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Key messages
(i) Many health librarians are successful, intuitive teachers but increasingly recognize the need to employ new teaching approaches
(ii) Most health librarian-led teaching takes place in classrooms, at reference desks, and online (using chat, tutorials, and Web 2.0 tools)
(iii) In the digital age, how we teach may be as important as what we teach
(iv) Behaviourism, constructivism, and situated-learning are three learning theories presented to tailor teaching sessions to users’ needs
(v) Insight into how learning occurs has implications for the successful design, delivery, and assessment of library programs circa 2008

Introduction

This paper is an introduction to learning theories for health librarians. In this column (part I of a teaching and learning series for the JCHLA / JABSC) I lay the foundation for the study of learning theories and examine three influential theories specifically: behaviourism, constructivism, and situated learning [1]. In subsequent columns, I will explore learning theories as a set of tools and strategies for the improvement of information literacy and teaching programs.

Throughout this series, I want to emphasize the importance of a “blended approach” to designing and evaluating our teaching, one that comprises experience, learning theory, and evidence from the literature. Learning theory is simply that: a tool in our teaching toolbox. Perhaps some of you are already using a blended approach to design instructional programs or some other hybrid methodology.

For those interested in deeper exploration of learning theories, I make suggestions for further reading whenever possible. The current research, for example, is worth a close review due to newer pedagogical theories such as connectivism and the idea of recontextualizing existing learning theory for Web 2.0 users [2]. However, I will begin by distilling the very basics of learning theory and by orienting readers to a few major theorists in the area.

These basic learning theories are difficult to grasp at times, but perseverance will bring rich rewards to those who put in the time and effort to understand them. Remember that learning theories are simply ideas—not to be followed slavishly but to consider for the insights they provide in (re)designing our work in the classroom. Health librarians looking to evaluate how they teach may find that they offer a good place to start.

Background

Much of the work of health librarianship depends on comprehensive knowledge of health information sources and services. In the context of reference services, health librarians spend a lot of time leading end-users to the evidence and do so at various physical and virtual library service points [3–5]. In a strategic sense, clinical librarians also lead users to the evidence in various contexts: face-to-face (F2F) during ward rounds and on clinical teams [6].

As health librarians, we play a key role in getting the evidence to where clinicians and patients need it most: at-point-of-care [7]. But health librarians cannot facilitate this knowledge transfer alone and ultimately end-users must learn how to find the evidence for themselves. The ability to cumulate the evidence efficiently requires a growing list of digital skills and vigilance in keeping them current, so health librarians are never short of new things to teach.

Technology drives much of our teaching. But rather than performing searches for end-users (the way we used to), health librarians teach end-users information skills with varying levels of user retention and success. What are the reasons for a lack of retention and success related to our teaching? Does learning theory—and changes in the way end-users stay informed in the digital age—provide insight into this problem?

A recurring question in this discussion is what information literacy skills are most useful and worth teaching? Biomedical information skills run the gamut from navigating the newest interfaces to MEDLINE to searching for grey literature and managing citations in RefWorks. But not every clinician will have the time to learn these skills.

In the long view, how we teach will determine how successful we are in moving knowledge-translation forward. If
Five teaching skills for health librarians

At least two health librarians have synthesized the evidence about instructional program delivery [11–12]. Other academic librarians, such as Peacock, McNamara, and Core, stress the importance of “sound pedagogical knowledge” in assuming teaching roles [9]. Many have evaluated their programs and design classes based on what they feel works and what content they surmise is important.

But librarians need as many techniques in their teaching toolbox as possible, including a set of workable theories [10]. We face a host of challenges in getting our users’ attention given the pressures of the age, lack of time, and the ubiquity and simplicity of Web searching.

Most importantly, we need to consider meeting our end-users where they practice, learn, and work, including embedding ourselves online. Health librarians will eventually want to consider a hybrid of face-to-face and digital methods to deliver programs in the Web 2.0 era.

(1) Basic knowledge of learning theories – Do you apply knowledge of learning theories into your teaching or use the same method each time (e.g., demonstration, “hands-on”)

(2) Awareness of innovative teaching trends and pedagogical research – Do you integrate new ideas from the research into your teaching? Can you evaluate your teaching and undertake critical appraisal methods (evidence-based)?

(3) Competency using information technologies and social software – Do you follow trends in open access, scholarly publishing, and Web 2.0? Can you apply technologies or tools to specific problem-solving (e.g., blogs for reflective practice, digital outreach, wikis for collaboration)?

(4) Ability to design individual classes as part of overall information literacy programs – Do you gather information about users’ skill levels before your classes? Are you familiar with the information literacy frameworks of the American Library Association (ALA) or the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC) [15–16]?

(5) Ability to evaluate instruction and publish research – Do you get regular feedback about your teaching (i.e., from participants and peers)? Have you thought of using a study methodology to evaluate your teaching (e.g., randomization, pre-test/post-test evaluation, case study)?

Selecting a teaching approach

There is nothing so practical as a good theory.

Kurt Lewin

Effective librarian–teachers consider several factors in selecting a teaching approach. Selecting a method based on the goals of a session and (or) type of classroom environment the instructor wants to create is important. So what teaching and learning techniques create a desirable environment in the classroom? Accordingly, the literature identifies a number of different pedagogical methods that can be used to promote various kinds of learning, and they are worth looking at in some detail.

One popular method—by modifying and directing desirable behaviours—is based on the idea that “knowledge” is an asset given to a learner by an expert in the area. The correct skills and behaviours are then reinforced by repeating main concepts and testing comprehension. This is where our examination of learning theory begins.

What is behaviourism?

Behaviourism is seeing observable changes in behaviours...patterns are repeated in learners until they become automatic [17].

Behaviourists believe that learning takes place when prompted by a stimulus and shaped by repetition/reinforcement [18]. By rewarding learners for a correct response, desirable behaviours are reinforced. In the stimulus–reinforcement–consequences model, students know that mastering content presented by an expert will bring rewards. Many current educational systems are built on the beliefs of behaviourism.

But when students learn to please their teachers and stay on their “best behaviour”, the motivation is to respond to cues and patterns in learning activities. Is it a good pedagogical approach to teach library users to seek specific cues in their searching or by offering incentives to master certain skills? It may well be; some educators say that they have their place. Others however have repeatedly suggested that this may be a limited approach if used exclusively [19].

A recurring theme in the literature is that competition between learners increases when a premium is put on achievement; some medical schools are so concerned about the implications of competition that they are looking at pass/fail assessment models as alternatives [19].

In post-secondary education, behaviourist models are linked to didactic lectures. Think of the way most professors teach, usually by lecturing as a “sage on the stage” [20].
Some lecturers are very entertaining and expert at public speaking but may not be as expert at teaching.

Sage-on-the-stage lecturing is a very efficient way to convey content for learners, particularly at conferences. But is lecturing the only way to convey content to a large group of people enrolled in a course? Lectures certainly have their place in learning, but some students suggest that if professors want to talk so much that they put their lectures on YouTube for later (re)viewing [21].

Prominent educational figures such as John Dewey, Jean Piaget, and others proposed alternate paradigms to behaviourism while acknowledging its place in our educational systems. Some of these formal and informal models are discussed throughout the teaching and learning series, such as Freire’s Pedagogy of the oppressed [22], Vygotsky’s Mind in society [23], and Lave and Wenger’s Situated learning: legitimate peripheral participation [24], to name a few.

For now, let’s turn our attention to some prominent names in behaviourism.

**Influential behaviourists**

Russian psychologist Ivan Pavlov (1849–1936), known for his work in classical conditioning, carried out behavioural experiments involving a dog, some food, and a bell [25]. During his experiments, he rang a bell a few times before his dog was given food and repeated it several times. After, Pavlov noticed that the dog salivated at the ringing of the bell alone.

Pavlov’s experiment is the basis of all “classical” conditioning [26]. His model is seen as the first scientific study of measuring learned behaviour—think of it as an important reference point for understanding all subsequent theory. Interestingly, even though Pavlovian conditioning is considered by many to be an important behavioural concept, “Pavlov’s dog” is used pejoratively by some to describe a person who reacts to situations rather than uses critical judgment.

American psychologist John B. Watson (1878–1958) established a variant of Pavlov’s work called operant conditioning. Operant conditioning operates on conditions within a subject’s environment [27]. To be more precise, Watson observed the behaviour of an infant boy, Albert, as a rabbit was introduced into his crib area or his play environment. Watson hit the crib several times while releasing the rabbit, which made the boy apprehensive (he cried). Thereafter, Albert developed a conditioned “emotional response” (fear) brought on by seeing the rabbit alone.

Published in 1920, Watson’s “Little Albert Study” is seen as one of the most important studies in the 20th century of the central role that emotions play in learning [28]. His findings were later challenged on the grounds that conditioned fears (also known as avoidance learning) are not as permanent as Watson believed and could actually be reversed [29].

American psychologist B.F. Skinner (1904–1990) observed that rewards and punishments influence animal behaviour [30]. He noticed that a rat can learn how to push a lever in his cage to get a reward of food. However, if a second lever was pushed administering a mild electrical charge, the rat learned to avoid it. The idea of Skinner’s “zap” led to other forms of negative reinforcement and aversion therapy for the treatment of homosexuality [31].

Skinner’s research illustrates that rewards and punishment have a profound psychic impact on learning behaviour. Further, changes in behavior are the result of an individual’s response to events (stimuli) that occur in the environment [30]. His research includes motivation and presenting information to learners in small bits to reinforce micro-behaviours and responses.

**Behaviourism and computer-assisted learning**

Many basic behavioural concepts can be applied to computer-assisted learning (CAL). Skinner, in fact, refers to machine-based learning in his own research [32]. One benefit of CAL is that complex material and vast amounts of information can be covered several times by learners. The idea of repetition is used by many librarian-instructors and within library tutorials to emphasize critical content, for example [33].

E-learning also helps students learn new skills on their own time. Some e-learners enjoy doing modules at their own pace and prefer the regular assistance provided by online instructors without having to attend actual classes.

Computer-assisted instruction (CAI) is an efficient teaching method for visual and kinesthetic learners—those who like to be involved “hands-on” in their learning [34]. One of the strengths of CAI is that individual differences can be acknowledged in module and course design; however, it must be said that not all learning styles can or will be accommodated.

Some gender research reveals a digital divide between boys who prefer computer learning and girls who prefer social learning. In using computers, boys are able to work through tutorials when they want and are not limited by the traditional classroom—a major benefit to them [35].

Positive reinforcement is used throughout the computer gaming world. As gamers learn advanced guild skills in World of Warcraft (WoW), they gain advantage over opponents. This is a reason why gaming is popular with some learners as it provides a strong motivation to learn new skills.

**Critique of behaviourist models**

When teachers lecture to students—and literally “download” information to them—they provide no time to discuss or challenge ideas. Some critics say that this is not learning for retention as much as listening to an expert speak. Many millennial and Internet generation students now expect opportunities to debate ideas because they have grown up digital and are part of an ongoing global discussion using social media, such as Twitter and Facebook [36].

Many teachers in the 21st century put learners first, which is referred to as student-centred learning [37]. Although some pedagogues continue to lecture in this model, students are encouraged to learn through peer-to-peer interactions—a form of active learning as well as acculturation. The emphasis on rote memorization has been supplanted by social forms of learning. Educators recognize it is more difficult for one person to teach 30 students simultaneously than it is to empower students to share their learning.

In health programs around the world, clinical instructors teach some subject content by lecturing (also known as didactic teaching) but also get students to meet together to dis-
cuss hypothetical patient cases [38]. Some rote-learning will always be required in higher education, but memorization is increasingly secondary to learning how to think critically and work with others.

Interestingly, learners in behavioural models confront problems of various kinds when the stimuli-response patterns that they have learned do not occur in the same sequence each time, resulting in a "cognitive disconnect". Some workers trained to respond to certain cues on the job, for example, cannot exercise judgment if something unforeseen happens. It could be argued that teachers handicap their students when they teach exclusively based on "cuing".

Another criticism of behaviourism pertains to intrinsic motivation. Being self-motivated is critical to lifelong learning, but if someone is trained to accomplish tasks and get rewards, they become externally motivated. Worse, they do not think for themselves [39].

What is constructivism?

Constructivism claims that learners construct their own learning by building on previous knowledge, systems, or mental schema [40].

Constructivists believe that learners construct their own meaning and knowledge when given the chance to do so. When confronting new information, especially online, learners adjust their schema or previous knowledge to suit the new situation. Knowledge is not something transmitted but created by the learners themselves. The teacher's role in this model is to guide and facilitate, not to control learning [40].

There are three main types of constructivism: (i) the cognitivist model, where a change in knowledge is constructed by learners and stored in the brain as information processes (as in a computer); (ii) the radical model, which focuses on what is or has been experienced by the learning activity; and (iii) the social model, a combination of the first two, where the "social nature of knowledge" is emphasized [41].

All three types of constructivist learning emphasize the importance of a learner's individual experiences in making sense of the learning activity. However, it must be pointed out that each type of constructivism takes a slightly different view of the process [42].

Influential constructivists

One of the major figures in education is the American John Dewey (1859–1952). He believed that teachers should not focus on teaching students facts or passing information along but helping them to "think for themselves" [43]. His educational reforms included an emphasis on experiential learning ("learning by doing") and reflecting on experiences for deep learning. Later constructivists such as Donald Schon referred to this powerful adjunct to learning as reflective practice [40].

Swiss psychologist Jean Piaget (1896–1980) proposed a constructivist theory of two parts: (i) an "ages and stages" aspect where learners pass through cognitive stages according to age; and (ii) most learners develop cognitively in stages by introduction to the learning activity, getting assistance, testing the skills learned, and finally reaching a place of accomplishment [44].

Russian psychologist Lev Vygotsky (1896–1934) stressed the importance of a learner’s cultural and social background in learning [45]. Different cultures stress different kinds of social interactions and learners are products of their environment. His belief that sociocultural influences are critical to learning challenges Piaget’s theory that learning takes place in stages.

The gap between a student’s existing ability and what she/he can learn with the guidance of an adult or a more capable peer is what Vygotsky called the “zone of proximal development (ZPD)” [46].

Health librarians can guide users through searching, model certain skills, and help learners through the zone of proximal development. Move your learners into “the zone” by getting them to frame their own understanding of concepts and by modeling best practices.

Critique of constructivism

Constructivist ideas have not always been accepted by educators. Undirected learning can be aimless and a waste of time [46]. Further, how can you construct knowledge of database searching if you are a novice? Where would you start? Doesn’t this material have to be presented first as a basis of understanding? This is the biggest challenge of most constructivist teaching: how do you get all of your end-users on the same page in terms of basic content for your classes?

Piaget and other constructivists theorized that a lot of human learning takes place by trial and error—think of the idea of child’s play and how creative it is [47]. How can health librarians incorporate the idea of trial and error in search workshops? Simply by offering some free time to do so.

Today, the idea of play is influential in education and in the workplace. Google permits its employees to learn things on their own and “try out new things” for up to 20% of their day [48]. However, this can cause time-management problems and lead to a lack of cooperation in team-based projects as it tends to emphasize individualism over collective needs.

It is critical to remember that constructivists believe students are less likely to retain information if they receive it passively. When listening to a lecture for example, students do not learn how to think critically about the ideas presented, and consequently they are not engaged intellectually; they may even grow bored. The challenge of constructivist models is that learners must be eager to learn, and, as most health librarians know, not all learners are motivated to learn. Often, we need to find a way to encourage motivation.

My own experience with constructivist teaching is that it requires some “letting go” of control in the classroom and that it yields some exciting results. As teachers, it can be difficult to trust that students can build their understanding without us. But in order for constructivist approaches to succeed, learners must be committed, have a high level of maturity and time-management skills, especially for group work.

With a health librarian there as a guide, a group of advanced MEDLINE searchers might benefit most from a constructivist approach, for example, because they can teach each other the skills and shortcuts they deem most useful.
What is situated learning?

Situated learning is learning in contexts that reflect the way knowledge and skills will be used in real life [49].

Situated learning (or situated cognition) focuses on learning by doing in context and grounded in everyday life; it owes a lot to constructivist principles [49]. Two examples of situated (literally “in situ”) learning are apprenticeships and practicum experiences in various health professions. In gaining their practical work experience, some student health librarians are able to practice their PubMed search skills when they are mentored by an experienced health librarian. But by working with a pharmacist or nurse (or any clinician for that matter) on a specific search problem (or case), their learning is more meaningful and put into context. In my experience, learning in authentic contexts can often be a trigger for much faster learning.

In situated learning, what is learned is transferable but requires “participation, engagement, negotiation, and contribution to the practice of a community” [50]. In a teaching hospital, for example, clinicians, medical students, librarians, and other health professionals contribute to a community of practice (CoP), which is an aspect of informal learning discerned in this theory.

Influential situated learning theorists

Jean Lave and Etienne Wenger, two of the leaders in the situated cognition movement, see learning as “an act of creation” and co-creation (with others). Many rich, social interactions that occur between like-minded individuals are what they call a community of practice (CoP). A CoP is defined as “groups of people who share a concern, a set of problems, or a passion about a topic, and who deepen their knowledge and expertise in this area by interacting on an ongoing basis” [51].

CoPs are defined along three dimensions: (1) what is the community about – its joint enterprise as understood and continually renegotiated by its members; (2) how does it function – mutual engagement that bind members together into a social entity; and (3) what capability has it produced – the shared repertoire of communal resources (routines, sensibilities, artifacts, vocabulary, styles, etc.) that members develop over time [52].

Similarly, Brown et al. see CoPs as groups of people who are “learning knowledge and skills in contexts that reflect the way they will be used in real life” [53].

Critique of situated learning

Situated learning sounds novel, but as an approach to learning, it is not new. Of course, it places learning in a context that many professions expect, including librarianship, where learning occurs wherever librarians are working. It is also worth emphasizing that being submerged in the culture where learning occurs wherever librarians are working. It is also worth emphasizing that being submerged in the culture where learning occurs wherever librarians are working.

In the situated learning approach of theory, experience, and intuition is more holistic than using any individual method alone.

Consider your long-term planning in terms of your teaching. What goals are you trying to achieve in your instructional programs? Are you looking to teach discrete information skills or something more durable? In the current digital landscape, a critical issue may be how we can actively foster learning cultures in our organizations given the speed of change in our libraries and the pressures introduced by newer information technologies.

These and other practical questions will be the focus in the second column of this series where I will explore how to apply learning theories to the actual design of library workshops and teaching sessions.
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