Uso do igapó por assembléias de peixes nos lagos no Parque Nacional das Anavilhanas (Amazonas, Brasil)
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RESUMO
Avaliamos a diversidade e a distribuição de espécies de peixes em dois habitats: floresta alagada e água aberta de lagos do rio Negro. Três amostragens foram realizadas em quatro lagos do Arquipélago de Anavilhanas, em 2009 e 2010. Em geral, a diversidade de espécies foi maior na floresta alagada e durante a noite. A análise de correspondência indicou que predadores estavam mais ativos a noite nos dois habitats. Ominóvoros, filtradores e detritívoros foram mais capturados durante o dia.
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Use of the flooded forest by fish assemblages in lakes of the National Park of Anavilhanas (Amazonas, Brazil)

ABSTRACT
We evaluated diversity and distribution of fish species in two habitats: flooded forest and open water of lakes of Rio Negro. Each of four lakes within the Anavilhanas Archipelago was sampled three times from 2009-2010. Species diversity generally was higher in flooded forests and at night, according to correspondence analysis. Predators were most active at night, but showed no preference between the flooded forest and open water habitats. Ominivores, filter feeders, and detritivores were most active during the day.
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Studies of fish assemblages in Amazonian black-water environments mainly have focused on the composition and structure of these communities (Saint-Paul et al. 2000; Soares and Yamamoto 2005) and on the diversity and trophic relations of pelagic (Goulding et al. 1988) and benthic fish (Garcia 1995). Recently, Freitas et al. (2010) reviewed the factors that may explain fish diversity in Amazonian floodplain lakes, including those that are in black-water systems. The hypothesis that species diversity is mediated by spatial heterogeneity has been evaluated for floodplain lakes of the rivers Araguaia (Tejerina-Garro et al. 1998) and Solimões-Amazonas (Petry et al. 2003; Siqueira-Souza and Freitas 2004) but not for lakes in Amazonian black-water rivers.

We aimed to characterize diversity as well as temporal and spatial distribution of fish species in typical floodplain lakes of an Amazonian black water river. We examined the use of the flooded area with the following objectives: (a) to identify which fish species utilize the flooded forest and which utilize the open water (b) to compare the use of the two types of environments by fish species during diurnal and nocturnal periods and (c) to compare trophic levels of fish that show preference for habitat and/or time of activity.

We conducted our study in the lakes of the Anavilhanas National Park located in the inferior stretch of the Rio Negro, approximately 40 km upstream of Manaus, Amazonas. We sampled fish in four lakes within the reserve: Prato, Arraia, Canauiri Grande, and Canauiri Pequeno (Figure 1). Our sample was composed of three separate sampling events completed in September 2009, June 2010, and July 2010. All samples were taken when the water level was high enough to create a perimeter of submerged flooded forest habitat around the lake. Each lake was sampled during two consecutive days by two periods: at morning between 0700 and 0900 hours and at night between 1700 and 1900 hours, corresponding to dawn and dusk when fish are most active. The fishing gear consisted of eight gill nets, each 25 m long by 2 m deep with mesh size ranging from 30 to 100 mm totaling a capture area of 400 m². Gill nets were set both in the open water and within the flooded forest of each lake. Sampling duration was two hours, after which the nets were recovered. This sampling strategy was chosen to avoid damage to the nets by dolphins and crocodiles, as conducted by Saint-Paul et al. (2000).

We measured diversity (H’), richness (S), and evenness (J) using Paleontological Software (PAST) for the two different habitat types and times. We applied a detrended correspondence analysis (Manly 2005) to determine trophic associations, with units consisting of time and habitat type, using the program Statistica. The collected fish were classified using Ferreira et al. (1998), Santos et al. (2004), Santos et al. (2006) e Soares et al. (2011). Since carnivorous species are known to exhibit various trophic functions, we chose to split them into two groups, based on main prey: piscivore for the...
species which exploit fish as its main prey and carnivore for
the species which eat fish, insects, and other invertebrates.
By the same criterion, kind of preferred prey, we grouped
zooplanktivorous and phitoplanktivorous species in the same
trophic classification – planktivore, as employed by Zavala-
Camin (1996). Herbivores, on the other hand, were excluded
from the analysis because of low representation.

We collected 1,216 individuals representing 64 species
and five orders: Characiformes, Siluriformes, Clupeiformes,
Perciformes, and Gymnotiformes. Of these, 746 individuals
were caught during a single falling water period (2009)
while the remaining 470 individuals were caught over two
flood water periods (2009 and 2010). Characiformes and
Siluriformes were the dominant orders. Samples taken from
the open water habitat of lakes resulted in a greater number
of fish than those from the flooded forests, but fish abundance
in samples done at dusk were only slightly higher than those
done at dawn (Table 1). However, diversity showed a distinct
pattern, with species richness higher at the flooded forest than
at the lake ($t = -5.488, p < 0.000$) and higher at night than
at morning ($t = -2.280, p = 0.021$).

The total sample yielded 35 species of omnivores, 7
detrivores, 10 carnivores, 11 planktivores and 46 piscivores,
which are employed as descriptors in the detrended
correspondence analysis. The first two axes of DCA were
generated with more than 96% of inertia (Figure 2). According

![Figure 2 - Detrended Correspondence Analysis using trophic level and units composed by time and habitat (LakeM: open water at morning; LakeN: open water at night; ForestM: flooded forest at morning; ForestN: flooded forest at night).](image)

### Table 1 - Ecological parameters for habitat and time samplings

| Parameter          | Lake         | Flooded Forest | Morning | Night |
|--------------------|--------------|----------------|---------|-------|
| Number of individuals (N) | 877          | 337            | 494     | 535   |
| Species Richness (S)       | 30           | 41             | 41      | 49    |
| Shannon Index ($H'$)        | 2.435        | 2.912          | 2.92    | 3.08  |
| Evenness ($J$)              | 0.716        | 0.784          | 0.786   | 0.791 |
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List of species caught by year, habitat and time, including its trophic level.

| Species                                | Trophic level | 07:00 | 19:00 | 07:00 | 19:00 | 07:00 | 19:00 | 07:00 | 19:00 |
|----------------------------------------|---------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Acestrorhynchus microlepis (Jardine, 1841) | Piscivore     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 2     | 0     | 4     |
| Agenei osus poly sticus Steindacher, 1915 | Piscivore     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Agenei osus uca yalesis Castelnau, 1855 | Piscivore     | 20    | 24    | 1     | 2     | 48    | 41    | 1     | 5     |
| Agenei osus vittatus Steindacher, 1908 | Piscivore     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Agoniates halecinus Müller & Troschel, 1845 | Carnivore   | 16    | 8     | 2     | 2     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Anchovia surinamensis (Bleeker, 1865) | Planktivore   | 1     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 2     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Anodus orinocensis (Steindacher, 1887) | Omnivore      | 0     | 0     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Anodus sp.                              | Omnivore      | 0     | 0     | 3     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Argonectes longiceps (Kner, 1858)       | Omnivore      | 0     | 0     | 5     | 2     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Astrad oras asterifrons (Kner, 1853)    | Omnivore      | 8     | 7     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 0     |
| Auchenipterichthys longimanus ( Günther, 1864) | Omnivore      | 0     | 0     | 0     | 25    | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Auchenipterus nuchalis (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) | Omnivore      | 0     | 0     | 1     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Bolugonella lucius (Cuvier, 1816)       | Piscivore     | 0     | 1     | 7     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Breathyplastoma capapretum Lundberg & Akama, 2005 | Piscivore | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 4     | 17    | 0     | 0     |
| Breathyplastoma filamentosum (Lichtenstein, 1819) | Piscivore | 3     | 2     | 0     | 0     | 11    | 4     | 0     | 0     |
| Brycon sp.                              | Omnivore      | 0     | 0     | 7     | 2     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
| Bryconops albumoides Kner, 1858          | Omnivore      | 1     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     | 0     |
List of species caught by year, habitat and time, including its trophic level.

| Species                                      | Trophic Level | 2009 | 2010 |
|----------------------------------------------|---------------|------|------|
| Calophyus macropterus (Lichtenstein, 1819)   | Piscivore     | 1    | 2    |
| Centrodoras hasemani (Steindachner, 1915)    | Omnivore      | 0    | 4    |
| Centrodoras sp.                              | Omnivore      | 6    | 10   |
| Centromochlus macracanthus Soares-Porto, 2000| Omnivore      | 1    | 1    |
| Cetopsis coecutiens (Lichtenstein, 1819)     | Carnivore     | 1    | 3    |
| Chila temensis Humboldt, 1821                | Piscivore     | 0    | 2    |
| Hemiodus immaculatus Kner, 1858              | Piscivore     | 0    | 27   |
| Hemiodus sp.                                 | Omnivore      | 0    | 0    |
| Hemiodus uninarus (Bloch, 1794)              | Piscivore     | 1    | 0    |
| Hypophthalmus edentatus Spix & Agassiz, 1829| Planktivore   | 7    | 7    |
| Hypophthalmus fimbriatus Kner, 1858          | Planktivore   | 0    | 4    |
| Hypophthalmus marginatus Valenciennes, 1840  | Planktivore   | 193  | 2    |
| Ilisha amazonica (Miranda Ribeiro, 1920)     | Piscivore     | 0    | 0    |
| Laemolyta taeniata (Kner, 1858)              | Omnivore      | 0    | 2    |
| Leporinus affinis Günther, 1864              | Carnivore     | 0    | 0    |
| Leporinus fasciatus (Bloch, 1794)            | Omnivore      | 3    | 0    |
| Licengraulis batesi (Günther, 1868)          | Carnivore     | 7    | 2    |
| Loricariichthys nudirostris (Kner, 1853)     | Detritivore   | 0    | 1    |
| Nemadoras elongatus (Boulenger, 1898)        | Omnivore      | 2    | 4    |
| Nemadoras sp.                                | Omnivore      | 1    | 0    |
| Opsodoras morei (Steindachner, 1881)         | Omnivore      | 16   | 4    |
| Opsodoras ternetzi Eigenmann, 1925           | Omnivore      | 1    | 0    |
| Pellona flavipinnis (Valenciennes, 1837)     | Piscivore     | 42   | 2    |
| Pimelodina flavipinnis Steindachner, 1876    | Piscivore     | 0    | 2    |
| Pinirampus pirinump (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)   | Piscivore     | 11   | 2    |
| Plagioscion montei Soares & Casatti, 2000    | Piscivore     | 0    | 0    |
| Plagioscion squamosissim (Heckel, 1840)      | Piscivore     | 33   | 3    |
| Potamorhina latior (Spix & Agassiz, 1829)    | Detritivore   | 0    | 0    |
| Rhamphichthys rostratus (Linnaeus, 1766)     | Carnivore     | 0    | 1    |
| Raphiodon vulpinus Agassiz, 1829             | Piscivore     | 0    | 1    |
| Rhytidus mirolepis Kner, 1858                | Herbivore     | 0    | 0    |
| Sesamochilodus insignis (Jardine, 1841)      | Detritivore   | 0    | 0    |
| Sesamochilodus taeniurus (Valenciennes, 1821)| Detritivore   | 0    | 0    |
| Serrasalmus altispinis Merckx, Jego & Santos, 2000| Piscivore | 0    | 1    |
| Serrasalmus elongatus Kner, 1858             | Piscivore     | 0    | 0    |
| Serrasalmus Gouldingi Fink & Machado-Allison, 1992 | Piscivore | 0    | 3    |
| Serrasalmus rhombus (Linnaeus, 1766)         | Piscivore     | 0    | 1    |
| Serrasalmus sp.                              | Piscivore     | 0    | 1    |
| Tata intermedia (Steindachner, 1877)         | Omnivore      | 0    | 4    |
| Tetragonopterus chalceus Spix & Agassiz, 1829| Omnivore      | 0    | 0    |
| Triportheus angulatus (Spix & Agassiz, 1829) | Omnivore      | 0    | 1    |
| Uarum amphicanthoides Heckel, 1840           | Omnivore      | 0    | 0    |

**TOTAL** | 374 | 184 | 84 | 104 | 157 | 164 | 64 | 85
to the first dimension, the species composition of samplings taken at the flooded forest (morning and night) and at the lake (night) are more diverse than those taken at the lake in the morning. Piscivore species are most closely associated with the flooded forest in both periods (morning and night) and with the lake at night rather than with the lake in the morning. Carnivore species, on the other hand, are most closely linked to the lake in the morning. The second dimension shows that carnivores and piscivores are mostly present at night but are found in the flooded forest nearly as much as they are in the lake. Meanwhile, omnivores, planktivores and detritivores occur mostly during the morning in both habitat types.

Our results support the claim that higher diversity exists in the aquatic terrestrial transition zone (ATTZ) more so than in either adjacent habitat type (Junk et al. 1989). This is in part because the ATTZ possesses diverse habitat, refuge and food resources, creating the opportunity for several ecological niches. High diversity at night suggests that more species (i.e. carnivorous predators) are active after dark. Gear avoidance does not appear to have had a role in the lower levels of diversity associated with morning capture since the difference between the number of individuals sampled in the morning and in the night is small. Carnivorous species (carnivores and piscivores) were found more often at night, according to the correspondence analysis, further supporting the observed high nocturnal diversity. In contrast, omnivores, which represent a more generalist trophic level, are associated with the morning along with planktivores and detritivores. Such correlations demonstrate a distinct time division between carnivorous species and other trophic levels. The fact that predators contribute most to species richness suggests that, as in many ecosystems, they are integral in preventing herbivore overabundance through a top-down trophic cascade (Estes et al. 2011), corroborating the importance of piscivory in tropical systems (Rodriguez and Lewis 1997; Sáurez et al. 2001).
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