Galactomannan Catabolism Conferred by a Polysaccharide Utilization Locus of Bacteroides ovatus

**ENZYME SYNERGY AND CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF A β-MANNANASE**
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A recently identified polysaccharide utilization locus (PUL) from Bacteroides ovatus ATCC 8483 is transcriptionally up-regulated during growth on galacto- and glucomannans. It encodes two glycoside hydrolase family 26 (GH26) β-mannanases, BoMan26A and BoMan26B, and a GH36 α-galactosidase, BoGαl36A. The PUL also includes two glycan-binding proteins, confirmed by β-mannan affinity electrophoresis. When this PUL was deleted, B. ovatus was no longer able to grow on locust bean galactomannan. BoMan26A primarily formed mannofuranose from mannan polysaccharides. BoMan26B had higher activity on galactomannan with a high degree of galactosyl substitution and was shown to be endo-acting generating a more diverse mixture of oligosaccharides, including mannofuranose. Of the two β-mannanases, only BoMan26B hydrolyzed galactomannan. A crystal structure of BoMan26A revealed a similar structure to the exo-mannobiodyrolase CjMan26C from Cellvibrio japonicus, with a conserved glycone region (−1 and −2 subsites), including a conserved loop closing the active site beyond substrate −2. Analysis of cellular location by immunolabeling and fluorescence microscopy suggests that BoMan26B is surface-exposed and associated with the outer membrane, although BoMan26A and BoGαl36A are likely periplasmic. In light of the cellular location and the biochemical properties of the two characterized β-mannanases, we propose a scheme of sequential action by the glycoside hydrolases encoded by the β-mannan PUL and involved in the β-mannan utilization pathway in B. ovatus. The outer membrane-associated BoMan26B initially acts on the polysaccharide galactomannan, producing comparably large oligosaccharide fragments. Galactomannan-oligosaccharides are further processed in the periplasm, degalactosylated by BoGαl36A, and subsequently hydrolyzed into mainly mannofuranose by the β-mannanase BoMan26A.

Bacterial members of the human gut microbiota encode an impressive array of glycoside hydrolases (GHs), several times more than encoded within the human genome (1). The composite metabolic activity of the microbiota acts as an extension of the human digestive system, processing much of our complex carbohydrate nutrition into host-absorbable short chain fatty acids that influence our physiology (2). Despite the number of different species present in the mammalian gut, only a few bacterial phyla dominate this environment, with members of Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes typically being the most numerous (3). Bacteroidetes is a phylum of Gram-negative bacteria that collectively encode the greatest numbers of distinct GHs in their genomes (1) and typically display substantial flexibility in their glycan utilization profiles, a feature that allows them to persist despite normal fluctuations in an individual’s dietary habits (4–6). Many Bacteroidetes have been shown to have varying sets of polysaccharide utilization loci (PULs), each coding for a set of proteins involved in the recognition/hindering, hydrolysis, and internalization of a specific type of carbohydrate substrate (7). Understanding the variations between different species and how they utilize various carbohydrates will increase our knowledge of how dietary glycans affect the gut microbiota and contribute to the development of new prebiotics.
Galactomannan Catabolism by B. ovatus

Bacteroides ovatus is a common human gut bacterium capable of degrading and growing on several complex plant cell wall polysaccharides, such as hemicellulosic xylan- (8–10) and β-mannan-based dietary fibers (11). A study by Martens et al. (10) highlighted the metabolic diversity among Bacteroides species showing that, in contrast to the mucin-degrading Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, the B. ovatus type strain ATCC 8483 harbors several PULs for utilization of hemicellulosic polysaccharides. Among the PULs there was a putative β-mannan PUL, which is transcriptionally up-regulated when B. ovatus is grown on locust bean gum (LBG) galactomannan or konjac glucomannan (KGM). B. ovatus has been shown to have cell-associated β-mannanase activity (12), although the identity of the corresponding enzyme(s) is not known. Some of the proteins encoded within the Bacteroides PULs dedicated to α-mannan (13), xylan (9, 14, 15), and xyloglucan utilization (16) have recently been characterized, demonstrating the diversity in the proteins and enzymes encoded within distinct PULs. The functional mechanisms of β-mannan PULs are, however, much less understood. This is therefore the focus of this work, using B. ovatus (10) as the object of study.

β-Mannans are a group of hemicellulosic polysaccharides consisting of a β-1,4-linked polymannose backbone, which may contain other sugars and chemical groups. Ivory nut mannann (INM) is a linear homomannan, whereas KGM has a partially acetylated backbone containing glucose as well as mannose units (17, 18). Seed galactomannans, such as LBG and guar gum, have α-1,6-galactosyl substitutions and are commonly used as viscosity-enhancing food additives (19, 20). To break down these β-mannans, the main GHs required are α-galactosidases, which remove the galactose substitutions, and β-mannanases, which cleave the backbone (21). β-Mannanases have so far been found in the clan GH-A families GH5, GH26, and GH113, as noted in the carbohydrate active enzymes (CAZy) database (22). Clan GH-A enzymes share a (β/α)8-barrel fold, a conserved retaining catalytic mechanism, and essential catalytic residues (nucleophile and acid/base (21)). β-Mannanases generally have an active site cleft with a varied number of sugar-binding subsites (−2, −1, +1, +2, etc.), with the reducing sugar being located in the + subsites and the target mannosidic bond connecting the sugars in subsites −1 and +1. Conservation is greatest within the −1 subsite where the catalytic residues are positioned. Although several β-mannanases have been characterized, including 3D structures of members of GH26 (23–29) as well as the other two families, only recently have a few studies been published on the characterization of β-mannanases from human gut bacteria (30–32). So far, no crystal structure of a β-mannanase from the human gut microbiota has been determined.

By homology to the starch-utilization protein system (Sus) of B. thetaiotaomicron (33), the B. ovatus β-mannan PUL was predicted to encode cell-associated Sus-C/D-like transport and saccharide recognition proteins and a hybrid two-component system (HTCS) sensor protein that was shown to bind unsubstituted manno-oligosaccharides (10). The PUL was recently shown to have homologues in other B. ovatus and Bacteroides xylanisolvens strains (34). Furthermore, the PUL was predicted to encode putative GHs required for β-mannan degradation, including a family GH36 α-galactosidase (BoGal36A) recently characterized by us (34).

The aim of this study is to reveal the significance of this B. ovatus ATCC 8483 β-mannan PUL for galactomannan utilization and to investigate the structure-function relation and role of the putative GHs of the PUL, i.e., two GH26 β-mannanases, BoMan26A and BoMan26B. In addition, a second putative β-mannan PUL was discovered in the B. ovatus genome. Growth studies with strains where genes from either or both of these PULs have been deleted highlights the importance of the first PUL (bacova_02087–02097) for galactomannan utilization. The two β-mannanase genes are cloned, and the recombinant proteins are characterized. The crystal structure of the β-mannanase BoMan26A reveals the molecular details governing enzymatic activity. Finally, determination of the cellular location for the β-mannanases BoMan26A and BoMan26B and the α-galactosidase BoGal36A allowed us to propose a model for catabolic galactomannan degradation by B. ovatus conferred by PUL bacova_02087–02097.

Results

Two Putative β-Mannan PULs in B. ovatus—As described above, a putative β-mannan PUL was previously identified in B. ovatus ATCC 8483 (bacova_02087–02097) and shown to be transcriptionally up-regulated when galactomannan or glucomannan was included in the culture medium (10, 34). This PUL contains the genes bacova_02092 and bacova_02093, which encode the putative GH26 β-mannanases BoMan26A and BoMan26B, respectively. To investigate the function of the bacova_02087–02097 PUL, it was deleted, thus creating the strain B. ovatus ΔGGM (Fig. 1). We furthermore performed an in silico analysis of the B. ovatus ATCC 8483 genome. By BlastP searches using characterized bacterial GH5, GH26, and GH113 β-mannanases, we identified a third putative GH26 β-mannanase gene (bacova_03400), which we discovered to be part of a second potential β-mannan related PUL (bacova_03386–03406) (Fig. 1). This PUL in addition has genes coding for a putative GH3 β-glucosidase (bacova_03399), as well as SusC and SusD homologues (bacova_03402 and 03403) and other putative proteins. No other gene encoding a putative β-mannanase was found in the genome of B. ovatus ATCC 8483. To investigate the potential function of this new PUL in relation to β-mannan catabolism, the DNA fragment bacova_03400–03403 (including the genes for the GH26 and the susC/D-like proteins) was deleted creating the strain B. ovatus Δ3400-03 (Fig. 1). A double deletion strain containing both the aforementioned deletions was also created (strain B. ovatus ΔGGMΔ3400-03, Fig. 1).

To investigate the potential function of the two PULs containing putative GH26 β-mannanases, the deletion strains and the isogenic parent (B. ovatus Δtdk, see under “Experimental Procedures”) were grown on LBG galactomannan and KGM. B. ovatus Δtdk grew well on LBG, although the ΔGGM strain did not (Fig. 1). Deletion of bacova_03400–03403, alone or in combination with bacova_02087–02096, did not affect growth compared with strains Δtdk or ΔGGM, respectively (Fig. 1). Similarly B. ovatus Δtdk also grew well on KGM, and deletion of bacova_03400–03403 had no effect, but growth of B. ovatus
ΔGGM was dramatically hampered (Fig. 1). These results clearly suggest that bacova_02087–02097 is the main PUL conferring growth on galactomannan and also significantly contributes to growth on glucomannan. However, the bacova_03386–03406 PUL is not significantly contributing to gluco- or galactomannan growth, and its role remains unclear. To make a functional assessment of the predicted surface glycan-binding proteins of the GGM PUL, Bacova_02095 and Bacova_02094 with predicted functional similarity to SusD and SusE proteins were recombinantly produced, and their affinity for galactomannan and glucomannan was shown by affinity electrophoresis (supplemental Fig. S1). Both proteins were retarded in the presence of the mannan polysaccharides, although BT1043, a SusD that targets mucosal glycans (35, 36), was not.

Bioinformatic Analysis and Cellular Location—Bioinformatic analysis of the BoMan26A and BoMan26B protein sequences was conducted. The LipoP server predicted the presence of a signal peptidase II cleavage site for both BoMan26A and BoMan26B, indicating that both of these enzymes would be membrane-anchored through the sulfhydryl group of Cys, after cleavage by signal peptidase II (supplemental Fig. S2). BoMan26A was in addition predicted to have a signal peptidase I cleavage site. Based on these predictions, the genes bacova_02092 and bacova_02093 were cloned, and the proteins were expressed without their N-terminal signal sequences and purified (supplemental Fig. S3). To further investigate the mechanism of β-mannan degradation by B. ovatus, we determined the cellular location of BoMan26A, BoMan26B, and the α-galactosidase BoGal36A, the latter of which carries a signal peptidase I site but no membrane-attachment motif (34). Custom antibodies to the three recombinant proteins were used to detect surface expression.

FIGURE 1. A, gene organization of the two investigated β-mannan-related PULs of B. ovatus ATCC 8483. The color code marks putative protein functions. The glycoside hydrolase genes have been labeled according to which family they belong, with the two GH26 β-mannanases (BoMan26A and BoMan26B) from bacova_02087–02097 (coded by bacova_02092–93) being the focus of this study. The gene for α-galactosidase BoGal36A is marked GH36. The genes for the SusD-like and the SusE-positioned proteins are marked D and E, respectively. The putative functions for the other genes in bacova_02087–02097 have been labeled as follows: isomerase (I), symporter (S), mannoglycosyl phosphorylase (P), SusC (C), and HTCS regulator (H). The bacova_03386–03406 was identified as a potential β-mannan PUL due to containing a gene encoding a putative GH26 β-mannanase (bacova_03400). In the mutant strain, B. ovatus ΔGGM bacova_02087–02096 is deleted. The deletion (bacova_03400–03403) in strain B. ovatus ΔGGM 03400-03 is shown with a dotted line. B, cultivation of B. ovatus deletion mutants were described in A. Δtdk denotes the parental strain used to create the deletions in the PULs. The B. ovatus strain ΔGGM 03400-03 with both the above-described deletions was also constructed. Growth curves represent the average of six parallel replicate cultures using glucose, LBG (galactomannan), or KGM (glucomannan) as sole carbon source.
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**FIGURE 2.** Cellular location analyses of *BoMan26A* (A), *BoGal36A* (B), and *BoMan26B* (C) are shown. Cells fixed with formaldehyde were stained with antibodies generated against the three *B. ovatus* enzymes and labeled with fluorescently labeled goat anti-rabbit antibodies. The cells were imaged using phase contrast microscopy (63) and fluorescence imaging. D, Western blottings of *BoMan26A*, *BoGal36A*, and *BoMan26B* with *B. ovatus* Δtdk and ΔGGM cell extracts and pure protein (marked 26A, 36A, and 26B, respectively). Each enzyme was run on a single blot, with smaller spaces indicating where the image had been spliced to remove inappropriate concentrations. Sizes of the relevant bands from the ladder have been labeled in kDa. The left set (3 lanes) is stained with *BoMan26A*-specific primary antibodies, and the following samples were applied in the SDS-polyacrylamide gels (from left to right): Δtdk and ΔGGM 1:20 dilutions from original cell extracts and *BoMan26A* 10 ng. The middle set is stained with *BoGal36A*-specific primary antibodies and contains the following applied samples: Δtdk and ΔGGM 1:5 dilutions from original cell extracts and *BoGal36A* 100 ng. The right set is stained with *BoMan26B*-specific primary antibodies and contains the following applied samples: Δtdk and ΔGGM 1:1 dilutions from original cell extracts and *BoMan26B* 5 ng.

in formaldehyde-fixed non-permeabilized *B. ovatus* grown in minimal media containing LBG galactomannan as a sole carbon source. Although Western blotting demonstrated that all three antibodies recognize the proteins in cell extracts, only the anti-*BoMan26B*-labeled whole cells displayed fluorescence, suggesting that *BoMan26B* is expressed on the cell surface and both *BoMan26A* and *BoGal36A* are located in the periplasm (Fig. 2). The *B. ovatus* mutant strain (ΔGGM) lacking most of the β-mannan PUL was used as a negative control and did not display specific immunofluorescence with any of the antibodies, although some cross-reactivity with an unknown protein was visible in the Western blotting for the *BoMan26A* antibodies (Fig. 2).

**TABLE 1**

| Substrates | *BoMan26A* | *BoMan26B* |
|------------|------------|------------|
| LBG        | 100 ± 4.1  | 100 ± 2.1  |
| KGM        | 174 ± 41   | 65.4 ± 4.1 |
| INM        | 1.93 ± 0.23| 1.85 ± 0.28|
| Guar       | 0.28 ± 0.13| 86.8 ± 6.2 |

* Relative specific activity (%) for each enzyme to various mannans with LBG for each enzyme set to 100%. LBG-specific activity is 301 ± 4.5 katal/mol for *BoMan26A* and 30 ± 0.9 katal/mol for *BoMan26B*.

Stability and pH Optima—*BoMan26A* and *BoMan26B* were optimally active at pH 6.5–7.5 and 6–6.5 at 37 °C, respectively. *BoMan26A* was stable at 4 °C for 6 months and at 37 °C for 24 h, with only a minor decrease of activity at 45 °C. *BoMan26B* was stable for 2 months at 4 °C and 24 h at 30 °C, but it only retained 50% activity after 24 h at 37 °C and quickly lost activity at 45 °C (supplemental Fig. S4). Because both *BoMan26A* and -B were optimally active around pH 6.5 (supplemental Fig. S4B), this was the standard pH value of all substrate incubations used for characterization.

Catalytic Properties and Product Profiles—High performance anion exchange chromatography with pulsed amperometric detection (HPAEC-PAD) analysis showed that *BoMan26A* was able to hydrolyze all tested mannann polysaccharides and the oligosaccharides mannotriose to mannohexaose (M3–M6), but not mannobiore (M2), *Avicel* cellulose, or xylan. For LBG, the specific activity was 301 ± 4.5 katal/mol, and the activity for KGM was similar, with a 100-fold decrease in activity in INM (Table 1). This specific activity on LBG is similar to other GH26 mannanases (27, 30). The specific activity on guar gum was very low, ~360-fold lower than for LBG (Table 1), and based on HPAEC-PAD comparisons, the activity on partially hydrolyzed guar gum and galactoglucomannan (GGM) was barely detectable (data not shown). The oligosaccharide kinetics for *BoMan26A* showed catalytic efficiencies (*k*<sub>cat</sub>/*K*<sub>m</sub>) for mannotetraose (M4) to M6 hydrolysis around 10<sup>7</sup> M<sup>−1</sup> min<sup>−1</sup>, while being more than 2000-fold slower for M3 (Table 2). The M3–M6 hydrolysis profiles for *BoMan26A* and *BoMan26B* were similar with the dominant end product being M2 for both enzymes. M6 hydrolysis generated primarily M4 and M2, with a minor amount of M3. Mannopentaose (M5) generated M3 and M2 as initial products; M4 was only hydrolyzed into M2, and M3 was hydrolyzed into M2 and mannose.

The specific activity for *BoMan26B* on LBG was 30 ± 0.9 katal/mol. It had a similar activity on KGM and guar gum but about 60-fold lower activity on INM (Table 1). No detectable activity could be seen when products were analyzed with HPAEC-PAD for *Avicel* cellulose or xylan, but it hydrolyzed all tested manno oligo- and polysaccharides (M3–M6 and INM, LBG, guar gum, KGM, and GGM, respectively), with the exception of M2. Oligosaccharide kinetics for *BoMan26B* yielded a *k*<sub>cat</sub>/*K*<sub>m</sub> of 2425 ± 254 M<sup>−1</sup> min<sup>−1</sup> for M6 (Table 2). When *BoMan26B* was incubated with M3–M5 at the same enzyme...
synergy with \( \text{BoGal36A} \). Furthermore, because the rate of galactose release of \( \text{BoGal36A} \) is about the same (or even lower) when coincubated with \( \text{BoMan26A} \), this suggests a sequential action, with \( \text{BoGal36A} \) initially removing the galactose substitutions before cleavage of the oligosaccharide by \( \text{BoMan26A} \).

**Crystal Structure of BoMan26A—BoMan26A was shown to be monomeric using native PAGE (data not shown). It has the highest sequence identity (66\%) to \( \text{BfMan26} \), a GH26 \( \beta \)-mannanase from *Bacteroides fragilis* (31). A 3D structure of BoMan26A was obtained using molecular replacement with the structure of GH26 mannanase \( \text{Cj} \) from *Cellvibrio japonicus* (CjMan26C), the closest homologue with a solved structure (23). The obtained BoMan26A structure contained one monomer (residues 30–373) in the asymmetric unit at 1.5 \( \AA \) resolution (PDB code 4ZxO, see Fig. 5 and Table 4). The protein displays the expected \( \beta_4\alpha_4 \)-barrel structure, which is conserved in all GH26 enzymes, with the active site located in a cleft. Because of crystal packing, the fused His tag of one monomer is situated in the active site cleft of the adjacent monomer, potentially aiding the formation of the crystals. The His tag interacts with several of the residues that interact with the sugar residues in the complex of CjMan26C with galactosyl-mannotetraose (G1M4) bound in the active site (PDB code 2Vx6) (23). Five residues of the His tag were visible in the structure with weak electron densities (supplemental Fig. S5). The structure of CjMan26C (23) was superposed on that of BoMan26A with a root mean square deviation (r.m.s.d.) of 0.699 \( \AA \) for 243 eq C\text{\textalpha} \) atoms via PyMOL (39) (out of a total of 373 residues in BoMan26A and 419 residues in CjMan26C, Fig. 5). The active site cleft showed a large degree of structural conservation (Fig. 6). The catalytic residues Glu-188 (acid/base) and Glu-292 (nucleophile) are located at the ends of \( \beta \)-strands 4 and 7, respectively, and are conserved within GH26 (40) and other clan GH-A families.

Comparing the structure of BoMan26A with that of CjMan26C with G1M4 shows that the \( -2 \) to \( +1 \) subsites are largely conserved, with residues His-125, His-187, Trp-193, Tyr-259, and Trp-323 being conserved throughout GH26 (28). Potential interactions with a bound substrate are thus assumed to be similar to those described for CjMan26C oligosaccharide interactions (23). Of the residues in CjMan26C that are described as interacting with the sugar, three are not conserved in BoMan26A: Gly-232, Glu-234, and His-332 (BoMan26A numbering). His-332 and the corresponding residue in CjMan26C (Glu-382) are at a similar distance from the \(-2 \) mannosyl, making polar interaction possible in both cases (Fig. 6). The other two differing residues, Gly-232 and Glu-234, are both located further from the sugar than their CjMan26C counterparts (Asp-264 and Arg-269, respectively). Gly-232 is in an equivalent position to Asp-264, which contributes to the \(+2 \) subsite in CjMan26C, but the lack of a side chain places it too far away for interaction (Fig. 6). Beyond subsite \(+2 \), the active site cleft becomes more open in BoMan26A, lacking several residues equivalent to those in CjMan26C (Fig. 6).

The region beyond subsite \(-2 \) has some differences when comparing CjMan26C and BoMan26A. A 17-residue loop situated between \( \beta \)-strand 2 and \( \alpha \)-helix 2 in BoMan26A (hence referred to as “loop 2,” Fig. 7 and supplemental Fig. S2) is largely

---

### Table 2

**Oligosaccharide kinetics for BoMan26A and BoMan26B**

| Substrate | \( k_{cat}/k_{m} \) BoMan26A | \( k_{cat}/k_{m} \) BoMan26B |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|
| M6        | \( 1.18 \times 10^{2} \pm 5.88 \times 10^{5} \) | 2425 \( \pm 254 \) |
| M5        | 8.44 \( \times 10^{6} \) | ND* |
| M4        | 8.44 \( \times 10^{6} \) | 1.62 |
| M3        | 3.6 \( \times 10^{7} \) | 3.88 |

*ND means not determined.*
conserved with \textit{Cj}Man26C (Fig. 6). In \textit{Cj}Man26C, a corresponding “exo-loop” is thought to close off the possibility of a −3 subsite and confer exo-activity. This is not the case in \textit{Bo}Man26A, where \textsuperscript{18}O labeling shows that occupation is possible in the −3 subsite (Fig. 4), yet the loop only contains two non-conserved residues, His-96 and Lys-100 (corresponding to Ala-127 and Ala-131 in \textit{Cj}Man26C, respectively, see Fig. 6).

Lys-100 has a relatively high \(B\)-factor of 30 Å\(^2\) (the average \(B\)-factor for the whole structure is 15 Å\(^2\)), suggesting it is relatively flexible. The \textit{Bo}Man26A loop 2 has an average \(B\)-factor of 10 Å\(^2\), which is similar to other residues surrounding the active site cleft.

There are other differences in the region beyond subsite −2 as follows: the area around a potential −3 subsite contains a short \(\alpha\)-helical turn in \textit{Cj}Man26C, corresponding to a loop region (hence referred to as loop 8) in \textit{Bo}Man26A, and situated between \(\beta\)-strand 8 and \(\alpha\)-helix 8 (Figs. 5 and 7 and supplemental Fig. S2). However, the approximate positions of the amino acid side chains of the \(\alpha\)-helical turn of \textit{Cj}Man26C and the corresponding loop 8 of \textit{Bo}Man26A are conserved. Arg-324 and Glu-328 form a salt bridge in both structures, and although the backbone of Arg-327 is positioned differently for the two enzymes, the side chain interactions are similar, with conserved hydrogen bonding to the backbone of Asp-99 in loop 2 (Fig. 6).

The average \(B\)-factor of loop 8 is 22.4 Å\(^2\) in \textit{Bo}Man26A, which gives a ratio to the average \(B\)-factor of the structure of 1.49. This is a similar ratio to what is seen in the \(\alpha\)-helical turn of \textit{Cj}Man26C (ratio 1.46), indicating a similar level of flexibility in both loop structures. Loops 2 and 8 were compared with the corresponding loop structures of two other endo-acting GH26 \(\beta\)-mannanases, one from \textit{Cellulomonas fimi} (\textit{Cf}Man26A) (27) and a second one from \textit{C. japonicus} (\textit{Cj}Man26A) (26) (r.m.s.d. 1.17 and 0.95 Å for 260 and 210 \(\text{C}_\alpha\) atoms when overlaid with \textit{Bo}Man26A, respectively; data not shown). For these enzymes, the equivalent of loop 2 is shorter and thus situated further from the −3 subsite. The equivalent of loop 8 is either not visible in the structure due to flexibility (\textit{Cj}Man26A) or is shorter (\textit{Cf}Man26A), generating a more open region around and beyond subsite −3.

\textbf{Discussion}

\textit{Bacteroides} species residing in the human gut are known to generally encode Sus-like systems for polysaccharide utilization, which are outer membrane-associated and/or periplasmic or cytoplasmic proteins involved in polysaccharide binding, recognition, hydrolysis, and transport. However, current knowledge on GHs and systems devoted to \(\beta\)-mannan hydrolysis and utilization is scarce (10, 34). Only a few such studies

\textbf{FIGURE 3.} Hydrolysis products for \textit{Bo}Man26A after 24 h (purple), 3 h (green), 20 min (red), and 2 min (orange) and \textit{Bo}Man26B after 24 h (light blue), 3 h (red), and 15 min (purple) for INM (A and B), LBG (C and D), and guar gum (E and F). The blank (dark blue) runs along the x axis. The position of the M1–M6 peaks have been marked according to the standards. The other visible peaks are unidentified oligosaccharides.
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\caption{Hydrolysis products for \textit{Bo}Man26A after 24 h (purple), 3 h (green), 20 min (red), and 2 min (orange) and \textit{Bo}Man26B after 24 h (light blue), 3 h (red), and 15 min (purple) for INM (A and B), LBG (C and D), and guar gum (E and F). The blank (dark blue) runs along the x axis. The position of the M1–M6 peaks have been marked according to the standards. The other visible peaks are unidentified oligosaccharides.}
\end{figure}
have been devoted to distinct GHs involved in β-mannan conversion in human gut bacteria (30, 32, 41). Previous initial studies on galactomannan-degrading enzymes in B. ovatus indicated only cell-associated β-mannanase and α-galactosidase activity when grown on guar gum galactomannan (11, 12, 42). However, the identity of the mannanases and α-galactosidases in these early studies is not known. The genomic locus bacova_02087–02097 was recently shown by Martens et al. (10) to be a PUL transcriptionally up-regulated in the presence of LBG galactomannan. Our results show that although the B. ovatus parental strain grows on galactomannan, the deletion strain δGGM) lacking most of the bacova_02087–02097 PUL entirely loses this capability. This strongly suggests that the bacova_02087–02097 PUL plays a central role in the degradation of galactomannans for B. ovatus. Protein sequence analysis of the putative bacova_02087–02097 PUL-encoded proteins indicated the presence of glycoside hydrolases as follows: two GH26 mannanases, BoMan26A and BoMan26B, in addition to the recently characterized α-galactosidase BoGal36A (34). Cellular location analysis showed that BoMan26B was extracellular and membrane-attached. Also, taking the bioinformatics analysis into account, BoMan26A and BoGal36A are likely located in the periplasm (Fig. 2).

**Modes of Action of BoMan26A and BoMan26B—BoMan26A and BoMan26B have similar product profiles using INM and the dietary fibers LBG and guar gum as substrates, differing the most using the more highly substituted guar gum (approximate degree of galactosylation: guar 1:2 and LBG 1:4, Fig. 3 (43)). Both BoMan26A and BoMan26B are capable of endo action, because they both fragment polymeric galactomannans into oligosaccharides of varying lengths (Fig. 3) and generate small amounts of M3 from M6 hydrolysis. In addition, $^{18}$O labeling showed that BoMan26A was capable of binding substrate that occupied the −3 or +3 subsites, indicating an ability to bind longer substrates. Although M2 was the only product from INM hydrolysis by BoMan26A, BoMan26B also produced manno-oligosaccharides with a DP of 3–5 as minor products showing a detectable endo-action also on this short insoluble substrate with approximately DP 20–40 (Fig. 3) (44).

The difference in catalytic properties between BoMan26A and BoMan26B is more significant with galactomannans. In particular with guar galactomannan, the dramatic decrease in specific activity as compared with LBG for BoMan26A suggests that it is severely hindered by galactose substituents, although BoMan26B is not (Table 1). This probably contributes to the different product profiles observed for LBG and guar galactomannans. BoMan26A’s sensitivity to galactose substituents is also in accordance with the synergy experiments, where the

**Synergy experiments using G2M5 and LBG**

|        | M$_3$ release µmol/min | Galactose release µmol/min |
|--------|------------------------|---------------------------|
| G2M5   |                        |                           |
| BoMan26A | <0.001                 | <0.001                    |
| BoGal36A | <0.001                 | 0.239 ± 0.040             |
| BoMan26A + BoGal36A | 0.056 ± 0.01 | 0.265 ± 0.032             |
| LBG    |                        |                           |
| BoMan26A | 0.064 ± 0.011         | <0.001                    |
| BoGal36A | <0.001                 | 0.156 ± 0.003             |
| BoMan26A + BoGal36A | 0.176 ± 0.020 | 0.075 ± 0.002             |

**FIGURE 5. Overview of the BoMan26A 3D structure (PDB code 4ZXO, blue), superimposed with CjMan26C (PDB code 2VX6, gray), looking into the active site cleft.** Loop 2 and loop 8 (red) and the α-helical turn of CjMan26C (dark gray) have been labeled. The catalytic residues are shown and colored orange.
hydrolysis of G2M5 and LBG increased significantly when coincubated with the α-galactosidase BoGal36A (Table 3). Several other GH36 mannanases have shown sensitivity to galactosyl side groups (21, 27, 30);) other GH26 mannanases have shown sensitivity to galactose substitutions (23, 31, 44). Besides BoMan26A, the predicted periplasmic transcriptional regulator (bacova_02097) of the B. ovatus β-mannan PUL is also sensitive to galactosyl substitutions and binds undecorated β-mannan oligosaccharides more efficiently (10). Thus, the probable function of BoGal36A is removal of internal galactose substitutions from galactomannan poly- or oligosaccharides produced by the less sensitive BoMan26B, enabling the effective utilization of galactomannan as the carbon source.

Model of the Galactomannan Catabolism of B. ovatus—The mode of action described above is supported by the cellular location data, where BoMan26B was shown to be surface-exposed, and likely associated with the outer membrane, and BoGal36A and BoMan26A likely being located in the periplasm (Fig. 2). BoMan26B would thus initially attack the galactomannan substrate, producing galactomannan-oligosaccharides. These would be further processed in the periplasm, first by BoGal36A to cleave off galactosyl substituents and then by BoMan26A, releasing M2, as suggested by the synergy experiments. The produced M2 would possibly be internalized and further processed via a putative mannosyl-phosphorylase and an isomerase encoded by the currently studied PUL, in a similar way as proposed for the mannin catabolic pathway in B. fragilis (Fig. 8) (31).

Structure-Function Relation and Role of BoMan26A—According to the above model, BoMan26A would be optimized to hydrolyze unsubstituted manno-oligosaccharides. Although the catalytic efficiency on M3 to M6 (Table 2) is considerably lower than the mannobiodyrolase CjMan26C (23), it is comparable with some extracellular GH26 mannanases of environmental bacteria (27) and much higher than BoMan26B. The large difference in kcat/Km values when comparing M3 with M4 hydrolysis (Table 2) suggests that BoMan26A requires occupation of at least four subsites for efficient hydrolysis (−2 to +2), which is similar to CjMan26C (23), whereas BoMan26A requires filling of five subsites for efficient hydrolysis (31). Furthermore, the 18O-labeling experiments for BoMan26A show that substrate mannansyls can also be accommodated in subsites −3 and +3 (Fig. 4). The ability to accommodate mannansyls in subsite −3 distinguishes BoMan26A from CjMan26C. In addi-

### TABLE 4

Data collection and refinement statistics

| Statistics for the highest resolution shell are shown in parentheses. |
| --- |
| Resolution range (Å) | 41.27–1.50 (11.55–1.50) |
| Space group | P2121 |
| Unit cell (a, b, c, α, β, γ) | 46.86, 79.43, 87.18, 90, 90, 90 |
| Total reflections | 234,222 |
| Unique reflectionsa | 52,731 (5189) |
| Completeness (%) | 99.76 (99.27) |
| Rmerge (I) (%) | 6.3 (61.6) |
| Wilson B-factor | 12.01 |
| Rwork (F) | 0.136 (0.218) |
| Rfree (F) | 0.175 (0.259) |
| No. of non-hydrogen atoms | 3180 |
| Macromolecules | 2798 |
| Associated atomsa | 6 |
| Water | 376 |
| Modeled protein residues | 343 |
| Root mean square (bonds, Å) | 0.010 |
| Root mean square (angles, °) | 1.21 |
| Ramachandran favored (%) | 98 |
| Ramachandran outliers (%) | 0 |
| Clashscore | 1.99 |
| Average B-factor (Å2) | 15.1 |
| Macromolecules | 13.6 |
| Associated atomsa | 17.7 |
| Solvent | 26.1 |
| (I)/σ(I)) | 26.6 (4.2) |

a The number of non-anomalous unique reflections are shown.

b Unfavorable all-atom steric overlaps are 5.0 Å per 1000 atoms (58).

c This encompasses one phosphate participating in crystal contacts and a bound potassium.

---
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- The capability of accommodating backbone mannosyls through subsite −3 to +3, suggests that BoMan26A is not a true endo-acting β-mannanase but is a β-1,4-mannobiodyrolase capable of endo-action. It preferably attacks unsubstituted or low-substituted substrates, being restricted by galactose substituents. The differences in hydrolyzing INM and LBG by the two enzymes might be explained by differences in mode of attack and progression. BoMan26A may have a higher degree of processivity, but because it is restricted by galactosyl substituents, this possible processivity, if any, may be more pronounced using the unsubstituted mannan INM. This is consistent with the product profile observed for this substrate (Fig. 3A). So far, only one endo-β-mannanase has been suggested to be processive, i.e. the mannohydrolyase studied by Tsukagoshi et al. (47).

- BoGal36A hydrolyzes internal galactosyl decorations from galactomannans, in contrast to other GH36 α-galactosidases, including those from gut bacteria, which generally act on raffinose and similar di- and trisaccharides (34). Besides BoMan26A, the predicted periplasmic transcriptional regulator (bacova_02097) of the B. ovatus β-mannan PUL is also sensitive to galactosyl substitutions and binds undecorated β-mannan oligosaccharides more efficiently (10). Thus, the probable function of BoGal36A is removal of internal galactose substitutions from galactomannan poly- or oligosaccharides produced by the less sensitive BoMan26B, enabling the effective utilization of galactomannan as the carbon source.

---

**Model of the Galactomannan Catabolism of B. ovatus**—The mode of action described above is supported by the cellular location data, where BoMan26B was shown to be surface-exposed, and likely associated with the outer membrane, and BoGal36A and BoMan26A likely being located in the periplasm (Fig. 2). BoMan26B would thus initially attack the galactomannan substrate, producing galactomannan-oligosaccharides. These would be further processed in the periplasm, first by BoGal36A to cleave off galactosyl substituents and then by BoMan26A, releasing M2, as suggested by the synergy experiments. The produced M2 would possibly be internalized and further processed via a putative mannosyl-phosphorylase and an isomerase encoded by the currently studied PUL, in a similar way as proposed for the mannin catabolic pathway in B. fragilis (Fig. 8) (31).

---

**Structure-Function Relation and Role of BoMan26A**—According to the above model, BoMan26A would be optimized to hydrolyze unsubstituted manno-oligosaccharides. Although the catalytic efficiency on M3 to M6 (Table 2) is considerably lower than the mannobiodyrolase CjMan26C (23), it is comparable with some extracellular GH26 mannanases of environmental bacteria (27) and much higher than BoMan26B. The large difference in kcat/Km values when comparing M3 with M4 hydrolysis (Table 2) suggests that BoMan26A requires occupation of at least four subsites for efficient hydrolysis (−2 to +2), which is similar to CjMan26C (23), whereas BoMan26A requires filling of five subsites for efficient hydrolysis (31). Furthermore, the 18O-labeling experiments for BoMan26A show that substrate mannansyls can also be accommodated in subsites −3 and +3 (Fig. 4). The ability to accommodate mannansyls in subsite −3 distinguishes BoMan26A from CjMan26C. In addi-
BoMan26A has a more open aglycone region within the −1 subsite and any potential −3 subsite and beyond (Figs. 6 and 7). The galactose substituent attached to the mannosyl present in the −1 subsite in the CjMan26C structure fits in the BoMan26A structure (Figs. 6 and 7), indicating that galactose perhaps could be accommodated in the −1 subsite. CjMan26C has also been shown to not be able to accommodate galactose in the +1 subsite (23). This situation is likely also in BoMan26A, based on the overlay. This could at least partly explain its sensitivity to galactose.

Based on substrate binding in CjMan26C, loop 2 appears to close off the area beyond the −2 subsite in BoMan26A, despite 18O labeling showing that BoMan26A is capable of accommodating a mannosyl in the −3 subsite. The low B-factor of loop 2 indicates low flexibility in the crystal structure; however, a stabilizing factor may be that Asp-99 in loop 2 hydrogen bonds to His-373, the last residue in the His tag of the neighboring molecule in the crystal. Thus, loop displacement to generate a −3 subsite cannot be ruled out in solution, especially because the equivalent loop is shorter in other endo-acting β-mannanases, giving a more open active site cleft (26–28). Potential flexibility in loop 2 could be a possible explanation for the productive binding mode preference for M5 revealed by 18O labeling (Fig. 4); the flexibility would allow −3 occupation yet still restrict the area beyond the −2 subsite. Taken together with the more open region beyond subsite +2, this would result in higher substrate occupation of the +3 subsite but disfavor the −3 subsite. Such flexibility is also a criteria for the capability of endo-action.

The difference of backbone position between loop 8 of BoMan26A and the α-helical turn in CjMan26C causes a relatively small difference between the two enzymes due to the structural conservation of the side chain positions. This preserves the hydrogen bonding between loops 2 and 8 (exo-loop and α-helical turn in CjMan26C), as well as the salt bridge. The higher B-factor and potential flexibility of loop 8, compared with the rest of the BoMan26A structure, indicates that loop breathing is possible. Although the indicated flexibility in loop 8 in the BoMan26A structure is similar to that seen in the α-helix
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FIGURE 8. Galactomannan degradation in B. ovatus. Overview of the proposed action of the bacova_02087–97 PUL proteins conferring galactomannan hydrolysis and utilization. The outer membrane is shown on top. The proteins are colored according the corresponding genes in the PUL overview in Fig. 1. The GHs studied in this paper are colored blue and highlighted in bold. SusE-positioned, SusD-like, SusC-like, and HTCS-like correspond to bacova_02094–97, respectively. The gray enzymes are those for which the genes are uncolored in Fig. 1. The predicted isomerase, symporter, and mannoglucosyl phosphorylase (corresponding to bacova_02088–90, respectively) have initially been assigned these functions based on a protein BLAST search, as well as Senoura et al. (41). The polysaccharide chain contains a mannose backbone (red) with galactose substitutions (purple spheres).

Concluding Remarks—In light of the cellular location analysis and the biochemical properties of the two characterized β-mannanases, we propose a scheme of sequential action by the GHs involved in the β-mannan utilization pathway in B. ovatus (Fig. 8). The outer membrane-associated BoMan26B initially acts on the polysaccharide galactomannan producing larger oligosaccharide fragments and mannibiose. Galactomannooligosaccharides are further processed in the periplasm, degalactosylated by BoGal36A and subsequently hydrolyzed into mannibiose units by the β-mannanase BoMan26A.

Experimental Procedures

Chemicals—The following manno-oligo- and polysaccharides were purchased from Megazyme (Bray, Ireland): M2, M3, M4, M5, M6, G2M5, INM, LBG, KGM, and borohydride-reduced LBG galactomannan. Mannose was from Fluka (Steinheim, Germany). If not stated otherwise all other chemicals were from Sigma.

Construction of B. ovatus PUL Mutant Strains—B. ovatus Δtdk (a strain for allelic exchange) was used as the parent strain to create mutant deletion strains. Deletions within both β-mannan-related PULs (Fig. 1) were done by allelic exchange as described previously using the 5-fluoro-2-deoxyuridine-resistant strain (Δtdk) that lacks the gene for thymidine kinase (16). Primers used are listed in supplemental Table S1. A deletion of bacova_02087–02096 (from the PUL bacova_02087–02097) was created, and the strain was named B. ovatus ΔGGM. In the same way bacova_03400–03403 (encoding putative GH26 and SusC/D-like proteins from the PUL bacova_03386–03406) was deleted, generating strain B. ovatus ΔO3400-03. A strain with both the above deletions was constructed and named B. ovatus ΔGGM ΔO3400-03.

Cloning of bacova_02092 and bacova_02093 from B. ovatus—The genes bacova_02092 and bacova_02093 (UniProt accession numbers A7LW88 and A7LW89, respectively, and GenBank™ accession numbers EDO12202.1 and EDO12203.1, respectively) were mined from the genomic sequence data of B. ovatus ATCC 8483. A BlastP search and multiple sequence alignment were performed. The presence of signal peptidase I and II cleavage sites was analyzed using the SignalP (48) and LipoP (49) servers, respectively, and the expressed sequences were designed to omit any signal peptides (supplemental Fig. S2).

All cloning reagents were from Thermo Scientific. The truncated BoMan26A gene bacova_02092 was amplified by PCR from the genomic DNA of B. ovatus, prepared as described previously (16). The PCRs (50 μl) contained MgCl2 (2 mm), DNA (50 ng), dNTPs (250 μM), primers (0.5 μM each, see supplemental Table S2), dimethyl sulfoxide (2%), and Pfu DNA polymerase (2.5 units). The amplified PCR products were double-digested by the NcoI and XhoI enzymes and cloned into the appropriate restriction sites in the pET28b+ expression vector (Novagen, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany). This generated the plasmid pB2092, where the bacova_02092 gene was fused
Galactomannan Catabolism by *B. ovatus*

with a sequence coding for a C-terminal His tag. To verify the presence of the construct, the coding region of the plasmid was DNA-sequenced using T7 primers (Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg, Germany). The plasmid was transformed into electrocompetent BL21(DE3) *E. coli* cells for protein expression.

A plasmid containing the full-length bacova_02093 was initially created (pB2093) the same way as described above using the appropriate primers (supplemental Table S2). Using pB2093 as a template, a plasmid (pB2093TR) carrying a truncated gene variant of bacova_02093 was generated where the coding region for residues 1–19 was deleted based on LipoP (49) lipid anchor prediction (supplemental Fig. S2). Primers (supplemental Table S2) were used in PCR-mediated deletion of plasmid DNA as described previously (50) to produce the plasmid pB2093TR. The presence of the anticipated deletion product was shown by agarose gel electrophoresis and the plasmid was transformed into OneShot® TOP10 Electrocomp™ *E. coli* cells (Life Technologies, Inc.), following the manufacturer’s recommendations. The presence of the desired construct was verified by colony PCR using MyTag™ HS DNA polymerase (Bioline, London, UK) and T7 primers, and by DNA sequencing also using T7 primers (Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg, Germany). The pB2093TR plasmid was transformed into chemocompetent *E. coli* BL21(DE3) cells for protein expression.

**Glycosidase Hydrolase Expression and Purification—*E. coli***

BL21(DE3) cells containing the pB2092 or pB2093TR plasmid (encoding His-tagged BoMan26A and BoMan26B, respectively) were grown in 5 ml of Luria-Bertani medium with 30 μg/ml kanamycin at 37 °C, 150 rpm until exponential phase (OD<sub>600</sub>=0.7). Expression was induced by adding 0.4 mM isopropyl-β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG), and the culture was continued for an additional 2 h. The cells were dissolved in lysis buffer (50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 300 mM NaCl, 10 mM imidazole, pH 8) and lysed by a French pressure cell. The lysed cells were centrifuged, and the resulting supernatants were incubated with 2 ml of nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid slurry (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) overnight with head-over-tail rotation at 4 °C before being poured into a gravity flow column with a maximum volume of 15 ml. The resulting gel bed was drained and washed three times with 4 ml of wash buffer (50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 300 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 8). The protein was eluted with elution buffer (50 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 300 mM NaCl, 250 mM imidazole, pH 8).

The eluted fractions were evaluated by estimating their protein concentrations with a Nanodrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer using absorbance at 280 nm, and the theoretical extinction coefficients (BoMan26A, 89,890 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, and BoMan26B, 136,560 M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>, calculated from the ProtParam ExPASy server (51)) were analyzed by SDS-PAGE. BoMan26A and BoMan26B were assayed for β-mannanase activity as described below. The purified fractions were pooled, and the buffer was changed to 50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6.5, using 10-kDa molecular mass cutoff membrane filtration tubes (Vivaspin 20, Sartorius, Little Chalfont, UK) and stored at 4 °C for further analysis. The protein was mixed with 4× loading buffer (0.7 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 10% glycerol, 2% SDS, 6% mercaptooethanol, and 0.05% bromphenol blue), boiled for 5 min, and run on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel (ClearPage 12% gels and running buffer, CBS Scientific, San Diego). The obtained preparations of BoMan26A and BoMan26B were electrophoretically homogeneous (supplemental Fig. S3). The resulting expressed proteins, including their fused His tag, had a total protein length and theoretical molecular mass of 350 residues and 40,117 Da for BoMan26A and 347 residues and 39870 Da for BoMan26B. BoGal36A was expressed and purified as described previously (34).

**Cloning and Production of Sugar Binding Proteins and Affinity Gel Electrophoresis—**The genes encoding Bacova_02094 (residues 23–391) and Bacova_02095 (residues 42–603) were amplified by PCR from the genomic DNA of *B. ovatus* using the primers listed in supplemental Table S2. The PCR-amplified genes were ligated into pET-28rTEV and fused to a tobacco etch virus protease (TEV)-cleavable N-terminal His tag, using the Nhel and Xhol restriction sites and sequenced to verify correct incorporation of the gene. pET-28rTEV is identical to pET-28a (EMD Millipore, Bedford, MA) except that the thrombin cleavage site was changed to that of TEV. The Bacova_02094-pET28rTEV and Bacova_02095-pET28rTEV plasmids were transformed into Rosetta (DE3) pLysS cells (EMD Millipore), plated onto Luria-Bertani medium with 30 μg/ml kanamycin, and grown for 16 h at 37 °C. The plates were scraped of all colonies and used to inoculate 1 liter of Terrific Broth, including 30 μg/ml kanamycin and 20 μg/ml chloramphenicol at 37 °C. Cells were grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of ~0.6, with 0.5 mM IPTG, and moved to 20 °C for another 16 h. Cells were centrifuged and resuspended in 50 ml of cold His buffer (25 mM NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM imidazole, pH 7.4), adding one EDTA-free cOmplete protease inhibitor tablet (Roche Applied Science, Basel, Switzerland) and 0.1% Triton X-100. The cells were lysed on ice by sonication and centrifuged. The supernatant was loaded onto a 5-ml HiTrap Chelating HP column (GE Healthcare, Pollards Wood, UK) charged with Ni<sup>2+</sup> and washed with His buffer, and eluted with a 20–300 mM imidazole gradient over 70 ml. Fractions were harvested based on SDS-PAGE of the relevant elution range. TEV protease was added at 1:50 mg ratio (TEV/protein) to remove the His tag overnight at 4 °C while dialyzing against His buffer. The cleaved protein was separated from the His-tagged TEV protease and uncleaved protein by passage back over a 5-ml HiTrap Chelating HP column. The flow-through was harvested and dialyzed against 20 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl, pH 7.5, before using in affinity PAGE.

Affinity PAGE was performed similarly to Cuskin *et al.* (13), with native polyacrylamide gels consisting of 10% (w/v) acrylamide in 25 mM Tris, 250 mM glycine buffer, pH 8.8. Two of the gels contained 0.5% borohydride-reduced LBG galactomannan or KGM. Eight μg of each protein were loaded, and the electrophoresis was run at 70 V for 135 min at room temperature. BT1043, a mucus O-glycan targeting SusD homologue, was used as a non-binding control.

β-Mannanase Activity Assay—The activity was measured using the standard 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS)-reducing sugar assay as described previously (52) using 0.12 μg/ml BoMan26A or 1.6 μg/ml BoMan26B and 0.5% (w/v) LBG in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. The incubation time was 15 min at 37 °C. Mannose was used to obtain a concentra-
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Reaction temperature was determined between 22 and 60 °C. pH dependence was carried out in the pH range 3–8 in 0.5-unit increments. 50 mM sodium citrate buffer was used for pH 3–5.5 and 50 mM sodium phosphate for pH 6–8. Temperature stability was tested for up to 24 h at 22, 30, 37, and 45 °C. The pH and temperature dependence and stability were conducted using the standard activity assay. Incubations for specific activities were done in the same way as the standard DNS assay but using the various substrates (LBG, guar gum, KGM, and INM) at 0.5% (w/v) concentration. Incubations with insoluble INM were centrifuged at 8000 × g for 5 min before measuring the absorbance. Specific activity units used was katal/mol, where katal was calculated as moles of produced reducing sugars/s.

**Product Profile Analysis**—The product profile of BoMan26A and BoMan26B was determined with the standard assay, by incubation of 18 nanokatal/ml (2.4 µg/ml for BoMan26A and 18 µg/ml for BoMan26B) with the following oligo- and polysaccharides: 4 mM M2–M6, 0.25% (w/v) KGM, LBG, guar gum, and partially hydrolyzed guar gum (Sangifer, Taiyo Europe, Schwelm, Germany); Avicel cellulose (Fluka, Steinheim, Germany); spruce GGM (53); and soluble birch wood β-1,4-xylan (Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany); and 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. The reaction was stopped by adding 50% NaOH to a final concentration of 0.5%. The resulting samples were run on HPAEC-PAD with a PA-200 column to determine oligosaccharide concentration of 0.5% (w/v) concentration. Incubations with insoluble INM were centrifuged at 8000 × g for 5 min before measuring the absorbance. Specific activity units used was katal/mol, where katal was calculated as moles of produced reducing sugars/s.

**Enzyme Kinetics**—Oligosaccharide hydrolysis kinetics of BoMan26A and BoMan26B were measured using HPAEC-PAD and calculated using the equation from Matsumoto et al. (54), plotting ln[S]/[S] over time, where S is the concentration at the various time points, and S0 is the concentration at time 0. Mixtures of 0.05 mM oligosaccharide, 0.9 mM BoMan26A or 4 µM BoMan26B, and 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, were incubated at 37 °C for 0, 2, 5, 7, 10, 15, and 19 min for BoMan26A and 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 min for BoMan26B. The reaction was stopped by adding 50% NaOH to a final concentration of 0.5%. The resulting samples were run on HPAEC-PAD with a PA-200 column to determine oligosaccharide concentration. The peak areas were analyzed using a standard curve to determine the concentration decrease of the relevant oligosaccharide. The ln[S]/[S] over time was plotted, and the trend line was divided with the enzyme concentration to give kcat/Km values for each oligosaccharide (54, 55).

**Cellular Location Analysis**—For fluorescence microscopy, the parent B. ovatus Δtdk strain and the B. ovatus ΔGGM mutant with an in-frame deletion of bacova_02087–02096 (from the PUL bacova_02087–02097) (Fig. 1) were grown in 5 ml of Bacteroides minimal media, as described previously (10), containing 0.5% LBG as the sole carbon source. The cultures were grown to an OD600 of 0.6, then pelleted, and washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The cells were then fixed by incubation in 4.5% formaldehyde in PBS for 1.5 h, washed with PBS, and blocked for 16 h in 2% goat serum in PBS at 4 °C. Cells were then washed with PBS and stained with custom rabbit antibodies (Innovogen, Lund, Sweden) raised against purified BoGal36A, BoMan26A, and BoMan26B. Primary labeling of a 1:500 dilution of the rabbit antisera in 1% goat serum was performed for 2 h at room temperature, followed by centrifugation and two washes with PBS. Secondary (fluorescence) labeling was with an Alexa-Fluor® 488-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (Molecular Probes, Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were mounted on agarose pads and imaged on an Olympus IX70 inverted microscope (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Western blotting were conducted using the custom antibodies against BoGal36A, BoMan26A, and BoMan26B as primary antibodies. These blotting included lysed B. ovatus Δtdk and ΔGGM cells and the purified enzymes. A 25-ml cell culture of each B. ovatus strain was grown on LBG, pelleted, and washed as above before being resuspended (1:1 by weight) in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. A 10-μl cell suspension of appropriate dilution was added to 5 μl of 3 × SDS-PAGE loading buffer (0.25 M Tris-HCl, pH 6.8, 50% glycerol, 0.3 M SDS, 0.05% bromphenol blue, 1.5% mercaptoethanol). The samples were boiled for 20 min and then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 10 min. The protein samples were prepared similarly but were boiled for 10 min. The samples were then run on an SDS-polyacrylamide gel (12% mini-PROTEAN® TGX™ gels with recommended running buffer (Bio-Rad)) in duplicate, one for immunoblotting and one for Coomassie Brilliant Blue protein staining. Transfer to a Western blot membrane was performed using cold transfer run with ice for 1 h at 100 V using Immobilon®-P transfer membranes (Millipore) with a PowerPac™ 300 (Bio-Rad). After transfer the membranes were blocked for 1 h at room temperature with 3% BSA in blotting buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, with 0.15 M NaCl). The membranes were then incubated with the primary antibodies generated for BoGal36A (1:2000 dilution), BoMan26A (1:2000 dilution), and BoMan26B (1:10000 dilution), with 3% BSA in blotting buffer. After a washing step of three times for 10 min at room temperature with blotting buffer, the membranes were incubated with goat anti-rabbit IgG conjugated with horseradish peroxidase (Agrisera, Vännäs, Sweden), as the secondary antibody at 1:10,000 dilution with 5% dry milk in blotting buffer for 1 h at room temperature. The washing step was repeated before incubating the membrane with ECL solution (1.25 mM luminol, 200 mM p-coumaric acid, 2.7 mM perhydrol H2O2, and 100 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.5) and visualizing the resulting fluorescence using a multi-application gel imaging system PXi-touch (Syngene, Cambridge, UK). Optimization of the protocol was carried out by varying the NaCl concentration of the blotting buffer up to 0.5 M for each enzyme tested, and the final NaCl concentration used for each enzyme was 0.5 M for BoMan26A, 0.15 M for BoMan26B, and 0.3 M for BoGal36A.

Synergy Experiments on G2M5 and LBG with BoGal36A and BoMan26A—5 mM G2M5 was incubated with 100 nM BoGal36A and 45 nM BoMan26A individually and in a mixture. 0.5% LBG was incubated with 1 µM BoGal36A and 0.45 µM BoMan26A individually and in a mixture. The buffer used was 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5. The amount of M2 released and galactose released in each case was quantified by HPAEC-PAD,
using CarboPac PA100 and PA10 columns, respectively, after 1 h of incubation.

18O Labeling for BoMan26A Subsite Mapping—The preferred productive binding modes of M5 for BoMan26A during hydrolysis were determined according to the method described by Hekmat et al. (37) using MALDI-TOF MS. The enzyme was incubated with 1 mM M5 in 5 mM potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.5, 93% [18O]water at 4 °C for 120 min in a total volume of 10 µL. Small aliquots of the reaction were taken at different time points (2, 15, 30, 45, and 60 min) and cocrystallized on a MALDI plate along with the matrix 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid. The hydrolysis products were analyzed with a 4700 Proteomics Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, Framingham, MA). The m/z peaks of saccharides were detected as K+ adducts. 18O-Labeled and -unlabeled oligosaccharides differed by 2 Da. Appropriate enzyme blanks and substrate blanks were included according to an established protocol, which also explains the determination of labeled versus non-labeled product ratios and the distribution of the possible binding modes (37). This involved that the product ratio was corrected for the fact that the peak for a 16O-saccharide carrying 2 natural 13C-isotopes overlaps with the peak for the same 18O-saccharide, lacking any 13C-isotope. It was also corrected for the contaminating 7% [16O] water.

Crystallization and Data Collection of BoMan26A—To optimize the protein concentration required for crystallization trials, a Pre-Crystallization Test (PCT) from Hampton Research (Aliso Viejo) was set up. The storage buffer was changed to 20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, using 10-kDa molecular mass cutoff membrane filtration tubes (Vivaspin 20, Sartorius). The protein concentrations tested were 9, 4.5, and 2.2 mg/ml. Dynamic light scattering determined the monodispersity of the sample to be above 95% before crystallization trials were begun. Based on the PCT results, vapor diffusion (sitting drop) PACT and JCSG screens (Molecular Dimensions, Newmarket, UK) were set up with BoMan26A using a mosquito pipetting robot (TTP Labtech, Melbourne, UK) with drop sizes of 100 nl of reservoir + 100 nl of 4.5 mg/ml protein. The plates were stored at 20 °C in a Gallery 700 plate hotel (Rigaku, Sevenoaks, UK). A crystal grown under the following conditions was used for data collection: 0.1 M potassium thiocyanate, 30% (w/v) polyethylene glycol monoethyl ether 2000 (condition G9 of the JCSG+ screen).

Data collection was carried out at 100 K with an X-ray wavelength of 1.0 Å at the 1911-3 beamline of the MAX IV Laboratory (Lund, Sweden). The cryoprotectant was introduced by soaking (<5 s) and contained an additional 15% PEG400 in 50 mM MES buffer, pH 6.5. Indexing, integration of the diffraction images, scaling of the data, and generation of an MTZ file was done using the XDS suite of programs (56) and CAD from CCP4 (57). Molecular replacement was carried out using the Phenix version of Phaser-MR (58, 59) with CjMan26C, PDB code 2VX4 (sequence identity 38%) (23), as the model, after which the Phenix autobbild module (60) and restrained refinement were used for further refinement, coupled with manual refinement in Coot (61). Several cycles of alternating restrained refinement, initially using Refmac5 (62) followed by Phenix (58), and Coot manual editing were carried out to finalize the models, before submitting to PDB.

Acknowledgments—We thank the staff at the Crystallization Facility, MAX IV Laboratory, Lund, Sweden, particularly Maria Häkansson, for help with crystallization and the personnel at the I911-3 beamline for assistance with data collection.

References

1. El Kaoutari, A., Armougom, F., Gordon, J. I., Raoult, D., and Henrissat, B. (2013) The abundance and variety of carbohydrate-active enzymes in the human gut microbiota. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 11, 497–504
2. Koropatkin, N. M., Cameron, E. A., and Martens, E. C. (2012) How glycan metabolism shapes the human gut microbiota. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 10, 323–335
3. Eckburg, P. B., Bik, E. M., Bernstein, C. N., Purdom, E., Dethlefsen, L., Sargent, M., Gill, S. R., Nelson, K. E., and Relman, D. A. (2005) Diversity of the human intestinal microbial flora. Science 308, 1635–1638
4. Mahowald, M. A., Rey, F. E., Seedorf, H., Turnbaugh, P. J., Fulton, R. S., Wollam, A., Shah, N., Wang, C., Magrini, V., Wilson, R. K., Cantarel, B. L., Coutinho, P. M., Henrissat, B., Crock, L. W., Russell, A., et al. (2009) Characterizing a model human gut microbiota composed of members of its two dominant bacterial phyla. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 5859–5864
5. Bjursell, M. K., Martens, E. C., and Gordon, J. I. (2006) Functional genomic and metabolic studies of the adaptability of a prominent adult human gut symbiont, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, to the suckling period. J. Biol. Chem. 281, 36269–36279
6. McNulty, N. P., Wu, M., Erickson, B. K., Martens, E. C., Pudlo, N. A., Mueller, B. D., Henrissat, B., Hettich, R. L., and Gordon, J. I. (2013) Effects of diet on resource utilization by a model human gut microbiota containing Bacteroides cellulosolvens WH2, a symbiont with an extensive glycolytic pathway. PLoS Biol. 11, e1001637
7. Martens, E. C., Koropatkin, N. M., Smith, T. J., and Gordon, J. I. (2009) Complex glycan catabolism by the human gut microbiota: the Bacteroidetes Sus-like paradigm. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 24673–24677
8. Salyers, A. A., Vercellotti, J. R., West, S. E., and Wilkins, T. D. (1977) Fermentation of mucin and plant polysaccharides by strains of Bacteroides from the human colon. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 33, 319–322
9. Zhang, M., Chekan, J. R., Dodd, D., Hong, P. Y., Radlinski, L., Revindran, V., Nair, S. K., Mackie, R. L., and Cao, I. (2014) Xylan utilization in human gut commensal bacteria is orchestrated by unique modular organization of polysaccharide-degrading enzymes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, E3708–E3717
10. Martens, E. C., Lowe, E. C., Chiang, H., Pudlo, N. A., Wu, M., McNulty, N. P., Abbott, D. W., Henrissat, B., Gilbert, H. J., Bolam, D. N., and Gordon, J. I. (2011) Recognition and degradation of plant cell wall polysaccharides by two human gut symbionts. PLoS Biol. 9, e1001221
11. Valentine, P. J., and Salyers, A. A. (1992) Analysis of proteins associated with growth of Bacteroides ovatus on the branched galactomannan guar gum. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 58, 1534–1540
Galactomannan Catabolism by B. ovatus

12. Gherardini, F. C., and Salyers, A. A. (1987) Purification and characterization of a cell-associated, soluble mannanase from Bacteroides ovatus. J. Bacteriol. 169, 2038–2043
13. Cuskin, F., Lowe, E. C., Temple, M. J., Zhu, Y., Cameron, E. A., Pudlo, N. A., Porter, N. T., Urs, K., Thompson, A. I., Cartmell, A., Rogowski, A., Hamilton, B. S., Chen, R., Tolbert, T. J., Piens, K., et al. (2015) Human gut Bacteroidetes can utilize yeast mannan through a selfish mechanism. Nature 517, 165–169
14. Hong, P. Y., Jakivik, M., Dodd, D., Zhang, M., Mackie, R. I., and Cann, I. (2014) Two new xylanases with different substrate specificities from the human gut bacterium Bacteroides intestinalis DSM 17393. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 80, 2084–2093
15. Rogowski, A., Briggs, J. A., Mortimer, J. C., Tryfona, T., Terrapon, N., Lowe, E. C., Baslé, A., Morland, C., Day, A. M., Zheng, H., Roberts, T. E., Thompson, P., Hawkins, A. R., Yadav, M. P., Henrisrat, B., et al. (2015) Glycan complexity dictates microbial resource allocation in the large intestine. Nat. Commun. 6, 7481
16. Larsbrink, J., Rogers, T. E., Hemsworth, G. R., McKeer, L. S., Tazcum, A. S., Spaduit, O., Kilter, S., Pudlo, N. A., Urs, K., Koropatkin, N. M., Cregg, A. L., Haynes, C. A., Kelly, A. G., Cederholm, S. N., Davies, G. J., et al. (2014) A discrete genetic locus confers xyloglucan metabolism in select human gut Bacteroidetes. Nature 506, 498–502
17. Scheller, H. V., and Ulvskov, P. (2010) Hemicelluloses. Annu. Rev. Plant. Biol. 61, 263–289
18. Albrecht, S., van Muiswinkel, G. C., Xu, J., Schols, H. A., Carmich, L. K., Chiang, H. C., Hooper, L. V., and Gordon, J. I. (2003) A genomic view of the human Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron symbiosis. Science 299, 2074–2076
19. Reddy, S. K., Bägenholm, V., Pudlo, N. A., Bouraoui, H., Koropatkin, N. M., Martens, E. C., and Stålbrand, H. (2016) A β-mannan utilization locus in Bacteroides ovatus involves a GH36 α-galactosidase active on galactomannans. FEBS Lett. 590, 2106–2118
20. Barak, S., and Mudgil, D. (2014) Locust bean gum: processing, properties and food applications—a review. J. Food Sci. Technol. 51, 409–418
21. Gilbert, H. J. (2008) The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2008. FEBS Lett. 590, 498–502
22. Hogg, D., Woo, E. J., Bolam, D. N., McKie, V. A., Gilbert, H. J., and Pickrill, B. (2011) Enzymatic production and characterization of a SusG, an outer membrane protein essential for mannose utilization in the distal glycine subunits of Cellulosomas fim1. Biocatal Biotransfor. 29, 447–457
23. Scheller, H. V., and Ulvskov, P. (2010) Hemicelluloses. Annu. Rev. Plant. Biol. 61, 263–289
24. Albrecht, S., van Muiswinkel, G. C., Xu, J., Schols, H. A., Voragen, A. G., and Gruppen, H. (2011) Enzymatic production and characterization of konjac glucomannan oligosaccharides. J. Agric. Food Chem. 59, 12658–12666
25. Mudgil, D., Barak, S., and Khatkar, B. S. (2014) Guar gum: processing, properties and food applications—a review. J. Food Sci. Technol. 51, 409–418
26. Barak, S., and Mudgil, D. (2014) Locust bean gum: processing, properties and food applications—a review. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 66, 74–80
27. Lombard, V., Golacoma Ramulu, H., Drula, E., Coutinho, P. M., and Henrissat, B. (2014) The carbohydrate-active enzymes database (CAZy) in 2013. Nucleic Acids Res. 42, D490–D495
28. Cartmell, A., Topakas, E., Ducros, V. M., Suits, M. D., Davies, G. J., and Gilbert, H. J. (2008) The Cellulobio nian japonicas mannanase CMAna62C displays a unique exo-mode of action that is conferred by subtle changes to the distal region of the active site. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 34403–34413
29. Yam, X. X., An, X. M., Gu, L. L., and Liang, D. C. (2008) From structure to function: insights into the catalytic substrate specificity and thermostability displayed by Bacillus subtilis mannanase BCman. J. Mol. Biol. 379, 535–544
30. Tailford, L. E., Ducros, V. M., Flint, J. E., Roberts, S. M., Morland, C., Zechel, D. L., Smith, N., Bjerrum, M. E., Borchert, T. V., Wilson, K. S., Davies, G. J., and Gilbert, H. J. (2009) Understanding how diverse β-mannanases recognize heterogeneous substrates. Biochemistry 48, 7009–7018
31. Hogg, D., Woo, E. J., Bolam, D. N., McKie, V. A., Gilbert, H. J., and Pickrill, B. (2001) Crystal structure of mannanase 26A from Pseudononas cellulosa and analysis of residues involved in substrate binding. J. Biol. Chem. 276, 31186–31192
32. Le Nours, J., Anderson, L., Stoll, D., Stålbrand, H., and Lo Leggio, L. (2005) The structure and characterization of a modular endo-β-1,4-mannanase from Cellulosomas fim1. Biochemistry 44, 12700–12708
33. Tsukagoshi, H., Nakamura, A., Ishida, T., Touhara, K. K., Otagiri, M., Moriya, S., Samejima, M., Igarashi, K., Fushinobu, S., Kitamoto, K., and Arioka, M. (2014) Structural and biochemical analyses of glycoside hydrolase family 5 and 26 β(1,4)-mannanases from Pseudospora anserina reveal differences upon manno-oligosaccharide catalysis. J. Biol. Chem. 289, 14624–14635
34. Couturier, M., Roussel, A., Rosengren, A., Leone, P., Stålbrand, H., and Berrin, J. G. (2013) Structural and biochemical analyses of glycoside hydrolase families 5 and 26 β(1,4)-mannanases from Pseudospora anserina reveal differences upon manno-oligosaccharide catalysis. J. Biol. Chem. 288, 14624–14635
expression and characterization. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 452, 520–525
48. Petersen, T. N., Brunak, S., von Heijne, G., and Nielsen, H. (2011) SignalP 4.0: discriminating signal peptides from transmembrane regions. Nat. Methods 8, 785–786
49. Juncker, A. S., Willenbrock, H., Von Heijne, G., Brunak, S., Nielsen, H., and Krogh, A. (2003) Prediction of lipoprotein signal peptides in Gram-negative bacteria. Protein Sci. 12, 1652–1662
50. Hansson, M. D., Rzeznicka, K., Rosenbäck, M., Hansson, M., and Sirijovski, N. (2008) PCR-mediated deletion of plasmid DNA. Anal. Biochem. 375, 373–375
51. Gasteiger, E., Hoogland, C., Gattiker, A., Duvaud, S., Wilkins, M. R., Appel, R. D., and Bairoch, A. (2005) in The Proteomics Protocols Handbook (Walker, J. M., ed) pp. 571–607, Humana Press, Totowa, NJ
52. Stalbrand, H., Siikaaho, M., Tenkanen, M., and Viikari, L. (1993) Purification and characterization of 2 β-mannanases from Trichoderma reesei. J. Biotecnol. 29, 229–242
53. Lundquist, J., Teleman, A., Dahlman, O., Zacchi, G., Tjerneld, F., and Stalbrand, H. (2002) Isolation and characterization of acetylated galactoglucomannan polymers from Spruce (Picea abies). Carbohydr. Polym. 48, 29–39
54. Matsui, I., Ishikawa, K., Matsu, E., Miyairi, S., Fukui, S., and Honda, K. (1991) Subsite structure of Saccharomyces α-amylase secreted from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J. Biochem. 109, 566–569
55. Reddy, S. K., Roosengren, A., Klaubauf, S., Kulkarni, T., Karlsson, E. N., de Vries, R. P., and Stalbrand, H. (2013) Phylogenetic analysis and substrate specificity of GH2 β-mannosidases from Aspergillus species. FEBS Lett. 587, 3444–3449
56. Kabsch, W. (2010) XDS. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 125–132
57. Winn, M. D., Ballard, C. C., Cowtan, K. D., Dodson, E. J., Emsley, P., Evans, P. R., Keegan, R. M., Krissinel, E. B., Leslie, A. G., McCoy, A., McNicholas, S. J., Murshudov, G. N., Pannu, N. S., Potterton, E. A., Powell, H. R., et al. (2011) Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 67, 235–242
58. Adams, P. D., Afonine, P. V., Bunkóczi, G., Chen, V. B., Davis, I. W., Echols, N., Headd, J. J., Hung, L. W., Kapral, G. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., McCoy, A. J., Moriarty, N. W., Oeffner, R., Read, R. J., Richardson, D. C., et al. (2010) PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 213–221
59. McCoy, A. J., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Adams, P. D., Winn, M. D., Storoni, L. C., and Read, R. J. (2007) Phaser crystallographic software. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 40, 658–674
60. Terwilliger, T. C., Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W., Afonine, P. V., Moriarty, N. W., Zwart, P. H., Hung, L. W., Read, R. J., and Adams, P. D. (2008) Iterative model building, structure refinement and density modification with the PHENIX AutoBuild wizard. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 64, 61–69
61. Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G., and Cowtan, K. (2010) Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 486–501
62. Murshudov, G. N., Vagin, A. A., and Dodson, E. J. (1997) Refinement of macromolecular structures by the maximum-likelihood method. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 53, 240–255
63. Zernike, F. (1955) How I discovered phase contrast. Science 121, 345–349

Galactomannan Catabolism by B. ovatus

JANUARY 6, 2017 • VOLUME 292 • NUMBER 1

JOURNAL OF BIOLOGICAL CHEMISTRY 243