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Abstract

Maximally monotone operators play important roles in optimization, variational analysis and differential equations. Finding zeros of maximally monotone operators has been a central topic. In a Hilbert space, we show that most resolvents are super-regular, that most maximally monotone operators have a unique zero and that the set of strongly monotone mapping is of first category although each strongly monotone operator has a unique zero. The results are established by applying the Baire Category Theorem to the space of nonexpansive mappings.
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1 Introduction

Throughout, $X$ is a real Hilbert space whose inner product is denoted by $\langle x, y \rangle$ and induced inner product norm by $\|x\| := \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ for $x, y \in X$. Recall that a set-valued operator $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ (i.e., $\forall x \in X \ A x \subseteq X$) with graph $\text{gr} A$ is monotone if

$$
\text{(1)} \quad (\forall (x, u) \in \text{gr} A)(\forall (y, v) \in \text{gr} A) \quad \langle x - y, u - v \rangle \geq 0
$$
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where $\text{gr} A := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : y \in A x\}$, and that $A$ is \textit{maximally monotone} if it is impossible to find a proper extension of $A$ that is still monotone. We call $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ \textit{strongly monotone} \cite{5, 27} if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $A - \varepsilon \text{Id}$ is monotone in which $\text{Id} : X \to X : x \mapsto x$ denotes the identity operator.

We shall work in the space of nonexpansive mappings defined on $X$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{N}(X) := \{T : X \to X : \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in X\};$$

the space of firmly nonexpansive mappings

$$\mathcal{J}(X) := \{T : X \to X : \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, x - y\rangle, \forall x, y \in X\};$$

and the space of \textit{maximal monotone operators}

$$\mathcal{M}(X) := \{A : X \rightrightarrows X : A \text{ is maximally monotone}\}$$

endowed with a metric defined in Section \cite{2}. The reason to investigate nonexpansive mappings defined on $X$ is that they are directly related to maximally monotone operators.

In this note, we study generic properties of $\mathcal{N}(X)$, $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and $\mathcal{J}(X)$ by the Baire Category Theorem. A recent result due to Reich and Zaslavski implies that most nonexpansive mappings in $\mathcal{N}(X)$ are super-regular so that each of them has a unique fixed point. Utilizing Reich and Zaslavski’s technique, we show that (i) Most resolvents in $\mathcal{J}(X)$ are super regular, thus asymptotically regular; (ii) Most maximally monotone operators in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ have a unique zero; (iii) The set of strongly monotone operators is only a first category set in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ even though it is dense.

While extensive study has been done on $\mathcal{N}(X)$ \cite{5, 14, 15, 7, 9, 19, 21, 23, 24} and on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ \cite{1, 5, 27, 29, 31}, generic properties on $\mathcal{M}(X)$ and $\mathcal{J}(X)$ seem new. They are particularly interesting for the optimization field. Note that De Blasi and Myjak only considered generic properties of continuous bounded monotone operators on a bounded set in \cite{8}.

In the reminder of this section, we introduce some definitions, basic facts and preliminary results. For $A \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, we define its \textit{resolvent} and \textit{reflected resolvent} (or Cayley transform) by

$$J_A := (A + \text{Id})^{-1}, \quad R_A := 2J_A - \text{Id}.\$$

It is well-known that $J_A + J_{A^{-1}} = \text{Id}$, $R_A + R_{A^{-1}} = 0$, see, e.g., \cite{27}, \cite[Proposition 4.1]{4}. Both resolvent and reflected resolvent play a key role in the proximal point algorithm and Douglass-Rachford algorithm \cite{5, 25, 11, 12, 17, 4}.

The following well-known characterizations about firmly nonexpansive mappings, nonexpansive mappings and maximally monotone operators are crucial.

\textbf{Fact 1.1} (See, e.g., \cite{5, 15, 14}. ) Let $T : X \to X$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $T$ is firmly nonexpansive.
(ii) $2T - \text{Id}$ is nonexpansive.

(iii) $(\forall x \in X)(\forall y \in X) \parallel Tx - Ty\parallel^2 \leq \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle$.

(iv) $(\forall x \in X)(\forall y \in X) 0 \leq \langle Tx - Ty, (\text{Id} - T)x - (\text{Id} - T)y \rangle$.

**Fact 1.2 (Eckstein & Bertsekas, Minty)** [18, 31, 13] Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ be monotone. Then $A$ is maximally monotone if and only if $J_A$ is firmly non-expansive and has a full domain.

For $T : X \to X$, let $\text{Fix } T$ denote its fixed point set $\text{Fix } T := \{x \in X : Tx = x\}$. Facts 1.1, 1.2 allow us to summarize the relationship among $\mathcal{N}(X), \mathcal{J}(X), \mathcal{M}(X)$.

**Proposition 1.3**

(i) $\mathcal{N}(X) = \{R_A : A \in \mathcal{M}(X)\}$,

$\mathcal{M}(X) = \left\{\left(\frac{T + \text{Id}}{2}\right)^{-1} - \text{Id} : T \in \mathcal{N}(X)\right\}$.

(ii) $\mathcal{J}(X) = \{J_A : A \in \mathcal{M}(X)\}$,

$\mathcal{M}(X) = \left\{T^{-1} - \text{Id} : T \in \mathcal{J}(X)\right\}$.

(iii) $\mathcal{N}(X) = \{2T - \text{Id} : T \in \mathcal{J}(X)\}$,

$\mathcal{J}(X) = \left\{\frac{T + \text{Id}}{2} : T \in \mathcal{N}(X)\right\}$.

(iv) Let $A \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Then $\text{Fix } R_A = \text{Fix } J_A = A^{-1}(0)$.

Many nice properties and applications about $\mathcal{N}(X), \mathcal{J}(X), \mathcal{M}(X)$ can be found in [1, 5, 6, 23, 24] and they continue to flourish. We refer readers to [6] for a systematic relationship among these three spaces. Let us now turn to the graphical convergence of set-valued maximal monotone operators.

**Definition 1.4** [10] page 360] Given a sequence of maximally monotone operators

$\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, A$.

The sequence $\{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is said to be graphically convergent to $A$, written as $A_n \xrightarrow{g} A$, if

for every $(x, y) \in \text{gr } A$ there exists $(x_n, y_n) \in \text{gr } A_n$ such that $x_n \to x, y_n \to y$ strongly in $X \times X$.

In terms of set convergence $\text{gr } A \subset \lim \inf \text{gr } A_n$. 
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**Proposition 1.5** The following are equivalent

(i) A sequence of maximally monotone operators \((A_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}\) in \(\mathcal{M}(X)\) converges graphically to \(A\);

(ii) \((J_{A_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}\) converges pointwise to \(J_A\) on \(X\);

(iii) \((R_{A_k})_{k=1}^{\infty}\) converges pointwise to \(R_A\) on \(X\).

**Proof.** (i) ⇔ (ii) follows from [1, Proposition 3.60, pages 361-362]. (ii) ⇔ (iii) is obvious since \(R_A = 2J_A - \text{Id}\). ■

A set \(S\) in a complete metric space \(Y\) is called **residual** if there is a sequence of dense and open sets \(O_n \subset Y\) such that \(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n \subset S\); in this case we call \(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n\) a dense \(G_\delta\) set. A classical theorem of Baire is

**Fact 1.6 (Baire Category Theorem)** [26, page 158] Let \(Y\) be a complete metric space and \(\{O_n\}\) a countable collection of dense open subsets of \(Y\). Then \(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n\) is dense in \(Y\).

The technique of Baire Category has been instrumental in studying fixed point of nonexpansive mappings; see, e.g., [7, 8, 9, 19, 20, 21, 23, 24].

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give the main result. In Section 3 we introduce a class of weakly contractive mappings which contains contractive mappings, and show that although it is dense, it is only a set of first category.

**Notation.** For a set-valued mapping \(A : X \rightrightarrows X\), we write \(\text{dom} A := \{x \in X \mid Ax \neq \emptyset\}\) and \(\text{ran} A := A(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} Ax\) for the **domain** and **range** of \(A\), respectively. \(B_r(x)\) denotes the closed ball of radius \(r\) centered at \(x\). \(\mathbb{N}\) stands for the set of natural numbers.

## 2 Main results

In this section, using Reich and Zaslavski’s technique on super-regular mappings we establish a generic property of super-regular mappings in complete subspaces of \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\). This allows us to show that most resolvents are super-regular; most maximally monotone operators have a super-regular reflected resolvent and a unique zero.

We start with three complete metric spaces which set up the stage for the Baire Category Theorem.

On \(\mathcal{N}(X)\) we define a metric, for \(T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{N}(X)\)

\[
\rho(T_1, T_2) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{1}{1 + \|T_1 - T_2\|_n}
\]
where \( \| T_1 - T_2 \|_n := \sup_{\| x \| \leq n} \| T_1 x - T_2 x \| \). The metric \( \rho \) defines a topology of pointwise convergence on \( X \) and uniform convergence on bounded subsets of \( X \).

**Proposition 2.1** \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\) is a complete metric space.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that \( \rho \) is a metric (cf. [16, pages 10-11]). We show that \( \mathcal{N}(X) \) is complete. Assume that \((T_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}\) is a Cauchy sequence in \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\). Then for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \((T_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}\) is a uniform Cauchy sequence on \( B_n(0) \). In particular, \((T_k(x))_{k=1}^{\infty}\) is Cauchy in \( X \) for each \( x \in B_n(0) \), so \( T_k(x) \) converges to \( Tx \in X \). Moreover, for every \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), \( \| T_k - T \|_n \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \). Since each \( T_k \) is nonexpansive, \( T \) is nonexpansive, i.e., \( T \in \mathcal{N}(X) \). It remains to show \( \rho(T_k, T) \to 0 \) as \( k \to \infty \). Let \( \epsilon > 0 \). Choose \( M \in \mathbb{N} \) large such that

\[
\sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.
\]

For this \( M \), choose a large \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( \| T_k - T \|_M < \frac{\epsilon}{2} \) when \( k > N \). Then for \( k > N \) we have

\[
\rho(T_k, T) = \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\| T_k - T \|_n}{1 + \| T_k - T \|_n} + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\| T_k - T \|_n}{1 + \| T_k - T \|_n} \\
\leq \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\epsilon/2}{1 + \epsilon/2} + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.
\]

Hence \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\) is complete. \[\blacksquare\]

**Remark 2.2** In [21], Reich and Zaslavaski define a uniform space \((\mathcal{N}(X), \mathcal{U})\) where the uniformity \( \mathcal{U} \) is defined by the base

\[
\mathcal{E}(n, \epsilon) = \{(T, S) \in \mathcal{N}(X) \times \mathcal{N}(X) : \| T - S \|_n < \epsilon \}
\]

for \( n \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0 \). The topology induced by this uniformity and the metric \( \rho \) are exactly the same.

On \( \mathcal{M}(X) \) let us define a metric

\[
\tilde{\rho}(A, B) := \rho(R_A, R_B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\| R_A - R_B \|_n}{1 + \| R_A - R_B \|_n}
\]

for \( A, B \in \mathcal{M}(X) \).

**Proposition 2.3** 
(i) The space of monotone operators \((\mathcal{M}(X), \tilde{\rho})\) is a complete metric space, and it is isometric to \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\).

(ii) When \( X = \mathbb{R}^N \), the topology on \((\mathcal{M}(X), \tilde{\rho})\) is precisely the topology of graphical convergence.
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Proof. (i) By Facts 1.1, 1.2 under the mapping $A \mapsto R_A$

\[ (\mathcal{M}(X), \bar{\rho}) \text{ and } (\mathcal{N}(X), \rho) \text{ are isometric.} \]

Since $(\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)$ is complete by Proposition 2.1 we conclude that $(\mathcal{M}(X), \bar{\rho})$ is complete.

(ii) When $X = \mathbb{R}^N$, on $\mathcal{N}(X)$ pointwise convergence and uniform convergence on compact subsets are the same. By Proposition 1.5, we obtain that the topology on $(\mathcal{M}(X), \bar{\rho})$ is exactly the topology of graphical convergence. 

On $\mathcal{J}(X)$ let us define a metric

\[ \hat{\rho}(T_1, T_2) := \rho(2T_1 - \text{Id}, 2T_2 - \text{Id}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\|2T_1 - 2T_2\|_n}{1 + \|2T_1 - 2T_2\|_n} \]

for $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{J}(X)$.

**Proposition 2.4** The space of resolvents $(\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho})$ is a complete metric space, and it is isometric to $(\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)$. 

Proof. By Fact 1.1 under the mapping $T \mapsto 2T - \text{Id}$

\[ (\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho}) \text{ and } (\mathcal{N}(X), \rho) \text{ are isometric.} \]

Since $(\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)$ is complete by Proposition 2.1 the result holds.

Next we study the denseness of contraction mappings and strongly monotone operators, which are required in later proofs.

**Definition 2.5** The map $T \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ is called a contraction with modulus $1 > l \geq 0$ if

\[ \|Tx - Ty\| \leq l\|x - y\| \quad \forall \ x, \ y \in X. \]

**Lemma 2.6** (i) (denseness of contraction mappings) In $(\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)$ the set of contractions is dense, i.e., for very $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ there exists a contraction $T_1 \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ such that $\rho(T, T_1) < \varepsilon$.

(ii) (denseness of contractive firmly nonexpansive mappings) In $(\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho})$ the set of contraction is dense, i.e., for very $\varepsilon > 0$ and $T \in \mathcal{J}(X)$ there exists a contraction $T_1 \in \mathcal{J}(X)$ such that $\hat{\rho}(T, T_1) < \varepsilon$.

Proof. (i) Let $T \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ and $1 > \varepsilon > 0$. Choose an integer $M$ sufficiently large such that

\[ \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \]
Choose
\[ 0 < \lambda < \frac{\varepsilon}{2(1 + \|T\|_M)} < \frac{1}{2} \]
and define
\[ T_1 := (1 - \lambda)T. \]
Then \( T_1 \) is a contraction with modulus \( 1/2 < 1 - \lambda < 1 \). As
\[ \|T_1 - T\|_M = \sup_{\|x\| \leq M} \|(1 - \lambda)Tx - Tx\| \]
(9)
\[ = \lambda \sup_{\|x\| \leq M} \|Tx\| = \lambda \|T\|_M < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}. \]
(10)
Using \( \|T_1 - T\|_n \leq \|T_1 - T\|_M < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \) when \( n \leq M \) and (9), we have
\[ \rho(T_1, T) = \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\|T_1 - T\|_n}{1 + \|T_1 - T\|_n} + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\|T_1 - T\|_n}{1 + \|T_1 - T\|_n} \]
(11)
\[ \leq \sum_{n=1}^{M} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \]
(12)
\[ < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \]
(13)
so \( \rho(T, T_1) < \varepsilon \).

(ii) The proof is similar as in (i) by replacing \( \rho \) by \( \hat{\rho} \) and by observing that \( T_1 = (1 - \lambda)T \in J(X) \) if \( T \in J(X) \) and \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \). \[ \blacksquare \]

To study monotone operators, we need:

**Fact 2.7** [6, Corollary 4.7] Let \( A : X \to X \) be maximally monotone. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) Both \( A \) and \( A^{-1} \) are strongly monotone;

(ii) There exists \( \varepsilon > 0 \) such that both \( (1 + \varepsilon)J_A \) and \( (1 + \varepsilon)J_{A^{-1}} \) are firmly nonexpansive;

(iii) \( R_A \) is a Banach contraction.

**Lemma 2.8 (denseness of strongly monotone mappings)** In \( (\mathcal{M}(X), \hat{\rho}) \) the set of monotone operators \( A \) such that both \( A \) and \( A^{-1} \) are strongly monotone is dense, i.e., for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( A \in \mathcal{M}(X) \) there exists a \( B \in \mathcal{M}(X) \) such that both \( B \) and \( B^{-1} \) are strongly monotone, and \( \hat{\rho}(A, B) < \varepsilon \). Consequently, the set of strongly monotone operators is dense in \( \mathcal{M}(X) \).
Proof. Under the mapping $A \mapsto R_A$

$(\mathcal{M}(X), \tilde{\rho})$ and $(\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)$ are isometric.

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. By Lemma 2.6(i), for $R_A$ there exists a contraction $T_1$ such that $\rho(R_A, T_1) < \varepsilon$. Proposition 1.3(i) says that there exists $B \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that $T_1 = R_B$. By Fact 2.7 both $B, B^{-1}$ are strongly monotone. The proof is complete by using $\tilde{\rho}(A, B) = \rho(R_A, R_B)$.

To prove our main results, we require super-regular mappings introduced by Reich and Zaslavaski [21].

**Definition 2.9 (Reich-Zaslavski)** A mapping $T : X \to X$ is called super-regular if there exists a unique $x_T \in X$ such that for each $s > 0$, when $n \to \infty$,

$$T^n x \to x_T \quad \text{uniformly on } B_s(0).$$

Our next two results collect some elementary properties of super-regular mappings.

**Proposition 2.10** Assume that $T : X \to X$ is super-regular and continuous. Then $\text{Fix } T$ is a singleton.

**Proof.** Let $x \in X$. Using the continuity and super-regularity of $T$, we have

$$x_T = \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n x = \lim_{n \to \infty} T(T^{n-1} x) = T x_T$$

so $x_T \in \text{Fix } T$. Let $x \in \text{Fix } T$. By the super-regularity of $T$ and $T^n x = x$, $x = \lim_{n \to \infty} T^n x = x_T$. Hence $\text{Fix } T = \{x_T\}$. ■

**Proposition 2.11**

(i) If $T \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ is a contraction, then $T$ is super-regular.

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{M}(X)$ has both $A$ and $A^{-1}$ being strongly monotone, then $R_A$ and $J_A$ are super-regular.

**Proof.** (i) Let $s > 0$. Let $T$ be a contraction with modulus $0 \leq l < 1$. By the Banach Contraction Principle [16, pages 300-302], $T$ has a unique fixed point $x_T$, and with arbitrary $x \in X$ the error estimate is

$$\|T^n x - x_T\| \leq \frac{l^n}{1-l} \|x - Tx\|.$$  

For every $x \in B_s(0)$,

$$\|T^n x - x_T\| \leq \frac{l^n}{1-l} (\|x\| + \|Tx - T0\| + \|T0\|) \leq \frac{l^n}{1-l} (s + ls + \|T0\|).$$
Therefore,
\[ \| T^n - x_T \|_s \leq \frac{t^n}{1 - l} (s + Is + \| T0 \|) \to 0 \quad \text{when} \ n \to \infty. \]

Since \( s > 0 \) was arbitrary, \( T \) is super-regular.

(ii) By Fact 2.7, \( R_A \) is a contraction. Since \( A \) is strongly monotone, \( J_A \) is a contraction \[25\]. Hence (i) applies. 

The proof ideas to Proposition 2.12 and Theorem 2.13 below are due to Reich and Zaslaski \[21, 20\]. We adopt them to our complete metric space setting, and to subspaces of \( \mathcal{N}(X) \). For two metrics \( \rho, d \) on \( F \subseteq \mathcal{N}(X) \), if \( \rho(T_1, T) \leq d(T_1, T) \) for all \( T_1, T \in F \) we write \( \rho \leq d \).

**Proposition 2.12** Assume that \( F \subseteq \mathcal{N}(X) \), \( (F, d) \) is complete and \( d \geq \rho \). Let \( T \in F \) be super-regular and \( \varepsilon, s \) be positive numbers. Then there exists \( \delta > 0 \) and \( n_0 \geq 2 \) such that when
\[ d(T_1, T) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad n \geq n_0 \]
we have
\[ \| T^n x - x_T \| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for every} \ x \in B_s(0), \]
i.e., \( \| T^n x - x_T \|_s < \varepsilon \).

**Proof.** We may and do assume that \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1/2 \). Let \( x_T \) denote the unique fixed point of \( T \). Choose an integer \( M > 1 + 2s + 4\| x_T \| \) so that
\[ s < \frac{M}{2}, \quad \frac{1}{2} + s + 2\| x_T \| < \frac{M}{2}. \]
As \( T \) is super-regular, there exists \( n_0 \geq 2 \) such that
\[ \| T^n x - x_T \| < \frac{\varepsilon}{8} \quad \text{whenever} \ x \in B_M(0) \ 	ext{and} \ n \geq n_0. \]

Put
\[ \delta := \frac{1}{2^M} \left( \frac{(8n_0)^{-1}\varepsilon}{1 + (8n_0)^{-1}\varepsilon} \right). \]

We will show that (14) holds when \( d(T_1, T) < \delta \) and \( n \geq n_0 \).

Let \( d(T_1, T) < \delta \). Then \( \rho(T_1, T) < \delta \). Using the definition of \( \rho \) and that \( t \mapsto \frac{t}{1 + t} \) is strictly increasing on \([0, +\infty)\), we have
\[ \| T_1 - T \|_M < (8n_0)^{-1}\varepsilon. \]

**Claim 1.** Whenever \( x \in B_{M/2}(0) \) and \( 1 \leq n \leq n_0 \),
\[ \| T^n x - x_T \| < n(8n_0)^{-1}\varepsilon, \]
\[ \| T^n x \| < \frac{1}{2} + \| x \| + 2\| x_T \| < M. \]
We prove this by induction. As $T \in A(X)$ and $T^n x = x_T$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

(20) \[ \|T^n x - T^n x\| \leq \|T^n x - TT^{n-1}_1 x\| + \|TT^{n-1}_1 x - T^n x\| \]
(21) \[ \leq \|T^n x - TT^{n-1}_1 x\| + \|T^{n-1}_1 x - T^n x\|, \]
and

(22) \[ \|T^n x - x_T\| \leq \|T^n x - T^n x\| + \|T^n x - x_T\| \]
(23) \[ \leq \|T^n x - T^n x\| + \|x - x_T\| \]
(24) \[ \leq \|T^n x - T^n x\| + \|x\| + \|x_T\|. \]

Now when $n = 1$, (18) follows from (17); for (19), by (22) and (17)

\[ \|T_1 x\| \leq \|T_1 x - x_T\| + \|x_T\| \leq \|T_1 x - T x\| + \|x\| + 2\|x_T\| < \frac{1}{2} + \|x\| + 2\|x_T\|. \]

Assume that (18)-(19) hold for $1 \leq n < n_0$, i.e.,

(25) \[ \|T^n_1 x - T^n x\| < n(8n_0)^{-1}\epsilon, \]
(26) \[ \|T^n_1 x\| < \frac{1}{2} + \|x\| + 2\|x_T\| < M. \]

Using (20) for $n + 1$, (25), (17), $\|T^n_1 x\| < M$ and $n < n_0$, we obtain

(27) \[ \|T^{n+1}_1 x - T^{n+1} x\| \leq \|T^{n+1}_1 x - TT^n_1 x\| + \|T^n_1 x - T^n x\| \]
(28) \[ < (8n_0)^{-1}\epsilon + n(8n_0)^{-1}\epsilon = (n + 1)(8n_0)^{-1}\epsilon. \]

Using (22) for $n + 1$, (27),

(29) \[ \|T^{n+1}_1 x\| \leq \|T^{n+1}_1 x - x_T\| + \|x_T\| \]
(30) \[ \leq \|T^{n+1}_1 x - T^{n+1} x\| + \|x\| + 2\|x_T\| \]
(31) \[ < (n + 1)(8n_0)^{-1}\epsilon + \|x\| + 2\|x_T\| < \frac{1}{2} + \|x\| + 2\|x_T\|. \]

This establishes (18)-(19).

**Claim 2.**

(32) \[ \|T^n_1 y - x_T\| < \epsilon \quad \text{whenever } y \in B_s(0) \text{ and } n \geq n_0. \]

This is done again by induction. When $n = n_0$, as $\|y\| \leq s < M/2$, by (16) and (18)

\[ \|T^{n_0}_1 y - x_T\| \leq \|T^{n_0}_1 y - T^{n_0} y\| + \|T^{n_0} y - x_T\| < \epsilon/8 + \epsilon/8 < \epsilon. \]

Assume that (32) holds for all $n_0 \leq n \leq k$. For $i = 1, \ldots, n_0$, (19) and (15) give

(33) \[ \|T^i_1 y\| < 1/2 + \|y\| + 2\|x_T\| < 1/2 + s + 2\|x_T\| < M/2; \]
For $k \geq i > n_0$, (32) and (15) give

$$\|T_i^1 y\| \leq \|T_i^1 y - x_T\| + \|x_T\| < 1/2 + \|x_T\| < M/2.$$  \hfill (34)

Set $j = k + 1 - n_0$ and $x = T_j^1 y$. Then $1 \leq j < k$ and $\|x\| < M/2$ by (33) and (34). Combining (16), (18) and (19) yields

$$\|T_{k+1}^1 y - x_T\| = \|T_{n_0}^1 x - x_T\|$$
$$\leq \|T_{n_0}^1 x - T_{n_0}^n x\| + \|T_{n_0}^n x - x_T\| < \varepsilon/8 + \varepsilon/8 < \varepsilon.$$  \hfill (35)

This completes the proof. \hfill ■

Our first main result comes as follows.

**Theorem 2.13 (generic property of super-regular mappings in complete subspaces)** Let $(\mathcal{F}, d)$ be a complete metric space, $\mathcal{F} \subset N(X)$ and $d \geq \rho$. Assume that the set of contraction mappings $\mathcal{C}$ is dense in $\mathcal{F}$. Then there exists a set $G \subset \mathcal{F}$ which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense set in $\mathcal{F}$ such that each $T \in G$ is super-regular. In particular, $\text{Fix}(T) = (\text{Id} - T)^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ is a singleton.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.12 and Proposition 2.11(i), for each $T \in \mathcal{C}$, in $(\mathcal{F}, d)$ there exists an open neighborhood $U(T, i)$ of $T$ and an integer $n(T, i) \geq 2$ such that whenever $T_1 \in U(T, i)$, $n \geq n(T, i)$ and $x \in B_i(0)$

$$\|T_1^n x - x_T\| < \frac{1}{i}.$$  \hfill (37)

Define $G := \bigcap_{q=1}^{\infty} O_q$ where

$$O_q := \bigcup \{U(T, i) : T \in \mathcal{C}, i = q, q + 1, \ldots\}$$

which is dense and open in $\mathcal{F}$, since $\mathcal{C} \subset O_q$ and each $U(T, i)$ is open.

Let $T \in G$. Then there exists a sequence $(T_q)_{q=1}^{\infty}$ and a sequence $(i_q)_{q=1}^{\infty}$ with $i_q \geq q$ such that $T \in U(T_q, i_q)$ for $q = 1, 2, \ldots$. Then for each $q$, by (37), when $n \geq n(T_q, i_q)$ and $x \in B_{i_q}(0)$ we have

$$\|T^n x - x_{T_q}\| < \frac{1}{i_q}.$$  \hfill (38)

It follows that when $n \geq \max\{n(T_q, i_q), n(T_p, i_p)\}$ and $\|x\| \leq \min\{i_p, i_q\}$,

$$\|x_{T_q} - x_{T_p}\| \leq \|x_{T_q} - T^n x\| + \|T^n x - x_{T_p}\| < \frac{1}{i_q} + \frac{1}{i_p},$$
thus \((x_{T_q})_{q=1}^{\infty}\) is a Cauchy sequence with a limit \(x_T \in X\). Let \(s > 0\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\). Choose \(i_q\) and \(q\) sufficiently large such that \(B_s(0) \subseteq B_{i_q}(0)\) and

\[
\frac{1}{i_q} + \|x_{T_q} - x_T\| < \varepsilon.
\]

In view of (38), for every \(x \in B_s(0)\) and \(n \geq n(T_q, i_q)\), we have

\[
\|T^n x - x_T\| \leq \|T^n x - x_{T_q}\| + \|x_{T_q} - x_T\| < \frac{1}{i_q} + \|x_{T_q} - x_T\| < \varepsilon.
\]

Hence \(T\) is super-regular. The remaining result follows from Proposition 2.10.

Different choices of \(F\) lead to:

**Theorem 2.14 (Reich & Zaslavaski [21])** There exists a set \(G \subset \mathcal{N}(X)\) which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense sets in \(\mathcal{N}(X)\) such that each \(T \in G\) is super-regular.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.6(i), the set of contractions \(C \subset \mathcal{N}(X)\) is dense in \(\mathcal{N}(X)\). Apply Theorem 2.13 to the complete metric space \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\). ■

**Theorem 2.15 (super-regularity of resolvents)** In \((\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho})\), the set

\[
\{T \in \mathcal{J}(X) : T \text{ is super-regular}\}
\]

is residual.

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.6(ii), the set of contractions \(C \subset \mathcal{J}(X)\) is dense in \(\mathcal{J}(X)\). Since

\[
\hat{\rho}(T_1, T_2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{2\|T_1 - T_2\|}{1 + 2\|T_1 - T_2\|} \geq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{\|T_1 - T_2\|}{1 + \|T_1 - T_2\|} = \rho(T_1, T_2) \quad \forall T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{J}(X)
\]

by (2) and (6), we have \(\hat{\rho} \geq \rho\). It remains to apply Theorem 2.13 to the complete metric space \((\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho})\). ■

Finding zeros of maximally monotone operators are important in optimization; see, e.g., [5, 12, 28, 17, 25]. However, we have

**Theorem 2.16 (unique zero of monotone operators)** In \((\mathcal{M}(X), \hat{\rho})\) there is a set \(G \subset \mathcal{M}(X)\) which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense sets in \(\mathcal{M}(X)\) such that each \(A \in G\) has \(R_A\) super-regular. In particular, \(A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset\) is a singleton.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.3, \((\mathcal{M}(X), \hat{\rho})\) is isometric to \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\). Apply Theorem 2.14 to \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\) to obtain \(\tilde{G}\) such that each \(T \in \tilde{G}\) is super-regular and \(G\) is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense sets in \(\mathcal{N}(X)\). This \(\tilde{G}\) corresponds to \(G\) in \((\mathcal{M}(X), \hat{\rho})\).
such that each $A \in G$ has $R_A$ being super-regular and $G$ is an intersection of open everywhere dense set in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Note that $\text{Fix}(R_A) = A^{-1}(0)$ by Proposition [1.3 iv]. Since $\text{Fix}(R_A)$ is a singleton when $A \in G$ by Proposition 2.10, the result holds.  

In this connection, see also [8, Corollary 1], where De Blasi and Myjak showed a similar generic property for continuous and bounded monotone operators on a bounded set.

**Corollary 2.17** In $(\mathcal{M}(X), \rho)$ there exists a set $G \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ which is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense set in $\mathcal{M}(X)$ such that each $A \in G$ has both $R_A$ and $J_A$ being super-regular. In particular, $A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ is a singleton.

**Proof.** Observe that for $A, B \in \mathcal{M}(X)$,

$$\hat{\rho}(A, B) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|R_A - R_B\|_n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|J_A - J_B\|_n = \hat{\rho}(J_A, J_B)$$

by (5) and (6). Thus, $(\mathcal{M}(X), \rho)$ and $(\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho})$ are isometric under the mapping $A \mapsto J_A$. Apply Theorems 2.15 to $(\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho})$ to obtain $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{J}(X)$ such that each $T \in \mathcal{G}_1$ is super-regular. This $\mathcal{G}_1$ corresponds to $G_1 \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that each $A \in G_1$ has $J_A$ being super-regular and $G_1$ is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense set in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. Apply Theorem 2.16 to obtain $G_2 \subset \mathcal{M}(X)$ such that each $A \in G_2$ has $R_A$ being super-regular and $G_2$ is a countable intersection of open everywhere dense set in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. It suffices to let $G = G_1 \cap G_2$.  

We finish this section with two examples.

**Example 2.18** For a maximal monotone operator $A \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, with regard to super-regularity a variety situations can happen to $R_A$ and $J_A$.

1. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ be given by $A = N_{\{0\}}$ the normal cone operator. Then $J_A = 0$ is super-regular, but $R_A = -\text{Id}$ is not super-regular.

2. Let $A : X \rightrightarrows X$ be given by $A = 0$ the zero operator. Then both $J_A = \text{Id}$ and $R_A = \text{Id}$ are not super-regular.

3. Let $A : X \to X$ be given by $A = \text{Id}$. Then $J_A = \text{Id} / 2$ and $R_A = 0$ are super-regular.

**Example 2.19** A super-regular mapping needs not be contractive.

Define $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by $T(x) = |\sin x|$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $T$ is not contractive but super-regular. $T$ is not contractive because $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |T'(x)| = 1$. To see that $T$ is super-regular, we note that $0 \leq T x \leq 1$ and for $n \geq 2$ the “iterative sequence” $(T^n)_{n=2}^{\infty}$ satisfies

$$0 \leq T^n(x) = \sin(T^{n-1}(x)) \leq T^{n-1}(x) \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

Being a decreasing monotone sequence bounded below, $(T^n(x))_{n=2}^{\infty}$ converges to 0, the unique fixed point of $T$. Since that the the decreasing function sequence $(T^n(x))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ con-
verges to 0 and that each $T^n$ is continuous, $T^n$ converges uniformly to 0 on every compact subset of $R$ by the Dini’s Theorem [26].

The results in the next section indicate that the set of contractive mappings and the set of strongly maximal monotone operators are too small.

3 Weakly contractive mapping, strong monotonicity and strong firmness

In this section we show that the set of contraction mappings in $(\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)$ (a subset of dense $G_\delta$ set in Theorem 2.14), the set of strongly monotone mappings in $(\mathcal{M}(X), \tilde{\rho})$ and the set of strongly firm nonexpansive mappings (a subset of dense $G_\delta$ set in Theorem 2.15) are first category, even they are dense in the corresponding metric spaces.

Definition 3.1 A nonexpansive mapping $T \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ is weakly contractive if there exists $2 > l > 0$ such that

\[
\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - l(\|x - y\|^2 + (x - y, Tx - Ty)) \quad \forall \, x, y \in X.
\]

The set of weakly contractive mappings is strictly larger than the set of contractive mappings since $T = -\text{Id}$ is weakly contractive but not contractive.

Definition 3.2 A firmly nonexpansive mapping $T \in \mathcal{J}(X)$ is strongly firm nonexpansive if there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

\[
(1 + \varepsilon)T \in \mathcal{J}(X),
\]

in particular, $T$ is $1/(1 + \varepsilon)$ contractive.

The following result states the relationship among $R_A$ being weakly contractive, $A$ being strongly monotone and $J_A$ being strongly firmly nonexpansive.

Proposition 3.3 Let $A \in \mathcal{M}(X)$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $A$ is strongly monotone for some $\varepsilon > 0$;
(ii) $\varepsilon\text{Id} + (1 + \varepsilon)R_A$ is nonexpansive;
(iii) $R_A$ is $\frac{2\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}$ weakly contractive;
(iv) $(1 + \varepsilon)J_A$ is firmly nonexpansive.
Proof. (i)$\iff$(ii) [6, Theorem 4.3]. (i)$\iff$(iv) [6, Theorem 2.1(xi)]. (ii)$\iff$(iii) (ii) means for $x, y \in X$,
\[ \| \varepsilon x + (1 + \varepsilon)R_A x - (\varepsilon y + (1 + \varepsilon)R_A y) \| \leq \| x - y \|, \]
that is,
\[ \varepsilon^2 \| x - y \|^2 + (1 + \varepsilon)^2 \| R_A x - R_A y \|^2 + 2\varepsilon(1 + \varepsilon) \langle x - y, R_A x - R_A y \rangle \leq \| x - y \|^2. \]
Simple algebraic manipulation shows that this is equivalent to
\[ \| R_A x - R_A y \|^2 \leq \| x - y \|^2 - \frac{2\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon}(\| x - y \|^2 + \langle x - y, R_A x - R_A y \rangle). \]

Corollary 3.4 Assume that $T \in \mathcal{N}(X)$ is a weakly contraction mapping for some $0 < l < 2$. Then $\text{Fix}(T) \neq \emptyset$ and is a singleton.

Proof. By Proposition 3.3, $T = R_A$ for a maximally monotone mapping and $A$ is strongly maximal monotone. Since $A$ is strongly monotone, we have $\text{ran} \ A = X$ by Brezis-Haraux’s range theorem [29, Corollary 31.6] so that $A^{-1}(0) \neq \emptyset$ and $A^{-1}(0)$ is a singleton. The proof is complete by using $\text{Fix}(T) = A^{-1}(0)$.

Example 3.5 (1) A weakly contractive mapping needs not be super-regular. Let $A : X \Rightarrow X$ be given by $A = N_{\{0\}}$ the normal cone operator. Then $R_A = -\text{Id}$ weakly contractive but not super-regular.

(2) A super-regular mapping needs not be weakly contractive. From Example 2.19, the mapping
\[ f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : x \mapsto |\sin x| \]
is super-regular. Since $\frac{f + \text{Id}}{2}$ is not contractive, $f$ is not weakly contractive by Proposition 3.3.

Example 3.6 A nonexpansive mapping can be neither weakly contractive nor super-regular. On the Euclidean space $X = \mathbb{R}^2$, the $\pi/2$-degree rotator $T : X \rightarrow X$ given
\[ T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]
is neither weakly contractive nor super-regular. Indeed, $T$ is nonexpansive since $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$ with $x \in X$; $T$ is not weakly nonexpansive because (39) fails, as $\langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle = 0$ for $x, y \in X$; $T$ is not super-regular because $\|T^n x\| = \|x\|$ for every $x \in X, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $T^n x \not\rightarrow 0$ unless $x = 0$.

The connection between weakly contractive mappings and contractive mappings comes next.
Proposition 3.7 Let $T \in \mathcal{N}(X)$.

(i) If $T$ is a contraction with modulus $0 \leq \beta < 1$, i.e., $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \beta \|x - y\|$ for all $x, y \in X$, then both $T$ and $-T$ are $(1 - \beta)$ weakly contractive.

(ii) If both $T$ and $-T$ are $(1 - \beta)$ weakly contractive, then $T$ is a contraction with modulus $\sqrt{\beta}$.

Proof. (i) Assume that $T$ is $\beta$ contractive. For $x, y \in X$, by the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality and $T$ being $\beta$ contractive, we have
\[ \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle \leq \|x - y\| \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \beta \|x - y\|^2. \]
It follows that
\begin{align*}
\|x - y\|^2 - (1 - \beta)(\|x - y\|^2 + \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle) \\
\geq \|x - y\|^2 - (1 - \beta)(\|x - y\|^2 + \beta \|x - y\|^2) \\
= \|x - y\|^2 - (1 - \beta^2)\|x - y\|^2 - \beta^2 \|x - y\|^2 \\
\geq \|Tx - Ty\|^2.
\end{align*}
Hence $T$ is $(1 - \beta)$ weakly contractive. Applying to $-T$, we obtain that $-T$ is $(1 - \beta)$ weakly contractive.

(ii) Assume that both $T$ and $-T$ are $(1 - \beta)$ weakly contractive. Then
\[ \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - (1 - \beta)(\|x - y\|^2 + \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \]
\[ \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - (1 - \beta)(\|x - y\|^2 - \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \]
Adding these inequality gives
\[ 2\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq 2\|x - y\|^2 - 2(1 - \beta)\|x - y\|^2 = 2\beta \|x - y\|^2 \]
which gives $\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \sqrt{\beta} \|x - y\|$ for $x, y \in X$. Hence $T$ is a $\sqrt{\beta}$ contraction.

It is very interesting to compare Proposition 3.3 to Fact 2.7.

Our main result in this section is

Theorem 3.8 (first category of weakly contraction mappings) In $(\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)$, the set of weak contraction mappings, i.e., $\mathcal{K} :=$
\[ \left\{ T \in \mathcal{N}(X) : \exists l > 0 \text{ such that } \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - l(\|x - y\|^2 + \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle) \right\} \]
\[ \forall x, y \in X. \]
is of first category.
Proof. Let \((l_n)_{n=1}^\infty\) be a positive strictly decreasing sequence in \((0, 2)\) with \(\lim_{n \to \infty} l_n = 0\). Define

\[
K_n := \left\{ T \in \mathcal{N}(X) : \|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 - l_n(\|x - y\|^2 + \langle x - y, Tx - Ty \rangle) \right\}.
\]

Then \(K_{n+1} \supset K_n\) for \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(K = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n\). Clearly \(K_n\) is closed in \(\mathcal{N}(X)\). We show that \(\text{int} \ K_n = \emptyset\), where \(\text{int} \ K_n\) stands for the interior of \(K_n\). Let \(T \in K_n\) and \(\varepsilon > 0\). We will construct \(T_2 \in \mathcal{N}(X)\) such that \(\rho(T, T_2) \leq 2\varepsilon\) and \(T_2 \not\in K_n\). To this end, first apply Lemma 2.6 to find a contraction map \(T_1\) with modulus \(0 < L < 1\) such that \(\rho(T_1, T) \leq \varepsilon\). As \(T_1 : X \to X\) is a contraction, it has a fixed point \(x_0 \in X\). Next we follow the idea from De Blasi and Myjak [9]. Put

\[
0 < \delta := \frac{(1-L)\varepsilon}{4} < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.
\]

Define

\[
\tilde{T}_1(x) := \begin{cases} 
  x & \text{if } x \in B_{\delta}(x_0) \\
  T_1(x) & \text{if } x \not\in B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_0).
\end{cases}
\]

Then \(\tilde{T}_1\) is nonexpansive on \(B_{\delta}(x_0) \cup (X \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_0))\). To see this, consider three cases: (i) If \(x, y \in B_{\delta}(x_0)\), then

\[
\|\tilde{T}_1(x) - \tilde{T}_1(y)\| = \|x - y\|;
\]

(ii) If \(x, y \not\in B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_0)\), then

\[
\|\tilde{T}_1(x) - \tilde{T}_1(y)\| = \|T_1x - T_1y\| \leq L\|x - y\|;
\]

(iii) \(x \in B_{\delta}(x_0), y \not\in B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_0)\). Note that \(T_1x_0 = x_0\), \(T_1\) being contractive with modulus \(L\), and

\[
\|x - y\| = \|x - x_0 + x_0 - y\| \geq \|x_0 - y\| - \|x - x_0\|
\]

\[
\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \|x - x_0\| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \delta
\]

\[
= \frac{\varepsilon}{2} - \frac{(1-L)\varepsilon}{4} = \frac{(1+L)\varepsilon}{4}.
\]

It follows that

\[
\|\tilde{T}_1(x) - \tilde{T}_1(y)\| = \|x - T_1y\|
\]

\[
= \|x - x_0 + T_1x_0 - T_1x + T_1x - T_1y\|
\]

\[
\leq \|x - x_0\| + \|T_1x_0 - T_1x\| + \|T_1x - T_1y\|
\]

\[
\leq \|x - x_0\| + L\|x - x_0\| + L\|x - y\|
\]

\[
= (1 + L)\|x - x_0\| + L\|x - y\|
\]

\[
\leq (1 + L)\delta + L\|x - y\|
\]

\[
= (1 + L)\frac{(1-L)\varepsilon}{4} + L\|x - y\|
\]
According to the Kirszbraun-Valentine extension theorem, see, e.g., [22], there exists a nonexpansive mapping \( T_2 : X \to X \) extending \( T_1 \) from \( \text{dom} \ T_1 \) to \( X \).

**Claim 1:** \( \rho(T_2, T_1) \leq \varepsilon \).

To see this, observe that \( T_2 x = T_1(x) = T_1(x) \) if \( x \in X \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_0) \). When \( x \in B_{\delta}(x_0) \) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\|T_2 x - T_1(x)\| &= \|x - T_1 x\| = \|x - x_0 + T_1 x_0 - T_1 x\| \\
&\leq \|x - x_0\| + \|T_1 x_0 - T_1 x\| \\
&\leq \|x - x_0\| + L \|x - x_0\| \\
&= (\varepsilon + L) \|x - x_0\| \
\end{align*}
\]

When \( x \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_0) \setminus B_{\delta}(x_0) \), pick

\[
y := x_0 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \frac{y - x_0}{\|y - x_0\|}
\]

so that \( y \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_0) \) and \( T_2 y = T_1 y \). We have

\[
\begin{align*}
\|T_2 x - T_1 x\| &= \|T_2 x - T_1 x - (T_2 y - T_1 y)\| + \|(T_2 x - T_2 y) - (T_1 x - T_1 y)\| \\
&\leq \|T_2 x - T_2 y\| + \|T_1 x - T_1 y\| \\
&\leq \|x - y\| + L \|x - y\| \\
&\leq (\varepsilon + L) \|x - y\| \
\end{align*}
\]

Then

\[
\rho(T, T_2) \leq \rho(T, T_1) + \rho(T_1, T_2) \leq 2\varepsilon.
\]

**Claim 2:** \( T_2 \notin \mathcal{K}_n \). This is because \( T_2 x = x \) for \( x \in B_{\delta}(x_0) \).

Since \( \varepsilon \) was arbitrary, \( \text{int} \mathcal{K}_n = \varnothing \). This completes the proof.

Combining Theorem 3.8 and Proposition 3.7(i) immediately yields

**Corollary 3.9 (first category of contraction mappings)** In \( (\mathcal{N}(X), \rho) \), the set of contractive mappings, i.e., \( \mathcal{K} = \)

\[
\{ T \in \mathcal{N}(X) : \exists 1 > l \geq 0 \text{ such that } \|Tx - Ty\| \leq l \|x - y\| \forall x,y \in X \}
\]

is of first category.

While a similar result for nonexpansive mappings defined on a closed bounded convex set \( C \subset X \) was obtained by De Blasi and Myjak in [9] and Reich [19], Corollary 3.9 concerns nonexpansive mappings on an unbounded set \( X \).
There are many ways to generate strongly monotone mappings: \(A + \epsilon \text{Id}\) (Tychonov regularization), \(\tilde{S}_A^\epsilon = \mathcal{R}(A, \text{Id}, 1 - \epsilon, \epsilon)\) (self-dual regularization), see, e.g., \[30\]. Corresponding results for maximal monotone operators and firmly nonexpansive mappings follow at once by combining Proposition \[3.3\] and Theorem \[3.8\].

**Corollary 3.10 (first category of strongly monotone mappings)** In \((\mathcal{M}(X), \bar{\rho})\), the set
\[
\{A \in \mathcal{M}(X) : \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } A \text{ is } \epsilon \text{ strongly monotone}\}
\]
is of first category.

**Corollary 3.11 (first category of strongly firm nonexpansive mappings)** In \((\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho})\), the set
\[
\{T \in \mathcal{J}(X) : \exists \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } (1 + \epsilon)T \text{ is firmly nonexpansive}\}
\]
is of first category.

**Appendix**

For \(C \subset X\), \(\overline{C}\) denotes its norm closure. The proofs to Theorems \[2.13\], \[2.15\] and \[2.16\] are harder, and rely on Reich and Zaslavski’s super-regularity mappings. If one only wants \(0 \in \overline{\text{ran}(\text{Id} - T)}, 0 \in \overline{\text{ran } A}\) and asymptotic regularity of \(J_A\) (much weaker results), a much simpler argument works. This is the purpose of this appendix.

**Theorem 3.12 (almost fixed point of nonexpansive mapping)** The set
\[
G := \{T : 0 \in \overline{\text{ran(\text{Id} - T)}}\}
\]
is dense \(G_\delta\) in \((\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)\). Thus, generically nonexpansive mappings almost have fixed points.

**Proof.** For every \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) define
\[
O_n := \left\{T \in \mathcal{N}(X) : \text{there exists } x \in X \text{ such that } \|x - Tx\| < \frac{1}{n}\right\}.
\]

**Claim 1.** \(O_n\) is dense. Let \(T \in \mathcal{N}(X)\) and \(\epsilon > 0\). Apply Lemma \[2.6\] to find a contraction \(T_2\) such that \(\rho(T, T_2) < \epsilon\). Since \(T_2\) is a contraction, it has a fixed point by the Banach Contraction Principle \[16\] Theorem 5.1.2], thus \(T_2 \in O_n\). Therefore, \(O_n\) is dense in \(\mathcal{N}(X)\).

**Claim 2.** \(O_n\) is open. Let \(T \in O_n\). Then there exists \(x \in X\) such that
\[
\|x - Tx\| < \frac{1}{n}.
\]
Assume that $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|x\| < K$. Put

$$r = \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{1/n - \|x - Tx\|}{1/n - \|x - T^*x\|}.$$  

We show that $B_r(T) := \{T_1 \in \mathcal{N}(X) : \rho(T_1, T) < r\} \subset O_n$. Let $T_1 \in B_r(T)$. Since $\rho(T_1, T) < r$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2^k} \frac{\|T_1 - T\|}{1 + \|T_1 - T\|_K} \leq \rho(T_1, T) < \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{1/n - \|x - Tx\|}{1 + 1/n - \|x - T^*x\|}.$$ 

so that

$$\frac{\|T_1 - T\|}{1 + \|T_1 - T\|_K} < \frac{1/n - \|x - T^*x\|}{1 + 1/n - \|x - T^*x\|}.$$ 

It follows that

$$\|T_1 - T\|_K < \frac{1}{n} - \|x - T^*x\|.$$ 

Then using $\|x\| \leq K$,

$$\|x - T_1x\| = \|x - Tx + Tx - T_1x\| \leq \|x - Tx\| + \|Tx - T_1x\|$$

$$\leq \|x - T^*x\| + \|T - T_1\|_K < \|x - T^*x\| + \frac{1}{n} - \|x - T^*x\| = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Therefore $T_1 \in O_n$. Since $T_1 \in B_r(T)$ was arbitrary, $B_r(T) \subset O_n$.

As $(\mathcal{N}(X), \rho)$ is a complete metric space, $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$ is a dense $G_{\delta}$ set in $\mathcal{N}(X)$ by Fact 1.6.

If $T \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$, then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_n \in X$ such that

$$\|x_n - T^*x_n\| < \frac{1}{n}.$$ 

thus $0 \in \overline{\text{ran}(\text{Id} - T)}$. Hence $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n \subset G$. On the other hand, if $T \in G$, then $0 \in \overline{\text{ran}(\text{Id} - T)}$. It follows that for every $n$ there exists $x_n \in X$ such that $\|x_n - T^*x_n\| < 1/n$ so $T \in O_n$. As this holds for every $n$ and $T \in G$, we have $G \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$. Altogether, $G = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} O_n$ which is a dense $G_{\delta}$ set in $\mathcal{N}(X)$. This completes the proof. 

\[\text{Theorem 3.13 (almost zeros of maximal monotone operator)}\] In $(\mathcal{M}(X), \hat{\rho})$, the set

$$\{A \in \mathcal{M}(X) : 0 \in \overline{\text{ran}A}\}$$

is a dense $G_{\delta}$ set. Hence, generically maximally monotone operators almost have zeros.

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.12 and Proposition 1.3(i), the set

$$\{A \in \mathcal{M}(X) : 0 \in \overline{\text{ran} (\text{Id} - R_A)}\}$$
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is dense $G_\delta$ in $(\mathcal{M}(X), \hat{\rho})$. Observe that
\[
\text{ran}(\text{Id} - R_A) = \text{ran}(2 \text{Id} - 2J_A) = 2 \text{ran}(\text{Id} - J_A) = 2 \text{ran} J_{A^{-1}} = 2 \text{dom} A^{-1} = 2 \text{ran} A.
\]
Hence (67) holds. ■

Recall that $T : X \to X$ is asymptotically regular at $x$ if $\lim_{n \to \infty} (T^{n+1}x - T^n x) = 0$, cf. [10, 5]. Asymptotic regularity is one of critical properties in many iterative algorithms, [5, page 79], [2].

**Theorem 3.14 (asymptotic regularity of resolvent)** In $(\mathcal{J}(X), \hat{\rho})$, the set
\[
\{ T \in \mathcal{J}(X) : \| T^{n+1} x - T^n x \| \to 0 \ \forall x \in X \}
\]
is a dense $G_\delta$ set. Consequently, generically resolvents are asymptotically regular.

**Proof.** Each $T \in \mathcal{J}(X)$ is firmly nonexpansive, so strongly nonexpansive. By [10, Corollary 1.5] Bruck and Reich,
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} (T^n x - T^{n+1} x) = v
\]
where $v$ is the smallest norm element of $\text{ran}(\text{Id} - T)$. It follows for Theorem 3.12 and (7) that the set
\[
\{ T \in \mathcal{J}(X) : 0 \in \text{ran}(\text{Id} - (2T - \text{Id})) \}
\]
i.e.,
\[
\{ T \in \mathcal{J}(X) : 0 \in \text{ran}(\text{Id} - T) \}
\]
is a dense $G_\delta$ set in $\mathcal{J}(X)$. It suffices to apply (69). ■
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