Macrophage inhibitory factor 1 acts as a potential biomarker in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and is a target for antibody-based therapy
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Macrophage inhibitory factor 1 (MIC1) is frequently altered in various cancers. The aim of this study was to investigate the clinical significance of MIC1 for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). Serum MIC1 of 286 ESCC and 250 healthy subjects was detected, the diagnostic performance was assessed and compared with SCC, CEA, CA199 and CA724, and the value as a prognostic indicator was also evaluated. The expression of MIC1 in ESCC cell lines, tissues were detected, and the inhibition of MIC1 antibody on ESCC was carried out in vitro and in vivo. The results showed that the serum MIC1 of ESCC was significantly higher than normal groups (P < 0.001), and was positively associated with tumor invasion (P = 0.030) as well as lymph node metastasis (P = 0.007). The sensitivity of MIC1 was significantly better than SCC, CEA, CA199 and CA724, especially for stage I ESCC. Patients with higher serum MIC1 also had a poorer prognosis in relapse-free (P = 0.050) and tumor-specific survival (P = 0.005). In vitro studies showed the expression of MIC1 was upregulated in 37.5% (3/8) ESCC cell lines and 45% (18/40) tissues, and the transcription of MIC1 in tumor tissues was significantly higher than paired adjacent normal tissues (P = 0.001). The antibody of MIC1 inhibited the tumor growth (P < 0.001), and showing preference for tumor tissues in xenograft model. The decreased formation of neovascularization lumen may be involved in the mechanism. We conclude that MIC1 plays an important role in the progression of ESCC and can serve as a potential biomarker and therapeutic target for ESCC.

Even though the mortality and incidence rate for esophageal cancer (EC) have decreased over the last two decades, likely due to improved nutritional status and advances in detection and treatment.1,2 Esophageal cancer is however, still one of the common malignant tumors in China, and accounts for 60–70% of the world’s total EC deaths and 50% of the world’s total new EC patients.3,4 Notably, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is the predominant histological type found in China. Due to the lack of obvious symptoms in early stage ESCC, patients with ESCC are frequently diagnosed only after reaching advanced stages. The 5-year survival rate after surgical treatment is approximately 25–30%. However, surgical treatment operated at early stages for ESCC may increase the 5-year survival rate to 70%.5,6 There is a lack of non-invasive markers for the diagnosis and prognosis of ESCC. Tumor markers such as SCC, CEA, CA199 and CA724, were often used as EC markers in clinical settings, but the effects were limited. Therefore, the search for more valuable ESCC associated markers, especially those of significance in the early stages of ESCC, has become an important subject of the EC study.

Macrophage inhibitory factor 1 (MIC1), also known as growth differentiation factor 15 (GDF15), is an important member of transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) cytokine super-family.7–9 MIC1 is weakly and stably expressed in most tissues under normal conditions but is substantially upregulated under pathological conditions such as injury, inflammation and various cancers.10–12 Although its precise biological mechanisms are not well understood, MIC1 has been suggested to be involved in inflammation, apoptosis and tumorogenesis.13 MIC1 has been found to be significantly increased in many tumor types, including breast, prostate and gastrointestinal tumors.14–16 Furthermore, increased level of MIC1 has been found to be correlated strongly with the progression of these tumors.17 Thus, the level of serum MIC1 was considered as a potential biomarker.18–22 However, there is no
established-association of MIC1 with either the detection or prognosis of ESCC, and any clues for the function of MIC1 in ESCC. Furthermore, MIC1 protein is secreted into the blood circulation and acts as a cytokine, neutralizing the MIC1 and any clues for the function of MIC1 in ESCC, and established-association of MIC1 with either the detection or prognosis of ESCC. MIC1 protein is secreted into the blood circulation and acts as a cytokine, neutralizing the MIC1

Variables and Methods

Materials and Methods

Study population and sample preparation. A total of 286 ESCC patients diagnosed between 2009 and 2011 (cancer institute and hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences; CICAMS) and 250 healthy age- and gender-matched subjects (by physical examination) were recruited (Fig. S1; Table 1). Study population and sample preparation. A total of 286 ESCC patients diagnosed between 2009 and 2011 (cancer institute and hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences; CICAMS) and 250 healthy age- and gender-matched subjects (by physical examination) were recruited (Fig. S1; Table 1). Subjects with injury were excluded from this project.

Serum samples were collected before any treatment for all subjects, 1 month post-surgery for 110 out of 249 stage I–III ESCC patients undergoing resection without inflammatory complications, and for 20 relapse patients from the 110 cases. A total of 92 patients undergoing radical resection had follow-up data (average, 30 months; range, 8–50 months). Survival data were obtained from medical records and the study ends as described previously. (27) All cancerous (40 cases) and normal esophageal tissue (five cases) specimens from ESCC patients were histologically reviewed by pathologists. The mean percentage value of the two cores was considered representative of one tumor. MIC1 expression was considered positive if more than 25% of cells showed weak to intense staining within each cylinder.

Quantification of protein by immunoassay and western blot. The levels of serum MIC1 and secreted MIC1 from cell lines were measured using a sensitive in house sandwich ELISA produced by CICAMS as detailed under Supplementary Methods (Data S1), of which the detection limit level was 20 pg/mL and the coefficient of variation was <10%. All samples were assayed in duplicate. Cell and tissue lysates were obtained and performed western blotting using mouse monoclonal anti-MIC1 antibody (7C7, one of self-developed anti-MIC1 high-affinity antibodies; Patent Number: CN201110230894.X). Serum level of CEA, CA199 and CA724 was detected by the related kit (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Serum level of SCC was detected by SCC assay kit (Abbott Diagnostics, Abbott Park, IL, USA).

Quantification of mRNA by real-time RT-PCR. Total RNA of cancerous and matched normal tissues was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Reverse transcription to synthesize the first strand of cDNA was performed with M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). The resulting cDNA was then subjected to real-time quantitative PCR for the evaluation of the relative mRNA levels of MIC1 and GAPDH (as an internal control) with the following primers: MIC1 forward: 5′-GGTGC TCACTTCAAGAGCCGA-3′ and reverse: 5′-GATTCCA CAG GGCAGGACA-3′; GAPDH forward: 5′-CTCCTCTG TTGCA CAGTCAGC-3′ and reverse: 5′-CCCAATACGACCAAATCC GTT-3′. The Ct (threshold cycle) value of each sample was calculated from the threshold cycles with the instrument’s software (StepOne Software v2.1; Applied

| Variable | Serum samples (preoperative) | Serum samples (postoperative) | Serum samples (relapse) | Paired tissue samples |
|----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
|          | Cases (n = 286)             | Controls (n = 250)             | (n = 110)               | (n = 20)             | (n = 80) |
| Gender (n) |                |                                |                        |                      |          |
| Male (%)  | 184 (64.3)         | 148 (59.2)                     | 77 (70.0)               | 14 (70.0)           | 54 (67.5) |
| Female (%) | 102 (35.7)         | 102 (40.9)                     | 33 (30.0)               | 6 (30.0)            | 26 (32.5) |
| Age (years) |              |                                |                        |                      |          |
| ≤60 (%) | 149 (52.1)         | 139 (55.6)                     | 50 (45.5)               | 12 (60.0)           | 29 (36.3) |
| >60 (%)  | 137 (47.9)         | 111 (44.4)                     | 60 (54.5)               | 8 (40.0)            | 51 (63.7) |
| Stage (n) |                |                                |                        |                      |          |
| I (%)    | 48 (16.8)          |                                | 17 (15.5)               | 2 (10.0)            | 13 (16.3) |
| II (%)   | 107 (37.4)         |                                | 50 (45.5)               | 8 (40.0)            | 29 (36.3) |
| III (%)  | 94 (32.9)          |                                | 43 (39.1)               | 10 (50.0)           | 38 (47.5) |
| IV (%)   | 37 (12.9)          |                                | 37 (12.9)               | 37 (12.9)           | 37 (12.9) |

P-values were determined using a two-sided χ² test.
Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), and the relative expression of MIC1 mRNA was normalized to the GAPDH value.

**In vivo xenograft experiment.** All mouse studies were performed in accordance with approval from the hospital Animal Ethics Committee. BALB/c nude female mice were obtained from Vital River Laboratories (Beijing, China) and used at 5–6 weeks old. ESCC Cell line S4 was inoculated into the hypoderm of nude mouse armpit, 5 × 10^6 cells/mouse. In the experiments designed to inhibit tumor growth, mice were randomly divided into three groups at tumor volume about 100 mm^3 (2 mg/kg, 10 mg/kg anti-hMIC1 antibody 7C7 and mIgG control, n = 10). The animals were administered intraperitoneally and observed twice a week until sacrificed at 32 days after tumor inoculation. The tumor volume (mm^3) was calculated as width^2 × length/2. Tumor growth inhibition was calculated as (1–average tumor weight in experimental group/average tumor weight in control group) × 100%.

In the experiments designed to analyze the distributions of the antibody, mono-functional dyes dylight755 were conjugated to anti-MIC1 antibody 7C7 by labeling Kits (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Three tumor-bearing mice at tumor volume about 1000 mm^3 dosed for 2 mg/kg labeled antibody (IP and IT. IP: intraperitoneal injection, IT: intratumor injection) and control (IP). Imaging was performed at 3 h and 3 days after antibody injection. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and placed in the light-tight chamber of the IVIS Spectrum imaging system (Caliper Life Sciences, Hopkinton, MA, USA). Excitation occurred at 750 nm; macroscopic fluorescence was detected at 800 nm.

**Inhibition of cell proliferation in vitro.** In vitro inhibition of cell proliferation was conducted on S4 cell line and HUVECs, S4 cells and HUVECs in the logarithmic growth phase were dispersed (50 000 cells/mL) and plated into a 96-well culture plate (0.1 mL per well), which was inoculated for 6 h at 37°C. S4 cells were incubated with three different concentrations (1, 10 and 100 ng/mL) of anti-MIC1 antibody and 100 ng/mL mIgG. Cisplatin (6.3 μg/mL) was set as a positive control, whereas RPMI 1640 were set as negative control. HUVECs cells were incubated with 2.5 ng/mL MIC1 and 50 ng/mL anti-MIC1 antibody, respectively and simultaneously. After 72 h, cells were counted by 3-[4,5-cimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay.

**Histopathological and immunohistochemical examination.** Sections which stained with Hematoxylin and eosin were processed following a routine procedure and reviewed by a pathologist. For the immunohistochemistry (IHC), Human Von Willebrand factor (VWF) staining was carried out for tissues.
of S4 transplanted tumor with a rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:100; sc-14014; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) and Microvessel density (MVD) was determined by methods reported by Weidner. (28) MIC1 staining was carried out for TMA with rabbit anti-human MIC1 polyclonal antibody (1:200; self-developed). The protocol was detailed under Supplementary methods (Data S2 and S3).

**Statistical analysis.** The Mann–Whitney U-test and Wilcoxon test were used to compare the level of MIC1 among all groups and each pair of groups. Spearman bivariate correlation analy-
sis was used to analyze the correlation. The long-rank test was used to compare survival curves and Cox proportional hazards model was used for prognostic analysis. Variables that achieved statistical significance ($P < 0.05$) or those that were close to significance ($P < 0.1$) by univariate analysis were subsequently included in the multivariate analysis. The statistical analyses were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, version 13.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), and a two-sided $P$-value $<0.05$ was considered to be statistically significant.

**Results**

**Macrophase inhibitory factor 1 levels are elevated in serum samples of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.** We detected increased levels of MIC1 in the serum of ESCC patients compared with healthy controls ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 1a). The serum MIC1 levels varied by Tumor Node Metastasis (TNM) staging (Fig. 1b) and were positively correlated with TNM staging as revealed by Spearman bivariate correlation analysis ($P = 0.009, r = 0.154$). Data on the depth of tumor invasion and lymph node metastasis were available in 249 cases (who had surgery) out of the 286 ESCC patients, among which the level of MIC1 in the $T_1+2$ stage group was significantly higher than that in $T_1$ group ($P = 0.030$; Fig. 1c) and that of $N_0$ group ($P = 0.007$; Fig. 1d). The results also showed that increased levels of MIC1 were not significantly correlated with age, gender, or remote metastasis.

**Serum macrophase inhibitory factor 1 was decreased after surgical removal and increased at relapse.** Among the 249 patients who had surgery, we collected serum from 110 of those patients 1 month after surgery and compared the MIC1 levels with those prior to surgery. Additionally, we collected serum from 20 relapse patients and compared the MIC1 levels with that 1 month after surgery. Strikingly, serum levels of MIC1 were significantly reduced in the postoperative samples (median, 641.3 pg/mL; range, 221.1–2012.3) when compared with their pre-operative samples (median, 811.8 pg/mL; range, 124.9–3257.4, $P < 0.001$; Fig. 1e), and serum levels of MIC1 were significantly increased in the samples of relapse patients (median, 990.5 pg/mL; range, 309.3–1868.4) when compared with their postoperative samples (median, 701.0 pg/mL; range, 386.4–2012.3, $P = 0.006$; Fig. 1f).

**Serum macrophase inhibitory factor 1 as a potential diagnosis marker in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.** Using the 250 normal samples as negative controls, the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of MIC1, SCC, CA199, CEA and CA724 for ESCC is shown in Figure 2(a). The area under the ROC curve of the MIC1 is higher than that of SCC, CA199, CEA and CA724 ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 2a). The MIC1 threshold for diagnosis of esophageal cancer is set at 1000 pg/mL based on mean plus three standard deviations of healthy subjects, for the sake of usability in clinical settings. The sensitivity of MIC1 for diagnosis of ESCC was higher than that of SCC, CEA, CA199 and CA724 in a case of comparable specificity (Fig. 2a). Notably, the positive detection rate of MIC1 was 39.6% (19/48) in early-stage patients of ESCC (stages I), whereas only 6 of 48 early-stage patients were found to be positive for at least one of the other four markers suggesting that MIC1 may be used as a candidate marker for detecting early-stage ESCC.

**Serum macrophase inhibitory factor 1 correlates with the prognosis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.** Follow-up data were acquired for 92 patients who had undergone surgical resection. Patients were grouped into pre-operative low-level and pre-operative high-level according to median levels. A log-rank test suggested that patients with higher serum MIC1 had a trend to poorer prognosis (relapse-free survival, $P = 0.047$; tumor-specific survival, $P = 0.002$; Fig. 2b,c). We performed a univariate Cox regression analysis on the patient’s relapse-free and tumor-specific survival and found that the depth of tumor invasion and lymph node metastasis were also significantly correlated. However, age, gender, smoking and

### Table 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard modeling of factors associated with relapse-free survival and tumor-specific survival in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) patient group ($n = 92$)

| Variable          | Subset     | Hazard Ratio | 95% CI          | P-value | Hazard Ratio | 95% CI          | P-value |
|-------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|---------|
| **Relapse-free survival** | Age (years) | 0.688 | 0.492–1.531 | 0.618 | NA | NA | NA |
|                   | Gender | Male/female | 1.202 | 0.659–2.193 | 0.554 | NA | NA | NA |
|                   | Smoking status | Yes/no | 1.323 | 0.744–2.351 | 0.319 | NA | NA | NA |
|                   | Drinking status | Yes/no | 1.204 | 0.682–2.126 | 0.507 | NA | NA | NA |
|                   | T stage | $T_3+4/T_1+2$ | 2.366 | 1.341–4.174 | 0.003 | 1.539 | 0.816–2.903 | 0.185 |
|                   | Lymph node metastasis | Yes/no | 4.686 | 2.641–8.316 | <0.001 | 4.955 | 2.501–9.817 | <0.001 |
|                   | MIC1 | High/low | 1.739 | 0.977–3.094 | 0.047 | 1.789 | 1.003–3.190 | 0.050 |
| **Tumor-specific survival** | Age (years) | 0.749 | 0.394–1.387 | 0.338 | NA | NA | NA |
|                   | Gender | Male/female | 1.167 | 0.594–2.295 | 0.659 | NA | NA | NA |
|                   | Smoking status | Yes/no | 1.319 | 0.742–2.345 | 0.321 | NA | NA | NA |
|                   | Drinking status | Yes/no | 1.483 | 0.788–2.791 | 0.206 | NA | NA | NA |
|                   | T stage | $T_3+4/T_1+2$ | 2.310 | 1.231–4.335 | 0.010 | 1.545 | 0.760–3.143 | 0.232 |
|                   | Lymph node metastasis | Yes/no | 5.915 | 3.141–11.139 | <0.001 | 5.720 | 2.376–13.772 | <0.001 |
|                   | MIC1 | High/low | 2.557 | 1.341–4.873 | 0.002 | 2.625 | 1.347–5.115 | 0.005 |

MIC1, macrophase inhibitory factor 1.
Fig. 3. Expression macrophage inhibitory factor 1 (MIC1) in cell lines and tissue samples. (a) Western blotting analysis of MIC1 expression in eight established esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) cell lines and one human normal esophageal cell line. (b) The level of MIC1 in cell culture media detected by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). (c) MIC1 expression detected by IHC staining in tissue microarrays (TMA) of ESCC and normal tissues. Overview of the TMA (up) and two representative cylinders (down: i). Negative expression of MIC1 was shown in normal esophageal tissue (ii). Weak positive (iii) and strong (iv) expression of MIC1 in ESCC tissues. (d) MIC expression in paired ESCC cancerous (T) and surrounding normal tissues (N), normalized by GAPDH (glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase). (e) Western blotting analysis of MIC1 protein expression. (f) Relative expression of MIC1 in tissues grouped by total tumor node metastasis stage, normalized by GAPDH. (g) Relative expression of MIC1 in ESCC tissues classified according to N stage.
alcohol consumption showed no correlation. A multivariable Cox regression analysis revealed that serum MIC1 was an independent factor contributing to prognosis after correction for all of these factors (hazard ratio of recurrence: 1.789 [95% CI, 1.003–3.190], P = 0.050; hazard ratio of tumor death: 2.625 [95%CI, 1.347–5.115], P = 0.005; Table 2).

**Macrophage inhibitory factor 1 is overexpressed in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma tissues.** To evaluate the role of MIC1 in ESCC progression, we first investigated the expression of MIC1 in ESCC cell lines and tumor tissues. Elevated expression of MIC1 was observed in three (37.5%) ESCC cell lines as well as in culture medium compared with that in normal esophageal cell lines (Fig. 3a,b). The MIC1 protein expression level was examined by ESCC tissue microarray immunohistochemical staining. We found that normal esophageal epithelia showed negative or weak immunoreactions, yet cancer tissues demonstrated weak to intense positive staining in 18 of 40 (45%) ESCC specimens and the positive staining of MIC1 in ESCC tissues were mostly confined to the cytoplasm of ESCC cells with a diffuse pattern (Fig. 3c).

To further investigate the correlation between MIC1 expression and clinicopathological variables of ESCC, the expression of MIC1 in 80 paired cancerous and matched adjacent noncancerous esophageal tissues was determined (tissue characteristics are in Table 1). We found that the MIC1 was overexpressed in 56 (70%) tumor-bearing tissues, compared with the adjacent non-tumor tissues (P < 0.001; Fig. 3d). Western blot assay of tissue samples from four representative patients of the same origin further confirmed that MIC1 were significantly increased in tumor samples compared with their noncancerous tissues (Fig. 3e). Further analysis found that the expression of MIC1 mRNA in ESCC tissues is higher at advanced TNM stages (Fig. 3g). The results also showed that increased level of MIC1 was significantly correlated with N stage classification (P = 0.025; Fig. 3f), and not with depth of tumor infiltration, age or gender.

**Anti-macrophage inhibitory factor 1 antibody inhibits the growth of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma in nude mice.** The data on tumor weight, volume and inhibitory effect of all groups was evaluated. We found that both 2 and 10 mg/kg dosing group yielded significantly tumor inhibition (32% and 46% respectively, P < 0.001), and the inhibitory effect has a dose-dependent relationship (Fig. 4a,b, Fig. S2a). None of the mice died during the assay, and mice treated with the antibody had a more weight gain (Fig. S2b).

Fluorescence imaging was carried out to analyze the distributions of the antibody. The results of in vivo imaging analysis suggested that MIC1 antibody could specifically target at peritumor tissues less than 3 h before penetrating and concentrating into the interior of tumors. The specific concentration of antibody could still been observed for more than 3 days in the tumor tissues, whereas there was no apparent strong fluorescence at other non-tumor tissues (Fig. 4c).

**The decreased formation of neovascularization lumen.** In vitro study of cell proliferation showed that anti-MIC1 antibody did not significantly inhibit MIC1 overexpression cell line S4 (Fig. S2c). To investigate the mechanism of tumor inhibition by anti-MIC1 antibody, we conducted a simple analysis on xenograft tissues. Histopathological examination of the H&E staining slides showed that there was obvious tumor cell necrosis observed in anti-hMIC1 monoclonal antibody group (Fig. 5a). Von Willebrand factor IHC staining of Paraffin sec-

![Fig. 4.](https://www.wileyonlinelibrary.com/journal/cas)
Fig. 5. The tumor tissue necrosis and inhibition of angiogenesis in vivo. (a) Representative hematoxylin and eosin-stained tumor tissues obtained from mice in the control (i, iv), 2 mg/kg treated group (ii, v) and 10 mg/kg treated group (iii, vi). (b) Detection of blood vessels via immunohistochemical staining for Von Willebrand factor (VWF) from the control group (i), 2 mg/kg treated group (ii), 10 mg/kg treated group (iii). (c) Quantification of angiogenesis assessed by microvesSEL density (MVD). Data represent the mean ± standard error (SE), n = 4. (d) The effect of macrophage inhibitory factor (MIC) and anti-MIC1 antibody on human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) in vitro; Data represent the mean ± SE, n = 4.
that MIC1 can stimulate cell proliferation of HUVECs (P < 0.001; Fig. 5d) and the effect can be inhibited with anti-MIC1 antibody. These results suggest that neutralization of MIC1 reduces tumor angiogenesis in vivo.

Discussion

The present study is the first in-depth investigation into clinical value of MIC1 in ESCC. We analyzed the expression of MIC1 in ESCC serum samples, and found that the serum levels of MIC1 were elevated and significantly correlated with depth of tumor invasion, as well as lymph node metastasis, which is consistent with the results observed in the tissue samples. We also assessed the value of MIC1 as a diagnostic and prognostic indicator in ESCC. Additionally, we explored the role of MIC1 in the ESCC progression and discovered the treatment value of MIC1 antibody against ESCC.

First, we found that serum MIC1 level of patients with ESCC is significantly higher than that of the healthy control population, indicating that MIC1 may serve as a promising biomarker in the diagnosis of ESCC. We also compared the diagnostic value for ESCC of MIC1 with SCC, CEA, CA199 and CA724, which were often used as EC markers in clinical setting, further demonstrating that the diagnosis value of MIC1 for ESCC was significantly better than the other four, especially in first-time early diagnosis. Our present study on serum MIC1 provides a novel and effective approach that may be helpful in the diagnosis of ESCC. However, these need to be validated using a screening test for ESCC in further studies.

Survival analyses showed that patients with higher levels of serum MIC1 had a poorer prognosis in both relapse-free and tumor-specific survival. Multivariate Cox analysis revealed that the level of serum MIC1 is an independent prognostic factor for ESCC. Our present study also showed that the level of MIC1 in serum was significantly decreased after surgical resection, and again significantly increased at relapse, which led us to hypothesize that serum MIC1 possibly plays a role in the tumorigenesis and progression of ESCC. Moreover, the result suggested that monitoring of serum MIC1 after surgery is useful in evaluation of early recurrence. However, this needs to be explored further, as our sample size and the duration of follow-up are limited.

Considerable evidence has revealed the importance of MIC1 in cancer; however, its expression and functional role in different kinds of human tumors remain controversial. Anti-tumorigenic function of MIC1 has been described in a few tumor cell lines where MIC1 induces apoptosis and inhibits cell proliferation.(29–31) However, it has also been reported that MIC1 expression is associated with cancer metastasis and its reduction resulted in a significant decrease in tumorigenicity.(32–39) Thus far, the specific character of MIC1 in ESCC has not been explored. In our present study, we showed high expression of MIC1 in three ESCC cell lines, in which the over-activation of TGF-β signals or p53 may be involved, since MIC1 was belonged to TGF-β signaling pathway and was regulated by p53. In addition, high expression of MIC1 in ESCC tissues was observed by TMA and RT-PCR, and its increased expression in our serum and tissue samples were significantly correlated with a higher N stage of ESCC, implying that MIC1 overexpression might play a role in tumor progression of ESCC, especially in lymph node metastasis. Meanwhile, in vitro study of cell proliferation showed that anti-MIC1 antibody did not inhibit MIC1 overexpression ESCC cell, combined with the secreted attribute of MIC1 and our discovery that anti-MIC1 monoclonal antibody possessed homing character for MIC1-overexpressed ESCC, suggesting that the positive function of MIC1 in tumor progression may partially result from the effect on tumor local microenvironment.

In our present study, the use of mouse anti-human MIC1 antibody significantly reduced the growth of tumors in ESCC tumor-bearing nude mice. Histological examination revealed that angiogenesis of neovascularization of antibody-treated tumors was significantly inhibited. In vitro studies showed that MIC1 can stimulate cell proliferation of HUVECs and the effect can be inhibited by MIC1 antibody. Therefore, our studies indicated that MIC1 may promote ESCC progression partially by the effect on the angiogenesis which is consistent with other reports,(40,41) and clinical treatment against ESCC could be enhanced by strategies to simultaneously neutralize MIC1 in the tumor microenvironment by using anti-MIC1 antibody.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that MIC1 plays an important role in the ESCC progression and may function as a valuable biomarker and an interesting target for ESCC. Comprehensive studies on the carcinogenic effect of MIC1 and the mechanism of tumor inhibition by counteracting MIC1 with antibody are needed to be established as a biomarker for use in both diagnosis and prognosis, as well as a target for treatment of patients with ESCC.
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Data S1. IHC analysis.
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Fig. S1. Distribution of patients eligible for diagnosis and prognosis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (flow chart).

Fig. S2. The toxicity and tumor inhibition effect of anti-MIC1 antibody.