**Metal Artifact Reduction for Clipping and Coiling in Interventional C-Arm CT**

**BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE:** Metallic implants induce massive artifacts in CT images which deteriorate image quality and often superimpose structures of interest. The purpose of this study was to apply and evaluate a dedicated MAR method for neuroradiologic intracranial clips and detachable platinum coiling events. We here report the first clinical results for MAR in FDCT.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** FDCT volume scans of several patients treated with endovascular coiling or intracranial clipping were corrected by using a dedicated FDCT MAR correction algorithm combined with an edge-preserving attenuation-normalization method in the projection space. Corrected and uncorrected images were compared by 2 experienced radiologists and evaluated for several image-quality features.

**RESULTS:** After application of our algorithm, implant delineation and visibility were highly improved. CT values compared with values in metal artifact–unaffected areas showed good agreement (average correction of 1300 HU). Image noise was reduced overall by 27%. Intracranial hemorrhage in the direct surroundings of the implanted coil or clip material was displayed without worrisome metal artifacts, and our algorithm even allowed diagnosis in areas where extensive information losses were seen. The high spatial resolution provided by FDCT imaging was well preserved.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Our MAR method provided metal artifact–reduced images in every studied case. It reduced image noise and corrected CT values to levels comparable with images measured without metallic implants. An overall improvement of brain tissue modeling and implant visibility was achieved. MAR in neuroradiologic FDCT imaging is a promising step forward for better image quality and diagnosis in the presence of metallic implants.

**ABBREVIATIONS:** COR = center of rotation; FD = flat panel detector; FDCT = flat panel detector CT; LI = linear interpolation; MAR = metal artifact reduction; max = maximum; ROI = region of interest

---

D iagnostic and interventional neuroradiologic applications have profited from the availability of innovative developments such as FDs in rotational C-arm–based FDCT. Applications of FDCT range from imaging of intracranial hemorrhage to neurovascular imaging and interventions (ie, intracranial aneurysms and arteriovenous malformations) to spinal imaging and interventions. Especially since the International Subarachnoid Aneurysm Trial and the establishment of endovascular coiling as the treatment of choice for intracranial aneurysms, FDCT became a novel alternative to postinterventional CT. It offers not only higher spatial resolution in volumetric imaging compared with conventional CT but also rapid visualization of periprocedural hemorrhage and, therefore, improved rapid complication management without the need for patient transfer. This is necessary because management within 30 minutes will improve the patient’s outcome. Nevertheless, artifacts induced by metallic objects, (ie, clips and detachable coils) reduce image quality and may prevent proper diagnosis of areas close to the implants, (ie, recognition and management of complications such as rebleedings or the rupture of an aneurysm during endovascular treatment).

Although many attempts for MAR in conventional CT were made, most algorithms did not provide sufficient quality to be accepted for clinical application. Also, the published correction algorithms were based on simple sinogram manipulations (ie, 1D linear interpolation of metal traces) and, therefore, were not compatible with modern FDs, which use Feldkamp-type reconstruction. A novel approach recently presented by Prell et al tackles the problem of metal artifacts by using an interpolation-based 3D correction algorithm in the raw data. We present a novel approach for reducing metal-induced artifacts in neuroradiologic applications, focusing on artifacts caused by clips and coils, especially designed for FD datasets. The corrections were applied to neuroradiologic CT images for improvements in implant and brain-tissue modeling to achieve an overall image quality improvement.

**Materials and Methods**

**Equipment**

All investigations were performed by using an Axiom Artis dBA C-arm system (Siemens Healthcare Sector, Forchheim, Germany) for acquisition. The system is equipped with biplane imaging components, from which only 1 was used for CT imaging. The FD has an active area of 40 × 30 cm² (pixel size of 308 µm at 2 × 2 pixel binning, CsI[Tl] a:Si). The system geometry allows a reconstruction of a non-truncated volume of approximately 22 cm (in-plane) and 16 cm (in the z-direction). Figure 1A-B illustrates the system, its geometry, and
Metal Detection and First Correction Step

The metal detection in the initially reconstructed image is performed by using the embedded high-quality DynaCT scan (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) protocols with an acquisition range of 200° (20 seconds for acquisition, 1240 × 960 projection matrix, 0.4° angular increment) and 496 projections. The detector entrance-dose setting was routinely a value of 1.2 μGy/projection. The respective raw data were reconstructed with the dedicated reconstruction software ImpactFD (VAMP, Erlangen, Germany), by using a smooth kernel typical for the evaluation of bleeding.

Second Correction Step

One drawback of every interpolation scheme is the introduction of secondary artifacts. Although the proposed algorithm already showed an improved reduction of the most severe artifacts, secondary artifacts connecting the implants and structures of high attenuation still appeared.20-23 We, therefore, combined, in a second step, an attenuation-normalization method as proposed by Müller and Buzug23 and extended by Meyer et al24 with the first interpolation correction. This correction procedure uses a multilevel segmentation, which distinguishes not only air and soft tissue but also soft tissue and bone. The different tissue classes are represented by different values in the segmented image (air = 0, soft tissue = 1, bone = 2). This image is forward-projected to normalize the attenuation values in the raw data and to preserve edge information lost after interpolation. Subsequently, 3D LI is performed to get the final corrected image, followed by a denormalization of the interpolated raw data. The reconstruction of a first 3D LI corrected image is necessary to minimize the influence of metal artifacts on the segmented image because they can easily be detected as bony structures.

Evaluation of CT Values, Image Noise, and Spatial Resolution

For investigation of CT-value consistency and image noise before and after correction, a subvolume of the original scan was used. After reconstruction of the coarse image, a dedicated bone-removal algorithm removed bone and implant and air structures so that an investigation of soft tissue, which also contains the obscuring artifacts, was enabled. A simple threshold-based segmentation would falsely detect artifacts as bony structures or air inclusions. Figure 3A shows the image containing only the soft-tissue-equivalent areas, which are used for evaluation. The difference in the maximal CT value in the soft-tissue region and the difference in image noise σ before and after correction were calculated according to

\[ \Delta R_{\text{transition}} = \frac{R_F}{R_{FD}} \cdot \Delta n, \]

where \( R_F \) is the distance from the COR to the focus and \( R_{FD} \) is the distance from the focus to the detector (Fig 1B). Only CT values and resolution in the transition area are subject to noticeable influences by the interpolation scheme and, therefore, have to be considered separately.

The extensive spreading of metal artifacts in the reconstructed image is mainly caused by the Feldkamp reconstruction, which non-linearly amplifies beam-hardening artifacts, scattered radiation, and photon starvation. By pragmatically interpolating the contaminated metal traces and thus replacing them with surrogate attenuation values, using attenuation values surrounding the metal traces and linearly combining them, these artifacts are reduced in the reconstructed image.

Subsequent reconstruction of the modified raw data yields an artifacts-reduced image without metallic objects. A final combination procedure reinserts these missing objects into the artifacts-reduced image to get the final corrected image.

Spatial Resolution

Standardized spatial resolution investigations (eg, bar pattern and modulation transfer functions) cannot be performed in clinical data-sets. Therefore, only a visual inspection of spatial resolution was
performed. We, therefore, calculated difference images between the uncorrected and corrected image by using a sharp reconstruction kernel. General changes in spatial resolution would be indicated by visible object edges or other structural information besides the artifact structures. The aim of the MAR method was to reduce the metal-induced artifacts while not decreasing spatial resolution.

**Patient Cases**

A total of 7 consecutive rotational patient head scans were evaluated, in which 5 patients were treated with detachable platinum coil embolization; 1 patient, with detachable platinum coil embolization and additional clipping; and 1 patient only, with several intracranial clips. One patient showed subarachnoid hemorrhage, and 1 patient, intracerebral hemorrhage. The FDCT scan was performed at the end of the coiling procedure to rule out rebleeding and acute hydrocephalus and to confirm the correct positioning of an external ventricular drainage. We chose patients with a >25-cm coil length implanted, because beam-hardening artifacts would be most prominent in these patients. For the patients with clipping, the FDCT scanning was performed at the end of the control angiography after surgery to rule out hydrocephalus and to confirm the correct positioning of an external drainage.

All scans were carried out without additional contrast agent injection (uncollimated, full-field head scans). No special requirements were needed for this study because the raw data were processed only retrospectively. The images (uncorrected and corrected) were stored anonymized on a workstation for evaluation by 2 experienced neuroradiologists.

**Results**

**Evaluation of CT Values, Image Noise, and Spatial Resolution**

Results for the assessment of maximal CT value are shown in Fig 3B, where the differences between the maximal achieved CT values in soft-tissue areas are listed. After we applied the correction algorithm, the maximal CT value, artificially changed by beam-hardening and metal-induced noise artifacts, was reduced by up to 1600 HU. Maximal CT values in the detected soft-tissue areas were reduced to a mean maximal value of 40 HU after correction. On average, a reduction of 1300 HU was achieved. MAR also yielded a more homogeneous CT-value distribution in soft-tissue and bony regions.

Image-noise evaluation (Fig 3C) shows a drastic reduction of metal-induced noise after correction. For all patients, image noise was reduced by typically 27%, corresponding to a mean reduction of 17 HU. The correction achieved a lower image noise in every studied case, which also led to better low-contrast detectability in these areas. The decrease of image noise in soft-tissue regions can be regarded as an indicator for an increase of homogeneity as shown by Fahrig et al and Prell et al.

To evaluate the influence of the correction method on the spatial resolution of the corrected CT images, we used difference images to show explicitly which image areas were affected by our MAR method. Figure 4A shows the uncorrected, the corrected, and the corresponding difference image (reconstructed by using a sharp reconstruction kernel to get a more precise indicator for spatial resolution changes) for patients 1, 6, and 7. As can clearly be seen in Fig 4C, -F, only metal-induced noise, beam-hardening, and scatter artifacts are corrected; no structural information other than the streak and noise artifacts is visible in the difference image. The correction process only changed image details related to metal artifacts. However, even in a narrow windowing, this additional information is not present, which confirms that the correction process preserves the spatial resolution provided by FDCT imaging.

**Implant Visibility, Brain-Tissue Imaging, and Overall Image Quality**

Figure 5 shows the uncorrected and corrected images for 3 patients in the sagittal view and in the sections corresponding to the dashed line in the subfigures of Fig 5A, -D, and -G. Beam-hardening artifacts and photon starvation caused a drastic reduction of the CT values between the connecting lines of the coil and clips and reduced the CT values in these areas below the representable value of ~1024 HU. No information could be obtained. After correction, the brain tissue surrounding the implants is displayed without disturbing metal artifacts. Also, the implants themselves are displayed
more clearly than before correction. Correction now provides information even for areas that were severely contaminated with artifacts as depicted by arrow 1 in Fig 5. The clips and detachable coils used for embolization are displayed without beam-hardening or noise artifacts after correction. Also, fine bone structures previously superimposed by metal-induced artifacts become visible. Arrow 2 in Fig 5E–F shows bony structures after correction, which could have been mistakenly detected as metal artifacts in the original image. Imaging of the close vicinity (down to 0.58 mm from the implants) of the clips and coils is also improved; this change allows a proper diagnosis even of these areas.

This improvement becomes even more obvious by looking at Fig 6. Shown here is patient 5, treated with Guglielmi detachable coils (Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts). The first FDCT, acquired before the intervention, demonstrates the hemorrhage caused by the aneurysm. Notice the configuration of the hemorrhage. The coiling procedure was uneventful. After successful endovascular therapy, the uncorrected images (Fig 6D–F) show massive artifacts caused by the coil package. In the axial images (Fig 6E, magnification in Fig 6F), the surroundings of the aneurysm are completely masked by artifacts. After MAR (Figs 6G–I), the artifacts are eliminated and the configuration of the hemorrhage can be recognized as it was before the coiling procedure. The coil package can be recognized as a bright oval structure. The disturbing artifacts are reduced to a large degree so that proper analysis of the implant, the surrounding tissue, and the bleeding itself is enabled. One drawback seems to be the imaging of the transition area between the implant and surrounding tissue. Although drastic improvement in imaging of these areas was achieved, due to the interpolation scheme in the raw data, these areas contain miscalculated CT values.

Discussion

FDCT is increasingly used after embolization of intracranial aneurysms, arteriovenous malformations, or intracranial...
stent placement to recognize potential hemorrhagic complications as soon as possible. In the presence of metallic implants, artifacts caused by the metal often obscure image details and may prevent a proper diagnosis of areas of interest. It is, therefore, of great importance to reduce these deteriorating artifacts to a minimum for better diagnosis. In this study, a new MAR approach was tested and evaluated. The MAR algorithm especially designed for FDCT systems and geometries provided better image quality with respect to the visualization of brain tissue surrounding the implants in every studied case.

Metal artifacts, mainly due to beam-hardening, scattered radiation, sampling, and noise artifacts were largely reduced after correction. This reduction not only achieved an overall improved image quality without the disturbing artifacts but also allowed a diagnosis of areas previously obscured by these artifacts. Especially during embolization by using detachable platinum coils, our algorithm proved to be a promising addition to standard FDCT imaging because better imaging of the implant and areas close to the implants was achieved. This becomes even more important when routine follow-up scanning has to be performed to investigate the position of the implant or to rule out rebleedings after embolization. Our approach improved the visibility of intracerebral hemorrhage close to metallic objects and may allow a more precise recognition of rebleeding during interventions because rebleeding may be masked by metal artifacts. One can assume that MAR may be useful in the visualization of other implants that need a high spatial resolution, like cochlear implants, stapes prostheses, or ventricular drainages.

One limitation is related to the imaging of the transition area to the metal implant. Although artifacts were largely reduced, resolution losses close to the implant can occur if the attenuation values in the raw data are not replaced by proper surrogate data; thus, reliable CT values of the transition area between implant and surrounding tissue can only be given with reserve. Incorrect CT values could lead to misinterpretations in these areas. However, this area of noticeable influence is here restricted to 0.6 mm from the implant. Before correction, the transition zone was not evaluable due to the massive metal artifacts. Nevertheless, by comparing the drawbacks and assets of our correction algorithm, one can see that an overall improvement of implant visibility and image quality was achieved. Another drawback may be that every raw data-based correction scheme entails a modification of raw data, and secondary artifacts introduced by the correction scheme cannot be excluded. The clinical evaluation of the images, therefore, has to include the uncorrected and the corrected version of the images. Although progress in terms of suppressing these secondary artifacts was made, negative effects still have to be monitored.

Interpolation-based MAR in FDCT shows promising results in these cases, which appear to be better than results shown in other publications regarding conventional CT.16,20,21,23 One reason for this is the smaller sampling distance (ie, spatial resolution provided by the FD). While conventional CT provides detector-element sizes of approximately 0.6 mm, FDs achieve sizes down to 154 μm. This allows a much closer interpolation to the implants in the raw data. Another advantage of the method shown here seems to be the correction in the raw data itself (ie, on every perturbed detector pixel), whereas most published corrections in conventional CT were performed in the subsequent calculated sinogram after acquisition.16,18,21,23 Furthermore, our algorithm uses not only the attenuation values in each projection for calculating surrogate attenuation values but also the attenuation values from different projection angles, making the interpolation scheme truly 3D.

The algorithm itself can be easily included in a daily routine because no special requirements are needed for the FDCT system, and the correction and segmentation processes work automatically. Computational times compared with those in standard reconstruction can also be largely reduced by combining MAR with acceleration techniques on graphic processing units,26,27 which gives the radiologist the uncorrected and corrected image within almost the same time.

Conclusions
The MAR algorithm presented here provides an improved visibility in the close vicinity of the intracranial clips and endoaneurysmal coil packages in this limited sample of patient datasets. The obscuring metal artifacts were largely reduced, and an increase in homogeneity in soft-tissue regions was achieved. A better detectability of hemorrhage during or after endovascular embolization may be achieved. A large-scale clinical study is still pending.
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