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Subhepatic perforated acute appendicitis in a patient with midgut malrotation: A case report and review of the literature
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ABSTRACT

Introduction and importance: Subhepatic acute appendicitis (SHAA) is a very rare cause of acute abdomen, developing in association with two types of congenital anomalies like as midgut malrotation (MM) and maldescent of the caecum. Preoperative diagnosis of SHAA is a challenge because of its rarity and atypical presentation. Imaging may be helpful for determining the correct diagnosis. Surgery represents the standard treatment of SHAA.

Case presentation: A 25-year-old Caucasian male presented to the Emergency Department with a one-day history of right lower quadrant (RLQ) abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting. Physical examination revealed RLQ abdominal rebound tenderness with guarding. Laboratory tests reported high levels of C-reactive protein and neutrophilic leukocytosis. Abdominal contrast-enhanced computed tomography showed a SHAA with intraluminal appendicolith, fat infiltration and pelvic fluid collection in a patient with MM. The patient underwent laparoscopic appendectomy: a retrocaecal subhepatic phlegmonous and perforated appendicitis was sectioned and removed with drainage of pelvic abscess. The postoperative course of the patient was uneventful.

Clinical discussion: SHAA is characterized by anatomical variation of appendix and atypical presentation. Preoperative clinical diagnosis of SHAA is very difficult and imaging may be helpful for determining the correct diagnosis, as well as confirming MM or maldescent of the caecum. Laparoscopic appendectomy represents the correct treatment of SHAA.

Conclusion: SHAA is a rare surgical emergency that should be considered in the differential diagnosis of patients with RLQ abdominal pain. Preoperative diagnosis needs a high index of suspicion and is facilitated by imaging. Surgery represents the appropriate treatment of SHAA.

1. Introduction

Acute appendicitis (AA) represents a common surgical emergency, accounting for 4–8% of all emergency department visits [1]. Diagnosis of AA is usually relative simple and is based on clinical symptoms, physical examination and radiology, however the malposition or anatomical variation of the appendix make it uncertain and can delay the surgical treatment favoring the chances of appendiceal rupture and the onset of complications such as abscess formation or peritonitis [2]. Subhepatic acute appendicitis (SHAA), characterized by anatomical variation of appendix and atypical presentation, develops in association with two types of congenital anomalies like as midgut malrotation (MM) [3] and maldescent of the caecum [4]. A rare case of SHAA in a patient with MM, managed in emergency by laparoscopic surgery, is presented with review of the literature in accordance with SCARE 2020 criteria [5]. The purpose of this case report is to remember that SHAA is a very rare cause of acute abdomen that may require emergency surgery.

2. Presentation of case

A 25-year-old Caucasian male presented to the Emergency Department with a one-day history of right lower quadrant (RLQ) abdominal pain, nausea and vomiting. He had no diarrhea and no fever, vital signs were normal. His past and familial medical histories were normal. He wasn’t taking any drug, referred habit on smoking but denied alcohol consumption. The patient was employed by profession, married and of...
medium socio-economic status. Physical examination revealed mild abdominal distention, RLQ abdominal rebound tenderness with guarding and hypoactive bowel sound. Laboratory tests reported high levels of C-reactive protein (115.5 mg/L) and neutrophilic leukocytosis (WBC 15.600 \(10^3/\mu L\)). The patient, presenting an Alvarado score of 7 (probable appendicitis), was initially managed with fluids, intravenous broad-spectrum antibiotics and bowel rest. After a negative echography for AA, abdominal contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CECT) revealed an inflamed subhepatic retrocecal appendix with intraluminal appendicolith, fat infiltration and pelvic fluid collection (Fig. 1A,B). The patient, after understanding the severity of his medical condition and accepting surgery, was taken emergently to the operating room by experienced general surgeons for laparoscopic appendectomy under general anesthesia. After induction of pneumoperitoneum with the Veress needle and placement of two 12-mm trocars in the umbilical region and left iliac fossa and one 5-mm trocar in ipogastrium, we explored the peritoneal cavity with evidence of malrotated subhepatic caecum and pelvic abscess. We cut the lateral peritoneal reflection to medialize the caecum and the ascending colon with evidence of retrocecal subhepatic phlegmonous and perforated AA (Fig. 2). In consideration of the patient's safety a fourth 5-mm trocar was inserted in the right iliac fossa to obtain a better medialization of the caecum. After dissection of mesoappendix and cautерization of appendicular artery with bipolar forceps, the appendix was sectioned using mechanical stapling device (Fig. 3) and removed in an endobag. After drainage of pelvic abscess, washing and aspiration of peritoneal cavity a pericaecal drain was placed. Patient was given an IV injection of Amoxicillin/Clavulanate 2 g twice daily and Metronidazole 500 mg thrice daily for five days. The postoperative course was uneventful: abdominal drain was removed on the 4th postoperative day, laboratory tests were unremarkable. The patient was discharged on the 5th postoperative day in a stable condition. Histopathological examination confirmed phlegmonous and perforated AA (Fig. 4). The patient tolerated the advice provided and after a follow-up of six months is asymptomatic.

3. Discussion

This clinical case describes a rare SHAA causing acute abdomen in a patient with MM. AA is the most common abdominal surgical emergency in the world with an annual incidence of 96.5–100 cases per 100,000 adults [6]. SHAA accounts for 0.08% of all cases of AA and makes up an annual incidence of 0.09 per 100,000 adults [7]. Subhepatic position of caecum and appendix may occur in association with MM or arrested caecal descent. MM is caused by nonrotation or incomplete rotation of the primitive intestinal loop around the axis of superior mesenteric artery during weeks 5–10 of fetal life and subsequent abnormal fixation to the peritoneal wall. MM can manifest itself generally in the first month of life with bowel dysfunction and bilious vomiting, but in most of the cases it remained asymptomatic. The incidence of MM anomalies varies from 0.03% to 0.5% in the live births [8]. Arrested caecal descent occurs where the caecum lies in the subhepatic position but does not descend to the right iliac fossa. The position of the appendix is extremely variable: the most common location is retrocecal (74%) followed pelvic (21%), subcecal (1.5%), preileal (1%), and postileal (0.5%) [9]. The appendix can also show atypical locations such as subhepatic, left-sided [2], intraherniary [10], lateral pouch, mesocolic

**Fig. 1.** A,B. Abdominal CECT showing an inflamed subhepatic retrocecal appendix with intraluminal appendicolith (red arrow). A transverse view, B coronal view. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Fig. 2.** Subhepatic phlegmonous and perforated appendicitis: operative findings.

**Fig. 3.** Section of the appendix with mechanical stapling device.
SHAA, occurring as result of MM and/or maldescent of the caecum, represents a rare surgical emergency that should be considered in the differential diagnosis of patients with right-sided abdominal pain. Its diagnosis is a challenge because of the absence of specific clinical presentation and needs a high index of suspicion. Imaging and/or laparoscopy are helpful in establishing the differential diagnosis of right-sided abdominal pain and in detecting SHAA as well as confirming MM or maldescent of the caecum. Laparoscopic appendectomy is the standard treatment of SHAA.
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