Arginylation Regulates G-protein Signaling in the Retina
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Arginylation is a post-translational modification mediated by the arginyltransferase (Ate1). We recently showed that conditional deletion of Ate1 in the nervous system leads to increased light-evoked response sensitivities of ON-bipolar cells in the retina, indicating that arginylation regulates the G-protein signaling complexes of those neurons and/or photoreceptors. However, none of the key players in the signaling pathway were previously shown to be arginylated. Here we show that Gαt1, Gβ1, RGS6, and RGS7 are arginylated in the retina and RGS6 and RGS7 protein levels are elevated in Ate1 knockout, suggesting that arginylation plays a direct role in regulating their protein level and the G-protein-mediated responses in the retina.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Arginylation is a post-translational modification that has been implicated in a large number of key physiological processes (see, e.g., Zanakis et al., 1984; Bongiovanni et al., 1999; Kwon et al., 2002; Hu et al., 2005; Karakozova et al., 2006; Decca et al., 2007; Rai et al., 2008; Saha and Kashina, 2011; Lee et al., 2012; Jiang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2017a; Wang et al., 2017b). It was initially characterized as a post-translational modification of the protein N-termini for ubiquitin-proteasomal degradation (Ciechanover et al., 1988; Balzi et al., 1990). N-terminal arginylation can target proteins for degradation both in vitro (Davydov and Varshavsky, 2000) and in vivo (Lee et al., 2005) via ubiquitin-proteasome dependent N-end rule pathway (Varshavsky, 2011). While initially arginylation was believed to be exclusively targeting the N-terminal Asp, Glu, or Cys, as well as Asn and Gln upon deamidation, it has been later shown that arginylation can also target internal Asp and Glu residues by conjugating Arg to their acidic side chains (Wang et al., 2014). This discovery expands the scope of potentially arginylated proteins.

G-protein signaling is involved in virtually every known physiological process and plays a major role in neural signal transduction (Stewart and Fisher, 2015; Squires et al., 2018). It involves three crucial components: G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), G-protein heterotrimers, and Regulator of G-protein signaling (RGS) proteins. Upon stimulation, GPCRs facilitate the formation of Gα-GTP and promote the dissociation of Gα and Gβγ from each other and from GPCRs. Opposite to GPCRs, RGS proteins accelerate the termination of G-protein signaling by facilitating hydrolysis of the GTP bound to the Gα subunit of the G-protein, and thus promoting its re-association with Gβγ subunits and GPCRs (De Vries et al., 1995; Dohlman et al., 1995; Druey et al., 1996; Hunt et al., 1996; Koelle and Horvitz, 1996; Watson et al., 1996).

We recently discovered that conditional deletion of arginyltransferase (Ate1) in the nervous system affects G-protein signaling in the retina. In particular, lack of Ate1 leads to increased light-...
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TABLE 1 | Components of the G-protein signaling complexes in the retina screened for arginylation. The coverage is calculated with the MS/MS peptides identified during tryptic/P search against the whole mouse proteome without including arginylation into the search. The depth is the average number of MS/MS scans of the identified peptides over each covered amino acid (AA) base. Rgs9 (short) isoform and Gnb5 (long) isoform are listed. The latter encodes two protein isoforms: Gβ5 and Gγ5L. The three well-known G-protein signaling complexes in the retina are: RHO-Gαt1-Gβ1-Gγ13-RGS9-Gγ5L, OPN1MW/OPN1SW-Gαt2-Gβ3-Gγ2-RGS9-Gγ5L, mGluR6-Gαt5-RGS13-Gγ13-RGS7-RGS11-Gγ5L.

| Gene name | AA length | Coverage(%) | depth | protein symbol | protein name |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------|----------------|--------------|
| Gnao1     | 354       | 63          | 131   | Gao            | G-proteins   |
| Gnat1     | 350       | 75          | 373   | Gat1           | G-protein Gt subunit alpha-1 |
| Gnat2     | 354       | 64          | 132   | Gat2           | G-protein Gt subunit alpha-2 |
| Grnb1     | 340       | 74          | 285   | Gβ1            | G-protein Gi,s,t subunit beta-1 |
| Grnb3     | 340       | 67          | 53    | Gγ3            | G-protein Gi,s,t subunit beta-3 |
| Grng2     | 71        | 72          | 11    | Gγ2            | G-protein Gi,s,o subunit gamma-2 |
| Grng13    | 67        | 84          | 7     | Gγ13           | G-protein Gi,s,o subunit gamma-13 |
| Grng11    | 74        | 84          | 225   | Gγ1            | G-protein Gi,s,t subunit gamma-T1 |
| Grm6      | 871       | 19          | 2     | mGluR6         | G-protein coupled receptors |
| Opn1mw     | 359       | 19          | 6     | OPN1MW         | Metabotropic glutamate receptor 6 |
| Opn1sw     | 346       | 29          | 16    | OPN1SW         | Medium-wave-sensitive opsin 1 |
| Rho        | 348       | 32          | 202   | RHO            | Short-wave-sensitive opsin 1 |
| Grnb5     | 396       | 56          | 31    | Gβ5(L)         | Rhodopsin    |
| Rgs6       | 472       | 47          | 11    | RGS6           | G-protein subunit beta-5 |
| Rgs7       | 469       | 28          | 13    | RGS7           | Regulator of G-protein signaling 6 |
| Rgs9       | 484       | 75          | 23    | RGS9           | Regulator of G-protein signaling 7 |
| Rgs11      | 443       | 2.9         | 2     | RGS11          | Regulator of G-protein signaling 9 |

evoked response sensitivities of ON-bipolar cells, as well as their downstream neurons (Fina et al., 2021), indicating that arginylation might be involved in the three G-protein signaling complexes of the first two stages of visual signal transduction. Among the GPCRs, G-proteins, and RGSs in the mouse retina (see Table 1), RHO, Gβ5L, Gγ13, and RGS11 have the N-end rule residues, while others have the potential to be arginylated on the side chains. However, none of them are known to be arginylated.

Here we performed mass spectrometry analysis of mouse retina, using both our own data and data from the public domain, to investigate if any of the proteins involved in G-protein signaling in the retina are arginylated. We found that Gat1, Gβ1, RGS6 and RGS7 were arginylated. Immunostaining revealed a prominent increase in the levels of RGS6 and its obligatory binding partner Gβ5 in synaptic processes of starburst amacrine cells of Ate1 knockout retina, corroborating our previous finding that RGS7 and Gβ5 increase in synaptic processes of ON-bipolar cells. We propose that arginylation regulates RGS6 and RGS7 levels and thus regulates G-protein signaling in the retina.

2 METHODS

2.1 Generation of Ate1 Conditional Knockout Mice

To obtain brain-specific Ate1 knockout mice, Ate1-floxed mice (Leu et al., 2009; Kurosaka et al., 2010) were crossed with the Jackson Laboratory strain B6.Cg-Tg(Nes-cre)1Kln/J, expressing Cre recombinase under Nesting promoter. Mice were maintained in C57BL6/129SVJ background in accordance with the University of Pennsylvania IACUC.

2.2 Immunohistochemistry and Data Processing

Retina collection and immunostaining was performed as previously described (Fina et al., 2021). Briefly, mice were dark adapted overnight and euthanized with a mixture containing ketamine/xylazine (300 μg each per g body-weight). Immediately after the euthanasia, eyes were enucleated and the cornea removed under dim red-light. Eyeballs were fixed in the dark in 4% paraformaldehyde for 60 min, rinsed in phosphate buffer (PB) 3 times, cryoprotected overnight at 4 °C in 4% paraformaldehyde containing 30% sucrose and then, with the lens removed, embedded in a mixture of two parts 20% sucrose in PB and one part optimal cutting temperature compound (Tissue Tek, Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hateld, PA, United States). Radial sections were stained with antibodies and imaged using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus Fluoview 1,000, Center Valley, PA, United States). Radial sections were stained with antibodies and imaged using a confocal laser scanning microscope (Olympus Fluoview 1,000, Center Valley, PA, United States) under an oil-immersion objective (Tummal et al., 2016). Littermate retinas from WT and KO mice were immunostained and imaged in parallel under the same conditions and settings. To compensate the exposure difference between imaging of retinal slides, each set of images from the same retinal slide was normalized by the non-specific signal in the outer nuclear layer (ONL).

For image quantification, a region of interest (ROI, of the same 6 × 6 μm area size for WT and KO mice) was positioned on the lines drawn manually along the bands of stained starburst amacrine cell (SAC) processes in the inner plexiform layer.
(IPL), and the intensity measurement for each pixel was taken from the average z-stacks (of the same 0.3 μm/slice thickness for WT and KO mice) using MATLAB software (MathWorks, Natick, MA, United States). The pixel intensity was thresholded by the background—the non-specific signal in ONL. The average intensity of the pixels in the ROI was calculated for each section to represent the immunostaining level in IPL, averaged along the SAC bands for each section, and then averaged over 1 to 4 sections per retina.

2.3 mRNA Sequencing and Analysis
Tissue collection and processing was performed as previously described (Fina et al., 2021). Briefly, freshly excised mouse brains were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground in liquid nitrogen using mortar and pestle to obtain whole brain lysates. Total RNA was extracted using Trizol, and RNA sequencing (stranded TruSeq with Ribo-Zero, Illumina, San Diego, CA, United States) was used to quantify mRNA levels in the whole brain lysates of 3 littermate pairs of 3 month old mice and analyzed using STAR software package (Dobin et al., 2013).

2.4 Mass Spectrometry
Freshly excised mouse retinas, with the cornea and the lens removed, were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground up in liquid nitrogen to obtain retinal lysates. The retinal proteins were pulled down with phallobin (manuscript in preparation).

For mass spectrometry, the resulting protein samples of 4 retinas of 6 month old mice were reduced with tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine (TCEP), alkylated with iodoacetamide, and digested with trypsin. Tryptic digests were analyzed using a 1.5 h LC gradient on the Thermo Q Exactive Plus mass spectrometer.

2.5 Mass Spectrometry Data Analysis
Data analysis was performed on the samples described above (processed as .raw files), as well as the original raw data files from public data sets (ProteomeXchange Consortium accession number PXD003441 (Zhao et al., 2016), PXD009909 (Harman et al., 2018), PXD014459 (Sze et al., 2021), and PXD023439 (Chen et al., 2021)), all of which are wild-type mice of C57BL6 backgrounds. The raw data files were processed using MaxQuant software (Version 1.6.7.0 for PXD014459 and 1.6.17.0 for the rest) from Max-Planck Institute for Biochemistry, Martinsried, Germany (Tyanova et al., 2016). To avoid mis-assignment of arginylation to a peptide, for each raw data file, we did two complete search runs, one without arginylation and one with arginylation modifications.

In the first run (without arginylation modifications), all searches were against the mouse protein reference UP000000589 and a locally compiled list of contaminants. In the second run, the “MS/MS first search” (used for mass recalibration in MaxQuant) was the same as the first run but the “MS/MS main search” and the “Second peptide search” were against the proteins of interest (Table 1). In addition, “Match between runs” and “Dependent peptides” were enabled in the second run. Consensus identification lists were generated with false discovery rates of 1% at protein, peptide and site levels. The two parameter .xml files and the two locally compiled. fasta files are included in the Supplementary Material. We excluded the “arginylated” peptides identified in the second run if they were from the scans which have at least one peptide identified in the first run. In addition, we also inspected MS/MS spectra to exclude “arginylated” peptides which could be mistaken for N-terminal or C-terminal arginine due to missed cleavage.

2.6 Antibodies and Constructs
Rabbit anti-Gβ5 (dilution 1:500) was a gift from Dr. C.K. Chen, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, United States; rabbit anti-RGS6/RGS7 (dilution 1:100) was a gift from Dr. T.G. Wensel, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, United States.

2.7 Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis
In the RNA sequencing and the immunostaining experiments, KO and WT littermate pairs were compared pairwise, and the p-values for these comparisons were calculated using paired Student’s t-test and paired Wilcoxon t-test (signed-rank test for median) on the 3 and 7 KO/WT pairs, respectively. For the immunostaining experiments, the average staining intensity of all samples on each slide was normalized to 1 and then the average staining intensity of all samples of each WT and KO pair was normalized to 1 in order to reduce the potential experimental variation.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

3.1 Proteomic Screening for Arginylation of the Components of the G-protein Signaling Complex
We previously reported that the ON-bipolar responses and RGS7 protein levels are regulated by Ate1, however arginylation of RGS7 or in the components of the G-protein signaling complexes which give rise to ON-bipolar responses has never been directly demonstrated. To test whether any of these proteins are arginylated, we analyzed retina samples by mass spectrometry, and searched the results from LC-MS/MS runs for potential arginylation against a limited database including G-proteins, GPCRs, and RGS proteins (Table 1). These candidate proteins were chosen based on the existence of three well characterized G-protein signaling complexes in the retina. In rod and cone photoreceptors, rhodopsins and opsins are the GPCRs responding to photon stimulation in dim and bright light, respectively, and they activate G-proteins Gat1-Gβ1-Gt1 and Gat2-Gβ3-Gy2 which are deactivated by RGS9 in the obligatory heterodimer with Gβ5L (Cowan et al., 1998; He et al., 1998). In ON-bipolar cells, glutamate released by photoreceptors activates the ON-bipolar cells’ GPCR, the metabotropic glutamate receptor 6 (mGlurR6) receptor, which in turn activates the Gao-Gβ3-Gy13 proteins that can be deactivated by RGS7-Gβ5 and RGS11-Gβ5 (Mojumder et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Cao et al., 2012). We also included RGS6 in this screen, since it is the
closest family member to RGS7 and is widely distributed in the retina, including prominent representation in the dendrites of cholinergic starburst amacrine cells (Voigt, 1986; Haverkamp and Wässle, 2000; Song et al., 2007).

To search for arginylation of these proteins, we used MaxQuant (Tyanova et al., 2016). To avoid mis-assignment, we first searched all MS/MS scans without arginylation modifications and then searched the unidentified scans with arginylation modifications, including potential addition of unmodified as well as mono- and di-methylated Arg. The search covered the G-proteins (Table 1, top) really well with the coverage ranging between 63 and 84 percent. However, the coverage for GPCRs was low, between 19 and 32 percent (Table 1, middle). This low coverage is likely related to the fact that GPCRs are tightly membrane-bound, and thus a majority of these proteins would be excluded from the soluble retina homogenate. The coverage of RGS and Gβ5, the GTPase accelerating proteins in the retina, varied a lot (Table 1, bottom). Most surprisingly, we found only one peptide for RGS11 in two MS/MS scans, despite the fact that RGS11 has similar molar amounts to RGS7 in the retina (Sarria et al., 2015).

This search revealed several putative arginylated sites, on Gαt1, Gβ1, RGS6, and RGS7. These were the only G-protein and RGS family members identified. We found no instance of arginylation of the GPCRs. In the next sections, we detail the evidence for these arginylation sites.

### 3.2 Putative Arginylated Sites of Gαt1

In outer segments of rod photoreceptors, the transducin Gαt1 binds with Gβ1Gγt1 heterodimer in the dark. Light stimulation activates rhodopsin, which facilitates the formation of Gαt1-GTP and hence promotes the dissociation of Gαt1 from Gβ1Gγt1 and rhodopsin. This activated Gαt1 then interacts with the downstream phosphodiesterase (PDE6) and starts the phototransduction cascade.

We found that Gαt1 was arginylated at the site of E167 or D169 (Figure 1). This site is located in the middle of the protein with the coverage ranging between 63 and 84 percent. However, the coverage for GPCRs was low, between 19 and 32 percent (Table 1, middle). This low coverage is likely related to the fact that GPCRs are tightly membrane-bound, and thus a majority of these proteins would be excluded from the soluble retina homogenate. The coverage of RGS and Gβ5, the GTPase accelerating proteins in the retina, varied a lot (Table 1, bottom). Most surprisingly, we found only one peptide for RGS11 in two MS/MS scans, despite the fact that RGS11 has similar molar amounts to RGS7 in the retina (Sarria et al., 2015).

This search revealed several putative arginylated sites, on Gαt1, Gβ1, RGS6, and RGS7. These were the only G-protein and RGS family members identified. We found no instance of arginylation of the GPCRs. In the next sections, we detail the evidence for these arginylation sites.

### 3.3 Putative Arginylated Sites of Gβ1

In outer segments of the rods, Gβ1 is the important link between Gαt1 and Gγt1. Each of Gαt1 and Gγt1 has a membrane domain, which is not strong enough to anchor Gαt1 or Gβ1Gγt1...
separately to the disk membrane, but both of them together anchor the heterotrimer in the GDP-bound inactive state. Recently, it has been proposed to play an important role in facilitating GDP-GTP exchange during Gαt1 activation (Gao et al., 2019). Gβ1 is also found in the synaptic terminals of the rods (Peng et al., 1992). Interestingly, Gβ1, when translocated to the synaptic terminals of the rods during light adaptation (Whelan and McGinnis, 1988; Sokolov et al., 2002) facilitates the synaptic transmission to ON-bipolar cells (Majumder et al., 2013).

We found that Gβ1 was arginylated at two sites: E12 and D27 (Figure 3). These sites are located near the N-terminus of the protein before the seven-bladed β-propellers (WD40 domains) and in particular D27 is at the junction of the Gβ1 N-terminus, seven-blades, and Gy (Figure 4A). These sites are located within a region of high homology and is conserved between Gβ1, Gβ3, and Gβ5 (Figure 4B). Based on this homology, we propose that these sites can also be arginylated in Gβ3 and possibly in Gβ5. Gβ3 bound with Gy2 plays a similar role in the cones as Gβ1 in the rods. Gβ3 is also found in the synaptic terminals of the cones (Peng et al., 1992). Arginylation of Gβ1 and Gβ3 can potentially affect the phototransduction in the outer segments and the signal transmission to ON-bipolar cells (OBCs) at the synaptic terminals of rods and cones, respectively. In addition, Gβ3 in complex with Gy13 in OBCs facilitates the post-synaptic light-on responses of both rod-OBCs and cone-OBCs (Dhingra et al., 2012; Ramakrishnan et al., 2015) and thus arginylation of Gβ3, if it happens, can potentially affect post-synaptic responses to both rod and cone signals.

Gβ5 is a special Gβ existing as an obligatory heterodimer with all R7 family (RGS6, RGS7, RGS9, RGS11) proteins (Cabrera et al., 1998; Liang et al., 2000; Witherow et al., 2000; Zhang and Simonds, 2000). It has 2 splice variants, Gβ5 (short) and Gβ5L, which includes additional 42 N-terminal amino acid residues added to the Gβ5 (short) sequence. In the retina, the Gβ5L isoform forms an obligatory heterodimer with RGS9 (short),
FIGURE 3 | The MS/MS spectra of the arginylated peptides of Gβ1. (A), the peptide SELDQLRQEAQLK (m/z = 628.9973, mass error = 0.4 ppm, charge = 3) was arginylated at E12 of Gβ1 (capped). (B), the peptide ACAATLSQITNNIDPVGR (m/z = 729.3709, mass error = −4 ppm, charge = 3) was methyl-arginylated at D27 of Gβ1 (capped).
and the Gβ5 isoform forms obligatory heterodimers with RGS6, RGS7, or RGS11. Arginylation of Gβ5 can affect all the G-protein signaling in the retina, predominately regulated by R7 family proteins.

3.4 Putative Arginylation Sites of RGS6 and RGS7

RGS6 is the closest family member to RGS7 and presumably shares many structural and functional properties with RGS7. We have previously found that the deletion of Arginyltransferase 1 (Ate1) in the retina leads to a prominent increase in the levels of RGS7 and its obligatory binding partner Gβ5 in the dendritic processes of ON-bipolar cells (Fina et al., 2021), but in that work we found no direct evidence of arginylation.

Here, we found that RGS6 was arginylated at the site of D15 and RGS7 was arginylated at three sites: E73, D74 and E77 (Figure 5). These sites are located in the N-terminal region which has the membrane targeting DEP domain. These sites are conserved between RGS6 and RGS7, and the surrounding regions are highly homologous between RGS6 and RGS7 (Figure 6). Thus, it is likely that these sites are arginylated in both RGS6 and RGS7, and that this arginylation can directly contribute to an increase of their levels in synaptic processes of starburst amacrine cells and ON-bipolar cells, respectively.

3.5 RGS6 and RGS7 Proteins Are Enriched in the G-protein Signaling Complexes in the Absence of Arginylation

To test whether abolishment of arginylation affects the level of RGS6 and RGS7 proteins in the G-protein signaling complexes, we compared the RGS6 and RGS7 protein levels in retinal synaptic processes of the wild-type (WT) and the conditional Ate1 knockout (KO) mice. In the KO mice, Ate1 deletion is driven by neuron-specific Nestin promoter, occurring in the

![FIGURE 4 | Putative arginylation sites of Gβ1. (A) The 3D structure of Gβ1 in the Gαt1-Gβ1-Gγt1 heterotrimer in complex with rhodopsin based on the structure data 6OYE (Gao et al., 2019). Blue: Gβ1, brown: Gγt1, pink: Gαt1, green: rhodopsin, red: Gβ1 E12, yellow: Gβ1 D27. (B) The putative arginylation sites (capped), the peptides (underlined), and the surrounding regions are shown. The putative arginylation sites are on the N-terminal directly proceeding the first β-sheet of the seven WD40 domains (Sondek et al., 1996). These sites are shared between Gβ1, Gβ3, and Gβ5.](Image)
FIGURE 5 | The MS/MS spectra of the arginylated peptides of RGS6 and RGS7. (A), the peptide GIADPEESSPNMIVYCK (m/z = 1,040.495 5, mass error = −5 ppm, charge = 2) was methyl-arginylated at D15 of RGS6 (capped). (B), the peptide NLTIPEVE (m/z = 791.949 2, mass error = 2 ppm, charge = 2) was dimethyl-arginylated at E73, D74, and E77 of RGS7 (capped).
entire nervous system during embryogenesis (Wang et al., 2017a; Wang et al., 2017b). ATE1 protein level in these mice is greatly reduced in most retinal neurons (Fina et al., 2021).

RGS6 and RGS7 in the retina are prominently expressed in the synaptic processes of starburst amacrine cells in the inner plexiform layer (IPL) and ON-bipolar cells in the outer plexiform layer (OPL), separately (Song et al., 2007). In agreement with previous studies, our immunohistochemistry staining showed that RGS6 was prominently enriched in the starburst amacrine cell (SAC) processes, which are localized within two distinct bands in the IPL (Figure 7A). We used the total sum within the 1.1 μm × 1.1 μm window, centered and averaged along the SAC bands, to quantify the RGS6 protein level in the synaptic processes. The RGS6 protein level was significantly higher in KO than in WT (Figure 7B). Consistent with that, RGS6’s obligatory binding partner Gβ5 was also enriched in the two distinct bands in the IPL (Figure 7C) and its level was significantly higher in KO than in WT (Figure 7D). In addition, in a separate study, we showed that RGS7 and Gβ5 proteins levels were significantly higher in KO than in WT dendritic processes of both rod- and cone-OBCs in the OPL (Fina et al., 2021). These results are summarized in Figures 8A,B.

3.6 Post-Translational Changes of RGS6 and RGS7 Proteins

It is interesting to know if the observed increase of RGS6 and RGS7 proteins at the synaptic processes are associated with an overall increase of their levels in the retina. In our previous study, we used Western blots to show that in total retina extracts RGS7 levels increased in Ate1 knockout, but Gβ5 did not (Fina et al., 2021). Thus, since RGS6 is the dominant binding partner with Gβ5 in the retina (Shim et al., 2012) and they form an obligatory complex in vivo, it would be highly unlikely for the total RGS6 in the retina to increase significantly in the absence of arginylation without simultaneously causing Gβ5 to do the same.

We compared the mRNA levels of Rgs6, Rgs7, and Gnb5 of Ate1 KO and WT mice. There was no significant difference for any of them (Figure 8C). This indicates that the changes of the
corresponding protein levels and/or distributions are most likely post-translational.

It is conceivable that the overall increase of RGS7 protein level causes its increase at ON-bipolar dendritic processes. However, it cannot be the case for RGS6. Its redistribution to SAC synaptic processes upon arginylation could be due, e.g., to the fact that arginylation of RGS6 and/or other proteins in SAC could contribute to its targeting to the membrane and the synapses. It is known that light exposure leads to redistribution of G-proteins in the rods from the outer segments to the inner segments and the rod terminals (Whelan and McGinnis, 1988; Sokolov et al., 2002). Our ongoing study also shows that RGS7 levels at the synapses change significantly depending on the synaptic activity. Since Ate1 knockout increases the activities of ON-bipolar cells and down-stream neurons (Fina et al., 2021), RGS6 can undergo activity-dependent redistribution. Similar mechanisms could also be at work with RGS7. One possibility is that Ate1 knockout changes ON-bipolar activities presynaptically through Gβ1.

Gβ1 plays an important role in the rods. In addition to the rising phase of light responses in the outer segments, it also facilitates the synaptic transmission to OBCs (Majumder et al.,

---

**FIGURE 7** | Increased RGS6 and Gβ5 protein level in the synaptic processes of Ate1 knockout (KO) mouse. (**A**) Immunohistochemistry of retinas stained with anti-RGS6. Both the wild-type (WT) and KO retinas have clear staining of two distinct bands of synaptic processes in the inner plexiform layer (IPL). (**B**) The RGS6 staining intensities averaged along the bands are shown on the left. The values along the vertical and horizontal middle lines are plotted in the middle with the SEM in shaded colors. The dotted lines mark the central 1.1 μm region surrounding the maxima. In the box plot on the right, the total sum at the central region shows a significant increase of RGS6 proteins in the synaptic processes of KO mice. (**C**) and (**D**) Immunohistochemistry of retina stained with anti-Gβ5 show the same pattern and the results as (**A**) and (**B**) for RGS6. Each pair of data points of the same color represents a WT/KO littermate pair (n = 7). The p-values are from paired Wilcoxon t-test (signed-rank test for median). The maximum length of the whiskers are 1.5 times of the inter quartile range. Note: the anti-Gβ5 and anti-RGS6 also stained puncta in ON-bipolar dendrites in the outer plexiform layer (OPL)—the latter due to a cross interaction with RGS7; however, its staining in IPL represents RGS6 only since RGS7 is not present in IPL (Song et al., 2007). ONL: the outer nuclear layer.
2013). Here, we presented evidence that Gβ1 is arginylated. However, we did not observe any significant change of the rising phase of the light responses of the rods after deletion of Ate1 (Fina et al., 2021). In our current ongoing project, we also did not see any obvious change in Gβ1 in the outer segments after Ate1 deletion (manuscript in preparation). It should be noted that lack of changes we have seen so far in Gβ1 does not exclude a possible effect of Gβ1 at the pre-synaptic terminals of photoreceptors, in contact with ON-bipolar cell dendritic processes. It would be very interesting to address this possibility in a follow up study.

According to prior studies, RGS6 is not implicated in G-protein signaling in photoreceptors or ON-bipolar cells, where the major players are RGS9 (in the photoreceptors) and RGS7/RGS11 (in the ON-bipolar cells). In our experiments, the strongest RGS6 staining and the significant change due to Ate1 deletion, by far, was observed in the synaptic processes of starburst amacrine cells. This suggests that RGS6 likely plays an arginylation-dependent role in G-protein signaling in these cells. Elucidating this role is a very interesting future direction, and we hope our present findings will help future research to reveal the functional role of RGS6 in the retina.

4 SUMMARY

This work represents the first comprehensive analysis of arginylation of the components of G-protein signaling in the retina, including GPCR, G-proteins, and RGS proteins. We find putative arginylation sites on the aspartate and glutamate side chains of Gαt1, Gβ1, RGS6, and RGS7, and no N-terminal arginylation among the proteins involved in the G-protein signaling in the retina. While, due to probabilistic nature of mass spectrometry analysis of post-translational modifications, as well as potential alteration of protein stability or intracellular localization of proteins after arginylation, it is still possible that other proteins in the retina are also arginylated, the targets identified here are likely more prominent and abundant.

Our results provide concrete evidence that arginylation targets proteins involved in G-protein signaling in the retina, and extend a mechanistic foundation to our previous findings that arginylation regulates retina responses in vision. We show here that abolishment of arginylation leads to an increase in RGS6 and RGS7 protein levels, suggesting that this mechanism can directly regulate the events downstream of RGS. Taken together, our findings demonstrate that arginylation regulates G-protein responses in the retina by directly targeting several key players in this signaling cascade.
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