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In this article, we discuss the existence and uniqueness of positive solution for a class of singular fractional differential equations, where the nonlinear term contains fractional derivative and an operator. By applying the fixed point theorem in cone, we get the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the fractional differential equation. Moreover, we give an example to demonstrate our main result.

1. Introduction

In this article, we consider the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the following singular fractional differential equations:

\[
\begin{aligned}
D_0^\alpha u(t) + p(t)f\left(t, u(t), D_0^\beta u(t)\right) + q(t)g(t, u(t), (Hu)(t)) = 0, & \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
\left. u\right|_{t=0} = u(0) = \cdots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \\
\left. D_0^\alpha u(t)\right|_{t=1} = k(u(1)),
\end{aligned}
\]

(1)

where \( n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, n > 3, 1 \leq \beta \leq n - 2, p, q \in C([0, 1], [0, \infty]), p(t) \) and \( q(t) \) are allowed to be singular at \( t = 0 \) or \( t = 1, \ ) f : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty) \) is continuous and \( f(t, u, v) \) may be singular at \( t = 0, 1 \) and \( u = v = 0, \ ) g : (0, 1) \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty) \) is continuous and \( g(t, u, v) \) may be singular at \( t = 0, 1, \ ) and \( k : [0, \infty) \longrightarrow [0, \infty) \) is continuous function.

In recent years, we can see that differential equations have more and more attention in many fields, like electrical networks, quantum physics, and probability. There are a lot of interesting results from fractional differential equation, Schrodinger equation and k-hessian equations. In [1–4], the authors studied the solutions of fractional differential equations, and obtained some interesting results. Such as uniqueness of iterative positive solution, existence of multiple positive solutions or maximum and minimum solutions. In [5–9], the authors studied the solutions of Schrödinger equation. From these paper, there have several results about existence of infinitely solutions, existence and nonexistence of blow-up solutions, existence and asymptotic properties of solutions or existence and nonexistence of entire large solutions. In [10–12], the authors considered existence of blow-up radial solutions of the k-hessian equations or convergence analysis and uniqueness of blow-up solutions of the k-hessian equations. Inspired by these conclusions, we realize that the questions about differential equations are very interesting and varied. So we focus on fractional differential equation. The authors in [13] studied the following fractional differential equation:

\[
\begin{aligned}
D_0^\alpha x(t) + f(t, x(t), x(t)) + g(t, x(t)) = 0, & \quad 0 < t < 1, \\
x^{(i)}(0) = 0, & \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 2, \\
\left. D_0^\beta x(t)\right|_{t=1} = 0, & \quad 2 \leq \beta \leq n - 2,
\end{aligned}
\]

(2)
where \( n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, n \geq 2, D^\alpha_0 \) is the Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, and \( f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is a continuous function.

In [14], by using cone expansion fixed point theorem, Li et al. studied the existence of positive solutions for the following fractional differential equation with Riemann-Stieltjes integral:

\[
\begin{align*}
D^\alpha_0 x(t) + p(t)f(t, x(t)) + q(t)g(t, x(t)) &= 0, \\
x(0) &= x'(0) = \cdots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \\
x(1) &= \int_0^1 k(s)x(s)dA(s),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, n \geq 2, p, q : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) are continuous, \( p, q \in L^1(0, 1), \) and allowed to be singular at \( t = 0 \) or \( t = 1, f, g : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) are continuous and \( f(t, x), g(t, x) \) may be singular at \( x = 0, k : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is continuous with \( k \in L^1(0, 1), \) and \( \int_0^1 k(s)x(s)dA(s) \) is the Riemann-Stieltjes integral with a signed measure and \( A : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a bounded variation function, and \( D^\alpha_0 \) is the standard Riemann-Liouville derivative of order \( \alpha. \)

In [15], Liu et al. investigated the iterative positive solutions for the following singular nonlinear fractional differential equation with integral boundary conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
D^\alpha_0 x(t) + p(t)f(t, x(t), x'(t)) + q(t)g(t, x(t)) &= 0, \\
x(0) &= x'(0) = \cdots = x^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \\
x(1) &= \int_0^1 k(s)x(s)dA(s),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, n \geq 2, p, q : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) are continuous and allowed to be singular at \( t = 0 \) or \( t = 1, f : (0, 1) \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is continuous and \( f(t, x, y) \) may be singular at \( t = 0, 1 \) and \( x = 0, k : (0, 1) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) is continuous with \( k \in L^1(0, 1), \) and \( \int_0^1 k(s)x(s)dA(s) \) is the Riemann-Stieltjes integral with a signed measure and \( A : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a bounded variation function, and \( D^\alpha_0 \) is the standard Riemann-Liouville derivative of order \( \alpha. \)

In [16], Zhang and Tian considered the following fractional differential equation:

\[
\begin{align*}
D^\beta_0 x(t) + f(t, x(t), D^\alpha_0 x(t)) + g(t, x(t)) &= 0, \\
x^{(i)}(0) &= 0, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \cdots, n - 2, \\
\left[D^\beta_0 x(t)\right]_{i=1} &= k(x(1)),
\end{align*}
\]

where \( n - 1 < \nu \leq n, n > 3, 1 \leq \gamma \leq \beta \leq n - 2 \) and \( f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \ g : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty), \) and \( k : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) \) are continuous functions.

Motivated by the abovementioned papers, the purpose of this article is to study the existence and uniqueness of positive solution for FBVP (1). Obviously, the problem in our article is more general. We not only consider the nonlinear term containing the derivative term, but also study the nonlinear term containing an operator. And we do not need the linearity of operator in nonlinear term. It may be a nonlinear operator.

Comparing with the results in [17], boundary value problem (1) has a more general form. First, we discuss the singularity. It means that \( p, q \) are allowed to be singular at \( t = 0, 1, f \) may be singular at \( t = 0, 1 \) and \( x = y = 0, \) and \( g \) may be singular at \( t = 0. \) Second, we not only consider the derivative term but also consider the operator term, where the operator can be linear or nonlinear. Especially, when \( p(t) = q(t) = 1 \) and \( Hu = 0, \) we can see that the problem in [16] is a special case of problem (1). Comparing with [13], firstly, when \( k(u) = 0, \beta = 0, p(t) = q(t) = 1, \) and \( Hu(t) = u(t), \) then our problem (1) reduced compared to the problem in [13]. Third, in [13], the author did not consider the singularity, but in problem (1), we consider the singularity. Compared with [14], first, our method is different from that of [14]. We use the fixed point theorem for the sum of operators rather than the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression. Second, \( f(t, x, y) \) not only has three variables but also is singular for both time and space variables, and \( g(t, x, y) \) also has three variables. Compared with [15], we consider the derivative term and the operator term. Our results extended and improved some existing results, such as [13–16].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we give some preliminaries and lemmas that will be used in our main result. In Section 3, we study the existence and uniqueness of a positive solution of the BVP (1). In Section 4, an example is provided to demonstrate our main results.

### 2. Preliminaries and Lemmas

In this section, we present some notations, definitions and lemmas that will be used in the paper.

Let \((E, \|\|)\) be a Banach space and \(\theta\) be defined as the zero element of \(E.\) A nonempty closed convex set \(P \subset E\) is a cone if it satisfies (1) \(x \in P, \lambda \geq 0 \Rightarrow \lambda x \in P\) and (2) \(x, \lambda x \in P \Rightarrow x = \theta.\) Putting \(P^* = \{x \in P|x\text{ is an interior point of }P\}\), a cone \(P\) is said to be solid if \(P^*\) is nonempty. In this paper, suppose that \((E, \|\|)\) is a Banach space partially ordered by a cone \(P \subset E,\) that is, \(x \leq y\) if and only if \(y - x \in P.\) A cone \(P\) called normal if there exists a constant \(N > 0\) such that for all \(x, y \in \theta, x \leq y\) implies \(\|x\| \leq N\|y\|,\) and the smallest such \(N\) is called the normality constant of \(P.\) For all \(x, y \in P,\) we denote the notation \(x \sim y\) if there have constants \(\lambda, \mu \geq 0\) such that \(\lambda y \leq x \leq \mu y.\) Clearly, \(\sim\) is an equivalence relation. Given \(h > \theta,\) we denote by \(P_h\) the set \(P_h = \{x \in E|x \sim h\}.\) And it is easy to know that \(P_h \subset P.\)

**Definition 1** (see [18]). An operator \(A : P \times P \rightarrow P\) is said to be a mixed monotone operator if \(A(x, y)\) is increasing in the first component and decreasing in the second component, i.e., \(u_i, v_i (i = 1, 2) \in P, u_1 \leq u_2, v_1 \geq v_2,\) implies...
A(u_1, v_1) \leq A(u_2, v_2). An element x \in P is called a fixed point of A if A(x, x) = x.

**Definition 2** (see [19]). Let $D = P$ or $D = P^r$ and $\alpha$ be a real number with $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. An operator $A : D \rightarrow D$ is said to be a concave if it satisfies

$$A(tx) \geq t^\alpha Ax, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1), x \in D. \quad (6)$$

**Definition 3** (see [19]). An operator $B : P \rightarrow P$ is said to be subhomogeneous if it satisfies

$$B(tx) \geq tBx, \quad \forall t \in (0, 1), x \in D. \quad (7)$$

**Definition 4** (see [20]). The Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order $\alpha > 0$ of a function $y : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$I_0^\alpha y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1}y(s)ds, \quad (8)$$

provided that the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, \infty)$.

**Definition 5** (see [20]). The Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ of a continuous function $y : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$D_0^\alpha y(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \left( \frac{d}{dt} \right)^n \int_0^t \frac{y(s)}{(t-s)^{n+1}}ds, \quad (9)$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$, where $[\alpha]$ denotes the integer part of the number $\alpha$, provided that the right-hand side is pointwise defined on $(0, \infty)$.

**Lemma 6** (see [20]). Let $\alpha > 0$. If we assume $u \in C(0, 1) \cap L^1(0, 1)$, then the fractional differential equation

$$D_0^\alpha u(t) = 0 \quad (10)$$

has

$$u(t) = C_1 t^{\alpha-1} + C_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \cdots + C_N t^{\alpha-N}, \quad C_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \ldots, N, \quad (11)$$

as the unique solution, where $N = [\alpha] + 1$.

From the definition of the Riemann-Liouville derivative, we can obtain the statement.

**Lemma 7** (see [20]). Assume that $u \in C(0, 1) \cap L^1(0, 1)$ with a fractional derivative of order $\alpha > 0$ that belongs to $C(0, 1) \cap L^1(0, 1)$. Then,

$$I_0^\alpha D_0^\alpha u(t) = u(t) + C_1 t^{\alpha-1} + C_2 t^{\alpha-2} + \cdots + C_N t^{\alpha-N}, \quad (12)$$

for some $C_i \in \mathbb{R}(i = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$, where $N = [\alpha] + 1$.

In the following, we present the Green’s function of FBVP (1).

**Lemma 8** (see [16]). Let $h \in C[0, 1]$, then the unique solution of the linear problem

$$\begin{cases}
D_0^\gamma u(t) + h(t) = 0, & 0 < t < 1, n - 1 < \alpha \leq n, \\
u(0) = u'(0) = \cdots = u^{(n-2)}(0) = 0, \\
[D_0^\gamma u(t)]_{t=1} = k(u(1)), & 1 \leq \gamma \leq n - 2
\end{cases} \quad (13)$$

is given by

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)h(s)ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k(u(1))t^{\alpha-\gamma}, \quad (14)$$

where

$$G(t,s) = \begin{cases}
\frac{t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\gamma-1} - (t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\
\frac{t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1.
\end{cases} \quad (15)$$

**Lemma 9** (see [16]). The Green’s function $G(t, s)$ defined by (15) satisfies the following properties:

1. $G : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous
2. For any $t, s \in [0, 1]$, there is

$$0 \leq t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} |1 - (1-s)^\gamma| \leq \Gamma(\alpha) G(t,s) \leq t^{\alpha-1} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \quad (16)$$

3. For any $t, s \in [0, 1]$, there is

$$0 \leq t^{\alpha-\beta} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \left|1 - (1-s)^\beta\right| \leq \Gamma(\alpha - \beta) D_0^\beta G(t,s) \leq t^{\alpha-\beta} (1-s)^{\alpha-\gamma-1} \quad (17)$$

In [17], the operator equation $A(x, x) + B(x, x) = x$ was studied, where $A, B$ are mixed monotone operators, and for all $t \in (0, 1)$, $\psi(t) \in (t, 1)$, there have $A(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq \psi(t) A(x, y)$, $B(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq tB(x, y)$. Based on the result in [17], we get the following lemma:

**Lemma 10.** Let $P$ be a normal cone in $E$, and let $A, B : P \times P \rightarrow P$ be two mixed monotone operators and $C : P \rightarrow P$ is a decreasing operator, suppose that

1. (A1) for all $t \in (0, 1)$, there have $\psi(t) \in (t, 1)$ such that

$$A(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq \psi(t) A(x, y), \quad (18)$$

2. (A2) for all $t \in (0, 1)$,

$$B(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq tB(x, y), \quad (19)$$

$$A(x, y) + B(x, y) = x$$

for all $x, y \in P$.
Proof. Now we define the operator $T : P \times P \longrightarrow P$ by

$$T(x, y) = A(x, y) + B(x, y) + Cy, \quad x, y \in P. \quad (24)$$

So it is easy to know that $T$ is a mixed monotone operator. Next, we will prove that $T(h, h) \in P_h$ and $T(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq \varphi(t)T(x, y)$, where $\varphi(t) \in (t, 1]$. Then according to the results of [21], the conclusions of Lemma 10 holds.

Firstly, we will show that $T(h, h) \in P_h$ for $h > \theta$ and $h \in P_h$. From (18)–(20), for any $t \in (0, 1)$, $x, y \in P$, there have

$$A(t^{-1}x, ty) \leq (\psi(t))^{-1}A(x, y), \quad (25)$$

$$B(t^{-1}x, ty) \leq t^{-1}B(x, y), \quad (26)$$

$$C(ty) \leq t^{-1}Cy. \quad (27)$$

According to (A4), we may assume that there exist constants $\mu_i > 0, \nu_i > 0 (i = 1, 2, 3)$ such that

$$\mu_i h \leq A(h, h) \leq \nu_i h, \quad (28)$$

$$\mu_i h \leq B(h, h) \leq \nu_i h,$$

$$\mu_i h \leq C(h) \leq \nu_i h. \quad (29)$$

Choose sufficiently small number $a \in (0, 1)$ such that $ah \leq x, y \leq (1/a)h$ for any $x, y \in P_h$; then from (18)–(20) and (25)–(28), there have

$$A(x, y) \leq A \left( \frac{1}{a}h, ah \right) \leq \frac{1}{\psi(a)}A(h, h) \leq \frac{1}{\psi(a)}\nu_i h,$$

$$A(x, y) \geq A \left( ah, \frac{1}{a}h \right) \geq \psi(a)A(h, h) \geq \psi(a)\mu_i h,$$

and we know $(1/(\psi(a)))\nu_i, \psi(a)\mu_i > 0$. So $A(x, y) \in P_h$ and $A : P_h \times P_h \longrightarrow P_h$. Similarly, we can easily get that $B(x, y) \in P_h, B : P_h \times P_h \longrightarrow P_h, C(y) \in P_h$, and $C : P_h \longrightarrow P_h$. Then, we have $T(h, h) = A(h, h) + B(h, h) + Ch \in P_h$.

Second, we will prove that $T(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq \varphi(t)T(x, y)$ is satisfied for any $x, y \in P, t \in (0, 1), \varphi(t) \in (t, 1]$. For any $x, y \in P$, from (A5), we have

$$A(x, y) + \delta A(x, y) \geq \delta(B(x, y) + Cy) + \delta A(x, y). \quad (30)$$

Then $(1+\delta)A(x, y) \geq \delta(A(x, y) + B(x, y) + Cy) = \delta T(x, y)$. So

$$A(x, y) \geq \frac{T(x, y)}{1 + \delta^{-1}}. \quad (31)$$

Thus, there have

$$T(tx, t^{-1}y) - tT(x, y) = A(tx, t^{-1}y) + B(tx, t^{-1}y) + C(t^{-1}y)$$

$$- t(A(x, y) + B(x, y) + Cy)$$

$$\geq (\psi(t) - t)A(x, y) + B(tx, t^{-1}y)$$

$$- tB(x, y) + Cy - tCy$$

$$\geq (\psi(t) - t)A(x, y) \geq \frac{\psi(t) - t}{1 + \delta^{-1}}T(x, y). \quad (32)$$

So

$$T(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq \left( t + \frac{\psi(t) - t}{1 + \delta^{-1}} \right)T(x, y). \quad (33)$$

Now we note $\varphi(t) = t + ((\psi(t) - t)/(1 + \delta^{-1}))$; it is easy to know that $\varphi(t) \in (t, 1)$, where $t \in (0, 1)$. Then, $T(tx, t^{-1}y) \geq \varphi(t)T(x, y)$. Thus, by the results of Zhai and Zhang in [21], we know what the conclusions of Lemma 10 hold.
3. Main Results

For convenience, in this section, we set

\[ E = \{ x | x \in C[0,1], D^{\varphi}_{0^+}x \in C[0,1] \} \quad (34) \]

Clearly, \( E \) is a Banach space with the norm

\[ x = \max \{ \max_{s \in [0,1]} |x(t)|, \max_{s \in [0,1]} |D^{\varphi}_{0^+}x(t)| \} \quad (35) \]

Now, we let the cone \( P \) be defined by

\[ P = \{ x \in E | x(t) \geq 0, D^{\varphi}_{0^+}x(t) \geq 0, \forall t \in [0,1] \} \quad (36) \]

There have \( P \subseteq E \), and \( P \) is a normal cone. And, the order relation in \( E \) is given by \( x < y \) if \( x(t) \leq y(t), D^{\varphi}_{0^+}x(t) \leq D^{\varphi}_{0^+}y(t) \).

From Lemma 8, we get that the unique solution of the problem (1) satisfies the following equation:

\[ x(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) f \left( s, x(s), D^{\varphi}_{0^+}x(s) \right) ds + \int_0^t G(t,s) g(s) g(s, x(s), (Hx)(s)) ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k(x(1)) t^{\alpha - 1}, \quad (37) \]

where \( G(t,s) \) is the Green's function given in (15).

Theorem 11. Assume that the following assumptions hold:

(H1) \( p, q : (0,1) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) are continuous, and \( p(t), q(t) \) are allowed to be singular at \( t = 0 \) or \( t = 1 \). For all \( t \in (0,1) \), there is \( p(t) \geq q(t) \geq m \), where \( m \) is a constant

(H2) \( f : (0,1) \times (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty), g : (0,1) \times [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty), k : (0,1) \rightarrow (0, \infty) \) are continuous, \( f(t,u,v) \) may be singular at \( t = 0,1 \), and \( u = v, g(t,u,v) \), may be singular at \( t = 0,1 \)

(H3) For fixed \( t \in (0,1) \), \( v \in (0, \infty) \), \( f(t,u,v) \) is increasing in \( u \in (0, \infty) \) and decreasing in \( v \in (0, \infty) \); for fixed \( t \in (0,1) \), \( v \in (0, \infty) \), \( g(t,u,v) \) is increasing in \( u \in (0, \infty) \) and decreasing in \( v \in (0, \infty) \); for fixed \( t \in (0,1) \), \( k(v) \) is decreasing in \( v \in (0, \infty) \) with \( k(v(1)) \neq 0 \)

(H4) There exists a constant \( \beta \in (0,1) \) such that for all \( \eta, t \in (0,1) \) and \( u, v \in (0, \infty) \),

\[ f(t, \eta t^{-1}u, \eta^{-1}v) \geq \eta^{\beta} f(t, u, v), \quad g(t, \eta t^{-1}u, \eta^{-1}v) \geq \eta g(t, u, v), \quad k(\eta^{-1}v) \geq \eta k(v) \quad (38) \]

(H5) \( g(s, 1, 1) \equiv 0 \). There exists a constant \( \delta_1 > 0, \delta_2 > 0 \) such that for all \( t \in (0,1) \) and \( u, v \in (0, \infty) \), \( f(t, u, v) \geq \delta_1 f(t, u, 0), f(t, u, v) \geq \delta_2 k(v) \)

(H6) \( \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha - 1} p(s) s^{\alpha - 1} f(s, 1, 1) ds < \infty \) and \( \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha - 1} q(s) s^{\alpha - 1} g(s, 1, 1) ds < \infty \)

(H7) \( H : C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1], Hu \geq 0 \) and \( Hu \) is increasing in \( u \) in \( P \). For \( \lambda \in (0,1) \) and \( u \in P \), there is \( H(\lambda u) \geq \lambda Hu \)

Then problem (1) has a unique positive solution \( u^* \in P^+ \), where \( h(t) = t^{\alpha - 1} \). For any initial value \( u_0, v_0 \in P^+ \), there are two iterative sequences \( \{ u_n \}, \{ v_n \} \) for approximating \( u^*, v^* \), that is, \( u_n \rightarrow u^*, v_n \rightarrow v^* \), as \( n \rightarrow \infty \), where

\[ u_n(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) f \left( s, u_{n-1}(s), D^{\varphi}_{0^+}u_{n-1}(s) \right) ds + \int_0^t G(t,s) g(s) g(s, u_{n-1}(s), Hv_{n-1}(s)) ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k(u_{n-1}(1)) t^{\alpha - 1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \quad (39) \]

\[ v_n(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) f \left( s, v_{n-1}(s), D^{\varphi}_{0^+}v_{n-1}(s) \right) ds + \int_0^t G(t,s) g(s) g(s, v_{n-1}(s), Hv_{n-1}(s)) ds + \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k(v_{n-1}(1)) t^{\alpha - 1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots \]

Proof. We define operators \( A, B, C \) as follows:

\[ A(u, v)(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) p(s) f \left( s, u(s), D^{\varphi}_{0^+}v(s) \right) ds, \quad t \in (0,1), \quad (40) \]

\[ B(u, v)(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) q(s) g(s, u(s), Hv(s)) ds, \quad t \in (0,1), \quad (41) \]

\[ C(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} k(v(1)) t^{\alpha - 1}, \quad t \in (0,1). \quad (42) \]

Furthermore, for \( u, v \in P \), we obtain that

\[ D^{\varphi}_{0^+}A(u, v)(t) = \int_0^t D^{\varphi}_{0^+}G(t,s) p(s)f \left( s, u(s), D^{\varphi}_{0^+}v(s) \right) ds, \quad \forall s \in (0,1), \quad (43) \]

\[ D^{\varphi}_{0^+}B(u, v)(t) = \int_0^t D^{\varphi}_{0^+}G(t,s) q(s)g(s, u(s), Hv(s)) ds, \quad t \in (0,1), \quad (44) \]

\[ D^{\varphi}_{0^+}C(t) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)} k(v(1)) t^{\alpha - \beta - 1}, \quad t \in (0,1). \quad (45) \]
Clearly, \( u \) is the solution of problem (1) if and only if \( u = A(u, u) + B(u, u) + Cu. \) So, if we can prove that the operators \( A, B, C \) satisfy all conditions in Lemma 10, then we will obtain the conclusions in Theorem 11.

First, we will prove that \( A, B \) are well defined. Evidently, \( C \) is well defined, so we omit it.

From (H4), for all \( t \in (0, 1), \eta \in (0, 1), u, v \in (0, \infty) \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(t, u, v) &= f(t, \eta^{-1}u, \eta^{-1}v) \geq \eta^q f(t, \eta^{-1}u, \eta v), \\
g(t, u, v) &= g(t, \eta^{-1}u, \eta^{-1}v) \geq g(t, \eta - 1u, \eta v). 
\end{align*}
\]

(46)

Furthermore, we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(t, \eta^{-1}u, \eta v) &\leq \eta^{-q} f(t, u, v), \\
g(t, \eta^{-1}u, \eta v) &\leq \eta^{-q} g(t, u, v). 
\end{align*}
\]

(47)

Taking \( u = v = 1 \) in (H4) and (47), for \( \eta \in (0, 1), t \in (0, 1) \), we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(t, \eta, \eta^{-1}) &\geq \eta^q f(t, 1, 1), \\
f(t, \eta^{-1}, \eta) &\leq \eta^{-q} f(t, 1, 1), \\
g(t, \eta, \eta^{-1}) &\geq g(t, 1, 1), \\
g(t, \eta^{-1}, \eta) &\leq \eta^{-q} g(t, 1, 1). 
\end{align*}
\]

(48)

For any \( u, v \in P_b \), there exists a constant \( b > 0 \) such that \( bh \leq u, v \leq (1/b)h \), where \( h(t) = t^{a-1}, t \in (0, 1) \). So, from the above inequalities, we obtain

\[
\begin{align*}
  f(t, u(t), v(t)) &\leq f\left(t, \frac{1}{b} t^{a-1}, \frac{b}{t^{a-1}}\right) \\
&\leq t^{(1-a)} f\left(t, \frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{b^{1-a}} \right) \leq t^{(1-a)} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{q} f(t, 1, 1), \\
f(t, u(t), v(t)) &\geq f\left(t, \frac{1}{b} t^{a-1}, \frac{1}{b} t^{1-a} \right) \geq f\left(t, b t^{a-1}, \frac{1}{b} t^{1-a} \right) \\
&\geq t^{(a-1)} f\left(t, b, \frac{1}{b} \right) \geq t^{(a-1)} b^{q} f(t, 1, 1), \\
g(t, u(t), v(t)) &\leq g\left(t, \frac{1}{b} t^{a-1}, \frac{1}{b} t^{a-1} \right) \leq g\left(t, \frac{1}{b} t^{1-a}, \frac{1}{b} t^{1-a} \right) \\
&\leq t^{(a-1)} g\left(t, \frac{1}{b}, \frac{1}{b} \right) \leq t^{(a-1)} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{q} g(t, 1, 1), \\
g(t, u(t), v(t)) &\geq g\left(t, b t^{a-1}, \frac{1}{b} t^{a-1} \right) \geq g\left(t, b t^{a-1}, \frac{1}{b} t^{1-a} \right) \\
&\geq t^{(a-1)} g\left(t, b, \frac{1}{b} \right) \geq t^{(a-1)} b g(t, 1, 1).
\end{align*}
\]

(49)

Then, combining assumption (H6), we get

\[
\begin{align*}
  & \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) p(s) f\left(s, u(s), D_{[0, s]}^{-} v(s) \right) ds \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{1} G(t, s) p(s) s^{\beta\alpha-1} \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{q} f(s, 1, 1) ds \\
\leq & \frac{\Gamma(\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) s^{\beta(1-a)} \\
& \cdot \left(\frac{1}{b}\right)^{q} f(s, 1, 1) ds \leq \infty,
\end{align*}
\]

(50)

Thus, we will prove that \( A, B \) are well defined.

Second, we will prove that \( A, B, C \) satisfy conditions (A1)–(A3) of Lemma 10. From (H1)–(H3), for all \( u, v \in P, t \in (0, 1) \), we have \( A(u, v)(t) \geq 0, D_{[0, u]}^{\alpha} A(u, v)(t) \geq 0, B(u, v)(t) \geq 0, D_{[0, v]}^{\alpha} B(u, v)(t) \geq 0, C(t) \geq 0, C(t) \geq 0 \). Therefore, \( A : P \times P \rightarrow P, B : P \times P \rightarrow P, C : P \times P \rightarrow P. \) And for all \( u, v \in P, t \in (0, 1), u \leq v \) means \( u(t) \leq v(t), D_{[0, u]}^{\alpha} u(t) \leq D_{[0, v]}^{\alpha} v(t). \) Thus, from assumptions (H2) and (H3), it is clear that operators \( A, B, C \) satisfy conditions (A1)–(A3) of Lemma 10. And \( A, B \) are mixed monotone operators and \( C \) is a decreasing operator.
Third, we show that \(A(h, h) \in P_h, B(h, h) \in P_h, C(h) \in P_h\). From (H2) and (H3) and Lemma 9, we have

\[
A(h, h) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) s^\alpha f(s, s^{a-1}, D_0^\beta s^{a-1}) ds \\
\leq \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-\alpha}(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) s^\beta f(s, 1, 1) ds \\
= h(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) s^\beta f(s, 1, 1) ds,
\]

\[
A(h, h) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) s^\alpha f(s, s^{a-1}, D_0^\beta s^{a-1}) ds \\
\geq \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-\alpha}(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}[1 - (1 - s)\gamma]}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) s^\beta f(s, 1, 1) ds \\
= h(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) s^\beta f(s, 1, 1) ds,
\]

\[
D_0^\beta A(h, h) = \int_0^1 D_0^\beta G(t, s) s^\alpha f(s, s^{a-1}, D_0^\beta s^{a-1}) ds \\
\leq \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-\alpha}(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) s^\beta f(s, 1, 1) ds \\
= D_0^\beta h(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) s^\beta f(s, 1, 1) ds,
\]

\[
D_0^\beta A(h, h) = \int_0^1 D_0^\beta G(t, s) s^\alpha f(s, s^{a-1}, D_0^\beta s^{a-1}) ds \\
\geq \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-\alpha}(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) s^\beta f(s, 1, 1) ds \\
= D_0^\beta h(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} p(s) s^\beta f(s, 1, 1) ds.
\]

Now, in a similar way, for all \(t \in (0, 1)\), we obtain that

\[
B(h, h) \leq h(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) s^{\gamma} f(s, 1, 1) ds,
\]

\[
B(h, h) \geq h(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) s^{\gamma} f(s, 1, 1) ds,
\]

\[
D_0^\beta B(h, h) \leq D_0^\beta h(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) s^{\gamma} f(s, 1, 1) ds,
\]

\[
D_0^\beta B(h, h) \geq D_0^\beta h(t) \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) s^{\gamma} f(s, 1, 1) ds.
\]

(53)

Set

\[
c_3 = \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f(s, 1, 1) ds,
\]

\[
c_4 = \int_0^1 \frac{(1 - s)^{a-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} q(s) f(s, 1, 1) ds.
\]

(54)

Because of \(g(t, 1, 1) = 0\), obviously, \(c_4 \geq c_3 > 0\). Then \(c_3 h \leq B(h, h) \leq c_4 h\). And we have

\[
D^\beta(s^{\alpha-1}) f(s, 1, 1) \geq s^{\alpha-1} f(s, 1, 1) \geq s^{\alpha-1} b \delta \varphi g(s, 1, 1).
\]

(55)

Combining with the condition \(p(t) \geq q(t)\), it is clear that \(0 < \delta, c_3 \geq c_1 \leq c_2\). So, there is \(c_1 h \leq A(h, h) \leq c_2 h\). And from \(k(\nu(1)) \neq 0\) and (42) and (45), obviously, we obtain that \(Ch \in P_h\).

Finally, we show that operators \(A, B, C\) satisfy assumption (A5) of Lemma 10.

According to assumption (H6), for \(t \in (0, 1)\), we know \(h(t) \in (0, 1)\). So \(0 \geq hh \geq H1\). From assumption (H5), for all \(t \in (0, 1), u, v \in P_h\), there is

\[
A(u, v) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) p(s) f(s, u(s), D_0^\beta u(s)) ds \\
\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s) q(s) \delta_1 g(s, u(s), 0) ds \\
\geq \int_0^1 G(t, s) q(s) \delta_1 g(s, u(s), Hv(s)) ds \\
= \delta_1 B(u, v),
\]

(52)
\[ D_0^\delta A(u, v) = \int_0^1 D_0^\delta G(t, s)p(s)f(s, u(s), D_0^\delta v(s)) \, ds \]
\[ \geq \int_0^1 D_0^\delta G(t, s)q(s)\delta_1 g(u(s), 0) \, ds \]
\[ \geq \int_0^1 D_0^\delta G(t, s)q(s)\delta_1 g(u(s), Hv(s)) \, ds \]
\[ = \delta_1 D_0^\delta B(u, v). \] (56)

Then, \( A(u, v) \leq \delta_1 B(u, v). \)

\[ A(u, v) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)p(s)f(s, u(s), D_0^\delta v(s)) \, ds \]
\[ \geq \int_0^1 G(t, s)m\delta_2 ds \geq m\delta_2 \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha - \gamma} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \right) \]
\[ \geq mk(v(1)) \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha - \gamma} - \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \right) \]
\[ = \frac{m}{\Gamma(\alpha - \gamma)} \left( \frac{1}{\alpha - \gamma} - \frac{1}{\alpha - \beta} \right) Cv. \] (57)

Then, \( A(u, v) \geq \delta' Cv, \) where \( \delta' = (m\delta_2(\Gamma(\alpha - \beta)))((1/(\alpha - \gamma)) - (1/(\alpha - \beta))). \) Now, choose \( \delta = \min \{ \delta_1, \delta' \}, \) then \( A(u, v) \geq \delta(B(u, v) + Cv). \)

So, applying Lemma 10, the proof of Theorem 11 is completed.

**Remark 12.** In Lemma 10, if we assume that \( A, B : P_h \times P_h \rightarrow P_h \) and \( C : P_h \times P_h, \) then it is easy to prove the results in Lemma 10 are also satisfied. So, we also can use the changed lemma to prove Theorem 11.

### 4. Example

**Example 13.** We consider the following FBVP:

\[
\begin{cases}
D_{0^+}^{\alpha^2} u(t) + \frac{2}{t} (t^2 + \sqrt{u(t)}) + \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{\sqrt{D_{0^+}^{\alpha^2} u(t)}} + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t u(t) \, dt = 0, & 0 < t < 1, \\
u(0) = u'(0) = u''(0) = u'''(0) = 0, \\
[D_{0^+}^{\alpha^2} u(t)]_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{u(1)} + 1}.
\end{cases}
\] (58)

Let \( p(t) = q(t) = 1/t, f(t, u, v) = t^2 + \sqrt{u} + (1/\sqrt{v + 1}), \) \( g(t, u, v) = t^2 + \sqrt{u} + v, \) \( k(v) = 1/\sqrt{v + 1}. \) Clearly, \( p, q, f, g, k \) satisfied conditions (H1)–(H3). And for \( Hu(t) = \int_0^t u(t) \, dt, \) we can see that assumption (H7) is satisfied. For \( \eta \in (0, 1), \) we have

\[
f(t, \eta u, \eta^{-1} v) = t^2 + \sqrt{\eta u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta^{-1} v + 1}} = t^2 + \sqrt{\eta u} + \frac{\sqrt{\eta}}{\sqrt{\eta^{-1} v + 1}}
\]
\[\geq \sqrt{\eta} \left( t^2 + \sqrt{u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{v + 1}} \right) = \eta^{1/2} f(t, u, v), \]
\[
g(t, \eta u, \eta^{-1} v) = t^2 + \sqrt{\eta u} + \eta^{-1} v \geq t^2 + \sqrt{u} + \eta v
\]
\[= \eta(t^2 + \sqrt{u} + v) = \eta g(t, u, v), \]
\[k(\eta^{-1} v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\eta^{-1} v + 1}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{v + 1}} = \eta k(v). \] (59)

Then, we know that assumption (H4) of Theorem 11 is satisfied.

Clearly, \( g(t, 1, 1) \equiv 0. \) Moreover, if we let \( \delta_1 = \delta_2 = 1, \) then we have

\[
f(t, u, v) = t^2 + \sqrt{u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{v + 1}} \geq t^2 + \sqrt{u} = g(t, u, 0),
\]
\[
f(t, u, v) = t^2 + \sqrt{u} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{v + 1}} \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{v + 1}} = k(v). \] (60)

Then, assumption (H5) of Theorem 11 is satisfied. Finally, we show that assumption (H6) of Theorem 11 is also satisfied. It is easy to see from the following inequalities:

\[
\int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} p(s) s^{\beta(1-\alpha)} f(s, 1, 1) \, ds
\]
\[= \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\alpha-1} s^{\beta-1/2} \left( s^2 + 1 + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \right) < \infty, \]
\[
\int_0^1 (1-s)^{-\alpha}q(s)s^{-\alpha}g(s,1,1)ds
= \int_0^1 (1-s)^{7/4}s^{-1}s^{-7/4}(s^2+1+s)ds < \infty.
\]

Therefore the assumptions of Theorem 11 are satisfied. Thus, problem (58) possesses the uniqueness solution.

Remark 14. It is clear that \(Hu(t) = \int_0^1 u(t)dt\) is linear in this example. If we let operator \(H\) be a nonlinear operator, for example, \(Hu(t) = u^a(t)\), where \(0 < a < 1\), it is obvious that assumption (H7) of Theorem 11 is also satisfied. So using different operators, we also can obtain that BVP (58) has a unique positive solution from the same discussion process. This fact means that our theorem has a wide range of applications.
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