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Abstract

Permeable asphalt (PA) is a composite material with an open graded mix design that provides a pore structure facilitating stormwater infiltration. PA is often used as a wearing course for permeable pavements and on roadways to reduce aquaplaning and noise pollution. The pore structure functions as a filter promoting particulate matter (PM) separation. The infiltrating flow characteristics are predominately dependent on pore diameter and pore interconnectivity. X-Ray microTomography (XRT) has been successfully used to estimate these parameters that are otherwise difficult to obtain through conventional gravimetric methods. The pore structure parameters allow modeling of hydraulic conductivity \( k \) and filtration mechanisms; required to examine the material behavior for infiltration and PM separation. Pore structure parameters were determined through XTR for three PA mixtures. The Kozeny-Kováč model was implemented to estimate \( k \). PM separation was tested using a pore-to-PM diameter categorical model. This filtration mechanism model was validated with data using rainfall simulation. The filtration model provided a good correlation between measured and modeled data. The identification of filtration mechanisms and \( k \) facilitate the design and evaluation of permeable pavement systems as a best management practice (BMP) for runoff volume and flow as well as PM and PM-partitioned chemical separation.

1. Introduction

The increase of urban/suburban demographics, estimated to reach 60% of the earth's population by 2025 (Heilig 2012) is resulting in increasing impervious surfaces with commensurate increases in stormwater volume and peak flow. Alterations of the microclimate caused by heat island on dense urban areas can also result on more frequent extreme rainfall events demanding a new approach on stormwater management that encourages source or near source control (Shepherd 2005, Marchioni and Becciu 2014). Diffuse constituent loads mobilized and transported by untreated stormwater discharges to receiving water is a significant driver of river and stream degradation. Water policies currently demand stormwater treatment for discharge as the EU (European Union) Water framework (2000/60/EC) and EPA (Environmental Protection Agency) Clean Water Act in the United States and local Italian regulations as the RR06-2019 of the Lombardia Region (European Commission 2000, USEPA 2018, Lombardia Region 2017).

These common conditions of the expanding built environs require adoption of stormwater management control systems that mitigates the coupled runoff volume/flow and loads. Such systems are within categories of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) or LID (Low-Impact Development). Permeable pavement (PP) is categorized as a SUD or LID as a passive green infrastructure system mitigating runoff volume, flow as well as PM and PM-associated chemical loads (Marchioni and Becciu 2014, Kuang et al. 2015, Ranieri et al. 2010, Ranieri et al. 2017). Hydrologic mechanisms include infiltration, evaporation and detention storage with the pore volume and porosity of the PP base material. The PP surface retains PM as a “schmutzdecke” layer or within the pore structure of PP, thereby sequestering PM and PM-partitioned chemicals for later recovery by cleaning practices such as street sweeping (Ying and Sansalone 2010).
PA is often used as a wearing course for PP allowing vehicular traffic while acting as a stormwater control. PA is also implemented as a wearing course for conventional impervious roadways to reduce aquaplaning and noise pollution (Marchioni and Becciu 2015).

1.1. Flow through permeable porous media

A permeable pavement material can be defined as a structural matrix containing pores, connected and non-connected, dispersed within in a random or ordered geometry. A fluid can only flow through the matrix pores that are interconnected through the depth of the PP, identified as effective pores, while total porosity is composed of the total volume of connected and non-connected pores (Collins 1976). The pore structure of permeable pavement consists of a heterodisperse distribution of all pores, and depending on the mix design and pavement placement, of large interconnected pores of equivalent diameters ranging from 2 to 8 mm. (Kia et al. 2017).

Nominally, porosity ($\phi$) can be defined as the fraction of the unit volume occupied by all pores. Total or absolute porosity ($\phi_T$) represents the fraction of all the pores within the volume of the material while effective porosity ($\phi_e$) considers only the fraction of pores that are interconnected across the depth of the PP. $\phi_e$ can be indexed to $k$ (Collins 1976). For permeable pavement types such as pervious concrete and PA, $\phi_T$ typically ranges from 0.15 to 0.35. For permeable pavement the porosity can be determined by direct methods as density methods (Tennis et al. 2004, Montes et al. 2005, CEN 2012). XRT can be used to obtain $\phi_T$, $\phi_e$ and pore particle size distribution (PSD$_{pore}$) otherwise difficult to obtain with traditional methods. An analysis on 21 pervious concrete specimens (Kuang et al. 2015) found agreement between XRT and gravimetric methods. XRT results on total porosity, effective porosity, median diameter and tortuosity were nearly independent of image resolution (Kuang et al. 2015).

Consolidation method on fresh concrete influences porosity parameters as observed by Bonicelli et al. 2013 studying pervious concrete. Kia et al. 2017 indicated that the porosity of pervious concrete was more strongly correlated with unconfined compressive strength than with $k$.

Hydraulic conductivity ($k$) is a quantitative measure of the transmission of fluid (in this case, water) through a permeable material with the fluid subject to an applied hydraulic gradient. To represent $k$, the sample tested must be sufficiently large to contain many pores in a representative elemental volume (Collins 1976). A measure of $k$ depends on pore structure, effective porosity, tortuosity, pore size distribution and shape of the pores and $k$ is also a function of compaction and mechanical alterations of the PP structure (Kia et al. 2017, Collins 1976, Kuang et al. 2015). The pore structure of the surface is critical to $k$.

A determination of $k$ can be measured with direct methods in laboratory, using falling head or constant head permeameters, or in situ, normally using infiltrometers that use the principle of the falling head permeameter (Ranieri et al. 2012, Terzaghi et al. 1996, Collins 1976). The falling head permeameter yields results that are relative and comparative instead of an absolute measure of $k$. It is preferable to use a
constant head permeameter in the laboratory that allows a better control of the flow through PP (Ranieri, et al. 2012).

K can also be measured by indirect methods using pore parameters (Ranieri et al. 2010, Terzaghi et al. 1996). The theory of Kozeny relates the pore structure to k by considering a permeable matrix as a bundle of straight capillary tubes and then considering a solution using hydrodynamic equations for slow and steady flow. The equation was later modified to include the concept of tortuosity, considering that the tubes of flow are not straight (Collins 1976). Using a modified Kozeny-Kôvàv model (KKM) as shown in Eq. 1 (Ranieri et al. 2010) compared measured and modeled saturated hydraulic conductivity (k_{sat}) results. The modified equation introduces the ϕ_{e} instead of ϕ_{T} considering thus the effective porosity that effectively contributes to permeability.

\[
k_{sat} = \frac{1}{512} \gamma \eta \varnothing_e D_e^2 \text{ Eq. 1}
\]

In the Eq. 1, γ is the water specific weigh, η is the dynamic viscosity, ϕ_{e} is the effective porosity and D_{e} is the characteristic diameter. Ranieri et al. (2010) compared the results of measured k_{sat} with modeled using different diameters D_{e} (D_{5}, D_{10}, D_{15}, D_{20}, D_{30}, D_{40}, D_{50} and D_{60}) and found the best fit when using D_{30} with k_{sat} measured using a constant head permeameter.

### 1.2. Runoff PM load

Generation and deposition of PM by anthropogenic activities plays an important role in the partitioning and distribution of chemicals in urban areas. Hetero-disperse PM generated by anthropogenic activities, predominately traffic and urban activities function as a vector for chemical load transport by runoff. PM and chemicals from anthropogenic activities are accreted on or adjacent to impervious paved surfaces (the buildup phase) until washoff phase by hydraulic stress of runoff. The chemical load partitions to/from PM and distributes across the particle size distribution (PSD) (Ying and Sansalone 2010). PM poses as a health risk, especially the finer fraction (< 10 µm), in particular PM < 2.5 µm (European Commission 2008, IARC 2016). Vehicular traffic activities, as a main source for dry deposition PM can be correlated with indices such as average daily traffic (ADT), wind speed and direction and available surface PM load which can be further abraded by traffic into finer PM sizes (Sansalone et al. 2009). Metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb and Zn) are constituents that partition and distribute across the PSD as a function of the metal, and the particle size surface area and charge. (Sansalone et al. 2009). By definition, the suspended fraction (< 25 µm) has the highest concentration of metals [mg/kg of dry PM] and is highly mobile in runoff and not retained by BMPs. In contrast the sediment fraction (> 75 mm) which is the dominant mass fraction (and therefore total surface area) transported in runoff is the PM substrate of the highest total metal mass, is readily separated in urban conveyance systems and BMPs yet is the most labile fraction (Ying and Sansalone 2010, Sansalone et al. 2009, Sansalone and Ying 2008, Sansalone and Cristina 2004, Deletic and Orr 2005). PP can function as a filter that functions as a near-source control to separate PM and chemicals from runoff. PSDs of dry deposition PM and PP pore geometric parameters are essential to design control strategies for management of PM and PM-partitioned
chemicals. If the PP is pervious concrete (in contrast to PA) the pore geometrics and surface chemistry also provide surface complexation and chemical precipitation mechanisms. While the PM filtered by the PP, whether as a schmutzdecke or deep bed deposit does act as a substrate for surface complexation, these PM reservoirs are potentially mobile unless recovered by regular maintenance and impact the driving head through the PP.

1.3. Dry deposition PM characteristics

Dry deposition PM is size (particle diameter) hetero-disperse. The median diameter ($D_{50}$), where 50% of particles are finer by mass, for different studies ranges from 100 to 1100 µm (Table 1). The $D_{50}$ of runoff (Zhang and Sansalone 2014, Ying and Sansalone 2010) was smaller than that obtained with dry deposition (154 µm versus 280 µm; 331 µm versus 97 µm), indicating that runoff did not deliver the coarser fraction. Deletic and Orr 2005 used a wet method of sampling by washing and then vacuuming to capture the suspended PM. The mean $D_{50}$ and $D_{10}$ over the period of a year was 397 µm and 34 µm. The $D_{50}$ varies also with the sample position where larger particles are found mainly on the road shoulders and are more mobile with higher hydraulic stresses of runoff.
Table 1
Summary of median diameter ($D_{50}$) for dry deposition PM.

| Watershed / Country   | Sampling information | Type of surface                  | Sampling method        | $D_{50}$ | Reference                  |
|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------------------------|
| Abeerden, Scotland    | One year average     | Residential and commercial       | Washing and vacuuming  | 397      | (Deletic and Orr 2005)     |
|                       | Salting period       | asphalt road                     |                        | 450      |                            |
|                       | No salting period    |                                   |                        | 361      |                            |
| Bari, Italy           | Cairoli Nov-2015     | Residential asphalt road         | Manual sweeping        | 111      | (Ranieri. Berloco et al. 2017) |
|                       | Cairoli Jan-2016     |                                   |                        | 236      |                            |
|                       | Dante Nov-2015       |                                   |                        | 268      |                            |
|                       | Dante Jan-2016       |                                   |                        | 158      |                            |
|                       | Napoli Mar-2014      | Commercial asphalt road          |                        | 262      |                            |
|                       | Napoli Jan-2016      |                                   |                        | 256      |                            |
|                       | SanGiorgi Mar-2014   | Commercial porous asphalt road    |                        | 1455     |                            |
|                       | SanGiorgi Jan-2016   |                                   |                        | 216      |                            |
|                       | Tatarella Mar-2014   |                                   |                        | 351      |                            |
|                       | Tatarella Jan-2016   |                                   |                        | 449      |                            |
| Taranto, Italy        | Cannata Mar-2014     | Residential asphalt road         |                        | 413      |                            |
|                       | Cannata Dic-2015     |                                   |                        | 359      |                            |
|                       | Magna Grecia Mar-2014|                                   |                        | 331      |                            |
|                       | Magna Grecia Dec-2015|                                   |                        | 201      |                            |
|                       | SS7 Mar-2014         | Industrial asphalt roadway        |                        | 286      |                            |
|                       | SS7 Dec-2015         |                                   |                        | 378      |                            |
| New Orleans, United States | Jan-2001 to Apr-2004 | Asphalt road | From runoff | 216 | (Sansalone. Ying et al. 2009) |
PM is a mobile, potentially labile substrate for metals and chemicals in runoff and can be physically sequestered through filtration mechanisms. A model linking metal mass and a PSD can be used to examine partitioning and distribution of metals on PM. The potential then exists to infer PP design to separate and manage a given percentage of PM-bound metal mass based on the ability to filter given PM diameters (Sansalone et al. 2009, Sansalone and Cristina 2004).

Other chemicals and microbiological species that are also present on stormwater can also partition and distribute across the PM gradation, examples include phosphorus (P), nitrogen (N) and pathogen loadings (Dickenson and Sansalone 2012, Zhang and Sansalone 2014). Zhang and Sansalone 2014 analyzed stormwater from an impervious paved car park in Gainesville, Florida (United States) and reported that dissolved N accounts for approximately 50% of N where for the particulate phase the suspended and sediment fractions showed higher N concentration (median value: 0.716 and 0.778...
mg/L) than the settleable fraction (median value: 0.298 mg/L). Based on measured data the ratio of dissolved, suspended, settleable, and sediment fraction N was approximately (to the nearest whole number) 7:2:1:3.

1.4. Filtration mechanisms

The pore mean diameter ($d_m$) and particle diameter ($d_p$) are parameters for particle filtration mechanisms. Three main mechanisms of transport can be distinguished: formation of a schmutzdecke also known as a surface (cake) generated by surficial straining, deep-bed filtration and physical-chemical filtration (Fig. 1). When the particles are relatively large compared to the media the particles does not penetrate and are retained on the surface forming a cake or a schutzdecke, as translated as “dirty layer” in German (Teng and Sansalone, 2004). The cake increases in thickness over time and starts behaving as a more hydraulically resistant filter, reducing the system infiltration yet also acting as a substrate for chemical surface complexation. This potential mechanism has been indexed at a $d_m/d_p < 10$ (McDowell-Boyer. Hunt et al. 1986).

Deep-bed filtration, is a result of a series of potential separation mechanisms of particles within the pore distribution of PP, occurs on the narrow range of $10 < d_m/d_p < 20$, and plays an important role in PM and chemical separation, albeit with filter ripening and failure without regular maintenance (Auset and Keller 2006, McDowell-Boyer et al. 1986). Smaller particles can only be removed by physical-chemical filtration mechanisms, normally when $d_m/d_p > 20$. In this case the mechanism depends basically on the particle diameter, whereas particles with $d_p > 5$ µm are still subject to the effect of gravitational sedimentation and $d_p < 5$ µm the effect of Brownian motion. These mechanisms have been identified for PP including pervious concrete (Teng and Sansalone 2004).

2. Objective

In this research XRT was used to obtain pore structure parameters ($\phi_T$, $\phi_e$, $PSD_{pore}$) on permeable asphalt (PA) specimens and then model k using the Kozeny-Kovâv model (KKM) and PM separation using filtration mechanisms with a categorical model of the predominant filtration mechanisms. This mechanistic filtration model was used to estimate the PM fate for the studied PA specimens relating the pore structure obtained with XRT and the $PSD_{PM}$ comparing the results with data measured in the laboratory using a rainfall simulation. The PM loads considered were assembled based on laboratory and sampled on field. The modeled PM separation was validated with measured data using rainfall simulation.

3. Materials And Methods

3.1. Porous asphalt (PA) specimens
PA specimens were produced in the laboratory with dimension of 50 x 26 x 5 cm and 0.15, 0.20 and 0.25 nominal total porosity ($\phi_{T,Nominal}$). The $\phi_{T,Nominal}$ was obtained by maintaining the volume and varying the mass for a known bulk density. The PA hot mixture contained 4.1% by weight of a mixture of SBS (Styrene-Butadiene-Styrene) modified bitumen and a mix of 0.8/0.2 of limestone and basaltic aggregate and was compacted using a laboratory roller compactor. After the rainfall simulation tests the specimens were cut to 10 x 10 x 5 cm dimension samples to meet the XRT equipment requirements.

3.2. XRT analysis

XRT analysis used to investigate pore parameter on granular media and PP is well documented on literature (Teng and Sansalone 2004, Sansalone et al. 2008, Kuang et al. 2015). This study used a XRT NSI X25 system (NSI Inc., Rogers, MN, USA) available at Politecnico di Milano, equipped with a Dexela detector with 75 µm pixel pitch allowing for the acquisition of 1536 x 1944 pixel radiographies at full-binning with 16 bit encoding. Samples (10 x 10 x 5 cm) extracted from PA specimens molded in the laboratory representing each porosity were scanned as shown in Fig. 2. The X-ray beam was set to 110 kVp and 48 µA, and a frame-rate of 6.6 Hz was adopted together with a 13 frame-averaging (to reduce noise), leading to 1800 angular projections. From the cone-beam geometry, the estimated voxel size resulted 61.88 µm with a zoom-factor equal to 1.21. 3D tomographic reconstruction was performed using a modified Feldkamp algorithm in the version provided by efX-CT commercial software (NSI Inc.). Approximately 2.5 hours were required on a Work Station HP Z820 with 8 CPUs INTEL XEON(R) E52630 @2.6 GHz, and NVIDIA GPU GeFOrce GTX 80 Ti.

3.3. Digital image processing

For each sample approximately 800 images (with 8 Gigabyte storage) per cartesian plan (XY, XZ, YZ) were obtained. The pore structure parameters were obtained for the XY cartesian plan respecting the water flow direction used on laboratory rainfall simulation tests. The whole set of images were processed on Matlab R2016a environment. The image processing consisted on first adjusting image contrast to improve pore identification. The 16-bit greyscale images where binary (black and white images), where the solid pixels (white) represented the matrix and the black pixels represented the pores (voids). In order to measure the pores, the black and white pixels were inverted such that the solids pixels (white) represented the pores. The last step was to remove any noise that could influence results as shown in Fig. 3.

3.4. Pore parameters

The pore parameters of total porosity ($\phi_{T,XRT}$), effective porosity ($\phi_e$), pore diameter, PSD$_{(pore)}$ can be used to evaluate the behavior of the porous and permeable material in the presence of fluid, solute and PM loading (Kuang et al. 2015). To obtain these parameters after image processing, the next process was to identify each pore per image by identifying a solid pixel $p$ and use a flood-fill algorithm to label all the pixels connected to $p$. Each group of connections represents a pore. In Fig. 4a single pore is identified with pink color, to illustrate a sample of high connectivity.
The total porosity obtained with XRT ($\phi_{T,XRT}$) was calculated according to Eq. 2, where $V_c$ indicates the volume for each pore, obtained by weighting on a volume basis all pores in each connection, $V$ denotes the total volume and $m$ is the total number of pores.

$$\phi_{T,XRT} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} V_{ci}}{V} \text{ Eq. 2}$$

To calculate the effective porosity ($\phi_e$) all the pores that didn't have pixels on the first layer ($z = 0$) and on the last layers scanned were excluded. Only the connected pores that went all the way through the specimens were considered. The effective porosity was computed according to Eq. 3.

$$\phi_e = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} V_{cei}}{V} \text{ Eq. 3}$$

In this equation $V_{ce}$ is the volume for each effective pore connection, obtained by weighting all the connected pores in volume bases, $V$ is the total volume of pores and $m$ is the total number of pore connections.

For each pore in each connected region the volume and the equivalent diameters ($d_m$), the diameter of the circumference covering the same area as the pore, and the pore size distribution [PSD$_{(pore)}$] was obtained. The $D_{30}$ and $D_{50}$, the percent of pores with diameter less than 30 and 50%.

The effective porosity ($\phi_e$) and pore diameter index $D_{30}$ were used to model $k$ using the modified Kozeny-Kovács based on Equation 1. Then the pore diameter index $D_{50}$ were used combined with the (PSD)$_{PM}$ to model the particle separation according to the categorical mechanistic model for filtration.

### 3.5. Laboratory rainfall simulation

A rainfall simulator was designed to investigate the PM fate on porous surfaces (Andrés-Valeri, et al. 2016) (Brugin et al. 2017) (Marchioni et al. 2021). Aerial PM loading with concentrations of 0.5 kg/m$^2$, 1.0 kg/m$^2$ and 2.0 kg/m$^2$ were applied on the specimens that were subject to rainfall events of 50 mm/h, 100 mm/h, 150 mm/h intensities with 15-minute duration and rainfall intensity of 100 mm/h with 30 minute duration. The device collected superficial runoff and infiltrated water that were then over dried to gravimetrically quantify the PM in these flow streams. In the case of infiltrated water, due to the large volume, the measure was made by collecting three samples of the total volume and then integrating across the total volume.

### 3.6. Particulate Matter (PM) loads

To simulate dry deposition of PM loads in the rainfall simulation tests a mix of quarry sand and recovery fillers was assembled with a particle size distribution (PSD)$_{PM}$ that replicates real dry deposition ranging from 75 to 2000 µm and a small silt-size fraction. Traffic dry deposition PM samples were also collected on four asphalt-paved roadways in Milan (Italy). The four roads are constructed of impermeable asphalt in a highly inhabited mostly residential zone, and the samples were collected in October and November.
2016 (autumn season) in the evening before cleaning (Table 2). The method used was manual sweeping on the paved road shoulders to avoid fine particles loss that might happen with vacuuming. The PSD\textsubscript{PM} for the laboratory assembled mix and field samples was obtained through mechanical sieve analysis according to UNI EN 933-1. For each particle size distribution, it was determined the indices $D_{10}$, $D_{50}$, $D_{60}$, $D_{90}$, as the percent of particles finer than 10, 50, 60 and 90% and the uniformity coefficient according to Eq. 4. The cumulative mass (PSD)\textsubscript{PM} was modeled as a cumulative gamma distribution. The goodness of fit was verified using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (k-s) statistics test with $p > 0.05$. The Kruskal-Wallis test with $\alpha = 0.05$ significance level was used to verify if the four different samples were statistically significantly different.

$$U = \frac{d_{60}}{d_{10}} \text{ Equation 4}$$

| Sample ID\textsuperscript{(1)} | Street       | Date of sampling | Land use         |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|
| MI\textunderscore golgi\textunderscore 17\textunderscore ott\textunderscore 2016 | Via Golgi    | 17/10/2016      | Residential      |
| MI\textunderscore pascoli\textunderscore 21\textunderscore ott\textunderscore 2016 | Via Pascoli  | 21/10/2016      | Residential      |
| MI\textunderscore romagna\textunderscore 2\textunderscore nov\textunderscore 2016 | Viale Romagna | 2/11/2016       | Residential Mostly |
| MI\textunderscore zanoia\textunderscore 4\textunderscore nov\textunderscore 2016 | Via Zanoia   | 4/11/2016       | Residential      |

\textsuperscript{(1)} The samples ID follows the rule city\textunderscore address\textunderscore date\textunderscore month\textunderscore year. MI stands for Milan.

4. Results

4.1. Pore parameters

The eight PA specimens extracted from the slabs produced in the laboratory were submitted to XRT and image analysis to obtain the pore structure parameters ($\phi_{T,XRT}$, $\phi_e$, PSD\textsubscript{pore}) shown in Fig. 5 and Table 3. The mean relative difference between $\phi_{T,Nominal}$ and $\phi_{T,XRT}$ was 5% and ranged from 4 to 22% while the mean relative difference from $\phi_{T,XRT}$ and $\phi_e$ was 6% ranging from 1 to 27% indicating a highly interconnected structure that was also visually confirmed.

The mean pore diameter mean ($d_{m\text{ mean}}$) ranged from 2.27 mm to 2.75 mm with 2.52 mean and 0.19 standard deviation when considering all samples of different nominal porosities ($\phi_{T,Nominal}$ of 0.15, 0.20 and 0.25). The same mix design and aggregate PSD was used for all specimens explaining the similar results for pore diameter mean for different porosities.
Table 3
Pore structure parameters.

| Sample ID(1) | Nominal total porosity(2) | Total porosity $\phi_{T,XRT}$ | Effective porosity $\phi_e$ | Pore area mean $A_{mean}$ [mm$^2$] | Pore area median $A_{median}$ [mm$^2$] | Pore diameter mean $d_{mv, mean}$ [mm] | Pore diameter median $D_{50}$ [mm] | D$_{30}$ [mm] |
|--------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| PA_25_A      | 0.25                      | 0.2025                        | 0.1977                    | 8.41                             | 2.41                             | 2.49                               | 1.75                             | 1.16      |
| PA_25_B      | 0.25                      | 0.2260                        | 0.2202                    | 11.74                            | 2.58                             | 2.75                               | 1.81                             | 1.19      |
| PA_25_C      | 0.25                      | 0.2130                        | 0.2093                    | 10.05                            | 2.45                             | 2.64                               | 1.77                             | 1.15      |
| PA_25_D      | 0.25                      | 0.2395                        | 0.2367                    | 13.06                            | 1.69                             | 2.58                               | 1.47                             | 0.99      |
| PA_15_A      | 0.15                      | 0.1344                        | 0.1209                    | 6.38                             | 2.43                             | 2.27                               | 1.76                             | 2.01      |
| PA_15_B      | 0.15                      | 0.1576                        | 0.1484                    | 7.53                             | 2.48                             | 2.40                               | 1.78                             | 1.16      |
| PA_15_C      | 0.15                      | 0.1370                        | 0.1006                    | 6.41                             | 2.44                             | 2.30                               | 1.76                             | 1.21      |
| PA_20        | 0.20                      | 0.2432                        | 0.2472                    | 12.92                            | 2.46                             | 2.74                               | 1.77                             | 1.22      |

(1) The samples ID follows the rule MATERIAL _ NOMINAL TOTAL POROSITY _ SAMPLE NUMBER

Obtained with bulk density.

Figure 6 illustrates the PSD$_{pore}$ on pore diameter ($d_m$) basis for each PA sample as a probability density function (PDF) and cumulative density function (CDF). The $d_m$ ranged from 0.75 mm to 12 mm with a mean $D_{50}$ of 1.88 mm for all samples and $D_{90}$ of 5.25 mm for all samples with the exception of PA_15_A with a $D_{90}$ of 7.25 mm.

**4.2. Modeled saturated hydraulic conductivity ($k_{sat}$)**

The KKM proposed by Ranieri et al. (2010) was used to determine $k_{sat}$ using the pore diameter ($D_{30}$) obtained with XRT and image analysis (Table 4). The results range from $2.88 \times 10^{-4}$ to $7.21 \times 10^{-4}$ m/s where the lowest $k_{sat}$ was observed for the specimen with $\phi_{T,Nominal} = 0.15$. Soils as granular matrices with hydraulic conductivity within this range are considered having good drainage properties (Terzaghi et al. 1996).
Table 4

- Modeled saturated hydraulic conductivity, \( k_{\text{sat,modeled}} \).

| Sample ID | Effective porosity \( \phi_e \) | \( D_{30} \) [mm] | \( k_{\text{sat modeled}} \) [m/s] |
|-----------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| PA_25_A   | 0.20                          | 1.16              | 5.21 x 10^{-4}              |
| PA_25_B   | 0.22                          | 1.19              | 6.06 x 10^{-4}              |
| PA_25_C   | 0.21                          | 1.15              | 5.42 x 10^{-4}              |
| PA_25_D   | 0.24                          | 0.99              | 4.50 x 10^{-4}              |
| PA_15_A   | 0.12                          | 2.01              | 9.54 x 10^{-4}              |
| PA_15_B   | 0.15                          | 1.16              | 3.91 x 10^{-4}              |
| PA_15_C   | 0.10                          | 1.21              | 2.88 x 10^{-4}              |
| PA_20     | 0.25                          | 1.22              | 7.12 x 10^{-4}              |

4.3. PM loads characteristics

Table 5 and Fig. 7 shows \((\text{PSD})_{PM}\) results from the PM loads used in the laboratory rainfall simulation tests and collected from the field. Higher values of \( D_{50} \) compared with \( D_{50} \) from previous studies are shown in Table 1, where the mean \( D_{50} \) regardless of sampling method was 408 mm and median 351 mm. Comparing the laboratory and field samples using the Kruskal-Wallis test indicated that the five samples did not show a statistically significantly difference between PSDs for a p-value = 0.8892 with 95% confidence level.

The gravimetric PSD was modeled as a cumulative gamma distribution with a goodness of fit verified using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (k-s) statistics test with \( p > 0.05 \) (Table 6).
Table 5
- PM load characteristics

| Sample ID                  | $d_{10}$ | $d_{50}$ | $d_{60}$ | $d_{90}$ | $U$ |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----|
| Laboratory assembled mix   | 189      | 1906     | 3347     | 9593     | 18  |
| MI_golgi_17_ott_2016       | 185      | 1767     | 2502     | 6794     | 13.5|
| MI_pascoli_21_ott_2016     | 75       | 1150     | 1732     | 5954     | 23.1|
| MI_romagna_2_nov_2016      | 75       | 738      | 1092     | 3862     | 14.6|
| MI_zanoia_4_nov_2016       | 89       | 524      | 715      | 1780     | 8.0 |

Table 6
- Cumulative particle size distribution (PSD) modelling parameters.

| Sample ID                  | Gamma distribution | Goodness of fit$^{(1)}$ | Hyp. Null$^{(2)}$ |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|                            | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | SSE  | p-value | K-S | Hyp. Null |
| Laboratory assembled mix   | 0.59     | 6.74    | 102  | 1.0000  | 0.0909 | true    |
| MI_golgi_17_ott_2016       | 0.80     | 3.45    | 36.35 | 0.9448  | 0.1667 | true    |
| MI_pascoli_21_ott_2016     | 0.57     | 3.89    | 142.05| 0.3874  | 0.2941 | true    |
| MI_romagna_2_nov_2016      | 0.56     | 2.57    | 284.39| 0.3874  | 0.2941 | true    |
| MI_zanoia_4_nov_2016       | 1.09     | 0.66    | 307.97| 0.3874  | 0.2941 | true    |

$^{(1)}$ Fit of the cumulative gamma distribution.

$^{(2)}$ Null hypothesis that the samples are drawn for identical distribution ($p > 0.05$). True or false.

4.4. Measured particle separation

PM fate measured from the laboratory physical modeling elucidated the PM mass retained on the PA specimens (as a schmutzdecke) and within the specimens as deep bed filtration (specific deposit), exfiltrated through the specimen or washed off the specimens through the hydraulic stress of runoff. Figure 8 and Table 7 illustrates the PM fate for PA specimens load with 0.5 kg/m$^2$ and 2.0 kg/m$^2$. PM subject to a 100 mm/h rainfall with 30 minutes duration and 150 mm/h and 15 minutes duration and also 2.5 and 7.0% slope. The majority (> 85%) of the PM load was retained on the specimen surfaces as a schmutzdecke and within the pore matrix of the specimens. For the test conditions the schmutzdecke formation did not increase volumetric runoff substantially; remaining below a volumetric runoff coefficient of 0.20 (Andrés-Valeri 2016). Less than 15% was exfiltrated through the specimens and consisted of fine suspended PM. The portion of PM loads that exfiltrated through the specimen could
potentially reach subgrade below the PA pavement structure, where the inclusion of a geotextile could retain these exfiltrated particles if required. A nominal fraction of PM (< 2% on a gravimetric basis) was washed off with runoff indicating that for the test conditions that the use of PA reduce PM loads that reach the drainage system.

Increasing PM load also increase the PM mass retained on the specimen as the schmutzdecke formed on the surface due to progressive filtration by the schmutzdecke to retain finer suspended PM that otherwise would pass into and through the PA with the potential of exfiltration of suspended PM as PM-partitioned chemicals to subgrade. Given that there was not a significant increase of the runoff PM mass and neither the volumetric runoff coefficient indicates that the schmutzdecke acts to improve the retention of PM without impacting runoff reduction and therefore benefits the permeable pavement service life. By increasing slope or rainfall intensity a slight increase on runoff volume that washed off part of the PM that otherwise would be retained on the specimen, consequently increasing PM mass on runoff (Table 7).
Table 7
PM fate by percent of mass on different conditions on rainfall simulation tests.

| Rainfall intensity [mm/h] | Duration [min] | Slope | Aerial loading [kg/m²] | Total Porosity $\Phi_{T,nominal}$ | PM fate on mass |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|----------------|
|                           |                |       |                       |                                  | % runoff | % leached | % cake + straining |
| 100                       | 30             | 2.5%  | 0.5                   | 0.15                             | 0.64%   | 9.38%    | 89.99%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.20                             | 0.96%   | 7.14%    | 91.90%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.25                             | 0.64%   | 8.66%    | 90.70%             |
|                           | 2              | 1.5%  | 0.5                   | 0.15                             | 0.70%   | 4.90%    | 94.40%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.20                             | 0.62%   | 4.42%    | 94.96%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.25                             | 0.52%   | 3.63%    | 95.86%             |
|                           | 7%             | 0.5   | 0.5                   | 0.15                             | 1.41%   | 10.67%   | 87.92%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.20                             | 1.02%   | 11.44%   | 87.53%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.25                             | 1.16%   | 10.70%   | 88.14%             |
|                           | 2              | 1.5%  | 0.5                   | 0.15                             | 7.28%   | 3.12%    | 96.60%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.20                             | 1.50%   | 4.12%    | 94.38%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.25                             | 0.85%   | 4.56%    | 94.58%             |
| 150                       | 15             | 2.5%  | 0.5                   | 0.15                             | 0.66%   | 8.01%    | 91.33%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.20                             | 0.73%   | 8.48%    | 90.79%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.25                             | 0.37%   | 9.27%    | 90.36%             |
|                           | 2              | 1.5%  | 0.5                   | 0.15                             | 4.68%   | 2.04%    | 93.28%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.20                             | 0.58%   | 3.70%    | 95.72%             |
|                           |                |       |                       | 0.25                             | 0.80%   | 5.09%    | 94.11%             |
|                           | 7%             | 0.5   | 0.5                   | 0.15                             | 0.98%   | 4.88%    | 94.13%             |

4.5. Modeled PM separation

The categorical mechanistic model considering that the ratio $d_m/d_p$ governs the PM fate was applied for the laboratory physical model and field PM and compared with the PM fate obtained with the rainfall simulator for validation. The $d_m$ considered was the mean pore diameter ($d_{m,mean}$) obtained through XRT for each sample (Table 3) while $d_p$ came from the (PSD)$_{PM}$. For the laboratory PM gradation most of the PM mass (83.1–88.5%) was retained on the PA surface forming a schmutzdecke (Table 8). In this scenario the PM is easily recovered through standard maintenance practices such as using vacuuming.
devices. The percent of mass that was filtered in the pore space through physical-chemical parameters, and therefore could potentially exfiltrate to the subgrade, ranged from 7.6 to 11.3%. The measured and modeled data presented a maximum of 8% of relative percent difference. The simple categorical mechanistic model presented representative results under the test conditions.

### Table 8
Modeled dominant filtration mechanism for the laboratory PM assembled mix on mass.

| Sample ID | PM fate on mass |
|-----------|-----------------|
|           | Physical-chemical | Straining | Cake |
| PA_25_A   | 8.4%             | 4.2%      | 87.4% |
| PA_25_B   | 8.5%             | 4.3%      | 87.1% |
| PA_25_C   | 8.4%             | 4.2%      | 87.3% |
| PA_25_D   | 7.6%             | 3.8%      | 88.6% |
| PA_15_A   | 11.3%            | 5.6%      | 83.1% |
| PA_15_B   | 8.4%             | 4.2%      | 87.4% |
| PA_15_C   | 8.4%             | 4.2%      | 87.4% |
| PA_20     | 8.6%             | 4.3%      | 87.0% |

The categorical mechanistic model was then applied for the field dry deposition PM samples. For all the PA samples, 87% of the PM mass was retained on the specimen surface creating a schmutzdecke. The percent of PM mass that was retained through deep bed filtration was 5% for the PA_20 (0.20 porosity) 10% for the PA_25 (0.25 porosity) and 8% for the PA_15 (0.15 porosity).

The percent of PM mass that was potentially detained only by physical-chemical mechanism allowing for potential exfiltration was 7% for the PA_20 (0.20 porosity) 3% for the PA_25 (0.25 porosity) and 3% for the PA_15 (0.15 porosity). The results depend on the $d_m$ and not on the total porosity which can explain equivalent results for different total porosity and less PMI passing through the $\phi_{T, \text{nominal}} = 0.25$ porosity specimen than the $\phi_{T, \text{nominal}} = 0.15$ specimen. This can also explain the similar results for filtration mechanisms since $d_m$ governed filtration mechanism for the same index of mean pore diameters.

### 5. Conclusions

The growing urbanization scenario demands a comprehensive stormwater management emphasizing source control for runoff, PM and chemicals. Such solutions move beyond conventional conveyances of stormwater from one point to another location downstream. PM accumulated on pavement surfaces is mobilized by hydraulic stresses after the buildup phase and is transported in stormwater into drainage
systems becoming a source of impairment for receiving waters. Permeable pavement systems using permeable surface materials such as pervious concrete and permeable asphalt (PA) provides stormwater infiltration reducing runoff and act as a filter promoting PM and chemical load removal. This type of control system is an established technology that is market-available and amenable to design, regulations and standards. Current research focuses on materials characteristics, modelling and improves overall performance.

This research used rainfall simulation, X-Ray microTomography (XRT) and field material sampling to investigate aspects of PM separation by PA. Pore structure parameters (total porosity, effective porosity, pore size distribution) that are complex or not possible to obtain through conventional methods were obtained through XRT. Once a methodology was established for image analysis obtained with XRT these parameters were quantified. However, the method is still a research method and needs further development for applications outside of the research environment. The $\phi_T$ results were in accordance with the ones obtained through bulk density. The $\phi_T$ and $\phi_e$ both obtained through XRT, presented a mean relative difference of 6% and results were confirmed by visual observation of the highly interconnected pores.

The modeling of $k$ results confirmed that the PA samples are suitable to allow stormwater infiltration presenting $k$ values similar to a well-drained granular soil. The filtration mechanisms were investigated by physical modeling and using a categorical mechanistic model based on pore and particle diameter. For the studied specimens and loads the dominant mechanism was the accumulation on surface, namely a schmutzdecke or surface cake of PM which provided progressive filtration and protection of the PA. Most of PM (> 85%) remained on the porous surface with a much smaller fraction subject to deep-bed filtration for both the laboratory PM mix and the samples obtained on field. This “cake” leads to a nominal $k$ reduction while functioning by also retaining finer suspended PM over time that otherwise would pass through and be exfiltrated from the PA. Hence, as the schmutzdecke forms PM and chemical separation is improved with time. The “cake” can be easily removed through conventional maintenance.

In conclusion, this research investigates PA normally used for permeable pavement system through a physical modeling program of rainfall simulation, XRT and $k$ and filtration mechanism modelling. The filtration mechanism model showed good accordance between measured and modeled results. A Computational Fluid Dynamics (CDF) model using iterations of the pore structure and PM granulometric indices could give more accurate view of filtration mechanism and chemical load fate. A combination of parameter studied by this research could be used to develop a model to predict PM separation and define maintenance routines and could be a follow up of this research.
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**Figure 1**

Filtration mechanism in permeable pavement systems.
Figure 2

(a) Illustration of PA specimen, (b) sample extruded for the XRT and 3D reconstruction of the connected porosity network in a small sub volume of the sample.
Figure 3

(a) Original image acquired with the XRT and (b) binary image used in the analysis, where the white pixels represent the pores.
Figure 4

Pore identification for a sample layer.
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Nominal total porosity ($\phi_{\text{T,Nominal}}$), total porosity obtained with XRT ($\phi_{\text{T,XRT}}$) and effective porosity ($\phi_e$) for PA samples.

Figure 6
PSD\textsubscript{pore} obtained with XRT and image analysis. (a) – PA\textsubscript{25}\textsubscript{A}, (b) – PA\textsubscript{25}\textsubscript{B}, (c) – PA\textsubscript{25}\textsubscript{C}, (d) – PA\textsubscript{25}\textsubscript{D}, (e) – PA\textsubscript{15}\textsubscript{A}, (f) – PA\textsubscript{15}\textsubscript{B}, (g) – PA\textsubscript{15}\textsubscript{C}, (h) – PA\textsubscript{20}.

**Figure 7**

Particle size distribution of dry deposition (PSD\textsubscript{PM}) collected in Milan, Italy.
Figure 8

PM fate on mass for PA specimens for various rainfall and load conditions.