Treatment outcomes of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist in obese girls with central precocious puberty
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Purpose: This study investigated the influence of obesity on the clinical course and effect of gonadotropin-releasing hormone analog (GnRHa) treatment in girls with central precocious puberty (CPP).

Methods: Medical records of 182 girls with CPP treated with GnRHa were reviewed. They were divided into 2 groups: normal weight (n=108) and overweight/obesity (n=74). Chronological age (CA), bone age (BA), difference between BA and CA (BA–CA), standard deviation score (SDS) of height, body mass index (BMI), predicted adult height (PAH), and laboratory findings were compared at baseline, after 1 year, and at the end of GnRHa treatment in both groups.

Results: Mean BMI SDS at baseline was 0.08±0.60 in the normal weight group and 1.55±0.36 in the overweight/obesity group. Initial CA, BA, midparental height, and PAH were similar between the 2 groups. BA–CA after treatment was significantly decreased compared to baseline in both groups (P<0.001). Between the 2 groups, a decrease in BA–CA during treatment showed no significant difference. PAH at the end of treatment was significantly increased compared to baseline in both groups (P<0.001). PAH at the end of treatment in the overweight/obesity group (159.88±3.41 cm) was similar to that of the normal weight group (159.19±3.25 cm). Comparing the 2 groups according to change in BMI after treatment, there were no differences in ΔPAH, ΔBA–CA, and Δheight SDS for BA.

Conclusion: GnRHa treatment in obese girls with CPP improved the height outcome and had similar results in normal weight CPP girls. Obesity might not affect the efficacy of GnRHa in girls with CPP.
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Introduction

Central precocious puberty (CPP) is identified by the attainment of breast and testicle development prior to the age of 8 years in girls and 9 years in boys. Early development of secondary sexual characteristics are driven by the premature activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis. Gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs (GnRHa) treatment for CPP have been established as a gold-standard since the early 1980s. GnRHa continuously stimulating the pituitary gonadotrophs leads it to desensitization and decreases the release of follicle stimulating hormone (FSH) and luteinizing hormone (LH). As such, GnRHa treatment postpones development of puberty and elongates the duration of growth and one maturation, thus, height potential is preserved.

Although the efficacy of GnRHa is well known, the long-term side effects remain controversial, especially in association with obesity and metabolic syndrome. Many previous
studies have reported that GnRHa treatment is associated with changes in weight and body composition in precocious pubertal girls. In obese or altered energy balance, metabolic modulators such as leptin and ghrelin regulate kisspeptin neurons. Then kisspeptin neurons stimulate GnRH secretion and gonadal steroid production. In the treatment of GnRHa, obesity may affect gonadotropin suppression, and this feedback may affect the clinical finding as BA advancement and height outcome. However, few studies have reported the effect of obesity on the GnRHa treatment outcome.

Therefore, we aimed to investigate the effect and the clinical course of GnRHa treatment in obese girls compared to girls of normal weight with CPP.

Materials and methods

1. Subjects

We reviewed the medical records of 182 girls with CPP who had been treated with GnRHa in the pediatric endocrinology clinic of the Korea University Medical Center from July 2008 to March 2016.

The inclusion criteria for the diagnosis of CPP were (1) breast development before the chronological age (CA) of 8 years, (2) accelerated growth velocity, (3) advancement of bone age (BA) by 1 or more years above CA, and (4) peak LH concentration of ≥5 IU/L on the gonadotropin-releasing hormone stimulation test. Girls with known endocrine disease or any other chronic illness that might affect pubertal development and body composition were excluded. The patients were divided into 2 groups according to their BMI: overweight/obesity group, BMI ≥85th percentile for age and sex; normal weight group, BMI ≥3rd percentile and BMI <85th percentile for age and sex using 2007 Korean national data.

2. Methods

All patients were administered with leuprolide acetate 75–100 µg/kg depot injection every 4 weeks and regularly checked for height, weight, and degree of pubertal development. We evaluated the changes of height, weight, BMI, and sexual maturity in both groups at baseline, after 1 year, and at the end of GnRHa treatment. Height and BMI were expressed as the standard deviation score (SDS) for CA and BA. The SDS for height, weight, and BMI were calculated using the 2007 Korean National Growth Charts by LMS method. BA was evaluated every 6 months by taking a radiograph of the left hand and determined using the Greulich-Pyle method. The difference between BA and CA (BA–CA) was evaluated to assess degree of BA advancement. Serum LH, FSH concentrations were measured by immunoradiometric assays. Using a GnRH stimulation test, the concentrations of LH and FSH at baseline, 30, 45, 60, and 90 minutes after the synthetic GnRH injection were measured. The predicted adult height (PAH) was calculated using the Bayley-Pinneau method. We also compared the changes in BMI to both groups during GnRHa treatment.

The sexual maturity ratings were determined using the Marshall and Tanner method. The midparental height (MPH) was calculated by subtracting 6.5 cm from the parents’ mean height.

Of the total subjects, 46 girls were followed up after discontinuation of treatment and evaluated on reaching their near adult height. The near adult height was considered to be reached when BA was around 13.5 years.

3. Statistical analysis

Data analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 20.0 (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA). Comparison of the mean values of the 2 groups were performed by the Student t-test. The paired t-test was applied to compare the values at baseline and after GnRHa treatment in each group. Multiple linear regression analysis was performed to evaluate the several clinical factors influencing on changes in PAH. Data are represented as mean±standard deviation. Differences were considered to be statistically significant at P<0.05.

| Characteristic | Normal (n=108) | Overweight/obesity (n=74) | P-value |
|---------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------|
| CA (yr)       | 8.55±0.47     | 8.47±0.49                 | 0.226   |
| BA (yr)       | 10.21±0.66    | 10.28±0.71                | 0.492   |
| Mid parental height (cm) | 160.0±3.48 | 159.16±3.61             | 0.114   |
| Predicted adult height (cm) | 157.58±2.82 | 158.02±2.66             | 0.297   |
| Tanner stage  |               |                           | 0.006   |
| B2            | 76 (70.4)     | 36 (48.6)                 |         |
| B3            | 29 (26.9)     | 35 (47.3)                 |         |
| B4            | 3 (2.8)       | 3 (4.1)                   |         |
| Height (cm)   | 135.27±5.54   | 136.03±5.08               | 0.350   |
| Height-SDS for CA | 1.14±0.84 | 1.35±0.70                | 0.080   |
| Height-SDS for BA | -0.47±0.50  | -0.42±0.48                | 0.488   |
| Weight (kg)   | 30.95±3.69    | 38.60±4.19                | <0.001  |
| Weight-SDS    | 1.82±0.61     | 1.69±0.42                 | <0.001  |
| BMI (kg/m²)   | 16.87±1.28    | 20.81±1.36                | <0.001  |
| BMI-SDS       | 0.08±0.60     | 1.55±0.36                 | <0.001  |
| Basal LH      | 0.72±0.73     | 0.74±0.63                 | 0.870   |
| Peak LH       | 16.37±12.97   | 12.53±11.79               | 0.043   |
| Peak FSH      | 3.14±2.44     | 2.99±2.34                 | 0.697   |
| Peak FSH      | 16.20±8.38    | 15.65±7.89                | 0.658   |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation or number (%). CA, chronological age; BA, bone age; SDS, standard deviation score; BMI, body mass index; LH, luteinizing hormone; FSH, follicle stimulating hormone. *P<0.05, statistically significant differences.
4. Ethics Statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Korea University Anam Hospital (approval number: ED16208) and informed consent was waived by the IRB.

Results

1. Comparison of clinical parameters of the normal weight and overweight/obesity groups at baseline

One hundred eighty-two female patients were included in the study: 108 patients in the normal weight group and 74 patients in the overweight/obesity group. The auxological characteristics of both groups before and after GnRHa treatment are described in Table 1. The mean CA and BA ate the start of GnRHa treatment were similar in both groups. The MPH, PAH and height SDS were not significantly different between the 2 groups. The mean BMIs of the normal weight group and the overweight/obese group were 16.87±1.28 kg/m² and 20.81±1.36 kg/m², respectively (P<0.001). The BMI SDS were 0.08±0.60 and 1.55±0.36 in each group (P<0.001).

2. Comparison of clinical parameters of the normal weight and overweight/obesity groups at the end of the treatment

All patients received GnRHa treatment for more than 1 year. The 2 groups had a similar mean treatment duration (2.43±0.60 years vs. 2.45±0.59 years, P=0.787) (Table 2). At the end of treatment, The CA was similar between the groups after the last GnRHa treatment, whereas the BA was more advanced and the height SDS for CA was higher in the overweight/obesity group. A significant decrease in BA–CA during GnRHa treatment was similar between groups. The height SDS for BA and PAH revealed similar results for both groups.

3. Changes in clinical parameters on growth outcome during GnRHa treatment

We followed up parameters indicating a treatment effect at 1 year and at the finish on GnRHa treatment (Fig. 1). In both groups, the BA–CA significantly and gradually decreased over the treatment period compared with baseline. The height SDS for CA significantly decreased and the height SDS for BA increased during treatment in both groups, respectively. At the end of treatment, PAH in both groups was significantly increased compared with baseline PAH. The mean PAH increased by 1.61±2.87 cm in the normal weight group and by 1.86±3.01 cm in the overweight/obesity group, before and after treatment (all P<0.001). During the treatment of GnRHa, changes in parameters were compared between the 2 groups (Table 3). The change in height SDS or BA and PAH–CA after 1 year and end of the treatment between both groups are not

| Characteristic | Normal (n=108) | Overweight/obesity (n=74) | P-value |
|----------------|----------------|--------------------------|---------|
| CA (yr)        | 10.98±0.36     | 10.89±0.42               | 0.134   |
| BA (yr)        | 11.77±0.44     | 11.94±0.38               | 0.005*  |
| BA–CA (yr)     | -0.78±0.50     | -1.05±0.55               | 0.001*  |
| Treatment duration (yr) | 2.43±0.60 | 2.45±0.59 | 0.787 |
| PAH (cm)       | 159.19±3.25    | 159.88±3.41              | 0.169   |
| ΔPAH (cm)      | 1.61±2.87      | 1.86±3.01                | 0.577   |
| Height (cm)    | 148.31±4.90    | 150.34±4.40              | 0.011*  |
| Height-SDS for CA | 0.68±0.78  | 1.00±0.75               | 0.007*  |
| Height-SDS for BA | -0.04±0.61 | 0.08±0.62            | 0.204   |
| BMI (kg/m²)    | 19.25±1.88     | 23.22±1.71               | <0.001* |
| BMI-SDS        | 0.40±0.64      | 1.55±0.42                | <0.001* |

*Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. CA, chronological age; BA, bone age; PAH, predicted adult height; SDS, standard deviation score; BMI, body mass index. *P<0.05, statistically significant differences.

![Fig. 1. Changes in treatment-effect parameters during gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist treatment. BA–CA (A), height SDS for CA (B), and height SDS for BA (C). BA, bone age; CA, chronological age; BA–CA, difference between BA and CA; SDS, standard deviation score. *P<0.001 compared to baseline treatment.](image-url)
different.

4. Correlation between the auxological factors and the ΔPAH at the end of treatment

Multiple regression analysis was performed to assess the factors influencing ΔPAH at the end of treatment (Table 4). In both groups, ΔPAH was statistically correlated with baseline BA, baseline height SDS for BA and growth velocity during the 1st year. Baseline BMI for CA was not significantly associated with ΔPAH at the end of treatment in both groups.

5. Changes in BMI during GnRHa treatment

Before and after GnRHa treatment, the mean BMI SDS in the normal weight group significantly increased (Fig. 2). The mean BMI SDS also increased significantly 0.27 at 1 year and 0.40 at the end of treatment, compared to baseline (all P<0.001). However, mean BMI-SDS in overweight/obesity group did not show significant change during this period. At 1 year and the end of treatment, mean BMI SDS in the overweight/obesity group was 1.51 and 1.55 (P=0.201 and P=0.994, respectively).

6. Comparison of treatment efficacy according to change in BMI during GnRHa treatment

Of the total 182 patients, the BMI SDS was increased in 120 patients (65.9%) at the end of treatment (Table 5). The baseline BMI SDS of the increased BMI SDS group was lower than that of the decreased BMI SDS group (P<0.001). There were no significant difference in ΔPAH, ΔBA–CA, Δheight SDS for CA, Δheight SDS for BA between the 2 groups.

7. Follow-up after discontinuation of GnRHa treatment

After discontinuation of treatment, the near adult height of 46 girls, reaching approximately 13.5 years of BA, was subsequently

![Fig. 2. Changes in the BMI SDS during gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist treatment. BMI, body mass index; SDS, standard deviation score. P<0.001 compared to baseline of treatment.](www.e-apem.org)

Table 3. Comparison of clinical parameters between normal weight and overweight/obesity groups during gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs treatment

| Variable                  | Normal (n=108) | Overweight/obesity (n=74) | P-value |
|---------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------|
| ΔHeight-SDS for CA, 1yr   | -0.12±0.29     | -0.06±0.15                | 0.088   |
| ΔHeight-SDS for CA, end   | -0.46±0.30     | -0.35±0.26                | 0.012   |
| ΔHeight-SDS for BA, 1yr   | 0.36±0.42      | 0.35±0.40                 | 0.815   |
| ΔHeight-SDS for BA, end   | 0.43±0.49      | 0.50±0.46                 | 0.356   |
| ΔBA–CA, 1yr               | -0.46±0.41     | -0.42±0.39                | 0.482   |
| ΔBA–CA, end               | -0.87±0.52     | -0.77±0.45                | 0.163   |
| ΔBMI-SDS, 1yr             | 0.19±0.38      | -0.04±0.28                | <0.001  |
| ΔBMI-SDS, end             | 0.32±0.46      | 0.00±0.37                 | <0.001  |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. SDS, standard deviation score; CA, chronological age; BA, bone age; BA–CA, difference between BA and CA; BMI, body mass index.

Table 4. A multiple regression analysis on ΔPAH according to obesity groups

| Variable                        | Normal (n=120) | Overweight/obesity (n=62) | P-value |
|---------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Baseline BA                     | 1.265±0.275    | 1.315±0.374               | <0.001  |
| Baseline height-SDS for BA      | 4.411±0.932    | 3.553±1.163               | <0.001  |
| Growth velocity during 1st year | 68.982±15.449  | 62.201±18.233             | <0.001  |
| Baseline BMI-SDS                | 0.141±0.212    | 0.342±0.402               | <0.001  |

Total group: R²=0.317, P<0.001; normal group: R²=0.316, P<0.001; overweight/obesity group: R²=0.343, P<0.001. PAH, predicted adult height; SE, standard error; BA, bone age; SDS, standard deviation score; BMI, body mass index.

Table 5. Comparison of 2 subgroups according to change in BMI during gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs treatment

| Variable                  | ΔBMI-SDS>0 (n=120) | ΔBMI-SDS<0 (n=62) | P-value |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Baseline BMI-SDS          | 0.50±0.86          | 1.03±0.85         | <0.001  |
| End BMI-SDS               | 0.94±0.76          | 0.73±0.84         | 0.087   |
| ΔPAH                      | 0.45±0.32          | -0.30±0.21        | <0.001  |
| ΔBMI-SDS                  | 1.57±3.22          | 157.82±2.86       | 0.825   |
| ΔPAH                      | 1.50±0.78          | 1.54±3.20         | 0.572   |
| Baseline BA–CA            | 1.74±0.52          | 1.69±0.43         | 0.617   |
| ΔBA–CA                    | -0.81±0.50         | -0.87±0.49        | 0.507   |
| ΔBMI-SDS for BA           | 1.27±0.77          | 1.16±0.83         | 0.377   |
| ΔBMI-SDS for CA           | -0.41±0.25         | -0.44±0.34        | 0.451   |
| ΔBA–CA                    | -0.43±0.49         | -0.50±0.49        | 0.425   |
| ΔBMI-SDS for BA           | 0.47±0.45          | 0.45±0.52         | 0.811   |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. BMI, body mass index; SDS, standard deviation score; PAH, predicted adult height; BA–CA, difference between BA and CA; CA, chronological age; BA, bone age.
evaluated (Table 6). The near adult height of 29 girls in the normal weight group and of 17 girls in the overweight/obesity group were not significantly different (161.04±3.91 cm, respectively, P=0.569). Their initial PAH was 157.91±3.08 cm and 158.31±2.43 cm, respectively. The near adult height of 2 groups was significantly greater than their initial PAH (2.26±3.70 cm vs. 2.72±3.85 cm, respectively, P<0.001). Normal weight group revealed more increase in BMI-SDS compared to those of overweight/obesity group.

### Discussion

In the current study we compared the clinical course and treatment effect between normal weight and overweight/obese girls during GnRHa treatment for CPP and GnRHa treatment improved the height outcome and delayed progression of bone maturation in both normal weight girls and obese girls with CPP. GnRHa treatment efficacy was not affected by the obesity in female CPP patients. The BMI SDS significantly increased only in normal weight female CPP patients during long-term GnRHa treatment.

The number of CPP patients is increasing worldwide, and in Korea14,15. As the report of the Health Insurance Review and Assessment Service, CPP patients increased annually about 44.4% from 2006 to 2010 in Korea16. In addition, a marked increase in BMI prevalence in childhood has been observed around the world.17,18. Many studies have been performed to investigate the association between precocious puberty and obesity19. A Swedish longitudinal study reported that an increase of BMI in childhood was associated with an earlier timing of pubertal onset, by as much as 0.7 years in girls and 0.6 years in boys20. Since recent reports have primarily focused on whether GnRHa treatment has increased BMI or not, we investigated the clinical parameters to evaluate whether the obesity affects the treatment outcome in CPP girls.

In this study, the overall course of treatment in both groups was similar. We found that BA-CA in both groups significantly decreased during follow-up. It means that GnRHa also worked well in postponing bone maturity in the overweight/obesity group. Both groups similarly revealed distinct improvement of PAH and height SDS for BA. At the end of treatment, PAH increased 1.61±2.87 cm vs. 1.86±3.01 cm in the normal weight and overweight/obese groups, respectively, compared to the beginning of treatment. Near adult height of 46 patients showed height gain of 2.26±3.70 cm in the normal weight group and 2.72±3.85 cm in the overweight/obese group, respectively, compared to their baseline PAH. Near adult height was comparable to their MPH, although gain of height is smaller than that of previous other studies. Thus, it is considered that GnRHa treatment can be effective in height outcome in our study. According to previous study about GnRHa treatment effects in obese CPP girls, Yoon et al.21 reported that PAH SDS significantly increased in overweight/obesity group compared to in the normal weight group, and BA–CA similarly decreased in both groups during 1-year of GnRHa treatment in CPP girls.

We performed a multiple regression analysis and compared the factors predicting ΔPAH in both groups, respectively. Our results suggest that greater baseline BA and height SDS for BA and growth velocity for the first year of treatment indicate good growth outcomes, regardless of the treatment group. However, body weight and BMI did not exhibit any statistical correlation with PAH at the end of treatment. Obesity did not contribute significantly to the height outcome. In previous other studies, Partsch et al.22 reported that initial BA advancement and treatment duration were factors that explained 68% of height gain. Other studies found that MPH, CA, pretreatment height, height at the end of treatment, and growth rate during treatment were factors influencing near final height23,24.

Several previous studies have evaluated alterations in BMI before and after GnRHa treatment in CPP. Whether GnRHa treatment affects BMI and aggravates obesity remain controversial25. Shiassi Arani and Heidari26 reported that girls treated for CPP revealed no change in BMI compared with girls not treated for CPP during 1 year of follow up. In contrast, Paterson et al.27 reported a marked increase in mean BMI SDS after treatment from 0.93 to 1.2 in girls with early puberty and CPP. On the other hand in our study, BMI SDS significantly increased in the normal weight group only during GnRHa treatment. The reasons explaining an increase in BMI SDS in normal weight groups are unclear. Paterson et al.27 found that the mean BMI SDS returned to the pretreatment state 3 years after finishing GnRHa treatment course, suggesting that the weight increase was a transient phenomenon. Wolters et al.28 explained the beneficial effect of GnRHa on weight status by reducing sex hormones that lead to weight gain through increase in body fat and muscle mass during GnRHa treatment. However, this is insufficient to account for the difference in the impact of GnRHa on weight status between overweight and normal weight children. In our study, 19 of the normal weight groups showed increased BMI and they were turned into overweight or obese at the end of treatment, whereas, 89 patients maintained normal weight persistently. Patients who turned into overweight/obesity revealed higher BMI SDS at baseline and more increase in BMI SDS compared to patients with

### Table 6. Final data of 46 girls after discontinuation of gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogs treatment

| Variable          | Normal (n=29) | Overweight/obesity (n=17) | P-value |
|-------------------|---------------|---------------------------|---------|
| BA (yr)           | 12.85±0.88    | 12.62±1.23                | 0.480   |
| Midparental height (cm) | 160.01±3.48 | 159.16±3.61                | 0.114   |
| Baseline PAH (cm) | 157.91±3.08   | 158.31±2.43                | 0.645   |
| Near adult height (cm) | 161.04±3.91 | 160.17±3.72                | 0.456   |
| Baseline BMI-SDS  | 0.09±0.68     | 1.42±0.50                 | <0.001  |
| ΔBMI-SDS, 1yr     | 0.09±0.43     | -0.12±0.30                | 0.084   |
| ΔBMI-SDS, end     | 0.40±0.56     | -0.06±0.36                | 0.003   |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation. CA, chronological age; BA, bone age; PAH, predicted adult height; BMI, body mass index; SDS, standard deviation score.
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