Incompressible states of negatively charged magneto-excitons
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We study the system of up to four negatively charged magneto-excitons (X−’s) in the spherical geometry, using the exact-diagonalization techniques. At low energies, X−’s are bound and behave like charged particles without internal dynamics. The pseudopotential describing X−−X− scattering is almost identical to that of electrons and the low-lying few-X− states correspond to the few-electron states. The total angular momentum of the ground state depends on the effective filling factor ν and vanishes at its special values. The analogs to the Laughlin ν = 1/3 state and the Jain ν = 2/5 state of electrons are found. The X− system is predicted to exhibit the fractional quantum Hall effect.

In a magnetic field, a pair of quasi-two-dimensional (2D) electrons and a valence hole can form a bound negatively charged magneto-exciton (X−) \[\text{[1–3]}\]. This state has lower energy than the multiplicative eigenstate predicted by the hidden-symmetry arguments \[\text{[4]}\], in which exciton and electron do not interact with each other. Like an electron, a bound X− is long-lived \[\text{[3]}\], has a mass and an electric charge and its lowest energy states form a degenerate Landau level (LL) \[\text{[5]}\]. Hence, in analogy to the system of electrons, one can expect that X−’s might form an incompressible liquid and exhibit the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) \[\text{[6–8]}\]. The occurrence of incompressible electron states in the thermodynamic limit is related to the peculiar dependence of the energy spectrum of the finite system on the filling factor and angular momentum \[\text{[8]}\]. In this paper we report on the studies of the system of up to four X−’s in the spherical geometry \[\text{[6–8]}\] using the exact-diagonalization techniques. We show that the low-energy states of a few-X− system are determined by the repulsion between X−’s whose internal motion is not affected by the X−−X− scattering. The pseudopotential describing the X−−X− scattering in the lowest LL is very similar to that of electrons. Consequently, the X−’s are predicted to exhibit a number of properties characteristic of a 2D electron system in strong magnetic fields, including the FQHE.

We consider a system of 2N electrons (e) and N valence holes (h), i.e. N negatively charged excitons (X−), on the Haldane sphere of radius R \[\text{[6]}\]. The magnetic monopole of strength 2S (2S is an integer) produces a magnetic field B normal to the surface and the total flux \(\Phi = 4\pi R^2 B = 2S\phi_0\), where \(\phi_0 = h\epsilon / e\) is the flux quantum.

The single-particle (SP) states are called monopole harmonics \[\text{[4]}\]. For given 2S they are labeled by angular momentum \(l\) and its projection \(m\). The SP energies form degenerate LL’s labeled by \(l\). In high magnetic fields we assume that only the lowest, spin-polarized LL (with \(l = S\)) is occupied, and the SP states can be uniquely labeled by \(m\). The energy of the lowest LL is \(\frac{\hbar}{\epsilon} \omega_c\), where \(\omega_c\) is the cyclotron frequency, and the characteristic wavefunction length scale is the magnetic length, \(\lambda (R^2 = S\lambda^2)\).

The Hamiltonian of an interacting e−h system contains the constant SP energy and the e−e, e−h, and h−h Coulomb interactions. The Hilbert space of e−h states is spanned by SP configurations, which are classified by total angular momentum projection \(M\). The eigenstates are obtained through diagonalization of the Coulomb interaction in the \(M\)-eigensubspaces. The eigenenergies fall into degenerate \(L\)-multiplets with \(M = -L, -L + 1, \ldots, L\).

The ground state (GS) of an exciton \(X\) (e−h pair) is the \(L = 0\) state. Due to the hidden symmetry associated with equal strength of e−e, e−h, and h−h interactions (the \(e\) and \(h\) wavefunctions have the same characteristic length scale \(\lambda\), the spectrum of an X− contains a multiplicative eigenstate (L-multiplet) which consists of an \(X\) in its \(L = 0\) ground state decoupled from the second electron with \(l = S\) \[\text{[4]}\]. Thus, the Coulomb energy of this state is equal to the \(X\) binding energy and its angular momentum is \(L = S\).

There is exactly one state in the X− spectrum with lower energy than the multiplicative state; its angular momentum is \(L = S - 1\). An X− in this (ground) state is a bound complex. It has a characteristic size, an electric charge, a mass, and nonzero angular momentum (a degenerate (2S−1)-fold LL) \[\text{[2]}\]. As shown by Palacios et al. \[\text{[4]}\], this complex is also long-lived (i.e. has an infinite optical recombination lifetime).

The above properties make an X− very similar to a charged particle without an internal structure (e.g., an electron). Hence, one might expect that at low densities, when the charge-charge interaction between X−’s is too weak to excite the internal degrees of freedom, the system of X−’s would behave like a system of electrons. An interesting question is whether it can form an incompressible liquid and exhibit the FQHE. In order to answer it, we will compare the spectra of \(NX\) at a given monopole strength 2S, i.e. with individual angular momenta \(l = S - 1\), with the spectra of \(Ne\) with the same individual angular momenta \(l\), i.e. at the monopole strength \(2(S - 1)\).

Let us first look at the case of \(N = 2\). In Fig. \[\text{[4]}\] the dots show bottom part of the energy spectrum of two X−’s with \(l = 11/2\), i.e. for the monopole strength
in the states with decreasing average distance. Clearly, when this distance is too small, the energy vs. \(L\) leads to the set of multiplicative \(2^S\) eigenstates which are composed of two non-interacting excitons decoupled from two excess electrons. The energies and angular momenta of these states are obtained by adding two GS excitons to all possible states of two interacting electrons for a given \(2S\). We connected these states in Fig. 1 with a dashed line (the state with maximum \(L = 2S - 1 = 12\) lies outside the frame).

Having shown that two \(X^-\)'s form states without excited internal motion, we can now turn to the case of \(N = 3\). In Fig. 2, we present the energy spectra of three \(X^-\)'s with \(l = 3, 7/2, \) and 4 (dots) and the corresponding three electron spectra for the same \(l\)'s (circles). As for \(N = 2\), three \(X^-\) GS's were subtracted from the \(3X^-\) energies and dashed lines connect lowest multiplicative states for each \(L\).

As expected from the calculations for \(N = 2\), at the densities corresponding to shown values of \(2S\) (exact computations for larger values of \(2S\) require diagonalization of huge matrices), the average \(X^-\) distance is rather small and hence the inter-\(X^-\) excitations couple to the internal ones. Nevertheless, even though the \(3X^-\) energies are visibly lower than the corresponding \(3e\) energies, the angular momenta of the lowest states agree. In particular, for \(l = 5/2\) (not shown) the low-lying state of both \(3X^-\) and \(3e\) has \(L = 3/2\); for \(l = 3\) it is \(L = 0\); for \(l = 7/2\) it is \(L = 3/2\); and for \(l = 4\) it is \(L = 1\) and 3 (here also the ordering of the two energies agrees).

We have also carried out a similar computation for \(N = 4\). For both \(4X^-\) and \(4e\) systems, for \(l = 3\) we obtained the \(L = 0\) GS, and for \(l = 4\) the \(L = 2\) GS and a low-lying \(L = 0\) excited state. For \(l = 7/2\), there is a pair of low energy states in both spectra, with \(L = 0\) and 2, however their ordering is different: the \(L = 0\) state is the GS of \(4X^-\), while \(L = 2\) is the GS of \(4e\). We expect that the spectra of \(NX^-\) and \(Ne\) for \(N \geq 3\) become even more similar at lower effective densities, as is the case of \(2X^-\) and \(2e\) systems, which we were able to study in more detail.

In case of the electron system, the appearance of \(L = 0\) GS's is connected with the formation of incompressible states at the special densities (or filling factors, \(\nu = (N-1)/2S\) for the Laughlin states). These states are separated from the band of excitations by a gap that is
due to $e$--$e$ interactions (not due to spatial quantization) and persists in the thermodynamic limit. The occurrence of this gap leads to the FQHE. Importantly, the entire information about the $e$--$e$ interaction, which is in turn responsible for the formation of many electron incompressible states and the FQHE, is included in the form of the pseudopotential $V_{ee}(L)$.

We have shown here that (at low densities) also in the system of $X^-$'s, the lowest sector of the energy spectrum is determined by the $X^-X^-$ repulsion, and not by the internal dynamics of an individual $X^-$. The pseudopotential $V_{X^-X^-}(L)$ is almost identical to that of electrons. Consequently, at the special densities, the $X^-$'s also should form an incompressible liquid with a nondegenerate GS (separated from the continuum by a gap) and exhibit the FQHE.

One last remark is noteworthy. In case of a finite system on a sphere, the natural definition of the $X^-$ filling factor for the Laughlin states is $\nu_{X^-} = (N-1)/(2S-1)$, and the $L=0$ GS of three $X^-$'s at $2S=8$ corresponds to the $\nu = 1/3$ state of three electrons. Similarly, the $L=0$ GS of four $X^-$'s at $2S=8$ corresponds to the Jain $\nu = 2/5$ state of four electrons.
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