Uniqueness in inverse acoustic scattering with unbounded gradient across Lipschitz surfaces
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Abstract

We prove uniqueness in inverse acoustic scattering in the case the density of the medium has an unbounded gradient across \(\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma = \partial \Omega\), where \(\Omega\) is a bounded open subset of \(\mathbb{R}^3\) with a Lipschitz boundary. This follows from a uniqueness result in inverse scattering for Schrödinger operators with singular \(\delta\)-type potential supported on the surface \(\Gamma\) and of strength \(\alpha \in L^p(\Gamma), p > 2\).
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1. Introduction.

The aim of this paper is the study of the uniqueness problem in the inverse scattering for the acoustic wave equation

\[ \partial_t^2 u = v^2 \nabla \left( \frac{1}{\varrho} \nabla u \right) \]

in the case \(\varrho\) has an unbounded gradient across some surface \(\Sigma \subseteq \Gamma = \partial \Omega\), where \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3\) is open and bounded with Lipschitz boundary. Here \(u\) is the pressure field, \(\varrho\) is the density and \(v\) is the sound speed; we assume that \(\varrho(x) = v(x) = 1\) whenever \(x\) lies outside some large ball \(B_R \supset \Omega\).

To introduce our arguments and to allow the reasoning in the following lines, we start assuming that the functions \(\varrho\) and \(v\) are positive and sufficiently regular (for instance we can take \(\varrho\) of class \(C^2\) and \(v\) bounded). Looking for fixed frequency solutions of the kind \(u(t,x) = e^{-i\omega t} u_\omega(x), \omega > 0\), one gets the stationary equation

\[ - \omega^2 u_\omega = v^2 \nabla \left( \frac{1}{\varrho} \nabla u_\omega \right). \]

(1.1)

Defining \(\tilde{u}_\omega := \varrho^{-1} u_\omega\), the equation (1.1) transforms into

\[ H_{\varrho,v,\omega} \tilde{u}_\omega = \omega^2 \tilde{u}_\omega, \]

(1.2)
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where \( H_{\varphi,\psi,\omega} \) denotes the Schrödinger operator
\[
H_{\varphi,\psi,\omega} := -\Delta + V_{\varphi,\psi,\omega}
\]
\[
V_{\varphi,\psi,\omega} := V_{\varphi} + V_{\psi,\omega}, \quad V_{\varphi} := \frac{\Delta \varphi}{\varphi}, \quad \varphi := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega}}, \quad V_{\psi,\omega} := \omega^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\nu^2}\right).
\]
Notice that, since \( \varrho = \nu = 1 \) outside \( B_R \), the potential \( V_{\varphi,\psi,\omega} \) is compactly supported.

As well known from stationary scattering theory in quantum mechanics, whenever \( V \) is a short-range potential, a generalized eigenfunction for the corresponding Schrödinger operator, \((-\Delta + V)\psi_k = k^2\psi_k\), \( k > 0 \), admits the outgoing representation
\[
\psi_k(x) = e^{i k \hat{x} \cdot x} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \frac{e^{i k |x|}}{|x|} s_V(k, \hat{x}, \hat{x}) + O(|x|^{-2}), \quad \hat{x} := \frac{x}{|x|},
\]
where \( s_V(k, \hat{x}, \hat{x}'), \hat{x}, \hat{x}' \in \mathbb{S}^2 \), denotes the scattering amplitude (see e.g. [3] page 425]). Since the solution \( u_{\omega} \) of equation (1.1) and the solution \( u_{\omega,\psi} \) of the corresponding quantum scattering problem (1.3)-(1.4) identify outside a ball, i.e.: \( u_{\omega}(x) = \tilde{u}_{\omega,\psi}(x) \) for \( |x| > R \), the above representation yields the asymptotic formula
\[
u_{\omega}(x) = e^{i \omega \hat{x} \cdot x} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \nu_{\omega,\psi}(\omega, \hat{x}, \hat{x}) + O(|x|^{-2}),
\]
where the far-field pattern \( \nu_{\omega,\psi} \) is related to the scattering amplitude by the equality
\[
u_{\omega,\psi}(\omega, \hat{x}, \hat{x}') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} s_{V,\omega,\psi}(\omega, \hat{x}, \hat{x}').
\]

The inverse acoustic scattering problem consists in recovering the couple of functions \( (\varrho, \nu) \) from the knowledge of the far-field pattern at some fixed frequencies; in particular, to recover the two independent functions \( \varrho \) and \( \nu \), one needs the knowledge of the far-field patterns at least for two different frequencies \( \omega \) and \( \bar{\omega} \). Clearly, the solvability of such an inverse problem requires a corresponding uniqueness result:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
u_{\omega,\psi_1}^0(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) = \nu_{\omega,\psi_2}^0(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) \\
\nu_{\omega,\psi_1}^0(\bar{\omega}, \cdot, \cdot) = \nu_{\omega,\psi_2}^0(\bar{\omega}, \cdot, \cdot)
\end{array} \right. \quad \Rightarrow \quad \varrho_1 = \varrho_2, \\
\nu_1 = \nu_2.
\end{align*}
\]

By (1.5), this uniqueness issue is a consequence of an analogous result concerning Schrödinger operators:

\[
\begin{align*}
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
u_{\omega,\psi_1}^0(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) = \nu_{\omega,\psi_2}^0(\omega, \cdot, \cdot) \\
\nu_{\omega,\psi_1}^0(\bar{\omega}, \cdot, \cdot) = \nu_{\omega,\psi_2}^0(\bar{\omega}, \cdot, \cdot)
\end{array} \right. \quad \Rightarrow \quad V_1 = V_2.
\end{align*}
\]

The justification of the uniqueness property (1.6) goes back to the pioneering works [29, 37, 32]. The idea is based on the orthogonality relation \( \int_{\partial \Omega} (V_1(x) - V_2(x))u_1(x)u_2(x) \, dx = 0 \), involving the total field solutions \( u_j \) to the Schrödinger equation with potential \( V_j \), and which can be derived from the equality of the far-field patterns for the two frameworks. Then the strategy consists in constructing a specific set of solutions \( u_j \), known as complex geometrical optics solutions or CGO’s in short, and use them to deduce that \( \tilde{V}_1 = \tilde{V}_2 \) (here \( \tilde{\cdot} \) stands for the Fourier transform). Finally, the two equalities \( V_{\varphi,\psi,\omega,\nu} = V_{\varphi,\psi,\omega,\bar{\nu}} \) and \( V_{\varphi,\psi,\omega,\bar{\nu}} = V_{\varphi,\psi,\omega,\tilde{\nu}} \) entail \( \varrho_1 = \varrho_2, \nu_1 = \nu_2 \).

The aim of our work is to extend the above reasoning and conclusions to the case in which the density function \( \varrho \) belongs to \( H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and the jump of its normal derivative across some closed set \( \Sigma \subset \Gamma \) belongs to \( L^p(\Gamma) \), \( p > 2 \), where \( \Gamma \) is the Lipschitz boundary of some opened and bounded \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \) (see Section 7 for the precise hypotheses and statements). Under these conditions, the corresponding Schrödinger equation
is modeled by a potential of the form \((1.3)-(1.4)\) with and additive \(\delta\)-type potential supported on \(\Gamma\) with a strength belonging to \(L^p(\Gamma)\), \(p > 2\) (see Section 3). Hence, the inverse problem consists in extending the above approach (holding for regular perturbations) to the case of Schrödinger operators with singular \(\delta\)-type potentials supported on \(\Gamma\).

As the setting of the problem is motivated by many applications in sciences and engineering, after those mentioned works, a considerable effort was put to improve and refine these results to deal with potentials in more general classes of functions and also other models as the electromagnetism and elasticity for instance.

The reader can see the following references for more information [11, 21, 33, 38]. A model of particular interest is the EIT (Electrical Impedance Tomography) problem, also called Calderón’s problem, which consists in identifying the conductivity \(\sigma\) using Cauchy data \((u|_{\partial \Omega}, \sigma \nabla u \cdot \nu|_{\partial \Omega})\) of the solution of \(\nabla \cdot \sigma \nabla u = 0\), in \(\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3\). The uniqueness question of this problem is reduced, in the same way as described above, to the construction of the CGO’s, see [37], where \(\sigma\) is a positive \(C^2\)-smooth function. The regularity of \(\sigma\) is reduced to \(C^{2,\alpha}\) in [36], then to \(C^{2,\infty}\) in [30] and to \(C^{2,p}\), \(p \geq 6\) in [7]. Finally in [17, 10] this condition is reduced to \(W^{1,\infty}\) and then to \(W^{1,3}\) in [18] where the CGO’s are constructed allowing potentials of the form \(\nabla \cdot f + h\), where \(f \in L^1\) and \(h \in L^2\) with compact supports. This last result is a key for us as \(\delta\)-type potentials, with strengths in \(L^p(\Gamma)\) \(p > 2\), can be cast in these forms (see Section 6). In particular, using CGO techniques, the analysis developed in Section 6 and Section 7 provides with a uniqueness result for the case of positive and bounded acoustic densities \(\varrho\) which are in \(H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)\) and such that \(|\nabla \Omega_{\Delta \varrho}|(\|\nabla\|_{L^2(\Omega_{in/ex})})\) and \(\Delta \Omega_{\Delta \varrho} \in L^p(\Omega_{in/ex})\), where \(\Omega_{in/ex}\) denotes the interior or the exterior of \(\Omega\), while the normal derivatives across a closed subset \(\Sigma\) of \(\Gamma\) have jumps of regularity \(L^p(\Sigma)\) with \(p > 8/3\) (see Theorem 7.4 and Remark 7.3 for the details).

Let us now discuss the forward problem and how we model the acoustic scattering with such regularity of the density. There are several ways to study and describe the solutions of the forward acoustic scattering and generate the far-field patterns. We mention the variation formulation, see [20, 3] for instance, which reduces the problem to a bounded domain \(\Omega\) by introducing a Dirichlet-Neumann map to the exterior problem, i.e. stated in \(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus \Omega\), where the background is homogeneous. A second approach consists in using integral equations; this allows to reduce the problem to inverting a Lippmann-Schwinger equation via the Fredholm alternative, see [24]. The approach requires, in addition to the regularity of the coefficients, a positivity of the contrast, i.e. in our case \(\varrho c^2 = const.\) and \(\rho < 1\), see [24].

In this paper we follow a different strategy and exploit the connection between the acoustic problem and the Schrödinger one, providing the link between \((1.1)\) and \((1.2)\) in the case the density \(\varrho\) is no more \(C^2\) as supposed in the reasonings above. Due to the lack of regularity of \(\varrho\), we use Schrödinger operators with \(\delta\)-type potentials and unbounded strengths, thus generalizing previously known results about such kind of operators (see e.g. [3], [27] and references therein); for this class of operators we provide the rigorous construction as self-adjoint extensions of the symmetric operator \(\Delta \Omega_{\Delta \varrho}(\mathbb{R}^3\setminus \Gamma)\). The Schrödinger approach allows the use of techniques from quantum mechanical stationary scattering theory, in particular, by extending some results provided in [27], we get a limiting absorption principle (LAP for short in the following) for our class of Schrödinger operators; as a consequence, the scattering amplitude is derived and used to define the acoustic far-field patterns. Let us remark that, by combining the results contained in [34] with [13, Theorem 16], one could get a non-stationary scattering theory (i.e. the existence of the wave operators) directly for the acoustic model whenever \(0 < c_1 \leq \varrho, v \leq c_2 < +\infty\). Nevertheless, using the connection with Schrödinger operators, and the corresponding LAP, our approach has the advantage of easily providing with the acoustic far-field patterns in terms of the (quantum mechanical) scattering amplitude and results better suited for the study of the inverse scattering problem.

The paper is organized as follows. The self-adjoint realizations of such operators are provided in Section 2 and the existence of a limiting absorption principle for them is given in Section 4. The proof of the connection between Schrödinger operators with \(\delta\)-type potentials and acoustic operators with densities
with unbounded gradients is provided in Section 3. In Section 5, we give sense to the far-field through the construction of the generalized eigenfunctions. In section 6, we derive the uniqueness result for the Schrödinger model, as Theorem 6.2 and then we conclude the corresponding result for the acoustic model, as Theorem 7.3 in Section 7.

2. Schrödinger operators with delta interactions of unbounded strength.

Let $V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$; then, by the Kato-Rellich theorem,

$$A_V : H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad A_V := \Delta - V$$

is self-adjoint and bounded from above. Here $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, denotes the scale of Sobolev Spaces on $\mathbb{R}^3$, we refer to [16 Chapter 1] for the appropriate definitions of such spaces and for the trace maps defined on them; we also refer to the same book for the definition of the scale $H^s(\Gamma)$, $|s| \leq 1$, of Sobolev spaces on the Lipschitz surface $\Gamma$ which we use below.

$A_V$ can be broadened to an operator in $H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (by a slight abuse of notation we denote such an operator with the same symbol):

$$A_V : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad A_V := \Delta - V,$$

where now $V$ denotes the linear operator, belonging to $B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$ by the Kato-Rellich hypothesis, defined by

$$\langle Vu, v \rangle_{H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \langle u, Vv \rangle_{L^2}, \quad u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Since $A_V \in B(H^2(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$, by duality and interpolation one has

$$A_V \in B(H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 2$$

and, setting $R^\ast := (-A_V + z)^{-1}, z \in \rho(A_V),$

$$\|R^\ast\|_{B(H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \|R^\ast\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 2.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** Let $d^\ast$ denote the distance of $z \in \rho(A_V)$ from $\sigma(A_V).$ Then there exists $c_V > 0$ such that, whenever $d^\ast > c_V$,

$$\|R^\ast\|_{B(H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3), H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \frac{1}{(d^\ast)^{1-s}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq 2, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2.$$

**Proof.** By $R^\ast = R^0(1 + VR^0)^{-1}$ and $\|VR^0\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))}$, one gets, whenever $d^\ast > c_V$,

$$\|R^\ast\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq 1.$$

Thus, since

$$\|R^\ast\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \frac{1}{d^\ast},$$

by interpolation one obtains

$$\|R^\ast\|_{B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \frac{1}{(d^\ast)^{1-s}}$$

and, by duality,

$$\|R^\ast\|_{B(H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \frac{1}{(d^\ast)^{1-s}}.$$

The proof is then concluded by interpolation again. 

\[4\]
Given $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, open and bounded with Lipschitz boundary $\Gamma$, we introduce the bounded and surjective trace map

$$\gamma_0 : H^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to H^s(\Gamma), \quad 0 < s < 1,$$

defined as the unique bounded extension of the map

$$\gamma_0^0 : C^0_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to C(\Gamma), \quad \gamma_0^0 u(x) = u(x), \ x \in \Gamma.$$

In the following we also use the extension (denoted by the same symbol) of $\gamma_0$ to $H^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ defined by $\gamma_0 u := \gamma_0(\chi u)$, where $\chi \in C^0_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on an open neighborhood of $\Gamma$.

Using the adjoint $\gamma_0^* : H^{-s}(\Gamma) \to H^{-s+\frac{1}{4}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $R^V_\alpha$ we define the bounded operator (the single-layer potential)

$$SL^V_\alpha := \gamma_0^* \gamma_0 : H^{-s}(\Gamma) \to H^{\frac{s-3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad 0 < s < 1.$$

This gives the bounded operator

$$\gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha : H^{-s}(\Gamma) \to H^{\frac{s-3}{2}}(\Gamma), \quad 0 < s < 1.$$  

Remark 2.2. Given $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $|s| \leq 1$, let $\psi := \phi - SL^V_\alpha \xi$. By the definition of $SL^V_\alpha$ one has $(-Av + \psi)\phi = (\phi - SL^V_\alpha \xi)$, i.e., $\psi \in \mathcal{H}^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus, notwithstanding neither $Av\psi$ nor $\gamma_0^0 \xi$ belong to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, one has

$$Av\psi - \gamma_0^0 \xi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma), \mathcal{H}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $0 < s < \frac{1}{2}$. Then there exists $c_{\alpha,V} > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $d_\alpha^V > c_{\alpha,V}$ one has $(1 + \gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma))$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 one has

$$\|R^V_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \mathcal{H}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \leq \frac{1}{(d_\alpha^V)^{1+s}}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\|\gamma_0 R^V_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\Gamma), \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\Gamma))} \leq \frac{1}{(d_\alpha^V)^{1+s}} \|\gamma_0^2\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}^{-s}(\Gamma), \mathcal{H}^{-s}(\Gamma))}, \quad 0 < s \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Such an inequality show that if $0 < s < \frac{1}{4}$ then there exists $c_{\alpha,V} > 0$ such that operator norm $\|\gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma))}$ is strictly smaller than one whenever $d_\alpha^V > c_{\alpha,V}$. □

Corollary 2.4. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma), \mathcal{H}^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3))$, $0 < s < \frac{1}{4}$ such that $\alpha^* = \alpha$. Then there exists a finite set $S_{\alpha,V} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $(1 + \gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma))$ for any $z \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_V)^{1/2}$. Moreover

$$((1 + \gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha)^{-1})^* = (1 + \gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha)^{-1} \alpha.$$

(2.1)

Proof. Let $0 < s < \frac{1}{4}$. By the compact embedding $H^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^s(\Gamma)$ and by ran$(\gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha) \subseteq H^{s+\frac{1}{4}}(\Gamma)$, the map $\gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha : H^{s+\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to H^s(\Gamma)$ is compact and so $\gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha : H^s(\Gamma) \to H^s(\Gamma)$ is compact as well. Moreover, by the identity $SL^V_\alpha = SL^V_\alpha + (w-z)^2 R^V_\alpha$, the map $z \mapsto \gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha$ is analytic from $\rho(\mathcal{A}_V)$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma))$. Thus, since the set of $z \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_V)$ such that $(1 + \gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma))$ is not void by Lemma 2.3 by analytic Fredholm theory (see e.g. [13, Theorem XIII.13]), $(1 + \gamma_0 SL^V_\alpha)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma))$ for any $z \in \rho(\mathcal{A}_V)^{1/2}$. Moreover, where $S_{\alpha,V}$ is a discrete set. By next Theorem 2.5, $S_{\alpha,V}$ is contained in the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator and so $S_{\alpha,V} \subset \mathbb{R}$; hence, by Lemma 2.5, $S_{\alpha,V} \subset [\sup \sigma(\mathcal{A}_V), \sup \sigma(\mathcal{A}_V) + c_{\alpha,V}]$ and so it is finite being discrete, i.e. without accumulation points.
Thus \( \lambda \) defined, in a \( R \) of bounded linear operators \( V \) of bounded operators in \( L \) of bounded linear operators \( R \). Moreover

\[
(1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V)^{-1} = ((1 + \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)^{-1} = (1 + \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)^{-1} \ast \in \mathcal{B}(H^\gamma(\Gamma)).
\]

By the obvious equality \((1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha) = \alpha(1 + \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)\), one gets \((1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)^{-1} = \alpha(1 + \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)^{-1}\) and so

\[
(1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)^{-1} \ast = \alpha((1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)^{-1})^{-1} = \alpha(1 + \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)^{-1} = (1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V \alpha)^{-1} \ast.
\]

By the previous results one has

\[
\mathbb{C} \setminus R \subset \rho(A_V) \setminus R \subseteq Z_{\nu, \alpha} := \{ z \in \rho(A_V) : (1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(H^\gamma(\Gamma)) \}. \tag{2.2}
\]

Thus

\[
Z_{\nu, \alpha} \neq \emptyset
\]

and

\[
R_{\lambda_0}^{V, \alpha} := R_{\lambda_0}^{V} - S_{\lambda_0}^{V}(1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V)^{-1} a \gamma_0 R_{\lambda_0}^V, \quad z \in Z_{\nu, \alpha}. \tag{2.3}
\]

is a well-defined family of bounded operators in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \).

Taking \( \lambda_0 \in R \cap \rho(A_V) \), in the following we use the shorthand notation \( S_{\lambda_0}^V \equiv S_{\lambda_0}^{V} \).

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \alpha \in \mathcal{B}(H^\gamma(\Gamma), H^\gamma(\Gamma)), \alpha = \alpha^*, 0 < s < \frac{1}{2} \). The family of bounded linear operators \( R_{\lambda_0}^{V, \alpha} \) given in (2.3) is the resolvent of the self-adjoint operator \( A_{V, \alpha} \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \) defined, in a \( \lambda_0 \)-independent way, by

\[
dom(A_{V, \alpha}) := \{ \psi \in H^\gamma(\mathbb{R}^3) : \psi + S_{\lambda_0}^{V} \gamma_0 \alpha \psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \}, \tag{2.4}
\]

\[
A_{V, \alpha} \psi := A_V \psi - \gamma_0^* a \alpha \gamma_0 \psi. \tag{2.5}
\]

**Proof.** We proceed as in the proof of [3], Theorem 2.1. Setting \( \Lambda_\alpha := (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V)^{-1} a \), using the resolvent identity for \( R_{\lambda_0}^V \) and definition (2.3), one gets, for any \( w, z \in Z_{\nu, \alpha} \) (see the explicit computation in [3], page 115)

\[
(z - w) R_{w}^{V, \alpha} R_{z}^{V, \alpha} = R_{w}^{V, \alpha} - R_{z}^{V, \alpha} - S_{\lambda_0}^{V}((\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\omega) - (z - w) \Lambda_\omega \gamma_0 R_{w}^{V, \alpha} S_{\lambda_0}^{V} \Lambda_\alpha) \gamma_0 R_{z}^{V, \alpha}. \tag{2.6}
\]

By \( S_{\lambda_0}^{V} = R_{\lambda_0}^{V} \gamma_0 \) and resolvent identity for \( R_{\lambda_0}^{V} \), it results

\[
(1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V)^{-1} - (1 + a \gamma_0 S_{\lambda_0}^V)^{-1} = (z - w) a \gamma_0 R_{w}^{V, \alpha} S_{\lambda_0}^V.
\]

This yields

\[
\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\omega = (z - w) \Lambda_\omega \gamma_0 R_{w}^{V, \alpha} S_{\lambda_0}^V \Lambda_\alpha
\]

and (2.6) reduces to

\[
(z - w) R_{w}^{V, \alpha} R_{z}^{V, \alpha} = R_{z}^{V, \alpha} - R_{w}^{V, \alpha}.
\]

Therefore \( R_{z}^{V, \alpha} \) is a pseudo-resolvent. Moreover, \( R_{z}^{V, \alpha} \) is injective, since, if \( \psi \in \ker(R_{z}^{V, \alpha}) \) then

\[
R_{z}^{V} \psi = R_{z}^{V} \gamma_0 \Lambda_\alpha \gamma_0 R_{z}^{V} \psi.
\]
This gives $R^V_A \psi = 0$ and so $\psi = 0$. Hence, see e.g. [23, Chap. VIII, Section 1.1], $R^V_{\alpha} \psi$ is the resolvent of a closed operator $\hat{A}_{V,\alpha}$ and the identity (2.11) implies 

$$(R^V_{\alpha})^* = R^V_{\alpha}$$

so that such an operator is self-adjoint; given $z_0 \in Z_{V,\alpha}$, $\hat{A}_{V,\alpha}$ is defined, in a $z_0$-independent way, by 

$$\hat{A}_{V,\alpha} := -(R^V_{\alpha})^{-1} + z_0, \quad \text{dom}(\hat{A}_{V,\alpha}) := \text{ran}(R^V_{\alpha}).$$  

(2.7)

Notice that any $\psi \in \text{dom}(\hat{A}_{V,\alpha})$ is given by 

$$\psi = R^V_{\alpha}\varphi = \psi_z - SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi_z, \quad \psi_z = R^V_{\alpha}\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3).$$  

(2.8)

By the mapping properties of $SL_{\alpha}$ and by $\text{ran}(\Lambda_{\alpha}) \subseteq H^{-1}(\Gamma)$, one gets $\text{dom}(\hat{A}_{V,\alpha}) = \text{ran}(R^V_{\alpha}) \subseteq H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus 

$$\text{dom}(\hat{A}_{V,\alpha}) := \left\{ \psi \in H^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) : \psi = \psi_z - SL^V_{\alpha}(1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_{\alpha})^{-1}\alpha \gamma_0 \psi_z, \quad \psi_z \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right\}.$$  

The definition (2.7) yields 

$$(-\hat{A}_{V,\alpha} + z_0)\psi = (-\hat{A}_{V,\alpha} + z_0)R^V_{\alpha}\varphi \varphi \equiv -\alpha V + z_0)R^V_{\alpha}\varphi = (-\alpha V + z_0)\psi_z.$$  

(2.9)

Let us now show that $A_{V,\alpha} = \hat{A}_{V,\alpha}$. 

Let $\psi = \psi_z - SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi_z \in \text{dom}(\hat{A}_{V,\alpha})$. Since 

$$\alpha \gamma_0 \psi = \alpha \gamma_0 \psi_z - \alpha \gamma_0 SL^V_{\alpha}(1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_{\alpha})^{-1}\alpha \gamma_0 \psi_z = (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_{\alpha})^{-1}\alpha \gamma_0 \psi_z = \Lambda_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi_z,$$  

one has $\psi = \psi_z - SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi_z$. Then 

$$\psi + SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi = \psi_z - (SL^V_{\alpha} - SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0})\alpha \gamma_0 \psi = \psi_z + (z_0 - \lambda_{\alpha})R^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$$  

(2.11)

and so $\psi \in \text{dom}(A_{V,\alpha})$. Conversely, given $\psi \in \text{dom}(A_{V,\alpha})$, define $\psi_z := \psi + SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi$. Then, by (2.10), $\psi = \psi_z + SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi_z$ and, by (2.11), $\psi_z \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus $\psi \in \text{dom}(\hat{A}_{V,\alpha})$ and so $\text{dom}(A_{V,\alpha}) = \text{dom}(A_{V,\alpha})$. By (2.9), 

$$\hat{A}_{V,\alpha}\psi = A_{V,\alpha}\psi_z + z_0(\psi - \psi_z) = A_{V,\alpha}\psi_z + z_0SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi_z,$$

$$=A_{V,\alpha}\psi_z + z_0SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi = \alpha V\psi + (\alpha - \alpha V + z_0)SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi = \alpha V\psi + \gamma_0\alpha \gamma_0 \psi = A_{V,\alpha}\psi.$$  

Finally, 

$$\psi + SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi = \psi + SL^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi + (\lambda_{\alpha} - \mu_{\alpha})R^V_{\alpha,\gamma_0}\psi$$

shows that the definition of $\text{dom}(A_{V,\alpha})$ is $\Lambda_{\alpha}$-independent. 

\[ \square \]

**Remark 2.6.** A particular case of operator $\alpha \in \mathbb{B}(H^1(\Gamma),H^{-r}(\Gamma))$, such that $\alpha = \alpha^* \alpha$ is $\alpha \in M(H^1(\Gamma),H^{-r}(\Gamma))$, $\alpha$ real-valued, where $M(H^1(\Gamma),H^{-r}(\Gamma))$ denotes the set of Sobolev multipliers on $H^1(\Gamma)$ to $H^{-r}(\Gamma)$ (here and in the following we use the same notation for a function and for the corresponding multiplication operator). 

By proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.5.3 in [19], one has 

$$|\alpha|^{1/2} \in M(H^1(\Gamma),L^2(\Gamma)) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha \in M(H^1(\Gamma),H^{-r}(\Gamma)).$$

Then, by Sobolev’s embeddings and H"older’s inequality, one gets 

$$p \geq \frac{1}{s} \quad \Rightarrow \quad L^p(\Gamma) \subseteq M(H^1(\Gamma),H^{-r}(\Gamma)).$$

Thus we can define $A_{V,\alpha}$ whenever $\alpha \in L^p(\Gamma), p > 2$. 
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Remark 2.7. One can check that, in the particular cases where \( V \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \), \( \alpha \in L^\infty(\Gamma) \) and \( \Gamma \) is smooth, the self-adjoint operators \( A_{V,\alpha} \) coincide with the ones studied (and constructed by different methods) in [31, Section 3.2]; also see [26, Section 5.4] for a construction that follows the lines here employed in the case \( V \in C^\infty_{c}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), \( \alpha \in M(\mathcal{H}^2(\Gamma)) \) and \( \Gamma \) is of class \( C^1 \). Similar kind of operators in the case \( \Gamma \) is not necessarily Lipschitz and can have a non integer dimension have been considered in [31, Example 3.6].

Remark 2.8. Here and below we use dualities \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X,Y} \) which are conjugate linear with respect to the first variable. Let \( \xi \in H^{-s}(\Gamma) \), \( 0 < s \leq 1 \). Since

\[
\langle \gamma_0^\xi, \phi \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3), H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \langle \xi, \gamma_0 \phi \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Gamma), H^{1}(\Gamma)}
\]

for all \( \phi \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), the distribution \( \gamma_0^\xi \) has support contained in \( \Gamma \). In the case \( \xi \in L^2(\Gamma) \) one has

\[
\langle \gamma_0^\xi, \phi \rangle_{H^{-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3), H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \int_\Gamma \bar{\xi}(x) \phi(x) \, d\sigma_T(x),
\]

where \( \sigma_T \) denotes the surface measure. In particular \( \gamma_0^0 = \delta_T \), where \( \delta_T \) denotes the Dirac distribution supported on \( \Gamma \). Introducing the notation \( \gamma^\xi_0 = \xi \delta_T \), the operator \( A_{V,\alpha} \) is represented as \( A_{V,\alpha} \psi = A_V \psi - \alpha \gamma_0 \phi \delta_T \) and this explain why this kind of operators are said to describe quantum mechanical models with singular, \( \delta \)-type interactions.

Remark 2.9. Notice that \( A_{V,\alpha} \) is a self-adjoint extension of the symmetric closed operator \( A_V(\ker(\gamma_0)) \). If \( \alpha \in M(\mathcal{H}^s(\Gamma), H^{-s}(\Gamma)) \) then \( \text{supp}(\gamma^\xi_0 \gamma_0 \phi) \subseteq \Sigma_\alpha, \Sigma_\alpha := \text{supp}(\alpha) \), and so \( (A_{V,\alpha} \phi) \Sigma_\alpha = (A_V \phi) \Sigma_\alpha \). This shows that \( A_{V,\alpha} \) is a self-adjoint extension of the symmetric operator \( A_V \mid_{C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Sigma_\alpha)} \) and so it depends only on \( \Sigma_\alpha \) and not on the whole \( \Gamma \); outside \( \Sigma_\alpha \) we can change \( \Gamma \) at our convenience without modifying the definition of \( A_{V,\alpha} \).

Lemma 2.10. Under the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, the self-adjoint operator \( A_{V,\alpha} \) is bounded from above and \( \sigma_{ess}(A_{V,\alpha}) = (-\infty, 0] \). Moreover, if \( V \) is compactly supported and \( \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega} \) is connected then \( \sigma_{p}(A_{V,\alpha}) \cap (-\infty, 0) = \emptyset \).

Proof. By \( V \in L^1_\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and by the Kato-Rellich theorem, \( A_V \) is bounded from above. Thus, by [22, Corollary 1], there exists \( \lambda > \sup(\sigma(\lambda)) \) such that \( \lambda \in \text{spec}(\lambda) \) for all \( \lambda > \lambda_V \). Then, the resolvent formula (2.3) implies \( (A_V, +\infty) \subseteq \rho(A_{V,\alpha}) \) and so \( A_{V,\alpha} \) is bounded from above.

By Corollary 2.4 by the compact embedding \( H^{-s}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^{-1}(\Gamma) \) and by (2.3), the resolvent difference \( R^{\alpha}_V - R^{\alpha}_V \) is a compact operator. Therefore, since \( \sigma_{ess}(A_{V}) = (-\infty, 0] \) (see e.g. [35, Example 6, Section 4, Chapter XIII]), one has \( \sigma_{ess}(A_{V,\alpha}) = \sigma_{ess}(A_V) = (-\infty, 0] \).

Let us now suppose that \( \text{supp}(V) \) is compact and that exists \( \lambda \in \sigma_p(A_{V,\alpha}) \cap (-\infty, 0) \); let \( \psi_\lambda \) denote a corresponding eigenvector. Let \( K \) a compact set containing both \( \Gamma \) and \( \text{supp}(V) \), so that \( -\Delta \psi_\lambda + \lambda \psi_\lambda \mid_{K} = 0 \); by elliptic regularity, \( \psi_\lambda \in C^\infty(K) \), and, by the Rellich estimate one gets \( \psi_\lambda \mid_{K} = 0 \) (see e.g. [25, Corollary 4.8]). Using the unique continuation property (holding for our exterior problem in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega \) according to [22]), we get \( \psi_\lambda \mid_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega} = 0 \). Since \( \psi_\lambda \in \text{dom}(A_{V,\alpha}) \subseteq H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \), this gives \( \gamma_0 \psi_\lambda = 0 \) and so \( \psi_\lambda \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( (A_V + \lambda) \psi_\lambda = 0 \), i.e. \( \lambda \in \sigma_p(A_{V}) \). This contradicts \( \sigma_{p}(A_V) \cap (-\infty, 0) = \emptyset \) (which holds for any \( V \in L^3_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), see [22]).

The next lemma shows that the construction leading to Theorem 2.3 is unaffected by the addition of a bounded potential:

Lemma 2.11. Let \( V \) and \( \alpha \) be as in Theorem 2.3. If \( V_\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) then

\[
A_{V,\alpha} + V_\alpha = A_{V + V_\alpha,\alpha}.
\]
Proof. According to the representation, we only need to show that \( \text{dom}(A_{\psi V_{\omega}, \kappa}) = \text{dom}(A_{\psi \omega}) \). By the definition of \( SL_{\kappa}^{\psi} \) and the second resolvent identity there follows

\[
SL_{\kappa}^{\psi} - SL_{\kappa}^{\psi V_{\omega}} = R_{\kappa}^{\psi V_{\omega}}SL_{\kappa}^{\psi V_{\omega}}.
\]

Since \( R_{\kappa}^{\psi V_{\omega}} \in B(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)) \), then \( \square \) yields the sought domains equality.

3. The connection between acoustic and Schrödinger operators.

We begin the section by reviewing some results about multiplication of distributions and related topics. Given the couple \( u \in H^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3), v \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3), 0 \leq s \leq t \), we can define the product \( uv \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \) by

\[
\langle uv, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \langle v, \phi \rangle_{H^{-s}} = \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3).
\]

In particular, the product \( u(\gamma_0 \xi) \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \) is well defined for any \( \xi \in H^{-s}(\Gamma), 0 < s \leq 1 \), and \( u \in H^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), \( t \geq s + \frac{1}{2} \).

Lemma 3.1. If \( u \in H^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3), v \in H^{-s}(\mathbb{R}^3), 1 \leq s + 1 \leq t \), then

\[
\nabla(uv) = (\nabla u)v + u \nabla v.
\]

Proof.

\[
\langle \nabla(uv), \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)} = - \langle uv, \nabla \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)} = - \langle \psi, \nabla \phi \rangle_{H^{-s}} = - \langle v, \nabla^2 v \rangle_{H^{-s}}.
\]

Remark 3.2. Notice, that, by the same proof, holds true also in the case \( u \in H^1_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( v \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \).

Lemma 3.3. If \( u, v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \) then \( uv \in W^1,1(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \gamma_0(uv) = \gamma_0 u \gamma_0 v \) in \( L^1(\Gamma) \).

Proof. By (3.1), \( uv \in W^1,1(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Since \( \gamma_0 \in \mathcal{B}(W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3), L^1(\Gamma)) \) one has \( \gamma_0(uv) \in L^1(\Gamma) \). Let \( \{u_n\} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), \( \{v_n\} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) such that \( u_n \to u \) and \( v_n \to v \) in \( H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Thus, by (3.1), \( u_n v_n \to uv \) in \( W^1,1(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Since \( \gamma_0 \in \mathcal{B}(H^1(\mathbb{R}^3), H^\gamma(\Gamma)), \gamma_0(u_n v_n) = \gamma_0 u_n \gamma_0 v_n \) converges in \( L^1(\Gamma) \) to both \( \gamma_0(uv) \) and \( \gamma_0 u \gamma_0 v \).

Since \( W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subseteq M(H^\gamma(\Gamma)), 0 \leq s \leq 1 \), we can define the product \( \xi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) whenever \( \xi \in H^\gamma(\Gamma) \) and \( \xi \in H^{-s}(\Gamma), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1 \), by

\[
\langle \xi \bar{\xi}, f \rangle_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \langle \xi, \bar{\xi} \rangle_{H^{-s}} = f \in W^{1,\infty}(\Gamma).
\]

Notice that the inclusion \( W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subseteq H^\gamma(\Gamma) \subseteq W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), with \( 0 \leq \gamma \leq 1 \). Since \( \gamma_0 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) whenever \( \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), given \( \xi \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) one defines \( \gamma_0 \xi \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \) by

\[
\langle \gamma_0 \xi, \phi \rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \langle \xi, \phi \rangle_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3).
\]

In the case \( \xi \in H^{-s}(\Gamma), 0 < s \leq 1 \), the mapping properties of \( \gamma_0 \) imply \( \gamma_0 \xi \in H^{-s-\frac{1}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \); then, from the above identity one recovers the preceding definition in term of the dual map of the trace \( \gamma_0 \).
Lemma 3.4. If $\xi \in H^{-s}(\Gamma)$, $0 < s \leq 1$, and $u \in H^{1+s}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $t \geq s$, then
\[ u(\gamma_0^s \xi) = \gamma_0^t(\gamma_0u \xi). \]

Proof.
\[
\langle u(\gamma_0^s \xi), \phi \rangle_{H^t, H^{t+s}} = \langle \gamma_0^s(\gamma_0^t \xi), \phi \rangle_{H^t, H^{t+s}} = \langle \gamma_0u \xi, \gamma_0(\gamma_0^s \xi), \phi \rangle_{H^t, H^{t+s}}.
\]

\[ \square \]

Lemma 3.5. Let $u \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\frac{1}{n} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then $\frac{1}{n} \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and
\[ \gamma_0 \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n}. \]

Proof. Since $\frac{1}{n} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the definition of the distributional gradient
\[ \left\langle \nabla \frac{1}{n}, \phi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \phi \, dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3), \]
shows that $\nabla \frac{1}{n} \in (W^{-1,1}(\mathbb{R}^3))' = W^{-1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thus, for any $v \in W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we can define the product $v\nabla \frac{1}{n} \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ by
\[ \left\langle v\nabla \frac{1}{n}, \phi \right\rangle_{\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \left\langle \nabla \frac{1}{n}, \phi \right\rangle_{W^{-1,1}} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \frac{1}{n} \phi \, dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3). \]

Since $u \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset W^{1,1}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, by
\[ 0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{n} (\bar{u} \nabla \phi) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{n} (\nabla (\bar{u} \phi) - \phi \nabla \bar{u}) \, dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3), \]
we get
\[ \left\langle \nabla \frac{1}{n}, \phi \right\rangle_{W^{-1,1}} = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \nabla \frac{1}{n} \phi \, dx, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3), \]
i.e. $u\nabla \frac{1}{n} = -\nabla \frac{1}{n}$. Let $\chi \in C_c(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\chi \equiv 1$ on an open neighborhood of $\Gamma$; by Lemma 3.3
\[ 1 = \gamma_0(\chi \frac{1}{n}) = \gamma_0(\chi u \frac{1}{n}) = \gamma_0 \gamma_0 u \frac{1}{n}. \]
Thus $\gamma_0 u$ is a.e. different from zero and $\gamma_0 \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{\gamma_0 u}$.

Given the real-valued function $\varphi$ we suppose there exists an open and bounded set $\Omega_{\varphi} \equiv \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with Lipschitz boundary $\Gamma_{\varphi} \equiv \Gamma$ such that
\[ \varphi \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \frac{1}{\varphi} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad V_{\varphi} := \frac{1}{\varphi} (\Delta \Omega_{\varphi}(\varphi)_{\Omega_{\varphi}} + \Delta \Omega_{\varphi}(\varphi_{\Omega_{\varphi}})) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \]
(3.2)
where $\Omega_{\varphi} \equiv \Omega$, $\Omega_{\varphi} \equiv \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$. Let $n(x)$ denote the exterior unit normal at $x \in \Gamma$; the lateral operators defined in $C^\infty_{comp}((\Omega_{\varphi})^{\in})$ by
\[ \gamma_{1}^{in/ex} u_{in/ex}(x) = n(x) \cdot \nabla u_{in/ex}(x) \]
uniquely extend to bounded maps
\[ \gamma_{1}^{in/ex} : H^2((\Omega_{\varphi})^{\in/ex}) \rightarrow H^2(\Gamma). \]
Furthermore, by [28] Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 4.4], these extend to
\[ \tilde{s}^{in/ex} : H^1_\Delta(\Omega_{in/ex}) \to H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma), \]
\[ H^1_\Delta(\Omega_{in/ex}) := \{ u_{in/ex} \in H^1(\Omega_{in/ex}) : \Delta u_{in/ex} \in L^2(\Omega_{in/ex}) \}, \]
as bounded operator with respect to the natural norm
\[ \| u_{in/ex} \|^2_{H^1(\Omega_{in/ex})} := \| u_{in/ex} \|^2_{H^1(\Omega_{in/ex})} + \| \Delta u_{in/ex} \|^2_{L^2(\Omega_{in/ex})}. \]
Therefore the jump across \( \Gamma \) given by
\[ [\tilde{y}_1] = \tilde{s}^{in/ex} \chi - \tilde{s}^{in/ex} \chi, \]
where \( \chi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3) \) is such that \( \chi = 1 \) on an open neighborhood of \( \Gamma \), is a well-defined distribution in \( H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma) \). Moreover, by Lemma 3.5, \( \tilde{y}_1 \in H^1(\Gamma) \) and its product with \([\tilde{y}_1]\varphi\) is well-defined in \( W^{1,1}(\Gamma)' \). As further assumption, beside (3.2), we suppose
\[ \alpha = [\tilde{y}_1] \varphi \in M(H^1(\Gamma), H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)), \quad s \in (0, 1/2). \] (3.3)
In particular, by Remark 2.6, hypothesis (3.3) holds true whenever
\[ \alpha \in L^p(\Gamma) \quad \text{for some } p > 2. \]

**Remark 3.6.** A more explicit characterization of a class of function \( \varphi \) satisfying hypotheses (3.2) and (3.3) is the following:
\[ \varphi(x) = \varphi_o + SL \xi, \quad SL \xi(x) := \int_\Gamma \frac{\xi(y) d\tau(y)}{4\pi |x-y|}, \]
where \( \varphi_o \in H^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \xi \in L^p(\Gamma), p > 2. \) By the properties of the single layer potential \( SL \) (see [14], Theorem 3.1), one has
\[ \Delta \varphi_o + SL \xi = 0, \quad [\tilde{y}_1] SL \xi = -\xi, \quad \chi SL \xi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap W^{1+1/p-\epsilon}_p(\Omega_{in/ex}) \]
for any \( \epsilon > 0 \) and any \( \chi \in C^\infty_c(\mathbb{R}^3). \) Since \( W^{1+1/p-\epsilon}_p(\Omega_{in/ex}) \subset C(\overline{\Omega_{in/ex}}) \) whenever \( p > 2 \) and \( \epsilon \) is sufficiently small, one gets \( \varphi \in C(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and so \( \varphi^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) entails \( (\gamma_0 \varphi)^{-1} \in L^\infty(\Gamma) \). Thus, since \([\tilde{y}_1]\varphi_o = 0\), one has \( \alpha \varphi = -\xi/\gamma_0 \varphi \in L^p(\Gamma) \subset M(H^1(\Gamma), H^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma)). \)

By hypotheses (3.2), (3.3) and Theorem 2.5, we can introduce the self-adjoint operator in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \) defined by
\[ A_{\varphi} := A_{\varphi_o, \alpha}. \]
The next theorem gives the connection between \( A_{\varphi} \) and the acoustic operator:

**Theorem 3.7.** Let \( \varphi \) satisfy hypotheses (3.2) and (3.3), let \( \psi \in \text{dom}(A_{\varphi}) \) and set \( u := \varphi^{-1}\psi \). Then
\[ \nabla u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^3), \quad \nabla \cdot (\varphi^2 \nabla u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \]
and
\[ \frac{1}{\varphi} \nabla \cdot (\varphi^2 \nabla u) = A_{\varphi} \psi. \]
Proof. By the "half" Green’s formula (see [28, Theorem 4.4], one gets
\[ \Delta \varphi = \Delta_{\Omega_{\text{in}}} \varphi + \Delta_{\Omega_{\text{ex}}} \varphi + \gamma_{0}[\hat{\gamma}_{1}] \varphi. \]

Thus \( \Delta \varphi \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and, by (3.2) and (3.3), we get
\[ V_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{\varphi} (\Delta \varphi - \gamma_{0}[\alpha_{\varphi}] \gamma_{0} \varphi). \] (3.4)

Since both \( \Delta \varphi \) and \( \Delta \psi \) belong to \( H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) (notice that \( \psi \in \text{dom}(A_{\varphi}) \subseteq H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \)), the products \( \psi \Delta \varphi \) and \( \varphi \Delta \psi \) are well-defined in \( \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and from (3.1) there follows
\[ \varphi \Delta \psi - \psi \Delta \varphi = \nabla \cdot (\varphi \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \varphi). \] (3.5)

Moreover, (3.1) and Lemma 3.5 yield
\[ \nabla \varphi \psi \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{C}^n) \]
and, by (3.5) and (3.1), we get
\[ \frac{1}{\varphi} \nabla \left( \varphi^2 \nabla \psi \varphi \right) = \frac{1}{\varphi} \nabla \cdot (\varphi \nabla \psi - \psi \nabla \varphi) = \frac{1}{\varphi} (\varphi \Delta \psi - \psi \Delta \varphi). \]

Then, by Lemma 3.3 by (3.4) and by (2.5),
\[ \frac{1}{\varphi} \nabla \left( \varphi^2 \nabla \psi \varphi \right) = \frac{1}{\varphi} (\varphi \Delta \psi - \psi \Delta \varphi) \]
\[ = \left( \frac{1}{\varphi} (\varphi \Delta \psi - \gamma_{0}[\alpha_{\varphi}] \gamma_{0} \varphi \psi) - (\psi \Delta \varphi - \gamma_{0}[\alpha_{\varphi}] \gamma_{0} \psi \varphi) \right) \]
\[ = \left( \frac{1}{\varphi} (\varphi \Delta \psi - \gamma_{0}[\alpha_{\varphi}] \gamma_{0} \psi \varphi - \psi \Delta \varphi + \gamma_{0}[\alpha_{\varphi}] \gamma_{0} \psi \varphi) \right) \]
\[ = \Delta \psi - \gamma_{0}[\alpha_{\varphi}] \gamma_{0} \psi \varphi - V_{\varphi} \psi \varphi = A_{\varphi} \psi. \]

4. The limiting absorption principle.

In this section the results provided in [27, Section 4], which in particular apply to \( A_{0,\alpha} \) (whenever \( \alpha \in M(H^2(\Gamma)) \)), are extended to \( A_{V_{\varphi}} \).

The weighted Sobolev spaces \( H^k_w(\mathbb{R}^3) \) are defined for \( k = 0, 1, 2 \) and \( w \in \mathbb{R} \) by
\[ H^k_w(\mathbb{R}^3) = \{ u \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3) : \| u \|_{H^k_w(\mathbb{R}^3)} < \infty \}, \]
where \( \langle x \rangle \) is a shorthand notation for the function \( x \mapsto (1 + |x|^2)^{1/2} \). In particular, we set \( L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^3) \equiv H^0_w(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Since
\[ \langle x \rangle^n, \partial_j \sim \langle x \rangle^{n-1}, \quad \text{as } x_i \to 0, \]

For the open subset \( \Omega \) while the corresponding dual spaces (w.r.t. the \( L^2 \)-product) identify with

\[
H^s_w(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{ u \in D'((\mathbb{R}^3)^\prime) : \langle x \rangle^w u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \}.
\]

(A similar argument applies to \( H^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \))

In particular, this provide the equivalent \( H^s_w(\mathbb{R}^3) \)-norm

\[
|u|^2_{H^s_w(\mathbb{R}^3)} := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \langle x \rangle^w |(-\Delta + 1) u(x)|^2 dx.
\]

The above definitions are generalized to the case of non-integer order \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
H^s_w(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{ u \in D'((\mathbb{R}^3)^\prime) : \langle x \rangle^w u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \}.
\]

while the corresponding dual spaces (w.r.t. the \( L^2 \)-product) identify with

\[
H^{-s}_w(\mathbb{R}^3) := \{ u \in D'((\mathbb{R}^3)^\prime) : \langle x \rangle^{-w} u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^3) \}.
\]

For the open subset \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \), the spaces \( H^s_w(\Omega) \) and \( H^s_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}) \) are defined in a similar way. In particular, since \( \Omega \) is bounded, one has: \( H^s_w(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega) \), the equalities holding in the Banach space sense; thus

\[
L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^3) = L^2(\Omega) \oplus L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{\Omega}),
\]

and

\[
H^s_w(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma) := H^s(\Omega) \oplus H^s(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega).
\]

The trace operators are extended to \( H^s_w(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Gamma) \), \( w < 0 \), by

\[
\gamma^w_{\mathbb{R}^3} u_{\text{ex}} := \gamma^w_{\Omega}(\chi u_{\text{ex}}), \quad \gamma^w_{\Gamma} u_{\text{ex}} := \gamma^w_{\Gamma}(\chi u_{\text{ex}}),
\]

where \( \chi \in C^\infty_0(\Omega) \), \( \chi = 1 \) on a neighborhood of \( \Gamma \).

From now on we suppose that \( V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), so that \( \sigma_p(A_V) \cap (-\infty, 0) = \emptyset \) (see e.g. [22]) and, since \( V \) is a short range potential, a limiting absorption principle (LAP for short) holds for \( A_V \) (see e.g. [2, Theorem 4.2]):

**Theorem 4.1.** Let \( V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \). For any \( k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \) and for any \( w > \frac{1}{2} \), the limits

\[
R^{V \pm}_{-k^2} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} (-A_V - (k^2 \pm i\epsilon))^{-1}
\]

exist in \( B(L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2_w(\mathbb{R}^3)) \). Moreover

\[
R^{V \pm}_{-k^2} = R^{0 \pm}_{-k^2} - R^{0 \pm}_{-k^2} V R^{V \pm}_{-k^2},
\]

and

\[
(-\Delta + V - k^2) R^{V \pm}_{-k^2} = 1.
\]

**Remark 4.2.** By duality, the limits \( R^{V \pm}_{-k^2} \) also exist in \( B(H^{-2}_w(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2_w(\mathbb{R}^3)) \) and so, by interpolation,

\[
R^{V \pm}_{-k^2} \in B(H^{-s}_w(\mathbb{R}^3), H^{s+2}_w(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad 0 \leq s \leq 2.
\]
In order to extend LAP to operators of the kind $A_{V,\sigma}$, we need some preparatory lemmata. In the following $B_R$ denotes a sufficiently large ball such that $\text{supp}(V) \subset B_R$.

**Lemma 4.3.** Let $V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Then, for all $z \in \rho(A_V)$ and for all $w \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$R^V_z \in B(L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)).$$

(4.6)

**Proof.** From the resolvent identity $R^V_z = R^V_0 \left(1 - VR^V_z\right)$, there follows

$$\|R^V_z u\|_{H^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|R^V_0 u\|_{H^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|R_0(z) VR^V_z u\|_{H^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

Thus, since the thesis hold true in the case $V = 0$ (this a consequence of \[35\] Lemma 1, page 170), see the proof of Theorem 4.2 in \[27\]), we get

$$\|R^V_z u\|_{H^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \left(\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|VR^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}\right).$$

(4.7)

Then the continuous injection $H^2(B_R) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(B_R)$ yields

$$\|VR^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|R^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|R^V_z u\|_{H^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

For $w \geq 0$, the embedding $L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and the standard mapping properties of $R^V_z$ lead to

$$\|VR^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|R^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

(4.8)

and so, in this case, the statement follows from (4.7) and (4.8). For $w < 0$ we proceed as in the proof of \[35\] Lemma 1, page 170] starting from the identity

$$R^V_z (x)^{\sigma} u (x) = (x)^{\sigma} R^V_z (x) u + [R^V_z, (x)^{\sigma}] (x)^{\sigma} u.$$

An explicit computation leads to

$$R^V_z (x)^{\sigma} u (x) = (x)^{\sigma} R^V_z + R^V_\Delta (x)^{\sigma} R^V_z + 2R^V_\nabla (x)^{\sigma} \cdot \nabla R^V_z (x)^{\sigma} u$$

(4.9)

and so

$$\|VR^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|R^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|VR^V_\Delta (x)^{\sigma} R^V_z (x)^{\sigma} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}$$

$$+ 2\|VR^V_\nabla (x)^{\sigma} R^V_z (x)^{\sigma} u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

If $|w| \in [0,1]$, the functions $\Delta (x)^{\sigma}$ and $\nabla (x)^{\sigma}$ are bounded and smooth; then the functions $VR^V_\Delta (x)^{\sigma} R^V_z$ and $VR^V_\nabla (x)^{\sigma}$ define bounded maps in $B \left(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2_\sigma(\mathbb{R}^3)\right)$ for any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ (since $V$ has compact support). In this case, we get

$$\|VR^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + c \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)},$$

(4.10)

and as before, we obtain (4.6) from (4.7). This result and an induction argument on $|w| \in [n, n + 1]$, allow to conclude the proof.  

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $w > 1/2$. Then, for all $k^2 > 0$,

$$\|VR^V_z u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \|V\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}.$$

(4.11)
Since described through the general formalism introduced in [26], a limiting absorption principle has been given
for the self-adjoint operators given in Theorem 2.5. In what follows, we use the same strategy used in theses works to establish a limiting absorption
class of operators including singular perturbations. In the particular case of a singularly perturbed Laplacian
Lemma 4.5.

Proof. According to our assumptions, it results
\[ \|VR^{-\epsilon}_{-k^2}u\|_{L^2(B^\epsilon)} \leq c \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|R^{-\epsilon}_{-k^2}u\|_{L^\infty(B^\epsilon)}, \]
and the injection \(H^2(B^\epsilon) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(B^\epsilon)\) yields
\[ \|VR^{-\epsilon}_{-k^2}u\|_{L^2(B^\epsilon)} \leq c \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|R^{-\epsilon}_{-k^2}u\|_{H^2(B^\epsilon)}. \] (4.12)
Since \(R^{V^+}_{-k^2} \in B(L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3))\), the inequalities
\[ \|R^{V^+}_{-k^2}u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \|R^{V^+}_{-k^2}u\|_{H^2_\text{loc}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \] (4.13)
hold for \(w > 1/2\). Then the statement follows from (4.12) and (4.13).

This result yields the following mapping properties.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \(V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)\) and \(w > 1\). For all compact subsets \(K \subset (0, +\infty)\) there exists \(c_K > 0\) such that, for all \(k^2 \in K\) and for all \(u \in L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \ker(R^{V^+}_{-k^2} - R_{-k^2}^{V^-}), \)
\[ \|R^{V^+}_{-k^2}u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c_K \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \] (4.14)

Proof. If \(V = 0\) the statement follows from [4, Corollary 5.7(b)]; in this case for all \(k^2 \in K\) and \(u \in L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \ker(R^{0^+}_{-k^2} - R_{-k^2}^{0_-}), \)
\[ \|R^{0^+}_{-k^2}u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \hat{c}_K \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \] (4.15)
for a suitable \(\hat{c}_K > 0\) depending on \(K\). From the identity (4.5) there follows
\[ R^{V^+}_{-k^2}u = R^{0^+}_{-k^2}(1 - VR^{V^+}_{-k^2})u, \] (4.16)
and
\[ \ker(R^{0^+}_{-k^2} - R_{-k^2}^{0_-}) \subset (1 - VR^{V^+}_{-k^2}) \ker(R^{V^+}_{-k^2} - R_{-k^2}^{V^-}). \] (4.17)
Let \(u \in L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \ker(R^{V^+}_{-k^2} - R_{-k^2}^{V^-}); \) then
\[ f = (1 - VR^{V^+}_{-k^2})u \in \ker(R^{0^+}_{-k^2} - R_{-k^2}^{0_-}), \] (4.18)
and using (4.11) there follows
\[ f = (1 - VR^{V^+}_{-k^2})u \in L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \ker(R^{0^+}_{-k^2} - R_{-k^2}^{0_-}), \] (4.19)
with
\[ \|f\|_{L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq (1 + c \|V\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)})\|u\|_{L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \] (4.20)
Hence, from the representation (4.16) and the estimates (4.15), we finally obtain
\[ \|R^{V^+}_{-k^2}u\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} = \|R^{0^+}_{-k^2}f\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq \hat{c}_K \|f\|_{L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c_K \|u\|_{L^2_0(\mathbb{R}^3)}. \] (4.21)

The existence of the resolvent’s limits on the continuous spectrum has been discussed in [36] for a wide class of operators including singular perturbations. In the particular case of a singularly perturbed Laplacian described through the general formalism introduced in [26], a limiting absorption principle has been given in [27]. In what follows, we use the same strategy used in these works to establish a limiting absorption principle for the self-adjoint operators given in Theorem 2.5.
Theorem 4.6. Let \( V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and let \( A_{V,\alpha} \) defined as in Theorem 2.5. Then the limits

\[
R_{k^2}^{V,\alpha} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} \left( -A_{V,\alpha} \left( k^2 \pm i\epsilon \right) \right)^{-1},
\]

exist in \( \mathcal{B}(L^2_k(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \) for all \( w > 1/2 \) and \( k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \).

**Proof.** According to Theorem (4.1), the limits \( R_{k^2}^{V,\alpha} \) exists for all \( k^2 > 0 \) and \( w > 1/2 \) in the uniform operator topology of \( \mathcal{B}(L^2_k(\mathbb{R}^3), H^s_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \). Hence we follow, mutatis mutandis, the same arguments as in the proof on Theorem 4.1 in [27] (corresponding to the case \( V = 0 \)) to which we refer for more details: by [36, Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 4.2], our statement holds whenever there exist \( c_1, c_2 \) and \( c_K > 0 \) (the last constant depending on \( K \subset (0, +\infty) \) compact), such that the following conditions are fulfilled:

\[
\forall \sigma \in \mathbb{R}, \forall z \in C(\Re(z) > c_1), \quad R_k^{V}, R_k^{V,\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2_k(\mathbb{R}^3)), \quad (4.23)
\]

\[
R_k^{V} - R_k^{V,\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty \left( L^2_k(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right), \quad \sigma > 2, \ z \in \{\Re(z) > c_2\} \quad (4.24)
\]

(here \( \mathcal{B}_\infty(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \) denotes the space of compact operators from \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \) to \( L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \)), and, for all compact subset \( K \subset (0, +\infty) \),

\[
\forall k^2 \in K, \forall u \in L^2_{2\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap \ker(R_{k^2}^{V,\alpha} - R_{k^2}^{\nu}), \quad \|R_{k^2}^{V,\alpha}u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \leq c_K \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}, \quad (4.25)
\]

Recalling that \( A_V \) is bounded from above, there exists \( c_1 > 0 \) such that \( \z \in \rho(A_V) \) whenever \( \Re(\z) > c_1 \); hence (4.23) holds for \( R_k^{V} \) by (4.6). Since \( \Gamma \) is compact, by (4.6) and by the mapping properties of \( \gamma_0 \), one has \( \gamma_0 R_k^V \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^s(\Gamma)) \) and, by duality, \( SL_k^V \in \mathcal{B}(H^{-1}(\Gamma), L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \). Thus, formula (2.3) gives (4.25) for \( R_{k^2}^{V,\alpha} \).

Since \( (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_k^V)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(H^{-1}(\Gamma), H^s(\Gamma)), 0 < s < 1/2 \), by the compact embeddings \( H^s(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^s(\Gamma) \) and \( H^{-s}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow H^{-s}(\Gamma) \), one has \( (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_k^V)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}_\infty(H^1(\Gamma), H^s(\Gamma)). \) So, since \( \gamma_0 R_k^V \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^s(\Gamma)) \) and \( SL_k^V \in \mathcal{B}(H^{-1}(\Gamma), L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)), \) (4.25) follows from (4.23). Finally, the condition (4.22) holds as a consequence of the Lemma 4.5.

The previous results also allow to prove that the resolvent formula (2.3) survives in the limits \( z \rightarrow -k^2 \mp i0 \).

Theorem 4.7. Let \( V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3), k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \) and let \( A_{V,\alpha} \) defined as in Theorem 2.5. For any \( w > \frac{1}{2} \), the limits

\[
SL_{k^2}^{V,\alpha} := \lim_{\epsilon \downarrow 0} SL_{k^2}(\epsilon \z + \gamma \epsilon^2),
\]

exist in \( \mathcal{B}(H^{-s}(\Gamma), H^{2-s}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \), \( 0 < s \leq 1 \), and

\[
SL_{k^2}^{V,\alpha} = SL_{k^2}^V + (\z + k^2)R_{k^2}^{V,\alpha}SL_{k^2}^V, \quad \z \in \rho(A_V), \quad (4.27)
\]

\[
(SL_{k^2}^{V,\alpha})^* = \gamma_0 R_{k^2}^{V,\alpha}, \quad (4.28)
\]

The function \( SL_{k^2}^{V,\alpha} \) solves, in the distribution space \( \mathcal{D}'((\mathbb{R}^3)\setminus \Gamma) \) and for any \( \xi \in H^{-1}(\Gamma) \), the equation

\[
(\Delta - V + k^2)SL_{k^2}^{V,\alpha} \xi = 0
\]

and there exist \( c_{k^2}^+ > 0 \) such that

\[
\|SL_{k^2}^{V,\alpha}\|_{L^2_{\zeta}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \geq c_{k^2}^+ \|\xi\|_{H^{-1}(\Gamma)}, \quad (4.29)
\]
Moreover, the limits
\[
\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 S L_{-(k^2 \pm i \varepsilon)}^V \right)^{-1} \alpha, \tag{4.30}
\]
exist in $B(H^\infty(\Gamma), H^{-1}(\Gamma))$, $0 < \sigma < 1/2$, and the operator $1 + \alpha \gamma_0 S L_{-k^2}^V$ has a bounded inverse such that
\[
\left( 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 S L_{-k^2}^V \right)^{-1} \alpha = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \left( 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 S L_{-(k^2 \pm i \varepsilon)}^V \right)^{-1} \alpha. \tag{4.31}
\]
Finally, the limit resolvent $R_{-k^2}^{V, \pm}$ has the representation
\[
R_{-k^2}^{V, \pm} = R_{-k^2}^{V, \pm} - S L_{-k^2}^V \left( 1 + \alpha \gamma_0 S L_{-k^2}^V \right)^{-1} \alpha \gamma_0 R_{-k^2}^{V, \pm}. \tag{4.32}
\]

Proof. The proof uses exactly the same argumentation of the proofs of Lemma 4.4 and Theorem 4.5 (which give the analogous results in the case $V = 0$) provided in [27] and so is left to the reader.

5. Generalized eigenfunctions.

We say that a function $u_\pm$ which solves, outside some large ball $B_R$, the Helmholtz equation $(\Delta + k^2)u_\pm = 0$, satisfies the $(\pm)$ Sommerfeld radiation condition (or $u_\pm$ is $(\pm)$ radiating for short) whenever
\[
\lim_{|x| \to +\infty} |x| (\hat{x} \cdot \nabla \pm i k) u_\pm(x) = 0 \tag{5.1}
\]
holds uniformly in $\hat{x} := x/|x|$.

Given $\psi^0_k \neq 0$, a generalized free eigenfunction with eigenvalue $k^2 \neq 0$, i.e. $\psi^0_k \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and $(\Delta + k^2)\psi^0_k = 0$, we say that $\psi^{\pm, r/r'}_k \neq 0$ is an incoming/outgoing eigenfunction of $-A_V$ associated with the free wave $\psi^0_k$ whenever $\psi^{\pm, r}_k \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ solves $(A_V + k^2)\psi^{\pm, r}_k = 0$ and the scattered field $\psi^{\pm, sc}_k := \psi^{\pm, r}_k - \psi^0_k$ satisfies the $(\pm)$ Sommerfeld radiation condition. Here $A_V : H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, denotes the broadening of $A_V$ defined by $A_V \psi := \Delta \phi - V \psi$. Let us notice that $\psi^{\pm, sc}_k$ satisfies the Helmholtz equation outside the support of $V$.

The next result is a consequence of LAP for $A_V$:

**Theorem 5.1.** The unique incoming and outgoing eigenfunctions of $-A_V$, $V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, associated with the free wave $\psi^0_k$, $k \neq 0$, are given by

\[
\psi^{V, \pm}_k := \psi^0_k - R^{V, \pm}_{-k^2} \psi^0_k. \tag{5.4}
\]

Proof. By definition, $\psi^{+/-}_k \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is an incoming/outgoing eigenfunction of $-A_V$ associated with $\psi^0_k$ if and only if $(\psi^{+/-}_k - \psi^0_k)$ is a $(\pm)$ radiating solution of $(A_V + k^2)\mu = V \psi^0_k$. Since the potential $V$ is compactly supported, such an equation has an unique $(\pm)$ radiating solution. Indeed, if $u_1$ and $u_2$ were two different solutions then $u_1 := u_1 - u_2$ would be a radiating solution, outside some large ball $B_R$ containing the support of $V$, of $(\Delta + k^2)\mu = 0$. Thus $u|_{B_R} = 0$. Then, by the unique continuation principle for $A_V$ (see [22]), one gets $u = 0$ everywhere. By Theorem 4.1, $\psi^{V, \pm}_k := -R^{V, \pm}_{-k^2} V \psi^0_k \in H^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ solve the equation $(A_V + k^2)\psi^{V, \pm}_k = V \psi^0_k$. Moreover, by Theorem 4.1, $\psi^{V, \pm}_k \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \setminus (1 - R^{V, \pm}_{-k^2}) V \psi^0_k$. Since $V$ is compactly supported, $\psi^{V, \pm}_k$ is $(\pm)$ radiating by [12] Lemma 7, Subsection 7d, Section 8, Chapter II.

Now we extend the previous result to $A_{V, 0}$. At first we introduce the following broadening of $A_{V, 0}$ to the larger space $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$:

\[
A_{V, 0} : \text{dom}(A_{V, 0}) \subset L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \to L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3),
\]
\[
dom(\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha}) := \{ \psi \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) : \psi + SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \psi \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \},
\]

\[
\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha} \psi := A_V \psi - \gamma_0 \alpha \gamma_0 \psi .
\]

We say that \(\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} \neq 0\) is an incoming/outgoing eigenfunction of \(-A_{V,\alpha}\) associated with the free wave \(\psi_k^0\), whenever \(\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in}} \in \dom(\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha})\) solves the equation \((\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha} + k^2)\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in}} = 0\) and the scattered field \(\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{out}} := \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in}} - \psi_k^0\) is \((\pm)\) radiating, where \(\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}}\) is the unique incoming/outgoing eigenfunction of \(-A_V\) associated, according to Theorem 5.1, with \(\psi_k^0\). Let us notice that \(\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{out}}\) satisfies the Helmholtz equation outside the \(\text{supp}(V) \cup \Gamma\).

**Theorem 5.2.** Suppose that \(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega\) is connected and \(V \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\); let \(-A_{V,\alpha}\) be defined as in Theorem 2.5. Then the unique incoming and outgoing eigenfunctions of \(-A_{V,\alpha}\) associated with the free wave \(\psi_k^0\) are given by

\[
\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in}} := \psi_k^0 - SL_{\lambda}^V (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_{\lambda}^V) A_V \psi_k^0 .
\]

**Proof.** By our definitions, \(\tilde{\psi}_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} \in \dom(\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha})\) is an incoming/outgoing eigenfunction of \(-A_{V,\alpha}\) associated with \(\psi_k^0\) if and only if \((\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha} + k^2)\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}}\) is a \((\pm)\) radiating solution of \((A_V + k^2)u - \gamma_0 \alpha \gamma_0 u = \gamma_0 \alpha \gamma_0 \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}}\) belonging to \(H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\). Since both the potential \(V\) and the distribution \(\gamma_0 \xi\) are compactly supported, such an equation as an unique \((\pm)\) radiating solution. Indeed, if \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) were two different solutions then \(u := u_1 - u_2\) would be a radiating solution, outside some large ball \(B_R\) containing both \(\text{supp}(V)\) and \(\text{supp}(\gamma_0 \xi)\), of \((\Delta + k^2)u = 0\). Thus \(u|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega} = 0\). Then, by the unique continuation principle for \(A_V\) (see [12]), one gets \(u|_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega} = 0\). Since \(u \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\), then \(u|_\Omega = 0\) and so \(u\) is a radiating solution of \((A_V + k^2)u = 0\); thus, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 5.1, \(u = 0\) everywhere. To conclude the proof we need to show that \(\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} \in \dom(\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha})\), i.e. that \(\psi_{V,\alpha} := \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} + SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\), that \((\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha} + k^2)\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} = 0\) and that \(SL_{\lambda}^V (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_{\lambda}^V) A_V \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}}\) in \((\pm)\) radiating. Since \(\alpha \gamma_0 \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} = (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_{\lambda}^V) A_V \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}}\), one has, by (4.27),

\[
\psi_{V,\alpha} := \psi_k^0 + (SL_0^V - SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0) \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} .
\]

Then

\[
(\tilde{A}_{V,\alpha} + k^2)\psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}} = (A_V + k^2)(\psi_k^0 + SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}}) - \gamma_0 A \gamma_0 \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{in/out}}
\]

\[
= - (A_V + k^2) (SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \psi_k^0 - \gamma_0 A \gamma_0 \psi_k^0) = 0 .
\]

Finally, by (4.5) and by (4.28), \(SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \xi = SL_0^\lambda \alpha \gamma_0 \xi - V SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \xi\) and so, since both \(\gamma_0 \xi\) and \(V\) are compactly supported, \(SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \xi\) is \((\pm)\) radiating by [12], Lemma 7, Subsection 7d, Section 8, Chapter II].

**Remark 5.3.** By the resolvent identity \(R_V^V = R_0^0 + R_1^1 VR_0^0\) and by (4.27), one gets \(SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \xi = SL_0^\lambda \alpha \gamma_0 \xi + \phi_\xi\), where \(\phi_\xi \in H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)\). Thus, by \([\tilde{\gamma}_1]SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \xi = -\xi\) (see [28], Theorem 6.11) and \(H_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset \ker([\tilde{\gamma}_1])\), one obtains

\[
[\tilde{\gamma}_1]SL_{\lambda}^V \alpha \gamma_0 \xi = -\xi .
\]

Then, by the identity \(\alpha \gamma_0 \psi_k^{\text{out/in}} = (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL_{\lambda}^V) A_V \psi_{V,\alpha}^{\text{out/in}}\), one obtains the relations

\[
\alpha \gamma_0 \psi_k^{\text{out/in}} = [\tilde{\gamma}_1] \psi_k^{\text{out/in}}, \quad \psi_k^{\text{out/in}} = \psi_k^0 + SL_{\lambda}^V [\tilde{\gamma}_1] \psi_k^{\text{out/in}} .
\]
For any $k > 0$, we define the set
\[ \Sigma_k := \{ \rho \in \mathbb{C}^3 : \rho \cdot \rho = -k^2 \}, \]
where $\cdot$ denotes the euclidean scalar product, equivalently
\[ \Sigma_k = \{ \rho = w\xi + i \sqrt{w^2 + k^2} \hat{\xi} : w \geq 0, \ (\xi, \hat{\xi}) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2, \ \xi \cdot \hat{\xi} = 0 \}. \]
Clearly any function of the kind $\psi_\rho(x) := e^{i\rho \cdot x}$, $\rho \in \Sigma_k$, is a generalized eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ with eigenvalue $k^2$.

**Corollary 5.4.** Given a ball $B_R \supset \Omega \cup \text{supp}(V)$, the outgoing eigenfunction $\psi^V_\rho$ associated, according to Theorem 5.2, with $\psi_\rho(x) := e^{i\rho \cdot x}$, $\rho \in \Sigma_k$, has the asymptotic behavior
\[ \psi^V_\rho(x) = e^{i\rho \cdot x} + \frac{e^{ik|x|}}{|x|} \psi^\omega_{V,\rho}(k, \rho, \hat{\rho}) + O(|x|^{-2}), \quad |x| \gg R_0, \]
uniformly in all directions $\hat{\rho} := \frac{x}{|x|}$. Moreover
\[ \psi^\omega_{V,\rho}(k, \rho, \hat{\rho}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\partial B_R} \left( \psi^V_{\rho,\alpha}(y) \hat{\rho} \cdot \nabla e^{-ik|y|} - e^{-ik|y|} \hat{\rho} \cdot \nabla \psi^V_{\rho,\alpha}(y) \right) d\sigma_R(y), \quad (5.2) \]
where
\[ \psi^V_{\rho,\alpha} := -R^{V}_{-k^2} V \psi_\rho - SL^{V}_{-k^2} \alpha \gamma_0 \psi^V_\rho. \]

**Proof.** By Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 and by the identity $\alpha \gamma_0 \psi^V_\rho = (1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL^{V}_{-k^2})^{-1} \alpha \gamma_0 \psi^V_\rho$, where $\psi^V_\rho$ denotes the outgoing eigenfunction associated, according to Theorem 5.1 with $\psi_\rho$, one has $\psi^V_{\rho,\alpha} = \psi_\rho + \psi^V_{\rho,\alpha}$. Since $(-\Delta + k^2)\psi^V_{\rho,\alpha} = 0$ outside $\Omega \cup \text{supp}(V)$, the thesis is consequence of the asymptotic representation of the radiating solutions of the Helmholtz equation (see e.g. [11, Theorem 2.6]).

**Remark 5.5.** Since $(-\Delta + k^2)\psi^V_{\rho,\alpha} = 0$ outside $\Omega \cup \text{supp}(V)$, by elliptic regularity $\psi^V_{\rho,\alpha}$ is smooth outside $\Omega \cup \text{supp}(V)$ and so relation (5.2) is well defined.

According to Corollary 5.4 the scattering amplitude $s_{V,\alpha}$ for the Schrödinger operator $A_{V,\alpha}$ is then related to the far-field pattern $\psi^\omega_{V,\alpha}$ by the simple relation
\[ s_{V,\alpha}(k, \hat{\xi}, \hat{x}) = (2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \psi^\omega_{V,\alpha}(k, ik \hat{\xi}, \hat{x}). \quad (5.3) \]
Indeed, by Corollary 5.4 the outgoing eigenfunction $\psi^V_{k,\alpha}$ associated, according to Theorem 5.2 with $\psi^\omega_{k}(x) := e^{ik \hat{x} \cdot x}$, has the asymptotic behavior
\[ \psi^V_{k,\alpha}(x) = e^{ik \hat{x} \cdot x} + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{e^{ik|x|}}{|x|} s_{V,\alpha}(k, \hat{\xi}, \hat{x}) + O(|x|^{-2}), \quad |x| \gg R_0. \]
The next lemma shows that the scattering amplitude univocally determines both the far-field $\psi^\omega_{V,\alpha}$ and the scattered field $\psi^V_{p,\alpha}$.

**Remark 5.6.** Here and below, when considering two different self-adjoint operators $A_{V,\alpha_1}$ and $A_{V,\alpha_2}$ we mean that they can be eventually be defined in terms of two different subset $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$, so that $\partial \Omega_1 = \Gamma_1 \neq \Gamma_2 = \partial \Omega_2$ is allowed.
Lemma 5.7. Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 5.2, suppose that, for some \( k > 0 \),

\[
s_{V, \alpha}(k, \xi, \hat{x}) = s_{V, \alpha}(k, \xi, \hat{x}) \quad \text{for all } (\xi, \hat{x}) \in \mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2.
\]

Then

\[
\psi^\nu_{V, \alpha}(k, \rho, \hat{x}) = \psi^\nu_{V, \alpha}(k, \rho, \hat{x}) \quad \text{for all } (\rho, \hat{x}) \in \Sigma_k \times \mathbb{S}^2
\]

and

\[
\psi^\nu_{s, \alpha}(x) = \psi^\nu_{s, \alpha}(x) \quad \text{for all } \rho \in \Sigma_k \text{ and for any } x \in B'_{\rho},
\]

where \( B_{\rho} \supset (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \text{supp}(V_1) \cup \text{supp}(V_2)) \).

Proof. By (5.3) and (5.2), to get (5.4) it suffices to show that, for some \( \rho > R_{s}, \) if \( \psi^\nu_{V, \alpha}|B_{\rho} = \psi^\nu_{V, \alpha}|B_{\rho} \), for all \( \xi \in \mathbb{S}^2 \) then \( \psi^\nu_{V, \alpha}|B_{\rho} = \psi^\nu_{V, \alpha}|B_{\rho} \) for all \( \rho \in \Sigma_k \).

Since \( C(\mathbb{S}^2) \) is dense in \( L^2(\mathbb{S}^2) \), according to (3.2) there exists a sequence \( \{ f_n \} \subset C(\mathbb{S}^2) \) such that \( H_{\rho}f_n \to \rho \psi \) in \( H^2(B_{\rho}) \), where \( H_{\rho} \), \( k \neq 0 \), is the Herglotz operator

\[
H_{\rho} f(x) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} f(\xi) e^{ik\xi \cdot x} \, d\sigma(\xi).
\]

Writing the above integral as a limit of a Riemann's sum, \( \psi_{\rho} \) can be obtained as a \( H^2(B_{\rho}) \)-limit of a sequence of functions of the kind \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,\rho} e^{ik\xi_{n,\rho} \cdot x} \). Since, by Theorems 5.1 and 5.2

\[
\psi^{\nu}_{s, \alpha} = -L^{\nu}_{-k^2} \psi_{\rho}, \quad L^{\nu}_{-k^2} := R^{\nu}_{-k^2} V + SL^{\nu}_{-k^2}(1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL^{\nu}_{-k^2})^{-1} \alpha \gamma_0 (1 - R^{\nu}_{-k^2} V),
\]

to get (5.4) one needs to show that the linear operator \( L^{\nu}_{-k^2} \) is continuous on \( H^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) to \( L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Since \( V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), the multiplication operator associated with \( V \) belongs to \( B(H^2(\mathbb{R}^3), L^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \); thus, by Theorem 4.1 \( R^{\nu}_{-k^2} V \in B(H^2(\mathbb{R}^3), H^2_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \). Moreover, by Theorem 4.7 \( SL^{\nu}_{-k^2}(1 + \alpha \gamma_0 SL^{\nu}_{-k^2})^{-1} \alpha \gamma_0 \) in \( B(H^{k+1}_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3), H^k_{\alpha}(\mathbb{R}^3)) \) and (5.4) holds true.

If \( \psi^{\nu}_{V, \alpha}(k, \rho, \hat{x}) = \psi^{\nu}_{V, \alpha}(k, \rho, \hat{x}) \), then, by Corollary 5.4 \( u_{\nu}(x) := \psi^{\nu}_{s, \alpha}(x) - \psi^{\nu}_{s, \alpha}(x) = O(|x|^{-2}). \) Since \( u_{\nu} \) solves the Helmholtz equation \( (\lambda + k^2) u_{\nu} = 0 \) outside \( B_{R_{\rho}} \), by Rellich's lemma (see e.g. \( 11 \) Theorem 2.14), one gets \( u_{\nu}|B_{R_{\rho}} = 0 \), i.e. (5.5). \( \square \)

6. Uniqueness in inverse Schrödinger scattering.

Given \( V \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \alpha \in H^{-1}(\Gamma), 0 < s \leq 1 \), let us define \( \tilde{V}_{\alpha} \in H^{s, \alpha}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), by \( \tilde{V}_{\alpha} := V + \gamma_0 \alpha \). For any \( \psi \in H^{s, \alpha}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) the product \( \tilde{V}_{\alpha} \in \mathscr{D}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) is well defined and, by Lemma 5.4 \( \psi \tilde{V}_{\alpha} = V \psi + \gamma_0 \alpha \gamma_0 \psi \).

Thus, since \( M(H^s(\Gamma), H^{-1}(\Gamma)) \subseteq H^{-1}(\Gamma) \), we have

\[
\langle \psi \gamma_0 \alpha \gamma_0 \psi \phi \rangle_{H^s(\Gamma), \psi \gamma_0 \alpha \gamma_0 \psi} = \langle \alpha \gamma_0 \phi \psi \alpha \gamma_0 \psi \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Gamma), \psi \gamma_0 \alpha \gamma_0 \psi}, \quad \psi, \phi \in H^{s, \alpha}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)
\]

and so \( \psi \tilde{V}_{\alpha} \in H^{s, \alpha}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) whenever \( \alpha \in M(H^s(\Gamma), H^{-1}(\Gamma)) \) and \( \psi \in H^{s, \alpha}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \). In particular, whenever \( V \) and \( \alpha \) are as in the definition of \( V_{\alpha} \) and \( \psi \in \text{dom}(V_{\alpha}) \), one has \( \psi \tilde{V}_{\alpha} \in H^{s, \alpha}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \).

We need a preparatory lemma before stating the main result in this section:

Lemma 6.1. Let \( \psi^{V, \alpha}_{p_1} \) and \( \psi^{V, \alpha}_{p_2} \) be the outgoing eigenfunctions of \( A_{V, \alpha} \) and \( A_{V, \alpha} \), associated, according to Theorem 5.2, with \( \psi_{p_1}(x) = e^{ip_1 \cdot x} \) and \( \psi_{p_2}(x) = e^{ip_2 \cdot x} \), where both \( p_1 \) and \( p_2 \) belong to \( \Sigma_k \). Then

\[
s_{V_1, \alpha}(k, \cdot, \cdot) = s_{V_2, \alpha}(k, \cdot, \cdot) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle \psi^{V, \alpha}_{p_1}(\tilde{V}_{\alpha} - \psi^{V, \alpha}_{p_2})H_{\text{comp}} \rangle_{H^s_{\alpha}} = 0.
\]
Proof. By the definition of $\tilde{V}_2$, by Lemma 5.4 and by Remark 5.3, one obtains
\[
\langle \psi_{p_1}^{V_1,\alpha_1}(\tilde{V}_{a_1} - \tilde{V}_{a_2}), \psi_{p_2}^{V_2,\alpha_2} \rangle_{H^{-1}_s, H^1_s} = \langle \psi_{p_1}^{V_1,\alpha_1}(V_1 - V_2), \psi_{p_2}^{V_2,\alpha_2} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}
+ \langle \alpha_{1pga_1}, \gamma_{0,1g}^{V_1,\alpha_1}, \gamma_{1pga_2}, \gamma_{1pg}^{V_2,\alpha_2} \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Gamma_1), H^1(\Gamma_1)}
= \langle \gamma_{1pga_1}, \gamma_{1pg}^{V_1,\alpha_1}, \gamma_{1pga_2}, \gamma_{1pg}^{V_2,\alpha_2} \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Gamma_2), H^1(\Gamma_2)}
\]
(6.1)
where $\gamma_{0,pga}$ and $[\gamma_{1,pga}]$ denote the trace operators on $\Gamma_m$ and the jump of the normal derivatives across $\Gamma_m$ respectively.

Let $\psi_{m,p}, m = 1, 2$, be outgoing eigenfunctions of $\tilde{A}_{\psi,\alpha_a}$ associated with $\psi_p, \rho \in \Sigma_k$. Setting
\[
\Omega_{\ell,m} := \begin{cases} 
\Omega_m, & \ell = 0 \\
(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_m}) \cap B_R, & \ell = 1,
\end{cases}
\]
where $B_R \supset (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \text{supp}(V_1) \cup \text{supp}(V_2))$, one gets
\[
\Delta_{\Omega_m}(\psi_{m,p}|\Omega_{\ell,m}) = (\Delta_{\Omega_m} - \kappa^2)\psi_{m,p}|\Omega_{\ell,m},
\]
so that
\[
\psi_{m,p}|\Omega_{\ell,m} \in H^1_s(\Omega_{\ell,m}) := \{ u \in H^1(\Omega_{\ell,m}) : \Delta_{\Omega_m} u \in L^2(\Omega_{\ell,m}) \}.
\]
Then, according to the half Green’s formula (see [28, Theorem 4.4]), one obtains
\[
\langle \nabla \psi_{m,p}, \nabla \psi_{m,p} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega_{\ell,m})} + \langle \gamma_{0,pga}, \gamma_{1pga} \rangle_{H^{-1}(\Gamma_m), H^1(\Gamma_m)}
= \langle \gamma_{0,pga}, \gamma_{1pga} \rangle_{L^2(\partial B_R)},
\]
where $\gamma_{0,pga}$ and $\gamma_{1pga}$ denote the trace operator and the normal derivative on $\partial B_R$ respectively. Thus, since $\Delta \psi_{m,p} = (\Delta_{\Omega_m} - \kappa^2)\psi_{m,p}$, by (6.1), one gets
\[
\langle \psi_{p_1}^{V_1,\alpha_1}(\tilde{V}_{a_1} - \tilde{V}_{a_2}), \psi_{p_2}^{V_2,\alpha_2} \rangle_{H^{-1}_s, H^1_s} = \langle \gamma_{1pga}, \gamma_{0,pga} \rangle_{L^2(\partial B_R)} - \langle \gamma_{0,pga}, \gamma_{1pga} \rangle_{L^2(\partial B_R)}
\]
and, by (5.5) in Lemma 5.7.
\[
0 = \langle \psi_{p_1}^{V_1,\alpha_1}(\tilde{V}_{a_1} - \tilde{V}_{a_2}), \psi_{p_2}^{V_2,\alpha_2} \rangle_{H^{-1}_s, H^1_s} = \langle \gamma_{1pga}, \gamma_{0,pga} \rangle_{L^2(\partial B_R)} - \langle \gamma_{0,pga}, \gamma_{1pga} \rangle_{L^2(\partial B_R)}
\]
Finally we state our uniqueness result for inverse Schrödinger scattering:

**Theorem 6.2.** Let $V_1, V_2 \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\alpha_1, \in \mathcal{M}(H^s(\Gamma_1), H^{-s}(\Gamma_2))$, $\alpha_2 \in \mathcal{M}(H^s(\Gamma_2), H^{-s}(\Gamma_2))$, $0 < s < 1/2$, and suppose that $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}$ are connected. Then
\[
\kappa_{V_1,\alpha_1}(\psi_1, \cdot) = \kappa_{V_2,\alpha_2}(\psi_1, \cdot) \implies V_1 = V_2, \text{ supp}(\alpha_1) = \text{ supp}(\alpha_2), \alpha_1 = \alpha_2.
\]
Proof. Let \( \psi_{\alpha_0}^\ast, m = 1, 2, \) be as in Lemma \[6.1\] and choose \( \rho_1 \) and \( \rho_2 \) in \( \Sigma_2 \) in such a way that \( \rho_1 + \rho_2 = \frac{1}{i} \xi \), \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \). Further set \( \phi_{\alpha_0}^\ast (x) := e^{-x} \phi_{\alpha_0}^\ast (x) - 1 \), so that \( \psi_{\alpha_0}^\ast (x) = e^{i \xi (1 + \phi_{\alpha_0}^\ast (x))} \). Then, by Lemma \[6.1\] setting \( u_\xi := e^{i \xi x} \),
\[
F_\xi (\rho_1, \rho_2) := (V_{\rho_1} - V_{\rho_2}, u_\xi (\phi_{\rho_1}^\ast + \phi_{\rho_2}^\ast))_{H^{-\infty}_m, H^\infty_m} + (\phi_{\rho_1}^\ast (V_{\rho_1} - V_{\rho_2}), u_\xi \phi_{\rho_2}^\ast)_{H^{-\infty}_m, H^\infty_m} = (V_{\rho_1} - V_{\rho_2}, u_\xi)_{H^{-\infty}_m, H^\infty_m} = \int_{\rho_2} (\xi - \int_{\rho_1} (\xi).
\]
By our definitions, setting \( \psi_\rho (x) := e^{i \rho x} \), one obtains
\[
0 = (A_{\rho_1, \rho_2} + \xi^2) \psi_{\rho_1}^\ast = (\Delta + \xi^2) (\psi_\rho (1 + \phi_{\rho_1}^\ast (x))) - \psi_\rho (1 + \phi_{\rho_1}^\ast (x)) V_{\rho_1} = \psi_{\rho_2} (\Delta \phi_{\rho_1}^\ast + 2 \rho m \nabla \phi_{\rho_2}^\ast - (1 + \phi_{\rho_1}^\ast) V_{\rho_1}).
\]
Thus \( \phi_{\rho_1}^\ast \) solves the equation
\[
\Delta \phi_{\rho_1}^\ast + 2 \rho m \nabla \phi_{\rho_2}^\ast - \phi_{\rho_1}^\ast V_{\rho_1} = \phi_{\rho_1}^\ast.
\]
Decaying estimates of solutions of such an equation have been obtained in various papers concerning Calderón’s uniqueness problem. In particular we use the recent results provided in \[18\]. Since \( \alpha_m \in M (H^s (\Gamma), H^{-s} (\Gamma)) \subseteq H^s (\Gamma), 0 < s < 1/2, \) one has \( V_{\rho_1} \in H^s (\mathbb{R}^3) \subseteq W^{1, 2} (\mathbb{R}^3) \). Thus (see \( \xi \) Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 3.23] \( \tilde{V}_{\rho_1} = \sum_{m=1}^3 \nabla f_m h + h \) where \( f_m, h \in L^2 (\mathbb{R}^3) \). Then, taking \( \chi_m \in C^\infty (\mathbb{R}^3) \) such that \( \chi_m = 1 \) on \( \text{supp} (V_{\rho_1}) \), one has \( \tilde{V}_{\rho_1} = \chi_m V_{\rho_1} = \sum_{m=1}^3 \nabla (\chi_m f_m h) - \sum_{m=1}^3 \int f_m \nabla \chi_m + \chi_m h_m = \sum_{m=1}^3 \nabla f_m h + h_m \) where \( f_m, h \in L^2 (\mathbb{R}^3) \). Therefore \[13\] Theorem 5.3 \[11\] applies to \( V_{\rho_1} \) and so, by the same reasoning as in the \( \xi \) second part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 in \[18\] (see in particular inequality \( \xi \)), for any \( \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) one gets the existence of two suitable sequences \( \rho_{1,n}, \rho_{2,n} = \frac{1}{i} \xi \), such that
\[
\lim_{n \to +\infty} F_\xi (\rho_{1,n}, \rho_{2,n}) = 0.
\]
This implies \( \tilde{V}_{\rho_1} = \tilde{V}_{\rho_2} \) and so \( \tilde{V}_1 = \tilde{V}_2 \). Then, by the definition of \( \tilde{V}_{\rho_0} \), one obtains \( V_1 - V_2 = \gamma_{0,1} | \alpha_2 - \gamma_{0,1} | \alpha_1, \) where \( \alpha_0,1 \) and \( \alpha_0,2 \) denote the trace operators on \( \Gamma_1 \) and \( \Gamma_2 \) respectively. This entails \( V_1 = V_2 \), \( \text{supp} (\alpha_1) = \text{supp} (\alpha_2) \) and \( \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 \).

7. Uniqueness in inverse acoustic scattering.

The next lemma probably contains well-known results but we found no proof in the literature.

**Lemma 7.1.** Let \( \varrho \geq 0 \) satisfy the hypotheses
\[
\varrho \in L_0^\infty (\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \frac{1}{\varrho} \in L_0^\infty (\mathbb{R}^3), \quad |\nabla \varrho| \in L^2 (\mathbb{R}^3).
\]
Then
\[
\nabla \frac{1}{\varrho} \in L^2 (\mathbb{R}^3) \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \frac{1}{\varrho} = -\frac{1}{\varrho} \frac{\nabla \varrho}{2 \varrho^{3/2}}.
\]

\[1\] Such a theorem is stated for \( \varrho = \gamma^{1/2} \Delta \gamma^{1/2}, \nabla \log \varrho \in L^1 (\mathbb{R}^3) \); however the proof only uses the decomposition \( \varrho = \sum_{i=1}^3 \nabla f_i + h \) where \( f_i \in L^1 (\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( h \in L^{1/2} (\mathbb{R}^3) \).
Let us further suppose that \( q \) is constant outside some bounded ball \( B_R \), and there exists an open and bounded set \( \Omega_v = \Omega \subset B_R \) with Lipschitz boundary \( \Gamma_v = \Gamma \) such that
\[
|\nabla_{\partial \Omega_v} q| \in L^1(\Omega_v) , \quad \Delta_{\partial \Omega_v} q \in L^2(\Omega_v) .
\]
Then
\[
\Delta_{\partial \Omega_v} \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \in L^2(\Omega_v)
\]
and
\[
[\hat{\gamma}_1](q^{-1/2}) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{[\hat{\gamma}_1]q}{(\gamma_0 q)^{3/2}} ,
\]
where \( \gamma_0 q \) and \( [\hat{\gamma}_1]q \) denote the trace on \( \Gamma \) and the jump of the normal derivative across \( \Gamma \) respectively.

**Proof.** At first we define the sequence \( q_n := e^{L \delta_n} q , n \geq 1 \). Then, since the heat semigroup is positivity-preserving, strongly continuous in both \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \), commutes with \( \nabla \) and \( e^{L \delta_n(L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))) \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) whenever \( t > 0 \), one gets \( q_n \geq 0, q_n \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \), \( \nabla q_n \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \), \( q_n \to q \) in \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \nabla q_n \to \nabla q \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \) as \( n \to +\infty \). Since \( q(x) \geq |1/q| L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) for a.e. \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \), \( q_n(x) \) is definitely strictly positive uniformly in \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \) and so \( q_n^{-3/2} \to q^{-3/2} \) in \( L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Thus, by \( \nabla \sqrt{q_n} = -2^{-1} q_n^{-3/2} \nabla q_n \to -2^{-1} q^{-3/2} \nabla q \) in \( L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{R}^3) \), (7.2) follows.

By Lemma 3.3 (7.2) and Remark 3.4 one gets
\[
\Lambda \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} = \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \frac{\nabla q}{\sqrt{q}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta q}{\sqrt{q}} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \nabla \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \nabla \frac{1}{\sqrt{q}} \right) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\Delta q}{\sqrt{q}} + \frac{3}{4} \frac{|\nabla q|^2}{q^{3/2}} .
\]
This, by \( q^{-1} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and (7.3), gives (7.4).

By Lemma 7.1 one immediately gets \( \chi q^{-1/2} |\Omega_v| \in H^1(\Omega_v) , \chi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Then, by the half Green’s formula (see [28, Theorem 4.4]) one has
\[
\langle -\Delta q^{-1/2}, v \rangle_{L^2(\Omega_v) \cap L^2(\Omega_v)} = \langle \nabla q^{-1/2}, \nabla v \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \langle [\hat{\gamma}_1] q^{-1/2}, \gamma_0 v \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \cap H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)}
\]
for any \( v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Since both \( q^{-1} \) and \( q^{-1/2} \) belong to \( H^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \), one has \( q^{-3/2} \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and so we can use the above Green’s formula in the case \( v = q^{-3/2} w , w \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3) \). Then, by (7.6) and (7.2), one obtains
\[
\langle [\hat{\gamma}_1] q^{-1/2}, \gamma_0 w \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \cap H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} = \langle -\Delta q^{-1/2}, w \rangle_{L^2(\Omega_v) \cap L^2(\Omega_v)} - \langle \nabla q^{-1/2}, \nabla w \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)}
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \langle -\Delta q^{-3/2} w, L^2(\Omega_v) \cap L^2(\Omega_v) \rangle + \frac{3}{2} \langle \nabla q^{-3/2} w, \nabla q^{-3/2} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \langle -\Delta q^{-3/2} w, L^2(\Omega_v) \cap L^2(\Omega_v) \rangle + \langle \nabla q^{-3/2} w, \nabla q^{-3/2} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \right)
\]
\[
= \langle [\hat{\gamma}_1] q^{-3/2} w \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \cap H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} = \langle [\hat{\gamma}_1] q^{-3/2} \rangle_{H^{1/2}(\Gamma) \cap H^{-1/2}(\Gamma)} .
\]
Therefore \( [\hat{\gamma}_1] q^{-1/2} = -2^{-1} \gamma_0 (q^{-3/2}) [\hat{\gamma}_1] q \). By Lemma 3.3 \( \gamma_0 (q^{-3/2}) = (\gamma_0 q)^{-3/2} \) and the proof is concluded.

Now we introduce a further hypothesis on \( q \) :
\[
[\hat{\gamma}_1] q \in M(H^s(\Gamma), H^{-s}(\Gamma)) , \quad s \in (0, 1/2) .
\]
In particular, by Remark 2.6 hypothesis (7.7) holds true whenever
\[
[\hat{\gamma}_1] q \in L^p(\Gamma) \quad \text{for some } p > 2 .
\]
Corollary 7.2. If \( \varphi \geq 0 \) satisfies (7.1), (7.3) and (7.7), then \( \varphi := \varphi^{-1/2} \) satisfies (3.2) and (3.3).

Proof. Hypotheses (3.2) are consequence of Lemma 7.1. By Lemma 3.3 one has \( \gamma_0(\varphi^{-1/2}) = (\gamma_0\varphi)^{-1/2} \) and then hypothesis (3.3) follows from (7.5).

Let us now take \( \nu \geq 0 \) such that \( \nu^{-1} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), suppose that \( \varphi(x) = \nu(x) = 1 \) whenever \( x \) lies outside some large ball \( B_R \) and set

\[
\varphi := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\nu}}, \quad V_\varphi := \frac{1}{\varphi} (\Delta_{\Omega_\varphi}(\varphi\Omega_\varphi) + \Delta_{\Omega_{\varphi}}(\varphi\Omega_{\varphi})) ,
\]

so that \( V_\varphi \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( V_{\varphi,\varphi} \in L^\infty_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3) \). By Lemma 2.11 and Theorem 3.7 there is a well defined correspondence between the outgoing eigenfunctions \( \psi_{\omega,\varphi,\varphi}^{\text{out},\text{out}} \) of \( A_\varphi + V_{\varphi,\varphi} \equiv A_{\varphi,\varphi,\varphi} \) provided in Theorem 5.2 and the acoustic eigenfunctions \( u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}} \) such that

\[
\omega^2 u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}} + \nabla^2 \varphi \nabla u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}} + \frac{1}{\varphi} \nabla u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}} = 0 .
\] (7.8)

Such a relation is given by \( u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}} = \varphi \psi_{\omega,\varphi,\varphi}^{\text{out},\text{out}} \). Notice that \( u(t, x) := e^{-i\omega t} u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}}(x) \) is a fixed-frequency solution of the acoustic wave equation

\[
\partial_t^2 u - \nabla^2 u = \frac{1}{\varphi^2} \nabla \varphi \nabla u,
\]

By Corollary 5.4 since \( u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}} = \psi_{\omega,\varphi,\varphi}^{\text{out},\text{out}} \) outside \( B_R \), the eigenfunction \( u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}} \) has the asymptotic behavior

\[
u^{-1/2} \psi_{\omega,\varphi,\varphi}^{\text{out},\text{out}}(x) \sim e^{-i\omega t} x + \frac{e^{i\omega |\xi|}}{|\xi|} u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}}(\omega, \hat{\xi}, \hat{x}) + O(|x|^{-2}) \quad |x| \gg R \nu^{-1/2},
\]

uniformly in all directions \( \hat{x} := \frac{x}{|x|} \), where the far-field pattern \( u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}}(\omega, \hat{\xi}, \hat{x}) \) is related to the scattering amplitude for the Schrödinger operator \( A_{\varphi,\varphi,\varphi} \) by

\[
u^{-1/2} \psi_{\omega,\varphi,\varphi}^{\text{out},\text{out}}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} s_{\varphi,\varphi}(\omega, \hat{\xi}, \hat{x}^\nu). \]

By Theorem 5.2 \( u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}} \) is the unique solution of the stationary acoustic equation (7.8) such that the scattered field \( u_{\omega,\varphi,\varphi}(x) := u_{\omega,\varphi}^{\text{out}}(x) - e^{-i\omega t} x \) satisfies the outgoing Sommerfeld radiation condition.

Remark 7.3. An more explicit characterization of a class of function \( \varphi \) satisfying hypotheses (7.1), (7.3) and (7.7) is the following:

\[
\varphi(x) = 1 + \chi_\nu(\varphi_\nu + \text{SL}_\varphi), \quad \text{SL}_\varphi(x) := \int_{\Gamma} \frac{|\xi(y)|^2 d\sigma y}{4\pi |x - y|},
\]

where \( \chi_\nu \in C^1_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^3), \chi_\nu = 1 \) on some large ball containing \( \Omega, \varphi_\nu \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \) and \( \xi \in L^p(\Gamma), p > 8/3. \) This includes the case where the normal derivative of \( \varphi \) has a jump across \( \Gamma \) which is locally supported on a closed subset \( \Sigma \subset \Gamma \). By the same reasoning as in Remark 3.6 one has \( |\nabla \varphi| \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3), \Delta_{\Omega_{\text{int}}\varphi} \varphi \in L^2(\Omega_{\text{in}}) \) and \( |\varphi_\nu| \in L^p(\Gamma) \subset M(H^p(\Gamma), H^{-q}(\Gamma)) \). Moreover, by \( H^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset \text{W}^{1,6}(\mathbb{R}^3) \), by \( \text{SL}_\varphi \in \text{W}^{1,1/p-\varepsilon,p}(\Omega_{\text{in}}) \) (see [14, Theorem 3.1]) and by \( \text{W}^{1+1/p-\varepsilon,p}(\Omega_{\text{in}}) \subset \text{W}^{1,\lambda}(\Omega_{\text{in}}) \) whenever \( p > 8/3, \) one has \( |\nabla \varphi_\nu \varphi| \in L^4(\Omega_{\text{in}}) \).
Thanks to Theorem 6.2 one gets the following uniqueness result in acoustic scattering:

**Theorem 7.4.** Let \( g_1 \geq 0, g_2 \geq 0 \) satisfy hypotheses (7.1), (7.3), (7.7) (see for example Remark 7.3) and let \( v_1 \geq 0, v_2 \geq 0 \) such that \( v_1 \leq v_2 \) everywhere \( x \) lies outside some large ball \( B_R \supset (\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2) \). Then, given \( \omega \neq \omega_0 \neq 0 \),

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{\omega}{v_1^2} (\phi_1, \cdot, \cdot) = \frac{\omega}{v_2^2} (\phi_2, \cdot, \cdot) \\
\frac{\omega}{v_1^2} (\phi_0, \cdot, \cdot) = \frac{\omega}{v_2^2} (\phi_0, \cdot, \cdot)
\end{cases}
\implies \begin{cases}
\phi_1 = \phi_2 \\
v_1 = v_2.
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \phi_m = \phi_m^{-1/2}, m = 1, 2 \). By (7.9) and Theorem 6.2, one gets

\[
\alpha_{e_1} = \frac{[\tilde{\gamma}_1 \phi_1]}{\gamma_0 \phi_1} = \frac{[\tilde{\gamma}_1 \phi_2]}{\gamma_0 \phi_2} = \alpha_{e_2}
\]

(7.10)

Furthermore, considering any open and bounded \( \Omega \) with Lipschitz boundary \( \Gamma \) such that \( \text{supp}(\alpha_{e_1}) = \text{supp}(\alpha_{e_2}) \) \( \subseteq \Gamma \) one has, in both \( L^2(\Omega_{in}) \) and \( L^2(\Omega_{ex}) \),

\[
\frac{\Delta \phi_1}{\phi_1} + \omega^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{v_1^2} \right) = \frac{\Delta \phi_2}{\phi_2} + \omega^2 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{v_2^2} \right).
\]

Thus, since \( \omega \neq \omega_0 \neq 0 \), one has \( v_1 = v_2 \) and

\[
(\phi_2|\Omega_{in/ex}) \Delta_{\Omega_{in/ex}} (\phi_2|\Omega_{in/ex}) = (\phi_1|\Omega_{in/ex}) \Delta_{\Omega_{in/ex}} (\phi_2|\Omega_{in/ex}).
\]

Let us set \( u_{in/ex} := (\phi_2 - \phi_1)|\Omega_{in/ex} \), so that

\[
(-\Delta_{\Omega_{in/ex}} + V_{in/ex}) u_{in/ex} = 0, \quad V_{in/ex} := \frac{\Delta_{\Omega_{in/ex}} (\phi_2|\Omega_{in/ex})}{\phi_2|\Omega_{in/ex}}.
\]

Since \( u_{ex} = 0 \) outside \( B_R \) and \( V_{ex} \in L^2_{\text{comp}}(\Omega_{ex}) \), the unique continuation principle (see e.g. [22]) leads us to \( \phi_1 = \phi_2 \) on \( \Omega_{in} \). In addition, due to Corollary 7.2 both \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) belong to \( H^1(\Omega_{in}) \); then the previous identity yields \( \gamma_0 \phi_1 = \gamma_0 \phi_2 \) and \( \tilde{\gamma}_1 \phi_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_1 \phi_2 \). Hence, setting \( u := u_{in} \oplus u_{ex} \), one has \( [\gamma_0]u = 0 \) and, by (7.10), \([\tilde{\gamma}_1](u) = 0 \). By elliptic regularity, \( u_{in} \in H^2(\Omega_{in}) \); so \( u \) belongs to \( H^2(\Omega_{ex}) \) and solves \((-\Delta + V_{in} + V_{ex}) u = 0 \). Using again the unique continuation principle, this entails \( u = 0 \). Therefore \( \phi_1 = \phi_2 \), i.e. \( g_1 = g_2 \). \( \square \)
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