Lupus Mastitis as Differential Diagnosis of Breast Mass
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Lupus mastitis is a rare presentation of lupus panniculitis, seen in up to 2 to 3% of the patients and rarely being the initial presentation of systemic lupus erythematosus. It affects the deep subcutaneous adipose tissue of the breast. **Case Report:** A previously healthy 36-year-old white woman presented with a history of a palpable mass in the right breast that had developed over one year. Physical examination revealed a palpable mass with skin retraction, local erythema and an ulcer in healing in the right breast. Imaging evaluation with mammography and ultrasound classified the findings as suspicious, indicating biopsy. Biopsy revealed findings suggestive of lupus mastitis. Patient was treated with anti-malarial drugs, with clinical improvement. **Conclusion:** The findings on physical examination and imaging studies of lupus mastitis can be misleading. Depending on the presentation on the onset, it can mimic breast infection or even breast carcinoma. Magnetic Resonance Imaging is useful in evaluating the extent of disease and may have an important role in monitoring treatment response. Histopathology has an important role in suggesting diagnosis, leading to the correct treatment and avoiding erroneous surgical procedures, which can work as triggers for new episodes of mastitis.
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Mammography demonstrated skin thickening and retraction of the inner quadrants of the right breast, architectural distortion in the central region and a small round and circumscribed nodule on the upper outer quadrant [Fig.2]. Ultrasound showed an ill-defined hypoechoic area in the central region, skin thickening, and a round and circumscribed nodule in the outer upper quadrant. Both examinations were categorized as Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System (BI-RADS) 4, and ultrasound-guided biopsy was suggested. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was performed after the histopathologic results, showing skin thickening, retraction and contrast enhancement, architectural distortion in the retro-areolar region with heterogeneous, moderate and ascending contrast enhancement (type I kinetic curve) in association with local edema [Fig.3a,b]. Restricted diffusion was not observed in the area of interest. Abnormal lymph nodes were present, with homogeneous thickening of the cortical region, and were regarded as an inflammatory reaction. All the findings were categorized as BI-RADS 2.

Core biopsy of the nodule suggested intra-mammary lymph node. Histopathological examination of the skin and adjacent breast tissue suggested the diagnosis of lupus mastitis. Blood work-up was performed, with positive ANA (1:160) showing a speckled pattern. Anti-dsDNA, anti-Smith, anti-Ro/SSA, anti-La/SSB were negative and complement levels were normal. The patient was then treated with anti-malarial drugs, with clinical improvement. The final diagnosis was lupus mastitis without systemic disease.
Discussion

Panniculitis is an acute or chronic inflammatory condition affecting the subcutaneous tissue and can occur in association with systemic diseases, including SLE. When associated with SLE, it has been denominated LP, and breast involvement is termed LM. LM is an uncommon finding in SLE, seen in up to 2 to 3% of the patients [4] with few case reports of LM as the first manifestation of SLE [3,5].

The most commonly described radiological findings at mammography were large and dystrophic calcifications usually associated with fat necrosis seen in advanced LM; masses, often ill-defined, or asymmetries, focal or diffuse. On ultrasound, ill-defined hypoechoic masses, architectural distortions and changes in echotexture, usually hyperechoic resulting from infiltration, were the most common findings [3,5]. Skin thickening and axillary lymph node enlargement did not occur as often [3]. In comparison, our case didn’t have calcification at mammography, but the other findings at mammography and ultrasound, both common and uncommon, were found.

There were few studies describing LM MRI findings in the literature. The main features described are skin thickening, fat stranding and heterogeneous contrast enhancement, with variable reports of enhancement curves [3,6]. Our findings are similar in comparison, with type I kinetic curve, usually indicative of benignity. The imaging aspects of LM that we have so far in literature are not specific to the disease, hindering LM diagnosis when using imaging findings alone, especially when it appears without associated systemic disease. The differential diagnosis of inflammatory carcinoma must be ruled out with histopathological study since there is no absolute imaging finding that differentiates it from LM [3]. MRI is most useful in assessing the extent of disease, including skin involvement, and monitoring treatment response [3,6,7]. Mosier et al. suggest that MRI may be useful in evaluating treatment response since decreasing thickness of the peripheral enhancement appears to be correlated with clinical improvement [7].

Histopathological criteria for the diagnosis of lupus mastitis include four major and minor criteria. The major criteria include hyaline fat necrosis, lymphocytic infiltration with lymphoid nodules surrounding the necrosis, perisepetal or lobular panniculitis and microcalcifications. The minor criteria include discoid changes in overlying skin, lymphocytic vasculitis, mucin deposition, and hyalinization of sub-epidermal papillary lesions. [3,6]. The presence of all the criteria is not mandatory for diagnosis. [3,6].

After diagnosis, the use of steroids and anti-malarial drugs are the ideal therapy [2,3]. Surgical procedures must be avoided at most, since surgical trauma may be an inciting event in LP [1]. The findings on physical examination and imaging studies can be misleading. Depending on the presentation on the onset, it can mimic breast infection or even breast carcinoma. With few cases reported in the literature, it is hard to suggest LM and rule out malignancy with imaging findings alone. MRI is useful in evaluating the extent of disease and may have an important role in monitoring treatment response. Histopathology has an important role in suggesting the diagnosis, leading to the correct treatment and avoiding erroneous surgical procedures, which can work as a trigger for new episodes of mastitis.

Conclusion

Physical examination and image findings can be inconclusive, being hard to suggest LM or rule out malignancy with this alone. Histopathology is important in suggesting the diagnosis, avoiding unnecessary intervention causing recurrence of LM. MRI is effective when evaluating the extent of disease and may help in monitoring treatment response.
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