Quantitative Morphometric, Physiological, and Metabolic Characteristics of Chickens and Mallards for Physiologically Based Kinetic Model Development
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Physiologically based kinetic (PBK) models are a promising tool for xenobiotic environmental risk assessment that could reduce animal testing by predicting in vivo exposure. PBK models for birds could further our understanding of species-specific sensitivities to xenobiotics, but would require species-specific parameterization. To this end, we summarize multiple major morphometric and physiological characteristics in chickens, particularly laying hens (Gallus gallus) and mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) in a meta-analysis of published data. Where such data did not exist, data are substituted from domesticated ducks (Anas platyrhynchos) and, in their absence, from chickens. The distribution of water between intracellular, extracellular, and plasma is similar in laying hens and mallards. Similarly, the lengths of the components of the small intestine (duodenum, jejunum, and ileum) are similar in chickens and mallards. Moreover, not only are the gastrointestinal absorptive areas similar in mallard and chickens but also they are similar to those in mammals when expressed on a log basis and compared to log body weight. In contrast, the following are much lower in laying hens than mallards: cardiac output (CO), hematocrit (Hct), and blood hemoglobin. There are shifts in ovary weight (increased), oviduct weight (increased), and plasma/serum concentrations of vitellogenin and triglyceride between laying hens and sexually immature females. In contrast, reproductive state does not affect the relative weights of the liver, kidneys, spleen, and gizzard.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the principal avian species employed generating data for pesticide registration is mallards (Anas platyrhynchos; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2004; de Montaigu and Goulson, 2020). Laying hens have been employed to assess safety of veterinary drugs and pesticides (Goetting et al., 2011). With the moves to reduce use of test avian species for pesticide
registration (e.g., U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2019), there is a need to have alternative computational models. Physiologically based kinetic (PBK) models are one example for such tools. By incorporating a mechanistic description of physiological compartments, PBK models can predict the absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME) of xenobiotics. Within PBK models, physiology of the species and compound-specific properties of the ADME process are treated separately. Therefore, PBK models require a species-specific parameterization of physiology. Examples of application in risk assessment include dose extrapolation, exposure scenario extrapolation, or interspecies extrapolation (see, e.g., OECD, 2021). PBK models have been developed for the chicken (Lautz et al., 2020), and compound-specific models have been published for the American kestrel (Nichols et al., 2010), turkey, and quail (Cortright et al., 2009). Despite these advances, many ecologically important species are still lacking and parameterization of such models remains challenging, especially in the case of many endangered and focal species. Thus, there is the need for widely available morphological and physiological parameters to support the development of species-specific PBK models.

The present communication outlines quantitative anatomical and physiological parameters in chickens (particularly laying hens) and mallards based on a meta-analysis of the literature. There is a recent paper providing a meta-analysis of series of physiological and anatomical parameters in laying hens (Wang et al., 2020) but neither mallards nor domestic ducks (both Anas platyrhynchos). It is argued that the present report is broadly complementary but based a much greater number of reports providing greater confidence in the accuracy for each parameter. It is noted that there are also several parameters where employing greater numbers of studies shifts the numerical value of parameter markedly. Moreover, there are parameters in the present study that were not included in the Wang et al. (2020) analysis.

The present communication outlines quantitative anatomical and physiological parameters in chickens (particularly laying hens) and mallards based on a meta-analysis of the literature. There was limited information on physiological and morphometric parameters in mallard ducks. In these cases, and where data are available for sexually immature female chickens, parameterization data from laying hens were compared with those in sexually immature female chickens. Similarities in the data from laying hens and sexually immature female chickens would be indicative of the parameterization data being robust and is suggestive that the data from chicken may be applied to mallard ducks. In contrast, the data or laying hens need to be treated with some caution where there are differences between the data from laying hen and sexually immature female chickens; the caveat being that there are shifting in physiology accompanying egg laying. For instance, the liver will be producing yolk precursors. In addition, the oviduct will undergo considerable growth and maturation to synthesize and deposit egg albumen around the yolk filled oocyte.

In cases where there were either a lack of published data on sexually immature chickens or marked differences in the data between different studies (in Supplementary Material) and consequently a high coefficient of variation, the robustness of the data was further tested by comparison with young broiler chickens. It is noted that there are genetic differences between lines of chickens that are used to produce eggs and those used for chicken meat. Similarities between parameterization data from laying hens and young broiler chickens would also indicate robustness of the data from laying hens. Similarly, the validity of the parameterization data for mallard ducks, where available, was tested by comparison of mallard data with data in domesticated ducks. This also provided evidence for or against domestication per se influencing the parameters. This is important as the laying hen is used as a surrogate for wild birds.

There is a recent paper providing a meta-analysis of series of physiological and anatomical parameters in laying hens (Wang et al., 2020) but neither mallards nor domestic ducks (both Anas platyrhynchos). It is argued that the present report is broadly complementary but based a much greater number of reports. This provides greater confidence in the accuracy for each parameter. It is noted that there are also several parameters where employing greater numbers of studies shifts the numerical value of parameter markedly. Moreover, there are parameters in the present study that were not included in the analysis of Wang et al. (2020).

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Development of Data Bases for Physiological, Biochemical, and Morphological Characteristics

Data bases were developed based on published reports. Among the search terms employed were the following:

- Hematocrit (Hct) AND laying hens (or pullets or chickens or broilers or mallards or ducks) AND scholarly
- Hemoglobin AND laying hens (or pullets or chickens or broilers or mallards or ducks) AND scholarly
- Plasma AND protein (or albumin or immunoglobulin or lipid or triglyceride or phospholipid or cholesterol) AND laying hens (or pullets or mallards or ducks) AND scholarly
- Ovary (or uterus/oviduct or lungs or kidneys or heart or other organs) AND laying hens (or pullets) AND scholarly
- Small intestine AND laying hens (or pullets or chickens or mallards or ducks) AND scholarly
- Villus AND laying hens (or pullets or chickens or mallards or ducks) AND scholarly
- Cardiac output (CO) AND laying hens (or pullets or chickens or mallards or ducks) AND scholarly
- Liver cellularity (or lipids) AND laying hens (or pullets or chickens or mallards or ducks) AND scholarly

This was supplemented by cross checking the cited papers in the top 15 papers identified by the initial search.

Cell volume (liver and small intestine mucosa) and cell number were calculated from the published hepatic DNA concentrations and the mass of DNA in female chickens (Mendonça et al., 2010, 2016). The number of liver cells per
gram was calculated as follows: DNA concentration (mg per gram)/diploid genome mass in mg. This was simplified as DNA concentration as mg per gram × 10⁹/diploid genome mass in pg. We employed a mass of 2.15 pg for chicken diploid genome (Mendonça et al., 2010, 2016). The mass of cells was calculated as 1/number of cells per gram in pg. The volume was assumed to be numerically equal to the mass × 10⁻³. The calculations assumed that the tissue has a density of 1.0 kg L⁻¹.

**Bird Ages**
Sexually mature female birds were those that were laying eggs either in domesticated species or during the breeding season for wild birds. Data for sexually immature birds included in the analysis were restricted to birds that were over 75% of mature body weight and were neither laying eggs nor incubating eggs.

**Gastrointestinal Absorptive Area**
Estimation of the gastro-intestinal absorptive area entailed the following:

1. Estimation of the nominal surface area of intestinal components assuming them to be cylindrical (Area = π × 2. 2. Radius. Length).
2. Correction for the presence of villi in the form of the villus amplification factor (VAF) with the VAF = 1 + [π × L × 2R × D] Where L' is villus length (μm), 2R' [2 × radius of villus or villus diameter (μm) and D' is villus density (number per μm²); Ferraris et al., 1989; Ferrer et al., 1995]. (Note: although MAF is dimensionless, the dimensions of the length, radius, and density must be the same units, specifically μm and μm².) This assumes that villi are circular cylinders.
3. Correction for the presence of microvilli in the form of the microvillus amplification factor (MAF) with MAF = 1 + [π × L × 2R × D] where L is microvillus length (μm), 2R (2 × radius) is microvillus diameter (μm), and D is microvillus density (number per μm² or per 10⁻¹² m²; Ferraris et al., 1989; Ferrer et al., 1995]. [Note: although MAF is dimensionless, the dimensions of the length, radius, and density must be the same units, specifically μm and μm².] This also assumes that microvilli are circular cylinders.

**Statistical Analysis**
Data (the mean from the individual studies) were analyzed by paired and unpaired Students t tests or by one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s range test employing Excel software (Microsoft Excel 2019 for Mac).

**RESULTS**

**Fluid Dynamics in Adult Female Chickens or Ducks**

Table 1 summarizes fluid dynamics in laying hens (*Gallus gallus*) and mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*; or domesticated ducks—*Anas platyrhynchos*). Extracellular water, plasma, and interstitial fluid, expressed as a percent of body weight are similar in laying hens and mallards (Table 1). There appear to be differences in laying hens and mallards for intracellular water and total water expressed as a percentage of body weight.

The CO (ml kg⁻¹ min⁻¹) in laying hens (Table 1) is also very similar to that in young (broiler) chickens 192 ± (5) 5.6 ml kg⁻¹ min⁻¹ (for means of individual studies see Supplementary Table 1 in Supplementary Data). The CO is markedly greater in mallards than laying hens (Table 1).

**Blood**

**Hematocrit/Packed Cell Volume**
The Hct/packed cell volume (PCV) is 40.3% lower (*p < 0.0001) in adult female chickens (laying hens) than in mallard ducks (Table 1). To provide support for the veracity of the Hct/PCV, the Hct/PCV was compared between mallard ducks and domesticated ducks. Hct/PCV did not differ (*p > 0.15) between mallard ducks (Table 1) and domesticated ducks [41.0 ± (9) 1.30%]. Similarly, there was no difference between Hct/PCV in sexually immature pullets and laying hens (see Table 2; see Supplementary Table 2 for data on individual studies). The Hct/PCV was also low in young broiler chickens
[4–6 week-old male and females; 31.4 ± (9) 3.24%] albeit slightly higher (p < 0.05) than in laying hens (see Supplementary Table 2 for data on individual studies). The Hct/PCV was 32.1% lower (p < 0.003) in laying hens than adult male chickens [39.9 ± (3) 3.24%; see Supplementary Table 2 for data on individual studies].

Hemoglobin
Blood hemoglobin concentrations were 40.2% lower (p < 0.002) in laying hens than in mallards (Table 1). There were no differences in the hemoglobin concentrations between sexually immature and mature female chickens (Table 2) or between laying hens and young broiler chickens [9.33 ± (6) 0.63; Table 2; see Supplementary Tables 2, 3 for data on individual studies]. Similarly, there was no difference between the blood concentration of hemoglobin in mallards (Table 1) and those in domesticated ducks [13.3 ± (6) 0.30 g dL−1; see Supplementary Table 3 for data on individual studies].

Plasma/Serum Concentrations of Total Protein
There were similar serum/plasma total protein concentrations in non-reproductive female and male mallards [respectively, 4.40 ± (55 birds) 0.10 g dL−1; 4.11 ± (26) 0.17 g dL−1 calculated from Driver, 1981; Fairbrother et al., 1990]. These were also consistent with those in sexually immature female chickens (Table 2). Serum/plasma total protein concentrations were increased 43.2% (p < 0.001) between non-reproductive female and male mallards in non-reproductive female and laying females [to 6.30 ± (18 birds) 0.28 g dL−1 calculated from Fairbrother et al., 1990].

The increase in total protein in the serum/plasma in mallards between reproductively quiescent and laying females (Δ = 1.9 g dL−1) is quantitatively similar to the increase in circulating concentration of vitellogenin (Table 2).

Plasma/Serum Concentrations of Albumin
Serum/plasma concentrations of albumin were 28.7% lower (p = 0.0168) in laying hens than in young chickens (Table 2; also see Supplementary Table 5). Two reports are excluded from the analysis of plasma/serum albumin in Table 2; these being those of Sturkie and Newman (1951) and Wu et al. (2017). These reported concentrations of, respectively, 2.26 and 4.8 g dL−1; these being more than four times the SD above the means of the other values.

Serum/plasma albumin concentrations were similar in sexually immature female chickens and in non-reproductive female and male mallards [respectively, 1.64 ± (26) 0.08 and 1.83 ± (53) 0.03 g dL−1 calculated from Driver, 1981; Fairbrother et al., 1990]. In contrast, plasma/serum concentrations of albumin were increased 25.7% (p < 0.001) between not laying and laying females [respectively 1.83 ± (53) 0.03 g dL−1; 2.30 ± (18) 0.08 g dL−1 calculated from Fairbrother et al., 1990].

Interstitial Fluid Protein Concentrations
Based on suction blister fluid, the protein concentrations in interstitial fluid of chickens have been reported (Table 3). The concentrations of albumin, α-globulin, β-globulin, and γ-globulin in interstitial fluid are less than a half that of serum with the greatest decline being with α2-globulin.

Transfer of Yolk Precursors From the Plasma Into the Oocyte
There is transfer of constituents of yolk from the serum into the oocyte in the process of yolk deposition. Table 3 summarizes concentrations of yolk precursors in plasma/serum with constituents of yolk. There is clearly considerable bio-concentration of the following: vitellogenin, α2-glycoprotein/β-livetin, triglyceride, and phospholipids.

Organs
Relative Organ Weights in Pullets and Laying Hens
There were no differences between sexually immature female chickens (pullets) and laying hens in the relative weights of liver, gizzard, kidneys, and spleen (Table 4; for details of different studies, see Supplementary Table 4). In contrast, as might be expected, the relative weights of the ovary and oviduct were markedly greater (p < 0.01) in laying hens than in sexually immature female chickens; the increases being, respectively, 52.6 and 60.9 fold for the ovary and oviduct (Table 5). It is noted that there is a single ovary and that all the entire female reproductive tract is referred to as the oviduct (for details of different studies species see Supplementary Table 7).

Cellularity of the Liver and Mucosa
The tendency for relative weight to be greater in laying hens was matched by an increase in the liver cell volume as calculated

### Table 2

| Parameter | Sexually immature females (pullets) | Mature laying hens |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|
| Hct/PCV % | 28.1 ± (4) 1.78a | 27.1 ± (10) 0.86a |
| Hemoglobin % | 8.88 ± (2) 0.38a | 9.18 ± (5) 0.62a |
| Plasma protein g dL−1 | 3.80 ± (2) 1.06a | 4.53 ± (5) 0.52a |
| Albumin g dL−1 | 1.67 ± (5) 0.096a | 1.19 ± (5) 0.18a* |
| IgY g dL−1 | 0.948 (1)5 | 3.66 ± (3) 1.47c |
| IgA g dL−1 | 0.342c | 0.323c |
| IgM g dL−1 | NA | 1.32 ± (2) 0.40f |
| Vitellogenin g dL−1 | 0.406 ± (3) 0.065c | 2.268 ± (5) 0.516c* |
| Cholesterol g dL−1 | 0.101 ± (3) 0.0023c | 0.172 ± (5) 0.064c |
| Phospholipids g dL−1 | 0.141 ± (3) 0.040c | 0.453 ± (3) 0.247c |

*Difference with sexually immature female chickens p < 0.05.

1For data from different studies see Supplementary Tables 2, 3.
2Sturkie and Newman (1951); Morgan (1975); Yuan et al. (2016); and Flavere et al. (2017).
3For data from different studies, see Supplementary Table 5.
4Redshaw and Fidell (1976).
5Neill et al. (1977); Hagan et al. (1984); Lien et al. (2001); Peebles et al. (2004); and Lv et al. (2016).
6Neill et al. (1977) and Hagan et al. (1984).
7NA, not available.
from the hepatic DNA concentration (Table 4). The calculated volume of liver cells was similar to that for mucosal cells (Table 5).

Bile Production
There was no difference in the rate of bile production in chickens vs. mammals (Table 6).

Small Intestine Length
It is predicted that the length and other parameters of the small intestine would increase during growth. Under these circumstances, length of the small intestine would be expected to be greater in laying hens than in young broiler chickens. This was not the case. Small intestinal lengths were essentially identical (p > 0.05) between young broiler chickens and laying hen (>20 week-old) [young 3–6 week—old broiler chickens: 131.8 ± (15) 78.9 cm; SEM 22.3 cm vs. laying hens: 129.4 ± (4) 78.9 cm]. Under the above circumstances, small intestinal parameters are shown for chickens irrespective of age (>3-week-old) or reproductive status (Table 5). The length of the caeca and colon/rectum in chickens were, respectively, 28.1 ± (5 studies) 3.9 and 48.9 ± (5) 7.2 cm in length.

The length of the small intestine and its components in laying hens (Table 5) are very similar to those in mallards/domestic ducks (mallard—small intestine: 81.4 cm kg⁻¹; duodenum: 17.1 cm kg⁻¹; jejunum: 31.5 cm kg⁻¹; and ileum 32.8 cm kg⁻¹; Kokoszyński et al., 2017).

There was no relationship between the length of the small intestine in broiler chickens and their age [length vs. age of broiler chickens adjusted R² 0.0392 (p = 0.360)]. This is in contrast to the relationships between small intestinal length and body weight across multiple avian species [small intestine length vs. body weight: adjusted R² 0.805 (p = 0.0514E⁻¹²), slope 0.00454 ± (31 species) 0.00041]; log₁₀ small intestine length vs. log₁₀ body weight (allometric relationship): adjusted R² 0.692 (p = 4.021E⁻²), slope 0.344 ± (31) 0.042; relative small intestine length (as percentage of body weight) vs. log₁₀ body weight: adjusted R² 0.707 (p = 1.980E⁻²), slope −36.6 ± (31) 4.28; for details of species see Supplementary Table 11].

Small Intestine Villi Morphological Characteristics
As might be expected and based on studies where the villus height/length was determined in the three regions of the small intestine, the villus height/length was greater in the duodenum than either the jejunum (p < 0.05) or ileum (p < 0.01). The villus length/height was markedly higher (p < 0.01) in chickens than in mammals; the increases being the following: duodenum 136.3%, jejunum 81.4%, and ileum 94.5% (see Table 6).

Villus heights/lengths were identical (p > 0.05) between young broiler chickens and laying hen (>20-week-old); duodenum: young broiler chickens: 1,440 ± (14 studies) 135.4 μm vs. laying hens: 1,394 ± (2) 85.5 μm; jejunum: young broiler chickens: 989 ± (15) 78.9 μm vs. laying hens: 985 ± (4) 73.7 μm; Table 6; for details of studies, see Supplementary Table 9].

Small Intestine Microvilli Morphological Characteristics
There is no information in the literature on the characteristics of microvilli in laying hens. Therefore, data from young chickens had to be employed. The microvilli were approximately 1.0–1.5 μm long (Table 6) with the mean in four studies being 2.07 ± (4) 0.27 μm (Ferrer et al., 1995; Mitjans et al., 1997; Fischer da Silva et al., 2007). Similarly, the microvilli are present in the ceca and colon [Ceca: length 0.582μm, diameter 0.089μm; density 89.9 # μm⁻²; colon: length 0.597μm, diameter 0.079μm; and density 86.7 # μm⁻²; Ferrer et al., 1995].

Gastrointestinal Absorptive Area
The absorptive areas and absorptive areas per kg body weight in chickens and mallard duck have been calculated (see Table 6). These fall within the range for mammalian species (see Table 6).

**DISCUSSION**

The present report provides a comprehensive compendium of physiological and anatomical characteristics of two species important to avian toxicological research and to addressing regulatory requirements; namely the laying hen (adult sexually mature female chicken—*Gallus gallus*) and mallard duck (*Anas platyrhynchos*). Moreover, such accounting of the physiological and anatomical characteristics of laying hens and mallard ducks is essential to the development of PBK models. When there is a need to extrapolate parameterization from the chicken (laying...
TABLE 4 | Differences in reproductive and other parameters between sexually immature female chickens and laying hens.

| Parameter               | Immature females | Laying hens |
|-------------------------|------------------|-------------|
| Relative weights g 100 g⁻¹ |                  |             |
| Gizzard                 | 3.06 ± (11) 0.58± | 3.21 ± (7) 1.10± |
| Kidneys                 | 0.65 ± (8) 0.14±  | 0.80 ± (6) 0.09±  |
| Liver                   | 2.04 ± (12) 0.19± | 2.33 ± (23) 0.10± |
| Small intestine         | 16.2 ± (3) 0.87±  | 3.39 ± (3) 1.22±  |
| Spleen                  | 0.176 ± (6) 0.025± | 0.160 ± (6) 0.022± |
| Ovary                   | 0.046 ± (4) 0.015± | 2.42 ± (15) 0.19±  |
| Oviduct                 | 0.046 ± (6) 0.024± | 2.80 ± (9) 0.20±  |
| Liver cellularity       |                  |             |
| Liver cell number × 10³ | 1.417 ± (4) 0.19±  | 1.127 ± (4) 0.113± |
| Liver volume FL         | 526 ± (4) 112±    | 682 ± (4) 138± |
| Liver composition       |                  |             |
| Lipid g 100 g⁻¹         | 4.4 ± (4) 0.75±  | 13.0 ± (9) 1.54±  |

Difference between laying hens and immature chickens. *p<0.05.
**p<0.01.
***p<0.001.

1Calculated from data in Supplementary Tables 6, 7.
2Calculated from DNA per gram liver and assumes liver is 90% cells including laying hens and estradiol treated young females.
3Calculated from avian DNA per g liver data (Common et al., 1951; Just et al., 1973; Yu and Marquardt, 1973; Neil et al., 1977) and female chickens having 2.15 pg DNA per cell (Mendonça et al., 2010, 2016).
4Based on sexually immature female and male chickens.
5Lorenz et al. (1938); Trampel et al. (2005); Seong et al. (2015); and ARS (United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Research Service) (2018).
6Lorenz et al. (1938); Naber and Biggert (1989); and Chenian and Goeger (2004).
7In comparison, the mean relative weight of the gizzard in broiler chickens was 2.932 ± (number of studies = 6) SEM 0.64 (for data on individual studies, see Supplementary Table 7A).
8In comparison, the mean relative weight of the small intestine in broiler chickens was 3.59 ± (7) 0.36 (for data on individual studies, see Supplementary Table 7A).

hen) to the mallard duck when species specific data are unavailable, it is recommended that consideration be given to using data from sexually immature female chicken rather than laying hens.

Fluid Dynamics in Adult Female Chickens or Ducks
Fluid dynamics in laying hens and mallards (Table 1) are key information for the development of PBK models. Cardiac output is decreased in starved chickens (Vogel and Sturkie, 1963). It is, therefore, possible that chemical agents that decrease voluntary feed intake will also depress cardiac output.

Blood
Both the Hct/PCV and hemoglobin of mallards (Table 1) are similar to those across avian species [Hct/PCV 44.0% based 158 species of birds; hemoglobin 14.5 g dL⁻¹ based 134 species of birds; Scanes, 2022]. In contrast, both the Hct/PCV of laying hens (Tables 1, 2) are markedly lower than that in mallards and the mean across avian species. Blood concentrations of hemoglobin are similarly low in reptiles [Crocodilia: 8.23 g dL⁻¹ (three species); Dessauer, 1970, 7.76 g dL⁻¹ (five species); Pou, 1980; Squamata: 7.77 g dL⁻¹; Dessauer, 1970, 8.8 g dL⁻¹ (Pou, 1980); and Testudines: 7.97 g dL⁻¹; Dessauer, 1970, 5.26 ± (7) 0.32 g dL⁻¹; Pough, 1980]. The last common ancestor being the following:

- Squamata and Testudines/Crocodilia/Aves 255 Million Years Ago (MYA)
- Crocodilia/Aves and Testudines 250 MYA (Chiari et al., 2012; Ezcurra et al., 2014).

Laying hens were found to exhibit a lower Hct/PCV and hemoglobin than mallards (Table 1). This reduction in the Hct/PCV and hemoglobin concentrations would reduce the number of available binding sites for chemical agents, a finding that is likely to impact PBK modeling.

Serum/plasma concentrations of total protein and albumin are very similar in laying hens (Table 2), in mallards and across birds (total protein concentration: 39.6 across 100 avian species; 15.9 across 63 avian species; Scanes, 2022). The increase in total protein in the serum/plasma in mallards between reproductively quiescent and laying females is presumed to reflect the appearance of vitellogenin in the circulation of laying ducks.

Implications of Low Albumin Concentrations in Laying Hen and Mallards
There are at least three binding sites on human albumin, respectively, the following:

- Squamata and Testudines/Crocodilia/Aves 255 Million Years Ago (MYA)
- Crocodilia/Aves and Testudines 250 MYA (Chiari et al., 2012; Ezcurra et al., 2014).
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Bio-Concentration of Precursors During Yolk Deposition Into the Oocyte

It is interesting that there is bio-concentration of vitellogenin, α_2-glycoprotein/β-livetin, triglyceride, and phospholipids into yolk but not of albumin or immunoglobulin compared to plasma (Table 3). There is also bio-concentration of α_2-glycoprotein/β-livetin and immunoglobulin compared to interstitial fluid (Table 3).

The basis for the bioconcentration is receptor-mediated transport. A specific receptor has been identified that mediates transfer of both vitellogenin (Vt) and very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL), including triglyceride and phospholipid, across the oocyte plasma membrane to fill the oocyte with yolk (chickens: Stifani et al., 1990; Barber et al., 1991; Bujo et al., 1994). Furthermore, the receptor binds α_2-glycoprotein (Jacobsen et al., 1995). There are, in addition, other receptors mediating transfer into the oocyte.

What is not known is the extent to which chemical agents are transferred into the oocyte bound (or loosely associated) with Vt or VLDL and thereby pass into the oocyte by receptor-mediated transport. This would not be consistent with a simplistic view in which only “fraction unbound” chemical agents can pass into cells by passive diffusion.

Chemical agents can bind to yolk precursors in the blood and, thereby, be deposited into the oocyte (yolk). In older literature, radiolanthanum has been demonstrated to bind to yolk proteins (granules and specifically phosvitin) both in vivo and in vitro (Robinson et al., 1979). Deposition into the yolk has been examined in Japanese quail. Radiolanthanum and other radiolanthanides were administered intravenously (Robinson et al., 1980). After 18h, 15% of the dose was located in the oocytes with ~90% of the dose being located in the eggs after 10 days (Robinson et al., 1978, 1980). Similar results were reported for other radiolanthanides (Robinson et al., 1980). This is consistent with radiolanthanides binding to the phosvitin component of vitellogenin and then receptor mediated transport across the oocyte membrane.

Organ Parameters

As might be expected, ovary and oviduct masses were much greater in laying hens than in sexually immature chickens (Table 4). In contrast, there were no differences in the relative weights of the gizzard, heart, kidneys, liver, small intestine, and spleen between those in sexually immature chickens and in laying hens (Table 4). However, as female chickens come into lay there is a 29.2% increase in body weight (Hurwitz and Bar, 1971). Thus, conclusions based on relative weights of the gizzard, kidneys, liver, and spleen (Table 4) are confounded by the increase in body weights.

Liver

Like its mammalian counterparts, the avian liver is critically important for controlling metabolism and, for many toxicants, detoxification (Zaefarian et al., 2019). The avian liver receives blood via both the hepatic artery and the hepatic portal vein (Zaefarian et al., 2019). The liver produces bile. In Galliform and Anseriform birds such as chickens and mallard ducks, the major bile acid is chenodeoxycholic acid (Hagey et al., 2010a) while in mammals, the major bile acids are cholic acid together with chenodeoxycholic acid (Hagey et al., 2010a).
2010b). In addition, 23 and 15α-hydroxylated forms are found in both birds and mammals (Hagey et al., 2010b). Chemical agents can be eliminated in the bile but there is recycling with a chemical agent or its metabolite passing from the blood through the liver into the bile. The bile passes to the small intestine where the chemical agent or its metabolite is (re-)absorbed into the blood. It is suggested that the avian liver affects detoxification and excretion of chemical agents in a manner similar to that in mammals and that PBK modelling can use parameters developed for the mammalian liver.

### Kidney

There are some differences between the avian and mammalian kidneys. A renal portal system is present in birds including chickens and mallards but not mammals (reviewed: Akester, 1967). Closure of the left and/or right renal portal valves diverts blood from the left/right external iliac veins to renal portal veins in chickens, mallards, and other avian species (Akester, 1967). Blood flow can also be diverted from the kidney to the central circulation (vena cava; Burrows et al., 1983). Blood flow in the coccygeo-mesenteric vein can flow in either direction allowing blood flow into the hepatic portal system (Akester, 1967). The valves in the renal portal system are controlled by the sympathetic nervous system (Burrows et al., 1983).

It is reasonable to conclude that there is renal intestinal recycling of toxicants in mallards and chickens. Recycling encompasses a chemical agent or its metabolite passing from the blood, filtered into nephrons, and hence into the urine. This passes from the cloaca to the colon and caeca by retrograde peristalsis and then is absorbed into the blood stream.

### Gastro-Intestinal Tract

There are marked similarities between the gastrointestinal tract between mammals and the laying hen or mallards with an esophagus, the equivalent of a stomach, a small intestine, and a colon. There are several major differences between the anatomy of the gastrointestinal tract of birds compared to mammals including the presence of the following: (1) A sac-like organ off the esophagus—the crop; (2) A separate glandular and muscular stomach, namely, the proventriculus and gizzard; (3) Two ceca; and (4) The absence of teeth.

Absorption and reabsorption of nutrients or chemical agents predominantly occur in the small intestine. In the present analysis, the absorptive areas in chickens and mallard ducks were very similar (Table 6). Moreover, they were with the range for mammals (Table 6). This suggests that the characteristics

---

**TABLE 8 | Comparison of blood flow in laying hens and those reported by Wang et al. (2020).**

| Organ                        | Present report | Wang et al. (2020) |
|------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|
| Blood flow mL min⁻¹ kg⁻¹    |                |                    |
| Cerebrum⁺                   | NA             | 0.016 (1)          |
| Cerebellum⁻                 | NA             | 0.0027 (1)         |
| Proventriculus              | 5.8 ± 2        | 2.93 (4)           |
| Gizzard                     | 8.0 ± 1¹       | 2.24 (4)           |
| Duodenum                    | 15.3²          | 12.5 (5)           |
| Jejenum                     | NR             | 12.3 (5)           |
| Ileum                       | NR             | 5.6 (1)            |
| Colon                       | NR             | 0.52 (2)           |
| Heart                       | 20.6 ± 2²      | 14.4 (1)           |
| Liver arterial              | 26.9 ± 2²      | 66.7 (8)           |
| Liver portal                | 0.88           | 41.6 (2)           |
| Muscle pectoralis           | 5.3 ± 1¹       | 20.0 (2)           |
| Lungs*                      | NA             | 149.1 (2)          |
| Kidneys                     | 52.3 ± 2       | 53.1 (8)           |
| Spleen                      | 6.59 ± 2       | 10.7 (1)           |
| Ovary                       | 8.79 ± (5) 3.06² | 43.5 (1)         |
| Oviduct                     | 27.0 ± 8       | 32.3 (1)           |
| Intundihulum                | 0.95 ± 8       | 0.80 (6)           |
| Magnun                      | 19.3 ± 7 2.11¹  | 13.6 (6)           |
| Isthmus                     | 2.34 ± 7 0.36² | 3.2 (5)            |
| Uterus or shell gland       | 9.78 ± 7 2.07² | 14.7 (5)           |
| Vagina                      | 1.00 ± 3 0.12² | 1.07 (2)           |

*Bold indicates marked difference between present study and that of Wang et al., 2020. NA, not applicable based on the microsphere methodology. NR, not reported.
⁺Study of laying hens by Wolfenson et al. (1981) (gizzard relative weight 0.98 g 100 g b.wt⁻¹; small intestine relative weight 0.95 g 100 g b.wt⁻¹).
⁻Study of pullets, not laying hens, by Martinez et al. (2015) (gizzard relative weight 0.333 g 100 g b.wt⁻¹; small intestine relative weight 0.260 g 100 g b.wt⁻¹).
¹In contrast, in Table 24 of Wang et al. (2020), blood is listed as 2.36% of body weight in laying hens. There was no explanation for the disparity.
²Based on Table 3 in Wang et al. (2020).
of absorption of chemical agents in these avian species is similar to that in mammals, although the specificity and kinetics of active transport in birds needs to be included in any analysis.

The lack of a difference between jejunal villus height in young broiler chickens and adult laying hens (Table 5) is in contrast to a relationship, albeit modest ($R^2 = 0.24$) between log villus height and log body weight across multiple avian species (Ricklefs, 1996).

Absorption and reabsorption of chemical agents in the urine may also occur in the colon and ceca due to retrograde flow and colonic anti-peristalsis (Lai and Duke, 1978; Duke, 1989). It has been demonstrated that drugs can be administered as an enema in birds (day-old chicks: Marietto-Gonçalves). Moreover, rehydration can be accomplished by rectal administration of a hypotonic solution (pigeons: Ephrati and Lumeij, 1997) supporting both retrograde peristalsis and absorption in the colon and perhaps also the ceca.

**Comparison of Parameters in the Present Study and Others Studies**

There is broadly both very similar numerical values between the preset study together with complementarity between the present analyses and those of Wang et al. (2020) with multiple parameters reported in one but the other (Tables 7–9). The former was despite the greater number of studies employed in the present analysis (Table 7). An exception to this is the markedly greater relative weights of the gizzard and small intestine in the present report and that of Wang et al. (2020) (Table 7). This difference may reflect the greater number of studies employed in the present analysis together with the extremely low relative organ weights in the study of Martinez et al. (2015). Moreover, in the present meta-analysis, there were similar relative weights of the gizzard and small intestine in sexually immature females and laying hens (Table 4; Wang et al., 2020).

Turning to the issue of hematocrits, there was only a small difference in hematocrits (Table 7). There were considerably more replicate studies employed in the present analysis (10 studies) compared to Wang et al. (2020) (five studies). However, this difference is unlikely to influence PBK analysis as the fraction unbound is present in the plasma not the erythrocytes.

Blood flow to the ovary reported in the present report differed from that in Wang et al. (2020). This would indicate a lower reliability of either estimate with this being supported by the high coefficient of variation (Table 8). Moreover, a number of studies were excluded from the present analysis because blood flow to ovarian follicles was only expressed relative to follicular tissue weight (e.g., Hrabia et al., 2005; Rząsa et al., 2008). Unfortunately, these could not be included in the analyses.

---

**TABLE 9 | Comparison of data included in the present report and that of Wang et al. (2020).**

| Parameter                              | This report | Wang et al. (2020) | Gastrointestinal characteristics continued | This report | Wang et al. (2020) |
|----------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|
| Total body water % of b.wt.            | ✓           | X                  | Weight                                     | ✓           | ✓                  |
| Intracellular water % of b.wt.         | ✓           | X                  | Duodenum                                   | ✓           | ✓                  |
| Extracellular water % of b.wt.         | ✓           | X                  | Jejunum                                    | ✓           | ✓                  |
| Plasma % of body weight                | ✓           | ✓                  | Ileum                                      | ✓           | ✓                  |
| Intestinal fluid % of b.wt.            | ✓           | X                  | Length                                     | ✓           | X                  |
| Hematocrit/PCV %                      | ✓           | X                  | Duodenum                                   | ✓           | X                  |
| Hemoglobin %                           | ✓           | X                  | Jejunum                                    | ✓           | X                  |
| Plasma constituents                    |             |                    | Ileum                                      |             | X                  |
| Plasma proteins g dL⁻¹                 | ✓           | X                  | Mucosal thickness                          | ✓           | X                  |
| Albumin g dL⁻¹                          | ✓           | X                  | Duodenum                                   | ✓           | X                  |
| IgG g dL⁻¹                              | ✓           | X                  | Jejunum                                    | ✓           | X                  |
| IgA g dL⁻¹                              | ✓           | X                  | Ileum                                      |             | X                  |
| IgM g dL⁻¹                              | ✓           | X                  | Villus height                              |             | X                  |
| Vitellogenin g dL⁻¹                     | ✓           | X                  | Duodenum                                   | ✓           | X                  |
| Triglyceride g dL⁻¹                     | ✓           | X                  | Jejunum                                    | ✓           | X                  |
| Cholesterol g dL⁻¹                       | ✓           | X                  | Ileum                                      |             | X                  |
| Phospholipids                           |             | X                  | Villus width                               |             | X                  |
| Relative organ weights                  |             |                    | Duodenum                                   |             | X                  |
| Crop                                   |             | ✓                  | Jejunum                                    |             | X                  |
| Proventriculus                          |             | X                  | Ileum                                      |             | X                  |
| Small intestine                         |             | ✓                  | Villus amplification factor                |             | X                  |
| Duodenum                                |             | ✓                  | Duodenum                                   |             | X                  |
| Jejunum                                 |             | ✓                  | Jejunum                                    |             | X                  |
| Ileum                                   |             | ✓                  | Ileum                                      |             | X                  |
| Ceca                                    |             | X                  | Microvillus length                         |             | X                  |
| Colon                                   |             | ✓                  | Duodenum                                   |             | X                  |
| Oviduct                                 |             | ✓                  | Jejunum                                    |             | X                  |
| Brain                                   |             | X                  | Ileum                                      |             | X                  |
| Gastrointestinal characteristics       |             |                    | Microvillus amplification factor           |             | X                  |
| Absorptive area                         |             | ✓                  | Duodenum                                   |             | X                  |
| Duodenum                                |             | ✓                  | Jejunum                                    |             | X                  |
| Jejunum                                 |             | ✓                  | Ileum                                      |             | X                  |
| Ileum                                   |             | ✓                  | Bile production                            |             | X                  |
Physiological and anatomical data on laying hens and mallards/domestic ducks (*Anas platyrhynchos*) have been rigorously compiled and subjected to analysis. As a comprehensive compendium, these data provide one of the critical bases for the development of PBK models for laying hens and mallards.
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