The Association Between Anterior Cruciate Ligament Length and Femoral Epicondylar Width Measured on Preoperative Magnetic Resonance Imaging or Radiograph
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Purpose: To determine whether femoral epicondylar width (FECW) obtained from either magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or plain radiographs could be used to predict anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) length. A secondary purpose was to develop a formula to use maximum FECW on either MRI or plain radiographs to estimate ACL length preoperatively.

Methods: The MRIs and radiographs of 40 patients (mean age 41.0 years), with no apparent knee pathology, surgery, or trauma were included. The ACL length was measured on MRI followed by FECW on both MRI and radiograph of the same patient. This allowed the development of equations able to predict ACL length according to the FECW measured on either an MRI or radiograph.

Results: The mean ACL length was 40.6 ± 3.6 mm. FECW measured on both MRIs and radiographs was sufficient to predict ACL length. Pearson’s correlations revealed a high positive relationship between ACL length and FECW on MRI (r = 0.89, P < .0001) and ACL length and FECW on radiograph (r = 0.83, P < .0001). The coefficient of determination (R²) was calculated to be MRI: R² = 0.78 and radiograph: R² = 0.68 and confirmed that FECW measured on both MRI and radiograph were sufficient to predict ACL length. Based on these models, ACL length can be predicted by FECW using the following formulas: MRI: ACL length = 0.47 (FECW) + 1.93 and radiograph: ACL length = 0.31 (FECW) + 11.33.

Conclusions: This study demonstrated that FECW measured on either MRI or anteroposterior radiograph could reliably estimate ACL length on a sagittal MRI. There was a high positive relationship between ACL length and FECW on both MRI and radiographs, although MRIs do predict ACL length more reliably.

Clinical Relevance: Preoperative ACL length assessment, using FECW on MRI or radiograph, is useful in graft selection and in preventing inadequate graft harvesting for ACL reconstruction, especially if an individualized anatomical approach is pursued.
Optimal graft choice for anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is still a topic of debate, but both bone–patellar tendon–bone (BPTB) and hamstring tendon (HS) autografts provide comparable and good long-term subjective and objective outcomes with low recurrence rates and a high incidence of return to sport. However, there is evidence that anterior knee pain and degenerative changes are more prevalent in BPTB grafts, whereas HS grafts may result in increased knee laxity. One potential issue with arthroscopic-assisted ACL reconstruction specific to BPTB grafts is the possibility of graft–tunnel mismatch. This often occurs when the length of the BPTB graft exceeds the sum of the length of the femoral and tibial tunnels and the intra-articular length between the tunnels, resulting in an extruding tibial bone plug. The incidence of graft–tunnel mismatch has been reported to be 26% and 13% (20% for allografts and 10% of autografts). Recently, anatomic reconstruction techniques used an anteromedial portal to establish the femoral tunnel, which resulted in shorter femoral tunnels and shorter intra-articular distances. Another potential factor is the average length of the ACL, which has been recorded to be approximately 32 to 38 mm. However, patellar tendon (PT) length is variable and often exceeds 50 mm. Patient sex and height also have been identified as risk factors for mismatch to occur. Nevertheless, patient height has been used as a predictor of desired graft length for BPTB allografts, even though some studies found a weak correlation between PT length and patient height. Meijer et al. suggested that the length of the PT does not follow the expected human anthropometric trends where a tall individual will have a longer tendon. This raises the question whether a different measurement that can easily be taken could assist in predicting graft length to restore the ACL, for instance, the maximum width of the femoral epicondyles. The intra-articular length of the ACL is one of the important variables and a significant factor when selecting an appropriate graft, especially if an individualized anatomical reconstruction is pursued. High-resolution magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the gold standard for evaluation of ligamentous injuries, and ACL visualization is enhanced with oblique sagittal and coronal sections along the ACL’s alignment. However, the oblique course of the ACL can make accurate measurement of the entire length of the ACL difficult, especially on a standard sagittal MRI, as the imaged region often does not cover the entire length of the ligament. An equation to assist in estimating ACL length could thus be helpful if a specialized sagittal section is unavailable. MRI is a routine part of preoperative evaluation for ACL reconstruction and should be readily available to surgeons. However, the reality is that the cost of MRI, the waiting periods, and the availability thereof in certain countries can be challenging. It would therefore be useful to have an alternative means by which the length of the ACL can be determined, should an MRI not be available. Simple radiographs are generally available, and Van Eck et al. suggested that radiographs should always be obtained to evaluate the bony morphology and the presence of any pathology. It could therefore be a reasonable alternative if radiographic variables highly correlate with ACL length. Van Zyl et al. demonstrated in a cadaver study that maximum femoral epicondylar width (FECW) was a more reliable predictor of ACL length than other morphologic variables such as height, and they also developed an equation to predict ACL length by measuring FECW.

The purpose of this study was therefore to determine whether FECW obtained from either MRIs or plain radiographs could be used to predict ACL length. A secondary purpose was to develop a formula to use maximum FECW on either MRI or plain radiographs to estimate ACL length preoperatively. The authors hypothesized that FECW measured on both MRI and plain radiographs would predict the ACL length as measured on a sagittal MRI accurately.

Methods

Study Design

The database of a private radiology center was searched for all patients undergoing MRI of the knee between April and July 2013. After all candidates were identified, the images were reviewed together by 2 senior radiologists with more than 20 years’ experience in general radiology and 10 years’ experience in musculoskeletal radiology, respectively. All patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were accepted into the study. The inclusion criteria were patients who presented to the hospital with nonspecific knee pain and underwent both MRI and radiographic imaging of the knee within a 3-month period; aged between 18 and 70 years old; and no readily apparent pathology, trauma, or fractures of the knee joint. The exclusion criteria were scans in which the ACL was not clearly visible, radiologic evidence of collateral or cruciate ligament injuries; previous, surgery such as meniscal resection/repair or ACL/posterior cruciate ligament reconstruction; previous trauma, such as meniscal tears, patella fractures, tibial plateau fractures, or femoral condyle fractures; and grade 2-4 Kellgren–Lawrence classification osteoarthritis. Ethical clearance was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, South Africa (ethics reference number 151/2013). Permission to conduct the research
and examine patient records retrospectively also was obtained from the appropriate hospital authority.

**Imaging Technique**

A Philips 3T Ingenia (Philips Healthcare, Franklin, TN) MRI scanner was used for image acquisition. A dedicated radiofrequency knee coil and a slice thickness of 3 mm were used for all images. A minimum of 25 to 30 slices was obtained for all planes, and the following sequences were used: T2, proton density (PD), and PD with fat suppression. The MRI included sagittal, axial, and coronal images, as the ACL is imaged optimally by using multiple planes to visualize the entire length of the ACL. All images were taken by a single practice that uses a standardized imaging technique. All images were obtained with the patient in the supine position with the knee flexed to 10°, as the ACL courses along an inclined sagittal plane, and the inferior pole of the patella was positioned in the center of the knee coil. An anteroposterior (AP) radiograph of the knee was obtained with the patient supine having the leg extended, and both the knee and ankle in contact with the table. The patella was facing forward and the centering point was 1.5 cm distal to the apex of the patella, collimated to include medial and lateral skin margins, the distal femur, and the proximal tibia/fibula. All radiographs were taken according to internationally accepted protocols of radiographic techniques for knees with a standardized position and a source-to-image distance of 115 cm for all digital radiography.

**Morphometric Variables**

Morphometric variables that were studied included ACL length on MRI and maximum FECW on both MRI and AP knee radiographs. ACL length was measured on the sagittal MRI section, using the PD-weighted sagittal image, oriented parallel to the longitudinal axis of the ACL to ensure proper visualization of the entire length of the ACL. In accordance with the study conducted by Araujo et al., the length of the ACL was measured at the level where both attachment sites of the ACL were visible on the selected MRI. The measurement was taken from the midpoint of the tibial insertion site to the midpoint of the femoral insertion site of the ACL (Fig 1). For FECW on MRI the PD-weighted coronal image was identified where both medial and lateral meniscal bodies and the posterior cruciate ligament femoral stump was visible, and the medial and lateral epicondyle at the widest point were connected with a line/distance tool (Fig 2). Similarly, the FECW on the AP knee radiograph was measured by connecting the widest points between the medial and lateral femoral epicondyles (Fig 3). The measurements were taken by the senior radiologist with 10 years of experience in musculoskeletal radiology and the primary author of this study. The second author reviewed all measurements for accuracy and if any major differences were present between the 2 data sets, a third measurement was taken to use the average of the measurements for the final data set. All measures were performed using the on-screen standard DICOM analysis program (GEARView Basic 2.1; PACSGEAR, Pleasanton, CA), calibrated for each image.

**Statistical Analysis**

Descriptive statistics were used for ACL length, the mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values were determined. Boxplots were used to confirm that the collected data for each variable was symmetrically distributed. A paired t test was performed to test for differences between the FECW values measured on the MRI compared with those measured on the plain radiographs.

Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to test for pairwise associations between the ACL length and FECW on MRI and radiographs to evaluate
relationships between these variables. The interpretation of the Pearson correlation coefficients by Allan\textsuperscript{26} was applied in this study: a correlation of 0.75 to 0.99 is considered high, 0.50 to 0.74 moderate, and 0.25 to 0.49 low. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) was established to measure how closely the regression line approximated the real data points and if the relationship was statistically significant or not. Finally, 2 linear regression models were developed to predict ACL length according to the FECW measured on either an MRI or radiograph using SAS 9.3 for Windows (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC).

Intra- and interobserver reliabilities for both MRI assessment and AP knee radiographs were investigated in all 40 knees. Two investigators (R.V.Z., F.E.S.) used the described measurement methods, and intra- and interobserver correlation coefficients (intraclass correlation coefficients) were calculated. The interpretation of the intraclass correlation coefficient values by Landis and Koch\textsuperscript{27} were used in this study: below 0.0 poor, 0.00 to 0.20 slight; 0.21 to 0.40 fair; 0.41 to 0.60 moderate; 0.61 to 0.80 substantial; and 0.81 to 1.00 almost perfect.

**Results**

In total, 40 patients (23 male and 17 female) with a mean age of 41.0 ± 9.9 years (range 18-67 years) were included in this study. The mean, standard deviation, and range of the lengths of the ACL, FECW on the MRIs, and FECW on the AP knee radiographs are demonstrated in Table 1. Pearson’s moment correlations revealed a high positive relationship between ACL length and FECW on MRI ($r = 0.89$, $P < .0001$) and ACL length and FECW on radiograph ($r = 0.83$, $P < .0001$) according to the standards of Allan (Table 2).\textsuperscript{26}

Boxplots confirmed symmetrical distribution of the data. The coefficient of determination ($R^2$) was determined to be MRI: $R^2 = 0.78$ and radiograph: $R^2 = 0.68$ (Table 2). This indicated that 78% and 68% of the variability of the length of the ACL could be explained by changes in the FECW measurement on MRI or on

---

*Fig 2.* Coronal magnetic resonance imaging section of the left knee at the level where the meniscal bodies (*) and posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) (#) are visible. The solid lines indicate the broadest points of the femoral epicondyles. Maximum FECW is measured by connecting the most projecting points of the medial and lateral epicondyles of the femur (dotted line). (FECW, femoral epicondylar width.)

*Fig 3.* Anteroposterior radiograph of the left knee. The solid lines indicate the broadest points of the femoral epicondyles. Maximum FECW is measured by connecting the widest points of the medial and lateral epicondyles of the femur (dotted line). (FECW, femoral epicondylar width.)
Radiograph, respectively. The high coefficient of determination therefore confirmed that there is a strong positive correlation between ACL length and the FECW measured on both MRI and radiograph. On the basis of these results, 2 linear regression models were derived that could possibly be used to predict ACL length according to the FECW measured on either an MRI or radiograph with the ACL length as the dependent variable (Table 3).

Interobserver and intraobserver reliability for the measurements of the length of the ACL and for both the FECW on MRI and FECW on radiographs were calculated (Table 4). The intraclass correlation coefficients were “almost perfect” when interpreted according to the standards of Landis and Koch.27

### Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of the MRI and Radiograph Length Measurements

|                     | ACL Length, mm | FECW on MRI, mm | FECW on Radiograph, mm |
|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Mean                | 40.6           | 82.0            | 94.8                   |
| SD                  | 3.6            | 6.7             | 9.4                    |
| Min.                | 32.5           | 70.6            | 74.6                   |
| Max.                | 48.2           | 94.6            | 114.8                  |

ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; FECW, femoral epicondylar width; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SD, standard deviation.

### Table 2. Correlation Matrix to Test for Pairwise Associations Between ACL Length and the 2 Independent Variables

|                        | ACL Length |
|------------------------|------------|
| FECW on MRI            | $P < .0001$ | $r = 0.89$ |
|                        | $R^2 = 0.78$ |
| FECW on radiograph     | $P < .0001$ | $r = 0.83$ |
|                        | $R^2 = 0.68$ |

ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; FECW, femoral epicondylar width; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

*Statistically significant correlation.

### Table 3. Equations to Predict ACL Length by Means of the Maximum FECW Measured on Either MRI or Radiograph

|                     | MRI          | Radiograph   |
|---------------------|--------------|--------------|
| ACL length          | $= 0.47 \times \text{FECW} + 1.93$ | $= 0.31 \times \text{FECW} + 11.33$ |

ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; FECW, femoral epicondylar width; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.

### Table 4. ICCs for Both the MRI Assessments and the AP Radiographs

|                     | Interobserver Reliability | Intraobserver Reliability |
|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                     | Mean ICC | 95% CI | Mean ICC | 95% CI |
| ACL length          | 0.92    | 0.86-0.96 | 0.92    | 0.85-0.95 |
| FECW on MRI         | 0.98    | 0.96-0.99 | 0.99    | 0.98-0.99 |
| FECW on radiograph  | 0.98    | 0.96-0.99 | 0.98    | 0.96-0.99 |

ACL, anterior cruciate ligament; AP, anteroposterior; CI, confidence interval; FECW, femoral epicondylar width; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging.
This could explain this discrepancy. Wang et al.\textsuperscript{16} used the same knee positioning during their sagittal MRI scan than this study, but they explained their ACL length measurement as being from the highest point of the intercondylar fossa of the femur to the front facies ossea of the intercondylar eminence of the tibia, which is more toward the anterior border of the ACL. This differs from our measurement between the midpoints of the ACL attachments sites and may account for the difference in mean length.

Furthermore, measurement of ACL length on MRI is complicated, as the ACL is not a perfectly sagittal structure and may be miscalculated when measured on a single standard sagittal section.\textsuperscript{10} Markatos et al.\textsuperscript{18} stated that the ACL is best visualized on 2 or 3 sagittal MRI sections, and Högerle et al.\textsuperscript{17} illustrated the full length of the ACL by reconstructing 3 MRI sections of the knee and stated the technique provided complete information of volume. However, the latter study was completed more than 20 years ago. The current study used sagittal sections that were aligned with the longitudinal axis of the ACL, indicating both attachment sites, to account for the oblique course of the ligament in question. These aforementioned findings confirm the variability of ACL measurement techniques, and therefore surgeons should make sure of knee position, MRI scanning technique, especially related to the sagittal section, and the exact end points when measuring ACL length.

However, ACL variability between individuals is still an important point to consider when performing anatomical reconstruction,\textsuperscript{14} as anatomic reconstruction entails restoring the ACL to its original dimensions.\textsuperscript{22} This is relevant to the insertion sites,\textsuperscript{21} but re-establishing the native length could also be important, for instance when considering the native tension patterns of the ligament. Preoperative ACL length estimation could be an asset to surgeons, as it will allow surgeons greater confidence in evaluating and counseling patients regarding the selection of an appropriate graft of sufficient dimensions for their anatomic reconstruction, not only to re-establish the thickness, insertion footprints, and inclination angle, but also the original length of the ACL.

Several previous studies have expressed the need for using independent factors, such as height, weight, and sex of a population to determine intra-articular ACL length.\textsuperscript{5,9,15} Brown et al.\textsuperscript{7} demonstrated a strong correlation between patient height and the prediction of the required length of the tendinous portion of the BPTB graft necessary for ACL reconstruction. In contrast, Denti et al.\textsuperscript{5} were unable to confirm the relationship between ACL length and patient height, as well as failed to observe a relationship between PT length and patient height. Similarly, Zakko et al.\textsuperscript{29} could only demonstrate weak correlations between anthropometric data (height, weight, body mass index, age, and sex) and the size of hamstring, quadriceps, and PTs. This was further confirmed by the moderate positive and weak correlations observed between patient height and PT length for any given height by Goldstein et al.\textsuperscript{10} and Navali and Jafarabadi,\textsuperscript{19} respectively. It is suggested that PT length does not follow the expected human anthropometric trends\textsuperscript{19,20} and that preoperative MRI measurements should rather be considered.\textsuperscript{19} Therefore, using anthropometric variables may not be practical. In addition, height and weight are often self-reported by the patient, and this reduces the accuracy and reliability of these variables.\textsuperscript{29} However, plain radiographs and MRI are commonly used during routine preoperative assessment, and maximum FECW can be easily measured on these images. Therefore, it may be a very helpful tool in determining intra-articular ACL length.

The mean maximum FECW measured on a coronal MRI was 82.0 mm and on AP radiograph 94.8 mm. The FECW on radiographs were thus greater than the comparable MRI measurement. This could be due to the magnification difference between these 2 imaging modes, as the MRI had no magnification factor, whereas the radiographs had a source-to-image distance of 115 cm. Nevertheless, the Pearson correlation coefficient revealed that the variables (FECW on MRI and FECW on plain radiographs) demonstrated significant and high positive linear relationships with ACL length. The data have established that the FECW measured on either MRI or plain radiographs was a satisfactory predictor of longitudinal ACL length as measured on a sagittal MRI and had high r values above 0.8. Van Zyl et al.\textsuperscript{15} previously measured the relationship between FECW and ACL length in a cadaver study, but in their study the r value was only 0.36; this was probably due to their method of ACL measurement along the anterior border in a knee flexed to 90°, resulting in shorter ACL lengths. The best r value in this study, of FECW measured on MRI, was further confirmed by the coefficient of determination $R^2$ value of 0.78. This implied that the equation used could account for 78% of the variations found in ACL length. This proves that determining the FECW on a coronal MRI is more accurate, and therefore, the best predictor for estimating ACL length. However, the FECW measured on a radiograph had an $R^2$ value of 0.68, which was slightly lower but can still be considered a very good predictor of ACL length.

On the basis of these results, we have used 2 linear regression models to predict ACL length, as measured on an oblique sagittal MRI, with regards to FECW measured on either an MRI or AP radiograph. The reason for developing 2 equations could be explained by the difference between the FECW measurements on
MRI and radiograph, but even though there was a known magnification difference, the equations accounted for this factor. Therefore, if an MRI is used to obtain maximum FECW, the MRI equation should be used, and if a standard radiograph is used, the radiographic counterpart. The equation developed by Van Zyl et al.\textsuperscript{15} differed from those in this study, but this could be explained by their method of ACL measurement along the anterior border in a knee flexed to 90°. Nevertheless, both of the equations developed in this study allow reliable prediction of longitudinal ACL length and can be used to plan graft length requirements preoperatively by allowing the surgeon to estimate the intra-articular length that needs to be restored. This information could assist in selecting the appropriate graft for the specific patient, especially to prevent an excessively long graft that could protrude from the tibial tunnel. It would also provide a parameter when ordering allografts, as Goldstein et al.\textsuperscript{10} commented that the risk of graft–tunnel mismatch might be decreased if an appropriate length graft is requested. For example, if a patient has a short ACL, a BPTB graft might be too long and other alternatives, like a quadriceps tendon or HS graft, could be considered.

Multiple studies have documented methods to determine the size of graft types before surgery.\textsuperscript{6,16,24,26,36} This information should be used to determine the available tendon sizes to establish whether harvest of that tendon type is feasible before surgery.\textsuperscript{29} Combined with knowledge of the length of the native ACL that needs to be restored, the adequate graft could be estimated. Brown et al.\textsuperscript{9} suggested the addition of 10 mm to the predicted intra-articular ACL length to allow some flexibility for fixation and an additional 50 mm, 25 mm for each bone plug. However, Goldstein et al.\textsuperscript{10} were concerned that this method would result in ordering grafts that are too long, again resulting in increased risk of mismatch. Meijer et al.\textsuperscript{20} added 20 mm to achieve the overall tendinous length when ordering an allograft (10 mm at each end to make the turns at the tibial and femoral apertures). This information could then be used to predict the required graft length (combined intra-articular, fixation, and bone tunnel lengths) and thus the graft type that would be sufficient for an individualized and anatomical ACL reconstruction with a lowered risk of graft mismatch. Because most surgeons obtain a radiograph and/or MRI scan before ACL reconstruction, this method of ACL length prediction may be added to routine preoperative planning with a small addition in protocol.

The length of the ACL is difficult to measure due to its oblique course\textsuperscript{10} and an oblique sagittal MRI that runs parallel to the ACL is needed to do so.\textsuperscript{24} A formula to estimate intra-articular ACL length would therefore be helpful if such a specialized MRI section is not available. Using a simple anatomic measure, such as FECW on either MRIs or routine AP radiographs, enables reliable and simple prediction of ACL length. This may allow the surgeon to predict the total required graft length and select the appropriate graft for reconstruction, especially if an individualized, anatomical approach is pursued.

**Limitations**

This study has certain limitations. Cross-validation of the equations derived was not performed, and these would benefit from further evaluation to confirm the accuracy of the prediction model. Rather than asymptomatic volunteers, the MRIs of patients with knee symptoms, although seemingly normal ACLs and no apparent knee pathology, were used. The patients had undiagnosed knee pain and this may have biased results in ways that would be difficult to determine. Although all scans were thoroughly examined for pathology of the intra- and extra-articular ligaments, the possibility of occult injuries cannot be excluded. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were strictly defined, but they cannot entirely exclude the possibility that the ACL was compromised. Further, this study did not distinguish between male and female patients, and sex has been described as a determinant of ACL size.\textsuperscript{14} Studies have found significant differences of ACL lengths between the sexes, where male patients presented with longer ACLs.\textsuperscript{16,37}

**Conclusions**

This study demonstrated that FECW measured on either MRI or AP radiograph could reliably estimate ACL length on a sagittal MRI. There was a high positive relationship between ACL length and FECW on both MRI and radiographs, although MRIs do predict ACL length more reliably.
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