Delimitating the Ecological Spaces for Water Conservation Services in Jilin Province of China
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Abstract: Mastering the spatial distribution of water retention capacity and scientifically delineating the ecological space for water conservation are of great significance to the management of regional land and water resources. In this paper, a water conservation ecological spatial delimitation framework suitable for water-deficient areas was put forward. The water retention capacity of the study area in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016 was evaluated by using the InVEST Water Yield model and water balance method, respectively. On this basis, a flexible inflection point model based on the contribution degree of functional units was established. Then the ecological space for water conservation was delimited. The framework was applied to the delimitation of the key water conservation areas in Jilin province, China. The results showed that: (1) the spatial distribution pattern of water conservation in Jilin province gradually decreased from east to west. The spatial difference was significant. The maximum value of water conservation in Jilin province was 730 mm. From 1983 to 2016, water conservation, which accounted for 75.71% of the area, showed an upward trend. The overall water retention capacity showed the characteristics of the overall increase and the local decline. (2) From the absolute amount of the effect of unit area change on water conservation, the intensity from the high to the low was forestland, cultivated land, grassland, unused land, buildings, and water. (3) The area of water conservation less than 474 mm accounted for more than 80% of the total study area. The overall water retention capacity was low. High importance ecological space area of water conservation was comprehensively defined as 36.97%, which was mainly distributed in the natural forest area of Changbai Mountain in the east and the south of Song Liao Plain. Therefore, this study provided a basic layout of relatively concentrated ecological spatial distribution for water conservation types at different levels in Jilin province. The study results and conclusions of this paper will provide a reference for water conservation assessment and the regional land’s natural resources management.
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1. Introduction

In recent decades, with the rapid development of urbanization and industrialization, human activities have had a serious impact on the regional and global ecological environment [1–5]. The decline of ecological services and the reduction of ecological space directly affect the sustainable development of the region and the survival of human beings. At the same time, the demand for high-quality ecological space is also increasing [6–9]. Ecological space is defined as land space with natural attributes and is the main function of improving ecological services, and it is divided into two land types: important ecological function...
land and ecologically sensitive land [10–13]. For all kinds of ecological function space systems, water conservation function land is an important part of the ecological space and the functional embodiment of the interaction of vegetation, water, and soil [14–16].

Water resources are a kind of basic natural and strategic economic resource. With the increase in global demand and the shortage of water resources caused by the deterioration of the water environment, the water retention capacity of regional ecosystems is seriously threatened [17,18]. As an important way for ecosystems to participate in the process of hydrological regulation, water conservation refers to the process and capacity of ecosystems to store and retain water in a specific time and space [19], the ecological base flow of drainage and recharge basin in non-rainy seasons, in order to ensure the water for human life and social development [20]. Regional water retention capacity is related to all aspects of vegetation, species survival, and social life [16,21–23]. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the delimitation of ecological space for water conservation under the guidance of water resources management so as to alleviate the contradictions among water use, water environment, water ecology, and resource development.

The amount of water resources per capita in Jilin province is 1269 m³, which is only 2/3 of the national level and 1/5 of the world average level. Thus, Jilin province belongs to the area of moderate water shortage [24,25]. As an important grain-producing area in China, Jilin province is facing great pressure on water resources due to the rapid development of regional agriculture in recent years [12,26]. In the process of economic development, the exploitation of cultivated land reserve resources will inevitably lead to the continuous destruction of the regional ecosystem water conservation services. At the same time, as the headstream of Songhua River, Yalu River, Tumen River, etc., water resources security is very important to maintain the water security of Jilin province and even the whole northeast region [27–30]. Therefore, there is an urgent need for quantitative evaluation and spatial-temporal heterogeneity of water conservation services in Jilin province. Further improving the technical system for spatial delimitation of important ecological functions will be conducive to improving the understanding of regional water retention capacity [31].

A series of studies on the evaluation of water conservation functions have been carried out. The InVEST Water Yield model was based on simplifications of well-known hydrological relationships in natural ecosystems, which was essential for water-related ecosystem services [32,33]. The annual water yield of 22 catchment areas in England was simulated and verified by long-term river flow data [34]. Xu Yang [35] analyzed the spatial-temporal dynamics of water yield in arid areas of northwest China from 1985 to 2015, as well as the response of water yield to land use and precipitation (P) changes. The water balance method is a relatively mature and accurate method [36–40], which has been widely used in the evaluation of regional water conservation services. Therefore, the regional water retention capacity is scientifically evaluated by using the combination of the InVEST Water Yield model and the water balance method.

From the current practical works, the water balance method was scientific and accurate in the calculation of the spatial functional value [29,41,42]. However, there were still some deficiencies in the follow-up processing methods. In the study of Xiangjiang River Basin, there was an obvious deficiency in using the fixed threshold method to delineate the ecological red line [43], while in the planning of green infrastructure in Germany [44], the ecological space was obtained based on only the order of the importance of services; the relative differences of functional contribution values of different regional evaluation units were ignored. In addition, on the national and inter-provincial scale, it was difficult to accurately express the important eco-spatial functions of areas with obvious differences in water resources endowment, to form standards, and to popularize them on a large scale [45–48]. Generally speaking, there was still a lack of an accurate methodological framework for the delineation of ecological space, and relevant cases were still rarely studied [49,50].

This paper put forward a methodological framework for the delimitation of ecological space for water conservation on a regional scale. Jilin province, China, was taken as the
The water balance method was used to analyze the spatial maps of water conservation in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016. Then, the flexible inflection point method of the cumulative contribution value of the evaluation unit was used to improve the delineation method of important water conservation levels, and an important ecological space range was formed. Finally, the factors affecting the delimitation of ecological space for water conservation and the correction scheme were further discussed. The research results of this paper can provide theoretical support for the future study of regional ecosystem water conservation and the application of regional land resources management.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area

Jilin province (Figure 1) is located in the middle of northeast China, with a land area of $1.874 \times 10^5$ km$^2$, with four distinct seasons, where rain and heat coexist. The average annual P is 400 mm–600 mm. The average annual evapotranspiration (ET) is between 1100 mm and 1900 mm [51]. The topography is tilted from southeast to northwest, which is divided into eastern mountain area, central hilly region, and central and western plain area [28,29]. Changbai Mountain in the east is rich in natural forest resources and is a key protected area of natural forest resources in northeast China. The central part is an important commercial grain base. The western part is located in the typical ecotone of agriculture and animal husbandry, with serious wind, sand, drought, and salinization, so it is the key area of national desert prevention and three northern shelterbelts [52]. Jilin province is located in the upper and middle reaches of major rivers in northeast China. The volcanic cone around Tianchi in Changbai Mountain is the headstream of Songhua River, Yalu River, and Tumen River [31].

![Figure 1. The map of study area depicts the location of Jilin province and presents the elevation information.](image)

2.2. Data Source and Processing

Land use layers with a spatial resolution of 30 m were downloaded from Resources and Environment data Cloud platform of Chinese Academy of Sciences. Climate data contained the average annual P data and actual ET data. Soil data were from Center for Soil Science, and annual runoff data quoted the statistical data in the literature [53]. The details are shown in Table 1.
Table 1. The datasets used for simulating the change of water conservation.

| Data               | Description                                                                 | Data Source                                                                 |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Land use           | Land use/cover data with a spatial resolution of 30 m in 1983, 1990, 2000,   | Resources and Environment data cloud platform of Chinese Academy of Sciences (http://www.resdc.cn/ accessed on 21 October 2019) |
|                    | 2010, and 2016                                                               | National Earth System Science Data Center, National Science & Technology Infrastructure of China (http://www.geodata.cn accessed on 4 January 2021) |
| Average annual P   | Monthly P data set in China from 1901 to 2017, with a resolution of about 1 km | Terrestrial evapotranspiration dataset across China (1982–2017), National Tibetan Plateau Data Center (https://data.tpdc.ac.cn/zh-hans/ accessed on 9 January 2021) |
| Annual average ET  | Monthly actual ET data set in China from 1982 to 2017                       | Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (http://english.issas.cas.cn/ accessed on 10 November 2020) |
| Soil data          | Soil texture (clay%, sand%, loam%), soil depth                               |                                                                              |
| Annual runoff      | Annual runoff of Jilin province from 1982 to 2000                          | Statistical data in the paper [53]                                          |

Land use data were visually interpreted and mapped by using Landsat images of different periods, and the overall accuracy was more than 90% [54]. According to the land use classification system [54], there were six categories of cultivated land, forestland, grassland, water, buildings, and unused land (Figure 2). In order to balance the efficiency of land use modeling and the richness of remote sensing image information, 100 m × 100 m resampling of land use data was carried out by using the nearest neighbor method.

Figure 2. The land use maps of Jilin province in (a) 1983, (b) 1990, (c) 2000, (d) 2010, and (e) 2016, reclassified into six categories.
According to the requirements of the InVEST model and the availability of data, this paper made an empirical study in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016. In order to balance the interannual variation of P and actual ET, this paper used the average annual data of 1982–1984, 1989–1991, 1999–2001, 2009–2011, and 2015–2017 as the representative data of typical years (Figure 3).

Figure 3. The spatial-temporal distribution characteristics of the P data in Jilin province in (a) 1983, (b) 1990, (c) 2000, (d) 2010, and (e) 2016.

2.3. Research Methods

2.3.1. Methodological Framework

The methodological framework for delineation of the ecological space for water conservation is shown in Figure 4. (1) The InVEST Water Yield model was used to simulate the spatial distribution of water yield in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016. The calculation results were verified by using the annual runoff statistical data of Jilin province. (2) On the basis of water yield simulation, the water retention capacity from 1983 to 2016 was estimated by the water balance method. (3) The flexible inflection point method was used to find the inflection point, and the ecological space of important water conservation from 1983 to 2016 was selected at the same time; (4) the ecological space of important water conservation was finally delimited.
2.3.2. Calculation of Regional Water Yield Based on the InVEST Model

Water yield refers to the water outflow from the regional land use, which is the sum of water yield in sub-basins calculated according to the principle of water balance [34]. \( Y(x) \) was the annual water yield per pixel under different land use patterns, and its calculation formula was as follows:

\[
Y(x) = \left( 1 - \frac{AET(x)}{P(x)} \right) \times P(x) \tag{1}
\]

\( AET(x) \) is the annual actual ET; \( P(x) \) is the annual P.

For vegetation cover areas, the ET of water balance was calculated by \( \frac{AET(x)}{P(x)} \) formula as follows:

\[
AET(x) \times P(x) = 1 + \frac{PET(x)}{P(x)} - \left[ 1 + \frac{PET(x)}{P(x)} \right]^{w(x)} \tag{2}
\]

\[
w(x) = Z \frac{AWC(x)}{P(x)} + 1.25 \tag{3}
\]

\( PET(x) \) is the potential ET. \( w(x) \) is a non-physical linear fitting parameter, which was used to characterize the surface characteristics of the watershed.

Where \( AWC(x) \) is the volumetric (mm) plant-available water content; the soil texture and effective rooting depth defined \( AWC(x) \), which is used to indicate the total water in the soil that can be used by plants for growth. It is estimated as the product of the plant available water capacity (PAWC) and the minimum of root restricting layer depth and vegetation rooting depth:

\[
AWC(x) = \text{Min}(\text{MaxSoilDepth}, \text{RootDepth}) \times \text{PAWC} \tag{4}
\]

Root restricting layer depth is the soil depth at which root penetration is inhibited because of physical or chemical characteristics. Vegetation rooting depth is often given as the depth at which 95% of a vegetation type’s root biomass occurs. PAWC is the plant available water capacity, i.e., the difference between field capacity and wilting point.
According to the soil texture, PAWC was calculated by Wenzuo Zhou’s empirical formula [28]:

\[ PAWC = 54.509 - 0.132\text{sand} - 0.003(sand)^2 - 0.055\text{silt} - 0.006(silt)^2 - 0.738\text{clay} + 0.007(\text{clay})^2 - 2.688\text{OM} + 0.501(\text{OM})^2 \]  

(5)

where **sand** is the proportion of soil sand content (%); **silt** is the proportion of soil silt content (%); **clay** is the proportion of soil clay content (%); **OM** is the proportion of soil organic matter content (%).

**Z** is a parameter indicating **P** distribution and **P**, with a value between 1 and 30 [55]. In order to ensure the rationality of the results, the Water Yield model was validated according to the annual runoff data of 1983, 1990, and 2000 (3.8 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3, 4.02 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3, 3.2 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3) of Jilin province [53]. When the value of **Z** was 18.3, the relative error between the simulation results and the actual annual runoff data was controlled within 5%, and the simulation results were 3.75 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3, 4.21 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3, 3.17 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3, respectively. Therefore, **Z** was set at 18.3 in this paper. The water yield in 2010 and 2016 was 4.16 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3, 4.55 \times 10^{10} \text{ m}^3, respectively.

2.3.3. Water Conservation Calculation

In the InVEST model, the interception of **P** by vegetation and litter is returned to the atmosphere through ET, which makes little contribution to water conservation. This paper mainly considered the water retention capacity of the soil layer of the ecosystem [55]. The formula for calculating water retention capacity was as follows:

\[ WR_x = Y_x - Runoff_x \]

(6)

\[ Runoff_x = P_x \times C_j \]

(7)

In the formula, **WR** _x_ is the annual water retention capacity; **Y** _x_ is the annual water yield; **Runoff** _x_ is the annual surface runoff; **P** _x_ was the annual **P**; **C** _j_ is the surface runoff coefficient of the land use type **j**.

The runoff coefficient comprehensively reflected the influence of natural geographical factors such as catchment topography, watershed characteristic factors, average slope, surface vegetation, and soil characteristics [56,57]. The smaller the runoff coefficient was, the easier the rainfall was absorbed by the soil. According to the relevant provisions of ‘Technical Guide for Red Line demarcation of Ecological Protection’ and ‘Code for Design of Building Water supply and drainage (GB50015-2009)’, combined with relevant studies in northeast China [58,59], the surface runoff coefficient was set as Table 2.

Table 2. The surface runoff coefficient’s value of different land types.

| Land Number | Land Use Name    | Surface Runoff Coefficient’s Value |
|-------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1           | Cultivated land  | 0.05                              |
| 2           | Forestland       | 0.0229                            |
| 3           | Grassland        | 0.082                             |
| 4           | Water            | 0                                 |
| 5           | Building         | 0.5                               |
| 6           | Unused land      | 0.2                               |

2.3.4. The Delineation Method of Important Ecological Space

The water conservation amount was the key index to evaluate the importance of water conservation [60]. In this paper, the functional value of water conservation was evaluated as follows:

1. By establishing the flexible relationship between the accumulative area of the benchmark units and the cumulative water conservation, the units were selected from the units with the largest water conservation value, and the sum of functional value was
calculated. On this basis, the flexible relation model between cumulative units' area and water conservation was established.

(2) With the increase of units' area, the cumulative value of water conservation increased synchronously. When the function value of each increasing unit was less than or equal to the increment of the function value of 1 unit, the elasticity of the functional area decreased. The conversion point was the inflection point. The units larger than the inflection point were the target range of the important water conservation space. As shown in Figure 5, all the units to the left of the inflection point could be selected as targets for important water conservation space. In other words, for every increase of 1 unit in the area of water conservation, the increment of water conservation was more than 1 unit.

(3) The screening results in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016 were analyzed. The high-importance ecological space of Jilin province was obtained through intersect tool of ArcGIS, the medium importance ecological space was obtained through merge tool of ArcGIS, and the other areas were ecological space of low importance.

Finally, combined with the national nature reserve functional zoning data, provincial nature reserve zoning data, and other land use planning, the results were modified and adjusted.

3. Results and Analysis

3.1. Estimation of Water Conservation in 1983–2016

The amount of water conservation in Jilin province from 1983 to 2016 showed a spatial distribution pattern gradually decreasing from east to west. The natural forest area in the east of Changbai Mountain has a strong function of water conservation. In the middle of Songliao Plain, long-term agricultural activities have destroyed natural vegetation. The west was in the ecotone of agriculture and animal husbandry, and the ecological environment was fragile.

As shown in Figure 6, the area of each category was the same under the quantile principle. Based on this analysis of spatial distribution, most of the water conservation in Jilin province was less than 301 mm, accounting for more than 80% of the whole region. The maximum value of water conservation in Jilin province was 730 mm, which occurred in the Changbai Mountain area, and the minimum value was the water with 0 mm. In terms of the total amount, the total water conservation in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016 was $2.31 \times 10^{10}$ m³, $2.59 \times 10^{10}$ m³, $2.06 \times 10^{10}$ m³, $2.69 \times 10^{10}$ m³, and $2.91 \times 10^{10}$ m³, respectively.
Figure 6. The water conservation spatio-temporal distribution pattern of Jilin province in (a) 1983, (b) 1990, (c) 2000, (d) 2010, and (e) 2016.

From the changes in land use and water conservation in Jilin province from 1983 to 2016 (Figure 7), except for the great drought in Jilin province in 2000, the correlation coefficients between water conservation and various land-use types were positive. From 1983 to 2016, the cultivated land and buildings area of Jilin province increased, the conservation capacity also increased, while the grassland and water area decreased, and the conservation amount decreased correspondingly. Among them, the area of cultivated land increased by 7.71%, the amount of water conservation increased by $3.49 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$; the area of grassland decreased by 45.6%, and the corresponding amount of water conservation decreased by $1.12 \times 10^8 \text{ m}^3$.

Figure 7. Cont.
Figure 7. The change trends of water retention capacity of (a) cultivated land, (b) forestland, (c) grassland, (d) unused land, (e) water, and (f) buildings from 1983 to 2016.

Although there was a positive correlation between land area and water conservation, the change of land area only reflected the change in water conservation.

According to the influence of unit area change on water conservation, the order of intensity was forestland, cultivated land, grassland, unused land, buildings, and water area. Among them, the forestland area most affected by water conservation decreased by 1 km\(^2\), and the loss range of water retention capacity was 171,782–204,960 m\(^3\). Water was least affected by water conservation, and the water retention capacity was almost zero. Except for the drought in Jilin province in 2000, the water retention capacity of cultivated land and forestland per unit area increased significantly (Table 3). Since the 1980s, the government has always attached great importance to the protection of the natural environment. The construction of artificial forests and grassland shelterbelts has improved the ecological environment in the central and western regions. The quality of eco-environmental and the ability of ecological security have been significantly improved.

Table 3. The water conservation per unit area for different land types (unit: m\(^3\)/km\(^2\)).

| Year | Cultivated Land | Forestland | Grassland | Water | Buildings | Unused Land |
|------|-----------------|------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------------|
| 1983 | 119,059         | 171,782    | 14,542    | 2     | 0         | 8241        |
| 1990 | 130,870         | 197,141    | 15,083    | 3     | 0         | 11,197      |
| 2000 | 90,012          | 165,729    | 6212      | 2     | 0         | 3106        |
| 2010 | 129,803         | 203,501    | 8547      | 2     | 118       | 8752        |
| 2016 | 156,444         | 204,960    | 10,129    | 4     | 1145      | 12,477      |
3.2. Analysis of the Water Conservation Change from 1983 to 2016

Based on the spatial change analysis of water conservation in each period (Figure 8), the overall trend of water conservation showed an upward trend from 1983 to 1990. The increased area was 36.54% more than the reduced area. In contrast, overall water conservation decreased significantly from 1990 to 2000. A total of 66.27% of water conservation decreased by 15–30% compared with the previous period, mainly due to the reduction of P caused by drought in Jilin province in 2000. Major changes had taken place in 2000–2010 and 2010–2016; except for a few areas, the growth rate of water conservation was 77% and 71.59%, with increases of 47% and 32.98%, respectively.

Figure 8. Spatial change and change rate of water conservation distribution in Jilin province in (a) 1983–1990, (b) 1990–2000, (c) 2000–2010, (d) 2010–2018.

Overall (Figure 9a), from 1983 to 2016, water conservation showed a steady increasing trend, in which 94.04% in the areas had a change rate of 0–5%, the change was relatively stable. Among them, 75.71% of the total area showed an upward trend, and 18.33% showed a downward trend. The most obvious fluctuations were in the river beaches and around buildings in central Jilin province, where the rate of water conservation decline was more than 15%. Therefore, Jilin province should pay attention to the regulation of water system structure, rational utilization, and protection of water resources.

Figure 9. (a) Total spatial change and change rate of water conservation from 1983 to 2016; (b) the total amount of P, actual ET, and change trends of Jilin province in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016.
In addition (Figure 9b), except for the influence of land-use types, P and actual ET had a great influence on the total amount of water conservation [61]. The changes trend of water conservation from 1983 to 2016 was similar to the P. There was a positive correlation between water conservation and P.

3.3. Delineation of Important Space for Water Conservation

The study area was divided into 189,339 evaluation units with an area of 1 km × 1 km. The tangent inflection point of the 45-degree slope of the curve was used as the division point (Figure 5). All the units in which the spatial functional value increased with the area and the marginal elasticity was greater than 1 were selected to delimit the ecological space of important water conservation in Jilin province from 1983 to 2016. As shown in Figure 10, the ecological space of important water conservation had an obvious law of spatial differentiation, in which the water retention capacity in the eastern mountain area was the strongest and that in the western wind–sand fragile area was weak.

![Figure 10](image)

Figure 10. The important water conservation spaces of Jilin province in (a) 1983, (b) 1990, (c) 2000, (d) 2010, and (e) 2016, respectively.

The value of the flexible inflection point is shown in Table 4. According to statistics, the area of important water conservation space accounted for 44.05%, 52.59%, 56.54%, 39.04%, and 56.66% of the evaluation area in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016, respectively. The water conservation ratio of these important spaces was 63.86%, 71.32%, 83.15%, 63.44%, and 73.25%, respectively. These water conservation spaces (Figure 10) were the most valuable water conservation ecological spaces in 1983, 1990, 2000, 2010, and 2016, respectively.

| Year | Inflection Point ID | Area/km² | Cumulative Area Proportion/% | Water Retention Capacity/10⁸ m³ | Cumulative Function Proportion/% |
|------|---------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1983 | 83,404              | 82,550   | 44.05                       | 1.48                          | 63.86                           |
| 1990 | 101,437             | 98,554   | 52.59                       | 1.85                          | 71.32                           |
| 2000 | 105,952             | 105,956  | 56.54                       | 1.71                          | 83.15                           |
| 2010 | 73,217              | 73,161   | 39.04                       | 1.71                          | 63.44                           |
| 2016 | 106,135             | 106,181  | 56.66                       | 2.13                          | 73.25                           |

Table 4. Evaluation results of water conservation function in Jilin province.
Finally, the most valuable functional areas of water conservation from 1983 to 2016 were intersected, and the ‘high importance’ functional areas of water conservation in Jilin province were obtained by correcting by the national nature reserves data. Then, the ‘Medium importance’ functional areas were merged to obtain the most valuable ecological space of water conservation from 1983 to 2016. Finally, other areas were set as ‘low importance’ water conservation functional areas. As shown in Figure 11, high-importance areas were distributed in the south-central mountains, accounting for 36.97% of the total area. Except for protected areas such as the Momager wetland and Xiang Hai wetland, 34.3% of the areas in western Jilin province had the weakest water retention capacity. The delimitation results of ecological space for water conservation can not only serve the management of water resources and the macro-control of natural resources in Jilin province but also put forward a new method for the delimitation of ecological space in arid and water-scare areas.

![Figure 11.](image)

(a) Delimitation result of ecological space for water conservation, and (b) the proportion of functional areas with different levels of different importance.

4. Discussion

(1) The diversity of factors affecting the water conservation change

The water conservation function of an ecosystem is a complex and comprehensive regulation process affected by many factors [62,63]. The process of water conservation is affected directly or indirectly by changing the type and structure of the underlying surface for land use. Specifically, soil porosity is affected by the changes in soil texture and structure, so the hydrological process is also affected [64–66]. It was found that the increase of cultivated land and buildings area will lead to an increase of regional water conservation to a certain extent, while the decrease of grassland area will lead to a corresponding improvement of water retention capacity. This conclusion was based on the internal homogeneity of each land-use type. However, if the internal differences of different land-use types were considered, the impact of land use on water conservation presented a more complex network structure. A variety of interaction modes were coupled with each other to form a complex interaction system. All the land use types involved in this paper were the broadest and simplest ways, which had relative limitations in the ways that affect water conservation and even many ecosystem services.

(2) Contributions and Limitations

In view of the relative scarcity and uneven distribution of water resources in the study area, the evaluation of the water conservation function of a large ecosystem is helpful to make rational use of limited water resources and alleviate the contradiction between...
supply and demand of water resources; it is also of positive significance to the formulation of ecological protection policies [67,68]. Applying the methodological framework to the delineation of important ecological space of water conservation in Jilin province, the functional area of water conservation can be quantified scientifically and accurately. In Jilin province, the government should optimize the planting structure from the perspective of sustainable development, especially to improve the quality of grasslands in the central and western regions. At the same time, the restoration plan and ecological environment management of degraded forestland in the central and southern mountainous areas must be implemented. In addition, it is necessary to focus on the river beaches and construction where the water retention capacity has declined most, strengthen the implementation of national and local ecological construction policies, and perform good work in the planning of ecological functional areas.

Due to the temporal and spatial differences of influencing factors such as climate, topography, soil type and structure, surface mulch, land use patterns, social development, the spatial-temporal scale differences of ecosystem services [69], and the heterogeneity of ecosystem services [70], whether the results and conclusions of this study can be extended to other fields remains to be further studied. In this paper, a 1 km × 1 km grid was selected to divide the evaluation unit. The spatial scale of the evaluation unit was larger, and part of the water retention capacity information may be omitted in the fine spatial scale. How to choose the appropriate spatial scale according to the purpose of evaluation and the need for decision making is an important direction that needs to be strengthened in future research. At the same time, to further explore the water yield and water conservation, the SWAT model will be used to accurately simulate the water cycle process in the next research.

5. Conclusions and Implications

Human activities and economic development have changed regional ecosystems and water resources utilization. To cope with these challenges, this study presented a methodological framework comprising four steps for ecological space for water conservation. The results showed that there was obvious spatial heterogeneity in water conservation services in Jilin province: (1) the high-value areas of water conservation were mainly distributed in the central and southern mountainous areas, while the low-value areas were mainly distributed in the agro-pastoral ecotone in the central and western regions. (2) The ecological space of water conservation of ‘high importance’, ‘medium importance’, and ‘low importance’ accounted for 36.97%, 28.73%, and 34.30%, respectively. The ecological spatial distribution of water conservation types at different levels was relatively concentrated, and the main determinants of this spatial distribution were surface vegetation cover and P. The framework of this paper is helpful to delineate the key areas of water conservation ecological space in the other arid and water shortage areas. It is possible for our framework to further understand the relationship between the regional environment and the use of water resources, which may also assist decision-makers in natural resource management by balancing the quality of ecosystem services and the allocation of natural resources.
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