Monitoring Susceptibility Status of Phlebotomus argentipes (Diptera: Psychodidae) at Bihar (India) for the Procurement of Homozygous DDT Resistant Colony
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Introduction

Though insecticides are very much helpful in controlling and checking out the nuisance of noxious vectors belonging to phylum arthropoda and class insecta [1,2], intrusion and prevalence of insecticide resistance has acquired world-wide importance since the discovery of resistance towards dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) - an insecticide of choice, firstly reported in case of houseflies [3]. Insects having a short life cycle and high rates of reproduction are likely to develop resistance more rapidly than those species possessing lower rate of reproduction, as any resistant gene can rapidly spread throughout the population [4]. In the field of applied entomology, the term “Resistance” often refers to the natural characteristics of a species [5]. According to the report of Insecticide Resistance Action Committee (IRAC), “Resistance is the selection of a heritable insecticidal character in an insect population that results in the repeated failure of an insecticide product to provide the intended level of control when used as recommended” [4]. Presently, resistance has been widely used to describe strains, within species, that are abnormally less susceptible to insecticides than the original or natural population of the species. Such strains arise after selective mortality followed by extensive use of insecticide for controlling insect population [5] ultimately leading to serious impediment to successful integrated pest management. Historically, in India Phlebotomine sand flies were supposed to be highly susceptible to all type of insecticides; but continuous and indiscriminate use of routine insecticides imposed a problem of vector resistance followed by the failure of its efficacy. Various reports on VL vector resistance at Bihar produces testimony to this assertion, where spraying with DDT has continued since 1976 [6-8]. Resistance to DDT in Phlebotomus argentipes, a proven vector of Kala-azar in India, was reported for the first time from the village of Samastipur district [9]. Since then, lots of investigatory work with biochemical as well as genetical aspect of resistance mechanism of P. argentipes, as reported in case of Phlebotomus papatasii [10] are awaited due to the lack of strong resistant colony of P. argentipes, which is supposed to be very tedious and challenging task in itself. Though being, a tedious task, our laboratory has successfully colonized mixed strain of P. argentipes comprising more than five hundred generations of resistant as well as susceptible sand flies that had never been exposed to any insecticide, since 1990s. In a way to prepare a homozygous DDT resistant strain of P. argentipes in the controlled condition, various preliminary approaches were adopted. Present communication emphasized on...
laboratory experiences with baseline establishment and maintenance of resistant colony of *P. argentipes* (Diptera: Psychodidae) towards routine insecticide i.e., DDT after understanding the susceptibility status of districts lying under the province of Bihar. In this regard, a well-established DDT resistant colony of *P. argentipes* comprising maximum percentage of resistant insect towards the DDT will facilitate revealing unsolved secrets behind resistance mechanism on “bio-chemico-genetical” ground as well as validation of cross-resistance among sand flies towards various insecticides for exploring and monitoring new strategies regarding vector control.

### Materials and Methods

In a way of initiating the homozygous resistant colony of *Phlebotomus argentipes* - the vector of Indian Visceral Leishmaniasis (VL), comprising maximum percentage of sand flies that are resistant towards dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), following strategies (VL), comprising maximum percentage of sand flies that are resistant towards dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), following strategies regarding vector control.

#### Site selection for susceptibility test

Previous reports establish that districts lying at the northern side of Ganges course, in Bihar are highly endemic region for VL [11-14]. Here, despite of adopting every technique for controlling the menace caused by vector population, resurgence of sand flies had been continuously reported followed by vectorial resistance among the sand flies [15,16,11,12]. Hence for the present study, seven districts lying at the northern side of Ganges course in Bihar state namely Darapatpur, chandi village of Vaishali district (25°58′N, 85°21′E), Bocha of Muzaffarpur district (26°12′N, 85°40′E), Patori of Samastipur (25′51′N, 85°47′E), Ekma of Saran district (25°16′N, 84°75′E), Maheshi of Saharsa district (25°53′0″N, 86°36′0″E), Barauli of Gopalganj district (26°47′0″N, 84°43′0″E) and Ballyia of Begusarai (25°25′N, 86′8′E) were shortlisted for conducting susceptibility test for better understanding the susceptibility status of each selected districts falling under the province of Bihar. The generated data would help better in selecting site to be treated as ‘perfect site for resistant sand fly collection’ for establishment of homozygous DDT resistant strain of *P. argentipes*.

### Susceptibility test for *P. argentipes* of each study sites

DDT susceptibility test for *P. argentipes*, collected from each study site, were carried out according to the recommended protocol of World Health Organisation (WHO) [17,18] for determining susceptibility, during the peak seasons i.e., in April- August month of year 2011-2013. For this, *P. argentipes* were collected from cattle sheds, human dwellings and mixed dwellings of each study site during 0600-0800 hrs, with the help of mouth aspirator and hand operated flashlight. The captured sand flies were collected in glass test tubes (labelled with the site of collection), provided with 10% sucrose solution soaked cotton plug and transported to the laboratory of Rajendra Memorial Research Institute of Medical Sciences (ICMR), Agamkuan, Patna, after which the *Phlebotomus argentipes* (Diptera: Psychodidae) were sorted out with the help of provided identification key [20]. Only healthy and strong individuals were retained for colonizing purpose after offering blood meal to female *P. argentipes* [21-23]. Briefly, the sand flies were transferred to the clean and dried wooden-framed, wire-meshed, cloth-lined barraud cage (42×36×36 cm) for their adjustment to the new environment of insectary of Vector Biology and Control Division, Rajendra Memorial Research Institute of Medical Sciences (ICMR), Agamkuan, Patna, after which the *Phlebotomus argentipes* were transported to the laboratory of Rajendra Memorial Research Institute of Medical Sciences (ICMR), Agamkuan, Patna, were anesthetized and introduced into the same cage with sand flies for 2-3 hours. During the blood feeding session, the glass-screen of cage was covered with cotton cloth, for providing warmth and darkness to feeding insects. This enhances the blood feeding percentage. On completion of feeding process, single blood-engorged female along with a male sand fly as a parent generation for forthcoming filial generations (F-generations) were selected and confined in each 20 plaster-lined Hilton pots (7×7 cm) with meshed lid, purposely made for oviposition by female sand flies [22]. The female sand flies were allowed to oviposit on the plastered surface of Hilton pots that were kept on damp cloth for providing uninterrupted supply of relative humidity 80 ± 5% under the controlled condition of insectarium maintained at temperature 28 ± 2°C; 12 hrs (L:D). The pots were examined daily for egg-deposition. Subsequently,
Figure 1: Pictorial representation of complete methodology for determining the Susceptibility/Resistance of P. argentipes towards DDT as prescribed by WHO.

### Table 1: Result of Susceptibility test for *P. argentipes* against 4% DDT illustrating percentage mortality range, AMR and CMR for the districts of Bihar.

| Susceptibility test conducted for sand flies of study sites | Control | 4% DDT | Corrected Mortality Rate (CMR) for district (%) | Result\(^1\) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Number of sand flies exposed                                | Percent mortality | Number of sand flies exposed | Percentage Mortality Range (PMR) | Average Mortality Rate (AMR) (%) |
| Vaishali (Daulatpur Chandi)                                 | 20     | 0      | 70                                           | 4.00-52.73  | 44.83  |
|                                                              |        |        |                                              |             | 44.83  | R     |
| Muzaffarpur (Bocha)                                         | 30     | 0      | 70                                           | 49.00-60.00 | 50.00  |
|                                                              |        |        |                                              |             | 50.00  | R     |
| Samastipur (Patori)                                         | 20     | 10     | 55                                           | 50.00-63.65 | 53.11  |
|                                                              |        |        |                                              |             | 47.9   | R     |
| Saran (Ekma)                                                | 18     | 5.0    | 40                                           | 53.33-54.99 | 54.16  |
|                                                              |        |        |                                              |             | 51.44  | R     |
| Saharsa (Maheshi)                                           | 15     | 0      | 60                                           | 80.00-93.33 | 91.00  |
|                                                              |        |        |                                              |             | 91.00  | T     |
| Gopalganj (Barauli)                                         | 17     | 6.3    | 45                                           | 49.99-53.56 | 51.77  |
|                                                              |        |        |                                              |             | 48.52  | R     |
| Begusarai (Baliya)                                          | 15     | 0      | 48                                           | 46.42-57.14 | 51.78  |
|                                                              |        |        |                                              |             | 51.78  | R     |

\(^1\)R: Resistant, T: Tolerant
after a week of confinement, all dead insects were carefully removed from the oviposition surface with the help of needle, without disturbing eggs. Eggs were morphologically identified and counted under stereoscopic microscope (Carl Zeiss Stereozy Scope Microscope, Austria; Model 426126) to avoid potential contamination with larvae of foreign insects, mites. The eggs were reared to the adult parent generation in accordance with earlier described protocol for rearing sand flies in controlled conditions [21-24]. The emerging neonates were then fed with anti-fungal larval food comprising ground rabbit faeces mixed with sand in 2:1 ratio [23]. The developing stages i.e., larva and pupal stages were raised extra care in order to avoid mortality due to desiccation and food shortage. The newly emerged adult sand flies of first filial generation (i.e., F1 generation) in each Hilton pots (oviposition pots confined with single female sand fly and a male sand fly) were released into the separate cage for identifying susceptibility status after exposing them to insecticide.

Resistance selection via DDT exposure

The newly emerged female and male sand flies of parent generation from each Hilton pots were randomly selected and were probe to susceptibility analysis for understanding insecticide resistance frequency for sand flies emerged out from each oviposition pots. Approximately, 10-15 sand flies from each oviposition pots were exposed to 0.4% DDT impregnated papers for 1 hour, following 24 hours recovery from insecticidal effect to classify the resistance status of tested sand flies as illustrated in Figure 2. Based upon the insecticidal susceptibility test, selection of those oviposition pots only containing 100% resistant sand flies, that had tolerated all pressures of insecticide during exposure as well as recovery session had served best for our requirement, and were regarded as ‘pure DDT resistant group’. Rest of the pots exhibiting either complete or partial susceptibility towards DDT were categorised under ‘susceptible’ and ‘mixed’ group respectively. The remaining sand flies of each tested pots of ‘pure DDT Resistant’ group were pooled together separately into the barraud cage labelled as ‘Parent generation flies of 0.4% DDT Resistant strain of P. argentipes’ for the forthcoming generations of resistant strain respectively. About 10-15 Female along with male sand flies were confined in the oviposition pots after providing blood-meal similarly as described in previous section, for mass rearing and continuation of its next generation. The adults emerged out from the sand flies of parent generation were treated as first filial generation (i.e., F1 generation). The whole process i.e., rearing and culturing of eggs to adults and selection for resistance to 4% DDT were repeated in the same manner. Insecticide susceptibility test was carried out with 10-15 sand flies emerged out in each subsequent generations, for obtaining MFR and DRR for each generations that were calculated by using undernoted formulae.

\[
\text{Mortality Frequency Rate (MFR)} = \frac{\text{Observed mortality after 24 hours of DDT exposure}}{\text{No. of alive sand flies after 1 hour knockdown}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{DDT Resistant Rate (DRR)} = 100 - \text{Mortality Frequency Rate (MFR)}
\]

The calculated MFR as well as resistant rate for each generation of resistant P. argentipes were recorded and comparatively evaluated to obtain the insecticide resistance trend in generation of 4% DDT resistant colony of P. argentipes (Table 2). Till date, we have successfully colonised 7th generations of 0.4% DDT resistant strain of P. argentipes following the same procedure as described in previous sub sections.

The animals used in the present experiment for sand fly feeding were obtained from the Animal House of Rajendra Memorial Research Institute of Medical Sciences (ICMR), Agamkuan, Patna (Bihar). After feeding, the rabbits were returned back to the animal house where they were separately kept in animal cages (12 sq ft with grids of 2.5 inches) and supplemented with food and water according to the diet chart of animal house of research institute. For present study “Principles of laboratory animal care” (NIH publication No. 85-23, revised 1985) were followed for conducting research experiments involving animal. Protocols led by animal ethics committee of Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR), Government of India, were also followed for conducting the present research work involving experiments using animal subject. All experiments had been conducted under the guidance of the Institutional ethical committee of RMRIMS (ICMR), Patna.

**Results**

**Site selection and susceptibility status of each selected study site**

In a way of site selection programme, conducted during the peak months of sand fly availability i.e., March-June and August to November in year 2011-12 and 2012-13, overall 523 engorged sand flies were collected and tested for observing current DDT susceptibility status for P. argentipes of shortlisted districts of Bihar viz., Vaishali, Muzaffarpur, Samastipur, Saran, Saharsa, Gopalganj and Begusarai. The results of susceptibility test for P. argentipes against 0.4% DDT, focusing on to the Percent Mortality Range (PMR), Average Mortality Rate (AMR) and Corrected Mortality Rate (CMR) for each districts of Bihar were obtained and illustrated in the Table 1. The lowest PMR (41.00-52.73) as well as lowest CMR (44.83%) for Vaishali district indicated the presence of sand flies that are highly resistant towards DDT because insecticidal susceptibility and resistant are inversely proportional to each other. Along with that, highest PMR (80.00-93.33) and CMR (91.66%) observed for Saharsa district, indicated the presence of tolerant/ susceptible sand flies. The comparative result of DDT susceptibility status for P. argentipes caught from the shortlisted districts of Bihar individually during the year 2011-12 and 2012-13 are graphically illustrated in Figure 3. From the results, we observe tremendous increase in average CMR that were recorded as 85%,
Generations | No. of sand flies exposed | 1 hour Knock Down | Knock Down (%) | Alive | 24 hours Mortality | Mortality Frequency Rate (MFR) (%) | Alive | DDT Resistant Rate (DRR) (%)
--- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | ---
F1 | 15 | 3 | 20 | 12 | 4 | 33.3 | 8 | 66.66
F2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 57.1 | 6 | 42.85
F3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 40 | 6 | 60
F4 | 12 | 4 | 33.3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 100
F5 | 10 | 4 | 40 | 6 | 2 | 33.3 | 4 | 66.66
F6 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 26.6 | 11 | 73.33
F7 | 15 | 2 | 13.3 | 13 | 5 | 38.4 | 8 | 61.53

Table 2: Result of comparative observations of mortality frequencies and DRR for sand flies emerged out in subsequent generations of resistant colony of *P. argentipes*.

Figure 3: Graphical representation of comparison based result demonstrating average CMR for *P. argentipes* caught from the districts of Bihar during the year 2011-12 and 2012-13.

53.99%, and 56.38% for district Saharsa, Gopalganj and Begusarai respectively during the year 2012-13 as compared to those observed (i.e., 81.5%, 41.41%, 45.27%) during year 2011-12. Results establish that native sand flies of these regions are very much sensitive towards the DDT, and remained unaffected from the insecticidal resistance. As insecticidal susceptibility and resistant are inversely proportional to each other, from the same result, we also observe an abrupt decline in average CMR (i.e., 40.00%, 44.00%, 40.98% and 52.83%) for Vaishali, Muzaffarpur, Samastipur and Saran district respectively during the year 2012-13 as compared to those (i.e., 54.09%, 52.77%, 54.44% and 54.16%) in previous year 2011-12. The results specify that the sand flies of these regions became resistant towards the DDT. Based on the obtained results, Vaishali district was crowned as `perfect site for resistant sand fly collection` as DDT resistance was recorded to be the highest as compared to the rest of the districts.

**MFR and DRR for sand flies of 4% DDT resistant colony**

Out of 20 oviposition pots containing adult sand flies of parent generation, that were probed for susceptibility analysis, 12 pots were confirmed as 'pure DDT resistant group' containing sand flies that had tolerated the DDT pressure; while 2 pots were grouped under 'susceptible group' that couldn't tolerated DDT exposure and died (55.55%) within an hour of insecticidal exposure as well as in 24 hours (42.59%) of recovery period from insecticidal exposure. The remaining 6 pots were categorised under 'mixed group' containing mixed type of sand flies exhibiting 42.62% mortality. For studying resistant profile of adult *P. argentipes* emerged out in every subsequent generations of newly established DDT resistant colony, we exclusively focussed on observing Mortality Frequency Rate (MFR) and DDT Resistant Rate (DRR) rather than the CMR for *P. argentipes* emerged out in each subsequent generation due to insufficient and uncertain availability of sand flies for control sets during the DDT susceptibility test. Result comparing the MFR and DRR of sand flies emerged out in the subsequent generations of confinement of parent generation of *P. argentipes* are illustrated in the following Table 2. Results of MFR and DRR for *P. argentipes* emerged out in subsequent generation, revealed fluctuations in observed data. Comparing the susceptibility result of *P. argentipes* emerged out at each generations, it was observed that sand
flies of F2 generation possess lowest resistance (42.85%) indicating highest susceptibility, as insecticidal susceptibility and resistance are indirectly proportional to each other. Also, sand flies of F4 generation had attained peak resistance (100%) indicating absolute resistant towards DDT that gradually lowered till F7 generation. The data are graphically illustrated in Figure 4. Significant fluctuation within DRR (with 67.29 ± 17.28; 95% C.I.=54.49-80.09) was observed throughout the 7th generation establishing intrusion of susceptibility factor in homozygous resistant colony of P. argentipes after achieving absolute resistant in F4 generation of P. argentipes. Though invaded with insecticide susceptibility, resistance dominate the colony. So far, colony has reached its 7th generation and culturing well in the laboratory.

Discussion

Insecticides indeed play a vital role in controlling the vector nuisance for reducing the disease burden under Integrated Disease Management (IDM). Previous reports confirms the reductions in VL cases, during 1960-70, as a collateral benefit of DDT spraying for malaria control programmes under National Malaria Control Programme and National Malaria Eradication Program launched in year 1953 and 1958 respectively [25], and hence the populations of P. argentipes were also effectively suppressed due to higher level of susceptibility to DDT [26]. Before 1979, P. argentipes of Bihar state was considered to be highly susceptible to DDT in WHO susceptibility test [7], but brisk, continuous and injudicious use of insecticide in this region for long had evoked the problem of DDT resistance in P. argentipes population of Bihar [8,9,15]. This definitely obstructed the insecticide based strategies applied for controlling vector population. In this context, well established, DDT resistant colony of Phlebotomus argentipes (Diptera: Psychodidae) is supposed to be of great value to reveal the physiological consequences of insecticide resistance on this species. This could also helped in understanding the mechanistic reason behind the arousal of insecticide resistance among the population followed by experimenting new strategies that might encounter physiological resistance among these species. Hence, to fulfill the requirement, the DDT resistant colony of P. argentipes was initiated during 2014, after studying susceptibility profile for districts of Bihar in from year 2011-13 and collecting sand flies from the area with high DDT resistance level. The Susceptibility test was conducted at the seven districts viz., Vaishali, Muzaffarpur, Samastipur, Saran, Saharsa, Gopalganj and Begusarai of Bihar state in order to estimate the current DDT resistant profile for P. argentipes in Bihar. The districts were shortlisted as they are geographically positioned at the northern side of Ganges course in Bihar and are highly endemic for VL [11-14]. Here, despite of adopting every technique for controlling the menace caused by vector population, resurgence of sand flies had been continuously reported indicating arousal of resistance among the sand flies [15,16]. Among these, sand flies of district Saharsa (25° 53’ 0” N, 86° 36’ 0” E) with highest percentage mortality range (80.00-93.33) as well as highest CMR (91.66%) signifies the lowest resistance. Whereas, Daulatpur Chandi village of Vaishali district (25.6833° N, 85.2167° E) with lowest PMR (41.00-52.73) and lowest CMR (44.83%) indicated the existence of sand flies that are highly resistant towards DDT, and well-suited for establishment of DDT resistant colony after collection of sand flies from this district. Final outcome of present DDT susceptibility test conducted at the districts of Bihar supported the previous reports of susceptibility status of P. argentipes in Bihar, India [12,13,15]. Sand flies were captured from Vaishali district and confined in each oviposition pots (comprising single fed female and a male insect) and reared till adult emergence [21-24]. All the adults emerged out from the the single female sand fly were supposed to bear similar identity in context to DDT resistant, as their parent exhibited and evenly pass on to its subsequent generations [27]. The progenies of wild captured sand flies
that already exhibited a high level of resistance to DDT indicated very low proportion of susceptible individual living in natural condition. The inherited DDT resistant character exhibited by the progenies of highly resistant parents was estimated by quantifying the level of MFR and DRR through insecticide susceptibility test, for P. argentipes at each subsequent generations of colony. The peak of DRR gradually increased after F2 generation achieving absolute resistance towards DDT in colony comprising 100% resistant sand flies in F4 generation. The result exhibited the fluctuations in peak of DRR after F4 generation establishing intrusion of susceptibility character in the homozygous resistant colony of P. argentipes. However, the estimation of DDT resistant level on molecular and biochemical ground is awaited, till now, we can say that though infected with susceptibility characteristics due to various factors viz., environmental factors, physiological stresses, etc., resistant factor dominates the colony of P. argentipes [28]. So far, colony has reached its 7th generation and cultivating well in the laboratory. The selection process of DDT resistant sand flies in each generation should be continued in same manner to achieve stable resistance level [27]. The stable DDT resistant colony would facilitate studying cross-resistance of VL vector towards various insecticides in order to explore new control strategies for vector control under Integrated Vector Management (IVM).
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