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Abstract: It is common among many vein–type tungsten deposits in southern China that the thickness of ore veins increases from <1 cm to >1 m with increasing depth. A five–floor zonation model for the vertical trend of vein morphology was proposed in the 1960s and has been widely applied for predicting ore bodies at deeper levels, but the causative mechanisms for such a zonation remain poorly understood. The Piaotang tungsten–tin deposit, one of the birthplaces of the five–floor zonation model, is chosen as a case study for deciphering the mechanisms forming its morphological zonation of quartz veins. The vertical trend of vein morphology and its link to the W–Sn mineralization in Piaotang was quantified by statistical distributions (Weibull distribution and power law distribution) of vein thickness and ore grade data (WO₃ and Sn) from the levels of 676 m to 328 m. Then, the micro–scale growth history of quartz veins was reconstructed by scanning electron microscope–cathodoluminescence (SEM–CL) imaging and in situ trace element analysis. The Weibull modulus a of vein thickness increases with increasing depth, and the fractal dimensions of both vein thickness and ore grade data (WO₃ and Sn) decrease with increasing depth. Their vertical changes indicate that the fractures that bear the thick veins were well connected, facilitating fluid focusing and mineralization in mechanically stronger host rocks. Three generations (Q1–Q3) of quartz were identified from CL images, and the CL intensity of quartz is possibly controlled by the concentrations of Al and temperature. From the relative abundance of the Q1–Q3 quartz at different levels, the vertical trend of vein morphology in Piaotang was initially produced during the hydrothermal event represented by Q1 and altered by later hydrothermal events represented by Q2 and Q3. Statistical distributions of vein thickness combined with SEM–CL imaging of quartz could be combined to evaluate the mineralization potential at deeper levels.
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1. Introduction

The Nanling Range is a world–class tungsten province located in southern China [1]. Most tungsten deposits in this region have a close genetic relationship to the granitic magmatism during the Late Mesozoic [2,3]. Vertical zonation in vein morphology is common among many tungsten deposits in the Nanling Range [4]. Based on the vein morphology, field geologists have identified five zones since the 1960s and proposed a five–floor zonation model for deep exploration [5,6].

The top vein zone of the five–floor zonation is referred to as the thread vein zone (Figure 1), where the veins are generally <1 cm wide [5]. The thin veins are generally
barren but sometimes indicate the occurrence of a blind economic tungsten deposit at depth. Those thin veins become thicker and richer in tungsten downwards, forming the veinlet zone then the mixing zone of veinlet-thin veins. The veins in the two zones are normally 1–10 cm thick. The veins converge downwards into a few wolframite-quartz veins in the thick vein zone. The veins in this zone generally have a thickness of over 30 cm. The bottom vein zone is called the thin out zone where the veins become thinner and barren [5,7]. Such a zonation has been identified among many tungsten–tin deposits in the Nanling Range, including but not limited to the Yaogangxian deposit [8], the Meiziwo deposit [9], and the recently discovered Dongping tungsten deposit [10]. However, the reasons for such a zonation still remain enigmatic.

Figure 1. The vertical zoning of vein-type tungsten deposits in southern China (modified from [4]).

The vein morphology of the Dajishan tungsten deposit in China was studied by [11] using fractal techniques. One limitation of that study is that vein morphology data only cover a vertical range of 150 m, whereas the whole vein system generally has a vertical dimension of approximately 1000 m (see Figure 1). This difference on the vertical range of quartz veins is caused by at least two reasons. The first reason is that the tunnels being mined for most tungsten deposits in China cover a maximum vertical range of approximately 200–300 m. The second reason is that the shallower parts of the vein systems (e.g., the thread vein zone) are normally barren, and there is no access to those veins. An additional limitation is that the relationship between vein thickness and ore grade was not examined. The present study shows that vein thickness is linked to WO$_3$ and Sn grades and their correlation may aid in deep exploration. The last major limitation is that the veins are probably the final product of multiple hydrothermal events because tungsten–tin deposits in southern China commonly experienced a few hydrothermal stages [12]. Hence, tracing the growth history of quartz veins at different levels is necessary to better constrain how the five-floor morphological zonation formed.

Cathodoluminescence (CL) is the emission of light caused by the interaction of an electron beam and a solid [13]. CL of minerals results from either lattice defects (e.g., vacancies and broken bonds) or the structural incorporation of certain trace elements [14]. The main advantage of CL imaging is that it can identify internal textures of minerals that are not easily distinguished by optical microscopy [15,16]. Scanning electron microscope-cathodoluminescence (SEM–CL) imaging of quartz has been widely used to reconstruct the growth histories of quartz from hydrothermal [17–23], sedimentary [24,25], and magmatic
environments [26–28]. Therefore, SEM–CL imaging is a promising way to decipher the micro-scale textures and growth history of quartz veins in the five-floor zonation.

The Piaotang tungsten–tin deposit is one of the birthplaces of the five-floor zonation model. In this study, a brief introduction to the geological setting of the Piaotang deposit was first given. Then, vein thickness data in a vertical range of 348 m in Piaotang and the ore grade data were analyzed using fractal techniques and the Weibull distribution. Next, SEM–CL imaging and in situ trace element analysis of quartz were used to reveal the growth history and geochemical characteristics of quartz veins at different levels. Thus, the meso-scale vein morphology data and micro-scale quartz textures were combined to constrain how the morphological zonation in Piaotang was produced.

2. Geological Setting of the Piaotang Deposit

The South China tectonic block (SCB) consists of the Cathaysian Block and the southeastern margin of the Yangtze Block [3]. The SCB experienced a tectonic regime change from a Tethyan domain to a Pacific domain during the Mesozoic [2]. NE-striking extensional lithospheric belts and deep faults controlled large-scale magmatic activities and tungsten mineralization in the Nanling Range during the Late Mesozoic [3]. The Piaotang tungsten–tin deposit is a large-scale vein-type tungsten polymetallic deposit in this region and is located in the same W–Sn mineralization belt with the Xihuashan tungsten deposit (Figure 2a). The Piaotang deposit has a WO$_3$ reserve of 69,858 tonnes with an average grade of 0.20% and a tin reserve of 45,520 tonnes with an average grade of 0.13% [29].

![Figure 2. (a) A regional geological map of the Xihuashan–Piaotang mineralization belt (revised from [30]); (b) a planar view of the Piaotang deposit (revised from [31]). 1, Quaternary; 2, Middle Devonian; 3, Middle–Upper Cambrian; 4, axes of syncline; 5, fault; 6, vein-type tungsten deposits; 7, granite.](image)

The Piaotang deposit is hosted by the Middle–Upper Cambrian metamorphosed sandstones, slates, and a small amount of siliceous slates (Figure 2). The magmatic rocks in Piaotang include quartz diorite and biotite granite. The quartz diorite is exposed at the northeast of the deposit and it was dated at 439 ± 2 Ma by single zircon U–Pb method [32]. Metamorphism is not developed at the contact zones between the diorite and its host rocks. The medium–fine grained biotite granite occurs below the level of 320 m (see the contour lines in Figure 2b). This granite is enriched with respect to Si, K, Al, and depleted with respect to Ti, Mg, Fe, Ca, Sr, Ba [32], and it was dated at 161.8 ± 1 Ma [29] and 158 ± 3 Ma [32] by single zircon U–Pb method. Hornfels is well developed at the contact zones between the biotite granite and its wallrock and greisenization occurs above the apex of the biotite granite.

Most veins are hosted by metamorphosed sandstones and a few thick veins (>1 m thick) and at deeper levels are hosted by the biotite granite (see the granite levels in Figure 2b). Alteration is characterized by a mineral assemblage of quartz–muscovite–
biotite–tourmaline in sandstone, and quartz–muscovite±fluorite (greisens) in granite [33]. Ore minerals are wolframite, cassiterite, and some amount of molybdenite, bismuthinite, chalcocite, galena, and sphalerite, and gangue minerals include quartz, feldspar, beryl, fluorite, and muscovite [34].

The mineralization has muscovite $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar ages of 152 ± 1.9 Ma [29] and 158.9 ± 1.4 Ma [35]. These two ages agree with two wolframite U–Pb ages (152.1 ± 0.9 Ma and 159.5 ± 1.3 Ma) recently reported by [36]. The latter age is also consistent with 158.6 ± 2.9 Ma and 159.5 ± 1.5 Ma dated by cassiterite $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar and cassiterite U–Pb methods, respectively [34,37]. Hydrogen, oxygen, and carbon isotope data indicate that the ore fluids had a magmatic origin at the early mineralization stage, and then were mixed with meteoric water at late stages [33,38,39]. He–Ar isotopic analysis suggests that the ore fluids were mainly derived from crustal fluids [40]. The mineralizing fluids belong to H$_2$O–NaCl systems with salinities generally less than 10 wt% NaCl equiv. The homogenization temperatures of the fluid inclusions in wolframite and cassiterite range from 300 to 400 °C, higher than those in quartz (150–300 °C) [41–43].

The quartz veins are divided into several vein sets by their locations (see the Roman characters in Figures 2b and 3a), of which the locally called III vein set is largest in scale and holds the majority of the reserves. It can be seen from the vertical profile shown in Figure 3 that the veins are generally thin at shallower levels and converge into a few thick veins at deeper levels. The veins are east–west striking and extend approximately 2000 m along their striking. Our measurements at the levels from 328 m to 676 m suggest that the north-dipping veins are more abundant than those dipping south (Figure 4a). As the vein–bearing structures, joints are well developed in the Piaotang deposit. Similar to the quartz veins, the optimally–oriented joints from the 616 m to 268 m levels are also north-dipping (Figure 4b).

Figure 3. (a) A cross section of the Piaotang deposit along the 7th exploration line (revised from [31]). The dotted lines (e.g., 448 m) refer to the elevations of tunnels, and the Roman characters like III represent the vein sets. (b,c) are typical veins of the III vein set at the levels of 448 m and 268 m, respectively.
3. Data and Analytical Methods

The five-floor zonation in vein-type tungsten deposits is essentially a morphological zonation of quartz veins. A total of 1515 vein thickness data (285 data at the 676 m level, 906 data at the 448 m level, and 324 data at the 328 m level) were measured from the III vein set between the 3rd and 19th exploration lines (Figure 2). These veins approximately cover the vertical range from the veinlet zone to the thick vein zone shown in Figure 1. The vein thickness data at higher levels are absent because of unsafe access conditions. The III vein set at the 268 m level (the lowest level at present) only has a few thick veins, so the vein thickness data at the 268 m level were not considered. The true vein thickness was obtained from the value measured along the scan line multiplied by the sine of the vein’s dip angle. The minimum vein thickness recorded at each level was 1 cm. These vein thickness data, together with the corresponding WO$_3$ and Sn content data (309 data at the 676 m level, 638 data at the 448 m level, and 177 data at the 328 m level) in [44] were fitted by power law and Weibull distributions to analyze the vertical trend of vein morphology and W–Sn mineralization. The WO$_3$ contents were determined using the ammonium tungstate igniting gravimetric method and the thiocyanate colorimetry method (WO$_3$ < 2%) [44]. The Sn contents were analyzed by the aluminum sheet iodometric method and aspec-trophotometric method (Sn < 0.05%) [44]. Then, the micro-scale growth history of quartz veins at different levels was reconstructed by using SEM–CL imaging. Finally, in situ trace element concentrations of quartz were measured using LA–ICP–MS to find the connections between trace elements and CL intensity.

3.1. Power Law and Weibull Distributions of Vein Thickness and Ore Grade

Vein thickness distribution is a powerful tool to characterize the evolution of vein systems and aid in exploration for hydrothermal ore deposits [45–51] and oil reservoirs [52]. One of the most broadly discussed distributions is the power law distribution, i.e., fractals [53–55]. The concept of fractal was first proposed by Mandelbrot [56] who found that the coast of Britain are highly involved curves and statistically self-similar, i.e., the parts similar to the whole. Fractals were then found to be widespread in natural phenomenon [57–59]. For hydrothermal vein systems, [46] identified that stratabound vein systems conform to non-power law thickness distributions, whereas the vein thickness of non-stratabound vein systems follows a power law distribution. The latter is supported by later studies in hydrothermal vein systems elsewhere [48,60–63]. Therefore, the vein thickness data of the Piaotang deposit were fitted to a power law distribution as follows [64]:

$$N(t) \propto t^{-D_t}, \quad (1)$$

where $t$ represents the vein thickness, $N(t)$ is the number of veins of size $t$ or larger, and $D_t$ is the fractal dimension that measures the degree of irregularity based on self-similarity. The slope of the log–log plot of $N(t)$ against $t$ is the opposite number of $D_t$. Low values of $D_t$ mean that the vein network has high connectivity [46,49].
All materials (e.g., rocks) have flaws such as pores and microcracks, and the largest flaw exerts a critical influence on fracturing [65]. The flaw size distribution of rocks often satisfies a Weibull distribution and Weibull statistics is widely used for characterizing the fracturing process of rocks [66–68]. The Weibull distribution is also used to relate the thickness of veins or dykes to the strength of their host rocks [60,69]. For example, [69] proposed from a large amount of dyke thickness data that dyke thickness was mainly controlled by host–rock strength. The two-parameter cumulative distribution of the Weibull distribution follows the following equation [69]:

\[ C(x) = e^{-\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^\beta}, \]  

where \( C(x) \) is the cumulative distribution of the object \( x \) (e.g., vein thickness), \( \alpha \) is the Weibull modulus, and \( \beta \) is the scale parameter. For the Piaotang deposit, \( C(t) \) represents the cumulative distribution of vein thickness, i.e., the cumulative probability of vein thickness over or less than \( t \). Low values of \( \alpha \) mean that host rocks have a wide range of flaw size distribution and are weaker than those with higher values of \( \alpha \); therefore, the Weibull modulus \( \alpha \) has been used as a proxy for rock strength [69]. Moreover, the right-hand tail of flaw size can be expressed by a power law function [70]; therefore, the Weibull distribution is mechanically linked with the power law distribution. In light of the above description, the Weibull distribution parameters of the Piaotang quartz veins were also calculated in this study. The Appendix A shows the formula derivation of Equation (2) for calculating the parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \).

Vein thickness is sometimes found to follow a log–normal distribution, but such a case may be caused by incomplete sampling [54]. Therefore, the log–normal distribution was not considered here.

The five–floor morphological zonation of quartz veins was proposed for deep exploration, so the links between vein thickness and ore grade should be understood. A report by [71] identified from drill data of a gold deposit in Spain that areas of higher gold grades corresponded to regions with lower fractal dimensions of vein thickness. Such a correlation between ore grade and vein thickness is also reported by later studies [48,49,60,72,73]. In [60], researchers recently found that auriferous quartz veins had lower fractal dimensions and lower Weibull modulus than barren ones. Back to the five–floor zonation, the thick vein and mixing zones are generally the most economically important zones, while other zones such as the thread vein zone are commonly barren [4]. The present research questions are whether and how vein thickness is vertically linked with ore grades in quartz veins that show a five–floor zonation. To answer these questions, the ore grade data and their corresponding vein thickness data should be analyzed together.

Metal grade distribution in ore deposits is often self–similar and also follows a power law distribution [74–80]. Although trace element concentrations in crustal rocks may also follow a log–normal distribution [81], the fractal dimensions of ore grade data in the Piaotang deposit were fitted to better compare the vertical trend of vein thickness and ore grade. To discriminate the fractal dimension of vein thickness \( D_t \), the fractal dimensions of \( \text{WO}_3 \) and Sn contents are noted by \( D_{\text{WO}_3} \) and \( D_{\text{Sn}} \), respectively. Low values of \( D_{\text{WO}_3} \) and \( D_{\text{Sn}} \) mean that vein systems are intensively mineralized [71,73].

3.2. SEM–CL Imaging and In Situ Trace Element Analysis of Quartz

Scanning electron microscope–cathodoluminescence (SEM–CL) imaging was performed in order to distinguish the growth history of quartz veins at different levels. Thin sections were cut along the direction normal to the wallrock and doubly polished to be approximately 100–200 \( \mu \)m thick. Thin sections were coated with a thin film of gold before imaging. SEM–CL images of quartz were acquired at Beijing SHRIMP Center on a Zeiss Merlin scanning electron microscope (SEM) equipped with a Gatan Mono CL detector under the same analytical conditions (20 kV acceleration voltage, 10–12 nA/mm beam current density and 60 s accumulation).
One CL–image captures mineral in an area of approximately 1 mm × 0.7 mm. The thin veins (1–3 cm thick) at the 448 m level were shot from one vein boundary to the other, while only a small part of thick veins (~1 m thick) at the levels of 328 m and 268 m was photographed.

After different generations of quartz were identified from their SEM–CL images, in situ trace element concentrations of each generation of quartz were analyzed at the National Research Center for Geoanalysis using a ThermoFinnigan Element II SF-ICP-MS. The positions of later generations of quartz are difficult to be located because the veins mainly consist of quartz and lack other minerals (e.g., sulfides) that can be used as markers. To avoid mixing the two generations of quartz, homogeneous CL–bright and CL–dark areas were chosen for measurements. A New Wave UP 213 Laser ablation system was used at an energy density of 12 J/cm², a 10 Hz repetition rate, and a beam size of 40 µm. Each analysis lasted for 80 s, including a 20 s background acquisition followed by a 40 s data acquisition during ablation, and a 20 s washout time. The elements measured include Li (4.0 ppm), B (4.7 ppm), Na₂O (21.0 ppm), MgO (5.8 ppm), Al₂O₃ (24.2 ppm), SiO₂ (4506.9 ppm), P₂O₅ (55.2 ppm), K₂O (24.2 ppm), Sc (0.052 ppm), TiO₂ (0.79 ppm), Cr (1.7 ppm), MnO (0.22 ppm), FeO (1.0 ppm), Ge (0.55 ppm), and As (0.19 ppm), and the value in parentheses is the detection limit of the corresponding element. Every 10–sample analyses were followed by analyzing three glass reference materials NIST612, NIST610, and KL2-G. Element concentrations were calculated using matrix normalization with Si as the internal standard [82]. The precision and accuracy of the analyses are better than 10% for all elements based on the replicate analyses of the reference materials.

4. Results

4.1. Vein Morphology in Piaotang

The vein thickness data at the three levels were fitted by a power law distribution. The fitting degrees at the levels of 328 m and 268 m are over 0.9, while the counterpart at the 676 m level is 0.85 (Table 1 and Figure 5). The fitted fractal dimensions decrease from the levels of 676 m to 328 m (Table 1). These vein thickness data were also fitted by a Weibull distribution. The fitting degrees are as good as those of the power law distribution (Figure 6). From Table 1, the Weibull modulus \( \alpha = 0.034 \) at the 676 m level is slightly lower than that \( \alpha = 0.035 \) at the 448 m level and much lower than that \( \alpha = 0.063 \) at the 328 m level.

The fitting degrees of the WO₃ grade data are higher than those of the vein thickness data (Figure 7). The veins at the 676 m level have the highest fractal dimension \( D_{\text{WO}_3} = 1.68 \), followed by \( D_{\text{WO}_3} = 1.08 \) at the 448 m level and \( D_{\text{WO}_3} = 0.91 \) at the 328 m level. Compared with the WO₃ grade data, the Sn grade data were fitted with slightly lower fitting degrees. The fractal dimension \( D_{\text{Sn}} \) decreases from 1.62 at the 676 m level, 1.31 at the 448 m level, to 1.02 at the 328 m level.

**Table 1.** Statistical data of vein thickness and ore grade at different levels.

| Level (m) | Average Vein Thickness (cm) | \( D_t \) | \( \alpha \) | \( \beta \) | Average WO₃ Grade (%) | \( D_{\text{WO}_3} \) | Average Sn Grade (%) | \( D_{\text{Sn}} \) |
|-----------|----------------------------|----------|---------|---------|----------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------|
| 676       | 3.09                       | 2.30     | 0.034   | 1.29    | 0.05                 | 1.68         | 0.04                | 1.62    |
| 448       | 5.32                       | 1.87     | 0.035   | 0.69    | 0.09                 | 1.08         | 0.05                | 1.31    |
| 328       | 9.31                       | 1.44     | 0.063   | 0.65    | 0.23                 | 0.91         | 0.11                | 1.02    |

\( D_t \) is the fractal dimension of the vein thickness; \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are the fitted Weibull distribution parameters of the vein thickness; and \( D_{\text{WO}_3} \) and \( D_{\text{Sn}} \) are the fractal dimensions of the WO₃ and Sn grade data, respectively.
Figure 5. Log-log plots of the cumulative number $N(t)$ of veins against vein thickness at different levels. $N(t)$ is the number of veins whose vein thickness is larger than $t$, $D$ is the fractal dimension (the slope of the fitted line multiplied by $-1$), and $R^2$ is the corresponding fitting degree. The slope of the fitted line is $-D$. The vein thickness and $N(t)$ have been transformed by natural logarithm.

Figure 6. The fitted Weibull distribution of vein thickness at different levels. The $X$-axis is the logarithmically transformed vein thickness. For $Y$-axis, the Appendix A shows the linear relationship between $\ln(-\ln C(t))$ and $\ln t$. $\beta$ is the slope of the fitted line.
Figure 7. Log–log plots of the cumulative number of veins against WO$_3$ (a) and Sn (b) grade data at different levels. $N$(WO$_3$) and $N$(Sn) are the numbers of veins whose WO$_3$ (a) and Sn (b) grades higher than given values. D is the fractal dimension and $R^2$ is the corresponding fitting degree. The slope of the fitted line is $-D$.

4.2. SEM–CL Imaging

370 SEM–CL panchromatic (i.e., over the entire visible spectral range) images of quartz were photographed from the levels of 448 m to 268 m (Table 2). The CL images of the quartz adjacent to ore minerals (e.g., wolframite and cassiterite) at different levels are homogeneous bright except many dark microcracks (Figure 8). The CL–bright quartz (Q1) composes a large part of the thick veins at the 268 m level (Figure 9) and was crosscut by a later generation of CL–dark quartz (Q2 in Figure 10). Figure 9b also shows clear growth zones in the thick veins.

Three generations of quartz were identified in the quartz veins at the 328 m level (Figure 11). The CL–bright generation of quartz (Q1) was identified to be ubiquitous in the veins at the 328 m level. This generation of quartz was crosscut by a late CL–dark generation of quartz (Q2) and another late CL–bright generation of quartz (Q3). The cross relationship between Q2 and Q3 was not found from the samples at the 328 m level. Nevertheless, some of Q3 was crystallized along microcracks (Figure 11b), so the Q3 quartz may postdate the Q2 quartz.

Two generations of quartz were also identified from the panchromatic CL images at the 448 m level (Figure 12). The CL–bright generation (Q1) of quartz shows growth zones with oscillating CL intensity and was crosscut by a late mesh-like CL–dark one (Q2). These two generations of quartz are ubiquitous in all the photographed veins.

Table 2. The vein samples used for SEM–CL mapping.

| Level (m) | Sample ID | Sampling Locations                        | Images |
|----------|-----------|-------------------------------------------|--------|
| 448      | PT17-7    | III vein set, 15th exploration line        | 55     |
|          | PT17-12   | III vein set, 30 m west of 15th exploration line | 110    |
|          | PT17-17   | III vein set, 19th exploration line        | 45     |
|          | PT17-23   | III vein set, 19th exploration line        | 18     |
|          | PT17-25   | III vein set, 19th exploration line        | 16     |
|          | PT17-26   | III vein set, 19th exploration line        | 21     |
| 328      | PT17-32   | III vein set, 15th exploration line        | 18     |
|          | PT17-33   | III vein set, 13th exploration line        | 15     |
| 268      | PT17-3    | III vein set, 7 m west of 15th exploration line | 33     |
|          | PT17-4    | III vein set, 15 m west of 15th exploration line | 12     |
|          | PT17-5    | III vein set, 1 m west of 15th exploration line | 9      |
|          | PT17-6    | III vein set, 7 m east of 7th exploration line | 18     |

The 13th exploration line lies between the 11th and 15th exploration lines (see Figure 2b).
Figure 8. Plane-polarized and SEM–CL panchromatic images of the quartz adjacent to wolframite and cassiterite at different levels. (a,b) are the same quartz under plane-polarized and SEM–CL, respectively. (c,d) show SEM–CL images of the quartz adjacent to wolframite and cassiterite, respectively. The SEM–CL images of quartz are bright except many dark microcracks. Wf, wolframite; Cst, cassiterite; and Qtz, quartz.

Figure 9. Composite SEM–CL panchromatic images of the samples PT17-3 (a) and PT17-6 (b). The early CL–bright quartz (Q1) accounts for a much larger proportion of the images than another generation of CL–dark quartz (Q2).
Figure 10. Composite SEM–CL panchromatic images of the sample PT17-5 at the 268 m level. The CL–bright quartz is crosscut by CL–dark quartz.

Figure 11. Composite SEM–CL panchromatic images of the samples PT17-32 (a) and PT17-33 (b) at the 328 m level. (a) The CL–bright generation of quartz (Q1) is crosscut by a late CL–dark generation of quartz; (b) The first generation of quartz (Q1) is crosscut by another late CL–bright generation of quartz (Q3), part of which was crystallized along micro fractures.

4.3. In Situ Trace Element of Quartz

Two samples PT17-3 and PT17-6 (both at the 268 m level) were selected to measure trace element concentrations of the first generation of CL–bright quartz (Q1), and another two samples, PT17-23 and PT17-26 (both at the 448 m level), were selected for the second generation of CL–dark quartz (Q2) (see Table 3).

Quartz is considered to contain only small amounts of other elements (e.g., Al\(^{3+}\), Ga\(^{3+}\), P\(^{5+}\), Ti\(^{4+}\), Li\(^{+}\), Na\(^{+}\), and K\(^{+}\)) because of a small ion radius of Si\(^{4+}\) and its high valence [83]. For the Piaotang deposit, Al is the only trace element whose concentrations reach up to hundreds of ppm (See Figure 13 and Table 3). Other abundant trace elements (>1 ppm) include P, Na, Fe, Li, B, Ni, Ti, Ge, Cr, Sc, K, Mg, Ge, and As. The Q1 quartz has higher concentrations of Li, Na, Al, P, and Ni and lower B and K than the Q2 quartz. Concentrations of Ti in the two generations of quartz are within a few ppm and have insignificant difference.
Figure 12. Composite SEM–CL panchromatic images of the samples PT17-12 (a) and PT17-26 (b) at the 448 m level. Two generations of quartz were identified from the SEM–CL images. The early CL–bright generation of quartz (Q1) is crosscut by the second CL–dark generation of quartz (Q2). The euhedral CL–bright quartz in Figure 12(b2) is interpreted to belong to the first generation of quartz Q1 rather than another generation of quartz for two reasons. The first reason is that the growth zones of this euhedral quartz look similar to other CL images of quartz at the same level. The second reason is that this euhedral quartz is almost covered by Q2, indicating that this euhedral quartz may precede Q2.

Figure 13. Concentrations of trace elements in the two generations of quartz Q1 and Q2.


Table 3. In situ trace element concentrations of quartz in the Piaotang deposit.

| Sample ppm | PT17-23 | PT17-26 |
|------------|---------|---------|
| Li         | 1.393   | 10.24   |
| B          | -       | 2.37    |
| Na₂O       | 39.03   | 12.83   |
| MgO        | 2.29    | 1.47    |
| Al₂O₃      | 211.30  | 96.34   |
| P₂O₅       | 41.75   | 29.66   |
| K₂O        | 7.31    | -       |
| Sc         | 1.41    | 1.67    |
| TiO₂       | 3.93    | 8.28    |
| Cr         | 24.02   | 10.14   |
| MnO        | 1.09    | 0.38    |
| FeO(t)     | 5.40    | -       |
| Ni         | 3.49    | 2.44    |
| Ge         | 4.16    | 3.56    |
| As         | 0.67    | 1.11    |


Table 4. In situ trace element concentrations of quartz in the Piaotang deposit.

| Sample ppm | PT17-3 | PT17-6 |
|------------|--------|--------|
| Li         | 45.38  | 14.04  |
| B          | 0.98   | 2.31   |
| Na₂O       | -      | 112.30 |
| MgO        | 12.78  | 26.75  |
| Al₂O₃      | 593.90 | 161.00 |
| P₂O₅       | 79.35  | 22.42  |
| K₂O        | 1.08   | 2.65   |
| Sc         | 2.63   | 2.80   |
| TiO₂       | 7.04   | -      |
| Cr         | 13.86  | 0.58   |
| MnO        | -      | 0.54   |
| FeO(t)     | -      | 76.82  |
| Ni         | 57.92  | 4.31   |
| Ge         | 4.47   | 4.37   |
| As         | -      | 0.93   |

Values below detection limits are indicated by ‘-’.

5. Discussion

5.1. Meso–Scale Morphological Zonation of Quartz Veins in Piaotang

Vein thickness data of the III vein set in Piaotang follow a power law distribution. This is similar to those of tungsten-tin deposits elsewhere and other types of hydrothermal ore deposits [45,48,60,84]. The fractal dimension Dᵣ of vein thickness in Piaotang decreases with increasing depth. This vertical trend of Dᵣ is similar with the Dajishan vein-type tungsten deposit in China, whose Dᵣ in the thick vein zone decreases from 0.92 at the 467 m level to 0.85 at the 317 m level [11]. The vein thickness data also follow a Weibull distribution and the Weibull modulus α decreases with increasing depth. The WO₃ and Sn content data in Piaotang conform to a power law distribution, and their fractal dimensions (D_WO₃ and D_Sn) also decrease with increasing depth. It should be noted that the fitting degrees of the vein thickness and Sn content data at the 676 m level are systematically lower than those at other levels (Figures 5, 6 and 7b). A possible reason for their differences is that a major part of quartz veins at the 676 m level have been denudated by later geological processes (see Figure 2), leaving the remaining quartz veins deviated from their original statistical distribution.

The vertical trends of fractal dimensions Dᵣ, D_WO₃, and D_Sn imply that the host rocks of these quartz veins at deeper levels have higher connectivity and are more mineralized. The higher Weibull modulus α of the quartz veins at the 328 m level suggests that the host rock (i.e., the biotite granite) is mechanically stronger than that at the 448 m and 676 m levels. This is in accord with the field observation that the metamorphosed sandstones above the 328 m level are intensively fractured, while the granite exposed at the 328 m and 268 m levels is intact except the parts where a few thick veins are present. Nevertheless, the vertical trend of fractal dimensions Dᵣ and Weibull modulus α in Piaotang are in
contrast with [60] who reported that the auriferous quartz veins in Gadag in southern India had lower fractal dimensions of vein thickness and lower Weibull modulus than the non-mineralized ones. This difference between this study and [60] may be caused by two reasons. Firstly, both mineralized and non-mineralized veins investigated by [60] come from outcrops and can be considered to deform at the same initial stress field, while the quartz veins of this study are at different vertical levels and the initial stress field at each level should be different. The second reason relates to the initial fracture systems at different levels. The initial fracture systems in Piaotang may have been different at different levels, and their formation may be related to magma intrusion [85] or thermal contraction [86]. The next question required to be answered is why the mechanically stronger host rock at the 328 m level has higher connectivity (low fractal dimension of vein thickness $D_t$) and is intensively mineralized (low fractal dimension of ore grade $D_{wo}$ and $D_{Sn}$). One possible reason is that fluid pressure is higher at deeper levels. The fluid inclusions of quartz have trapping pressures up to 150 MPa [43], and high-pressure fluids may diffuse upwards and create a few connected fractures for transporting hydrothermal fluids and precipitating quartz.

5.2. Micro-Scale Textures of Quartz Veins

Quartz CL textures reflect the environment of quartz growth [22]. CL growth zones are typical of quartz phenocrysts in volcanic and plutonic rocks, while hydrothermal quartz displays various CL textures including growth zones (see [22]). For the Piaotang deposit, the Q1 quartz shows clear growth zones with oscillating CL intensity, while the Q2 and Q3 quartz are CL–homogeneous dark or bright. Previous studies suggest that later generations of quartz in porphyry Cu or Mo deposits are generally CL–darker than earlier generations [22,87,88]. This difference in CL intensity of different generations of quartz is also applicable to the Piaotang tungsten–tin deposit.

Al is the most abundant trace element in the quartz of the Piaotang deposit. Whether the dominance of Al in quartz is representative among the tungsten deposits, southern China is unknown because no similar studies are found in the literature. From the recent studies of [89,90], Al is also the most abundant trace element in mineralized quartz veins in the Panasqueira tungsten–tin deposit, Portugal, and in the Krupka district of the Erzgebirge mountain range near the Czech–Germany border. Al concentrations in those two deposits vary from tens to hundreds of ppm, close to those in Piaotang. Al is often found to have a positive correlation with alkali cations such as Li$^+$, Na$^+$, and K$^+$ because Si$^{4+}$ in quartz can be substituted by Al$^{3+}$ and additional charge-compensating cations like alkali cations and P$^{5+}$ [87,91]. The possible substitutions include $2\text{Si}^{4+} = \text{Al}^{3+} + \text{P}^{5+}$ and $\text{Si}^{4+} = \text{Al}^{3+} + \text{M}^{2+}$ (M$^{2+}$ is Na$^+$, Li$^+$, and K$^+$). The mass fractions of Al, P, Na, Li, and K were converted to be molality (mol/g). Figure 14 shows that the data fall in the two sides on the line of the molar ratio (Al–P)/(Na + Li + K) = 1, supporting the above two substitutions for the quartz in the Piaotang deposit.

The CL intensity of hydrothermal quartz generally has a positive correlation with concentrations of Ti or Al depending on the temperatures of quartz crystallization [22,92]. For the Piaotang deposit, most concentrations of Ti in the two generations of quartz Q1 and Q2 have a range of 1–6 ppm, slightly lower than those in the Panasqueira tungsten–tin deposit, Portugal [89]. Moreover, the two generations of quartz have no significant difference on Ti concentrations (Figure 13). Therefore, the difference on CL intensity between the two generations of quartz seems more likely to be controlled by the concentrations of Al. Another possible reason for the CL intensity difference between the Q1 and Q2 quartz is temperature. From [93], fluid inclusions in the Q1 quartz have homogenization temperatures of 230–380 °C, higher than those in the Q2 quartz (180–340 °C) (see Figure 6-2 in [93]). Those homogenization temperatures in [93] are slightly higher than those in [41–43] (see Section 2). The maximum homogenization temperatures in [93] were derived from only ten two–phase gas–rich fluid inclusions. Note that two–phase gas–rich fluid inclusions in quartz are less abundant than two-phase aqueous–rich ones. For those
two-phase aqueous-rich ones, the homogenization temperatures (200–300 °C) in Q1 are also higher than those in Q1 (180–250 °C). Therefore, the CL intensity of the Q1 and Q2 quartz is possibly controlled by temperature and Al concentrations in quartz.

Figure 14. A scatter diagram of Al–P against Li + Na + K concentrations in the two generations of quartz. The dotted line represents the molar ratio (Al–P):(Li + Na + K) = 1:1.

Six mineralization stages were identified by [94] in the Piaotang deposit according to mineral assemblages, wall rock alteration, and mineralization subsequences, whereas [95] recently proposed a four-stage paragenetic sequence from their field and petrographic observations. The former study covers all the vein sets of the Piaotang deposit and the latter also considers the flat veins that were not investigated here. The CL images of this study show that the quartz veins in the III vein set were the final product of at least three generations of quartz crystallization, fewer than the mineralization stages reported by [94,95]. This difference in the quartz generations between this study and previous studies is probably caused by the sampling locations (only in the III vein set) and sample sizes of this study. Furthermore, the Q3 quartz is identified only at the 328 m level and is volumetrically minor compared with the Q1 and Q2 quartz. From our CL images, whether the Q3 quartz represents a minor hydrothermal event still requires more CL imaging on other vein sets in Piaotang.

5.3. The Mechanisms Forming the Morphological Zonation of Quartz Veins in Piaotang

The evolution of fracture systems is essentially important for formation of hydrothermal vein–type deposits [96,97]. The mechanisms responsible for the formation of vein systems are well explained by a percolation model [71,98–102]. In the percolation model, as initial isolated fractures grow, the fracture system reaches a percolation threshold, above which the fractures are locally well-connected at the backbone, facilitating focused fluid flow with little fluid–rock reaction. The quartz veins of the III vein set in Piaotang were produced by at least three hydrothermal events represented by the Q1, Q2, and Q3 quartz. During the first hydrothermal event (Q1), the fracture system in the biotite granite reached the percolation threshold driven by high-pressure fluids earlier than those in sandstone, allowing more focused fluid flow in the mechanically stronger granite. This explains why the Q1 quartz in granite–hosted thick veins is volumetrically larger than that of Q1 in the sandstone–hosted thin veins at shallower levels. Then, later mineralizing fluids with lower temperatures passed along the weakness of veins and precipitated the Q2 and Q3 quartz. Determining how much these later hydrothermal events altered the initial vertical zonation of Q1 requires more SEM–CL imaging of the quartz veins at different levels.

Whether the thin veins at shallower levels indicate the occurrence of a blind tungsten deposit at depth is a challenging problem for deep exploration of tungsten deposits in
southern China. Our study suggests that the growth histories of those thin veins can be traced by SEM–CL imaging of quartz, which, together with the five–floor zonation model, could be used as a guide for evaluating the mineralization potential at deeper levels.

6. Conclusions

Statistical distributions of vein thickness and ore grade and SEM–CL imaging of quartz at different levels were combined to decipher how the vertical zonation of vein morphology in the Piaotang tungsten–tin deposit was produced, and our results provide the following implications:

(1) Fractal dimensions of both vein thickness and ore metal grade (WO₃ and Sn) decrease with increasing depth, while the Weibull modulus α of vein thickness increases with increasing depth.

(2) Three generations (Q1–Q3) of quartz are identified from SEM–CL images of quartz in the III vein set. The first two generations of quartz (Q1 and Q2) are common in the veins at all investigated levels, whereas the Q3 quartz is only identified in some certain veins. Al is the most abundant trace element and its concentrations, together with temperature, possibly controls the CL intensity of the first two generations of quartz.

(3) The vertical trend of vein thickness in Piaotang was initially produced by fluid focusing during the first hydrothermal event represented by the Q1 quartz and altered by later hydrothermal events represented by the Q2 and Q3 quartz.

(4) Statistical analysis of vein thickness and SEM–CL imaging could be used to evaluate the mineralization potential at deeper levels in a meso–scale and a micro–scale, respectively.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, X.L. and D.Z.; methodology, X.L.; software, X.L. and W.W.; validation, X.L.; formal analysis, X.L.; investigation, X.L.; resources, X.L.; data curation, X.L. and W.W.; writing—original draft preparation, X.L.; writing—review and editing, W.W.; and funding acquisition, D.Z. and W.W. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by a CGS RESEARCH FUND, grant number DZLXJK202103, National Natural Science Foundation of China, grant numbers U1939205 and 41822206, and a CHINA GEOLOGICAL SURVEY PROJECT DD20190161.

Data Availability Statement: The study did not report any publicly archived datasets.

Acknowledgments: The authors are grateful to the staff of the Piaotang Tungsten Mine for their help in field work. Zhou Liqin, Zhang Zhichao, and Zhao Linghao were appreciated for their assistance on SEM–CL imaging and LA–ICP–MS analysis, respectively. Three anonymous reviewers are thanked for their comments and advice that improved the original manuscript significantly.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendix A

The two–parameter cumulative Weibull distribution \( C(t) \) of vein thickness follows the following function:

\[
C(t) = e^{-\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right)^\beta} \quad (A1)
\]

To calculate the two parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), use natural logarithmic transformation to the above Equation (A1) twice as follows:

\[
\ln C(t) = -\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right)^\beta, \quad (A2)
\]

\[
-\ln C(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right)^\beta, \quad (A3)
\]

\[
\ln(-\ln C(t)) = \beta \ln\left(\frac{t}{\alpha}\right) = \beta \ln t - \beta \ln \alpha, \quad (A4)
\]
Therefore, \( \ln(-\ln C(t)) \) is a linear function of \( \ln t \) where \( \beta \) is the slope and \( -\beta \ln a \) is the constant term.
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