Does Temperature Effects the Growth of Cracks in a Submarine Volcano That is under a Hot-spot?
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Abstract In this paper we investigated if temperature effects the growth of cracks in a submarine volcano that was under a hot-spot. We based upon theory of fracture mechanics for and we showed that the undersea volcano will be exploded and a sea-whirlpool will be gene-rated. Our findings are verified by studies that recorded the above phenomenon in certain places of the Earth. Thus we concluded that temperature significantly contributes to the growth of cracks.
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1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to investigate if temperature effects the growth of cracks in an submarine volcano that is under a hot-spot. For that reason we will base upon fracture mechanics theory and we will distinguish two cases: i) the volcano had a macrocrack and ii) the volcano had not a macrocrack.

2. The Problem and Its Physical Approximation

i) The structure of the Earth

The inner of the Earth consists of three elastic layers indicated in Figure 1: the crust, the mantle and the core [1-10]. The crust divides into continental and oceanic. The last is less thicker than continental crust and consists mainly from basalt rock [10-15]. Volcanism is an open path, originated in the inner core of the Earth and alloys the flow of lava by erupting liquid rocks and gasses from mantle to the surface of the crust [16,17,18,19,20]. Submarine volcano is a volcano that lies either: in the bottom of the ocean, or in the ocean crust.

Hot spots [21] develop above the mantle plumes and are places within the mantle, where rock melt to generate magma. The produced magma rises thought the rigid plates of lithosphere and create active submarine volcanoes as indicated in Figure 2. The above is inferred as anomalous volcanism [10,22,23,24,25,26,27].

ii) The 2D- model

We deal in 2D-plane with a submarine volcano ABCD, that lies in the ocean crust and is under a hot–spot, as indicated in Figure 3. The volcano consists from homogenous material: basalt rock. We will study the mechanic behavior of its orthogonal KLNM. In present work tensile and compressive stresses are positive and negative respectively.

Initially, At t=0 the volcano ABCD and therefore its orthogonal KLNM was in non-active state and had a temperature θ_0 of the order of 0°C. This temperature is indicative in the bottom of the ocean [10,14]. At t>0 a hot-spot whose temperature is about 200-300°C comes to contact with the lower side of the orthogonal KLNM indicated in Figure 2 and Figure 3. As a result of the above the orthogonal KLNM of the volcano is heating. Since basalt is an elastic material it follows:

\[ \varepsilon_{zz}(t) = \frac{\sigma_{zz}(t)}{E} + \alpha \Delta \theta(t) \]

\[ = \frac{\sigma_{zz}(t)}{E} + \alpha(\theta(t) - \theta_0) \]  

(2.1)

where \( \varepsilon_{zz} \), \( \sigma_{zz} \), \( E \), \( \alpha \), \( \Delta \theta \): are respectively the normal strain, the axial stress, the elasticity modulus, the coefficient of thermical dilation and the difference of temperature from its initial value \( \theta_0 \). Since both sides KL and MN of orthogonal KLNM are fixed it follows:

\[ u_z = 0 \]  

(2.2)

where \( u_z \) is the axial displacement. The last results

\[ \varepsilon_{zz} = 0 \]  

(2.3)

Substituting the above into (2.1), it is possible to obtain:

\[ \sigma_{zz}(t) = -E \alpha \Delta \theta(t) = -E \alpha(\theta(t) - \theta_0) < 0 \] for \( t > 0 \) (2.4)

that is an axial compressive stress \( \sigma_{zz}(t) \) is produced in the orthogonal KLNM during heating.
Figure 1. The structure of the Earth. Taken from: [11], p.3

Figure 2. A 3D view of a hot spot in Havai submarine volcano. Taken from [27]
3. The Growth of Crack

The cracks are divided to the following categories: structural, macrocracks, mesocracks and microcracks [28], p.16. Structural are the cracks whose length varies from some hundred metres until some kilometres. Macroscopic are ordinary cracks whose length varies from some centimeters until some metres. Mesocracks are the cracks whose length varies from some micrometres until some millimeters. Some of them are visible by naked eye and some others are invisible. Finally microcracks are invisible cracks whose length are of the order of micrometres.

We distinguish the following cases:

i) The orthogonal KLNM of the volcano had no visible cracks

However all bodies have invisible cracks [29,30]. This means that our volcano initially had mesomicrocracks. Basalt is a very strong rock and belongs to same category with chalazites, with the sense that both rocks have similar mechanical properties under compression [31,32]. With other words the process of the fracture of basalt is similar with those of chalazites.

As we stated earlier at t=0 the temperature of orthogonal KLNM was θ₀. At t=t₁>0 the temperature of the orthogonal KLNM increases due to contact with the hot-spot and becomes \( \theta(t₁) \) such that:

\[
\theta(t₁) = 0.6|\sigma_f| / E \alpha + \theta_0 > \theta_0 \quad (3.1)
\]

where \( |\sigma_f| \) is the absolute magnitude of the stress fracture of basalt under compression. Then from (2.4) it results that \( \sigma_{zz} = 0.6|\sigma_f| \). At this phase: i) new invisible mesomicrocracks are producing and ii) the preexisting invisible microcracks start growing [31], pp., 118-119.

At t=t₂ >t₁ the temperature ascends to \( \theta(t₂) \) and lies:

\[
2|\sigma_f| / 3E \alpha + \theta_0 \leq \theta(t₂) \leq 0.75|\sigma_f| / E \alpha + \theta_0. \quad (3.2)
\]

Finally at t = t₃> t₂ the temperature ascends to \( \theta(t₃) \) such that:

\[
\theta(t₃) \approx |\sigma_f| / E \alpha + \theta_0. \quad (3.3)
\]

Then from (2.4) it follows that \( 2/3|\sigma_f| \leq \sigma_{zz} \leq 0.75|\sigma_f| \). At present phase an increasing rate of arised mesomicrocracns is recorced [31], pp.118-119).

Finally at t = t₃> t₂ the temperature ascends to \( \theta(t₃) \) such that:

\[
\theta(t₃) \approx |\sigma_f| / E \alpha + \theta_0. \quad (3.3)
\]

Then from (2.4) it follows that \( \sigma_{zz} \approx |\sigma_f| \) and a visible crack is producing due to the union of mesomicrocracks. The last is fastly growing and splits the orthogonal KLNM into two pieces [[31], pp.118-119]. The process we described above and the final result indicated in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively.

Figure 4. The process of the fracture of an orthogonal specimen of a very strong rock that is under a compressive load \( \sigma \) described at five phases (a): The load becomes \( \sigma = 0.6\sigma_{max} \), where \( \sigma_{max} \) is the stress fracture and new microcracks are produced. (b): The load becomes \( \sigma = 0.95\sigma_{max} \) and the microcracks start unite and forms small visible cracks (c): As the load becomes \( \sigma = 0.98\sigma_{max} \), the small visible cracks unite and form a big crack which fastly increases and (d): is ready to split the specimen into two pieces as \( \sigma = \sigma_{max} \) (e): The final result is the fracture of the specimen. At the above process a stiff machine has been used. Taken from [[31], p.118]

Then from (2.4) it follows that \( 2/3|\sigma_f| \leq \sigma_{zz} \leq 0.75|\sigma_f| \).

At present phase an increasing rate of arised mesomicrocracns is recorced [31], pp.118-119).

Finally at t = t₃> t₂ the temperature ascends to \( \theta(t₃) \) such that:

\[
\theta(t₃) \approx |\sigma_f| / E \alpha + \theta_0. \quad (3.3)
\]

Then from (2.4) it follows that \( \sigma_{zz} \approx |\sigma_f| \) and a visible crack is producing due to the union of mesomicrocracks. The last is fastly growing and splits the orthogonal KLNM into two pieces [[31], pp.118-119]. The process we described above and the final result indicated in Figure 4 and Figure 5 respectively.

Figure 5. At time t=t₃ the orthogonal KLNM of the volcano splits into two pieces.
ii) The orthogonal KLMN had a visible crack

Assume that the orthogonal KLMN of the volcano ABCD had a visible elliptic crack with a big axis $EF=2c$ and small axis $GH=2b$. An angle $0 \leq \phi < 90^\circ$ was formed between the vertical direction and the small axis indicated in Figure 6. The length $EF=2c$ ranged between some meters until some hundred meters. Therefore our crack is characterized as macrostructural \cite{28}, p.16 and the laws of classical fracture mechanics continue to hold.

Since at $t=0$ the orthogonal KLMN was free of external stress, it results that the ends of the ellipse $E$ and $F$ were also free of stress. At $t=0$ the temperature of the orthogonal KLMN increases $\theta(t)$. Then a compressive stress $\sigma_{zz}$ is generated given by (2.4). As a consequence of the above, tensile stresses $\sigma_t$ are development at the ends of the crack $E$ and $F$ \cite{29,30,31,33}. The last is given by:

$$\sigma_t(1) = \sigma_{zz}(1) \cos \phi (\cos \phi - 1) / \xi_0 > 0 \quad (3.4)$$

where $\xi_0$ is a parameter of the ellipse \cite{31,33}.

At a particular time moment $t=t_k$ the temperature of the orthogonal KLMN becomes:

$$\theta(t_k) = \theta_0 + (2\gamma E / \pi c)^{1/2} \xi_0 / \cos \phi (1 - \cos \phi) \alpha E \quad (3.5)$$

where $\gamma$ is the surface energy of the basalt. Then (2.4) due to the above is written as:

$$\sigma_{zz}(t_k) = (2\gamma E / \pi c)^{1/2} \xi_0 / \cos \phi (1 - \cos \phi). \quad (3.6)$$

Consequently (3.4) because of (3.6) results to:

$$\sigma_t(t_k) = (2\gamma E / \pi c)^{1/2}. \quad (3.7)$$

The above physically means that at particular time moment $t=t_k$, the tensile stress coincides with the critical stress \cite{30,31,37}. As a consequence of the above the crack will grow and the direction of propagation can be calculated by \cite{31,33}:

$$d^2 \sigma_t(t_k) / d\phi^2 < 0. \quad (3.10)$$

The last is satisfied for $\phi=\phi_1=0$. The solution $\phi=0$ means that crack will grow at the ends of ellipse and will propagate parallelly to the axial compressive load $\sigma_K$. The last has been experimentally verified \cite{31,33-38,40}. From the other hand the preexisting elliptic crack will vanish and the propagation of crack will due to the union of invisible mesomicrocracks \cite{33,39}. At continuity the crack will grow and will split orthogonal KLMN in Figure 7, Figure 8 and Figure 9 for cases of: central, lowest and upper crack respectively.

![Figure 6](image)

**Figure 6.** An elliptic crack with a big axis $EF$ forms an angle $0 \leq \phi < 90^\circ$ with the vertical direction and a small axis $GH$.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** (a) The orthogonal KLMN has a central elliptic crack. (b) The crack fastly grows at the ends of big axis of ellipse and paralelly directs to compressive load $\sigma_K$ \cite{29-38,40}. The elliptic crack vanishes and the propagation of crack in that area due to the union of invisible mesomicrocracks \cite{39}.

![Figure 8](image)

**Figure 8.** (a) The orthogonal KLMN had an elliptic crack such that the lowest end of the bix axis 0 belonged to NM side. (b) The crack fastly grows at the upper end of the big axis of the ellipse and parallely directs to compressive load $\sigma_K$ \cite{31,33,34,35,36}. The elliptic crack vanishes and the propagation of the crack in that area due to the union of invisible mesomicrocracks \cite{39}.

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** (a) The orthogonal KLMN had an elliptic crack such that the upper end of the bix axis 0 belonged to KL side. (b) The crack fastly grows at the lower end of the big axis of the ellipse and parallely directs to the compressive load $\sigma_K$ \cite{31,34,35,36,37,38,40}. The elliptic crack vanishes and the propagation of crack in that area due to the union of invisible microcracks \cite{39}.
4. The Erruption of the Volcano and the Formation of a Sea-whirpool

i) The erruption of the submarine volcano

As a result of the process we described above the orthogonal KLNM will split into two pieces and a pipe duke will be formed. The last is illustrated in Figure 10. for both cases we studied. After the fracture the pipe duke will immediately be filled up with the magma of hot-spot. Then the mass $m$ of magma will be under a force $F$:

$$F = m \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta t}$$

where:

$$\Delta t = t - t_o \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta v = v - v_o$$

where: $t_o$ and $v_o$ are respectively the time moment at which the magma filled up the pipe duke of volcano and its velocity.

Since $\Delta t \to 0$, from (4.1) it results that $F \to +\infty$ which means that volcano will be erupted. After that pipe duke will be filled up with the water of the ocean as indicated in Figure 11.

ii) The formation of the sea-whirpool

Suppose that a solid body mass $m$, whose specific gravity overcomes the specific gravity of water is at point S of the surface of the ocean, indicated in Figure 11. Then accordingly of the principle of conservation of energy:

$$mg(h_1 + h_2\sin\omega) - E_b - E_r = 0.5mv_T^2 - 4\gamma h_2\sin\omega$$

where $0 < \omega \leq 90^\circ$, $h_1$, $h_2$ are respectively: the depths of the ocean and of pipe duke of the volcano, $mg(h_1 + h_2\sin\omega)$ is the dynamic energy due to position of the body, $E_b$ is the energy due to its buoyancy, $E_r$ is the energy due to the resistance of the water. Also $v_T$ is the velocity of the body when it contacts the point T at the bottom of the pipe duke and $0.5mv_T^2$ is its kinetic energy. Finally $-4\gamma h_2\sin\omega$ is the surface energy of the crack that filled up by magma. The sign “−” is imposed here since surface energy is a subtracted energy from the elastic energy of basalt, during the growth of crack [30].

From (4.3) it is possible to obtain:

$$v_T = \sqrt{\frac{2g(h_1 + h_2\sin\omega)}{[\frac{1}{2}\gamma + \frac{1}{2}gh_2\sin\omega - (E_b + E_r)]/m}}$$

If there was not a submarine volcano and the depth $h_2$ was due to an abyssal plain indicated in Figure 12. (4.3) would be written as:

$$mg(h_1 + h_2\sin\omega) - E_b - E_r = 0.5mv_T^2 - \gamma h_2^2$$

From the above it results:

$$v_T = \sqrt{\frac{2g(h_1 + h_2\sin\omega) - (E_b + E_r)}{m}}$$

Assume that we deal with a metal body and neglect its buoyancy and the resistance of the water. Then (4.4) and (4.6) become respectively:

$$v_T = \sqrt{\frac{2g(h_1 + h_2\sin\omega)}{2gh_2}}$$

and $\tilde{v}_T = \sqrt{\frac{2g(h_1 + h_2\sin\omega)}{2gh_2}}$.

Figure 12. Abyssal plain is the vast area of the deep oceanic floor

Compare the expressions in (4.7). We observe that:

i) At case at which the depth $h_2$ was due to abyssal plain, the velocity given by (4.7) is smaller compared with the velocity given by (4.7) for our case.

ii) In (4.7) the acceleration of gravity that corresponds to the depth $h_1$ has the normal value $g$. However the acceleration of gravity that corresponds to the depth $h_2\sin\omega$ declines from normal value because is overestimated $+2\gamma/m$. This phenomenon in the sea nature is the well-known “sea-whirpool”. Therefore after the erruption of submarine volcano, a sea-whirpool will be produced and its centre will be at point S.
5. Discussion–Conclusion

Our model agrees with results that recorded the above phenomenon in certain places in the Earth [41,42,43,44] and particularly in Socorro Island of Mexico [41,44], in Azores [43,44] and in Monowai [43,44].

Thus we concluded that temperature significantly contributes to the growth of the cracks in a submarine volcano that is under a hot spot by increasing the length of crack and resulting to the fracture of the body.
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