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Background. Sclerocornea is a rare congenital disorder characterized with the opacification of the cornea. Here, we report a nonconsanguineous Chinese family with multiple peripheral sclerocornea patients spanning across three generations inherited in an autosomal dominant manner. Methods. This is a retrospective case series of a peripheral sclerocornea pedigree. Comprehensive ophthalmic examinations were conducted and assessed on 14 pedigree members. Whole-exome sequencing was used to identify the genetic alterations in the affected pedigree members. Lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) were established using blood samples from the family members. Functional tests were performed with these cell lines. Results. Six affected and eight unaffected members of a family with peripheral sclerocornea were examined. All affected individuals showed features of scleralization over the peripheral cornea of both eyes. Mean horizontal and vertical corneal diameter were found significantly decreased in the affected members. Significant differences were also observed on the mean apex pachymetry between affected and unaffected subjects. These ophthalmic parameters did not resemble that of cornea plana. A RAD21C1348T variant was identified by whole-exome sequencing. Although this variant causes RAD21 R450C substitution at the separase cleavage site, cells from peripheral sclerocornea family members had no mitosis and ploidy defects. Conclusion. We report a family of peripheral sclerocornea with no association with cornea plana. A RAD21 variant was found cosegregating with peripheral sclerocornea. Our results suggest that RAD21 functions, other than its cell cycle and chromosome segregation regulations, could underline the pathogenesis of peripheral sclerocornea.

1. Introduction

Sclerocornea is a rare corneal opacification disease. The transition between the sclera and cornea is indistinct in sclerocornea cases [1, 2]. Based on the affected area of the cornea and vision damages of the patients, there are two major types of sclerocornea: total and peripheral sclerocornea [1, 2]. Most of the total sclerocornea patients suffer complete blindness and their cases can be identified easily. However, peripheral sclerocornea patients still have useful vision, though limited, they are thus unwilling to seek medical advice, and rare cases of peripheral sclerocornea have been reported. Corneal transplantation is the only way to treat sclerocornea and restore vision in total sclerocornea patients. Given the shortage of cornea for transplantation and the high failure rate of keratoplasty for young children [3, 4], better understanding of the disease mechanism of sclerocornea is needed so that alternative treatments can be developed.

Cohesin comprises four core components: two structural maintenance of chromosomes subunits, SMC1 and SMC3, a kleisin subunit RAD21, and either one of the stromal antigens STAG1 or STAG2 [5–9]. Cohesin is a ring-structure
protein complex that encircles sister chromatids and prevents premature sister chromatid separation. At centromeres and telomeres, before the onset of anaphase, removal of cohesion from chromosomes involves a separase cleavage pathway [10, 11]. The active separase cleaves RAD21 proteolytically after the arginine at positions 172 (Arg172) and 450 (Arg450) [12]. These cleavages on RAD21 open the cohesin complex to allow sister chromatid separation. RAD21 has been found to be highly expressed in both postnatal developing cornea and adult cornea in mice compared with another ocular tissue [13], suggesting potential roles of Rad21 in cornea development and cornea diseases.

In this study, we identified a Chinese family with multiple peripheral sclerocornea patients spanning three generations. Our genetic and genomic analyses discovered that the heterozygous variant at one of the separase cleavage sites of RAD21, R450C, is associated with peripheral sclerocornea. Although this variant led to reduced separase cleavage of RAD21, we did not observe cell cycle or ploidy alterations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patient Consent. This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board of Kowloon Central Cluster, Hospital Authority, Hong Kong (KC/KE-15-0223/ER-1). Consent forms were signed by participants from this peripheral sclerocornea pedigree.

2.2. Clinical Assessments. Visual acuity assessment, refraction, slit-lamp examination, intraocular pressure measurement by Goldmann Applanation Tonometer, and optic disc assessment were performed (Table 1). Axial lengths were determined with IOL Master V.5 (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA). Corneal topography, pachymetry, anterior chamber depth, and anterior chamber angle were assessed using a swept-source OCT (CASIA SS-1000, Tomey, Japan). Retinal nerve fiber layer was evaluated with a spectral domain OCT (Cirrus HD-OCT, Carl Zeiss Meditec). Fasting-serum lipid profile was performed for all 14 members who attended the clinic. Patient I-1 underwent bilateral extracapsular cataract extraction for senile cataract treatment. Preoperative keratometry and refraction of patient I-1 were traced from patient records for analysis. Anterior chamber depth measurements of patient I-1 were excluded because of the pseudohypachic status. In addition, one unaffected case (III-9) had received bilateral laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) refractive surgery. The keratometry, refraction, pachymetry, and intraocular pressure data of this case were excluded from statistical analysis.

2.3. Whole-Exome Sequencing (WES), Data Annotation, and Bioinformatic Analysis. WES analysis (Macrogen) was performed in four affected cases and two unaffected cases. Genomic DNA was extracted using QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen), and exome DNA was enriched with an Agilent SureSelect Human All Exon V5 kit (Agilent Technologies). Paired-end sequencing was performed on Illumina HiSeq 4000 (Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

To identify functional variants that were associated with sclerocornea, raw sequencing reads were mapped onto human reference genome (hg19) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) [14] (Supplementary Table 3). Both SAMtools [15] and Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) [16] were used to call SNPs and indels. Around 77,000 variants were obtained for each case. Among all the variants, 28,593 variants that appeared in all the four affected members were filtered using an in-house pipeline to obtain 3,744 variants within protein coding regions. 2,838 variants were excluded since two unaffected members also carried them. Remaining variants were further filtered using the autosomal dominant disease model, the dbSNP135, and the 1000 Genomes datasets to exclude population associated variants. Functional impacts of the variants was predicted using SIFT [17] and PolyPhen2 [18]. Since sclerocornea is a rare disease, a minor allele frequency threshold at 0.0001 was applied to obtain 15 rare variants. Published data were used to further examine these 15 variants [19]. Five nonsynonymous SNPs were excluded since they appeared in a Han Chinese control group of 190 unrelated controls. Ten candidate variants were obtained and summarized in Supplementary Table 1.

2.4. Lymphoblastoid Cell Lines (LCLs). Fresh blood was collected and lymphocytes were isolated using Ficoll-Paque (GE Healthcare). Isolated lymphocytes were transformed with B95-8 Epstein-Barr virus (ATCC) according to the described protocol [20]. 2 μg/mL cyclosporin A (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to the culture medium until cells formed a rosette morphology.

2.5. Cell Culture. LCLs were cultured with Iscove’s modified Dulbecco’s medium (IMDM) supplemented with 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin and 100 μg/mL streptomycin, Gibco) at 37°C in a 95% air-5% CO₂ incubator.

2.6. LCL Characterization. Allele specific gene expression analysis was conducted with data from RNA sequencing (Macrogen). For cell cycle analysis, LCLs were fixed with 70% ethanol for 30 min at 4°C. Cells were then stained with 40 μg/mL propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich) and 100 μg/mL RNase A by incubating in dark at room temperature for 1 hr. Stained cells were analyzed by flow cytometry. RAD21 Western blot was conducted with anti-RAD21 antibody (1:750, ab42522, Abcam) and goat anti-rabbit IgG-HRP antibody (1:2000, Santa Cruz). GAPDH (1:2500, G9295, Sigma-Aldrich) was used as a loading control. For chromosome counting, 5 × 10⁵ LCLs were cultured in 2 mL medium and treated with 100 ng/mL colcemid (KaryoMAX, Gibco) for 1 hr. Cells were incubated with 50 mM KCl at 37°C for 15 min. Cells were fixed with 10 mL cold fixation solution (methanol and acetic acid (3:1 v/v)) and stored at -20°C. Cells were resuspended in freshly made fixation solution and dropped on HistoBond+ slides (Marienfeld Superior). Slides were then stained with Giemsa and chromosomes were counted.
3. Results

3.1. Identification of a Chinese Peripheral Sclerocornea Pedigree Spanning Three Generations. A nonconsanguineous Chinese family with peripheral sclerocornea was identified from the Hong Kong Eye Hospital. Eight cases (6 males and 2 females) of peripheral sclerocornea spanning across 3 generations were found in this family (Figure 1(a), black squares and circles). Fourteen members were examined with slit-lamp and 6 cases (I-1, II-1, II-2, II-3, III-1, and III-5) were identified to have peripheral sclerocornea (Figure 1(b)). Two additional cases in the third generation were identified to have peripheral sclerocornea solely based on histories. All affected subjects had bilateral sclerocornea. The degree of peripheral scleralization was similar in both eyes, despite the variations that existed among individuals. Peripheral sclerocornea was not noted in any of the examined unaffected subjects (II-4, II-5, III-6, III-8, III-9, III-10, III-11, and III-12). For all 14 members, the overall mean ± standard deviation and median age was 38.9 ± 21.6 years (range: 10-87 years) and 34.5 years, respectively. Comparing the affected and unaffected members, the mean age was 54.0 ± 20.6 years (range: 26-87 years; median 53.5 years) and 27.6 ± 14.8 years (range: 10-50 years; median 25.5 years),
affected and unaffected members, respectively, whereas squares and circles represent male and female, respectively. Roman numbers show the family members who gave consent to clinical exams and genetic analysis. The closed square and circle carrying an asterisk in the third generation were identified to have peripheral sclerocornea solely based on histories. (b) Photographs of the eyes from three affected members (II-1, II-2, and II-3) and one unaffected individual (II-5). In the eyes of affected members, white regions were observed on the periphery of the corneas, indicating the peripheral sclerocornea. These white regions were not observed in the unaffected eye. In the affected subjects, two members (II-1 and II-3) had cataract and one member (I-1) had age-related macular degeneration.

3.2. Corneal Diameter but Not Central Corneal Opacity Was Influenced by Peripheral Cornea Scleralization. 11 of the 14 members had best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) of ≥20/30 in either eye. Three family members with peripheral sclerocornea had BCVA of <20/30. The mean logMAR BCVA was 0.17 ± 0.22 (range: 0–0.7) for all the 14 members of the family. Only myopia or mild hypermetropia was noted. The spherical equivalent ranged from -15.0 D to +2.5 D for all the family. Only myopia or mild hypermetropia was noted. BCVA was 20/30 in either eye. Three family members with peripheral sclerocornea had BCVA of ≥0.1 (range: 20/25 to 20/30). Comparing the affected and unaffected subjects, there were no corneal endothelial differences, iridocorneal adhesion, or shallow anterior chamber. Anterior chamber and angle analysis did not reveal any guttate, deep central corneal stromal opacities, iris abnormalities, iridoconal adhesion, or shallow anterior chamber. Axial lengths were compared, while the readings of the unaffected 7 subjects ranged from 42.7 to 46.2 diopters (mean: 44.8 ± 1.0 diopters) (Figure 2(b)) (p = 0.153). III-9 was excluded in this analysis because of the previous bilateral laser-assisted in situ keratomileusis (LASIK). The keratometry readings of affected subjects were within normal range and were not different from the unaffected subjects of the same family (Table 1). The mean preoperative keratometry for the affected subject who underwent bilateral cataract surgery (I-1) was 46.5 D for right eye and 44.5 D for left eye whereas the postoperative mean keratometry values were 47.5 D for right eye and 45.2 D for left eye. The mean apex pachymetry of the 6 affected members was 471 ± 39 μm and the corresponding value of the unaffected members was 561 ± 19 μm, which differed significantly (p = 0.003) (Table 1). The mean anterior chamber depths were 2.60 ± 0.60 mm and 3.11 ± 0.25 mm for the affected and unaffected members, respectively (p = 0.013) (Table 1). The anterior chamber depth of the affected eyes was shallower, although this may be explained by the older age of the affected family members. The anterior segment features in the affected members were unremarkable. Axial length of the affected subjects varied between 22.39 and 28.86 mm (mean: 24.67 ± 2.14 mm), while the axial length of the unaffected subjects ranged from 21.92 to 26.60 mm.
(mean: 24.29 ± 1.56 mm) (p = 0.796) (Table 1). None of the family members had mental deficits, metabolic syndromes, or systemic abnormalities except for hypertension in 3 cases. Serum lipid profile was normal for all 14 cases. The average keratometry and axial length in the affected subjects were not particularly hypermetropic as expected in cornea plana [21]. Therefore, we concluded that the affected members in our sclerocornea family do not show feature of cornea plana.

3.4. A Heterozygous Variant c.C1348T in RAD21 Was Cosegregated with the Peripheral Sclerocornea in the Pedigree. To identify genetic variants that are associated with sclerocornea in this family, we performed whole-exome sequencing (WES) in four affected (I-1, II-1, II-2, and II-3) and two unaffected (II-4 and II-5) members (Figure 1(a), Supplementary Figure 1, Supplementary Tables 1, 2, and 3). A total of 28,593 variants shared by the four affected members were analyzed using a stepwise filtering method, among which 3,744 variants caused protein sequence change. 2,838 of these coding variants can be found in the two unaffected WES results and were thus excluded. Given sclerocornea is a rare disease, a minor allele frequency threshold of 0.01% was applied to obtain 15 rare variants, including 8 nonsynonymous SNPs and 7 indels. We then validated these variants using the genome sequencing data of healthy Han Chinese from a recent myopia study [19]. This healthy group received comprehensive ophthalmological examinations, including visual acuity test, optical coherence tomography, and ocular imaging and showed no features of sclerocornea. Out of the 15 rare variants, 5 nonsynonymous SNPs were also found in the healthy Han Chinese group and were thus excluded for further analysis. In total, we identified 10 candidate variants associated with the peripheral sclerocornea phenotype (Supplementary Table 1). Among these 10 variants, the missense variant RAD21 c.C1348T (p.R450C) showed complete cosegregation with the peripheral sclerocornea in the pedigree (Figure 3(a)). This variant caused the change of arginine to cysteine at the amino acid position 450 of RAD21 and Arg450 is one of two separase cleavage sites. Sanger sequencing results confirmed that the affected members carry both wild-type and variants (Figure 3(b)).

3.5. RAD21 Variant Was Less Prone to Separase Mediated Cleavage but Caused No Change in Cell Cycles and Chromosome Segregation. Arg450 is one of the two separase cleavage sites on RAD21, we thus examined whether the R450C variant would affect the separase cleavage on RAD21. We first generated lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) from peripheral blood of both affected (II-2 and II-3) and unaffected (II-4 and II-5) family members, respectively. We then genotyped both genomic DNA and cDNA to confirm these LCLs carry the RAD21 c.C1348T allele in their genomic DNA and transcripts (Figure 3(c)). Our RNAseq results also
confirmed that the RAD21 c.C1348T allele counted for 55% and 52% of the total RAD21 expression levels in the affected member II-2 and II-3, respectively (Figure 4(b)), whereas unaffected LCLs only express the wild-type allele (Figure 4(b)). To examine whether the heterozygous RAD21 R450C variant could influence the separase cleavage on RAD21, we used a commercial antibody that can detect the 31.5 kDa protein fragment (amino acid 173-450) generated by separase cleavages on Arg172 and Arg450 of RAD21. Although the full-length protein levels of RAD21 show no difference between the affected and unaffected LCLs (Figure 4(a)), the RAD21 173-450 protein levels were lower in the affected group than those in the unaffected group, suggesting less separase cleavage on the RAD21 C450 site (Figure 4(a)). It has been reported that mutations at both Arg172 and Arg450 on RAD21 impair sister chromatids missegregation, cell cycle defects, and polyploidy [12]. We then counted chromosomes to examine the ploidy in LCLs. Our results showed that most LCLs have 46 chromosomes, the RAD21 R450C variant is thus insufficient to change the ploidy of the LCLs (Figure 4(c)). We also analysed the cell cycle profiles of LCLs and found no dramatic changes of cell cycle, particularly in G2/M phase (Figure 4(d)). In summary, these results showed that R450C decreases the cleavage efficiency of separase; however, reduced cleavage is insufficient to affect either ploidy or cell cycle.

4. Discussion

In this study, we identified a peripheral sclerocornea pedigree spanning over three generations. We performed systematic ophthalmic exams on 14 family members of this pedigree. The results showed that all affected members have non-transparent corneal rim and other features of peripheral sclerocornea. Although peripheral scleralization is often associated with cornea plana in the literature [22], the ophthalmic parameters of the affected members in this pedigree did not resemble that of cornea plana. All our clinical results indicated that peripheral sclerocornea of this pedigree is not complicated with other syndromes, thus allowing us to identify molecular causes for peripheral sclerocornea.

Although a few familial hereditary sclerocornea were reported [23, 24], sclerocornea-associated gene mutations have only been reported in individual sclerocornea patients. For example, heterozygous mutations in RAX were found in a single unilateral anophthalmia and sclerocornea case [25]. Mutations in FOXE3 were reported to cause anterior segment ocular dysgenesis, including sclerocornea, microphthalmia, aphakia, and the absence of iris [26, 27]. Mutations at the chromosomal locus Xp22.3 were associated with an X chromosome-linked sclerocornea, microphthalmia, aphakia, and dermal aplasia syndrome [28]. Although these mutations were reportedly associated with sclerocornea, clinical outcomes of these mutations are complicated with other syndromes. In this study, we identified a RAD21 R450C variant in a pedigree of peripheral sclerocornea. In addition to rs1051321465 (R450H), RAD21 R450C has been included as rs130282588 in the dbSNP database. However, neither population nor clinical association was reported with these two SNPs.

RAD21 contains two reported separase cleavage sites, and mutations of these two sites to alanine would lead to sister chromatids missegregation, cell cycle defects, and...
aneuploidy [12]. The variant identified in our pedigree is located on Arg450, one of the two separase cleavage sites, and our Western blotting result revealed less separase cleavage product on the RAD21 carrying this variant, suggesting R450C affects separase cleavage. However, wild-type RAD21 is still expressed in all affected members and the RAD21R450C protein still possesses the other separase cleavage site R172, which could explain the apparently normal cell cycle and ploidy. If R172 is cleaved normally, a RAD21 protein fragment spanning from R172 to the stop codon is expected to be detected in affected members. However, we could not detect this fragment in Western blot, suggesting this fragment may be subjected to fast degeneration. Our results suggest that in addition to the well-studied cell cycle and chromosome segregation functions of RAD21, other novel RAD21 functions that could underline the pathogenesis of peripheral sclerocornea. Further studies are needed to clarify these novel functions of RAD21.
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