**Piper betle** leaf extract as a green inhibitor of calcium sulphate (CaSO₄) scale formation
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Abstract. The addition of *Piper betle* leaf extract with the concentration of 450 ppm as a green inhibitor of CaSO₄ scale formation at the concentration of growth solution of 0.05 M and temperature of 90°C was carried out using a seeded experiment method. The experiments were performed with observing the precipitation change of CaSO₄ crystals growth obtained. In order to prove the efficiency of the inhibitor in inhibiting the formation of CaSO₄ crystals, the changes of the crystal morphology were investigated by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The research results showed that *Piper betle* leaf extract was able to inhibit the formation of CaSO₄ scale indicated with the morphology change of the CaSO₄ crystals after the addition of this inhibitor. The ability of *Piper betle* leaf extract as an inhibitor of the formation of CaSO₄ is 47.07%.

1. Introduction
The serious problem faced by the geothermal power plant was formation of scale on the walls of the fluid flow thus disrupting heat transfer causing reduction of fluid flow efficiency. The impact was more severe because it was destruction of tools due to corrosion. This was due to the scale buildup so the losses incurred are huge. That causes most of the cost of maintenance of equipment intended to replace or repair components damaged by scale buildup [1]. Pertamina Geothermal Energy (PGE) Company, one of the subsidiaries of Pertamina Company, has to spend US $ 6-7 million to build a steam geothermal well drilling plant. The well can only be used for 10 years due to scale formation which inhibits fluid flow so it was no longer effective. This was an interesting study for researchers as well as a challenge to find a solution to this problem.

One of the scale forming compounds which was a problem in the geothermal steam drilling industry is calcium sulfate (CaSO₄). This compound is usually produced in areas that have high sulfur content. Several methods have been proposed to overcome the formation of CaSO₄ scale. One method that was considered effective and inexpensive was the use of anti-scaling additives. Some additives that have been investigated for their use as crustal inhibitors include: gambir extract [2], kemenyan (*Styrax benzoin* dryand) extract [3], calixarene [4-5], catechin, quercetin and tannic acid [6]. Research to find CaSO₄ scale inhibitors needs to be carried out. One of which it is by utilizing the wealth potential of Indonesia's biodeversity. One of Indonesia's native plants that have the potential as CaSO₄ inhibitors is *Piper betle*. Currently *Piper betle* leaf extract has been used for various purposes including, ingredients for making bath soap, toothpaste, feminine cleaning products, and others. In addition,
researchers from various countries have also done a lot of research on the use of *Piper betle* leaf extract, which is as an antioxidant and anti-inflammatory [7-8], protective against oxidative stress due to cadmium (Cd) and dysfunction liver in mice [9], biocompatible green synthesis media of gold nanoparticles [10] and inhibitors of xanthin oxidation [11]. *Piper betle* leaf extract containing active compound such as hydroxychavicol, fatty acids and hydroxy esters of fatty acids [12]. So nucleophilic functional groups of these compounds are expected to inhibit the growth of CaSO₄.

2. **Experimental procedure**

2.1. **Preparation of *Piper betle* leaf extract**
Preparation of *Piper betle* leaf extract was made by dissolving of 1 g dry *Piper betle* leaf powder in water with total volume of 1 L at temperature of 90°C for 1 h and it was kept for 1 night. Furthermore, 1000 ppm of *Piper betle* leaf extract solution obtained was diluted to 450 ppm.

2.2. **Preparation of seed crystal**
Seed crystal was prepared by mixing CaCl₂ anhydrate solution (1 M) and Na₂SO₄ solution (1 M) each in 500 mL water. The mixture was shaken to form seed crystals. Then, the seed crystals were separated from the liquor by filtration through a 0.45 μm Millipore filter, washed thoroughly with deionized water and dried in oven at temperature of 150 °C until dry.

2.3. **Crystallization experiment**
A growth solution of 0.05 M CaSO₄ was prepared by mixing 200 mL of CaCl₂ anhydrate solution (0.10 M) and 200 mL of Na₂SO₄ solution (0.10 M) each in 500 mL Nalgene polypropylene bottles at a temperature of 90 °C. The mixture was shaken to form a homogeneous solution. Then, the solution was filtered through a filter paper. The solution was placed into 250 mL Nalgene polypropylene bottles, each containing 50 mL (7 bottles). The bottles were returned to the water bath at a temperature of 90 °C and 200 mg of seed crystals was placed into each bottle. Over the 75 min experiment, a bottle was taken every 15 min. The precipitate was washed thoroughly with deionized water and dried at a temperature of 105 °C in the oven until dry. The weight of the crystals was measured and the amount precipitated was calculated. With each crystallization observation, a blank containing no additives was carried out in conjunction with the additive experiments.

2.4. **Addition of additives**
The effect of adding additive was determined by adding additive of 450 ppm into a growth solution of 0.05 M CaSO₄ which has been added of 200 mg of seed crystals. The weight of the crystals was measured and the amount precipitated was calculated.

2.5. **Data analysis**
Data were obtained as the amount of precipitate versus time at of 0.05 M concentrations of the growth solution and of 450 ppm additive added, and it was plotted as the amount of precipitate versus time using MS Excel 2010. The effectiveness of additive in inhibiting the rate of CaSO₄ scale formation can be determined from the mass of precipitate obtained and the morphology of CaSO₄ analyzed by SEM.

3. **Result and discussion**
The observation results of *Piper betle* leaf extract inhibitor effect at a concentration of 450 ppm and on the growth solution with a concentration of 0.05 M are shown in Table 1 and Figure 1. Based on the data obtained in Table 1 and Figure 1 it can be concluded that the additives in 450 ppm concentration and 0.05 M growth solution were able to inhibit the growth rate of CaSO₄ crystals. The additive ability to inhibit the formation rate of CaSO₄ crystals is 47.07%. This can be seen in Figure 1 obtained from the analysis in Table 1.

Table 1. Change of CaSO₄ precipitation mass with the addition inhibitor at a concentration of 450 ppm and on the growth solution with a concentration of 0.05 M

| Time (min) | Precipitation mass change (g/L) |
|-----------|--------------------------------|
|           | 0 ppm | 450 ppm |
| 0         | 0.2000| 0.2000  |
| 15        | 0.2790| 0.2520  |
| 25        | 0.3286| 0.2868  |
| 35        | 0.3113| 0.2821  |
| 45        | 0.3239| 0.2877  |
| 55        | 0.3482| 0.2880  |
| 65        | 0.3472| 0.2779  |
| 75        | 0.3342| 0.3030  |

Fig. 1. The effect of inhibitor added on the precipitation of CaSO₄ at a growth solution of 0.05 M.
Based on Figure 1 it can be seen that the amount of CaSO₄ precipitate increases with the increasing of contact time until it reaches equilibrium. The inhibition of CaSO₄ growth by *Piper betle* leaf extract can be seen from the reduced amount of CaSO₄ which settles in the solution added to the inhibitor. The optimum inhibition of CaSO₄ by *Piper betle* leaf extract occurred at 65 minutes of contact time.

The effectiveness of *Piper betle* leaf extract inhibitors in inhibiting CaSO₄ growth can be calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Percentage of Inhibitor Efficiency (\% IE)} = \frac{C_a - C_b}{C_o - C_b} \times 100\%
\]

Where:
- \(C_a\) = Precipitation amount of CaSO₄ after added inhibitor at equilibrium (g/L)
- \(C_b\) = Precipitation amount of CaSO₄ without inhibitor at equilibrium (g/L)
- \(C_o\) = Initial precipitation amount of CaSO₄ (g/L)

Percentage of Inhibitor Efficiency (IE\%) is a measure of the ability of an inhibitor to inhibit crystal growth. The greater the IE\% value, the better the inhibitory power.

Based on these equations, inhibitor efficiency of *Piper betle* leaf extract at a concentration of 450 ppm and the concentration of 0.05 M growth solution with an inhibition time of 0-75 minutes can be seen in Table 2.

| No | Time of Inhibition (min) | Inhibitor Efficiency (%) |
|----|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1  | 0                       | 0                        |
| 2  | 15                      | 34.18                    |
| 3  | 25                      | 32.50                    |
| 4  | 35                      | 26.23                    |
| 5  | 45                      | 29.21                    |
| 6  | 55                      | 40.62                    |
| 7  | 65                      | 47.07                    |
| 8  | 75                      | 23.24                    |

To observe the morphological changes of CaSO₄ sediment particles, the particles of CaSO₄ was observed by SEM, and the results can be seen in Figure 2. Based on the analysis using SEM, it can be seen that morphological changes and also changes in size of CaSO₄ crystal before and after added *Piper betle* leaf extract inhibitors. Based on Figure 2, it was predicted that the CaSO₄ crystal growth was inhibited by *Piper betle* leaf extract through an adsorption mechanism. The active compound in *Piper betle* leaf extract will be adsorbed by the surface of CaSO₄ crystal so that it can inhibit the growth of the next scale [13].
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