Search for exotics in the rare decay $B \rightarrow J/\psi KKK$ at BABAR.
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Abstract. One of the most intriguing puzzles in hadron spectroscopy are the numerous charmonium-like states observed in the last decade, including charged states that are manifestly exotic. Over the years, the experiment BABAR has extensively studied those in $B$ meson decays, initial state radiation processes and two photon reactions. We report in this paper a new study on some of those states, performed using the entire data sample collected by BABAR in $e^+e^-$ collisions, at center of mass energies near 10.58 GeV/$c^2$. The study of the process $B \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K$ will be presented, and the search for the resonant states $X(4140)$ and $X(4270)$ in their decays to $J/\psi \phi$, will be highlighted.

1 Motivation

Strangeness in charmonium seems a sector still to be exploited. While resonant structures like the $X(3872)$ have been seen in $B \rightarrow KK, X \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$, or like $Y(4260)$ by investigating the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \gamma_{ISR}X, X \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+\pi^-$ [1–3], no indication of new states has been observed in the $J/\psi K^+K^-$ invariant mass system, until the paper quoted in Ref. [4] highlighted the possibility of a couple of resonant states, decaying to $J/\psi \phi$, with $\phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ and $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$. These observations are nowadays controversial.

The rare decay $B \rightarrow J/\psi \phi K$ is interesting because it is a promising place to search for new resonances, as it proceeds, at quark level, via the weak transition $b \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$. It could be a quasi 2-body decay, $B \rightarrow X_gK$, with $X_g \rightarrow J/\psi \phi$, where $X_g = |gc\bar{c}s\bar{s}>$, with gluonic contribution ($g$).

The QCD spectrum is much richer than that of the naive quark model, as the gluons, which mediate the strong force between quarks, can also act as principal components of entirely new types of hadrons. These gluonic hadrons fall into two general categories: glueballs (excited states of pure glue) and hybrids (resonances consisting largely of a quark, an antiquark, and excited glue).

We look for possible resonant states decaying to two mesons: $J/\psi$, and another meson with strange $s$-quark content. The present analysis describes the case of $X_g \rightarrow J/\psi \phi, \phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$. We look also for exotic charged states, such as $Z \rightarrow J/\psi K^+$. $J/\psi$ and $\phi$ are 2 vector states, so non-parametrizable polarization effects can be shown in the dynamics of their interaction. Thus, more complications can arise compared to the phase-space (PHSP) model. Exotic quantum number combinations are theoretically allowed in this case. Predictions for hybrids come mainly from calculations based on the bag model, flux tube model, constituent gluon model and recently, with increasing precision, from Lattice QCD.
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We present a new determination of the branching fraction (BF) of $B^{\pm,0} \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- K^{\mp,0}$ and $B^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- K^{0}$, using eight times more data than the previous analyses\cite{ref5, ref6}. A study of the $J/\psi\phi$ invariant mass spectrum is later reported, together with the invariant mass study of the $J/\psi K$ and the $KKK$ invariant mass systems. The upper limit (UL) of the decay $B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ is also presented.

2 Analysis strategy and results

The analysis $B^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- K^+$, $B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- K^{0}$, $B^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$, $B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^{0}$ and $B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ are performed using 469 million $BB$ pairs collected by BABAR. With $B^{+}$ we will imply in the text also the charged conjugate $B^{-}$. $B^{+}$ candidates are reconstructed by combining the $J/\psi$ candidate, reconstructed to $e^+e^-$ and $\mu^+\mu^-$, with three loosely identified kaons. $J/\psi$ is mass constraint. Similarly, for $B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi K^- K^+ K^{0}$ candidates, we combine the $J/\psi$ and $K^{0}$ with two loosely identified kaons and a $K^{0}$, which is formed by geometrically constraining a pair of oppositely charged tracks to a common vertex. $K^{0}$ is reconstructed to $\pi^+\pi^-$. The $\phi$(1020) signal region is selected in the mass range $[1.004; 1.034]$ GeV/c$^2$. An unbinned maximum likelihood fit is performed to extract the yield and calculate the BFs. Detailed explanation on these calculations are presented in Ref. \cite{ref7}, together with the relevant discussion for the non-resonant $K^+ K^-$ contribution to the BF of $B \rightarrow J/\psi KK$ and systematic uncertainty calculation. Here we report only the relevant information for the analysis of the three invariant mass distributions: $J/\psi$, $J/\psi K$, $KKK$, for both charged and neutral B samples.

In this analysis we calculate also: $R_{f} = \mathcal{B}(B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^{0})/\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^{+}) = 0.48 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.02$, and $R_{KK} = \mathcal{B}(B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- K^{0})/\mathcal{B}(B^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi K^+ K^- K^{0}) = 0.52 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.03$; we find values in agreement with the expectation of the spectator quark model (e.g., ratio $R \sim 0.5$). These are first measurements. For the first time the non-resonant $K^+ K^-$ contribution to the BF of $B \rightarrow J/\psi KK$ is observed.

No evidence of signal is found for $B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$, in agreement with theoretical predictions: we evaluate UL $< 1.01 \cdot 10^{-6}$ at 90% confidence level (CL).

We search for the resonant states reported by the CDF Collaboration in the $J/\psi\phi$ mass spectrum. The masses and the widths in our fit are fixed to values according to Ref. \cite{ref4}.
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**Figure 1.** Efficiency distribution on the Dalitz plot for (a) $B^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^{+}$ and (b) $B^{0} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^{0}$. (c) Average efficiency distribution as a function of the $J/\psi\phi$ mass for $B^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^{+}$.

We observed significant efficiency decrease at low $J/\psi\phi$ mass (see Fig. 1), due to the inability to reconstruct slow kaons in the laboratory frame, as a result of energy loss in the beampipe and SVT material. We perform an unbinned maximum likelihood fit to the channel $B^{+} \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^{+}$, modeling the resonances with an incoherent sum of two S-wave relativistic Breit-Wigner (BW) functions with parameters fixed to the CDF values \cite{ref4}. A non-resonant contribution is described according to PHSP.
Figure 2. Dalitz plot projections for $B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+$ on (a) $m^2_{J/\psi\phi}$, (b) $m^2_{\phi K^+}$, and (c) $m^2_{J/\psi K^+}$. The continuous (red) curves are the results from fit model performed including the $X(4140)$ and $X(4270)$ resonances. The dashed (blue) curve in (a) indicates the projection for fit model with no resonances included in the fit. The shaded (yellow) histograms indicate the evaluated background.

Figure 3. Projections on the $J/\psi\phi$ mass spectrum from the Dalitz plot fit with the $X(4140)$ and the $X(4270)$ resonances for the (a) $B^+$, (b) $B^0$, and (c) combined $B^+$ and $B^0$ data samples. The continuous (red) curves result from the fit; the dashed (blue) curve in (a) indicates the projection for fit model D, with no resonances. The shaded (yellow) histograms show the estimated background contributions.

The decay of a pseudoscalar meson to two vector states contains high spin contributions which could generate non-uniform angular distributions. However, due to the limited data sample (212 yield for $B^+$ and 50 for $B^0$, in the signal area, respectively) we do not include such angular terms, and assume that the resonances decay isotropically. The fit function is weighted by the inverse of the two-dimensional efficiency computed on the Dalitz plots (see the continuous red curve in Fig. 2-3).

We perform the fits using models with two resonances (Fig. 2-3), one resonance, and no resonances. All models provide a reasonably good description of the data, with $\chi^2$ probability larger than 5%. We obtain the following corrected-estimates for the fractions for $B^+$, where the central values of mass and width of the two resonances are fixed to the values recently published from CDF\textsuperscript{[4]} (Eq. 1) and CMS \textsuperscript{[8]} (Eq. 2), respectively:

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
    f_{X(4140)} &= (9.2 \pm 3.3 \pm 4.7)\%,
    f_{X(4270)} &= (10.6 \pm 4.8 \pm 7.1)\%, \\
    f_{X(4140)} &= (13.2 \pm 3.8 \pm 6.8)\%,
    f_{X(4270)} &= (10.9 \pm 5.2 \pm 7.3)\%.
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

These values are consistent with each others within the uncertainties. For comparison, CMS reported a fraction of $0.10 \pm 0.03$ for the $X(4140)$, compatible with CDF, LHCb and our values within
the uncertainties. CMS could not determine reliably the significance of the second structure X(4270) due to possible reflections of two-body decays. A significance smaller than 2σ is found for the 2 peaks, within systematic uncertainties. Using the Feldman-Cousins method[9], we obtain the ULs at 90% CL:

\[ BF(B^+ \rightarrow X(4140)K^+) \times BF(X(4140) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi)/BF(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+) < 0.135, \]  

\[ BF(B^+ \rightarrow X(4270)K^+) \times BF(X(4270) \rightarrow J/\psi\phi)/BF(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\phi K^+) < 0.184. \]  

The X(4140) limit may be compared with the CDF measurement of 0.149 ± 0.039 ± 0.024 [4] and the LHCb limit of 0.07 [10]. The X(4270) limit may be compared with the LHCb limit of 0.08.

A detailed description of all BFs and ULs shortly introduced in this report is provided in Ref. [7]; this work has been recently submitted to PRD.

As an additional contribution to Ref. [7], which explains in detail this analysis performed by BABAR, we provide some plots for a comparison between the BABAR data and the data published in Ref. [4,8,10,11]. In Fig. 4 you can see a comparison among the data of other experiments that published on the J/ψφ invariant mass for B⁺ → J/ψφK⁺: the data are scaled by a factor taking into consideration the different integrated luminosity used from each experiment, and they are also background-subtracted, using information from Ref. [4,8,10,11]. We also rebin properly the data in the histograms of Fig. 4-5, for the correct comparison. Fig. 5 shows the comparison between the BABAR data, re-weighted by the Dalitz efficiency and background-subtracted, and what was published from other experiments.

The other experiments investigate only the B⁺ decay within a limited J/ψφ mass region, which is different for each experiment, while BABAR analyzed the full range of J/ψφ for both decay modes, B⁰ and B⁺, where J/ψ → e⁺e⁻ and J/ψ → µ⁺µ⁻. For the other experiments the BFs are not estimated, so we cannot do comparison between our BF measurements and the others.
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In summary, we observed signal for the decays B⁺ → J/ψK⁺K⁻K⁺, B⁰ → J/ψK⁺K⁻K⁰, B⁺ → J/ψφK⁺ and B⁰ → J/ψφK⁰, obtaining currently the most precise BF measurements. We search
for resonance production in the $J/\psi\phi$ mass spectrum and obtain significances below $2\sigma$ for both the $X(4140)$ and the $X(4270)$ resonances, within systematic uncertainties. Limits on the BF of these resonances are obtained. We find that the hypothesis that the events are distributed uniformly on the Dalitz plot gives a poorer description of the data. We also search for $B^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\phi$ and derive an UL on the BF for this decay mode, which is in agreement with theoretical expectations. The invariant mass distributions of $J/\psi K$ and $KKK$ do not show peaking structures.
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