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Abstract-Nowadays, the usage of the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) in practical applications has increased. The primary utilization is a fog cloud network, which offers different services, such as network and remote edges, at different places. Existing studies implemented the Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) based on the fog-cloud network to run IIoT applications, such as e-healthcare, e-agriculture, renewable energy, etc. However, due to the applications' monolithic property, issues like failures, security, and cost factors occur, e.g. the failure of one service in SOA affects monolithic applications' performance in the system. With this motivation, this study suggests a microservice-based system to deal with the cost, security, and failure risks of IIoT applications in the fog-cloud system. The study improves the existing SOA systems for e-healthcare, e-agriculture, and renewable energy and minimizes the applications' overall cost. The performance evaluation shows that the devised systems outperform the existing SOA system in terms of failure, cost, and the deadline for all applications.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Real world applications of the digital transformation of technologies such as the Industrial Internet of Things (IIoT) possess many advantages [1]. Such applications belong to the e-agriculture, e-healthcare, e-transport, e-serving, and augmented fields [2]. Cloud computing is an incipient archetype which offers services based on Service-Oriented Architecture (SOA) [3]. Generally, SOA comprises of different services which are monolithically managed by one centralized component [4]. SOA architecture capabilities extend to the fog computing, edge computing, and cloudlet data center to efficiently execute time and latency-sensitive applications [5]. However, fault tolerance, security, and cost of services in SOA architecture depend directly upon the centralized controller. For instance, if any specific service fails or is attacked, then the impact and cost will affect the entire system [6]. The microservice-based system is an innovative solution which offers autonomous service to run IIoT applications. Each microservice executes its application independently, and service failure has no impact on the other services during the process. All microservices are communicating with each other via an REST API. The generalization is a standard interface that exploits the REST API to create cross-platform for all microservices to run one application. Each application requires many microservices to run its tasks. For instance in e-health applications, blood pressure, heartbeat, and ECG tasks each require one microservice for execution [7]. However, a microservice-based system for IIoT has not been developed yet.

The current study extends the existing IIoT work [7] with new suggestions that improve the handling of cost, security, and failure issues of the system in fog-cloud networks. The current work is a survey paper. However, it proposes a solution...
for those systems to improve applications' cost and security mechanisms. The paper makes the following contributions to the existing systems: It discusses the IIoT process based on the microservices system. It also discusses industry automation based on microservices with cost and security constraints and e-healthcare services based on microservices connected with the distributed fog-cloud network. Generally, all systems exploit microservice systems in a fog-cloud network with deadline, cost, security, and fault-tolerant constraints. The study solves the offloading and scheduling problems for the considered systems.

II. RELATED WORK

Authors in [1] suggested the SOA aware framework to solve the IIoT mechanism issue of e-agriculture applications in a fog cloud network. The goal was to minimize the end to end latency of the applications. However, they did not consider the security and fault-tolerance of the applications. Fault-tolerant aware SOA is discussed in [2]. The goal was to minimize the failure risks and exploit the primary backup method to handle any SOA architecture failure. However, it consumed much more resources and had high cost during the process. The security-aware framework [3] handles application-level security in SOA architecture. Applications can offload tasks with secure data in the distributed network, however the authors did not consider failures and network and cloud security mechanisms. A hybrid security-aware system based on SOA architecture was suggested in [4]. The goal was to protect data from denial of service and brute force attacks to IIoT applications. The security and failure aware centralized SOA systems for monolithic and coarse-grained applications were studied in [5]. The objective was to improve resource utilization and minimize the application cost. However, the centralized control could fail anytime, and this would affect the entire system in the network. Cost-efficient offloading for healthcare applications based on SOA architecture by considering security and deadlines was investigated in [6]. All considered applications are monolithic and fine-grained and run their tasks distinctly under their deadline. SOA architecture offers services based on an RPC model where users are treated as thin clients and servers as thick clients. However, still the centralized failure of any service affects the entire system. An e-agriculture and a body area sensor aware network based on SOA architecture was suggested and studied in [7, 8]. The goal was to optimize the service process with minimum end to end latency of the applications while offering 24/7 services with the robot and faultless services. However, there are many issues in the system. The SOA architecture selects the services from a published pool for consumer and producer and a hacker can publish services and quickly become part of SOA monolithic architecture. The container and function aware IIoT solutions were suggested in [9, 10] to handle the offloading and scheduling problem's transient failure and security issues. These studies achieved better results as compared to SOA architecture. However, the proposed systems have not matured yet for all kinds of IIoT applications.

All reviewed studies proposed systems for IIoT based on the SOA and container function mechanisms. Many of them considered different constraints such as security, failure, cost, and latency. However, failure in service due to hacking or resource-imbalance will impact the overall system performance. The suggested process was very costly and consumed a lot of resources. With the motivations mentioned earlier, this paper suggests microservice aware different IIoT system to minimize failure risk, cost, and security and meet the deadline of applications.

III. THE PROPOSED SOLUTION

The study proposes a different system based on microservice architecture instead of SOA in order to improve cost, failure, and security risk of the applications.

Cloud computing is a distributed service provider which offers services at different rates to run any application on the network. Fog computing is an extension of cloud computing which brings cloud resources at the end of a wireless network. The main advantage of fog computing is the reduction of the end to end latency of an application. This study complements the existing architecture [15-24] which is based on SOA. The work creates a system which consists of three layers such as user-end, fog end and cloud end as shown in Figure 1. The user-end is connected with different computer systems that offload their data to the fog server via communication channels for further processing. The fog servers are located at the edge of the network and have short distance and minimum end to end latency during submission or offloading. The basic mechanism design is based on the remote process call which is a popular method allowing the communication channels between users and serves via stub and skeleton objects. The renewable IIoT aware network performs different tasks such as monitoring temperature, humidity, weather, etc. sensors which require microservices to run and save their data in the cloud as shown in Figure 1. Generally, these are real-time tasks,
working 24/7 and generating big data to the fog system. Due to the time sensitive and security sensitive data, the initial process will be done on the fog servers. After that, for persistent saving, the fog node offloads all data to the cloud for further big data analytics. The high volume of data with various types and speed will be easily managed by the rich resource cloud computing. A body-area network is connected with different sensors for blood pressure, heartbeat, ECG, and online monitoring tasks at the user level. All tasks offload their data to the fog node which applies computation by using a unique microservice to run each task under its deadline. Big data are generated by the tasks to be offloaded to the remote cloud for further analyzing.

The main advantage of the system is that the failure of one microservice will not affect the entire system because they all are working isolated to each other. Another advantage is that microservices have execution charges. If one microservice fails it will not charge for anything until and unless a task is finished without completing its execution. The fault-isolation, security, and autonomous execution make this system more efficient and intelligent in comparison with all the existing SOA architectures. This system selects microservices from the published pool which is publically available with different charges and time-slots. However, the considered system is smart, if one microservice fails, the system will select another without any violation of task deadlines.

IV. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

The performance evaluation was conducted by implementing the EdgeX Foundry microservice and SOA architecture to run all IIoT applications. We conducted the experiment in different cases as shown in Tables I-III. Table I summarizes the security methods at the user level only and the execution cost of the applications. Table II summarizes the study of the failure mechanisms in the case only one system failure and determines the execution cost of the applications. Table III shows the results of the comparison based on deadline methods at the application level only and the execution cost of the applications.

The overall service cost of security of the monolithic applications based on SOA architecture became high during the process due to the centralized handling of all components. However, microservices had low cost because they are run inside a container and charged for their execution instead of the resource provisioning of SOA which charged for all applications. The primary backup and check pointing always required a lot of resources in SOA architecture. If one node fails, it may transfer a task from a service to another node. This improves the overall performance of the applications, but with high cost. The bottom line is that users pay for the failure costs for their applications.

Generally, fog-cloud applications co-operatively work together to achieve the goals of the applications. However, due to the monolithic architecture, if a centralized component fails or is attacked, it needs a lot of time to restart. In this way, the deadline of and the overall performance of the applications will be degraded. The deadline of applications directly depends upon execution time and the availability of resources. The cost of the applications by combining both failure and security becomes high when IIoT uses the SOA architecture (Table IV). The monolithic applications need a lot of resources for their execution. However, the isolated microservice-based system works efficiently for all IIoT in the fog-cloud network.

### Table I. Security Mechanisms

| Reference | Security | Cost | Application |
|-----------|----------|------|-------------|
| [1, 2]    | RSA      | 105$ | Monolithic  |
| [3, 4]    | RSA      | 205$ | Monolithic  |
| [5, 6]    | MD5      | 175$ | Monolithic  |
| [7, 8]    | SHA      | 235$ | Monolithic  |
| [9, 10]   | CRC32    | 275$ | Coarse-grained |
| Proposed  | RSA      | 25$  | Fine-grained |

### Table II. Failure Mechanisms

| Reference | Fail          | Cost | Application |
|-----------|---------------|------|-------------|
| [1, 2]    | Primary backup| 105$ | Monolithic  |
| [3, 4]    | Primary backup| 205$ | Monolithic  |
| [5, 6]    | Check pointing| 175$ | Monolithic  |
| [7, 8]    | Check pointing| 235$ | Monolithic  |
| [9, 10]   | Check pointing| 275$ | Coarse-grained |
| Proposed  | Isolated      | 25$  | Fine-grained |

### Table III. Deadline

| Reference | Deadline | Cost | Application |
|-----------|----------|------|-------------|
| [1, 2]    | Missed   | 40$  | Monolithic  |
| [3, 4]    | Missed   | 70$  | Monolithic  |
| [5, 6]    | Average  | 85$  | Monolithic  |
| [7, 8]    | Reached  | 75$  | Monolithic  |
| [9, 10]   | Reached  | 99$  | Course-grained |
| Proposed  | Reached   | 23$  | Fine-grained |

### Table IV. Overall SOA and Microservice System Performance

| Ref. | Security | Cost | Fail | Applications |
|------|----------|------|------|--------------|
| [1, 2] | No       | 1005$ | No   | E-agriculture |
| [3, 4] | Yes      | 2005$ | Yes  | E-agriculture |
| [5, 6] | No       | 1505$ | Yes  | E-health     |
| [7, 8] | Yes      | 3005$ | Yes  | E-health     |
| [9, 10] | Yes     | 5005$ | Yes  | Industry automation |
| Proposed | Yes     | 30, 50, 70$ | Yes | ALL         |

V. CONCLUSION

This study suggests the microservice based system for IIoT applications instead of the SOA architecture in order to minimize cost, failure effects, and risk. Simulation results show that the proposed microservice based system can enhance the performance of the applications in comparison with the monolithic SOA architecture. In future work, the Internet of Vehicle Things and mobility aware microservices in distributed fog-cloud networks will be considered. The study will design a security system based on blockchain-enable network in order to avoid any kind of attack on the system.
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