Charge density wave breakdown in a heterostructure with electron-phonon coupling
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Understanding the influence of vibrational degrees of freedom on transport through a heterostructure poses considerable theoretical and numerical challenges. In this work, we use the density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) method together with local basis optimization (LBO) to study the half-filled Holstein model in the presence of a linear potential, either isolated or coupled to tight-binding leads. In both cases, we observe a decay of charge-density-wave (CDW) states at a sufficiently strong potential strength. Local basis optimization selects the most important linear combinations of local oscillator states to span the local phonon space. These states are referred to as optimal modes. We show that many of these local optimal modes are needed to capture the dynamics of the decay, that the most significant optimal mode on the initially occupied sites remains well described by a coherent-state typical for small polarons, and that those on the initially empty sites deviate from the coherent-state form. Additionally, we compute the current through the structure in the metallic regime as a function of voltage. For small voltages, we reproduce results for the Luttinger parameters. As the voltage is increased, the effect of larger electron-phonon coupling strengths becomes prominent. Further, the most significant optimal mode remains almost unchanged when going from the ground state to the current-carrying state in the metallic regime.

I. INTRODUCTION

There is an increased technological and scientific importance of devices that are so small that quantum effects play an important role, see e.g., Refs. [1–4]. Thus, a detailed understanding of charge transport and the nonequilibrium properties of such quantum structures is desirable. In particular, the formation of electron-phonon bound states, polarons, can give rise to the emergence of new electronic phases with electric transport characteristics different from metals or band semiconductors [5].

For example, at sufficient doping, charge-density waves (CDW) or charge-ordered (CO) states can evolve. Under strong electric fields, such states can break down, as, e.g., in CDW wires [6] or in CO manganites [7]. In-situ transport experiments with CO manganites in an electron microscope revealed a complex transient behaviour with movement of entire CO domains and subsequent melting into a metallic state [8]. Polaron transport, binding and dissociation in electric fields also have an impact on the performance of polymer solar cells [9]. Notably, CO can enhance the lifetime of polaron excitations in junctions and thus enable hot-polaron type solar cells [10]. Understanding these experiments makes a comprehensive study of polaron transport and melting of CDW/CO states highly desirable. An improved and fully quantum-mechanical modelling of vibrational degrees of freedom [11–15] can help interpret the behaviour of molecules, see, e.g., Refs. [16–18], and charge transport and thermalization in heterostructures, see, e.g., Refs. [10, 19, 20].

In general, CDW and CO states can hardly be distinguished in their ground states, since they have the same order parameter. However, the mechanism of forming the two ordered states is very different, i.e., a Peierls type lattice instability (CDW) [21] versus crystalization of localized charge carriers (CO) [22, 23]. The difference is visible by the different phase transitions and non-equilibrium behaviour: one the one hand, CDWs display metallic behaviour above their transition temperature. Below this temperature, collective phenomena [24–27], such as sliding, lead to non-linear behaviour in the current-voltage relation if a sufficiently strong electric field is applied. In CO systems, on the other hand, charge transport above the transition temperature is due to the hopping of localized polarons [8, 28]. The CDW states will be the main focus of this work and one of our goals is to better understand the CDW behaviour under an applied voltage.

From a theoretical point of view, one possible setup consists of a one-dimensional quantum structure sandwiched between two metals (see Fig. 1). Then, the transport properties of this structure can be investigated by applying a voltage difference to the two conducting leads. Whereas the simplest case is to model a quantum dot with a certain energy level, many interesting and complicated extensions exist [12, 29, 30].

One important example is to allow for local vibrational degrees of freedom on the quantum dot (see, e.g., Refs. [11, 13–15, 31–34]). If these are modelled by a harmonic oscillator, one gets the single-level spinless Anderson-Holstein model (SAHM) which has been studied extensively in, e.g., Refs. [35–42]. One of the main goals of this work is to go beyond the SAHM and study a Holstein model, extending over several sites and coupled to leads.

Our main motivation is to get a better understanding of the effect of phonons on charge transport. The one-dimensional Holstein model has a complex ground-state phase diagram (see, e.g., Refs. [43, 44]) and, at half filling, undergoes a transition from a Tomanga-Luttinger liquid (TLL) to a CDW depending on the parameters. We address two main questions: How does the CDW phase behave when subjected to a linear potential and how do the different phases behave when the Holstein model is coupled to leads and a bias voltage is switched.
on?

The immense complexity of these types of non-equilibrium problems has driven the development of analytical and numerical methods such as the density-matrix renormalization group (DMRG) (see, e.g., Refs. [29, 45–56]), the numerical-renormalization group (NRG) (see, e.g., Refs. [57–60]), real-time renormalization group (RTRG) [61], functional-renormalization group (FRG) [38–40, 42, 62, 63], and quantum Monte Carlo (QMC) (as done in, e.g., Refs. [64–66]). In this work, we utilize DMRG for both ground-state search and time evolution [67–73]. A comparison between several of these methods for the single-impurity Anderson model is contained in Ref. [30].

Here, we are particularly interested in modelling the influence of phonons, requiring large local Hilbert spaces to capture the relevant physics in different parameter regimes. Although this can, in principle, be problematic for DMRG-based methods, techniques have been developed to treat these cases more efficiently (see also [74, 75]). One approach, introduced in Ref. [76], consists of mapping the bosonic degrees of freedom onto pseudosites in the lattice, thus replacing the large local Hilbert space with long-range interactions. Another method consists of finding a basis where the local Hilbert space can be truncated significantly with negligible error [77], called the local basis optimization (LBO). Recently, in Ref. [78], Köhler et al. suggested introducing bath sites, thus treating a doubled system but with a restored U(1) symmetry. The methodology was further applied to time-evolution calculations in Ref. [79]. These methods were benchmarked against each other for the ground-state search in Ref. [80]. For the problems at hand, we use LBO, which has already been successfully applied to a wide range of problems, e.g., in Refs. [81–87].

We first investigate the regular Holstein model (not coupled to leads) in the CDW phase, and focus on the breakdown of the charge order. When we apply a linear potential, the CDW order parameters defined in the electron and phonon sector decay rapidly if the intersite potential difference is of similar magnitude as the polaron binding energy. We further illustrate that the picture is similar to that in the Holstein dimer for short times. Related studies have been done of the breakdown of a Mott insulator coupled to two leads in Ref. [50], of a Mott insulator due to an electric field in Refs. [88–91], and of the Falicov-Kimball model, e.g., in Refs. [92–94].

Our work complements previous studies on the Holstein model where the CDW breakdown has been investigated by quenching parameters (e.g., in Ref. [86]) and applying a light pulse (e.g., in Ref. [95]). Additionally, there have been studies of single and bipolarons in a linear potential (see, e.g., Refs. [96, 97]), and recently, on the heating of a CDW [98] and a CDW with pulsed electric fields [99] and classical phonons.

We then couple the Holstein model to leads. Using time-dependent DMRG with LBO, we compute the current-voltage diagram of the structure in the metallic phase and demonstrate that using LBO leads to a significant computational speed up. Note that the linear conductance of the model in the metallic phase has been computed in a similar set up in Ref. [100]. There, the authors used a Kubo-formalism based DMRG approach [101, 102]. They also computed the Luttinger parameters [103–105] for the model and obtained quantitative agreement with Ref. [106], which computed the parameters from the structure factor. We reproduce their results by analyzing the steady-state current at low voltages. Further, we illustrate that the first optimal-basis state remains approximately constant in the current-carrying state as a function of time.

In the CDW phase, large voltages are required for a clear decay of the order parameter to be seen at the time scales reached with our method. Further, the properties of the most significant optimal-basis state change in the initially empty site but remain well described by a coherent state in the initially occupied sites.

The main results of this paper can be summarized as follows: In the charge-density wave regime of the Holstein model, we simulate the CDW breakdown at large voltages. We explicitly demonstrate the decay of order parameters in both the phonon and electron sector. This is done for the Holstein model, as well as the Holstein model coupled to leads. Further, we show that the local phonon states of the initially empty sites in the CDW deviate from the coherent state, usually used to describe the small polaron. In the metallic regime, we compute the current-voltage diagram and observe a significant dependence on the electron-phonon coupling at small voltages. We additionally illustrate that the local phonon distribution remains largely unaffected by the voltage in the current-carrying state compared to the ground state and we reproduce literature values [102, 106] for the Luttinger parameter.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II, we introduce the model. In Sec. III, we briefly review DMRG with LBO and how it can be applied to the systems studied here. In Sec. IV, we discuss CDW order in the ground state of the Holstein model with and without a coupling to the leads. Section V looks at the usual Holstein model and Sec. VI at the Holstein model coupled to the leads. We summarize and give a brief outlook in Sec. VII.

II. MODEL

When investigating how vibrating degrees of freedom affect the transport properties of a structure attached to two leads we can write down a three-term model:

\[ \hat{H} = \hat{H}_{\text{leads}} + \hat{H}_{\text{hyb}} + \hat{H}_s. \] (1)

We use open-boundary conditions and set \( \hbar = 1 \) throughout this paper. If the structure starts at site \( L_0 \) and is of
and have a hopping amplitude $t_l$. In the structure, the electrons couple to the phonons with a coupling strength $\gamma$ and have a hopping amplitude $t_l$. The phonons have the frequency $\omega_0$ and there is a local gate voltage $\epsilon_b$. The electrons can tunnel between the leads and the structure with an tunneling amplitude $t_{hyb}$. At time $t = 0$, a voltage $V$ is applied, with a linear interpolation through the structure.

length $L_n$, the Hamiltonian of the leads becomes

$$\hat{H}_{leads} = -t_l \sum_{j=1}^{L_n-2} \left( \hat{c}_j^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j+1} + \hat{c}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_j \right) - t_l \sum_{j=L_n+L_s}^{L-1} \left( \hat{c}_j^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j+1} + \hat{c}_{j+1}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_j \right),$$

where $\hat{c}_j^{\dagger}$ is the electron annihilation (creation) operator on the $j$-th site, $L$ is the total length of the system, and $t_l$ the hopping amplitude in the leads. The hybridization term is

$$\hat{H}_{hyb} = -t_{hyb} \left( \hat{c}_L^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{L-1} + H.c. \right) - t_{hyb} \left( \hat{c}_{L+L_s-1}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{L+L_s} + H.c. \right),$$

and $t_{hyb}$ is the hopping amplitude between the structure and the leads. To model vibrational degrees of freedom in the structure, we choose the Holstein model [107], which contains a coupling between electrons and local optical phonons. The structure’s Hamiltonian then takes the form:

$$\hat{H}_s = -t_0 \sum_{j=L_0}^{L_0+L_s-2} \left( \hat{c}_j^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{j+1} + H.c. \right) + \sum_{j=L_0}^{L_0+L_s-1} \left( \omega_0 \hat{b}_j^{\dagger} \hat{b}_j + \gamma \hat{n}_j (\hat{b}_j^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_j) + \epsilon_b \hat{n}_j \right),$$

with $\hat{b}_j^{\dagger}$ being the phonon annihilation (creation) operator on site $j$ and $\hat{n}_j = \hat{c}_j^{\dagger} \hat{c}_j$. Further, we have the gate voltage $\epsilon_b$, the harmonic-oscillator frequency $\omega_0$ and the coupling strength between the electrons and the phonons $\gamma$. For $L_n = 1$, the model turns into the well-studied spinless Anderson-Holstein model. The complete model is illustrated in Fig. 1. We also define

$$\bar{\epsilon} = \epsilon_b - \frac{\gamma^2}{\omega_0},$$

and in this work, we set $\bar{\epsilon} = 0$ so that $\epsilon_b$ corresponds to the polaron binding energy in the single-site limit of the model. In this regime, we can detect a clear distinction between the metallic and CDW phase in the ground state for the parameters investigated here. When we refer to the regular Holstein model, we mean the Hamiltonian in Eq. (4) without leads.

When studying the Holstein structure coupled to leads, we always start with the ground state of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), and at time $t \omega_0 > 0$, we apply a voltage by adding the term

$$\hat{H}_V = -\frac{V\theta(t)}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{L_n-1} \hat{n}_j + \frac{V\theta(t)}{2} \sum_{j=L_n+L_s}^{L} \hat{n}_j + \sum_{j=L_n}^{L_n+L_s-1} \theta(t)(i - L_s) \Delta V \hat{n}_j,$$

to the Hamiltonian. Here, $\Delta V = V/(L_n + 1)$ and $L_s = L_n - 1 + (L_n + 1)/2$. For the regular Holstein model, we only apply the linear potential [i.e., the last term in Eq. (6)].

We further define the hybridization parameter

$$\Gamma = 2(t_{hyb})^2.$$

We are interested in the expectation values of several observables. We calculate the expectation value of the current through the structure defined as

$$\hat{j} = \frac{i}{2} t_{hyb} \left( \hat{c}_{L_n-1}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{L_0} - H.c. + \hat{c}_{L_0+L_s-1}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{L_0+L_s} - H.c. \right),$$

where we take the average of the incoming and outgoing currents. Additionally, we compute an order parameter in the electron sector

$$\mathcal{O}_e = \frac{1}{N_e} \sum_{i=L_0}^{L_0+L_s-1} (-1)^{i-L_0} \langle \hat{n}_i \rangle,$$

and in the phonon sector

$$\mathcal{O}_X = \frac{-1}{N_e} \sum_{i=L_0}^{L_0+L_s-1} (-1)^{i-L_0} \langle \hat{X}_i \rangle,$$

where $\hat{X}_i = \hat{b}_i^{\dagger} + \hat{b}_i$ and $N_e = (L_n + 1)/2$ for odd $L_n$. In Eq. (10), we include an additional minus sign to ensure that $\mathcal{O}_X > 0$ in the groundstate for $\gamma > 0$. These parameters characterize the transition from a TLL to a CDW phase.
III. METHODS

In this section, we briefly explain the main numerical method used in this work, namely the time-dependent density-matrix renormalization group using LBO. DMRG-based methods [67, 71, 72] have proven to be an extremely valuable tool to study one-dimensional systems and have already been applied extensively to a wide range of problems (see Refs. [71–73] for reviews). This work uses time-dependent DMRG [68–70] with local basis optimization [77]. LBO has been combined with both exact-diagonalization methods [81] and matrix-product state methods [83–87] and has enabled the study of electron-phonon systems in previously inaccessible regimes for other wave-function based methods, e.g., for finite-temperature spectral functions of the Holstein polaron [87], quench dynamics of charge-density waves with a completely quantum mechanical treatment of the phonons [86], and the scattering of an electronic wave packet on a structure with electron-phonon interaction [85]. Other Hilbert-space based methods used in the field are exact diagonalization, see, e.g., in Refs. [81, 108, 109], diagonalization in a limited functional space, e.g., in Refs. [96, 110, 111], and the Lanczos method, e.g., in Refs. [112–114].

Here, for the Holstein model coupled to leads, we use matrix-product states consisting of both sites with only fermionic (indicated by $\eta$) and sites with both fermionic and bosonic degrees of freedom (indicated by $\sigma$). We write $|\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{left}}\rangle = |\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{L-1}\rangle$, $|\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{right}}\rangle = |\sigma_{L_0} l, \ldots, \sigma_L\rangle$ and $|\tilde{\eta}_R\rangle = |\eta_{L_0}, \ldots, \eta_{L_0+L-1}\rangle$. We truncate the phonon Hilbert space by allowing maximum $M$ phonons on each site. The total matrix-product state can be written as:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{|\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{left}}\rangle, |\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{right}}\rangle, |\tilde{\eta}\rangle} A^{\sigma_1} \cdots A^{\sigma_{L-1}} A^{\eta_{L_0}} \cdots A^{\eta_{L_0+L-1}} A^{\sigma_{L_0} l} \cdots A^{\sigma_{L_0+L-1}} \cdots A^{\sigma_L} |\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{left}}\rangle |\tilde{\eta}_R\rangle |\tilde{\sigma}_{\text{right}}\rangle.$$  

For the time evolution, the Hamiltonian is first written as a sum of terms $\hat{H}$ acting on the two neighbouring sites $l$ and $l+1$. For a time step $dt$, we carry out a second-order Trotter-Suzuki decomposition into even and odd terms

$$e^{-idt\hat{H}} = e^{-idt\hat{H}_{\text{even}}/2} e^{-idt\hat{H}_{\text{odd}}/2} e^{-idt\hat{H}_{\text{even}}/2} + O(dt^3).$$  

The gates can now be applied directly to the matrix-product state.

To treat the large number of local degrees of freedom efficiently we apply a transformation into a local optimal basis. This is done by obtaining the local reduced density matrix $\rho$ at each time step after applying the time-evolution gate to a site with bosonic degrees of freedom. As described in detail in Refs. [83, 85–87], this is used to obtain the transformation matrices into the new, called the optimal local basis by diagonalizing $\rho$ such that

$$\rho = U\rho U^\dagger.$$  

In Eq. (13), $W$ is a diagonal matrix containing the eigenvalues $w_\alpha$ and $U$ is the transformation matrix with the eigenvectors $|\phi_\alpha\rangle$ such that

$$\rho |\phi_\alpha\rangle = w_\alpha |\phi_\alpha\rangle.$$  

The matrices $U$ transform between the phonon bare mode basis and the optimal basis on a given site. The number of optimal states one needs to keep while allowing for a certain error is set by the eigenvalues $w_\alpha$. In the single-site limit of the Holstein model, it is well known that the system can be described by only two optimal states, the coherent and the empty state. In this case, the optimal basis has an obvious physical meaning. Since the system conserves the number of electrons we can split up the reduced density matrices into block matrices in the one-electron sector $\rho^1$ and the zero-electron sector $\rho^0$ with the sum of the traces $\text{Tr}[\rho^1] + \text{Tr}[\rho^0] = 1$. We further denote the corresponding eigenvalues and eigenvectors with an additional index so that, e.g., $\rho^1 |\phi_{\alpha}^1\rangle = w_\alpha^1 |\phi_{\alpha}^1\rangle$. The weights $w_\alpha$ in our system are analysed in Appendix A. One important result of this work is that the local basis optimization works very well for the calculations done in the metallic phase (only $\sim 3$ local states are needed in one particle number sector, compared to $M+1$ in this case $M = 30$ in the bare phonon-number basis). There, only relatively small voltages are needed to obtain a steady state current and a current-voltage diagram. In contrast, in all cases where the CDW is found to break down, large voltages and many local states are required.

In the time-dependent DMRG method with LBO we first apply the time-evolution gate, then obtain the transformation matrices and transform into the optimal basis before the subsequent singular value decomposition. A thorough discussion of the method can be found in Ref. [83].

When diagonalizing the reduced density matrix to obtain the optimal basis, the smallest eigenvalues $w_{\alpha}$ are discarded such that the truncation error is below a threshold: $\sum_{\text{discarded }\alpha} w_\alpha / (\sum_{\text{all }\alpha} w_\alpha) < \epsilon_{\text{LBO}}$. For the truncation done in the time-evolution scheme, we discard all singular values such that $\sum_{\text{discarded }\alpha} s_\alpha^2 / (\sum_{\text{all }\alpha} s_\alpha^2) < \epsilon_{\text{bond}}$. All calculations were done using Ref. [115] and with $d\omega_0 = 0.025$.

IV. CDW ORDER IN THE GROUND AND INITIAL STATE

We first look at the ground-state properties of the two setups. In Fig. 2, we show $O_X$ and $O_Y$ for the ground state obtained with DMRG for both the Holstein model and the Holstein model coupled to leads. The relative variance of the ground-state energy, $\sigma_E^2 = \langle (H^2) - \langle H \rangle^2 \rangle / \langle H \rangle^2$, is converged up to the order $\leq 10^{-6}(10^{-12})$ for the regular Holstein model (Holstein model coupled
In this section, we focus on the Holstein model without coupling to any leads [i.e., just Eq. (4)]. To investigate the dynamics of the CDW, we initially compute the ground state of the Holstein model and at time $t_0 = 0$ we apply the linear potential. We focus on the strong-coupling regime, $\gamma/\omega_0 = 2\sqrt{2}, t_0/\omega_0 = 1$, where the ground state is known to be a charge-density wave [43, 44] (see Fig. 2). In Fig. 3, we show the order parameters for different voltages. Since a single polaron has a binding energy of $\epsilon_b = \gamma^2 / \omega_0$, one expects that local voltage differences of $\Delta V / \omega_0 \sim \gamma^2 / \omega_0^2$ are needed for the order parameter to decay on the time scales reachable here. This is confirmed for both the order parameter in the electron [Fig. 3(a)] and phonon sector [Fig. 3(b)]. There, we observe almost no decay for $V / \omega_0 = 20$, which corresponds to $\Delta V / \omega_0 = 2 \ll \gamma^2 / \omega_0^2 = 8$. However, for both $V / \omega_0 = 80$ ($\Delta V / \omega_0 = 8$) and $V / \omega_0 = 100$ ($\Delta V / \omega_0 = 10$), the order parameters decay substantially. Since one could expect that only the voltage difference between two neighboring sites should dominate the order-parameter decay, we also show the data for the same voltage differences in the Holstein dimer. The dynamics are indeed similar, indicating that the dimer picture gives a reasonable qualitative description for the short-time dynamics. To quantify how the change of the order parameter is affected by the electron-phonon coupling strength, we fit $O_n$ and $O_X$ in the interval $\omega_0 \in [0, 6]$ with the function $f(t) = at + b$. In Fig. 4, we show the resulting values of $a$ as a function of $\epsilon_b = \gamma^2 / \omega_0$ for different voltages for the Holstein model and the dimer. The figure indicates that the decrease of the order parameters strongly depends on the polaron binding energies and the inter-site voltage $\Delta V$. In all cases, the decay gets suppressed when the binding energy gets large. Further, the figure illustrates that the functional dependence of the $a$’s are similar for both the Holstein model and the dimer. To conclude, our results suggest that a breakdown of the CDW can already be seen for $\Delta V \approx \epsilon_b$, but gets more prominent for $\Delta V \gg \epsilon_b$. For $\Delta V \ll \epsilon_b$, the CDW is stable.

### VI. Holstein Structure Coupled to Leads

In this section, we move on to study the Holstein structure coupled to leads with $L_s = 9$. In the first part, we focus on couplings strengths which lie in the TLL regime before we go to the charge-density wave regime.

#### A. Metallic phase

1. **Currents**

   We first compute the current-voltage curve for the model with coupling strengths $\gamma/\omega_0$ in the TLL regime. To do this, we apply the commonly used technique, see, e.g., Refs. [29, 47, 50], of averaging the expectation values of $\langle \dot{\vec{j}}(t) \rangle$ in a time interval where a quasi-steady state current is reached. We call this quantity $\langle \dot{\vec{j}}(t) \rangle_{av}$. We choose

| $L$ | $O_n \cdot \omega_0/(2\gamma)$ | $O_X \cdot \omega_0/(2\gamma)$ |
|-----|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 5   | 0.5                           | 0.5                           |
| 9   | 1.0                           | 1.0                           |
| 13  | 1.5                           | 1.5                           |

![Figure 2](image_url)

**FIG. 2.** (a) Order parameter in the electron sector for the ground states of the Holstein model (open symbols) and the Holstein model coupled to leads (filled symbols) for different $\gamma/\omega_0$. $L$ refers to the system size of the Holstein model and $L_s$ to the length of the structure which is coupled to the leads. In both cases, we set $t_0/\omega_0 = 1, \tilde{e} = 0, M = 35$ for $\gamma/\omega_0 \leq 2$, and $M = 50$ for $\gamma/\omega_0 > 2$. For the Holstein model coupled to leads, we further use a total $L = 236, \omega_0 = 2$ and $\Gamma/\omega_0 = 1$. The dashed line indicates $\gamma/\omega_0 = 2\sqrt{2}$, which is predominately used later in this work. (b) Order parameter in the phonon sector for the same parameters as in (a). Note that we do not show the data point for $L_s = 5$, and $\gamma/\omega_0 = 2.5$, since it is not converged conclusively with respect to the criteria in the main text.

to leads). We further verify that the obtained state is robust with respect to different initial states. The data are shown for both the structure coupled to leads and the regular Holstein model for different $\gamma/\omega_0$ with $M = 35$ local phonon states for $\gamma/\omega_0 \leq 2$ and $M = 50$ for $\gamma/\omega_0 > 2$. One can observe a clear distinction between the charge-density-wave phase and the metallic phase. For small $\gamma/\omega_0$, the order parameters decrease as the system size is increased. Note that in the metallic case, the values for the Holstein model coupled to leads are smaller than for the Holstein chain itself at the same length since for the structure, the total system size is even while the number of sites in the structure is odd. As $\gamma/\omega_0$ is increased, both $O_n$ and $O_X \cdot \gamma/\omega_0$ approach a constant for all systems, indicating the charge-density-wave phase. We further confirm that the results remain consistent as the maximum local phonon occupation number $M$ is increased.
FIG. 3. Order parameters for the Holstein model with $L = 9, \gamma/\omega_0 = 2\sqrt{2}, \bar{\epsilon} = 0, t_0/\omega_0 = 1, M = 50$ and different voltages $V/\omega_0$ ($\Delta V$ is the potential difference between consecutive sites in the structure). For the calculations, we use $\epsilon_{\text{LBO}} = 10^{-7}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{bond}} = 10^{-7}$. (a) Order parameter in the fermion sector, see Eq. (9). (b) Order parameter in the bosonic sector, see Eq. (10). The dashed lines are the exact data for the Holstein dimer.

The interval $t\omega_0 \in [20, 30]$. Typical data for the time dependence of the current $\langle \hat{j}(t) \rangle$ is shown in Appendix A. For our data, the standard deviation is defined as

$$\sigma_{\text{STD}}(\langle \hat{j} \rangle) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t, \omega_0 \in [20, 30]} (\langle \hat{j}(t) \rangle - \langle \hat{j}(t) \rangle_{\text{av}})^2}, \quad (15)$$

where $t_i$ is a point in time depending on the time step, $N$ is the number of terms in the sum $\sum_{t, \omega_0 \in [20, 30]}$, and we have $\sigma_{\text{STD}}(\langle \hat{j} \rangle) / \langle \hat{j}(t) \rangle_{\text{av}}$ of order $\lesssim 10^{-3}$.

The current-voltage diagram is plotted in Fig. 5(a). The data show that in the low-voltage regime, the currents decrease as $\gamma/\omega_0$ is increased. Similar behaviour is observed in the SAHM in Ref. [40]. This is even more clearly illustrated in Fig. 5(b), where $\langle \hat{j}(t) \rangle_{\text{av}} / V$ is plotted. As $\gamma/\omega_0$ is increased, a steady-state current can not be estimated for large $V/\omega_0$ from our data. For this reason, we show fewer points in those cases.

In the SAHM, the equilibrium spectral function of the dot at the particle-hole symmetric point displays a main peak at zero frequency accompanied by additional peaks separated by $\omega_0$ [36]. When the electron-phonon coupling is increased, the width of the main peak starts decreasing as spectral weight is shifted to larger frequencies. Since the current contains the integral over the spectral function (for the voltages studied here, one would expect the spectral function to remain approximately unaffected), the current will decrease at small voltages. Although the spectral function of the Holstein-structure extending over several sites is likely more complicated (see, e.g., Refs. [81, 116, 117] for spectral functions of half-filled Holstein chains), it is plausible that this picture still holds. This is further supported by the fact that for the non-interacting model and at small voltages, increasing $t_0/t_{\text{hyb}}$ leads to a decrease in the current. Decreasing $t_0/t_{\text{hyb}}$, however, increases the current. This is because at a fixed small voltage, a larger portion of states of the structure participates in transport as the band width decreases. Note that if both $t_0$ and $t_{\text{hyb}}$ are decreased but their ratio is kept constant, the current also decreases. For the Holstein structure, one expects that both the tunneling in and out of the structure as well as the band width is reduced when electron-phonon interactions are turned on. Since we clearly see a decrease in the current, we assume that the dominating effect of the electron-phonon coupling is on the tunneling from the structure into the leads in the parameter regimes studied here.

In the inset of Fig. 5(b), we show the Luttinger-liquid parameter computed from our data together with those obtained by studying the structure factor in Ref. [106] and from the Kubo formalism in Ref. [100]. The Lut-
Luttinger parameter $K$ renormalizes the conductance $G$ in a Luttinger liquid [103–105],

$$G = KG_0,$$

where $G_0$ is the conductance of free fermions in a tight-binding chain. We calculate $K$ as the ratio of $\langle j \rangle_{av}$ at finite $\gamma$ and at $\gamma = 0$ with $V/\omega_0 = 0.2$

$$K = \frac{\langle j \rangle_{av}}{\langle j \rangle_{av, \gamma/\omega_0 = 0} |_{V/\omega_0=0.2}}.$$  

Note that we changed the averaging interval to $t_\omega \in [25, 32.5]$ for $\gamma/\omega_0 = 1.4(1.5)$ due to the longer relaxation time. We see that this method qualitatively reproduces $K$ from our time-dependent calculations.

We now take a closer look at the current-carrying state at $V/\omega_0 = 0.6$ and $\gamma/\omega_0 = 1$. Figure 6(a) shows the order parameter, which oscillates around 0.1. Further, the local electron densities $n_{L_0+i} = \langle \hat{n}_{L_0+i} \rangle$ on selected sites are plotted in Fig. 6(b). As expected, their average increases for $i$ going from small to large due to the inhomogeneous bias voltage. Still, their mean value is $1/L_s \sum_{i=0}^{L_s-1} \langle \hat{n}_{L_0+i} \rangle \approx 0.5$, as illustrated by the black dashed line. Selected local currents $j_{L_0+i} = \sum_i \langle \hat{c}_{L_0+i}^\dagger \hat{c}_{L_0+i+1} - h.c. \rangle$ and the total current, see Eq. (8), can be seen in Fig. 6(c). There, the steady-state current and the mean of the local currents (black dashed line) overlap after some initial dynamics, consistent with having a constant flow of current through the structure. The local currents all oscillate around the mean value. Both the local currents and densities are also representative for those not shown in this paper.

2. Reduced density matrices in the metallic phase

Lastly, we look at the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix in the one-electron sector $\rho^1$ and the most significant eigenvector $\rho^1 | \phi_1^1 \rangle = w_1^1 | \phi_1^1 \rangle$ at site $L_0 + i$, where $w_1^1$ is the largest eigenvalue. The diagonal elements of $\rho$ were already studied for the SAHM in different parameter regimes in Ref. [37] and the optimal-basis states in the Holstein model in, e.g., Ref. [111]. Both are shown for selected sites for $V/\omega_0 = 0.6$ and $\gamma/\omega_0 = 1$ at different times in Fig. 7. We see that both the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix and the component of the optimal basis are strongly peaked at the zero phonon mode and decay rapidly for larger modes. We also observe that the distributions remain approximately the same during the time evolution (here, we only show the data for $t_\omega = 0, 20$) with some oscillations for the different sites, stemming from the oscillating local densities. Physically, this means that the electrons are being transported through the structure without significantly impacting the phonon distributions. Indeed, our results indicate that the current-carrying state can be well described by a few local modes that do not display much change compared to the ground state. The most significant eigenvalues of the reduced density matrix can be seen in Appendix A and decay exponentially. This illustrates the computational benefit of using LBO.

B. Charge-density wave phase

1. Charge-density wave breakdown

We now go into the strong electron-phonon coupling (CDW) regime where the order parameters, see Eqs. (9) and (10), remain nonzero as $L_s$ is increased. Since the polaron binding energy is $\gamma^2/\omega_0$, we expect that volt-
ages with $\Delta V \sim \gamma^2/\omega_0$ are needed to break up the CDW as we saw in Sec. V. Here, we also choose $\gamma/\omega_0 = 2\sqrt{2}$ and study the breakdown of the CDW at large $V/\omega_0$. In Fig. 8, we show the order parameters for $\Delta V/\omega_0 = 2, 8, 10$. We observe a similar behaviour as for the regular Holstein model, namely that they decrease as the applied voltage is increased. Further, we see that the initial dynamics are qualitatively similar to those of the dimer. However, as was the case in Sec. V, their decay is qualitatively different, in particular for larger times. Whereas the dimer data go far below zero, our data indicate that for the structure, both order parameters decay to zero with a stronger damping. While not shown here, when fitting the decay of the order parameters with a linear function for the initial dynamics, as done in Sec. V, we see a similar behaviour as for the Holstein model. The difference is that no rescaling is needed since all electrons can contribute to the decay. Further, the data points for the structure tend to lie above the dimer points due to boundary effects which we elaborate on in the next paragraph.

The local electron and phonon occupations are shown as functions of the sites in the structure and of time in Fig. 9. The figure confirms the results from the order parameters by illustrating how the electron densities remain constant for $\Delta V/\omega_0 = 2$ [Fig. 9(d)] and start to spread out for $\Delta V/\omega_0 = 8, 10$ [Fig. 9(e) and (f)]. Further, the change in electron densities is accompanied by a change and a shift of the maximum phonon occupation to the previously empty sites. Indeed, once the electrons can tunnel to an empty site, the excess energy first goes into generating a large number of new phonons. Additionally, the coupling to the leads allow the electron furthest to the left to tunnel out of the structure. This boundary effect also leads to a small decay of the order parameter for $\Delta V/\omega_0 = 2$ as well. We also observe that there are no local currents present for $\Delta V/\omega_0 = 2$, but that they become finite for large $\Delta V/\omega_0$.

2. Reduced density matrices in the charge-density wave phase

We continue by looking at the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix and the first optimal-basis state and contrast them to what we observed for the current carrying state in Sec. VI A. In Fig. 10, we show the data for different voltages at different times. The first thing that stands out are the initial distributions at $\omega_0 = 0$ in Figs. 10(a) and (b). On the sites occupied by electrons, namely sites $i = 4, 7$, both the diagonal elements of the density matrix and the most significant optimal-basis state are well described by the Poisson distribution, indicating a coherent local phonon state, as expected in
Further, the previously empty sites gain a large ampli-

cupied sites, but with some oscillations between modes.

\[ \rho_{\text{tr}}(d), \text{ at } \lambda_{\text{bd}} = 20, \text{ the system remains well described by} \]

\[ \text{coherent states. Both the most significant optimal-basis} \]

\[ \text{state and the diagonal elements of the density matrix} \]

\[ \text{increase of the weights in the zero-electron density ma-

\[ \text{trix} \]

\[ \text{increase significantly compared to the original distribu-

\[ \text{tion at sites } i \text{ decreases due to the boundary effect previ-

\[ \text{ously described. We further note some change in } |\phi_{1,m}^1|^2 \text{ for } i = 4. \]

More dramatic changes can be seen for the large bias

\[ \Delta V/\omega_0 = 10 \text{ in Fig. } 10(e) \text{ and } (f). \text{ At } t\omega_0 = 6, \text{ the amplitude of the diagonal elements of } \rho^1 \text{ have} \]

\[ \text{decreased significantly compared to the original distribu-

\[ \text{tion at sites } i = 0, 4. \text{ This is a consequence of the electron} \]

\[ \text{density getting distributed to other sites, leading to an} \]

\[ \text{increase of the weights in the zero-electron density ma-

\[ \text{trix } \rho^0, \text{ which is not shown here. Also, } |\phi_{1,m}^0|^2 \text{ remains} \]

\[ \text{well described by the coherent state on all initially oc-

\[ \text{cupied sites, but with some oscillations between modes.} \]

\[ \text{However, the previously empty sites gain a large ampli-

\[ \text{tude spreading out across several modes as can be seen} \]

\[ \text{for } i = 7. \text{ This is due to the electron density increas-

\[ \text{ing and phonons being generated with the excess energy.} \]

\[ \text{Further, the } |\phi_{1,m}^1|^2 \text{ seems to resemble a shifted coherent} \]

\[ \text{state with additional oscillations at the times reachable} \]

\[ \text{here. Figures } 10(e) \text{ and } (f) \text{ also illustrate that the syste} \]

\[ \text{m is notoriously more complex to simulate due to the large} \]

\[ \text{number of bare modes needed to capture the dynamics} \]

\[ \text{on the initially unoccupied sites. We further looked at} \]

\[ \text{the second most significant optimal-basis state in the} \]

\[ \text{one-electron sector, but there, no physical interpretation} \]

\[ \text{could be extracted. In Appendix A, we additionally illus} \]

\[ \text{trate that a large number of optimal modes are needed} \]

\[ \text{to keep the error small and thus LBO loses some of its} \]

\[ \text{advantage.} \]

\[ \text{V. CONCLUSION} \]

In this paper, we used time-dependent DMRG with local basis optimization to investigate both the Holstein model in the CDW phase and the Holstein model sandwiched between conducting leads in the CDW and TLL phase under an applied bias voltage. For the regular Holstein model, we first saw that when a strong enough bias voltage is applied, the order parameters in both the electron and phonon sector clearly decay. We further demonstrated a clear dependence between the applied voltage and the initial decay rate of the order parameters and that the dynamics resemble those in the Holstein dimer for short times but later deviate.

We then proceeded to look at the Holstein model coupled to conducting leads. After establishing that a clear
separation between the TLL and CDW phase can be seen at different coupling strengths we first focused on the system in the TLL phase. Studying the steady-state currents for small voltages, we were able to compute current-voltage curves, showing that charge transport at small voltages is reduced when the phonon coupling is increased. Similar behaviour has been reported for the SAHM model by Ref. [40]. Our data also reproduce the Luttinger-liquid parameters from Refs. [100, 106]. We additionally looked at the diagonal elements and the most significant optimal-basis state in the one-electron sector for the current-carrying state. Our data indicate that the local phonon distribution is not significantly impacted by the applied voltage in the steady-state current. Further, the same is seen for the most significant optimal mode.

We then continued our study of the Holstein model coupled to leads by working with an electron-phonon coupling in the CDW regime. We could report similar behaviour as for the regular Holstein model, namely that large voltages are needed for the CDW to break down. At small voltages, the CDW remains unchanged except for boundary effects. This is also seen in the properties of the reduced density matrices. Initially, the occupied sites were well described by the Poisson distribution. This remained true during the time evolution for small bias voltages, except for the aforementioned boundary effects. However, for strong voltages, the amplitudes of the reduced density matrix in the one-electron sector, $\rho_i^1$, started to decrease as expected since the electron density spread out. Further, a wide range of bare modes displayed large weights in the previously unoccupied sites as a consequence of the phonons being generated with the excess energy. In this case, the most significant optimal-basis state remain well described by the coherent distribution for all times reached here in the initially occupied sites. In contrast, the previously empty sites obtained a distribution centered around the larger bare phonon modes.

There are many possible continuations building on the results of this work. After having established that local basis optimization can simplify the calculations significantly in the metallic phase, one could make the structure more complex by either adding phonon dispersion (see, e.g., Ref. [118, 119]) or trying to capture more aspects of manganites (see, e.g., Ref. [19, 120] and Ref. [121] for a theory review) by adding electron interaction or interaction with local spins. Also, analysing how energy is transferred into the different degrees of freedom, complementary to Ref. [98], would be of great interest. Further, applying a thermal gradient to the system would allow for the study of thermal transport through such quantum structures, see, e.g., Refs. [39–41, 122–124]. Lastly, being able to compute a current-voltage diagram for the CDW phase, its dynamics, and its properties at finite temperature would be of great interest, e.g., in the context of recent experiments [8, 25, 27]. In particular, it would be desirable to see if one can reach a state where the electron densities are equally distributed through the system and to better understand the time scales of any collective behaviour. Since the reachable times of our CDW calculations are greatly limited by the large number of optimal-basis states required, this might be a task for other phonon-specialized matrix-product state based time-evolution techniques [79]. Here, also insights into different behaviours of CDW and CO states are of interest.
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**Appendix A: Numerical details**

Here, we illustrate several numerical details of our calculations. As explained in Sec. III, we control the truncation of the bond dimension with the parameter $\epsilon_{\text{bond}}$ and the truncation of the local basis optimization with $\epsilon_{\text{LBO}}$. Figure 11 shows the expectation value of the cur-

![Figure 10](image-url)
rent for fixed $\epsilon_{\text{bond}}$ and different $\epsilon_{\text{LBO}}$ in (a) and fixed $\epsilon_{\text{bond}}$ and different $\epsilon_{\text{LBO}}$ in (b). Clearly, the current is converged for the parameters used in this work, which also was verified for the other data shown. Additionally, we observe that using a very large $\epsilon_{\text{bond}}$ unequivocally leads to the false expectation values for $\langle \hat{j}(t) \rangle$. In contrast, very large $\epsilon_{\text{LBO}}$ can be used. Further, even a too large $\epsilon_{\text{LBO}}$ seems to approximately reproduce the steady-state current for the parameters shown here, and therefore, more care must be taken when determining if $\epsilon_{\text{LBO}}$ is sufficiently converged. To compute the current-voltage diagram we average $\langle \hat{j}(t) \rangle$ in the interval $t\omega_0 \in [20, 30]$, which is illustrated by the black dashed lines in Fig 11(b).

To demonstrate that sufficiently large local phonon Hilbert spaces are included in the calculations we show the order parameter computed with different local phonon-number truncations $M$ in Fig. 12. For the parameters shown here, $M = 50$ is more than sufficient to capture the relevant physics of the order-parameter decay. Further, we see that the $M = 30$ data start to deviate on the scale of the figure. Also, inspecting the optimal weights in the inset of Fig. 12 reveals that $M = 30$ and $M = 50$ differ with respect to the larger optimal-basis state weights $w^a_i$ in the one-electron sector.

In Fig. 13, we show the eigenvalues $w^a_i$ of the reduced density matrix $\rho^i$ for different sites $i$ on a logarithmic scale. In the TLL regime, displayed in Figs. 13(a) and (c), it becomes clear that LBO can be a powerful tool to further study the current-carrying state. For all times calculated here, only a few optimal modes are needed to accurately represent it. The situation for the CDW breakdown is quite different. Figures 13(b) and (d) show the weights in this regime. Despite being able to represent the state accurately with only a few modes at $t\omega_0 = 0$, almost the complete set of modes is needed for $t\omega_0 = 6$. This could in theory make the optimal-basis calculations even more costly than just the regular time-evolution method. However, that is not observed for our calculations with $M = 50$. This regime is still clearly a candidate for other schemes to efficiently treat phonons, such as the one of Ref. [78]. In total, the limiting factor for our calculations in the metallic regime is the local bond dimension and obtaining a steady state. For the CDW regime, the amount of local modes needed becomes an issue before the bond dimension matters.
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