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ABSTRACT

Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) is epidemic throughout the world and is etiologic for such acute cardiovascular events as myocardial infarction, ischemic stroke, unstable angina, and death. ASCVD also impacts risk for dementia, chronic kidney disease peripheral arterial disease and mobility, impaired sexual response, and a host of other visceral impairments that adversely impact the quality and rate of progression of aging. The relationship between low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and risk for ASCVD is one of the most highly established and investigated issues in the entirety of modern medicine. Elevated LDL-C is a necessary condition for atherogenesis induction. Basic scientific investigation, prospective longitudinal cohorts, and randomized clinical trials have all validated this association. Yet despite the enormous number of clinical trials which support the need for reducing the burden of atherogenic lipoprotein in blood, the percentage of high and very high-risk patients who achieve risk stratified LDL-C target reductions is low and has remained low for the last thirty years.
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Atherosclerosis is a preventable disease. As clinicians, the time has come for us to take primordial and primary prevention more seriously. Despite a plethora of therapeutic approaches, the large majority of patients at risk for ASCVD are poorly or inadequately treated, leaving them vulnerable to disease progression, acute cardiovascular events, and poor aging due to loss of function in multiple visceral organs. Herein we discuss the need to greatly intensify efforts to reduce risk, decrease disease burden, and provide more comprehensive and earlier risk assessment to optimally prevent ASCVD and its complications. Evidence is presented to support that treatment should aim for far lower goals in cholesterol management, should take into account many more factors than commonly employed today and should begin significantly earlier in life.

1. Introduction

Atherosclerosis is the leading cause of disease, disability, and death in the United States and globally [1,2]. Current medical practice has made progress, but agonizingly slowly considering the millions of people still adversely afflicted by atherosclerotic complications despite use of current treatments. This review examines how new approaches can significantly reduce the human cost of atherosclerosis. In light of the continued high rate of atherosclerotic disease, what seems needed is what Martin Luther King, Jr. called “the fierce urgency of now” [3]. An entire paradigm shift is required such that preventive efforts are embraced much earlier in life, as discussed later in the paper. We propose that preventing and controlling atherosclerosis, the greatest killer of both men and women, be the top priority of medical care in the United States.

While there has been a significant reduction in heart attack and stroke [4,5], large numbers of Americans still suffer myocardial and cerebral infarctions and other complications of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) [6,7] Despite the wealth of evidence and the availability of effective preventive interventions, declines in ASCVD hit a nadir, and in fact, cardiovascular mortality has been on the rise over the last decade in both men and women in the US [8], and throughout the world [2] Even though modern technology has helped more victims of acute cardiovascular events survive, significant numbers of patients who survive due to stents and other interventions in the immediate acute phase nevertheless often experience long-term disability, reinfarction, and death secondary to inadequate treatment [9,10].

Atherosclerosis causes or contributes to many other diseases besides coronary artery disease. Success cannot be claimed until they are equally addressed and reduced.

Current practices are certainly not eliminating atherosclerotic disease. Atherosclerotic disease is preventable since its drivers of risk are largely modifiable (e.g., hyperlipidemia, hypertension, diabetes, cigarette smoking, sedentary lifestyle, obesity). A more intensive, more precise approach applied earlier than is current practice is delineated in this paper, which will also explain why doing so has a higher likelihood of significantly reducing the total burden of atherosclerotic disease. Delay and inadequate care leave patients at heightened risk for ASCVD-related events and complications and all of the many other manifestations of atherosclerosis. Guidelines and risk assessment tools used to prevent events and other complications of atherosclerosis need to have high treatment and prediction success rates. Unfortunately, that has not been the case in many instances.

Multiple studies have shown that the guidelines would not have recommended treatment for at least half of patients who subsequently suffered proven myocardial infarctions, including those with MI’s under age 50, those from a high-risk population (India) and those over age 65 [11–14]. A better approach is required and justified.

2. Not just coronary artery disease and stroke

Current practice focuses essentially on preventing acute events from coronary artery disease (CAD), but atherosclerosis affects many other arterial beds [15–17] in ways that develop slowly over many years. Atherosclerosis causes disability and death from its contributions to:

- Disabling consequences of cerebral vascular accidents and cerebral ischemia
- Dementia
- Peripheral arterial disease
- Heart failure
- Renal artery stenosis
- Carotid artery stenosis and embolization
- Kidney failure
- Hypertension
- Aortic disease
- Mesenteric artery disease
- Erectile dysfunction
- Frailty
- Poor aging [18–21]

These can take so long to manifest that they are ignored in randomized controlled trials (RCTs). Assuming that just reducing acute events will also prevent long-term consequences of atherosclerosis is unwarranted by current evidence and has not been adequately studied. These slower to develop manifestations of atherosclerotic disease should also be prioritized and equal efforts should be made to prevent them.

2.1. Low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and ASCVD

The most important atherosclerosis treatment breakthrough occurred in 1987 when the FDA approved lovastatin, the first 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitor [22]. One year later the National Cholesterol Education Program published the first guidelines for clinicians to prevent myocardial infarctions by reducing cholesterol levels [23,24]. While many countries have developed their own national guidelines, most follow a similar premise – intensity of preventive efforts should match the individual’s estimated global ASCVD risk [25–28]. While various national guideline recommendations are largely overlapping, important differences exist. Sources of variation are primarily related to two issues:

- Risk and principal drivers of ASCVD differ in different racial and ethnic groups.
- Different guideline committees around the globe evaluate the same evidence and yet reach very different conclusions as to what the evidence means and what recommendations it supports.

2.2. Limits of guidelines

Cardioprevention guidelines are intended to provide physicians with a single consensus point of view, providing algorithms, calculators and tables based on pooled cohorts for quick reference, and establishing evidence-based standards. However, most guidelines have important limitations, among which are inadequate personalization of care; slow incorporation of new knowledge; and relatively conservative treatment strategies. Moreover, many are lengthy and complex, making them inaccessible to many practitioners, who have multiple guidelines with which they need to be facile [29].
2.3. Slow adoption of optimal medical treatment

Physicians in clinical practice tend to be slow in adopting new approaches and in changing the way they care for patients (i.e., “clinical inertia”) [30–32]. Partly that is due to the time pressures in modern medicine and partly due to the conservative nature of physicians to avoid changes until the evidence demonstrates that a newer strategy is clearly superior. Most cholesterol and prevention guidelines prioritize the evaluation and treatment of hypercholesterolemia. The evidence supporting this approach is incontrovertible, yet the majority of patients with dyslipidemia are under-treated [33,34]. Even among treated high-risk patients, 50% of such individuals discontinue their statin therapy within 6 months and by 5 years only 20% remain adherent to it [35]. There are likely multiple reasons: socioeconomic factors; media attacks on statins [36]; shortened visits in modern medical practice; lack of understanding of what specific drugs do to prevent events and preserve health on the part of patients; and tolerability issues, among others. It might also be that many practicing physicians have yet to recognize that preventing atherosclerosis is the most impactful action they can take. If so, that lack of urgency can be communicated to patients. Whatever the reason, premature discontinuation of lipid-lowering therapy is associated with a rapid rise in risk for ASCVD events [37,38]. Among high-risk patients, statin titration occurs infrequently in patients not meeting their risk-stratified Low-Density Lipoprotein Cholesterol (LDL-C) goals [39]. Despite widespread availability of adjuvant therapies that can dramatically increase LDL-C goal attainment rates, these are vastly and conspicuously underutilized [40].

Acute events occurring despite what appears to be optimal medical treatment are attributed to ‘residual cardiovascular risk’ [41–43]. The clinical goal in patient management should be to lower the remaining total burden of disease to an extremely low level. Moreover, the concept of residual risk, as it is typically formulated, does not consider the risk of non-acute events. This review will explore how treating earlier in the course of atherosclerosis, treating more intensively and more precisely, and individualizing care can help accomplish that goal.

Interest in the relationship between hypercholesterolemia and atherosclerosis first took root in 1913 when Anichkow first fed rabbits cholesterol and saw atherosclerosis develop in a mammal that never develops it in the wild [44,45]. Scientific advances now provide a more granular and extensive understanding of atherogenesis, though there is still much more to learn. There has been exponential growth in scientific tools and methods that have accelerated our understanding of the complex mechanisms that result in atherosclerosis and its consequences [46–57]. Atherosclerosis begins as lipid deposition in the intima of arteries, widening the intimal space, then progresses to a plaque, then to an unstable, vulnerable plaque, and then (subsequent to loss of plaque integrity) to thrombosis inside the artery. The earlier that sequence can be halted, the fewer occlusive thrombi there will be, and the fewer complications of atherosclerosis that can result. There is a highly sophisticated toolbox to recognize atherosclerosis early [15], to measure it and its causes precisely, and to use that information coupled with evidence-based preventive interventions (therapeutic lifestyle changes and medications) to arrest its progression. If LDL-C can be kept very low from birth, atherosclerosis will not occur. Where that early prevention is not possible to institute, even larger plaques can potentially be controlled in nearly every case if treated intensively enough – doing so would likely prevent most of the thrombi that lead to acute cardiovascular events or more or larger plaques, as long as treatment is not delayed for too long nor inadequately applied.

2.4. Atherosclerosis represents a clinical paradox: it is potentially the most preventable or treatable chronic disease, yet it remains the greatest cause of disability and death throughout the world. This does not have to be the case

There has been compelling and convincing justification for some time that an approach that includes keeping plasma atherogenic lipoproteins low from early in life will greatly reduce risk for ASCVD. As detailed by Ference et al. “initiating lipid-lowering therapy after a person has already been exposed to a cumulative burden of 6250 mg-years of LDL by age 50 years means that person has very likely already developed a large atherosclerotic plaque burden ... lowering LDL after this cumulative exposure to LDL should reduce the risk of cardiovascular events, but this person will remain at relatively high ‘residual’ risk of experiencing an acute cardiovascular event because one of the underlying plaques can still disrupt to cause an acute coronary syndrome ... [that] may explain much of the high residual risk of cardiovascular events observed among people enrolled in lipid-lowering randomized trials” [58].

2.5. Normal LDL-C is 20–40 mg/dl.

Humans were never meant to harbor the low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) levels that are now commonplace. In one series of 147 full-term neonates, the average LDL-C was 20 ± 10 mg/dl [59]. Despite the extraordinary rate of development and need for myelination, even neonates need very little LDL-C [60–63]. The fact that animals, non-human primates, and humans who maintain low cholesterol levels from early in life have very little atherosclerosis all suggest that a ‘normal’ non-atherogenic LDL-C level is 20–40 mg/dl. That is of course difficult to achieve in a modern society and, as described herein, is not necessary for most people.

Based on the log-linear relationship of LDL-C to the hazard ratio for an acute ASCVD event, the LDL-C level where no excess risk occurs is approximately 38 mg/dl, or 1 mmol/L [64] (Fig. 1). This value is consistent with the LDL-C levels observed among hunter-gatherer populations [65,66]. In the Framingham Study, the average LDL-C of a man presenting with an Acute Coronary Syndrome (ACS) is approximately 150 mg/dl [67]. In the Cooper Center Longitudinal Study, even when LDL-C at baseline was < 100 mg/dl, there was a continuous rise in risk for Coronary Heart Disease (CHD) mortality over a mean follow-up time of 26.5 yrs [68,69]. Hence, it is crucial that exposure to atherogenic lipoproteins be dramatically reduced early and over the long-term.

3. Despite many recommendations, early treatment has not become common practice

There are practical tools available to recognize atherosclerosis very early, to assess lipids more accurately, and to uncover and treat ancillary risk factors. These concepts and tools allow precision management of atherogenesis, though they are employed too little and too late in many cases. Current approaches using algorithms and calculators are based on generalized data rather than precisely individualized to each patient [29,70–72].
3.1. The art of medicine

As important and vital as the science is, the practice of medicine is the art of medicine, which means to apply the scientific evidence to each individual tailored to unique needs [72]. Confounding factors are rigorously excluded from RCTs, but humans contain them in multitudes unique to each person [72]. RCTs test hypotheses about specific interventions, but they do not tell physicians how to treat a person [73]. As Virgil Brown noted, “Just as evidence is not the law, evidence is not the art of medicine. Considering evidence provides for inductive reasoning, but this requires deductive considerations to actually apply evidence in the most effective ways” [74].

3.2. The rationale for keeping LDL-C at very low levels

Our understanding of atherosclerosis, its molecular pathways [18, 75], genetic influences [76–78], inflammatory mechanisms [79–82], interaction of comorbidities [83], and the role of lifestyle [84,85] and the environment [86,87], have expanded rapidly [46,88]. There is now a powerful therapeutic armamentarium to lower cholesterol, stabilize the arterial wall, and prevent the plaques and thrombi that incur considerable acute, progressive and chronic injury [89,90]. Anti-inflammatory treatments have proven beneficial and are being refined, and new medications are likely coming to the clinical setting [91–93]. Vaccines against targets etiologic for atherosclerosis are being explored [94]. Current medicines are already remarkably effective and it will be ever more likely to completely halt progression of atherosclerosis before harm is done in most cases, and sooner than current common practice patterns accomplish. Even before these new treatments come to fruition, we propose that there are enough tools to nearly eliminate harm is done in most cases, and sooner than current common practice patterns accomplish. Even before these new treatments come to fruition, we propose that there are enough tools to nearly eliminate “residual risk.”

3.3. A childhood disease

Atherosclerosis begins in earliest childhood, sometimes even during gestation, presenting as yellow streaks in arterial walls [95–98]. It is a chronic disease: absent intervention, it slowly progresses throughout life, unevenly, sometimes rapidly [16], but inevitably worsening over time [18,99–104]. It has been shown that the progression can be halted, and even reversed to some degree with depletion of the lipid core, if plaque is not extensively fibrotic or calcified [18,105,106]. Previously believed to just be part of normal aging, atherosclerosis is actually a pediatric disease that progresses into adulthood [107–111]. Advanced disease recognized in very young people was observed in young men killed in Korea and Vietnam, as well as victims of trauma as young as 20–25 years of age [112]. Those fatty streak lesions in early childhood are usually the sites of more advanced lesions later in life [113]. Mothers who are not overweight, diabetic, hypertensive or hypercholesterolemic in pregnancy and have otherwise healthy lifestyles are less likely to have children with hyperlipidemia [82]. Childhood risk factors have been shown to predict future clinical atherosclerotic disease by midlife [114]. Atherosclerosis would likely be far less common if from birth everyone could obtain some from High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) [57,124,125]. Even when LDL-C is extremely low, there is no impairment of cellular cholesterol production and utilization within the brain because the brain produces its own pool of cholesterol [126], as do all cells in the body. No tissues depend on cholesterol transfer from LDL-C (the ovaries, testes, and adrenals produce cholesterol de novo or import it via SR-B1 receptors from HDL particles). Currently, common practice considers an LDL-C of 100 mg/dl as acceptable, but atherosclerosis exists even below an LDL-C of 55 mg/dl and even lower [127].

3.4. Atherosclerosis is not inevitable

Mammals, primates, those living indigenous lives away from ‘modern civilization’, and those with mutations that cause extremely low LDL-C from birth [117] develop little or no significant atherosclerosis [118]. The fact that animals, non-human primates, and humans who maintain low cholesterol levels from early in life have very little atherosclerosis all support the conclusion that a ‘normal’ non-atherogenic LDL-C level is below 38 mg/dl, as noted previously. Other than those with genetically low LDL, what those with little or no atherosclerosis have in common from birth are: [1] low intake of saturated fats, salt, and sugars and other refined carbohydrates, [2] primarily plant-based diets, [3] absence of harmful substance abuse and less polluted environments, and [4] physically active, non-sedentary lives. The Tsimane tribe of Bolivia, for example, live unexposed to ‘developed’ life and are essentially free of atherosclerotic disease [119]. The mean LDL-C and HDL-C in the Tsimane people are at 90 mg/dl and 39.5 mg/dl, respectively [120]. People with the least risk factors fare much better [121], but unfortunately the vast majority have one or major many risk factors. In 2010, 47% of Americans had one or more of uncontrolled high blood pressure, uncontrolled high levels of LDL-C, or were current smokers [122,123]. When you add in those with other risks, as noted in Table 3, the percentage would be much higher (Table 4).

Interventions to improve lifestyle in Americans usually have low success rates or are often inadequate to fully control risk even with excellent adherence. Medications are important adjuncts and can significantly attenuate the impact of poor lifestyle, environmental pollution and genetics if begun early and intensively. Even under conditions of adverse genetics, toxic environmental exposures, and comorbidities, most atherosclerosis can be slowed significantly or completely halted if also treated early and intensively.

3.5. Cells do not need LDL-C

Cholesterol is essential for modulating cell membrane fluidity, cell transporters, and intracellular signaling systems, and is a precursor to myelin, bile salts, Vitamin D, steroid hormones (corticosteroids, sex hormones, mineralocorticoids), and establishes impermeability of the skin. All somatic cells, including astrocytes and oligodendrocytes in the brain, make cholesterol through the same pathway that the liver utilizes, and can obtain some from High-Density Lipoprotein (HDL) [57,124,125]. Even when LDL-C is extremely low, there is no impairment of cellular cholesterol production and utilization within the brain because the brain produces its own pool of cholesterol [126], as do all cells in the body. No tissues depend on cholesterol transfer from LDL-C (the ovaries, testes, and adrenals produce cholesterol de novo or import it via SR-B1 receptors from HDL particles). Currently, common practice considers an LDL-C of 100 mg/dl as acceptable, but atherosclerosis exists even below an LDL-C of 55 mg/dl and even lower [127].

3.6. The primary role of lipoproteins is excretion of excess cholesterol

While apolipoproteins play the dual role of distributing triglyceride and cholesterol to systemic tissues, their primary role is to facilitate excretion of cholesterol from the bloodstream and the body [128]. Atherosclerosis occurs when those mechanisms are inadequate and lead to excess circulating cholesterol that is deposited in the intimal space of medium to large arteries by transcytosis of LDL particles and atherosclerotic apo B remnants [18,129]. Atherogenic apolipoprotein B (ApoB) lipoproteins include LDL, Very Low-Density Lipoprotein (VLDL), and Intermediate-Density Lipoprotein (IDL). These lipoproteins are toxic because they deliver sterols, oxysterols, oxidized phospholipids, and toxic lipids (e.g., oxidized fatty acids) into the arterial vasculature and potentiate inflammation, a primary driving force of atherogenesis [130].

Thus the initiating event for atherosclerosis is the deposition of lipids into the intimal space beyond what that space can hold, as noted by Tabas et al. [131], Williams et al. [132] and Boren and Williams [133].

- If there is no such lipid deposition, then there will be little or no inflammation in the endothelium and intimal space. Keeping LDL-C very low will accomplish that.
- If there is no inflammation, then there will be no atherosclerosis.
If no atherosclerosis, there are no complications and much better vigor and longer aging.

As Sniderman et al. said, “After all, if disease in the wall is prevented, there will be no events to predict” [134].

Brown and Goldstein demonstrated in 1974 that there is a receptor feedback-controlled limit to cholesterol deposition of about 25 mg/dl and that the LDL receptor is critical to understanding atherosclerosis [46,75,135]. Any cholesterol in excess of that generates an inflammatory response in the intima and endothelium, mediated by the immune system, in which monocytes migrate into the intimal space and transform into resident macrophages [136]. A cascade of immunological reactions follows thereafter, mediated by interleukins, cytokines, oxygen free radicals, and growth factors produced by T helper cells, mast cells, neutrophils, and platelets [1,137]. This causes or contributes to arterial consequences in the entire body, not just the coronary arteries:

- Brain (dementia, including Alzheimer’s disease) [19,138-146].
- Heart (adverse forms of structural remodeling, heart failure, fibrosis, arrhythmias including atrial fibrillation and malignant ventricular arrhythmias).
- Kidney (renal artery stenosis, chronic kidney disease).
- Arteries in the lower extremities (peripheral arterial disease).
- Pudendal artery (erectile dysfunction).
- Mesenteric arteries (mesenteric ischemia).
- Aorta (aneurysms) and aortic valve (calcific aortic stenosis).

The accumulated effect can be any combination of reduced cognition or dementia, weakness and fatigue, dyspnea, frailty, vital organ failure, poor aging and premature mortality. These peripheral but critical manifestations of atherosclerosis are devastating and common, yet difficult to capture in RCT’s. As Kuller has noted, “The incubation period for the development of brain pathology, i.e., amyloid plaques and neurofibrillar tangles (NFTs), for example, to cognitive decline, mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and dementia is very long, perhaps as much as 20 years or more. Both the longitudinal studies and especially the clinical trials may not have been followed long enough to see a beneficial effect” [149].

LIMITS OF RCTs

The relative brevity of RCTs is likely one of the reasons that current practice is directed essentially only against limited acute events, mainly in people who already have advanced disease that would manifest within the time frame and the number of subjects. In addition, few primary prevention studies are powered enough to detect effects on mortality, even from infarctions. Preventing heart attacks and strokes seems extremely likely to also reduce mortality over the longer term. As Kostapanos and Elisaf note, “no long-term placebo-controlled primary prevention statin trials are available, nor is there a current ethical basis for designing one” [150]. Statin trials are not powered to detect reductions in mortality but reducing acute events and long-term consequences seems essential to reducing mortality as well.

3.7. Low-enough LDL-C prevents atherosclerosis

If LDL-C in blood is kept very low routinely – under 85 mg/dl for life, or correspondingly low by other measures discussed below, including LDL particle number by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, ApoB or non-HDL-C (total cholesterol-HDL cholesterol), atherosclerosis seems unlikely to occur to any clinically meaningful degree. Hypertension, diabetes, and some inflammatory conditions cause inflammation and damage to the endothelium, but if ApoB containing lipoproteins are kept low relatively early in life, as low as at birth, they will likely have far less pathophysiologic impact. Peter Libby [18] noted that “If the entire population maintained LDL concentrations akin to those of a neonate (or to those of adults of most other animal species), atherosclerosis might well be an orphan disease” [18]. Based on the preponderance of evidence, it seems best to set LDL-C goals below 40 mg/dl (1 mmol/dl), or even lower for even higher risk. This is also consistent with current recommendations from the European Society of Cardiology/European Atherosclerosis Society guidelines for the management of dyslipidemia [151]. However, that would likely be challenging to achieve widely, but as will be shown in this review, in those with no enhancing risk factors, keeping LDL-C below at most 85 mg/dl from birth throughout life would likely delay onset of complications until age 100. This has been derived from experience with those with a heterozygous deficiency in PCSK9. For those with additional risk factors or more advanced atherosclerosis, keeping LDL-C below 38 mg/dl (depending on severity) would also likely maintain good health until very late in life.

3.8. Co-factors are also extremely important

There are many factors that damage the endothelium, contribute to atherosclerosis in other ways and activate the immune system, such as insulin resistance [152,153], hypertension [154-156], smoking [157, 158], immunological disease and inflammation elsewhere in the body [82,159], clonal hematopoiesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) [160-163], neutrophil extracellular traps [164,165-167], environmental pollutants [86,87,168], Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease (NAFLD) [169], and many others (Table 3). Good control of those should help preserve healthy arteries. This is a ripe area for research, as it seems likely that controlling atherosclerosis very early and intensively will minimize the impact of these factors. Because such early studies might not be feasible due to needed length and power, extrapolating from known information would strongly suggest that early and intensive treatment would preclude the adverse consequences of many co-factors participating in the progression of atherosclerosis. If LDL-C is kept out of the intimal space, there will be no atherosclerosis to be a cofactor for.

Triglycerides have long been of concern, but there is now growing recognition of their importance in atherogenesis [170-173]. Higher triglyceride levels displace cholesterol in lipoprotein particles leading to smaller, more atherogenic particles [172-176]. As noted, the greater the number of ApoB containing lipoprotein particles there are in circulation, the higher the likelihood they will penetrate the intimal space, be oxidized and initiate a chronic maladaptive inflammatory response.

3.9. The lower the better

Many studies have confirmed that the lower the LDL-C, the lower the risk and the fewer complications of atherosclerosis, with no evidence of any clinically significant harm no matter how low the LDL-C level [177, 178]. Logarithmic scales including many historical trials of lipid-lowering show a direct relationship of disease level with lower LDL-C level achieved [179-182]. The Cholesterol Treatment Trialists [177], the Justification for the Use of Statin in Prevention: An Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) study [183,184], and the Further Cardiovascular Outcomes Research With PCSK9 Inhibition in Subjects With Elevated Risk (FOURIER) [185] and ODYSSEY Outcomes: Evaluation of Cardiovascular Outcomes After an Acute Coronary Syndrome During Treatment With Alirocumab [186] demonstrated that even for patients with LDL-C below 70–100 mg/dl, further reduction in LDL-C improved outcomes in several clinical scenarios. It seems logical that the same would be even more effective before advanced atherosclerosis has developed. These trials showed that for every 1 mmol/L (38.67 mg/dl) reduction in LDL-C, the rate of adverse events is reduced by about 22% [187].

FOURIER and ODYSSEY made clear that no matter how low LDL-C, even below 20 mg/dl, there was no greater incidence of adverse events than from placebo. As cognitive effects of very low LDL-C have been of theoretical concern, the Evaluating PCSK9 Binding antiBody Influence on cogNitive HealTh in High cardiovascUlar Risk Subjects
3.10. Mendelian randomization also very convincingly shows that the lower the LDL-C, the less atherosclerosis and the fewer the resulting ASCVD-related events [189]

Some recommend that percent reduction in LDL-C is most important, particularly at low levels of LDL-C [190,191]. Other studies, such as FOURIER and the Mendelian randomization studies previously referenced, show that the level of LDL-C is highly determinative, not just the percentage reduction. That is consistent with our understanding of the pathophysiology of atherosclerosis, in which the fewer LDL particles that enter the intimal space, the less inflammation and its consequences that will occur and the lower the loading with cholesterol that macrophages will undergo. Were it true that the degree of reduction is all that matters, then it would imply that a patient with Familial Hypercholesterolemia [192] with an LDL-C of 500 mg/dl would no longer be at risk if treatment reduced the LDL-C fifty-percent to 250 mg/dl. Those patients would certainly still be at greater risk than if their LDL could be reduced to very low levels with treatment and, if needed, LDL apheresis [193].

3.11. LDL-C is a vascular toxin

Fortunately, mainstream lipid-lowering therapies (LLT) are remarkably safe [126,194]. The low incidence of side effects is dwarfed by the events protected and the lives saved, for a very positive benefit/risk ratio [195]. Consistent with this, in the world of cardiovascular disease prevention, “it is vital that we rid the system of its most potent toxin: LDL-C, a metabolite responsible for the death and disability of more people than any other known product of human physiology” [31].

Is it reasonable to view LDL-C as a vascular toxin? Yes. LDL particles represent the end-product of lipoprotein metabolism. LDL particles have two routes for removal: (1) clearance by hepatic LDL receptors, or (2) uptake into the intimal space and scavenged by macrophages [196]. LDL particles induce endothelial dysfunction, and promote the development of a pro-oxidative, pro-inflammatory, prothrombotic phenotype along the arterial wall. Mendelian randomization studies are quite consistent when it comes to LDL: the higher the serum level, irrespective of genetic polymorphism, the higher the risk for ASCVD [197]. The opposite of this is also true: the lower the level of LDL-C, the lower the risk. This is consistent with the principles of toxicology.

3.12. Treating early is far more effective than starting treatment after disease develops

No reasonable clinician would wait for kidney damage or a cerebrovascular event before treating hypertension, delay managing hyperglycemia until kidney failure or retinal hemorrhage, hold off on an antibiotic for pneumonia or cellulitis or let joints deteriorate before treating rheumatoid arthritis. In contrast, addressing hypercholesterolemia is frequently delayed until after a cardiovascular event occurs.

Brown and Goldstein noted that the discovery of proprotein convertase subtilisin: kexin type 9 (PCSK9) made clear a vital lesson that many leading lipidologists had been proposing for a long time: the earlier LDL-C is kept very low, the lower the burden of atherosclerosis that will develop. They compared the reduction in risk in those born with PCSK9 deficiency to those who were treated in later life with a statin in a five-year trial [117]. They calculated that in both cases LDL-C was 40 mg/dl lower than if either no treatment or no PCSK9 mutation.

Table 1 shows a comparison based on their paper [198].

They concluded: “Early intervention may well put an end to the epidemic of coronary heart disease that ravaged Western populations in the 20th century.”

As early as 1996 they had said, “If we wait for susceptible individuals to develop symptoms before deciding to treat, the earliest symptom is often sudden death” [199]. Others in the forefront of atherosclerosis research and conceptualization have said the same [113,134].

3.13. The pathogenesis of atherosclerosis explains why early and lower are better

This corresponds mechanistically to the rapidly developing understanding of the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis. It is an inflammatory disease. The more it progresses, the more intense the immunological reaction becomes, which sensitizes circulating immune cells and causes significant changes in the endothelium. As an atheroma develops, it is likely that the process will eventually become at least somewhat independent of additional LDL-C infiltration of the intima, resulting in resistance to treatment. If initially the immunological atherosclerotic process can be prevented or halted by keeping the influx of LDL-C very low, then it seems unlikely that a self-propagating immunologic reaction would become irreversible. Inadequately controlled inflammation is posited as part of the reason for residual risk, and it certainly plays a defining role in advanced atherosclerosis. However, if atherosclerosis is halted very early on, it is unlikely that inflammation would continue and therefore would not frustrate efforts to prevent atherosclerotic complications. The evidence of the protective effect of maintaining very low LDL-C from very early on seems to support this concept. In the unfortunately much more common case, where opportunity for early life intervention does not exist, then counteracting inflammation and dramatically lowering the circulation of ApoB lipoproteins should stop the process and even allow regression, which has been shown where there is adequate intensity of treatment [132,200–204].

Many things, such as systemic or localized inflammation (autoimmune diseases, periodontal disease, others), hypertension, diabetes and more, can damage the endothelium in ways that might induce an immune reaction [205,206]. Managing the immune component of atherosclerosis is vital, though currently specific tools to do so are limited [207]. In any case, if LDL-C is kept very low very early on, that would mute the effect of inflammation on the arterial lining because there would not be the cholesterol to initiate the immune response and induce foam cell formation and an atheroma to develop and then progress to plaques and formation of overlying thrombi. When Reverse Cholesterol Transport (RCT) is intact, as in most people, then foam cells and atheromas already in place should be reduced and stabilized by achieving very low cholesterol levels.

3.14. The trajectory of disease can be altered if treated early

Studies show that the trajectory of developing atherosclerotic plaque to acute events can be altered (Fig. 2). The lower and the earlier LDL-C is reduced, the larger the rightward shift along the clinical event horizon, and the more delayed the onset of clinically apparent disease will be [208]. Horton et al. calculated that the degree of atherosclerosis

### Table 1

| LDL level reduction | By | Duration of reduction | Reduction in events |
|---------------------|----|-----------------------|---------------------|
| 40 mg/dl reduction  | Statin treatment in a trial | 5 years | 23% |
| 40 mg/dl reduction  | Loss of function mutation in PCSK9 | From birth | 88% |
and colleagues state, an RCT to be beneficial after jumping out of an airplane [214]. Ference adherence to the allocated treatment over such a prolonged follow-up because it would take several decades to complete and because has never been ‘proven.

In addition, they noted that, because the evidence is so compelling, an RCT is unnecessary – just as it is obvious smoking is harmful even though that

Steinberg and Grundy concluded that current guidelines are too conservative. The evidence for the value of early intervention is so strong, even in the thirty-year age group, they said, that it misses the rationale for this approach.

The accumulating evidence that it is not just level of cholesterol, but duration of elevation above healthy levels, would suggest that now is the time to reconsider current approaches in this regard. Further delay in early management of atherosclerosis would continue to expose a large population of younger people to later risk that could have been prevented and would burden society with the cost, loss of productivity, and the human tragedy of unnecessary disease. Yet despite strong evidence and repeated calls by leaders in the field to treat much earlier, early intervention has not been adopted and likely few practitioners are aware of the rationale for this approach.

The Vascular Effects of Alirocumab in Acute MI-Patients (PACMAN-AMI) trial [204] showed conclusively that atheroma can regress. Changes in Percent Atheroma Volume (PAV), Lipid Core Burden Index (LCBI) and Fibrous Cap Thickness (FCT) were biphasic and improved with larger beneficial changes observed as LDL-C fell below 50 mg/dl when participants were treated with either statin monotherapy or with a statin and alirocumab.

Controlling atherosclerosis as early and effectively as possible, preferably far earlier than in current practice, requires early identification of intimal changes, precise measurement of lipid levels, and recognition of all the comorbidities and risk factors listed in Table 3. As noted, atherosclerosis begins as lipid streaks in the intima, but eventually widens the intimal space to a degree that is measurable by a variety of techniques.

Coronary Artery Calcium Scoring (CAC) is now widely recommended as a means of detecting early atherosclerosis [191,219].

- It is not operator-dependent.
- Is inexpensive.
- Is easy to do and interpret.
- Has a rich body of studies supporting it.

progression relates to level of apo B lipoproteins times the duration of the exposure of the intima to LDL-C. In their seminal paper on PCSK9 they noted that a useful measure would be the total accumulation of LDL-C over time (g/dl LDL-C x years of exposure) [209]. They drew Kaplan-Meier curves estimating that for each level of LDL-C over time there was a predictable age range at which the curve would cross the threshold where acute coronary events became more likely. The threshold for homozygous FH was under age 15 years (some need coronary bypass graft surgery by age 6); early thirties for heterozygous FH; mid-sixties for average Americans (calculated from National Health and Nutrition Education Survey III); above ninety years for PCSK9 heterozygous for loss of function. Thresholds were judged fifteen years lower for males and those with cofactors like hypertension, diabetes, and smoking and ten years higher for females with no cofactors.

Early treatment extends the time before events become more likely. Subsequent studies strongly substantiated this finding [58,210–212].

Steinberg and Grundy concluded that current guidelines are too conservative. The evidence for the value of early intervention is so strong, even in the thirty-year age group, they said, that it misses the opportunity to reduce the toll of CHD. They identified the core principles of LDL-C reduction as “the lower the better,” and “the earlier the better” [213]. They also argued that an RCT would be prohibitively prolonged and would require unaffordable numbers of subjects [213]. In addition, they noted that, because the evidence is so compelling, an RCT is unnecessary – just as it is obvious smoking is harmful even though that has never been ‘proven’ by an RCT (nor have parachutes been shown by an RCT to be beneficial after jumping out of an airplane [214]). Ference and colleagues state, “such a trial may not be logistically feasible because it would take several decades to complete and because adherence to the allocated treatment over such a prolonged follow-up period would be difficult to maintain. As a result, such a trial is unlikely ever to be conducted” [58].

Log linear plots of results of lipid-lowering trials all show that the lower the LDL-C, the lower the risk down to the lowest LDL-C levels [64, ]. In the analysis by Beekholdt et al., the relationship between CV events and attained LDL-C on a statin is linear between 25 and 200 mg/dl [215]. Similarly, in the FOURIER trial, investigators observed a monotonic relationship between attained LDL-C on lipid-lowering therapy with a statin and evolocumab and CV risk all the way down to < 10 mg/dL without an increase in adverse events [216].

3.15. Lifestyle modification is beneficial but seldom successful

If LDL-C can be kept very low early by lifestyle alone, it would likely produce great benefit, but further significant lifestyle change is unlikely for the vast majority of Americans (of whom 73.6% percent are overweight or obese [217] and over 34 million still smoke [218]), beyond what has been achieved to this point. Society can do both – work toward a healthier national lifestyle and treat those at risk.

3.16. Adequate treatment can significantly reverse atherosclerosis

Steinberg and Grundy concluded that current guidelines are too conservative. The evidence for the value of early intervention is so strong, even in the thirty-year age group, they said, that it misses the opportunity to reduce the toll of CHD. They identified the core principles of LDL-C reduction as “the lower the better,” and “the earlier the better” [213]. They also argued that an RCT would be prohibitively prolonged and would require unaffordable numbers of subjects [213]. In addition, they noted that, because the evidence is so compelling, an RCT is unnecessary – just as it is obvious smoking is harmful even though that has never been ‘proven’ by an RCT (nor have parachutes been shown by an RCT to be beneficial after jumping out of an airplane [214]). Ference and colleagues state, “such a trial may not be logistically feasible because it would take several decades to complete and because adherence to the allocated treatment over such a prolonged follow-up period would be difficult to maintain. As a result, such a trial is unlikely ever to be conducted” [58].

Log linear plots of results of lipid-lowering trials all show that the lower the LDL-C, the lower the risk down to the lowest LDL-C levels [64, ]. In the analysis by Beekholdt et al., the relationship between CV events and attained LDL-C on a statin is linear between 25 and 200 mg/dl [215]. Similarly, in the FOURIER trial, investigators observed a monotonic relationship between attained LDL-C on lipid-lowering therapy with a statin and evolocumab and CV risk all the way down to < 10 mg/dL without an increase in adverse events [216].

3.17. The rationale for assessing risk more precisely

Controlling atherosclerosis as early and effectively as possible, preferably far earlier than in current practice, requires early identification of intimal changes, precise measurement of lipid levels, and recognition of all the comorbidities and risk factors listed in Table 3. As noted, atherosclerosis begins as lipid streaks in the intima, but eventually widens the intimal space to a degree that is measurable by a variety of techniques.

3.18. Advantages of coronary artery calcium scoring

Coronary Artery Calcium Scoring (CAC) is now widely recommended as a means of detecting early atherosclerosis [191,219].

- It is not operator-dependent.
- Is inexpensive.
- Is easy to do and interpret.
- Has a rich body of studies supporting it.
• A positive CAC score (>0) is highly predictive of increased ASCVD risk.
• CAC 0 has a Negative Predictive Value (NPV) of 99% for acute events over the following ten years.

However, for those with no CAC but a high-risk profile (particularly those with diabetes, history of smoking, or family history of premature ASCVD), who often have considerable non-calcified plaque, coronary CT angiography (CCTA) may be indicated [220,221] and treatment begun.

3.18.1. Limits of CAC
As with all tests, CAC has its limitations:
• Plaque calcification is a late event and thus does not accomplish early, pre-plaque detection (the goal being to prevent any plaque from forming in the first place)
• Non-calcified plaque is just as likely to cause intraarterial thrombi and is shown to be significantly present in patients with CAC scores of 0 [222–226].
• Calcification usually continues to deposit even when atherosclerosis stabilizes, thus making serial CAC of less value.
• It is calibrated for age 40 years and above.
• As a screening tool, it would entail exposing large numbers of people to radiation
• Preventive cardiology has begun looking ahead to lifetime risk rather than the ten years for CAC and most risk calculators [28,29].

3.18.2. Risk is lifetime, not just a decade and people are living longer with better life expectancy
While it is good that a calcium score of zero makes an acute event less likely in the subsequent decade, looking beyond ten years and considering that atherosclerosis can have effects on other organ systems besides the coronary arteries, it seems worthwhile instituting earlier prevention in even those with CAC 0 who have a high-risk profile, as outlined in this review.

3.18.3. There is compelling evidence and significant agreement, as reviewed above, that
• Atherosclerosis begins early in childhood as LDL-C exceeds 20–40 mg/dl, and then progresses unless therapeutic intervention is instituted.
• Atherosclerosis is essentially universal in the United States and developed countries.
• Powerful evidence shows that the earlier treatment is begun, the more successful it will be.
• Atherosclerosis burdens the young [227–229], middle-aged and old.
• LDL-C is the initial and primary driver of atherosclerosis. The lower the LDL-C that is achieved, and the earlier, the lower the likelihood of atherosclerosis progression and the greater the chance of stabilization or regression.
• There is no apparent clinically significant harm (no signal for neuropsychological impairment/dementia, hemorrhagic stroke, increase in neoplasms, risk for demyelination, etc.) from lowering LDL to even the very lowest levels (< 10 mg/dl) [230,231].
• Statins and other LLT are remarkably safe and the few adverse effects that occur affect far fewer people than the many lives saved, an extremely favorable benefit/risk ratio [195,232–234].
• Other manifestations of atherosclerosis are as dangerous as coronary artery disease and stroke but are mostly unaddressed in prevention. They are not reflected in current risk assessments. The new paradigm would incorporate preserving all vital functions that atherosclerosis can degrade, which early, intensive treatment would likely accomplish.
• Detailed individualization of treatment is preferable to generic tools.
• As valuable as randomized controlled trials are, they are not the only form of valid evidence and they have important limitations, as discussed above. When RCTs are not feasible or adequate to answer important needs, such as diseases that take very long times to develop, that should not prevent establishing important goals and approaches when there is ample other evidence demonstrating their value.
• Considering the considerable ‘residual risk’ and the high potential of reducing it with precise, early, intensive treatment, it seems urgent that new approaches as described herein be adopted to reduce that toll. As numerous references noted above have said, if treatment were to begin early and intensively, atherosclerosis could become so rare as to be an ‘orphan disease’ in all who followed the advice.

3.19. Early and intensive precision prevention can be cost effective
The downside of early detection and management of atherosclerosis is that so many more Americans would require treatment. Can we afford to take care of them all? A better question would be: can we afford not to? The American Heart Association estimated current direct and indirect costs of cardiovascular disease in 2021 and projected to 2035 if trends continue [235], listed in Table 2. Indirect costs are major contributors to cost of atherosclerosis, even with only ASCVD events being assessed [236].

Dementia currently costs the United States $305 billion [237], also likely to at least double by 2035 if the trends noted above apply. Since the US population is aging rapidly, an even faster increase in costs for dementia seem likely. Not included in these numbers are the costs of all the other manifestations of atherosclerosis and the effect on vigor of aging. Correcting disparities in healthcare would also bring considerable savings [238]. If the projections are fulfilled, within thirteen years US expense for these diseases will well exceed $1 trillion. The cost of the early, precise, and intensive treatment of atherosclerosis as reviewed above would likely cost a fraction of that, especially when early detection means beginning management at a stage that would be much more effective and less costly. There would be huge savings from prevented acute events, procedures and long-term complications [239]. Increased productivity and reduced presenteeism from chronic atherosclerotic disease would also bring considerable cost savings.

The cost effectiveness of generic statins has been reported. The study of screening and treating FH in the very young also showed cost-effectiveness [240–242]. At current costs (The Medical Letter, September 23, 2019), rosuvastatin costs the pharmacy $144 per year. Ezetimibe $444 per year. Giving both would cost $588 per year. There were about 100 million Americans ages 20–44 in the 2020 census. If 20% qualified for treatment under the recommendations in this paper and half of those agreed to treatment, that would cost about $6 billion per year for the cost of the two drugs, which would be about 0.14% of US healthcare expenditures of around $3.4 trillion in 2021. Even counting the costs of laboratory testing and doctors’ visits it would still be a tiny percentage. While a more detailed accounting is beyond the scope of this paper, early treatment appears to be cost effective, as has been judged by multiple analyses [243–245].

Table 2
Direct and indirect costs of specific atherosclerotic disease current and projected to 2035, adapted from AHA report [235].

| Condition            | Current total of direct and indirect costs (in billions of dollars) | Projected 2035 total costs (in billions of dollars) |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Hypertension         | 110                                                                 | 221                                               |
| GHD                  | 188                                                                 | 366                                               |
| Congestive Heart Failure | 29                                                           | 64                                               |
| Stroke               | 67                                                                  | 143                                               |
| Totals               | 394                                                                | 794                                               |
Factors to consider in assessing risk of atherosclerosis and individualizing treatment.

| Factor                                      | Details of increased risk                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Presence of plaque in any vascular bed     | Either non-calculated as seen on ultrasound or other modalities and/or calcified plaque seen in aorta, peripheral arteries or by CAC. Plaque is a sign of advanced atherosclerosis. Calcified plaque is an even later finding. Thickening intimal-media is also of concern and is the earliest sign. |
| Insulin resistance, diabetes, metabolic syndrome* | Adiposopathy [261], insulin resistance, prediabetes, diabetes, metabolic syndrome [253], excess visceral fat. Hemoglobin A1C predicts subclinical atherosclerosis [254]. |
| Hypertension*                               | Essential, secondary, or primary aldosteronism [154]; Blood pressure is safest at or below 120/70 mm Hg at any age [255–258] |
| Elevated Lipoprotein (a)                    | Levels > 75 Nmol/L [265–269]; Elevated levels are likely as common as 20% of the population. A major contributor to ASCVD and calcific aortic stenosis. Also increases risk of stroke in children [263, 270]. Measurement in nmol/L is preferable to mg/dL. |
| Familial Hypercholesterolemia [271]*        | Heterozygous FH is the most common monogenic condition, affecting between 1 in 200–300 Americans, and as frequent as 1 in 24 of those with ASCVD [272] |
| Elevated hsCRP [273] or GlycA [274–276]*    | Indicators of active inflammation. However, hsCRP can be lower at times despite even advanced atherosclerosis with plaque (probably due to temporary inactivity of inflammation). A normal hsCRP does not negate the risks of other important factors, as inflammation activity can wax and wane. High Sensitivity CRP > 1.0 mg/dl denotes even higher risk. |
| LDL-C, non-HDL-C, ApoB*                     | LDL-C of 20–40 mg/dl seems to be the healthy level for humans from birth on, but impractical to achieve in developed societies. Apolipoproteins are the primary cause of atherosclerosis [277]. ApoB and non-HDL-C can help refine atherogenic particle levels. |
| Triglycerides*                              | Shai and Rosenom [278–281] Risk begins to increase above 100 mg/dl [282] Post-prandial surge important as well. |
| Remnant Cholesterol                         | Remnant cholesterol (approximation) = Total cholesterol – HDL-C – LDL-C. Levels above 10 mg/dl indicate risk [283]. Apolipoprotein B levels and non-HDL Cholesterol also include atherogenic remnants and are likely more predictive than LDL-C alone. |
| Age**                                       | Risk increases with age (age is the most determinant factor in risk calculators). Even over age 75 years, treatment is effective, safe and appropriate [284–289] |
| Family history*                             | Early ASCVD, diabetes, hypertension [290] all increase risk for descendants [291–296] |
| Obesity, visceral fat                       | Major cause of metabolic syndrome and atherosclerosis, even when at first ‘metabolically healthy’ [252,297–301]. |
| Chronic kidney disease*                     | CKD and atherosclerosis each increases risk and pathology of the other [302–305] |
| Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease           | Closely related to atherosclerosis and contributory to it [169], |
| Other co-morbidities                        | Hypo- or hyperthyroidism [306], gout [307], sleep apnea [308], gut microbiome (theoretical) [309], |
| Mental health [358]                         | Depression (associated and possibly causal [359]), stress [360], anxiety or anger syndromes [361], |

Factors to consider in assessing risk of atherosclerosis and individualizing treatment (continued).

| Factor                                      | Details of increased risk                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Cushing’s syndrome [310], many others.      | The microbiome is not currently actionable.                                                |
| Some medications                           | Some increase LDL (Corticosteroids [311], Androgenic steroids [312], Progestogens, Thiazide diuretics, Beta-blockers, Retinoid acid derivatives, Oral estrogens [313]). Chronic corticosteroids increase risks even at low doses. Many others [314]. |
| Substance use*                              | Tobacco [315,316], marijuana [317–319], alcohol [320], cocaine [321]                    |
| Autoimmune disease*                         | Rheumatoid arthritis [322,323], Systemic Lupus Erythematosus [324], psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis [325,326], ankylosing spondylitis [327], scleroderma [328], inflammatory bowel disease [329], probably others |
| Race/ethnicity (All people are complex genetic mixtures, but some genetic factors are alerted by ethnicity in some cases. While of course not universal or definitive, Race/ethnicity can signal risk requiring deeper evaluation)* | Immunological disease and inflammation elsewhere in the body [82,159]; clonal hematopoesis of indeterminate potential (CHIP) [160–163]; neutrophil extracellular traps [164–167]; Genetic factors and social determinants of health Knowledge and applicability are developing rapidly, already useful for FH and some other genetic variants [330–334] |
| Other lab parameters                        | Elevated Microalbumin/creatinine ratio [343,344], even in children [104]. High uric acid [345,346], low vitamin D [347], Periodontitis [383], elevated ceramides [348] and others [384] are associated with increased risk of atherosclerosis, though causation remains to be fully determined and thus treatment not yet justified just to reduce risk of atherosclerosis. Their presence implies increased risk even if causation not proven. Thus, a high uric acid would raise concern but lowering uric acid just for that reason is not indicated. |
| Female reproductive*                        | Premature menopause, high cholesterol in pregnancy (cholesterol usually increases in pregnancy and in menopause), preeclampsia, eclampsia, gestational diabetes mellitus and polycystic ovary syndrome all increase risk [350–352] |
| Social factors*                             | Socioeconomic status [353]; discrimination [288,354] and financial barriers to access to healthcare [355]. Culture, beliefs, life views, etc. that affect use of medical science. Lack of belief in science. Desire for ‘natural’ approach. Poor compliance and long-term adherence [356,357]. Unjustified fear of LLT medications [36] |

(continued on next page)
True informed consent and shared decision making ethically require appraoch to manage their atherosclerosis and prevent complications. Guidelines recommend physicians engage in shared decision making and that it respects patient autonomy and dignity. Currently, patients are often informed of one approach to manage their atherosclerosis and prevent complications. True informed consent and shared decision making ethically requires that patients be informed about all well-founded approaches, such as the differences from current guidelines reviewed here and urged by many of the leading figures in preventive cardiology and lipidology for decades.

3.20. Lack of informed consent

Guidelines recommend physicians engage in shared decision making with patients, which we unequivocally endorse, as it respects patient autonomy and dignity. Currently, patients are often informed of one approach to manage their atherosclerosis and prevent complications. True informed consent and shared decision making ethically requires that patients be informed about all well-founded approaches, such as the differences from current guidelines reviewed here and urged by many of the leading figures in preventive cardiology and lipidology for decades.

3.21. Summary of specific recommendations

Based on all the above, we make the following recommendations:

- Principles:
  - Atherosclerosis is by far the greatest cause of disease, disability, death and cost. It should be the number one priority of the healthcare system.
  - Risk is proportional to the level of LDL-C and the duration of the exposure and increased by enhancing factors. Thus, the earlier elevated LDL-C is lowered, the better.
  - That means screening at the earliest age possible.
  - Each patient should be evaluated thoroughly and individually for all factors that contribute to risk (Table 3).
  - The optimal LDL-C appears to be the level present at birth (20–40 mg/dl).
  - That is probably not necessary for everyone nor likely practical to attain widely.
  - We recommend:
    - Progression of atherosclerosis seems unlikely when all these conditions are met:
      - LDL-C in all previous years never exceeds 85 mg/dl

| Table 3 (continued) | Details of increased risk |
|----------------------|---------------------------|

| Lifestyle [362]* | Atherogenic diet (highly processed food, high salt and simple carbohydrates, poorly balanced nutrition) [363–366]; saturated fat [367–370]; trimethylamine-N-oxide (TMAO) [371, 372]. Inadequate aerobic and resistance exercise [373,374]. Excess sedentary time independent of exercise [375–377]. Mediterranean diet [378], vegan diet [379,380], DASH Diet [102] are proven much healthier and less atherogenic. Overweight, obesity and (most important) excess visceral fat. |

| Environment | This virus causes significant endothelial changes in many arteries. This causes immediate cardiac pathology in some patients, even in mild cases. Whether it will have long-term consequences remains to be seen, but all COVID patients should be carefully monitored for the development of cardiac problems over time and for accelerated atherosclerosis. |

| Acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) | Factors not included in risk calculators. |
|-------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
| Required by calculator | Patient A | Patient B |
| Age | 40 | 40 |
| Gender | Male | Male |
| Race | Non-African | Non-African |
| Strong family history of ASCVD | No | Yes |
| Hemoglobin A1C (%) | 5.4 | 6.3 |
| Waist circumference (inches) | 35 | 42 |
| Lipoprotein (a) (mg/dl) | 70 | 250 |
| AHA/ACC Risk Score by calculator (%) | 0.6% | 0.9% |

Consider two hypothetical male non-African-American patients, each 40 years old and their AHA Risk Calculator scores as follows (Patient B has a common profile) (Table 4). The latest guidelines recommend taking ancillary factors into account, as for Patient B, but with a risk score below 1%, most calculator users would be unlikely to recommend treatment for Patient B, yet he appears at very high risk of an acute event in the relatively near future, as well as slow-developing manifestations of atherosclerosis. Changing only the age for Patient B to 60 years in the AHA Risk Calculator means a Risk Score of only 6.8%, still below the treatment threshold of 7.5%. Every decade of delay in treatment could mean risk of an acute event, damage to other organs, poor aging and greater physiological resistance to treatment once finally begun. Treating Patient B at age 40 or younger would likely prevent premature morbidity and mortality and would be significantly easier, safer and more effective.

- Non-HDL-C is below 100 mg/dl
- There are no significant enhancing factors
- The patient is fit and follows a healthy lifestyle (such as the AHA Seven Healthy Habits)
- Medications would not be indicated for these patients unless they develop plaque, but of course continued healthy lifestyle is vital.
- These patients should be evaluated yearly to estimate risk if and when it develops.
- Treatment to reduce or at least halt progression of intimal changes is indicated when one or a combination of the following exists:
  - Patients have LDL-C consistently greater than 100 mg/dl or non-HDL-C > 110 mg/dl.
  - Plaque
  - Significant enhancing factors
  - Established ASCVD.
- Studies have shown that reversal of plaque begins when LDL-C falls below approximately 60–80 mg/dl and treatment is begun before significant scarring and calcification occurs [246–248].
- That should also make new intimal thickening, plaque and intraarterial thrombi much less likely, if blood pressure and all other factors are well controlled.
- Thus, getting LDL-C below 40 mg/dl seems the most effective goal for patients in the category of more advanced atherosclerosis.
- Very advanced disease or very high risk would benefit from lowering LDL-C < 20 mg/dl.
- There are now excellent, safe treatments to achieve these goals in most patients.
As duration of arterial exposure to excess cholesterol is the other primary determinant, the earlier and faster those lower levels are achieved, the better.

It requires only six weeks to see the effect of most medication changes, so physicians can act quickly to ensure getting patients to goal as soon as possible to reduce continued exposure of the vascular bed to excess cholesterol.

It is very important to identify all enhancing factors and to do all possible to correct as many as are amenable to improvement.

The presence of plaque on imaging should spur even more careful management.

If atherosclerosis can be recognized and controlled from an early age, residual risk could be virtually eliminated, and the “epidemic of vascular disease” ended.

Most patients are encountered later in life.

If they have had lifelong low LDL-C, no plaque and no risk factors, as noted, observe only.

If they have more advanced signs, as noted above (LDL-C consistently greater than 100 mg/dl or non-HDL-C > 110 mg/dl, plaque, significant enhancing factors, and/or established ASCVD), then treatment to goal < 40 mg/dl.

If they have more severe disease – any one or more of many significant risk factors, major plaque, high CAC, history of ASCVD events or other complications of atherosclerosis (Mild Cognitive Impairment, PAD, etc.), then LDL-C goal should be < 20 mg/dl.

In all cases, it is critical to manage all controllable enhancing factors.

Screening should begin as early as possible

Whenever a patient is first encountered

Screening from birth would have even better results, as follows:

In the first year: test Lipoprotein (a) and LDL-C (high Lipoprotein (a) increases the risk of stroke in children and FH needs to be treated very early, depending on severity).

Within eight years, do a full lipid panel.

If it indicates Familial Hypercholesterolemia not previously detected, treat immediately in cooperation with the pediatrician and follow closely. (Note discovery of FH should always be accompanied by cascade screening of blood relatives)

Other than FH, if there are no significant enhancing factors and LDL-C is below 85 mg/dl, improved lifestyle is likely all that is needed. Regular follow-up is important to detect changes.

If there are significant enhancing factors (Table 3), consider lifestyle management for six months. If no improvement in lifestyle, weight, lipids and other factors, statin and ezetimibe might be needed from age eight on.

Currently statins are used sparingly in children and only for FH. Those studies show LLT to be effective and safe beginning as early as age 8 and for over 20 years. It seems unlikely to expect a different safety profile in children with dyslipidemia short of full FH [249-251].

Children deemed at very high risk can be considered for treatment even at an early age depending on individual cases and clinical judgment.

When a person enters care by age 13 on:

If there is evidence that LDL-C has not exceeded 85–100 mg/dl previously and there are no significant enhancing factors, continue to observe yearly and encourage healthy lifestyle.

When there is intimal thickening or plaque or enhancing factors that cannot first be reversed by lifestyle change, then more aggressive efforts can be considered.

Plaque can be surprisingly present in even young adults, sometimes without obvious causes.

Few between 20–50 years old would be rated eligible for treatment by current guidelines but many would benefit from early intervention.

Screening for plaque can be useful to detect those cases at an early stage.

Carotid Intimal-medial Thickness (CIMT) by 2D B-mode ultrasound, if it can be done reliably, detects atherosclerosis at the earliest stage. However, it is very operator dependent and is useful only where dedicated technique can be carefully controlled.

3D carotid plaque burden by ultrasound is more reliable and can follow plaque development serially.

Any presence of arterial plaque in the aorta, femoral artery, etc., signifies plaque and advanced atherosclerosis.

If risk is much higher, then CT angiography and, if necessary, MRA (Magnetic Resonance Angiography) can be considered.

CAC is calibrated only for those over 40 years of age.

The goal for LDL-C when plaque is present is at least < 38 mg/dl (plus control of all enhancing factors).

Those at higher risk (longstanding high cholesterol, major plaque, prior events and/or major enhancing factors), will in most cases require lowering LDL-C to below 20 mg/dl, as in the PCSK9 trials.

Considerations in female patients

Pregnancy, complications of pregnancy, menopause, Polycystic Ovary Disease and other such factors unique to women tend to elevate cholesterol to high levels and increase risk later in life. While the cholesterol elevations might be of shorter duration, the risk is still considerable even in women with no other risk factors.

Treatment would be indicated immediately after pregnancy, breastfeeding and future pregnancy are no longer issues.

3.22. Summary

Concern for costs tend to dominate discussions of public policy, but the human suffering from disease, disability, and death caused by atherosclerosis is overwhelming and far more important. As Brown and Goldstein said, it is time to end the epidemic and, as Peter Libby said, demote atherosclerosis from the leading killer to a rare disease. We should do the same for all the other atherosclerotic-driven diseases.

Assessing precisely, beginning very early, and achieving intensive goals has been shown to be efficacious, safe and cost effective. With the urging of so many leaders in the field for so long and the compelling evidence laid out in this review, the question remains: what is the profession waiting for? There is that fierce urgency of now: every day of delay means more people losing arterial health, with all the tragic consequences that result. We have the means; do we have the will?
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