Pairing and non-Fermi liquid behavior in partially flat-band systems:
Can we go beyond the nesting physics?
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While many-body effects in flat-band systems are receiving renewed hot interests in condensed-matter physics for superconducting and topological properties as well as for magnetism, studies have primarily been restricted to multiband systems (with coexisting flat and dispersive bands). Here we focus on one-band systems where a band is “partially flat” comprising flat and dispersive portions in k-space to reveal whether intriguing correlations can arise already on the simplest possible one-band level. For that, the two-dimensional repulsive Hubbard model is studied for two models having different flat areas, in an intermediate-coupling regime with the FLEX+DMFT (the dynamical mean-field theory combined with the fluctuation exchange approximation). We have a crossover from ferromagnetic to antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations as the band filling is varied, and this triggers, for the model with a wider flat portion, a triplet-pair superconductivity favored over an unusually wide filling region, which is taken over by a sharply growing singlet pairing. For the model with a narrower flat portion, Tc against filling exhibits an unusual double-peaked Tc dome, associated with different numbers of nodes in the gap function having remarkably extended pairs in real space. We identify these as manifestations of the physics outside the conventional nesting physics where only the pair scattering across the Fermi surface in designated (hot) spots is relevant. Another correlation effect arising from the flattened band is found in a non-Fermi-liquid behavior as detected in the momentum distribution function, frequency dependence of the self-energy and spectral function. These indicate that unusual correlation physics can indeed occur in flat-band systems.

PACS numbers: 71.28.+d, 71.10.Fd, 31.15.aq

I. INTRODUCTION

While there is a long history for the study of flat-band systems as initiated by interests in ferromagnetism, 1,2,3 there is a recent surge of interests in flat-band superconductivity, where possibilities are explored for unconventional superconductivity favored by the flat-band structure. 4,5 As for attractive electron-electron interactions, Törmä’s group has shown that a flat band can indeed favor superconductivity when the band is topological, with the superfluid weight lower-bounded by the topological number. 6,7,8 For repulsive interactions, on the other hand, a key question is how the presence of flat bands affects electron correlation processes. In repulsively-interacting flat-band systems, spin alignment tends to lower the total energy due to unorthogonalizable Wannier orbitals through Pauli’s exclusion principle. 9,10,11 For unconventional superconductivity, gap functions for both copper- and iron-based superconductors, respectively with d and s± pairings, are maximized by the pair scattering processes with specific momentum transfers (see Fig. 1, top left), where the spin fluctuations with these wave vectors glue electrons with opposite spin. 12,13 For systems having a flat band coexisting with dispersive band(s), it has been suggested that a key process is the quantum-mechanical virtual hopping of Cooper pairs between the flat and dispersive bands mediated by spin fluctuations arising from the repulsive interaction (see Fig. 1, top right). There, it is noticed that an optimum situation is when the Fermi energy is close to, but away from, the flat band, where the virtual pair scattering still occurs. In other words, the flat band in this situation is “incipient.” 14,15,16 There, one intriguing observation is that the pairing, as detected from the density matrix renormalization group (DMRG), involves large entanglement when the flat band is topological.

These proposals for the flat-band magnetism and superconductivity have so far focused on multi-band systems, as exemplified by Lieb’s, Mielke’s and Tasaki’s models, where one of the multi-bands is flat while other(s) are dispersive. Now, a fundamental question is: can interesting strong-correlation phenomena such as high Tc superconductivity occur in simpler one-band systems that have flat portion(s) in the dispersion in the momentum space? This is an interesting possibility, since, even when the Fermi energy resides on the dis-
Figure 1: We schematically compare ordinary single-orbital, one-band case (here for a d-wave SC; leftmost column) and multi-orbital, multi-band case (here for \( s_\pm \); second column from left), both with specific “hot spots” (dashed circles in red) across which the nesting vectors (yellow arrows) designate how pairs (blue and cyan arrows) hop. These are contrasted with flat-band systems for single-orbital, one-band case (second from right) and single-orbital, multi-band case (rightmost). The top row depicts k-space, while the bottom row displays pairs in real space. The pairing for the multi-band case is an inter-band \( s_\pm \), which is difficult to represent in real space.

Perspective part, quantum states are expected to be significantly altered through the virtual pair-scattering processes between the flat and dispersive portions of the band as well as the pair-scatterings within the flat region, both with many channels (which turns out to be allowed due to partial occupation of the flat portion caused by correlation effects as we shall show; see Fig. 2). This will be outside the conventional “nesting physics” for dispersive bands where the processes occur on Fermi surfaces. Thus it is intriguing whether the one-band case can be as good as, or even better than, the multi-band case. Motivated by these intuitions, here we explore two different flat-band models, where we start with a tight-binding (“t-t′”) model with nearest and second-neighbor hoppings. By controlling them, we have large flat regions in the dispersion with the vanishingly small group velocity. In the second model, we truncate the dispersion below certain energy into a flat one to single out the effect of the flat part. Since the density of one-electron states diverges in these flat regions, perturbative approaches, e.g., the Schrieffer-Wolff transformation, might fail even in the weak electron-electron interaction regime. In Ref. 20, the truncated model is examined where the unbiased determinantal quantum Monte Carlo method (DQMC) is used to show a Mott-insulating physics for a repulsive interaction and enhanced superconductivity for an attractive interaction in the weak-coupling regime and at intermediate temperatures, whereas the present paper addresses superconductivity for repulsive interactions. The flat portion also poses an interesting question of whether non-Fermi liquid behavior can arise due to the flatness.

Thus the purpose of the present work is to look into superconducting and non-Fermi liquid properties upon varying the band filling. For that, we adopt here, along with the DQMC method, the FLEX+DMFT method, which is a combination of the dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) and the fluctuation-exchange approximation (FLEX). The DQMC is a numerically exact method but is applicable for limited parameters. The FLEX+DMFT is a diagrammatic approximation and can deal with Mott’s insulation for strong coupling, but here we focus on an intermediate coupling regime. We shall show that magnetism exhibits a dominant ferromagnetic spin correlation at small band fillings, which crosses over to antiferromagnetic spin structures toward half-filling. This concomitantly dominates superconductivity, where the pairing symmetry is found to change from spin-triplet to singlet. Remarkably, the gap function sensitively depends on the Fermi energy sitting around the boundary between the flat and dispersive parts in such a way that (i) for the truncated model with a wider flat portion, this triggers a triplet pairing favored over an unusually wide filling region, which is taken over by a sharply growing singlet pairing toward half-filling. (ii) For the t-t′ model with a narrower flat portion, \( T_C \) against filling exhibits an unusual double-peaked \( T_C \) dome associated with different numbers of nodes in the gap function. The unusually large numbers of nodal lines exhibit significantly extended pairs in real space in both models. Since these come from pair scatterings that involve the flat portions, we shall identify them as a manifestation of the physics outside the conventional nesting physics (with only the pair scattering across Fermi surface in designated (hot) spots being relevant). We shall further reveal that a non-Fermi liquid behavior arises as detected in various observables such as a momentum distribution function that is fractional over the flat region, and the self-energy with a fractional-power-law frequency dependence accompanied by a characteristic spectral function. Thus
we shall conclude that partially-flat band systems can indeed harbor quite different and versatile physics from the ordinary bands.

II. MODEL AND METHODS

We consider the repulsive Hubbard model on the square lattice,

\[ H = \sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} + U \sum_i n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} - \mu \sum_{i\sigma} n_{i\sigma}, \]

(1)

where \( c_{k\sigma}^\dagger \) creates an electron with spin \( \sigma \) and momentum \( k \), \( \varepsilon_k \) is the noninteracting band dispersion, \( n_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma} \), \( U(>0) \) is the repulsive on-site interaction, and \( \mu \) is the chemical potential.

Here we consider two models (Fig. 2): the first one is the \( t-t' \) model on a square lattice with the nearest-neighbor (\( t \)) and the second-neighbor (\( t' \)) hoppings with a dispersion,

\[ \varepsilon_k^{t-t'} = -2t[\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y)] - 4t' \cos(k_x) \cos(k_y). \]

(2)

If we set \( t' \approx -t/2 \) we can flatten the dispersion along \( \Gamma-M \) lines (with \( t' = 0.548t \) here for minimizing the curvature) as displayed in Fig. 2(a).

The second model has a dispersion truncated as

\[ \varepsilon_k^{\text{PFB}} = \left[ 1 + \mathcal{F} \text{sign}(\varepsilon_k^{\text{cosine}}) \right] \varepsilon_k^{\text{cosine}}, \]

(3)

to have a perfectly flat bottom (ideal “partially-flat band”; PFB). Here \( \varepsilon_k^{\text{cosine}} \equiv -2t[\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y)] \) is the cosine band for the nearest-neighbor hopping model, and the parameter \( \mathcal{F} \) controls the truncation, e.g., for \( \mathcal{F} = 1 \) the negative-energy part of the cosine band is flattened as displayed in Fig. 2(b). To have the same total band width (\( = 8 \)) as the cosine band, we set \( \varepsilon_k^{\text{PFB}} = 2\varepsilon_k^{\text{cosine}} \) for the positive part when \( \mathcal{F} = 1 \). In this paper, we take \( \mathcal{F} \) as the unit of energy.

As for the band filling, \( \langle n \rangle = \langle n_\uparrow \rangle + \langle n_\downarrow \rangle \), the non-interacting Fermi energy lies close to the flat region for \( \langle n \rangle \lesssim 1 \). Here we study paramagnetic phases with no spin imbalance, basically with FLEX+DMFT. In FLEX+DMFT, the local self-energy is obtained from the DMFT procedure, with the FLEX local self-energy subtracted to avoid double counting in a double self-consistent loop\(^{23}\). As an impurity solver for the DMFT, we adopt the modified iterative perturbation theory\(^{11,12}\). With FLEX+DMFT we do not address here the strong-coupling regime for \( U \) exceeding the bandwidth, nor very dilute fillings for convergence reasons. For \( U \) greater than the bandwidth, employing the continuous-time quantum Monte Carlo\(^{13}\), or the one-crossing approximation\(^{15}\) as the impurity solver incorporates dynamical vertex corrections more properly but, independent of the impurity solver, our FLEX+DMFT formalism suffers from a lack of spatial vertex corrections. To sanity-check and benchmark our FLEX+DMFT results, we compare them with DQMC results at relatively high temperatures where the sign problem is less severe. More precisely, in the DQMC, the fermionic sign problem makes the accessible temperature \( (T) \) for \( U \leq 2 \) restricted to \( T \lesssim U/15 \), see also Ref. \(^{20}\) while we can go to lower \( T \)'s in FLEX+DMFT. DQMC simulations are performed on a \( 16 \times 16 \) periodic cluster, while FLEX+DMFT is performed for a \( 64 \times 64 \) momentum grid.

III. RESULTS

Let us start with the double occupancy of electrons against the band filling in Fig. 3. We first recall that, for the ordinary cosine band, the double occupancy starts to increase in the strong-coupling regime and above half-filling \((\langle n \rangle > 1)\) where the number of electrons exceeds the number of lattice sites, see inset of Fig.3 and also Ref. \(^{20}\). If we first look at the result for the PFB model, we can see quite a different behavior, where the double occupancy starts to grow already around \( \approx 0.6 \) well below half-filling, in both FLEX+DMFT [squares in Fig. 3(b)] and DQMC results (solid curves). We can particularly note that even at a very weak \( U = 0.5 \) the double occupancy arises when significantly less than half-filled \((\langle n \rangle \gtrsim 0.6)\), which can only occur in the cosine band above \( \langle n \rangle \approx 1 \) at strong \( U \gg \text{bandwidth} \). Thus we deduce that the flat region makes the weak interaction sufficient for the emergence of the correlation effect. To endorse this, we turn to the double occupancy for the \( t-t' \) model obtained with FLEX+DMFT [solid curves in Fig. 3(a)]. We again encounter the double occupancy well below the half-filling. The double occupancy in the \( t-t' \) model is greater than in the PFB, which is understandable since the flat region in the former is much narrower.

We can then examine the electron configuration in the momentum space to compare between the flat and dispersive parts (i.e., how electrons doubly-occupy the flat regions before the dispersive regions are filled). Figure 4 presents the momentum-dependent distribution function \( n_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \langle c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} \rangle \), where panel (a) is for the \( t-t' \) model at a filling \( \langle n \rangle = 0.5 \), while (b) is for the PFB at \( \langle n \rangle = 0.62 \), both for \( U = 2 \). The chosen fillings are respectively around the fillings at which the double occupancy starts to rise in Fig. 3. The figure is obtained with FLEX+DMFT, but we again observe a qualitative agreement between DQMC and FLEX+DMFT results (see Appendix \(^{\text{A}}\)). For the \( t-t' \) model at \( \langle n \rangle \approx 0.5 \), the
occupation in the flat region along \( k_x = 0 \) and \( k_y = 0 \) is close
to, but smaller than, unity with \( 0.7 < n_k < 0.85 \). For the
PFB model at \( \langle n \rangle = 0.62 \), we can see an almost constant and
half-filled \( 0.52 < n_k < 0.55 \) over the flat region bounded by
\( |k_x| + |k_y| \leq \pi \) in that model. Larger occupation in the t-\( t' \)
model should again be related to its narrower flat region.

The above results show that the electrons are selectively
crammed into the flat portion causing double occupation
before the dispersive portion starts to be occupied. This would
not be surprising since the flat portions are situated at lower
energies, but a remarkable point is the following: (i) The occu-
pation is fractional, somewhere between the single and dou-
bble occupations, and (ii) the occupation occurs all over the flat
portions with basically the same occupied area as we vary the
total band filling (compare Fig. 4 with Fig. 2 in Appendix B)
in both models. In this sense Luttinger’s theorem does not
seem to apply here. To explore the Fermi surface formation,
we plot the Green’s function for both models in Figs. 5(a)
(top panels), where sharp peaks would define the Fermi surfaces.
While the Fermi surfaces are visible in the t-\( t' \) model (Fig. 5),
they are not very well-defined for the PFB model (Fig. 6). We
come back to this point below in terms of the frequency de-
pendence of the self-energy.

Let us now turn to the spin structure against the band
filling. The static spin correlation function, \( \chi_s(k) =
2 \int_0^\beta d\tau \langle S_z(\tau)S_z(0) \rangle \), is displayed for the t-\( t' \)
(Fig. 5) and PFB (Fig. 6) models at \( U = 3, \beta = 1/(k_B T) = 33 \)
and \( \langle n \rangle = 0.7 - 0.94 \). Overall, the spin correlation is seen to be
large over streaks or wide plateaus (rather than usual spots),
which should come from the flattened bands. More precisely,
reflecting the structure of Green’s function, the t-\( t' \) model
shows streaks across some mid-points in the Brillouin zone,
which cross over to wider and more complex structures as we
approach \( \langle n \rangle = 1 \). A smaller overall value of the spin suscep-
tibility in Fig. 5(f) may be attributed to coexistence of spin
fluctuations coming from occupied flat and dispersive por-
tions. The PFB model, on the other hand, shows a crossover
from ferromagnetic spin fluctuations, which is expected as in
the spin alignment in the half-filled flat branch in multi-band
models, to wider plateaus with peaks shifting away from \( \Gamma \)
point, and finally to antiferromagnetic spin fluctuations with

Figure 3: Double occupancy (normalized by the uncorrelated
value \( \langle n^2 \rangle = \langle n \rangle^2/4 \)) against the band filling \( \langle n \rangle \)
for the t-\( t' \) [solid curves in (a)] and iPFB [squares in (b)] models,
obtained with the FLEX+DMFT. Solid curves with error bars
in (b) represent DQMC result for the PFB model. The
results are for \( U = 0.5 - 2.0 \) at temperature \( T = U/15 \). Inset
in (b) is the double occupancy for the cosine band for
\( U = 12 \) at the same temperature with DQMC. Error bars are
determined by jackknife resampling.

Figure 4: (a) Momentum-dependent distribution function \( n_k \)
for the t-\( t' \) model at \( \langle n \rangle = 0.5 \). (b) The same for the PFB
model at \( \langle n \rangle = 0.62 \). Results are computed with
FLEX+DMFT on a 64 \( \times \) 64 momentum grid. We have
\( U = 2 \) and an inverse temperature \( \beta = 7.5 \) for both results.

Figure 5: For the t-\( t' \) model, Green’s functions (top panels)
and spin susceptibilities (bottom) are color-coded in
momentum space for fillings \( \langle n \rangle = 0.7 \) (a, d), 0.8 (b, e), and
0.94 (c, f). All the results are for \( U = 3, \beta = 33 \), but note
different color codes for different panels.
peaks around \((\pm \pi, \pm \pi)\) as we approach \(<n> = 1\). As for the charge susceptibility, \(\chi_c(q) = \int d\tau (n_q(\tau) - \langle n_q(0) \rangle)\), we observe a similar trend in both models, but \(\chi_c\) is an order of magnitude smaller than the spin susceptibility. We shall see below that the spin structure governs the structures of the self-energy, local spectral function as well as pairing. Now we are in position to explore the superconducting phases with the linearized Eliashberg equation for the gap function \(\Delta\).

\[
\lambda \Delta(k) = -\frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{k'} V_{\text{eff}}(k - k')G(k')G(-k')\Delta(k'),
\]  

where \(\lambda\) is the eigenvalue, \(k \equiv (\mathbf{k}, i\omega_n)\) with \(\omega_n\) being the Matsubara frequency with \(\sum_n = 1\), \(V_{\text{eff}} = U + 3U^2\chi_s/2 - U^2\chi_c/2\) is the effective pairing interaction, and \(G\) is Green's function. The eigenvalue is a measure of superconducting instabilities with \(\lambda = 1\) marking \(T_C\). Figure 7, a key result of this work, plots \(\lambda\) for singlet (filled symbols) and triplet (empty) pairings for the \(t-t'\) and PFB models.

If we first look at the result for the PFB model, triplet pairing is favored with larger \(\lambda_s\) over a remarkably wide region of the filling, which indicates the importance of the wide flat region accompanied by ferromagnetic fluctuations. Then a singlet pairing rapidly dominates as we approach \(<n> = 1\). In the \(t-t'\) model, with a narrower flat portion and associated spin fluctuations (Fig. 5), singlet pairing dominates over the whole region studied here, but with a curious double-dome structure in \(T_C\). Both of these are in dramatic contrast with the usual cosine-like bands, where the singlet d-wave pairing dominates with a single dome in \(\lambda\) around \(<n> \approx 0.3\). The sharp enhancement in the singlet pairing close to the half-filling in the PFB, which should come from the prevailing antiferromagnetic fluctuations, has \(\lambda\) that is larger than \(t-t'\) and even the cosine-band counterparts. We note that this takeover (an arrow in Fig. 7(b)) occurs when the flat-band filling exceeds about 3/4 (see Appendix B), which in fact coincides with a critical filling, \(<n>_{c}\), at which the DMFT spin susceptibility is peaked (see Appendix C), and the exponent in the self-energy
is also peaked as we shall see in Fig. 10(b) below. As for the singlet pairing in the t-t' model, we can see that the left peak in $\lambda$ occurs around $(n)_c$ for this model.

So let us now fathom these results in terms of filling-dependent singlet and triplet gap functions in momentum space in Fig. 8 or in real space in Fig. 9 for the t-t' and PFB models. We can immediately see that all the gap functions are anisotropic and possess nodal lines whose number sensitively depends on the filling. In the t-t' model, cases similar to the usual d-wave [$\Delta(k) \sim \cos(k_x) - \cos(k_y)$] exist [as in Fig. 8(b), Fig. 9(b)], but more generally admits structures, $\Delta_{\text{singlet}}(k) \sim \cos(\gamma k_x) - \cos(\gamma k_y)$, where $\gamma = 1, 2, \ldots$ characterizes the number of nodal lines. For instance, we have $\gamma = 1 \rightarrow 2$ for $(n) = 0.94 \rightarrow 0.7$ in the t-t' model. This shows that, as we go away from the half-filling at which antiferromagnetic fluctuations dominate, the usual $d_{x^2-y^2}$ wave changes into something more complicated.

If we turn to the gap functions in real space in Fig. 9 we can realize that the larger the number of nodal lines, the more extended the pairs over several lattice spacings in real space. Similar long-range pairings have also been explored for quasi-one-dimensional and 2D systems, where each pair becomes more spatially extended as we go from p-wave to d and f with the number of nodes increasing. For the triplet gap function in Fig. 8(c,d,g,h), we also tend to have unusually extended pairing with larger numbers of nodes. In the literature, the random-phase approximation (RPA) has been used to obtain the filling-dependent gap symmetry in the t-t' model, but the present results exhibit different behavior such as an absence of s-waves seen in RPA, which should be due to the self-energy effects incorporated more accurately here. For the PFB model, triplet gap functions are close to a simple p-wave, $\Delta_{\text{triplet}}(k) \sim \sin(k_x) \pm \sin(k_y)$, but extra nodes are visible.

If we go back to Fig. 7 the Eliashberg $\lambda$ in our partially flat-band systems can be smaller than those for the ordinary cosine band, which may be related to less compact pairing in the former, but we do have important effects peculiar to the flat-band cases: For the PFB, (i) the singlet $\lambda$ sharply blows up toward the filling $n = 1$, and (ii) before this occurs the triplet pairing are favored over an unusually wide region of $n$. For t-t', (iii) the peculiar double-peak structure arises from a change in the number of nodes around the dip of $\lambda$ (marked with a vertical dashed line in Fig. 7(a)). If we compare the single-band and multi-band flat-band systems, the former sharply contrasts with the behavior of the multi-band case, in which the Eliashberg $\lambda$ is shown to have a sharp dip when $E_F$ becomes too close to the flat band, but this does not occur in the present single-band case.

Fermi-liquid properties We finally look into the Fermi-liquid properties. In Fig. 10(a,b,c) we plot the imaginary part of the DMFT self-energies against Matsubara frequency in the t-t' (a) and PFB (c) models, for band filling $(n) = 0.7 - 1.0$ at $U = 3, \beta = 33$. We notice that the self-energy exhibits a peculiar frequency dependence. We can actually quantify non-Fermi liquid behavior by fitting the imaginary part of the self-energy to

$$|\text{Im}\Sigma(\omega_n)| \propto \omega_n^\alpha,$$

for low Matsubara frequencies. Then $\alpha = 1$ characterizes the Fermi liquids, while $\alpha < 0.5$ will signify a “bad-metallic” behavior. Here we take the DMFT impurity self-energy, $\Sigma_{\text{imp}}$, since we want to look at the local self-energy, which we shall later compare with the DMFT impurity spin-susceptibility. We can see in Fig. 10(b) that the exponent $\alpha$ increases up to a critical filling $(n)_c$ that depends whether we have the t-t' or PFB models. It is notable that the $(n)_c$ for the self-energy coincide with the critical $(n)_c = 0.82$ for t-t', $(n)_c = 0.94$ for PFB at $U = 3, \beta = 33$ at which the spin-susceptibility for the DMFT impurity, $\chi_{\text{imp}}$, has a peak in each model, as shown in Appendix C. For further increase of the filling, $\alpha$ starts to decrease. The value $(n)_c = 0.94$ in the PFB corresponds to the filling at which the flat part of the band is about 3/4-filled, namely we have $0.71 < n_k < 0.83$ on
the flat portion in the momentum-dependent distribution function (see Appendix B). A bad-metallic behavior also appears as deformations in the local spectral functions in Fig. [10]d, obtained via analytic continuation with the Padé approximation. In particular, the local spectral functions undergo large changes for \( \langle n \rangle > \langle n \rangle_c \), with an emergence of multi-peaks that are separated by \( \omega \ll U \), see also Appendix [2]

**IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSIONS**

To summarize, we have studied two partially flat-band models (t-t' and PFB) to reveal that a manifestation of the flat portion in the band gives a dramatic difference from the ordinary band to produce a peculiar sequence of the dominant pairing symmetries. This occurs in both models, in a manner that is dominated by the size of the flat region. For PFB with a wide flat area, triplet pairings are favored over a wide filling region, while for t-t' with a narrower flat area, a double-dome structure in \( T_C \) emerges associated with different numbers of nodes in the gap function. Concomitantly, pairings can become unusually extended in real space with large numbers of nodes. We have finally shown that non-Fermi-liquid like behavior exists in a power-law frequency dependence of the self-energy, etc. We identify these as a peculiar emergence of correlation effects in partially flat-band systems that can occur even for intermediate electron-electron interactions.

As Fig. 1 suggests, the different pairings revealed here should come from quite different configurations of pair-scattering channels in the partially-flat band models: In regular bands the key process is the pair scattering specifically giving rise to the d and s± pairs, which is contrasted with the flat bands that have the whole bunch of pair-scattering channels involving, so to speak, an extended hot regions.

This now leads us to make an observation: For ordinary bands, we can show, from a general phase-space volume argument that the superconductivity mediated by spin fluctuations should work much more efficiently in two-dimensional (layered 2D) systems than in 3D. By contrast, the flat bands with (i) extended hot regions (with wide areas in k-space for large spin fluctuations), (ii) wide areas for large gap function amplitudes, and (iii) also wide areas for large Green’s functions (which are involved in Eq. (1)) may evade the above theorem to render 3D systems as good as in 2D. This will make 3D partially flat band systems interesting.

An important question of course is whether flat bands can enhance \( T_C \). For the attractive Hubbard model, the sign-free DQMC actually indicates that \( T_C \) is nearly doubled when the band is flattened into PFB. A general question then is whether \( T_C \) is enhanced in the repulsive model, which is an important future problem. For ordinary (cosine) bands, Kitatani et al. have used DFT (dynamical vertex approximation) to identify the vertex correction as the reason why \( T_C (\sim 0.01 t) \) in the spin-fluctuation mediated pairing is two orders of magnitude smaller than the starting electronic energy. It will be interesting to see whether the vertex correction in the flat-band systems can act to overcome this. In the present flat-band models, the spin susceptibility can have broad structures such as plateaus. One possible hint is that Yanase et al. show that the vertex correction becomes significant in a model that has a featureless spin structure.

As for vanishing group velocity, this also occurs point-like at van Hove singularities, and its effect on correlation physics has been discussed where topological superconductivity such as d+id wave is suggested. So it is intriguing to examine whether the present systems, where the group velocity vanishes in finite areas rather than at points, can accommodate topological superconductivity. The present work has shown transitions between different pairing symmetries (within singlets with different numbers of nodes in t-t' and singlet-triplet transition in PFB). In fact, it is known that the boundary between different pairing symmetries is a promising venue for looking for time-reversal-broken topological superconductivity.

As for possible realizations of the present model, we can raise an example which is the \( \tau \)-type organic salt family, \( D_2\text{A}_1\text{A}_2 \), based on D (=P,S)-DMEDT-TTF or EDO-S, S-DMEDT-TTF) in combination with anions \( A (\text{=AuBr}_2, \text{I}_x, \text{or IBr}_2) \), studied by Papavassiliou et al., which are two-dimensional metals in the \( \tau \) crystal form. The band structure of a single layer of the \( \tau \) phase contains a flat-bottomed band just as in the present t-t' model. Indeed, a checkerboard-patterned organic molecule in the layer makes its effective model a tight-binding system with \( t' \approx -0.5\text{eV} \). The partially flat-band models proposed here require distant hopping amplitudes. In real materials, an organic \( \tau \)-type conductor, for instance, has been investigated experimentally, and distant hoppings are theoretically shown to give rise to a partially flat band. As for inorganic materials, ruthenate superconductors (\( \text{Sr}_{2}\text{RuO}_4 \)), and some iron-chalcogenides (\( \text{Fe}_{1-x}\text{Se}_2 \)) have partially flat bands. They are multi-band systems, where competition between various pairing symmetries (\( \text{d}+\text{id} \)-wave behavior in the optical conductivity, \( \text{d}+\text{id} \)-wave) and (anti)ferromagnetic spin structures have been discussed. On the other hand, there is a recent upheaval of interests in the twisted bilayer graphene, where the band structures are shown to have flat portions on hexagonal lattice. This further highlights the need to understand partially flat bands more generally. As for the space group we can extend the present idea on tetragonal lattices to hexagonal cases, which is underway.
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Appendix A: Comparison of DQMC and FLEX+DMFT results for momentum-dependent distribution functions

Let us here compare the momentum-dependent distribution functions obtained with the DQMC and FLEX+DMFT approaches for the PFB model at $\langle n \rangle = 0.62$ for $U = 2$, $\beta = 7.5$ in Fig. 11. The occupancy and shape of the occupied regions are seen to accurately agree between the two results. More precisely, the electron occupancy in the flat portions ranges 0.52-0.55 in the DQMC (a), and 0.52-0.57 in the FLEX+DMFT (b).

Appendix B: Momentum-dependent distribution functions at $\langle n \rangle_c$ for the t-t’- and PFB models

We display in Fig. 12 how the momentum-dependent distribution function, $n_k$, behaves right at the critical filling $\langle n \rangle_c = 0.82$ for t-t’, and 0.94 for the PFB model. The occupation of the flat portion of the band in the PFB system ranges from 0.71 to 0.83, i.e., about 3/4. In the t-t’ model, the flat portion is close to fully occupied, associated with the narrower size of the flat region of this band. These results should be compared with Fig. 4 in the main text, where the flat portion is an occupation about 1/2 in PFB.

Appendix C: DMFT impurity spin-susceptibility

Let us display in Fig. 13 the DMFT spin-susceptibility $\chi_s^{\text{imp}}$, obtained from the DMFT impurity Green’s functions, for $U = 3$ and inverse temperature $\beta = 33$ in the t-t’ and PFB.
models. The result exhibits a peak (marked respectively with an arrow) in each model, which is seen to coincide with the critical filling $\langle n \rangle_c$ for the self-energy behavior introduced in the main text, see the arrows in Fig.7 and Fig.10(b).

Figure 13: DMFT impurity spin-susceptibility against band filling for the $t$-$t'$ (green circles) and PFB (blue squares) models for $U = 3$ and $\beta = 33$. Arrows indicate the critical filling $\langle n \rangle_c$ in the two models, respectively.

We present the momentum-dependent spectral functions at $\Gamma (0,0)$ and $X (0,\pi)$ points in the Brillouin zone right at the critical band filling, $\langle n \rangle = 0.81$ in $t$-$t'$ and $\langle n \rangle = 0.94$ in PFB models, in Fig.14. The spectrum is obtained with Padé approximation. In both panels, shoulder-like features are seen at $\Gamma$ point as a correlation effect. Such a feature also appears at $(0, \pi)$ in the PFB model. The result should be compared with the local spectral functions in Fig.10(d) in the main text.

Appendix D: Momentum-dependent spectral functions at $\langle n \rangle_c$
Figure 14: Spectral function, $A(k, \omega)$, at $\Gamma$ (red solid lines) and X (blue dotted) points in $t$-$t'$ (a) and PFB (b) models, for $U = 3$, $\beta = 33$, and band filling $\langle n \rangle = 0.81$ (a) or $\langle n \rangle = 0.94$ (b). Spectral function at X point in (a) is divided by a factor of 5.