A genetic screen for temperature-sensitive morphogenesis-defective Caenorhabditis elegans mutants
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Abstract
Morphogenesis involves coordinated cell migrations and cell shape changes that generate tissues and organs, and organize the body plan. Cell adhesion and the cytoskeleton are important for executing morphogenesis, but their regulation remains poorly understood. As genes required for embryonic morphogenesis may have earlier roles in development, temperature-sensitive embryonic-lethal mutations are useful tools for investigating this process. From a collection of ~200 such Caenorhabditis elegans mutants, we have identified 17 that have highly penetrant embryonic morphogenesis defects after upshifts from the permissive to the restrictive temperature, just prior to the cell shape changes that mediate elongation of the ovoid embryo into a vermiform larva. Using whole genome sequencing, we identified the causal mutations in seven affected genes. These include three genes that have roles in producing the extracellular matrix, which is known to affect the morphogenesis of epithelial tissues in multicellular organisms: the rib-1 and rib-2 genes encode glycosyltransferases, and the emb-9 gene encodes a collagen subunit. We also used live imaging to characterize epidermal cell shape dynamics in one mutant, or1219ts, and observed cell elongation defects during dorsal intercalation and ventral enclosure that may be responsible for the body elongation defects. These results indicate that our screen has identified factors that influence morphogenesis and provides a platform for advancing our understanding of this fundamental biological process.
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Introduction
Morphogenesis is a conserved process of cell shape changes and movements that ultimately organize and shape an organism. During animal embryogenesis, cell proliferation and cell fate specification produce differentiated cells, while morphogenetic changes in cell shape and position spatially organize them (Lecuit and Le Goff 2007; Lecuit and Lenne 2007; Sutherland 2016). Defects in embryonic morphogenesis can result in phenotypes ranging from nonlethal to lethal. For example, morphogenetic defects are associated with vascular and neural tube closure abnormalities in humans (Ray and Niswander 2012; Greene and Copp 2014), and morphogenetic mechanisms are important in wound healing and cancer metastasis (Martin and Parkhurst, 2004; Friedl and Gilmour 2009). While the cytoskeleton and cell adhesion are instrumental to cell shape changes and movements during morphogenesis, their regulation remains only partially understood.

The nematode Caenorhabditis elegans—with its powerful genetics, optical transparency, and a simple and invariant cell lineage—provides a useful model system for investigating morphogenesis. The body plan of C. elegans is relatively simple, and elongation of the initially ovoid embryo into a vermiform larva is driven largely by epidermal cell morphogenesis. This elongation comprises three epidermal cell morphogenetic events: dorsal intercalation, ventral enclosure, and seam cell elongation (Sulston et al. 1983; Priess and Hirsh 1986; Chin-Sang and Chisholm 2000; Simske and Hardin 2001; Chisholm and Hardin 2005; Hall and Altun 2008; Carvalho and Broday 2020).

Most of the epidermal cells are specified by about 210–240 minutes at 20°C after the first embryonic mitosis. The resulting six rows of cells, located in a patch on the posterior dorsal side of the embryo, are organized with two inner rows (dorsal epidermal cells), two middle rows (lateral epidermal cells called seam cells), and two outer rows (ventral epidermal cells) containing 20, 20, and 18 cells, respectively. The first epidermal morphogenetic process, called dorsal intercalation, begins between 290 and 340 minutes, when the two rows of 10 dorsal cells on either side of the dorsal mid-line interdigitate. These cells become wedge-shaped and extend basolateral protrusions at their pointy ends in the direction of the dorsal midline (Figure 1A; Williams-Masson et al. 1998). The contralateral cells then extend past each other until they make contact...
with seam cells on the opposite side, thus creating a single row of elongated cells along the dorsal surface (Figure 1B). Dorsal intercalation lengthens the dorsal surface relative to the lateral and ventral surfaces, creating a bean-shaped embryo (Heid et al. 2001). Actin microfilaments and microtubules are required for intercalation (Williams-Masson et al. 1998). Parallel pathways involving Rac and RhoG (Walck-Shannon et al. 2015; Walck-Shannon and Hardin 2016), the polarity pathway members including CDC-42, PAR-2, and PAR-3 (Walck-Shannon et al. 2016), and the ephrin receptor VAB-1 (Walck-Shannon et al. 2016) control the basolateral

Figure 1 Terminal elongation-defective phenotypes for TS-EL mutants after L4 and late upshifts (A–E) Schematics of wild-type embryos undergoing morphogenesis at stages just prior to bean (A), at 1.25-fold (B), at 1.5-fold (C), at 2-fold (D), and at 3-fold (E). All embryos are oriented with anterior to the left and dorsal at the top. Dorsal epidermal cell names are in blue, lateral epidermal cells (also called seam cells) in green, and ventral epidermal cells in pink. * in panel B, in order from anterior to posterior, refers to cells P1/2, P3/4, P5/6, P7/8, P9/10, and P11/12. “d” is the anterior deirid and “e” is the excretory pore. (F–G) Quantification of terminal elongation-defective phenotypes for TS-EL mutant and wild-type (N2) embryos after L4 upshifts to 26˚C (F), after no upshifts (G), and after late upshifts (H). Shown here are only the 17 mutants that had penetrant elongation-defective phenotypes after late upshifts (≥70% embryos exhibiting a single elongation-defective phenotype). Mutants are shown from left to right by decreasing penetrance for the most penetrant elongation category. Percent of embryos that differentiated well but arrested with no elongation (purple), or arrested at the 1.25 < 2-fold stage (light blue), at the 2-fold stage (green), at the 2 < 3-fold stage (yellow), or with full elongation (≥3-fold), or exhibited differentiation defects (red) were scored using Nomarski optics. Examples of Nomarski images of control embryos for each elongation stage are shown in the Key. The mutants are listed in the same order from left to right for both the L4 upshift (F) and no upshift (G) graphs. The CRISPR-made alleles reconstituting the or1193ts missense mutation in the gene rib-1, or1961 and or1962, are included to the right of the original 17 mutants identified in the screen. If the causal mutation has been identified for a TS-EL mutant, the affected gene is listed next to the TS-EL mutant allele, and the number of embryos scored for each mutant is in brackets. See Supplementary Figure 2 for the for all 79 TS-EL mutants with penetrant elongation defects after L4 upshifts, and Supplementary Figure 3 for TS-EL mutants with low penetrance defects after late upshifts.
protrusive activity for tip formation of dorsal epidermal cells undergoing intercalation. Nevertheless, the mechanisms regulating dorsal intercalation require further exploration.

Ventral enclosure begins as dorsal intercalation ends and serves to cover the posterior ventral surface of the embryo with a layer of epidermal cells. In the first step, two pairs of leading cells, V and 18 on the left side and VI and 19 on the right side (Hall and Altun 2008; Figure 1A), extend actin-rich filopodia toward the ventral midline until they meet and form stable adherens junctions. Regulation of actin through Rac signaling and the WAVE/SCAR and Arp2/3 complexes are required for proper ventral enclosure (Soto et al. 2002; Patel et al. 2008). Next, the ventral epidermal cells posterior to the leading cells, known as ventral pocket cells, become wedge-shaped and elongate toward the ventral midline (Figure 1B). Enclosure completes when the pocket cells meet and fuse at the ventral midline, likely by a “purse-string” mechanism utilizing an actomyosin cable similar to Drosophila dorsal closure (Williams-Masson et al. 1997, Gilbert et al. 2020). The movement of the ventral epidermal cells also requires the underlying neuroblasts; loss of the ephrin receptor VAB-1 in neuroblasts results in the lack of neuroblast ventral cleft closure and the subsequent nonautonomous halting of epidermal leading cell migration (George et al. 1998; Chin-Sang et al. 1999, Carvalho and Broday 2020).

Once ventral enclosure is complete, about 350 minutes after the first cleavage, elongation of the embryo along the anterior–posterior axis begins, ending at about 600 minutes when the embryo is fourfold in length. Elongation is divided into two stages. The second stage, which we will not discuss at length here, requires muscle twitching to elongate the embryo from two to fourfold (Chisholm and Hardin 2005; Carvalho and Broday 2020).

To identify additional genes required for embryonic morphogenesis, we have examined a collection of about 200 TS-EL mutants with normal early embryonic cell divisions. Seventeen of these mutants appear to make roughly normal numbers of differentiated cell types but arrest with partial or no elongation after upshifts to the restrictive temperature just prior to the beginning of embryonic elongation. Using a combination of classical genetics and whole genome sequencing, we have identified the causal mutations in eight of these mutants, affecting seven genes. These include alleles of rib-1 and rib-2, which encode heparan sulfate synthesis proteins, and emb-9, which encodes an extracellular matrix (ECM) collagen protein, all genes that are known to have roles in morphogenesis in other systems (Rosario and DeSimone 2010; Clause and Barker 2013; Daley and Yamada 2013; Poulain and Yost 2015, Jayadev and Sherwood 2017). We also examined the epidermal cell shape changes that normally occur during elongation in the mutant or1219ts and identified defects in dorsal intercalation and ventral enclosure. These results provide a foundation for extending our understanding of the gene networks that regulate and execute embryonic morphogenesis in C. elegans.

Materials and methods

C. elegans strains and culture

TS-EL mutants in a lin-2(e1309) background were isolated after chemical mutagenesis with N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea (ENU), as previously described (Kemphues et al. 1988; Encalada et al. 2000; O’Rourke et al. 2011). Briefly, lin-2(e1309) homozygous worms were treated with ENU and the heterozygous F1 progeny were individualized onto plates and allowed to undergo self-fertilization at 15°C. L4 and young adults were upshifted to 26°C to distinguish between worms bagging out with hatched versus unhatched embryos. Those in the latter category were returned to 15°C to identify conditional TS-EL mutants where embryos hatch. The 191 TS-EL mutants screened in this current study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The mutants of known genes used for complementation tests are listed in Supplementary Table 2.
C. elegans Bristol strain (N2) was used as the wild-type strain, the Hawaiian strain (CB4856) was used for SNP mapping, and FT63 xnlis1[dpy-1::DLG-1::GFP, rol-6(su1006)] X was used to mark epidermal cell membranes (CGC). All strains were maintained at the permissive temperature (15°C) on standard nematode growth medium plates seeded with the E. coli strain OP50 (Brenner 1974).

CRISPR
The appropriate sgRNA and PAM sites were selected using the website http://crispr.mit.edu/ to recreate the rib-1(or1193ts) point mutation: cAt to cTt equating to the amino acid change H126L. The injection mixture was prepared according to Dokshin et al. (2018) and injected into young N2 adults: rib-1 repair oligo ssDNA (‘SATTGGATCCGTCAGTTTGGAAAAATGGAAGAATCCTTGATCTTTAACCTTTTACCAGAATTTCTCTTTGATTATGATGATCATAATTTAAA; or1193ts point mutation, silent mutations, Ncol restriction enzyme site; IDT), crRNA (‘SCTGATTTTCAATTCTATCA; IDT), trRNA (IDT), Cas9 RNP (IDT), and the co-injection marker rol-6(su1006) on plasmid PRF4. The F1 progeny of the injected animals were selected for the roller phenotype and screened by PCR (forward primer ‘S-’TTGGAAGTGTTCGGTTACAAAA; reverse primer 5’-AACTAAAAATTTGCAAGAAAACC; IDT) and Ncol restriction digestion (New England Biolabs). Non-roller, homozygous mutant worms were identified and outcrossed to N2 and the point mutation was confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Sequetch). Two independent lines, EU3219 rib-1(or1961ts) and EU3220 rib-1(or1962ts), were analyzed for embryonic lethality and terminal phenotypes after upshift.

Temperature-sensitive embryonic-lethality assay
Embryonic lethality was scored by singling out ten L4 hermaprodites onto individual plates and incubating them either at the permissive temperature (15°C) for two nights or the restrictive temperature (26°C) for one night. Parents were then removed and the number of eggs and larvae were counted. Plates were returned to the same temperature for either two more nights at 15°C or one more night at 26°C and unhatched eggs were counted to determine the percent non-hatching rate.

Terminal phenotyping assay
L4 Upshifts: Homozygous mutant worms were raised at the permissive temperature (15°C) on agar plates seeded with bacteria until they became young gravid adults. Early stage embryos (1–8 cell stages) were dissected and sorted at 15°C. Slides were prepared as described above for “L4 upshifts”, but slides were maintained at 15°C for 7–7.5 hours before being upshifted to the restrictive temperature (26°C) for 15–16 hours. Embryos were then examined and scored using Nomarski optics as described above for “L4 upshifts”. The 7–7.5 hours (420–450 minutes) at 15°C corresponds to ~5 hours at 20°C (~300 minutes) at which point embryos have bypassed most of the cell division and cell fate patterning developmental programs and are at a stage just prior to the morphogenetic programs of dorsal intercalation, ventral enclosure, and elongation (Sulston et al. 1983; Chisholm and Hardin 2005).

Genetic analysis
TS-EL mutants with penetrant terminal phenotypes after L4 upshift were outcrossed to N2 males to remove the lin-2(e1309) mutation present in the parental strains as previously described (Lowry et al. 2015). All TS-EL mutations were successfully separated from lin-2(e1309) after one or two rounds of N2 outcrossing. Concurrently, we assessed if the mutations appear recessive. We expected 0–25% embryonic lethality in the broods of 10 individually TS-EL/+ F1 heterozygotes after shifting to the restrictive temperature, with 0% expected for recessive maternal-effect mutants and 25% for recessive zygotic-effect mutants. Second, we determined if the mutations demonstrate Mendelian segregation. When lethality is due to a single gene mutation, 25% of the 80 individualized F2 worms produced after F1 TS-EL/+ self-fertilization are expected to make broods of dead embryos at the restrictive temperature, whereas if lethality is due to a double gene mutation, 6.25% of F2 worms are expected to make broods of dead embryos. TS-EL mutants that appeared dominant (>~25% embryonic lethality) or a multiple gene mutation (<10% F1’s with embryonic lethal broods) were removed from further analysis.

Identification of causal mutations
We performed whole genome sequencing, SNP mapping, and sequencing analysis as described previously (Lowry et al. 2015). Briefly, homozygous TS-EL mutants were mated to a polymorphic Hawaiian strain, CB4856, with SNPs roughly every ~1.0 kb compared to the N2 parental strain. 10–30 homozygous TS-EL F2 strains were then isolated from each cross and genomic DNA was pooled for sequencing using the Qiagen DNAeasy and Kapa HyperPlus Preparation Kits. Whole genome sequencing was performed using paired-end NextSeq 500 Illumina sequencing in the Genomics and Cell Characterization Core Facility (GC3F) at the University of Oregon. The data files were then processed using the Galaxy platform as previously described (Lowry et al. 2015). TS-EL mutations were mapped to intervals of a few megabases and candidate mutations were identified within those intervals, filtering for missense and splice-site mutations in coding sequences of genes known to be essential based on genome-wide RNAi screens or previous mutagenesis screens. Candidate genes were then tested using complementation tests with known alleles when available (Supplementary Table 2). Homozygous mutations were visualized using the Integrative Genomics Viewer from the Broad Institute (Robinson et al. 2011, 2017; Thorvaldsdottir et al. 2013).

Assessing cell shape changes in live embryos
To investigate the cell shape changes and movements that mediate early elongation, xnlis1[dpy-1::DLG-1::GFP, rol-6(su1006)] X
that marks epidermal cell membranes (Firestein and Rongo 2001) was introduced by mating into the or1219ts mutant background. Two strains were produced and used for analysis: (1) EU3187 or1219ts; rol-6(su1006) C, and (2) EU3208 or1219ts; rol-6(su1006) X. As both strains yielded the same results, data collected from both were combined for our analysis of phenotypes. To assess epidermal cell shapes in live embryos, 1p::DLG-1::GFP, rol-6(C14)/C14 embryos were harvested and sorted from gravid adults at the permissive temperature (15°C). The embryos were allowed to develop in a watch glass in M9 for 6 hours at 15°C, at which point, the embryos were mounted on 2% agarose pad sandwiched between a 22×40mm coverslip and an 18x18mm coverslip sealed with 100% petroleum jelly to prevent desiccation. We used the Cherry Temp system from Cherry Biotech, a fluidics device that permits temperature control of biological samples while imaging at microscopes. The mounted embryo coverslip sandwich was attached with tape to the Cherry Temp fluidics chip set to 15°C. At 6.5 hours after harvest, the late stage embryos were either left at the control temperature of 15°C for 2 hours or upshifted to 26°C for 1 hour to age them to bean stage, when DLG-1::GFP is expressed in the embryo (Firestein and Rongo 2001). After the embryos were aged to bean stage (time 0 minutes), embryos were then imaged every 40 minutes at 15°C or every 20 minutes at 26°C for several hours using an Andor Leica spinning-disc confocal microscope. Because embryonic development is twice as fast at 26°C compared to 15°C (Corsi et al. 2015), the aging and imaging times were adjusted to allow for direct comparisons of epidermal cell shapes between the two temperatures for each imaging time point. Entire embryos were imaged at 100x by acquiring multiple stacks (>50 slices) with a step size of 0.5 μm, 488 laser power of 0.5%, EM Gain of 300, offset of 0, and an exposure time of 100 ms. Maximum projection images were created using ImageJ and converted from 16 bit to 8 bit. Contrast (output levels, gamma) were adjusted to optimize the visibility of the epidermal cell membranes and figures were built in Adobe Photoshop CS5 and Adobe Illustrator CS5.

**Results**

**Identifying recessive, single locus, TS-EL mutations that confer penetrant elongation defects**

To identify essential genes required for embryonic morphogenesis, we examined a collection of previously isolated TS-EL mutants (Encalada et al. 2000; O’Rourke et al. 2011; Lowry et al. 2015), focusing on 191 that appeared to have normal early embryonic cell divisions but nevertheless failed to hatch (Supplementary Figure 1). We initially examined the terminally differentiated phenotypes of embryos produced after shifting L4 larvae to the restrictive temperature (see Materials and Methods; Supplementary Figure 1), to identify mutants with roughly normal patterns of differentiated cell types that failed to elongate. Seventy-nine mutants produced embryos with penetrant elongation defects (>70% arresting with roughly the same extent of embryonic elongation stage), and 30 mutants were more variable (40–70% arresting with roughly the same extent of embryonic elongation) (Supplementary Figures 1 and 2). Of the 79 mutants with penetrant defects, the majority arrested with no elongation (63), the remainder (16) arrested with some elongation but failed to hatch (Figure 1F, Supplementary Figures 1 and 2, A–B).

The large number of mutants with penetrant elongation defects suggested to us that many of them might have earlier defects in cell fate specification or cell division that indirectly affect morphogenesis. We therefore next raised mutant worms to adulthood and allowed their embryos to develop at the permissive temperature (15°C) to bypass the bulk of proliferation, cell fate specification and differentiation, before upshifting embryos to the restrictive temperature (26°C) shortly before the morphogenetic elongation processes of dorsal intercalation, ventral enclosure, and elongation (see Materials and Methods). After these “late upshifts,” the embryos produced by most of the mutants elongated and hatched (Supplementary Figures 1 and 3), indicating that either earlier requirements during embryogenesis were responsible for the elongation defects we observed after L4 larval upshifts, or that the TS mutations were insufficiently fast-acting to impair morphogenesis. However, embryos from 17 mutants still failed to elongate after these late upshifts (Figure 1H, Supplementary Figure 1), suggesting that the affected loci are more likely to have direct roles in morphogenesis. All of these 17 mutants displayed a greater extent of elongation and hatching when kept at 15°C continuously (Figure 1G). Of the 63 mutants that were penetrant for no elongation after L4 upshifts, only six remained penetrant after late upshifts. Of the 16 mutants that were penetrant for partial elongation after L4 upshifts, 11 remained penetrant for partial elongation after later upshifts. We conclude that these 17 mutants are more likely to be directly involved in the regulation or execution of embryonic morphogenesis.

We next genetically characterized the 79 mutants with penetrant elongation defects to determine if the mutations appeared recessive and affected a single locus (see Materials and Methods). All 17 mutants with penetrant elongation defects after late upshifts appeared to be recessive and affect a single locus. All but four of the remaining 62 mutants with penetrant elongation defects only after L4 upshifts appeared to be recessive, and all but three appeared to affect a single locus (Table 1, Supplementary Table 3, Supplementary Figure 1). The seven mutants that were either semi-dominant or appeared to affect more than a single locus were not further analyzed.

**Identifying causal mutations**

After identifying likely morphogenesis-defective mutants, we next sought to identify the causal mutations in both the 17 penetrant late stage upshifted mutants and some of the 62 mutants that were penetrant only after L4 upshifts. To identify candidate mutations, we used a whole genome sequencing approach combined with genome-wide Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) mapping (Doitsidou et al. 2010; Minevich et al. 2012; Lowry et al. 2015). Thus far, we have completed whole genome sequencing for 37 of the 62 mutants that were penetrant for elongation defects only after L4 upshifts, and for 14 of the 17 mutants that had penetrant elongation defects after late upshifts (data not shown; Figure 2A, Supplementary Figures 4A, 5, A and B, 6A, 7A, 8A, and 9A). After identifying the region of interest, typically spanning several megabases, we focused further effort on nonsense and splice site mutations in essential genes, as we have found that these types of mutations account for most of the TS alleles we have isolated (O’Rourke et al. 2011; Lowry et al. 2015).

We next performed complementation tests with known mutant alleles to identify causal mutations (see Materials and Methods). Of the 17 mutants with penetrant elongation defects after late upshifts, eight failed to complement previously isolated mutations in essential genes while complementing mutations in
other candidate genes; the causal mutations have yet to be identified for the other nine mutants (Table 2; Supplementary Figure S1). We confirmed the homozygosity of each causal mutation by viewing the sequencing reads at the site of the mutation using the Integrative Genomics Viewer from the Broad Institute (Figure 2C, Supplementary Figures 4C, 5D, 6C, 7C, 8C, and 9C) (Robinson et al. 2011, 2017; Thorvaldsdottir et al. 2013). The eight confirmed causal mutations represent seven different genes (Table 2, Supplementary Table S4).

Three of the causal mutations we have identified map to genes that are likely to directly influence morphogenesis. The alleles or1193ts and or1688ts failed to complement previously isolated mutations in rib-1 and rib-2, respectively, which function in the same protein modification pathway (Table 3). They encode heparan sulfate synthesis proteins that are known to regulate morphogenesis in C. elegans and other organisms (Kitagawa et al. 2001, 2007; Morio et al. 2003; Franks et al. 2006; Poulain and Yost 2015), although how post-translational sugar modifications influence morphogenesis is still unclear (see Discussion). We also identified or1723ts as a mutation in emb-9, which encodes a collagen subunit (Table 3), a structural component of extracellular matrices which are known to provide support, both molecularly and mechanically, to cells undergoing morphogenesis (Guo and Kramer, 1989; Rozario and DeSimone, 2010; Clause and Barker 2013; Daley and Yamada 2013; Jayadev and Sherwood, 2017). Therefore, our screen appears to have identified mutations in genes that directly influence morphogenesis.

We also performed complementation tests with several mutants that exhibited penetrant elongation defects only after L4 upshifts. Twenty-four of these mutant alleles failed to complement previously isolated mutations in 15 genes (Supplementary Table 5; Supplementary Figure 1). All the mutations were missense in nature except for one allele: or1237ts is a nonsense mutation in gene fntb-1 (Supplementary Table 6). Most of these genes encode proteins with general roles in gene expression (Supplementary Table 7), consistent with our hypothesis that L4 upshifts might lead to low penetrance or late defects in cell division or cell fate specification that indirectly interfere with elongation. For example, we identified alleles of qlp-1, which encodes a Notch receptor involved in blastomere specification (Good et al. 2004); chaf-1, which encodes a chromatin assembly factor subunit (Nakano et al. 2011; Shibata et al. 2019); and zul-1, which encodes a kinetochore protein (Gassmann et al. 2008).

While complementation tests are useful for identifying causal mutations, they are not fully conclusive. For example, other mutations in the two genetic backgrounds might interact to generate the observed failure to complement. Furthermore, while six of the eight causal mutations we identified that caused penetrant elongation defects after late upshifts are missense mutations, the or1106ts strain has a nonsense mutation at codon 199 in the gene zim-3, and the or1330ts strain has 1 bp insertion at codon 967 that results in a frameshift and early stop at codon 1,004 in the gene emb-4 (Supplementary Table 4; Supplementary Figures 5C, 7B). Such mutations are less likely than missense mutations to result in conditional embryonic lethality. To more rigorously determine if a complementation test correctly identified the causal mutation, we used CRISPR genome editing to introduce the or1193ts missense mutation into the rib-1 gene, using the N2 wild-type strain background. We independently isolated two alleles, or1961 and or1962, that both introduce the same amino acid change as or1193ts, an A to T missense mutation that substitutes a histidine for a leucine at codon 126 in the rib-1 gene (Figure 2B; Supplementary Table 4; see Materials and Methods). Both or1961 and or1962 behaved as recessive single locus mutations and exhibited conditional embryonic lethality (Table 1), and both resulted in elongation defects that resembled those observed with the or1193ts mutant, after both L4 larval and later embryonic temperature upshifts (Figure 1, F–H). Furthermore, both CRISPR alleles failed to complement the original or1193ts mutant (Table 2). These results support our conclusion that the or1193ts missense mutation in rib-1 is responsible for the observed conditional embryonic lethality and morphogenesis defect.

**Epidermal cell shape changes that mediate embryonic elongation are defective in or1219ts mutant embryos**

To further explore whether the 17 TS-EL mutants with penetrant elongation defects after late upshifts are specifically defective in embryonic morphogenesis, we used live imaging to analyze

---

**Table 1** Embryonic lethality and genetic characterization of penetrant late upshifted TS-EL mutants

| TS allele | Gene | % EL at 15°C (n) | % EL at 26°C (n) | Heterozygous % EL at 26°C (n) | Segregation frequency (n) |
|-----------|------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|
| or805     | emb-5| 1.3 (388)       | 99.4 (882)      | 19.2 (1140)                 | 28.8 (80)                |
| or862     |      | 0.6 (334)       | 98.4 (382)      | 1.1 (263)                   | 22.5 (80)                |
| or867     |      | 3.9 (332)       | 100.0 (565)     | 2.7 (594)                   | 22.5 (80)                |
| or922     |      | 5.0 (317)       | 98.9 (725)      | 21.3 (2004)                 | 28.9 (90)                |
| or991     |      | 28.9 (516)      | 77.0 (575)      | 0.8 (384)                   | 20.0 (80)                |
| or1002    | zim-3| 66.9 (662)      | 91.7 (945)      | 8.5 (863)                   | 27.8 (90)                |
| or1106    | zim-3| 63.7 (870)      | 95.8 (402)      | 2.1 (568)                   | 16.5 (79)                |
| or1107    |      | 0.2 (543)       | 97.2 (1008)     | 27.1 (1357)                 | 32.9 (82)                |
| or1193    | rib-1| 28.2 (1613)     | 95.1 (2607)     | 13.9 (1365)                 | 21.8 (78)                |
| or1195    |      | 63.1 (537)      | 99.1 (434)      | 18.4 (1701)                 | 34.6 (78)                |
| or1219    |      | 12.7 (900)      | 99.7 (651)      | 8.3 (229)                   | 18.8 (80)                |
| or1312    | hll-1| 1.7 (631)       | 99.4 (1167)     | 16.6 (465)                  | 17.7 (79)                |
| or1330    | emb-4| 50.0 (1205)     | 100.0 (916)     | 27.2 (687)                  | 23.0 (100)               |
| or1354    |      | 69.0 (507)      | 100.0 (495)     | 2.8 (435)                   | 23.6 (89)                |
| or1688    | rib-2| 56.6 (806)      | 87.7 (929)      | 2.7 (1134)                  | 19.3 (88)                |
| or1723    | emb-9| 1.5 (390)       | 100.0 (423)     | 16.8 (285)                  | 21.3 (80)                |
| or1752    |      | 0.9 (318)       | 100.0 (548)     | 5.8 (452)                   | 26.3 (80)                |
| or1961    | rib-1| 22.9 (772)      | 95.4 (1621)     | 18.0 (1357)                 | 22.4 (49)                |
| or1962    | rib-1| 23.3 (848)      | 95.9 (1599)     | 19.4 (1150)                 | 20.0 (50)                |

*Embryonic lethality and hatched PAT phenotypes combined (22.3% and 77.7%, respectively).
epidermal cell shape changes in or1219ts, which exhibited the most highly penetrant elongation defect. To assess epidermal cell shapes over time (see Materials and Methods), we used genetic crosses to introduce or1219ts into a transgenic strain expressing a GFP fusion to DLG-1, which marks epidermal cell membranes (Firestein and Rongo 2001). We first examined or1219ts mutant embryos kept at 15°C and observed that their development was delayed relative to control embryos. By 400 minutes after the start of imaging, nearly all control embryos had elongated 2-fold in length, while about 60% of or1219ts embryos had elongated ≤2-fold in length (Figures 3 and 5A). 70% of or1219ts embryos did elongate to ≥3-fold by 720 minutes and were still alive.
after the extended period of imaging (Figures 3, and 5, A and B). We also observed that after the late temperature upshifts prior to elongation, epidermal cell fate patterning was relatively normal in or1219ts embryos. The number, shape, and location of epidermal cells in about 90% of upshifted or1219ts mutant embryos appeared similar to control embryos (Figures 4 and 5A). As a few or1219ts embryos displayed abnormal organization of the epidermal cells even when kept at 15°C (~6%, Figure 5A), there may be a low level of cell fate patterning defects in this mutant background.

We next examined or1219ts mutant embryos to determine if subsequent defects in epidermal cell shape or position after the late upshifts are associated with their failure to elongate. In control animals maintained at 15°C or upshifted to 26°C, dorsal intercalation began when the two rows of 10 dorsal epidermal cells each elongated toward the dorsal midline. The dorsal cells then slid past one another to make contact with seam cells on the opposite side to produce a single “ladder-like” row of dorsal cells (Figures 3 and 4). This morphogenetic event elongates the dorsal surface to create the embryo’s “bean-like” shape (Williams-Masson et al. 1998; Heid et al. 2001). In contrast to control embryos, in most or1219ts mutants after late temperature upshifts, one or more dorsal epidermal cells failed to make contact by 360 minutes with the seam cells on the opposite side (Figures 4 and 5C). Furthermore, ventral enclosure also was perturbed in or1219ts mutants after the temperature upshift. In control animals, the ventral leading cells (the two most anteriorly located cells on either side of the ventral midline, cells V, VI, 18, and 19) extended toward each other and made contact (Figures 3, 4, and 5D). In most upshifted or1219ts mutants, the leading cells began to extend toward one another but ultimately failed and eventually retracted away from the ventral midline (Figures 4 and 5D). In a few cases, the wrong ventral cells attempted to make contact across the ventral midline, or the leading cells made contact but the remaining posteriorly located ventral cells failed to enclose (Figure 5D, Supplementary Figure 10). These results indicate that the or1219ts mutant is defective in epidermal morphogenesis and further support our conclusion that late stage upshifts are more likely to identify mutants specifically defective in embryonic morphogenesis.

Discussion

To identify genes required for embryonic morphogenesis in C. elegans, we have used a forward genetics approach that was biased only by a selection for conditional mutations in essential genes that led to embryonic lethality at the restrictive temperature (TS-EL mutants). We initially examined the terminally differentiated embryonic phenotypes from nearly 200 TS-EL mutants that had normal early embryonic cell divisions. After upshifting L4 mutant larvae to the restrictive temperature, we found about 80 mutants that produced embryos with roughly normal cell fate patterning but nevertheless failed to fully elongate. Given the large proportion of mutants that failed to elongate, we suspected that low-penetrance or late defects in cell division or cell fate specification might indirectly result in defective elongation. Indeed, when we identified the causal mutations from a subset of these L4 upshifted mutants, most of the affected genes encode factors that function very generally in gene expression (see Supplementary Table 5). We therefore refined our screen by upshifting embryos to the restrictive temperature just prior to the initiation of elongation, seeking to bypass earlier requirements and focus only on mutants that failed to elongate after late temperature upshifts. This reduced the number to 17 mutants with penetrant terminally differentiated elongation-defective phenotypes, and we have identified the likely causal mutations for eight of these mutants. Three of the affected genes—rib-1, rib-2, and emb-9—are known to be required for morphogenesis, indicating that our screening procedure was effective in identifying morphogenetic factors. Furthermore, one mutant, or1219ts, has defects in cell elongation during dorsal intercalation, ventral enclosure and seam cell elongation, cell shape changes that drive embryonic elongation, further supporting the value of this mutant collection for advancing our understanding of the pathways that regulate and execute morphogenesis during C. elegans embryogenesis.

The extracellular matrix and morphogenesis

The three genes rib-1, rib-2, and emb-9 encode proteins that help form the ECM, which is known to influence morphogenetic processes in both invertebrates and vertebrates. The ECM is composed of fibrous structural proteins, including collagens and laminins, and proteoglycans, proteins that are covalently

Table 2 Causal mutation identification for penetrant late upshifted TS-EL mutants

| TS allele | Gene | Allele | % EL at 26°C (n) |
|-----------|------|--------|-----------------|
| or862     | emb-5| hck61ts| 100.0 (266)     |
| or1002    | muu-3| rkl95ts| 4.2 (1311)      |
| or1106    | zim-3| or1106ts| 95.8 (2211)    |
| or1193    | rib-1| ok556  | 97.1 (208)      |
| or1312    | rhlh-1| cc561ts| 11.8 (561)      |
| or1330    | emb-4| hck60ts| 97.1 (208)      |
| or1688    | rib-2| gk318  | 97.1 (208)      |
| or1723    | emb-9| hck70ts| 97.1 (208)      |
| or1961    | zfp-1| ok544  | 97.1 (208)      |
| or1962    | rib-1| or1193ts| 97.1 (208)     |

Notes: Bold denotes the known mutation in each gene failed to complement the TS-EL allele.

Table 3 Gene orthologs and functions for late upshifted causal TS-EL mutations

| Gene | TS allele | Human ortholog | Function |
|------|-----------|----------------|----------|
| Cell division | (meiosis and/or mitosis) | | |
| zim-3 | or1002 | None | Zinc-finger in meiosis |
| or1106 | | | |
| Gene expression | (signaling/transcription factors) | | |
| emb-5 | or862 | SPT6 | RNA polymerase II transcription elongation factor |
| or1193 | | | |
| rhlh-1 | or1312 | MRF | Basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor |
| Gene expression | (nucleosome/chromatin regulation) | | |
| emb-4 | or1330 | Aquarius | Nuclear protein with putative AAA ATPase domain |
| Extracellular matrix | | | |
| emb-9 | or1723 | COL4A3, 5, 6 | Collagen |
| Protein modification | | | |
| rib-1 | or1193 | EXT1 | GlcNAc transferase for synthesis of heparan sulfate proteoglycans |
| rib-2 | or1688 | EXT1L3 | alpha 1,4-N-acetylgalactosaminyl-transferase |


modified with glycosaminoglycan sugar chains including chondroitin-, dermatan-, keratin-, and heparan-sulfate (HS) (Clause et al., 2006).

**rib-1 and rib-2 encode glycosyltransferases that affect morphogenetic signaling pathways**

Our findings that rib-1 and rib-2 mutants have early defects in elongation are consistent with previous studies indicating that these enzymes play important roles during embryonic morphogenesis. The genes rib-1 and rib-2 both encode proteins involved in heparan sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG) biosynthesis. RIB-2 is orthologs to human exostosin-like glycosyltransferase 3 (EXTL3) that catalyzes the addition of the first N-acetylgalactosamine (GlcNAc) molecule at serine residues, while RIB-1 is orthologs to human exostosin glycosyltransferase 2 (EXT2) that elongates the linear HS chain by subsequently adding more GlcNAc molecules (Kitagawa et al., 2001, 2007; Morio et al. 2003; Franks et al. 2006). Mutants of both rib-1 and rib-2 produce decreased levels of HS compared to wild-type worms, suggesting their functions are conserved (Kitagawa et al. 2001, 2007; Morio et al. 2003; Franks et al. 2006).

Glycosylation of ECM proteins has been linked to morphogenetic programs in other model organisms (Holt and Dickson, 2005; Humphreys et al. 2013; Poulain and Yost 2015; Basak et al. 2016 Moulton et al. 2020). While post-translational GlcNAc modifications to proteins are linked to regulating morphogenesis, the mechanisms by which they regulate cell movement and migration are not well understood. In C. elegans, rib-1 and rib-2 mutants have been previously reported to have maternal effect morphogenesis defects in embryonic elongation of both the pharynx and the whole body (Morio et al. 2003; Franks et al. 2006; Kitagawa et al. 2007), and microfilaments are disorganized in the pharynx of rib-1 and rib-2 mutants (Franks et al. 2006).

These earlier studies suggest that C. elegans rib-1 and rib-2 are required for morphogenetic events, but how they influence epidermal cell shape changes or movements during elongation are unknown. or1193ts and or1688ts are the only known TS alleles for the genes rib-1 and rib-2, respectively. Their penetrant elongation defects after late embryonic upshifts more clearly implicate HSPGs in embryonic elongation and provide useful tools for further investigation of their morphogenetic requirements. For example, temperature upshifts and downshifts at different times during embryogenesis, and determining the spatial and temporal localization of both RIB proteins by making fluorescent protein fusions at endogenous loci, may help determine the critical time periods for rib gene functions.

**The basement membrane and body wall muscles are required for later stages of elongation**

Our identification of a mutation in hlh-1 is consistent with the basement membrane (BM) being required for late stages of elongation in response to body wall muscle contractions. Mutants deficient in muscle development result in the “Pat” phenotype, paralyzed arrest at 2-fold, because a mechanotransduction pathway requires muscle contractions for elongation past the 2-fold stage, with muscles attached to the epidermis via BM components (Zhang et al. 2011; Labouesse 2012). Our screen identified hlh-1, which encodes a bHLH transcription factor conserved with human MyoD that has roles in muscle differentiation. HLH-1 is sufficient, but not required, to specify body wall muscles during embryogenesis and regulates expression of muscle chaperone proteins during muscle differentiation. Loss of hlh-1 has been shown previously to result in arrest at the 2-fold stage of elongation, with dis-organized body wall muscles that have reduced contractions (Krause et al. 1990; Chen et al. 1992, 1994; Harfe et al. 1998; Fukushige and Krause, 2005; Bar-Lavan et al. 2016).

EMB-9 is orthologs to the human type IV collagen α1 subunit (COL4A5), which localizes to the basal side of the BM (Guo and...
Kramer 1989). The BM is composed of two polymeric networks, laminin and collagen (Jayadev and Sherwood 2017). Collagen is thought to provide tensile strength to the BM, protecting it from mechanical stresses, preventing tissue distensibility, and sterically limiting tissue expansion, thereby affecting epithelial morphogenesis. For example, collagen IV is required for the rotation and subsequent elongation of Drosophila egg chambers (Haigo and Bilder 2011), and collagen is known to restrict branching morphogenesis during mammary gland development (Fata et al. 2003; Hinck and Silberstein 2005).

Our identification of a mutation in emb-9 is consistent with previous studies indicating a role for BM during later stages of embryonic elongation. In C. elegans, EMB-9 is expressed in body wall muscle cells by the 1.25-fold (comma) stage and surrounds the body wall and pharyngeal muscle cells (Graham et al. 1997; Gupta et al. 1997; Keeley et al. 2020). Loss of the collagen bridging molecule perlecan (unc-52) and both collagens (let-2 and five previously isolated TS-EL mutations in emb-9) lead to the Pat phenotype (Hresko et al. 1994, Gupta et al. 1997, Miwa et al. 1980, Schierenberg et al. 1980). The emb-9(ts1723ts) allele that we have isolated introduces a unique missense mutation compared to the five existing TS-EL mutations and similarly arrests at the 2-fold stage or slightly later, when muscle twitching begins. In C. elegans and Drosophila, muscle cells detach from the body wall during muscle contractions after depletion of collagen, suggesting the BM is unable to withstand mechanical stress (Jayadev and Sherwood, 2017). Our identification of a TS allele of emb-9, and the penetrant elongation defects we observed after late temperature upshifts, more clearly implicate emb-9 in C. elegans embryonic morphogenesis.

**Cell shape defects in or1219ts mutants**

In addition to identifying causal mutations in genes that have been previously implicated in morphogenesis, we also have characterized the epidermal cell shape defects in another mutant, or1219ts, using live imaging and DLG-1::GFP, a transgenically expressed fluorescent protein fusion that marks epidermal cell membranes. Although the causal mutation has yet to be identified, we chose to examine epidermal cell shape changes in or1219ts because it had the most penetrant, fully elongation-defective phenotype after late upshifts. In most or1219ts embryos after late upshifts, one or more dorsal epidermal cells failed to fully extend across the dorsal surface to make contact with seam cells on the opposite side. The pair of ventral leading cells on either side of the embryo also displayed elongation defects, failing to extend toward the ventral midline or to stably adhere to one another. In addition, the subsequent closure of the remaining posterior pocket cells was unsuccessful. Because seam cell elongation requires a complete epidermal sheet, as shown by laser ablation (Priess and Hirsh 1986), the failure of seam cells to elongate along the anterior-posterior axis in or1219ts mutants might be indirectly due to the earlier defects in dorsal intercalation and ventral enclosure. These defects in or1219ts epidermal cell shape changes during embryonic elongation further indicate that our screen has identified mutants specifically required for epidermal morphogenesis.

**Novel gene requirements during morphogenesis**

The possible morphogenetic roles played by the remaining three genes we have identified in our screen are less obvious. zim-3 encodes a protein containing two zinc-finger domains that is thus far known to be conserved only in nematodes. ZIM-3 is required for homologous pairing of the autosomal chromosomes I and IV during meiosis and interacts with the nuclear lamina (Phillips and Dernburg 2006, Phillips et al. 2009). emb-4 encodes a nuclear protein with a putative AAA ATPase domain similar to helicases of the DEAD-box family and is orthologous to mammalian Aquarius, which functions in pre-mRNA splicing (Katic and Greenwald 2006; De et al. 2015; Wahl and Luhrmann 2015). EMB-4 has also been implicated in transcriptional activation and cell fate specification. Loss of emb-4 results in slowed E-cell lineage cell division (Schierenberg et al. 1980), in embryos that develop
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Figure 5 Quantification of the delayed development and elongation defects in or1219ts mutant embryos. (A) Quantification of terminal elongation-defective phenotypes for control and or1219ts embryos after live imaging for 400 or 720 minutes at 15°C, or for 200 or 360 minutes after late upshift, respectively. Percent of embryos that arrested with no elongation (purple), at 1.25 < 2-fold (light blue), at 2-fold (green), at 2 < 3-fold (yellow), full elongation (≥3-fold), or cell fate patterning defects (red) were scored using DLG-1::GFP to mark epidermal cell membranes and to view the body shape of the embryo. (B) Quantification of extent of viability for control and or1219ts embryos after live imaging for 400 or 720 minutes at 15°C or for 200 or 360 minutes after late upshift. Embryonic viability was assessed by comparing time points for each individual embryo and scoring cells undergoing shape changes and/or the whole embryo twitching. (C) Quantification of the extent of dorsal intercalation that occurred for control and or1219ts embryos by the final imaging time point at 15°C (400 or 720 minutes) and after late upshift (200 or 360 minutes). Embryos were scored for all dorsal epidermal cells succeeding at intercalation (extending across the dorsal midline and contacting the seam cells opposite to their starting point; green) or by one or more cells failing intercalation (failing to extend across the dorsal midline and make contact with the seam cells opposite to their starting point; light blue). (D) Quantification of the extent of ventral enclosure that occurred for control and or1219ts embryos by the final imaging time point at 15°C (400 or 720 minutes) and after late upshift (200 or 360 minutes). Embryos were scored for normal ventral enclosure (all ventral cells reaching the ventral midline; green), only the leading cells making contact but the ventral pocket remaining open (light pink), the wrong ventral cells making contact (nonleading cells; medium pink), or no ventral cells making contact (dark pink). The number of embryos scored for controls and mutants are in brackets.
dis-organized tissues (Checchi and Kelly 2006), in defective chromatin remodeling in the germline precursor cells Z2/Z3 (Checchi and Kelly 2006), and in intron-dependent transcriptional gene silencing by RNAi (Akay et al. 2017). EMB-4 functions as a nonessential positive regulator of Notch signaling (Checchi and Kelly 2006; Katic and Greenwald 2006), but the exact biochemical role of EMB-4 is still unknown. The unexpected fact that both zim-3(or1106ts) and emb-4(or1330ts) alleles are not missense mutations suggests these may be neomorphic mutations or that the processes the genes regulate are sensitive to temperature. Lastly, emb-5 encodes a RNA polymerase II transcription elongation factor orthologs to human SPT6, which interacts with histones and likely functions to displace nucleosomes during transcription (Nishiwaki et al. 1993; Kwak and Lis 2013). Like EMB-4, EMB-5 has a role in the timing of E-cell lineage cell divisions during gastrulation and is likely a positive regulator downstream of the Notch signaling pathway (Miwa et al. 1980, Nishiwaki et al. 1993, Hubbard et al. 1996). Finally, all three of these genes—zim-3, emb-4, and emb-5—have been shown earlier requirements during development. However, our results indicate that they also have previously uncharacterized mid to late embryonic requirements since they all fail to properly elongate after late upshifts, while elongating more normally if kept at the permissive temperature. Because zim-3, emb-4, and emb-5 all lack a relationship to known requirements for morphogenesis in C. elegans and other systems, they may represent new and interesting morphogenetic factors. Thus far, our screening efforts have not identified cytoskeletal regulators that have been previously shown to influence morphogenesis. This could be due to a lack of saturation in screening for relatively rare conditional mutations. In addition, genes and their encoded proteins likely vary with respect to how susceptible they are to acquiring mutations that confer fast-acting conditional temperature-dependent function.

**Future directions for advancing our understanding of C. elegans embryonic morphogenesis**

Our screen provides a foundation for further investigation of the mechanisms that regulate and execute C. elegans embryonic morphogenesis. The causal mutations for nine alleles remain to be identified, and it may be necessary to generate deletion alleles using CRISPR for complementation tests, as alleles for several candidate genes do not exist. Furthermore, it will be important to confirm the identity of all causal mutations by using CRISPR technology to recreate the putative causal mutations, or by using transgenic rescue with the wild-type candidate genes. Live imaging to examine epidermal cell shape dynamics during morphogenesis in the remaining 16 penetrant morphogenesis-defective late-upshift mutants, and more detailed analysis of cell fate specification and cell division in these mutants (Bao et al. 2006; Murray et al. 2008; Santella et al. 2010; Moore et al. 2013), should also prove informative. Finally, further unbiased forward genetic screens, focusing on either existing or newly isolated mutants after chemical mutagenesis, or taking advantage of a new eggshell-permeable analog of auxin to pursue more systematic screens using auxin-inducible degradation (Negishi et al. 2019), may identify important new morphogenetic factors.

**Data and reagents**

Strains will be made available to the Caenorhabditis Genetics Center (CGC) upon publication (https://cgc.umn.edu/). The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables. Supplemental Material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.13622870.
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