Is There Improvement in the Care for Patients With Multiple Myeloma?
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Abstract: Improved understanding of the multiple myeloma biology along with the discovery of novel anti-myeloma agents has led to a better-quality treatment of these patients. Multiple myeloma can cause a variety of complications such as hyperviscosity syndrome, hypercalcemia, spinal cord compression, early infection, bone disease and renal impairment, some of which are life-threatening. Treatment of these has been demonstrated to significantly reduce morbidity and early fatal outcome. Utilization of novel agents including: Thalidomide, Bortezomib and Lenalidomide as part of the induction protocols before autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) and also as a front-line treatment in older patients, has been shown to be superior in comparison with the previous treatment strategies. The latter has been confirmed regarding the overall treatment response, progression-free survival and even better overall survival in some studies. Greater experience in dosing of these medications has led to a decrease in the toxicity of the protocols. A third phase clinical study has demonstrated a better clinical outcome when Bortezomib was used prior ASCT. Furthermore, involvement of these agents in the post-transplant consolidation and maintenance treatments has been shown to be beneficial in other aspects such as prolongation of progression-free survival. The immune therapy represents a potentially promising treatment modality. Daratumumab is a new, specific, human monoclonal antibody with high affinity to a unique epitope on CD38. It induces plasma cells death thro
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1. Introduction

Multiple myeloma is a malignant disorder of plasma cells, characterized by uncontrolled and progressive proliferation of a single clone of plasma cells. The disease leads to progressive morbidity and eventual mortality by lowering resistance to infection and causing significant skeletal destruction with bone pain, pathological fractures and hypercalcemia. The other disease characteristics are anemia, renal failure, neurological complications and hyperviscosity syndrome. Most of the patients produce a monoclonal protein so-called paraprotein, M-protein or M-component, which is an immunoglobulin (Ig) or a fragment of one that has lost its function (1). The normal immunoglobulin levels are compromised, leading to predilection to infections. The proliferated plasma cells displace the normal bone marrow architecture, which is reflected by clinical findings such as anemia, neurological symptoms and bone resorption seen as diffuse osteoporosis or lytic bone lesions (2). Furthermore, hypercalcemia, renal impairment and detectable monoclonal protein in the serum and/or urine are frequently seen. A small minority of patients are non-secretory.

At the time of diagnosis, MM is a heterogeneous disease, with a course that varies on the basis of both disease and host-related factors (age, renal function, stage, chromosomal abnormalities etc.). Multiple myeloma causes significant morbidity and mortality. It accounts for approximately 1% of all malignancies and 13% of hematological cancers. Approximately 50000 patients per year are diagnosed with MM in EU and US, and 30000 patients per year die due to multiple myeloma (4, 5).

2. Treatment Approach

MM can cause many acute complications such as hyperviscosity syndrome, hypercalcemia, spinal cord compression, early infection, bone disease and renal impairment; some of them are life-threatening. The treatment of these medical emergencies has greatly decreased morbidity and early mortality in patients.

Hyperviscosity syndrome occurs in cases with high serum paraprotein levels, particularly those of IgA or IgG3 type. Symptoms include: blurred vision, headaches, mucosal bleeding and dyspnea due to heart failure. Symptomatic patients should be treated urgently with plasma exchange; isovolaemicvenesection may be useful if plasma exchange facilities are not immediately available. If transfusion is essential, exchange transfusion should be performed. Rapid reduction of protein levels is mandatory and anti-myeloma treatment should be instituted promptly. (6).

Hypercalcemia occurs in about 30% of newly diagnosed patients. Acute hypercalcemia can present with central nervous system dysfunction (confusion, coma and obtundation), muscle weakness, pancreatitis, constipation, thirst, polyuria, shortening of the Q-T interval on ECG and even, acute renal failure. Mild hypercalcemia with calcium range from 2.6 - 2.9mmol/l, may be corrected with oral and/or intravenous rehydration. Moderate and severe hypercalcemia with calcium range over 2.9mmol/l, requires intravenous rehydration with normal saline. Adequate urine output should be ensured and use of intravenous loop diuretics such as furosemide should be considered to avoid volume overload and heart failure and promote urinary calcium excretion. All patients with moderate to severe hypercalcemia should receive a bisphosphonate (zolendronic acid is the bisphosphonate of choice). Dental procedures, such as root canal or extraction of teeth, may be associated with infection or destruction of the jaw (osteonecrosis), so they should be performed before bisphosphonate treatment is started.

Spinal cord compression can be seen in 5% of patients with MM. Clinical features depend on the nature of the cord compression (due to bony/structural lesion or soft tissue...
Bone disease occurs in about 80-90% of patients with MM. It results in pain, pathological fractures with potential risk of cord compression and hypercalcemia. Skeletal events compromise mobility and independence of patients, decreasing quality of life. Long bone fractures are treated by immobilization and radiotherapy that can improve pain and promote healing of the fracture site. The recommended dose is 8 Gy for a single fracture. In case of larger lytic lesions of skeleton, an orthopedic surgeon should be consulted and in some patients a surgery with vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty should be done. Bisphosphonates have a favorable effect in controlling the bone lesions in patients with MM, even in those without bone disease at presentation. The duration of the treatment depends on individual factors such as: achieving remission, expressiveness of bone lesions, renal function (10). It is reasonable to stop the treatment in patients who achieve complete response or a very good partial remission and who do not have active bone disease. It is mandatory to perform a good dental inspection always when bisphosphonate therapy is planned and to perform dental procedures such as root canal or extraction of teeth before these agents are started in order to prevent the most difficult complication-ostonecrosis of the jaw.

Renal failure is a frequent and potentially serious complication that occurs in 20-25% of patients at presentation and in nearly 50% of patients during the disease course. It is due to destruction of renal tubules from the serum free light chain (“myeloma kidney”) but other factors such as dehydration, hypercalcemia, application of nephrotoxic agents or infections, also, contribute. Patients, who have renal failure at presentation, have high level of early fatal outcomes. For maintenance of a good renal function, patients are advised to stay well-hydrated, to drink enough fluids to produce three liters of urine daily and to avoid nephrotoxic agents including aminoglycosides and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (11).

### 3. Chemotherapeutical Protocols

Multiple myeloma can remain stable for prolonged periods of time. Individuals with early myeloma who have no symptoms (often called “smoldering” myeloma) may be advised to wait months to years before considering chemotherapy. Regular controls should be performed on 3 months intervals with quantifying serum and urinary M-protein. Bone marrow examination and bone x-ray should be performed before initiating the chemotherapy. The chemotherapy is indicated for symptomatic myeloma in patients with ROTI (Myeloma related Organ or Tissue Impairment) or CRAB (Calcium levels increased, Renal failure, Anemia, Bone lesions).

The choice of treatment protocol depends on the age of the patient, performance status, aggressiveness of the disease and other prognostic factors (3). Newly diagnosed patients with MM are categorized in 2 subpopulations, usually depending on their age and the convenience for the following treatment. The younger patients should be treated with induction protocols that will be followed with consolidation with high-dose chemotherapy (HDC) and autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT). Patients, who are not eligible for HDC and ASCT, should be treated with long-lasting therapy that includes combination of several medications (alkylating agents, high-dose corticosteroids and the new agents).

### A. Induction therapy in patients not eligible for HDC and ASCT

The aim of this treatment is to achieve the maximum, durable response with minimal treatment-related toxicity. Oral MP protocol (Melphalan + Prednisolon) was utilized as a first line treatment from 1960-ies and for a long time remained a gold standard for treating patients not eligible for ASCT. Cyclophosphamide versus Melphalan asserted nearly identical results in 2 UK MRC trials. However, Melphalan was preferred because of its better tolerability in older population. More complex protocols including combination of several intravenous drugs were utilized afterwards. Nevertheless, a large meta-analysis of Myeloma Triallists’ Collaborative Group from 1998, did not assert higher efficiency related to oral MP protocol (12, 13).

Thalidomide is one of the first newly introduced agents for treatment of MM. It is one of the “immunomodulatory” agents that have several mechanisms of action: antiangiogenic effect, inhibition of tumor necrosis factor (TNF), secretion of interleukin 2 and induction of apoptosis of tumor cells. Thalidomide is an efficient agent in all phases of MM treatment. It can be used as a single agent therapy or in combination with steroids or other drugs. The study of Rajkumar et al., 2006, phase III (14) compared TD (Thalidomide + Dexamethasone) protocol to Dexamethasone as a single-agent therapy in patients at middle age of 65 years. It demonstrated significant benefit in relation to response to therapy (overall response CR+PR) in 63% versus 46%. There was a benefit regarding the time to progression (TP) in TD group but also, grade 3 non-hematological toxicity in 67% of patients on TD protocol.
The trial of Ludwig et al. 2009, directly compared TD versus MP protocol. Superior response to treatment was demonstrated in 298 older patients in TD group (68% versus 50%) but similar progression free survival (PFS) and time to progression (TTP) in both groups. Nevertheless, the overall survival was significantly lower in TD group that was probably due to the fact that this much older population of patients (60% between 70 and 79 years of age), were not able to tolerate the high doses of Thalidomide and Dexamethasone (15).

Five randomized studies in older patients compared MP versus MPT protocol as first line treatment. They all demonstrated superior response in MPT group : higher percentage of PR, VGPR or near-CR as well as PFS and, significantly longer overall survival (OS) was shown just in two studies (13).The English investigators created alternative to MPT protocol with CT (cyclophosphamide, thalidomide, dexamethasone), that was the non-invasive arm of Myeloma IX trial. The early evaluation demonstrated superior response in CTD versus MP and similar response with MPT.

Velcade (Bortezomib) belongs to the group of “proteasome inhibitors”. As a targeted therapy it acts through blockade and weakening of the function of proteasomes inside the cells. Velcade is used as a single-agent therapy or in combination with Melphalan and Prednisolone (VMP protocol). VMP protocol is usually administrated over one year. VISTA study evaluated the effect of treatment in VMP versus MP protocol in newly diagnosed MM patients that were not eligible for APSCT. The results confirmed the superiority of VMP versus MP regarding the response to treatment (CR in 30% versus 4% and PR in 71% versus 35%).

The study of Palumbo, 2010 compared the effects of VMP vs. VMP. The induction treatment with VMP followed by continuous VT treatment, demonstrated superiority in relation to VMP; 3-yearly PFS 56% in VMPT-VT vs. 41% in patients receiving VMP protocol (16).

Lenalidomide (Revlimid) belongs to the group of “immunomodulatory drugs” and is analog to Thalidomide, dexamethasone), that was the non-invasive arm of Myeloma IX trial. The early evaluation demonstrated superior response in CTD versus MP and similar response with MPT.

Yet, VAD protocol or Dexamethasone as a single agent therapy, are not recommended as induction treatment before HDC and ASCT, but protocols that contain at least one of the new agents. CTD, TAD (Thalidomide, Doxorubicin, and Dexamethasone), Bortezomib-Dexamethasone and PAD (PS341-Bortezomib-Doxorubicin-Dexamethasone) are recommended. Which protocol to use will depend on several factors such as: renal function, risk of thrombosis and pre-existing neuropathy.

Daratumumab is a humanized IgG1 kappa monoclonal antibody that bands with high affinity to the unique epitope of CD38 trans membrane glycoprotein (21). The treatment with Daratumumab is a targeted immune-therapy directed to tumor cells that have high expression of CD38, such are the plasma cells of the patients with MM. Several clinical trials are ongoing that expect the effect of this treatment: Daratumumab as a single agent therapy or in combination with Lenalidomide-Dexamethasone or with VMP protocol.

4. Conclusion

MM remains an incurable disease further more. However, the implementation of the new therapeutically protocols and the ASCT as well as the improvement in symptomatic and supportive care, contributed to enhance response to treatment, to prolong the event free survival and the overall survival and, what is most important, to improve the quality of life of these patients.
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