GAGE7B promotes tumor metastasis and growth via activating the p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway in gastric cancer
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Abstract
Background: Gastric cancer is the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality; thus, the mechanisms underlying tumor metastasis and growth in gastric cancer need to be extensively explored.

Methods: Differentially expressed genes were examined in gastric cancer samples with lymph node metastasis (LNM) and without LNM using mRNA microarray and RT-qPCR. The effects of G antigen 7B (GAGE7B) on the metastasis, growth, and angiogenesis of gastric cancer were investigated in vitro and in vivo. GAGE7B protein expression was detected by immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis. Microarray, RT-qPCR, and western blot assays were performed to detect downstream target genes of GAGE7B. Dual-luciferase reporter and western blot assays were used to identify miRNAs that could negatively regulate GAGE7B.

Results: GAGE7B was significantly overexpressed in samples with LNM. High expression levels of GAGE7B were associated with advanced clinical stage and poor patient survival. GAGE7B dramatically enhanced the metastasis, growth, and angiogenesis ability of gastric cancer. GAGE7B was further demonstrated to promote the progression of gastric cancer by activating the p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway. However, the GAGE7B-induced p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway was inactivated by miR-30c, as the expression levels of both GAGE7B and p38δ were found to be directly suppressed by miR-30c. Intriguingly, GAGE7B was found to be a ceRNA for p38δ, as it activated the p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway by competitively binding miR-30c.

Conclusions: GAGE7B may serve as a prognostic indicator in gastric cancer. GAGE7B significantly promotes gastric cancer progression by upregulating the p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway, but it is negatively regulated by miR-30c. GAGE7B and miR-30c may be potential therapeutic targets in gastric cancer.
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Introduction
Gastric cancer is one of the most common malignancies and is the second most common cause of cancer-related mortality worldwide [1]. To date, surgery is still the most effective treatment for gastric cancer patients; however, the survival rate remains low, mainly due to tumor metastases [1, 2]. Therefore, it is vitally important to explore the mechanism(s) involved in the process of gastric cancer metastasis to elucidate potential targets for gastric cancer therapy.

In this study, differential expression analysis was performed using an mRNA microarray assay, comparing primary gastric cancer samples with and without lymph node metastasis (LNM). The results demonstrated that the GAGE genes were upregulated in the samples with LNM. The GAGE genes belong to the cancer testis antigen (CTA) gene family, which has a locus on chromosome X and consists of at least 16 genes that encode...
identical protein products. The genes encode proteins that are recognized by the immune system, thereby inducing an immune response [3, 4]. The protein is expressed in human cancers, but not in normal tissues, with the exception of immune-privileged germ cells [5, 6]. GAGE CTAs are thought to be potential immunotherapy targets in human cancers. Recent studies have shown that the expression of GAGE genes is correlated with poor prognoses in several human cancers including gastric cancer [7–9]. GAGE genes can also exert anti-apoptotic effects in human cancer cells [10]. GAGE12B, a member of the GAGE family, mediates human gastric carcinoma growth and metastasis [11]. However, the functions of the abnormally expressed GAGE genes in human cancer cells and the molecular mechanism mediating tumor initiation and progression in gastric cancer, are not well understood.

In the current study, the expression of G antigen 7B (GAGE7B), another member of the GAGE family, was found to be upregulated in gastric cancer. In addition, the functions of GAGE7B, promoting metastasis and the growth of gastric cancers, as well as the underlying mechanism related to its biological behavior, were investigated.

Materials and methods

Clinical samples
The clinical samples used in this study were obtained from Qilu Hospital of Shandong University and Shandong Provincial Hospital with approval from the Medical Ethics Committee of Shandong University (Ji’nan, Shandong, China). The fresh gastric cancer tissues were dissected from resected specimens by pathologists and then were immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for subsequent use. The formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded samples were obtained from the Department of Pathology, Qilu Hospital, between 2005 and 2007. None of the patients contributing samples had received chemotherapy or radiotherapy. All samples were confirmed pathologically.

Cell lines
The gastric cancer cell line AGS and human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). BGC823 was purchased from the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China), and MKN45 was purchased from the Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences (Beijing, China). The cells were authenticated by DNA (STR) profiling and cultured in F12K (Gibco, Carlsbad, CA, USA) or RPMI-1640 medium (HyClone, Logan, UT, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco) and were incubated at 37 °C in a humidified incubator at 5% CO₂.

mRNA microarray analysis
Total RNA from fresh gastric cancer samples was extracted with TRIzol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). RNA integrity and concentration were assessed after the RNA was extracted, prior to sample labeling. RNA labeling and hybridization on mRNA microarray chips was then carried out with a Human Gene Expression Microarray (Arraystar Human 8 x 60 K LncRNA + mRNA Microarray v2.0; Agilent Technologies). Sample labeling was carried out using an Agilent Quick Amp Labeling Kit (Agilent Technologies). Hybridization was subsequently performed using Agilent’s SureHyb Hybridization Chambers. The microarray images were quantified after detecting the hybridization signals using an Agilent DNA Microarray Scanner. The data were extracted using Agilent Feature Extraction software, and normalization was performed using the Agilent GeneSpring GX v12.1 software (in collaboration with the Kang Cheng Bio-Tech Corporation, Shanghai, China). A fold change > 2.0 and a P < 0.05 detected between the differentially expressed genes from the LNM samples and the non-LNM samples were considered to be significant.

Real-time quantitative PCR
Real-time quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) for analysis of mRNA and miRNA expression was performed as previously described [12, 13]. The primers are shown in Additional file 1: Table S3.

Immunohistochemistry
Immunohistochemical (IHC) staining of the formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tissues was carried out using antibodies against GAGE7B (1:50, Proteintech, Wuhan, China), Ki-67 (1:100, DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) and CD34 (1:100, DAKO), as previously described [14]. For GAGE7B, staining of the nucleus was considered positive if there were more than 20% positive (CD34+) microvessels. The histoscore (Q) was calculated according to the following formula: Q = P₁×1 + P₂×2 + P₃×3 (P: percentage) [15]. The cases were divided into a low GAGE7B expression group and a high GAGE7B expression group based on the median of the Q values of GAGE7B expression (the median of the Q values: 85 × 1 + 0 × 2 + 0 × 3 = 85). The density of CD34 positive (CD34+) microvessels was calculated as previously described [14].

Plasmid construction and dual-luciferase reporter assay
The coding sequence regions (CDS) of GAGE7B were synthesized and subcloned into a PcDNA3.1(+) vector
(subsequently named PcDNA3.1-GAGE7B) by Sangon Biotech (Shanghai, China). The PcDNA3.1-GAGE7B or control plasmids were transfected into gastric cancer cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen), and the miR-30c (miR-30c-1-3P and miR-30c-2-3P) and negative control were transfected with X-tremgene (Roche, Applied Science, Indianapolis, IN, USA), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

For the dual-luciferase reporter assay, the 3’UTR regions of GAGE7B and p38δ (MAPK13), containing the miR-30c binding sites, were synthesized and subcloned into pmirGLO or PcDNA3.1(+)-3’UTR vectors. The pmirGLO-3’UTR vectors and miR-30c or PcDNA 3.1(+)-3’UTR were then co-transfected using Lipofectamine 2000. The expression of firefly luciferase was obtained after normalization to Renilla luciferase activity.

Migration and invasion assays
The in vitro migration and invasion assays were performed as previously described [13].

MTS and EDU assays
The proliferation ability of the transfected cells was examined using an MTS assay with a CellTiter 96 AQeuous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay kit (Promega, San Luis Obispo, CA, USA) and an EdU assay with a Cell-Light TM EdU Apollo 567 in vitro kit (Ribobio, Guangzhou, China), according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Apoptosis assay
An Annexin V-FITC/PI Apoptosis Detection Kit (BestBio, Shanghai, China) was used to detect apoptosis of transfected cells according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, the cells were trypsinized, collected, and washed twice with cold 1 × PBS. The washed cells were suspended in 400 μl 1 × binding buffer and then stained with 5 μl Annexin V-FITC for 15 min at 4 °C in the dark. The cells were subsequently stained with 10 μl propidium iodide (PI) for 10 min at 4 °C in the dark. The stained cells were then analyzed for early and late apoptosis via flow cytometry.

Western blot assay
Western blotting was performed using antibodies against GAGE7B (1:200, Proteintech, Wuhan, China), p38δ, phospho-MAPKAPK2 (pMAPKAPK2), and phospho-HSP27 (pHsp27) (1:1000, CST, Danvers, MA, USA), as previously described [13].

RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation (RIP) assay
An EZ Magna RIP kit (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to perform the RIP assay. In brief, gastric cancer cells were lysed using RIP lysis buffer. The extract was then incubated with RIP buffer containing magnetic beads conjugated with human AGO2 antibody or negative control IgG (Millipore, USA). The beads were washed, and the RNA in the sample was isolated after the protein was digested via incubation with Protease K. The purified RNA was subjected to RT-qPCR assay.

Orthotopic tumor model
The BGC823 cells were first transfected with lentivirus vector LV-GV416-GAGE7B or LV-GV416-negative control (Genechem, Shanghai, China), and 1.5 × 10⁶ transfected cells were then harvested and injected into the left axillary fossa of Nu/Nu mice in order to detect the stromal invasion ability of the cells. For the hematogenous metastasis experiment, 1.5 × 10⁶ transfected cells were injected into the lateral tail vein of the mice. An in vivo Carestream Molecular Imaging system (Carestream Health, Inc., New York, NY, USA) was used to detect the xenografted tumors in living mice. The tumor volume (V) was calculated as $V = \frac{a \times b^2}{2}$, where $a$ is the long axis and $b$ is the short axis of the tumor nodules. The mice were euthanized 5 weeks after injection, and the tumor nodules, lungs, and liver were collected for further assessment. The liver and lungs were embedded in paraffin, cut into 4-μm sections, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. For analysis of liver and lung metastases, five fields were randomly chosen on each slice, and the number of metastases was counted in each field.

Statistical analysis
 Prism 5 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA) was used for statistical analyses. The data are expressed as the median ± SE. The Student t-test (two-sided) was used for difference analyses between two groups; Kaplan-Meier survival analysis and the log-rank test were used for patient survival analysis. The correlation between miR-30c and GAGE7B was calculated using Spearman’s correlation. Values of $P$ less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results
The expression of GAGE7B in gastric cancer tissues and correlation with clinicopathological features
A microarray assay was performed to identify the genes differentially expressed between metastatic and nonmetastatic gastric cancer tissues. Hundreds of differentially expressed genes were found (GEO: GSE72307, Fig. 1a). Upon further analysis, a total of 26 genes were identified, which were considered to be the most aberrantly expressed genes (Fig. 1b). The genes that had not been previously well-investigated, among the 26 genes,
including PHGR1, ZNF503, and GAGE7B were selected for further study. The expression levels of PHGR1 and GAGE7B were found to be significantly upregulated in metastatic compared with nonmetastatic tissues (Fig. 1c-e). Moreover, the expression of GAGE7B was higher in the poorly differentiated gastric cancer cell lines BGC823, MKN45, and SGC7901, compared with the well differentiated AGS cell line (Fig. 1f). GAGE7B expression was also upregulated in the samples from advanced stage cancers (stages III-IV, 7th TNM stage [16]) compared with samples from earlier stages (I/II) of gastric cancer (Table 1).
Since antibodies for PHGR1 are not available at present, only the expression of GAGE7B was examined by IHC. The results showed that there was no GAGE7B protein expression in 16 samples of nontumorous gastric epithelium. In contrast, GAGE7B staining was positive in 117 of 132 tumor samples. The histoscore of GAGE7B protein expression was much higher in metastatic samples than that in nonmetastatic samples and was even higher in the lymph node metastatic loci samples compared with that in the primary lesions (Fig. 1g). In addition, the histoscore was higher in advanced-stage gastric cancer samples and was also higher in samples from older patients (> 60 y) (Table 2).

Patients with higher GAGE7B expression had a shorter OS and DFS than patients with lower GAGE7B expression (Fig. 1h and i). The multivariate analysis indicated that clinical stage and tumor size were independent unfavorable predictors for OS and DFS (Additional file 1: Table S1).

GAGE7B enhances the invasion and metastatic ability of gastric cancer cells
To explore the function of GAGE7B in gastric cancer, we transiently overexpressed GAGE7B in gastric cancer cells (Fig. 4b and Additional file 1: Figure S1a). In the subsequent Transwell assay, enhanced migration and invasion abilities were observed in the GAGE7B-overexpressing cell lines (Fig. 2a, b, and Additional file 1: Figure S1b). In contrast, knockdown of GAGE7B decreased the migration and invasion ability of gastric cancer cells (Additional file 1: Figure S1c, d, e and f). To investigate the role of GAGE7B on the metastatic capability of cancer cells further in an in vivo system, we first transfected BGC823 cells with LV-GV416-GAGE7B or LV-GV416-negative control. The LV-GV416-GAGE7B-transfected cells were found to express GAGE7B stably (Additional file 1: Figure S2). The transfected cells were then injected subcutaneously into the left axillary fossa of mice in order to determine the invasion ability of the cells. Five weeks after injection, the incidence of stromal invasion of the primary tumor in the GAGE7B group (4/5) was significantly higher than in the negative control group (1/5), suggesting that GAGE7B enhanced the invasion ability of cancer cells in vivo (Fig. 2c). As expected, more metastatic loci were observed in the lungs of the mice injected with LV-GV416-GAGE7B transfected cells than

---

**Table 1** Clinicopathologic characteristics of gastric cancers associated with GAGE7B mRNA expression

| Variables                          | GAGE7B NO. | Median | P Value |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|---------|
| Age (y)                           |            |        |         |
| ≤ 60                              | 14         | 0.096  | 0.239   |
| > 60                              | 36         | 0.064  |         |
| Gender                            |            |        |         |
| Male                              | 39         | 0.058  | 0.322   |
| Female                            | 11         | 0.157  |         |
| Tumor size (mm)                   |            |        |         |
| <50                               | 18         | 0.123  | 0.331   |
| ≥50                               | 32         | 0.048  |         |
| 7th TNM stage                     |            |        |         |
| I/II                              | 23         | 0.045  | 0.034   |
| III/IV                            | 27         | 0.134  |         |
| Lymph node metastasis             |            |        |         |
| Yes                               | 33         | 0.114  | 0.038   |
| No                                | 17         | 0.022  |         |
| WHO histological classification   |            |        |         |
| Well/Moderately differentiated    | 18         | 0.051  | 0.551   |
| Poorly differentiated             | 32         | 0.099  |         |
| Lauren's classification           |            |        |         |
| Intestinal type                   | 25         | 0.045  | 0.903   |
| Diffuse type                      | 25         | 0.134  |         |

**Table 2** Clinicopathologic characteristics of gastric cancers associated with GAGE7B protein expression

| Variables                          | GAGE7B NO. | Median | P Value |
|-----------------------------------|------------|--------|---------|
| Age (y)                           |            |        |         |
| < 60                              | 61         | 80.0   | 0.025   |
| ≥ 60                              | 71         | 90.0   |         |
| Gender                            |            |        |         |
| Male                              | 110        | 82.5   | 0.424   |
| Female                            | 22         | 115.0  |         |
| Tumor size (mm)                   |            |        |         |
| <50                               | 67         | 80.0   | 0.495   |
| ≥50                               | 65         | 90.0   |         |
| 7th TNM stage                     |            |        |         |
| I/II                              | 46         | 62.5   | 0.009   |
| III/IV                            | 86         | 90.0   |         |
| Lymph node metastasis             |            |        |         |
| Yes                               | 90         | 90.0   | 0.012   |
| No                                | 42         | 70.0   |         |
| WHO histological classification   |            |        |         |
| Well/Moderately differentiated    | 40         | 82.5   | 0.771   |
| Poorly differentiated             | 92         | 85.0   |         |
| Lauren's classification           |            |        |         |
| Intestinal type                   | 56         | 82.5   | 0.918   |
| Diffuse type                      | 76         | 85.0   |         |
| Lymph node loci analysis          |            |        |         |
| Lymph node loci                   | 74         | 190.0  | < 0.001 |
| Paired primary gastric cancer     | 74         | 107.5  |         |
that in the lungs of the mice in the negative control group (Fig. 2d-f) in hematogenous metastasis experiments.

GAGE7B promotes tumor growth in vivo

Though GAGE7B had no significant effect on the proliferation ability of AGS and BGC823 cells in vitro, as shown by MTS, CCK8, and EdU assays, or on cancer cell apoptosis, as shown by the flow cytometry assay (Additional file 1: Figure S3 and S4), GAGE7B did significantly influence tumor growth in vivo. The subcutaneous tumor nodules derived from the LV-GV416-GAGE7B mice grew faster than those derived from the negative control group (Fig. 3a and b). In addition, the proliferation index (Ki-67 expression) was higher in GAGE7B overexpressing cells from tumor nodules than in negative control cells (Fig. 3c).

GAGE7B stimulates angiogenesis in gastric cancer

It is well-known that angiogenesis is essential for tumor growth and metastasis in human cancer. Given that GAGE7B promotes tumor metastasis and growth in gastric cancer, it may also be that GAGE7B contributes to
gastric cancer progression, at least partially, by stimulating angiogenesis. Microvessel density analysis in the subcutaneous tumor nodules showed that the number of the CD34+ microvessels in the GAGE7B group was higher than in the negative control group (Fig. 3d). The association between microvessels and GAGE7B expression was further analyzed in human gastric cancer tissue. The number of CD34+ microvessels in the high-GAGE7B-expression group was significantly higher than in the low-GAGE7B-expression group (Additional file 1: Figure S6). In addition, the proliferation ability of HUVECs was significantly enhanced after these cells were cultured with the supernatant from LV-GV416-GAGE7B transfected cells (Fig. 3e).

**GAGE7B promotes the progression of gastric cancer via activating the p38/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway**

An mRNA microarray assay was performed with gastric cancer cells to identify the pathways that are regulated by GAGE7B. The regulated pathways related to tumor metastasis, growth, or angiogenesis were selected for further analysis. The results showed that the expression levels of the genes that involved in p38/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 and PI3K/AKT pathways were significantly upregulated by GAGE7B (Additional file 1: Figure S5). The upregulated mRNA expression levels of the genes were then validated by RT-qPCR (Fig. 4a). The increased protein expression levels of p38δ, pMAPKAPK2, and pHSP27 (which are related to tumor growth, and metastasis) were further validated by western blot assay (Fig. 4b and c).

The GAGE7B-induced p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway is negatively regulated by miR-30c

miRNAs have been demonstrated to play important roles in human cancers by negatively regulating their target genes. The target miRNAs of GAGE7B were first predicted using software (Targetscan, Pictar, and Miranda). miR-887-5p, miR-320b, miR-590-3p, miR-30c-1-3p, and miR-30c-2-3p were then selected as the potential miRNAs targeting GAGE7B. A dual-luciferase reporter assay subsequently revealed that miR-30c-1-3p and miR-30c-2-3p significantly suppressed GAGE7B expression by binding to the sequences at 61 bp–67 bp of
the GAGE7B-3′ UTR (Fig. 4d), while the other three miRNAs had no significant effect on GAGE7B expression (Additional file 1: Figure S7a, b and c). The inhibition of GAGE7B protein expression by miR-30c-1-3p and miR-30c-2-3p was further confirmed by western blot assay (Fig. 4g). When the binding site of miR-30c-1-3p and miR-30c-2-3p in the GAGE7B-3′ UTR was mutated, the regulation of GAGE7B by miR-30c-1-3p and miR-30c-2-3p was attenuated (Additional file 1: Figure S7d). Of note, miR-30c-1-3p and miR-30c-2-3p were both inversely correlated with GAGE7B expression in gastric cancer samples (Fig. 5a and d), and miR-30c-1-3p expression was also found to be downregulated in the samples with LNM (Additional file 1: Table S2). In addition, low expression levels of miR-30c-1-3p and miR-30c-2-3p were associated with poor OS in patients (Fig. 5b and e). Low expression of miR-30c-1-3p was also correlated with poor DFS in patients (Fig. 5c and f).

In addition, p38δ was predicted to be another target gene of miR-30c. The results of the dual-luciferase reporter assay and western blot assay showed that overexpression of miR-30c not only suppressed GAGE7B
expression but also significantly suppressed p38δ expression (Fig. 4e-g, Additional file 1: Figure S7e and S7f).

Ectopic expression of miR-30c inhibited the migration and invasion ability of gastric cancer cells (Fig. 5g and h). In contrast, downregulation of miR-30c enhanced the migration and invasion ability of cancer cells (Fig. 5i and j). However, miR-30c had no significant influence on the proliferation ability of gastric cancer cells in vitro (Additional file 1: Figure S8, S9 and S10).

**GAGE7B is a ceRNA for p38δ**

It has been reported that mRNAs, which harbor miRNA-response elements (MREs) in the 3'UTRs, could function as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) by competitively binding miRNAs [17]. Thus, it was of great interest to investigate whether GAGE7B mRNA could be a ceRNA for p38δ by competing for miR-30c. p38δ expression was significantly upregulated in gastric cancer cells overexpressing GAGE7B-3'UTR-M (which had a miR-30c binding site mutation) group in dual-luciferase and western blot assays (Fig. 4h and i). In addition, the expression levels of p38δ, pMAPKAPK2, and pHSP27 were also significantly upregulated in at least one of gastric cancer cell lines in GAGE7B-3'UTR group in the western blot assay (Fig. 4i). It is well known that the
RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) containing AGO2 is necessary for miRNA-mRNA binding. As we expected, the RIP assay showed that GAGE7B-3'UTR was enriched in AGO2 pellets relative to the negative control (Fig. 4j); p38δ-3'UTR-1 was also enriched in AGO2 pellets relative to negative controls in gastric cancer, though not as much as GAGE7B-3'UTR was (Fig. 4j).

Discussion
The results of the current study provide insights into the expression and function of GAGE7B in gastric cancer. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that the mechanisms underlying the roles of GAGE7B in gastric cancer have been investigated. In this study, a new mechanism for the regulation of GAGE7B expression has been revealed.

Our results showed that GAGE7B was significantly upregulated in metastatic tissues. GAGE7B mRNA expression was also upregulated in samples from advanced-stage cancer (stages III/IV) compared with samples of early-stage cancer (stages I/II). GAGE7B protein expression was undetectable by IHC in non-tumorous gastric mucosa, in accordance with previous reports in which no expression of GAGE protein was found in normal tissues [5, 6]. The histoscore of GAGE7B protein expression was higher in metastatic gastric cancer tissues than that in nonmetastatic tissues and was further increased in metastatic loci compared with paired primary tumors. Our results also showed that GAGE7B might play an important role in the initiation and progression of gastric cancer. Patients with high GAGE7B expression in tumors had a shorter OS and DFS time. This is consistent with previous studies showing that the expression levels of GAGE genes are correlated with poor prognoses in neuroblastoma, esophageal carcinoma, and an intestinal type of gastric cancer [7–9]. Results of this study suggest that GAGE7B could be unfavorable markers in gastric cancer patients.

Next, the effect of GAGE7B on the biological behavior of gastric cancer cells was explored. Increased migration, invasion, and distant metastatic ability were observed with GAGE7B overexpression both in vitro and in vivo. In contrast, knockdown of GAGE7B decreased the metastatic capability of gastric cancer cells. Although the effect of GAGE7B on the proliferation of cancer cells was not observed in vitro, tumor growth was significantly increased with GAGE7B overexpression in vivo. Compared with the tumor environment in vitro, the tumor environment in vivo is more similar to that in the human body. We found that although GAGE7B overexpression did not affect the proliferation of cancer cells in vitro, it promoted tumor growth in nude mice, implying that tumor environment related factor may be involved in the tumor growth mediated by GAGE7B overexpression in vivo.

Tumor angiogenesis is essential for tumor growth and metastasis as it supplies the tumor with oxygen and nutrients and may also be a channel for hematogenous metastasis of cancer cells. The results of the present study revealed that GAGE7B stimulates tumor angiogenesis in gastric cancer, suggesting that GAGE7B contributes to the growth and metastasis of gastric cancer, at least partially, by stimulating tumor angiogenesis.

Consistent with our findings, in a previous study, GAGE12B (also known as GAGE12D) was reported to mediate gastric cancer metastasis and growth [11]. Therefore, the results of our study along with that study’s results may suggest that different members of the GAGE family have identical pro-oncogenic roles in gastric cancer.

The current study examined the mechanism underlying the role of GAGE7B in gastric cancer. The pathways related to tumor metastasis and growth were selected for further analysis in an mRNA microarray assay, and the p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway was found to be activated in GAGE7B-overexpressing cells. The increased expression levels of p38δ, pMAPKAPK2, and pHSP27 were further validated in gastric cancer cells overexpressing GAGE7B. p38MAPKs consist of four isoforms, p38α, p38β, p38γ, and p38δ, which are involved in cancer development [18–21]. It has been reported that p38δ promotes the migration, invasion, and proliferation of human cancer cells [22, 23]. It has also been reported that the p38/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway is involved in bladder cancer invasion [24]. p38δ was also involved in tumor angiogenesis [25]. In previous studies, HSP27, which mediates endothelial cell migration, was found to be the downstream target of p38 [19, 26]. Thus, the results of the current study indicate that GAGE7B-induced p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway can enhance the metastasis proliferation and angiogenesis of gastric cancer.

Promoter demethylation has been reported to be the underlying mechanism for GAGE family expression [27]. In this study, a novel regulator, miR-30c, was identified and shown to repress GAGE7B expression in gastric cancer. The expression of GAGE7B was dramatically suppressed by miR-30c as demonstrated by dual-luciferase reporter assay and western blot assay. Furthermore, miR-30c expression was found to be inversely correlated with GAGE7B expression in human gastric cancers, further supporting the finding that GAGE7B is the target gene of miR-30c. In addition, in contrast with the good prognosis indicated by low expression of GAGE7B, low expression of miR-30c is associated with poor survival of patients. Moreover, p38δ was also demonstrated to be a target gene of miR-30c.
To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the function of miR-30c in gastric cancer cells. The results suggest that miR-30c can significantly inhibit the migration and invasion ability of gastric cancer cells via inactivating the GAGE7B-induced p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway by directly suppressing GAGE7B and p38δ expression.

It has been reported recently that protein-coding RNAs (mRNAs), and not just non-coding RNAs, can act as ceRNAs by competing specifically for shared miRNAs [17, 28]. Given that GAGE7B and p38δ shared miR-30c in this study, we hypothesized that GAGE7B mRNA could be a ceRNA for p38δ. Our results indicated that GAGE7B-3’UTR, containing a miR-30c binding site, induced p38δ expression and activated the p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway in gastric cancer cells. In addition, an AGO2-RIP assay showed that GAGE7B-3’UTR and p38δ-3’UTR-1 were enriched in RISC, which is necessary for miRNA-mRNA interactions. Although the activity of ceRNAs can be influenced by some factors, such as ceRNA abundance, miRNA abundance, and subcellular localization [29, 30], our results indicate that GAGE7B functions as a ceRNA for p38δ by another mechanism, sequestering miR-30c.

Conclusions
This study is the first to indicate that the expression of GAGE7B is increased in metastatic gastric cancers and is associated with poor OS and DFS in patients. GAGE7B significantly promotes gastric cancer metastasis, growth, and angiogenesis by upregulating the p38δ/pMAPKAPK2/pHSP27 pathway. The accumulation of GAGE7B can be attenuated by miR-30c in gastric cancer, and GAGE7B is a ceRNA for p38δ which acts by competing for shared miR-30 (Fig. 6). GAGE7B or miR-30c might be potential therapeutic targets for gastric cancer.
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