The role of diagnostic hysteroscopy in diagnosis of incomplete uterine septum/significant arcuate uterine anomaly in infertile patients in the era of transvaginal 3D ultrasound scan
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Abstract

Background: To determine the accuracy of measurement of internal indentation length on transvaginal 3D ultrasound scan (TV 3D US) in detecting patients with an incomplete uterine septum (IUS) or a significant arcuate uterine anomaly (AUA) based on the actual length as measured on diagnostic hysteroscopy. We compared the mean internal indentation length on TV 3D US and on diagnostic hysteroscopy in patients with IUS or a significant AUA.

Results: This is a retrospective study of 546 patients who were found to have IUS (134) or significant AUA (412) on diagnostic hysteroscopy and who had TV 3D US prior to surgery. The mean internal indentation length measured in millimeter on hysteroscopy was significantly higher than the mean internal indentation length measured on TV 3D US in patients with IUS (16.7 ± 5.5 vs 5.5 ± 4.2; \(P < 0.001\)), in patients with significant AUA (12.9 ± 2.8 vs 3.9 ± 4.6; \(P < 0.001\)), and in the overall population (13.8 ± 4.0 vs 4.3 ± 4.5; \(P < 0.001\)). The same findings were obtained when the comparison was limited to patients who had moderate significant internal indentation length (10 – 14 mm) and those with significant internal indentation length (15 – 25 mm).

Conclusion: Data in this study suggests that the mean internal indentation length in patients with IUS or AUA can be underestimated on TV 3D US. A diagnostic hysteroscopy is the only gold standard to make the correct diagnosis in these patients.
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Background

Uterine septum is the most common congenital Müllerian anomaly accounting for more than 50% of cases [10]. Such anomalies are associated with not only the worst reproductive outcomes but also with excellent results following hysteroscopic surgical correction [13]. In an extensive review of the literature, it was concluded that the septate uterus is the most common uterine anomaly in the infertile population (3.9%), while the arcuate uterus is the most common anomaly in the general population and in those with recurrent miscarriage [26]. The prevalence of uterine septum and arcuate uterus in infertile patients varies in the literature, but it is estimated to be 3.9% and 2.1%, respectively [26]. The issue of uterine septum and infertility remains controversial, with conflicting data in the literature [26]. However, the stand of the American Society of Reproductive Medicine (ASRM) is that “There is insufficient evidence to conclude that a uterine septum is associated with infertility” [30]. Furthermore, the association of arcuate uterine anomaly (AUA) and reproductive failure remains controversial with most data suggesting that such anomaly...
is a variant of normal, if the internal indentation length at the fundal midline (IILFM) is less than 10 mm [30].

ASRM classification of Müllerian anomalies is the most accepted and utilized classification, although it has some limitations, among them the lack of morphometric criteria and its vague description of AUA (class VI) [29]. The latter makes it difficult to distinguish it from subseptate uterus (class Vb) [29]. The new guidelines by ASRM define AUA as a uterus with a "depth from the interstitial line to the apex of the indentation < 1 cm and angle of the indentation > 90°" [30]. Unfortunately, this new definition does not take into account patients with arcuate appearance and an internal indentation length ≥ 1 cm [30]. If one adopts this definition of AUA, many patients who are found to have an appearance of such anomaly on TV 3D US or hysteroscopy, with an internal indentation length ≥ 10 mm, remain unclassifiable. In addition, the new guidelines by ASRM define subseptate uterus "as having a fundal invagination greater than 1.5 cm with the central point of the septum at an acute angle < 90°" [30]. On the other hand, the new classification of Müllerian anomalies proposed by the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology and the European Society for Gynecological Endoscopy (ESHRE-ESGE) do not differentiate between AUA and uterine septum. Instead they adopted a definition of uterine septa instead of laparoscopy with hysteroscopy, with an internal indentation length ≥ 10 mm, remain unclassifiable. The latter makes it difficult to distinguish it from subseptate uterus (class Vb) [29]. The new guidelines by ASRM define AUA as a uterus with a "depth from the interstitial line to the apex of the indentation < 1 cm and angle of the indentation > 90°" [30]. Unfortunately, this new definition does not take into account patients with arcuate appearance and an internal indentation length ≥ 1 cm [30]. If one adopts this definition of AUA, many patients who are found to have an appearance of such anomaly on TV 3D US or hysteroscopy, with an internal indentation length ≥ 10 mm, remain unclassifiable. In addition, the new guidelines by ASRM define subseptate uterus “as having a fundal invagination greater than 1.5 cm with the central point of the septum at an acute angle < 90°” [30]. On the other hand, the new classification of Müllerian anomalies proposed by the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology and the European Society for Gynecological Endoscopy (ESHRE-ESGE) do not differentiate between AUA and uterine septum. Instead they adopted a definition of uterine septa instead of laparoscopy with hysteroscopy, with an internal indentation length ≥ 10 mm, remain unclassifiable.

Trans-vaginal 3D ultrasound scan (TV 3D US) has been accepted as a non-invasive, accurate, highly reproducible, and cost-effective diagnostic test for screening at risk patients for congenital uterine anomalies [8, 12, 14, 30]. This can be achieved by the ability of a TV 3D US to assess both internal and external contour of the uterine fundus, as it enables the operator to visualize the uterus in the coronal plane. Thus, TV 3D US enables us to do away with invasive and more costly procedures such as a combined hysteroscopy and laparoscopy or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which have been considered the gold standard, to make the diagnosis of such anomalies. It is now recommended by ASRM that imaging with hysteroscopy should be used to diagnose uterine septa instead of laparoscopy with hysteroscopy, because this approach is less invasive [30]. In addition, both new ASRM guidelines and ESHRE/ESGE classification require TV 3D US [12, 30].

Our group has used TV 3D US in screening patients with reproductive failure for uterine septum and arcuate uterine anomaly since 2006. By 2008, we started to observe a discrepancy between IILFM as measured by TV 3D US and as measured at time of diagnostic hysteroscopy in patients with IUS and those with AUA [1, 4]. The purpose of this study is to determine the accuracy of measurement of an IILFM on TV 3D US with or without saline infusion hysterogram (SIH) in detecting patients with an IUS or a significant AUA that was diagnosed on diagnostic hysteroscopy based on the actual IILFM.

Methods
This is a retrospective study of all infertile patients who were found to have IUS or a significant AUA on a diagnostic hysteroscopy performed, as part of their infertility work up, at our unit in the period between January 1, 2008, and October 31, 2017. The study received an exemption from the Institutional Review Board at Hurley Medical Center, Flint, Michigan. The inclusion criterion was to have undergone a TV 3D US with or without SIH at our unit prior to diagnostic hysteroscopy. Patients who had associated uterine fibroids, endometrial polyps, intrauterine scar tissue, and those with complete septum were excluded. The study included 546 patients who fulfilled such inclusion criteria.

Hysteroscopy was performed at the mid-follicular phase of the menstrual cycle or while the patient was on oral contraceptives. Hysteroscopy was performed under modified general anesthesia. Medications commonly used included Propofol, Versed, and Fentanyl. Hysteroscopy combined with a laparoscopic procedure was performed under general endotracheal anesthesia. All hysteroscopies were performed by the senior author. An ACMI hysteroscope (Division of Olympus; Maple Grove, MN, USA) was used in all patients. Normal saline was used as a distension medium when diagnostic hysteroscopy was performed, while glycine 1.5% was used if both diagnostic and operative hysteroscopy were performed. We used a pressure infusion bag placed around a 3-l normal saline or glycine 1.5% with the pressure of fluid distension ranging between 100 and 120 mmHg.

At the time of diagnostic hysteroscopy, the type of uterine anomaly was documented and described according to ASRM classification [29]. The population was classified based on hysteroscopic findings into patients with IUS (134 patients, 24.5%) [class Vb] and those with significant AUA (412 patients, 75.5%) [class VI]. For the
purpose of this study, a diagnosis of an IUS was made, if the central point of indentation was at an acute angle (<90°), did not reach to the region of the internal or external cervical os, and the IILFM measured ≥10 mm, while if the central point of indentation was at obtuse angle (>90°) and the IILFM was ≥10 mm the diagnosis of a significant AUA was made [23]. The internal indentation length was measured using a straight resectoscope loop electrode of the ACMI hysteroscope (Fig. 1a) [2]. The black metal tip of the straight resectoscope loop electrode is 5 mm in length, while the yellow insulating portion of the resectoscope loop is 10 mm in length (Fig. 1a). The initial measurement was done by advancing a straight loop electrode on one side of the internal indentation up to the tubal ostium (Fig. 1b) [2]. The IILFM was then indirectly calculated to be approximately 60% of that length (according to Pythagorean Theorem in Mathematics). If an IUS or a significant AUA was divided during the same setting, the actual internal indentation length was measured directly (Fig. 1c) [2]. Based on internal indentation length on hysteroscopy, the patients with IUS or significant AUA anomalies were further divided into 2 main groups, those with moderate internal indentation length (10–14 mm) and those with significant internal indentation length (≥15 mm).

Before hysteroscopy an initial transvaginal 2D ultrasound scan (TV 2D US) assessment of the pelvis was performed, as part of infertility work up, to exclude any adnexal pathology such as endometrioma, hydrosalpinx, or any uterine pathology, such as uterine fibroid and endometrial polyps. In addition, TV 2D US was used to determine the orientation of the uterus and to facilitate insertion of a catheter into the cervical canal for instillation of the saline at time of SIH. The study was performed at the mid-follicular phase of the menstrual cycle or while the patient was on oral contraceptives.

This represented the IILFM. If the two values were available on TV 3D US, and TV 3D US with SIH, a mean value was obtained; otherwise, the one available value was used. Based on internal indentation length on TV 3D US, the patients were divided into 4 subgroups. The internal indentation length of subgroups 1, 2, 3, and 4 were 0.00 mm, 1–4.9 mm, 5–9.9 mm, and ≥10 mm, respectively.

We compared internal indentation length on hysteroscopy to the internal indentation length on TV 3D US with or without SIH in patients with IUS, significant

Fig. 1  
A picture that illustrates the length of various parts of a straight resectoscope loop electrode of the ACMI hysteroscope.  
A picture that illustrates how a straight resectoscope loop electrode was used to indirectly measure the internal indentation length.  
A picture that illustrates how a straight resectoscope loop electrode was used to directly measure the internal indentation length.  
a-c The blue arrow is pointed to the metal electrode, 5 mm in length, and the black arrow is pointed to the yellow insulator, 10 mm in length after the septum was divided.
AUA and the overall population. In addition, based on the findings on hysteroscopy, this comparison was repeated for patients with moderate internal indentation length (10–14 mm) and those with significant internal indentation length (≥15 mm). We also calculated the incidence of all subgroups (1–4) in patients with IUS and AUA in the total population, in patients with moderate significant, and in those with significant internal indentation length. Statistical analysis was performed using Student’s paired T test, chi-square analysis, and correlation analysis where appropriate.

Results
Table 1 summarizes demographic data. The mean IILFM measured in millimeter on hysteroscopy was significantly higher than the IILFM measured on TV 3D US with or without SIH, in patients with IUS (16.7 ± 5.5 vs 5.5 ± 4.2; \( P < 0.001 \)), in patients with significant AUA (12.9 ± 2.8 vs 3.9 ± 4.6; \( P < 0.001 \)), and in the overall population (13.8 ± 4.0 vs 4.3 ± 4.5; \( P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 4). A correlation analysis between the findings on hysteroscopy and the findings on TV 3D US revealed a moderate correlation for IUS (\( r = 0.436, P < 0.001 \)) and a weak correlation for patients with significant AUA (\( r = 0.192, P < 0.001 \)) and a weak correlation for the overall population (\( r = 0.299, P < 0.001 \)).

The data was then analyzed for patients who only had a moderate internal indentation length on hysteroscopy (length 10–14 mm). The mean IILFM measured in millimeter on hysteroscopy was significantly higher than that measured on TV 3D US with or without SIH, in patients with IUS (11.2 ± 1.2 vs 3.7 ± 3.0; \( P < 0.001 \)), in patients without SIH, in patients with IUS (16.7 ± 5.5 vs 5.5 ± 4.2; \( P < 0.001 \)), in patients with significant AUA (12.9 ± 2.8 vs 3.9 ± 4.6; \( P < 0.001 \)), and in the overall population (13.8 ± 4.0 vs 4.3 ± 4.5; \( P < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 4). A correlation analysis between the findings on hysteroscopy and the findings on TV 3D US revealed a moderate correlation for IUS (\( r = 0.436, P < 0.001 \)) and a weak correlation for patients with significant AUA (\( r = 0.192, P < 0.001 \)) and a weak correlation for the overall population (\( r = 0.299, P < 0.001 \)).

The data was then analyzed for patients who only had a moderate internal indentation length on hysteroscopy (length 10–14 mm). The mean IILFM measured in millimeter on hysteroscopy was significantly higher than that measured on TV 3D US with or without SIH, in patients with IUS (11.2 ± 1.2 vs 3.7 ± 3.0; \( P < 0.001 \)), in patients

**Fig. 2** a A picture that illustrates how the internal indentation length (IILFM) was measured on TV 3D US by measuring the distance from the midpoint of the line joining the internal tubal ostia and the bottom of indentation of the cavity in a patient with an incomplete uterine septum.

b A picture that illustrates the corresponding hysteroscopic appearance of the uterine fundus of the patient whose TV 3D US is illustrated in Fig. 2a.

**Fig. 3** a A picture that illustrates how the internal indentation length (IILFM) was measured on TV 3D US by measuring the distance from the midpoint of the line joining the internal tubal ostia and the bottom of indentation of the cavity in a patient with a significant arcuate uterine anomaly.

b A picture that illustrates the corresponding hysteroscopic appearance of the uterine fundus of the patient whose TV 3D US is illustrated in Figure 3a.
with significant AUA (11.0 \pm 1.1 vs 3.2 \pm 2.8; P < 0.001), and in the overall population (11.0 \pm 1.2 vs 3.2 \pm 2.8; P < 0.001) (Fig. 5). A correlation analysis between the findings on hysteroscopy and the findings on TV 3D US revealed no statistically significant correlation for IUS (r = 0.175, P = 0.315) and weak statistically correlations for AUA (r = 0.143, P < 0.0) and for the overall population (r = 0.151, P < 0.05).

The data was then analyzed for patients who only had a significant internal indentation length on hysteroscopy (\geq 15 mm). The mean IILFM measured in millimeter on hysteroscopy was significantly higher than that measured on TV 3D US with or without SIH, in patients with IUS (18.6 \pm 5.2 vs 6.1 \pm 4.4; P < 0.001), in patients with significant AUA (15.7 \pm 2.1 vs 5.0 \pm 6.3; P < 0.001), and in the overall population (16.8 \pm 3.8 vs 5.4 \pm 5.6; P < 0.001) (Fig. 6). A correlation analysis between the findings on hysteroscopy and the findings on TV 3D US revealed a low-moderate correlation for IUS (r = 0.396, P < 0.001), no statistically significant correlation for significant AUA (r = 0.034, P = 0.668), and a weak correlation for the overall population (r = 0.202, P < 0.01).

Table 1 Demographic data

|                      | Incomplete uterine septum No. = 134 | Significant arcuate uterine anomaly No. = 412 | P value | Overall total population No. = 546 |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|
| Age (years)          | 31.6 \pm 4.6                        | 32.5 \pm 5.0                                   | 0.052   | 32.5 \pm 5.0                      |
| Duration of infertility (years) | 2.8 \pm 2.1                        | 3.0 \pm 2.3                                   | NS      | 2.9 \pm 3.0                       |
| % Primary infertility | 73.4%                               | 71.0%                                         | NS      | 71.6%                             |
| BMI (kg/m²)          | 26.4 \pm 9.2                        | 26.2 \pm 8.0                                   | NS      | 26.3 \pm 8.5                      |
| Day 3 FSH (mIU/ml)   | 6.6 \pm 3.3                         | 7.0 \pm 3.4                                   | NS      | 7.0 \pm 3.5                       |
| Day 3 LH (mIU/ml)    | 5.8 \pm 3.4                         | 6.1 \pm 3.8                                   | NS      | 6.0 \pm 3.7                       |
| Prolactin (ng/ml)    | 12.7 \pm 8.1                        | 14.5 \pm 13.2                                 | NS      | 14.3 \pm 12.6                     |
| TSH (uIU/ml)         | 2.0 \pm 1.7                         | 2.0 \pm 1.6                                   | NS      | 2.0 \pm 1.6                       |
| % With history of miscarriage | 15.5%                           | 19.8%                                         | NS      | 18.7%                             |
| % Male infertility   | 34.2%                               | 38.9%                                         | NS      | 37.0%                             |
| % Ovulatory disorder | 32.3%                               | 29.2%                                         | NS      | 28.4%                             |
| % Tubal factors      | 23.7%                               | 27.7%                                         | NS      | 26.2%                             |
| % Endometriosis      | 52.6%                               | 46.7%                                         | NS      | 48.8%                             |

Fig. 4 Comparison between the mean IILFM measured in millimeter on hysteroscopy and on TV 3D US with or without SIH, in patients with IUS, significant AUA, and in the overall population in all patients

Fig. 5 Comparison between the mean IILFM measured in millimeter on hysteroscopy and on TV 3D US with or without SIH, in patients with IUS, significant AUA, and in the overall population in all patients

*P<0.001
*P<0.001

**Fig. 5** Comparison between the mean IILFM measured in mm on hysteroscopy and on TV 3D US with or without SIH, in patients with IUS, significant AUA, and in the overall population in patients with moderate internal indentation length (10–14 mm)

*P<0.001

**Fig. 6** Comparison between the mean IILFM measured in mm on hysteroscopy and on TV 3D US with or without SIH, in patients with IUS, significant AUA, and in the overall population in patients with significant internal indentation length (≥ 15 mm)
Fifty-two percent of patients had moderate internal indentation length on hysteroscopy, while 48.0% had significant internal indentation length. There was no evidence of any internal indentation length (0.00 mm) on TV 3D US with or without SIH in 161 patients (29.5%) [subgroup 1]. The internal indentation length on TV 3D US with or without SIH was found to be 1–4.9 mm in 159 patients (29.1%) [subgroup 2]. The internal indentation length on TV 3D US with or without SIH was found to be 5–9.9 mm in 199 patients (36.4%) [subgroup 3]. The internal indentation length on TV 3D US with or without SIH was found to be ≥ 10 mm in 27 patients (4.9%) [subgroup 4].

Table 2 illustrates that in patients with a moderate internal indentation (10–14 mm) on hysteroscopy, there was no significant difference in percentage of patient who had IUS vs AUA irrespective of the length of the internal indentation on TV 3D US in 4 subgroups. The majority of such patients (71.8%) had either no internal indentation (36.6%) or very subtle internal indentation (1–4.9 mm) [35.2%] on TV 3D US. The remaining patients (28.2%) had an internal indentation on TV 3D US that was between 5 and 9.9 mm (27.1%) or ≥ 10 mm (1.1%). Table 3 illustrates that in patients with a significant internal indentation (≥ 15 mm) on hysteroscopy, there was no significant difference in percentage of patients who had IUS vs AUA irrespective of the length of the internal indentation on TV 3D US in the 4 subgroups. The majority of such patients (55.7%) had either an internal indentation on TV 3D US that was between 5 and 9.9 mm (46.5%) or ≥ 10 mm (9.2%). The remaining patients (44.3%) had no internal indentation on TV 3D US (21.8%) or very subtle internal indentation (1–4.9 mm) [22.5%].

**Discussion**

This is the first study to suggest that TV 3D US is not accurate in measuring the internal indentation length in patients with IUS or AUA when compared to a diagnostic hysteroscopy. Measurement on TV 3D US consistently underestimates the IILFM compared to the actual measurement on diagnostic hysteroscopy. Such findings were confirmed irrespective of the type of the anomaly or the actual length of the internal indentation as measured on diagnostic hysteroscopy. Patients with moderate internal indentation length (10–14 mm) and those with significant internal indentation length (≥ 15 mm) on diagnostic hysteroscopy had such lesions underestimated on TV 3D US with or without SIH. In turn, our data suggests that there may be an element of uterine factor in some infertile patients that goes undiagnosed if one relies on TV 3D US with or without SIH. Such uterine anomalies may also be found in some patients with unexplained infertility. It may also explain a possible etiology for repeated implantation failure after various infertility treatments including IVF-ET. The presence of uterine septum has been linked to repeated implantation failure [25]. In addition, our findings may in part explain the variable incidence of uterine septum and AUA in infertile patients in the literature [26]. It is not clear as to why the measurement of the internal indentation length of IUS and AUA on TV 3D US is not accurate. However, volume transvaginal ultrasound pictures are computer generated, and therefore, it is possible that the IILFM in the generated pictures is not accurate.

Published data in the literature suggests that TV 3D US is the gold standard for the diagnosis of septate, subseptate, and AUA with high degree of sensitivity and specificity [8, 14]. However, most of this literature does not clearly differentiate between patients with complete septum and IUS, and between those with subtle and significant IUS [9]. Patients with complete uterine septum and those with significant IUS could arguably be suspected on TV 2D US (in transverse view) or even on HSG, albeit such tests cannot differentiate between such anomalies, and complete or incomplete bicornuate uterus because of lack of evaluation of the external fundal contour [31]. In addition, the data in the literature on the use of TV 3D US for the diagnosis of AUA is both limited and confusing. Such studies may have also included patients with subtle arcuate uterine anomaly of no clinical significance. In a study by Graupera et. al. 2015, that compared TV 3D US to MRI in diagnosis of Müllerian anomalies, using the ESHRE–ESGE consensus, all patients had a significant pathology as they were initially suspected on TV 2D US [9] Moini et. al. 2013.

**Table 2** Percentage of patients with IUS and AUA and moderate internal indentation (10–14 mm) on hysteroscopy, in 4 subgroups based on the internal indentation length on TV 3D US with or without SIH

| Subgroup | IILFM (0.00 mm) on TV 3D US | Significant arcuate uterine anomaly | P value | Overall total population |
|----------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-------------------------|
| Subgroup 1, IILFM | 11 (31.4%) | 93 (37.3%) | NS | 104 (36.6%) |
| Subgroup 2, IILFM | 13 (37.1%) | 87 (34.9%) | NS | 100 (35.2%) |
| Subgroup 3, IILFM | 9 (25.7%) | 68 (27.3%) | NS | 77 (27.1%) |
| Subgroup 4, IILFM | 2 (5.7%) | 1 (0.4%) | NS | 3 (1.1%) |
compared the findings on TV 3D US to hysteroscopy and laparoscopy in patients with suspected uterine septum and reported that TV 3D US was more accurate in long complete septum and less accurate in IUS and AUA [24]. The later findings are similar to that in our study.

Several publications, albeit with small sample size, that reported on the accuracy of TV 3D US in diagnosis of IUS or AUA relied on comparison to office hysteroscopy [7, 8, 17]. Many investigators found office hysteroscopy to be a valuable method to screen for congenital anomalies of the uterine cavity [7, 8, 17]. However, we believe that such subtle anomalies can be missed if uterine distension is not adequate, as commonly happens during office hysteroscopy to avoid patients’ discomfort [19, 27, 28]. A recent video abstract suggested that even a diagnostic hysteroscopy, performed under modified general anesthesia, can miss the diagnosis of such anomalies if uterine distension is suboptimal [3]. In addition, the narrow and small view on office hysteroscopy can make the evaluation for such anomalies difficult, especially if the patient is uncomfortable. Furthermore, a study that reported on the reproducibility of diagnosing intrauterine abnormalities through office hysteroscopy, found that the interobserver agreement appeared to be disappointing [15]. In a study by Smit et. al. 2013, it was shown that in infertile patients the international agreement on the diagnosis of the septate uterus and arcuate uterus by office hysteroscopy appeared to be rather disappointing [27]. The same findings were found in a subsequent study by the same authors even when some diagnostic criteria were used at time of office hysteroscopy [28]. Therefore, the consensus on the accuracy of office hysteroscopy during assessment of the uterine shape seemed to be poor, especially for the less profound variations. However, the suggestion that office hysteroscopy is less accurate than a diagnostic hysteroscopy under sedation in diagnosis of IUS and AUA can only be confirmed by a prospective comparative study.

In a prospective study of patients with recurrent pregnancy loss, who were suspected to have septate, subseptate, and AUA, TV 3D US was extremely accurate in making the diagnosis of such anomalies, as confirmed on subsequent diagnostic hysteroscopy and laparoscopy

Table 3 Percentage of patients with IUS and AUA and a significant internal indentation (≥ 15 mm) on hysteroscopy, in 4 subgroups based on the internal indentation length on TV 3D US with or without SIH

| Subgroup 1, IILFM (0.00 mm) on TV 3D US | Subgroup 2, IILFM (1–4.9 mm) on TV 3D US | Subgroup 3, IILFM (5–9.9 mm) on TV 3D US | Subgroup 4, IILFM (≥ 10 mm) on TV 3D US | Overall total population |
|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Incomplete uterine septum              | Significant Arcuate uterine anomaly     | P value                                | Overall total population               |
| No. = 99                               | No. = 163                              |                                        | No. = 262                              |
| 18 (18.2%)                             | 39 (23.9%)                             | NS                                     | 57 (21.8%)                             |
| 17 (17.2%)                             | 42 (25.8%)                             | NS                                     | 59 (22.5%)                             |
| 51 (51.5%)                             | 71 (43.6%)                             | NS                                     | 122 (46.5%)                            |
| 13 (13.1%)                             | 11 (6.7%)                              | NS                                     | 24 (9.2%)                              |

[8]. In the same study, the authors reported that a negative study on TV 3D US was also accurate in ruling out such anomalies as confirmed on subsequent office hysteroscopy [8]. In contrast, 29.5% of the patients in our study were found to have no evidence of any internal indentation on TV 3D US with or without SIH (0.00 mm) [subgroup 1]. Therefore, our findings are not in agreement with those of Ghi et. al. 2009 [8]. If the recommendation by Ghi et. al. 2009 [8] is followed, 29.5% of the patients in our study (subgroup 1) would have been considered to have no IUS or significant AUA based on TV 3D US. In addition, another 29.1% of the patients in our study with IILFM of 1–4.9 mm (subgroup 2) would have also been considered normal with respect to such Müllerian anomaly based on TV 3D US. Furthermore, an additional 36.4% of the patients in our study with IILFM of 5–9.9 mm (subgroup 3) would also have been considered a variant of normal based on TV 3D US. This group of patients (subgroup 3) with IILFM of 5–9.9 mm on TV 3D US would have been considered to have insignificant internal indentation length, irrespective of its appearance, according to recent ASRM guidelines [30]. In such patients, a hysteroscopy would not be recommended and in turn the diagnosis would have been missed. On the other hand, these patients (subgroup 3) would be considered to have an IUS, irrespective of its appearance, according to ESHRE/ESGE classification [12]. All in all, in only 4.9% of our patients, TV 3D US with or without SIH would have revealed an internal indentation length of ≥ 10 mm (subgroup 4) and in turn a correct diagnosis would have been made according to the criteria used in our study providing that one does not follow the recent ASRM guidelines, which suggest that IUS is defined as an internal indentation length of ≥ 15 mm. While in 95.4% of the population studied, TV 3D US would have been most likely interpreted as normal or a variant of normal for such Müllerian anomaly [30]. It is worth of note that even if the new classification of ESHRE/ESGE regarding uterine septum (IILFM more > 50% of myometrial thickness) was used in our study, only 41.0% of the patients (subgroup 3 and subgroup 4) would have been suspected [12].

It is important to discuss our findings in context with the recent guidelines of ASRM and ESHRE/ESGE
classification [12, 30]. Some of our patients with AUA and internal indentation length of 5–9.9 mm (subgroup 3) on TV 3D US would have been diagnosed as arcuate uterus, but it would have been thought to be of no clinical significance, based on the recent ASRM guidelines [30]. On the other hand, all the patients in our study who had AUA with IILFM ≥ 10 mm on hysteroscopy would have been considered unclassifiable by the recent guidelines of ASRM 2016. In that context, it is worth of note that some investigators defined AUA as those with arcuate appearance and indentation length to be between 1.0 and 1.5 cm [18]. In contrast, all the patients in our study would have been considered to have an IUS based on ESHRE/ESGE classification [12].

It should be emphasized that TV 3D US is an essential part of work-up of infertile patients. The value of TV 3D US in the diagnosis of IUS and AUA is well established, and therefore, it is a mandatory step in the assessment of the uterine cavity in patients with a suspected IUS, AUA, or bicornuate uterus, especially before planning operative hysteroscopy. TV 3D US is a noninvasive and a reproducible method that can provide information about both the external contour and the uterine cavity at the same time. Hysteroscopy alone (without laparoscopy) cannot differentiate between septate and bicornuate uterus and should only be performed after TV 3D US is done in such patients.

Our study has its limitations. First, it is a retrospective study with all the limitation related to this design. Second is the fact that TV 3D UD was performed in the follicular phase. Many authors suggested better view in the luteal phase as the endometrium is more prominent [8]. However, in our experience we did not find that to be a limiting factor to have good visualization of the endometrial cavity. Another limitation in our study is the fact that the technique used to measure the internal indentation length at the fundal midline (IILFM) has not been validated and it may not be perfectly accurate. However, even if that is the case, the difference may be few millimeter and in turn may not impact the overall conclusions of this study. More studies are needed to confirm our finding. In future studies, the use of a novel graduated intrauterine palpator described recently by Di Spiezzo et al. 2016 [6] can enhance the accuracy of measurement of IILFM on hysteroscopy. Another limitation is the operator bias, as all surgeries were performed by the senior author, who was aware of the TV 3D US findings prior to surgery. On the other hand, this may be considered a strength of the study. Despite the negative results on TV 3D US, the operator was not influenced by such findings at the time of hysteroscopy. The strength of this study stems from the fact that all the data is from one center, which eliminates variability in diagnostic measures by the operators. In addition, another area of strength is the large sample size included in this study.

Conclusion
Data in this study suggests that mean IILFM in patients with IUS or AUA can be underestimated on TV 3D US. It appears that a diagnostic hysteroscopy by an experienced reproductive surgeon is the only gold standard to make the correct diagnosis in these patients. Our findings may have tremendous implications in management of infertility patients. Additional prospective studies are needed to confirm our findings.
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