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Abstract
In various branches of applied sciences, porous medium equations exist where this basic model occurs in a natural fashion. It has been used to model fluid flow, chemical reactions, diffusion or heat transfer, population dynamics, etc. Nonlinear diffusion equations involving the porous medium equations have also been extensively studied. However, there has not been much research effort in the parabolic problem for porous medium equations with two nonlinear boundary sources in the literature. This paper addresses the following porous medium equations with nonlinear boundary conditions. Firstly, we obtain finite time blow up on the boundary by using the maximum principle and blow up criteria and existence criteria by using steady state of the equation

$$
\begin{align*}
  \frac{\partial k}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k^n \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \right), & x \in (0, L), & t \in (0, T), \\
  (k^n)_x (0, t) &= k^\alpha (0, t), \\
  (k^n)_x (L, t) &= k^\beta (L, t), & t \in (0, T), \\
  k (x, 0) &= k_0 (x), & x \in [0, L],
\end{align*}
$$

(1.1)

where \( n > 1, \alpha, \beta, L > 0 \) and \( T \in (0, \infty) \). The initial function \( k_0 (x) \) is an positive initial function satisfying the boundary conditions

$$
(k^n)_x (0, 0) = k^\alpha (0, 0), \\
(k^n)_x (L, 0) = k^\beta (L, 0),
$$

Suppose \( T_\beta \) is a finite blow up time and \( \Psi \) is a blow up point since \( \Psi \in [0, L] \). The solution of (1.1) is said to blow up such that

$$
\lim_{{t \to T_\beta}} \sup \{ k(\Psi, t) : 0 \leq x \leq L \} \to \infty.
$$
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1. Introduction

In this paper, we examine to get blow up properties for the following porous medium equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
  \frac{\partial k}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( k^n \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \right), \\
  (k^n)_x (0, t) &= k^\alpha (0, t), \\
  (k^n)_x (L, t) &= k^\beta (L, t), \\
  k (x, 0) &= k_0 (x), & x \in [0, L],
\end{align*}
$$

(1.1)

Received: 07-02-2020, Accepted: 18-12-2020
The porous medium equations appear in different branches of applied sciences where this simple model appears in a natural way. It has been used to model fluid flow, chemical reactions, heat transfer or diffusion, population dynamics, and so on. Nonlinear diffusion equations involving the porous medium equations have been considered comprehensively (cf. the book by Vazquez [10], [2], [3], [4], [7], [9], [11]). Lately, the authors considered to get blow-up properties of various reaction-diffusion equations with various boundary conditions ([2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [8], [9]). For example, Ozalp and Selcuk [8] studied to get blow-up properties in the following porous medium equation

\[
\begin{align*}
&k_t = k_{xx}, \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t \in (0, T), \\
&k_x(0, t) = k^\alpha(0, t), \quad k_x(L, t) = k^\beta(L, t), \quad t \in (0, T), \\
&k(x, 0) = k_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L],
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\alpha, \beta, L > 0\) and \(T \in (0, \infty)\). They obtained finite time blow up on the right boundary if \(k_0(x)\) is a lower solution, \(\beta > \alpha\) and \(\beta > 1\). They given blow up criteria or non-blow up criteria via steady-state. Jiang, et. al. [7] studied to get blow-up properties in the following heat equation

\[
\begin{align*}
&k_t(x, t, \mu) = h^{\alpha}(x, t), \quad x \in (0, L), \quad t \in (0, T), \\
&k_x(0, t) = k^\alpha(0, t), \quad k_x(L, t) = k^\beta(L, t), \quad t \in (0, T), \\
&k(x, 0) = k_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L],
\end{align*}
\]

where \(L = 1, n > 1, \alpha, \beta > 0\) and \(T \in (0, \infty)\). They obtained finite time blow up and blow up rate by using certain conditions.

Nonlinear partial differential equations are applied in the mathematical modelling of the many problems in biology, chemistry, physics, and engineering. The porous medium equations with a nonlinear source in heat flow problems have been extensively studied in the literature. However, porous medium equations with two nonlinear sources in the parabolic problems has not received much attention. The existing research on this topic is sparse. This gap in the literature will be tried to be filled in this paper. Motivated by problems (1.2) and (1.3), we deal with problems have been extensively studied in the literature. However, porous medium equations with two nonlinear sources in the parabolic problems has not received much attention. The existing research on this topic is sparse. This gap in the literature will be tried to be filled in this paper. Motivated by problems (1.2) and (1.3), we deal with the blow up character of problem (1.1). Firstly, we obtain single blow up point for \(x = L\) in finite time by using the certain assumptions and maximum principle. Also, we give blow up criteria and existence criteria by using steady state.

### 2. Blow up Properties

In this section, we examine to get single blow up point for (1.1).

**Definition 2.1.** A lower solution for the problem (1.1) is called a function \(\zeta\) that satisfies the following conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_t &\leq (\zeta^n)_{xx}, \quad (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, T), \\
(\zeta^n)_x(0, t) &\geq \zeta^n(0, t), \quad (\zeta^n)_x(L, t) \leq \zeta^n(L, t), \quad t \in (0, T), \\
\zeta(x, 0) &\leq k_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L].
\end{align*}
\]

It is an upper solution when the reverse inequalities are satisfied.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose \(\mu = \mu(x, t, \mu_0)\) and \(\zeta = \zeta(x, t, \zeta_0)\) be solutions of (1.1) with \(\mu_0 = \mu_0(x)\) and \(\zeta_0 = \zeta_0(x)\), respectively. If \(\mu_0 \leq \zeta_0 < 1\), then \(\mu \leq \zeta\) on \([0, L] \times [0, T]\).

The proof of Lemma 2.1 is a trivial modification of Theorem 1 in [1].

If we use the transform \(k^n = h, \alpha_1 = \alpha/n\) and \(\beta_1 = \beta/n\) in (1.1), then we get following problem:

\[
\begin{align*}
&h_t = B(h)h_{xx}, \quad (x, t) \in (0, L) \times (0, T), \\
h_x(0, t) = h^{\alpha_1}(0, t), \quad h_x(L, t) = h^{\beta_1}(L, t), \quad t \in (0, T), \\
h_0(x) = k^n_0(x), \quad x \in [0, L],
\end{align*}
\]

where \(B(h) = nh^{(n-1)/n} > 0\) for \(h > 0\). Instead of (1.1), we use (2.1) in this section for convenience.

**Remark 2.1.** It is easily prove that, if \(B(h_0)h^n_0(x) \geq 0\) in \([0, L]\), then \(h_t \geq 0\) in \([0, L] \times (0, T)\). Also, \(h_t > 0\) in \((0, L) \times (0, T)\) using strong maximum principle. Also, since \(h_x(0, t) = h^{\alpha_1}(0, t) > 0\) and \(B(h_0)h_{xx} = h_t > 0\) in \((0, L) \times (0, T)\). For this, \(h_x\) is a nondecreasing function and therefore \(h_x(x, t) > 0\) in \((0, L) \times (0, T)\).
Theorem 2.1. Let $M_0 = \max_{x \in [0,L]} h_0(x), G(\lambda) = \lambda^{\beta_1}$ and $\xi$ is a positive constant. The solution $h(x,t)$ blows up in a finite time $T_{\beta} \left( \leq \frac{1}{\xi} \left( \frac{M_0^{-\beta_1+1}}{\beta_1-1} \right) \right)$ if and only if
\[ \int_{h_0(x)}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{G(\lambda)} < \infty. \] (2.2)
is satisfies, $\beta_1 \geq \alpha_1$ and $\beta_1 > 1$. Also, a blow up rate is given
\[ h(x,t) \leq C(T-t)^{1/(\beta_1-1)}, \]since $C$ is a positive constant and $t$ sufficiently close to $T$.

**Proof.** Let $\sigma \in (0,T)$ and $\xi$ is a positive constant. Define the auxiliary function
\[ \Delta(x,t) = h_t(x,t) - \xi h^{\beta_1}(x,t), \]in $[0,L] \times [\sigma,T]$. Thus, $\Delta(x,t)$ supplies
\[ \Delta_t - B(h) \Delta_{xx} = (B'(h)/B(h)) h_t^2 + B(h) \xi \beta_1 (\beta_1 - 1) h^{\beta_1-2} h_x^2 > 0 \]in $(0,L) \times (\sigma,T)$, where $n > 1$ and $\beta_1 > 1$. $\Delta(x,\sigma) \geq 0$ by Remark 2.1, if $\xi$ is sufficiently small. Further, we have
\[ \Delta_x(0,t) \leq \alpha_1 h^{\alpha_1-1}(0,t) \Delta(0,t), \]
\[ \Delta_x(L,t) = \beta_1 h^{\beta_1-1}(L,t) \Delta(L,t), \]since $\beta_1 \geq \alpha_1$ and $t \in (\sigma,T)$. So, it is easily see that $\Delta(x,t) \geq 0$ for $(x,t) \in [0,L] \times [\sigma,T)$ using the maximum principle and Hopf’s lemma. Therefore, we have
\[ h_t(x,t) \geq \xi h^{\beta_1}(x,t), \]in $(x,t) \in [0,L] \times [\sigma,T]$. Hence, integrating for $t$ from $t$ to $T$
\[ \int_t^T \frac{h_t(x,t)}{h^{\beta_1}(x,t)} dt \geq \xi(T-t). \]Since $x^{\beta_1}$ is a blow up point and $\sup_{x^{\beta_1} \in [0,L]} h(x^{\beta_1},T) \to \infty$ as $T \to \infty$ and $M_0 = \max_{x \in [0,L]} h(x,0)$, then we have
\[ \int_{M_0}^{h(x^{\beta_1},T)} \frac{d\lambda}{G(\lambda)} \geq \xi(T-t), \]where $G(\lambda) = \lambda^{\beta_1}$. But if assumption (2.2) is satisfied, we have a contradiction. Therefore, it blows up in a finite time
\[ T \leq \frac{1}{\xi} \left( \frac{M_0^{-\beta_1+1}}{\beta_1-1} \right). \]In addition to, we have a blow up rate
\[ h(x,t) \leq C(T-t)^{1/(\beta_1-1)}, \]where $C = (\xi (\beta_1 - 1))^{1/(\beta_1-1)}$.

**Theorem 2.2.** If $B(h_0)h_0''(x) \geq 0$ in $[0,L]$ and $\beta_1 > 1$ are satisfies, then the single blow up point is $x = L$.

**Proof.** Let $d_1 \in [0,L], d_2 \in (d_1,L], \sigma \in (0,T)$ and $\xi > 0$. Define the auxiliary function
\[ \Delta(x,t) = h_x - \xi (x-d_1) h^{\beta_1} \text{ in } [d_1,d_2] \times [\sigma,T). \]Hence, $\Delta(x,t)$ satisfies
\[ \Delta_t - B(h) \Delta_{xx} = (B'(h)/B(h)) h_x h_t + B(h)(2\xi \beta_1 h^{\beta_1-1} h_x + \xi \beta_1 (\beta_1 - 1) (x-d_1) h^{\beta_1-2} h_x^2) > 0 \]
in \((d_1, d_2) \times [0, T]\), where \(h_x, h_t > 0, n > 1\) and \(\beta_1 > 1\). \(\Delta(x, \sigma) \geq 0\) by Remark 2.1, if \(\varepsilon\) is sufficiently small. Further
\[
\Delta(d_1, t) = h_x(d_1, t) > 0, \\
\Delta(d_2, t) = h_x(d_2, t) - \xi (d_2 - d_1) h^{\beta_1} > 0,
\]
for \(t \in (\sigma, T)\). So, it is easily see that \(\Delta(x, t) \geq 0\) for \((x, t) \in [d_1, d_2] \times [0, T]\) using the maximum principle. That is, \(h_x \geq \xi (x - d_1) h^{\beta_1}\) for \((x, t) \in [d_1, d_2] \times [\sigma, T]\). Hence, integrating for \(x\) from \(d_1\) to \(d_2\),
\[
h(d_1, t) \leq \left[\frac{(\xi (1 - \beta_1)(d_2 - d_1)^2}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_1}} < \infty.
\]
So \(h\) does not blow up in \([0, L]\).

**Theorem 2.3.** If \(\beta_1 > 1\), \(B(h_0) h_0^\alpha (x) \geq 0\) and \(h_x(x, 0) \geq x h^{\beta_1}(x, 0)\) in \([0, L]\) are satisfies, then the single blow up point is \(x = L\) and \(L \leq 1\).

**Proof.** Define the auxiliary function
\[
\Delta(x, t) = h_x - x h^{\beta_1} \text{ in } [0, L] \times [0, T].
\]
Thus, \(\Delta(x, t)\) supplies
\[
\Delta_t - B(h) \Delta_{xx} = (B'(h)/B(h)) h_x h_t + B(h)(2 \beta_1 h^{\beta_1 - 1} h_x + \beta_1 (1 - \beta_1) x h^{\beta_1 - 2} h_x^2) > 0
\]
in \((0, L) \times (0, T)\), where \(h_x, h_t > 0, n > 1\) and \(\beta_1 > 1\). Besides, \(\Delta(0, t) \geq 0\) from \(h_x(x, 0) \geq x h^{\beta_1}(x, 0)\) in \((0, L)\) and
\[
\Delta(0, t) = h^{\alpha_1}(0, t) > 0, \\
\Delta(L, t) = (1 - L) h^{\beta_1}(L, t) \geq 0,
\]
since \(L \leq 1\) and \(t \in (0, T)\). So, it is easily see that \(\Delta(x, t) \geq 0\) using the maximum principle, namely \(h_x \geq x h^{\beta_1}\) for \((x, t) \in [0, L] \times [0, T]\). Hence, integrating for \(x\) from \(x\) to \(L\),
\[
h(x, t) \leq \left[(\beta_1 - 1) \frac{L^2 - x^2}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{1+\beta_1}} < \infty.
\]
So \(h\) does not blow up in \([0, L]\).

Now, we get blow up criteria and existence criteria using steady state. We follow similar process as lemma and theorem in [1]. We define the steady states of the problem (1.1);
\[
K_x^{\alpha} = 0, \ K_x^{\alpha} (0) = K^{\alpha}(0), \ K_x^{\alpha} (L) = K^{\beta}(L).
\]
If we transform \(K^{\alpha} = H, \alpha_1 = \alpha/n\) and \(\beta_1 = \beta/n\) in (2.3), we get the following problem
\[
H_{xx} = 0, \ H_x (0) = H^{\alpha_1}(0), \ H_x (L) = H^{\beta_1}(L),
\]
we have \(H = b + \lambda x\), where
\[
\lambda = b^{\alpha_1}, \lambda = (b + \lambda L)^{\beta_1}.
\]
For these, the solution of (2.4) is
\[
H = b + b^{\alpha_1} x,
\]
where \(b^{\alpha_1} = (b + b^{\alpha_1} L)^{\beta_1}\). It is esaily see that
\[
L(b) = b^{-\alpha_1} \left(b^{\alpha_1/\beta_1} - b\right).
\]
We obtain
\[
\lim_{b \to 0} L(b) = \lim_{b \to 0} \frac{b^{\alpha_1/\beta_1} - b}{b^{\alpha_1}} = \infty.
\]
But, we obtain by using L'Hôpital's rule two times
\[
\lim_{b \to 0} L(b) = \lim_{b \to 0} \frac{\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} \left( \frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_1 - 1} b^{(\alpha_1/\beta_1) - 2} \right)}{\alpha_1 (\alpha_1 - 1)^{\alpha_1 - 2}} = 0
\]
for \( \alpha_1 \neq 1 \) and \( \beta_1 \neq 1 \). If \( \gamma \) is a positive number, which is very close to 0, then we get \( L(\gamma) = 0 \) and \( L(1) = 0 \). Also, if we select \( \alpha_1 > \beta_1 \), then we note that \( L(b) > 0 \) for \( \gamma < b < 1 \). Now, \( L(b) = 0 \) implies
\[
b = \left( \frac{\beta_1 (1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)} \right)^{\frac{\beta_1 (1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)}}.
\]  
(2.7)

We denote this value by \( M \). From (2.6),
\[
M = \left[ \frac{\beta_1 (1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)} \right]^{\frac{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1}} - \left[ \frac{\beta_1 (1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)} \right]^{\frac{\beta_1 (1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)}}.
\]

**Lemma 2.2.**

(i) If \( \beta_1 \geq \alpha_1 \), then the steady-state problem (2.4) does not have a positive solution.

(ii) If \( \alpha_1 > \beta_1 \), then (2.4) has a solution \( h \) if and only if \( 0 < L \leq M \). Furthermore, if \( 0 < L < M \), then there exist two positive solutions; if \( L = M \), then there exists exactly one positive solution.

**Proof.** (i) For \( L(b) > 0 \), we have
\[
L(b) = b^{-\alpha_1 + \alpha_1/\beta_1} - b^{-\alpha_1 + 1}
\]
which is impossible for \( \beta_1 \geq \alpha_1 \).

(ii) Since \( L(\gamma) = 0 = L(1) \) and \( L(b) > 0 \) for \( \gamma < b < 1 \), the graph of \( L(b) \) is concave downwards with maximum attained at \( M \). Thus for \( \alpha_1 > \beta_1 \), the problem (2.4) has a solution if and only if \( 0 < L \leq M \). To each \( L \in (0, M) \), there are exactly two values of \( b \). If \( L = M \), then \( b \) is given by (2.7).

**Theorem 2.4.**

(i) If \( \alpha_1 > \beta_1 \) and \( L \in (0, M) \), then \( h \) exists globally, provided \( h_0 \leq H(0) \).

(ii) Suppose that the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 hold. Then, \( h \) blows up in a finite time and \( x = L \) is the only blowup point. Further, if \( h_x(x, 0) \geq x h^{\beta_1}(x, 0) \) in \( (0, L) \), then \( L \leq 1 \).

**Proof.** (i) By Lemma 2.1, \( h \leq H \). Hence \( h \) exists globally.

(ii) By Remark 2.1, \( h_t > 0 \) in \( (0, L) \times (0, T) \). If \( h \) does not blow up in a finite time, then \( h \) converges to \( H \) which by Lemma 2.2 (i), does not exist for \( \beta_1 \geq \alpha_1 \). This contradiction and Theorem 2.1 shows that \( h \) blows up in a finite time for \( \beta_1 \geq \alpha_1 \). Further, from Theorem 2.2, \( x = L \) is the single blow up point. Furthermore, from Theorem 2.3, if \( h_x(x, 0) \geq x h^{\beta_1}(x, 0) \) in \( (0, L) \), then \( L \leq 1 \). The theorem is proved.

**3. Conclusion**

The main results in (1.1) are the following;

(i) the solution \( h(x, t) \) blows up in a finite time \( T_\beta \left( \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\beta_1 - \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{\beta_1 - 1}}{\beta_1 - 1} \right) \) since \( \beta_1 \geq \alpha_1 \) and \( \beta_1 > 1 \),

(ii) the single blow up point is \( x = L \) since \( \beta_1 \geq \alpha_1 > 1 \) and \( B(h_0) h''_0(x) \geq 0 \) in \( [0, L] \),

(iii) the single blow up point is \( x = L \) and \( L \leq 1 \) since \( \beta_1 > 1 \), \( B(h_0) h''_0(x) \geq 0 \) and \( h_x(x, 0) \geq x h^{\beta_1}(x, 0) \) in \( [0, L] \),

(iv) \( h(x, t) \) exists globally since \( h_0 \leq H(0), \alpha_1 > \beta_1 \) and \( L \in (0, M) \) where \( M = \left[ \frac{\beta_1 (1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)} \right]^{\frac{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)}{\alpha_1 - \alpha_1}} - \left[ \frac{\beta_1 (1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)} \right]^{\frac{\beta_1 (1 - \alpha_1)}{\alpha_1 (1 - \beta_1)}} \).
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