Evaluation of adaptation to visually induced motion sickness based on the maximum cross-correlation between pulse transmission time and heart rate
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Abstract

**Background:** Computer graphics and virtual reality techniques are useful to develop automatic and effective rehabilitation systems. However, a kind of virtual environment including unstable visual images presented to wide field screen or a head mounted display tends to induce motion sickness. The motion sickness induced in using a rehabilitation system not only inhibits effective training but also may harm patients' health. There are few studies that have objectively evaluated the effects of the repetitive exposures to these stimuli on humans. The purpose of this study is to investigate the adaptation to visually induced motion sickness by physiological data.

**Methods:** An experiment was carried out in which the same video image was presented to human subjects three times. We evaluated changes of the intensity of motion sickness they suffered from by a subjective score and the physiological index \( \rho_{\text{max}} \), which is defined as the maximum cross-correlation coefficient between heart rate and pulse wave transmission time and is considered to reflect the autonomic nervous activity.

**Results:** The results showed adaptation to visually-induced motion sickness by the repetitive presentation of the same image both in the subjective and the objective indices. However, there were some subjects whose intensity of sickness increased. Thus, it was possible to know the part in the video image which related to motion sickness by analyzing changes in \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) with time.

**Conclusion:** The physiological index, \( \rho_{\text{max}} \), will be a good index for assessing the adaptation process to visually induced motion sickness and may be useful in checking the safety of rehabilitation systems with new image technologies.
Background

In recent years, medical services including rehabilitation programs are changing substantially as results of rapid aging of the population and medical cost inflation. In Japan in 2006, a new law regarding the national health was passed and it fixes a 6 months limit to the coverage for rehabilitation programs. For this reason, more effective and efficient rehabilitation methods are needed to finish a rehabilitation program in a short period of time. In addition, a shortage of manpower for rehabilitation programs grows into a serious problem and therefore it is necessary to automate rehabilitation systems.

In such situations, computer graphics and virtual reality (VR) techniques are useful to develop automatic and effective rehabilitation systems. A system using these techniques is not only safe to use but also attractive for patients, thus some new methods for physical and mental rehabilitation have been proposed [1-5].

However, there are concerns about possible adverse effects of watching novel visual images and experience of VR, such as photosensitive seizures [6,7], visually induced motion sickness (VIMS) [8-11] and eye strain. In particular, when a patient watches an image changed based on real-time information of his head-position, which is sometimes used in VR system, there is a possibility that he watches unexpected images, such as upside-down or rotating, and then he feels VIMS. Since almost all users of the rehabilitation system are aged and/or physically weak, mental or physical stress on them caused by VIMS is considered to be greater than on healthy users.

To prevent these problems, we should establish methods to evaluate the effects of visual stimulation on humans and check a new rehabilitation system prior to use. For this purpose, it would be effective to estimate the autonomic nervous activity by analyzing physiological data such as heart rate and blood pressure [12-14]. A previous study [14] showed that the physiological index, $\rho_{\text{max}}$, defined as the maximum cross-correlation coefficient between heart rate and blood pressure and whose frequency components are limited to the Mayer wave-related band, is capable of assessing VIMS.

On the other hand, an important feature of motion sickness is the adaptation process. Adaptation occurs with repetitive exposure to the motion that causes the motion sickness [8,9]. The repetitive exposure usually improves motion sickness. This means that the response of $\rho_{\text{max}}$ to the repetitive exposure to the same visual stimulation will be reduced. Most of aged people are inexperienced in watching artificial visual images used in new types of rehabilitation systems and they may feel VIMS at the first time. However, if the symptoms of VIMS improve quickly as the day goes on, patients will be able to use the system.

The purpose of this study is to investigate the adaptation to VIMS by using both subjective and objective indices. We carried out an experiment in which the same video image was presented to subjects three times and analyzed the changes in a subjective score for VIMS and their autonomic nervous activity which was evaluated by continuous estimation of $\rho_{\text{max}}$.

Methods

Experiments

Figure 1 shows a schematic illustration of the experiment. A total of 21 healthy subjects participated in the study. Due to the number of devices available for measuring ECG and plethysmogram, a maximum of 11 subjects could watch the same video image simultaneously in any given trial of the experiment. Therefore, the experiment was conducted in two groups. The first had ten subjects (9 males and 1 females; 21.0 ± 2.0 years), and the second 11 (10 males and 1 females; 20.3 ± 1.8 years). They watched the same video image, projected by a LCD projector (resolution: 1024 × 768, brightness: 3250 ANSI lumens), once a day, for three consecutive days. Each subject watched at the same position from the screen and at the same time of each day. The experimental protocol was approved by the University’s Internal Review Board and informed consent was obtained from all subjects before the experiment.

The video film presented to the subjects was clipped from a movie made in the U.S.A. in 1999. The movie is notorious for inducing VIMS because it was taken by a handheld camera swayed intentionally to enhance the sensation of
To prevent emotional effects, violent scenes included in the film were excluded. The protocol of a given trial was as follows: 1) subjects watched a still picture of a landscape for 5 min as a control; 2) they watched the 15 min video image described above; 3) the same still picture shown in step 1 was watched again for 5 min. After the trial, each subject filled out the Simulator Sickness Questionnaire (SSQ) [15]. The total score (TS) on the SSQ is assumed to represent the subjective intensity of VIMS; the higher the TS, the more strongly a subject felt motion sickness. In each trial, ECG and finger photo-plethysmogram signals were measured and recorded with a handmade Mayer-wave analyzer [16]. The resolution of A/D converter and the sampling rate are 12 bit and 1 kHz, respectively.

**Analysis**

Heart rate (HR) was calculated from the reciprocal of the inter-R-wave interval of the ECG signal. Arterial pulse wave transmission time (PTT) was defined as the time interval from the peak of the ECG, R-wave, to the point at which the plethysmogram signal begins to rise. The value of PTT is related to blood pressure, as it is dependent on vascular compliance, which is affected by blood pressure [17]. Moreover, instantaneous measurements of PTT are much easier to obtain than measurements of blood pressure. Thus, PTT was used instead of blood pressure to calculate $\rho_{\text{max}}$ (described in detail below) to evaluate changes in autonomic nervous activity [18].

HR and PTT were interpolated by cubic spline functions to be continuous-time functions, and were re-sampled every $\Delta t = 0.5$ s. Each data point was then filtered through a band-pass filter with a bandwidth between 0.08 Hz and 0.1 Hz to extract the Mayer wave component.

To compute the cross-correlation coefficient between these two values, let us $k$ denote the discrete time based on $k\Delta t$. For a simple expression, $x(k) = PTT(k)$ and $y(k) = HR(k)$. At each second, the cross-correlation function, $\phi_{xy}(\tau)$, at lag time $\tau$ from $x(k)$ to $y(k)$ was calculated time-discretely on the basis of 2 min data segments weighted with the Hamming window from -1 min to 1 min. The cross-correlation coefficient, $\rho_{xy}(\tau)$, was obtained by normalizing $\phi_{xy}(\tau)$ with root mean square values of $x(k)$ and $y(k)$ as follows:

$$\rho_{xy}(\tau) = \frac{\phi_{xy}(\tau)}{\sqrt{\phi_{xx}(0) \cdot \phi_{yy}(0)}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $\phi_{xx}(\tau)$ and $\phi_{yy}(\tau)$ are auto-correlation functions of $x(k)$ and $y(k)$, respectively. Furthermore, the maximum cross-correlation coefficient is defined as physiological index:

$$\rho_{\text{max}} = \max \rho_{xy}(\tau)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

In practice, we obtained $\rho_{\text{max}}$ in a range of $\tau$ from 0 s to 7 s.

**Results**

Two subjects out of 21 complained of VIMS so strongly that they could not complete the trials. Another 5 subjects' data contained artifact and could not be used. Therefore, out of 21 subjects, data from only 14 were available for analysis.

Figure 2 shows individual changes in these subjects' TS on the SSQ from the first day (Day 1) to the third day (Day 3). Based on increase or decrease in the change of TS from Day 1 to Day 3, subjects could be divided into two groups: a group of decreased TS (TS-down; $n = 8$) and a group of increased TS (TS-up; $n = 5$). A subject belonged to neither group because his TS did not change at all between two days. There was no significant difference in TS between two groups on Day 1, but on Day 3, the difference between them was significant ($t$-test, $p < 0.01$).

Figure 3 shows the change in $\rho_{\text{max}}$ with time of three typical subjects. Subject-1, shown in Fig. 3a, belonged to the TS-down group. He reported feeling strong VIMS sensations on the first day, but the intensity of his sickness decreased at the third day. His $\rho_{\text{max}}$ decreased at the same time (around 720 s) on both days but decreased at around 900 s only on the first day. On the other hand, $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of Subject-2 (Fig. 3b), who belonged to the TS-up group, decreased considerably in the latter part of the video (720–900 s) and decreased again after watching on both days. Subject-3 (Fig. 3c) did not report feeling much VIMS on any day and there were no apparent changes in his $\rho_{\text{max}}$ on both days.

![Figure 2](http://www.jneuroengrehab.com/content/4/1/35)

**Figure 2**

Change, from Day 1 to Day 3, in SSQ total score (TS) of 14 subjects.
To investigate the relationship between the exposure time of visual stimulation and the biological effects of it, we divided the duration of watching the video in quarters, Part-1 (300–525 s), Part-2 (525–750 s), Part-3 (750–975 s) and Part-4 (975–1200 s), and we averaged the $\rho_{\text{max}}$ over each interval with respect to each subject. Figure 4 shows changes in $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of individual subjects from Day 1 to Day 3. Each value represents $\rho_{\text{max}}$ at Part-3. As shown in this figure, the $\rho_{\text{max}}$ increased for 5 of 8 subjects in TS-down group and for 2 of 5 subjects in TS-up group.

The $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of Subject-2 whose TS were high both on Day 1 and Day 3 decreased at the same point (720–900 s; Fig. 5). Figure 5 shows the course of the mean $\rho_{\text{max}}$ changes of TS-down and TS-up groups on Day 1 and Day 3. On Day 1, the $\rho_{\text{max}}$ changes of two groups were similar to each other. However, on Day 3, the $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of TS-up group markedly decreased while watching the video and there was significant difference between the two groups both at Part-2 and Part-3 ($t$-test, $p < 0.05$). In addition, between Day 1 and Day 3, there was a delay in the time when the $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of TS-down group decreased, i.e. the mean value of $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of TS-down group decreased at Part-3 on Day 1 while decreased at Part-4 on Day 3.

**Discussion**

As shown in Fig. 2, the subjective score for VIMS (i.e. TS) decreased for 8 of the 14 subjects through repetition of watching the same video image. Especially for top 7 subjects with high TS on the first day, TS of 5 subjects decreased. This change is considered to be adaptation, namely habituation, to the video image and similar results were reported in previous studies [8,9].

The $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of Subject-2 whose TS were high both on Day 1 and Day 3 decreased at the same point (720–900 s; Fig.
In the result shown in Fig. 4, there were 3 subjects whose TS were low did not change much (Fig. 3c). These results suggest that the autonomic nervous activity of the subject who actually suffered from VIMS was disturbed by watching the swaying video image; this action affected his baroreflex system, which resulted in a decreased $\rho_{\text{max}}$. The decrease in $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of Subject-2 at this part of the video agrees with results of previous studies, that it takes about 5 to 10 minutes for the subject to feel the symptoms of VIMS [10,11].

Moreover, the $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of Subject-1 decreased considerably at around 900 s on Day 1 but not on Day 3. This result may correspond to the decrease in his TS (i.e. the decrease in the intensity of VIMS) on Day 3. It is suggested that this phenomenon represents an adaptation to VIMS derived from the repetitive exposure to the same swaying video image.

On the other hand, TS of the 5 subjects on Day 3 were higher than on Day 1 such as Subject-2 who belonged to TS-up group. The changes in $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of these subjects almost correspond to the changes in their TS, i.e. $\rho_{\text{max}}$ decreased considerably for 3 of the 5 subjects. Thus it is suggested that VIMS worsened by repetitive exposure to the swaying video image for some subjects. As shown in Fig. 5, the mean value of $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of TS-up group decreased from the earlier part of the video on Day 3 than on Day 1 and there was significant difference in the $\rho_{\text{max}}$ between TS-down and TS-up groups at the middle parts of the video, Part-2 and Part-3. This result indicates that the repetition of watching made some subjects more sensitive to the swaying video and their autonomic nervous system or related physiological mechanism changed easily.

In the result shown in Fig. 4, there were 3 subjects whose TS decreased but their $\rho_{\text{max}}$ increased over the three days. However, in these subjects, $\rho_{\text{max}}$ was higher than 0.9 (the maximum value of $\rho_{\text{max}}$ is 1.0) both on Day1 and Day3. Therefore, this result is considered to be caused not by non-adaptation to the swaying video but by no room for $\rho_{\text{max}}$ increasing.

Furthermore, on the first day of the experiment, the subjects might feel nervous or anxiety about the experiment itself, which they had never experienced [19]. For this reason, we must also consider possibility that the low $\rho_{\text{max}}$ was caused by these psychological effects. To test this hypothesis, we should carry out experiments in which the subjects watch just the landscape for the entire 25 min on three days, or we should adjust the subjects to the apparatus before experiments.

In terms of rehabilitation, VIMS induced in using a rehabilitation system not only inhibits effective training but also may harm patients' health. However, by using the evaluation indices such as TS and $\rho_{\text{max}}$, we can check whether a rehabilitation system is safe or not and explore the cause of VIMS. In addition, these indices may be useful in the evaluation of the efficacy of vestibular rehabilitation. Some studies have proposed rehabilitation for the treatment of vestibular disorders with the use of the VR technique [20,21]. Patients with vestibular disorders have symptoms of vertigo, vomiting and disequilibrium. If physiological indices such as $\rho_{\text{max}}$ reflect the intensity of these symptoms, it is possible to evaluate the recovery process of vestibular disorders during a rehabilitation program.

**Conclusion**

In this study, a subjective index TS, the self-rating score of motion sickness, and a physiological index $\rho_{\text{max}}$, reflecting autonomic nervous activity, were employed to assess adaptation to VIMS.

In the experiment, the same VIMS-inducing video image was shown to all subjects once each on three consecutive days. The analyses of TS and $\rho_{\text{max}}$ for 14 subjects revealed: 1) TS decreased from the first day to the third day for more than half of all the subjects (TS-down group). 2) There were some subjects whose intensity of VIMS increased as the number of the exposures increased (TS-up group). 3) $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of the subjects feeling VIMS decreased at the same point of time on both days. 4) After repetitions of watching the same video image, the $\rho_{\text{max}}$ of TS-down group increased at the middle part of the video while that of TS-up group decreased.

In the future, we should check whether the adaptation occurs with more repetitions of the visual stimuli because there is a possibility that the number of repetitions in this experiment was too small for adaptation, and should investigate adaptation in the case of watching other video images and VR experience. Moreover, individual differences need to be investigated in more depth. It was reported that not only differences in gender and age [22,23] but also physical and sporting activities [24] affect the susceptibility to motion sickness. These factors should be associated with the differences in $\rho_{\text{max}}$ shown in the present study between the TS-down and TS-up groups.

**Competing interests**

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

**Authors’ contributions**

All authors read and approved the final manuscript. NS carried out the experiment and drafted the manuscript. MY participated in the design of the study and helped to draft the manuscript. MA carried out the experiment and...
performed the analysis of data. AT participated in the design of the study. TW, SC, TY and SN helped to draft the manuscript.

Acknowledgements
The authors would like to thank all participants. Written consent for publication was obtained from the participant.

References
1. Rothbaum BO, Hodges LF, Kooper R, Opdyke D, Williford JS, North M: Effectiveness of computer-generated (virtual reality) graded exposure in the treatment of acrophobia. Am J Psychiatry 1995, 152(4):626-628.
2. Girone M, Burdea G, Bouzit M, Popescu V, Deutsch JE: Orthopedic rehabilitation using the "Rutgers ankle" interface. Stud Health Technol Inform 2000, 70:89-95.
3. Merians AS, Jack D, Boian R, Tremaigne M, Burdea GC, Adamovich SV, McCormick A, Babulic K, Mayhew A: Experimental studies of virtual reality-delivered compared to conventional exercise programs for rehabilitation. Cyberpsychol Behav 2003, 6(3):245-249.
4. Baheux K, Yoshizawa M, Tanaka A, Seki K, Handa Y: Diagnosis and rehabilitation of hemispatial neglect patients with virtual reality technology. Technol Health Care 2005, 13(4):245-260.
5. Quirk JA, Fish DR, Smith SJM, Shorvon SD, Allen PJ: Some evidence of adaptation to immersion in virtual reality. Displays 1995, 16(3):135-139.
6. Hill KJ, Howarth PA: Habituation to the side effects of immersion in a virtual environment. Displays 2000, 21(1):25-31.
7. Lo WT, So RH: Cybersickness in the presence of scene rotational movements along different axes. Appl Ergon 2001, 32(1):1-14.
8. Ohm M, Uijike H: Self-orientation and motion sickness which is induced by visual information. BME 2004, 18(1):32-39. (in Japanese)
9. Cowings PS, Naifeh KH, Toscane WB: The stability of individual patterns of autonomic responses to motion sickness stimulation. Aviat Space Environ Med 1999, 70(5):399-405.
10. Holmes SR, Griffin MJ: Correlation between heart rate and the severity of motion sickness caused by optokinetic stimulation. J Psychophysiol 2001, 15(3):35-42.
11. Sugita N, Yoshizawa M, Tanaka A, Abe K, Yambe T, Nitta S: Evaluation of effect of visual stimulation on humans based on maximum cross-correlation coefficient between blood pressure and heart rate. J Human Interface Japan 2002, 4(4):39-46. (in Japanese)
12. Kennedy RS, Lane NE: Simulator sickness questionnaire: An enhanced method for quantifying simulator sickness. Int J Aviat Psychol 1993, 3(3):203-220.
13. Sugita N, Yoshizawa M, Tanaka A, Abe K, Chiba S, Yambe T, Nitta S: Evaluation of the effect of visual stimulation on humans by simultaneous experiment with multiple subjects. Proc 27th Int Conf IEEE Eng Med Biol: 1–4 September 2005; Shanghai 2005. CD-ROM.
14. Gribbin B, Steptoe A, Sleigh P: Pulse wave velocity as a measure of blood pressure change. Psychophysiology 1976, 13(1):86-90.
15. Yoshizawa M, Sugita N, Tanaka A, Masuda T, Abe K, Yambe T, Nitta S: Quantification of emotional reaction based on cross-correlation between pulse wave transmission time and heart rate in the Mayer wave-band. J Japan Circ Cont in Med 2004, 25(1):41-49. (in Japanese)
16. Sugita N, Yoshizawa M, Tanaka A, Abe K, Yambe T, Nitta S, Chiba S: Biphasic Response of Autonomic Nervous System to Visually-Induced Motion Sickness. Trans Virtual Reality Japan 2004, 9(4):369-375. (in Japanese)
17. Kramer PD, Roberts DC, Shellhamer M, Zee DS: A versatile stereoscopic visual display system for vestibular and oculomotor research. J Vestib Res 1998, 8(5):363-379.
18. Sparto JP, Whitney LS, Hodges FL, Furman MJ, Redfern SM: Simulator sickness when performing gaze shifts within a wide field of view optic flow environment: preliminary evidence for using virtual reality in vestibular rehabilitation. J Neuroeng Rehab 2001, 7:13-20.
19. Caielles G, Bosser G, Gauchard GC, Chau N, Benamghar L, Perrin PP: Effect of sporting activity practice on susceptibility to motion sickness. Brain Res Bull 2006, 69(3):288-293.