Analysis of Clinical Characteristics of Submucosal Tumor of Digestive Tract Under Endoscope
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Abstract: Background: Submucosal tumors of the digestive tract are common diseases, which are difficult to diagnose and treat because of their occurrence in the digestive tract. In the past, follow-up observation or surgical operation were usually adopted for SMT, but the surgical operation had many disadvantages such as large trauma, many complications and long postoperative recovery time. In contrast, endoscopic therapy is characterized by safety. The clinical features of endoscopic mass resection remain unclear. Objective: To analyze the clinical features of submucosal masses of digestive tract. The pathological types and distribution of the tumor and the safety of endoscopic surgery were also discussed. Methods: We analyzed the clinical data of 108 patients with submucosal tumors of the digestive tract by endoscopic surgery in our hospital. Results: The submucosal masses of the digestive tract were mainly leiomyoma and gastrointestinal stromal tumor, mostly benign lesions, mainly distributed in the mucosa and muscularis propria, and there was no difference between the sexes. The operative complication rate of endoscopic surgery for submucosal masses of digestive tract is low. Conclusion: Endoscopic surgery methods at present. Keywords: Submucosal Tumor, Endoscopic Resection, EMR

1. Introduction

Submucosal tumor (SMT) of the digestive tract refers to the pathological changes below the gastrointestinal mucosa, most of which are benign, but some of which have the potential for malignant transformation [1]. Common SMT includes mesenchymal fibroids, plasmas, phlebiomas, neuroendocrine tumors, lipomas, and ectopic pancreas [2-5]. However, there were differences in the nature of masses in different parts of the digestive tract, and leiomyoma and stromal tumor were more common SMT lesions in the esophagus, stomach and duodenum, etc, but the distribution of various digestive tract masses in patients of different ages was still less reported.

In the past, follow-up observation or surgical operation were usually adopted for SMT, but the surgical operation had many disadvantages such as large trauma, many complications and long postoperative recovery time [6]. In contrast, endoscopic therapy is characterized by safety, minimally invasive, good curative effect, less pain and low cost, and has absolute advantages compared with traditional surgical treatment. In recent years, with the development of endoscopic technology, some surgical diseases have become indications for endoscopic treatment of digestive tract, especially the promotion of titanium clips, which can be used to perform full-thickness resection of deeper tissues under the endoscope [7]. Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR), submucosal tunnel endoscopic resection (STER) and endoscopic submucosal excavation (ESE) technique for successful, on the basis of endoscopic after submucous resection of the neoplasm can complete resection for gastrointestinal SMT can effectively prevent leakage of abdominal digestive juices at the same time [7].

After endoscopic technology made breakthroughs in the early diagnosis of digestive tract, HOSOKAWA and others
were the first to use ESD technology for endoscopic treatment of early gastric cancer [8]. At present, our country endoscopic cancer early diagnosis rate, incidence of postoperative complications, and treatment situation reports there are still large differences, therefore, this research will be to our hospital in recent 2 years at a single endoscopy center line endoscopic gastrointestinal SMT cases were retrospectively analyzed, analysis the clinical features of endoscopic gastrointestinal submucosal lesions and report accordingly.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. General Data

108 patients with upper gastrointestinal SMT who were hospitalized and treated with EMR, ESD, ESE or STER in our hospital from March 2016 to December 2020 were retrospectively collected. There were 53 males and 55 females. Age 24 ~85 years old; The lesions were located in the esophagus in 36 cases: 10 cases in the upper segment, 20 cases in the middle segment, 6 cases in the lower segment, 28 cases in the stomach: 2 cases in the cardia, 28 cases in the gastric fundus, 23 cases in the gastric body, 17 cases in the gastric antrum, and 2 cases in the gastric Angle. All patients were suspected to have submucosal tumors by endoscopic examination, and the nature of lesions was preliminarily determined and the level and scope of lesions were confirmed after re-examination by endoscopic ultrasonography and CT. Therefore, the patient excluded the invasion and metastasis of the digestive tract, and excluded the contraindications through the examination of coagulation function, cardiopulmonary function and so on. All patients were informed of the potential benefits and associated risks of endoscopic surgery before surgery, and were required to sign an informed consent.

2.2. Methods

2.2.1. Surgical Methods

All patients signed the informed consent of surgical risk, fasting for 8h before surgery, water deprivation for 4h before surgery, intravenous antibiotics for 30.0min before surgery to prevent infection. All operations were performed under tracheal intubation and general anesthesia, and CO2 air pump was used in the operation. The most suitable surgical method was adopted for different tumors. The general steps of the operation are as follows: To locate and label the lesions: to attach a transparent cap to the head of the gastroscope before the treatment, to search for tumors under the endoscope, and to accurately locate the lesions; (2) Fully raise the lesions: multi-point submucosal injection of liquid was performed around the lesions to completely raise the lesions, and 0.4% indigo carina 2mL and 0.9% sodium chloride solution 250mL were mixed. Peripheral submucosal injection was performed outside the marking points to lift the lesions. (3) Cutting along the mucosal edge: Different medical instruments, such as Dual knife, Hook knife or IT knife, are used to cut the mucosa and submucosa along the above mark points; (4) Dissection and excision: The combination of various surgeries was used for the dissection between the submucosa and the outer edge of the tumor, to maintain the integrity of the capsule, the tumor was completely removed, pay attention to avoid damage to the serosal layer; (5) Postoperative wound treatment: treatment of bleeding foci and small visible blood vessels with large area or deep invasion of wounds with hot biopsy forceps with argonplasma plasmacoagulation (APC) should be performed to prevent the occurrence of postoperative perforation. Endoscopic hemostasis is feasible in the case of delayed hemorrhage.

2.2.2. Treatment

After surgery, patients were fasted for 24-48h after surgery, and their vital signs were monitored to observe whether the patient had symptoms of chest tightness, shortness of breath, cyanosis, fever, abdominal pain and abdominal distension. If the patient had no obvious discomfort, the vital signs were stable, and the patient was gradually transferred to a liquid diet. Postoperatively, proton pump inhibitor (PPI) was routinely administered with acid inhibition, gastrointestinal decompression and nutritional support. It is recommended that patients be followed up with endoscopy 1, 3 and 6 months postoperatively to observe whether the wound and tumor remain or not.

2.3. Observation Indexes

The observation indexes of this study included tissue stratification sources, pathological types, and surgical complications including bleeding, perforation, abdominal discomfort, age stratification, etc.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

SPSS20.0 software was used for statistical analysis of the data. Measurement data consistent with normal distribution were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (x±s), and comparison between groups was performed by T test. Enumeration data were expressed as frequency or rate, and the x2 test was used for inter-group comparison. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Clinical Features of 108 Patients with Submucosal Masses of Digestive Tract

In this study, there was no significant difference between the ages of male patients and female patients (53.72±0.76 vs. 54.48±2.37, P=0.747), and the ratio of male to female patients was close to 1:1 (Figure 1A). Distribution of esophageal mass and gastric mass: 10 cases in the upper esophagus, 20 cases in the middle esophagus, and 6 cases in the lower esophagus; There were 2 cases of gastric cardia, 28 cases of gastric fundus, 23 cases of gastric body, 17 cases of gastric antrum, and 2 cases of gastric Angle (Figure 1B-C).
3.2. The Distribution of Pathological Types of Masses in Different Sites

In order to analyze the distribution of gastrointestinal mucosal masses at different mucosal origin levels and their pathological types, pathological data of 108 patients were statistically analyzed, and it was found that 33 patients had mucosal masses, 27 patients had mucosal muscularis, 12 patients had submucosal masses, and 42 patients had proprioception (Figure 2A). Subsequently, the malignant degree of the tumor was analyzed, and it was found that malignant cases accounted for 18% in this study (Figure 2B). Further analysis revealed that the submucosal masses of the digestive tract were mostly leiomyoma and gastrointestinal stromal tumor (Figure 2C).

3.3. Surgical Methods and Complications

Table 1. Surgical methods of the 108 patients.

| Surgical methods | Cases (%) |
|------------------|-----------|
| EMR              | 44 (40.7%)|
| ESD              | 20 (18.5%)|
| ESE              | 39 (36.1%)|
| STER             | 5 (4.6%) |

ESD: endoscopic submucosal dissection, EMR: endoscopic mucosal resection, STER: submucosaltunnel endoscopic resection, ESE: endoscopic submucosal excavation.

Statistical analysis was performed on the surgical methods of all patients in this study, and the results showed that EMR and ESE were the main surgical methods for submucosal masses of the digestive tract (Table 1). Further analysis of the occurrence of surgical complications showed that about 80% of the patients had no complications, about 25% of the patients had complications mainly caused by postoperative bleeding, and only 1 patient had gastrointestinal perforation (Table 2).

Table 2. Complications of patients undergoing surgery.

| Surgical complications | Cases (%) |
|------------------------|-----------|
| Bleeding               | 25 (23.1%)|
| Perforated             | 1 (0.9%)  |
| No complications       | 86 (79.6%)|

4. Discussion

Gastrointestinal submucosal tumor refers to from the digestive tract mucosa lesions. At one time, SMT, especially tumors originating or infiltrating the muscularis propria, was
unlikely to be completely and safely removed by standard endoscopy. Therefore, ER has not been a conventional indication in the past for most GSMIT cases, especially for gastroenterointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) [9, 10].

However, it has recently been reported that endoscopic muscularotomy/dissection and endoscopic full-thickness resection are minimally invasive treatments originating from the muscularotomy propria with G-SMT [11, 12]. These from endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) technology of surgery for patients with G-SMT seems to be effective and safe, in reward in this study, we reported the endoscopic surgery including EMR, ESD, ESE or STER treatment cases of SMT, the above operation method in recent years has gradually been reported for the diagnosis and treatment of SMT, gradually shows the efficicacy and safety of the operation [13]. In this report, the incidence of bleeding after treatment was about 25%, and there was only one case of perforation. Moreover, all the bleeding cases were within the range of internal medicine control, and no secondary surgery or surgical intervention was required, which proved the safety and effectiveness of current endoscopic treatment of SMT. Studies have statistically found that the bleeding rate of endoscopic mucosal resection is 1.3% ~ 11.9%, and most of the bleeding occurs during or within 24h after surgery [14]. Bleeding is closely related to the site and size of the tumor.

Perforation is also a common complication, and the incidence of ESD related perforation is much higher than EMR, which is generally 1.2% ~ 9.7% [15]. The incidence of perforation in patients in this study is far lower than the reported incidence, which also proves that the safety of endoscopic techniques can be verified.

Most of SMT are benign tumors, but some of them have the potential of malignant transformation. Common SMT includes interleiomyoma, gastrointestinal stromal tumor, venous tumor, neuroendocrine tumor, lipoma, ectopic pancreas, etc [2, 3]. But the nature of the different part of gastrointestinal neoplasm, reported finding more common SMT lesions of the esophagus, stomach and duodenum is leiomyoma, for gastrointestinal smooth muscle layer caused by abnormal growths, usually originated in mucosal muscularis and benign tumor, and to the muscularis propria in the reported 108 cases in our study, the main pathological type of leiomyoma and gastrointestinal stromal tumor, mainly distributed in the mucosa and, to the muscularis propria benign lesions in the majority, malignant lesions (18%) are similar to most of the reports. Although gastric malignancy presenting as submucosal mass is rare, the incidence is approximately 0.1% to 0.6% [16]. Adenocarcinoma is covered with normal mucosa, and endoscopic mucosal biopsies usually do not reach the deep layer containing the cancer cells. The above also proves the feasibility and safety of endoscopic diagnosis of malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumor, and it was found that malignant cases accounted for 18% in this study. We believe that endoscopic resection of small gastric submucosal tumors is safe and effective in diagnosis and treatment. EMR and ERE are still the commonly used endoscopic surgery methods. Endoscopic surgery has a good ability to recognize malignant tumors in the diagnosis and treatment of G-SMT.
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