Pathway analysis of genome-wide data improves warfarin dose prediction
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Abstract

Background: Many genome-wide association studies focus on associating single loci with target phenotypes. However, in the setting of rare variation, accumulating sufficient samples to assess these associations can be difficult. Moreover, multiple variations in a gene or a set of genes within a pathway may all contribute to the phenotype, suggesting that the aggregation of variations found over the gene or pathway may be useful for improving the power to detect associations.

Results: Here, we present a method for aggregating single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) along biologically relevant pathways in order to seek genetic associations with phenotypes. Our method uses all available genetic variants and does not remove those in linkage disequilibrium (LD). Instead, it uses a novel SNP weighting scheme to down-weight the contributions of correlated SNPs. We apply our method to three cohorts of patients taking warfarin: two European descent cohorts and an African American cohort. Although the clinical covariates and key pharmacogenetic loci for warfarin have been characterized, our association metric identifies a significant association with mutations distributed throughout the pathway of warfarin metabolism. We improve dose prediction after using all known clinical covariates and pharmacogenetic variants in VKORC1 and CYP2C9. In particular, we find that at least 1% of the missing heritability in warfarin dose may be due to the aggregated effects of variations in the warfarin metabolic pathway, even though the SNPs do not individually show a significant association.

Conclusions: Our method allows researchers to study aggregative SNP effects in an unbiased manner by not preselecting SNPs. It retains all the available information by accounting for LD-structure through weighting, which eliminates the need for LD pruning.

Accurate prediction of clinical phenotypes, such as disease manifestations or drug therapeutic response, using genotype data is a fundamental challenge in translational biology. A primary method for establishing phenotype-genotype links is through Genome-Wide Association Studies (GWAS), which interrogate the genome in regions of common variability and attempt to statistically associate this variability to the phenotype of interest [1]. This phenotype may be a disease trait, or in the case of a pharmacogenetic GWAS, a drug-response phenotype such as the drug efficacy, adverse events, or required dose.

Warfarin, an anticoagulant prescribed to over 30 million patients annually to prevent thromboembolic events, is a drug with known pharmacogenetic influences [2-4]. The dose of warfarin required for an adequate level of anticoagulation cannot reliably be predicted from clinical factors alone. Patients on warfarin may require doses ranging from less than 10 mg/week to over 100 mg/week in order to achieve the same level of therapeutic anticoagulation [2,3]. Determining the therapeutic dose is critical because overdosing can lead to adverse events such as...
bleeding while underdosing leaves patients at risk for thromboembolic events [5]. Genetic variations in both pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic genes relevant to warfarin impact the required dose [2]. The most important variants influencing warfarin dose occur in genes encoding warfarin’s target, VKORC1, and a major metabolizing enzyme, CYP2C9 [6-8]. Dosing algorithms using key variants from these genes along with clinical factors can predict stable warfarin dose to some extent, and have the potential to help avoid the adverse events caused by prolonged “guess-and-test” dosing [2,9-11]. Approximately 30% of the variability in warfarin dose requirement is explained by the genetics of VKORC1 and CYP2C9. However, current dosing algorithms fail to fully explain the variation in dose, even when using clinical factors in addition to the genetic information. These algorithms perform particularly poorly in African Americans, whose decreased variation in VKORC1 and CYP2C9 hinders dose prediction algorithms based on variation present in European and Asian populations [12-14].

The biological pathways involving warfarin are well characterized, and warfarin metabolism genes show high genetic variability not only between individuals but also between populations, making it cumbersome to refine the warfarin dosing equations for each specific population [14-16]. Furthermore, rare variants in important genes may have crucial effects that cannot be quantified by traditional genome-wide association methods [17].

Aggregating information from multiple single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), that individually do not show significant association with phenotypes of interest can improve our power to detect important variation. For example, we have shown that rare variants of CYP2C9 can be pooled to create an indicator variable that significantly improves warfarin dose prediction [18]. SNPs can be grouped together in a data-driven manner using the strength of association or by analyzing previously characterized genes and pathways. Data-driven methods select the most promising (nearly significant) SNPs and combine the information from these SNPs to create a summary statistic that can be associated with the phenotype of interest. In this setting, machine learning approaches, including random forest regression, boosted regression tree, and support vector regression, can be used to select the subset of SNPs and clinical covariates for predicting phenotypes [19,20]. However, these data-driven methods are at risk of overfitting the data, producing a model not generalizable to other datasets or populations [20,21]. Moreover, statistical learning methods can be biased by LD structure and minor allele frequencies, requiring additional pre-processing [22].

Biologically-driven methods use information from known pathways to group SNPs together, with the assumption that the SNPs may have similar effects on phenotype and thus can be aggregated. Such methods allow for the combination of SNPs that may not be significant alone, but in aggregate, are associated with pathway dysfunction. Biologically-driven pathway-based methods have been used for analyzing gene expression data, proteomic data, and are now emerging as a mode of analysis for genotyping and sequencing data [23][24].

Pathway-based methods group mutations from genes in a biologically relevant pathway [24]. For instance, Baranzini et al. combined nominally-significant SNPs (with p< 0.05) in a MS GWAS to create gene groupings and then compared these groupings to characterized interaction networks to find sub-networks that were enriched for MS genes. They identified putative pathogenesis pathways that were consistent with the biological systems thought to be important in Multiple Sclerosis [25]. Similarly, a pathway analysis of the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium (WTCCC) looked at the seven disease GWAS and used the most statistically significant SNP in each gene in order to create a “score” metric for that gene. Using the top genes, Torokman et al. looked for pathways that were enriched for the gene-set [26]. A pathway-based approach of studying the pharmacogenomics of purine and pyrimidine metabolites in gene expression data revealed that analyzing data using gene-sets rather than a single gene approach was able to detect important groups of genes that impact drug cytotoxicity [27].

These studies demonstrate the potential value of pathway-based methods and underscore the importance of aggregated genetic effects. However, they have focused primarily on gene expression data and can be limited by a SNP selection strategy that relies on individually significant p-values from a single-locus analysis. Here, we use biologically curated pathways, such as warfarin metabolism, to create a statistic that can be tested for association with warfarin dose. In particular, we aggregate the mutational burden of genes in the metabolic pathway of warfarin and demonstrate that this mutational burden explains some of the missing heritability in warfarin dose.

Several methods exist for aggregating SNPs across genes or pathways. VAAST assigns gene scores using both variant frequency data and amino acid substitution data to test the association of entire genes to cases and controls [28]. The weighted-sum method also combines rare variants and compares the burden of mutation between cases and controls to determine genes or pathways of interest [29]. In addition, we have used genotype frequency to aggregate SNPs across a gene [30]. All of these methods are designed to work best when low frequency variants contribute greatly to gene scores [28,29]. Moreover, linkage disequilibrium (LD) confounds the
ability to aggregate variants since multiple variants may be effectively carrying the same "information" and including them together may amount to double counting and overestimation of association strength. Some methods use permutation testing in order to address this; however, permutation testing does not properly account for LD since it considers correlated variables to be independent. The alternative is to remove SNPs that are in LD above an arbitrary threshold cutoff, but this approach leads to a significant loss of information [31]. Our method accounts for LD structure by using a tree-based weighting scheme initially developed in the context of sequence analysis [32].

**Methods**

**Data sources**

We used three data sets from prior studies: all subjects were on stable doses of warfarin with repeated INR measurements between 2-3.

1. Genotypes for 188 patients of European descent with a stable warfarin dose and clinical covariates were obtained from Cooper et al [33]. Samples were genotyped using the Illumina HumanHap 550k, version 3 BeadChip (Illumina, San Diego, CA). SNPs with minor alleles in less than 4 samples (1.3% allele frequency) were excluded. Average call rate for SNPs was 98.8% [33].

2. We obtained genotypes from 233 venous-thromboembolism patients of European descent on warfarin from the Malmo Thrombophilia Study [34]. These subjects were genotyped on the Illumina 670 chip (Illumina, San Diego, CA). SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF) below 1%, MAF between 1 and 3% and call rate under 99%, and MAF over 3% and call rate below 98% were excluded. All included samples had a call rate above 95%. Ethnic outliers were removed using principle component analysis with Hapmap3 samples.

3. We obtained genotypes from 342 African Americans (the IWPC GWAS) from different IWPC sites. Subjects were genotyped using the Illumina 610 Quad BeadChip at the RIKEN Center for Genomic Medicine in Yokohama, Japan. SNPs with less than 2% minor allele frequency were excluded except for SNPs in VKORC1 and CYP2C9, because of evidence suggesting their possible involvement in warfarin dosing. Data collection and quality control were based on previously described IWPC protocols [2,35-37].

For each dataset, PCA was performed on the genotype data using the pca_module package (version 1.1.02) for Python (version 2.7.1).

**Constructing a pathway score: gene aggregation, LD weighting methods, and pathway mapping**

Aggregate Number of Minor Alleles (A#m) sums the number of minor alleles observed in a given gene (with each individual position having a maximum score of 2). It assigns a point to the gene whenever a SNP is the minor allele, or two if the SNP is homozygous for the minor allele (Figure 1). Major alleles are derived from the control population and whenever any allele that is not a major allele appears, a point is given to the gene’s score. In the case of no control population, (e.g. both high drug dose and low drug dose populations), the major alleles are derived across the entire population. We obtained the major alleles using plink (version 1.07) over the entire population of each individual dataset (e.g. Cooper et. al. for Cooper major alleles).

To account for LD, we used an algorithm previously developed for protein sequence similarity to weight a SNP based on its LD with nearby SNPs [32]. We clustered SNPs using the LD r² as the similarity metric. SNPs were clustered using single linkage clustering (where the shortest distance between any two leaves of a cluster is used as the cluster difference). The resulting clusters were used to create a bifurcating tree that relates all loci using LD (Figure 2). LD (r²) for each SNP was calculated for all pathway SNPs within 1 Mb using plink (version 1.07). Once clustered, we used the Gerstein-Sonnhammer-Chothia algorithm to compute the weights of each leaf node (SNP) [32]. This algorithm downweights overrepresented sequences; in this case, SNPs in LD get downweighted because they carry the same "information" and including them together may amount to double counting and overestimation of association strength.
similar information. The LD-weighted score of each pathway was determined by summing the LD-weight of each minor allele in each given SNP (Figure 3).

We mapped SNPs to genes using dbSNP based on build hg19 of the human genome. For NCBI’s hg19, we used the default feature set (introns, exons) of all genes and added 10,000 bases upstream (5’) and 3,000 bases downstream (3’) in order to capture regulatory regions.

We determined drug metabolic pathway by selecting the metabolic enzymes in PharmGKB’s warfarin pharmacokinetic pathway (PA145011113) and the drug target pathway by using PharmGKB’s warfarin pharmacodynamic pathway.
Pathway and weighting method validation and replication

We performed several tests using our pathway method to assess how variation in warfarin metabolizing enzymes influenced dose prediction and the effectiveness of our weighted pathway metric. First, we applied our pathway method in a cohort of European descent to test the significance of pathway-level variation in predicting dose. Next, we dissected the pathway score into two pieces – CYP2C9 and the rest of the pathway and tested how these two separate variables predicted dose. This helped determine whether or not the pathway was being driven by CYP2C9, which is known to contain many important warfarin variants [2,8]. Then we tested whether our biologically derived warfarin metabolic pathway was empirically significant through 100 permutations of random biological pathways using the Cooper et al. data. We then predicted dose in another cohort of European ancestry using all available covariates and the pathway score. To show broad population applicability, we applied our pathway score to predict dose in an African American cohort. We evaluated clinical utility, by using the pathway score as a variable for the warfarin pharmacogenetic equation in the African American cohort (the only cohort with all the pharmacogenetic equation variables available) [2]. Finally, to demonstrate the generalizability of our weighted pathway method, we compared weighting SNPs based on LD vs. pruning SNPs at various LD cutoffs.

Pathway method validation in Cooper et al. cohort

We produced the A#m score for the warfarin metabolic and pharmacodynamic pathway in a population of 188 patients (182 of European descent and 6 of Hispanic descent) on warfarin. We used a linear regression to model warfarin dose with the warfarin metabolic pathway A#m and known clinical and genetic covariates: age, weight, race (principal components 1 and 2 of the genotype data), amiodarone, losartan, VKORC1 (rs9923231) and CYP2C9 status (carrier or homozygote for *3; *2 variant using all available covariates and the pathway score. To show broad population applicability, we applied our pathway score to predict dose in an African American cohort. We evaluated clinical utility, by using the pathway score as a variable for the warfarin pharmacogenetic equation in the African American cohort (the only cohort with all the pharmacogenetic equation variables available) [2]. Finally, to demonstrate the generalizability of our weighted pathway method, we compared weighting SNPs based on LD vs. pruning SNPs at various LD cutoffs.
was not genotyped and could not be imputed from the available data. We excluded nineteen subjects who were missing one of the above covariates. We used an ANOVA to test the difference between the models including and not including the pathway score. All statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical package (version 2.10.1).

**Pathway method validation in Cooper et al. cohort without CYP2C9**
We removed CYP2C9 scores from the pathway score in the Cooper et al. data. Then, we modeled warfarin dose using a linear regression with the aforementioned clinical and genetic covariates and individually added either the CYP2C9 A#m score or warfarin metabolic A#m score without CYP2C9 as covariates. All statistical analyses were performed using the R statistical package (version 2.10.1).

**Post hoc evaluation of 100 permutations of random biological pathways in the Cooper et al. data**
We ran 100 permutations of random pathways from a combination of the PharmGKB, Biocarta, and Pathway Interaction Database using MySQL (version 5.1.14). We calculated the score for each random pathway using the A#m method previously described using the Cooper et al. data. We then modeled warfarin dose using a linear model with the previously mentioned genetic and clinical covariates and each pathway. We used the random pathways to compute a background distribution of correlations. The empirical p-values were then estimated from this distribution, and reported in addition to traditional statistical tests.

**Pathway method replication in IWPC Malmo cohort**
We also applied pathway scores in another cohort of 233 individuals of European descent genotyped through the International Warfarin Consortium (IWPC). For the covariates, we used age, height, weight, principle component 1, principle component 2, rs1799853 (CYP2C9 *2), rs1057910 (CYP2C9 *3), rs9923231 (VKORC1 -1639 G>A), and rs9934438 (VKORC1 1173 C>T). The VKORC1 SNPs were in perfect LD, and thus, only one was used in the regression. None of the patients were on enzyme inducers (carbamazepine, rifampin, phenytoin). We excluded 40 subjects that were missing one of the above covariates.

**Metabolic pathway score as a covariate in the IWPC dosing equation in the IWPC African American GWAS Cohort**
We calculated the predicted dose of each individual in the IWPC African American cohort using the IWPC dose equation [2]. We then calculated the residual dose by subtracting the IWPC predicted dose from the actual dose. We used a linear regression to model the residual dose with the A#m pathway score. The Cooper et al. and IWPC Malmo cohorts were missing key covariate information necessary for the IWPC pharmacogenetic equation, and thus, we were unable to calculate an IWPC predicted dose for these groups.

**Weighting method validation in Cooper et al. data and IWPC African American GWAS with LD-pruning**
We LD-pruned the Cooper et al. pathway SNPs and the IWPC African American GWAS pathway SNPs at incremental r² cutoffs from 0.1 to 0.9. Variants were pruned using plink v1.07 with pairwise genotypic correlations using the default window size of 50 SNPs and a sliding window of 5 SNPs.

We used the resulting sets of pruned SNPs to generate A#m scores without weighting. We modeled warfarin dose using a linear regression with the aforementioned clinical and genetic covariates with the A#m scores generated at the different pruning r² cutoffs.

**Results**
**Known metabolic pathway aggregation improves dose prediction in 169 individuals of European descent**
The Cooper et al. cohort included 188 patients (182 of European descent and 6 of Hispanic descent) on warfarin doses ranging from 1 mg/day to 15.54 mg/day. A summary of the patient demographic data of the Cooper et al. cohort is available in Table 1. Nineteen observations were deleted due to missing data, and a comparison of demographic data between included and excluded data is in Additional file 1 Table S1. The A#m metabolic pathway consisted of 49 SNPs in 7 genes (Additional file 1 Table S2), and the A#m score distribution can be found in Figure S1. We found that the A#m metabolic pathway score was a significant
predictor of the stable warfarin dose ($F = 5.1708, p = 0.0244$) (Table 1). We had an improvement of 1.4% in adjusted $r^2$ with the addition of the weighted pathway scores (0.466 to 0.48). The A#m target (pharmacodynamic) pathway was not a statistically significant predictor of warfarin dose and was not tested in the subsequent cohorts.

**Metabolic pathway score is not driven by CYP2C9 score**
When CYP2C9 scores were calculated separately from the metabolic pathway and both were used as covariates, neither CYP2C9 scores nor pathway scores were statistically significant, though the A#m pathway scores without CYP2C9 was marginal ($p = 0.0681$) (Additional file 1 Table S3). However, the full A#m pathway score is statistically significant ($p = 0.0244$, Table 1). This significance was further confirmed by 100 permutations of random pathways, which we used to calculate an empirical p-value cut-off of 0.07 for significance.

**Metabolic pathway score marginally significant in replication cohort of 223 individuals of European descent**
The IWPC Malmo cohort included 233 individuals of European descent on warfarin doses ranging from 1.25 to 15.14 mg/day. Additional demographic information related to warfarin dose covariates can be found in Additional file 1, Table S4. Ten observations were excluded due to missing data. For this cohort, the A#m pathway consisted of 84 SNPs in 7 genes (Additional file 1, Table S5), and the A#m score distribution can be found in Additional file 1, Figure S2. The A#m pathway score was marginally significant ($F = 3.30, p = 0.0710$); coefficients and p-values for each covariate can be found in Additional file 1 Table S4.

**Metabolic pathway score improves dose prediction in a cohort of 302 African Americans**
We replicated this method in a population of African Americans genotyped by the International Warfarin Pharmacogenetic Consortium (IWPC). This population consisted of 342 individuals on doses of warfarin ranging from 1.43 to 17.41 mg/day. Patient demographic data is summarized in Table 2. The metabolic pathway was created using 122 available SNPs in 7 genes (Additional file 1, Table S6), and the A#m score distribution can be found in Additional file 1, Figure S3. With the IWPC African Americans, 40 observations were not used in the analysis due to missing data; a comparison of covariates between included and excluded data can be found in Additional file 1 Table S7. Dose was not significantly different between individuals included in the analysis and individuals removed due to missing data.

In this cohort, the A#m pathway score was significantly predictive of warfarin dose ($F = 6.175, p= 0.0135$) (Table 2). There was an improvement in adjusted $r^2$ of 1.3 percentage points (0.259 to 0.272) with the addition of the weighted metabolic pathway score.

**Metabolic pathway score adds information to IWPC pharmacogenetic dosing equation in a cohort of 302 African Americans**
Next, we found that A#m was a statistically significant predictor ($F = 5.396, p= 0.0208$) of the residual dose unexplained by the current IWPC warfarin pharmacogenetic equation (Table 3) [2]. The addition of the A#m PK Pathway to the existing IWPC dose equation in a cohort of African Americans yields a 1.4 percentage point improvement in dose prediction (0.255 to 0.269).

**Weighting method validation vs. LD-pruning in Cooper et al. data and IWPC African American GWAS**
We present a comparison of p-values, number of SNPs included, and adjusted $r^2$ values for the weighted method vs. several LD cutoffs in Tables S8 and S9. The best adjusted $r^2$ value in the Cooper et. al. data was achieved at an LD-cutoff of 0.3, with an adjusted $r^2$ of 0.498, compared

### Table 1 Cooper et al.

| Covariate                  | Mean/% Patients | Standard Deviation | Coefficient | p-value   |
|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Age                        | 58.7            | 15.7               | -0.0407     | 6.43E-05* |
| Weight (lbs)               | 195.3           | 45.3               | 0.0109      | 1.32E-03* |
| Amiodarone                 | 14.3%           | -                  | -1.393      | 1.11E-03* |
| Losartan                   | 9.3%            | -                  | -0.420      | 4.14E-01  |
| VKORC1 AG                  | 46.6%           | -                  | 2.157       | 1.03E-05* |
| VKORC1 GG                  | 41.0%           | -                  | 3.821       | 2.78E-13* |
| CYP2C9 status              | 29.2%           | -                  | -1.330      | 1.77E-04* |
| Principal Component 1      | -               | 38.8               | -0.000389   | 9.19E-01  |
| Principal Component 2      | -               | 25.5               | -0.00576    | 3.15E-01  |
| A#m Metabolic Pathway      | 19.38           | 3.57               | -0.0999     | 2.44E-02* |

* patient data, regression coefficients, and p-values
to an adjusted $r^2$ of 0.480 with the weighted method. The highest adjusted $r^2$ value in the IWPC African American GWAS data was achieved with the weighted method, with an adjusted $r^2$ of 0.272. If LD pruning were to be used on the IWPC African American GWAS data, an LD-cutoff of 0.6 produces the highest adjusted $r^2$ value (0.265) among the various cutoffs. In several cases, choice of LD cutoff influences significance due to information loss, whereas the weighted method produces a significant p-value.

### Discussion

Previous genetic analyses of warfarin response have used single-locus or single-gene based approaches in order to discover candidate variants influencing dose [37,40]. Here, we have developed a method that aggregates SNPs along a biologically important pathway known to affect warfarin dosing – the enzymes of its metabolic pathway. We focused on metabolic enzymes because of their similar direction of effect on warfarin – degradation. The metabolism genes were chosen from the PharmGKB database of manually curated drug pathways, which are derived from biological evidence in the literature [39]. For the general application of this method to other drug cases, PharmGKB has manually curated pathways for drugs whose biological actions have been well studied. For application to disease, other resources such as BioCarta and the Pathway Interaction Database may be a useful source of pathways. Here, we show that the aggregate pathway effects significantly contribute to the prediction of warfarin dose, and that this pathway score is not solely driven by CYP2C9, the major warfarin metabolizing enzyme (Additional file 1 Table S3).

Our method is based on the assumption that aggregate effects of the mutational burden of the warfarin metabolizing enzymes have a similar effect and direction of effect on the overall sensitivity to warfarin. This strategy assumes that minor alleles generally lead to a decrease or loss of function. This assumption is supported by a recent systematic review of loss-of-function variants in the human genome, which found loss-of-function variants to consistently occur at lower frequencies [41]. Moreover, our method is robust to a small amount of error (i.e. some of the minor alleles could result in a gain of function and not abolish the overall signal) and was empirically observed to be valid for CYP2C9 [18]. Furthermore, this method relies on using biologically validated pathways for SNP aggregation. Because of this experimental validation, such a method would be less likely to produce false positives.
We avoid the information loss and biases of LD pruning by using a weighting scheme based on LD. This method allows each SNP to contribute independent information without double counting. We found that while LD pruning at a cutoff of 0.3 produced an A#m pathway score with the best adjusted $r^2$ in the Cooper data, the weighted method produced the best adjusted $r^2$ in the African American IWPC GWAS data. LD pruning; however, is biased; one does not know a priori what cutoff will produce the best results, and thus, it becomes necessary to choose a reasonable cutoff based on preference. For instance, the LD cutoff of 0.3, which produced the highest adjusted $r^2$ in the Cooper et al. data would have produced one of the worst adjusted $r^2$ values in the African American IWPC GWAS data. In fact, any cutoff below 0.5 would not have produced a statistically significant A#m pathway score in the African American IWPC GWAS data due to information loss. The weighted method allows one to evaluate the data in an unbiased manner without the need for pruning, eliminating the arbitrary step of LD cutoff selection.

In the Cooper et al. data, our A#m pathway score is a statistically significant predictor of dose even when using all available covariate data (including genotype data). Since CYP2C9 has such a large influence on warfarin dose in individuals of European descent, we evaluated whether CYP2C9 was driving the A#m pathway score (Additional file 1 Table S3). Since the CYP2C9 gene score was not statistically significant, we can infer that the significance of the entire pathway is not driven solely by variants in CYP2C9. Furthermore, the remaining pathway score (all metabolic enzymes except CYP2C9) was marginally significant, possibly suggesting that aggregate effects along the rest of the pathway are an important contributor to the significance of the overall pathway. The combinatorial effect of the entire metabolic pathway produces a statistically significant metric for predicting dose – explaining 1.4 percentage points of the dose. Combinatorial effects in a metabolic pathway make sense from a biological standpoint. As Figure 4 demonstrates, a wide range of enzymes act independently or in concert to metabolize the two warfarin enantiomers. A change in a single enzyme that slows down metabolism may not appear phenotypically, as the other enzymes may compensate or mask the detriment to the overall pathway. However, multiple minor changes in multiple enzymes could create a situation in which the overall pathway is slowed down, in a way that would not have been apparent using single locus or single-gene analysis. The warfarin pharmacodynamic pathway was not a significant predictor of warfarin dose. Biologically, this pathway contains the warfarin target, VKORC1, and upstream/downstream proteins that interact with VKORC1. Many of these proteins have opposite effects on coagulation/warfarin dose – mutations in CYP4F2 lead to higher warfarin dose, mutations in Protein C and S lead to greater coagulation, while mutations in Factor IX and X lead to under coagulation [42-45]. Because the directionality of each protein’s action is not the same (unlike the metabolic enzymes, which all inactivate warfarin or its metabolites in some way), it is not surprising that we find no signal from the combinatorial effects of the pharmacodynamic pathway. Future iterations of the method, which assign directionality to a protein’s action, may find signal in this pathway as well.

In the IWPC Malmo cohort, the A#m metabolic pathway score was marginally significant ($p = 0.07$), suggesting that the pathway score may have an effect on dose prediction in this cohort. The SNPs used in this analysis were different from the SNPs used in the Cooper analysis, due to the use of different genotyping chips. In order to avoid bias, we used all available SNPs in a pathway, which can affect the pathway score if some SNPs do not contribute to the signal and introduce noise. Filtering SNPs based on prior biological knowledge or findings may lead to a stronger signal, but will miss effects of rare variants or SNPs that have not been characterized. Moreover, we expect that the pathway score to be less predictive in populations of European descent compared to populations of African descent because variation along the entire metabolic pathway is lower in populations of European descent [46].

African Americans have greater genetic variation in the cytochrome p450 enzymes making pharmacogenetic warfarin dose prediction particularly difficult. We anticipated that this method would be successful in an African American cohort, where much of the heritability in warfarin dose has not been explained. The addition of the A#m pathway score to the other known covariates for warfarin dose demonstrated that the A#m pathway was a statistically significant contributor to predicting warfarin dose in African Americans. In both the Cooper et al. and African American analysis, the coefficient for the A#m pathway was negative – consistent with our assumptions that minor alleles lead to loss of function, since decreased enzyme activity leads to reduced clearance, resulting in a lower therapeutic dose. However, we acknowledge that some alleles may yield a gain of function, and refining our method to take into account the directionality of each SNP’s effect would improve our future models.

To show clinical relevance, we calculated the predicted IWPC dose for the African Americans – this including pharmacogenetic factors such as variants in VKORC1 and CYP2C9. We predicted warfarin dose in the IWPC African American GWAS data using the estimated IWPC dose plus our A#m pathway score, and showed that our A#m pathway score was a statistically significant covariate for dose prediction beyond what is
predicted by the IWPC pharmacogenomic algorithm. The pathway score was able to capture 1.4 percentage points of the missing signal in dose prediction, demonstrating that pathway interactions and mutational load may be a contributor to the missing heritability in warfarin dosing.

We used a pathway-based method to show that aggregate effects along biologically important pathways could influence a phenotype (in this case, warfarin dosing). We were able to create an unbiased tool for downweighting SNP contributions based on information similarity (LD), thus eliminating the need for LD pruning prior to aggregation. Currently, we treat each SNP the same - SNPs do not get additional upweighting if functional significance is known. Future methods may assign additional weights to SNPs or genes with biologically known functional relevance that may have a stronger influence on the pathway score. Our method may also have useful predictive value for other phenotypes influenced by pathway-level changes, such as cancer biology.
Additional material

We provide additional tables in the Supplementary section, in the supplementary file. Additional Tables can be found in the Supplementary Files section.
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