Synthesis and characterization of calcium oxide nanoparticles for CO₂ capture
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Abstract In this paper, the preparation of calcium oxide (CaO) nanoparticles (NPs) is reported by a precipitation method, using CaCl₂ and NaOH as starting raw materials. The produced NPs were characterized for chemical composition, phase composition, particle size distribution, morphological features, specific surface area, and crystallite sizes. It is shown that calcination of Ca(OH)₂ in vacuum takes place faster/at a lower temperature compared to the calcination in air due to the higher entropy of the gaseous product of calcination. It is also shown that when these CaO nanoparticles are kept at room temperature in air, they fully and spontaneously transform into CaCO₃ within 3 weeks. Therefore, if this material is disposed in open fields (not necessarily in industrial conditions), it is able to capture carbon dioxide from normal air slowly, but surely. However, when the CaO nanoparticles are kept in the air at 100–200 °C, they mostly capture water vapor from the air instead of carbon dioxide, and the resulting calcium hydroxide blocks the carbon dioxide capture by CaO nanoparticles.
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Introduction

Calcium oxide (CaO) is an important inorganic compound. It can be used as a catalyst [1–3], pellet for CO₂ capture and kinetic analysis [4, 5], toxic-waste remediation agent, or as an additive in refractory and paint industries [6], antimicrobial agent, a drug delivery agent, as well as in various other biomedical applications [7]. Calcium oxide has been regarded as one of the most promising candidates for carbon capture [8–13] due to its good kinetics and high capture capacity, low running cost [14], and even under low CO₂ partial pressures. It is demonstrated even by the fact the CaO is unstable in air and will gradually convert back to CaCO₃ when cooled back to room temperature [15]. Various methods such as sol–gel, thermal decomposition, hydrothermal technique, combustion method, co-precipitation technique, biogenic method, precipitation method, two-step thermal decomposition technique, one-step
multi-component synthesis, and microwave synthesis [16–29] can be used for the preparation of CaO nanoparticles [30]. Varying these methods, all physical and chemical properties of nano-CaO can be changed; morphology, specific surface area, and capturing efficiency can be carefully controlled under specific synthesis conditions [31]. The calcination temperatures of CaO from Ca(OH)₂ precursor are found in the range of 450–650 °C [32–37]. CaO can also be prepared from CaCO₃ by decomposition above 900 °C [38, 39]. The adsorption capacity of CaO-based adsorbents decreases over several cycles of CaO carbonation/calcination [40–43]. To improve the sintering-resistant properties of CaO-based adsorbents, many factors should be considered, such as decreasing the particle size and increasing the surface area, dispersing CaO on an inert support, as well as surface modification [44, 45]. The CaO nanoparticles have comparatively high adsorption efficiency, but the capabilities of multiple carbonations and decarbonation cycles of raw CaO rapidly degrade. The CO₂ capturing of CaO sorbent was done at high temperature between 550 and 800 °C [46, 47]. The grain size of CaO grows faster as the calcination temperature and heating time are increased [48]. The aim of this paper is to report on some novel results to produce CaO nanoparticles through precipitation method. Various analytical techniques are applied to characterize the product, and additionally, the results of carbon dioxide absorption experiments at low temperatures are presented.

**Experimental**

Materials and the synthesis method

Twenty milliliters of 1 M CaCl₂ × H₂O (≥ 95%, Sigma-Aldrich) and 20 ml of 2 M NaOH (≥ 98%, VWR Ltd.) were used as initial reagents for the synthesis of Ca(OH)₂ powder. During synthesis, the reagents are used in stoichiometric Ca²⁺:OH⁻ = 1:2 mol ratio. First, both aqueous solutions (CaCl₂ and NaOH) were heated up to 80 °C. At this fixed temperature, a given NaOH solution was added dropwise to a given CaCl₂ solution under stirring (1300 rpm) by magnetic stirrer for 30 min. The process takes place according to the following reaction:

\[ \text{CaCl}_2(aq) + 2\text{NaOH}(aq) \rightarrow \text{Ca(OH)}_2(s) + 2\text{NaCl}_2(aq) \]

The suspension obtained was washed five times with 120 ml of distilled water per occasion to remove NaCl from the suspension. The duration of each filtering process was 2.5 h. As a result, a white precipitate Ca(OH)₂ occurred according to the process (left from/the solution passes the filter, while right from/ the precipitate is captured by the filter):

\[ \text{Ca(OH)}_2(aq) + 2\text{NaCl}_2(aq) + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow n\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{NaCl}_2(aq)/\text{Ca(OH)}_2(s,\text{wet}) \]

The wet precipitate Ca(OH)₂ was dried in air at room temperature over one night to collect a semi-dry precipitate Ca(OH)₂. Of collected semi-dry precipitate of Ca(OH)₂, 1.24 g was calcined in air at 650 °C for 1 h in the furnace with a heating rate of 15 °C/min. As a result, 0.92 g of calcium oxide (CaO) powder was produced by calcination while the dissociation product H₂O was evaporated as shown in the following equation:

\[ \text{Ca(OH)}_2(s,\text{wet}) \rightarrow \text{CaO}(s) + \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) \uparrow \]

According to molar masses, from 1.24 g of dry Ca(OH)₂, one expects to obtain about 0.939 g CaO. This is larger by 2.0% compared to the 0.92 g obtained in reality, meaning that our semi-dry Ca(OH)₂ contained about 2 w% of water. In Table 1, all our samples used in this paper are collected.

Characterization techniques

XRD investigations were performed using two instruments: both Cu K-alpha source, 40 kV and 40 mA generator settings, and parallel beam with Göbel mirror. The obtained CaO powder calcined in air was measured on Bruker D8 (Vantec-PSD with 1° opening, scanning rate 0.007°(2θ)/24 s). The wet Ca(OH)₂ precipitate was in situ calcined between 25 and 650 °C, recording at each 50 °C in a Anton Paar HTK 1200 N heating chamber with 60 °C/min heating rate, 0.01 mbar when vacuum applied mounted on Bruker D8 Discover Lynx Eye XE-T solid-state ED detector in 0 D mode, and scanning rate 0.014°(2θ)/24 s. The SEM and EDS investigations are performed with a Thermo Fisher Helios G4 PFIB SEM equipped with an EDAX Octane ElectPlus detector. The average particle sizes of the samples are measured from the SEM images.
by “ImageJ” software using the mode of threshold adjustment. The TEM measurements were done by Fei Tecnai G2 20 XT win equipment with tungsten cathode and an acceleration voltage of 200 kV. The specific surface area of the CaO was examined by Brunauer–Emmett–Teller method (BET, Micrometrics TriStar 3000). The CO₂ adsorption capacity was determined through the measurement of the mass increase at different temperatures (25, 100, 200 °C) in air as a function of time.

Results and discussion

XRD results

Figure 1 shows a series of XRD diffractograms of sample 1. It follows that the initial wet precipitate at 25 °C contains mostly Ca(OH)₂ with very few amounts of CaCO₃. The peaks for Ca(OH)₂ disappeared in the temperature range between 250 and 300 °C; instead, new peaks of CaO appeared and remained stable till the maximum measured temperature of 650 °C. The small peak of CaCO₃ disappeared in the temperature range between 500 and 550 °C.

Similar results of sample 2 are shown in Fig. 2 for the case when the in situ calcination in the XRD equipment was performed in air. Compared to Fig. 1, calcination in air (instead of vacuum) leads to prolonged stability by about 150 °C for both initial compounds: from 300 to 450 °C for Ca(OH)₂ and from 500 to 650 °C for CaCO₃ (for the summary of data of Figs. 1 and 2, see Table 2). The difference between samples 1–2 is due to the high entropy of the gaseous reaction products (H₂O and CO₂) that drive the dissociation reaction in vacuum further and faster compared to the case when calcination is performed in air.

Figure 3 shows the temperature dependence of the crystallite sizes of CaO nanoparticles as function of calcination temperature in vacuum (sample 1) and in air (sample 2) using XRD technique. The crystal sizes of CaO calcined under vacuum goes through a maximum at 550 °C. Around this temperature, the CaO particles undergo recrystallization by eliminating

| Sample | Conditions of calcination | Kept in normal air |
|--------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| 1      | Wet precipitate: in vacuum from 25 to 650 °C | — |
| 2      | Wet precipitate: in air from 25 to 650 °C | — |
| 3      | Dry precipitate: in air at 650 °C for 1 h | “Fresh sample” |
| 4      | Dry precipitate: in air at 650 °C for 1 h | At 25 °C for 3 weeks |
| 5      | Dry precipitate: in air at 650 °C for 1 h | At 100 °C for 3 weeks |
| 6      | Dry precipitate: in air at 650 °C for 1 h | At 200 °C for 3 weeks |

Fig. 1 The XRD diffractograms of sample 1 during its calcination steps under vacuum in the temperature interval of 25–650 °C
lattice defects and dislocations. The same maximum is not present when CaO was obtained by calcination in air. Also, the final particle size obtained after calcination at 650 °C is lower when calcination is performed in air. That is why the samples obtained in this way are used here for further analysis. The XRD diffractogram of the corresponding sample 3 is shown after its calcination in Fig. 4. This figure confirms the formation of only one phase, CaO, being in agreement with Figs. 1 and 2. As follows from Fig. 4, the size of these crystals is below 100 nm, so they are truly nanoparticles [49].

**SEM, EDS, and TEM investigations of the CaO particles**

Figure 5 shows SEM and EDS examination of samples 3–4. The SEM images prove that the CaO particles have flake shape morphology. The particle sizes found from the SEM images are too large...
(115–255 nm), proving that the particles are agglomerated. The EDS investigation confirmed the presence of Ca and O (a small amount of C comes from the sample holder). Figure 5b shows the SEM image of CaO (sample 4) after the sample was kept in normal air at room temperature for 3 weeks. As follows from the comparison of Fig. 5a, b, the C and O contents of CaO increased significantly proving that it adsorbed CO$_2$ with the formation of CaCO$_3$ (for details see below).

TEM images of different CaO particles (sample 3) are shown in Fig. 6. Both single particles with their sizes below 100 nm and agglomerated particles with their sizes around 500 nm are present. The comparison of Figs. 5 and 6 proves that the particles of Fig. 5 are agglomerated particles. The comparison of Fig. 3 with Fig. 6 proves that the sizes for particles equaling crystallites obtained by XRD and TEM are similar; thus, the CaO nanoparticles were successfully produced. According to SEM and TEM images, this sorbent did not exhibit a “fluffy” structure, which probably contributes to its high surface area and large pore volume enhancing the uptake capacity and reversibility of CaO sorbent.

Absorption measurements

The CaO nanoparticles described above (samples 4–6) were used for absorption measurements. Samples 4–6 were exposed to air at different temperatures (25, 100, and 200 °C) for three weeks (= 504 h). The time dependences of the mass increase of the three CaO samples are shown in Fig. 7. Table 3 shows the initial and final masses of the samples kept at different temperatures. As follows from Fig. 7, the initial mass increase is much faster compared to the same at longer times. Moreover, after about 450 h, all samples reach saturation, i.e., their masses do not increase any more with further holding time. The half-time of curves in Fig. 7 decreases with increasing temperature, i.e., the relative rate of the process increases with temperature. More importantly, the relative mass increase of the samples decreases with increasing temperature.

The expected primary reaction of the air components with CaO nanoparticles is the capture of carbon dioxide from air: CaO$_{(s)}$ + CO$_2$(g) = CaCO$_3$(s). This reaction is accompanied by the following standard Gibbs energy changes in kilojoules per mole (at different temperatures given in °C): − 129.9 (25), − 117.8 (100), and − 101.6 (200) [50]. Indeed, when CaO was kept for 3 weeks at 25 °C, it was fully transformed into the calcite form of CaCO$_3$ (see also Fig. 8). The theoretical ratio of the molar masses of CaCO$_3$ to CaO equals 1.78. This ratio is practically the same as found at the end of the experiment performed at 25 °C (see Fig. 7 and Table 3), further confirming that at room temperature, CaO nanoparticles are able to capture carbon dioxide from air and the full chemical capacity of CaO is used for this process within 3 weeks. Note that although the 3 weeks seems a long time, this process does not need industrial conditions: The CaO nano-particles deposited on field will slowly but surely absorb CO$_2$ from surrounding air.
In contrary to the results found at room temperature, the same CaO sample also captured some moisture from air when it was kept in air at 100–200 °C during 3 weeks (see Fig. 8). These samples transformed into the mixture of two different phases: Ca(OH)$_2$ and CaCO$_3$. The calcite form of CaCO$_3$ was
formed at both temperatures, but at 100 °C, CaCO₃ was found partly as aragonite. The sample kept for 3 weeks at 100 °C is composed of 74 w% of Ca(OH)₂ and 26 w% of CaCO₃; the latter divided into 17 w% of calcite and 9 w% of aragonite. The sample kept for 3 weeks at 200 °C is composed of 60 w% of Ca(OH)₂ and 40 w% of CaCO₃ in the form of calcite. This is in agreement with Fig. 2 and Table 2, where the mixture of (Ca(OH)₂ + CaCO₃) is reported at temperatures below 400 °C when Ca(OH)₂ precipitate is calcined in air.

Based on the above, at 100–200 °C, the following additional reaction also took place: CaO₂ + H₂O(g) = Ca(OH)₂(s). This side reaction is accompanied by the following standard Gibbs energy changes in kilojoules per mole (at different temperatures given in °C): −66.3 (25), −55.8 (100), and −41.9 (200) [50]. Although these standard Gibbs energy changes are less negative compared to those accompanying the formation of CaCO₃, nevertheless these values are also sufficiently negative to allow the formation of Ca(OH)₂ in addition to CaCO₃. The fact that Ca(OH)₂ is formed at 100 and 200 °C but not at 25 °C cannot be explained solely by thermodynamic reasons. It is probably due to kinetic reasons, namely to the lower activation energy of Ca(OH)₂ formation compared to that of CaCO₃ formation. In summary, with increasing temperature, thermodynamics prefers the formation of CaCO₃, while kinetics prefers the formation of Ca(OH)₂. The interplay between these two opposite trends might be the reason for the observed values. Certainly, further experiments are needed to clarify the temperature dependence in more details.

The ratio of the molar masses of Ca(OH)₂ to CaO equals 1.32, and this ratio is considerably lower than 1.78 valid for CaCO₃. This, together with Fig. 8, explains in Fig. 7 why the final masses of CaO samples kept at 100–200 °C are considerably lower compared to that of the sample kept at 25 °C. The final masses of the CaO samples can be estimated by the following equation:

![Figure 7](image-url)  
Fig. 7 The time dependence of the relative mass change of CaO samples 4–6 exposed to normal air at different temperatures.

![Figure 8](image-url)  
Fig. 8 The XRD patterns of the CaO nanoparticles (samples 4–6) after being kept in air at 25, 100, and 200 °C for 3 weeks.

| Sample | Temperature, °C | Initial mass, g | Final mass, g | Final/initial masses | Half-time, h |
|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|
| 4      | 25              | 0.87            | 1.54         | 1.77                | 37          |
| 5      | 100             | 0.75            | 1.03         | 1.37                | 22          |
| 6      | 200             | 0.88            | 1.09         | 1.24                | 19          |

Table 3 The measured initial and final masses of CaO samples (data from Fig. 7)
where $C_{CaCO_3}$ (w%) and $C_{Ca(OH)2}$ (w%) are the CaCO$_3$ and Ca(OH)$_2$ contents (in mass percent) of the final sample. Substituting the above values and the values given in Table 3, the following results are obtained:

i. At 25 °C: $C_{CaCO_3} = 100\%$ and $C_{Ca(OH)2} = 0\%$:
   $m_{\text{final}} = 1.55$ g, deviating less than 1% from the measured value of 1.54 g (see Table 3),

ii. At 100 °C: $C_{CaCO_3} = 26\%$ and $C_{Ca(OH)2} = 74\%$:
    $m_{\text{final}} = 1.08$ g, being larger by 4.8% compared to the measured value of 1.03 g (see Table 3),

iii. At 200 °C: $C_{CaCO_3} = 40\%$ and $C_{Ca(OH)2} = 60\%$:
     $m_{\text{final}} = 1.32$ g, being larger by 21% compared to the measured value of 1.09 g (see Table 3).

These results indicate that the formation of Ca(OH)$_2$, kinetically blocks the formation of CaCO$_3$, and this mechanism is enhanced with increasing temperature at least up to 200 °C. This can be explained by the large difference between molar volumes of CaO (2.71 g/cm$^3$) and Ca(OH)$_2$ (3.34 g/cm$^3$). The fact that Eq. (1) predicts higher mass increase than experimentally observed indicates that some residual, unreacted CaO should be present in the samples, kinetically blocked by Ca(OH)$_2$. However, no peak of CaO is visible in Fig. 8. This might be explained by the nano-size of the blocked, un-reacted islands of CaO, which might not be visible by XRD. From the measured values, the remaining concentration of CaO can be found extending Eq. (1) as follows:

$$m_{\text{final}} = m_{\text{initial}} \cdot \left[ 0.01 \cdot C_{CaO} + \left( 1 - 0.01 \cdot C_{CaO} \right) \cdot (0.0178 \cdot C_{CaCO_3} + 0.0132 \cdot C_{Ca(OH)2}) \right]$$

From Eq. (2):

$$C_{CaO} \equiv \frac{m_{\text{final}} - (0.0178 \cdot C_{CaCO_3} + 0.0132 \cdot C_{Ca(OH)2})}{0.01 \cdot \left[ 1 - (0.0178 \cdot C_{CaCO_3} + 0.0132 \cdot C_{Ca(OH)2}) \right]}$$

### Table 4 Results of surface area measurements of samples 3–4

| Sample | BET, m$^2$/g | BJH, m$^2$/g | BJH, m$^3$/g | BET, pore, nm |
|--------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| 3      | 4.34         | 3.17         | 0.016        | 11            |
| 4      | 6.05         | 5.17         | 0.031        | 17            |

iii. At 200 °C: $m_{\text{final}}/m_{\text{initial}} = 1.24$, $C_{CaCO_3} = 40\%$ and $C_{Ca(OH)2} = 60\%$: $C_{CaO} = 52.4\%$.

As follows from these results, the higher is the temperature, the higher is the ratio of blocked and unreacted CaO, at least until 200 °C. Certainly, further experiments are needed to clarify this question.

Finally, it is suggested here to perform capturing of carbon dioxide by CaO nanoparticles produced here at room temperature, as at higher temperature (at least up to 200 °C), the efficiency of this process is considerably decreased due to the partial formation of Ca(OH)$_2$. Even if the rate of CO$_2$ capturing is lower at room temperature, it does not require any industrial equipment: When CaO nano-particles are disposed on a field, they will capture the stoichiometric amount of carbon dioxide slowly, but surely.

The specific surface area of the CaO samples

Freshly prepared pure CaO sample 3 (obtained by calcination in air at 650 °C for 1 h) was found to have the measured specific surface area of 4.34 m$^2$/g (Table 4). After keeping the same sample at room temperature in air for 3 weeks (sample 4), its specific surface area is increased to 6.05 m$^2$/g (Fig. 4). This difference looks quite large, about 39%. As follows from the above, this is due to the absorption of CO$_2$ by CaO nanoparticles and by the transformation of the latter into CaCO$_3$. Now, let us re-calculate these measured values to somewhat more scientific values expressed in m$^2$/m$^3$ = 1/m. Using the density of 3.34 g/cm$^3$ of CaO [51], the initial specific surface area of 4.34 m$^2$/g is recalculated to 1.45 E7 1/m. On the other hand, using the density of 2.71 g/cm$^3$ of CaCO$_3$ in the calcite form [50], the final specific surface area of 6.05 m$^2$/g is recalculated to 1.64 E7 1/m. Thus, the difference between
the initial and final values is reduced to 13%. Modelling the particles in the first approximation as cubes with their specific surface area expressed as $6a/2a$ (with $a$ the side length of a cube), the cube side is found to decrease during the carbonization process from about 414 nm to about 359 nm. It means that the specific surface area measurements correspond to the agglomerated particles, as explained above in relation with Fig. 6.

As follows from Table 4, the specific surface areas of pores (BJH) are increased from 3.17 m$^2$/g for freshly prepared CaO sample 3 to 5.17 m$^2$/g after 3 weeks explosion to air at room temperature (sample 4). The cumulative specific pore volumes are increased from 0.016 m$^3$/g of the freshly prepared CaO sample to 0.031 m$^3$/g after it is kept in air during 3 weeks at room temperature. The average pore diameters are increased from 11 nm for fresh CaO to 17 nm after it is kept for 3 weeks in fresh air at room temperature.

Conclusions

Ca(OH)$_2$ precipitates were prepared from the reaction of aqueous solutions containing dissolved CaCl$_2$ and NaOH. After the dissolved NaCl is washed out and the Ca(OH)$_2$ precipitates are filtered and dried, they were calcined to form CaO nanoparticles. It was found that calcination in vacuum leads to the formation of CaO nanoparticles at a somewhat lower temperature compared to the same calcination performed in air, due to high entropy of low-pressure gaseous products. However, applying vacuum during calcination also leads to a larger CaO grain size compared to calcination in air. That is why calcination in air is recommended here to obtain CaO nano-particles of smaller size and larger specific surface area.

It is shown that when these CaO nanoparticles are kept at room temperature in normal air, they fully and spontaneously transform into CaCO$_3$ within 3 weeks, i.e., they are able to capture carbon dioxide from air. This process does not require any industrial condition; it rather happens spontaneously if the CaO nano-particles are disposed to open fields.

However, when the CaO nanoparticles are kept in air at 100–200 °C, then they mostly capture water vapor from air instead of carbon dioxide, and calcium hydroxide forming as a result blocks the carbon dioxide capture by CaO nanoparticles.
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