Birthweight and Environmental Conditions Impact Skin Barrier Adaptation in Neonates Receiving Natural Oil Massage
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\textbf{Abstract}

\textbf{Introduction:} Skin interventions have been implemented to reduce neonatal mortality, demonstrating the skin’s role in neonatal innate immunity. We examined the impact of birthweight and environmental conditions on skin integrity in infants receiving oil massage in rural Nepal. \textbf{Methods:} In a community-based cluster randomized controlled trial, 991 premature and full-term infants were grouped by birthweight as: (1) 920–1,560 g, (2) 1,570–2,450 g, (3) 2,460–2,990 g, and (4) 3,000–4,050 g and by high or low heat index (HI). Skin integrity was measured as erythema, rash, dryness, pH, protein concentration, and transepidermal water loss (TEWL). \textbf{Results:} Skin pH was higher for the smallest (group 1) than the largest infants (group 4) and higher for group 2 than 3 and 4. Arm and leg rash differed for all 4 groups, with the least amount of rash for the smallest babies. Erythema was lower for group 1 than all others. The lower day 1 values for pH, TEWL and protein at high versus low HI remained lower over 28 days. The pH reduction was faster at high HI. Erythema (arm, leg) was more severe at high HI. Rash severity was greater at high HI for arms and legs every day. \textbf{Conclusions:} Birthweight influenced the skin response to oil massage. The smallest infants had the lowermost skin irritation, suggesting diminished ability to mount an inflammatory response. High HI may be protective for premature infants in low resource settings.

\textbf{Introduction}

Identification of efficacious interventions to reduce neonatal mortality in low income settings has demonstrated the critical role of neonatal skin to provide robust innate immunity via antimicrobial and physical barriers. Interventions include kangaroo mother care, umbilical cord cleansing with chlorhexidine, and topical emollient...
application. Environmental conditions, skin care practices, and demographics, for example, gestational age (GA), are among the factors that may impact skin adaptation after birth. An improved understanding of these effects is critical to the delivery of optimum interventions in community and hospital settings.

Based on infection risk reduction and improved skin condition from topical sunflower seed oil (SSO) in premature infants [1], we extended the work to a broader population-based sample (all babies, regardless of GA) in rural Nepal, where mustard oil (MO) is widely used for daily massage [2]. We quantified skin integrity among 995 infants receiving SSO or MO nested within a larger cluster-randomized trial and found a more rapid pH reduction with SSO [3].

Premature birth, along with rising costs, is a significant global health issue regardless of region and healthcare availability [4]. Developing countries report 98% of global neonatal deaths [5], with 50% from infections [6]. Neonatal skin provides innate immunity. The skin barrier is underdeveloped in preterms, increasing infection risk and permeability to exogenous irritants [7]. Global skin care goals are to facilitate development and maintenance of a robust barrier. This report aimed to understand the impact of birthweight and environmental conditions (HI) on skin integrity over postnatal month 1. Infants were categorized by birthweight, since GA estimation by last menstrual period is less exact than others, for example, ultrasound [8]. From 991 birthweights, 4 groups were assigned a priori as: group (1) 920–1,560 g, group (2) 1,570–2,450 g, group (3) 2,460–2,990 g, and group (4) 3,000–4,050 g, representing approximate GAs of 26–31, 31–35, 35–37 and >37 weeks, respectively, based on normative 50th percentile weights [9]. This allowed comparison of the smallest infants to heavier babies. Nearly half of the infants were 2,460–2,990 g at birth.

Materials and Methods

Setting and Parent Trial

Data for this report originated from a cluster-randomized study nested within a larger trial (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01177111) of topical skin emollient effects on newborn mortality and morbidity in the community setting in Sarlahi District, Nepal. Pregnant women were enrolled at home visits and followed through delivery. Visits occurred after birth (day 1) and on days 3, 7, 10, 14, 21 and 28. The 34 village development communities were divided into sectors and randomized to full-body topical applications via routine massage of either SSO or MO. Restricted randomization was conducted in sectors with prior neonatal death to ensure the balance on mortality risk.

Skin Barrier Maturation Study

The nested study enrolled a subset including all preterm and a randomly selected 20% sample of full-term infants before 48 h of age between July 2012 and May 2014 [3]. After obtaining informed consent from mothers for infant participation, specialized field staff conducted study measurements. The Ethical Review Committee of the Institute of Medicine, Tribhuvan University (Kathmandu, Nepal) and the Institutional Review Board of the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health approved the study.

Measurements

Infants were weighed on day 1 (BD-585 Pediatric Scale [10 g precision]; Tanita Corporation of America, Arlington Heights, IL, USA). Skin integrity was evaluated on days 1, 3, 7, 14 and 28 for erythema (0–3), rash (0–3) and dryness (0–5; chest, arms, legs) with 0 as no visual compromise and 3 or 5 indicating greater damage [10]. Visible erythema is an indicator of inflammation, that is, abnormal redness from capillary dilation and increased blood flow. It is initially diffuse and may progress to excoriation or loss of skin surface layers. Rash refers to discrete areas of irritation, that is, papules, that may be around the hair follicle or the eccrine gland opening. Instrumental measures of skin pH (chest, SkinCheck; Hanna Instruments, Bedfordshire, UK), TEWL (g/m²/h, chest, closed-chamber VapoMeter; Delfin Technologies, Kuopio, Finland, VapoMeter; Delfin Technologies, Kuopio, Finland), protein (stratum corneum cohesion via surface sample collection with 380 mm² D-squame discs; CuDerm, Dallas, TX, USA), heat index (HI), temperature, and humidity were recorded [11]. HI considers both air temperature and relative humidity. The equation is as follows where T is ambient dry bulb temperature in degrees Fahrenheit and R is relative humidity in integer percentage [12]:

\[
HI = -42.379 + 2.04901523T + 10.14333127R - 0.22475541TR - 6.83783E+00T^2 + 5.481717E-02R^2 + 1.22874E-03T^2R + 8.5282E-04TR^2 - 1.99E-06T^2R^2
\]

Protein was quantified as optical density (SquameScan 850A; Heiland electronic, Wetzlar, Germany).

Infant Classification

Infants were segmented into 4 birthweight groups and to one of 2 groups based on low or high HI, defined as below or above the mean (and median) of 34.0°C.

Statistical Analyses

Infant characteristics, baseline measures and changes were evaluated with general linear models (GLM; SPSS version 24, SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Covariates were baseline skin value, treatment, GA, birthweight and number of massages per 24 h. The linear mixed models procedure permits analysis of subjects measured at different times, inclusion of incomplete cases and where the effects at any given day are influenced by previous treatments [13]. The impact of HI on pH change rate was determined from individual slopes.
Results

Birthweight Group and Skin Integrity

Mean birthweights ($n = 991$) were: (1) 1,353 ± 38 g, (2) 2,165 ± 11 g, (3) 2,708 ± 9 g, and (4) 3,237 ± 13 g ($p < 0.05$; Table 1). GA was lower for groups 1 and 2 and comparable for 3 and 4 (Table 1). Day 1 HIs, relative humidity and temperatures did not differ. The smallest babies (groups 1 and 2) were massaged more often than the largest infants ($p < 0.05$; Table 1). Male subjects were overrepresented in all birthweight groups except group 1. The skin integrity measurements did not vary by infant gender in this neonatal population (data not shown). Infants massaged with SSO were overrepresented in groups 1 and 2 and those receiving MO were higher in group 3. Separate analyses of each birthweight group indicated no differences in outcome measures for MO versus SSO (data not shown). However, treatment was included as a covariate in the statistical analyses due to differences in representation.

Baseline pH, TEWL, protein, erythema (all sites) and rash (arm) did not differ by birthweight (online suppl. Table S1; for all online suppl. material, see www.karger.com/doi/10.1159/000512274). Over 28 days, pH was higher for the smallest than the largest infants and higher for group 2 than 3 and 4 ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 1a). Arm and leg rash severities differed for all groups ($p < 0.05$). The smallest infants had the lowest rash (Fig. 1b; online suppl. Table S2). Chest rash was lower for groups 1 and 2 than all others ($p < 0.05$; online suppl. Table S2). Erythema was lower for group 1 than all others ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 1c, online suppl. Table S2). Arm dryness was low, varying over time for groups 2, 3 and 4, increasing to significance on day 7 for group 2 than 3 and 4 ($p < 0.05$). The smallest infants had the lowest rash (Fig. 1b; online suppl. Table S2). Chest rash was lower for groups 1 and 2 than all others ($p < 0.05$; online suppl. Table S2). Erythema was lower for group 1 than all others ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 1c, online suppl. Table S2). Arm dryness was low, varying over time for groups 2, 3 and 4, increasing to significance on day 7 (group 2) and day 14 (groups 3, 4) before decreasing by day 28 ($p < 0.05$; Table 2).

HI and Skin Integrity

Baseline HIs (968 infants) were 26.3 ± 0.2 and 41.7 ± 0.2°C for low and high HIs, respectively (Table 3). Birthweight, GA and massages per 24 h were comparable. Baseline pH, TEWL, protein and chest erythema scores were lower (less severe) at high versus low HI ($p < 0.05$; online suppl. Table S3). Arm and leg rash were higher at high HI ($p < 0.05$; online suppl. Table S3). The lower pH, TEWL and protein on day 1 remained lower over 28 days at high HI ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2a, b, online suppl. Table S3). The pH change followed a logarithmic progression at each HI (Fig. 2a), with a faster rate at high HI ($p < 0.05$).
Table 2. Arm dryness by birthweight group over 28 days

| Mean weight and range | Day 1     | Day 3     | Day 7     | Day 14    | Day 28    | Statistics             |
|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|
| G1: 1,353 g (920–1,560 g) | 0.0±0.0   | 0.0±0.0   | 0.0±0.0   | 0.0±0.0   | 0.0±0.0   | \( p = 1.0 \)           |
| G2: 2,165 g (1,570–2,450 g) | 0.02±0.03 | 0.04±0.03 | 0.15±0.03 | 0.16±0.03 | 0.02±0.03 | \( F = 6.50, p < 0.001 \) Day 7, 14 - S- 1, 3, 28 |
| G3: 2,708 g (2,460–2,990 g) | 0.00±0.02 | 0.06±0.03 | 0.16±0.03 | 0.23±0.03 | 0.02±0.03 | \( F = 13.95, p < 0.001 \) Day 14 - S- 1, 3, 28 |
| G4: 3,237 g (3,000–4,050 g) | 0.02±0.04 | 0.08±0.04 | 0.08±0.04 | 0.27±0.04 | 0.05±0.04 | \( F = 6.75, p < 0.001 \) Day 14 - S- all others |

Fig. 1. Effect of birthweight group on neonatal skin integrity over time. Over 28 days, skin pH was higher for the smallest infants (920–1,560 g) than the largest infants (3,000–4,050 g) and higher for group 2 (1,570–2,450 g) than the larger groups 3 (2,460–2,990 g) and 4 (3,000–4,050 g; \( p < 0.05; \text{a} \)). Arm and leg rash scores differed for all 4 groups versus each other (\( p < 0.05 \)) and the smallest infants had the lowest rash levels (\( \text{b} \)). Chest rash was of lower severity for the 2 smaller groups (1 and 2) than all other groups (\( p < 0.05; \text{online suppl. Table S2} \)). Erythema at all sites was lower for the smallest infants of group 1 than all others (\( p < 0.05; \text{c} \)).
Arm and leg erythema severities were greater at high HI starting on days 7 and 14, respectively ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2c, online suppl. Table S3). Rash levels were greater (arms, legs) at high HI every day and greater for chest on days 3, 7, 14 and 28 ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2d, online suppl. Table S3). Dryness was greater at high HI starting on days 14 (arms) and 7 (legs; $p < 0.05$; Fig. 2e, online suppl. Table S3).

**HI by Birthweight Group on Skin Integrity**
Birthweight group effects were evaluated over 28 days for each HI class individually. At high HI, arm rash scores differed for all groups and were lowest for the smallest infants ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 3a). At low HI, arm rash severity was greater for groups 3 and 4 versus all others ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 3a). At high HI, skin pH was higher for group 1 than 3 and 4 and for groups 1 and 2 than 4 ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 3b). pH was higher for group 2 than 4 ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 3b). Erythema differed at high HI for all sites as did arm and leg erythema at low HI (data not shown). At low HI, protein varied by birthweight ($p < 0.05$), but not by pairwise comparisons.

**Discussion**

We examined the impact of birthweight and environmental conditions, specifically HI, on skin adaptation in a large cohort of premature and full-term neonates receiving customary repetitive daily massage with either MO or SSO over 28 days. The large dataset enabled determination of the effects of prematurity and high humidity/temperature, both of global relevance with implications for neonatal innate immunity. The findings extend the knowledge from previous reports in smaller groups, more controlled settings (e.g., hospitals) and at lower temperatures/humidities.

Birthweight markedly influenced the skin’s response to routine oil massage. The smallest infants had the lowest skin irritation, that is, lower erythema and rash, suggesting lower susceptibility and/or a protective mechanism against skin compromise (Fig. 1a–c). However, acid mantle development (skin pH decrease), was slower for infants < 1,560 g than the largest infants (3,000–4,050 g). Similarly, pH decreased more slowly for 1,570–2,450 g than infants > 2,460g.

All skin integrity measures varied significantly by HI class at all visits (Fig. 2a–e). Skin differences by birthweight appear to be more pronounced at higher versus lower HI. Skin pH was lower, starting at birth, for infants at higher HI. Given the importance of the acid mantle in skin barrier effectiveness and colonization with appropriate microflora, high HI may be protective. Yet, high HI and oil massage resulted in more skin irritation (Fig. 2c, d).

Despite the known environmental effects on skin, there are relatively few studies [14] among neonates and at high humidity/temperature. TEWL was lower at higher HI (Fig. 2b), as expected and consistent with NICU practices to reduce infant water loss. We did not measure skin hydration but anticipate that it was greater at higher HI [15]. Corneocytes take up water and structural changes occur in the keratin proteins, particularly at 85% RH [16].

The questions are: why is neonatal skin, routinely massaged with natural oil, more irritated at higher HI and the skin pH lower? A skin pH reduction after birth is beneficial. An acidic pH enables bacterial homeostasis, colonization with appropriate bacteria and inhibition of pathogenic bacteria [17]. One potential mechanism is filaggrin
proteolysis to produce natural moisturizing factor (NMF) [18]. Relative humidities of 80–95% were optimal for NMF formation [19], within the high HI range (Table 1). The stratum corneum absorbs water and becomes more permeable as humidity increases [20]. Fatty acids, including linoleic and oleic in these oils, enhance skin penetration in topical formulations [21]. Our higher rash and erythema at high HI may result from increased penetration of extraneous irritants on the skin.

Related to the effects of high HI is the finding of significant differences in rash for all 4 birthweight groups. Assuming group 1 infants were of 26–31 weeks GA, an underdeveloped skin barrier, with increased permeability and infection risk, is expected [7]. Lower rash could be
secondary to impaired ability to mount an inflammatory response (Fig. 1b).

Infant skin images showed miliaria to be prominent [22]. Miliaria occurs from (1) maceration by water or sweat [23]; (2) increased density of infectious microorganisms [24] and/or (3) biofilm formation [23]. Neonatal miliaria arose at high temperatures with oil application [25]. The relative absence of miliaria on day 1 may indicate eccrine gland immaturity followed by increases to day 14 as sweating develops, particularly for larger infants. Increased sweating may increase skin hydration.

Topical oils may block eccrine glands, causing water retention and miliaria.

The higher skin pH for the infants <2,450 g (groups 1 and 2) and at lower HI may have been influenced by sweating. Sweat is an important element of skin immunity, with pH from 4 to 6.8 [26]. Lactic acid from eccrine glands was implicated in acid mantle formation [17]. It is possible that delayed sweating for smaller, lower GA infants contributes to the higher skin pH in this study. Further studies are necessary to delineate the higher pH in the smallest infants, given its importance in innate immunity.

Some specific features were noteworthy, as they emphasize the utility and limitations. We did not include infants who received massage without oil or topical oil without massage to elucidate the effects of birthweight and HI. This information is not relevant to this population where daily massage is the cultural norm [2]. The smallest group had only 25 subjects thereby limiting the statistical power. In this community setting, mortality is high for extremely premature infants. For simplicity, the effects of birthweight were assessed by classification rather than as a continuous variable. We did not measure hydration, creating a limitation in discerning its role. Despite segmentation into 2 HI groups, the conditions did not encompass lower HIs found in other settings. The rates of skin barrier maturation vary with factors such as environmental exposure [27]. Therefore, the conclusions from this research pertain to the genetic, environmental, and cultural conditions of the study population, namely the Madeshi and Pahadi groups in rural Nepal. Additional studies are warranted to determine the outcomes across ethnicities, at lower temperatures/humidities, including quantitation of hydration and mechanisms of barrier damage and repair.

**Conclusion**

These findings suggest that high HI may be protective for low birthweight premature infants in low resource settings. They support the use of a warm, humidified incubator to facilitate acid mantle development in very low birth weight infants. Understanding the interactions between incubator temperature, humidity and skin ontogeny will likely identify strategies for optimization of skin development.
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