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A B S T R A C T

Frontal fibrosing alopecia (FFA) is a relatively new scarring alopecia that is considered a variant of lichen planopilaris (LPP) with no recognized promising treatments. In this study, we tried to clarify the underlying signaling pathways and their roles in the pathogenesis and progression of FFA. Because of several differences in clinical manifestations, response to treatments, and pathological findings, these two conditions could be differentiated from each other. Taking into account the already discussed signaling pathways and involved players such as T cells, mast cells, and sebaceous glands, different possible therapeutic options could be suggested. In addition to treatments supported by clinical evidence, such as 5 alpha-reductase inhibitors, topical calcineurin inhibitors, hydroxychloroquine, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma agonists, and oral retinoid agents, various other treatment strategies and drugs, such as phototherapy, Janus kinase inhibitors, dehydroepiandrosterone, sirolimus, cetirizine, and rituximab, could be suggested to mitigate disease progression. Of course, such lines of treatment need further evaluation in clinical trials.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of Women's Dermatologic Society. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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Introduction

Frontal fibrosing alopecia (FFA) is a relatively newly described scarring alopecia known as a clinical variant of lichen planopilaris (LPP; Kossard et al., 1997). FFA is characterized by slowly progressive scarring alopecia on the hairline and affects explicitly postmenopausal women. Recently, an FFA severity score was suggested to be effectively used for categorizing patients in clinical practice and in research studies (Saceda-Corrano et al., 2018). Inflammation around the hair follicles is suggested as the etiology of hair loss in primary scarring alopecia, but there is not enough detail about the pathogenesis of LPP and FFA.

Recently, a worldwide increase in the incidence of FFA has been observed (MacDonald et al., 2012). Despite the global acceptance of a close association between FFA and LPP, there is limited evidence to support or reject this concept. Unfortunately, currently available treatments are not very effective to stop hair loss, although the progression of the diseases can be slowed with 5 alpha-reductase inhibitors (5αRI; eg, dutasteride and finasteride), hydroxychloroquine, and oral retinoid agents (MacDonald et al., 2012). Conversely, topical and systemic corticosteroids and immunosuppressive drugs, such as mycophenolate mofetil, do not halt the progression of FFA (Kossard et al., 1997; Tosti et al., 2005), which may be due to the difference in predominant immune responses and the involvement of different immune pathways in these diseases. Moreover, the differences in the targeted hair types (ie, vellus, intermediate, and terminal) need more discussion.

During this study, we attempted to discuss the probable pathogenesis of FFA, risk factors, and the role of the immune system and to suggest novel therapeutic options. We searched the PubMed database using the keywords “frontal fibrosing alopecia” OR “FFA” OR “lichen planopilaris” OR “LPP” to access the latest findings related to these conditions. Subsequently, the most recent studies, those that consisted of novel findings, and made the most sense in this review in terms of quality of the article and current understanding of the disease were selected. Moreover, according to the literature and the authors’ experiences, some speculations have been added.

Similarities and differences between lichen planopilaris and frontal fibrosing alopecia

Both LPP and FFA are categorized as cicatricial alopecia with lichenoid infiltration around the bulge area of the hair follicles (Vano-Galvan et al., 2014). Nonetheless, some major differences in demography, clinical manifestations, pathology, and response to treatment exist between these two entities (Table 1).

Demography

FFA specifically affects postmenopausal women, although recently the disease has been reported in rare instances in young men and women. On the other hand, LPP is observed in the middle-aged population and its prevalence seems slightly higher in women than men (Dlova et al., 2013; Babahosseini et al., 2018).

Clinical manifestation

Unlike LPP, in which fundamentally terminal hairs are affected, FFA does not affect most of the terminal pigmented hairs on the scalp except those at the hairline, and both miniaturized terminal hairs (intermediate hairs) and vellus hairs are the primary victims (Holmes, 2016). Another important characteristic of FFA is the involvement of the eyebrows, which has been reported in up to 95% of cases, but occurs rarely with LPP (Bolduc et al., 2016). Although eyebrow loss was reported in both men and women, women may be affected more frequently than men with FFA (Bolduc et al., 2016).
Moreover, eyebrow loss as the initial clinical presentation was associated with mild forms of FFA (Bolduc et al., 2016). Interestingly, involvement of the eyebrows precedes a frontal recession without any sign of clinical inflammation (Ladizinski et al., 2013). Moreover, both limb and flexural hairs could be affected in 25% of patients with FFA (Ladizinski et al., 2013).

In contrast, patchy permanent scalp hair loss occurs with rare involvement of the eyebrows and body hair in cases of LPP. With LPP, the involvement of the skin, mucosal, and nails of classic lichen planus can be seen, but this is very rare in FFA (Ladizinski et al., 2013). Moreover, the most common skin lesions are characteristic yellowish facial papules during FFA, which are not usually seen in LPP patients. Another difference between the clinical findings is the association between FFA and androgenetic alopecia (AGA), which is not common in LPP (Vano-Galvan et al., 2014). Recently, Ranasinghe et al. (2017) found that LPP is associated with androgen excess, but FFA is related to androgen deficiency.

Response to treatment

There are major differences related to the response to treatment by patients with FFA and LPP. LPP responds well to topical or intralesional injection of corticosteroid agents as well as some immunosuppressive therapies, but these treatments were not found effective for FFA (Lajevardi et al., 2015). On the other hand, there is growing evidence that FFA progression can be halted with 5αR (eg, dutasteride and finasteride), oral retinoid agents, and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR-γ) agonists (Chiang et al., 2010; Pedrosa et al., 2017; Pirmez et al., 2017; Rakowska et al., 2017; Samrao et al., 2010; Vano-Galvan et al., 2014). Of note, some treatments, such as HCQ, appear effective not only for FFA, but also in decreasing symptoms and signs of LPP (Chiang et al., 2010).

Pathology

From the pathologic point of view, Miteva and Tosti (2012) proposed a follicular triad (ie, involvement of vellus, intermediate, and terminal hairs) as the pathologic clue to the diagnosis of early frontal FFA. Poblet et al. (2006) studied eight patients with FFA and eight patients with LPP, and found differences in the pathology of these two conditions. Some of the reported differences include the presence of a perivascular infiltrate in the dermis and an affected interfollicular epidermis, the presence of colloid bodies, and fibrosis in the papillary dermis in LPP, which are absent with FFA, but a milder tissue lichenoid reaction as well as more apoptotic cells in FFA.

Moreover, after evaluation of 11 paraffin-embedded tissue samples from patients with a clinical and histopathologic diagnosis of FFA, cluster of differentiation (CD) 8-biased T-cell infiltration with increased numbers of Langerhans cells in the infundibulum isthmus region was suggested (Ma et al., 2017). Recently, a study of 66 patients showed that FFA can be associated with inflammation that extends below the isthmus compared with LPP (92% vs 63%; P = .002; Wong and Goldberg, 2017). Based on the results from their study, Pedrosa et al. (2017) also proposed that hypertrophic sebaceous glands with no associated vellus hair follicles could be another distinguishing finding of FFA.

Risk factors

Genetic and familial background

Familial cases of FFA have been reported, and a positive family history has been related to approximately 8% of published case reports (Dlova et al., 2013; Vano-Galvan et al., 2014). In one study that analyzed four families, eight cases of mothers and daughters with FFA were pursued. All mothers had postmenopausal FFA, and the daughters developed the disease before menopause, suggesting that the antecedent relatives of the disease could be associated with an earlier onset of FFA (Misiak-Galazka et al., 2016).

At the present time, the genetic loci associated with FFA are not characterized. Human leukocyte antigen-D related 1 (HLA-DR1) positivity has already been linked to some cases of lichen planus and Lassueur-Graham–Little-Piccardi syndrome in two family members (Viglizzo et al., 2004), but Chan et al. (2014) reported two cases of familial FFA with negative HLA-DR1 status. The inheritance of both LPP and FFA suggests the critical role of genetics, in combination with exposure to external factor, for the development of these diseases (Misiak-Galazka et al., 2016; Vano-Galvan et al., 2014).

Environmental factors

The recent onset and apparent increasing incidence of FFA can suggest the probable role of environmental factors in the FFA etiology (MacDonald et al., 2012). For instance, Aldoori et al. (2016) detected a higher frequency of sunscreen usage and positive patch tests to sunscreen ingredients in women with FFA, although the study required systematic and methodologic revisions (Seegobin et al., 2016). In the meantime, in a cohort study among men, Kidambi et al. (2017) found a significant relation between FFA and leave-on facial products, such as moisturizing creams and sunscreens.

On the other hand, there is growing evidence that neurogenic inflammation can play a role with FFA. For example, substance P (a stress-associated neuropeptide) can induce hair follicle immune privilege (HFIP) collapse in human organ cultures through the upregulation of major histocompatibility complex I and beta-2-microglobulin (Peters et al., 2004, 2005, 2007). Clinical evidence in support of this hypothesis includes increased sweating of the scalp (mediated by neurogenic mediators), which has been reported in a series of patients with FFA (Harries and Paus, 2010). Interestingly, botulinum toxin injections in two separate patients have improved both excess sweating and FFA signs and symptoms. In addition, a recent cross-sectional study of 72 women diagnosed with FFA found that chronic tobacco exposure may play a protective role in the development of FFA (Fonda-Pascual et al., 2017).

Proposed theoretical mechanisms in lichen planopilaris and frontal fibrosing alopecia

FFA is well known to develop as the consequence of HFIP collapse (Harries et al., 2013). Currently, there is no explicit theory to justify the specific pattern of hairline involvement in FFA. One reason could be the formation of an aberrant immune response against some components of miniaturized-vellus hairs of scalp hairline follicles (Miteva and Tosti, 2012). This speculated aberrant immune response could be related to some neo autoantigen formation during the hair follicular miniaturization process.

On the other hand, considering that hairline terminal hair can also concurrently be affected during FFA, Dawn et al. (2003) have suggested that hair follicles of the frontotemporal scalp might be differently programmed for apoptosis, which may be promoted with postmenopausal changes.

Innate and adaptive immune responses

Since the discovery of FFA and LPP, there have been very few studies on cytokine profile evaluations or T cell populations in these diseases. Harries et al. (2013) pointed out the significant role of T CD8+ attack and gamma interferon (IFN-γ)-induced HFIP collapse in the pathogenesis of LPP and FFA. The researchers analyzed the biopsy tissues of lesional and non-lesional scalp skins of 42 patients with LPP or FFA...
and found an increase in the expression of major histocompatibility complex Classes I and II, beta-2-microglobulin, and IFN-γ-inducible chemokines (CCL9/10/11) in both LPP and FFA lesions (Harries et al., 2013). Additionally, a reduced expression of transforming growth factor-beta 2 and CD200 in lesional LPP hair follicles was shown. CD200 is an immuno-inhibitory no-danger molecule for hair follicles, which, lack of its expression can intensify the HFIP collapse. The researchers also suggested that a chronic exposure of hair follicles to IFN-γ could lead to hair follicle stem cell exhaustion (Harries et al., 2013).

On the other hand, although LPP is classified in the lymphocytic group of cicatricial alopecia, initial aberrant innate immune responses could be the initiating event during FFA and LPP. Indeed, lymphocytes may not be necessarily involved in the primary stages of FFA and LPP, but they might act during the chronic phase of the disease. Since the innate immune system is an active participant in response to trauma, the development of LPP and FFA after trauma (such as hair transplantation or facelifts) might imply the critical role of innate immune responses in the LPP and FFA pathogenesis (Chiang et al., 2012). Almodovar-Real et al. (2015) also reported on a case of FFA in the absence of lymphocytic infiltrate and an increase in the number of the mast cells.

Fibrosis pathway: Epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition

There is a growing body of evidence that fibrotic pathways and specifically epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT) are deeply involved in FFA. EMT is a physiologic feature during embryogenesis and wound healing, but it also occurs during fibrotic diseases, malignant transformation of epithelial cells, and metastasis (Nieto et al., 2016). During EMT, epithelial cells gradually represent fibroblast-like morphology (such as vimentin and fibronectin upregulation) as a result of E-cadherin suppression via E-box binding factors, such as SNAI1, SNAI2, and TWIST (Nieto et al., 2016).

Ito et al. (2005) first cited the concept of EMT involvement in FFA after they showed that the pure elimination of epithelial stem cell (eSCs) in mice results in alopecia with no scar formation. Therefore, they concluded that something more than the elimination of eSCs is needed to establish scarring alopecia. Simultaneously, abnormal expression of SNAI1 was identified in the fibrotic dermis of FFA (Nakamura and Tokura, 2010). Recently, Imanishi et al. (2018) investigated the involvement of EMT in the scalp of patients with LPP and FFA with prodigious results. The researchers found E-cadherin protein expression reduction, SNA1 and SNA2 increases in the bulge epithelium, and Vimentin +, fibronectin + cells in the bulge of lesional LPP, which all imply EMT activation within the eSCs niche. The researchers also found K15 +/Vimentin + cells, which points to eSCs converting to fibroblast cells. As another innovation, they induced EMT in full-length human anagen VI hair follicles within 3 days by using an EMT-promoting cocktail [composed of epidermal growth factor, transforming growth factor-beta 1 (TGF-β1), IFN-γ, and the selective E-cadherin inhibiting peptide SWELYPLRANL (peptide A)], and concluded that TGF-β1, epidermal growth factor, and IFN-γ are vital molecular signals that are sufficient to induce EMT in primary human eSCs in situ. The researchers also showed that adding pioglitazone to the culture medium containing the EMT cocktail could prevent EMT by downregulating TGF-β1, SMAD2, and SMAD3, especially if used within the first 3 days of adding the EMT cocktail. They also showed that a topical PPAR-γ modulator (N-Acetyl-GED) can prevent and even reserve EMT in the mentioned culture medium.

Not surprisingly, a high load of IFN-γ can lead to EMT induction. From a therapeutic point of view, EMT inhibitors can potentially halt FFA progression. In addition to PPAR-γ agonists, which have documented efficacy in hampering EMT (Burgess et al., 2005; Zafirniou et al., 2005), retinoid agents are well known to have proven inhibitory effects against fibrosis pathways and can act as an agonist of PPAR-γ (Rankin et al., 2013). Furthermore, new evidence supports the inhibitory effect of 5αRs in the TGF-β1-fibrosis pathway for the treatment of various types of alopecia (Inui and Itami, 2011; Yoo et al., 2006). Also, there is evidence for the role of androgens, especially dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), in suppressing TGF-β1 and fibrosis.

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma pathway and sebaceous gland involvement

PPAR-γ and its agonists have recently been of interest in the pathogenesis and treatment of LPP and FFA. Karnik et al. (2009) reported a link between PPAR-γ deficiency, deregulated lipid metabolism, and scarring alopecia. The researchers reported downregulation of the PPAR-γ gene expression in bulge region stem cells of both lesional and uninvolved samples from patients with LPP and assume this is the beginning step of scarring alopecia. However, Harries et al. (2013) conducted a study with a higher number of biopsy samples from the scalp and observed that bulge PPAR-γ transcription was unaltered compared with non-lesional LPP hair follicles.

In recent years, PPAR-γ agonist therapy was introduced as a novel and effective treatment of patients with LPP (Spring et al., 2013). Pioglitazone, a PPAR-γ agonist, can decrease the proliferation and activation of effector CD4+ T cells, but it increases the proliferation and function of regulatory T cells (Tregs; Zhao et al., 2013). Moreover, pioglitazone has been demonstrated to cause a decrease in plasma levels of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α) and interleukin-1beta (IL-1β); Gupta et al., 2015). PPAR-γ has been suggested to cause an inhibition of IL-12 production, IL-12 signaling, and T-helper 1 (Th1) cell differentiation in experimental allergic encephalomyelitis (Gupta et al., 2015). Meanwhile, the loss of PPAR-γ resulted in overproduction of IFN-γ in response to IL-12 in the mouse model (Gupta et al., 2015). The consequence of lower Th1 cells is fewer IFN-γ concentration, which could slow the HFIP collapse and fibrosis.

In addition to effector responses, PPAR-γ leads to induction of regulatory responses, which can downregulate proinflammatory responses (Gupta et al., 2015). PPAR-γ is also required for the formation of sebaceous glands that seem to be involved in LPP and FFA, and can modulate the expression of numerous genes involved in lipid metabolism, such as adipocyte protein 2, acyl-CoA synthase, and lipoprotein lipase (Schoonjans et al., 1996). These alterations could be the possible reason for lipid toxicity or the lack of production of anti-inflammatory components. Indeed, PPAR-γ contributes to the prevention of lipotoxicity by controlling adipose tissue expandability and peripheral lipid metabolism (Medina-Gomez et al., 2007). These findings could explain the effectiveness of PPAR-γ agonist therapy. Lastly, PPARγ dysregulation may promote fibrosis, and it has known inhibitory effects on the EMT and fibrosis pathway.

Hormonal factors: Steroidal agents and thyroid hormones

Evidence and controversies exist with regard to the role of steroids in the FFA pathogenesis. The high prevalence of FFA in postmenopausal women is the first evidence of this role, although several cases of FFA in young adult women and men have been reported recently (Kossard et al., 1997; Tosti et al., 2005). Some theories can be contemplated to explain these controversies.

At first glance, considering the high prevalence of FFA in postmenopausal women, estrogen deficiency could be considered as a triggering factor of FFA initiation. Estrogen has many known effects on different parts of the immune system, and it has regulatory effects on the function and number of neutrophils and macrophages (Hsieh et al., 2009; Kovats, 2015). Moreover, high levels of estrogen (eg, during pregnancy) can shift the immune response from Th1 to Th2 cells (Sabahi et al., 1995).
Simultaneously, estrogen receptor-alpha (ERα)-mediated signaling upregulates the expression of FoxP3, programmed cell death protein 1, and CTLA-4. Thus, estrogen seems capable of promoting the expansion of Tregs, which are critical players in the downregulation of immune responses (Polanczyk et al., 2005). However, the serum levels of estrogen have been reported as normal in previous reports, and FFA has been reported in a man who received estrogen as part of neoadjuvant hormonal therapy for prostate cancer. These observations question the protective role of estrogen in FFA (Banka et al., 2014).

Despite the lack of evidence and effective treatment for FFA, 5αRIs are among few evidence-based treatments that can halt FFA progression (Babahosseini et al., 2018). The reported effectiveness of 5αRIs in FFA management can be justified with the microenvironmental estrogen deficiency theory. Aromatase is the responsible enzyme for the conversion of androgens (specifically testosterone) into estrogen, and it is found in many tissues including hair follicles. Both finasteride and dutasteride inhibit the conversion of testosterone into dihydrotestosterone, leading to higher levels of testosterone in the scalp. Subsequently, this accumulated testosterone is converted to estrogen by activated aromatase. Consequently, 5αRIs increase the estrogen level in the scalp microenvironment, which could implement many anti-inflammatory effects. However, considering the high prevalence of AGA in patients with FFA and the well-known effects of 5αRIs in the treatment of AGA. The efficacy of 5αRIs in FFA patients could probably conditioned by its effect on improving AGA rather than FFA (Vano-Galvan et al., 2014).

Second, a new growing concept is built on the basis of the low androgen level theory, which has been discussed very recently in the literature. Ranasinghe et al. (2017) reported that androgen deficiency (especially DHEA and DHEA sulphate) has been identified in many patients with FFA. DHEA and DHEA sulphate are the most abundant circulating steroid hormones in humans. Their production in women reaches the highest levels between the age of 25 and 30 years and starts decreasing at age 60 years, with only 10% to 20% of peak levels (Mendoza-Milla et al., 2013).

DHEA is well known to have many regulatory effects on the immune system, fat metabolism, and even fibrosis pathways by its effects on the PPAR γ pathway (Hazelidine et al., 2010). There is some evidence that DHEA has inhibitory effects on the TGF-β1–fibrosis pathway. For instance, a reduction of DHEA levels was reported in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (Mendoza-Milla et al., 2013). Surprisingly, adding DHEA in in vitro trials has prevented EMT (Xu et al., 2014). In view of the biochemical effects, DHEA exhibits affinity to the androgen receptor (AR) and estrogen receptors (ERs) with a preference for ER-β (Chen et al., 2005).

Surprisingly, depending on the circulating testosterone and dihydrotestosterone levels, DHEA has been shown to behave as a partial agonist of AR, and therefore probably has an anti-androgen effect. On the other hand, DHEA is a full agonist of ERs with similar or slightly greater effect than estradiol. As such, DHEA has been proposed to be an important and potentially major endogenous estrogen in the body (Webb et al., 2006). Thus, considering the anti-inflammatory, anti-fibrosis, anti-androgen, and estrogen-like effects, DHEA can be a potentially effective treatment for FFA. Of note, despite these theories on the role of DHEA, since FFA is occurs in postmenopausal women in ~90% of cases, the significantly lower serum levels of DHEA in the study by Ranasinghe et al. (2017) could be due to the higher mean age of the patients with FFA, and not necessarily a triggering factor for FFA.

Lastly, the association of FFA with hypothyroidism and the effect of thyroid hormones on K15, CD200, and deiodinase 2 in cultured human K15-GFP+ cells suggest a potential role of thyroid hormones in maintaining the stem cell niche/bulge immune privilege in FFA (Tiede et al., 2010).

**Potential therapeutic options**

To date, there is evidence of the relative effectiveness of different treatment options for patients with FFA, including 5αRIs (dutasteride and finasteride), topical calcineurin inhibitors (tacrolimus and pimecrolimus), and HCG (Fertig and Tosti, 2016). As discussed, new evidence has shed light on the possible mechanisms of PPAR-γ agonists in FFA management. In addition to the approved PPAR-γ agonists (eg, pioglitazone and N-Acetyl-GED), there is evidence of the activation of PPAR-γ by nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, angiotensin II receptor antagonists, and oral retinoid agents (Gupta et al., 2015). Likewise, there is growing data in support of oral retinoid efficacy in the management of FFA. Oral retinoid agents are PPAR-γ agonists, and there is substantial interaction between PPARs, retinoic acid receptors, and retinoid X receptors (Gupta et al., 2015).

Furthermore, oral retinoid agents may act through the promotion of Tregs (Tavakolpour et al., 2016). Lastly, retinoid has many known inhibitory effects on the TGF-β1–fibrosis pathway (Marcellus et al., 1999; Xiao et al., 2011). At least two prospective studies and two retrospective studies have revealed a high percentage of efficacies for oral retinoid agents in the treatment of FFA facial papules and hair regression (Babahosseini et al., 2018; Pedrosa et al., 2017; Pirmez et al., 2017; Rakowska et al., 2017).

In addition to these treatments, which were shown to be effective in clinics, there are other options that probably could be employed to treat patients with FFA. Ultraviolet B phototherapy or excimer laser, which are possible inhibitors of inflammatory responses, are potentially effective therapeutic options for patients with FFA and LPP (Navarini et al., 2011). Ultraviolet B irradiation could induce IL-10 in human keratinocytes as well as the promotion of FoxP3 transcription, while decreased levels of several cytokines are involved in the differentiation of Th1 and Th17 cells, including IL-12, IL-17, IL-20, IL-22, IL-23, and TNF-α (Zhang et al., 2016; Coimbra et al., 2010).

Interfering with the JAK-STAT signaling pathway may be another novel approach to suppress aberrant immune responses in patients with FFA and LPP. The increased expression of IFN-γ-inducible chemokines (CXCL9, CXCL10, and CXCL11) and cognate receptor 3 (CXCR3) in lesional LPP and FFA bulge epithelium suggest that the CXCL9/10/11-CXCR3 signaling system plays a major role in attracting the inflammatory cell infiltrate in LPP. Therefore, JAK inhibitors, such as tofacitinib and ruxolitinib, with noticeable well-known inhibitory effects on CXCL9/10/11-CXCR3 and STAT signaling pathways may be a promising modality (Boyle et al., 2015; Fenwick et al., 2015).

JAK inhibitors were also suggested for the treatment of other skin and hair disorders, such as psoriasis, atopic dermatitis, alopecia areata/universalis, and vitiligo (Sanadi et al., 2017), and have been very recently proposed as a potent treatment for refractory pemphigus (Tavakolpour, 2018). Interestingly, Yang et al. (2018) have very recently found that treatment with tofacitinib could result in a clinically measurable improvement of patients with LPP.

According to the theoretical effects in suppressing EMT and considering the anti-androgen and estrogen-like effects, DHEA and its derivatives can also have a potentially effective treatment for FFA, but needs to be researched in clinical studies. Sirolimus is another potential treatment for FFA, because suppresses T-lymphocyte activation, proliferation, and antibody production. On the other hand, sirolimus inhibits the TGF-β1–induced fibrogenesis in many different conditions (Hillel and Gelbard, 2015). Considering the supposed role of the immune system and EMT in the pathogenesis of FFA, sirolimus can be named as a potentially promising treatment for FFA.

Due to the possible role of mast cells in LPP and the capacity of cetirizine to reduce the number of tryptase-positive mast cells (Cestell et al., 2001), combined therapy with cetirizine at a dosage of 30 mg/day and a topical steroid agent was suggested as a valid
option for the treatment of LPP (d’Ovidio et al., 2010), and can ameliorate pruritus in these patients, which is a common finding. Anti-CD20 agent (rituximab) may improve LPP by inhibiting production of proinflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6, IFN-γ, and TNF-α, and probably restoring regulatory responses (eg, promotion of Tregs and B10 cells), which make rituximab a potential alternative treatment for patients with FFA (Lund, 2008; Sfikakis et al., 2007). Failure of LPP to respond to ustekinumab can show that Th1 and Th17 are at least not the primary players in LPP. The list of these potential therapeutic options as well as the possible signaling pathways associated with the etiology and pathogenesis of FFA is summarized in Figure 1.

Conclusions

There are many unknowns with regard the pathogenesis and treatment of both LPP and FFA. Further immunologic and clinical analyses are needed to specify the exact pathogenesis and treatments for these diseases. Accordingly, in addition to previous effective treatments, including 5αRIs, topical calcineurin inhibitors (tacrolimus and pimecrolimus), HCQ, PPAR–γ agonists, and oral retinoid agents, various other treatment strategies and drugs, such as phototherapy, JAK inhibitors, DHEA, sirolimus, cetirizine, and rituximab, could be suggested.

In fact, these treatments appear effective in theory, but the clinical application may be associated with different side effects and limitations. Moreover, because the majority of the conducted studies did not distinguish between treatment for FFA and LPP and because of a lack of clinical trials and studies with high-level evidence, comparisons of mentioned approaches may not be possible. Therefore, further clinical trials and hypothesis studies are needed to clarify the most effective approaches for the treatment of FFA and LPP.
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