RecA-mediated strand invasion of DNA by oligonucleotides substituted with 2-aminoadenine and 2-thiothymine
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ABSTRACT

Sequence-specific recognition of DNA is a critical step in gene targeting. Here we describe unique oligonucleotide (ON) hybrids that can stably pair to both strands of a linear DNA target in a RecA-dependent reaction with ATP or ATPγS. One strand of the hybrids is a 30-mer DNA ON that contains a 15-nt-long A/T-rich central core. The core sequence, which is substituted with 2-aminoadenine and 2-thiothymine, is weakly hybridized to complementary locked nucleic acid or 2'-OMe RNA ONs that are also substituted with the same base analogs. Robust targeting reactions took place in the presence of ATPγS and generated metastable double D-loop joints. Since the hybrids had pseudo-complementary character, the component ONs hybridized less strongly to each other than to complementary target DNA sequences composed of regular bases. This difference in pairing strength promoted the formation of joints capable of accommodating a single mismatch. If similar joints can form in vivo, virtually any A/T-rich site in genomic DNA could be selectively targeted. By designing the constructs so that the DNA ON is mismatched to its complementary sequence in DNA, joint formation might allow the ON to function as a template for targeted point mutation and gene correction.

INTRODUCTION

A robust method for recognizing and tagging arbitrary sequences in double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) has not yet been developed despite the efforts of many investigators. With base pairing sequestering the Watson–Crick determinants of dsDNA, sequence recognition is usually limited to base determinants present in the major and minor grooves. Triplex-forming oligonucleotides (TFOs) can form stable, sequence-specific triple-stranded complexes with homopurine runs in dsDNA according to three different recognition motifs (1−3). Unfortunately, interruptions in a homopurine run can significantly destabilize such complexes. Development of base analogs that can bridge such interruptions has met with limited success. TFOs with a separate Watson–Crick domain can extend targeting to a mixed-sequence DNA that is adjacent to a homopurine run (4,5). Superhelicity in the target DNA facilitates strand invasion of such sequences and leads to the formation of a D-loop joint. Approaches also exist for recognizing determinants in the minor groove of dsDNA. Polyamides (6,7) and zinc finger domains (8,9) are promising strategies that are currently being developed.

Strand invasion of the double helix by peptide nucleic acid (PNA) provides an alternative route to sequence recognition that is based upon Watson–Crick pairing. PNA is a synthetic variant of DNA and RNA in which the bases are attached to a neutral peptide backbone (10). It has a high affinity for complementary sequences due to the absence of electrostatic repulsion. Bis-PNA consists of two domains, one which Hoogsteen pairs to a homopurine run in dsDNA and the other that strand invades the target duplex and Watson–Crick pairs to the same homopurine run (11,12). Bis-PNAs with a mixed-base extension can also strand invade dsDNA adjacent to the homopurine run (13,14).

Recently, direct strand invasion of a mixed-sequence DNA has been reported for γ-PNA, a variant of PNA that is conformationally preorganized to form exceptionally stable hybrids (15).

Pseudocomplementary PNAs (pcPNAs) are short A/T-rich oligomers that contain 2-aminoadenine (nA) in place of adenine (A) and 2-thiothymine (sT) in place of thymine (T) (16,17). Whereas nA-sT is a mismatch due to steric clash between the 2-amino group of nA and the 2-thioketo group of sT, nA-T and A-sT are stable base pairs (Figure 1A) (18). This is particularly true for the nA-T base pair, which contains three hydrogen bonds instead of two. Complementary pcPNAs have reduced affinity for one another but high affinity for unmodified DNA or
RNA complements. These paired oligonucleotides (ONs) can hybridize to the complementary strands of a homologous dsDNA. Like all other PNA constructs that invade dsDNA, complex formation by pcPNAs is enhanced by introducing positive charge to the backbone (19) and by conducting the reaction in low-ionic-strength buffer without magnesium (16). A similar reaction can take place in cells (20), but its initiation is probably dependent upon transient opening of the dsDNA target during normal enzymatic processing of the genetic material.

Design of longer pseudocomplementary ONs (pcONs) that can be actively inserted into dsDNA by genetic recombination should improve the efficiency and specificity of gene targeting, as well as the stability of the resulting double D-loop joints (Figure 1B). Recombinases, exemplified by the RecA protein from *Escherichia coli*, catalyze strand exchange (21,22). In the presence of ATP, RecA protein associates with single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) to form a stable helical filament. This filament can rapidly scan dsDNA for homology by transiently extending and unwinding the duplex so that the resident ssDNA can repetitively sample the antiparallel strand of the donor duplex for complementarity by Watson–Crick base pairing. Upon homologous alignment, strand exchange produces a new heteroduplex (23–25). Utilizing this pathway, RecA protein can form a D-loop joint at any arbitrary site in a superhelical DNA when provided with an incoming DNA ON that is complementary to the site and has sufficient length (26,27). This reaction is very efficient in the presence of ATP and less so in the presence of ATP.

Although RecA protein catalyzes D-loop formation in linear dsDNA, deproteinization leads to rapid branch migration and release of the ON. We have previously shown that the displaced strand in a RecA-stabilized joint molecule formation was determined using 70-bp DNA targets that were mismatched to the incoming/annealing ONs.

**Figure 1.** (A) Pseudocomplementary pairing of nA and sT. (B) A double D-loop joint, with each strand identified according to its role in RecA-mediated joint formation. (C) Formation of a double D-loop joint with pseudocomplementary ONs (pcONs in red) creates additional base pairs. Formation of the same joint with regular ONs (rONs in black) does not alter the number of base pairs. (D) Incoming and annealing oligonucleotides used in this study for strand exchange and double D-loop joint formation. A and T bases in red are replaced by nA and sT analogs in pseudo-complementary versions of the respective ONs. The T/sT bases in annealing ONs with a 2′-Ome RNA backbone were attached to 2′-deoxyribose sugars. DNA duplexes were formed by hybridizing an incoming DNA 30-mer, 45-mer or 70-mer to a complement of the same length. Specificity of joint formation was determined using 70-bp DNA targets that were mismatched to the incoming/annealing ONs.
D-loop can hybridize to a complementary ON provided that it has an RNA-like backbone and is shorter than the incoming DNA oligomer (28). This reaction leads to a four-stranded double D-loop joint that can survive deproteinization even when present in a linear dsDNA. In theory, such joints could be formed at any arbitrary site in dsDNA.

RecA-mediated formation of a double D-loop joint requires that the two ONs be added sequentially; otherwise they form a hybrid with each other that inhibits the initial strand exchange reaction. While sequential addition of paired ONs is acceptable for *in vitro* applications, it cannot be carried out *in vivo*. In this study we show that RecA protein can catalyze double D-loop formation by paired A/T-rich pcONs in a concerted one-step reaction. Even though such ONs, which are substituted with nA and sT, may weakly hybridize to each other, RecA protein can utilize the complex for initiating strand exchange. Joint formation with pcONs is accompanied by a net increase in base pairing, and this partly offsets the electrostatic and entropic penalties associated with forming a four-stranded complex (Figure 1C). The resulting double D-loop joints are metastable structures long enough to ensure good specificity across the genome, although we show that the joints can tolerate a single mismatch between the incoming DNA ON and the complementary strand of the target dsDNA. If eukaryotic recombinases can catalyze the same reaction *in vivo*, such joints might function as templates for the correction of deleterious point mutations in living cells.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Nucleic acid substrates**

Standard ONs with DNA or 2′-OMe RNA backbones were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Standard locked nucleic acids (LNAs) were supplied by Sigma-Proligo (Paris, France). Modified ONs containing nA and sT bases with DNA or 2′-OMe RNA backbones were synthesized and purified by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA). LNAs substituted with nA and sT bases were prepared for Sigma-Proligo by Exiqon A/S (Vedbæk, Denmark). 32P-labeled ONs were prepared according to standard protocols using T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs Inc., Ipswich, MA) and γ-[32P]ATP (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). Target duplex was formed by annealing complementary strands (2:1 molar ratio of cold to hot) in hybridization buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 50 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA). After electrophoresis in a nondenaturing 12% polyacrylamide gel containing 1 mM MgCl₂, the double-stranded product was recovered from a gel slice by shaking overnight at 4°C in Tris–EDTA and then further purified through a Sep-Pak light C18 cartridge (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). After drying in a Speed-Vac (ThermoFisher Scientific, Ipswich, MA) and T4 polynucleotide kinase (New England Biolabs Inc., Ipswich, MA), ONs were provided with 1 mM MgCl₂, the double-stranded product was recovered from a gel slice by shaking overnight at 4°C in Tris–EDTA and then further purified through a Sep-Pak light C18 cartridge (Waters Corporation, Milford, MA). After drying in a Speed-Vac (ThermoFisher Scientific, Ipswich, MA). Standard ONs with DNA or 2′-OMe RNA backbones were purchased from Integrated DNA Technologies (Coralville, IA). Standard locked nucleic acids (LNAs) were provided by Sigma-Proligo (Paris, France). Modified ONs containing nA and sT bases with DNA or 2′-OMe RNA backbones were synthesized and purified by TriLink Biotechnologies (San Diego, CA). LNAs substituted with nA and sT bases were prepared for Sigma-Proligo by Exiqon A/S (Vedbæk, Denmark).

**Strand exchange**

Incoming DNA ONs (0.8 pmol; 30, 45 or 70 bases in length) were incubated with RecA protein (USB Corp., Cleveland, OH; 1:1 ratio of monomer to nucleotide) for 10 min at 37°C in 8 μl SEB with 1 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol and 25 mM Tris–OAc buffer, pH 7.2). The reactions were quenched with 64 pmol cold competitor ON that was identical to the incoming ON, placed in an ice bath and electrophoresed at 8°C in a 12% polyacrylamide gel containing 1 mM MgCl₂. A second set of reactions were directly analyzed without added competitor in a 12% polyacrylamide gel pre-equilibrated to 37°C and run at room temperature in the presence of 1 mM MgCl₂. Radioactive bands were detected by autoradiography. Gel images were acquired on a Storm phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA), and bands were quantified using ImageQuant software.

**Melting point determinations**

Equimolar concentrations of 15-mer Watson and Crick strands (1 μM each) in physiological buffer (PB; 10 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), pH 7.2, 0.1 mM MgCl₂, 140 mM KCl) were heated to 90°C for 2 min and cooled gradually to 15°C. The temperature was increased linearly to 90°C at 1°C/min as the absorbance at 260 nm was recorded every 0.5 min in a Varian Cary 3 UV/Visible spectrophotometer (Palo Alto, CA) interfaced with a Peltier temperature controller. Melting temperature (*T*ₘ) was then assigned as the peak of the first derivative plot using the CaryWin software. Thermal denaturation of 30-bp hybrids was determined as described above; however, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, and 25 mM NaCl were substituted for the PB.

**Analysis of pairing between complementary ONs**

In order to determine the hybridization status of incoming and annealing ONs under strand exchange conditions, radiolabeled 30-mer DNA (0.8 pmol) was incubated with cold annealing ON (0.4 pmol) for 10 min at 37°C in 10 μl of strand exchange buffer (SEB; 1 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 1 mM dithiothreitol and 25 mM Tris–OAc buffer, pH 7.2). The reactions were quenched with 64 pmol cold competitor ON that was identical to the incoming ON, placed in an ice bath and electrophoresed at 8°C in a 12% polyacrylamide gel containing 1 mM MgCl₂. A second set of reactions were directly analyzed without added competitor in a 12% polyacrylamide gel pre-equilibrated to 37°C and run at room temperature in the presence of 1 mM MgCl₂. Radioactive bands were detected by autoradiography. Gel images were acquired on a Storm phosphorimager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA), and bands were quantified using ImageQuant software.

**Double D-loop formation**

Unless otherwise noted, the three-step protocol described in Gamper et al. (28) was followed. Briefly, a single-stranded incoming DNA 30-mer (0.8 pmol) was incubated for 10 min at 37°C with 24 pmol of RecA protein in 8 μl of SEB supplemented with 1 mM ATPyS unless otherwise
specified. The incoming ON was homologous to the middle of the dsDNA target so that strand exchange formed a RecA-stabilized D-loop. Exchange was initiated by adding radiolabeled dsDNA (70 bp in length, 40 fmol) and increasing the Mg(OAc)$_2$ concentration to 10 mM. After 10 min at 37°C, annealing ON (6.4 pmol) was added with 10-min additional incubation. This ON hybridized to the outgoing strand of the synaptic complex to form a RecA-associated double D-loop joint. The reaction mixture (12 μl) was cooled in an ice bath, mixed with 0.1 volume of 1% SDS and analyzed electrophoretically as described above. Modification of this procedure resulted in simplified protocols. In the two-step protocol, the incoming and annealing ONs were premixed before adding the RecA protein, and in the one-step protocol all nucleic acids were present before adding the RecA protein. The yield of joint molecule was unaffected by conducting the entire reaction in 10 mM Mg(OAc)$_2$ versus raising the concentration by our earlier study of recombinase-mediated double D-loop formation using unmodified ONs (28). Incoming ONs, which were homologous to the double-stranded targets, had a DNA backbone that was at least 30 nt long. The incoming 30-mer was used in most of our studies and was synthesized with either A and T or nA and sT bases in the central A/T-rich core. Annealing ONs were complementary to the core sequence of the incoming ON and contained either A and T or nA and sT bases. Annealing ONs with a DNA or 2'-OMe RNA backbone were 15 nt long, while those with a LNA backbone were 8 nt long. LNA is an RNA mimic in which the ribose moiety has an extra bridge between the 2' and 4' carbons (29). The bicyclic sugar of LNA is locked into the 3'-endo conformation, and this confers high affinity for complementary DNA or RNA sequences. Use of relatively short annealing ONs met the requirement for these ONs being shorter than the incoming ON and helped to conserve the use of expensive nA and sT phosphoramidites. Use of annealing ONs with an RNA-like backbone provided two benefits. First, the backbone prevented filament formation with recombinase (30), thereby ensuring unhindered access of the annealing ON to the synaptic complex. Second, it prevented dissociation of the double D-loop joint by blocking recombinase-mediated inverse strand exchange (28,31). Incoming and annealing ONs were designated as regular (rONs) or pseudo-complementary (pcONs) based on the absence or presence of nA and sT bases. Formation of double D-loop joints by sequential hybridization permitted the use of annealing ONs that were equal in length to the incoming ON. Where indicated, a limited number of experiments utilized incoming and annealing ONs that were completely substituted with nA and sT.

Analysis of pairing between complementary ONs

Hybridization properties of selected incoming and annealing ONs were determined by measuring the melting temperatures of Watson–Crick hybrids in PB (Table 1). The 15-bp DNA hybrids behaved as expected. Substitution of one strand with nA and sT increased the $T_m$ from 36 to 48°C, while substitution of both strands reduced the $T_m$ by at least 25°C. Hybrids that contained a 15-mer 2'-OMe RNA or two 8-mer LNAs hybridized to a complementary 15-mer or 30-mer DNA responded differently. When the RNA-like strand was substituted with nA and sT, the increase in $T_m$ was 24°C for the 2'-OMe pseudocomplementary RNA (pcRNA) containing hybrid and 35°C for the pseudocomplementary LNA (pcLNA) containing hybrid. Stabilization of this magnitude underscores the preference of both nA and sT for an A-form
duplex (32–34). Indeed, in RNA the 2-thiouracil pair with adenine is one of the most stable base pairs known. Because of this preference, substitution of both strands of the mixed hybrids with nA and sT was less destabilizing than for the all-DNA hybrid. In the hybrid with 2′-OMe pcRNA, the Tm decreased by 10°C, while in the hybrid with two 8-mer pcLNA strands, the Tm actually increased by 1°C. In these hybrids, steric clash between nA and sT was not as destabilizing as in the DNA hybrid. Since the conditions used for double D-loop formation could not be replicated in the melting analysis, the Tm’s do not predict the pairing state of the pcONs during strand exchange. However, the results do predict that pcONs should form more stable double D-loop joints than rONs. The results also show that complementary nA/sT-substituted ONs are more likely to hybridize to one another if one of the ONs has an RNA-like backbone.

To ascertain whether the incoming and annealing pcONs could hybridize to each other under strand exchange conditions, mock reactions that contained 0.8 μM incoming ON (with a 32P tag) and 6.4 μM annealing ON were equilibrated at 37°C. The pairing state of the incoming ON was estimated by electrophoresis of reaction aliquots in nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels. In the first panel of Figure 2, aliquots were loaded onto a 12% gel previously adjusted to 37°C and then run at room temperature. In the second panel, the reactions were quenched by adding competitor ON (640 nM annealing ON) and quickly placing the reactions in an ice bath. Aliquots were then loaded onto a 12% gel run in the cold room. Both analyses confirmed that the incoming pseudo-complementary DNA (pcDNA) was single stranded when the annealing pcON had a DNA backbone, but that an appreciable fraction was hybridized when the annealing pcON had a 2′-OMeRNA or LNA backbone. Due to dissociation and exchange reactions that took place during analysis, the amount of hybrid detected in these gels probably underestimates what was present in the original solutions. The unusual banding pattern observed for the pcDNA–pcLNA complex in the second panel of Figure 2 is probably attributable to triple-strand formation with an imperfect homopurine run present in the target.

### Table 1. Optical melting of complementary ONs with regular and pseudo-complementary A/T bases

| Duplexes (incoming:annealing) | Tm (°C) | ΔTm (°C) |
|-------------------------------|---------|----------|
| rDNA15: rDNA15               | 36.4    | –        |
| rDNA15: pcDNA15              | 48.0    | 11.6     |
| rDNA15: rRNA15               | 39.0    | 2.6      |
| rDNA15: pcRNA15              | 63.3    | 26.9     |
| pcDNA15: pcDNA15             | No melt | –        |
| pcDNA15: pcRNA15             | 29.0    | –4.1     |
| rDNA10: rLNA16               | 41.5    | 5.1      |
| rDNA10: pcLNA16              | 76.8    | 40.4     |
| pcDNA30: pcLNA16             | 42.5    | 6.1      |
| rDNA15: rDNA15               | 63.5    | –4.1     |
| rDNA15: pcDNA15              | 67.5    | 4.0      |

*aAll hybrids replicate the sequence of the model double D-loop joint.*

*bThese hybrids were melted in the presence of 20 mM KCl instead of 140 mM KCl.*

Although RecA protein can carry out strand exchange with DNAs that contain different base analogs (35–37), the effect of nA and sT on this reaction has not been investigated. We, therefore, investigated RecA-mediated strand exchange between 30-mer DNA substrates in which one or more strands contained a central pseudocomplementary domain as shown in Figure 1D. Presynaptic filament formation and strand exchange were carried out in the presence of ATPγS. Release of radiolabeled outgoing strand from the target duplex was monitored by nondenaturing gel electrophoresis of deproteinized reaction aliquots (Figure 3). While substitution of any one strand with nA and sT did not inhibit strand exchange, it did alter the yield by changing the relative stabilities of the starting and ending duplexes. Keeping in mind that pc–r hybrids are more stable than r–r hybrids, an incoming pcON gave enhanced strand exchange with an r–r duplex, while an incoming rON gave reduced strand exchange when the duplex had an outgoing pcON. For unknown reasons, when both the incoming and outgoing strands were pseudocomplementary, the extent of strand exchange was unexpectedly high. Conversely, no strand exchange was observed when both the incoming and recipient strands were pseudocomplementary. This, of course, was expected since these strands would not be expected to form a very stable duplex.

### Double D-loop formation using a three-step protocol

A radiolabeled 70-bp DNA with regular bases was used as a substrate for studying double D-loop formation by
rONs and pc ONs. This duplex supported joint formation without itself dissociating into component strands. Based on our earlier study (28), we first used a three-step protocol for forming joints. In the first step, RecA protein was incubated with an incoming DNA 30-mer in the presence of ATPγS to form a presynaptic filament. In the second step, the 70-bp DNA target was added along with additional magnesium acetate to form a synaptic complex with an underlying D-loop joint. In the third step, annealing ON (with a DNA- or an RNA-like backbone) was hybridized to the displaced strand of the synaptic complex. Following treatment with SDS, an aliquot of each reaction was analyzed by nondenaturing gel electrophoresis to detect double D-loop joint (Figure 4). Unlike the G/C-rich target used previously by us, the A/T-rich target employed here did not support efficient joint formation by rONs regardless of the type of backbone in the annealing ON. Hybrid stability of the component arms of the double D-loop joint may have been too weak to survive removal of RecA protein. In contrast, all three pairs of pc ONs formed double D-loop joints. Annealing pc ONs with a 2'-OMe RNA or LNA backbone were nearly twice as effective in trapping the displaced strand of the D-loop as a pc ON with a DNA backbone. Enhanced stability of the hybrid between outgoing and annealing strands and resistance of the double D-loop joint to RecA-mediated inverse strand exchange probably account for the superior performance of annealing pc ONs with an RNA-like backbone. It is worthwhile noting that joint formation with the RNA-like annealing ONs proceeded to a greater extent than strand exchange (Figure 3). One possible explanation is that strand exchange is enhanced by the presence of an annealing pc ON since under these conditions, the RecA-stabilized D-loop is immediately converted to a double D-loop joint, thus driving the strand exchange reaction.

Double D-loop formation using two- and one-step protocols

We next simplified the reaction protocol by starting out with both incoming and annealing ONs present. In the two-step protocol, RecA protein was added to form presynaptic filament and then dsDNA target was added to initiate double D-loop formation. In the one-step protocol, dsDNA was also present when RecA protein was added. Figure 5 compares the yields of double D-loop joint for the one-, two- and three-step protocols when annealing pc ONs with DNA, 2'-OMe RNA and LNA backbones were used in combination with an incoming pc DNA 30-mer. All protocols were conducted in the presence of ATPγS, and in all three the concentration of magnesium acetate was adjusted from 1 to 10 mM after formation of presynaptic filament. Regardless of the protocol, annealing ONs with a 2'-OMe pc RNA or pc LNA backbone gave 80–90% yields of double D-loop joint. In contrast, when the annealing ON had a pcDNA backbone, the yield of joint was 40% in the three-step protocol and less than 10% in the one- and two-step protocols. These results suggest that RecA protein is able to initiate strand exchange with the incoming pcDNA 30-mer even though it is partly hybridized to annealing ONs with an RNA-like backbone. Loss of joint molecules by inverse strand exchange may account for the low yield obtained with the pcDNA annealing ON. The poor reaction observed when using only one of the annealing pc LNA 8-mers suggests that the pc LNA–rDNA arm of the double D-loop joint was too weak to survive deproteinization. The aberrant band observed withpc LNA I is the result of triple-strand formation with an imperfect homopurine run in the dsDNA target. In summary, the one-step protocol serves as a harbinger for whether complementary pc ONs might be used in vivo to form a double D-loop joint. In this reaction, only the 2'-OMe pc RNA 15-mer and the two pc LNA 8-mers supported a good yield of joint. Although not shown, use of regular incoming and annealing ONs in the one-step protocol did not generate double D-loop joint.

Specificity of double D-loop formation

Synaptic complexes readily accommodate mismatches between the incoming and recipient strands of a newly formed duplex (38,39). In such complexes, the free energy penalty associated with a mismatch is only 0.8–1.9 kcal/mol, a value much reduced relative to naked dsDNA. Since the incoming ON of a double D-loop joint is incorporated into DNA by strand exchange, it
should be straightforward to prepare joints in which the incoming ON is also mismatched to its complement. Introduction of a mismatch between the annealing ON and the outgoing strand of the duplex should also be possible, but the reduced length of these ONs should limit the number of mismatches allowed. The ability of paired pcONs to form double D-loop joints with one, two or three mismatches in each arm of the joint was determined by gel mobility shift analysis of reactions conducted according to the three-step protocol (Figure 6A). Only joints with a single mismatch in each arm were obtained in good yield, and this required the use of annealing pcONs with a high-affinity RNA-like backbone. When the mismatch was restricted to the hybrid between incoming and recipient strands, double D-loop formation was supported by all three annealing pcONs (Figure 6B).

Strand exchange and double D-loop formation in the presence of ATP

RecA-catalyzed strand exchange reactions with incoming ONs are usually carried out in the presence of ATPγS. This slowly hydrolyzable analog of ATP stabilizes short presynaptic filaments and enhances strand exchange. Given the potential use of pcONs as gene repair agents, we evaluated both strand exchange and double D-loop joint formation when ATP was substituted for ATPγS (Figure 7). From a series of strand exchange reactions using 30-mer, 45-mer and 70-mer incoming rDNA ONs with dsDNA targets of the same length, three conclusions can be drawn. First, strand exchange was reduced by 25–50% when ATP was replaced for ATPγS. Second, an ATP regeneration system did not improve upon ATP alone. Third, strand exchange was more efficient with longer substrates. Double D-loop formation using the pcDNA incoming 30-mer and the two pcLNA annealing 8-mers was reduced to an even greater extent. In both the three-step and one-step protocols, joint formation was reduced by approximately 75% when ATPγS was replaced by an ATP regeneration system. Others have observed a similar decrease in synaptic complex formation when switching from ATPγS to ATP (27).

Stability of protein-free double D-loop joints

Multiarm structures in DNA are susceptible to resolution by branch migration, and double D-loop joints are no exception. Since the rate of branch migration is highly dependent on temperature, we were able to monitor dissociation of joints as a function of time at 37°C by removing aliquots into an ice bath prior to electrophoretic analysis in a cold room. Joints in which the incoming ON was longer than the annealing ON were prepared using RecA protein, while joints in which both ONs had the same length were prepared by sequential hybridization. In this method, each ON was separately hybridized to its complementary DNA target strand followed by mixing the two solutions to form the desired joint. Decay of two representative double D-loop joints in a PB is presented in Figure 8. One joint contained pcDNA 30-mers (in this case, completely substituted with nA and sT) and the other contained rDNA 30-mers. Whereas the unmodified joint completely dissociated with a half-life of 2 h, the joint substituted with nA and sT consisted of two components, one with a half-life of 10.5 h and the other stable to dissociation. Joints formed with other pcONs showed a similar behavior regardless of how they were prepared. During gel electrophoresis the two types of joint comigrated suggesting that they differed from one another in subtle ways. We presume that the stable component is an authentic double D-loop joint. The structure of the less stable component has not been determined but we assume that it contained both pcONs.

Results for the different joints are summarized in Table 2. Two parameters are listed for each pair of incoming and annealing ONs: the percentage of total joint that is stable and the half-life of the unstable complex. In general, longer half-lives were usually associated with greater yields of stable double D-loop joint. The most stable joints contained 30-mer incoming and annealing ONs that were
completely substituted with nA and sT. Limiting substitution of nA and sT to the central core of these ONs reduced both the half-life of the unstable joint and the yield of the stable joint. Use of shorter annealing pcONs reduced these parameters still more. Joints that contained a single mismatch in each arm were relatively unstable and had half-lives of approximately 30 min. The one exception was a mismatched joint that contained an annealing–outgoing arm of the double D-loop joint was perfectly matched, while the incoming–recipient arm contained a single mismatch.

**DISCUSSION**

We have shown that ONs with pseudocomplementary properties can be utilized by RecA protein to target dsDNA in a single reaction with all substrates present. The resulting complement-stabilized double D-loop joints are unusually stable and can accommodate a single base pair mismatch in the all-DNA arm of the joint. Key to success was using an incoming ON with a DNA backbone and a shorter annealing ON with an RNA-like backbone. In the presence of RecA protein, these pcON pairs readily invaded homologous dsDNA even when weakly complexed to each other. It is conceivable that the incoming pcON exists in both single-stranded and hybridized states, in which case the single-strand would be an obvious substrate for presynaptic filament formation with RecA protein. Otherwise, we postulate that RecA protein could displace the annealing ON from the incoming ON in the course of forming a presynaptic filament or that it could use the hybrid itself to initiate strand exchange. In the latter case, strand invasion and double D-loop formation would occur simultaneously. The exceptional stability of the hybrid between rDNA and pcLNA (and to a lesser extent 2′-OMe
pcRNA) is noteworthy and thermodynamically favors double D-loop formation. If paired pcONs can function with eukaryotic recombinase to target specific sequences in the chromosomal DNA of living cells, then joints with a mismatch might function as templates for correcting deleterious mutations. In this context, only mismatched ONs with a DNA backbone can support targeted point mutation of the host DNA (40).

Many studies have reported that nuclease-resistant ssDNA ONs can be used to address the Watson–Crick determinants of dsDNA in cultured cells (41). Two mechanisms for recognition have been proposed, one involving recombinase-mediated strand exchange (42) and the other relying on transient opening of the DNA during replication or transcription (43,44). In either case, the ON is postulated to form a labile D-loop-like structure that could be stabilized by nucleolytic digestion of the displaced strand (45). If the ON is mismatched to its complement, repair of the joint can be accompanied by a targeted base pair change. Targeted mutagenesis, which usually occurs at very low frequency, can be enhanced in geted base pair change. Targeted mutagenesis, which usually occurs at very low frequency, can be enhanced in the presence of a nearby triple-stranded complex (3,5,46). Paired pcONs may provide an alternative and possibly more effective way for introducing point mutations into dsDNA in vivo.

For a time DNA–2′-OMe RNA dumbbells with an internal nick were promoted as nuclease-resistant gene repair agents (41). Numerous studies have since shown that these agents are inferior to capped ssDNA ONs. Although a chimeric DNA–RNA dumbbell can be used by RecA protein to form double D-loop joint in DNA (40), the pairing reaction is very inefficient because RecA protein does not readily catalyze four-strand exchange reactions (47). The short hybrids used here have ssDNA overhangs extending from a core DNA–LNA or DNA–2′-OMe RNA duplex that is in turn destabilized by nA–sT couples. These differences lead to improved utilization of the hybrids by RecA protein.

Use of pcONs that contain regular G and C bases necessarily limits targeting to A/T-rich sequences. In a previous study of double D-loop joint formation using paired pcPNAs in the absence of protein, it was concluded that sequences with 40% or greater A/T content could be invaded by nA/sT-substituted PNAs in low-ionic-strength buffer lacking divalent cation (16). A similar A/T content will probably be required for recombinase-mediated delivery of nA/sT-substituted pcONs to dsDNA. We recently described a set of G-C analogs that exhibit pseudocomplementary properties and function with nA and sT to generate structure-free DNA (48). Synthetic ONs in which all four bases are pseudocomplementary could potentially extend RecA-mediated gene targeting to G/C-rich sequences.

The results described here represent a first step in evaluating pcONs as potential DNA targeting and gene repair agents. In all likelihood, eukaryotic recombinases will require the use of longer incoming pcDNAs and annealing pcRNAs that could be prepared, respectively, by asymmetric PCR or transcription (48,49). With increased size, double D-loop joints should form more readily and exhibit greater stability.
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