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ABSTRACT
Developmental exposure to bisphenol A (BPA) has been shown to induce changes in DNA methylation in both mouse and human genomic regions; however, the response in repetitive elements and transposons has not been explored. Here we present novel methodology to combine genomic DNA enrichment with RepeatMasker analysis on next-generation sequencing data to determine the effect of perinatal BPA exposure on repetitive DNA at the class, family, subfamily, and individual insertion level in both mouse and human samples. Mice were treated during gestation and lactation to BPA in chow at 0, 50, or 50,000 ng/g levels and total BPA was measured in stratified human fetal liver tissue samples as low (non-detect to 0.83 ng/g), medium (3.5 to 5.79 ng/g), or high (35.44 to 96.76 ng/g). Transposon methylation changes were evident in human classes, families, and subfamilies, with the medium group exhibiting hypomethylation compared to both high and low BPA groups. Mouse repeat classes, families, and subfamilies did not respond to BPA with significantly detectable differential DNA methylation. In human samples, 1251 individual transposon loci were detected as differentially methylated by BPA exposure, but only 19 were detected in mice. Of note, this approach recapitulated the discovery of a previously known mouse environmentally labile metastable epiallele, CabpIAP. Thus, by querying repetitive DNA in both mouse and humans, we report the first known transposons in humans that respond to perinatal BPA exposure.

Abbreviations: A/y, agouti variable yellow; BPA, bisphenol A; IAP, intracisternal A particle; SINE, short interspersed element; LINE, long interspersed element; LTR, Long terminal repeat; ERV, endogenous retrovirus; FDR, false discovery rate.

Introduction
DNA methylation as an epigenetic mark has been at the forefront of the integration of environmental exposures, gene expression patterns, and subsequent health effects. A growing number of studies report environmental shifts in genomic DNA methylation in multiple species following exposure to agents such as air pollution, chemical exposures including bisphenol A (BPA) and phthalates, radiation, and nutritional deprivation. Because the epigenome of mammals is reprogrammed in pre-implantation zygotes during early embryogenesis and in primordial germ cells (PGC) of the developing fetus, perinatal exposures at even relatively low concentrations can have life course effects on the epigenome.

Research by our group and others has consistently shown genome-wide and locus specific effects following developmental exposures. Locus specific effects occur at the Agouti viable yellow (A/y) locus and a similar locus, CabpIAP, both controlled by the insertion of intracisternal A particle (IAP) transposons. Interrogations of the methylome are now commonly performed in fields ranging from epidemiology to animal science, and mammalian studies often focus on DNA methylation of LINE1 elements as a proxy for global DNA methylation. Conversely, studies using next-generation bisulftite sequencing often discard transposons due to the low mappability of certain repeat elements. Despite the wide use of methylation detecting technologies, few researchers examine the repetitive portion of the genome in detail, even though it is known that repetitive elements are regulated with a high degree of specificity and that developmental exposures can alter epigenetic programming of repetitive elements including the transposons such as A/y and CabpIAP.

Here we focus primarily on transposon repetitive elements, sequences that are capable of inserting themselves in new locations. Transposons are identified as part of a class, family, and subfamily, and are annotated at each individual insertion with their location in the genome. The main classes are long
interspersed elements (LINEs), short interspersed elements (SINEs), long-terminal repeats (LTRs), and DNA elements. Unlike the transcriptome, the genomic complement of repetitive elements is highly species specific. For example, although both the mouse and human genomes consist of approximately 45% repetitive DNA, the human genome has over 1.1 million Alu elements, a primate specific short interspersed element of the class SINE, and no active endogenous retroviruses (class ERV), while the most active element in the mouse genome is an ERV of the family IAP and contains no Alu elements (although there is a similar element of the family B1). Approximately 20% of the mouse and human genomes are derived from long interspersed nuclear elements (class LINE). The remaining most common classes of repetitive DNA in both genomes are satellites, long terminal repeats (LTRs), DNA elements, and simple repeats. Following classes, families of transposons are identified based on homology and are named according to their parent class (e.g., LINE1, LINE2, ERVL, ERVL-MaLR, etc.). Subfamilies are the smallest groupings following similar naming patterns (e.g., AluYa5, AluYa8, etc.). Finally, individual repeat insertions are identified by their genomic location (e.g., AluYa5_chr15:52525124-52525577 in hg19).

Bisphenol A (BPA) is a high production volume chemical with endocrine disrupting properties. Recent investigations by us and others have found strong support for BPA as an epigenetic modifier in both perinatal and life course exposures in both mouse and humans.17-19 Thus, to develop and test a novel methodology for identifying environmentally labile repetitive elements, we examined developmental BPA exposure in mouse, where BPA was added to maternal chow, and in human fetal liver stratified by natural BPA exposure, to examine the effect on transposon methylation.

Here we report a novel adaptation of next-generation sequencing data analysis to determine BPA’s effect on classes, families, and subfamilies of transposon methylation, as well as its effects at the level of individual transposon methylation.

---

**Figure 1.** Flowchart for Experimental and Analysis Pipeline. Both humans and mice underwent MethylPlex enrichment prior to sequencing. Reads were Repeat Masked and used to identify group level differences, and aligned to reference genomes to identify individual differences in repeat DNA methylation. Asterisks denote significance, *P < 0.05,* **P < 0.01.**
across exposure groups. While RNA-seq has been used in mouse to find transposons that are differentially methylated, our method captures differential methylation independent of transcription and we examined both mouse and human samples. Our experimental design involved the digestion of DNA with methylation sensitive enzymes to enrich for GC rich regions and highly methylated CpG sites. The differential digestion followed by next-generation sequencing allowed read-counts to be used as a proxy for genomic methylation. Our pipeline of alignment to the genome followed by differential read-count analysis adapted from RNA-seq methods revealed DNA methylation differences in transposons (Fig. 1). By classifying the usually discarded multi-mapped reads using RepeatMasker, we identified the class, family, and subfamily of these reads and determined overall differences between exposure groups. Additionally, by selecting reads that span unique map-pable regions and repetitive transposon regions, we determined methylation differences at unique transposon insertion loci. We report the first known group of over 1250 transposons responding to BPA exposure by differential DNA methylation in humans. We also recapitulate the discovery of the mouse CabpIAP metastable epiallele associated with a differentially methylated IAP transposon and report 19 transposons that respond to BPA exposure by modulating DNA methylation. 

Results
MethylPlex efficiency

In order to detect differential DNA methylation in an unbiased manner using next-generation sequencing, we first enzymatically enriched genomic DNA for GC rich regions and methylated CpG sites. After sequencing, the enrichment efficiency was determined by comparison to reference genome CpG content. Sequenced reads identified as derived from repetitive DNA were compared across treatment groups as proxies for differential DNA methylation in classes, families, and subfamilies of repetitive DNA.

In mouse, 406,559,087 reads were sequenced covering 32 Gb across 12 samples. In human, 1,856,190,593 reads were sequenced covering 165 Gb across 18 samples. MethylPlex treatment results in increased methyl-CpG and high GC content; therefore, greater read counts correspond to higher methylation. MethylPlex enrichment increased CpG dinucleotide frequency from 0.80% in the reference mouse genome to an average of 2.17% in the mouse sequencing reads and from reference human genomic count of 0.91% CpG dinucleotide frequency to 2.84% in the human reads (Fig. 2). Only the human low BPA group showed a statistically significant reduction in efficiency, and the magnitude was small ($P = 0.01$ for low 2.65% vs. medium 2.97%, and $P = 0.05$ for low 2.65% vs. high 2.92%), while still being over 2.5x more enriched than the human genome. Individual lane enrichment efficiency is shown in Fig. S1. Overall, repetitive content in the sequencing reads averaged 48.74% in human samples, and 17.87% in mouse samples and were not significantly different across exposure groups (Fig. S2, Supplementary Files 4 and 5).

Repeat class by exposure

Counts of individual reads consisting of >20 bp of annotated repetitive sequence allowed identification of class, family, and, usually, subfamily depending on length, and were used as a proxy to identify methylation differences by exposure group. P-values for differences between exposure levels for each class were calculated by Tukey’s test. Identified repeats in humans were predominantly made up of SINEs (>40%), which displayed no significant differences across exposure groups. Human classes that were significantly differentially methylated by exposure group included LINEs (adjusted $P = 0.014$ low vs. medium), LTR elements (adjusted $P = 0.008$ medium vs. low), DNA elements (adjusted $P < 0.001$ medium vs. low, and $P = 0.022$ medium vs. high), and satellites (adjusted $P = 0.043$ low vs. high, and $P = 0.006$ low vs. medium) (Fig. 3). Importantly,

Figure 2. Percent CpG Content by Exposure. Both human and mouse samples exhibited increased CpG content after MethylPlex enrichment. (A) The human low BPA group was slightly but significantly lower than the medium group ($P = 0.01$), and the high group ($P < 0.05$). (B) The mouse samples exhibited no significant differences in CpG enrichment by exposure group.
all responding classes exhibited a non-monotonic response with the medium exposure level as hypomethylated compared to the low and high exposure levels.

In mice, no classes of repeats were differentially methylated between exposure groups. Despite the lack of exposure differences, the MethylPlex treated mouse reads did exhibit a shift in enrichment by class type from the reference genome, an outcome explained by incomplete annotation of some repeat loci within the reference genome.

**Disparity between genomic repeat coverage and enriched sequenced repeats**

A large enrichment in reads annotated as satellites was observed, averaging 15.07% of reads per mouse, as compared to 0.06% annotated by RepeatMasker in the mm10 reference genome. In contrast, all other classes were depleted as compared to the mouse reference genome. LINEs were depleted, with an average of 9.29% of the reads, compared to 19.49% of the genome. SINEs were depleted, averaging 3.02% of the reads, compared to 7.35% of the genome. LTRs were depleted from 11.17% of the genome to 5.07% of the reads, and DNA transposons were depleted from 1.08% of the genome to 0.01% of the reads (Supplementary File 1). In humans, the total percentage of interspersed elements in the hg19 reference genome is 46% and the average across the 3 BPA groups is 48.74%. SINES were highly enriched, as expected, given their high CpG content, increasing from 13% in the genome to an average of 40.38% across all BPA groups. Conversely, LINEs were reduced from 21% in the genome to an average of just 4.82% of reads in the samples. Of the remaining classes, both LTR and DNA elements were reduced from a genomic 8.7% to average 2.39%, and a genomic 3% to average 0.49%, respectively. In stark contrast with the mouse, satellites were nearly unchanged, making up 0.45% of the human genome and 0.48% of the reads.

**Repeat family by exposure**

In humans, BPA exposure correlated with differential DNA methylation differences in the following repeat families: LINE1s (adjusted \(P = 0.008\) low vs. medium), ERVLs (adjusted \(P = 0.005\) low vs. medium), ERVL-MaLRs (adjusted \(P = 0.018\) low vs. medium) and TcMar-Tigger (adjusted \(P = 0.004\) low vs. medium) (Fig. 4). Similar to the results for classes in humans, all families exhibiting significantly different DNA methylation across exposure groups were between the low and medium BPA groups; the increased mean methylation in the medium vs. high comparison did not reach significance in any of the families. The results in mouse also mirrored the mouse class response, with no families exhibiting differences in DNA methylation across exposure groups.

**Repeat subfamily by exposure**

There are over 7000 subfamilies of human repeats described in the Repbase database. After filtering to remove simple repeats and subfamilies with <10 assignments in any group, the remaining 955 human subfamilies were analyzed. Only 15 subfamilies were significantly differentially methylated across BPA exposure groups. All 15 were in the *Alu* family and were relatively young at <44 million years old (Table 1). Ages were assigned according to previous reports (20, 21). In contrast to the class and family results, subfamilies did not act in a consistent non-monotonic fashion. Element subfamilies that were significantly differentially methylated across all 3 levels varied in pattern. For example, *AluSq* increased in methylation from low to medium BPA, and decreased from medium to high with no significant difference between low and high. In the opposite pattern, *AluSx* decreased from low to medium and increased from medium to high, and was significantly increased between low and high as well. More information on directionality of change across exposure groups is available in Table 1.
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**Figure 3.** Percent Reads by Repeat Class and Exposure. (A) A subset of classes in human was hypomethylated in the medium BPA group. (B) No classes were differentially methylated by exposure group in mice. Asterisks denote significance, *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01.
Interestingly, none of the subfamilies responded in the same direction. In mouse, 755 subfamilies were analyzed after filtering. Only one subfamily responded to BPA exposure, GSAT_MM, which was hypermethylated in both the UG and MG groups compared to the control group (Table 1).

**Individual transposon insertion DNA methylation by exposure**

In the previous analyses, transposons and other repetitive DNA were examined in terms of their evolutionary relatedness, independently of their location within the genome, and aggregating the response of thousands to hundreds of thousands of elements to BPA exposure. In order to identify individual transposon insertions that may be especially sensitive to BPA exposure, we used a subset of reads that consisted of partial transposon sequence to measure the reads derived from specific locations in the genome.

Counts of individual reads consisting of >20 bp of annotated repetitive sequence and >20 bp of uniquely mapped sequence were used as a proxy for locus specific methylation differences (Fig. 5). An average of 3.80% (1.8 billion) of reads passed these criteria in human, and 1.65% (101 million) in mice. The lower percentage and total number of passing reads in mice is due to the shorter read length (68 bp in mice vs. 90 bp in humans) and lower read depth for the mouse samples (GAIIx in mice vs. Hi-seq in humans). The identified reads were aligned to one of 5,298,092 unique repeat-associated loci across the human genome or 5,147,712 loci across the mouse genome. In humans, between the medium and high BPA groups, 1229 loci containing a transposon with significantly different DNA methylation were identified after correcting for multiple testing via FDR (q-value < 0.05)

Table 1. Repeat subfamilies differentially methylated by exposure.

| Human | Element Subfamily | Condition | Condition | Condition | Direction of Methylation | Adjusted P-value (Tukey) | Element Age (MYA) |
|-------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| AluSx3 | Low               | Medium    | Medium    | Low       | ↓                        | 2.23E-05                | 44               |
| AluSq  | Low               | Medium    | Medium    | High      | ↑                        | 5.70E-09                | 44               |
| AluSx3 | Medium            | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 0.0003                  | 44               |
| AluSx4 | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 5.68E-09                | 37               |
| AluSx4 | Medium            | Medium    | High      | High      | ↑                        | 3.27E-07                | 37               |
| AluSx5 | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 5.68E-09                | 37               |
| AluSx5 | Medium            | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 5.68E-09                | 37               |
| AluY   | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 0.0072                  | 31               |
| AluY   | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 5.71E-09                | 31               |
| AluY   | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 0.0026                  | 24               |
| AluY   | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 0.0110                  | 24               |
| AluY   | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 5.68E-09                | 24               |
| AluYc  | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 5.68E-09                | 4 to 16          |
| AluYc  | Low               | Medium    | High      | Medium    | ↑                        | 5.68E-09                | 4 to 16          |
| Mouse  | GSAT_MM           | UG        | control   | Control   | ↑                        | 1.26E-12                | 4 to 16          |
| Mouse  | GSAT_MM           | MG        | control   | Control   | ↑                        | 1.26E-12                | 4 to 16          |

Figure 4. Percent Reads by Repeat Family and Exposure. (A) A subset of repeat families was hypomethylated in the medium BPA group. (B) No families were differentially methylated by exposure group in mice. Asterisks denote significance, \(^*^\)P < 0.05, \(^{**}\)P < 0.01.
For the remaining comparisons, 29 (medium vs. low) and 0 (high vs. low) transposons with differential DNA methylation were identified. In mice 12 (control vs. MG), 9 (UG vs. MG), and 2 (control vs. UG) differentially methylated transposons were observed (Fig. 6, Supplementary File 7). Two of the top hits in control vs. MG were intronic to the Cdk5rap1 gene, directly proximal to the previously known metastable transposon also intronic to this gene, called CabpIAP (Fig. S3). These loci are of the type B1_Mus2, and RMER12B, respectively.

With respect to the genomic distribution of these elements, counts by genomic location are displayed in Table 2. Approximately 36–46% of these elements were located within introns in the human genome and 35-50% in mouse. Statistical deviation from expected genomic repeat distributions were only calculated for the human medium vs. high group as the other comparison counts were too low to draw significant inferences (Fig. S4). Within this comparison, an interesting pattern emerged relative to the general repetitive element abundance in the human genome. In the human medium vs. high group,
more elements were found within promoters, 1 to 5 kb proximal to genes, or within introns, while intergenic regions were underrepresented in elements from this group.

**Discussion**

Here we have identified repetitive elements in both mouse and human that respond to perinatal BPA exposure using a novel approach with methylation-enriched next-generation sequencing data. The changes induced are not uniform, but rather impact specific classes, families, and subfamilies of repetitive elements. By mapping reads with both unique and repetitive content, a number of individual transposon insertions were identified that also exhibit significant exposure-dependent shifts in DNA methylation, including the first known transposons in humans that respond to perinatal BPA exposure.

The human and mouse exposure groups analyzed in this study span 4 orders of magnitude and overlap in the human high group (35.44 to 96.76 ng/g), and the mouse UG group (50 ng/g). In both species, the controls were an order of magnitude lower, human (non-detect to 0.83 ng/g) and mouse 0 ng/g. In mice, the high exposure group (MG) was 3 orders of magnitude higher (50,000 ng/g) than the highest measured human sample (96.76 ng/g). While the human results consistently found hypomethylation in some repeat classes, families, and subfamilies between the low and medium groups, the mouse results did not exhibit this pattern, despite the much higher top exposure dose. We did not analyze a mouse group equivalent to the human medium exposure group and therefore cannot extrapolate whether the mouse would respond similarly at the 35 to 97 ng/g range.

All repeat classes responding significantly to BPA exposure in human were hypomethylated at the medium exposure level, yet it was not a universal response and did not correlate with element frequency in the genome. For example, neither SINEs, a highly represented class, nor simple repeats, a lowly represented class, nor biased, because our coverage level is affected by both CpG density. While this approach allowed us to accurately test differential methylation between BPA exposure groups at single sites (or a group of sites), it did not allow for unbiased comparison between sites. Comparisons between sites (or families or classes) may be biased, because our coverage level is affected by both CpG density and mappability, both of which differ among sites and by class/family.

In mice, we previously found an increase in global DNA methylation with BPA exposure using the luminometric methylation assay (LUMA), whereas here, in LINES, often used as a proxy for global methylation, we see no difference in DNA methylation. This conflict arises from the fact that LUMA measures all CCGG sites within the genome. Similar disparities have been shown in mice fed high fat diets, where global DNA methylation was reduced in placentae as measured by LUMA, while neither LINE1 nor B1 elements were differentially methylated compared to control. Therefore, we advise caution when extrapolating LINE1 methylation values as a proxy for

### Table 2. Genomic distribution counts of differentially methylated transposons.

| Annotation Category | Human Med vs. High | Human Med vs. Low | Human Low vs. High | Mouse UG vs. Control | Mouse UG vs. MG | Mouse MG vs. Control |
|---------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 1to5kb              | 156**†            | 3                | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 0                   |
| 3UTRs               | 16                | 0                | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 0                   |
| 5UTRs               | 249               | 4                | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 0                   |
| Exons               | 19                | 0                | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 0                   |
| Intergenic          | 549**†            | 17               | 0                 | 1                   | 4             | 7                   |
| Introns             | 897**†            | 14               | 0                 | 1                   | 5             | 6                   |
| Promoters           | 28                | 0                | 0                 | 0                   | 0             | 0                   |

*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, † or ‡ indicates an enrichment or depletion, respectively, relative to the human genome.
global methylation. And while the progenitor LINE is an ancient paralog between mice and humans, its subsequent divergence and duplication within species clades and the characteristics of the host animal likely account for different responses we see here in mice and humans. Similarly, while LINE1 has been proven a useful tool in human epidemiology, it is important to remember its limitations in assaying CpG methylation within the local genomic context. We also note that the seeming extreme overrepresentation of mouse satellite reads in our sample data as compared to the reference mouse genome is an artifact of the incomplete assembly of highly repetitive centromeric regions. Mouse satellites annotated as GSAT_MM in RepeatMasker (γ-satellite) have been verified experimentally to exist in high copy number in these centromeres (but not in humans), and to contain highly conserved and highly methylated CpG sites.

Family level responses to BPA largely mirrored class differences, with no response in the mouse, and several human families exhibiting hypomethylation in the medium dose. These findings are unsurprising since most classes are made up predominantly of a single family. We note that LINE1 responded in human, while LINE2 elements were unaffected; this may be a consequence of their ancient heritage, ceasing transposition prior to the radiation of mammals. Interestingly, the family containing the most recently active endogenous retrovirally derived subfamily (HERV-K) is in the ERVL family, in which methylation was influenced by BPA exposure. The remaining LTR elements in the human reacted differently to BPA, with ERVL-MaLRs (mammalian apparent LTRs) becoming differentially methylated, while all other ERVs (Class 1 and II), were unaffected. Given the diverse origin and evolutionary history of ERVs in humans, further investigation is warranted to determine why only a single family is environmentally responsive. Finally, the TcMar-Tigger family (an ancient and diverse group of short repeats) made up only a small fraction of reads (0.16%), and showed DNA methylation hypomethylation in the medium group. These ancient elements move via cut-and-paste and are phylogenetically and functionally distant from other transposons; however, they do contain transposase coding regions. Given these results, we conclude that environmental response is not predicated on phylogenetic similarity, family age or activity, or method of replication.

Rebase classifies over 7000 subfamilies as the smallest grouping of related repeats. The human subfamily story is intriguing in that only 15 subfamilies were detected as interacting with BPA, and all were Alu elements less than 44 million years old. Young elements have long been suspected, and more recently have been shown, to be more sensitive to stochastically differential methylation and more likely to lose their silencing than older elements. Indeed, in a previous study we found that element age predicts DNA methylation level in mouse IAP elements. While Alu elements are the most numerous transposon in the human genome, frequency cannot explain why element age (as indicated by subfamily) corresponds to BPA induced DNA methylation changes. We interpret these findings as a consequence of the relatively high CpG content in the medium to young subfamilies of Alu elements; thus, these elements were more likely to harbor responding CpGs, and note the known reduction in CpG content and decay rates in the older elements. Furthermore, given the higher density of Alu elements found in gene rich regions, any environmentally induced change in DNA methylation risks altering gene expression on a global scale. However, our results underscore that global DNA methylation analyses will be subject to subfamily specific effects if assays are not designed to pool a broad array of target elements, as also noted by other researchers. In mice, unsurprisingly, the single subfamily detected with a significant BPA response is a member of the most frequently appearing class, a mouse major satellite. We believe that increased depth of sequencing would reveal additional subfamilies concordant with increased power. These results support the idea that BPA influences the transposome in a unique, species-specific, and wide-ranging way. We and others have shown that BPA influences the methylation of genomic regions; however, these regions are conserved to a high degree across species. We are cognizant of the fact that transposon complement differs tremendously between mice and humans, and, therefore, expect species-specific responses.

Until now, only a small number of transposons were known as epigenetically modifiable by environmental exposure in mice, and none were known in humans. All known instances of individual mouse transposons acting as epialleles are the result of IAP insertions, a type of ERV not present in the human genome. Without a candidate class or subfamily to target in human, we chose to expand our search globally for differentially methylated transposons. In order to probe individual repetitive element insertions whose methylation status varied with BPA exposure, we extracted reads that spanned both unique, mappable, and repetitive transposon identified regions. In human samples, we were able to detect over 1000 individual elements with significant differential methylation between the medium and high groups, and no elements with differences between the low and high groups. This mirrors the group level analyses found in the classes and families, where the low and high groups were not significantly different (with the exception of human satellites). Fully half of the transposons different between the medium and high groups were Alu while the rest were spread across various families with no discernible pattern. Likewise, no pattern was found in the identity of elements different between low and medium exposure groups. Nevertheless, these elements represent the first candidate transposon loci that respond to environmental exposure by modulating DNA methylation. Our results in mice proved to be a good validation of our approach, as 2 of the 19 significantly different loci were found 3 kb proximal to a known epiallele, the IAP at the Cabp locus.

The genomic distribution of loci in one inter-group comparison (medium vs. high BPA) was compared to the overall genomic distribution of repeat elements in the human genome. While only this group provided sufficient regions for accurate statistics, the results were remarkable. We found a major deviation in the distribution of the 1229 elements from the differentially methylated regions between medium vs. high BPA. Repeat elements were preferentially found near genes, specifically within introns, promoters, and the region 1 to 5 kb proximal to a TSS and depleted in intergenic regions. Our observation of preferential variable repeat elements within control regions near genes is suggestive of a functional
response in gene expression following BPA exposure. If transposons in these regions are particularly labile to the environment, their altered DNA methylation may be more likely to cause gene expression changes in their host genes and suggests that BPA’s effects on transposon chromatin structure preferentially affects elements nearby genes. Using our novel approach, we have leveraged next-generation sequencing data to identify the first known transposons in humans that respond to BPA exposure. Though transposons are not usually thought of in terms of mediators between environmental insults and gene expression, our findings reveal that transposons, as a class and individually, do respond and may affect the expression of nearby genes. This method can be applied to discover other environmentally labile loci or transposons. In the future, these elements may serve as biomarkers or signatures of current and historical exposure. Finally, while other studies have estimated repeat enrichment, correlated to histone modifications, for example;40 to our knowledge, this is the first genome-wide study examining the effects of an ubiquitous environmental agent (BPA) on the DNA methylation of repeats in a group context and individually.

Materials and methods

Mouse and human liver samples

Mice were obtained from a colony carrying the agouti viable yellow (A<sup>v</sup>) epigenetic allele and the non-agouti (a) allele in a forced heterozygous state.41 This colony consists of a/a and A<sup>v</sup>/a congenic mice with 93% sequence identity to the C57Bl/6j strain.42 Developmental BPA exposure and post-natal-day (PND) 22 liver extraction were previously described.18 Briefly, virgin a/a dams were randomly assigned to one of 3 diets (base AIN-93G diet 95092 with 7% corn oil substituted for 7% soybean oil; Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI) supplemented with 0 BPA (control), 50 ng BPA/g (UG group), or 50,000 ng BPA/g (MG group). Dams were provided respective diets 2 weeks prior to mating with A<sup>v</sup>/a males, and continued on diet through weaning. For this study, livers from PND 22 a/a “wild type” animals (n = 12) were collected for DNA extraction with a standard phenol-chloroform isolation protocol. The Avy/a offspring were excluded from this study because their known health disparities confound analysis. Mice from each treatment group were composed as follows: Control (2 male, 2 female), 50 ng BPA/g diet (2 male, 2 female), and 50,000 ng BPA/g diet (1 male, 3 female). Previously, we reported that perinatal exposure to these diets resulted in post-natal-day 22 liver total BPA concentrations (free plus conjugated) of 2.02 ng for the 50 ng/g diet, 17.44 ng for the 50,000 ng/g diet (MG group). Dams were provided respective diets 2 weeks prior to mating with A<sup>v</sup>/a males, and continued on diet through weaning. For this study, livers from PND 22 a/a “wild type” animals (n = 12) were collected for DNA extraction with a standard phenol-chloroform isolation protocol. The Avy/a offspring were excluded from this study because their known health disparities confound analysis. Mice from each treatment group were composed as follows: Control (2 male, 2 female), 50 ng BPA/g diet (2 male, 2 female), and 50,000 ng BPA/g diet (1 male, 3 female). Previously, we reported that perinatal exposure to these diets resulted in post-natal-day 22 liver total BPA concentrations (free plus conjugated) of 2.02 ng for the 50 ng/g BPA diet group and 441 ng/g for the 50,000 ng/g BPA diet.18 Animals used in this study were maintained in accordance with the Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources, 1996) and were treated humanely and with regard for alleviation of suffering. The study protocol was approved by the University of Michigan Committee on Use and Care of Animals.

As previously described,18,22,43 human fetal liver samples (n = 18) were obtained from the NIH-funded University of Washington Birth Defects Research Laboratory fetal biobank (2R24 HD000836-47) and were flash frozen and stored in polycarbonate-free tubes at −80°C prior to DNA extraction and BPA quantitation. Total BPA concentrations (free plus conjugated) were measured by the Kannan Laboratory at the Wadsworth Center (New York State Department of Health) using 0.5 g of human fetal liver tissue with a high performance liquid chromatographer (HPLC) coupled with an API 2000 electrospray triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (ESI-MS/MS). To control for contamination in the sample preparation process, a negative blank of BPA-free water was processed identically as the human samples and resulted in free and conjugated BPA levels below the limit of quantification. For calculation of mean and median BPA concentrations, liver BPA levels below the limit of quantification were assigned a value of 0.071, which was estimated by dividing the LOQ (0.1 ng/g) by the square root of 2. Samples were stratified by BPA exposure into 3 groups of 6 samples each: low (total BPA ranging from non-detect to 0.83 ng/g), medium (3.5 to 5.79 ng/g), and high (35.44 to 96.76 ng/g) exposure groups. Samples met the criteria for IRB exception for human subjects research (UM IRB Exemption: HUM00024929) as no identifying clinical data was available on subjects except for gestational age and occasionally sex and race.

M-NGS library generation

Mouse and human DNA samples were enriched in methylated CpG sites using the MethylPlex kit from Rubicon Genomics Inc., Ann Arbor, MI (Patent Number US 2007/0031858 A1) that enables enrichment by methyl-sensitive restriction enzymes followed by depletion of low GC regions by enzymatic treatment. Specifically, MethylPlex relies upon thermo-selective denaturation of low GC regions in combination with primer ligation to double stranded, high GC regions and enzymatic degradation of single-stranded DNA. Previously MethylPlex combined with next-generation sequencing (M-NGS) has successfully identified regions of altered methylation in prostate cell lines and tissues, and genic regions in human fetal liver22 and mouse liver DNA exposed to BPA.17,44 As with RRBS, another enzyme-based method, we expect small fragment size <300 bp to include most CpG rich regions.15 Briefly, for each sample, 50 nanograms of DNA were digested with methyl-sensitive enzymes and the resulting fragments ligated to synthetic adaptor sequences. Fragments were then amplified with PCR using universal primers. The resulting long fragments enriched in methylated DNA were subjected to secondary enzyme treatment to digest non-GC-rich DNA and underwent a second round of re-amplification using universal primers. After purification, DNA was prepared for sequencing by enzymatic digest to remove adaptor sequences and directly incorporated into the Illumina genomic DNA sequencing sample preparation kit procedure (Illumina Inc., San Diego, CA) at the end repair step, skipping the nebulization process. The samples were ligated to Illumina adaptors and run on 2% agarose gel and fragments in the 400 bp range were excised, gel-purified, and quality-checked on a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, San Diego, CA). Library material (10 nmol) was used to prepare flow-cells with approximately 30,000 clusters per lane, with the sequencing performed by the University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core. For mouse, 80 cycles of single-end reads were run on an Illumina
GAIIx and analyzed by the Illumina analysis pipeline. Mouse yielded an average 34 million reads per sample for 12 samples analyzed (32 Gb sequenced). For human, 100 cycles of single-end reads were run on an Illumina Hi-Seq and analyzed by the Illumina pipeline. Human samples yielded an average of 104 million reads each across 18 samples (165 Gb sequenced). Sequences were trimmed to 68 bp for mouse and 90 bp for human.

**Global repeat analysis**

A flowchart of the analysis pipeline is presented in Fig. 1. Sequencing reads from all samples were processed in the following pipeline with commands available in Supplementary File 1. Fastq files were converted to fasta format and split into 100 million line chunks for processing. RepeatMasker version open-4.0.5 was run against the Repbase database version (20090604) with the options “RepeatMasker -qq -xsmall -species (human or mouse as appropriate) <file>.” Nucleotide frequency analysis for CpG content was performed with calculator (http://www.bioinf.uni-leipzig.de/Software/galculator/). Repeat class and family frequencies were calculated with a series of awk scripts. Repeat subfamily analysis was preceded by filtering all simple repeats, and repeats with N/A counts or < 10 in any treatment group. ANOVA followed by Tukey’s adjustment differentiated subfamilies with statistically significant differences (P < 0.05) by BPA exposure.

**Individual repeat analysis**

We adapted an RNA-seq pipeline as most appropriate for read count analysis of MethylPlex processed DNA for detecting differentially methylated repeats. Each read lane, corresponding to one individual, was processed to identify reads consisting of at least 20 bp of unique sequence (non-repetitive as flagged by RepeatMasker in uppercase), and 20 bp of repetitive sequence (repetitive as flagged by RepeatMasker in lowercase) by a custom perl script (Supplementary File 2). Reads were unified with their corresponding Repeat ID by merging sequencer masked file with the RepeatMasker. out file on the read identifier using “sharktopus.pl.” The combined output files were stripped of lowercase sequence to improve alignment of the unique regions to the genome. STAR aligner was used to align the unique regions of each read to the reference genome (Human hg19 or Mouse mm10) with the following parameters, “STAR -genomeLoad LoadAndKeep -outFilterMultimapNmax 1 -genomDir <genomeDir> -runThreadN <n-1 max threads> -readFilesIn <inputfile> -outFileNamePrefix <inputfile>.Star”46. The resulting sam files were sorted and indexed into bam files using samtools.

**Individual repeat analysis read count**

Read count analysis allowed for detection of statistically significant counts at each locus as a proxy for genome methylation status. The RepeatMasker track was downloaded from UCSC Table Browser in GTF format47 and prepared for alignment by expanding the flanking region of each feature by ± 71 bp using awk (Supplementary File 1). Counts were generated per locus using featureCounts as part of the Subread package48 with the following parameters, “featureCounts -O -a <ucsc-repeat-track-expanded.gtf> -t -exon -g repeat_id -o <output.count.file>”.

**Individual repeat analysis of read counts with edgeR**

EdgeR was used to generate lists of statistically significant repeats based on read counts at each locus throughout the genome (Supplementary File 3).49 Filtering steps were included to remove loci with low counts per million in an unbiased fashion. For human data which contained an average of 4 million reads per sample and 18 samples overall, we filtered out loci containing less than 5 reads in at least any 4 samples. For mouse data which contained an average of 0.5 million reads per sample and 12 samples overall, we filtered out loci containing less than 10 reads in at least any 3 samples. Generalized linear models were fit to account for the multi-level exposure analysis in edgeR using the glmFit function with significance calculated using the false discovery rate (q-value). Resulting top hit loci were visualized in SeqMonk (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/seqmonk/).

**Individual repeat genomic distribution**

Loci identified as significantly differentially methylated by edgeR were analyzed for their distribution throughout the hg19 and mm10 genomes for each species. The R software package ‘annotatr’ was used to match by overlap and summarize the individual transposon insertions by chromosomal location with the genomic features listed in Table 2 (https://github.com/rca_valcante/annotatr).50 Totals in Table 2 are higher than each pairwise comparison’s sum due to some repeat loci overlapping more than one genomic feature. Comparisons to the repeat distribution in the human genome were performed by extracting the hg19 .repeatMask table from UCSC Genome Browser, i.e., a list of all known repeats with associated chromosomal locations. This list was annotated in the same manner as experimental results. P-values were calculated using the chi-square test.
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