Morphological and Elemental Investigations on Co–Fe–B–O Thin Films Deposited by Pulsed Laser Deposition for Alkaline Water Oxidation: Charge Exchange Efficiency as the Prevailing Factor in Comparison with the Adsorption Process

Y. Popat · M. Orlandi · S. Gupta · N. Bazzanella · S. Pillai · M. K. Patel · A. Miotello · N. Patel

Received: 17 December 2020 / Accepted: 25 April 2021 / Published online: 8 May 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract
Mixed transition-metals oxide electrocatalysts have shown huge potential for electrochemical water oxidation due to their earth abundance, low cost and excellent electrocatalytic activity. Here we present Co–Fe–B–O coatings as oxygen evolution catalyst synthesized by Pulsed Laser Deposition (PLD) which provided flexibility to investigate the effect of morphology and structural transformation on the catalytic activity. As an unusual behaviour, nanomorphology of 3D-urchin-like particles assembled with crystallized CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanowires, acquiring high surface area, displayed inferior performance as compared to core–shell particles with partially crystalline shell containing boron. The best electrochemical activity towards water oxidation in alkaline medium with an overpotential of 315 mV at 10 mA/cm$^2$ along with a Tafel slope of 31.5 mV/dec was recorded with core–shell particle morphology. Systematic comparison with control samples highlighted the role of all the elements, with Co being the active element, boron prevents the complete oxidation of Co to form Co$^{3+}$ active species (CoOOH), while Fe assists in reducing Co$^{3+}$ to Co$^{2+}$ so that these species are regenerated in the successive cycles. Thorough observation of results also indicates that the activity of the active sites play a dominating role in determining the performance of the electrocatalyst over the number of adsorption sites. The synthesized Co–Fe–B–O coatings displayed good stability and recyclability thereby showcasing potential for industrial applications.
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1 Introduction

The rapid industrialization coupled with large increase of population forces us to think seriously and urgently about the problem of energy and related sources of the planet. Hence, it is important to look for alternate renewable energy carriers like hydrogen to sustain the current as well as future energy needs. Currently, hydrogen production occurs mainly through steam reforming of natural gas and coal gasification, leading to high emission of greenhouse gases along with its fossil fuel dependence [1]. Electrochemical water splitting has been considered as one of the promising techniques for sustainable production of hydrogen (H₂) and oxygen (O₂) owing to simple nature of the process along with no harmful gas emission and high conversion efficiency [2–6]. Oxygen Evolution Reaction (OER), one of the half-reactions in water-splitting, is more complex and usually requires a large overpotential to overcome the kinetic barrier associated with the exchange of four electrons and thus shows very slow kinetics [7]. Hence, electrocatalysts are required in this process to reduce the overpotential and improve the efficiency of the process. Metal oxides are generally more stable for water oxidation reactions compared to metals due to their resistance in getting oxidized even further [8]. Iridium oxide (IrO₂) and ruthenium oxide (RuO₂) catalysts are reported to be some of the best OER electrocatalysts, owing to their performance and stability in PEM electrolyzers [9]. However, they belong to the rare inert group of catalysts and are scarcely available on earth [10]. These catalysts are also comparatively less stable in alkaline medium, limiting their use in alkaline water electrolyzers. Hence, efforts have been made to synthesize electrocatalysts which are abundant and made up of cheap scalable materials like Ni, Fe and Co [11–14]. Transition metal oxides of Fe and Co are extensively studied for photocatalytic [15, 16] and electrocatalytic [17, 18] water oxidation respectively, due to their earth abundance, low cost and stability in alkaline solutions. Fe₂O₃ and its composites have been investigated as photoanodes demonstrating promising results in photo-electrochemical water splitting [19–24]. On the other hand, Co₃O₄ and Co oxide nanostructures have exhibited efficient activity as Oxygen Evolution Catalysts (OECs) in electrochemical water splitting [10, 25, 26]. Cobalt oxide catalysts are generally quite active for OER in the alkaline medium [27–29]. Owing to the advancements in the electrochemical studies on cobalt and iron based oxides, research progressed towards electrochemical water splitting using mixed oxide OEC’s of cobalt and iron [30–32]. The electrocatalysts, however, were synthesized in the powder form thus limiting their industrial application. Thus, it is essential to explore the possibility of using mixed metal oxide catalyst in form of coatings or films with nanostructured morphology.

Pulsed Laser Deposition (PLD) is an effective technique for fabrication of catalysts coatings due to the possibility to tune various deposition parameters like ambient gas and related pressure, energy fluence, laser wavelength, etc. to obtain distinct nanostructured morphologies on several kinds of substrates [33–35]. Various metal oxide catalysts have been synthesized by PLD as water oxidation catalyst (WOC) [21, 36, 37]. 3D hierarchical nanostructured coatings of Co₃O₄ and iron oxides synthesized with boron by using boric acid as a source of boron in the initial target mixture, displayed excellent photocatalytic [38, 39] performance. Similarly prepared CoFe₂O₄/CoO hierarchical-type nanostructured coating synthesized with boron exhibited excellent photocatalytic activity towards degradation of methylene blue dye [40]. Boron was also introduced in synthesis of mixed metal oxide/boride electrocatalysts towards OER displaying enhanced electrochemical performance [41, 42].

In view of the enhanced photocatalytic and electrocatalytic performance seen by inclusion of boron, primarily in the outer shell of the nanoparticles, it would be interesting to perform in-depth study of its effect on the electrochemical performance of the films. In this paper, we synthesize Co–Fe–B–O coatings on Fluorine Tin Oxide (FTO) substrates as a WOC for OER. The coatings were synthesized by PLD with targets comprising of a mixture of metallic Fe, Co and boric acid (H₃BO₃). The synthesis protocol is adopted from our past work [40]. A systematic morphological and compositional characterization was carried out in order to investigate the role of number of active sites and the activity of each site on the electrochemical performance of the electrocatalyst. The mixed metal oxide coating exhibited good electrocatalytic activity with an overpotential of 315 mV at 10 mA/cm² current density along with a low Tafel slope of 31.5 mV/dec. The coatings also displayed high stability and recyclability in alkaline medium with almost no deterioration. The mechanistic role of all the elements in the coating was studied in detail and discussed.

2 Experimental

2.1 Synthesis

A mixture of Fe metallic, Co metallic and boric acid (H₃BO₃) powders mixed in molar ratios of 1:1:1 was compressed in the form of a disc to be used as a target for the deposition of CoFeOx coatings by PLD. A KrF excimer laser (Lambda Physik) with an operating wavelength of 248 nm, pulse duration of 25 ns, and repetition rate of 20 Hz was used for deposition. The fluence of the laser was always maintained at 3 J/cm². Details of PLD apparatus and
the mechanisms involved in the laser matter interactions are presented in our past reports [43, 44]. Before the depositions, the PLD chamber was evacuated up to a base pressure of 10−6 mbar. Deposition of the coatings were carried out in an Argon atmosphere at a pressure of 1.5 × 10−2 mbar. The target to substrate distance was fixed at 4.5 cm with the substrate positioned parallel to the target. The coatings were deposited on FTO coated glass, borosilicate glass and Silicon wafer at room temperature and the number of pulses used for deposition were 10,000. Following the depositions, thermal annealing were carried out in air at 200 °C, 400 °C and 600 °C for 5 h with a heating rate of 5 °C/min.

2.2 Characterization

Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM-FEG, JSM 7001F, JEOL) operating at 20 keV electron beam energy fitted with energy dispersive spectroscopy analysis (EDS, INCA PentaFET-x3) tested the surface morphologies of all samples prepared by PLD. A JEOL 2100F Cs-corrected analytical FEG TEM with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV fitted with an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (EDAX) performed the transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and high resolution TEM (HR-TEM) analyses. Images were analysed using Gatan’s Optical Micrograph program. Holey-carbon film copper grids (300 mesh) were used as substrates to prepare samples for TEM analysis. Using X-Ray Diffractometer (XRD, Rigaku Miniflex) with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5414 Å) in 2θ–θ configuration, step size of 0.05° and a scan rate of 1°/min, structural characterization of the coatings were carried out. PHI 5000 Versa II instrument equipped with a monochromatic Al Kα (1486.6 eV) X-ray source and a hemi-spherical analyser performed X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) to analyse the surface composition and chemical states of each element present in the sample analysed. To perform the XPS study, adequate electrical charge compensation was required.

2.3 Electrochemical Measurements

Electrochemical measurements were performed using a Gamry potentiostat/Galvanostat/ZRA interface 1000 in a three-electrode cell composed of Saturated Calomel Electrode (SCE, 0.244 V vs. Standard Hydrogen Electrode) as reference, Pt mesh as counter electrode and 1 M KOH solution (pH 14) as electrolyte. Catalysts deposited on FTO glass substrates (1 cm2) were used as working electrodes. Initially, Cyclic Voltammetry (CV) scans were performed on the samples to remove the impurities and stabilize the current. Linear Sweep Voltammetry (LSV) was carried out with iR compensation. The LSV measurements were done at a scan rate of 10 mV/s while the CV measurements were done at a scan rate of 100 mV/s. Commercial RuO2 nanoparticles were used for LSV measurements. Finally, Chronoamperometry (CA) measurements were performed on the samples to check the stability of the catalysts for water oxidation reactions. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was performed in the frequency range of 2 MHz to 10 Hz with an input sinusoidal wave of amplitude 50 mV, at 0.5 V vs open circuit potential (OCP). EIS data was fitted using Echem Analyst software from Gamry to obtain the equivalent electrical circuits. Determination of the electrochemical surface area (ESA) was carried out by calculating the double layer capacitance (C DL) from CV scans in the non-Ohmic potential region of 0.725–1.025 V (vs RHE), at increasing scan rates. The graph of difference in cathodic and anodic current densities at 0.875 V was plotted against the respective scan rates to determine the value of C DL.

3 Results and Discussions

All the previous works on Co–Fe–B–O catalysts have been reported in the powder form [41, 42]. Thus in order to explore the viability on industrial scale, Co–Fe–B–O catalyst coating was deposited by PLD on FTO electrodes. In view of studying the influence of morphology and crystallinity of Co–Fe–B–O catalyst on OER performance, the mixed metal oxide coatings were annealed at three different temperatures (200 °C, 400 °C and 600 °C) in air. As-deposited (AD) as well as annealed coatings (designated as AN200, AN400 and AN600) were investigated by electron microscopy (SEM and TEM) and diffraction techniques (XRD) to get insights on the surface morphologies and the structural changes that occur upon annealing. The AD coating (Fig. 1a) shows particle like morphology with dispersed particle size distribution from tens of nanometer to a few micrometers. This kind of morphology is expected owing to the phase explosion process which occurs when the target surface comes close to the thermodynamic critical temperature upon irradiating with high laser fluence. Moreover, these particles are in core–shell structure as observed at higher magnification and the elemental contrast image suggests that the core is made up of heavy elements like Fe and Co while the shell comprises of lighter elements, mainly boron and oxygen along with metals. AD coatings have the core primarily in elemental phase with oxidation coming into effect upon annealing. The exact reason for formation of such morphology with distinct composition is explained in detail in our past work [39]. The core–shell morphology of Co–Fe–B–O coatings at annealed temperatures comprises of a mix of elemental phases (Co, Fe), single oxide phases (CoOx, FeOx, FeBO3, B2O3 etc.) and mixed metal oxide (MMO) phases like CoFe2O4. This happens because upon annealing, the shell of the coatings get oxidised first with the core getting exposed later. As a result, the core contains the
metals in the elemental phase even after annealing at higher temperatures. No major variation in the morphology was detected upon annealing at 200 °C and 400 °C except the core–shell structure is more prominently visible (Fig. 1b). The sharp contrast between core and shell is also visible in TEM images with the shell thickness of about 8–10 nm depending on particle size (Fig. 1d, e). This shell is completely amorphous in the case of AD and AN200 coatings (Fig. 1g). In AN400, partial crystallization in the shell with lattice fringes having d-spacing of 0.21 nm ascribed to (004)
plane of CoFe$_2$O$_4$, is clearly visible in the HRTEM image (Fig. 1h). Diffuse ring along with bright spot indexed to CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase was recorded in Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) pattern (inset of Fig. 1h), thus suggesting the formation of nano-crystalline phase with nano-size domains separated by grain boundaries. In AN600, the shell disintegrates to form nanowires (NWs) on the surface of the particles, thus a complete transformation of morphology occurs from spherical particulates to urchin-like hierarchical structures with vertically grown NWs from surface of the particulates (Fig. 1c). The length and diameter of the NWs vary from 0.5 to 1 µm and 10–30 nm (Fig. 1f), respectively. The detailed mechanism behind the formation of this hierarchical nanostructured coating at elevated temperatures is reported in our previous work [38, 39]. Figure 1i shows the HRTEM image of a representative NW from the hierarchical urchin like structures of AN600. The surface of the NW shows a d-spacing of 0.29 nm ascribed to (220) plane of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase (JCPDS file: 22-1086) phase. The bright distinct spot in FFT (inset of Fig. 1i) of this NW can be perfectly indexed to CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase. On the basis of above results, it is clear that the core–shell morphology is maintained till 400 °C with partially crystallized shell of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase. However, further increase in temperature leads to entire change in morphology to urchin-like hierarchical nanostructure with crystalline NWs of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase.

XRD pattern was acquired (Fig. 1j) to mainly investigate the crystallinity of the particulates on the coating surface. For AD coating, the peaks indicate the presence of metallic Co and Fe in the coating along with the α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ phase (JCPDS 33-0664). In AN400, additional peaks corresponding to CoFe$_2$O$_4$ (JCPDS 22-1086) and FeBO$_3$ [45] phases are observed. On further annealing at 600 °C, complete crystallization occurs, with CoFe$_2$O$_4$, FeBO$_3$, and α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ phases. The presence of metallic Fe and Co in the coating cannot be ruled out due to the metallic core of the particles.

The elemental survey scan of XPS detects elements such as Co, Fe, B and O along with residual carbon for both, AD as well as annealed samples. Focused scan of Fe$_{2p}$ level shows existence of two distinct peaks attributed to 2P$_{3/2}$ and 2P$_{1/2}$ levels for all the coatings (Fig. 2). The deconvolution of 2P$_{3/2}$ level for AD coating exhibits two peaks centered at 711.4 eV and 712.8 eV corresponding to Fe$^{3+}$ state in FeOOH and FeBO$_3$, respectively [46, 47]. The satellite peak at 718 eV also provides evidence of presence of only Fe$^{3+}$ state. Thus Fe is in higher oxidation state which is in agreement with previous report on CoFeBO powder [41]. In AN200, the lower BE peak is shifted to 710.6 eV assigned to Fe$^{3+}$ state in CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase thus suggesting the initiation of formation of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase at this temperature [48]. Further increase in temperature at 400 °C causes an increase in the intensity of this peak. The existence of peak at 712.8 eV due to FeBO$_3$ is maintained at both these temperatures. However, in AN600, the peak at 712.8 eV drastically increases as compared to lower BE peak. This shows that at 600 °C, the FeBO$_3$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phases co-exist at the surface. In Co2p XPS spectra of the AD sample, the broad peak due to 2P$_{3/2}$ level can be deconvoluted into two peaks centered at 782.0 eV and 786.0 eV with the sharp peak assigned to Co$^{2+}$ in Co(OH)$_2$ state [49] while the broad peak is the satellite peak of Co$^{2+}$ species. Upon annealing at 200 °C, an additional peak at 780.8 eV corresponding to Co$^{2+}$ state in CoFe$_2$O$_4$ state is also visible [50]. This peak increases with annealing temperature of 400 °C and 600 °C with relative decrease in peak at 782.0 eV. The core level of Bi in AD sample shows a single peak centered at 191.8 eV corresponding to B–O species in B$_2$O$_3$ and FeBO$_3$. Bi1s spectra in AN200 and AN400 samples show the same peaks. For AN600, the spectra are deconvoluted in two peaks centered at 191.5 eV and 192.5 eV assigned to B–O and B–Fe species formed due to segregation of B$_2$O$_3$ and FeBO$_3$ phases respectively [51, 52]. Single peak at 531.6 eV corresponding to Fe$^{3+}$/Co$^{2+}$ and FeBO$_3$ species is visible in spectra of O1s level for AD and AN200 coatings. In addition to this peak, two small peaks at 529.9 eV and 532.4 eV attributed to O in CoFe$_2$O$_4$ [50] and B$_2$O$_3$ [53] phases, respectively, are also observed for AN400 coating. At 600 °C, the spectra is dominated by the peak at 529.9 eV due to O in CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase.

As XPS is a surface sensitive technique, the above results could only identify the surface species and thus no metallic peaks were detected, as seen from XRD data. The XPS results indicate that for AD coating, Co and Fe are mostly present in hydroxide state formed due to the ex-situ XPS measurement. Annealing at 200 °C slightly modifies the surface by initiating the formation of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ phase whose content increases at 400 °C. However, complete crystallization of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ takes place at the highest temperature of 600 °C with separation of FeBO$_3$ and B$_2$O$_3$ species on the surface. The XPS results are in good agreement with TEM and XRD results where partial crystallization of oxides takes place at 400 °C while complete crystallization occurs upon formation of urchin structures with NWs exhibiting CoFe$_2$O$_4$ structure at 600 °C.

The electrochemical experiments for OER are done in two steps; firstly, the synthesized coating is evaluated for OER at different annealed temperatures (Fig. 3a) to probe the effect of morphology on the catalytic performance of the coatings. Secondly, the best performing catalyst is compared to single oxide coatings and without boron film (Fig. 5a) to understand the synergy of the phases and the role of individual elements for OER. To evaluate the electrochemical performance of all the synthesized samples, the films were deposited on FTO electrodes and tested for electrochemical water oxidation in a three-cell configuration. Before measurements, the electrodes were subjected to cyclic voltammetry cycles in the potential range of − 0.24 V to 1.76 V (V...
vs. SCE) in order to obtain a stable response and to remove eventual surface impurities. iR-corrected linear polarization curves of all the coatings (as-deposited and annealed) and RuO$_2$ nanoparticles are shown in Fig. 3a and the values obtained from these curves are summarized in Table S1. The best results are obtained for AN400 sample with an overpotential of 315 mV at 10 mA/cm$^2$, which is much lower than other samples, including RuO$_2$ (370 mV). AN400 also shows the lowest Tafel slope value of 31.5 mV/dec, while AN600 and RuO$_2$ exhibit higher Tafel slopes of 65.8 and 88.3 mV/dec, respectively (Fig. 3b). This implies that the 3D urchin structure formed by completely crystallized NWs with high surface area are least active and the core–shell structure with partially crystallized shell are the most active for OER. This is consistent with the report by Jiang et al. [54] where partially crystalline Ni borate catalysts exhibited better OER activity than amorphous as well as completely crystalline catalysts. Thus, in the present case, owing to the partially crystalline nature of AN400, it exhibits better OER rates.

The graphs shown in Fig. 3a do not represent the intrinsic activity of the films and are influenced by the contributions from surface area. Electrochemical surface area

Fig. 2 XPS spectra of Fe 2p, Co 2p, B 1s and O 1s levels for as-deposited and annealed samples
The electrochemical surface area (ESA) of the films was estimated indirectly by determining the value of double layer capacitance ($C_{DL}$) [26]. Figure S1 demonstrates the CV curves for all the films at increasing scan rates. From these graphs, the difference between the cathodic and anodic current densities was calculated and plotted against respective scan rates, to determine the value of $C_{DL}$ and hence the ESA is the highest for AN600 while that of other films are nearly the same. This result matches well with the SEM images where AD, AN200 and AN400 films show similar particulate morphology while AN600 showed formation of NWs on the surface. Owing to these NWs, AN600 presents more surface area for adsorption of reactant species from the electrolyte. Even with the maximum active surface area for OER, AN600 fails to exhibit the best electrochemical activity, showing that the number of active adsorption sites is not the rate determining step in electrochemistry. On the other hand, despite having similar ESA as AN200 and AD films, AN400 shows the highest OER rate, which must be credited to its partially crystalline phase [54].

Another factor that can affect the performance of a catalyst is the charge transfer resistance ($R_{CT}$) across the electrode/electrolyte interface. $R_{CT}$ was determined by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using an equivalent circuit model of two resistances and a constant phase element to fit the obtained Nyquist plots. Figure 3d shows the obtained Nyquist plots for all the samples at 0.5 V (vs OCP). AD coating establishes lowest resistance for charge transfer owing to the metallic nature of the coating. Approximately same $R_{CT}$ value is recorded for AN200 and AN400 due to similar core–shell structure with partially oxidized shell over metallic core. On the other hand, the AN600 establishes highest value of $R_{CT}$ thus suggesting that the crystallized NWs although acquiring high surface area offer higher resistance for the transfer of charges across the interface. Above results indicate that the morphology with high surface area is not relevant in the present case, possibly because of the crystalline nature of NWs. However, this aspect deserves further investigation.

In order to verify the role of crystalline oxide on the surface, it is imperative to identify the in-situ formed redox
species by performing slow CV scans in the pre-OER potential region. As reported by Chen et al. [41] and Teng et al. [55], the position and intensity of pre-oxidation peak varies with Fe content and also defines the OER activity of Co–Fe–B–O powder system. In the first cycle of anodic scan for AN400 (Fig. 4a), two oxidation peaks were observed, where the first peak is in the form of a shoulder at 1.21 V (vs RHE) while the second peak is at 1.31 V (vs RHE). The occurrence of first peak indicates formation of Co$^{3+}$ species in the form of CoOOH on the surface of the coating which later gets converted to Co$^{4+}$ (CoO$_2$) at further oxidation potential [26]. In the reverse scan, a shoulder at 1.43 V and peak at 1.1 V is assigned to reduction of CoO$_2$ and CoOOH, respectively, to finally Co$^{2+}$ species. In successive scan, only peak related to reversible transformation from Co$^{2+}$ to Co$^{3+}$ is observed in redox cycle, while the formation of CoO$_2$ is expected to occur during anodic process. As per previous report, *OOH species are the most vital intermediate species in the OER process and can lead to enhancement in performance, even though the DFT calculations suggest that the formation of *OOH species is thermodynamically very difficult step. Similar phenomenon is also observed for AN200 film (Fig. 4b), but the area under the peak of oxidation and reduction are much lower, thus suggesting formation of less number of active Co oxides, leading to lower OER activity than AN400. On the other hand, this oxide formation is not observed for AD as well as AN600 films (Fig. 4b). This clearly shows that transformation of Co$^{2+}$ to CoOOH species is responsible for facilitating OER and hence AN400 sample shows the best performance. On the contrary, the stable crystalline phase of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ formed in NWs of urchin structure at 600 °C does not form CoOOH species on the surface.

To obtain better understanding of the changes in chemical states of the mixed oxide film due to water oxidation reaction, XPS studies were performed on AN400 film after OER (Fig. 4c–f). Post OER, Co 2p state shows a prominent peak at 780.1 eV attributed to the formation of Co$^{3+}$ species in CoOOH, which is consistent with the results obtained from CV measurements. The peaks at 786.1 and 796.4 eV correspond to the satellite features of Co in Co$^{3+}$ oxidation state. O 1s spectra for post-OER AN400 film also shows an increase in the peak intensity associated with *OOH group (529.5 eV) while maintaining the intense peak at 531.6 eV due to Fe$^{3+}$/Co$^{2+}$ and FeBO$_3$ species and minor peak at 532.4 eV of O in B$_2$O$_3$. As per previous reports, Fe compounds can easily form *OOH-like species and the Fe in CoFeO$_x$ catalyst plays a significant role in promoting the formation and stabilizing the *OOH-like species [41]. A higher concentration of *OOH-like species leads to superior OER performance. For Fe 2p state, the peak at 711.0 eV corresponding to Fe$^{3+}$ species shows an increase in intensity for post-OER sample. This peak was also observed in as prepared sample, as indicated by before-OER XPS, due to Fe being present in high oxidation state (Fe$^{3+}$). The higher BE peak at ~718 eV is also preserved. In case of B 1s state, post-OER film shows
a single peak at 191.6 eV, ascribed to B$_2$O$_3$, as also observed in pristine films before OER.

So far, it is well-established that AN400 film shows the best performance, owing to in-situ transformation of Co$^{2+}$ species to large number of CoOOH active sites. Though CoOOH appears to be the active centre for OER, the role of Fe and B in the coating is still not clear. To illustrate the roles of Co, Fe and B in the film, the performance of AN400 was compared with that of single oxide films of CoOx and FeOx (containing boron) and mixed oxide film deposited without boron (termed as AN400_2). It must be noted that these single oxide films were also deposited with exactly the same experimental conditions as that of AN400 coating. AN400 coating shows much superior electrochemical activity (Fig. 5a) and lower Tafel slope (Fig. S2) compared to the single oxides and AN400_2 films. To achieve the current density of 10 mA/cm$^2$, overpotentials of 315 mV, 406 mV, 421 mV and 492 mV were required for AN400, CoOx, AN400_2 and FeOx coatings, respectively (Table S2), indicating that the combination of Co, Fe and B together yields the best performance. To isolate the roles of Co, Fe and B, CV scans were recorded for AN400, CoOx, FeOx and AN400_2 films at a scan rate of 2 mV/s (Fig. 5b and c). On comparing the two mixed oxide films (Fig. 5b), AN400 and AN400_2, one can see that boron free sample (AN400_2) shows no redox peaks, while the sample with boron (AN400) shows prominent reversible redox peaks, corresponding to transformation of Co from Co$^{2+}$ to higher oxidation states. This implies that the role of boron is to assist in formation of Co$^{3+}$ states on the surface of the film. It has been reported that in case of Co–B films, B acts as the sacrificial element by donating electrons to Co, thereby protecting it from forming stable oxides [56]. In the present case, boron plays a similar role of preventing complete oxidation of Co thus permitting it in forming Co$^{3+}$ species on the surface. To illustrate the role of Fe, CV scans of CoOx and FeOx films were analysed (Fig. 5c). The first anodic cycle for CoOx shows a main oxidation peak at 1.15 V (vs. RHE) along with two secondary shoulders at 1.07 V (vs. RHE) and 1.25 V (vs. RHE). During the reverse scan, a very small reduction peak is observed at 1.05 V (vs. RHE), indicating a quasi-reversible reaction. The successive scan displays no oxidation and reduction peaks, indicating that the oxidised species are not regenerated again. Thus, CoOx coatings show no stable active species and hence exhibit inferior electrochemical activity for OER. FeOx, on the other hand,
shows no redox peaks in both the cycles, suggesting that Fe doesn’t undergo any oxidation in this potential regime. Thus FeOx lacks active sites for catalysing water oxidation, thereby displaying the worst OER performance. Only in the case of AN400 film, the redox peaks corresponding to the active Co$^{3+}$ species are present consistently in all CV scans. This indicates that the oxidation chemistry of mixed oxide catalyst (AN400) containing Co and Fe is completely different than that of single oxides of Co and Fe, under applied anodic potentials. Co oxide alone fails to regenerate oxide species on its surface, but the presence of Fe helps it in forming reversible species of Co in higher oxidation state, which in turn facilitates water oxidation reaction. Thus, in case of mixed oxide film (AN400), the role of B is to prevent complete oxidation of Co thus permitting it in forming Co$^{3+}$ species which further transform to higher oxidation states, while Fe helps in reducing Co$^{3+}$ to Co$^{2+}$ so that it can be regenerated to active Co$^{3+}$ species in successive cycles. To summarise, the primary catalytic sites for the Co–Fe–B–O coatings for OER are Co sites which determine the electrocatalytic
performance of the coatings, whereas Fe and B play subsidiary roles in improving the activity of Co sites.

To further elucidate the difference between mixed oxide and single oxide films, $C_{\text{DL}}$ values were determined for CoOx and FeOx films (Fig. 5d). Figure S3 demonstrates the CV curves at increasing scan rates. The value of $C_{\text{DL}}$ and hence the ESA for CoOx film is the same as that obtained for AN400 film (0.052 mF/cm$^2$), while FeOx exhibits a lower $C_{\text{DL}}$ value of 0.045 mF/cm$^2$. This implies that the number of active adsorption sites in CoOx is the same as in AN400 film, which is understood as Co is the active site in both samples. However, despite having similar ESA, CoOx does not show the same performance as AN400 film due to the inability to regenerate after undergoing oxidation. Thus, the charge exchange effectiveness of the active sites/species is the dominating factor in determining the performance of the electrocatalyst as compared to the number of adsorption active sites.

XPS analysis were performed on single oxide films, CoOx and FeOx, before and after OER to gain insights on the changes that occur and the phases that are formed upon OER (Fig. 6). In case of CoOx films before OER, Co 2p$_{3/2}$ XPS spectra display two peaks centered at 781.3 eV and 782.5 eV corresponding to Co$^{2+}$ in Co(OH)$_2$ and CoO phases, respectively, along with the matching satellite peaks at BE of ~786.0 eV and ~789.0 eV. On comparing these peaks of Co$^{2+}$ in Co2p level with that of mixed metal oxide (AN400) (780.8 and 782.0 eV) there is a shift of 0.5 eV towards higher BE of single metal oxide. This indicates that cobalt is accepting electrons and is in a reduced form in mixed metal oxide film as compared to single oxide CoOx film. Post OER Co 2p spectra shows a dominant peak at 780.3 eV corresponding to CoOOH phase similar as in mixed metal oxide film. In case of O 1s XPS level, the peaks at 530.4 eV, 531.9 eV and 533.0 eV assigned to O in CoO, Co(OH)$_2$ and B$_2$O$_3$ in single metal oxide (AN400) indicates that oxygen is also in a reduced state in the case of mixed metal oxide films. XPS spectra for Fe 2p level in FeOx film before OER displays two peaks at 710.4 eV and at 712.0 eV corresponding to Fe$^{3+}$ in $\alpha$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ and FeBO$_3$ phases. These peak positions are shifted to lower BE by 0.4–0.8 eV than that observed for Fe 2p level in mixed meta oxide film (710.6 eV and 712.8 eV), thus suggesting that the Fe in the mixed metal oxide film plays the role of donating electrons and reducing cobalt. Post OER Fe 2p level for FeOx film shows almost similar peaks and intensities as that of before OER. Thus, the XPS confirms the role of all the elements in the mixed metal oxide films with Fe donating electrons to Co and helping the reduction of Co oxide species which helps in regenerating active species for OER in each cycle.

It is of paramount importance to study the stability and recyclability of these coatings for practical applications. For stability tests, chronoamperometric measurements were done on AN400 film for 12 h (Fig. 7a). The film displays good stability and produces an almost constant current density at 1.55 V vs. RHE. AN400 coatings were also tested for their recyclability by performing cyclic voltammetry scans for 1000 cycles in 1 M KOH solution. The films show favourable results with no signs of deterioration even after
the 1000th cycle (Fig. 7b), thereby making the coatings suitable for applications.

4 Conclusions

Co–Fe–B–O coatings were successfully synthesized by PLD for electrochemical water oxidation. Investigating on morphological and structural transformation, upon annealing at different temperatures, reveals that OER performance is inferior for high surface area urchin-like morphology with well crystallized nanowires in CoFe2O4 phase. The synthesized coatings annealed at 400 °C showed core–shell structure with partially crystallized shell to produce excellent electrochemical performance towards water oxidation reactions with an overpotential of 315 mV at 10 mA/cm² and Tafel slope of 31.5 mV/dec. The role of Fe and B in influencing the activity of Co sites was investigated by testing control samples. The mixed metal oxide coating exhibits superior electrochemical activity compared to control samples of CoOx, FeOx and AN400_2 (without boron). It was found that B prevents complete oxidation of Co thus permitting it in forming Co3+ species (CoOOH), while Fe assists in reducing Co3+ to Co2+ so that these species are regenerated in the successive cycles. Moreover, it was also established that the performance of an electrocatalyst is mainly driven by the charge exchange effectiveness of each site as compared to the number of surface active sites. Stability and recyclability tests done on mixed metal oxide coatings showed their robustness thus indicating their possible industrial applications.
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