Tricyclic Antidepressant Structure-Related Alterations in Calcium-Dependent Inhibition and Open-Channel Block of NMDA Receptors
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N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors (NMDARs) are an essential target for the analgetic action of tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs). Their therapeutic blood concentrations achieve 0.5–1.5 μM, which, however, are insufficient to cause in vitro the open-channel block known as the only effect of TCAs on NMDARs. Whereas structures of amitriptyline (ATL), desipramine (DES), and clomipramine (CLO) are rather similar these compounds manifest different therapeutic profiles and side effects. To study structure-activity relationships of DES and CLO on NMDARs, we measured IC₅₀s as a function of extracellular calcium ([Ca²⁺]) and membrane voltage (Vₘ) of NMDAR currents recorded in cortical neurons. Here two components of TCA action on NMDARs are described, which could be characterized as the Ca²⁺-dependent inhibition and the open-channel block. DES demonstrated a profound Ca²⁺-dependent inhibition of NMDARs, while the CLO effect was weak. DES IC₅₀ exhibited an e-fold change with a [Ca²⁺] shift of 0.59 mM, which is consistent with ATL. The Ca²⁺ dependence of NMDAR inhibition by DES disappeared in BAPTA loaded neurons, suggesting that Ca²⁺ acts from the inside. Since CLO differs from DES and ATL by the presence of Cl-atom in the structure, most likely, this is the atom which is responsible for the loss of pronounced [Ca²⁺] dependence. As for the NMDAR open-channel block, both DES and CLO were about 5-folds more potent than ATL due to their slow rates of dissociation either from open and closed states. DES demonstrated stronger Vₘ-dependence than CLO, suggesting a deeper location of the DES binding site within the ion pore. Because DES and CLO differ from ATL by the nitrogen-containing tricycle, presumably this moiety of the molecules determines their high-affinity binding with the NMDAR channel, while the aliphatic chain mono-methyl amino-group of DES allows a deep permeation in the channel. Thus, different structure-activity relationships of the Ca²⁺-dependent inhibition and Vₘ-dependent open-channel block of NMDARs by DES and CLO suggest that these processes are independent and most likely may represent an action on different molecular targets. The proposed model of TCA action on NMDARs predicts well the experimental values of IC₅₀s at physiological [Ca²⁺] and within a wide range of Vₘs.
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INTRODUCTION

Tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs, Figure 1) are widely utilized for the therapy of depression (for review Gillman 2007), neuropathic pain, and itch (for review Belinskaia et al., 2019). The pool of clinically used TCAs demonstrate numerous different molecular targets and cause inhibition of monoamine uptake, M-cholinolytic, antihistamine, and α-adrenolytic activities (for review Belinskaia et al., 2019), block of Na⁺, K⁺, and Ca²⁺ channels (Lavoie et al., 1990; Pancrazio et al., 1998; Zahradník et al., 2008; Lawson 2017). Some TCAs like amitryptiline (ATL) also inhibit Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchange in synaptosomes (Lavoie et al., 1990).

It has been demonstrated recently that nerve injury potentiates N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) activity at both pre- and postsynaptic sites suggesting that excitatory glutamatergic synaptic transmission is involved in the sensitization of neuropathic pain (Chen et al., 2014; Li et al., 2016). The use of antidepressants as adjuvant therapy for ameliorating chronic pain is a promising treatment strategy for patients displaying both neuropathic pain and depression (for review Dharmshaktu et al., 2012). Among antidepressants with analgesic properties, ATL has long been successfully used to treat pain (Bryson and Wilde 1996). These observations make it possible to consider inhibitors of NMDARs as perspective compounds against neuropathic pain (Peterson et al., 2021). Indeed, TCAs, including ATL and desipramine (DES), perform the open-channel block of NMDARs (Tohda et al., 1995; Barygin et al., 2017; Stepanenko et al., 2019). The efficacy of ATL, DES, clomipramine (CLO), and other TCAs against neuropathic pain is not associated with the inhibition of monoamine uptake (for review Gillman 2007) but depends on inhibition of NMDARs (Eisenach and Gebhart 1995). In addition, DES exhibits antidepressant properties inhibiting NMDARs at clinically relevant micromolar concentrations measured in human blood plasma (Kiss et al., 2012; Santos et al., 2017).

Whereas the role of NMDAR as a target for the therapeutic action of TCAs in the neuropathic pain appears to be well proved, the concentrations of ATL (Barygin et al., 2017; Stepanenko et al., 2019) and DES (Reynolds and Miller 1988; Sernagor et al., 1989) required to evoke the open-channel block of NMDARs are far above the clinically relevant values obtained in the blood plasma during the therapy (Santos et al., 2017). To overcome this contradiction, one may suggest an existence of different mechanisms of TCA-inhibition of NMDARs. In agreement, we have recently demonstrated that regardless of the open-channel block, ATL additionally induces a calcium-dependent and voltage-resistant inhibition of NMDARs since the increase of calcium concentration in the extracellular solution substantially enforces the NMDAR inhibition by ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019). Some similar effects on NMDARs could be achieved by ethanol (Boikov et al., 2020) and lithium (Sibarov et al., 2018) or by an inhibitor (KB-R7943) of Na⁺/Ca²⁺-exchangers (Sibarov et al., 2015), which all as known can provoke the calcium-dependent desensitization of NMDARs (Sibarov et al., 2015). These agents and extraction of cholesterol from the plasma membrane of neurons, which presumably may cause an uncoupling of a tight interaction between Na⁺/Ca²⁺-exchangers, and NMDARs in the plasma membrane lipid rafts (Sibarov et al., 2018), increase the intracellular pre-membrane calcium concentration and enhance the calcium-dependent desensitization of NMDAR. Taking into account possible ATL action on Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanges (Lavoie et al., 1990), a similar mechanism might be involved in the Ca²⁺-dependence of NMDAR inhibition by ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019).

The calcium-dependent voltage-resistant NMDAR inhibition has not been yet shown for DES and CLO (Figure 1). While these compounds and ATL have very close structures and, in general, differ by the aliphatic chain side-amino groups, which are -NH(CH₃)₂ for ATL and CLO, and -NH₂CH₃ for DES, by tricyclic groups which have N-atom in DES and CLO instead of C-atom in ATL, and, finally, an additional Cl-atom is present in the structure of CLO, these three TCAs manifest very different therapeutic profiles and side effects (Gillman 2007; Riediger et al., 2017). To provide more clues for structural determinants of different types of TCA action on NMDARs, here we investigate the structure-activity relationships of calcium-dependent inhibition and open-channel block of NMDARs by DES and CLO. With this goal, effects of different concentrations of DES and CLO as a function of extracellular calcium
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concentration ([Ca^{2+}]) and membrane voltage (V_m) were studied on NMDA elicited whole-cell currents recorded in cortical neurons. These neurons in primary culture express NMDARs of GluN1/GluN2A and GluN1/GluN2B subunit compositions (Monyer et al., 1994; Paolletti et al., 2013). The data for ATL were recruited for a comparison (Stepanenko et al., 2019). We demonstrate that NMDAR calcium-dependent inhibition and open-channel block have different dependence on the TCA structure. The observations presented here favor the independent origin of these two mechanisms of TCA action on NMDARs.

**METHODS**

**Primary Culture of Cortical Neurons**

All procedures on animals were performed in accordance with the guide of the Federation for Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA) and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committees of Sechenov Institute. Briefly, 17 days pregnant Wistar rats (supplied by the Sechenov Institute Animal Facility) were sacrificed by 1 min CO2 inhalation in a plastic box connected to a CO2 tank. Fetuses were removed, and then primary cultures of rat cortical neurons were prepared using conventional procedures as described earlier (Antonov and Johnson 1996; Mironova et al., 2007). Neurons were grown in Neurobasal culture medium supplemented with B-27 (Gibco-Invitrogen, United Kingdom) on 7 mm glass coverslips coated with poly-D-lysine and were used for experiments after 10–14 days in culture (Mironova et al., 2007; Han and Stevens 2009).

**Patch Clamp Recordings**

Whole-cell currents were recorded from cultured rat cortical neurons using a MultiClamp 700B patch-clamp amplifier. Recordings were low-pass filtered at 400 Hz and digitized at the acquisition rate of 20,000 samples per second by Digidata 1440A controlled by pClamp v10.6 software (Molecular Devices). Solution exchange was performed by means of a fast solution application system as described earlier (Sibarov et al., 2015). Unless otherwise specified, the external bathing solution contained (in mM): 144 NaCl; 2.8 KCl; 1.0 CaCl2; 10 HEPES, at pH 7.2–7.4, osmolarity 310 mOsm. The intrapipette solution contained (in mM): 120 CsF, 10 CsCl, 10 EGTA, and 200 µM HEPES, at pH 7.4, osmolarity 310 mOsm. The intrapipette solution contained (in mM): 120 CsF, 10 CsCl, 10 EGTA, and 200 µM HEPES, at pH 7.4, osmolarity 310 mOsm. The intrapipette solution contained (in mM): 120 CsF, 10 CsCl, 10 EGTA, and 200 µM HEPES, at pH 7.4, osmolarity 310 mOsm. The intrapipette solution contained (in mM): 120 CsF, 10 CsCl, 10 EGTA, and 200 µM HEPES, at pH 7.4, osmolarity 310 mOsm.

**Drugs**

Compounds were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, United States.

**Analysis of Membrane Currents**

To determine the blocking potency of TCAs, NMDA elicited currents were measured in the absence and presence of different TCA concentrations ([B]). Amplitudes of currents measured in the presence of blocker (I_b) were normalized to maximal current response in control (I_c). The IC_{50} is the concentration of TCA that cause 50% of the maximal inhibition of currents, and the Hill coefficient (h) was estimated by fitting concentration-inhibition curves with the Hill equation:

\[ \frac{I_b}{I_c} = \frac{1}{1 + [B]^{h}/IC_{50}} \]  

NMDAR current relaxations during block or unblock by TCA were fit with the single exponential equation:

\[ I = (I_{max} - I_{min}) \cdot \exp \left( -t/\tau \right) + I_{min} \]

where I_{max} and I_{min} are maximal and minimal amplitudes of currents during relaxations and τ represents the time constant of the exponential component during block onset (t_{on}) or offset (t_{off}). From these measurements, the rate constants of block (k^+) and unblock (k^-) was estimated. k^- was obtained from t_{on} measured at 20 and 90 µM DES (C1 and C2 respectively) using the equation:

\[ k^- = (1/τ_{off2} - 1/τ_{off1})/(C_2 - C_1) \]

The k^- was the reciprocal to measured τ_{off}:

\[ k^- = 1/τ_{off} \]

The equilibrium dissociation constant (K_d) for DES was calculated as follows:

\[ K_d = k^-/k^+ \]

To obtain the voltage-dependence of NMDAR block by TCAs, the concentration-inhibition curves were generated at three membrane holding potentials V_m = -100, -70, and -30 mV. The obtained values were fitted with the modified by incorporating the dependence of NMDAR inhibition on [Ca^{2+}] (Stepanenko et al., 2019) Woodhull equation:

\[ IC_{50}(V_m[Ca^{2+}]) = IC_{50}(0 \text{ mV}) \cdot \exp(V_m z\delta F/RT) \cdot \exp(-[Ca^{2+}]/X) \]

where IC_{50} (0 mV) and δ were set as free parameters. IC_{50} (0 mV) is the value of IC_{50} at V_m = 0 mV, δ is the fraction of the membrane voltage field exerting force on TCA at its binding site. z = 1 is a molecule electric charge for both DES and CLO. For Faraday constant, gas constant, and room temperature of 28°C, the RT/F ≈ 26 mV. RT/(z\delta F) is equal to V_m producing an e-fold change of IC_{50}, and X is equal to [Ca^{2+}], producing an e-fold change of IC_{50}.

The rise time of currents activated by the testing NMDA + Gly application in trapping channel block experiments was fitted in
ClampFit (pClamp, Axon Instruments) using the double exponential function:

\[ I = I_1 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_1}\right) + I_2 \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{t}{\tau_2}\right) - C \]  

where \( I_1 \) and \( I_2 \) are amplitudes of the exponential and \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) are time constants of the exponential and \( C \) equals \( I_1 + I_2 + I_b \).

Statistical Analysis
Data are presented as representative measurements as well as mean values ± standard error of the mean (SEM). Sample number \( n \) refers to the number of recorded cells. Groups were compared using ANOVA with Bonferroni correction and Student's two-tailed \( t \)-test. Statistical significance is reported in the figures according to the following symbols *** and ****, which indicate \( p \) values below (<) 0.001 and 0.0001, respectively. Curve fitting was performed using OriginPro software (OriginLab Corp.). IC\(_{50}\)s obtained from individual experiments performed in the same experimental conditions were averaged to get mean ± SEM values.

RESULTS
An Extent of NMDAR Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent Inhibition Induced by Desipramine and Clomipramine Differs
First, we performed experiments in which the effects of different [Ca\(^{2+}\)] on the potency of NMDAR inhibition by DES and CLO were tested at a single \( V_m \). The concentration that cause 50% of the maximal inhibition of currents (IC\(_{50}\)) of both substances was measured for extracellular [Ca\(^{2+}\)] of 0, 1 and 4 mM, at \( V_m = -70 \text{ mV} \). Increasing DES concentrations were applied on the top of the steady-state currents induced by 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) NMDA (Figure 2A). When reached the steady state in the presence of each DES concentration, amplitudes of currents were measured to plot the concentration-inhibition curve (Figure 2B). The IC\(_{50}\) of the inhibition of NMDAR currents was estimated by fitting the concentration-inhibition curves obtained in each experiment with Hill equation (Eq. 1). The mean IC\(_{50}\) values are presented in Table 1. The largest IC\(_{50}\) value of 8.3 \( \mu \text{M} \) was
TABLE 1 | The IC50 values of the inhibition of NMDAR currents by TCAs.

| Vm  | IC50 (µM, [Ca2+] = 0) | IC50 (µM, [Ca2+] = 1 mM) | IC50 (µM, [Ca2+] = 4 mM) |
|-----|----------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| DES | -100 mV              | 5.06 ± 0.71 (n = 11)     | 0.89 ± 0.11 (n = 12) *** |
|     | -70 mV               | 8.30 ± 1.27 (n = 10)     | 1.75 ± 0.16 (n = 15) *** |
|     | -30 mV               | 27.0 ± 1.9 (n = 11)      | 4.85 ± 0.37 (n = 15) *** |
|     | -70 mV (+BAPTA)      | 11.1 ± 1.2 (n = 11)      | ns                       |
| CLO | -100 mV              | 9.88 ± 1.22 (n = 5)      | 11.8 ± 2.4 (n = 13)      |
|     | -70 mV               | 23.8 ± 4.4 (n = 17)      | 20.6 ± 1.8 (n = 13)      |
|     | -30 mV               | 47.3 ± 5.6 (n = 12)      | 44.2 ± 7.1 (n = 11)      |

**** - the data are significantly different from values obtained in [Ca2+] = 0 at Vm = −70 mV (p < 0.0001, 1 way ANOVA), and at Vm=−30 mV and −100 mV (Student’s two-tailed t-test).

§§§§ - the value is significantly different from data obtained in 0 and 1 mM [Ca2+] at Vm = −70 mV (p < 0.0001, 1 way ANOVA with Bonferroni multiple comparisons test).

ns - the value does not differ significantly from those obtained at [Ca2+] = 0, Vm = −70 mV (Student’s two-tailed t-test, p > 0.05).

**FIGURE 3** | Ca2+-dependent inhibition of NMDAR currents by clomipramine (CLO). (A) Currents activated by 100 µM NMDA + 10 µM Gly recorded at −70 mV in the presence of 0, 1 or 4 mM Ca2+ in the bathing solution in the absence of CLO (0) and the presence of rising CLO concentrations ([CLO]) indicated by numbers at the corresponding level of currents (in µM). (B) Concentration-inhibition curves for CLO for currents activated by NMDA recorded at −70 mV in the presence of different [Ca2+] in the bathing solution. Symbols show mean values ± S.E.M of the relative amplitudes of currents (Ib/Ic) in the presence (Ib) and absence (Ic) of different CLO concentrations ([CLO]) from 5 to 17 measurements. Solid lines are the fits to the data with the Hill equation (Eq. 1). (C) Dependence of IC50 for CLO inhibition of NMDAR currents on extracellular [Ca2+] obtained from experiments illustrated in panel (B). Data from each experiment (symbols) and mean values ± S.E.M. are shown. The IC50 values are presented in Table 1. An e-fold change in IC50 is achieved by a shift of [Ca2+] of 5.5 ± 1.8 mM. Increasing extracellular [Ca2+] from 0 to 1 mM does not produce decrease of IC50, but further increase to 4 mM [Ca2+] significantly decreases IC50 (****, p < 0.0001, n = 8, ANOVA).
obtained in the calcium-free solution (Figure 2C). With an increase of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] to 1 mM, the DES IC\textsubscript{50} decreased of about five-folds, to 1.75 μM. However, the further increase of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] to 4 mM did not significantly change the IC\textsubscript{50} value. In accord to ATL data, the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent NMDAR inhibition at a single V\textsubscript{m} manifests an exponential function (Stepanenko et al., 2019). We, therefore, fitted the DES data by Eq. 6. The sharpness of the dependence estimated as an e-fold change of the IC\textsubscript{50} value was achieved with a [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] shift of 0.59 ± 0.07 mM, which is similar to the sharpness found for ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019). Thus, the presence of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} significantly enhances NMDAR inhibition by DES.

This observation raises a question whether Ca\textsuperscript{2+} acts from the outside or Ca\textsuperscript{2+} entering through open channels of activated NMDARs contributes to DES effects acting from the inside of neurons, which may promote, for instance, the calmodulin dependent desensitization of NMDARs (for review Sibarov and Antonov 2018). To clarify this issue, measurements of the DES IC\textsubscript{50} in the presence of 1 mM [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] on neurons loaded with cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} chelator BAPTA were undertaken. The currents activated by 100 μM NMDA under these particular conditions did not exhibit desensitization as well as in the absence of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ([Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] = 0, Figure 2A). On BAPTA-loaded neurons, the IC\textsubscript{50} value of DES increased from 1.75 to 11.1 μM (Table 1) and became similar to the IC\textsubscript{50} value of 8.3 μM obtained in the absence of extracellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} (Figures 2B,C). From these experiments, we can conclude that the IC\textsubscript{50} of DES depends on the level of free Ca\textsuperscript{2+} in the cytosol and the chelation of cytosolic Ca\textsuperscript{2+} abolishes the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent NMDAR inhibition.

In similar series of experiments, effects of different CLO concentrations were tested on currents activated by NMDA (Figure 3A). The concentration-inhibition curves were plotted for currents recorded in the presence of 0, 1, and 4 mM [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] (Figure 3B). The IC\textsubscript{50}s obtained from these experiments are summarized in Table 1. The IC\textsubscript{50} values for CLO obtained at [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] = 0 and [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] = 1 mM were 23.8 and 20 μM (Table 1), correspondently, and did not differ significantly. An increase of [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] to 4 mM caused a significant decrease in the IC\textsubscript{50} value of CLO to 9.88 μM (Table 1; Figure 3C). Fitting the data with Eq. 6 yielded an e-fold change of the IC\textsubscript{50} value achieved with a [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] shift of 5.5 ± 1.8 mM. Therefore CLO manifests an order of magnitude lesser sharp Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependence of the IC\textsubscript{50} of NMDAR inhibition than DES.

From these experiments, it became clear that the pharmacological action of DES and CLO does not fit the traditional open-channel block model because of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent process. In the next series of experiments, we analyzed if DES and CLO still show the dependence of the IC\textsubscript{50} of NMDAR block on membrane voltage, which is an important feature of the open-channel block.

The Kinetics of NMDAR Open-Channel Trapping Block by Desipramine
To study the kinetics of open-channel block of NMDARs by DES, we performed experiments at a single V\textsubscript{m} = -70 mV using a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free bathing solution to avoid the influence of the Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent process. DES was applied at a steady state of NMDAR currents at high (90 μM) or low (20 μM) concentrations (Figure 4A). DES-induced block corresponds well to basic predictions of an open-channel block. The block onset (τ\textsubscript{on}) and offset (τ\textsubscript{off}) were well fit by a single exponential function (Eq. 2). The τ\textsubscript{on} value decreased with a concentration increase, whereas the τ\textsubscript{off} value did not depend on DES concentration (Figure 4B). The rate constants of block and unblock calculated on the basis of Eqs 3, 4 were k\textsubscript{+} = 0.008 ± 0.002 s\textsuperscript{-1} M\textsuperscript{-1}; k\textsubscript{-} = 0.039 ± 0.005 s\textsuperscript{-1}, correspondently. Accordingly, the equilibrium dissociation constant of DES K\textsubscript{D} = 4.7 ± 1.9 μM (n = 15, Eq. 5), which is not far from the IC\textsubscript{50} value of 8.3 μM obtained in a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free solution (Figure 2).

The absence in records of “tail currents” upon the simultaneous removal of agonists and a blocker may speak in favor of trapping of the blocking molecule inside of the pore (Costa and Albuquerque 1994; Vorobjev and Sharonova 1994; Benveniste and Mayer 1995; Sobolevsky et al., 1999). We, therefore, further tested the possibility of trapping of DES in the pore of NMDARs by the channel closure. A series of experiments were carried out following the protocol used previously for ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019). After reaching an equilibrium of NMDA-mediated currents, 90 μM DES was applied to the cell for 10 s until the development of a stable block with a small amount of residual current (I\textsubscript{res}) (Figure 4C). Then, the solution with the agonist and DES was washed off, and to avoid accidental opening of NMDARs, 50 μM AP5, a competitive antagonist of the NMDAR glutamate binding site, was applied. After finishing the AP5 application, the NMDA test solution was again applied to the cells. This sequence of applications was performed several times per cell with varying durations of AP5 delivery - 4, 10, 30, 60 s (Figure 4C). The biphasic current response to the NMDA test application was composed of fast and slow components. Fitting the current with a double-exponential function (Eq. 7, Figure 4C dotted line) yielded the rise time rates and amplitudes (I\textsubscript{r} and I\textsubscript{s}) for the fast and slow components. The time constants did not depend on the washout duration. The fast component rate describes the opening of unblocked channels in response to agonist action and has an I\textsubscript{f} amplitude (Figure 4D). The time constant of the slow component (τ\textsubscript{on}) is 25 s and corresponds to the kinetics of DES release by blocked channels. An increase of I\textsubscript{f} in the total current amplitude (I\textsubscript{f} + I\textsubscript{s}) with the channel closed state time may indicate a gradual release of blocker molecules from the channels in the closed state (Figure 4E). Single exponential approximation of the data at Figure 4E (dashed line) elicits the time constant of 7.7 ± 1.8 s for DES escape from trapping, representing the quantitative characteristic of DES partial trapping block.

Voltage-dependent Open-Channel Block of NMDARs by TCAs
To evaluate the voltage-dependence of the open-channel NMDAR block, we measured the IC\textsubscript{50} of DES at three V\textsubscript{m}s of −100, −70, and −30 mV. Since increasing extracellular [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] from 0 to 1 mM caused a 5-fold drop of DES IC\textsubscript{50}, the voltage-dependence was studied at both [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]s. Examples of currents recorded at outermost V\textsubscript{m}s in Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-free solution and in [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] = 1 mM are shown in Figures 5A,B, respectively. The increase of DES concentration induced strengthening of the inhibition of NMDA-activated currents. In addition, similar DES
concentrations evoked a lesser decrease of NMDAR currents at depolarized $V_m$. The concentration-inhibition curves (Figure 5C) reveal the voltage-dependence of the block since the DES IC$_{50}$ value decreased substantially with hyperpolarization, and the Ca$^{2+}$-dependence of block, since in the presence of 1 mM [Ca$^{2+}$] the block was more pronounced. The dependence of block on $V_m$ is illustrated in Figure 5D. A shift in $V_m$ from $-30$ to $-100$ mV leads to a 5.4-fold IC$_{50}$ decrease regardless of extracellular calcium (Figure 5D; Table 1). While the proper characteristic of the NMDAR open-channel block could be obtained in the absence of Ca$^{2+}$, the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inhibition is $V_m$ resistant and enhances the apparent NMDAR inhibition. This suggests that the $V_m$- and Ca$^{2+}$-dependent effects have different origins and occur independently.
Interpretation of the voltage-dependence of the DES open-channel block within the framework on the Woodhull model (Eq. 6) yields an e-fold change of the IC50 value that could be achieved with a 37 mV shift of Vm. Fitting the apparent data shown in Table 1 with Eq. 6 indicates that IC50(0 mV) = 60 ± 5.7 µM (n = 10) and an e-fold change of 36.9 ± 3.4 mV for the IC50 voltage-dependence and an e-fold change of 0.59 ± 0.07 mM for the IC50 Ca2+ dependence.

We studied the voltage-dependence of the NMDAR block by CLO using similar approaches as for DES. Examples of currents obtained at Vm of −30 and −100 mV in [Ca2+] = 1 mM are illustrated in Figure 6A. The averaged concentration-inhibition curves for −30, and −100 mV were similar for both 0 and 1 mM external [Ca2+] (Figure 6B), which in addition could become obvious from the analysis of the raw data (Table 1). The Vm-dependence for CLO IC50 for all conditions under study is shown in Figure 6C. The voltage dependence of the CLO IC50 values obtained by fitting the raw data with Eq. 6 yields IC50(0 mV) = 91 ± 8.8 µM (n = 5) and an e-fold change of 50 ± 5.5 mV regardless of the presence of extracellular Ca2+.

These unmask a great difference in the pharmacological action on NMDARs between DES and CLO. First, the voltage-dependence of the DES open-channel block of NMDARs is much stronger than those for CLO and, second, unlike DES, CLO does not demonstrate the Ca2+-dependent inhibition within the range of physiologically relevant [Ca2+].

**DISCUSSION**

**Ca2+-dependent Effects on NMDARs**
The Ca2+-dependent inhibition of NMDAR currents described here is much more pronounced for DES than for CLO (Table 2). This observation suggests that the origin of the Ca2+-dependence of these two compounds may differ.

One possible mechanism could represent the enhancement of the Ca2+-dependent desensitization (CDD) of NMDARs which earlier was assumed to explain the Ca2+-dependence of ATL effects on NMDARs (Stepanenko et al., 2019). CDD results from
binding Ca^{2+} ions entering through the activated NMDAR channels with calmodulin and interaction of the latter with the intracellular domain of NMDARs (Ehlers et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 1998; for review Sibarov and Antonov, 2018). CDD manifests in a decrease of macroscopic currents, despite the continued presence of the agonists (Zhang et al., 1998; Sessoms-Sikes et al., 2005). The removal of Ca^{2+} from the extracellular solution abolished NMDAR CDD suggesting that Ca^{2+} entered neurons from the outside is an indispensable condition for CDD development.

Preventing Ca^{2+} binding to calmodulin by chelation of free intracellular Ca^{2+} with BAPTA can abolish CDD for both GluN1/GluN2A, and GluN1/GluN2B receptor subtypes (Iacobucci and Popescu, 2020). In our experiments, loading of BAPTA into the cytosol increased DES IC_{50} to the values observed in a calcium-free extracellular solution. Similar dependence on intracellular [Ca^{2+}] was reported previously for ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019). Thus, the Ca^{2+}-dependent effect on NMDARs of DES and ATL conforms to the intracellular [Ca^{2+}] and manifests itself only when the CDD process is not blocked by the lack of extracellular or intracellular free Ca^{2+}.

The inhibition of NMDARs by DES has been widely studied previously (Reynolds and Miller, 1988; Sernagor et al., 1989; Nothdurfter et al., 2013; Barygin et al., 2017). The DES IC_{50} values ranging from 1.4 to 9.8 μM were reported. The great variability of the value is likely could be determined by experimental conditions in which a different contribution of open-channel block and Ca^{2+}-dependent inhibition is expected. For example, to activate NMDAR currents in some experiments (Sernagor et al., 1989), 5 μM NMDA was used, which is much smaller than the EC_{50} of NMDAR activation. Since the Ca^{2+} intracellular accumulation and CDD depend on the NMDAR open probability, the usage of low agonist concentration may not allow to cause CDD. As a result, the open-channel block of NMDARs represents the only mechanism of DES action. Indeed the DES IC_{50} of 9.8 μM and an e-fold of 36 mV were found in this study despite the physiological level of DES.

![FIGURE 6](image-url) Voltage dependence of open channel block of NMDARs by CLO. (A) Sample currents activated by 100 μM NMDA + 10 μM Gly recorded in 1 mM Ca^{2+} at −100 mV, and −30 mV in the absence of CLO (0) and the presence of rising CLO concentrations ([CLO]) indicated by numbers at the corresponding level of current (in μM). (B) Concentration-inhibition curves for CLO for currents activated by NMDA recorded at −100 mV, and −30 mV in the presence of 0 or 1 mM Ca^{2+}. Symbols (empty circles for 0 mM Ca^{2+} and solid circles for 1 mM Ca^{2+}) depict mean values ± S.E.M of relative amplitudes of currents (I/I_0) measured in the presence (I) and absence (I_0) of different CLO concentrations from 5 to 17 experiments. Lines are the fits to the data with the Hill equation (Eq. 1). (C) Voltage dependence of the IC_{50} value for CLO inhibition of NMDA-activated currents. Values (empty circles for 0 mM Ca^{2+} and solid circles for 1 mM Ca^{2+}) from each experiment and mean values ± S.E.M. are shown. The dotted line depicts the fit to the data with Eq. 6, which yielded IC_{50}(0) = 91 ± 8.8 μM and an e-fold change of the IC_{50} of 50 ± 5.5 mV.

**TABLE 2** Parameters of TCA voltage- and calcium-dependences of “comorbid” blockade of NMDARs.

|                | IC_{50}(0 mV) | e-fold change [Ca^{2+}] | e-fold change V_{m} |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| ATL            | 321 μM       | 0.63 mM                 | 54 mV                |
| DES            | 60 ± 5.7 μM  | 0.59 ± 0.07 mM          | 36.9 ± 3.4 mV        |
| CLO            | 91 ± 8.8 μM  | 5.5 ± 1.8 mM            | 50 ± 5.5 mV          |

*Data are recruited from (Stepanenko et al., 2019).*
extracellular Ca$^{2+}$. This coincides well with our data (IC$_{50}$ = 8.3 μM, e-fold 37 mV) obtained in a calcium-free solution in the absence of CDD. In agreement, 7.41 μM DES displaces MK-801 from the NMDAR channels due to the competition of these compounds for the ion pore (Reynolds and Miller 1988).

When studied in the presence of extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ and receptor saturating concentration of NMDA (100 μM), the DES IC$_{50}$ at $V_m$ = −70 mV was found to be 2.7 μM (Nothdurfter et al., 2013) and 1.4 μM (Barygin et al., 2017), which is compatible with the values of about 1.7 μM obtained in our experiments. If ATL and DES may affect the efficacy of Ca$^{2+}$ export from neurons by Na$^+$/Ca$^{2+}$-exchanger increasing the pre-membrane intracellular free Ca$^{2+}$ concentration, then an enhancement of CDD may contribute to the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent and $V_m$-resistant inhibition of NMDARs. Interestingly memantine, a widely used NMDAR channel blocker, also inhibits Na$^+$/Ca$^{2+}$-exchanger (Brittain et al., 2012), which probably contributes to memantine-induced stabilization of NMDAR in CDD state (Glasgow et al., 2017). The Ca$^{2+}$ dependence of NMDAR inhibition by memantine (Glasgow et al., 2017), ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019), and DES was abolished by cytosolic BAPTA. Therefore modulation of Ca$^{2+}$ interaction with intracellular receptor domain may explain the voltage-resistant Ca$^{2+}$-dependent effects of these substances on NMDARs.

Beyond the CDD, which is similar for GluN2A- and GluN2B-containing receptors (Iacobucci and Popescu, 2020), the direct voltage-independent effects of extracellular Ca$^{2+}$ on recombinant GluN1/GluN2A receptor conductance and gating are also demonstrated (Watanabe et al., 2002; Maki and Popescu 2014). Even in NMDARs without the GluN1 intracellular
domains, Ca2+ ions inhibit NMDAR ion fluxes through direct interactions with extracellular receptor residues located in the external vestibule of NMDARs with the IC50 of 2.4 mM (Maki and Popescu 2014). The direct effects of extracellular Ca2+ on NMDARs are independent on intracellular Ca2+ sensitive domains of GluN1 (Maki and Popescu 2014). Perhaps this mechanism could be responsible for the Ca2+-dependence of CLO NMDAR inhibition which is rather weak since an e-fold change of the CLO IC50 could be achieved with a 5.5 mM shift of [Ca2+]2.

### Structure-Activity Relationships of Ca2+-dependent Inhibition

Whereas the molecular structures of TCAs studied here are rather similar, DES and CLO drastically differ by the sharpness of Ca2+-dependent NMDAR inhibition characterized by an e-fold change value that was almost an order of magnitude smaller for DES than for CLO (Table 2). The consistence of the DES and ATL effects allows us to conclude that the difference in chemical structures of DES and ATL do not matter for the effect and both compounds match to produce the Ca2+-dependent NMDAR inhibition. On the contrary, a particle of the CLO molecule not shared with both DES and ATL is responsible for the loss of this effect (Table 3). This is a chlorine atom. Presumably, the presence of the chlorine atom makes the folded conformation of molecules highly probable when the aliphatic side-chain moves toward the chlorine substituted phenyl ring due to electrostatic attraction between the positively charged amino group and the chlorine atom in the CLO molecule, which prevents inherent extended side-chain conformations of other TCAs (Heimstad et al., 1991).

Looking ahead, it should be noted that this property of the CLO molecules does not matter for the open-channel block. Anyway, a direct study of the molecular target involved in the Ca2+-dependent NMDAR inhibition could solve this riddle.

### Structure-Activity Relationships of NMDAR Open-Channel Block by TCAs

To come out with the “proper” parameters of NMDAR open-channel block by DES and CLO and to avoid a concomitant Ca2+-dependent inhibition under the physiological conditions ([Ca2+]2 = 1 or 2 mM, Vm = −70 mV), we first studied this mechanism at [Ca2+]2 = 0 and Vm = −70 mV, using a similar approach as for ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019). Then the dependence of the parameters on Vm and [Ca2+]2 was tested since the Vm-dependence represents an inherent property of the block (Table 2).

TCA potencies as open-channel blockers can be juxtaposed based on IC50 (0 mV) values, derived from measurements of the Vm-dependence. Clearly, while DES (with the value of 60 µM) and CLO (of 90 µM) are rather similar, these compounds are almost 5-folds more powerful than ATL. This difference could be determined by a low rate of DES dissociation (τoff is about 25 s) from channels which is of about 5-folds lesser than the rate for ATL, suggesting that the molecule of ATL occupies the channel at the least one-fifth of the occupancy time by DES and presumably CLO during the open-channels block. DES, as well as ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019), demonstrates partial trapping open-channel block that makes them similar to amantadine and memantine (Blanpied et al., 1997), suggesting that the blocking molecule can be trapped inside of the pore by the gate closure and can escape the channel in the closed state at some certain rate. The time constant of the escape for DES was about 7.7 s compared to about 1.2 s reported to ALT (Stepanenko et al., 2019). The slower escape of DES from the closed channel than of ATL is also connected with some peculiarity of its chemical structure. DES and CLO, therefore, demonstrate the high-affinity open-channel block of NMDARs, which most likely is determined by slow rates of dissociation both from open and closed states of channels. Definitely, this should somehow be related to a shared common element of the chemical structure of these TCAs, which differs them from ATL. This is a tricyclic radical, which in the case of DES and CLO, contains the nitrogen atom in contrast to the carbon atom of ATL (Table 3). The substitution of nitrogen for a carbon atom in the central aromatic ring eliminates a double bond in the aliphatic chain, which elevates the probability of extended side-chain conformation as well as the flexibility of the TCA molecule (Heimstad et al., 1991). Presumably, these underlay a better geometric arrangement of TCAs and their accommodation within the binding site in the NMDAR ion pore.

The traditional interpretation of open-channel block within the framework of the Woodhull model implies that the voltage dependence is determined by the charge of blocking molecules and a deepness of the binding site location in the channel on which the electric field drop occurs. For example, Mg2+ demonstrates a highly voltage-dependent block of NMDARs (Nowak et al., 1984). The Mg2+ binding site, however, is located close to the middle of the NMDAR channel (Antonov and Johnson 1999). As for molecules, singly charged IEM-compounds (Antonov et al., 1995) exhibit
different voltage-dependence of NMDAR open-channel block that regards to a steric size of the side-amino group; as the size is smaller, the molecule can get deeper into the channel (Antonov and Johnson 1996; Antonov et al., 1998). In accord to the structure-activity relationship for the efficacy of open-channel block described above, ATL and CLO, which have the same aliphatic side-chain amino group (-NH(CH₃)₂), reveal weaker voltage-dependence than those for DES containing a smaller amino group (-NH₂CH₃) (Table 3). This observation is consistent with data on IEM-compounds (Antonov and Johnson 1996; Antonov et al., 1998) and allows us to assume that the smaller size amino group may permeate deeper in the channel. Accordingly, an e-fold change of DES IC₅₀ requires ~1.5 folds smaller shift in Vₑ than those for ATL or CLO (Table 2). The δ value characterizing the fraction of the membrane electric field that the molecule traverses to reach the binding site inside the NMDAR channel places the DES binding site to 0.71 from the outside, which is greater than δ = 0.51 for ATL reported previously (Stepanenko et al., 2019), and CLO studied here. Therefore, considering the TCA binding in the channel with the aliphatic side-chain amino group pointing forward (Stepanenko et al., 2019), the smaller size -NH₂CH₃ group of DES, as compared to -NH(CH₃)₂ of ATL and CLO, probably facilitates deeper DES penetration to the channel.

“Comorbid” Blockade of NMDARs by TCAs

Clearly, the parameters of NMDAR inhibition obtained in experiments result from a combination of two or even more processes. Different structure-activity relationships of TCAs with respect to the Ca²⁺-dependent inhibition and the Vₑ-dependent open-channel block of NMDARs suggest that these processes are independent and most likely may represent an action on different molecular targets. The observation that the absence or presence of Ca²⁺-dependent inhibition did not alter the voltage-dependence for DES, CLO as well as ATL (Stepanenko et al., 2019) further supports this assumption. Previously for ATL, we proposed the empirical model (Stepanenko et al., 2019) for a dependence of inhibition on Vₑ and [Ca²⁺] that implies the absence of competition between Ca²⁺- and voltage-dependent effects. The model is described by Eq. \( \text{IC}_50 = \frac{C}{V_m} \cdot \frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+}]}{2} \) for DES, at \([\text{Ca}^{2+}] = 4 \text{mM}\) and \(V_m = -70 \text{mV}\), the model predicts IC₅₀ = 0.01 μM which deviates from experimental data of 1.8 μM. Therefore, we cannot exclude that the direct extracellular Ca²⁺ action on NMDARs (Watanabe et al., 2002; Maki and Popescu 2014) may contribute to the distortion at physiologically excessive extracellular [Ca²⁺]. This contribution perhaps could be evaluated considering the [Ca²⁺] effect on CLO IC₅₀.

At physiological [Ca²⁺] of 2.5 mM and \(V_m = -70 \text{mV}\), the model described by Eq. 6 predicts the IC₅₀ = 0.13 μM for DES and IC₅₀ = 1.6 μM for ATL. These IC₅₀s correspond well to the TCAs therapeutic concentrations of 0.5–1.5 μM (Vandel et al., 1992), which could be achieved during the treatment of neuropathic pain. CLO and DES share similar efficacy of channel block, but CLO differs by a weak Ca²⁺-dependence of NMDAR inhibition. At \([\text{Ca}^{2+}] = 2.5 \text{mM}\) and \(V_m = -70 \text{mV}\) the model predicts CLO IC₅₀ = 14 μM, which strongly exceeds CLO therapeutic blood concentrations of 0.3–1 μM (Stern et al., 1980; McTavish and Benfield 1990). Therefore CLO clinical effects probably do not involve NMDAR inhibition.

Thus, we demonstrated here two components of TCA action on NMDARs, which are represented by the Ca²⁺-dependent inhibition (Figures 8A,B) and the voltage-dependent open-channel block (Figure 8C). We observed that the “pure” channel block of NMDARs by DES, ATL, and CLO occurs at concentrations exceeding the therapeutic ones found in blood.

![FIGURE 8](image-url) | Schematic presentation of the comorbid blockade of NMDARs by DES. (A) Functional interaction between NMDARs and Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchangers (NCX) under control conditions. In the presence of physiologically relevant extracellular [Ca²⁺] the activation of NMDARs is followed by CDD which is proportional to the Ca²⁺ entry via ion pores. NCX restricts CDD extruding intracellular Ca²⁺. The current activated by NMDA (IₑNMDA) reveals a moderate CDD. (B) DES at therapeutic concentrations inhibits the Ca²⁺ removal by NCX, provokes a local Ca²⁺ accumulation and an enhancement of CDD making the NMDAR current smaller. (C) At larger concentration DES causes, in addition, the open-channel block of NMDARs further suppressing currents activated by NMDA.
plasma (Santos et al., 2017). The contribution of NMDAR channel block to TCA effects against neuropathic pain is probably weaker than those of more potent NMDAR channel blockers with antinoceptive properties like ketamine, memantine (Kurian et al., 2019), and MK-801 (Peterson et al., 2021). However, NMDAR channel blockers demonstrate strong adverse effects limiting their clinical use (Ellison 1995; Kurian et al., 2019). Conversely, the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inhibition of NMDARs by ATL and DES occurs at therapeutic concentrations and may arise from a disruption of Ca$^{2+}$ export from neurons (Figure 8B) (Lavoie et al., 1990), which in turn modulates CDD of NMDARs (Sibarov et al., 2015; Sibarov et al., 2018). Therefore the enhancement of CDD exaggerates comorbid blockade of NMDARs by TCAs and places their potencies within the range of therapeutic concentrations required for neuropathic pain treatments. Actually, other Na$^+$/Ca$^{2+}$-exchanger inhibitors like lithium ions or KB-R7943 demonstrate Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inhibition of NMDARs (Sibarov et al., 2015; Sibarov et al., 2018) and exhibit an antinoceptive effect in neuropathic pain models (Shimizu et al., 2000; Huang et al., 2019). It is likely that Na$^+$/Ca$^{2+}$-exchanger and the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inhibition of NMDARs represent promising targets to treat neuropathic pain pharmacologically.

The contribution of one or other mechanism of NMDAR inhibition is activity-dependent because the voltage-dependent block weakens with glutamate-induced membrane depolarization, but the Ca$^{2+}$-dependent inhibition augments with glutamate elicited Ca$^{2+}$ entry into the cytoplasm of depolarized neurons. These two modes of TCAs action on NMDARs most likely govern the different therapeutic profiles and side effects of these drugs.
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