Efficacy and safety of once-weekly semaglutide in Japanese individuals with type 2 diabetes by baseline age and body mass index
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ABSTRACT

Aims/Introduction: Many East Asians with type 2 diabetes are elderly and have a low body mass index (BMI), especially in ‘super-aged’ populations, such as Japan. This post-hoc analysis assessed once-weekly semaglutide efficacy and safety in Japanese individuals with type 2 diabetes across baseline age and BMI subgroups.

Materials and Methods: Data were derived from the Semaglutide Unabated Sustainability in Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes (SUSTAIN) Japan monotherapy and SUSTAIN Japan oral antidiabetes drug (OAD) combination trials comparing once-weekly semaglutide with sitagliptin or OADs, respectively. Participants were grouped by baseline age (<65 and ≥65 years) and/or BMI (<25 and ≥25 kg/m²). Reductions from baseline in glycosylated hemoglobin and bodyweight (efficacy), and adverse events (safety) were assessed.

Results: In this analysis, participants from the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial (n = 308; n per subgroup; range, 8–73) and SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial (n = 601; n per subgroup; range, 20–168) were included. Reductions in glycosylated hemoglobin and bodyweight were numerically greater with semaglutide versus comparators across all age and BMI subgroups. Reductions from baseline in glycosylated hemoglobin ranged from −1.7 to −2.1 with semaglutide 0.5 mg, −1.8 to −2.4 with semaglutide 1.0 mg and −0.6 to −1.0 with comparators. Corresponding ranges for bodyweight (kg) were −1.0 to −2.5, −2.4 to −4.3 and 1.0 to −1.0 kg, respectively. The safety profile of semaglutide was broadly similar across BMI and age subgroups.

Conclusions: In this post-hoc analysis with modest subgroup numbers, once-weekly semaglutide appeared consistently more efficacious versus comparators across age and BMI subgroups in Japanese patients, with a similar safety profile.

INTRODUCTION

In East Asian people, the etiology of type 2 diabetes is characterized by β-cell dysfunction1–3 and relatively low insulin secretion capacity has been reported.4 Furthermore, East Asian people with type 2 diabetes on average have a lower body mass index (BMI), compared with white and Western populations,5 with dietary differences potentially influencing the effect of anti-hyperglycemic medications.1 Japan is also a ‘super-aged’ society with a large number of elderly people (aged ≥75 years) with late-stage type 2 diabetes. In elderly populations with a low BMI, individualized treatment and considerations of frailty may be particularly important.6

For approval in Japan, regulations require antihyperglycemic drugs to be evaluated in Japanese people with type 2 diabetes. Once-weekly (OW) subcutaneous semaglutide, a glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) analog approved for the treatment of type 2 diabetes in Japan,7 was studied in the Semaglutide Unabated Sustainability in Treatment of Type 2 Diabetes (SUSTAIN)
clinical trial program, which included two trials in Japanese individuals. These trials evaluated the safety and efficacy of OW semaglutide as monotherapy (SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial [NCT02254291] vs sitagliptin monotherapy)\(^8\) and as monotherapy or in addition to one baseline oral antidiabetes drug (OAD; SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial [NCT02207374] vs additional OAD).\(^9\)

The aim of this post-hoc exploratory analysis was to assess semaglutide efficacy and safety versus comparators in Japanese individuals with type 2 diabetes across subgroups defined by baseline age and BMI.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial (NCT02254291) compared OW semaglutide with sitagliptin,\(^8\) the most widely used antihyperglycemic drug in Japan. The trial included 308 Japanese individuals with type 2 diabetes aged ≥20 years and treated with either diet/exercise alone or with OAD monotherapy who were randomized 1:1:1 to receive OW semaglutide 0.5 mg, OW semaglutide 1.0 mg or sitagliptin 100 mg for 30 weeks (after an 8-week washout period, for those taking an OAD). This trial was carried out to meet the ‘Guideline for Clinical Evaluation of Oral Hypoglycemic Agents’ issued by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, which advises that new drugs are assessed as monotherapy to investigate their isolated effects.\(^10\)

The SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial (NCT02207374) compared OW semaglutide with OAD therapy added to either diet/exercise or existing OAD monotherapy (sulfonylurea, glinide, α-glucosidase inhibitor or thiazolidinedione).\(^9\) In the trial, 601 Japanese individuals with type 2 diabetes aged ≥20 years were randomized 2:2:1 to OW semaglutide 0.5 mg, OW semaglutide 1.0 mg or one additional OAD with a different mode of action to background therapy, for 56 weeks. The SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial was carried out to meet the ‘Guideline for Clinical Evaluation of Oral Hypoglycemic Agents’ issued by the Japanese Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare, which advises that new drugs are assessed against a marketed OAD to investigate their safety.\(^10\)

Both of the SUSTAIN Japan trials were carried out in compliance with the International Conference on Harmonization Good Clinical Practice guidelines\(^11\) and the Declaration of Helsinki.\(^12\) The protocols for the trials were reviewed and approved as required according to local regulations (a full list of the institutional review boards is provided in Table S1). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

The primary end-point in the SUSTAIN Japan trials was safety, including the incidence of adverse events (AEs) in the monotherapy and OAD combination trials.\(^8,9\)

**Post-hoc analyses**

Data from the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy and the SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trials were evaluated separately according to baseline age subgroups and baseline BMI subgroups.

Baseline age subgroups were defined according to the traditional Japanese definition of ‘elderly’ (i.e. age <65 years or ≥65 years).\(^13\) Baseline BMI subgroups were defined according to the Japanese definition for obesity (i.e. BMI <25 kg/m\(^2\) or ≥25 kg/m\(^2\)).\(^14\) Combined age and BMI subgroups were defined by age <65 years and BMI <25 kg/m\(^2\), age ≥65 years and BMI ≥25 kg/m\(^2\), age ≥65 years and BMI <25 kg/m\(^2\) or age ≥65 years and BMI ≥25 kg/m\(^2\).

Efficacy end-points evaluated according to the baseline subgroups included: the changes in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA\(_{1c}\)) and bodyweight from baseline to end of trial, the proportion of participants achieving HbA\(_{1c}\) <7.0% and ≤6.5% at end of trial, and the proportions of participants with ≥5% and ≥10% bodyweight loss from baseline to end of trial.

Safety end-points evaluated according to the baseline subgroups included: the incidence of overall AEs, including data by severity; incidence of AEs leading to premature treatment discontinuation; incidence of gastrointestinal AEs, including nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, constipation and abdominal discomfort; and incidence of blood glucose (BG)-confirmed symptomatic hypoglycemia, which was defined as an episode that was severe according to the American Diabetes Association classification\(^15\) or confirmed by plasma glucose <3.1 mmol/L (56 mg/dL), with symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia.

**Statistical analysis**

All efficacy end-points are summarized or analyzed using ‘on-treatment without rescue medication’ data from participants in the full analysis set, whereas the safety end-points are descriptively summarized using ‘on-treatment’ data from participants in the safety analysis set.

Change from baseline at scheduled post-baseline visits in HbA\(_{1c}\) and bodyweight were analyzed separately using a mixed model for repeated measurements with treatment, subgroup at baseline, and interaction between treatment and subgroup as fixed factors, and baseline value as the covariate, all nested within visit. From the model, treatment means and treatment differences (semaglutide– comparator) for each subgroup were estimated. Mean estimates were adjusted according to the observed baseline distribution in each subgroup. For participants achieving HbA\(_{1c}\) targets, or weight loss ≥5% or ≥10%, missing data at week 30 (SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy) or week 56 (SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination) were imputed from predicted values from the mixed model for repeated measurements applied in the primary manuscripts and subsequently classified.\(^8,9\) The proportions of participants achieving these targets were reported for the subgroups.

AE data were summarized descriptively as the number of participants, percentage of the safety analysis set, number of events and event rate per 100 years of treatment exposure.
RESULTS

Participants and subgroup stratification

In total, 308 and 601 participants were enrolled in the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy and SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trials, respectively. One participant in the OAD arm for the SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial was not exposed to an additional OAD, so was not included in the full analysis set.9

Of the participants randomized to the semaglutide 0.5 mg (n = 103), semaglutide 1.0 mg (n = 102) and sitagliptin (n = 103) arms of the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial, 66, 63 and 73, respectively, were aged <65 years; 37, 39 and 30, respectively, were aged ≥65 years; 57, 56 and 59, respectively, had a BMI <25 kg/m²; and 46, 46, and 44, respectively, had a BMI ≥25 kg/m². After stratification by both age and BMI, the respective numbers of participants in each subgroup were: 32, 29 and 37 (age <65 years and BMI <25 kg/m²); 34, 34 and 36 (age <65 years and BMI ≥25 kg/m²); 25, 27 and 22 (age ≥65 years and BMI <25 kg/m²); and 12, 12 and 8 (age ≥65 years and BMI ≥25 kg/m²).

Of the participants randomized to semaglutide 0.5 mg (n = 239), semaglutide 1.0 mg (n = 241) and additional OAD (n = 120) arms of the SUSTAIN Japan OAD trial, 162, 168 and 77, respectively, were aged <65 years; 77, 73 and 43, respectively, were aged ≥65 years; 105, 102 and 49, respectively, had a BMI <25 kg/m²; and 134, 139 and 71, respectively, had a BMI ≥25 kg/m². After stratification by both age and BMI, the respective participant numbers were 57, 59 and 29 for age <65 years and BMI <25 kg/m²; 105, 109 and 48 for age <65 years and BMI ≥25 kg/m²; 48, 43 and 20 for age ≥65 years and BMI <25 kg/m²; and 29, 30 and 23 for age ≥65 years and BMI ≥25 kg/m².

Baseline characteristics

The baseline characteristics of the participants, according to combined baseline age and BMI subgroups, are shown in Table 1; baseline characteristics according to individual baseline age or baseline BMI subgroups are shown in Table S2.

HbA₁c

Reductions in HbA₁c were greater in participants receiving semaglutide (both doses) compared with those receiving sitagliptin monotherapy or additional OAD regardless of baseline age (Figure 1a) or baseline BMI (Figure 1b), and across all age and BMI subgroups (Figure 1c). In the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial, reductions in HbA₁c were greater in participants receiving semaglutide 0.5 mg (range across subgroups −1.7 to −2.1%-points) or semaglutide 1.0 mg (range across subgroups −1.8 to −2.4%-points) compared with sitagliptin monotherapy (range across subgroups −0.6 to −1.0%-points), with little variation in the magnitude of the change across subgroups; a similar pattern was seen in the SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial (semaglutide 0.5 mg range across subgroups: −1.8 to −2.1% points; additional OAD range across subgroups: −0.6 to −0.8% points). HbA₁c reductions in participants receiving semaglutide 1.0 mg were numerically greater than or similar to those observed in participants receiving semaglutide 0.5 mg across all subgroups.

Bodyweight

Reductions in bodyweight were highest in participants receiving semaglutide 1.0 mg, and greater with semaglutide (both doses) than with sitagliptin monotherapy or an additional OAD across all age subgroups (Figure 2a), all BMI subgroups (Figure 2b), and all age and BMI subgroups (Figure 2c). In the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial, reductions in bodyweight were greater in participants receiving semaglutide 0.5 mg (range across subgroups −2.0 to −2.5 kg) or semaglutide 1.0 mg (range across subgroups −2.9 to −4.3 kg) compared with sitagliptin monotherapy (range across subgroups: +0.4 to −1.0 kg). In the SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial, reductions were also greater in participants receiving semaglutide 0.5 mg (range across subgroups −1.0 to −2.4 kg) or semaglutide 1.0 mg (range across subgroups −2.4 to −4.0 kg) than in those receiving an additional OAD (range across subgroups −0.0 to 1.0 kg).

Treatment targets

The proportion of participants achieving HbA₁c <7.0% and HbA₁c ≤6.5% was greater with semaglutide (both doses) than in those receiving sitagliptin monotherapy or additional OAD across all age, BMI, and age and BMI subgroups (Figure 3a,b; Figure S1a,b); these proportions were numerically greater with semaglutide 1.0 mg versus 0.5 mg, with the exception of the ≥65 years and ≥25 kg/m² BMI subgroup. The proportion of participants in this subgroup achieving HbA₁c <7% in the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial was equal for both semaglutide doses, and the proportion of participants in this subgroup achieving HbA₁c <7% and ≤6.5% in the SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial was equal or greater in those receiving semaglutide 0.5 mg versus 1.0 mg (Figure 3a,b). Across all subgroups in the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial, the proportions of participants achieving HbA₁c <7.0% ranged from 74 to 100% with semaglutide 0.5 mg, and 93 to 100% with semaglutide 1.0 mg, versus 28 to 50% with sitagliptin monotherapy; the proportions achieving HbA₁c <6.5% ranged from 59 to 78% with semaglutide 0.5 mg, and 82 to 93% with semaglutide 1.0 mg, versus 13 to 23% with sitagliptin monotherapy. Across all subgroups in the SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial, the proportions of participants achieving HbA₁c <7.0% ranged from 74 to 100% with semaglutide 0.5 mg, and 83 to 100% with semaglutide 1.0 mg, versus 31–50% for those receiving an additional OAD; the proportions achieving HbA₁c <6.5% ranged from 59 to 100% with semaglutide 0.5 mg, and 71 to 91% with semaglutide 1.0 mg, versus 9 to 25% for those receiving an additional OAD.

The proportion of participants with a weight loss from baseline of ≥5% was highest in those receiving semaglutide 1.0 mg,
Table 1 | Participant disposition and baseline characteristics according to combined baseline age and body mass index subgroups in the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and the SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial

| SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial | OW semaglutide 0.5 mg | OW semaglutide 1.0 mg | Sitagliptin |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| **Age (year)**                 | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  |
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  |
| **Participant disposition, n (%)** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Randomized                     | 32   | 34   | 25   | 12   | 29   | 34   | 27   | 12   | 37   | 36   | 22   | 8    |
| Trial completers               | 32 (100) | 34 (100) | 25 (100) | 12 (100) | 28 (96.6) | 33 (97.1) | 26 (96.3) | 12 (100) | 36 (97.3) | 36 (100) | 21 (95.5) | 8 (100) |
| Premature treatment discontinuation | 1 (3.1) | 0    | 1 (4.0) | 1 (4.0) | 5 (17.2) | 2 (5.9) | 6 (22.2) | 2 (16.7) | 2 (5.4) | 0    | 1 (4.5) | 0    |
| **Participant characteristics** | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age (years)                    | 53.9 (7.9) | 51.9 (8.0) | 69.5 (3.6) | 68.9 (4.0) | 54.1 (7.3) | 48.1 (8.6) | 69.9 (3.9) | 69.7 (3.6) | 55.1 (6.2) | 50.9 (7.9) | 69.2 (4.1) | 71.5 (6.1) |
| Male, n (%)                    | 24 (75.0) | 25 (73.5) | 20 (80.0) | 10 (83.3) | 22 (75.9) | 24 (70.6) | 19 (70.4) | 10 (83.3) | 28 (75.7) | 28 (77.8) | 18 (81.8) | 7 (87.5) |
| HbA1c (%)                      | 8.3 (1.0) | 8.4 (1.2) | 7.8 (0.7) | 8.6 (0.8) | 8.3 (0.8) | 8.2 (1.0) | 7.7 (0.6) | 7.5 (0.4) | 8.2 (0.9) | 8.1 (0.9) | 8.3 (1.0) | 8.3 (0.7) |
| FPG (mg/dL)                    | 163.6 (36.6) | 176.2 (44.1) | 155.8 (29.1) | 165.3 (30.9) | 172.7 (37.3) | 171.7 (30.4) | 156.8 (30.1) | 145.5 (20.7) | 173.8 (31.1) | 165.2 (30.0) | 173.7 (49.6) | 183.8 (37.0) |
| Diabetes duration (years)      | 90.0 (5.4) | 52.4 (2.1) | 106.4 (4.4) | 7.9 (6.1) | 80.4 (4.8) | 46.8 (8.8) | 12.1 (9.4) | 70.6 (6.6) | 70.4 (4.8) | 46.8 (8.8) | 12.1 (9.4) | 70.6 (6.6) |
| Bodyweight (kg)                | 62.3 (8.1) | 78.2 (10.9) | 60.0 (7.6) | 69.2 (7.0) | 63.2 (9.7) | 85.1 (17.0) | 58.8 (7.7) | 75.8 (7.0) | 65.3 (8.9) | 80.8 (10.1) | 57.2 (7.7) | 70.8 (8.9) |
| BMI (kg/m²)                    | 22.6 (1.9) | 28.7 (3.4) | 22.6 (1.9) | 26.7 (2.2) | 22.7 (2.2) | 31.2 (5.0) | 22.2 (1.9) | 28.2 (1.6) | 23.3 (1.4) | 28.9 (2.7) | 21.8 (1.9) | 26.1 (1.2) |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²)          | 99.2 (19.4) | 96.6 (19.6) | 97.7 (22.0) | 88.3 (14.9) | 109.0 (20.8) | 109.8 (23.6) | 92.1 (17.6) | 95.3 (18.6) | 104.1 (17.4) | 99.7 (20.2) | 86.0 (12.6) | 94.4 (17.2) |

| SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial | OW semaglutide 0.5 mg | OW semaglutide 1.0 mg | Additional OAD |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------|
| **Age (year)**                      | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  | <65  | ≥65  |
| BMI (kg/m²)                         | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  | <25  | ≥25  |
| **Participant disposition, n (%)**  | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Randomized                          | 57   | 105  | 48   | 29   | 59   | 109  | 43   | 30   | 29   | 48   | 20   | 23   |
| Trial completers                    | 57 (100) | 100 (95.2) | 47 (97.9) | 48 (29) | 54 (91.5) | 105 (96.3) | 42 (97.7) | 43 (30) | 29 (100) | 45 (93.8) | 20 (100) | 21 (91.3) |
| Premature treatment discontinuation  | 1 (1.8) | 0.57 | 6 (1.25) | 2 (6.9) | 11 (18.6) | 8 (7.3) | 14 (23.2) | 1 (3.3) |
| **Participant characteristics**     | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Age (years)                         | 56.5 (5.4) | 50.2 (8.2) | 70.2 (43) | 69.1 (3.5) | 56.3 (6.6) | 52.6 (8.5) | 70.2 (3.8) | 69.4 (3.7) | 57.7 (5.2) | 51.2 (8.4) | 70.0 (4.1) | 68.4 (3.2) |
Table 1. (Continued)

SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial

| Age (years) | BMI (kg/m²) | OW semaglutide 0.5 mg | OW semaglutide 1.0 mg | Additional OAD |
|------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|            | <25         | ≥25                   | <25                   | ≥25            |
|            | <25         | ≥25                   | <25                   | ≥25            |
| Male, n (%)|             |                       |                       |                |
| HbA₁c (%)  |             |                       |                       |                |
| HbA₁c (mmol/mol) |             |                       |                       |                |
| FPG (mg/dL) |             |                       |                       |                |
| FPG (mmol/L) |             |                       |                       |                |
| Diabetes duration (years) |             |                       |                       |                |
| Bodyweight (kg) |             |                       |                       |                |
| eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m²) |             |                       |                       |                |

All data are the mean (standard deviation) unless otherwise stated, in the full analysis set. The estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was estimated from serum creatinine using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease formula. BMI, body mass index; FPG, fasting plasma glucose; HbA₁c, glycosylated hemoglobin; n, number of participants; OAD, oral antidiabetes drug; OW, once weekly.
Figure 1 | Change from baseline to trial end in glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) according to (a) baseline age subgroup, (b) baseline body mass index (BMI) subgroup, and (c) baseline age and BMI subgroup. *Overall means are based on values from participants across all three treatment arms. Data from the ‘on-treatment without rescue medication’ observation period from the full analysis set were included in the analysis. The mean change from baseline at the end of the treatment and mean treatment differences (semaglutide – comparator) were estimated using a mixed model for repeated measurements with treatment, subgroup at baseline, and interaction between treatment and subgroup as fixed factors, and the baseline value as covariate, all nested within the visit. CI, confidence interval; ETD, estimated treatment difference; mono, monotherapy; OAD, oral antidiabetes drug; OW, once weekly.
**Figure 2** | Change from baseline to trial end in bodyweight according to (a) baseline age subgroup, (b) baseline body mass index (BMI) subgroup, and (c) baseline age and BMI subgroup. *Overall means are based on values from participants across all three treatment arms. Data from the ‘on-treatment without rescue medication’ observation period in the full analysis set were included in the analysis. The mean change from baseline at the end of the treatment and mean treatment differences (semaglutide – comparator) were estimated using a mixed model for repeated measurements with treatment, subgroup at baseline, and interaction between treatment and subgroup as fixed factors, and the baseline value as covariate, all nested within the visit. BW, body weight; CI, confidence interval; ETD, estimated treatment difference; mono, monotherapy; OAD, oral antidiabetes drug; OW, once weekly.

**DISCUSSION**

In the present post-hoc exploratory analysis, the impact of age and BMI on the efficacy and safety profile of OW semaglutide...
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Figure 3 | Proportion of participants achieving glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) <7.0% and ≤6.5% by end of treatment, by baseline age and body mass index (BMI) subgroup, in (a) the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and (b) the SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial. *Overall means are based on values from participants across all three treatment arms. On-treatment without rescue medication data in the full analysis set. Numbers of participants refer to the numbers with available target data. Missing end-of-treatment data were imputed from a mixed model for repeated measurements with treatment and pre-trial treatment at screening as fixed factors, and baseline value as the covariate, all nested within visit, and subsequently classified. mono, monotherapy; OAD, oral antidiabetes drug; OW, once weekly.

Figure 4 | Proportion of participants achieving weight loss ≥5% by the end of treatment, by baseline age and body mass index (BMI) subgroup, in (a) the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and (b) the SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial. *Overall means are based on values from participants across all three treatment arms. Numbers of participants refer to the numbers with available target data. On-treatment without rescue medication data in the full analysis set. Missing end-of-treatment data imputed from a mixed model for repeated measurements with treatment and pre-trial treatment at screening as fixed factors and baseline value as covariate, all nested within visit, and subsequently classified. BW, bodyweight; OAD, oral antidiabetes drug; OW, once weekly.
| Table 2 | Proportion of participants experiencing adverse events according to baseline age and body mass index subgroups in the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and the SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial |

**SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial**

| Age (years) | OW semaglutide 0.5 mg | OW semaglutide 1.0 mg | Sitagliptin |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
|             | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 |
| N           | 32  | 34  | 25  | 12  | 23  | 34  | 27  | 12  | 37  | 36  | 22  | 8  |
| Any AE, n (%) | 23 (71.9) | 25 (73.5) | 19 (76.0) | 10 (83.3) | 23 (79.3) | 21 (61.8) | 19 (70.4) | 10 (83.3) | 25 (67.6) | 22 (61.1) | 16 (72.7) | 5 (62.5) |
| E            | 67  | 53  | 68  | 40  | 70  | 36  | 32  | 32  | 64  | 64  | 43  | 15 |
| R            | 311.1 | 250.9 | 411.4 | 519.6 | 332.9 | 315.7 | 231.1 | 424.4 | 258.4 | 261.9 | 287.8 | 277.3 |
| Severity of AE, n (%) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mild AE      | 21 (65.6) | 24 (70.6) | 18 (72.0) | 10 (83.3) | 22 (75.9) | 18 (52.9) | 19 (70.4) | 9 (75.0) | 25 (67.6) | 22 (61.1) | 15 (68.2) | 5 (62.5) |
| Moderate AE  | 3 (9.4) | 4 (11.8) | 4 (16.0) | 2 (16.7) | 3 (10.3) | 5 (14.7) | 0  | 1 (8.3) | 6 (16.2) | 2 (5.6) | 2 (9.1) | 0  |
| Severe AE    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2 (16.7) | 0  | 1 (2.9) | 0  | 0  | 1 (2.7) | 0  | 1 (4.5) | 0  |
| Serious AEs, n (%) | 2 (6.3) | 0  | 1 (4.0) | 3 (25.0) | 1 (34) | 1 (2.9) | 0  | 0  | 1 (2.7) | 0  | 1 (4.5) | 0  |
| E            | 2  | 0  | 2  | 3  | 1  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 2  | 0  | 1  | 0  |
| R            | 9.3 | 0  | 12.1 | 39.0 | 5.6 | 4.5  | 0  | 0  | 8.1 | 0  | 6.7 | 0  |
| AE leading to premature treatment discontinuation, n (%) | 1 (3.1) | 0  | 1 (4.0) | 1 (83) | 4 (13.8) | 0  | 6 (22.2) | 1 (83) | 1 (2.7) | 0  | 1 (4.5) | 0  |

**SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial**

| Age (years) | OW semaglutide 0.5 mg | OW semaglutide 1.0 mg | Additional OAD |
|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|             | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 | <25 | ≥25 |
| N           | 57  | 105 | 48  | 29  | 59  | 109 | 43  | 30  | 29  | 48  | 20  | 23  |
| Any AE, n (%) | 52 (91.2) | 88 (83.8) | 39 (81.3) | 27 (93.1) | 51 (86.4) | 93 (85.3) | 41 (95.3) | 27 (90.0) | 18 (62.1) | 37 (77.1) | 11 (55.0) | 20 (87.0) |
| Table 2. (Continued) |
|----------------------|
| **SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial** |
| **Age (years)** | **OW semaglutide 0.5 mg** | **OW semaglutide 1.0 mg** | **Additional OAD** |
| | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 | <65 | ≥65 |
| **BMI (kg/m²)** | **<25** | **≥25** | **<25** | **≥25** | **<25** | **≥25** | **<25** | **≥25** |
| E | 220 | 360 | 193 | 136 | 203 | 456 | 183 | 112 |
| R | 333.7 | 300.8 | 371.0 | 408.5 | 331.4 | 373.9 | 467.2 | 325.7 |
| **Severity of AE, n (%)** | | | | | | | | |
| Mild AE | | | | | | | | |
| E | 50 (87.7) | 86 (81.9) | 39 (81.3) | 27 (93.1) | 51 (86.4) | 92 (84.4) | 40 (93.0) | 26 (86.7) |
| R | 333.7 | 300.8 | 371.0 | 408.5 | 331.4 | 373.9 | 467.2 | 325.7 |
| Moderate AE | | | | | | | | |
| E | 4 (7.0) | 16 (15.2) | 6 (1.25) | 3 (10.3) | 3 (5.1) | 17 (15.6) | 5 (1.6) | 4 (13.3) |
| R | 333.7 | 300.8 | 371.0 | 408.5 | 331.4 | 373.9 | 467.2 | 325.7 |
| Severe AE | | | | | | | | |
| E | 2 (3.5) | 6 (5.7) | 1 (2.1) | 1 (3.4) | 1 (1.7) | 1 (0.9) | 5 (1.6) | 1 (3.3) |
| R | 333.7 | 300.8 | 371.0 | 408.5 | 331.4 | 373.9 | 467.2 | 325.7 |
| Serious AEs, n (%) | | | | | | | | |
| E | 4 (7.0) | 9 (8.6) | 4 (8.3) | 2 (6.9) | 1 (1.7) | 5 (4.6) | 5 (11.6) | 1 (3.3) |
| R | 333.7 | 300.8 | 371.0 | 408.5 | 331.4 | 373.9 | 467.2 | 325.7 |
| **Severe or BG-confirmed symptomatic hypoglycemia†**, n (%) | | | | | | | | |
| E | 1 (1.8) | 5 (4.8) | 6 (1.25) | 2 (6.9) | 8 (13.6) | 6 (5.5) | 12 (27.9) | 0 |
| R | 333.7 | 300.8 | 371.0 | 408.5 | 331.4 | 373.9 | 467.2 | 325.7 |

Data from safety analysis set; percentages are based on the n for that subgroup; action taken to trial product was not collected for the additional oral antidiabetes drug (OAD) group, as the additional OAD was not considered as a trial product in this trial. AE, adverse event; BMI, body mass index; GI, gastrointestinal; E, number of events; N, number of participants in specified subgroup in the safety analysis set; n, number of participants experiencing at least one event; N/A, not applicable; OW, once weekly; R, event rate per 100 years of treatment exposure. †An episode that is severe according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) classification or blood glucose (BG)-confirmed by a plasma glucose value <3.1 mmol/L (56 mg/dL), with symptoms consistent with hypoglycemia.
versus comparators in the two SUSTAIN Japan trials was assessed. Reductions in HbA1c observed in the Japanese population were consistent with those observed in the global SUSTAIN clinical trial program, with numerically greater reductions in HbA1c observed in OW semaglutide-treated participants compared with those receiving a comparator.\textsuperscript{16–25} In our post-hoc analysis, changes in both HbA1c and weight from baseline were consistently larger with OW semaglutide (both doses) than with comparators across all baseline age subgroups, baseline BMI subgroups, and combined baseline age and BMI subgroups. The reduction in bodyweight was greater with semaglutide 1.0 mg than with semaglutide 0.5 mg, and reductions in bodyweight for each dose were similar across all baseline age, BMI, and combined age and BMI subgroups. There were no clear differences in the overall incidence of AEs observed between the BMI and age subgroups in any of the treatment groups. In particular, the present analysis adds to the available data regarding the effects of GLP-1RAs in elderly Japanese patients with a low BMI, which are currently limited; the data from this analysis might assist evidence-based clinical decision-making.

In a previous cross-sectional study of Japanese individuals with type 1 and type 2 diabetes, sarcopenia (age-related muscle loss and weakness) and dynapenia (impaired muscle strength, but without muscle mass reduction) were more frequent in individuals with diabetes (type 1 and type 2) compared with those without diabetes. Furthermore, old age (\(\geq 65\) years) and underweight status (BMI <18 kg/m\(^2\)) were associated with a higher likelihood of developing sarcopenia.\textsuperscript{26} The Japanese clinical practice guidelines recommend favorable and appropriate glycemic control for elderly individuals with diabetes who might be at risk of issues including sarcopenia and frailty, as well as well-balanced intake of energy, adjusted according to changes in factors including weight and BMI.\textsuperscript{6} Thus, in Japanese people, who typically have a lower BMI and adiposity than those in Western populations,\textsuperscript{1,5} the effect of OW semaglutide in elderly (age \(\geq 65\) years) individuals with a low BMI (<25 kg/m\(^2\)) is of particular interest and relevance to Japanese clinicians.

In the present analysis, both 0.5 mg and 1.0 mg doses of OW semaglutide appeared to be effective in elderly participants with a low BMI, although reductions in HbA1c and bodyweight were greater with the 1.0 mg dose than the 0.5 mg dose. A large weight reduction might not be considered desirable in people with an existing low BMI, particularly elderly Japanese individuals at risk of sarcopenia. In line with general geriatric principles,\textsuperscript{27} it might be advisable to begin treatment with a low, on-label dose of semaglutide in such individuals, monitor responses closely, and intensify dosage and treatment based on individual needs and characteristics.

The finding of a greater proportion of participants aged \(\geq 65\) years with a BMI \(\geq 25\) kg/m\(^2\) receiving semaglutide 0.5 mg versus 1.0 mg achieving HbA1c <7% and \(\leq 6.5\)% in the SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial is unexpected. This result contrasts with those for the proportion of participants achieving HbA1c <7% and \(\leq 6.5\)% in the other subgroups in the SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial and all subgroups in the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial. The result also contrasts with the other efficacy data across all subgroups in both trials. The patient numbers in the \(\geq 65\) years and \(\geq 25\) kg/m\(^2\) BMI subgroup in the SUSTAIN Japan OAD combination trial were smaller than those in all other subgroups, and it is possible that the paradoxical finding in this subgroup is an anomaly. In addition, the baseline mean HbA1c was higher in the patients receiving 1.0 mg versus 0.5 mg semaglutide in this subgroup (8.1 vs 7.8%, respectively). With specific regard to the HbA1c \(\leq 7.0\)% target, the percentage of responders in the subgroup aged \(\geq 65\) years with a BMI \(\geq 25\) kg/m\(^2\) was 100% (29/29) among those receiving 0.5 mg semaglutide, and 97% (29/30) semaglutide for 1.0 mg. The numerical difference on this endpoint is, therefore, based on only one non-responder in those receiving the higher dose.

This post-hoc analysis shows the likely consistency of OW semaglutide efficacy and safety in Japanese individuals with type 2 diabetes over a range of baseline ages and BMIs. The findings provide preliminary evidence to support Japanese clinicians in delivering individualized therapy for patients according to their specific characteristics, including age and BMI. This is of relevance, because use of a GLP-1 analog, such as OW semaglutide, which increases insulin secretion in a glucose-dependent manner, might be preferable for Japanese people with type 2 diabetes owing to the increased prevalence of reduced insulin secretion in this population compared with reduced insulin sensitivity, as observed in white populations.\textsuperscript{3} Furthermore, in a systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 randomized controlled trials, GLP-1 analogs lowered HbA1c more in studies where there was a higher proportion (\(\geq 50\)% of Asian participants versus studies where <50% of participants were of Asian ethnicity.\textsuperscript{28}

There are several limitations of this post-hoc analysis. The numbers of participants were low after stratification to subgroups, especially in the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial after stratification by both baseline age and baseline BMI (ranging from 8 in the \(\geq 25\) kg/m\(^2\) and \(\geq 65\) years subgroup to 37 in the \(< 65\) years and \(< 25\) kg/m\(^2\) subgroup). This low number of participants limits the robustness of the subgroup analyses. In addition, the subgroup cut-off for age was 65 years (rather than 75 years, which typically represents the ‘super-elderly’) to allow larger sample sizes for analyses. It is unknown whether the findings from the \(\geq 65\) years subgroup would be applicable to the ‘super-elderly’ aged \(\geq 75\) years. Finally, the influence of confounding factors, such as comorbidity, cannot be ruled out.

The efficacy and safety of OW semaglutide appeared to be largely consistent across baseline age and BMI subgroups in Japanese individuals; these exploratory results were similar to those from other SUSTAIN trials, and no new safety issues were observed.
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Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

**Figure S1** | Proportion of participants achieving glycosylated hemoglobin <7.0% and ≤6.5% by the end of treatment, by baseline age or body mass index subgroup, in (a) the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and (b) the SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial.

**Table S1** | Institutional review boards for the (a) SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and (b) SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial.

**Table S2** | Participant disposition and baseline characteristics according to individual baseline age or body mass index subgroups in (a) SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and (b) SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial.

**Table S3** | Summary of adverse events according to baseline age subgroups in (a) the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and (b) the SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial.

**Table S4** | Summary of adverse events according to baseline BMI subgroups in (a) the SUSTAIN Japan monotherapy trial and (b) the SUSTAIN Japan OAD Combination trial.