Pancreaticoduodenectomy: Secondary stenting of the celiac trunk after inefficient median arcuate ligament release and reoperation as an alternative to simultaneous hepatic artery reconstruction
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In patients undergoing pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD), unrecognized hemodynamically significant celiac axis (CA) stenosis impairs hepatic arterial flow by suppressing the collateral pathways supplying arterial flow from the superior mesenteric artery and leads to serious hepatobiliary complications due to liver and biliary ischemia, with a high rate of mortality. CA stenosis is usually due to an extrinsic compression by a previously asymptomatic median arcuate ligament (MAL). MAL is diagnosed by computerized tomography in about 10% of the candidates for PD, but only half are found to be hemodynamically significant during the gastroduodenal artery clamping test with Doppler assessment, which is mandatory before any resection. MAL release is usually efficient to restore an adequate liver blood inflow and prevent ischemic complications. In cases of failure in MAL release, postponed PD with secondary stenting of the CA and reoperation as an alternative to immediate hepatic artery reconstruction, which involves the risk of postoperative thrombosis of the arterial reconstruction. We recently used this two-stage strategy in a patient undergoing surgery for pancreatic adenocarcinoma.
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prevent ischemic complications. In cases of failure in MAL release, postponed PD with secondary stenting of the CA and reoperation for PD should be considered as an alternative to immediate hepatic artery reconstruction, which involves the risk of postoperative thrombosis.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) involves a division of the gastroduodenal artery (GDA) and resection of the pancreaticodudodenal arcades, which depend on both the GDA and the superior mesenteric artery (SMA). In the case of hemodynamically significant celiac axis (CA) stenosis, PD suppresses the collateral pathways supplying arterial flow from the SMA into the branches of the CA and impairs hepatic arterial flow. Thus, in patients undergoing PD, CA stenosis has the potential to cause vascular insufficiency leading to serious hepatobiliary complications with a high rate of mortality.\(^1\text{-}\text{9}\) With more than 95% accuracy, multidetector computed tomography (CT) with routine arterial reconstruction and sagittal views is currently the standard examination to allow for preoperative detection of CA stenosis.\(^1\text{-}\text{12}\) Most cases of CA stenosis are related to an extrinsic compression by the median arcuate ligament (MAL). MAL is diagnosed by CT in about 10% of the candidates for PD, but only half are found to be hemodynamically significant during the GDA clamping test with Doppler assessment, which is mandatory before any resection.\(^1\text{-}\text{12}\text{,}\text{15}\) MAL release is usually successful in restoring liver blood flow and preventing ischemic complications.\(^1\text{-}\text{2}\text{,}\text{9}\) In cases of failure in MAL release, postponed PD with secondary stenting of the CA and reoperation for PD should be considered as an alternative to immediate hepatic artery reconstruction, which involves the risk of postoperative thrombosis.\(^1\text{-}\text{16}\text{-}\text{21}\) We recently used this strategy in a patient undergoing surgery for pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

**CASE REPORT**

A 68-year-old male patient, presenting with insulin-requiring diabetes which evolved over 30 years, was referred with a pancreatic cephalic ductal adenocarcinoma after prosthetic drainage for jaundice and biopsy by echoendoscopy. Thoracic and abdominal CT showed no metastases. Liver magnetic resonance imaging was normal. According to the guidelines of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, the tumor was considered resectable.\(^2\text{2}\) Abdominal CT without contrast showed multiple calcifications in the aorta and visceral arteries, as well as calcifications in the pancreaticoduodenal arcade (Figure 1). In addition to the calcifications, the arterial phase of the CT showed: (1) a focal narrowing in the proximal celiac trunk with a "hooked" appearance characteristic of a MAL; and (2) arterial supply from the SMA to the common hepatic artery via the GDA, as well as a dorsal pancreatic artery (Figure 2A and B).

Exploratory laparotomy showed no contraindication to resection. Para-aortic lymph node biopsy showed no metastasis. Peroperative ultrasound showed a large pancreaticoduodenal arcade and a large dorsal pancreatic artery. However, the preoperative CT scan had underestimated the local extension because evidence of tumor abutment on the mesenteric vein existed. We performed a MAL division using a lateral approach allowing for a progressive division of the right diaphragmatic crus on the right side of the abdominal aorta, and the right side and the upper edge of the CA was progressively freed of all dense fibrous tissue. An additional GDA clamping test with Doppler ultrasound monitoring showed unsatisfactory restoration of the liver blood flow through the CA. Thus, considering the tumor as "borderline" resectable, revascularization of the hepatic artery and PD were both postponed. The postoperative course was uneventful. The in-hospital stay was 7 d.

The patient received 4 cycles of neoadjuvant FOLFIRINOX before imaging reassessment and endovascular management. Endovascular revascularization...
was performed 45 d after the first surgical step, during the interval between 2 cycles of chemotherapy. A CT scan showed modification of the CA/aorta “angle” after MAL release, which allowed for the possibility of a much easier stenting. Selective arteriography of the CA showed a short and significant remaining proximal stenosis of the CA. A careful crossing of the stenosis allowed angioplasty followed by stenting (Figure 3A and B). Subsequently, the CA blood flow was restored and the duodenopancreatic arterial supply disappeared.
The postoperative course was uneventful. The patient was discharged on day 15 after equilibration of the diabetes. Adjuvant chemotherapy was performed for 6 mo. After 18 mo of follow-up, the patient was well and recurrence-free.

DISCUSSION

In recent years, mortality after PD has continuously decreased and today is less than 3% in high-volume centers\[3,23\]. However, ischemic complications are underestimated\[1\], and preoperative unrecognized visceral artery stenosis (e.g., CA, SMA) may severely alter the early postoperative outcomes of PD with a high mortality rate\[1-9\]. In cases with CA stenosis, PD results in an interruption of the alternate pathway for blood supply to the liver. After biliary anastomosis, hepaticojejunostomy leakage, liver abscesses with sepsis, liver insufficiency and subsequent multiple organ failure represent a major cause of death\[3-5,24,25\].

CA stenosis is detected during the preoperative staging of pancreatic cancer in 4%-11% of patients scheduled for PD\[1,2,9,10,18\]. The majority of these detected stenoses are asymptomatic before the diagnosis of the tumor. Despite an increased number of aged patients undergoing PD in recent years, atherosclerotic intrinsic stenosis is rare; Gaujoux et al\[1\] reported a rate of 0.04% in a large series of 545 patients undergoing PD \[2/57 (3.5%) visceral artery stenosis\]. Instead, MAL is the major cause of CA stenosis. The liver blood supply is provided mostly by the pancreaticoduodenal arcades (95%) and the dorsal pancreatic artery (77%)\[10-12,26\]. Most cases are asymptomatic (10, 16, 19 and 20) and hemodynamically significant stenosis during the GDA clamping test has been reported to be present in about 40% of the cases, which represents 5% of the patients submitted to PD\[1,13\].

Atherosclerotic stenosis should be treated preoperatively by endovascular stenting. In contrast, for cases with MAL, a ligament release is the first mandatory procedure and a lateral approach is less risky than a medial approach, which may result in an arterial injury: MAL-induced CA stenosis is reported to be successfully treated by ligament release in nearly 90% of cases\[1,2,9,20\]. In the recent series reported by Gaujoux et al\[1\], 20 out of 23 MAL releases were successful with no postoperative mortality\[2\]. If there is not restitution of flow in the hepatic artery after MAL release, then a vascular reconstruction prior to division of the GDA should be considered before proceeding with the resection. Indeed, preoperative MAL stenting is technically challenging and proves mostly ineffective by the default of expanding and restenosis. In the current report, stenting was easier after MAL release and this strategy should be considered as an alternative to vascular bypass, avoiding the risk of postoperative thrombosis\[13,16-21\]. Secondary stenting should proceed with cautious regard for the potential risk of injury.
during the procedure due to the fragility of the artery after ligament release. A successful laparoscopic approach for a MAL division has been reported in symptomatic patients with MAL syndrome but without pancreatic tumor. In cases of CA stenosis detected by a multidetector CT scan before PD of a clearly resectable pancreatic adenocarcinoma, the following strategy should be considered as an option: (1) in cases with a hemodynamically significant stenosis, as assessed by GDA clamping and Doppler ultrasound, perform a first-step, including MAL release, with abdominal exploration and a para-aortic lymph node biopsy (currently recommended in order to avoid futile resection)\(^{27,28}\); (2) in cases involving a failure of MAL release to restore the liver blood inflow, perform secondary cautious endovascular stenting of the CA in order to avoid the risk of postpancreatectomy arterial reconstruction thrombosis and postpone PD. A laparoscopic or robotic approach should be considered for the first step\(^{29}\).

In conclusion, CA stenosis is usually due to a previously asymptomatic MAL which induces a major risk of post-PD complications due to liver and biliary ischemia if unrecognized before the resection. The GDA clamping test is mandatory in order to detect hemodynamically significant stenosis. MAL release is usually efficient to restore an adequate liver blood inflow. Postponed PD after inefficient MAL release, followed by secondary stenting, should be considered as a two-stage option that avoids hepatic artery bypass and the postoperative risk of thrombosis of the arterial reconstruction.
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Case characteristics

Failure in median arcuate ligament (MAL) release during pancreaticoduodenectomy (PD) for pancreatic adenocarcinoma

Clinical diagnosis

Celiac axis (CA) stenosis due to MAL in a patient with pancreatic adenocarcinoma.

Imaging diagnosis

Postoperative computed tomography scan showed modification of the CA/aorta “angle” after MAL release, which allowed for the possibility of a much easier stenting.

Treatment

Postponed PD and secondary stenting of the CA as an alternative to hepatic artery reconstruction
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