18-Crown-6 and Dibenzo-18-crown-6 Assisted Extraction of Cesium from Water into Room Temperature Ionic Liquids and Its Correlation with Stability Constants for Cesium Complexes
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Abstract: The pH-profiles of the extraction of Cs⁺ into four conventional (1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate and bis[trifluoromethyl]sulphonyl]imides of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium, 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium, and 1-(2-ethylhexyl)-3-methylimidazolium) and two novel (trioctylmethylammonium salicylate and tetrahexylammonium dihexylsulfosuccinate) room temperature ionic liquids have been determined both in the absence and in the presence of crown ether (18-crown-6 or dibenzo-18-crown-6). The pH-profiles of distribution ratio of crown ethers have been established in the same conditions. The relationship of cesium extraction efficiency both with the stability of its complexes with crown ethers and crown ethers’ distribution ratio has been clarified.
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1. Introduction

Hydrophobic crown ethers are applied as extractants in the separation and recovery of $^{137}$Cs and $^{90}$Sr radioactive isotopes from high-level nuclear waste solutions, containing high concentrations of acids and salts, into molecular solvents [1–5]. Room-temperature ionic liquids (RTILs) are attracting increasing attention in solvent extraction processes due to important advantages over conventional organic diluents such as negligible vapor pressure, low flammability, moisture stability, unusual extraction properties and possibility to eliminate aqueous phase acidification [6–13]. It was demonstrated that extraction efficiency of RTIL can be modulated by using complexing agents, for example, crown ethers. Dai et al. [8] discovered that highly efficient extraction of strontium ions can be achieved when dicyclohexane-18-crown-6 is combined with RTILs. Rogers et al. [9] and Bartsch et al. [12] reported the extraction of various alkali metal ions with crown ethers in RTILs. Visser and Rogers demonstrated that octyl(phenyl)-$N,N$-diisobutylcarbamoylmethylphosphine oxide dissolved in RTILs enhances the extractability of lanthanides and actinides in comparison to conventional organic solvents [13]. The extraction of silver ions was found to be greatly enhanced by a combined application of RTIL and calix[4]arene compared to that of chloroform [14]. In addition, the task-specific RTILs with complexing functionality built in the RTIL cation have been reported [15,16]. Recently the efficiency of chelate extraction of 3d-cations with 8-sulfonamidoquinoline [17], $^{241}$Pu(IV) with carboxamidyl methylphosphine oxide [18] and uranyl ion with tributylphosphate (TBP) [19] from aqueous phase into RTILs was reported. The higher selectivity of dibenzo-18-crown-6 to $\text{K}^+$ over $\text{Na}^+$ in $N$-octadecyloquinolinium tetrakis[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]borate compared with that in molecular solvents suggests that RTIL provides a unique solvation environment for the complexion of crown ethers with the ions [20].

Besides the issues of cation, ligand and complex solubility in water and in RTIL, the relative stabilities of complex formation in both phases are of significant importance for extraction selectivity. In our recent communication [21] we have reported on the thermodynamics of complex formation of cesium ions with 18-crown-6 (18C6, L) in six hydrophobic RTILs (see Scheme 1): triocetylammonium salicylate ([TOMA][Sal]), tetrabutylammonium dihexylsulfo succinate ([THA][DHSS]), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate ([BMIM][PF$_6$]), 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis[trifluoromethyl] sulphonylimide ([BMIM][N(Tf)$_2$]), 1-hexyl-3-methylimidazolium bis[trifluoromethyl] sulphonylimide ([HMIM][N(Tf)$_2$]), and 1-(2-ethylhexyl)-3-methylimidazolium bis[trifluoromethyl] sulphonylimide ([EtHMIM][N(Tf)$_2$]) by a $^{133}$Cs-NMR technique. The present work aims to study the extraction capability of cesium by the above mentioned RTILs in the presence of 18C6 and dibenzo-18-crown-6 (DB18C6, L) and to clarify its relationship with the stability of complexes formed in aqueous and organic phases. Another objective is to study the impact of crown ether’s nature on the extraction efficiency. For this reason the two crown ethers with similar cavity, but different hydrophobicity (18C6 and DB18C6) have been chosen.
Scheme 1. Structures of the RTILs studied.
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2. Results and Discussion

The results of the extraction experiments are presented in Tables 1 to 3, where $D_{Cs}$ refers to cesium distribution ratio for the extraction from aqueous phase into RTIL in the absence of crown ethers, $D_{Cs}^{18C6}$ as well as $D_{Cs}^{DB18C6}$ indicate the same in the presence of 18C6 and DB18C6, respectively, while $D_{18C6}^{Cs}$ and $D_{DB18C6}^{Cs}$ are distribution ratios for 18C6 and DB18C6 in the presence of cesium ions.

**Table 1.** Distribution ratios of cesium ($C_w^0(Cs) = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ mol·dm$^{-3}$) between RTIL/aqueous phases in the absence of crown ether as a function of pH at 22 °C.

| RTIL        | $V_o, mL$ | $V_w, mL$ | Aqueous pH | log$D_{Cs}$ |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| [THA][DHSS] | 0.5       | 5.0       | 5.94       | 1.21        |
|             |           |           | 3.36       | 1.11        |
|             |           |           | 1.59       | 0.84        |
| [TOMA][Sal] | 0.5       | 5.0       | 5.98       | 0.69        |
|             |           |           | 4.95       | 0.76        |
|             |           |           | 3.01       | 0.74        |
|             |           |           | 1.13       | 0.10        |
| [BMIM][PF₆] | 0.5       | 5.0       | 5.90       | -0.59       |
|             |           |           | 3.33       | -0.82       |
|             |           |           | 1.25       | -0.80       |
| RTIL         | V_0, mL | V_w, mL | Aqueous pH | logD_{Cs} |
|-------------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|
| [BMIM][N(Tf)₂] | 1.0     | 3.0     | 6.44       | 3.35      |
|             |         |         |            | 1.25      |
|             |         |         |            | -0.67     |
| [EtHMIM][N(Tf)₂] | 1.0     | 3.0     | 6.34       | 2.09      |
|             |         |         |            | -0.81     |
| [HMIM][N(Tf)₂] | 2.0     | 2.0     | 5.82       | 2.84      |
|             |         |         |            | -1.36     |

Table 2. Distribution ratios of cesium \(C_w^0(Cs) = 1.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ mol.dm}^{-3}\) and 18C6 \(C_w^0(18C6) = 1.5 \times 10^{-1} \text{ mol.dm}^{-3}\) in the presence of each other between RTIL/aqueous phases as a function of pH at 22 °C (V_0 = 0.5 mL; V_w = 5.0 mL).

| RTIL         | Aqueous pH | logD_{Cs}^{18C6} | logD_{18C6}^{Cs} |
|-------------|------------|------------------|------------------|
| [THA][DHSS] | 5.92       | 0.24             | -0.12            |
|             | 4.30       | 0.23             | -0.16            |
|             | 3.86       | 0.03             | -0.41            |
|             | 3.39       | -0.38            | -0.50            |
|             | 2.48       | 0.57             | -0.15            |
|             | 1.60       | 0.75             | -0.01            |
| [TOMA][Sal] | 5.84       | 0.83             | 0.48             |
|             | 4.33       | 0.89             | 0.44             |
|             | 3.81       | 0.90             | 0.36             |
|             | 3.31       | 0.77             | 0.30             |
|             | 2.22       | 0.36             | 0.21             |
|             | 1.20       | 1.11             | 0.43             |
| [BMIM][PF₆] | 5.84       | -0.20            | 0.13             |
|             | 4.33       | -0.45            | 0.08             |
|             | 3.81       | -0.62            | 0.06             |
|             | 3.31       | -1.27            | 0.00             |
|             | 2.22       | -0.74            | 0.00             |
|             | 1.20       | -0.66            | 0.08             |
| [BMIM][N(Tf)₂] | 6.88     | 1.56             | 0.77             |
|             | 5.60       | 1.43             | 0.65             |
|             | 3.56       | -0.26            | 0.50             |
|             | 2.26       | -0.27            | 0.08             |
|             | 1.27       | -0.23            | 0.23             |
| [EtHMIM][N(Tf)₂] | 6.50     | 0.56             | -0.27            |
|             | 2.15       | 0.30             | -0.45            |
| [HMIM][N(Tf)₂] | 5.60     | 0.82             | 0.25             |
|             | 4.10       | 0.91             | 0.17             |
|             | 2.96       | 0.61             | 0.06             |
|             | 1.40       | -0.22            | 0.08             |
|             | 0.90       | -0.33            | 0.27             |
### Table 3. Distribution ratios of cesium and DB18C6 in the presence of each other between RTIL/aqueous phases as a function of pH at 22 °C (V_o = 0.5 mL; V_w = 5.0 mL).

| RTIL          | C_w (Cs) mol·dm⁻³ | C_o (18C6) mol·dm⁻³ | Aqueous pH | logDCs DB18C6 | logDCs DB18C6 |
|---------------|--------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|
| [THA][DHSS]   | 5.0·10⁻⁴           | 5.0·10⁻²            | 6.03       | 1.56          | 2.68          |
|               |                    |                     | 2.85       | 1.27          | 2.41          |
|               |                    |                     | 2.45       | 0.89          | 2.20          |
|               |                    |                     | 1.89       | 0.74          | 2.15          |
|               |                    |                     | 1.06       | 0.86          | 2.30          |
|               |                    |                     | 0.14       | 1.02          | 2.68          |
| [TOMA][Sal]   | 5.0·10⁻⁴           | 5.0·10⁻²            | 6.07       | 1.91          | 2.62          |
|               |                    |                     | 3.54       | 1.86          | 2.32          |
|               |                    |                     | 2.77       | 1.42          | 2.11          |
|               |                    |                     | 2.11       | 1.12          | 2.05          |
|               |                    |                     | 1.08       | 1.52          | 2.45          |
|               |                    |                     | 0.13       | 1.96          | 3.05          |
| [BMIM][N(Tf)₂] | 1.5·10⁻³           | 1.5·10⁻¹            | 6.93       | 2.28          | 2.89          |
|               |                    |                     | 5.09       | 2.06          | 2.71          |
|               |                    |                     | 3.16       | 0.14          | 2.57          |
|               |                    |                     | 1.92       | -0.47         | 2.63          |
|               |                    |                     | 0.38       | -0.60         | 2.94          |

The RTILs studied demonstrate different extraction behavior towards cesium. [TOMA][Sal] and [THA][DHSS] appeared to be better solvents for this cation than water and provide positive logDCs values within the whole pH range, Table 1. This fact can be explained by the ability of salicylate and dihexylsulfosuccinate anions to interact with metal ion. Though such an interaction is not expected to be strong, worthy is mentioning that the bulk concentration of RTILs complexing anion is rather high: [Sal] = 1.68 and [DHSS] = 1.29 mol·dm⁻³. In contrast, conventional-anion RTILs [BMIM][PF₆], [BMIM][N(Tf)₂], [HMIM][N(Tf)₂], and [EtHMIM][N(Tf)₂] reveal negative logDCs values, which are in a good agreement with those found for [BMIM][PF₆] earlier [12], Table 4. The cesium extraction ability of all RTILs, with the exception of [EtHMIM][N(Tf)₂], slightly diminishes as pH decreases.

The situation becomes completely different when crown-ether molecules are introduced into RTIL/water biphasic systems. 18C6 significantly decreases cesium’s uptake by [THA][DHSS] but increases it for all other RTILs, Table 2. As far as we know, [THA][DHSS] is the first example of a negative influence of crown ether on a cation extraction into organic phase. Probably, the main reason is that crown-ether competes with a RTIL’s anion for cesium and, being better soluble in water, provides a partial transfer of the metal ion into an aqueous phase. This suggestion is indirectly supported by the low value of [Cs(18C6)]⁺ stability constant in [THA][DHSS] (logK₁), Table 4, which appears to be even lower than in water.

For DB18C6 an enhancement of cesium extraction is much more pronounced then for 18C6, Table 3. Notably, increasing HNO₃ concentration in an aqueous phase decreases cesium’s recovery for all RTILs, but at very low pH both logDCs¹⁸C₆ and logDCs DB18C₆ start to increase. Thus, there appears a minimum at the curves logDCs = f(pH), in between pH 0 and pH 7 (Figure 1).
Figure 1. Distribution of cesium, 18C6, and DB18C6 vs. pH in [TOMA][Sal] based systems.

A similar effect has been observed in [9] for cesium distribution in [BMIM][PF₆] in the presence of 4,4’-(5’)-di-(tert-butylcyclohexano)-18-crown-6. Notably, cesium recovery in strongly acidic media is usually lower than in neutral solutions (with the exception of systems 18C6/[THA][DHSS], 18C6/[TOMA][Sal], and DB18C6/[TOMA][Sal]). Thus, unlike crown ether solutions in conventional molecular solvents, such solutions in the studied RTILs do not need acidification to increase a recovery. Moreover, in most cases the addition of acid leads to the decrease of cesium recovery.

Worthy of mention is the fact that the extraction efficiency of solutions of CE in conventional solvents is often unsatisfactory even in strongly acidic media. According to Yakshin et al. [22], in the case of Cs⁺ (1 × 10⁻³ M) extraction from 2 M HNO₃ aqueous solution into 0.1 M 18C6 in CHCl₃ the value of D Cs is extremely low (3 × 10⁻³; logD Cs = -2.52). This value is lower than even the minimal values of D Cs obtained in the case of our RTILs-based systems. As a rule, the extraction efficiency of the systems based on conventional solvents may be raised to the level of CE/RTIL-systems only by addition of a bulk hydrophobic counter-ion (such as picrate) to the aqueous phase. So, the logD Cs⁺ values for 1,2-dichloroethane in the presence of picrate in aqueous phase are of the same level that for some RTILs (e.g., [HMIM][N(Tf)₂] and [TOMA][Sal], Table 4), while the hazardous properties of the former are incomparably higher.

The crown ether influence on cesium extraction by RTILs is evidently explained by a formation of rather stable complexes. Previously, we found [21] that Cs⁺ forms stable complexes [Cs(18C6)]⁺ in [TOMA][Sal], [THA][DHSS], [BMIM][PF₆], [BMIM][N(Tf)₂], [HMIM][N(Tf)₂] and [EtHMIM][N(Tf)₂], and the stability constants have been measured. Besides, in [BMIM][N(Tf)₂], [HMIM][N(Tf)₂], and [EtHMIM][N(Tf)₂] the species [Cs(18C6)₂]⁺ are also formed [21]. In an aqueous phase only [Cs(18C6)]⁺ species are registered [24].
Table 4. Stability Constants of Cesium Complex Formation with 18C6 in RTIL and Extraction Efficiency from Water at 22 °C and pH 5 – 7a.

| Solvent       | logK1 | logK2 | logDCs | logD_{18C6} | logD_{18C6}^{Cs} | log\big[ \frac{D_{18C6}^{Cs}}{D_{18C6}} \big] |
|---------------|-------|-------|--------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|
| [HMIM][N(Tf)2] | 4.4   | 1.13  | −1.24  | 0.82        | 0.25         | 1.81            |
| [EtHMIM][N(Tf)2] | 3.4   | 1.16  | −0.81  | 0.56        | −0.27        | 1.64            |
| [BMIM][N(Tf)2] | 3.4   | 1.29  | −0.67  | 1.56        | 0.77         | 1.46            |
| [BMIM][PF6]   | 2.3   | −0.59 | −0.81  | −0.20       | 0.13         | 0.26            |
| [TOMA][Sal]   | 1.45  | 0.69  | 0.83   | 0.49        | −0.38        |                 |
| [THA][DHSS]   | 0.77  | 1.21  | 0.25   | −0.12       | −0.84        |                 |
| 1,2-dichloroethane | 7.98f | 2.58f | −7.7g  | 0.8h        | 0.03i        | 6.93            |

a Stability constants for RTILs are taken from [21] for 25 °C, \( K_1 = [Cs(18C6)[Cs]^{-1}[18C6]^{-1} \)
\( K_2 = [Cs(18C6)^2][Cs(18C6)]^{-1}[18C6]^{-1} \); b Ref. [12]; c Ref. [9], pH = 7; d Ref. [9], \( C_0(18C6) = 1.0 \times 10^{-1} \) mol·dm\(^{-3}\); e Ref. [9], \( C_0(18C6) = 1.0 \times 10^{-1} \) mol·dm\(^{-3}\), blank experiment (without CsNO\(_3\)); f Ref. [23]; g Ref. [2], picrate salt; h Ref. [3], picrate salt; i Ref. [1].

Generally, when an aqueous phase of cesium nitrate is in equilibrium with a RTIL organic phase, containing crown ether L, the cesium distribution ratio \( D_{Cs}^{L} \) (for such RTILs as [TOMA][Sal], [THA][DHSS] and [BMIM][PF6]), where only CsL species are formed is represented by a simple equation (1):

\[
D_{Cs}^{L} = \frac{([Cs]_{RTIL} + [CsL]_{RTIL})}{([Cs]_{w} + [CsL]_{w})}
\]  (1)

where the superscripts “RTIL” and “w” denote organic and aqueous phase, respectively. When the total concentration \([L]_t >> [Cs]_t\) and the stabilities of complexes in both phases are high enough (\(logK_1^{RTIL} \geq 2; logK_1^{w} \geq 2\)), then \([Cs]^{RTIL} \ll [CsL]^{RTIL} and [Cs]^{w} \ll [CsL]^{w}\). In this case, the equilibrium concentrations of \([Cs]^{RTIL}\) and \([Cs]^{w}\) in (1) can be neglected. The equation (1) is therefore transformed into (2):

\[
D_{Cs}^{L} = \frac{[CsL]^{RTIL}}{[CsL]^{w}}
\]  (2)

or:

\[
D_{Cs}^{L} = (K_1^{RTIL} [Cs]^{RTIL}[L]^{RTIL})/(K_1^{w} [Cs]^{w}[L]^{w}).
\]  (3)

As far as \([Cs]^{RTIL}/[Cs]^{w} = D_{Cs}\) and \([L]^{RTIL}/[L]^{w} = D_{L}\):

\[
D_{Cs}^{L} = K_1^{RTIL} D_{Cs} D_{L}/K_1^{w}
\]  (4)

or:

\[
logD_{Cs}^{L} = logK_1^{RTIL} + logD_{Cs} + logD_{L} - logK_1^{w}.
\]  (5)

Thus, the plot of \((logD_{Cs}^{L} - logD_{Cs} - logD_{L})\) versus \(logK_1^{RTIL}\) was expected to be linear with a slope of 1. In our case the initially stated requirement \(logK_1^{RTIL} \geq 2; logK_1^{w} \geq 2\) does not take place for some RTILs and for water. Indeed, \(logK_1^{w} = 0.98 \) [24] and for the RTILs the following values of \(logK_1^{RTIL}\) at 25 °C have been determined [21]: 1.45 (0.05) ([TOMA][Sal]); 0.77 (0.04) ([THA][DHSS]); 2.4 (0.2) ([BMIM][PF6]); 3.4 (0.5) ([BMIM][N(Tf)2]); 4.4 (0.1) ([HMIM][N(Tf)2]), and 3.4 (0.4)
Nevertheless, the plot of \( \log D_{\text{Cs}^{18C6}} - \log D_{\text{Cs}} - \log D_{18C6}^\text{Cs} \) versus \( \log K_{1}^\text{RTIL} \) for [TOMA][Sal], [THA][DHSS], and [BMIM][PF6] represents a perfectly straight line with a slope 0.73 and \( R^2 = 0.999 \), Figure 2, Table 4. In order to confirm the legitimacy of the derivation of the equation 4 we have determined the concentration profiles of \( D_{\text{Cs}} \) for all RTILs in the range \( C_w^0(\text{Cs}) = 2 \times 10^{-4} - 5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M} \). In all cases \( D_{\text{Cs}} \) remains nearly constant at \( 2 \cdot 10^{-4} \leq C_w^0(\text{Cs}) \leq 1.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ M} \) (\( \leq 5 \cdot 10^{-3} \text{ M} \) – for [TOMA][Sal] and [THA][DHSS]). At the same time the maximal cesium recovery (in the case of 18C6) is observed for 18C6/[BMIM][N(Tf)2] at pH = 6.88 (Table 2), which corresponds to the residual free cesium concentration being equal to \( 2.9 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M} \) (i.e., even in this case the ratio \([\text{free Cs in the organic phase}]/[\text{free Cs in the aqueous phase}]\) is the same that in the case of \( \text{Cs}^+ \)-extraction from 1.5 \( \times \) 10\(^{-3} \) M aqueous solution in the absence of crown ether in RTIL-phase).

**Figure 2.** A plot of \( \log D_{\text{Cs}^{18C6}} - \log D_{\text{Cs}} - \log D_{18C6}^\text{Cs} \) vs. \( \log K_{1}^\text{RTIL} \) for [TOMA][Sal], [THA][DHSS] and [BMIM][PF6] at 22–25 °C.

In the case of [BMIM][N(Tf)2], [HMIM][N(Tf)2], [EtHMIM][N(Tf)2] the equations (1), (2), (4) and (5) become more complicated due to formation of \( \text{CsL}_2 \) complexes and the lack of such complexes in water. However, all six RTILs fit equation (5) with a slope 0.89 and a correlation coefficient \( R^2 = 0.98 \), Figure 3. This can be explained by a domination of \( \log K_{1}^\text{RTIL} \) values over \( \log K_{2}^\text{RTIL} \) ones, Table 4. Another reason of low contribution of \( K_{2}^\text{RTIL} \) to the extraction is in the absence of enough sufficient excess of crown re. cesium concentration. Anyway, the linear relationship of \( \log D_{\text{Cs}^{18C6}} - \log D_{\text{Cs}} - \log D_{18C6}^\text{Cs} \) and \( \log K_{1}^\text{RTIL} \) indicates clearly an importance of complex stability contribution for the extraction of cesium into hydrophobic RTILs.

Besides \( \log K_{1}^\text{RTIL} \), also a contribution of \( \log D_L \) should be examined. Thus, along with cesium extraction we have studied the water/RTIL distribution of crown ethers, Tables 2 and 3. 18C6 demonstrates similar affinity towards as the RTILs, so the conventional molecular solvents: 1,2-dichloroethane, chloroform and dichloromethane [5]. The detaining of DB18C6 in extracts is sufficiently higher for all RTILs then that of 18C6, Tables 2 and 3. At the same time \( \log D_{\text{DB18C6}^\text{Cs}} \) values are one to two log units lower then those found for benzene, 1,2-dichloroethane, chloroform and nitrobenzene [5].
Figure 3. A plot of $[\log D_{Cs^{18C6}} - \log D_{Cs} - \log D_{18C6}^{Cs}]$ vs. $\log K_{1}^{RTIL}$ for [TOMA][Sal], [THA][DHSS], [BMIM][PF6], [BMIM][N(Tf)2], [HMIM][N(Tf)2], [EtHMIM][N(Tf)2] at 22–25 °C.

It should be noted that the variation of $\log D_{Cs^{18C6}}$ and $\log D_{Cs^{DB18C6}}$ with pH shows the same trend as the crown ether distribution between aqueous phase and RTIL, $\log D_{18C6}^{Cs}$ and $\log D_{DB18C6}^{Cs}$, Figure 1. A linear relationship between $\log D_{18C6}^{Cs}$ and $\log D_{Cs^{18C6}}$ (slope 0.91; $R^2 = 0.96$) as well as between $\log D_{DB18C6}^{Cs}$ and $\log D_{Cs^{DB18C6}}$ (slope 1.24; $R^2 = 0.91$) was found for TOMA, Figure 4, indicating the dominating role of relative crown ether solubility in water and RTIL.

Figure 4. Plots of $[\log D_{Cs^{CE}} - \log D_{Cs}]$ vs. $\log D_{CE}^{Cs}$ for TOMAS at 22 °C. (a) CE = 18C6; (b) CE = DB18C6.
Analysis of the data presented in Table 4 for [BMIM][N(Tf)2], [HMIM][N(Tf)2], and [EtHMIM][N(Tf)2] indicates that the contribution of logD_{18C6}^{Cs} into logD_{Cs}^{18C6} prevails over logK_{RTIL} values. Indeed, the variation of logD_{Cs}^{18C6} within this set of similar RTILs with the same anion definitely follows the changes in logD_{18C6}^{Cs} values but not the stability constants variations.

A comparison of 18C6 and DB18C6 indicates a much higher extraction efficiency of the latter. The stability constants of cesium complexes with DB18C6 in the studied RTILs have not been measured yet, but for other than RTIL solvents they reveal 0.2 to 0.5 log units lower values than those for 18C6 [25]. However, bearing in mind that this is also valid for an aqueous solution, the difference in \((\log K_{1}^{RTIL} - \log K_{1}^{w})\) in Equation (5) becomes negligible. Moreover, this unfavorable for extraction loss is excessively compensated by the fact that the values of logD_{DB18C6}^{Cs} are 2 to 3 log units higher than logD_{18C6}^{Cs} values.

3. Experimental

3.1. General

[HMIM][N(Tf)2] (Solvent Innovation; puriss. 99%), Bromothymol Blue (Baum-Lux, Russia; analytical reagent grade), KNO₃ (analytical reagent grade), chloroform (Ekros, Russia), and absolute ethanol (analytical reagent grade) were used as received. Cesium nitrate (Aldrich, 99.99%) was dried for 6 hours at 70 °C, and then kept 24 h in a desiccator before use. Dibenzo-18-crown-6 (DB18C6, 99% purity) was synthesized by Dr. V.M. Polosin and analyzed by \(^1\text{H}-\text{NMR}\). NMR revealed no other peaks than those corresponding to DB18C6. 18C6 (Aldrich, 99%) and DB18C6 have been melted at 70 and 180 °C, respectively, in a drying box for 3 h and then kept at 25 °C in a desiccator for 24 h to remove water before use. NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz Bruker DRX500 instrument is the indicated solvents using TMS as reference.
3.2. Synthesis and characterization of the RTILs

**Trioctylmethylammonium salicylate.** Aliquat® 336 (~0.2 mol) was mixed with 30% excess of sodium salicylate in chloroform (200 mL). The mixture was shaken for 4 h and then rinsed 20 times with a large amount of distilled water, then the solvent was evaporated and the liquid residue was heated up to 100 °C under reduced pressure for 5 h. After cooling to room temperature a white solid matter was obtained with a density 0.943 g·cm⁻³; \( T_m = (32.8 \pm 0.4) \, ^\circ C \), \( T_f = (14 \pm 2) \, ^\circ C \). Yield: 90%. \(^1\)H-NMR (CDCl₃) 0.88 (9 H), 1.24 (30 H), 1.59 (6 H), 3.19 (3 H), 3.27 (6 H), 7.20 (1 H), 7.92 ppm (1 H); \(^{13}\)C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) 13.80 (C*CH₃), 21.93 (C*H₂CH₃), 21.25, 25.68, 28.27, 28.32, 31.04 (various CH₂CH₂ fragments); 47.41 (CH₃N); 60.50 (CH₂N); 115.35, 115.62, 120.73, 129.73, 130,88 (aromatic C); 163.17 (COH); 171.00 ppm (COO). Analysis: found: C, 76.06; H, 11.64; N: 2.62; calc.: C, 76.49; H, 11.89; N: 2.62 (taking into consideration 2:1 mol/mol relation between methyltrioctyl- and methyltridecylammonium cations in Aliquat® 336). After equilibration with water at ambient temperature the solid product transforms into a slightly yellowish viscous liquid with 0.942 g·cm⁻³ density and a freezing point below \(-18 \, ^\circ C\). Water content measured by Karl Fisher titration constituted 0.18% wt. (0.09 mol·dm⁻³) for a solid product and 4.83% wt. (2.52 mol·dm⁻³) for that equilibrated with water RTIL.

**Tetrahexylammonium dihexylsulfosuccinate** was synthesized according to [26] as a transparent viscous liquid (yield: 85%), analyzed by NMR, and then used without further purification. \(^1\)H-NMR (CDCl₃) 0.88 (18 H), 1.33 (36 H), 1.55 (12 H), 3.2 (12 H), 4.1 (3 H) ppm; \(^{13}\)C-NMR (DMSO-d₆) 13.64, 13.69, 13.71 (CH₃); 20.91, 21.76 (C*H₂CH₃), 21.87, 24.82, 24.85, 25.34, 27.95, 27.99, 30.47, 30.75, 30.82 (CH₂CH₂ fragments); 34.01 (OOC*CH₂CH-); 57.63 (CH₂N); 63.89 (CH₂O); 168.31, 170.97 COOR); Analysis: found: C, 66.67; H, 11.31; N: 1.97, S: 4.49; calc.: C, 66.71; H, 11.34; N: 1.94, S: 4.45. An absence of halogen-ions was proven by a AgNO₃ test.

**1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate.** A 1-L, one-necked, round-bottomed flask was equipped with a magnetic stirrer and charged with 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (367.2 g, 2.10 mol, 1 equiv.) and potassium hexafluorophosphate (387.3 g, 2.10 mol, 1 equiv.) in distilled water (700 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, affording a two-phase system. The organic phase was separated and washed with water (5 × 40 mL) until the aqueous fraction observed to be free of chloride (AgNO₃). Then dichloromethane (400 mL) was added. The dichloromethane solution was mixed with activated charcoal, stirred for 2 h, filtered and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After 1 h, the suspension was filtered and the volatile material was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting colourless or light-yellow viscous liquid was dried under reduced pressure (0.5 mm Hg) at 70 °C for 12 h. Yield 462 g (77.3%). \(^1\)H-NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 0.91 (3H, t, NHCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.27 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.77 (2H, p, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 3.85 (3H, s, NCH₃), 4.16 (2H, t, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 7.72 (2H, m, C(5)H, C(4)H), 9.09 (1H, s, C(2)H); Analysis: found: C, 33.97; H, 5.37; N, 9.95; F, 39.91; P, 10.78; calc.: C, 33.81; H, 5.32; N, 9.86; F, 40.11; P, 10.90.
1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis[trifluoromethyl]sulphonyl]imide. A 500-mL, one-necked, round-bottomed flask was equipped with a magnetic stirrer and charged with lithium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide (143.693 g, 0.5 mol, 1 equiv.) and 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride (87.25 g, 0.5 mol, 1 equiv.) dissolved in distilled water (80 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h affording a two-phase system. Then dichloromethane (200 mL) was added. The lower organic layer was separated and washed with distilled water (5 × 20 mL) until the aqueous fraction observed to be free of chloride (AgNO₃). The dichloromethane solution was mixed with activated charcoal, stirred for 2 h, filtered and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. After 1 h, the suspension was filtered and the volatile material was removed by rotary evaporation. The resulting colourless viscous liquid was dried under reduced pressure (0.5 mm Hg) at 70 °C for 12 h. Yield 162.6 g (77.5%). ¹H-NMR (250 MHz, DMSO-d₆): 0.89 (3H, t, NHCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.25 (2H, m, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.76 (2H, p, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 3.84 (3H, s, NCH₃), 4.15 (2H, t, NCH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 7.72 (2H, m, C(5)H, C(4)H); Analysis: found: C, 28.67; H, 3.63; N, 9.94; F, 27.30; S, 15.23; calc.: C, 28.64; H, 3.61; N, 10.02; F, 27.18; S, 15.29; O, 15.26.

1-(2-Ethylhexyl)-3-methylimidazolium bis[trifluoromethyl]sulphonyl]imide was kindly supplied by Dr. V.E. Baulin (A.N. Frumkin Institute of Physical Chemistry and Electrochemistry of RAS). ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, CDCl₃) 0.85 (m, 6H, 2CH₂CH₃), 1.25 (m, 8H, 4CH₂), 1.75 (m, 1H, CH₂CHCH₂), 3.92 (s, 3H, CH₃N), 4.00 (d, J=7.0 Hz, 2H, CH₂N), 7.25(s, 1Harom), 7.36 (s, 1Harom), 8.65 (s, 1Harom); Analysis: found: C, 35.40; H, 4.85; F, 24.00; N: 8.81; S: 13.52; calc.: C, 35.36; H, 4.88; F, 23.97; N: 8.84, S: 13.49.

3.3. Extraction

For a sample preparation the weighted amount of solid CsNO₃ was dissolved in a distilled water to obtain the initial solution of salt (1.5·10⁻² mol·dm⁻³), which was further used for the preparation of the diluted samples. For adjusting pH values of aqueous phase, 0.01–3 M HNO₃ (analytical reagent grade) was used.

Cesium extraction process in the absence of crown ethers was performed by adding of water-saturated RTIL samples (0.5 mL for [TOMA][Sal], [THA][DHSS], and [BMIM][PF₆]; 1.0 mL for [BMIM][N(Tf)₂], [EtHMIM][N(Tf)₂] and 2.0 mL for [HMIM][N(Tf)₂]) to 1.5 × 10⁻³ mol·dm⁻³ (2 × 10⁻⁴, 5 × 10⁻⁴, 5 × 10⁻³, 1 × 10⁻², and 5 × 10⁻² mol·dm⁻³ only at neutral pH, without addition of HNO₃) CsNO₃ aqueous solutions (5.0 mL for [TOMA][Sal], [THA][DHSS], and [BMIM][PF₆]; 3.0 mL for [BMIM][N(Tf)₂], [EtHMIM][N(Tf)₂] and 2.0 mL for [HMIM][N(Tf)₂]). The biphasic systems were shaken for 2 h (adequate time for equilibrium state establishment for all RTILs studied at room temperature, 22 °C). After centrifugation for 15 min aqueous and organic phases were separated. An aqueous phase was analyzed for equilibrium pH (pH-meter pH-410; combined glass microelectrode ESLK-13.7, Aquilon, Russia) and cesium content (flame photometry, FPA-2; Zagorsk Optical and Mechanical Plant, Russia). The recovery (R(Cs), %) and the distribution constant (D(Cs)) of cesium were found according to eqns. (6) and (7):
\[ D(C_s) = \frac{C_o(C_s)}{C_w(C_s)} = \left[ \frac{V_w}{V_o} \right] [R(C_s), \%] / [100 - R(C_s), \%], \]

where \( C_w^0(C_s) \) and \( C_w(C_s) \) are the initial and equilibrium concentrations of \( C_s^+ \) in an aqueous phase, respectively. \( V_w \) and \( V_o \) correspond to the total volumes of aqueous and organic phase, respectively (mL). The ratio \( V_w/V_o \) was 10 (\([\text{TOMA}][\text{Sal}], \ [\text{THA}][\text{DHSS}], \ \text{and} \ [\text{BMIM}][\text{N(Tf)}_2] \)), 3 (\([\text{BMIM}][\text{PF}_6], \ \text{EtHMIM}][\text{N(Tf)}_2]\)) and 1 (\([\text{HMIM}][\text{N(Tf)}_2] \)). To compensate the matrix effects the calibration standards were prepared by adding standard solutions of \( C_s^+ \) to the aqueous solutions pre-equilibrated with the corresponding RTILs. Otherwise the error increased sufficiently.

For cesium extraction study in the presence of crown ethers, the precise amounts of 18C6 or DB18C6 were dissolved in the RTILs saturated by a distilled water. Initial concentration of 18C6, \( C(18C_6)_0 = 1.5 \times 10^{-1} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3} \) for all RTILs studied. The same concentration was used for DB18C6 in \([\text{BMIM}][\text{N(Tf)}_2] \). Due to the solubility problems, the content of DB18C6 in \([\text{TOMA}][\text{Sal}] \) and \([\text{THA}][\text{DHSS}] \) was lower \( \left( C(DB18C_6)_0 = 5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3} \right) \). This concentration was obtained after heating of these RTILs with the amounts of DB18C6 at 80–90 °C. Thus, these solutions are likely to be oversaturated, although no precipitation after cooling to room temperature was observed.

To provide an equal ratio \( C(CE)_0/C(C_s^+)_0 = 100 \) and \( v(CE)_0:v(C_s^+)_0 = 10 \) for all RTILs studied, the initial concentration of \( C_s^+ \) in aqueous phases contacting with \([\text{TOMA}][\text{Sal}] \) and \([\text{THA}][\text{DHSS}] \) was adjusted to \( C(C_s^+)_0 = 5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3} \). The volume ratio \( V_w/V_o = 10 \) was used for all 9 extraction systems studied (0.5 mL crown ether solution in RTIL and 5.0 mL of cesium nitrate aqueous solution with various pH). The aqueous solutions pre-equilibrated with the corresponding CE/RTIL systems were used for preparation of the calibration standards. All other experimental conditions were the same as for experiments run without crown ether.

Distribution of DB18C6 was studied in a parallel way within the same experiments on cesium extraction. The samples taken from phases of DB18C6/\([\text{TOMA}][\text{Sal}], \ \text{DB18C6/}[\text{THA}][\text{DHSS}], \ \text{and} \ \text{DB18C6/}[\text{BMIM}][\text{N(Tf)}_2] \) were dissolved in an absolute ethanol taken in vol/vol ratio 1000 (for DB18C6/\([\text{BMIM}][\text{N(Tf)}_2] \)) and 300 (for DB18C6/\([\text{TOMA}][\text{Sal}] \) and \([\text{THA}][\text{DHSS}] \)). Then the resulting solutions were analyzed spectrophotometrically (U-2900 UV-Vis Recording Spectrophotometer, Hitachi, Japan; 1 cm quartz cells) for DB18C6 content using crown ether absorption band \( \lambda_{\max} = 274 \text{ nm} \) (\( \varepsilon_{\max} = 5,000 \div 5,500 \)) and the reference solutions of the corresponding RTILs in ethanol with the same dilution as for DB18C6/RTILs. Detaining \( (R(CE), \%) \) for DB18C6 has been calculated using equation (8):

\[ R(C_s), \% = \left[ \frac{C_o(C_s)}{C_o^0(C_s)} \right] \times 100\%, \]

where \( C_o^0(CE) \) and \( C_o(CE) \) denote initial and equilibrium concentrations of crown ether in organic phase (extract), respectively; while distribution constant \( (D(CE)) \) – using equation (7).

Distribution of 18C6 was controlled by the method described elsewhere [27]. Bromothymol Blue (H-BTB) and KNO3 were dissolved in an aliquot taken from an aqueous phase (to adjust 1.2 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mol} \cdot \text{dm}^{-3} \) concentration of both of them). Then the extraction of the complex \( [K(18C6)^+ \cdot \text{BTB}^-] \) into CHCl3 was performed with 2 h contact time and phases volume ratio 1:1; pH of an aqueous phase was kept constant (pH = 6.9) by phosphate buffer for all solutions other then neutral. Then the system was centrifuged for 15 min, an organic phase was separated and analyzed spectrophotometrically (\( \lambda_{\max} = 400 \div 450 \text{ nm} \), \( \varepsilon_{\max} = 1,500 \div 2,000 \)); the chloroform extract obtained in
the blank experiment was used as the reference solution. Detaining (R(CE), %) and distribution ratio (D(CE)) were calculated by the eqns., completely analogous to (1) and (2), taking into consideration that 
\[ C_0(CE) = C_0 - 10 \cdot C_w(CE), \]
where \( C_w(CE) \) denotes an equilibrium concentration of crown ether in aqueous phase.

4. Conclusions

The data presented reveals that several ionic liquids are suitable for extraction of cesium in the presence of crown ethers. The liquids which show a higher retention of a crown ether and a higher stability of the complexes demonstrate better capabilities to extract Cs\(^+\). The former factor dominates evidently over the latter one. Among the crowns the ligand of a choice is the one that demonstrates higher hydrophobicity, and, therefore, a higher detaining in extract, e.g., DB18C6.
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