Prediction of the alveolar bone level after the extraction of maxillary anterior teeth with severe periodontitis
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Purpose: After extraction, the alveolar bone tends to undergo atrophy in three-dimensions. The amount of alveolar bone loss in the horizontal dimension has been reported to be greater than the amount of bone loss in the vertical dimension, and is most pronounced in the buccal aspect. The aim of this study was to monitor the predictive alveolar bone level following the extraction of anterior teeth seriously involved with advanced chronic periodontitis.

Methods: This study included 25 patients with advanced chronic periodontitis, whose maxillary anterior teeth had been extracted due to extensive attachment loss more than one year before the study. Periapical radiographs were analyzed to assess the vertical level of alveolar bone surrounding the edentulous area. An imaginary line connecting the mesial and the distal ends of the alveolar crest facing the adjacent tooth was arbitrarily created. Several representative coordinates were established in the horizontal direction, and the vertical distance from the imaginary line to the alveolar crest was measured at each coordinate for each patient using image analysis software. Regression functions predicting the vertical level of the alveolar bone in the maxillary anterior edentulous area were identified for each patient.

Results: The regression functions demonstrated a tendency to converge to parabolic shapes. The predicted maximum distance between the imaginary line and the alveolar bone calculated using the regression function was 1.43 ± 0.65 mm. No significant differences were found between the expected and actual maximum distances. Likewise, the predicted and actual maximum horizontal distances did not show any significant differences. The distance from the alveolar bone crest to the imaginary lines was not influenced by the mesio-distal spans of the edentulous area.

Conclusions: After extraction, the vertical level of the alveolar ridge increased to become closer to the reference line connecting the mesial and distal alveolar crests.
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INTRODUCTION

The alveolar bone is formed during tooth eruption, and consists of maxillary and mandibular components that shape and support the alveolar sockets. When teeth are lost, alveolar atrophy occurs, ultimately resulting in a short and narrow alveolar ridge [1,2]. Such changes in the alveolar bone have a significant effect on prosthetic restoration planning, and are particularly important for areas with esthetic requirements, such as the maxillary incisors. Since preservation of the alveolar bone after extraction makes implant placement and prosthetic restoration easier in the edentulous jaw [3,4], alveolar ridge preservation...
and reconstruction methods using various bone graft materials together with resorbable and non-resorbable membranes have been introduced in order to minimize the loss of alveolar bone after extraction [5-10].

Investigations of changes in the alveolar bone after extraction have frequently been performed through clinical measurements and radiographic analysis [3,5-8]. In particular, after the extraction of a tooth with fracture or severe periodontitis, the alveolar bone shows severe horizontal and vertical atrophy. The reduction in the width of the alveolar ridge is more notable in the horizontal direction than in the vertical direction, and the buccal wall of the alveolar bone has been reported to undergo greater vertical atrophy than the lingual wall [5,6,10,11]. Compared to the loss of a premolar or incisor, the loss of a molar has been shown to lead to a greater reduction in the height of the alveolar bone on the buccal side [12]. Changes in the width of the alveolar ridge after extraction occur in a relatively short time, decreasing most rapidly within the first six months after extraction, followed by continual, slow bone resorption over the course of the rest of the patient’s life [13]. In a study examining histological changes over the course of 12 months after molar extraction in humans, the width of the alveolar ridge decreased by approximately 50%, with two-thirds of that decrease observed in the first three months [11]. In animal experiments, alveolar atrophy after tooth extraction has been characterized histologically, with clear changes in width occurring within eight weeks [14].

When a tooth has been lost, the extent of alveolar bone resorption is affected by a range of factors, including the number of extracted teeth and bone walls, the bone density, the extent of alveolar bone loss, infection, and the presence or absence of adjacent teeth [5-7]. In addition, alveolar bone loss can also occur in patients with periodontal disease, because the balance between alveolar osteogenesis and resorption is disrupted, and is known to be affected by a range of factors relating to the alveolar bone [15]. The majority of previous studies were conducted based on observations of teeth with a normal alveolar bone level that suffered either fracture or early-to-moderate periodontitis and were extracted for different reasons. For teeth with severe, chronic periodontitis, the pattern of resorption in the alveolar ridge may differ from those observed in tooth extraction due to dental caries or fracture due to severe alveolar bone resorption, loss of attachment leading to extraction and the consequent poor prognosis of periodontal treatment with a significant risk of eventual tooth loss. However, this topic has not been sufficiently studied.

The present study assessed vertical changes in the alveolar ridge following the extraction of maxillary anterior teeth in patients with severe, chronic periodontitis accompanied by considerable loss of attachment and alveolar bone. In particular, we investigated the difference between the predicted and actual maximum alveolar socket depth after anterior teeth extraction. The aim of this study was to monitor the predictive vertical level of alveolar bone following the extraction of anterior teeth seriously involved with advanced chronic periodontitis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study subjects
The subjects were selected from patients who visited the Periodontology Department of the Pusan National University Dental Hospital with severe, chronic periodontitis. Patients were included if they had a history of maxillary anterior tooth extraction and prosthetic restoration due to a considerable loss of attachment and alveolar bone with a poor prognosis. Smokers and patients with systemic disease that could affect periodontal treatment were excluded. The patients had been given oral hygiene education, subgingival scaling, and curettage as treatment for severe, chronic periodontitis in the maxillary anterior teeth, and the lost teeth were restored with fixed partial dentures. Only patients with periapical radiographs taken before and at least one year after maxillary anterior tooth extraction were included. In total, 25 patients were included in the analysis, of whom 10 were male and 15 were female. A total of 25 edentulous maxillary anterior areas were selected from these patients, including 10 areas missing a single tooth and 15 areas missing multiple teeth. The patients visited the Department of Periodontology at our institution every three to six months to receive regular follow-up care.

Study methods
The edentulous maxillary anterior areas of the alveolar ridge selected from the 25 patients included in the study were subjected to clinical measurements and radiographic analysis using the following methods.

Clinical measurements of teeth
Calipers (Digimatic caliper, Mitutoyo Corporation, Kawasaki, Japan) were used to measure the crown length and width of teeth adjacent to the edentulous area. Since these areas had been restored with fixed partial dentures, the crown length of the adjacent teeth was taken as the distance from the gingival margin of the prosthetic restoration to the incisal edge, while the crown width of the adjacent teeth was taken as the width at the incisal edge of the prosthetic restoration.

Tooth measurements from radiological images and determination of the magnification ratio
Periapical radiological images of the maxillary anterior teeth were acquired using a parallel imaging technique and then converted into digital images. The crown length and width of adjacent teeth was measured from the digital images in pixels, using Photoshop version 7.0 (Adobe, San Jose, CA, USA). The magnification ratio of the radiological images was determined by comparison with the earlier clinical measurements.

Width of the edentulous alveolar ridge
After converting the periapical radiological images of the maxillary anterior teeth into digital images, a line was drawn to connect
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The proximal and distal alveolar crests in the edentulous region using Photoshop version 7.0 (Adobe) (Figure 1). The length of this line was defined as the width of the edentulous alveolar ridge. This was converted into an actual value using the magnification ratio of the periapical radiograph.

**Actual maximum socket depth and position of the edentulous alveolar ridge**

The maximum distance was found between the line drawn above and the edentulous alveolar ridge. That distance was recorded in pixels, along with its horizontal position. These were defined as the actual maximum socket depth and position, respectively, of the edentulous alveolar ridge, and were converted into actual values using the magnification ratio of the periapical images.

**Predicted maximum socket depth and position of the edentulous alveolar ridge**

The distance from the line drawn above to the edentulous alveolar ridge was measured in pixels at six evenly spaced points along the line, together with the horizontal positions of these points. These values were converted to actual values using the magnification ratio of the periapical radiograph. A regression function representing the vertical shape of the alveolar ridge was then obtained using the above six points. The maximum value of this function and the position of the maximum value were obtained and defined as the predicted maximum socket depth and position of the edentulous alveolar ridge, respectively.

**Maximum socket depth of the alveolar ridge before extraction**

The periapical radiological image of the maxillary anterior teeth before extraction was converted into a digital image, and a line was drawn connecting the alveolar crests of the adjacent teeth using Photoshop version 7.0 (Adobe). The maximum distance between this line and the alveolar ridge was recorded in pixels, and was defined as the maximum socket depth of the alveolar ridge before extraction. This was converted into an actual value using the magnification ratio for the radiological image.

**Statistical analysis**

We used SPSS version 12 for Windows in Korean (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) for statistical analysis. In order to investigate the difference between the predicted and actual maximum alveolar socket depth, the means and standard deviations were obtained and statistical significance was tested using the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test. The difference between the predicted maximum socket depth of the edentulous alveolar ridge was compared in cases of single tooth loss and multiple tooth loss, using the Mann-Whitney U test to evaluate statistical significance. The same method was used to compare the actual maximum socket depth in cases of single and multiple tooth loss. Pearson correlation coefficients were obtained to evaluate the relationship between the width of the edentulous maxillary anterior alveolar ridge and the actual and predicted maximum socket depths. In order to investigate the difference between the predicted and actual maximum alveolar socket depth before and after extraction, means and standard deviations were obtained, and significance was tested using the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test. In order to evaluate the relationship between the predicted and actual maximum alveolar socket depth before and after extraction, means and standard deviations were obtained and Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated. P-values below 0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

**RESULTS**

The present study investigated edentulous regions in 25 patients with severe, chronic periodontitis who had undergone maxillary anterior tooth extraction due to severe loss of attachment and alveolar bone. The alveolar socket depth after extraction was evaluated retrospectively using periapical radiographs. Twenty-five edentulous sites in the anterior maxilla were selected from 25 patients (10 male, 15 female), including 10 cases of single tooth loss and 15 cases of multiple tooth loss (Table 1).
A regression function was obtained to represent the vertical level of the edentulous alveolar ridge, and was expressed as a curve (parabola). The actual maximum socket depth measured from a reference line joining the distal and proximal alveolar crests in the edentulous site was 1.48±0.65 mm. The predicted maximum socket depth measured from the reference line was 1.43±0.65 mm. The difference between the actual and predicted maximum socket depth after extraction was not significant (Table 2).

The position of the actual maximum socket depth within the edentulous anterior maxilla was found to be 6.12±2.90 mm distal from the proximal alveolar crest (along the reference line joining the proximal and distal alveolar crests). The position of the predicted maximum socket depth was 6.62±2.74 mm distal to the proximal alveolar crest. The position of the actual maximum socket depth was not significantly different from the position of the predicted maximum socket depth (Table 3).

The relationship of the width of the edentulous alveolar ridge with the actual and predicted maximum socket depth was evaluated. The alveolar ridge had a width of 13.28±5.62 mm, and showed a positive correlation with both the actual and predicted maximum socket depth. However, these associations were not statistically significant. The socket depth of the edentulous alveolar bone was compared between cases of single tooth extraction and multiple tooth extraction. The actual maximum socket depths for single and multiple tooth extractions were 1.49±0.81 mm and 1.63±0.62 mm, respectively, which was not a statistically significant difference. The predicted maximum socket depths for single and multiple tooth extractions were 1.44±0.88 mm and 1.43±0.56 mm, which was not a statistically significant difference (Table 4).

The mean and standard deviation were obtained for the maximum socket depth before extraction, and the relationships with the

---

Table 1. Distribution of the maxillary anterior teeth included in the study.

| Patient number | Gender | Tooth number |
|----------------|--------|--------------|
| 1              | M      | 11, 12, 21, 22 |
| 2              | M      | 11, 21       |
| 3              | F      | 11           |
| 4              | F      | 21           |
| 5              | M      | 21           |
| 6              | F      | 21           |
| 7              | F      | 22           |
| 8              | F      | 12           |
| 9              | F      | 22           |
| 10             | M      | 11, 21       |
| 11             | F      | 11           |
| 12             | F      | 11, 21       |
| 13             | F      | 11, 21       |
| 14             | F      | 11, 12, 21   |
| 15             | F      | 11, 21       |
| 16             | F      | 11, 21       |
| 17             | F      | 11, 21       |
| 18             | F      | 11, 21       |
| 19             | M      | 11, 12, 21   |
| 20             | M      | 11, 21       |
| 21             | M      | 21           |
| 22             | M      | 11           |
| 23             | M      | 11, 21       |
| 24             | M      | 21, 22       |
| 25             | F      | 21, 22       |

M, male; F, female; Tooth numbers reflect the Federation Dentaire Internationale (FDI) numbering system.

Table 2. Actual and predicted maximum values of the vertical alveolar crest level in the edentulous areas (mm).

| Patient number | Actual maximum value | Predicted maximum value |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 1              | 1.40                 | 1.41                    |
| 2              | 1.22                 | 1.22                    |
| 3              | 0.88                 | 0.88                    |
| 4              | 1.45                 | 1.69                    |
| 5              | 1.40                 | 1.36                    |
| 6              | 1.66                 | 1.59                    |
| 7              | 0.55                 | 0.55                    |
| 8              | 1.17                 | 1.17                    |
| 9              | 0.95                 | 0.97                    |
| 10             | 2.85                 | 2.82                    |
| 11             | 1.13                 | 1.11                    |
| 12             | 0.92                 | 0.76                    |
| 13             | 1.65                 | 1.64                    |
| 14             | 0.86                 | 0.79                    |
| 15             | 1.79                 | 1.80                    |
| 16             | 2.12                 | 2.05                    |
| 17             | 1.19                 | 1.37                    |
| 18             | 1.01                 | 0.73                    |
| 19             | 1.18                 | 1.20                    |
| 20             | 0.95                 | 0.76                    |
| 21             | 1.64                 | 1.64                    |
| 22             | 3.47                 | 3.48                    |
| 23             | 1.60                 | 1.58                    |
| 24             | 1.62                 | 1.53                    |
| 25             | 1.78                 | 1.68                    |

Mean ± SD       1.48±0.64 | 1.43±0.65
P-value          0.093

*Statistically non-significant difference based on the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test.

SD, standard deviation.
actual and predicted socket depth after extraction were evaluated. Before extraction, the maximum socket depth was 3.37 ± 1.16 mm, and after extraction, the actual and predicted maximum socket depths were 1.92 ± 1.25 mm and 1.94 ± 1.27 mm, respectively. The maximum socket depth before extraction did not show a statistically significant correlation with the actual or the predicted maximum socket depth after extraction (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

The aim of this study was to investigate changes in the alveolar socket depth after the extraction of maxillary anterior teeth that showed considerable loss of attachment and alveolar bone resorption as the result of severe, chronic periodontitis. Following tooth extraction, changes in the vertical and horizontal width of the alveolar ridge are known to occur within a relatively short time [11,13,14]. In this study, periapical radiographs taken at least one year after tooth extraction were analyzed with the aim of evaluating alveolar socket depth after the major changes to the tooth extraction site had already been completed. In addition, in order to evaluate alveolar socket depth after natural healing, only cases of restoration using fixed partial dentures were selected, without any procedures to preserve or expand the alveolar ridge.

In the present study, in order to evaluate socket depth in the edentulous alveolar bone, an imaginary line between the proximal and distal alveolar crests was used as a reference. Previous studies on changes in the alveolar bone after tooth extraction have shown a small amount of vertical atrophy [8,16,17]. In this study, after extraction, the vertical level of the alveolar ridge followed a parabolic curve along this reference line, and was positioned 1.48 mm apically from this imaginary line. This distance did not show a statistically significant correlation with the alveolar socket depth before extraction. In other words, regardless of the amount of alveolar bone loss before extraction, the alveolar socket depth recovered towards the reference line connecting the proximal and distal alveolar crests by one year after extraction. The alveolar socket depth before extraction was evaluated based on radiological im-

Table 3. Horizontal coordinates corresponding to the actual and predicted maximum values of the vertical alveolar crest level in the edentulous areas.

| Patient number | Actual horizontal coordinate | Predicted horizontal coordinate |
|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1              | 10.28                        | 15.05                           |
| 2              | 10.80                        | 7.64                            |
| 3              | 3.71                         | 3.49                            |
| 4              | 4.49                         | 4.53                            |
| 5              | 6.88                         | 4.76                            |
| 6              | 5.34                         | 5.33                            |
| 7              | 2.73                         | 3.37                            |
| 8              | 2.61                         | 2.59                            |
| 9              | 4.77                         | 3.23                            |
| 10             | 7.25                         | 8.54                            |
| 11             | 6.08                         | 5.19                            |
| 12             | 3.14                         | 7.32                            |
| 13             | 12.04                        | 8.11                            |
| 14             | 7.80                         | 11.24                           |
| 15             | 6.26                         | 8.22                            |
| 16             | 9.00                         | 8.29                            |
| 17             | 6.95                         | 6.31                            |
| 18             | 3.26                         | 6.57                            |
| 19             | 14.05                        | 8.98                            |
| 20             | 3.32                         | 7.39                            |
| 21             | 4.99                         | 4.47                            |
| 22             | 5.07                         | 5.05                            |
| 23             | 5.71                         | 5.79                            |
| 24             | 5.26                         | 6.54                            |
| 25             | 6.22                         | 7.61                            |
| Mean ± SD     | 6.12 ± 2.90                  | 6.62 ± 2.74                     |
| P-value        | 0.510²                       |                                 |

²Statistically non-significant difference based on the Wilcoxon matched-pair signed-rank test.

SD, standard deviation.

Table 4. Correlations between the actual and predicted maximum values of the vertical alveolar crest level and the mesio-distal span of the edentulous area (mm), as well as the actual and predicted maximum values of the vertical alveolar crest level in areas of single or multiple tooth loss (mm).

| Mesio-distal span (mean ± SD) | Actual maximum value (mean ± SD) | Pearson correlation coefficient | P-value |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|
| 13.27 ± 5.62                 | 1.48 ± 0.64                      | 0.105                           | 0.617³  |
| Predicted maximum value (mean ± SD) | Pearson correlation coefficient | P-value |
| 1.43 ± 0.65                  | 0.074                            | 0.727³                           |
| Single tooth loss            | Multiple tooth loss              | P-value |
| Actual maximum value (mean ± SD) | Pearson correlation coefficient | P-value |
| 1.49 ± 0.81                  | 1.63 ± 0.62                      | 0.437³                           |
| Predicted maximum value (mean ± SD) | Pearson correlation coefficient | P-value |
| 1.44 ± 0.88                  | 1.43 ± 0.56                      | 0.782³                           |

³Statistically non-significant difference based on the Pearson correlation coefficient.

Statistically non-significant difference based on the Mann-Whitney U test.
#### Table 5. Changes in the vertical alveolar crest level after extraction (mm) and correlation between vertical alveolar crest levels before and after tooth extraction (mm).

| Maximum value before tooth extraction (mean ± SD) | Predicted maximum value (mean ± SD) | P-value  | Change after extraction (mean ± SD) | Pearson correlation coefficient |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 3.37 ± 1.16                                     | 1.43 ± 0.65                         | 0.607a   | 1.94 ± 1.27                         | 0.108                         |
| Actual maximum value (mean ± SD)                |                                     |          |                                     |                               |
| 1.48 ± 0.64                                     |                                     | 0.507a   | 1.92 ± 1.25                         | 0.119                         |

*Statistically non-significant difference based on the Pearson correlation coefficient.*

ages, and on average, it was found to be located 3.37 mm apically from the line connecting the adjacent alveolar crests. The vertical level of the alveolar bone was elevated after post-extraction healing. Closer examination of individual cases revealed that the vertical level of the alveolar bone consistently converged towards the line connecting the alveolar crests, but the increase in the vertical level of the alveolar bone following extraction varied among cases.

The alveolar socket depth after tooth extraction identified in this study is similar to those reported by previous studies that evaluating changes in the alveolar bone after extraction. Schropp et al. [11] studied alveolar bone healing after tooth extraction using subtraction radiography, and the point on the alveolar ridge closest to the root tip was found to be 1.2 mm lower than the crestal bone level of the adjacent teeth. However, it is interesting to note that the final vertical level of the alveolar ridge after extraction decreased in that study, while conversely, in the present study, the final vertical level of the alveolar ridge after extraction increased (Figure 2). Generally, after tooth extraction, the alveolar bone is known to undergo vertical and horizontal atrophy [5,6,11]. Lekovic et al. [6] made clinical measurements of changes in the alveolar ridge after extraction, and reported a vertical decrease in the alveolar ridge of 1.50 ± 0.21 mm after six months. Fiorellini et al. [10] examined extraction and healing after four months, finding a vertical decrease in the alveolar bone of 1.17 ± 1.23 mm.

The relationship of the distance between the proximal and distal alveolar crests with the maximum alveolar socket depth was evaluated, but no statistically significant correlation was found. In other words, the maximum alveolar socket depth after extraction was consistent, regardless of the distance between the proximal and distal alveolar crests in the edentulous site. This means that similar recovery of the alveolar socket depth in the anterior maxilla is achievable for both single and multiple tooth extractions. No statistically significant differences were found in the alveolar socket depth depending on whether a single tooth or multiple teeth were lost.

This study analyzed periapical radiographs to evaluate changes in the vertical level of the alveolar ridge before and after the extraction of maxillary anterior teeth showing considerable loss of attachment and alveolar bone resorption due to severe, chronic periodontitis. The socket depth after extraction was found to be elevated towards the reference line connecting the proximal and distal alveolar crests. Additional studies are required to determine whether this vertical elevation in the level of the alveolar ridge, as seen in radiological images, reflects an actual elevation in the vertical level of the alveolar bone on the buccal and lingual sides. Moreover, further research will be required to go beyond studying vertical changes to investigate patterns of recovery and factors that affect horizontal bone width.

In conclusion, this study was a retrospective analysis, using radiological images, of the vertical level of the alveolar ridge after the extraction of maxillary anterior teeth showing considerable loss of attachment and alveolar bone in patients with severe, chronic periodontitis. After extraction, the vertical level of the alveolar ridge followed a parabolic shape, and increased to be closer to a reference line connecting the proximal and distal alveolar crests, regardless of the vertical loss of alveolar bone before extraction or the proximal-to-distal width of the edentulous area.
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