Comparing Serum Levels of Vitamin D and Zinc in Novel Coronavirus–Infected Patients and Healthy Individuals in Northeastern Iran, 2020
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**Background:** COVID-19 infection has recently become a pandemic disease around the world, and its risk factors have not fully evaluated. This study aimed to compare the serum vitamin D (Vit D) and zinc levels in patients infected with novel coronavirus and healthy volunteers (HVs).

**Methods:** This was a single-center, cross-sectional study conducted on 56 patients (32 severe cases and 24 nonsevere) admitted to the COVID-19 ward and 46 HVs living in Esfarayen City, North Khorasan Province of Iran. Serum levels of Vit D and zinc in admitted patients to the COVID-19 ward and HVs were measured.

**Results:** The average levels of serum Vit D in severe cases, nonsevere cases, and HVs were 31.03 ± 15.49, 37.25 ± 18.49, and 39.33 ± 14.83, respectively (P = 0.05). Moreover, the average concentrations of serum zinc in severe cases, nonsevere cases, and HVs were 31.03 ± 15.49, 37.25 ± 18.49, and 39.33 ± 14.83, respectively (P = 0.01). Mortality rate, reinfection (for 5 months), and length of hospital stay in severe cases were higher than in nonsevere cases (P < 0.05).

**Conclusions:** Results showed that severe cases had lower levels of Vit D than did other groups and were marginally significant. Also, severe cases had a significantly low level of zinc when compared with nonsevere cases and HVs. Levels of Vit D and zinc can affect the incidence of COVID-19 infection.
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**METHODS**

We conducted a cross-sectional and descriptive-analytical research with 2 main groups (patients, 56; HVs, 44). This study approved by the Esfarayen Faculty of Medical Sciences research and ethics committees. Convenience sampling was used in the research to provide samples. The sampling of the patient and control groups
was carried out simultaneously. Healthy volunteers were invited via virtual networks around the city of Esfarayen, and all eligible patients were selected to participate in research from the COVID-19 ward of the hospital. In fact, sampling was performed from patients newly admitted to the coronavirus ward of Imam Khomeini Hospital in Esfarayen from March 24 to May 5, 2020. Inclusion criteria were patients with a positive RT-PCR test result for COVID-19 and chest CT scan, admitted in the COVID-19 ward, who were alert, who were older than 18 years, and who had no thyroid, parathyroid, or chronic kidney disorder, or a history of dialysis. Finally, 56 patients were included in the study. Three patients who were younger than 18 years and 2 patients who had cancer and chronic renal failure were excluded from the research. Moreover, the patient group was divided into severe (radiological findings of pneumonia; respiratory distress \( \geq 30 \) breaths/min] and oxygen saturation \( \leq 93\% \) at rest) and nonsevere cases using the seventh edition of Diagnosis and Treatment Protocol for COVID-19.22

The control group also consisted of HVs who were invited through local social networks (with >15,000 members) for the measurement of serum levels of Vit D and zinc. Inclusion criteria for this group were individuals without any chronic disease; with no symptoms such as fever, headache, sore throat, and myalgia over the last 4 months; and older than 18 years. In this group, 44 HVs were included in the final analysis. In addition, 4 individuals (3 people opted out of the study and 1 person was younger than 18 years) were excluded from the study.

Exclusion criteria for the 2 groups were the consumption of Vit D and zinc supplementation over the past 2 weeks and dissatisfaction for taking the blood sample.

To perform coronavirus testing on all newly hospitalized patients in the COVID-19 ward, a sample of nasopharyngeal swab was obtained by a laboratory science expert and sent to Corona laboratory in Esfarayen from March 24 to May 5, 2020. Inclusion criteria were patients with a positive RT-PCR test result for COVID-19 and chest CT scan, admitted in the COVID-19 ward, who were alert, who were older than 18 years, and who had no thyroid, parathyroid, or chronic kidney disorder, or a history of dialysis. Finally, 56 patients were included in the study. Three patients who were younger than 18 years and 2 patients who had cancer and chronic renal failure were excluded from the research. Moreover, the patient group was divided into severe (radiological findings of pneumonia; respiratory distress \( \geq 30 \) breaths/min] and oxygen saturation \( \leq 93\% \) at rest) and nonsevere cases using the seventh edition of Diagnosis and Treatment Protocol for COVID-19.22

The control group also consisted of HVs who were invited through local social networks (with >15,000 members) for the measurement of serum levels of Vit D and zinc. Inclusion criteria for this group were individuals without any chronic disease; with no symptoms such as fever, headache, sore throat, and myalgia over the last 4 months; and older than 18 years. In this group, 44 HVs were included in the final analysis. In addition, 4 individuals (3 people opted out of the study and 1 person was younger than 18 years) were excluded from the study.

Exclusion criteria for the 2 groups were the consumption of Vit D and zinc supplementation over the past 2 weeks and dissatisfaction for taking the blood sample.

To perform coronavirus testing on all newly hospitalized patients in the COVID-19 ward, a sample of nasopharyngeal swab was obtained by a laboratory science expert and sent to Corona Molecular Laboratory for the RT-PCR test. Results are usually reported after 24 to 48 hours. Also, patients underwent a chest CT scan during hospital admission. COVID-19 pneumonia was confirmed based on a radiologist report (presence of the pattern of scan during hospital admission. COVID-19 pneumonia was confirmed after 24 to 48 hours. Also, patients underwent a chest CT scan, admitted in the COVID-19 ward.

In the laboratory for fear of infection with novel coronavirus, a member of the laboratory was removed. In the hospital laboratory. Registration of HVs was performed virtually. Healthy volunteers who were reluctant to go to the laboratory for fear of infection with novel coronavirus, a member of the research team would take the sample at a location other than the laboratory. In the control group, only temperature and medical history were taken, and RT-PCR, because of COVID-19 testing kit shortages, was not obtained. Healthy individuals concurrent with taking the blood sample completed the demographic questionnaire. The mortality rate during hospitalization, reinfection (after 5 months from hospital discharging), and length of hospital stay in the patient group were reported.

The measurement of serum Vit D (25-hydroxyvitamin D, or 25(OH)D) level was performed with an HPLC Waters device and Chemiluminescence method. The normal level of which was between 30 and 100 ng/mL for adults. Furthermore, a Spectr 20.AA device and the photometric method were used to check the zinc level. The normal levels were between 127 and 72 mg/dL for men and 70 to 114 mg/dL for women.

Statistical analysis was performed in SPSS 18 software. Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was applied for checking the data distribution.

The Mann-Whitney \( U \) and independent \( t \) tests were used for comparing the means of platelet, white blood cell (WBC) differential (lymphocyte, neutrophil), and length of hospital stay between the groups. The \( \chi^2 \) and Fisher exact tests were applied for comparing frequency, sex, place of residence, comorbidity (diabetes, hypertension, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease [COPD]), signs and symptoms (fever, sore throat, coughing, dyspnea, myalgia, headache, chest pain, vomiting), mortality, reinfection, CT scan changes, and CRP levels. Comparison levels of Vit D, zinc, body mass index (BMI), and age were performed in the control group, severe cases, and nonsevere cases using the Kruskal-Wallis test. Two-way analysis of variance for controlling the effect of sex and analysis of covariance for adjusting the effect of age in the patient and control groups were used. A \( P \) value of \(<0.05\) was considered as the level of statistical significance.

## RESULTS

The mean ages of the patient and control groups were 54.10 ± 2.07 and 46.65 ± 1.76 years, respectively, and were similar (\( P = 0.06 \), Table 1). Also, 2 groups were homogeneous in terms of sex, place of residence, and BMI (\( P > 0.05 \), Table 1). The serum CRP level in most of the patients was negative upon arrival at the hospital coronavirus ward (Table 2). The chest CT scan showed pulmonary change from normal condition in 49 (87.5\%) patients and higher frequency in severe cases than in nonsevere cases (\( P < 0.05 \), Table 2). The most common patients’ complaints were dyspnea (33; 58.9\%), coughing (24; 42.9\%), and fever (23; 41.1\%), respectively (Table 2). Moreover, hypertension (26.8\%) was the most comorbidity in the patient group (Table 2). There were higher levels of WBC and lymphocyte in severe cases and lower neutrophil levels than in nonsevere cases (\( P < 0.05 \), Table 2).

Regarding the levels of Vit D, the results did not show a significant difference between the disease and control groups (33.69 ± 16.97 vs 39.33 ± 14.84, \( P = 0.08 \)). However, means of the serum Vit D level in the severe, nonsevere, and HV groups were 31.03 ± 15.49, 37.25 ± 18.49, and 39.33 ± 14.83, respectively.

### TABLE 1. Comparison of 2 Groups Based on Some Demographic Variables

| Variables                          | Classification | Severe Cases, n (%) or Mean ± SD | Nonsevere Cases, n (%) or Mean ± SD | Control Group, n (%) of Mean ± SD | \( P \)  |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------|
| Sex                               | Male           | 15 (46.9)                        | 16 (66.7)                           | 17 (38.64)                       | 0.09   |
|                                   | Female         | 17 (53.1)                        | 8 (33.3)                            | 27 (61.36)                       |        |
| Place of residence                | Urban          | 20 (62.5)                        | 15 (62.5)                           | 26 (37.5)                        | 0.94   |
|                                   | Rural          | 12 (37.5)                        | 9 (37.5)                            | 18 (40.91)                       |        |
| Age, y                            |                | 54.88 ± 25.40                    | 54.31 ± 16.98                       | 46.65 ± 17.66                    | 0.14   |
|                                   |                | 54.10 ± 20.73                    | 54.10 ± 20.73                       | 46.65 ± 17.66                    | 0.06   |
| BMI, kg/m²                        |                | 25.88 ± 1.77                     | 25.64 ± 1.58                        | 25.46 ± 1.89                     | 0.52   |
and their differences were marginally significant \( (P = 0.05) \), but sex \( (P = 0.54) \) and their interaction \( (P = 0.017) \) showed no significant effects.

Analysis of covariance for adjusting the effects of age (covariate) did not indicate a significant effect on the levels of Vit D in the groups \( (df _{2, 97}, P = 0.55, \text{partial } \eta ^2 = 0.004) \). In addition, it did not show a significant effect on the levels of zinc in the groups \( (df _{2, 97}, P = 0.20, \text{partial } \eta ^2 = 0.02) \).

The mortality rate, reinfection (for 5 months), and length of hospital stay in severe cases were higher than in nonsevere cases \( (P > 0.05, \text{Table 3}) \).

**DISCUSSION**

This cross-sectional study aimed to compare the serum levels of Vit D and zinc between patients infected with novel coronavirus and HVs. The findings showed the mean level of Vit D in severe cases was the lowest, and these differences were marginally significant between the groups. Also, the mean concentration of zinc was observed to be the lowest among severe cases, and it was

![FIGURE 1. Box plots of Vit D in the patient (severe and nonsevere) and control groups.](image)

![FIGURE 2. Box plots of zinc in the patient (severe and nonsevere) and control groups.](image)
TABLE 3. Comparison Severe and Nonsevere Cases in COVID-19–Infected Patients

| Variables                  | Severe Cases  | Nonsevere Cases  | P     |
|----------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------|
|                            | (n = 32), n (%) | (n = 24), n (%)  |       |
| Mortality                  | 5 (15.62)     | 0                | 0.06  |
| Length of hospital stay, d | 6.09 ± 3.64   | 4.58 ± 3.78      | 0.11  |
| Reinfection (after 5 mo)   | 7 (21.87)     | 1 (4.17)         | 0.12  |

statistically significant when compared with nonsevere cases and HVs.

Jain et al. found that ill patients admitted to the intensive care unit had a lower Vit D level than did asymptomatic patients (14.35 ± 5.79 vs 27.89 ± 6.21) with COVID-19 infection, which was consistent with our finding. In Jain's study, mean levels of Vit D were lower than in the present study. It can be related to ultraviolet skin exposure and diet, which are some of the effective factors that affect levels of Vit D. We also compare the mean levels of Vit D and zinc, and the result showed the patient group had lower levels than did the HV group.

A study by Pletz et al. showed Vit D deficiency (the activated form: 1,25 dihydroyxvitamin D) is related to the severity of community-acquired pneumonia. However, no relationship was found between the reservoir form (25(OH)D) and disease severity. In the present study, also, 25(OH)D levels were measured and were lower in the patient group than in the HV group. Low levels of Vit D can decrease physical barrier, and cellular natural and adaptive immunity in the body. Moreover, recent evidence has shown that a low level of Vit D can enhance cytokine storm through activation of interferon-γ in patients infected with novel coronavirus. In another study, Zhou et al. showed the administration of the preventive high dose of Vit D has a positive effect on seasonal influenza. Also, another study showed a direct relationship between greater disease severity in patients infected with novel coronavirus and a low serum level of Vit D.

Results of the case-control study showed a low level of Vit D is associated with a higher incidence of community-acquired pneumonia. In this study, the average level of Vit D was lower than the normal value (deficiency category) in the patient group, but in our study, it was in normal value (sufficient category) in the patient group. This difference can be attributed to the average age between the 2 patient groups of 2 studies (67.75 ± 10.25 vs 54.10 ± 2.07). Serum Vit D levels begin to decline with advancing age.

In another study, Ghoneim et al. revealed the mean of Vit D in the COPD group (n = 80) was significantly lower than in the healthy group (n = 40). Previous studies have shown negative effects of Vit D deficiency on pulmonary diseases with different mechanisms.

Regarding the role of zinc among patients with pulmonary disease, Bhat et al. performed a study to compare zinc serum levels between patients with pneumonia and healthy individuals. Results showed the average level of zinc serum in the patient group was significantly lower than in another group. Also, the results of our study showed the average level of zinc in the patient group was lower than in the control group. Zinc can impede viral replication by changing the proteolytic processing of replicase polyproteins, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and reducing the RNA-synthesizing activity in viral disease such as a novel coronavirus. A case-series study showed high-dose oral zinc improves clinical parameters among 4 patients infected with novel coronavirus. Zinc deficiency occurs for a number of reasons, including low intake of zinc-containing foods, malabsorption, and the presence of high phytates in the diet, which bind to zinc and reduce zinc absorption in the human body.

In another study by Reda et al., serum levels of Vit D and zinc were lower in patients infected with hepatitis C virus (70 patients) than in the healthy group (50 people), which is consistent with the results of our study. This study found a negative relationship between the serum level of zinc and lowering of inflammatory responses such as interleukin-17. Besides, some pieces of evidence showed the relationship between zinc and reducing the activity of angiotensin-converting enzyme 2, as a receptor for novel coronavirus. The mortality rate in severe cases was higher than in nonsevere cases. In addition, patients with severe cases had lower levels of Vit D than did those with nonsevere cases. Findings of a research in Turkey showed that Vit D is associated with mortality in patients infected with COVID-19.

In the present study, the levels of zinc and Vit D did not show statistically significant differences between the patients and the HV group. Using a new classification (based on the severity of the disease) and recomparing with HVs, the results indicated that patients with severe cases had the lowest levels and their differences were significant. Also, the result was significant when only patients with pulmonary involvement were compared with the HVs. Previous studies have confirmed that the severity of the disease in Vit D–deficient patients is significantly higher than in patients with normal levels.

In the present study, patients were older than the healthy group, but this difference was not significant. The findings remained unchanged after adjusting for age. Also, given the division of patient group into the severe and nonsevere cases, with an almost equal average age, the results showed the levels of Vit D and zinc were the lowest in the severe cases, and this difference was significant when compared with the healthy group. This study has some limitations including (1) inaccessibility to the outpatients cured in their home; (2) short duration of the study, but all eligible patients entered the research during the period of 1½ months; (3) RT-PCR test was not obtained for the control group because of COVID-19 testing kit shortages, and only temperature and medical history were taken; and (4) ultraviolet skin exposure and diet were not evaluated in this study.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study was performed to compare serum Vit D and zinc levels in patients infected with novel coronavirus and HVs. Finally, the results showed the average levels of Vit D and zinc in the patient group were lower than in the control group. The results of this study require confirmation in future studies. Next studies can use the design of this study with a larger sample size and evaluate the relationship between serum Vit D and zinc levels with inflammatory markers and long-term outcomes (reinfection, mortality death) in severe cases, nonsevere cases, and HVs. The effects of Vit D and zinc on the severity of disease should also be evaluated in a future study.
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