Phenotyping diabetic cardiomyopathy in Europeans and South Asians
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Abstract

Background: The pathogenesis and cardiovascular impact of type 2 diabetes (T2D) may be different in South Asians compared with other ethnic groups. The phenotypic characterization of diabetic cardiomyopathy remains debated and little is known regarding differences in T2D-related cardiovascular remodeling across ethnicities. We aimed to characterize the differences in left ventricular (LV) diastolic and systolic function, LV structure, myocardial tissue characteristics and aortic stiffness between T2D patients and controls and to assess the differences in T2D-related cardiovascular remodeling between South Asians and Europeans.

Methods: T2D patients and controls of South Asian and European descent underwent 3 Tesla cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) and cardiac proton-magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS). Differences in cardiovascular parameters between T2D patients and controls were examined using ANCOVA and were reported as mean (95% CI). Ethnic group comparisons in the association of T2D with cardiovascular remodeling were made by adding the interaction term between ethnicity and diabetes status to the model.

Results: A total of 131 individuals were included (54 South Asians [50.1 ± 8.7 years, 33% men, 33 patients vs. 21 controls] and 77 Europeans [58.8 ± 7.0 years, 56% men, 48 patients vs. 29 controls]). The ratio of the transmitral early and late peak filling rate (E/A) was lower in T2D patients compared with controls, in South Asians [−0.20 (−0.36; −0.03), P = 0.021] and Europeans [−0.20 (−0.36; −0.04), P = 0.017], whereas global longitudinal strain and aortic pulse wave velocity were similar. South Asian T2D patients had a higher LV mass [+22 g (15; 30), P < 0.001] (P for interaction by ethnicity = 0.005) with a lower extracellular volume fraction [−1.9% (−3.4; −0.4), P = 0.013] (P for interaction = 0.114), whilst European T2D patients had a higher myocardial triglyceride content [+0.59% (0.35; 0.84), P = 0.001] (P for interaction = 0.002) than their control group.

Conclusions: Diabetic cardiomyopathy was characterized by impaired LV diastolic function in South Asians and Europeans. Increased LV mass was solely observed among South Asian T2D patients, whereas differences in myocardial triglyceride content between T2D patients and controls were only present in the European cohort. The diabetic cardiomyopathy phenotype may differ between subsets of T2D patients, for example across ethnicities, and tailored strategies for T2D management may be required.
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Background
Type 2 diabetes (T2D), independent of other cardiovascular risk factors, is associated with an increased risk of heart failure [1]. South Asians, who represent 20% of the world’s population, are at particular risk of developing T2D [2, 3]. Individuals of South Asian descent appear to have a metabolically disadvantageous phenotype with a relatively high total body fat percentage [4, 5]. Also, the metabolic sensitivity to excess fat mass may be more pronounced among South Asians compared with other ethnic groups, as indicated by increased insulin resistance at similar adiposity levels [6, 7]. In individuals of South Asian descent, the release of adipose tissue metabolites may be disturbed [8]. Also, in South Asians in particular, excess fat mass may cause a state of chronic inflammation [9]. Importantly, the impact of T2D on cardiac function may be greater among South Asians compared with Europeans [10]. However, most previous studies on diabetic cardiomyopathy were performed in white populations or ethnicity was not reported [11–13]. Little is known regarding the differences in the diabetic cardiomyopathy phenotype across ethnicities, whereas increased insight into the ethnic-specific cardiovascular consequences may guide the development of tailored strategies for the management of T2D.

By using cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR), the impact of T2D on the left ventricle (LV) can be characterized on a functional, structural and myocardial tissue level. Strain echocardiography studies have shown that not merely LV diastolic function, which may be most susceptible to myocardial energy depletion, but also LV systolic function might be impaired [14]. The introduction of feature tracking has enabled the assessment of both longitudinal and circumferential strain based on standard cine images, which can be considered more sensitive measures of myocardial contractility compared with LV ejection fraction [15]. Myocardial diffuse fibrosis, as the result of hyperglycemia and systemic inflammation in T2D, may be an early hallmark of LV remodeling, preceding functional impairments [16]. Also, myocardial steatosis has been proposed as an important contributing factor to both structural and functional cardiac remodeling in patients with T2D [12–14]. In this study, we aimed to characterize the differences in LV diastolic and systolic function, LV structure and myocardial tissue characteristics and aortic stiffness between T2D patients and controls and to assess the differences in T2D-related cardiovascular remodeling between South Asians and Europeans.

Methods
Study population
This is a single-center, cross-sectional study. The data of the T2D patients of European and South Asian origin (i.e. Hindustani Surinamese, Indian, Pakistani, Bangladeshi or Sri Lankan) were derived from the baseline measurements of two previous randomized controlled trials (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01761318 [17] and NCT02660047 [18], respectively). In addition, healthy controls of European and South Asian descent in the same age range and with a similar sex distribution as compared with the T2D patients were prospectively enrolled. Ethnicity was based on self-identified origin and self-reported origin of both biological parents and their ancestors. Written informed consent was obtained prior to inclusion. The study complied with the revised Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board (Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands).

All T2D patients were obese [body mass index (BMI) \( \geq 25 \) kg/m\(^2\) for Europeans and \( \geq 23 \) kg/m\(^2\) for South Asians] and used metformin, sulfonylurea derivatives and/or insulin. Initially, the inclusion criteria for the European and South Asian study population were similar. However, due to the insufficient number of eligible T2D patients, the inclusion criteria for the South Asians were adjusted. For the European and South Asian T2D patients, respectively, age ranged from 18–70 and 18–75 years, glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) was \( \geq 52.5 \) and \(< 86.5 \) mmol/mol (\( \geq 7.0 \) and \(< 10.0\%) \) and \( \geq 47.5 \) and \(< 96.5 \) mmol/mol (\( \geq 6.5 \) and \(< 11.0\%), systolic and diastolic blood pressure was \(< 150/85 \) mmHg and \(< 180/110 \) mmHg, estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was \( > 60 \) mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\) and \( > 30 \) mL/min/1.73 m\(^2\), no history of significant coronary artery disease for the European T2D group (significant coronary artery disease was defined as: a history of coronary artery bypass grafting and/or percutaneous coronary intervention or significant coronary artery stenosis proven by coronary angiography or non-invasive imaging), and no acute coronary accident in the preceding 30 days for the South Asian T2D group. Main exclusion criteria were: any contra-indication for contrast-enhanced CMR and heart failure New York Heart Association class III–IV. All T2D patients were screened for abnormalities on rest echocardiography (ECG). For the present study, all T2D patients with significant coronary artery disease or valvular disease were excluded.

The healthy controls were recruited by advertisements in Leiden University Medical Center (Leiden, The Netherlands) and in local newspapers. Individuals aged 40–70 years without a history of cardiovascular disease, no medication use and no contra-indications for contrast-enhanced CMR were eligible for participation in the
healthy control group. Exclusion criteria were: prediabetes or diabetes [fasting glucose $\geq 6.1$ mmol/L, 2-h glucose after 75 g glucose $\geq 7.8$ mmol/L or HbA1c $\geq 39$ mmol/mol ($\geq 5.7\%$)], metabolic syndrome [$\geq 2$ of the following criteria: systolic and diastolic blood pressure blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mmHg; triglycerides $\geq 1.7$ mmol/L; HDL-cholesterol $<0.9$ mmol/L for men or $<1.0$ mmol/L for women; obesity (BMI $\geq 30$ kg/m$^2$) or abdominal obesity [waist/hip ratio: $>0.9$ for men or $>0.85$ for women or waist circumference: $\geq 102$ cm for men or $\geq 88$ cm for women (Europeans); $\geq 90$ cm for men or $\geq 80$ cm for women (South Asians)], abnormalities upon physical examination, laboratory assessment (blood count, liver and kidney function) or rest ECG.

Data collection
Study participants were included after a screening visit. Clinical and CMR examinations were scheduled either in the morning or evening, after an overnight or 6 h fast, respectively (for T2D patients, the insulin dose was adjusted and other glucose-lowering medication was temporarily discontinued). Smoking status was self-reported and was categorized as currently vs. previously or never. Blood pressure was measured in seated position on the right arm after rest, using a validated automatic oscillometric device (SureSigns VS3, Philips, Best, The Netherlands) and was the mean of two consecutive measurements. HbA1c was examined with ion-exchange high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; Tosoh G8, Sysmex Nederland B.V., Etten-Leur, The Netherlands). Lipid levels were assessed on a Modular P800 analyzer (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) with calculation of low-density lipoprotein (LDL)-cholesterol according to the Friedewald formula. The total body fat percentage was derived from bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA; Bodystat 1500, Bodystart Ltd., Douglas, United Kingdom).

CMR acquisition and analysis
CMR scans were acquired using a 3 Tesla MR scanner with a dStream Torso anterior coil and a FlexCover-age posterior coil, with up to 32 coil elements for signal reception (Ingenia, Philips, Best, The Netherlands).

LV systolic and diastolic function
LV function was examined on breath-hold ECG-triggered short-axis and 2-, 3- and 4-chamber long-axis cine balanced steady-state free precession [TE/TR 1.5/3.0 ms, FA 45°, FOV 350 × 350 mm$^2$ (4-chamber) and 400 × 352 mm$^2$ (short-axis), acquired voxel size 2.0 × 1.6 mm$^2$ (4-chamber) and 1.5 × 1.5 mm$^2$ (short-axis), slice thickness 8 mm, number of phases 30 (4-chamber) and 35 (short-axis)] and free-breathing ECG-gated whole-heart gradient-echo 4D velocity-encoded MR [venc 150 cm/s, TE/TR 4.6/9.0 ms, FA 10°, FOV 360 × 360 mm$^2$, acquired voxel size 3.0 × 3.0 mm$^2$, slice thickness 3 mm, number of slices 41, number of phases 30, sensitivity encoding (SENSE) factor 2].

LV systolic function parameters included LV ejection fraction measured on short-axis cines (MASS version 2015-EXP, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands) and global longitudinal and circumferential strain (GLS and GCS) derived from long-axis and short-axis cines using feature tracking (QStrain 2.0, Medis Suite 3.0, Medis medical imaging systems, Leiden, The Netherlands). LV contours were semi-automatically drawn in the short-axis images in the end-diastolic and end-systolic phase, to quantify the end-diastolic LV mass, LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes, LV stroke volume, LV ejection fraction, cardiac output and cardiac index. GLS was the average of the peak systolic strain on 2-, 3- and 4-chamber cines. GCS was the peak systolic strain in the mid-ventricular short-axis cines.

LV diastolic strain parameters included the global longitudinal early peak diastolic strain rate (GLSR-E) (average of the early peak diastolic strain rate in 2-, 3- and 4-chamber view) and the global circumferential early peak diastolic strain rate (GCSR-E) (the early peak diastolic strain rate in the mid-ventricular short-axis cines). LV diastolic function parameters derived from 4D velocity-encoded MR included the ratio of the transmitral early (E) and late (A) peak filling rate (E/A ratio), the peak deceleration slope of the E wave (E dec peak), the estimated LV filling pressure (the ratio of the transmitral early peak velocity and the early peak diastolic mitral septal tissue velocity (Ea) measured on 4-chamber cines) and the estimated LV compliance (the ratio of LV end-diastolic volume and the estimated LV filling pressure) [19]. The transmitral flow rate curves were constructed after
T1 mapping was acquired 20–25 min after administration of 0.15 mmol gadoterate meglumine (0.5 mmol/ 
kg) per kilogram of body weight. Because of ongoing optimization of the T1 mapping protocols throughout the study, native and post-contrast MOLLI acquisition schemes were adjusted (for the European T2D patients: 3b(3b)3b(3b)5b and 3b(3b)3b(3b)5b; for the European controls: 3b(3s)3b(3s)5b and 3b(3s)3b(3s)5b; for the South Asian T2D patients and controls: 5s(3s)3s and 4s(1s)3s(1s)2s, respectively). T1 relaxation times were measured in the mid-ventricular septum, after manual correction for motion (QMap 2.2.18, Medis Suite 3.0, Medis medical imaging systems, Leiden, The Netherlands).

### Aortic stiffness
Aortic stiffness was quantified by the aortic pulse wave velocity, which was derived from a double-oblique aorta scout view and two free-breathing 2D velocity-encoded MR scans at the level of the ascending and abdominal aorta (venc 200 cm/s and 150 cm/s, respectively; TE/ TR 2.5/4.4 ms, FA 20°, FOV 350×282 mm², slice thickness 8 mm, acquired voxel size 2.8×2.8 mm², temporal resolution 10 ms). The aortic pulse wave velocity was calculated by dividing the distance between ascending and abdominal aorta by the transit time of the systolic wave front (MASS version 2015-EXP, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, The Netherlands and in-house developed software) [22].

### Myocardial diffuse fibrosis
Myocardial diffuse fibrosis was quantified using native and post-contrast modified Look-Locker inversion recovery (MOLLI) T1 mapping, obtained in short-axis orientation at the mid-ventricular level (TE/TR 1.1/2.3 ms, FA 20°, FOV 350×300 mm², slice thickness 8 mm, acquired voxel size 2.1×2.1 mm², SENSE factor 2). Post-contrast T1 mapping was acquired 20–25 min after administration of 0.15 mmol gadoterate meglumine (0.5 mmol/mL Dotarem; Guerbet Villepinte, France) per kilogram

**Statistical analysis**
Clinical characteristics, adiposity and cardiovascular parameters were presented for the T2D and control group, for Europeans and South Asians separately, and were expressed as mean±SD, medians (interquartile ranges) or numbers (percentages). We assessed the differences in clinical characteristics and cardiovascular parameters between T2D patients and controls, for Europeans and South Asians, using the Student’s t-test or the Fisher’s exact test, and reported the differences in adiposity parameters as means (95% CI). Differences in cardiovascular parameters between T2D patients and controls, for South Asians and Europeans, were further examined using ANCOVA with adjustment for age, sex, systolic and diastolic blood pressure and smoking status (currently vs. never or formerly). Ethnic group comparisons in the association of T2D with cardiovascular remodeling were made by adding the interaction term between ethnicity and diabetes status to the model [10]. We assessed the normal distribution of the data visually. All statistical tests were two-sided and P<0.05 was considered significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 23 (IBM Corp, New York, United States).

**Results**
Clinical characteristics and adiposity parameters
In the present study, 48 of the 50 European T2D patients who participated in the trial NCT01761318 [17] were included (n=1 was excluded because of type 1 diabetes and n=1 was excluded because of missing CMR data due to claustrophobia). South Asian T2D patients with age>65 years who participated in the trial NCT02660047 [18] were excluded in this study, as we were unable to enroll South Asian healthy controls in this age category due to the high prevalence of cardiometabolic risk factors among older South Asian individuals. In the current study, 33 of the 47 South Asian T2D patients were included (n=6 were excluded because of age>65 years, subsequently n=6 were excluded due to significant
coronary artery disease and n=2 due to mitral valve insufficiency and/or stenosis on CMR).

In total, the present study comprised 131 individuals (n=81 patients with T2D and n=50 healthy controls). In the European cohort (n=77), 48 patients [mean±SD age: 59.5±6.6 years, diabetes duration: 10.7±6.2 years, 28 (58%) men] and 29 controls [mean±SD age: 57.6±7.8 years, 15 (52%) men] were included. The South Asian study population (n=54) consisted of 33 patients [51.3±9.0 years, diabetes duration: 15.1±9.4 years, 12 (36%) men] and 21 controls [48.3±8.1 years, 6 (29%) men]. Baseline characteristics are presented in Table 1. Whereas the T2D and control groups were similar according to age and sex distribution for both the South Asian and European cohort, the South Asian compared with the European study population was younger (50.1±8.7 vs. 58.8±7.0 years, P<0.001) and consisted of more women [18/54 (33%) vs. 43/77 (56%) men, P=0.013]. The European and South Asian control groups were similar regarding smoking status (P=0.297), systolic and diastolic blood pressure (P=0.467 and 0.973, respectively), triglycerides (P=0.582), total cholesterol (P=0.285), LDL-cholesterol (P=0.848) and HbA1c (P=0.925), except that high-density lipoprotein (HDL)-cholesterol was lower among the South Asians (P=0.010). For the South Asian as compared with the European T2D patients, diabetes duration was longer (P=0.012), the daily insulin dose tended to be higher (P=0.056), the urinary albumin/creatinine ratio was higher (P=0.045) and total cholesterol was lower (P=0.046), whereas HbA1c was similar (P=0.801).

In both the European and South Asian populations, obesity and adipose tissue parameters, blood pressure, cholesterol and glycemic levels were higher in the T2D compared with the control group (Table 1). Differences in obesity and adipose tissue parameters between the T2D and control group in the European and South Asian population were 7.8 kg/m² (6.1; 9.5) and 6.2 kg/m² (4.1; 8.3) for BMI, 24 cm (20; 28) and 19 cm (14; 24) for waist circumference, 0.15 (0.11; 0.18) and 0.10 (0.05; 0.14) for waist-hip ratio, 9.8% (5.8; 13.8) and 5.0% (0.3; 9.7) for total body fat percentage, 146 cm² (96; 197) and 96 cm² (28; 164) for abdominal SAT and 131 cm² (102; 160) and 79 cm² (55; 102) for VAT, respectively.

**Discussion**

In both European and South Asians, the E/A ratio was lower in relation to T2D, whereas global systolic and diastolic strain parameters and aortic pulse wave velocity were similar in the T2D patients and controls in multivariable analysis. Furthermore, South Asian T2D patients had a higher LV mass with a lower extracellular volume fraction, whilst European T2D patients had a higher myocardial triglyceride content than their control group.

**LV functional and structural remodeling in T2D**

In our study, in both the European and South Asian population, T2D was associated with reduced LV diastolic function and increased LV concentricity. LV diastolic...
function in T2D may be impaired due to disturbances in myocardial substrate utilization and myocardial energetics [11, 27]. More recently, the pro-inflammatory state in T2D has been proposed as an important contributing factor to the increased diastolic LV stiffness [28]. LV diastolic function may be most susceptible to energy shortage [29], whereas abnormalities in LV systolic function may develop once myocardial energetics are substantially impaired.

### Table 1 Clinical characteristics and adiposity parameters

|                        | Europeans T2D (n = 48) | Controls (n = 29) | South Asians T2D (n = 33) | Controls (n = 21) |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| Age, years             | 59.5 ± 6.6             | 57.6 ± 7.8        | 51.3 ± 9.0                | 48.3 ± 8.1        |
| Men, no. (%)           | 28 (58%)               | 15 (52%)          | 12 (36%)                  | 6 (29%)           |
| Current smoker, no. (%)| 9 (19%)                | 1 (3%)            | 5 (15%)                   | 3 (14%)           |
| BSA, m²                | 2.1 ± 0.2*             | 1.9 ± 0.2         | 1.9 ± 0.2*                | 1.7 ± 0.2         |
| BMI, kg/m²             | 32 ± 4*                | 24 ± 3            | 30 ± 4*                   | 24 ± 3            |
| Waist circumference, cm| 110 ± 9*               | 87 ± 9            | 101 ± 10*                 | 82 ± 7            |
| Men                    | 109 ± 8                | 91 ± 7            | 103 ± 8                   | 86 ± 8            |
| Women                  | 112 ± 10               | 82 ± 9            | 100 ± 11                  | 81 ± 7            |
| Waist-hip ratio        | 1.03 ± 0.07*           | 0.88 ± 0.08       | 0.96 ± 0.09*              | 0.86 ± 0.07       |
| Men                    | 1.05 ± 0.06            | 0.93 ± 0.04       | 1.00 ± 0.08               | 0.93 ± 0.05       |
| Women                  | 1.00 ± 0.07            | 0.83 ± 0.07       | 0.94 ± 0.08               | 0.84 ± 0.07       |
| Systolic blood pressure, mmHg | 141 ± 15*             | 126 ± 12          | 141 ± 21*                 | 124 ± 14          |
| Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg | 87 ± 9*              | 80 ± 8            | 85 ± 11                   | 80 ± 12           |
| Heart rate, beats/min  | 71 ± 12*               | 59 ± 9            | 69 ± 12*                  | 61 ± 8            |
| Triglycerides, mmol/L  | 2.2 ± 1.3*             | 1.0 ± 0.4         | 1.9 ± 1.5*                | 0.9 ± 0.3         |
| Total cholesterol, mmol/L| 4.8 ± 1.0*            | 5.7 ± 1.1         | 4.4 ± 1.0*                | 5.4 ± 0.8         |
| HDL-cholesterol, mmol/L| 1.3 ± 0.3*             | 1.9 ± 0.5         | 1.2 ± 0.3*                | 1.6 ± 0.3         |
| LDL-cholesterol, mmol/L| 2.6 ± 0.9*             | 3.3 ± 1.0         | 2.2 ± 0.9*                | 3.4 ± 0.7         |
| Glycated hemoglobin, mmol/mol | 65.5 ± 10.8*       | 35.5 ± 2.7        | 66.2 ± 11.3*              | 35.5 ± 2.4        |
| Serum creatinine, μmol/L| 70 ± 18               | 76 ± 14           | 67 ± 17                   | 73 ± 18           |
| Urinary albumin/creatinine ratio, mg/mmol | 0.7 (0.0; 2.7) | – | 1.9 (0.5; 6.4) | – |
| Microalbuminuria, no. (%)| 9 (19%)              | – | 10 (30%)                  | – |
| Macroalbuminuria, no. (%)| 1 (2%)               | – | 3 (9%)                    | – |
| Diabetes duration, years | 10.7 ± 6.2         | – | 15.1 ± 9.4                | – |
| Metformin, no. (%)     | 48 (100%)              | – | 32 (97%)                  | – |
| Insulin, no. (%)       | 31 (65%)               | – | 23 (70%)                  | – |
| Insulin dose, units/day| 4.4 (32; 94)           | – | 78 (45; 108)              | – |
| Lipid lowering drugs, no. (%) | 39 (81%)            | – | 25 (76%)                  | – |
| Antihypertensive drugs, no. (%) | 37 (77%)        | – | 20 (61%)                  | – |
| ACE-inhibitors, no. (%)| 17 (35%)               | – | 8 (24%)                   | – |
| Total body fat, %      | 36.7 ± 9.3*            | 26.9 ± 7.3        | 37.3 ± 9.1*               | 32.3 ± 7.1        |
| Men                    | 29.7 ± 3.6             | 21.9 ± 3.1        | 27.3 ± 4.9                | 23.3 ± 4.2        |
| Women                  | 46.4 ± 5.0             | 32.2 ± 6.7        | 42.5 ± 5.7                | 36.0 ± 4.0        |
| Abdominal SAT, cm²     | 346 ± 125*             | 200 ± 69          | 344 ± 128*                | 248 ± 109         |
| Men                    | 277 ± 93               | 173 ± 55          | 309 ± 109                 | 204 ± 109         |
| Women                  | 442 ± 97               | 228 ± 73          | 364 ± 136                 | 265 ± 107         |
| VAT, cm²               | 207 ± 75*              | 76 ± 34           | 152 ± 48*                 | 73 ± 30           |
| Men                    | 214 ± 63               | 89 ± 31           | 158 ± 49                  | 94 ± 19           |
| Women                  | 197 ± 89               | 62 ± 32           | 148 ± 48                  | 65 ± 29           |

Mean ± SD, medians (interquartile range) or numbers (percentages) are presented. *P < 0.05 vs. controls. Microalbuminuria and macroalbuminuria: urinary albumin/creatinine ratio (ACR) 3–30 mg/mmol and > 30 mg/mmol, respectively. Missing values: n = 1 for total body fat in the South Asian T2D group.

ACE angiotensin-converting enzyme, BMI body mass index, BSA body surface area, HDL and LDL high-density and low-density lipoprotein, VAT and SAT visceral and subcutaneous adipose tissue.
Notably, several studies have indicated that oxidative stress due to hyperglycemia and vascular disturbed. Furthermore, in our study, T2D was not associated with an increase in aortic stiffness, although oxidative stress was related to impairments in aortic function [30, 31]. Possibly, aortic stiffening, similar as LV systolic dysfunction, may arise in more advanced T2D. The prevalence of diastolic dysfunction in asymptomatic T2D patients has been reported to be at least 50% [32]. Notably, several studies have indicated that GLS, in addition to diastolic function parameters, may be impaired in T2D patients [14, 33–35]. Also, abnormalities in GLS have been demonstrated even in T2D patients with normal diastolic function and, in this regard, some argue that reduced LV longitudinal contractility rather than diastolic dysfunction should be regarded as the first marker of diabetic cardiomyopathy [36]. Nonetheless, in multivariable analysis, we did not observe a reduction in GLS in T2D patients as compared with controls, neither in the European nor in the South Asian population. Importantly, the increased LV concentricity in European T2D patients was due to a reduction in LV end-diastolic volume, whereas the higher LV concentricity

### Table 2 Cardiovascular parameters in Europeans

| Parameter                        | T2D (n = 48) | Controls (n = 29) |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| LV diastolic function            |              |                 |
| E, mL/s                          | 326 ± 97     | 352 ± 55        |
| A, mL/s                          | 366 ± 71*    | 301 ± 75        |
| E/A ratio                        | 0.93 ± 0.38* | 1.24 ± 0.36     |
| E dec peak, mL/s² × 10⁻³         | 2.7 ± 1.0*   | 3.2 ± 0.7       |
| Ea, cm/s                         | 5.9 ± 1.7*   | 7.7 ± 1.9       |
| Estimate of LV filling pressure, mmHg | 7.6 ± 2.6* | 5.1 ± 1.7       |
| Estimate of LV compliance, mL/mmHg | 21.3 ± 9.4* | 33.4 ± 11.2     |
| GLSR-E, 1/s                      | 0.78 ± 0.23  | 0.87 ± 0.28     |
| GCSR-E, 1/s                      | 1.15 ± 0.37  | 1.22 ± 0.37     |
| LV systolic function             |              |                 |
| Ejection fraction, %             | 55 ± 5       | 56 ± 6          |
| GLS, %                           | −19.3 ± 2.7* | −21.1 ± 3.3     |
| GCS, %                           | −26.1 ± 4.5  | −26.5 ± 3.9     |
| Hemodynamics                     |              |                 |
| Stroke volume, mL                | 78 ± 17*     | 88 ± 17         |
| Cardiac output, L/min            | 5.4 ± 1.0    | 5.2 ± 1.1       |
| Cardiac index, L/min/m²          | 2.5 ± 0.4*   | 2.7 ± 0.5       |
| Aortic stiffness                 |              |                 |
| Aortic pulse wave velocity, m/s  | 8.5 ± 2.2*   | 7.5 ± 1.5       |
| LV structure                     |              |                 |
| End-diastolic volume, mL         | 142 ± 29*    | 156 ± 26        |
| Mass, g                          | 107 ± 23     | 96 ± 24         |
| Concentricity, g/mL             | 0.76 ± 0.12* | 0.61 ± 0.09     |
| Myocardial tissue characteristics|              |                 |
| Myocardial Tg content, %         | 1.19 ± 0.53* | 0.58 ± 0.18     |
| Native T1, ms                    | 1197 ± 44*   | 1230 ± 28       |
| Extracellular volume fraction, % | 26.3 ± 2.5   | 26.9 ± 2.7      |
| Extracellular volume, mL         | 27 ± 6       | 24 ± 5          |
| Cell volume, mL                  | 75 ± 18      | 67 ± 18         |

Mean ± SD. * P < 0.05 vs. controls. Missing values in the T2D group: n = 1 for all flow-derived LV diastolic function parameters, n = 3 for E dec peak, n = 1 GLSR-E, n = 1 aortic pulse wave velocity, n = 1 myocardial Tg content, n = 4 native T1, n = 5 extracellular volume, cell volume, fibrosis volume; in the control group: n = 1 for all flow-derived LV diastolic function parameters

### Table 3 Cardiovascular parameters in South Asians

| Parameter                        | T2D (n = 33) | Controls (n = 21) |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| LV diastolic function            |              |                 |
| E, mL/s                          | 339 ± 109    | 333 ± 67        |
| A, mL/s                          | 302 ± 64*    | 242 ± 54        |
| E/A ratio                        | 1.15 ± 0.37* | 1.42 ± 0.36     |
| E dec peak, mL/s² × 10⁻³         | 2.9 ± 1.2    | 3.2 ± 0.7       |
| Ea, cm/s                         | 5.9 ± 2.0    | 6.8 ± 1.9       |
| Estimate of LV filling pressure, mmHg | 6.9 ± 3.0* | 5.0 ± 1.6       |
| Estimate of LV compliance, mL/mmHg | 20.5 ± 9.0 | 24.0 ± 7.7      |
| GLSR-E, 1/s                      | 0.88 ± 0.22  | 0.98 ± 0.16     |
| GCSR-E, 1/s                      | 1.32 ± 0.34  | 1.31 ± 0.24     |
| LV systolic function             |              |                 |
| Ejection fraction, %             | 58 ± 6       | 60 ± 5          |
| GLS, %                           | −20.4 ± 2.8  | −21.7 ± 1.7     |
| GCS, %                           | −27.6 ± 4.3  | −27.6 ± 3.4     |
| Hemodynamics                     |              |                 |
| Stroke volume, mL                | 70 ± 14      | 66 ± 12         |
| Cardiac output, L/min            | 4.8 ± 1.0*   | 4.0 ± 0.7       |
| Cardiac index, L/min/m²          | 2.5 ± 0.4    | 2.3 ± 0.3       |
| Aortic stiffness                 |              |                 |
| Aortic pulse wave velocity, m/s  | 7.9 ± 2.0*   | 6.5 ± 1.1       |
| LV structure                     |              |                 |
| End-diastolic volume, mL         | 123 ± 25     | 110 ± 19        |
| Mass, g                          | 93 ± 20*     | 66 ± 15         |
| Concentricity, g/mL             | 0.77 ± 0.14* | 0.60 ± 0.10     |
| Myocardial tissue characteristics|              |                 |
| Myocardial Tg content, %         | 0.93 ± 0.54  | 0.84 ± 0.43     |
| Native T1, ms                    | 1255 ± 37    | 1263 ± 42       |
| Extracellular volume fraction, % | 26.2 ± 3.0*  | 28.2 ± 2.6      |
| Extracellular volume, mL         | 23 ± 5*      | 18 ± 4          |
| Cell volume, mL                  | 66 ± 16*     | 45 ± 11         |

Mean ± SD. * P < 0.05 vs. controls. Missing values in the T2D group: n = 1 native T1 and extracellular volume, cell volume, fibrosis volume; in the control group: n = 1 for myocardial Tg content. For abbreviations see Table 2

Eo early peak diastolic mitral septal tissue velocity, E and A transmitral early and late peak filling rate, E dec peak deceleration slope of E, GLS and GLSR-E global longitudinal strain and early peak diastolic strain rate, GCS and GCSR-E global circumferential strain and early peak diastolic strain rate, LV left ventricular, Tg triglyceride
among South Asian T2D patients was related to an increase in LV mass. These observations imply that the higher LV concentricity in T2D may be the result of impaired LV diastolic function (with therefore incomplete LV relaxation, reduced LV filling and lower LV end-diastolic volumes) and/or LV hypertrophic remodeling [37]. In the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA) study, the relationships of T2D and impaired fasting glucose to LV mass, end-diastolic volume and stroke volume differed between white, black, Hispanic and Chinese individuals [38]. Similar to our study, the MESA study reported a lower LV end-diastolic volume in white T2D patients, whereas an increased LV mass in relation to T2D, after adjustment for demographic and anthropomorphic factors, was solely observed in the black and Hispanic groups [38]. Notably, it has been suggested that LV hypertrophy in T2D may be partly due to excessive sympathetic activity, driven by insulin resistance [39]. In this regard, we speculate that LV mass was increased in South Asian but not in European T2D patients, in part because of the slightly higher degree of insulin resistance. Furthermore, population studies have shown that South Asian individuals are susceptible of developing T2D at younger age than other ethnic groups [40]. Accordingly, in our study, the diabetes duration was longer for the South Asian compared with the European population. The difference in diabetes duration may have contributed to the increased LV mass as observed in the South Asian but not in the European T2D patients.

A previous community-based study reported that T2D may be more detrimental for cardiac function among South Asians compared with other ethnic groups [10]. Nevertheless, in our study, the degree of LV diastolic dysfunction seemed to be slightly higher for the European than for the South Asian T2D patients, as indicated by the lower Ea and LV compliance and significantly higher LV filling pressure in relation to T2D in the European but not in the South Asian cohort. Obesity as well as T2D has been shown to be associated with LV diastolic dysfunction [41, 42]. The relatively high degree of adiposity in the European T2D patients in comparison with their controls may have accounted for the slightly higher degree of LV diastolic dysfunction than in the South Asian T2D patients.

![Cardiovascular parameters (boxplots depicting median, interquartile ranges and outliers) in European T2D patients (n = 48) and controls (n = 29) (*P < 0.05). In European T2D patients, the E/A ratio was lower than in the controls, LV concentricity was higher in parallel with a lower LV end-diastolic volume, and the myocardial triglyceride content was higher. E/A ratio of the transmural early and late peak filling rate, ECV extracellular volume, LV left ventricle, Tg triglyceride

Fig. 1 Cardiovascular parameters (boxplots depicting median, interquartile ranges and outliers) in European T2D patients (n = 48) and controls (n = 29) (*P < 0.05). In European T2D patients, the E/A ratio was lower than in the controls, LV concentricity was higher in parallel with a lower LV end-diastolic volume, and the myocardial triglyceride content was higher. E/A ratio of the transmural early and late peak filling rate, ECV extracellular volume, LV left ventricle, Tg triglyceride
Role of myocardial steatosis in diabetic cardiomyopathy

Both in imaging studies in T2D patients and in histological studies in diabetic mice, T2D has been demonstrated to be associated with myocardial steatosis [13, 43–45]. Myocardial lipid overstorage is presumed to induce LV diastolic impairments due to the lipotoxic effects of intermediates such as diacylglycerol and ceramide [44–46]. Also, ectopic fat accumulation in the myocardium may reflect altered substrate utilization due to insulin resistance, with an increase in fatty acid oxidation [11, 27].

In keeping with previous findings, we observed a two-fold increase in myocardial triglyceride content in T2D patients as compared with healthy controls within the European cohort [13]. Interestingly, the myocardial triglyceride content seemed to be increased in the healthy controls of South Asian descent compared with those of European origin, to similar levels as in the T2D patients, despite the strict screening for both T2D and prediabetes. Myocardial triglyceride content in South Asians as compared with Europeans has been previously assessed in young men and middle-aged overweight men, where no differences were found despite the observed higher systemic insulin resistance in those studies [47, 48].

Presumably, myocardial and systemic insulin sensitivity may not be necessarily interrelated. Accordingly, in a previous study on the relation between myocardial triglyceride content and LV diastolic function in healthy controls, increasing age, but not whole-body insulin resistance, correlated with myocardial steatosis and reduced LV diastolic function [49]. Also, it has to be noted that myocardial triglyceride content in non-diabetic individuals reflects the rate of fatty acid uptake as well as oxidation, and may therefore be dependent on many other factors in addition to myocardial insulin sensitivity, for example the amount of circulating fatty acids, dietary carbohydrate intake, plasma glucose availability and muscular glycogen stores [50]. Although our data reaffirm the potential role of myocardial steatosis in diabetic cardiomyopathy, our results suggest that myocardial triglyceride accumulation may be present in non-diabetic individuals as well as T2D patients.

Role of diffuse fibrosis in diabetic cardiomyopathy

Previously, myocardial diffuse fibrosis as indicated by an increased extracellular volume fraction has been demonstrated in obese adolescents with or without
T2D, independent of blood pressure [16]. Interestingly, in a recent population-based study, the extracellular volume fraction was found to be reduced in T2D patients [51]. It has been suggested that antihypertensive medication, especially angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, may regress myocardial fibrotic remodeling in response to hypertension [52]. Our South Asian but not European T2D study population had an increased LV mass, paralleled by a reduced extracellular volume fraction. A majority of the patients were prescribed antihypertensive drugs, with almost halve of them using ACE-inhibitors. This may explain the considerable increase in myocardial cell volume in response to increased LV afterload, with only a slight increase of the LV extracellular volume. Although myocardial diffuse fibrosis may contribute to LV functional impairments in the early stages of T2D, 

**Fig. 3** Diabetic cardiomyopathy phenotype in Europeans and South Asians. a Impaired LV diastolic function, as indicated by a lower ratio of the transmural early and late peak filling rate (E/A) was identified as a common characteristic of diabetic cardiomyopathy in Europeans and South Asians. The E/A ratio was measured using 4D velocity-encoded MR after retrospective mitral valve tracking and through-plane motion correction (left image). An example of the transmural flow rate curve in a T2D patient (South Asian 62-year-old woman with E/A: 0.95) and control (South Asian 57-year-old women with E/A: 1.25) is provided (right images). b In South Asian but not in European T2D patients the LV mass, measured on short-axis cine (upper image), was higher than in the control group and the extracellular volume fraction, measured in the mid-ventricular septum using T1 mapping (lower image), was decreased. In the presented South Asian T2D patient, LV mass was 97 g and extracellular volume fraction was 27%. c Among Europeans but not among South Asians the myocardial triglyceride content was different for T2D patients compared with controls. An example of cardiac proton-magnetic resonance spectroscopy (1H-MRS) in a 45-year-old woman with T2D (left image) and in a 48-year-old non-diabetic woman (right image) of European descent is provided (myocardial triglyceride content (MTGC): 1.24% and 0.60%, respectively)
our results suggest that the extracellular volume fraction may not be necessarily increased in patients with longstanding T2D with concomitant antihypertensive medication use.

Limitations
Due to the cross-sectional design of our study, we cannot address issues of causality in the association of T2D with cardiovascular parameters. Our work should be considered a hypothesis-generating study and larger prospective studies are required to confirm the differential impact of T2D on LV structure and myocardial tissue characteristics between Europeans and South Asians. Due to the relatively low sample size, we could not perform subgroup analyses, for example by sex. It has been recognized that T2D in women may be more detrimental for cardiac function as compared with men [53]. The South Asian as compared with the European study population was younger and, importantly, consisted of more women. Possibly, this may have introduced bias. Furthermore, there is a well-established relation between insulin resistance and high blood pressure [54, 55], which impedes the recruitment of T2D patients without hypertension. In this regard, to assess the relation of T2D to cardiovascular remodeling separately from hypertension, we adjusted for systolic and diastolic blood pressure; nonetheless, we cannot exclude residual confounding. Ideally, diabetic cardiomyopathy is studied in the absolute absence of coronary artery disease. However, a cardiac stress test was not part of our screening procedure. Furthermore, findings of previous studies indicate that several coexisting factors affect myocardial remodeling in individuals with T2D. For example, it has been demonstrated that cardiac dysfunction is worse in obese T2D patients than in individuals with T2D but without overweight [56]. Also, nutrition may interfere with the process of myocardial inflammation and fibrosis in T2D [57]. Therefore, differences in body weight and potential differences in diet between the T2D patients and controls may have partly confounded the observed relation of T2D to myocardial remodeling.

---

**Table 4  Association between T2D and cardiovascular parameters**

|                         | Adjusted mean difference (95% CI) between T2D patients and controls | P value for interaction by ethnicity |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
|                         | Europeans (n = 77)                                                  | South Asians (n = 54)              |
| LV diastolic function   |                                                                     |                                     |
| E/A                     | −0.20 (−0.36, −0.04)                                                | −0.20 (−0.36, −0.03)               | 0.480 |
| E dec peak, mL/s^2 × 10^-3 | 0.35 (−0.13, 0.83)                                                  | −0.03 (−0.54, 0.49)                | 0.576 |
| Ea, cm/s                | −1.1 (−1.9, −0.4)                                                   | −0.4 (−1.4, 0.6)                   | 0.095 |
| LV filling pressure, mmHg| 2.3 (1.0, 3.6)                                                       | 1.0 (−0.4, 2.4)                    | 0.300 |
| LV compliance, mL/mmHg  | −12.9 (−18.6, −7.2)                                                 | −15 (−6.1, 3.1)                    | 0.008 |
| LV systolic function    |                                                                     |                                     |
| GLS, %                  | 0.6 (−0.9, 2.1)                                                     | 1.3 (−0.2, 2.8)                    | 0.708 |
| Hemodynamics            |                                                                     |                                     |
| Stroke volume, mL       | −10 (−19, −1)                                                       | 3 (−4, 11)                         | 0.007 |
| Cardiac output, L/min   | 0.3 (−0.3, 0.8)                                                     | 0.8 (0.3, 1.3)                     | 0.067 |
| Cardiac index, L/min/m² | −0.2 (−0.4, 0.0)                                                    | 0.1 (−0.1, 0.3)                    | 0.012 |
| Aortic stiffness        |                                                                     |                                     |
| Aortic pulse wave velocity, m/s | 0.2 (−0.8, 1.2)                               | 0.6 (−0.2, 1.4)                   | 0.761 |
| LV structure            |                                                                     |                                     |
| End-diastolic volume, mL| −20 (−34, −7)                                                       | 11 (0, 22)                         | 0.001 |
| Mass, g                 | −1 (−11, 8)                                                         | 22 (15, 30)                        | 0.005 |
| Concentricity, g/mL     | 0.10 (0.04, 0.15)                                                   | 0.14 (0.07, 0.21)                  | 0.574 |
| Myocardial tissue characteristcs |                                     |                                     |
| Myocardial Tg content, % | 0.59 (0.35, 0.84)                                                   | 0.10 (−0.20, 0.41)                 | 0.002 |
| Native T1, ms           | −25 (−47, −3)                                                       | −6 (−31, 18)                       | 0.078 |
| Extracellular volume fraction, % | 1.0 (−0.3, 2.2)                      | −1.9 (−3.4, −0.4)                  | 0.114 |
| Extracellular volume, mL | −1 (−2, 4)                                                          | 4 (2, 7)                           | 0.071 |
| Cell volume, mL         | −3 (−10, 4)                                                         | 17 (12, 23)                        | 0.003 |

Adjusted for age, sex, ethnicity, smoking status (currently vs. never or previously), systolic and diastolic blood pressure. P value for the interaction by ethnicity in the association between diabetes status and each cardiovascular parameter. For details on missing values see Table 2 and 3. For abbreviations see Table 2.
Conclusions
LV diastolic dysfunction was identified as a common characteristic of diabetic cardiomyopathy among South Asians and Europeans. Importantly, increased LV mass was solely observed among South Asian T2D patients, whereas differences in myocardial triglyceride content between T2D patients and controls were only present in the European cohort. This study comprised detailed phenotyping of cardiovascular remodeling in T2D patients, in both South Asians and Europeans. Our results suggest that the diabetic cardiomyopathy phenotype may differ between subsets of T2D patients, for example across ethnicities. Therefore, further research on tailored strategies for T2D management may be warranted.
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