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In this paper, we study finite-order entire solutions of nonlinear differential-difference equations and solve a conjecture proposed by Chen, Gao, and Zhang when the solution is an exponential polynomial. We also find that any exponential polynomial solution of a nonlinear difference equation should have special forms.

1. Introduction and Main Result

Extensive application of Nevanlinna theory has prompted scholars to acquire a number of results on differential equations, difference equations, and differential-difference equations. In this paper, we assume readers are familiar with the standard notations and fundamental results, see [1–4].

Given a meromorphic function \( f \) and a constant \( c \). We take \( c \neq 1 \) for simplicity. \( \Delta f(z) = f(z+1) - f(z) \) and \( \Delta^n f(z) = \Delta(\Delta^{n-1} f(z)) \) are the first-order difference operator and \( n \)-th order difference operator of \( f \), respectively. We adopt the notations \( \rho(f) \) and \( \lambda(f) \) to denote the order and the exponent of convergence of zeros of \( f \), respectively.

Recall the definition of exponential polynomial of the form

\[
\Gamma_0 = \left\{ e^{\alpha(z)} \middle| \alpha(z) \text{ is a nonconstant polynomial} \right\}, \\
\Gamma_1 = \left\{ e^{\alpha(z)} + d \middle| \alpha(z) \text{ is a nonconstant polynomial and } d \in \mathbb{C} \right\}, \\
\Gamma_0' = \left\{ p(z)e^{\alpha(z)} \middle| p(z) \text{ is a polynomial and } \alpha(z) \text{ is a nonconstant polynomial} \right\}.
\]

Many papers recently have focused on solvability and existence of solutions of nonlinear differential-difference equations, see [5–16].

In 2012, Wen et al. [17] classified finite-order entire solutions of the following nonlinear difference equation:

\[
f^n(z) + q(z)e^{Q(z)} f(z + c) = P(z),
\]

where \( n \geq 2 \) is an integer and \( q(z) \), \( Q(z) \), and \( P(z) \) are polynomials such that \( q(z) \) is not identically zero and \( Q(z) \) is not a constant. They obtained the following result.
**Theorem 1** (see [17]). Let $n \geq 2$ be an integer, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, and $q(z)$, $P(z)$ be polynomials such that $q(z)$ is not identically zero and $P(z)$ is not a constant. Then, the finite-order entire solutions $f$ of equation (3) should satisfy the following:

(a) Every solution $f$ satisfies $\rho(f) = \deg Q$ and is of mean type.

(b) Every solution $f$ satisfies $\lambda(f) = \rho(f)$ if and only if $P(z) \equiv 0$.

(c) A solution $f$ belongs to $\Gamma_0$ if and only if $P(z) \equiv 0$. In particular, this is the case $n \geq 3$.

(d) If a solution $f$ belongs to $\Gamma_0$ and $g$ is any other finite-order entire solution to equation (3), then $f \equiv \eta g$, where $\eta^{n-1} = 1$.

(e) If $f$ is an exponential polynomial solution of (1), then $f \in \Gamma_1$. Moreover, if $f \in \Gamma_1/\Gamma_0$, then $\rho(f) = 1$.

In 2016, Liu [9] investigated finite-order transcendental entire solutions of the following nonlinear differential-difference equation:

$$f^n(z) + q(z)e^{Q(z)}f^{(k)}(z + c) = P(z), \quad (4)$$

where $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 1$ are integers and $q(z)$, $Q(z)$, and $P(z)$ are polynomials such that $q(z)$ is not identically zero and $Q(z)$ is not a constant. He obtained a result which is similar to Theorem 1.

In 2019, Chen et al. [6] considered solutions of equation (3), where $P(z)$ is replaced by $p_1 e^{kz} + p_2 e^{-\lambda z}$. They obtained the following result.

**Theorem 2** (see [6]). Let $n \geq 3$ be an integer and $c$, $\lambda$, $p_1$, and $p_2$ be nonzero constants. Suppose $q(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are polynomials such that $q(z)$ is nonvanishing and $Q(z)$ is not a constant. If $f$ is an entire solution of finite order of

$$f^n(z) + q(z)e^{Q(z)}f(z + c) = p_1 e^{kz} + p_2 e^{-\lambda z}, \quad (5)$$

then the following conclusions hold:

(1) Every solution $f$ satisfies $\rho(f) = \deg Q = 1$.

(2) If a solution $f$ belongs to $\Gamma_0$, then $\rho(f)$ must be a constant and one of the following two relation groups holds:

(a) $f(z) = e^{(L/n)z+B}$ and $Q(z) = -(n+1)/n \lambda z + b$.

(b) $f(z) = e^{-(L/n)z+B}$ and $Q(z) = (n+1)/n \lambda z + b$.

where both $b$ and $B$ are constants.

Remark 1. Chen et al. [6] gave an example: $f(z) = e^z$ is an entire solution of finite order of the following difference equation:

$$f^2(z) + 2e^{3z}f(z - \log 2) = e^{2z} + e^{-2z} \quad (6)$$

From the example, they conjectured that the conclusions of Theorem 2 are still valid if $n = 2$.

We consider the conjecture and prove a more generalized result. Moreover, we solve Chen, Gao, and Zhang’s conjecture when $f(z)$ is an exponential polynomial of form (1).

**Theorem 3.** Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer and $c$, $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $p_1$, and $p_2$ be nonzero constants such that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. Suppose $q(z)$ is a nonvanishing polynomial and $Q(z)$ is a nonconstant polynomial. If the differential-difference equation

$$f^2(z) + q(z)e^{Q(z)}f^{(k)}(z + c) = p_1 e^{\alpha_1 z} + p_2 e^{\alpha_2 z}, \quad (7)$$

has a transcendental entire solution $f$, then

(1) Every solution $f$ satisfies $\rho(f) = \deg Q \geq 1$.

(2) If $f$ is an exponential polynomial of form (1), then $\rho(f) = \deg Q = 1$.

(3) If $f$ belongs to $\Gamma_0$, then one of the following two relation groups holds:

(a) $f(z) = g(z)e^{(\alpha_1/2)z+B}$, $Q(z) = (\alpha_1 - (\alpha_2/2))z + b,$

$$\rho(f) = p_2, \quad \text{and} \quad q(z)[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k}{s}\right)(\alpha_2/2)^k] \neq 1$$

(b) $f(z) = g(z)e^{(\alpha_1/2)z+B}$, $Q(z) = (\alpha_2 - (\alpha_1/2))z + b$,

$$\rho(f) = p_1, \quad \text{and} \quad (g(z))^2 \neq 1 e^{\alpha_1(\alpha_2/2)z+B} = p_2, \quad \text{where both } b \text{ and } B \text{ are constants and } g(z) \text{ is a polynomial.}$$

**Corollary 1.** Let $k \geq 0$ be an integer and $c$, $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $p_1$, and $p_2$ be nonzero constants such that $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$. Suppose $q(z)$ is a nonvanishing polynomial and $Q(z)$ is a nonconstant polynomial. If the differential-difference equation (7) has solutions $f$ satisfying $f \in \Gamma_0$, then $\rho(f) = \deg Q = 1$ and $q(z)$ must be a constant and one of the following two relation groups holds:

(1) $f(z) = e^{(\alpha_1/2)z+B}$, $Q(z) = (\alpha_1 - (\alpha_2/2))z + b,$

$$\rho(f) = p_2, \quad \text{and} \quad q(z)[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_2/2)^k] e^{\alpha_1(\alpha_2/2)z+B} = p_1, \quad \text{where both } b \text{ and } B \text{ are constants.}$$

(2) $f(z) = e^{(\alpha_1/2)z+B}$, $Q(z) = (\alpha_2 - (\alpha_1/2))z + b,$

$$\rho(f) = p_1, \quad \text{and} \quad q(z)[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\alpha_2/2)^k] e^{(\alpha_1(\alpha_2/2)z+B} = p_2, \quad \text{where both } b \text{ and } B \text{ are constants.}$$

Next, we give two examples to illustrate equation (7).

**Example 1.** $f(z) = e^{z+mi}$ is an entire solution of finite order of the following differential-difference equation:

$$f^2(z) + 2e^{3z}f'(z - \pi i) = 2e^{2z} + e^{3z}, \quad (8)$$

where $k = 1$, $\alpha_1 = -2$, $\alpha_2 = 2$, and $(g(z))^2 e^{2B} = 1 = p_2$. Thus, case (1) occurs.

**Example 2.** $f(z) = 2e^{2z}$ is an entire solution of finite order of the following difference equation:

$$f^2(z) + 3e^{z-mi}f(z + 2\pi i) = 4e^{4z} - 6e^{3z}, \quad (9)$$

where $k = 0$, $\alpha_1 = 4$, $\alpha_2 = 3$, and $(g(z))^2 e^{2B} = 4 = p_1$. Thus, case (2) occurs.
In 2015, Zhang et al. [18] studied the existence of entire solutions of the following nonlinear difference equation:

\[ f^3(z) + q_3(z) \Delta^3 f + q_2(z) \Delta^3 f + q_1(z) \Delta f = \lambda_1 e^{az} + \lambda_2 e^{-az} + p(z). \]  

(10)

They obtained the following result.

**Theorem 4** (see [18]). Let \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \) and \( a \) be nonzero constants. Suppose \( q_j(z) (j = 1, 2, 3) \) and \( p(z) \) are polynomials. Then, the nonlinear difference equation (10) possesses solutions of finite order of the form \( f(z) = c_1 e^{(a + 3)i z} + c_2 e^{-(a + 3)i z} \) with \( c_1^3 = \lambda_1, c_2^3 = \lambda_2, \) and \( p(z) \equiv 0. \) \( v = e^{a/3} \) and \( q_1, q_2, \) and \( q_3 \) satisfy the following condition:

\[ (v - 1)^3 (v^3 + 1) q_3 + (v - 1)^2 (v^3 - v) q_2 + (v - 1) (v^3 + v^2) q_1 = 0. \]  

(11)

Moreover, one of the following conclusions holds:

1. If \( a = (6n \pi \pm 3n \pi), \) then \( (8q_3 - 4q_2 + 2q_1)^3 = 27 \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \)
2. If \( a = (6n \pi \pm n \pi), \) then \( q_1 + q_2 = 0 \) and \( (\pm \sqrt{3} q_1 - q_3)^3 = 27 \lambda_1 \lambda_2, \) where \( n \) is an integer
3. If \( v \neq -1 \) and \( v \neq (1 \pm \sqrt{3} i)/2, \) then \( q_1, q_2, \) and \( q_3 \) satisfy the following equation:

\[ (v - 1)^3 (v^3 - v + 1) q_3 + v (v - 1) q_2 + v^2 q_1 = 0. \]  

(12)

In the following, we consider a difference equation which is similar to (10) and obtain the following result.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that \( p_1, p_2, \) and \( \lambda \) are nonzero constants and that \( a_1(z) \) and \( a_2(z) \) are nonzero polynomials. If \( f \) is a nontrivial exponential polynomial of

\[ f^3(z) + a_2(z) \Delta^3 f + a_1(z) \Delta f = p_1 e^{az} + p_2 e^{-az}, \]  

then \( f \) has solutions of finite order of the following form:

\[ f(z) = c_0(z) + c_1 e^{|(1/2)|z} + c_2 e^{-|(1/2)|z}, \]  

(13)

where \( e^{|(1/2)|z} = \pm i, a_2^3 = -2c_1 c_2, c_1^3 = p_1, \) and \( c_2^3 = p_2, c_0(z) \) is a nonzero polynomial, \( v = e^{(1/2)z}, \) and \( a_1(z) \) and \( a_2(z) \) satisfy

\[ (v^2 - 1) (a_1 v + a_2 (v - 1)^3) = 0. \]  

(15)

Moreover, one of the following conclusions holds:

1. If \( v = -1, \) then \( \lambda = (4k \pi + 2n \pi), \)
   \[ c_0(z) = a_1(z) - 2a_2(z) \neq 0, \]  
   and \( a_1(z) \) and \( a_2(z) \) satisfy
   \[ 2c_1 c_2 + a_2(z) (a_1(z + 2) - 2a_2(z + 2) - a_1(z) + 2a_2(z)) + (a_1(z) - 2a_2(z)) (a_1 (z + 1) - 2a_2(z + 1)) = 0. \]  

(16)

Especially, if \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are constants, then \( c_0^3 = -2c_1 c_2. \)

2. If \( v \neq -1 \) and \( v \) is the solution of \( a_1 v + a_2 (v - 1)^3 = 0, \) then \( c_0(z) = a_1(z)/2 \) and \( a_1(z) \) and \( a_2(z) \) satisfy

\[ a_1(z)^2 - 2a_1(z) a_1 (z + 1) - 2a_2(z) \Delta^2 a_1(z) = 8c_1 c_2. \]  

(17)

Especially, if \( a_1 \) is a constant, then \( c_0^3 = -2c_1 c_2. \)

The following examples show the existences of solution of equation (13).

**Example 3.** An entire solution \( f(z) = -2 + e^{\pi i z} - 2 e^{-\pi i z} \) solves the following difference equation:

\[ f^3(z) + 3 \Delta^2 f + 4 \Delta f = e^{\pi i z} + 4 e^{-\pi i z}, \]  

where \( \lambda = 2 \pi i, \) \( a_1 = 4, a_2 = 3, \) and \( c_0 = -2. \) The case \( v = \exp(\lambda/2) = -1 \) occurs.

**Example 4.** An entire solution \( f(z) = 2 + e^{(m/2)iz} - 2 e^{-(m/2)iz} \) solves the following difference equation:

\[ f^3(z) + 2 \Delta^2 f + 4 \Delta f = e^{(m/2)iz} + 4 e^{-(m/2)iz}, \]  

where \( \lambda = mi, \) \( a_1 = 4, a_2 = 2, \) and \( c_0 = a_1/2 = 2. \) The case \( v = e^{(m/2)z} = i \) satisfies \( a_1 v + a_2 (v - 1)^3 = 0. \)

**Example 5.** An entire solution \( f(z) = -2 + 2 e^{(m/2)iz} - e^{-(m/2)iz} \) solves the following difference equation:

\[ f^3(z) - 2 \Delta^2 f - 4 \Delta f = 4 e^{miz} + e^{-niz}, \]  

where \( -\pi i, a_1 = -4, a_2 = -2, \) and \( c_0 = a_1/2 = -2. \) The case \( v = \exp(\lambda/2) = -1 \) satisfies \( a_1 v + a_2 (v - 1)^3 = 0. \)

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the background of exponential polynomials and some indispensable lemmas. Sections 3 and 4 contain the detailed proofs on Theorems 3 and 5. In Section 5, we will discuss the methods of the main results obtained in the paper.

### 2. Preliminaries

We recollect a basic result on exponential polynomials. Let \( P(z) = b_q z^q + b_{q-1} z^{q-1} + \ldots + b_0, \) where \( b_q \neq 0. \) We know ([1], p.7) that

\[ T(r, e^{P(z)}) = |b_q| r^q + o(r^q). \]  

(21)

For exponential polynomials \( f(z) \) of form (1), Wen et al. [17] followed the reasoning in [19] and acquired some instrumental tools.

Suppose the polynomials \( Q_j(z) \) in (1) are pairwise different and normalized by \( Q_j(z) = 0. \) Then, representation (1) is uniquely determined and the functions \( P_j(z) e^{Q_j(z)} \) are linearly independent. Let
and let \(w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m\) be pairwise different leading coefficients of the polynomials \(Q_j(z)\) of maximum degree \(q\). Thus, (1) can be written in the following normalized form:

\[
f(z) = H_0(z) + H_1(z)e^{w_1 z^r} + H_2(z)e^{w_2 z^r} + \cdots + H_m(z)e^{w_m z^r},
\]

where \(H_i(z) (0 \leq i \leq m)\) are either exponential polynomials of degree less than \(q\) or ordinary polynomials in \(z\). \(H_j(z) \equiv 0\) hold for \(1 \leq j \leq m\).

A convex hull of a set \(W \subset C\), denoted by \(\text{co}(W)\), is the intersection of all convex sets containing \(W\). If \(W\) contains only finitely many elements, then \(\text{co}(W)\) is obtained as an intersection of finitely many closed half-planes. Hence, \(\text{co}(W)\) is either a compact polygon (with a nonempty interior) or a line segment. We denote the perimeter of \(\text{co}(W)\) by \(\text{co}(\text{co}(W))\). If \(\text{co}(W)\) is a line segment, then \(\text{co}(\text{co}(W))\) equals to twice the length of this line segment. We fix the notation for \(W = \{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_m\}, W_0 = \{0, w_1, \ldots, w_m\}\), and \(Q(z) = b_q z^r + \cdots + b_0\).

**Theorem 6** (see [19], Satz 1). Let \(f\) be given by (23). Then,

\[
T(r, f) = C(\text{co}(W_0)) \frac{r^q}{2\pi} + o(r^q).
\]

Next, we can find the following consequence from the result of Steinmetz ([20], Satz 1), i.e.,

\[
m\left(r, \frac{f^{(k)}(z + c)}{f(z)}\right) = o(r^q),
\]

holds for an exponential polynomial \(f(z)\) in form (23) (also see [21], Section 3).

Some auxiliary results are necessary. The first one is a difference analogue of logarithmic derivative lemma given by Chiang and Feng.

**Lemma 1** (see [22], Corollary 2.5). Let \(f(z)\) be a meromorphic function with finite order \(\rho(f)\). Suppose \(c\) is a fixed nonzero complex constant. Then, for each \(\varepsilon > 0\), we have

\[
m\left(r, \frac{f(z + c)}{f(z)}\right) + m\left(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z + c)}\right) = O(r^{\rho(f) - 1 - \varepsilon}) + O(\log r).
\]

The following lemma is a useful tool to solve differential-difference equations and difference equations.

**Lemma 2** (see [3]). Suppose that \(f_1(z), f_2(z), \ldots, f_n(z) (n \geq 2)\) are meromorphic functions and that \(g_1(z), g_2(z), \ldots, g_n(z) (n \geq 2)\) are entire functions. They satisfy the following conditions:

1. \(f_1(z)e^{g_1(z)} + f_2(z)e^{g_2(z)} + \cdots + f_n(z)e^{g_n(z)} \equiv 0\)
2. \(g_j(z) - g_k(z)\) are not constants for \(1 \leq j < k \leq n\)

(3) \(T(r, f_j(z)) = o(T(r, e^{g_j(z)} - g_k(z)))(r \to \infty, r \notin E)\)

holds, for \(1 \leq j \leq n\) and \(1 \leq h < k \leq n\), where \(E \subset \{1, \infty\}\) is finite linear measure or finite logarithmic measure.

Then, \(f_j(z) \equiv 0\) (\(j = 1, 2, \ldots, n\)).

Halburd and Korhonen proved a difference analogue of Clunie lemma under the condition finite order.

**Lemma 3** (see [23]). Let \(f(z)\) be a nonconstant finite-order meromorphic solution of

\[
f(z)P(z, f) = Q(z, f),
\]

where \(P(z, f)\) and \(Q(z, f)\) are differential polynomials in \(f\) with small meromorphic coefficients. Suppose \(\varepsilon \in C\) and \(\delta < 1\).

If the total degree of \(Q(z, f)\) is a polynomial in \(f\) and its shifts are less than or equal to \(n\), then

\[
m(r, P(z, f)) = O\left(\frac{T(r + |c|, f)}{r^\delta}\right) + o(T(r, f)),
\]

for all \(r\) outside of a possible exceptional set with finite logarithmic measure.

**Remark 2.** Similar to Lemma 3, if \(f\) is a transcendental exponential polynomial in form (23), \(P(z, f)\) and \(Q(z, f)\) are differential-difference polynomials in \(f\) and the coefficients of \(P(z, f)\) and \(Q(z, f)\) are polynomials \(a_i(z) (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\), for each \(\varepsilon > 0\), then an obtained result is

\[
m(r, P(z, f)) = o(r^\delta),
\]

where \(r\) is sufficiently large.

Chen and Yang proved the following lemma.

**Lemma 4** (see [24]). Let \(\lambda\) be a nonzero constant and \(H(z)\) be a nonvanishing polynomial. Then, the differential equation

\[
4f'' - \lambda^2 f = H(z),
\]

has a special solution \(c_0(z)\) which is a nonzero polynomial.

In addition, the following lemma is similar to Lemma 5.3 of [17] and Lemma 2.7 of [9]. The proof can be given word by word.

**Lemma 5.** Let \(f\) be given by (23), where \(q \geq 2\). If \(f\) is a solution of equation (7), then \(m = 1\).

### 3. Proof of Theorem 3

Proof of Conclusion 1. Suppose that \(f(z)\) is a finite-order entire solution of equation (7). Applying the lemma on the logarithmic derivative and Lemma 1 to equation (7), we obtain
\[ 2T(r, f(z)) = 2m(r, f(z)) \]
\[ = m(r, p_1 e^{a_1 z} + p_2 e^{a_2 z} - q(z)e^{Q(z)} f^{(k)}(z + c)) \]
\[ \leq m\left( r, f(z) \frac{f(z + c)}{f(z)} \right) + m(r, e^{Q(z)}) + O(r) \]
\[ \leq m(r, f(z)) + m\left( r, \frac{f(z + c)}{f(z)} \right) + m(r, e^{Q(z)}) + O(r) \]
\[ \leq T(r, f(z)) + T\left(r, e^{Q(z)}\right) + O(r) + S(r, f). \] (31)

Thus,
\[ T(r, f) \leq T\left(r, e^{Q}\right) + O(r) + S(r, f), \] (32)

which implies that \( \rho(f) \leq \deg Q \).

If \( \rho(f) \leq \deg Q \), then the order of left side of equation (7) is equal to \( \deg Q \). Since the order of right side of equation (7) is equal to 1, we have \( \deg Q = 1 \) and \( \rho(f) < 1 \). Let \( Q(z) = \bar{a} z + b \), where \( \bar{a} \neq 0 \). Equation (7) can be written as
\[ p_1 e^{a_1 z} + p_2 e^{a_2 z} + p_3 e^{z} + \bar{a} e^{z} + p_4 = 0, \] (33)

where \( p_3 = -q(z) f^{(k)}(z + c) \) and \( p_4 = -(f(z))^2 \) satisfy \( \rho(p_3) < 1 \) and \( \rho(p_4) < 1 \), respectively. Next, we consider the following three cases:

**Case 1.** \( \bar{a} \neq a_1 \) and \( \bar{a} \neq a_2 \).

By equation (33) and Lemma 2, we have \( p_1 = p_2 = 0 \), which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.** \( \bar{a} = a_1 \) and \( \bar{a} \neq a_2 \).

Equation (33) can be rewritten as
\[ \left( p_1 + \bar{a} e^{z} \right) e^{a_1 z} + p_2 e^{a_2 z} + p_4 = 0. \] (34)

Using Lemma 2, we have \( p_2 = 0 \), which is a contradiction.

**Case 3.** \( \bar{a} = a_1 \) and \( \bar{a} = a_2 \). Similar to the proof of Case 2, we can get a contradiction.

Thus, we have \( \rho(f) = \deg Q \). Noting \( \deg Q \geq 1 \), we obtain \( \rho(f) = \deg Q \geq 1 \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Conclusion 2.** Since \( f \) is an exponential polynomial in form (1), we can consider its equivalent form (23). Suppose \( q \geq 2 \), by Lemma 5 we know \( m = 1 \). That is, we have
\[ f(z) = H_0(z) + H_1(z)e^{az+b}. \] Substituting the expression of \( f \) into equation (7) yields
\[ p_1 e^{a_1 z} + p_2 e^{a_2 z} - (H_0(z))^2 \]
\[ = 2H_1(z)H_0(z)e^{az+b} + q(z)e^{Q(z)} H_0^{(k)} z + c + c e^{b z} \]
\[ + \left( H_1(z) \right)^2 e^{2a z} + q(z)e^{Q(z)+P_1(z)} H_1^{(z+c)} (b z) e^{b z}. \] (35)

where \( Q_0(z) = Q(z) - b_0 z \) and \( P_1(z) = w_1 z + c + c - w_1 z^{d} \). In addition, \( H_1(z) \) is a differential polynomial in \( H_1(z) + c, w_1(z + c), \) and their derivatives. We see that \( Q_0(z) \) and \( P_1(z) \) are two polynomials with degree less than or equal to \( q - 1 \). We discuss two cases \( b_0 = w_1 \) and \( b_0 \neq w_1 \):

**Case 1.** \( b_0 = w_1 \).

Taking \( b_0 = -w_1, b_0 = 2w_1 \) and \( b_0 \notin \{ \pm w_1, 2w_1 \} \), respectively, we apply Lemma 2 to equation (35) to obtain \( H_1(z) \equiv 0 \), which is a contradiction.

**Case 2.** \( b_0 = w_1 \).

Equation (35) can be rewritten as
\[ p_1 e^{a_1 z} + p_2 e^{a_2 z} - (H_0(z))^2 \]
\[ = \left[ 2H_1(z)H_0(z) + q(z)e^{Q(z)} H_0^{(k)} z + c \right] e^{az+b} \]
\[ + \left[ (H_1(z))^2 + q(z)e^{Q(z)+P_1(z)} H_1^{(z+c)} (z + c) e^{2a z}. \] (36)

We utilize Lemma 2 again to obtain
\[ (H_0(z))^2 = p_1 e^{a_1 z} + p_2 e^{a_2 z}. \] (37)

Assume that \( z_0 \) is a zero of the above equation. Obviously, \( z_0 \) is a simple zero of \( p_1 e^{a_1 z} + p_2 e^{a_2 z} \), but \( z_0 \) is the multiple zero of \( (H_0(z))^2 \). This is a contradiction. We have \( q = 1 \). \( f \) is reduced to \( H_0(z) + H_1(z)e^{az+b} \), which implies \( \rho(f) = \deg Q = 1 \). \( \square \)

**Proof of Conclusion 3.** Since \( f \) belongs to \( \Gamma_{0} \), from Conclusion 2, we know that \( \rho(f) = \deg Q = 1 \).

Let
\[ f(z) = g(z)e^{Az+B}, \] (38)
\[ Q(z) = az + b, \] (39)

where \( a \) and \( A \) are nonzero constants, \( b \) and \( B \) are constants, and \( g(z) \) is a nonvanishing polynomial. It follows from formula (38) that
\[ f^{(k)}(z + c) = e^{Az+B} \sum_{s=0}^{k} \binom{k}{s} A^{s} (g(z + c) (z + c)^{(k-s)}) e^{Az}. \] (40)

Substituting formulas (38)–(40) into equation (7), we have
\(( g(z)e^B )^2 e^{2A-a_z}z - p_1 e^{(a_1-a_z)}z - p_2 + e^{Ae^{e^B}} q(z) \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} A^s (g(z+c))^{(k-s)} e^{(a-A-a_z)}z = 0. \)

We consider the following four cases:

**Case 1.** \(2A - a_z = 0\) and \(A + a - a_z = 0\).

Using Lemma 2, it follows from equation (41) that \(p_1 = 0\). It is a contradiction.

\[
\left[ (g(z)e^B)^2 + e^{Ae^{e^B}} q(z) \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} A^s (g(z+c))^{(k-s)} \right] e^{(2A-a_z)}z - p_1 e^{(a_1-a_z)}z - p_2 = 0. \tag{42}
\]

From the above equation, using Lemma 2, we have \(p_1 = p_2 = 0\), which implies a contradiction.

Thus, \(2A - a_z = A + a - a_z = a_1 - a_2\). We write equation (41) as

\[
\left[ (g(z)e^B)^2 + e^{Ae^{e^B}} q(z) \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} A^s (g(z+c))^{(k-s)} \right] e^{(2A-a_z)}z - p_1 e^{(a_1-a_z)}z - p_2 = 0. \tag{43}
\]

We use Lemma 2 again to lead to \(p_2 = 0\). It is a contradiction.

**Case 3.** \(2A - a_z = 0\) and \(A + a - a_z \neq 0\).

If \(A + a - a_z \neq a_1 - a_2\), then we have \(p_1 = q(z) \equiv 0\) by equation (41) and Lemma 2. A contradiction occurs.

\[
\left[ q(z) \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \left( \frac{a_1}{2} \right)^s (g(z+c))^{(k-s)} \right] e^{(a_1e^B/2+B)} e^{(a_1-a_z)}z - p_1 e^{(a_1-a_z)}z - \left[ p_2 - (g(z)e^B)^2 \right] = 0. \tag{44}
\]

Because of Lemma 2, we have

\((g(z))^2 e^{2B} = p_2, \) \(q(z) \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \left( \frac{a_1}{2} \right)^s (g(z+c))^{(k-s)} e^{(a_1e^B/2+B)} = p_1. \)

**Case 2.** \(2A - a_z \neq 0\) and \(A + a - a_z \neq 0\).

If \(2A - a_z \neq A + a - a_z \neq a_1 - a_2\), then we obtain \(p_1 = p_2 \equiv q(z) \equiv 0\) by equation (41) and Lemma 2. A contradiction occurs.

Now, we consider that only two of \(2A - a_z, A + a - a_z, \) and \(a_1 - a_2\) coincide. Without loss of generality, assuming \(2A - a_z = A + a - a_z \neq a_1 - a_2\), we see that equation (41) is represented as

\[
\left[ (g(z)e^B)^2 + e^{Ae^{e^B}} q(z) \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} A^s (g(z+c))^{(k-s)} \right] e^{(2A-a_z)}z - p_1 e^{(a_1-a_z)}z - p_2 = 0. \tag{42}
\]

Thus, \(A + a - a_z = a_1 - a_2\). We deduce \(A = (a_2/2)\) and \(a = a_1 - (a_2/2)\). Equation (41) can be represented as

\[
\left[ q(z) \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{k}{s} \left( \frac{a_2}{2} \right)^s (g(z+c))^{(k-s)} \right] e^{(a_2e^B/2+B)} e^{(a_1-a_z)}z - p_1 e^{(a_1-a_z)}z - \left[ p_2 - (g(z)e^B)^2 \right] = 0. \tag{44}
\]

We proceed to obtain \(f(z) = g(z)e^{(a_2e^B/2+B)} \) and \(Q(z) = (a_1 - (a_2/2))z + b\).

**Case 4.** \(2A - a_z = 0\) and \(A + a - a_z = 0\).

If \(2A - a_z = a_1 - a_2\), then we obtain \(p_1 = 0\) by equation (41) and Lemma 2. A contradiction occurs.

Thus, \(2A - a_z = a_1 - a_2\). We derive \(A = (a_2/2)\) and \(a = a_2 - (a_1/2)\). Equation (41) is equivalent to
\[
\left( (g(z)e^B)^2 - p_1 \right) e^{(a_i - a_j)z} - \left[ p_2 - q(z) \sum_{s=0}^{k} \left( \frac{a_1}{2} \right)^s (g(z + c))^{(k-s)} \right] e^{(a_i/2)z + B} = 0. \tag{46}
\]

By Lemma 2, we have
\[
(g(z))^2 e^{2B} = p_1
\]
\[
q(z) \sum_{s=0}^{k} \left( \frac{a_1}{2} \right)^s (g(z + c))^{(k-s)} e^{(a_i/2)z + B} = p_2. \tag{47}
\]

Consequently, we obtain \( f(z) = g(z)e^{(a_i/2)z + B} \) and \( Q(z) = (a_j - (a_i/2))z - b \).

\[\Box\]

### 4. Proof of Theorem 5

Assume that the difference equation (13) has a transcendental entire solution \( f \) of finite order.

Applying Lemma 1 to equation (13), we have
\[
T(r, p_1 e^{kz} + p_2 e^{-kz}) = T(r, f^2(z) + a_i(z)\Delta f + a_j(z)\Delta f)
\]
\[
\leq T(r, f^2) + T(r, a_i\Delta f + a_j\Delta f) + O(1)
\]
\[
\leq T(r, f^2) + m\left( r, \frac{a_i\Delta f + a_j\Delta f}{f} \right)
\]
\[
+ m(r, f)
\]
\[
\leq T(r, f^2) + m(r, f) + S(r, f)
\]
\[
\leq 3T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{48}
\]

On the other hand, we deduce
\[
T(r, p_1 e^{kz} + p_2 e^{-kz}) = T(r, f^2 + a_i(z)\Delta f + a_j(z)\Delta f)
\]
\[
\geq T(r, f^2) - T(r, a_i\Delta f + a_j\Delta f) + O(1)
\]
\[
\geq 2T(r, f) - m\left( r, \frac{a_i\Delta f + a_j\Delta f}{f} \right)
\]
\[
- m(r, f) + O(1)
\]
\[
\geq 2T(r, f) - T(r, f) + S(r, f)
\]
\[
= T(r, f) + S(r, f). \tag{49}
\]

Combining equations (48) and (49), it follows that
\[
T(r, f) + S(r, f) \leq T(r, p_1 e^{kz} + p_2 e^{-kz}) \leq 3T(r, f) + S(r, f), \tag{50}
\]
which implies \( \rho(f) = 1 \).

Denoting \( P_i(f) = \Delta f + a_i \Delta f \), we rewrite equation (13) as
\[
f^2 + P_1(f) = p_1 e^{kz} + p_2 e^{-kz}. \tag{51}
\]

Differentiating equation (51) twice on both sides, we have
\[
2f f' + P_1'(f) = \lambda(p_1 e^{kz} - p_2 e^{-kz}), \tag{52}
\]
\[
2(f')^2 + 2f f'' + P_1''(f) = \lambda^2(p_1 e^{kz} + p_2 e^{-kz}). \tag{53}
\]

By equations (51) and (53), we obtain
\[
(f')^2 = \frac{1}{2}(1^2 f^2 - f f'') + Q_1(f), \tag{54}
\]
where \( Q_1(f) = (1/2)[\lambda^2 P_1(f) - P_1''(f)] \). Eliminating \( e^{kz} \) and \( e^{-kz} \) from equations (51) and (52), we have
\[
\lambda^2[f^2 + P_1(f)]^2 - [2ff' + P_1'(f)]^2 = 4p_1p_2\lambda^2, \tag{55}
\]
which implies
\[
\lambda^2 f^4 - 4f^2 (f')^2 = R_1(f), \tag{56}
\]
where
\[
R_1(f) = 4ff' P_1'(f) + [P_1'(f)]^2 + 4p_1p_2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda^2 f^2 P_1(f) - \lambda^2 [P_1(f)]^2. \tag{57}
\]

Substituting equation (54) into equation (56) yields
\[
f^2(4f'' - \lambda^2 f) = T_3(f), \tag{58}
\]
where \( T_3(f) = 4f^2Q_1(f) + R_1(f) \) is a differential-difference polynomial in \( f \) and its total degree is not greater than three.

Now, we discuss two cases.

**Case 1.** \( T_3(f) \equiv 0 \).

It follows from equation (58) that
\[
4f'' - \lambda^2 f \equiv 0. \tag{59}
\]

The general entire solution \( f(z) \) of the above equation is
\[
f(z) = c_1 e^{(1/2)z} + c_2 e^{-(1/2)z}, \tag{60}
\]
where \( c_1 \) and \( c_2 \) are constants satisfying \( c_1 c_2 \equiv 0 \). We obtain
\[\Delta f(z) = c_1(e^{(1/2)} - 1)e^{(1/2)z} + c_2(e^{(1/2)} - 1)e^{-(1/2)z}, \]
\[\Delta^2 f(z) = c_1(e^{(1/2)} - 1)^2 e^{(1/2)z} + c_2(e^{(1/2)} - 1)^2 e^{-(1/2)z}. \]

Substituting formulas (60)–(62) into equation (13) yields
\[2c_1c_2 + (c_1^2 - p_1)e^{\lambda z} + (c_2^2 - p_2)e^{-\lambda z} + c_1\left[a_1(z)(e^{(1/2)} - 1) + a_2(z)(e^{(1/2)} - 1)^2\right]e^{(1/2)z} + c_2\left[a_1(z)(e^{-(1/2)} - 1) + a_2(z)(e^{-(1/2)} - 1)^2\right]e^{-(1/2)z} = 0. \]

By Lemma 2 and equation (63), we deduce \(c_1c_2 \equiv 0\), which is a contradiction.

Case 2. \(T^1_J(f) \equiv 0\).

Noting that \(f\) is an exponential polynomial in (23) with the order 1, we have
\[f(z) = H_0(z) + H_1(z)e^{\omega_1 z} + H_2(z)e^{\omega_2 z} + \cdots + H_m(z)e^{\omega_m z}, \]
where \(H_0(z), H_1(z), \ldots, H_m(z)\) are polynomials. Therefore,
\[4f''(z) - \lambda^2 f(z) = \left(4H_0''(z) - \lambda^2 H_0(z)\right) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(4H_i''(z)\right) + 4\omega_1 H_i'(z) + 4H_i'(z) + 4\omega_1 H_i(z) - \lambda^2 H_i(z). \]

Since equation (58) satisfies conditions of Lemma 3 and Remark 2, it follows that
\[m(r, 4f'' - \lambda^2 f) = o(r). \]

From this, (65), and Theorem 6, we know that that \(4f'' - \lambda^2 f\) is a polynomial. By equation (58) and \(T^1_J(f) \equiv 0\), we have
\[4f'' - \lambda^2 f = H(z), \]
where \(H(z)\) is a nonvanishing polynomial. By Lemma 4, the above equation has a nonzero polynomial solution \(c_0(z)\). Then, the general entire solution \(f(z)\) of \(4f'' - \lambda^2 f = H(z)\) can be represented as
\[f(z) = c_0(z) + c_1e^{(1/2)z} + c_2e^{-(1/2)z}, \]
where \(c_0(z)\) is nonzero polynomial and \(c_1\) and \(c_2\) are constants. It is easy to verify
\[\Delta f(z) = \left[c_0(z + 1) + c_0(z)\right] + c_1(e^{(1/2)} - 1)e^{(1/2)z} + c_2(e^{-(1/2)} - 1)e^{-(1/2)z}, \]
\[\Delta^2 f(z) = \left[c_0(z + 2) - 2c_0(z + 1) + c_0(z)\right] + c_1(e^{(1/2)} - 1)^2 e^{(1/2)z} + c_2(e^{-(1/2)} - 1)^2 e^{-(1/2)z}. \]

Substituting formulas (68) and (70) into equation (13) yields
\[(c_1^2 - p_1)e^{\lambda z} + (c_2^2 - p_2)e^{-\lambda z} + 2c_1c_0(z) + a_1(z)c_1(e^{(1/2)} - 1) + a_2(z)c_1(e^{(1/2)} - 1)^2 e^{(1/2)z} + \left[2c_1c_0(z) + a_1(z)c_0(e^{(1/2)} - 1) + a_2(z)c_0(e^{(1/2)} - 1)^2\right]e^{-(1/2)z} + 2c_1c_2 + (c_0(z))^2 + a_1(z)c_0(z + 1) - a_1(z)c_0(z) + a_2(z)c_0(z + 2) - 2a_2(z)c_0(z + 1) + a_2(z)c_0(z) = 0. \]

By Lemma 2 and equation (70), we deduce
\[c_1^2 = p_1 \neq 0, \]
\[c_2^2 = p_2 \neq 0, \]
\[2c_0(z) + a_1(z)(e^{(1/2)} - 1) + a_2(z)(e^{(1/2)} - 1)^2 \equiv 0, \]
\[2c_0(z) + a_1(z)(e^{-(1/2)} - 1) + a_2(z)(e^{-(1/2)} - 1)^2 \equiv 0, \]
\[2c_1c_2 + (c_0(z))^2 + a_1(z)c_0(z + 1) - a_1(z)c_0(z) + a_2(z)c_0(z + 2) - 2a_2(z)c_0(z + 1) + a_2(z)c_0(z) \equiv 0. \]

From (72) and (73), we have
\[a_1(e^{(1/2)} - e^{-(1/2)}) + a_1(e^{(1/2)} - e^{-(1/2)})(e^{(1/2)} + e^{-(1/2)} - 2) = 0, \]
\[\text{Set } v = e^{(1/2)}, \text{ it follows that } \left(v^2 - 1\right)(a_1v + a_2(v - 1)^2) = 0. \]

If \(v = 1\), then \(\lambda = 4k\pi i\), and substituting \(v = 1\) into (72) or (73), we obtain \(c_0(z) \equiv 0\). It is a contradiction.
If \( v = -1 \), then \( \lambda = (4k\pi + 2\pi)i \), and substituting \( v = -1 \) into (72) or (73), we obtain \( c_0(z) = a_1(z) - 2a_2(z) \neq 0 \) and (74) can be reduced to

\[
2c_1c_2 + a_2(z)(a_1(z + 2) - 2a_2(z + 2) - a_1(z + 2a_2(z)) + (a_1(z) - 2a_2(z))(a_1(z + 1) - 2a_2(z + 1)) = 0.
\]

(77)

Especially, if \( a_1 \) and \( a_2 \) are constants, then \( c_0^2 = -2c_1c_2 \).

If \( v \neq \pm 1 \) and \( v \) is the solution of \( a_1v + a_2(v - 1)^2 = 0 \). From (72) or (73), we have \( c_0(z) = a_1(z)/2 \). Equation (74) can be reduced to

\[
a_1(z)^2 - 2a_1(z)a_1(z + 1) - 2a_2(z)a_1(z) = 8c_1c_2.
\]

(78)

Especially, if \( a_1 \) is a constants, then \( c_0^2 = -2c_1c_2 \).

5. Conclusions

In this study, we mainly consider the solution of two equations when the solution is an exponential polynomial.

First, we consider the nonlinear differential-difference equation (7) proposed by Chen et al. [6]. They conjecture that the conclusions of Theorem 2 are still valid. We consider the conjecture in Theorem 3. In the first step, we proved that \( \rho(f) = \deg Q \). From this, it seems plausible that \( f \) is an exponential polynomial of form (1). In the second step, we confirmed that \( \rho(f) = \deg Q = 1 \) when \( f \) is an exponential polynomial. In the last step, we give the solution when \( f \) belongs to \( \Gamma_0^1 \) by Conclusion 2.

Second, we consider a difference equation which is similar to (10), where \( f^3(z) \) is also replaced by \( f^2(z) \). Since we cannot prove that \( 4f^{n} + \lambda^2 f \) is a polynomial if \( f \) has no restriction, a new Clunie Lemma is given in Remark 2 where \( f \) is an exponential polynomial. We obtain the expression of the solution of equation (13) if the solution is an exponential polynomial by the special Clunie Lemma.
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