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Abstract

Artificial bone grafting is widely used in current orthopedic surgery for bone defect problems. Unfortunately, surgeons remain unsatisfied with the current commercially available products. One of the major complaints is that these products cannot provide sufficient mechanical strength to support the human skeletal structure. In this study, we aimed to develop a bone scaffold with better mechanical property and good cell affinity by 3D printing (3DP) techniques. A self-developed 3D printer with laser-aided gelling (LAG) process was used to fabricate bioceramic scaffolds with inter-porous structures. To improve the mechanical property of the bioceramic parts after heating, CaCO₃ was added to the silica ceramic slurry. CaCO₃ was blended into a homogenous SiO₂-sol dispersion at weight ratios varying from 0/100 to 5/95 to 9/91 (w/w). Bi-component CaCO₃/SiO₂-sol was prepared as a biocomposite for the 3DP scaffold. The well-mixed biocomposite was used to fabricate the bioceramic green part using the LAG method. The varied scaffolds were sintered at different temperatures ranging from 900 to 1500°C, and the mechanical property was subsequently analyzed. The scaffolds showed good property with the composite ratio of 5:95 CaCO₃:SiO₂ at a sintering temperature of 1300°C. The compressive strength was 47 MPa, and the porosity was 34%. The topography of the sintered 3DP bioceramic scaffold was examined by SEM, EDS and XRD. The silica bioceramic presented no cytotoxicity and good MG-63 osteoblast-like cell affinity, demonstrating good biocompatibility. Therefore, the new silica biocomposite is viable for fabricating 3DP bone bioceramics with improved mechanical property and good cell affinity.

Introduction

The repair or replacement of injured or defective bone is a critical problem for orthopedic surgeons. Bone scaffolds are among the many alternatives for both autograft and allograft, which
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Materials and Methods
Preparation of the 3DP biocomposite materials

The principal materials used in this study were SiO₂ powder with an average particle size of 25 μm and SiO₂ sol with a sol solid content of 40% and 50-nm-diameter nanoparticles. The viscosity of 40% sol was found suitable for operation, and it was selected as the binder. The SiO₂ sol and SiO₂ powder were mixed at a 20/80 ratio (w/w) to produce a SiO₂ slurry, termed CS0. The SiO₂ sol formed the network structure to fabricate the green part after the gelling reaction, and hence its solid content would affect the mechanical property of the green part. silica provides optimal osteo-conductivity and osteo-inductivity. These materials provide the benefit of avoiding unwanted immunological responses, and they eliminate the risk of acquiring infectious diseases (AIDS and hepatitis) from graft tissue and body fluid [1]. Therefore, bone scaffold, which is biomimetic in both structure and chemical factor coating, is usually used for bone surgery to repair defects [2,3]. The selection of materials for bone scaffolds must incorporate the consideration of issues such as mechanical properties and bonding strength at the scaffold-bone interface.

Traditional scaffold manufacturing methods include particulate leaching, freeze-drying, fiber bonding, phase separation and sponge soaking [4–6]. Using these methods, higher porosity can be achieved. The internal structure of the scaffold, such as the pore size, pore shape and interconnectivity of the 3D scaffold, is difficult to control [7,8]. Additive manufacturing (AM) technology, also called 3D printing (3DP), has emerged recently. The advantage of this method is the easy creation of specific shapes that normally cannot be produced using traditional methods. Therefore, many studies have used this technology to fabricate scaffolds, producing the required pore shape, pore size, surface morphology and scaffold shape [2,9–11].

Recently, silica bioceramics have been widely used for bone restoration and bone tissue engineering because they have good mechanical properties, biocompatibility and bioactivity [12]. In particular, CaSiO₃ (wollastonite) has been extensively studied and used in medical materials, including artificial bone and dental implants [13]. CaSiO₃ has good bioactivity, biocompatibility and degradability [14]. The silicate materials bond rapidly to both hard and soft tissues and enhance bone regeneration [15]. Liu et al. used atmospheric plasma spray (APS) to deposit CaSiO₃ onto Ti-6Al-4V plates, and the specimens were soaked in simulated body fluid (SBF). The results show that CaSiO₃ dissolves to SiO₂ and CaO. Ca²⁺ reacts with HPO₄²⁻ in the SBF to induce precipitation of the apatite [16]. All of these data indicate that CaSiO₃ is not only harmless to the human body but is also degraded and absorbed by the human body.

Unfortunately, CaSiO₃ is very difficult to cut in order to form shapes, pores and structures. Therefore, producing a CaSiO₃ scaffold with a uniform pore size and structure, controllable porosity and proper mechanical strength remains a significant challenge [17]. Ideal bone scaffolds require a three-dimensional porous structure and enough mechanical strength to provide structural support during bone growth and remodeling [18]. Generally, the compressive strength of human bone is 100–230 MPa [19]; however, the compressive strength of the SiO₂ scaffold is only 4.2 MPa, which is insufficient to support the bone structure [5]. In this study, we mimic the mechanism of formation of the earth’s mantle by adding CaCO₃ powder to SiO₂-sol as a slurry. The biocomposite is formed at the lower melting temperature of CaCO₃/SiO₂-sol. CaSiO₃ is metamorphosed from the CaCO₃-SiO₂ slurry after heat treatment. The SiO₂-sol mixed with CaCO₃ powder is used to form the ceramic green part with an inter-porous structure using a laser-aided gelling (LAG) method on a self-developed 3D printer. These silica bioceramic scaffolds can improve the mechanical property after heat treatment.
powder played the role of a filler substance in the network structure and intensified the mechanical property. CaCO₃ was another powder additive that served as a filler substance and solid content after sintering. The slurry would become too sticky to spread evenly on the platform when the CaCO₃ content was higher than 10%. Therefore, CaCO₃ powder was added separately to the SiO₂ slurry at weight ratios of 5% and 9%, termed CS5 and CS9, respectively.

Assembly of the 3DP machine and fabrication of scaffold

A home-made 3D printing machine was used, consisting of the following devices: (1) a CO₂ laser, (2) a laser scanner, (3) a working platform, (4) a scraper, and (5) a feeder, as shown in Fig 1. The method used laser-aided gelling (LAG), as shown in Fig 1. The manufacturing process includes the following steps: (a) driving the servo motor to keep the feeding area at a fixed distance; (b) evenly paving the slurry in the feeding area on the surface of the working platform using a scraping plate and then returning the scraping plate to its original position after evenly paving the slurry in the forming area; (c) using the CO₂ laser as the thermal energy source,

![Fig 1. Schematics for the laser-aided gelling process.](doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143713.g001)
scanning the shape for molding with the laser scanner until the moisture of the slurry evaporates and the shape sets; (d) steps (a) through (c) are repeated until the green part is finished. The slurry is removed by flushing with distilled water. This completes the production of the 3D green part. The curing reaction is irreversible, so the area that is not scanned in the slurry state is easily cleaned away by flushing with distilled water.

Specimens of Ø 6x12.5 mm dimensions and 4.5x4.5x1 mm dimensions were fabricated using LAG processes. The appropriate temperature in preparing calcium silicate had been studied, and it was found to range from 900 to 1400°C [20–23]. Therefore, heating of the green part specimens in a furnace (P310, Nabertherm, Germany) to temperatures of 900°C, 1100°C, 1300°C and 1500°C was conducted in this study. The heating rate was 10°C/min, and the end temperature was maintained for two hours. The different samples of CS0, CS5, and CS9 were used to explore the improvement in mechanical property after sintering.

Mechanical property analysis and characterization of the 3DP scaffold

Compressive strength was performed according to the JIS-R1608 standard. Cylindrical specimens of Ø 6x12.5 mm were used with a loading rate of 2 mm/min. Three samples were used to test the average compressive strength using a universal test machine (HT-9102, Hungta, Taiwan). The topography of the specimens and the crystal structure were observed using a scanning electron microscope (JSM-6500f, JEOL, Japan). The samples were prepared by mixing CaCO₃ and SiO₂ at ratios of 0/100, 5/95, and 9/91 (w/w). The oxygen (SiO₂ powder, sol, CaCO₃), Si (SiO₂ powder, sol), and Ca (CaCO₃) element distributions were examined by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS). The compositions of CS0, CS5 and CS9 were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (D/Max 2200, Rigaku, Japan) with CuKα. Each run was performed with 2θ values between 20 and 40 at a step of 5°/min. The porosities of the scaffolds were measured by the liquid displacement method [24]. The density and porosity were evaluated according to Archimedes' principle. In these measures, all samples were tested at least in triplicate to obtain the average mechanical strength, density, volume expansion and porosity. The data are presented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM) (n = 3–4 per group).

Cytotoxicity test of the 3DP scaffold

The mammalian cell toxicity was measured according to the modified ISO method ISO 10993–5 for medical devices. The NCTC clone 929 (L-929) cell line was purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). All of the procedures were performed according to our previous research [25]. Cells were seeded in 24-well tissue culture plates at a density of 2 × 10⁴ cells per well. After 24 hours, the medium was removed and replaced with 0.1 mL of the sample to be tested (suspension of 3DP scaffold) and 0.9 mL medium. The cells were then incubated for another 24 hours. The negative control received no suspension but was still incubated for 24 hours at 37°C without material contact. All measurements were performed in three repeated wells as independent triplicates. After incubation at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 24 hours, cytotoxicity was measured, determining the metabolic activity of the cells by the tetrazolium salt test (MTT test). The optical density of the negative control was standardized at a wavelength of 570 nm at 100% and compared to the relative values of the test sample. The absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a microplate reader and normalized to the negative control to obtain cell viability.

Cell Affinity of the 3DP scaffold

This study is focused on mechanical property, hence the cell affinity of the composite ratio of scaffold that led to the best compressive strength was tested. Human MG-63 cells were
purchased from ATCC and used to test cell adhesion. The cells were directly suspended on the surfaces of ceramic disks in a 96-well plate with a density of 5000 cells/well. All measurements were performed in at least three repeated wells as independent triplicates. After incubation at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for 24 hours, an MTT test was performed to determine the cell activity in the 3D scaffold. The MTT dye was converted by mitochondrial dehydrogenases, and the blue-purple formazan within the cells was quantified. The cell-ceramic scaffolds were washed three times with phosphate buffer solution and fixed with 2.5% (V/V) glutaraldehyde solution at 25°C. The morphology of bone cells on the surfaces of ceramic disks was observed by stereomicroscopy (SME-1500, Nikon, Japan) and imaged (Pro-150ES, Pixera, Japan). To observe cell details on the scaffold, we combined DAPI dihydrochloride (FluoroPure™ grade) and Alexa Fluor® 488 phalloidin purchased from Invitrogen. The protocol was performed according to the manufacturer’s recommendation (Molecular probes, Invitrogen). DAPI represented the nuclear site, and phalloidin showed the actin cytoskeleton. Higher-resolution images were achieved by confocal microscopy (SP5, Leica, Germany). During the long-term attachment on the scaffold, the MG-63 cell medium was changed every 3 days until the last day of the assay. The scaffold was extracted from 96 wells, and an MTT assay was used to count the values. Measurements were obtained using a spectrophotometer (VersaMax, Molecular Devices, USA), and the readings were converted to cell numbers using a standard curve. The cell proliferation levels of MG-63 on the scaffold are presented as the mean plus standard error of the mean. Statistical analysis was performed by Student’s t-test on the values obtained from five independent wells. A P value < 0.01 was considered highly statistically significant.

Results and Discussion

Mechanical properties of bioceramic scaffolds

Fig 2a shows the compressive strength of these specimens tested after heat treatment at different temperatures from 900–1500°C. It can be seen that the compressive strength of CS0 is improved with increasing temperature, and it is 36 MPa for the temperature of 1500°C. CS5 and CS9 demonstrated higher compressive strength than CS0 at 900°C, but their compressive strengths did not show any obvious change when the heat treatment temperature was increased from 900°C to 1100°C. At 1100°C, CS5 demonstrated higher compressive strength than CS0, but CS9 showed similar compressive strength to CS0. At 1300°C, the compressive strength of CS5 was obviously improved. The highest compressive strength is 47 MPa, which represents a 30% improvement over CS0 and CS9. The compressive strength of CS9 shows no obvious improvement at 1300°C. When the temperature of the heat treatment was increased to 1500°C, the strengths of both CS5 and CS9 were dramatically decreased. The compressive strength of CS9 was lower than the compressive strength of CS0, whereas the compressive strength of CS5 was only 2 MPa higher than CS0 at 1500°C. The above data show that the mechanical property of the bone scaffold is clearly improved not only by the addition of CaCO₃ but also by increasing the sintering temperature.

The change of the compressive strength with respect to temperature is explained as follows. The initial temperature in this study was 900°C because the reaction of CaCO₃ and the SiO₂ sol began at 900°C. At this temperature point, the compressive strength of CS5 and CS9 were higher than that of CS0. This is because that CaCO₃ starts to react with SiO₂ sol to precipitate calcium silica crystal at 900°C. At 1100°C, the calcium silica crystal is still at growth procedure, so CS5 and CS9 do not change in compressive strength. For CS0, because the recrystallization of the SiO₂ sol is proportional to the increase in temperature, the compressive strength increases gradually, as shown in Fig 2a. At 1300°C, the compressive strength of CS5 is higher than 900°C and 1100°C, the reason is calcium silicate has been completely grown [23].
The melting point is another factor that affects the mechanical strength in the sintering procedure. The compressive strength of CS0 still increased with temperature gradually because the temperature did not reach the melting point of SiO2 (1650°C). From 1300–1500°C, the compressive strength of CS5 and CS9 decreased because the melting point of calcium silicate is 1540°C. The 1500°C sintering temperature is thus very close to the melting point. With increasing temperature, more calcium silicate melts. As more calcium silicate melts, the layer covering the SiO2 powder surface becomes thinner. Thus, the compressive strength of CS5 and CS9 decreased in the 1300–1500°C range.

Density, volume expansion and porosity of bioceramic scaffolds

The densities of CS0, CS5, and CS9 at different temperatures are shown in Fig 2b. It was can be seen that the density increased in proportion to the CaCO3 concentration regardless of the temperature. Upon increasing the sintering temperature, the density decreased due to volume expansion in all samples (Fig 2b and 2c). The silica crystal phase changed after 1100°C, as it has been previously demonstrated that silica transforms from quartz to cristobalite after heating [26]. The densities of quartz and cristobalite are 2.5 g/cm3 and 2.3 g/cm3, respectively. Thus, the volume expansion of scaffolds increased gradually with temperature until 1300°C. This phenomenon corresponds to the volume expansion, as shown in Fig 2c. The porosities of CS0, CS5, and CS9 are shown in Fig 2d. The porosity of CS0 is lower than in CS5 and CS9.
regardless of sintering temperature. The CS5 and CS9 porosities increased slightly from 33% to 34% and 34% to 35% in proportion to the temperature increase from 900°C to 1100°C, respectively, because CO2 evaporates from the CaCO3 additive after sintering. The porosity was stable after sintering at temperatures from 1100°C to 1500°C because CaCO3 reacted completely, and no CO2 was produced, as shown in Fig 2d. Though CS5 has a greater porosity than CS0, it has the better mechanical property.

Surface topography of bioceramic scaffolds

To better understand why CS5 presented the best mechanical strength at 1300°C, the surface topographies of specimens CS0, CS5 and CS9 were examined using SEM. When the heat treatment temperature for CS0 is set at 900°C, part of the SiO2 sol gradually recrystallizes and fills the pore space, covering the surface with massive SiO2 crystals, as shown in Fig 3a. At 1100°C, the SiO2 crystals on the surface of CS0 begin to agglomerate and SiO2 sol coats the SiO2 powder as shown in Fig 3b. At 1300°C, most of the SiO2 sol on the surface of CS0 agglomerates into larger pieces, as shown in Fig 3c. At 1500°C, the surface of CS0 appears to be a massive smooth structure and all of the SiO2 powder is coated by SiO2 sol, as shown in Fig 3d. The structure is denser than the structures produced at the other three heat treatment temperatures, resulting in a higher compressive strength. The compressive strength gradually increases along with the bonding strength of the SiO2 sol, as shown in Fig 2a.

When the heat treatment temperature for CS5 is 900°C, the surface separates out CaSiO3 if CaCO3 is added and, as observed in Fig 4a, the surface is evenly covered with rhombic-like crystals with a size of approximately 1 μm. When the temperature is set to 1100°C, the surface begins to grow needle-like crystals characteristic, as shown in Fig 4b. Long noted that needle-like crystal was β-CaSiO3 [27]. When the heat treatment temperature is 1300°C, β-CaSiO3 crystals are also observed on the CS5 surface, and the needle-like crystals are larger than those at 1100°C. The needle-like crystals have a lattice structure and can resist stress rupture, resulting in a significant improvement in compressive strength, as shown in Figs 2a and 4c. When the temperature is 1500°C, the needle-like crystals disappear and oval-like crystals characteristic of
$\alpha$-Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ begin to precipitate, as shown in Fig 4d. It has been previously demonstrated that oval-like crystal is $\alpha$-Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ [28].

When CS9 is heated to 900°C, the surface precipitates rhombic crystals, similar to CS5, and the crystal size is approximately 0.5 μm. This result demonstrates that an increase in the CaCO$_3$ content results in a metamorphic state in the size of the rhombic-like crystals, as shown in Fig 5a. When the temperature is raised to 1100°C, the needle-like crystals of CS9 is smaller than CS5, and the CaCO$_3$ content of CS9 is higher than CS5. Hence, the needle crystal may be Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ which has a lower compressive strength than CaSiO$_3$ [29]. Our compressive strength results are shown in Fig 2a and indicate that the CS9 structure is poorer than the CS5 structure.

Fig 4. The microstructure of CS5 after heat treatment at various temperatures: (a) 900°C, (b) 1100°C, (c) 1300°C and (d) 1500°C.

Fig 5. The microstructure of CS9 after heat treatment at various temperatures: (a) 900°C, (b) 1100°C, (c) 1300°C and (d) 1500°C.
When the temperature rises to 1300°C, the needle-like crystals on the surface of CS9 disappear, as shown in Fig 5c. When the temperature is 1500°C, a massive smooth structure is formed, but the surface begins to crack and the strength of the structure is weakened as shown in Fig 5d. This process results in a sudden decrease in the compressive strength (Fig 2a).

The surface results show that when CaCO3 is added and the heat treatment temperature is increased, the surface crystal structures are altered as observed by SEM examination, and the compressive strength values surge, as found using the testing machine. The heating experiments demonstrate that the temperature of 1300°C ensures the right crystal growth in CS5.

Chemical composition of bioceramic scaffolds

The element analysis of needle-like structure of CS5 under 1300°C heat treatment temperature (i.e. marked area of Fig 4c) by EDS was conducted, and the result is shown in Fig 6. The composition of elements is Ca, Si and O, in proportions of approximately 1:1:3, resembling the elemental proportions of CaSiO3. In addition, the needle-like crystal morphology is characteristic of β-CaSiO3 crystals [30]. Hence, it is conducted that the crystal is β-CaSiO3. The EDS analysis results show that when the temperature increases from 1300°C to 1500°C, the element content and proportions of CS5 stay the same, but the crystal phase of CS5 may change from β-CaSiO3 to α-CaSiO3.

Regarding the chemical transformation of CS0, CS5, and CS9, we analyzed the melting temperature of SiO2 and found it to be very high (1650°C). At the melting point, the structure of SiO2 is ruined. To improve the mechanical properties and maintain the structure of SiO2, Pach et al. combined CaCO3 with SiO2 to form CaSiO3 [30]. In our blast-furnace results, CaCO3 underwent pyrolysis at 900°C, producing CaO and releasing CO2 gas. Furthermore, from SEM micrographs, it can be observed that the SiO2 powder retains a lump shape in CS0 (Fig 3). CaO reacts with SiO2 at all heat treatment temperatures. We propose that the SiO2 initially reacts with CaO in CS5 between 900 and 1300°C. The results in Fig 2a confirm that the mechanical properties are improved with the addition of the appropriate amount of CaCO3 and sintering at the optimum 1300°C. Otherwise, the compressive strength decreases if excess CaCO3 is added (as in CS9) because excess Ca may induce the formation of Ca2SiO4. The compressive strength of Ca2SiO4 is poorer than that of CaSiO3 [29], consistent with our results (Figs 2a and 5d). In summary, the appearance of α-CaSiO3 and Ca2SiO4 in the 3D scaffold due to heat

![Fig 6. The EDS of CS5 after heat treatment at 1300°C.](https://plosone.org/doi/10.1371/journal.pone.0143713.g006)
treatment and calcium addition, respectively, result in poor mechanical property (Figs 4d and 5d). The precipitation and growth of $\beta$-CaSiO$_3$ crystals require the optimum amount of CaCO$_3$ and temperature of 1300°C.

Fig 7 shows the XRD data for CS0, CS5 and CS9 at 1300°C. In the CS0 curve, only the SiO$_2$ peak appears. In the CS5 curve, there are two crystal phase peaks, SiO$_2$ (PDF No.82–1560) and CaSiO$_3$ (PDF No.84–0654). In the CS9 curve, there are two crystal phase peaks, SiO$_2$ and Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ (PDF No.31–0302).

**Bioceramic scaffolds with inter-porous channel**

The optimal material prescription and heat treatment temperature were used to produce an inter-porous bioceramic model for use in bone scaffolds. The inter-porous bioceramic scaffold model, designed using the Solidworks software, has dimensions of Ø 15x6.5 mm, a pore size of 0.8x0.8 mm and a pore distance of 0.8 mm. The forming conditions were a laser scanning velocity of 100 mm/s, a laser power of 3.5 W, a scanning pitch of 0.1 mm, a laser frequency of 10 kHz and a thickness per layer of 0.1 mm, for 65 layers. Fig 8a shows the 3D bio-ceramic model produced by laser-aided gelling. The pores in the scaffolds were connected, as shown in Fig 8b and 8c shows an inner channel in horizontal section, demonstrating that all channels in the scaffold structure were connected, based on the light emitted from the porous parts. The use of LAG to form scaffolds allows allowed the pore shape, size and arrangement to be controlled.

The pores of the scaffolds are an important structure. Table 1 compares of the various fabrication methods used to form bone scaffolds [9,14,31–37]. The methods of foam replication, sponge soaking and 3DP are used to fabricate bone scaffold with materials such as bio-glass,
CaSiO₃, HA, tricalcium phosphate (TCP) and Al₂O₃. These methods are used with polymer as a binder because the binding of ceramics is poor. To form a pure ceramic scaffold, it is necessary to burn out the polymer by heat treatment, in which the residual volume is replaced by micropores. As shown in Table 1, the porosity remains high, in the range of 30–90%. However, the compressive strength of the scaffold is less than 20 MPa because the boundary melting of the supporting structure is accompanied by polymer burnout. In this study, we have successfully chosen novel biocomposites—CaCO₃/SiO₂—to form porosity-strength CaSiO₃ bioceramic scaffolds using LAG and 1300°C sintering as shown in Fig 8. Based on our design, the compressive strength and porosity of biomimetic human bone scaffold reached 47 Mpa and 34%, respectively.

**Cell affinity of the 3DP scaffold**

After optimizing the strength and porosity of the bone scaffold in CS5, the next important issue is cell affinity to the artificial bone. We must determine whether this scaffold is nontoxic before it is implemented in clinical usage. For the safety test, the 3DP scaffold was shown to be nontoxic to mouse fibroblasts using ISO protocol 10993–5. The cytotoxicity test result of CS5 is 91%; according to the ISO-10993-5 criteria, this value indicates no cytotoxicity as shown in Fig 9.
Fig 9. Mammalian cytotoxicity of the CS5 scaffold. Mammalian cell toxicity (or viability for L-929 cells) of the CaCO₃ and SiO₂ (5/95 by weight composition) scaffold indicated an appropriate safety level based on the ISO 10993–5 method.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143713.g009

Fig 10. Osteoblast-like MG-63 cells attach on the CS5 scaffold. The high affinity of human bone cells indicated that the fabricated biocomposites act as a biomimic of the human bone scaffold. Live color staining and fluorescence graphs of Nuclear/Actin contact analysis are shown in upper panel. (a) unattached scaffold, (b) live cell staining of formazan on scaffold, and (c) fluorescence on scaffold. The live cells on scaffolds were incubated in a tetrazolium dye bath at 37°C for 4 h. Green signals indicate actin, and blue signals indicate the nuclear site of cells. (d) CS5 scaffolds showing a long-term survival period of 1–6 days. Cell numbers corresponding to the OD₅₇₀ values represented approximately 836 ± 37 cells for each 0.1 OD value by MTT assay, for normalization with cell counting. ** P<0.01 compared to day 1 group.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0143713.g010
To further demonstrate bone cell attachment on the CS5 silica bioceramic bone scaffolds (Fig 10a), we used two methods. First, we attempted to grow cells directly on the scaffold surface for approximately 24 hours and observed the growth using live staining with MTT direct uptake as shown in Fig 10b. Second, the cell morphology of the scaffold is shown by molecular probe fluorescence staining in Fig 10c. We further evaluated MG-63 cells during a long setting period on CS5 scaffold. We incubated the MG-63 cells for 1, 3, and 6 days on CS5 scaffold. As shown in Fig 10d, the cells proliferated twofold and fourfold at day 3 and day 6, respectively. The data showed long-term cell survival on the bioceramic scaffold, meaning that the cells were adapted to the CS5 scaffold environment. Future experiments on real-time cell penetration on 3D scaffolds will allow further evaluation of the long-term cell growth and differentiation states in the scaffolds in vitro and in vivo.

Conclusions
The LAG method and a home-made 3DP machine were used to produce bioceramic bone scaffold. The compressive strength was increased by adding 5% wt. CaCO₃ to SiO₂ slurry (CS5) sintering at 1300°C. The bone scaffold of CS5 could precipitate needle-like β-CaSiO₃ crystals after heat treatment. The maximum compressive strength of CS5 was 47 MPa and the porosity was increased to 34%. The optimum CS5 scaffold shows no cytotoxicity and good bone cell attachment and growth. The inter-porous silica bioceramic scaffolds with a pore size of 0.8 mm has been successfully fabricated. All of these results indicate that 3D artificial bone scaffolds of CS5 are suitable for medical implantation, have biomimetic strength-porosity compared to human bone, and can be expected to debut in the bone repair market in the near future.

Acknowledgments
We would like to acknowledge the service provided by the cell culture and cell staining in the P2 Lab of Orthopedics Department, National Taiwan University Hospital, and Cell Picture Analyzing of the First Core Laboratory, National Taiwan University College of Medicine.

Author Contributions
Conceived and designed the experiments: YSL FHL MHCC CHC CYL CPL HNH. Performed the experiments: YSL FHL CHC MHCC CYL. Analyzed the data: YSL FHL CHC MHCC CYL. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YSL FHL CHC MHCC CYL. Wrote the paper: YSL FHL CHC MHCC CYL.

References
1. Venkatesan J, Ryu B, Sudha PN, Kim SK. Preparation and characterization of chitosan-carbon nanotube scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. Int J Biol Macromol. 2012; 50(2):393–402. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2011.12.032. PMID: 22234296
2. Liu FH, Shen YK, Lee JL. Selective Laser Sintering of a Hydroxyapatite-silica Scaffold on Cultured MG63 Osteoblasts in Vitro. Int J Precis Eng Man. 2012; 13(3):439–44. doi: 10.1007/S12541-012-0056-9. PMID: 22234296
3. Chang CH, Tsao CT, Chang KY, Wang JL, Young TH, Han JL, et al. Chitosan Membrane with Surface-bonded Growth Factor in Guided Tissue Regeneration Applications. J Bioact Compat Pol. 2010; 25(5):465–82. doi: 10.1177/0883911510372284. WOS:000281598800003.
4. Zhang JH, Zhao SC, Zhu YF, Huang YJ, Zhu M, Tao CL., et al. Three-dimensional printing of strontium-containing mesoporous bioactive glass scaffolds for bone regeneration. Acta Biomater. 2014; 10(5):2269–81. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2014.01.001. WOS:000335095300048. PMID: 24412143.
5. Lei B, Shin KH, Koh YH, Kim HE. Porous gelatin-siloxane hybrid scaffolds with biomimetic structure and properties for bone tissue regeneration. J Biomed Mater Res B. 2014; 102(7):1528–36. doi: 10.1002/JBM.B.33133 WOS:000342963000017.

6. Ko YG, Kawazoe N, Tateishi T, Chen GP. Preparation of Novel Collagen Sponges Using an Ice Particulate Template. J Bioact Compat Pol. 2010; 25(4):360–73. doi: 10.1177/0883911510370002 WOS:000278754600002.

7. Cheng YL, Lee ML. Development of dynamic masking rapid prototyping system for application in tissue engineering. Rapid Prototyping J. 2009; 15(1):29–41. doi: 10.1108/13552540910925045 WOS:000264091300006.

8. Yang SF, Leong KF, Du ZH, Chua CK. The design of scaffolds for use in tissue engineering. Part 1. Traditional factors. Tissue Eng. 2001; 7(6):679–89. doi: 10.1089/107632701753337645 WOS:000172903100001. PMID:11749726

9. Wang GC, Lu ZF, Dwarte D, Zreiqat H. Porous scaffolds with tailored reactivity modulate in-vitro osteoblast responses. Mat Sci Eng C-Mater. 2012; 32(7):1818–26. doi: 10.1016/J.Msec.2012.04.068 WOS:000306937700012.

10. Yen HC. A new slurry-based shaping process for fabricating ceramic green part by selective laser scanning the gelled layer. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2012; 32(12):3123–8. doi: 10.1016/J.Jeurceramsoc.2012.04.014 WOS:000306298300014.

11. Liu FH. Synthesis of biomedical composite scaffolds by laser sintering: Mechanical properties and in vitro bioactivity evaluation. Appl Surf Sci. 2014; 297:1–8. doi: 10.1016/J.Apsusc.2013.12.130 WOS:000331717200001.

12. Torres AL, Gaspar VM, Serra IR, Diogo GS, Fradique R, Silva AP, et al. Bioactive polymeric-ceramic hybrid 3D scaffold for application in bone regeneration. Mat Sci Eng C-Mater. 2013; 33(7):4460–9. doi: 10.1016/J.Msciec.2013.07.003 WOS:000325445700016.

13. Niu LN, Jiao K, Wang TD, Zhang W, Camilleri J, Bergeron BE, et al. A review of the bioactivity of hydraulic calcium silicate cements. J Dent. 2014; 42(5):517–33. doi: 10.1016/J.Jdent.2013.12.015 WOS:000334745000002. PMID:24440449

14. Padmanabhan SK, Gervaso F, Carrozzo M, Scalera F, Sannino A, Licciulli A. Wollastonite/hydroxyapatite scaffolds with improved mechanical, bioactive and biodegradable properties for bone tissue engineering. Ceram Int. 2013; 39(1):619–27. doi: 10.1016/J.Ceramint.2012.06.073 WOS:000315246300081.

15. Chen XF, Lei B, Wang YJ, Zhao N. Morphological control and in vitro bioactivity of nanoscale bioactive glasses. J Non-Cryst Solids. 2009; 355(13):791–6. doi: 10.1016/J.Jnoncrysol.2009.02.005 WOS:000266649100005.

16. Liu XY, Ding CX, Chu PK. Mechanism of apatite formation on wollastonite coatings in simulated body fluids. Biomaterials. 2004; 25(10):1755–61. doi: 10.1016/J.Biomaterials.2003.08.024 WOS:000188706000003. PMID:14738838

17. Wu CT, Ramaswamy Y, Boughton P, Zreiqat H. Improvement of mechanical and biological properties of porous CaSiO3 scaffolds by poly(D.L-lactic acid) modification. Acta Biomater. 2008; 4(2):343–53. doi: 10.1016/J.Actbio.2007.08.010 WOS:000254069200014. PMID:17921076

18. Kokubo T, Kim HM, Kawashita M. Novel bioactive materials with different mechanical properties. Biomaterials. 2003; 24(13):2161–75. doi: 10.1016/S0142-9612(03)00044-9 WOS:000182280400005. PMID:12699652

19. Wang HP, Zhang QL, Yang H, Sun HP. Synthesis and microwave dielectric properties of CaSiO3 nanopowder by the sol-gel process. Ceram Int. 2008; 34(6):1405–8. doi: 10.1016/J.Ceramint.2008.05.076 WOS:000210133300001. PMID:18332343

20. Nour WMN, Mostafa AA, Ibrahim DM. Recycled wastes as precursor for synthesizing wollastonite. Ceram Int. 2008; 34(1):101–5.

21. Long LH, Chen LD, Bai SQ, Chang J, Lin KL. Preparation of dense beta-CaSiO3 ceramic with high mechanical strength and HAp formation ability in simulated body fluid. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2006; 269(9):1701–6.

22. Yun YH, Yoon CH, Kim YH, Kim CK, Kim SB, Kwon JT, et al. Glass-ceramics prepared by waste fluorescent glass. Ceram Int. 2002; 28(5):503–5.

23. AbdulQader ST, Ab Rahman I, Ismail H, Kannan TP, Mahmood Z. A simple pathway in preparation of controlled porosity of biphasic calcium phosphate scaffold for dentin regeneration. Ceram Int. 2013; 39(3):2375–81. doi: 10.1016/J.Ceramint.2012.08.089 WOS:000316032900023.
25. Huang YH, Chen MHC, Lee BH, Hsieh KH, Tu YK, Lin JJ, et al. Evenly Distributed Thin-Film Ag Coating on Stainless Plate by Tricomponent Ag/Silicate/PU with Antimicrobial and Biocompatible Properties. Acs Appl Mater Inter. 2014; 6(22):20324–33. doi:10.1021/Am5057213 WOS:000345721400105.

26. Chaklader ACD, Roberts AL. Transformation of Quartz to Cristobalite. J Am Ceram Soc. 1961; 44(1):35–41. doi:10.1111/j.1151-2916.1961.tb15344.x WOS:A1961WX37000008.

27. Long LH, Chen LD, Chang J. Low temperature fabrication and characterizations of P-CaSiO3 ceramics. Ceram Int. 2006; 32(4):457–60. doi:10.1016/j.ceramint.2005.03.023 WOS:000237103500015.

28. Vichaphund S, Kitwan M, Atong D, Thavorniti P. Microwave synthesis of wollastonite powder from eggshells. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2011; 31(14):2435–40. doi:10.1016/J.Jeurceramsoc.2011.02.026 WOS:000294935100002.

29. Singh NB. Hydrothermal synthesis of (beta-dicalcium silicate beta-Ca2SiO4). Prog Cryst Growth Ch. 2006; 52(1–2):77–83. doi:10.1016/J.Pcrysgrow.2006.03.011 WOS:000238918800013.

30. Pach L, Hrabe Z, Svetik S, Komarneni S. Preparation and thermal behavior of CaCO3/SiO2 nanocomposite. Mater Res Bull. 1998; 33(11):1653–60. doi:10.1016/S0025-5408(98)00159-7 WOS:000077241200009.

31. Baine F, Vitale-Brovarone C. Mechanical properties and reliability of glass-ceramic foam scaffolds for bone repair. Mater Lett. 2014; 118:27–30. doi:10.1016/j.matlet.2013.12.037 WOS:000331666600008.

32. Santos CFL, Silva AP, Lopes L, Pires I, Correia IJ. Design and production of sintered beta-tricalcium phosphate 3D scaffolds for bone tissue regeneration. Mat Sci Eng C-Mater. 2012; 32(5):1293–8. doi:10.1016/J.Msec.2012.04.010 WOS:000305716300042.

33. Fielding GA, Bandyopadhyay A, Bose S. Effects of silica and zinc oxide doping on mechanical and biological properties of 3D printed tricalcium phosphate tissue engineering scaffolds. Dent Mater. 2012; 28(2):113–22. doi:10.1016/J.Dental.2011.09.010 WOS:000299312700004. PMID:22047943

34. Erol M, Ozyuguran A, Ozarpat O, Kucukbayrak S. 3D Composite scaffolds using strontium containing bioactive glasses. J Eur Ceram Soc. 2012; 32(11):2747–55. doi:10.1016/J.Jeurceramsoc.2012.01.015 WOS:000306247200023.

35. Wu CT, Luo YX, Cuniberti G, Xiao Y, Gelinsky M. Three-dimensional printing of hierarchical and tough mesoporous bioactive glass scaffolds with a controllable pore architecture, excellent mechanical strength and mineralization ability. Acta Biomater. 2011; 7(6):2644–50. doi:10.1016/J.Actbio.2011.03.009 WOS:000291181800032. PMID:21402182

36. Wu CT, Ramaswamy Y, Zreiqat H. Porous diopside (CaMgSi2O6) scaffold: A promising bioactive material for bone tissue engineering. Acta Biomater. 2010; 6(6):2237–45. doi:10.1016/J.Actbio.2009.12.022 WOS:000278250100041. PMID:20018260

37. Gbureck U, Hozel T, Klamert U, Wurzler K, Muller FA, Barralet JE. Resorbable dicalcium phosphate bone substitutes prepared by 3D powder printing. Adv Funct Mater. 2007; 17(18):3940–5. doi:10.1002/Adfm.200700019 WOS:000251831000032.