ITERATING THE CUNTZ–NICA–PIMSNER CONSTRUCTION FOR COMPACTLY ALIGNED PRODUCT SYSTEMS
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Abstract. In this article we study how decompositions of a quasi-lattice ordered group $(G, P)$ relate to decompositions of the Nica–Toeplitz algebra $\mathcal{N}T_X$ and Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra $\mathcal{N}O_X$ of a compactly aligned product system $X$ over $P$. In particular, we are interested in the situation where $(G, P)$ may be realised as the semidirect product of quasi-lattice ordered groups. Our results generalise Deaconu’s work on iterated Toeplitz and Cuntz–Pimsner algebras — we show that the Nica–Toeplitz algebra and Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of a compactly aligned product system over $\mathbb{N}^k$ may be realised as $k$-times iterated Toeplitz and Cuntz–Pimsner algebras respectively.

1. Introduction

In [10], Fowler introduced product systems of Hilbert bimodules as a generalisation of the continuous product systems of Hilbert spaces studied by Arveson [1] and the discrete product systems studied by Dinh [6]. Loosely speaking, a product system of Hilbert $A$-bimodules over a unital semigroup $P$ is a semigroup $X = \bigsqcup_{p \in P} X_p$, such that each $X_p$ is a Hilbert $A$-bimodule, and the map $x \otimes_A y \mapsto xy$ extends to an isomorphism from $X_p \otimes_A X_q$ to $X_{pq}$ for each $p, q \in P \setminus \{e\}$.

Motivated by the work of Nica [20] and Laca and Raeburn on Toeplitz algebras associated to non-abelian groups [16], Fowler focused on product systems over quasi-lattice ordered groups that satisfied a condition he called compact alignment. Fowler then studied representations of such product systems satisfying a constraint called Nica covariance. Results of [10] show how to associate to each compactly aligned product system $X$ a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{N}T_X$, generated by a universal Nica covariant representation, which we call the Nica–Toeplitz algebra of $X$. In the same article, the faithful representations of Nica–Toeplitz algebras are characterised [10, Theorem 7.2], generalising both Laca and Raeburn’s uniqueness theorem for Toeplitz algebras of quasi-lattice ordered groups [16, Theorem 3.7], and Fowler and Raeburn’s uniqueness theorem for Toeplitz algebras of Hilbert bimodules [13, Theorem 2.1]. Subsequently, it was shown in [9, Theorem 3.2], that the hypothesis present in [10, Theorem 7.2] of each fibre being (left) nondegenerate is not necessary.

Fowler also proposed a notion of Cuntz–Pimsner covariance for representations of a compactly aligned product system over a quasi-lattice ordered group $(G, P)$, and an associated universal $C^*$-algebra, denoted by $\mathcal{O}_X$, which generalises the Cuntz–Pimsner algebra.
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associated to a single Hilbert bimodules \[14, 21\]. In general, Fowler’s Cuntz–Pimsner algebra need not contain a faithful copy of \(A\), and even when it does, examples in the appendix of \[25\] show that a representation of \(O_X\) that is faithful on the copy of \(A\) need not be faithful on the generalised fixed point algebra \(O_\nu X\) (where \(\nu\) is the canonical gauge coaction of \(G\) on \(O_X\)). Furthermore, in contrast with the Cuntz–Pimsner algebras associated to Hilbert bimodules by both Pimsner \[21\] and Katsura \[14\], Fowler’s Cuntz–Pimsner algebra need not be a quotient of \(NT_X\).

To overcome these issues, Sims and Yeend defined a \(C^*\)-algebra \(NO_X\) generated by a universal Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation of \(X\) \[29\]. They showed that \(NO_X\) coincides with Kastura’s Cuntz–Pimsner algebra when \(P = N\), and coincides with Fowler’s \(O_X\) whenever \(A\) acts faithfully and compactly on each \(X_p\) and each pair of elements in \(P\) has a common upper bound. Furthermore, Sims and Yeend showed that their Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras generalise the Cuntz–Krieger algebras associated to finitely aligned higher-rank graphs \[25\], and the boundary quotients of Toeplitz algebras associated to quasi-lattice ordered groups studied by Crisp and Laca \[4\]. By viewing \(NO_X\) as a co-universal algebra, Carlsen, Larsen, Sims, and Vittadello later proved a gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras \[3\].

The object of this article is to study how the \(C^*\)-algebras \(NT_X\) and \(NO_X\) associated to a compactly aligned product system \(X\) over a quasi-lattice ordered group \((G, P)\) decompose given a decomposition of \((G, P)\). In particular, we show how a decomposition of the underlying quasi-lattice group as a semidirect product of quasi-lattice ordered groups gives a decomposition of the associated \(C^*\)-algebras.

In \[5\], Deaconu investigated what he called iterated Toeplitz and Cuntz–Pimsner algebras. Unfortunately, some of Deaconu’s proofs lack detail, and it is not clear which of his various hypotheses are necessary to make the procedure work. Indeed, our original motivation for this article was to verify and better understand the arguments in \[5\]. The results in this article show that Deaconu’s iterative procedure can be extended to quasi-lattice ordered groups that are more general than \((\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{N}^2)\). Applying this iterative procedure to compactly aligned product systems over \(N^k\) immediately enables us to extend many of Katsura’s results from \[14\] to Nica–Toeplitz and Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras. In the future, it would be interesting to see if this iterative procedure can be combined with the Pimsner–Voiculescu exact sequence \[14\] Theorem 8.6] to describe the \(K\)-theory of Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras associated to product systems over \(N^k\) (we are particularly interested in the situation where the product system comes from a higher-rank graph).

In Section 2 we review the necessary background material for product systems and their associated \(C^*\)-algebras. We begin by recapitulating the definitions of product systems, representations, compact alignment, and Nica covariance. Next we present the definition of Cuntz–Pimsner covariance developed by Sims and Yeend \[29\] and show how it relates to Fowler’s notion of Cuntz–Pimsner covariance in \[12\]. We also summarise the key results from the literature that we will make use of throughout the article.

In Section 3 we examine the Nica–Toeplitz algebra associated to a compactly aligned product system \(Z\) over a quasi-lattice ordered group of the form \((G \rtimes_o H, P \rtimes_o Q)\), where \((G, P)\) and \((H, Q)\) are themselves quasi-lattice ordered groups. If we let \(X\) be the product system corresponding to the fibres of \(Z\) associated to the semigroup \(P\), then our main result (Theorem 4.17) shows that there exists a product system \(Y^{NT}\) over \((H, Q)\), whose coefficient algebra is the Nica–Toeplitz algebra of \(X\), such that the Nica–Toeplitz algebras of \(Y^{NT}\) and \(Z\) coincide. This result generalises \[5\] Lemma 4.1].
In Section 5 we extend the results from Section 4 to Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras associated to product systems. Our main result (Theorem 5.20) shows that there exists a product system $Y^N_H \circlearrowright (H, Q)$, whose coefficient algebra is $\mathcal{NO}_X$, such that the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras of $Y^N_H$ and $Z$ coincide. This result generalises the second part of [5, Lemma 4.2]. The main difficulty in establishing Theorem 5.20 is finding sufficient conditions to ensure that $\mathcal{NO}_X$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Y^N_H$, which makes the Cuntz–Pimsner covariance relation in $\mathcal{NO}_{Y^N_H}$ tractable. We deal with this difficulty in Proposition 5.12.

In Section 6 we finish by examining what we call relative Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras. In particular, we consider the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of the product system defined in Section 4, as well as the Nica–Toeplitz algebra of the product system defined in Section 5. The main result of Section 6 (Theorem 6.10) generalises the first part of [5, Lemma 4.2].

2. Preliminaries

The majority of background that we will require can be found in [10, 17, 29].

2.1. Hilbert bimodules. Let $A$ be a $C^*$-algebra. A (right) inner-product $A$-module is a complex vector space $X$ equipped with a right action of $A$, and a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_A : X \times X \to A$, (complex) linear in its second argument, satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $\langle x, y \rangle_A = \langle y, x \rangle_A^*$;
(ii) $\langle x, y \cdot a \rangle_A = \langle x, y \rangle_A a$;
(iii) $\langle x, x \rangle_A \geq 0$ in $A$; and
(iv) $\langle x, x \rangle_A = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

for any $x, y \in X$ and $a \in A$. The formula $\|x\|_X := \|\langle x, x \rangle_A\|_A^{1/2}$ defines a norm on $X$ by [17, Proposition 1.1], and we say that $X$ is a (right) Hilbert $A$-module if $X$ is complete with respect to this norm.

Let $X$ be a (right) Hilbert $A$-module. We say that a map $T : X \to X$ is adjointable if there exists a map $T^* : X \to X$ such that $\langle Tx, y \rangle_A = \langle x, T^* y \rangle_A$ for each $x, y \in X$. Adjointable operators are automatically linear and continuous, and have a unique adjoint. Equipping the collection of adjointable operators on $X$, which we denote by $\mathcal{L}_A(X)$, with the operator norm gives it the structure of a $C^*$-algebra. For each $x, y \in X$ there is an adjointable operator $\Theta_{x,y}$ defined by $\Theta_{x,y}(z) := x \cdot \langle y, z \rangle_A$. We call such operators generalised rank one operators. The closed subspace $\mathcal{K}_A(X) := \text{span} \{\Theta_{x,y} : x, y \in X\}$, elements of which we call generalised compact operators, forms an essential ideal of $\mathcal{L}_A(X)$.

A (right) Hilbert $B$–$A$-bimodule is a (right) Hilbert $A$-module $X$ equipped with a left action of $B$ by adjointable operators, i.e. there exists a homomorphism $\phi : B \to \mathcal{L}_A(X)$. To simplify notation, we will often write $b \cdot x$ for $\phi(b)(x)$. When $A = B$, we say that $X$ is a Hilbert $A$-bimodule. Since each $\phi(b)$ is by definition adjointable, and so $A$-linear, we have that $b \cdot (x \cdot a) = (b \cdot x) \cdot a$ for each $a \in A$, $b \in B$, and $x \in X$.

A particularly simple (and important) example occurs when $X = A$. Letting $A$ act on $X$ by left and right multiplication, and equipping $X$ with the $A$-valued inner product $\langle a, b \rangle_A := a^* b$, gives a Hilbert $A$-bimodule, which we denote by $A \text{--} A_A$. The map $\Theta_{a,b} \mapsto ab^*$ extends to an isomorphism from $\mathcal{K}_A(A \text{--} A_A)$ to $A$, whilst $\mathcal{L}_A(A \text{--} A_A)$ is isomorphic to the multiplier algebra of $A$, which we denote by $\mathcal{M}(A)$.  


Every Hilbert $A$-module $X$ is nondegenerate in the sense that $\text{span}\{x \cdot a : x \in X, a \in A\}$ is dense in $X$. In particular, the Hewitt–Cohen–Blanchard factorisation theorem [26, Proposition 2.31] says that for each $x \in X$, there exists a unique $x' \in X$ such that $x = x' \cdot (x', x')_A$. In general, a Hilbert $A$-bimodule need not be left nondegenerate in the sense that $X = \overline{\text{span}\{a \cdot x : x \in X, a \in A\}}$.

We form the balanced tensor product of two Hilbert $A$-bimodules $X$ and $Y$ as follows. We let $X \otimes Y$ denote the algebraic tensor product of $X$ and $Y$ as complex vector spaces, and write $X \otimes_A Y$ for the quotient by $\text{span}\{x \cdot a \otimes y - x \otimes a \cdot y : x \in X, y \in Y, a \in A\}$ (we write $x \otimes_A y$ for the coset containing $x \otimes y$). The formula $\langle x \otimes_A y, w \otimes_A z \rangle_A := \langle y, (x, w)_A \cdot z \rangle_A$, determines a bounded $A$-valued sesquilinear form on $X \otimes_A Y$. If we let $N$ be the subspace $\text{span}\{n \in X \otimes_A Y : \langle n, n \rangle_A = 0\}$, then the formula $\|z + N\| := \inf_{n \in N} \|\langle z + n, z + n \rangle_A\|^{1/2}$ gives a norm on $(X \otimes_A Y)/N$. We define the balanced tensor of $X$ and $Y$, which we denote by $X \otimes_A Y$, to be the completion of $(X \otimes_A Y)/N$ with respect to this norm.

Given Hilbert $A$-bimodules $X$ and $Y$ and an adjointable operator $S \in \mathcal{L}_A(X)$, the formula $x \otimes_A y \mapsto (Sx) \otimes_A y$ extends to a map on all of $X \otimes_A Y$, which we denote by $S \otimes_A Y$. It is straightforward to check that $S \otimes_A Y$ is adjointable, with adjoint $S^* \otimes_A Y$.

Given a Hilbert $B$–$A$-bimodule $X$, the theory of induced representations enables us to convert representations of $A$ into representations of $B$. If $\pi : A \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a nondegenerate representation of $A$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, then [26, Proposition 2.66] gives a representation $X\text{-Ind}_A^B \pi : B \to \mathcal{B}(X \otimes_A \mathcal{H})$ such that $(X\text{-Ind}_A^B \pi) (b) (x \otimes_A h) = (b \cdot x) \otimes_A h$ for each $b \in B$, $x \in X$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}$.

2.2. Product systems of Hilbert bimodules and quasi-lattice ordered groups.

Let $P$ be a semigroup with identity $e$, and $A$ a $C^*$-algebra. A product system over $P$ with coefficient algebra $A$ is a semigroup $X = \bigsqcup_{p \in P} X_p$ such that:

(i) for each $p \in P$, $X_p \subseteq X$ is a Hilbert $A$-bimodule;
(ii) $X_e$ is equal to the Hilbert $A$-bimodule $A A_A$;
(iii) for each $p, q \in P \setminus \{e\}$, there exists a Hilbert $A$-bimodule isomorphism $M^X_{p,q} : X_p \otimes_A X_q \to X_{pq}$ satisfying $M^X_{p,q}(x \otimes_A y) = xy$ for each $x \in X_p$ and $y \in X_q$;
(iv) multiplication in $X$ by elements of $X_e = A$ implements the left and right actions of $A$ on each $X_p$; that is $xa = x \cdot a$ and $ax = a \cdot x$ for each $p \in P$, $a \in A$, and $x \in X_p$.

We write $\phi_p : A \to \mathcal{L}_A(X_p)$ for the homomorphism that implements the left action of $A$ on $X_p$, i.e. $\phi_p(a)(x) = a \cdot x = ax$ for each $p \in P$, $a \in A$, and $x \in X_p$. Multiplication in $X$ is associative since $X$ is a semigroup. Hence, $\phi_p(a)(\phi_q(x)) = (\phi_p(a))x$ for all $p, q, x \in P$, $a \in A$, $x \in X_p$, and $y \in X_q$. We also write $(\cdot, \cdot)_A^p$ for the $A$-valued inner-product on $X_p$.

By properties (ii) and (iv), for each $p \in P$ there exists an $A$-linear inner-product preserving map $M^X_{p,e} : X_p \otimes_A X_e \to X_p$ and $M^X_{e,p} : X_e \otimes_A X_p \to X_p$ such that $M^A_{p,e}(x \otimes_A a) = xa = x \cdot a$ and $M^X_{e,p}(a \otimes_A x) = ax = a \cdot x$ for each $a \in X_e = A$ and $x \in X_p$. Each $M^A_{p,e}$ is surjective by the Hewitt–Cohen–Blanchard factorisation theorem, and so an $A$-bimodule isomorphism. On the other hand, the maps $M_{e,p}$ need not be surjective, since we do not require that each $X_p$ is (left) nondegenerate.

For each $p \in P \setminus \{e\}$ and $q \in P$, we define a homomorphism $\nu^p_q : \mathcal{L}_A(X_p) \to \mathcal{L}_A(X_{pq})$ by $\nu^p_q(S) := M^X_{p,q} \circ (S \otimes_A \text{id}_{X_q}) \circ (M^X_{p,q})^{-1}$ for each $S \in \mathcal{L}_A(X_p)$. Equivalently, $\nu^p_q$ is characterised by the formula $\nu^p_q(S)(xy) = (Sx)y$ for each $S \in \mathcal{L}_A(X_p)$, $x \in X_p$, $y \in X_q$.
Since $X_{e} \otimes A X_{q}$ need not be isomorphic to $X_{q}$, we cannot necessarily define a map from $\mathcal{L}_{A}(X_{e})$ to $\mathcal{L}_{A}(X_{q})$ using the above procedure. However, as $\mathcal{K}_{A}(X_{e}) = \mathcal{K}_{A}(A A_{A}) \cong A$, we can define $\iota_{q}^{p} : \mathcal{K}_{A}(X_{e}) \to \mathcal{L}_{A}(X_{q})$ by $\iota_{q}^{p}(a) := \phi_{q}(a)$. For notational purposes, we define $\iota_{q} : \mathcal{L}_{A}(X_{p}) \to \mathcal{L}_{A}(X_{r})$ to be the zero map whenever $p, r \in P$ and $r \neq pq$ for all $q \in P$. It is also useful to know what happens when we compose these homomorphisms: routine calculations show that for any $p, q, r \in P$, we have $\iota_{pq}^{pr} \circ \iota_{p}^{q} = \iota_{pq}^{pr}$.

A quasi-lattice ordered group $(G, P)$ consists of a group $G$ and a subsemigroup $P$ of $G$ such that $P \cap P^{-1} = \{ e \}$, and with respect to the partial order on $G$ induced by $p \leq q \iff p^{-1}q \in P$, any two elements $p, q \in G$ which have a common upper bound in $P$ have a least common upper bound in $P$. It is straightforward to show that if two elements in $G$ have a least common upper bound in $P$, then this least common upper bound is unique. If it exists, we write $p \lor q$ for the least common upper bound of $p, q \in G$. For $p, q \in G$, we write $p \lor q = \infty$ if $p$ and $q$ have no common upper bound in $P$, and $p \lor q < \infty$ otherwise. We say that $(G, P)$ is directed if $p \lor q < \infty$ for every $p, q \in P$.

Let $(G, P)$ be a quasi-lattice ordered group and $X$ a product system over $P$ with coefficient algebra $A$. We say that $X$ is compactly aligned if $\iota_{pq}^{pr}(S) \iota_{pq}^{r}(T) \in \mathcal{K}_{A}(X_{pq})$ whenever $S \in \mathcal{K}_{A}(X_{p})$ and $T \in \mathcal{K}_{A}(X_{p})$ for some $p, q \in P$ with $p \lor q < \infty$. It is important to note that this condition does not imply that either $\iota_{pq}^{r}(S)$ or $\iota_{pq}^{q}(T)$ is compact.

2.3. Representations of compactly aligned product systems, Nica covariance, and the Nica–Toeplitz algebra. Let $(G, P)$ be a quasi-lattice ordered group and $X$ a compactly aligned product system over $P$ with coefficient algebra $A$. A representation of $X$ in a $C^*$-algebra $B$ is a map $\psi : X \to B$ satisfying the following relations:

- (T1) each $\psi_{p} := \psi|_{X_{p}}$ is a linear map, and $\psi_{e}$ is a homomorphism;
- (T2) $\psi_{p}(x)\psi_{q}(y) = \psi_{pq}(xy)$ for all $p, q \in P$ and $x \in X_{p}, y \in X_{q}$; and
- (T3) $\psi_{p}(x)\ast \psi_{p}(y) = \psi_{p}(x,y)_{A}$ for all $p \in P$ and $x, y \in X_{p}$.

Relations (T1) and (T3) show that a representation $\psi$ is always norm-decreasing, and isometric if and only $\psi_{e}$ is injective. Furthermore, by [22, Proposition 8.11] there exists a homomorphism $\psi^{(p)} : \mathcal{K}_{A}(X_{p}) \to B$ for each $p \in P$, such that $\psi^{(p)}(\Theta_{x,y}) = \psi_{p}(x)\psi_{p}(y)_{A}$ for all $x, y \in X_{p}$.

A representation $\psi : X \to B$ is said to be Nica covariant if, for any $p, q \in P$ and $S \in \mathcal{K}_{A}(X_{p}), T \in \mathcal{K}_{A}(X_{q})$, we have

$$
\psi^{(p)}(S)\psi^{(q)}(T) = \begin{cases} 
\psi^{(pq)} \left( \iota_{pq}^{r}(S) \iota_{pq}^{q}(T) \right) & \text{if } p \lor q < \infty \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

Making use of the Hewitt–Cohen–Blanchard factorisation theorem, it can be shown that $\psi_{p}(X_{p})^{*}\psi_{q}(X_{q}) \subseteq \text{span}\{\psi_{p-i(pq)}(X_{p-i(pq)})\psi_{q-i(pq)}(X_{q-i(pq)})^{*} : i \} \}^{*}$ if $p \lor q < \infty$, and is $\{0\}$ otherwise.

Theorem 2.10 of [18] gives the existence of a $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{N}T_{X}$ and a Nica covariant representation $i_{X} : X \to \mathcal{N}T_{X}$, that are universal in the following sense:

(i) the image of $i_{X}$ generates $\mathcal{N}T_{X}$; and

(ii) given any other Nica covariant representation $\psi : X \to B$, there exists a homomorphism $\psi_{e} : \mathcal{N}T_{X} \to B$ such that $\psi_{e} \circ i_{X} = \psi$.

We call $\mathcal{N}T_{X}$ the Nica–Toeplitz algebra of $X$. Since $i_{X}$ generates $\mathcal{N}T_{X}$, it follows that $\mathcal{N}T_{X} = \text{span}\{i_{X}(x)i_{X}(y)^{*} : x, y \in X\}$. 


Theorem 3.2 of \cite{9} characterises the faithful representations of Nica–Toeplitz algebras. Suppose that \((G, P)\) is a quasi-lattice ordered group with \(G\) amenable, \(X\) is a compactly aligned product system over \(P\) with coefficient algebra \(A\), and \(\psi : X \to B(H)\) is a Nica covariant representation of \(X\) on a Hilbert space \(H\). Then the induced homomorphism \(\psi_* : \mathcal{NT}_X \to B(H)\) is faithful provided for any finite set \(K \subseteq P \setminus \{e\}\), the representation \(A \ni a \mapsto \psi_*(a) \prod_{i \in K} (\text{id}_H - \text{proj}_{\psi_*(x_i)H}) \in B(H)\) is faithful.

Given a compactly aligned product system \(X\), we let \(\mathcal{F}_X := \bigoplus_{p \in P} X_p\) denote the space of sequences \((x_p)_{p \in P}\) such that \(x_p \in X_p\) for all \(p \in P\) and \(\sum_{p \in P} \langle x_p, x_p \rangle_A\) converges in \(A\). Proposition 1.1 of \cite{17} shows that there exists a well-defined \(A\)-valued inner product on \(\mathcal{F}_X\) such that \(\langle (x_p)_{p \in P}, (y_p)_{p \in P} \rangle_A = \sum_{p \in P} \langle x_p, y_p \rangle_A\), and that \(\mathcal{F}_X\) is complete with respect to the induced norm. Letting \(A\) act pointwise from the left and right gives \(\mathcal{F}_X\) the structure of a Hilbert \(A\)-bimodule, which we call the Fock space of \(X\). Lemma 5.3 of \cite{10} then shows that there exists an isometric Nica covariant representation \(l : X \to \mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{F}_X)\) such that \(l_p(x)(y_q)_{q \in P} = (x y_q)_{q \in P}\) for each \(p \in P\), \(x \in X_p\), and \((y_q)_{q \in P} \in \mathcal{F}_X\). We call \(l\) the Fock representation of \(X\).

2.4. Cuntz–Pimsner covariance and the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra. The notion of Cuntz–Pimsner covariance for representations of compactly aligned product systems introduced by Sims and Yeend in \cite{29} is somewhat complicated and requires some preliminary definitions to formulate. We set \(x_e := A\) and \(I_p := \bigcap_{e < q \leq p} \ker(\phi_q)\) for each \(p \in P \setminus \{e\}\). For each \(p \in P\) we define the Hilbert \(A\)-bimodule \(\tilde{X}_p := \bigoplus_{q \leq p} X_q \cdot I_{q^p-1}, \pmb{p}
\)where \(X_q : I_{q^p-1} = \{x : a \cdot x \in X_q, a \in I_{p^q-1}\}\). For each \(p \in P\), we write \(\tilde{\phi}_p : A \to \mathcal{L}_A(\tilde{X}_p)\) for the homomorphism defined by \((\tilde{\phi}_p(a)(x))_q := \phi_q(a)(x)\) for each \(a \in A\), \(x \in \tilde{X}_p\), and \(q \leq p\).

Whilst the collection of bimodules \(\{\tilde{X}_p : p \in P\}\) resembles a product system, we warn that in general \(\tilde{X}_p \otimes_A \tilde{X}_q\) need not be isomorphic to \(\tilde{X}_{pq}\). However, for each \(p, q \in P\) with \(p \neq e\), we still have a homomorphism \(\tilde{\gamma}_p^q : \mathcal{L}_A(\tilde{X}_p) \to \mathcal{L}_A(\tilde{X}_q)\) characterised by the formula \((\tilde{\gamma}_p^q(S)(x))_r = (\gamma_p^q(S)(x))_r\) for each \(x \in \tilde{X}_p\) and \(r \leq q\). Additionally, after identifying \(\mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{X}_e)\) with \(A\), we define \(\tilde{\gamma}_e^q : \mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{X}_e) \to \mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{X}_q)\) to be \(\tilde{\phi}_q\) for each \(q \in P\).

To formulate the Cuntz–Pimsner covariance condition, we require another definition. Given a quasi-lattice ordered group \((G, P)\), we say that predicate statement \(P(s)\) (where \(s \in P\)) is true for large \(s\) if, given any \(p \in P\), there exists \(q \geq p\), such that \(P(s)\) is true whenever \(s \geq q\).

Finally, we are ready to present the definition of Cuntz–Pimsner covariance originally formulated by Sims and Yeend \cite{29} Definition 3.9]. We give a definition only in the situation that all of the homomorphisms \(\tilde{\phi}_p : A \to \mathcal{L}_A(\tilde{X}_p)\) are injective. We say that a representation \(\psi : X \to B\) is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant if, for any finite set \(F \subseteq P\) and any choice of compact operators \(\{T_p \in \mathcal{K}_A(\tilde{X}_p) : p \in F\}\), we have that
\[
\sum_{p \in F} \tilde{\gamma}_p^s(T_p) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A(\tilde{X}_s) \quad \text{for large } s \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{p \in F} \psi^{(p)}(T_p) = 0.
\]

We say that a representation is Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant if it is both Nica covariant and Cuntz–Pimsner covariant.

In Proposition 3.12 of \cite{29} it is shown that for each compactly aligned product system \(X\) (with each \(\tilde{\phi}_p : A \to \mathcal{L}_A(\tilde{X}_p)\) injective), there exists a C*-algebra \(\mathcal{NO}_X\), which we call the
Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of $X$, and a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation $j_X : X \to \mathcal{NO}_X$, that are universal in the following sense

(i) $\mathcal{NO}_X$ is generated by the image of $j_X$;
(ii) $\psi : X \to B$ is any other Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation of $X$, then there exists a homomorphism $\Pi \psi : \mathcal{NO}_X \to B$ such that $\Pi \psi \circ j_X = \psi$.

It follows that $\mathcal{NO}_X$ is a quotient of $\mathcal{NT}_X$. We write $q_X : \mathcal{NT}_X \to \mathcal{NO}_X$ for the quotient homomorphism, which is characterised by $q_X \circ i_X = j_X$. In [29, Theorem 4.1], it is shown that the universal Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation $j_X$ is always isometric.

Lemma 3.15 of [29] shows that the requirement that each of the homomorphisms $\phi_p$ is injective is automatic, provided either

(i) each $\phi_p$ is injective; or
(ii) every nonempty bounded subset of $P$ has a maximal element in the following sense:

If $S \subseteq P$ is nonempty and there exists $q \in P$ such that $p \leq q$ for all $p \in S$, then there exists $p \in S$ such that $p \not\preceq p'$ for all $p' \in S \setminus \{p\}$.

In the situation where each $\phi_p$ is injective, the Hilbert $A$-bimodules $\tilde{X}_p$ and $X_p$ are isomorphic. Moreover, this isomorphism intertwines $\phi_p$ and $\tilde{\phi}_p$, as well as $i_p^*$ and $i_p^{**}$. In this situation a representation $\psi : X \to B$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant provided, whenever $F \subseteq P$ is finite and $\{T_p \in \mathcal{K}_A(X_p) : p \in F\}$ is such that $\sum_{p \in F} i_p^*(T_p) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A(X_s)$ for large $s$, we have $\sum_{p \in F} \psi(\tilde{\phi}_p(T_p)) = 0$.

In [10], Fowler defined a representation $\psi$ of a product system $X$ over a semigroup $P$ (with each $\phi_p$ injective) to be Cuntz–Pimsner covariant if, for every $p \in P$, the Toeplitz representation $(\psi_p, \psi_e)$ of the Hilbert $A$-bimodule $X_p$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant (in the sense that for each $a \in \hat{\phi}_p^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_A(X_p))$, we have $\psi(\tilde{\phi}_p(a)) = \psi_e(a)$). Proposition 5.1 of [29] shows that the relationship between Fowler’s notion of Cuntz–Pimsner covariance and that defined by Sims and Yeend. If $X$ is a compactly aligned product system with each $\phi_p$ injective, and $\psi : X \to B$ is a representation, then

(i) If $\psi$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant in the sense of [29, Definition 3.9], then $(\psi_p, \psi_e)^{(1)}(\tilde{\phi}_p(a)) = \psi_e(a)$ for each $a \in \hat{\phi}_p^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_A(X_p))$ and $p \in P$;
(ii) If $\phi_p(A) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_A(X_p)$ and $\psi(\tilde{\phi}_p(a)) = \psi_e(a)$ for each $a \in A$, $p \in P$, then $\psi$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant in the sense of [29, Definition 3.9].

For any discrete group $G$, the universal property of the group $C^*$-algebra $C^*(G)$ induces a homomorphism $\delta_G : C^*(G) \to C^*(G) \otimes C^*(G)$ such that $\delta_G(i_G(g)) = i_G(g) \otimes i_G(g)$ for each $g \in G$ (we use an unadorned $\otimes$ to denote the minimal tensor product of $C^*$-algebras). Recall that a (full) coaction of $G$ on a $C^*$-algebra $A$, is an injective homomorphism $\delta : A \to A \otimes C^*(G)$, that satisfies the coaction identity $(\delta \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \delta = (\text{id}_A \otimes \delta_G) \circ \delta$, and is nondegenerate in the sense that $A \otimes C^*(G) = \overline{\text{span}} \{ \delta(A) \left(1_{\mathcal{M}(A)} \otimes C^*(G)\right) \}$ (where $1_{\mathcal{M}(A)}$ is the identity of the multiplier algebra of $A$). For those readers interested in learning more about coactions, we suggest [7, Appendix A].

As noted in [3, Remark 4.5] there exists a coaction $\nu_X : \mathcal{NO}_X \to \mathcal{NO}_X \otimes C^*(G)$, which we call the canonical gauge coaction, such that $\nu_X(j_X(x)) = j_X(x) \otimes i_G(p)$ for each $p \in P$ and $x \in X_p$.

Carlsen, Larsen, Sims, and Vittadello proved the following gauge-invariant uniqueness theorem for Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras [3, Corollary 4.12]. If $(G, P)$ is a quasi-lattice
ordered group with $G$ amenable, and $X$ is a compactly aligned product system over $P$ with either each $\phi_p$ injective, or each $\tilde{\phi}_p$ injective and $(G, P)$ directed, then a surjective homomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{NO}_X \to B$ is injective if and only if

(i) $\phi|_{JX(A)}$ is injective; and

(ii) there exists a coaction $\beta : B \to B \otimes C^*(G)$ such that $\beta \circ \phi = (\phi \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \nu_X$.

3. COMBINING QUASI-LATTICE ORDERED GROUPS

The next result shows that the direct product of quasi-lattice ordered groups is quasi-lattice ordered. Furthermore, it provides sufficient conditions for a semidirect product of quasi-lattice ordered groups to be quasi-lattice ordered.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $(G, P)$ and $(H, Q)$ be quasi-lattice ordered groups. If $\alpha : H \to \text{Aut}(G)$ is a group homomorphism with $\alpha_H(P) \subseteq P$, then the semidirect product $(G \rtimes_{\alpha} H, P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q)$ is a quasi-lattice ordered group.

**Proof.** Since $P$ and $Q$ are subsemigroups of $G$ and $H$ respectively, and $\alpha_Q(P) \subseteq P$, we see that $P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q$ is a subsemigroup of $G \rtimes_{\alpha} H$.

Next, we show that $(P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q) \cap (P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q)^{-1} = \{e_{G \rtimes_{\alpha} H}\}$. If $(g, h) \in (P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q) \cap (P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q)^{-1}$, then $(g, h)^{-1} = (\alpha_{h^{-1}}(g^{-1}), h^{-1}) \in P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q$. Thus, $h, h^{-1} \in Q$, which forces $h = e_H$ since $(H, Q)$ is quasi-lattice ordered. Hence, $g, \alpha_{h^{-1}}(g^{-1}) = g^{-1} \in P$, which forces $g = e_G$ since $(G, P)$ is also quasi-lattice ordered. Therefore, $(g, h) = (e_G, e_H) = e_{G \rtimes_{\alpha} H}$.

Finally, we show that the order on the semidirect product $(G \rtimes_{\alpha} H, P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q)$ is the product order, i.e. $(g, h) \leq (g', h')$ in $(G \rtimes_{\alpha} H, P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q)$ if and only if $g \leq g'$ in $(G, P)$ and $h \leq h'$ in $(H, Q)$. Let $g, g' \in G$ and $h, h' \in H$. Suppose $(g, h) \leq (g', h')$ in $(G \rtimes_{\alpha} H, P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q)$. Then $(g, h)^{-1}(g', h') = (\alpha_{h^{-1}}(g^{-1}g'), h^{-1}h') \in P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q$. Thus, $h^{-1}h' \in Q$ and $g^{-1}g' = \alpha_h(\alpha_{h^{-1}}(g^{-1}g')) \in \alpha_Q(P) \subseteq P$. Hence, $h \leq h'$ and $g \leq g'$. Conversely, if $g \leq g'$ and $h \leq h'$, then $h^{-1}h' \in Q$ and $\alpha_{h^{-1}}(g^{-1}g') \in \alpha_H(P) \subseteq P$, and so $(g, h)^{-1}(g', h') \in P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q$. Thus, $(g, h) \leq (g', h')$. We conclude that $(G \rtimes_{\alpha} H, P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q)$ is a quasi-lattice ordered group and for any $(g, h), (g', h') \in P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q$, we have

$$(g, h) \vee (g', h') = \begin{cases} (g \vee g', h \vee h') & \text{if } g \vee g' < \infty \text{ and } h \vee h' < \infty \\
\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Whilst the conditions in Lemma 3.1 are sufficient for a semidirect product of quasi-lattice ordered groups to be quasi-lattice ordered, Proposition 2.2 of [15] shows that they are not necessary.

4. ITERATING THE NIC~A–TOEPLITZ CONSTRUCTION

In this section we investigate product systems over semidirect products of quasi-lattice ordered groups of the sort appearing in Lemma 3.1. More precisely, given a product system $\mathbb{Z}$ (with coefficient algebra $A$) over a quasi-lattice ordered group of the form $(G \rtimes_{\alpha} H, P \rtimes_{\alpha} Q)$, with $(G, P)$ and $(H, Q)$ quasi-lattice ordered groups and $\alpha_H(P) \subseteq P$, we will show that there exists a product system $X$ (also with coefficient algebra $A$) over $(G, P)$ sitting inside $\mathbb{Z}$, and a product system $Y^{NT}$ over $(H, Q)$ with coefficient algebra $\mathcal{N}^T_X$, such that the Nica–Toeplitz algebras of $\mathbb{Z}$ and $Y^{NT}$ are isomorphic. In passing from the product system $\mathbb{Z}$ to the product system $Y^{NT}$, we have in a sense decreased the size of the product system at the expense of increasing the size of the coefficient algebra, without losing any $C^*$-algebraic information in the process.
To help the reader keep track of everything that is going on, we first provide a brief overview of the key results that we will prove and a pair of commuting diagrams that summarise the various spaces, and the maps between them, that we are going to be working with. We hope that if the reader happens to lose their way in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 they will be able to return to this overview and the two diagrams for assistance.

(1) In Proposition 4.1 we define a product system $X \subseteq Z$ over $(G, P)$, and show that the inclusion of $X$ in $Z$ induces a homomorphism $\phi^X_N$ from $N\mathcal{T}_X$ to $N\mathcal{T}_Z$ such that $\phi^X_N \circ i_X = i_Z$.

(2) In Proposition 4.2 we argue that the homomorphism $\phi^X_N$ is injective.

(3) In Proposition 4.3 we use $\phi^X_N$ to construct a collection $\{ Y_q^N : q \in Q \}$ of Hilbert $N\mathcal{T}_X$-modules inside $N\mathcal{T}_Z$.

(4) In Proposition 4.6 we use $\phi^X_N$ to show that each $Y_q^N$ carries a left action of $N\mathcal{T}_X$ by adjointable operators.

(5) In Propositions 4.7 and 4.12 we show that $Y^N := \bigcup_{q \in Q} Y_q^N$ is a compactly aligned product system over $(H, Q)$ with coefficient algebra $N\mathcal{T}_X$.

Once we have the product system $Y^N$, we prove that $N\mathcal{T}_Y^N \cong N\mathcal{T}_Z$.

(6) In Proposition 4.13 we use the universal Nica covariant representations of $Z$ and $Y^N$ to construct a representation $\varphi^N$ of $Z$ in $N\mathcal{T}_Y^N$.

(7) In Proposition 4.15 we prove that $\varphi^N$ is Nica covariant, and hence induces a homomorphism $\Omega^N : N\mathcal{T}_Z \to N\mathcal{T}_Y^N$ such that $\Omega^N \circ i_Z(p, q) = i_{Y^N}^q$.

(8) In Proposition 4.16 we show that the inclusion of $Y^N$ in $N\mathcal{T}_Z$ is a Nica covariant representation, and hence induces a homomorphism $\Omega^N : N\mathcal{T}_Y^N \to N\mathcal{T}_Z$ such that $\Omega^N \circ i_{Y^N}^q$ is the inclusion of $Y_q^N$ in $N\mathcal{T}_Z$.

(9) In Theorem 4.17 we prove that $\Omega^N$ and $\Omega^N$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms.

In summary, we will show that for every $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$, the maps in the interior parts of the following two diagrams exist and make the diagrams commute (the outside parts of the diagrams will be used in the setup for Section 5).

Figure 1. The homomorphisms $\phi^X_N$ and $\phi^X_O$.
4.1. Constructing the product systems.

**Standing Hypotheses.** We will assume that \((G, P)\) and \((H, Q)\) are quasi-lattice ordered groups and \(\alpha : H \to \text{Aut}(G)\) is a homomorphism such that \(\alpha_H(P) \subseteq P\). By Lemma 3.1, \((G \rtimes_\alpha H, P \rtimes_\alpha Q)\) is quasi-lattice ordered. Moreover, \(Z\) will be a compactly aligned product system over \((G \rtimes_\alpha H, P \rtimes_\alpha Q)\) with coefficient algebra \(A\).

Since \(G \cong G \rtimes_\alpha \{e_H\}\) is a subgroup of \(G \rtimes_\alpha H\) and \(P \cong P \rtimes_\alpha \{e_H\}\) is a subsemigroup of \(P \rtimes_\alpha Q\), we obtain a product system over the quasi-lattice ordered group \((G, P)\) by considering just those fibres of \(Z\) coming from \(P \rtimes_\alpha \{e_H\}\).

**Proposition 4.1.** For each \(p \in P\), let \(X_p := Z_{(p,e_H)}\). Then \(X := \bigsqcup_{p \in P} X_p\) is a compactly aligned product system over \((G, P)\) with coefficient algebra \(A\). The inclusion of \(X\) in \(Z\) induces a homomorphism \(\phi^X_{NT} : NT_X \to NT_Z\) such that \(\phi^X_{NT} \circ i_X = i_Z\).

**Proof.** For \(p \in P\), define \(\psi^X_{p,NT} : X_p \to NT_Z\) by \(\psi^X_{p,NT} := iZ_{(p,e_H)}\). We claim that \(\psi^X_{p,NT}\) is a Nica covariant representation of \(X\) in \(NT_Z\). Since \(i_Z\) is a representation, we know that each \(\psi^X_{p,NT}\) is linear and \(\psi^X_{p,NT}\) is a homomorphism. If \(x \in X_p\) and \(z \in X_r\), then

\[
\psi^X_{p,NT}(x)\psi^X_{r,NT}(z) = iZ_{(p,e_H)}(x)iZ_{(r,e_H)}(z) = iZ_{(p,e_H)(r,e_H)}(xz) = iZ_{(pr,e_H)}(xz) = \psi^X_{pr,NT}(xz).
\]
For $x, z \in X_p$, we have
\[ \psi^N_T(x^* \psi^N_T(z)) = i_{Z^p_{(p,e_H)}}(x^*) i_{Z^p_{(p,e_H)}}(z) = i_{Z^p_{(e_G,e_H)}}(x, z)^{(p,e_H)}_A = \psi^N_T((x, z)^{(p,e_H)}_A). \]
Thus, $\psi^N_T$ is a representation. It remains to check that $\psi^N_T$ is Nica covariant. Fix $S \in K_A(X_p)$ and $T \in K_A(X_p)$. Since $\psi^N_T(t) = i_{Z^p_{(l, e_H)}}$ for any $t \in P$, we see that
\[ \psi^N_T(p)(S)\psi^N_T(r)(T) = i_{Z^p_{(p,e_H)}}(S)i_{Z^p_{(r,e_H)}}(T). \]
If $(p, e_H) \land (r, e_H) = \infty$ (which is precisely when $p \lor r = \infty$), Nica covariance of $i_Z$ tells us that $\psi^N_T(p)(S)\psi^N_T(r)(T) = 0$. On the other hand, when $p \lor r < \infty$, we have $(p, e_H) \land (r, e_H) < \infty$, and so
\[ \psi^N_T(p)(S)\psi^N_T(r)(T) = i_{Z^p_{(p,r,e_H)}}(S)i_{Z^p_{(r,e_H)}}(T) = \psi^N_T(p \lor r)(S\alpha^N_T r \land r)(T). \]
Thus, $\psi^N_T$ is Nica covariant, and there exists a homomorphism $\phi^N_X : NT_X \to NT_Z$ such that $\phi^N_X(i_X(x)) = \psi^N_T(x) = i_Z(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

When $G$ is amenable, the homomorphism $\phi^N_X$ is injective.

**Proposition 4.2.** Suppose that $G$ is an amenable group. Then the homomorphism $\phi^N_X : NT_X \to NT_Z$ is injective.

**Proof.** Fix a faithful nondegenerate representation $\rho : A \to B(\mathcal{H})$ of $A$. Let $l_* : NT_Z \to \mathcal{L}_A(\mathcal{F}_Z)$ denote the Fock representation of $NT_Z$. To show that $\phi^N_X$ is faithful, it suffices to show that the representation $\mathcal{F}_Z \cdot \text{Ind}^N_T x = (l_* \circ \phi^N_X) \otimes_A \text{id}_H : NT_X \to B(\mathcal{F}_Z \otimes \mathcal{H})$ is faithful. Denote by $\rho := (l_* \circ \phi^N_X \circ i_X) \otimes_A \text{id}_H$ the Nica covariant representation of $X$ that induces the homomorphism $(l_* \circ \phi^N_X) \otimes_A \text{id}_H$. Our aim is to prove that

(i) for each $p \in P \setminus \{e_G\}$, $A \otimes_A \mathcal{H} = X_{e_G} \otimes_A \mathcal{H} \subseteq (e_G(X_p)(\mathcal{F}_Z \otimes \mathcal{H}))^\perp$;

(ii) $A$ acts faithfully (via $\rho_{e_G}$) on $A \otimes_A \mathcal{H}$.

To see why this suffices, suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. Let $P^0_\rho := \text{proj}(X_{e_G}) \mathcal{F}_Z \otimes \mathcal{H}$ for each $t \in P \setminus \{e_G\}$. Then the representation $A \ni a \mapsto \rho_{e_G}(a) \prod_{t \in K} (1 - P^0_\rho) \in B(\mathcal{F}_Z \otimes \mathcal{H})$ is faithful for each finite subset $K \subseteq P \setminus \{e_G\}$. Since $G$ is amenable, [9, Theorem 3.2] then implies that $(l_* \circ \phi^N_X) \otimes_A \text{id}_H$ is faithful as required. We now prove (i) and (ii).

If $p \in P$, then
\[ \rho_p(X_p)(\mathcal{F}_Z \otimes \mathcal{H}) = \bigoplus_{(s,t) \in P \times a_0} Z_{(p,e_H)(s,t)} \otimes_A \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{(s,t) \in P \times a_0, q \leq s} Z_{(s,t)} \otimes_A \mathcal{H}. \]
Now suppose that $p \in P \setminus \{e_G\}$. We suppose $p \leq e_G$ and derive a contradiction. Then $p^{-1} = p^{-1}e_G \in P$, which forces $p = e_G$, since $P \cap P^{-1} = \{e_G\}$. Thus, $p \not\leq e_G$. Hence for any $a \in X_{e_G} = A, z \in Z_{(s,t)}$ with $p \leq s$, and $h, g \in \mathcal{H}$, since $A = X_{e_G}$ and $Z_{(s,t)}$ are orthogonal in $\mathcal{F}_X$, we see that \langle a \otimes_A h, z \otimes_A g \rangle = \langle h, a \cdot g \rangle = 0$. Since inner-products are linear and continuous, we conclude that $A \otimes_A \mathcal{H} \subseteq (\rho_p(X_p)(\mathcal{F}_Z \otimes \mathcal{H}))^\perp$, which proves (i).

It remains to show that $A$ acts faithfully on $A \otimes_A \mathcal{H}$. This follows from [26, Corollary 2.74] since $A$ acts faithfully on itself and the representation $\rho$ is faithful.

Using the injective homomorphism $\phi^N_X : NT_X \to NT_Z$, we can construct a Hilbert $NT_X$-module $Y_0^{NT}$ for each $q \in Q$. 

\[ \text{ITERATING THE CUNTZ–NICA–PIMSNER CONSTRUCTION 11} \]
Proposition 4.3. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group so that the homomorphism $\phi_N^q$ is injective by Proposition 4.2. Let $Y_{eH}^q := NT_X (NT_X)_{NT_X}$, and for each $q \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$, define

$$Y_q^q := \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ iz_{(e,g)}(x) \phi_X^q(b) : x \in Z_{(e,g)}, \ b \in NT_X \right\} \subseteq NT_Z. $$

For each $q \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$, $Y_q^q$ carries a right action of $NT_X$ given by $y \cdot b := y \phi_X^q(b)$ for each $y \in Y_q^q$ and $b \in NT_X$. There is an $NT_X$-valued inner-product on $Y_q^q$ such that $\phi_X^q(y \cdot w)_{NT_X} = y^* w$. With this structure, each $Y_q^q$ is a right Hilbert $NT_X$-module.

Proof. Since multiplication in $NT_Z$ is continuous, it is clear that the right action of $NT_X$ on $Y_q^q$ is well-defined. Next, we check that $y^* w \in \phi_X^q(NT_X)$ for any $y, w \in Y_q^q$. Since $\phi_X^q$ is a homomorphism, it suffices to check the case where $y = iz_{(e,g)}(x) \phi_X^q(b)$ and $w = iz_{(e,g)}(z) \phi_X^q(c)$ for some $x, z \in Z_{(e,g)}$, and $b, c \in NT_X$. Since $iz_q$ is a representation, we see that

$$y^* w = \phi_X^q(b)^* iz_{(e,g)}(x)^* iz_{(e,g)}(z) \phi_X^q(c) = \phi_X^q(b^* iz_{(e,g)}(x)) (x, z)_{(e,g)} \phi_X^q(c) = \phi_X^q(b^* iz_{(e,g)}(x)) (x, z)_{(e,g)} \phi_X^q(c),$$

Thus, since $\phi_X^q$ is injective, we may define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NT_X} : Y_q^q \times Y_q^q \to NT_X$ by $\langle y, w \rangle_{NT_X} := (\phi_X^q)^{-1}(y^* w)$ for each $y, w \in Y_q^q$. Clearly $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NT_X}$ is complex linear in its second argument. If $y, w \in Y_q^q$, then

$$\langle y, w \cdot b \rangle_{NT_X} = (\phi_X^q)^{-1}(y^* w \phi_X^q(b)) = (\phi_X^q)^{-1}(y^* w) b = \langle y, w \rangle_{NT_X}^q b,$$

and

$$\langle y, w \rangle_{NT_X}^q = (\phi_X^q)^{-1}(y^* w) = (\phi_X^q)^{-1}(w^* y)^* = (\langle w, y \rangle_{NT_X}^q)^*. $$

Also, if $y \in Y_q^q$, then $\langle y, y \rangle_{NT_X}^q = (\phi_X^q)^{-1}(y^* y) \geq 0$ since $y^* y \geq 0$ in $NT_Z$. Moreover, if $\langle y, y \rangle_{NT_X}^q = 0$, then $y^* y = 0$ because $\phi_X^q$ is injective, which forces $y = 0$. Lastly, we show that the norm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NT_X}^q$ is just the norm on $NT_Z$ restricted to $Y_q^q$. Since $\phi_X^q$ is isometric, we see that for any $y \in Y_q^q$,

$$\|y\|_{Y_q^q}^2 := \|y, y\|_{NT_X}^q = \|(\phi_X^q)^{-1}(y^* y)\|_{NT_X} = \|y^* y\|_{NT_Z} = \|y\|_{NT_Z}^2. $$

As $Y_q^q$ is closed in $NT_Z$, we see that $Y_q^q$ is complete with respect to the norm induced by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NT_X}^q$. We conclude that $Y_q^q$ is a right Hilbert $NT_X$-module. □

Remark 4.4. Since $\alpha_H(P) \subseteq P$, we have that $\alpha_q(P) = P$ for each $q \in Q$. Hence, for any $q \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$, it can be shown that $Y_q^q = \overline{\text{span}} \{ iz_{(e,p)}(Z_{(p,q)}) iz_{(v,e_H)}(Z_{(v,e_H)})^{*} : p, r \in P \}$. Furthermore, $Y_{eH}^q \simeq \phi_X^q(NT_X) = \overline{\text{span}} \{ iz_{(e,p)}(Z_{(e,p)}) iz_{(v,e_H)}(Z_{(v,e_H)})^{*} : p, r \in P \}$.

Remark 4.5. The module $Y_q^q$ contains $iz_{(e,g)}(Z_{(e,g)})$. If $z \in Z_{(e,g)}$, and $z' \in Z_{(e,g)}$ is chosen so that $z = z' \cdot (z', z')_{A(\cdot, \cdot)}$, then

$$iz_{(e,g)}(z) = iz_{(e,g)}(z') iz_{(e,g)}((z', z')_{A(\cdot, \cdot)}) = iz_{(e,g)}(z') \phi_X^q(iz_{e,g}((z', z')_{A(\cdot, \cdot)})) \in Y_q^q. $$

We now show that $Y_q^q$ also carries a left action of $NT_X$ by adjointable operators for each $q \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$, and hence is a Hilbert $NT_X$-bimodule.
Proposition 4.6. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group so that the Hilbert $\mathcal{N}T_X$-module $Y_q^{NT}$ of Proposition 4.3 is defined. For each $q \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$, there exists a homomorphism $\Phi_q^{NT} : \mathcal{N}T_X \to \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}T_X}(Y_q^{NT})$ such that $\Phi_q^{NT}(b)(y) = \phi_X^{NT}(b)y$ for each $b \in \mathcal{N}T_X$ and $y \in Y_q^{NT}$.

Proof. Firstly, we check that $\phi_X^{NT}(\mathcal{N}T_X) Y_q^{NT} \subseteq Y_q^{NT}$. Since $(G \times_d H, P \times_{\alpha} Q)$ has the product order, if $s, t \in P$, then $(s, e_H) \leq ((s, e_H) \lor (e_G, q)) = (e_G, q) \leq ((a_q^{-1}(s), e_H) \lor (e_G, q)) = (a_q^{-1}(s), e_H)$. Thus, for any $x \in X_s, y \in X_t, z \in Z_{e_G, q}$, and $b \in \mathcal{N}T_X$, using the Nica covariance of $i_Z$, we have

$$
\phi_X^{NT}(i_{X_s}(x)i_{X_t}(y)) i_{Z_{e_G, q}}(z) \phi_X^{NT}(b)
= i_{Z_{(e_G, q))}(x) i_{Z_{((e_G, q))}}(y) i_{Z_{(e_G, q)}}(z) \phi_X^{NT}(b)
\in \text{span}\left\{ i_{Z_{(e_G, q)}}(Z_{(e_G, q)}) \phi_X^{NT}(i_{X_{a_q^{-1}(s)}}(X_{a_q^{-1}(s)}) X_{a_q^{-1}(s)}) i_{X_{a_q^{-1}(t)}}(X_{a_q^{-1}(t)}) b) \right\}.

$$

Since $\phi_X^{NT}$ is a homomorphism and multiplication in $\mathcal{N}T_X$ is bilinear and continuous, while span$\{i_{X_s}(x)i_{X_t}(x^*) : s, t \in P\}$ and span$\{i_{Z_{(e_G, q)}}(Z_{(e_G, q)}) \phi_X^{NT}(\mathcal{N}T_X)\}$ are dense in $\mathcal{N}T_X$ and $Y_q^{NT}$ respectively, we conclude that $\phi_X^{NT}(\mathcal{N}T_X) Y_q^{NT}$ is contained in $Y_q^{NT}$. Thus, for each $b \in \mathcal{N}T_X$, we may define $\Phi_q^{NT}(b) : Y_q^{NT} \to Y_q^{NT}$ by $\Phi_q^{NT}(b)(y) = \phi_X^{NT}(b)y$ for each $y \in Y_q^{NT}$. Next, we claim that $\Phi_q^{NT}(b)$ is adjointable with $\Phi_q^{NT}(b)^* = \phi_X^{NT}(b^*)$. To see this, observe that for any $y, w \in Y_q^{NT}$,

$$
\langle \phi_X^{NT}(b) y, w \rangle_Y = (\phi_X^{NT})^{-1}(y^* \phi_X^{NT}(b^*) w) = \langle y, \phi_X^{NT}(b^*) w \rangle_Y.
$$

Finally, since $\phi_X^{NT}$ is linear and multiplicative, the map $b \mapsto \Phi_q^{NT}(b)$ is also linear and multiplicative. Thus, $b \mapsto \Phi_q^{NT}(b)$ is a homomorphism from $\mathcal{N}T_X$ to $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}T_X}(Y_q^{NT})$. □

Next we show that $Y^{NT} := \bigsqcup_{q \in Q} Y_q^{NT}$ can be viewed as a product system over the quasi-lattice ordered group $(H, Q)$ with coefficient algebra $\mathcal{N}T_X$.

Proposition 4.7. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group so that the Hilbert $\mathcal{N}T_X$-bimodule $Y_q^{NT}$ from Propositions 4.3 and 4.6 is defined. Let $Y^{NT} := \bigsqcup_{q \in Q} Y_q^{NT}$. Then $Y^{NT}$ is a product system over $(H, Q)$ with coefficient algebra $\mathcal{N}T_X$, and multiplication given by multiplication in $\mathcal{N}T_Z$.

Proof. We already know from Propositions 4.3 and 4.6 that each $Y^{NT}$ is a Hilbert $\mathcal{N}T_X$-bimodule, and $Y^{NT}_{e_H} = \mathcal{N}T_X(\mathcal{N}T_X)^{\mathcal{N}T_X}$ by definition. If we equip $Y^{NT}$ with the associative multiplication from $\mathcal{N}T_Z$ and identify $\mathcal{N}T_X$ with $\phi_X^{NT}(\mathcal{N}T_X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}T_Z$, then $Y^{NT}$ becomes a semigroup. It is straightforward to check that multiplication in $Y^{NT}$ by elements of $Y^{NT}_{e_H} = \mathcal{N}T_X$ implements the left and right actions of $\mathcal{N}T_X$ on each $Y^{NT}_q$.

For $Y^{NT}$ to be a product system, it remains to show that there exists a Hilbert $\mathcal{N}T_X$-bimodule isomorphism $M^{NT}_{q,t} : Y^{NT}_{q,t} \otimes_{\mathcal{N}T_X} Y^{NT}_{q,t} \to Y^{NT}_{q,t}$ for each $q, t \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$ such that $M^{NT}_{q,t}(y \otimes_{\mathcal{N}T_X} w) = yw$ for each $y \in Y^{NT}_q$ and $w \in Y^{NT}_t$. We begin by checking that $Y^{NT}_{q,t} Y^{NT}_{q,t} \subseteq Y^{NT}_{q,t}$ for each $q, t \in Q$. Making use of Proposition 4.6, we see that

$$
Y^{NT}_{q,t} Y^{NT}_{q,t} = \text{span}\left\{ i_{Z_{(e_G, q)}}(Z_{(e_G, q)}) \phi_X^{NT}(\mathcal{N}T_X) i_{Z_{(e_G, t)}}(Z_{(e_G, t)}) \phi_X^{NT}(\mathcal{N}T_X) \right\} = Y^{NT}_{q,t}.
$$


Next, observe that if \( y, u \in \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \) and \( w, v \in \mathcal{Y}_t^{NT} \), then
\[
\langle y \otimes_{NT} w, u \otimes_{NT} v \rangle_{NT} = \langle w, \phi \left( \left( \phi_{X}^{NT} \right)^{-1} (y u) \right) v \rangle_{NT} = \left( \phi_{X}^{NT} \right)^{-1} (w*y^t uv) = \langle yw, uv \rangle_{NT}.
\]
Thus, the map \( y \otimes_{NT} w \mapsto yw \) extends by linearity and continuity to a well-defined inner-product preserving map from \( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{Y}_t^{NT} \) to \( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \), which we denote by \( M_{q,t}^{Y_{NT}} \).

Clearly, \( M_{q,t}^{Y_{NT}} \) is both left and right \( \mathcal{N}_{T_X} \) linear. Surjectivity of the map \( M_{q,t}^{Y_{NT}} \) follows from the fact that \( Z_{(e_G,q)} = Z_{(e_G,q)} \otimes_A Z_{(e_G,t)} \) (since \( q \neq e_H \)) and \( i_{Z_{(e_G,q)}}(Z_{(e_G,q)}) \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \):
\[
\mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ i_{Z_{(e_G,q)}} \left( Z_{(e_G,q)} \phi_{X}^{NT}(\mathcal{N}_{T_X}) \right) \right\} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ i_{Z_{(e_G,q)}} \left( Z_{(e_G,q)} \phi_{X}^{NT}(\mathcal{N}_{T_X}) \right) i_{Z_{(e_G,t)}} \left( Z_{(e_G,t)} \phi_{X}^{NT}(\mathcal{N}_{T_X}) \right) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \mathcal{Y}_t^{NT} = M_{q,t}^{Y_{NT}} \left( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{Y}_t^{NT} \right).
\]
Putting all of this together, we see that \( M_{q,t}^{Y_{NT}} \) is an \( \mathcal{N}_{T_X} \)-bimodule isomorphism. \( \square \)

We now prove that if \( A \) acts faithfully on \( Z_{(e_G,q)} \), then \( \mathcal{N}_{T_X} \) acts faithfully on \( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \). We will make use of this result later in Subsection \ref{Subsec:F4} when we consider the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of the product system \( Y_{NT} \).

**Proposition 4.8.** Suppose that \( G \) is an amenable group so that the product system \( Y_{NT} \) from Proposition \ref{Prop:ProductSystem} is defined. If \( q \in Q \) and \( A \) acts faithfully on \( Z_{(e_G,q)} \), then the homomorphism \( \Phi_{q,t}^{NT} : \mathcal{N}_{T_X} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{NT} \left( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \right) \) is injective.

**Proof.** When \( q = e_H \), the map \( \Phi_{q,t}^{NT} \) is just left multiplication on \( \mathcal{Y}_{e_H}^{NT} = \mathcal{N}_{T_X} \) by elements of \( \mathcal{N}_{T_X} \), which is obviously faithful. So suppose that \( q \in Q \setminus \{ e_H \} \). Let \( \rho : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \) be a faithful nondegenerate representation of \( A \) on a Hilbert space \( \mathcal{H} \). To prove that \( \Phi_{q,t}^{NT} \) is faithful, it suffices to show that the induced representation
\[
\left( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \right) - \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{N}_{T_X}}^\mathcal{N}_{T_X} \rho = \Phi_{q,t}^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}} : \mathcal{N}_{T_X} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \left( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \otimes_A \mathcal{H} \right)
\]
is faithful. Let \( g := (\Phi_{q,t}^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}) \circ i_{\mathcal{X}} \) denote the Nica covariant representation of \( \mathcal{X} \) that induces \( \Phi_{q,t}^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}. \) Our aim is to prove that

(i) for each \( p \in P \setminus \{ e_G \} \),
\[
i_{Z_{(e_G,q)}} \left( Z_{(e_G,q)} \right) \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{X} A \otimes A \mathcal{H} \subseteq \left( \theta_p(X_p) \left( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \otimes_A \mathcal{H} \right) \right) \cap W \;
\]

(ii) \( A \) acts faithfully (via \( \theta_{e_G} \)) on \( i_{Z_{(e_G,q)}}(Z_{(e_G,q)}) \otimes_{NT} A \otimes A \mathcal{H} \).

To see that this suffices, suppose for a moment that (i) and (ii) hold. For each \( t \in P \setminus \{ e_G \} \), let \( P_t^0 := \text{proj}_{\mathcal{F}_X}(Y_q^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \otimes_A \mathcal{H}). \) Then the representation
\[
A \ni a \mapsto \theta_{e_G}(a) \prod_{t \in K} (1 - P_t^0) \in \mathcal{B} \left( \mathcal{Y}_q^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \otimes_A \mathcal{H} \right)
\]
is faithful for each finite subset \( K \subseteq P \setminus \{ e_G \} \). Since \( G \) is amenable, \cite[Theorem 3.2]{Fletcher2021} then implies that \( \Phi_{q,t}^{NT} \otimes_{NT} \mathcal{F}_X \otimes_A \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}} \) is faithful as required.
We now prove (i) and (ii). Let \( p \in P \). Then \((p,e_H)(s,q) = (e,q)(\alpha_{q-1}(ps),e_H)\) for any \( s \in P \), and so using the factorisation theorem of \( Y_q^{NT} \) given in Remark 4.4, we see that

\[
\Phi_q^{NT} \left( i_X(X_p) \right) \left( Y_q^{NT} \right) = i_{Z(e,q)}(Z(e,q)) \cdot \text{span}\{i_X_{\alpha_{q-1}(ps)}(X_{\alpha_{q-1}(ps)})i_X(X_t)^*: s, t \in P\}.
\]

For any \( s, r \in P \), since \( \alpha_{q-1}(p)\alpha_{q-1}(ps)r = \alpha_{q-1}(s)r \in \alpha_H(P)P \subseteq P \), we have that \( \alpha_{q-1}(p) \leq \alpha_{q-1}(ps)r \). Thus,

\[
\text{span}\{i_X_{\alpha_{q-1}(ps)}(X_{\alpha_{q-1}(ps)})i_X(X_t)^*: s, t \in P\} \cdot F_X \subseteq \bigoplus_{m \in P; \alpha_{q-1}(p) \leq m} X_m,
\]

and so

\[
\varrho_p(X_p) \left( Y_q^{NT} \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} F_X \otimes A \mathcal{H} \right) \subseteq i_{Z(e,q)}(Z(e,q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} \bigoplus_{m \in P; \alpha_{q-1}(p) \leq m} X_m \otimes A \mathcal{H}.
\]

Now suppose that \( p \neq e_G \). Since \( \alpha_H(P) \subseteq P \) and \( P \cap P^{-1} = \{e_G\} \), it follows that \( \alpha_{q-1}(p) \neq e_G \). Hence for any \( z, w \in Z(e,q) \), \( a \in X_{e_G} = A \), \( x \in X_m \) with \( \alpha_{q-1}(p) \leq m \), and \( h, g \in \mathcal{H} \), we see that

\[
\langle i_{Z(e,q)}(z) \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} a \otimes_A h, i_{Z(e,q)}(w) \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} x \otimes_A g \rangle_C = \langle h, \langle a, i_{Z(e,q)}((z, w)_{A}) \cdot x \rangle_A \cdot g \rangle_C
\]

must be zero (since \( i_{Z(e,q)}((z, w)_{A}) \cdot x \in X_m \) which is orthogonal to \( A = X_{e_G} \) in the Fock space \( F_X \)). Since the inner-product on \( Y_q^{NT} \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} F_X \otimes A \mathcal{H} \) is linear and continuous, we conclude that \( i_{Z(e,q)}(Z(e,q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} A \otimes A \mathcal{H} \subseteq (\varrho_p(X_p) \left( Y_q^{NT} \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} F_X \otimes A \mathcal{H} \right))^\perp \).

Next we check that \( A \) acts faithfully on \( i_{Z(e,q)}(Z(e,q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} A \otimes A \mathcal{H} \) via the homomorphism \( \varrho_{e_G} = (\Phi_q^{NT} \circ i_{X_{e_G}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} \text{id}_{F_X} \otimes A \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}} \). Fix \( a \in A \setminus \{0\} \). Since \( A \) acts faithfully on \( Z(e,q) \), we can choose \( z \in Z(e,q) \) such that \( a \cdot z \neq 0 \). Since \( \rho \) is faithful, we can then choose \( h \in \mathcal{H} \) such that \( \langle h, \langle a \cdot z, a \cdot z \rangle_{A} \cdot h \rangle_C \neq 0 \). By the Hewitt–Cohen–Blanchard factorisation theorem, we can write \( z = z' \cdot \langle z', z' \rangle_{A} \) for some \( z' \in Z(e,q) \). Routine calculations show that

\[
\langle i_{Z(e,q)}(a \cdot z' \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} \langle z', z' \rangle_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} i_{Z(e,q)}(a \cdot z') \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} \langle z', z' \rangle_{A} \rangle_A = \langle a \cdot z, a \cdot z \rangle_{A} \rangle_A.
\]

Hence,

\[
\left\| \varrho_e(a) \left( i_{Z(e,q)}(z') \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} \langle z', z' \rangle_{A} \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} h \right) \right\|^2 = \left\langle h, \langle a \cdot z, a \cdot z \rangle_{A} \cdot h \right\rangle_C \neq 0,
\]

and so \( A \) acts faithfully on \( i_{Z(e,q)}(Z(e,q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{T} X} A \otimes A \mathcal{H} \).

We now work towards showing that the product system \( Y^{NT} \) is compactly aligned. The next result characterises the compact operators on each fibre of \( Y^{NT} \). We need some more notation: given a \( \text{C}^* \)-algebra \( B \), for each \( b \in B \), we write \( M_b \in \mathcal{L}_B(B_B) \) for the map defined by \( M_b(c) := bc \) for each \( c \in B \).

**Lemma 4.9.** Suppose \( G \) is an amenable group so that the Hilbert \( \mathbb{T} X \)-bimodule \( Y^{NT} \) from Propositions \( 4.3 \) and \( 4.6 \) is defined. For each \( q \in Q \), if \( b \in \mathbb{T} X \) is such that \( M_b \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T} X}(Y_q^{NT}) \), then \( \| M_b \|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T} X}(Y_q^{NT})} \leq \| b \|_{\mathbb{T} X} \).
Proof. Since the norm on $Y_q^{NT} \subseteq NT_Z$ is just the restriction of the norm on $NT_Z$, and the norm on any $C^*$-algebra is submultiplicative, we have
\[ \|M_b\|_{NT_Z(Y_q^{NT})} = \sup_{y \in Y_q^{NT}} \|M_b(y)\|_{Y_q^{NT}} = \sup_{\|y\|_{Y_q^{NT}} \leq 1} \|by\|_{NT_Z} \leq \|b\|_{NT_Z}. \]
\[ \square \]

Lemma 4.10. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group so that the product system $Y^{NT}$ from Proposition 4.7 is defined. Define $NT_Z^q := \text{span}\{i_{Z(p,q)}(Z(p,q))i_{Z(r,q)}(Z(r,q))^* : p, r \in P\}$ for each $q \in Q$.

(i) Let $q, t \in Q$ and $T \in K_{NT_X}(Y_q^{NT})$. Then $i^q_t(T) \in L_{NT_X}(Y_q^{NT})$ is left multiplication by an element of $NT_Z^q$ on $Y_q^{NT} \subseteq NT_Z$. In particular, if $y, w \in Y_q^{NT}$, then $yw^* \in NT_Z^q$, and the rank one operator $\Theta_{y,w} \in K_{NT_X}(Y_q^{NT})$ satisfies
\[ i^q_t(\Theta_{y,w}) = \begin{cases} M_{yw^*} & \text{if } q \neq e_H \\ M_{\phi_X^{NT}(yw^*)} & \text{if } q = e_H. \end{cases} \]

(ii) Let $b \in NT_Z^q$ and $q \neq e_H$. Then $M_b \in K_{NT_X}(Y_q^{NT})$.

Proof. We begin by proving part (i) of the result. Fix $q, t \in Q$ and a rank one operator $\Theta_{y,w} \in K_{NT_X}(Y_q^{NT})$. If $q = e_H$, then for any $z \in Y_t^{NT}$, we have
\[ i^q_t(\Theta_{y,w})(z) = \Phi_{t}^{NT}(yw^*)(z) = \phi_X^{NT}(yw^*)z = M_{\phi_X^{NT}(yw^*)}(z). \]
Thus, $i^q_t(\Theta_{y,w}) = M_{\phi_X^{NT}(yw^*)}$. Moreover, $\phi_X^{NT}(yw^*) \in \phi_X^{NT}(NT_X) = NT_Z^{e_H}$.

Now suppose that $q \neq e_H$. For any $u \in Z(\epsilon_{g,q}), v \in Z(\epsilon_{g,t}),$ and $b \in NT_X$, we have
\[ i^q_t(\Theta_{y,w})\left(i_{Z(\epsilon_{g,q})}(uv)\phi_X^{NT}(b) \right) = \Theta_{y,w}\left(i_{Z(\epsilon_{g,t})}(u)\right)i_{Z(\epsilon_{g,q})}(v)\phi_X^{NT}(b) = yw^*i_{Z(\epsilon_{g,q})}(u)i_{Z(\epsilon_{g,q})}(v)\phi_X^{NT}(b) = M_{yw^*}\left(i_{Z(\epsilon_{g,q})}(uv)\phi_X^{NT}(b) \right). \]

Since $Y_q^{NT} = \text{span}\{i_{Z(\epsilon_{g,q})}(Z(\epsilon_{g,q}))i_{Z(\epsilon_{g,q})}(Z(\epsilon_{g,q}))\phi_X^{NT}(NT_X)\}$, whilst $i^q_t(\Theta_{y,w})$ and $M_{yw^*}$ are linear and continuous, we conclude that $i^q_t(\Theta_{y,w}) = M_{yw^*} \in L_{NT_X}(Y_q^{NT})$.

It remains to check that if $y, w \in Y_q^{NT}$, then $yw^* \in NT_Z^q$. Since $NT_Z^q$ is a closed subspace, it suffices to consider the case where $y = i_{Z(p,q)}(x)i_{Z(r,q)}(z)^*$ and $w = i_{Z(m,q)}(u)i_{Z(n,e_H)}(v)^*$ for some $x \in Z(p,q), z \in Z(r,e_H), u \in Z(m,q),$ and $v \in Z(n,e_H).$ If $r \vee n = \infty$, then the Nica covariance of $i_Z$ gives
\[ yw^* = i_{Z(p,q)}(x)i_{Z(r,e_H)}(z)^*i_{Z(n,e_H)}(v)i_{Z(m,q)}(u)^* = 0, \]
which is certainly in $NT_Z^q$. On the other hand, if $r \vee n < \infty$, then
\[ yw^* \in \text{span}\{i_{Z(p,q)}(Z(p,q))(Z(r,q)^{-(r-1)(\infty)})(Z(m,q)^{-(m-1)(\infty)})) \}, \]
and so is contained in $NT_Z^q$.

We now prove part (ii) of the result. Let $b := i_{Z(p,q)}(Z(p,q))^*i_{Z(r,q)}(w) \in NT_Z^q$ wherever $z \in Z(p,q)$ and $w \in Z(r)$. Then $i_{Z(p,q)}(z), i_{Z(r,q)}(w) \in Y_q^{NT}$ and, so by (i) we see that
\[ M_{i_{Z(p,q)}(z)i_{Z(r,q)}(w)} = i^q_t(\Theta_{i_{Z(p,q)}(z),i_{Z(r,q)}(w)}) = \Theta_{i_{Z(p,q)}(z),i_{Z(r,q)}(w)} \in K_{NT_X}(Y_q^{NT}). \]
Since the map $b \mapsto M_b$ is linear, and $\|M_b\|_{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{X}(Y_q)^N)} \leq \|b\|_{\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}}$ whenever $b \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$ is such that $M_b \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{X}(Y_q)^N)$ (by Lemma 4.9), we conclude that $M_b \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{X}(Y_q)^N)$ whenever $b \in \overline{\text{span}}\{i_{Z(p,q)}(Z(q))i_{Z(r,q)}(Z(r,q)) : p, r \in P\} = \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$. □

**Lemma 4.11.** For any $q, t \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}^q \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}^t \subseteq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}^q & \text{if } q \lor t < \infty \\
\{0\} & \text{otherwise.}
\end{array} \right.$$  

In particular, each $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}^q$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$.

**Proof.** Since multiplication in $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$ is bilinear and continuous, it suffices to show that

$$i_{Z(p,q)}(x)i_{Z(r,q)}(z)\ast i_{Z(m,u)}(u)i_{Z(n,t)}(v)^* \in \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}^q & \text{if } q \lor t < \infty \\
\{0\} & \text{otherwise.}
\end{array} \right.$$  

for $x \in Z(p,q)$, $y \in Z(r,q)$, $u \in Z(m,u)$, and $v \in Z(n,t)$. If $r \lor m = \infty$ or $q \lor t = \infty$, then $(r, q) \lor (m, t) = \infty$, and so $i_{Z(p,q)}(x)i_{Z(r,q)}(z)\ast i_{Z(m,u)}(u)i_{Z(n,t)}(v)^* = 0$. On the other hand, if $r \lor m < \infty$ and $q \lor t < \infty$, then $(r, q) \lor (m, t) < \infty$, and so $i_{Z(p,q)}(x)i_{Z(r,q)}(z)\ast i_{Z(m,u)}(u)i_{Z(n,t)}(v)^*$ is contained in $\overline{\text{span}}\{i_{Z((r_m),q)}(Z(r_{m-1}(r_m),q,v))i_{Z((n_m),q)}(Z(n_{m-1}(r_m),q,v)^*) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}^q \}$.

We are finally ready to prove that the product system $Y_{\mathcal{NT}}$ is compactly aligned.

**Proposition 4.12.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group so that the product system $Y_{\mathcal{NT}}$ from Proposition 4.7 is defined. Then $Y_{\mathcal{NT}}$ is compactly aligned.

**Proof.** Let $S \in \mathcal{K}(Y_q^\mathcal{NT})$ and $T \in \mathcal{K}(Y_q^\mathcal{NT})$ with $q \lor t < \infty$. If $q = t = e_H$, then

$$i_{Y_q}^q(S)\ast i_{Y_q}^q(T) = i_{e_H}(S)\ast i_{e_H}(T) = ST \in \mathcal{K}(Y_{e_H}^\mathcal{NT}) = \mathcal{K}(Y_{e_H}^\mathcal{NT}).$$

Now suppose that $q \neq e_H$ or $t \neq e_H$. Thus, $q \lor t \neq e_H$. By Lemma 4.10, $i_{Y_q}^q(S) = M_b$ and $i_{Y_q}^q(T) = M_c$ for some $b \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$ and $c \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$. Since $bc \in \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$ by Lemma 4.11, we can use Lemma 4.10 again to see that

$$i_{Y_q}^q(S)\ast i_{Y_q}^q(T) = M_bM_c = M_{bc} \in \mathcal{K}(Y_{e_H}^\mathcal{NT}).$$ □

### 4.2. Isomorphisms of Nica–Toeplitz algebras

For the compactly aligned product system $Y_{\mathcal{NT}}$ defined in Subsection 4.1, we will show that $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{NT}} \cong \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$. To do this we will use the universal property of each $C^*$-algebra to induce a homomorphism to the other, and then check that these homomorphisms are mutually inverse. In summary, we will show that the maps in Figure 2 exist and make the diagram commutative.

To make our arguments easier to write down, we will identify the coefficient algebra $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{X}$ of $Y_{\mathcal{NT}}$ with $\phi_{\mathcal{X}}^\mathcal{NT}(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{X}) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$. Thus, every fibre of $Y_{\mathcal{NT}}$ can be viewed as sitting inside $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$, and the left and right actions of $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{X} \cong \phi_{\mathcal{X}}^\mathcal{NT}(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{X})$ on each $Y_q^\mathcal{NT}$ are just multiplication in $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$.

To begin we get a homomorphism from $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Z}$ to $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{NT}}$ by exhibiting a Nica covariant representation of $Z$ in $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{NT}}$.

**Proposition 4.13.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group so that the compactly aligned product system $Y_{\mathcal{NT}}$ from Proposition 4.7 is defined. Define $\phi_{\mathcal{NT}} : Z \to \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{NT}}$ by $\phi_{\mathcal{NT}} := i_{Y_q}^q \circ i_{Z(p,q)}$, for each $(p, q) \in P \times Q$. Then $\phi_{\mathcal{NT}}$ is a representation of $Z$. □
Proof. Firstly, \( \varphi^{NT}_{(p,q)} = i_{Y^{NT}} \circ i_{Z^{NT}} \) is the composition of homomorphisms and so is a homomorphism. Similarly, \( \varphi^{NT} = i_{Y^{NT}} \circ i_{Z^{NT}} \) is the composition of linear maps, and so is linear for any \( p \in P, q \in Q \).

Since \( \varphi \) is a homomorphism. Similarly, \( \varphi^{NT} = i_{Y^{NT}} \circ i_{Z^{NT}} \) is also a representation, this must be equal to \( \varphi^{NT} = i_{Y^{NT}} \circ i_{Z^{NT}} \) for any \( p \in P, q \in Q \).

Hence, \( \varphi^{NT} \) satisfies (T2). For \( (p,q) \in P \times Q \) and \( z,w \in Z^{(p,q)} \), since \( i_{Y} \) is a representation, we have

\[
\varphi^{NT}(z)^{p} \varphi^{NT}(w) = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(z) \right)^{p} i_{Y^{NT}} \left( i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(w) \right) = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( \langle i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(z), i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(w) \rangle_{NT} \right)_{X}.
\]

Since \( i_{Z} \) is also a representation, this must be equal to

\[
i_{Y^{NT}} \left( i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(z)^{p} i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(w) \right) = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(z)^{p} i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(w) \right) = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( \langle z, w \rangle_{A} \right)_{NT}^{(p,q)}.
\]

Hence, \( \varphi^{NT} \) satisfies (T3), and so is a representation of \( Z \) in \( NT_{Y} \). \( \square \)

To show that \( \varphi^{NT} \) is Nica covariant, we first need a lemma.

**Lemma 4.14.** Suppose \( G \) is an amenable group so that the compactly aligned product system \( Y^{NT} \) from Proposition 4.7 is defined. Let \( (p,q) \in P \times Q \) and \( T \in K_{A}(Z^{(p,q)}) \). Then \( \varphi^{NT((p,q))} = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(T) \right) \).

**Proof.** It suffices to prove the result when \( T \) is a rank one operator. To this end, fix \( z,w \in Z^{(p,q)} \). Lemma 4.10 says that \( \Theta_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(z), i_{Z}^{(p,q)}(w) = M_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(z) i_{Z}^{(p,q)}(w) \) and so

\[
\varphi^{(p,q)}(\Theta_{z,w}) = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(z) \right)^{p} i_{Y^{NT}} \left( i_{Z^{(p,q)}}(w) \right)^{q} = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(\Theta_{z,w}) \right).
\]

\( \square \)

**Proposition 4.15.** Suppose \( G \) is an amenable group so that the compactly aligned product system \( Y^{NT} \) from Proposition 4.7 is defined. Then the representation \( \varphi^{NT} \) is Nica covariant, and so there exists a homomorphism \( \Omega^{NT} : NT_{Z} \to NT_{Y} \) such that \( \Omega^{NT} \circ i_{Z} = \varphi^{NT} = i_{Y^{NT}} \circ i_{Z} \) for each \( (p,q) \in P \times Q \).

**Proof.** Fix \( S \in K_{A}(Z^{(p,q)}) \) and \( T \in K_{A}(Z^{(s,t)}) \). Using Lemma 4.14 we see that

\[
\varphi^{NT((p,q))} = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(S) \right) i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(s,t)}}(T) \right) = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(S) \right) i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(s,t)}}(T) \right).
\]

Since \( i_{Y^{NT}} \) is Nica covariant, this is zero if \( q \lor t = \infty \). If \( q \lor t < \infty \), then (4.1) gives

\[
\varphi^{NT((p,q))} = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(S) \right) i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(s,t)}}(T) \right) = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(S) \right) i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(s,t)}}(T) \right) = i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(p,q)}}(S) \right) i_{Y^{NT}} \left( M_{i_{Z}^{(s,t)}}(T) \right).
\]

(4.2)
Since $i_Z$ is also Nica covariant and $(\mathbb{G} \times_a H, P \times_a Q)$ has the product order, if $p \vee s = \infty$, then the last line is zero. If $p \vee s < \infty$, then another application of Lemma 4.14 shows that (4.2) yields

$$\varphi^{NT(q)}(S)\varphi^{NT((s,t))}(T) = i_{Y_{NT}}^{(q)}(\varepsilon_{r,s,q,v}) \left( M_{\varepsilon_{r,s,q,v}}(S)_{i_{r,s}}(T) \right) = \varphi^{NT((p\vee s,q,v))}(t_{(p,q)}(S)_{i_{s,t}}(T)).$$

Thus,

$$\varphi^{NT((p,q))}(S)\varphi^{NT((s,t))}(T) = \begin{cases} \varphi^{NT((p\vee s,q,v))}(t_{(p,q)}(S)_{i_{s,t}}(T)) & \text{if } (p,q) \vee (s,t) < \infty, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

and so $\varphi$ is Nica covariant.

Next, we construct a homomorphism from $NT_{\mathcal{Y}_{NT}}$ to $NT_{\mathcal{Z}}$ by exhibiting a Nica covariant representation of $\mathcal{Y}_{NT}$ in $NT_{\mathcal{Z}}$.

**Proposition 4.16.** Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is an amenable group so that the compactly aligned product system $\mathcal{Y}_{NT}$ from Proposition 4.7 is defined. For each $q \in \mathcal{Q}$, let $\varphi^{NT}_q$ be the inclusion of $\mathcal{Y}_{NT}^q$ in $NT_{\mathcal{Z}}$. Then $\varphi^{NT}$ is a Nica covariant representation of $\mathcal{Y}_{NT}$. Hence, there exists a homomorphism $\Omega^{NT} : NT_{\mathcal{Y}_{NT}} \to NT_{\mathcal{Z}}$ such that $\Omega^{NT} \circ i_{\mathcal{Y}_{NT}} = \varphi^{NT}$ for each $q \in \mathcal{Q}$.

**Proof.** It is trivial to check that $\varphi^{NT}$ is a representation. We now show that $\varphi^{NT}$ is Nica covariant. If $q \in \mathcal{Q}$ and $b \in NT_{\mathcal{Z}}$, then Lemma 4.10 tells us that $M_b = K_{NT\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Y}_{NT}^q)$. We claim that $\varphi^{NT(q)}(M_b) = b$. To see this, observe that if $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{(p,q)}$ and $w \in \mathcal{Z}_{(r,q)}$, then

$$\varphi^{NT(q)}(M_{z_{(p,q)}}(z)_{i_{z_{(r,q)}}}(w)) = \varphi^{NT(q)}(i_{z_{(p,q)}}(z)_{i_{z_{(r,q)}}}(w)) = \varphi^{NT}_q(i_{z_{(p,q)}}(z))^{\prime}_{i_{z_{(r,q)}}}(w) = i_{z_{(p,q)}}(z)^{\prime}_{i_{z_{(r,q)}}}(w).$$

Now fix $\Theta_{u,v} \in K_{NT\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Y}_{NT}^q)$ and $\varphi^{NT}_{1}(\Theta_{u,v}) \in K_{NT\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{Y}_{NT}^{q\vee t})$. Making use of Lemma 4.11 and Proposition 4.12, we see that

$$\varphi^{NT(q)}(\Theta_{u,v}) \varphi^{NT(t)}(\Theta_{u,v}) = \varphi^{NT(q)}(M_{uw}) = y w^{*} u^{*},$$

which is zero if $q \vee t = \infty$, and equal to

$$\varphi^{NT(q\vee t)}(M_{uw}) = \varphi^{NT(q\vee t)}(M_{uw}) = \varphi^{NT(q\vee t)}(i_{q\vee t}(\Theta_{y,w})) = i_{q\vee t}(\Theta_{y,w})$$

if $q \vee t < \infty$. Hence, $\varphi'$ is Nica covariant.

Putting all of this together, we get the following.

**Theorem 4.17.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group so that the compactly aligned product system $\mathcal{Y}_{NT}$ from Proposition 4.7 is defined. Then the homomorphisms $\Omega^{NT} : NT_{\mathcal{Z}} \to NT_{\mathcal{Y}_{NT}}$ and $\Omega^{NT} : NT_{\mathcal{Y}_{NT}} \to NT_{\mathcal{Z}}$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms.

**Proof.** We begin by showing that $\Omega^{NT} \circ \Omega^{NT} = id_{NT_{\mathcal{Z}}}$. For any $(p,q) \in \mathbb{P} \times_a Q$, we have

$$\left(\Omega^{NT} \circ \Omega^{NT}\right) \circ i_{z_{(p,q)}} = \Omega^{NT} \circ i_{\mathcal{Y}_{NT}^q} \circ i_{z_{(p,q)}} = i_{z_{(p,q)}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{N}T_Z$ is generated by the image of $i_Z$ and $\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T}$ is a homomorphism, we conclude that $\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{N}T_Z}$.

Next we check that $\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{N}T_{Y^{\mathcal{N}T}}}$. For any $p \in P$,

$$(\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T}) \circ \left( i_{Y_{H}} \circ i_{Z_{(p,e_H)}} \right) = \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ i_{Z_{(p,e_H)}} = i_{Y_{H}} \circ i_{Z_{(p,e_H)}}.$$ 

Since $Y_{e_H}^{\mathcal{N}T} = \mathcal{N}T_X \cong \phi_X^{\mathcal{N}T} (\mathcal{N}T_X)$ is generated by the images of each $i_{Z_{(p,e_H)}}$ we conclude that $(\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T}) \circ i_{Y_{H}^{\mathcal{N}T}} = i_{Y_{H}^{\mathcal{N}T}}$. Now let $q \in Q \setminus \{ e_H \}$ and $z \in Z_{(m,q)}$, $w \in Z_{(n,e_H)}$.

Since $\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ i_{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T}}$ is the inclusion map and $\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T}$ is multiplicative, we see that

$$
\left( (\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T}) \circ i_{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T}} \right) \left( i_{Z_{(m,q)}}(z) i_{Z_{(n,e_H)}}(w)^* \right) = \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \left( i_{Z_{(m,q)}}(z) \right) \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \left( i_{Z_{(n,e_H)}}(w)^* \right) = i_{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T}} \left( i_{Z_{(m,q)}}(z) \right) i_{Y_{H}^{\mathcal{N}T}} \left( i_{Z_{(n,e_H)}}(w)^* \right) = i_{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T}} \left( i_{Z_{(m,q)}}(z) i_{Z_{(n,e_H)}}(w)^* \right).
$$

Since $Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T} = \overline{\text{span}} \{ i_{Z_{(m,q)}}(Z_{(m,q)}) i_{Z_{(n,e_H)}}(Z_{(n,e_H)})^* : m, n \in P \}$, and $i_{Y_{H}^{\mathcal{N}T}}$ is linear and isometric, we see that $(\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T}) \circ i_{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T}} = i_{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T}}$ for each $q \in Q \setminus \{ e_H \}$. Since $\mathcal{N}T_{Y^{\mathcal{N}T}}$ is generated by the image of $i_{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T}}$ and $\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T}$ is a homomorphism, we conclude that $\Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} \circ \Omega^{\mathcal{N}T} = \text{id}_{\mathcal{N}T_{Y^{\mathcal{N}T}}}$.

There are a number of results in the literature relating the coefficient algebra of a Hilbert bimodule to its Toeplitz algebra. By decomposing $\mathbb{N}^k$ as $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^{k-1}$, Theorem 4.17 enables us to view the Nica–Toeplitz algebra of a compactly aligned product system over $\mathbb{N}^k$ as a $k$-times iterated Toeplitz algebra, and so immediately extend these results to compactly aligned product systems over $\mathbb{N}^k$.

Corollary 4.18. Let $Z$ be a compactly aligned product system over $\mathbb{N}^k$ with coefficient algebra $A$. Then

(i) The homomorphism $i_{Z_0}$ induces an isomorphism between $K_*(A)$ and $K_*(\mathcal{N}T_Z)$;

(ii) If $A$ is separable and the fibres $\{ Z_{e_i} : 1 \leq i \leq k \}$ are countably generated as Hilbert $A$-modules, then $i_{Z_0}$ induces a $KK$-equivalence between $A$ and $\mathcal{N}T_Z$;

(iii) $A$ is exact if and only if $\mathcal{N}T_Z$ is exact; and

(iv) $A$ is nuclear if and only if $\mathcal{N}T_Z$ is nuclear.

Proof. Part (i) follows from [14] Proposition 8.2. Part (ii) follows from [21] Theorem 4.4 (the hypothesis that $A$ is separable and each $Z_{e_i}$ is countably generated ensures that the Nica–Toeplitz algebra we get at each step of the iterative procedure is separable). Part (iii) follows from [14] Theorem 7.1 and part (iv) from [14] Theorem 7.2.

5. Iterating the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner Construction

We would like to be able to replicate our work from Section 4 using Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras in place of Nica–Toeplitz algebras. Specifically, can we construct a product system $Y^{\mathcal{N}O}$ over $(H,Q)$ with coefficient algebra $\mathcal{N}O_X$ such that the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras of $Z$ and $Y^{\mathcal{N}O}$ are isomorphic?

The basic idea is to extend the interior portions of the commuting diagrams in Figures 1 and 2 by applying the canonical quotient homomorphisms $q_X : \mathcal{N}T_X \to \mathcal{N}O_X$ and $q_Z : \mathcal{N}T_Z \to \mathcal{N}O_Z$ at the relevant places. Since every Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant
representation is by definition a Nica covariant representation, many of the results from Section 4 that we require work exactly as before. Sometimes we will impose additional hypotheses to get things to work, and unsurprisingly, our proofs often become more complicated. We will add in hypotheses as and when needed, since we are not sure if they are necessary, in the hope that future work may be able to relax/remove them.

We now list the results that we will prove in Section 5 and summarise the various spaces and maps that we will be working. As before, we hope that if the reader loses track of what is going on, they will be able to return to this point for assistance.

1. In Proposition 5.3 we show that the inclusion of $X$ in $Z$ induces a homomorphism $\phi^N_X$ from $\mathcal{NO}_X$ to $\mathcal{NO}_Z$ such that $\phi^N_X \circ q_X = q_Z \circ \phi^N_X$.
2. In Proposition 5.6 we show that the homomorphism $\phi^N_X$ is injective.
3. In Proposition 5.7, we use $\phi^N_X$ to construct a collection of Hilbert $\mathcal{NO}_X$-bimodules $\{Y^N_q : q \in Q\}$ inside $\mathcal{NO}_Z$.
4. In Proposition 5.8, we show that $Y^N$ is a compactly aligned product system over $(H, Q)$ with coefficient algebra $\mathcal{NO}_X$.
5. In Proposition 5.12, we find sufficient conditions for $\mathcal{NO}_X$ to act faithfully on each fibre of $Y^N$.

Once we have the product system $Y^N$, we prove that $\mathcal{NO}_{Y^N} \cong \mathcal{NO}_Z$.

6. In Proposition 5.15, we use the universal Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representations of $Z$ and $Y^N$ to construct a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner representation $\varphi^N$ of $Z$ in $\mathcal{NO}_{Y^N}$. Using the universal property of $\mathcal{NO}_Z$, we get a homomorphism $\Omega^N : \mathcal{NO}_Z \to \mathcal{NO}_{Y^N}$ such that $\mathcal{NO}_Z \circ j_{(p,q)} = \Omega^N \circ j_{(p,q)}$.

7. In Proposition 5.18, we find sufficient conditions for the inclusion of $Y^N$ in $\mathcal{NO}_Z$ to be a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation. The universal property of $\mathcal{NO}_{Y^N}$ then gives a homomorphism $\Omega^N : \mathcal{NO}_{Y^N} \to \mathcal{NO}_Z$ such that $\mathcal{NO}_Z \circ j_{Y^N} = \Omega^N$ is the inclusion of $Y^N$ in $\mathcal{NO}_Z$.

8. In Theorem 5.20, we prove that $\Omega^N$ and $\Omega^N$ are mutually inverse isomorphisms.

In summary, we will show that for every $p \in P$ and $q \in Q$, the maps in the outer portions of Figure 1 and Figure 2 exist and make the diagrams commute.

5.1. Constructing the product systems. The first step is to check that the inclusion of $X$ in $Z$ induces a homomorphism from $\mathcal{NO}_X$ to $\mathcal{NO}_Z$, analogous to Proposition 4.1. To do this we need a couple of lemmas.

Lemma 5.1. Let $T \in \mathcal{K}_A(X_p)$ and $(s, t) \in P \times Q$. If $s \neq e_G$, then

$$I^{(s, t)}(T) = M^{Z_{(s, e_H)}, (e_G, t)} \circ \left( I^T \otimes_A id_{Z_{(e_G, t)}} \right) \circ \left( M_{Z_{(s, e_H)}, (e_G, t)} \right)^{-1}.$$

Proof. If $y \in Z_{(s, e_H)}$ and $z \in Z_{(e_G, t)}$ then

$$\left( M^{Z_{(s, e_H)}, (e_G, t)} \circ \left( I^T \otimes_A id_{Z_{(e_G, t)}} \right) \circ \left( M_{Z_{(s, e_H)}, (e_G, t)} \right)^{-1} \right)(yz) = I^T(y)z = \phi^e(T)(y)z = \phi^{(s, t)}(T)(yz) = I^{(s, t)}(T)(yz).$$

Since $M^{Z_{(s, e_H)}, (e_G, t)} \circ \left( I^T \otimes_A id_{Z_{(e_G, t)}} \right) \circ \left( M_{Z_{(s, e_H)}, (e_G, t)} \right)^{-1}$ and $I^{(s, t)}(T)$ are linear and continuous, and since $Z_{(s, t)} = \text{span} \{yz : y \in Z_{(s, e_H)}, z \in Z_{(e_G, t)} \}$ (as $s \neq e_G$), we see that Equation 5.1 holds when $p = e_G$. 
Thus it remains to show that (5.1) are zero. So suppose that \( p \leq s \). For any \( x \in Z(p,e_H), y \in Z(p,e_H), \) and \( z \in Z(e_G, t) \), we see that
\[
\left( M_{(s,e_H),(e_G,t)}^Z \circ \left( \iota_p^e(T) \otimes_A \text{id}_{Z(e_G,t)} \right) \right)(xyz) = \iota_p^e(T)(xy)z = (T(x)y)z = \iota_p^e(H,T)(xyz).
\]
Since \( Z(s,t) = \overline{\text{span}} \{ xyz : x \in Z(p,e_H), y \in Z(p,e_H), z \in Z(e_G,t) \} \), we conclude that (5.1) holds when \( p \neq e_G \) as well.

**Lemma 5.2.** Suppose \( A \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( X \) and each \( \widetilde{\phi}_{(p,q)} \) is injective. Fix a finite set \( F \subseteq P \) and a collection of compact operators \( \{ T_p \in K_A(X_p) : p \in F \} \) such that
\[
\sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A(X_s) \text{ for large } s \in P.
\]
Then
\[
\sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A(\tilde{Z}(s,t)) \text{ for large } (s, t) \in P \times A Q.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( (u, v) \in P \times A Q \). Hence, we can choose \( r \geq u \) such that whenever \( s \geq r \), we have \( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) = 0 \). Since \( (G \times A H, P \times A Q) \) has the product order, we know that \( (r, v) \geq (u, v) \). We claim that for any \((s, t) \geq (r, v)\), we have \( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A(\tilde{Z}(s,t)) \). Fix \( (s, t) \geq (r, v) \) and let \( z \in \tilde{Z}(s,t) \). We need to show that \( \left( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) \right) \) is zero in \( \tilde{Z}(s,t) \), which is equivalent to showing that \( \left( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) \right) \) is zero in \( Z(m,n) \) for every \((m, n) \leq (s, t)\). With this in mind, let \((m, n) \leq (s, t)\). If \( m \neq s \), then \( \alpha_{n-1}(m^{-1}s) \neq e_G \), and so \( (e_G, e_H) < (\alpha_{n-1}(m^{-1}s), e_H) \leq (\alpha_{n-1}(m^{-1}s), n^{-1}t) \). As \( A \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( X \), it acts faithfully on \( X_{\alpha_{n-1}(m^{-1}s)} = Z(\alpha_{n-1}(m^{-1}s), e_H) \) in particular, and so
\[
I_{(m,n)^{-1}(s,t)} = I_{(\alpha_{n-1}(m^{-1}s), n^{-1}t)} = \bigcap_{(e_G, e_H) < (x,y) \leq (m^{-1}s), n^{-1}t} \ker(\phi_{(x,y)}) = \{0\}
\]
Thus, if \( m \neq s \), then \( z_{(m,n)} \in Z(m,n) \cdot I_{(m,n)^{-1}(s,t)} = \{0\} \). Hence, for any \((m, n) \leq (s, t)\), we have
\[
\left( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) \right) \left( z_{(m,n)} \right) = \left( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) \right) \left( z_{(m,n)} \right) = \begin{cases} I_{(m,n)^{-1}(s,t)} \left( z_{(m,n)} \right) & \text{if } m = s \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \]
Thus it remains to show that \( \left( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) \right) \) is zero.

If \( s \neq e_G \), then Lemma 5.1 combined with the fact that \( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) = 0 \), shows that \( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) = 0 \). On the other hand, if \( s = e_G \), then
\[
0 = \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p^e(T_p) = \begin{cases} \iota_{e_G}^e(T_{e_G}) & \text{if } e_G \in F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} T_{e_G} & \text{if } e_G \in F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
and so either \( e \notin F \) or \( T_{eG} = 0 \). Thus,
\[
\left( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_{(p,eH)}(T_p) \right) \left( z_{(s,n)} \right) = \left( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_{(p,eH)}(T_p) \right) \left( z_{(eG,n)} \right) = \begin{cases} (\phi_{(eG,n)}(T_{eG})) \left( z_{(eG,n)} \right) & \text{if } eG \in F \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
is zero as required. We conclude that \( \sum_{p \in F} \tilde{\iota}_{(s,t)}(T_p) = 0 \) as claimed. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.3.** Suppose \( A \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( X \) and each \( \tilde{\phi}_{(p,q)} \) is injective. Then the inclusion of \( X \) in \( Z \) induces a homomorphism \( \phi^X_O : NO_X \to NO_Z \) such that \( \phi^X_O \left( j_X(x) \right) = j_Z(x) \) for each \( x \in X \). Thus, \( \phi^X_O \circ q_X = q_Z \circ \phi^X_T \).

**Proof.** We need to exhibit a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation of \( X \) in \( NO_Z \). For each \( p \in P \), define \( \psi^O_p : X_p \to NO_Z \) by \( \psi^O_p := j_Z(\iota_{p,eH}) \). Since \( \psi^O_p = q_Z \circ \psi_p^T \), where \( \psi^T \) is the Nica covariant representation of \( X \) from Proposition 4.1, we see that \( \psi^O \) is a Nica covariant representation of \( X \).

We now check that \( \psi^O \) is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant. Suppose \( F \subseteq P \) is finite and \( \{T_p \in K_A(X_p) : p \in F \} \) is a collection of compact operators such that \( \sum_{p \in F} i_p(T_p) = 0 \in L_A(X_s) \) for large \( s \in P \). We need to show that \( \sum_{p \in F} \psi^O_p(T_p) = 0 \). Since \( \psi^O_p(T_p) = j_{\tilde{\iota}_{(p,eH)}} \) for each \( p \in P \) and \( j_z \) is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant, it suffices to prove that \( \sum_{p \in F} \tilde{\iota}_{(s,t)}(T_p) = 0 \in L_A(\tilde{X}_{(s,t)}) \) for large \( (s,t) \in P \times Q \), which follows from Lemma 5.2. Thus, \( \psi^O \) is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant, and so there is a homomorphism \( \phi^O_X : NO_X \to NO_Z \) such that \( \phi^O_X \left( j_X(x) \right) = \psi^O(x) = j_Z(x) \) for each \( x \in X \).

Finally, since the image of \( i_X \) generates \( NO_X \), and
\[
\phi^O_X \circ q_X \circ i_X = \phi^O_X \circ j_X = j_Z|_X = q_Z \circ i_Z|_X = q_Z \circ \phi^T_X \circ i_X,
\]
we conclude that \( \phi^O_X \circ q_X = q_Z \circ \phi^T_X \). \( \square \)

It is not clear whether the hypotheses for Proposition 5.3 can be relaxed. The hypothesis that \( A \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( X \) allows us to make use of Lemma 5.2. If we tried to prove Lemma 5.2 without assuming that \( A \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( X \), we would need to prove that whenever \( F \subseteq P \) is finite and \( \{T_p \in K_A(X_p) : p \in F \} \) is a collection of compact operators such that \( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_p(T_p) \) is zero in \( L_A(\tilde{X}_s) \) for large \( s \in P \), then \( \sum_{p \in F} \iota_{(s,t)}(T_p) \) is zero in \( L_A(\tilde{X}_{(s,t)}) \) for large \( (s,t) \in P \times Q \). The following example using higher-rank graphs, shows that this need not be true. For the relevant background on higher-rank graphs and their associated Cuntz–Krieger algebras, see \( [23] \). For the relationship between product systems and higher-rank graphs see \( [23] \) and \( [29] \). [Section 5.3].

**Example 5.4.** Let \( \Gamma \) be the 2-graph consisting of three vertices \( u, v, w \), one edge \( \lambda \) of degree \((1,0)\), one edge \( \mu \) of degree \((0,1)\), with ranges and sources \( s(\lambda) = u, s(\mu) = w, \) and \( r(\lambda) = r(\mu) = v \). The associated compactly aligned product system \( Z \subseteq C^*(\Gamma) \) over \((Z^2, N^2)\) has fibres given by

\[
A := Z_{(0,0)} := \text{span}\{t_u, t_v, t_w\} = C^*\{t_u, t_v, t_w\}, \quad Z_{(1,0)} := \text{span}\{t_\lambda\},
\]
\[
Z_{(0,1)} := \text{span}\{t_\mu\}, \quad Z_{(m,n)} := \{0\} \quad \text{for all other } (m, n) \in N^2.
\]
From this, we define another compactly aligned product system $X$ over $(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N})$, whose fibres are given by $X_n := \mathbb{Z}_{(n,0)}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Example 3.3 of [29] shows that for any $n \geq 1$ we have $ar{X}_n = \text{span}\{t_\nu: \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{(n,0)}\} = \text{span}\{t_u, t_v, t_\lambda\}$, whilst for any $(n, m) \geq (1, 1)$ we have $ar{z}_{(n,m)} = \text{span}\{t_\nu: \nu \in \mathbb{Z}_{(n,m)}\} = \text{span}\{t_u, t_v, t_\mu\}$. Consider $t_\nu \in A \cong K_A(X_0)$ and $\Theta_{t_\lambda, t_\mu} \in K_A(X_1)$. Then $\tilde{i}_0^A(t_\nu) - \tilde{i}_1^A(\Theta_{t_\lambda, t_\mu}) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A(\bar{X}_n)$ for any $n \geq 1$. For any $(n, m) \geq (1, 1)$, since $r(\mu) = v$, we have $\tilde{i}_{(0,0)}(t_\nu)(t_\mu) = t_\mu$. Since $\lambda$ and $\mu$ have no minimal common extensions, it follows that $\tilde{i}_{(1,0)}(\Theta_{t_\lambda, t_\mu})(t_\mu) = t_\lambda t_\mu = 0$. Thus, $(\tilde{i}_{(0,0)}(t_\nu) - \tilde{i}_{(1,0)}(\Theta_{t_\lambda, t_\mu}))(t_\mu) = t_\mu$, and so $\tilde{i}_{(0,0)}(t_\nu) - \tilde{i}_{(1,0)}(\Theta_{t_\lambda, t_\mu}) \neq 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A(\bar{z}_{(n,m)})$.

We should not be particularly surprised by Example 5.4 — the product system $\mathcal{N}O_X$ associated to $\Gamma$ contains no two dimensional information (since the higher-rank graph $\Gamma$ contains no paths of degree $(1,1)$). It would be interesting to see whether this issue persists if we require the graph to be locally convex (see [24] Definition 3.9). If not, it would be interesting to see whether there is a notion of local convexity for arbitrary product systems over $\mathbb{N}^k$ (or even more general quasi-lattice ordered groups).

Similar to Subsection 4.1 in order to construct a product system sitting inside $\mathcal{N}O_X$, we need to check that the homomorphism $\phi_X^{\mathcal{N}O} : \mathcal{N}O_X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}O_Z$ is injective. The idea is to show that by restricting the canonical coaction of $G \rtimes_\alpha H$ on $\mathcal{N}O_Z$ to the image of $\phi_X^{\mathcal{N}O}$, we get a coaction of $G$ on $\phi_X^{\mathcal{N}O}(\mathcal{N}O_X)$. As such, we need to know when the group $C^*$-algebra of $G \rtimes_\alpha H$ contains a faithful copy of the group $C^*$-algebra of $G$.

**Lemma 5.5.** Let $G$ and $H$ be groups, and suppose that $\alpha : H \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ is a group homomorphism. Then there exists a homomorphism $\iota : C^*(G) \rightarrow C^*(G \rtimes_\alpha H)$ such that $\iota(i_G(g)) = i_{G \rtimes_\alpha H}(g, e_H)$ for each $g \in G$ (where $i_G$ and $i_{G \rtimes_\alpha H}$ are the universal unitary representations of $G$ and $G \rtimes_\alpha H$ respectively). If $G$ is amenable, the $\iota$ is injective. Thus, $C^*(G) \cong \text{span}\{i_{G \rtimes_\alpha H}(G \rtimes_\alpha \{e_H\})\} \subseteq C^*(G \rtimes_\alpha H)$.

**Proof.** It is straightforward to check that $g \mapsto i_{G \rtimes_\alpha H}((g, e_H))$ is a unitary representation of $G$ in $C^*(G \rtimes_\alpha H)$. The universal property of $C^*(G)$ then provides us with the homomorphism $\iota$.

We now assume that $G$ is amenable. Hence, $C^*(G) \cong C^*(\{T_g : g \in G\}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G))$, where for each $g \in G$, $T_g \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G))$ is defined by $T_g(f)(h) := f((g^{-1}h), h)$ for each $f \in \ell^2(G)$ and $h \in G$. Similarly, the map $(g, h) \mapsto S_{(g,h)} \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G \rtimes_\alpha H))$ where $S_{(g,h)}(f)(k, l) := f((g, h)^{-1}(k, l)) = f(\alpha_{h^{-1}}(g^{-1}k), h^{-1}l)$ for each $f \in \ell^2(G \rtimes_\alpha H)$ and $(k, l) \in G \rtimes_\alpha H$, is a unitary representation of $G \rtimes_\alpha H$. Clearly, if $f \in \ell^2(G)$, then the map $\tilde{f} : G \rtimes_\alpha H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\tilde{f}(g, h) := \delta_{h, e_H}f(g)$ belongs to $\ell^2(G \rtimes_\alpha H)$ and $\|f\|_{\ell^2(G)} = \|\tilde{f}\|_{\ell^2(G \rtimes_\alpha H)}$. Now let $F \subseteq G$ be a finite set. For any $f \in \ell^2(G)$ we have

\[
\left\| \sum_{g \in F} S_{(g, e_H)}(\tilde{f}) \right\|^2_{\ell^2(G \rtimes_\alpha H)} = \sum_{(k, h) \in G \rtimes_\alpha H} \left\| \sum_{g \in F} S_{(g, e_H)}(\tilde{f})(k, h) \right\|^2 = \sum_{(k, h) \in G \rtimes_\alpha H} \left\| \sum_{g \in F} \tilde{f}(g^{-1}k, h) \right\|^2 = \sum_{k \in G} \left\| \sum_{g \in F} f(g^{-1}k) \right\|^2 = \sum_{k \in G} \left\| T_g(f)(k) \right\|^2 = \left\| \sum_{g \in F} T_g(f) \right\|^2_{\ell^2(G)}.
\]
Thus, \[ \left\| \sum_{g \in F} T_g \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G))} \leq \left\| \sum_{g \in F} S_{(g,e_H)} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G \rtimes_a H))}. \] As \( \ell \) is norm-decreasing, we have
\[
\left\| \sum_{g \in F} i_G(g) \right\|_{C^*(G)} \geq \left\| \ell \left( \sum_{g \in F} i_G(g) \right) \right\|_{C^*(G \rtimes_a H)} = \left\| \sum_{g \in F} i_{G \rtimes_a H}(g,e_H) \right\|_{C^*(G \rtimes_a H)}.
\]
Since \( i_{G \rtimes_a H} \) is the universal unitary representation of \( G \rtimes_a H \), we deduce that
\[
\left\| \sum_{g \in F} i_G(g) \right\|_{C^*(G)} \geq \left\| \sum_{g \in F} S_{(g,e_H)} \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G \rtimes_a H))} \geq \left\| \sum_{g \in F} T_g \right\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^2(G))} = \left\| \sum_{g \in F} i_G(g) \right\|_{C^*(G)},
\]
where the last equality follows from the amenability of \( G \). Hence we have equality throughout, and so \( \ell \) is isometric on the dense subspace span \( \{i_G(g) : g \in G\} \) of \( C^*(G) \). We conclude that \( \ell \) is an isometry.

**Proposition 5.6.** Suppose \( A \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( X \), and each \( \bar{\phi}_{(p,q)} \) is injective, so that the homomorphism \( \phi^N_X : \mathcal{NO}_X \to \mathcal{NO}_Z \) from Proposition 5.3 exists. If \( G \) is an amenable group, then \( \phi^N_X \) is injective.

**Proof.** Since \( G \) is amenable, we can use \([3, Corollary 4.12]\) to show that \( \phi^N_X \) is injective. Firstly, we need to check that \( \phi^N_X|_{\mathcal{NO}_{\mathcal{X}G(A)}} \) is injective. Suppose \( a \in A \) is such that \( \phi^N_X(j_{x,a}(a)) = 0 \). Then \( j_{z_{(p,e_H)}}(a) = 0 \), which forces \( a = 0 \) since \( j_z \) is isometric by \([29, Theorem 4.1]\). Thus, \( \phi^N_X|_{\mathcal{NO}_{\mathcal{X}G(A)}} \) is injective.

Next, let \( \nu_Z : \mathcal{NO}_Z \to \mathcal{NO}_Z \otimes C^*(G \rtimes_a H) \) denote the canonical gauge coaction of \( G \rtimes_a H \) on \( \mathcal{NO}_Z \). Since
\[
\nu_Z(\phi^N_X(j_{x,p}(x))) = \nu_Z(j_{z_{(p,e_H)}}(x)) = j_{z_{(p,e_H)}}(x) \otimes i_{G \rtimes_a H}(p,e_H) = \phi^N_X(j_{x,p}(x)) \otimes (i_G(p)),
\]
for any \( x \in \mathcal{X}_p \), we can define \( \beta : \phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X) \to \phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X) \otimes C^*(G) \) by \( \beta := (\text{id}_{\phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X)} \otimes i_G) \circ \nu_Z|_{\phi(\mathcal{NO}_X)}. \) We claim that \( \beta \) is a coaction of \( G \) on \( \phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X) \).

Since \( \nu_Z \) and \( \text{id}_{\phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X)} \otimes (i_G \circ (i_G(p))) \) are injective homomorphisms, so is \( \beta \). If \( x \in \mathcal{X}_p \), then
\[
\left( (\beta \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \beta \right) (\phi^N_X(j_{x,p}(x))) = (\beta \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \left( j_{z_{(p,e_H)}}(x) \otimes i_G(p) \right) = j_{z_{(p,e_H)}}(x) \otimes i_G(p) = \left( \text{id}_{\phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X)} \right) \otimes (i_G \circ (i_G(p))) = \left( (\beta \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \beta \right) (\phi^N_X(j_{x,p}(x))).
\]
Since \( \phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X) \) is generated by the image of \( \phi^N_X \circ j_X \), and both \( (\beta \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \beta \) and \( (\text{id}_{\phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X)} \otimes \delta_G) \circ \beta \) are homomorphisms, we conclude that \( \beta \) satisfies the coaction identity. For any \( x \in \mathcal{X}_p, w \in \mathcal{X}_r, \) and \( g \in G \), we have
\[
\phi^N_X(j_{x,p}(x)j_{x,r}(w)^*) \otimes i_G(g) = (\phi^N_X(j_{x,p}(x)j_{x,r}(w)^*) \otimes i_G(g)) = \beta (\phi^N_X(j_{x,p}(x)j_{x,r}(w)^*)) \left( 1_{\mathcal{M}(\phi^N_X(\mathcal{NO}_X))} \otimes i_G(rp^{-1}g) \right).
\]
Thus, \( \phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_{X}) \otimes C^*(G) = \text{span}\{ \beta (\phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_{X})) (1_{\mathcal{M}}(\phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_{X})) \otimes C^*(G)) \} \), and so \( \beta \) is coaction nondegenerate. Finally, for any \( x \in X_{p} \), we have

\[
(\beta \circ \phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O}) (j_{x_{p}}(x)) = \left( \text{id}_{\phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_{X})} \otimes \iota^{-1} \right) (\nu_{Z} (j_{z_{(p,eH)}}(x))) \\
= \left( \text{id}_{\phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_{X})} \otimes \iota^{-1} \right) (j_{z_{(p,eH)}}(x) \otimes i_{G \times aH(p,eH)}) \\
= \left( (\phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \nu_{X} \right) (j_{x_{p}}(x)).
\]

Since \( \beta \circ \phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} \) and \( (\phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \nu_{X} \) are homomorphisms, and \( j_{x} \) generates \( \mathcal{N}O_{X} \), we see that \( \beta \circ \phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} = (\phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \nu_{X} \). By [3, Corollary 4.12], \( \phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} \) is injective. □

Almost identically to Subsection 4.1, we can use the injective homomorphism \( \phi_{X}^{\mathcal{N}O} \) to construct a product system. Firstly, we construct a collection of Hilbert \( \mathcal{N}O_{X} \)-bimodules \( \{ Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O} : q \in Q \setminus \{ e_{H} \} \} \). The idea is to make use of the collection of Hilbert \( \mathcal{N}T_{X} \)-bimodules \( \{ Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T} : q \in Q \setminus \{ e_{H} \} \} \) defined in Propositions 4.3 and 4.6 and apply the quotient maps \( q_{X} \) and \( q_{Z} \) at the appropriate places.

For each \( q \in Q \setminus \{ e_{H} \} \), we let \( Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O} = q_{Z} (Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}T}) \). We then show that there exists a right action of \( \mathcal{N}O_{X} \) on \( Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O} \), and a \( \mathcal{N}O_{X} \)-valued inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{N}O_{X}}^{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O}} \) on \( Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O} \), making the following pair of diagrams commute.

- **Figure 3.** The Hilbert \( \mathcal{N}O_{X} \)-module \( Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O} \)

We also show that there exists a left action of \( \mathcal{N}O_{X} \), implemented by a homomorphism \( \Phi_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O} : \mathcal{N}O_{X} \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{N}O_{X}} (Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O}) \), such that the left-hand diagram in the following figure commutes.

- **Figure 4.** The homomorphism \( \Phi_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O} \) and the Hilbert \( \mathcal{N}O_{X} \)-bimodule isomorphism \( M_{Y_{q}^{\mathcal{N}O}} \)
Proposition 5.7. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $X$, and each $\tilde{\phi}_{(p,q)}$ is injective, so that the homomorphism $\phi_X^{NO}$ of Proposition 5.3 exists and is injective. For each $q \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$, define

$$Y_q^{NO} := \text{span} \left\{ j_{z_{(e_G,q)}}(x)\phi_X^{NO}(b) : x \in Z_{(e_G,q)}, \ b \in NO_X \right\} \subseteq NO_Z.$$

Then $Y_q^{NO}$ carries a right action of $NO_X$ such that

$$y \cdot b = y\phi_X^{NO}(b) \quad \text{for each } y \in Y_q^{NO} \text{ and } b \in NO_X.$$

For each $y,w \in Y_q^{NO}$, we have $y^*w \in \phi_X^{NO}(NO_X)$, and there is an $NO_X$ valued inner-product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NO_X} : Y_q^{NO} \times Y_q^{NO} \to NO_X$ such that

$$\langle y,w \rangle_{NO_X} = (\phi_X^{NO})^{-1}(y^*w) \quad \text{for each } y,w \in Y_q^{NO}.$$

With this structure, $Y_q^{NO}$ becomes a right Hilbert $NO_X$-module. Furthermore, there exists a homomorphism $\Phi_q^{NO} : NO_X \to \mathcal{L}NO_X \left( Y_q^{NO} \right)$ such that

$$\Phi_q^{NO}(b)(y) = \phi_X^{NO}(b)y \quad \text{for each } b \in NO_X \text{ and } y \in Y_q^{NO}.$$

With this additional structure, $Y_q^{NO}$ becomes a Hilbert $NO_X$-bimodule.

Proof. We have effectively already completed all of the necessary calculations in Subsection 4.1 to prove the result. Rather than just rerunning the arguments of Propositions 4.3 and 4.6 with $Y_q^{NO}$ in place of $Y_q^{NT}$, we will show how these two spaces are related via the quotient maps on $NT_X$ and $NT_Z$ and use this to prove the result. The key observation is that the quotient homomorphisms $q_X$ and $q_Z$ intertwine the homomorphisms $\phi_X^{NT}$ and $\phi_X^{NO}$.

Since $q_Z \circ i_Z = j_Z$ and $q_Z \circ \phi_X^{NT} = \phi_X^{NO} \circ q_X$, we have

$$Y_q^{NO} = \text{span} \left\{ j_{z_{(e_G,q)}}(x)\phi_X^{NO}(b) : x \in Z_{(e_G,q)}, \ b \in NO_X \right\} = q_Z \left( \text{span} \left\{ i_{z_{(e_G,q)}}(x)\phi_X^{NT}(b) : x \in Z_{(e_G,q)}, \ b \in NT_X \right\} \right) = q_Z \left( Y_q^{NT} \right).$$

We now show how the right actions of $NO_X$ on $Y_q^{NO}$ can be obtained from the right action of $NT_X$ on $Y_q^{NT}$. For any $a \in NT_X$ and $y \in Y_q^{NT}$, we have

$$q_Z(y \cdot a) = q_Z(y\phi_X^{NT}(a)) = q_Z(y)q_Z(\phi_X^{NT}(a)) = q_Z(y)\phi_X^{NO}(q_X(a)). \quad (5.2)$$

If $a' \in NT_X$ and $y' \in Y_q^{NT}$ with $q_X(a) = q_X(a')$ and $q_Z(y) = q_Z(y')$, then (5.2) is equal to

$$q_Z(y')\phi_X^{NO}(q_X(a')) = q_Z(y')q_Z(\phi_X^{NT}(a')) = q_Z(y'\phi_X^{NT}(a')) = q_Z(y' \cdot a')$$

Thus, $Y_q^{NO}$ carries a right action of $NO_X$ defined by the formula

$$q_Z(y) \cdot q_X(a) := q_Z(y \cdot a) \quad \text{for each } a \in NT_X \text{ and } y \in Y_q^{NT}.$$

Moreover, (5.2) shows that

$$y \cdot a = y\phi_X^{NO}(a) \quad \text{for any } a \in NO_X \text{ and } y \in Y_q^{NO}.$$

Next we show how the $NO_X$ valued inner-product on $Y_q^{NO}$ can be obtained from the $NT_X$ valued inner-product on $Y_q^{NT}$. For any $y,w \in Y_q^{NT}$, we have

$$q_X \left( (y,w)_{NT_X}^q \right) = q_X \left( (\phi_X^{NT})^{-1}(y^*w) \right) = (\phi_X^{NO})^{-1}(q_Z(y)q_Z(w)). \quad (5.3)$$
If $y', w' \in Y_{q}^{NT}$ with $qz(y) = qz(y')$ and $qz(w) = qz(w')$, then this is equal to $(\phi_{X}^{NO})^{-1}(qz(y')qz(w')) = (\phi_{X}^{NO})^{-1}(qz(y')w')) = qx((\phi_{X}^{NT})^{-1}(y'w')) = qx((y', w')_{q}^{NT})$.

Thus, we can define $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{NOCX}^{q} : Y_{q}^{NO} \times Y_{q}^{NO} \to NOX$ by

$$\langle qz(y), qz(w) \rangle_{NOCX}^{q} := qx((y, w)_{q}^{NT})$$

for any $y, w \in Y_{q}^{NT}$.

Moreover, (5.3) shows that

$$\langle y, w \rangle_{NOCX}^{q} := (\phi_{X}^{NO})^{-1}(y'w') \quad \text{for any} \ y, w \in Y_{q}^{NO}. \tag{5.4}$$

Using (5.4) and (5.6), it is routine to check that $\Phi_{q}^{NO}$ carries a left action of $NOCX$ by adjointable operators. We now show how the homomorphism $\Phi_{q}^{NO} : NOX \to L_{NOX}(Y_{q}^{NO})$ can be obtained from the homomorphism $\Phi_{q}^{NT} : NTX \to L_{NTX}(Y_{q}^{NT})$. For any $a \in NTX$ and $y \in Y_{q}^{NT}$, we have

$$qz(\Phi_{q}^{NT}(a)(y)) = qz((\phi_{X}^{NT}(a))y) = qz(\phi_{X}^{NO}(qX(a))) = qz(y). \tag{5.5}$$

If $a' \in NTX$ and $y' \in Y_{q}^{NT}$ with $qX(a) = qX(a')$ and $qz(y) = qz(y')$, then (5.5) is equal to

$$\phi_{X}^{NO}(qX(a'))qz(y') = qz(\phi_{X}^{NT}(a'))qz(y') = qz(\phi_{X}^{NT}(a')y') = qz(\Phi_{q}^{NT}(a')(y')).$$

Thus, for each $a \in NTX$, there exists a well-defined map $\Phi_{q}^{NO}(qX(a)) : Y_{q}^{NO} \to Y_{q}^{NO}$ given by

$$\Phi_{q}^{NO}(qX(a))(qz(y)) := qz(\Phi_{q}^{NT}(a)(y)) \quad \text{for each} \ y \in Y_{q}^{NT}. \tag{5.6}$$

Moreover, (5.5) shows that

$$\Phi_{q}^{NO}(a)(y) = \phi_{X}^{NO}(a)y \quad \text{for any} \ a \in NOX \text{ and } y \in Y_{q}^{NO}. \tag{5.6}$$

Using (5.4) and (5.6), it is routine to check that $\Phi_{q}^{NO}(qX(a))$ is an adjointable map on $Y_{q}^{NO}$ and the map $qX(a) \mapsto \Phi_{q}^{NO}(qX(a))$ is a homomorphism from $NOX$ to $L_{NOX}(Y_{q}^{NO})$, which we denote by $\Phi_{q}^{NO}$.

The next result shows that if $Y_{eH}^{NO} := NOX \cap NOX$, then $Y_{q}^{NO} := \bigcup_{q \in Q} Y_{q}^{NO}$ has the structure of a compactly aligned product system.

The idea is to use the Hilbert $NTX$-bimodule isomorphism $M_{q}^{YN} : Y_{q}^{NT} \otimes NTX Y_{q}^{NT} \to Y_{q}^{NT}$ from Proposition 4.7 to define a Hilbert $NOX$-bimodule isomorphism $M_{q}^{YN} : Y_{q}^{NO} \otimes NOX Y_{q}^{NO} \to Y_{q}^{NO}$, such that the right-hand diagram in Figure 4 commutes.

**Proposition 5.8.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $X$, and each $\tilde{\omega}_{(p,q)}$ is injective, so that the collection of Hilbert $NOX$-bimodules $\{Y_{q}^{NO} : q \in Q \setminus \{e_{H}\}\}$ from Proposition 5.7 exists.

Let $Y_{eH}^{NO} := NOX \cap NOX$, and for each $q \in Q \setminus \{e_{H}\}$, define $Y_{q}^{NO}$ as in Proposition 5.7. Then $Y_{q}^{NO} := \bigcup_{q \in Q} Y_{q}^{NO}$ is a compactly aligned product system over $(H, Q)$ with coefficient algebra $NOX$, with multiplication in $Y_{q}^{NO}$ given by multiplication in $NOZ$.

**Proof.** We have already shown that each $Y_{q}^{NO}$ is a Hilbert $NOX$-bimodule. To show that $Y_{q}^{NO} := \bigcup_{q \in Q} Y_{q}^{NO}$ is a product system over $(H, Q)$ with coefficient algebra $NOX$ and multiplication inherited from $NOZ$, we need only check that there exists a Hilbert
$N\mathcal{O}_X$-bimodule isomorphism $M^{Y_{N^O}}_{q,t} : Y^O\otimes_{N\mathcal{O}_X} Y^N_{t-q} \to Y^N_{q-t}$ for each $q, t \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$ such that

$$M^{Y_{N^O}}_{q,t} (y \otimes_{N\mathcal{O}_X} w) = yw \text{ for each } y \in Y^O_q, w \in Y^N_{t-q}. \tag{5.7}$$

Rather than just re-run our argument from Proposition 4.11 with $Y^N_{q-t}$ in place of $Y^N_{q-t}$, we will show how these isomorphisms can be obtained from the Hilbert $N\mathcal{T}_X$-bimodule isomorphisms $M^{Y_{q-t}^N} : Y^N_{q-t} \otimes_{N\mathcal{T}_X} Y^N_{t-q} \to Y^N_{q-t}$ using the quotient map $qz$. Observe that if $y, y' \in Y^N_{q-t}$ with $qz(y) = qz(y')$ and $w, w' \in Y^N_{t-q}$ with $qz(w) = qz(w')$, then

$$qz \left(M^{Y_{q-t}^N}_{q,t} (y \otimes_{N\mathcal{T}_X} w)\right) = qz (y w) = qz (y' w') = qz \left(M^{Y_{q-t}^N}_{q,t} (y' \otimes_{N\mathcal{T}_X} w')\right).$$

Hence, there is a well-defined map $M^{Y_{N^O}}_{q,t} : Y^O_q \otimes_{N\mathcal{O}_X} Y^N_{t-q} \to Y^N_{q-t}$ given by

$$M^{Y_{N^O}}_{q,t} ((qz \otimes_{N\mathcal{O}_X} qz)(z)) := qz \left(M^{Y_{N^O}}_{q,t} (z)\right) \text{ for each } z \in Y^N_{q-t} \otimes_{N\mathcal{T}_X} Y^N_{t-q},$$

which satisfies (5.7). Since $M^{Y_{q-t}^N}_{q,t}$ is surjective and $qz (Y^N_{q-t}) = Y^N_{q-t}$, the map $M^{Y_{N^O}}_{q,t}$ is also surjective. Routine calculations using (5.7) show that $M^{Y_{N^O}}_{q,t}$ is inner-product preserving and left $N\mathcal{O}_X$-linear. Hence, $M^{Y_{N^O}}_{q,t}$ is a Hilbert $N\mathcal{O}_X$-bimodule isomorphism. We conclude that $Y^N_{q-t}$ has the structure of a product system.

It remains to show that $Y^N_{q-t}$ is compactly aligned. Let $S \in \mathcal{K}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} (Y^N_{q-t})$ and $T \in \mathcal{K}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} (Y^N_{t-q})$ with $q \vee t < \infty$. We need to show that $\iota^q_{v^t} (S) \iota^q_{v^t} (T) \in \mathcal{K}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} (Y^N_{q-v^t})$. If $q = e_H$ or $t = e_H$, the result is trivial, so we may as well suppose that $q, t \neq e_H$. Since $\iota^q_{v^t}$ and $\iota^q_{v^t}$ are linear and continuous, as is multiplication in $\mathcal{L}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} (Y^N_{q-v^t})$, we may as well assume that $S$ and $T$ are rank one operators. Hence, $S = qz(x_{qz(u)})$ and $T = qz(x_{qz(v)})$ for some $x, y \in Y^N_{q-t}$ and $u, v \in Y^N_{t-q}$. Then for any $z \in Y^N_{q-v^t}$, we have

$$\left(\iota^q_{v^t} (qz(x_{qz(y)})) \iota^q_{v^t} (qz(x_{qz(v)}))\right) (qz(z)) = (M^{qz(x)}_{qz(u),qz(v)}} (qz(z)) \left(M^{qz(x)}_{qz(u),qz(v)}} (qz(z))\right) = qz \left(M_{x_{vw},vw} (z)\right).$$

Lemma 4.11 and Proposition 4.12 show that $M_{x_{vw},vw} \in \mathcal{K}_{N\mathcal{T}_X} (Y^N_{q-v^t})$. Thus, the previous line can be approximated by sums of the form $qz (\Theta_{x_{\alpha}}, qz(z)) = qz (\Theta_{x_{\alpha}}, qz(z))$, where $\alpha, \beta \in Y^N_{q-v^t}$. Since $z \in Y^N_{q-v^t}$ was arbitrary and $Y^N_{q-v^t} = qz (Y^N_{q-v^t})$ for each $r \in Q \setminus \{e_H\}$, we conclude that $\iota^q_{v^t} (qz(x_{qz(y)})) \iota^q_{v^t} (qz(x_{qz(v)}))$ can be approximated by sums of operators in $\mathcal{K}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} (Y^N_{q-v^t})$. Thus, $\iota^q_{v^t} (qz(x_{qz(y)})) \iota^q_{v^t} (qz(z_{qz(v)})) \in \mathcal{K}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} (Y^N_{q-v^t})$ as required.

Shortly, we will examine the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of the compactly aligned product system $Y^N_{q-t}$. To make the calculations tractable, we seek sufficient conditions for the homomorphisms $\Phi^{Y_{N^O}} : N\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{L}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} (Y^N_{q-t})$ that implement the left action of $N\mathcal{O}_X$ on the fibres of $Y^N_{q-t}$ to be injective. Again, we will make use of [3, Corollary 4.12].

The main step is showing that $\Phi^{Y_{N^O}} (N\mathcal{O}_X) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} (Y^N_{q-t})$ carries a coaction of $G$ that intertwines $\Phi^{Y_{N^O}}$ with the canonical coaction of $G$ on $N\mathcal{O}_X$. We first need some preliminary results.

**Lemma 5.9.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $X$, and each $\phi_{(p,q)}$ is injective, so that the product system $Y^N_{q-t}$ from Proposition 5.8 exists. Then $\nu_{z \circ \phi_{X}^{Y_{N^O}}} = (\phi_{X}^{Y_{N^O}} \circ \iota) \circ \nu_{X}$. Furthermore, if $a \in N\mathcal{O}_X$ and $y \in Y^N_{q-t}$, then $\nu_{z \circ \phi_{X}^{Y_{N^O}}} (a) (y) = [\left(\Phi^{Y_{N^O}} \circ \iota \right) (\nu_{X} (a))] (\nu_{z} (y)).$
Proof. Since both $\nu_Z \circ \phi_{X}^{(q)}$ and $(\phi_{X}^{(q)} \otimes \iota) \circ \nu_X$ are $*$-homomorphisms, it suffices to check that they agree on the generators of $\mathcal{NO}_X$. If $x \in X_p$, then

$$
\nu_Z \left( \phi_{X}^{(q)}(j_{X_p}(x)) \right) = \nu_Z \left( j_{Z_{(p,r,H)}}(x) \right) = j_{Z_{(r,e,H)}}(x) \otimes i_{G \times H} \left( p, e_H \right) = \left( \phi_{X}^{(q)} \otimes \iota \right) \left( j_{X_p}(x) \right),
$$

as required. Since $\nu_Z$ is a homomorphism, we see that for any $a \in \mathcal{NO}_X$ and $y \in Y_q^{NO}$,

$$
\nu_Z \left( \Phi_q^{NO}(a)(y) \right) = \nu_Z \left( \phi_{X}^{(q)}(a) \nu_Z(y) \right) = \left( \phi_{X}^{(q)} \otimes \iota \right) \left( \nu_Z(a) \nu_Z(y) \right) = \left( \Phi_q^{NO} \otimes \iota \right) \left( \nu_Z(a) \nu_Z(y) \right).
$$

Lemma 5.10. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, $\Lambda$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $X$, and each $\tilde{\phi}_{(p,q)}$ is injective, so that the product system $Y_q^{NO}$ from Proposition 5.8 exists. Then for each $q \in Q$,

$$
Y_q^{NO} \otimes C^*(G) = \text{span} \left\{ (\text{id}_{Y_q^{NO}} \otimes \iota^{-1}) \left[ \nu_Z \left( Y_q^{NO} \otimes i_{G \times a_H} \left( X \times \left\{ q^{-1} \right\} \right) \right) \right] \right\}.
$$

Proof. We begin by showing $\subseteq$. Fix $g \in G$ and $z \in Z_{(p,q)}$, $w \in Z_{(r,e,H)}$. Since $(p,q) \left( r, e \right)^{-1} (\rho_{q^{-1}} \left( p^{-1} g \right), q^{-1}) = (g, e_H)$, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu_Z \left( j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Z_{(r,e,H)}}(w) \right) & = \nu_Z \left( j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Z_{(r,e,H)}}(w) \right) \left( 1_{M(\mathcal{NO}_Z)} \otimes i_{G \times a_H} \left( r, e \right)^{-1} \left( p^{-1} g, q^{-1} \right) \right) \\
& \in \text{span} \left\{ \left( \text{id}_{Y_q^{NO}} \otimes \iota^{-1} \right) \left[ \nu_Z \left( Y_q^{NO} \otimes i_{G \times a_H} \left( X \times \left\{ q^{-1} \right\} \right) \right) \right] \right\}.
\end{align*}
$$

Since $Y_q^{NO} = \text{span} \left\{ j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Z_{(r,e,H)}}(w) : p, r \in P, z \in Z_{(p,q)}, w \in Z_{(r,e,H)} \right\}$ and $C^*(G) = \text{span} \left\{ i_G(g) : g \in G \right\}$, we conclude that $\subseteq$ holds.

We now prove $\supseteq$. Fix $p, r \in P, g \in G, z \in Z_{(p,q)}$, and $w \in Z_{(r,e,H)}$. Since $(p,q) \left( r, e \right)^{-1} (g, q^{-1}) = (\rho_{a_q} \left( r^{-1} g \right), e_H)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu_Z \left( j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Z_{(r,e,H)}}(w) \right) & = \nu_Z \left( j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Z_{(r,e,H)}}(w) \right) \left( 1_{M(\mathcal{NO}_Z)} \otimes i_{G \times a_H} \left( g, q^{-1} \right) \right) \\
& = j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Z_{(r,e,H)}}(w) \otimes i_G \left( \rho_{a_q} \left( r^{-1} g \right) \right) \in Y_q^{NO} \otimes C^*(G).
\end{align*}
$$

Since $Y_q^{NO} = \text{span} \left\{ j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Z_{(r,e,H)}}(w) : p, r \in P, z \in Z_{(p,q)}, w \in Z_{(r,e,H)} \right\}$ and $g \in G$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $\supseteq$ holds.

Lemma 5.11. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, $\Lambda$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $X$, and each $\tilde{\phi}_{(p,q)}$ is injective, so that the product system $Y_q^{NO}$ from Proposition 5.8 exists. If $\Phi_q^{NO}(a) = 0 \in \mathcal{LO}(Y_q^{NO})$ for some $a \in \mathcal{LO}_X$, then $(\Phi_q^{NO} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)})(\nu_X(a)) = 0 \in \mathcal{LO}_X \left( Y_q^{NO} \otimes C^*(G) \right)$.

Proof. Suppose $a \in \mathcal{LO}_X$ is such that $\Phi_q^{NO}(a) = 0 \in \mathcal{LO}_X \left( Y_q^{NO} \right)$. We want to show that $(\Phi_q^{NO} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)})(\nu_X(a)) = 0 \in \mathcal{LO}_X \left( Y_q^{NO} \otimes C^*(G) \right)$. Since the tensor product $\mathcal{LO}_X \left( Y_q^{NO} \otimes C^*(G) \right)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{LO}_X \left( Y_q^{NO} \otimes C^*(G) \right)$, which embeds isometrically in $\mathcal{LO}_X \otimes C^*(G) \left( Y_q^{NO} \otimes C^*(G) \right)$ (see [17, Chapter 4] for the details regarding exterior tensor products of Hilbert modules), it suffices to show that $(\Phi_q^{NO} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)})(\nu_X(a))$.
acts as the zero operator on $Y^N_\varnothing \otimes C^\ast(G)$. By Lemma 5.10, it suffices to show that $(\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}) (\nu_X(a))$ is the zero operator on

$$\mathbb{N} \left\{ \left( \text{id}_{Y^N_\varnothing} \otimes \iota^{-1} \right) \left[ \nu_Z \left( Y^N_\varnothing \right) (1_{\mathcal{M}(N\mathcal{O}_Z)} \otimes i_{G \times \alpha H} (G \times \{q^{-1}\})) \right] \right\}.$$ 

To do this we will use the fact that

$$(\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}) (\nu_X(a)) \circ \left( \text{id}_{Y^N_\varnothing} \otimes \iota^{-1} \right) = \left( \text{id}_{Y^N_\varnothing} \otimes \iota^{-1} \right) \circ (\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \iota) (\nu_X(a)),$$

and $(\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \iota) (\nu_X(a))$ is left multiplication by $(\phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \iota) (\nu_X(a))$ on $Y^N_\varnothing \otimes C^\ast(G \rtimes \alpha H) \subseteq N\mathcal{O}_Z \otimes C^\ast(G \rtimes \alpha H) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(N\mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes C^\ast(G \rtimes \alpha H).$

For any $y \in Y^N_\varnothing$ and $g \in G$, since multiplication in $\mathcal{M}(N\mathcal{O}_Z) \otimes C^\ast(G \rtimes \alpha H)$ is associative, we see that

$$(\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}) (\nu_X(a)) \left( \left( \text{id}_{Y^N_\varnothing} \otimes \iota^{-1} \right) \left[ \nu_Z(y) (1_{\mathcal{M}(N\mathcal{O}_Z)} \otimes i_{G \times \alpha H} (g, q^{-1})) \right] \right) = \left( \text{id}_{Y^N_\varnothing} \otimes \iota^{-1} \right) \left( \left( [\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \iota] (\nu_X(a)) (\nu_Z(y)) \right) (1_{\mathcal{M}(N\mathcal{O}_Z)} \otimes i_{G \times \alpha H} (g, q^{-1})) \right).$$

By Lemma 5.9, we have $(\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \iota) (\nu_X(a)) (\nu_Z(y)) = \nu_Z(\Phi^N_\varnothing(a)(y)) = 0$. Thus, (5.8) is zero, and we conclude that $(\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}) (\nu_X(a))$ is the zero operator on $Y^N_\varnothing \otimes C^\ast(G)$ as required.

We are now ready to show that the homomorphism $\Phi^N_\varnothing : N\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{L}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} \left( Y^N_\varnothing \right)$ is injective.

**Proposition 5.12.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, $A_j$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $X$, and each $\Phi_{(a,q)}$ is injective, so that the product system $Y^N_A$ from Proposition 5.8 exists. If $A_j$ acts faithfully on $\mathcal{Z}_{(a,q)}$, then $\Phi^N_{\varnothing} : N\mathcal{O}_X \to \mathcal{L}_{N\mathcal{O}_X} \left( Y^N_{\varnothing} \right)$ is injective.

**Proof.** Since $G$ is amenable we can use [3] Corollary 4.12 to prove the result. We begin by checking that $\Phi^N_\varnothing|_{X_{(a,q)}}$ is injective. Suppose $a \in A$ is such that $\Phi^N_\varnothing (jx_{(a)}(a)) = 0$. For any $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{(a,q)}$, we then have that

$$0 = \Phi^N_\varnothing (jx_{(a)}(a)) (jz_{(a,q)}(z)) = jz_{(a,q)}(a)jz_{(a,q)}(z) = jz_{(a,q)}(a \cdot z).$$

Since $jz_{(a,q)}$ is isometric and $A_j$ acts faithfully on $\mathcal{Z}_{(a,q)}$, we deduce that $a = 0$, and so $jx_{(a,q)}(a) = 0$.

We now need to show that there is a coaction $\beta$ of $G$ on $\Phi^N_\varnothing (N\mathcal{O}_X)$ that intertwines $\Phi^N_\varnothing$ with the canonical gauge coaction of $G$ on $N\mathcal{O}_X$. Lemma 5.11 shows that there is a well-defined map $\beta : \Phi^N_\varnothing (N\mathcal{O}_X) \to \Phi^N_\varnothing (N\mathcal{O}_X) \otimes C^\ast(G)$ such that $\beta \circ \Phi^N_\varnothing = (\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}) \circ \nu_X$. Since $\Phi^N_\varnothing$, $\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}$, and $\nu_X$ are all homomorphisms, we see that $\beta$ is a homomorphism.

We now check that $\beta$ satisfies the coaction identity. We see that

$$\left( \beta \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)} \right) \circ \beta \circ \Phi^N_\varnothing = \left( \beta \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)} \right) \circ (\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}) \circ \nu_X$$

$$= \left( (\beta \circ \Phi^N_\varnothing) \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)} \right) \circ \nu_X$$

$$= (\Phi^N_\varnothing \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)} \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}) \circ (\nu_X \otimes \text{id}_{C^\ast(G)}) \circ \nu_X.$$
Since $\nu_X$ satisfies the coaction identity $(\nu_X \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \nu_X = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{N}O_X} \otimes \delta_G) \circ \nu_X$, the last line is equal to

$$
(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ (\text{id}_{\mathcal{N}O_X} \otimes \delta_G) \circ \nu_X
$$

$$
= \left(\text{id}_{\mathcal{N}O_X} \otimes \delta_G\right) \circ (\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \nu_X = \left(\text{id}_{\mathcal{N}O_X} \otimes \delta_G\right) \circ \beta \circ \Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O}.
$$

Thus, $(\beta \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) \circ \beta = (\text{id}_{\mathcal{N}O_X} \otimes \delta_G) \circ \beta$, and so $\beta$ satisfies the coaction identity.

We also need to show that $\beta$ is coaction nondegenerate. We have

$$
\text{span} \left\{ \beta (\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_X)) \left(1_{\mathcal{M}(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_X))} \otimes C^*(G)\right) \right\}
$$

$$
= \text{span} \left\{ ((\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) (\nu_X (\mathcal{N}O_X)) (1_{\mathcal{M}(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_X))} \otimes C^*(G)) \right\}
$$

$$
= \text{span} \left\{ \nu_X (\mathcal{N}O_X) \left(1_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}O_X)} \otimes C^*(G)\right) \right\}.
$$

Since $\nu_X$ is coaction nondegenerate, this is equal to $(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) (\mathcal{N}O_X \otimes C^*(G)) = \Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} (\mathcal{N}O_X) \otimes C^*(G)$, and we see that $\beta$ is coaction nondegenerate.

Finally, we check that $\beta$ is injective. Suppose $\beta (\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O}(a)) = 0$ for some $a \in \mathcal{N}O_X$. We must show that $\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O}(a) = 0$. We will make use of the fact that $(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \iota) (\nu_X(a))$ is left multiplication by $(\tilde{\phi}_X^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \iota) (\nu_X(a))$ on

$$
Y_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes C^*(G \rtimes_H H) \subseteq \mathcal{N}O_Z \otimes C^*(G \rtimes_a H) \subseteq \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}O_Z) \otimes C^*(G \rtimes_a H),
$$

and multiplication in $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}O_Z) \otimes C^*(G \rtimes_a H)$ is associative. For any $y \in Y_q^{\mathcal{N}O}$, Lemma 5.9 shows that

$$
\nu_Z \left(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O}(a)(y)\right).
$$

$$
= \left(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \iota\right) \left(\nu_X(a)\right) (\nu_Z(y))
$$

$$
= \left(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \iota\right) \left(\nu_X(a)\right) \left(\nu_Z(y) \left(1_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}O_X)} \otimes \iota_{G^a, H} (e_G, q^{-1})\right)\right) \left(1_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}O_Z)} \otimes \iota_{G^a, H} (e_G, q)\right).
$$

Since $\nu_Z(y) \left(1_{\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{N}O_Z)} \otimes \iota_{G^a, H} (e_G, q^{-1})\right) \in Y_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \iota (C^*(G))$ by Lemma 5.10 and

$$
(\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \iota) (\nu_X(a)) = \left(\text{id}_{Y_q^{\mathcal{N}O}} \otimes \iota\right) \circ (\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O} \otimes \text{id}_{C^*(G)}) (\nu_X(a)) \circ \left(\text{id}_{Y_q^{\mathcal{N}O}} \otimes \iota^{-1}\right)
$$

$$
= \left(\text{id}_{Y_q^{\mathcal{N}O}} \otimes \iota\right) \circ \beta (\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O}(a)) \circ \left(\text{id}_{Y_q^{\mathcal{N}O}} \otimes \iota^{-1}\right) = 0,
$$

we conclude that $\left[5.9\right]$ is zero. As $\nu_Z$ is injective, we must have $\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O}(a)(y) = 0$. As $y \in Y_q^{\mathcal{N}O}$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O}(a) = 0$. Hence, $\beta$ is injective.

Putting all of this together and applying [3, Corollary 4.12], we conclude that $\Phi_q^{\mathcal{N}O}$ is injective.

In the next subsection we will investigate the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of $Y^{\mathcal{N}O}$. To make our calculations tractable we will assume that $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Z$ — by Proposition 5.12 this then implies that $\mathcal{N}O_X$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Y^{\mathcal{N}O}$. Moreover, if $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Z$, then $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $X$ and each $\tilde{\phi}_{(p,q)}$ is injective, ensuring that all of the results from Subsection 5.1 hold.
5.2. Isomorphisms of Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras. With the product system $Y_{\mathbf{N}O}$ defined in the previous subsection, we will show that $\mathcal{N}O_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}} \cong \mathcal{N}O_{Z}$. To do this we will use the universal property of each $C^*$-algebra to induce a homomorphism from one to the other, and then check that these homomorphisms are the inverses of each other. To make our arguments easier to write down, we will identify the coefficient algebra $\mathcal{N}O_X$ of $Y_{\mathbf{N}O}$ with $\phi_X^\mathcal{N}O(\mathcal{N}O_X) \subseteq \mathcal{N}O_Z$. Thus, every fibre of $Y_{\mathbf{N}O}$ can be viewed as sitting inside $\mathcal{N}O_Z$, and the left and right actions of $\mathcal{N}O_X \cong \phi_X^\mathcal{N}O(\mathcal{N}O_X)$ on each $Y_q^{\mathbf{N}O}$ are multiplication in $\mathcal{N}O_Z$.

We begin by getting a homomorphism from $\mathcal{N}O_Z$ to $\mathcal{N}O_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}}$ by exhibiting a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation of $Z$ in $\mathcal{N}O_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}}$. First, we need a couple of lemmas.

Lemma 5.13. Suppose $(K, R)$ is a quasi-lattice ordered group. Let $F \subseteq R$ be finite. Then $F = \{r \in F : r \leq m\} \cup \{r \in F : r \vee m = \infty\}$ for large $m \in R$.

Proof. Clearly, $\{r \in F : r \leq m\} \cup \{r \in F : r \vee m = \infty\} \subseteq F$ for any $m \in R$ (in particular for large $m$). Thus, it remains to show that $F \subseteq \{r \in F : r \leq m\} \cup \{r \in F : r \vee m = \infty\}$ for large $m$. Fix $r \in R$, and let $F'$ be a maximal element of the collection $\{F' \subseteq F \cup \{p\} : F' contains p and is bounded above\}$ (partially ordered by set inclusion), which exists since $F$ is finite whilst $\{p\} \subseteq F \cup \{p\}$ is bounded above (by $p$) and contains $p$. Let $n$ be an upper bound for $F'$. Since $p \in F'$, we have that $p \leq n$. Suppose that $m \in R$ with $n \leq m$. Let $r \in F$. If $r \in F'$ then $r \leq n \leq m$. Alternatively, if $r \notin F' \cup \{r\}$, then $r \vee m = \infty$ (if $r \vee m < \infty$, then $r \vee m$ is an upper bound for $F' \cup \{r\}$, which contradicts the maximality of $F'$). Thus, $F \subseteq \{r \in F : r \leq m\} \cup \{r \in F : r \vee m = \infty\}$. Since $p \in R$ was arbitrary, we conclude that $F \subseteq \{r \in F : r \leq m\} \cup \{r \in F : r \vee m = \infty\}$ for large $m$. \hfill $\square$

Lemma 5.14. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, and $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $\mathbf{Z}$, so that the product system $Y_{\mathbf{N}O}$ from Proposition 5.8 exists. Let $(p, q) \in P \times_\alpha Q$ and $T \in \mathcal{K}_A(Z_{(p,q)})$. If $m \in Q$ and $q \vee m = \infty$, then $M_{j_z^{(p,q)}(T)(m)} = 0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}O_X}(Y_{\mathbf{N}O}^m)$.

Proof. Fix $T \in \mathcal{K}_A(Z_{(p,q)})$ and $m \in Q$ with $m \vee q = \infty$. Let $z \in Z_{(p,m)}$ and $w \in Z_{(n,e_H)}$. Since $(p, q) \vee (r, m) = \infty$ as $q \vee m = \infty$, the Nica covariance of $j_z$ gives

$$M_{j_z^{(p,q)}(T)}(j_{Z_{(p,m)}(z)}j_{Z_{(n,e_H)}(w)})(w)^* = j_{Z_{(p,q)}(T)}j_{Z_{(p,m)}(z)}j_{Z_{(n,e_H)}(w)}(w)^* = 0.$$ 

As $Y_{\mathbf{N}O}^m = \sup \{j_{Z_{(p,m)}(z)}j_{Z_{(n,e_H)}(w)}(w)^* : r, n \in P, z \in Z_{(r,m)}, w \in Z_{(n,e_H)}\}$, we conclude that $M_{j_z^{(p,q)}(T)} = 0 \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}O_X}(Y_{\mathbf{N}O}^m)$. \hfill $\square$

Proposition 5.15. Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, and $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $\mathbf{Z}$, so that the product system $Y_{\mathbf{N}O}$ from Proposition 5.8 exists, and $\mathcal{N}O_X$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Y_{\mathbf{N}O}$ by Proposition 5.12.

Define $\varphi_{\mathbf{N}O} : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathcal{N}O_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}}$ by $\varphi_{(p,q)} = j_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}} \circ j_{Z_{(p,q)}}$. Then $\varphi_{\mathbf{N}O} : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathcal{N}O_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}}$ is a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation, and so induces a homomorphism $\Omega_{\mathbf{N}O} : \mathcal{N}O_Z \to \mathcal{N}O_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}}$ such that $\Omega_{\mathbf{N}O} \circ j_{Z_{(p,q)}} = \varphi_{\mathbf{N}O} = j_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}} \circ j_{Z_{(p,q)}}$ for each $(p, q) \in P \times_\alpha Q$.

Proof. Since $j_{Y_{\mathbf{N}O}}$ and $j_Z$ are Nica covariant representations, the same reasoning as in the proofs of Proposition 4.13, Lemma 4.14 and Proposition 4.15 shows that $\varphi_{\mathbf{N}O}$ is a Nica covariant representation of $\mathbf{Z}$. We now show that it is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant.
Let $F$ be a finite subset of $P \times_a Q$ and suppose we have a set of compact operators 
\[ \{ T_{(p,q)} \in \mathcal{K}_A \left( \mathcal{Z}_{(p,q)} \right) : (p,q) \in F \} \]
such that \[ \sum_{(p,q) \in F} t^{(s,t)}_{(p,q)} (T_{(p,q)}) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A \left( \mathcal{Z}_{(s,t)} \right) \]
for large $(s,t) \in P \times_a Q$. Since $j_\mathcal{Z}$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant, we know that 
\[ \sum_{(p,q) \in F} j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q)) (T_{(p,q)}) = 0. \]
To show that $\varphi^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant, we need to show that 
\[ \sum_{(p,q) \in F} \varphi^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}((p,q)) (T_{(p,q)}) = 0 \in \mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}}, \]
which by Lemma 4.14 is equivalent to showing that 
\[ \sum_{(p,q) \in F} j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q)) (M_{j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)})) \]
is zero in $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}}$. Since $j_\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}$ is a Cuntz–Pimsner covariant representation of $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}$, it suffices to show that 
\[ \sum_{(p,q) \in F} \ell^m_\mathcal{Z} \left( \left( M_{j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)}) \right) \right) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}_m) \]
for large $m \in Q$, which is equivalent to showing that 
\[ \sum_{(p,q) \in F : q \leq m} M_{j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)}) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}}(\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}_m) \]
for large $m \in Q$. Making use of Lemma 5.13, we see that for large $m \in Q$, 
\[ \sum_{(p,q) \in F : q \leq m} M_{j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)}) = \sum_{(p,q) \in F} M_{j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)}) - \sum_{(p,q) \in F : q \geq m} M_{j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)}) \]
\[ = M_{\sum_{(p,q) \in F} j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)}) - \sum_{(p,q) \in F : q \geq m} M_{j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)}) \]
\[ = - \sum_{(p,q) \in F : q \geq m} M_{j_\mathcal{Z}((p,q))}(T_{(p,q)}) \]
It then follows from Lemma 5.14 that the last line is zero, as required. Hence, $\varphi^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant. The final statement follows from the universal property of $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z}$.

We now work towards getting a homomorphism from $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}}$ to $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z}$ inverse to the one from $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z}$ to $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}}$ just constructed. Our plan is to exhibit a Nica covariant representation of $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}$ in $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{Z}$ and then use the universal property of $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}}$ to induce the required homomorphism.

In Proposition 5.12 we found conditions on the group $G$ and the product system $\mathcal{Z}$ to ensure that the left actions of $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ on each $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}_q$ are faithful. In the next result, we exhibit sufficient conditions for these actions to be by compact operators.

**Lemma 5.16.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, and $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $\mathcal{Z}$, so that the product system $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}$ from Proposition 5.6 exists. For any $q \in Q$ and $a \in \phi^{-1}_{(e_G,q)} \left( \mathcal{K}_A \left( \mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,q)} \right) \right)$, we have 
\[ \Phi^\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_q \left( j_{e_G,q} (a) \right) = M_{j_{\mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,q)}} \left( \phi_{(e_G,q)}(a) \right) } \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}} \left( \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}_q \right). \tag{5.10} \]
In particular, if $A$ acts compactly on $\mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,q)}$, then $\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{X}$ acts compactly on $\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N}_\mathcal{O}}_q$.

**Proof.** Fix $q \in Q$ and $a \in \phi^{-1}_{(e_G,q)} \left( \mathcal{K}_A \left( \mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,q)} \right) \right)$. Hence, for some choice of $\mu_{k_i}, \nu_{k_i} \in \mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,q)}$, we can write $\phi_{(e_G,q)}(a) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{k_i=1}^n \theta_{\mu_{k_i}, \nu_{k_i}} \in \mathcal{K}_A \left( \mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,q)} \right)$. For any $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,q)}$ and
\( b \in \mathcal{NO}_X \), we have that
\[
\Phi_q^{NO} \left( jx_{(e_G, q)}(a) \right) \left( jz_{(e_G, q)}(z) \phi_X^{NO}(b) \right) = jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \phi_{(e_G, q)}(a)(z) \right) \phi_X^{NO}(b)
\]
\[
= jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{k_i = 1}^{n_i} \mu_{k_i} \cdot \langle \nu_{k_i}, z \rangle_{A}^{(e_G, q)} \right) \phi_X^{NO}(b).
\]
Since \( jz_{(e_G, q)} \) is linear and norm-decreasing, this is equal to
\[
\lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{k_i = 1}^{n_i} jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \mu_{k_i} \cdot \langle \nu_{k_i}, z \rangle_{A}^{(e_G, q)} \right) \phi_X^{NO}(b) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{k_i = 1}^{n_i} M \left( jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \theta_{\mu k_i, \nu k_i} \right) \right) \left( jz_{(e_G, q)}(z) \phi_X^{NO}(b) \right).
\]
Since the map \( b \mapsto M_b \) is linear and \( \|M_b\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{NO}_X}(Y_q^{NO})} \leq \|b\|_{\mathcal{NO}_Z} \) whenever \( b \in \mathcal{NO}_Z \) is such that \( M_b \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{NO}_X}(Y_q^{NO}) \) (see Lemma 4.9), and \( jz_{(e_G, q)} \) is also linear and continuous, the previous line is equal to
\[
M \left( jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{k_i = 1}^{n_i} \theta_{\mu k_i, \nu k_i} \right) \right) \left( jz_{(e_G, q)}(z) \phi_X^{NO}(b) \right) = M \left( jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \phi_{(e_G, q)}(a) \right) \right) \left( jz_{(e_G, q)}(z) \phi_X^{NO}(b) \right).
\]
Since \( Y_q^{NO} = \overline{\text{span}} \{ jz_{(e_G, q)}(z) \phi_X^{NO}(b) : z \in Z_{(e_G, q)}, b \in \mathcal{NO}_X \} \), and \( \Phi_q^{NO} \left( jx_{(e_G, q)}(a) \right) \) and \( M \left( jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \phi_{(e_G, q)}(a) \right) \right) \) are linear and continuous, we conclude that \( \Phi_q^{NO} \left( jx_{(e_G, q)}(a) \right) \) is left multiplication by \( jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \phi_{(e_G, q)}(a) \right) \). Hence, to establish (5.10), it remains to show that left multiplication by \( jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \phi_{(e_G, q)}(a) \right) \) is a compact operator on \( Y_q^{NO} \). Since \( jz_{(e_G, q)} \left( \phi_{(e_G, q)}(a) \right) \) acts compactly on \( Z_{(e_G, q)} \), this follows from Lemma 4.10.

Now suppose that \( A \) acts compactly on \( Z_{(e_G, q)} \). Fix \( x \in X_p \) and choose \( x' \in X_p \) so that \( x = x' \cdot \langle x', x' \rangle_{A}^{-1} \). Since \( \langle x', x' \rangle_{A}^{-1} \in \phi_{(e_G, q)}^{-1} ( \mathcal{K}_A \left( Z_{(e_G, q)} \right) ) \), we can apply the first part of the lemma to see that
\[
\Phi_q^{NO} \left( jx_p(x) \right) = \Phi_q^{NO} \left( jx_p(x') \right) \Phi_q^{NO} \left( jx_{e_G} \left( \langle x', x' \rangle_{A}^{-1} \right) \right) \in \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{NO}_X} \left( Y_q^{NO} \right).
\]
Since \( \Phi_q^{NO} \) is a homomorphism and \( \mathcal{NO}_X \) is generated by the image of \( jx \), we have that \( \Phi_q^{NO} \left( \mathcal{NO}_X \right) \subseteq \mathcal{K}_{\mathcal{NO}_X} \left( Y_q^{NO} \right) \), and so \( \mathcal{NO}_X \) acts compactly on \( Y_q^{NO} \).

We are almost ready to show that the inclusion of \( Y_q^{NO} \) in \( \mathcal{NO}_Z \) is a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation. Before we do so, we need one last lemma.

**Lemma 5.17.** Let \( q \in Q \) and \( a \in \phi_{(e_G, q)}^{-1} ( \mathcal{K}_A \left( Z_{(e_G, q)} \right) ) \). If \( (H, Q) \) is directed, then
\[ jz_{(e_G, e_H)}(a) = jz_{(e_G, q)}(\phi_{(e_G, q)}(a)). \]

**Proof.** Since \( jz \) is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant, it suffices to show that
\[
t^{(s,t)}_{(e_G, e_H)}(a) - t^{(s,t)}_{(e_G, q)}(\phi_{(e_G, q)}(a)) = 0 \in \mathcal{L}_A \left( Z_{(s,t)} \right) \quad \text{for large } (s,t) \in P \prec_a Q. \tag{5.11}
\]
Fix \( (m,n) \in P \prec_a Q \). Since \( (H, Q) \) is directed, \( (m,n) \leq (m,n \cup q) \). Suppose that \( (s,t) \in P \prec_a Q \) with \( (m,n \cup q) \leq (s,t) \). For any \( z \in Z_{(e_G, q)} \) and \( w \in Z_{(\alpha_{q-1(s,t)}-1)} \), we have
\[
\left( t^{(s,t)}_{(e_G, e_H)}(a) - t^{(s,t)}_{(e_G, q)}(\phi_{(e_G, q)}(a)) \right) (zw) = \phi_{(s,t)}(a)(zw) - (\phi_{(e_G, q)}(a)(z)) w
\]
\[
= \left( (\phi_{(e_G, q)}(a) - (\phi_{(e_G, q)}(a))) (z) \right) w = 0.
\]
Since $t_{(s,t)}^{(s,t)}(a) - t_{(e_G,e_H)}^{(s,t)}(\phi_{(e_G,e_H)}(a)) \in \mathcal{L}_A(Z_{(s,t)})$ is linear and continuous, and since $Z_{(s,t)} = \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{\mathbb{Z}} \cdot \{zw : z \in Z_{(e_G,e_H)}, w \in Z_{(e_{q-1}(s),e_{q-1}(t))}\}$, we conclude that (5.11) holds.

\[\square\]

**Proposition 5.18.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Z$, so that the product system $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ from Proposition 5.8 exists, and $\mathcal{N}O_X$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ by Proposition 5.12. Moreover, suppose that $A$ acts compactly on each $Z_{(e_G,e_H)}$, so that $\mathcal{N}O_X$ acts compactly on each fibre of $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ by Lemma 5.16.

Suppose that the quasi-lattice ordered group $(H,Q)$ is directed. For each $q \in Q$, let $\varphi_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ be the inclusion of $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ in $\mathcal{N}O_Z$. Then $\varphi_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation of $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$. Hence, there exists a homomorphism $\Omega^{\mathcal{O}_X} : \mathcal{N}O_{Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}} \to \mathcal{N}O_Z$ such that $\Omega^{\mathcal{O}_X} \circ j_{Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}} = \varphi_{q}$ for each $q \in Q$.

**Proof.** The same reasoning as in Proposition 4.16 shows that $\varphi_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is a Nica covariant representation of $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ in $\mathcal{N}O_Z$. It remains to show that $\varphi_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant. By Proposition 5.12 and Lemma 5.16, $\mathcal{N}O_X$ acts faithfully and compactly on each $Y^q$. Hence, by Proposition 5.1, it suffices to check that $(\varphi_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_X})^{(q)} \circ \Phi^{\mathcal{O}_X} = \varphi_{e_H}^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ for each $q \in Q$. This is clear when $q = e_H$, so we just need to worry about when $q \not= e_H$. As $Y_{e_H}^{\mathcal{O}_X} = \mathcal{N}O_X \cong \mathcal{N}O_Y(\mathcal{N}O_X)$ is generated by the image of $j_{Z|X}^{(q)}$, it suffices to show that

\[
\left((\varphi_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_X})^{(q)} \circ \Phi^{\mathcal{O}_X}\right)(j_{z}(x)) = \varphi_{e_H}^{\mathcal{O}_X}(j_{z}(x)) \quad \text{for all } x \in X. \tag{5.12}
\]

If $x \in X_p$ and $x' \in X_p$ is chosen so that $x = x' \cdot (x',x')^p_A$, then $(\varphi_{e_H}^{\mathcal{O}_X}(j_{z(p,e_H)})(x)) = j_{z(p,e_H)}^{(x',x')}((x',x')^p_A).$ By the first part of Lemma 5.16, we see that

\[
\Phi_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_X}(j_{z(p,e_H)}(x)) = M_{j_{z(p,e_H)}(x)}(x' \cdot j_{z}(x'))(\phi(\langle x',x'\rangle_A)).
\]

Since $j_{z(p,e_H)}^{(x',x')}((x',x')^p_A) \in \mathcal{N}O_{Y_{e_H}^{\mathcal{O}_X}}$, it follows from the argument in Proposition 4.16 that

\[
\left((\varphi_{q}^{\mathcal{O}_X})^{(q)} \circ \Phi^{\mathcal{O}_X}\right)(j_{z(p,e_H)}(x)) = (\varphi_{e_H}^{\mathcal{O}_X})^{(q)} \left(M_{j_{z(p,e_H)}(x)}(x' \cdot j_{z}(x'))(\phi(\langle x',x'\rangle_A))\right)
\]

by Lemma 5.17. We conclude that (5.12) holds.

\[\square\]

**Remark 5.19.** It is not clear if all of the hypotheses in Proposition 5.18 are necessary — in particular, we would like to know whether the assumptions that $A$ acts compactly on each $Z_{(e_G,e_H)}$ and that $(H,Q)$ is directed are necessary.

Putting all of this together, we can show that $\mathcal{N}O_Z$ and $\mathcal{N}O_{Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}}$ are isomorphic.

**Theorem 5.20.** Suppose $G$ is an amenable group, $A$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Z$, so that the product system $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ from Proposition 5.8 exists, and $\mathcal{N}O_X$ acts faithfully on each fibre of $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ by Proposition 5.12. Moreover, suppose that $A$ acts compactly on each $Z_{(e_G,e_H)}$, so that $\mathcal{N}O_X$ acts compactly on each fibre of $Y^{\mathcal{O}_X}$ by Lemma 5.16.
Suppose that \((H,Q)\) is directed, so that the homomorphism \(\Omega^{\mathcal{N}O}\) from Proposition \[5.18\] exists. Then the homomorphisms \(\Omega^{\mathcal{N}O} : \mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}} \to \mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}Y^{\mathcal{N}O}}\) and \(\Omega^{\mathcal{N}O} : \mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}Y^{\mathcal{N}O}} \to \mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}}\) are mutually inverse. Thus, \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}} \cong \mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}Y^{\mathcal{N}O}}\).

**Proof.** The same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem \[4.17\] shows that \(\Omega^{\mathcal{N}O}\) and \(\Omega^{\mathcal{N}O}\) are mutually inverse. \(\square\)

Theorem \[5.20\] enables us to view the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of a compactly aligned product system over \(\mathbb{N}^k\) (with faithful and compact left actions) as a \(k\)-times iterated Cuntz–Pimsner algebra. As in Corollary \[4.18\], this immediately allows us to generalise results from the literature that relate the coefficient algebra of a Hilbert bimodule and its Cuntz–Pimsner algebra.

**Corollary 5.21.** Let \(Z\) be a product system over \(\mathbb{N}^k\) with coefficient algebra \(A\). Suppose that \(A\) acts faithfully and compactly on the fibres \(\{Z_e^i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}\). Then

(i) \(A\) is exact if and only if \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}}\) is exact;

(ii) If \(A\) is nuclear, then \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}}\) is nuclear;

(iii) If each \(Z_e^i\) is countably generated as a Hilbert \(A\)-module and \(A\) is separable, nuclear, and satisfies the universal coefficient theorem of \[27\], then \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}}\) satisfies the universal coefficient theorem.

**Proof.** Firstly, we observe that since \(A\) acts faithfully and compactly on each \(Z_e^i\), it follows from \[17\] Proposition 4.7 that \(A\) acts faithfully and compactly on every fibre of \(Z\). Since \(A\) acts compactly on each fibre of \(Z\), \[10\] Proposition 5.8 says that \(Z\) is compactly aligned. Part (i) of the corollary then follows from \[14\] Theorem 7.1, and part (ii) from \[14\] Corollary 7.4.

If \(A\) is separable and each \(Z_e^i\) is countably generated then the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra we get at each stage of the iterative procedure is separable. Furthermore, if \(A\) is nuclear, then part (ii) ensures that the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra we get at each stage is also nuclear. Hence, part (iii) of the corollary follows from \[14\] Proposition 8.8. \(\square\)

**Remark 5.22.** The Pimsner–Voiculescu exact sequence \[14\] Theorem 8.6] relates the \(K\)-theory of a Cuntz–Pimsner algebra associated to a Hilbert bimodule, to the \(K\)-theory of the bimodule’s coefficient algebra. As such it may be possible to gain \(K\)-theoretic information about Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras associated to compactly aligned product systems over \(\mathbb{N}^k\) by viewing them as iterated Cuntz–Pimsner algebras. In particular we are interested to see if such an approach could be used to compute the \(K\)-theory of finitely aligned \(k\)-graph algebras \[25\] when \(k \geq 3\) (the \(k = 1\) case is covered by \[2\] Theorem 6.1) and the \(k = 2\) case by \[8\] Proposition 3.16).

6. **Relative Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner Algebras**

We now consider the Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebra of the product system \(Y^{NT}\) defined in Section \[1\]. When the action \(\alpha\) is trivial, we will show that there exists a product system \(V\) over \((H,Q)\), and a product system \(W^{\mathcal{N}O}\) over \((G,P)\) with coefficient algebra \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{V}}\), such that \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}Y^{NT}}\) and \(\mathcal{N}T_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}O}}\) are isomorphic. In a sense, the Cuntz–Pimsner covariance in \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}Y^{NT}}\) can be moved into the coefficient algebra of \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}^{NT}}\).

Since we are, in effect, only asking for Cuntz–Pimsner covariance in some of the fibres of \(Z\), we like to think of \(\mathcal{N}O_{\mathcal{O}Y^{NT}}\) and \(\mathcal{N}T_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N}O}}\) as relative Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner algebras. This nomenclature is motivated by the relative Cuntz–Krieger algebras of higher-rank
graphs introduced by Sims [28], and the relative Cuntz–Pimsner algebras introduced by Muhly and Solel [19] (which were studied further by Fowler, Muhly, and Raeburn [11]).

Standing Hypotheses. We assume that the action \( \alpha : H \to \text{Aut}(G) \) is trivial. Hence, \( Z \) is a compactly aligned product system over \((G \times H, P \times Q) \cong (H \times G, Q \times P)\). As such, we can swap the roles of \( G \) and \( H \), and \( P \) and \( Q \) in our results from Sections 4 and 5. To ensure that the \( C^* \)-algebras and product systems we want to work with actually exist, we assume that both \( G \) and \( H \) are amenable, \( A \) acts faithfully on each \( Z_{(e_G,q)} \), and each \( \tilde{\phi}_{(p,q)} \) is injective.

We now summarise the setup, as well as fixing some notation.

1. For each \( q \in Q \), we let \( V_q := Z_{(e_G,q)} \). Then \( V := \bigcup_{q \in Q} V_q \) is a compactly aligned product system over \((H, Q)\) with coefficient algebra \( A \).
2. By Proposition 5.3, since \( A \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( V \) and each homomorphism \( \tilde{\phi}_{(p,q)} : A \to \mathcal{L}_A(Z_{(p,q)}) \) is injective, there exists a homomorphism \( \phi^V_{NO} : NOV \to NOZ \) such that \( \phi^V_{NO} \circ j_V = j_Z \). Furthermore, since \( H \) is an amenable group, Proposition 5.6 says that \( \phi^V_{NO} \) is injective.
3. Since \( H \) is amenable, \( A \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( V \), and each \( \tilde{\phi}_{(p,q)} \) is injective, Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 4.12 give the existence of a compactly aligned product system \( W^{NO} \) over \((G, P)\) with coefficient algebra \( NOV \), with fibres given by \( W^{NO}_p := \text{span}\{j_{Z_{(p,e_H)}}(x)\phi^V_{NO}(b) : x \in Z_{(p,e_H)}, b \in NOV\} \) for each \( p \in P \setminus \{e_G\} \).

For simplicity’s sake, we will frequently identify the coefficient algebra of \( W^{NO} \) with \( \phi^V_{NO}(NOV) \subseteq NOZ \).

We will exhibit homomorphisms \( \omega : NOY_{Y^{NT}} \to Y^{NT}W^{NO} \) and \( \omega' : NTW^{NO} \to NOY_{Y^{NT}} \), and show that they are inverses of each other. We now list the results that we will prove in Section 6, and summarise the various spaces and maps that we will be working with in a pair of commuting diagrams.

4. In Proposition 6.1, we use the universal Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation of \( Z \) along with the universal Nica covariant representation of \( W^{NO} \) to define a Nica covariant representation \( \vartheta \) of \( Z \) in \( NTW^{NO} \). Hence, there exists a homomorphism \( \Xi : NTZ \to NTW^{NO} \) such that \( \Xi \circ i_{Z_{(p,q)}} = \vartheta_{(p,q)} = i_{W^{NO}_p} \circ j_{Z_{(p,q)}} \) for each \( (p, q) \in P \times Q \). We then show that restricting the homomorphism \( \Xi \) to \( Y^{NT} \subseteq NTZ \) gives a Nica covariant representation of \( Y^{NT} \), which we denote by \( \Psi \). The idea is that \( \Xi \) plays the same role as the inclusion map in Proposition 4.16 and Proposition 5.18.

5. In Proposition 6.2, we find sufficient conditions for \( \Psi \) to be Cuntz–Pimsner covariant, and make use of the universal property of \( NOY_{Y^{NT}} \) to induce a homomorphism \( \omega \) such that \( \omega \circ j_{Y^{NT}} = \Psi \).

6. In Proposition 6.3, we use the universal Nica covariant representation of \( Z \) and the universal Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation of \( Y^{NT} \) to define a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation \( \vartheta' \) of \( V \) in \( NOY_{Y^{NT}} \). The universal property of \( NOV \) then gives us a homomorphism \( \Psi'_{e_G} : NOV \to NOY_{Y^{NT}} \) such that \( \Psi'_{e_G} \circ j_v = j_{Y^{NT}} \circ i_{Z_{(e_G,q)}} \) for each \( q \in Q \).

7. In Proposition 6.5, we use the homomorphism \( \Psi'_{e_G} \) to construct a linear map \( \Psi'_p : W^{NO}_p \to NOY_{Y^{NT}} \) such that \( \Psi'_p \circ j_{Z_{(p,q)}} = j_{Y^{NT}} \circ i_{Z_{(p,q)}} \) for each \( (p, q) \in P \times Q \).
In Proposition 6.8 and 6.9 we show that the collection of maps \( \{ \Psi'_p : p \in P \} \) gives a Nica covariant representation of the product system \( \mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}} \). The universal property of \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}} \) then gives a homomorphism \( \omega' : \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}} \to \mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}}} \) such that \( \omega' \circ i_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}} = \Psi' \).

In Theorem 6.10 we prove that \( \omega \) and \( \omega' \) are mutually inverse isomorphisms.

In summary, we will show that for every \((p, q) \in P \times Q\), the maps in the following two diagrams exist and make the diagrams commute.

![Figure 5. The homomorphisms \( \phi_{\mathcal{V}}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}, \Psi'_{\mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}} \)](image)

![Figure 6. The homomorphisms \( \omega, \omega' \)](image)

We begin by exhibiting a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation \( \Psi \) of \( \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}} \) in \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}} \). The idea is to produce a homomorphism from \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}} \) to \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}} \), and then restrict this map to \( \mathcal{Y}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}} \).

**Proposition 6.1.** Define \( \vartheta : \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}} \) by \( \vartheta_{(p, q)} := i_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}} \circ j_{\mathcal{Z}_{(p, q)}} \). Then \( \vartheta \) is a Nica covariant representation of \( \mathcal{Z} \), and so there exists a homomorphism \( \Xi : \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{Z}} \to \mathcal{N} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{W}^{\mathcal{N} \mathcal{O}}} \).
such that \( \Xi \circ i_{z(p,q)} = i_{W^\infty_p} \circ j_{z(p,q)} \) for each \((p,q) \in P \times Q\). If \( \Psi : Y^{NT} \to N^T W^\infty \) is defined by \( \Psi_q := \Xi|_{Y^{NT}} \), then \( \Psi \) is a Nica covariant representation of \( Y^{NT} \).

**Proof.** Both \( i_{W^\infty_p} \) and \( j_{z(p,q)} \) are Nica covariant representations, and so the same reasoning as in Propositions 4.13 and 4.15 shows that \( \vartheta \) is a Nica covariant representation. The universal property of \( N^T Z \) then induces the homomorphism \( \Xi \).

Since \( \Xi \) is a homomorphism, it is elementary to check that \( \Psi := \Xi|_{Y^{NT}} \) is a representation. We claim that \( \Psi^{(q)}(M_b) = \Xi(b) \) for each \( b \in N^T Z \). If \( z \in Z_{(m,q)} \) and \( w \in Z_{(n,q)} \), then \( i_{z(m,q)}(z)j_{z(n,q)}(w)^* \in N^T Z \) and \( M_{i_{z(m,q)}(z)}i_{z(n,q)}(w)^* = \Theta_{i_{z(m,q)}(z)}j_{z(n,q)}(w) \in K_{N^T_X} (Y^{NT}_q) \).

Hence,

\[
\Psi^{(q)} \left( M_{i_{z(m,q)}(z)}i_{z(n,q)}(w)^* \right) = \Xi(i_{z(m,q)}(z))\Xi(i_{z(n,q)}(w))^* = \Xi \left( i_{z(m,q)}(z)i_{z(n,q)}(w)^* \right).
\]

By linearity, continuity, and an application of Lemma 4.9, we get our claim.

We now prove that \( \Psi \) is Nica covariant. Fix \( b \in N^T Z \) and \( c \in N^T Z \). By Lemma 4.11 \( bc \in N^T Z \) if \( q \vee t < \infty \), and is zero otherwise. Hence, \( \Psi^{(q)}(M_b)\Psi^{(t)}(M_c) = \Xi(b)\Xi(c) = \Xi(bc) \) is zero if \( q \vee t = \infty \), and equal to \( \Psi^{(q\vee t)}(M_{bc}) = \Psi^{(q\vee t)}(i_{q\vee t}(M_b)i_{q\vee t}(M_c)) \) if \( q \vee t < \infty \). By Lemma 4.10 it follows that \( \Psi \) is Nica covariant.

**Proposition 6.2.** Suppose \( A \) acts compactly on each \( Z_{(eG,q)} \) and \((H,Q)\) is directed. Then \( \Psi \) is a Cuntz–Pimsner covariant representation of \( Y^{NT} \), and so there exists a homomorphism \( \omega : NO_{Y^{NT}} \to N^T W^\infty \) such that \( \omega \circ j_{Y^{NT}} = \Psi_q = \Xi|_{Y^{NT}} \) for each \( q \in Q \).

**Proof.** Since \( G \) is amenable and \( A \) acts faithfully on each \( Z_{(eG,q)} \), Proposition 4.8 tells us that \( N^T_X \) acts faithfully on each fibre of \( Y^{NT} \). Additionally, since \( A \) acts compactly on each \( Z_{(eG,q)} \), the same reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 5.16 shows that \( N^T_X \) acts compactly on each fibre of \( Y^{NT} \). Hence, to see that \( \Psi \) is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant, it suffices by Proposition 4.8 to check that \( \Psi^{(q)} \circ \Phi^{NT}_{eH} = \Psi_{eH} \) for each \( q \in Q \). As \( Y^{NT}_{eH} = N^T_X \cong \phi^{NT}_{X}(N^T_X) \) is generated by the image of \( \phi^{NT}_{X} \circ i_X = i_Z X \) it suffices to show that

\[
(\Psi^{(q)} \circ \Phi^{NT}_{eH}) (i_{Z}(x)) = \Psi_{eH} (i_{Z}(x)) \text{ for each } x \in X.
\]

To this end, fix \( x \in X_p \). Choosing \( x' \in X_p \) so that \( x = x' \cdot \langle x', x' \rangle^p_A \), we have

\[
\Psi_{eH} \left( i_{Z(p,eH)}(x) \right) = \Xi \left( i_{Z(p,eH)}(x) \right) = i_{W^\infty_p} \left( j_{Z(p,eH)}(x) \right) = i_{W^\infty_p} \left( j_{Z(p,eH)}(x') \right) i_{W^\infty_G} \left( j_{Z(eG,eH)}(\langle x', x' \rangle^p_A) \right).
\]

By the first part of Lemma 5.16 we see that

\[
\Phi^NT_{eH} \left( i_{Z(p,eH)}(x) \right) = M_{i_{Z(p,eH)}(x')}i_{Z(eG,q)}(\phi_{(eG,q)}(\langle x', x' \rangle^p_A)) \in K_{N^T_X} (Y^{NT}_q)
\]

and \( i_{Z(p,eH)}(x')i_{Z(eG,q)}(\phi_{(eG,q)}(\langle x', x' \rangle^p_A)) \in NO^q_Z \). Thus, \( \psi^{(q)} \left( \Phi^NT_{eH}(x) \right) = \Xi \left( i_{Z(p,eH)}(x')i_{Z(eG,q)}(\phi_{(eG,q)}(\langle x', x' \rangle^p_A)) \right) \)

\[
= i_{W^\infty_p} \left( j_{Z(p,eH)}(x') \right) i_{W^\infty_G} \left( j_{Z(eG,q)}(\phi_{(eG,q)}(\langle x', x' \rangle^p_A)) \right).
\]

Thus, for (6.1) to hold, we need \( j_{Z(eG,eH)}(\langle x', x' \rangle^p_A) = j_{Z(eG,q)}(\phi_{(eG,q)}(\langle x', x' \rangle^p_A)) \), which follows from Lemma 5.17. 

\( \square \)
Remark 6.3. As in Remark 5.19, it is not clear if all of the hypotheses in Proposition 6.2 are necessary. We would like to be able to rerun the argument used in the proof of Proposition 5.15 (where we did not need $A$ to act compactly on each $Z_{(e_G)}$, nor for $(H,Q)$ to be directed), but $i_{W_p}$ need not be Cuntz–Pimsner covariant in general.

It remains to exhibit a Nica covariant representation of $W^{NO}$ in $NO_{Y_N}$ that induces a homomorphism $\omega' : N^T_{W^{NO}} \to NO_{Y_N}$ inverse to $\omega$. Unfortunately, defining this representation is more difficult than when we defined the representation $\Psi$ of $Y$.

Proposition 6.4. Define $\vartheta : V \to NO_{Y_N}$ by $\vartheta_q := j_{Y_N} \circ i_{(e_G)}$ for each $q \in Q$. Then $\vartheta$ is a Cuntz–Nica–Pimsner covariant representation of $V$, and so there exists a homomorphism $\Psi'_{e_G} : NO_V \to NO_{Y_N}$ such that $\Psi'_{e_G} \circ j_{(e_G)} = j_{Y_N} \circ i_{(e_G)}$.

Proof. The same reasoning as used in the proof of Proposition 4.13 and Proposition 4.15 shows that $\vartheta$ is a Nica covariant representation of $V$. We now show that $\vartheta$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant. Suppose $F \subseteq Q$ is finite and the collection $\{T_q \in K_A(V_q) : q \in F\}$ is such that $\sum_{q \in F} t_q(T_q) = 0 \in L_A(V_q)$ for large $q \in Q$. We need to show that $\sum_{q \in F} \vartheta(q)(T_q) = 0 \in NO_{Y_N}$. We claim that

$$\sum_{q \in F} t_q \left( M_{(e_G)}(T_q) \right) = 0 \in L_{N^T_X} \left( Y^N_T \right) \text{ for large } t. \quad (6.2)$$

Observe that for any compact operator $T \in K_A(V_q)$, and $z \in Z_{(e_G)}$, $b \in NT_X$, we have $t_q \left( M_{i_{(e_G)}}(T) \right) \left( i_{(e_G)}(z) \phi_Y^T(b) \right) = i_{(e_G)}(t_q(T)(z)) \phi_X^T(b)$. Given any $r \in Q$, fix $s \geq r$, such that $\sum_{q \in F} t_q(T_q) = 0 \in L_A(V_q) = L_A(Z_{(e_G)})$ for all $t \geq s$. Then for any $t \geq s$, we see that

$$\left( \sum_{q \in F} t_q \left( M_{i_{(e_G)}}(T_q) \right) \right) \left( Y^N_T \right) = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \left( \sum_{q \in F} t_q \left( M_{i_{(e_G)}}(T_q) \right) \right) \left( i_{(e_G)}(z) \phi_X^T(N^T_X) \right) \right\} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ i_{(e_G)} \left( \sum_{q \in F} t_q(T_q) \right) \right\} = \{0\},$$

and so (6.2) holds. Thus, $\sum_{q \in F} \vartheta(q)(T_q) = \sum_{q \in F} j_{Y_N} \left( M_{i_{(e_G)}}(T_q) \right) = 0$, since $j_{Y_N}$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant, and so we conclude that $\vartheta$ is Cuntz–Pimsner covariant.

Proposition 6.5. For each $p \in P \setminus \{e_G\}$, there exists a norm-decreasing linear map $\Psi'_p : W^{NO}_p \to NO_{Y_N}$ such that

$$\Psi'_p \left( j_{Z_{(p,e_H)}}(x) \phi_Y^T(b) \right) = j_{Y_N} \left( i_{Z_{(p,e_H)}}(x) \right) \Psi'_{e_G} \left( \phi_Y^T(b) \right).$$
for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p,e_H)}$ and $b \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_V$. In particular, if $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p,q)}$ and $w \in \mathbb{Z}_{(e_G,t)}$, then

$$
\Psi_p' \left( jz_{(p,q)}(z)jz_{(e_G,t)}(w)^* \right) = jY_{NT}^p \left( iz_{(p,q)}(z) \right) jY_{NT}^p \left( iz_{(e_G,t)}(w) \right)^*.
$$

(6.4)

Proof. We claim that for any finite set $F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{(p,e_H)} \times \mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_V$, we have

$$
\left\| \sum_{(x,b) \in F} jY_{NT}^p \left( iz_{(p,e_H)}(x) \right) \Psi'_{e_G} \left( \phi^N_O(b) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{Y_{NT}}} \leq \left\| \sum_{(x,b) \in F} jz_{(p,e_H)}(x) \phi^N_O(b) \right\|_{\mathcal{W}_{p}^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}}}.
$$

(6.5)

Since $jY_{NT}^p$ is a homomorphism and $i_z$ is a representation, the $C^*$-identity can be used to show that

$$
\left\| \sum_{(x,b) \in F} jY_{NT}^p \left( iz_{(p,e_H)}(x) \right) \Psi'_{e_G} \left( \phi^N_O(b) \right) \right\|^2_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{Y_{NT}}}
$$

$$
= \left\| \sum_{(x,b),(y,c) \in F} \Psi'_{e_G} \left( \phi^N_O(b^*) \right) jY_{NT}^p \left( iz_{(p,e_H)} \left( (x,y)_{p,e_H} \right) \right) \Psi'_{e_G} \left( \phi^N_O(c) \right) \right\|_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_{Y_{NT}}},
$$

where the second equality comes from the fact that $\Psi_{e_G} \circ jz_{(p,e_H)} = q_j = jY_{NT}^p \circ iz_{(p,e_H)}$. Since $\Psi'_{e_G}$ is a homomorphism, this is no greater than

$$
\left\| \sum_{(x,b),(y,c) \in F} \phi^N_O(b^*) jz_{(p,e_H)} \left( (x,y)_{p,e_H} \right) \phi^N_O(c) \right\|_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_Z}
$$

$$
= \left\| \sum_{(x,b),(y,c) \in F} \phi^N_O(b^*) jz_{(p,e_H)}(x)^* jz_{(p,e_H)}(y) \phi^N_O(c) \right\|_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_Z}
$$

$$
= \left\| \sum_{(x,b) \in F} jz_{(p,e_H)}(x) \phi^N_O(b), \sum_{(y,c) \in F} jz_{(p,e_H)}(y) \phi^N_O(c) \right\|^p_{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}_Z} \left\| jz_{(p,e_H)}(x) \phi^N_O(b) \right\|^2_{\mathcal{W}_{p}^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}}}.
$$

Thus, (6.5) holds. It follows that $jz_{(p,e_H)}(x) \phi^N_O(b) \mapsto jY_{e_H} \left( iz_{(p,e_H)}(x) \right) \Psi'_{e_G} \left( \phi^N_O(b) \right)$ determines a well-defined norm-decreasing linear map $\Psi'_p$ on $\mathcal{W}_{p}^{\mathcal{N}\mathcal{O}}$ as claimed. $\square$

For our proofs of Lemma 6.7 and Proposition 6.9, we will make use of the next remark.

Remark 6.6. Suppose $(K, R)$ is a quasi-lattice ordered group and $\zeta$ is a Nica covariant representation of a compactly aligned product system $U$ over $R$ with coefficient algebra $B$. Let $x \in U_p$ and $y \in U_q$ for some $p, q \in R$ with $p, q \neq e_K$ and $p \neq q$, and choose $x' \in U_p$ and $y' \in U_q$ so that $x = x' \cdot (x', x')_{p,B}$ and $y = y' \cdot (y', y')_{q,B}$. Since $U$ is compactly aligned and $U_{p \vee q} = \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ \sigma \tau : \sigma \in U_p, \tau \in U_{p^{-1}(p \vee q)} \right\} = \overline{\text{span}} \left\{ \eta \rho : \eta \in U_q, \rho \in U_{q^{-1}(p \vee q)} \right\}$, there exist $\sigma_j \in U_p$, $\tau_j \in U_{p^{-1}(p \vee q)}$, $\eta_j \in U_q$, $\rho_j \in U_{q^{-1}(p \vee q)}$ such
that $\varphi_p^\nu (\Theta_{x',x}) \varphi_q^\nu (\Theta_{y',y}) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \varphi_{p,q}(\Theta_{\sigma_j \tau_j, \eta_j \rho_j}) \in K_B(U_{p,q}).$ Using relations (T1)-(T3) and the Nica covariance of $\varphi$, it can be shown that

$$\varphi_p(x)^* \varphi_q(y) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \varphi_{p,q}(\Theta_{\sigma_j \tau_j, \eta_j \rho_j}) \varphi_{p,q}(\Theta_{\sigma_j \tau_j, \eta_j \rho_j})^*.$$  

Moreover, if $z \in U_r$ and $w \in U_s$, then

$$\varphi(z) \varphi_p(x)^* \varphi_q(y) \varphi_w(z) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \varphi_{p,q}(\Theta_{\sigma_j \tau_j, \eta_j \rho_j}) \varphi_{p,q}(\Theta_{\sigma_j \tau_j, \eta_j \rho_j})^*.$$  

We now prove that the collection of maps $\{\varphi_p : p \in P\}$ defined in Propositions 6.4 and 6.5 gives a representation of the product system $W^{NO}$. The proof that $\varphi$ satisfies relation (T2) is particularly onerous, so we present it first as a lemma.

**Lemma 6.7.** The map $\varphi : \mathcal{W}^{NO} \to \mathcal{NO}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{N}}} \text{ satisfies relation (T2).}$

**Proof.** We need to show that

$$\varphi_{pr}(x) \varphi_{r}(y) = \varphi_{pr}(xy) \quad \text{for any } p, r \in P \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{W}_{pr}^{NO}, y \in \mathcal{W}_{r}^{NO}. \quad (6.6)$$

We begin by showing that (6.6) holds when $r = e_G$. If $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{(p,e_H)}$ and $b, c \in \mathcal{NO}_V$, using (6.3) and the multiplicativity of $\varphi_{e_G}$ and $\varphi_{NO}^c$, we see that

$$\varphi_{p}(jz_{(p,e_H)})(z) \varphi_{e_G}(\varphi_{NO}^c(b)) \varphi_{e_G}(\varphi_{NO}^c(c)) = jY_{e_G}^c \left( iZ_{(p,e_H)}(z) \varphi_{e_G}(\varphi_{NO}^c(b)) \varphi_{e_G}(\varphi_{NO}^c(c)) \right).$$

Since $\mathcal{W}_{p}^{NO} = \overline{\text{span}}\{jz_{(p,e_H)} : z \in \mathcal{Z}_{(p,e_H)}, b \in \mathcal{NO}_V\}$ and $\varphi_{e_G} \cong \varphi_{NO}^c \cong \mathcal{NO}_V$, we conclude that (6.6) holds when $r = e_G$.

We now move on to the case where $r \neq e_G$. Since $\varphi_p$ and $\varphi_r$ are linear and norm-decreasing, and multiplication in $\mathcal{NO}_{\mathcal{Y}_{\mathcal{N}}}$ is linear and continuous, it suffices to prove that (6.6) holds when $x = jz_{(p,q)}(z)jz_{(e_H,t)}(w)^*$ and $y = jz_{(r,m)}(u)jz_{(e_G,n)}(v)^*$ for some $z \in \mathcal{Z}_{(p,q)}$, $w \in \mathcal{Z}_{(e_H,t)}$, $u \in \mathcal{Z}_{(r,m)}$, and $v \in \mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,n)}$. We deal with the cases where $t = e_H$ and $t \neq e_H$ separately.

If $t = e_H$, then $w \in \mathcal{Z}_{(e_G,e_H)} = A$, and so an application of (6.4) gives

$$\varphi_{pr}(jz_{(p,q)}(z)jz_{(e_G,e_H)}(w)^*)jz_{(r,m)}(u)jz_{(e_G,n)}(v)^*) = jY_{e_G}^c(iz_{(p,q,m)}(zw^*u))jY_{e_G}^c(iz_{(e_G,n)}(v)).$$

Another application of (6.4) shows that the previous line is equal to

$$jY_{e_G}^c(iz_{(p,q)}(z)iz_{(e_G,e_H)}(w)^*)iz_{(r,m)}(u))jY_{e_G}^c(iz_{(e_G,n)}(v))^* = jY_{e_G}^c(iz_{(p,q)}(z)iz_{(e_G,e_H)}(w)^*)jY_{e_G}^c(iz_{(e_G,n)}(v))^* = \varphi_{p}(jz_{(p,q)}(z)jz_{(e_G,e_H)}(w)^*) \varphi_{r}(jz_{(r,m)}(u)jz_{(e_G,n)}(v)^*),$$

as required.

It remains to deal with the situation where $t \neq e_H$. We make use of Remark 6.6 to rewrite the product $jz_{(p,q)}(z)jz_{(e_G,e_H)}(w)^*jz_{(r,m)}(u)jz_{(e_G,n)}(v)^*$ in the form required to apply
If \( t \lor m = \infty \), then \((e_G, t) \lor (r, m) = \infty \), and so using the Nica covariance of \( j_z \) and \( j_{Y^N_T} \), we see that both \( \Psi'_p \left( j_{z,(p,q)} (z) j_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) j_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right) \) and
\[
\begin{align*}
\Psi'_p \left( j_{z,(p,q)} (z) j_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) \right) \Psi'_p \left( j_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right) \\
= j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(e_G,t)} (z) \right) j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(r,m)} (w) \right) j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(e_G,n)} (u) \right) j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right)
\end{align*}
\]
are zero. Thus, we may as well suppose that \( t \lor m < \infty \). Choose \( w' \in Z_{(e_G,t)} \) and \( u' \in Z_{(r,m)} \) so that \( w = w' \cdot \langle w', w' \rangle_A \) and \( u = u' \cdot \langle u', u' \rangle_A \). Since \( Z \) is compactly aligned, and \( Z_{(r,t^{-1}(v/m))} = \text{span} \{ \sigma \tau : \sigma \in Z_{(e_G,t)}, \tau \in Z_{(r, t^{-1}(v/m))} \} \), we can write
\[
\begin{align*}
&\Psi'_p \left( j_{z,(p,q)} (z) j_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) \right) j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right) \\
&= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(r,q,t^{-1}(v/m))} \right) \left( z(w', \sigma_{j,i}) \right) \left( i_z^{(r,q,t^{-1}(v/m))} \right) \left( v(u', \eta_{j,i}) \right)
\end{align*}
\]
(6.7)
for some choice of \( \sigma_{j,i} \in Z_{(e_G,t)}, \tau_{j,i} \in Z_{(r,t^{-1}(v/m))}, \eta_{j,i} \in Z_{(r,m)}, \) and \( \rho_{j,i} \in Z_{(e_G,m^{-1}(v/m))} \). Combining (6.4) and Remark 6.6, we have
\[
\begin{align*}
&\Psi'_p \left( j_{z,(p,q)} (z) j_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) \right) j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right) \\
&= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(r,q,t^{-1}(v/m))} \right) \left( z(w', \sigma_{j,i}) \right) \left( i_z^{(r,q,t^{-1}(v/m))} \right) \left( v(u', \eta_{j,i}) \right)
\end{align*}
\]
(6.8)
We now need to calculate \( \Psi'_p \left( j_{z,(p,q)} (z) j_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) \right) \Psi'_p \left( j_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right) \), which requires applying Remark 6.6 to the Nica covariant representation \( j_{Y^N_T} \) of \( Y^N_T \). Using the fact that \( w = w' \cdot \langle w', w' \rangle_A \) and \( u = u' \cdot \langle u', u' \rangle_A \), we see that \( i_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) = i_z^{(e_G,t)} (w') \cdot \langle i_z^{(e_G,t)} (w'), i_z^{(e_G,t)} (w') \rangle_{Y^N_T} \) and \( i_z^{(r,m)} (u) = i_z^{(r,m)} (u') \cdot \langle i_z^{(r,m)} (u'), i_z^{(r,m)} (u') \rangle_{Y^N_T} \) in \( Y^N_T \) and \( Y^N_T \) respectively. Furthermore, (6.7) implies that
\[
\begin{align*}
&\Psi'_p \left( j_{z,(p,q)} (z) \right) j_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) \right) \Psi'_p \left( j_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right) \\
&= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \Theta_{j,i} \left( \sigma_{j,i} \right) i_z^{(r,q,t^{-1}(v/m))} \left( \tau_{j,i} \right) i_z^{(r,q,t^{-1}(v/m))} \left( \eta_{j,i} \right) i_z^{(e_G,m^{-1}(v/m))} \left( \rho_{j,i} \right) \in \mathcal{K}_{N^T_X} (Y^N_T_{v/m})
\end{align*}
\]
(6.9)
whilst \( i_z^{(e_G,t)} (\sigma_{j,i}) \in Y^N_T, i_z^{(r,t^{-1}(v/m))} (\tau_{j,i}) \in Y^N_T_{t^{-1}(v/m)}, i_z^{(r,m)} (\eta_{j,i}) \in Y^N_T, \) and \( i_z^{(e_G,m^{-1}(v/m))} (\rho_{j,i}) \in Y^N_T_{m^{-1}(v/m)} \). Thus, (6.4) and Remark 6.6 imply that
\[
\begin{align*}
&\Psi'_p \left( j_{z,(p,q)} (z) \right) j_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) \right) \Psi'_p \left( j_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right) \\
&= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} \Psi'_p \left( j_{z,(p,q)} (z) \right) j_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) \right) j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right) \\
&= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(e_G,t)} (z) \right) j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(e_G,t)} (w) \right) j_{Y^N_T} \left( i_z^{(r,m)} (u) j_z^{(e_G,n)} (v) \right)
\end{align*}
\]
(6.10)
Proof. There exists a homomorphism \( \Psi \) such that \( \Psi \) is \( \text{norm-decreasing} \), and multiplication in \( W \) is linear and \( \Psi \) satisfies relation (T2). \( \square \)

**Proposition 6.8.** The map \( \Psi' : W^{NO} \to NO_{Y^{\mathcal{T}}} \) is a representation of \( W^{NO} \).

**Proof.** By construction, each \( \Psi_p' \) is linear and \( \Psi_{eg}' \) is a homomorphism. Hence, \( \Psi' \) satisfies (T1). We already showed that \( \Psi' \) satisfies (T2) in Lemma 6.7. It remains to show that \( \Psi' \) satisfies (T3).

Fix \( z, w \in Z_{(p,e,H)} \) and \( b, c \in NO_V \). Making use of (6.3), we see that

\[
\Psi_p' \left( j_{Z_{(p,e,H)}}(z) \phi_V(b) \right) \Psi_p' \left( j_{Z_{(p,e,H)}}(w) \phi_V(c) \right) = \Psi_p' \left( j_{Z_{(p,e,H)}}(z) \phi_V(b) \left( j_{Z_{(p,e,H)}}(w) \phi_V(c) \right) \right) = \Psi_p' \phi_V(b) \phi_V(c).
\]

Since \( j_Z \) is a representation of \( Z \) and \( \Psi_{eg}' \) is a homomorphism satisfying \( j_{Y_H}(z) \phi_V(b) = \Psi_{eg} \circ j_{Z_{(p,e,H)}}(z) \phi_V(b) \phi_V(c) \), the previous expression is equal to

\[
\Psi_p' \phi_V(b) \phi_V(c).
\]

Since \( W_p^{NO} = \sum_{p \in P} \{ j_{Z_{(p,e,H)}}(z) \phi_V(b) \phi_V(NO_V) \} \) for each \( p \in P \), \( \Psi_p' \) is linear and norm-decreasing, and multiplication in \( NO_{Y^{\mathcal{T}}} \) is linear and continuous, we conclude that \( \Psi_p'(x) \Psi_p'(y) = \Psi_{eg}' \langle x, y \rangle_{NO_V} \) for each \( x, y \in W_p^{NO} \). Thus, \( \Psi' \) satisfies (T3), and we conclude that \( \Psi' \) is a representation of \( W^{NO} \).

We can also show that the representation \( \Psi' \) is Nica covariant.

**Proposition 6.9.** The representation \( \Psi' : W^{NO} \to NO_{Y^{\mathcal{T}}} \) is Nica covariant, and so there exists a homomorphism \( \omega' : NT_{W^{NO}} \to NO_{Y^{\mathcal{T}}} \) such that \( \omega' \circ i_{W_p^{NO}} = \Psi_p' \) for each \( p \in P \).

**Proof.** We need to show that if \( S \in K_{NO_V}(W_p^{NO}) \) and \( T \in K_{NO_V}(W_r^{NO}) \), then \( \Psi'(p)(S) \Psi'(r)(T) = \Psi'(p\vee r)(i_p^e(S)i_r^e(T)) \) when \( p \vee r < \infty \) and is zero otherwise.
If $p = e_G$ or $r = e_G$, the result is trivial, so we suppose that $p, r \neq e_G$. Hence, by Lemma 6.10, it suffices to show that

$$
\Psi^{(p)} \left( \Theta j z_{(p,q)}(z) j z_{(p,t)}(w) \right) \Psi^{(r)} \left( \Theta j z_{(r,m)}(u) j z_{(r,n)}(v) \right)
$$

$$
= \begin{cases} 
\Psi^{(p \lor r)} \left( M j z_{(p,q)}(z) j z_{(p,t)}(w) j z_{(r,m)}(u) j z_{(r,n)}(v) \right) & \text{if } p \lor r < \infty \\
0 & \text{otherwise.}
\end{cases}
$$

whenever $z \in Z_{(p,q)}$, $w \in Z_{(p,t)}$, $u \in Z_{(r,m)}$, $v \in Z_{(r,n)}$.

We begin by showing that (6.9) holds when $p \land r = \infty$ and $p \lor r = \infty$. Since $j z_{(p,q)}(z) j z_{(p,t)}(w) j z_{(r,m)}(u) j z_{(r,n)}(v)^* = 0$ if $t \lor m = \infty$, it suffices to show that

$$
\Psi^{(p)} \left( \Theta j z_{(p,q)}(z) j z_{(p,t)}(w) \right) \Psi^{(r)} \left( \Theta j z_{(r,m)}(u) j z_{(r,n)}(v) \right) = 0 \quad \text{if } p \lor r = \infty \text{ or } t \lor m = \infty.
$$

Observe that

$$
\Psi^{(p)} \left( \Theta j z_{(p,q)}(z) j z_{(p,t)}(w) \right) \Psi^{(r)} \left( \Theta j z_{(r,m)}(u) j z_{(r,n)}(v) \right)
$$

$$
= j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(p,t)}(z) \right) j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(p,t)}(w)^* \right) j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(r,m)}(u) \right) j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(r,n)}(v)^* \right).
$$

If $t \lor m = \infty$ then (6.11) is zero since $j Y^{N_\tau}$ is Nica covariant. Hence, we need to consider the situation where $t \lor m < \infty$ and $p \lor r = \infty$. Choose $w' \in Z_{(p,t)}$ and $u' \in Z_{(r,m)}$ so that $w = w' \cdot (w', u')_{A}^{(p,t)}$ and $u = u' \cdot (u', u')_{A}^{(r,m)}$. The Nica covariance of $j Y^{N_\tau}$ gives

$$
j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(p,t)}(w) \right)^* j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(r,m)}(u) \right)
$$

$$
= j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(p,t)}(w') \right)^* j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(r,m)}(u') \right)^* j Y^{N_\tau} \left( i z_{(r,m)}(u') \right)^*.
$$

which is zero since $i Z$ is Nica covariant and $(p, t) \lor (r, m) = \infty$ (as $p \lor r = \infty$). Thus, (6.11) is zero, which completes the proof of (6.10).

It remains to prove that (6.9) holds whenever $p \lor r < \infty$ and $t \lor m < \infty$. As in Lemma 6.7, we will need to make use of Remark 6.6 to rewrite things in a form that allows us to apply the description of $\Psi'$ given by (6.4). Again, choose $w' \in Z_{(p,t)}$ and $u' \in Z_{(r,m)}$ so that $w = w' \cdot (w', u')_{A}^{(p,t)}$ and $u = u' \cdot (u', u')_{A}^{(r,m)}$. Writing $j z_{(p,r,v,m)}^{(v,m)}(\Theta_{u', w'})_{A}^{(v,m)}(\Theta_{u', w'}) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j_i} \Theta_{u', w'}^{(v,m)}(\eta_{j_i})$, for some choice of $\eta_{j_i} \in Z_{(p,t)}$, $\tau_{j_i} \in Z_{(p-1)(p,r),t-1(1,v,m)}$, $\eta_{j_i} \in Z_{(r,m)}$, and $\rho_{j_i} \in Z_{(r-1)(p,r),m-1(1,v,m)}$, Remark 6.6 tells us that

$$
j z_{(p,q)}(z) j z_{(p,t)}(w)^* j z_{(r,m)}(u) j z_{(r,n)}(v)^*
$$

$$
= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j_{i}} j z_{(p,v,r,q,t-1(1,v,m))}(z(w', \sigma_{j_i}(p,t), \tau_{j_i}) j z_{(p,v,r,n-1(1,v,m))}(v(u', \eta_{j_i}(r,m), \rho_{j_i}))^*.
$$
Thus,
\[
\Psi^{(p \lor r)} \left( M_{jz_{(p,q)}}(z)jz_{(p,t)}(w)jz_{(r,m)}(u)jz_{(r,m)}(v)^* \right) \\
= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j_i=1}^{k_i} \Psi^{(p \lor r)} \left( \Theta jz_{(p \lor r,q,t-1)(vm)}(z(w', \sigma_j)(p,t)_{A})jz_{(p \lor r,m-1)(vm)}(v(u', \eta_j)(r,m)_{A}) \right) \\
= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j_i=1}^{k_i} j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(p \lor r,q,t-1)(vm)}(z(w', \sigma_j)(p,t)_{A})jz_{(p \lor r,m-1)(vm)}(v(u', \eta_j)(r,m)_{A}) \right) \\
= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j_i=1}^{k_i} j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(p \lor r,q,t-1)(vm)}(z(w', \sigma_j)(p,t)_{A})jz_{(p \lor r,m-1)(vm)}(v(u', \eta_j)(r,m)_{A}) \right)^*.
\]

To complete the proof we will apply Remark 6.6 to the product system \( Y^{NT} \) in order to show that (6.12) is equal to the left hand side of (6.9). Since \( w = w' \cdot (w', w')_{NT}^{(p,t)} \), the Hewitt–Cohen–Blanchard factorisation of \( i_z_{(p,t)}(w) \) in \( Y^{NT} \) is \( i_z_{(p,t)}(w') \cdot i_z_{(p,t)}(w')^{(NT)} \). Similarly, \( i_z_{(r,m)}(u) \) is equal to \( i_z_{(r,m)}(u') \cdot i_z_{(r,m)}(u')^{(NT)} \). Moreover, \( i_z_{(r,m)}(u') \cdot i_z_{(r,m)}(u')^{(NT)} \). Hence,
\[
\Psi^{(p)} \left( \Theta jz_{(p,q)}(z)jz_{(p,t)}(w) \right) \Psi^{(r)} \left( \Theta jz_{(r,m)}(u)jz_{(r,m)}(v) \right) \\
= j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(p,q)}(z) \right) j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(p,t)}(w) \right)^* j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(r,m)}(u) \right) j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(r,m)}(v) \right)^* \\
= \lim_{i \to \infty} \sum_{j_i=1}^{k_i} j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(p \lor r,q,t-1)(vm)}(z(w', \sigma_j)(p,t)_{A})jz_{(p \lor r,m-1)(vm)}(v(u', \eta_j)(r,m)_{A}) \right)^*.
\]

which is precisely (6.12). This completes the proof that \( \Psi ' \) is Nica covariant. 

Finally, we are ready to prove that \( NO_Y^{NT} \) and \( NT_{WNO} \) are isomorphic.

**Theorem 6.10.** Suppose that \( A \) acts compactly on each \( Z_{(E,G)} \) and \((H, Q)\) is directed, so that the homomorphism \( \omega \) of Proposition 6.2 exists. Then \( \omega : NO_Y^{NT} \to NT_{WNO} \) and \( \omega \) are mutually inverse isomorphisms. Thus, \( NO_Y^{NT} \cong NT_{WNO} \).

**Proof.** Firstly, we show that \( \omega \circ \omega ' = id_{NT_{WNO}} \). As \( NT_{WNO} \) is generated by \( i_{WNO} \), it suffices to show that \( \omega \circ \omega ' \circ i_{WNO} = i_{WNO} \). If \( z \in Z_{(p,q)} \) and \( w \in Z_{(E,G)} \), then
\[
(\omega \circ \omega ' \left( i_{WNO} \left( jz_{(p,q)}(z)jz_{(E,G)}(w)^* \right) \right) = (\omega \circ \Psi ') \left( jz_{(p,q)}(z)jz_{(E,G)}(w)^* \right) \\
= \omega \left( j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(p,q)}(z) \right) j_Y^{NT} \left( i_z_{(E,G)}(w)^* \right) \right)
\]
\[ \Xi \left( i_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) i_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) \]
\[ = i_{W_p^{\mathcal{O}}} \left( j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) \right) i_{W_r^{\mathcal{O}}} \left( j_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) \]
\[ = i_{W_p^{\mathcal{O}}} \left( j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) . \]

Since \( W_p^{\mathcal{O}} = \text{span}\{ j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(Z_{(p,q)}) j_{Z_{(r,e)}}(Z_{(r,e)})^\ast : q, t \in Q \} \) for each \( p \in P \), whilst both of the maps \( \omega \circ \omega' \circ i_{W_p^{\mathcal{O}}} \) and \( i_{W_p^{\mathcal{O}}} \) are linear and continuous, we conclude that \( \omega \circ \omega' \circ i_{W_p^{\mathcal{O}}} = i_{W_p^{\mathcal{O}}} \) for each \( p \in P \). Thus, \( \omega \circ \omega' = \text{id}_{N^T W^{\mathcal{O}}} \).

Secondly, we check that \( \omega' \circ \omega = \text{id}_{N^T W^{\mathcal{O}}} \). As \( N^T W^{\mathcal{O}} \) is generated by \( j_{Y^T} \), it suffices to check that \( \omega' \circ \omega \circ j_{Y^T} = j_{Y^T} \). For any \( z \in Z_{(p,q)} \) and \( w \in Z_{(r,e)} \), we have
\[ (\omega' \circ \omega) \left( j_{Y^T} \left( i_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) i_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) \right) = (\omega' \circ \Xi) \left( i_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) i_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) \]
\[ = \omega' \left( i_{W_p^{\mathcal{O}}} \left( j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) \right) i_{W_r^{\mathcal{O}}} \left( j_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) \right) \]
\[ = \Psi_p' \left( j_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) \right) \Psi_r' \left( j_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) \]
\[ = j_{Y^T} \left( i_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) j_{Y^T} \left( i_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) \right) \]
\[ = j_{Y^T} \left( i_{Z_{(p,q)}}(z) i_{Z_{(r,e)}}(w)^\ast \right) . \]

Since \( Y_{q^T}^T = \text{span}\{ i_{Z_{(p,q)}}(Z_{(p,q)}) i_{Z_{(r,e)}}(Z_{(r,e)})^\ast : p, q \in Q \} \) for each \( q \in Q \), whilst both of the maps \( \omega' \circ \omega \circ j_{Y^T} \) and \( j_{Y^T} \) are linear and continuous, we conclude that \( \omega' \circ \omega \circ j_{Y^T} = j_{Y^T} \) for each \( q \in Q \). Thus, \( \omega' \circ \omega = \text{id}_{N^T W^{\mathcal{O}}} \).

\[ \square \]
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