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ABSTRACT

We present a multiwavelength study of the poor cluster RX J1117.4+0743 ([VMF 98] 097) at z = 0.485, based on GMOS/Gemini South g' and r' photometry and spectroscopy, and XMM-Newton observations. We examine its nature and surroundings by analyzing the projected galaxy distribution, the galaxy velocity distribution, the weak-lensing mass reconstruction, and the X-ray spectroscopy and imaging. The cluster is composed by at least two structures along the line of sight, with $\sigma_Y = 592 \pm 82$ and $391 \pm 85 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, respectively. Other structures are also detected in X-ray, in the galaxy projected number density map, and by weak lensing. The derived temperature and bolometric X-ray luminosity reveal that [VMF 98] 097 behaves like a normal cluster, in agreement with $L_x - T_x$ correlation found for $z = 0$ and at $z \sim 0.4$ clusters. The mass determination inferred from weak lensing is on average $3-4.8$ times higher than the X-ray mass. We have two possible explanations for this discrepancy: (1) the cluster is in nonequilibrium, then the deviation of the X-ray estimated mass from the true value can be as high as a factor of 2; (2) the intervening mass along the line of sight of the cluster is producing an overestimation of the weak-lensing mass. Based on the analysis, we conclude that [VMF 98] 097 is a perturbed cluster with at least two substructures in velocity space and with other nearby structures at projected distances of about $1 \, h^{-1}_{70} \text{ Mpc}$. This is an example of a poor cluster caught in the process of accreting substructures to become a rich cluster.

Subject headings: dark matter — cosmology: observations — galaxies: clusters: individual ([VMF 98] 097) — gravitational lensing — X-rays: galaxies: clusters

Online material: color figures

1. INTRODUCTION

Clusters of galaxies are the largest gravitationally bound systems in the universe. They are excellent laboratories for studying the large-scale structure formation, structure mass assembly, and galaxy evolution. Numerical simulations show that massive clusters of galaxies form through hierarchical merging of smaller structures (e.g., West 1991; Richstone et al. 1992; Jenkins et al. 1998; Colberg et al. 1999). Clusters are complex systems, including a variety of interacting components such as galaxies, X-ray-emitting gas, and dark matter. Optical and X-ray studies show that a large fraction of clusters contains substructures, revealing that clusters are indeed dynamically active structures, accreting galaxies and groups of galaxies from their neighborhoods (e.g., Lima Neto et al. 2003). Even though it is thought that rich clusters form at redshifts 0.8–1.2 (or as high as 3.0; see Holden et al. 2004), there are numerous evidences (optical, X-ray) that clusters are still accreting substructures at intermediate and low redshifts (e.g., van Dokkum et al. 1998; Ferrari et al. 2005; Gonzalez et al. 2005). We may witness the assembly of rich clusters by observing large groups or poor clusters, which, in turn, would be the future core of rich clusters. The details of this process will depend in part on how these large groups/poor clusters relate to nearby structures.

Most galaxies in the universe are concentrated in low-density environments (groups and poor clusters). For intermediate redshifts, $z \sim 0.3–0.5$, while massive clusters of galaxies have been widely studied, the intermediate-mass systems, those between loose groups and rich clusters of galaxies, have received comparatively little attention, either in X-rays or in the optical. In the context of the hierarchical structure formation scenario, an intermediate-mass system is a fundamental player to understand the process involved in the assembly of massive clusters of galaxies.

X-ray observations of intermediate-mass structures are particularly interesting, since the cluster X-ray faint-end luminosity function has eventually to turn over if the luminosity function of clusters is to meet that of single brightest elliptical galaxies with X-ray luminosities of a few $10^{41} \text{ ergs s}^{-1}$. If this gap at intermediate luminosities could be closed, an X-ray luminosity function of all galactic systems could eventually be established, in analogy to that existing for the optical (Bahcall 1979). In addition, the spatial distribution of low-mass clusters at intermediate-redshifts could be studied in order to map regions just entering the nonlinear regime, i.e., $\delta/\rho \sim 1$.

In the optical, the intermediate-mass systems are also of great importance and have received little attention. Many previous works have focused on the study of the galaxy population at intermediate redshifts, but mostly in rich clusters of galaxies. These have established that the morphological content of galaxy clusters at intermediate redshift differs dramatically from that in nearby clusters (e.g., Dressler et al. 1997; Oemler et al. 1997; Smail et al. 1997).
Indeed, at $z \approx 0.3-0.5$ there is an excess of spirals and a deficiency of lenticular galaxies in cluster cores when compared with the galaxy population in nearby clusters. It has been shown by these studies that the morphology-density relation is strong for concentrated, "regular" clusters, but nearly absent for clusters that are less concentrated and irregular, in contrast to the situation for low-redshift clusters, where a strong relation has been found for both. Dressler et al. (1997) suggests that these observations indicate that the morphological segregation proceeds hierarchically along the time; i.e., irregular clusters at intermediate redshifts are not old enough to present segregation. However, nearby irregular clusters seem to be evolved enough to establish the correlation.

A few poor clusters or groups at intermediate redshifts have been studied, either in X-ray and/or in the optical (e.g., Ramella et al. 1999; Carlberg et al. 2001; Wilman et al. 2005; Mulchaey et al. 2006). One example is the work of Balogh et al. (2002), which presented the first spectroscopic survey of intrinsically low X-ray luminosity clusters ($L_X < 4 \times 10^{43}$) at intermediate redshifts $0.23 < z < 0.3$. The 10 systems studied have velocity dispersions in the range $350-850 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, and are consistent with the local $L_X-\sigma$ correlation. They also find that the spectral and morphological properties of galaxies in these clusters are similar to those found in more massive systems at similar redshifts. More recently, Jeltema et al. (2006) described the properties of six intermediate-redshift groups ($0.2 < z < 0.6$) observed with XMM-Newton and concluded that they follow the same scaling relation observed in nearby groups.

In this paper we analyze the properties of the low-luminosity X-ray cluster of galaxies RXJ1117.4+0743—hereafter [VMF 98] 097—based on optical and X-ray data. The cluster was selected from the 160 Square Degree ROSAT Cluster Survey (Vikhlinin et al. 1998) and is part of an ongoing project to study the cluster properties and the galaxy population of poor clusters in the redshift range $0.15 < z < 0.5$. This paper is arranged as follows. In §§ 2 and 3 we describe the optical and X-ray data, respectively. Section 4 shows the results based on the analysis of these data: the velocity distribution, the galaxy projected distribution, the cluster color-magnitude diagram, a weak-lensing analysis, and a study of the mass distribution, based on weak lensing and X-ray emission. In § 5 we discuss the evolutionary status of [VMF 98] 097, and in § 6 we summarize our conclusions. Throughout this paper we adopt when necessary a standard cosmological model: $H_0 = 70h_{70} \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, $\Omega_m = 0.3$, and $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.7$. At $z = 0.485$, $1''$ corresponds to $6.0 h_{70}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$.

2. OPTICAL OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

This study is based on data collected with the Gemini Multi-Object Spectrograph (hereafter GMOS; Hook et al. 2004) at the Gemini South Telescope during the system verification process of the instrument.

2.1. Imaging

The cluster was imaged through the $r'$ and $g'$ Sloan filters (Fukugita et al. 1996) in 2003 March and May, using the detector array formed by three 2048 $\times$ 4608 pixels EEV CCDs. With a pixel size of 13.5 $\mu$m and a scale of $0'' 073$ pixel$^{-1}$, the detectors cover an area of $5.5$ arcmin$^2$ on the sky. A total of 12 images of 600 s in $r'$ and seven images of 900 s in $g'$ were obtained, giving effective exposure times of 7200 and 6300 s in each filter, respectively. We adopted a $2 \times 2$ binning for the images (0$'' 146$ pixel$^{-1}$ on the sky). Offsets between exposures were used to take into account the gaps between the CCDs (37 unbinned pixels) and for cosmic-ray removal. All images were observed under good transparency (photometric) and seeing conditions, with seeing median values of $0'' 77$ and $0'' 08$ in $r'$ and $g'$, respectively.

All observations were processed with the Gemini IRAF package version 1.4 inside IRAF. The images were bias/overscan-subtracted, trimmed, and flat-fielded. The final processed images were registered to a common pixel position and then combined. The $g'$ and $r'$ magnitude zero points were derived using Landolt (1992) standard stars observed immediately before and after the science exposures. The accuracy of the calibrations is of the order of 5% and 7% for $r'$ and $g'$, respectively.

We have used SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996) to detect objects in the images and to obtain their relevant photometric parameters. The combined $r'$ image was used to identify objects above a threshold of $1 \sigma_{sky}$ over the sky level (27.6 mag arcsec$^{-2}$) and with at least 10 pixels (0.21 arcsec$^2$). The photometry in the $g'$-band image was performed using the parameter ASSOC. This means that the photometric parameters in $g'$ were obtained only for those objects detected in the $r'$ image. The resulting catalog was then matched to obtain a final photometric catalog. We adopted the magnitude given by the parameter MAGAUTO as the value for the total magnitude of the objects. The colors of the objects were determined by measuring their magnitudes within a fixed 10 pixels diameter circular aperture ($1.5''$), corresponding to $9.0 h_{70}^{-1} \text{ kpc}$ at the cluster rest frame.

The SExtractor "stellarity" index (an indication of how certain an optical source is unresolved) was used to separate stars from galaxies. Objects with a stellarity index $< 0.9$ were selected as galaxies. This cut is in agreement with a separate classification done by plotting pairs of object parameters, such as central intensity versus area, central intensity versus size, and peak intensity versus size, as well as by visual control. In all cases the classifications are consistent down to $r' = 25.5$ mag. The galaxy counts calculated using the objects classified as galaxies reach their maximum at $r' = 25.8$ mag. Using this information and the uncertainties in the galaxy classification above $r' = 25.5$ mag, we have adopted this latter value as our limiting magnitude. The final catalog contains the total magnitudes, the colors, and the structural parameters for 2698 objects classified as galaxies. Of these, 1348 are brighter than 25.5 mag in $r'$ ($M_r = -16.7$ at the distance of the cluster).

2.2. Spectroscopy

The targets for spectroscopic follow-up were selected based on their magnitudes only. No color selection was applied, meaning that the sample includes galaxies of different morphological types. All galaxies with apparent magnitudes brighter than $r' = 23$ mag were selected for spectroscopy (31% of the total sample). Of these, only 79 objects were observed spectroscopically. Two masks were created: one for bright objects ($r' < 20$ mag), and another for faint objects ($20 < r' < 23$ mag).

The spectra of the galaxies were obtained with GMOS in 2003 May 29–30, during dark time, with a good transparency, and with a seeing that varied between $0'' 8$ and $0'' 9$. Total exposure times of 3600 and 6000 s were used for masks containing bright and faint objects, respectively. Small offsets of $\sim 50$ pixels in the
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spectral direction (~35 Å) toward the blue and/or the red were applied between exposures to allow for the gaps between CCDs and to avoid any lost of important absorption/absorption lines present in the spectra. Spectroscopic dome flats and comparison lamp (CuAr) spectra were taken after each science exposure. All spectra were acquired using the 400 lines mm\(^{-1}\) ruling density grating (R400) centered at 6700 Å, in order to maximize the wavelength coverage for galaxies at the cluster distance.

All science exposures, comparison lamps, and spectroscopic flats were bias-subtracted and trimmed. Spectroscopic flats were processed by removing the calibration unit plus GMOS spectral response and the calibration unit uneven illumination, normalizing and leaving only the pixel-to-pixel variations and the fringing. The resulting two-dimensional spectra were then wavelength-calibrated, corrected by S-shape distortions, sky-subtracted, and extracted to an one-dimensional format using a fixed aperture of 1.3''.

The residual values in the wavelength solution for 20–30 points using a fourth- or fifth-order Chebyshev polynomial typically yielded rms values of ~0.15–0.20 Å. With the choice of a 0.75'' slit width, the final spectra have a resolution of ~5.5 Å (measured from the arc lines FWHM) with a dispersion of ~1.37 Å pixel\(^{-1}\), covering a wavelength interval of ~4400–9800 Å (the wavelength coverage depends on the position of the slit in the GMOS field of view). Finally, the residuals of the 5577, 5890, and 6300 Å night-sky lines were removed from all spectra using a tenth-order cubic spline polynomial. Beyond 7800 Å, the residuals of night-sky lines were simply masked.

To obtain the galaxy radial velocities, we first inspected the spectra to search for obvious absorption and/or emission features characteristic of early- and late-type galaxy populations. For galaxies with clear emission lines, the routine RVIDLINE in the IRAF RV package was used employing a line-by-line Gaussian fit to measure the radial velocity. The residual of the average velocity shifts of all measurements were used to estimate the errors. For early-type galaxies, the observed spectra were cross-correlated with high signal-to-noise templates using the FXCOR program in the RV package inside IRAF. The errors given by FXCOR were estimated using the r-statistic of Tonry & Davis (1979): \(\sigma_r = (3/8|\omega/(1+r)|\), where \(\omega\) is the FWHM of the correlation peak and \(r\) is the ratio of the correlation peak height to the amplitude of the antisymmetric noise. The left panel in Figure 1 shows the smoothed spectra of three galaxies identified as cluster members, corresponding to three different spectral types: early-type (bottom), late-type (top), and intermediate-type (middle).

We were able to measure redshifts for 77 objects (~95% success rate). Seventy-five of them are galaxies and two are M-class stars. As expected, the fraction of emission-line galaxies is relatively high and constitutes 33% of the total sample. However, the fraction of emission-line galaxies that are cluster members is lower and represent only ~22% of the cluster galaxy population. The emission-line galaxy fraction is in agreement with the results obtained by Balogh et al. (2002) for 10 intrinsically low X-ray luminosity clusters \(L_x < 4 \times 10^{33} \text{ erg s}^{-1}\) at \(z \sim 0.25\) (see § 4.1 for more details). The measured redshifts, corrected to the heliocentric reference frame, and the corresponding errors are listed in Table 1 (cols. [6] and [7], respectively). The galaxy identifications and their sky coordinates are given in the first three columns. The apparent total magnitudes in r' band and the g' − r' colors inside a fixed circular aperture of 1.5'' are listed in columns (4) and (5), respectively. The R-value (Tonry & Davis 1979) listed in column (8) was used as a reliability factor of the quality of the measured velocity. For \(R > 3.5\), the resulting velocity was that associated with the template that produced the lowest error. For galaxies with \(R < 3.5\), we looked for absorption features like C IV and G band in the spectra, and performed a line-by-line Gaussian fit using the package RVIDLINE. The resulting values where then compared with the velocities given by cross-correlation. In all cases the agreement between the two procedures was good.

The histogram of the redshift distribution is presented in the right panel of Figure 1. The concentration of galaxies at \(z \approx 0.485\) (shaded area) indicates the position of the [VMF 98]097 cluster. The peak at \(z \approx 0.16\) corresponds to a group of galaxies,

![Fig. 1.—Left: Representative spectra of three galaxy members of the cluster. From top to bottom: Late-type, intermediate-type, and early-type. Right: Histogram of the redshift distribution of galaxies in the spectroscopic sample. The shaded histogram, centered at \(z \approx 0.485\), shows the position of [VMF 98]097 in the redshift space. Other foreground structures are also shown.](image-url)
| Galaxy ID | R.A. (J2000.0) | Decl. (J2000.0) | \(r_0^2\) (mag) | \((\theta' - r_0')\) (mag) | \(V_{hel}\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(v_t\) (km s\(^{-1}\)) | \(R\) | Number of Lines |
|----------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|------|----------------|
| 514      | 11 17 18.76    | +07 42 42.3    | 20.49           | 1.98            | 18,3007        | 84             | 3.39 | ...            |
| 2492     | 11 17 19.55    | +07 46 01.0    | 22.63           | 1.28            | 143,704        | 36             | 4.27 | ...            |
| 1937     | 11 17 19.36    | +07 45 07.7    | 19.41           | 0.94            | 109,039        | 36             | ...  | 8              |
| 202      | 11 17 19.35    | +07 46 18.9    | 19.85           | 0.91            | 68,022         | 10             | ...  | 9              |
| 21       | 11 17 19.76    | +07 47 03.2    | 19.11           | 1.46            | 107,761        | 45             | 3.72 | ...            |
| 1520     | 11 17 19.59    | +07 44 30.5    | 19.12           | 1.58            | 99,787         | 40             | 9.06 | ...            |
| 2079     | 11 17 21.80    | +07 45 18.4    | 22.56           | 0.98            | 144,968        | 10             | ...  | 8              |
| 2315     | 11 17 21.73    | +07 45 41.8    | 22.42           | 0.71            | 70,683         | 59             | ...  | 10             |
| 676      | 11 17 22.40    | +07 43 11.2    | 20.79           | 1.86            | 147,672        | 61             | 4.60 | ...            |
| 918      | 11 17 22.37    | +07 43 22.4    | 20.35           | 1.90            | 144,136        | 61             | 5.12 | ...            |
| 2691     | 11 17 22.81    | +07 46 23.4    | 21.25           | 1.46            | 170,804        | 42             | 3.83 | ...            |
| ...      | ...            | ...            | ...             | ...             | ...            | ...            | ...  | ...            |

**TABLE 1**

**Galaxy Radial Velocities Catalog**

1. R.A. (J2000.0)  
2. Decl. (J2000.0)  
3. \(r_0^2\) (mag)  
4. \((\theta' - r_0')\) (mag)  
5. \(V_{hel}\) (km s\(^{-1}\))  
6. \(v_t\) (km s\(^{-1}\))  
7. \(R\)  
8. Number of Lines  

...
RIXOS F258 _101, located ~ 2.5 north of the cluster core (see § 4.2). Two other small peaks can be seen, which are probably related to the groups reported by Gilbank et al. (2004).

3. X-RAY OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

The cluster [VMF 98] 097 was serendipitously discovered in X-rays in a pointed ROSAT PSCP observation of QSO PG 1115+080 (Vikhlinin et al. 1998). This object was observed by XMM-Newton in 2002 December (ObsID 082340101) and twice in 2004 June 2004 (ObsIDs 203560201 and 203560401). [VMF 98] 097 is found in the field of view of the MOS1 in all exposures, but it was observed entirely by the PN detector only in the 2002 observation (only half of the cluster appears in any MOS2 field of view). This cluster was also observed by Chandra ACIS-I3 in June 2000 (PI: Garmire) in a 26 ks exposure. However, it produced only ~200 net counts (background-corrected). Therefore, the Chandra observations are not used in the analysis.

We have downloaded the ODF files from XMM-Newton public archives and performed the MOS and PN “pipelines,” which consist in the removal of bad pixels, electronic noise, and correction for charge transfer losses with the program SAS version 6.5.0. We have then applied the standard filters and removed the observation times with flares using the light curve of the 8.0 keV band. The final exposure times after subtracting high particle background intervals of the cleaned event files for all observation are given in Table 2 together with the net count number (i.e., source minus background counts).

3.1. X-Ray Imaging and Spectroscopy

For the spectral analysis we have selected a circular region of 1.1’ centered at R.A. = 11h17m26.5s, decl. = +07°43’33” (J2000.0). The background was selected in the same observation. We have used a larger extraction region near the detector border (this because [VMF 98] 097 is itself near the border), without any visible sources.

Since about half of the cluster falls outside the MOS2 field of view, we have used only MOS1 and PN cameras of the 2002 observations, and only the MOS1 of the 2004 observations. The total source counts, background-subtracted, are also given in Table 2. The left panel of Figure 2 shows the composite image made with MOS1 and PN available data in the 0.3–8.0 keV band. The EPIC-MOS has a FWHM ≈ 5″ at the center of the detector. However, the MOS point-spread function has somewhat extended wings and the half energy width (HEW) is ≈14″. For off-axis sources there is a degradation in the resolution, which also depends on the energy (Ehle et al. 2006). The cluster [VMF 98] 097 is located ≈12.5″ from the detector axis. Therefore the resolution at 1.5 keV is 5.5″ and 6.5″ for the MOS1 and PN respectively. At 5 keV the resolution is 6.3″ and 7.6″ for the MOS1 and PN, respectively. The effective exposure time is also affected, but it is taken into account by the Redistribution Matrix File (RMF) and the Auxiliary Response File (ARF) (Ehle et al. 2006).

We also present in the right panel of Figure 2 the smoothed X-ray emission plotted over the GMOS r’ image. The core of the cluster, about 1’ south of the center of the image, is clearly detected in X-rays. The emission at the north of the image is associated with a foreground group at z ~ 0.16 (see § 4.2). Two other X-ray emission features are worth mentioning since they are also present in the galaxy-density map and in the weak-lensing map discussed below: the feature at the east of the cluster core (hereafter E-structure) and another at the northeast, at the border of the optical image (hereafter NE-structure).

The ancillary and redistribution files (ARF and RMF) were created with the SAS tasks arfgen and rmfgen, taking into account the extended nature of the source. The MOS and PN spectra were fitted simultaneously, each spectrum with its own RMF, ARF, and background files. The spectral fits were done with XSPEC 11.3 in the range [0.3–8.0 keV] on the rebinned spectrum, with at least 12 counts per energy bin.

We have used the MEKAL (Kaastra & Mewe 1993; Liedahl et al. 1995) plasma model with a photoelectric absorption given by Balucinska-Church & McCammon (1992). For the hydrogen
column density, we have adopted the galactic value at the position of \[ \text{VMF 98} \] 097, $N_{\text{H}} = 3.37 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-2}$ (using the task nh from FTOOLS, which is an interpolation from the Dickey & Lockman [1990] galactic $N_{\text{H}}$ table).

Given the evidences presented in § 4.1 that the velocity distribution has two peaks, we have tried the spectral fits with different redshifts but the results are virtually the same: we cannot, with these spectra, obtain a redshift estimate of the source. We have also tried a two-component MEKAL model, representing each source in the line of sight; however, the fit did not converge because of the low signal-to-noise ratio of the spectra. Therefore, we give our results here as mean emission-weighted values, adopting a fixed mean redshift.

The best-fit model is shown in the left panel of Figure 3. Fixing the redshift at $z = 0.485$ (average redshift obtained for the cluster, see below), we obtain the following temperature and metal abundance (metallicity): $kT = 3.3^{+0.7}_{-0.6}$ keV and $Z = 0.3^{+0.4}_{-0.2}$ (the errors are at the 90% confidence levels). The fit is fairly good with $\chi^2$/dof = 247.0/250 (the null hypothesis probability is 0.54).

The metallicity is not well constrained since the Fe K line is not well detected. This is also shown in the temperature-metallicity correlation plot, in the right panel of Figure 3.
3.1.1. Measured Flux and Luminosity

We have computed the unabsorbed X-ray flux and luminosity in different energy bands using the plasma model described in the previous section. Table 3 summarizes these results.

With the determined temperature and bolometric luminosity, \[\text{VMF 98}\] 097 is found to behave like a normal cluster, in agreement with the local \(L_X - T_X\) correlation (Willis et al. 2005) and the correlation for intermediate-redshift clusters (\(z / C_24^0 = 4\); Jeltema et al. 2006). The agreement with both local and intermediate relations comes from the intrinsic scatter in both relations and the error bars in our cluster. Therefore, the X-ray emission of \[\text{VMF 98}\] 097 is not affected (at least significantly) by the emission from the group/structure behind the cluster.

3.1.2. Radio Emission

A search with NED\(^8\) reveals that this cluster has a radio emission at 1.4 GHz associated with it. We have used this information to look for a radio image in the FIRST survey.\(^9\) The radio image has a FWHM of 5\(^\prime\)4 and the radio emission contours are shown in Figure 4.

The peak of the radio emission coincides with galaxy 1098 (Table 1) and the center of the X-ray emission. It has wide-angle tail morphology, which is often found in radio galaxies in the center of clusters (Roettiger et al. 1996; Gomez et al. 1997). This radio morphology implies that the radio galaxy is moving with respect to the ICM. This could be due to the bulk motion of the intracluster gas (Burns et al. 2002), for instance, because of a cluster or group merging. In the present case, based on the broadening of the radio emission, the direction of motion perpendicular to the line of sight is west-east.

The presence of an active galactic nucleus (AGN) may contaminate the X-ray spectrum with a hard component, making the spectral determined temperature artificially higher. The radio emission associated with galaxy 1098 suggests such an AGN. However, there is no indication in either \(\text{XMM-Newton}\) or \(\text{Chandra}\) data suggesting a point source or an excess X-ray emission at the spatial location of galaxy 1098. Since the X-ray surface brightness is quite flat in the cluster center, a bright X-ray AGN would be detectable. In addition, there is no indication of an AGN in the optical spectrum of the galaxy 1098.

### 4. OPTICAL DATA ANALYSIS

#### 4.1. Velocity Distribution

Thirty-seven out of 75 galaxies with measured velocities are located in the redshift interval \(0.47 < z < 0.50\), corresponding to the prominent peak seen in the right panel of Figure 1. The velocity distribution of these galaxies is shown in Figure 5. It is clear, from the figure, the complexity of the cluster. In order to investigate its structure, we use the KMM test (Ashman et al. 1994), which is appropriate to detect the presence of two or more components in an observational data set.

First we consider whether the data is consistent with a single component. The results of applying the test in the homoscedastic mode (common covariance) yields strong evidence that the redshift distribution of galaxies in the redshift interval above is

---

\(^{8}\) NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database, http://nedwww.ipac.caltech.edu.

\(^{9}\) Faint Images of the Radio Sky at Twenty-centimeters, http://sundog.stsci.edu.
at least bimodal, rejecting a single Gaussian model at a confidence level of 97.7% (P-value of 0.024). The P-value is another way to express the statistical significance of the test, and is the probability that a likelihood test statistic would be at least as large as the observed value if the null hypothesis (one component in this case) were true. Assuming two components, they are located at \( z = 0.482 \) and \( z = 0.494 \), corresponding to the structures S1 and S2 in Figure 5. They are separated by 3000 km s\(^{-1}\) in the cluster rest frame.

The histogram shows another gap of \(~2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) (also in the cluster rest frame) between S2 and S3, which is formed by four galaxies in the interval \( 0.496 < z < 0.510 \). If we assume that the velocity distribution in Figure 5 is indeed trimald, the KMM test rejects a single Gaussian at a confidence level of 99% (P-value of 0.010). Consequently, a model with three components is statistically more significant than a model with two components. In this case, the procedure assigns a mean value of \( z = 0.482, z = 0.491 \), and \( z = 0.498 \) with 23 (62%), 10 (27%), and 4 (11%) galaxies for each of the structures, respectively.

We used the robust biweight estimators \( C_{\text{BI}} \) and \( S_{\text{BI}} \) of Beers et al. (1990) to calculate a reliable value for the average redshifts (central location) and the velocity dispersions (scale) of the two main velocity structures (S1 and S2) present in the cluster. We used an iterative procedure by calculating the location and scale using the ROSTAT program and applying a 3 \( \sigma \) clipping algorithm to the results. We repeated this procedure until the velocity dispersion converged to a constant value. The best estimates of the location and scale for S1 and S2 are shown in Table 4 (cols. [5] and [6], respectively). The table also shows, for the velocity structure S1, the virial radius \( R_v \) and the virial mass (cols. [7] and [8]), computed with the prescription of Heisler et al. (1985). The velocity structure S2 is not centrally concentrated and is probably not virialized (see below). We then chose to not determine its \( R_v \) and virial mass. The number of galaxies in the structure at \( z = 0.49804 \) (S3, see Fig. 5) is too small for a reliable determination of the velocity dispersion and other dynamical parameters (Beers et al. 1990).

It is worth noting that the derived line-of-sight velocity dispersion for S1 of \( 592 \pm 82 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) agrees well (inside the 68% confidence interval) with the value inferred from the intracluster medium temperature. Indeed, using the \( T_X - \sigma \) relation from Xue & Wu (2000) (which is derived from a local sample), the measured X-ray temperature of \( kT = 3.3^{+0.7}_{-0.6} \text{ keV} \) implies \( \sigma = 672^{\pm 57}_{-53} \text{ km s}^{-1} \). This result suggests that the X-ray emission is associated with S1. This seems to be the case since the X-ray emission is centered on the cluster core, which is associated with the velocity structure S1.

### 4.2. Galaxy Projected Distribution

Figure 6 shows an adaptive-kernel density map (see Silverman 1986) based on a sample of 272 galaxies brighter than \( r' = 23 \text{ mag} \). The area corresponds roughly to \(~2 \times 2 \ h_70^{-2} \text{ Mpc}^2\) at the restframe of the cluster. All structures shown in this map are above the 3 \( \sigma \) significance level.

Most of the structures identified in this figure are also present in the X-ray map (Fig. 2). The [VMF 98] 097 cluster is represented by the high-density region located \(~1'\) south of the center of the image. The second highest density region, located at the top of the figure, corresponds to a foreground group at \( z \approx 0.16 \) (RIXOS F258_101; Mason et al. 2000). A third structure, located \(~2'\) east of the cluster core, is the E-structure present in the X-ray map.

Most galaxies in the cluster core have velocities in the range of S1 (squares); however, the galaxies in S2 (rhombuses) are mainly distributed, without any significant concentration, to the south of the cluster core. We have velocities for only four objects that overlap in space with the E-structure: one is in S1, another is in S2, and two others correspond to a nearby and to a background object. The detection of two velocities at the cluster redshift, as well as the X-ray emission, suggests that the E-structure is probably dynamically associated with the cluster.

Figure 6 shows also two overdensities northeast of the cluster center. The first one, at \(~1'\), may be associated with the cluster (a substructure), since several velocities in the region are in the redshift of the cluster core. The second, at \(~2'\), is the NE-structure in the X-ray map, and may be either a substructure or a background cluster (the galaxies there tend to be fainter than those in the cluster core). Unfortunately, we do not have any radial velocity in this region to confirm this point.

---

**Note:** Units of right ascension are hours, minutes, and seconds, and units of declination are degrees, arcminutes, and arcseconds.
We investigated the median magnitudes and colors for all galaxies with \( r' \leq 23 \) in the two overdensities detected in X-ray, with the density map (E-structure and NE-structure), and in the cluster core. For the analysis we selected the galaxies inside a radius of \( 20'' \approx 0.12 h^{-1}_{70} \text{ Mpc} \) at the cluster distance from the center given by the maximum of the galaxy overdensity (see Fig. 6). Table 5 summarizes the median magnitudes and colors for the three overdensities.

The galaxies in the E-structure are \( \sim 1 \) mag fainter and slightly bluer than the galaxies in the cluster core. The E-structure contains two galaxies with velocities at the cluster redshift. One of them is a member of S1, with \( (g' - r) = 1.92 \). The other is a member of S2, with \( (g' - r) = 1.47 \), similar to the median color value derived for this structure. The E-structure is also detected in X-rays and in the weak-lensing mass map.

The galaxies in the NE-structure are fainter and bluer than in the cluster core. This structure is detected in X-ray (Fig. 2), and also by weak lensing (see § 4.5.1). Due to the lack of redshift information in this region, we can only speculate about the nature of this structure, i.e., whether it is a background or foreground cluster or even a substructure of [VMF 98] 097.

If the NE-structure is a background cluster, then one would expect a much fainter galaxy population, but with much redder colors. This is not the case, since the galaxies in this region are faint, but bluer than in the core of [VMF 98] 097. Another possibility is that it is indeed a background cluster of blue, star-forming galaxies, where the red sequence is not yet established. Finally, this structure could be associated with the foreground group RIXOS F258...101 at \( z \approx 0.16 \) (the NE-structure is located at 1.7 from the center of this group). However, this is very unlikely. The average magnitude of the galaxies in the group is \( \sim 18.8 \text{ mag} \) with a median color of \( (g' - r) = 1.2 \). Although the median color obtained for the NE-structure is similar to the median color of the galaxies in RIXOS F258...101, the galaxy population is much fainter (3.5 mag).

4.4. Weak Gravitational Lensing Analysis

Gravitational lensing is a powerful tool for studying the matter distribution in galaxy clusters. In its weak regime gravitational lensing allows the reconstruction of the projected mass distribution through the analysis of the small morphological distortions induced by gravitational lensing of background sources (weak shear field). This technique is completely independent of the dynamical state of the cluster. In this section we apply a weak-lensing analysis to the imaging data to estimate the mass distribution on the field of [VMF 98] 097.

4.4.1. Galaxy Shape Measurements

The determination of the shapes of faint, putative background galaxies, was performed using the method described in Cypriano et al. (2004, 2005). In the following paragraphs we summarize the main steps of the procedure used in the analysis.
We performed galaxy shape measurements, including the removal of seeing effects and point-spread function (PSF) anisotropies, using the algorithm im2shape (Bridle et al. 2002). This program models an astronomical object as a sum of Gaussian functions with an elliptical base and carries out the deconvolution of the object image with a local PSF extracted from the image itself. While stars were modeled as a simple Gaussian, galaxies are treated as a sum of two Gaussians with same ellipticity and position angle.

We use high signal-to-noise unsaturated stars ($21.5 < r' < 24.5$) to map the PSF all over the frame. To make the final catalog, stellar objects with discrepant ellipticity or FWHM were removed through a sigma-clipping procedure. In both images the PSF appeared to be nearly constant across the entire field, having an average ellipticity of 4.4% and 6.0% in the $g'$ and $r'$ images, respectively.

To select background galaxies, which are the probes of the weak shear field, we need to rely on their magnitudes and colors to discriminate them from the cluster and/or foreground objects, given that we do not have redshift information for the vast majority of them. Ideally we would like to use just galaxies redder that the cluster red sequence for those objects are supposed to be all behind the cluster (e.g., Broadhurst et al. 2005), but unfortunately, as we can see in Figure 7, the red sequence at $z \sim 0.5$ is very red for this particular combination of filters, and the number of galaxies redder than the red sequence is too small to provide an adequate sample.

We opt therefore for a simple magnitude and signal-to-noise cut defining the weak-lensing sample as all galaxies fainter than $r' = 23.0$ mag ($M_r = -19.2$ at $z = 0.485$) with ellipticities measured with precision greater than 0.2. This criterion left us with a sample of 1001 (23 galaxies arcmin$^{-2}$) and 1298 (30 galaxies arcmin$^{-2}$) galaxies for the $g'$ and $r'$ images, respectively, with an average magnitude of $r' = 24.9$ mag. By using this criterion, we expect some contamination by cluster or foreground galaxies to be present, but it should not introduce any bias in the mass reconstruction, only increase the noise.

4.4.2. Surface Mass Density Distribution

The surface mass distribution of the cluster [VMF 98] 097 has been recovered from the shear data using the second version of the LensEnt code (Bridle et al. 1998; Marshall et al. 2002). This algorithm takes the shape of every galaxy image as an independent estimator of the local reduced shear field. The reconstruction of the mass distribution incorporates an intrinsic smoothing whose characteristic scale is determined by Bayesian methods, using a maximum entropy prior. This scale is chosen by maximizing the evidence, given the input data. Using a Gaussian function to smooth the data, we found that its optimal FWHM is $70''$.

Figure 8 shows the maps of the reconstructed surface mass density obtained by using data from each of the images. The maps are very consistent with each other, all showing basically the same features: a main structure clearly associated with the core of [VMF 98] 097, and two smaller structures at the eastern edge of the field, which can be associated with the E- and NE-structures discussed above. In the $g'$ map there is a hint of a substructure between the cluster core and the NE-structure. The maps also suggest that there may exist a mass filament joining the E-structure with the main core. In general, it is actually impressive how well X-ray emission, surface mass, and galaxy-number densities compare in this field.

Figure 8 also shows the mass center adopted for the radial analysis presented below (dashed line). This center corresponds to one of the brightest red galaxies on the cluster core, which is close to the peak of both mass maps, particularly the one reconstructed with the $g'$ image.

4.5. Mass Determination

We now address the measurement of the cluster mass, considering estimates based on weak-lensing of background galaxies and on the ICM X-ray emission.

4.5.1. Weak Lensing

For mass estimation through weak lensing, we opt to use physically motivated mass-density models, to avoid the mass-sheet...
degeneracy bias (Gorenstein et al. 1988). The two most widely adopted models for fitting shear data are the singular isothermal sphere (SIS) and the NFW profile. The first is a solution of the hydrostatic equilibrium equation for an isothermal self-gravitating system, whereas the second provides a good fit to dark matter halos in numerical simulations (Navarro et al. 1997).

The SIS profile has the advantage of having a single parameter, \( \sigma \), which is associated with the line-of-sight velocity dispersion of the galaxies. This density profile is given by

\[
\rho(r) = \frac{\sigma_{\text{li}}^2}{2\pi Gr^2}.
\]

The NFW profile is described by

\[
\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_\text{c} \delta_c}{(r/r_\text{s})(1+r/r_\text{s})^2},
\]

where \( \rho_\text{c} \) is the critical density, \( r_\text{s} \) is a scale radius, and \( \delta_c \) is given by

\[
\delta_c = \frac{200}{3} \left[ \frac{c^3}{\ln(1+c) + c/(1+c)} \right],
\]

where \( c \) is the concentration parameter. The approximate virial radius \( R_{200} \) can be defined as \( c r_\text{s} \). The lensing formulae for the SIS and NFW profiles came from King & Schneider (2001).

These parametric models were fitted to the peak of the mass map corresponding to the cluster core using the procedure described in Cypriano et al. (2004). We restricted the data to the region \( 15'' < r < 1.6'' \) in relation to the mass center shown in Figure 8. Data points closer to the center have been removed because they correspond to a region where the lensing effects are no longer linear (strong-lensing region) and 1.6'' is the distance to the closest image border. Given these limits, the number of data points included in this analysis is 302 and 373 for the \( g' \) and \( r' \) images, respectively.

The reduced shear (and the derived mass profile parameters) depends on the mean redshift of the background galaxies through the mean value of the ratio \( \beta \equiv D_{\text{ls}}/D_\text{o} \) of the angular diameter distances between the cluster and the sources and to the sources. We have estimated this quantity for our sample of background galaxies using a catalog of magnitudes and redshifts in the Hubble Deep Field (Fernández-Soto et al. 1999) with both the same bright limit cutoff and the same average magnitude, obtaining in both cases \( \langle \beta \rangle = 0.44 \).

In Figure 9 we plot the binned data points of the galaxy ellipticities, as well as the best-fitted SIS and NFW models. The best-fitted parameters of these models are presented in Table 6.

Our data poorly constrain the NFW concentration parameter because it controls the variation of the density slope in the very inner \( (r < r_c) \) or outer \( (r > R_{200}) \) regions, which we do not probe in our weak-lensing analysis. Consequently, we decided to keep the value of \( c \) constant, \( c = 5 \), and fit only \( R_{200} \).

The results obtained for the \( r' \) and \( g' \) images are fully consistent within the errors. The same is not valid for the two models. The SIS results tend to give smaller values for the cluster mass when compared with the results obtained with the NFW model, because within the restricted radial range we are considering here the SIS profile is steeper than the NFW.

It is worth mentioning that the values of \( R_{200} \) obtained through weak lensing are significantly above those from the virial analysis presented in § 4.1. We shall come back to this issue in § 5. 4.5.2. X-Ray Brightness Profiles and Mass Determination

The gas-density profile is obtained from the radial X-ray surface brightness profile. We assume that the gas has a number-density profile given by the \( \beta \)-model (Cavaliere & Fusco-Femiano 1976):

\[
n(r) = n_0 \left[ 1 + \left( r/r_c \right)^2 \right]^{-3/2},\]

\[\text{TABLE 6}
\]

| Method             | Filter | Fitted Parameters | M(r < 0.5 Mpc) |
|--------------------|--------|-------------------|----------------|
|                    |        | (1)               | (2)            | (3)            | (4)            |
| SIS.................. | g'     | \( \sigma = 809 \pm 89 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) | 2.4 \pm 0.5    |
|                    | r'     | \( \sigma = 746 \pm 86 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) | 2.1 \pm 0.4    |
| NFW(c = 5) ......... | g'     | \( R_{200} = 2.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ Mpc} \) | 3.7 \pm 0.4    |
|                    | r'     | \( R_{200} = 2.4 \pm 0.3 \text{ Mpc} \) | 3.4 \pm 0.4    |
Figure 11 shows the baryon fraction radial profile. At (White et al. 1993; Fukugita et al. 1998). The bottom panel of images corresponding to observations with ObsIDs 203560201 and using the STSDAS/IRAF task ELLIPSE, with the sum of the MOS1 and projected quantities, respectively. (uppercase and lowercase symbols refer to three-dimensional assuming that the gas is isothermal and the core radius $R_c = r_c$ (upcase and lowercase symbols refer to three-dimensional and projected quantities, respectively).

The X-ray brightness profile of VMF 98 097 was obtained using the STSDAS/IRAF task ELLIPSE, with the sum of the MOS1 images corresponding to observations with ObsIDs 203560201 and 203560401 in the 0.3–8.0 keV energy band. Each image was binned by a factor of 128 so that 1 image pixel was 6.4". The brightness profile was extracted up to 125", corresponding to ~750 $h_{75}^{-1}$ kpc at the cluster redshift.

Figure 10 shows the cluster core X-ray emission profile together with the best least-squares-fitted $\beta$-model. We have obtained $r_c = 21.1''$ $\pm$ 0.9'' (127 $\pm$ 5 kpc) and $\beta = 0.526 \pm 0.014$. The central electronic density, $n_0$, is estimated using the emission integral, $E_I = \int n^2_e dV$ (see Sarazin 1988), which is related to the thermal spectrum normalization parameter given by XSPEC. Using the thermal spectrum extracted within 66", we have obtained $n_0 = (3.4 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3}$ cm$^{-3}$.

The radial gas-mass profile can be simply obtained by integrating the density profile in concentric spherical shells. The dynamical (total) mass is computed assuming an isothermal gas in hydrostatic equilibrium. Even summing all XMM-Newton observations, we have enough counts only to compute a single emission-weighted temperature. Using the temperature previously determined, $kT = 3.3$ keV, the computed dynamical mass is presented in Figure 11. At $r = 125''$, the total mass inferred from X-rays is $1.4 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$.

The gas mass fraction, $f_{\text{gas}}$, is computed as the ratio between the gas mass and the total mass at a given radius. This ratio is related to the cluster baryon fraction as $f_{\text{baryon}} = f_{\text{gas}} (1 + M_{\text{gal}}/M_{\text{gas}})$, where $M_{\text{gal}}$ is the baryonic mass in the galaxy cluster members. The baryonic mass in galaxies may be estimated as $M_{\text{gal}} = 0.16 h_{75} M_{\text{gas}}$ (White et al. 1993; Fukugita et al. 1998). The bottom panel of Figure 11 shows the baryon fraction radial profile. At $r = 125''$, $f_{\text{gas}} = 0.07$, with a rising trend. The X-ray observations are not deep enough to detect the point where $f_{\text{gas}}$ flattens, as is observed in several clusters (e.g., Allen et al. 2002).

where $r_c$ is the core radius and $n_0$ the central number-density of electrons. Then, the X-ray surface brightness profile is

$$I(R) = I_0 \left[1 + (R/R_c)^2 \right]^{-3\beta+1/2},$$

assuming that the gas is isothermal and the core radius $R_c = r_c$ (upcase and lowercase symbols refer to three-dimensional and projected quantities, respectively).

4.5.3. A Comparison between Weak-Lensing and X-Ray Masses

At a radius of 0.5 $h_{75}^{-1}$ Mpc, the inferred week-lensing masses from the $r'$ image are $2.1 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ and $3.4 \times 10^{14} M_\odot$ for the SIS and NFW profiles, respectively. At the same radius, the X-ray mass is $7.0 \times 10^{15} M_\odot$, i.e., the weak-lensing mass is 3.4 (SIS) to 4.8 (NFW) times the value inferred through the X-ray emission. The possible causes for such discrepancy are given in the next section.

5. DISCUSSION

Our results strongly suggest that we are witnessing the mass assembly of a cluster at $z = 0.485$. There are several hints pointing toward this suggestion.

The morphology of the cluster is complex, presenting at least two significant substructures. The X-ray emission, the galaxy distribution, and the surface-mass density map all present the same overall features: the cluster core and the E- and NE-structures. The E-structure and the cluster core have a projected distance of less than 1 Mpc (at the cluster redshift), and, as we argued in § 3.2 and 4.3, are probably at the same redshift. The substructure located between the cluster core and the NE-structure seem in the projected galaxy distribution (Fig. 6) might be also real, since there is a feature present in our g' week-lensing map close to it.

The velocity distribution of the region is also complex, with multiple peaks in a small redshift range (Fig. 5). The statistical analysis presented in § 4.1 indicates, for instance, the presence of three velocity-substructures: S1, S2 and S3.

Additional evidence of dynamical activity in clusters can be obtained from its brightest galaxy. For local X-ray luminous groups and poor clusters, the most luminous galaxies (BGs) lie near the peak of the X-ray emission (e.g., Mulchaey & Zabludoff 1998). However, at intermediate redshift, this picture may be different. A recent study of X-ray groups and poor clusters at moderate redshift by Mulchaey et al. (2006) suggests that the brightest galaxies in groups and poor clusters are still in the process of forming as late as at $z \sim 0.2$ in some systems. The indication is given by the offset between the BGG and the X-ray emission and offsets.
between the velocity of the BGGs and the mean velocity of the system. This scenario is consistent with recent numerical simulations (De Lucia & Blaizot 2007). In the case of [VMF 98] 097, the X-ray emission is associated with the velocity structure S1. This structure has two elliptical galaxies in the center with comparable luminosities: galaxy 947 with \( r' = 20.26 \) mag, \( cz = 145,882 \) km s\(^{-1}\), and galaxy 1098 with \( r' = 20.29 \) mag, \( cz = 144,645 \) km s\(^{-1}\). The peak of the X-ray emission is offset by \( \sim 9'' \) from both galaxies. Furthermore, the brightest elliptical galaxy (number 947) is offset significantly in velocity from the mean velocity of the structure S1 (\( >1000 \) km s\(^{-1}\)). These results provide additional evidences of an ongoing dynamical activity in this cluster.

The mass estimates obtaining using X-rays and weak-lensing are very discrepant, and this is usually interpreted as evidence of dynamical activity (e.g., Cypriano et al. 2004). In fact, X-ray mass estimates are based on the assumption of hydrostatic equilibrium, which may not hold in the presence of mergers or strong tidal interactions. It also depends on the assumption that the \( \beta \)-model describes well the gas radial profile.

The accuracy of mass determination based on X-ray observations has been studied through hydrodynamical simulations. Evrard et al. (1996) and Schindler (1996) find that, usually, X-ray estimates are good within 50%, with no systematic bias. In the case of an extreme nonequilibrium clusters, the mass deviation from the true value can be as high as a factor of 2. However, more recently, Rasia et al. (2006) have concluded that X-ray estimation of the total mass is biased toward lower values when using the \( \beta \)-model if the cluster is not in equilibrium. The typical estimated mass is around 40% of the true mass at about half the virial radius.

Another possibility is that the excess between lensing and X-ray masses (a factor of \( \sim 3.6 \)) is due to the intervening mass along the line of sight of the cluster, which leads to an overestimate of the weak-lensing mass of a few tens of percent (Metzler et al. 2001), although this excess seems too high to be due to this effect only. On the other hand, the velocity dispersion in the region of the cluster core is consistent with equilibrium between gas and galaxies (§ 4.1).

Based on the color-magnitude analysis presented in § 4.3, it is unlikely that the E-structure is a foreground group. Besides, the E-structure contains two galaxies at the cluster redshift and is detected by weak-lensing mass reconstruction and by X-rays. It lies roughly at \( 1 \ h_{70} \) Mpc from the center of [VMF 98] 097 and thus could be a substructure of the cluster.

The NE-structure poses a more challenging problem, since we do not have any galaxy with measured redshift in this region. Nevertheless, it is an overdensity detected in X-rays, in the galaxy projected number density map, and also by weak lensing. This suggests that it should be relatively massive. In order to probe its nature, we have compared their median magnitudes and the color \( (g' - r') \) with those of the cluster core and the E-structure. Galaxies in the NE-structure are significantly fainter and bluer, and consequently we suggest that it is indeed a background cluster, although spectroscopic information is necessary to verify such a claim.

### 6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

We have presented an optical and X-ray based study of [VMF 98] 097 (RX J1117.4+0743), an intermediate-mass structure located at \( z = 0.485 \). We demonstrate in this work that it is possible to obtain a good weak-lensing data for a distant cluster even with nonexceptional seeing conditions (0\(^{\prime\prime}7\)–0\(^{\prime\prime}8\)) and with small field of view. Our main results are summarized in the next paragraphs.

The cluster shows a very complex structure. We find that velocity distribution of member galaxies is at least bimodal, with two well-defined structures along the line of sight. The two main structures, named S1 (with 23 galaxies) and S2 (with nine galaxies), form the cluster core. These structures have velocity dispersions of \( 592 \pm 82 \) and \( 391 \pm 85 \) km s\(^{-1}\), respectively. Using the projected density map of 272 galaxies brighter than \( r' = 23 \) mag we were able to identify several structures in the neighborhood of [VMF 98] 097. These structure are also presented in X-ray and in the weak-lensing maps. The high-density regions identified in the maps are: the cluster core formed by S1 and S2, the foreground group RIXOS F258...101 (located \( \sim 2'5 \) north from [VMF 98] 097), and two other overdensities, the E-structure and the NE-structure. We do not have redshift information for the galaxies belonging to these two structures, except for two galax- ies at the cluster redshift in the E-structure. Therefore, we have used the median magnitudes and colors of the galaxies inside a \( 20'' \) radius from the peak of these overdensities to investigate the possibility if these structures are linked to [VMF 98] 097. Based on this analysis and on the detection given by the weak-lensing and X-ray maps (§ 4.3), we conclude that the E-structure is a substructure associated with the cluster. Using the same approach for NE-structure, we find that the galaxies in the region of this overdensity are significantly fainter and bluer than the galaxies in the cluster core and in the E-structure, suggesting that the NE-structure is a background cluster. However, additional spectroscopic observations are necessary to verify this point.

We have used the color-magnitude relation to analyze the galaxy contents in structures S1, S2, and S3. We find that the galaxy populations in S1 and those in S2 and S3 differ in their content. Most of the galaxies in S1 are redder than those presented in S2 and S3, with an average color of \( g' - r' \sim 1.9 \). They lie well inside the red sequence for passive galaxies (see Fig. 7a). S2 and S3 are dominated by a population of blue, star-forming galaxies that, on average, are \( \sim 0.3 \) mag fainter than the galaxies in S1. Further evidence is provided by the fraction of emission-line galaxies in each of the structure. We find that only 17% of the galaxies in S1 are emission-line galaxies, while the fraction of emission-line galaxies in S2 and S3 is of the order of 31%, in agreement with the galaxy contents derived for both structures from the color-magnitude relation analysis.

We derived the X-ray temperature, the metal abundance and X-ray flux and luminosity in different energy bands using the plasma model described in § 3.1. For the computed intracluster medium temperature of \( kT = 3.3_{-0.6}^{+0.5} \) keV we find, using the \( T_X - \sigma \) relation from Xue & Wu (2000), a velocity dispersion of \( \sigma = 672_{-57}^{+57} \) km s\(^{-1}\). This value agrees well with the derived line-of-sight velocity dispersion obtained for S1 (\( 592 \pm 82 \) km s\(^{-1}\)), suggesting that the X-ray emission is mainly associated with this structure. In addition, we find that [VMF 98] 097 behaves like a normal cluster, in agreement with the \( L_X - T_X \) correlation found at \( z = 0 \) (Willis et al. 2005) and at \( z = 0.4 \) (Jeltema et al. 2006).

We have used the weak-lensing analysis to map the mass distribution in the area of the cluster. We find a good agreement between the velocity dispersions derived from the \( g' \) and \( r' \) using the SIS model profile. However, there is a disagreement with the values obtained from X-ray and from the kinematic of the member galaxies. We used two fit models, the SIS and NFW, to compute the total mass of the cluster. We find that the total mass inferred from weak-lensing of \( 2.1_{-0.7}^{+0.7} \times 10^{14} \) \( M_\odot \) at \( r = 0.5 \ h_{70}^{-1} \) Mpc (depending on the band and the model adopted) is well in excess
compared to the X-ray mass. However, given the several difficulties for an accurate gravitational lensing estimation in this field, particularly due to the contribution of the other mass clumps and the uncertainty on the average redshift of the background galaxies, these results should be taken with caution. The presence of several substructures in the X-ray, weak-lensing mass, and galaxy density maps, the existence of a bridge of matter in the center of the cluster connecting different substructures (detected in weak-lensing only), and the complex velocity distribution of member galaxies reveal that this cluster is dynamical active. Additional evidence of the dynamical activity is given by the offsets we see between the two brightest galaxies in the cluster core and the X-ray emission and the significantly offset between the velocity of the galaxy 947 (the brightest elliptical galaxy in S1) and the mean velocity of structure S1. Our main conclusion is that this poor cluster may be the core of a still forming rich cluster of galaxies. [VMF 98] 097 is in an environment with other nearby substructures that, given their projected distance to the cluster, are probably gravitationally bound and will eventually merge to form a rich cluster.
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