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ABSTRACT: Cancer is a major health risk in the modern society that requires rapid, reliable, and inexpensive diagnostics. Because of the low abundance of cancer DNA in biofluids, current detection methods require DNA amplification. The amplification can be challenging; it provides only relative quantification and extends time and cost of an assay. Herein, we report a new oligonucleotide hybridization platform for amplification-free detection of human cancer DNA. Using a large PEG-capture probe allows rapid separation of the bound (mutant) versus unbound (wild type) DNA. Next, a supramolecular hydrogel forming peptide attached to a detection oligonucleotide probe serves as a signal amplification tool. Having screened multiple short peptides and fluorophores, we identified the system P1 + cyanine 3.5 that allows for sensitive quantitative detection of mutation L858R in EGFR oncogene. The peptide–fluorophore-based assay provides absolute target DNA quantification at the detection limit of 20 ng cancer DNA versus >500 ng for Cy3.5-labeled oligonucleotide in only 1 hour.

INTRODUCTION

Oncogenes are regions of normal human DNA that upon mutation drive cancer development and progression.1,2 Point-of-care (POC) approaches aim for detecting mutations in human oncogenes in a reliable and affordable way.3 Recent research on single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) in human oncogenes is now being actively translated into diagnostic solutions. However, DNA samples are subjected to polymerase-chain reaction (PCR) prior to SNP detection. This raises issues with standardization of PCR, target quantification, and inability to detect numerous SNPs such as KRAS G12D, and so forth.1,2 Besides predicting cancer, SNP in oncogenes is a valuable guide for treatment. Recurring target oncogenes include PIK3CA, FBXW7, EGFR, BRAF, and NRAS.3 Moreover, various resistance mechanisms were revealed by SNP profiling in single cancer cells, suggesting considerable resistance heterogeneity of tumor tissues.3

Performing amplification-free detection requires a signal boosting approach that would allow detecting cancer DNA with a required signal-to-noise ratio at ultra-low target amounts.5 One way to achieve this was demonstrated by using fluorophore-labeled branched DNA.6,7 These labeled probes caused an increase of the signal by multiple fluorophores obtained in the received hybrids from cascade hybridization reactions.6,7 Another method involves the direct physical fluorescence amplification mechanism, based on origami DNA optical antennas.8 Origami DNA is capable of incorporating noble metal nanoparticles, leading to a plasmonic hotspot for fluorescent enhancement.9 Other amplification-free methods include SERS analysis,10 piezoelectric plate sensors,11 and Raman spectroscopy-based methods.12

Optical and electrochemical detection methods are promising approaches to achieve a sensitive and repeatable assay that is also robust and quick to use.13–15 Previously, we demonstrated an enzyme-free detection of cancer SNP using synthetic oligonucleotide probes and fluorescence microscopy.13 Although sensitive, this methodology requires a significant experimental effort and a confocal fluorescence microscopy equipment. Thus, techniques with simultaneous improvements toward high throughput and portability are still appealing.16

Fluorescent readouts are capable of giving rapid and quantitative results.17 Therefore, fluorescence is implemented in various diagnostic tools used in research and clinical practice.17 Latest developments in this field aim at multiplexed fluorescence readouts by using time responses of color-coded signals.18 Especially in multiplex detection, assay artifacts due to interference of probes or fluorophores make it important to select the appropriate fluorophore and assay conditions.19

Generally, scaffolding along biomolecules is an attractive paradigm for signal boosting that has been explored in, for
example, DNA origami and DNA–fluorophore signal boosting.\textsuperscript{11,13} Oligo- and polypeptides is another class of biomolecules that can form diverse nanostructures with fluorophores. Peptides are cheaper reagents compared to large DNA, and their self-assembly is faster than DNA.\textsuperscript{20–23} As a drawback, the formation of peptide nanostructures is more difficult to predict compared to DNA. Nevertheless, self-assembly of rationally designed peptide sequences is emerging as a potential route for peptide hydrogel design with promising assembly of rationally designed peptide sequences is emerging as a potential route for peptide hydrogel design with promising applications in biosensing.\textsuperscript{21–25} Researchers have successfully designed several supramolecular hydrogels based on peptides and peptide conjugates with oligonucleotides or polymers.\textsuperscript{24–26} It was shown that the peptide sequence is key to form a hydrogel, and that peptides can be ideally engineered to form hydrogels with desired properties.\textsuperscript{27,28} The knowledge obtained from several peptide sequences and their conjugates provides an useful insight into the formation mechanism of the gel.\textsuperscript{24–28} Moreover, the conditions at which gelation takes place including individual and collective features of each amino acid have been described.\textsuperscript{29–31}

Additionally, fluorescent hydrogels have gained research concern because of their practical applications as a convenient tracer in biomedical engineering.\textsuperscript{32,33} Multiple fluorescence-based hydrogels have been developed recently by either chemical or physical immobilization of fluorophores, for biosensing, thermoresponsive sensing, and hydrogel degradation tracking.\textsuperscript{34–36} Nevertheless, reported routes for attaching fluorophores to hydrogels have limitations, mainly because of the complexity of the hydrogel microenvironment and often unpredictable interactions with analytes.\textsuperscript{37,38} As a result, there is still an unmet need in new robust methodologies for preparation and applying fluorescent hydrogels.

In this work, we aimed at a POC detection for human onconege EGFR, using a peptide–fluorophore signal boosting approach. Our hypothesis to be tested was that cancer DNA can be detected by a specific hybridization probe conjugated with a peptide sequence that can form a hydrogel. We selected a sequential hybridization methodology, along with an optical read-out of a fluorophore–peptide hydrogel, as a robust and accessible method for POC applications.\textsuperscript{15,16}

\section*{RESULTS AND DISCUSSION}

Initially, we performed a screening of peptide candidates, aiming at their following properties: (1) ability to form a hydrogel in aqueous media; (2) non-quenching inclusion of fluorophores into the gel; (3) linear dependence of the fluorescence signal to the amount of the added peptide. Based on existing literature,\textsuperscript{32–38} short charged peptides have a high propensity for gelation in aqueous media. We included three peptide candidates in this study (Figure 1; Supporting Information, Tables S1 and S2). Among a broad range of available fluorophores, we used Cy3.5, AlexaFluor547, and anthracene (AC), as shown in Figure 1C. The rationale behind the choice of these fluorophores was their high quantum yield and confirmed interactions with biomolecules.\textsuperscript{39,40} Azide functionalities were incorporated into the fluorophores to provide an ability of covalent conjugation to a control probe, described below. However, having an azide is not critical for hydrogel formation and can be omitted.\textsuperscript{37,38}

The procedure for preparation of hydrogels included mixing of an aqueous solution of peptide with a solution of fluorophore in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and leaving the mixture for over 1–48 h at room temperature. The quality of liquid → hydrogel transition, if hydrogel formation occurred, was rated, and each sample was categorized with one out of three possible statuses: no gel, gelish, and gel. These categories were given based on the viscosity of the liquid/gel and the visible behavior of the sample when mechanical forces such as spinning, tending, and slight shaking were applied. By measuring gelation efficiency at different time points, we also studied kinetics of hydrogel formation and fluorescence response of the applied dyes to the amount of peptide (Supporting Information; Figures S1 and S2).

Figure 1 shows hydrogel formation by best-performing candidates. The complete results of the peptide–fluorophore hydrogel formation screening and their hydrogel formation rating can be taken from Table S2, Supporting Information. All peptides formed a hydrogel under the applied conditions. Upon adding fluorophores, P2 and P4 had the best results; whereas P1 formed a hydrogel only with Cy3.5 (Figure 1; Supporting Information, Table S2). Notably, peptide P3 showed no hydrogel formation, most likely because of its hydrophobic properties. Adding fluorophores slightly slowed down the gelation for P1, P2, and P4, especially in the case of AlexaFluor547. Moreover, the fluorescence of AlexaFluor547 was completely quenched by adding all the peptides (Figure 1A).

Fast formation of a peptide hydrogel is a key feature for successful POC diagnostics. We investigated the kinetics of hydrogel formation and optimized the conditions to secure a complete gel formation in 1.5 h (Supporting Information; Figures S1 and S2). In this experiment, we followed the gelation by fluorescence response of Cy3.5 which was added to the concentrated solution of the peptide in 1× phosphate buffered saline (PBS), 110 mM [Na\textsuperscript{+}], pH 7.0. Our data show a 33% quenching of Cy3.5 fluorescence during first 40 min after adding the peptide and a dye to the media; after 1.5 h, the fluorescence response does not change. Based on this result, and on a visible behavior of the samples, we concluded that the hydrogel formation for P1 + Cy3.5 has been complete in 1.5 h. In contrast, for P2 and P4, gelation took as long as 12 h upon adding Cy3.5 (Figure S2, Supporting Information).
Next, we focused on optimizing the ratio of the peptide to fluorophore in order to achieve the highest brightness at the lowest peptide amount. The data shown in Chart S2, Supporting Information, reveal that the most sensitive system is P1 and Cy3.5. In the case of AC, no clear concentration dependence of fluorescence response appeared (Supporting Information, Chart S2). Based on these data, we selected the system P1 + Cy3.5 in molar ratio 1:10 as the most promising for further POC assays.

We proceeded with developing a detection methodology for cancer DNA. The design of the assay is shown in Figure 2. A capture probe is attached to PEG 8x. According to our idea, PEG would make large size products with cancer DNA, and in that way, a bound capture:cancer DNA can be easily separated from unbound DNA (wild type) by size exclusion.\textsuperscript{41} The detection probe is complementary to the upstream region of the capturing sequence and contains a terminally attached hydrogel forming peptide P1. We selected EGFR oncogene for the pilot study, given its high importance in monitoring multiple types of cancer including breast cancer and melanoma, as well as its recently confirmed role in hyper-activation of cancer progression as a response to immunotherapy.\textsuperscript{12,43}

For the assay, the detection and capture probes have been designed using publicly available NCBI data and our previously reported probe uniqueness analysis.\textsuperscript{13} Following our previous studies, we enriched the oligonucleotides with affinity and specificity enhancing locked nucleic acids.\textsuperscript{13} Oligonucleotide 1 (ON1) has been purchased as a 5′-amino-modified precursor and converted to alkyne-modified ON1′ by reaction with STP alkyne reagent. 3′-Alkyne modified ON2 has been synthesized in house, using the automated solid-phase phosphoramidite method. Oligonucleotides ON1′ and ON2 have been characterized by IC HPLC and MALDI-TOF MS (Supporting Information; Table S3, Figure S3).

Commercially available PEG 8x azide has been conjugated with alkyne-modified capturing probe ON1′ under standard CuAAC click conditions.\textsuperscript{44} The product has been purified by NAP-5 gel filtration and characterized by HPLC, fluorometry titration with complementary DNA, and by denaturing PAGE. HPLC confirmed full conversion of ON1′ after the click reaction with PEG 8x (Supporting Information, Figure S4); by adding a DNA complement and a double-stranded DNA binding fluorophore Eva Green, we confirmed that all the attached strands in ON3 were able to form a duplex with the target DNA (Supporting Information, Chart S4). Finally,
denaturing PAGE stained with SybrGreen confirmed a product length of approx. 130 nt (Supporting Information, Chart S5). This corresponds to attachment of six oligonucleotides ON1 to PEG 8x.

Knowing the number of attached capture probes per PEG core allowed us to establish a molecular weight cutoff (MWCO) value for DNA binding assay. Thus, the molecular weight of the PEG–oligonucleotide conjugate has been estimated as 56 kDa. Upon binding at least one fragment of genomic DNA of a size over 1000 nt, the weight of the complex would exceed 100 kDa. Therefore, a MWCO of 100 kDa has been applied in the assay described below.

Azido-derivative of P1 has been conjugated under a similar CuAAC procedure44 with precursor ON2. As a control, we conjugated ON2 with Cy3.5 azide; all the products have been characterized by IC HPLC and MALDI-TOF (Supporting Information, Table S3, Figure S5).

Next, we conducted a genotyping assay and benchmarked it to mono-Cy3.5-labeled detection probe and quantitative PCR (qPCR; Figure 3 and Supporting Information, section 3). For the assay, we used EGFR-mutated DNA from human cancer cells. The DNA sample has been purified by a Qiagen DNA work up kit, followed by digestion with EcoRI. This provided DNA fragments of 7000 bp in average, confirmed by QiaExpert analysis. The detection assay has been conducted in a 96-well format, which is suitable for conventional microplate processing and read-out equipment. At the selected concentration, a signal for free Cy3.5 was 445 ± 2 a.u. When the assay was conducted with a detection probe lacking a peptide, a background fluorescence signal of 19 ± 2 a.u was recorded (data shown in Figure S6). A free Cy3.5 dye has been removed by a triple washing procedure; the complete removal of the dye has been confirmed by fluorometry analyses of the washes (data not shown). Along with this experiment, a series of controls has been performed, including spike-in of wild type DNA into the EGFR sample, adding unmodified P1 to speed up the gelation, and qPCR. These data can be found in Supporting Information, Figures S7 and S8.

We observed a concentration-dependent sensing of EGFR DNA by the P1 + Cy3.5 system, with a limit of target detection (LOD) of only 20 ng cancer DNA (550 pM), versus >500 ng DNA by the P1 + Cy3.5 system, with a limit of target detection (LOD) of only 20 ng cancer DNA (550 pM), versus >500 ng DNA (Supporting Information, Figures S7 and S8).3 Moreover, the estimated cost for the peptide hydrogel assay is similar to conventional qPCR and is 5-fold lower than for DNA microarrays.45 The LOD of 550 pM is also similar to DNA fluorophore nanostructures reported before, when a conventional fluorometry has been applied.5 The LOD of our method can be further decreased by applying advanced optical solutions, reaching previously reported low femtomolar for a DNA-Eva Green complex.5,13

The detection procedure for our assay is 2-fold faster than for qPCR (Supporting Information, Figure S8).3 Moreover, the estimated cost for the peptide hydrogel assay is USD 0.2, versus 0.89 USD per reaction for qPCR (in house data). Last, the assay does not require handling an enzyme, dNTP, and other sensitive reagents, which makes it easier to carry out.3

To verify the assay specificity, we used the HMC cell line that does not contain a mutated EGFR. P1 + Cy3.5 assay showed 99% specificity for the mutated EGFR over wild-type DNA (Figure 3). We also performed a spike-in experiment, where EGFR DNA was mixed with HMC at different ratios. Using the P1 + Cy3.5 hybridization assay, detection down to 20% EGFR in wild-type HMC DNA has been achieved (Supporting Information; Figure S7).

The multivalence of PEG capture probe increases the probability of cross-binding between DNA strands. This could lead to changes in the calibration curve at increasing DNA target concentrations. Although not observed for the double-stranded DNA target used herein (Figure 3), a cross-binding would be a considerable risk for long single-stranded DNA targets. This needs to be taken into consideration, and if it

| Table 1. Results of the MD Study in DINC2.0* |
| peptide | fluorophore | energy of the dimer, kcal/mol | RMSD, Å (dimer) | energy—dye docking, kcal/mol | RMSD, Å, peptide—fluorophore |
|---------|-------------|------------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| P1      | Cy3.5       | −3.9                         | 7.1            | −5.1                        | 6.0                      |
|         | AF547       |                              |                | −5.9                        | 0.2                      |
|         | AC          |                              |                | −5.0                        | 7.8                      |
| P2      | Cy3.5       | −3.8                         | 6.8            | −5.2                        | 4.7                      |
|         | AF547       |                              |                | −5.6                        | 3.0                      |
|         | AC          |                              |                | −4.8                        | 6.2                      |
| P3      | Cy3.5       | −4.1                         | 3.4            | −4.5                        | 4.0                      |
| P4      | Cy3.5       | −3.8                         | 5.0            | −4.5                        | 3.7                      |

*RMSD is an average value for three lowest energy structures obtained in docking studies.
occurs, a buffer composition could be adjusted to more denaturing conditions.

As another control, we conducted the assay with EGFR DNA and P1 + Cy3.5, in the presence of additional P1. We wondered if having an excess of free peptide could promote hydrogel formation, leading to higher fluorescence and lower LOD. Indeed, adding 5–10 nM free P1 promoted the gelation which now took as little as 30 min. However, when adding over 10 nM free P1, the separation procedure for non-bound DNA and peptide has been complicated and an increased false-positive signal has been observed (data not shown).

We assessed reproducibility of the assay by a series of three repeated independent measurements, in the DNA target concentration range 20–200 ng. The measurements were carried out for EGFR DNA by our assay and qPCR. The calculated coefficient of variation (CV) for the peptide hydrogel assay was 1.5–2.5%, and it increased upon decreased DNA target amount, which is expected. The observed CV in qPCR was ∼2.6 fold higher in the range 3.7–6.7% (Supporting Information; Table S4).

To investigate the structure of peptide–fluorophore hydrogels, we performed a series of molecular dynamics (MD) studies (Supporting Information, section 5; Table 1, Figures S9–S20). In doing this, we used RPBS PEPFOLD 3 and DINC 2.0, which are suitable force fields for analyzing peptide folding and interactions.46,47 Our approach to building the structural model for peptide–fluorophore hydrogel included 4 steps: (1) predicting the 3D structure of individual peptides; (2) studying self-assembly of each peptide; (3) simulating interactions of individual peptides with fluorophores; and (4) building a complete model for the peptide–fluorophore interaction within a hydrogel.

Our MD results are shown in Table 1 and in Figures S9–S20, Supporting Information. Among all studied peptides, P1 forms the most well-defined helix (Figure S9). P2 also forms a helical motif vs. hairpin formation predicted for P3 and P4 (Supporting Information, Figures S10–S12). P1–P4 have almost similar free energies predicted for dimer structures; however, in P1 and P2, the average distance between two peptide chains is longer, 6.8–7.1 Å versus 3.4–5.0 Å for P3–P4 (Table 1). This correlates with a lower energy for docking products of P1–P2 with fluorophores vs. P3–P4. According to the literature, having a cavity of >5 Å is a structural requirement for embedding small molecules into peptide assemblies.48,49 Therefore, P1 and P2 are the most potent candidates, according to MD, for interaction with fluorophores (Figure 4A).

Close proximity of a fluorophore to a biomolecule can be caused by active hydrogen bond formation.50 This seems to be the case for polar group-rich Cy3.5 and AlexaFluor547, compared to the longer distance between the peptides to nonpolar AC (Supporting Information, Figures S15 and S18 vs Figures S13, S14, S16, S17, S19, and S20). However, a close proximity of a fluorophore to the biomolecule might lead to quenching of fluorescence, for example, by the Dexter mechanism or photo-induced electron transfer.51 To account for this, we conducted a series of titrations for fluorophores Cy3.5 and AC, with peptides P1 and P3 (Supporting Information, section 6). A fluorophore has been mixed with a peptide in different ratios, and the resulting hydrogel has been analyzed by vis absorbance (Figure 4B; Figure S21), excitation (Figure S22), and emission spectra (Figure S23).

UV-Visible absorbance spectra of Cy3.5 are weakly changed by adding P1/P2 (Figure 4B). This is on the contrary to AC, which exhibits quenching of absorbance and a 4 nm blue shift of absorbance maxima upon adding P1 (Figure S21). Excitation spectra for both Cy3.5 and AC are slightly quenched upon adding P1/P3, with similar positions of maxima to vis absorbance spectra. Fluorescence emission reveals an active excimer formation by AC, with emission maxima at 530 and 585 nm, also in the presence of P1 (Supporting Information, Figure S23). Fluorescence intensity of Cy3.5 is poorly affected by adding P1 and is slightly quenched in the presence of P3. For Cy3.5 + P1, fluorescence maxima are bathochromically shifted by 3 nm compared to free Cy3.5. These results point on two important structural features of the studied systems which also fit with the results of MD studies: (1) AC actively interacts with itself by forming an excimer, and it poorly interacts with P1; (2) Cy3.5 is incorporated into hydrogel, most likely, by weak hydrogen binding with P1; (3) upon hydrogel formation, Cy3.5 is positioned into a more polar environment compared to the free dye, leading to a bathochromic shift of emission maxima.51

Altogether, our MD data and optical assays confirm favorable properties of the P1 + Cy3.5 system for hydrogel formation, accompanied by high fluorescence intensity of Cy3.5, as a result of several structural features. First, P1 folds into a helical structure and self-assembles. Second, P1 assembly creates a series of cavities for embedding Cy3.5. Third, hydrogen bonding retains Cy3.5 in a complex with P1. Last, Cy3.5 forms relatively weak hydrogen bonds with P1, leading to its high fluorescence intensity being remained in the hydrogel (Figure 4A).
CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, we developed an amplification-free detection method for human cancer DNA. The method is based on size exclusion of mutant DNA bound to the PEG-capture probe, and on detection by forming a fluorophore--peptide gel. As a proof of principle, we successfully detected EGFR oncogene at an amount down to 20 ng total DNA, with specificity over 99%. However, other oncogenes can be tested by our approach as well. Our method is simple, fast, and relatively inexpensive, opening up exciting opportunities for POC applications. Our structural model explains how the selected peptide interacts with Cy3.5 fluorophore and might open new paths for developing amplification-free diagnostic systems.
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