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1 Introduction

Analysts of quantum gravity expect that the fabric of space-time should fluctuate over distance scales of the order of the Planck length $\ell_P$ and over time scales of the order of the Planck time $t_P$ \cite{1}. The Big Issue is whether there might be any observable consequences of this ‘space-time foam’. Various ways of modelling certain aspects of quantum fluctuations in space-time have been proposed, including loop gravity \cite{2}, non-critical Liouville strings \cite{3, 4} and $D$-branes \cite{5}. Within the latter framework, it has been suggested \cite{4} that entropy might not be conserved at the microscopic level, during both particle propagation and interactions, and that energy might be conserved at best statistically. It was also on the basis of this calculational scheme that we proposed that the conventional special-relativistic relation between momentum and energy might be modified, reflecting a breakdown of Lorentz invariance and leading to an effective refractive index \textit{in vacuo} \cite{6, 7}. Related effects on particle propagation, such as birefringence, have been suggested in the context of the loop approach to quantum gravity \cite{8}.

Subsequently and independently of these theoretical developments, it has been pointed out that a modification of the conventional special-relativistic relation between momentum and energy might dissolve the Greisen-Zatsepin-Kuzmin (GZK) cutoff \cite{9} on high-energy cosmic rays \cite{10, 11}. Simply put, if $E \neq p$ for ultra-relativistic particles, the kinematical thresholds for reactions such as $N + \gamma \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow N + \pi$ and $\gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e^- + e^+$ would be modified, altering the absorption lengths of ultra-high-energy nucleons $N$ and photons $\gamma$. The two lines of thought were brought together by Kifune \cite{12}, who pointed out that the modification of the energy-momentum relation proposed in the Liouville $D$-brane approach \cite{4, 6} might remove the GZK cutoff for ultra-high-energy photons striking the cosmic microwave background radiation, and by Protheroe and Meyer \cite{13}, who made a similar observation for TeV photons striking the astrophysical infra-red background.

Previous authors have not considered the possibility of energy and/or momentum non-conservation during particle \textit{interactions}, such as $N + \gamma \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow N + \pi$ or $\gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e^- + e^+$, which could also affect their kinematical thresholds, and hence the corresponding absorption lengths and GZK cutoffs. In this paper, we clarify the circumstances under which we would expect, on the basis of our Liouville-string $D$-brane model for space-time foam, whether and when the energy and/or momentum of observable particles should not
be conserved, and by what amount. We extend our previous analyses to include particle interactions, and comment on the implications for analyses of the GZK cutoffs.

We first review our arguments that, during propagation, the momentum of an observable particle should be conserved \textit{exactly} and its energy conserved \textit{statistically}. On the other hand, we argue in this paper that, during interactions, the sum of the momenta of observable particles should only be conserved \textit{statistically}, and their total energy \textit{is not in general} conserved. The basic intuition behind the conservation of energy and momentum during particle propagation is that, although the energy of a particle modifies the background metric as ‘recoil’ effect, and this metric modification in turn modifies the relativistic momentum-energy dispersion relation, this modification is space- and time-translation invariant. The particle feels an ‘unusual’ non-flat metric, but this is a constant of motion. On the contrary, the ‘recoil’ background metric changes during an interaction, leading in general to a violation of energy conservation. However, momentum remains conserved statistically, as a consequence of basic properties of correlation functions in Liouville string theory. The lack of energy conservation during particle interactions modifies the kinematical threshold for particle production quantitatively, but the qualitative effects on particle absorption lengths and the GZK cutoffs [12] still remain.

2 Particle Propagation: Modified Dispersion Relations and Energy-Momentum Conservation

We review in this Section our use of the Liouville-string $D$-brane model of [4] to discuss the propagation of a closed-string state in the background of a single $D$ particle, which we interpret as a model of (matter) particle propagation through a (dilute) space-time foam. The recoil of the $D$ particle during the scattering distorts the surrounding space-time, inducing a non-trivial off-diagonal term in the metric [4]:

$$G_{0i} = -u_i = -(p_{0,i} - p_i)/M_D , i = 1, \ldots .$$ (1)
where \( u_i \) denotes the recoil velocity of the \( D \) particle, where \( i = 1, \ldots, d(= 3) \) is a spatial index, \( M_D \sim 10^{19} \text{ GeV} \) is the quantum-gravity scale, and \( p_0 \) (\( p \)) denotes the spatial momentum of the string state before (after) the scattering, in the frame where the \( D \) particle is initially at rest \(^1\).

After the collision, the string propagates in a background space-time with metric (\ref{eq:metric}). We consider first the case of massless particles. The on-shell relation for a massless point-like particle in the metric (\ref{eq:metric}) reads:

\[
p_\mu p_\nu g^{\mu\nu} = -E^2 + p_i^2 + E p_i u_i = 0,
\]

from which we derive

\[
E = |p_i| \left(1 + \frac{1}{4} (p_i u_i)^2\right)^{1/2} + \frac{1}{2} p_i u_i
\]

Using \( u_i = \frac{p_0 - p_i}{M_D} \), we then find that

\[
E = |p_i| + \frac{1}{2M_D} p_i (p_{0,i} - p_i) + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{M_D^2}\right)
\]

We consider an average \(< < \ldots >> >\) over both: (i) statistical effects due to the sum over a gas of \( D \) particles in the background, as is appropriate for a space-time foam picture, and (ii) quantum effects, which are treated by summation over higher world-sheet topologies. We assume a random-walk model, averaging over the angle between the incident and scattered particles. Randomness implies that \(< < p_{0,i} p_i >> = 0 \) and \(< < u_i >> = 0 \). Note that, although the metric perturbation \( h_{\mu\nu} \) (\ref{eq:metric}) vanishes on the average, its two-point correlation does not vanish:

\[
<< h_{\mu\nu} >> = 0 , \quad << h_{\mu\nu} h_{\rho\sigma} >> \neq 0
\]

as recently found also in other stochastic gravity models \([14]\).

Because of momentum conservation during the scattering of the closed string with the \( D \) particle, which is rigorously true within the world-sheet \( \sigma \)-model approach, we find that

\[
<< p_0 - p >> = << M_D u_i >> = 0
\]

i.e., spatial momentum is conserved on the average. It is easy to see that this holds for an arbitrary number of local interactions involving \( N \) matter

\(^1\)Throughout this work, we use units where the (low-energy) light velocity in vacuo is \( c_0 = 1 \).
particles:

\[
<< \sum_{n=1}^{N} p_i >> = M_D << u_i >> = 0,
\]

which is an important property of our space-time foam model that we use in the following.

Let us now consider the variance

\[
(\Delta p_i)^2 = << p_i p_i >> - << p_i >>^2,
\]

where we recall that the summation in \(<< \ldots >>\) includes both quantum and statistical effects. We return later to a discussion of its possible value in a specific stringy model.

Performing the average \(<< \ldots >>\) of equation (3), we obtain [4]:

\[
<< E >> \equiv E \equiv p \left(1 - \frac{1}{2M_D} \bar{p} + \ldots \right) = \bar{p} \equiv << |p_i| >> .
\]

To make it clear that the statistical averaging, which depends on the details of the foam, cannot be performed quantitatively in terms of any known \(D\)-brane mass scale \(M_D\), we replace \(1/2M_D\) by \(\xi/2M_D\), where we expect \(\xi = \mathcal{O}(1)\) for a dilute-gas foam model. Thus, the following modified dispersion relation characterizes our foamy model:

\[
E = \bar{p} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2M_D} \bar{p} + \ldots \right), \quad \xi > 0.
\]

This corresponds to a non-trivial refractive index, which is subluminal, as expected from the Born-Infeld dynamics of the \(D\)-brane foam:

\[
c(\bar{E}) = \frac{\partial \bar{E}}{\partial \bar{p}} = 1 - \frac{\xi}{M_D \bar{p}}.
\]

We have discussed elsewhere [7, 15] how such a phenomenon can be tested using Gamma-Ray Bursters and other intense astrophysical probes [16], by looking for delays in the arrival times of photons with different energies.

One may study in a similar manner the modification of the dispersion relation for a particle of mass \(m \neq 0\). The on-shell relation now reads:

\[
p_\mu p_\nu g^{\mu \nu} = -m^2 \rightarrow -E^2 + p_i^2 + E p_i u_i + m^2 = 0,
\]

from which we infer, following
an approach similar to the massless case in which we assume isotropic random foam,

\[ \bar{E} = \bar{p} - \frac{\xi}{2M_D} \bar{p}^2 + << \frac{m^2}{2|p_i|} >> + \ldots, \quad \bar{p} = << |p_i| >> \quad (11) \]

for highly-energetic massive particles. The quantity \(<< \frac{m^2}{|p_i|} >>\) is not trivial to evaluate, because we have to invoke the precise meaning of a quantum-fluctuating momentum in our \(\sigma\)-model approach. We know that, in the case of a \(D\) particle, momentum can be represented as a coupling in the \(\sigma\) model, whose tree-level value represents the average momentum \(\bar{p}\), and the summation over world-sheet topologies can be shown to correspond, in leading order, to Gaussian fluctuations \(\delta p_i\). Working to leading order in small fluctuations, we find \(<< \frac{m^2}{|p_i|} >>\approx \frac{m^2}{\bar{p}}\). A more detailed analysis is pending, but this assumption will prove sufficient for our qualitative description, so we therefore write the following modified dispersion relation

\[ \bar{E} = \bar{p} - \frac{\xi}{2M_D} \bar{p}^2 + \frac{m^2}{2\bar{p}} + \ldots, \quad \bar{p} = << |p_i| >> \quad (12) \]

for a highly-energetic massive particle.

We now consider the issue of energy conservation during particle propagation through space-time foam. We consider a particle with incident (final) energy-momentum \((E_1(2), p_1(2))\) scattering off a \(D\) particle in an isotropic foamy model of the type introduced above. For simplicity, we concentrate on one-dimensional propagation: the extension to higher dimensions is straightforward. The quantity \(\delta E = E_1 - E_2\) measures energy non-conservation in a single scattering. Averaging over both quantum fluctuations and statistical foam effects, and using (9), one has:

\[ << \delta E >> = \bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_2 = \bar{p}_1 - \bar{p}_2 - \frac{\xi}{2M_D} \left( (\Delta p_1)^2 - (\Delta p_2)^2 + \bar{p}_1^2 - \bar{p}_2^2 \right) = 0, \quad (13) \]

where we have taken into account (3) and we assume that the momentum variances of the incoming and outgoing particles are of the same order, as one would expect for energies \(E << M_D\).

Thus we arrive at the following conclusion:
Theorem 1:

Momentum is conserved exactly and energy is conserved on the average in the propagation of observable matter particles in isotropic models of Liouville D-particle foam. However, as has been emphasized previously, this energy conservation is not absolute: it is a statement about expectation values, not an operator statement.

As also emphasized previously, this statistical energy conservation is a consequence of the renormalizability of the world-sheet $\sigma$-model theory. The statistical variance in the energy measured for a photon of given momentum may be related to quantum (loop) effects in the $\sigma$-model treatment of the $D$ brane recoil [24]. We do not discuss this effect here in any detail, but note the conclusion, namely that we expect a variation $\Delta E$ in the measured energy of the generic form

$$\Delta E = g_s \frac{\zeta}{2M_D p^2}$$ (14)

where we have indicated explicitly a factor of the string coupling $g_s$, and emphasize that the numerical coefficient $\zeta$ is unknown and distinct from the corresponding parameter $\xi$ in the modified dispersion relation (12). In a weakly-coupled string model, the variation (14) might well be negligible, but it might be important in a strongly-coupled model. If it is important, it would have the effect of smearing out the effect on the GZK cutoff that we discuss later.

3 Particle Interactions in Liouville Space-Time Foam

We now extend the previous analysis to consider the decay of a highly-energetic particle with energy and momentum $(E_1, p_1)$ into two other massless particles with energy-momentum vectors $(E_2, p_2)$ and $(E_3, p_3)$, in the context of our Liouville-string approach. Particle interactions are represented as correlators among vertex operators $V_i$ in the world-sheet $\sigma$ model, which represent the various particle excitations. It is known [17] that, in the context of non-critical Liouville strings [3], an $N$-point correlator of such vertex operators, $\mathcal{F}_N \equiv < V_{i_1} \ldots V_{i_N} >$, where $< \ldots >$ signifies a world-sheet expectation
value in the standard Polyakov treatment, transforms under infinitesimal Weyl shifts of the world-sheet metric in the following way:

\[ \delta_{\text{weyl}} F_N = \left[ \delta_0 + O \left( \frac{s}{A} \right) \right] F_N \]  

where the standard part \( \delta_0 \) of the variation involves a sum over the conformal dimensions \( h_i \) of the operators \( V_i \) that is independent on the world-sheet area \( A \). The quantity \( s \) is the sum of the gravitational anomalous dimensions \[ 3 \]:

\[ s = - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\alpha_i - Q}{\beta} \alpha_i = -\frac{Q}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{Q^2 + 4(h_i - 2)}, \quad \alpha = -\frac{Q}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{Q^2 + 8} \] 

where \( Q \) is the central-charge deficit.

In standard critical string theory, the vertex operators corresponding to excitations are \( \propto e^{ik_i X_i} \), where \( k_i \) is the momentum and the index \( i \) runs over both space and time. However, in the non-critical treatment \[ 4 \] in which time is identified with the zero mode of the Liouville field \( \phi \), the index \( i \) is only spatial. The role of the energy is played in this approach by the gravitational anomalous dimension \( \alpha_i \), since the dressed excitation vertex \( V_i^L \) is \[ 6 \]:

\[ V_i^L \sim e^{\alpha_i \phi} e^{ik_i X_i} \]  

It is then evident that the integration over the \( \sigma \)-model spatial coordinates in the world-sheet correlator \( F_N \), will always imply conservation of the spatial momentum.

There is a caveat in this argument, namely that the form \[ 17 \] of the vertex operator describes an excitation on a flat space-time. This geometry is only an average effect in models of isotropic space-time foam \[ 4 \]. In general, quantum-gravity effects caused, e.g., by the recoil of a heavy \( D \) particle as we are considering here, distort the space-time. This has the inevitable result that the plane-wave expansion \[ 17 \] breaks down. The corresponding conservation of momentum therefore is demoted to an average effect due to the statistical sum over space-time foam fluctuations.

Turning now to the energy, we observe from \[ 17 \] that its conservation is not immediately apparent, because the (Liouville) energies are weighted by a

\[ \text{We recall that Liouville dressing is necessary in order to restore world-sheet conformal invariance (criticality) \[ 3 \].} \]
real exponent in the exponential rather than a phase factor. However [1, 17],
there is an alternative way of imposing Liouville energy conservation in the
mean, which follows from a careful treatment of (15), interpreting the (world-
sheet) zero mode of the Liouville field, $\phi_0$, as target time $t$. This zero mode
appears inside the world-sheet covariant area $A$ [17]: $\phi_0 \propto A^{1/2}$.

If $s \neq 0$, i.e., the Liouville energy is not conserved, one obtains a non-
trivial time dependence on the associated correlator $F_N$, which means that
it can no longer be interpreted as a unitary $S$-matrix amplitude in a factor-
izable $S$ matrix element [1, 17]. On the other hand, the ordinary kinematics
of particle interactions, that is commonly assumed in most approaches to
the phenomenology of quantum gravity [11, 12, 13], holds only in models in which the non-criticality of the string does not imply a breakdown of $S$-matrix factorization when the quantum-gravitational interactions are
properly taken into account. This means that the complete matter + gravity
system should behave like an ordinary quantum-mechanical system, as in
conventional critical string theory.

This is not always feasible in practice, and in generic Liouville theory a
precise mathematical description of quantum-gravity induced effects is still
lacking. However, this is possible in simplified models of $D$-brane foam [4],
where foamy effects are represented by looking at the back-reaction effects on
the space-time geometry that arise from its distortion during the scattering
of a propagating stringy matter mode on a heavy, non-relativistic $D$ particle
embedded in the space-time. In such a model, the recoil of the $D$-particle
defect is described by a logarithmic conformal field theory on the world sheet.
In this framework, the closed world sheet of the propagating stringy matter
particle is torn apart by the presence of the defect during the scattering
event [1], and the recoil of the defect is represented as a (non-conformal)
logarithmic deformation of the world sheet [18]. Dressing with the Liouville
field restores criticality, but also leads to a bulk gravitational field (1), which
expresses the distortion of the space-time surrounding the scattered defect.

As a result of the recoil treatment, the effective stringy $\sigma$ model becomes
non-critical, since the corresponding deformations are relevant from a world-
sheet renormalization group viewpoint [18]. This is the exclusive source of
non-criticality, which implies that the induced central-charge deficit $Q$ can be
expressed in terms of the corresponding deformation couplings. The leading
effect comes from the deformation associated with the recoil velocity $u_i$ (1),
and is given by the kinetic energy of the recoiling $D$ particle, to leading order
in an approximation of small recoil velocity, as appropriate for non-relativistic heavy $D$ particles. To develop this approach, we make the following formal steps.

(i) We first consider the gravitational anomalous dimension $\alpha_D$ of a recoiling $D$-particle excitation. In Liouville theory $[3]$, there are two possibilities:

$$\alpha_D^{(\pm)} = -\frac{Q^2}{2} \pm \sqrt{\frac{Q^2}{4} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}}$$

where we took into account the fact $[18]$ that the deformation of a recoiling $D$ particle has an anomalous dimension $-\frac{\epsilon^2}{2}$ on a flat world-sheet, satisfying:

$$\epsilon \sim \ln(L/a) \to 0$$

where $L(a)$ is the infrared (ultraviolet) world-sheet distance cutoff, and the relation $[13]$ between $\epsilon$ and the cutoff is dictated by the closure of the logarithmic world-sheet algebra.

Then (ii) in standard Liouville theory, for excitations that appear as external legs in string amplitudes, it is only the $\alpha^{(+)}$ excitations that are kept. However, the $D$-particle recoil excitations, since they express virtual foam effects, can only appear as internal lines of string amplitudes, so the $\alpha^{(-)}_D$ solutions are in principle allowed. In fact, it is the $\alpha^{(-)}_D$ choice that should be selected in order to obtain statistical energy conservation, as we discuss next.

(iii) To this end, we consider the sum of the anomalous dimensions of $N$ matter particles plus a recoiling $D$ particle excitation, with gravitational dimension $\alpha^{(-)}_D$, which contributes to the central charge deficit $Q^2 = C - C^*$, where $C^*$ represents the critical-string central charge, e.g., $C^* = 25$ in bosonic strings. The deficit $C$ is calculated at the end of the scattering with the $D$ particle, which, in the terminology of $[18]$, occurs at a (large) time $t \sim 1/\epsilon^2$, consistent with $[13]$ and the identification of the Liouville mode with the target time $[4]$. The deficit $C$ is given $[19]$ by the standard Zamolodchikov $C$-theorem $[20]$ applied to the open sector of the $\sigma$ model $[3]$.

\footnote{We recall that the Liouville dressing is applied to the closed sector, by rewriting the boundary recoil deformation as a total derivative on the bulk world sheet. In order to compute the central charge deficit $Q$, which is an entity that exists prior to Liouville dressing, to leading order one has to take into account only the boundary conformal field theory of the recoil deformations, and apply Zamolodchikov’s $C$-theorem there.}
C ≃ C* + \int_{t_0}^{t} \beta^i G_{ij} \beta^j, where the indices i, j = C, D run over the pair of recoil operators V_i describing the collective coordinates (position and momenta) of the recoiling D particle \( [18] \), both with anomalous dimensions \(-\epsilon^2/2\) in flat world sheets. The function \( G_{ij} \sim \langle V_i V_j \rangle \) is the Zamolodchikov metric in theory space of these operators \( [20] \), whilst the world-sheet renormalization-group \( \beta \) functions are written as: \( \beta^i = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{2} g^i + \tilde{\beta}^i \), and \( G_{ij} \sim \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} G^{(1)}_{ij} + \ldots \), as in standard theory \( [4] \), with \( G^{(1)}_{ij} \) the residue of the simple poles in \( \epsilon^2 \). In the problem at hand, one has \( \tilde{\beta}^C = u^i \), \( \tilde{\beta}^D = 0 \), \( G^{(1)}_{CC} \propto -\epsilon^2 \) and \( G^{(1)}_{CD} \sim 1 \). Hence, to leading order in \( \epsilon^2 \to 0 \), the central charge deficit \( C \) is then given by

\[
Q^2 = Q_0^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} (u^i)^2, \quad |u_i| << 1 \tag{20}
\]

where \( Q_0^2 \) is a combination of constants which identifies the ‘critical’ theory, defined as the one with \( u_i \to 0 \), i.e., no recoil. In the \( u \to 0 \) limit, the central charge of the \( \sigma \) model may be identified with that of a four-dimensional string theory, and the deficit \( Q \) is then just a numerical constant, corresponding to a non-trivial dilaton background that varies linearly with the Liouville zero mode/time. Such theories with linear dilatons have been considered in \( [21] \), where it was argued that they provide examples of expanding universes in string theory. However, simple linear dilaton models with flat metric spacetimes, such as the ones derived in the limit \( u \to 0 \) above, actually describe a non-expanding string universe if the lengths are measured by string rods \( [22] \).

In this sense, the critical four-dimensional model obtained in the no-recoil limit \( u \to 0 \) describes an ‘equilibrium’ situation.

Finally, (iv) the constant \( Q_0^2 \) on the r.h.s. of (20) will be fixed by the requirement of energy conservation in the complete matter + recoiling D-brane system, as we discuss below.

## 4 Energy Non-Conservation during Interactions

We now examine the question whether energy is conserved during interactions, in the D-particle foam picture outlined above. Consider \( s = 0 \) in \( [19] \), where in \( s \) one now includes the D-particle anomalous dimension \( \alpha_D^{(-)} \), with
$Q$ given by (20). According to the previous discussion, this guarantees unitarity of the complete matter + recoiling $D$-particle system. Expanding the appropriate denominators up to leading order in $(u^i)^2/\epsilon^2$, one obtains

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i \simeq \frac{1}{2} M_D u^2$$

(21)

where $i = 1, \ldots, N$ runs over all the particles in the interaction, e.g., $N = 3$ in the $1 \rightarrow 2$-body decay process considered here, and the $E_i$ denote the corresponding energies, which are identified with the gravitational anomalous dimensions $\alpha_i$, once one identifies time with the Liouville zero mode $\phi_0$ [4].

The constants in $Q_0^2$ in the expression (20) for $Q$ are fixed so as to provide the correct normalization for the kinetic energy of the recoiling $D$ particle of the r.h.s. of (21). Such constants are attributed to properties of the equilibrium background space-time, in the limit $u^i \rightarrow 0$, and, as such, they are to be associated with the specification of the rest energy of the background $D$-brane foam. Equation (21), then, expresses the net change in energy induced by the recoil kinetic energy of the $D$ brane affected by the highly-energetic particles. We shall concentrate on this quantity from now on, as a measure of the induced energy non-conservation in the observable particle subsystem.

Taking into account spatial momentum conservation in the presence of the recoil $D$-particle deformation, which is rigorous in the context of the $\sigma$-model logarithmic conformal field theory treatment of $D$-brane recoil [19], as discussed earlier, we may write:

$$u = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i}{M_D}$$

(22)

from which we may write (21) in the form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{p_i^2}{M_D} + \sum_{i<j} \frac{p_i p_j}{M_D}$$

(23)

We now consider an average $\langle< \ldots >\rangle$ over quantum (higher world-sheet genus) and statistical foam effects. We concentrate first on the three-particle interaction in the presence of a recoiling $D$ particle, making the isotropy assumption (4). We then have from (23):

$$\delta E_D \equiv \bar{E}_1 - \bar{E}_2 - \bar{E}_3 = \frac{M_D}{2} \langle< u^2 >\rangle$$

(24)
Using spatial momentum conservation (6), we then find

\[
\delta E_D = \frac{\xi_I}{M_D} \left( \overline{p_2^2} + \overline{p_3^2} + \overline{p_2 p_3} \right) + \\
\frac{\xi_I}{M_D} \langle \langle p_2 p_3 \rangle \rangle - \frac{\xi_I}{M_D} \langle \langle p_1 p_3 \rangle \rangle - \\
\frac{\xi_I}{M_D} \langle \langle p_1 p_2 \rangle \rangle + \frac{\xi_I}{M_D} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\Delta p_i)^2
\]

(25)

where \( \xi_I \) is a new numerical parameter (\( \xi_I \neq \xi \) in general) that characterizes the effects of the statistical sum in \( \langle \langle \ldots \rangle \rangle \).

To leading order in the inverse string or D-brane scale \( M_D \), the matrix element for any interaction agrees with that obtained in the framework of Special Relativistic Quantum Field Theory without gravitation, e.g., QED in the example of the \( \gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^- \) process considered here. On the other hand, the back-reaction effects of the recoiling D particle on the space-time are expected to modify the kinematics of this field-theoretic result. We examine their effects by factorizing multi-particle processes as products of three-point vertices, and treating the latter in a generic way without specifying the nature of the particles involved. We take into account spatial momentum conservation, but allow isotropic fluctuations, as implied in our models of foam.

In the limit when D-particle recoil effects are ignored, one may replace in (25) products of the form \( \langle \langle p_i p_j \rangle \rangle \) by the appropriate average (mean-field) momenta \( \overline{p_i p_j} \), up to quantum uncertainty effects of order \( \chi_{ij} = \langle \langle p_i p_j \rangle \rangle - \overline{p_i p_j} \):

\[
\langle \langle p_i p_j \rangle \rangle = \overline{p_i p_j} + \chi_{ij}, \quad \chi_{ij} = \langle \langle p_i p_j \rangle \rangle - \overline{p_i p_j}
\]

(26)

Then, using momentum conservation for the mean momenta: \( \overline{p_1} = \overline{p_2} + \overline{p_3} \), it is straightforward to see that the r.h.s. of (25) consists only of the uncertainty variances \( \chi_{ij} \), thereby implying the known flat space-time field-theory result of energy conservation during decay.

On the other hand, incorporating the recoil of the background D particle introduces a distortion of space-time during the decay, changing the

\footnote{In the above, all the \( E_i \) are positive, which explains the sign conventions.}
situations. In calculating such corrections in the presence of a random distribution of recoiling $D$ particles, we assume that the statistical average over the $D$-brane foam generates a random distribution of angles between the momentum of a particle produced during the decay, in our case particle 2, and the momenta of each of the external particles (1,3). This random distribution is compensated by the random distribution of angles of the recoiling $D$ particles, and hence spatial momentum is conserved on the average. Such processes provide minute fluctuating corrections to the mean-field relativistic field theory result on flat space-times.

In this case, the r.h.s. of the pertinent three-particle interaction formula (25) becomes:

$$\delta E_D = \frac{\xi_I}{M_D} p_2^2 + \frac{\xi_I}{M_D} \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\Delta p_i)^2 + \frac{\xi_I}{M_D} \chi_{13}$$

(27)

where $p_2$ is a typical momentum of a light particle that may be exchanged in a four-body interaction, $\chi_{13} \equiv \langle\langle p_1 p_3 \rangle\rangle - p_1 p_3$, and again we took into account spatial momentum conservation (6). For all practical purposes, the contributions from the terms depending on variances $\Delta p_i$ and $\chi_{23}$ may be assumed negligible compared to $p_2^2/M_D$. In any case, they can only make additive contributions to the r.h.s. of (27), and hence can never cancel its first term.

Thus we arrive at the following conclusion:

**Theorem 2:**

Observable momentum is conserved statistically and energy is violated during particle interactions, due to recoil effects in $D$-particle space-time foam. The amount of energy violation is, in order of magnitude and up to quantum uncertainties, equal to the typical kinetic energy of the recoiling heavy (non-relativistic) $D$ particle, with energy being conserved in the complete system, where the back-reaction on the space-time geometry of the recoiling $D$ particle is taken into account.

5 Implications for the GZK Cutoff

As a non-trivial example that illustrates the physical interest of the above analysis, consider now the process $\gamma_H \gamma_L \rightarrow e^+ e^-$, where $\gamma_H$ is a highly energetic photon, and $\gamma_L$ an infrared background photon, with energy $\omega \sim$
0.025eV \cite{13}. We recall that, in our framework, spatial momentum is conserved on the average, but the energy-momentum dispersion relations are modified \cite{9}. Also, as discussed in the previous section, energy is violated in 3- and 4-particle decays and interactions \cite{27}. We may, for our purposes, factorize the photon-photon scattering four-point amplitude into a product of two 3-body interactions mediated by the exchange of an off-shell electron with momentum $p_2$, as in standard QED. The relevant energy-momentum (non-)conservation equations are \cite{1}:

$$E_1 + \omega = E_2 + E_3 + \delta E_D,$$

$$p_1 - \omega = p_2 + p_3 \quad (28)$$

Inserting the modified energy-momentum dispersion relations for both massless and massive particles in the first of the above equations, and using the second, one obtains, in the case of highly-energetic particles:

$$2\omega + \frac{m_1^2}{2p_1} - \frac{m_2^2}{2p_2} - \frac{m_3^2}{2p_3} = \delta E^{(4)}_D + \frac{\xi}{M_D} \left( p_2 p_3 + (p_2 + p_3) \omega + \omega^2 \right) \quad (29)$$

For the purposes of the threshold calculation, we assume that $\omega << p_1 \simeq E_{th} = 2p_2 = 2p_3$, $m_1 \simeq 0$, $m_2 = m_3 = m_e$. Then, from (29) we obtain

$$2\omega E_{th} - 2m_e^2 = E_{th} \left( \delta E^{(4)}_D + \frac{\xi}{M_D} \left( \frac{1}{2} E_{th}^2 + \omega \right)^2 \right) \quad (30)$$

where the $\omega$-dependent terms on the r.h.s of (30) are negligible for our purposes.

The amount of energy violation $\delta E^{(4)}_D$ in a four-particle interaction such as $\gamma + \gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$ or $N + \gamma \rightarrow \Delta \rightarrow N + \pi$ is given by the sum of the corresponding violations in each of the two three-body interactions \cite{27}, assuming factorization of the relevant amplitudes, via virtual $e^\pm$ or $\Delta$ exchange, respectively. To leading order, and ignoring the stochastic quantum uncertainties in the propagating particle energies, excitation of a massive $D$ brane essentially determines $\delta E^{(4)}$:

$$\delta E^{(4)} \simeq \frac{\xi_I}{2M_D} E_{th}^2 + \ldots \quad (31)$$

\footnote{From now on, for simplicity we omit overlines in the notation, since all the quantities below denote such averages.}
where $\xi_I$ parametrizes energy loss during interactions, and is a priori distinct from the propagation parameter $\xi$.

The fact that $\delta E^{(4)} \geq 0$, i.e., energy is lost during particle decays in the presence of the $D$-particle foam, follows from the sign of the flat-world-sheet anomalous dimension $-\epsilon^2/2$ of the recoil deformation appearing inside the square root in the expression (18) for $\alpha_D$. In our formalism, this sign is positive, because the corresponding operator is relevant in a world-sheet renormalization-group sense [18]. Should this operator have been irrelevant instead, its anomalous dimension would have appeared with the opposite sign inside (18), and one would have encountered a (rather unphysical) situation in which there would be energy gain in particle decays in the presence of $D$-particle foam. In such a case, $\delta E^{(4)} < 0$, and using the estimate (31), which would still be valid up to a change in sign, one might in principle have a complete cancellation on the r.h.s. of the threshold equation (30), leaving intact the conventional Lorentz-invariant GZK cutoff. However, our argument for the sign of $\xi_I$ excludes this possibility.

In the high-energy limit $E_{th} >\omega$, (30) becomes a cubic equation:

$$E_{th} - \frac{m_e^2}{\omega} \approx \frac{(\xi_I + \xi)E_{th}^3}{4M_D\omega} + \ldots$$

(32)

where the $\ldots$ denote higher-order and quantum-uncertainty effects. Equation (32) always has a real solution that determines the kinematic threshold. For example, for the value $\omega \simeq 0.025$ eV, $m_e \sim 0.5$ MeV and $M_D \sim 10^{19}$ GeV, two of the three real solutions of the cubic equation for $E_{th}$ are positive. The physical solution for $\xi = \xi_I = 1$ is $E_{th,2}/M_D \simeq 2.2 \times 10^{-15}$, which implies a non-trivial modification of the conventional GZK cutoff, namely an increase to $\sim 20$ TeV, which is consistent with the observation of energetic photons from Mk421.

The fact that there is energy loss in particle interactions, as a result of the non-trivial recoil of excitations in the string/$D$-particle foam, distinguishes our approach from models [10, 11, 12, 13] where energy is assumed to be conserved, as well as momentum. Our approach raises the GZK cutoff, but it is lower than that predicted in models with $\xi_I = 0$. Setting $\delta E_D^{(4)} = 0$ in (30), the resulting cubic equation for the threshold, analogous to (32), would imply $E_{th}/M_D \simeq 3.14 \times 10^{-15}$ if $\xi = 1$. 

15
6 Discussion

In the equations (28) above, we have ignored quantum uncertainties in the energies and momenta, assuming them to be small compared to the mean-field effects of D-brane recoil. This is a feature of the specific D-brane recoil model we have examined here [4]. In more generic approaches to quantum-gravitational foam, however, such an assumption may not be valid, and quantum uncertainties associated in the energies and momenta may be comparable to the terms leading to modifications of the GZK cutoff discussed above. Even so, we would not expect such stochastic uncertainties to dominate over the modification of the usual dispersion relation.

However, such a possibility has recently been examined in [23], where energy and momentum uncertainties of the following generic form were assumed:

\[
\Delta p_i \sim \Delta E \sim \left( \frac{E}{M_p} \right) ^\alpha E, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta p_i - \Delta E = \varepsilon \frac{E^{1+\alpha}}{2M_p^\alpha} \quad (33)
\]

In the approach of [23], the parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \varepsilon \) are phenomenological, and should be bounded or determined by analyzing high-energy cosmic-ray data. This case (for \( \alpha = 1 \)) may be recovered in our analysis above by setting \( \xi \to 0 \) in the appropriate expressions (28, 30), etc., and keeping only the terms associated with the quantum uncertainties, assuming the energy-momentum dependent form (33) above.

One way to distinguish the approach of [23] from ours, in which modified dispersion relations occur and probably dominate, is to look for observable effects in the arrival times of energetic photons from distant astrophysical sources such as GRBs [6, 7]. In our approach, in which stochastic fluctuations are not expected to dominate, one would expect searches for different arrival times for photons in different energy ranges to be fruitful, for example pulses emitted by GRBs in different energy channels [6, 7]. On the other hand, in approaches to quantum-gravitational foam like that of [23], in which quantum uncertainties in energies and momenta are dominant, one should observe energy-dependent spreads in the arrival times of photons of the same energy, which could be probed by comparing the widths of pulses emitted by GRBs in different energy channels [24, 15].

A final remark is that our string approach to quantum space-time foam is compatible with the general principles of relativity, despite the appearance of a fundamental (Planckian) mass scale \( M_D \). In string theory, this mass scale
is an observer-independent quantity by construction. Our modifications of Lorentz symmetry, as expressed in the modified dispersion relations discussed above, pertain to a specific background of string theory, so such Lorentz violations should be considered as spontaneous. In the Liouville approach to time [4], although the time coordinate is special, in the sense of being associated with a renormalization-group scale on the world sheet of the string, and thus irreversible [20], there is no contradiction with general coordinate invariance, given that Liouville strings do embody that principle [4] in their $\sigma$-model framework. The irreversibility of the Liouville time is no stranger than time irreversibility in cosmological models, which are compatible with general coordinate invariance, being specific solutions of the gravitational field equations.

In this respect, we question the need for extra axioms [25] in order to formulate theories with an observer-independent minimal length. In our stringy approach, where the induced violations of Lorentz symmetry are due to the (dynamical) choice of background, there is a frame-dependence of the energy scale associated with modifications of the light velocity, but this is a reflection of the spontaneous violation of Lorentz invariance, and the underlying (Planckian) mass scale is universal. The ensuing predictions are compatible with the general principles of relativity.
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