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Abstract

Peanut is a major oilseed crop worldwide. In the Brazilian peanut production, silvering thrips and red necked peanut worm are the most threatening pests. Resistant varieties are considered an alternative to pest control. Many wild diploid Arachis species have shown resistance to these pests, and these can be used in peanut breeding by obtaining hybrid of A and B genomes and subsequent polyploidization with colchicine, resulting in an AABB amphidiploid. This amphidiploid can be crossed with cultivated peanut (AABB) to provide genes of interest to the cultivar. In this study, the sterile diploid hybrids from A. magna V 13751 and A. kempff-mercadoi V 13250 were treated with colchicine for polyploidization, and the amphidiploids were crossed with A. hypogaea cv. IAC OL 4 to initiate the introgression of the wild genes into the cultivated peanut. The confirmation of the hybridity of the progenies was obtained by: (1) reproductive characterization through viability of pollen, (2) molecular characterization using microsatellite markers and (3) morphological characterization using 61 morphological traits with principal component analysis. The diploid hybrid individual was polyploidized, generating the amphidiploid An 13 (A. magna V 13751 x A. kempff-mercadoi V 13250)4x. Four F1 hybrid plants were obtained from IAC OL 4 x An 13, and 51 F2 seeds were obtained from these F1 plants. Using reproductive, molecular and morphological characterizations, it was possible to distinguish hybrid plants from selfed plants. In the cross between A. hypogaea and the amphidiploid, as the two parents are polyploid, the hybrid progeny and selves had the viability of the pollen grains as high as the parents. This fact turns the use of reproductive characteristics impossible for discriminating, in this case, the hybrid individuals from selfing. The hybrids between A. hypogaea and An 13 will be used in breeding programs seeking pest resistance, being subjected to successive backcrosses until recovering all traits of interest of A. hypogaea, keeping the pest resistance.
1. Introduction

Peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) is considered as the fifth largest oilseed crop in the world, after soybean, rapeseed, cotton and sunflower. World production during 2014–2015 was 39.83 million tons [1]. The five major countries producing peanut 2014–2015 were China, India, Nigeria, United States, and Burma. Brazil ranked 17th in production, where approximately 90% of peanut production comes from the state of São Paulo [2, 3].

Pests and foliar diseases are among the factors that mostly limit the economically sustainable production of peanuts in Brazil. The silvering thrips (*Enneothrips flavens* Moulton) and red necked peanut worm (*Stegasta bosquella* Chambers) are considered key pests [4, 5].

Thrips are tiny sucking insects of the order Thysanoptera and usually have between 0.5 and 5.0 mm in length, which when feed, destroy plant cells. The red necked peanut worm is an insect of the order Lepidoptera and usually has between 6.0 and 7.0 mm in length, which feeds on the peanut leaflet while still closed [5, 6].

Peanut crop must be chemically protected from pests to achieve satisfactory yields. Infestations of these insects have an important and peculiar aspect: silvering thrips and red necked peanut worm lodge in the buds (tips) of the branches, causing damage more or less severe to the vegetative growth of plants. This mode of attack requires the use of systemic insecticides for the control of these insects, which are more efficient, but more expensive [5, 6]. The use of resistant varieties is one of the best alternatives for pest control, because they do not harm the environment, keep pests at low levels and reduce costs with pesticides and crop treatment [7].

In the case of peanuts, it is known that many wild species of *Arachis* have resistance to pests, which can be introgressed into cultivars [8]. Obtaining cultivars resistant to pests through plant breeding is an alternative to reduce the cost of production for this crop. Efficient use of exotic peanut germplasm favors research programs aimed at the production of new improved cultivars from germplasm adapted to potential types of resistance to diseases and pests [9].

The main difficulty in the use of wild species in peanut breeding is that the majority of the species of Section *Arachis* are diploids and have genomes A, B, D, F, G or K, while the cultivated species *A. hypogaea* is allotetraploid and has genomic formula AABB [10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17].

To overcome the ploidy barrier between the wild and cultivated peanuts, Simpson [18] and Simpson and Starr [19] showed three forms of gene introgression in *A. hypogaea*. The first is the cross between the wild diploid species (2n = 20) with *A. hypogaea* AABB (4n = 40), generating a triploid hybrid (3n = 30) which would be treated with colchicine for doubling of chromosomes, making it hexaploid (6n = 60) and fertile. This hexaploid would be backcrossed with *A. hypogaea* several times until there is loss of chromosomes, and the progeny again has 40 chromosomes. The second introgression process would be the doubling of chromosomes from wild species with genome A and B (2n = 20) making them tetraploid AAAA and BBBB (4n = 40), with subsequent cross between them, producing a hybrid AABB (4n = 40), which would be crossed with *A. hypogaea* AABB (4n = 40). The third method would be the cross of a species with genome A (2n = 20) with a species with genome B (2n = 20), generating a sterile hybrid AB (2n = 20), which would be tetraploidized with colchicine, becoming a fertile amphidiploid AABB (4n = 40) that would be crossed with *A. hypogaea* AABB (4n = 40) and backcrossed several times until all the traits of interest in *A. hypogaea* are recovered. The third way showed the most promising results by producing an amphidiploid (AABB) and crossing it with cultivated peanut *A. hypogaea* AABB (4n = 40), as was done in this study. The peanut cultivar COAN showing high resistance to root-knot nematodes (*Meloidogyne arenaria* and *M. javanica*), was obtained from crosses between *A. batizocoi* x (*A. cardenasii* x *A. diogoi*) by Simpson and Starr [19]. The hybrid of this cross was sterile and was treated with colchicine for
chromosome doubling. This amphidiploid was crossed with *A. hypogaea* cv. Florunner, generating a hybrid registered as TxAG-6. After five backcrosses and successive selection for agronomic traits and resistance to nematodes, it was released as the cultivar COAN.

Accessions of present study, *A. magna* V 13751 and *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 showed tolerance to thrips and rednecked peanutworm [8]. Crosses between the accessions of *A. magna* V 13751 (female parent, genome B) and *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 (male parent, genome A) were performed and individuals of progenies were analyzed by reproductive and morphological characterizations. Two diploid hybrid plants were obtained (Paula et al., unpublished data).

In this context, this study aimed to produce a new amphidiploid from wild species of *Arachis* with distinct genomes, cross the new amphidiploid with an elite cultivar of *A. hypogaea*, and perform morphological, molecular and reproductive characterization of the progenies.

### Material and methods

#### Development of amphidiploid

The crosses between *A. magna* V 13751 (female parent) and *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 (male parent) were performed manually in a greenhouse at Embrapa Southeast Livestock (São Carlos, state of São Paulo, Brazil) from December to March, 2010/2011. Flower buds of the female parents were emasculated in the late afternoon and flowers pollinated in the morning the next day. To calculate the percentage of success (PS) of hybridization, the following formula was used: $PS = \frac{\text{number of hybrids}}{\text{number of pollinations}} \times 100$.

During the 2011–2012 growing season, fifteen cuttings of approximately 20 cm were taken from the hybrid *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 (Ferreira et al., unpublished data), with the aid of scissors. Only the apical leaves still closed were kept and the apexes of cuttings were immersed into test tubes containing 0.2% colchicine. The tubes were closed and placed in BOD incubator (Biochemical Oxygen Demand), using fluorescent white light and 28˚C for eight hours. After eight hours, the cuttings were washed in running water for about 20 minutes [20, 21, 22, 23]. Thus, with the aid of a scalpel, the cuttings were cut in a bevel (obliquely) over the last node and planted in plastic cups (180 mL) with the same substrate of pots to develop. At this stage, the cuttings were covered with plastic bags to minimize water loss. When cuttings rooted and grew, the plants were transplanted in pots.

During the growing season of 2012–2013, concurrently to the second crossing season, we analyzed the polyploidization of *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250. AB genome diploid plants usually do not produce seeds, sometimes nor flowers. So, they were considered sterile. Those sterile diploid hybrids with the colchicine treatment, showing presence of “peg” and the appearance of seeds were confirmed as successful amphidiploid. The progeny was evaluated by means of reproductive and morphological characterizations.

#### Crosses between *A. hypogaea* and amphidiploid

Cultivar IAC OL4 (*A. hypogaea* subsp. *hypogaea*) as female parent was crossed with the amphidiploid An 13 (*A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250)4x as male parent during 2012/2013 growing season. Reproductive, morphological and molecular characterization was carried out on resultant progenies during 2013–2014 growing season.

#### Seed germination

All seeds were treated with Thiram + Distilled water (1:2) for two minutes and placed on germitest paper soaked in 0.65% Ethrel for germination. Germination conditions were 16 h at
20˚C in the dark and 08 h at 35˚C under fluorescent light. Disposable glasses of 180 mL were
prepared with substrate and perforated bottom to receive the newly germinated seeds. Plants
remained in the cups to achieve size and vigor to be transplanted to pots with volume of
25x40x40 cm.

Reproductive characterization

Four flowers of each individual used in the research were randomly collected. With the aid of
tweezers, pollen grains were taken from the anthers, placed on slides and stained with 2% car-
mime acetic acid with glycerin (CA) or 0.25% tetrazolium (TZ) for three minutes. Slides were
analyzed for viable and non-viable grains under a microscope. Pollen grains were considered
as viable when they showed proper development and complete staining (Fig 1). Two hundred
grains in each sample (repetition) derived from a single flower, totaling 800 grains per individ-
ual were counted. The percentages of stained pollen grains were calculated for each sample of
all individuals involved. Analyses of variance and Tukey’s test for means comparison were per-
formed using the software Statistical Analysis System (SAS).

Morphological characterization

Four leaves of the side branch, a leaf of the main axis and four flowers of all individuals were
randomly collected. The leaf collected was always the last leaf expanded of both lateral
branches and of the main axis.

Sixty-one morphological traits were analyzed (Table 1), which have been previously evalu-
ated in other studies [21, 22, 23]. According to the trait, the material was measured by ruler or
caliper, or observed under a stereomicroscope.

Data were analyzed using Principal Component Analysis (PCA) generated by SAS software.
The results of components 1 and 2 were multiplied by the mean values of each trait for each
individual and the resulting values were used to construct a Biplot graph using software Micro-
soft Excel.

Molecular characterization

Total genomic DNA was isolated from young leaves using the protocol based on CTAB (Cat-
tionic Hexadecyl Trimethyl Ammonium Bromide) described by Grattapaglia and Sederoff [24],
with the inclusion of an additional precipitation with 1.2 M NaCl, immediately after CTAB
buffer. Quantification of total DNA was performed with a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop
ND-1000).
Three microsatellite markers were pre-selected from a larger set of markers according to
the polymorphism in the genitors and to its amplification profile, and were evaluated in this
study (Table 2), as follows: Seq3D09, IPAHM406 and RI2A06 [25, 26, 27, 28].

Amplification conditions of markers were established from testing under different anneal-
ing temperature of primers in the polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR reactions were per-
formed in a thermocycler (BioRad T100), with a final volume of 15 μl, as follows: 120ng of
genomic DNA, 0.65U Taq DNA polymerase, 1x PCR buffer (200 mM Tris pH 8.4, 500 mM

Table 1. Descriptors evaluated in genotypes of *Arachis*, respective codes, unit of measurement and in which structure of the plant it was evaluated.

| Descriptors                                      | Codes | Unit     | MA2 | LB3 | FL4 |
|-------------------------------------------------|-------|----------|-----|-----|-----|
| Proximal leaflet length                         | Pl    | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Proximal leaflet width                          | Plw   | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Distal leaflet length                           | Dll   | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Distal leaflet width                            | Dw    | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Petiole length                                  | Pl    | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Petiolule length                                | Pol   | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Length of free part of stipule                  | Lfps  | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Width of free part of stipule                   | Wfps  | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Length of adnate part of stipule                | Laps  | Millimeter | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the abaxial leaflet border         | Tablb | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the abaxial leaflet center         | Tablc | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the abaxial leaflet midvein        | Tablm | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the adaxial leaflet border         | Tadlb | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the adaxial leaflet center         | Tadlc | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the adaxial leaflet midvein        | Tadlm | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Bristles on the leaflet border                  | Blm   | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the petiole                        | Tp    | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the petiolule                      | Tpo   | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Bristles on the petiole                         | Bp    | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Bristles on the petiolule                       | Bpo   | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the stipule (free part) center     | Tfpsc | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the stipule (free part) border     | Tfpsb | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the stipule (adnate part) center   | Tadpsc | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Trichomes on the stipule (adnate part) border   | Tadpsb | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Bristles on the stipule (free part)             | Bfps  | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Bristles on the stipule (adnate part)           | Badps | Scale 1 to 31 | X   | X   |    |
| Anthocyanin in the stipule                     | As    | Absence or presence | X | X |    |
| Standard length                                 | Sl    | Millimeter | -   | -   | X   |
| Standard width                                  | Sw    | Millimeter | -   | -   | X   |
| Wing length                                     | Wl    | Millimeter | -   | -   | X   |
| Wing width                                      | Ww    | Millimeter | -   | -   | X   |
| Lower lip length                                | Lll   | Millimeter | -   | -   | X   |
| Upper lip length                                | Ull   | Millimeter | -   | -   | X   |
| Hypanthium length                               | Hl    | Millimeter | -   | -   | X   |

1(1) Absence, (2) Few, (3) Many  
2MA: Main axis  
3LB: lateral branch  
4FL: flower.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175940.t001
KCl), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 0.2 μM dNTP, and 0.165 μM of each primer. The protocol used for amplification consisted of 95°C for 5 min, 30 cycles (94°C for 45 sec; X°C for 45 sec.; 72°C for 45 sec.), and 72°C for 10 min, where X°C is the specific annealing temperature of the primers. Fragments were visualized on 2.5% agarose gel and markers that succeeded in amplification were subjected to electrophoresis in 6% polyacrylamide gels and stained with silver nitrate [29] for visualization of the fragments and genotyping using the 10 bp ladder (Invitrogen). Hybrids were considered those individuals F1 who had an allele from the male parent which was not present in the female parent in the evaluated polymorphic loci. As peanut is able to perform self-fertilization, the individuals F1 who had only alleles from the female parent and did not have alleles from the male parent were considered as self-plants.

Results and discussion
Development of amphidiploid

A total of 105 pollinations were performed between the A. magna V 13751 x A. kempff-mercadoi V 13250, which produced seven pegs and two seeds. The two seeds were germinated and were transplanted into pots. Ten cuttings were collected from each hybrid of A. magna V 13751 x A. kempff-mercadoi V 13250 (15), totaling 20 stakes treated with colchicine. The 20 cuttings of the hybrid A. magna V 13751 x A. kempff-mercadoi V 13250 (15) treated with colchicine have developed up and just one seed was obtained that produced a plant and was called as An 13 (A. magna V 13751 x A. kempff-mercadoi V 13250)4x. The presence of seed indicates successful production of amphidiploid. This amphidiploid, An 13 produced a few amount of seeds. For this reason, this amphidiploid was not evaluated in field for pest resistance, as it was done for its progenitors (A. magna V 13751 and A. kempff-mercadoi V 13250).

Development of hybrid between the cultivar IAC OL4 and an amphidiploid An 13

Hybridization between cultivar IAC OL4 and an amphidiploid An 13 was undertaken with 98 pollinations which resulted in 10 pegs in turn 10 seeds. All these 10 seeds were germinated and were planted in cups and transplanted into pots. Among the 10 plants, four were characterized as hybrids and they produced 51 F2 seeds with percentage of success of 4.08%. The techniques of emasculation and pollination are among the factors that can influence the percentage of success [30]. Besides these techniques, according to Tallury et al. [31], fertilization itself is difficult and may not occur after pollination or occur late (delayed development of the pollen tube). Problems, such as inability of proembryo to grow after the peg reaches the ground or very slow growth of proembryo may be present.

In a peanut breeding program, for the production of amphidiploid, it is necessary to perform crosses between wild species of Arachis which results in sterile interspecific hybrids AB (2n = 20). It is common in these crosses the presence of abortions of “peg” that did not develop seeds, developed seeds that did not germinate and germinated seeds that did not had the vigor to survive in pots [21, 22, 23]. Further, greater number of abortions was due to interspecific
hybrids with difference between genomes. In this study, the pollination difficulties cited by Nigam et al. [30] and Tallury et al. [31] are still present, but the problem of the difference between genomes was solved to obtain the amphidiploid. Thus, when performing crosses between *A. hypogaea* and amphidiploid with AABB genome, the number of abortions recorded was smaller in comparison with crosses between wild species. This also influences the observed percentage of success. Fávero [21] selected 14 combinations with species with genome A and B in order to obtain interspecific hybrids AB and 2,234 pollinations were performed, which generated 21 plants confirmed as hybrid, reaching a percentage of success of 0.9%. In the same study, when crosses between *A. hypogaea* and amphidiploid were conducted, there were 1,359 pollinations, which resulted in 107 hybrid plants, and the success percentage was 7.8%.

**Reproductive characterization**

ANOVA evidenced significant differences between individuals, but there were no differences between repetitions in the two tested stainings (CA and TZ) (Table 3, S1 Table).

The diploid hybrid *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 (15) and the progenitors were included in the reproductive characterization to compare them with amphidiploids and the F₁ hybrids with *A. hypogaea*. The parents had a high percentage of pollen grains stained, the female parent *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 (plant 14) showed 98.00% pollen grains stained with CA and 89.00% with TZ; the male parent *A. magna* V 13751 (13) showed 96.75% with CA and 77.75% with TZ. The hybrid *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 (15), different from parents, showed low values of pollen grains stained, 1.25% with CA and 0.75% with TZ.

**Table 3. Viability of pollen grains of 22 individuals analyzed by staining**

| Identification | Individual    | Mean percentage viability of pollen grains according to the staining<sup>1</sup>                      |
|----------------|--------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                |              | **CA<sup>2</sup>** | **TZ<sup>3</sup>**                                                                                   |
| 14             | V 13250      | 98.00 a                                                        | 89.00 ab                                                                                        |
| 6              | OL4 x AN13   | 97.50 a                                                        | 88.00 ab                                                                                        |
| 10             | OL4 x AN13   | 96.75 a                                                        | 94.00 a                                                                                        |
| 13             | V 13751      | 96.75 a                                                        | 77.75 b                                                                                        |
| 8              | OL4 x AN13   | 96.25 a                                                        | 94.75 a                                                                                        |
| 11             | IAC OL4      | 95.75 a                                                        | 93.75 a                                                                                        |
| 7              | OL4 x AN13   | 95.50 a                                                        | 95.75 a                                                                                        |
| 9              | OL4 x AN13   | 94.00 a                                                        | 96.50 a                                                                                        |
| 5              | OL4 x AN13   | 92.50 a                                                        | 96.00 a                                                                                        |
| 4              | OL4 x AN13   | 91.25 a                                                        | 87.25 ab                                                                                       |
| 2              | OL4 x AN13   | 84.75 b                                                        | 80.75 b                                                                                        |
| 3              | OL4 x AN13   | 82.50 bc                                                       | 89.50 ab                                                                                       |
| 1              | OL4 x AN13   | 80.75 bc                                                       | 86.50 ab                                                                                       |
| 12             | An 13        | 77.00 c                                                        | 88.25 ab                                                                                       |
| 15             | V 13751 x V 13250 | 1.25 d                                                    | 0.75 c                                                                                        |
| CV %           |              | 2.79                                                            | 4.96                                                                                           |

<sup>1</sup>Means followed by same letters are significantly equal at 5% probability by Tukey’s test

<sup>2</sup>2% carmine acetic acid with glycerin

<sup>3</sup>0.25% Tetrazolium solution.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175940.t003
On the other hand, the amphidiploid An 13 (12) presented high viability of pollen grains, both with CA (77.00%) and with TZ (88.25%) (Table 3).

During cell division, colchicine acts as an antimitotic agent, binding to tubulin dimers, preventing the formation of microtubules and consequently the formation of spindle fibers [32]. During mitosis, when the achromatic spindle is damaged or absent, no separation of the duplicated chromosomes in anaphase, and consequently, cell division does not occur and the cell starts a new cell cycle with amount of DNA duplicated [33]. Thus, colchicine induces polyploidy, cells start to have homologous chromosomes, and the problem of irregular meiosis in diploid interspecific hybrids is solved, leading the plant to produce viable pollen grains.

With the problem of irregular meiosis solved, when making the reproductive characterization by means of pollen grain staining, the amphidiploid shows high viability of pollen grains, being largely different from the sterile diploid hybrid, which has low viability of pollen grains. Thus, reproductive characterization is able to clearly identify this sterile hybrid diploid and an amphidiploid.

A high viability of pollen grains was observed due to CA (over 76%) and TZ (over 77%) staining in the hybrids obtained between IAC OL4 and an amphidiploid An 13 and parents (Table 3).

The pollen staining technique can be used to identify diploid interspecific hybrids, due to the high number of non-viable pollen grains. When the diploid interspecific hybrid plants are treated with colchicine, they become polyploid (amphidiploid). These amphidiploids have homologous chromosomes of the two distinct genomes (stable genetic material) and their pollen grains become viable and the plant fertile. In crossing a cultivar with an amphidiploid, the two individuals have stable genetic material with homologous chromosomes. Their progeny will also have a stable genetic material and there is no difference between the parents and the offspring with respect to the viability of pollen grains. Therefore, in this case, pollen staining technique as a method for identification of hybrids is not conclusive. Hence, it is necessary to use other methods such as morphological and molecular characterizations.

A cytogenetic study conducted by Stalker [10] reported the viability of pollen grains associated with meiosis in intra- and interspecific crosses. When the genetic material was stable, the viability showed 90% stained pollen grains with low presence of univalent (below 0.05 univalent) and high rate of bivalent (approximately 10 bivalent) during meiosis. When the genetic material was unstable, that is, without homologous chromosomes, there was low viability of pollen grains, high number of univalent and low amount of bivalent.

The diploid interspecific hybrid has two distinct genomes in the cells with occasional or total absence of chromosome pairing. Given the low genetic similarity between chromosomes of different genomes, the pairing at metaphase I will be compromised, thus causing an irregular meiosis and non-viable pollen grains. *Arachis* species with genome A and species with genome B have around 20% genetic similarity [28].

When considered together the work of Lüdke [23] and Moretzsohn et al. [28], it was possible to establish a relationship between genetic similarity and the viability of pollen grains. Lüdke [23] studied four rounds of intra and interspecific crosses between species of the *Arachis* section, always using accessions of genome B as female parent. They found that the hybrids with the highest average percentage viability of pollen grains were exactly those which had parents with the same genome, in this case, the genome B. The intraspecific hybrid with genome B having the greatest viability of pollen grains was *A. valida* V 13514 x *A. valida* V 15096 (B x B), which showed 98.40% pollen grains stained with genetic similarity of 58% between the parents. All other intraspecific hybrids had less than 39% genetic similarity between the parents and below 40% viability of pollen grains. As for interspecific hybrids, all combinations presented less than 20% genetic similarity between the parents and all hybrids.
presented below 4.6% viability of pollen grains. In this context, the lower the genetic similarity between the parents, the smaller the percentage of viability of pollen grains in the produced hybrid.

Morphological characterization

All individuals were morphologically characterized, including the diploid hybrid *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kemppf-mercadoi* V 13250 (15) and its progenitors in order to compare them with amphidiploids and the F₁ hybrids with *A. hypogaea* (S2 Table). PCA showed that the three first principal components accounted for 98% of the total variation of morphological traits.

According to the principal component 1, among the 15 most important morphological descriptors explaining the observed variation, five were collected in the main axis of the plant, eight in the lateral branch and two in the flower (Table 4).

From the components 1 and 2, multiplied by the mean values of each trait for each individual, it was possible to construct a biplot graph (Fig 2). The male parent *A. kemppf-mercadoi* V 13250 (14) was located at the center of the graph and the female parent *A. magna* V 13751 (13) was located at the bottom right of the graph, the plant resulting from the cross de *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kemppf-mercadoi* V 13250 (15) was located closer to the male parent than to the female parent, which allows to characterize this plant as a hybrid by means of PCA.

Analyzing the most important descriptor, it can be observed that the female and male parents, respectively, presented 39.260 and 17.630 mm for the petiolule length of the main axis (PolMA), thus, the hybrid plant *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kemppf-mercadoi* V 13250 (15) presenting 27.240 mm, was located closest to the male parent. This proximity of the hybrid to the male parent can be also perceived in other descriptors in Table 4.

On the graph, the plant An 13 (amphidiploid) was located far from the diploid plant *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kemppf-mercadoi* V 13250 (15), indicating morphological variation

Table 4. Order of descriptors that contributed most to the morphological variation observed in the principal component 1 (Prin 1) of the Principal Component Analysis of all the individuals evaluated in this work

| Order | Descriptors                  | Codes¹ | Prin 1 | Individuals² |
|-------|------------------------------|--------|--------|--------------|
| 1     | Petiolule length MA          | PolMA  | 0.487  | 39,260       |
| 2     | Petiolule length LB          | PolLB  | 0.487  | 11,870       |
| 3     | Bristles on the petiole LB   | BpLB   | 0.360  | 6,110        |
| 4     | Distal leaflet length MA     | DllMA  | 0.305  | 44,230       |
| 5     | Distal leaflet length LB     | DllLB  | 0.305  | 24,430       |
| 6     | Bristles on the petiolule LB | BpOLB  | 0.230  | 1,000        |
| 7     | Width of free part of stipule MA | WfpsMA | 0.184  | 2,500        |
| 8     | Width of free part of stipule LB | WfpsLB | 0.184  | 2,950        |
| 9     | Distal leaflet width MA      | DwMA   | 0.148  | 20,460       |
| 10    | Distal leaflet width LB      | DwLB   | 0.148  | 17,440       |
| 11    | Bristles on the leaflet border LB | BlmLB | 0.115  | 1,000        |
| 12    | Lower lip length FL          | BlmFL  | 0.077  | 8,080        |
| 13    | Lenght of adnate part of stipule MA | LapsMA | 0.070  | 12,750       |
| 14    | Lenght of adnate part of stipule LB | LapsLB | 0.070  | 7,280        |
| 15    | Upper lip length FL          | UFL    | 0.067  | 6,570        |

1 Codes ending with MA refer to the main axis, with LB, to the lateral branch and with FL, to flower.

21–4: F₁ hybrids from IAC OL4 x An 13; 11: IAC OL4, 12: An 13; 13: V13751; 14: V13250; 15: V13751 x V13250

* Not evaluated

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175940.t004
between these plants (Fig 2). This variation may be explained by the gigantism effects observed. Amphidiploid has twice the genetic material in relation to the sterile interspecific hybrid. So, this plant may have an increase in the cell size, which may result in the increasing of size of morphological structures.

With respect to the most important descriptor, it was observed that the hybrid *A. magna* V 13751 x *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 (15) presented 27.240 mm, and An 13 (12) presented 11.470 mm for the petiolule length of the main axis (PolMA). The difference between the values observed in the descriptors led the hybrid to be located at the bottom right of the graph, and the amphidiploid, at the top right of the graph. Other descriptors and respective measures responsible for the location of these plants on the graph can be seen in Table 4.

It was obtained ten seeds from the cross between IAC OL4 x An 13. Plants of IAC OL4 x An 13 (1, 2, 3 and 4), characterized as a result of hybridization, were located in the top left graph (Fig 2) nearest to the male parent An 13 (12) than to the female parent OL4 IAC (11) on the PCA analysis. The plants IAC OL4 x An 13 (5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10) were identified as a result of selfing by molecular paternity test, which were located at the center of the biplot graph, near the female parent IAC OL4 (11). Analyzing the measures of the most important descriptors (Table 4), in most of them, the measurements of hybrids were more similar to the male parent than to the female parent. Thus, the hybrid plants IAC OL4 x An 13 (1, 2, 3 and 4) have measurements that were more similar to the male parent data than to the female parent results. So, they were located closer to the male parent in the graph.

During the evaluations, the descriptor “number of lateral branches” (nLB), which had no evaluation predicted in the methods, was interesting to identify hybrids between IAC OL4 and amphidiploid An 13. In the growth stage of plants still in disposable cups, four plants (hybrids) presented lower numbers of side branches, compared the other six plants (selfed), which had the same morphological profile of cultivar IAC OL4 (female parent), showing higher number of lateral branches (Fig 3). Thus, at this stage of the breeding program, the descriptor nLB proved efficient to distinguish the hybrid individuals and selfed.

![Fig 2. Biplot graph resulting from the cross between IAC OL4 x An 13, obtained by Principal Component Analysis considering the 61 descriptors for the principal components 1 and 2. Triangle indicates female parents V 13751 (13) and IAC OL4 (11); circles, male parents V 13250 (14) and An 13 (12); and representing the progenies, square indicates the hybrid plants V 13751 x V 13250 (15) and IAC OL4 x An 13 (1 to 4), and, diamonds, the plants derived from selfing IAC OL4 x An 13 (5 to 10).](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175940.g002)
Molecular characterization of the F₁ hybrids from *A. hypogaea* and An 13

Loci evaluated allowed the identification of hybridization in individuals 1, 2, 3 and 4 and selfing in individuals 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10, all from the cross IAC OL4 x An 13.

The Fig 4 shows the amplification profile of the marker IPAHM-406, in which the presence of the 342 bp band in the male parent An 13 (12), and its absence in the female parent IAC OL4 (11) allowed the identification of selfing or hybridization in the progenies. The markers RI2A06 and Seq3d9 showed the same results with respect to the identification of progenies as a result of selfing or hybridization.

Molecular markers are very important tools in molecular characterization of genetic resources and in plant breeding and pre-breeding. In *Arachis*, several studies used molecular markers to characterize germplasm collections, to study genetic diversity [25, 26, 34, 35, 36] and to confirm hybridizations. Fávero [22] using the SSR Lec-1 on 1.2% agarose gel, was able to identify 17 hybrids derived from crosses between KG 30006 x V13710 e KG 30076 x V 12812. Moretzsohn et al. [28] studied the molecular genetic relations between cultivated pea-nut (*Arachis hypogaea*) and wild species. Thus, it was possible to obtain important information about the genomes and genetic similarity of wild species, which is important to explain the irregular meiosis that diploid interspecific hybrids have, and how this problem is solved by obtaining the amphidiploid. In this study, microsatellites were extremely efficient in identifying hybridization in controlled crosses between IAC OL4 and amphidiploid An 13.

![Fig 3. Comparison in the number of lateral branches between plants from. (A) hybridization and (B) selfing.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175940.g003)

![Fig 4. Amplification profile of the marker IPAHM-406 for progenies of IAC OL4 x An 13. Individuals: Hybrids (1 to 4), self-fertilized (5 to 10), female parent IAC OL4 (11) and male parent An 13 (12). Arrow indicates the polymorphic band identifying hybridization. M: 10 bp ladder (Invitrogen).](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175940.g004)
The obtainment of F2 plants of an interspecific hybrid that include three very distinct species is the first step for the use of these germplasm in breeding programs. After that it will be necessary the confirmation of the introgression of pest resistance genes in the progenies, select the best ones and backcross these genotypes with A. hypogaea for at least eight times. Bioassays for pest resistance may be done before each backcrossing season.

Observation of mite resistance during evaluations. At the end of 2014–2015 growing season, parents and progenies plants were attacked by mites (Mononychellus plandi (McGregor)) in greenhouse. Realizing that the plants An 13 (12) and the hybrids IAC OL4 x An 13 (1, 2, 3 and 4) were resisting the attack, all pots (parents and progenies) were no longer sprayed with acaricide. The female parent IAC OL4 (11) and plants of the progeny of the cross IAC OL4 x An 13 (5, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 10) considered as resulting from selfing suffered such a great pressure from mites that no longer developed and leaves began to yellow (Figs 5 and 6). While the female parent IAC OL4 (11) was attacked by mites, the male parent An 13 (12) and the plants IAC OL4 x An 13 (1, 2, 3 and 4), considered hybrids, continued their development normally (Fig 6). It was observed the presence of mites in hybrid plants, but at a much lower level compared to plants arising from selfing. By observations of plants in this attack, it was possible to identify resistance to mites in hybrids and amphidiploid An 13, and observe that hybrids have resistance that the IAC OL4 does not have.

Fig 5. Progeny of IAC OL4 x An 13 under attack by mites. The blue arrow indicates the plants resistant to mite, result from hybridization. The red arrow indicates the plants susceptible to mite, a result from selfing.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175940.g005

Fig 6. Parents and progeny of IAC OL4 x An 13 under attack by mites. The blue arrow represents the plants resistant to mite (An 13 and hybrid). The red arrow represents the plants susceptible to mite (IAC OL4 and selfed).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175940.g006
6. Conclusions

In the present study, sterile diploid hybrid was obtained from the cross between the accessions of *A. magna* V 13751 (genome B) and *A. kempff-mercadoi* V 13250 (genome A) showing resistance to thrips and rednecked peanutworm. The resultant hybrid was polyploidized to obtain an amphidiploid which was crossed with an elite cultivar of *A. hypogaea* and F₂ seeds were generated.

Using the reproductive, molecular and morphological characterizations, it was possible to distinguish hybrid plant individuals from selfing.

In the cross between *A. hypogaea* cv. IAC OL4 and amphidiploid An 13, the hybrid progeny had a pollen grain viability as high as that of parents. This prevented the use of reproductive traits for discriminating, in this case, the hybrids of individuals from selfing.

The 51 F₂ seeds produced by the hybrids IAC OL4 x An 13 will be used in breeding programs, and may confer resistance to thrips and rednecked peanutworm for the cultivated peanut.
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