The EDA receptor (EDAR) is a candidate gene for lateral plate number variation in stickleback fish.
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Abstract
Variation in lateral plating in stickleback fish represents a classical example of rapid and parallel adaptation in morphology. The underlying genetic architecture involves polymorphism at the ectodysplasin-A (EDA) gene. However, lateral plate number is influenced by additional loci that remain poorly characterized. Here we search for such loci by performing genome-wide differentiation mapping based on pooled whole-genome sequence data from a European stickleback population variable in the extent of lateral plating, while tightly controlling for the phenotypic effect of EDA. This suggests a new candidate locus, the EDA receptor (EDAR) gene, for which additional support is obtained by individual-level targeted Sanger sequencing and by comparing allele frequencies among natural populations. Overall, our study illustrates the power of pooled whole-genome sequencing for searching phenotypically relevant loci and opens opportunities for exploring the population genetics and ecological significance of a new candidate locus for stickleback armor evolution.

Significance
Much remains to be learned about the genetic basis of phenotypic variation among natural populations. Performing genome scans in threespine stickleback fish, we search for genetic loci contributing to variation in lateral plating and identify a strong novel candidate gene, the EDA receptor gene EDAR.
Adaptive diversification among populations is ubiquitous (Mousseau et al. 2000; Schluter 2000; Leimu and Fischer 2008; Hereford 2009), but much remains to be learned about its genomic basis. The latter is important because information on the genetic architecture of adaptation helps understand how selection shapes genome-wide genetic variation within and among populations (Flaxman et al. 2014; Yeaman 2015; Berner and Roesti 2017; Villoutreix et al. 2021), to what extent genetic variation is used repeatedly for adaptation in independent populations (parallel evolution; Arendth and Reznick 2008; Ralph and Coop 2010; Martin and Orgogozo 2013; Thompson et al. 2019), or where adaptive genetic variation originates and how it is maintained (Barrett and Schluter 2008; Messer and Petrov 2013; Galloway et al. 2019; Haenel et al. 2021a). Information on the genetic architecture of adaptive diversification further provides a crucial resource for elucidating the developmental basis of evolution.

An organismal system in which progress in uncovering the genetic architecture of phenotypic diversification has been made is the threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) (e.g., Miller et al. 2007; Chan et al. 2010; Howes et al. 2017; Cleves et al. 2018), a fish exhibiting extensive population diversification when adapting from its ancestral marine habitat to novel freshwater habitats (Bell and Foster 1994). One classical trait evolving rapidly and repeatedly in stickleback upon freshwater colonization is the number of lateral plates (Bell et al. 2004; Kristjansson 2005; Le Rouzic et al. 2011; Lescak et al. 2015), which represent a component of the fish’s bony armor protecting against predators (Reimchen 1992; Reimchen 2000; Leinonen et al. 2011). While pelagic (i.e., open water) populations in marine environments are generally completely plated, with their flanks covered from the head to the tail fin by lateral plates (hereafter ‘Complete morph’), freshwater stickleback typically lack the plates posterior to the pelvic girdle altogether (‘Low morph’), or at least partially (‘Partial morph’) (Figure 1A). This plate reduction has
evolved numerous times independently by parallel selection of standing genetic
variation at ectodysplasin-A (EDA) (Colosimo et al. 2004, 2005; Cresko et al. 2004;
Jones et al. 2012; Berner et al. 2014; Roesti et al. 2014, 2015; Terekhanova et al.
2014; Lescak et al. 2015; Nelson and Cresko 2018), a gene widely implicated in the
development of vertebrate ectodermal tissues such as teeth (Mikkola and Thesleff
2003; Cui and Schlessinger 2006; Wucherpfennig et al. 2019) and scales (Harris et
al. 2008; Iida et al. 2014). In laboratory crosses between completely and low plated
stickleback, allelic polymorphism at the EDA locus explains approximately 75% of the
phenotypic variation (Colosimo et al. 2004; Cresko et al. 2004; Berner et al. 2014).
Lateral plate evolution in stickleback is thus often strongly driven by EDA.
Nevertheless, the presence of other factors influencing variation in lateral plating in
natural populations has been suggested (Colosimo et al. 2004; Knecht et al. 2007;
Lucek et al. 2012a; Indjejan et al. 2016; Yamasaki et al. 2019).

The objective of the present study is to search for genetic factors beyond
EDA influencing lateral plate variation in a natural population of threespine
stickleback. We focus on fish from the Lake Constance basin in Central Europe, a
system including a large lake population adapted to a pelagic life style, and several
neighboring populations residing in (generally small) tributary streams and exhibiting
a benthic life style (Berner et al. 2010; Lucek et al. 2012b; Moser et al. 2012; Roesti
et al. 2015; Marques et al. 2016). The lake fish are almost consistently completely
plated like marine fish, whereas the stream populations generally tend toward
reduced plating, thus showing substantial proportions of partial and low morphs
(Moser et al. 2012; Roesti et al. 2015). Marker-based signatures at the EDA locus
indicate that selection favors extensive plating in the pelagic lake population
presumably highly exposed to predators (Roesti et al. 2015). By contrast, shelter
from predators likely renders plating costly in the benthic stream populations
(Reimchen 1992; Bergstrom 2002; Leinonen et al. 2011).
In this study, we take advantage of the variation in lateral plating in one of these stream populations. Combined with the power of pooled whole-genome sequencing, we perform differentiation mapping to search for loci contributing to lateral plate variation while controlling for the effect of EDA. The evidence of a novel candidate locus discovered in this way is then strengthened by targeted Sanger sequencing and the comparison of allele frequencies among multiple natural populations from different environments.

Materials and Methods

Study population, lateral plate phenotyping, and EDA genotyping

Our study focuses on a stream population in which the partial plate morph occurs at a relatively high frequency (the NID population in Berner et al. 2010, also referred to as ‘COW stream’ in Moser et al. 2012). To characterize variation in lateral plating within this population, we phenotyped 297 adult individuals (102 males, 195 females) captured for a different experiment (Berner et al. 2017). All lateral plates posterior to the pelvic girdle (including the plates forming the caudal keel) were counted by the same person (TGL) under a dissecting microscope on both sides of the fish, and every gap in plating, and its position, was recorded. Based on this information, a subset of 186 individuals was assigned to one of three different lateral plate morphs for subsequent genomic analysis (Figure 1A): low plated individuals exhibited no more than three plates posterior to the pelvic girdle and no keel plates on the caudal peduncle; partially plated individuals exhibited a continuous gap of at least three plates in the mid-body region (typically located between plate 11 and 21) on both sides of their body, and a keel on the caudal peduncle; completely plated individuals displayed a continuous series of plates from the pelvic girdle to the tip of caudal peduncle on both sides of their body, thus also including a keel. The remaining 111 individuals among the total 297 phenotyped exhibited minor and sometimes asymmetric plate reduction relative to the complete morph; to obtain clear-cut
phenotypic categories for pooled sequencing and genetic mapping, these individuals were ignored.

Fin tissue samples from the 186 individuals assigned to plate morphs were next subjected to genomic DNA extraction with the Zymo Quick-DNA Miniprep Plus kit. We followed the manufacturer’s protocol, with the modification that the lysate resulting from protease digest was centrifuged, and DNA was extracted from the supernatant only. We also included an RNAse treatment (4μl, 100 mg/mL, for 5 minutes). Because our aim was to discover loci other than EDA that potentially influence lateral plating, our differentiation mapping approach required precise knowledge of EDA genotypes. Each individual was therefore genotyped for an indel (insertion-deletion) polymorphism within intron 1 of EDA amplified by the marker Stn382 (Colosimo et al. 2005). The two fragment length alleles at this polymorphism are generally assumed to co-segregate reliably with the two EDA alleles (i.e., complete and low), allowing us to classify each individual as homozygote for the low (LL) or complete (CC) allele, or as heterozygote (CL). Throughout our paper, we indicate EDA genotypes by superscripts.

Pooled whole-genome sequencing, alignment, and nucleotide pileup

Combining lateral plate morph with EDA genotype, each individual was assigned to one of four categories for subsequent pooled whole-genome sequencing (poolSeq) (Figure 1A): CompleteCC (n = 74); LowLL (n = 23); CompleteCL (n = 42); and PartialCL (n = 47). The latter two categories represent stickleback with the same genotype at EDA, but exhibiting distinct lateral plate morphs. After measuring individual DNA concentrations with a Qubit fluorometer using the Broad Range kit (Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, DE, USA), DNA from all individuals within each of the four categories was combined in equimolar proportion into a single library. The four resulting DNA libraries were then barcoded individually and paired-end sequenced without PCR amplification to 151 base pairs on an Illumina HiSeq2500
instrument. Each library was sequenced on two lanes, yielding a median read depth per base of 65x (Complete\textsuperscript{CC}), 71x (Low\textsuperscript{LL}), 118x (Complete\textsuperscript{CL}), and 100x (Partial\textsuperscript{CL}). This combination of read depth and number of individuals is expected to allow estimating allele frequencies within groups with relatively high precision (Ferretti et al. 2013; Gautier et al. 2013; Berner 2019).

Raw sequence data were parsed by experimental group according to barcode, and aligned to the third-generation assembly of the threespine stickleback reference genome (Glazer et al. 2015) with Novoalign 3.03.00 (http://www.novocraft.com/products/novoalign) (options: -F STDFQ -t 540 -g 40 -x 12 -r N -e 200 -i PE 200,250). Using the Rsamtools R package (Morgan et al. 2017), the alignments were converted to BAM format, and nucleotide counts were performed for every genomic position by using the pileup function.

**Genome-wide differentiation mapping**

Our main approach to searching for loci beyond EDA influencing lateral plating was a genomic comparison between the Complete\textsuperscript{CL} and the Partial\textsuperscript{CL} groups (Figure 1A). The underlying rationale was that if additional loci with a substantial influence on plating occur in our study population, they should exhibit exceptionally strong allele frequency differentiation between these two groups differing in plate phenotype while being genotypically identical at the EDA locus.

In an initial step, however, we performed a genomic comparison of the Complete\textsuperscript{CC} vs. Low\textsuperscript{LL} groups to confirm the reliability of our EDA genotyping. For this, we determined the magnitude of genetic differentiation between these two groups across all genome-wide SNPs (throughout our study, genetic differentiation is quantified by the absolute allele frequency difference \textit{AFD}; Berner 2019). The SNPs for this analysis were required to exhibit a read depth between 40 and 130x within each group to exclude poorly sequenced and repeated regions (details provided in Figure S1, Supplementary Information). Moreover, a minor allele frequency (MAF) of
at least 0.2 across the two groups pooled was required to exclude sequencing errors
(the Illumina HiSeq2500 instrument has a sequencing error rate < 0.003; Stoler and
Nekrutenko 2021) and to ensure adequate information content (Roesti et al. 2012).
This strategy yielded 1,127,066 SNPs across the 447 megabase (Mb) stickleback
genome. In addition to evaluating differentiation at the individual SNPs, we smoothed
the data by averaging $AFD$ across sliding windows of 40 kb width with 20 kb overlap,
requiring a minimum of six SNPs per window. Averaging with a higher resolution (20
kb or 10 kb windows) produced similar results supporting the same conclusions.

For the actual Complete$^{\text{CL}}$ vs. Partial$^{\text{CL}}$ comparison, we proceeded
analogously, except that SNPs were here required to exhibit a read depth between
40 and 200x within each group (Figure S1), yielding 1,247,920 total markers. As a
robustness check, the Complete$^{\text{CL}}$ vs. Partial$^{\text{CL}}$ comparison was repeated as
described, except that the sequence reads were aligned to an independent, scaffold-
level genome assembly (Berner et al. 2019) derived from an individual from the same
population (NID, Lake Constance basin) from which the experimental individuals
were sampled. We here raised the minimum read depth threshold to 60x within each
group to increase analytical stringency, thus obtaining 1,052,453 total markers.

**Identification of candidate loci and gene annotation**

For the group comparison Complete$^{\text{CL}}$ vs. Partial$^{\text{CL}}$ – the main focus of this paper, we
defined candidate loci potentially influencing lateral plating by identifying the ten
SNPs showing the highest between-group $AFD$ values genome-wide (roughly
corresponding to the top 0.001 percent of the $AFD$ distribution). With this analytical
stringency, we explicitly focused on loci with relatively large phenotypic effect only.
Each of these loci was annotated by extracting from the reference genome
annotation all genes located within a 180 kb window centered at the candidate SNP
(or SNP cluster). For each resulting transcript ID, we retrieved gene name, gene
ontology information, strand, and transcript start and end positions from the
ensemble bioMART stickleback database (www.ensembl.org/biomart). Every gene was then evaluated for a role in bone or ectodermal development in humans and/or zebrafish by using the gene cards (www.genecards.org) and Zfin (www.zfin.org) databases. Genes were further subjected to literature search for whether they were connected to the Tumor Necrosis Factor (TNF) pathway (which includes EDA), or the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway (which interacts with the EDA pathway; O’Brown et al. 2015).

**Strengthening the evidence of a candidate locus by individual Sanger sequencing**

The above candidate gene search based on differentiation mapping with poolSeq data suggested a role for a polymorphism near the EDA receptor (EDAR) in lateral plate variation. To strengthen the evidence for this candidate locus, we performed targeted Sanger sequencing around the SNP showing the highest AFD between the Complete\(^{CL}\) and Partial\(^{CL}\) groups at this locus. For this, we used a ‘validation panel’ of 46 independent individuals collected for previous studies and not included in the poolSeq-based mapping.

The validation panel included individuals chosen to display the partially plated phenotype based on the same criteria as applied in our original screen, and hence to be heterozygous at the EDA locus (see below). These individuals originated from Lake Constance \((n = 15)\), from NID stream \((n = 12)\), or were F2 hybrids derived from these populations for the experiment reported in Laurentino et al. (2020) \((n = 19)\). We predicted that if the target polymorphism at the EDAR locus was associated with plate reduction, our validation panel should be enriched for the allele identified to be associated with reduced plating (hereafter the ‘partial allele’) relative to the expectation based on the natural population frequency. Combining individuals from the lake and stream with their F2 hybrids was adequate because the natural lake and stream populations were found to exhibit an almost identical frequency of the partial
allele (lake 0.581; stream 0.587). DNA from the individuals of the validation panel was extracted as described above, and PCR was performed using the primers and conditions specified in Analysis S1 (Supplementary Information). PCR products were sequenced on an ABI3130xl instrument (Applied Biosystems) and genotyped in FinchTV (https://digitalworldbiology.com/FinchTV).

To evaluate the compatibility of the validation panel’s allele frequency with the random expectation, we first predicted Hardy-Weinberg proportions for all three diploid genotype classes by assuming a population frequency of the partial plating allele of 0.583 (i.e., the average of the natural lake and stream frequencies). Then we calculated the observed deviance from this expectation as the sum of the squared difference between the observed and predicted genotype frequencies across the three genotype classes. The magnitude of this statistic was then evaluated against a random distribution obtained by generating random panels of 46 diploid individuals 9999 times according to the population allele frequency, and calculating the deviance for each of these iterations (this evaluation was two-tailed).

**Evidence from allele frequencies in natural populations**

Beside evidence for our new candidate locus from differentiation mapping and known gene functions, we sought to obtain additional support from the tendency of specific alleles to be associated with specific ecological environments among populations. To investigate such allele-environment relationships, we inspected the frequency of both the EDA low allele and the partial allele at the new EDAR candidate locus in natural marine and freshwater populations. We predicted that the alleles reducing lateral plating should tend to be rare in the ancestral marine habitat where stickleback are selected for complete lateral plating, but display higher frequencies in freshwater – a pattern generally observed for EDA (e.g., Colosimo et al. 2005).

To examine this prediction, we complemented our data from the NID stream population by published pooled whole-genome sequence data from the ROM Lake
Constance population located in the same watershed (Bissegger et al. 2020; Laurentino et al. 2020), from three additional freshwater samples (Misty Lake, Vancouver Island, Canada, Haenel et al. 2021b; plus two samples from North Uist, Outer Hebrides, Scotland, Haenel et al. 2021a), and from six Atlantic marine stickleback samples (Germany, Ireland, Scotland, Iceland, Canada and the Netherlands, Haenel et al. 2021a). These pools combined DNA from 21-240 individuals and were sequenced to 66 - 260x read depth.

For each of these pools, we determined and plotted the frequency of the SNP alleles associated with reduced plating identified in the above genome scans. For EDA, we here considered all SNPs ($n = 409$) proving fixed between the Complete$^{\text{CC}}$ and Low$^{\text{LL}}$ groups. For the EDAR candidate locus, we considered the top-$AFD$ SNP from the Complete$^{\text{CL}}$ vs. Partial$^{\text{CL}}$ comparison, plus and all flanking markers exhibiting differentiation of at least 0.35 (i.e., at least 5.5 times genome-wide median $AFD$; $n = 16$ SNPs).

Results and Discussion

Phenotypic variation in lateral plating and associated EDA genotypes

Our phenotypic analysis confirmed high variability in lateral plating in our focal stream stickleback population (Figure 1B) (Berner et al. 2010; Moser et al. 2012; Roesti et al. 2015). The majority (116, 62%) of the 186 individuals that could be assigned unambiguously to a plate morph according to our criteria proved completely plated, 47 (25%) partially plated, and 23 (13%) low plated. Median total plate count for these morphs was 47, 39, and 2. We observed no individuals with less than ten plates but exhibiting a keel, a phenotype reported from Icelandic freshwater stickleback (Lucek et al. 2012a).

Genotyping the same 186 individuals at the EDA locus revealed that low plated fish were always homozygous for the low allele, and partially plated individuals were always heterozygous. Completely plated fish, in turn, were either heterozygous
(45%), or homozygous for the EDA complete allele (55%). Combining the phenotypic data with EDA genotypes thus revealed that individuals heterozygous at the EDA locus covered a wide range of plate phenotypes, as expected if genetic factors beyond EDA influence lateral plating in the NID population.

**Genome-wide differentiation mapping**

To validate our strategy of searching for genomic regions involved in lateral plating based on poolSeq for combinations of plate morph by EDA genotype, we first mapped differentiation between the Complete\textsubscript{CC} and the Low\textsubscript{LL} groups across the stickleback genome. This genome scan identified the neighborhood of the EDA gene as the only strongly differentiated genome region, with hundreds of SNPs across c. 200 kb showing complete differentiation in allele frequency (i.e., $AFD = 1$) between the groups (median $AFD$ across all genome-wide SNPs: 0.086) (Figure 2A; differentiation profiles across all chromosomes are presented in Figure S2). This finding confirmed that our genotyping of individuals for EDA alleles of major phenotypic effect based on an indel within this gene was highly reliable.

Mapping genetic differentiation between the Complete\textsuperscript{CL} and Partial\textsuperscript{CL} categories in the same way identified SNPs reaching differentiation up to 0.541 (genome-wide median $AFD$: 0.064; differentiation profiles across all chromosomes are show in Figure S3). The ten most strongly differentiated SNPs genome-wide ($AFD \geq 0.486$) were selected for the exploration of candidate genes. These SNPs included a single marker on the chromosomes II, III, XVI and XVIII, a cluster of four markers on chromosome XX, and two SNPs on a scaffold unanchored to chromosomes. This genome scan also made clear that variation in plating between Complete\textsuperscript{CL} and Partial\textsuperscript{CL} stickleback is not influenced by additional genetic variation in the EDA region (Figure 2B).

**The EDA receptor (EDAR) is a candidate gene for variation in lateral plating**
Annotating the regions containing the ten most divergent SNPs in the Complete$^{\text{CL}}$ vs. Partial$^{\text{CL}}$ genome scan yielded a highly suggestive candidate gene for lateral plate variation. Specifically, one of these markers, together with numerous flanking SNPs, formed a distinct peak of high differentiation on chromosome XVI (Figure 3). The marker showing the strongest differentiation in this region ($A_{\text{FD}}$ = 0.497) was located in a non-coding segment 86.5 kb away from the EDA receptor gene (EDAR), the only annotated gene ontology for ‘bone development’ within all chromosome segments screened for candidate genes. We hereafter refer to this region as the EDAR locus. Repeating our differentiation mapping based on an independent genome assembly derived from a specimen from the NID population confirmed the methodological robustness of the identification of the EDAR locus: in this alternative genome scan performed with higher statistical stringency, the SNP exhibiting the second highest differentiation value genome-wide ($A_{\text{FD}}$ = 0.478) was located on a scaffold segment homologous to the EDAR locus in the original genome scan, and coincided exactly with the original top-differentiation SNP at the EDAR locus (Figure S4). Irrespective of the genome assembly used for read alignment, the EDAR locus harbored the sliding window showing the strongest average differentiation between Complete$^{\text{CL}}$ and Partial$^{\text{CL}}$ stickleback genome-wide (Figures 3, S4).

EDAR is the cell-surface receptor to which the EDA protein binds for triggering ectodermal development (Knecht et al. 2007). This gene is widely implicated in the formation of fish ectodermal structures such as scales (Harris et al. 2008; Iida et al. 2014; Kondo et al. 2001) and other dermal bony tissues derived from scales (Cheng et al. 2015; Shono et al. 2019). Furthermore, polymorphism at EDAR was associated with subtle variation in lateral plate number (range: 2 plates) in an artificial cross in stickleback, albeit only in low plated individuals homozygous for the EDA low allele (corresponding to Low$^{\text{LL}}$ fish in our study) (Knecht et al. 2007). Interestingly, after EDA, EDAR has the highest number of putative regulatory regions among all members of the EDA signaling pathway, thus potentially promoting the
modulation of EDA signaling specific to developmental phases and tissues (Knecht et al. 2007). Collectively, this functional evidence supports EDAR as a strong candidate gene for lateral plate variation in our stickleback population.

Apart from the EDAR locus, our examination of the nine other high-differentiation SNPs produced no strong candidate gene. These SNPs either showed minimal read depth just passing our lower threshold so that their high AFD value likely represents sampling stochasticity (e.g., the four SNPs on ChrXX were not supported by the genome scan performed with higher stringency); lacked support in the form of elevated differentiation across multiple markers flanking the top-differentiation SNPs (Chrs II, III, XVIII, XX; Figures S3, S5); and/or showed no genes relevant to our search criteria in their physical neighborhood (Chrs XVIII, XX; Figure S5). Nevertheless, we present the full gene annotations around the high-differentiation SNPs, and a discussion of the functional evidence for the subset of associated genes qualifying as potentially functionally relevant according to our criteria, in Figure S5. We also acknowledge that our analytical approach may miss additional weaker genotype-phenotype associations present in our data, or that such associations may have emerged if we had performed our genome scan with higher statistical precision (i.e., more individuals per group).

Support for the EDAR candidate locus from Sanger sequencing

All 46 partially plated individuals from the validation panel produced robust PCR products for the DNA segment covering the top-differentiation SNP at the EDAR locus. In agreement with our expectation, these individuals proved enriched for the EDAR partial allele (genotype data given in Analysis S1). Specifically, we observed a deficit of individuals homozygous for the complete allele, and an excess of heterozygotes (Figure 4). The observed genotype counts were relatively poorly compatible with random sampling from the natural populations (two-tailed \( p = 0.09 \); the observed deviance corresponded to the 91 percentile of the random distribution).
Although our validation panel included too few individuals to offer definitive evidence, our targeted sequencing experiment supports the idea that the detected polymorphism upstream of the EDAR coding region is associated with the extent of lateral plating.

Our Sanger sequence data further revealed that the target SNP at the EDAR locus was in perfect physical linkage with a 2 bp indel polymorphism just 4 bp downstream of this marker (details given in Analysis S1). Given that the haplotype harboring the deletion is the one associated with reduced plating, it is tempting to speculate that this deletion disrupts a regulatory element enhancing the expression of the EDAR gene. However, the sliding window showing the strongest differentiation in the Complete\textsuperscript{CL} vs. Partial\textsuperscript{CL} genome scan mapped much closer to the EDAR gene sequence (Figure 3). Hence, our top-differentiation SNP and the associated indel in the EDAR region may not be the polymorphisms directly causally related to lateral plate variation.

**Frequency of alleles associated with reduced plating in natural populations**

For the EDA and EDAR loci, we explored allele frequencies in natural populations, predicting that alleles reducing plating should be rare or absent in marine stickleback under selection for complete armor, but more frequent in freshwater populations that typically evolve reduced plating. This prediction was supported for the EDA locus (Figure 5A): apart from the Lake Constance population (ROM) known to display a pelagic life style and to be selected for complete armor like marine stickleback (Lucek et al. 2012b; Moser et al. 2012; Roesti et al. 2015), freshwater populations tended to exhibit a higher frequency of alleles associated with the EDA low morph than the marine samples. Nevertheless, at least in some marine populations, the EDA low alleles occurred in appreciable frequencies, confirming that the genetic factor favorable in freshwater is generally available as standing genetic variation (e.g., Colosimo et al. 2005; Terekhanova et al. 2014).
At the EDAR locus too, marine stickleback consistently displayed a relatively low frequency of the partial allele at the top-differentiation SNP and most of the surrounding high-differentiation SNPs (Figure 5B). However, the same also held for all inspected freshwater populations from outside the Lake Constance basin. Assuming that a polymorphism at the EDAR locus is truly a driver of lateral plating in stickleback, the occurrence of the EDAR partial allele at relatively low frequency in most of the inspected freshwater populations may be explained by dominance at the major plate locus EDA. Selection for reduced plating in freshwater is strong and generally results in the rapid fixation of the EDA low allele (Bell et al. 2004; Terekhanova 2014; Lescak et al. 2015), hence freshwater individuals are generally homozygous for the EDA low allele (see Figure 5A). However, our study suggests that EDAR polymorphism has an effect on lateral plating in individuals heterozygous at EDA only. As this EDA genotype rapidly becomes rare during freshwater adaptation, the opportunity for selection of the EDAR partial allele in freshwater may often be quite limited. By contrast, in marine populations in which EDA heterozygotes may be more common (Figure 5A), selection against the EDAR partial allele might be more effective. Nevertheless, our marine allele frequency data indicate that EDAR polymorphism is still widespread as standing genetic variation within the ancestral habitat.

Conclusions

Our study indicates polymorphism at the EDAR locus, a member of the ectodysplasin signalling pathway, as a new candidate factor influencing lateral plating in a European stickleback population. Future work in this system, and in other populations also showing a wide range of plate phenotypes, is now needed for a definitive evaluation of the proposed phenotypic effect of EDAR. If a causative role of EDAR is confirmed, estimating this locus’ effect size using individual-level sequence data, and elucidating in which genetic backgrounds and under which ecological
conditions this polymorphism is selectively relevant, are avenues for future research.

Combined with the genome scan data from the EDA locus, our study also highlights
the physical mapping resolution achieved when exploiting historical recombination
via pooled whole-genome sequencing of targeted experimental groups derived from
natural population samples.

Data availability
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available from Dryad under the same link.
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Figure 1: Experimental groups and distribution of lateral plate number in the natural population. (A) Computed tomography scans of a representative specimen from each of the three lateral plate morphs, with the plates colored purple. The experimental groups underlying the genome scans combined phenotypic lateral plate morph with the genotype at the EDA locus. The two focal group comparisons are indicated by gray braces. (B) Distribution of total lateral plate count (all plates beyond the pelvic girdle on both body sides) among 186 stickleback from the natural population (upper panel). The plate morphs are separated by different gray shades (the bars are stacked, no overlap). The lower panel shows separate histograms for the three EDA genotype classes, revealing the broad range of plate counts in EDA heterozygotes (CL).

Figure 2: (A) Genetic differentiation, quantified by the absolute allele frequency difference AFD, between the Complete\textsuperscript{CC} and Low\textsuperscript{LL} groups. The dots represent individual SNPs and the black horizontal lines indicate genome-wide median differentiation in this comparison. In the upper panel, differentiation is shown along the entire chromosome IV. The purple profile shows differentiation smoothed across 40 kb sliding windows with 20 kb overlap. The lower panel is a close-up into the 400 kb segment centered at the EDA locus. The purple profile here reflects smoothing using 20 kb sliding windows with 10 kb overlap. The black dot denotes a SNP in immediate proximity to the fragment length polymorphism used for EDA genotyping, and the black horizontal bar indicates the average differentiation across the 40 kb window exhibiting the greatest genome-wide differentiation between the groups. The location of the EDA gene is given as blue arrow. In (B), genetic differentiation is visualized analogously across the same 400 kb segment, but based on the Complete\textsuperscript{CL} vs. Partial\textsuperscript{CL} genome scan.

Figure 3: Genetic differentiation (AFD) in the Complete\textsuperscript{CL} vs. Partial\textsuperscript{CL} group comparison, shown for the entire chromosome XVI (top), and for a 400 kb segment containing the EDAR gene (bottom). In the latter, the black dot represents one of the ten high-differentiation SNPs selected for candidate gene search, and the black horizontal bar gives the average differentiation across the 40 kb sliding window exhibiting the greatest genome-wide differentiation in this group comparison. All other graphing conventions follow Figure 2.

Figure 4: Exploring EDAR genotypes by targeted sequencing of the validation panel. Shown are counts of the three genotype classes (P = partial allele, C = complete allele) at the top-differentiation SNP upstream of the EDAR gene (black dot in Figure
3 bottom) among 46 partially plated individuals not included in the genome scans. The blue bars show the empirically observed counts while the black rectangles indicate the counts expected from the natural population allele frequencies at this polymorphism.

**Figure 5** Frequency of the EDA low (top) and EDAR partial (bottom) alleles in the experimental groups, and in natural freshwater and marine stickleback populations. These alleles are associated with reduced lateral plating. In (A), the dots represent, in each sample, the 409 SNPs around the EDA gene showing maximal differentiation \((AFD = 1)\) in the Complete\(^{CC}\) vs. Low\(^{LL}\) group comparison (see Figure 2A bottom). One of these SNPs, located in immediate proximity to the Stn382 marker used for EDA genotyping, is highlighted as larger black dot. In (B), the dots represent the 16 SNPs showing the strongest differentiation near the EDAR gene in the Complete\(^{CL}\) vs. Partial\(^{CL}\) genome scan, and the larger black dot indicates the top-\(AFD\) SNP in this region (Figure 3 bottom). The colored shapes (‘violins’) show the smoothed kernel density of the data. The allele frequency estimates from all samples are based on pooled whole-genome sequence data.
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