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**Abstract**

**Background:** Membrane proteins (MPs) populate 20-30% of genomes sequenced to date and hold potential as therapeutic targets as well as for practical applications in bionanotechnology. However, MP toxicity and low yields in normally robust expression hosts such as *E. coli* have curtailed progress in our understanding of their structure and function.

**Results:** Using the seven transmembrane segments *H. turkmenica* deltarhodopsin (HtdR) as a reporter, we isolated a spontaneous mutant in the arabinose-inducible $P_{BAD}$ promoter leading to improved cell growth and a twofold increase in the recovery of active HtdR at 37°C. A single transversion in a conserved region of the cyclic AMP receptor protein binding site caused the phenotype by reducing *htdR* transcript levels by 65%. When the mutant promoter was used in conjunction with a host lacking the molecular chaperone Trigger Factor (Δtig cells), toxicity was further suppressed and the amount of correctly folded HtdR was 4-fold that present in the membranes of control cells. More importantly, while improved growth barely compensated for the reduction in transcription rates when another polytopic membrane protein (*N. pharonis* sensory rhodopsin II) was expressed under control of the mutant promoter in wild type cells, a 4-fold increase in productivity could be achieved in a Δtig host.

**Conclusions:** Our system, which combines a downregulated version of the tightly repressed $P_{BAD}$ promoter with a TF-deficient host may prove a valuable alternative to T7-based expression for the production of membrane proteins that have so far remained elusive targets.

**Background**

Membrane proteins (MPs) play pivotal roles in a variety of cellular functions, many of which are essential to survival [1]. Despite their physiological importance, the study of MPs is lagging due largely to the fact that they are difficult to express in a functional form and at levels needed for biochemical and structural studies. *Escherichia coli* is a popular host for MP overexpression due to its well understood genetics and rapid growth [2]. However, as with other expression systems, high-level MP production is typically toxic to the cell and the yields of biologically active material are generally poor.

Based on the observation that the overexpression of MPs in *E. coli* leads to their aggregation and to reduced levels of host membrane and secretory proteins [3], it has been suggested that MP toxicity is due to the overloading of the Sec-dependent translocation machinery which handles both the post-translational export of secretory proteins and the co-translational insertion of most inner MP into the lipid bilayer [4]. Recently, we have shown that eliminating the signal recognition particle (SRP) - Trigger Factor (TF) competition by making use of TF-deficient (Δtig) expression strains can significantly improve the accumulation of functional MP in the bacterial inner membrane [5]. A more common approach, however, has been to make use of *E. coli* C41 (DE3) and C43(DE3) [6], two BL21(DE3) derivatives containing a mutation in the lacUV5 promoter that decreases the production of chromosomally-encoded T7 RNA polymerase, and hence the transcription rate of MP genes cloned downstream of the T7 promoter [7]. The same net effect can be achieved by making use of plasmids co-expressing T7Lys (e.g. pLemo, pLysS, pLysS...
pLysE) [7,8], a T7 RNA polymerase inhibitor that reduces the overall transcription rates of genes placed under T7 promoter control.

In addition to being too strong for MP expression, a drawback of the T7 promoter is its lack of tight repression in the absence of inducer, which may be problematic since even basal levels of MPs can be toxic to the cell [6,8]. The arabinose-inducible P_{BAD} promoter of the araBAD operon [9] is a moderately strong and tightly repressed promoter that has been successfully used for producing MPs in E. coli [5,10-12]. P_{BAD} is negatively regulated by AraC when no L-arabinose is present in the medium, and it is positively regulated by both AraC in the presence of arabinose and the cyclic AMP (cAMP) receptor protein (CRP, also known as CAP, catabolite gene activator protein) in the absence of glucose [13]. When loaded with cAMP, the CRP homodimer binds to a consensus sequence located upstream of more than 100 E. coli promoters [14]. This binding favors transcription initiation [15] both by bending the DNA [16,17] and by recruiting RNA polymerase to facilitate its interaction with the core promoter [18].

Here, we describe the isolation of a single nucleotide transversion in one of the CRP interaction domains of the P_{BAD} promoter that reduces the transcription rates by about 70% and can improve the yields of polytopic MPs, particularly when combined with a chaperone pathway reprogramming strategy relying on the use of Trigger Factor (TF) deficient mutants [5].

Results and Discussion

Isolation of a mutant P_{BAD} expression plasmid that alleviates HtdR toxicity

The archaeal rhodopsin Haloterrigena turkmenica deltarhodopsin (HtdR) is a light-driven outwards proton pump that binds the chromophore all-trans retinal and belongs to the G-protein coupled receptor (GPCR) super family of 7 transmembrane (TMS) segment MPs. Retinal-bound and properly folded HtdR confers the cell membrane a characteristic purple color due to an adsorption spectrum that exhibits a strong maximum at \( \approx 550 \) nm [19]. We sought to take advantage of this phenotype to identify E. coli mutants that were more efficient at functional MP expression. In initial screen design experiments, TF-deficient (\( \Delta tig \)) cells [20] harboring plasmid pHtdR200, a ColE1 derivative encoding a hexahistidine-tagged version of the htdR gene under transcriptional control of the P_{BAD} promoter [5], were plated onto LB agar plates supplemented with 50 \( \mu \)g/mL kanamycin, 100 \( \mu \)M of all-trans retinal, and 0.2% L-arabinose in mid-exponential phase (arrow).

To determine if the apparent increase in functional HtdR production was associated with a mutation in the expression vector or in the chromosomal DNA, the plasmid was isolated, named pHtdR400, and re-transformed into fresh, isogenic wild type and \( \Delta tig \) cells. Figure 1A shows that pHtdR400 alone was sufficient to

![Figure 1 A mutant plasmid improves the growth and viability of cells expressing HtdR](image)
confer healthy growth to both wild type and Δtig cells that had been incubated overnight on LB-arabinose plates. In fact, under conditions of HtdR overexpression, the viability of tig+ pHtdR400 cells was improved by 5 orders of magnitude relative to that of pHtdR200 transformants (Figure 1B) and their specific growth rate in liquid culture by 60% (Figure 1C, closed symbols). Use of the pHtdR400 plasmid also enhanced the fitness of Δtig mutants: viability increased 100-fold and specific growth rates by 30% relative to control cells (Figure 1B-C).

**Impact on functional HtdR expression and productivity**

We next asked if the improved growth characteristics of pHtdR400 transformants would translate into higher levels of target protein expression. To address this question, the various cultures were grown to mid-exponential phase in LB medium and at 37°C, HtdR synthesis was initiated by addition of 0.2% L-arabinose, and cells were harvested 3 h post-induction. As expected from our previous work [5], the use of Δtig cells led to a two-fold increase in the amount of membrane-integrated HtdR expressed from pHtdR200. By contrast, the overall levels of deltarhodopsin were comparable in pHtdR200 and pHtdR400 transformants, whether or not the host strain was wild type or tig deficient (Figure 2A). However, when the improved growth of pHtdR400 transformants was taken into account, the yield of HtdR doubled in wild type cells. More importantly, the beneficial effects of pHtdR400-driven expression and chromosomal TF inactivation could be combined, leading to a more than fourfold increase in HtdR productivity relative to tig+ pHtdR200 control cultures (Figure 2B) and to shake flask yields as high as 20 mg/L of culture.

We took advantage of the fact that the optical signature of retinal-bound HtdR - and more specifically of its absorption maximum at 550 nm - is a sensitive reporter of proper folding [5,19] to estimate how much of the protein was functional in the membranes of cells harvested 3 h post-induction. In very good agreement with the results of Figure 2B, the intensity of the 550 nm peak in tig+ pHtdR400 membrane fractions was twice that measured in tig+ pHtdR200 samples, and there was an about 30% increase in 550 nm absorption when membranes from Δtig pHtdR400 cells were compared to those from Δtig pHtdR200 cells (Figure 2C). We conclude that the additional deltarhodopsin produced in pHtdR400 transformants is properly folded. (Note that intensities at 550 nm cannot be directly compared between tig+ and Δtig cells since TF inactivation changes the levels of expression of host MP and thus the optical characteristics of the membrane.)

To summarize, under the selective pressure of HtdR expression, pHtdR400 has acquired one or several mutations that significantly improve cell growth and functional HtdR productivity, but do not increase the accumulation of the MP on a per-cell basis as TF-inactivation does.

**Identification of the mutation and analysis of its effects**

Plasmid pHtdR400 was sequenced to shed light on the mechanism(s) responsible for the improvement in HtdR productivity. We identified a single cytosine to adenine mutation located 98-nt from the transcription start point of pHtdR (Figure 2). This mutation is likely responsible for the increased yield of HtdR and its improved function in the membrane. (Note that intensities at 550 nm cannot be directly compared between tig+ and Δtig cells since TF inactivation changes the levels of expression of host MP and thus the optical characteristics of the membrane.)
site of the $P_{BAD}$ promoter and mapping in a highly conserved region of the 22 bp-long and symmetrical consensus CRP binding site (Figure 3A, black arrow) [21]. To confirm that it was responsible for the improvement in HtdR productivity, the transversion was introduced into pHtdR200 via site directed mutagenesis. The growth and HtdR expression patterns of wild type and Δtig cells transformed with this plasmid (pHtdR400bis) were indistinguishable from those of pHtdR400 transformants.

Because the mutation occurs near the primary kink of the bended CRP-DNA complex [17] and affects a contact with Glu181 [22] which is critical for CRP binding [23,24] (Figure 3B), we suspected that it would affect positive regulation and lead to a decrease in transcription rates. To test this hypothesis, we used real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) to measure the relative levels of $htdR$ mRNA in $tig^+$ and Δtig cells harboring either pHtdR200 or pHtdR400. Figure 3C shows that the mutation led to ≈ 65% reduction in $htdR$ transcript concentration irrespective of the genetic background.

To further confirm that inefficient CRP binding was responsible for the decrease in transcription rates, we took advantage of the fact that the CRP homodimer binds to a consensus sequence with twofold symmetry and introduced a guanine to thymine mutation in the opposite half-site of pHtdR200, in essence building the counterpart of the spontaneous cytosine to adenine mutation (Figure 3A, blue arrow). The resulting plasmid (pHtdR401) was introduced into wild type and Δtig cells and RT-qPCR experiments repeated. Figure 3C shows that $htdR$ mRNA levels produced from pHtdR401 were virtually identical to those produced from pHtdR400 in both genetic backgrounds.

In an argument similar to that invoked for explaining the improved performance of C41(DE3) and C43(DE3) strains [7], we conclude that the net reduction in mRNA levels caused by inefficient CRP-dependent activation of the $P_{BAD}$ promoter decreases the flux of HtdR to the inner membrane and alleviates Sec translocon overloading by providing a better match between the supply of incoming MPs and the insertional capacity at the membrane. This in turns reduces toxicity, improves cell growth, and leads to higher productivities.

It is also worth noting that a 2/3 reduction in $htdR$ transcription does not correlate with a net decrease in the amount of membrane-integrated HtdR on a per-cell basis (Figure 2A). We believe that this result is protein-specific (see below) and that there is a “sweet spot” for which the transcription rate of a target MP is well matched with the rate at which the translocational machinery can insert and/or fold this particular substrate in the lipid bilayer.

Figure 3 Plasmid pHtdR400 carries a single nucleotide mutation in the CRP binding site of the $P_{BAD}$ promoter that strongly reduces $htdR$ transcription. (A) Sequence logo [29] from the alignment of 59 CRP binding sites [21,30]. The size of each nucleotide is proportional to its degree of conservation. The black arrow shows the location of the cytosine to adenine mutation in pHtdR400. The blue arrow shows the location of the guanine to thymine mutation introduced in pHtdR401. (B) Ribbon structure of CRP bound to its binding site rendered with Chimera using 1CGP coordinates [17]. The box shows the proximity of Glu [31] to the cytosine to adenine mutation (blue) in pHtdR400. (C) Relative mRNA levels were quantified from the indicated cells 1 h post-induction.
Reduced transcription and TF inactivation synergistically improve Sensory Rhodopsin II production

To investigate whether the yield improvements observed with HtdR could be generalized to other MPs, we constructed pBLN400 a derivative of our standard pBLN200 P_BAD expression vector incorporating the cytosine to adenosine mutation in its CRP binding site. We next placed a different His-tagged rhodopsin, Natronobacterium pharonis sensory rhodopsin II (pSRII) [25], under control of the mutated promoter and transformed the resulting plasmid (pPPR400) into both wild type and Δtig null cells.

Consistent with what would be expected from a weaker promoter, Δtig pPPR400 cells accumulated about 40% less pSRII in their membranes compared to pPPR200 transformants (Figure 4B), but healthier cell growth (Figure 4A, ▲) led to nearly identical total yields (Figure 4C). Also as expected [5], Δtig cultures producing pSRII from the standard P_BAD promoter exhibited improved growth (but not as much as Δtig-pPPR400 cells; compare ▲ and ○ in Figure 4A), higher levels of membrane-integrated pSRII (Figure 4B) and over 2-fold higher productivities relative to control cultures (Figure 4C). Expression of pSRII from the mutant promoter in Δtig cells did not lead to a reduction in the amount of membrane-integrated protein as it did in the wild type. Rather, this combination caused a large improvement in cell growth that translated into shake flask yields of 10 mg/L of culture and a more than 4-fold increase in pSRII productivity relative to Δtig-pPPR200 control cultures (Figure 4). These results closely parallel those obtained with HtdR and indicate that the productivity ceiling that one reaches by decreasing transcription rates can be shattered by combining it with chaperone pathways reprogramming.

Conclusions

Downregulating gene transcription by decreasing the intracellular levels of the highly processive T7 RNA polymerase is a well-established approach to improve the yields of MPs whose genes have been placed under control of the bacteriophage T7 promoter. The benefits have been explained by a harmonization of translation and membrane insertion which mitigates the toxicity associated with Sec translocon saturation [4]. Here, we describe a single nucleotide mutation in one of the conserved half-sites of the P_BAD promoter’s CRP binding region that improves the recovery yields of active HtdR through a similar mechanism. However, as illustrated with the closely related sensory rhodopsin II, it is possible that improved cell growth only barely compensates for reduced transcription, leading to unchanged MP productivity (Figure 4D).

We recently reported that inactivation of TF is an alternative means to alleviate MP toxicity, likely because it allows signal recognition particle (SRP) unimpeded access to nascent TMS emerging from the ribosome and more efficient delivery of ribosome-nascent membrane protein complexes to FtsY (the SRP receptor) which delivers this cargo to the Sec translocon [5]. Here, we further show that the use of Δtig cells can be combined with reduced transcription strategies to achieve...
synergistic gains in culture fitness and MP productivity. Thus, how nascent MPs are delivered to the translocon is probably as important as how many chains seek engagement by the Sec machinery in determining recombinant MP toxicity and their eventual yields.

**Materials and methods**

**Strains, plasmids and culture conditions**

*E. coli* BW25113 [Δ(araD-araB)]ΔlacZΔ787 (ΔrrnB-3) λ rph-1 D[rhaD-rhaB]Δ568, hsdR514] [26], KTD101 [BW25113 Δtig100] [20], and plasmid pHtdR200 [5] have been described previously. KTD101(pHtdR200) cells were grown to approx. 600 μg/mL kanamycin. Samples were streaked on LB agar plates supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin, 100 μM of all-trans retinal (Sigma; 10 mM stock solution in methanol), and 0.2% L-arabinose and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 36 h. A colony exhibiting both larger size and pronounced purple color was isolated, grown in LB-kanamycin and the plasmid was purified. The regions of the plasmid corresponding to the araC promoter and regulatory region, origin of replication, araC gene and htdR gene were sequenced. The mutant plasmid was named pHtdR400 and transformed into chemically competent BW25113 and KTD101. The single nucleotide mutation found in pHtdR200 was similarly subcloned into pBLN400 to create pHtdR401.

For viability experiments, culture tubes (18 mL) containing 5 mL of LB medium supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin were inoculated with the indicated cells to an A600 ≈ 0.05 and cells were grown at 37°C to A600 ≈ 0.45. Samples were either streaked directly on LB-agar plates supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin, 0.4% L-arabinose, and 10 μM of all-trans retinal, or diluted in LB medium by factors of 10 and spotted on plates in 10 μL aliquots.

**Membrane protein expression, isolation and characterization**

HtdR and pSRII were expressed as previously described [5]. Briefly, cells were grown at 37°C in 125 mL shake flasks containing 25 mL of LB media supplemented with 50 μg/mL kanamycin to mid-exponential phase (A600 ≈ 0.45). Cultures were supplemented with all-trans retinal to a 10 μM final concentration and MP synthesis initiated by addition of 0.2% L-arabinose. Cells (5 mL) were harvested 3 h post-induction, disrupted with a French press operated at 10,000 psi, subjected to centrifugation at 10,000 g for 10 min at 4°C to remove aggregated material, and the supernatant was centrifuged at 150,000 g for 1 h at 4°C to collect membrane material. Samples corresponding to identical amounts of cells (based on A600) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting with anti-6-His antibodies (Covance) as described [5]. To collect HtdR adsorption spectra, membranes fractions prepared as above were resuspended in 50 mM 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES), pH 6.5, 300 mM NaCl, 5 mM imidazole, and 1.0% n-dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM) and spectra were acquired on a Beckman Coulter DU64 spectrophotometer.

**Real-time RT-PCR**

RNA was purified from cells grown and induced as above and 1 h after induction of HtdR synthesis using the Aurum Total RNA Mini Kit (BioRad). Total RNA concentrations for each sample were estimated using A260 measurements and 50 ng RNA was used as template with the iScript One-Step RT-PCR Kit with SYBR Green (BioRad). The reverse transcription (RT) of mRNA encoding HtdR and real-time PCR reactions were done with 8 replicates for each sample, and 16s RNA as the internal standard. Primers 5′-GCTATGGCATAGCGGTTCG-3′ and 5′-GTCCACATGATTATTTTCAGGTCGTCACCTTTGGC-3′ were used to quantify mRNA encoding HtdR. Primers 5′-GCCATAACGTCGCAAGACCAAG-3′ and 5′-TTCTCTCATAACGGCCGGCATGG-3′ were used for the 16s RNA standard [27]. Relative expression levels were analyzed using the 2ΔΔCT method [28].
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