Ophiuroids Discovered in the Middle Triassic Hypersaline Environment
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Abstract

Echinoderms have long been considered to be one of the animal phyla that is strictly marine. However, there is growing evidence that some recent species may live in either brackish or hypersaline environments. Surprisingly, discoveries of fossil echinoderms in non-openmarine paleoenvironments are lacking. In Wojkowice Quarry (Southern Poland), sediments of lowermost part of the Middle Triassic are exposed. In limestone layer with cellular structures and pseudomorphs after gypsum, two dense accumulations of articulated ophiuroids (Aspiduriella similis (Eck)) were documented. The sediments with ophiuroids were formed in environment of increased salinity waters as suggested by paleontological, sedimentological, petrographical and geochemical data. Discovery of Triassic hypersaline ophiuroids invalidates the paleontological assumption that fossil echinoderms are indicators of fully marine conditions. Thus caution needs to be taken when using fossil echinoderms in paleoenvironmental reconstructions.

Introduction

Numerous studies dealing with salinity level and its impact on modern echinoderms showed that this parameter is important in terms of their spatial distribution and size [1–8]. In general, modern echinoderms have a more limited salinity range than any other invertebrates because they have a permeable body wall and lack differentiated osmoregulatory or excretory organs [2–9]. This limit can especially be drawn for fossil echinoderms, among which only stenohaline taxa have been documented. Although, some authors recently described Messinian echinoids from non-normal saline deposits deposited during evaporitic episode of the Mediterranean region [10], [11]; however, it seems that these echinoids [Bissoecis gr. lycena (Forbes) 2012] occurred in interbedded horizons with rather normal salinity (personal communication, A. Kroh, Naturhistorisches Museum Wien).

Intriguingly, in situ and laboratory experiments demonstrated that some extant echinoderms, in particular ophiuroids, asteroids and holothurians, can tolerate a great range of salinities [12]. So far, an ophiuroid Ophiophragmus filograneus (Lyman) constitutes an echinoderm species living in the lowest salinity in the field [13]. This form was found in the brackish facies of salinity level of 7.7%. Remarkable salinity tolerance of this species has been also confirmed under laboratory conditions [14], [15]. Likewise, many authors draw attention to the fact that certain species of echinoderms can tolerate very low salinity [7–16]. Additionally, it has been pointed out that low salinity may lead to size abnormalities (dwarfism) [17–20].

On the other hand, echinoderms can tolerate hypersaline conditions (i.e., >35.5 psu according to [21]). For example, Price [22] reported two ophiuroid [Amphipholis squamata (Chiaje) and Amphipholis fuscata Mortensen], and one holothurian (Leptosynapta chela (Mortensen) species in Arabia Gulf with salinity ranging form 52 to 55%. Furthermore, the latter author documented two stunted asteroid species (Astropecten polyacanthus phragmurus Fisher and Asterina burtuci Gray) in lagoons with salinity exceeding 60% which to date constitute the highest record for salinity tolerance by echinoderms in the wild.

In this paper, we report for the first time well-preserved ophiuroids Aspiduriella similis (Eck) from the Middle Triassic sediments of Poland that were deposited in hypersaline conditions.

Materials and Methods

Geological Setting

Abandoned quarry “Wojkowice” is situated in the so-called Silesian-Cracow Monocline, in Upper Silesia, Southern Poland (Figure 1). This monocline contains mainly Triassic sediments deposited in the Germanic Basin on the northern margin of the Tethys Ocean [23].

In Wojkowice, carbonate deposits of the Upper Buntsandstein (Rot or Roetian) as well as the lowermost part of the Lower Muschelkalk (the Lower Gogolin Beds and lowermost part of the Upper Gogolin Beds; lithostratigraphic division of the Lower Muschelkalk after [24]) are exposed (detailed description of the lithostratigraphy and paleontology of the Wojkowice Quarry see...
Two ophiuroid accumulations were found in the Cellular Limestones Unit (the so-called “Zellenkalk 2”). Its thickness in Wojkowice is up to ca. 1.3 m. This unit represents Aegean [26]. The Cellular Limestones Unit is considered equivalent of the Grenzgelbkalk and Liegende Dolomite in Germany [23], [27] and crops out in the whole area in the Upper Silesia where the sediments of Lower Muschelkalk are present. The thickness of these sediments varies from 0.8 to 2.0 m depending on the locality [24]. In contrast to other units of the Gogolin Beds, body fossils are extremely rare in the Cellular Limestones Unit of Silesia. Only occasionally, bivalves: *Hoernesia socialis* (Schlotheim), *Gervilleia mytiloides* (Schlotheim), *Myoconcha gastrochaena* Dunker and isolated crinoid ossicles were recorded [24]. In numerous outcrops of this unit, body fossils are absent [28], [29]. Similarly, ichnofossils were not documented whereas they are numerous in the Lower Muschelkalk (e.g., [23]).

In Wojkowice, the Cellular Limestones Unit is represented by yellow dolomitic limestones and dolomitic marls. Lower part of this unit consists of dolomitic limestones. Above, a few (45 cm thick) layers are exposed [23]: palisade calcite layer, dolocrete layer, rauhwacke, cellular limestones and rauhwacke layer, respectively. In the upper part of the Cellular Limestones Unit, dolomitic limestones and marls are exposed. Two dense accumulations of ophiuroids have been found on the upper surface of the cellular limestone layer that was covered by a thin (ca. 2 mm) muddy layer.

**Sampling**

During the field work about 28 square metres of the sediments of the Cellular Limestones Unit (including 4 square meters layer of cellular dolomitic limestone) were investigated (Figure 2). Fossils are extremely rare in this unit: we recorded only three poorly preserved bivalve molds and surprisingly two accumulations of ophiuroids (26 and 6 specimens) that were found within one horizon near the upper surface of the cellular dolomitic limestone layer (Figure 2C,D). Both accumulations were separated from each other by a distance of ca. 80 cm. Additionally, three bulk samples from the cellular dolomitic limestone (each ca. 2 kg) were taken and transported to the laboratory of the Department of Earth Sciences of University of Silesia. No specific permissions were required for collection of fossils form this location. Field studies were carried out at an abandoned quarry with public right-of-way and did not involve endangered or protected species.

Slabs with ophiuroids were initially cleaned with hot water. Later the samples were slightly treated using peroxide in order to clean them off from the remnants of the thin layer of muddy sediments and again washed with hot water. Slabs were dried and watched under a binocular microscope for taphonomic studies. All ophiuroids from the Cellular Limestones Unit were examined carefully for evidence of breakage, abrasion, dissolution, regeneration traces and evidence of bite marks. Three selected bulk samples of dolomitic limestone were dissolved using glauber salt (8 cycles of boiling-freezing procedure). Later they were washed using hot tap water and sieved using Ø 0.5 mm, 0.315 mm and
Figure 2. Stratigraphical section of Triassic sediments with ophiuroids. (A) Section of the northern and southern part of the Wojkowice Quarry (from [25]). 1, dolomitic limestones and marls; 2, cellular dolomitic limestones; 3, organodental limestones with bivalve detritus and columnlals; 4, marly limestones; 5, pelitic limestones with abundance shells of bivalves; 6, pelitic limestones; 7, wavy limestones; 8, nodular limestones; 9, vertebrate remains; 10, Dadoxocrinus columnals; 11, encrined columnals; 12, intraclasts; 13, regurgititales; 14, Rhizocorallium commune; 15, numerous gastropods; 16, numerous Plagiostoma; 17, numerous Pseudocorbulka sp.; 18, numerous Gervillia sp.; 19, Thalassinosioide; 20, Holocrinus columnals; 21, layer(s) with presently recorded ophiuroids. I, Roetian; II, "limestones with Entolium and Dodocrinus unit"; III, "first wavy limestones unit"; IV, "cellular limestones unit"; V, "thick-bedded limestones" and "wavy limestones unit". (B) Investigated section of "first wavy limestones unit" and "cellular limestones unit". S1–S7 = rock samples for boron content analyses. Scale bar equals 1 m. (C) Enlargement of the ophiuroid layer. Arrows show the place of accumulations. Scale bar = 10 cm. (D) Slab (described in the paper as no. 1) with ophiuroid accumulation. (E) SEM micrographs of the oral view of the ophiuroid disc. (F) SEM micrographs of the aboral view of the near complete ophiuroid specimen. (G) SEM micrographs of the contact of arm plates showing relics of the stereom structure. Scale bar = 10 mm.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049798.g002

Results

Paleontology

Macerated samples did not contain any macro- or microfauna. However, surprisingly two dense ophiuroid accumulations were found in the field on the surface of two slabs. These ophiuroids were scattered across the area of 15 and 30 cm², respectively. The first accumulation yielded 26 specimens whereas the second yielded only 6 specimens. All specimens were represented by complete or nearly complete forms (disc plus arms or partly preserved arms; see details below). Only 6% of total number of ophiuroids (2 specimens) were preserved in situ, i.e., with oral side directing towards the bottom (more details in discussion).

Ophiuroid specimens lack clear evidences of abrasion, extensive dissolution, regeneration or bite mark traces (Figure 2E,F,G). Three specimens yield (likely post-diagenetic) evidence of breakage (specimens no. 10 and 23 on the slab no. 1; specimens no. 2 on the slab no. 2; see Table 1,2). Most specimens possess complete disc with partly preserved arms, i.e., 70% of specimens lack distalmost part of arms. Two states of preservations can be distinguished:

1. Well-preserved ophiuroids (Taphonomic Group 1), with only minor signs of disarticulation (e.g., lack of distal arm portions);
2. More disarticulated specimens (Taphonomic Group 2) comprising central disc with attached less than five and partly preserved arms (having only proximal and median portions of their arms).

Aspiduriella Description

The ophiuroid assemblages from Wojkowice consist of monospecific and multivindividual accumulation with small ophiuroids Aspiduriella similis (Eck) with narrow range size 2.7–3.4 mm (Table 1,2). This species is thought to have been a slow-moving, low-level epifaunal detritivore and/or suspension feeder [30]. These ophiuroids have central disc of oval outline (Figure 2E). The center of the disc has a small and distinctly pentagonal centrodorsal plate. The first cirrec of disc is composed of five hexagonal basal plates. The radial plates are relatively large and drop-shaped; they are covered by single and small protruberances on the radial position on each plate. Numerous and irregular secondary plates occur between basal and radial plates. The arms are rather short and their tips are usually not preserved. The lateral plates in the proximal part are narrow and low. The dorsal plates are smooth, romboïd and decrease in size distally (Figure 2F). The ventral plates have sharp edges.

Petrography and Geochemistry

The cellular limestone layer consists of fine-grained, sometimes laminated and microfolded dolomites with irregularly distributed voids built mainly of fine pseudopar crystals (Figure 3). Occasionally, pseudomorphs after gypsum (Figure 3E) and rhombohedral crystals of calcitized dolomite are observed. Some of them possess distinct zonal structures (Figure 3F). The dolomites contain Fe-Mn oxides and hydroxides as suggested by cathodoluminescent analyses, i.e., non-luminescent zones are due to Fe²⁺-rich ("quencher ion") diagenetic fluids whereas bright orange luminescent areas are due to changing diagenetic fluid chemistry leading to Mn²⁺ incorporation ("activator ion"). The CL emission spectrum of an orange luminescing Mn²⁺-activated showed emission maximum at about 660 nm (Figure 4, Mn²⁺ activation in the MgCO₃ position).

Geochemical analyses revealed that the boron is non-uniformly distributed within a part of investigated section. The main feature of boron distribution is a relatively low B concentration in the lower part of the section (10–23 ppm) and much higher...
concentration in upper part of the section (44–58 ppm) (see Figure 2B).

**Discussion**

**Depositional Paleoenvironment of Cellular Limestones Unit**

The Silesian Cellular Limestones Unit (Zellenkalk 2) was formed at the end of the highstand system tracts phase, when significant shallowing of the basin occurred [23]. This led to the temporarily emergence of the bottom and strong evaporation which is supported by isotopic data of δ13C and δ18O [23], [31]. The Cellular Limestones Unit has no evidence of marine intercalations and was presumably deposited in sabkha environment with a dominant sedimentation of lime mud [31]. Analogical cellular structures (cells) in cellular limestone in Silesian Roetian (Zellenkalk 1) are interpreted as voids after dissolved and removed gypsum and halite crystals [32]. Similar voids from Zellenkalk 2 of the same origin are observed (Figure 3 C).

Supra-normal salinity during deposition of this unit is supported by low abundance and diversity of fossils (3 bivalve molds and two ophiuroid accumulations on 28 square metres investigated) and lack

| Specimen number | Disc diameter [in mm] | Life position: oral side up [u], down [d] | Breakage | Taphonomic group |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| 1               | 2.7                   | d                                        | no       | 1               |
| 2               | 2.9                   | u                                        | no       | 1               |
| 3               | 3.0                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 4               | 3.0                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 5               | 3.1                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 6               | 2.8                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 7               | 3.2                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 8               | 3.3                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 9               | 3.2                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 10              | 2.9                   | u                                        | yes      | 2               |
| 11              | 3.0                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 12              | 2.9                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 13              | 3.4                   | u                                        | no       | 1               |
| 14              | 3.1                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 15              | 3.2                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 16              | 2.8                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 17              | 2.8                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 18              | 2.9                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 19              | 3.1                   | d                                        | no       | 1               |
| 20              | 3.3                   | u                                        | no       | 1               |
| 21              | 3.2                   | u                                        | no       | 1               |
| 22              | 3.1                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 23              | 3.0                   | u                                        | yes      | 1               |
| 24              | 2.8                   | u                                        | no       | 1               |
| 25              | 3.0                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 26              | 3.1                   | u                                        | no       | 1               |

Table 1. Taphonomic features of *Aspiduriella similis* from Cellular Limestones Unit (accumulation no 1).

| Specimen number | Disc diameter [in mm] | Life position: oral side up [u], down [d] | Breakage | Taphonomic group |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| 13              | 3.0                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 2              | 2.8                   | u                                        | yes      | 2               |
| 3              | 2.7                   | u                                        | no       | 1               |
| 4              | 2.8                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 5              | 2.9                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |
| 6              | 3.0                   | u                                        | no       | 2               |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0049798.t001

Table 2. Taphonomic features of *Aspiduriella similis* from Cellular Limestones Unit (accumulation no 2).
of ichnofossils. By contrast, fossils (especially crinoids) are numerous in the adjacent lithological units [25], [33]. It is noteworthy that previous studies have documented three bivalve species within the Cellular Limestones Unit: *Hoernesia socialis* (Schlotheim), *Gervilleia mytiloides* (Schlotheim), *Myoconcha gastrochaena* Dunker [24]. According to the literature [34], all these species are euryhaline. Especially, *Hoernesia* has been commonly reported in hypersaline deposits of Europe and Canada [34], [35].

The occurrence of some postevaporatic structures (including layer of palisade calcite and the rauhwacke layers) is also consistent with increased salinity interpretation [23] although according to some authors [31] the Cellular Limestones Unit comprises also early diagenetic evaporites. Additionally, our microfacial observa-
tions indicate that within the Cellular Limestones Unit two standard microfacies types can be distinguished: SMF 23: Non-laminated homogeneous micrite and microsparite without fossils (Figure 3A,C) and SMF 25: Laminated evaporite-carbonate mudstone (Figure 3D) which are both indicative of increased salinity [such as sabkha] environment [36]. It is worth mentioning here that an equivalent of the Silesian Cellular Limestones Unit in Thuringian Basin, the so-called Gelbkalke is also interpreted as saline dolomite formed in sabkha environment [37].

It has been argued that light stable isotopes ($\delta^{13}$C and $\delta^{18}$O) may be useful in paleosalinity reconstruction [38]. However, our petrographical data suggests that lime mud in Wojkowice underwent early diagenesis and later complete calcitization. Therefore, any isotopic analyses within this layer are herein impossible. Nevertheless, another important argument for an increased salinity comes from geochemical data. It has been suggested that boron content of the sediment shows a positive correlation with salinity and can be used as paleosalinity indicator [39–47]. However, many complexities [including diagenetic changes, different illite and organic carbon concentrations] can plague the use of boron as a paleosalinity proxy [48]. Nevertheless, other workers indicated a lack of correlation between boron concentration and either the clay-silt ratio or the percent organic carbon [47] as well as degree of diagenetic changes [49]. Results of our geochemical analyses indicate a remarkable boron concentration (up to about 58 ppm) in the Cellular Limestones Unit (Figure 2B). By contrast, boron concentration in the lower part of the section (considered fully marine) is more than twice lower.

Origin and Taphonomy of Ophiuroid Accumulations from Wojkowice

Considering the origin of ophiuroid accumulations described here, it is necessary to determine if these accumulations are autochthonous or allochthonous [e.g., transported from the nearshore part of the marine basin onto the sabkha by storms]. Layer with ophiuroids, similarly to the adjoining layers, consists of fine-grained (mud fraction) sediment without any sedimentary structures which are indicative of high energy environment [e.g., intraclasts, channel erosion, ripple marks, grading]. The top surface of the layer with ophiuroids is plain with no signs of erosion and is covered by an undeformed thin (ca. 2 mm) muddy layer. The influence of incidental tidal sedimentation on the exposed and dried surface is also unlikely as such deposits typically yield characteristic structures (e.g., mudcracks, raindrops or herringbone cross-stratification) that are not seen within the investigated unit. Furthermore, transportation induces characteristic signs of abrasion on the ossicle surface which are not observed in studied ophiuroids (Figure 2E,F,G). Only 3 specimens yield evidence of (possibly post-diagenetic) breakage. If the sediment with ophiuroids was transported by storm, Cellular Limestones Unit should contain other macro- and microfossils [such as crinoids, foraminifers, ostracods] that are commonly present in marine deposits of the Gogolin Beds. However, in analysed samples macro- and microfossils were not documented (except bivalve molds). Admittedly, although lack of the distalmost parts of the arms in 70% of the specimens may be indicative of transport, it has been argued that storms do not appear to cause arm damage in extant living ophiuroids [50]. A possible explanation for that mode of preservation is that after the death, initially distalmost parts of the arms become disarticulated and as the smallest and the lightest ossicles they could have been easily transported. It cannot be completely excluded that some of the arms could have been also autotomized before death.

The found ophiuroids are generally classified within the first taphonomic group [Type 1 echinoderms; [51]]. This group comprises echinoderms with ossicles that are held together only by soft tissues such as ligaments and muscles. These echinoderms do not remain articulated for very long after death because their ossicles are rapidly disarticulated by decay [51]. Therefore, the perfect state of preservation of our ophiuroids indicates rather short post-mortem seafloor exposure. Taken together, it seems that these ophiuroids appear to be para-autochthonous in that they have not been transported away from their life habitat.

Extant echinoderms living in hypersaline environments commonly display dwarfism [22], [52], [53]. Studied ophiuroids from Wojkowice are relatively small (max. disc diameter equals 3.4 mm, mean disc diameter equals 3.0 mm; see Table 1,2). However, data on size range as well as relationship between the size and the age of the specimens of Triassic Aspiduriella are rather sparse. Within the genus Aspiduriella several species have been documented: A. camuna (Rossi Ronchetti), A. dorae (Lepsius), A. italica (Grema), A. ludeni (von Hagenow), A. montserratensis (Calzada and Gutiérrez), A. scutellata (Blumenbach), A. similis (Eck) and A. streicheni (Kutscher M.) [54–58]. However, of these species only four are typical for the Muschelkalk Germanic Basin [A. ludeni, A. scutellata, A. similis and A. streicheni]. The most reliable size data is the maximum disc

![Figure 4. CL-activated UV-VIS spectrum of the luminescent dolomite (see Figure 3F). Spectrum shows Mn$^{2+}$ emission maximum at ca. 660 nm (Mn$^{2+}$ activation in the MgCO$_3$ position).](image-url)
diameter. *A. ludeni* occurring commonly in the Lower Muschelkalk of the Polish part of the Germanic Basin is the largest form with disks reaching up to 0.2 mm. This form was also noted from the Lower Muschelkalk of the eastern Germany (Rüdersdorf near Berlin) [57], [59–61]. *A. streitichii* is similarly-sized to *A. ludeni* and its disk reached up to ca. 8 mm. This species is common only in the vicinity of the Lower Muschelkalk of Rüdersdorf [62]. However, it should be pointed out that the morphology of this species is very similar to the previously mentioned *A. ludeni*. Stoll [63] ascribed this taxon to *A. ludeni* with a question mark. *A. scutellata* with its maximum disk diameter equaling 6.9 mm occurs in the Lower and Upper Muschelkalk of Poland and Germany [54], [55], [62–64]. This species is the only one that also has been reported from the Tethyan Realm of Italy [65]. The maximum disk diameter of *A. similis* commonly occurring in the Lower Muschelkalk of Poland equals 5 mm [66]. Unfortunately, data on range size as well as mean size are sparse. Previous workers [30], [66] have mentioned that ophiuroids from various localities in Poland have mean disc diameter commonly not exceeding 3 mm. However, these ophiuroid taphofacies are connected with transportation from their life habitat due to storm-related obtrusion events. In such cases, segregation of the skeletons is likely. Thus, data on mean disc diameter of these ophiuroid populations are probably underestimated. Therefore, given our current state of knowledge on ontogenesis of *A. similis* it is impossible to clearly state if ophiuroids from Wojkowice underwent dwarfism or not.

Good state of preservation (see taphonomic groups in Table 1,2) and an overall sedimentological context indicate that the death of ophiuroids was not caused by high-energy (storm or tidal) event. Predation is also not a likely cause of their death as ophiuroids do not display evidence of damages of their discs and arms (such as bite marks comparable to those observed in crinoids from the lower part of this section; [33], [67]). Furthermore, the Cellular Limestone Unit in the Wojkowice lacks potential body predatory fossils (e.g., cidaroids, crabs, hybodontid sharks) or ichnofossils (e.g., *Thalassinoides, Rhizoconchillum*) that are ascribed to predatory decapods. Such fossils do not occur also in other outcrops of this unit in the Upper Silesia [23], [24], [68], [69].

One possible explanation is that the ophiuroids from Wojkowice died due to the gradual deterioration of environmental conditions. In the unstable sabkha environment, progressive evaporation might have been stressful for ophiuroids. For example, subaerially weathered dolomites indicate that this area might have been episodically lifted up [23]. In such drying sabkha, elevated temperatures, increasing salinity, as well as progressive oxygen depletion might have been responsible for the ophiuroid death. The fact that nearly all ophiuroids are preserved with their oral side turned up is consistent with such interpretation as studies on modern ophiuroids from the Northern Adriatic Sea [70] indicate that oxygen depletion commonly leads to their arm-tipping and the accompanying uplifted disc [71–72]. This behaviour is generally interpreted as an attempt to reach higher oxygen concentrations. In such humped postures, ophiuroids are prone to overturn. Similar arm-tipping behaviour have been recorded elsewhere during hypoxia in modern ophiuroid species, including *Ophiura texturata* (Linnaeus) [73], [74], *O. albula* (Forbes) [75], or *Anaphira chapuisi* (Forbes) and *A. filiformis* (Mulley) [76], [77]. Alternatively, other factors may have also contributed to such an inverted position. It is noteworthy that extant ophiuroids can change body posture to an upside down position, with oral side of the disc facing upward and arms raised above the disc [78]. Furthermore, these organisms can curl into a ball-shaped configuration leading to the overturn due to shift of the center of gravity. Finally, the inverted position of extant ophiuroids has been also reported in ophiuroids escaping from sediments [79]. After the overturn of ophiuroids, initially distalmost parts of the arms became disarticulated (if not earlier autotomized) and as the smallest and the lightest ossicles could have been easily transported. Then, within several days the specimens must have been covered by thin ca. 2 mm thick layer of the sediment allowing their near-complete preservation [51].

**Conclusions**

Fossil echinoderm species are generally considered ideal indicators of fully marine conditions. Thus they have been commonly used in paleoenvironmental reconstructions. For example, echinoderm fossils (in particular asterozoan traces) have recently warranted a re-interpretation of the depositional environment of Stuttgart Formation (Middle Keuper) from the non-open marine into fully marine environment [80]. Discovery of ophiuroid accumulations from the Middle Triassic of Poland constitutes the first and the oldest fossil record to our knowledge of echinoderm found in hypersaline environment. Our discovery suggests that some echinoderm species might have been euryhaline and imply that adaptation to increased salinity might have already appeared in the Middle Triassic. Therefore, great care needs to be exercised when using fossil echinoderm as paleoenvironmental proxies.

**Acknowledgments**

We would like to especially thank Dr. Monika Kowal-Linka (Adam Mickiewicz University) for useful comments and access to valuable bibliographic data, and Dr. Frederick Hotchkiss (The Marine and Paleobiological Research Institute, Massachusetts) for improving the English of this paper. Constructive comments by three anonymous reviewers greatly helped us to improve the manuscript.

**Author Contributions**

Conceived and designed the experiments: MAS RN PG. Performed the experiments: MAS RN RL TB PG. Analyzed the data: MAS RN RL TB PG. Wrote the paper: MAS RN PG.

**References**

1. Smith GFM (1940) Factors limiting distribution and size in the starfish. J Fish Res Board Can 5: 84–103.
2. Binyon J (1961) Salinity tolerance and permeability to water of the starfish *Asterias rubens*. J Mar Biol Assoc U.K. 41: 161–174.
3. Binyon J (1962) Ionic regulation and mode of adjustment to reduced salinity of the starfish *Asterias rubens*. J Mar Biol Assoc U.K. 42: 49–64.
4. Binyon J (1972a) Physiology of Echinoderms. Oxford: Pergamon Press. 264 p.
5. Binyon J (1972b) The effects of diluted seawater upon podial tissues of the starfish *Asterias rubens*. Comp Biochem Physiol 41: 1–6.
6. Shumway SE (1977) The effects of fluctuating salinities on four species of asteroids. Comp Biochem Physiol 60: 177–179.
7. Paget RM (1980) Tolerance to brackish water by ophiuroids with special reference to a Scotish sea loch, Loch Etive. In: Jangoux M, editor. Echinoderms: Asteroid echinoderms. Comp Biochem Physiol 58: 177–179.
8. Byrne RH, Kaltenbacher E, Waterbury R (1999) Autonomous in situ analysis of the upper ocean: construction of a compact, long pathlength absorbance spectrometer aimed at order-of-magnitude improvements in the sensitivity of spectrophotometric analysis. Sea Technol 40: 71–75.
9. Meglitsch PA (1972) Invertebrate Zoology, second edition. London: Oxford University Press. 834 p.
10. Lacour D, Ne`raudeau D (1999) Evolution of the biodiversity of Mediterranean irregular echinoids between the Late Miocene and the Present-Day. In: Camoin GF, Dullo WC, editors. Paleoceanology of reefs and carbonate platforms: Miocene to Modern. Publication de l`Association des Se`dimentologistes franc¸ais 133–137.
11. Lacour D, Ne`raudeau D (2000) E´ volution de la diversite ´d e s *Asteroidae* (Echinoidea, Spatangoida) en Me ´diterrane´e depuis la ''crise messinienne’’.
77. Vistisen B, Vismann B (1997) Tolerance to low oxygen and sulfide in Amphiura filiformis and Ophiura albida (Echinodermata: Ophiuroidea). Mar Biol 128: 241–246.

78. Shroat-Lewis R A (2007) Taphonomy of a Pliocene ophiuroid mass mortality lagerstatte in the Tirabuzon Formation, Baja California sur. Master Thesis of the University of North Carolina at Wilmington. 70 p.

79. Ishida Y, Fujita T (2000) Escape behavior of epibenthic ophiuroids buried in the sediment: observations of extant and fossil Ophiura sarsi sarsi. In: Barker M, editor. Proceedings of the 10th International Echinoderm Conference. Dunedin: pp. 285–292.

80. Schlirf M (2012) Heliophycus seilacheri n. isp. and Biformites insolitus linck, 1949 (trace fossils) from the Late Triassic of the Germanic Basin: their taxonomy and palaeoecological relevance. N Jb Geol Paläont Abh 263: 183–198.