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ABSTRACT

Introduction Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major public health problem, and it is estimated that 85% of TBIs are diagnosed as mild and are commonly referred to as a concussion. In adults, symptoms are expected to resolve within 10–14 days after the injury, but up to 15% of individuals continue to have symptoms beyond this period. Recent clinical recommendations suggest the use of physical activity (PA) as a therapy to manage persisting symptoms. However, the recommendations regarding PA lack clarity about important intervention parameters to help clinicians deliver the intervention. The objectives of this scoping review are thus to identify the characteristics, the measurement tools, the health-related outcomes and the reported effectiveness of PA-based interventions for adults with persisting symptoms of a mild TBI (mTBI).

Methods and analysis This scoping review protocol will follow Arksey and O’Malley’s six-step iterative process enhanced by another study and will be conducted by a team of researchers and clinical experts. Five databases (MEDLINE, CINAHL, PsycINFO, SPORTDiscuss and Embase), as well as Google, will be searched using an extensive search strategy to capture relevant scientific and grey literature. Articles will be selected if they report on an intervention designed to have an impact on health-related outcomes or participation among individuals having sustained an mTBI. A data extraction form based on the Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template and the Template for Intervention Description and Replication checklists will be created. Quantitative and qualitative data will be analysed accordingly, synthesised and collated in tables.

Ethics and dissemination This scoping review generates new knowledge from published and publicly available literature; thus, an ethical approval is unnecessary to conduct this research. Dissemination of the results will involve all team members in activities aimed to facilitate knowledge uptake among TBI rehabilitation clinical experts locally, nationally and internationally.

INTRODUCTION

Traumatic brain injury (TBI) is a major public health problem, and it is estimated that each year, more than 10 million individuals worldwide will experience a TBI that may result in either mortality or hospitalisation.1 Up to 85% of TBIs are diagnosed as mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI)2 and are commonly referred to as a concussion.3 A wide range of consequences may result from mTBI (eg, headaches, anxiety, difficulty concentrating, fatigue and sleep disturbances),4 which, in turn, may limit the individual’s activities, restrict their participation and decrease their quality of life.5

The symptoms of mTBI typically subside within 10–14 days after the injury in adults.4 An individual who fails to recover completely within this time frame is considered to have persisting symptoms,4 and it is likely that 26% of individuals who sustain an mTBI will have persisting symptoms beyond 3 months.67 Interventions aiming to reduce persisting physical and cognitive symptoms are critical in order to return to preinjury functioning.689 Clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) aim to improve the quality and decrease the
variability of healthcare services by providing clinical experts key evidence-based recommendations to implement within their practices. Based on the highest available evidence, CPGs for the management of adults with persisting symptoms of mTBI were developed to support clinical decision-making and improve rehabilitation outcomes. The Ontario Neurotrauma Foundation’s CPG for adults with persisting symptoms of mTBI and the CPG for military personnel with mTBI produced by the American Department of Defense and Veterans Affairs both recommend using physical activity (PA) as a therapy to alleviate mTBI-related symptoms (eg, headache, fatigue or sleep disturbances) and to improve mood, health status and exercise tolerance. These CPGs corroborate recommendations from the latest Consensus Statement on Concussion in Sport, which suggests including a symptom-limited, progressive exercise intervention for individuals who experience persisting symptoms (>1 month) after mTBI. Collectively, these recommendations promote the use of PA as an intervention that can help decrease and manage prolonged persistent symptoms of mTBI in adults.

These CPGs and consensus statements are fairly recent, but they lack specific information about how PA interventions should be delivered by service providers. Important PA intervention characteristics, such as frequency, intensity, time, type of exercise and progression patterns, are missing. This lack of clear parameters leaves clinicians using trial-and-error methods instead of an evidence-based approach. Indeed, the complexity and the lack of applicability of recommendations are CPG-related barriers to the implementation and use of evidence-based recommendations. Insufficient information about PA interventions leaves many clinical questions unanswered: Should the PA intervention be delivered in a group or individually? Should PA adherence be measured and, if yes, how? Service providers who apply recommendations from CPGs also require assessment tools to evaluate the health-related outcomes of PA interventions. For example, with the exception of the postconcussion symptoms scale in the CPGs, it is unclear which clinical tool should be used to measure the effectiveness of a PA intervention. Clear parameters that guide PA interventions may promote optimal dosage and type of planned PA in order to maximise benefits and accommodate individual preferences through different activities.

The primary objective of this scoping review is to identify characteristics of PA-based interventions available in the scientific and grey literature designed to improve health-related outcomes in adults with persistent symptoms of an mTBI and to report on the intervention’s effectiveness, if available. The secondary objectives are to document the health-related outcomes and the measurement tools related to PA interventions found in the literature. This information could help researchers and healthcare providers select appropriate outcomes and outcome measurement tools for future research or PA programme design and implementation.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS Protocol**

This scoping review will follow the six-step iterative framework of Arksey and O’Malley, which was later enhanced by Levac et al in 2010 to ensure structure and rigour during a thorough investigation of the scientific and grey literature. This work will be conducted by a team of two doctoral students who are supervised by two rehabilitation scientists and assisted by four clinical experts and administrators from a specialised mTBI rehabilitation programme (administrator, clinical coordinator, kinesiologist and physiotherapist). The multidisciplinary clinical team was involved in the design of the study and will participate in multiple key steps of this review as described below. This collaborative approach is a creative yet feasible way to involve clinical partners, as well as to ensure an accurate interpretation of the review results and their applicability in the clinical setting. To better report this scoping review protocol, the authors used the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Protocols reporting guidelines and the PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews for scoping review extension.

**Step 1: Identifying the research question**

A preliminary consultation with the clinical partners led to the development of an initial research question that was used as a starting point to guide the development of the search strategy: *What are the characteristics and health-related outcomes of PA interventions designed for individuals with mTBI?* In the context of this review, we define PA based on a combination of two definitions. The World Health Organization (2018) defines PA as ‘any bodily movement produced by skeletal muscles that requires energy expenditure’, and the 2007 Oxford dictionary’s definition adds, ‘Any form of body movement that has a significant metabolic demand. Thus, PAs include training for and participation in athletic competitions, the performance of strenuous occupations, doing household chores, and non-sporting leisure activities that involve physical effort’. This definition would refer to different types of activities involving a physical effort, ranging from recreation activities, such as walking slowly, to high-intensity aerobic training. Knitting in a chair would not be considered a PA in the scoping review. The research question is subject to change during the process as new questions and reflections might emerge during each iterative step of the scoping review.

**Step 2: Identifying relevant studies**

Literature will be selected if it reports on a PA-based intervention, provided in any setting (eg, inpatient or outpatient rehabilitation) or in the community, designed to impact on health-related (physical, mental or psychosocial) outcomes or participation in individuals of all ages having sustained any severity of TBI. However, at least one participant in the study sample must have sustained an mTBI. Moreover, the intervention may target persons
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with all types of injury severity, but it must be pertinent for persons with mTBI. For example, interventions such as 
constraint-induced movement therapy is indicated for
an individual with a motor impaired upper extremity and
not particularly for someone with an mTBI. Consequently, 
articles on this intervention would be excluded. Articles
with participants of all ages will be included because
the age range of subjects in paediatric studies can
include teenagers, which might overlap with young adults.

PA interventions are used in many fields of research
(i.e., rehabilitation sciences, education, psychology and
exercise sciences) and thus can be presented in many
formats. Consequently, the literature search will cover
published and unpublished literature (grey literature),
including original research, theses and books. A broad
yet feasible range of five databases (MEDLINE, CINAHL,
PsycINFO, SPORTDiscuss and Embase) will be accessed
using an extensive search strategy validated by a special-
ised university librarian. Keywords related to TBI and PA
corresponding to subject headings (or Medical Subject
Headings) will be included in the search strategy (e.g., Brain
Injuries, Traumatic, Brain Concussion, Exercise Therapy and
Exercise). Other relevant keywords will be added to the
search strategy to enhance the strategy and will be used to
search titles, abstracts and subjects of references contained
in the databases (e.g., mild traumatic brain injury, physical
fitness and motor activity). The search strategy is provided
in the supplementary file (online supplementary file 1).

Through an iterative and concerted process, analysis of
the search results and retrieved articles will guide the
refinement of the search strategy to achieve a balance
between feasibility and breadth. Opinion articles, posters,
oral presentations and abstracts from conferences will
be excluded because they may lack explicit information
about reported interventions. Systematic reviews will be
excluded, but their reference lists will be examined by the
authors to ensure relevant articles are retained. Animal
model studies will also be excluded due to their lack of
applicability to human study contexts.

The grey literature search will be conducted on Google
using a modified version of the final search strategy to find
TBI-related PA interventions described in other formats,
such as PDF documents, books and websites in the first
10 pages of results (approximately 100 results). Moreover,
a hand search of reference lists of all selected docu-
ments will be performed to ensure that all key studies are
captured. Each added reference to the initial search will
be documented and will be reported in a PRISMA flow-
chart created for this study.22 Languages will be restricted
to both French and English, as authors are fluent in both
languages. Searches will be limited to published litera-
ture after 1990, when recommendations about PA were
first established.23 Results will be managed using refer-
ence manager software (EndNote), and duplicates will be
removed before selection.

Step 3: Study selection

Study selection will be conducted by two independent
reviewers in two subsequent phases: (1) abstract and
title review and (2) full-text review. Based on initial eligi-
bility criteria, the reviewers will start to examine/discuss
a random sample of 100 retrieved references to deter-
mine whether the article should be considered, rejected
or if they are unsure. Inter-rater reliability (IRR) will
be computed with a three-level kappa (κ) statistic. As needed,
the eligibility criteria will be discussed by the researchers
and modified for more clarity. This initial selection process
will be repeated with a sample of 300–500 references until
the agreement between the two reviewers reaches a mean
κ >0.75 (κ >0.75=excellent agreement).24 When acceptable
agreement is achieved, the reviewers will independently
assess the remaining articles. They will also meet at the
midpoint and endpoint of remaining articles to discuss
any changes, thoughts or needs for clarification. The full-
text review phase will follow the same rigorous method
in order to determine IRR. This time, 10–20 articles will
be randomly selected and cross-examined by the same
two reviewers, and then re-examined independently until
they reach excellent agreement or a mean κ >0.75. If a
disagreement cannot be resolved through consensus in
any of the two phases, a third independent reviewer will
be consulted. Reasons for excluded articles during the
second phase will be reported in the PRISMA flowchart.
As the selection unfolds, criteria can be refined or clar-
ified if needed, and if a criterion is modified at a later
stage of the article selection, authors will ensure that the
previous steps will comply with the change and report the
changes in the PRISMA flowchart.

Step 4: Charting the data

A preliminary data extraction form will be created in
an Excel spreadsheet based on the combination of the
12-item Template for Intervention Description and Repli-
cation Checklist (TIDieR) and the 16-item Consensus
on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT) checklist.25 26
Both checklists were systematically developed to improve
the quality of reporting interventions in rehabilitation
sciences. However, the CERT includes specific key items
to better report an exercise programme (e.g., motiva-
tion strategies, decision rules for determining exercise
progression and decision rules to describe the starting
level). Descriptive quantitative data about the number,
the age and the gender of participants with an mTBI
included in each article will be extracted. More qualita-
tive information related to each item of the extraction
form will be extracted from each selected article. For
example, all information related to the type of exercise
equipment (CERT item 1), a home programme (CERT
item 8), description of the exercise intervention (CERT
item 13), the setting in which the exercises are performed
(CERT item 12) or about the extent to which the inter-
vention was delivered as planned (CERT item 16) will be
extracted. If no information was provided about a specific
item in an article, it will also be noted and compiled.
CERT was designed to be used in conjunction with the TIDieR checklist. Due to the overlap of items from both checklist information, only two items from the TIDieR will be included in the data extraction form (item 1: name of the intervention and item 2: rationale, theory or goals of the intervention).

The extraction form will also consist of other categories including, but not limited to, primary and secondary outcomes, measurement tools and effectiveness. The clinical partners will validate this extraction form during a second consultation, and additional categories may be included during the iterative process if deemed appropriate by the team.

Data will be extracted from the selected articles and tabulated by two independent reviewers. A sample of five studies will be extracted by each reviewer and then compared during a work session to ensure compatibility between extraction methods and to enhance the extraction form, with new or more precise categories if needed. The extraction team will repeat this process until the extractors/reviewers agree that they consistently assess and extract information from each article in a compatible way. Then, reviewers will meet regularly (eg, every 10–20 articles) to address any challenges and to ensure concordance with their reporting methods.

**Step 5: Collating, summarising and reporting the results**

Analyses of the quantitative and qualitative data will be performed by the researchers. Quantitative data such as numerical descriptive characteristics of PA interventions (eg, year of publication, age and number of mTBI individuals in the study, number of interventions using motivation strategies) will be summarised in tables. In addition, selected articles reporting on PA interventions will be carefully assessed with the CERT checklist assessment form. Each of the checklist’s 16 items will be categorised as yes if the information was provided or no if the information is missing. Following a similar process for reliability, two independent reviewers will assess a small subset of articles and will compare their results. Discrepancies in assessment will be resolved through discussion, and this step will be repeated until reviewers reach an excellent IRR of ≥0.75. Then, the first author will assess the remaining articles. Qualitative data will be synthesised and collated in tables. Quantitative results may be presented graphically (eg, number of PA interventions per study per year and percentage of types of interventions) and qualitative results may be presented narratively and/or in tables. The different PA characteristics and key PA principles will be summarised and reported in multiple matrices. Outcome constructs and measurement tools will be reported and summarised in tables. Measurement tools used in the different studies/articles will also be aggregated into categories and summarised in tables.

**Step 6: Consultation with stakeholders**

The clinical experts mentioned above will be consulted throughout the review process (ie, prior to the development of the study to define the research question, and while designing the research protocol to validate and possibly enhance the data extraction form). Consultation will also occur at the end of the review to assist with the interpretation of the results in order to improve their clinical relevance and to determine the best ways to mobilise the knowledge generated by the review.

**Patient and public involvement**

Patients and the public will not be involved in this scoping review.

**ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND DISSEMINATION**

A scoping review generates new knowledge from published and publicly available literature and does not involve human participants. Therefore, a research ethics board approval is unnecessary to conduct this research. Although our clinical partners will be involved in multiple steps of the study, they are primarily involved as expert consultants, and their input may deepen the understanding and enhance the scope of the results. Members of the group will work together during work sessions to co-create a final document that will be used to help disseminate the results of this review to other clinicians working in mTBI rehabilitation. Dissemination of the results will involve all team members through regional, national and international scientific and clinical activities and conferences, the publication of a manuscript, and other activities aimed to generate awareness and increase knowledge uptake of mTBI rehabilitation clinical experts.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of this scoping review will provide detailed information about the state of the existing literature regarding the important characteristics, intervention parameters and tools to measure health-related outcomes of PA-based interventions designed for adults with persistent symptoms of mTBI. These results may assist clinical experts with the use of PA in the management of adults with mTBI and may ultimately improve patient outcomes. Moreover, the results of this scoping review will inform researchers about the effectiveness of multiple PA parameters, which may be further investigated in a systematic review.
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