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SUMMARY

Homeostatic synaptic scaling allows for bi-directional adjustment of the strength of synaptic connections in response to changes in their input. Protein phosphorylation modulates many neuronal processes, but it has not been studied on a global scale during synaptic scaling. Here, we use liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analyses to measure changes in the phosphoproteome in response to up- or down-scaling in cultured cortical neurons over minutes to 24 h. Of ~45,000 phosphorylation events, ~3,300 (associated with 1,285 phosphoproteins) are regulated by homeostatic scaling. Activity-sensitive phosphoproteins are predominantly located at synapses and involved in cytoskeletal reorganization. We identify many early phosphorylation events that could serve as sensors for the activity offset as well as late and/or persistent phosphorylation that could represent effector mechanisms driving the homeostatic response. Much of the persistent phosphorylation is reciprocally regulated by up- or down-scaling, suggesting that mechanisms underlying these two poles of synaptic regulation make use of a common signaling axis.

INTRODUCTION

Adjustments to neuronal network properties can be achieved by activity-dependent plasticity. Homeostatic synaptic scaling is one such form of plasticity that involves the compensatory and global up- or downregulation of synaptic strength in response to changes in the level of synaptic input. For example, homeostatic up-scaling occurs when network activity is reduced by the cessation of action potentials. In contrast, homeostatic down-scaling can be elicited when network activity is elevated by blocking inhibitory synaptic transmission (Turrigiano, 2008, 2012). Mechanistically speaking, both up-scaling and down-scaling converge on the modulation of synaptic AMPA receptors to bring about the compensatory change in synaptic strength (O’Brien et al., 1998; Turrigiano et al., 1998). Other molecules like NMDA-type glutamate receptors, postsynaptic scaffold proteins such as PSD-95 and Homer, or soluble factors like Bdnf have been implicated in synaptic scaling, as have global changes in the synthesis and degradation of proteins (Dörbaum et al., 2020; Ehlers, 2003; Schanzenbächer et al., 2016).

For scaling to occur, neurons must possess molecular mechanisms that sense the change in overall activity level (sensors) and then implement the scaling response (effectors). Both modeling and experimental studies suggest that intracellular Ca2+ levels may serve as an activity-regulated early signal during scaling (Ibata et al., 2008; Marder and Prinz, 2003; Thiagarajan et al., 2005). Phosphorylation is an important and ubiquitous post-translational modification that is often Ca2+ sensitive and can alter a protein’s catalytic activity, localization, interactions, or stability (Humphrey et al., 2015). Ca2+-sensitive kinases and phosphatases enable differential phosphorylation and dephosphorylation of various target proteins. For example, Ca2+/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II α (Camk2a) is a well-studied kinase that is important for many different forms of plasticity. During long-term potentiation (LTP), Camk2a undergoes increases in auto-phosphorylation on Thr286, resulting in Ca2+-independent kinase activity (Fukunaga et al., 1993; Miller and Kennedy, 1986). While phosphorylation events are perhaps ideal for the initial detection of plasticity-induced stimuli, the long-term regulation of kinases (including Camk2a) and phosphatases can also mediate persistent aspects of synaptic and behavioral plasticity (Farley and Schuman, 1991; Malinow et al., 1988; Martin et al., 1997).

Many synaptic receptors and scaffold elements are known to be phosphorylated in the context of normal synaptic function (Greengard et al., 1993; Nestler and Greengard, 1983) and plasticity (Diering et al., 2017; Engholm-Keller et al., 2019; van Gelder et al., 2020; Kohansal-Nodehi et al., 2016; Lee, 2006). Data from a previous broad-scale proteomics analysis revealed kinases and phosphatases as the largest differentially regulated group of newly synthesized proteins during homeostatic scaling (Schanzenbächer et al., 2016). Differential protein phosphorylation during synaptic scaling has also been described by candidate-based approaches (Jang et al., 2015; Sanderson et al., 2018). Recently, Yong et al. (2020) described the phosphorylation of the AMPA receptor subunit 2A (Tyr876) during the late phase of homeostatic up-scaling using phospho-deficient...
knock-in mice. Other recent studies have examined phosphorylation during sleep (Brüning et al., 2019; Diering et al., 2017) or in short-term plasticity/stimulation (Engholm-Keller et al., 2019; van Gelder et al., 2020; Kohansal-Nodehi et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016) or have studied its role in a disease-related context (e.g., Alzheimer’s disease [AD]; Bai et al., 2020).

Here, we investigated proteome-wide protein phosphorylation following global, bi-directional homeostatic scaling in primary cultured cortical neurons. We made use of a bottom-up, liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS)-based proteomics pipeline that enabled us to identify and quantify unmodified and phosphorylated proteins in a global and unbiased manner. We monitored the activity-sensitive phosphorylation events at the timescale of minutes or 1 day following scaling, establishing a dataset of 3,382 differentially regulated phosphorylation events of 1,285 unique proteins associated with different phases and types of homeostatic scaling. We found distinct regulatory signatures converging on proteins of the synaptic compartment that exhibited persistent, reciprocal, and time-sensitive phosphorylation. A quarter of the initial phosphorylation events detected were persistently regulated in a reciprocal manner, reflecting the opposite polarity of up- and down-scaling.

**RESULTS**

**Activity-dependent protein phosphorylation in cortical neurons**

To investigate the dynamics of activity-dependent protein phosphorylation in cortical neurons, we conducted MS-based phosphoproteomics during homeostatic plasticity (Figure 1A). Homeostatic up- or down-scaling was induced by treatment with tetrodotoxin (1 μM; TTX) or bicuculline (20 μM; Bic), respectively. We explored the temporal dynamics of protein phosphorylation during plasticity by examining protein phosphorylation after 5 min, 15 min, or 24 h of stimulation. Temporal snapshots of the proteome and the phosphoproteome were acquired by high-resolution LC-MS/MS analyses using a bottom-up, label-free proteomics approach (see Method details; Tables S1 and S2). Each of the six different experimental conditions was analyzed in four independent biological replicates, which were injected in three technical replicate LC-MS/MS runs.

Across all experimental groups, our analysis detected 4,520 phosphorylated proteins identified in depth with 45,691 phosphopeptide species. These phosphorylation events were filtered for reliable phosphorylation site assignment with a location probability greater than 75% (class I), allowing us to map the modification site to a specific amino acid residue (Figure 1B). In downstream analyses, peptides with insufficient modification site localization (class II/III sites) were discarded. The class I phosphorylation events had a depth of 40,395 peptide species, mapping to 26,642 unique phosphorylation sites. The distribution of phosphorylated serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues was 80.2%, 17.7%, and 2.1%, respectively (Figure 1B), similar to what has been observed in other proteomics experiments (Brüning et al., 2019; Ubersax and Ferrell, 2007). We quantified differential phosphorylation by comparing phosphorylated peptide species in each (up- or down-) scaling group to its associated control sample. Only phosphopeptide species passing stringent criteria were used in downstream analyses (see Method details).

The induction of homeostatic up- or down-scaling led to a large and global regulation of protein phosphorylation (see Table S3). Treatment with Bic (down-scaling induction) for 5 min, 15 min, or 24 h resulted in a significant alteration of 811, 1,294, or 1,404 phosphorylated peptide species, respectively (referred to as “regulated phospho-events”; Figure 1C). Treatment with TTX (up-scaling induction) for 5 min, 15 min, or 24 h resulted in a significant alteration of 325, 407, or 1,285 phosphorylation events, respectively (Figure 1D). The above changes in protein phosphorylation could result from plasticity-induced changes in protein levels, which have been previously documented (Dörbaum et al., 2020; Schanzenbächer et al., 2016). We assessed the overlap of significantly regulated phospho-events with proteins that underwent significant changes in their abundance (Figures S1A–S1D; Table S4) and found a very small overlap (Figures S1E and S1F; 5%, 14%, and 9% of the regulated phospho-events at 15 min Bic, 24 h Bic, and 24 h TTX treatment were also regulated at the protein level).

The above dataset of 3,382 different activity-regulated phosphorylation events was associated with 1,285 unique proteins (Figures 1C, 1D, and S2). Differential phosphorylation spread to a broader target spectrum as the activity manipulation progressed and often led to multi-site phosphorylation (Figures S3A–S3C). To reveal functional classes of the proteins significantly regulated by phosphorylation, we performed a Gene Ontology (GO) overrepresentation analysis of the regulated phosphoproteins (false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.01). The analysis was conducted separately for up- or downregulated phosphorylated proteins. Consistent with the change in synaptic strength elicited by scaling, we found overrepresentation of the term “synapse” during the whole course of stimulation for both up- and down-scaling, indicating the differential phosphorylation of synaptic proteins (Figure 1E). For both up- and down-scaling, there was also significant overrepresentation of “cytoskeleton organization” as the activity manipulation progressed. During Bic-induced down-scaling, additional terms that were consistently overrepresented were “calmodulin binding” and “post-synaptic density.” Altogether, the analysis revealed that during up- and down-scaling, there was rapid and long-lasting phophoregulation in the synaptic compartment and a progressive re-organization of the cytoskeleton.

As the experiments were performed using mixed cultures obtained from the cerebral cortex, we assessed regulatory contribution of cell-type-specific proteins using the top 50 markers of a previously published cell-type-resolved proteomic dataset (Sharma et al., 2015) or an excitatory neuron-specific classifier (Glock et al., 2020). We mapped the markers to the differentially regulated phosphoproteome (Figures S4A–S4D) and found that neuron-specific phosphoproteins were a dominant contributor (overall 67%; 71% on event level) to the regulation observed during the homeostatic response.

**Phosphoprotein dynamics during synaptic scaling**

How is protein phosphorylation regulated over time during homeostatic scaling? For both up- and down-scaling, the extent of phophoregulation clearly increased with the duration of the manipulation (Figures 1C and 1D). While most of the regulated
phosphorylation events (62%, n = 2,112) were associated with a single time point (down-scaling, 72%; up-scaling, 84%; Figure S5A), there were also a large number of persistent phosphorylation events (observed at all times) for each form of scaling (Figures 2A and 2B). To examine the regulation associated with the different phases of plasticity, we first binned the time-limited...
phospho-events for each type of scaling (Figure 2A; Table S5). A large number of temporally specific phospho-events were detected in the early (n = 1,149) and late phases (n = 830) of down-scaling, whereas during up-scaling, the number of phosphorylation events increased dramatically over time (n = 392 and 1,061 for early and late time points, respectively). Most of the time-limited events were specific for either up- or down-scaling. These phosphorylation events could represent sensors/effectors that were specific to the sign of the plasticity. On the other hand, we also observed overlap in the phosphorylation targets observed in up- and down-scaling: 110 events during the early phase and 149 during the late phase of plasticity (Figure 2C).

Figure 2. Phosphorylation dynamics during synaptic scaling
(A) Time-limited phosphorylation events were grouped according to the classification indicated in the table (left). Dark color indicates significant regulation at the highlighted time point. The bar chart (right) displays the distribution and number (insets on bars) of early and late phosphorylation events for Bic and TTX treatment.

(B) Significantly regulated phosphorylation events over time. About a quarter (Bic: 27%; TTX: 24%) of the initially regulated phosphorylation events exhibited significant changes at all time points.

(C) Overlap of the phosphorylation events in the temporal groups as observed between the treatment groups is indicated in absolute numbers in the table. The percentage of overlapping phosphorylation events exhibiting reciprocal regulation is written below.

(D) Hierarchical clustering (Euclidean distance) was performed on the log2-fold changes (treatment versus control) of the phosphorylation event intensities regulated during up- and down-scaling as described in (C). Shown is a heatmap (red, increase in phosphorylation; blue, decrease in phosphorylation) indicating two major clusters for both up- and down-scaling. There was a significant decrease in intensity over time in the down-scaling phosphorylation increase cluster and a significant increase in intensity over time in the up-scaling phosphorylation decrease cluster (p < 0.05; ANOVA and Tukey honestly significant difference [HSD] post hoc).

(E) GO overrepresentation analysis of the persistent Bic-evoked (top) and TTX-evoked (bottom) subset of phosphorylation events (Benjamini-Hochberg correction; FDR < 0.01). Selected terms and their adjusted p values are shown (see Table S6 for all terms).
To investigate the temporal evolution of phosphorylation during homeostatic scaling, we performed a hierarchical clustering analysis of the persistent phosphorylation events. Approximately a quarter of initial phosphorylation events (regulated at 5 min) exhibited significant regulation at all three tested time points (Figure 2B). We subjected the log₂-transformed fold changes of all the persistently regulated phosphorylation events to hierarchical clustering (Figures 2D, S5B, and SSC). The long-lasting phosphorylation events formed two distinct clusters during up- or down-scaling: one cluster represented a persistent increase in phosphorylation, and the other represented a persistent decrease in phosphorylation. During down-scaling, the phosphorylation increase cluster was large, and the phosphorylation decrease cluster was smaller, whereas the opposite was true for up-scaling. This continuous character of the persistent phospho-events was largely preserved when we expanded the bona fide, persistent group to phospho-events that were significantly regulated at 15 min and 24 h (and not 5 min) during up- or down-scaling (Figure S5C).

Which phosphorylated proteins are part of these persistently regulated clusters? We performed GO overrepresentation analyses for the persistently regulated phosphoproteins and found, again, many protein groups related to synaptic function (Figure 2E). For example, for both up- and down-scaling, the term “postsynapse” was overrepresented. Similarly, “postsynaptic density,” “regulation of synaptic plasticity,” and “chemical synaptic transmission” were overrepresented in the Bic-induced persistent subset of signals and “postsynaptic specialization” in the TTX-induced persistent subset. The term “calmodulin binding” for phosphoproteins regulated during down-scaling was also observed. Indeed, we detected the persistent auto-phosphorylation site Thr²⁸⁶⁶ on Camk2a, which leads to Ca²⁺-independent enzyme activity (Miller and Kennedy, 1986). We also observed a persistent increase in the phosphorylation of Ser⁴²¹ of Methyl-CpG-binding protein 2 (Mecp2), the Rett syndrome protein implicated in synaptic plasticity and an established Camk2a substrate (Amir et al., 1999; Zhou et al., 2006). Furthermore, phosphorylation at Ser⁵⁸⁶ on Synapsin 1 (Syn1), another Camk2a-regulated site (Czernik et al., 1987), also showed persistent regulation. Both lists of significantly overrepresented terms also included the cytoskeleton: “cytoskeleton organization” and “locomotion” during down-scaling or “actin cytoskeleton” and “cytoskeleton” during up-scaling.

Comparing the phosphorylation pattern of up- and down-scaling
Homeostatic up- and down-scaling involve the reciprocal regulation of synaptic strength in response to activity that is either decreased or increased relative to a set point. Although both forms of scaling converge on the same phenotypic endpoint (the number of synaptically localized AMPA receptors), the cell-signaling mechanisms are not well understood. To examine whether the same proteins were regulated by up- and down-scaling, we expanded the clustering analysis to phosphorylation events that were regulated in at least four of six possible conditions across both experimental groups. The phosphorylation events that were regulated in both scaling groups showed a remarkable reciprocity in the sign of regulation (Figure 3A): upregulated phosphorylation events during down-scaling were downregulated during up-scaling, and vice versa. We generated protein-protein-interaction maps of the reciprocally regulated phosphoproteins of the two major clusters and annotated them (Figure 3B). The smaller cluster of reciprocal phosphorylation events was downregulated during down-scaling and upregulated during the up-scaling. Three small interaction networks were formed by presynaptic proteins (Aak1, Bin1, Amph, or Snap91), proteins associated with ubiquitin signaling (Nedd4I or Lmo7), but also by cytoskeletal proteins (Epb4113 or Epb4111). The second cluster of reciprocal phosphorylation events was upregulated during down-scaling and downregulated during up-scaling. In total, nine interaction networks were generated from this set. The smaller subnetworks comprise phosphoproteins directly involved in ubiquitin signaling (Uba1, Uba5, Ube20, or Herc1) or associated with translation initiation (Eif4b and Eif4g). Another subnetwork contained proteins of the presynaptic compartment following the same regulation pattern. The largest subnetwork comprised phosphoproteins of the postsynaptic compartment. Postsynaptic density protein 95 (Dlg4, PSD-95), a postsynaptic scaffold protein implicated in synaptic plasticity (Bats et al., 2007; Vallejo et al., 2017), emerged as a central hub. Other phosphoproteins that exhibited this reciprocal regulation profile were important cytoskeleton interactors such as Synap1 or Map1a, but also proteins known to interact with or traffic neurotransmitter receptors (Grip1, Sh3kbp1, or Lrrc7). Of note, phosphorylation sites on some proteins in this network have been reported to affect the protein’s function directly (e.g., phosphorylated Ser⁷⁷⁸⁸ and Ser⁸⁸⁴⁰ of the Ryanodine receptor 2 [RyR2] increases channel conductance) (Ferrero et al., 2007; Huke and Bers, 2008).

To understand how this bi-directional phosphorylation pattern is achieved, we investigated kinases and phosphatases that were differentially phosphorylated by scaling. We detected many regulated phospho-events on kinases and, to a lesser extent, also on phosphatases (Figures S6A–S6D). Prominent activity-dependent kinases were central nodes in the postsynaptic subnetwork. For example, the activating phosphorylation sites of Camk2a (Thr²⁸⁶⁶), ERK1 (Thr²⁰³/Tyr²⁰⁵), or ERK2 (Thr¹⁸³/Tyr¹⁸⁵) showed significant reciprocal regulation. Subunits of Ca²⁺-sensitive phosphatases (Ppdc3a-c) were also reciprocally phosphorylated (Figure S6D), though lacking site-specific information to assess functional contribution. We focused the analysis on kinases and next performed kinase-substrate-enrichment analysis (KSEA) where kinase activity (kinase Z score; Figure 3C; Table S7) was calculated from the phosphorylation status of reported substrates (Figure S6E). There, persistent and bi-directional behavior could be detected by the kinase Z scores of Camk2a and upstream of ERK1/2 (Map2k1).

We validated the patterns of Camk2a and MAP-kinase phosphorylation using protein-specific antibodies together with phospho-specific antibodies (Figure 4). Overall, the pattern of phosphorylation observed with phospho-specific antibodies was very similar to that observed in the MS data. Using immunoblotting, we found that down-scaling resulted in a significant increase in Camk2a Thr²⁸⁶⁶ phosphorylation at both 5 min and 24 h, whereas up-scaling resulted in a trend for enhanced phosphorylation at 24 h (Figures 4A and 4B). For both ERK1 Thr²⁰³/
Tyr205 and ERK2 Thr183/Tyr185, down-scaling resulted in a significant increase in phosphorylation at both 5 min and 24 h (Figures 4C and 4D). In contrast, up-scaling resulted in a significant decrease in phosphorylation, which was a clear trend at 5 min and significantly different from control at 24 h (Figures 4C and 4D). Taken together, these data suggest that the activity of Ca2+-sensitive kinase Camk2a and ERK1/2 drives persistent phosphorylation during Bic-induced down-scaling, while they appear deactivated during TTX-induced up-scaling.

Mapping the regulation of the synaptic phosphoproteome over time

To examine the different phospho-patterns in the synaptic compartment, we generated time-resolved and site-specific maps of the synaptic phosphoproteome during homeostatic up- and down-scaling (Figure 5; Table S8). The protein-based visualization of phosphoregulation affirmed the similarity between both scaling polarities, as seen by the common phosphoproteins (n = 94, marked with asterisks). Site-specific annotation revealed that different modes of phosphorylation converged on phosphoproteins in both the pre- and postsynaptic compartments. Many phosphoproteins were phosphorylated at more than one phospho-site during the experiment. An example is the differential phosphorylation of Dlg4. During Bic-induced down-scaling, Dlg4 exhibited upregulation at three different sites (Tyr240, Ser418, and Ser422) assigned to all three temporal classes (early, late, and persistent/reciprocal). During TTX-induced up-scaling, significant changes were limited to

Tyro305 and ERK2 Thr183/Tyr185, down-scaling resulted in a significant increase in phosphorylation at both 5 min and 24 h (Figures 4C and 4D). In contrast, up-scaling resulted in a significant decrease in phosphorylation, which was a clear trend at 5 min and significantly different from control at 24 h (Figures 4C and 4D). Taken together, these data suggest that the activity of Ca2+-sensitive kinase Camk2a and ERK1/2 drives persistent phosphorylation during Bic-induced down-scaling, while they appear deactivated during TTX-induced up-scaling.

Mapping the regulation of the synaptic phosphoproteome over time

To examine the different phospho-patterns in the synaptic compartment, we generated time-resolved and site-specific maps of the synaptic phosphoproteome during homeostatic up- and down-scaling (Figure 5; Table S8). The protein-based visualization of phosphoregulation affirmed the similarity between both scaling polarities, as seen by the common phosphoproteins (n = 94, marked with asterisks). Site-specific annotation revealed that different modes of phosphorylation converged on phosphoproteins in both the pre- and postsynaptic compartments. Many phosphoproteins were phosphorylated at more than one phospho-site during the experiment. An example is the differential phosphorylation of Dlg4. During Bic-induced down-scaling, Dlg4 exhibited upregulation at three different sites (Tyr240, Ser418, and Ser422) assigned to all three temporal classes (early, late, and persistent/reciprocal). During TTX-induced up-scaling, significant changes were limited to
three different phospho-sites that were either continuously regulated (Ser\textsuperscript{422}; downregulated) or regulated during the late phase of stimulation (Ser\textsuperscript{73}; upregulated; Ser\textsuperscript{418}; downregulated). These modifications were mostly reciprocally regulated (Ser\textsuperscript{422}, Ser\textsuperscript{418}) and located within or close to the PDZ domains of Dlg4-regions that have already been associated with fine-tuning Dlg4’s molecular associations (Pedersen et al., 2017). Another example of a multiple phosphorylation site protein is Rims1, a scaffold element at the presynaptic active zone that regulates neurotransmitter release (Castillo et al., 2002). Rims1 contained six regulated phospho-sites during down-scaling and nine regulated sites during up-scaling. During the late phase of up-scaling, an increase in Ser\textsuperscript{592} phosphorylation of Rims1 (Ser\textsuperscript{413} in mice) was observed, which is associated with LTP and the recruitment of 14-3-3 adaptor proteins (Simsek-Duran et al., 2004).

In contrast to the highly regulated groups/phosphorylation hotspots, other phosphoproteins were exclusively regulated during one particular scaling phenotype. For example, phosphorylation events of the proteins complexin-1 (Cplx1) and Cplx2 of the presynaptic active zone showed a significant change only during the early phase of Bic-induced down-scaling. In addition, Bic-induced phosphorylation of two postsynaptic proteins—synaptic adhesion-like molecule 1/2 (Lrfn2 and Lrfn1), known to interact with central proteins of the postsynaptic density (e.g., Dlg4, Gria1 and Grin1; Ko et al., 2006)—was exclusively observed at 24 h. For TTX-induced up-scaling, three phosphorylation sites on Rims2, also a

---

**Figure 4. Verification of phosphoregulated Camk2a, ERK1, and ERK2 by immunoblotting**

(A) Western blot (WB) images for pCamk2a (pThr\textsuperscript{286}) and total Camk2a. Analyses were performed using three independent biological replicates of Bic-treated, TTX-treated, and untreated (control) neurons for 5 min (top) or 24 h (bottom).

(B) Bar graphs show quantification of pCamk2a detected by WB in comparison to the phosphopeptide’s signals quantified via LC-MS/MS (MS) after 5 min (left) or 24 h (right) Bic or TTX stimulation. Protein signals of the blot were quantified based on the intensity ratio of the phosphorylated protein over total protein normalizing treated conditions to the untreated control. Log\textsubscript{2}-scaled MS intensity of the phosphorylated peptide is depicted as the fold change of treatment over control as well (see Method details). Error bars represent the standard deviation between biological replicates (WB, n = 3; MS, n = 4). For statistical analysis of the WB data, a two-sided t test was performed: ***p < 0.001; **p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; . p < 0.1; n.s., not significant. For MS-data, ** FDR < 0.01.

(C) WB images for pERK1 (pThr\textsuperscript{202}/pTyr\textsuperscript{204}) or pERK2 (pThr\textsuperscript{183}/pTyr\textsuperscript{185}) and total ERK1 and ERK2. Analyses were performed using three independent biological replicates of Bic-treated, TTX-treated, and untreated (control) neurons for 5 min (left) or 24 h (right).

(D) Bar graphs show quantification of pERK1 and pERK2 detected by WB in comparison to the phosphopeptides quantified via LC-MS/MS (MS) after 5 min (left) or 24 h (right) Bic or TTX stimulation. WB and statistical analyses were performed and visualized as described in (B).
protein of the presynaptic active zone, were regulated only at 24 h.

Lastly, we compared the phosphoregulation we observed during our synaptic scaling experiments to phosphoregulated proteins detected in previously published phosphoproteomics experiments. In general, the phosphoregulated proteins in our dataset were much more extensive but overlapped with the phosphoproteins detected following other forms of synaptic plasticity (Li et al., 2016), depolarization (Kohansal-Nodehi et al., 2016), or mGluR-dependent long-term depression (LTD) (van Gelder et al., 2020). Altogether, the phosphoproteins associated with synaptic scaling identified here captured between 60% and 75% of the phosphoproteins identified in these previous plasticity studies. In addition, we also identified ~40% of the phosphoproteins identified in a recent study examining the molecular time course of pathology associated with AD (Bai et al., 2020) (Figure S7B).

**DISCUSSION**

We generated a comprehensive, time-resolved map of the differential protein phosphorylation underlying homeostatic scaling in cultured cortical neurons. In response to the global activity manipulations that induce scaling, we detected both time-limited and persistent changes in over 3,300 phosphorylation events. We complemented our phosphoproteomic analyses with quantification of the total proteome and found a negligible contribution of protein abundance to the regulated phosphorylation events. Regulated phosphoproteins were significantly enriched for synaptic functions and cytoskeletal organization. As discussed below, a significant number of phosphoproteins were phosphorylated reciprocally during up- and down-scaling, reflecting the opposing scaling polarity.

Previous high-throughput studies investigated protein phosphorylation as a regulatory element in sleep (Brünig et al., 2019; Diering et al., 2017), LTP (Li et al., 2016), depolarization (Engholm-Keller et al., 2019; Kohansal-Nodehi et al., 2016), or mGluR-dependent LTD (van Gelder et al., 2020). A recent study explored AD stage-associated investigations (Bai et al., 2020). While the overlap of phosphoregulation with different studies on phosphorylation in synaptic plasticity was extensive, phosphoregulation in homeostatic scaling encompassed a broader set of targets, indicated by the great number of phosphoproteins exclusively regulated during scaling. Our dataset comprises a
broad and systematic study of protein phosphorylation during homeostatic synaptic scaling, examining phosphoproteomic changes that occur within minutes of activity offset and contrasting them to those that are responsible for the implementation of scaling detected 24 h later, as discussed below.

A key feature of a homeostatic response system is an output set point that is retargeted following an activity perturbation (Davis, 2006). To achieve homeostasis, an offset from the set point needs to be sensed and coupled to effector mechanisms to bring about synaptic scaling. In principle, the early activity-sensitive phosphorylation events we detected could comprise offset “sensors,” and the late phosphorylation events could comprise “effectors.”

We detected 282 and 1,039 regulated phosphorylation events unique to the early phase of up- or down-scaling, respectively; these modifications could be responsible for sensing the global change in activity. A subset of 110 events was shared between up- and down-scaling. These common sensor events could detect a sign-dependent or sign-independent activity set point offset. Indeed, we noted that 47% of these common early phosphorylation events were reciprocal in nature, manifest as either a decrease or an increase in phosphorylation, depending on the type of scaling. We noted that in early time points, down-scaling was associated with many more differential phosphorylation events than up-scaling. This could be due to rapid, Bic-evoked change in intracellular Ca^{2+} levels. Consistent with an early influx of Ca^{2+}, we detected the largest increase in phosphorylation of the Camk2a Thr^{286} site directly after 5 min Bic treatment. In addition, some Camk2a-associated phosphorylation events such as Ser^{16} of the cytoskeleton-interacting protein Stmn1 or the LTP-associated phosphorylation of Ser^{31} on the AMPA receptor subunit 1 (Gria1; exclusive phosphorylation; Lee, 2006) were uniquely detected during early down-scaling. During early TTX stimulation, the phosphorylation of Thr^{286} could not be reliably quantified, as the phosphorylated peptide species was not abundant. We also detected Camk2a-associated sites among the shared, bi-directional sensor sites, such as on the postsynaptic scaffold protein Shank3 (Ser^{151}; Dosemeci and Jaffe, 2010). Also, the kinases ERK1/2, which can be secondarily activated by Ca^{2+}-dependent mechanisms (Thomas and Huganir, 2004; Zhu et al., 2002), exhibited an early (5 min) Bic-evoked increase in both phosphorylation sites of its activation loop (Thr^{205}/Tyr^{205}; Thr^{183}/Tyr^{185}).

Phosphorylation events that were present during the late phase of synaptic up- or down-scaling represent potential effectors—modifications that are required to express the homeostatic response. We detected 912 and 681 potential effector events unique to the late phase of up- and down-scaling, respectively. The number of common effector events shared between the opposite scaling groups was 149, with the majority (74%) exhibiting reciprocal, polarity-dependent regulation. Since synaptic scaling converges on changes of synaptic weight by changing the number of AMPA receptors in the postsynaptic membrane (O’Brien et al., 1998; Turnigiano et al., 1998), obvious effector proteins include glutamate receptors or receptor-associated molecules involved in receptor transport, surface retention, or functional modulation. While we identified regulation of Gria1 in the early phase of activity manipulation, we did not identify previously reported phosphorylation sites on the receptors during late phases of scaling, (e.g., Tyr^{876} after 48 h up-scaling; Yong et al., 2020). Nevertheless, our data indicate a pronounced phosphomodulation of glutamate-receptor-associated and scaffolding proteins of the postsynaptic density. For example, we detected differential phosphorylation unique to the late phase of down-scaling on the proteins Lrfn1 and Lrfn2, known to induce clustering of excitatory proteins of the postsynaptic density (Ko et al., 2006) or involved in surface expression of AMPA receptor subunits (Seabold et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2006), respectively. Another example is the late up-scaling phosphoregulation of the protein Syndig1, which is known to interact with the AMPA receptor subunits Gria1 and Gria2 (Kalashnikova et al., 2010).

In contrast to time-sensitive phosphorylation events, we found that a considerable proportion (~25%) of initially regulated phosho-events were continuously switched either on or off (e.g., exhibited a persistent increase or decrease in phosphorylation) at the tested time points. They could function as set point offset sensors or scaling effectors, but whether there is a true persistence or a re-appearing/cyclic behavior in the regulation of these events cannot be determined. This set of phosho-events was associated with proteins of the postsynapse involved in organization of the cytoskeleton and, during down-scaling, in modulation of synaptic transmission as well. The observed persistent phosphorylation differs from the proteomic regulation throughout homeostatic scaling, where little overlap in the identity of the newly synthesized proteins of the early (2 h) and late phases (24 h) was observed (Schanzenbächer et al., 2018). Among the persistently regulated phosho-events, we found the Bic-evoked autophosphorylation sites of the kinases Camk2a (peak after 5 min Bic) and ERK1/2, suggesting both an early and late role for Ca^{2+}-sensitive kinases. For example, we detected the persistent phosphoregulation of Ser^{211} of the transcription factor Mecp2, which has been reported as a critical factor during synaptic scaling (Blackman et al., 2012). Ser^{211} is known to be phosphorylated by Camk2a in an activity-dependent manner, leading to induction of Bdnf transcription (Chen et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2006). We found that Ser^{211} of Mecp2 was indeed phosphorylated during all time points following Bic treatment, and we detected a concomitant upregulation of Bdnf after 24 h Bic stimulation. Interestingly, a role for persistent kinase activity has been previously reported in several in vivo studies, suggesting a role in behavioral plasticity or long-term memory. For example, continuous hippocampal Camk2a autophosphorylation was observed up to 20 h after inhibitory avoidance training (Bambah-Mukku et al., 2014). In modeling studies, persistent autophosphorylation of Camk2a has been proposed to contribute to long-term information storage (Lisman and Goldring, 1988; Lisman and Raghavachari, 2015). Moreover, autophosphorylation of ERK1/2 kinases in long-term memory has been observed during spatial learning experiments with rodents (Blum et al., 1999; Selcher et al., 1999), and persistence in ERK1/2 activity was proposed in modeling studies of memory maintenance (Smolen et al., 2008).

Mechanistically speaking, the question arises of whether the phenotypically opposite poles of up- and down-scaling are mediated by reciprocal regulation of common proteins. Proteomic analyses have identified commonly regulated proteins in a
bi-directional manner during up- and down-scaling, but mostly divergence, suggesting the potential involvement of unique cellular pathways (Dörbaum et al., 2020; Schanzenbächer et al., 2016). In this study, we identified 219 phosphorylation events that strictly follow a bi-directional pattern reflecting the opposing scaling polarities. We found that persistent phosphorylation events associated with one scaling paradigm were persistently and reciprocally regulated in the opposing scaling paradigm. Indeed, the majority (Bic, 68%; TTX, 85%) of the persistent phosphorylation events were associated with bi-directional regulation when directly compared to one another in a cluster analysis. The reciprocal and persistent phosphorylation events that we observed are predominantly associated with activity modulation of Camk2a or MAP kinases ERK1/2; autophosphorylation sites of these kinases and the differential kinase activity inferred from KSEA largely matched the same bi-directional, continuous pattern that was also verified by phosho-specific antibodies. The results of these analyses suggest persistence in catalytic kinase activity; however, a slower rate of dephosphorylation by phosphatases could also contribute. Characterizing the regulatory contribution of phosphatases remains a challenge (Fahs et al., 2016), as there are still only a few reports on substrate identifications (e.g., for the phospho-events of Ca2+-sensitive phosphatase candidates matching the bi-directional profile we detected [Ppp3ca-c]). Reciprocal phosphorylation might also represent a polarity-specific tagging component allowing capture and/or retention of plasticity-related mRNAs or proteins driving downstream mechanisms, leading to scaling of corresponding polarity. Examples of phosphorylation sites that could serve as bi-directional switches are Ser222 or Ser516 on Dlg4 or Arhgef7, postsynaptic proteins involved in receptor-trafficking and signaling, respectively. In the late phase of scaling, phosphorylation of Ser418 of Dlg4 in the same reciprocal nature as Ser222 was also observed. With respect to protein structure, both sites are directly localized between the PDZ-3 and SH3 domain of Dlg4. These domains are known to enable the interaction with a broad spectrum of proteins (Kim and Sheng, 2004) and affect molecular association/protein localization (Pedersen et al., 2017). Overall, many synaptic proteins appeared as phosphorylation hotspots where phosphoregulation of different temporal categories converged, demonstrating that one protein can have multiple roles throughout the expression of the homeostatic response.

Taken together, our findings highlight protein phosphorylation as a major molecular driver following global activity manipulation for minutes to a day. Phosphomodulation during scaling induction was not only achieved by time-limited phosphorylation, but persistent and strictly bi-directional phosphorylation was also shown to play a prominent role in both phenotypes of homeostatic plasticity, connecting them mechanistically. In support of our data, we detected differential regulation of proteins as well as phosphorylation events that had already been reported in the context of synaptic plasticity, but we also identified new phosphoregulated candidates. The broad detection of distinct phosphorylation profiles provides insights into the fundamental processes that underlie activity sensing and scaling manifestation. These data thus provide resources for further candidate-based investigations into the role of long-lasting and/or bi-directional phosphorylation during homeostatic scaling and other forms of plasticity.
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## Key Resources Table

| REAGENT or RESOURCE | SOURCE | IDENTIFIER |
|---------------------|--------|------------|
| **Antibodies**      |        |            |
| Camk2a              | Invitrogen | Cat# 13-7300; RRID: AB_2533032 |
| phospho-Camk2a (pT286) | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 12716; RRID: AB_2713889 |
| Mapk1/Mapk3         | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 4696; RRID: AB_390780 |
| phospho-Mapk1/Mapk3 (pT183-pY185/pT203-pY205) | Cell Signaling Technology | Cat# 4370; RRID: AB_2315112 |
| Goat anti-mouse IRDye 680 | LI-COR | Cat# 926-68020; RRID: AB_10706161 |
| Goat anti-rabbit IRDye 800 | LI-COR | Cat# 926-32211; RRID: AB_621843 |
| **Critical commercial assays** |        |            |
| BCA protein assay kit | ThermoFisher Scientific | Cat# 23225 |
| TiO2 Phosphopeptide Enrichment Kit | ThermoFisher Scientific | Cat# A32993 |
| **Chemicals, peptides and recombinant proteins** |        |            |
| Neurobasal-A        | Life Technologies | Cat# 10888022 |
| B27                 | GibCO | Cat# 17504044 |
| GlutaMax            | GibCO | Cat# 35050038 |
| Bicuculline methochloride | Tocris Bioscience | Cat# 0131 |
| Tetrodotoxin citrate | Tocris Bioscience | Cat# 1069 |
| PhosStop phosphatase inhibitor | Roche, Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# 4906845001 |
| Complete protease inhibitor cocktail (EDTA-free) | Roche, Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# 4693159001 |
| Benzonase           | Sigma-Aldrich | Cat# E1014 |
| Iodoacetamide       | ThermoFisher Scientific | Cat# A39271 |
| Sequencing grade modified trypsin | Promega | Cat# V5111 |
| NuPAGE MES SDS running buffer (20x) | Life Technologies | Cat# NP0002 |
| Intercept blocking buffer (TBS) | LI-COR | Cat# 927-60001 |
| **Deposited data** |        |            |
| Synaptic scaling phosphoproteomics raw data | this study | PRIDE: PXD021834 |
| **Software and algorithms** |        |            |
| MaxQuant (1.6.6.0) | (Cox and Mann, 2008) | RRID:SCR_014485 |
| Perseus (1.6.2.3) | (Tyner et al., 2016) | RRID:SCR_015753 |
| phemmap R package  | (Kolde, 2019) | RRID:SCR_016418 |
| KSEAapp R package  | (Wiredja et al., 2017) | CRAN.R-project.org/package=KSEAapp/ |
| lm4 R package      | (Bates et al., 2015) | RRID:SCR_015654 |
| Cytoscape (3.7.2)  | (Shannon et al., 2003) | RRID:SCR_003032 |
| STRING database    | (Franceschini et al., 2013) | RRID:SCR_005223 |
| PhosphoSitePlus database | (Hornbeck et al., 2015) | RRID:SCR_001837 |
| **Other**           |        |            |
| S-Trap (mini columns) | Protifi | https://protifi.com/pages/s-trap |
| Sep-Pak cartridge C18 (50mg sorbent) | Waters | Cat# 186000308 |
| NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris Gel | ThermoFisher Scientific | Cat# NP0322BOX |
| Immobilon-FL transfer membrane (PVDF) | Merck Milipore | Cat# IPFL00010 |
by incubating with L-cysteine-papain solution at 37°C. Cortices from postnatal day one old rat pups of either sex (RRID: RGD_734476; strain Sprague-Dawley) were dissected, dissociated, and Glutamax (Invitrogen) at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 19-20 days.

**RESOURCE AVAILABILITY**

**Lead contact**
Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Erin M. Schuman (erin.schuman@brain.mpg.de).

**Material availability**
This study did not generate new unique reagents.

**Data and code availability**
Mass spectrometry data associated with this manuscript have been uploaded to the PRIDE repository and are available with the dataset identifier PRIDE: PXD021834 (RRID: SCR_003411; Vizcaíno et al., 2013). The scripts used in this paper as well as any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper are available upon request.

**EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS**
Dissociated cortical neurons were prepared and maintained as previously described for hippocampus neurons (Aakalu et al., 2001). Cortices from postnatal day one old rat pups of either sex (RRID: RGD_734476; strain Sprague-Dawley) were dissected, dissociated by incubating with L-cysteine-papain solution at 37°C and plated onto 10 cm Petri dishes (MatTek, Ashland, MA) previously coated with poly-D-lysine. Cultured cells were kept in Neurobasal-A medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with B-27 (Invitrogen) and Glutamax (Invitrogen) at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

All experiments complied with national animal care guidelines and the guidelines issued by the Max Planck Society and were approved by local authorities.

**METHOD DETAILS**

**MS-sample preparation and phosphopeptide enrichment**
Nine dishes (3 million cells/ dish) were prepared for each experiment. Three dishes each were treated with either 20 μM Bicuculline, 1 μM Tetrodotoxin or no drug (control) for 5 min, 15 min or 24 hr. Afterward, the cells were harvested by briefly washing with ice-cold DPBS (Invitrogen) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete EDTA-free; Roche, Basel, Switzerland) and phosphatase inhibitors (PhosStop; Roche), followed by scraping and pelleting by centrifugation.

Cell pellets were lysed using lysis buffer (5% SDS, 25 mM Tris, pH 7.55, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor), and then disrupted with a pipette and four sonication cycles for 30 s. Lysates were incubated with Benzamidine (1 μl; 250 units/mL stock solution; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) for 10 min at room temperature. To clear debris from the samples, they were centrifuged for 8 min at 13,000 x g. Protein concentration was determined by a BCA assay (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). The samples were diluted 1:5 prior to the assay to minimize interference of the high detergent concentration.

For bottom-up MS analysis, protein digestion was performed according to an adapted version of the suspension trapping protocol as described by the manufacturer (S-Trap, Protifi, Huntington, NY). In brief, 350 μg of protein in lysis buffer was reduced by DTT addition in a final concentration of 20 mM for 10 min. Then proteins were alkylated using iodoacetamide at a final concentration of 40 mM and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Afterward, the sample was acidified by addition of phosphoric acid to a final concentration of 1.2%. Binding buffer (90% methanol, 50 mM TRIS, pH 7.55) was added in a 1:7 lysate to buffer ratio. The mixture was loaded onto the S-Trap filter (mini) by centrifugation for 30 s at 4,000 x g in 450 μl-steps and washed with 400 μl binding buffer for four times. Sequencing-grade trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI) was added in 150 μl digestion buffer (40 mM ammonium bicarbonate) in an enzyme-to-protein ratio of 1:50. The protease buffer was briefly (1-3 s) spun into the trap; solution passing the filter was re-added on top. Digestion was carried out overnight at room temperature under gentle agitation and in a humidified chamber to prevent filters from drying out. To elute peptides, the filter was rinsed in three consecutive steps by centrifugation at 1,000 x g for 60 s starting with 80 μl digestion buffer and two 80 μL washes with 0.2% formic acid (FA) in MS grade water.

After digestion, the peptides were desalted using C_{18} SepPak columns (50 mg sorbent; Waters, Milford, MA) as previously described (Schanzenbächer et al., 2016). Desalted peptides were separated for analysis of the total proteome (5% v/v) and subsequent enrichment for phosphorylated peptides (95% v/v). All samples were dried in vacuo using a Speed Vac (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) at room temperature and stored at −20°C until LC-MS analysis or further use. Enrichment for phosphorylated peptides was performed using Titanium dioxide beads (TiO₂; kit: #432993; ThermoFisher Scientific) as described in the manufacturer’s protocol. Eluted peptides were dried in vacuo at room temperature and stored at −20°C until LC-MS analysis.

Each experiment was carried out in four independent biological replicates.

**LC-MS/MS analysis**
Dried peptides or phosphorylated peptides were reconstituted in 5% acetonitrile (ACN) with 0.1% FA or 2% ACN with 0.1% FA, respectively. Peptides were loaded onto a C_{18}-PepMap 100 trapping column (particle size 3 μm, L = 20 mm) and separated on a...
C18-EasySpray analytical column (particle size = 2 μm, ID = 75 μm, L = 50 cm, ThermoFisher Scientific) using a nano-HPLC (Dionex U3000 RSLCnano). Temperature of the column oven was maintained at 55°C. Trapping was carried out for 6 min with a flow rate of 6 μL/min using loading buffer (100% H2O with 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid). Peptides were separated by a gradient of water (buffer A: 100% H2O and 0.1% FA) and acetonitrile (buffer B: 80% ACN, 20% H2O and 0.1% FA) with a constant flow rate of 300 nL/min. The gradient for unmodified peptides went from 4% to 48% buffer B in 180 min, the gradient for phosphorylated peptides from 4% to 30% buffer B in 110 min and to 45% buffer B in 10 min. All solvents were LC-MS grade and purchased from Riedel-de Haën/Honeywell (Seelze, Germany).

Eluting peptides were analyzed in a data-dependent acquisition mode on a Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) coupled to the nano-HPLC (Dionex U3000 RSLCnano) by an EASY Spray ESI source. MS1 survey scans were acquired over a scan-range of 350 to 1400 mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) in the Orbitrap detector (resolution (R) = 120k, automatic gain control (AGC) = 2e5 and maximum injection time: 50 ms.). Sequence information was acquired by a “top speed” MS2 method with a fixed cycle time of 2 s for the survey and after MS/MS scans. MS2 scans were generated from the most abundant precursors with a minimum intensity of 5e3 and charge states from two to five. Selected precursors were isolated in the quadrupole using a 1.4 Da window and fragmented using higher-energy C-trap dissociation (HCD) at 30% normalized collision energy. For MS2, an AGC of 1e4 and a maximum injection time of 300 ms were used. Resulting fragments were detected in the ion trap using the rapid scan rate (AGC = 1e4, maximum injection time = 300 ms). Dynamic exclusion was set to 30 s with a mass tolerance of 10 parts per million (ppm). All LC- and MS-parameters are listed in the Table S1. Each sample was measured in triplicate LC-MS/MS runs.

Western blot analysis
Primary cortical cultures were prepared and maintained as described above. After 19 DIV, cells were incubated with either 20 μM Bicuculline, 1 μM Tetrodotoxin or no drug (control) for 5 minutes or 24 hr. Cell lysates were prepared as described and equal protein amounts were loaded onto 4% to 12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (ThermoFisher Scientific). After electrophoreses, proteins were transferred to a PVDF membrane (immobilon-FL; Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA). Immunoblotting was performed with primary antibodies against Camk2a phosphorylated at pT 286 (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology Ref.: 12716), total Camk2a (1:1000, Invitrogen Ref.: 13-7300), Mapk1/ Mapk3 phosphorylated at pT183-pY185/pT203-pY205 (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Ref.: 4370) or total Mapk1/ Mapk3 (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology, Ref.: 4696). Secondary antibodies, anti-mouse (1:15,000, Ref.: 926-68020) and anti-rabbit (1:15,000, Ref.: 926-3211) were purchased from LI-COR (Lincoln, NE). Densiometric quantification was conducted using LI-COR Image Studio Lite (RRID:SCR_013715). All experiments were performed in three independent biological replicates.

Quantification and Statistical Analysis

MS-data processing
MS raw data were processed using the MaxQuant software (ver. 1.6.6.0; RRID:SCR_014485; Cox and Mann, 2008) with customized parameters for the Andromeda search engine. For all searches, spectra were matched to the Rattus norvegicus database downloaded from the UniProtKB (RRID:SCR_004426; Proteome_ID: UP000002494; downloaded on 23 August 2019), a contaminant and decoy database. Tryptic peptides with a minimum length of seven amino acids and a maximum of two missed cleavage sites were included. Precursor mass tolerance was set to 4.5 ppm and fragment ion tolerance to 0.5 Da. Carboxyamidomethylation of cysteine residues was set as a static modification. Acetylation (Protein-N-term.) and oxidation of methionine residues were assigned as variable modifications. Analysis of the phosphoproteome included the assignment of phosphorylation of serine, threonine and tyrosine residues as variable modification. With the use of a decoy strategy, a false discovery rate (FDR) below 1% at protein, peptide and modification level was applied. The “match between runs” option was enabled (matching time window = 0.7 min, alignment time window = 20 min). Only proteins identified by at least one unique peptide were considered for further analysis. Label-free quantification of proteins was performed by pairwise ratio determination using at least two common peptides in at least three consecutive full scans (Cox et al., 2014). For all details on the parameters for raw-data processing in MaxQuant see the Table S2.

MS-data statistical analysis
Four independent biological replicates measured in triplicates were processed using the Perseus software (ver. 1.6.2.3; RRID:SCR_015753; Tyanova et al., 2016). MaxQuant protein results (total proteome: proteinGroups.txt) and results of the phosphorylation site table (phosphoproteome: phospho(STY)sites.txt) were filtered for contaminants and reverse (decoy) database hits. The phosphoproteomic data were further filtered for localization probability of the phosphorylated residue greater than 75% to trace the modification to one particular residue. Then the phosphorylation site table was rearranged according to multiplicity, i.e., an expansion of entries so that the number of phosphorylation sites per peptide was formatted as separate rows. Species of this modified table were called phosphorylation events, since there can be more than one entry for a particular phosphorylation site, e.g., derived from a singly, doubly or triply phosphorylated peptide species. Protein LFQ intensities and phosphorylation event intensities were log2-transformed and normalized according to the sample’s median intensity.

For quantitative comparisons at each time point (5 min, 15 min and 24 hr), only treatment-control pairs of proteins or phosphorylation events, which could be quantified in all four biological replicates in at least one technical replicate, were considered for further statistical analysis. Additional valid value filtering required 50% valid entries across each pairwise data matrix. We used the lme4
package in the statistical computing software R to perform a linear mixed effect analysis (RRID:SCR_015654; Bates et al., 2015). Differential regulation of treatment versus control was investigated using a model where the treatment was considered the fixed effect in question and the biological replicate was entered as a possible random effect, similar to previously reported strategies (Dörrbaum et al., 2020). P values were calculated by likelihood ratio tests of the model including the effect of interest against the model without it. To correct for multiple testing, Benjamini-Hochberg correction was applied with an FDR cut-off < 0.01 (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).

Hierarchical clustering
For hierarchical clustering analysis, log_{2}-transformed fold changes of treatment against control intensities of significantly regulated phosphorylation events were used. The particular segmentation of the data in the clusters was stated in the corresponding figure legends. Distance measure to cluster the rows was Euclidean distance. Clustering was performed using the “ward.D2” agglomeration algorithm. Visualization using this metrics was done using the heatmap package in the statistical computing software R (RRID:SCR_016418; Kolde, 2019).

Kinase substrate enrichment analysis
To match differentially regulated protein phosphorylation sites to their reported kinases, kinase substrate enrichment analysis was carried out using the KSEAapp package in the statistical computing software R (Wiredja et al., 2017). Site-specific information on the kinase-substrate pairs was downloaded from PhosphositePlus.org database (on 5th November 2019; RRID:SCR_001837; Hornbeck et al., 2015) and filtered for species-specific entries to Rattus norvegicus. NetworKIN predictions were excluded. For survey, a minimum number of one substrate of a kinase was set. Kinase z-scores representing the normalized score of each kinase weighted by the number of identified substrates were calculated. Multiple hypothesis testing to assess a p value for the kinase z-score was corrected using the Benjamini-Hochberg method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). The log_{2}-transformed fold change of this site is reported and if a particular substrate phosphorylation site was detected across multiple phosphorylated peptide, its average is used in the algorithm.

Phosphoprotein-interaction map
Proteins harboring a phosphorylation event that was regulated in four out of six conditions (Figure 3) were analyzed for protein interactions using their gene identifiers and the STRING database (RRID:SCR_005223; Franceschini et al., 2013). Interactions with a confidence > 0.4 (combined score) were included in the analysis. Interactions solely based on text-mining as a source were excluded. Networks were exported and visualization was performed using the software Cytoscape (ver. 3.7.2; RRID:SCR_003032; Shannon et al., 2003).

Comparison of proteome remodeling during synaptic scaling
The differentially regulated proteome characterized in this study was compared to results of another system-wide proteomics investigation of homeostatic scaling. For this purpose, we analyzed the data published by Dörrbaum et al. (2020) where primary-cultured hippocampal neurons were subjected to Bic or TTX treatment for one, three and seven days or left untreated. For direct comparison, only data of the control condition and the 24 hr treatments were considered. Quantification of proteins needed to have at least one valid treatment-control pair in all three biological replicates. For statistical evaluation, a linear mixed effects model was used as described in Dörrbaum et al., 2020. In brief, treatment was set as fixed effect in question, biological replicate and peptide identity nested into biological replicate were set as random effects. This resulted in 150 and 239 proteins that significantly changed in abundance in response to Bic or TTX treatment (Benjamini-Hochberg correction, FDR < 0.01, Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995). Proteins quantified in both studies exhibiting significant regulation at least one or both of them were used for correlation analysis.

Cell-type specific analyses of the regulated phosphoproteome
To assess contribution of different cell-types known to occur in mixed cultures of primary brain areas, we first compared the regulated phosphoproteome to established marker proteins for neurons, microglia, oligodendrocytes and astrocytes (Sharma et al., 2015). For this purpose, the top 50 differentially expressed proteins as identified by Sharma et al. were selected based on their abundance fold change (log_{2}-scaled cell-type specific expression over all cells) and matched to the regulated phosphoproteome using their gene name. Furthermore, a systematic approach to identify excitatory neuron-enriched proteins was performed by matching a previously reported neuron-enriched classifier (Glock et al., 2020) to the regulated phosphoproteome (by gene names). The classifier was generated to bioinformatically filter for transcripts preferentially expressed in excitatory neurons: Glock et al. curated the markers by focusing on neuronal genes and subtracting the contribution of transcripts from other cell types identified in different types of experiments: RNA sequencing of neuron-enriched versus glia-enriched cultures, Rpl22-HA-IP from hippocampi of Camk2a-Cre- or micro-dissected somata and neuropil of Wfs1-Cre-Ribotag mice.

Synaptic phosphoproteome
Proteins of the synaptic phosphoproteome (Figure 5) were selected if they matched a synaptic GO term for the cellular compartment (GOCC) and carried at least one significantly regulated phosphorylation site. Localization within the synapse was derived from the
UniProtKB database information on subcellular localization. If there was more than one phosphorylation event for a particular phosphorylation site on a protein, the site-specific, temporal categorization (early, late or all) was derived from the species with the lowest multiplicity to achieve highest residue resolution. Phosphorylation events which were termed and highlighted as “exclusive” for a certain time point were excluded from statistical analysis as they were quantified reliably only in one treatment group but not in the control or other treatment groups. Nonetheless, the peptides were visualized in the overview (depicted as a square) as this subset were reliably quantified in all four biological replicates of the condition in question and not detected in all biological replicates of any other possible conditions.

**Comparison to other phosphoproteomics studies**

To compare phosphoregulation associated with synaptic plasticity (van Gelder et al., 2020; Kohansal-Nodehi et al., 2016; Li et al., 2016), we extracted the significantly phosphoregulated species of the studies reported in different mass-spec based, high-throughput analyses. Significance was determined by the statistics and parameters reported in each study. We finally compared phosphomodulation on the level of phosphoregulated proteins by matching the studies via a species-neutral ID, gene names (Figures S7A and S7B).