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Abstract
Nursing ethics is taught in the subject ‘Fundamentals of Nursing’ in BSc Nursing curriculum in Bangladesh. The present study aims to examine nurse students’ opinion regarding their learning nursing ethics. A total of 183 nursing students of Dhaka Nursing College, Bangladesh, from 1st year and 2nd year of BSc in Nursing course participated in this study, which was done between July and September, 2011. A semi-structured questionnaire was given to them. Besides mentioning their age, sex, socio-economic status, the students were asked to provide information about their acquisition and application of knowledge on codes of ethics and an evaluation on faculties (23 items). Most of the students were from low socio-economic condition (n=157, 85.79%). Nursing students perceived that their educators’ teaching of the statements of the codes was fairly extensive (ranged from 3.32±0.365 to 3.59±0.923). The highest scores dealt with the nurse-patient relationship, and the mission of nurses. Single items with highest scores highlighted confidentiality, alleviation of suffering, impartiality, support to patients and patients’ family members, personal responsibility of her/his work and the quality of care as well as respect to human dignity and autonomy. The least taught statements concerned nurses and society and nursing as a profession. Single items with lowest scores dealt with nurses’ professional competence, nurses' participation in discussion and decision-making. Students regarded their own knowledge of the code of ethics (3.45±0.585) and their skills to apply in practice (3.51±0.573) as average. Two-thirds of students (n=122; 66.67%) assessed their educators' knowledge as fully or almost adequate to teach, 15.85% (n=29) of the students assessed the knowledge as less adequate, and 17.48% (n=32) could not assess it. Studying nursing students' opinions on learning nursing ethics would be a useful guide for the formation of their professional role as well as their education and training.
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Introduction
The code of ethics for nurses arises from within the long, distinguished, and enduring moral tradition of modern nursing across the globe.1 It is foundational to nursing theory, practice, and praxis in its expression of the values, virtues and obligations that shape, guide, and inform nursing as a profession.1,2 Nursing ethics is taught in the subject ‘Fundamentals of Nursing’ in the first and second year of BSc in Nursing curriculum in Bangladesh.3 In the first year, modules include introduction and conceptual bases of nursing; nurses' roles and competencies required in promoting, maintaining, and restoring health; nursing process; theories and models of nursing; cultural & ethical aspects of nursing; nurses’ ethical behaviour, nursing self-management & nursing care delivery within health care system; patient safety as well as introduction to interpersonal communication in nursing; assertive communication; interpersonal and therapeutic relationship; key elements in building relationships; barriers to effective communication; communicating with other health care professionals; enhancing interpersonal communication skills.3 In the second year, modules include ethics in nursing: concepts of a nurse, code of
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ethics, ethical principles, legal issues in nursing & law, nursing regulations, bioethical issues; and professional standards; professional accountability; trends and issues in nursing: trans-cultural nursing; the process of educating nurses; transition from student to professional; different nursing organizations; and position of nurses. The code of ethics for nurses addresses individuals well as collective nursing intentions and requires each nurse to demonstrate ethical competence in professional life. Hence, the teaching of ethics in nursing education has become increasingly important in recent years. Despite the availability of a lot of literature about ethics education, there still is much debate among nursing educators concerning the best ways to teach ethics to nursing students, so that they can respond fully to ethical issues arising in their professional practice. The code of ethics is regarded as an essential content in most nursing ethics curricula. However, very little is known about how their teaching is implemented in a low resource country like Bangladesh. A reflection of students’ opinion on their ethics education to the development of ethical competence can help develop good practices in ethics teaching. Hence, the present study aims to examine nurse students’ opinion regarding their classroom learning of nursing ethics through didactic lectures.

Materials and Methods:

This cross-sectional study was done in Dhaka Nursing College, Bangladesh, which is the best nursing institution in the country. A total of 183 nursing students from 1st year and 2nd year of BSc in Nursing course voluntarily participated in this study, which was done between July and September, 2011. A semi-structured questionnaire was given to them. Besides mentioning their age, sex, socio-economic status, the students were asked to provide information about their acquisition and application of knowledge on codes of ethics and an evaluation on faculties (23 items). A 5-point Likert scale used in the survey. A Likert scale assumes that the strength/intensity of an attitude is linear, i.e. on a continuum from strongly disagree to strongly agree (ranging from 1 to 5) and each of the five responses has a numerical value which was used to measure the attitude under investigation. Moreover, by offering an anonymity on self-administered questionnaires should further reduce social pressure, and thus may like wise reduce social desirability bias.

Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards were followed wherever applicable. As the research did not involve human participants, it was approved by the administration of Dhaka Nursing College, Dhaka, Bangladesh. Informed consents were obtained from all the participants. All participants had been provided an explanation about this data that it would never be used for any other purpose other than for this research. All the information was treated confidentially and anonymously.

Results:

Most of the students were from low socio-economic condition (n=157, 85.79%) (Table-I). Nursing students perceived that their educators’ teaching of the statements of the codes was fairly extensive (ranged from 3.32±0.365 to 3.59±0.923) (Table-II). The highest scores dealt with the nurse-patient relationship, and the mission of nurses. Single items with highest scores highlighted confidentiality, then alleviation of suffering, impartiality, support to patients and patients’ family members, personal responsibility of her/his work and the quality of care as well as respect to human dignity and autonomy (Table-III). The least taught statements concerned nurses and society and nursing as a profession. Single items with lowest scores dealt with nurses’ professional competence, nurses’ participation in discussion and decision-making (Table-III). Students regarded their own knowledge of the code of ethics (3.45±0.585) and their skills to apply in practice (3.51±0.573) as average (Table-IV).
Two-thirds of students (n=122; 66.67%) assessed their educators’ knowledge as fully or almost adequate to teach, 15.85% (n=29) of the students assessed the knowledge as less adequate, and 17.48% (n=32) could not assess it (Table-V).

Table-I: Socio demographic characteristics of the participants (n=183)

| Socio demographic Characteristics | Number | Percentage |
|-----------------------------------|--------|------------|
| Sex                               |        |            |
| Male                              | 12     | 6.56       |
| Female                            | 171    | 93.44      |
| Habitant                          |        |            |
| Rural                             | 134    | 73.22      |
| Semi-urban                        | 38     | 20.77      |
| Urban                             | 11     | 6.01       |
| Family income                     |        |            |
| Low (less than 100,000 BDT per annum) | 147   | 80.33      |
| Middle (100,000 - 300,000 BDT per annum) | 23    | 12.57      |
| High (more than 300,000 BDT per annum) | 13    | 7.10       |

Table-II: Topics in Ethics Education (based on 5-point Likert scale)

| Topic                                    | Mean ± SD |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Nurse-patient relationship               | 3.59 ± 0.923 |
| Mission of nurses                        | 3.58 ± 0.237 |
| Nursing as a profession                  | 3.36 ± 0.231 |
| Nurses and society                       | 3.32 ± 0.365 |

Table-III: Specific Topics in Ethics Education and Competence (based on 5-point Likert scale)

| Specific Topic                           | Mean ± SD |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Confidentiality                         | 4.69 ± 0.223 |
| Alleviation of suffering                 | 4.63 ± 0.235 |
| Impartiality                            | 4.59 ± 0.283 |
| Support to patients and patients’ family members | 4.43 ± 0.243 |
| Personal responsibility                  | 4.42 ± 0.226 |
| Quality of care                         | 4.42 ± 0.126 |
| Respect to human dignity and autonomy   | 4.42 ± 0.076 |
| Professional competence                 | 2.05 ± 0.043 |
| Nurses' participation in discussion and decision-making | 1.29 ± 0.017 |

Table-IV: Survey on Learning Nursing Ethics (based on 5-point Likert scale)

| Specific Topic                           | Mean ± SD |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Student's own knowledge                  | 3.45 ± 0.585 |
| Student's own skill to practice          | 3.51 ± 0.573 |
| Student's personal development           | 3.41 ± 0.261 |
| (ethics in person and profession)        |           |
| Student's own ethical decision-making   | 2.81 ± 0.438 |

Table-V: Survey on Teaching Nursing Ethics (based on 5-point Likert scale)

| Competence of the instructor | Students agreed |
|------------------------------|-----------------|
| Fully adequate               | 122 (66.67%)    |
| Less adequate                | 29 (15.85%)     |
| Could not assess             | 32 (17.48%)     |

Discussion

Individuals who become nurses, as well as the professional organizations that represent them, are expected not only to adhere to the values, moral norms, and ideals of the profession but also to embrace them as a part of what it means to be a nurse. The ethical tradition of nursing is self-reflective, enduring, and distinctive. A code of ethics for the nursing profession makes explicit the primary obligations, values, and ideals of the profession that inform every aspect of the nurse’s life. Nurse educators very often face the challenge of deciding whether ethics education is best addressed through ethics specific courses or through ethics lectures integrated throughout the curriculum, or by teaching abstract theories or by using more skills-based approaches, through lectures, or by stressing clinical experience and reflection. However, Grady et al. found that approximately 22.7% of nurses in their study reported no ethics education and only slightly more than half (51.2%) had ethics course work in their basic and/or advanced professional program. Similar to the present study, students argued that ethics education contributed to the ethical reflection and competence in several other studies. Through ethics education, students became aware of their personal qualities and limitations and they discovered personal and
professional values and they could state their thoughts positively, and could conceive counter arguments.\textsuperscript{11,14,15} Students perceived teaching of the codes as fairly extensive. The most emphasis was on the nurse-patient relationship. However, evidence showed that even in developed countries, when faced with a micro ethical issue i.e. "the everyday ethical decisions that practicing nurses make in the context of common or routine clinical situations"\textsuperscript{16}, specifically decision-making around safe medication administration, participants struggled to recall and deliberately apply ethics principles.\textsuperscript{16} Besides, educators' use of teaching and evaluation methods was narrow, as described in some other similar studies. Students whose teaching had been integrated into clinical training perceived that teaching had been more extensive. However, students did not perceive integration to clinical training as a much used teaching format. Most of the students assessed their own knowledge and ability to apply the codes as neutral, which is similar to the findings of Numminen \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{17} It is also assumed that those educators, whose knowledge about the codes students had assessed as adequate, were also perceived to teach the codes more extensively, as evident in similar other research.\textsuperscript{13,14,17}

In this research, nursing students' attitudes related to their ethics education was conducted through semi-structured questionnaires. However, it limits the understanding individual subjectivity. Moreover, like all surveys, the validity of the Likert scale attitude measurement can be compromised due to social desirability, which means that individuals may lie to put themselves in a positive light. The participants were assured of confidentiality of their responses to minimize this type of phenomenon. Another limitation of this study is the results have to be carefully applied to other cases, especially in Western society, because the participants of this study are limited to Bangladeshi nursing students, who pursue their education in a low-resource setting.

\section*{Conclusion}
Studying nursing students' opinions on their learning nursing ethics would be a useful guide for the formation of their professional role as well as their education and training. Regardless of the responding students' positive description of the teaching, the findings should be interpreted with caution, due to the students' limited interest to respond. This study was a modest effort to find out student's satisfaction on their classroom teaching. Hence, more research are recommended with larger samples and by comparing performance between classroom and clinical settings. In teaching ethics, particular attention should be paid to teaching and evaluation methods, educators' competence in ethics, and student outcomes especially in clinical settings.
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