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Abstract

This paper presents a new paradigm for Extra-large image semantic Segmentation, called ElegantSeg, that capably processes holistic extra-large image semantic segmentation (ELISS). The extremely large sizes of extra-large images (ELIs) tend to cause GPU memory exhaustion. To tackle this issue, prevailing works either follow the global-local fusion pipeline or conduct the multi-stage refinement. These methods can only process limited information at one time, and they are not able to thoroughly exploit the abundant information in ELIs. Unlike previous methods, ElegantSeg can elegantly process holistic ELISS by extending the tensor storage from GPU memory to host memory. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that ELISS can be performed holistically. Besides, ElegantSeg is specifically designed with three modules to utilize the characteristics of ELIs, including the multiple large kernel module for developing long-range dependency, the efficient class relation module for building holistic contextual relationships, and the boundary-aware enhancement module for obtaining complete object boundaries. ElegantSeg outperforms previous state-of-the-art on two typical ELISS datasets. We hope that ElegantSeg can open a new perspective for ELISS. The code and models will be made publicly available.

1. Introduction

With the development of photography and sensor technologies, human beings can get access to extra-large images (ELIs) with millions or even billions of pixels, consisting of satellite images [9, 32], histopathological images [6, 33], and natural images [4], which will benefit a wide range of scientific applications, such as urban planning and designing [28, 46], disease monitoring and diagnosing [6, 33].

As one of the most fundamental low-level vision tasks, semantic segmentation (SS) provides a basic but important understanding of images. From the earliest fully convolutional networks [26] to the most recent Transformers [24, 25, 31, 38, 45], SS has witnessed an explosion of deep learning-based techniques of continuously growing capability and capacity [2, 18, 34, 37, 39, 43, 44]. However, despite significant interest in generic SS methods, the progress of extra-large image semantic segmentation (ELISS) lags behind the SS of generic-size images, with only preliminary explorations having been done [3, 15, 17, 20, 22]. We ask: what makes ELISS different from generic SS? We attempt to answer this question from the following perspectives:

(i) Compared to generic-size images with limited con-
texts, ELIs are able to provide both macroscopic structures and microcosmic details. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the global view can offer complete boundaries of the ELI, while the local view helps to bring fine information for segmenting specific regions. The combination of the two views leads to accurate segmentation results, which can be seen from the results in Fig. 8. This is also similar to the mode of human vision [8, 16].

(ii) ELISS is not only allocating a category to each pixel in the image but also pursuing high-level semantics from a more general perspective. Taking satellite images as examples, rather than caring about object-level categories (cars, buildings, trees, etc.), ELISS requires methods to model the long-range relationship among various regions for SS with complicated categories. For example, groups of houses and trees in ELISS are going to be identified as an “urban area” [1]. However, the pixel-by-pixel SS may lead to confusing results under that circumstance.

(iii) ELIs tend to contain millions or even billions of pixels, which inevitably brings the unbearable cost of memory and computation under the constraint of limited GPU memory during ELISS. To fit the ELIs into the model and perform holistic learning is a huge challenge, which is far away from being solved [3, 15, 17, 20, 22].

Driven by this analysis, we present a simple but effective paradigm named ElegantSeg for ELISS. Unlike previous ELISS methods [3, 15, 17, 20, 22], our ElegantSeg can holistically process ELISS by utilizing both GPU memory and host memory. With the aid of three specially-designed modules including the multiple large kernel (MLK) module, the efficient class relation (ECR) module, and the boundary-aware enhancement (BAE) module, the characteristics of ELIs are thoroughly exploited. Extensive experiments on two typical ELISS datasets [9, 32] demonstrate the superiority of ElegantSeg over previous state-of-the-arts (SOTAs).

The main contributions are summarized as follows:

- To the best of our knowledge, we propose an end-to-end holistic learning framework for the first time to solve ELISS, named ElegantSeg.
- Considering the characteristics of ELIs, we design three specific modules to enhance the segmentation performance of ElegantSeg.
- Extensive experiments and analyses on two typical ELISS datasets indicate the efficacy of ElegantSeg and its superiority over previous SOTAs.

2. Related work

2.1. Generic semantic segmentation

Since FCN [26], generic SS methods have been explicitly exploiting multi-scale feature fusion to surpass the limit of local receptive field by convolution layer and to capture the contextual information at multiple scales [2, 34, 43]. DeepLabV3Plus [2] applies several parallel atrous convolutions with different rates, while PSPNet [43] performs pooling operations at different grid scales. HRNet [34] connects high-to-low resolution convolutions in parallel and conducts multi-scale fusions across parallel convolutions to produce strong and spatially precise high-resolution representations. Recently, the computer vision domain has been witnessing the breakthrough in Vision Transformers [13], which shows huge potential in SS for capturing the long-range contextual dependency by adapting self-attention to capture the global spatial context in generic SS tasks [24, 25, 38, 45]. However, these generic SS methods can not adjust to ELISS due to the constraint of GPU memory.

2.2. Extra-large image semantic segmentation

From GLNet [3], ELISS (also called ultra-high resolution image segmentation) has been studied for years [3, 10, 11, 15, 20, 22, 36]. Following a similar pattern, these methods train multiple models with different-sized samples and conduct the global-to-local fusion on the predictions. Others adopt the scheme of refinement [4, 17, 18, 21, 23, 29, 40] and perform coarse-to-fine refinement on multi-stage predictions for better segmentation results. Nevertheless, these
ELISS methods do not thoroughly exploit the abundant information in ELIs. Although these methods try to exploit the characteristics of ELIs, there is still a long way to go.

### 2.3. Potential techniques for holistic ELISS

**Large kernel convolution** DeepLabV3Plus [2] tries to use dilated convolution to reach a larger receptive field. However, it leads to severe grid effects. Recently, RepLKNNet [12] rethinks the use of large convolutional kernels [27] for a larger effective receptive field, which is helpful for capturing long-range dependency. The depth-wise separable convolution [5] and group convolution [41] are used to reduce the cost of memory and computation.

**Self-attention** Pioneers [35, 39, 42] explore self-attention for capturing global contexts. However, the cost of self-attention is much too heavy for ELIs since they are quite large. Fortunately, [30] proposes efficient attention to dramatically reduce the cost of memory and computation. Which is required to be exploited in ELISS.

### 3. Method

#### 3.1. Large model support

Before introducing the details of our ElegantSeg, it is necessary to talk about the core of holistic learning first, that is, the Large Model Support (LMS) toolbox. LMS\(^1\) is a toolbox provided by IBM Watson Machine Learning Community Edition that allows the successful training of deep learning models that would otherwise exhaust GPU memory and abort with “out-of-memory” errors. LMS manages this oversubscription of GPU memory by temporarily swapping tensors to host memory when they are not needed.

The extremely large sizes of ELIs always lead to GPU memory exhaustion. Traditionally, the solution to this problem has been to modify the model until it fits in GPU memory. This approach, however, can negatively impact accuracy – especially if concessions are made by reducing data fidelity or model complexity. With LMS, deep learning models can scale significantly beyond what was previously possible and, ultimately, generate more accurate results.

#### 3.2. Overview

With the aid of sufficient host memory, the limited GPU memory is no longer a constraint for ELISS. LMS helps the ELIs to fit in the model and makes it possible for our ElegantSeg to process holistic learning. Considering both efficacy and effectiveness, we choose HRNet [34] as the backbone model to build our ElegantSeg model. HRNet is a powerful and efficient model which can obtain multi-scale features. After that, we are going to design specific modules to utilize the characteristics of ELIs. The overview of ElegantSeg can be seen in Fig. 3.

#### 3.3. Multiple Large Kernel module

Early in Sec. 2.3, we mention that large convolutional kernels can provide a much larger effective receptive field than normal convolutional kernels. LKM [27] and RepLKNNet [12] perform large convolutional kernels on SS for generic-size images for a larger spatial context. Inspired by them, we design the multiple large kernel (MLK) module in ElegantSeg. For ELIs, the effective receptive field is much more important for capturing global structures. Therefore, we use up to \(31 \times 31\) convolution kernel in the MLK module. The MLK module consists of a \(1 \times 1\) convolution for reducing the dimension, an activation function, \(L\) multiple large kernel units (MLKUs), and a \(1 \times 1\) convolution for raising the dimension, as can be seen in Fig. 4. The MLKU is the core of the MLK module. It consists of a \(5 \times 5\) convolution, three large-kernel depth-wise separable convolutions including \(7 \times 7, 15 \times 15,\) and \(31 \times 31\), and a fusion function. The MLKU will be repeated \(L\) times in the MLK module.

#### 3.4. Efficient Class Relation module

We argue that ELISS is not simply allocating a category to each pixel in the image, but pursuing high-level semantics. It is needed to take into account the relationship among pixels, regions, and categories, the combination of which forms the spatial context. For one target pixel, we think that all relevant pixels in the ELI can contribute to it holistically. Under this hypothesis, we propose the efficient class relation (ECR) module with an efficient attention unit. See Fig. 5 and Algorithm 1 for the detailed process. In general, we use the entire features of the ELI to enhance the features on the hard region. Besides, it is worth noting that the use of efficient attention can save about 99.99% of memory with features on the FBP dataset \((n \times 256 \times 1700 \times 1800)\).

#### 3.5. Boundary-Aware Enhancement module

The boundary region is quite important for SS. Typically, SegFix [40] and PointRend [18] propose effective models to refine the segmentation results on the boundary region. However, these methods require too much computation and memory, especially for ELIs. For us, it is important but not necessary in our proposed ElegantSeg. Thus, we use the simplest way to perform boundary-aware enhancement (BAE). We naively use the Sobel operator to extract the boundaries among object regions from the mask. Then boundaries are used as the loss weights to punish the model on the boundary region, for a better learning of pixel relationship on the object boundary. This BAE module is simple but effective, which is especially useful in holistic learning to obtain complete boundaries for better segmentation. See Algorithm 1 for the detailed process.

\(^1\)https://github.com/IBM/pytorch-large-model-support
3.6. Loss function

We use auxiliary segmentation loss for deep supervision [19, 43] to better train the ElegantSeg model. Cross entropy loss is used for both the main segmentation loss and the auxiliary segmentation loss. The weights of losses are set to 1 and 0.4, respectively. See Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Pseudocode of ElegantSeg in PyTorch style.

```python
# a: percentage for hard region selection
# b: kernel size of Sobel operator
# c: number of classes
# d: dimension of features
# n: mini-batch size
# h, w: height and width of features
# norm: softmax (or scaling)
# w_bd: weight for boundary enhancement loss
# w_aux: weight for auxiliary loss
# load a minibatch of images and labels for images, labels in loader:
feats = backbone.forward(images)
aux_logits = aux_head.forward(feats)
# Multiple Large Kernel Module
feats = mlk_module.forward(feats)
# Efficient Class Relation Module
## Hard Region Selection
sort_logits = aux_logits.view(n, c, h*w)
feats = feats.view(n, d, h * w)
### compute the difference of logits
topl1_logits = topk(aux_logits, dim=1, k=2)[:,0,:]
topl2_logits = topk(aux_logits, dim=1, k=2)[:,1,:]
dif_logits = topl1_logits - topl2_logits
sorted_index = dif_logits.sort(descending)
### select a% of hard pixels
hard_region = sorted_index[:,0:h*w*a]
hard_feats = feats.gather(hard_region)
## Efficient Attention
query = norm(hard_feats, dim=1)
key = norm(feats, dim=2).view(n, d, h*w)
value = feats.view(n, h*w, d)
### holistic relation vector: nxdxd
relation = bmm(key, value)
### c_hard_feats: nxdx(h*w*a)
c_hard_feats = hard_feats + att_value
### boundary-aware enhancement
bd = Sobel(labels, ksize=b)
weight_bd = one_like(labels) + w_bd*binary(bd)
aux_loss = CELoss(aux_logits, labels, weight_bd)
seg_loss = CELoss(seg_logits, labels, weight_bd)
loss = seg_loss + w_aux*aux_loss
loss.backward()
```

bmm: batch matrix multiplication.

4. Experiment

4.1. Datasets

**DeepGlobe** The DeepGlobe dataset is the most popular satellite image dataset in the computer vision domain. It contains 803 ELIs with 6 categories (2448×2448 pixels). We randomly split images into training, validation, and testing sets with 454, 142, and 207 images respectively, following the protocols of [3, 15, 17, 20].

**FBP** The FBP dataset contains more than 5 billion labeled pixels of 150 ELIs (6800×7200 pixels), annotated in a 24-category system covering artificial-constructed, agricultural, and natural classes. We follow the same testing sets.
Figure 5. Details of the proposed efficient class relation module. It can help to develop holistic contextual relationships between regions.

Figure 6. Comparison between generic attention and efficient attention. The two mechanisms are approximately equivalent according to the proof in [30]. The efficient attention can save about 99.99% memory on the FBP dataset.

with [32] and randomly split the rest images into training, validation with 90 and 30 images respectively.

4.2. Implementation details

We implement our framework using Pytorch on a server with three NVIDIA RTX Titan GPU, each of which has 24G GPU memory. The server has 1024G host memory to utilize the LMS toolbox. During training our local segmentation model, we adopt the SGD optimizer and a mini-batch size of 3 on the FBP dataset and 9 on the DeepGlobe dataset. The initial learning rate is set to 0.01 and it is decayed to 0.0001 by a step-based learning rate policy. In practice, it takes 10,000 iterations to converge our ElegantSeg model. For the first 1,500 iterations, the learning rate is set to 5e-5 for warming up. Following [18], we multiply the learning rate for the segmentation heads by 10 for better convergence.

For the parameters, the number L for MLKU is set to 1. The percentage for hard region selection a is set to 10%. The kernel size of Sobel operator b is set to 5. The dimension of feature d is set to 256. The weights of the main segmentation loss and the auxiliary segmentation loss are 1 and 0.4, respectively. We use the mean intersection over union (mIoU) as the evaluation metric.

The implementations of existing generic SS methods are all based on the MMsegmentation [7] framework. All models are fine-tuned on the pre-trained models supported by MMsegmentation. As can be seen in Tab. 1, we select ten typical generic SS methods, and two popular transformer-based methods. All generic SS methods are trained for 10,000 iterations with the recommended hyper-parameters on the MMsegmentation [7] framework for a fair compari-
### Generic semantic segmentation methods

| Methods                  | Publication | Backbone       | End-to-End learning | Size of input (mIoU (%)) | Size of input (mIoU (%)) |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| PSPNet [43]              | CVPR’ 17   | ResNet-101     | ✓                   | 71.59                     | 53.33                     |
| DeepLabV3Plus [2]        | ECCV’ 18   | ResNet-101     | ✓                   | 72.43                     | 48.97                     |
| PSA.Net [44]             | ECCV’ 18   | ResNet-101     | ✓                   | 72.71                     | 54.22                     |
| UperNet [37]             | ECCV’ 18   | ResNet-101     | ✓                   | 72.20                     | 51.08                     |
| HRNet [34]               | CVPR’ 19   | HRNet-W18      | ✓                   | 72.34                     | 53.16                     |
| PointRend [18]           | CVPR’ 20   | ResNet-101     | ✓                   | 512×512                   | 512×512                   |
| OCRNet [39]              | ECCV’ 20   | HRNet-W48      | ✓                   | 73.04                     | 55.71                     |
| STDC [14]                | CVPR’ 21   | STDC           |                     | 73.19                     | 51.29                     |
| SwinTransformer [25]     | ICCV’ 21   | Swin-B         | ✓                   | 71.91                     | 50.49                     |
| SegFormer [38]           | NIPS’ 21   | MiT-B5         | ✓                   | 72.34                     | 55.29                     |

### Extra-large image semantic segmentation methods

| Methods                  | Publication | Backbone       | End-to-End learning | Size of input (mIoU (%)) | Size of input (mIoU (%)) |
|--------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| GLNet [3]                | CVPR’ 19   | FPN-ResNet-50  | ✓                   | 508×508                   | 508×508                   |
| CascadePSP [4]           | CVPR’ 20   | ResNet-50      | ✓                   | 512×512                   | 512×512                   |
| PPN [36]                 | AAII’ 20   | ResNet-50      | ✓                   | 512×512                   | 512×512                   |
| MagNet [17]              | CVPR’ 21   | FPN-ResNet-50  | ✓                   | 508×508                   | 508×508                   |
| FCtL [20]                | ICCV’ 21   | VGG-16         | ✓                   | 508×508                   | 508×508                   |
| ISDNet [15]              | CVPR’ 22   | DeepLabV3-ResNet-18 | ✓ | 1224×1224                   | 1224×1224                   |
| ElegantSeg-S             | -          | HRNet-W18      | ✓ ✓                 | 2448×2448*                | 6800×7200*                |
| ElegantSeg-M             | -          | HRNet-W32      | ✓ ✓                 | 2448×2448*                | 5000×5000                 |
| ElegantSeg-L             | -          | HRNet-W48      | ✓ ✓ ✓               | 2448×2448*                | 4000×4000                 |

* denotes the size for holistic learning.

Table 1. Comparison with previous SOTAs on the DeepGlobe and the FBP dataset. We evaluate all the generic SS methods on both datasets and some of the ELISS methods on the FBP dataset. The results of ELISS methods on the DeepGlobe dataset are collected from [15]. The codes released by [3, 15, 17, 20] are modified to adjust to the FBP dataset. We have tried our best to reproduce the good performance of these methods, but one of them (i.e., [15]) fails to perform well in our re-implemented version.

| Methods | Holistic learning | BAE | MLK | ECR | mIoU (%) |
|----------|-------------------|-----|-----|-----|----------|
| ElegantSeg | -                 | -   | -   | -   | 72.40    |
|           | ✓                 | -   | -   | -   | 72.25    |
|           | -                 | ✓   | -   | -   | 72.02    |
|           | ✓                 | ✓   | -   | -   | 72.13    |
|           | ✓                 | ✓   | ✓   | -   | 72.32    |
|           | ✓                 | ✓   | ✓   | ✓   | 71.98    |
|           | ✓                 | ✓   | ✓   | ✓   | 72.02    |

| ElegantSeg | ✓ | -   | -   | 73.42 |
|           | ✓ | ✓   | -   | 73.55 |
|           | ✓ | ✓   | ✓   | 73.71 |
|           | ✓ | ✓   | ✓   | 73.95 |

Table 2. Ablation study of proposed modules on the DeepGlobe dataset. It shows that the specific effectiveness of the three proposed modules on the setting of holistic learning.

For ELISS methods, we collect the results on the DeepGlobe dataset from [15] and re-implement four of them on the FBP dataset except for CascadePSP [4] and PPN [36] since CascadePSP [4] need a pre-trained model to predict initial segmentation for refinement and the scheme of PPN [36] is quite similar to GLNet [3] and FCtL [20]. As for GLNet [3] and FCtL [20], they cost too much time and memory with more than a week for training one stage, and about a month for the whole training process. The original version of [17] includes four-stage refinement. But we can only re-implement one-stage refinement according to their released code. Besides, the model of ISDNet [15] is specially designed for 3-band images, and we adjust it to fit the 4-band images on the FBP dataset. However, the result of ISDNet [15] is not promising.

### 4.3. Comparison with state-of-the-arts

The size of the DeepGlobe dataset is not that large and the annotations are coarse, which makes the ELISS much easier. While The FBP dataset is much harder compared to
We illustrate some representative examples of the DeepGlobe dataset. Comparing with previous SOTAs, Our ElegantSeg shows superiority in obtaining complete object contours, as demonstrated in the black boxes. The DeepGlobe dataset, containing 24 classes. The performances of [44], [39], and [38] on the FBP dataset are good. Based on the results, one can see that attention is important for extracting the spatial relationship in the FBP dataset.

Furthermore, existing methods fail to work well on the FBP dataset, while our ElegantSeg performs well on both datasets. Some qualitative results are presented in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8, which also demonstrate that our proposed ElegantSeg is superior to the three typical methods. These baseline method fails to perform well on some locations in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. However, our proposed ElegantSeg can utilize complete information with holistic learning, combining the advantage of global and local views for better segmentation results.

4.4. Ablation study

We ablate the proposed three modules to see whether they are really effective for ELISS. To our surprise, the results turn out to be very interesting. Tab. 2 shows that when ElegantSeg is trained with sliced input (i.e., without holistic learning), the proposed three modules including BAE, MLK, and ECR may be harmful to the performance.

However, they do help to increase the accuracy when trained with whole ELIs (i.e., with holistic learning). We argue that the cropped slice is too small to provide enough spatial context. Thus, these three modules designed for ELIs bring too much noise for local pixels, which impairs performance.

5. Conclusion and Limitation

5.1. Conclusion

This paper presents a new scheme named ElegantSeg which can capably process holistic ELISS and overcome the GPU memory exhaustion caused by the extremely large sizes of ELIs. Different from existing global-local fusion methods or multi-stage refinement methods, ElegantSeg
can elegantly process holistic ELISS, and is able to thoroughly exploit the abundant information in ELIs. By extending the tensor storage from GPU memory to host memory, ElegantSeg breaks through the constraint of limited GPU memory. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that holistic ELISS can be done. Besides, three modules are specifically designed to utilize the characteristics of ELIs, including the multiple large kernel module, the efficient class relation module, and the boundary-aware enhancement module. Extensive experiments show that ElegantSeg outperforms the previous SOTAs on two typical ELISS datasets. We hope that ElegantSeg can open a new perspective for ELISS.

5.2. Limitation

**Optimization** ElegantSeg utilize the SGD optimizer which is designed for generic SS tasks. Nevertheless, the Mini-batch size is quite small while the image size is extremely large under the setting of ELISS. We believe that new optimization methods need to be explored for ELISS.

**Efficiency** For now, the efficiency of ElegantSeg is not fast enough. Some efficient techniques for accelerating the process of ELISS are supposed to be further developed, which are not limited to the attention function.

**Generalization** In this paper, the experiments are conducted on two ELI datasets with satellite images. It is required to conduct more experiments on ELI datasets with natural or medical images to verify the generalization of our proposed ElegantSeg.
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