Signal transducer and activator of transcription 5 (STAT5) paralog dose governs T cell effector and regulatory functions

Alejandro Villarino1*, Arian Laurence1, Gertraud W Robinson2, Michael Bonelli1, Barbara Dema1, Behdad Afzali1, Han-Yu Shih1, Hong-Wei Sun1, Stephen R Brooks1, Lothar Hennighausen2, Yuka Kanno1, John J O’Shea1

1Molecular Immunology and Inflammation Branch, National Institute of Arthritis, Musculoskeletal and Skin Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, United States; 2Laboratory of Genetics and Physiology, National Institute of Diabetes, Digestive and Kidney Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, United States

Abstract

The transcription factor STAT5 is fundamental to the mammalian immune system. However, the relationship between its two paralogs, STAT5A and STAT5B, and the extent to which they are functionally distinct, remain uncertain. Using mouse models of paralog deficiency, we demonstrate that they are not equivalent for CD4⁺ ‘helper’ T cells, the principal orchestrators of adaptive immunity. Instead, we find that STAT5B is dominant for both effector and regulatory (Treg) responses and, therefore, uniquely necessary for immunological tolerance. Comparative analysis of genomic distribution and transcriptomic output confirm that STAT5B has a greater impact but, surprisingly, the data point towards asymmetric expression (i.e. paralog dose), rather than distinct functional properties, as the key distinguishing feature. Thus, we propose a quantitative model of STAT5 paralog activity whereby relative abundance imposes functional specificity (or dominance) in the face of widespread structural homology.
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Introduction

Signal transducers and activators of transcription (STAT) family proteins are an evolutionarily conserved set of transcription factors, which operate downstream of cytokine and hormone receptors to convert extracellular stimuli into biochemical signals that instruct gene expression (Villarino et al., 2015; Stark and Darnell, 2012). In mammals, STAT5 is unique because it is encoded by two genes, termed Stat5a and Stat5b, derived from a relatively recent duplication event (Wang and Levy, 2012). In fact, the ancestral STAT5 gene appears to have duplicated on two separate occasions during vertebrate evolution. Once in teleosts, resulting in two paralogs on different chromosomes, and again in eutherians, resulting in the two contiguous mammalian paralogs (Liongue et al., 2012).

Because of their recent divergence, STAT5A and STAT5B are homologous at the DNA, RNA and protein levels, which has led to persisting questions about whether they are redundant or functionally distinct. Genetically engineered mice lacking Stat5a or Stat5b have provided compelling evidence for both arguments. On one hand, there are phenotypic differences; Stat5a-deficient mice exhibit poor mammary function (Liu et al., 1997), reduced hematopoietic stem cell proliferation (Zhang et al., 2000) and diminished antibody class switching (Kagami et al., 2000), while Stat5b-deficient mice exhibit dwarfism (Udy et al., 1997), more pronounced lymphopenia, and greater
defects in cytokine-driven lymphocyte proliferation (Moriggl et al., 1999; Imada et al., 1998). On the other hand, deletion of Stat5a and Stat5b has comparable effects on some physiological processes, such as eosinophil recruitment (Kagami et al., 2000), and the most dramatic phenotypes, such as infertility, anemia and perinatal lethality, are evident only in mice lacking both paralogs, which implies redundancy and/or cooperativity (Teglund et al., 1998; Socolovsky et al., 1999; Cui et al., 2004). Genome-wide DNA-binding profiles also support both viewpoints. The target repertoires for STAT5A and STAT5B mostly overlap, which implies redundancy, but there are also a subset of sites that may be differentially bound, which implies specificity (Liao et al., 2008; 2011; Yamaji et al., 2013; Kanai et al., 2014). Consistent with the latter point, humans with germ-line mutations in STAT5B exhibit a range of clinical abnormalities, indicating that STAT5A cannot compensate for some vital functions (Kanai et al., 2012).

Compound STAT5 deficiency manifests striking immunological abnormalities in mice, most notably lymphopenia, splenomegaly and autoimmunity. These are typically attributed to its role downstream of the common gamma chain (γc) receptor and its dedicated Janus kinase, Jak3 (Moriggl et al., 1999; Snow et al., 2003; Yao et al., 2006). The γc is shared by 6 different cytokines, IL-2 IL-4, IL-7, IL-9, IL-15 and IL-21, each of which employs a unique co-receptor subunit that determines which cell types can respond (Rochman et al., 2009). γc cytokines impact all lymphocytes but have been most extensively studied in CD4+ ‘helper’ T cells, the key orchestrators of adaptive immunity. Among the many functions ascribed to the γc-STAT5 axis in this lineage are the ability to promote Th1- and Th2-type effector responses, to support T cell memory, to promote activation-induced cell death, to suppress Th17-type and T follicular helper cell (Tfh) responses, and to promote T regulatory cell (Treg) responses (Moriggl, Topham, et al., 1999; Liao et al., 2008; 2011; Dooms et al., 2007; Zhu et al., 2003; Kagami et al., 2001; Lenardo, 1991; Laurence et al., 2007; Ballesteros-Tato et al., 2012; Johnston et al., 2012; Mahmud et al., 2013).

To assess redundancy between STAT5 paralogs, we developed a mouse model where STAT5A and/or STAT5B were reduced but not absent, allowing us to compare their respective functions while avoiding the confounding lymphopenia associated with complete STAT5 deficiency. These studies reveal STAT5B as the dominant paralog in helper T cells; exhibiting far greater impact on pathogenic effector and host-protective regulatory responses and, therefore, uniquely required for...
Figure 1. Stat5b is required for immunological tolerance. (A) Cartoon depicts the mutant mice used in this study. Genotypes are grouped according to total Stat5 alleles. (B) Bar graphs show averaged RBC, hematocrit and WBC counts. (C) Scatter plot shows kidney pathology scores. (D) Scatter plot shows urinary albumin/creatinine protein ratios. (E) Bar graph shows ELISA measurements (O.D.) for anti-double stranded DNA antibodies in serum. (F) Micrographs show representative H & E kidney sections (40X magnification). (B–E) Number of Stat5a, Stat5b and total Stat5 alleles (i.e. genotype) is explained in the key below each graph. Data are compiled from 3–5 mice per genotype. Error bars indicate standard deviation.
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immunological tolerance. Surprisingly, genome-wide DNA binding and transcriptome surveys did not uncover widespread differences in target gene selection but, instead, point towards relative abundance as the key distinguishing factor. Thus, we propose that asymmetric expression (i.e. paralog dose), rather than differential function, determines the dominant STAT5 paralog in lymphoid cells.

**Results**

**A dominant role for STAT5B in immunological tolerance**

To investigate the relationship between STAT5A and STAT5B, we generated a series of mice with pre-determined combinations of Stat5 alleles, ranging from two alleles each of A and B (4 total) to one allele of either A or B (Figure 1A) (Yamaji et al., 2013). We refer to each genotype according to the total number of Stat5 alleles that are retained. For example, two-allele Stat5a-deficient mice lack both Stat5a alleles but retain two of Stat5b (Stat5a/-/Stat5b+/+), while one-allele Stat5a-deficient mice lack both Stat5a alleles and retain just one of Stat5b (Stat5a/-/Stat5b+/). All 8 genotypes were born at the expected Mendelian ratios and survived beyond 6 months of age, thereby...
demonstrating that a single allele of either paralog is sufficient to prevent the perinatal lethality seen in STAT5-null mice (Data not shown)(Hoelbl et al., 2006; Cui et al., 2004). Red blood cell counts and hematocrits were comparable across all genotypes, indicating that a single allele is also enough to support erythropoiesis, but white blood cell (WBC) counts were sharply reduced in one-allele Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient mice, as well as two-allele Stat5b-deficient mice. By contrast, two-allele Stat5a-deficient mice had relatively normal WBC counts (Figure 1B).

None of the STAT5 mutants exhibited histological abnormalities in the liver, spleen or intestine, tissues known to be affected in STAT5-null mice (Data not shown)(Snow et al., 2003; Yao et al., 2006). However, Stat5b-deficient mice did exhibit kidney pathology with a penetrance of 75% or 25%, depending on whether they harbored one or two Stat5a alleles (Figure 1C). Afflicted individuals presented a loss of glomerular structure, proteinuria and systemic anti-DNA antibodies (Figure 1D–F). Therefore, as in humans, Stat5b is required for immunological tolerance in mice but, given the clear difference between having one or two Stat5a alleles, redundancy and/or cooperativity is also evident.

**STAT5 paralog dose governs T follicular helper cell responses**

To probe for immunological phenotypes, we first assessed the cellularity and composition of primary lymphoid organs. Although not completely lymphopenic like STAT5-null mice (Yao et al., 2006), one- and two-allele Stat5b-deficient mice did have fewer splenocytes than WT controls (Figure 2A). Cell counts were also reduced in one-allele Stat5a-deficient mice, suggesting that, while STAT5B may be dominant, STAT5A does have substantial influence. Lymph node cellularity was similarly affected by the loss of either paralog and, in fact, all genotypes with less than three-alleles had reduced cell counts (Figure 2A).

Frequencies of CD4+ T cells were comparable across all genotypes, whereas CD8+ T cells were reduced in one-allele Stat5b-deficient mice and, to a lesser extent, in one-allele Stat5a-deficient mice (Figure 2B). By contrast, B cells were increased in one-allele Stat5b-deficient mice and, consistent with the appearance of auto-antibodies, GL7+ Fas+ IgDlow germinal center (GC) B cells were dramatically enriched (Figure 2B–C and data not shown). One-allele Stat5a-deficient mice had a more modest accumulation of GC B cells, again, illustrating both the relevance and redundancy of STAT5A (Figure 2B–C).

The ability to promote B cell responses is a defining characteristic of CD4+ ‘helper’ T cells (Crotty, 2011). Therefore, given the appearance of GC B cells, we next investigated the CD4+ T cell compartment. Not surprisingly, there was a marked accumulation of CD44high IL-7Raleft effector/memory T cells in Stat5b-deficient mice which, as with the incidence of kidney disease, was more pronounced in those bearing one-allele of Stat5a than in those bearing two (Figure 3A–B & Figure 3—figure supplement 1A). We also measured production of IFN-γ and IL-17, two effector cytokines that are dysregulated in STAT5-null mice (Laurence et al., 2007). IFN-γ+ cells were highly enriched in the autoimmune-prone Stat5b-deficient mice but not age-matched Stat5a-deficient counterparts, suggesting that STAT5B may be particularly important for limiting Th1-type responses. IL-17A+ Th17-type cells were also increased but this trend did not reach statistical significance (Figure 3C–D).

CD4+ Tfh cells specialize in promoting B cell responses (Crotty, 2011). Mirroring the abundance of GC B cells, there was dramatic accumulation of PD1high CXCR5high ICOShigh Tfh cells in one-allele Stat5b-deficient mice, and a more modest enrichment in one-allele Stat5a-deficient mice (Figure 3E–F, Figure 3—figure supplement 1B & data not shown). At least two interpretations can be made for this disparity; either the two proteins are not functionally equivalent or the two genes have different outputs. Consistent with the latter view, mice lacking one-allele each of Stat5a and Stat5b (i.e. double-heterozygotes) had more Tfh cells than those lacking two-alleles of Stat5a, despite having the same total number of alleles. Moreover, the percentage of Tfh cells was comparable between two-allele Stat5b-deficient mice and one-allele Stat5a-deficient mice, suggesting that two alleles of Stat5a are roughly equal to one allele of Stat5b (Figure 3F & Figure 3—figure supplement 1B).
STAT5 paralog dose impacts multiple aspects of regulatory T cell function

CD4+ T regulatory (Treg) cells expressing the forkhead transcription factor, FOXP3, are essential for immunological tolerance (Malek and Castro, 2010). Given the importance of STAT5 in Treg cells (Mahmud et al., 2013), we next inspected this subset. Unlike STAT5-null mice, which exhibit a profound lack of Treg cells (Yao et al., 2007; Burchill et al., 2006), frequencies of splenic FOXP3+ cells were relatively normal across our STAT5 mutants. However, due to differences in overall cellularity, absolute counts were significantly lower in one- and two-allele Stat5b-deficient mice, as well one-allele Stat5a-deficient mice. A similar trend was observed for LN resident Treg cells; frequencies were comparable to WT controls but total numbers were reduced in all genotypes bearing less than 3 total alleles (Figure 4—figure supplement 1). To further characterize the Treg compartment, we measured IL-2Rα, a component of the IL-2 receptor that is critical for Treg cell homeostasis and function. It is also a both upstream and downstream of STAT5 signaling and, thus, can be viewed as an indicator of STAT5 activity (Malek and Castro, 2010). We found that the percentage of IL-2Rα+ Treg cells mirrored the total number of Stat5 alleles; it was slightly reduced in mice with three

Figure 3. Aberrant effector T cell responses in the absence of Stat5b. (A) Contour plots show percentages of CD44low IL-7R+naive and CD44high effector/memory CD4+ T cells in the spleens of 8 week-old mice. (B) Scatter plots show percentages of naive CD4+ T cells in spleens (left) and lymph nodes (right). Genotypes are ordered as in Figure 1C. (C) Contour plots show percentages of IFN-γ+ or IL-17+ CD4+ T cells in spleens of 8 week-old mice. (D) Box plots show log2 fold changes for IFN-γ+ and IL-17+ cells relative to wild type controls (WT=0; not shown). (E) Contour plots show percentages of PD1+ CXCR5high Tfh cells in the spleens of 8 week-old mice. (F) Box plots show log2 fold changes for Tfh cells in spleens (left) and LNs (right) relative to wild type controls (WT=0; not shown). (D and F) Genotypes are ordered as in Figure 1D. Data are compiled from 4 experiments (3–6 mice/group). Dotted red lines indicate two-fold changes.
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 3:
Figure supplement 1. Impact of Stat5 allele depletion on effector T cell responses.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.08384.006
Figure 4. T regulatory cell function is impaired in Stat5b-deficient mice. (A) Contour plots show percentages of IL-2Rα+ cells within the FOXP3+ Treg compartment in spleens of 8 week-old mice. (B) Box plots show log2 fold changes in the ratio of IL-2Rα+/IL-2Rα− Treg (WT=0; not shown). LN (top) and spleen (bottom) data are compiled from 5 experiments (4–6 mice/group) and genotypes ordered as in Figure 1D. (C) IL-2Rα+ Treg cells from WT and Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient mice were used for in vitro suppression assays. Histograms show CFSE dilution of responder T cells. (D) Line graph shows percent suppression across a range of responder:Treg ratios. Baseline is set according to WT controls at a 1:1 ratio. Data are compiled from 3 experiments. (E) Line graph shows the percent Treg cells that remained IL-2Rα+ during in vitro suppression. (F) IL-2Rα+ Treg cells were cultured with IL-2 for 72 hr. Contour plots show the percentage of FOXP3+ Treg cells expressing TBX21 (top) or IL-2 (bottom). (G) Box plots show log2 fold changes for TBX21−, FOXP3+ and IL-2+ cells relative to wild type controls (WT=0; not shown). Data are compiled from 3 experiments. Dotted red lines indicate two-fold changes.
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The following figure supplement is available for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. Impact of Stat5 allele depletion on Treg cells.

DOI: 10.7554/eLife.08384.008
alleles, lower in those with 2, and lower still in those with 1 (Figure 4A–B). We also noted that residual IL-2Rα+ Treg cells from one-allele mice had reduced suppressive capacity and were unable to maintain expression of IL-2Rα during in vitro culture (Figure 4C–E). Each of these phenotypes was more pronounced in the absence of Stat5b than Stat5a, again, illustrating both the dominance of the former and the relevance (and/or redundancy) of the latter.

Given the appearance of IFN-γ+ effector T cells in Stat5b-deficient mice, we next asked whether Stat5b-deficient Treg cells express TBX21, a transcription factor required for Treg cells to limit Th1-type responses (Koch et al., 2009). Similar to conventional T cells (Liao et al., 2011), we found that IL-2 was sufficient to induce TBX21 in WT Treg cells (Figure 4F). This effect was slightly reduced in the absence of Stat5a but almost completely abolished in the absence of Stat5b, consistent with the disparity of other STAT5-dependent parameters (e.g. T cell and Th1 cell frequencies). Both Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient Treg cells maintained FOXP3 expression similar to WT controls and, surprisingly, both gained the ability to produce IL-2, a cytokine that is typically restricted in this lineage (Figure 4F–G).

STAT5 is required for in vitro differentiation of induced regulatory (iTreg) T cells (Yao et al., 2007). To dissect the contributions of STAT5A and STAT5B, we purified naive CD4+ T cells from our STAT5 mutants, cultured them under iTreg polarizing conditions and compared expression of FOXP3. We found that, although both paralogs appear to play a role, there were far fewer FOXP3+ cells in one-allele Stat5b-deficient cultures than in (Figure 5A). We also found that deletion of either paralog endowed FOXP3+ iTreg cells with the ability to produce IL-2, which suggests that, beyond differentiation, STAT5 may limit the inflammatory potential of this subset (Figure 5A–B).

Next, we compared the transcriptomes of Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient T cells cultured under iTreg polarizing conditions. Gene set enrichment analysis revealed that the overall Treg gene signature - defined by a combination of FOXP3- and IL-2-dependent transcriptional programs (Hill et al., 2007) - was significantly enriched in Stat5a-deficient cultures but not in Stat5b-deficient cultures (Figure 5C–D). These findings, together with the results from our functional assays, suggest that STAT5A is more critical for the development and maintenance of Treg cells than STAT5B.
was similarly affected in both genotypes, meaning that there were no broad qualitative differences (Figure 5C). However, there were obvious quantitative differences; several key genes, including Foxp3 and Il2ra, were more affected by the loss of STAT5B than STAT5A (Figure 5D). Thus, while both paralogs can impact Treg cell biology, deletion of Stat5b is clearly more disruptive, befitting its dominant station within immunological tolerance.

**Specificity and redundancy of STAT5 paralogs for gene transcription**

To define the molecular basis for phenotypic differences between Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient T cells, we employed a bioinformatic approach. First, we compared their transcriptomes either directly ex vivo or after acute exposure to STAT5-activating stimuli. The ex vivo set included naive T cells and Treg cells, while the in vitro set included naive T cells cultured with IL-7 and effector T cells.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** Redundancy and specificity of STAT5 paralogs for gene transcription. (A) Cartoons depict the cell types and experimental conditions used for RNA-seq. (B) Histograms show STAT5 paralog preference for all STAT5-regulated transcripts. Those which were more influenced by the loss of Stat5a are positioned to the left (X<0) while those that were more influenced by the loss of Stat5b are positioned to the right (X>0). Dotted red lines denote equivalence (X=0) and numbers indicate median paralog preference. (C) Pie charts depict paralog-specific transcripts. Those impacted only in Stat5a-deficient cells are indicated in blue, those impacted only in Stat5b-deficient cells are indicated in orange and those impacted in both genotypes are indicated in black. (D) Heat maps show a selection of STAT5-regulated transcripts. Data are presented as the log2 fold change relative to WT controls (not shown). (E) IL-2Rα protein was measured in T cells treated with IL-2 (left) or IL-6 (right). Box plots show log2 fold changes for mean fluorescence intensity relative to wild type controls (WT=0; not shown). Genotypes are ordered as in Figure 1D. Dotted red lines indicate a two-fold change. (A–D) RNA-seq analyses are compiled from 2–3 biological replicates per genotype. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.08384.010

The following figure supplements are available for figure 6:

**Figure supplement 1.** Transcriptomic analysis of Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient T cells.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.08384.011

**Figure supplement 2.** Transcriptomic analysis of Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient T cells.
DOI: 10.7554/eLife.08384.012
cultured with IL-2 (Figure 6A & Figure 6—figure supplement 1A). These pairings were chosen to match the expression patterns of requisite γc co-receptors; IL-7R, which is highly expressed on naive T cells, and IL-2Rα, which is highly expressed on effector T cells (Rochman et al., 2009). One-allele mice were used because they exhibited the most dramatic T cell phenotypes.

We began our transcriptomic survey by performing multidimensional scaling of the datasets, thereby gaining a broad overview of the experimental groups. Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient cells typically clustered together and equidistant from WT controls, suggesting that the loss of either paralog has comparable genome-wide effects (Figure 6—figure supplement 1B). Next, we used statistical variance to identify differentially expressed genes. Surprisingly, we found widespread discord between Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient cells; many transcripts appeared dysregulated in the absence of one paralog or the other (Figure 6—figure supplement 1C–D). However, upon close inspection, we concluded that this disparity was largely due to the arbitrary fold-change cutoff that was used. Most genes that were designated as STAT5B-specific were also affected by the loss of STAT5A (and vice versa), albeit to a lesser degree that did not reach our 2-fold threshold (Figure 6—figure supplement 1E). To avoid this statistical artifact, we devised a ‘paralog preference’ scale whereby all STAT5-sensitive genes were compiled and ranked according to how much they were impacted by the loss of Stat5a or Stat5b. This analysis revealed a binomial distribution for all experimental conditions. The majority of genes were in central bins, affected by both STAT5A and STAT5B, while membership in peripheral bins decreased steadily as paralog preference increased. Importantly, all curves were shifted towards STAT5B, suggesting that STAT5-sensitive genes are generally more impacted by STAT5B than STAT5A (Figure 6B). This latter trend was also evident at the protein level; IL-2-driven (but not IL-6-driven) induction of IL-2Rα was clearly more diminished in Stat5b-deficient cells than in Stat5a-deficient counterparts (Figure 6E). Collectively, these data affirm that STAT5B is dominant over STAT5A while, at the same time, demonstrating pervasive redundancy at the level of gene transcription.

Beyond quantitative differences, our transcriptomic survey also revealed qualitative differences between Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient cells. Using strict analysis criteria, we discovered that between 12% and 22% of all STAT5-sensitive genes can be classified as paralog-specific, meaning that they are solely dependent on either STAT5A or STAT5B. The absolute number of paralog-specific genes varied across cell states and stimuli, with the largest allotment found in IL-7-treated naive cells, and varied across cell states and stimuli, with the largest allotment found in IL-7-treated naive cells, and was typically skewed towards STAT5B (Figure 6C & Figure 6—figure supplement 1B). Thus, we can create 2 general categories: ‘pan-STAT5’ genes that are regulated by both STAT5A and STAT5B (e.g. Pdk1, Cish, Lta) and ‘paralog-specific’ genes that are regulated by either STAT5A (e.g. Smc6) or STAT5B (e.g. Cd74) (Figure 6D & Figure 6—figure supplement 2). Given that pan-STAT5 genes are much more numerous, we propose that phenotypic differences between Stat5a and Stat5b deficient T cells are due largely to paralog preference, owing to the fact that deletion of Stat5b has greater quantitative impact, with limited contribution from qualitative, paralog-specific effects.

**Paralog dose dictates genome-wide distribution of STAT5**

Functional divergence between STAT5A and STAT5B could be due to differences in target gene selection. Previous studies have addressed this issue by comparing genomic distributions by ChIP-seq (chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by massively parallel sequencing) using separate, paralog-specific antibodies in WT cells (Liao et al., 2008; 2011; Kanai et al., 2014). We took an alternative approach involving a single antibody that recognizes both paralogs and T cells from Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient mice, as well as ‘double heterozygotes’ (hereafter referred to as Stat5a/bhet mice). In line with previous studies, 1275 unique regions of STAT5 occupancy were called for WT cells (Figure 7A). By contrast, Stat5a/bhet cells had fewer peaks (658 total; Figure 7A) that tended to be less robust (i.e. lower signal intensity) than those found in WT controls (Figure 7D), indicating that changes in STAT5 availability can impact genomic distribution even when paralogs are present. Total peaks were also reduced in Stat5a-deficient cells (609 total) and almost expunged in Stat5b-deficient cells (97 total), again, illustrating both the relevance of the former and the dominance of the latter (Figure 7A). STAT5 peaks were similarly localized across all genotypes - they typically congregated near transcriptional start sites but could also be found at distal regions, sometimes >100 kb from annotated genes - and were highly enriched for STAT-binding motifs (Figure 7B & Figure 7—figure supplement 1).
Most peaks found in Stat5b-deficient cells could be matched to peaks in Stat5a-deficient, Stat5a/b<sup>het</sup> or WT cells (Figure 7C). This implies a hierarchy whereby certain sites are preserved even when STAT5B is absent. STAT5B-independent peaks tended to occur near genes that were highly occupied in WT controls and whose expression was highly dysregulated in Stat5b-deficient cells (e.g. Cish, Lta), suggesting that only the most robust (i.e. high-affinity) STAT5-binding sites were preserved (Figure 8). Peaks detected within Stat5a/b<sup>het</sup> and Stat5a-deficient cells also tended to be highly occupied in WT controls and dysregulated in STAT5-deficient cells, but the trend was not as dramatic, indicating that, while a full complement of STAT5 alleles may be necessary to achieve optimal responses, STAT5B has the greater influence on genomic distribution (Figure 8).

Among the genes that were engaged by STAT5 in WT cells and dysregulated in Stat5-deficient cells was Il2ra, which, as discussed, is a known STAT5 target gene that is critical for Treg function and homeostasis (Figure 7E & Figure 7—figure supplement 2). Another was Bcl6, considered the master transcription factor for Tfh differentiation (Crotty, 2011)(Figure 7E & Figure 7—figure supplement 2). In this case, STAT5-binding appears to be a negative regulatory event; multiple studies (including the present work) have shown that STAT5 can suppress Bcl6 expression in T cells (Oestreich et al., 2012; Liao et al., 2014). Thus, taken together, our RNA-seq and ChIP-seq data provide a molecular rationale for the Treg and Tfh phenotypes seen in Stat5-deficient mice.

**Asymmetric expression of STAT5 paralogs in helper T cells**

Based on our RNA-seq and ChIP-seq studies, we reasoned that asymmetric expression, rather than widespread paralog-specific activity, likely explains the phenotypic differences between Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient T cells. To explore this possibility, we mined various transcriptome datasets (including our own) and confirmed that, indeed, Stat5b is more abundant than Stat5a at the mRNA level.
Next, we used flow cytometry to measure total STAT5 protein in naive, regulatory (Treg), follicular (Tfh) and effector/memory T cells. Regardless of cellular subset, the results were clear: removing one-allele of Stat5b (Stat5a+/+ Stat5b+/−) had greater impact than removing one-allele of Stat5a (Stat5a+/+ Stat5b+/−) while, at the other end of the spectrum, retaining one-allele of Stat5b (Stat5a−/− Stat5b+/−) than retaining one-allele of Stat5a (Stat5a−/− Stat5b−/−) (Figure 9A). A similar trend was observed for tyrosine-phosphorylated STAT5 downstream of IL-2 or IL-7 (Figure 9B). Thus, we conclude that STATB makes a greater contribution to the total STAT5 protein pool.

To determine how STAT5 availability (i.e. paralog dose) influences gene expression, we transduced Stat5b-deficient T cells with a STAT5A-expressing retrovirus, thereby increasing the total amount of STAT5 without re-introducing STAT5B. We first validated the system by measuring Il2ra, a well-documented STAT5 target, and found it to be highly induced at both the mRNA and protein levels (Figure 10A). Transcriptomic analysis revealed that, overall, ectopic STAT5A mobilized 320 genes, most of which fall within the pan-STAT5 category (e.g. Cish, Lta) (Figure 10A–B). Applied to our paralog preference scale, these genes did not favor STAT5A, meaning that they were similarly affected in Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient cells (Figure 10A). A similar trend was observed for tyrosine-phosphorylated STAT5 downstream of IL-2 or IL-7 (Figure 9B). Thus, we conclude that STATB makes a greater contribution to the total STAT5 protein pool.

Having established that ectopic STAT5A can rescue gene expression in Stat5b-deficient T cells, we next asked whether it can rescue cellular differentiation. For these studies, naive T cells from wild type, Stat5a/b+/- or one-allele Stat5b-deficient mice were cultured under iTreg polarizing conditions, transduced with either control or STAT5A retrovirus and FOXP3 measured to assess lineage commitment. As expected, FOXP3 was reduced in control-transduced Stat5a/b+/- cells and almost completely abolished in control-transduced Stat5b-deficient cells (Figure 10D). However, when ectopic STAT5A was introduced, the percentage of FOXP3+ cells became comparable across all genotypes and, whether endogenous (top row) or ectopic (bottom row), there was a clear linear
The correlation between STAT5 and FOXP3 protein levels (Figure 10D). IL-2Rα was also diminished in both Stat5a/bhet and Stat5b-deficient cells, and was restored by ectopic STAT5A (Figure 10E). These data argue that a threshold concentration of STAT5 must be reached to institute the Treg program and, given the conspicuous effect of ectopic STAT5A on WT cells (Figure 10D & Figure 10—figure supplement 1A), they imply that STAT5 is a limiting resource for this process.

Although they share a common instructive cytokine (TGF-β), Th17 cells and Treg cells have opposing pro- and anti-inflammatory functions. STAT5 is key to this divergence - it promotes Treg responses at the expense of Th17 responses – so we next investigated the effect of paralog dose on Th17 differentiation. We found that the percentage of IL-17+ cells was 4-fold higher in Stat5a/bhet Th17 cultures and >25-fold higher in Stat5b-deficient Th17 cultures than in WT controls, consistent with a high paralog dose threshold, and most importantly, that ectopic STAT5 not only extinguished IL-17 but also induced FOXP3 in all genotypes, thereby demonstrating that changes in STAT5 concentration can tip the balance between effector and regulatory T cells programs (Figure 10—figure supplement 1B).

Discussion

Although the importance of STAT5 is widely recognized, there is no consensus on whether its closely related paralogs, STAT5A and STAT5B, are redundant or functionally distinct. Assuming the latter, it is also unclear how specificity can be achieved given their extensive structural homology. Both
**Figure 10.** Paralog dose governs STAT5-driven gene transcription. (A) CD4⁺ T cells from one-allele Stat5b-deficient mice were transduced with STAT5A retrovirus, then processed for RNA-seq. Contour plots (left) show correlation between the transduction marker (hNGFR) and IL-2Rx protein. Volcano plot (middle) shows log2 fold changes and variances for all transcripts relative to control retrovirus. Those exhibiting >1.5 fold change and p<0.05 are depicted in blue. Dotted red lines indicate 2 fold change and 0.05 p value. Histogram (right) shows STAT5 paralog preference for transcripts mobilized by ectopic STAT5A. Dotted red line denotes equivalence and number indicates median paralog preference. (B) Heat map shows selected transcripts in STAT5A-transduced helper T cells (top row) or IL-2 treated Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient cells (bottom rows; from **Figure 6**). Data are presented as the log2 fold change relative to controls (not shown). (C) GSEA plots show enrichment of STAT5A-dependent (left) or STAT5B-dependent (right) genes within the STAT5A-RV dataset. (A–C). RNA-seq analysis is compiled from 2 biological replicates. (D) CD4⁺ T cells from WT, Stat5a/bhet and one-allele Stat5b-deficient mice were transduced with control (top row) or STAT5A (bottom row) retrovirus under iTreg polarizing conditions. Contour plots show Figure 10 continued on next page.
positions are grounded in sound experimental evidence but, until the present studies, there has been no comprehensive inquiry on their relationship in immune cells. We addressed this longstanding question in primary CD4+ helper T cells, the principal orchestrators of adaptive immunity. Using a combination of genetic and genomic approaches, we demonstrate that STAT5B is dominant over STAT5A and, thus, plays a non-redundant role in controlling effector and regulatory T cell responses. This conclusion is based on phenotypic differences between Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient mice, as well as bioinformatic analyses showing that STAT5B has greater impact on both selection and transcription of STAT5 target genes. The disparity does not appear to be due to differences in genome wide distribution or transcriptional capacity but, instead, relates to differences in relative abundance. Consistent with the latter point, our loss- and gain-of-function studies demonstrate that a threshold concentration of STAT5 must be reached to execute STAT5-dependent gene expression and differentiation programs. Based on these findings, we submit that STAT5A and STAT5B are largely redundant at the molecular level, but not at the cellular or organismal levels, where STAT5B is dominant.

It has been proposed that the target repertoires of STAT5A and STAT5B vary due to subtle differences in their DNA-binding domains (Boucheron et al., 1998). However, this notion has been disputed because the nature and location of the amino acid substitutions may not alter protein structure enough to impact specificity. In addition, multiple studies have shown that the consensus DNA-binding motifs for STAT5A and STAT5B are identical, although it should be noted that these measured optimal binding to synthetic oligonucleotides in cell free systems, leaving open the possibility that divergent binding properties become apparent only at lower affinity sites or in the context of native chromatin (Soldaini et al., 1999; Ehret et al., 2001). Indeed, differential binding of STAT5A or STAT5B has been detected at several loci in primary immune cells but it remains unclear whether this reflects bona fide differences in specificity or other factors that may influence target gene selection (Liao et al., 2008; 2011; Yamaji et al., 2013; Kanai et al., 2014). For instance, it is known that STAT5A and STAT5B can exhibit distinct phosphorylation patterns, so preferential binding may reflect cell type- or stimulus-specific differences in activation rather than distinct targeting capabilities (Caldenhoven et al., 1998; Hennighausen and Robinson, 2008; Rosen et al., 1996; Meinke et al., 1996). Our work supports this latter view by establishing that, even before activation, relative abundance of STAT5A versus STAT5B determines which paralog will dominate a given transcriptional response.

Recent work has shown that small oscillations in transcription factor availability can have genome-wide consequences (Brewster et al., 2014). The idea that STAT5 concentration can impact cellular function also has precedent. Of particular interest are studies reporting severe immunological phenotypes in transgenic mice which over-express STAT5A or STAT5B (Kelly et al., 2003a; Kelly et al., 2003b), and studies showing that Stat5a/b haplo-insufficiency ameliorates contact hypersensitivity (Nivarthi et al., 2014). In addition, we have previously demonstrated that ectopic STAT5A can expand the target repertoire of STAT5 in mouse embryonic fibroblasts (Zhu et al., 2012), and have explored the concept of STAT5 gene dosage in the context of mammary development, finding that a high STAT5 threshold must be reached for mammary epithelial cell differentiation (Yamaji et al., 2013). Given that STAT5A is the dominant paralog in mammary epithelium, we can infer that asymmetric expression of STAT5 paralogs is not just a feature of immune cells, and that it must be controlled in a tissue-specific manner (Metser et al., 2016). Several mechanisms may explain this phenomenon. First, it is known that Stat5a and Stat5b are transcribed from opposite DNA strands and, thus, may be subject to strand-specific modes of regulation (Figure 9—figure supplement 2). Second, differential transcription could be achieved through paralog-specific enhancer elements whose accessibility is tissue- and/or cell type-restricted. We have recently characterized an intergenic enhancer that drives expression of Stat5a in mammary epithelium and have identified multiple
DNase hypersensitivity sites within the Stat5b locus that are present in T cells but not in non-lymphoid tissues, perhaps indicating an analogous mechanism for immune cells (Metser et al., 2016) (Figure 9—figure supplement 3). Third, paralog-specific epigenetic modifications, such as histone or DNA methylation, may impose distinct transcriptional outputs, as shown for tumor cells (Zhang et al., 2007). Fourth, the 3’ UTRs of Stat5a and Stat5b are highly divergent so it is possible that their mRNAs are subject to post-transcriptional regulation via distinct sets of microRNAs and/or RNA-binding proteins (Liu et al., 1995).

Beyond asserting the dominance of STAT5B, our work also affirms the importance of STAT5A. Several observations support this latter point: 1) deletion of one Stat5a allele exaggerates the gross and cellular phenotypes of Stat5b-deficient mice, 2) transcription of STAT5 target genes is typically influenced by both STAT5A or STAT5B, and 3) ectopic STAT5A can rescue gene expression in Stat5b-deficient cells. Furthermore, just one-allele of either Stat5a or Stat5b is sufficient to prevent the perinatal lethality and anemia seen in Stat5t-null mice, suggesting that molecular redundancy protects the most critical ‘life-and-death’ functions. We also identified a small subset of genes that appear to be regulated by either STAT5A or STAT5B, some of which have known immunological functions. Given that STAT5B is more abundant, it can be argued that all STAT5B-dependency may be due to a high paralog dose threshold, but this cannot explain the appearance of STAT5A-dependent genes. Thus, we propose that phenotypic differences between Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient T cells result from widespread ‘paralog preference’ and circumscribed ‘paralog specificity’.

Our ChIP-seq studies indicate that the overall availability of STAT5, whether STAT5A or STAT5B, has profound influence on target gene selection. Previous studies have compared genomic distribution of STAT5A and STAT5B in primary CD4+ T cells and found that they mostly overlap, thereby supporting the idea of redundancy (Liao et al., 2008; 2011; Kanai et al., 2014). However, they also identified a subset of sites that are occupied by one paralog or the other and, thus, have been taken as evidence for paralog specificity. All such comparisons (including ours) should be interpreted with care. Shared sites can be appointed with confidence but, due to technical confounders (e.g. differences in antibody affinity), incongruent sites cannot be definitively classified as STAT5A- or STAT5B-specific. The mechanisms underlying differential binding must also be considered. It is possible that bona fide paralog-specific binding sites do exist, but these are probably only a minor fraction. In most cases, differential binding likely reflects competition; the more abundant paralog is more likely to be detected. Given this nuance, claims that certain genes are uniquely regulated by STAT5A or STAT5B should be tempered. For instance, it has been suggested that Bcl2l1 is regulated only by STAT5A and that Bcl2, Il2ra and Foxp3 are regulated only by STAT5B (Kanai et al., 2014; Jenks et al., 2013). Our data indicate that these are more accurately described as ‘pan-STAT5’ genes that are more impacted by deletion of one paralog or the other.

STAT5 is essential for immunological tolerance (Mahmud et al., 2013). This principle is well illustrated in humans with congenital STAT5B defects, who typically manifest a range of autoimmune symptoms (Kanai et al., 2012), and is further supported by the present work, which demonstrates that Stat5b deficiency leads to spontaneous kidney disease in mice. The link between STAT5 and autoimmunity is often attributed to its role downstream of IL-2/IL-2Rα in Treg cells (Malek and Castro, 2010; Mahmud et al., 2013). Our work clearly endorses this viewpoint and brings to mind the autoimmune phenotype of Treg-deficient Scurfy mice which, like Stat5b-deficient mice, exhibit both autoantibodies and kidney disease (Aschermann:2013gn). We demonstrate that, similar to STAT5B-deficient humans (Cohen et al., 2006), Treg cells are functionally compromised in Stat5b-deficient mice, but, surprisingly, the baseline frequency of FOXP3+ cells was not reduced, likely reflecting immunological and/or environmental differences between the two species. We also present new ideas about why STAT5-deficient Treg cells are impaired. First, they acquire the ability to produce IL-2, a cytokine that is typically restricted in Treg cells (Malek and Castro, 2010). This finding is consistent with previous studies demonstrating that STAT5 can suppress IL-2 production by conventional T cells and, given that Treg cells are thought to operate, in part, by consuming IL-2, it provides one explanation for their ineffectiveness (Villarino et al., 2007; Pandiyen et al., 2007). Second, they fail to express TBX21, a transcription factor that is required to limit Th1-type T cell responses (Koch et al., 2009). Previous studies have shown that STAT1-activating cytokines (e.g. interferons, IL-27) can induce TBX21 in Treg cells but we are the first to show that IL-2, a STAT5-activating cytokine, can do it (Hall et al., 2012; Koch et al., 2012).
Aside from its role in Treg cells, STAT5 promotes immunological tolerance via effector cell-intrinsic mechanisms. Given the dramatic accumulation of Tfh cells in our STAT5 mutants, the capacity of Tfh cells to promote autoimmunity, and recent work showing that STAT5 can suppress Tfh differentiation (Ballesteros-Tato et al., 2012; Johnston et al., 2012), we conclude that exaggerated Tfh responses factor heavily in the autoantibody responses and attendant kidney pathology seen in Stat5b-deficient mice. Our data also suggest an intimate relationship between STAT5 and BCL6, the ‘master’ transcription factor for Tfh cells. We report that STAT5 directly engages the Bcl6 locus, where it likely acts as a transcriptional repressor, and that STAT5 binding sites are often enriched for BCL6 motifs, consistent with published accounts of co-localization between these two transcription factors (Y. Zhang et al., 2012; Liao et al., 2014). These findings strongly implicate Tfh cells in the pathogenesis of Stat5b-deficient mice but, since these are germine ‘knockouts’, we must consider the (likely) possibility that intrinsic defects in other cell types contribute to the autoimmune phenotype. For instance, multiple dendritic cell subsets are known to be dysregulated in Stat5- or Jak3-deficient mice (Esashi et al., 2008; Yamaoka, 2005), and its influence on non-immune cells, particularly downstream of hormone receptors, cannot be ignored (Kuhrt and Wojchowski, 2015; Hennighausen and Robinson, 2008).

Because of its prominent role within the immune system, STAT5 has long been viewed as an attractive target for therapeutic intervention. Clinical use of STAT5-activating cytokines and growth factors (e.g. IL-2, erythropoetin) is now commonplace and the recent approval of Jak3 inhibitors for the treatment of autoimmune disease and malignancy points to sustained interest in this pathway (Villarino et al., 2015). Consequently, a detailed understanding of how STAT5 signaling works is imperative not only to inform new drugs, but also to improve existing regimens. The present study yields multiple clinically relevant insights and, in particular, raises two key issues that should be considered. First, partial inhibition of STAT5 expression and or activity may be sufficient to have desired effects on immune cell function. Second, targeting of STAT5A may be safer (though perhaps less robust) than targeting of STAT5B. Therefore, taking a broad view, our findings provide a molecular rationale for exploiting STAT5 paralog redundancy in clinical settings.

Materials & methods

Experimental Animals

STAT5 mutants were generated as described (Yamaji et al., 2013). Briefly, mice lacking the entire Stat5 locus (Stat5a/b−/−) were crossed with mice lacking one-allele of Stat5a (Stat5a+/− Stat5b−/−) or Stat5b (Stat5a+/+ Stat5b−/−) to produce 8 combinations of Stat5 alleles (Figure 1A). We refer to each genotype according to the total number of Stat5 alleles that are retained. For example, two-allele Stat5a-deficient mice lack both Stat5a alleles but retain two Stat5b alleles (Stat5a−/− Stat5b−/−/−); while one-allele Stat5a-deficient mice lack both Stat5a alleles but retain one Stat5b allele (Stat5a+/− Stat5b−/−). CD45.1+ C57BL/6 mice were purchased from Jackson Labs (Bar Harbor, ME). Animals were handled in accordance with NIH guidelines and all experiments approved by the NIAMS Animal Care and Use Committee.

Blood, urine and lymphoid tissue analysis

Complete blood counts were taken from 8 to 12-week old mice (NIH Clinical Center, Division of Veterinary Services, Bethesda, MD). Anti-double stranded DNA antibodies were measured in serum collected from 4 to 6 month old mice (Calbiotech, Spring Valley, CA). Albumin/creatinine ratio was measured in urine collected from 4 to 6 month old mice (Exocell, Philadelphia, PA). Spleen and lymph node (cervical, axillary, brachial and inguinal) cellularity was measured in 8–12 week old mice using a Nexcelom X1 Cellometer (Lawrence, MA).

Histology

Kidneys were dissected from 4 to 6-month old mice, fixed, embedded in paraffin, sectioned and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (American Histolabs, Gaithersburg, MD). Blinded scoring was performed by a veterinary pathologist (Diagnostic & Research Services Branch, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD). Specimens from at least 3 mice per genotype were inspected. Micrograph images were collected using a BioRevo BZ-9000 digital microscope (Keyence, Itasca, IL).
Flow cytometry
For surface proteins, cells were stained directly ex vivo with fluorochrome labelled anti-mouse CD3ε, CD4, CD8α, CD25 (IL-2Rα), CD44, CD45R (B220), CD95 (FAS), CD127 (IL-7R), CD185 (CXCR5), CD279 (PD1), GL-7, and IgD. For intracellular proteins, cells were fixed and permeabilized using transcription factor staining buffer set (eBioscience, San Diego, CA), then stained with fluorochrome labelled anti-mouse FOXP3 and/or TBX21. For cytokine production, cells were stimulated with Phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate and ionomycin for 4 hr (50 ng/ml and 500 ng/ml, respectively; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO), treated with Brefeldin A for 2 hr (10 μg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich), fixed (2% formaldehyde; Sigma-Aldrich), permeabilized (0.25% Saponin; Sigma/Aldrich), and stained with fluorochrome-labelled anti-mouse IFN-γ, IL-2 and/or IL-17A. For IL-2Rα induction, naïve CD4+ CD44low CD25+ cells were purified from pooled lymph nodes and spleens using a FACS Aria Cell Sorter (>98% purity; BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA). These were stimulated with plate-bound anti-CD3 (10 μg/ml; Clone 17A2) and soluble anti-CD28 (1 μg/ml; Clone 37.51) in the presence of soluble anti-mouse IL-2, IL-4 and IFN-γ (10 μg/ml each; Clones 54B6, BVD-24G2 and XMG1.2; BioXcell, West Lebanon, NH) for 18 hr, then treated with human IL-2 (100 units/ml; NIH/NCI BRB Preclinical Repository) or mouse IL-6 (20 ng/ml; eBioscience) for 18 hr and stained with fluorochrome labelled anti-mouse CD25. For tyrosine-phosphorylated STAT5, splenocytes were treated directly ex vivo with human IL-2 (100 units/ml) or mouse IL-7 (20 ng/ml; eBioscience) for 1 hr, or stimulated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 in the presence of anti-mouse IL-2 for 18 hr, then pulsed with human IL-2 for 1 hr (100 units/ml). These were then fixed with 2% formaldehyde, permeabilized with 100% methanol and stained with Alexa Fluor 647-labelled anti-human/mouse pY694 STAT5 (Clone 47; BD Biosciences) in conjunction with fluorochrome labelled anti-mouse CD3ε, CD4, CD8α, CD11c (RPMI-1640 with 10% fetal calf serum, 1% sodium pyruvate, 1% nonessential amino acids, 0.1% penicillin, 100 mg/ml streptomycin; Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) and cultured at a density of 0.25–0.5 x 10^6 cells/ml in flat bottomed 96 well plates (200 ml/ well; Sigma/Costar, St. Louis, MO).

All fluorochrome-labelled antibodies were purchased from eBioscience, BD Biosciences or Biolegend (San Diego, CA), unless noted otherwise. Data were collected on a FACSVerse cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software (FlowJo LLC, Ashland, OR). Compiled cytometry data are presented as scatter plots where each element represents a single replicate (horizontal line indicates the mean), or box plots where the fold change for each replicate was calculated relative to WT controls and reflects the percentage of responder cells exhibiting at least one cell division. For T regulatory cell assays, cells were stimulated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 in the presence human IL-2 (100 units/ml) for 72 hr. For iTreg differentiation, naïve CD4+ CD44low CD25+ cells were...
sorted and cultured for 72 hr in the presence anti-CD3, anti-CD28, human TGF-β (10 ng/ml; R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), human IL-2 (100 units/ml) and anti-mouse IL-2, IL-4 and IFN-γ.

**RNA sequencing and transcriptome analysis**

Cell sorting was used to purify cells from pooled lymph nodes and spleens of WT and one-allele Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient mice (>99% purity). Ex vivo groups included naïve T cells (CD4⁺ CD44⁺low CD25⁻) and Treg cells (CD4⁺ CD25⁺high Neuropilin⁺). In vitro groups included naïve T cells that were treated with mouse IL-7 for 18 hr, effector T cells that were stimulated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 in the presence of human IL-2 for 72 hr, and induced Treg cells. All cultures included anti-mouse IL-2, IL-4 and IFN-γ (10 μg/ml each). Equal numbers of cells (0.5–2.5 x 10⁶) were collected for each replicate. These were lysed in Trizol reagent and total RNA isolated by phenol-chloroform extraction with GlycoBlue as co-precipitant (7-15 μg per sample; Life Technologies). Single-end libraries were prepared with 0.1–0.5 μg of total RNA using the TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation Kit V2 and sequenced for 50 cycles with a HiSeq 2500 instrument (4–6 samples multiplexed per lane; Illumina, San Diego, CA). 50 bp reads were then mapped onto mouse genome build mm9 using TopHat and further processed using Cufflinks (Garber et al., 2011). 2–3 biological replicates were sequenced per genotype for every cell type and culture condition. QC-passing read counts are presented in Supplementary file 1.

Datasets are normalized based on RPKM (reads per kilobase exon model per million mapped reads) and purged of micro-RNAs, sno-RNAs and sca-RNAs. To minimize fold-change artifacts caused by low abundance transcripts, a small offset (0.2–0.3; equal to the second quartile of each dataset) was added to all RPKM values (Warden, Yuan, and Wu, 2013). When multiple fragments were detected for a single gene, only the most abundant (i.e. highest average RPKM across all 3 genotypes) was considered for downstream analyses. Transcripts with RPKM values of less than 1 for all genotypes within a given cell type or condition were excluded. Fold change and variance across genotypes and biological replicates were calculated using EdgeR (Robinson, McCarthy, and Smyth, 2009). Transcripts were classified as differentially expressed if they exhibited a >1.5 fold change and significant pairwise variance (p<0.05) relative to WT controls. The 500 transcripts with greatest variance within each cell type or condition were used for multidimensional scaling (MDS) using the R software package (Lohse et al., 2012).

A ‘paralog preference’ scale was devised to illustrate the relative impact of Stat5a - or Stat5b-deficiency. First, all transcripts that were differentially expressed in Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient cells (relative to WT controls) were pooled to generate a single list of STAT5-regulated genes for each cell type or condition. Next, the absolute fold change was calculated and multiplied by the higher of the two RPKMs (WT or KO), thereby generating a ‘paralog score’. Note that the use of absolute fold change negates the distinction between up- and down-regulated genes, while the multiplication step improves the score for high-abundance transcripts. The paralog score for STAT5B was then divided by the paralog score for STAT5A and the resulting ‘preference score’ was log 2 transformed so that transcripts which are more impacted by the loss of STAT5B are assigned positive values while those which are more impacted by the loss of STAT5A are assigned negative values. All transcripts were then segregated into 12 bins according to preference scores (Bin 1 includes values of less than -5, Bin 2 ranges from -5 to -4, and so on). Data are displayed as histograms and the median preference score is indicated.

To identify ‘paralog-specific’ transcripts, we first identified those exhibiting >1.5 fold change and significant variance (p<0.05) when comparing Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient cells directly to one another. Next, we refined this list by stipulating that transcripts must be differentially expressed in one KO relative to WT controls (>1.5 fold change) but not in the other (<1.2 fold change). Rare transcripts with opposite expression patterns (i.e. up-regulated in one genotype but down-regulated in the other) were excluded. Data are presented as pie charts.

All volcano plots, XY plots, histograms and pie charts were generated with the DataGraph software suite (Visual Data Tools, Inc.). Heat maps were generated with Multi Experiment Viewer (MeV; J. Craig Venter Institute, La Jolla, CA). Genome browser files (BigWig format) were processed to remove intronic reads using TopHat and are displayed with the Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV; Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA).

GSEA analysis was performed as described (Subramanian et al., 2005). Unabridged RNA-seq datasets were used in conjunction with the following user-generated Gene Sets: 1) Treg signature
genes (132 members) (Hill et al., 2007), 2) IL-2-regulated, STAT5A-dependent genes (258 members) (from the comparison of WT and ‘one copy’ Stat5a-deficient T cells; Figure 6), 3) IL-2-regulated, STAT5B-dependent genes (329 members) (from the comparison of WT and ‘one copy’ Stat5b-deficient T cells; Figure 6). Enrichment score curves and member ranks were generated by the GSEA software (Broad Institute). Normalized enrichment score (NES), false discovery rate (FDR) and nominal p value is shown on each plot.

See Supplementary file 2 for RPKM, fold change and p values for all experimental groups and conditions, Supplementary file 3 for paralog preference calculations and Supplementary file 4 for paralog-specific genes.

**Chromatin immuno-precipitation and DNA sequencing**

Cell sorting was used to purify naive CD4^+ CD44^low CD25^- cells from WT, Stat5a/b^het and two-allele Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient mice (>99% purity). These were stimulated with anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 in the presence of human IL-2 for 48 hr (10 U/ml with anti-mouse IL-2, IL-4 and IFN-γ), then pulsed with IL-2 (100 U/ml) for one hour before fixing with 1% formaldehyde. They were then lysed (1 x 10^7 cells/sample), sonicated and immuno-precipitated using a polyclonal rabbit anti-mouse IgG that recognizes both STAT5A and STAT5B (ab7969; Abcam, Cambridge, MA). Recovered STAT5-bound DNA fragments, along with un-precipitated ‘input controls’, were blunt-ended ligated to adaptors and single-end libraries constructed using the NEBNext ChIP-Seq Library Prep for Illumina kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). Sequencing was performed on a HiSeq 2500 instrument (50 cycles; Illumina) and short reads (50 bps) aligned using Bowtie (Langmead et al., 2009). Non-redundant reads were mapped to the mouse genome (mm9) and aggregated into peaks and using MACS 1.4.2 (Feng et al., 2012). Only peaks with >3 fold enrichment over background and p values <0.00005 were called. Positive false discovery rates, or q-values, were calculated empirically for each peak and all were below 0.2% (Storey, 2003). 2 biological replicates were sequenced per genotype. ‘bamCorrelate’ from deepTools 1.5 was used to calculate Spearman’s rank correlation coefficients as a measure of inter-replicate variability (WT=0.82, Stat5a/b^het=0.83, Stat5a-deficient=0.82, Stat5b-deficient=0.81; all pairwise p-values <2.2 x 10^-16) (Ramirez et al., 2014). Read depth for all replicates is presented in Supplementary file 1.

Peaks were annotated to the nearest known gene using HOMER (Zhang et al., 2008; Heinz et al., 2010). Localization was calculated as the percentage of peaks found within 10 kb intervals of the nearest transcriptional start sites and plotted as histograms. Direct comparison between experimental groups (i.e. peak overlap) was done with PAPST (Bible et al., 2015). Circos plot was generated by inputing the number of shared peaks between experimental groups to the Circos Table Viewer (http://mkweb.bcgsc.ca/tableviewer) (Krzywinski et al., 2009). Violin plot was generated by inputing tag numbers to the online BoxPlotR applet (http://boxplot.tyerslab.com) (Editorial, 2014). Transcription factor motif analysis was done with HOMER using an ‘in house’ database generated by applying de novo motif discovery to published ChIP-seq datasets. Genome browser files are displayed with IGV.

**ENCODE analysis**

Strand-specific RNA sequencing data were generated by the ENCODE Transcriptome Group from Cold Spring Harbor Laboratories (U.S.A.) and the Center for Genomic Regulation (Spain) (https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTrackUi?hgsid=424400999_9O14vJsT1skRAPy9mN5C7V81zC8g=wgEncodeCshLongRnaSeq). DNaseI hyper-sensitivity data was generated by the University of Washington ENCODE group (https://genome.ucsc.edu/cgi-bin/hgTrackUi?hgsid=424401115_qgaAWZ6x38F1laFE3UuHvAG7AS8g=wgEncodeUwDnase). Data are used in accordance with the ENCODE data release policy (Yue et al., 2014) and visualized with the UCSC genome browser, focusing on the mouse Stat5a/b locus (chr11:100642045-100746483).

**Retroviral gene transduction**

Retroviral vector expressing phosphatase-insensitive STAT5A was generated as described (Zhu et al., 2003). Plasmids were transfected into Phoenix packaging cells using Lipofectamine (Invitrogen) and the resulting viral supernatants used to transduce CD4^+ cells from WT, Stat5a/b^het or one-allele Stat5b-deficient mice. These were stimulated (anti-CD3/CD28) in the presence of anti-
mouse IL-2 for 48 hr, exposed to viral supernatant for 1 hr (at 2200 rpm, 18°C), and cultured for an additional 48 hr in the presence of human IL-2 (100 U/ml). For some experiments, cells were cultured under iTreg (10 ng/ml human TGF-β) or Th17 (2.5 ng/ml human TGF-β + 20 ng/ml mouse IL-6) polarizing conditions before and after transduction (both in the presence of anti-mouse IL-4 and IFN-γ). For RNA-seq, 1-2 x 10^5 cells expressing the bicistronic transduction marker (human NGFR) were purified by cell sorting. Transcripts that were significantly impacted (>1.5 fold change, p<0.05) by ectopic STAT5A relative to empty vector were enumerated using EdgeR.

**Relative paralog measurements**

Transcriptome data for CD4^+ naive and Treg cells was sourced from: 1) Immunological Genome Project (mouse microarrays: http://www.immgen.org), 2) EMBL-EBI Expression Atlas (mouse RNA-seq: https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gxa/experiments/E-MTAB-2582), 3) BioGPS Primary Cell Atlas (human microarrays: http://biogps.org/dataset/BDS_00013/), and 4) our RNA-seq catalogue (described above; Figure 6). Normalized expression values (microarray signal intensity or FPKM) for Stat5a and Stat5b were first divided by one another to generate a paralog ratio which was then converted to a percentage (% total STAT5 mRNA accounted for by each paralog) and presented as pie charts.

Total STAT5 protein was measured by flow cytometry in naïve (CD3e^+ CD4^+ CD44^low IL-7R^+), Treg (CD3e^+ CD4^+ FOXP3^+), Tfh (CD3e^- CD4^+ PD1^+ CXCR5^high) and effector/memory (CD3e^- CD4^+ CXCR5^high) T cells from one- or three-allele Stat5a- or Stat5b-deficient mice, as well as Stat5a/b^het mice and WT controls. Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) was first divided by the baseline (i.e. WT controls) to generate ‘fold change’ values which, in turn, were divided across Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient genotypes bearing the same total number of alleles. The resulting paralog ratios (one for one-allele cells and one for three-allele cells) were then averaged, converted to a percentage (% total STAT5 protein accounted for by each paralog) and presented as pie charts.

**Statistics**

Unpaired ANOVA was used to quantify statistical deviation between experimental groups. In all figures, black asterisks denote significant differences (p<0.05) between the indicated group and WT controls. Orange asterisks denote significant differences between Stat5a- and Stat5b-deficient mice bearing the same total number of STAT5 alleles.

**Data deposition**

All sequencing data have been deposited to the Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession number GSE77656.
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|----------------------------|------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Mouse ENCODE Consortium    | 2015 | Mouse ENCODE RNAseq Data       | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE39524                  | Publicly available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (Accession no: GSE39524) |
| Mouse ENCODE Consortium    | 2015 | Mouse ENCODE DHS Data          | http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE40869                   | Publicly available at the NCBI Gene Expression Omnibus (Accession no: GSE40869) |
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