Delta Neutrophil Index and Symptomatic Time are Effective Factors for Predicting Perforated Appendicitis

Min Jeong Kim1, Won Hyuk Choi1, Jin Cheol Cheong1, Sam Yeol Lee1, Joo Seop Kim1, Jong Wan Kim2 and Jun Ho Park**

1Department of Surgery, Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea
2Department of Surgery, Dongtan Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University College of Medicine, Korea

Abstract

Background: Appendicitis is a common intra-abdominal inflammatory disease, and morbidity increases with age when perforation occurs. However, because not all patients require emergency surgery, there have been numerous studies on factors for predicting perforated appendicitis. In this study, we aimed to confirm whether the Delta Neutrophil Index (DNI) and the time from symptom onset to surgery are effective predictors for perforated appendicitis in different age groups.

Methods: This was a retrospective study conducted on 542 appendicitis patients who underwent surgery at Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital. The simple group consisted of 431 subjects, and the perforation group consisted of 111 subjects.

Results: Multiple logistic regression analyses demonstrated that age, neutrophil percentage, DNI, C-Reactive Protein (CRP), and symptomatic time were significant predictors of perforation. Analysis of the receiver-operating characteristic curve showed DNI as the most reliable predictive value. In the analyses according to age, the perforation rate was higher in the >65-year-age group; these patients also had a higher DNI, CRP, and symptomatic time. In the DNI analysis using ROC, the area under the curve was higher in the >65-year-age group than in other age groups. In addition, the cut-off values were determined and perforation occurred significantly in the group with a DNI value of 2.1 or higher and a symptomatic time of 33 h or longer.

Conclusion: DNI is effective in predicting perforation in appendicitis compared with other inflammatory factors. Furthermore, the simultaneous measurement of symptomatic time and DNI is helpful in predicting perforation and determining whether emergency surgery is necessary.
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Background

Appendicitis is a common disease and frequently requires emergency surgery after the development of symptoms. In general, emergency surgery is performed to lower the incidence of complications or mortality that may occur as the inflammation progresses [1-3]. In particular, it has been reported that 50% to 70% of elderly appendicitis patients have perforation [4]. However, the previously held belief that appendicitis always requires emergency surgery has changed in recent years. Indeed, several studies have reported that when antibiotics are administered at an early stage of diagnosis, semi-elective surgery can be performed to treat appendicitis [5-7]. Semi-elective surgery is preferable since emergency surgery, which is often performed during the night, increases fatigue in surgeons and their assistants and consequently reduces their cognitive ability and judgment, thus becoming a risk factor for patient safety [8-10]. Therefore, perforated appendicitis predictors have been studied in order to assist with decisions relating to whether emergency surgery or elective operation should be performed.

There are biomarkers used for predictors of inflammation that included C-Reactive Protein (CRP), procalcitonin, elevated White Blood Cell (WBC) count, elevated serum bilirubin, and Neutrophil-To-Lymphocyte Ratio (NLR), all of which have limitations [4]. In recent years, studies on the Delta Neutrophil Index (DNI), an automated hematology analyzer-based biomarker, have been published. DNI represents the fraction of immature granulocytes identified automatically by a cell analyzer machine [11]. During infection, immature neutrophils enter the circulation. This “left-shift” response to infection is defined as an elevated ratio of immature granulocytes to total WBC. The Delta Neutrophil Index (DNI) is calculated by subtracting the normal percentage of mature neutrophils from the percentage of immature neutrophils. This calculated percentage reflects the ratio of immature neutrophils to total WBC and indicates the degree of inflammation.
granulocytes [12]. Although this can be a useful marker of infection in clinical practice, modern automated cell analyzers can provide further information on leukocyte differentials based on the nuclear lobularity of WBC count and the cytochemical Myeloperoxidase (MPO) reaction [13]. In a previous study, DNI, as calculated by the difference between the leukocyte differential in the MPO channel and the leukocyte differential in the nuclear lobularity channel, was significantly associated with disseminated intravascular coagulation scores, positive blood culture rate, and mortality rate in patients with suspected sepsis [14]. In addition, there have been several studies on the role of DNI as a predictor of perforated appendicitis in both young and old age groups. Despite this, to the best of our knowledge, no previous studies have compared each age group.

A recent study subdivided and analyzed the time from diagnosis of appendicitis to the time of surgery, and the time when the symptom occurred and the time after visiting the hospital; no correlation was found between perforation and the time from the hospital visit to the time of surgery [15]. This study aimed to compare the effectiveness of DNI in predicting perforated appendicitis by age group and analyze the efficacy of DNI as a predictor by subdividing and analyzing the time from symptom onset to surgery.

**Methods**

**Patients and characteristics**

We retrospectively reviewed the medical records of all patients who were diagnosed with acute appendicitis and underwent appendectomy at Kang Dong Sacred-Heart Hospital; a total of 542 patients were enrolled. This study was approved by the institutional review board at Kangdong Sacred Heart Hospital.

All data were fully anonymized before access, and the IRB waived the requirement for informed consent.

We excluded patients who underwent incidental appendectomy, interval appendectomy, negative appendectomy, or incision and drainage.

**Data collection**

The data collected relating to patient characteristics included age, gender, Body Mass Index (BMI), WBC count, neutrophil percentage, CRP, DNI, and Body Temperature (BT) at initial diagnosis. The time from symptom onset to appendectomy was categorized into three periods as follows: Time from symptom onset to hospital admission (symptomatic time), time from admission to appendectomy (hospitalization time), and time from symptom onset to appendectomy (i.e., symptomatic period + hospitalization period [overall time]). The times from admission to the start of surgery were recorded in the patients’ electronic medical records at the hospital. The timing of symptom onset was based on the patients’ medical history at the first examination. If the time was not recorded, the closest 12 h time was used as follows: If the first symptom occurred in the morning, the onset of symptoms was recorded as 6 am; if the first symptom occurred in the evening, the onset of symptoms was recorded as 6 pm; and if the symptoms occurred 3 days before admission, the symptomatic period was recorded as 72 h. Perforation was assessed based on the intraoperative findings. In equivocal cases, perforation was assessed using the patients’ pathological reports.

**Delta neutrophil index (DNI) and laboratory tests**

Laboratory tests, which included DNI, WBC count, neutrophil percentage, and CRP, were measured before surgery. DNI is routinely recorded during complete blood count tests at the institution, and was determined using an automatic cell analyzer (ADIVA 2120 Hematology System; Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics, Forchheim, Germany). After red blood cell lysis, the cell size and stain intensity were measured using the tungsten-halogen-based optical system of the MPO channel to count and differentiate granulocytes, lymphocytes, and monocytes based on their size and MPO content. This was followed by cell counting and classification according to size, lobularity, and nuclear density, using the laser diode-based optical system of the lobularity nuclear density channel. DNI was calculated as the neutrophil and eosinophil subfraction measured in the MPO channel minus the Polymorphonuclear Neutrophil (PMN) subfraction measured in the nuclear lobularity channel, as previously described.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Continuous variables are presented as the mean ± SD and categorical variables as the absolute number and percentage. Between-group comparisons were made using χ² tests for categorical variables, and independent-T-tests for continuous variables. We used binary logistic regression analysis to assess the perforation rate according to the WBC count, neutrophil percentage, CRP, fever, and DNI. Receiver-Operating Characteristic (ROC) curves were plotted and the Youden index method was used to determine the optimal cut-off values for DNI, WBC count, neutrophil percentage, and CRP, for predicting perforation. The Area under the Curve (AUC) was calculated to compare the diagnostic performance of each marker. In all analyses, a p-value of <0.005 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 542 patients were enrolled in this study. The simple appendicitis group consisted of 431 patients and the perforated appendicitis group consisted of 111 patients. Table 1 shows the characteristics of patients according to presence of perforation (Total = 542).

**Table 1**: Characteristics of patients according to presence of perforation (Total = 542).

| Characteristics          | Simple (n=431) | Perforation (n=111) | P     |
|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-------|
| Age (years)              | 32 (23-40)†   | 43 (34-54)†         | <0.001|
| Sex                      |               |                     | 0.991 |
| Male                     | 241 (55.9%)   | 62 (55.9%)          |       |
| Female                   | 190 (44.1%)   | 49 (44.1%)          |       |
| BMI (kg/m²)              | 22.9 ± 4.14   | 23.6 ± 3.95         | 0.09  |
| WBC (×10³/uL)            | 11.7 ± 4.39   | 13.3 ± 5.02         | 0.001 |
| Neutrophil percentage (%)| 75.0 ± 11.54  | 83.0 ± 8.10         | 0.035 |
| CRP (mg/dl)              | 19.9 ± 7.23   | 46.6 ± 12.23        | <0.001|
| BT (°C)                  | 36.9 ± 0.57   | 37.3 ± 0.86         | 0.112 |
| DNI (%)                  | 0.2 ± 4.83    | 3.4 ± 7.93          | <0.001|
| Overall time             | 37.2 ± 34.40  | 45.4 ± 37.13        | 0.028 |
| Symptomatic time         | 27.0 ± 32.55  | 34.7 ± 33.02        | 0.026 |
| Hospitalization time     | 10.7 ± 9.98   | 11.8 ± 11.39        | 0.31  |

†Median (interquartile range)

Data presented are numbers of patients (percentage) or means (standard deviations)

BMI: Body Mass Index; WBC: White Blood Cell; CRP: C-Reactive Protein; BT: Body Temperature; DNI: Delta Neutrophil Index

Symptomatic time was defined as the time from onset of first symptom to admission. Hospitalization time was defined as the time from admission to operation. Overall time was defined as the time from onset of first symptom to operation, which was calculated by adding the patient time and hospital time.
The median age was significantly higher in the perforation group than in the simple group (43 years old vs. 32 years old). The sex ratio was the same between the two groups (55.9% vs. 44.1%, p=0.991). The DNI, WBC count, neutrophil percentage, and CRP were significantly increased in the perforation group.

The overall time from symptom onset to appendectomy was significantly longer (by approximately 8.2 h) in patients with perforated appendicitis than in patients with simple appendicitis (45.4 h vs. 37.2 h, p=0.028). The mean symptomatic time was 34.7 h and 27.0 h in patients with perforated appendicitis and patients with simple appendicitis, respectively, and this difference was statistically significant. However, the mean hospitalization time was not significantly different between the two groups.

Multivariable analysis showed that age, DNI, CRP, and symptomatic time were independent factors of appendiceal perforation. However, the hospitalization time was not associated with perforation (Table 2).

In the ROC curve shown in Figure 1, the AUC of DNI was 0.773, which was higher than that of the WBC, neutrophil percentage, and CRP (Table 3). As shown in Figure 2, the AUC was 0.823 in the >65-year-age group, which was higher than that in the other groups (0.787 and 0.739). Thus, the ROC curve revealed that DNI of the >65-year-age group showed better performance for perforated appendicitis (Table 4). In other words, DNI was a more effective predictor of perforated appendicitis in the older age group than in other age groups.

In terms of the characteristics according to age, the perforation ratio was 31.9% in the >65-year-age group, which was higher than that in the other groups; the CRP and DNI were also significantly higher in the older age group (Table 5). In terms of time factors, the overall time, symptomatic time, and hospitalization time were significantly higher in the old age group. In Figure 3, the hospitalization times in each age group were not significantly different between the simple appendicitis group and the perforated appendicitis group, but there...
Comparison in hospitalization and symptomatic time between age groups.

**Table 6: Performance of delta neutrophil index and symptomatic time.**

|                      | Cut-off level | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | Positive predictive value (%) | Negative predictive value (%) |
|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| DNI                  | 2.10%         | 67.60%          | 89.10%          | 61.50%                        | 91.40%                        |
| Symptomatic time     | 33 h          | 47.70%          | 77.80%          | 35.60%                        | 85.20%                        |
| DNI + Symptomatic time | 32.40%      | 97.00%          | 73.50%          | 84.80%                        |                               |

**Figure 3:** Comparison in hospitalization and symptomatic time between simple appendicitis and perforated appendicitis per age group.

**Discussion**

The optimal cut-off DNI for predicting perforation was 2.1, with a sensitivity of 67.6% and specificity of 89.1%. The optimal cut-off symptomatic time for predicting perforation was 33 h, with a sensitivity of 47.7% and specificity of 77.8%; the cut-off value was calculated by the Youden index. DNI with symptomatic time showed a specificity of 97.0%, elevated specificity is presented than DNI or symptomatic time alone (Table 6). This result means when appendicitis patients comes hospital, DNI is above 2.1% and symptomatic time is longer than 33 h, rate of perforated appendicitis is 97%, so emergency operation will be planned.

was a difference in symptomatic time.

The optimal cut-off DNI for predicting perforation was 2.1, with a sensitivity of 67.6% and specificity of 89.1%. The optimal cut-off symptomatic time for predicting perforation was 33 h, with a sensitivity of 47.7% and specificity of 77.8%; the cut-off value was calculated by the Youden index. DNI with symptomatic time showed a specificity of 97.0%, elevated specificity is presented than DNI or symptomatic time alone (Table 6). This result means when appendicitis patients comes hospital, DNI is above 2.1% and symptomatic time is longer than 33 h, rate of perforated appendicitis is 97%, so emergency operation will be planned.

Serum CRP is widely used as an objective index of disease activity and a plasma protein, and its concentration increases dramatically as a result of cytokine-mediated responses to most forms of tissue injury, infection, and inflammation [17]. In this study, CRP was also a reliable predictor for perforated appendicitis. However, DNI does not require any additional time or cost in clinical settings, unlike other biomarkers such as CRP and procalcitonin, and is increasingly being performed as a routine laboratory test, along with complete blood count.

The present study revealed that the overall time from onset of symptom to appendectomy was significantly longer in patients with perforated appendicitis versus patients with simple appendicitis. When the duration of symptoms was divided into the symptomatic period before admission and the hospitalization period, the symptomatic time was significantly associated with the rates of perforated appendicitis.

However, there is controversy regarding the associations between the symptomatic period and hospitalization period with complications. A previous study showed that increased hospitalization time increased the risk of perforated appendicitis, although this study was only based on 24 h [20,21]. Furthermore, Eldar et al. [22] reported that delayed admission was associated with an increased rate of complications related to infection (p<0.001) and advanced appendicitis (p<0.001); however, the hospital delay (termed ‘physician delay’ in the study) was not associated with the stage of disease. Maroju et al. [23] reported that postoperative complications for acute appendicitis were associated with a delay in treatment, which was not due to in-hospital delay (with vs. without complications: 8.6 h vs. 8.3 h, p= not significant) but rather patient delay (63.3 h vs. 24.3 h, p<0.001). In addition, this study reported that the mean hospitalization time was 10.9 h, and there were only a few cases with a hospitalization time over 24 h, thus revealing that hospitalization did not affect the risk of perforated appendicitis.

The analysis according to age showed that the rate of perforated appendicitis rate was higher in the >65-year-age group, and that the symptomatic time and hospitalization time were significantly longer. Previous studies suggested that a higher proportion of elderly patients than younger patients present with perforated appendicitis [24]. One possible explanation is that elderly patients sometimes present with ambiguous features and fewer elderly patients report right lower quadrant pain [25]. These atypical symptoms may make accurate diagnosis difficult. Another possible explanation relates to the physiologic changes in elderly patients, including decreased immunity [26]. The hospitalization time was also longer in the older age group than in the other groups since it takes longer to prepare preoperative management and fully evaluate the patient’s cardiac pulmonary and renal function.

DNI and symptomatic time are significant predictive factors of groups, indicating that DNI plays a more significant role in predicting perforated appendicitis in the >65-year-age group than in other age groups.
perforated appendicitis. Over the cut-off value, DNI had a specificity of 89.1%, while the symptomatic time had a specificity of 77.8%. However, together, these two factors show a high specificity of 97.0%; these results are higher than the specificity of CRP (72%) in the study by Xharra et al. [17]. Therefore, DNI and symptomatic time are useful indicators of perforated appendicitis and may also be helpful in deciding whether emergency surgery is necessary.

Our study had several limitations. In particular, this was a retrospective review of medical records. For this reason, we could only evaluate data for the WBC count, neutrophil percentage, CRP, and those which were routinely measured at the hospital. Other inflammatory markers, such as procalcitonin and lactic acid, are not routinely assessed and therefore could not be analyzed in this study. Because of the small sample size in this study, additional studies with larger numbers of patients are required to validate the clinical usefulness of DNI as a predictor of perforation in appendicitis.

Despite these limitations, our results demonstrate that DNI is a useful marker for predicting perforation in appendicitis, and that the time from symptom onset to surgery is associated with the risk of perforation.

**Conclusion**

Our study found that DNI, which reflects the proportion of immature granulocytes in circulating blood, was more accurate than the WBC count, neutrophil percentage, and CRP for predicting perforation in appendicitis patients. In addition, the time analysis combined showed that the time taken after symptom onset affected perforation but time after hospital visit was not associated with perforation. Moreover, a DNI>2.1 at 33 h after symptom onset was a strong predictor of perforation.
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