Anthracene–styrene-substituted m-carborane derivatives: insights into the electronic and structural effects of substituents on photoluminescence†
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Two anthracenyl–styryl–m-carborane triads (one non-iodinated on B, 3, and one iodinated, 4) were synthesized and characterized to be further linked to octavinylsilsequioxane (OVS) via cross-metathesis, giving rise to the corresponding hybrid materials 5 and 6. The crystal structure of the non-iodinated heterosubstituted-m-carborane 3 was analyzed by X-ray diffraction. Transmission electron microscopy images of pristine OVS and hybrids 5–6 show important differences in the morphology of the particles; whereas OVS forms cubic-like particles, 5–6 have a spherical shape with a broad particle-size distribution. All compounds showed similar vibronic emission spectra in solution, with maxima around 415 nm, assigned to the locally excited state (LE) emission of the anthracene moiety. The similarity with the spectra of the free anthracene (λem = 420 nm) suggested that only small electronic interactions between the anthracene units have taken place, and there is no influence of the iodo or styrene groups on the absorption properties. This is in agreement with the DFT calculations, where calculated oscillator strength corresponding to the transitions from iodo orbitals to the LUMO are weak and could not be observed experimentally. Noticeable, triads 3–4 exhibited exceptional fluorescence quantum yield values of around 100% in solution, that are comparable to those determined for their precursors 1–2, demonstrating that the influence of the styrene group is negligible. Linking these m-carborane derivatives to the OVS led to a significant decrease of quantum yields to 34–45% for 5–6 in solution. Moreover, the PL behavior in the aggregate state was investigated and the spectra of all compounds were very similar, showing emission red-shift with maxima around 455–459 nm. Remarkably, quite high fluorescence quantum yields were determined for 3–4 (ΦF = 26–31%) and 5–6 (ΦF = 27–36%) in the aggregated state. These data confirm that the m-carborane platform enhances the quantum efficiency of the anthracene in solution, without losing the emission properties in the aggregate state. If this affirmation is associated to other scattered examples on other fluorophores also linked to m-carborane existing in the literature, the former conclusion is reinforced. m-Carborane enhances the fluorescence quantum yield of the free fluorophore, but does not alter the energy of the participating states in the photoluminescence in solution.

Introduction

Optoelectronic organic materials have been successfully applied in organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs), thin-film transistors, electrochromic devices, sensors, liquid crystal displays, among others, due to their low-cost, facile processability, variability in structural and property tuning, and the capability of producing large areas of a flexible thin film.1

The incorporation of polyhedral oligomeric silsesquioxanes (POSSs) into optoelectronic materials to achieve improved performances has attracted particular attention due to the unique and interesting hybrid structures of POSS. In particular, octasilsesquioxanes are nanosized building blocks for organic/in-
organic hybrid materials of general formulae [RSiO₁.₅]₈ with a 3D scaffold that exhibit a huge versatility to be functionalized, high robustness and thermal stability. They can be modified to tailor chemical, mechanical, electrical, optical or electronic properties. These exceptional characteristics make them very useful for a wide variety of applications, including thermally and chemically resistant polymers and ceramics, as flame retardants, catalysis, nanomedicine, emitting layers in OLEDs, among others.

Carboranes are icosahedral clusters of formula C₂B₁₀H₁₂ with three-dimensional (3D) σ-delocalization and electron-acceptor ability through substitution at the Ccluster (Cₚ). The linking of different fluorophores to the carborane Cₚ through a -CH₂- spacer results in carborane-containing dyes, which fluorescence emission depends on the cluster isomer (o- or m-) and the substituent at the second Cₚ atom. It was also noticed that those dyes with low or null emission in solution were able to exhibit moderate fluorescence efficiency in the solid-state. In 2011, N. Hosmane et al. reported carborane-appended 1,3,5-triphenylbenzene (TB) and 1,3,5-tris (biphenyl-4-yl)benzene (TBB) containing three o-, m- and p-carborane clusters, showing that o-carborane produced a quenching of the fluorescence, whereas the m-isomer caused an increase of the fluorescence quantum yields (φᵢ), from pristine TB (10%) and TBB (27%) to 25 and 46%, respectively, after bonding to m-carborane. Their interpretation was that the 3D structure prevents the π-π stacking interactions in the π-conjugated systems and enhances rigidity of the conjugated molecules. Later, H. Yan observed that anthracene derivatives functionalized with o-carborane exhibited a φᵢ of 5%, whereas its homologous one with the m-isomer yielded a φᵢ of 94%, even higher that the starting anthracene derivative (70%). These two examples were isolated cases and no further research was explored. Later, we also observed that m-carborane linked to stilbene groups achieves higher fluorescence efficiency than their homologous with o-carborane derivatives, being the φᵢ values of m-carboranyl-styrylbenzene 8–19% vs. o-carboranes (3–16%). So these isolated cases were moving into a general rule, but it had to be demonstrated more consistently.

To prepare fluorescence emitting boron rich hybrids we have followed the next strategy: in a first step, the synthesis of heterosubstituted anthracenyl-styrenyl-m-carborane triads was performed, followed by their linking to octavinsilsesquioxane via cross-metathesis.

The starting anthracenyl-m-carborane derivatives 1–2 were prepared from 1,7-clos-Ç₂B₁₀H₁₂ and 9-I-1,7-clos-Ç₂B₁₀H₁₁, respectively, following literature procedures. Then, a second functionalization at the adjoining Cₚ⁻⁻H was done by nucleophilic substitution of 1–2 using 15% of the excess of 4-vinylbenzyl chloride at reflux overnight, giving rise to heterosubstituted compounds 3 and 4, in 61 and 67% yield, respectively (Scheme 1). This reaction was monitored by ¹³B{¹H} NMR following the appreciable changes in the boron resonance distributions. In the second step, compounds 3 and 4 were reacted with the OVS via cross-metathesis, following the procedure previously reported by us, using CH₂Cl₂ and the first generation of Grubbs catalyst, to give hybrids 5 and 6, in a 52 and 50% yield, respectively. The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR and the complete conversion was confirmed upon a change in the aromatic proton resonance distributions, the disappearance of these hybrids may be tailored by changing the substituents at the Cₚ atoms. In these hybrids the POSS cage acts as an organizing scaffold, causing restriction to the intramolecular movement of the arms and avoiding additional interactions between them that could cause the quenching of the fluorescence (φₑ = 0.02–0.44). Other sets of carbonyl-contain- ing octavinsilsesquioxane hybrids were prepared linking o- and m-carborane to the POSS core by means of vinylstilbene units, exhibiting moderate to high fluorescent quantum yields in solution (φₑ = 0.21–0.59); being the most efficient, the one which contains non-substituted o-carborane units. However, a quenching of the fluorescence was observed in the solid-state attributed to intermolecular interactions.

Throughout our research, we consistently have observed that when fluorophores are linked to m-carborane, the quantum yields are dramatically enhanced, many of them approaching 100%, and usually much higher than the plain fluorophores. In the current work, the m-carborane has been heterosubstituted at both Cₚ atoms with two different substituents, one fluorophore (the anthracene) that provides the PL properties, and one styrene, which enables the linking of our system to another platform, i.e. OVS. To gain further insight into the substituent effects at the m-carborane cluster on the PL properties of the final fluorophores, herein we analyse the photophysical properties in solution and aggregate states of two heterosubstituted anthracenyl-styrenyl-m-carborane clusters and their respective POSS hybrids, demonstrating that even by attaching a second substituent to the Cₚ of the m-carborane, the fluorescence efficiency is kept very high.

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of compounds 3–6

To prepare fluorescence emitting boron rich hybrids we have followed the next strategy: in a first step, the synthesis of heterosubstituted anthracenyl-styrenyl-m-carborane triads was performed, followed by their linking to octavinsilsesquioxane via cross-metathesis.

The starting anthracenyl-m-carborane derivatives 1–2 were prepared from 1,7-clos-Ç₂B₁₀H₁₂ and 9-I-1,7-clos-Ç₂B₁₀H₁₁, respectively, following literature procedures. Then, a second functionalization at the adjoining Cₚ⁻⁻H was done by nucleophilic substitution of 1–2 using 15% of the excess of 4-vinylbenzyl chloride at reflux overnight, giving rise to heterosubstituted compounds 3 and 4, in 61 and 67% yield, respectively (Scheme 1). This reaction was monitored by ¹³B{¹H} NMR following the appreciable changes in the boron resonance distributions. In the second step, compounds 3 and 4 were reacted with the OVS via cross-metathesis, following the procedure previously reported by us, using CH₂Cl₂ and the first generation of Grubbs catalyst, to give hybrids 5 and 6, in a 52 and 50% yield, respectively. The reaction was monitored by ¹H NMR and the complete conversion was confirmed upon a change in the aromatic proton resonance distributions, the disappearance of
signals from the vinyl group, and the appearance of new resonances attributed to the two alkene protons.

The structures of 3–6 were established based on IR-ATR, 1H, 13C{1H} and 11B{1H} NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis; triad 3 was confirmed by X-ray diffraction analysis. The IR-ATR spectra of all compounds show typical ν(B–H) strong bands of closo-clusters between 2557 and 2596 cm⁻¹. The IR-ATR spectra of 5–6 show a band near 1600 cm⁻¹ attributed to the ν(C=C) and the typical broad band around 1085 cm⁻¹ due to the Si–O bond. 1H NMR spectra of 3–4 display one new singlet signal, at around δ 3.05 ppm, attributed to the Cc–C₄H₂–styrenyl protons. 12 Besides, other resonances attributed to the benzyl group protons are observed in the range 7.34–6.88 ppm, and the vinyl group in the range 6.82–5.31 ppm (see ESI†).

The 11B{1H} NMR spectra for 3–4 show the typical, 2 : 6 : 2 and 2 : 5 : 1 : 1 : 1 pattern, respectively. As for previously reported iodinated carboranes, 17a the B=I is identified as the highest field resonances at δ ~23.96 ppm, which remains as a singlet in the 11B{1H} NMR. The 11B{1H} NMR spectra of 5–6 show overlapped boron resonances with patterns 16 : 64 or 16 : 40 : 16 : 8, respectively. The 13C{1H} NMR spectra of 3–4 show the aromatic resonances in the range 137–124 ppm and two signals attributed to Cc–CH₃ carbons at around δ = 33 and 42 ppm. Two other additional resonances corresponding to the vinyl group appear in the range δ = 136 and 114 ppm. Moreover, the 13C{1H} NMR spectra of 5–6 show the aromatic and alkene resonances between 149 and 117 ppm, whereas peaks in the region 77–75 ppm are attributed to the Cc–C. The methylene groups resonances appear in the range δ 42–33 ppm.

Finally, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the octavinylsilsesquioxane (OVS) and 5–6 have been obtained (see Fig. 1) and some important differences in the morphology of the particles have been observed. The starting OVS forms cubic-like particles, whereas 5–6 have a spherical shape with a broad particle-size distribution. The shape of these spherical particles obtained for 5–6 is very similar to previous reported o-carboranyl–styrene decorated octasilsesquioxanes, 18 but with
a higher size, which suggests that the presence of the anthracenyl does not affect the shape of the hybrid.

**X-ray structural analysis**

Single-crystals of 3 suitable for X-ray structural determination were obtained by slow evaporation from a mixture of chloroform/n-heptane (9:1) at room temperature. The molecular structure for 3 was established by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 2) and agree with the NMR data (vide supra). Experimental crystal data and structure refinement parameters for the structure are listed in Table 1. The triad 3 crystallizes in the monoclinic system P2₁/c space group. The molecular structure shows a typical icosahedral geometry with similar bond distances and angles to those m-carborane–anthracene dyads and triads previously reported.¹⁷

In the structure of 3, the m-carborane moiety is linked from the C₇ to one anthracene unit through a methylene spacer (–CH₂–), and likewise from the adjoining C₄ to one styrene unit. As shown in Fig. 2, the rotation of the anthracene and benzene rings through the (H)(H)C–C(anthracene–benzene) bond allows more or less similar conformations in the solid-state. Conformations found in the solid-state and torsion angles can be seen in Fig. 2 caption.

The solid-state structure in 3 is dominated by intermolecular C–H⋯π interactions (Fig. S1† and Table 2), mainly between [H]C–H⋯Canthracene (Fig. S1† and Table 2). Moreover, interactions between –CH₂– hydrogens and vinyl carbons are found. Other weak B–H⋯H–C contact is listed in Table 2. From the crystal packing of 3 (Fig. 2, Fig. S1†), we may determine that there are not π–π stacking between two anthracenes or between anthracene and benzene units, due to the presence of the –CH₂– spacer, from which one hydrogen atom interacts with an aromatic Canthracene (∼2.9 Å) avoiding the intermolecular π–π interactions.

From the fingerprint plots analysis, it immediately emerges that H⋯H contacts comprise nearly 72% of the total Hirshfeld surface area for compound 3. The H⋯C contacts contribute around 28% to the total Hirshfeld surface area, whereas the contribution of C⋯C contacts (e.g., π⋯π interactions) is less than 1% (see more details in ESI†).

---

Fig. 1 TEM photographs of the octavinylsilsequioxane (OVS) and 5–6.

Fig. 2 (Left) Molecular structure of 3. Torsion angles: C₂₉C₂₈C₇B₃, –40.4(2)° and C₁₄C₁₃C₇B₃, –38.3(2)°; (right) projections showing the organization of four molecules of 3 in the solid-state. Color code: B pink; C grey; H white.
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Table 1  Crystal data and refinement details for the structure of 3

| Parameter                      | Value          |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Empirical formula              | C_{26}H_{30}B_{10} |
| Formula weight                 | 450.60         |
| Crystal system                 | Monoclinic     |
| Space group                    | P2_1/c         |
| Temperature/K                  | 293(2)         |
| Wavelength/Å                    | 0.71073        |
| a/Å                            | 10.3008(18)    |
| b/Å                            | 11.295(3)      |
| c/Å                            | 22.279(4)      |
| α/°                            | 90             |
| β/°                            | 97.941(7)      |
| γ/°                            | 90             |
| Volume/Å^3                     | 2567.3(9)      |
| Z                              | 4              |
| Density (calculated)/Mg m^-3   | 1.166          |
| F(000)                         | 944            |
| Theta range for data collection/°| 2.581 to 27.484 |
| Absorption coefficient/mm^-1   | 0.060          |
| Goodness-of-fit on F^2         | 1.029          |
| wR1 [I > 2sigma(I)]            | 0.0524         |
| wR2 [I > 2sigma(I)]            | 0.1287         |
| R1 (all data)                  | 0.0710         |
| wR2 (all data)                 | 0.1405         |

Symmetry codes (i) −x, 1/2 + y, 3/2 − z (ii) x, 3/2 − y, 1/2 + z (iii) 1 − x, 1/2 + y, 3/2 − z (iv) 1 − x, 1/2 + y, 3/2 − z.

Table 2  Geometrical parameters of weak D–H···A (A = C, H) contacts (Å, °), involved in the supramolecular construction in 3

| Compound | D–H···A | d(D···A) | <(DHA) | <(HHB) |
|----------|---------|---------|--------|--------|
| 3        | C(13)–H(13B)···C(23) | 2.892 | 168.27 |
| 3        | C(13)–H(13A)···C(34) | 2.977 | 150.4  |
| 3        | C(28)–H(28B)···C(21) | 2.937 | 171    |
| 3        | C(28)–H(28A)···H(4)–B(4) | 2.437 | 123.2  | 109.31 |

Symmetry codes (i) −x, 1/2 + y, 3/2 − z (ii) x, 3/2 − y, 1/2 + z (iii) 1 − x, 1/2 + y, 3/2 − z (iv) 1 − x, 1/2 + y, 3/2 − z.

Photophysical properties and TD-DFT calculations

The photophysical properties of 3–6 were determined by UV-Vis absorption and fluorescence spectroscopies in THF, as well as in a mixture of THF/water (v/v = 1/99) to form aggregates (Table 3). Electronic properties of 3–6 in the ground state were assessed by UV-Vis absorption measurements (~10^-5 M for 3–4 and ~10^-6 M for 5–6, Fig. 3). All compounds exhibit similar absorption spectra with vibrational structures and the four characteristic peaks at around 334, 351, 369 and 389 nm assigned to the π-π* transition band of the anthracene moiety, as was previously observed for precursors 1–2.17 This suggests that the incorporation of the methyl-styryl group through the neighboring C6 does not alter the absorption patterns of the anthracene. The molar extinction coefficients (ε) are significantly different between monomers 3–4 and hybrids 5–6. For 3–4 the ε values were in the range from 94 × 10^3 to 99 × 10^3 M^-1 cm^-1, slightly lower than their precursors 1–2 (102 × 10^3 and 106 × 10^2 M^-1 cm^-1, respectively),17 whereas for 5–6 higher values in the range from 553 × 10^3 to 630 × 10^2 M^-1 cm^-1 were obtained. The molar extinction coefficients for the carboranyl-containing POSS hybrids are almost six times larger than the values found for the individual precursors (3–4), so that the ratio of absorption per carboranyl triad is higher when this unit is attached to the POSS cage, which assembles eight fluorophores per molecule (Table 3).

Photoluminescence emission spectra of 3–6 were also measured in THF. All of them show similar vibronic emission spectra in solution, with maxima around λ_em = 415 nm (Fig. 3a), that can be assigned to the locally excited state (LE) emission of the anthracene moiety. The similarity between spectra of 3–4 in solution to the one from anthracene itself (λ_em = 420 nm) suggests that only small electronic interactions between anthracene units take place, and there is no electronic or steric influence of the iodo or styrene groups, which is in full agreement with the DFT calculations (vide infra). This is proven because 3–4 exhibit fluorescence quantum yield values (φ_F) near 100% in solution, that is comparable to the φ_F of precursors 1–2,17 demonstrating that the fluorescence efficiency is mostly given by the anthracene/carboranyl group, whereas the influence of the styrene is negligible. Moreover, these results are consistent with previous reports.15–17 where m-carborane bound to other fluorophores produced an important increase of the quantum efficiency.

Moreover, when triads 3–4 were grafted to the OVS cube structure, the quantum yields drop to 34–45% for 5–6 in solution. If we compare these values with others reported for similar structures bearing o-carborane, Me-o-carborane and Ph-o-carborane, with φ_F 0.02, 0.09 and 0.44, respectively,18 we may conclude that the synergistic combination of the anthracene luminophore with the m-carborane, which is a less electron-acceptor group that the o-carborane, results in higher

Table 3  Photophysical data for compounds 3–6

| Compounds | λ_abs (nm) | ε/10^3 (M^-1 cm^-1) | λ_em (nm) | φ_F | Stokes shift (nm) |
|-----------|------------|---------------------|-----------|-----|------------------|
| THF       |            |                     |           |     |                  |
| 3         | 369        | 0.099               | 414       | 1   | 45               |
| 4         | 369        | 0.094               | 415       | 0.98| 46               |
| 5         | 369        | 0.553               | 415       | 0.34| 46               |
| 6         | 369        | 0.630               | 416       | 0.45| 47               |

| Compounds | λ_abs (nm) | λ_em (nm) | φ_F | Stokes shift (nm) |
|-----------|------------|-----------|-----|------------------|
| THF/water (1/99) |            |           |     |                  |
| 3         | 371        | 457       | 0.31| 86               |
| 4         | 373        | 455       | 0.26| 82               |
| 5         | 373        | 457       | 0.36| 84               |
| 6         | 373        | 456       | 0.27| 83               |

Footnote: a Reference compound quinine sulfate (0.5 M H_2SO_4, φ_F = 0.54).
luminescent POSS hybrids. Table 3 summarizes the photophysical data for all the compounds.

Finally, we investigated the PL behavior in the aggregate state (THF/H₂O = 1/99 (v/v), 1 × 10⁻⁵ M for 3–4 and 1 × 10⁻⁶ M for 5–6). The PL spectra for these compounds are very similar, showing non-vibronic structures and a maximum emission around 455–459 nm (Table 3 and Fig. 3c and d), red-shifted about 40 nm, with regard to the THF solutions. Consequently, larger Stokes shifts are also observed. Noticeably, similar moderate fluorescence quantum yields were determined for hybrids 5–6 (φ_F = 27–36%) and monomers 3–4 (26–31%), suggesting that neither the free monomers nor the hybrids obtained after their coupling to OVS have π–π interactions in solid-state, as it was discussed above for the crystal packing of 3. Nevertheless, a significant drop of the fluorescence efficiency occurs in aggregates 3–4 (26–31%) with regard to the solution (98–100%), probably due to the restriction of the rotation of the anthracene units.

To get a better insight into the nature of the photophysical properties of the investigated compounds, DFT calculations were performed (more details in ESI†). Several rotamers were considered and the investigated rotamers (ΔE = 4.0–5.1 kcal mol⁻¹ at ω-B97XD/6-31G* level of theory, Fig. S2†). Investigating the Kohn–Sahm frontier orbitals of the different rotamers it can be established that, the energy (Δε < 0.2 eV) and the shape of the orbitals does not depend strongly on the orientation of the substituents in agreement with the small energy differences between the rotamers. In the case of 3 both the HOMO and LUMO are localized at the anthracene unit (Fig. 4 and Fig. S3†), but the orbitals of the styrenyl moiety also appear in the frontier orbital region (HOMO–1, LUMO+1, see Fig. 4 and Fig. S3†). The π-systems of anthracene and styrene units do not interact with each other and the energy of the above-mentioned orbitals are very close to the energy of the corresponding orbitals of the parent anthracenyl-m-carborane (1) and stirenyl-m-carborane (Fig. 4); this further supports the lack of interaction between them. In the case of 4 the shape and energy level of HOMO and LUMO are similar to 3 and the lone pairs of the iodine atoms appear as HOMO–2 and HOMO–3 (Fig. S3†).

TD-DFT calculations were performed at different level of theory. The geometry was obtained at ω-B97XD/6-31+G* level of theory and this geometry was used for the further TD-DFT calculations. Several methods and basis sets were tested (Table S1 in ESI†) and, in agreement with our previous results, the B3LYP method with the rather small 6-31G basis set gives the best numerical results, only 5 nm error for both 3 and 4 (calculated: 384 nm vs. experimental: 389 nm, note that simple TD-DFT calculations could not interpret the

![Absorption and emission spectra of 3–6 in THF solutions (a–b) and aggregates (THF/H₂O, v/v = 1/99) (c–d). AU: arbitrary units.](image-url)
the styrenyl unit does not affect the frontier orbitals (localised at the anthracene unit, ESI† (trimethoxysilyl substituted derivatives see Fig. S4 in the ESI†)). To obtain a better description of the absorption peak by 30 nm (it should be highlighted that they gave questionable geometry and overestimated the properties of our systems. On the other hand, due to its large dimension for simple DFT calculations, only one arm of the octasilsesquioxane substitutions, one of its arms have similar vibronic emissions in solution assigned to the locally excited state (LE) emission of the anthracene moiety. The similarity between these spectra to the anthracene itself suggested that only small electronic interactions between the anthracene units occurred, as it was confirmed by TD-DFT calculations. The calculated oscillator strengths corresponding to the transitions from iodo orbitals to the LUMO were low and could not be observed experimentally. Thus, the presence of iodo units did not affect the photophysical properties of our systems. On the other hand, due to its large dimension for simple DFT calculations, only one arm of 5 and 6 has been calculated as a model system, suggesting that the substitution at the styrenyl unit did not affect the shape and the energy of the frontier orbitals. Extraordinary fluorescence efficiencies, around the unity, were exhibited for triads 3–4 in solution, being lower for hybrids 5–6. Noticeably, all of them exhibited quite high fluorescence quantum yield values in the aggregate state. In agreement with earlier scattered data on m-carborane related to other fluorophores, herein we provide extra results that evidence that m-carborane is a suitable scaffold for coupling to anthracene to produce excellent emitters in solution, while maintaining the emission properties in the aggregate state. The ϕE on anthracene has increased to near 100% upon the linkage to the m-carborane. An explanation that is consistent with the experimental and computational details is that m-carborane does not alter the energy levels participating in the fluorescence, mainly due to anthracene, however it prevents alternative non-radiative transitions. DFT and TD-DFT calculations have given support to the non-influence of m-carborane in the orbitals participating in the PL, but have not provided any hint for the high quantum yield. Taking into account the potential of m-carborane based fluorophores, in the future we plan to explore other related compounds and carry out calculations at higher level of theory (e.g. CASPT2) that require much more computational time and do molecular dynamic calculations (MD) that may give more precise information. In addition, we will focus in exploiting and studying the potential applications of m-carborane-containing fluorophores.

Conclusions

A set of two new C-heterosubstituted m-carborane triads bearing one anthracene and one styrene group (3–4) along with octasilsesquioxane-based hybrids (5–6) decorated with eight of those triads have been successfully synthesized, isolated and fully characterized. TEM images of OVS and 5–6 show important differences in the morphology of the particles; whereas OVS forms cubic-like particles, 5–6 have spherical shapes with a broad particle-size distribution. The crystal structure of 3 was analyzed by X-ray diffraction. According to their emission spectra, all compounds show vibrational fine structure, which is unique for rigid aromatic system such as anthracene). These results confirmed that the transition corresponds to the local π–π* (HOMO–LUMO) transition of the anthracene moiety.

For 4, it should be noted that the lone pairs of the iodo atoms are in the border orbitals' region (HOMO–2, HOMO–3 in the ESI†). Moreover, TD-DFT calculations show transitions from these orbitals to the LUMO (fifth and sixth excited states, see in Table S3†), and the calculated oscillator strength (which corresponds to the intensity of the peaks in the experimental spectra) of these transitions are low (<0.002), thus they could not be observed experimentally. Therefore, the presence of iodo groups does not affect the photophysical properties of the parent compound.

The geometry optimization of 5 and the TD-DFT calculations were performed as well (more details in Table S4†); they gave questionable geometry and overestimated the absorption peak by 30 nm (it should be highlighted that these systems are quite huge for simple DFT calculations (more than 500 atoms)). To obtain a better description of the effect of the octasilsesquioxane substitutions, one of its arms (trimethoxysilyl substituted derivatives see Fig. S4 in the ESI†) was calculated. As it can be expected the substitution at the styrenyl unit does not affect the shape and the energy of the frontier orbitals (localised at the anthracene unit, Fig. S4†), which is in agreement with the experimentally observed spectra.
Experimental

Instrumentation

Elemental analyses were performed using a Carlo Erba EA1108 microanalyser. ATR-IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-4700 spectrometer on a high-resolution. The $^1$H NMR (300.13 MHz), $^{11}$B($^1$H) (96.29 MHz) and $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR (75.47 MHz) spectra were recorded on a Bruker ARX 300 spectrometer. All NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl$_3$ solutions at 25 °C. Chemical shift values for $^{11}$B($^1$H) NMR spectra were referenced to external BF$_3$·OEt$_2$, and those for $^1$H and $^{13}$C($^1$H) NMR were referenced to SiMe$_3$ (TMS). Chemical shifts are reported in units of parts per million downfield from the reference.

All reactions were performed under an atmosphere of dinitrogen employing standard Schlenk techniques. Tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether, hexane, petroleum ether, and dichloromethane were purchased from Merck and distilled from sodium benzophenone before to use. Commercial grade diethyl ether, hexane, petroleum ether, $n$-heptane, and chloroform were used without further purification.

Compounds 1–2 were synthesized according to the literature.$^{27}$ $n$BuLi solution (1.6 M in hexane), Grubbs 1st generation catalyst [RuCl$_2$(CHPh)$_2$(PCy$_3$)$_2$], Octavinylsilsesquioxane (1.33). Absorption correction was applied using SADABS.$^{26}$ The structure was solved by direct methods which revealed the position of all non-hydrogen atoms and refined with full-matrix least-squares calculations on $^F$,$^2$ using Olex2 as the graphical interface.$^{28}$ Anisotropic displacement parameters were assigned to all atoms except for hydrogen atoms, which are riding their parent atoms with an isotropic temperature factor chosen as 1.2 times those of their parent atoms. Details of the structure determination and refinement of the studied compounds are summarized in Table 1.

Synthesis of 3. A 1.6 M solution of $n$BuLi in hexane (0.68 mL, 1.088 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of 1 (315 mg, 0.942 mmol) in THF (10 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, cooled to 0 °C, and 4-vinylbenzyl chloride (0.17 mL, 1.090 mmol) was added. The mixture was stirred and refluxed at 75 °C overnight, after which the solvent was removed under vacuum and the mixture was quenched with H$_2$O (10 mL), transferred to a separating funnel, and extracted with Et$_2$O (3 × 10 mL). The organic layer was dried with MgSO$_4$ and the volatile substances were removed under vacuum. The yellowish oil was purified by preparative layer chromatography (hexane) to give 3 as a yellowish solid. Yield: 0.259 g, 61%. Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of a solution of 3 in chloroform/n-$n$-heptane. $^1$H NMR, $\delta$ (ppm): 8.44 (s, 1H, C$_{14}$H$_{20}$), 8.12 (d, $^1$J($^1$H,$^1$H) = 9 Hz, 2H, C$_{14}$H$_{18}$), 8.03 (d, $^1$J($^1$H,$^1$H) = 9 Hz, 2H, C$_{14}$H$_{18}$), 7.58–7.54 (m, 2H, C$_{14}$H$_{18}$), 7.53–7.49 (m, 2H, C$_{14}$H$_{18}$), 7.33 (d, $^1$J($^1$H,$^1$H) = 6 Hz, 2H, C$_{14}$H$_{18}$), 6.94 (d, $^1$J($^1$H,$^1$H) = 9 Hz, 2H, C$_{14}$H$_{18}$), 2.77 (s, C$_{6}$H$_{14}$), 1.20 (s, 2H, C$_{14}$H$_{20}$), 0.86 (t, J($^1$H,$^1$H) = 7.5 Hz, 3H, B$_3$H$_9$); ATR-IR (cm$^{-1}$): $\nu$ = 3052, 2927, 1517. Absorption correction was applied using SADABS.$^{26}$ The structure was solved by direct methods which revealed the position of all non-hydrogen atoms and refined with full-matrix least-squares calculations on $^F$,$^2$ using Olex2 as the graphical interface.$^{28}$ Anisotropic displacement parameters were assigned to all atoms except for hydrogen atoms, which are riding their parent atoms with an isotropic temperature factor chosen as 1.2 times those of their parent atoms. Details of the structure determination and refinement of the studied compounds are summarized in Table 1.

Crystallographic data for the structure reported in this paper have been deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, CCDC 1972767.$^\dagger$
Synthesis of 5. A 10 mL round-bottomed flask was charged under nitrogen with OVS (15 mg, 0.024 mmol), compound 3 (102.5 mg, 0.227 mmol), and first generation Grubbs catalyst (12 mg, 0.014 mmol) in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The solution was stirred and refluxed at 40 °C for three days. The solvent was removed under vacuum. The residue was treated with a mixture of THF/MeOH (1:10) to obtain a grey solid. Further purification was performed by preparative layer chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/hexane, 7:3) to obtain compound 5 as yellowish solid. Yield: 49 mg, 52%. ¹H NMR, δ (ppm): 8.28 (s, 8H, δ (ppm): –6.52 [br, 16B], –10.81 [br, 48B], –13.33 [br, 16B]); ¹³C (ppm): 148.68 (s, CH=CH-Si), 137.54 (s, C₆H₄), 136.10 (s, C₆H₄), 130.94 (s, C₆H₄), 130.36 (s, C₆H₄), 129.86 (s, C₆H₄), 129.03 (s, C₆H₄), 128.88 (s, C₆H₄), 127.79 (s, C₆H₄), 126.70 (s, C₆H₄), 125.85 (s, C₆H₄), 124.61 (s, C₂H₄), 124.33 (s, C₂H₄), 117.23 (s, CH=CH-Si), 75.94 (s, C-C'), 75.17 (s, C-C'), 42.32 (s, CH₂-C₂H₄), 33.29 (s, CH₂-C₂H₄); ²⁹Si NMR (CDCl₃): δ = –78.09; ATR-IR (cm⁻¹): υ = 3034, 2957, 2929, 2867 (C-H), 2389 (B-H), 1605 (C=C), 1087 (Si-O); elemental analysis (calcd %) for C₂₀₈H₂₁₄B₈O₁₂Si₈ + 2 C₆H₁₄ + CH₂Cl₂: C, 50.29; H, 4.85. Found: C, 50.30; H, 5.09.
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