NON-CHOLINERGIC, EXCITATORY JUNCTION POTENTIALS IN SMOOTH MUSCLE OF CHICKEN RECTUM
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Abstract - Muscle strips from the longitudinal or circular muscle of the chicken rectum were used to determine changes in membrane potential during field stimulation as recorded using the sucrose-gap method. Stimulation with single square pulses (0.1 msec duration) evoked junction potentials elicited by transmitter released from nerve endings. Facilitation in amplitude of excitatory junction potentials (EJPs) was seen during repetitive stimulation in the longitudinal muscle, but in the circular muscle, EJPs reduced. Neither atropine nor hyoscine (up to 10⁻² g ml⁻¹) reduced EJPs. These drugs abolished the depression of EJPs in the circular muscle produced by repetitive stimulation. Anticholinesterases (2 × 10⁻⁸ - 2 × 10⁻⁶ g ml⁻¹) reduced the EJPs. The inhibitory effect was produced without affecting the membrane resistance of smooth muscle and was completely antagonized by atropine (10⁻⁶ g ml⁻¹). Drugs that abolish the adrenergic functions did not affect the EJPs. These results suggest that nerves involved in the EJPs are non-cholinergic and non-adrenergic in nature, and the motor transmission to the smooth muscle may be inhibited by cholinergic nerves, presumably presynaptically.

In a previous paper (1) we demonstrated that the rectal muscle in the chicken has excitatory and inhibitory innervations via the Remak's nerve, so that the muscle shows a biphasic response, contraction followed by relaxation, in response to the Remak's nerve stimulation and also to transmural stimulation. Furthermore, we considered that the nerve stimulation produced contraction due to activity of motor nerves releasing an unknown transmitter, since the contractile component of the response remains almost unaltered after treatment with drugs which reduce cholinergic and adrenergic activities.

In intestinal smooth muscle, acetylcholine or stimulation of cholinergic nerves causes depolarization, enhances spike frequency and so produces contraction. The present experiments were undertaken to determine whether contraction of the rectal muscle in response to nerve stimulation was similarly based on depolarization and initiation of spike potentials and to study neurotransmission to the longitudinal and circular muscle layers.

Part of this data was presented at the 49th General Meeting of Japanese Pharmacological Society (2).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Adult chickens of either sex were bled and the whole rectum was exposed, isolated, sectioned lengthwise and pinned serosal side up on a rubber board. Strips, about 30 mm
in length and 0.5 mm in width, were cut away along either the longitudinal muscle or circular muscle from the wall of each rectum, in which the tissues other than the muscle layer were carefully detached under a binocular microscope. They were set up in a sucrose-gap apparatus similar to that used by Bulbring & Burnstock (3) for extracellular measurement of the membrane potential change. A pair of Ag-AgCl electrodes for recording the membrane potential were connected to a pair of cathode followers and the potential was amplified by a DC amplifier and recorded on a pen-oscillograph. Another pair of Ag-AgCl ring electrodes for field stimulation of the intramural nerves was embedded in the vertical polyethylene tube of the sucrose-gap apparatus, through which flowed Tyrode solution. Stimuli could be given across the one end of the strip 4 mm apart from the recording electrode. The field stimulation was effected by an electronic stimulator (Nihon Khoden). The duration of pulse stimuli was fixed at 0.1 msec and the stimulus intensity producing submaximal responses was selected. Mechanical changes of the strip were transmitted by an isometric mechanoelectronic transducer (Nihon Khoden) connected with a fine thread to the end of the preparation suspended in Tyrode solution, and recorded simultaneously with a membrane potential change. In a limited number of experiments, the strip was mounted in a double sucrose-gap apparatus, as described by Bulbring & Tomita (4). Electrotonic potentials were evoked by current pulses with 1 sec duration and effects of drugs were observed in order to determine the action on the membrane conductance.

Tyrode solution (composition, mM: NaCl 137.0; KCl 2.7; NaH2PO4 0.4; NaHCO3 12.0; MgCl2 1.0; CaCl2 1.8; Glucose 5.0) was aerated and kept at 35°C.

The drugs used were tetrodotoxin (Sankyo), hexamethonium bromide (K & K), d-tubocurarine chloride (Sigma), atropine sulfate (Tanabe), hyoscine hydrobromide (Wako), acetylcholine chloride (Tokyo Kasei), physostigmine salicylate (Merck), neostigmine bromide (Sigma), DFP (Sigma), phentolamine mesylate (Ciba) and guanethidine sulfate (Ciba).

The maximum amplitude of the junction potentials and electrotonic potentials were measured from the recording base lines. The latency of the junction potentials was measured from the stimulus artefact to the initiation of the transient and the duration from onset to the end of the depolarization. No allowances were made for possible errors resulting from the pen recorder.

RESULTS

Electrical responses to field stimulation

Preparations with little spontaneous activity permitted observation of electrical responses to field stimulation. Stimulation with single square pulses elicited a small and transient depolarization. Depolarization followed by a hyperpolarization was rare in longitudinal muscle strips (in 5 out of 54) and very occasional in circular muscle strips (in 32 out of 35), as illustrated in Fig. 1. As the stimulus intensity was progressively increased, the size and the rate of the electrical response increased until the amplitude of depolarization reached a threshold for initiation of the action potential.

Conversions from a monophasic response to a biphasic one or in the opposite direction...
were not observed with change in the stimulus intensity. Response to field stimulation was absent in the presence of TTX (10^{-7} g/ml) in the perfusion fluid. Depolarization and hyperpolarization were apparently neurally mediated and thus were interpreted to be junction potentials elicited by transmitters released from nerve endings.

In the longitudinal muscle, the maximum depolarization was 8 mV and usually less than 5 mV. The latency to the onset of depolarization varied from 15 to 25 msec with a mean of 18.5 ± 0.8 msec (n=22). The peak depolarization was reached between 68 and 180 msec with a mean of 114.5 ± 8.5 msec (n=22). Complete decay of the response occurred from 610 to 1400 msec with a mean of 1020 ± 40 msec (n=22). Measurements were also made of the latency and the time required to reach a maximum height of EJPs recorded in 18 strips from the circular muscle. The mean values were not significantly different from those of EJPs in the longitudinal muscle. Fig. 2 represents EJPs recorded from both circular and longitudinal muscle strips. In the total number of 40 preparations, the mean latency was 17.7 ± 0.6 msec and the mean time to the peak depolarization was 115.0 ± 6.8 msec. Since EJPs in the circular muscle usually led to a falling phase of inhibitory junction potentials (IJPs), the duration of the EJPs could not be measured. The JIP reached maximum hyperpolarization from 1300 to 2300 msec and up to 3 mV. Complete recovery was seen in 2.7 to 4.6 sec.

Repetitive stimulation at frequencies between 0.4 and 0.8 Hz produced discrete EJPs. Facilitation was seen in the longitudinal muscle with a gradual increase in amplitude, as shown in Fig. 3a. When the amplitude of depolarization reached the firing level, a spike potential was initiated. At frequencies up to 4 Hz, successive, summed EJPs caused a sustained membrane depolarization. In contrast, facilitation was never observed on the EJPs in the circular muscle, rather the peak depolarization became much smaller in the second EJP than in the first and the same magnitude of depression was seen in all subsequently elicited EJPs. Such depolarization illustrated for EJPs evoked at a frequency of 0.8 Hz is shown in Fig. 3b. In the majority of the longitudinal muscle strips and in all the circular muscle strips, a sustained membrane hyperpolarization developed during repetitive stimul-
Icy. 3. EMS in the longitudinal and circular muscles evoked by repetitive stimulation at 0.8 Hz of the intramural nerves. The top trace is a time marker. (a), Longitudinal muscle; (b) circular muscle. Upper trace in (a) and (b), membrane potential recording; lower trace, isometric tension recording. Note facilitation of the EJPs in (a) and depression of the EJPs in (b).

Fig. 3. EJPs in the longitudinal and circular muscles evoked by repetitive stimulation at 0.8 Hz of the intramural nerves. The top trace is a time marker. (a), Longitudinal muscle; (b) circular muscle. Upper trace in (a) and (b), membrane potential recording; lower trace, isometric tension recording. Note facilitation of the EJPs in (a) and depression of the EJPs in (b).

Fig. 4. Effects of atropine (5·10⁻⁷ g/ml) on EJPs in the longitudinal muscle evoked by repetitive stimulation at 0.8 Hz of the intramural nerves. The top trace is a time marker. (a), Control; (b), at 20 min after atropine. Upper trace in (a) and (b), membrane potential recording; lower trace, isometric tension recording.

Effects of drugs on EJPs

EJPs were evoked by trains of 10 to 20 pulses at a frequency of 0.8 Hz. The trains were delivered at an interval of about 5 min. Hexamethonium, added to the perfusion fluid to give concentrations up to 10⁻⁴ g/ml, had no effect on the EJPs (3 experiments). d-Tubocurarine (up to 5·10⁻⁴ g/ml) also had no effect on the potentials. These results indicate that the intramural motor nerves stimulated are postganglionic and that the EJPs are not mediated through activation of the nicotinic receptors on the rectal muscle. Atropine or hyoscine in a concentration of 10⁻² g/ml abolished the depolarizing effect of exogenously applied acetylcholine (10⁻⁴ g/ml) on the smooth muscle, but did not inhibit the EJPs. These drugs did not reduce the EJPs even when the concentration was cumulatively increased up to 10⁻² g/ml (8 experiments), however, a significant increase in amplitude of the EJPs was evident. Fig. 4 illustrates EJPs with or without spikes in the longitudinal muscle evoked before and after application of atropine (5·10⁻² g/ml). EJPs are appreciably larger in the presence of atropine and more effective in initiating spikes. Atropine and hyoscine also attenuated sudden decrements in amplitude of EJPs in the circular muscle during repetitive stimulation. This occurred with little or no changes in the sustained hyperpolarization. In some preparations, weak facilitation was seen in the EJPs in the presence of these drugs, as shown in Fig. 5. Physostigmine in concentrations of 2·10⁻⁸ to 2·10⁻⁷ g/ml resulted in dose-dependent decreases in EJPs and the uppermost concentration of this drug abolished
the EJPs (6 experiments). The inhibitory effect of physostigmine was found to be related to its well known ability to antagonize cholinesterase, since anticholinesterases other than physostigmine, such as neostigmine and DFP had a similar inhibitory effect on the EJPs and atropine (10⁻⁵ g/ml) overcame the inhibition produced by these cholinesterase inhibitors. A typical experiment in the longitudinal muscle is presented in Fig. 6.

Epinephrine and norepinephrine caused a contractile response of the longitudinal muscle associated with an increase in electrical activity after blockade of beta-adrenoceptors (unpublished observation). Phentolamine (10⁻⁵ g/ml) abolished the excitatory response due to activation of alpha-adrenoceptors to catecholamine, but did not affect the EJPs. In the circular muscle, the catecholamines had no excitatory effect. Guanethidine, when added to the perfusion fluid to give a concentration of 1 to 5 · 10⁻⁵ g/ml, resulted in no detectable change in the junction potentials throughout the 3 hr period (3 experiments). From these results, it is assumed that the EJPs are elicited by stimulation of neurons other than cholinergic and adrenergic neurons, and the motor transmission to the smooth muscle may be inhibited by cholinergic nerves.
Effects of atropine on the sudden depression of neuromuscular transmission during repetitive stimulation

The sudden depression of neuromuscular transmission in the circular muscle observed during repetitive stimulation was more closely examined by paired stimulus pulses with varied intervals. Results were expressed as the percent change in the amplitude of the second EJP from the amplitude of the first. Depression of the second EJP could be still seen at a pulse interval of 30 sec as indicated in Fig. 7. Atropine produced a dose-dependent increase in the rate of abatement of the depression. Fig. 8 presents the relationships between the amplitude of the second EJP and the pulse interval before and after application of atropine (10^-7 g/ml). At higher concentrations of atropine, the depression was no longer seen, instead the second EJP demonstrated a slight facilitation of the neuromuscular transmission. These observations also suggest that the depression of the motor neurotransmission is associated with stimulation of cholinergic neurons.

Effects of physostigmine and atropine on membrane potential and membrane resistance

It is well known that acetylcholine activates the muscarinic receptors located in intestinal smooth muscle to reduce the membrane potential and membrane resistance by increasing the ion permeability. Drugs such as physostigmine inhibit cholinesterase and acetylcholine accumulates at the neuromuscular junction, thereby resulting in a decrease in the EJP amplitude. We then attempted to determine whether or not the post-junctional effect of acetylcholine contributes to the cholinergic inhibition of the EJPs. A few electrotonic potentials produced by passing hyperpolarizing current and several EJPs were alternatively recorded every 5 min from the same strip (see Methods). Fig. 9 shows an example of the effects of physostigmine (10^-6 g/ml) on these potentials. Here, the EJPs were reduced to
Effects of physostigmine \((10^{-4} \text{ g/ml})\) on EJPs and electrotonic potentials in the longitudinal muscle.

(a): Top trace, time marker; second, control; third, at 10 min after physostigmine; bottom, at 10 min after additively applied atropine \((10^{-3} \text{ g/ml})\). At the upward arrow indicated, recording level is changed.

(b): A graph plotting the amplitude of the 5th EJPs (open circles) and the second electrotonic potentials (closed circles) evoked by a short train of pulses, as percentages of the corresponding control, against time. The arrows indicate time and period of application of physostigmine (solid) and atropine (broken). Determinations in the same preparation as in (a).

About 20%, of the control after 5 min exposure to physostigmine and remained inhibited in the presence of the drug, whereas the electrotonic potentials were not affected. Rapid recovery of the EJPs took place after addition of atropine \((10^{-3} \text{ g/ml})\) and became about 25% larger than the control after 10 min exposure to atropine. The electrotonic potentials were reduced by less than 10% with atropine. Throughout this experiment, there was no detectable change in the resting membrane potential. This was confirmed in all five preparations. Thus, the physostigmine-induced reduction in EJP amplitude cannot be accounted for by a decrease in the membrane resistance and probably is the result of effects on the excitatory neurons.

**DISCUSSION**

The present experiments show the excitatory junction potentials (EJPs) evoked by
stimulation of the intramural nerves of rectal smooth muscle of the chicken. Since the EJPs were not inhibited by the drugs that eliminate cholinergic and adrenergic activities, it seems likely that the neurons are neither cholinergic nor adrenergic. When tests are done to determine whether or not a certain drug can block neurally mediated responses, it is essential to ensure that there is a high concentration of the drug at the neuromuscular junction. It seems likely that the junctional region could be exposed to the drugs used in the present experiments, since atropine and hyoscine appreciably potentiated the EJPs and the cholinesterase inhibitors reduced them.

Several observations on contractile responses of the chicken rectum to nerve stimulation indicated that this region of the intestine receives non-cholinergic, excitatory innervation (1, 5, 6). The EJPs have several features in common with those of the contractions. Therefore, it is probable that the EJPs are electrical changes in the smooth muscle membrane in junctional transmission from neurons, the same type of which mediate the contractions.

The latent period of the EJPs is from one fifth to one tenth that of the cholinergic EJPs in the oesophagus (7, 8) and gizzard (9). Furthermore, this period was much shorter than that of EJPs recorded previously in mammalian intestines (10-13). If the latent period depends on the type of neuromuscular junctions occurring in the muscle (14), smooth muscle in the chicken rectum may be innervated by single axons forming occasional close contact with smooth muscle cells as is the case in the vas deferens of the guinea-pig. It has been observed that electrical responses of intestinal smooth muscle to iontophoretically applied acetylcholine or carbachol have a latency longer than 0.1 sec (15-17). Purves (16) and Bolton (17) suggested that the latency is not attributable to diffusion time and that it represents mainly the time required to produce the responses associated with activation of the muscarinic receptor. If such is indeed the case, then the extremely short latencies of the EJPs observed in the present experiments can be regarded as additional evidence that the motor neurons are not cholinergic in nature.

Hyperpolarizing junction potentials (IJPs) could be detected in records especially in those from the circular muscle and it appears that inhibitory neurons predominantly innervate the circular muscle layer. Although not systematically investigated, the failure of guanethidine and adrenoceptor-antagonists to block the IJPs suggests that the inhibitory neurons may be non-adrenergic in nature, presumably comparable to those previously demonstrated in the mammalian gastrointestinal tract (12, 18-21).

The inhibitory effects of repetitive stimulation are clearly mediated through stimulation of cholinergic neurons, since this effect is abolished by atropine or hyoscine and is qualitatively mimicked by the anticholinesterases. The inhibitory effects of the anticholinesterases on the EJPs were produced with little or no change in the membrane potential and membrane resistance. Thus the action of acetylcholine released from nerve endings may be mediated mainly through an effect on the excitatory neurons rather than the direct effect on smooth muscle. Crema (22) stated that the postsynaptic receptors on inhibitory neurons in the cat colon are muscarinic. If the inhibitory neurons we observed in the chicken rectum also have muscarinic receptors, endogenously released acetylcholine would stimulate these
neurons and cause an increase in release of the transmitter, resulting in a decrease in EJP amplitude. However, IJPs were evoked with little change in amplitude in the presence of atropine or hyoscine at a concentration sufficient to abolish depression of the motor neuromuscular transmission. Appreciable depression of the neuromuscular transmission could still be observed on the EJPs evoked by paired pulses with a pulse interval of 30 sec, while the IJP was not sustained for more than 5 sec. These results probably exclude the possibility that the depression is due to simultaneous elicitation of the IJP.

Lindmar & Muscholl (23) implied that a muscarinic mechanism inhibits the release of norepinephrine from peripheral adrenergic nerve fibers. Thus endogenously released acetylcholine may produce a diminution in the release of an unknown transmitter at the non-cholinergic nerve endings in a manner similar to the acetylcholine-mediated inhibition of norepinephrine release from adrenergic nerve endings.
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