Birth rate after major trauma in fertile-aged women: a nationwide population-based cohort study in Finland
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Abstract

Background: To date, only a few small studies have assessed the effects of major orthopedic traumas on the subsequent birth rate in fertile-aged woman. We assessed the incidences of traumatic brain injury (TBI) and fractures of the spine, pelvis, and hip or thigh and evaluated their association with the birth rate in fertile-aged woman.

Methods: In this retrospective register-based nationwide cohort study, data on all fertile-aged (15–44 years of age) women who sustained a TBI or fracture of the spine, pelvis, hip or thigh between 1998 and 2013 were retrieved from the Care Register for Health Care. A total of 22,780 women were included in the TBI group, 3627 in the spine fracture group, 1820 in the pelvic fracture group, and 1769 in the hip or thigh fracture group. The data were subsequently combined with data from the National Medical Birth Register. We used Cox regression model to analyze the hazard for a woman to give birth during 5-year follow-up starting from a major trauma. Women with wrist fractures (4957 women) formed a reference group. Results are reported as hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI).

Results: During 5-year follow-up after major trauma, 4324 (19.0%) women in the TBI group, 652 (18.0%) in the spine fracture group, 301 (16.5%) in the pelvic fracture group, 220 (12.4%) in the hip or thigh fracture group, and 925 (18.7%) in the wrist fracture group gave birth. The cumulative birth rate was lower in the hip or thigh fracture group in women aged 15–24 years (HR 0.72, CI 0.58–0.88) and 15–34 years (HR 0.65, CI 0.52–0.82). Women with pelvic fractures aged 25–34 years also had a lower cumulative birth rate (HR 0.79, CI 0.64–0.97). For spine fractures and TBIs, no reduction in cumulative birth rate was observed. Vaginal delivery was the primary mode of delivery in each trauma group. However, women with pelvic fractures had higher rate of cesarean section (23.9%), when compared to other trauma groups.

Conclusions: Our results suggest that women with thigh, hip, or pelvic fractures had a lower birth rate in 5-year follow-up. Information gained from this study will be important in clinical decision making when women with previous major trauma are considering becoming pregnant and giving birth.
Introduction
Traumas to the head, spine, pelvis, and femur are usually caused by high-energy impact, such as vehicle collisions and falls from height [1–4]. In particular, traumatic brain injuries (TBI) are one of the most common and socially notable traumas [5]. Moreover, the mortality rates of people suffering especially severe TBIs are higher compared to the general population [6, 7]. In the younger population, however, the incidence of spine, pelvic and hip trauma is not as high as that of head trauma [8–10]. The mortality rate following hip and pelvic trauma is known to be relatively low in the younger population, ranging between 1.3% and 3.5% among the population aged 18–49 years [10].

In Finland, there has been an increasing trend in the incidence of TBI, spine, and pelvic trauma [8]. Indeed, the average incidence of hospitalized TBI for all women during the years 1991–2005 was 80 per 100,000 person-years, an increase of 59% [7]. The incidence of spine fractures leading to hospitalization in all patients over 20 years of age in Finland increased from 57 per 100,000 person-years in 1998 to 89 per 100,000 person-years in 2017 [8]. Moreover, among Finnish adults, the incidence of pelvic fractures increased from 34 to 56 per 100,000 person-years between 1997 and 2014 [11].

Although the incidences and effects of major trauma on health have been studied extensively, there is a scarcity of studies on the effects of major trauma on fertility among women. Many earlier studies have focused mainly on trauma and abnormalities of the reproductive system, especially of the uterus and ovaries [12]. It has been reported, however, that musculoskeletal trauma around the area of the pelvic ring and the femur can cause sexual dysfunction and dyspareunia [13, 14]. Moreover, women in Finland who have undergone total hip replacement are reported to have a lower birth rate than women in the general population [15].

Our hypothesis is that major trauma can affect sexuality and sexual function and thereby increase the threshold for becoming pregnant and reduce the number of births. The aim of this nationwide register study is therefore to report the incidence of TBIs and fractures of the spine, pelvis, and hip in fertile-aged women in Finland and to investigate the effects of these injuries on the birth rate.

Materials and methods
In this retrospective nationwide register-based cohort study, data were obtained from the Care Register for Health Care, which has a coverage of more than 95% [16], and the National Medical Birth Register (MBR), which has a coverage of nearly 100% [17, 18]. The study period was from 1998 to 2018.

Data on deliveries and newborns after major orthopedic trauma were collected from the MBR, which contains information on all pregnancies, delivery statistics, and the perinatal outcomes of births with a birthweight of ≥ 500 g or a gestational age of ≥ 22 +0 weeks. Our data included all pregnancies and deliveries from fertile-aged (15–49 years of age) women during our study period. The variables used in this study are defined in the MBR register description [19].

All fertile-aged (15–49 years of age) women with TBI, spine fracture, pelvic fracture, or hip or thigh fracture occurring during the study period were identified from the Care Register for Health care. We used women who were hospitalized with fracture of the wrist as a reference group. Women with fractures of the wrist were chosen for comparison.
as a reference group because we expected these women to be similar in background and risk-taking behavior to those women in the major trauma groups than women in the general population without any injuries. In addition, as wrist fractures generally heal quickly, we did not expect them to have a major impact on fertility, and therefore they formed a good reference group.

ICD-10 (International Classification of Diseases 10th revision) codes were used to identify the trauma patients. The specific ICD-10 codes with definitions for each major trauma group and reference group included in this study are shown in Additional file 1: Table S1. Due to challenges in distinguishing new traumas and control visits/appointments, the first trauma hospitalization for a woman in each category was included (meaning that the same woman can be included in multiple study groups). The formation of the study groups and number of women who became pregnant during the 5-year follow-up after the first trauma is described in Fig. 1. In the evaluation of pregnancy outcomes after different traumas, each pregnancy found in our data after traumas (1998–2018) was included.

Due to the best possible comparability between major trauma groups, the annual incidences during our study period were calculated using the same criteria, despite the varied nature of the different traumas included in the study. Therefore, for each trauma group, only the first hospitalization period with trauma diagnosis per patient was classified as a separate trauma, as the control appointments could occur after a long period, making it unreliable to identify subsequent traumas in the Care Register for Healthcare.

The base population used for the calculation of the birth rate and incidences of major traumas was the number of females aged 15–49 who were living in Finland at the end of a particular year. The population data were obtained from Statistic Finland. During our study period, the size of the study population decreased from 1,389,409 in 1998 to 1,285,100 in 2018. The annual number of newborns was also obtained from Statistic Finland (stat.fi) [20].
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**Fig. 1** Flowchart of the study populations for Cox regression analysis. Data from the MBR were combined with data on the diagnosed major traumas in the Care Register for Health Care.
granted by Findata after evaluation of the study protocol (Permission number: THL/1756/14.02.00/2020).

Statistics
Continuous variables were reported as mean with standard deviation or as median with interquartile range based on distribution of the data. Categorized variables were presented as absolute numbers and percentages. The annual birth rate was calculated using the size of the base population of fertile-aged (15–49 years) women living in Finland at the end of a particular year (31.12) and the number of yearly newborns. The base population for the incidences of different traumas were all women aged 15 to 49 who were living in Finland at the end of a particular year. Base population figures were obtained from Statistic Finland (stat.fi) [20]. The Cox regression model was used to evaluate the risk for the first live-born child in women after major trauma in relation to reference individuals with wrist fracture. The results were interpreted with hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals. Proportional hazards assumption was tested using Schonenfeld residuals and the supposition was true. To control the confounding effect of age, women with trauma were divided into three categories based on their age at the time of trauma: the categories were 15–24, 25–34, and 35–44 years. The start of the follow-up was the date of the trauma in the Care Register for Health care. The endpoint of the follow-up was the first live-born child after the trauma, or the common closing date, which was 5 years after the trauma. Because a 5-year follow-up period is required for the Cox regression model, all women with a trauma occurring after 2013 were excluded from the survival analysis because the follow-up period after this is not fully available based on the data. Moreover, as 49 is the maximum age for fertile-aged woman in this study, the required 5-year follow-up condition of fertile years is only met by women who sustained trauma before the age of 45. Statistical analysis was performed using R version 4.0.3.

Results
Initially, the annual birth rate for the whole population of fertile-aged women showed an increasing trend during our study period, rising from 41.1 newborns per 1000 fertile-aged woman in 1998 to 46.8 per 1000 fertile-aged women in 2010, but then decreased strongly to 37.0 per 1000 fertile-aged women in 2018. The average annual birth rate between 1998 and 2018 was 42.9 (Fig. 2).

During the study period, the incidence of TBIs, which originally also had a notably higher incidence than the other traumas included in this study, showed a strongly increasing trend, increasing from 110.9 per 100,000 person-years in 1998 to 208.8 per 100,000 person-years in 2018. Furthermore, the incidence of wrist fractures increased from 26.3 per 100,000 person-years in 1998 to 35.9 per 100,000 person-years in 2018. The incidence of hip or thigh fractures, pelvic fractures, and spine fractures remained stable during our study period, ranging between 7.9 and 12.8 per 100,000 person-years for hip or thigh fractures, 8.1 and 14.0 per 100,000 person-years for pelvic fractures, and 17.5 and 23.4 per 100,000 person-years for spine fractures (Fig. 3).

![Fig. 2](image-url) Birth rate with 95% confidence intervals per 1000 for the whole Finnish population of fertile-aged (15–49 years) women during the study period.
Women in the hip or thigh fracture group had the lowest birth rate during the 5-year follow-up period after fracture (12.4%). The highest birth rate during the 5-year follow-up was in the TBI group (19.0%), which was also higher than in the reference group (18.7%) (Table 1). Women in the hip or thigh fracture group had lower hazard for the event of giving birth during the 5-year follow-up period in the 15–24 years (HR 0.72, CI 0.58–0.88) and the 25–34 years (HR 0.65, CI 0.52–0.82) age groups when compared to the wrist fracture group. Furthermore, women in the pelvic fracture group aged 25–34 had lower hazard for giving birth during the 5-year

![Fig. 3 Incidence with 95% confidence intervals of major traumas and the reference group (wrist fractures) in women (15–49 years) included in this study](image)

| Table 1 Background information on the study groups and the reference group (wrist fractures) for the survival analysis |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                                  | TBI group        | Spine fracture group | Pelvic fracture group | Hip or thigh fracture group | Wrist fracture group |
| Total number of women included*                 | 22,780           | 3627              | 1820              | 1769              | 4957              |
| Age at the start of follow-up                   |                  |                   |                   |                   |                   |
| 15–24 years                                     | 10,273 (45.1%)   | 1476 (40.7%)      | 852 (46.8%)       | 707 (40.0%)       | 2004 (40.4%)      |
| 25–34 years                                     | 5965 (26.2%)     | 1018 (28.1%)      | 481 (26.4%)       | 437 (24.7%)       | 1430 (28.8%)      |
| 35–44 years                                     | 6542 (28.7%)     | 1133 (31.2%)      | 487 (26.8%)       | 625 (35.3%)       | 1523 (30.7%)      |
| Number of women giving birth during the 5-year follow-up (%) | 4324 (19.0%) | 652 (18.0%) | 301 (16.5%) | 220 (12.4%) | 925 (18.7%) |
| Age at the time of trauma (mean; SD)            | 27.6 (9.2)       | 28.5 (9.1)        | 27.4 (9.2)        | 28.4 (9.1)        | 28.4 (9.1)       |
| Age at the time of delivery (mean; SD)          | 28.0 (6.5)       | 28.5 (5.5)        | 27.9 (5.4)        | 28.7 (5.4)        | 28.7 (5.4)       |
| Follow-up period in weeks (mean; SD)            | 237.6 (55.6)     | 237.8 (56.3)      | 240.9 (52.3)      | 246.4 (44.6)      | 237.9 (55.6)     |

*Because a 5-year follow-up period was required, only women with trauma occurring before 2014 and aged under 45 years at the time of trauma were included for Cox survival analysis.
follow-up period (HR 0.79, CI 0.64–0.97). Spine fractures and TBIs did not show an impaired cumulative birth rate when compared to wrist fractures (Table 2).

When compared to other trauma groups, the rate of cesarean sections after fractures was highest in the pelvic fracture group (23.9%), followed by TBI group (20.3%), hip or thigh fracture group (20.3%) and spine fracture group 20.2%. The wrist fracture group had the lowest rate of cesarean section (18.2%). However, despite the preceding trauma, vaginal delivery was the primary mode of delivery in all trauma groups. There was a relatively high proportion of fetuses in all trauma groups who were exposed to maternal smoking during pregnancy compared to the average rate for the whole Finnish population (23.5–27.1% vs 14.6%). Previous CS rate was similar between groups (9.7–11.7%).

Discussion
The main finding of this study was that younger women with hip or thigh fractures had (evidently) a lower hazard of giving birth during the follow-up period. In addition, there was a considerable variation in the rates of women giving birth during the follow-up period, when compared to the wrist fracture group. The cumulative birth rate was a little lower for women aged 25–34 with pelvic fracture. When compared with women with wrist fractures, spine fractures or TBIs did not have a substantial effect on the birth rate during the 5-year follow-up after major trauma. The incidence of TBI hospitalizations in Finland increased strongly among fertile-aged women. This study is unique in that it gives baseline information on the effects of major traumas on fertility in different age groups. The study population with a long study period, which made it possible to compare large patient groups. The registries included in this study is high [16]. To our

| Age               | 15–24 years | 25–34 years | 35–44 years |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| TBI group         |             |             |             |
| Hazard ratio (CI) | 1.09 (9.88–1.21) | 0.92 (0.83–1.02) | 0.99 (0.76–1.29) |
| Spine fracture group |             |             |             |
| Hazard ratio (CI) | 1.02 (0.88–1.17) | 0.91 (0.78–1.06) | 1.06 (0.74–1.51) |
| Pelvic fracture group |             |             |             |
| Hazard ratio (CI) | 0.91 (0.77–1.09) | 0.79 (0.64–0.97) | 0.67 (0.39–1.18) |
| Hip or thigh fracture group |             |             |             |
| Hazard ratio (CI) | 0.72 (0.58–0.88) | 0.65 (0.52–0.82) | 0.60 (0.35–1.01) |

The major trauma groups were compared with all fertile-aged women with wrist fractures during the same study period.

The main finding of this study was that younger women with hip or thigh fractures had (evidently) a lower hazard of giving birth during the follow-up period. In addition, there was a considerable variation in the rates of women giving birth during the follow-up period, when compared to the wrist fracture group. The cumulative birth rate was a little lower for women aged 25–34 with pelvic fracture. When compared with women with wrist fractures, spine fractures or TBIs did not have a substantial effect on the birth rate during the 5-year follow-up after major trauma. The incidence of TBI hospitalizations in Finland increased strongly among fertile-aged women. This study is unique in that it gives baseline information on the effects of major traumas on fertility in different age groups. The study population with a long study period, which made it possible to compare large patient groups. The registries included in this study is high [16]. To our
best knowledge, this study is the first to examine the effects of a variety of major traumas on the subsequent capability of women to become pregnant and give birth using large national research material with uniform delivery-related guidelines and attitudes.

The main limitation of our study is the missing clinical information on the TBIs and fractures included in this study (e.g., radiological finding). As this information is not recorded to the registers, we could only use ICD-10 coding, which means that the severity of the traumas remains unknown. Further, our ICD-10 codes were limited to trauma-related codes, meaning that other factors possibly affecting the outcome during or before the follow-up period also remain unknown. Due to these limiting factors, the effects of trauma severity or possible polytraumas on birth-rate remains unknown.

**Conclusion**

Our results suggest that giving birth was more challenging for women with thigh, hip, or pelvic fractures in 5-year follow-up. However, neither TBIs nor spine fractures negatively affected the possibility of having a child during 5-year follow-up. Information gained from this study should be considered by women and physicians when a woman who has sustained major trauma is considering the possibility and possible risks of becoming pregnant and giving birth.
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