Effects of Plyometric Training on Physical Fitness in Team Sport Athletes: A Systematic Review
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Plyometric training (PT) is a very popular form of physical conditioning of healthy individuals that has been extensively studied over the last decades. In this article, we critically review the available literature related to PT and its effects on physical fitness in team sport athletes. We also considered studies that combined PT with other popular training modalities (e.g. strength/sprint training). Generally, short-term PT (i.e. 2-3 sessions a week for 4-16 weeks) improves jump height, sprint and agility performances in team sport players. Literature shows that short PT (<8 weeks) has the potential to enhance a wide range of athletic performance (i.e. jumping, sprinting and agility) in children and young adult amateur players. Nevertheless, 6 to 7 weeks training appears to be too short to improve physical performance in elite male players. Available evidence suggests that short-term PT on non-rigid surfaces (i.e. aquatic, grass or sand-based PT) could elicit similar increases in jumping, sprinting and agility performances as traditional PT. Furthermore, the combination of various plyometric exercises and the bilateral and unilateral jumps could improve these performances more than the use of single plyometric drills or traditional PT. Thus, the present review shows a greater effect of PT alone on jump and sprint (30 m sprint performance only) performances than the combination of PT with sprint/strength training. Although many issues related to PT remain to be resolved, the results presented in this review allow recommending the use of well-designed and sport-specific PT as a safe and effective training modality for improving jumping and sprint performance as well as agility in team sport athletes.
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Introduction
A vertical jump, sprint performance and agility tests are commonly used within research and applied settings to investigate the effects of plyometric training on physical fitness of team sport athletes (Chamari et al., 2004; Chaouachi et al., 2009; Khlifa et al., 2010; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2014, 2015ab). However, effective contextual improvement with plyometric training requires knowledge about the intervention and the kind of athletes targeted (Markovic et al., 2007). Moreover, the requirement to produce an accurate training session of plyometric elements to improve physical fitness, which involves open and complex skills, is not new for team sports (Chaouachi et al., 2009; Duncan et al., 2006; Gabbett, 2000; Ostojic et al., 2006; Stolen et al.,
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Soccer, basketball, handball and rugby are examples of intermittent team sports that combine cyclic and acyclic movements in competitive success (Arazi et al., 2012; Chelly et al., 2014; Stolen et al., 2005). Despite the significance of plyometric training (PT) in team sports, to date no study has summarized crucial information on the effects of different training protocols on physical fitness of team sport athletes.

Physical improvements have important implications on team sports, as players perform numerous explosive movements like kicking, tackling, jumping, turning, sprinting, and changing pace and directions during the match (Chellouache et al., 2009; Duncan et al., 2006; Gabbett, 2000; Ostojic et al., 2006; Stolen et al., 2005), thus, plyometric drills usually involve stopping, starting and changing directions in an explosive manner (Gabbett, 2000). Although in those sports, performance requires good aerobic capacity for recovery after high-intensity activity, many authors agree that it is anaerobic capacity that determines success (Chellouache et al., 2009; Duncan et al., 2006; Gabbett, 2000; Ostojic et al., 2006; Stolen et al., 2005).

The capacity to improve performance in athletes and recreationally trained individuals is the primary goal of sport performance professionals and PT is ranked among the most frequently used methods for the development of the above mentioned profiles in team sport games. Several research studies have confirmed that PT can enhance muscle strength and power (Markovic et al., 2007), speed (Diallo et al., 2001; Impellizzeri et al. 2008; Michailidis et al., 2013) and agility (Arazi et al., 2012; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2014, 2015a). Additionally, numerous studies have discovered positive effects of short-term PT on jumping performance in basketball (Brown et al., 1986; Matavulj et al., 2001), soccer (Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2014, 2015a; Thomas et al., 2009), volleyball (Martel et al., 2005; Milič et al., 2008), handball (Chelly et al., 2014; Hermassi et al., 2014) and other team sport games. It has been reported that plyometric training induces specific neural adaptations such as increased activation of motor units and less muscle hypertrophy than typically observed after heavy-resistance strength training (Sale, 1991).

Conceptually, PT is characterized by the operation of the stretch-shortening cycle (SSC) that develops during the transition from a rapid eccentric muscle contraction (deceleration or a negative phase) to a rapid concentric muscle contraction (acceleration or a positive phase) (Bedoya et al., 2015; Makaruk et al., 2014; Michailidis et al., 2013). SSC tasks take advantage of the elastic properties of connective tissue and muscle fibers by allowing the muscle to accumulate elastic energy through the deceleration/negative phase and release it later during the acceleration/positive phase to enhance muscle’s force and power output (Michailidis et al., 2013; Padulo et al., 2013). Therefore, this regime of SSC muscle contractions is a typical part of muscle activity in a number of specific team sport activities including acceleration, changing of directions, vertical and horizontal jumps. Cormie et al. (2011) clarified the interactions between the contractile and elastic elements and pointed out that their different length-shortening behaviour was vital in SSC movements. Moreover, the power/strength produced during the initial phase of the stretch-shortening cycle positively influences neuromuscular control and joint stabilization (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010). Thus, plyometrics, also known as "jump training" or "plyos", are exercises based on maximum muscle force production in a shortest possible time to improve speed and power (Markovic, 2007).

Lately, "anaerobic" and "aerobic" power production has been shown to improve after traditional training techniques such as PT (Chamari and Padulo, 2015; Markovic and Mikulic, 2007). Short PT programs have proved to be effective in groups of individuals of various physical fitness levels and sport experience with a PT frequency of two sessions a week (Milič et al., 2008). For instance, a training program of two weeks with three sessions per week including high intensity plyometric exercises (between 180 and 250 jumps per session) can be recommended as the short term strategy that will optimize one’s probability of reaching significant improvements in explosive power and sprint velocity performance (Mačkala and Fastiak, 2015).

Determinant features of planning programs such as a systematic decrease of volume or an increase of intensity in exercises are not considered in many PT studies (Markovic and Mikulic, 2007). Nonetheless, some studies demonstrate a small improvement in jump height.
(Sohnlein et al., 2014), sprint performance (Arazi and Asadi, 2011; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2014, 2015ab) and agility (Arazi et al., 2012; Váczi et al., 2013). Furthermore, previous meta-analytical reviews have included the effects of PT on jump height (Markovic, 2007) in young children (Johnson et al., 2011), however, the findings are not consensual and should be clarified to improve understanding of PT properties. Therefore, the purpose of this systematic review was to describe the effects of PT on jump, sprint and agility performances in team sport athletes.

Material and Methods

Study Selection and Inclusion Criteria

This review was conducted in accordance with Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (PRISMA) Statement guidelines (Moher et al., 2009) (Table 1). A comprehensive search of the investigations was performed electronically in the following databases: Web of Science, PubMed / Medline, ISI Web of Knowledge, Scopus and The Cochrane Library from their inception up to March 2015 for English-language, peer-reviewed investigations using the terms “plyometric” or “plyometrics” alone or together with “jump training”, “drop jump”, “depth jump”, “stretch-shortening cycle”, “training of power”, “plyometric training”, “jump height”, “agility”, “sprint performance”, “soccer”, “basketball”, “handball”, “volleyball” or “rugby”.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: participants whose characteristics were not consistent with the search of Sport Discus or Medline databases; data from theses or from non-English articles; data from chapters in books. In addition, the present study selected investigations examining their internal validity based on the recommendations by preceding reports (Campbell and Stanley, 1966; Villarreal et al., 2010) and included: (1) studies involving either a control group or condition against which an intervention could be compared; (2) randomized control studies (RCTs: Randomized Controlled Trials); (3) research using instruments with high reliability and validity, and; (4) investigations with experimental no-mortality.

Study methods were assessed and a total of 32 original-research peer-reviewed articles were selected. Each research work was analyzed to evaluate the real effects (relative effect %) of PT alone or combined with strength/sprint training on physical fitness performance, particularly in terms of a wide range of characteristics, including participants, gender, a performance level and intervention. The effects of PT on athletes of team sports were identified and grouped into the following topics: vertical jump height, sprint and agility performances.

Analysis of Training

Based on the articles’ search and analysis, we divided the training process into two categories:

- Plyometric training: sets of plyometric drills with three modalities – a countermovement jump (CMJ), a drop jump (DJ) or a combination of plyometric drills (COMB) (Tables 1A/B and 1).

- Combined training: sets of plyometric drills performed concurrently to strength/sprint training (Tables 3 and 4).

Duration of PT was classified as <8 weeks and ≥8 weeks. Particularly, the classification of some plyometric-training studies was difficult owing to the combination of exercises or lack of details.

Results

Descriptive Characteristics of Included Studies

The full texts of 140 studies were assessed for eligibility and 32 studies were included (Tables 1A, 2, 3, 4). Studies that met the inclusion criteria consisted of investigations of effects of PT on physical fitness (26 studies), and randomized controlled trials examining the effects of combined training (PT plus strength/sprint training) on jump height and sprint performance (6 studies). Fifteen studies (~47% out of 32 studies) used students and amateur athletes as a sample population. Five studies (~16%) chose national athletes as sample participants and measured their performance. Twelve studies (~37%) selected elite and semi-professional athletes as sample subjects. Furthermore, the number of participants per study ranged between 12 and 76, and the studies included males and/or females. The total population size included in this review was 958 (864 males and 94 females). Eighteen studies chose soccer players (56.2%), seven studies used basketball players (21.9%), three studies chose handball players (9.4%) and four studies used rugby, volleyball and/or hockey players (12.5%) as...
Effects of Plyometric Training on Physical Fitness in Team Sport Athletes

participants. Others elements differed between the PT interventions: the number of weeks (range from 4 to 16) and the number of PT sessions per week (range from 2 to 4). For the combined training interventions, the number of weeks ranged between 6 to 12 weeks with 2-3 sessions per week.

**Vertical Jump**

The reviewed studies indicated that vertical jumping performance was assessed using all types of vertical jumps: a standard vertical jump (VJ), a squat jump (SJ), a countermovement jump (CMJ), a countermovement jump with the arm swing (CMJA), a standing long jump (SLJ), a multiple 5 bounds test (MB5) and a depth vertical jump (DVJ) (Table 1AB). The results show that the greatest relative effects of PT were observed with increases for: VJ = 15.6% (range: 3.1 to 30.4%), SJ = 21.3% (range: 3.9 to 23.3%), CMJ = 10.2% (range: 4.1 to 27.6%), SLJ distance = 5.6% (range: 2.6 to 9.4%), MB5 = 9.9% (range: 4 to 22.9%) and finally DVJ = 9.3% (range: 3.1% to 15.9%). Previous research in which vertical jump performance was improved following a training program of PT combined with strength training seems to have lower potential in enhancing vertical jumping height (around SJ: 7.7%; CMJ: 5.3%) compared with PT alone. When PT was performed with sprint training, the data showed a significant improvement in vertical jumping height (CMJ: 5.2%; CMJA: 2.4%; DVJ: 2.6%), with lower values than for PT alone (Table 3).

**Sprint Performance**

The present review suggests improvements in sprint time following PT over distances from 5 to 60 m, although slight decreases in sprint time following PT and lack of improvements have been also observed (Table 2). The results of this review partly support the abovementioned statement as the greatest relative effects of PT were observed for 10 m sprint time (average -2.6%; range: -0.4 to -5%), with the same average improvement of -2.6% (range: -0.4 to -4.7%) for 20 m sprint time, and finally an average improvement of -4.1% (range: -1.9 to -6.5%) for 30 m sprint time. However, the combination of PT and strength training also showed a significant improvement in 10 m sprint time (-3.1%) and 30 m sprint time (-2.3%), with lower value (30 m sprint time only) compared to PT alone (Table 4).
Figure 2

Effects of short versus long plyometric training duration on squat jump (SJ) and countermovement jump (CMJ) heights in amateur players.
Table 1A

Effects of plyometric training on jump height in team sport athletes

| Study                      | Age; Team; Subjects; Sex; AL | PT intervention (weeks/sessions) | Relative effects (%) |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| Impellizzeri et al. (2008) | Yn; Soccer; 44; M; A        | Grass COMB (4/3)                 | ↑5.2 SJ and ↑14.5 CMJ |
|                            |                             | Sand COMB                        | ↑10.2 SJ and ↑16.4 CMJ |
| Chimera et al. (2004)      | Yn; Soccer + Hockey; 20; F; NCAADI | COMB (6/2)                       | ↑5.8 SJ and ↑5.8 VJ  |
| Asadi (2013)               | Y; Basketball; 20; M; E      | COMB (6/2)                       | ↑24.1 VJ and ↑9.4 SLJ |
| Lehnert et al. (2013)      | Y; Basketball; 12; M; E      | COMB (6: 4/2; 2/4)               | NSD CMJ              |
| Gottlieb et al. (2014)     | Y; Basketball; 23; M; E      | COMB (6/2)                       | NSD CMJ              |
| Attene et al. (2015)       | Yn; Basketball; 36; F; A     | COMB (6/2)                       | ↑15.4 SJ and ↑11.3 CMJ |
| Martel et al. (2005)       | Yn; Volley-ball; 18; F; HSA  | ABPT COMB (6/2)                  | ↑3.1 VJ              |
|                            |                             | After 4 weeks                    | ↑8 VJ                |
|                            |                             | After 6 weeks                    |                      |
| Váčzi et al. (2013)        | Yn; Soccer; 24; M; TL        | COMB (6/2)                       | ↑9 VJ                |
| Ramirez-Campillo et al.    | Y; Soccer; 54; M; SubE       | BJ (6/2)                         | ↑18.7 CMJA and ↑15.8 MB5 |
| (2015a)                    |                             | UJ (6/2)                         | ↑7.9 CMJA and ↑11.5 MB5 |
|                            |                             | BJ+UJ (6/2)                      | ↑15.4 CMJA and ↑10.4 MB5 |
| Sankey et al. (2008)       | A; Rugby; 18; M; HSA         | INCR (6/2)                       | ↑16.86 CMJ and ↑8.3 DVJ |
|                            |                             | CONS (6/2)                       | ↑8 CMJ and NSD DVJ   |
| Ramirez-Campillo et al.    | Y; Soccer; 76; M; A          | DJ (7/2)                         | ↑4.3 CMJ and ↑4.1 MB5 |

AL: activity level; PT: plyometric training; E: elite; A: amateur; HSA: high school athletes; SP: semi-professional; TL: third league; N: national; SubE: sub-elite; NCAADI: national collegiate athletic association division I; A: adult; Y: youth; Yn: young; A: adolescent; M: male; F: female; VJ: vertical jump; SJ: squat jump; CMJ: countermovement jump; CMJA: countermovement jump with the arm swing; MB5: multiple 5 bounds test; DVJ: depth vertical jump; SLJ: standing long jump; DJ: drop jump; Aft: after; COMB: combination of plyometric drills; BJ: bilateral jump; UJ: unilateral jump; BJ+UJ: bilateral + unilateral jumps; ABPT: aquatic-based plyometric training; INCR: periodised plyometric intensity; CONS: constant moderate plyometric intensity; ↑: increased in jump height; NSD: no significant difference compared to pre-training.
Table 1B

Effects of plyometric training on agility and sprint performances in team sport athletes

| Study                        | Age; Team; Subjects; Sex; AL | PT intervention (weeks/sessions) | Relative effects (%) |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| ≥8 weeks of plyometric training |                              |                                  |                      |
| Arazi et al. (2012)          | Yn; Basketball; 18; M; SP    | LBPT COMB ABPT COMB (8/3)        | ↑29.3 VJ and ↑5.7 SLJ |
|                              |                              |                                  | ↑30.4 VJ and ↑6.5 SLJ |
| Chelly et al. (2010)         | J; Soccer; 23; M; N          | COMB (8/2)                       | ↑8.3 SJ and ↑2.5 CMJ |
| Meylan and Malatesta (2009)  | C; Soccer; 25; M; A          | COMB LI (8/2)                    | ↑7.9 CMJ and ↑4 MB5  |
| Chelly et al. (2014)         | J; Handball; 23; M; N        | COMB (8/2)                       | ↑12.8 SJ and ↑9.5 CMJ|
| Hermassi et al. (2014)       | Yn; Handball; 24; M; E       | COMB (8/2)                       | ↑9.7 SJ and ↑11.4 CMJ|
| Brito et al. (2014)          | A; Soccer; 76; M; A          | COMB (9/2)                       | NSD SJ and NSD CMJ  |
| Khlifa et al. (2010)         | S; Basketball; 27; M; N      | COMB (10: 3/2; 7/3) LPT COMB     | ↑5.8 SJ and ↑7 CMJ and ↑5.6 SJT |
|                              |                              |                                  | ↑9.9 SJ and ↑12.2 CMJ and ↑7.5 MB5 |
|                              |                              |                                  | ↑11.6 CMJ and ↑5.7 MB5 |
|                              |                              |                                  | ↑3.9 SJ and ↑4.1 CMJ and ↑3.1 DVJ |
| Diallo et al. (2001)         | A; Soccer; 20; M; A          | DJ (10/3)                        | ↑7.3 SJ and ↑11.6 CMJ and ↑5.7 MB5 |
| Santos et al. (2011)         | A; Basketball; 24; M; A      | COMB (10/2)                      | ↑3.9 SJ and ↑4.1 CMJ and ↑3.1 DVJ |
| Michailidis et al. (2013)    | PA; Soccer; 45; M; A         | COMB (12/2) After 6 weeks        | ↑14.3 SJ and ↑18.5 CMJ and 12.6 SLJ and ↑14.6 MB5 and ↑10 DVJ |
|                              |                              | After 12 weeks                   | ↑23.3 SJ and ↑27.6 CMJ and ↑14.2 SLJ and ↑22.9 MB5 and ↑15.9 DVJ |
| Sedano Campo et al. (2009)   | A; Soccer; 20; F; E          | COMB (12/3) After 6 weeks        | ↑8.5 SJ and ↑12.8 SLJ |
|                              |                              | After 12 weeks                   | ↑14.4 SJ and ↑16 SLJ |
| Sohnlein et al. (2014)       | MP; Soccer; 22; M; E         | COMB (16/2)                      | ↑11.8 MB5 and ↑7.3 SLJ |

J: junior; PA: pre-adolescent; C: children; MP: mid-puberty; LI: low intensity; LPT: loaded plyometric training program; LBPT: land-based plyometric training; PPT: progressive plyometric training; NPPT: without progressive plyometric training; for the rest of the legend, please see the legend of Table 1A
### Table 2

**Effects of plyometric training on agility and sprint performances in team sport athletes**

| Study                                      | Age; Team; Subjects; Sex; AL | PT intervention (weeks/sessions) | Relative effects (%)          |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|
| <8 weeks of plyometric training            |                              |                                  |                              |
| Impellizzeri et al. (2008)                 | Yn; Soccer; 44; M; A         | Grass COMB Sand COMB (4/3)       | ↓3.7 10 m and ↓2.7 20 m      |
| Asadi (2013)                               | Y; Basketball; 20; M; E      | COMB (6/2)                       | ↓8.6 ATT and ↓7.1 IAT        |
| Gottlieb et al. (2014)                     | Yn; Basketball; 23; M; E    | COMB (6/2)                       | NSD 20 m                     |
| Thomas et al. (2009)                       | Y; Soccer; 12; M; SP        | CMJ DJ (6/2)                     | NSD 5 m × 6 and NSD 10 m and NSD 20 m |
| Váczi et al. (2013)                        | Yn; Soccer; 24; M; TL       | COMB (6/2) BJ                    | ↓2.5 ATT and ↓1.7 IAT        |
| Ramirez-Campillo et al. (2015a)           | Y; Soccer; 54; M; SubE      | BJ UJ BJ+UJ (6/2)                | ↓3.9 ATT and ↓3.8 15 m and ↓3.2 30 m |
| Ramirez-Campillo et al. (2015b)           | Yn; Soccer; 24; M; A        | COMB PPT NPPT (6/2)              | ↓0.9 10 m and ↓1.6 10 m      |
| Ramirez-Campillo et al. (2014)            | Y; Soccer; 76; M; A         | DJ (7/2)                         | ↓3.5 IAT and ↓0.4 10 m       |
| ≥8 weeks of plyometric training            |                              |                                  |                              |
| Arazi et al. (2012)                        | Yn; Basketball; 18; M; SP   | LBPT COMB ABPTCOM (8/3)          | ↓9.6 ATT and ↓6 IAT          |
| Meylan and Malatesta (2009)                | C; Soccer; 25; M; A         | COMB LI (8/2)                    | ↓9.6 ATT and ↓2.1 10 m       |
| Haghighi et al. (2012)                     | Yn; Soccer; 30; M; E        | COMB RT (8/2)                    | ↓4.5 m × 6 and ↓7.4 5 m × 6 |
| Brito et al. (2014)                        | A; Soccer; 76; M; A         | COMB (9/2)                       | NSD ATT and NSD 5 m × 6 and ↓4.9 20 m |
| Diallo et al. (2001)                       | A; Soccer; 20; M; A         | DJ (10/3)                        | NSD 10 m and ↓2.6 20 m and NSD 30 m |
| Michailidis et al. (2013)                  | PA; Soccer; 45; M; A        | COMB (12/2) After 6 weeks        | ↓5 ATT and ↓3.1 10 m and ↓2.2 20 m and ↓1.9 30 m |
|                                               |                              |                                | After 12 weeks               |
|                                               |                              |                                | ↓23 ATT and ↓5 10 m and ↓3.5 20 m and ↓3 30 m |
| Sohnlein et al. (2014)                     | MP; Soccer; 22; M; E        | COMB (16/2)                      | ↓6.1 HAR and ↓3.2 20 m       |

**ATT**: agility T test; **IAT**: Illinois agility test; **HAR**: hurdle agility run; ↓: decreased in sprint or agility time; ↑: increased in performance; for the rest of the legend, please see the legend of Tables 1A/B.
Table 3

Effects of plyometric training added to strength/sprint training on jump height in team sport athletes

| Study                  | Age; Team; Subjects; Sex; AL | Training intervention (weeks/sessions) | Relative effects (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Faigenbaum et al. (2007) | A; Basketball + Rugby; 27; M; A | PT + RT (6/2)                          | ↑7.8 VJ             |
| Perez-Gomez et al. (2008) | Yn; Soccer; 37; M; A          | PT + WL (6/3)                          | ↑6.4 SJ and ↑8.3 CMJ|
| Marques et al. (2013)   | Yn; Soccer; 42; M; N          | PT + SPT (6/2)                          | ↑7.7 CMJ            |
| Ronnestad et al. (2008) | Yn; Soccer; 21; M; E          | PT + ST (7/2)                           | ↑9.1 SJ and NSD CMJ |
| Cherif et al. (2012)    | Yn; Handball; 22; M; E        | PT + SPT (12/2)                         | ↑2.7 CMJ and ↑2.4 CMJA and ↑2.6 DVJ |
| Wong et al. (2010)      | Yn; Soccer; 51; M; R          | PT + ST (12/2)                          | ↑5.9 CMJ            |

R: regional; RT: resistance training; ST: strength training; WL: weight lifting; SPT: speed training; ↑: increased in jump height; for the rest of the legend, please see the legend of Table 1A.

Table 4

Effects of plyometric training added to strength/sprint training on sprint time in team sport athletes.

| Study                  | Age; Team; Subjects; Sex; AL | Training intervention (weeks/sessions) | Relative effects (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Faigenbaum et al. (2007) | A; Basketball + Rugby; 27; M; A | PT + RT (6/2)                          | NSD 9.1 m           |
| Marques et al. (2013)   | Yn; Soccer; 42; M; N          | PT + SPT (6/2)                          | NSD 15 m and ↓3.2 15 - 30 m and ↓1.7 30 m |
| Ronnestad et al. (2008) | Yn; Soccer; 21; M; E          | PT + ST (7/2)                           | ↓1.4 10 m and ↓0.8 30- 40 m |
| Wong et al. (2010)      | Yn; Soccer; 51; M; R          | PT + ST (12/2)                          | ↓4.9 10 m and ↓2.3 30 m |

↓: decreased in sprint time; for the rest of the legend, please see the legend of Tables 1A and 3.
Agility Performance

In the reviewed studies, agility performance was assessed using an agility t-test (ATT) and/or the Illinois agility test (IAT). However, the results of this review (Table 2) show that greater relative effects (a decrease in agility time) of PT are observed for the ATT -9.7% (range: -2.5 to -23%) and the IAT -4.8% (range: -1.7 to -7.1%).

Discussion

Vertical Jump

The data reported in the present review indicate that PT is an effective training method for the improvement of vertical jump height. The findings of two recent meta-analyses further support this view by showing significant and practically relevant PT-induced increases in vertical jump height in athletes and non-athletes of both sexes (de Villarreal et al., 2009; Markovic, 2007). However, the present review shows that PT with low intensity or without progressive intensity is of lower effectiveness than moderately high and progressive PT, respectively. It has been also shown that combination of plyometric drills is more effective than single plyometric drills (e.g., DJ, CMJ) (Reilly et al., 2000a). Furthermore, combination of unilateral and bilateral jump drills seems more advantageous to induce significant jump height performance improvements during high-intensity short-term plyometric training compared to the use of bilateral jump drills alone. Moreover, it could be concluded that training duration of 6-7 weeks is too short to improve muscular power in elite male players due to a high training level of the athletes. Nonetheless, in amateur male and female players a significant improvement in jump height in the same period was reported (Figure 1). In fact, following general recommendations, more than 8 weeks of systematic application of PT are required to improve muscular power in elite athletes. Also, non-rigid surfaces (i.e. aquatic, grass or sand-based PT) could elicit similar increases in jumping and performance as traditional PT. Nevertheless, it has to be noted that performing PT on a sand surface may increase the risk of overuse injuries to the lower limbs and back (Bahr and Reeser, 2003; Giatsis and Kollias, 2004). Likewise, some studies reported no change (Brito et al., 2014; Gottlieb et al., 2014; Lehnert et al., 2013) or even slight decreases in vertical jumping performance (de Villarreal et al., 2008), probably due to the characteristics of the subject, in particular: a training level, sport activity, age, gender, familiarity with plyometric exercises and a training program (duration, volume, rest periods, frequency, type of exercises and their combination, intensity of exercises, external resistance) (de Villarreal et al., 2009). A positive significant relationship between training duration, the number of sessions and the number of jumps per session and the PT effect has been confirmed. Moreover, PT lasting 10 weeks or more (more than 20 sessions in total) has been recommended to maximize the probability of obtaining significant improvements in athletes. Nevertheless, the study does not mention the sports level of these athletes (de Villarreal et al., 2009).

It is important to point that improvements observed in the vertical jump could have been induced by various neuromuscular adaptations, such as an increased neural drive to the agonist muscles, changes in muscle-tendon mechanical-stiffness characteristics, alterations in muscle size and/or architecture, and changes in single-fiber mechanics (de Villarreal et al., 2009; Maffiuletti et al., 2002; Potteiger et al., 1999; Thomas et al., 2009). Other possible aspects of neural adaptation to PT include (i) changes in leg muscle activation strategies (or inter-muscular coordination) during vertical jumping, particularly during the preparatory (i.e. pre-landing) jump phase; and (ii) changes in the stretch reflex excitability (Bishop and Spencer, 2004; de Villarreal et al., 2009).

Sprint Performance

Team sports are considered intermittent activities involving sudden variations in movement and intensity (Chaouachi et al., 2009; Stolen et al., 2005). However, during a match, the duration of activities at high velocities does not usually last longer than 3 s (e.g., soccer) (Stolen et al., 2005). Despite their short duration, the readiness to these different and rapid movements is essential and sprint performance may be considered relevant in these sports. It represents a multidimensional movement skill that requires explosive concentric and SSC force production of a number of lower-limb muscles (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010), and maximal intensity sprinting necessitates extremely high levels of neural
activation (Nummela et al., 1994). Measurable neurological variables such as nerve conduction velocity, maximum electromyographic (EMG) activity and Hoffman reflex (H-reflex) all alter in response to physical training (Bernardi et al., 1996). Potential mechanisms for improvements in sprint performance include changes in temporal sequencing of muscle activation for more efficient movement, preferential recruitment of fastest motor units, increased nerve conduction velocity, a frequency or degree of muscle innervations and increased ability to maintain muscle recruitment and rapid firing throughout the sprint (Ross et al., 2001). Several previous studies have suggested that PT can enhance sprinting ability just because it is based on the use of the SSC (de Villarreal et al., 2008).

The greatest benefits of PT for sprint performance are dependent on the velocity of muscle action employed in training (Rimmer and Sleivert, 2000). Therefore, it has been suggested that greatest effects of PT on sprinting performance occur in the acceleration phase. It is known that slow SSC (long-response) plyometrics (>0.25 s), such as countermovement or squat jumps, transfer most directly to start and acceleration performance, whereas fast SSC (short-response) plyometrics (<0.25 s), such as drop jumps, have more transfer to maximum running velocity (Delecluse et al., 1995; Plisk, 2008; Rimmer and Sleivert, 2000).

The findings of the present review indicate that the combination of various plyometric exercises (e.g., SJ, CMJ, DJ, and hurdle jump) would be the optimal form of PT (Table 2). This could be attributed to differences in the use of SSC characteristics, as a SJ mainly consists of a concentric (push-off) phase, whereas a CMJ and other forms of plyometrics involve a coupling of eccentric and concentric phases (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010).

Some researchers suggest that PT is more effective in improving performance due to the ability of subjects to use the elastic and neural benefits of the SSC (de Villarreal et al., 2008; Markovic and Mikulic, 2010). Thus, the effects of PT may differ depending on subject characteristics such as a training level, gender, age, sports activity or familiarity with PT (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010). However, the present review also pointed out that the effects of PT were greatest in pre-adolescent athletes in team sport amateur players for sprint performance over 10 and 20 m compared to children and youth athletes as well as other sprint distance. Furthermore, it has been reported that in pre-pubertal athletes enhancements are more considerable in initial acceleration time than secondary acceleration and maximal velocity time (Michailidis et al., 2013). Other factors that seem to determine the effectiveness of PT are types of training, program duration and training volume. The data gathered in the present review suggest that pre-pubertal amateur players performing PT with a frequency of 2 sessions per week had more beneficial effects over 10 weeks compared to longer duration training programs. The combination of unilateral and bilateral jump drills seems more advantageous to induce significant sprint performance improvements during high-intensity short-term plyometric training (Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2015a).

When sprint performance was evaluated after PT, results from different studies were contradictory. Several studies found statistically significant effects of a PT program on sprint performance (Arazi and Asadi, 2011; de Villarreal et al., 2008; Meylan and Malatesta, 2009; Moore et al., 2005; Ronnestad et al., 2008). In contrast, no changes were observed in other studies (Impellizzeri et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2009). PT seems to result in positive effects when excitation of the central nervous system produces an increase in contractile function due to a conditioning stimulus (de Villarreal et al., 2008; Meylan and Malatesta, 2009; Moore et al., 2005; Ronnestad et al., 2008). The methodological strategy used to explore PT programs that are able to increase sprint performance include the execution of plyometric muscular movements that involve the stretch-shortening cycle and increases in movement speed (de Villarreal et al., 2008). Therefore, the positive effects of PT on sprint performance could be explained by the fact that repeated ballistic exercises could potentially improve the ability to generate explosive ground-reaction forces (Delecluse, 1997; Harland and Steele, 1997). Indeed, ground-contact times in plyometric bounce (DJ and CMJ) activities have been reported to be of ~300 ms (Bobbert et al., 1987) and ranging from 200 to 400 ms (Young et al., 1999). In sprinting, ground-contact times
decrease from <200 ms at acceleration to <100 ms at top speed (Plisk, 2008) showing the similarity of contact time between plyometrics and sprinting. Delecluse et al. (1995) suggest that sprint performance is characterized by 3 phases: (a) an initial acceleration phase (0 - 10 m), (b) a secondary acceleration phase (10 - 30 m), and (c) a maximal velocity phase (after 30 m); with duration of the second and third phases being highly dependent on gender, age and a performance level. Women develop maximal velocity at 25 - 35 m, untrained pre-pubertal boys at 20 - 30 m, whereas elite male sprinters peak after 60 m (Delecluse et al., 1995). Acceleration (especially during the initial phase) and agility are seen as independent predictors of physical performance related to soccer during childhood and adulthood (Reilly et al., 2000b).

The data gathered here show that sprint performance improvements are significantly greater when plyometrics are combined with other types of exercises (i.e., plyometric + sprint) (Marques et al., 2013). Thus, combined sprint/plyometric training (Table 4) can be the reason of sprint improvement, by facilitating the neuromuscular system into making a more rapid transition from eccentric to concentric contraction (Markovic et al., 2007). Biomechanical analyses of sprinting have shown that sprint performance over longer than 50 m distance may depend on the elasticity of the plantar flexor muscles to a greater extent than shorter sprints do as they consist mostly of acceleration. Sprints of at least 100 m consist of 3 phases: acceleration, constant velocity (or maximum speed) and deceleration related to neuromuscular fatigue. The acceleration phase is highly dependent on the reaction time and the athlete's ability to generate a rate of force development (RFD) and power during propulsion. During the constant-velocity phase, explosive power and efficiency of movement are critical up to the point of the deceleration phase in which the attainment of maximal speed may rely greatly on elasticity of the plantar flexor muscles (Mero et al., 1992). It is important in that regard to mention neural fatigue that greatly contributes to the latter deceleration phase (Markovic et al., 2007).

Agility Performance

Agility is often defined as “a rapid whole-body movement with change of velocity or direction in response to a stimulus” (Sheppard and Young, 2006). This can take many forms, from simple footwork actions to moving the entire body in the opposite direction while running at a high speed. Thus, agility has a speed component, but it is not the most important component of this ability. The basic definition of agility is too simplistic, as it is now thought to be much more complex and involving not only speed, but also balance, coordination and the ability to react to a change of the environment (Plisk, 2008). Furthermore, acceleration and deceleration involved in the change of direction movements, which in turn underpin agility performance, are therefore specific qualities and should be trained as such (Jeffreys, 2006). Sheppard and Young (2006) also claim that agility represents an independent physical ability and therefore, its development requires a high degree of neuromuscular specificity. Perceptual components, which form their fundament and include the anticipation and decision-making processes, also play an important role in their development (Young et al., 2002). However, when testing agility, one has to take into consideration sudden changes of direction of movement, accelerations and fast stops. Specifically, agility in team sports does not comprise only the ability of changing the direction of movement, but also the capability to anticipate the movement of the opponent, read and react to specific game situations (Sheppard and Young, 2006).

The literature search revealed nine studies that examined PT effects on agility performance (Arazi et al., 2012; Asadi, 2013; Váczi et al., 2013; Ramirez-Campillo, 2013; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2014, 2015a; Meylan and Malatesta, 2009; Michailidis et al., 2013; Sohnlein et al., 2014). In addition, the data obtained in the present review show that there was a significant increase in agility performance in elite and amateur team sport players following PT. Particularly, the data show that PT with 2 sessions per week have more beneficial effects over 8-12 weeks compared to shorter duration training programs (>8 weeks) in amateur players. Moreover, the combination of unilateral and bilateral jump drills seems more advantageous in improving agility performance than bilateral jump drills alone. Another aspect is that an aquatic based plyometric training program
provided similar or more improvements in agility of young players than the land-based plyometric training program of the same duration. Considering that T-agility and Illinois agility tests require ~11 and ~14 s to be completed, respectively, during these tests not only the ATP-PC system, but the glycolytic energy system is also used. The latter could be the reason why improvements were smaller compared with the agility tests that require less time for execution. Overall, improvements in agility after PT can be attributed to neural adaptation, specifically to increased intermuscular coordination (Markovic and Mikulic, 2010).

Conclusions

The reviewed studies have shown that PT (4–16 weeks) can improve physical fitness in team sport players. The positive effects on explosive power associated with improved performance of the vertical jump, sprint performance and/or agility can be explained by the subject characteristics, in particular a training level, sports activity, age, gender, familiarity with as well as the choice of plyometric exercises and a program design (program duration, volume, rest periods, frequency, the type of exercises and their combination). The present review shows that PT with low intensity or without progressive PT has lower effects than moderately high and progressive PT. Also the combination of plyometric drills is a more effective method compared to single plyometric drills (e.g. DJ, CMJ). Furthermore, the combination of unilateral and bilateral jump drills seems more advantageous to induce significant performance improvements during high-intensity short-term plyometric training in team sport players. It appears that training duration of 6-7 weeks is too short to improve muscular power in elite players. The general recommendation states that more than 8 weeks of systematic application of PT are necessary to improve physical performance in elite players. This review also shows that short PT (<8 weeks) has the potential to enhance a wide range of athletic performance (i.e. jumping, sprinting and agility) in children and youth amateur players. In addition, available evidence suggests that short-term PT on non-rigid surfaces (i.e. aquatic, grass or sand-based PT) could elicit similar increases in jumping, agility and sprinting performance as traditional PT. Thus, the present review indicates a greater effect of PT alone on jump and sprint (30 m sprint performance only) performances than the combination of PT with sprint/strength training. Moreover, given the specific nature of the selected training modality (plyometric training), their incorporation in the workout routines of technical and tactical training is fundamental for amateur and elite team sport athletes. The gains that were observed should be of great interest for players and coaches as performance in these team sports relies greatly on specific power, sprinting and agility which were shown to be significantly enhanced by many plyometric training regimens. It is thus recommend that team sport coaches implement in-season plyometric training to enhance performance of their athletes. Finally, future research is needed to identify the physiological and hormonal mechanisms responsible for these performance gains.
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