Pharmacokinetic Difference of Six Active Constituents of Huangqi Liuyi Decoction between Control and Diabetic Nephropathy Mouse Models
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Huangqi Liuyi decoction is a famous traditional Chinese medicine (TCM) that has been widely used in China for the management of diabetes since the Song Dynasty. Today, it is commonly used for treating diabetic nephropathy (DN). Our previous experimental studies have suggested that the mixture HQD, containing astragalus saponin, astragalus flavone, astragalus polysaccharide, and glycyrrhetinic acid, could be used for the treatment of DN and to improve renal function. The objective of this study was to develop a sensitive and reliable high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for simultaneous quantitation of astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid, which are the main active constituents in HQD, and to compare the pharmacokinetics of these active constituents in control and DN mice orally treated with HQD. The results indicated that the pharmacokinetic parameters of HQD were significantly different between the control and DN mouse groups. The absorption of HQD in the DN mice was greater than that in control mice.

1. Introduction

Diabetic nephropathy (DN), one of the most serious complications of diabetes mellitus, is an irreversible, progressive disease characterized by a continuous decline in the glomerular filtration rate, proteinuria, microalbuminuria, and increased blood pressure [1–3], with most cases eventually progressing to end-stage renal disease [4]. Prevention of or early treatment for DN may improve the survival rate and quality of life for patients, which would help to avoid the extremely high costs of renal treatment for end-stage renal disease as well as for other complications [5]. Traditional Chinese medicines (TCMs) have been widely applied in the clinical treatment of various diseases for a long time [6]. In particular, TCMs offer unique advantages in the prevention of diabetic complications because of limited side effects and/ or less toxicity [7, 8]. Huangqi Liuyi decoction (HQD) is a popular TCM formula that has been used in China since the Song Dynasty. It is composed of Radix Astragali and Radix Glycyrrhizae. Research has shown that HQD can significantly decrease the fasting blood glucose and improve the degree of pathological damage to the kidneys in DN rats [9, 10]. In preliminary pharmacodynamic research work of our research group, the results confirmed that the main active components of HQD for the treatment of DN are astragalus saponin, astragalus flavone, astragalus polysaccharide, and glycyrrhizic acid, and the mixture of these four active components (HQC) can significantly delay the pathogenesis of DN in db/db mice. Moreover, the difference in pharmacodynamics was not statistically significant between HQD and Huangqi Liuyi decoction.

In recent years, an increasing amount of research has shown that the pharmacokinetic parameter of traditional Chinese medicine can be affected by the disease states [11].
Nevertheless, the major recipients of these drugs are patients. In a pathological state, the severity of the pathological state can have significant effects on the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion of the drug, which is undeviatingly associated with the efficiency and side effects of the drug. The pharmacokinetic study of TCM under physiological and pathological conditions will support the rational application of TCMs in the clinic. Concerning the clinically safe medication of renal diseases, pharmacokinetic research about TCM can contribute more credible information, which helps significantly to elucidate the safety and effectiveness of drugs during the treatment process [12–14].

Previous reports have focused on the pharmacological effects of HQD while also encompassing some pharmacokinetic investigation. However, most pharmacokinetic studies of effective constituents of HQD to date have conducted

Figure 1: The chemical structure of six analytes.
their investigations under normal conditions. Based on the above reason, in this study, a high-performance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (HPLC-MS/MS) method for the simultaneous determination of six active ingredients of HQD, including astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid in mouse plasma, was first established. Then, the pharmacokinetic differences of these six active ingredients were investigated between control and DN mice after the oral administration of HQD, which would provide some reference for the dose adjustment of HQD in clinic.

Figure 2: The mass spectra of six analytes. (a) Astragaloside IV, (b) calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, (c) calycosin-glucuronide, (d) ononin, (e) formononetin, (f) glycyrrhetinic acid, (g) puerarin, and (h) digoxin.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials. The reference standards of astragaloside IV (purity >99.0%), calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside (purity >98.0%), calycosin-glucuronide (purity >98.0%), formononetin (purity >98.0%), ononin (purity >98.0%), glycyrrhetinic acid (purity >99.0%), puerarin (internal standard, IS, purity >98.0%), and digoxin (IS, purity >98.0%) were obtained from the National Institute for the Control of Pharmaceutical and Biological Products (Beijing, China). Acetonitrile and methanol (HPLC grade) were purchased from Merck KGaA (Darmstadt, Germany). Other chemicals used were of reagent grade or analytical grade.

A mixture of four active constituents from HQD was produced. The composition is as follows: astragalus saponin with a 72.04% content, which was 2.69% astragaloside IV; astragalus flavone with a 69.43% content, which was 1.62% calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, 1.42% calycosin-glucuronide, 0.89% ononin, and 0.31% formononetin; glycyrrhetinic acid with a 72.04% content; and astragalus polysaccharides with a 65.82% content.

2.2. Animals. Ten-week-old db/db mice (weighing 45 ± 5 g) and db/m mice (weighing 20 ± 2 g) were obtained from the Model Animal Research Center of Nanjing University (Qualified number SCXK(Su)2018-0008) and raised in the specific-pathogen-free (SPF) laboratory of the Experimental Animal Center of Guizhou Medical University for two weeks. Ten-week-old db/db mice can develop nephropathy at 12 weeks of age. All mice were housed in polypropylene cages and maintained under standard conditions (25°C ± 2°C; relative humidity, 60% ± 5%; and light-dark cycle of 12 h each). The protocols for all of the animal studies were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Guizhou Medical University (NO1702080).

2.3. Conditions of HPLC-MS/MS. Figure 1 shows the chemical structure of six analytes. An Acquity HPLC system (Shimadzu Corp., Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a Q-Trap® 5500 triple quadruple mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA) was employed for HPLC-MS/MS. The chromatographic conditions of the four constituents of HQD

| Group | Blood glucose (mmol/L) | Serum creatinine (μmol/L) | Urea nitrogen (mmol/L) | Triglyceride (mmol/L) | Cholesterol (mmol/L) | 24 h urinary albumin (mg/day) |
|-------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
| Control | 6.07 ± 0.88 | 22.84 ± 5.29 | 6.07 ± 1.31 | 0.96 ± 0.19 | 3.03 ± 0.35 | 17.34 ± 1.36 |
| db/db | 18.05 ± 2.87** | 31.49 ± 5.76* | 7.78 ± 1.23* | 1.79 ± 0.27** | 3.87 ± 0.51** | 28.44 ± 3.17** |

ps: vs. control group, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Figure 3: Observation of the shape of 12-week db/db and db/m control mice (a), renal pathological sectioning of db/m control mice (b), and renal pathological sectioning of 12-week db/db mice (c) (Masson, ×400).
were achieved on an Excel2C18-AR system (100 × 2.1 mm, 2 μm; Advanced Chromatography Technologies Ltd., Aberdeen, UK) maintained at 30 °C. Analysis was completed with a gradient elution of 0.1% formic acid (A) and acetonitrile (B) and a flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. The gradient elution was as follows: 0 to 0.6 min (90% A), 0.6 to 2 min (90 → 70% A), 2 to 6 min (70 → 35% A), 6 to 8 min (35 → 10% A), 8 to 9 min (10 → 10% A), 9 to 9.1 min (10 → 90% A), and 9.1 to

Figure 4: The chromatogram of HPLC-MS/MS. Blank plasma sample (a), blank plasma spiked with six ingredients and IS (b), and plasma samples obtained 30 min after oral HQD treatment (c).
### Table 2: Calibration curve of six analytes in mouse plasma.

| Analyte                  | Linear regression equation | Linear range (ng/mL) | LLOQ (ng/mL) | LOD (ng/mL) |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Astragaloside IV        | $Y = 0.0025X + 0.3926$      | 0.9993               | 10.68–2670   | 10.68       | 0.33        |
| Calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside | $Y = 0.0375X + 0.9606$   | 0.9995               | 5.05–505     | 5.05        | 0.01        |
| Calycosin-glucuronide   | $Y = 0.0208X + 0.4845$      | 0.9995               | 5.19–2595    | 5.19        | 0.03        |
| Formononetin            | $Y = 0.0043X + 0.1080$      | 0.9992               | 2.62–523     | 2.62        | 0.03        |
| Ononin                  | $Y = 0.0033X + 0.1591$      | 0.9990               | 5.07–1014    | 5.07        | 0.07        |
| Glycyrrhizic acid       | $Y = 0.0109X + 0.5029$      | 0.9995               | 5.09–3817    | 5.09        | 0.04        |

### Table 3: Intra- and interday precision and accuracy of six analytes in mouse plasma ($\bar{x} \pm SD, n = 6$).

| Analyte                 | Concentration of analyte (ng/mL) | Mean ± SD (ng/mL) | Accuracy (%) | Interday precision RSD (%) | Intraday precision RSD (%) |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Astragaloside IV        | 16.02                            | 15.01 ± 0.66      | 93.68 ± 6.71 | 4.42                        | 8.47                       |
|                         | 801                              | 813.9 ± 74.06     | 101.6 ± 1.99 | 9.10                        | 6.56                       |
|                         | 1602                             | 1522 ± 107.33     | 95.03 ± 7.20 | 7.05                        | 7.08                       |
| Calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside | 15.15                          | 15.59 ± 1.55      | 102.9 ± 5.23 | 9.94                        | 8.92                       |
|                         | 75.75                            | 67.65 ± 5.51      | 89.31 ± 7.56 | 8.14                        | 5.39                       |
|                         | 151.5                            | 157.8 ± 5.67      | 104.2 ± 7.83 | 3.59                        | 6.70                       |
| Calycosin-glucuronide   | 10.38                            | 8.57 ± 0.48       | 82.57 ± 1.91 | 2.31                        | 5.57                       |
|                         | 519                              | 492.9 ± 23.56     | 94.98 ± 9.15 | 4.78                        | 6.10                       |
|                         | 1038                             | 927.5 ± 81.62     | 89.35 ± 7.03 | 8.80                        | 5.27                       |
| Formononetin            | 5.23                             | 5.02 ± 0.44       | 95.97 ± 8.32 | 8.67                        | 6.41                       |
|                         | 261.5                            | 266.6 ± 19.86     | 101.9 ± 7.59 | 7.45                        | 7.59                       |
|                         | 313.8                            | 307.4 ± 41.02     | 97.83 ± 10.18| 13.35                       | 7.10                       |
| Ononin                  | 10.41                            | 9.35 ± 0.77       | 92.24 ± 5.93 | 8.22                        | 7.61                       |
|                         | 507                              | 445.8 ± 32.63     | 87.93 ± 5.11 | 7.32                        | 10.16                      |
|                         | 1014                             | 1051 ± 60.94      | 103.6 ± 6.96 | 5.80                        | 4.40                       |
| Glycyrrhizic acid       | 12.73                            | 11.89 ± 1.3       | 93.40 ± 10.24| 10.96                       | 3.22                       |
|                         | 1273                             | 1046 ± 62.99      | 82.23 ± 1.34 | 1.62                        | 5.28                       |
|                         | 2545                             | 2525 ± 142.15     | 99.21 ± 3.96 | 5.63                        | 4.38                       |

### Table 4: Extraction recovery and matrix effect of six analytes in mouse plasma ($\bar{x} \pm SD, n = 6$).

| Analyte                 | Concentration of analyte (ng/mL) | Extraction recovery (%) | RSD % | Matrix effect (%) | RSD % |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------|-------------------|-------|
| Astragaloside IV        | 16.02                            | 99.78 ± 7.56            | 7.58  | 87.11 ± 6.52      | 7.48  |
|                         | 801                              | 106.1 ± 8.53            | 8.04  | 94.20 ± 9.33      | 9.90  |
|                         | 1602                             | 112.7 ± 6.14            | 5.44  | 85.36 ± 3.49      | 4.08  |
| Calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside | 15.15                          | 91.18 ± 3.46            | 3.80  | 83.04 ± 2.79      | 3.35  |
|                         | 75.75                            | 89.05 ± 9.37            | 10.52 | 90.46 ± 4.80      | 5.31  |
|                         | 151.5                            | 94.7 ± 4.23             | 4.46  | 101.9 ± 6.78      | 6.65  |
| Calycosin-glucuronide   | 10.38                            | 96.56 ± 8.59            | 8.89  | 86.86 ± 4.76      | 5.48  |
|                         | 519                              | 82.39 ± 1.27            | 1.54  | 107.90 ± 10.01    | 9.27  |
|                         | 1038                             | 110.9 ± 7.38            | 6.66  | 91.45 ± 7.41      | 8.11  |
| Formononetin            | 5.23                             | 103.1 ± 8.40            | 8.15  | 90.86 ± 6.58      | 7.24  |
|                         | 261.5                            | 88.54 ± 5.65            | 6.38  | 93.47 ± 7.60      | 8.13  |
|                         | 313.8                            | 102.8 ± 9.73            | 9.47  | 99.22 ± 7.79      | 7.85  |
| Ononin                  | 10.41                            | 87.28 ± 6.74            | 7.73  | 104.42 ± 10.60    | 10.15 |
|                         | 507                              | 98.92 ± 11.01           | 11.13 | 85.95 ± 3.69      | 4.29  |
|                         | 1014                             | 86.36 ± 4.19            | 4.85  | 103.38 ± 10.49    | 10.15 |
| Glycyrrhizic acid       | 12.73                            | 90.03 ± 7.80            | 8.66  | 83.77 ± 1.92      | 2.29  |
|                         | 1272.5                           | 95.35 ± 7.06            | 7.41  | 93.47 ± 7.60      | 8.13  |
|                         | 2545                             | 89.83 ± 4.29            | 4.78  | 99.22 ± 7.79      | 7.85  |
12 min (90% A). For MS/MS detection, an electrospray ionization in a multireaction monitoring mode was operated with polarity switching between negative and positive ion modes. The mass spectrometer parameters were set as follows: ion spray voltage at 5.5kV (+) and −4.5kV (−), source temperature at 600°C, nebulizer pressure at 55 psi, curtain gas at 30 psi, and auxiliary gas at 55 psi. The multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) analysis was conducted by monitoring the precursor ion to produce ion transitions of 

$\text{m/z } 807.4 \rightarrow 627.4$ for astragaloside IV, $447.1 \rightarrow 285.2$ for calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, $285.3 \rightarrow 213.2$ for calycosin-glucuronide, $267.0 \rightarrow 252.0$ for formononetin, $431.3 \rightarrow 269.1$ for ononin, $824.4 \rightarrow 309.4$ for glycyrrhizic acid, $417.1 \rightarrow 267.1$ for puerarin, and $825.3 \rightarrow 649.5$ for digoxin. Figure 2 shows the mass spectra of six analytes.

### 2.4. Plasma Sample Preparation

The whole blood samples were centrifuged at 4°C for 10 min at 3,000 rpm. A 50 μL aliquot of the supernatant was placed in the sample tubes and combined with 25 μL of methanolic acid (1 M), 25 μL of methanol, and 10 μL of internal standard solution (0.75 μg/mL of puerarin and 6.02 μg/mL of digoxin). Then, the mixture was added to 200 μL of methanol to be de-proteinated. Subsequently, the tubes were vortex-mixed for 5 min at 60 Hz and centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000 rpm. An aliquot of the upper organic layers was transferred to sample tubes and evaporated to dryness with a nitrogen-blowing instrument (Organamation, Berlin, MA, USA) at 40°C. The residue was sonicated with 50 μL of 50% methanol and then centrifuged for 10 min at 10,000 rpm. Then, 1 μL of supernatant was injected into the HPLC-MS/MS system for analysis.

### 2.5. Preparation of Standard Samples

Stock solutions were separately prepared by dissolving astragaloside IV (5.34 mg), calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside (5.05 mg), calycosin-glucuronide (5.19 mg), ononin (5.07 mg), formononetin (5.23 mg), and glycyrrhizic acid (5.09 mg) into methanol to yield the following concentrations: astragaloside IV (0.534 mg/mL), calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside (0.505 mg/mL), calycosin-glucuronide (0.519 mg/mL), ononin (0.507 mg/mL), formononetin (0.523 mg/mL), and glycyrrhizic acid (0.509 mg/mL). A series of working standard solutions were prepared by diluting the stock solution with methanol. All the stock and working solutions were stored at 4°C and brought to room temperature before use. Quality control samples representing the low, medium, and high concentrations were separately prepared for each analyte.

### Table 5: Stability of six analytes in mouse plasma (Mean ± SD, n = 6).

| Analyte                          | Concentration of analyte (ng/mL) | Sample 4h Mean ± SD (ng/mL) | RSD (%) | −20°C 48h Mean ± SD (ng/mL) | RSD (%) | Three freeze-thaw Mean ± SD (ng/mL) | RSD (%) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|----------------------------|---------|------------------------------------|---------|
| Astragaloside IV                 | 16.02                            | 15.76 ± 1.34              | 8.25    | 15.17 ± 1.08              | 7.10    | 15.58 ± 1.58                      | 10.14   |
| Calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside      | 15.15                            | 14.53 ± 0.93              | 6.40    | 14.72 ± 1.34              | 9.11    | 14.05 ± 1.31                      | 9.31    |
| Calycosin-glucuronide            | 10.38                            | 9.99 ± 0.67               | 6.69    | 9.87 ± 0.34               | 3.42    | 9.71 ± 0.46                       | 4.69    |
| Formononetin                     | 5.23                             | 5.47 ± 0.57               | 10.89   | 5.58 ± 0.37               | 6.65    | 5.35 ± 0.63                       | 11.73   |
| Ononin                           | 10.41                            | 9.67 ± 0.73               | 7.51    | 9.9 ± 0.46                | 4.63    | 9.79 ± 0.74                       | 7.61    |
| Glycyrrhizic acid                | 12.73                            | 11.64 ± 1.28              | 11.01   | 12.26 ± 0.77              | 6.27    | 11.89 ± 1.3                       | 10.97   |

2.6. Method Validation

2.6.1. Specificity

The blank plasma sample chromatogram was conducted under the method of plasma sample preparation using 50 μL of blank plasma taken from each mouse, except for adding IS. The blank plasma was spiked with astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid, and IS chromatogram, and plasma samples obtained after the oral administration of HQD were treated in the same fashion.

2.6.2. Calibration Curves and Linearity

The stock solution of astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid was closely weighed and added to methanol as desired for dilution to create a series of mixed working solutions. Calibration standards were prepared by spiking the appropriate standard working solutions with 50 μL of blank plasma to yield calibration concentrations of six analytes. The calibration curves were fitted using a weighted least-squares linearity regression. The calibration curves were...
obtained by plotting the peak area ratio compared with the concentration of the six analytes with linear regression using standard plasma samples at seven concentrations.

2.6.3. Accuracy and Precision. The quality control samples at three concentration levels of six kinds of analytes of mouse plasma were prepared and operated in parallel according to the above methods of plasma sample preparation, with each concentration analyzed by six replicates. Assay precision was calculated by using the relative standard deviation (RSD, %) and variance. Accuracy was expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

2.6.4. Extraction Efficiency and Matrix Effect. Blank plasma solutions (50 μL) spiked with the quality control sample at three concentration levels (low, medium, and high), each with six replicates, were prepared according to the above methods of plasma sample preparation and regarded as sample A. Another 50 μL of blank plasma was prepared according to the above methods of plasma sample preparation, and sample B was obtained by mixing standard solution and IS into the obtained supernatant followed by evaporation. Then, the residue was reconstituted with 50 μL of methanol. Sample C was acquired by mixing standard solution and IS followed by evaporation. Then, the residue was reconstituted with 50 μL of methanol. Extraction efficiency was calculated by the peak area ratio \(\frac{A}{B}\), and the matrix effect was calculated by the peak area ratio \(\frac{B}{C}\).

2.6.5. Stability. Quality control samples at three concentrations of three kinds of constituents of mouse plasma were prepared to investigate the stability of six analytes of processed plasma samples. After storing them at room temperature (approximately 25°C) for 24 hours, we froze them (−20°C) for 48 hours, and repeated freezing and thawing three times. They were then processed based on the
abovementioned plasma sample processing method and measured by HPLC-MS/MS.

2.7. Pharmacokinetic Analysis. The model and control mice were randomly divided into two groups. All mice were fasted overnight (12 h) prior to a single oral administration of HQD (1.64 g/kg), and 0.2 mL of blood was collected from the heart of each animal at 0, 10, and 30 min and 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24, and 48 h. The blood concentration at each time point was the average value from eight mice. The pharmacokinetic parameters of six ingredients were calculated by WinNoLin version 6.4 using a noncompartment model. All of the data are presented as mean ± standard deviation values. Statistical analysis between the two groups was performed using SPSS version 23 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA). P ≤ 0.01 and P ≤ 0.05 between the two groups were statistically different.

### Table 6: The pharmacokinetic parameters of astragaloside IV after HQD administration.

| Pharmacokinetic parameter | Unit | Astragaloside IV |
|---------------------------|------|------------------|
|                           |      | Control group    | Model group     |
| T$_{1/2}$ h               | h    | 9.85 ± 0.82      | 15.11 ± 1.15**  |
| T$_{max}$ h               | h    | 1.75 ± 0.29      | 2.75 ± 0.50*    |
| C$_{max}$ ng/mL           |      | 1248 ± 101.7     | 1882 ± 429.1*   |
| AUC$_{(0–t)}$ ng*h/mL     |      | 7624 ± 235.7     | 1414 ± 952.1**  |
| AUC$_{(0–∞)}$ ng*h/mL     |      | 8012 ± 266.8     | 15710 ± 895.4** |
| V$_Z$/F mL/kg             |      | 191756 ± 1417    | 15025 ± 1133**  |
| CL$_Z$/F mL/h             | kg   | 1351 ± 44.04     | 690.3 ± 39.31** |
| MRT$_{(0–t)}$ h           |      | 9.95 ± 0.47      | 10.49 ± 1.13    |
| MRT$_{(0–∞)}$ h           |      | 12.44 ± 1.19     | 15.33 ± 1.60    |

P vs. control group, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

### Table 7: The pharmacokinetic parameters of glycyrrhizic acid after HQD administration (X ± SD, n = 8).

| Pharmacokinetic parameter | Unit | Glycyrrhizic acid |
|---------------------------|------|-------------------|
|                           |      | Control group     | Model group     |
| T$_{1/2}$ h               | h    | 9.87 ± 1.64       | 17.78 ± 3.55*   |
| T$_{max}$ h               | h    | 1.38 ± 0.25       | 1.63 ± 0.25     |
| C$_{max}$ ng/mL           |      | 1892 ± 513.8      | 2661 ± 630.1    |
| AUC$_{(0–t)}$ ng*h/mL     |      | 6962 ± 729.8      | 12174 ± 1374**  |
| AUC$_{(0–∞)}$ ng*h/mL     |      | 7152 ± 725.4      | 13725 ± 1497**  |
| V$_Z$/F mL/kg             |      | 180200 ± 40486    | 169076 ± 38405  |
| CL$_Z$/F mL/h             | kg   | 12618 ± 1340      | 6590 ± 787.4**  |
| MRT$_{(0–t)}$ h           |      | 9.12 ± 0.96       | 11.48 ± 1.71    |
| MRT$_{(0–∞)}$ h           |      | 10.56 ± 0.45      | 18.49 ± 4.74*   |

P vs. control group, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Evaluation of the Animal Model. Table 1 shows that the biochemical indicators of blood glucose, serum creatinine, blood urea nitrogen, and 24-hour urinary albumin were significantly increased (P < 0.05) in 12-week-old db/db mice. As compared to control mice, the kidneys of 12-week-old db/db mice were obviously enlarged and their surface was not smooth. Renal pathological sectioning revealed an obvious degree of collagen fiber (blue part) in the renal tubules and glomerular basement membrane of 12-week-old db/db mice. We concluded that diabetic db/db mice can develop DN at 12 weeks of age. Therefore, the 12-week db/db mice were used as the model group. The kidney shape and pathological sectioning of 12-week db/db and db/m control mice are seen in Figure 3.

The db/db mouse is a mutant-type mouse with a leptin receptor gene defect picked from C57BL/6J mice by the
Table 8: The pharmacokinetic parameters of calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside after HQD administration (X ± SD, n = 8).

| Pharmacokinetic parameter | Unit     | Calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside | Control group | Model group |
|---------------------------|----------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| $T_{1/2}$                 | h        | 3.22 ± 0.88                | 3.85 ± 2.21  |             |
| $T_{max}$                 | h        | 1.13 ± 0.25                | 1.25 ± 0.29  |             |
| $C_{max}$                 | ng/mL    | 117.5 ± 34.19             | 161.2 ± 39.84|             |
| AUC(0–t)                  | ng*h/ ml | 118.3 ± 12.90             | 191.0 ± 15.68**|         |
| AUC(0–∞)                  | ng*h/ ml | 175.7 ± 22.75             | 273.9 ± 49.48*|         |
| $V_Z/F$                   | mL/kg    | 65898 ± 12674             | 48618 ± 20157|             |
| CLZ/F                     | mL/h     | 14611 ± 2106              | 9473 ± 1511* |             |
| MRT(0–t)                  | h        | 1.62 ± 0.21               | 1.37 ± 0.11  |             |
| MRT(0–∞)                  | h        | 4.27 ± 1.44               | 4.30 ± 1.90  |             |

ps: vs. control group, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Table 9: The pharmacokinetic parameters of calycosin-γ-glucuronide after HQD administration (X ± SD, n = 8).

| Pharmacokinetic parameter | Unit     | Calycosin-γ-glucuronide | Control group | Model group |
|---------------------------|----------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| $T_{1/2}$                 | h        | 13.16 ± 1.74            | 15.62 ± 1.50  |             |
| $T_{max}$                 | h        | 0.88 ± 0.25             | 1.13 ± 0.25  |             |
| $C_{max}$                 | ng/mL    | 704.6 ± 152.8           | 954.8 ± 194.7|             |
| AUC(0–t)                  | ng*h/ ml | 1851 ± 264.6            | 2701 ± 211.0*|         |
| AUC(0–∞)                  | ng*h/ ml | 2034 ± 265.9            | 2977 ± 218.1**|        |
| $V_Z/F$                   | mL/kg    | 21128 ± 4333            | 16877 ± 1939 |             |
| CLZ/F                     | mL/h     | 1110 ± 144.3            | 749.9 ± 55.07**|         |
| MRT(0–t)                  | h        | 10.15 ± 0.32            | 10.47 ± 0.44 |             |
| MRT(0–∞)                  | h        | 15.27 ± 1.08            | 15.98 ± 1.87 |             |

ps: vs. control group, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Table 10: The pharmacokinetic parameters of ononin after HQD administration (X ± SD, n = 8).

| Pharmacokinetic parameter | Unit     | Ononin | Control group | Model group |
|---------------------------|----------|--------|--------------|-------------|
| $T_{1/2}$                 | h        | 1.31 ± 0.02 | 1.63 ± 0.83  |             |
| $T_{max}$                 | h        | 1.38 ± 0.25 | 1.25 ± 0.29  |             |
| $C_{max}$                 | ng/mL    | 351.6 ± 63.44 | 677.4 ± 157.9*|         |
| AUC(0–t)                  | ng*h/ ml | 576.0 ± 43.31 | 817.0 ± 93.25**|        |
| AUC(0–∞)                  | ng*h/ ml | 596.4 ± 44.22 | 865.9 ± 106.9**|        |
| $V_Z/F$                   | mL/kg    | 4434.7 ± 357.0 | 3739 ± 1618 |             |
| CLZ/F                     | mL/h     | 2343 ± 171.8 | 1633 ± 226.9**|         |
| MRT(0–t)                  | h        | 1.80 ± 0.21 | 1.67 ± 0.14  |             |
| MRT(0–∞)                  | h        | 2.04 ± 0.27 | 2.14 ± 0.32  |             |

ps: vs. control group, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Table 11: The pharmacokinetic parameters of formononetin after HQD administration (X ± SD, n = 8).

| Pharmacokinetic parameter | Unit     | Formononetin | Control group | Model group |
|---------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|-------------|
| $T_{1/2}$                 | h        | 3.17 ± 0.47  | 4.71 ± 1.17* |             |
| $T_{max}$                 | h        | 0.63 ± 0.25  | 0.75 ± 0.29  |             |
| $C_{max}$                 | ng/mL    | 191.1 ± 69.46 | 354.9 ± 74.35**|         |
| AUC(0–t)                  | ng*h/ ml | 429.4 ± 24.92 | 645.03 ± 105.8**|        |
| AUC(0–∞)                  | ng*h/ ml | 460.1 ± 32.3 | 730.61 ± 88.31**|        |
| $V_Z/F$                   | mL/kg    | 4791.9 ± 346.9 | 4569 ± 1498 |             |
| CLZ/F                     | mL/h     | 1047 ± 76.97 | 664.3 ± 80.3**|         |
| MRT(0–t)                  | h        | 2.92 ± 0.22  | 3.04 ± 0.28  |             |
| MRT(0–∞)                  | h        | 3.83 ± 0.39  | 4.96 ± 0.96  |             |

ps: vs. control group, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.

Jackson Laboratory of the United States. It has the features of spontaneously developed type 2 diabetes, and its pathogenesis is very similar to human type 2 diabetes. Db/db mice continuously experience obesity, hyperlipidemia, hyperglycemia, diabetes, and other diabetic symptoms after 4 weeks of age. Then, they began to experience DN after 8–12 weeks [15, 16]. The experimental results showed that the blood glucose, blood creatinine, urea nitrogen, triglycerides, cholesterol, and 24-h urine albumin of 12-week db/db mice were significantly higher than those of the normal control group (P < 0.05). The kidney pathological tissue sections of 12-week db/db mice had obvious glomerular and renal tubular lesions. In this case, this experiment used 12-week db/db mice as the DN mouse model, including same-week-old db/m mice as the normal comparison group.

3.2. Method Validation. Currently, the commonly applied plasma sample-processing methods principally include solid-phase extraction, liquid-liquid extraction, organic solution protein precipitation, and multiple processing methods [17]. Based on the duality and solubility of saponins and flavonoids examined in this experiment, protein precipitation agents such as methanol, acetonitrile, and ethyl acetate, including the extraction method with n-butanol, were examined in the study. The methanol was used as the protein precipitation solvent for the experimental samples. We reviewed 1×, 2×, and 4× methanol as the protein precipitant. When 4× methanol was used as the protein precipitant, the separation of the ingredients was good. Furthermore, the recovery rate could meet the analysis requirements of biological samples. Meanwhile, combining an appropriate amount of formic acid when precipitating proteins with methanol can significantly enhance the recovery rate. The final resolution of the plasma sample-processing method is to acidify the plasma with 1% formic acid.
acid solution, then attach methanol to the vortex, and mix for protein precipitation.

There are various types of TCMs, with numerous components and complex structures, including a low oral bioavailability. The triple quadrupole mass spectrometer has the advantages of a short analysis time, accurate quantification, and the capacity to examine many components. Currently, it is the most illustrative quantitative analysis instrument in the area of mass spectrometry, and it has been widely used in the pharmacokinetics of multicomponent TCMs [18, 19]. An HPLC-MS/MS method was established to concurrently determine astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid in mouse plasma. Additionally, digoxin was chosen as the internal standard substance identified in the negative ion mode. There is no mutual interference with endogenous substances in plasma, which can have a better correction impact. According to the nature of the mixture, methanol/water is used as the liquid mobile phase and 0.1% formic acid is attached to decrease peak tailing and improve the symmetry of the chromatographic peak. Eventually, the chromatographic column ACEExcel2C18-AR (1100 × 2.1 mm, 2 μm; Advanced Chromatography Technologies Ltd., Aberdeen, Scotland) was used. The column temperature was 30°C, and the volumeflow was ≤15%, and the RSD (%) values of accuracy of six analytes were within the range of 81.22%–106.01%. These data suggest that both the precision and accuracy achieved with this method were accurate and reliable, with good repeatability.

3.2.4. Extraction Efficiency and Matrix Effect. The results of the extraction efficiency and matrix effect are shown in Table 4. The extraction efficiency and matrix effect of six analytes at three different concentrations and IS were found to be 82.04%–112.7%, which indicated that the recoveries of the six analytes were precise, consistent, and reproducible at different concentration levels in various plasma biosamples with no significant plasma matrix interference.

3.2.5. Stability. The results of stability are shown in Table 5. The stability test results indicated that the plasma samples had good stability under the three different conditions with a 10% concentration variation compared with the initial values.

3.3. Pharmacokinetics of Six Active Ingredients in HQD. The mean plasma concentration-time profiles (n = 8) of six active ingredients (astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid) after the oral administration of HQD are shown in Figures 5–10. The pharmacokinetic parameters of the six active ingredients are listed in Tables 6–11. The HPLC-MS/MS method was successfully applied to determine the pharmacokinetics of six active ingredients in the plasma of normal and DN mice after a single oral administration of HQD (1.64 g/kg). Following the oral administration of HQD, the area under the curve of six active ingredients (astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid) and the Cmax values of astragaloside IV, ononin, and formononetin in the model group were increased (P < 0.05), while CL/F had slowed down (P < 0.05). However, the T1/2 of astragaloside IV, glycyrrhizic acid, and formononetin, and the MRT of glycyrrhizic acid and the Tmax of astragaloside IV in the model group were postponed (P < 0.05), while the V/F of astragaloside IV in the model group was slowed down (P < 0.05). There was no significant difference in other pharmacokinetic parameters.

A sensitive, accurate, and rapid HPLC-MS/MS method was developed and validated for the simultaneous quantification of six ingredients of HQD in mouse plasma. Following the oral administration of HQD, the blood concentration level of ononin was lower than the detection line at 8 h, and the blood concentration level of calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside was lower than the detection line at 6 h. Glucose was contained in the structure of flavonoids, which are easily metabolized using bacteria after entering the intestine [20, 21]. Meanwhile, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside and formononetin were rapidly metabolized into aglycones due to the actions of bacteria in the intestine, resulting in a shortened retention time of the prototype in the body. The mean plasma concentration-time profiles of six components
both appeared to have multiple peaks, which could be explained as a phenomenon in two ways. On the one hand, drugs discharged through bile into the intestine can be reabsorbed through the portal vein into the bloodstream. On the other hand, the drug may be absorbed at multiple sites, and interactions with other medications could also cause its blood concentration level to rise again.

Within the HQD, the pharmacokinetic parameters of astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid have meaningful diversity in physiological and pathological conditions. Then, in contrast to normal mice, the absorption of six index components in DN mice significantly increased. Their metabolism and elimination were slowed down, and the retention time in the body was imperceptibly longer. This may be because of a modification in the activity or expression of many drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters implicated in drug absorption and metabolism in the stage of DN that leads to changes in drug absorption and metabolic processes in the body. The kidneys are important secretion and excretion organs of the body. Apart from drugs eliminated by the liver and gallbladder, most drugs are excreted by the kidneys in their original form or as metabolites. The excretion of drugs is the result of the combined effects of nephron filtration, secretion, and reabsorption [22–24]. Consequently, the impairment of renal function may also be one of the reasons for the slowing down of drug elimination.

4. Conclusions

We have developed a sensitive and reliable HPLC-MS/MS method for simultaneous quantitation of astragaloside IV, calycosin-7-O-β-D-glucoside, calycosin-glucuronide, ononin, formononetin, and glycyrrhizic acid, which are the main active constituents in HQD, and compared the pharmacokinetics of these six active ingredients in control and DN mice orally treated with HQD.
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