Spatial distribution and interactions between mosquitoes (Diptera: Culicidae) and climatic factors in the Amazon, with emphasis on the tribe Mansoniini
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This work aimed to evaluate the spatial distribution of mosquitoes in different seasonal periods and the interaction between climatic factors and the abundance of mosquitoes, especially those belonging to the tribe Mansoniini in the area surrounding the Amazon hydroelectric production region (Jirau-HP) of Rondônia state, Brazil. Mosquito specimens were collected in May, July, October, and December 2018, and April, July, September, and November 2019, over periods of three alternating days during the hours of 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. Mosquito sampling was performed using automatic CDC and Shannon light traps. Canonical correspondence analysis (CCA), combined with Monte Carlo permutations, was used to evaluate the correlation between climatic variables and species distribution. In addition, non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was used to verify the similarity among the sampled communities from the different collections. After analyzing the total mosquito fauna at all sampling points, 46,564 specimens were identified, with Mansonia dyari showing the highest relative abundance in 2018 (35.9%). In contrast, Mansonia titillans had the highest relative abundance in 2019 (25.34%), followed by Mansonia iguassuensis (24.26%). The CCA showed that maximum temperature significantly influenced the distribution of mosquito populations in the study area (p = 0.0406). The NMDS showed that sampling carried out in the rainy and dry seasons formed two distinct groups. There was a significant correlation between species richness and cumulative precipitation 15 days before the sampling period (R² = 58.39%; p = 0.0272). Thus, both temperature and precipitation affected mosquito population dynamics. The effect of rainfall on mosquito communities may be due to variations in habitat availability for immature forms.

Mosquito populations are dynamic, constantly changing over time according to factors that regulate their growth. Hence, studying the ecology of culicids in areas affected by large infrastructure projects is of fundamental importance. Specifically, understanding population dynamics can help elucidate community structure and interactions with the ecosystem, allowing us to answer questions, such as whether a population will persist in a particular habitat or not. In the Amazon region, local ecosystem dynamics are affected by well-defined rainy and dry seasons.
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High temperatures associated with higher humidity and precipitation directly influence the life cycle of mosquitoes, favoring the development and survival of larvae, prolonging adult life, and thus increasing the overall population size\(^1\). Meanwhile, climate change accelerates the digestion of blood repasts performed by adult females, driving a higher intensity of hematophagous activity and, consequently, pathogen transmission.

Mosquitoes of the tribe Mansoniini tend to inhabit environments with a high degree of anthropogenic pressure. This proximity to the human population, in combination with remarkable resilience to new environments and the anthropophilic behavior of these mosquitoes, results in public health concerns\(^2\). Elevated levels of blood-feeding by populations of Mansoniini on animals and humans have caused disturbances to human life and livestock production in some regions\(^3\).

Therefore, studies of the biocological and morphological configurations of the Mansoniini fauna are necessary to understand how possible environmental changes can affect the abundance and coexistence of mosquito species in the natural environment. Hence, this study aimed to evaluate the spatial distribution of mosquitoes in different seasons and the relationship between climatic factors and species abundance, focusing on populations of Mansoniini living in a region of the Brazilian Amazon affected by a hydroelectric plant.

### Materials and methods

#### Ethics statement.
Mosquito collections were authorized by the Chico Mendes Institute for Biodiversity Conservation—ICMBio, through the Biodiversity Authorization and Information System—SISBIO No.58855-3.

#### Study area.
The study area is located in the region surrounding the Jirau Hydroelectric Plant (HP), located 120 km from Porto Velho, in Rondônia state, Brazil, and is covered by vegetation of the Amazonian biome. The region’s landscapes vary according to local geographical particularities. Local vegetation types include Forested Wooded Campinarana, Shrubby Campinarana, Lowland Ombrophilous Forest with palm trees, Open Ombrophilous Forest, Várzea Forest, and Igapó Forest\(^4\). The state of Rondônia has an Aw-type tropical climate with dry winter (low rainfall in winter)\(^5\) and an average annual temperature of around 25.6 °C. The well-defined dry period of the winter season causes a moderate water deficit in the state, with rainfall rates below 50 mm/month\(^6\).

Six sampling points were selected, situated between 3 and 25 km from the Jirau HP. These points were the Point 1, Jaci Paranã 9° 15’ 13.9” S 64° 24’ 44.7” W; Point 2, Agricola Zamo 9° 14’ 50.3” S 64° 28’ 06.5” W; Point 3, Agricola Zamo 2° 9’ 12’ 04.6” S 64° 33’ 37.8” W; Point 4, Nova Mutum Paranã 9° 17’ 42.5” S 64° 32’ 52.5” W; Point 5, Right polygonal 9° 16’ 42.1” S 64° 35’ 49.1” W; and Point 6, Farm BR 364 KM 828 9° 19’ 55.6” S 64° 37’ 52.3” W (Fig. 1). Sampling was carried out in May, July, October, and December 2018 and in April, July, September, and November 2019.

The captures were performed using CDC light traps for six consecutive days, from 06:00 p.m. to 09:00 a.m., for a total of 48 sampling days and a sampling effort of 720 h. We also used Shannon's light traps from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m. for three alternate days, representing 24 additional samples with a sampling effort of 48 h. At the site used as the logistical support base for the study, all specimens captured in the Shannon and CDC traps were sacrificed with a chloroform solution, placed in conical polypropylene tubes with a layer of naphthalene and filter paper on the bottom, and preserved until identification. Each sample was identified by capture point, date, and sampling method. Species identification was carried out based on direct observation of the morphological characters under a stereomicroscope and consulting species descriptions/diagnoses in dichotomous keys by Refs.\(^7\)\(^–\)\(^14\). The abbreviation of the genera and subgenera followed the norms established by the Ref.\(^15\) group (2009). The captured specimens were listed in the Entomological Collection of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute under the title “Coleção Amazônica, UHE-JIRAU.”

#### Statistical analysis.
The correlations between the distribution of mosquito species and climatic variables were assessed through Canonical Correspondence Analysis (CCA), using CANOCO version 4.56. The statistical significance of the abiotic variables was tested with 5000 Monte Carlo permutations\(^16\).

Comparisons of the community structure found in different sampling campaigns were conducted through non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on the Morisita index of similarity, using the Past 4.0 software. Using the Bioestat 5.3 computer program, a curve fitting was performed to assess the correlation between species richness and cumulative precipitation 15 days before each sampling period. This curve fitting indicated geometric regression as the best explanatory model for the data set.

Once the normal distribution of the data was ascertained using the Lilliefors test, Pearson’s correlation coefficients, with a 95% confidence interval, were calculated for correlations between total Culicidae species diversity and the diversity of *Mansonia* species, Mansoniini species, and *Coquillettidia* species. The Shannon–Wiener and Simpson indices were also used to describe the diversity of the species in the sampling area. The first index is more sensitive to variations in the number of rare species in the sample, and the second is more sensitive to variations in the most abundant species.

We used the Shannon index to evaluate the diversity of species found in the CDC and Shannon traps during the eight sampling periods of May, July, October, and December 2018, and April, July, September, and November 2019.

Measurements of relative air humidity and temperature (maximum, minimum, compensated average, and precipitation) were obtained from the National Institute of Meteorology\(^17\) and the National Agency of Water and Basic Sanitation\(^18\).

#### Results
The mosquito fauna found in all sampling points of the study area was represented by the subfamilies Anophelinae and Culicinæ, with a total of 46,564 adult mosquitoes captured from 32 species (Tables 1, 2).
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In May, July, October, and December 2018, we captured 20,746 Culicidae specimens, of which 20,130 (97.03\%) were from *Mansonia* species, and 92 (0.43\%) were from *Coquillettidia*. Meanwhile, in April, July, September, and November 2019, we captured 25,818 Culicidae specimens, of which 25,317 (98.06\%) were from *Mansonia* species and nine (0.03\%) from *Coquillettidia*. In the months with the highest number of captures, we captured 9477 Culicidae specimens in November 2019, with 9072 (95.7\%) from *Mansonia* spp., and 8312 in May 2018, of which 7977 (96\%) belonged to *Mansonia* spp. (Table 1).

The highest number of Culicidae species was found in December 2018: 26 species, of which 18 belonged to Mansoniini (11 *Mansonia* spp.; 7 *Coquillettidia* spp.). In contrast, the month with the lowest number of species was July 2019, with 13, with 10 from Mansoniini (9 *Mansonia* spp.; 1 *Coquillettidia* spp.) (Table 1).

*Mansonia dyari* Belkin, Heinemann & Page, 1970 had the highest relative abundance (35.91\%) in 2018 (Table 1). In 2019, meanwhile, *Mansonia titillans* (Walker, 1848) had the highest relative abundance, representing 25.34\% of the sample, followed by *Mansonia iguassensis* Barbosa, da Silva & Salum, 2007, with 24.26\% (Table 1). In total, 37,905 specimens of *Mansonia* spp. and 49 of *Coquillettidia* spp. were captured in Shannon light traps. In contrast, we captured 7542 *Mansonia* spp. and 52 *Coquillettidia* spp. in CDC traps (Table 2).

Of the 33 mosquito species identified, 28 were captured in the Shannon traps and 29 in the CDC traps. Four species occurred only in the Shannon trap and 5 in the CDC trap, with 24 species occurring in both traps. The numbers of Mansoniini captured were lowest in December 2018 (1901 specimens, or 4% of all specimens collected), April 2019 (3438; 8\%), and October 2018 (3900; 9\%) (Table 3).

The canonical correspondence analysis revealed that only maximum temperature was a significant factor (p-value = 0.0406) with respect to the correlations between the abiotic variables (rainfall, temperature, and relative humidity) and the distributions of mosquito species in the sample area.

Thus, Fig. 2 shows that all species in the lower two quadrants of the graph are positively influenced by the maximum temperature, while taxa in the upper two quadrants are negatively influenced.

The regression model with the best fit (R² = 0.584; p = 0.0272) indicates a possible correlation between the cumulative rainfall prior to the sampling date and the number of species collected. Hence, a high rainfall intensity can directly influence mosquito abundance (Fig. 3).

The correlation between the diversity of *Mansonia* and that of all Culicidae was positive, strong, and highly significant (p = 0.0058, Pearson’s r = 0.8668), even more than the correlation between Mansoniini and the total
Table 1. Absolute and relative abundance of Culicidae adults captured in areas surrounding the Jirau hydroelectric power plant in Rondônia state, Brazil, in May, July, October, and December 2018 and April, July, September, and November 2019. *Absolute abundance. **Relative abundance.

| Species/author | Dry | Transition | Rainy | N | %p | Campaigns and periods |
|----------------|-----|------------|-------|---|----|-----------------------|
| Aedes aegypti (Lutz, 1904) | 36 | 2 | 3 | 25 | 66 | 0.26 |
| Aedes albopictus (Rondani, 1848) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Anopheles albimanus (Theobald, 1901) | 42 | 737 | 611 | 96 | 1486 | 7.16 |
| Anopheles argyritarsis Robineau-Desvoidy, 1827 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Anopheles evansi (Brethes, 1926) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Anopheles transtamulatus (Neiva & Pinto, 1922) | 109 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 113 | 0.54 |
| Coquillettidia albicoxa (Chagas, 1908) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0.01 |
| Coquillettidia albifera (Prado, 1931) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 |
| Coquillettidia chrysonotum (Peryassu, 1922) | 1 | 13 | 2 | 14 | 30 | 0.14 |
| Coquillettidia fasciolata (Theobald, 1891) | 4 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 23 | 0.11 |
| Coquillettidia jestamansonia (Chagas, 1907) | 1 | 7 | 4 | 5 | 17 | 0.08 |
| Coquillettidia laschi (Shannon, 1931) | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0.03 |
| Coquillettidia nigricans (Coquillet, 1904) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.02 |
| Coquillettidia venezuelensis (Theobald, 1912) | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0.04 |
| Culex bastagarius Dyar and Knab, 1906 | 46 | 3 | 5 | 41 | 95 | 0.46 |
| Culex (Melanomormon) spp. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 |
| Culex mollis Dyar and Knab, 1906 | 55 | 2 | 6 | 96 | 159 | 0.77 |
| Mansonia amazonensis (Theobald, 1901) | 42 | 737 | 611 | 96 | 1486 | 7.16 |
| Mansonia dyari Bellini, Heineham & Page, 1970 | 6 | 1189 | 33 | 35 | 7450 | 35.91 |
| Mansonia flavescens (Coquillet, 1906) | 1 | 5 | 206 | 132 | 344 | 1.66 |
| Mansonia fonsceai (Pinto, 1932) | 1 | 59 | 281 | 73 | 414 | 2.00 |
| Mansonia humeralis Dyar & Knab, 1916 | 284 | 757 | 107 | 263 | 1411 | 6.80 |
| Mansonia ignassensis Barbosa, da Silva & Saltum, 2007 | 37 | 509 | 1063 | 493 | 2102 | 10.13 |
| Mansonia indubitans Dyar & Shannon, 1925 | 99 | 448 | 91 | 232 | 870 | 4.19 |
| Mansonia pessoai (Barreto & Coutinho, 1944) | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0.03 |
| Mansonia pseudotitillans (Theobald, 1901) | 2 | 18 | 4 | 35 | 59 | 0.28 |
| Mansonia titillans (Walker, 1848) | 211 | 1781 | 1051 | 417 | 3460 | 16.68 |
| Mansonia wilsoni (Barreto & Coutinho, 1944) | 1106 | 896 | 446 | 80 | 2528 | 12.19 |
| Psorophora albiceps (Theobald, 1907) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0.04 |
| Psorophora cingulata (Fabricius, 1805) | 8 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 0.05 |
| Psorophora dimidiata 1943 Cerveira | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0.01 |
| Psorophora ferox (von Humboldt, 1819) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 |
| Uranotaenia pulcherrima Lynch Arribalzaga, 1987 | 8312 | 6438 | 3930 | 2066 | 20746 | 100 |

Culicidae diversity, which was also significant (p = 0.0129, Pearson’s r = 0.8194). However, the correlation between the diversity of Coquillettidia and that of all culicid taxa was not significant.

The NMDS revealed that the samples collected in the rainy season were highly similar, forming a set. In contrast, the collections of the dry season were less similar to each other, even forming a second group. Meanwhile, the collection carried out in the transition season showed a high degree of similarity with the sampling of the rainy season (Fig. 4). It should be noted that further statistical testing to quantify the effect of the climate season was limited as a result of the small sample size found in the dry season; although only two points were sampled during the dry season, their location in the reduced dimension space of the NMDS suggests that they differ from the samples of the rainy season.

Although the traps showed very similar diversity indices of captured species, the diversity was higher in CDC traps (2189) than Shannon traps (2003), even though the abundance of specimens was higher in Shannon traps (38,189) than the CDC traps (8375) (Table 4). However, when the Shannon index is calculated separately for Mansoniini, the Shannon trap shows a higher level of diversity (1967) than the CDC light traps (1895) (Table 5).

In particular, all species of Mansoniini were found in both traps, except Coquillettidia albifera, which was found only in the CDC light trap, and Coquillettidia nigricans (Coquillet, 1904), found only in the Shannon trap. The highest Shannon diversity index for Mansoniini was observed in December 2018 (1990), during the rainy season in Rondônia. The month with the lowest diversity was May 2018 (0.816), considered part of the dry
season. The months of July 2018 (dry), November 2019 (rainy), October 2018 (dry), and April 2019 (transition) also had very high diversity indices (Table 6).

The lowest Shannon indices were observed during the dry season months of May 2018 and September and July 2019. The month with the highest Simpson index (0.622) and, therefore, the lowest diversity was May 2018. In contrast, the month with the lowest Simpson index and, therefore, the highest diversity was December 2018 (0.160). These findings are consistent with those obtained by calculating the Shannon index (Table 6).

**Discussion**
Changes in temperature and extreme environmental conditions can affect the dynamics of vector-borne pathogens. These include leishmaniasis, transmitted by phlebotomine sandflies, as well as mosquitoes that spread arboviruses like dengue, encephalitis, yellow fever, West Nile fever, and lymphatic filariasis.

---

**Table 2.** Abundance of adults of *Mansonia* spp., *Coquillettidia* spp., and other species captured using CDC and Shannon (SH) light traps near the Jirau hydroelectric plant in Rondônia state, Brazil, in 2018 and 2019.

| Campaigns | Season       | No. of captured *Coquillettidia* spp. | No. of captured *Mansonia* spp. | Other species | Total No. of captured specimens (spp.) |
|------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------------------|
|            |              | CDC | SH | Total | CDC | SH | Total | CDC | SH | Total | CDC | SH | Total | CDC | SH | Total | CDC | SH | Total | CDC | SH | Total | CDC | SH | Total |
| May        | Dry          | 6   | 6  | 12    | 102 | 7875 | 7977 | 245 | 78 | 323 | 353 | 7959 | 8312 |
| July       | Dry          | 2   | 27 | 29    | 422 | 5981 | 6403 | 5    | 1  | 6   | 429 | 6009 | 6438 |
| October    | Transition   | 4   | 3  | 7     | 328 | 3565 | 3893 | 30   | 0  | 30  | 362 | 3568 | 3930 |
| December   | Rainy        | 36  | 8  | 44    | 1463 | 394  | 1857 | 61   | 104 | 165 | 1560 | 506  | 2066 |
| Total      |              | 48  | 44 | 92    | 2315 | 17,815 | 20,130 | 341 | 183 | 524 | 2704 | 18,042 | 20,746 |
| April      | Transition   | 0   | 0  | 0     | 542  | 2896 | 3438 | 69   | 2  | 71  | 611 | 2898 | 3509 |
| July       | Dry          | 0   | 1  | 1     | 1375 | 5142 | 6517 | 14   | 0  | 14  | 1389 | 5143 | 6532 |
| September  | Dry          | 0   | 3  | 3     | 263  | 6027 | 6290 | 5    | 2  | 7   | 268 | 6032 | 6300 |
| November   | Rainy        | 4   | 1  | 5     | 3047 | 6025 | 9072 | 352  | 48 | 400 | 3403 | 6074 | 9477 |
| Total      |              | 4   | 5  | 9     | 5227 | 20,090 | 25,317 | 440 | 52 | 492 | 5671 | 20,147 | 25,818 |

**Table 3.** Abundance of species of the tribe Mansoniini in areas surrounding the Jirau hydroelectric plant in Rondônia state, Brazil, in May, July, October, and December 2018 and April, July, September, and November 2019. *Absolute abundance. **Relative abundance.
Figure 2. Ordering diagram generated by CCA (Axis 1 Eigenvalue = 0.708, Axis 2 Eigenvalue = 0.105) showing all mosquito species and climatic variables gathered during May, July, October, and December 2018 and April, July, September, and November 2019, near the Jirau hydroelectric plant in Rondônia state, Brazil. Only maximum temperature was found significant (p-value = 0.0406) after 5000 Monte Carlo permutations. A1: Aedeomyia squamipennis; B1: Aedes fulvithorax; B2: Aedes scapularis; C1: Anopheles argyritarsis; C2: Anopheles evansae; C3: Anopheles triannulatus; D1: Coquillettidia albicosta; D2: Coquillettidia albifera; D3: Coquillettidia chrysonotum; D4: Coquillettidia fasciolata; D5: Coquillettidia juxtamansonia; D6: Coquillettidia venezuelensis; E1: Culex bastagarius; E2: Culex melanonconion; E3: Culex mollis; F4: Mansonia amazonensis; F5: Mansonia dyari; F6: Mansonia flaveola; F7: Mansonia fonsecai; F8: Mansonia humeralis; F9: Mansonia iguassensis; F10: Mansonia indubitans; F11: Mansonia pessoai; F12: Mansonia pseudotitillans; F13: Mansonia titillans; F14: Mansonia wilsoni; G1: Psorophora albipes; G2: Psorophora cingulata; G3: Psorophora dimidiata; G4: Psorophora ferox; H1: Uranotaenia pulcherrima.

Figure 3. Regression curve of geometric regression (p-value = 0.0272, $R^2 = 58.39\%$) of species richness as a function of cumulative precipitation, indicating a positive correlation between these two variables.
The CCA analysis showed that maximum temperature significantly influenced the abundance of mosquito populations in the study area. In addition, the NMDS showed two different groupings that consisted of samples collected during the rainy and dry seasons. Accordingly, Refs.22,23 report that changes in temperature and relative humidity determine the abundance of mosquitoes, which can disappear entirely during the dry season. Moreover, Refs.22,24,25 note that certain species of mosquitoes increase proportionally with the regional rainfall regime. This is consistent with Ref.10, who find alternating patterns in tropical and temperate climates in some Brazilian regions.

As shown by the geometric regression, there is a positive correlation between cumulative rainfall in the days before collection and the number of species found in the study period. Likewise, Ref.26 reported that under the conditions observed in the Serra do Mar State Park, climate variables directly influenced the abundance of Cq. chrysonotum and Cq. venezuelensis, favoring the occurrence of culicids during the more warm, wet, and rainy months.

The current climate scenario and future projections about climate, environmental, demographic, and meteorological factors directly influence the distribution and abundance of mosquito vectors and/or diseases27–30. Environmental temperature alters mosquito population dynamics, thereby affecting the development of immature stages as well as reproduction31. While temperature has an important effect on population dynamics, rainfall and drought also affect the density and dispersal of mosquitoes in temperate and tropical regions32.

To be sure, environmental changes other than climate can modify the behavior of vector insects and, subsequently, the mechanism of transmission of parasites39. Specifically, human impacts on the environment can result in drastically different disease transmission cycles in and around inhabited areas33.

A previous study34 reported that changes in land use influence the mosquito communities with potential implications for the emergence of arboviruses. Another study35 noted that environmental changes negatively affect natural ecosystems with accelerated biodiversity loss. This is due to the modification and loss of natural habitat and unsustainable land use, which leads to the spread of pathogens and disease vectors.

Hence, understanding the relationship between humans and the environment becomes increasingly critical, given the way in which climate changes can lead to alterations in the epidemiology of diseases such as dengue in areas considered free of the disease, as well as in endemic areas36.

We found that the abundance and diversity of Mansoniini were directly influenced by the effect of the rainy season and other climatic factors. The rainfall regime has been shown to affect the development of immature forms12,37; explaining the greater frequency of these specimens in the warmer and wetter months38–40. According to Ref.41, stable ecosystems such as forests contain great species diversity. On the other hand, diversity tends to be reduced in biotic communities suffering from stress.

Studies of insect populations in natural areas are important because they allow a direct analysis of how environmental factors influence phenomena such as the choice of breeding sites by females for oviposition, hematophagous behavior, and the distribution of species along a vegetation gradient12,26,42,43.

Throughout the experimental period of the present study, we observed that Shannon light traps are an effective method for catching mosquitoes from the Mansoniini tribe. Interestingly, Ref.44 reported a species richness pattern strongly influenced by Coquillettidia fasciolata (Lynch Arribálzaga, 1891) on mosquito samples from different capture points by using CDC and Shannon light traps as sampling methods. In contrast to the results of Ref.44, where the highest population density of mosquitoes was captured with CDC traps, we observed that these traps were not effective at capturing specimens of Mansoniini in spite of being used in large numbers in

Figure 4. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) using Morisita similarity index for ordination, depicting two distinct groups formed by collections from the wet and dry seasons (stress = 0.1071).
the present study. Moreover, Ref.\textsuperscript{45} conducted another study on faunal diversity in an Atlantic Forest remnant of the state of Rio de Janeiro and observed the highest abundance of *Cq. chrysonotum* (Peryassú, 1922) and *Cq. venezuelensis* by using Shannon light traps, while the numbers of captures of *Ma. titillans* were very similar using CDC and Shannon traps.

The results of this study indicate that the makeup of culicid fauna remains quite similar throughout the year, despite seasonal variations in abundance, though there was a lower variability of fauna in the dry season. Therefore, although the seasonality did not affect the temporal variation of the faunal composition in a generalized way, it was possible to detect a partial effect of the seasonality on fauna abundance.

Reference\textsuperscript{46} report that the incidence peaks of mosquitoes in the warmer and wetter months, as well as mosquito populations remaining between tolerance limits for most of the year, indicate the sensitivity of some species to the local climate.

The elevated abundance and diversity of species of Mansoniini in the study area were influenced by the favorable maintenance of breeding sites, including specific water accumulations with emerging vegetation that remain present throughout the year and the well-defined rainy season in the region. In addition, the representatives of Mansoniini, which prefer breeding sites containing macrophytes, made up nearly all of the species collected\textsuperscript{7}.

### Table 4. Abundance and Shannon diversity index of Culicidae adults captured in the CDC and Shannon traps installed near the Jirau hydroelectric plant in Rondônia state, Brazil, in 2018 and 2019. *Absolute abundance. **Relative abundance.

| Species/author                  | Traps |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |          |
|--------------------------------|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
|                                | CDC   | Shannon  | Total    | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   | **RA**   |
|                                | **N** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Aedes squamipennis** (Lynch Arribálzaga, 1878) | 155 | 1.9 | 7 | 0.0 | 162 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | |
| **Aedes fulvithorax** (Lutz, 1904) | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Aedes scapularis** (Rondani, 1848) | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Anopheles argyritarsis** Robineau-Desvoidy, 1827 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Anopheles evansae** (Bréthes, 1926) | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Anopheles triannulatus** (Neiva & Pinto, 1922) | 52 | 0.6 | 65 | 0.2 | 117 | 0.3 | | | | | | | | |
| **Coquillettidia albicosta** (Peryassú, 1908) | 2 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Coquillettidia albifera** (Prado, 1931) | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Coquillettidia chrysonotum** (Peryassú, 1922) | 18 | 0.2 | 14 | 0.0 | 32 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | |
| **Coquillettidia fasciata** (Lynch Arribálzaga, 1891) | 17 | 0.2 | 6 | 0.0 | 23 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Coquillettidia juxtamansonia** (Chagas, 1907) | 8 | 0.1 | 12 | 0.0 | 20 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Coquillettidia lynchi** (Shannon, 1931) | 1 | 0.0 | 7 | 0.0 | 8 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Coquillettidia nigricans** (Coquillett, 1904) | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Culex Bastagarius** Dyar & Knab, 1906 | 159 | 1.9 | 35 | 0.1 | 194 | 0.4 | | | | | | | | |
| **Culex (Melanonconion)** spp. | 226 | 2.7 | 32 | 0.1 | 258 | 0.6 | | | | | | | | |
| **Culex mally Dyar & Knab, 1906** | 141 | 1.7 | 78 | 0.2 | 219 | 0.5 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia amazonensis** (Theobald, 1901) | 1083 | 12.9 | 4087 | 10.7 | 5170 | 11.1 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia dyari** Belkin, Heinemann & Page, 1970 | 169 | 2.0 | 7407 | 19.4 | 7576 | 16.3 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia flavioa** (Coquillett, 1906) | 309 | 3.7 | 231 | 0.6 | 540 | 1.2 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia fonceai** (Pinto, 1932) | 136 | 1.6 | 583 | 1.5 | 719 | 1.5 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia humeralis** Dyar & Knab, 1916 | 2570 | 30.7 | 3546 | 9.3 | 6116 | 13.1 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia iguassuensis** Barbosa, da Silva & Sallum, 2007 | 1229 | 14.7 | 7136 | 18.7 | 8365 | 18.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia inhaibartis** Dyar & Shannon, 1925 | 301 | 3.6 | 943 | 2.5 | 1244 | 2.7 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia pessoai** (Barreto & Coutinho, 1944) | 8 | 0.1 | 35 | 0.1 | 43 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia pseudotitillans** (Theobald, 1901) | 107 | 1.3 | 754 | 2.0 | 861 | 1.8 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia trilrans** (Walker, 1848) | 1348 | 16.1 | 8654 | 22.7 | 10,002 | 21.5 | | | | | | | | |
| **Mansonia wilsoni** (Barreto & Coutinho, 1944) | 282 | 3.4 | 4529 | 11.9 | 4811 | 10.3 | | | | | | | | |
| **Psorophora albipes** (Theobald, 1907) | 9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Psorophora cingulata** (Fabricius, 1805) | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Psorophora dimidiata** Cesqueira da 1943 | 1 | 0.0 | 2 | 0.0 | 3 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Psorophora ferox** (von Humboldt, 1819) | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Uranotaenia pulcherrima** Lynch Arribálzaga, 1891 | 35 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 35 | 0.1 | | | | | | | | |
| **Total** | 8375 | 100.0 | 38,189 | 100.0 | 46,564 | 100.0 | | | | | | | | |
| **Shannon index** | 2189 | – | 2003 | – | – | – | | | | | | | | |
Besides providing a greater awareness of mosquito populations' ecological and biological aspects, research carried out in wild areas also provides information on the relationship between species diversity and the area in which they are found. Considering that wild insects may become potential vectors of diseases, research in wild areas also provides helpful information for understanding relevant epidemiological aspects. These studies facilitate the identification, monitoring, and control of mosquito populations following environmental changes caused by direct human action, which can lead to major epidemics26.

We observed considerable heterogeneity among Mansoniini fauna, and the months with the highest rainfall directly influence the structure of the communities and contribute to the increase in mosquito diversity and abundance, possibly due to variations in the availability of habitat for their immature forms.

**Data availability**
All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its Supplementary Information files).

### Table 5.

| Species/author | Traps | Shannon | Total |
|----------------|-------|---------|-------|
|               | CDC   |         |       |
|               | AA*   | RA**    | N %p  |
|               | RA*   | RA**    | N %p  |
| AA*           | N %p  |         |       |

| Species/author | Traps | Shannon | Total |
|----------------|-------|---------|-------|
|               | CDC   | Shannon | Total |
|               | AA*   | RA**    | N %p  |
|               | RA*   | RA**    | N %p  |
| AA*           | N %p  |         |       |

| Species/author | Traps | Shannon | Total |
|----------------|-------|---------|-------|
|               | CDC   | Shannon | Total |
|               | AA*   | RA**    | N %p  |
|               | RA*   | RA**    | N %p  |
| AA*           | N %p  |         |       |

**Table 5.** Abundance and Shannon diversity index of tribe Mansoniini specimens captured in the CDC and Shannon traps installed in areas near the Jirau hydroelectric plant in Rondônia state, Brazil, in 2018 and 2019. *Absolute abundance. **Relative abundance.

### Table 6.

| Year | Campaigns | Period | Shannon index | Simpson index |
|------|------------|--------|---------------|---------------|
| 2018 | 1          | May Dry | Other culicidae: 0.19070 | Mansoniini tribe: 0.21863 |
|      | 2          | July Dry | Other culicidae: 0.00745 | Mansoniini tribe: 0.38889 |
|      | 3          | October Transition | Other culicidae: 0.04516 | Mansoniini tribe: 0.42889 |
|      | 4          | December Rainy | Other culicidae: 0.29976 | Mansoniini tribe: 0.40731 |
| 2019 | 5          | April Transition | Other culicidae: 0.09859 | Mansoniini tribe: 0.43781 |
|      | 6          | July Dry | Other culicidae: 0.01458 | Mansoniini tribe: 0.64286 |
|      | 7          | September Dry | Other culicidae: 0.00898 | Mansoniini tribe: 0.30612 |
|      | 8          | November Rainy | Other culicidae: 0.13207 | Mansoniini tribe: 0.17222 |

**Table 6.** Shannon diversity index and Simpson index for adult Culicidae captured in areas near the Jirau hydroelectric plant in Rondônia state, Brazil, in 2018 and 2019.
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