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We analyze the phase diagram of uniform superfluidity for two-species fermion mixtures from the Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) to Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) limit as a function of the scattering parameter and population imbalance. We find that at zero temperature the phase diagram of population imbalance versus scattering parameter is asymmetric for unequal masses, having a larger stability region for uniform superfluidity when the lighter fermions are in excess. In addition, we find topological quantum phase transitions associated with the disappearance or appearance of momentum space regions of zero quasiparticle energies. Lastly, near the critical temperature, we derive the Ginzburg-Landau equation, and show that it describes a dilute mixture of composite bosons and unpaired fermions in the BEC limit.

Major experimental breakthroughs have been made recently involving one-species trapped fermions (6Li) in two hyperfine states with different populations. The superfluid to normal phase transition and the vortex state [1], as well as phase separation between paired and unpaired fermions [2] were identified as a function of population imbalance and scattering parameter. These studies are important extensions of the so-called Bardeen-Cooper-Schrieffer (BCS) to Bose-Einstein condensation (BEC) evolution for equal populations, which were studied via the use of Feshbach resonances [3,4,5,6,7,8]. The problem of fermion superfluidity with population imbalance has been revisited recently in several theoretical works in continua [9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18] and trapped [20,21,22,23,24,25,26] atoms. These one-species experiments are ideal candidates for the observation of uniform and non-uniform superfluid phases, which may be present not only in atomic, but also in nuclear (pairing in nuclei), astrophysics (neutron stars), and condensed matter (superconductors) systems.

Arguably one of the next frontiers of exploration in ultracold Fermi systems is the search for superfluidity in two-species fermion mixtures (e.g., 6Li and 40K) with and without population imbalance. While earlier works on two-species fermion mixtures were limited to the BCS limit [4,10], in this manuscript we study the evolution of superfluidity from the BCS to the BEC limit as a function of the scattering parameter and population imbalance.

Our main results are as follows. At zero temperature, we construct the phase diagram for equal and unequal masses of paired fermions as a function of scattering parameter and population imbalance $P = (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)/(N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow)$ as shown in Fig. 1. The phase diagram is asymmetric for unequal masses, having a larger stability region for uniform superfluidity when the population $N_\uparrow$ of lighter fermions is larger than the population $N_\downarrow$ of heavier fermions. In addition, we find topological quantum phase transitions in the phase diagram associated with the disappearance or appearance of momentum space regions of zero quasiparticle energies. Lastly, near the critical temperature, we derive the Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) equation, and show that it describes a dilute mixture of composite bosons and unpaired fermions in the BEC limit.

Our main results are as follows. At zero temperature, we construct the phase diagram for equal and unequal masses of paired fermions as a function of scattering parameter and population imbalance $P = (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)/(N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow)$ as shown in Fig. 1. The phase diagram is asymmetric for unequal masses, having a larger stability region for uniform superfluidity when the population $N_\uparrow$ of lighter fermions is larger than the population $N_\downarrow$ of heavier fermions. In addition, we find topological quantum phase transitions in the phase diagram associated with the disappearance or appearance of momentum space regions of zero quasiparticle energies. Lastly, near the critical temperature, we derive the Ginzburg-Landau (TDGL) equation, and show that it describes a dilute mixture of composite bosons and unpaired fermions in the BEC limit.

\[ H = \sum_{k,\sigma} \xi_{k,\sigma} a_{k,\sigma}^\dagger a_{k,\sigma} + \sum_{k,k',q} V(k,k') b_{k,q}^\dagger b_{k',q}. \]  

FIG. 1: Phase diagram of $P = (N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow)/(N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow)$ versus $1/(k F_\sigma a_F)$ for a) equal ($m_\uparrow = m_\downarrow$) and b) unequal masses ($m_\uparrow = 0.15 m_\downarrow$). We show normal (N), non-uniform (NU) or uniform (U) superfluid phases. The dotted and $P = 0$ lines separate topologically distinct regions. In b) the U phase also occurs for $P < 0$ when $1/(k F_\sigma a_F) > 4.8$ (not shown).
The gaussian effective action for $H$ is 28 $S_{\text{gauss}} = S_0 + (\beta/2) \sum_q \hat{\Lambda}^2(q) F^{-1}(q) \hat{\Lambda}(q)$, where $q = (q, v_k)$ with bosonic Matsubara frequency $v_k = 2 \pi \tau / \beta$. Here, $\beta = 1/T$, $\Lambda(q)$ is the order parameter fluctuation field, and the matrix $F^{-1}(q)$ is the inverse fluctuation propagator. The saddle point action is

$$S_0 = \beta |\Delta|^2 |g| + \sum_k \{ \beta (\xi_{k^+} - E_{k^+}) + \ln[n_F(-E_{k^+})] + \ln[n_F(-E_{k^-})] \}.$$

where $E_{k^\pm} = (s^2_{k^\pm} + |\Delta|^2)^{1/2} + s \sigma \xi_{k^\mp}$ is the quasiparticle energy when $s^\pm = 1$ and the negative of the quasihole energy when $s^\pm = -1$, and $E_{k^\pm} = (E_{k^\pm} \pm E_{k^\mp})/2$. Here, $\Delta k = \Delta k \Gamma_{k}$ is the order parameter, $n_F(E_{k^\pm})$ is the Fermi distribution and $\xi_{k^\pm} = (\xi_{k^\pm} \pm \xi_{k^\mp})/2 = k^2/(2m_{\pm}) - \mu_{\pm}$, where $m_{\pm} = 2m_{\uparrow} m_{\downarrow}/(m_{\uparrow} \pm m_{\downarrow})$ and $\mu_{\pm} = (\mu_{\uparrow} \pm \mu_{\downarrow})/2$. Notice that $m_{\pm}$ is twice the reduced mass of the $\uparrow$ and $\downarrow$ fermions, and that the equal mass case corresponds to $m_{\pm} \to \infty$. The fluctuation term in the action leads to a correction to the thermodynamic potential, which can be written as $\Omega_{\text{gauss}} = \Omega_0 + \Omega_{\text{fluct}}$ for $\Omega_{\text{fluct}} = (1/\beta) \sum_k \ln \det[F^{-1}(q)]/\beta$.

The saddle point condition $\delta S_0/\delta \Delta^a_0 = 0$ leads to an equation for the order parameter

$$\frac{1}{g} = \sum_k \frac{|\Gamma|^2}{2E_{k^+}} X_{k^+},$$

where $X_{k^\pm} = (X_{k^\pm} \pm X_{k^\mp})/2$ with $X_{k^\pm} = \tanh(\beta E_{k^\pm}/2)$. As usual, we eliminate $g$ in favor of the scattering length $a_F$ via the relation $1/g = -m_{\pm} V/(4\pi a_F) + \sum_k |\Gamma|^2/(2E_{k^+})$, where $\xi_{k^\pm} = (\xi_{k^\pm} \pm \xi_{k^\mp})/2$. The order parameter equation has to be solved self-consistently with number equations $N_{\sigma} = -\partial \Omega/\partial \mu_{\sigma}$ which have two contributions $N_{\sigma} = N_{0,\sigma} + N_{\text{fluct},\sigma}$. $N_{0,\sigma}$ is the saddle point number equation given by

$$N_{0,\sigma} = -\frac{\partial \Omega_0}{\partial \mu_{\sigma}} = \sum_k \left( \frac{1 - s_{\sigma} X_{k^\sigma} - \xi_{k^\sigma}}{2} \right) \frac{E_{k^\sigma}}{2E_{k^+}} X_{k^+},$$

and $N_{\text{fluct},\sigma} = -\partial \Omega_{\text{fluct}}/\partial \mu_{\sigma}$ is the fluctuation contribution to $N$ given by $N_{\text{fluct}} = -(1/\beta) \sum_q \{ \partial (\det F^{-1}(q))/\partial \mu_{\sigma} \} \det F^{-1}(q)$. We define $B_{\pm} = m_{\pm} \mu_{\uparrow} \pm m_{\downarrow} \mu_{\downarrow}$ to establish general constraints on the magnitude $|\Delta_0|$ of the order parameter for $s$-wave pairing in the presence of population imbalance ($N_{\uparrow} \neq N_{\downarrow}$). Population imbalance is achieved when either $E_{k_{\uparrow}}$ or $E_{k_{\downarrow}}$ is negative in some regions of momentum space. Depending on the numbers of zeros of $E_{k_{\uparrow}}$ and $E_{k_{\downarrow}}$ (zero energy surfaces in momentum space), there are two topologically distinct cases: (I) $E_{k_{\uparrow}}$ has no zeros and $E_{k_{\downarrow}}$ has only one, and (II) $E_{k_{\uparrow}}$ has no zeros and $E_{k_{\downarrow}}$ has two zeros. The zeros of $E_{k_{\uparrow}}$ occur at real momenta $k_{\uparrow}^2 = B_{\uparrow} \pm (B_{\uparrow}^2 - 4m_{\uparrow} m_{\downarrow} |\Delta_0|^2)^{1/2}$ provided that $|\Delta_0|^2 < |B_{\uparrow}|^2/(4m_{\uparrow} m_{\downarrow})$ for $B_{\uparrow} > 0$. The $P = 0$ limit corresponds to case (III), where $E_{k_{\uparrow}}$ has no zeros and is always positive. We illustrate these cases in Fig. 2 for $N_{\uparrow} > N_{\downarrow}$. Notice that, the Fermi sea of the lower quasiparticle band is a sphere of radius $k_{\uparrow}$ in case (I), and is a spherical shell $k_{\downarrow} \leq k \leq k_{\uparrow}$ in case (II). The transition from case (II) to case (I) occurs when $k_{\downarrow} \to 0$, indicating a change in topology in the lowest quasiparticle band, similar to the Lifshitz transition in ordinary metals and non-s-wave superfluids. 28

The topological transition here is unique, because it involves an $s$-wave superfluid, and could be potentially observed for the first time through the measurement of the momentum distribution.

![FIG. 2: Schematic plots of $E_{k_{\uparrow}}$ (dotted lines) and $E_{k_{\downarrow}}$ (solid lines) versus $k$ a) for case (I), and b) for case (II).](image-url)
all $1/(k_{F,+}a_F)$ leading to $N_\sigma \approx N_{0,\sigma}$ [30]. We define $\epsilon_{F,\pm} = k_{F,\pm}^2/(2m_\pm)$ and in Fig. 3 we plot self-consistent solutions of $[\Delta_0|, \mu_+ \text{ and } \mu_- \text{ (in units of } \epsilon_{F,+})$ at $T = 0$ for two cases: a) as a function of $1/(k_{F,+}a_F)$ when $P = 0.5$ (or $N_t = 3N_{\uparrow}$) and b) as a function of $P$ when $1/(k_{F,+}a_F) = 0$.

In Fig. 3a, the BCS $\mu_\pm \approx (\epsilon_{F,\uparrow} \pm \epsilon_{F,\downarrow})/2$ changes continuously to the BEC $|\mu_\pm| \rightarrow |\epsilon_0|/2$, where $\epsilon_0 = -1/(m_{\downarrow}a_F^2)$ is the binding energy which follows from $1/g = \sum_k |F_k|^2/(2\epsilon_{k,\pm} - \epsilon_0)$. Since $P > 0$ and the $\uparrow$ fermions are in excess, all $\downarrow$ fermions pair to form $N_\downarrow$ bosons and the remaining $\uparrow$ fermions are unpaired. The amplitude $|\Delta_0|$ evolves continuously from the BCS to the BEC limit with a cusp around $1/(k_{F,+}a_F) \approx -0.27$. This cusp in $|\Delta_0|$ is more pronounced for higher $|P|$ and signals a quantum phase transition from case (I) to case (II), which can be detected experimentally [31].

Next, let us discuss the stability of uniform superfluidity using two criteria. The first criterion requires that the corrugation $\partial^2\Omega_0/\partial \Delta_0^2$ of the saddle point free energy $\Omega_0 - S_0/\beta$ with respect to $\Delta_0$ to be positive. When $\partial^2\Omega_0/\partial \Delta_0^2$ is negative, the uniform saddle point solution does not correspond to a minimum of $\Omega_0$, and a non-uniform superfluid phase is favored. The second criterion requires the eigenvalues of the superfluid density

$$\rho_{ij}(T) = (m_\uparrow N_\uparrow + m_\downarrow N_\downarrow)\delta_{ij} - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_k k_i k_j Y_{k,\pm}$$

(5)

When at least one of the eigenvalues of $\rho_{ij}(T)$ is negative, a spontaneously generated gradient of the phase of the order parameter appears, leading to a non-uniform superfluid phase. Notice that, $\rho_{ij}(T)$ reduces to a scalar $\rho_0(T)$ for s-wave systems.

The uniform superfluid (U) phase is characterized by $\rho_0(0) > 0$ and $\partial^2\Omega_0/\partial \Delta_0^2 < 0$, and the normal (N) phase is characterized by $\Delta_0 = 0$. The non-uniform superfluid (NU) phase is characterized by $\rho_0(0) < 0$ and/or $\partial^2\Omega_0/\partial \Delta_0^2 < 0$. The NU phase should be of the LOFF-type having one wavevector modulation for the center of mass momentum of a Cooper pair near the BCS limit, where pairing occurs within a very narrow region around the lowest Fermi energy of either the lighter or heavier atom. Towards unitarity and beyond, we expect the NU phase to be substantially different from LOFF phases having spatial modulation that would encompass several wavevectors. This expectation is based on the idea that when the attraction between fermions gets stronger, the Fermi mixture becomes more non-degenerate, and there is a wider region in energy within which pairing can occur, leading to a range of possible wavevectors for the center of mass momentum of Cooper pairs.

As shown in Fig. 11, when $m_\uparrow = m_\downarrow$, the phase diagram is symmetric around $P = 0$. A continuous quantum phase transition occurs from the NU to the N phase beyond a critical population imbalance on the BCS side.

In contrast, as shown in Fig. 11, when $m_\uparrow = 0.15m_\downarrow$, the phase diagram is asymmetric around $P = 0$. A continuous quantum phase transition occurs from the NU to the N phase beyond a critical population imbalance on the BCS side. Furthermore, it is found that the U phase has a larger stability region when light fermions are in excess, and that a discontinuous transition from the NU to the U phase occurs. The U phase also exists for $P < 0$ when $1/(k_{F,+}a_F) > 4.8$ (not shown). Lastly, one of the topological quantum phase transitions (dotted lines) is very close to the NU/U boundary for $P > 0$ in contrast to the equal mass case. This line indicates a change in quasiparticle Fermi surface topology from type (I) to type (II), and may lie in the U region when $m_\uparrow/m_\downarrow < 0.15$.

Next, we discuss superfluidity near $T \approx T_c$, where $\Delta_0 = 0$, and derive the TDGL equation [31]. We use the small $q$ and $iv_\tau \rightarrow \omega + i\delta$ expansion of

$$L^{-1}(q) = \frac{1}{g} - \sum_k \frac{1 - n_F(\xi_{k,\uparrow} + q/2, +) - n_F(\xi_{k,\downarrow} - q/2, +)}{\xi_{k,\uparrow} + q/2, + + 3\xi_{k,\downarrow} - q/2, + + iv_\tau} |\Gamma_k|^2,$$

where $L^{-1}(q) = F^{-1}(q)$, to obtain the TDGL equation

$$a + b|\Lambda(x)|^2 - \sum_{i,j} \frac{c_{ij}}{2} \nabla_i \nabla_j - iC \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Lambda(x) = 0$$

in the real space $x = (x,t)$ representation. The coefficients are given by $a = 1/g - \sum_k |\Gamma(k)|^2/(2\xi_{k,\uparrow}),$
which leads to the saddle equation when \( a = 0 \) (Thouless condition), and
\[
c_{ij} = \sum_k \left\{ \frac{\beta k_i k_j}{X_{k_i} X_{k_j}} \frac{Y_{k_i}}{m_k^2} + \frac{X_{k_i} Y_{k_j}}{m_k^2} \right\}/(32 \xi_{k,+}^2) + \beta k_i k_j C_{\sigma}/(m_\xi \xi_{k,+}) - \delta_{ij} C_{\sigma}/2 \left( 8 \xi_{k,+} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{X_{k,+} \delta_{ij}/(2m_\xi) - k_i k_j / (m_k^2 \xi_{k,+})}{(4 \xi_{k,+})} \right\} |k|^2,
\]
where
\[
C_{\sigma} = (Y_{k_i} + Y_{k_j}/m_{\xi_k}/m_{\xi_j})/2, \quad X_{\xi_{k,+}} = \text{tanh}(\beta k_{\xi_{k,+}})/2 \quad \text{and} \quad Y_{\xi_{k,+}} = \text{sech}^2(\beta k_{\xi_{k,+}})/2.
\]
Notice that \( c_{ij} \) reduces to a scalar \( c \) in the s-wave case. The coefficient of the nonlinear term is
\[
b = \sum_k \left( X_{k,+} + (4 \xi_{k,+}^2) - \beta k_{k,+} / (8 \xi_{k,+}) \right) |k|^2,
\]
while \( d \) has real and imaginary parts given by
\[
d = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \sum_k k X_{k,+} \left[ \frac{1}{(8 \xi_{k,+}^2)} + i \sigma \delta(2 k_{\xi_{k,+}} - \omega) / (2 \omega) \right] |k|^2,
\]
where \( \delta(\cdot) \) is the Delta function. Notice that the damping term (imaginary part of \( d \)) vanishes for \( \mu_+ \leq 0 \), indicating an undamped dynamics for \( \lambda(x) \).

Since a uniform superfluid phase is more stable in the BEC side, we calculate analytically all coefficients in the BEC limit where \( \epsilon \leq \epsilon_0/2 \geq T_0 \). We obtain
\[
a = a_1 + a_2 = -V m_B^2 (2 \mu_+ - \epsilon_0)/|k|^2, \quad b = b_1 + b_2 = V m_B^2 a_2^2 (16 \pi) - V m_B^2 \left( \partial n_\xi / \partial \mu_+ \right) a_2^2,
\]
\[
c = V m_B^2 a_2^2 / 8 \pi (m_\xi + m_\mu).
\]
Here, \( c \) labels the excess type of fermions and \( n_\xi \) is the density of unpaired fermions. Through the rescaling \( \Psi(x) = \sqrt{\Lambda} \lambda(x) \), we obtain the equation of motion for a dilute mixture of weakly interacting bosons and fermions
\[
\mu_B \lambda(x) + \left[ U_{BB} \Psi(x)^2 + U_{BF} n_\xi \right] \Psi(x) - \frac{\nabla^2 \Psi(x)}{2 m_B} - i \frac{\partial \Psi(x)}{\partial t} = 0,
\]
with bosonic chemical potential \( \mu_B = -a_1 / d = 2 \mu_+ - \epsilon_0 \), mass \( m_B = d/c = m_\mu + m_\xi \), and repulsive boson-boson \( U_{BB} = b_1 V / d^2 = 4 \pi a_F / m_\mu \) and boson-fermion \( U_{BF} = b_1 V / (\partial n_\xi) = 8 \pi a_F / m_\mu \). This interaction also yields the spatial density of unpaired fermions given by
\[
n_\xi(x) = \left[ b_2 + b_1 \Psi(x)^2 \right] / U_{BF} = n_\xi - 8 \pi a_F \left( \partial n_\xi / \partial \mu_+ \right) \Psi(x)^2 / 2 m_\xi.
\]
Since \( \partial n_\xi / \partial \mu_+ > 0 \) the unpaired fermions avoid regions where the boson field \( |\Psi(x)| \) is large. Thus, the bosons condense at the center and the unpaired fermions tend to be at the edges in a trap. Notice that, Eq. (7) reduces to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for equal masses with \( P = 0 \) [31], and to the equation of motion for equal masses with \( P \neq 0 \) [21].

Furthermore, we obtain the boson-boson \( a_{BB} = \left[ 1 + m_\mu / (2 m_\mu) + m_\mu / (2 m_\mu) \right] a_F \) and boson-fermion \( a_{BF} = 4 m_B m_\xi / \mu_+ \) scaling lengths, which reduce to \( a_{BB} = 2 a_F \) and \( a_{BF} = 8 a_F / 3 \) for equal masses [21, 31]. A mixture of \( ^6 \)Li and \( ^{40} \)K, \( a_{BB} \approx 4.41 a_F \), and \( a_{BF} \approx 2.03 a_F \) for \( ^6 \)Li is in excess, and \( a_{BF} \approx 8.20 a_F \) when \( ^{40} \)K is in excess. For a better estimate, higher order scattering processes are needed [32]. Since the effective boson-fermion system is weakly interacting, the BEC temperature is
\[
T_c = \frac{\pi |n_B| (\zeta(3/2) / c^2)^{1/3}}{m_B},
\]
where \( \zeta(x) \) is the Zeta function and \( n_B = (n - n_\xi) / 2 \).

In summary, we analyzed the phase diagram of uniform superfluidity for two-species fermion mixtures (e.g., \( ^6 \)Li and \( ^{40} \)K) from the BCS to the BEC limit as a function of scattering parameter and population imbalance. We found that the zero temperature phase diagram of population imbalance versus scattering parameter is asymmetric for unequal masses, having a larger stability region for uniform superfluidity when the lighter fermions are in excess. This result is in sharp contrast with the symmetric phase diagram for equal masses. In addition, we found topological quantum phase transitions associated with the disappearance or appearance of momentum space regions of zero quasiparticle energies. Near the critical temperature, we derived the Ginzburg-Landau equation, and showed that it describes a dilute mixture of bosons (tightly bound fermions) and excess (unpaired) fermions in the BEC limit.
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