Hybrid technique for an efficient PV system through intelligent MPPT and water cooling process
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ABSTRACT
Solar panels have undergone several tests through research studies for the purpose of improving performance to increase the resulting electrical power. This study considers the fact of the necessity of Maximum Power Point Tracking working conditions of the PV panels to harvest maximum electrical power during the weather variations. It considers also the panel temperature reduction which affects positively the panel ability in terms of producing additional electrical power. By this consideration, this study proposes a new design, and simulation results with analysis of a hybrid PV system. The system is able to deliver 5 kW, through the day hours, with less number of PV panels based on a hybrid technique. The proposed technique combines two manipulating processes. The first one guarantees the Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) condition during day hours through an artificial Neural Network (ANN) controller. Whereas the second one focuses on reducing the panel temperature by introducing a water cooler which is designed for this purpose. Simulink software of MATLAB is used to implement and evaluate the proposed system.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Researchers have concentrated on the aspects of efficiency increasing of the solar photovoltaic panel [1-9]. Different parameters have been studied including the amount of delivered electrical power from the connected PV panel; the level of incident light intensity, ambient temperature, and the cleanliness status of the panel surface. Also, the behavior of the PV panel in terms of instantaneous level of load power with respect to the weather condition, how this level is affected in non-linear shape by the mentioned weather parameters, and how to guarantee guaranteeing the Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) working condition to have the maximum level of load power [1]. The PV panel performance enhancement through the panel temperature was investigated and analyzed in [2-6]. In [2], a water cycling process has been adopted for the panel cooling, whereas many cooling techniques have been explained in [3]. The panel efficiency has been monitored in [4] by moving the water over the panel’s surface. Laboratorial experimentations have been conducted for water cooling purposes in [5, 6] to increase the quantity of the load power.
The challenge of enforcing the DC-DC converters of PV systems to work at MPPT conditions has been focused by many research studies [7-10]. Fuzzy Logic Controllers (FLCs) have been proposed in [7-9] to guarantee harvesting the maximum electrical power from the solar energy. On the other side, Artificial Neural Network (ANN) have been proposed in [10] to predict the reference voltage for the aim of tracking the maximum power locations during the weather variations. The study conducted in [11], proposed an idea of an integrated photovoltaic system which has considered the advantage of inserting an algorithm of MPPT. In addition, it triggered an initial design of water cooling system to reduce the panel temperature.

The study in this paper focuses on the challenge of reducing the number of the required PV panels and maintaining the same level of delivered power from the PV system. To achieve such an aim, this paper proposes a new work based on two parallel processes. First process adopts a new MPPT controller based on a certain ANN algorithm, whereas the second process reduces the total number of the required panels by reducing panel temperature at least, 15 °C through water cooling via a mechanical solution to have a higher panels performance.

2. THE SELECTED PV PANEL SPECIFICATIONS

The considered PV panel module for this study is SLP060-12 of multi-crystalline type. This type is characterized by high efficiency. The specifications of the selected PV module are shown in Table 1. The level of the harvested power reaches to 60 W at MPPT condition when the light intensity equals to 1000 W/m² at the room temperature, 25 °C. The instantaneous level of the harvested power is nonlinear with respect to the panel voltage. Figure 1(a) shows the equivalent circuit of the solar cell, the total generated current from the solar cell, \( I_{out} \), represents the summation of the diode current, \( I_D \), the current, \( I_P \), passing through the shunt resistor \( R_P \), and the output current from the cell, \( I_{out} \), as shown in (1)-(4)[10].

\[
I_{SC} = I_D + I_P + I_{out}
\]
\[
I_D = I_o \left[ e^{\frac{V_o}{T}} - 1 \right]
\]
\[
I_P = \frac{V_o}{R_P}
\]
\[
V_{out} = V_o - R_S I_{out}
\]

Figure 1 (b), (c), (d), and (e) show different instantaneous levels of output current and power at different levels of light intensity, and show different instantaneous levels of output current and power at different levels of ambient temperature, respectively.

The aim of this study is to show the positive effects of adopting the ANN based controller to harvest the maximum power from solar energy and implementing a water cooling treatment to reduce the total number of required PV panels of the proposed system via decreasing the panel temperature.

| Parameter                        | Value               |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|
| \( P_{mp} \)                    | 60 W                |
| \( V_{oc} \) at \( P_{mp} \)    | 17.8 V              |
| \( I_{oc} \) at \( P_{mp} \)    | 3.37 A              |
| \( V_{oc} \)                    | 22 V                |
| \( I_{oc} \)                    | 3.64 A              |
| No. of Cells and Connections     | 36 (3×12)           |
| Temperature Coefficient of Power | -0.5±0.05)% per 1°C |
| Operating Temperature            | -40 °C to +85 °C    |
| Maximum System Voltage           | 600 V               |
| Power Tolerance                  | ± 5%                |

![Figure 1(a)](image-url)
3. PHOTOVOLTAIC MATRIX DESIGN

In order to design a suitable PV array or matrix, the total daily power required for the desired loads should be calculated. The load in this study is represented by a set of LED lamps for park lighting. The details of the lighting units and the daily working hours are shown in Table 2.

| LED Lamp 24 V | Total Number of LED Lamps | Load Current = \( \frac{50 \times 10 \text{ W}}{24 \text{ V}} = 20.83 \text{ A} \) | 10 \text{ H} | 5000 \text{ W.H} |
|---------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| 10 \text{ W}  | 50                        | The designed Charging current is 42 \text{ A}. The charging hours from PV Array = 5 \text{ H} | V \times 1 \times \text{No. of H} = 24 \times 42 \text{ A} \	imes 5 = 5040 \text{ W.H} |
| Battery Bank = 24V / 400A.H, \text{ Fast Charge} = 20\% of Battery Capacity, So the range of Charging current is between 40 A and 80 A | The PV panel is producing power during 5 \text{ H} per day | The number of the required PV panels = 5000 \text{ W.H} / 5 \text{ H} / 60 \text{ W} = 1000 \text{ W/} 60 \text{ W} = 16.67 \rightarrow 17 \text{ Panel} | 1000 \text{ W/} 55.5 \text{ W} = 18.01 \rightarrow 18 \text{ Panel} |
| The selected PV Panel produces 60 W at MPPT working and at 25 °C | The PV panel is producing power during 5 \text{ H} per day | The number of the required PV panels = 5000 \text{ W.H} / 5 \text{ H} / 55.5 \text{ W} = 1000 \text{ W/} 55.5 \text{ W} = 18.01 \rightarrow 18 \text{ Panel} |
| The selected PV Panel produces 55.5 W (By considering Temperature Coefficient of Power = -0.5\% per 1°C) through MPPT working at 40 °C | | |

The aim of adopting the water cooling in this study is to reduce the panel temperature by 15 degrees from 40 °C to 25 °C in order to obtain additional power of 4.5 W from each panel. Whereas the total amount of additional energy from the 18 PV panels due to the water cooling during 5 hours will be 4.5 \text{ W} \times 18 \times 5 \text{ H} = 405 \text{ W.H}. In other words, the process of water cooling can reduce the total number of the required PV panels from 18 to 17 which providing the same quantity of the harvested energy as a result of a temperature reduction from 40 °C to 25°C. Figure 2 shows the two PV arrays connections and explains how the total panels are reduced by only adopting the water cooling process.
4. PRESENTED SYSTEM DESIGN

The design of the proposed hybrid PV system considers 5 kW required power from the solar PV array per 5 hours daily. This harvested energy is required to be stored in the battery bank to supply the LED lamps during the night hours. The presented design adopts a hybrid technique to guarantee the merit of the artificial neural network algorithm for MPPT aim, and the merit of panel’s temperature reduction. Through the presented system, the number of the required PV panels for delivering the load power has been reduced. Figure 3 shows the main blocks and the sequence of the energy process in the hybrid PV system. The system is started by the designed array of PV panels. The panels are connected in parallel to produce higher DC current with DC link voltage of the PV panel. The link voltage is used as a power supply to the connected DC-DC converter. The adopted type of the converter is a boost converter topology.

The output voltage of the converter is regulated by the pulse width modulation (PWM) drive pulses which are controlled by the artificial neural network. The ANN algorithm works on guaranteeing the Maximum Power Point Tracking (MPPT) by predicting the instantaneous reference voltage for each instantaneous weather conditions of different light intensity values ($G$) and ambient temperatures ($T$).

5. NEURAL NETWORK CONTROLLER

The function of the designed and presented controller is producing the instantaneous value of the reference voltage. A new design of Feed-Forward Neural Network algorithm is proposed in this study to the system converter. The ANN merits of the accurate and quick response regardless the type of the controlling function led to adopt the ANN in different field problems. The algorithm is training through a machine learning process to predict the accurate solutions [10]. The learning process deals with numerical arrays of input variables and output variables. Then based on this process, the algorithm will be able to predict the numerical value of the output variable at different input variables. In this study, the algorithm is learned by the input numerical arrays of the light intensity ($G$) and the ambient temperature ($T$) to predict an accurate reference voltage ($V_{ref}$) for the MPPT function. Figure 4 shows the structure of the designed algorithm which includes one input layer of two neuron, two hidden layers of ten neuron each, and one output layer of one neuron.
The quality of the presented Neural Network algorithm in terms of accuracy is evaluated by observing the mean square error (MSE). Low value of MSE indicates a high accuracy ANN algorithm;

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{Q} \sum_{k=1}^{Q} [t(k) - a(k)]^2
\]  

(5)

Where the target value is indicated by \( t(k) \), the predicted value of the algorithm is indicated by \( a(k) \), the input vector sequence is indicated by \( Q \).

Figure 4. Proposed neural network algorithm

6. WATER COOLING PROCESS

Cooling of PV panels is a vital factor in the design and operation of solar cell. Integrating Photovoltaic/Thermal (PV/T) solar system is one of the most common means for the PV panels cooling to improve their overall performance. Water cooling technique is considered as one of the most effective methods among the available techniques nowadays [12].

In this study, a water-spray-based cooling system is proposed to cool the PV panels as illustrated in Figure 5. Such a system enables the possibility of using the warm water leaving from the PV panels for domestic applications. Two models are used in this work namely, heating rate model and cooling rate model. The use of these models minimizes both amounts of cooling water used and the energy required to maintain the PV panels at its desired operating temperature. The heating rate model determines the time at which the cooling of the PV panels should start while the cooling rate model determines how long it takes to cool the PV panel down to the desired operating temperature. This model is basically used to minimize the required cooling process period which in turn ensures minimum amount of water and energy needed for this purpose.

6.1. The heating rate model

The cooling frequency of the PV panels is determined using the heating rate model. This requires that the heating rate of the panels to be known. The heating rate of the panels can be calculated after obtaining the module temperature as a function of time. The module temperature, \( T_m \), can be calculated using the following formula [12, 13]:

\[
T_m = T_{amb} + \left( T_{NOC} - 20 \right) G / 800
\]  

(6)

where \( T_{amb} \) is the ambient temperature, \( T_{NOC} \) is the nominal operating cell temperature and \( G \) is the irradiance in W/m². Equation (6) is widely used to estimate the module temperature throughout the year in a simple way [14, 15].

The nominal operating cell temperature, \( T_{NOC} \), can be defined as a function of the ambient air temperature at the sunrise time, \( T_{rise} \), as [16]:

\[
T_{NOC} = T_{rise} + 20 \degree C
\]  

(7)

The heating rate, \( dT_m/dt \), of the PV panel can be determined from the slope of the \( T_m \)-time curve by conducting linear curve fitting [12]. Knowing the PV panel heating rate as well as the maximum allowed temperature (MAT) set point, it can be directly determined when to start cooling of the PV panel as soon as the module temperature reaches the MAT.
6.2. The cooling rate model

The cooling rate of the PV cells is a vital factor that significantly affects their performance. Knowing the cooling rate of the PV panel, the cooling process duration can be determined by applying the energy balance principle [17] as:

The heat energy transferred to the cooling water is equal to the heat dissipated from the PV panels. In a mathematical representation, this can be written as:

\[ m_w \times t \times c_{pw} \times \Delta T_w = m_g \times c_g \times \Delta T_g \]  

(8)

where \( m_w \) is the water mass flow rate, \( m_g \) is the mass of glass, \( c_{pw} \) is the specific heat of water, \( c_g \) is the heat capacity of glass, \( \Delta T_w \) is the water temperature rise, \( \Delta T_g \) is the glass temperature drop due to water cooling, and \( t \) is the time needed to cool the solar PV panel to a desired operating temperature.

![Diagram of PV module with water cooling system](image)

Figure 5: Schematic diagram of the PV module with the water cooling system

7. RESULTS ANALYSIS

MATLAB/Simulink is used in this work to simulate the proposed hybrid PV system, to assemble the simulation results for the objective of analysis, and system performance evaluation. The proposed system involves a PV array which is designed and analyzed in a previous section based on the power calculation. The system also involves a boost DC-DC converter. Table 3 shows the designed converter’s parameters using the process of converter design [18-26]. The simulation results are collected for a full simulation period of 1 second which is equally divided into eight sub periods of 0.125 sec each. The simulation is conducted in a parallel process for the two PV arrays (17 panels at temperature of 25 °C, and 18 panels at temperature of 40 °C) at the same eight levels of the following light intensity 700 W/m², 750 W/m², 800 W/m², 850 W/m², 900 W/m², 850 W/m², 800 W/m², and 750 W/m².

| Parameter Name           | Parameter Value |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Inductor                 | 2 mH            |
| Capacitor                | 1500 μF         |
| Switching frequency      | 15 kHz          |
| P – Proportional gain    | 0.038           |
| I – Integral gain        | 0.004           |

Table 3. Boost DC-DC converter parameters

The simulated structure of the designed neural network is shown in Figure 6, whereas the algorithm performance is shown in Figure 7. As demonstrated in Figure 7, the value of MSE equals to 2.7665×10⁻⁶ which reflects a high accuracy performance. Figure 8 and Figure 9 show the load voltage and load power respectively for the two cases of 17 PV panels array at 25 °C and 18 PV panels array at 40 °C, respectively.
Figure 8 shows the load voltage at different light intensities. This figure demonstrates approximately equal voltages with the merit of all are capable to charge the battery bank because all are greater than the 24 V of battery voltage. On the other side, the load power is shown in Figure 9, in which there are approximately equal power levels. This means that the positive effects of adopting ANN algorithm for MPPT function and adopting the water cooling of the PV panels lead to reduce the total number of the required PV panels.

Figure 6. The simulation of the proposed ANN algorithm

Figure 7. The performance of the simulated ANN algorithm

Figure 8. Simulation results of load voltage

Figure 9. Simulation results of load power

8. CONCLUSION

A new hybrid PV system is presented in this paper for increasing the system performance and, at the same time, reducing the total number of the required PV panels. To improve the system performance, the proposed design adopts two parallel process; first process focuses on proposing a new design of an artificial neural network algorithm for the aim of guaranteeing the maximum power point tracking during all of day
hour to harvest maximum quantity of electrical energy through the weather variation. Whereas the second process focuses on improving the performance of the PV panels by reducing the panel’s temperature by water cooling treatment. The presented system considered 50 units of LED lighting of 10 W each as direct current appliances of the designed system. The simulation steps of the presented hybrid PV system were performed using MATLAB/Simulink. It was clearly shown the positive effects of the two parallel processes in reducing the number of the required panels with the merit of keeping the same level of the harvested energy.
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