INTRODUCTION

Reduction mandibuloplasty has gained popularity for cosmetic applications in Korea as a result of the growing perception that a square face lends a rough and masculine appearance. Reduction mandibuloplasty is one of the most frequently performed surgical procedures in Korea. However, some complications of reduction mandibuloplasty have been reported, such as inferior lip numbness, hematoma, infection, severe swelling, scarring in the corner of the mouth, mental nerve injury, secondary angle formation, permanent facial nerve injury, asymmetry, subcondylar fracture, and massive bleeding [1-3]. Abnormal sensation due to injury of the inferior alveolar nerve is a relatively common neurological complication; in contrast, facial nerve injury is less common but is one of the most critical complications. Cases of facial nerve injury after mandibular contouring have been reported [1-3], but the course of recovery of most cases was not clearly described. Here, we report a complicated case that required exploratory surgery for facial nerve palsy after reduction mandibuloplasty.

CASE REPORT

A 38-year-old man visited our outpatient clinic to consult a surgeon, as he was unable to fully move the right corner of his mouth, making it necessary to rule out hemifacial nerve palsy. A day before this visit, the patient underwent reduction mandibuloplasty at a local plastic surgery clinic. The surgeon who performed the procedure suspected an acute nerve injury, and he waited for a day for the patient's condition to improve spontaneously. However, the patient's condition did not improve, and he was referred to a general hospital for treatment of the peripheral nerve injury.

The surgeon reported that a massive intraoperative bleeding event occurred during dissection of the right mandibular periosteum via the intraoral approach. For clearer visualization, he performed an additional retromandibular incision while exploring the bleeding focus. Hemostasis was obtained, but immediately after the procedure, the patient's facial expression, especially the right corner of his mouth, looked unnatural.

Physical examination noted no obvious facial disfiguration at rest, but the muscle function of the right hemiface was impaired.
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Fig. 1. Photographs of the patient 1 day [A, B] and 8 weeks [C, D] after reduction mandibuloplasty, showing slight facial asymmetry secondary to right facial palsy. The right eyebrow ptosis, right-sided flat nasolabial fold, and drooping of the right corner of the mouth showed obvious improvement after 8 weeks.

Fig. 2. Results of a nerve conduction study (NCS) indicated right facial neuropathy. The compound muscle action potential amplitude on the right hemiface shows obvious impairment compared to the left side, and a nerve conduction block is seen within the mandibular incision line. RT, right; LT, left.
The patient's face showed brow ptosis, decreased wrinkling of the right hemiface, an asymmetrical smile, and the requirement of additional effort to open and close the eyes. The sensory function of the right hemiface was only 70% of that of the left hemiface, according to the patient (Fig. 1).

An exploratory procedure was planned on postoperative day 2, and the results of a nerve conduction study indicated right facial neuropathy. A change in compound muscle action potential amplitude was observed on the right hemiface, with an impairment ranging from 44% to 66%, and a nerve conduction block was noted within the mandibular incision line (Fig. 2).

With the patient under general anesthesia, using endotracheal intubation, the right preauricular sutured wound was opened with an additional incision parallel to the body of the mandible. After the incision was deepened, the soft tissues were carefully dissected to avoid injury to the facial nerve. A nerve stimulator was used to locate the facial nerve during dissection. Many metallic hemoclips were found in the field, indicating the difficulty of obtaining hemostasis during the previous procedure. Dissection was performed from the tragal area in the medial direction to follow the passage of the facial nerve. The hemoclips were carefully removed to release the clipped tissue, and meticulous hemostasis using bipolar electrocautery was simultaneously performed. After fine dissection and clearance of the field of vision, the facial nerve trunk was observed to be clipped with a hemoclip. The hemoclip was removed, and the facial nerve was closely examined under surgical microscopy. The pressed epineurium was carefully straightened to its original shape (Fig. 3).

At a follow-up appointment 7 weeks after the exploratory procedure, decreased compound muscle action potential amplitude was still noted in the right hemiface, but a nerve conduction study indicated some improvement in the blockage of nerve conduction. The patient's gross appearance showed substantial improvement 8 weeks after the operation (Fig. 1). Most of the facial muscles had regained their function except for the frontal branch, which still showed an impairment of 10% to 20% 5 months after the procedure.

DISCUSSION

Reports of complications of facial nerve palsy after mandible reduction surgery are scarce, and as such, those reports have not been highlighted. In 2014, Kang [3] reported cases of nerve injury associated with mandibuloplasty but focused on neurosensory deficits. Recently, Shimada et al. [4] reported cases of facial nerve palsy after bilateral sagittal split ramus osteotomy, most of which developed within 3 days after surgery. Several factors can cause facial nerve damage during or after reduction mandibuloplasty, including the compression of nerves by retractors, postoperative edema or hematoma, pressure packing in the retromandibular region, and unusual fractures of the mandible or styloid process [4-9]. However, this case suggests that, to avoid facial nerve injury, blinded hemoclips should not be used. As facial nerve injury is a severe complication, care must be taken while using a hemoclip to prevent this unwanted event.

Traumatic facial nerve injury may cause perineural edema, which can result in nerve degeneration. It is well known that approximately 3 days are needed for Wallerian degeneration to occur [10]. In this case, although only 2 days had passed after orthognathic surgery, some degree of degeneration may have progressed, as shown by the results of a nerve conduction study in combination with the prolonged healing time of the patient. Based on an experiment using a rat model, Omura et al. [11] and Kitao et al. [12] reported that acute compression with a hemoclip may cause neurapraxia, which was observed to recover within 2 weeks. However, in those reports, hemoclips were applied to the nerves of the rats for only 10...
and 5 minutes, respectively. The delayed functional recovery observed in our case as compared with previous reports may have been caused by the prolonged duration of the clipped state of the nerve or by mixed nerve injuries that included more than neurapraxia alone. If the surgeon from the local clinic had underestimated or overlooked the patient’s condition, the patient would likely have had longer-lasting or even permanent sequelae.

At a follow-up appointment 7 weeks after the exploratory procedure, the patient’s clinical condition had improved, but the patient still displayed an awkward right hemiface when making certain facial expressions, such as smiling. The results of the nerve conduction study also indicated that facial nerve function had not fully recovered. Sun et al. [10] reported that return of facial nerve function should not be expected for weeks to months after decompression surgery and may be delayed up to 12 months. However, in the case of the patient in our study, most of the right facial muscle function had been regained by 5 months after the exploratory procedure.
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