or important sub-classes of objects which have not yet been identified. For example, if one object in the low-z sample has a low Ca II H&K EWs then a number of objects like this should appear in the high-z sample. This may explain some of the low EW values seen in Fig. 5.

These trends differ in a number of ways from those presented in B08. First, the method of calculating the trends is different. The trends in B08 are created by measuring EWs from the template spectra of Nugent, Kim & Perlmutter (2002). An error region was determined by adding an error to the trend and comparing to low-z measurements until a \(\chi^2 = 1\) was reached. This method assumes that the low-z measurements are distributed symmetrically about the trend.

In this paper, the trends are generated directly from the measurements themselves and the 1\(\sigma\) scatter was determined directly from the EW measurements. There are also a number of objects included in this study which were not in B08 and vice versa due to the selection criteria or date of publication. As the sample size is small, this has had a considerable effect on the trends.

The B08 Si II trend is slightly lower than the one seen here. This is primarily caused by the fact that new spectra introduced into the sample all had higher EW values than the B08 mean. The increase in EW to maximum followed by a decline is not seen here to such a large degree as in the B08 trends.

The rapid rise in the Mg II EW seen after +3 d in B08 is not seen in this sample where the trend is flat from \(-10\) to \(+10\) d. This is likely due to the fact that the sample is different; however, SN 1989B, which is in both samples, does show a larger increase in the Mg II EW between the measurements at \(+6\) and \(+11\) d.

Due to the lack of available spectra of SN 1991T-like and SN 1991bg-like objects, it was not possible to measure trends for these objects in a similar way to the core-normal supernovae, which is why spectroscopically peculiar events are removed from the EW analysis.
5 RESULTS

5.1 Comparison between high-z data and low-z trends

The high-z sample is divided into the Gemini sample (objects presented in this paper, plus those published in H05 and B08) and VLT samples in order to identify any systematic differences between the samples: none is seen in this analysis. Fig. 5 shows the comparison for the three features in this analysis for both samples. The figures show the low-redshift trends seen in Fig. 4 with the high-z points measured from the Gemini and VLT samples overplotted.

The high-z measurements for the Si II feature appear to be smaller than the low-z trend. In order to look for systematic shifts, we make trends of the high-z data. The trends are calculated in a similar way to the low-z ones, except that the points are weighted according to the size of the error on the measurement, rather than applying a Gaussian weight based on phase. A rolling mean is applied with a bin size of ±3 d. The mean for each phase bin is constrained so that there must be more than 10 EW measurements within ±3 days in order for the value to stand. Consequentially, the trends are not evaluated at some early and later phases.

Fig. 6 shows that for the Ca II H&K and Mg II features, the low- and high-z trends are approximately consistent. The Si II feature
Figure 6. Each figure shows the low-z trend of the EW of either Ca II H&K, Si II or Mg II as a solid black line with the 1σ region in grey. The high-z trends are overlapped in blue (Gemini) and green (VLT) with the shaded region representing the 1σ rms scatter.

shows a high-z trend which is consistently below the low-z one, as expected from the low-EW points seen in Fig. 5. This will be discussed later in Section 5.2.1. This is contrary to the observations of B08 which saw no difference between the high- and low-z populations in the Si II feature. The difference between the high- and low-z populations that may have been observed for the Mg II feature in B08 is not seen in this analysis which uses a larger high-z sample and a different method for generating low-z trends.

5.2 Correlations between spectroscopic and photometric properties

One of the reasons why there might be differences between the low- and high-z samples is because the spectral properties will be sensitive to the explosion physics of the supernovae. There is increasing evidence that there are two populations of supernova (e.g. Sullivan et al. 2006) and that the prompt supernovae tend to be brighter. This will produce a drift in the mean photometric properties of SNe Ia as a function of redshift if the mix of prompt and delayed supernovae changes with redshift (Howell et al. 2007). $M_B$, the absolute $B$-band magnitude, is known to correlate with light-curve width in the sense that more luminous SNe have higher stretch. We also know from B08 that EW Si II is correlated with $M_B$, so brighter supernovae appear to contain less Si II. These facts taken together imply that the mean spectral properties between the high- and low-z samples will be different in the sense that the high-z sample, where we expect a greater proportion of the prompt-type objects, should have a lower EW Si II. This is indeed the effect
Table 4. Correlation coefficients between the measured EWs and the light-curve stretch and colour for the low-\(z\), high-\(z\) and combined samples. The number of standard deviations from a null hypothesis is given in the final column.

| Feature  | Variable | Sample | \(\rho\) | \(\sigma\) from null |
|----------|----------|--------|-----------|----------------------|
| Ca II H&K | Stretch | Low \(z\) | 0.21 | -0.66 |
|          |         | High \(z\) | 0.10 | -1.0 |
|          |         | All     | 0.09 | -0.91 |
| Colour   | Low \(z\) | 0.44 | -1.38 |
|          | High \(z\) | 0.12 | -1.20 |
|          | All     | 0.21 | -2.15 |
| Si II    | Stretch | Low \(z\) | -0.76 | 3.58 |
|          | High \(z\) | -0.24 | 2.50 |
|          | All     | -0.33 | 3.78 |
| Colour   | Low \(z\) | 0.19 | -0.89 |
|          | High \(z\) | 0.08 | -0.80 |
|          | All     | 0.19 | -2.12 |
| Mg II    | Stretch | Low \(z\) | -0.31 | 1.47 |
|          | High \(z\) | 0.09 | -0.93 |
|          | All     | 0.03 | -0.38 |
| Colour   | Low \(z\) | 0.47 | -2.22 |
|          | High \(z\) | 0.09 | -0.85 |
|          | All     | 0.17 | -1.87 |

seen in Fig. 5. In the following we quantify the size of this effect and determine whether it explains the observed differences seen in Fig. 5. First we quantify the correlations between spectral and photometric properties, stretch and colour, using a Spearman’s rank correlation method.

We combine the Gemini and VLT samples into one high-\(z\) sample as the correlations are likely to be small effects. The high-\(z\) spectra in this study are also noisy and so there are large errors on the EW measurements. As the correlation coefficient calculation does not deweight objects based on the size of their error bars, we have grouped all the high-\(z\) objects together and ranked the objects according to the size of their error and used only the lowest 75 per cent for this part of this analysis. The cuts for Ca II H&K, Si II and Mg II correspond to error values of 18.1 Å, 9.7 Å and 19.3 Å, respectively. The correlations are evaluated for all the high-\(z\) objects with errors less than the 75-percentile cut. The correlation coefficients are presented in Table 4.

The correlation analysis shows that the strongest correlations exist between stretch and EW Si II, and this is seen in all samples at the 2.5–3.5\(\sigma\) level. There are also weak correlations between the EW Si II and colour for the combined sample, and for the low-\(z\) sample between EW Mg II and colour. There also appears to be a slight correlation between the EW Ca II H&K and colour. There appears to be no correlation between EW Ca II H&K and stretch. We now examine the two most promising relations, EW Si II and stretch, and EW Mg II and colour, with the aim of using them as spectral calibrators for cosmology.

5.2.1 EW Si II and stretch

The stretch-EW Si II measurements are plotted in Fig. 7 for the low-\(z\) (diamond) and high-\(z\) (square and triangle) points. Here, the high-\(z\) Gemini and VLT samples are plotted separately in order to identify any possible systematic offsets between the samples: none is seen. The best fit was found using LINFITEX, part of MPFIT, which takes into account errors on both the variables. The low- and high-\(z\) relationships shown by the black lines are:

\[
s = (1.22 \pm 0.03) + (-0.015 \pm 0.002) \times EW_{Si II \ low-z} \tag{5}
\]

\[
s = (1.21 \pm 0.01) + (-0.017 \pm 0.001) \times EW_{Si II \ high-z} \tag{6}
\]

The reduced \(\chi^2\) for the fits are \(\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 2.2\) (low \(z\)) and \(\chi^2_{\text{red}} = 2.7\) (high \(z\)). The fits are consistent to within 1\(\sigma\), although the errors on the gradient and intercept are correlated. We would expect the fits to be the same if the high- and low-\(z\) populations behave in the same way; however this does not mean that they must have the same distribution at high and low redshift.

It has already been established in B08 that there is a relationship between EW Si II and \(M_B\), the absolute magnitudes of the individual supernovae: the brighter the supernova, the smaller EW Si II. This has been extended here to use light-curve stretch instead of magnitude, as is shown in Fig. 7, as \(M_B\) is known to correlate with stretch. This relationship can potentially explain the difference seen between the low-\(z\) and high-\(z\) objects in Figs 5 and 8. Fig. 6 shows that the stretch distribution is shifted to higher stretch for the higher redshift samples compared to the low-\(z\) one. The relationships seen in Fig. 7 show that more higher stretch objects would mean more low-EW Si II measurements.

To test whether the difference in mean stretch between the high- and low-\(z\) samples can be attributed as the cause of all the systematic shift in EW Si II as seen in Fig. 5, a predicted high-\(z\) mean EW was calculated based on the mean high-\(z\) stretch and the low-\(z\) relation.
Figure 8. Histograms of the stretch distribution for the low-\(z\) (green), VLT (red) and Gemini (blue) samples. The dotted line marks the mean stretch for each sample. The low-\(z\) sample has a lower mean stretch than the two high-\(z\) samples.

From equation (5), this predicted high-\(z\) mean EW Si II can then be compared to the measured value.

From Fig. 8, the mean stretches for the high- and low-\(z\) samples are \(s_{\text{low-}z} = 0.96\), \(s_{\text{Gem}} = 1.00\) and \(s_{\text{VLT}} = 1.00\), with standard deviations \(\sigma_{\text{low-}z} = 0.10\), \(\sigma_{\text{Gem}} = 0.11\) and \(\sigma_{\text{VLT}} = 0.10\). Using the high-redshift standard deviation to produce upper and lower bounds and ignoring the errors on the fit in equation (5), the predicted mean high-\(z\) EW Si II values are 14.67 Å with a standard deviation of 7.34 Å (Gemini), and 14.67 Å with a standard deviation of 6.67 Å (VLT). Taking the weighted mean and standard deviations of the observed high-\(z\) trends in Fig. 6 yields observed mean EW Si II of 11.23 Å with a standard deviation of 4.58 Å (Gemini) and 11.05 with a standard deviation of 6.64 Å (VLT). Although the errors on the quantities are large, the predicted and observed high-\(z\) EW Si II are in good agreement with each other.

Thus, it appears that the difference in observed EW Si II between the low- and high-\(z\) samples can be explained by the difference in the stretch distributions of the two samples, i.e. the differences are due to changing demographics rather than a new, unexplained form of evolution.

5.2.2 EW Mg II and colour

The second strong correlation is seen between EW Mg II and colour. The low- and high-\(z\) data are plotted in Fig. 9. Fig. 9 highlights the differences in properties between the high- and low-\(z\) samples. The range of EW Mg II values in the high-\(z\) data is much broader than for the low-\(z\) sample, but the reason is unclear. This could be because the low-\(z\) sample is too small to be representative of the SNe Ia population as a whole. The high-\(z\) sample is suffering from selection bias as a rough colour-cut is applied during high-\(z\) target selection and we do not see the range of supernova colours observed in the low-\(z\) sample.

Fig. 10 shows that the colour distributions for all three samples are very different. The low-\(z\) sample contains a number of redder
objects which are not seen in the high-z data. These are not underluminous, redder supernovae, but objects classed as core normal. The Gemini distribution shows a strongly peaked distribution around $c = 0$ whereas the VLT distribution is much more spread out. The mean colours for the samples are $\tau_{\text{low-z}} = +0.08$, $\tau_{\text{Gemini}} = -0.03$ and $\tau_{\text{VLT}} = -0.04$.

It is not possible to state anything conclusive about any relationship between colour and EW Mg II as the data are very noisy at high $z$ and the sample at low $z$ is very small. This would be interesting to investigate further with better data as the relation could potentially reveal more about the supernova progenitor, but it is not possible at this time.

5.3 Applications of SNe Ia spectra in cosmological studies

Having identified two possible relations between spectral feature EWs and the photometric properties used for cosmological calibration, we now move on to looking at the cosmology studies directly. One of the aims of this study is to investigate potential uses for supernova spectra in the calibration of the objects for cosmological studies. This could be either as an alternative to the current method of photometric stretch and colour, or as a third calibrator, with the goal of reducing the scatter around the best-fitting line on the Hubble diagram and hence improve the accuracy of cosmological parameter estimation. The large, spectroscopic data set, with accompanying photometry, lends itself well to this type of study.

5.3.1 Cosmology fitter

In order to measure a scatter in the Hubble diagram, we must first fit the cosmology. In this section we detail the cosmological fitter used for this purpose. Throughout, we assume a flat universe with $w = -1$.

The supernova cosmology is fitted using a MINUIT-based, lightweight version of SIMPLE COSFITTER\(^5\) as opposed to a full Bayesian analysis used in Astier et al. (2006). We refit the data rather than using the cosmology found in Astier et al. (2006) because the samples used in the studies are different. A best-fitting method is faster and sufficiently precise for this study.

SIMPLE COSFITTER takes the supernova apparent $B$-band magnitudes at maximum, $m_B$; the redshift, $z$; the supernova light-curve stretch, $s$; and supernova light-curve colour $c$ and performs a least-squared fit to find the best-fitting values of $\Omega_M$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\mathcal{M}$ by minimizing the $\chi^2$ where

$$\chi^2 = \sum_{\text{objects}} \left( \frac{(\mu - 5 \log(d_L(z, \theta)/10 \text{pc}))^2}{\sigma^2(\mu) + \sigma^2_{\text{int}}} \right)$$

and

$$\mu = m_B - \mathcal{M} + \alpha(s - 1) - \beta c,$$

where $\theta$ stands for the cosmological parameters that define the fitted model, which in this case is simply $\Omega_M$; $\sigma(\mu)$ is the error on the observed distance modulus and $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ is the intrinsic dispersion in the supernova absolute magnitudes (Astier et al. 2006). A good fit is obtained by varying the parameter $\sigma_{\text{int}}$ manually until the $\chi^2 = 1$; the other parameters are varied by COSFITTER itself. By assuming a value of $H_0$, the mean supernova absolute magnitude $M_B$ can be calculated from the fitted value of $\mathcal{M}$.

The code can be exploited to fit alternatives to stretch and colour, e.g. EW. It was also adapted to fit for a fourth variable which has a linear contribution to the distance modulus. For instance, the fit could be performed using EW as a third possible calibrator:

$$\mu = m_B - \mathcal{M} + \alpha(s - 1) - \beta c + \gamma \text{EW}. \quad (9)$$

The code also enables evaluation of $\mathcal{M}$, $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\gamma$ within a fixed cosmology.

5.3.2 Cosmological parameters derived from photometric data

In this section, we fit the cosmology to the data sample in order to have a baseline to compare against when testing for spectroscopic calibrators.

As stated in Section 4.2, the low-$z$ sample used for the spectroscopy study is not sufficient for cosmology fitting. This is because most of the objects are not in the Hubble flow and their peculiar velocities affect their redshifts and hence their distances are very poorly constrained. To account for this, we use the low-$z$ sample from Astier et al. (2006) with photometry fitted using SiFTO, but removing the objects which also appear in the low-$z$ spectroscopy sample [those objects marked with an asterisk (*) in Table 3], to keep the cosmology and spectroscopy samples entirely separate. We also make stretch and colour cuts on the sample to remove any possible outliers which may not be fully described by the width-brightness or colour relations. The cuts are $0.75 \leq s \leq 1.25$ and $-0.25 \leq c \leq 0.25$ which leaves a sample of 32 low-$z$ objects, 75 Gemini objects and 66 VLT objects. This is similar to the cuts that would be applied for a full cosmology analysis.

For the first fit no stretch or colour correction was applied to the data. This is designated Fit A in Table 5. The fit shows that the intrinsic dispersion ($\sigma_{\text{int}}$) must be set to be quite high (0.255 mag) in order to obtain $\chi^2 = 1$. The value of $\Omega_M = 0.462$ is higher than current measurements which employ stretch and colour correction (e.g. Astier et al. 2006), but this is to be expected because at higher redshift the supernovae tend to be brighter which causes the fit to favour a higher matter fraction.

In Fit B, stretch and colour corrections are applied and the resulting best-fitting value of $\Omega_M$ is much lower. The value is within 2 standard deviations of that published in Astier et al. (2006). The latest full analysis of SNLS data finds $\Omega_M = 0.268 \pm 0.020$ (Sullivan et al. in preparation), and so the value calculated in Fit B is within 3 standard deviations. The value of $\alpha$ is very similar to the one achieved from the full analysis, and $\beta$ is very different from the value published in Astier et al. (2006), but in close agreement with the latest value from the SNLS analysis ($\beta = 2.9$). This is due to the fact that the treatment of the $\beta$ parameter in the fitting method is different from the one used in Astier et al. (2006). Fit B shows a reduced rms compared to Fit A which shows that the calibration applied using stretch and colour corrections is important. Applying these corrections also reduces the intrinsic scatter required for a good fit. It is a result similar to this, but using spectroscopic data, which is the goal of this part of the study.

5.3.3 Hubble residual correlations

Now the cosmology has been fitted to the data, residuals from the fit can be plotted against spectral features and possible alternative calibrators found. The Hubble residuals, $R$, as shown in Fig. 11, are defined as

$$R = m_B - m_{\text{cosmo}}(z, \Omega_M) \quad (10)$$

\(^5\) http://qold.astro.utoronto.ca/conley/simple_cosfitter/
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Table 5. Cosmology fits table. The fit from Astier et al. (2006) is included for reference. Fits C, D, E, F and G were made without the low-\(z\) sample and for Fits E, F and G the cosmology was fixed to the values from Fit B. Fit H was made without the use of the low-\(z\) sample, but the cosmology was not fixed. Parameters which were fixed are marked with an asterisk (*). The quoted values of \(\sigma_{\text{int}}\) are those used to obtain the best fits, except in Fit D where it is fixed to 0.10 mag.

| Fit | Extra calibrator | \(\sigma_{\text{int}}\) (mag) | rms (mag) | \(\Omega_M\) | \(\alpha\) | \(\beta\) | \(\gamma\) | \(M\) (mag) |
|-----|------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|
| Astier | – | – | – | 0.263 \(\pm\) 0.053 | 1.52 \(\pm\) 0.14 | 1.57 \(\pm\) 0.15 | – | 23.85 \(\pm\) 0.03 |
| A | – | 0.255 | 0.260 | 0.462 \(\pm\) 0.065 | – | – | – | 24.091 \(\pm\) 0.045 |
| B | – | 0.12 | 0.181 | 0.197 \(\pm\) 0.031 | 1.28 \(\pm\) 0.14 | 3.21 \(\pm\) 0.19 | – | 23.999 \(\pm\) 0.025 |
| C | – | 0.11 | 0.182 | 0.240 \(\pm\) 0.054 | 1.30 \(\pm\) 0.16 | 3.42 \(\pm\) 0.23 | – | 24.053 \(\pm\) 0.046 |
| D | – | 0.10* | 0.182 | 0.235 \(\pm\) 0.051 | 1.32 \(\pm\) 0.16 | 3.46 \(\pm\) 0.22 | – | 24.049 \(\pm\) 0.043 |
| E | Ca\textsuperscript{II} H\&K | 0.10 | 0.176 | 0.197* | 1.28* | 3.21* | 0.001 \(\pm\) 0.001 | 24.050 \(\pm\) 0.028 |
| F | Si\textsuperscript{II} | 0.10 | 0.183 | 0.197* | 1.28* | 3.21* | 0.006 \(\pm\) 0.002 | 24.084 \(\pm\) 0.030 |
| G | Mg\textsuperscript{II} | 0.10 | 0.177 | 0.197* | 1.28* | 3.21* | 0.000 \(\pm\) 0.001 | 24.044 \(\pm\) 0.039 |
| H | Si\textsuperscript{II} | 0.10 | 0.193 | 0.214 \(\pm\) 0.053 | 1.50 \(\pm\) 0.18 | 3.49 \(\pm\) 0.24 | 0.007 \(\pm\) 0.002 | 24.123 \(\pm\) 0.054 |

Figure 11. Hubble diagram for Fit B (solid line) which uses stretch and colour corrections, compared to the cosmology fit from Astier et al. (2006, dashed). The data used are the low-\(z\) cosmology sample from Astier et al. (2006), and all the VLT and Gemini objects from the samples defined in Section 2. Stretch and colour cuts are applied. In both plots, the black points are calculated using the distance moduli from the apparent magnitude of the supernova fitted by SiFTO and the cosmological parameters from Fit B, correcting for stretch and colour. The lines represent the distance moduli calculated from equation (8). The bottom plot shows the residuals from Fit B (see Section 5.3.3 for definition) compared to the Astier cosmology.

where \(m_B\) is the observed \(B\)-band apparent peak magnitude uncorrected for light-curve width or colour, and \(m_{\text{cosmo}}\) is derived from the cosmological parameters. The assumed cosmology is that measured using Fit A, i.e. \(\Omega_M = 0.462\) in a flat universe. The correlations with the photometric quantities stretch and colour are also presented as a guide as, naturally, strong correlations are expected for these variables. As in Section 5.2, we use only the high-\(z\) EW measurements from the least noisy 75 per cent of the sample.

For the low-\(z\) sample, the method of calculating the observed distance modulus is slightly different as not all the objects are in the Hubble flow. For five very nearby objects (see Table A6) which are not in the Hubble flow we use distance moduli measured from their host galaxies either using Cepheid variable stars (Saha et al. 2006) or galaxy surface brightness fluctuations (Ajhar et al. 2001). These values minus the value of \(M_B\) determined from the best-fitting value of \(\mathcal{M}\) from Fit A and assuming \(H_0 = 70\) \(\text{km s}^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\) are used as \(m_{\text{cosmo}}\). For five other objects, they are situated in host galaxies with \(z \geq 0.02\) and can be assumed to be in the Hubble flow and so the same method as the high-\(z\) sample to calculate \(m_{\text{cosmo}}\) is used. Plots of the low- and high-\(z\) data are shown in Fig. 12. The correlations are calculated and tabulated in the same way as Table 4 and presented in Table 5.

The strong correlations between stretch and colour and Hubble residual in Table 6 show that the current low- and high-\(z\) spectral features should not be used as direct replacements for either of the photometric properties as the relations are much weaker. The strongest correlation between one of the high-\(z\) spectral features and the residuals is for EW Mg\textsuperscript{II} for the VLT sample, but this is not seen in the Gemini data – the correlation goes in the opposite direction. However, the linear fits to the data in Fig. 12 where points are weighted according to their errors show the best-fitting lines all have positive gradients, implying that the negative correlation coefficient seen in the VLT data is spurious. By comparing Table 6 and Fig. 12, we can see that even applying a cut to remove the most noisy points from the high-\(z\) data, the correlation coefficient does not necessarily represent the best way to look for a relation between two quantities as it is affected by outliers.

Instead of looking to replace stretch or colour with one of the EW measurements, it might be more instructive to look for a correlation which exists between the EW and the Hubble residual after the application of stretch and colour correction. To do this, we fix the cosmology and values of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) to \(\Omega_M = 0.197\), \(\alpha = 1.28\) and \(\beta = 3.21\) as in Fit B, and use the adapted version of COSFITTER to fit equation (9).

To see just how great an improvement can be made, the intrinsic dispersion allowed to vary and equation (9) fitted allowing \(\mathcal{M}\), \(\sigma_{\text{int}}\), and \(\gamma\) as the free parameters. The cosmology must be fixed as the objects in the low-\(z\) cosmology sample do not have accompanying spectra and so could not be used to anchor the Hubble diagram for these fits. The results of this process are given by Fits E, F and G in Table 5. A best-fitting cosmology for the high-\(z\) data without the low-\(z\) sample is given in Fit D.

The results in Table 5 show that by adding in a third calibrator, the intrinsic dispersion necessary for a good fit can be decreased, as expected, from that required in Fit C. The Ca\textsuperscript{II} H\&K and Mg\textsuperscript{II}
features show values of $\gamma$ consistent with 0 and so they are ruled out as possible calibrators. However, for the Si II feature $\gamma$ is 3 standard deviations from zero and produces a value of $M$ consistent with the one in Fit B. The rms is comparable to the one obtained in Fit B as well.

In order to test whether this result is meaningful, the constraints on $\alpha$, $\beta$ and $\Omega_M$ were relaxed so they were free parameters. Even without a low-z sample to anchor the Hubble diagram at low redshift, the fit using EW Si II as a third calibrator still gave reasonable values for the parameters, denoted as Fit H in Table 5. However, the rms scatter was increased slightly to 0.193 mag. The reduced $\chi^2$ value for this fit is 1.00. If we compare this to Fit D, which has identical input parameters, except there is no third calibrator, $\chi^2 = 1.05$. Using an $F$-test, the significance of this is 2.67σ which is not conclusive, but it does show that the quality of the fit can be improved by adding a third calibrator. This third parameter may become more important when the measurements of EW Si II are less noisy.

From this it is clear that Si II could have a role to play in SNe Ia calibration for cosmology. However, with the large errors on the high-z EW measurements, the rms scatter around the best-fitting cosmology does not improve and it is not possible to draw any firm conclusions as to the value of $\gamma$. Instead, in Section 5.4, we demonstrate that the relationship between EW Si II and stretch in the low-z sample can be exploited to reduce the residuals on the Hubble diagram by reducing the scatter on $M_B$ measurements. This
imply that some of the intrinsic scatter can be calibrated out using spectral information.

5.4 EW Si II as a cosmological calibrator

Drawing on the fact that we have seen that EW Si II and photometric stretch are correlated, and that there is a strong correlation between the Hubble residuals and the low-z EW Si II, we will explore the possible use of EW Si II as a calibrator for the cosmology in place of stretch for the low-z sample. Here, the errors on the EW are smaller so it is possible to be more definite about the potential of the calibrator.

We divide the objects in the low-z spectroscopy sample which have photometry into two independent sub-sets in order to have a sample to define the relation and a separate one to test its use. The first sample (i) contains the objects with no reliable absolute distance measures, i.e. those without Cepheid or surface brightness fluctuation distances and not in the Hubble flow (those marked with a dagger symbol †) only in Table 3; and the second sample (ii) containing the rest (those marked as the symbols ‡). The two samples are illustrated in Fig. 13 as open circles for the objects with distance measurements and closed circles for those objects without. Using sample (i), the relation between stretch and EW Si II is refitted to derive an expression for ‘spectral stretch,’ , using LINFITEX. The best fit is given by

\[ s_{\text{spec}} = (-0.021 \pm 0.005) \text{EW}_{\text{Si II}} + (1.29 \pm 0.07), \]  

(11)

where \( \chi^2 = 1.55 \). This fit is different from the ones in equation (5) as fewer objects are used. The remaining 10 low-z objects were corrected for stretch and also spectral stretch according to the relation

\[ M_B = m_B - \mu + \alpha(s - 1), \]

where \( s \) can either be the normal photometric stretch or spectral stretch as fitted in equation (11). We then plot \( M_B \) against \( \mu \) for illustration purposes, and measure the weighted-mean, \( \bar{M}_B \), and the rms scatter about the mean. This is shown in Fig. 14. The values of \( \bar{M}_B \) and the rms are shown on each plot and summarized in Table 7.

For the purposes of this exercise we take the values of \( \Omega_M \) and \( \alpha \) from Fit B. The right-hand column of Fig. 14 shows the effect of also adding a correction for supernova colour.

Table 7 shows that the lowest rms is obtained when using photometric stretch and colour together, but when no colour correction is made, spectral stretch correction produces a lower rms than photometric stretch.

It is not possible to apply this correction to the high-z supernovae and see any improvement in the data due to the large errors on EW Si II, but in the future with a sample of well-measured EWS it is possible that spectral stretch could replace photometric stretch. In order to do this, we would require higher S/N spectra which could be obtained at the European Extremely Large Telescope (E-ELT). Using the E-ELT Spectroscopy Exposure Time Calculator,\(^6\) we can compare the change in S/N for identical setups on an 8.2-m telescope to what will be obtained on the 42-m telescope without any adaptive optics (AO) correction. For an exposure time of 2.5 h, the maximum time used for SNLS observations at the Gemini telescopes, the ETC gives an S/N of 3.9 per resolution element at 8000 Å for the 8.2 m using an \( R = 1000 \) grating. This increases by over a factor of 4 to 18.9 per resolution element when the telescope increases to 42 m in diameter. At this central wavelength, we would obtain high S/N spectra for the Si II feature up to and beyond \( z = 1 \) without requiring any correction for atmospheric effects. With AO correction, the increase in S/N would be even larger.

However, the stretch–EW Si II relation needs better calibration first using, for example, a large sample of low-z supernovae either with known host galaxy distances or within the Hubble flow. As seen from the \( \gamma \) parameter analysis in the noisy high-z data, as shown in Table 5, if corrections for photometric stretch, colour and spectral stretch/EW Si II can all be combined then there is the potential that calibration could be improved. Even if the inclusion of a spectral correction factor does not reduce the amount of total on-sky time required to observe the SN Ia, it would be worth doing if the calibration can be improved.

6 DISCUSSION

6.1 Tests for evolution

The comparison between the high- and low-z trends seen in Fig. 6 shows that for the Ca II H&K and Mg II features, the high-z sample

---

Table 6. Correlations between photometric and spectral properties and the Hubble residuals. There are not enough low-z objects with EW Ca II H&K measurements to measure a correlation.

| Variable | Sample | \( \rho \) | \( \sigma \) from null |
|----------|--------|----------|-----------------|
| Stretch  | Low z  | -0.45    | 1.62            |
|          | Gemini | -0.45    | 4.04            |
|          | VLT    | -0.51    | 4.10            |
| Colour   | Low z  | 0.79     | -2.73           |
|          | Gemini | 0.51     | -4.48           |
|          | VLT    | 0.58     | -4.67           |
| EW Ca II H&K | Low z | -0.13 | 0.97 |
|          | Gemini | 0.09     | -0.60           |
|          | VLT    | -0.13    | 0.97            |
| EW Si II | Low z  | 0.72     | -2.59           |
|          | Gemini | 0.13     | -0.94           |
|          | VLT    | 0.10     | -0.71           |
| EW Mg II | Low z  | 0.78     | -2.80           |
|          | Gemini | 0.05     | -0.38           |
|          | VLT    | -0.29    | 1.87            |

---

\(\text{http://www.eso.org} \)
Figure 14. Comparing spectral stretch correction to photometric stretch correction with and without colour correction. The value of $M_B$ given on the plot is the weighted mean of the points after the stretch correction. Without colour correction (left-hand column) the rms before any stretch correction is 0.45 mag and the mean absolute magnitude $M_B = -18.94$. With colour correction but no stretch correction (right-hand column), $M_B = -19.19$ mag and the rms is 0.24 mag. The distance modulus is calculated either from the host redshift for objects within the Hubble flow $z \geq 0.02$ or from Cepheid or surface brightness fluctuation measurements (see Table A6).

Table 7. A summary of the values of $M_B$ and the rms scatter about the mean for the fits in Fig. 14.

| Corrections applied | $M_B$ (mag) | rms (mag) |
|--------------------|-------------|-----------|
| None               | -18.94      | 0.45      |
| Spectral stretch   | -19.11      | 0.38      |
| Photometric stretch| -19.00      | 0.44      |
| Colour             | -19.19      | 0.24      |
| Colour + spectral stretch | -19.29 | 0.33 |
| Colour + photometric stretch | -19.25 | 0.20 |

is consistent with the low-$z$ trends, but the scatter on the data is quite large. For the Si ii feature, a large number of low EW measurements are seen in the high-$z$ data and the high-$z$ trend is consistently below the low-$z$ one. This can be explained as a change in the demographics. In Section 5.2.1 we see that light-curve stretch is correlated with EW Si ii. At higher redshift, due to the two-component model we expect more of the prompt component which are brighter and bluer as more $^{56}$Ni is thought to be produced during the explosion. This would produce less IME which is reflected in the lower EW Si ii.

To the level of current precision, the variation in mean EW Si ii between high and low redshift can be understood in terms of the combination of a change of demographics within a two-component SN model and an observed correlation between photometric stretch and EW Si ii. Therefore, we see no evidence for an additional bias that is not already accounted for by the standard photometric stretch and colour corrections. However, in future experiments which may go to even higher redshift to look for $w = w(z)$ (models where dark energy is not a cosmological constant) will need a higher level of precision. Future cosmological studies observing to $z = 1.7$ will need a precision of 2 per cent in the standardization of supernova magnitudes if they are to keep systematic errors smaller than statistical errors, and it is not yet clear whether the current methods of calibration will be suitable for this (Howell et al. 2007). We also know that the width–brightness relation may not be valid for supernovae with large stretch such as SN 2001ay-like objects.

It will only be possible to make a strong statement regarding the evolution of SNe Ia when there is a large, unbiased sample of low-$z$ spectra. In Fig. 8 we have only three low-$z$ objects in the $s = 1$ bin, compared to 14 in the VLT sample and 17 in the Gemini sample. Current and future surveys such as the Nearby Supernova Factory (Aldering et al. 2002), Palomar Transient Factory (Law et al. 2009), SkyMapper (Keller et al. 2007) and Pan-STARRS (Kaiser 2004) will largely address this problem.

6.2 Implications for cosmology

The origin of the width–luminosity relation is well-understood to the first order: a variation in the amount of $^{56}$Ni alters the amount
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To the level of current precision, the variation in mean EW Si ii between high and low redshift can be understood in terms of the combination of a change of demographics within a two-component SN model and an observed correlation between photometric stretch and EW Si ii. Therefore, we see no evidence for an additional bias that is not already accounted for by the standard photometric stretch and colour corrections. However, in future experiments which may go to even higher redshift to look for $w = w(z)$ (models where dark energy is not a cosmological constant) will need a higher level of precision. Future cosmological studies observing to $z = 1.7$ will need a precision of 2 per cent in the standardization of supernova magnitudes if they are to keep systematic errors smaller than statistical errors, and it is not yet clear whether the current methods of calibration will be suitable for this (Howell et al. 2007). We also know that the width–brightness relation may not be valid for supernovae with large stretch such as SN 2001ay-like objects.

It will only be possible to make a strong statement regarding the evolution of SNe Ia when there is a large, unbiased sample of low-$z$ spectra. In Fig. 8 we have only three low-$z$ objects in the $s = 1$ bin, compared to 14 in the VLT sample and 17 in the Gemini sample. Current and future surveys such as the Nearby Supernova Factory (Aldering et al. 2002), Palomar Transient Factory (Law et al. 2009), SkyMapper (Keller et al. 2007) and Pan-STARRS (Kaiser 2004) will largely address this problem.

6.2 Implications for cosmology

The origin of the width–luminosity relation is well-understood to the first order: a variation in the amount of $^{56}$Ni alters the amount
of gamma-rays emitted due to radioactive decay to $^{56}$Co and $^{56}$Fe which are absorbed and thermally reemitted by the expanding ejecta (Colgate & McKee 1969; Arnett 1979). The more $^{56}$Ni produced, the wider the light curve (Arnett 1982). This also means the production of less IME, which is reflected in Fig. 7 (see also fig. 2 in Sullivan et al. 2009). What causes the varying amount of $^{56}$Ni is not understood although it may be due in part to the way that burning of carbon and oxygen occurs in the supernova, or the metallicity of the progenitor. The relation between stretch and EW Si II from Fig. 7 is exploited in Section 5.4 for the low-$z$ data set to reduce the scatter of the objects on the Hubble plot by correcting $M_M$ using spectral stretch.

The origins of supernova colour are more complex than stretch and not as well-understood. At high redshift, the observed colours may be more affected by the environment surrounding the supernova simply due to the fact that it is not possible to separate the supernova light from the surrounding galaxy light, which is easier to do in the local universe where the host galaxy has a larger angular size on the sky (see Conley et al. 2007, for a discussion of the effect of dust and the colour parameter on cosmology). In addition to those effects, from studies in the local universe, there is known to be an intrinsic difference in supernova colours as well (Phillips 1993). In Table 6, we see that supernova colour has a stronger correlation with Hubble residual than stretch, implying that it is more important for calibration purposes.

Another application for spectral stretch is that it may allow more supernovae to be added to the low-$z$ sample for cosmology. Currently, few SNe can be used for both spectroscopic and photometric calibration because (i) of the availability of both high-quality spectra and photometry and (ii) the redshift ranges are somewhat different. For instance, in the spectroscopic sample used for this study, only five objects overlap with the Astier et al. (2006) cosmology sample. By taking the objects that have good spectroscopic coverage, instead of using photometrically derived properties to calibrate them, spectral stretch could be used. This would also require a $B$-band magnitude at maximum, or interpolated from other data, and a known distance modulus or redshift. There is not yet a spectral replacement for colour, but the EW Mg II–colour relation seen in the low-$z$ sample could be exploited for this purpose when the relationship is better quantified.

For future studies out to $z \approx 1.7$, the target for residual systematic uncertainties is required to be 0.02 mag to keep the systematic errors below the level of statistical errors (see also Howell et al. 2007; Kessler et al. 2009; Amanullah et al. 2010). By introducing a third calibrator based on EW Si II into the cosmology in Section 5.3.3 we find a non-zero value for the constant $\gamma$ whilst achieving a similar rms scatter around the best-fitting cosmology as when using standard photometric stretch and colour corrections. The estimate of $\Omega_M$ is also closer to the current value from the full Bayesian analysis of SNLS data. In the future with higher signal-to-noise ratio spectra, the EW Si II may provide a further method of cosmological calibration. At the moment, the best fit is not approaching the 2 per cent level. This can be done with more observations with high signal-to-noise ratio spectra and good photometric follow-up of discoveries.

6.3 Improvements

6.3.1 Galaxy subtraction method

The methods presented in Section 3.3 detail the way host galaxy contamination is removed from the spectra. This is made somewhat more difficult for the Gemini objects which were not observed at parallactic angle and hence the spectra suffer from slit-losses. The objects from the VLT sample were observed using an ADC and so this is less of a problem. Extraction of spectra from the host galaxy and the supernovae separately was not usually possible due to the small angular size of the galaxy on the sky. In order to subtract off host galaxy light, we must make an assumption about the nature of the background light, and we assume that it is a flat background in the slit. This assumption is reasonable in most cases, but it can fail when the supernovae are situated in the very centre of the host, or on the outskirts.

Another limitation is the number of galaxy template spectra available for the subtraction. In order to make a better subtraction, ideally we would reobserve the host galaxies after the supernova light has faded with an identical setup. However, there will be slight variations in the seeing and airmass so the correction would still not be perfect. Another method would be to use the CFHT imaging directly to aid subtraction. Photometry-Assisted Spectral Extraction (PHASE; Baumont et al. 2008) has been developed and used on SNLS-VLT spectra to extract the supernova spectrum with an extraction window determined from the position of the target in the imaging data (Balland et al. 2009). A $\chi^2$-minimization is used to evaluate the contribution of galaxy and supernova in each pixel to produce a spectrum composed of only supernova light. This method produces clean spectra for approximately 70 per cent of observations, but when the supernova is close to the centre of the host, or the FC of the spectrum is high, the method cannot separate the two components. These are two of the occasions when the multicolour host galaxy subtraction method also fails so PHASE could be used as an alternative to the method used in this study, but not as a replacement.

6.3.2 Low-$z$ trends

In comparison to the large number of high-$z$ objects included in this analysis, there are only 14 objects used to make the low-$z$ trends for the Ca II H&K feature, and 25 for the Si II and Mg II features. The sample is biased towards supernovae in bright, elliptical hosts which will tend to host redder supernovae with lower stretch (the delayed component from the two-component model). This can be seen in Fig. 8. The sample is also drawn from many sources where the photometric coverage is different. To do a study of this kind in the future to an increased level of precision we would require a large homogeneous sample of low-$z$ spectra and photometry. This may be possible with future unbiased SNe rolling searches. There are a number of low-$z$ surveys currently in progress, such as the KAIT, CfA CSP and projects, however these current projects do nothing to remedy the selection bias.

The trends shown in Fig. 4 reflect the diversity seen in the low-$z$ objects, an advantage of using the EW measurements directly; however, there is a much larger scatter on the high-$z$ data. Some of this scatter will be due to a lower signal-to-noise ratio in the high-$z$ data, but some might be intrinsic to the supernova populations that we do not observe in the low-$z$ sample due to its limited size. The lack of a well-calibrated set of supernova spectra which cover the blue Ca II H&K feature limits this part of the study particularly. There might be additional subtypes in the supernovae population, or transitional objects, which have not yet been identified. It is not yet clear whether there is a continual class of objects from underluminous SN 1991bg-like supernovae (Filippenko et al. 1992a) to overluminous SN 1991T-like objects (Filippenko et al. 1992b), or whether...
the progenitors are different (e.g. Benetti et al. 2005; Branch et al. 2006). Indeed, unusual objects falling outside these classes are now observed.

One of the most interesting results to come out of the low-\(z\) trend of the Mg\(\,\text{II}\) feature is the fact that this feature seems to be constant with time and with very little scatter between objects. The feature is not made up of solely Mg\(\,\text{II}\), but also contains Fe\(\,\text{II}\) and Fe\(\,\text{III}\) which are thought to be sensitive to temperature variations in the supernova and drive the relation between \(R_{6325/435}\) and colour described in Bailey et al. (2009). Furthermore, the recombination from Fe\(\,\text{III}\) to Fe\(\,\text{II}\) changes the opacity of the ejecta and causes the secondary maximum in the supernova light curves (Kasen 2006). We see weak correlations between EW Mg\(\,\text{II}\) and colour in Fig. 9, but there is a large variation in the relations between the low- and high-\(z\) data. As there is a larger range of colours observed in the low-\(z\) sample compared to the high-\(z\) sample, we would expect any diversity to be seen in the low-\(z\) trend. A larger data set at low \(z\) would enable this to be investigated further.

7 CONCLUSIONS

The aims of this study were to observe spectroscopically potential Type Ia supernovae and confirm their type and redshift in order for them to be used for cosmology, to study the spectra of the objects themselves to verify their use as cosmological standard candles and to investigate new ways the spectral information can be used to calibrate the objects for cosmology.

The first aim is easily satisfied. Here, we present observations of 95 targets, 68 of which were confirmed as SNe Ia or probable SNe Ia events. Eight events were confirmed as being core-collapse in nature, leaving 19 observations as probably not Ia in nature or of unknown type. The spectra are presented in Appendix B which is available online (see Supporting Information). The spectroscopic observations of such a large data set, especially when combined with other SNLS observations, provide an opportunity to study supernovae covering billions of years of cosmic look-back time. SNLS provides not only spectroscopic coverage, but also complete light curves in four bands to fit the photometric properties such as apparent magnitude, stretch and colour, and deep images of the host galaxy in five bands. In total, spectral analysis was possible for 144 objects drawn from SNLS survey work at the Gemini and VLT telescopes, covering a redshift range of \(0.184 \leq z \leq 1.002\).

The second aim was investigated in Section 5.1 where high-\(z\) EW measurements of the Ca\(\,\text{II}\) H&K, Si\(\,\text{II}\) and Mg\(\,\text{II}\) spectral features are compared to trends seen in a low-\(z\) sample. We find that for the Ca\(\,\text{II}\) H&K feature, the scatter on the measurements at low and high \(z\) is large, but the features appear to be consistent with each other. The Mg\(\,\text{II}\) feature has a much lower scatter at low redshift than with high-\(z\) measurements and the statistical comparison between the two sample yields \(\chi^2 > 1\); however, there does not appear to be a systematic shift in the mean value of EW Mg\(\,\text{II}\) between the two samples. The Si\(\,\text{II}\) feature has a lower trend at high redshift compared to low redshift. This is explained by the fact that EW Si\(\,\text{II}\) is seen to be anticorrelated with photometric stretch. At high redshift we see more of the prompt component from the ‘A + B’ model which are brighter and have a broader light curve due to greater 56Ni production. These supernovae have larger stretches and hence smaller EW Si\(\,\text{II}\). We also observe different correlations between EW Mg\(\,\text{II}\) and photometric colour for the low- and high-\(z\) samples. This difference is probably due to a difference in sample selection and the colour-cut introduced in the high-\(z\) target selection in particular. The origin of any possible relationship between colour and EW Mg\(\,\text{II}\) is not understood, but may be connected to the balance between Fe\(\,\text{II}/\text{Fe}\,\text{II}\) which are also present in the feature. It does not appear to systematically affect the comparison of EW trends despite the high-\(z\) sample being bluer than the low-\(z\) sample.

We see that the high-\(z\) sample has different stretch and colour distributions from the low-\(z\) sample and believe that the difference seen in the measurement of EW Si\(\,\text{II}\) is due to these differences. We find nothing to introduce doubt as to the use of SNe Ia as standardizable candles for cosmology at their current level of precision.

The final aim, to exploit spectral properties to aid cosmological calibration, is studied in Section 5.3. We find that the spectral features do not correlate with Hubble diagram residuals as strongly as photometric stretch or colour, but significant relationships are seen between the residuals and EW Si\(\,\text{II}\) and EW Mg\(\,\text{II}\). This is to be expected as we see relations between EW Si\(\,\text{II}\) and EW Mg\(\,\text{II}\), and stretch and colour, respectively. The high-\(z\) data are too noisy to be used to produce a spectroscopically calibrated Hubble diagram. However, we demonstrate that such a calibration may be possible by defining a spectral stretch from a subset of the low-\(z\) sample based on the observed relationship between EW Si\(\,\text{II}\) and photometric stretch. This is then applied to other low-\(z\) supernovae with known distance moduli or which are within the Hubble flow, and we demonstrate that this reduces the scatter on the measurement of the absolute magnitude. The spectral stretch correction reduces the rms on the measurement of \(H_0\) to comparable, in fact slightly smaller, value than that obtained by photometric stretch correction, although this is demonstrated for a small sample of objects. This shows that in the future it may be possible to use spectral information from the Si\(\,\text{II}\) feature to improve cosmological calibration and reduce the systematic errors on measurements of cosmological parameters.
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