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We investigate steady granular surface flows in a rotating drum and demonstrate the existence of rigid clusters of grains embedded in the flowing layer. These clusters appear to be fractal and their size is power law distributed from the grain size scale up to the thickness of the flowing layer. The implications of the absence of a characteristic length scale on available theoretical models of dense granular flows are discussed. Finally, we suggest a possible explanation of the difference between velocity profiles observed in surface flows and in flows down a rough inclined plane.
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Granular materials share properties with both usual liquids and solids. They can form an inclined free surface without flowing, but when the angle of the free surface exceeds some threshold value, an avalanche occurs. Global behaviors can be described by models derived from fluid mechanics [1, 2] or nonlinear physics [3, 4]. However, some experimental results remain far from being understood. Detailed measurements of the mean density profile and the mean tangential velocity profile obtained in three-dimensional flow (3D) by NMR [5] and in two-dimensional flows (2D) by direct image analysis [6, 7] show strong evidence that the relation between stress and strain is nonlocal: (i) the velocity gradient is found to be constant in the flowing layer whereas momentum balance predicts a linear variation of the shear stress with depth [8]; (ii) the velocity gradient presents a different scaling with the depth for dense granular flows down a rough inclined plane [9] indicating the nonlocal influence of boundary conditions on internal rheology inside the flowing layer; (iii) the velocity gradient does not vanish at the free surface at variance with typical fluids. Very recent models have tried to account for some nonlocal effects [6, 8, 10]. We report here the first experimental evidence of rigid clusters of grains embedded in the flow and characterize their geometrical and statistical properties. Although clustering instabilities driven by the inelasticity of grain collision are well known in granular gases [11], they have never been observed in dense surface flows. We find that these clusters are fractal and their size is power law distributed from the microscopic scale—the diameter of a grain—up to the macroscopic scale—the flowing layer thickness. Therefore, no characteristic correlation length can be defined in the flowing layer. Almost 50% of flowing beads belong to these objects. We discuss recently proposed nonlocal models in the light of these experimental observations and propose an argument to explain the differences observed between granular surface flows and granular flow down a rough inclined plane.

Experimental setup.—The experimental setup is illustrated in Fig. 1a. It consists in a duralumin rotating drum of diameter \( D_0 = 45 \text{ cm} \) and variable gap, half filled with steel beads of diameter \( d = 3 \pm 0.05 \text{ mm} \). Two drum thicknesses are used:

(A) A gap of 7 mm so that a quasi-2D packing is obtained but with a local 3D microscopic disordered structure. The fast camera allows us then to track the beads actually seen through the transparent sidewall of the tumbler: Around 40% of the beads are hidden and cannot be tracked.

(B) A gap of 3 mm so that a pure 2D packing is obtained and all the beads can be tracked. Additional metallic obstacles (bound to the inside of the drum) have been added in the static part of the packing to prevent any 2D ordering effects which would induce nongeneric effects. The main drawback is that the measurements can be made only during the time when the obstacles are in the bottom part of the drum.

In the recorded region, located at the center of the drum, the flowing layer thickness \( R \) and the mean angle \( \theta \) of the flow can be changed by varying the rotation speed \( \Omega \). Regimes obtained for \( \Omega \) varying from 1 to 8 rpm are investigated. On this range of rotating speeds, surface flows are steady and inertial effects are negligible (the Froude number \( Fr = \Omega^2 D_0/2g \), \( g \) being the gravity constant, varies from \( 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \) to \( 1.6 \times 10^{-2} \) when \( \Omega \) varies from 1 to 8 rpm).

The beads are lighted via a continuous halogen lamp. Sequences of 200 frames are recorded via a fast camera at a sampling rate of 1 kHz. The recorded region size in pixels is \( 480 \times 234 \), one pixel corresponding to \( 0.227 \times 0.227 \text{ mm} \). Frame processing allows us to obtain the position of the center of mass of the beads seen through the transparent side wall of the tumbler. Since the image of a single bead is made up of about 23 \pm 3 pixels (depending on the distance to the sidewall), the errors on the determination of bead location is about 150 \( \mu \text{m} \) [12]. Tracking each bead on ten successive frames allows us to evaluate its velocity averaged on 10 ms (see Fig. 1b). The mean angle \( \theta \) of the flow is calculated from the averaging of the velocity of all the beads on the whole sequence of 200 frames. The images are then divided into layers 1 bead diameter wide parallel to the flow. The mean tangential
velocity $V_m(z)$ of the layer at depth $z$ is then defined as the average of the velocity of all the beads of the sequence of 200 frames whose center of mass is inside the layer.

For both geometries (A) and (B), two phases can be observed: a solid phase experiencing a creep motion where $V_m$ decreases exponentially with $z$ (see also [13]) and a flowing phase exhibiting a clear linear velocity profile (see Fig. 1c for the quasi-2D case) with a velocity gradient $\gamma = dV_m / dz$ independent of $R$, $\theta$, the coefficient of restitution of the beads and drum gap [7]. The creep motion is not studied in this Letter. In the following, we focus on the flowing layer and neglect beads with velocities less than 100 mm/s since these are assumed to belong to the static bed.

**Velocity fluctuations in quasi-2D and pure 2D flows.—**

In order to investigate possible collective effects, we study first the spatial correlations of the instantaneous velocity field for both geometry (A) and (B). Calling $\mathbf{V}(x, z, t)$ the velocity of a bead of the frame $f$ located at the $(x, z)$ coordinate in $(\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_z)$, where the unit vector $\mathbf{e}_x$ (respectively, $\mathbf{e}_z$) is parallel (respectively, perpendicular) to the mean flow, the bead fluctuation velocity is defined as $\mathbf{V}(x, z, t) = \mathbf{V}(x, z, t) - V_m(z)e_x$. Since the orientation of the fluctuation $\mathbf{\hat{v}} = \mathbf{V}/V$ is not correlated to the depth $z$ (whereas the amplitude of the fluctuation decreases with the depth $z$), we focused on the instantaneous field made up of these orientations.

One of these instantaneous fields is represented in Fig. 2a and reveals aggregates of strongly correlated beads. These correlations can be quantified by looking at the orientation-orientation correlation functions: Given two particles, labeled by 1 and 2, let $\mathbf{k}$ be the unit vector pointing from the center of 1 to the center of 2. The vector $\mathbf{\hat{n}}_1$ has component $\hat{n}_1^\parallel$ parallel to and $\hat{n}_1^\perp$ perpendicular to $\mathbf{k}$; it is likewise for particle 2. Following [14], we define two correlation functions $C^\parallel(r) = \sum \hat{n}_1^\parallel \hat{n}_2^\parallel$ and $C^\perp(r) = \sum \hat{n}_1^\perp \hat{n}_2^\perp$ where the sums are over all particles such that the distance between the two particles is within $d/4$ of $r$. These two correlation functions reveal strong long range correlations (of the order of 30%) that extend on a range of the same order as the flowing layer thickness $R$ (see Fig. 2b). To isolate the correlated aggregates, we have used the following criteria: Two beads $i$ and $j$ belong to the same cluster whenever (i) they are in contact [15] and (ii) the angle $\delta_{ij}$ between $\mathbf{\hat{n}}_i$ and $\mathbf{\hat{n}}_j$ is smaller than a given value $\delta_\epsilon$ arbitrarily chosen equal to 60°. This
value corresponds to the point where the experimental orientation distribution crosses the value of the uniform distribution (see Fig. 2c). Values ranging from 30° up to 90° have also been tried and do not modify the following conclusions. Figures 2d and 2e show typical frames of the individual clusters for geometry (A), respectively, for $\Omega = 8$ rpm and $\Omega = 1$ rpm. Note that the size of the largest ones is comparable to the flowing layer thickness $R$: The distribution of the number of beads $N$ in a cluster is a power-law $N^{-\alpha}$ in both geometries (A) and (B), with an exponent equal, respectively, to $\alpha^{(A)} = 2.9 \pm 0.1$ and $\alpha^{(B)} = 2.0 \pm 0.1$ for quasi-2D and 2D flows (see Fig. 2f for the quasi-2D case). In both cases, the exponent is independent of $\Omega$, i.e., independent of both $R$ and $\theta$. This indicates that no typical correlation length scale can be defined to characterize the spatial correlations of the velocity field.

**Volume fraction fluctuations in pure 2D flows.**—In order to find out the physical origin of these correlated clusters, measurements on the local volume fraction fluctuations were performed on the 2D stacking [geometry (B)]. A Voronoi tessellation can then be used to define the local volume fraction $\nu$ associated with each bead. Let us note that this technique assumes that all beads’ positions are known and consequently cannot be applied for the quasi-2D packing of geometry (A). The relative fluctuations of $\nu$ are very small, a few percent (see Fig. 3a), but sufficient to alter significantly the beads’ behavior. According to the “Reynolds” dilatancy concept [16], an assembly of rigid particles cannot deform whenever its volume fraction is higher than a given threshold $\nu_n$. For pure 2D packing of monodisperse beads, $\nu_n = \pi/4$. Clusters of beads in contact with $\nu \geq \nu_n$ have been isolated in the flowing layer (see Figs. 3d–3f). Almost 50% of beads of the flowing layer belong to one of these solid clusters. As was found for the clusters defined using velocity correlation, the size of largest ones is of the order of $R$. The size distribution is again a power law with an exponent $\alpha^{(b)} = 1.5$, weakly dependent of $\Omega$ (see Fig. 3c). This exponent is slightly smaller than the one obtained from the analysis of velocity fluctuations. It should be noted that although there is some overlap between both types of clusters, they are not identical. We have also plotted the number of beads $N$ in a cluster against the cluster radius of gyration $R_g$ (Fig. 3b). The power-law scaling is indicative of a fractal structure with an apparent fractal dimension equal to $d_f^{(b)} = 1.7 \pm 0.1$. The mean aspect ratio of the clusters, calculated as the ratio of the two principal axes of their inertia tensor, does not depend on their size and is found to be close to 2. They preferentially orient along the flow direction. Their lifetime, defined as the duration during which their size is larger than the half of their maximal size, is of order of $\sqrt{\nu/g} = 10^{-2}$ s, where $g$ is the gravity.

**Discussion.**—These solid clusters can be compared to the collective objects postulated in different nonlocal models for granular flows. Mills et al. [8] postulate the existence of 1D transient solid chains in the flowing layer, well separated from each other. In their approach, which describes granular flow down a rough inclined plane, a grain colliding with one of these chains transmits its momentum throughout the whole chain. On the other hand, for surface flows, Rajchenbach [6] suggests that each shock impact is imparted to the whole substrate beneath and thus that the momentum is “dissipated” into the whole packing. This description has been recently extended by Andreotti and Douady [10] who account for the possible trapping of flowing grains in the bumps of the static bed. The localization of the flow within a layer of finite thickness and the linear velocity profile are well reproduced in their approach. However, their model does not account for the invariance of the velocity gradient when the thickness $R$ or the local angle $\theta$ are changed. The collective objects that we observe are neither chainlike nor the whole packing, but rather widely distributed fractal clusters involving 50% of the beads of the flowing layer. No typical length
scale can be defined. This last point may be responsible for the selection of a velocity gradient independent of both $R$ and $\theta$, i.e., independent of the shear stress.

The observation of the successive clusterized frames shows that the largest clusters are emitted by the static phase and die either by fragmenting into smaller ones or by sticking back to the static phase. Differences between granular flows down a rough inclined plane and granular surface flows can then be rationalized as follows: clustering results from the competition between inelastic multiple collisions, which tends to aggregate grains together [11], and shear, which erodes clusters. For flows down an inclined plane, these two effects lead to clusters of a typical size, whereas in surface flows, the static bed plays the role of a cluster reservoir: its erosion by the flowing grains can generate very large size clusters that then split and cascade into smaller and smaller ones (this mechanism might give rise to very large size clusters that then split and cascade into smaller and smaller ones).

The absence of a characteristic correlation length to describe the locally “jammed” clusters of beads is quite interesting. First, this contrasts with an assumption made in most local and nonlocal models [4,6,8,10], where the transition between the “solid” phase and the “liquid” phase is supposed to occur over a well defined length scale. The existence of multiscale rigid clusters indicates that the flowing phase is actually critical and suggests the proximity of a continuous “jamming” transition, of the type proposed in [17] (see also [18] for a related discussion). In this respect, it is interesting to note that similar power-law distributed clusters of strongly correlated motion have recently been observed in a colloid close to the glass transition [19].
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