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Abstract
Strict-turn taking models of dialogue do not accurately model human incremental processing, where users can process partial input and plan partial utterances in parallel. We discuss the current state of the art in incremental systems and propose tools and data required for further advances in the field of Incremental Spoken Dialogue Systems.

1 Incremental Spoken Dialogue Systems
For Spoken Dialogue Systems (SDS) to be more frequently adopted, advances in the state-of-the-art are necessary to enable highly responsive and conversational systems. Traditionally, the unit of speech has been a whole utterance with strict, rigid turn-taking determined by a voice-activity detector. However, a large body of psycholinguistic literature indicates that human-human interaction is in fact incremental (Tanenhaus and Brown-Schmidt, 2008; Levelt, 1989). Using a whole utterance as the unit of choice makes dialogues longer, unnatural and stilted and ultimately interferes with a user’s ability to focus on their goal (Allen et al., 2001).

A new generation of Incremental SDS (ISDS) are being developed that deal with ‘micro-turns’ (sub-utterance processing units) resulting in dialogues that are more fluid and responsive. Recent work has shown that processing smaller ‘chunks’ of input and output can improve the user experience (Aist et al., 2007; Skantze and Schlangen, 2009; Buss et al., 2010; Baumann et al., 2011; Selfridge et al., 2011). Incrementality enables the system designer to model several dialogue phenomena that play a vital role in human discourse (Levelt, 1989) but have so far been absent from systems. These include more natural turn-taking through rapid system responses, grounding through the generation of backchannels and feedback, and barge-ins (from both user and system). In addition, corrections and self-corrections through constant monitoring of user and system utterances play an important role, enabling the system to recover smoothly from a recognition error or a change in user’s preferences. Some examples of the phenomena we are targeting are given in Figure 1.

Parlance, a FP7 EC project, is currently developing incremental systems for English and Mandarin. The goal of Parlance is to develop mobile, interactive, ‘hyper-local’ search through speech. Recent trends in Information Retrieval are towards incremental, interactive search. Spoken dialogue systems can provide a truly natural medium for this type of search, in particular for people on the move.

2 Tools and Data
The emphasis of the Parlance project is on data-driven techniques for ISDS, thereby addressing the problem of a lack of data for system development. Although incremental dialogue phenomena described in Figure 1 have been observed in human-human dialogue, more task-based data is needed. It is challenging to fabricate a situation where users produce incremental discourse phenomena as in Figure 1 frequently and in a natural manner. Wizard-
Backchannels (when the user pauses)
USR I want Italian food in the centre of town . . .
SYS uh-huh
SYS OK. I found 24 Italian restaurants in the city centre. The restaurant Roma is in the medium price range . . .

Self-correction (the system made a mistake)
USR I want Italian food in the centre of town . . .
SYS OK. I found 35 Indian restaurants . . .
USR No, I want Italian.
SYS oh sorry . . .
SYS I have 24 Italian restaurants in the city centre . . .

Holding the floor
USR I want cheap Italian food . . .
SYS ok let me see
SYS I have 3 cheap Italian places . . .

Figure 1: Incremental phenomena observed in human-human dialogue that systems should be able to model.

Various components and techniques of ISDS are needed to handle ‘micro-turns’. Challenges here include recognizing and understanding partial user input and back-channels; micro-turn dialogue management that can decide when to back-channel, self-correct and hold-the-floor; incremental NLG that can generate output while the user is still talking; and finally more flexible TTS that can handle barge-in and understand when it has been interrupted.

In summary, in order to achieve highly natural, responsive incremental systems, we propose using data-driven techniques, for which the main issue is lack of data. Carefully crafted task-based human-human data collection and WoZ studies, user simulations, shared data archives, and upgraded industry standards are required for future work in this field.
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