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The F-box protein superfamily represents one of the largest families in the plant kingdom. F-box proteins phylogenetically organize into numerous subfamilies characterized by their carboxyl (C)-terminal protein-protein interaction domain. Among the largest F-box protein subfamilies in plant genomes are those with C-terminal kelch repeats. In this study, we analyzed the phylogeny and evolution of F-box kelch proteins/genes (FBKs) in seven completely sequenced land plant genomes including a bryophyte, a lycophyte, monocots, and eudicots. While absent in prokaryotes, F-box kelch proteins are widespread in eukaryotes. Nonplant eukaryotes usually contain only a single FBK gene. In land plant genomes, however, FBKs expanded dramatically. Arabidopsis thaliana, for example, contains at least 103 F-box genes with well-conserved C-terminal kelch repeats. The construction of a phylogenetic tree based on the full-length amino acid sequences of the FBKs that we identified in the seven species enabled us to classify FBK genes into unstable/stable/superstable categories. In contrast to superstable genes, which are conserved across all seven species, kelch domains of unstable genes, which are defined as lineage specific, showed strong signatures of positive selection, indicating adaptational potential. We found evidence for conserved protein features such as binding affinities toward SKP1-like adaptor proteins and subcellular localization among closely related FBKs. Pseudogenization seems to occur only rarely, but differential transcriptional regulation of close relatives may result in subfunctionalization.

The process of protein degradation is an important posttranslational regulatory mechanism. It is integral to cellular homeostasis by removing nonfunctional and misfolded proteins and allows living organisms to adapt to changing environments by providing fast responses to intracellular signals. A major player in this process is the ubiquitin/26S proteasome system, which is responsible for selective degradation of many intracellular proteins (Stone and Callis, 2007; Vierstra, 2009). Proteins destined for degradation are modified by covalent attachment of multiple ubiquitin moieties. The polyubiquitinated substrates are recognized and degraded by the 26S proteasome, while the ubiquitin molecules are recycled. The ubiquitination process includes three steps. First, the ubiquitin is activated by a ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1). Subsequently, the ubiquitin is transferred to the ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (E2). Finally, the transfer of activated ubiquitin to the target protein is catalyzed by a ubiquitin ligase (E3; Stone and Callis, 2007). The selective components of this cascade are the E3 ubiquitin ligases. These structurally diverse enzymes occur as monomers or in multimeric complexes. The various E3 families are classified according to their mode of action and subunit composition (Mazzucotelli et al., 2006). The most prevalent E3 ubiquitin ligases in plants are the Skp1-Cullin-F-box (SCF) protein complexes. The SCF complex is formed by an S-phase kinase-associated protein 1 (SKP1), Cullin 1 (CUL1), RING-box 1 (RBX1), and an F-box protein. While CUL1 functions as a scaffold, SKP1 mediates the connection between CUL1 and the F-box subunit. RBX1 serves as a docking port for the ubiquitin-conjugating E2 enzyme. The F-box protein mediates the specificity of the SCF complex by selectively recruiting target proteins via a protein-protein interaction domain (Cardozo and Pagano, 2004). The Arabidopsis thaliana genome (The Arabidopsis Information Resource, TAIR9 version) contains a single CUL1 gene (Risseeuw et al., 2003), 19 functional genes coding for A. thaliana SKP1-like proteins (ASKs; Takahashi et al., 2004), and approximately 700 F-box genes (Gagné et al., 2002). The huge amount of combinatorial possibilities is evident and seems to be dominated by the hundreds of F-box proteins within the plant genomes assessed so far. Whereas human, Drosophila melanogaster, and Schizosaccharomyces pombe genomes contain 68 (Jin et al., 2004), 33 (Ou et al., 2003), and 18 (Hermand, 2006) F-box genes, respectively, this number is much higher in plants. The only species for which numbers comparable to plants were reported seems to be Caenorhabditis elegans, with approxi-
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mately 520 F-box proteins (Thomas, 2006). To date, for *A. thaliana*, *Oryza sativa*, *Populus trichocarpa*, and *Vitis vinifera*, 692, 779, 337 (Xu et al., 2009), and 156 (Yang et al., 2008) F-box genes were identified, respectively. Even for the model plant *A. thaliana*, less than 5% of the F-box proteins have been functionally characterized, but F-box proteins with known functions suggest that they play prominent roles in multiple physiological processes in plants, such as responses to various hormones (Ruegger et al., 1998; Xu et al., 2002; Guo and Ecker, 2003; Dill et al., 2004; Binder et al., 2007), the circadian clock and photomorphogenesis (Han et al., 2004; Fukamatsu et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2007; Sawa et al., 2007), flower development (González-Carranza et al., 2007; Chae et al., 2008), senescence (Woo et al., 2001), and defense responses (Kim and Delaney, 2002).

F-box proteins share a well-conserved approximately 50-amino acid F-box motif at their N terminus (Kipreos and Pagano, 2000). Furthermore, most F-box proteins contain a C-terminal protein-protein interaction domain, such as leucine-rich repeats (LRRs), kelch repeats, or F-box associated domains (Gagné et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2009). Phylogenetically, F-box proteins cluster according to their protein-protein interaction domains (Gagné et al., 2002), which putatively mediate binding to the corresponding target. One of these subfamilies is constituted by the F-box kelch proteins (FBKs), which contain C-terminal kelch repeats in addition to the N-terminal F-box. In plants, the subfamily of FBKs includes members with one to five kelch repeats. Proteins containing kelch repeat domains in the absence of an F-box are widespread and have been described for *A. thaliana*, human, *D. melanogaster*, *C. elegans*, and *S. pombe* (Prag and Adams, 2003; Leung et al., 2004; Mora-Garcia et al., 2004). Such proteins typically include five to seven kelch repeats, which may form β-propellers, as shown by the crystal structure of the human kelch protein KEAP1 (Li et al., 2004). Given their rare occurrence in nonplant organisms and the fact that only a single nonplant FBK has been functionally described so far (Sun et al., 2009), FBK proteins seem to be rather plant specific. The *A. thaliana* genome codes for approximately 100 FBKs, four of which have been functionally characterized. ATTENUATED FAR-RED RESPONSE (AFR) is a positive regulator of phytochrome A-mediated light signaling (Harmon and Kay, 2003). ZEITLUPE (ZTL), FLAVIN-BINDING KELCH-REPEAT F-BOX1 (FKF1), and LOV KELCH PROTEIN2 (LKP2), which contain N-terminal PAS/LOV domains in addition to the F-box motif, are involved in photomorphogenesis and regulation of the circadian clock (Sawa et al., 2007; Demarsy and Fankhauser, 2009; Kim et al., 2010).

Representing one of the biggest protein families in *A. thaliana*, the F-box protein superfamily has been studied on a phylogenetic and evolutionary scale (Gagné et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2009). However, the analysis of up to 700 genes/proteins can only provide a glimpse on the evolution, phylogenetics, and functional divergence of the various distinct subfamilies. Several of these subfamilies are by themselves larger than most other known gene families in plants. To get a better understanding of how single subfamilies evolved, we focused our attention on one of the biggest yet largely uncharacterized F-box subfamilies in *A. thaliana*, the FBKs. Due to nearly completely sequenced and annotated genomes, we were able to examine FBK families in the eudicots *A. thaliana*, *P. trichocarpa*, and *V. vinifera*, the monocots *O. sativa* and *S. bicolor*, the lycoype *Selaginella moellendorffii*, and the bryophyte *Physcomitrella patens*. In this study, we first de novo identified FBKs by a combined approach using BLASTP and hidden Markov model (HMM)-based searches in the respective genomes. We then constructed phylogenetic trees and calculated $K_s/K_a$ values to explore the evolutionary and selective forces acting on FBKs in plants. Lastly, expression patterns of FBK genes, subcellular localization, and ASK-binding patterns of selected FBK proteins in *A. thaliana* were investigated to assess potential functional conservation/diversification of closely related FBKs in land plants. Besides the evolutionary insights gained by this study, these data also provide a scaffold for future functional analysis of this large family of F-box proteins.

RESULTS

**FBKs Expanded in Land Plant Genomes**

To identify FBKs, we performed a BLASTP search against the annotated genomes of *A. thaliana* (*At*), *P. trichocarpa* (*Pt*), and *O. sativa* (*Os*) using species-specific consensus sequences derived from the full-length protein sequences of previously published FBKs (Gagné et al., 2002; Jain et al., 2007; Xu et al., 2009). Furthermore, we used an HMM-based search to identify additional FBKs in the aforementioned species as well as in the genomes of *V. vinifera* (*Vv*), *S. bicolor* (*Sb*), *S. moellendorffii* (*Sm*), and *P. patens* (*Pp*). In the eudicots *At*, *Vv*, and *Pt*, we identified 103, 36, and 68 FBKs, respectively. Thirty-nine and 44 FBKs were detected in the monocots *Os* and *Sb*. Forty-six and 71 FBKs were identified in the nonseed embryophytes *Sm* and *Pp* (Table I; Supplemental Table S1), which we subsequently refer to as lower land plants. Whereas animal model organisms and the single-celled green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* contain only a single FBK each (Supplemental Table S2), this subfamily of F-box genes has apparently dramatically expanded in land plants. The observation that FBKs are prevalent in high numbers not only in monocots and eudicots but also in the lower land plants indicates an early expansion of this F-box subfamily in land plant history.

The presence of the F-box and kelch domains for each of the proteins implemented in the following analyses was verified by the Pfam database (version 24.0, release October 2009; Sonnhammer et al., 1997). While we are not aware that FBKs for *Vv*, *Sb*, *Sm*, and *Pp* have been described before, we generally identified more FBKs than previously reported for the remaining
three species. Initially, Gagné et al. (2002) identified 98 FBKs in At. We recovered all of these FBKs, but three of them (AT2G03460, AT2G29610, and AT4G39750) were not confirmed as FBK proteins by Pfam and therefore were excluded from further analysis. In addition to the remaining 95 genes/proteins identified by Gagné et al. (2002), we were able to identify eight novel FBKs. In Pt and Os, we recovered all previously published FBKs and were able to significantly increase these numbers (Os, 39 in this study versus 25 by Jain et al. [2007]; Pt, 68 in this study versus 40 by Xu et al. [2009]; Table I). Hence, the combination of BLASTP and HMM-based search algorithms efficiently identified previously known and numerous novel FBKs. Since the majority of the novel AtFBKs, for example, were present in the category “F-box proteins with unknown C-terminal domains” in the other studies, the better recognition of the kelch domain by our approach most likely contributes significantly to the identification of such novel FBKs. However, since the kelch motif is rather weakly conserved at the sequence level (Supplemental Fig. S1), the existence of additional yet undetected FBKs is likely. Furthermore, cases of complete loss of the kelch domain would not have been detected in this study. In contrast to plants, FBKs are absent from prokaryotes and occur only rarely in nonplant eukaryotic genomes, usually as single-copy genes with three conserved kelch repeats (Supplemental Table S2). This indicates a possible single common ancestor of FBKs in eukaryotes and a dramatic expansion in land plants. The ratio of genes encoding the F-box protein superfamily (F) and the FBK subfamily (K) relative to the whole protein-coding genome (G) is highest for At among the seven analyzed land plant species (F of G, approximately 2.5%; K of G, approximately 0.4%; Table I). However, due to the lower total number of F-box genes, the eudicots Vv and Pt show a higher ratio of F-box-encoding genes in relation to the total number of F-box genes (K of F, approximately 23.1% and approximately 20.2%, respectively). Since F-box proteins have been shown to be involved in numerous developmental processes (Lechner et al., 2006), one could expect lower land plants to reveal decreased numbers of F-box proteins relative to the complete genome. But this is obviously not the case, and interestingly, roughly half of the F-box proteins in Pp are FBKs (Table I).

**FBKs and FBAs Are Closely Related**

With both consensus sequence and HMM-based searches, numerous F-box proteins with so-called F-box associated domains were identified in addition to the FBKs (Table II; Supplemental Table S3). Despite its name, the F-box associated domain also occurs in the absence of the F-box domain (Jaso-Friedmann et al., 2002). Although FBKs and F-box proteins with C-terminal F-box associated domains (FBAs) are annotated as different F-box subfamilies in the Pfam database and previous publications (Xu et al., 2009), FBKs and FBAs are quite similar on the amino acid sequence level (Supplemental Fig. S2). Using our consensus sequences, we did not detect members of any F-box protein subfamily other than FBKs and FBAs. This indicates that the similarity is not due to the similarity of the F-box domain but rather to C-terminal regions of the proteins, most likely the similarity between the F-box associated domains and kelch repeats (Supplemental Fig. S2). Therefore, we speculate that the F-box associated domain might also form a tertiary propeller-like structure. Although FBAs represent the largest F-box protein subfamily, with 206 members in At (Xu et al., 2009), little functional information is available. So far, five AtFBAs have been characterized in detail and are involved in lateral root formation (Dong et al., 2006), pathogen responses (Kim and Delaney, 2002; Gou et al., 2009), and ethylene signaling (Qiao et al., 2009). Some additional FBAs are related to proteins that are part of the self-incompatibility system (Wang et al., 2004). It is unclear why this subfamily expanded to this extent in the self-fertile species At.

To get an insight into the phylogenetic relationship of FBKs and FBAs, we created phylogenetic trees including FBKs and FBAs of At and Pp, respectively (Fig. 1). For the At tree, we used the 103 FBKs that were confirmed by us and 206 FBAs, of which 193 were previously published (Xu et al., 2009). To construct the

---

**Table 1. Number of F-box kelch proteins in A. thaliana, V. vinifera, P. trichocarpa, O. sativa, S. bicolor, S. moellendorffii, and P. patens**

| Species            | No. of FBKs (K) | No. of F-Box Genes (F) | No. of Protein-Coding Genes (G) | Percent F/G | Percent K/G | Percent K/F |
|--------------------|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| A. thaliana        | 103            | 692                    | 27,379                          | 2.53        | 0.38       | 14.88      |
| V. vinifera        | 36             | 156                    | 30,434                          | 0.51        | 0.12       | 23.08      |
| P. trichocarpa     | 68             | 337                    | 41,377                          | 0.81        | 0.16       | 20.18      |
| O. sativa          | 39             | 779                    | 40,838                          | 1.91        | 0.10       | 5.01       |
| S. bicolor         | 44             | 549                    | 34,496                          | 1.59        | 0.13       | 8.01       |
| S. moellendorffii  | 46             | 247                    | 22,273                          | 1.11        | 0.21       | 18.62      |
| P. patens          | 71             | 165                    | 39,727                          | 0.42        | 0.18       | 43.03      |

aNumber of F-box genes (At, Os, Pt [Xu et al., 2009]; Vv [Yang et al., 2008]; Sb, Pp, Sm [superfamily 1.73, release August 2010; Gough et al., 2001]). bNumber of predicted protein-coding genes (At [TAIR9]; Vv, Pt, Os, Sb, Pp, Sm [Phytozome version 5.0]). cPercentage of genes that encode F-box proteins. dPercentage of genes that encode FBKs. ePercentage of F-box genes that contain kelch repeats.
Pp, 71 FBKs and seven FBAs (five FBAs + two FBK/FBAs, both identified in this study) were used (Supplemental Tables S1 and S3). While the number of FBKs in At and Pp is rather similar in both species (103 in At versus 71 in Pp), the number of FBAs varies substantially (206 in At versus seven in Pp; Table II). Apparently, FBAs have dramatically expanded in At. Furthermore, in At, FBKs and FBAs form closely related but clearly distinct groups within the phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1). The same is true for Pt, Vv, Os, and Sb (Supplemental Fig. S3). In contrast to that, such an obvious phylogenetic distinction of FBKs and FBAs is not visible in the Pp tree (Fig. 1). In both At and Pp, the common ancestors of FBKs and FBAs contain kelch domains, indicating that FBKs may be evolutionary precursors of the FBAs. Taken together, our data indicate that kelch and F-box associated domains share a common evolutionary history.

Table II. Number of F-box proteins with FBAs in A. thaliana, V. vinifera, P. trichocarpa, O. sativa, S. bicolor, S. moellendorfii, and P. patens

| Species          | No. of FBAs | No. of F-Box Proteins with FBA and Kelch Domains | Previously Published Nos. of FBAsa |
|------------------|-------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A. thaliana      | 205         | 1                                               | 193                              |
| V. vinifera      | 3           | 2                                               | –                                |
| P. trichocarpa   | 47          | 4                                               | 32                               |
| O. sativa        | 38          | 1                                               | 2                                |
| S. bicolor       | 29          | 5                                               | –                                |
| S. moellendorfii | 2           | 1                                               | –                                |
| P. patens        | 5           | 2                                               | –                                |

aXu et al. (2009).

Evolution of FBKs in Seven Land Plant Species

To compare FBKs in different land plant species, we created a phylogenetic tree including all identified FBKs of the seven analyzed species by using neighbor-joining (NJ) methods (Fig. 2A; Supplemental Fig. S4). Since previous studies generally analyzed the whole F-box protein superfamily, phylogenetic reconstructions were most feasible by using only the F-box domain. Analyzing F-box proteins with the same C-terminal interaction domains enabled us to include the amino acid sequence of the full-length proteins. Therefore, this tree reflects the evolution of both the F-box and kelch domains. Since we were unable to identify FBKs in Charophyceae, a small group of predominantly freshwater green algae that represent the most recent common ancestor of land plants (Kenrick and Crane, 1997), we rooted the tree with the previously mentioned human FBK (Sun et al., 2009) and the only FBK that we identified in the annotated genome of the single-celled green alga C. reinhardtii. To confirm the NJ tree, three additional representative trees were constructed by using maximum likelihood, Bayesian, and NJ methods (Supplemental Figs. S5 and S6). The representative trees with the best likelihood support the NJ tree in Figure 2A (Supplemental Table S4). The significance of this finding

Figure 1. FBKs and FBAs are closely related to each other. A, NJ tree of 103 FBK proteins and 205 FBAs of A. thaliana. B, NJ tree of 71 FBKs and 5 FBAs of P. patens. Alignment of full-length protein sequences and construction of the NJ trees were performed in MEGA using a bootstrap value of 1,000. The A. thaliana tree was rooted with the auxin receptors (F-box LRRs) TIR1, AFB1, AFB2, AFB3, and AFB5. The P. patens tree was rooted with the respective homologous genes identified by BLASTP searches with AtTIR1. FBK/FBA proteins are characterized by overlapping positions of an F-box associated domain and individual kelch repeats.
was supported by comparing the topologies using p-SH (Shimodaira and Hasegawa, 1999) and 1sKH (Kishino and Hasegawa, 1989) tests. To further evaluate the quality of the NJ tree, we performed an exemplary search for orthologous genes using the 39 Os FBKs (Supplemental Table S1) as queries. The search was carried out in the ENSEMBL tool using the GRAMENE (http://www.gramene.org) and GEVO (http://synteny.cnr.berkeley.edu/CoGe) databases. Nearly all proteins annotated as orthologous in ENSEMBL also formed orthologous clusters in our NJ tree. Therefore, we conclude that the NJ tree topology accurately reflects the phylogenetic relations between FBKs in the analyzed species.

We identified a total of 40 well-supported clades ranging from species-specific clades to clades containing FBKs from all seven analyzed species. For the evolutionary classification of the genes in the all-species tree (Supplemental Fig. S4), we adopted the “stable/unstable” terminology coined by Thomas (2006) in a slightly modified manner and extended it with “superstable” and “ancient” categories (Supplemental Fig. S4). Unstable genes are lineage specific without clear orthologs in the other species analyzed. Stable genes are conserved across species with orthologs in at least one additional species. Superstable genes have orthologs in all analyzed species and, therefore, exhibit the highest degree of evolutionary conservation. It is conceivable that superstable genes perform functions in developmental or physiological processes conserved in all land plant species. The distinction between stable and superstable genes cannot be directly translated into the evolutionary age of a certain gene, because possible gene losses in individual species have to be taken into account. Therefore, we classified genes as ancient if they contain orthologs in at least one lower land plant, one monocot, and one eudicot species.

We identified eight clades of superstable genes (Supplemental Fig. S4). Depending on the species and the number of paralogs within a species, the ratio of genes in this category varies from 11% to 38% (Fig. 2B). While all species likewise contained various numbers of stable genes, we identified unstable genes only in At and the two lower land plant species (Fig. 2B; Supplemental Table S5). We are aware that the identification of unstable genes is strongly affected by the set of species included in the analysis. Since the two Poaceae species Os and St, for example, are closely related, the existence of unstable genes as we defined them is less likely than for species with no close relatives analyzed. However, if we account for this bias by screening for clades that contain either monocot-specific or Pt-Vv-specific FBKs, we find only three genes specific for Os.
and Sb and none specific for Pt and Vv. Therefore, most FBKs in these species (Pt, Vv, Os, and Sb) likely perform functions conserved across species. In agreement with this, we find that the majority of FBKs in these species are of ancient origin (ancient + superstable; Fig. 2B). In At, on the other hand, we identified a large lineage-specific clade of unstable genes containing 64% of all FBKs in this species. This indicates that most FBKs in At result from lineage-specific duplication events. All unstable AtFBKs clustered in clade 22 (Supplemental Fig. S4). To assess how recent this clade evolved/expanded, we screened the genome of Arabidopsis lyrata, a close relative within the same genus, for orthologous genes. We identified A. lyrata orthologs for most of the At members of this clade (Supplemental Fig. S7), indicating that the expansion of this clade predates the split of At and A. lyrata. Furthermore, orthologs of this clade can also be identified in EST databases of other related Brassicaceae species (data not shown) suggesting that this clade originated after the separation of Pt and the Brassicaceae. Several of the Sm and Pp FBKs (20% and 25%, respectively) fell into clades containing only lower land plants (Supplemental Fig. S4). These genes either duplicated after the separation of lower land plants and angiosperms or were completely lost in the ancestor of monocots and eudicots. As in At, several FBKs of Sm and Pp (11% and 27%) are lineage specific (Fig. 2B). Taken together, while most eudicots and both monocots contain only FBKs that are conserved across species, significant portions of At and lower land plant FBKs are lineage specific/unstable.

We next estimated the number of FBKs in the most recent common ancestor (MRCA) of the seven species analyzed in this study and determined the number of gained and lost genes. Reconciliation of all-species tree identified 40 clades containing orthologous genes that were present in the potential MRCA s of the seven analyzed species (N1; Fig. 3). Furthermore, we identified 37 orthologous genes in the lower land plant MRCA (N2), the angiosperm MRCA (N3), and the monocot MRCA (N4) and 38 in the MRCA of eudicots (N5). When we compared the number of ancestral genes with those in the extant species, it showed that gain rates for branches linked together by older ancestral nodes are smaller than those linked by younger branches.

FBKs Cluster According to Their Number of Kelch Repeats

The all-species tree shows that FBK proteins cluster according to the number of kelch repeats (Fig. 2A, outer circle). In principle, the tree shows large clades including mainly FBKs with one and two kelch repeats. FBKs with higher numbers of kelch repeats are most common in an additional clade, whereby the FBKs with five kelch repeats are clearly concentrated in a distinct subclade. As the consensus sequences (Supplemental Fig. S1) indicated, kelch repeats may be more similar when found at the same position in different proteins compared with repeats within the same protein. To substantiate this observation, we performed a permutation test to estimate whether the similarity of kelch through evolutionary history from the land plant MRCA (N1) to the MRCA of the lower land plants (N2) and monocots/eudicots (N4/N5; Fig. 3). Only after the separation of the various eudicot species did FBKs once more expand significantly. Such inconsistent rates of gene gains over time had previously been demonstrated by Hanada et al. (2008), who showed that gain rates for branches linked together by older ancestral nodes are smaller than those linked by younger branches.

Figure 3. Evolutionary change in the number of FBK proteins in land plants. The numbers in squares and circles represent the maximum numbers of genes in ancestral and extant species, respectively. The numbers with plus and minus indicate the gene gains and losses, respectively, for each branch. Thick lines represent branches with high gene expansion rate. N0, Eukaryotic ancestor; N1, land plant ancestor; N2, lower land plant ancestor; N3, angiosperms ancestor; N4, monocot ancestor; N5, eudicot ancestor. Branch lengths are not in proportion to evolutionary time.
repeats within a protein differs from the similarity between proteins. And indeed, kelch repeats at the same position between proteins are significantly more similar to each other than the repeats within the same protein \((P = 2 \times 10^{-6}; \text{Supplemental Fig. S8})\). This indicates that multiple kelch repeats did not arise independently in each protein but were already present in “the” ancestral FBK. In numerous proteins, we detected conserved amino acid downstream of the last Pfam-confirmed kelch repeats that were quite similar to a kelch motif structure but not conserved well enough to be considered a kelch motif by Pfam. We assume that these conserved regions are rudimentary kelch repeats that decayed over time. The only FBK we identified in \(C. reinhardtii\) contains three kelch repeats. Likewise, the FBKs identified in other animal model species also contain three conserved kelch repeats recognized by Pfam (Supplemental Table S2). Hence, we hypothesize that the MRCA of eukaryotic FBKs was formed by the combination of an F-box and three conserved kelch repeats.

### Unstable FBKs Are Organized in Clusters of Tandem Repeats

The hypothesis that correlates stable/superstable FBKs with conserved and potentially ancient functions in plant development and physiology likewise suggests that unstable genes constantly continue to evolve by birth-death evolution (Thomas, 2006). Since significant portions of F-box genes are arranged in tandem repeats, this hypothesis would predict that such tandemly arranged genes are primarily unstable genes. Indeed, this prediction is supported by the chromosomal localization of FBKs in \(A. thaliana\) (Fig. 4), the species with the highest ratio of unstable genes (64%; Fig. 2B). Unstable genes are strongly clustered, while stable and superstable genes are evenly scattered over the chromosomes (Fig. 4). Substantiating this, the majority of unstable genes in \(A. thaliana\) (62%) emerged after the most recent whole genome duplication event (Blanc et al., 2003; Bowers et al., 2003; http://wolfe.gen.tcd.ie/athal.dup). In contrast to \(A. thaliana\), where 35% of the FBKs are arranged in tandem repeats, considerably fewer FBKs are arranged in tandem repeats in \(P. t mots\) (3%), \(V. vino\) (17%), \(O. sativa\) (5%), and \(S. bicolor\) (14%), indicating that in these species, FBKs mainly emerged by mechanisms other than tandem duplication. Analysis of the \(P. t mots\) genome, for example, revealed evidence of a recent whole genome duplication event (8–13 million years ago) that affected approximately 92% of the \(P. t mots\) genome (Sterck et al., 2005; Tuskan et al., 2006). In agreement with the low ratio of tandem repeat FBKs in \(P. t mots\), \(V. vino\), \(O. sativa\), and \(S. bicolor\), they do not contain unstable genes (Fig. 2B). Furthermore, with the exception of \(P. t mots\), the total number of FBKs in these species is rather similar to that of the respective MRCA species (Fig. 3). In summary, our results suggest that after the dramatic expansion of the FBK gene family in land plants that followed the divergence from \(C. reinhardtii\)/single-celled green algae, a second wave of expansion can largely be explained by tandem duplications.

### Superstable and Unstable FBKs Carry Different Signatures of Selection

If stable and superstable genes have evolved to recognize similar targets across species, one would expect purifying selection to act on such genes. Genes that confer adaptational properties, on the other hand, typically are under positive selective pressure. To examine whether these expectations also hold for FBKs, we determined \(K_s/K_a\) ratios for superstable and unstable genes of \(A. thaliana\). For the calculation of \(K_s/K_a\) ratios, we first identified the closest orthologs for each gene in the genome of the close relative \(A. lyrata\) (Supplemental Fig. S7) and included only those \(A. thaliana\) genes that had a single ortholog in \(A. lyrata\). \(K_s/K_a\) ratios of 0.16 for the complete coding regions for superstable FBKs (Fig. 5A) strongly indicate purifying selective pressures. In contrast to that, unstable genes seem to be more close to neutral selection, as inferred by significantly higher \(K_s/K_a\) ratios (0.72; \(P < 0.0001\)) for the complete coding region (Fig. 5A).

We then randomly selected representative genes for sliding window analysis to identify possible differences between F-box and Kelch domains (Fig. 5, B and C). For unstable genes, sliding window analysis clearly shows numerous sites/regions under positive selection, with \(K_s/K_a \gg 1\) (Fig. 5C). Furthermore, for unstable genes, we observed that the F-box domain had generally lower
$K_a/K_s$ values in comparison with the C-terminal part of the proteinsgenes including the kelch domain (Fig. 5C). This suggests that as a consequence of natural selection, ASK-binding patterns remain conserved while the target-recruiting kelch domain has evolved to recognize new target proteins.

Closely Related FBKs Are Differentially Expressed in At

The recent expansion of FBKs especially in At raises two interesting questions. First, are unstable genes generally expressed, or have many of them already become pseudogenes? Second, are phylogenetically
closely related FBKs functionally redundant, or have duplicated genes subfunctionalized? To address these questions, we made use of the publicly available AtGenExpress data (Schmid et al., 2005; Toufighi et al., 2005) and additionally selected a small clade consisting of seven closely related AtFBKs (clade A in Fig. 6B) for further molecular characterization.

Although both stable (including also ancient and superstable) and unstable genes were expressed at rather low levels in the AtGenExpress extended tissue series (Schmid et al., 2005), the average expression levels across tissues were significantly higher for stable than for unstable genes ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 6A). Fifty-eight percent (26 of 45 that were present on the ATH1 array) of the unstable genes had mean absolute expression levels of less than 25 across the 86 tissues/developmental stages assessed (Supplemental Data Set S1). However, only four of these 26 had absolute expression levels of less than 50 for all tissues/developmental stages assessed, demonstrating that the vast majority of these unstable FBKs were significantly higher expressed (greater than 50) in at least a few selected tissues/developmental stages (Supplemental Data Set S1). This indicates temporal and/or spatial specialization of unstable genes, which argues against widespread pseudogenization.

To investigate possible functional diversity of AtFBKs in general, we again used the AtGenExpress data. Both members of phylogenetically closely related unstable FBKs organized in tandem repeats (e.g. clades B + C in Fig. 6B) and clades of stable FBKs (clade A in Fig. 6B) grouped into different clades when clustered according to their coexpression profiles (Fig. 6C). These array data could be confirmed by quantitative PCR data of the seven clade A genes (Supplemental Fig. S9). Together with the above-described temporal and/or spatial specialization of unstable genes, this indicates that FBKs within a common phylogenetic clade can be differentially regulated at the mRNA level and therefore could have evolved different functions or spatio-temporal specificities.

Posttranslational mechanisms that might create functional diversity between family members are subcellular localization and the ASK-binding patterns that define the specific SCF complexes in which a certain F-box protein may be incorporated. Subcellular localization was examined with GFP-FBK fusion proteins of the seven clade A FBKs (Fig. 6B), which were transiently transformed into epidermal cells of Nicotiana benthamiana (Fig. 7, A–H). Six of them localized exclusively to the nucleus. AT5G40680 was additionally located in the cytoplasm. In agreement with this, the 26S proteasome is present in both the cytoplasm and the nucleus (Book et al., 2009). The specific interaction of the FBKs with 17 of the 21 ASK adaptor proteins was examined in the yeast two-hybrid system. ASK6 and ASK15 were excluded from the interaction studies because they were shown to be pseudogenes (Seki et al., 2002; Takahashi et al., 2004). ASK12 and ASK18 were excluded because they showed autoactivity in our system. Figure 7I indicates that the ASK-binding pattern seems to be rather unspecific for the seven selected FBKs. Similar unspecific binding had been reported previously for other F-box proteins such as the kelch domain-containing ZTL (Risseew et al., 2003). The seven analyzed FBKs interacted with 11 to 17 of the tested ASK proteins. However, a pattern is visible in which AT1G26930, AT3G27150, AT5G60570, and AT5G40680 interact with nearly the same ASK proteins. The same is true for AT2G02870, AT1G74510, and AT1G14330. Taken together, while the selected FBKs showed rather similar ASK interaction patterns and subcellular localizations, they differed considerably in their expression profiles, indicating that possible functional diversification may be achieved by a combination of transcriptional regulation and positive selection acting on the kelch domain.

**DISCUSSION**

Comparison of gene family content across species may provide insight into evolutionary mechanisms that have shaped adaptation and diversity (Rubin et al., 2000). The F-box gene superfamily represents one of the largest and fastest evolving gene families in the plant kingdom (Clark et al., 2007). While this superfamily in its entirety had previously been characterized on a phylogenetic and evolutionary scale (Gagné et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2009), a detailed characterization of one of its subfamilies allows us to turn the focus from the F-box domain to a specific protein-protein interaction domain. The F-box protein subfamily we chose for this study was composed of an N-terminal F-box with various numbers of C-terminal kelch repeats.

**The Kelch Repeat Domain**

In yeast, *Drosophila*, and mammalian F-box proteins, WD40 repeats and LRRs are the predominant substrate recruitment domains (Skaar et al., 2009a, 2009b). Interestingly, both kelch and WD40 repeats adopt the stereotypical topology of a $\beta$-propeller. As kelch and WD40 repeats have no similarity at the sequence level, it was speculated that convergent evolution of a subset of F-box proteins has originated a common tertiary structure specialized in protein-protein interactions (Andrade et al., 2001).

Kelch repeat proteins have become widespread in evolution. Typically, five to seven kelch repeats form a $\beta$-propeller with the blades arranged around a central axis. Intrablade and interblade loops of varying lengths protrude above, below, or at the sides of the $\beta$-sheets and contribute variability to the binding properties of individual $\beta$-propellers (Fülöp and Jones, 1999; Jawad and Paoli, 2002; Prag and Adams, 2003). The entire kelch $\beta$-propeller forms a functional unit that can be found in combination with other conserved protein domains (Adams et al., 2000). In plants, kelch motifs
have been identified in proteins without additional conserved domains (Prag and Adams, 2003) as well as in combination with various other N- and/or C-terminal domains, such as C-terminal phosphatase domains (Mora-García et al., 2004) or N-terminal acyl-CoA-binding domains (Suzui et al., 2006; Du et al., 2010). However, the combination with N-terminal F-box domains seems to be most prevalent. With the exception of AtAFR (AT2G24540), all functionally characterized kelch proteins in plants contain a minimum of five kelch repeats. Therefore, they match the prerequisites to form a closed propeller structure with stabilized interactions between the first and last blades. We found, however, that the vast majority of FBKs in plants con-
tain less than three kelch repeats (Fig. 2C). It is questionable, therefore, whether functional β-propellers can be formed in such proteins. A survey of the Pfam database for kelch motif-containing proteins revealed a total of approximately 400 different protein architectures (irrespective of the species background and the presence of other functional domains). Approximately 65% of the proteins underlying these architectures contain only one or two kelch repeats. Since it is unlikely that this majority of kelch domains is nonfunctional, several scenarios are possible. (1) It is conceivable that FBK proteins with fewer kelch repeats dimerize to achieve a full set of propeller blades. (2) Or they operate via a completely different mechanism to interact with target proteins. (3) Alternatively, a significant number of these genes may be nonfunctional and represent the remains of once functional FBKs. (4) Lastly, because of poor sequence conservation of the kelch motif, the missing repeats are present but not recognized by Pfam. In agreement with the latter notion, we detected
The All-Species Tree Identifies Superstable, Stable, and Unstable Genes

The all-species tree contains valuable information on several levels. It reveals the phylogenetic architecture of FBKs between species and identifies clades with genes conserved across species as well as lineage-specific clades. In a similar approach, Thomas (2006) performed a detailed characterization of F-box proteins in three Caenorhabditis species. The author divided the genes into stable and unstable categories. It was hypothesized that stable genes most likely became devoted to specific endogenous substrates long ago, while unstable genes continued to evolve by birth-death evolution and are primarily involved in recognizing foreign proteins and targeting them for degradation (Thomas, 2006). Accordingly, he found strong evidence for positive selection in the C-terminal substrate-binding domains of unstable genes, while those of stable genes seemed to be under purifying selection. In this study, we adopted similar gene categories and identified similar signatures of natural selection acting on FBKs in At. While superstable FBKs had very low $K_a/K_s$ values, indicating purifying selective pressures, $K_a/K_s$ ratios of unstable FBKs were significantly higher (Fig. 5A). Sliding window analyses showed that numerous regions in the unstable genes seemed to be under strong positive selection (Fig. 5C). While in most cases the F-box domain is rather conserved, it is the substrate-recruiting kelch domain that seems to be positively selected for. Hence, a picture emerges in which kelch repeats evolve in a manner that supports the constant development of novel substrate specificities. Furthermore, as predicted, we found unstable FBKs from At to be strongly clustered with respect to their chromosomal localization.

If this large pool of unstable FBKs in At is indeed used to recruit targets for specialized environmental responses with potential adaptive importance, it is clear why to date only a tiny fraction of members of the plant F-box gene superfamily have been identified in forward genetic screens, which are usually designed to identify components of more general mechanisms of development or physiology. Consequently, the few biologically characterized FBKs are, as expected, ancient genes with conserved functions. AFR, ZTL, FKF1, and LKP2 are all members of phylogenetic clades with orthologs in several species (Supplemental Fig. S4) and perform functions in essential physiological processes such as the regulation of light responses and circadian rhythms. And, not surprisingly, all but one of the 38 F-box genes functionally characterized to date in At have orthologs in one or several other of the species analyzed in this study (data not shown). The only exception is SUPPRESSOR OF NIM1-1 (SON1; Kim and Delaney, 2002). The substrate/target protein of SON1 is not known. But remarkably, SON1 plays a role in pathogen response and therefore perfectly fits Thomas’ (2006) category of unstable genes with a possible function in the recognition of foreign proteins. While unstable genes are dominating in At, we identified significantly fewer or no unstable FBKs at all in the other species analyzed in this study. Following the initial hypothesis, the vast majority of FBKs in these species most likely perform conserved functions. In agreement with this, more than 50% of Vo, Pt, Os, Sh, and Sm FBKs fall into the ancient or superstable category (Fig. 2B). Therefore, at least for FBKs, the emerging paradigm of rapidly evolving gene families organized in tandem repeats cannot be easily transferred from At to other plant species.

Functional Redundancy and Subfunctionalization

The total number of FBKs among the plant genomes assessed in this study was highest for At, which made this species a suitable model to study possible functional consequences of gene family expansion. Although Gagné et al. (2002) argued that direct sequence alignments between members of the same clade suggested that most of the At F-box proteins do not have obvious functional paralogs, molecular characterization of numerous F-box proteins has meanwhile demonstrated the opposite. At least partial functional redundancy could be shown for the auxin receptors (Dharmasiri et al., 2005), the ethylene signaling components EIN3-BINDING F-BOX PROTEIN1/2 (An et al., 2010) and EIN2-TARGETING PROTEIN1/2 (Qiao et al., 2009), VIER F-BOX PROTEINE1 to VIER F-BOX PROTEINE4, which are involved in root development (Schwager et al., 2007), and also for the FBKs ZTL, FKF1, and LKP2 (Baudry et al., 2010). Hence, although we have no functional information on the vast majority of FBKs, rapid gene family expansion suggests scenarios wherein natural selection favors additional copies either for increased dosage or an increased
arsenal of molecular weaponry via subfunctionalization (Demuth and Hahn, 2009). Our expression analysis of AtFBKs supports the latter and clearly shows differences in transcriptional regulation within phylogenetic subclades. We found similar patterns for subclades with both genetically unlinked members and those that are organized in tandem repeats (Fig. 6, B and C). Hence, the transcriptional divergence seems to be independent of the genetic mechanism that led to the increase in copy numbers. Similar transcriptional and posttranscriptional diversification (by microRNAs) could be shown by GUS-reporter assays for the auxin receptors, members of the F-box LRR subfamily (Dharmasiri et al., 2005; Parry et al., 2009), suggesting that differential expression indeed contributes to functional diversification. Furthermore, although unstable genes had significantly lower mean expression values across a large number of tissues (Fig. 6A), this is most likely due to the specialization of spatiotemporal expression patterns. While the higher expressed stable genes had significant expression values in many tissues, the expression of unstable genes was specific for few selected tissues and/or developmental stages (Supplemental Data Set S1), again arguing that duplicates here subfunctionalized.

On the other hand, our molecular characterization of a selected subclade containing seven FBKs revealed conservation of protein features such as subcellular localization and ASK-binding patterns. This indicates that the family members are generally able to integrate into the same SCF complexes and act in the same cellular compartments. Therefore, we hypothesize that after copy number expansion, two genetic mechanisms primarily contributed to potential subfunctionalization of FBK family members in At: (1) different transcriptional regulation, and (2) positive selection acting primarily on the kelch domain of unstable FBKs, likely resulting in modified substrate specificities.

These clades contain genes that most likely evolved (or still evolve) to perform functions specific for the respective lineage. They may contribute to adaptational processes, as signatures of positive selection suggest.

Evolutionary and phylogenetic analyses of F-box protein subfamilies with other C-terminal domains (e.g. LRR, F-box associated domain) are desired to enable direct comparisons with our findings for the FBK subfamily. We hypothesize that detailed analyses of additional subfamilies with a focus on the evolutionary categories (stable/unstable) and selective pressures may reveal subfamily-specific patterns. Certain subfamilies with characteristic protein-protein interaction domains may be more capable to generate novel functions that could confer selective advantages and therefore drive adaptational processes. Naturally, subfamilies with large portions of unstable genes would be candidates for this category. Other subfamilies may contain predominantly stable or superstable genes and perform primarily conserved functions across species. However, the assignment of specific subfamilies to either category may be species dependent, as this study shows for F-box proteins with C-terminal kelch repeat domains. Additional insight will be gathered by molecular population genetic analyses primarily in At that will become feasible in the near future through the 1,001 Genomes Project (Weigel and Mott, 2009). Lastly, this analysis should provide a good basis to select promising candidates for reverse genetic characterization of FBKs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Identification of FBKs in Different Land Plant Genomes
The most recent annotated version of cDNAs and proteins from each of the genomes was downloaded from the respective genome sequence sites (status March 2009: Arabidopsis thaliana from The Arabidopsis Information Resource [http://arabidopsis.info/home/tair, arabid_cds_v9/090704]; Populus trichocarpa [version 1.1], Physcomitrella patens [version 1.1], and Selaginella moellendorffii [filtered model 3] from the Joint Genome Institute [http://www.jgi.doe.gov/]; Vitis vinifera [version 1] from Genoscope [http://www.cnrs.fr/externe/GenomeBrowser/Vitis/]; Oryza sativa [O. japonica cds300503] from The Rice Genome Annotation Project [http://ftp.plantbiology.msu.edu/pub/data/Eukaryotic_Projects/o_sativa/annotation_dbs]; Sorghum bicolor [version 1.4] from the Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences [http://mips.helmholtz-muenchen.de/plant/sorghum]). Published FBK protein sequences (Gagne et al., 2002; Yang et al., 2008; Xu et al., 2009) were used as first queries for FBKs using BLASTP and TBLASTN (Altschul et al., 1997). Identified full-length cDNAs were translated in the correct frame. Putative FBK sequences were aligned using ClustalX (Thompson et al., 2002). Alignments were verified manually, and a consensus sequence was created for each of the motifs of interest with the help of the Weblogo software package (http://weblogo.berkeley.edu/logo.cgi). Consensus sequences were then used in a BLASTP search to identify more FBKs from the downloaded genomes. This alignment was used to generate an HMM model using the program hhmmbuild from the HMMER program suite (Eddy, 1998). The HMM model was further improved by calculating HMM parameters with the hmmcibrate package (Eddy, 1998). Using hmmsearch, the HMM model was applied in a search against the most recent protein annotations from each plant. To confirm the presence of both F-box and kelch domains in the obtained sequences (e < 3.8), we further compared the results from hmmsearch and the Pfam databases (Sonnhammer et al., 1997) with the hmmprotm package. Our domains of interest are annotated in Pfam as PF00646 (F-box), PF01344 (kelch domain 1), PF07646 (kelch domain 2), PF04300 (FBA_1), and PF08268 (FBA_3).
We did not use the domain DUF1668 (PF07893), classified as a member of the kelch family in Pfam, because this domain was not detected in our searches.

Construction of F-Box and Kelch Consensus Sequences

To construct consensus sequences of the F-box and kelch 1 repeat, complete protein sequences of FBKs of all seven plant species were aligned using ClustalW (Thompson et al., 2002) and corrected manually. To create consensus sequences of the kelch 2, kelch 3, kelch 4, and kelch 5 repeats, only FBKs were aligned that actually include the required number of kelch repeats. Gaps occurring in the alignment were deleted if more than 75% of the aligned sequences contained a gap in the same position. Positions of F-box and kelch domains were predicted according to the matches in Pfam (Sonnhammer et al., 1997).

Alignments of Kelch Repeats and Phylogenetic Reconstruction

With the hmmalign package from the HMMER suite, alignments for the phylogenetic reconstruction were created by applying the HMM-calibrated model obtained previously. All 407 FBKs and the outgroup protein sequences aligned with hmmalign were used to generate a final tree constructed with the NJ algorithm implemented in PHYLYP (bootstrap = 100, seqboot; Felsenstein, 1989). To confirm the robustness of the NJ tree, we built trees using at least one representative sequence of each of the defined clades in the NJ tree and built phylogenies using two additional methods: maximum likelihood (PHYLYP; bootstrap = 100, amino acid substitution model, Jones-Taylor-Thornton matrix) and MrBayes 3.1.2 (Huelsenbeck and Ronquist, 2001) with the following parameters: ngen = 1 × 10^9, aamodel = mixed. These trees were then compared using the Shimodaira and Hasegawa (1999) and one-sided Kishino and Hasegawa (1989) tests, which calculate the likelihood of each tree, using maximum likelihood distances and the Jones-Taylor-Thornton amino acid substitution model. P values were obtained by a χ^2 test (Strimmer and Rambaut, 2002).

Comparison of Kelch Repeats within and between Proteins

Genetic distances among different kelch repeats within the same protein and among different proteins were estimated using protdist from the PHYLIP suite (Felsenstein, 1989). A permutation test was performed to estimate whether the similarity of kelch repeats within a protein is significantly lower than between proteins. As test statistic, the difference of the means and 1 million permutations was used. Test statistic d was defined as follows: d = mean (simKwP) – mean(simKbP), with simKwP as similarities of kelch repeats within a protein and simKbP as similarities of kelch repeats between different proteins (increasing similarity is denoted by decreasing simKbP values). A value of d > 0 means a lower similarity of repeats within proteins in contrast to repeats between proteins. Subsequently, the P value was calculated as the relative frequency of all random values greater than or equal to our measured value. Calculations were performed with R version 2.10.0 (Suzuki and Shimodaira, 2006).

Estimation of the Maximum Number of Gained and Lost FBKs

To determine the degrees of gene family expansion in the analyzed plant lineages, we divided the phylogeny into ancestral clades (those containing at least one representative of the lower land plants, monocots, and eudicots), recent clades (monocot specific, eudicot specific, or lower land plant specific), and species-specific clades. Nodes basal to the split among lineages denote the MRCA and are labeled as N1 to N5. In Figure 3, N0 was added as euaykaryotic MRCA on basis of FBKs identified in Supplemental Table S3.

Divergence Levels and Sliding Window Analysis

For analysis of Ks/Ka ratios and sliding window plots, orthologous A. thaliana and Arabidopsis lyrata protein sequences were identified in Supplemental Figure S7. A. thaliana-A. lyrata gene pairs were considered orthologs when they clearly formed a single subclade and possible A. lyrata paralogs were more distantly related. Homologous protein sequences were aligned using ClustalW (Thompson et al., 2002). Codon alignments generated with PAL2NAL (Suyama et al., 2006) were used to compute divergence levels (Ks/Ka ratios) with DnaSP 5.0 (Librado and Rozas, 2009) using the sliding window option (window size, 150 bp; step size, 9 bp).

Correlation Analysis of Expression Data

To create the dendrogram for the cluster analysis of the expression data, the R package pvclust (Suzuki and Shimodaira, 2006) was used. The expression data of the A. thaliana FBKs were extracted from the AtGenExpress extended tissue series (Schmid et al., 2007). Only 81 of 103 A. thaliana FBKs were represented on the ATH1 microarray. In pvclust, a hierarchical clustering was performed using the Pearson correlation as a similarity measurement (dist = 1 – cor[x,y]) between the expression of the genes and the UPGMA method as cluster distance function. To calculate the stability of the dendrogram, a bootstrapping with 1,000 repeats was performed.

Measurement of Relative Transcript Levels by Quantitative Reverse Transcription-PCR in A. thaliana

Shoots were harvested from 7-d-old seedlings and roots from 10-d-old seedlings. Ecotype Columbia-0 seedlings were cultivated on sterile A. thaliana solution agar medium (Lincoln et al., 1990). After 2 d of stratification, the seedlings were cultivated under long-day conditions (16 h of light/8 h of dark) at 20°C. Additionally, 5-week-old Columbia-0 plants were cultivated in growth chambers under long-day conditions at 20°C and caulin leaves, rosette leaves, open flowers, flower buds, stems, and siliques were harvested for RNA isolation. RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis, and quantitative reverse transcription-PCR were performed according to Delker et al. (2010). Gene-specific primer sequences can be found in Supplemental Table S6.

Subcellular Localization

To examine the subcellular localization of A. thaliana FBKs, 35S:GFP-FBK fusion constructs were created using the Gateway cloning system (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. pDONR221 was used as entry vector and pGWB6 (Nakagawa et al., 2007) as destination vector. Using Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101, the fusion constructs were transiently transformed into leaves of 6-week-old Nicotiana benthamiana plants grown in the greenhouse at 20°C and long-day conditions. GFP fluorescence was detected by confocal laser scanning microscopy (LSM510 META; Zeiss).

Yeast Two-Hybrid Assays

Initially, the ASK and FBK genes were cloned into pDONR221 vectors using the Gateway cloning system (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. The inserts were then recombined into “gatewayized” pGADT7, resulting in the expression of a GAL4 activation domain (AD) fusion protein. ASK constructs were cloned into pGBST7, resulting in the expression of a GAL4 DNA-binding domain (BD) fusion protein. Fusion constructs were transformed into Saccharomyces cerevisiae haploid strains AH109 (MATa; Invitrogen; James et al., 1996) and Y187 (MATa; Invitrogen; Harper et al., 1993). Following yeast mating, a dilution series of the diploid yeast cell suspension was grown at 30°C for 3 d on nonselective (–Leu, –Trp) and strong selective (–Leu, –Trp, –His, –Ade) media. To exclude coautoactivation, all FBK and ASK constructs were cloned into pGADT7 and plated on selective (–Leu, –Trp) media. As a negative control, we performed interaction studies with human LaminC, which neither forms complexes nor interacts with most other proteins (Bartel et al., 1993; Ye and Worman, 1995).
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