INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is an archipelago country consisting of more than 17,000 islands. Indonesia's geographic and historical conditions make this country one of the countries with high biodiversity, otherwise known as mega biodiversity. This immense biodiversity has the potential for nutritious and medicinal plants. The World Conservation Monitoring Centre from the UN has reported that Indonesia is an area where various types of medicinal plants are found, with 2,518 species of plants that have been used.

One of the medicinal plants known in Indonesia is the Dilleniaceae family. Dilleniaceae is native to tropical and warm-temperate regions such as Asia, Australia, and the Indian Ocean Islands. Dilleniaceae are known for their edible fruit and medicinal applications, such as for arthritis, dysentery, diabetes, gastrointestinal disorder, and wound healing. The most investigated species for its potential as medicinal plants from this family is Dillenia indica. At the same time, there are many other species that also potential. One of it is Dillenia suffruticosa.

Dillenia suffruticosa has few local names such as sempur, simpor, simpol, sempur air, and sempur bini. The name sempur is derived from the hissing sound when the trunk
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However, most residents in Indonesia call it *sempur*. *Dillenia suffruticosa* is a native Asian plant that grows in tropical forests from Malaysia, Indonesia, the Philippines, and Brunei Darussalam. *Dillenia suffruticosa* in Indonesia can be found in Sumatra and Kalimantan (Borneo) Islands. Local societies in Brunei and Malaysia used *D. suffruticosa* leaves to promote wound healing, treat fever, and relieve rheumatism. The people in Bangka-Belitung, Sumatra, usually used the boiled water of *D. suffruticosa* leaves to treat diabetes mellitus. Besides that, the local community also used boiled water of *D. suffruticosa* leaves as an anti-diarrhea. However, the study of this potential plant against pathogenic microorganisms is still underreported, and none of the studies reported using ethanol solvents.

Research on the activity of *D. suffruticosa* leaves against pathogenic microorganisms was reported by Wiart et al. They reported that methanol extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves was inhibited the growth of *Bacillus cereus*, *Bacillus subtilis*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Candida albicans*. However, it did not affect the growth of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. Otherwise, Yakop et al. reported that the methanol extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves could inhibit *S. aureus* but did not affect *B. subtilis*, *E. coli*, and *P. aeruginosa*. Another research showed an antifungal activity from *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract with methanol, acetone, and chloroform against *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*. According to Goh et al., the cytotoxic activities of this plant could be attributed to the presence of phytochemicals such as saponins, triterpenes, sterols, and polyphenols compounds. However, more studies should be performed to validate their traditional uses for such diseases fully.

This research was conducted to explore the antimicrobial activity of *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract against several pathogenic microorganisms. This research used 70% ethanol as a solvent since its lower toxicity than methanol. The tested microorganisms were *S. aureus* (Gram-positive bacteria), *E. coli* (Gram-negative bacteria), and *C. albicans* (Fungi).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Materials**

The materials used include *D. suffruticosa* leaves, Nutrient agar (NA), Sabouraud Dextrose agar (SDA), distilled water (Brataco), 70% ethanol (Brataco), FeCl₃ (Merck), Wagner’s reagent, Mayer’s reagent, Dragendorff’s reagent, ammonia (Merck), acetic acid anhydride (Merck), NaNO₂ (Merck), AlCl₃ (Merck), HCl (Merck), chloroform (Merck), H₂SO₄ (Merck), DMSO, immersion oil, crystal violet (Merck), safranin, Lugol’s iodine, 0.9% NaCl, blank antimicrobial susceptibility discs (Oxoid), and the antibiotic susceptibility discs of nystatin (Oxoid) and amoxicillin (Oxoid). The main instruments used in this study were analytical balance (Excellent), oven (Mermert), blender (Phillips), autoclave, incubator, vacuum rotary evaporator, hot plate, and laminar airflow.

**Methods**

**Preparation and extraction of *D. suffruticosa* leaves**

*Dillenia suffruticosa* leaves were obtained from Pedindang Village, Pangkalan Baru, Central Bangka District, Bangka-Belitung Island. The sample was identified in the Research Center for Plant Conservation and Botanic Gardens, Indonesian Institute of Sciences, Bogor, with report number B-848/IPH.3/KS/VII/2020. The fresh leaves of the *D. suffruticosa* plant were weighed as much as 6 kg were cleaned with clean water from the tap. The leaves were dried for several hours under the sun to avoid moisture after shipping, so it was not easily contaminated by the fungus. After that, the leaves were sorted and chopped (about 2-3 cm) to speed up the drying process. The leaves were dried with a wind-dried method for 15 days.
The dried leaves then being crushed using a blender and followed by sieving using mesh 60. The sieving produces simplicia of *D. suffruticosa* leaves powder. This procedure was to gain a homogeneous size of simplicia, so the interaction between the *D. suffruticosa* leaves powders and the solvent would be optimal. Besides, homogeneous size particle could optimize the extraction process.

The *D. suffruticosa* leaves powder was weighed as much as 100 g then extracted with the maceration method using 70% ethanol as a solvent with a ratio of 1 : 10. The maceration was done for 24 hours and re-macerated twice with the same procedure. The maceration results were filtered with filter paper. The filtrate was evaporated using the vacuum rotary evaporator until it produces a thick extract.

**Organoleptic observation**

The organoleptic observation of ethanolic extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves aimed to determine the physical form of color, smell, shape, and taste using the senses. This observation includes color checking by looking at the evaporated extract visually, checking the odor by smelling the evaporating extract on filter paper, and checking the taste by dropping extract on the tip of the tongue and then discarding it.

**Phytochemical screening and antimicrobial activity tests**

The extract was tested for phytochemical screening in Lux Chemicals Laboratory (Chemicals Product and Chemical Analysis Service), Depok. The screening test included alkaloids (with Mayer’s, Wagner’s, and Dragendorff’s reagents), flavonoids, saponins, tannins, steroids, and triterpenoids. The extract also tested for antimicrobial activity using the Kirby-Bauer Disk Diffusion Susceptibility Test method in Testing Laboratory of Biotechnology Center, Agency for the Assessment and Application of Technology, Serpong. The microorganisms tested were *S. aureus* ATCC 25923 (representative of Gram-positive bacteria), *E. coli* ATCC 25922 (representative of Gram-negative bacteria), and *C. albicans* ATCC 10231 (representative of fungi). The *S. aureus* and *E. coli* were incubated for 24 hours, while *C. albicans* for 48 hours. The differences in incubation time were based on the optimum growth of the microorganisms. Our previous study also used incubation time of 18-24 hours for *S. aureus* and *E. coli* as well as 48 hours for *C. albicans*.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Preparation and yield extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves**

*Dillenia suffruticosa* leaves were categorized as broad leaves (15-35 cm) in a plant. Due to its enormous size, the leaves were chopped into smaller pieces and consumed about 15 days to gain dried leaves (*Figure 1*). The leaves were dried without direct contact with the sun to avoid damaging compounds, such as thermosensitive polyphenols. According to some references, *D. suffruticosa* leaves contain polyphenols. This dried method was suitable with Priamsari et al., which stated that the total flavonoid content was higher in wind-dried leaves than the oven method. It also corresponded with Rivai et al., which proved that the wind-dried method was the optimum method to gain phenolics.

The wind-dried method also had another advantage: retaining chlorophyll, so the sample still looks greenish, not brown. The *D. suffruticosa* leaves powder in this research was showed a greenish color (*Figure 2*). However, this method had limitations, such as time-consuming. This could be seen from the drying time, which took more than two weeks. The wind-dried method could take time about 3-7 days to months and up to a year, depending on the types of samples dried.

The extraction method in this research was done by maceration with 70% ethanol as a solvent. Solvents with high polarities, such as ethanol, were pretty efficient to
attract active compounds from plants\(^{30}\). Maceration was chosen because it was a straightforward method and could be used to extract thermolabile compounds\(^{31,32}\). Hasnaeni et al.\(^{33}\) also reported that the maceration method produced a higher yield than reflux and soxhlet extraction.

The yield of ethanol extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves was about 65.5\% (Table I). Yield extract showed some active compounds that are trapped during the extraction process\(^{27,33}\). The high percentage yield indicates the high content of the active compounds in a sample. The ethanol extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves showed a high yield (more than 50\%). This was probably due to the influence of the solvent used. The higher the solvent polarity, the yield obtained will also increase\(^{34}\).
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*Figure 1.* Wide fresh leaves (a) and chopped dry leaves (b) of *D. suffruticosa*

![Figure 2](image2.jpg)

*Figure 2.* *Dillenia suffruticosa* leaves powder showed greenish color with a wind-dried method

| Table I. Yield of ethanol extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves |
|---|---|---|
| *Dillenia suffruticosa* leaves powder (g) | Thick extract (g) | Yield (\%) |
| 100 | 65.5 | 65.5 |

**Organoletic observation**

The organoletic observation involved eight respondents. Each respondent was asked to observe the shape and color of the extract. Other than that, respondents were also asked to smell and taste the extract (Figure 3). The respondents agree that the extract was in thick, blackish-green color, had a distinctive smell of *D. suffruticosa* leaves, and had an astringent taste (Table II). The findings of organoletic observations have never been published, so this article was a preliminary report for future studies as a guide.

![Figure 3](image3.jpg)

*Figure 3.* Thick extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves
Table II. Organoleptic observation of *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract

| Organoleptic indicator | Observation          |
|------------------------|----------------------|
| Shape                  | Thick                |
| Color                  | Blackish-green       |
| Odor                   | Distinctive odor     |
| Taste                  | Astringent           |

Phytochemical screening

Phytochemical screening was an essential step in uncovering the potential of medicinal plant resources as antibiotics, antioxidants, and anticancer. The compounds contained in the extract were analyzed qualitatively based on the color change reaction with several reagents. The screening results from Table III showed that the ethanol extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves contained alkaloids, flavonoids, tannins, and saponins. Meanwhile, the test for steroids and triterpenoids showed a negative result.

The positive tests of flavonoids, tannins, and saponins were similar to those obtained by Yuningtyas et al. The presence of flavonoids and tannins indicates that the ethanol extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves contains polyphenols. Ethanol was known as a solvent that was best for extracting polyphenols from plants. Besides the flavonoids and tannins, the extract also contains saponins. Saponins were triterpene glycosides that had polar tendencies in their glycosidic bonds. Based on the law of similarity and intermiscibility (like dissolves like), a solvent with a polarity near the polarity of the solute was likely to perform and vice versa. This explains why ethanol as a polar solvent could attract saponin from *D. suffruticosa* leaves.

Another compound found in *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract was alkaloids. This research using three different reagents to test the alkaloid compounds. Two of the tests were showed positive results (Wagner’s and Dragendorff’s), while Mayer’s showed a negative result. Based on Surbakti et al., a sample could contain alkaloids if there were at least two positive qualitative test results. Meanwhile, Yuningtyas et al. reported the opposite; their extract showed negative at alkaloid test. This difference in result probably due to regional differences in sample acquisition. The *D. suffruticosa* leaves were obtained from Pedindang Village, Pangkalan Baru, Central Bangka District, while Yuningtyas et al. obtained their sample from Jebus Village, West Bangka District. According to Verma et al., plants from the same species might have differences in the concentration of a particular secondary metabolite. The main factor affecting this phenomenon was the abiotic stress in the plant environment. Different season or different environmental condition could encourage plants to produce specific compounds to survive in the unfavorable condition and to protect against extinction.

Table III. Phytochemical screening of *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract

| Phytochemical | Results | Conclusion |
|---------------|---------|------------|
| Alkaloids     | Wagner’s| A brown precipitate was formed | Positive |
|               | Mayer’s | No sediment formed | Negative |
|               | Dragendorff’s | A thick red precipitate was formed | Positive |
| Flavonoids    |         | A red solution formed | Positive |
| Tannins       |         | A greenish black solution formed | Positive |
| Saponins      |         | Formed a stable foam after shaking | Positive |
| Steroids      |         | No blue or green color formed | Negative |
| Triterpenoids |         | No red color formed | Negative |

Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity was done using a Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. This method was used to determine the sensitivity or resistance of pathogenic microorganisms to various antimicrobial compounds. The clear zone that appears around the disk was measured as the inhibition zone. The results of the antimicrobial activity showed in Table IV.
The results in Table IV showed that the *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract did not affect the growth of *E. coli* and *C. albicans*. It only affected *S. aureus* growth at concentrations 10%, 20%, and 40%. The result of this study against *S. aureus* was the same as Yakop et al43. Thus, the result against *E. coli* was the same with Yakop et al43 and Wiart et al44. However, the result against *C. albicans* was inconsistent with Wiart et al44, in which their research showed a growth inhibition zone, while this study did not. The antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* showed a higher inhibition zone along with higher concentrations. The higher the extract concentration, the active substance in the extract increases so that the antibacterial activity would be greater42.

The antibacterial activity against *S. aureus* was presumed due to the synergistic mechanisms among chemicals compounds found in extract ethanol of *D. suffruticosa* leaves. Based on the literature, alkaloids were known could intercalate with DNA. In general, alkaloids work with interfering the DNA synthesis45. Flavonoids and saponins were work by disrupting the bacterial cell membrane of microorganisms46. Meanwhile, tannins act by disturbing the cell protein, either bind and precipitate or shrink proteins47. These conjectures were in line with the literature, which stated that the antibacterial activity could be grouped into four main mechanisms: disturbing bacterial cell wall, disrupting cell membrane, interfering protein biosynthesis, and inhibiting nucleic acid biosynthesis43,45.

Bacteria based on their cell wall structure were differentiated into Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria. Gram-positive bacteria have a simple cell wall structure composed of peptidoglycan, while in Gram-negative bacteria, they have an additional structure called an outer membrane. The outer membrane contains lipopolysaccharide and could secrete endotoxin. The outer membrane acts as a protection, including keeping the bacterial cells from penetrating antibiotics or other unwanted compounds. This layer causes Gram-negative bacteria to generally more resistant than Gram-positive bacteria46,47. The description could explain why in this study, the extract was only affecting *S. aureus*, which was Gram-positive bacteria, while it did not affect *E. coli*. *Escherichia coli* was classified as Gram-negative bacteria and known to have developed multi-drug resistance46,48.

This study showed that *E. coli* were resistant to *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract.

Another microorganism tested against the *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract in this study was *C. albicans*. The result showed that the ethanol extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves neither could inhibit the *C. albicans* growth. As a fungus, the cell wall of *C. albicans* was composed of chitin, glucan, and mannoprotein. The cell wall forms a two-layer structure with mannoproteins in the outer, while chitin in the inner layer. Glucans lie in the inner layer and connecting the inner and outer layers. The mannoproteins in the outer layer have low permeability and porosity, so they could not easily pass by some compounds, including antifungal agents. This structure made the *C. albicans* resistant to antifungal drugs or host defense mechanisms49,50. This finding was corresponding with Lima et al51, which report that a more mannan structure in fungi could develop the resistance in Candida against antimicrobial agents. This was probably could explain why the *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract could not inhibit the *C. albicans* growth.

### Table IV. Antimicrobial activity of *D. suffruticosa* leaves extract

| Extract concentration | *S. aureus* (mm) | *E. coli* (mm) | *C. albicans* (mm) |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| 5%                    | -               | -              | -                 |
| 10%                   | 8.35±0.05       | -              | -                 |
| 20%                   | 9.34±0.32       | -              | -                 |
| 40%                   | 10.52±0.22      | -              | -                 |
| Positive control       | 42.72±0.14      | 28.04±0.82     | 9.44±0.11         |
| Negative control       | -               | -              | -                 |

(No activity; positive control: amoxicillin (*S. aureus* and *E. coli*), nystatin (*C. albicans*); negative control: 10% DMSO)
CONCLUSION

The ethanolic extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves could inhibit the growth of *S. aureus*, while *E. coli* and *C. albicans* showed no activity. Further research about the ethanolic extract of *D. suffruticosa* leaves against other pathogens still being suggested, especially the gastrointestinal pathogen.
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