A Randomized Trial of Chinese Diaoshi Jifa on Treatment of Dizziness in Meniere’s Disease
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Background. Meniere’s disease is characterized by refractory dizziness and hearing disturbance. We aimed to investigate the efficacy and tolerance of Diaoshi Jifa, a Chinese hand skill for treating dizziness in Meniere’s disease. Methods. An open-labeled, randomized, controlled intervention trial was conducted. Twenty-seven patients diagnosed with Meniere’s disease were randomly allocated to control group or experimental group. Both groups were assessed by DHI (dizziness handicap inventory (DHI)) questionnaire score before and within 24 hours of receiving treatment, respectively. Results. Twenty-six participants completed the study, and no adverse event was reported due to Diaoshi Jifa treatment. The difference in the DHI scores between baseline and posttreatment reached significant difference in both groups (63.88 ± 19.94 versus 10.25 ± 9.77 and 54.36 ± 17.97 versus 49.6 ± 20.50). Significant difference in DHI scores was observed between the two groups after treatment (10.25 ± 9.77 versus 49.6 ± 20.50). Further investigation of DHI subscales in the experimental group revealed significant improvement posttreatment in the physical domain, functional domain, and emotional domain. Although higher rate of improvement in the emotional domain compared to physical or functional domains was found, the difference was not statistically significant. Conclusions. Diaoshi Jifa might be a fast, effective, and well-tolerated method for alleviating dizziness in Meniere’s disease.

1. Introduction

Meniere’s disease is one of the major causes of dizziness syndrome of a peripheral vestibular origin. It is characterized by “recurrent, episodic vertigo associated with hearing fluctuation, hearing loss, aural fullness and tinnitus” [1]. Although Meniere’s disease has been attributed to increased pressure within the endolymphatic system [2], the pathophysiology is still controversial [3]. As of now there is no effective medication that can completely treat Meniere’s disease.

Diaoshi Jifa is a traditional Chinese approach to treat dizziness arising from various causes. Initiated by Dr. Diao, Diaoshi Jifa has been practiced in China for over 50 years with numerous patients reporting significant improvement in dizziness [4]. Diaoshi Jifa has an advantage of easy application, fast action, and good patient compliance. Although well accepted by the Chinese and widely practiced in traditional medicine, Diaoshi Jifa has not been objectively tested yet with well-designed clinical trials.

The aim of this randomized clinical trial was to examine the effectiveness and tolerance of Diaoshi Jifa in alleviating dizziness symptoms associated with Meniere’s disease.

2. Methods

2.1. Study Design. This study was an open-labeled, randomized, and controlled intervention trial conducted at outpatient clinics of neurology and otorhinolaryngology in the Xuan Wu Hospital of Capital Medical University from January to November 2011. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Review Board of Xuan Wu Hospital. All participants enrolled in the study signed the informed consent.
2.2. Study Participants. Subjects complaining of general dizziness, aged between 20 and 70 years, gave consent at the outpatient clinic and were then screened for inclusion criteria (meeting the American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery Committee on Hearing and Equilibrium criteria for probable Meniere’s disease entailing a “washout period” of at least 5 days of any prior treatment before enrollment) [5] and exclusion criteria (illness of other systems that is not appropriate for manual treatment). A professional team consisting of 4 neurologists and 1 otolaryngologist performed physical examinations and prescribed pure tone audiometry and brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for all subjects for the purpose of establishing a diagnosis or differential diagnosis. We collected the baseline characteristics of the participants, including sex, age, recurrent vertigo, family history, injury history, and smoking history.

2.3. Intervention. Participants in the control group received intravenous Ginkgo Injection (Ginkgo 20 mg, Beijing Double-Crane Pharmaceutical Business Co., Ltd., China) of 20 mL once a day and oral betahistine mesylate tablet (Merisoln, Eisai Co., Ltd., China) of 6 mg 3 times a day. Participants in the experimental group received Diaoshi Jifa treatment, followed by the medicinal regimen identical to that used in the control group.

Diaoshi Jifa treatment consists of 3 major procedures: finger press of the acupuncture points, massage of the acupuncture points, and dynamic manipulation of the acupuncture points.

Step 1. A one-time finger press of the following acupoints in sequence and repetition of the aforementioned motion 3 to 5 times. (1) Press the first group of acupoints: from ST8 to GB4, GB5, GB6, and GB7. (2) Press the second group of acupoints: from GB8 to GB9, GB10, GB11, and GB12 (Figure 1(a)).

Step 2. Massage the acupoints clockwise for 3 cycles involving the following sequence and repeat the sequence 3−5 times. (1) Massage the first group of acupoints: from GB19 to GB20, BL9, and BL10. (2) Massage the second group of acupoints: from SJ17 to GB2, SI19, and SJ21. (3) Massage the third group of acupoints: from DU17 to DU16 and DU15 (Figure 1(b)).

Step 3. Dynamic manipulation of the acupoints in a two-step manner is as follows. (1) Use thumb of one hand to press the “Wan Gu” GB12 and support the head with the other 4 fingers. Use another hand to hold the chin with a tilt of 15° upward. Slightly rotate the head with both hands 2 to 3 times. One should feel the thumb move in the acupoint (Figure 1(c)).

2.4. Outcome Measures and Quality Assurance Procedure. Participants were objectively assessed for dizziness by the dizziness handicap inventory (DHI) after randomization into either the experimental or control group [6]. DHI contains 25...
Evidence-Based Complementary and Alternative Medicine

31 assessed for eligibility
27 provided consent
27 randomized
16 allocated to experimental group
16 received Diaoshi Jifa as well as medicinal treatment
11 allocated to control group
10 received medicinal treatment
1 withdrew consent
16 analyzed
0 excluded from analysis
10 analyzed
0 excluded from analysis

Figure 2: Flow diagram of subjects through the protocol.

Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants at baseline.

| Variables                        | Diaoshi Jifa group (N = 16) | Control group (N = 11) | P  |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|----|
| Female sex: number (%)          | 11 (68.8)                   | 5 (45.5)               | 0.264 |
| Age                             | 50.1 ± 15.6                 | 54.2 ± 8.7             | 0.356 |
| Recurrent vertigo: number (%)   | 11 (68.8)                   | 7 (63.6)               | 1.000 |
| Family history: number (%)      | 4 (26.7)                    | 2 (18.2)               | 0.942 |
| Injury history: number (%)      | 6 (37.5)                    | 2 (18.2)               | 0.405 |
| Smoking history: number (%)     | 4 (25.0)                    | 5 (45.5)               | 0.411 |

Plus–minus values are means ± SD. Differences in demographic and baseline variables were tested with a one-way analysis of variance and independent-sample t-tests. There were no significant between-group differences in any baseline characteristics.

( experimental group). One participant in the control group voluntarily terminated his enrollment in the study before treatment started, leaving 26 participants. The flow of participants is illustrated in Figure 2.

3. Results

Twenty-seven subjects were enrolled and randomized in the study to receive either the medicinal treatment (control group) or Diaoshi Jifa in addition to medicinal treatment items with a total score of 100 points (4 points for each item). Higher scores correlate with more severity of a handicap [7]. The scale is comprised of a mix of questions: 7 physical, 9 functional, and 9 emotional questions. DHI was assessed again within 24 hours of the first day’s treatment.

Various measures were implicated for quality assurance (external quality assessment (EQA)) involving the sampling scheme which was used to determine the sample size. The EQA was conducted by experts including statisticians from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Ethical Review Board Member from Xuan Wu Hospital, and clinicians from the Department of Neurology, Xuan Wu Hospital and Dongzhimen Traditional Chinese Hospital. Sampling for EQA was performed before, during, and at the end of the study, with each assessment meeting the quality standard. The information recorded by the interviewer was checked at the end of the study to ensure completeness. It was completed and met the quality standards.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 17.0 package. Between-group differences in demographic and baseline variables were tested using a one-way analysis of variance and independent-sample t-tests. Intervention effects after treatment were compared by means of independent-sample t-tests and paired t-tests (with 95% confidence intervals (CI)). Independent-sample t-tests were used to compare between experimental and control groups, and paired t-tests were used to examine within-group changes from baseline to posttreatment. The changes included the means of total DHI scores and three subscale scores. Subscale score changes were compared within the experimental group by means of change rate comparison: (posttreatment score – pretreatment score)/pretreatment score × 100%. A two-sided P value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Twenty-seven subjects were enrolled and randomized in the study to receive either the medicinal treatment (control group) or Diaoshi Jifa in addition to medicinal treatment
the DHI scores was less dramatic on comparing scores before and after medicinal treatment alone (54.36 ± 17.97 versus 49.6 ± 20.50, \( P = 0.029 \)). On comparison of the DHI scores posttreatment, scores differed significantly between the two groups (49.6 ± 20.50 for the control group versus 10.25 ± 9.77 for the Diaoshi Jifa group). We further evaluated stratified scale scores in both groups, as shown in Table 3. Participants in the Diaoshi Jifa group showed significant improvement after treatment in physical domain (2.25 ± 2.91 versus 19.13 ± 8.67, \( P < 0.001 \)), functional domain (6.50 ± 5.90 versus 25.63 ± 8.17, \( P < 0.001 \)), and emotional domain (1.50 ± 3.46 versus 19.13 ± 8.70, \( P < 0.001 \)). In contrast, participants in the control group showed no significant changes in DHI subscale scores in either physical domain (\( P = 0.122 \)), functional domain (\( P = 0.068 \)), or emotional domain (\( P = 0.126 \)). Between-group analysis showed no significant difference between Diaoshi Jifa group and control group at baseline in any of the 3 subscales (\( P = 0.511 \) for physical domain, \( P = 0.411 \) for functional domain, and \( P = 0.479 \) for emotional domain, resp.). In contrast, posttreatment comparison of the subscale scores between the experimental and control group showed a significant difference in physical domain (\( P = 0.001 \)), functional domain (\( P < 0.001 \)), and emotional domain (\( P = 0.001 \)), respectively.

An additional analysis was conducted to determine whether participants within the Diaoshi Jifa group had similar improvement in the 3 subscales of DHI after treatment. As shown in Figure 3, the absolute value of DHI score change rate was 88.3% for physical domain, 74.7% for functional domain, and 92.2% for emotional domain. Although the emotional domain was most affected by the treatment in the Diaoshi Jifa group when compared to the physical and functional domains, the difference was nevertheless statistically insignificant.

### 4. Discussion

This randomized, controlled trial shows that Diaoshi Jifa has a beneficial effect on alleviating dizziness in patients with Meniere's disease. It was seen that participants in control and experimental groups at baseline had similar DHI scores. After one day of treatment, patients in the experimental group showed significant improvement in DHI scoring, whilst the DHI scores was less dramatic on comparing scores before and after medicinal treatment alone (54.36 ± 17.97 versus 49.6 ± 20.50, \( P = 0.029 \)). On comparison of the DHI scores posttreatment, scores differed significantly between the two groups (49.6 ± 20.50 for the control group versus 10.25 ± 9.77 for the Diaoshi Jifa group). We further evaluated stratified scale scores in both groups, as shown in Table 3. Participants in the Diaoshi Jifa group showed significant improvement after treatment in physical domain (2.25 ± 2.91 versus 19.13 ± 8.67, \( P < 0.001 \)), functional domain (6.50 ± 5.90 versus 25.63 ± 8.17, \( P < 0.001 \)), and emotional domain (1.50 ± 3.46 versus 19.13 ± 8.70, \( P < 0.001 \)). In contrast, participants in the control group showed no significant changes in DHI subscale scores in either physical domain (\( P = 0.122 \)), functional domain (\( P = 0.068 \)), or emotional domain (\( P = 0.126 \)). Between-group analysis showed no significant difference between Diaoshi Jifa group and control group at baseline in any of the 3 subscales (\( P = 0.511 \) for physical domain, \( P = 0.411 \) for functional domain, and \( P = 0.479 \) for emotional domain, resp.). In contrast, posttreatment comparison of the subscale scores between the experimental and control group showed a significant difference in physical domain (\( P = 0.001 \)), functional domain (\( P < 0.001 \)), and emotional domain (\( P = 0.001 \)), respectively.

An additional analysis was conducted to determine whether participants within the Diaoshi Jifa group had similar improvement in the 3 subscales of DHI after treatment. As shown in Figure 3, the absolute value of DHI score change rate was 88.3% for physical domain, 74.7% for functional domain, and 92.2% for emotional domain. Although the emotional domain was most affected by the treatment in the Diaoshi Jifa group when compared to the physical and functional domains, the difference was nevertheless statistically insignificant.

### 4. Discussion

This randomized, controlled trial shows that Diaoshi Jifa has a beneficial effect on alleviating dizziness in patients with Meniere's disease. It was seen that participants in control and experimental groups at baseline had similar DHI scores. After one day of treatment, patients in the experimental group showed significant improvement in DHI scoring, whilst

| DHI score      | Diaoshi Jifa group (N = 16) | Control group (N = 10) | Between-group difference (95% CI) | \( P \) |
|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| Baseline       | 63.88 ± 19.94              | 54.36 ± 17.97          | −9.51 (−24.98, 5.96)              | 0.217|
| After treatment| 10.25 ± 9.77               | 49.6 ± 20.50           | 39.35 (24.20, 54.50)              | <0.001*|
| Within-group difference (95% CI) | −53.63 (−42.87, −64.38) | −6.80 (−0.87, −12.73) |
| \( P \)        | <0.001**                   | 0.029**                |                                   |      |

Plus–minus values are means ± SD. The paired t-test was used for within-group comparison, while independent t-test was used for between-group difference. *Significantly different from the control group \( (P < 0.01) \). **Significantly different from the baseline \( (P < 0.05) \).

### Table 2: Changes in dizziness handicap inventory (DHI) score in the participants.

| DHI subscale scores | Diaoshi Jifa group (N = 16) | Control group (N = 10) | Between-group difference (95% CI) | \( P \) |
|---------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------|
| Physical subscale (maximum 28 points) |                         |                        |                                    |      |
| Baseline            | 19.13 ± 8.67               | 16.80 ± 8.60           | −2.33 (−4.87, 9.52)              | 0.511|
| After treatment     | 2.25 ± 2.91                | 14.20 ± 8.30           | 11.95 (5.91, 17.99)              | 0.001*|
| Within-group difference (95% CI) | −16.88 (−12.35, −21.40) | −2.6 (−0.84, 6.04)    |
| \( P \)            | <0.001**                   | 0.122                  |                                   |      |
| Functional subscale (maximum 36 points) |                        |                        |                                    |      |
| Baseline            | 25.63 ± 8.17               | 23.00 ± 7.07           | −2.63 (−3.84, 9.10)              | 0.411|
| After treatment     | 6.50 ± 5.90                | 21.20 ± 7.67           | 14.7 (9.19, 20.21)               | <0.001*|
| Within-group difference (95% CI) | −19.13 (−14.41, −23.84) | −1.8 (−0.16, 3.76)    |
| \( P \)            | <0.001**                   | 0.068                  |                                   |      |
| Emotional subscale (maximum 36 points) |                      |                        |                                    |      |
| Baseline            | 19.13 ± 8.70               | 16.60 ± 8.75           | −2.53 (−4.73, 9.78)              | 0.479|
| After treatment     | 1.50 ± 3.46                | 14.20 ± 9.97           | 12.7 (6.15, 19.25)               | 0.001*|
| Within-group difference (95% CI) | −17.63 (−13.36, −21.89) | −2.4 (−0.82, 5.62)    |
| \( P \)            | <0.001**                   | 0.126                  |                                   |      |
a marginal improvement was seen in the control group. There was a significant difference in DHI scores between the experimental group and the control group within 24 hours after the first day's treatment. All the 16 participants in the experimental group completed the entire study and no adverse events were reported, validating the safety and good compliance of Diaoshi Jifa treatment for dizziness in patients with Meniere's disease.

Meniere's disease is a complex syndrome that originates from the inner ear; however, its etiology and pathophysiology remain controversial. Endolymphatic hydrops, endocrine dysfunction, congenital abnormalities, and psychosomatic factors have all been proposed to cause Meniere's disease, but an effective treatment to this condition still eludes [8]. From a long-term perspective Meniere's disease holds devastating consequences when it comes to hearing ability [9]. Each episode is rather self-limited and medicinal treatment such as betahistine and Ginkgo biloba has shown to have ambivalent results in alleviating dizziness [10]. Therefore we decided to evaluate the effectiveness of first-time application of Diaoshi Jifa over a short period (24 hours) of time.

Diaoshi Jifa stems from traditional Chinese approach to treat dizziness in patients with chronic disorders of multiple etiologies. Innovated by Dr. Diao, the method has been in
Our data validated the cervical and scapular areas based on muscle relaxation and ability to reconstitute a neurovascular response in the cerebellum, explaining the effectiveness of Diaoshi Jifa stems from its practice in China for over 50 years. The principle theory of Diaoshi Jifa a viable alternative to conventional medical treatment for Meniere’s disease. Multicentered trial assessing its effectiveness on a larger scale is warranted.
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