ABSTRACT

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is the most common form of muscular dystrophy during childhood. Mutations in dystrophin (DMD) gene are also recognized as a cause of cognitive impairment. We aimed to determine the association between intelligence level and mutation location in DMD genes in Serbian patients with DMD. Forty-one male patients with DMD, aged 3 to 16 years, were recruited at the Clinic for Neurology and Psychiatry for Children and Youth in Belgrade, Serbia. All patients had defined DMD gene deletions or duplications [multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA), polymerase chain reaction (PCR)] and cognitive status assessment (Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children, Brunet-Lezine scale, Vineland-Doll scale). In 37 patients with an estimated full scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ), six (16.22%) had borderline intelligence (70<FSIQ ≤85), while seven (18.92%) were intellectually impaired (FSIQ <70). The FSIQ was not associated with proximal and distal mutations when boundaries were set at exons 30 and 45. However, FSIQ was statistically significantly associated with mutation location when we assumed their functional consequence on dystrophin isoforms and when mutations in the 5'-untranslated region (5'UTR) of Dp140 (exons 45-50) were assigned to affect only Dp427 and Dp260. Mutations affecting Dp140 and Dp71/Dp40 have been associated with more frequent and more severe cognitive impairment. Finally, the same classification of mutations explained the greater proportion of FSIQ variability associated with cumulative loss of dystrophin isoforms. In conclusion, cumulative loss of dystrophin isoforms increases the risk of intellectual impairment in DMD and characterizing the genotype can define necessity of early cognitive interventions in DMD patients.
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INTRODUCTION

Duchenne muscular dystrophy (DMD) is the most common form of muscular dystrophy during childhood, affecting 1 in 3500 live born males [1]. This fatal, X linked disease, leads to progressive muscular weakness and less well described non progressive central nervous system manifestations.

The consistent finding in patients with DMD is reduction in a full-scale intelligence quotient (FSIQ) by one standard deviation (SD) from the population mean [1,2]. Although most affected boys are not intellectually disabled, the risk of cognitive impairment is increased in DMD patients. Therefore, up to 30.0% of patients have intellectual disability with a FSIQ of less than 70, including around 3.0% of them with
severe impairment and FSIQ of less than 50 [2,3]. Duchenne muscular dystrophy is caused by various types of mutations within the dystrophin gene (**DMD**) [4], which changes the reading frame of coding transcripts affecting the production of protein dystrophin [5]. Expression of the dystrophin is controlled by three upstream promoters, which produce full-length dystrophin isoform (Dp427) and four internal promoters that regulate production of shorter dystrophin isoforms (Dp260, Dp140, Dp116 and Dp71) [6-9]. Dp427 is expressed in skeletal and cardiac muscle, brain and Purkinje cells, Dp260 is expressed in retina [10], Dp140 in brain, retina and kidney [11], while Dp116 is present in peripheral nerves [12]. Dp71 is the most abundant isoform in non muscular tissues and represents the major product in the adult brain [13]. The dystrophin is a part of dystrophin-associated glycoprotein complex, and in the brain, it is involved in the clustering of ion channels and post synaptic membrane receptors during synaptogenesis [9], suggesting that loss of its function may be responsible for intellectual impairment and cognitive deficits in DMD patients. Cognitive deficit is likely the result of cumulative loss of Dp427, Dp140 and Dp71 [9,14], whereas loss of Dp71 contributes to the severity of cognitive impairment [14,15]. Recently, one more dystrophin isoform (Dp40), produced from the same promoter as Dp71 but by the use of an alternative polyadenylation site, has been implicated in pre synaptic function [16].

Although cognitive impairment has frequently been reported, systematized data on the cognitive profile of patients with DMD in Serbia is lacking. Therefore, the aim of this study was to determine frequency of intellectual impairment and to examine association of intelligence level with mutation location and affected dystrophin isoforms among our patients with DMD.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patient Data.** Forty-one patients with DMD were recruited retrospectively at the Clinic for Neurology and Psychiatry for Children and Youth in Belgrade, Serbia, during the period between 1992 and 2013. The diagnosis of DMD was based on the clinical onset of the disease before 5 years of age, initial or clear neurological signs of decline of motor function at the age of 6 years, decline of motor function or positive family history of DMD for boys younger than 6 years, elevated serum creatine kinase levels and confirmed mutation in the **DMD** gene. All recruited patients were unrelated except of one sibling pair.

All patients and/or their parents gave informed consent concerning the use of the data for research. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Clinic for Neurology and Psychiatry for Children and Youth, Belgrade, Serbia.

**Methods: Genetic Analysis.** Deletions and duplications were detected via multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification (MLPA) using two probe mixes, P034 and P035 (MRC Holland, Amsterdam, The Netherlands) [17] at the Center for Human Molecular Genetics at the Faculty of Biology in Belgrade, Serbia. A few samples were analyzed via multiplex polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [18-20] at the Institute of Human Genetics at the Faculty of Medicine in Belgrade, Serbia, were included in the analyses as the mutation location allowed us to unequivocally assign the altered dystrophin isoforms. Mutations were described using Human Genome Variation Society (HGVS) nomenclature [21]. The positions of the mutations were determined in relation to reference sequence NM_004006 (GenBank) at cDNA level and in relation to reference sequence UniProtKB:11532 (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot) at protein level. The mutation effect on the reading frame was determined using software **DMD** gene reading frame checker (available at http://www.dmd.nl/).

Since the effect of mutation location on FSIQ was expected to be different, all mutations were divided into two structural groups according to previously applied classifications [22,23]. The mutations localized upstream from exon 30 (1-30) and the mutations localized upstream from exon 45 (1-45) were defined as proximal mutations, while the mutations downstream from exon 30 (31-79) or exon 45 (46-79) were considered as distal mutations.

Considering the complex organization of **DMD** gene and dystrophin isoforms produced from the inner promoters, mutations were assigned to altered expression of dystrophin isoforms (Dp427, Dp260, Dp140, Dp116, Dp71 and Dp40), and were divided into various groups. We took into consideration that the Dp140 transcript has a long 5’ untranslated region (5’UTR), consisting of exons 45-50, so that the effect of mutations in this region on Dp140 expression...
could not be confidently predicted. Therefore, we also analyzed clustering, in which mutations within this region (Dp140utr) were assumed to be coding exon modifications affecting only expression of Dp427 and Dp260 but not Dp140, while mutations in the promoter and protein coding region of Dp140 (Dp140pc) were assigned to affect expression of Dp140 [14]. As the promoter that regulates Dp140 expression lies within intron 44 of the DMD gene, all patients who had a deletion breakpoint within intron 44 were tested by PCR for the presence of the Dp140 promoter using the following primers: IN44F (5’-GCC CTA AGT GCT TCC AGA AA-3’) and IN44R (5’-CTC ACA GCT CCT GCA TCA GA-3’). This original approach allowed us to accurately group patients with the affected Dp140 expression. To assess the cumulative effect of dystrophin isoforms on FSIQ, the patients were divided into three groups with respect to the preservation or loss of Dp140 and Dp71/Dp40.

**Cognitive Assessment.** All DMD patients were psychologically tested. Taking into account the patients’ age, different psychological instruments were used for cognitive status assessment.

In order to assess intelligence level in children younger than 16 years and 11 months, the Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children (WISC) was used [24]. The test generates FSIQ, which represents overall cognitive ability. Patients with FSIQ ≤70 were considered mentally disabled, while patients with FSIQ ranging between 70 and 85 (70<FSIQ≤85) were defined as borderline.

The Brunet-Lezine scale, statistically adapted for our population, was applied in order to assess psychomotor developmental quotient (DQ) in children from 0 to 30 months [25]. With complementary tests, this scale can be used until 6 years of age.

The Vineland-Doll scale was used to measure social maturity in individuals with mental retardation or individuals who had difficulty performing in testing situations [26]. Based on literature findings, an estimate of social quotient (SQ) provided by this test, was highly correlated (0.80) with intelligence.

**Statistical Analysis.** Exploration of normality, assessed with the Shapiro-Wilk test, revealed normal distribution of age and FSIQ in our sample. Therefore, parametric tests were used for further analysis. To investigate whether the mean FSIQ was statistically different from normative values (100 ± 15) one sample t-test was applied. To evaluate whether age had an impact on cognitive status, the Pearson correlation coefficient was calculated. The results were considered significant when probability was less than 0.05.

The cognitive abilities of patients with mutations localized in proximal and distal parts of the DMD gene were compared using the parametric one-way t-test for independent samples. The same test was used to assess an effect of loss of different dystrophin isoforms on FSIQ. In order to adjust for multiple testing, we made an adjustment of p value calculating Bonferroni correction (0.05/3 tests = 0.017). To determine whether Dp140 and Dp71/Dp40 were associated with cognitive abilities, patients with presumably intact or absent Dp140 and/or Dp71/Dp40 were compared using one-way ANOVA analysis of variance. Statistical analyses were performed using commercially available software (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY, USA; Statistics for Windows, SPSS Version 20.0).

**RESULTS**

**Clinical and Genetic Characteristics.** Forty-one patients with genetically confirmed deletion or duplication in the DMD gene and cognitive status assessment were recruited at the Clinic for Neurology and Psychiatry for Children and Youth in Belgrade, Serbia. All affected patients were males, aged 3 to 16 (8.34 ± 2.56) years. Deletions were confirmed in 37 patients (90.24%), while duplications were identified in four patients (9.75%). The identified deletions and duplications, description of the mutations at cDNA and protein level, mutation effect on reading frame, as well as dystrophin isoforms impaired by mutation, the age at the onset of disease, the age at psychological testing and data of the psychological exploration are shown in Table 1. Although patients with in-frame mutations could express milder phenotypes, all our patients with in-frame mutations developed a DMD phenotype: one patient showed delayed psychomotor development and was wheelchair-bound at the age of 10 (ID 28), the second had the onset of the disease at the age of 3.5 years and developed positive Gowers sign at the age of 7 (ID 5), and two boys, the youngest one (IDs 2 and 38), expressed initial signs of motor decline at the age of 6.

The FSIQ was estimated for 37 participants, DQ was estimated for two patients, while SQ was as-
Table 1. Observed deletions and duplications for all examined patients with Duchenne muscular dystrophy and corresponding cognitive abilities.

| ID  | Age at Onset (years) | Detected DMD Gene | Mutation at cDNA Level | Mutation at Protein Level | Mutation Effect | Affected Dystrophin Isoforms | FSIQ | Age at FSIQ Test (years) |
|-----|----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------|--------------------------|
| 1   | 5                    | deletion of exon 1 and Dp427c | c.(?_128297)_31+?del   | N/A                       | N/A             | Dp427                       | 72   | 9                        |
| 2   | 5                    | deletion of exons 3_4 | c.94-?_264+?del       | p.F32_N88del             | in frame        | Dp427                       | 100  | 6                        |
| 3   | 3                    | duplication of exons 3_7 | c.94-?_649+?dup       | p.D217V fs*7             | out of frame    | Dp427                       | 96   | 11                       |
| 4   | 2                    | deletion of exons 3_17 | c.94-?_2168+?del      | p.L724V fs*4             | out of frame    | Dp427                       | 75   | 9                        |
| 5   | 3.5                  | deletion of exons 3_18 | c.94-?_2292+?del      | p.F32_N764del           | in frame        | Dp427                       | 106  | 7                        |
| 6   | 2                    | duplication of exons 8_12 | c.650-?_1482+?del     | p.V495S fs*13            | out of frame    | Dp427                       | 110  | 10                       |
| 7   | 2                    | duplication of exons 16_17 | c.1813-?_2168+?dup   | p.L724F fs*2            | out of frame    | Dp427                       | 88   | 10                       |
| 8   | 5                    | deletion of exons 20_23 | c.2381-?_3162+?del    | p.N1055E fs*12           | out of frame    | Dp427                       | 111  | 8                        |
| 9   | 3                    | deletion of exons 8_34 | c.650-?_4845+?del     | p.A1616G fs*7            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260                | 100  | 6                        |
| 10  | 2                    | deletion of exon 45c | c.6439-?_6614+?del    | p.L2206A fs*17           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 109  | 9                        |
| 11  | 2                    | deletion of exons 45_50 | c.6439-?_7309+?del    | p.S2437L fs*9            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 96   | 5                        |
| 12  | 5                    | deletion of exons 45_50 | c.6439-?_7309+?del    | p.S2437L fs*9            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 118  | 9                        |
| 13  | 2                    | deletion of exons 46_47 | c.6615-?_6912+?del    | p.V2305F fs*16           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 105  | 10                       |
| 14  | 4                    | deletion of exons 46_48 | c.6615-?_7098+?del    | p.E2367K fs*4            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 68   | 6                        |
| 15  | pdd                  | deletion of exons 46_50 | c.6615-?_7309+?del    | p.R2205S fs*16           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 94   | 7                        |
| 16  | <2                   | deletion of exons 46_50 | c.6615-?_7309+?del    | p.R2205S fs*16           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 114  | 9                        |
| 17  | 3                    | deletion of exons 48_50 | c.6913-?_7309+?del    | p.S2437L fs*9            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 89   | 10                       |
| 18  | <2                   | deletion of exons 48_50 | c.6913-?_7309+?del    | p.S2437L fs*9            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 94   | 7                        |
| 19  | 4                    | deletion of exons 49_50 | c.7099-?_7309+?del    | p.S2437L fs*9            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 63   | 7                        |
| 20  | 5                    | deletion of exons 49_50 | c.7099-?_7309+?del    | p.S2437L fs*9            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 99   | 9                        |
| 21  | <2                   | deletion of exon 50   | c.7201-?_7309+?del    | p.S2437L fs*9            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 63   | 12                       |
| 22  | pdd                  | deletion of exon 50   | c.7201-?_7309+?del    | p.S2437L fs*9            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 95   | 6                        |
| 23  | 4.5                  | deletion of exon 44c | c.6291-?_6438+?del    | p.E2147N fs*16           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 71   | 8                        |
| 24  | 4                    | deletion of exon 44c | c.6291-?_6438+?del    | p.E2147N fs*16           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 94   | 11                       |
| 25  | 3.5                  | deletion of exons 45_52 | c.6439-?_7660+?del    | p.I2554L fs*23           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 50   | 9                        |
| 26  | 4                    | deletion of exons 46_51 | c.6615-?_7542+?del    | p.A2515Q fs*23           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 95   | 14                       |
| 27  | 2.5                  | deletion of exons 46_52 | c.6615-?_7660+?del    | p.R2205S fs*2            | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 88   | 9                        |
| 28  | pdd                  | deletion of exons 47_51 | c.6763-?_7542+?del    | p.L2255_K2514del        | in frame        | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 96   | 10                       |
| 29  | N/A                  | deletion of exons 50_53 | N/A                    | N/A                      | N/A             | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 75   | 7                        |
| 30  | 5                    | deletion of exons 50_53 | N/A                    | N/A                      | N/A             | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 79   | 7                        |
| 31  | 3.5                  | deletion of exon 51   | c.7310-?_7542+?del    | p.A2515Q fs*33           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 87   | 11                       |
| 32  | 5                    | deletion of exon 52   | c.7543-?_7660+?del    | p.I2554L fs*22           | out of frame    | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 101  | 11                       |
| 33  | 3                    | deletion of exon 53   | N/A                    | N/A                      | N/A             | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140pc      | 98   | 7                        |
General intelligence evaluation of the analyzed population demonstrated statistically significant difference from population normative values ($t = -4.024$, $p = 0.00015$). The FSIQ with mean of 87.57 (SD 18.79) had a broad range of values between 44.0 and 118.0. Thirteen patients (35.14%) had FSIQ lower than 85, of whom six patients (16.22%) had borderline intelligence levels ($70 < \text{FSIQ} \leq 85$), while seven patients (18.92%) were considered intellectually disabled ($\text{FSIQ} \leq 70$). Two intellectually disabled patients were severely impaired ($\text{FSIQ} < 50$). Above average FSIQ was assessed in four patients ($\text{FSIQ} \geq 110$). Assessed correlation coefficient showed no significant association between age and FSIQ ($r = -0.129$).

### Association of Intelligence Level with Mutation Location and Affected Dystrophin Isoforms.

The analysis of the intellectual ability with respect to the structural classification of mutation locations did not show statistically significant difference in the mean FSIQ between the patients with mutation proximal and distal to exon 30 ($t = 1.23$, $p = 0.114$) (Table 3). The patients with the mutation proximal to exon 30 had a mean FSIQ of 94.75 (SD 15.19), while for the patients with mutations distal to exon 30, the mean FSIQ was 88.59 (SD 19.44). A similar result was observed when exon 45 was used as a boundary ($t = 1.45$, $p = 0.130$) (Table 3). The patients with the mutation proximal to exon 45 had a mean FSIQ of 93.0 (SD 14.71), while for the patients with mutation in the distal part, the mean FSIQ was 85.27 (SD 20.09).

However, the analysis of intellectual ability with respect to mutation location assigned to the impaired

### Table 2. Scores according to different psychological tests.

| Psychological Exploration | $n$ | Mean Value (range) |
|---------------------------|-----|---------------------|
| FSIQ                      | 37  | (87.57 ± 18.79) (44, 118) |
| DQ                        | 2   | (82, 105)           |
| SQ                        | 2   | (41, 58)            |

$n$: number of patients; FSIQ: full scale intelligence quotient; DQ: development quotient; SQ: social quotient.
dystrophin isoforms indicated statistically significantly different scoring between patients whose mutations affected expression of Dp427 and Dp260, and patients whose mutations additionally affected expression of Dp140, Dp116, Dp71 and Dp40 \( (t = 2.67, p = 0.0057) \) (Table 4). This result was obtained after the group of patients with a mutation in the Dp140utr region was clustered together with the patients whose mutations altered expression of Dp427 and Dp260. The frequency of borderline intellectual ability in the group of patients with altered Dp427, Dp260 and Dp140utr, was 9.09%, while in the group of patients with altered Dp140pc, Dp116, Dp71 and Dp40, it was 26.67%. The frequency of intellectual disability in the two groups was 13.64 vs. 26.67%. Furthermore, three patients had affected Dp116, Dp71 and Dp40 and they were intellectually disabled (IDs 36, 37 and 41). Considering the few observations in this group of patients, a statistical test was not performed.

Additionally, 28 patients with the mutation affecting Dp140, were classified into groups based on the mutation localization in Dp140utr or Dp140pc. The patients with mutation within the Dp140utr region had a mean FSIQ of 92.85 (SD 18.16), while for patients with a mutation in the Dp140pc region, the mean FSIQ was 78.33 (SD 18.79). The difference in the mean FSIQ was statistically significantly different \( (t = 2.07, p = 0.024) \) between those two groups.

Finally, the distribution of FSIQ with respect to functional consequence on the Dp140 and Dp71/Dp40 isoforms indicated that cognitive impairment in DMD patients was associated with the cumulative loss of dystrophin isoforms (Figure 1). A greater proportion of variability in FSIQ was explained after assuming that mutations within the Dp140utr affect the functional loss of Dp427 and Dp260, but not Dp140 \( (F = 7.454, p = 0.002 \text{ vs. } F = 5.76, p = 0.007) \).

For the youngest two patients, psychomotor development was measured with a scale for early infancy. Estimated DQ suggested normal psychomotor development (DQ=105) for a boy (ID 39) whose mutation affected expression of Dp427, Dp260 and

### Table 3. Association between proximal and distal mutations and full scale intelligence quotient.

| Location of Mutation in the DMD Gene | n | Mean FSIQ (SD) | t-Test |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------|--------|
| Proximal to exon 30 (1-30)           | 8 | 94.75 (15.14)  | 1.23   |
| Distal to exon 30 (31-79)            | 29| 88.59 (19.44)  |        |
| Proximal to exon 45 (1-45)           | 11| 93.00 (14.71)  | 1.45   |
| Distal to exon 45 (46-79)            | 26| 85.27 (20.09)  |        |

FSIQ: full scale intelligence quotient; n: number of patients; SD: standard deviation.

### Table 4. Association between mutation locations assigned to altered expression of dystrophin isoforms and full scale intelligence quotient.

| Location of the Mutation in the DMD Gene | Affected Dystrophin Isoforms | n | Mean FSIQ (SD) | t-Test |
|-----------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|----------------|--------|
| Proximal to intron 29                   | Dp427                        | 8 | 94.75 (15.14)  | 1.23   |
| Distal to intron 29                     | Dp260, Dp140, Dp116, Dp71, Dp40 | 29| 88.59 (19.44)  |        |
| Proximal to intron 44                   | Dp427, Dp260                 | 9 | 93.33 (14.27)  | 1.45   |
| Dp140 promoter and distal to intron 44  | Dp140, Dp116, Dp71, Dp40     | 28| 85.07 (19.59)  |        |
| Proximal to exon 51 excluding the Dp140 promoter | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140utr     | 22| 93.86 (16.36)  | 2.67   |
| Dp140 promoter and distal to exon 51 including exon 51 | Dp140pc, Dp116, Dp71, Dp40 | 15| 78.33 (18.79)  |        |
| Proximal to intron 55                   | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140         | 34| 90.68 (16.10)  |        |
| Distal to intron 55                     | Dp140, Dp116, Dp71, Dp40    | 3 | 52.33 (7.37)   |        |
| Proximal to intron 62                   | Dp427, Dp260, Dp140         | 35| 89.66 (16.97)  |        |
| Distal to intron 62                     | Dp116, Dp71, Dp40            | 2 | 51.00 (9.90)   |        |

n: number of patients; FSIQ: full scale intelligence quotient; SD: standard deviation; Dp140: Dp140utr+Dp140pc; Dp140utr: 5’ untranslated region of Dp140; Dp140pc: promoter and protein coding region of Dp140; +: additionally affected dystrophin isoforms; -: only few observation in one group, statistical test was not reliable.

* p<0.01 statistical significance (Bonferroni correction 0.05/3).
*b Three patients with FSIQ of 55, 44 and 58.
*c Two patients with FSIQ of 44 and 58.
Dp140utr, while slightly delayed psychomotor development (DQ=82) was reported for the other boy (ID 40) whose mutation affected expression of all dystrophin isoforms.

Moreover, for two DMD patients with difficulties in a test situation, SQ was estimated. One patient (ID 38), with autistic like-behavior and undeveloped speech, had SQ 41, while the other (ID 41) had SQ 58. The patient with autistic like-behavior had a deletion that altered expression of Dp427 only, while duplication/triplication in the distal part of the DMD gene caused loss of all dystrophin isoforms in the other patient. Cognitive assessment for one sibling pair showed intellectual impairment in one sibling (ID 37), while slightly delayed psychomotor development was noted in the other (ID 40).

**DISCUSSION**

The aim of this study was to determine the frequency of intellectual impairment and relationship between intelligence level and dystrophin mutations in the Serbian group of patients with DMD. Consistent with previously published studies, general intellectual level in our study group was statistically significantly different from normative values [27].

The FSIQ was reduced for almost 1 SD (15 FSIQ points) from population average, which is in agreement with the results from other DMD cohorts [28-30], or DMD plus intermediate MD cohorts [31]. Seven boys in our sample (18.92%) had intellectual impairment with FSIQ<70, which is slightly lower but still in agreement with the results reported in other studies (19-35%) [2,3,28-30].

The majority of patients in our study had confirmed causal deletion in the DMD gene, so it was difficult to analyze the effect of mutation type on intellectual level. Even though only four patients had duplication in the DMD gene, three of them (IDs 3, 6 and 7) had normal intelligence ability, while for one patient (ID 41), the estimated SQ, which highly correlates with FSIQ, was low. The patients with normal intelligence ability had a duplication in the proximal part of the DMD gene that affected expression of Dp427, while the patient with low SQ had a duplication/triplication that altered the expression of all dystrophin isoforms. Our study did not include patients with DMD clinical presentation without deletion or duplication and with possible point mutations. However, Taylor et al. [14] published a study in which there was no significant correlation between mutation type and FSIQ.
Despite the fact that some of the previously published studies found association between the structural location of mutations and FSIQ [22,23,28], we were not able to replicate this association in our study when boundaries for proximal and distal mutations were set at exon 30 and exon 45. However, association between the intellectual ability of DMD patients and mutation location in regard to their functional consequence, loss of expression of different dystrophin isoforms [14], was confirmed with our results.

The loss of the Dp427 isoform is a common feature among all DMD patients, which may result in cognitive impairment. Dp427 is expressed in the neocortex, cerebellum and amygdala [6,32,33], where it plays not only a structural role in central synapses but also likely regulates GABA$_\text{A}$ receptor clustering at inhibitory synapses [9]. In eight patients whose mutations abolished the expression of Dp427, two boys (IDs 1 and 4) (25.0%) had borderline FSIQ, while none had an intellectual impairment (FSIQ <70). However, the mutations affecting the expression of Dp140 and Dp71/Dp40, in addition to Dp427, have been associated with higher frequency and severe cognitive impairment in our DMD patients, suggesting the effect of cumulative loss of dystrophin isoforms and the important role of Dp140 and Dp71/Dp40 on intellectual ability. This finding is in agreement with the results of previously published studies [14,15,34-36].

The role of the Dp140 isoform on intellectual functioning was recognized by Felisari et al. [37], who described the association between the mutations affecting the expression of Dp140 and intellectual ability in DMD patients, has been replicated in other studies [14,28,29]. Dp140 is detected throughout the brain (cerebral cortex, cerebellum, hippocampus, brain stem and olfactory bulb) and in the spinal cord [11], but its function is still unclear. Our results suggest statistically significantly lower FSIQ in patients with altered Dp140, Dp116, Dp71 and Dp40 compared to patients with the mutations affecting only the expression of Dp427 and Dp260. However, a statistically significant difference has been obtained after clustering mutations in the Dp140utr region together with the mutations affecting the expression of Dp427 and Dp260. Additionally, statistically significant difference in the mean FSIQ was obtained when patients with the mutation affecting Dp140 were classified into groups with the mutation localization in 5’UTR (Dp140utr) or in promoter and protein-coding region (Dp140pc). These results underline the importance of assuming that the expression of the Dp140 isoform is not mainly affected by mutations located in its long 5’UTR, which includes frequently deleted exons 45-50. All the same, in our group of patients, three boys (IDs 14, 19 and 21) with mutations in the Dp140utr coding region were intellectually impaired, suggesting that some regulatory elements in the 5’UTR might be affected, influencing the alteration in the expression of Dp140. Our results are in agreement with a previously published study suggesting that mutations in the Dp140utr have a lesser effect on FSIQ when compared to the mutations affecting Dp140pc [14].

The Dp71 isoform is a major product of the DMD gene in the brain. It has been confirmed that Dp71 is abundant in the fetal as well as adult brain, particularly in the cerebral cortex and hippocampus [38]. Although the function of this isoform remains unknown, it has been reported that Dp71 has a role in the stabilization and/or formation of the synaptic membrane [39]. The dysfunctions of proteins involved in the regulation of synaptic structure and function influence neuronal connectivity and the ability of the brain to process information, and may be related to the cognitive impairment [36]. Additionally, it was shown that Dp71 has a regulatory role in excitatory synapse organization and function, by clustering glutamate receptors and organizing signaling in postsynaptic densities [15]. The systematic occurrence of mild-to-severe mental retardation was noticed in more than 50 patients with dystrophinopathies and the mutation located in the Dp71 [15]. Although the number of patients with altered Dp71 in our study was small ($n = 2$), which is in accordance with the low frequency of deletions and duplications in the most distal part of the DMD gene, both patients (IDs 35 and 37) were intellectually disabled, whereas one (ID 36) had the lowest FSIQ (44) within the entire study group. The mutations affecting the expression of Dp71 also affect the expression of all dystrophin isoforms, supporting the importance of cumulative loss of dystrophin isoforms apart from the loss of Dp71 only, reported in this and other studies [14,15,34].

Until recently, the role of the shortest isoform Dp40 in the brain was unknown. A finding that Dp40 is enriched in the synaptic vesicle fraction where it assembles a group of presynaptic proteins involved in the exocytosis of synaptic vesicles, indicates that
Dp40 might have an important role in presynaptic function [16]. Even though other studies did not analyze the effect of Dp40, the patients with intellectual impairment had mutations that influenced the expression of both Dp71 and Dp40 [15,16,34], implying that Dp40 might have a function relevant to cognitive processes.

Interestingly, one pair of siblings who were observed in our study, had the mutation that affects Dp71, but the use of different psychological instruments made it difficult to correlate genetic data with cognitive ability assessment and to analyze variation in DMD expression between siblings (IDs 37 and 40) with the same mutations. Unlike the FSIQ, the DQ is a ratio reflecting the child’s overall development without precisely defined correlation with FSIQ in later life. Still, the infant who scores low often turns out to be intellectually disabled [40].

In addition, the association of DMD with neuropsychiatric disorders has also been recognized. Wu et al. [41] published a study in which they confirmed a previously unrecognized relation between DMD and an autistic spectrum disorder. Therefore, it is not surprising that one boy (ID 38) with autism-like behavior, qualitatively different from the behavior of DMD boys with mental retardation, was described in our sample. His SQ, which was estimated to be 41, indicates below average achievement and impairment in adaptability, including communication, daily living, and socialization. The mutation in this boy affected only the expression of Dp427.

The limitations of our study were the retrospective design and limited sample size, but since psychological testing is a standard procedure in the care of DMD patients at the Clinic for Neurology and Psychiatry for Children and Youth, Belgrade, Serbia, we enabled an unbiased selection of recruited patients, overcoming selection issues discussed in other studies [2]. The non longitudinal design of our study excluded the possibilities of defining subtypes within DMD [23] and to define the clinical severity of two very young DMD patients (IDs 2 and 38) with in-frame mutations. In general, in-frame mutations are associated with milder form of dystrophinopathy, but exceptions to the reading frame hypothesis exist [42].

In summary, the classification of the mutations based on their functional consequence on dystrophin isoforms, with the assumption that the expression of Dp140 is not mainly affected by the mutations in its 5’UTR, explained the genetic influence on variability of FSIQ with the effect of cumulative loss of dystrophin isoforms, suggesting an important role of Dp140, Dp71 and Dp40 isoforms on intellectual ability. Defining the functional loss of dystrophin isoforms allows the recognition of the subgroup of DMD boys with greater risk for cognitive problems. Early interventions and the support in cognitive, emotional and behavioral development could be very useful and more effective than interventions in the older period of childhood or adolescence.
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