A Rare Cause of Painless Haematuria- Adenocarcinoma of Appendix
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Abstract

Neoplasms of the appendix are rare, accounting for less than 0.5% of all gastrointestinal malignancies and found incidentally in approximately 1% of appendectomy specimen. Carcinoids are the most common appendicular tumors, accounting for approximately 66%, with cystadenocarcinoma accounting for 20% and adenocarcinoma accounting for 10% [1]. We present a case of adenocarcinoma of appendix presenting only with a recurrent painless haematuria.

Case Report

A 79 year male presented to our surgical clinic with history of recurrent attacks of painless haematuria since last 5 months. There was no history of any fever, pain abdomen or any other urinary complaints. Patient had history of similar attacks 5 months back for which he was investigated by routine haemogram and urine analysis. During that time his total leukocyte count was around 9000 cu/mm with urine analysis showed 15-20 red blood cells without any pus cells. He was taken up for cystoscopy which revealed a congested area in the bladder mucosa suggestive of cystitis. Then oral and intravenous contrast enhanced CT scan abdomen was planned which was reported to be acute appendicitis complicated by cystitis. Conservative management in the form Oshner Sherren’s regimen with a plan of subsequent interval appendectomy was planned. Patient then had an uneventful recovery then with that conservative management. General physical examination at this time of presentation was unremarkable. Examination of abdomen showed mild tenderness in the suprapubic and right iliac fossa region without any palpable mass. Complete haemogram showed haemoglobin 13.23 g/dl, total leukocyte count- 7000 cu/mm with differential leukocyte count showing neutrophil- 72%, lymphocyte- 18%, monocyte- 10%. Routine urine test showed 5-7 red blood cells/high power fields. Liver function test and renal function test was within normal limits. Oral and intravenous contrast enhanced CT scan of abdomen was planned which showed a heterogeneously enhancing oblong mass lesion measuring approximately 6 × 2.5 cm replacing the appendix with the tip of the lesion having an ill-defined interface with the dome of the urinary bladder suggestive of infiltration. No evidence of intrinsic mass lesion was seen within the urinary bladder. No abdominal lymphadenopathy or free intraperitoneal free fluid was detected. Interface between the mass lesion with bowel loops, right iliac vessels and right ureter was well maintained (Figure 1). Patient was planned cystoscopy followed by exploratory laparotomy. On cystoscopy an external bulge in the anterior urinary bladder wall with an area of mucosal erosion showing mild bleeding was seen. No intrinsic mass lesion was detected in the urinary bladder (Figure 2). Exploratory laparotomy was done. Appendicular growth was seen invading the dome of the urinary bladder (Figures 3 and 4). Right hemicolectomy with en bloc resection of invasion in urinary bladder was done. Primary Ileo transverse anastomosis with repair of the urinary bladder wall was done under the cover of suprapubic cystostomy. Patient had an uneventful postoperative recovery. On post operative day 16 cystogram was done which revealed no leakage of contrast from the urinary bladder. Suprapubic cystostomy was removed on post operative day 19. Histopathology of the specimen was suggestive of moderately differentiated mucinous adenocarcinoma with regional nodal metastasis with 4/15 lymphnodes showing metastatic deposits with perinodal extension. All resected margins were free from tumor (Figure 5). Patient was discharged with a plan of subsequent adjuvant chemotherapy.
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Introduction

Neoplasms of the appendix are rare, accounting for less than 0.5% of all gastrointestinal malignancies and found incidentally in approximately 1% of appendectomy specimen. Carcinoids are the most common appendicular tumors, accounting for approximately 66%, with cystadenocarcinoma accounting for 20% and adenocarcinoma accounting for 10% [1]. Adenocarcinoma of appendix is most frequently perforating tumour of gastrointestinal tract due to anatomical peculiarity of appendix which has an extremely thin subserosal and peritoneal coat and the thinnest muscle layer of the whole gastrointestinal tract. In addition to the risk of perforation, mucinous adenocarcinoma of appendix have peculiar tendency for fistula formation. Many of unusual presentations reported for primary appendicular carcinoma are the results of fistula formation into the adjacent viscera such as the urinary bladder, bowel or vagina as well as extraperitoneally into retroperitoneal tissues or directly to the skin surface.
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Discussion

A tumor of Appendix is a rare entity and was first reported by Berger in 1882. On review of literature only about 250 cases of appendicular adenocarcinoma have been reported since Berger first described it in 1882 [2]. Carcinoids are the most common appendicular tumors, accounting for approximately 66%, with cystadenocarcinoma accounting for 20% and adenocarcinoma accounting for 10%. Appendiceal adenocarcinomas fall into one of three separate histologic types. The most common mucinous type produces abundant mucin, the less common intestinal or colonic type closely mimics adenocarcinomas found in the colon, and the least common, signet ring cell adenocarcinoma, is quite virulent and associated with a poor prognosis [1,3]. Then there are the rare forms of cancers which include adenocarcinoid, non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma, ganglioneuroma, and pheochromocytoma. Malignant tumour normally spreads intraperitonally through lymphatic. Hematogenous spread is rare. Benign primary processes are mainly mucinous epithelial neoplasms, also called adenomas, cystadenoma, and benign neoplastic mucocele [3]. The majority of primary cancers of the appendix occur in 55-65 years of age, except for malignant carcinoid, which has a mean age of diagnosis of 38. Men and women seem to be at equal risk for all appendiceal neoplasms except for malignant carcinoid which may have woman to man ratio in excess of 3:1. Adenocarcinoma of the appendix is usually seen in the 6th to 7th decade with a male preponderance [2,4]. Appendicular adenocarcinoma usually presents as appendicitis with or without appendicular abscess, palpable abdominal mass, intestinal obstruction and pseudomyxoma peritonei [5]. Adenocarcinoma of appendix is most frequently perforating tumour of gastrointestinal tract due to anatomical peculiarity of appendix which has an extremely thin subserosal and peritoneal coat and the thinnest muscle layer of the whole gastrointestinal tract. In addition to the risk of perforation, mucinous adenocarcinoma of appendix have peculiar tendency for fistula formation [2]. Many of unusual presentations reported for primary appendicular carcinoma are the results of fistula formation into the adjacent viscera such as the urinary bladder, bowel or vagina as well as extraperitoneally into retroperitoneal tissues or directly to the skin surface. Extraperitoneal spread is associated with relatively good prognosis by preventing the development of peritoneal carcinomatosis [6,7]. Unusual presentation includes haematuria due to bladder infiltration, direct invasion of ascending colon detected on colonoscopy, intussusception, hydronephrosis due to ureteric infiltration, retroperitoneal abscess, vaginal bleeding, lower gastrointestinal bleed, epididimitis in case of metastases to the spermatic cord or testicles, ovarian mass due to Krukenberg tumor and cutaneous infiltration [5,8-14]. Management of appendiceal neoplasms should follow oncysurgical principles same as colorectal adenocarcinomas. If the patient presents electively, routine tumour markers including CEA, CT scanning and colonoscopy should be performed. Soft-tissue thickening and irregularity and thickening of the appendix wall and surrounding fat infiltration are nonspecific findings that suggest malignancy of appendix in CT scan abdomen. Gonzalez-Moreno and Sugarbaker found that those patients with mucinous type cancer had no survival benefit from hemicolectomy versus appendectomy.
They further mention that hemicolectomy is recommended in those patients where (1) it is necessary to clear the tumor or perform complete cytoreduction; (2) lymph node involvement is demonstrated by histopathological examination of the appendiceal or ileocolic lymph nodes; or (3) a nonmucinous subtype is identified by histopathological examination. In a study done by Pahlavan and Kanthanon adenocarcinoid tumors, he states that even though Goblet cell carcinoma is an aggressive tumor, a simple appendectomy is appropriate in most cases. However, he further states that a right hemicolectomy should be performed in the following scenarios: (1) cellular undifferentiation, (2) increased mitotic activity, (3) involvement of the base of the appendix, (4) lymph node metastasis, or (5) tumor size greater than 2 cm.

Surgical treatment of appendicular adenocarcinoma with right hemicolectomy has been reported as the treatment of choice because it facilitates lymph node resection to enable accurate tumour staging. Post-operative histopathological diagnosis after appendicectomy requires second surgery in form of right hemicolecotomy. Several studies have showed significantly better 5 year survival rates in patients treated with right Hemicolecotomy compared to appendicectomy alone. In advanced disease, peritoneotomy and intraperitoneal chemotherapy should be considered. Once pseudomyxoma peritonei secondary to appendicular adenocarcinoma has been described in female patients with appendicular adenocarcinoma of the appendix and peritoneal seeding. British Journal of Surgery 91: 304-311. Not confer a survival advantage in patients with mucinous carcinoma of the appendix. Am J Surg 120: 707-709.
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