Surveillance of Bovine Tuberculosis and Risk Estimation of a Future Reservoir Formation in Wildlife in Switzerland and Liechtenstein

Janne Marie Schöning¹, Nadine Cerny², Sarah Prohaska², Max M. Wittenbrink², Noel H. Smith³, Guido Bloemberg⁴, Mirjam Pewsner¹, Irene Schiller⁵, Francesco C. Origgi¹, Marie-Pierre Ryser-Degiorgis¹*

¹ Centre for Fish and Wildlife Health (FIWI), Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland, ² Institute of Veterinary Bacteriology (IVB), Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ³ Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency (AHVLA), Weybridge, New Haw, Surrey, United Kingdom, ⁴ Institute of Medical Microbiology (IMMI), Medical Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland, ⁵ Federal Veterinary Office, Animal Health Division, Bern, Switzerland

Abstract

Bovine tuberculosis (bTB) caused by Mycobacterium bovis or M. caprae has recently re-emerged in livestock and wildlife in all countries bordering Switzerland (CH) and the Principality of Liechtenstein (FL). Comprehensive data for Swiss and Liechtenstein wildlife are not available so far, although two native species, wild boar (Sus scrofa) and red deer (Cervus elaphus elaphus), act as bTB reservoirs elsewhere in continental Europe. Our aims were (1) to assess the occurrence of bTB in these wild ungulates in CH/FL and to reinforce scanning surveillance in all wild mammals; (2) to evaluate the risk of a future bTB reservoir formation in wild boar and red deer in CH/FL. Tissue samples collected from 2009 to 2011 from 434 hunted red deer and wild boar and from eight diseased ungulates with tuberculosis-like lesions were tested by direct real-time PCR and culture to detect mycobacteria of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC). Identification of suspicious colonies was attempted by real-time PCR, genotyping and spoligotyping. Information on risk factors for bTB maintenance within wildlife populations was retrieved from the literature and the situation regarding identified factors was assessed for our study areas. Mycobacteria of the MTBC were detected in six out of 165 wild boar (3.6%; 95% CI: 1.4–7.8%) but none of the 269 red deer (0%; 0–1.4%). M. microti was identified in two MTBC-positive wild boar, while species identification remained unsuccessful in four cases. Main risk factors for bTB maintenance worldwide, including different causes of aggregation often resulting from intensive wildlife management, are largely absent in CH and FL. In conclusion, M. bovis and M. caprae were not detected but we report for the first time MTBC mycobacteria in Swiss wild boar. Present conditions seem unfavorable for a reservoir emergence, nevertheless increasing population numbers of wild ungulates and offal consumption may represent a risk.

Introduction

Tuberculosis is a chronic disease caused by bacteria of the Mycobacterium tuberculosis complex (MTBC). The MTBC currently comprises M. bovis and M. caprae, the causal agents of bovine tuberculosis (bTB), M. microti, infecting mainly small wild mammals, M. pinnipedii, causing tuberculosis in marine mammals, M. mungi, recently described in mongooses, and the primarily human pathogens M. tuberculosis, M. africanum and M. canetti [1–4].

Bovine tuberculosis is a disease of global importance. Infection of livestock with M. bovis and, to a lesser extent, with M. caprae, constitutes a considerable obstacle to international cattle trade [5]. M. bovis infections are also of concern for the conservation of endangered species [6–8]. Furthermore, both M. bovis and M. caprae have a zoonotic potential. In the European Union (EU), M. bovis accounted for 133 cases of human tuberculosis in 2009, with a case fatality rate of 5%, and sporadic cases of M. caprae infection in humans have been reported [9–13].

Eradication of bTB in cattle is hampered by the chronic nature of the disease and difficult in vivo testing [14,15]. Furthermore, the presence of a wildlife reservoir often impedes efforts towards disease control. In Europe, this has been well documented in the United Kingdom (UK), the Republic of Ireland (RoI) and Spain. These countries display the highest bTB prevalences in cattle in the EU and face difficulties controlling wildlife reservoirs in the badger (Meles meles) and wild ungulates, respectively [10,16].

A reservoir consists of a host population (or several epidemiologically linked populations), within which a pathogen persists without the necessity of other species acting as external sources of infection (except for the initial introduction of the pathogen) [17–19]. One or several host species in which the pathogen is self-maintained in such a way are called “maintenance host(s)” (or...
formerly “reservoir hosts”) [20], while the term “spillover host” refers to a species susceptible to infection but in which population the infection is not self-maintained [21,22]. However, host status may change from “spillover” at low densities to “maintenance” at high densities, when intraspecific disease transmission is facilitated [22].

Among the best-known wildlife reservoirs for tuberculosis worldwide are the brushtail possum (Trichosurus vulpecula) in New Zealand [22,23], the African buffalo (Syncerus caffer) in South Africa [24], the white-tailed deer (Odocoileus virginianus) in the USA [25], and the bison (Bison bison) and elk (Cervus elaphus manitobensis) in Canada [26]. In Europe, the badger constitutes the major reservoir in the UK and in the RoI [27], while only single cases were detected in three out of 332 red deer in Southern Germany [32] and infection hot spots have developed in western Austria, where M. bovis infection status on the Iberian peninsula [16].

In recent years, bTB has appeared as a (re-)emerging disease in European wildlife, especially in wild ungulates (e.g. [30,31]). All countries surrounding Switzerland (CH) and Liechtenstein (FL) have been affected, with positive cases found paraly in close proximity to the CH and FL borders: M. caprae was recently detected in three out of 332 red deer in Southern Germany [32] and infection hot spots have developed in western Austria, where bTB prevalence in red deer locally exceeds 40% [33]. In Northern Italy, M. bovis has been previously diagnosed in 3% of wild boar [34], and few cases were recently detected in close proximity to the Swiss border (M. Pacciarini, personal communication).

In Switzerland (CH), the last documented cases of bTB in wildlife date back to the 1950’s, before the country officially gained TB-free status in 1960, and involved badgers, roe deer (Capreolus capreolus capreolus), Alpine chamois (Rupicapra rupicapra rupicapra) and red deer [35,36]. A cross-sectional study carried out in 2002/2003 in 69 wild boar from the canton of Ticino (southern CH), suggested that M. bovis was absent in this region [37]. Three animals showed lymph node lesions suggestive of bTB but M. avium-intracellulare was isolated in all cases. However, the validity of this study was limited, as attempts to culture live organisms were restricted to these three samples that had macroscopic bTB-like lesions. In another study, attempts to culture M. bovis or M. tuberculosis from tissue pools of more than 320 farmed cervids from CH were unsuccessful [38].

Considering the recent emergence of bTB in neighboring countries, our goal was to assess the current situation in CH and FL wildlife and to provide baseline data for future investigations. We conducted a cross-sectional prevalence study in geographical areas considered at highest risk, using as target species the potential reservoir hosts wild boar and red deer. Furthermore, we reinforced the existing national scanning surveillance programs in all wild mammals regarding bTB. Finally, we reviewed risk factors associated with the maintenance of bTB in wildlife, as identified in countries with a recognized reservoir, and assessed their occurrence in CH and FL. We used this information to estimate the probability of the formation of a future M. bovis/M. caprae reservoir in local wild ungulates in these countries.

Materials and Methods

Sampling was performed in CH and in FL from the end of September 2009 to the end of February 2011, including two consecutive hunting seasons.

Ethics statement

This study did not involve purposeful killing of animals. All samples originated from dead wildlife legally hunted during hunting season or legally shot because of severe dehilation. According to CH and FL legislation (922.0 hunting law and 455 animal protection law, including legislation on animal experimentation; www.admin.ch and www.gesetze.li), no ethical approval or permit for animal experimentation was required.

Cross-sectional study

Study sites were selected based on the occurrence of wild boar and red deer, size of the hunting bags (Swiss hunting statistics: http://www.wild.uzh.ch/jagdst/) and on the geographic proximity to neighboring countries where bTB had recently been reported in wildlife. CH is organized in political subunits (cantsons) with different hunting regimes. The survey was carried out in the cantons of Geneva, Thurgovia, Saint Gall, Grisons and Tessin, and in FL (Table 1, Figure 1). In Geneva, hunting is prohibited, but the wild boar population is regulated by cantonal game wardens. In Thurgovia and FL, hunters hunt on leased hunting grounds and there are no game wardens. The situation in Saint Gall is the same as in Thurgovia except that cantonal game wardens are present. In Grisons and Tessin, hunters buy licenses allowing them to harvest a certain number of animals per season; they may hunt in any area within the canton during a limited time period and hunting activities are supervised by game wardens.

Tissue specimens were collected from a convenience sample of red deer and wild boar from the regular hunting bag. In the cantons of Geneva and Tessin, sampling was performed by staff of the Centre for Fish and Wildlife Health (FIWI). In the other areas, game wardens and hunters were asked to collect tissues and submit them to the FIWI immediately after collection. They were previously instructed for tissue identification and sample collection via lectures and demonstrations on carcasses. Tissue collection was carried out from the carcasses and organs after evisceration. Collected tissues per animal comprised the mandibular lymph nodes (ML), medial retropharyngeal lymph nodes (RL), palatine tonsils (PT), mediastinal lymph nodes (MedL), and the mesenteric lymph nodes (MesL). Sampling sets consisted of detailed sampling instructions, pre-labeled bags for each tissue, a pair of latex gloves, and a data sheet to gather information on species, sex, estimated age, body condition, date of death and location, and the presence of macroscopic lesions on the carcass. According to the age estimated by the submitter, animals were grouped into three categories: Juvenile (less than one year old), subadult (one to two years old) or adult (over two years old). Adequate packaging for mail delivery was provided, and shipping costs were covered by the project and the cantonal hunting offices.

Calculation of sample size per species and sampling area was based on estimated population sizes derived from the regional hunting bags, and performed using WinEpiscope® 2.0 software [39], with the aim of detecting infection and assuming a prevalence of 5% in each species with 95% confidence level. Target values for wild boar were 59 animals from Geneva, 58 from Thurgovia, and 58 from Tessin. Target values for red deer were 59 animals from Saint Gall, 58 from Grisons, 58 from Tessin, and 56 from FL.

In total, 434 free-ranging ungulates (163 wild boar and 269 red deer) were sampled and the targeted sample size was met or nearly met in all study areas (Table 2). All required tissues were obtained from 36% of the sampled animals (n = 158), while one or more lymph nodes were not available for the others (n = 277).
National scanning surveillance programs

Within the existing national surveillance programs for wildlife health in CH and FL, game wardens and hunters are regularly encouraged to submit animals presenting disease signs or found dead to the FIWI for a post-mortem analysis free of charge. During the present project, the awareness of hunting authorities, game-wardens and hunters for bTB as a currently emerging disease was increased by articles published in hunting magazines and oral communications in the frame of courses and of the information campaign surrounding the cross-sectional study. Field partners were asked to systematically submit carcasses, organs or samples of all wild mammals presenting lesions suggestive of tuberculosis, independently of the species and geographic region.

Samples or carcasses of eight diseased animals (one wild boar, four red deer, one roe deer, one Alpine chamois and one Alpine ibex Capra ibex ibex) were included in the project: five animals were seen as potentially tuberculous by the submitter, and three were sent for bTB-unrelated routine diagnostics but presented tuberculosis-like lesions (TBL, see “Macroscopic evaluation and pooling” for definition) at necropsy and were therefore sampled for further investigation. Tissue selection and collection for microbiological analyses were carried out according to the same protocol as for hunted, apparently healthy animals, except that

Table 1. Study areas, hunting bags for wild boar and red deer, and red deer population size estimation.

| Study area | Total area | Forest area | Wild boar | Red deer |
|------------|------------|-------------|-----------|----------|
|            | (km²)      | (km²)       | Hunting bag 2010 | Hunting bag 2010 | Population size |
| Liechtenstein | 150       | 66          | 0         | 215 | 400–500 |
| Switzerland (total) | 41285      | 12716       | 6878     | 9016 | 28483 |
| Geneva | 282 | 39          | 491       | 0    | 30 |
| Thurgovia | 991      | 213         | 518       | 4    | 15 |
| Grisons | 7105    | 1897        | 10        | 4118 | 13500 |
| Tessin | 2813    | 1373        | 1019      | 1776 | 4900 |
| Saint Gall | 2025 | 620         | 68        | 577  | 1025 |

Population estimates for red deer were assessed by head light counts and observations of game wardens and hunters. Population estimates for wild boar are only locally available (see Table 4 for density data). Sources: Statistic Yearbook Liechtenstein 2011 (Statistical Office Liechtenstein); Swiss hunting statistics (Federal Office for the Environment, FOEN); Swiss Statistics 2011 (Federal Statistical Office, FSO).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054253.g001

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054253.t001

Figure 1. Map of Switzerland and Liechtenstein depicting the origin of samples and microbiological results. Animal species: red deer (square); wild boar (triangle); other species (circle). Microbiological results: survey samples negative for mycobacteria of the M. tuberculosis-complex (MTBC; green); MTBC-positive survey samples (all MTBC negative; orange). Study areas (dark grey): Geneva (GE); Thurgovia (TG); Saint Gall (SG); Principality of Liechtenstein (FL); Grisons (GR); Tessin (TI). Further: cantonal borders (grey lines); main lakes (blue areas).
Table 2. Sex and age distribution per study area of hunted wild boar and red deer sampled from 2009–2011 in Switzerland and in Liechtenstein.

| Species   | Area | Young | Yearling | Adult | No data | Total |
|-----------|------|-------|----------|-------|---------|-------|
|           | F    | M     | Nd       | F     | M       | Nd    | F  | M    | Nd    | F     | M    | Nd    |
| Wild boar | GE   | 15    | 8        | 14    | 11      | 25    | 25 | 2    |       | 3     | 5    | 61    |
|           | TG   | 4     | 9        | 6      | 3       | 3     | 3  | 30*   |       | 3     | 2    | 74    |
|           | TI   | 5     | 7        | 10     | 14      | 1     | 2  | 65    | 73    | 3     | 1    | 269   |
| Red deer  | FL   | 10    | 7        | 4      | 9       | 7     | 7  | 9    |       | 1     | 4    | 48    |
|           | GR   | 9     | 10       | 6      | 8       | 31    | 19 | 2     | 85    |       |      |       |
|           | SG   | 12    | 6        | 5      | 6       | 11    | 7  | 47    |       |       |      |       |
|           | TI   | 4     | 6        | 21     | 3       | 14    | 40 | 1     | 89    |       |      |       |

*Due to organizational reasons, sampling took place only during the 2010/11 hunting season. Study areas: Geneva (GE); Thurgovia (TG); Tissin (TI); Liechtenstein (FL); Grisons (GR); Saint Gall (SG). Sex female (F); male (M); no data (Nd).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054253.t002

organisms with TBL were additionally collected. Organs presenting lesions were also systematically sampled for histology to determine the cause of disease. These samples were fixed in 10% buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin. Five-micron-thick tissue sections were obtained and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Special stainings, including Ziehl-Neelsen, Gram, Grocott, and immunohistochemistry were applied to selected samples as needed. General bacteriological cultures were performed accordingly on selected samples following accredited protocols (Institute of Veterinary Bacteriology, University of Bern).

Macroscopic evaluation and pooling

Tissue material from all sampled animals (cross-sectional study and scanning surveillance) was evaluated macroscopically by qualified staff, either on-site if FIWI staff conducted sampling in the fields, or in the necropsy hall if samples were shipped by mail. Common lesions in tuberculous wild ungulates consist of caseo-granulomas of various sizes which can frequently become mineralized (e.g., [40,41]), or of purulent lesions, notably in Cervids [42]. Sampled tissues were classified as presenting TBL if white-tan caseous-necrotic to purulent lesions of any size and consistency with or without mineralization were detected.

Half of each lymph node and tonsil was pooled per animal for microbiological analysis. Between animals, instruments were thoroughly decontaminated with 5% Amocid® (Lysoform, Berlin, Germany) and cutting board cover was changed. All tissue handling was conducted in a laminar flow cabinet. A sterile set of surgical instruments and a new cutting surface were used for each pool. Of each tissue pool, about 2 g of material were taken for analysis, including gross lesions, if present. The tissue was mixed with 14 ml saline solution (0.9%), homogenized with an ULTRA-TURRAX® Tube Drive Workstation (IKA®, Staufen, Germany) and filtered through sterile gauze. Of this suspension, 1.5 ml were centrifuged for 20 minutes at 16000 x g and the pellet was frozen and preserved for PCR analysis. The remaining suspension was decontaminated for 15 minutes with 4 ml H2SO4 (4%) at room temperature, neutralized with 5.6 ml NaOH (1N) and buffered with 20 ml sterile phosphate buffered saline (PBS), according to document WHO/TB/98.258: Laboratory Services in Tuberculosis Control, Part III, Culture, pp. 37–42. After centrifugation for 20 minutes at 4000 x g and 15°C, the supernatant was discharged and the pellet resuspended with 2 ml sterile PBS. Of this basal suspension, 0.5 ml were added to a vial of liquid medium BD BACTEC™ MGIT™ Tube (7 ml; Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, United States) containing modified Middlebrook 7H9 broth base and an integrated fluorescent indicator. This mixture was enriched with 0.8 ml BD BACTEC™ MGIT™ 960-Supplement (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, United States) containing PANTA (Polymyxin B, Amphotericin B, Nalidixic acid, Trimethoprim, Azlocillin) antibiotic mixture and growth supplement, and incubated in a BACTEC™ MGIT™ 320 incubator (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, United States) at 37°C for eight weeks.

Of the same basal suspension, 0.2 ml were inoculated on each Middlebrook 7H11 Medium slant agar (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, United States) and Lowenstein-Jensen Medium slant agar (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, United States), supplemented with Glycerin and PACT (Polymyxin B, Amphotericin B, Carbenicillin, Trimethoprim). Solid media were incubated at 37°C for at least eight weeks and checked regularly for growth. Cultures were considered positive if typical growth occurred and acid-fast bacilli were detected with Ziehl-Neelsen staining. In this case, DNA was extracted as described below. Media that showed no growth after twelve weeks were considered negative. All incubation procedures were conducted in a biosafety level 3 (BSL3) laboratory.

To verify the obtained results, analysis was repeated on all wild boar samples showing TBL (n = 17). Two modifications to the above mentioned protocol were made to enhance the chance for cultivation of slow-growing mycobacteria of the MTBC: Tissue material was decontaminated using N-Acetyl-L-Cystein-NaOH (NALC-NaOH) from the BD MycoPrep™ Specimen Digestion/Decontamination Kit (Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, United States) instead of H2SO4 and NaOH
DNA extraction. DNA extraction from frozen tissue pellets was performed using the MagNA Pure LC DNA isolation kit II for mammalian tissue and the automated MagNA Pure LC instrument (both Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s protocol, with an external Proteinase K digestion step. For mechanical disruption, 200 µl Tissue-Lysis Buffer (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) was added to the pellet, and samples were homogenized twice using tubes containing ceramic beads (Omni International, Kennesaw, United States) and a Precellys 24 homogenizer (Bertin Technologies, Montigny, France) for 45 sec. at 6,500 rpm. The samples were centrifuged for 2 min at 13,000 rpm and 80 µl of the supernatant were added to 20 µl Proteinase K (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) and incubated at 60°C for 30 minutes. After digestion, samples were centrifuged again for 1 minute at 8000 rpm and the supernatant was transferred to the sample cartridges. Setting of the MagNA Pure LC instrument was done according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

For DNA isolation from cultured bacteria, either one loop of colony material from slant agar suspended in 400 µl NaCl (0.9%), or 400 µl of liquid culture, were inactivated at 95°C for 30 minutes using a BioShake IQ (analytik Jenaz, Jena, Germany). Bacteria were lysed by addition of 30 µl lysosome (10 mg/ml) and an overnight incubation step at 37°C shaking at 900 rpm, followed by mechanical disruption as described above. DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN DNeasy blood and tissue kit (Qiagen GmbH, Hilden, Germany) in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocol.

DNA amplification and molecular testing. DNA extracts were analyzed at the Institute of Medical Microbiology, Zurich. PCR analysis for detection of MTBC was done with the COBAS® TaqMan® MTB Test kit (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Detection of MTBC DNA is based on primers amplifying a conserved region of the 16S ribosomal RNA gene in combination with a MTBC specific Taqman probe [44].

PCRs amplification was carried out using the COBAS® TaqMan® 48 Analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) in 100 µl-reaction mixtures containing 50 µl of freshly made primer-master mix solution (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) and 50 µl of extracted DNA solution. If PCR inhibition was observed (wild boar samples number TI132, TI133, TI134, TI135, TG413 and TG435; presumably due to tissue contamination), the DNA samples were 5x diluted in lysis/elution buffer (v:v, 1:1) of the Roche respiratory DNA extraction kit (Roche diagnostics) for 45 sec. at 6,500 rpm. The samples were centrifuged for 2 min at 13,000 rpm and 80 µl of the supernatant were added to 20 µl Proteinase K (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) and incubated at 60°C for 30 minutes. After digestion, samples were centrifuged again for 1 minute at 8000 rpm and the supernatant was transferred to the sample cartridges. Setting of the MagNA Pure LC instrument was done according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Statistical analysis. The two-tailed Fisher’s Exact Test was used to compare the occurrence of TBL and atypical mycobacteria between wild boar and red deer, as well as among sexes, age categories and sampling areas within each species. Significance level for each test was set at <0.05. Statistical analysis, including the calculation of 95%-confidence intervals for bTB and MTBC prevalence, was performed using NCSS 2007 statistical software (Version 07.1.15; Kaysville, UT, USA).

Risk factor assessment

Introduction. Four online databases (PubMed, ISI Web of Knowledge, EBSCOhost and Google Scholar) were first searched for information on wildlife maintenance hosts for bTB worldwide, using the key words “bovine tuberculosis”, “wildlife” and “reservoir”. Scientific articles considered relevant according to the abstract were selected for detailed reading, and constituted the basis for further targeted search for articles documenting wildlife bTB reservoirs worldwide and risk factors favoring the maintenance of bTB in these host species. We defined a specific factor as a “risk factor” in this review, if it had been shown to be associated with the prevalence or presence of bTB or TBL in the respective reservoir host (excluding individual factors such as sex and age), (2) if such a role was suggested by the author(s), or (3) if a factor was present that had been suggested or shown to play an important role in bTB maintenance in another country (e.g. intensive wildlife management shown as risk factor in Spain, present also in Portugal).

Furthermore, we attempted to compile comparable data on bTB prevalence and population density of reservoir species and selected spillover hosts. Comparability required (1) availability of prevalence and density data from the same geographical area, and (2) use of the same methods for prevalence and density estimations, respectively, in the different regions. Since culture is considered the gold standard for mycobacterial diagnostics [48], these data were preferred for prevalence estimation. If not available, prevalence estimates based on other diagnostic tests were considered.

Telephone survey. The situation regarding risk factors identified in the literature review was assessed for CH and FL. We reviewed the current legislation and conducted a telephone survey with officials of the hunting administrations of the study areas.

Results

Cross-sectional study

We detected TBL in 17 wild boar (10.3%) and five red deer (1.9%). This difference between species was significant (p = 0.0002). We did not observe any case of generalized lesions in either species. In the majority of wild boar (n = 11), lesions consisted of focal to multifocal white-yellow calcified to caseo-calcified foci of 0.1–1.5 cm in diameter (Figure 2), frequently surrounded by a fibrotic capsule, but we also observed firm light yellow nodules (0.2–1.5 cm in diameter) that were concentrically layered and surrounded by a fibrotic capsule (n = 4). Two further hybridization of the amplified products was performed and the test strips were interpreted, both in accordance with the protocol provided by the manufacturer.

Spoligotyping. Six DNA samples originating from tissue pellets were spoligotyped at the Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency, Weybridge, UK, according to the method of Kamerbeek et al. [45] with minor modifications according to Cadmus et al. [46] and then assigned International Spoligotype names by www.Mbovis.org [47].
individuals had both lesion types in different tissues. Lesions were generally confined to a single anatomical site and restricted to tissues of the head, but two wild boar each presented changes in two and three different sites, respectively, and a single animal showed lesions in the MesL. Wild boar from Thurgovia and Geneva showed significantly more frequently TBL than wild boar from Tessin (p = 0.0073 and p = 0.0012, respectively), while differences among sexes and age categories were not significant.

Lesions in red deer consisted of purulent tonsillitis (n = 2) or miliary calcified lesions (n = 9), all confined to a single anatomical site. Differences among sexes, age categories and sampling areas were not significant.

Detailed laboratory results for wild boar and red deer are presented in Figures 3 and 4. In wild boar, mycobacteria of the MTBC were detected in six animals (GE403, TG422, TG435, TG454, TG481 and TI135) by direct real-time PCR on tissue samples (PCR<sub>T</sub>; apparent MTBC prevalence: 3.6%, 95% CI 1.4–7.8%), all of which presented TBL (Figure 1). Spoligotyping lead to the identification of <i>M. microti</i> in two cases (TG435 and TG481), while an inconclusive banding pattern was obtained in the other four cases (TG422, TG454, GE403 and TI135). Presence of MTBC mycobacteria was subsequently also detected by culture and PCR<sub>C</sub> for the two <i>M. microti</i>-positive animals and for TG422, but genotyping yielded inconclusive banding patterns. Culture material from TG422 was only weakly and transiently positive for MTBC mycobacteria, and thus no appropriate material could be obtained for further spoligotyping.

Atypical mycobacteria were cultured from 54 wild boar (32.7%), including all six MTBC-positive animals and six further animals with TBL. Culture growth was significantly more often observed in samples from wild boar with TBL than from individuals without visible lesions (p = 0.0009), while differences among sexes, age categories and sampling areas were not significant.

In red deer, all samples were tested negative for mycobacteria of the MTBC both by PCR<sub>T</sub> and PCR<sub>C</sub>; apparent MTBC prevalence: 0.0%, 95% CI 0–1.4). Atypical mycobacteria were cultured from 23 red deer (8.6%), including one animal with TBL. Overall, culture growth was more often observed in adults than in juveniles and subadults (p = 0.0479), but this difference was not significant when study areas were considered separately. Differences among sexes and sampling areas were not significant either.

Figure 2. Bilateral tuberculosis-like lesions in the mandibular lymph nodes of a wild boar. This sample was positive for mycobacteria of the <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> complex on tissue material, but yielded only atypical mycobacteria in culture. Scale: centimeters. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054253.g002

Figure 3. Macroscopic and microbiological results, wild boar. Real-time PCR from tissue material (PCR<sub>T</sub>) and real-time PCR from culture (PCR<sub>C</sub>) for the detection of mycobacteria of the <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>-complex (MTBC). Positive mycobacterial cultures negative by PCR<sub>C</sub> were classified as atypical mycobacteria. Cultures were considered positive if typical growth occurred and acid-fast bacilli were subsequently detected with Ziehl-Neelsen staining. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054253.g003

Figure 4. Macroscopic and microbiological results, red deer. Real-time PCR from tissue material (PCR<sub>T</sub>) and real-time PCR from culture (PCR<sub>C</sub>) for the detection of mycobacteria of the <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i>-complex (MTBC). Positive mycobacterial cultures negative by PCR<sub>C</sub> were classified as atypical mycobacteria. Cultures were considered positive if typical growth occurred and acid-fast bacilli were subsequently detected with Ziehl-Neelsen staining. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054253.g004
Atypical mycobacteria were significantly more often detected in wild boar than in red deer ($p = 0.0000$).

Overall, *M. bovis* and *M. caprae* were not found in the investigated samples, but detected MTBC mycobacteria could not be identified to species level in four wild boar.

**Scanning surveillance**

Combined macroscopic, histologic and bacteriologic examinations showed that the four red deer presented, respectively: a purulent lymphadenitis of a cervical lymph node; a severe bronchopneumonia; a lymphadenomegaly in association with myositis; and multifocal parasitic granulomas in the mesentery. The wild boar displayed multifocal mineralized foci within the liver parenchyma of presumptive parasitic origin. The chamois showed a granulomatous peritonitis and hepatitis that were due to presumptive parasitic infestation as well. In the ibex, the enlargement of mesenteric and pulmonary lymph nodes mentioned by the submitter was not confirmed at necropsy; this animal only presented a mild lymphoid hyperplasia of the pulmonary and mesenteric lymph nodes. The roe deer was diagnosed with a multisystemic lymphosarcoma.

All eight cases were tested negative for mycobacteria both by PCR$_T$ and by mycobacterial culture.

**Risk factor assessment**

**Literature review.** Results of the literature review are summarized in Figure 5 and Table 3. The main recognized risk factor for bTB maintenance worldwide appears to be the aggregation of animals. “Aggregation” needs to be distinguished from “density” (the number of individuals per surface unit). Here, we define aggregation as a gathering of individuals in any localized area. The distance between individuals is short up to physical contact, and congregation is usually triggered by a central point of attraction.

Part of the risk factors for aggregation and bTB maintenance directly or indirectly result from human influence: Intensive wildlife management (notably at hunting farms or clubs), including practices such as supplementary feeding, watering and fencing [49–51], supplementary feeding by non-hunters [42] and decreased genetic variability [52,53]; and leaving viscera of hunted animals in the fields, which makes potentially infected organs accessible for scavengers (offal consumption) [22,52,54,55]. Further factors favoring aggregation and disease maintenance, like certain social behaviors [24,56–58] and environmental conditions [50,59–61] are largely out of human control. Available data on several maintenance hosts indicate that medium to high bTB prevalences are almost consistently associated to high population densities or a highly gregarious lifestyle (wood bison, African buffalo), in contrast to spillover hosts, which show markedly lower bTB prevalences and lower population densities (Table 3).

**Telephone survey.** Data from our study areas on anthropogenic factors found to influence the risk of bTB maintenance in wildlife (as identified in the literature review) are summarized in Table 4. None of the hunting officials reported the presence of specific aggregation sites of either wild boar or red deer. Supplemental feeding of wild ungulates is prohibited by law in the cantons of Saint Gall and Tessin, as well as in FL.
Table 3. Population densities and prevalences of bovine tuberculosis (bTB) in documented wildlife maintenance and spillover hosts.

| Country       | Host(s)             | BTB Prevalence | Density (individuals/km²) | References         |
|---------------|---------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
|               | Maintenance         |                |                          |                    |
|               | Reported            | Category       | Reported                  | Category           |
| 1 Spain       | Wild boar           | 47%            | High                      | 1–90 High          | [16,53,101]        |
|               | Red deer            | 12.35%         | Medium                    | 30.9 High          | [16,102]          |
| 2 Portugal    | Wild boar           | 15.9%*         | Medium                    | No data            | [30,55]           |
|               | Red deer            | 10.3%*         | Medium                    | No data            | [16,55]           |
| 3 Great Britain| Badger              | <17.7%         | Medium                    | 25.3 High          | [103–105]         |
| 4 Republic of | Badger              | 14.1%          | Medium                    | No data*           | [27,106]         |
| Ireland       |                     |                |                           |                    |
| 5 USA (Michigan)| White-tailed deer  | 3.5%           | Low                       | 19–23 High         | [25,42]           |
| 6 Canada      | Elk                 | 1%             | Low                       | 0.15–0.25 Low      | [26,59,107]       |
|               | Wood bison          | 49%            | High                      | 0.011–20 Low       | [26,56]           |
| 7 New Zealand | Brushtail possum    | up to 10%*     | Low-medium                | Frequently High    | [22,23]           |
|               |                     |                | medium                     | > 300 High         |                    |
| 8 South Africa| African buffalo     | 47%            | High                      | 0.9–1.4 Low        | [24,108]         |
| Spillover     |                     |                |                           |                    |
| 9 Germany     | Red deer            | 0.91%          | Low                       | 1.5–7 Low          | [32]              |
|               |                     |                |                           | Low-med            |                    |
| 10 Italy      | Wild boar           | 3%             | Low                       | 1.7 Low            | [34,109,110]      |
| 11 USA        | White-tailed deer   | 0.4–1.2%       | Low                       | 1.8–2.5 Low        | [100]             |

*Culture performed only on animals presenting bTB-compatible lesions; a) total number of badgers in the Republic of Ireland (approximately): 84000 [111], b) general data for uncontrolled possum populations; local densities as high as 1000 ind./km² [22] and local TBL prevalences as high as 53% have been detected [112]; c) German Wildlife Foundation; published online at: http://www.deutschewildtierstiftung.de/de/schuetzen/arten-schuetzen/rothirsch/verbreitungskarte/ (last accessed: 11/28/12); d) Data from 2007, prior to intensive density reduction measures. Study areas were: 1: South Central Spain; 2: Central-East Portugal; 3: Woodchester Park; 4: overall data; 5: North Eastern Lower Peninsula; 6: Riding Mountain National Park and surroundings (elk), Wood buffalo National Park (wood bison); 7: overall data; 8: Kruger National Park; 9: Southern Bavaria; 10: North-Western Italy; 11: North-Western Minnesota. Apparent prevalence was based on the isolation of M. bovis and/or M. caprae, except: 1 (red deer): TBL prevalence (in areas of known bTB infection); 3: culture and/or serological testing; 4: official sampling (testing method not provided); 6 (wood bison): live animal testing (caudal fold test and/or fluorescent polarization assay); 7: testing method not provided. Methods for estimating population densities were not provided in most cases, except: 1 (red deer): head-light counts and distance sampling (average density calculated by first author from data provided); 3: capture-mark-recapture; 6 (elk): density calculated by first author from population and map data provided in [107]; 9: estimation from hunting bags; 10: driving census; 11: helicopter survey. Prevalence categories: Low: 1–5%; Low-medium: 5–10%; Medium: 10–20%; Medium-high: 20–40%; High: 40–50%. Density categories: Low: 0–5 individuals (ind.)/km²; Medium: 5–20 ind./km²; High: > 20 ind./km².

cantons of Thurgovia and Grisons, it is not legally regulated for wild boar and red deer but neither recommended nor widely practiced. In the canton of Geneva, wildlife feeding is uncommon and cantonal law provides the possibility to forbid it on a case-by-case basis, should private people engage in such activities. Offal of hunted wild ungulates is regularly left in the fields in almost all study areas. Exceptions are Geneva, where all wild boar viscera are disposed as slaughterhouse waste, and Thurgovia, where roughly half of the hunters have the possibility to eviscerate their animal at slaughterhouse-like facilities and dispose the viscera accordingly. Areas of private property, where wild ungulates are intensively managed and hunted similarly to hunting farms or clubs in Spain or Michigan [49–51], do not exist in any of the study areas.

**Discussion**

Our study is the first to assess the situation of bTB, a currently (re-)emerging disease in European wildlife, in the potential maintenance hosts red deer and wild boar in various areas of CH and FL. M. bovis and M. caprae were not detected by a combined PCR and culture protocol in any of the sampled animals, and the development of a wildlife reservoir is currently unlikely. However, we report for the first time infections with a mycobacterium of the MTBC, M. microti, in Swiss wild boar.

**Laboratory analysis**

Culture of mycobacteria succeeded in 77 animals, including 13 cases with macroscopic TBL, but the majority of these was negative by PCR.C for MTBC and thus classified as atypical mycobacteria. Contamination due to field sampling [62] and infection with mycobacteria of the M. avium complex, known to occur in wild boar and common in domestic pigs [37,63,64], have to be considered here. The significantly more frequent detection of atypical mycobacteria in wild boar, compared with red deer, may be attributable to the foraging habits of wild boar, which includes digging in the ground; this behavior is more likely to expose wild boar to ubiquitous mycobacteria.
The PCR protocol we used for the detection of MTBC mycobacteria has shown high specificity [44,65], and appeared more sensitive than culture in our case. Mycobacteria of the MTBC were detected by PCRT in six wild boar, all of which presented TBL, while only three of these animals were also positive by PCRC. However, the applied PCR protocol has been validated only for respiratory specimens and false-positive results have been reported from non-respiratory sample material [44,65]. Nevertheless, sensitivity of PCRT may be limited as only a small tissue portion is used for analysis and both the distribution and total amount of mycobacteria within an infected tissue may vary. Regarding culture, sensitivity may also be affected by various factors [66]. For example, the amount of viable mycobacteria within the affected tissue is highly variable and depends on both the chronicity of the lesion and the quality of the submitted sample [42,66–68]. Also, the use of harsh decontamination protocols, which is necessary due to the long incubation time, further reduces sensitivity [42].

Finally, only part of the required five lymphatic tissues were submitted for examination in 64% of the sampled animals; this may have decreased the sensitivity of our protocol because the presence of mycobacteria may be confined to certain regional lymph nodes only.

Table 4. Assessment of the situation in our study areas regarding risk factors for bTB maintenance.

| Study area | Species | Prevalence | Density (individuals/km²) | Situation of identified risk factors within study areas |
|-----------|---------|------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
|           |         |            | Reported Category (1) (2) (3) (4) |                                                       |
| Geneva    | Wild boar | 0% (95% CI 0–5.9%) | 10.6 Medium | No No No No |
| Thurgovia | Wild boar | 0% (0–11.6)* | No data | - No No (Yes) No |
| Saint Gall | Red deer | 0% (0–7.6) | 0.5–2.5 Low | No No Yes No |
| Grisons   | Red deer | 0% (0–4.3) | 9.7 Medium | No No Yes No |
| Tessin    | Wild boar | 0% (0–4.9) | No data | - No No Yes No |
|           | Red deer | 0% (0–4.1) | No data | - No No Yes No |
| Liechtenstein | Red deer | 0% (0–7.4) | 2–4 Low | No No Yes No |

*Due to organizational reasons, sampling took place only during the 2010/11 hunting season. Apparent prevalence was calculated based on combined results of PCR investigations on tissue samples and of isolation attempts of M. bovis and/or M. caprae. Methods for estimating population densities were: capture-resight (Geneva); calculations by the first author using data obtained by direct counts conducted by game wardens in the Swiss National Park, where hunting is prohibited (Grisons; for this canton, no data from the exact location of sampling regions were available); head-light counts (Saint Gall and Liechtenstein). The situation regarding risk factors within the study areas was assessed by a telephone survey among hunting officials. The following questions were asked: (1) Do aggregation sites exist, where wild boar and/or red deer frequently gather in high numbers?; (2) Is supplemental feeding of wild boar and/or red deer permitted and/or commonly practiced?; (3) Is offal of hunted wild boar and red deer regularly left in the fields?; (4) Are there areas of private property where wild boar and red deer are intensively managed and hunted? (similar to the hunting industry abroad, e.g. in Spain).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054253.t004

Figure 6. Increase of population numbers and hunting bags of red deer and wild boar in Switzerland. Red deer counts (black line); red deer hunting bag (dashed line); wild boar hunting bag (dotted line). No wild boar counts available. (Source: Swiss hunting statistics: http://www.wild.uzh.ch/jagdst/). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0054253.g006
Nevertheless, because macroscopically identified TBL are non-specific for bTB, a number of differential diagnoses have to be considered for the observed lesions, such as granulomas of parasitic or fungal origin [69] and infections with Staphylococcus, Streptococcus, Actinobacillus or Actinomyces spp. [42]. Rhodococcus equi is increasingly detected in slaughter pigs, both with and without macroscopic TBL, and has been found in mandibular lymph nodes of 12.4% of sampled wild boar from Hungary [70–73]. Additionally, first cases of Corynebacterium ulcerans have recently been reported in two wild boar from Southern Germany [74].

Occurrence of M. microti

Infection with M. microti was confirmed by spoligotyping in two out of six PCR+ positive animals. Spoligotyping was performed on DNA extracted directly from tissue, as we did not succeed in obtaining pure cultures of MTBC mycobacteria but growth of atypical mycobacteria likely outcompeted MTBC mycobacteria. Strains of M. microti can be particularly difficult to culture and slow-growing [75–78], compared even to M. bovis, and thus overgrowth of M. microti with atypical mycobacteria is even more likely. However, our laboratory protocol was selected with regards to the detection of M. bovis and M. caprae, and other protocols may have been more suitable for the detection of M. microti [77,79].

M. microti has been isolated from both wild boar and domestic pigs with TBL before [34,80], and was recently detected in wild boar presenting macroscopic lesions in Northern Italy (M. Pacciariini, personal communication). It has been detected in many other mammal hosts (e.g. [81]), including diseased humans [78,82], South American camelids [81,82], and cats [77].

Surveillance strategy for bTB in Swiss and Liechtenstein wildlife

Disease surveillance in wildlife in CH and FL consists of national scanning surveillance programs regularly complemented by targeted, risk-based investigations. Between 2006 and 2011 the FIWI staff has performed full necropsies, including histology in most cases, on 520 animals belonging to species known to be potential M. bovis/M. caprae hosts (badger, red fox Vulpes vulpes, roe deer, red deer, wild boar) [28,33,84], but bTB suspicion was raised in none of the analyzed animals (FIWI archives, unpublished data). Furthermore, two previous cross-sectional studies on bTB were performed in wild boar and cervids in other countries, including those where M. microti has been isolated from both wild boar and domestic pigs with TBL before [34,80], and was recently detected in wild boar presenting macroscopic lesions in Northern Italy (M. Pacciariini, personal communication). It has been detected in many other mammal hosts (e.g. [81]), including diseased humans [78,82], South American camelids [81,82], and cats [77].

Overall, the combination of data from targeted and scanning surveillance obtained with various diagnostic protocols (necropsy, histology, culture, PCR) does not suggest the occurrence of bTB in wildlife in CH and FL so far. However, as financial restrictions limited sample sizes per study area in the present study, the occurrence of bTB cannot be completely ruled out (see 95% CI, Table 4). Low bTB prevalences have indeed been reported in both wild boar and cervids in other countries, including those where these species are maintenance hosts [34,42,59]. Also, in a few of the sampled wild boar MTBC mycobacteria were detected by PCR only and could not be further identified.

Continued disease awareness and good collaboration with field partners constitute prerequisites for long-term effective bTB surveillance, with the restraint that bTB detection in the fields may be impaired by the absence of visible lesions [32,48,85]. Considering that the collection of lymphatic tissues from hunted animals is laborious and the current laboratory methods expensive and time-consuming, additional diagnostic tools that are more convenient for large sample sizes, may be valuable for further surveys. Recently, a serological test for the detection of M. bovis antibodies was evaluated for wild boar, thus providing an attractive option for future bTB screenings at population scale in this species [86].

However, in a region yet unaffected by bTB, surveillance efforts should not be confined to wildlife alone. During the summer months, Swiss and Liechtenstein livestock from different herds are frequently brought to mountain pastures in neighboring countries including regions with documented bTB occurrence [87]. In the autumn, these animals return to their original farms. Cattle movements in general have proven to be the most important introductory route of bTB into a herd [88]. Furthermore, it is usually spillover from cattle to wild hosts that accounts for the first bTB cases in wildlife, where subsequently a reservoir may or may not develop (e.g. [22,24,89,90]). Contacts between livestock and wild ruminants on Alpine pastures, and between outdoor domestic pigs and wild boar, are regularly observed in Switzerland [91,92] pointing at existing potential spillover pathways between livestock and wildlife. Therefore, disease awareness is essential also among meat inspectors and veterinarians.

Risk factors for bTB maintenance worldwide

Among risk factors for bTB maintenance, aggregation in its different forms plays a central role. This reinforces the notion that despite the alleged tenacity of mycobacteria, environmental contamination in general does not play a major role in bTB transmission (e.g., [23,41,59,93,94]). Moreover, the role of aggregation as a dominant risk factor is reflected in the presence of “hot-spots” of infection in many countries bearing a wildlife reservoir [23,50,89,95,96]. It has been suggested that such disease hot-spots may constitute “steady state systems”, and even if individuals infect others outside of the hot-spot during dispersal or movement within their home range, these transmission incidents are apparently inefficient to sustain an infection cycle [95].

Data on bTB prevalence and densities of maintenance hosts from the same geographical area were generally difficult to obtain. Furthermore, diagnostic approaches and methods for estimating population densities varied widely (or were not indicated), highlighting the urgent need for harmonized procedures in wildlife health science [97]. However, despite limited comparability, compiled data illustrate the link between bTB prevalence, host density and epidemiological role in wild populations.

Risk of reservoir emergence in CH and FL

It is not unlikely that the “hot spot concept” mentioned above is applicable to the Alpine situation: Despite (1) the presence of a current infection focus in Austria within a radius of about 50 km from the CH and FL borders [33], (2) the known seasonal migration of red deer between these three countries (ongoing telemetry study; personal communication, A. Duscher), and (3) reported migration distances of red deer of up to 25 km in an Alpine environment [98], bTB does not seem to have crossed these borders so far. Moreover, when considering risk factors associated to the maintenance of bTB in wildlife reservoirs worldwide, the situation in our study areas does not seem favorable for the future development of a wildlife reservoir. In particular, the absence of an intensive wildlife management fostering high population densities, as practiced at private hunting farms or clubs elsewhere, and the lack of widespread feeding of wild ungulates or of further aggregation sites, point towards a comparatively low risk of reservoir emergence in our red deer or wild boar populations at present. Information on wild ungulate densities in our study areas was only available for local study sites and overall population estimates on a cantonal and national level exist only for red deer (Table 1 & Figure 6). However, hunting statistics together with roadkill data, as indicators for population dynamics, show
increasing population trends for both study species (Figure 6; [99]).

Also, the common practice of leaving offal in the fields presents a potential risk for disease transmission, should bTB be introduced in a potential maintenance host, the white-tailed deer, was successfully prevented [100]. Choosing a like-minded foresightful approach, we found little indication for the presence of bTB in Switzerland and Liechtenstein wildlife today. Yet, in the face of increasing population numbers of wild ungulates and bTB (re-)emergence in European wildlife and livestock, this situation cannot be considered static. Our study should serve as a baseline for future investigations and more importantly as a further incentive to continue a reasonable wildlife management strategy, especially concerning the control of potential aggregation factors, including rising population densities.
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