Fake News Detection using RNN-LSTM
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Abstract: Fake news distribution is a social phenomenon that cannot be avoided on a personal level or through web-based social media like Facebook and Twitter. We're interested in counterfeit news because it's one of many sorts of double dealing in online media, but it's a more severe one because it's designed to deceive people. We're concerned about this now that we've seen what's going on. We are concerned about this issue because we have seen how, through the usage of social correspondence, this marvel has recently caused a shift in the direction of society and people groupings, as well as their opinions. Along these lines, we chose to confront and decrease this wonder, which is as yet the principal factor to pick a large portion of our choices. Our objective in this study is to develop a detector that can predict if a piece of news is false based just on its content, and then attack the problem using RNN method models LSTMs and Bi-LSTMs to tackle the problem from a basic deep learning viewpoint.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Fake news is used to fool people with false information. With the proliferation of fake news from online media and other sources, the ability to discern between real and fake news is becoming increasingly crucial. Fake news is a major contributor to riots, violence, mass lynching, and other social and financial upheavals. Any item of false news can be made to intentionally mislead, push a one-sided viewpoint, specific cause or goal, or, in any case, for entertainment. It should be called attention to that this is a general issue which influences every one individual all throughout the planet. What's more, it has likewise been there since forever ago. Despite the fact that in prior occasions as there was no admittance to overall data, the discovery of phony news was moderately troublesome and cost wasteful. Be that as it may, these days it is exceptionally simple, attainable and qualified to distinguish whether a news piece is phony or genuine. Which carries us to the genuine issue of examining and distinguishing the phony news from genuine news in Gigabytes of data.

Profound learning strategies like Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN) and RNNs are ordinarily used to perceive muddled models in artistic information. LSTM is a tree-coordinated discontinuous neural association for examining sequential material. You can watch specific sequences both front-to-back and back-to-front using the bi-directional LSTM. The model's presentation is evaluated using Kaggle datasets of unstructured news stories that are freely available.

In this paper, we offer a system for distinguishing between real and fraudulent news reports. Before applying NLP, information is scraped from Kaggle and preprocessed. Stop word evacuation and stemming are both done with NLTK. The model is built using LSTM and a RNN model.

The following is how the paper is organized: In the next section, we'll discuss the methodologies employed by different researchers to detect false news, as well as a brief literature survey we conducted for this project. The following section will provide a thorough knowledge of the RNN and LSTM models that we employed in our project, as well as our recommended approach and architecture. Then we'll go over our experiments and the results we got with the dataset we used, as well as the processes we took to prepare our dataset before developing our LSTM models.

II. RELATED WORK

Fake news frequently incorporates propaganda, hatred, and other heinous motives [1]. A news story is a collection of words [2]. Authenticity and aim are two crucial aspects in a narrow definition of fake news [3]. As a result, many researchers in this field have advocated for the employment of text mining and machine learning approaches. Authors today claim that deep learning models perform better than older methodologies [2]. [2] presents a model for identifying false news that is built on a bi-directional LSTM-RNN. The model's performance is assessed using two datasets of unstructured news articles that are freely available. The results show that the Bi-directional LSTM model beats other approaches for detecting false news in terms of accuracy, including CNN, vanilla RNN, and unidirectional LSTM. In [4], the author uses RNN method models (vanilla, GRU) and LSTMs to create a classifier that can predict whether a piece of news is true or false based solely on its text, approaching the problem from a deep learning perspective. The author of [5] wants to evaluate and analyze a variety of ways to solving this problem, including traditional machine learning algorithms like Naive Bayes and popular deep learning approaches like hybrid CNN and RNN.
This paper sets the groundwork for choosing a machine learning or deep learning approach for problem resolution that strikes the right mix of accuracy and portability. The naive bayes model, decision tree, random forest, k closest neighbor, LSTM, CNN&LSTM, and CNN&LSTM are among the techniques investigated by the author of [6], [7] The paper has checked and analyzed a number of research publications as well as a number of survey pieces, and has compiled this document to provide readers a brief overview of what fake news is, its many flavors in the news spectrum, its characteristics, and basic identification. Identifying future news elements that have been labelled as phony. The paper [8] successfully tested for classification using RNNs, long short-term memories, and grated recurrent units. The Tensor board, which also acts as a visualization tool for the neural network, is used to execute the recommended design. The LSTM model may reach an accuracy score of up to 94 percent, according to the confusion matrix and classification reports.

III. BI-DIRECTIONAL LSTM-RNN MODEL

The suggested technique detects false news by analyzing the inclination of a constructed news story title and the relationship between the news story title and the article body to see if the content in the article is correct. This section depicts the information processing approach and the model design for the experiments.

A. RNNs

A RNN is a feed-forward neural network. RNNs are a form of Neural Network that considers the yield from past advancements as a contribution to the current advancement. In RNNs, a recurrent hidden layer is utilized to manage a variable whose activation is dependent on the prior time.

RNNs have a "memory" that keeps track of everything they've learnt. To create the output, it utilizes the same limits for each contribution and executes the same tasks on all of the data sources or hidden layers. This, in contrast to other neural systems, lowers boundary complexity.

![Fig 1. Basic architectural flow of RNN](image)

An essential RNN updates the secret state (h1,h2,...,hT ) and yields for each timestamp given an information grouping (x1,x2,...,xT) (y1, y2,...,yT ). Figure 1 depicts the full engineering of essential RNN. The vectors xt and yt are the information and create vectors at timestamp t. WIH, WHH, and WOH are three association weight networks that individually handle the weight associated with inclusion, concealment, and vector production.

1) Formula for applying Activation function (Tanh)

\[ h_t = \tanh (W_{hh}h_{t-1} + W_{xh}x_t) \]

Where
- \( h_t \) denotes current state
- \( h_{t-1} \) denotes previous state
- \( x_t \) denotes input state
- \( w_{hh} \) denotes weight at recurrent neuron
- \( w_{xh} \) denotes weight at input neuron

2) Formula for Calculating Output

\[ y_t = W_{hy}h_t \]

Where
- \( Y_t \) denotes output
- \( W_{hy} \) denotes weight at output layer
B. LSTM(LSTMs)

RNNs that can learn long-term dependence connections are known as long-term memory networks (LSTM). The LSTM algorithm is a powerful tool for dealing with the vanishing gradient problem. The buried layer of a basic RNN is replaced with an LSTM cell in LSTM-RNN. When the output is created by passing the input through a high number of hidden layers, the problem of decreasing gradient descent arises. Multiple weight changes occur because the RNN layer's output is decided by back propagation on all of its starting values. As a result, the "first" memory is "forgotten." To solve this problem, LSTM units with gates are employed.

![LSTM Cell](image)

Fig 2. LSTM Cell

C. Bi-directional LSTM - RNN

LSTMs help in the preserving the liabilities that might lay out backwards in time and via bottom layers of a deep network. For large-scale sequential text prediction and categorization of the text, bi-directional processing is an obvious choice. A Bi-Directional LSTM network, as shown in Figure 3, in both directions at the same time, runs through the input sequence.

![Bi-directional LSTM-RNN](image)

Fig 3. Basic architectural flow of Bi-directional LSTM-RNN

Figure 4 depicts the suggested false news detection model, which is built on a bi-directional LSTM-RNN. First, the news reports are pre-processed. Each piece of news is given a binary number, with 1 indicating fake news and 0 indicating genuine news. The two columns 'title' and 'text' are merged to produce a new column on which preprocessing may be done right away. The NLTK porter stemmer removes punctuation and stop words from the input news articles, while gensim removes words with fewer than two characters. The title and content text of news reports are transformed into padded sequences of words separated by spaces. Tokenization is used to break these sequences down even further into lists of tokens. The converted vector represented data is partitioned into train, validation, and test data with a test size of 0.2. The course is based on a collection of news stories. The validation data set is used to fine-tune the model. Using the trained model, the test data is also utilized to estimate the anticipated label of a news article. Two models are trained – LSTM and Bi-LSTM and comparison of both model is done.

Given below is the architecture of our proposed model.
Fig. 4: Proposed architecture flow for detecting fake news

IV. EXPERIMENTS AND RESULTS

TensorFlow r0.12 is used in all of the codes, which are drafted in Python 2.7. All experimentation were made on an Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-7200U CPU running at 2.50 GHz and 2.71 GHz with 8 GB RAM.

The two datasets used in this study were obtained from Kaggle's open Machine Learning Repository.

The title, text, Subject, and date of each news story in this dataset are all fake. This dataset's vocabulary is roughly 59.8 MB in size. Table 1 shows the specifications for a fake dataset.

| Attribute | Type   |
|-----------|--------|
| Title     | Text   |
| Text      | Text   |
| Subject   | Text   |
| Date      | Numeric|

Table 1. Specification of Fake articles dataset

True: This dataset contains the title, content, Subject, and date for each news story. This dataset's vocabulary is roughly 51.1 MB in size. Table 2 shows the True Dataset specification.

| Attribute | Type   |
|-----------|--------|
| Title     | Text   |
| Text      | Text   |
| Subject   | Text   |
| Date      | Numeric|

Table 2. Specification of True articles dataset

The proposed model is trained on the combination of Fake and True news datasets, which contain a total of 44896 news items.
Given below is a snapshot of dataset after combining both Fake and True dataset.

![Figure 5. Snapshot of dataset after combining](image)

**A. Preprocessing**

After combining both the datasets into one, we pre-process our dataset. We add “isFake” Boolean column to our dataset and one more column which is combination of “title” and “text” as those are the columns we used for predicting whether the news is fake or not. We perform data cleaning on our combined column named “original”. By creating a method which include stop words from nltk.corpus and genism, we also remove words which have length less than 2.

We make new column named “clean” which is cleaned version of our “original” column after removing stopwords.

After data cleaning, we split our data using train test split method with test size is 0.2. We perform tokenization using word_tokenize from nltk and create a list of tokenized words and add padding to create padded sequences.

![Figure 6. Tokenized and padded sequence of dataset](image)

**B. Dataset Modelling**

The tensorflow keras sequential model is the foundation of our model. For a basic stack of layers with precisely one input tensor and one output tensor for each layer, a sequential approach works well, which is appropriate for our model because it will have a single input – a combination of news story title and news article – and a single output of 0 or 1.

The first layer we add to our model is embedding which is offered by keras that can be used for neural networks on text data.

We specify two arguments to the embedding:

1) **input_dim**: total number of unique words in our cleaned dataset
2) **output_dim**: Words will be embedded in a vector space of this size

The second layer is our LSTM layer. We build two similar model first one being unidirectional LSTM and second one bidirectional LSTM.
Then we add dense layers. The thick layer is a conventional highly connected neural network layer since each neuron receives input from all neurons in the preceding layer.

Two dense layers were employed, each with a different activation function: a linear unit rectified and a sigmoid function. The activation function of the ReLU (Corrected Linear Unit) is half corrected from the bottom up. When x is less than zero, F(x) is zero, and when x is more than or equal to zero, F(x) is x.

The sigmoid function occurs between 0 and 1. As a consequence, it's suitable for models in which we need to forecast probability as a result. Because a news storey may be either false or true, with a value of 0 or 1, sigmoid is the best option.

The activation function of the ReLU (Corrected Linear Unit) is half corrected from the bottom up. When x is less than zero, F(x) is zero, and when x is more than or equal to zero, F(x) is x.

The sigmoid function occurs between 0 and 1. As a consequence, it's suitable for models in which we need to forecast probability as a result. Because a news storey may be either false or true, with a value of 0 or 1, sigmoid is the best option.

We then train both the models on our dataset and the results are displayed in the next section.

C. Results

After training the model for 10 epochs we got the following result:

| Model                | Training Accuracy | Validation Accuracy | Test Accuracy |
|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Unidirectional LSTM-RNN | 0.997             | 0.89                | 0.92         |
| Bi-directional LSTM-RNN | 1                 | 0.97                | 0.99         |

Table 3. Accuracy of two models

Given below are the graphs of training and testing accuracy and loss of both the models over 10 epochs.

Fig 7. Activation function ReLU and Sigmoid

Fig 8. Unidirectional LSTM model accuracy and loss
During model training, the RNN model suffers from a vanishing gradient issue due to the deep network structure. LSTM-RNN is used to address the vanishing gradient problem. From the graphs we can say that the second model has less loss and more accuracy compared to the first but the difference is minimal.

V. CONCLUSION

The methodology for the purpose of creating a fake news detector that can predict the fake news articles has been outlined in this paper. The methodology implemented to utilize the input attributes of the dataset such as title along with the article content to achieve the effective prediction of the fake news. The prediction is effectively implemented through the use of RNN approaches that can provide highly accurate predictions of the fake news using the input variables. The presented technique utilizes NLTK porter stemming NLP, word tokenizer, word embedding, unidirectional and bidirectional LSTM using RNN.
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