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Response of metastatic mouse invasive lobular carcinoma to mTOR inhibition is partly mediated by the adaptive immune system
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ABSTRACT
Effective treatment of invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) of the breast is hampered by late detection, invasive growth, distant metastasis, and poor response to chemotherapy. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling, one of the most druggable oncogenic signaling networks, is frequently activated in ILC. We investigated treatment response and resistance to AZD8055, an inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), in the K14-creCdhl1Flox/Flox;Trp53Flox/Flox (KEP) mouse model of metastatic ILC. Inhibition of mTOR signaling blocked the growth of primary KEP tumors as well as the progression of metastatic disease. However, primary tumors and distant metastases eventually acquired resistance after long-term AZD8055 treatment, despite continued effective suppression of mTOR signaling in cancer cells. Interestingly, therapeutic responses were associated with increased expression of genes related to antigen presentation. Consistent with this observation, increased numbers of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes and reduced expression of genes related to the adaptive immune system were observed in treatment-responsive KEP tumors. Acquisition of treatment resistance was associated with loss of MHCI+ cells and reduced expression of genes related to the adaptive immune system. The therapeutic efficacy of mTOR inhibition was reduced in Rag1−/- mice lacking mature T and B lymphocytes, compared to immunocompetent mice. Further, therapy responsiveness could be partially rescued by transplanting AZD8055-resistant KEP tumors into treatment-naive immunocompetent hosts. Collectively, these data indicate that the PI3K signaling pathway is an attractive therapeutic target in invasive lobular carcinoma, and that part of the therapeutic effect of mTOR inhibition is mediated by the adaptive immune system.

Introduction
Invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) is the second most common histological type of breast cancer, representing approximately 15% of all breast cancer cases. ILCs have a specific histological growth pattern of dis cohesive and invasive tumor cells which typically lack the intercellular adhesion molecule E-cadherin.1 Many ILCs express ERα and are treated with endocrine therapy.2,4

Unfortunately, ILC is often relatively difficult to detect due to its indistinct margins and low radiographic opacity.5,6 Compared with the more common invasive ductal carcinoma (IDC) type, ILC is more likely to have progressed to stage III/IV at the time of diagnosis, and surgical re-excision is required more frequently.2,5,7 Chemo-responsiveness is generally low, and the general benefit of chemotherapy in ILC has been questioned.2–10 This underlines the need to explore new therapeutic strategies for ILC. One of the most frequently activated and druggable oncogenic pathways in breast cancer is the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signaling network.11 PI3K signaling is induced by various stimuli including growth factor binding to receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs), and signals through a network of many kinases, including AKT and mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR). mTOR acts in two complexes, mTOR complex 1 and 2 (mTORC1 and mTORC2). Effector proteins of the PI3K pathway stimulate cell growth, survival, and migration.12 Activating mutations in the PI3K signaling pathway are more common in ILC than in other breast cancer types, offering a potentially promising therapeutic target.11,13–17

In this study, we used the K14-creCdhl1Flox/Flox;Trp53Flox/Flox (KEP) mouse model with tissue-specific inactivation of E-cadherin (Cdhl1) and p53 (Trp53) driving the formation of metastatic mouse ILC, or mILC.18 We have previously developed a KEP-based orthotopic allograft model for studying primary tumors as well as metastatic disease in mice, creating the unique and important opportunity to perform in vivo modeling of neoadjuvant (presurgical) and adjuvant (postsurgical) therapy in immunocompetent mice.19
One of the hallmarks of cancer is the escape from destruction by the immune system. PI3K signaling plays an important role in the survival, differentiation, proliferation, and activation of many types of immune cells. Inhibiting PI3K signaling might, therefore, influence the crosstalk between cancer cells and the host immune system. In the present work, we investigated the therapeutic benefit of targeting mTOR in ILC. We treated mice bearing primary and metastatic ILC using the mTOR inhibitor AZD8055 in a preclinical neoadjuvant and adjuvant setting. By combining protein and transcriptome analyses with in vivo experiments we identified the adaptive immune system as an important determinant of the therapeutic efficacy of mTOR inhibition in ILC.

Results

Activation of PI3K signaling is common in human and mouse ILCs

To assess the prevalence of aberrant PI3K signaling in invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC), we used publicly available data on the cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://www.cbioportal.org/). Mutations in the following five genes were compared between ILC and breast cancers of other types: PIK3CA, PTEN, AKT1, AKT2, PIK3R1, and MTOR. The majority of ILCs have a mutation in a gene involved in PI3K signaling (Supplementary Table S1). This is in line with previous reports, supporting the notion that activation of PI3K signaling occurs frequently in ILC. We also assessed the presence of phosphorylated kinases belonging to the PI3K signaling pathway in an independent set of 66 human ILCs and in 30 mouse ILCs (mILCs) from K14-Cre;Cdh1/Flox/Flox,Trp53/Flox/Flox (KEP) mice by immunohistochemistry (Figure 1(a)). Phosphorylated eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E binding protein 1 (4EBP1), a marker of PI3K signaling known to correlate with pathologic grade and prognosis in breast cancer, was highly expressed in human ILCs, with an average percentage of 77% positive tumor cells. The majority of human ILCs were also found to be positive for phosphorylated S6K1-T389, S6-T235/236, and 4EBP1-T37/46. The high expression of these signaling markers in cultured cancer cells was confirmed by immunoblot (Figure 1(d)). In line with their reduced sensitivity to AZD8055, non-adherent KEP cancer cells expressed lower levels of signaling markers than adherently growing cells. Treatment of both adherently and non-adherently growing KEP cells with 500 nM AZD8055 for 24 h caused potent reduction of phosphoprotein levels of AKT-S473, p70S6K-T389, S6-T235/236, and 4EBP1-T37/46.

Neoadjuvant mTOR inhibition blocks tumor growth

The high in vitro sensitivity of KEP cancer cells to mTOR inhibition by AZD8055 prompted us to test the anticancer efficacy of this inhibitor in vivo. We, therefore, used the previously established KEP-based mouse model of spontaneous ILC metastasis to perform a 28-day preclinical intervention study, modeling a neoadjuvant treatment setting. Wildtype FVB/n mice bearing an orthotopically transplanted primary KEP tumor were treated with AZD8055 for 28 days when tumors reached a diameter of 5 mm (Figure 2(a)). During treatment, mTOR inhibition effectively suppressed primary tumor growth, leading to tumor stasis. After the 28-day treatment period, tumor growth resumed immediately, with growth rates comparable to the control group (Figure 2(b)). With progression defined as a doubling in tumor size, we found that the 28-day treatment with AZD8055 extended median progression-free survival from 7 to 31 days (p < .001, Figure 2(c)). The primary KEP tumors in vehicle-treated control mice and AZD8055-treated mice were surgically removed when they reached a diameter of 15 mm, and animals were subsequently monitored for the development of metastatic disease. We defined metastasis-specific survival endpoints as either dyspnea due to lung metastases or a palpable metastasis that reached a maximum size of 15 mm. Median metastasis-specific survival of the AZD8055-treated mice was 75 days, versus 47 USD days for the control animals (p = .0569, Figure 2(d)). Altogether, these results show that mTOR inhibition can block the growth of primary KEP tumors and spontaneous metastases in vivo.

Adjuvant mtor inhibition attenuates metastatic disease progression

To further study the therapeutic effect of mTOR inhibition on metastases, we modeled the adjuvant treatment setting in a new cohort of mice. To this end, we transplanted mice orthotopically with pieces from the same KEP tumor and monitored tumor outgrowth to a size of 15 mm, at which point we surgically removed the primary tumor and started 28 days of adjuvant treatment with AZD8055 (Figure 2(e), red arrow). In a second experiment, we tested the effects of chronic adjuvant mTOR inhibition, which was continued until the mice reached one of the pre-defined clinical endpoints (Figure 2(e), green arrow). Endpoints related to metastatic disease were dyspnea due to lung metastases or a palpable metastasis of 15 mm in diameter. Weekly X-ray computed tomography (CT) scans of the thorax in a subgroup
of the mice demonstrated a slowdown in disease progression in the AZD8055-treated group compared to controls (Supplementary Fig. S2A). Dyspnea due to lung metastases was the humane end point for the majority of the mice. The 28-day adjuvant window treatment led to a median metastasis-specific survival of 62.5 days versus 53 USD days in controls ($p = .0329$, Figure 2(f)). A more profound survival benefit of 51 days was achieved with chronic adjuvant treatment (median survival of 102 days for AZD8055-treated mice versus 51 USD days for control mice, $p < .0001$, Figure 2(g)). One mouse in the chronic AZD8055 treatment group survived for 170 days, at which point we ended the experiment. All mice had lung metastases at postmortem examination, as confirmed by histopathology (Supplementary Fig. S2B). We harvested metastatic tumor tissue from the lungs of mice from the chronic treatment group and assessed activity of mTOR signaling by measuring the levels of phosphorylated AKT (S473), p70S6K (T389), S6 (S235/235), and 4E-BP1 (T37/46) (Figure 2(h)). Strikingly, signaling was still effectively inhibited in all AZD8055-treated lung metastases at the endpoint of chronic treatment. Together, these results show that adjuvant mTOR inhibition in the metastatic KEP model effectively inhibits metastatic disease. However, resistance eventually leads to disease progression despite continued suppression of mTOR signaling in lung metastases from AZD8055-treated mice.

**Combined neoadjuvant and adjuvant mTOR inhibition maximizes survival**

To maximize the response of KEP tumors and metastases to mTOR inhibition, we designed a new intervention study in which we combined chronic neoadjuvant and adjuvant AZD8055 treatment with surgical removal of primary KEP tumors upon progression (Figure 3(a)). Continued neoadjuvant treatment with AZD8055 resulted in prolonged growth arrest of primary KEP tumors, but eventually all tumors progressed. AZD8055-resistant KEP tumors grew fast, with growth rates comparable to untreated control tumors (Figure 3(b)). The median progression-free survival benefit was 47.5 days in the AZD8055-treated group versus control mice (54 vs 6.5 days, respectively, $p < .0001$, Figure 3(c)). After surgical removal of treatment-resistant KEP tumors (15 mm diameter), we continued administration of AZD8055 until terminal metastatic disease developed (dyspnea due to lung metastases or a palpable metastasis with a diameter of 15 mm). This led to a median metastasis-specific survival benefit of 63 days (survival time from treatment initiation, 116 days versus 53 USD days, $p < .0001$, Figure 3(d)). Of all treatment regimens with AZD8055 described in this study, prolonged treatment starting in the neoadjuvant phase resulted in the longest survival (Table 1, Supplementary Fig. S3, Supplementary Table S2). To investigate the effect of mTOR inhibition on PI3K pathway signaling in the KEP tumors, we performed immunobots on untreated control tumors, AZD8055-resistant tumors removed at 15 mm, and AZD8055-sensitive tumors from a separate mouse cohort that received neoadjuvant treatment for only 5 days. Interestingly, mTOR signaling was effectively inhibited in both the AZD8055-sensitive and AZD8055-resistant tumors (Figure 3(e)), indicating that resistance developed despite effective suppression of mTOR signaling under prolonged AZD8055 treatment.

**Therapeutic response to AZD8055 correlates with activation of immunological processes**

Since development of resistance to AZD8055 in KEP tumors was not associated with reactivation of mTOR signaling, we set out to explore which other biological processes might play a role in the dynamics of therapy response and resistance after long-term mTOR inhibition. We harvested vehicle-treated control tumors, AZD8055-sensitive tumors after 5 days of treatment and AZD8055-resistant tumors that progressed during prolonged treatment (Figure 4(a), Supplementary Fig. S2C) in order to compare treatment-sensitive tumors to treatment-resistant tumors. Immunohistochemical quantification of Ki-67 and cleaved caspase 3 (CC3) positive cells in tumor sections showed that after 5 days of AZD8055 treatment, mTOR inhibition suppressed proliferation of cancer cells, while the number of apoptotic cells was unchanged compared to control tumors (Figure 4(b,c)). Next, we analyzed AZD8055-sensitive, -resistant and untreated control tumor samples using reverse phase protein array (RPPA) to identify (phospho)protein expression patterns that correlate with therapy resistance. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering using the expression of 136 epitopes separated AZD8055-treated tumors from untreated control tumors but did not separate AZD8055-resistant tumors from AZD8055-sensitive tumors (Supplementary Fig. S4). Low expression of known markers of mTOR activity in all AZD8055-treated tumor samples confirmed effective inhibition of mTOR signaling, even in the AZD8055-resistant tumor samples (Figure 4(d)). Because receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) activation has been described as a mechanism of resistance to AZD8055, we complemented the RPPA analysis with a phospho-RTK array, which did not reveal activation of any RTKs in AZD8055-resistant tumor samples (data not shown).

We next performed transcriptome analysis using RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data from untreated control tumors and AZD8055-sensitive and -resistant tumors. Since gene expression profiles of untreated control tumors from both time points (day 5 and endpoint) were indistinguishable by principle component analysis (data not shown), we pooled
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**Figure 1.** mTOR signaling in human invasive lobular carcinomas (ILC) and mouse ILCs. (a) Upper panels: human ILC; immunohistochemistry for phospho-4EBP1 (serine 65), phospho-AKT (threonine 308) and phospho-S6K1 (threonine 389); lower panels: mouse ILC (mILC) from K14-cre;Cdh1<sup>Flox/Flox</sup>;Trp53<sup>Flox/Flox</sup> (KEP) mice and normal mouse mammary gland; immunohistochemistry for phospho-4EBP1 (threonine 37/46), phospho-AKT (serine 473) and phospho-S6 (serine 235/235). Scale bars: 100 μm. (b) Scatter plot representing the percentage of tumor cells staining positive for mTOR signaling markers in mouse ILC (KEP) tumors and in normal mouse mammary glands. The majority of mouse ILC tumors expressed phosphorylated 4EBP1 (>10% of tumor cells are positive in 27/30 cases, average 75% of tumor cells), phosphorylated AKT (>10% in 19/30 cases, average 32%) and phosphorylated S6 (>10% in 21/30 cases, average 28%). (c) IC50 values of KEP mouse mammary tumor cells for AZD8055. Cells were cultured under adherent conditions (black bars) or non-adherent conditions (red bars). (d) Immunoblot analysis of mTOR signaling markers in adherently and non-adherently growing KEP cell lines (4 clones from 3 independent tumors) in the absence or presence of AZD8055 (500nM, 24 h).
Figure 2. Inhibition of mTOR in vivo blocks KEP tumor growth and delays metastatic disease. (a–d) 28-day neoadjuvant treatment. (a) Schematic overview of experimental setup. Tissue fragments (1 mm$^3$) of a mILC from a KEP donor mouse were orthotopically transplanted in recipient mice. When tumors reached a diameter of 5 mm, a 28-day treatment with daily oral AZD8055 (20 mg/kg, red arrow) or vehicle control solution (black arrow) was initiated. Tumors were surgically removed when they reached a diameter of 15 mm and mice were monitored until terminal metastatic disease developed. (b) Individual tumor growth curves in AZD8055-treated mice (red curves, n = 8) and control mice (black curves, n = 7). (c) Kaplan-Meier plot depicting progression-free survival (PFS) of AZD8055-treated mice (red curve) and control mice (black curve), with progression defined as a doubling in tumor size in mm$^2$ (caliper measurement in two perpendicular directions) from the start of treatment (time point zero). (d) Kaplan-Meier plot depicting metastasis-specific survival in AZD8055-treated mice (red curve) and control mice (black curve). Time point zero indicates start of treatment (tumor size
RNA-seq data from all control tumors into a single group to increase statistical power. To find biological traits associated with therapy response and resistance, we performed gene ontology (GO) enrichment analysis using untreated control tumors, AZD8055-sensitive tumors, and AZD8055-resistant tumors (Table 2, Supplementary Fig. 5). Compared to untreated control tumors and AZD8055-resistant tumors, AZD8055-sensitive tumors showed reduced transcript levels of genes related to cell proliferation. Intriguingly, the GO enrichment analysis also showed upregulation of immunological processes in AZD8055-sensitive tumors compared to control tumors, and downregulation of other immunological processes in AZD8055-resistant tumors compared to AZD8055-sensitive tumors, thus pointing to the immune system as a possible player in the response of mouse ILC to mTOR inhibition. The top enriched gene ontologies that were upregulated in AZD8055-sensitive tumors versus control tumors are related to antigen presentation via major histocompatibility complex class II (in bold, Table 2). We plotted the expression of the genes in these ontologies as a combined metagene RNA expression score for antigen presentation through MHCII for AZD8055-sensitive, -resistant and control tumors. This plot visualizes that transcription of this gene set related to antigen presentation is upregulated in AZD8055-sensitive tumors after 5 days of treatment but this is lost in AZD8055-resistant tumors (Figure 5(a), Supplementary Fig. S6).

To further investigate changes in the immune system induced by mTOR inhibition, we performed flow cytometry analysis on tumor tissue and blood from untreated control animals and neoadjuvant-treated animals with resistant primary tumors (15 mm diameter), for a panel of immune cell markers (CD45, B220, CD3, CD4, CD8, γδ TCR, FOXP3, CD11b, Ly6G, Ly6C, c-KIT, and F4/80). In blood, fewer Ly6G+ cells (neutrophils) were detected in treated animals. In tumor tissue however, no significant differences were detected (Supplementary Fig. S7A). In addition, we applied the following panel of immunohistochemistry markers on paraffin-embedded tissues from sensitive, resistant, and control tumors: MHCII, CD3, CD4, CD8, B220, FOXP3, F4/80, granzyme B, and phosphorylated STAT1. While most of these markers did not identify consistent differences between sensitive tumors, resistant tumors, and controls, AZD8055-sensitive tumors contained significantly more MHCII positive cells compared to control tumors and AZD8055-resistant tumors (p < .0001, Figure 5(b,c), Supplementary Fig. S7B). Importantly, the immunohistochemical signal for MHCII was not seen in the cancer cells, but in cells in the tumor microenvironment (Figure 5(c)). Indeed, KEP cells have very low expression of MHCII, and do not show any upregulation of MHCII after treatment with AZD8055 in vitro (Supplementary Fig. S8A, S8B).

These results show that the response of primary KEP tumors to treatment with AZD8055 is associated with MHCII upregulation in the primary tumor immune environment, as well as upregulated transcription of genes related to antigen presentation. Because the dendritic cell is an important antigen-presenting cell, we quantified RNA expression of the dendritic cell marker CD11c (Itgax), and, in addition, we quantified the expression of a 9-gene set of dendritic cell markers that we composed, based on literature. Indeed, in sensitive tumors, there is a significant increase in the expression of dendritic cell markers compared to control and resistant tumors (Supplementary Fig. S8C).

Subsequently, we performed immunohistochemical analysis of lung metastases from untreated and AZD8055-treated mice with metastatic disease (prolonged neoadjuvant treatment, primary tumor removal at 15 mm diameter, followed by prolonged adjuvant treatment) for CD4, CD8, NKP46, Granzyme B, FOXP3, F4/80, MHCII, and Ly6G. This revealed that in treated mice, compared with untreated mice, fewer CD8+ T cells were present in lung metastases. No statistically significant differences were detected for other the other markers (Supplementary Fig. S7C). With the same panel, we analyzed the lung tissue itself, including mice from both early and late time points in the experiment. This revealed that in untreated control mice, CD4 + T cells increased between 5 days and the experimental endpoint (metastatic disease). FOXP3+ cell counts in lung tissue tended to be higher in mice with metastatic disease, but the only significant difference was between endpoint control mice and 28-day treated mice. Lung tissue from untreated mice with metastatic disease (endpoint) contained more Ly6G+ cells and fewer F4/80+ cells, compared to all other groups (Supplementary Fig. S7D).

**Response of mILC to AZD8055 is partly mediated by the adaptive immune system**

The association between the treatment response and the increased numbers of MHCII-positive cells, as well as transcriptomic evidence of activated antigen presentation processes, suggest that the effect of mTOR inhibition on KEP tumor growth is in part influenced by the immune system, and not solely driven by cancer cell-intrinsic processes. To test the contribution of the adaptive immune system to treatment efficacy of AZD8055, we performed parallel intervention studies in cohorts of immunocompetent wildtype mice and T and B cell deficient Rag1−/− mice engrafted with fragments of a treatment-naïve KEP tumor. As reported previously, the absence of the adaptive immune system did not affect KEP tumor outgrowth in untreated control animals (Figure 5(d)). Both treatment cohorts of mice were

5 mm) in all graphs. E-H, 28-day and prolonged adjuvant treatment. E, schematic overview of experimental setup. Mice were transplanted orthotopically with a 1 mm3 fragment of a mILC from a KEP donor mouse. Tumors were surgically removed when they reached a diameter of 15 mm. After surgery, mice received treatment with daily oral vehicle control solution (black arrow) or 20 mg/kg AZD8055 (20 mg/kg) for 28 days (red arrow) or until they met one of the predefined endpoints: clinically overt metastatic disease or large locally recurrent tumors (green arrow). F, Kaplan-Meier plot depicting metastasis-specific survival of AZD8055-treated mice (red curve, n = 11) and control mice (black curve, n = 11) after 28-day adjuvant treatment (p = .0329). Time point zero indicates start of treatment (tumor size 5 mm). G, Kaplan-Meier plot depicting metastasis-specific survival of AZD8055-treated mice (red curve, n = 11) and control mice (black curve, n = 15) subjected to prolonged adjuvant treatment (p < .0001). Time point zero indicates start of treatment (tumor size 5 mm). End points due to locally recurrent tumors were censored, as well as the sacrifice of one mouse that survived for more than 150 days. H, immunoblot for mTOR signaling markers in lung metastases from AZD8055-treated and control mice from the prolonged adjuvant treatment study. Lung metastases were dissected from 5 AZD8055-treated mice and 5 control mice at the endpoint of the experiment (terminal metastatic disease with dyspnea).
dosed daily with 50 mg/kg AZD8055 when tumors reached a diameter of 5 mm. mTOR inhibition slowed down tumor growth in both cohorts, but the median tumor-related survival (time until tumors reached a diameter of 15 mm) was 17.5 days shorter for the AZD8055-treated \( \text{Rag1}^{-/-} \) cohort compared to the AZD8055-treated wildtype mice \((p = .049, \text{Figure 5(d)})\). Thus, therapeutic efficacy of AZD8055 was significantly reduced in the absence of a functional adaptive immune system.

To test whether acquired resistance of KEP tumors to AZD8055 is dependent on the host environment, we serially transplanted fragments of three resistant KEP tumors into treatment-naïve syngeneic wildtype mice, and treated daily with 50 mg/kg AZD8055 when tumors reached a diameter of 5 mm. The median survival benefit of AZD8055 treatment in the three

| Group                                      | Median survival (days) |
|-------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Surgery only                              | 52                     |
| 28d neoadjuvant + surgery                 | 75                     |
| Surgery + 28d adjuvant                    | 62.5                   |
| Prolonged neoadjuvant + surgery + prolonged adjuvant | 116              |
| Surgery + prolonged adjuvant              | 102                    |

(time until tumors reached a diameter of 15 mm) was 17.5 days shorter for the AZD8055-treated \( \text{Rag1}^{-/-} \) cohort compared to the AZD8055-treated wildtype mice \((p = .049, \text{Figure 5(d)})\). Thus, therapeutic efficacy of AZD8055 was significantly reduced in the absence of a functional adaptive immune system.

To test whether acquired resistance of KEP tumors to AZD8055 is dependent on the host environment, we serially transplanted fragments of three resistant KEP tumors into treatment-naïve syngeneic wildtype mice, and treated daily with 50 mg/kg AZD8055 when tumors reached a diameter of 5 mm. The median survival benefit of AZD8055 treatment in the three
Figure 4. Characterization of AZD8055-sensitive and -resistant mILCs by immunohistochemistry and RPPA analysis. (a) Schematic overview of experimental setup to generate the different tumor groups. Mice were transplanted orthotopically with a 1 mm³ fragment of a mILC from a KEP donor mouse. When tumors reached a diameter of 5 mm, daily treatment with AZD8055 (green arrows) or vehicle control solution (black arrows) was started. AZD8055-sensitive tumors (n = 10) were harvested after 5 days of AZD8055 treatment. AZD8055-resistant tumors (n = 20) were harvested when they progressed on AZD8055 treatment to a diameter of 15 mm. Vehicle-treated control tumors were harvested after 5 days (n = 4) or when they reached a diameter of 15 mm (n = 6). (b) Immunohistochemical quantification of percentages of Ki-67 positive tumor cells in peripheral tumor parts. * p < .05; *** p < .001. (c) Immunohistochemical quantification of number of cleaved caspase 3 (CC3) positive tumor cells per 10 high magnification fields of view. (d) Unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis of Reverse Phase Protein Array (RPPA) data from 29 KEP tumors (9 control tumors, 5 AZD8055-sensitive tumors, and 15 AZD8055-resistant tumors). The heatmap shows expression levels of selected epitopes representing known PI3K signaling markers. The complete heatmap is shown in Supplementary Fig. S4.
cohort was 15 days, 20 days, and 26 days (all p < 0.01, Figure 5(e), Supplementary Fig. S9). The observation that AZD8055 treatment has a significant effect on transplanted AZD8055-resistant tumors in treatment-naïve host mice indicates that resistance to mTOR inhibition is either a partially reversible cancer cell-intrinsic process (such as DNA methylation) and/or in part mediated by the host environment.

Taken together, our findings suggest a role of the adaptive immune system in the response of mouse ILC to mTOR inhibition. Activation of the adaptive immune system is induced by AZD8055 in therapy-responsive tumors and eventually lost upon acquisition of resistance.

**Discussion**

In this work, we studied the effects of mTORC1/2 inhibition in the KEP mouse model of metastatic invasive lobular carcinoma (ILC) of the breast. Metastasis is responsible for approximately 90% of cancer-related deaths. Unfortunately, there is a relative paucity of preclinical models that reflect cancer metastasis. The transplantable KEP model offers a unique opportunity to study the primary tumor as well as the metastatic cascade of invasive lobular breast cancer in an immunocompetent host. We combined surgical intervention with neoadjuvant and adjuvant treatment with the dual mTORC1/2 inhibitor AZD8055, monitored progression of primary tumors and metastatic disease, and investigated traits associated with therapeutic response and resistance to AZD8055. We found that AZD8055 effectively suppressed mTOR signaling in KEP tumors, and that activation of the adaptive immune system contributed to the therapeutic response to mTOR inhibition. In contrast, in the case of chemotherapy, response of mammary tumors in mouse models does not depend on the adaptive immune system. While suppression of mTOR signaling continued to be effective during AZD8055 treatment, therapy-associated activation of the adaptive immune system seemed to be transient, and its decline coincided with the development of therapy resistance in mouse ILC. In the relatively poorly immunogenic KEP model that was used in the current study, there is little immunogenic cell death, and we did not observe severe necrosis in the transplanted tumors, suggesting that the transient activation of the immune system should not simply be explained by immunogenic cell death.

Pharmacological inhibition of mTOR is applied clinically to suppress the immune system in patients who receive an organ transplant. Known effects of mTOR inhibition in immune cells include reduced functions of T cells and dendritic cells, including antigen presentation, and stimulation of regulatory T cells, which in turn inhibit effecter T cells. In the current study, however, mTOR inhibition with AZD8055 led to an increase in MHCII expression and activation of transcriptional programs related to antigen presentation through MHCII. In line with our findings, others have reported increased expression of MHCII on macrophages and dendritic cells after a combination treatment with AZD8055 and an agonist CD40 antibody. In addition, mTORC2-deficient Rictor−/− dendritic cells have been shown to display increased pro-inflammatory activity and can inhibit tumor growth by promoting CD8+ effector T cells.

Eventually, we observed that most tumors became resistant within a narrow time window (visualized by the steep decline in progression-free survival in Figure 3(c)), suggesting that resistance might not be explained by stochastic events, but rather by a single time-dependent biological process. While our study does not provide detailed insight into the mechanisms underlying the development of resistance, it could be
envisioned that this process involves some type of immune cell exhaustion. Previous studies indicate that mTOR inhibition, on the one hand, enhances immune-stimulatory function in existing differentiated DCs, but on the other hand impairs dendritic cell development, maturation, and survival.

The interplay between the immune system and neoplastic cells is an important topic in the biology and treatment of cancer. Activated PI3K signaling in cancer may help tumor cells to escape from immunosurveillance. The reduced efficacy of AZD8055 treatment in T and B cell deficient mice indicates the contribution of the adaptive immune system to the therapeutic efficacy of mTOR inhibition in mILC (Figure 5(d)). Based on these findings, it would be interesting to study whether combining mTOR inhibition with cancer immunotherapy will convert the relatively short-term therapeutic benefit into a long-lasting tumor control. Combining targeted therapy with immunotherapeutics is currently a topic of investigation for various types of cancer. Immunotherapy could possibly improve the

![Figure 5](https://example.com/figure5.jpg)
success of mTOR inhibition in cancer treatment. Indeed, others have combined AZD8055 with an agonist CD40 antibody in a model of metastatic renal cell carcinoma, resulting in an improved antitumor immune response which included increased numbers of dendritic cells. In a syngeneic model of oral cavity cancer, combining PD-L1 blockade with mTOR inhibition also led to an enhanced immune response. Also in diffuse-type gastric cancer, which, interestingly, is another disease where E-cadherin is involved, susceptibility to mTOR inhibition and checkpoint inhibition is a topic of investigation.

In summary, mTOR inhibition in the metastatic KEP mouse ILC model leads to transient tumor growth arrest and activation of immunological processes related to the adaptive immune system. Loss of this association is associated with acquired resistance to therapy, and the therapeutic efficacy of mTOR inhibition is partially determined by the host’s adaptive immune system. Future research may be directed at a better understanding of the temporal dynamics and mechanisms by which mTOR inhibition impacts the immune system, and how to prolong its antitumor effect, possibly in combination with immunotherapy.

Materials and methods

Analysis of publicly available datasets

Mutation and clinical information files were downloaded from the eCBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (http://www.cbioportal.org/) for eleven breast cancer studies: Breast Cancer – METABRIC,
Breast Invasive Carcinoma – British Columbia, Breast Invasive Carcinoma – Broad, Breast Invasive Carcinoma – Sanger, Breast cancer patient xenografts – British Columbia, Mutational profiles of metastatic breast cancer – France, The Metastatic Breast Cancer Project (Provisional, April 2018), Breast Invasive Carcinoma – TCGA, Breast Invasive Carcinoma – TCGA, Breast Invasive Carcinoma (TCGA, PanCancer Atlas) and Breast Invasive Carcinoma (TCGA, Provisional). Duplicate samples and samples of cancer type ‘Breast Mixed Ductal and Lobular Carcinoma’ were excluded. This left 1,759 samples of which 200 were of type Breast Invasive Lobular Carcinoma (ILC). Mutations in the following five genes were compared between ILC and non-ILC samples: PIK3CA, PTEN, AKT1, AKT2, PIK3RI, and MTOR. A Fisher’s exact test was performed to evaluate statistical significance.

In vitro experiments

Cdh11f/f;Trp531f/f cancer cell lines (KEP cells), generated from de novo mammary tumors from K14-cre;Cdhl1floxfloxFlox; Trp531floxfloxFlox (KEP) mice, were exposed to a range of concentrations of AZD8055, and the 50% inhibitory concentration of AZD8055 was calculated. We used CellTiter-Glo to measure cell viability. For analysis of signaling inhibition by AZD8055, KEP cells were exposed to 500 nM of AZD8055 for 24 hours, after which they were lysed for immunoblotting. For low adherent culture conditions, we used Costar ultra-low attachment surface culture plates (Corning Incorporated, NY, USA).

Mouse studies

Mouse ILC (mILC) tumors were harvested from the established K14-cre;Cdhl1floxfloxFlox;Trp531floxfloxFlox (KEP) model, bred to an FVB/N genetic background. Small (1 mm³) fragments of KEP tumor tissue were surgically transplanted into the right fourth mammary gland of female FVB/NCrI mice (Charles River). Neoadjuvant treatment started once tumor diameters reached 5 mm. AZD8055 (AstraZeneca) was formulated with 0.5% hydroxypropylmethylcellulose (Fluka BioChemika) and 0.1% polysorbate 80 (TWEEN 80), and administered by oral gavage, 20 mg/kg, once daily. For untreated control mice, the vehicle solution without AZD8055 was used. Tumor sizes were calculated as the product of 2 perpendicular caliper-measured diameters. To model the clinical course of metastatic breast cancer, surgical removal of large primary tumors at a diameter of 15 mm was performed as described previously. Mice were subsequently monitored for metastatic disease and treated with AZD8055 in case of adjuvant therapy experiments. All tumor samples from in vivo studies were harvested 1 h after the final dose administration. In Kaplan-Meier analyses for metastasis-specific survival, clinical endpoints related to metastatic disease were censored due to lung metastasis, or a palpable metastasis of 15 mm in diameter. Censored events were recorded in cases where mice had to be sacrificed due to other reasons (e.g. local tumor recurrence after surgical removal). Timepoint 0 was defined as the day when the primary tumor transplant reached a diameter of 5 mm. The results in Kaplan-Meier analyses were tested for significance using a Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test in GraphPad Prism 7. Multiple hypothesis testing correction (Bonferroni method) was applied for analysis of the immunohistochemical quantification of immune cell populations, and for the comparison of median survival in all treatment groups (Supplementary Table S2). For experiments with mice lacking T and B cells, we used Rag1−/− mice with an FVB genetic background. All animal studies in this work have been approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of the Netherlands Cancer Institute and performed in accordance with national and institutional laws and guidelines for animal care and use.

Immunohistochemistry

For the generation of a human tissue microarray (TMA), 79 human ILCs were selected from the NKI pathology archive based on the diagnostic pathology report. Central revision was done to confirm the diagnosis of invasive lobular carcinoma. In 66 of these cases, there was sufficient tissue to be used in a TMA. Triplicate cores from these 66 invasive lobular carcinomas were incorporated into the TMA, and immunohistochemically stained with the following antibodies: Cell Signaling 9206 (phospho-S6K1 T389), 2965 (phospho-AKT T308), and 9456 (phospho-4EBP1 S65). For mouse tissues,
the following antibodies were used: Cell Signaling 4060 (phospho-AKT S473), 2855 (phospho-4EBP1 T37/46), 2211 (phospho-S6 S235/236), Abcam ab25333 (MHCIi), ab10558 (CD45), Thermo Fisher Scientific RM-9107 (CD3), eBioscience 14–9766 (CD4), 14–0808 (CD8a), 14–5773 (FOXP3), NKI internally produced anti-B220, AbD Serotec MCA497 (F4/80), and Novusbio NB100-684 (granzyme B). Further details are available in the Supplementary Methods.

**Immunoblot analysis**

For immunoblot analysis of mouse tumors, frozen tumor tissues were cut, while cooled on ice, into pieces of approximately 2 mm, and lysed on ice in RIPA buffer with phosphatase and protease inhibitors. NuPAGE 4–12% Bis-Tris Midi Protein Gels were used to run the protein lysates. The following primary antibodies were used: Cell Signaling 4060 (phospho-AKT S473, clone D9E), 9272 (AKT), 9234 (phospho-p70S6K T389, clone D20B2), 2708 (p70S6K, clone D9E), 4858 (phospho-S6 S235/236, clone D57.2.2E), 2217 (S6, clone SG10), 2855 (phospho-4EBP1 T37/46, clone 236B4), 9644 (4EBP1, clone 53H11), and Sigma A1978 (β-actin, clone AC-15).

**Reverse phase protein array (RPPA)**

Frozen tumor samples were submitted to the RPPA Core Facility of the MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, United States. In short, frozen tumors were lysed and protein was extracted. Lysates were serial-diluted and printed on nitrocellulose-coated slides. Slides were probed with 136 validated primary antibodies followed by detection with appropriate biotinylated secondary antibodies. The signal obtained was amplified using an avidin-biotinylated peroxidase. Signals were visualized by a secondary streptavidin-conjugated HRP and DAB colorimetric reaction. The slides were scanned, analyzed, and quantified, with estimation of relative protein levels. Further details are available online at https://www.mdanderson.org/research/research-resources/core-facilities/functional-proteomics-rppa-core/rppa-process.html. RPPA data were clustered using Ward’s hierarchical clustering method. Control: n = 9. Sensitive (treated 5 days): n = 5. Resistant (treated until endpoint): n = 15.

**RNA-seq analysis**

Frozen tissue samples were submitted for RNA-seq analysis to the Genomics Core Facility of the Netherlands Cancer Institute, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Control: n = 10 (4 at 5 days, 6 at endpoint). Sensitive (treated 5 days): n = 10. Resistant (treated until endpoint): n = 18. We normalized RNA-seq raw transcript counts with the DESeq2 R package using the trimmed mean of M-values. With the normalized expression values, we performed differential gene expression analysis using the limma R package. GO term enrichment was computed using Panther. The metagene scores for RNA expression levels of gene sets (relative numbers of transcript reads) were compared using the ROAST gene set test.
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