LONG-TERM STABILITY CALCULATION OF RESERVOIR BANK SLOPE CONSIDERING WATER-ROCK INTERACTION
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Early warning and forecast have always been the key points for landslide research because the disaster caused by landslide leads to enormous life and property loss. This article applies the transformed degradation results of rock to rock mass to calculate the stability and failure mode changes of landslide over time, and to guide engineering through experiment based on hydro-fluctuation belt water-rock interaction of Three Gorges Reservoir area. The results showed that until the rock mass degrades to a certain extent, the sliding zone export gets rise, the slip plane moves to surface, the back edge crack surface gets down, and the sliding mass gradually narrows down. As the ability of hydro-fluctuation belt to resist upper rock mass load reduces, the hydro-fluctuation belt collapses at the first, and then causes the back edge crack resulting in huge amount of rock mass slip. The contribution of each parameter in different stratum to landslide stability is studied through sensitivity analysis. Based on this, the comprehensive strength parameter can be evaluated and calculated combined with continuous deterioration of hydro-fluctuation belt. The comprehensive strength parameter can reflect comprehensive disaster resist capacity of landslide. The research results provide a reference for actual project, and the research methods provide a new way for landslide early warning and forecast.
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1 Introduction

The researches on degradation of water-rock interaction have achieved some results in recent years [1, 2]. The landslide102 in Chinese Sichuan-Tibet highway has been affected strongly by water-rock interaction in various forms, causing road blockage and casualties per annum; Xiangxi bank slope and Shaxi Temple slope groups in Three Gorges Reservoir of China are all typical degraded slope groups under water-rock interaction. The reservoir water level fluctuation in Sichuan basin red-bed region has caused a series of landslides and mudstone due to softening of sandstone. They all brought harmful influence to the reservoir operation.

At present, according to the test results of uniaxial compression, it is found that the damage characteristics of sandstone emerges a kind of conversion from brittle to ductile under cyclic drying-wetting effect [3]. M.L. Lin et al. conducted researches on mechanical property change rule of sandstone under cyclic drying-wetting effect [4]. Zhang Ming et al. [5] and LIU Xin-Rong et al. [6] did numerical simulation of bank slope stability under cyclic water-rock effect. But, it is relatively rare that the degradation rule of sandstone obtained from laboratory test was applied to predict the stability of rock mass. This paper, based on the typical slope (Muyubao bank slope) in Three Gorges Reservoir area, considers the degradation of rock mass caused by water-rock interaction, establishes a function to describe relationship between dry-wet cycle times and damage period, converts mechanical parameters of rock into rock mass, calculates the safety factor under different degree of degradation and constructs a comprehensive system of slope stability evaluation. The evaluation results of the slope were compared with the landslide safety factor under corresponding phase, then a forecasting system based on time deduction was established.

(a) Landslide 102 slope
(b) Xiangxi bank slope
(c) Shaxi Temple slope

Figure 1 Landslide 102 slope, Xiangxi bank slope and Shaxi Temple slope
Three Gorges Reservoir areas is one of the rainstorm centres in China, the reservoir has accumulative rainfall greater than 25 mm per day which is considered as a heavy rain, and the frequency is 9 to 15 times per annum. The accumulative rainfall greater than 50 mm per day occurs mainly during April to September and is considered as a rainstorm with the frequency of 2 to 5 times per annum. The flood frequency is 2 to 4 times per decade. Flood mainly occurs from April to October often in the east area of the reservoir. The torrential rain causes many geological disasters related to landslide such as erosion and collapse etc.

The reservoir level drops down from January to September and increases from September to December as shown in Tab.1 [9]. The drop rate is slower than the rise rate, leading the hydro-fluctuation belt in a dry-wet circulation with rapid saturation and slow drainage, water-rock interaction is a process that what gets in and out the rock over and over again under different water pressure conditions.

| Month value | 1 | 2+3 | 4 | 5 | 6+9 | 10 | 11+12 |
|-------------|---|-----|---|---|-----|----|-------|
| Water level/m | 175÷170 | 170÷165 | 165÷160 | 155 | 145 | 154÷175 | 175 |

Degradation effect of water on rock will cause landslide deformation, and cannot be ignored. Preliminary estimate shows that large water level fluctuation in reservoir area takes place once a year; the frequency of heavy rain and rainstorm is about 15 times per year, and every 3 years add a flood.

2  Rock deterioration rule analysis

2.1 Model of rock deterioration rule under water-rock interaction

Sandstone is the main member in reservoir area, many scholars had conducted series of experiments on sandstone in the areas using free saturation method to make sample saturate [6÷8]. Soaking time was calculated in hours, drying process made the moisture content of samples tend to zero. Numerical fitting is applied to experimental data. In view of rock weathering, after several times (N → ∞) of water-rock interaction, cohesion turned to be zero (that is to say the rock is completely weathered to soil), internal friction angle should be very small. Taking confining pressure as zero, the mechanical parameters of degradation function in experiment are as follows [6]:

\[ c = c_0 - 1.6665 \cdot \ln (N + 1). \]  
\[ \varphi = (0.492 \cdot e^{-0.0456 \cdot N} + 0.508) \cdot \varphi_0. \]  

where \( c \) is the degraded cohesive force, \( c_0 \) is the initial cohesive force; \( \varphi \) is the degraded angle of internal friction, \( \varphi_0 \) is the initial angle of internal friction values, \( N \) is water-rock interaction times. From the formulas, the degradation model is only applicable to sandstone which has strong parameters because the initial cohesive force should be above 1.16 to meet the requirements of degradation.

Besides, the results of the study used to reflect the effect of reservoir water on rock in hydro-fluctuation belt area are questionable because water pressure exists during the process of rock soaking. The degrading effect of water pressure on rock cannot be ignored, so, free saturation method cannot meet the requirements. To simulate the effect of water-rock interaction on rock [9], three kinds of soaking test were conducted with the handmade YRK-1 rock dissolution tester which is researched and developed by the team of author. Soaking time was calculated monthly to simulate different water levels, and mechanical tests were carried out on rock samples following the soaking test. According to the results and weathering degree, the test data were fitted in the form of Eq. (3):

\[ y = y_0 \cdot \left[ 1 - a \cdot \ln (1 + b \cdot N^c) \right]. \]  

where: \( y \) is a strength parameter value; \( y_0 \) is initial value of \( a \) strength parameter; \( a, b \) and \( c \) is coefficient of degradation model that is matched; \( N \) is the time of water-rock interaction.

Eq. (3) is called degradation function. In rock slope, the disturbed height of hydro-fluctuation belt is in a certain depth of wading surface where tectonic stress is small. Taking confining pressure as zero, the mechanical parameters of degradation function in experiment are as follows [9]:

1. Hydrostatic pressure:

\[ c = c_0 \cdot \left[ 1 - 0.935 \cdot \ln (1 + 0.153 \cdot N^{2.1045}) \right], \]
\[ \varphi = \varphi_0 \cdot \left[ 1 - 0.0221 \cdot \ln (1 + 0.7706 \cdot N^{4.1765}) \right]. \]  

2. Hydrostatic pressurized (\( p=0.4 \) MPa)

\[ c = c_0 \cdot \left[ 1 - 0.178 \cdot \ln (1 + 0.22 \cdot N^{1.5082}) \right], \]
\[ \varphi = \varphi_0 \cdot \left[ 1 - 0.0464 \cdot \ln (1 + 0.6303 \cdot N^{2.2776}) \right]. \]  

3. Hydrostatic pressurized (\( p=0.8 \) MPa)

\[ c = c_0 \cdot \left[ 1 - 0.1908 \cdot \ln (1 + 0.2343 \cdot N^{1.4639}) \right], \]
\[ \varphi = \varphi_0 \cdot \left[ 1 - 0.0499 \cdot \ln (1 + 0.7835 \cdot N^{2.2548}) \right]. \]

Hydrostatic pressure can be confirmed through those three sets of fitting formula, the function of \( a, b, c \) under pressurized hydrostatic can be obtained through interpolation.

It is detrimental in researching the degrading effect of water on rock as water pressure increases from top to bottom in triangular form in practice, and also the water level fluctuates between 145 m and 175 m, with the amplitude of 30 m. Thus, the biggest pressure is considered to be 0.3 MPa. The equal area method is used to simulate water pressure: 0.15 MPa water pressure is used to simulate triangularly distributed water pressure to analyse the degrading effect of reservoir water fluctuation on rock in hydro-fluctuation belt.

The value of \( a, b \) and \( c \) in different hydrostatic pressure was obtained by the fitting formula to reflect the...
degradation rule of rock in different hydrostatic pressure, as water-rock interaction times increase.

While the hydrostatic pressure is 0.15 MPa, the degradation rule of rock is given by Eq. (7):

$$c = c_0 \cdot \left[1 - 0.1149 \cdot \ln \left(1 + 0.2070 \cdot N^{1.8771}\right)\right],$$

$$\phi = \phi_0 \cdot \left[1 - 0.0337 \cdot \ln \left(1 + 0.6836 \cdot N^{-1.3655}\right)\right].$$

(7)

### 2.2 The changes in mechanical parameters of sandstone over time

Tab. 2 displays the total rainfall times and reservoir water fluctuation times with years increase.

| Year value | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|
| Rainfall times | 16 | 32 | 49 | 65 | 86 | 98 | 114 | 130 | 146 | 163 |
| Fluctuation times of reservoir water | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

The relationship of time and frequency of rainfall is:

$$T = 0.0623 \cdot N^{0.9667}.$$  

The relationship of time and reservoir water fluctuation frequency is:  

$$T = N,$$  

where $T$ is the time in years, $N$ is the number of water-rock interaction cycle.

Initial mechanical parameters of sandstone obtained by conducting mechanical tests on sandstone from the Three-Gorges Reservoir were used, in which the cohesion is 16.02 MPa and the internal friction angle is 45.61° [9]. Accessible information shows that in most landslides the earth-rock aggregate has an average value of cohesion of 0.03 MPa and internal friction angle of 22°.

Accordingly, Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) can be converted into Eq. (8):

$$N = e^{-1.6665 \cdot t},$$  

or

$$N = \frac{\ln \left(\frac{\phi}{\phi_0} - 0.508\right)}{0.492} - 0.0416.$$  

(8)

The above equation can help to work out how many wet-dry cycles are needed for complete deterioration of rock into something similar to earth-rock aggregate. $N$ equals 14690 which amounts to 900 years if calculated by cohesion, and that is more than reality because only water is considered for wet and dry cycles, ignoring the role of other factors. And the equation fails if calculated by internal friction angle, for $\left[\frac{\phi - \phi_0}{\phi_0} - 0.508\right] > 0$, and $\phi_0 = 45.61°$, that is to say the internal friction angle must be bigger than 23.17°, thus, this model cannot forecast the strength of rock which has suffered great deterioration already.

Similarly, $N$ equals about 356 if calculated by deterioration function corresponding to hydrostatic pressure [8], which means a relatively short time of about 22 years. It is not suitable for the model to simulate the degradation effect of rainfall, but it can simulate the long-term soaking effect of reservoir water, and also can be used for strength prediction of sandstone with different weathered levels.

Theoretically, exposed rock will soften into soil after a certain period of dry-wet cycle. But for the slope with a certain gradient, the infiltration depth of rainfall is limited, and a thicker layer of earth-rock aggregate often exists on the surface, which acts as a protective cover for the rock beneath. When the earth-rock aggregate on the surface moves away in landslide or other forms, the bedrock gets exposed and then the process of degradation starts. Thus, the stability analysis should be focused on the effects of water on hydro-fluctuation belt during the low degradation period of rainfall before slideslides occur as soften.

### 2.3 Transformations of parameters between rock and rock mass

Rock is just a part of rock mass, so the mechanical parameters of rock obtained through test should be converted into mechanical parameters of rock mass before applying in engineering analysis. At present, the prevailing method is the rock classification system established by Hoek-Brown et al. who proposed an empirical formula to estimate rock mass parameters such as $m$ and $s$ by value of RMR. Hua-Feng Deng has deduced and measured the mechanical parameters of rock mass in Three Gorges Reservoir area from 2006 to 2009 [9] as shown in Tab. 3.

| Parameters | Conjecture value | Measured value |
|------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 2006       | 2007            | 2008           |
| Internal friction angle / ° | 36.79 | 36.14 | 34.45 | 33.09 |
| Cohesion / MPa | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.34 | 0.32 |

Since the rock in research area has suffered wet and dry cycles for a long time, the mechanical parameters of rock mass are beyond the mutation, parameters of rock mass in research area should be selected since the first year of impoundment according to the engineering practice. So 2006 was chosen as the starting time to calculate the deterioration of mechanical parameters of rock mass. The converted mechanical parameters of rock mass in hydro-fluctuation belt are showed as Fig. 2.

### 3 Long-term stability evaluation of three gorges reservoir bank slope

#### 3.1 Water-rock interaction region in the geological model

The average width of a landslide is 1200 m, the full length is 1500 m, and the area is 1.8 million square meters. The average thickness is 50 m, the volume is about 90 million cubic meters and the main sliding direction is 20°. The evolution process is featured as gravity anticline damage, and evolving into consequent bedding rock slope. Sliding mass is mainly composed of two parts, the lower layer is disturbed and destructed layered quartz sandstone, and the surface layer is loose accumulative. The middle and rear part of landslide is bedding sliding, sliding zone is constituted by weak coal strata. The front part of sliding is black silty loam clip with a small amount of rock block. Sliding bed mainly consists of the lower strata of Xiangxi. Bedding sliding is
mainly constituted by Xiangxi carbonaceous silt stones, shear layer consists of quartz sandstone with gravel. On the section, the upper part of landslide bed is the same to the rock formation, in a line and with an inclination of 27°. The cross-sectional view is shown in Fig. 3, the model and material partition are shown in Fig. 4.

It can be seen in Fig. 3 that the groundwater change area caused by water level fluctuation is smaller than the dry-wet cycle affected area considered by author. Water pressure is changed with water level, the movement of water in rock causes the deterioration of rock. So, the degrading regulation of rock can be used to approximately describe the degrading regulation of dry-wet cycle zone, which would magnify the impact of dry-wet cycle on slope, and the results predicted will be conservative. Marl layer under water is separated into two parts. The upper part is close to the wet-dry cycle region while the lower part is close to the bedrock. The area of weathered layer, sandstone layer, mudstone layer and dry-wet cycle zone is 19333 m², 66933 m², 60645 m², and 10737 m² respectively.

Hydro-fluctuation belt will be affected by rainfall at low water level, but the depth affected is limited due to the presence of the drainage system and the sharp appearance of wading surface. For simplification, the effect of the rainfall is ignored. The water level in Three Gorges Reservoir area ranges from 145 m to 175 m as shown in Tab. 1 by GEO-SLOPE software.

3.2 Deduction of typical slope stability and failure modes over time

The stability of typical landslide profile in water level of 145 m and 175 m was calculated by GEO-SLOPE software considering degradation effect of water-rock interaction on hydro-fluctuation belt, as shown in Fig. 5.
It is shown in Fig. 5 that the deterioration of hydro-fluctuation belt has little impact on the safety factor of specified slide, and the changes of safety factor in searching slide show that the effect of hydro-fluctuation belt on landslide stability is obvious. At 145 m water level, the stability of landslide decreases progressively over time with the decrease rate of reduction; At 175 m water level, the stability of landslide is almost the same as at 145 m water level on the whole, but the stability will increase suddenly in 2017 and then decrease gently in the following three years, while in 2020, it will have a sharp decrease and then decrease progressively year by year. It is considered that the landslide failure mode changes qualitatively during the mutation process. Apart from year 2017 to 2022, the landslide stability at 175 m water level is worse than that at 145 m water level, showing that the high-level water storage is detrimental to the stability of the landslide, just in accord with the actual situation of bank slope in Three Gorges Reservoir.

Hydro-fluctuation belt degrades progressively under water-rock interaction at 145 m water level. A new damaging mode of landslide will come up if the anti-sliding ability in hydro-fluctuation belt is lower than that in specified sliding band, as is shown in Fig. 6.

At 145 m water level, the landslide has the risks of slip along with the interface between shale and bedrock. As time passes, hydro-fluctuation belt degrades progressively under water-rock interaction. The destruction path will change due to the rise in the front edge of slip plane if the strength of hydro-fluctuation belt deteriorates: the slide plane will move to the surface of landslide, the shear opening will move to hydro-fluctuation belt. When the hydro-fluctuation belt changes into mud that is, becomes too weak to resist the load of the upper rock, the hydro-fluctuation belt will be destroyed at first leading the upper rock to sliding and collapsing along the specified sliding band [10, 11].

At 175 m water level as shown in Fig. 7, the evolution of failure mode of the landslide is highly similar with that at 145 m water level. The safety factor in Fig. 4(b) will be smaller than practical field due to the restraint function on deformation and further weakening effect on hydro-fluctuation belt from reservoir water pressure. In 2017, the safety factor will suddenly increase for the weakening of hydro-fluctuation belt leading changes in the failure mode of landslide [12]. The slip plane will be almost parallel to the lower branch of shale in 2026, different from that at 145 m water level.

4 Analysis of typical landslide

4.1 Rock parameters sensitivity determination

Take safety factor $F_s$ as a system feature for it is main indicator of slope stability in slope stability analysis system. Setting a system with characteristic as $F_s = f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ ($x_i$s are parameters), giving a reference state $x^* = (x_1^*, x_2^*, ..., x_n^*)$, the parameters can be changed in a possible extent, analysing the influence of parameters to $F_s$. System features are different in different unit systems. Dimensionless parameters are needed to compare the impact of various parameters on system performance, drawing $\Delta F_s / F_s^* \approx \Delta x_i / x_i^* (i = 1, 2, ..., n)$ curves. The absolute value of curve slope is defined as sensitivity coefficient to reflect the influence of various parameters on system performance. The sensitivity of $i$ influence factor $S_i$ can be expressed as Eq. (9):

$$S_i = \frac{\Delta F_s / F_s^*}{\Delta x_i / x_i^*}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where $|\Delta F_s / F_s^*|$ is relative change rate of stability safety factor, $|\Delta x_i / x_i^*|$ is relative change rate of factor $x_i$. If the value $S_i$ is greater, the value of $F_s$ is more sensitive to $x_i$ in this condition.

Take weathered layer as an example, the designed cohesion are $x^* = (1204, 834, 357, 674, 683, 2)$, the system characteristics are $F_s^* = 0.5, 1011, 1202, 1203, 1204, 1204)$, and the unit of cohesion is kPa.

The designed internal friction angles are $x^* = (6, 414, 834, 357, 674, 683, 2)$, the system characteristics are $F_s^* = 0.717, 0.871, 1.203, 1.204, 1.204, 1.205)$, the unit of the internal friction angle is degree ($^\circ$).

The designed gravities are $x^* = (11, 8215, 7419, 6623, 5827, 5)$, the system characteristics are $F_s^* = 1.22, 1.221, 1.202, 1.194, 1.028)$, the unit of density is N/cm$^3$.

Mechanical parameters sensitivity of different rock strata were obtained by Eq. (10) as shown in Tab. 4:

Mechanical parameters’ sensitivity analysis is not conducted in bedrock in Tab. 4, because it is
strengthened, less affected by external, and has little effect on slope stability in practice. The sensitivity of deformation modulus is also not analysed either because some related researches [13] show that deformation modulus is not sensitive to the safety factor.

### 4.2 Deterioration rule of comprehensive mechanical parameters

The comprehensive parameters of rock mass can represent the whole strength of the calculated region. As most of the landslides occurred in upper rock structures, then upper rock structures are taken as research objects. The calculation steps of rock mass comprehensive parameters [14, 15]:

1. Ascertaining importance degree of each parameter in different stratum, namely the weight \( q \) of each parameter;
2. Ascertaining positive impact of each parameter in different stratum on slope stability, namely the effective weight \( Q_i \);
3. Ascertaining positive impact of each parameter in different stratum on slope stability, namely the effective weight \( Q_i \);
4. Weight the mechanical parameters membership degree of rock mass effectively as Eq. (10):

\[
Q_i = A_i q_i, C_z = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \phi_i Q_i, \quad \varphi_z = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \gamma_i Q_i. \tag{10}
\]

In the equation, \( i \) is the number of rock stratum that is 1, 2, 3 and 4 in this paper. \( C_z \) is comprehensive cohesion force value, \( \varphi_z \) is comprehensive internal friction angle valve and \( \gamma_z \) is comprehensive unit weight value.

Where, \( Q_i \) is a homogenization value from \( Q_0 \),

\[
c'_{04} = 0.36 \cdot [1 - 0.1149 \cdot \ln (1 + 0.2070 \cdot N^{1.8771})],
\]

\[
\varphi_{04} = 36.79 \cdot [1 - 0.0337 \cdot \ln (1 + 0.6836 \cdot N^{3.3655})],
\]

\( \gamma_{04} \) is considered invariable with no special studies on it, \( i \) is number of rock layer valued 1, 2, 3, 4.

Using comprehensive rock strength values in 2006 as an example, the calculation results are shown in Tab. 5.

#### Table 4 Mechanical parameters sensitivity in different rock strataums

| Layer | Sensitivity of cohesion | Sensitivity of internal friction angle | Sensitivity of density |
|-------|-------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Weathering layer(1) | 0.0384 | 0.3111 | 0.0214 |
| Sand layer(2) | 0.0001 | 0.0001 | 0.0403 |
| Mudstone layer(3) | 0.0081 | 0.2420 | 0.0528 |
| Dry-wet cycle area(4) | 0.0003 | 0.0589 | 0.1028 |

#### Table 5 Comprehensive rock strength values in 2006

| Layer | Area ratio (A) | Cohesion c (MPa) | Internal friction angle \( \varphi \) | Density \( \gamma \) (N/cm³) |
|-------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Weathering layer (1) | 0.123 | 0.0300 | 0.0384 | 0.82 | 22 | 0.3111 | 0.51 | 19.66 | 0.0214 | 0.10 |
| Sand layer (2) | 0.424 | 0.2700 | 0.0001 | 0.0 | 33 | 0.0001 | 0 | 26.16 | 0.0403 | 0.19 |
| Mudstone layer (3) | 0.385 | 0.0405 | 0.0081 | 0.17 | 21.50 | 0.2420 | 0.39 | 28 | 0.0528 | 0.24 |
| Dry-wet cycle area (4) | 0.068 | 0.3600 | 0.0003 | 0.01 | 36.79 | 0.0589 | 0.1 | 28.78 | 0.1028 | 0.47 |

Fig. 8 is an integrated intensity of rock in 20 years since 2006, the fourth year is an inflection point, and the degradation rate of the early four years is faster than the following years. The data was fitted as Eq. (11):

\[
c' = 2E - 0.7 \cdot N^2 - 2 \cdot E - 0.5 \cdot N + c,
\]

\[
\varphi' = 0.0001 \cdot N^2 - 0.0122 \cdot N + \varphi.
\]

where \( c' \) is the value of deteriorated cohesion, the initial cohesion \( c \) is 0.036; \( \varphi' \) is the value of deteriorated internal friction angle, the initial internal friction angle \( \varphi \) is 22.1; \( N \) is the number of years, ranging from 1, 2, ... 20.

The comprehensive strength parameter indicates the capacity of landslide to resist natural calamities. Fig. 6 and Fig. 7 show the potential slippage mode which is combined with safety factor that can be used in judging whether the landslide mass is safe or not.

#### Figure 8 Deterioration rule of comprehensive strength parameter of the landslide

The deterioration rule of comprehensive mechanical parameters was applied to the comprehensive composite characteristics of different stratum, namely the area ratio \( A \) of different stratum.

Liang-Peng Wan et al.
to rock mass to calculate the change of stability and failure mode of landslide over time.

According to the result, the shear opening of sliding zone moves upward, the glide plane moves to the landslide surface, the tension crack in posterior moves downward, and the range of sliding body gets smaller if the rock mass is degraded to a certain degree. As the hydro-fluctuation belt changes into mud, that is, becomes too weak to resist the load of the upper rock, the hydro-fluctuation belt will be destroyed at first leading to the tension fracture in posterior. A large amount of slip mass will collapse along the specified sliding band.

6 Results and conclusions

The landslide stability at 145 m water level is better than that at 175 m water level, showing that the degradation effect of water soak on rock is obvious. The safety factor of landslide from 2006 to 2014 conforms to the results of relevant research and the actual situation of the landslides.

The sensitivity of the parameters is studied to consider their contribution to landslide stability in different strata, and then evaluate a comprehensive parameter that can represent the comprehensive intensity of landslide. The comprehensive parameter can be calculated combined with the continuous deterioration of hydro-fluctuation and can reflect the comprehensive disaster resistant capacity of landslide.

It should be pointed out that the dry—wet cycle area studied is bigger than the actual area, the pressure difference causes moisture movement in rock mass, and leads to rock mass degradation. Due to the absence of specialized research, the water-rock coupled deterioration law is adopted to approximately simulate the deterioration law of rock mass underwater. Therefore the results are conservative. It is just a reference for actual project, and cannot guide a project absolutely, the research methods provide a new way to warn and forecast landslide.
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