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Abstract

Background: Tonsillectomy is usually indicated in patients with tonsillar stones. It is thought coblation cryptolysis avoids the hazards related to monopolar bipolar diathermy and the regular cold surgical technique. This study compared the peri-operative outcomes in patients with tonsillar stones submitted to coblation cryptolysis, monopolar bipolar dissection, and cold dissection techniques. This randomized study included 105 patients with tonsillar stones. The included patients comprised three groups: GI (n = 35) was subjected to cold dissection, GII (n = 35) was subjected to monopolar bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy, and GIII (n = 35) was subjected to coblation cryptolysis. Outcome parameters included operative time, intraoperative bleeding, consumption of postoperative analgesia, and time to normal food intake.

Results: GIII patients experienced significantly shorter operative time, less intraoperative bleeding, less consumption of postoperative analgesics, and less time to normal diet when compared with the other two groups.

Conclusion: Coblation cryptolysis is a safe and effective technique in the management of tonsillar stones. It appears to be superior to other surgical interventions.
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1. Background

Tonsillar stones are caused by pathological calcifications within the tonsillar crypts. The condition may present with chronic halitosis, dysphagia, cough, and ear pain and is usually treated by tonsillectomy [1]. While tonsillectomy is the most common surgery in the field of otolaryngology, the procedure is not without drawbacks and multiple surgical techniques and instruments have been developed to shorten operative time and reduce complication rate [2].

In this context, it was suggested that coblation tonsillectomy can provide quicker patients’ recovery and a short hospitalization time [3]. The technique uses bipolar radiofrequency energy for soft tissue dissolution. It avoids trauma to pharyngeal muscles and related vessels and improves wound healing [4]. The procedure became popular in the late 1980s because it caused less pain, had an easier recovery, and allowed greater retention of immune function [5].

The present study aimed to compare the peri-operative outcomes in patients with tonsillar stones submitted to coblation cryptolysis, monopolar bipolar dissection, and cold dissection techniques.

2. Methods

The present randomized study was conducted at a private hospital in Jeddah, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. The study protocol was approved by the local ethical committee, and all patients gave informed consent before participation. The study included 105 adult patients with tonsillar stones indicated for tonsillectomy. Patients with bleeding disorder or contraindications to general anesthesia were excluded. The recruited patients comprised three groups: GI (n =
35) was subjected to cold dissection, GII (n = 35) was subjected to monopolar bipolar dissection, and GIII (n = 35) was subjected to coblation cryptolysis. Randomization was performed using computer-generated numbers and sealed envelope technique. Patient allocation was achieved by an independent researcher who was not aware of the nature of the study.

2.1. Surgical technique
All procedures were performed under general anesthesia through endotracheal intubation. Patients were positioned in the Rose position and a Crow-Davis mouth gag was inserted. The tonsil was taken and mediatized with an Allis clamp. Cold dissection (CD) was performed using scissors to enter the superior peritonsillar space, and blunt dissection was used to remove the tonsil from the superior to the inferior pole. Hemostasis was performed by packing or suturing bleeding points with absorbable suture. In the monopolar bipolar dissection group, the incision was made with monopolar cautery at the anterior and superior parts of the anterior pillar. Dissection was performed at the peritonsillar cleavage plane with monopolar diathermy and bleeding points were coagulated with bipolar cautery. Coblation cryptolysis was made using coblation radiofrequency through a saline medium by cryptolysis through the crypts up to pharyngeal muscles (Fig. 1). Two electrodes were immersed in a medium of normal saline, which produces a plasma field of sodium ions. Many highly ionized particles are contained in the plasma field resulting in coagulation of vessels and vaporization of tissues. In contrast to electrosurgery, which works at a temperature of up to 400 °C, coblation devices work at a temperature of 60 °C [3]. Irrigation and suction was employed at all times, and coagulation of vessels was done with the same instrument, Coblation® which is a registered trademark of ArthroCare Corporation, Sunnyvale, CA, USA.

2.2. Postoperative care
Most patients were discharged the day after the surgical procedure. We prescribed paracetamol 1 g IV every 8 h in the day of admission and 3 tablets daily of paracetamol (500 mg) + codeine (8 mg) + caffeine (30 mg) in subsequent days until pain subsided.

2.3. Outcome parameters
Outcome parameters included operative time, intraoperative bleeding, postoperative analgesics consumption, and time to normal food intake. Postoperative pain was assessed using the visual analogue scale (VAS) on a scale of 0–10, with 0 representing no pain at all and 10 worst possible pain. When the pain level exceeds 3, patients were given additional analgesics.

2.4. Statistical analysis
Results of the present study were presented as number and percent or mean and standard deviations. Numerical data were compared using t test while categorical data

| Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the studied groups |
|-----------------------------------------------|
|         | GI n = 35 | GII n = 35 | GIII n = 35 | P value |
| Age (years) | 27.1 ± 6.4 | 28.5 ± 7.5 | 26.7 ± 7.5 | 0.62 |
| Male/female | 19/16 | 16/19 | 23/12 | 0.24 |

| Table 2 Operative time in the studied groups |
|---------------------------------------------|
|         | GI n = 35 | GII n = 35 | GIII n = 35 | P value |
| Operative time (min) | 11.24 ± 6.76 | 10.76 ± 5.95 | 7.81 ± 3.14 | < 0.001 |
were compared using the chi-square test. All statistical calculations were achieved using SPSS 25 (IBM, USA). $P$ value less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

The present study included 105 patients with tonsillar stones. They included three groups: GI ($n = 35$) was subjected to cold dissection of tonsillar stone, GII ($n = 35$) was subjected to monopolar bipolar diathermy tonsillectomy, and GIII ($n = 35$) was subjected to coblation cryptolysis. All groups were matched regarding age and sex distribution (Table 1).

Comparison between the studied groups regarding the operative time showed significantly shorter intraoperative time in GIII (11.24 ± 6.76 versus 10.76 ± 5.95 and 7.81 ± 3.14 min, $P < 0.001$) (Table 2). Also, GIII patients had significantly less intraoperative bleeding when compared to GI and GII (57.4 ± 27.99 versus 44.3 ± 22.85 and 11.8 ± 6.14 ml, $< 0.001$) (Table 3). Moreover, GIII patients experienced significantly less consumption of analgesics in the first postoperative 5 days (Table 4). All patients achieved normal food intake within 15 postoperative days, but analysis implicated that coblation cryptolysis might be associated with fewer recovery days in comparison to the other two groups (Fig. 2).

### 4. Discussion

Coblation tonsillectomy has been proven safe and effective in previous studies and is widely used for tonsillectomy in both adults and children. In addition, coblation tonsillectomy is associated with better postoperative morbidity than are other techniques based on the postoperative pain and use of postoperative analgesia [6]. However, few studies compare coblation crypltolysis to CD and MBD in adults with tonsillar stones.

Results of the present study showed significantly shorter intraoperative time of coblation cryptolysis compared with the other two techniques in agreement with previous studies [7, 8].

Moreover, our study reported less intraoperative blood loss in coblation cryptolysis as compared to other procedures in line with the conclusions of [9] who concluded that in most patients submitted to coblation tonsillectomy, estimated blood loss was less than 32 ml while in the cold dissection method, the estimated blood loss varied between 20 and 130 ml. Also, in the study of Timms and Temple [10] and Dwyer-Hemmings [11], the reported blood loss in coblation tonsillectomy was 19.10 ± 8.6 ml in comparison to 67.85 ± 33.95 ml in cold dissection.

In addition, our study noted significantly less postoperative analgesic consumption in the coblation group in comparison to the other two groups in harmony with the findings of Polities et al. [12] and Mudd et al. [13] who documented significantly less pain in coblation side during first 3 days postoperative as compared to the cold dissection method.

On the other hand, Philpott et al. [14] and Mitic et al. [9] found no significant differences between coblation and cold dissection regarding postoperative pain.

In our study, time to normal food intake was found to be shorter in coblation cryptolysis in agreement with Zhou

### Table 3 Intraoperative bleeding in the studied groups

|                | GI $n=35$ | GII $n=35$ | GIII $n=35$ | $P$ value |
|----------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| Intraoperative bleeding (ml) | 57.4 ± 27.99 | 44.3 ± 22.85 | 11.8 ± 6.14 | < 0.001   |

### Table 4 Postoperative pain in the studied groups

|                | GI $n=35$ | GII $n=35$ | GIII $n=35$ | $P$ value |
|----------------|-----------|------------|-------------|-----------|
| **First 5 days** |           |            |             |           |
| Dexketoprofen   | 14.6 ± 1.8 | 14.6 ± 5   | 8.8 ± 2.3   | < 0.001   |
| Paracetamol + codeine | 14.6 ± 3.7 | 14.7 ± 4.4 | 9.1 ± 2.4   | < 0.001   |
| Diclofenac Na ampoules | 4.3 ± 1.8  | 4.2 ± 2.3  | 2.1 ± 1.7   | < 0.001   |
| **5–10 days**   |           |            |             |           |
| Dexketoprofen   | 10.7 ± 5.2 | 10.8 ± 5.3 | 7.9 ± 4.1   | >0.05     |
| Paracetamol + codeine | 12.6 ± 6.5 | 11.1 ± 4.2 | 9.0 ± 2.3   | >0.05     |
| Diclofenac Na ampoules | 3.4 ± 3.7  | 2.8 ± 1.9  | 2.4 ± 1.7   | >0.05     |
| **10–15 days**  |           |            |             |           |
| Dexketoprofen   | 8.7 ± 4.2  | 9.1 ± 4.4  | 7.3 ± 3.3   | >0.05     |
| Paracetamol + codeine | 9.3 ± 4.5  | 9.1 ± 4.6  | 7.5 ± 3.2   | >0.05     |
| Diclofenac Na ampoules | 2.4 ± 2.8  | 2.3 ± 1.8  | 1.8 ± 1.6   | >0.05     |
et al. [15]. They noted that coblation led to a 1.80-day reduction in the time required to return to normal food intake.

5. Conclusion
In conclusion, coblation cryptolysis is superior to the other two techniques. It was associated with shorter operative time, less intraoperative bleeding, less postoperative pain, and shorter time to normal food intake.
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