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Abstract

Background: Atypical multinucleated stromal giant cells (MSGCs) are occasionally encountered in the esophagogastric mucosa. This study aims to investigate the origin and clinical association of MSGCs in the upper gastrointestinal tract.

Methods: Three hundred sixty-one contiguous biopsies and 1 resection specimen from the stomach and gastroesophageal junction (GEJ) were identified from archives for morphologic and immunohistochemical studies.

Results: MSGCs were identified in 22 cases (6%: 7 gastric, 15 GEJ). Patients’ average age was 53 years. There was no sex predilection. 77% cases had only 1 or 2 MSGCs per 10 high power fields. MSGCs were located in the lamina propria of the gastric or GEJ mucosa, with an accentuation in the subepithelial zone. The median number of nuclei in a MSGC was 5 (ranging from 3 to 16). The nuclei were touching/overlapping, often arranged into “wreath”, “caterpillar”, or “morula” configurations. MSGCs expressed smooth muscle actin, desmin, while negative for cytokeratin AE1/3, CD68, S100, chromogranin, and CD117. The most common clinical history was epigastric pain, gastroesophageal reflux, and Barrett esophagus. The most common associated pathologic diagnoses included reactive (chemical) gastropathy (71% gastric biopsies) and gastroesophageal reflux (73% GEJ specimens).

Conclusions: MSGCs in the esophagogastric mucosa show smooth muscle/myofibroblast differentiation by immunohistochemistry and likely represent a reactive/reparative stromal reaction associated with gastroesophageal reflux and reactive (chemical) gastropathy.

Keywords: Multinucleated stromal giant cells, Reactive changes, Gastroesophageal reflux disease, Chemical gastropathy

Introduction

Reactive/reparative changes of the gastrointestinal tract are commonly observed in the daily practice of surgical pathology, secondary to infection, inflammation, foreign body, and others. Multinucleated cells are often seen in this setting. One of the most well-known multinucleated giant cells is the Langhans giant cell, the hallmark of tuberculosis and sarcoidosis. Langhans giant cells are formed by the fusion of multiple macrophages, and feature peripherally placed nuclei surrounding central ample cytoplasm that may contain lipid-rich material. Similarly, foreign-body giant cells are also derived from fused macrophages that engulf endogenous (such as cholesterol, keratin, fat) or exogenous substances (such as suture, talc, fungus, food particles). Squamous epithelium and hepatocytes can also become multi-nucleated in reactive conditions or secondary to viral infection. Benign multinucleated stromal giant cells are well known to exist at various sites, most commonly in the bladder, lower female genital tract, skin, anus, nose, breast, and testis [1–10]. While multinucleated stromal giant cells (MSGCs) have been described previously in the lower gastrointestinal tract [1–3, 6], they have not been characterized in the upper gastrointestinal tract, to the best of our knowledge.

In this study, our goal is to identify the origin and significance of MSGCs in the esophagogastric mucosa, by...
histomorphology and immunohistochemistry. Awareness and better understanding of MSGCs may be helpful for general surgical pathologists who have limited experience with such cells in the upper gastrointestinal mucosa.

**Materials and methods**

This study is approved by Institutional Review Board of The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center. 361 consecutive biopsies and 1 resection specimen from stomach and GEJ were identified from institutional Archives in January 2016. Pertinent clinical data (patient age, sex, signs and symptoms, clinical indication for endoscopy) and pathologic diagnoses were collected from electronic medical records. Histologic evaluation included the following parameters: location of MSGCs within the upper GI mucosa, morphologic features of MSGCs, the number of MSGCs per 10 high power fields, and pathologic changes of the background mucosa. 17 MSGCs cases (6 stomach; 11 GEJ) were immunostained for the following antibodies: smooth muscle actin (SMA, Dako Clone 1A4, dilution 1:600), desmin (Dako Clone D33, dilution 1:200), CD117 (Dako Rabbit Polyclonal, dilution 1:400), S100 (Dako Rabbit Polyclonal, dilution 1:4000), cytokeratin AE1/3 (Dako Clone AE1/AE3, dilution 1:300), chromogranin (Cell Marque Clone LK2H10, dilution 1:300), and CD68 (Dako Clone KP-1, dilution 1:700). Two sections were cut on each case for each immunostain, to increase the yield of detecting the MSGCs.

Peroxidase immunohistochemical staining was performed and described briefly as follows: Paraffin embedded tissue was cut at 4 μm and placed on positively charged slides. The slides were deparaffinized, rehydrated, and then were placed in a 3% hydrogen peroxide solution in water for 5 min to block for endogenous peroxidase. Antigen retrieval was performed by Heat-Induced Epitope Retrieval (HIER), in which the slides were placed in a 1X solution of Target Retrieval Solution (Dako, S1699) for 25 min at 96 °C using a vegetable steamer (Black & Decker) and cooled for 15 min in solution. Slides were then incubated on a Dako Autostainer Immunostaining System at room temperature. The primary antibodies were diluted with an antibody diluent (Dako, S0809). Antibodies were incubated for 60 min. Staining was visualized with the DAB+ chromogen (Dako, K3468) using a 5-min development. Slides were then counterstained in Richard Allen hematoxylin, dehydrated through graded ethanol solutions, cleared in xylene and coverslipped.

All cases were reviewed by 2 pathologists (T.S. and W.C.); additional opinions were sought from W.L.F. and C.A.A. in a subset of cases. Statistical analysis was performed using Fisher’s exact test.

**Results**

MSGCs were identified in 22 out of 362 gastroesophageal specimens (6%). 7 cases were gastric endoscopic biopsies, 14 endoscopic GEJ biopsies, and one gastroesophagectomy specimen. Patients’ average age was 53 years. There was no sex predilection (male to female ratio is 1.2:1).

For the 361 gastric and GEJ mucosal biopsies, the most common indications for endoscopy were epigastric pain, gastric ulcer, belching, and gastroesophageal reflux disease (Tables 1 and 2). For MSGC-positive GEJ specimens, the most commonly associated pathologic diagnosis was gastroesophageal reflux. Reflux was found in significantly greater percentage of MSGC-positive cases (73%, 11 of 15) than in the MSGC-negative cases (41%, 68 of 167; p value 0.03).

The presence of MSGCs in the GEJ biopsies did not appear to be significantly associated with acute inflammation (p value 0.17), chronic inflammation (p value 0.99), intestinal metaplasia (p value 0.60), or pancreatic acinar cell metaplasia in the background mucosa (p value 0.22).

For MSGC-positive gastric biopsies, the most commonly associated pathologic diagnosis was reactive (chemical) gastropathy. Reactive (chemical) gastropathy was present in 71% (5 of 7) MSGC-positive gastric biopsies, in contrast to 34% (60 of 173) in MSGC-negative gastric biopsies. The association of reactive (chemical) gastropathy with MSGCs (p value 0.11) was not statistically significant at the 0.05 level, but there was an indication of potential association that may be detected with larger sample size. The presence of MSGCs in the gastric biopsies was not significantly associated with acute inflammation (p value 0.69), chronic inflammation (p value 0.99), or intestinal metaplasia (p value 0.92) in the background gastric mucosa.

MSGCs were located in the lamina propria of the gastric/GEJ mucosa, with an accentuation in the subepithelial zone. Most cases (77%) had only 1 or 2 MSGCs per 10 high power fields, while occasional cases had slightly more MSGCs (up to 5 per 10 high power fields). The cells were stellate or epithelioid in shape with little cytoplasm and a median number of 5 nuclei (ranges from 3 to 16 nuclei). Due to the scanty cytoplasm, MSGCs resembled “a bag of nuclei”. The nuclei were hyperchromatic, and touching/overlapping. They were often arranged into one of the three configurations: “wreath” – circular arrangement of the nuclei in the periphery of the cytoplasm (Fig. 1a,b, arrows), “caterpillar” – linear arrangement of the nuclei (Fig. 1 c,d, arrows), or “morular” – random arrangement of the nuclei in a cluster (Fig. 1e,f, arrows). On immunohistochemistry, MSGCs expressed SMA (Fig. 2a, arrows) and variably expressed desmin...
**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate and characterize MSGCs in the upper gastrointestinal tract. Given that MSGCs are most commonly seen in the setting of reflux and chemical/reactive gastropathy, they are likely the sequelae of a reactive/regenerative process. The immunohistochemical reactivity for SMA and variable desmin is consistent with smooth muscle/myofibroblast differentiation. The non-reactivity for cytokeratin AE1/3, CD68, CD117, and S100

**Table 1** Clinicopathologic Features of Gastroesophageal Specimens with Multinucleated Stromal Giant Cells

| Case | Indication for Procedure | Pathology Diagnosis | Immunohistochemistrya |
|------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
|      |                          |                     | SMA | Desmin |
| 1    | Belching                 | Chronic inactive gastritis | +   | +      |
| 2    | GERD                     | CAI, REC            | +/+− | +/+−   |
| 3    | Alcohol abuse            | RCG                 | +    | +      |
| 4    | Abdominal pain           | CAI, PACM           | +    | +      |
| 5    | Obesity S/P bypass       | BE                  | +    | +/+−   |
| 6    | Dysphagia                | PACM, chronic inflammation | +  | +/+−   |
| 7    | Esophagitis              | BE, CAI, REC        | +    | +      |
| 8    | Esophageal ulcer         | ACG, RCG           | +    | +      |
| 9    | BE, GERD                 | RCG                 | +    | +      |
| 10   | Abdominal pain           | RCG                 | +    | +      |
| 11   | Obesity, heart burn      | GERD                | +    | +/+−   |
| 12   | BE, GERD                 | REC, chronic inflammation | +  | +      |
| 13   | Epigastric pain          | Active chronic gastritis, IM | +  | +      |
| 14   | BE with HGD              | Rare AI             | +    | +      |
| 15   | BE with LGD              | CAI, REC, GERD      | +    | +      |
| 16   | S/P resection for esophageal CA | Radiation atypia, REC, CAI | +/+− | +      |
| 17   | S/P resection for GEJ CA  | Radiation atypia, BE with HGD | +    | +      |
| 18   | GERD, gastric CA         | CAI, IM, luminal yeast/bacteria | +  | +      |
| 19   | Chronic gastritis, ulcer | CAI                 | +    | +      |
| 20   | GERD                     | PACM, chronic inflammation | +  | +      |
| 21   | Epigastric pain          | RCG, chronic inflammation | +  | +      |
| 22   | Epigastric pain          | No significant change | +    | +      |

**Abbreviations:** GERD gastroesophageal reflux disease, BE Barrett’s esophagus, HGD high grade dysplasia, LGD low grade dysplasia, CA carcinoma, GEJ gastroesophageal junction, REC reactive epithelial changes, CAI chronic and acute inflammation, RCG reactive (chemical) gastropathy, PACM pancreatic acinar cell metaplasia, IM intestinal metaplasia, S/P status post

aThe multinucleated stromal giant cells do not express cytokeratin AE1/3, chromogranin, CD68, CD117, and S100

(Fig. 2b, arrows). MSGCs were negative for CD68 (Fig. 2c, arrows), cytokeratin AE1/3, S100, chromogranin, and CD117.

**Table 2** Summary of Clinicopathologic Features of Gastroesophageal Specimens with Multinucleated Stromal Giant Cells

| Site (No.) | Common Clinical Symptoms | Other Pathologic Features | No. (%) |
|------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Gastroesophageal junction (15) | GERD, epigastric pain | GERD-type reactive epithelial changes | 11 (73%) |
|            |                          | Chronic ± acute inflammation | 8 (73%) |
|            |                          | Pancreatic acinar cell metaplasia | 3 (20%) |
|            |                          | Intestinal metaplasia | 2 (13%) |
| Stomach (7) | Epigastric pain, belching, gastric ulcer | Reactive (chemical) gastropathy | 5 (71%) |
|            |                          | Chronic inflammation | 4 (57%) |
|            |                          | Acute inflammation | 2 (29%) |
|            |                          | Intestinal metaplasia | 1 (14%) |

**Abbreviation:** GERD gastroesophageal reflux disease
CD117, argues against the following differentiation respectively - epithelial, histiocytic, schwannian, neuroendocrine, or interstitial cell of Cajal. MSGCs sometimes appear to be surrounded by stromal collagen (Fig. 1a,b,f) or in continuation with the upward stranding muscularis mucosae (Fig. 1c), supporting possible myofibroblastic/smooth muscle origin. In the setting of reflux and reactive gastric gastritis, these observations together with the immunoprofile suggest MSGCs most likely represent regenerative cells from the upward stranding muscularis mucosae or stromal myofibroblasts. This theory is in keeping with analogous studies on colonic MSGCs [3]. In a study of MSGCs in the lower gastrointestinal tract [3], MSGCs were reported to exist in 23% of biopsies from both normal and abnormal colonic mucosa. The abnormal mucosa included tubular adenoma, focal active colitis, hyperplastic polyp, etc. MSGCs were not identified in the 30 rectal cases in their cohort. We have also observed MSGCs in ischemic colitis and CMV colitis cases (data not shown).

At times MSGCs may appear atypical and raise concern for malignancy based on their large size, hyperchromatic nuclei, and background stromal changes. Reassuring features of benignity include low cellularity, an absence of mitoses, and cytokeratin negativity. In addition, background reflux-type mucosal changes in a GEJ specimen and erosion/ulcer/reactive (chemical) gastropathy changes in a gastric or esophageal biopsy are also reassuring.

Other types of multinucleated cells and mimickers are sometimes found in the upper gastrointestinal tract. Multinucleated histiocytes are seen in granulomas of various etiologies and foreign-body giant cell reaction. However, these histiocytic multinucleated cells are morphologically distinct from MSGCs in that they contain abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm (Fig. 3a). Herpes simplex virus (HSV)-infected cells feature multinucleated epithelial cells with ground glass nuclear inclusions (Fig. 3b). Unlike MSGCs, however, HSV–infected nuclei contain smudged chromatin and nuclear molding. Tangentially-sectioned glandular epithelium may look like a multinucleated cell from low-power; however, these nuclei are more regularly placed with a honeycomb appearance (Fig. 3c). Be aware that tight clusters of small-sized cells in the esophagogastric mucosa, such as neuroendocrine nests post chemoradiation (Fig. 3d), or collection of crushed inflammatory cells (Fig. 3e) can mimic MSGCs. Benign megakaryocytes in the gastric mucosa of patients with myelofibrosis can also be in the differential diagnosis of MSGCs (Fig. 3f); however, the nuclei of megakaryocytes are much larger than MSGCs. A familiarity with the usual appearance of MSGC is reassuring.
Conclusions
MSGCs in the gastric and gastroesophageal junctional mucosa show smooth muscle/myofibroblast differentiation, and could represent regenerative cells from muscularis mucosae or stromal myofibroblasts, as part of reactive stromal changes that are often associated with gastroesophageal reflux and reactive (chemical) gastropathy.

Abbreviations
BE: Barrett esophagus; CA: Carcinoma; CAI: Chronic and acute inflammation; GEJ: Gastroesophageal junction; GERD: Gastroesophageal reflux disease; HGD: High grade dysplasia; HSV: Herpes simplex virus; IM: Intestinal metaplasia; LGD: Low grade dysplasia; MSGCs: Multinucleated stromal giant cells; PACM: Pancreatic acinar cell metaplasia; RCG: Reactive (chemical) gastropathy; REC: Reactive epithelial change; S/P: Status post

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to thank Mr. Shawn Scully for his assistance with image production.

Authors’ contributions
WC and TS designed the study, wrote, edited, and reviewed the manuscript. WC and TS performed the experiments (case selection, IHC staining, slides review, chart review, literature review), collected and analyzed data. WLF and CAA contributed cases, reviewed select cases, edited, and reviewed the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for publication. WC takes full responsibility for the work as a whole, including the study design, access to data and the decision to submit and publish the manuscript.

Funding
Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets used and/or analyzed for the study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
The present study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of The Ohio State University Wexner Medical Center (Study ID: 2016H0173, exp. 1/7/2020). Due to the nature of this study no specific informed consent was needed.

Consent for publication
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Received: 23 May 2019 Accepted: 10 July 2019
Published online: 27 July 2019

References
1. Pitt MA, Roberts ISD, Agbamu DA, Eyden BP. The nature of atypical multinucleated stromal cells: a study of 37 cases from different sites. Histopathology. 1993;23(2):137-45.
2. Grossman GM, Amar M, Polak-Charcon S. Multinucleated stromal cells of the anal mucosa - a common finding. Histopathology. 2000;36(3):224-8.
3. Wu ML, Zhao X. Multinucleated stromal giant cells in colonic lamina propria. Histopathology. 2007;50(5):584-90.
4. Campbell AP. Multinucleated stromal giant cells in adolescent gynaecomastia. J Clin Pathol. 1992;45(5):443-4.
5. Rosen PP. Multinucleated mammary stromal giant cells. A benign lesion that simulates invasive carcinoma. Cancer. 1979;44(4):1305-8.
6. Pitt MA, Knox WF, Haboubi NY. Multinucleated stromal giant cells of the colonic lamina propria in ulcerative colitis. J Clin Pathol. 1993;46(9):874-5.
7. Metze K, Andrade LA. Atypical stromal giant Cells of cervix uteri - evidence of Schwann cell origin. Pathol Res Pract. 1991;187(8):1031-5.
8. Antonakopoulos GN, Newman J. Eosinophilic cystitis with giant cells. A light microscopic and ultrastructural study. Arch Pathol Lab Med. 1984;108(9):728-31.
9. Al-Nafussi AI, Rebello G, Hughes D, Blessing K. Benign vaginal polyp: a histological, histochemical and immunohistochemical study of 20 polyps with comparison to normal vaginal subepithelial layer. Histopathology. 1992;20(2):145-50.
10. Rollason TP, Byrne P, Williams A. Immunohistochemical and electron microscopic findings in benign fibroepithelial vaginal polyps. J Clin Pathol. 1990;43(3):224-9.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.