Time to Keratometric Stability After Pterygium Excision and the Associated Factors: A Clinical Perspective
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**Purpose:** To determine the percentage of eyes with corneal astigmatic power stability and mean corneal keratometric power at 6-month post-pterygium excision, and to identify the time, and the associated factors, required to achieve stability.

**Methods:** This prospective observational study enrolled patients undergoing pterygium excision. Patients were evaluated for baseline characteristics and keratometric data before and every month after pterygium excision for six months using IOL Master 500® (Carl Zeiss, Meditec). Clinically stable corneal astigmatic power and keratometric power were, respectively, defined as changes in these parameters of less than 0.25 and 0.27 diopters after two consecutive visits. Time to corneal astigmatic and keratometric power stability, as well as factors associated with the stability, were analyzed.

**Results:** Forty percent and 73.3% of eyes, respectively, demonstrated corneal astigmatic and corneal keratometric stability at six months post-operation. Within three months of reaching initial stability, the corneal astigmatic power and the mean keratometric power showed instability in 46.7% and 27.3% of patients, respectively. No patients with keratometric stability for more than three months became unstable during the study period. The extension of pterygium exceeding 3.0 mm was associated with a delay in time to corneal astigmatic stability (HRadjusted 0.41; 95% CI 0.19–0.89; P= 0.02).

**Conclusion:** According to the clinical relevance, 40% and 73% of patients, respectively, presented corneal astigmatic and keratometric stability within six months post-operation. Patients with a pterygium extension of more than 3 mm required a longer time for corneal astigmatic stability. It is recommended that keratometric stability be achieved for at least three months before commencing with additional procedures.

**Keywords:** pterygium, corneal curvature, keratometry, astigmatism

**Introduction**

Pterygium is a benign proliferative fibrovascular conjunctival lesion extending onto the cornea that may result in a significant change to the corneal curvature.1 The advancing head of pterygia toward the center of the cornea induces corneal astigmatism by mechanically flattening and distorting the cornea in the axis of pterygium traction.2–4 After pterygium excision, the corneal keratometry was observed as unstable for a period of time. Previous studies demonstrated that corneal curvature increased while corneal astigmatism decreased after pterygium surgery.5–9

The clinical stability of keratometric parameters is essential in patients requiring consecutive procedures, such as cataract surgery, after pterygium excision. Even
though many studies showed that there was no statistically significant change of keratometric parameters one to three months after pterygium excision,\(^5\) to 11 the keratometric change concerning with clinically significance is still unclear.

In this study, we evaluated the time to stability for corneal astigmatic power and mean keratometric power after pterygium excision, and determined the factors associated with the time to stability.

**Materials and Methods**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board, Faculty of Medicine, Chulalongkorn University, and followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The research protocol was registered in Thai Clinical Trials Registry (TCTR20141012001). Patients undergoing pterygium excision at the outpatient clinic, Department of Ophthalmology, King Chulalongkorn Memorial Hospital, Bangkok, Thailand, were consecutively invited to participate. Written informed consent for the purpose of the study, participation in the study and consequent utilization of clinical data was obtained from each patient.

The sample size was calculated to estimate a proportion with a specific level of confidence and precision using the formula: \(n = \frac{Z_{\alpha /2} \times P \times (1-P)}{d^2}\). Where the alpha level, the expected proportion of corneal astigmatic stability (P), the relative acceptable error (d) and the estimated drop-out were 0.05, 0.8, 10% and 20%, respectively, this provided a sample size of 75.

The study enrolled patients with either primary or recurrent pterygium. We excluded patients that their keratometric data could not be obtained from both non-contact partial coherence interferometry (IOL Master 500\(^\circ\), Carl Zeiss, Meditec, Jena, Germany) and slit-scanning corneal topography (Orbscan II, Bausch & Lomb, Rochester, NY, USA). Patients with a history of penetrating or perforating eye injury, preceding ocular surgeries other than pterygium surgery, corneal scarring, or any other corneal diseases were also excluded.

Baseline characteristics including gender, age, laterality of pterygium were recorded preoperatively. Pterygia were graded into atrophic, intermediate, or fleshy types according to Tan et al.\(^1\) Size of pterygia was measured with a caliper under a surgical microscope. The longest extension of pterygia from the limbus to the apex was recorded in millimeters. In the case of double-head pterygia, an average of the longest extension of pterygia from the nasal and temporal sides was recorded. Keratometric data were collected from all patients before pterygium surgery, and monthly after surgery for 6 months using the IOL Master 500\(^\circ\). In the case the IOL Master 500\(^\circ\) could not provide keratometric data, the data were obtained from the Orbscan II. Each measurement was repeated at least 3 times until the discrepancy of keratometric data was less than 0.25 diopters (D). The corneal cylinder and the average of minimum and maximum keratometric powers from the most accurate three measurements were recorded.

Pterygium excision was performed under topical anesthesia by either supervised ophthalmology residents or ophthalmologists. Lidocaine hydrochloride 2% with Adrenaline 1:80,000 (M&H Manufacturing Co., Ltd, Samut Prakan, Thailand) was injected subconjunctivally. The head of pterygium was removed with the use of a number 15 surgical scalpel blade. The body of pterygium was then dissected and removed. The bare sclera technique was performed in case of atrophic pterygia. Amniotic membrane or conjunctival membrane graft with sutures were used to cover the exposed sclera in intermediate and fleshy pterygia. After pterygium surgeries, patients were prescribed corticosteroid eye drops for one to three months, antibiotic eye drops until corneal epithelial defect closed and non-preservative artificial tear as needed. All sutures were removed within two weeks of surgery.

The primary outcomes were the percentages of eyes that showed stability of corneal astigmatic power and mean keratometric power six months after pterygium excision, and the time to stability of corneal astigmatic power and mean keratometric power. Corneal astigmatic stability was defined as a period of two consecutive months in which the corneal astigmatic power changed less than 0.25D. The mean keratometric power was an average of maximum and minimum keratometric power at 3 mm zone. The stability of mean keratometric power was defined as a period of two consecutive months in which the mean keratometric power changed less than 0.27D. The first month of the two consecutive months that these parameters did not exceed the defined criteria was recorded as the time of stability. The secondary outcomes, including the factors associated with the time to stability of each keratometric parameter, were also analyzed.

Survival analysis was used to assess the time to stability of post-operative corneal astigmatic power and mean keratometric power. Univariate and multivariate analyses with the Cox Regression Model were used to evaluate the factors associated with the time to stability of corneal
astigmatic power and mean keratometric power. Factors which showed P-value less than 0.05 from the univariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis. Size of pterygium, which was a significant factor considered according to literature reviews, was also included in the multivariate analysis of both corneal astigmatic and mean keratometric stability. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 17.0. Chicago: SPSS Inc. (SPSS Inc. Released 2008).

Results
The study enrolled one eye from seventy-five patients. The mean patient age was 55.5 ±11.4 years (range 20 to 76 years). Forty (53.3%) patients were female. The mean duration from diagnosis to surgery of pterygium was 10.3 ±7.8 years (range 1 to 40 years). The mean corneal extension of pterygium from limbus was 3.62 ±1.28 mm (range 0.3 to 8 mm). Baseline characteristics of patients are shown in Table 1. We obtained preoperative keratometric data in seventy-four eyes using the IOL Master 500®. One eye required the Orbscan II for keratometric measurement. The median preoperative corneal astigmatism was −1.32D (IQR 1.3; range −8.6 to −0.22D). The mean preoperative keratometric power was 44.17 ±1.59D (range 41.26 to 48.17D).

Corneal Astigmatic Stability
Thirty of seventy-five eyes (40.0%) demonstrated corneal astigmatic stability within the post-operative six months period. The time to corneal astigmatic stability is shown in Figure 1. Thirty percent (17 of 55) of patients with with-the-rule astigmatism and 65% (13 of 20) of patients with against-the-rule astigmatism demonstrated corneal astigmatic stability within six months. The eyes that showed corneal astigmatic stability for three consecutive months remained stable during the study period, while 10 (33.3%) and 4 (13.3%) of 30 eyes, respectively, showed corneal astigmatic changes at two and three months after demonstrating initial corneal astigmatic stability.

The factors associated with the time to corneal astigmatic stability are shown in Table 2. The length of pterygium, absolute preoperative corneal astigmatism and absolute change of corneal astigmatism one month post-surgery were significantly associated with the time to corneal astigmatic stability using univariate analysis.

Multivariate analysis with the Cox Regression Model for the time to corneal astigmatic stability showed the overall model was significant ($\chi^2_{\text{LR}}=10.528$, df=3, $p<0.05$). Examination of the hazard ratio indicated that those with larger pterygium (>3 mm) had a 59% less chance of achieving corneal astigmatic stability than those with smaller pterygium (≤3 mm) at any given time.

Mean Keratometric Stability
Fifty-five of 75 eyes (73.3%) demonstrated mean keratometric stability six months post-operation. The median survival time of mean keratometric stability was three months after surgery. The time to mean keratometric stability is shown in Figure 2. At two and three months after demonstrating initial keratometric stability, 9 (16.63%) and 6 (10.91%) of 55 eyes,

Table 1 Baseline Characteristics of Study Participants

| Characteristics                                      | Number of Eyes (%) |
|------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|
| **Age (years)**                                      | (n=75)             |
| 0–40                                                  | 11 (14.7)          |
| 41–60                                                 | 38 (46.7)          |
| > 60                                                  | 26 (34.6)          |
| **Laterality**                                       |                    |
| Right                                                 | 40 (53.5)          |
| Left                                                  | 35 (46.7)          |
| **Grade of pterygium (atrophic/intermediate/fleshy)**|                    |
| One-headed (63 eyes)                                 | 18 (28.5)/34 (54.0)/11 (17.5) |
| Double-headed (9 eyes)                               | 0/8 (88.9)/1 (11.1) |
| Nasal side                                           | 1 (11.1)/7 (77.8)/1 (11.1) |
| Temporal side                                        |                    |
| **Size of pterygium from limbus to head (71 eyes)**   |                    |
| ≤ 3 mm                                                | 30 (42.3)          |
| > 3 mm                                                | 41 (57.7)          |
| **Best corrected visual acuity**                     |                    |
| 20/20 – 20/40                                        | 54 (72.0)          |
| < 20/40 – 20/70                                       | 11 (14.7)          |
| < 20/70 – 20/200                                     | 7 (9.3)            |
| < 20/200                                              | 3 (4.0)            |
| **Type of astigmatism**                              | 55 (73.3)          |
| With-the-rule                                        | 20 (26.7)          |
| Against-the-rule                                     |                    |
| **Surgical technique**                               |                    |
| Amniotic membrane graft                              | 65 (86.7)          |
| Conjunctival graft                                   | 6 (8.0)            |
| Bare sclera                                          | 4 (5.3)            |
fewer than 5 were included. The figures demonstrate cumulative percentage of eyes with significant astigmatism and keratometric power, respectively, after pterygium surgery. The factors associated with the time to keratometric stability are shown in Table 3. The duration from diagnosis to surgery of pterygium showed significant association with the time to keratometric stability using univariate analysis. No factor demonstrated an association with the time to keratometric stability in multivariate analysis.

**Discussion**

This study evaluated the time to stability of post-operative corneal astigmatism and mean keratometric power after pterygium surgery while taking clinical relevance into account. Only 40% and 73% of patients, respectively, demonstrated the stability of corneal astigmatism and keratometric power within the post-operative six months. Moreover, a substantial number of patients showing corneal astigmatic and/or keratometric power stabilities demonstrated changes in these parameters within the three months that followed. In contrast, patients showing keratometric stability for three months demonstrated persistent keratometric stability throughout the study period.

Several previous studies reported corneal astigmatic stability and keratometric stability after pterygium excision by comparing mean astigmatic and keratometric powers between each post-operative visit. These studies revealed no statistically significant change in corneal astigmatism and keratometric power at one to three months post-operation. Recent study by Kam et al demonstrated that post-operative mean keratometry and astigmatism measured by Scheimpflug tomography were stable as soon as 1 week after pterygium excision. However, the statistical significance shown in the aforementioned studies did not provide the information about the size of keratometric change essential for the clinical application. Before achieving keratometric stability after pterygium surgery, intervention, such as spectacles prescription, intraocular lens measurement or laser keratometric procedure, might result in residual refractive error and an overall poor visual outcome.

In this study, we defined the stability of corneal astigmatism and keratometric power while considering clinical significance. Corneal astigmatic change within 0.25D does not alter a spectacle power prescription while keratometric power change within 0.27D does not affect the intraocular lens power selection, commercially available in 0.50D increments, when calculated using the SRK/T formula. The IOL Master 500® is a widely used optical biometer providing good reliability and repeatability data. The IOL Master 500® was used as a tool to evaluate the stability of corneal astigmatism and keratometric power because the result would be helpful in a clinical setting, especially in patients undergoing cataract surgery. A recent study also demonstrated that the biometric parameters, including corneal curvature and the repeatability of the IOL Master 500® were not significantly different from
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Table 2 Factors Associated with Time to Stability of Corneal Astigmatism After Pterygium Surgery

| Factors                                      | HR<sub>Crude</sub> | 95% CI<sup>a</sup> | HR<sub>Adjusted</sub> | 95% CI<sup>b</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Age (Ref: <40 years)                         |                    |                    |                       |                    |
|                                              | $\chi^2$=0.78, df=2, $P=0.68$ |                    |                       |                    |
| 41–60 years                                  | 0.75               | 0.28, 2.05         |                       |                    |
| > 60 years                                   | 0.61               | 0.21, 1.83         |                       |                    |
| Grade of pterygium (Ref: grade 1: Atrophic)  |                    |                    |                       |                    |
|                                              | $\chi^2$=1.38, df=2, $P=0.50$ |                    |                       |                    |
| Grade 2: Intermediate                        | 1.76               | 0.65, 4.74         |                       |                    |
| Grade 3: Fleshy                              | 1.82               | 0.53, 6.28         |                       |                    |
| Duration of pterygium (years)                | 1.00               | 0.95, 1.04         |                       |                    |
| Length of pterygium from the limbus to the apex ($\leq 3$, $> 3$ mm) | 0.39<sup>c</sup> | 0.18, 0.85         | 0.41<sup>c</sup>     | 0.19, 0.89         |
| Absolute pre-operative corneal astigmatism (diopters) | 0.59<sup>c</sup> | 0.38, 0.92         | 0.69                  | 0.39, 1.22         |
| Absolute change of corneal astigmatism one month after surgery (diopters) | 0.52<sup>c</sup> | 0.30, 0.93         | 0.75                  | 0.38, 1.49         |

Notes: <sup>a</sup>Univariate analysis. <sup>b</sup>Multivariate analysis with Cox regression model. <sup>c</sup>P<0.05.

Table 3 Factors Associated with Time to Stability of Mean Keratometric Power After Pterygium Surgery

| Factors                                      | HR<sub>Crude</sub> | 95% CI<sup>a</sup> | HR<sub>Adjusted</sub> | 95% CI<sup>b</sup> |
|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Age (Ref<40 years)                           |                    |                    |                       |                    |
|                                              | $\chi^2$=4.14, df=2, $P=0.13$ | $\chi^2$=3.90, df=2, $P=0.14$ |                       |                    |
| 41–60 years                                  | 1.30               | 0.57, 2.96         | 1.16                  | 0.50, 2.68         |
| > 60 years                                   | 0.70               | 0.29, 1.72         | 0.63                  | 0.25, 1.55         |
| Grade of pterygium (Ref: grade 1: Atrophic)  |                    |                    |                       |                    |
|                                              | $\chi^2$=0.16, df=2, $P=0.93$ |                    |                       |                    |
| Grade 2: Intermediate                        | 0.88               | 0.47, 1.65         |                       |                    |
| Grade 3: Fleshy                              | 0.92               | 0.40, 2.11         |                       |                    |
| Duration of pterygium                        | 1.03<sup>c</sup>   | 1.00, 1.06         | 1.03                  | 0.99, 1.07         |
| Length of pterygium from the limbus to the apex ($\leq 3$, $> 3$ mm) | 1.05               | 0.60, 1.86         | 0.96                  | 0.54, 1.70         |
| Pre-operative mean corneal refraction (diopters) | 1.09               | 0.93, 1.28         |                       |                    |
| Absolute change of mean corneal refraction one month after surgery (diopters) | 0.86               | 0.60, 1.22         |                       |                    |

Notes: <sup>a</sup>Univariate analysis. <sup>b</sup>Multivariate analysis with Cox regression model. <sup>c</sup>P<0.05.

the repeatability of the IOL Master 700® and the Pentacam AXL.16

Our findings demonstrated that the percentage of patients with stability of keratometric power was higher than the percentage of patients with stability of corneal astigmatic power at six months after pterygium excision. Moreover, all patients with stability of corneal astigmatic power showed stability of keratometric power. The procedures following pterygium surgery, which require only refractive power stability such as cataract surgery with non-toric intraocular lens implantation, can be performed with lesser time lapsed between procedures, while
procedures including laser refractive surgery, astigmatic keratotomy, limbal relaxing incision, cataract surgery with toric intraocular lens implantation and spectacle prescription, in which stability of corneal astigmatism is essential for the best outcomes, require a longer follow-up period.

After showing the stability of corneal astigmatic and/or keratometric power, some patients demonstrated changes in keratometric parameters within three months. However, patients who showed keratometric stability for three consecutive months remained stable throughout the study period. Thus, after reaching keratometric stability, we recommend following up with patients at least three months before proceeding with consecutive refractive procedures. Keratometric change after achieving initial stability, although considered the genuine change, may be due to pre-existing dry eye syndrome or measurement error. To obtain accurate keratometric data, these factors should be considered and properly managed.

Previous studies showed that the size of pterygium had significant positive correlation with corneal astigmatism, total higher order aberration and amount of corneal astigmatic change after pterygium surgery.\(^9,11,17\) To our knowledge, the factors associated with time to keratometric stability are still unknown. We found that pterygium with corneal extension more than 3 mm had 59% less chance of corneal astigmatic stability when compared to pterygium with corneal extension equal or less than 3 mm at any time point within six months follow-up period.

There were some limitations in our study. First, the number of patients with recurrent and double head pterygium was too small to be separately evaluated. Second, long-term stability of keratometric power and corneal astigmatism beyond six months post-operation remains unknown.

**Conclusion**

Within the post-operative six months, 40% and 73% of the patients demonstrated clinical stability of corneal astigmatism and keratometric power, respectively. While some patients showed keratometric changes within three months of achieving stability, patients who showed keratometric stability for three consecutive months demonstrated persistent keratometric stability afterward. The time to corneal astigmatic stability was positively associated with the length of pterygium that extended into cornea. This study provides an insight into the keratometric stability after pterygium surgery, which can be used to anticipate the appropriate interval before proceeding with additional procedures, such as refractive surgery, intraocular lens measurement or spectacle prescription.
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