Novel insights related to the rise of KPC-producing Enterobacter cloaceae complex strains within the nosocomial niche
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According to the World Health Organization, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) belong to the highest priority group for the development of new antibiotics. Argentina-WHONET data showed that Gram-negative resistance frequencies to imipenem have been increasing since 2010 mostly in two CRE bacteria: Klebsiella pneumoniae and Enterobacter cloacae Complex (ECC). This scenario is mirrored in our hospital. It is known that K. pneumoniae and the ECC coexist in the human body, but little is known about the outcome of these species producing KPC, and colonizing or infecting a patient. We aimed to contribute to the understanding of the rise of the ECC in Argentina, taking as a biological model both a patient colonized with two KPC-producing strains (one Enterobacter hormaechei and one K. pneumoniae) and in vitro competition assays with prevalent KPC-producing ECC (KPC-ECC) versus KPC-producing K. pneumoniae (KPC-Kp) high-risk clones from our institution. A KPC-producing E. hormaechei and later a KPC-Kp strain that colonized a patient shared an identical novel conjugative IncM1 plasmid harboring blaKPC-2. In addition, a total of 19 KPC-ECC and 58 KPC-Kp strains isolated from nosocomial infections revealed that high-risk clones KPC-ECC ST66 and ST78 as well as KPC-Kp ST11 and ST258 were prevalent and selected for competition assays. The competition assays with KPC-ECC ST45, ST66, and ST78 versus KPC-Kp ST11, ST18, and ST258 strains analyzed here showed no statistically significant difference. These assays evidenced that high-risk clones of KPC-ECC and KPC-Kp can coexist in the same hospital environment.
including the same patient, which explains from an ecological point of view that both species can exchange and share plasmids. These findings offer hints to explain the worldwide rise of KPC-ECC strains based on the ability of some pandemic clones to compete and occupy a certain niche. Taken together, the presence of the same new plasmid and the fitness results that showed that both strains can coexist within the same patient suggest that horizontal genetic transfer of bla\textsubscript{KPC-2} within the patient cannot be ruled out. These findings highlight the constant interaction that these two species can keep in the hospital environment, which, in turn, can be related to the spread of KPC.
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**Introduction**

Since 2017, the World Health Organization has classified pathogens depending on their priority for the development of new antibiotics as critical, high, and medium (World Health Organization, 2017). Carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* (CRE) were categorized as critical priority pathogens. This group includes bacteria that have become resistant to the best antibiotic options treatment available: carbapenems and third-generation cephalosporins. These bacteria pose a threat in healthcare facilities, especially among patients whose care requires invasive devices (Wang et al., 2016). In Argentina, carbapenem-resistant isolates rose from 10% to 32.7% in the case of *K. pneumoniae* and from 5% to 12% in the case of *Enterobacter cloacae* Complex (ECC) from 2010 until 2021 (Red WHONET Argentina). Accordingly, ECC has been reported as the second most common CRE in several countries (Tavares et al., 2015; Jia et al., 2018; Annavajhala et al., 2019; Falco et al., 2021; Hansen, 2021), with *E. cloacae* and *E. hormaechei* being the prevalent multidrug-resistant (MDR) clinical isolates (Annavajhala et al., 2019). *Enterobacter hormaechei* is part of the ECC together with 22 other species that are closely genotypically related, and little is known about its fitness within the nosocomial environment (Davin-Regli et al., 2019). Total genome sequences of various *Enterobacter* spp. have shown that *E. hormaechei* has often been misidentified by routine identification techniques (Davin-Regli et al., 2019). Therefore, its importance in the clinical environment could have been underestimated; however, outbreaks of *E. hormaechei* have been reported in the past (Campos et al., 2007; Paauw et al., 2009).

Among the plasmid-born resistance mechanisms that account for carbapenem resistance, the production of KPC has remained predominant (Bonomo et al., 2018). The most common variants of the gene that codifies for KPC are bla\textsubscript{KPC-2} and bla\textsubscript{KPC-3} (Brandt et al., 2019), which have become endemic in several countries (Frost et al., 2019). Argentina is among these countries, and apart from clinical isolates, bla\textsubscript{KPC-2} has also been recently detected in sewage (Ghiglione et al., 2021). The bla\textsubscript{KPC} genes have been found in more than 257 different representative KPC plasmids (Brandt et al., 2019) belonging to diverse Inc groups and sizes, and with several features that account for their success. Carbapenem-resistant (CR) *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (CRKP) strains carrying KPC (KPC-Kp) have been long known to represent a threat to human health causing severe infections that are difficult to treat (Heiden et al., 2020). Also, the ECC has lately awoken interest due to its increasing resistance to carbapenems codified by several genes found in isolates all over the globe (Annavajhala et al., 2019). Outbreaks take place mainly in low- and middle-income countries (BARNARDS Group et al., 2021) and are particularly dangerous for children and newborns (Girlich et al., 2021). Unlike KPC-Kp, not only stable bla\textsubscript{KPC}–high-risk clones associations account for the spread of KPC-ECC but also the acquisition of plasmids by diverse clones (Annavajhala et al., 2019). To be considered high-risk clones, the lineages must meet several characteristics: to be globally distributed, to possess several acquired antimicrobial resistance genes, to be able to colonize and persist in hosts for long periods, to be transmitted effectively among hosts, to cause severe and/or recurrent infections, and to have enhanced pathogenicity and fitness (Mathers et al., 2015).

Fitness is a fundamental notion in evolutionary biology. When compared with their less-fit competitors, genotypes with better fitness tend to produce more offspring and hence increase in frequency over time (Wiser and Lenski, 2015). High-risk clones are likely to have advantageous biological traits that boost their fitness, giving them an evolutionary advantage over other isolates of the same species (Pitout and Finn, 2020). Such traits provide them with the capacity to outperform competing bacteria and to establish as the dominant component of the
bacterial community. Even though there are several methods to quantify microbial fitness, the approach that most closely corresponds to the meaning of fitness in evolutionary theory uses a competition assay (Wiser and Lenski, 2015). Competition assays between isogenic strains are common (Sander et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2012), but much less is explored about lineages or interspecies competitions that share the same ecological niche (Hafza et al., 2018; Alvarez et al., 2020).

The aim of this study was to investigate the interplay of KPC-ECC challenged with prevalent high-risk KPC-Kp clones from our institution including strains isolated from the same patient to understand if fitness contributes to the success of KPC-ECC within the nosocomial niche.

### Results

**Epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae strains isolated from nosocomial infections from October 2018 until December 2020 in our institution**

From October 2018 until December 2020, a study with surveillance purposes identified 153 CRE strains isolated from nosocomial infections in our institution. This survey revealed that *K. pneumoniae* accounted for 85% of CRE, 12% were the ECC, and the remaining 3% were other CRE species. Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) of KPC-Kp (*n* = 58) and KPC-ECC (*n* = 19) nosocomial strains was sequenced by Illumina MiSeq-I (n = 77). Their MLST profiles were assigned using the pubMLST database (Table S1; Jolley et al., 2018). We identified that high-risk clones KPC-Kp ST258 and ST11, and KPC-ECC ST66 and ST78 were found among infected patients (Table S1). Also, for the taxonomical identification of ECC strains, we combined the results obtained with rMLST, which identified the HA2pEho, HAC1Eho, and HA58Eho strains as *E. hormaechei*, with those obtained by Kraken 2 (Wood et al., 2019); the same outcome was obtained with some extra information about the subspecies and the absence of contaminations (Table S7). By ANI (Jain et al., 2020) and in silico DNA–DNA hybridization (Meier-Kolthof et al., 2022), we obtained additional information on the subspecies, identifying *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho ST45, HAC11Eho ST78, and HA58Eho ST66 as belonging to different subspecies (Tables S8 and S9). These results were also in agreement with those obtained with Kraken 2. The KPC-producing *E. hormaechei* HAC11Eho subspecies *hoffmannii* (ST78), *E. hormaechei* HA58Eho subspecies *xiangfangensis* (ST66), *K. pneumoniae* HA3pKpn (ST258), and *K. pneumoniae* HA15pKpn (ST11) strains from this survey were chosen for fitness assays.

Novel and conjugal IncM1 pDCCK1-KPC plasmid carrying *bla*KPC-2 Shared by both KPC–producing strains colonizing the same patient

On 20 November 2018, a 71-year-old man (patient M71) was admitted to our hospital with febrile syndrome (Figure S1). After 1 week of hospitalization, surgical debridement of sacral pressure ulcer was performed. A carbapenemase-producing strain was isolated from a vital tissue wound swab. After a new hospitalization in January 2019, a rectal swab was taken for surveillance purposes; a carbapenem-resistant strain was isolated. Through WGS, the first colonizing strain was identified as *E. hormaechei* subspecies *steinertwalsii* belonging to the ST45 (HA2pEho). The second strain was identified as KPC-Kp belonging to the sequence type ST18 (HA7pKpn).

The outcome of the search for antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) and the determination of antibiotic type profiles are shown in Figure 1. Genome analysis and phenotypic resistance profile showed that both strains were MDR, i.e., resistant to more than three classes of antibiotics but still susceptible to more than two classes of antibiotics (Magiorakos et al., 2012). Apart from *bla*KPC-2, two other genes were shared by both strains, *aph(3’)-Ib* and *aph(6)-Idd*, conferring resistance to streptomycin. Besides the ARG they had in common, *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho carried *bla*ACT-70 (naturally harbored by the species), *bla*TEM-1, *catA2*, *qnrB19*, and *sul2*. The gene cassette dfrA14 was identified in the variable region of a class 1 integron. *K. pneumoniae* HA7pKpn carried *bla*SHV-215 (naturally harbored by the species), *fosA5*, *qoxA*, *qoxB*, and *tet(C)*. In addition, the gene cassette dfrA5 was found within the variable region of a clinical class 1 integron with the 3′-conserved sequence harboring *gaeEΔ1* and *sul1*. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) are shown in Table S2.

Genome analysis revealed that *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho and *K. pneumoniae* HA7pKpn strains carried several plasmids (Table S3). A virulence multiplexon *IncHIIB*/*IncFIB* plasmid was found in *K. pneumoniae* HA7pKpn, and a *Col*pHAD28, an *IncFIB*/*pECLA*, an *IncFII*/*pECLA*, and a *pKP1433* were found in *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho. In addition, a novel IncM1 plasmid named pDCCK1-KPC carrying *bla*KPC-2 was identical in both strains (Figure 2). In both cases, the whole sequence of the plasmid pDCCK1-KPC was on a single contig. In the case of *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho, the contig was 76,978 bp long, and in the case of *K. pneumoniae* HA7pKpn, it was 77,218 bp. The contiguousness of the extremes of the contigs was verified by PCR using specially designed primers. The best hit of pDCCK1-KPC against the BLAST database was plasmid pIP69 (MN662603.1) with 81% of cover and 99.98% identity. This plasmid was isolated in 1969 from a *Salmonella paratyphi* strain (Chabbert et al., 1972), and in comparison with pDCCK1-KPC, pIP69 lacked the *bla*KPC-2 gene and its flanking sequences (16,008 bp) (Neil et al., 2020). Although the plasmid.
pECL189-1 (CP047966.1) covers the whole region, which was absent in plasmid pIP69, the genetic arrangement was different, and the genes, in that case, were not contiguous. Apart from the \textit{bla}KPC-2 gene, the pDCCK1-KPC plasmid carried several genes that likely account for its success such as a mercury resistance island, the gene \textit{parM}, a toxin–antitoxin system \textit{pemI–pemK}, and the \textit{umuCD} operon. Conjugation assays were carried out between \textit{E. hormaechei} HA2pEho and \textit{K. pneumoniae} HA7pKpn as donor strains and \textit{Escherichia coli} J53 as a recipient strain. Both assays were positive, confirming that pDCCK1-KPC can be horizontally transferred. Determination of MIC of transconjugants revealed that pDCCK1-KPC only transfers resistance to $\beta$-lactams including carbapenems (Table S2). This result is in agreement with the composition of pDCCK1-KPC that only carries one ARG, the \textit{bla}KPC-2 gene.

A genetic platform of 17,092 bp involved in the dissemination of \textit{bla}KPC-2 was identified as Tn3-ISAp1-ISAp2-ISKpn27-\textit{bla}KPC-2-ISKpn6-korC-orf-klcA-repB-hin-Tn3 in pDCCK1-KPC showing some differences with sequences available at the NCBI database (Figure S2). This result is in agreement with the composition of pDCCK1-KPC that only carries one ARG, the \textit{bla}KPC-2 gene.

A very closely related core platform Tn3-ISKpn27-\textit{Delta}blaTEM-1-\textit{bla}KPC-2-ISKpn6-korC-orf-klcA-repB was described by Shen et al. (2009) and was later called variant 1. Also, a similar genetic platform was described in Argentina in 2011 (Gomez et al., 2011), in 2018 (De Belder et al., 2018), and more recently in 2021 (Ghiglione et al., 2021), whereas an identical platform was found in our institution (Knecht et al., 2022). In the genetic platform of both \textit{K. pneumoniae} HA7pKpn and \textit{E. hormaechei} HA2pEho, \textit{Delta}blaTEM-1 was missing, and nucleotides between ISKpn27 and \textit{bla}KPC-2 were only 254 bp. Moreover, a related genetic platform with the gene \textit{hin} upstream from repB, more similar to our platform, was found by Dong et al. (2020). In all these cases, the genetic platform carrying \textit{bla}KPC-2 was in IncP or IncR plasmids, as the main difference with pDCCK1-KPC, which corresponded to an IncM1 replicon. Although these genetic platforms are not the most frequent for the dissemination of \textit{bla}KPC-2, they were identified in clinical and environmental isolates on a global scale (Table S4). The sequences found in the NCBI database that were most similar to the genetic platform found in pDCCK1-KPC covered 13,475 bp of the platform (Table S4). This search resulted in 111 hits, 89 of which were Enterobacteriaceae, and among them, 73 were \textit{K. pneumoniae} and 2 were \textit{E. cloacae}. Other bacteria belonging to different taxa with 99%–100% of query cover were two \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} and eight \textit{Aeromonas} spp.

In vitro competition between high-risk clones of KPC-producing strains of \textit{Enterobacter cloacae} Complex and \textit{Klebsiella pneumoniae}

To understand the interplay in the success of the two prevalent CRE in the nosocomial niche from our institution, we compared the fitness of prevalent high-risk clones of KPC-ECC and KPC-Kp strains. The study of clonal competition was
carried out in a biological model without antibiotic pressure in order to replicate what can happen in the hospital environment, in which there are niches where an antibiotic pressure is not exerted directly, for example, on abiotic surfaces.

We carried out in vitro competition of KPC-producing *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho ST45, *E. hormaechei* HA58Eho ST66, and *E. hormaechei* HAC11Eho ST78 versus *K. pneumoniae* HA15pKpn ST11, *K. pneumoniae* HA7pKpn ST18, and *K. pneumoniae* HA3pKpn ST258 in the absence of antimicrobial pressure (Figure 3; Tables S5 and S6). In addition, maintenance assays of *blaKPC-2* showed that this gene remains present in the absence of selective pressure for all these strains during the time of competitions. Both *E. hormaechei* ST66 and ST78, and *K. pneumoniae* ST258 and ST11 represent high-risk international clones and are also the most frequently found in our institution, in contrast to the other STs analyzed here, *E. hormaechei* ST45 (Table S1). Taking into account the clonal competitions involving *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho ST45, with *K. pneumoniae* HA7pKpn ST18 or with *K. pneumoniae* HA3pKpn ST258, we found that *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho ST45 showed a positive S value and fitness cost of $-2.874$ and $-5.981\%$, respectively. These results indicate that *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho ST45 has a competitive advantage over these two *K. pneumoniae* ST18 and ST258 isolates. The opposite was shown for the clonal competition of *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho ST45 with *K. pneumoniae* HA15pKpn ST11. For the clonal competitions of *E. hormaechei* HAC11Eho ST78 with the three *K. pneumoniae* ST11, ST18, and ST258 strains, the S values and fitness costs obtained were opposite of those obtained for *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho ST45. The clonal competitions carried out showed only tendencies, since none of the results obtained were statistically significant. The clearer differences were obtained for *E. hormaechei* ST66 over *K. pneumoniae* ST18 and for *K. pneumoniae* ST258 over *E. hormaechei* ST78, which showed a
fitness cost >10% (13.919% and −13.476, respectively). Previous studies reported that the greater the difference in growth rate between two strains, the greater the bacterial load difference over time (Guo et al., 2012). This fact was not confirmed in the case of competitions with *E. hormaechei* ST45, ST66, and ST78, and the *K. pneumoniae* ST11, ST18, and ST258 strains analyzed here. This indicates that competitions between clones have emerging properties resulting from their interactions while growing in the same niche.

Although general comparisons can shed light on the reasons for the prevalence of particular clones, the acquired antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes of a particular strain will also determine why it surpasses another under specific conditions. It has been proposed that differences in antimicrobial resistance and virulence factors such as secretion systems should be under consideration altogether and that even small genomic modifications play a role in determining the clonal competence of pathogens (Álvarez et al., 2020). Therefore, we investigated the presence of secretion systems in the two KPC strains isolated from patient M71. Twelve core genes (gspCDEFGHIJKLMNOP) of a type II secretion system were identified in both strains colonizing patient M71. *K. pneumoniae* HA7pKpn carried type I and type II secretion system components, and *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho also encoded for proteins related to secretion system type IV. The type I secretion system was represented by the genes hlyB, hlyD, prsE, tolC, macA, and macB in HA2pEho and by tolC, macA, and macB in *K. pneumoniae* HA7pKpn. The type IV secretion system is related to the conjugation system of bacteria and directly transfers effector proteins to the host cytosol through a central pore (Voth et al., 2012). All the genes of the virB/D4 complex that constitute one type of secretion system IVA were found in *E. hormaechei* HA2pEho except for virB7 and virD4.

**Discussion**

Our results contribute to the understanding of both the dissemination of the most clinically relevant carbapenemase, *bla*KPC-2 in the ECC, and the role of fitness of high-risk clones in the rise of KPC-ECC within the nosocomial environment in colonized as well as in infected patients. Since phenotypic methods or even the traditionally employed 16S rRNA gene typing is insufficient to resolve the species identification of the ECC strains (Annavajhala et al., 2019), little is known concerning their dissemination in Latin America. The molecular survey performed during the period from October 2018 until December 2020 at our institution allowed us to infer the first data on circulating clones in our geographical region, which detected high-risk clones *E. hormaechei* ST45 (subspecies steigerwaltii), ST66 (subspecies xiangfangensis), and ST78 (subspecies hoffmannii). Interestingly, the same STs were also the most prevalent found among carbapenem-resistant ECC (CRECC) isolates in France, but in that case, the carbapenemase genes responsible for the phenotype were alleles of the gene *bla*VIM (Emeraud et al., 2022). As expected, despite constant changes regarding nomenclature within the ECC, *E. cloacae* and *E. hormaechei* continue to prevail among multidrug clinical isolates (Annavajhala et al., 2019). *E. hormaechei* ST171, ST114, and ST66 belong to CC114 and
have been found to be widespread among global CRECC isolates from several countries (Peirano et al., 2018). On the other hand, E. hormaechei ST78 was identified as a high-risk clone among both extended-spectrum β-lactamase-producing ECC and CRECC (Gomez-Simmonds et al., 2018; Emeraud et al., 2022). E. hormaechei ST45 is recognized as a high-risk clone (Izdebski et al., 2015) reported in Australia (Sidjabat et al., 2015), Colombia (Falco et al., 2021), Chile (Wozniak et al., 2021), Germany (Heiden et al., 2020), Spain (Fernández et al., 2015), and other countries in Europe (Izdebski et al., 2015). As a whole, these results suggest that the establishment of successful high-risk clones of E. hormaechei in the nosocomial niche provides the opportunity to evolve to MDR phenotypes mediated by the acquisition and maintenance of diverse plasmids, which may have been substantial contributors to the continuous rise of CRECC.

The gene bla\textsubscript{KPC-2} was in the same conjugative plasmid in both strains from inpatient M71. The novel plasmid that we called pDCCK1-KPC belongs to the IncM1 incompatibility group. According to a review on bla\textsubscript{KPC} plasmids (Brandt et al., 2019), IncM plasmids were unusual at least until 2019, when just 7 out of 435 plasmids belonged to this incompatibility group. Plasmid pDCCK1-KPC is a novel rearrangement between plasmids leading to the acquisition of a new KPC-2 genetic platform by an IncM1 plasmid. These multiple events of loss and/or gain of mobile genetic elements that also include insertions, deletions, or homologous recombination coincide with what was identified for the dissemination of bla\textsubscript{KPC-2} in previous works. Similar plasmids show traces of multiple events, with partial mobile genetic elements scattered throughout its genetic platform (Botts et al., 2017; Brandt et al., 2019; Ghiglione et al., 2021).

It cannot be ruled out that pDCCK1-KPC might have been transferred from E. hormaechei HA2pEho to K. pneumoniae HA7pKpn within the patient, although it is not possible to confirm it. Putative HGT of bla\textsubscript{KPC-2} has been previously reported to have taken place inpatient (Anchordoqui et al., 2015; Wozniak et al., 2021). The study by Anchordoqui et al. (2015) was also carried out in Argentina, and HGT was reported to have taken place between E. coli and K. pneumoniae, E. cloacae and K. pneumoniae, and Citrobacter freundii and Klebsiella oxytoca. In this case, the STs of strains were not determined, and plasmids belonged to different incompatibility groups including IncM/L but were not sequenced.

A very closely related genetic platform carrying bla\textsubscript{KPC-2} was found contemporary to strains isolated from inpatient M71 in environmental strains Enterobacter absuriae WW14A and Klebsiella quasipneumoniae subsp. quasipneumoniae WW19C, which were recovered from the same sewage network of our institution in 2018 (Ghiglione et al., 2021). Therefore, our study also reinforces the need for studying the spread of critical acquired ARG within the One Health perspective. Since it is not possible to identify the direction of the ARG flow, the role of the environment either as a source or as a reservoir of the bla\textsubscript{KPC-2} gene is confirmed in our findings. The platform Tn3-IS\textsubscript{Apu1}-IS\textsubscript{Apu2}-IS\textsubscript{Kpn27}-bla\textsubscript{KPC-2}-IS\textsubscript{Kpn6}-korC-orf-klcA-repB-hin-Tn3 (17092 bp) was identical to the one recently found in another clinical isolate from our institution (Knecht et al., 2022). In comparison with the platform in sewage strains, both its location in a different plasmid and the lack of Δbla\textsubscript{TEM-1} highlight the ability of bla\textsubscript{KPC-2}-flanking sequences to evolve, extending the spreading range.

The competition assays with E. hormaechei ST45, ST66, and ST78, and the K. pneumoniae ST11, ST18, and ST258 strains analyzed here showed only slight differences in the values obtained. The results obtained for the clonal competitions of the three high-risk clones of E. hormaechei with no statistically significant difference with the K. pneumoniae ST11, ST18, and ST258 strains analyzed could explain in part the co-occurrence of KPC-Kp and KPC-ECC in clinical isolates. As a consequence, these species can share the same plasmids such as pDCCK1-KPC as the main contributors to the global spread of KPC.

The fact that high-risk international clones of KPC-ECC and KPC-Kp can coexist successfully within the nosocomial niche is in agreement with the steady rise of KPC-ECC observed in Argentina since 2010 (WHONET Argentina Network) and other countries like Brazil (Tavares et al., 2015), Colombia (Falco et al., 2021), USA (Annavajhala et al., 2019; Hansen, 2021), China (Jia et al., 2018), Portugal, and India (Center for Disease Dynamics, Economics & Policy). Accordingly, a decrease in KPC-ECC strains in our hospital is not expected despite the prevalence and fruitful spread of high-risk international clones of KPC-Kp ST258 and ST11. Since both these high-risk clones are disseminated worldwide, a replica of this scenario is likely to occur in other regions.

Considering that fitness studies have previously helped to understand the emergence of relevant antimicrobial-resistant lineages (Luo et al., 2005; Otto, 2013; Ávarez et al., 2020; Hertz et al., 2022), it would be interesting to deeply investigate the interplay of most common KPC-producing clones from other institutions. Studying the ecological behavior of high-risk clones coexisting within the same hospital and their changing epidemiological patterns over time could contribute to identifying possible competitive emerging clones as well as prevent the further spread of KPC-producing strains.

### Materials and methods

**Bacterial strains and antibiotic susceptibility assays**

Strains E. hormaechei HA2pEho and K. pneumoniae HA7pKpn were colonizing strains isolated from the same patient as indicated in the Results section. The rest of the strains were part of a surveillance program of CRE infecting strains carried out in our institution during the period October 2018 until December 2020.
plasmids were found with PlasmidFinder. The identity of β-lactamases was refined using the β-lactamase database (Naas et al., 2017). Plasmids were further analyzed using BLAST (Altschu et al., 1990). The whole sequence of pDCCK1-KPC was on a single contig for both strains. The contiguousness of the extremities of the contig was verified by PCR using specially designed primers. In the case of HA2pEho, primers used were pDCCK1-F: CTGTACATGAAGGCAGAAATGTCC and pDCCK1-R: CTCATTCATGGCTCATTAG, and for HA7pKpn, the following primers were used: pDCCK-1B-F: GCGTGTAATGCAATGGCAG and pDCCK-1B-R: ATGTATCTGGTCTCGAGCC.

Figures 1 and 2 were made using the ggplot2 (Alboukadel, 2018) package in R (Core Team, 2020), and Figure 3 was made using BRIG (Alikhan et al., 2011).

Conjugation assays

Briefly, mid-log cultures of donor (HA2pEho and HA7pKpn) and recipient (E. coli J53) strains were mixed in LB broth (Laboratorios Britania S.A., Argentina). The mating culture was then incubated overnight at 37°C using the drop plate method. Four replicas were used in each conjugation: only LB, LB with the addition of meropenem (8 µg/ml), sodium azide (80 µg/ml), or a combination of both. To verify that colonies growing on both antibiotics were transconjugant E. coli J53, they were grown on EMB agar (Laboratorios Britania S.A., Argentina). bla<sub>KPC</sub>-2 PCR was carried out with the primers KPC-F: C CG T C A G T T C T G C T G T C and KPC-R: CGTTGTCACTCCTCATTAG (Ramírez et al., 2013) using GoTaq® enzyme (Promega, Madison, WI).

In vitro competition and fitness measurements

Growth rate and generation times were calculated by measuring DO at 600 nm. This was done in triplicate. All experiments involved in competition assays were carried out without selective pressure. To test plasmid stability in the absence of selective pressure, we carried out plasmid stability assays. This consisted of subculturing the isolates without antibiotics for 120 h. A single colony was picked from an agar plate containing 8 µg/ml of meropenem with the clone and left to grow overnight (ON) in 5 ml of LB media without the addition of antibiotics. After 24 h, 50 µl of ON culture was inoculated in 5 ml of LB media. After repeating this procedure four times, 100 µl of a 1E-07 dilution was plated on an LB agar plate, and 30 colonies were randomly picked. The presence of <i>bla</i><sub>KPC</sub>-2 was determined by PCR.
The adaptive difference was calculated with the equation

\[ S = \ln \left( \frac{ECC_{t-1}}{Kp_{t-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{1+\bar{t}}} \]

and fitness \( F = 1 + S \), where \( S \) is the selection coefficient and shows the difference in fitness between two competing strains at time \( t \). ECC\( t \) = number of \( E. hormaechei \) colonies, Kpn\( t \) = number of \( K. pneumoniae \) colonies, and ECC\( t-1 \) and Kpn\( t-1 \) are the number of ECC and \( K. pneumoniae \) at the previous time, respectively. The quotient of the ratios of the cell numbers was standardized with \( 1/y \), where \( y \) is the number of bacterial generations during the assay (Sander et al., 2002; Guo et al., 2012). Here, the exponent was 1/9 because cell numbers were determined every nine generations. The terms Kpn/\( Kp_{t-1} \), and ECC/\( ECC_{t-1} \) give the growth rates for \( K. pneumoniae \) and ECC strains, respectively. Hence, \( S \) is the natural logarithm of the quotient of the growth rates of the competing strains. \( S \) is positive if the ECC bacterial fitness is increased compared with that of the \( K. pneumoniae \) competitor strain. Sander et al. (2002) applied this method to make comparisons between resistant and susceptible strains of a single species. In this case, we did not count resistant bacteria but counted the CFU of the different strains in the absence of antibiotics, as colonies could be differentiated thanks to their different ability to ferment lactose. In the case where colonies could not be differentiated, we randomly picked 15 colonies from each plate and did PCR with primers specific for \( K. pneumoniae \) (Kaushik and Balasubramanian, 2012). We then calculated the frequency of each species and multiplied it by the CFU number to obtain the CFU number of each species. Statistical analysis was done using ANOVA with an alpha value of 0.05.
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