Comparison of venous plasma glycemia and capillary glycemia for the screening of type 2 diabetes mellitus in the Japanese-Brazilian community of Mombuca (Guatapará-SP)

Maria Cristina Foss-Freitas¹*, Regina CG de Andrade², Roberta C Figueiredo³, Ana Emília Pace⁴, Edson Z Martinez³, Amaury L Dal Fabro⁵, Laércio J Franco⁵, Milton C Foss¹

Abstract

Background: To identify the most appropriate cut-off points of fasting glycemia for the screening of diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM2) with the comparison of the properties of capillary glycemia (CG) and venous blood plasma glycemia (PG) in a population of Japanese origin from the community of Mombuca, Guatapará - SP, Brazil.

Methods: This was a population-based descriptive cross-sectional study conducted on a sample of 131 individuals of both genders aged 20 years or more (66.8% of the target population). CG was measured with a glucometer in a blood sample obtained from the fingertip and PG was determined by an enzymatic method (hexokinase) in venous blood plasma, after a 10-14 hour fast in both cases. Data were analyzed by the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve in order to identify the best cut-off point for fasting glycemia (CG and PG) for the diagnosis of DM, using the 2-hour plasma glycemia > 200 mg/dl as gold - standard.

Results: The ROC curve revealed that the best cut-off point for the screening of DM was 110 mg/dl for CG and 105 mg/dl for PG, values that would optimize the relation between individuals with positive and false-positive results. The area under the ROC curve was 0.814 for CG (p < 0.01) and 0.836 for PG (p < 0.01).

Conclusions: The cut-off points of 105 mg/dl(5.8 mmol/l) for PG and of 110 mg/dl(6.1 mmol/l) for CG appear to be the most appropriate for the screening of DM2 in the population under study, with emphasis on the fact that the value recommended for CG is 5 mg/dl higher than that for PG, in contrast to WHO recommendations.

Background

Diabetes mellitus type 2 (DM2) is a highly prevalent disease of epidemic projection for the next few years both in developed and developing countries [1], representing an important public health problem in view of the high morbidity and mortality of the disease itself and the high costs involved in its control and in the treatment of its complications. DM2 is among the 10 major causes of death in several industrialized and emergent countries [2,3]. In 2002, the cost of treating diabetic patients in the US was more than double the cost of treating non-diabetic individuals, amounting to approximately US $132 billion [4].

Over the last few years, a worldwide trend has been observed showing that migrant populations present a pattern of morbidity-mortality that differs from that of the community of origin of their parents, but follows the disease profile of local residents. Epidemiological studies have demonstrated changes in the prevalence of non-transmissible chronic diseases, DM2 in particular, among Japanese migrants and their descendants. In this respect, higher prevalences of DM2 (16-20%) have been observed among Japanese residing in the US than among Japanese residing in Japan (4-5%) [5,6]. Similarly, a high prevalence of DM2 [7,8] (36.2%) and of impaired glucose tolerance (IGT = 23.4%) have been detected...
among Japanese individuals living in Brazil, corresponding to higher values than those detected in the Brazilian population [9]. These changes are explained by modifications of life style, with the incorporation of new cultural patterns and changes in eating habits. However, other factors also participate in this process, such as greater population longevity, miscegenation and the sociodemographic transformation due to urbanization and economic development [10].

Despite the proven benefit of early detection of the disease [11], universal screening for DM2 has been questioned [12]. The most accepted recommendation is the screening of asymptomatic individuals with a greater risk for the disease [13-15], using the determination of fasting plasma glucose level as the worldwide accepted method for screening and diagnosis. On the other hand, in view of its practical application, agility, rapidity and safety, the capillary glycemia (CG) test is an important option for the screening of DM in detection campaigns or in population studies [16].

The objective of the present population-based study was to identify the best cut-off points of fasting glycemia for the screening of DM2 and to compare the properties of fasting capillary glycemia (CG) and fasting venous plasma glycemia (PG) by the analysis of the areas under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve [17] in a population of Japanese origin living in the community of Mombuca, Guatapará - SP, Brazil.

Methods

The data were obtained in a cross-sectional descriptive study conducted on the Japanese-Brazilian population of Mombuca, Guatapará - SP, during the period from April to December 2005. Among 1st and 2nd generation individuals (Issei and Nissei, respectively) of both genders and older than 20 years, 131 (66.8% of the population in this age range) agreed to participate in the study. The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Health Teaching Center of the Faculty of Medicine of Ribeirão Preto-USP, under protocol n° 104/03 and all subjects gave written informed consent to participate.

On a scheduled date, each participant came to the office of the Agricultural and Sports Association of Guatapará for information about the study. After a fasting period of 10 to 14 hours, a venous blood sample was obtained from each subject for PG determination and a blood sample was obtained from a fingertip for the determination of CG. The blood samples were collected into vacuum tubes containing sodium fluoride and used for PG determination by enzymatic method (hexokinase) with a Cobas Mira Plus Analyser. CG was measured with a glucometer (Advantage-Roche) and reported as whole blood glucose level. Individuals presenting CG of less than 200 mg/dl were then asked to perform the 75 g glucose tolerance test, excluding those with previously diagnosed diabetes.

Subjects were considered to have diabetes mellitus when their fasting glycemia was ≥ 126 mg/dl(7.0 mmol/l) or when their glycemia was ≥ 200 mg/dl(11.1 mmol/l) 2 hours after 75 g glucose load, or if they were under treatment for diabetes. Subjects were considered to be pre-diabetic when their fasting glycemia was 110(6.1 mmol/l) to 126 mg/dl (impaired fasting glycemia) and/or when their glycemia was 140(7.8 mmol/l) to 200 mg/dl 2 hours after glucose overload (impaired glucose tolerance). This diagnostic criteria for diabetes or pre-diabetes was based on plasma glucose levels [16].

Statistical Analysis

CG and PG data were compared between the diabetic and non-diabetic groups by the Student t-test for independent samples, with the level of significance set at p < 0.05. CG and PG were compared in terms of sensitivity and specificity using the ROC curve, considering the diagnostic standard for diabetes mellitus to be PG ≥ 200 mg/dl(11.1 mmol/l) 2 hours after the ingestion of 75 g anhydrous glucose. The criterion used to select the cut-off points of the fasting glycemia (CG and PG) was based on the values with the closest sensitivity and specificity. The areas under the ROC curve for fasting PG and CG were compared by the nonparametric test proposed by DeLong et al. [18]. For interpretation of the results, it is considered that the greater the area under the ROC curve, the greater the discriminant power of the test for a determined outcome.

Results

The clinical data of the participants are presented on Table 1. Among the 131 individuals evaluated, five were excluded because they were previously diagnosed diabetics and nine did not perform the CG test. Among the subjects without DM, mean CG was significantly higher (8%) than PG, whereas among subjects with DM the differences were nonsignificant, although mean CG was 5% higher than PG (Table 2). The prevalence of DM and IGT in this group were 13.7% and 14.5%, respectively, and the frequency of hypertension (>140/90 mmHg) was 48.1%.

| Table 1 Clinical data of the Japanese-Brazilian population of Mombuca, Guatapará-SP, 2005 (Mean ± SD). |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                  | Total      | Men          | Women         |
|                  | (N = 131)  | (N = 54)     | (N = 77)      |
| Age (years)      | 56.7 ± 15.9| 55.1 ± 15.9  | 57.8 ± 16.1   |
| Body Weight (Kg) | 61.7 ± 14.3| 70.6 ± 14.5  | 55.2 ± 10.2   |
| Height (m)       | 1.6 ± 0.1  | 1.7 ± 0.1    | 1.5 ± 0.1     |
| BMI (Kg/m²)      | 24.7 ± 4.0 | 25.4 ± 4.2   | 24.1 ± 3.9    |
| Waist Circumference (cm) | 84.9 ± 10.6| 88.6 ± 10.1  | 83.1 ± 10.7   |
The ROC curve revealed that the ideal cut-off point for DM screening was 105 mg/dl for PG and 110 mg/dl for CG (Fig. 1), values that would optimize the relation between subjects with positive and false-positive results. The level of 110 mg/dL in CG showed 77.8% sensitivity and 82.3% specificity and the level of 105 mg/dL in PG showed 72.7% sensitivity and 93.0% specificity. It can be seen that PG showed a better power for the detection of DM, with a greater area under the ROC curve (0.836 - p < 0.01) compared to CG (0.814 - p < 0.01), although the difference was nonsignificant (p = 0.66).

**Table 2 Fasting CG and PG levels of the Japanese-Brazilian population of Mombuca, Guatapará-SP, 2005 (Mean ± SD).**

| GLYCEMIA       | Normoglycemic + IGT | DM         | p-value* |
|----------------|---------------------|------------|----------|
| CG (mg/dl) (N = 117) | 95.9 ± 15.8         | 120.5 ± 19.1 | < 0.0001 |
| PG (mg/dl) (N = 126) | 88.4 ± 8.9          | 113.8 ± 20.1 | < 0.0001 |
| p-value*        | < 0.0001            | 0.4140     |          |

* Student t-test

The ROC curve revealed that the ideal cut-off point for DM screening was 105 mg/dl for PG and 110 mg/dl for CG (Fig. 1), values that would optimize the relation between subjects with positive and false-positive results. The level of 110 mg/dL in CG showed 77.8% sensitivity and 82.3% specificity and the level of 105 mg/dL in PG showed 72.7% sensitivity and 93.0% specificity. It can be seen that PG showed a better power for the detection of DM, with a greater area under the ROC curve (0.836 - p < 0.01) compared to CG (0.814 - p < 0.01), although the difference was nonsignificant (p = 0.66).

**Discussion**

The high prevalence of DM and the epidemic projections of the disease for the next few years in both developed and developing countries are a source of concern for the health area authorities. In addition, the fact that 35 to 50% of the individuals with DM do not know that they have the disease and that at the time of diagnosis the patients already present micro- and macrovascular complications, supports the importance of establishing an early diagnosis of DM, which would prevent the occurrence of many of these complications [19,20].

The comparison of glycemia values obtained from capillary blood and from venous plasma blood is a subject of extensive discussion [21-25]. However, it is known that the level of glucose in blood after a few hours of fasting varies according to the method employed for determination and the material used, i.e., capillary blood, venous blood, and serum/plasma or whole blood [26].

In a study on the validation of CG versus PG for the detection of DM and IGT, Kruijshoop et al. [22] detected a strong correlation between the two parameters both in the fasting condition (r = 0.92) and in the postprandial condition (r = 0.82), thus demonstrating the validity of the use of CG in the screening phase of epidemiological studies. In agreement with our results, the CG values detected by Kruijshoop et al. [22] were higher than PG values both for diabetic and normoglycemic or IGT subjects in the fasting condition.

**Figure 1 ROC curve for fasting PG (A) and CG (B) for the identification of DM in the Japanese-Brazilian population of Mombuca, Guatapará-SP, 2005.**
Measurements made in plasma yield a 14 to 15% higher result compared to methods that use whole venous blood, which in turn yield about 5% lower glycaemia results compared to capillary blood [14,26]. However, according to the WHO [16], under fasting conditions, plasma venous glycaemia values are about 10% higher than CG values.

Analysis of the sensitivity and specificity of diagnostic tests by constructing the ROC curve has been recommended in epidemiological studies. In the present study, the cut-off points with the best specificity and sensitivity for CG and PG obtained on the basis of the ROC curve were 110 mg/dl and 105 mg/dl, respectively. The areas under the curve for CG and PG were quite close, 0.814 and 0.836, respectively, showing the expressive capacity of the tests in detecting DM. However, when the areas are superimposed, a separation of the 105 and 110 mg/dl points is noted, suggesting that, even though the literature shows that PG values are higher than CG values, regarding the population studied here, PG values of 105 mg/dl and CG values of 110 mg/dl should be used.

It is important to point out a limitation of this study for the proposed objectives by the number of participants, but the use of PG showed useful for decision taking immediately, i.e., to perform or not a 75 g glucose OGGT.

Conclusions
In conclusion, our data indicate that the cut-off points of 105 mg/dl (5.8 mmol/l) and 110 mg/dl (6.1 mmol/l) for PG and CG, respectively, are highly sensitive and specific for the diagnosis of DM2 and therefore would be appropriate for the screening of DM2 in this study population. It is important to give emphasis to the fact that the value recommended for CG is 5 mg/dl higher than that for PG, in contrast to WHO recommendations.
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