Abstract:

Ultrasonic technology is a cost-effective and environmentally friendly non-traditional method of enhancing oil recovery, which is of great interest to researchers and field production engineers. The integration of ultrasound with surfactants has been proven to be effective in increasing oil recovery by reducing salt adsorption in the fluid. Many studies focused on the water-oil phase behavior to determine whether ultrasound can actually reduce oil viscosity (fluidity). However, the phase behavior alone cannot answer this question. Therefore, the present study investigated the role of reducing oil viscosity with the use of ultrasound at different intense frequencies. For this purpose, ultrasonic processing of an unconsolidated model with sand placed in an ultrasonic bath was applied. As a result of a laboratory experiment, the viscosity during ultrasonic processing showed a significant decrease to 30% from the initial value of 138 MPa*s. The change effect was discovered in the physical properties of the studied oil-water compositions in electromechanical field variables of different nature. Based on the results of experimental work, a method was developed and tested for reducing the viscosity of high-paraffin oils. However, in the course of research, several manifestation features of these effects were revealed, suggesting their applicability in the processes of synthesis and destruction of heavy hydrocarbons. In this regard, the relevance of the study consists in the dependence of changes in oil viscosity on the type and parameters of electrophysical effects. The authors conducted a laboratory experiment to reduce viscosity, built a hydrodynamic model to determine its effectiveness for processing a single well, and built a model using COMSOL Multiphysics software. The technology of stimulating oil wells with high-power ultrasound has been applied in various onshore and offshore fields. The developer of this technology takes good care of their customers and maintains confidentiality in relation to linking their performance indicators to a specific field. However, extensive ultrasonic tests have been provided showing that oil production increases from 38% to 380% based on average barrel/day flow rates.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since the late 20th century, great interest has been paid to using wave action to reduce viscosity. However, currently, there is insufficient information on the effect of wave processing on the rheological properties of formation fluids as well as on the specific mechanism of wave action on the porous medium [1-3]. The effect of wave processing on oil viscosity immediately at the time of radiation as well as on reducing oil viscosity are of potential interest in the oil industry, therefore this problem needs to be studied in more detail [4-7].

The purpose of the study is to develop scientific and technical foundations for reducing viscosity and creating conditions for the transition from traditional methods of oil production to energy-saving methods that provide a significant increase in its extraction.

Ultrasonic technology cleans capillaries of resin, asphaltene and paraffin deposits to increase the oil flow to the well. Paraffins, resins, asphaltenes and other damages clog pores, which is why oil wells are operated with less potential.

The use of ultrasound is the basis of the cleaning mechanism with micro-acoustic currents in the pore space [8-11]. At the same time, high power ultrasound destroys the adhesive forces that hold the particles in place and ensures the subsequent removal of these particles. The pore space and permeability are restored, which leads to an increase in the flow rate.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

In order to solve these tasks, the following research methods were used:

- analysis and generalization of literary sources and experience;
- physical modeling of electromechanical processes;
- experimental studies on the properties of varieties of fluid-containing compositions depending on changes in the frequency or potential of external influence.

III. RESULTS

The rheometer operates according to the Searle principle (rotating concentric cylinders), has a wide range of torque speeds, and is equipped with an engine with a short response time. In addition, the rheometer is equipped with two control systems for fluid heating and cooling, which provide quick and accurate temperature control in the range from -20°C to +80°C.

Figs. 1-3 show the equipment used in the laboratory experiment.
thermal conductivity, for the vector $U$ of the corresponding shear:

$$
\rho_0 \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial t^2} = \left[ \rho_0 \left( c_l^2 - c_t^2 \right) + \zeta_0 + \frac{\eta_0}{3} \right] \nabla \text{div} U + \left( \rho_0 c_l^2 + \eta_0 \right) \Delta U - \frac{E_0}{\lambda (1-2\nu)} \nabla T
$$

(1)

and the thermal conductivity equation in solid bodies for temperature:

$$
C_v \partial_t T + \frac{C_p - C_v}{\alpha} \partial_t \text{div} U = \chi \Delta T
$$

(2)

where $\rho_0$ is the density of the material, $c_l$ and $c_t$ are the velocities of longitudinal and transverse vibrations in a solid body; $E$ and $\sigma$ are the elastic moduli; $C_p$ and $C_v$ are the heat capacities at constant pressure and volume, respectively; $\zeta_0$ and $\eta_0$ are the coefficients of the first and second viscosity; $\chi$ is the coefficient of thermal conductivity; $\alpha$ is the coefficient of thermal expansion of the material.

In order to determine the flow rate of the fluid $Q$, one can write the following formula ($\varphi$ is the azimuthal variable in a cylindrical coordinate system):

$$
Q = \rho \int_0^R \int_0^{2\pi} r \, dr \, d\varphi \, v = \frac{\pi R^4 \rho}{8 \mu} \left( \frac{\Delta p}{L} + \rho \xi^2 \omega^2 \kappa \right)
$$

(3)

As can be seen from (3), ultrasound effectively increases the fluidity of the fluid, thereby enhancing its flow rate. Dividing the flow into spontaneous and forced, associated with ultrasonic processing, one can write expression (3) in the following forms:

$$
Q = Q_0 + Q_S
$$

(4)

$$
Q_0 = \frac{\pi R^4 \rho}{8 \mu} \frac{\Delta p}{L}
$$

(5)

$$
Q_S = \frac{\pi R^4 \rho^2 \omega^2 \kappa}{8 \mu}
$$

(6)

Formula (6) can be rewritten in a different manner, by introducing the effective viscosity $\mu_{eff}$, taking into account the effect of ultrasound in the form that allows us to preserve the standard form of the Poiseuille equation, in which $\mu_{eff}$ appears instead of $\mu$:

$$
Q = \frac{Q_0 \mu}{\mu_{eff}}
$$

(7)

$$
\mu_{eff} = \frac{\mu}{1 + \frac{\kappa}{\xi^2}}
$$

(8)

It can be seen from (8) that the effective viscosity is always less than the value that is characteristic of the undisturbed fluid, i.e. $\mu_{eff} < \mu$. This indicates an increase in fluid transfer in the presence of ultrasound [12].

As a result of the laboratory experiment, the viscosity during ultrasonic processing showed a significant decrease to 30%
from the initial value of 138 MPa*s. All measurements were carried out under standard conditions: P=1 atm., T=25°C.

Fig. 4 shows the dependence of viscosity on the processing time.

The temperature during processing was measured with an accuracy class 1 thermometer and an accuracy class 2 pyrometer. According to measurements, an increase in temperature is observed up to 15 minutes of processing, followed by insignificant changes. Fig. 5 shows temperature changes due to wave action.

The experiment analyzed the viscosity of well oil with a 50% water cut. Before processing, its value was 675 MPa*s. After processing, the oil and water phases were separated at a temperature of 25°C, and an oil emulsifier was added to prevent further phase separation.

Fig. 6 shows the dependence of the viscosity of oil with a 50% water cut.
Based on the laboratory experiment data, a sector model was built. Figs. 7-10 show the constructed hydrodynamic model.

The following information was used as the input for a detailed correlation of well sections:

- interpreted GIS curves: DEPT, SP, CALI, MNOR, MINV, LL3, CILD, RT, GR, NGLD, ASP, DGR, VCL, PHIT, PHIE, SOIL, SODW, PRMB, CSAT, COLL;
- GIS interpretation results table;
- GIS interpretation tablets (hydrodynamic well research).

Using the above data, a detailed correlation of well sections was carried out according to an independent system of transverse profiles along and across the object. In order to identify the stratification sequence through the primary monitoring of clay layers, the correlation was carried out from bottom to top according to the sequence of layers.

Based on the interpreted seismic surface of an object and the GIS well data, structural surfaces were constructed along the top and bottom of the main horizon and the bundles separating it. Using the obtained structural surfaces, the structural framework of the model was built.

At each stage of creating a structural model, control was carried out over the consistency of the initial data and the construction results. Control over the non-intersection of surfaces was carried out both using built-in functions and visually on the profiles.

A properly constructed three-dimensional grid is the basis for constructing a correct geological model. To build a structural framework, a modeling area (boundary) with a dimension of 25x25x0.2 was created. When choosing the grid sizes, power maps were calculated vertically between the surfaces of the top and bottom of the bundles. Based on the distribution of values on these maps, the number of cells vertically was chosen in such a way so that the cell size was on average about 0.2 meters. Such grid sizes are most optimal when constructing geological models, because on the one hand, they are comparable to the sampling rate of the GIS curves, and on the other hand, they give an acceptable, from the point of view of calculation time, number of cells.
According to hydrodynamic modeling results, the accumulated additional production rate amounted to 53.75 tons from one processing in 90 days, and the average daily increase was 0.59 tons/day.

**IV. DISCUSSION**

This paper presented a review of existing waveform technologies to reduce oil viscosity. The authors conducted a laboratory experiment to reduce viscosity, built a hydrodynamic model to determine its effectiveness for processing a single well, and built a model using COMSOL Multiphysics software.

Based on the experimental results, it was established that after ultrasonic processing, oil was heated by 3-4°C, as a result of which a decrease in viscosity by 30% was observed. During these works, the effect of phase separation was also observed without adding a demulsifier without additional heating.

According to the laboratory experiment, acoustic treatment should be carried out on low-flow wells with low water cut.

Within the framework of this work, a sectoral geological and hydrodynamic model was built, and using the obtained data, a forecast calculation for one year was carried out. Based on the calculation results, an additional oil production of 53.75 tons was obtained for one well.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

For the effective application of this method to reduce oil viscosity, it is necessary to carefully select the optimal mode of wave processing. When improving existing technologies, this method in combination with others can be used to develop hard-to-recover reserves.

A firm-specific, patented technology is a highly effective way to clean the bottom-hole zone of typical contaminants such as resin, paraffin and salt deposits, thereby significantly increasing the oil flow to the wellbore. This technology does not involve the use of any chemicals and allows the well to be in oil production mode during the treatment process.

The use of this technology within the framework of pilot
production in the Republic of Kazakhstan showed a positive effect on enhancing the efficiency of the water pressure regime of well operation, as well as increasing the oil recovery coefficient.

With regard to the results of this work, it is recommended to use the technology of stimulation of the bottom-hole zone with ultrasound in wells with high viscosity values, as well as with a large difference in the viscosities of produced and injected fluids under water pressure conditions.
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