Novel Heteroleptic Tin(II) Complexes Capable of Forming SnO and SnO₂ Thin Films Depending on Conditions Using Chemical Solution Deposition
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ABSTRACT: A new heteroleptic complex series of tin was synthesized by the salt metathesis reaction of SnX₂ (X = Cl, Br, and I) with aminoalkoxide and various N-alkoxy-functionalized carboxamide ligands. The complexes, [ClSn(dmamp)]₂ (1), [BrSn(dmamp)]₂ (2), and [Sn(dmamp)]₂ (3), were prepared from the salt metathesis reaction of SnX₂ with one equivalent of dmamp; [Sn(dmamp)(empa)]₂ (4), [Sn(dmamp)(mdpa)]₂ (5), and [Sn(dmamp)(edpa)]₂ (6) were prepared via the salt metathesis reaction using complex 2 with one equivalent of N-alkoxy-functionalized carboxamide ligand. Complexes 1–5 displayed dimeric molecular structures with tin metal centers interconnected by μ₂-O bonding via the alkoxy oxygen atom. The molecular structures of complexes 1–5 showed distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometries with lone pair electrons in the equatorial position. Using complex 6 as a tin precursor, SnO, films were deposited by chemical solution deposition (CSD) and subsequent post-deposition annealing (PDA) at high temperatures. SnO and SnO₂ films were selectively obtained under controlled PDA atmospheres of argon and oxygen, respectively. The SnO films featured a tetragonal cassiterite crystal structure and a preferred growth orientation along the (101) plane. They also exhibited a lower transmittance of >52% at 400 nm due to an optical band gap of 2.9 eV. In contrast, the SnO₂ films exhibited a tetragonal cassiterite crystal structure and an extremely high transmittance of >97% at 400 nm was observed with an optical band gap of 3.6 eV.

INTRODUCTION

Tin oxide (SnO₂) is an attractive n-type oxide with a wide band gap of 3.62 eV. Additionally, it is chemically stable, has high abrasion resistance, and displays over 80% optical transparency in visible and near-infrared regions. Especially, SnO₂ has useful electrical properties with high electrical conductivity owing to its oxygen vacancies or Sn interstitals in SnO₂. With these properties, SnO₂ has been applied as gas sensors that detect CO₂ and H₂, photosensors, catalytic support materials, solar cells, solid-state chemical sensors, and transparent conducting oxide applications in photovoltaics and flat panels. Meanwhile, p-type transparent oxide is a field that should essentially be studied to develop transparent and photo devices such as p-n junctions and complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS) architectures with n-type transparent oxide materials. Compared to n-type oxide materials such as ZnO, SnO₂, ZnSnO, InGaZnO, WO₃, etc., p-type materials such as SnO, Cu₂O, and N-doped ZnO were rarely explored. Among the various p-type oxide, SnO (tin(II) monoxide) has attracted great interest due to a wide optical band gap of 2.7–3.0 eV and high Hall mobility of ~18.71 cm²/(V·s), which enables the realization of transparent CMOS devices. Recently, it was reported that SnO based thin film transistor (TFT) showed high field-effect mobility of ~6.75 cm²/(V·s). Growth of SnO₂ thin films has been demonstrated by the different deposition methods such as physical vapor deposition (PVD), chemical vapor deposition (CVD), atomic layer deposition (ALD), and chemical solution deposition (CSD). To selectively achieve the n-type SnO₂ and p-type SnO films, controlling the oxidation state of tin to be either +4 or +2 is essential by engineering the process conditions such as oxygen partial pressure and oxygen source. Authors reported that SnO and SnO₂ phases are deposited by ALD.
using a novel Sn(II) precursor, Sn(dmamp)$_2$ where dmamp is 1-dimethylamino-2-methyl-2-propoxide, in combination with H$_2$O and O$_2$ plasma, respectively.$^{27}$

Various Sn(II) precursors have been used to deposit tin oxide (SnO and SnO$_2$), including SnCl$_2$, Sn(tbba) (tbba = N$_2$N$_3$-di-tert-butyl-butane-2,3-diamide),$^{29}$ Sn(dmamp)$_2$, Sn(acac)$_2$ (acac = acetylacetonate),$^{31,32}$ [Sn{OC(NMe$_2$)-NtBu}]$_2$,$^{22}$ and Sn(btsa)$_2$ (btsa = bis(trimethylsilyl)amide).$^{33}$ Widely known precursors were used to deposit Sn-based films, which have advantages such as low vapor pressure and decomposition of ligands during deposition.$^{34}$

In this study, we demonstrate the synthesis and characterization of novel tin(II) precursors with a combination of 1-dimethylamino-2-methyl-2-propoxide (dmamp) and various N-alkoxy-functionalized carboxamides such as N-ethoxy-2-methylpropanamide (empa), N-methoxy-2,2-dimethylpropanamide (mdpa), and N-ethoxy-2,2-dimethylpropanamide (edpa). All complexes were characterized using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), elemental analysis, X-ray crystallography, and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA). Using complex 6 as a tin precursor, SnO$_x$ films were deposited by chemical solution deposition (CSD) and subsequent post-deposition annealing (PDA) at high temperatures. The SnO and SnO$_2$ films were selectively obtained under controlled PDA atmospheres of argon and oxygen, respectively.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Synthesis.** Novel tin complexes were synthesized using tin halide as the starting material by controlled salt metathesis reactions, as shown in Scheme 1.

In the first step, tin halide (SnCl$_2$, SnBr$_2$, and SnI$_2$) in THF was mixed with 1 equivalent of Na(dmamp) at room temperature. [ClSn(dmamp)]$_2$ (1), [BrSn(dmamp)]$_2$ (2), and [I$\text{Sn}$(dmamp)]$_2$ (3) were isolated through extraction with THF. To prepare new heteroleptic tin complexes [Sn(dmamp)(N-alkoxy carboxamide)]$_2$, three [Sn(dmamp)-(halide)]$_2$ were used as the starting materials. Among them, Sn(dmamp)Br was selected as the final starting material because it gave the best reaction product yields under the optimum reaction conditions. In the second step, 2 reacted with sodium N-alkoxy carboxamides such as Na(empa), Na(mdpa), and Na(edpa) in THF solution at room temperature in an argon-filled glovebox. The products, [Sn(dmamp)-(empa)]$_2$ (4), [Sn(dmamp)(mdpa)]$_2$ (5), and [Sn(dmamp)-(edpa)]$_2$ (6), were extracted with hexane and recrystallized at room temperature by slow evaporation to provide good to excellent yields. All complexes synthesized in this work were highly soluble in common organic solvents such as hexane, toluene, THF, and diethyl ether. Furthermore, all complexes were quite stable under inert conditions such as a nitrogen or argon atmosphere.

**NMR Spectral Analysis.** All compounds were analyzed via NMR spectroscopy, and benzene-$d_6$ and chloroform-$d$ were used as a solvent and reference at room temperature, respectively.

In the $^1$H NMR spectra, the OC(CH$_3$)$_2$ group of the dmamp ligand of all complexes showed singlet resonances at 1.49 ppm for 1, 1.49 ppm for 2, 1.48 ppm for 3, 1.44 ppm for 4, 1.43 ppm for 5, and 1.42 ppm for 6, respectively; these results exhibited a clean downfield shift compared to the free dmampH signal at 1.13 ppm. The N(CH$_3$)$_2$ group of the dmamp ligand exhibited singlet resonances at 2.05 ppm for 1, 2.01 ppm for 2, 2.05 ppm for 3, 2.06 ppm for 4, 2.02 ppm for 5, and 2.05 ppm for 6, respectively; these results exhibited a clean upfield shift compared to the free dmampH signal at 2.11 ppm, except complex 1. Interestingly, the $^1$H NMR spectra of compound 1 showed that methyl protons
NMR spectra. The $^{119}$Sn $^1$H NMR spectra of complexes 2 represented a downfield shift for the alkoxide complexes, $[\text{Sn}((1.16, \text{and 3.84 ppm)}).$ Late) with major signals at $−435$ and $−417,$ and $−418,$ ppm, respectively. These $^{119}$Sn $^1$H NMR spectra for complexes 4–6 are consistent with previously reported complexes.$^{35,36}$ In the case of compounds 3–5, they are also located on crystallographic centers of inversion. All structures have a four-membered $\text{Sn}_2\text{O}_2$ core ring. In complexes 1–3, the tin metal in each was bonded to one terminal halide, one amino group, and two bridging alkoxide groups with lone pair electrons; the metal exhibited seesaw geometries where the lone pair electrons on the central metal are in an equatorial position (as shown in Figures 1–3). The aminoaalkoxide ligand, dmnap, is bound to tin as a bidentate ligand and forms a 5-membered ring composed of $\text{Sn}–\text{N}–\text{C}–\text{C}–\text{O}$. Selected bond lengths and bond angles of complexes 1–3 are listed in Table 2.

Table 1. Crystallographic Parameters of Complexes 1–5

| compound | 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|
| empirical formula | $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{Cl}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{Sn}_2$ | $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{Br}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{Sn}_2$ | $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{Sn}_2$ | $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{Sn}_2$ | $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{N}_2\text{O}_2\text{Sn}_2$ |
| formula weight | 270.32 | 629.56 | 361.77 | 730.08 | 730.08 |
| T/K | 100(1) | 100(1) | 100(1) | 100(1) | 100(1) |
| crystal system | monoclinic | monoclinic | triclinic | triclinic | triclinic |
| space group | $P2(1)/n$ | $P2(1)/c$ | $P-1$ | $P-1$ | $P-1$ |
| $a$ (Å) | 7.6737(4) | 14.6996(9) | 7.7781(12) | 8.8721(2) | 8.114(2) |
| $b$ (Å) | 10.8936(5) | 11.9315(5) | 7.9123(13) | 13.1408(2) | 10.026(3) |
| $c$ (Å) | 11.9476(6) | 12.4356(5) | 9.4845(15) | 14.4809(2) | 11.148(3) |
| $\alpha$ (°) | 90 | 90 | 89.514(7) | 77.1780(10) | 71.863(12) |
| $\beta$ (°) | 105.626(2) | 111.161(18) | 78.657(7) | 79.0910(10) | 75.559(11) |
| $\gamma$ (°) | 90 | 90 | 70.302(7) | 82.6400(10) | 78.093(12) |
| $V$ (Å$^3$) | 961.84(8) | 2033.7(15) | 537.75(15) | 1609.88(5) | 826.4(4) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| density (g/cm$^3$) | 1.867 | 2.056 | 2.234 | 1.506 | 1.467 |
| absorption coefficient | 2.57 | 4.38 | 5.19 | 1.59 | 1.549 |
| $R$ (000) | 528 | 1200 | 336 | 744 | 372 |
| crystal size (mm$^3$) | 0.40 × 0.10 × 0.09 | 0.38 × 0.20 × 0.14 | 0.10 × 0.10 × 0.08 | 0.18 × 0.10 × 0.06 | 0.26 × 0.18 × 0.06 |
| theta range for data collection (°) | 2.57–28.35 | 1.49–28.36 | 2.19–26.00 | 1.46–26.73 | 1.96–26.00 |
| index ranges | −10 ≤ h ≤ 10, 0 ≤ k ≤ 15, 10 ≤ l ≤ 15 | 0 ≤ h ≤ 19, −15 ≤ k ≤ 0, −16 ≤ l ≤ 15 | −9 ≤ h ≤ 9, −9 ≤ k ≤ 9, 0 ≤ l ≤ 11 | −10 ≤ h ≤ 11, −15 ≤ k ≤ 15, 0 ≤ l ≤ 18 | −9 ≤ h ≤ 9, −11 ≤ k ≤ 12, 0 ≤ l ≤ 13 |
| reflections collected | 2359 | 5036 | 2048 | 4238 | 3200 |
| independent reflections | 2359 | 5036 | 2048 | 4238 | 3200 |
| goodness-of-fit on $R^2$ | 1.269 | 1.090 | 1.074 | 1.033 | 1.076 |
| $R$ [1 > $2\sigma$(I)] | 0.0232 | 0.0321 | 0.0243 | 0.0207 | 0.0327 |
| $wR_2$ | 0.0561 | 0.0906 | 0.0608 | 0.0480 | 0.0819 |

$a R = \sum|F_o| - |F_c|)/\sum|F_o|,$ $b wR_2 = [\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2]/\sum w(F_o^2)$ / $2.$

(1.79 and 2.19 ppm) and methylene protons (1.92 and 2.49 ppm) of the dmnap ligand resonated as two singlets.

In 4, part of the empH ligand showed signals at $\delta = 1.35$ (OCH$_2$CH$_3$, and CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.81 (CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), and 4.18 (OCH$_2$CH$_3$) ppm; these shifted downfield from those of free ligand of empH (1.14, 2.62, and 3.95 ppm). For the mdpa moiety of 5, the signals of OCC(CH$_3$)$_2$ and OCH$_3$ at $\delta = 1.48$ and 3.82 ppm, respectively, shifted downfield compared to the free mdpaH ligand (1.09 and 3.59 ppm). For 6, part of the edpaH signals appeared at $\delta = 1.32, 1.46,$ and 4.16 ppm; these represented a downfield shift from the free edpaH ligand (1.10, 1.16, and 3.84 ppm).

Boyle and co-workers synthesized two 4-coordinated tin(II) alkoxide complexes, [Sn($\mu$-OR)$_2$]$_\infty$ ($R = 2$-methylphenolate and 2-isopropylphenolate), which showed major resonances at −412 and −429 ppm in the $^{119}$Sn $^1$H NMR spectra, respectively.$^{35}$ Moreover, Linda and co-workers prepared 4-coordinated tin(II) compounds $K_2[Sn^{II}(pin^5)]_2$ and [(15CS)$_2K_2$][Sn$^{II}(pin^5)]_2$ (pin$^5$ = dianionic perfluorophenacolate) with major signals at −435 and −501 ppm in the $^{119}$Sn NMR spectra.$^{36}$ The $^{119}$Sn $^1$H NMR spectra of complexes 4–6 showed single resonances of −414, −417, and −418 ppm, respectively. These $^{119}$Sn $^1$H NMR spectra for complexes 4–6 are consistent with previously reported complexes.$^{35,36}$

Crystal Structures. X-ray quality crystals were obtained by slow evaporation of a saturated THF solution for complexes 1–3 and saturated hexane for complexes 4–5. Complexes 1 and 2 crystallized in the monoclinic space group, whereas complexes 3–5 crystallized in the triclinic space group (Table 1). All complexes formed essentially centrosymmetric dimers with aminoaalkoxide oxygen bridging the two metal centers in the complexes via $\mu_2$−O bonding, similar to the bonding pattern of other metal complexes (Mg, Sr, In, Co, Zn) containing aminoaalkoxide reported previously.$^{37–43}$ In the case of compounds 3–5, they are also located on crystallographic centers of inversion. All structures have a four-membered Sn$_2$O$_2$ core ring. In complexes 1–3, the tin metal in each was bonded to one terminal halide, one amino group, and two bridging alkoxide groups with lone pair electrons; the metal exhibited seesaw geometries where the lone pair electrons on the central metal are in an equatorial position (as shown in Figures 1–3). The aminoaalkoxide ligand, dmnap, is bound to tin as a bidentate ligand and forms a 5-membered ring composed of Sn–N–C–C–O. Selected bond lengths and bond angles of complexes 1–3 are listed in Table 2.

Figure 1. Crystal structure of [ClSn(dmnap)$_2$]$_2$ (1).
The bond lengths between tin and ionic oxygen atom (2.107(2) (1), 2.092(2) (2), and 2.092(2) Å (3)) in compound 1–3 were shorter than those of tin-dative bonding oxygen (2.276(2) (1), 2.296(2) (2), and 2.299(2) Å (3)) by 0.169–0.207 Å. Sn–O and Sn ← O bond lengths of complexes were similar to those of [ClSn(dmae)] 2 (2.104(3) and 2.240(3) Å) (where dmae = 2-dimethylaminoethoxide). Also, Sn ← N coordination bond lengths of complexes 1–3 are 2.535(3), 2.558(3), and 2.487(3) Å, respectively, which are almost the same as that of [ClSn(dmae)] 2 (2.499(4) Å). The Sn–Cl bond length of compound 1 was 2.4816 Å which was similar to that of [ClSn(dmae)] 2 (2.473 Å). The Sn–Br bond length of complex 2 was 2.6526(11) Å which was similar to that of SnCpBr(dip) (where dip = diisopropylcyclohexane) (2.691 Å). The Sn–I bond length of complex 3 was 2.8951(6) Å which was longer than that of [L′SnI] 2 ([OTf] 2 ) (2.6779 Å) (where L′ = tris(1-ethyl-benzoimidazol-2-ylmethyl)amine). The Sn–Sn distances within the Sn2O2 cores were 3.5978(4) (1), 3.5616(16) (2), and 3.5897(6) Å (3). The bite angles of O–Sn–N were 73.96(8) ° (1), 73.84(9) ° (2), and 72.28(10) ° (3), which were close to the ideal degree (72°). In these complexes, the oxygen atom of the neighboring dmamp ligand (O1) and the nitrogen atom of the dmamp ligand (N1) were situated in axial positions with O1–Sn1–N1 bond angles of 142.56(8) ° (1), 143.63(8) ° (2), and 142.45(9) ° (3), respectively. The oxygen atom of the dmamp ligand (O1), halide (Cl, Br, and I), and lone pair electrons of tin occupied the equatorial sites around the tin metal. The atoms of equatorial sites were located especially far from the lone pair electrons. The O1–Sn1–X angles of complexes 1–3 were 98.46(6), 98.17(6), and 93.01(6)°, respectively, which demonstrated that the equatorial site expected the lone pair electrons.

In complexes 4–5, each tin metal was bonded to one N-alkoxy carboxamide, one amine group, and two bridging alkoxydes with lone pair electrons. These tin metals showed distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometries, including lone pair electrons (shown in Figures 4 and 5). Similar to 1–3, dmamp...
in complexes 4–5 was bound to tin as a bidentate ligand and formed a 5-membered ring consisting of Sn–N–C–C–O. Additionally, the N-alkoxy carboxamide ligand was bound to the tin metal. Selected bond lengths and bond angles of complexes 4–5 are listed in Table 3. The bond lengths between tin and the ionic oxygen atom of the dmamp ligand (2.1396(13) Å (4) and 2.128(3) Å (5)) by 0.140 and 0.137 Å. Sn → O bond lengths of compounds were (2.128(3) and 2.265(3) Å) similar to those of [ClSn(dmae)]₂ (2.104(3) and 2.240(3) Å). Also, Sn ← N coordination bond lengths of complexes 4–5 are 2.4777(17) and 2.540(3) Å, respectively, which are similar to that of [ClSn(dmae)]₂ (2.499(4) Å). The bond lengths between the tin 1 and oxygen atom of the N-alkoxy carboxamide ligand (2.1035(14), 2.121(2) Å) in compounds 4–5 were similar to that of the reported Sn(N-alkoxy carboxamide)₂ (2.099(2) Å). The distance of Sn1–O2 were 2.8789(14) Å (4) and 2.733(28) Å (5); these were significantly longer distances compared to the general Sn–O bond. The Sn⋯Sn distances within the SnO₂ cores were 3.5813(2) Å (4) and 3.5594(9) Å (5). The bite angles of the dmamp moiety were 72.44(5) ° (4) and 72.64(10) ° (5), respectively, which were close to the ideal pentagon degree (72 °). In these complexes, the oxygen atom of the neighboring dmamp ligand (O4, O1') and the nitrogen atom of the dmamp ligand (N1) were situated in axial positions with (O4, O1')–Sn–N1 bond angles of 142.69(5) ° (4), and 143.21(10) ° (5), respectively. The oxygen atom of the dmamp ligand (O1), N-alkoxy carboxamide ligand (O3), and lone pair electrons of tin occupied the equatorial sites around the tin metal. The atoms were located especially far from the lone pair electrons.

**Thermogravimetric Analysis.** The TGA trace shown in Figure 6 clearly shows thermal decomposition of compounds 4–6 below 500 °C. The samples were prepared inside an argon-filled glovebox under a constant flow of nitrogen to minimize air contact. The TGA plot of complex 4 showed the main weight loss at 50–172 °C; the final residue was 47%, which was likely comprised of SnO₂, although the residue was more than the calculated value of SnO₂ (41%). Complex 5 displayed the main weight loss from 118–220 °C and the final residue was 6%, which indicated that the thermal decomposition and evaporation of the compound occurred during TGA. Moreover, the TGA curve of complex 6 showed the main weight loss at 123–235 °C with 22% residue, which was less than that calculated for the Sn metal (31%). All complexes

![Figure 5. Crystal structure of [Sn(dmamp)(mdpa)]₂ (5).](image)

![Figure 6. TGA plot of complexes 4–6. Black (4), red (5), and blue (6).](image)

| Bond Lengths (Å) | [Sn(dmamp)(empa)]₂ (4) | [Sn(dmamp)(mdpa)]₂ (5) |
|------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| Sn1–O1           | 2.1396(13)             | Sn2–O4                 | 2.1337(13)             | Sn1–O1                 | 2.128(3)             |
| Sn1–O2           | 2.8789(14)             | Sn2–O6                 | 2.1033(14)             | Sn1–O2                 | 2.733(28)             |
| Sn1–O3           | 2.1035(14)             | Sn2–O1                 | 2.2815(12)             | Sn1–O3                 | 2.121(2)             |
| Sn1–O1'          | 2.2800(12)             | Sn2–N3                 | 2.5691(17)             | Sn1–O1'                | 2.265(3)             |
| Sn1–N1           | 2.4777(17)             | Sn1–Sn1                | 3.5813(2)              |                       |                       |
| Sn1–Sn1'         |                        |                        |                       |                       |                       |
| O1–Sn1–O3        | 83.91(5)               | O4–Sn2–O6              | 87.51(5)               | O1–Sn1–O3              | 83.55(10)             |
| O1–Sn1–O4        | 71.58(5)               | O4–Sn2–O1              | 71.65(5)               | O1–Sn1–O1'             | 71.78(9)             |
| O1–Sn1–N1        | 72.44(5)               | O4–Sn2–N3              | 72.70(5)               | O1–Sn1–N1              | 72.64(10)             |
| O3–Sn1–O4        | 86.02(5)               | O6–Sn2–O1              | 86.11(5)               | O3–Sn1–O1'             | 86.01(10)             |
| O3–Sn1–N1        | 80.71(5)               | O6–Sn2–N3              | 82.61(5)               | O3–Sn1–N1              | 81.02(11)             |
| O4–Sn1–N1        | 142.69(5)              | O1–Sn2–N3              | 142.96(5)              | O1–Sn1–N1              | 143.21(10)            |
| Sn1–O1–Sn2       | 108.16(6)              | Sn1–O4–Sn2             | 108.42(6)              | Sn1–O1–Sn1'            | 108.22(9)             |
displayed decomposition during TGA experiments owing to their thermal instability.

**Deposition and Properties of SnO and SnO₂ Using Complex (6).** The TGA plots in Figure 6 showed that the thermal instability of compounds 4–6 led to their decomposition at 500 °C. Therefore, chemical solution deposition was suggested as a suitable deposition method to evaluate the newly synthesized tin precursor for the growth of SnO and SnO₂ films. Among the various complexes, [Sn(dmamp)-(edpa)]₂ (6) exhibited the most suitable characteristics for solution deposition by spin-coating. In CSD, the solubility and stability of the precursor in a selected solvent and the properties of the solvent are important to ensure a good deposition characteristic. Solvents with low boiling points tend to evaporate early during spin-coating, resulting in incomplete dispersion of the precursor solution and film inhomogeneity. Among the solvents tested, toluene exhibited the most favorable conditions in terms of precursor solubility and boiling point (111 °C). Thus, using toluene as solvent, the complex 6 solution (0.05 M) was spin-coated onto SiO₂ and a sodalime glass substrate. The coated substrates were then dried at 110 °C for 10 min to remove residual toluene. In the subsequent PDA process, the films were preheated at 300 °C for 15 min under either argon and oxygen flow at 50 Torr followed by further heating to 500 °C for 1 h at the same pressure.
The crystallinity of the deposited films after PDA was investigated by high-resolution GAXRD. Figure 7a,b shows the diffraction patterns of the deposited films annealed under argon and oxygen ambient, respectively. The SnO phase annealed under argon ambient exhibited very high crystallinity with diffraction peaks detected at 29.9, 33.4, 37.1, 48.0, 50.8, 57.6, and 62.6°, corresponding to the (101), (110), (002), (200), (112), (211), and (103) planes of tetragonal cassiterite SnO. (PDF No:04-008-7670, JCPDS). It should be noted that only SnO diffraction peaks were observed without the SnO2 phase. The deposited SnO films exhibited a preferential growth orientation along the (101) direction. By contrast, the films annealed in oxygen ambient displayed diffraction peaks at 26.9, 34.2, 38.2, and 52.1°, corresponding to the (110), (101), (200), and (211) planes of tetragonal cassiterite SnO2 (PDF No:04-012-0770, JCPDS). The formation of only the SnO2 phase rather than SnO might be ascribed to complete oxidation of the Sn2+ cation in complex 6 to Sn4+ as a result of high-temperature PDA in oxidizing ambient. The SnO2 film showed less degree of crystallinity compared to the SnO film, which can be understood from a small grain size as presented later in the field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM) image.

The FE-SEM plane and cross-sectional images of the SnO film on the SiO2 substrate are illustrated in Figure 8a,b. The SnO film was characterized by very small, agglomerated grains with noticeable pores. The nanopores are suspected to be generated by the evaporation of the Sn metal during PDA in argon ambient at a high temperature of 500 °C. Becker et al. studied tin oxide of different stoichiometries synthesized under variable oxygen pressure and temperature conditions, where a mixture of Sn metal and SnO were obtained between 200 and 500 °C, and low oxygen pressure.49 Figure 8c,d shows the plane and cross-sectional images of the SnO2 film on a SiO2 substrate. Highly dense and pin-hole-free SnO2 films were prepared in contrast to the SnO film. The thickness of each film was found to be 147 nm each in cross-sectional images.

UV–visible spectroscopy was used to determine the optical properties of the prepared SnO2 films. The transmittance and absorbance spectra of the films were measured in the wavelength range of 350–800 nm. As shown in Figure 9a, the SnO2 films exhibited a high transmittance of >97% in the visible region (400–700 nm), whereas the SnO films demonstrated a comparatively lower transmittance of >52%. This might be explained by the difference in the optical band gap of SnO2 and SnO films. From the absorbance spectra in Figure 9b, an optical band gap of 2.9 eV was estimated for the SnO films while the SnO2 films exhibited a wider band gap of 3.6 eV, which was visually evident from the growth of brown films for SnO and colorless transparent films for SnO2. The estimated values are in good agreement with previously reported optical direct band gap values of 2.5–3.4 eV (SnO)50 and 3.6 eV (SnO2),51 and further support the selective growth of SnO and SnO2 films using complex 6.52

The chemical composition and residual impurities of the SnO2 films were investigated by XPS. XPS atomic percent of SnO and SnO2 films are listed in Table 4. Figure 10a shows the Sn 3d spectra of the SnO2 films annealed in argon or O2 ambient. After PDA in argon ambient, the Sn 3d5/2 peak is positioned at 486.2 eV, confirming an oxidation state of +2. This finding is consistent with the previous results from XRD and UV–visible spectra. For PDA in oxygen ambient, the Sn 3d5/2 peak is shifted to higher binding energy, and centered at 486.5 eV corresponding to the Sn oxidation state of +4, indicating the formation of the SnO2 film rather than the SnO phase. The Sn 3d3/2 peak corresponding to the oxidation state of +2 (~486.2 eV) was not observed, implying that the oxidation of the Sn2+ cation in complex 6 is Sn4+ during PDA in O2 ambient. For the O 1s spectra of SnO2 films, peaks centered at 530.2 and 530.5 eV for SnO and SnO2 films are observed, respectively, as shown in Figure 10b. This is consistent with the reported peak positions of O 1s peaks for SnO and SnO2 phases.53 C 1s and N 1s spectra were investigated to examine the residual carbon and nitrogen in SnO2 films after PDA. As shown in Figure 10c, no carbon was detected in the SnO2 films after PDA in oxygen ambient, which indicates complete removal of organic ligands in complex 6. On the other hand, there are significant carbon residues in the SnO film, which is annealed in argon ambient, due to the
incorporation of carbon into the SnO film after decomposition of ligand species during PDA. For N 1s spectra, as depicted in Figure 10d, no noticeable peak was found for both SnO and SnO$_2$ films, irrespective of PDA ambient. Nitrogen concentration below the detection level in all SnO films may indicate the preferential removal of the nitrogen-containing dmamp ligand over the edpa ligand during PDA in argon. The SnO film exhibited an average O/Sn ratio of 0.9 and the SnO$_2$ film showed an average O/Sn ratio of 1.2. It is worth noting that the absolute O/Sn ratio determined by XPS quantitative analysis is not very accurate even with the consideration of atomic sensitivity factors.27

**CONCLUSIONS**

We successfully synthesized new heteroleptic tin complexes using aminoalkoxide (dmamp) and various N-alkoxy carboxamide (empa, mpta, and edpa) ligands via controlled salt metathesis reactions. All complexes were obtained as dimers in their crystal structures through single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The alkoxy oxygen of the dmamp functioned as a bridge between each tin metal via $\mu_2$-O bonding. Complexes 1–5 exhibited distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometries around tin metal centers with lone pair electrons on tin. The TGA curves of 4–6 displayed multistep weight loss owing to their thermal instability. Compound 6 was successfully evaluated as a tin precursor to selectively deposit SnO and SnO$_2$ films by CSD depending on the PDA atmospheres of argon and oxygen. The SnO and SnO$_2$ films exhibited good crystallinity with tetragonal romarchite and tetragonal cassiterite structures, respectively. The SnO$_2$ films exhibited a high transmittance of >97% in the visible range and a band gap of 3.6 eV, while the SnO films showed a lower transmittance of >52% and a band gap of 2.9 eV, although carbon impurities were incorporated into them. This study showed the feasibility of newly synthesized tin precursors for selective growth of SnO and SnO$_2$ films, and further opens the door for their potential applications in TFTs and photovoltaics.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Materials. $^1$H and the proton-decoupled $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Advance NEO 500 MHz spectrometer with C$_6$D$_6$ as the solvent and standard. The proton-decoupled $^{119}$Sn NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Liquid 400 MHz NMR spectrometer with CDC$_6$ as the solvent and standard. FT-IR spectra were obtained using a Nicolet Nexus FT-IR spectrophotometer with a 4 mm KBr window or KBr pellets. The KBr pellets for the samples were prepared by a standard pellet technique inside an argon-filled glovebox. The elemental analyses were performed with a Thermo Scientific FLASH EA-2000 Organic elemental.
analyzer. The melting point analyses were performed using a Stuart SMP40 Automatic Melting Point apparatus with 100 mm-length capillaries. The capillaries for the samples were prepared inside an argon-filled glovebox. Thermogravimetric analyses were conducted on a Thermo plus EVO II TG8120 series thermogravimetry and differential thermal analysis instrument under a constant flow of nitrogen. All reactions, except for the ligand preparations, were performed under inert and dry conditions using standard Schlenk techniques or in an argon-filled glovebox. The dmampH was synthesized by a slightly modified literature method.\textsuperscript{54,55} Na(dmamp) was prepared by the reaction of dmampH and sodium metal in hexane. The series of N-alkoxycarboxamidate (mpdaH, edpaH, empHa) were synthesized by the literature method.\textsuperscript{39} Na(N-alkoxycarboxamidate) were prepared by the reaction of N-alkoxycarboxamidate and sodium hydride in THF. Hexane, toluene, and THF were purified using an Innovative Technology PS—MD—4 solvent purification system. Tin halides (SnCl\textsubscript{2}, SnBr\textsubscript{2}, SnI\textsubscript{2}) were purchased from Strem Chemicals, pivaloyl chloride was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, and all other chemicals were purchased from TCI and used as received.

**General Procedure for the Synthesis of \([\text{Sn(dmamp)}]_2\) Complexes.** A THF solution (10 mL) of the corresponding sodium carboxamidate was added dropwise to a solution of [Sn\textsubscript{0}](dmamp) in THF (50 mL) at room temperature with constant stirring under inert gas conditions for 15 h. After the reaction was complete, volatiles was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted into hexane and filtered. The hexane was then removed to obtain the crude product. X-ray quality crystals were grown by slow evaporation from a saturated hexane solution in a glovebox at room temperature.

\[\text{[Sn(dmamp)(empa)]}_2\] (4). [BrSn(dmamp)]\textsubscript{2} (0.314 g, 0.5 mmol) and Na(empa) (0.167 g, 1 mmol) were used. The crude product was recrystallized to obtain the pure product as white crystals. Yield: 0.25 g (69%). M.P. 95 °C. FT-IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 2963(s), 2866(s), 1600(s), 1469(s), 1382(s), 1345(s), 1302(m), 1277(s), 1211(m), 1185(w), 1137(s), 1079(s), 1054(s), 975(s), 924(s), 836(m), 784(s), 729(w), 664(m), 620(s), 542(w), 502(m). 1H NMR (CD\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{2}O, 500 MHz): δ = 1.35 (9H, br, SnO(CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}N = C(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}O), 1.44 (6H, br, SnOC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 2.06 (6H, s, SnN(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 2.20 (2H, br, SnN(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.81 (1H, br, SnOCC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 4.18 (2H, q, SnOCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}) ppm. 13C\textsuperscript{[1]}H NMR (CD\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{2}O, 125.8 MHz): δ = 15.8 (SnO(CH\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 21.1 (SnOC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 32.7 (SnOC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 33.2 (SnOC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 46.4 (Sn\textsubscript{0}N-(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 67.9 (SnOC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 71.7 (SnOC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 74.2 (Sn\textsubscript{0}N-(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}) ppm. 119Sn\textsuperscript{[1]}H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 149.2 MHz): δ = −418.2 ppm. Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{24}H\textsubscript{52}N\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{6}Sn\textsubscript{2}: C, 39.5; H, 7.18; N, 7.67. Found: C, 38.9; H, 7.19; N, 7.87.

\[\text{[Sn(dmamp)(edpa)]}_2\] (5). [BrSn(dmamp)]\textsubscript{2} (0.314 g, 0.5 mmol) and Na(edpa) (0.167 g, 1 mmol) were used. The crude product was recrystallized to obtain the pure product as white crystals. Yield: 0.28 g (78%). M.P. 137 °C. FT-IR (KBr, cm\textsuperscript{-1}): 2966(s), 2722(m), 1600(s), 1469(s), 1382(s), 1358(s), 1302(s), 1272(s), 1205(s), 1185(w), 1137(s), 1079(s), 1054(s), 975(s), 924(s), 836(m), 784(s), 729(w), 664(m), 620(s), 542(w), 502(m). 1H NMR (CD\textsubscript{2}D\textsubscript{2}O, 500 MHz): δ = 1.40 (6H, s, SnOC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 1.48 (9H, s, SnOCC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 2.02 (6H, s, SnN(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{2}), 2.81 (1H, br, SnOCC(CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}), 4.18 (2H, q, SnOCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3}) ppm. Anal. Calcd for C\textsubscript{24}H\textsubscript{52}N\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{6}Sn\textsubscript{2}: C, 39.5; H, 7.23; N, 7.04.

\[\text{[Sn(dmamp)(N-alkoxycarboxamidate)]}_2\] Complexes. A THF solution (10 mL) of the corresponding sodium carboxamidate was added dropwise to a solution of [BrSn(dmamp)]\textsubscript{2} in THF (50 mL) at room temperature with constant stirring under inert gas conditions for 15 h. After the reaction was complete, volatiles was removed in vacuo, and the residue was extracted into hexane and filtered. The hexane was then removed to obtain the crude product. X-ray quality crystals were grown by slow evaporation from a saturated hexane solution in a glovebox at room temperature.
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**Crystalllography.** Single crystals of 1–3 were grown by slow evaporation from a saturated THF solution in a glovebox at room temperature, and single crystals of 4–5 were grown from a saturated hexane solution at −30 °C. The as-obtained specimen of suitable size and quality was coated with Paratone oil and mounted on a glass capillary. Reflection data were collected using a Bruker SMART Apex II CCD area detector (XPS, K-Alpha, Thermo Scienti
corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and an empirical absorption correction was applied using the SADABS program.58 The structures of the prepared compounds were solved by direct methods and all nonhydrogen atoms were
atoms with isotropic thermal parameters.
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