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Spin resonance of individual spin centers allows applications ranging from quantum information technology to atomic-scale magnetometry. To protect the quantum properties of a spin, control over its local environment, including energy relaxation and decoherence processes, is crucial. However, in most existing architectures, the environment remains fixed by the crystal structure and electrical contacts. Recently, spin-polarized scanning tunneling microscopy (STM), in combination with electron spin resonance (ESR), allowed the study of single adatoms and inter-atomic coupling with an unprecedented combination of spatial and energy resolution. We elucidate and control the interplay of an Fe single spin with its atomic-scale environment by precisely tuning the phase coherence time $T_2$ using the STM tip as a variable electrode. We find that the decoherence rate is the sum of two main contributions. The first scales linearly with tunnel current and shows that, on average, every tunneling electron causes one dephasing event. The second, effective even without current, arises from thermally activated spin-flip processes of tip spins. Understanding these interactions allows us to maximize $T_2$ and improve the energy resolution. It also allows us to maximize the amplitude of the ESR signal, which supports measurements even at elevated temperatures as high as 4 K. Thus, ESR-STM allows control of quantum coherence in individual, electrically accessible spins.

INTRODUCTION

Coherent control and detection of individual electron and nuclear spins have been studied intensively with the aim to determine molecular and electronic structures (1, 2), to resolve magnetic interactions between spins (3–8), and to serve as quantum bits (qubits) for quantum information processing (9). Electrical control of single qubits was realized for quantum dots (10), phosphorus donors in silicon (11), and molecular magnets (12). Crucial properties of a quantum spin are the phase coherence time $T_2$ and the energy relaxation time $T_1$, which are constrained by the interaction with the environment. Whereas $T_2$ describes how long a spin remains in a given energy eigenstate, $T_1$ characterizes the time before information about the quantum phase of the spin is lost. Identifying and disentangling sources of scattering and decoherence therefore become essential for quantitative devices (13). Most existing techniques operate with a fixed device geometry, and the atomic-scale environment is often neither controlled nor known locally. Scanning probe methods provide routine control at the atomic scale and were used to fabricate, characterize, and read out spin structures (14–20). The influence of the environment on $T_1$ has been intensively studied using scanning tunneling microscopy (STM) for single adatoms (7, 16, 17, 21–23), molecules (24), dopants (25, 26), and nanostructures (27–34). In contrast, $T_2$ is largely unexplored except for theoretical studies (35–42). Coherence times only recently became accessible in STM by using a radio frequency (RF) electric field to drive electron spin resonance (ESR) of individual atoms (43, 44).

Here, we use ESR-STM to demonstrate control over the interaction of a single Fe atom spin with its environment by selecting the tip position and the tunneling parameters. We find that nearly every tunneling electron leads to a loss in phase coherence. Consequently, lowering the tunnel current improves the phase coherence time, as required for quantum logic operations and for maximizing the energy resolution. Despite the current-induced loss of phase coherence, we demonstrate that the largest spin resonance signal can be obtained by using large tunnel currents. This greatly assists single-atom spin resonance as a quantum sensor, for example, to measure single atoms’ magnetic moments as demonstrated recently (6–8). Moreover, it readily allows ESR at higher temperatures (up to 4 K) and relatively small magnetic fields—conditions that are available in many low-temperature STM setups. Besides the great improvement in signal, studying spin resonance in a broad range of tunnel currents and temperatures allows us to investigate, control, and distinguish decoherence mechanisms on a single-atom level.

RESULTS

The experimental setup of our ESR-STM is shown in Fig. 1A. We use single, isolated iron (Fe) atoms located on two atomic layers of MgO on an Ag(001) substrate (see also section S1) (45). To realize ESR, we applied an RF voltage $V_{RF}$ to the tunneling junction in addition to the conventional DC bias voltage $V_{DC}$. The RF voltage induces coherent transitions between the Zeeman-split low-energy states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ at the resonance frequency $f_0$ (Fig. 1B) (43, 44). Magnetoresistive sensing of the steady-state spin population is achieved by using a magnetic tip to give different conductances for the two low-energy spin states. Magnetic tips were prepared by picking up Fe atoms (typically one to five) from the surface until ESR signal was obtained. We use DC voltages of up to $V_{DC} = 60 \text{ mV}$, exceeding the spin excitation thresholds (Fig. 1B), and apply $V_{RF}$ amplitudes that result in larger Rabi flop rates than previously observed (43), because this yields larger ESR signals. This is caused by additional spin-torque initialization of the spin state.
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Figure 1C shows a typical ESR peak: The tunnel current changes because of a change in the state populations. The applied voltage consists of the conventional DC bias voltage $V_{DC}$ and an additional RF voltage $V_{RF}$. The green tip atom indicates the presence of a magnetic tip apex. (B) Energy-level diagram of the lowest five energy levels of the Fe atom. The out-of-plane component $B_z$ of the magnetic field splits the lowest two levels, $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, by $f_0 = 21$ GHz (87 $\mu$eV). (C) ESR spectrum (change in tunnel current $\Delta I$ as a function of frequency $f$) for the atom shown in (A). Solid line is a fit to an asymmetric Lorentzian $\Delta I = I_{peak}/(1 + [f/f_{0}]^2)$; see section S2.1 for peak asymmetry caused by the contribution of homodyne detection. Resonance frequency $f_0$, peak height $I_{peak}$, and linewidth $\Gamma$ are indicated ($I = 20$ pA, $V_{DC} = 60$ mV, $V_{RF} = 30$ mV zero to peak). (D) ESR peaks for different $V_{RF}$ ($I = 11$ pA, $V_{DC} = 60$ mV). (E) $I_{sat}$ ($V_{RF}$) for the data sets shown in (D). The peak height is saturating at $I_{sat}$, because the on-resonance population of states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ is driven into nearly equal occupation (illustrated by bar graphs). The scale for the Rabi flop rate $\Omega$ is additionally shown.

which actively drives the spin population toward the ground state (16). Figure 1C shows a typical ESR peak: The tunnel current changes by $\Delta I$ because of a change in the state populations. $I_{peak}$ is the amplitude of the peak, which can be described by the solution of the Bloch equations of a two-level system ($2, 38, 43$)

$$I_{peak} = I_{sat} \cdot \frac{\Omega^2 T_1 T_2}{\Omega^2 T_1 T_2 + 1}$$

(1)

where the Rabi flop rate $\Omega$ is proportional to $V_{RF}$. Consequently, the ESR spectra show an increase in $I_{peak}$ (Fig. 1D) for increasing $V_{RF}$, which reflects the growing deviation from the off-resonance population. In the low-current limit, this is equal to the thermal population. Ultimately, the RF voltage is strong enough to equalize the population of states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, and the saturation current $I_{sat}$ is reached (Fig. 1E). Details and additional information about the ESR peak analysis, as well as spin-torque initialization, are provided in section S2.

Tunnel current–induced decoherence
Following Eq. 1, the evolution of the peak height and linewidth as a function of $V_{RF}$ and tunnel current $I$ reveals information on both $T_1$ and $T_2$. In general, both increase with greater decoupling from the environment ($2, 13, 38$), which, in the present case, is determined by the interaction with the tip. The STM tip was previously shown to act as an electrode with variable coupling that effectively tunes the interaction with the tip. The STM tip was previously shown to act as an electrode with variable coupling that effectively tunes the interaction with the tip. The STM tip was previously shown to act as an electrode with variable coupling that effectively tunes the interaction with the tip.

In Fig. 2A, we plot the linewidth $\Gamma$ for constant $V_{RF}$ for different $I$ values, demonstrating a narrowing of the peak at lower tunnel currents. We use the relation $\Gamma = \frac{1}{\tau} \sqrt{1 + T_1 T_2 \Omega^2}$ corresponding to the ESR peak of Eq. 1 ($2, 38, 43$) to extract the current-dependent $T_2$ from the slope of the curves in Fig. 2B. Note that the influence of inhomogeneous broadening due to slowly fluctuating fields is avoided in this means of determining $T_2$. These fluctuations are here caused by slow $~1$ pm shaking of the tip that leads to a change in $f_0$ by the tip magnetic field ($43$). Figure 2C shows that $T_2$ increases rapidly.
with decreasing $I$, reflecting the decreasing rate of decoherence $T^{-1}_2$. We find here an inverse proportional behavior $T^{-1}_2 \propto I$, suggesting that each tunneling electron interacting with the Fe spin leads to a loss in phase information as sketched in Fig. 2C (additional information on the effect of tip shaking and further $T_2$ measurements are given in section S3). Figure 2D shows the average probability $P_{T_2} = e^{T^{-1}_2}/I$ of an electron to destroy the phase information of the spin system. We find that most of the tunneling electrons $[P_{T_2} = (64 \pm 7)\%]$ result in dephasing. In contrast, despite the use of relatively high voltages (greater than the inelastic excitation voltage), energy relaxation between the $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ states ($T_1$ processes) occurs at a rate of only $P_{T_1} = (0.5 \pm 0.1)\%$ per tunneling electron (see section S4). Therefore, $T^{-1}_1$ only contributes negligibly to $T^{-1}_2$. Moreover, no significant dependence of $T_2$ on $V_{DC}$ was found (see inset in Fig. 3A), making the decoherence time independent of the energy of the tunneling electrons.

The above measurements show how efficiently the Fe spin interacts with the tunneling electrons. Because we depend on the tunneling current for readout, maintaining phase coherence is challenging. Nevertheless, by using a low tunneling current to maximize $T_2$, we improve the resolution in magnetometry experiments (6, 38) through a decreased linewidth.

To model the decoherence process, we consider two tunneling channels: one spin-independent and one spin-dependent. The latter is due to the exchange interaction of the tunneling electrons with the surface spin. Each of these tunneling events adds an extra exchange term to the Zeeman Hamiltonian. This leads to a transient change in resonance frequency and, therefore, to a relative phase shift between the $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$ Fe states. Consequently, the relative phase of the Fe spin is shifted by a fraction of tunneling electrons and performs a random walk causing decoherence (38). Besides this heuristic approach, we also apply the Bloch-Redfield theory to the problem. Both models are able to explain the linear dependence between the current and decoherence rate observed experimentally (see section S5).

**Tunnel current–independent decoherence**

The rate equation for $T_2$ scattering can be written as the sum of contributions

$$T^{-1}_2 = \frac{P_{T_2}}{e} \cdot I + T^{-1}_2(0)$$

(2)
Here, $T_2^{-1}(0)$ represents the current-independent decoherence rate. By extrapolating $T_2^{-1}(I)$ to the zero-current limit (Fig. 2C), we obtain $T_2^{-1}(0) = (50 \pm 6 \text{ ns})^{-1}$.

To understand the origin of $T_2^{-1}(0)$, we investigate the tip dependence of $T_2$. For different magnetic tips, we observe widely varied $T_2$ times, even for the same Fe atom on the surface (Fig. 3A). In addition, we measured $T_2$ as a function of temperature $T$ for two different tips (Fig. 3B) for which we find an Arrhenius-type thermal activation process proportional to $\exp(-T_0/T)$, where the characteristic temperature $T_0$ depends strongly on the tip. We propose that this tip-dependent thermally activated decoherence is induced by spin-flip events occurring within the tip’s magnetic atom assembly (see sketch Fig. 3A), similar to decoherence observed in other systems (13, 46). This mechanism predicts that the Fe spin’s phase coherence is proportional to the tip’s spin-flip time $T_0 \propto T_{\text{tip}}$ (13, 46). Therefore, the more rapid decoherence at higher temperatures is caused by the higher spin-flip rate of the tip. A similar temperature dependence of $T_1$ was observed previously for magnetic atom assemblies on a surface (27, 28). (For additional discussion on the temperature dependence of $T_2$, see section S3.3.) We note that this mechanism relates to scanning probe relaxometry (5, 47–49), where the change in lifetime and phase coherence of a nitrogen vacancy (NV) center is used to determine the properties of magnetic objects scanned in proximity to an NV center using an atomic force microscope.

**High-current readout**
The current-independent loss of phase coherence impedes the observation of ESR, especially at higher temperatures. Nevertheless, the use of higher tunnel currents in the readout can compensate for the reduction of $T_2$ by reaching higher peak amplitudes. As shown in Fig. 4A, $I_{\text{sat}}$ is linear in $I$ up to the highest tunnel currents used. Here, the slope is determined by the tip spin polarization and the off-resonance population of the Fe atom. This can be derived from a simple two-state model of the conductance of the tunnel junction (see section S2.2). Consequently, the ESR signal can be improved easily by increasing $I$, provided that $V_{\text{RF}}$ is able to drive the spin into saturation (Fig. 1E; see also fig. S4). In Fig. 4B, we demonstrate that despite the decrease in $T_2$, spin resonance is still readily observed at higher temperatures by using high readout currents. We found that $I_{\text{peak}}$ at $T = 4 \text{ K}$ is reduced to 10 to 40% of the value measured at 1.2 K (Fig. 4B, inset). This reduction is caused by the lower off-resonance polarization (difference in population of states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$) of the Fe spin at higher temperatures. Moreover, $T_2$ decreases at higher temperatures as shown above, and similar observations were made for $T_1$ elsewhere (22). We speculate that the different evolutions with temperature of different data sets in the inset are a consequence of a temperature dependence of the tip spin polarization, which is expected to be unique to each tip (for additional information and discussion on the temperature dependence of the ESR peak, see section S6).

**DISCUSSION**
Larger tunneling currents allow significant improvement in the ESR signal at the price of an increased linewidth. The demonstration of ESR measurements at 4 K should make ESR-STM and quantum sensing (6, 7) possible in many existing STM systems operating at liquid helium temperature. The ability to use this technique for a broad range of tunnel currents and temperatures allows us to investigate, control, and distinguish decoherence mechanisms on a single-atom level, a crucial requirement for quantum information devices. The strong interaction with the tunneling electrons applies, in general, to any architecture using magnetoresistance for electrical readout, and our results will allow improvement of quantum coherence in single-atom spins. Currently, $T_2$ is still lower than the Rabi flop time $\pi/\Omega$, which effectively prohibits coherent manipulations of the spin. Possible routes to increase $T_2$ include moving the tip laterally away from the atom (47–49), remote sensing (6, 30), and suppression of inelastic tunneling channels (50). In addition, thicker layers of MgO and lower temperatures will suppress dephasing by substrate electrons. Lower temperatures will also decrease spin-flip transitions in the tip. Moreover, we speculate that different atom species (8), molecules, or atom assemblies may show higher $T_2$ times and Rabi rates because of their different electronic properties. In combination with atom manipulation, ESR-STM will make it possible to design and characterize new nanostructures assembled atom-by-atom that exhibit enhanced phase coherence for quantum applications.
Fig. 4. High-current readout at elevated temperatures. (A) Saturation current $I_{\text{sat}}$ (see Fig. 1E) as a function of $I$. Dark orange line is a linear fit to the data points ($V_{\text{DC}} = 60 \text{ mV}$, $T = 1.2 \text{ K}$). (B) ESR spectra given for different temperatures ($I = 20 \text{ pA}$, $V_{\text{DC}} = 60 \text{ mV}$, $V_{\text{RF}} = 30 \text{ mV}$). Spectra are offset by 40FA. Inset: Temperature evolution for $I_{\text{peak}}(T)$ normalized to $I_{\text{peak}}(1.2 \text{ K})$. Symbols indicate different data sets, each taken at different current and bias voltage set points, with different tips, and on different atoms (see section S6). Red line is used as a guide to the eye.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample preparation**

For MgO growth, a Ag(001) single crystal was heated to ~600 K while being exposed to a Mg flux (Knudsen cell) in an oxygen environment of ~10⁻⁶ mbar. Using a growth rate of ~0.5 monolayers per minute, a MgO coverage of 1.5 monolayers was achieved. Fe atoms were evaporated directly onto the cold sample (<10 K) from a piece of pure metal using e-beam evaporation. Within the scope of this work, all experiments were conducted on two layers of MgO as determined by point-contact measurements (22). Note that this bilayer thickness had been previously denoted as monolayer MgO (43). The STM measurements used an Ir wire as the tip, which was presumably coated with Ag because of indentations into the Ag surface.

**Electron spin resonance**

Experiments were performed in a homebuilt, ultrahigh vacuum STM system at the IBM Almaden Research Center operating at 1.2 K. We achieved a Zeeman splitting of 87 μeV ≈ 21 GHz for the lowest two energy levels, |0⟩ and |1⟩, of the Fe spin system [the diagram of all five lowest-lying spin states (45) is shown in Fig. 1B] by applying a magnetic field of 0.9 T, having an out-of-plane component $B_z \approx 150 \text{ mT}$ (Fe on this surface showed large easy-axis anisotropy in the out-of-plane direction, so only $B_z$ contributed to Zeeman splitting). We improved the ESR signal by using larger $V_{\text{RF}}$ and $V_{\text{DC}}$ than were used previously (43). We found that larger $V_{\text{RF}}$ and $V_{\text{DC}}$ gave a better ESR signal despite the marked reduction in $T_1$, resulting from transitions between the |0⟩ and |1⟩ states that were induced via the higher-energy states |2⟩, |3⟩, and |4⟩. Operation at this high $V_{\text{DC}}$ also assisted by driving the spin state toward polarization (it “initialized” the spin state, in competition with spin-resonant depolarization) as a result of spin-torque initialization (see section S2.3) (16). This spin-torque initialization occurred in addition to polarization by relaxation toward the thermal population of the |0⟩ and |1⟩ states used in previous experiments (6, 43).

**SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS**

Supplementary material for this article is available at http://advances.sciencemag.org/cgi/content/full/4/2/eaaq1543/DC1

- **Fig. 6.** Large topography of the Fe atom.
- **Fig. 7.** Fano factor $q(V_{\text{RF}})$ for different $I$ and $V_{\text{DC}}$.
- **Fig. 8.** Change in drive $\Phi$ with $V_{\text{RF}}$ and $I$.
- **Fig. 9.** Obtaining large ESR signals.
- **Fig. 10.** Spin-torque initialization.
- **Fig. 11.** Bias voltage analysis.
- **Fig. 12.** Broadening of the resonant peak induced by tip vibrations.
- **Fig. 13.** Additional data for the phase coherence time $T_\phi$.
- **Fig. 14.** Bias voltage analysis of the $T_\phi$ time.
- **Fig. 15.** Pump-probe spectroscopy to determine $T_\phi$.
- **Fig. 16.** Evaluation of spin lifetime $T_1$.
- **Fig. 17.** Temperature dependence of the peak height.
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