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Abstract—This paper presents a novel antenna configuration to measure directions of multiple signal sources at the receiver in a THz mobile network via a single channel measurement. Directional communication is an intrinsic attribute of THz wireless networks and the knowledge of direction should be harvested continuously to maintain link quality. Direction discovery can potentially impose an immense burden on the network that limits its communication capacity exceedingly. To utterly mitigate direction discovery overhead, we propose a novel technique called spectrum shaping capable of measuring direction, power, and relative distance of propagation paths via a single measurement. We demonstrate that the proposed technique is also able to measure the transmitter antenna orientation. We evaluate the performance of the proposed design in several scenarios and show that the introduced technique performs similar to a large array of antennas while attaining a much simpler hardware architecture. Results show that the spectrum shaping with only two antennas placed 0.5 mm, 5 mm, and 1 cm apart performs direction of arrival estimation similar to a much more complex uniform linear array equipped with 7, 60, and 120 antennas, respectively.

Index Terms—6G, THz communication, initial access, beam selection, channel estimation, deafness problem.

I. INTRODUCTION

6G MOBILE networks promise to bring a new era of ultra high-speed communications that surpasses previous generations by several orders of magnitude in communication capacity [1]. One of the core technologies behind such a spectacular revolution is massive Multi-Input-Multi-Output (MIMO) communication at mmWave and THz bands [2]. Mobile networks that work at these frequency bands are bound to employ highly directional beams [3]. As directional communication has gained importance in the new generation of communication systems, direction of arrival (DoA) estimation has obtained gravity as an enabler of directional communication [4]. To clarify this necessity, we should note that two devices that exploit directional antennas cannot communicate unless they ascertain the direction of the other device. Moreover, this knowledge of direction should be maintained during the communication period otherwise the link will be disrupted [5].

The process of finding the best beam that maximizes the communication rate is called beam selection [6]. Alternatively, some studies named the problem of finding the best beam at THz band for initial access, deafness problem [7]. The problem of beam selection for mmWave and THz communication has been under extensive research recently. The most common approach proposes a brute-force search on all beams [8]. Unfortunately, brute-force search compels a huge overhead on the communication system since it should be harvested swiftly and continuously. As beams become narrower at higher frequencies, beam selection overhead escalates such that it drastically restricts the communication rate. Various techniques have been proposed to ease the burden of beam selection on communication systems. Ali et. al propose using legacy sub-6 GHz channel information for sparse recovery of mmWave channel and beam selection [9]. Myers et al. utilize an efficient set of antenna weight vectors for fast beam alignment through a compressive sensing (CS) approach [10]. Swiftlink [11] is a technique that incorporates randomized beam training along with a CS algorithm to develop beam selection technique robust to carrier frequency offset. Although these techniques can ease the overhead of beam selection, they still require numerous channel measurements. Many recent studies consider machine learning techniques to address the beam selection problem. Long et al. cast the beam selection problem as a multi-class classification problem and employ support vector machine (SVM) to achieve a statistical classification model that maximizes the sum rate [12]. Myers et al. propose an end-to-end deep learning technique to design a structured CS matrix based on the underlying channel distribution, leveraging both sparsity and the particular spatial structure of propagation paths that appears in a communication channel [13]. Alrabeiah and Alkhateeb train a deep network to learn the mapping between sub-6 GHz channel state information (CSI) and optimal mmWave beam [14]. Recently, several works consider vision, LIDAR, Radar, and other means of situational awareness to integrate with communication data for mmWave channel estimation and beam selection [15]–[18]. A common limitation among available literature incorporating deep learning for beam selection is the blindness to unseen data and lack of adaptability to time-varying dynamic environments.

In the most recent breakthrough, Ghasempour et al. introduce the idea of THz rainbow for single-shot link discovery [19]. In this work, authors name the process of finding the DoA of signal from the transmitter (TX) via only a single
measurement, single-shot link discovery. The authors employ leaky wave antennas at both TX and receiver (RX). When excited by a broadband source (i.e., a pico second pulse with a flat spectrum between [0.1THz, 1THz]), the TX antenna propagates a different and unique frequency within the spectrum at each angle, thus forming a THz rainbow. The RX employs THz time-domain spectroscopy (THz-TDS) to measure the spectrum of the received signal and consequently estimates its DoA. THz-TDS is a technique to measure the THz electric field that can be used to measure the received spectrum in the range [0.1THz, 10THz] and currently is implementable on chip [20]. Other than DoA, the THz rainbow technique is able to measure the angle of departure (AoD) of the signal from the RX, yet in a limited range. Although measuring DoA and AoD in a single shot is a groundbreaking achievement, the THz rainbow technique suffers from following inefficiencies:

- very limited DoA and AoD observability range ([10°, 80°] for DoA, and [−40°, 20°] for AoD),
- incapability in estimating multiple DoAs, thus inapplicable in harsh multipath environments,
- limited applicability to only dry indoor environments, and
- spectral inefficiency as a result of using only a very tiny range of available spectrum for DoA estimation.

In our work, we propose a new design to address the inefficiencies of THz rainbow, which we refer to as Spectrum Shaping (SSH). We adopt a well-known array design with antenna spacing that typically makes use of the time difference of arrival (TDoA) of signals between two antennas to estimate DoA in LoS communication [21], [22]. However, since we reduce the antenna spacing to less than a centimeter, measuring TDoA requires several Tera-samples per second which is not accessible. On the other hand, instead of measuring TDoA, by carefully adding delay lines in the design we try to shape the received spectrum in such a way that enables us to estimate multiple concurrent DoAs leveraging the spectrum of the spectrum of the received signal. Moreover, we devise a novel antenna placement at the receiver and the TX to estimate AoD and DoA using only a single measurement. To the best of our authors knowledge, this is the first time that such an antenna spacing design is introduced for AoD and DoA estimation in a single-shot for THz band applicable in the presence of strong multi-path. In spectrum shaping, we incorporate the same broadband signal source at the TX and TDS-THz at RX as the THz rainbow. The main characteristics of our novel design can be encapsulated as

- capability of measuring DoA and AoD in a wide range ([0°, 180°]),
- capability of measuring multiple incoming signals with multiple DoAs in a single shot,
- performing similar to a large array while benefiting from a much simpler architecture,
- utilizing the whole available spectrum for DoA estimation,
- no requirement for synchronization between RX and TX,
- applicability in humid indoor and outdoor environments, and
- resiliency to attenuation due to atmospheric gases and presence of intense water vapor.

The rest of the paper is organised as follows. In Section II, we present the system model and our novel RX design. We demonstrate the capability of the proposed design in measuring multiple DoAs in a single shot. We propose a new TX design and show the capability of the system in measuring DoA and AoD in a wide angular range in Section III. We derive the Cramer Rao Lower Band (CRB) of error for DoA and AoD estimation in Section IV. In section V, we discuss the channel estimation and initial access using SSH. In Section VI, we demonstrate the performance of spectrum shaping by several simulations and show that it performs similar to a large antenna array. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section VII.

II. SYSTEM MODEL

As illustrated in Fig. 1, we consider a link where RX is equipped with an antenna pair (RX1 and RX2). The gap between the two antennas is denoted by D. The TX is excited by a broadband source generating a single pulse of broadband emission whose spectral coverage is broad enough to cover the entire relevant band (0.1 to 1 THz). After passing through a delay line with length D, the received signal at RX2 is superimposed with the signal received at RX1 and fed into a THz-TDS receiver.
A. Line of Sight (LoS) DoA Estimation

In this section, we assume there is only one LoS path between the TX and the RX. We denote the DoA of the signal to the RX by \( \theta_i \). Given the far field assumption, the signal emitted by the TX is received at the two antennas of the RX with a time shift \( \delta t_i \) given by

\[
\delta t_i = \frac{D}{c} \cos(\theta_i),
\]

where \( c \) is the speed of light. The broadband RX then measures the spectrum of the superimposition of the signals received at \( RX_1 \) and \( RX_2 \) (with a delay equal \( \delta t_i \)) using THz-TDS technique. Subsequently, the output spectrum of the broadband receiver can be expressed as

\[
E_r(f, \theta_i) = |F\{ r(t) + r(t - \frac{D}{c} - \delta t_i) \}|^2
\]

where \( r(t) \) is the transmitted signal, and \( \theta_i \) is the spectrum of the superimposition of the two received signals, \( F\{ \cdot \} \) is the Fourier transform operator, and \( r(t) \) is the received signal at the \( RX_1 \). \( F\{ \cdot \} \) is the Fourier transform of the \( r(t) \). Referring to derivation in (3), from line 1 to 2, we substitute (1) and apply Euler’s formula for cosine.\(^1\) From line 2 to 3 we apply the half-angle identity for sine.\(^2\)

We assume only one LoS path between the RX and the TX; hence, \( r(t) = a(t) * s(t) \), where \( a(t) \) models THz channel (which is typically a frequency selective channel at the THz band), \( s(t) \) is the transmitted signal, and * is the convolution operator. Considering \( s(t) \) has a flat spectrum over the relevant band, (2) can be expressed using half angle identity as\(^3\):

\[
E_r(f, \theta_i) = C|a(f)|^2 \left( 1 + \cos(2\pi f \frac{D}{c} \sin^2\left( \frac{\theta_i}{2} \right)) \right),
\]

where \( C \) is a constant and \( a(f) \) is the channel frequency response. Applying Fourier transform over \( E_r(f, \theta_i) \), defining \( a(\zeta) = F\{ a(f) \} \) we have

\[
F\{ E_r(f, \theta_i) \} = C \left( a(\zeta) + \frac{1}{2}a \left( \zeta - \frac{2D}{c} \sin^2\left( \frac{\theta_i}{2} \right) \right) \right.
\]

\[
+ \left. \frac{1}{2}a \left( \zeta + \frac{2D}{c} \sin^2\left( \frac{\theta_i}{2} \right) \right) \right)
\]

where \( a(\zeta) \) is the frequency response of the THz channel frequency response. Fig. 3 and 2 depict \( a(\zeta) \) for a dry (zero water vapor density) and a humid (water vapor density equals 10.0 m\(^2\)s\(^{-1}\)) environments, respectively. Although, channels are frequency selective, \( a(\zeta) \) shows a very strong and distinctive global peak at zero and at other frequencies is roughly zero. Since \( \sin^2\left( \frac{\theta_i}{2} \right) \) is positive, \( \sin^2\left( \frac{\theta_i}{2} \right) \) can be simply estimated via finding the only positive element of the spectrum of \( E_r(f, \theta_i) \). Then if \( \theta_i \in [0, \pi] \), \( \theta_i \) can be uniquely estimated. Moreover, since we

\[
1 + \cos(x) = e^{ix} + e^{-ix},
\]

\[
1 - \cos(x) = 2\sin^2\left( \frac{x}{2} \right),
\]

\[
1 + \cos(x) = 2\cos^2\left( x \right).
\]

In this case, \( E_r(f, \theta_i) = E_r(f, -\theta_i) = E_r(f, \pi - \theta_i) \). Therefore, DoA is uniquely observable only if \( \theta_i \in [0, \pi] \). Adding the delay line, we double the DoA observability range to \([0, \pi]\). Regarding (2), we observe that the proposed design ends up in multiplication of \( |\cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} \sin^2\left( \frac{\theta_i}{2} \right))|^2 \) to the received spectrum, which provide us with enough information to estimate DoA in the whole range from \( 0^\circ \) to \( 180^\circ \). Hence, we call the multiplied term spectrum shaper and the proposed technique spectrum shaping.

B. Multiple Non-LoS (NLoS) DoA Estimation

In this section, we assume that there are multiple paths between the TX and RX (or similarly there are multiple TXs in the environment). We intend to detect powers and angles of all incoming paths to the RX. Suppose there are \( m \) paths between the TX and the RX and \( a_k(t), \theta_k, T_k; k \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) denote the THz channel through path \( k \), DoA of path \( k \), and the
time of flight (ToF) of the signal through path \( k \), respectively (Fig. 1). The received signal at the RX antenna pair can be expressed as

\[
 r_1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k(t) \ast s(t - T_k) \\
 r_2(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k(t) \ast s(t - T_k + \frac{D}{c} \cos(\theta_k)),
\]

where \( r_1(t) \) and \( r_2(t) \) are the received signals at RX1 and RX2, respectively. Passing \( r_2(t) \) through the delay line in Fig. 1, the spectrum of the superimposition of the two signal turns out to be (8), as shown on bottom of the page, where \( T'_k = T_k + \frac{D}{c} \sin^2(\frac{\theta_k}{2}), k \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \). To express (8), we substitute (1) and apply Euler’s formula for cosine from line 1 to 2. Then, from line 2 to 3 we apply the half angle identity for sine. Given \( s(t) \) has a flat frequency response over the relevant band, \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) \) is proportional to

\[
 E_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) \propto \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k^2(f) \frac{\sin^2(\frac{\theta_k}{2})}{2} \cos \left( 2\pi f \frac{2D}{c} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_k}{2} \right) \right) \right) \times \cos \left( \pi f \frac{2D}{c} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_k}{2} \right) \right) \cos \left( 2\pi f(T'_k - T'_p) \right).
\]

Considering (9), \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) \) is a summation of multiple cosine functions modulated with different frequencies, \( 4 \) hence, the spectrum of \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) \) exhibits multiple spikes corresponding to each cosine function.

Lemma 1: Assuming \( |T'_k - T'_p| \gg \frac{2D}{c}, p \neq k; p, k \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \), the third term in (9) can be filtered out

\( ^4 \) The third term in (9) consists of multiplications of three cosine terms. From basic trigonometry we know that any arbitrary multiples of cosines equals sum of cosines.

by applying a low-pass filter with cut-off frequency \( \frac{2D}{c} \) on \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) \).

**Proof:** The frequency of all the elements in the first and the second terms of (9) is less than \( \frac{2D}{c} \), while all the elements in the third term are modulated by \( \cos(2\pi f(T'_k - T'_p)) \) harmonics. Thus, if the condition in Lemma 1 holds, the third term can be removed using a low-pass filter with cut-off frequency \( \frac{2D}{c} \).

The condition in Lemma 1 expresses that the third term of (9) is removable by filtering if the difference between ToF of all paths to the RX are far larger than \( \frac{2D}{c} \); or equivalently the difference between paths’ length of all paths to the RX are far larger than \( 2D \). In Section VI, we will discuss that \( D \) is typically in the sub centimeter range (i.e. 1 mm to 1 cm). Thus the condition of Lemma 1 will hold in most practical use cases. After passing \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) \) through a low-pass filter with cut-off frequency \( \frac{2D}{c} \), referring to (3), the output \( \tilde{E}_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) \) can be expressed as

\[
\tilde{E}_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) = C \left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k^2(f) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k^2(f) \cos \left( 2\pi f \frac{2D}{c} \sin^2 \left( \frac{\theta_k}{2} \right) \right) \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} E^{(k)}_r(f, \theta_k).
\]

where \( E^{(k)}_r(f, \theta_k) \) is the spectrum of the received signal if only one LoS path arrived at the antenna pair from path \( k \). According to (10) the output spectrum of THz-TDS after lowpass filtering will result in a linear weighted summation of \( E^{(k)}_r(f, \theta_k) \)’s. In other words, the proposed design is a linear system facing multiple incoming signals.

Since \( \tilde{E}_r(f, \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m) \) composes of linear summation of harmonic signals, by using harmonic decomposition techniques determine the angles and the powers of the incoming paths. In this work, we apply the Fourier transform for harmonic decomposition.
transmitted pulses at $E$ between the transmission of the two pulses equals to $TX$ the emitted pulse from $RX$ estimation in a single shot without any reliance of $RX$ rotation. Here, we introduce a scheme that can be used for AoD of a signal from the TX. THz rainbow takes advantage of

$$
\left(5\right)
$$

Using (2),

Assuming there is only LoS path between the TX and the RX, we propose using the same antenna placement of the RX at the TX. There is a delay line between the source and $TX_2$ with length $3D$. Thus, $TX_2$ propagates the pulse generated by the source with a delay equal to $3D$ with respect to the $TX_1$ emission. The two pulses will be received at the $RX_1$ and $RX_2$ with a delay due to the AoD and the delay between the transmission of the two pulses equals to

$$
\delta_d = -\frac{D}{c} \cos(\theta_d) + \frac{3D}{c},
$$

where $\delta_d$ denotes the difference time of arrival of the two transmitted pulses at $RX_1$, and $\theta_d$ denotes AoD. Denoting the emitted pulse from $TX_1$ by $s(t)$, the received signal at $RX_1$ turns out to be

$$
r(t) = a(t) * \left( s(t) + s(t - \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))) \right)
$$

$$
= R(f) = F \{ \mathcal{F} \{ r(t) \} \} = a(f) S(f) \left( 1 + e^{-j \pi f \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))} \right)
$$

$$
= 2 a(\frac{f}{c}) S(f) e^{-j \pi f \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))} \cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))).
$$

Using (2), $E_r(f, \theta_i, \theta_d)$ can be expressed as

$$
E_r(f, \theta_i, \theta_d) = |2a(f)S(f)|^2 \left( 1 + \cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} (1 - \cos(\theta_i))) \right)
$$

$$
\times \cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d)))
$$

$$
= |2a(f)S(f)|^2 \left( 1 + \cos(2\pi f \frac{D}{c} (1 - \cos(\theta_i))) \right)
$$

$$
\times \left( 1 + 2 \cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))) \right)
$$

$$
\times \left( \frac{D}{c} (4 - \cos(\theta_i)) + \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d)) \right).
$$

Based on (13), $E_r(f, \theta_i, \theta_d)$ is a summation of four harmonics with frequencies equal to $\frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_i))$, $\frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))$, $\frac{D}{c} (4 - \cos(\theta_i) - \cos(\theta_d))$, and $\frac{D}{c} (2 - \cos(\theta_d) + \cos(\theta_i))$.

**Lemma 2:** For any DoA $\theta_i$ and AoD $\theta_d$, we have

$$
\frac{D}{c} (4 - \cos(\theta_i) - \cos(\theta_d))
$$

$$
\geq \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d)),
$$

$$
\frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))
$$

$$
\geq \frac{D}{c} (1 - \cos(\theta_i)),
$$

$$
\frac{D}{c} (2 - \cos(\theta_d) + \cos(\theta_i)) \geq 0.
$$

**Proof:** Assume $\alpha = \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))$ and $\beta = \frac{D}{c} (1 - \cos(\theta_i))$, $\forall \theta_i, \forall \theta_d$. $\frac{4D}{c} \geq \alpha \geq \frac{2D}{c}$ and $\frac{2D}{c} \geq \beta \geq 0$. Thus we have

$$
\alpha \geq \beta \geq 0.
$$

Therefore

$$
\alpha + \beta \geq \alpha \geq \beta, \alpha - \beta \geq 0.
$$

Hence, Lemma 2 holds. $\square$

Applying Fourier transform on $E_r(f, \theta_i, \theta_d)$ similar to (5), the four frequencies of the four harmonics of the $E_r(f, \theta_i, \theta_d)$ are measurable. Referring to Lemma 2, the two largest frequencies of $E_r(f, \theta_i, \theta_d)$ are $\frac{D}{c} (4 - \cos(\theta_i) - \cos(\theta_d))$ and $\frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))$, respectively. Using $\frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d))$, $\theta_d$ can be uniquely estimated if $\theta_d \in [0, \pi]$. Next, using $\frac{D}{c} (4 - \cos(\theta_i) - \cos(\theta_d))$, $\theta_i$ can be uniquely estimated if $\theta_i \in [0, \pi]$. From the derivation, we can conclude that 3D delay is the minimum delay possible for which the signal can be decomposed into harmonics that can be used to uniquely solve for $\theta_d$ and $\theta_i$ in range $[0, \pi]$. Referring to [19], using Thz rainbow technique $\theta_d$ is measurable in a very limited range ($\theta_i \in 40^0$, $\theta_i + 20^0$). However, leveraging the SSH introduced in this section $\theta_d$ is measurable in the whole range of $0^0$ to $180^0$. Referring to (13), here we applied SSH both at the RX (the $\frac{\cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} (1 - \cos(\theta_i)))}{\cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d)))}$ term) and the TX (the $\frac{\cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} (1 - \cos(\theta_i)))}{\cos(\pi f \frac{D}{c} (3 - \cos(\theta_d)))}$ term) to have enough information to estimate both AoD and DoA. In this work, we have mainly made use of two antennas and one delay line to from spectrum shapers. Nonetheless, future work can go further by introducing more complex antenna and delay line schemes for more advanced applications and superior performances.

**IV. Cramer Rao Lower Bound of Error**

In this section, we analyze the effect of noise on the performance of the system. Specifically, we derive CRB of error for DoA estimation for LoS scenario (Section II-A) and
CRB for AoD and DoA estimation (Section III). The CRLB method finds the lower bound on the variance of any unbiased estimator and provides a benchmark for examining the performance of novel estimation algorithms and also highlights the impossibilities of finding an unbiased estimator with variance less than this lower bound [23].

A. CRB of DoA Estimation

Referring to Section II-A, we assume received signals at $RX_1$ and $RX_2$ are added by a white Gaussian noise with noise power $\frac{N_0}{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
    r_1(t) &= a(t) * s(t) + n_1(t) \\
    r_2(t) &= a(t) * s(t - \delta t_i) + n_2(t)
\end{align*}
$$

(15)

where $n_1(t)$ and $n_2(t)$ are independent white Gaussian noises with power $\frac{N_0}{2}$.

The received signals at the THz-TDS receiver equals to

$$
\begin{align*}
    r_1(t) + r_2(t - \frac{D}{c}) \\
    &= a(t) * (s(t) + s(t - \frac{D}{c} - \delta t_i)) + n_1(t) + n_2(t)
\end{align*}
$$

(16)

We define $n(t) = n_1(t) + n_2(t)$ which is a white Gaussian noise with power $N_0$. The observation (denoted by $z(f)$) is the spectrum of the received signal equal to

$$
    z(f) = |a(f)s(f)| \left(1 + e^{j2\pi f \frac{D}{c}(1 - \cos(\theta_i))}\right) + n(f).
$$

(17)

$n(f)$ is a white Gaussian noise process with power $N_0$. Let us define $x = (\theta_i, a(f))$ to denote the vector of unknowns to be estimated which cannot be measured directly. Referring to [24], the probability density function of $z(f)$ is a Rice distribution. Thus the likelihood function of $x$, $\mathcal{L}(x)$, turns out to be

$$
    \mathcal{L}(x) = p(z(f); x) = \frac{z(f)}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{z(f)}{\sqrt{\pi}} \right)^2} I_0 \left( \frac{2z(f)|a(f)s(f)| \cos(\pi \frac{2D}{c} \sin^2(\frac{\theta_i}{2}))}{N_0} \right),
$$

(18)

where $I_0(\cdot)$ is the modified Bessel function of the first kind with order zero, and $p(z(f); x)$ is the probability distribution function of $z(f)$ parametrized by $x$. The covariance matrix of any unbiased estimator $\hat{x}$ is bounded by [25]

$$
    E\left( (\hat{x} - x)(\hat{x} - x)^H \right) \geq J^{-1},
$$

(19)

where

$$
    J(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{M_f} E\left( \left( \frac{\partial \ln p(z(f_k); x)}{\partial x} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \ln p(z(f_k); x)}{\partial x} \right)^T \right).
$$

(20)

Here $M_f$ denotes the number of frequency samples of $z(f)$ in the relevant band, and $f_1, \ldots, f_{M_f}$ are the frequencies at which $z(f)$ is sampled by the THz-TDS technique. The analytical closed-form derivation of $J$ and consequently CRB for $\theta_i$ estimation is not feasible because of the Bessel function. In Section VI we will resort to a numerical approximation of CRB for the sake of noise analysis of SSH and comparison with the state-of-the-art.

B. CRB of AoD Estimation

Based on the discussion in Section III, referring to (12), the measurement at the RX would be in the form of

$$
    z(f) = |a(f)s(f)| \left(1 + e^{j2\pi f \frac{D}{c}(1 - \cos(\theta_i))}\right) x \left(1 + e^{j2\pi f \frac{D}{c}(3 - \cos(\theta_d))}\right) + n(f).
$$

(21)

Defining $x = (\theta_i, \theta_d, a(f))$ as the vector of unknown parameters, and given $n(f)$ is white Gaussian noise, the likelihood function turns out to be equal to (22), shown at the bottom of the next page.

where $\times$ is multiplication. Then using (20), $J$ can be calculated and CRB for $\theta_i$ and $\theta_d$ can be derived using numerical techniques.

V. CHANNEL ESTIMATION AND INITIAL ACCESS USING SPECTRUM SHAPING

As discussed in Sections II and III in detail, the SSH is capable of finding the DoA, AoD, gain of the main propagation paths and at the THz band the relative distance between the main propagation paths. The SSH obtains these parameters using a broadband pulse that is transmitted by the TX. Now assume the information obtained using SSH is used to provide initial access for a MIMO-OFDM system at THz band. For the ease of exposition, let us consider a THz MIMO-OFDM wireless system in which both the TX and the RX are equipped with a uniform linear array (ULA) antenna with $N_T$ and $N_U$ elements and analogue beamforming, respectively; and they use OFDM signaling with $N_c$ subcarriers and $B_c$ subcarrier spacing.

The received signal at the UE antenna array at the $l^{th}$ subcarrier in the frequency domain can be written as

$$
    y[l] = qh[l]u_s[l] + n[l],
$$

(23)

where $q \in \mathbb{C}^{1 \times N_U}$ is the combining vector at the RX and the $w \in \mathbb{C}^{N_T \times 1}$ is the precoder vector at the TX, $y[l] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_U \times 1}$ denotes the received signal, $h[l] \in \mathbb{C}^{N_T \times N_U}$ denotes the channel matrix, $s[l] \in \mathbb{C}$ denotes the transmitted signal at the TX, and $n[l] \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$ denotes the receiver noise. To initialize the link, the TX and the RX need to estimate $h[l]$ to be able to find optimal precoder and combiner vectors. Due to the lack of digital beamforming at the THz band, $h[l]$ is not directly measurable and thus the TX and the RX have to resort to exhaustive beam search for the sake of finding the optimal precoder and combiner.

To model $h[l]$, we assume there are $C$ distinguishable paths between the TX and the RX. Each path can be characterized by a delay $\tau^(m), m \in \{1, \ldots, C\}$, an AoD from the TX characterized by $\theta_d^(m)$, an AoD to RX characterized by $\theta_i^(m)$ and a...
To find the optimal precoder and combiner we only need to estimate the array response vector of the TX and the RX, respectively, $\bar{h}_f$ is the carrier frequency of subcarrier $f$. Using SSH, $\alpha(m), \theta_i(m), \theta_d(m), m \in 1, \ldots, C$ are estimated. Moreover, $\tau^o(m) - \tau_L, m \in 1, \ldots, C, \tau_L$ denotes the delay of LoS paths that are observable. Given, SSH, we can estimate $\bar{h}[l]$ as

$$\bar{h}[l] = \sum_{k=1}^{C} \alpha(m) e^{j\theta_i(m)} \otimes e^{j\theta_d(m)} e^{-j2\pi f_l(\tau^o(m) - \tau_L)}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{C} e^{j\theta_i(m)} \otimes e^{j\theta_d(m)} e^{-j2\pi f_l \tau^o(m)} e^{j2\pi f_l \tau_L}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{h}[l] = |q\bar{h}[l]| e^{j2\pi f_l \tau_L}.$$  

Thus using SSH, we can recover $\bar{h}[l]$ up to a phase shift. To find the optimal precoder and combiner we only need to maximize the received SNR at the RX as

$$\arg\max_{w,q} |q\bar{h}[l]|^2 = \arg\max_{w,q} |q\bar{h}[l]|^2.$$ 

Thus the knowledge of $\bar{h}[l]$ is sufficient to find the optimal precoder and combiner.

VI. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Noise Analysis

In this section, the performance of our proposed link discovery technique is evaluated in the presence of noise. Further, the performance of the proposed technique is compared with the performance of the state-of-the-art lens array and ULA using digital beamforming. The performance of ULA using digital beamforming has been extensively studied in the literature [28], [29]. Implementing digital beamforming for ULA is exorbitant and massively complex especially in mmwave bands [6]. On the other hand, Lens array (LA) is a more recent technique utilizes a lens as a passive phase shifter to pursue beamforming without the heavy network of phase shifters required in ULA arrays [30]. Throughout this section, signal to noise ratio (SNR) is referred to the average power of signal to noise variance ($\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma_n^2}$) at each antenna of the array (whether ULA, LA, or SSH).

In the first simulation, we compare CRB of DoA estimation for a symmetric ULA with half wavelength antenna spacing equipped with $N$ elements [31], an arc LA with aperture and focal length equals to $L$ equipped with $M$ elements [32]. Furthermore, we set $L = M \frac{\lambda}{2}$, where $\lambda$ is the wavelength of the operating frequency of the LA. To emulate SSH, we assume the spectral resolution of the THz-TDS system is 1.5 GHz, and the pulse relevant band is [100GHz, 1THz]. Thus the number of spectrum samples are 600. We set $D = 5$ mm. For the channel frequency response $\alpha(f)$ we assume the range is 100 m and the air is dry$^6$ (unless otherwise mentioned). As Fig. 6 (a) illustrates CRB of SSH is close to a ULA with 111 elements and LA with 201 elements when SNR is 20 dB, which is a spectacular performance considering much simpler hardware architecture of SSH compared to the two other counterparts. Similarly, for SNR of 10 dB, 0 dB, and $-10$ dB, the number of ULA and LA elements was selected such that the performance is closest to SSH. Furthermore, the curves for ULA, LA and SSH are symmetrical in Fig. 6. Regarding Fig. 6 (a) to (d), when SNR decreases the performance of SSH deteriorates sharper than ULA and LA in the CRB sense. Specifically, looking at Fig. 6 (d), when $SNR = -10$ dB, the CRB of SSH is in proximity of a ULA with 7 elements and a LA with 15 elements. This performance declines due to the fact that large arrays can integrate the received signal energy over the whole antenna elements, while SSH only utilizes 2 antennas, thus does not benefit from the same advantage. Speaking of hardware complexity, even in low SNR levels, SSH still has superior performance compared to ULA and LA. As shown, SSH shows identical performance to a far larger ULA and LA.

In the next simulation we investigate the effect of harsh frequency selective channel on the performance of the CRB of the SSH technique. The THz channel can be extremely frequency selective because of the attenuation of atmospheric gases specially water vapor and this effect exacerbates as range increases [33]. Here we assume that the weather is exceedingly humid and water vapor density equals $10^3 \frac{g}{m^3}$. In the simulation in Fig. 6, we showed that the performance of SSH degrades under lower SNR. Therefore, to simulate a robust condition, we set the SNR to be lower at $SNR = 5$ dB and we set $D = 5$ mm. Fig. 7 shows CRB of SSH for ranges equal to 10 m, 100 m, and 1000 m. As expected, as range increases the SSH performance deteriorates, since a large range of frequencies in the relevant bandwidth experiences severe attenuation. Nevertheless, SSH still can achieve DoA estimation accuracy of better than 2° for $DoA \in [30^\circ, 145^\circ]$ for range equal to 1 km and in the presence of intense water vapor, which

\[ p(z(f); x) = \frac{z(f)}{2\pi} e^{-\frac{(x(f))^2 + 16a(f)^2D^2(\Theta(f)\sin^2(\frac{\Theta}{2}))}{\pi c \cos^2(\frac{\Theta}{2}) \cos^2(\frac{\pi f D^2}{c}(2 + \sin^2(\frac{\Theta}{2})))}} \times I_0 \left( \frac{4z(f)\alpha(f)s(f) \cos(\pi f D^2 \sin^2(\frac{\Theta}{2})) \cos(\pi f D^2 (2 + \sin^2(\frac{\Theta}{2})))}{\pi a} \right), \]
CRB of DoA estimation is compared to ULA, Lens array and spectrum shaping techniques. Here $\lambda$ denotes wavelength.

Fig. 7. CRB of spectrum shaping in the presence of intensive water vapor in the air for different ranges. Here $D = 5$ mm, SNR = 5 dB.

Quite acceptable for majority of directional communication applications.

In the next simulation we study the effect of $D$, the antenna gap, on CRB of the SSH technique. In this simulation we assume SNR = 5 dB. We simulate CRB for $D$ equals to 1 mm, 5 mm, and 10 mm. As Fig. 8 shows, SSH performs more accurately as $D$ increases. Referring to (5), $D$ directly multiplies to $f \cos(\theta_i)$, thus increasing $D$ is equivalent to increasing the relevant band. Therefore, $D$ is the main parameter that can improve SSH performance.

The next simulation studies the CRB of AoD and DoA estimation of Section III. Here we assume SNR = 5 dB, and $D = 5$ mm. Fig. 9 demonstrates that AoD and DoA have
almost identical CRBs. Further, AoD has negligible effect on CRB of DoA (which means different values of AoD results in the same DoA CRB) and vice versa. The root mean squared error (RMSE) of least square error (LSE) estimator for ULA, LA and SSH simulation is compared next. Assume that the array response in a noisy environment is denoted by \( A(\theta) \) and the expected response in an ideal noise-free environment is denoted by \( A_E(\theta) \). Then, for SSH, \( A_E(\theta) \) equals \( E_r(f, \theta_i) \), the LSE estimator can be defined as

\[
\hat{\theta} = \min_{0^\circ \leq \theta \leq 180^\circ} |A(\theta) - A_E(\theta)|^2,
\]

where \( \theta \) is DoA and \( \hat{\theta} \) is the estimated DoA.

Thus, the MSE can be defined as the average of squared error \((|\hat{\theta} - \theta_0|^2)\), where \( \theta_0 \) is the actual DoA. In this simulation we compare the performance of a ULA with \( N = 60 \), an arc LA with \( M = 80 \) and SSH with \( D = 5 \) mm for DoA = 60°. Referring to the results in Fig. 9, the best CRB performance is achieved when AoD is 90° and the performance degrades as the function moves to the outer edges with lowest performance at 0° and 180°. Therefore, we elected to use 60° as a middle ground between the best and worst performance. To calculate MSE we repeat the experiment for 1000 times and average over all experiments’ results. As Fig. 10 illustrates ULA shows lower root MSE (RMSE) for negative SNR over all experiments’ results. As Fig. 10 illustrates, SSH performs significantly better in the presence of intense water vapor. In the next experiment, we examine the performance of SSH in simultaneous AoD and DoA estimation. We set \( D = 5 \) mm, and SNR = 5 dB. As Fig. 12 illustrates and as expected, the RMSE of both AoD and DoA are mostly identical and improve remarkably as SNR increases. This identical response is due to the almost identical configuration at the TX and the RX and is in agreement with the result of CRB analysis.

B. Channel State Information Estimation Using Spectrum Shaping

Here we consider an indoor scenario where there are two paths between the TX and the RX, one LoS and one NLoS. We set the DoA of the LoS path 60° and the DoA of NLoS path 100°. We assume the NLoS path is 0.5 m longer than the LoS path and the power of NLoS path is 6 dB less than that of the LoS path. We suppose the TX is transmitting a quasi sinc pulse with flat bandwidth between [0.1THz, 1THz]. We set \( D = 5 \) mm. To find the DoAs of incoming signals, we simply apply the matched filter of the expected harmonic (derived in (3)) on the output of THz-TDS \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) \) to form \( E(\theta) \) given by

\[
E(\theta) = \int_{0.1THz}^{1THz} \cos(2\pi f \frac{2D}{c} \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2})) E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) df.
\]

(26)

Applying the matched filter on \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) \), it only passes \( \frac{2D}{c} \sin^2(\frac{\theta}{2}) \) Fourier components and filters out the third term in (8). Measuring \( E(\theta) \) for the whole range of possible DoAs \((10^\circ, 180^\circ)\), we can estimate DoAs and their powers by finding local maximum of \( E(\theta) \).

As Fig. 13 illustrates, \( E(\theta) \) shows two distinct peaks at 60° and 100° with 6 dB difference between their amplitudes. Thus, \( E(\theta) \) is sufficient to estimate the DoAs and powers of the incoming paths. Using the DoA and power results we can directly select the optimal beam based on the strongest power, rather than performing and exhaustive over all possible angles. From Fig. 13, it is clear that the optimal beam is at 60°, and the second best option is at 100°.

Next, to find the relative ToF and relative distance between the two paths we can employ the spectrum of \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) \). As Fig. 14 depicts \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) \) and the absolute value of the Fourier transform of it. Referring to (8), the third term of \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) \) shows a harmonic with \( T_1' - T_2' \) frequency. Considering \( \frac{2D}{c} \ll (T_1 - T_2) \), we have \( T_1' - T_2' \approx T_1 - T_2 \). Applying Fourier transform over \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) \) and adjusting the frequency by multiplying it by \( c \), we can observe a peak at \( c(T_1 - T_2) \) which equals to the relative distance between the two paths.

As Fig. 14 illustrates, a distinct peak at 0.5 m is observable at the spectrum of \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) \), which is equal to the relative distance between the two paths. Thus using the proposed technique, we could estimate DoAs, powers, and relative distance between the two paths. By obtaining this information, and exploiting the Cost 2100 MIMO channel model [34], we can estimate the channel state information (CSI) between the RX and the TX. Even in sub-6 GHz regime, estimating CSI in a single shot has not been possible and requires exchanging multiple pilot signals between the two side of the link [35]. Therefore, not only can SSH be used to enable DoA estimation and beam selection for THz massive MIMO systems, but it can also assist the system by providing CSI information in a single shot.

In the next simulation we consider 2 NLoS paths, both 6 dB less than the LoS with the NLoS angles at 40° and 100° and paths longer than the LoS path by 0.5 m and 0.8 m, respectively. Fig. 15 shows three distinct peaks at 40°, 60°, and 100° where the amplitude of the peak at 60° is stronger by 6 dB than the other two peaks.

Furthermore, Fig. 16 illustrates three distinct peaks at 0.3 m, 0.5 m and 0.8 m observable at the spectrum of \( E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2) \). Referring to \( T_1' - T_2' \) in (8), the peaks represent the relative difference between two paths, the peaks at 0.5 m and 0.8 m represent the relative distance from the LoS path to the two NLoS paths, while 0.3 m represents the difference between the two NLoS paths. To determine which two of the three peaks is genuine, an algorithm needs to be applied to exclude
Fig. 9. CRB of AoD and DoA estimation considering antenna configuration of Fig. 5. Here $D = 5$ mm and SNR = 5 dB.

Fig. 10. RMSE of ULA, LA and spectrum shaping versus SNR. Spectrum shaping performance surpasses large arrays in positive SNR, while spectrum shaping attains a much simpler hardware structure in comparison to arrays.

Fig. 11. RMSE of DoA estimation in the presence of intense water vapor ($10^{g m^{-3}}$) versus range assuming SNR stays intact (5 dB). DoA equals 60°.

Fig. 12. RMSE of DoA and AoD estimation using MMSE estimator. DoA equals 60° and SNR = 5 dB.

Fig. 13. The output of applying the matched filter of expected received harmonic response on $E_r(f, \theta_1, \theta_2)$. The 60° and 100° show the DoAs of two incoming signals to the antenna pair, from a LoS path and a NLoS path, respectively.

should be excluded. This approach may become problematic if the number of multipaths increases. Fortunately, in the THz domain, we experience very few multipaths as the THz domain is due to high path and reflection losses at THz frequencies [7], hence resolving 2-3 multipaths is manageable.

C. DoA Resolution

To calculate the angular resolution suppose two different paths with two different DoAs $\theta_1, \theta'_1$ arrive at RX and we can completely discriminate between $\theta_1$ and $\theta'_1$ using matched
best beam pair at TX and RX for the sake of maximizing the dominant propagation paths) is sufficient to find the user. Referring to [9], finding CSI (or the knowledge shown SSH is capable of providing CSI between a BS and two from a NLOS path.

filter in (2), then we have

\[
\int_{f-B}^{f+B} e^{2\pi f D \frac{1 - \cos(\theta_1)}{c}} e^{2\pi f D \frac{1 - \cos(\theta')}{c}} df = 0
\]

\[
BD \left| 2 - \left( \cos(\theta_1) + \cos(\theta'_1) \right) \right| = k,
\]

\[k \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow BD \left| 2 - (1 - \theta_1^2/2 + 1 - \theta'_1^2/2) \right| \approx k
\]

\[(\theta_1^2 + \theta'_1^2) \approx \frac{ck}{BD}
\]

\[(\theta_1 - \theta'_1) \approx \sqrt{\frac{ck}{BD}} - 2\theta_1 \theta'_1.
\]  

(27)

where \(B = N_c B_c\) is the total bandwidth of the system. DoA estimation resolution is determined merely by \(BD\), which means as the gap between two antennas or the signal bandwidth increases the DoA resolution will increase.

D. Discussion

As analytical developments and simulation results have shown SSH is capable of providing CSI between a BS and the user. Referring to [9], finding CSI (or the knowledge of the dominant propagation paths) is sufficient to find the best beam pair at TX and RX for the sake of maximizing communication rate. Thus, SSH can potentially eliminate the need for exhaustive beam search for finding the optimal beam pair. Accordingly, SSH utterly quashes the burden of beam selection on the wireless communication and improves the system throughput radically. Further, SSH takes advantage of a very simple structure that is accessible via current fabrication technology, while fully digital or hybrid ULA and LA counterparts require complex and costly structures to conduct the same task. All in all, single-shot techniques and specifically SSH can revolutionize beam management at THz band and help us realize the full potential of ultra-fast communications feasible using THz wireless systems.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have introduced a novel direction of arrival (DoA) estimation technique for THz wireless systems. We have shown that the proposed technique is capable of measuring line of sight (LoS) and multiple non-LoS DoAs simultaneously in a single-shot measurement. We have introduced a modification to the design that provides it with the capability of measuring the angle of departure (AoD) of the signal from the TX. We have shown by simulation that the proposed technique performs similar to a large ULA and LA in terms of angular resolution in the presence of noise. Further, we have demonstrated that we can increase the angular resolution of the technique by widening the gap between its two antennas without any additional hardware adjustment.
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