TLR4 and CD14 trafficking and its influence on LPS-induced pro-inflammatory signaling
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Abstract

Toll-like receptor (TLR) 4 belongs to the TLR family of receptors inducing pro-inflammatory responses to invading pathogens. TLR4 is activated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS, endotoxin) of Gram-negative bacteria and sequentially triggers two signaling cascades: the first one involving TIRAP and MyD88 adaptor proteins is induced in the plasma membrane, whereas the second engaging adaptor proteins TRAM and TRIF begins in early endosomes after endocytosis of the receptor. The LPS-induced internalization of TLR4 and hence also the activation of the TRIF-dependent pathway is governed by a GPI-anchored protein, CD14. The endocytosis of TLR4 terminates the MyD88-dependent signaling, while the following endosome maturation and lysosomal degradation of TLR4 determine the duration and magnitude of the TRIF-dependent one. Alternatively, TLR4 may return to the plasma membrane, which process is still poorly understood. Therefore, the course of the LPS-induced pro-inflammatory responses depends strictly on the rates of TLR4 endocytosis and trafficking through the endo-lysosomal compartment. Notably, prolonged activation of TLR4 is linked with several hereditary human diseases, neurodegeneration and also with autoimmune diseases and cancer. Recent studies have provided ample data on the role of diverse proteins regulating the functions of early, late, and recycling endosomes in the TLR4-induced inflammation caused by LPS or phagocytosis of E. coli. In this review, we focus on the mechanisms of the internalization and intracellular trafficking of TLR4 and CD14, and also of LPS, in immune cells and discuss how dysregulation of the endo-lysosomal compartment contributes to the development of diverse human diseases.
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| AD | Alzheimer’s disease |
| ALS | Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis |
| AOHA | Acyloxyacyl hydrolase |
| Arf6 | ADP-riboisylation factor 6 |
| BMDC | Bone marrow-derived dendritic cells |
| BMDM | Bone marrow-derived macrophages |
| CF | Cystic fibrosis |
| CFTR | Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator |
| CHS | Chédiak–Higashi syndrome |
| CLIC | Clathrin-independent carrier |
| Dab2 | Disabled-2 |
| DAMP | Damage-associated molecular pattern |
| DC | Dendritic cells |
| ERC | Endosomal recycling compartment |
| GAG | Glycosaminoglycan |
| GEEC | GPI-AP-enriched early endosomal compartment |
| GMFG | Glia maturation factor-γ |
| GPI-AP | Glycosylphosphatidylinositol-anchored proteins |
| HMGB1 | High mobility group box protein 1 |
| IP3 | Inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate |
| IFN | Interferon |
| IKK | IκB kinase |
| IL | Interleukin |
| IRAK | Interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase |
| IRF | Interferon regulatory factor |
| LBP | LPS-binding protein |
| LPS | Lipopolysaccharide |
| LSD | Lysosomal storage disorders |
| MHC | Major histocompatibility complex |
| MPS | Mucopolysaccharidosis |
occurring agonists of TLR4 with various chemical structures, apart from LPS and its derivatives, up to 30 naturally occurring compounds, including high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) and hyaluronic acid. These compounds are released during tissue injury and can activate TLR4 in an uncontrolled manner.

It has been indicated that TLR4 can also be activated by endogenous compounds called damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), including high mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) and hyaluronic acid. These compounds are released during tissue injury and can activate TLR4 in an uncontrolled manner.

The recognition of a PAMP by a PRR triggers rapid inflammatory reactions essential for the innate immunity, as discussed in several previous exhaustive reviews [1–5]. TLR4 is activated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS, endotoxin), a major component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. During infection, TLR4 responds to the LPS present in tissues and the bloodstream and triggers pro-inflammatory reactions facilitating eradication of the invading bacteria [6]. It has been indicated that TLR4 can also be activated by endogenous compounds called damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), including high mobility group box protein 1 (HMGB1) and hyaluronic acid. These compounds are released during tissue injury and can activate TLR4 in non-infectious conditions to induce tissue repair [7, 8]. Altogether, apart from LPS and its derivatives, up to 30 naturally occurring agonists of TLR4 with various chemical structures have been postulated. However, only three of them, Ni²⁺, the plant secondary metabolite paclitaxel, and disulfide HMGB1 have been demonstrated to be direct activators of TLR4, while the others can act as chaperones for TLR4 or promoters of LPS internalization [7, 9, 10]. Nevertheless, the impact of endogenous DAMPs on the TLR4 activity broadens the spectrum of pathophysiological conditions involving the TLR4-induced pro-inflammatory responses far beyond infectious diseases.

TLR4 binds LPS with the help of LPS-binding protein (LBP) and CD14, and an indispensable contribution of the MD-2 protein stably associated with the extracellular fragment of the receptor (Fig. 1). The requirement for MD-2 for TLR4 activation by LPS was established shortly after the identification of TLR4 as the LPS receptor, since virtually no responses to LPS were detected in macrophages derived from MD-2−/− mice [11, 12]. The binding of an LPS molecule to the TLR4/MD-2 complex involves acyl chains and phosphate groups of lipid A, the conserved part of LPS and the main inducer of pro-inflammatory responses to LPS [13, 14]. Hexa-acylated and diphosphorylated LPS, like Escherichia coli LPS (O111:B4), is one of the most potent agonists of TLR4 whereas under-acylated LPS and dephosphorylated LPS species have a weaker pro-inflammatory activity especially in human cells [15]. Structural determinants of this phenomenon are found in the TLR4/MD-2 complex and also in CD14 protein [13, 16], as discussed in the following sections.

Under-acylated and dephosphorylated LPS is synthesized by commensal bacteria which colonize human intestines, like Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, which evade recognition by PRR [17] and are crucial for the maintenance of the intestinal immune balance [15]. In addition, the impermeability of the intestine epithelium to LPS is an important factor preventing its egress into the bloodstream [18]. On the other hand, during infection, deacylation and dephosphorylation of bacterial LPS is important for the termination of inflammatory responses, as discussed in detail below in the section concerning LPS detoxification.

An exaggerated and uncontrolled pro-inflammatory signaling triggered by TLR4 during infection can lead to sepsis, septic shock, and death [19]. Infections with Gram-negative bacteria, including E. coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, are the prevailing cause of severe sepsis in humans [20]. In addition, low doses of LPS derived from the gut microbiota can induce certain conditions enter the bloodstream and evoke so-called metabolic endotoxemia leading to a chronic low-grade TLR4-dependent inflammation which contributes to the development of metabolic diseases, such as type 2 diabetes [18, 21–23]. Prolonged activation of TLR4 is also linked with several human hereditary and neurodegenerative diseases and also with autoimmune diseases and cancer [24, 25]. On the other hand, an experimental exclusion of TLR4-triggered signaling during low-grade polymicrobial sepsis...

**Introduction**

The mammalian family of Toll-like receptors (TLR) consists of thirteen members with TLR4 being the most extensively studied one. TLRs are representatives of pattern recognition receptors (PRR), so named for their ability to recognize evolutionarily conserved components of microorganisms, including bacteria, viruses, fungi and parasites, collectively called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). The recognition of a PAMP by a PRR triggers rapid inflammatory reactions essential for the innate immunity, as discussed in several previous exhaustive reviews [1–5]. TLR4 is activated by lipopolysaccharide (LPS, endotoxin), a major component of the outer membrane of Gram-negative bacteria. During infection, TLR4 responds to the LPS present in tissues and the bloodstream and triggers pro-inflammatory reactions facilitating eradication of the invading bacteria [6]. It has been indicated that TLR4 can also be activated by endogenous compounds called damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPs), including high mobility group box protein 1 (HMGB1) and hyaluronic acid. These compounds are released during tissue injury and can activate TLR4 in non-infectious conditions to induce tissue repair [7, 8]. Altogether, apart from LPS and its derivatives, up to 30 naturally occurring agonists of TLR4 with various chemical structures have been postulated. However, only three of them, Ni²⁺, the plant secondary metabolite paclitaxel, and disulfide HMGB1 have been demonstrated to be direct activators of TLR4, while the others can act as chaperones for TLR4 or promoters of LPS internalization [7, 9, 10]. Nevertheless, the impact of endogenous DAMPs on the TLR4 activity broadens the spectrum of pathophysiological conditions involving the TLR4-induced pro-inflammatory responses far beyond infectious diseases.

TLR4 binds LPS with the help of LPS-binding protein (LBP) and CD14, and an indispensable contribution of the MD-2 protein stably associated with the extracellular fragment of the receptor (Fig. 1). The requirement for MD-2 for TLR4 activation by LPS was established shortly after the identification of TLR4 as the LPS receptor, since virtually no responses to LPS were detected in macrophages derived from MD-2−/− mice [11, 12]. The binding of an LPS molecule to the TLR4/MD-2 complex involves acyl chains and phosphate groups of lipid A, the conserved part of LPS and the main inducer of pro-inflammatory responses to LPS [13, 14]. Hexa-acylated and diphosphorylated LPS, like Escherichia coli LPS (O111:B4), is one of the most potent agonists of TLR4 whereas under-acylated LPS and dephosphorylated LPS species have a weaker pro-inflammatory activity especially in human cells [15]. Structural determinants of this phenomenon are found in the TLR4/MD-2 complex and also in CD14 protein [13, 16], as discussed in the following sections.

Under-acylated and dephosphorylated LPS is synthesized by commensal bacteria which colonize human intestines, like Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, which evade recognition by PRR [17] and are crucial for the maintenance of the intestinal immune balance [15]. In addition, the impermeability of the intestine epithelium to LPS is an important factor preventing its egress into the bloodstream [18]. On the other hand, during infection, deacylation and dephosphorylation of bacterial LPS is important for the termination of inflammatory responses, as discussed in detail below in the section concerning LPS detoxification.

An exaggerated and uncontrolled pro-inflammatory signaling triggered by TLR4 during infection can lead to sepsis, septic shock, and death [19]. Infections with Gram-negative bacteria, including E. coli and Pseudomonas aeruginosa, are the prevailing cause of severe sepsis in humans [20]. In addition, low doses of LPS derived from the gut microbiota can induce certain conditions enter the bloodstream and evoke so-called metabolic endotoxemia leading to a chronic low-grade TLR4-dependent inflammation which contributes to the development of metabolic diseases, such as type 2 diabetes [18, 21–23]. Prolonged activation of TLR4 is also linked with several human hereditary and neurodegenerative diseases and also with autoimmune diseases and cancer [24, 25]. On the other hand, an experimental exclusion of TLR4-triggered signaling during low-grade polymicrobial sepsis...
resulted in an impaired bacterial clearance and thereby worsened organ injury leading to a higher mortality of mice [26, 27]. Thus, the cellular level of TLR4 and its signaling activity have to be tightly regulated to be beneficial rather than harmful to the host.

TLR4 is expressed in immune cells mainly of myeloid origin, including monocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells (DC), and also in some non-immune cells, like endothelial cells [28]. Most myeloid cells express also high amounts of plasma membrane-anchored CD14 [29, 30], which facilitates the activation of TLR4 by LPS and controls the subsequent internalization of the LPS-activated TLR4 important for receptor signaling and degradation. With the help of CD14, TLR4, unlike all the other TLRs, triggers two signaling pathways called the MyD88-dependent and the TRIF-dependent one after the adaptor proteins involved in their induction [31–34]. These signaling pathways lead to the production of two sets of pro-inflammatory cytokines which only partially overlap [35–39]. The MyD88- and TRIF-dependent signaling pathways are triggered consecutively and linked with the redistribution of the LPS-activated TLR4 from the plasma membrane to endosomes [40]. The translocation of TLR4 to the cell interior and its further lysosomal degradation facilitate termination of the inflammatory response [41].

In this review, we discuss the mechanisms of the internalization and intracellular trafficking of TLR4 and CD14, and also of LPS in immune cells. We emphasize the mechanisms regulating the trafficking of TLR4 and CD14 and thereby affecting the TLR4-induced pro-inflammatory signaling, its magnitude, duration and eventually also TLR4 degradation. Finally, we discuss data pointing to how disturbances in those processes contribute to the development of several human diseases.

**Signaling pathways triggered by TLR4**

Activation of TLR4 by LPS is preceded by a chain of reactions which aim at converting LPS aggregates, derived from bacteria, into LPS monomers concentrated at the cell surface in the vicinity of the receptor (Fig. 1). These reactions are initiated by the serum LBP protein which binds to LPS aggregates (micelles) and, in the most typical scenario, facilitates subsequent extraction of LPS monomers.
by CD14 and the delivery of the LPS to the TLR4/MD-2 complex [42–44]. In agreement, blocking of LBP with an anti-LBP antibody inhibited the LPS-induced TLR4 signaling and endocytosis of the receptor [45]. Recently, the whole process of LPS transfer from micelles via LBP, CD14 to TLR/MD-2 was visualized at a single-molecule resolution [46]. That study indicated that one LBP molecule bound to an LPS micelle mediates several rounds of LPS transfer to CD14 molecules [46].

CD14 is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-anchored protein localized in nanodomains of the plasma membrane enriched in cholesterol and sphingolipids, so-called rafts, which are, therefore, considered as sites of TLR4 activation [3]. CD14 is detected predominantly on the surface of myeloid-lineage cells; however, low amounts are also found in non-myeloid cells, e.g., hepatocytes, adipocytes, corneal, and intestinal epithelial cells [29, 30, 47–51]. These latter cells produce mainly a soluble form of CD14 lacking the GPI anchor (sCD14) [47, 48]. However, mechanisms of the release of sCD14 were studied mainly in immune cells, where limited proteolysis of the membrane form of CD14 and also proteolysis-independent sCD14 formation have been detected [52]. The proteolysis of the membrane-bound CD14 can be carried out on the cell surface (so-called shedding) or intracellularly, after phagocytosis of bacteria when a following secretion of a truncated 13-kDa form of sCD14, called presepsin, has also been detected [53, 54]. The release of sCD14 that is independent of its membrane-bound form should not be neglected since patients suffering from paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria with defects in GPI anchor synthesis, who do not express membrane-anchored CD14, have normal levels of serum sCD14 [55, 56].

Both membrane-bound and soluble CD14 can transfer the LPS molecule to the TLR4/MD-2 complex [44, 46, 57]. LPS is bound in the N-terminal hydrophobic pocket of CD14 which differs in some details of structure between human and murine CD14 [16, 58]. The CD14 hydrophobic pocket probably accommodates up to five acyl chains of the endotoxin while the remaining one can facilitate the association of the CD14-LPS complex with MD-2 [16]. It has been found recently that the transfer of LPS from CD14 to MD-2 in the TLR4/MD-2 complex is facilitated by TLR4 which probably forms a transient intermediate with CD14, LPS or both [46].

A crystallographic analysis of the human TLR4/MD-2 complex with LPS bound has revealed that five of the six acyl chains of LPS are buried in the hydrophobic pocket of MD-2 while the sixth one interacts with TLR4 of another TLR4/MD-2 complex, and that the dimerization of the TLR4/MD-2 complexes is strengthened by ionic bonds between the phosphate group of lipid A and the neighboring TLR4 molecule [13]. Accordingly, removing of one or two acyl chains from the LPS molecule converts it from an agonist to an antagonist of human TLR4, as was shown using LPS of Neisseria meningitidis H44/76, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Yersinia pestis [59–61]. Interestingly, murine TLR4 is activated with similar efficiency by tetra-, penta- and hexa-acylated LPS from the same bacteria [59–61]. A contrasting ability to activate human and murine TLR4/MD2 complex has also been shown for tetra-acylated lipid IVα which turned out to be an antagonist for the former and a weak agonist for the latter [62]. The agonistic activity of lipid IVα toward murine TLR4 is due to its unique ionic interactions at the dimerization interface of the murine receptor that cannot be formed with human TLR4 [63]. Moreover, the length of the acyl chains as well as their saturation also seem important for the pro-inflammatory activity of LPS, as has been postulated based on studies of the immunomodulatory activity of lipid A and cardiolipin analogues [64, 65]. Accordingly, penta-acylated diphasphorylated LPS with one unsaturated acyl chain from Rhodobacter spheroides and its synthetic tetra-acylated lipid A derivative, Eritoran, are antagonists for both human and mouse TLR4 [66–68].

The interaction of TLR4/MD-2 with two molecules of an agonistic LPS species induces dimerization of the ectodomains of two TLR4 molecules which acquire an “M-shape” with their intracellular fragments put in juxtaposition [12, 13, 69]. Each intracellular fragment contains a Toll/IL-1R homology (TIR) domain prone to homotypic interactions with TIR domains of four adaptor proteins. When in the plasma membrane, TLR4 interacts with the first adaptor pair, TIRAP (also called Mal [70]) and MyD88 [71, 72]. Apart from the TIR domain, TIRAP also carries a domain enriched in basic and aromatic residues that interacts with phosphatidylinositols (PIs) and phosphatidylserine (PS) [72–74]. In the plasma membrane, TIRAP can bind both PS and phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PI(4,5)P 2) but only the latter interaction is required for TLR4 signaling [72, 73]. The TLR4-bound TIRAP recruits MyD88 which further binds interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase ( IRAK) 1 and 2 and a submembrane signaling complex called the myddosome is formed [72, 75, 76]. The assembled myddosome recruits E3 ubiquitin ligase TRAF6, which triggers a signaling cascade involving TAK1 kinase and leading, through the phosphorylation and activation of IκB kinases α/β (IKKα/β), to nuclear translocation of the NF-κB transcription factor. In addition, downstream of TRAF6 and TAK1, MAP kinases are phosphorylated to activate transcription factors AP-1 and CREB [77]. The signaling complex of TLR4 containing TIRAP and MyD88 also activates type I PI3-kinase which phosphorylates PI(4,5)P 2 to phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PI(3,4,5)P 3) and triggers activation of Akt [74, 78]. Collectively, the MyD88-dependent signaling pathway induces expression of genes encoding pro-inflammatory mediators, such as tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α).
interleukin (IL)-6, cyclooxygenase 2, and type III interferons (IFNλ1/2), the latter contributing to epithelial barrier integrity, which is crucial for host defense [35, 79–81]. In addition, the MyD88-dependent signaling pathway also participates in the production of anti-inflammatory mediators, like IL-10 helping to terminate the inflammation [35, 82]. It is worth mentioning that several factors negatively affect the MyD88-dependent signaling cascade to prevent an exaggerated production of pro-inflammatory mediators. These include A20 ubiquitin-modifying enzyme, the BCAP-P13-kinase–Akt axis, and Lyn tyrosine kinase [83–86].

Recent studies indicate that the LPS-induced MyD88-dependent signaling triggers and also modulates the cell metabolism. It was shown that TRAF6 interacts with TBK1, the kinase which in turn activates Akt kinase leading to a rapid enhancement of glycolysis [87, 88]. The LPS-stimulated glycolysis and subsequent synthesis of acetyl-CoA and de novo synthesis of fatty acids can facilitate, respectively, histone acetylation required for gene transcription and expansion of the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus necessary for intense production and secretion of cytokines [87, 89].

The MyD88-dependent signaling is followed by internalization of TLR4. Simultaneously, TIRAP and MyD88 dissociate from the membrane allowing TLR4 to bind in the endosome a second set of TIR-containing adaptor proteins, TRAM and TRIF [90]. In this tandem, TRAM is a bridging adaptor facilitating the interaction of TRIF with TLR4 [91]. In unstimulated cells, both TLR4 and TRAM localize to the plasma membrane, endosomes, endocytic recycling compartment (ERC), and the Golgi apparatus [40, 92–95]. However, the presence of TRAM and TLR4 in the same cellular compartment is not sufficient for their interaction. Detailed studies have revealed that in the plasma membrane, TRAM localizes to regions enriched in CD14 rather than TLR4 and stimulation of cells with LPS induces the interaction between TLR4 and TRAM in endosomes [94]. TRAM binds to membranes via a myristoyl residue attached to Gly2 (after the removal of the N-terminal methionine) and via a neighboring polybasic domain that mediates its interaction with various PIs and phosphatidic acid [40]. Both regions are required for the targeting of TRAM to the plasma membrane; however, only the N-myristoylation governs its location to endosomes [40]. Furthermore, only the N-myristoylation is indispensable for the TRAM involvement in the endosomal signaling pathway of TLR4 [40, 93]. Non-myristoylated Gly2Ala TRAM acted as a dominant negative mutant interfering with this signaling pathway of TLR4 [93].

The TRIF-dependent signaling pathway of TLR4 includes activation of the ubiquitin ligase TRAF3 followed by the activation of non-canonical IKK kinases: TANK binding kinase 1 (TBK1) and IKKe. TBK1 phosphorylates the pLxIS consensus motif of TRIF that is necessary to recruit interferon regulatory factor (IRF) 3. IRF3 is also phosphorylated by TBK1 and then dissociates from TRIF, dimerizes and translocates to the nucleus [96]. Finally, IRF3 and to a lower extent also TBK1-activated IRF7 induce expression of genes encoding type I IFN, the chemokine CCL5/ RANTES and interferon-regulated genes as that encoding the chemokine CXCL10/IP-10 [35, 80, 91, 97]. This pathway also participates in the production of the anti-inflammatory interleukin IL-10 [98]. In addition, TRIF induces late activation of NF-κB via recruitment and activation of TRAF6 [99] or receptor-interacting serine/threonine-protein kinase 1 (RIPK1) [100]. RIPK1 interacts with the RIP-homotypic motif (RHIM) present in the C-terminus of TRIF, which is also recognized by RIPK3 [101]. RIPK1 and RIPK3 are engaged in LPS-induced ERK1/2 activation and cytokine production but in certain conditions can also mediate necrotic cell death [102–104]. Ultimately, TLR4 is degraded in lysosomes [41].

It has recently been established that TLR4 is also involved in so-called canonical activation of the NLRP3 inflammasome. The LPS-induced activation of this inflammasome via the canonical and also via a non-canonical, hence not involving TLR4, pathways has been intensively studied and discussed in excellent reviews [105–108]. In brief, the NLRP3 inflammasome is a multimeric complex of NLRP3, ASC, NEK7 and pro-caspase-1, which ultimately serves to promote autoproteolysis and activation of caspase-1. In turn, caspase-1 cleaves pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18 to their secreted forms. Caspase-1 also catalyzes the proteolysis of gasdermin D which binds to the inner surface of the plasma membrane and forms pores which can lead to cell death via pyroptosis or release of IL-1β from living cells [109]. Activation of TLR4 in human and murine macrophages serves as a priming signal inducing expression of NLRP3 and pro-IL-1β and posttranslational modifications of NLRP3. The assembly of a fully active inflammasome is triggered by a second stimulus provided by diverse PAMPs and DAMPs. The exact mechanism leading to the inflammasome formation in response to these structurally different molecules is not known, but they all seem to induce cellular stress manifested by, e.g., K⁺ efflux, mitochondria dysfunction, lysosome damage, which is then somehow detected by NLRP3. Since the expression of NLRP3 is triggered in an NF-κB-dependent manner, both signaling pathways of TLR4 can in principle be involved. Accordingly, caspase-1 cleavage and activation occurred in LPS-stimulated macrophages derived from MyD88- or TRIF-knock-out mice while the double knock-out did not support it [110, 111].

Activation of the non-canonical inflammasome does not require TLR4 participation, but is induced by LPS present in the cytosol. LPS directly binds and activates caspase-11 (human caspase-4 and -5) which next activates the primed NLRP3 inflammasome and induces IL-1 release.
and pyroptosis. It is unclear how LPS enters the cytosol; however, recent studies indicate that it can escape from endosomes which are formed during internalization of LPS-rich outer membrane vesicles (OMV) derived from Gram-negative bacteria or from phagosomes enclosing the bacteria [112]. It can also be internalized by the receptor for advanced glycation end-products (RAGE) after binding to HMGB1 [113].

In addition, in human and porcine monocytes (but not in murine cells), LPS induces NLRP3 activation and IL-1β release without the second stimulus [114]. Gaidt et al. called this phenomenon the “alternative inflammasome” and found that it is induced by TLR4-dependent activation of the TRIF-RIPK1-caspase-8 axis upstream of NLRP3 and caspase-1. While it ultimately leads to IL-1β secretion, no concomitant pyroptosis of monocytes occurs [115]. Recently, the alternative activation of inflammasome by apolipoprotein C3 and TLR2/TLR4 heterodimer has been described, pointing to its involvement in sterile inflammation triggered by triglyceride-rich lipoproteins [116].

In addition to LPS-induced cytokine production, stimulation of macrophages and DC with the endotoxin induces of adaptive immune responses which are executed by T and B lymphocytes. The initiation of the adaptive immune responses follows activation of various TLRs and involves antigen presentation in the context of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and II, as reviewed by [5, 117]. It has been established that activation of TLR4 by LPS in both murine DC and macrophages induces upregulation of costimulatory molecules (CD40, CD80, and CD86) on the cell surface which are required for antigen presentation for T lymphocytes. However, significant differences were found in the signaling pathways leading to this phenomenon. Namely, in macrophages, the upregulation depends strictly on the TRIF-dependent pathway, whereas in DC both the MyD88- and TRIF-dependent ones are involved [118–120]. The increased cell surface presence of the costimulatory molecules and also of MHC II is a hallmark of DC maturation required for antigen presentation by these cells. LPS upregulates the cell surface level of MHC II at various steps of the formation of antigen-MHC II complexes, including enhanced lysosomal acidification, proteolysis yielding antigens, and transport of the complexes to the surface of DC [121, 122]. Beside the contribution to the upregulation of MHC II and costimulatory molecules, the TLR4-triggered MyD88-dependent signaling in DC also induces production of cytokines leading to Th1 cell polarization and also facilitates fusion of MHC I-bearing recycling endosomes with phagosomes to allow cross-presentation of antigens during infection [123].

The signaling pathways triggered by TLR4 described above are best characterized in monocytes, macrophages, and DC; however, it has to be emphasized that certain aspects of the LPS-induced responses vary between DC and macrophages. In murine DC, LPS induces activation of nuclear factor of activated T cells (NFAT) and apoptosis of fully matured DC [124]. Also in these cells, CD11b contributes significantly to TLR4 activation [125]. Additionally, murine DC vary from human ones since only selected subtypes of the latter expresses TLR4 and CD14, as described in more detail in the next chapter.

A cell specificity of the LPS-induced responses is also observed in other immune cells, including human and murine granulocytes, mast cells, and lymphocytes which express low amounts of TLR4. Among those cells, CD14 is found in neutrophils and murine basophils [29, 30, 126–129]. Thus, due to a lack of CD14 and low content of TLR4/MD-2 and TRAM in mast cells, the TRIF-dependent pathway could not be detected in cells of murine origin [130]. Therefore, these cells stimulated with LPS produce TNF-α but not IFN-β [131, 132]. Activation of TLR4 in neutrophils leads to ROS generation, cytokine production and other responses which are cell specific, like autophagy and modulation of cell survival [30, 133–136]. In addition, in murine neutrophils, LPS induces production and secretion of histamine in a PI3K-dependent manner [137]. Moreover, LPS can stimulate neutrophils to form NETs (neutrophil extracellular traps), i.e., extracellular fibers composed of decondensed chromatin and granule proteins which trap bacteria allowing their extracellular killing [138–140].

In summary, TLR4 activated by LPS triggers two consecutive signaling pathways which are correlated with a redistribution of the receptor: the MyD88-dependent signaling is triggered by TLR4 localized to the plasma membrane, while the TRIF-dependent one by the TLR4 internalized in endosomes. The two pathways lead to a synchronized production of pro- and anti-inflammatory mediators, contribute to the activation of NLRP3 inflammasome, modulate cell metabolism, initiate adaptive immune responses and other cell type-specific reactions; eventually lysosomal degradation of TLR4 takes place. This course of events suggests that the TLR4-induced pro-inflammatory reactions can be regulated by the rate of its endocytosis and trafficking through the endo-lysosomal compartment.

**Mechanisms controlling internalization of TLR4**

Endocytosis of TLR4 is required for the TRIF-dependent pro-inflammatory signaling to occur and also for the following degradation of the receptor and termination of the signaling [40, 41]. Somewhat surprising, it has been established that the LPS-induced internalization of TLR4 is independent of its signaling activity. Thus, a TLR4 mutant lacking the intracellular part, hence the TIR domain, underwent...
internalization despite being unable to trigger mydosome formation in murine bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM) stimulated with LPS. The amount of the truncated receptor in the plasma membrane progressively declined, similarly as in the case of wild type TLR4 [17]. On the contrary, the extracellular domain of TLR4 was indispensable for its LPS-induced endocytosis and further studies have indicated that in fact the interaction of MD-2 with CD14 drives the uptake of the receptor. Thus, the impact of MD-2 on LPS-induced signaling stems from its role in the dimerization of the TLR4/MD-2 complexes during LPS binding and the contribution to the endocytosis of TLR4 [17].

In most cases, the LPS-induced internalization of TLR4 is controlled by CD14 (Tab. 1) [32, 33]. Exceptions include TLR4 endocytosis followed by the TRIF-dependent signaling induced by a TLR4/MD-2 agonistic antibody (UT12) or a synthetic small-molecule TLR4 ligand (1Z105) [141]. And while phagocytosis of the Gram-negative E. coli did occur in DC derived from CD14-knock-out mice [33], no LPS-induced endocytosis of TLR4 took place in DC or BMDM derived from those animals. Accordingly, the TRIF-dependent signaling was abolished, while the MyD88-dependent one was not affected by the CD14 depletion especially in cells stimulated with so-called rough chemotype (devoid of the O-polysaccharide chain) of LPS [31, 32, 34]. In cells poor in CD14, such as murine splenic B lymphocytes, TLR4 does not undergo endocytosis [33]. The dependence of TLR4 internalization on CD14 has been confirmed by recent studies on CD14 glycosylation. Inhibition of CD14 core fucosylation caused by depletion of α-(1,6)-fucosyltransferase impaired CD14 and TLR4 endocytosis and thereby the TRIF-dependent signaling. It was found that the interference with CD14 fucosylation led to a reduction of its cell surface level which was considered the main reason of the impaired TLR4 endocytosis [142, 143]. Conversely, the increase of

| Protein | Effects on TLR4 endocytosis and degradation on TLR4 internalization and signaling | References |
|---------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| CD14    | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [17, 33, 160] |
| TRAM    | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [40, 93] |
| PLC-γ2/Ca²⁺ | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [33] |
| Syk     | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [33, 150] |
| PI3K p110δ | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [74] |
| Arf6    | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [73, 152, 153] |
| Dynamin | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [40, 74, 155] |
| Clathrin | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [155, 160, 265] |
| Dab2    | ↑ Up-regulation, nd no data | [166] |
| Hrs     | nd No data, ↑ upregulation, nd no data | [41] |
| Lyst    | – No data, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation | [183] |
| Vps33B  | – No data, ↑ upregulation, ↑ upregulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation | [180] |
| GMFG    | ↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, ↑ upregulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation | [196] |
| Rab7a   | nd No data, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation | [183] |
| Rab10   | ↓ Down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation | [179] |
| TMED7   | nd No data, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation | [182] |
| TAG     | nd No data, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation, ↓ down-regulation | [181] |

↓ Down-regulation, ↑ upregulation, nd no data

a In most cases, proteins were down-regulated by knock-out or knock-down of encoding genes

b Inhibition of the protein caused by a drug treatment
c Deleterious mutation
d Overexpression of the protein had opposite effects on the MyD88- and TRIF-dependent pathways
PI(4,5)P2 level can be needed for the recruitment of TIRAP signaling pathways of TLR4 [145, 146]. An increase of the required for maximal production of cytokines in the both correlated with a biphasic activation of NF-κB and was reduced. These processes were depended on the influx of following production of the TRIF-dependent cytokines was inhibited and the location of IRF3 and also of NF-κB were inhibited and the impaired internalization of NF-κB was accelerated [17, 144]. On the basis of those data, CD14 has earned the name of “transporter associated with the execution of inflammation” (TAXI) [17].

The mechanism of the CD14-dependent internalization of TLR4 remains to be revealed, but it is likely linked with the raft localization of CD14, activation of the Syk tyrosine kinase, and local turnover of PI(4,5)P2 [33, 50, 145]. CD14 triggers biphasic generation of PI(4,5)P2 in LPS-stimulated macrophages and the newly generated PI(4,5)P2 accumulates in the raft fraction of these cells. The PI(4,5)P2 generation correlated with a biphasic activation of NF-κB and was required for maximal production of cytokines in the both signaling pathways of TLR4 [145, 146]. An increase of the PI(4,5)P2 level can be needed for the recruitment of TIRAP to the plasma membrane, while the following PI(4,5)P2 hydrolysis/phosphorylation is required for the TLR4 endocytosis to occur. The uptake of TLR4 can be initiated by the Syk kinase which binds to the immunoreceptor tyrosine-based activation motif of DAP12 which is an adaptor of CD300b receptor, and/or the γ chain of Fce receptor [147]. Subsequently, Syk activates phospholipase Cγ2 (PLCγ2). Indeed, inhibition/knock-down of Syk or PLCγ2 abolished TLR4 internalization in LPS-stimulated murine BMDM and DC [33, 50, 147]. It deserves to be mentioned that Syk/PLCγ2 inhibitors blocked not only the LPS-, but also the UT12-induced endocytosis of TLR4 that proceeded without a CD14 involvement [141]. PLCγ2 cleaves PI(4,5)P2 to DAG and inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3) which induces Ca2+ release from the endoplasmic reticulum. Inhibition of this process by 2-ABP, an antagonist of IP3 receptor localized predominantly in the endoplasmic reticulum, blocked TLR4 endocytosis and the TRIF-dependent signaling [148]. PI(4,5) P2 is also a substrate for class I PI3-kinase which phosphorylates it to PI(3,4,5)P3. Mutation of the p110δ catalytic subunit of PI3-kinase in murine DC slowed down the rate of LPS-induced endocytosis of TLR4 while phagocytosis of E. coli was not affected [74]. In addition, CD14 controls the influx of extracellular Ca2+ mediated by TRPM7, a plasma membrane cation channel with kinase activity. A knock-out of TRPM7 inhibited endocytosis of CD14/TLR4 in murine BMDM. Furthermore, phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of IRF3 and also of NF-κB were inhibited and the following production of the TRIF-dependent cytokines was reduced. These processes were depended on the influx of extracellular Ca2+ rather than on the release of Ca2+ from intracellular stores, although an input of the latter to the regulation of TLR4-induced signaling was not precluded [149].

Since the downstream effectors of Syk, PLCγ2 and PI3-kinase, are involved in a broad spectrum of cellular processes [74, 150, 151], their activity is likely to affect various other aspects of TLR4-induced signaling in addition to the receptor endocytosis. Nevertheless, the impaired internalization of TLR4 observed after inhibition/mutation/knock-down of those enzymes correlated with a down-regulation of the TRIF-dependent signaling reflected by reduced IRF3 phosphorylation and impaired expression of genes encoding ISG54/IFIT-2, TRAIL, CCL5/RANTES, and IFN-β. The MyD88-dependent production of cytokines was not affected or was even elevated at the expense of the TRIF-dependent one [33, 74, 148].

Another important protein involved in PI3s turnover taking part in the LPS-induced TLR4 endocytosis and signaling is the small GTPase ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (Arf6). Arf6 activates PI4-phosphate 5-kinase type Iα (PIPKIα) which phosphorolyses PI(4)P to PI(4,5)P2 [73]; therefore, its activity can modulate TLR4 signaling, endocytosis and trafficking. Indeed, PIP5KⅠα and PIP5KⅠγ were found to colocalize with CD14 in murine macrophage-like J774 cells, and their silencing reduced LPS-dependent production of TNF-α and CCL5/RANTES [145]. In Arf6-deficient Raw264.7 cells, the LPS-induced activation of NF-κB was lower than in their wild type counterparts suggesting a down-regulation of the MyD88-dependent signaling due to insufficient PI(4,5)P2 generation in the plasma membrane [73, 152]. Moreover, in these conditions, the cell surface level of TLR4 was also elevated and increased even further after stimulation of the cells with LPS likely due to an inhibited endocytosis of the receptor [152]. Furthermore, a deletion or inhibition of Arf6 blocked the TRIF-dependent signaling, which was reflected by a reduced phosphorylation of IRF3 and decreased expression of Ifnβ1 [152, 153].

Recent studies have indicated that the endosomal signaling of TLR4 is negatively regulated by prostaglandin E2 in thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal murine macrophages. Inhibition of prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4 or inhibition of prostaglandin E2 synthesis enhanced the LPS-induced internalization of TLR4 and Syk activation, and consequently augmented the TRIF-dependent signaling [86]. Endocytosis of TLR4 is also negatively regulated by CD13 metalloproteinase [154]. CD13 associates with TLR4 in resting murine BMDM and DC and its expression is enhanced in LPS-stimulated cells. CD13 undergoes LPS-induced endocytosis together with TLR4 and CD14 and these proteins colocalizes in Rab5-positive endosomes. However, a knock-out of CD13 enhanced TLR4 endocytosis in macrophages and increased IRF3 activation and IFN-β production. Accordingly, mice lacking CD13 displayed enhanced IFN-β-triggered signaling.
leading to exacerbated ischemic muscle injury. The mechanism of the CD13 involvement in TLR4 endocytosis remains unknown, but it could be related to the raft localization of CD13 [154].

The LPS-induced internalization of TLR4 occurs via clathrin-independent and/or clathrin-dependent pathways, while *E. coli* bacteria undergo phagocytosis in which TLR4 is involved [3, 33, 41, 155, 156]. The TLR4 endocytosis depends on dynamin, a GTPase responsible for pinching off of clathrin-coated buds, some macro- and micropinosomes, and phagosomes [157, 158] inhibition of dynamin with dynasore prevented LPS-induced internalization of TLR4 in several cell types, including macrophages [40, 141, 155, 159]. Accordingly, in such conditions, the TRIF-dependent signaling was inhibited [40, 74, 95, 155, 159–161]. In addition, dynasore attenuated the LPS-induced cleavage of caspase-3 in murine microglia and almost completely abolished expression of IL-1β in these cells, and in rat astrocytes overexpressing TLR4 [156, 159]. In HEK293 cells expressing TLR4/CD14 and a dominant negative dynamin II mutant (Dyn K44A), the NF-κB activation was upregulated in comparison to cells overexpressing wild-type dynamin, suggesting an upregulation of the MyD88-dependent signaling [41].

In contrast to the well-defined role of dynamin in LPS-induced TLR4 internalization, the role of clathrin in this process is more puzzling. Early electron microscopy studies suggested that endocytosis of LPS and CD14 is mainly clathrin-independent, yet no direct observation of TLR4 was possible at that time [162, 163] (see below). On the other hand, at least partial colocalization of TLR4 with transferrin, a typical cargo of clathrin-coated vesicles, was observed in HEK293 cells and in Ba/F3 cells transfected with TLR4/MD-2 and CD14 and stimulated with LPS for 45–60 min [41, 94]. In addition, partial colocalization of TLR4 with clathrin at the plasma membrane was observed in the HEK293 transfecteds and in U373 gloma cells transfected with TLR4 and CD14 [164]. The authors claimed that in the spots of TLR4 that were positive for clathrin also CD14 was accumulated; however, it has to be noticed that they did not label CD14 directly but only observed Cy5-labeled LPS. In addition, in all the studies cited above CD14 and TLR4/MD-2 transfecteds were used, which warrants their cautious interpretation in view of the relatively low level of TLR4 expression in native macrophages. Nevertheless, a line of studies using inhibitors of clathrin-dependent endocytosis support its contribution to TLR4 internalization. Monodansylcadaverine and chlorpromazine inhibited the LPS-induced internalization of TLR4 in Raw264.7 cells and in rat astrocytes overexpressing TLR4 [155, 159, 165]. Chlorpromazine also reduced production of CCL5/RANTES and IFN-β in those cells, and of IFN-β in murine DC and macrophages [155, 159, 165]. Similarly, incubation of J774 cells with another clathrin inhibitor, pitstop-2, reduced LPS-induced TNF-α and CCL5/RANTES production; however, the effect was weaker than that of dynasore [160]. Similarly, silencing of rafillin, a protein interacting with the heavy chain of clathrin and with clathrin-related adaptor protein AP-2 also reduced TLR4 internalization and negatively regulated the TRIF-dependent signaling pathway in human and murine cells poor in CD14 [165]. This additionally proves an engagement of clathrin in the internalization of TLR4 and points to the role of rafillin in this process [165].

Recent studies have revealed that disabled-2 (Dab2), an adaptor protein involved in the recruitment of some transmembrane receptors, e.g., low-density lipoprotein receptor, to clathrin-coated pits can also affect the TLR4 internalization [166]. Silencing of Dab2 in Raw264.7 cells increased the surface level of TLR4 in unstimulated cells but accelerated its LPS-induced endocytosis. In accordance, phosphorylation of IRF3 and expression of TRIF-dependent genes, including Cxcl10, Ifit1, Ccl5 and Ifnb1, was upregulated in these cells. Since TLR4 lacks a binding site for Dab2, the authors postulated that at steady state Dab2 binds and sequesters clathrin, thereby preventing TLR4 endocytosis. Stimulation of cells with LPS induces phosphorylation of Dab2 probably causing its dissociation from the plasma membrane and the following release of clathrin, and thereby allowing the clathrin-dependent internalization of TLR4. Thus, Dab2 can act as a negative regulator of TLR4 internalization [166].

Finally, the difference in the regulation of TLR4 endocytosis between murine macrophages and DC deserves attention. It was mentioned above that murine DC but not macrophages can phagocyte *E. coli* without a CD14 involvement [33]. Additionally, due to the lower level of CD14 in DC than in macrophages only in DC the endocytosis of TLR4 is facilitated by CD11b integrin [125]. CD11b in DC promotes both the MyD88-dependent and TRIF-dependent signaling of TLR4 in addition to its engagement in the receptor endocytosis. CD11b is also crucial for TLR4-triggered activation of the adaptive immunity by T lymphocyte [125]. The difference in the responses to LPS between macrophages and DC can be even more pronounced in humans. Only one human conventional (myeloid) DC subtype, cDC2 (also called CD1C+), expresses TLR4 among them only subsets CD5low/high expresses CD14, whereas murine conventional DC express both Tlr4 and Cd14 [29, 124, 167–172]. In addition, in human DC and also monocytes, TLR4 seems to be mainly intracellular [95, 173]. This suggests that in human DC, details of CD14-TLR4 signaling and trafficking can differ from those in their murine counterparts or in human macrophages. In addition, recent studies of murine mast cells have revealed that TLR4 trafficking in these cells can be regulated by huntingtin [174].
In summary, although many gaps in the understanding of the mechanisms controlling TLR4 endocytosis remain, the crucial roles of CD14, PIs turnover, and dynamin in this process have been firmly established. Notably, modulation of the duration of the TLR4 presence at the plasma membrane after binding of LPS can affect the duration of the MyD88-dependent signaling and reciprocally also the activation of the TRIF-dependent endosomal pathway. The TLR4-triggered signaling is also modulated by the mechanisms controlling the intracellular trafficking of the receptor.

**Intracellular trafficking of TLR4: an overview**

TLR4 is synthesized in the endoplasmic reticulum where gp96, a paralog of Hsp90, is involved in proper folding of the receptor, while PRAT4A participates in its maturation (glycosylation). These proteins control folding and maturation of all TLRs [175, 176]. The newly synthesized TLR4 is transported to the Golgi apparatus, where it associates with MD-2; potentially TLR4 can reach the cell surface without an MD-2 assistance and then bind secreted MD-2 [177, 178]. In unstimulated cells, TLR4 can be detected not only in the endoplasmic reticulum, Golgi apparatus and the plasma membrane but also in Rab11-positive ERC [92, 94, 95, 179]. Latz et al. showed that in HEK293 cells transfected with TLR4 and MD-2, the receptor cycled between the Golgi apparatus and the plasma membrane. This suggested that the TLR4/MD-2 complex is internalized and directed to the Golgi apparatus to be transported back to the plasma membrane [92]. Later studies indicated that LPS binding changes the intracellular trafficking of TLR4 and revealed the complexity of this process. Thus, LPS-activated TLR4 is internalized and thereby its amount at the cell surface decreases with the duration of the stimulation [17, 40, 141]. TLR4 internalized by murine immortalized BMDM stimulated with 1 μg/ml LPS is first (after ~10 min of stimulation) detected in submembrane Rab5-positive vesicles related to early endosomes (Fig. 2, I) [180]. In murine microglia (BV2 cells), the TLR4 translocation to the Rab5-positive vesicles seems to be slower than in murine macrophages since a colocalization of TLR4 and Rab5 was visible only after 2 h of stimulation with the same LPS concentration [156], suggesting that the dynamics of TLR4 endocytosis is cell type specific. In the Rab5-positive endosomes TLR4 interacts with TRAM and activates the TRIF-dependent signaling cascade leading to the production of type I IFN and expression of IFN-induced genes. Next (after ~30–60 min of stimulation of immortalized BMDM) TLR4 is found in the membrane of Rab7-positive endosomes identified as late endosomes, where it also colocalizes with TRAM (Fig. 2, II) [180]. The endosomes marked by Rab7a or Rab7b are multifunctional in the context of TLR4 activity as the TRIF-dependent signaling can be maintained in some of them while others are sites of TLR4 degradation that progresses in lysosomes [94, 181–184], as discussed in more detail in the section below.

Ubiquitination is likely a signal targeting TLR4 for degradation and it increases significantly after stimulation of cells with LPS, but the exact type of this modification has not been established [41]. Ubiquitinated TLR4 is recognized by hepatocyte growth factor regulated tyrosine kinase substrate (Hrs), an early endosome-associated component of the ESCRT-0 complex which, together with other ESCRT complexes, causes clustering of the receptors and targets them to intraluminal vesicles in multivesicular bodies (MVB) and consequently to lysosomal degradation (Fig. 2, III and IV) [41].

Beside the Rab5- and Rab7-positive endo-lysosome compartment, in Raw264.7 cells, LPS-activated TLR4 (~10–20 min) is also found in Rab10-positive vesicles identified as a subtype of recycling endosomes, while prior to the stimulation TLR4 is located to the Golgi apparatus where also Rab10 can be detected (Fig. 2, V). Silencing of Rab10 decreased the amount of TLR4 in the plasma membrane and diminished the activation of both the MyD88- and the TRIF-dependent signaling pathway. It has been, therefore, proposed that Rab10 controls the replenishing of the plasma membrane pool of LPS-activated TLR4 from its reservoir in the Golgi apparatus [179]. Additionally, recent data have indicated that in J774 cells stimulated with LPS the plasma membrane pool of TLR4 can be replenished by de novo synthesized protein as well as by recycling of previously internalized receptor. The recycling route of TLR4 probably engages the ERC and ERC-related vesicles in which internalized TLR4 is transported back to the plasma membrane. This process depends on the plasma membrane-localized SNARE proteins syntaxin 11 and its partner SNAP-23 which can regulate fusion of the TLR4-bearing vesicles with the plasma membrane. A knock-down of syntaxin 11 or SNAP-23 suppressed the LPS-induced transport of TLR4 to the cell surface and promoted its lysosomal degradation [185]. Recycling of TLR4 can be down-regulated by TRPM7, as suggested by Granucci’s analysis of the TLR4 reappearance on the surface of TRPM7-depleted and LPS-stimulated macrophages [186].

Finally, unusual Rab11-dependent trafficking of an intracellular pool of TLR4 bypassing its plasma membrane localization was detected during phagocytosis of *E. coli* by human monocytes (Fig. 2, VI) [95, 187]. Rab11-positive vesicles also carry TRAM to the phagosomes, while in LPS-stimulated cells they participate in transporting GOLD domain-containing proteins to TLR4/LPS-harboring endosomes, thereby affecting the duration of the TRIF-dependent signaling [181, 182]. Thus, the Rab11-positive compartment plays an important role in the regulation of
the TRIF-dependent signaling pathway of TLR4 discussed in detail in the following section.

The data presented above indicate that activation of the TRIF-dependent signaling cascade is coupled with the trafficking of TLR4 through endo-lysosomal compartments. Therefore, the process of transformation of early endosomes into late endosomes and lysosomes, which is correlated with changes of the protein and lipid
composition of their membrane, acidification of the lumen and enrichment in hydrolytic enzymes, is crucial for both the signaling and the degradation of TLR4.

**Regulation of TLR4 signaling in endo-lysosomal compartment**

Progressive acidification of the endosome interior provides an optimal environment for the dissociation of ligands from their receptors and for the activity of hydrolytic enzymes. In agreement, acidification of endosomes was found to induce splitting of TLR4/MD-2 dimers and dissociation of LPS from the receptor [188, 189], albeit the pH optimum for those processes has not been determined. In general, activation of endosomal proteases facilitates degradation of the internalized proteins, but for endosomal TLRs a limited proteolysis catalyzed by cathepsins and asparaginyl endopeptidase is necessary for their dimerization and signal transduction [152, 190]. Notably, TLR4 does not undergo such modification and binding of LPS overcomes the repulsion of two receptor ectodomains and forces TLR4 dimerization [69]. The acidic pH of endosomes could facilitate induction of the TRIF-dependent signaling by TLR4. Such hypothesis has been put forward by Ganglioff and co-workers based on their analysis of the crystallographic structure of TLR3, a receptor residing in endosomes and triggering the TRAM/TRIF-dependent signaling pathway. By analogy with TLR3, the acidic environment of endosomes (pH 5.5) would induce changes in the position of TLR4 ectodomains which after internalization face the endosome lumen. Concomitant conformational changes of the transmembrane and cytosolic regions of the receptor together with specific features of the endosome membrane, such as its high curvature and the presence of distinct species of PIs, could facilitate recruitment of TRAM to TLR4 [191].

Two approaches have been used to examine the role of endosome acidification in LPS-induced signaling of TLR4. Treatment of Raw264.7 cells with chloroquine, which neutralized the pH of the endosome interior, enhanced the depletion of LPS-activated TLR4 from the cell surface [155]. In agreement, chloroquine was shown to induce intracellular accumulation of LPS-activated TLR4 instead of its degradation, thus the stability of the TLR4/MD-2 complex was also prolonged [41, 189]. In chloroquine-treated Raw264.7 cells, the production of the MyD88- and TRIF-dependent cytokines was decreased, which was interpreted as a result of impaired recycling of TLR4 from endosomes to the plasma membrane [155]. On the other hand, knock-down of a ATP6V0D2, a subunit of the V-ATPase responsible for acidification of endosomes, attenuated the TRIF-dependent signaling pathway in Raw264 cells as reflected by a decreased expression of Ifnb1 and upregulated expression of the MyD88-dependent Tnfα, Il6 and Il12p40 [152]. In this case, the enhancement of the MyD88-dependent signaling and the reduction of the TRIF-dependent one correlated with reduced endocytosis of TLR4 and its prolonged maintenance on the cell surface. At the basis of this effect was an impaired interaction of the V-ATPase complex with Arf6 caused by the silencing of ATP6V0D2 [152], indicating that the regulation of TLR4 signaling via V-ATPase goes beyond controlling the endosome acidification and also involves its influence on the Arf6 activity. Ample data indicate that several other proteins which determine the functionality of the endo-lysosomal compartment, such as Lyst, Vps33B, GMFG and Rab7b, affect the TLR4-triggered signaling and the receptor degradation (Table 1).

Lyst is a lysosomal trafficking regulator contributing to endo-lysosomal biogenesis and also takes part in terminal maturation of secretory vesicles [192]. Structurally, Lyst belongs to BEACH domain-containing proteins which are large scaffolding proteins associated with cellular membranes due to the interaction of their PH domain with phospholipids, mainly PIs [193]. In murine BMDM and bone marrow-derived DC (BMDC) bearing a mutation in the Lyst gene leading to the production of a dysfunctional Lyst, neither the LPS-induced MyD88-dependent activation of MAP kinases and IκB nor the TLR4 endocytosis were affected; however, the TRIF-dependent IRF3 phosphorylation and its translocation to the nucleus were impaired. In agreement, that deleterious mutation of Lyst decreased the production of IFN-β, TNFα, and IL-12. Similar effects were observed in THP-1 cells of human origin. In vivo studies of pulmonary inflammation in mice showed that the Lyst dysfunction led to a lower production of TNF-α and IFN-β after administration of LPS, and protected the animals from endotoxin-induced lethal shock. This suggests that the dysfunction of the endo-lysosomal compartment observed in cells expressing the mutated form of Lyst inhibited the TRIF-dependent signaling. One can only speculate whether this impairment resulted from a faster degradation of TLR4 or an inefficient formation of its endosomal signaling complex. Later studies on the phagocytosis of *E. coli* and LPS-coated beads by BMDC expressing the mutated Lyst indicated that the recruitment of Rab7 (also called Rab7a) to maturing phagosomes, but not their acidification, was affected [183].

Vacuolar protein sorting-associated protein (Vps) 33B, a homolog of yeast Vps33p, is another factor regulating TLR4 signaling and degradation. Mammalian Vps33B and closely related Vps33A are soluble proteins of the Sec1/Munc18 family which, together with SNAREs, allow fusion of intracellular compartments with lysosomes. Vps33B interacts with the VIRAP protein and with Rab10, Rab11, and Rab25 [194, 195]. After silencing of Vps33B
in murine macrophages, the phagosomal clearance of E. coli was impaired even though the rate of phagocytosis was not changed. Furthermore, the trafficking of LPS-activated TLR4 to Rab5- and further to Rab7-positive endosomes was also similar to that in wild-type cells. In both Vps33B-depleted and control macrophages, TLR4 was also present in Rab11-positive endosomes. However, degradation of LPS-activated TLR4 was impaired after Vps33B depletion and the receptor accumulated in LPS-stimulated cells, which resulted in an overproduction of cytokines such as IL-6, TNF-α and IFN-β. Collectively, these data suggest that Vps33B has an important function in the maturation of phagosomes and also in a late step of endosome maturation, i.e., the fusion with lysosomes. Therefore, Vsp33B can be viewed as a negative regulator of the TLR4-induced pro-inflammatory response [180].

Glia maturation factor-γ (GMFG) is a newly discovered actin depolymerization factor which binds the Arp2/3 complex and inhibits nucleation of actin monomers and also promotes debranching of actin filaments, processes important for endosome trafficking. GMFG is expressed mainly in immune cells and microvascular endothelial cells, where it facilitates recycling of internalized β1-integrin [196]. GMFG also affects the internalization and trafficking of LPS-activated TLR4 and thereby the TLR4-induced pro-inflammatory responses. In THP-1 cells, GMFG associates with early and late endosome markers, including EEA1, Rab5, and Rab7. Depletion of GMFG in those cells and in primary human macrophages resulted in a delayed internalization of TLR4 and its abnormal accumulation in the plasma membrane and Rab5-positive vesicles. This retention of TLR4 in the plasma membrane and in early endosomes significantly enhanced the LPS-induced activation of NF-κB, MAP kinases and IRF3, and the production of TNF-α, IL-6 and IFN-β at both the mRNA and protein level. In accordance, GMFG overexpression decreased the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Interestingly, production of the anti-inflammatory IL-10 was slightly increased in these conditions [196].

Rab7b is expressed selectively in some cell types, e.g., in monocytes and monocyte-derived immature DC, and is involved in the transport of cargo to late endosomes and lysosomes [184, 197, 198]. Silencing of Rab7b in Raw264.7 cells increased the overall level of TLR4, including its cell-surface pool [184]. More-detailed studies on TLR4 localization in EEA1- and LAPM-1-positive compartments indicated that silencing of Rab7b caused accumulation of TLR4 in early endosomes, while overexpression of Rab7b led to an accelerated TLR4 translocation to late endosomes/lysosomes. Those data suggested that Rab7b is required for lysosomal degradation of TLR4. Indeed, overexpression of Rab7b in peritoneal macrophages and Raw264.7 cells decreased TLR4 protein level without affecting its mRNA level [184]. An increased expression of Rab7b also down-regulated TLR4 surface level in human DC treated with a water-soluble fraction of the worm Trichuris suis [199]. In addition, studies on the cerebral ischemic stroke in the rat revealed an increased expression of Rab7b in the brain after the stroke. In turn, overexpression of Rab7b in rat brain reduced the TLR4 and NF-κB levels following the stroke and also reduced the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines in both signaling cascades of the receptor. Thus, the overexpression of Rab7b down-regulated the activation of MAP kinases, NF-κB and IRF3 as well as inhibited the production of IL-6 and IFN-β in the rat brain [200].

Rab7a and Rab7b exhibit 65% sequence similarity [197] and have partially overlapping cellular localizations and functions in maturation of endosomes, yet the two proteins regulate TLR4 signaling differently. Rab7a is required for the activation of the TRIF-dependent signaling cascade in LPS-stimulated BMDMs and does not interfere with the MyD88-dependent one. Also during phagocytosis of LPS-coated beads, Rab7a-positive phagosomes recruited TBK1, the kinase responsible for phosphorylation of IRF3 [183]. Recent studies have indicated that both Rab7a and Rab7b can be involved in retrograde transport of cargo from late endosomes to the trans-Golgi network and called into question the involvement of Rab7b in the transport of selected proteins from early to late endosomes [198, 201]. The retrograde transport is mediated by a multiprotein complex called retromer which retrieves membrane-bound receptors, e.g., cation-independent mannose-6-phosphate receptor, from endosomes and transports them to the trans-Golgi network and to the plasma membrane. The core of the retromer is formed by the heterotrimer of Vps35, 29 and 26. Silencing of Vps35 in microglia increased their inflammatory response to LPS, which was linked with an impaired trafficking of Trem2, an immunomodulatory microglial surface receptor [202]. Taking into account that Rab7a, Rab7b, and Vps35 alter the LPS-induced inflammatory responses it seems plausible that retrograde transport can be important for the recycling/retrieval of TLR4, but further studies are required to confirm this hypothesis.

Maturation of endosomes is linked with changes of the lipid composition of their membrane. Our studies also indicated that such changes are important for the regulation of the intensity of TLR4-dependent pro-inflammatory responses. We found that accumulation of
lysoisosphatidic acid, a phospholipid enriched in late endosomes/lysosomes, strongly inhibited the TRIF-dependent signaling cascade and decreased LPS-dependent CCL5/RANTES production [203].

Taken together, the data discussed above indicate that disturbances in the endocytosis of LPS-activated TLR4 and also dysfunctions of the endosomal/lysosomal machinery ultimately affect TLR4-triggered pro-inflammatory responses. A proper maturation of endosomes is necessary for the TRIF-dependent signaling to occur and can also affect the MyD88-dependent one, while slowing down of the TLR4 degradation increases the pro-inflammatory response. Whether a retrograde transport of TLR4 from Rab7-positive endosomes via the Golgi to the plasma membrane, which could sustain activation of the receptor by extracellular LPS, is a physiological phenomenon remains an intriguing open question.

Rab11-positive recycling endosomes in LPS-induced trafficking of TLR4 and TRAM

Early endosomes identified by Rab5 presence provide a sorting environment for diverse internalized membrane proteins. Some of them recycle back to the trans-Golgi network or to the plasma membrane, others are directed to lysosomes for degradation. In general, the routes of protein recycling to the plasma membrane are classified as “fast” and “slow”. Fast recycling involves Rab4- or Rab35-marked vesicles which bud off of the early endosomes and next fuse with the plasma membrane [204]. On the other hand, proteins retrieved by the slow recycling route are delivered from early endosomes to the Rab11-positive ERC closely opposing the Golgi apparatus, and then are transported back to the plasma membrane by Rab11- and EHD1- or Arf6-positive recycling endosomes [153, 204, 205]. Rab11-positive vesicles participate in the trafficking of the archetypical recycling protein, the transferrin receptor [206].

Rab11-positive compartments are also crucial for the TLR4 trafficking and activation during phagocytosis of Gram-negative bacteria. It was found that Rab11-bearing ERC is a source of TLR4 that is transported in a Rab11-dependent manner toward forming phagosomes (Fig. 2, VI). This intracellular pool of TLR4 omits the plasma membrane and triggers the TRIF-dependent signaling at the phagosome membrane. TRAM, required at the onset of this signaling pathway, is also transported to the phagosome membrane with a contribution from Rab11. Local accumulation of IRF3 and production of IFN-β follow [95]. Further studies have revealed that FIP-2, a Rab11 effector protein, is required for the TRAM recruitment to the forming phagosomes. Rab11, FIP-2, TRAM, and TRIF assembly into a complex which is recruited to the TIR domain of activated TLR4. FIP-2 guides the TRAM accumulation at the forming phagosomes to activate Rac1 and Cdc42, thereby governing actin filament rearrangement and the uptake of the bacterium, and also to induce the TRAM/TRIF-dependent signaling of TLR4 [187].

Rab11-positive recycling endosomes can also be involved in the intracellular trafficking of TLR4 during stimulation of cells with LPS. Indeed, a FRAP analysis of TLR4 mobility in LPS-stimulated cells revealed its high dynamics suggesting that TLR4 enters and exits the ERC [164]. Moreover, silencing of Rab11 or FIP-2 inhibited the TRIF-dependent signaling of TLR4 triggered by LPS, resembling the effects seen during phagocytosis [95, 187]. At present there are no other data showing that during stimulation of cells with LPS Rab11-positive vesicles can transport TLR4 from its intracellular reservoir directly to endosomes. More likely is a contribution of Rab11 to the transport of TRAM toward the endosomes which acquired LPS-TLR4 from the plasma membrane following induction of the MyD88-dependent signaling cascade. Rab11 can determine the localization of TRAM in unstimulated cells. Overexpression of a Rab11 in HEK293 cells expressing also TLR4, MD-2, CD14, and TRAM resulted in accumulation of TRAM in the ERC, which was concomitant with its depletion in the Golgi apparatus [164]. As mentioned above, the pool of TRAM involved in the endosomal TLR4 signaling does not traffic to endosomes from the plasma membrane [40, 182]; therefore, its possible sources include the Golgi apparatus and the Rab11-positive ERC (Fig. 2, VII). TRAM can be accumulated in the ERC with the help of Arf6-dependent transport from the vicinity of the plasma membrane [153]. Upon a subsequent stimulation of cells with LPS, the TRAM-Rab11-FIP-2-TRIF complex can be transported from the ERC to LPS/TLR4-harboring endosomes where the TRIF-dependent signaling is triggered [95, 164]. This Rab11-dependent trafficking of TRAM could facilitate activation of the endosomal signaling pathway of TLR4. Expression of a dominant negative or constitutively active Arf6 variant interfered with the endosomal TLR4 signaling indicating its dependence on the Arf6-mediated intracellular TRAM trafficking [153].

Rab11 is also involved in the delivery to endosomes of two other proteins—transmembrane emp24 domain-containing protein (TMED) 7 and TRAM adaptor with GOLD domain (TAG), both involved in the TRIF-dependent signaling of TLR4. TMED7 and TAG cooperate to promote termination of TLR4 signaling. Silencing of TAG or TMED7 resulted in upregulation of the TRIF-dependent signaling reflected by an increase of the ISRE reporter gene expression and enhanced production of CCL5/RANTES. Moreover, in cells with a reduced level of TAG or TMED7 degradation of TLR4 was inhibited [181, 182]. At the basis of
the regulatory function of TMED7 and TAG in TLR4-triggered signaling is their negative influence on the stability of the TRAM/TRIF complex. These two proteins contain a GOLD domain allowing their homotypic interaction [181, 182]. TAG, expressed exclusively in humans, is a splicing variant of TRAM and also carries the TIR domain [181]. Upon binding to the TIR domain of TRAM, TAG displaces TRIF from the TRAM/TRIF complex. In unstimulated cells, TAG localizes mainly to the endoplasmic reticulum while TMED7 is present in the Golgi apparatus. After LPS stimulation, the two proteins traffic to endosomes. The colocalization of TMED7 with TLR4 and TRAM begins in EEA1-positive early endosomes and culminates in Rab7-positive late endosomes where TMED7 facilitates disruption of the TRAM/TRIF complex by TAG, which eventually leads to degradation of TLR4 [181, 182].

The above data indicate that Rab11-positive compartments are involved in the LPS-induced trafficking of TRAM and also of other proteins regulating the LPS-induced TRAM/TRIF-dependent signaling. In the following sections, we discuss how the TLR4-triggered signaling can be affected by cellular trafficking of CD14 and internalization of LPS.

**Cellular trafficking of CD14 and its relation to the trafficking of TLR4**

The participation of CD14 in the activation of TLR4 by LPS and in the endocytosis of LPS-activated TLR4 followed by the TRIF-dependent pro-inflammatory signaling is well established, as discussed above. Notably, CD14 is also involved in the internalization of high doses of LPS which engages scavenger receptors and leads to LPS detoxification by J774 cells [160], as described in the following section. However, it has to be emphasized that apart from LPS, CD14 binds a broad spectrum of other molecules, including PAMPs, like peptidoglycan, lipoteichoic acid, CpG DNA, and phospholipids [51, 207], and also DAMPs such as β-amyloid [208]. Thus, CD14 can affect the activity of several PRRs. The binding of phospholipids by CD14 is interesting in the context of the LPS-induced pro-inflammatory signaling of TLR4. Among the phospholipids bound by CD14 are 1-palmitoyl-2-glutaroyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine and 1-palmitoyl-2-(5-oxovaleroyl)-sn-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine, two forms of oxidized 1-palmitoyl-2-arachidonyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphorylcholine (oxPAPC) released from dying cells at the site of tissue injury. The oxPAPC-induced internalization of CD14 depletes its cell surface pool and as a consequence makes these cells less sensitive to LPS. However, in LPS-primed murine macrophages and DC, the CD14-dependent delivery of oxPAPC into the cells leads to the activation of caspase-11 and caspase-1 followed by IL-1β release. Notably, oxPAPC did not induce pyroptosis of such cells leaving them hyperactive to produce IL-1β without the lethal outcome of an inflammatory response and sepsis in mice [144, 209]. These data support the TIR1 name given to CD14 as it is able to capture various cargo in addition to TLR4/MD-2/LPS and deliver them to signaling-competent locations [17].

Only scarce data allow speculation on the pathway(s) involved in the internalization of CD14 carrying LPS and other ligands, like oxPAPC, and also in the constitutive endocytosis of CD14 in resting murine macrophages [17]. The latter is of importance since a down-regulation of the cell surface level of CD14 and TLR4 in resting cells should prevent excessive LPS-induced pro-inflammatory signaling of TLR4 [161, 210]. This mechanism can also contribute to the antagonistic activity of *R. spheroides* LPS toward human and murine TLR4. Studies performed on murine BMDM showed that this LPS species induced CD14 endocytosis preventing subsequent binding of the pro-inflammatory *E. coli* LPS to CD14 and consequently to TLR4. The ability of *R. spheroides* LPS to induce CD14 endocytosis was determined by diphosphorylation of its lipid A [17].

The LPS-induced endocytosis of CD14 is independent of the signaling activity of TLR4, but it was reduced by a Syk inhibitor or a knockdown of PLCγ2 [33]. This suggests that, upon LPS binding, CD14 and TLR4 are internalized by the same route discussed above for TLR4 endocytosis. Since this LPS-induced uptake of CD14/TLR4/MD-2 does not require the signaling activity of TLR4, it has been proposed to be controled by CD14 itself [17]. However, it is still unknown whether the endocytic pathway triggered by LPS is the same as that involved in the constitutive internalization of CD14 in unstimulated cells or in the CD14-mediated uptake of other ligands.

Studies on the endocytosis of other GPI-anchored proteins (GPI-APs) may shed light on the LPS-independent internalization of CD14. The majority of GPI-APs are internalized via the dynamin- and clathrin-independent carrier (CLIC) endocytic pathway which also contributes markedly to fluid phase uptake. The internalization of CD14 detected in resting J774 cells displayed properties of a CLIC-mediated uptake; however, the apparent similarities between the CD14 and TLR4 uptake found in those inhibitor-based studies do not allow unequivocal identification of the endocytic pathway involved [161]. The CLIC-mediated endocytosis is sensitive to actin depolymerization and requires activation of the Arf1 and Cdc42 GTPases [211]. Cdc42 interacts with PS in the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. Depletion of CDC50, the α-subunit of the flippase complex, and the following inhibition of PS transport from the outer to the inner monolayer of the plasma membrane increased the surface level of CD14 in unstimulated THP-1 macrophages [212]. These data suggest that CD14 can be internalized by
CLIC-mediated endocytosis involving Cdc42 and PS. An attempt has been undertaken to assess whether the CLIC-mediated endocytosis is also involved in the internalization of CD14 upon LPS binding. It was found that, depletion of galectin-3, a protein triggering CLIC-mediated endocytosis via clustering of cell-surface glycosylated proteins with glycosphingolipids, did not affect the LPS-induced internalization of either CD14 or TLR4 [17, 213]. Those results spoke against a role of the galectin-3-facilitated endocytosis of CD14 in LPS-stimulated cells; however, a hypothetical involvement of galectin-3-independent CLIC-mediated pathway(s) cannot be ruled out.

Internalized GPI-APs accumulate in early endosomes which therefore earn the name of GPI-AP-enriched early endosomal compartment (GEEC). The GEEC undergoes fast but only modest acidification to pH ~ 6, which is above the average pH of other early endosomes. The acidic pH of GEEC can facilitate the release of ligands from the internalized GPI-APs [211, 214]. Inhibition of V-ATPase reduced the CLIC/GEEC endocytosis of dextran, a fluid phase marker [211]. As mentioned earlier, a knock-down of the ATP6V0D2 subunit of V-ATPase also impaired the LPS-induced endocytosis of TLR4 [152]. It remains to be established whether in those conditions, the inhibition of the internalization of TLR4 was a consequence of a disturbed uptake of CD14.

At present, there are no data indicating that upon LPS binding CD14 can recycle to the plasma membrane either via the fast Rab4/Rab35-dependent pathway, the slow one dependent on Rab11, or via Rab10-enriched recycling endosomes, as it was shown for TLR4 [179]. On the contrary, it has been established that at least a fraction of the internalized CD14 is degraded in lysosomes [17]. Even in cells not stimulated with LPS CD14 was detected in perinuclear structures and in MVB-like vesicles [163]. Stimulation of cells with LPS increased the degradation of CD14 in lysosomes while chloroquine treatment inhibited this process [17]. Notably, CD14 internalized by human monocytes during phagocytosis of E. coli or monosodium urate crystals (a sterile phagocytic stimulus) is cleaved in phagolysosomes by elastase and released as presepsin [54] (see chapter “Signaling pathways triggered by TLR4”).

It is an open question whether disturbances in the endolysosome functioning caused, e.g., by mutation/knock-down/inhibition of endosomal proteins listed in Table 1 can affect the cellular level and localization of CD14 and thereby the LPS-induced TLR4 activation. This possibility is usually neglected in analyses of the impact of endolysosomal proteins on TLR4 trafficking and signaling.

LPS uptake and detoxification

Clearance of LPS from circulation and its detoxification help resolve the inflammation induced by bacterial infection. These processes are also important for the removal of the gut microbiota-derived LPS which got access to the bloodstream [215]. There are several mechanisms of LPS inactivation in the human body acting both extracellularly and intracellularly. The latter follows LPS uptake by hepatocytes which remove LPS into the bile, and by immune cells capable of detoxifying the endotoxin enzymatically [216].

The extracellular inactivation of LPS is executed by several lipid A-neutralizing proteins which circulate in the bloodstream, like bactericidal permeability-increasing protein, lactoferrin, lysozyme, collectins, and also anti-LPS antibodies [217]. Also in the blood LPS can be bound by lipoproteins, such as chylomicrons and high, low, and very low-density lipoproteins (HDL, LDL, VLDL) to be next transported to the liver [218, 219]. The binding of LPS to the lipoproteins is catalyzed by phospholipid transfer protein, cholesterol ester transfer protein, LBP, and sCD14. Liver is the main source of sCD14, also LBP is produced predominantly by the liver and in addition by epithelial cells of lungs and the gastrointestinal tract [220]. LBP and sCD14 are both acute phase proteins upregulated significantly during sepsis [45, 221]. High concentrations of LBP attenuate TLR4 activation by inhibiting the transfer of LPS from CD14 to MD-2 while sCD14 can accept LPS form membrane-bound CD14 and accelerate its transfer to HDL and subsequent detoxification in the liver [49, 207, 215, 222, 223].

Liver also plays an essential direct role in the clearance of LPS from circulation and its excretion into the bile. In mice injected in the tail vein with 5 μg LPS, 90% of the endotoxin accumulated in the liver within 60 min [224]. The mechanism of the LPS detoxification in the liver is not fully clear but it is known to engage various types of hepatic cells, including liver sinusoidal endothelial cells, hepatocytes, and hepatic macrophages—the Kupffer cells. All these cells can internalize LPS, but mainly the macrophages inactivate the internalized endotoxin enzymatically [224–227]. If not internalized by hepatocytes directly, LPS is transferred to them from the other cells and is next secreted into the bile [224].

It is worth emphasizing that the mechanism of LPS endocytosis preceding its intracellular detoxification depends on its formulation which includes aggregates, OMV, lipoprotein-bound LPS, and LPS monomers. OMV and LPS-enriched liposomes are likely internalized in a clathrin-dependent and CD14-independent way by macrophage. The binding of LPS to lipoproteins affects the way it is detoxified in the liver. The endocytosis of HDL-LPS by Kupffer cells was not as effective as that of free LPS [224], indicating that the HDL-LPS complexes are rather internalized by cells.
highly expressing scavenger receptors, such as liver sinusoidal endothelial cells and hepatocytes [227]. The role of the scavenger receptors, e.g., CD36, CLA-1/SRB1, CLA-2 and SR-A of immune cells, in LPS-induced processes is more intricate and includes the CD14-dependent uptake of high doses of LPS but also the activation of immune responses [160].

It has been found recently that LPS can also be internalized in complex with secretotoglobin 3A2 and HMGB1. The first protein is abundantly expressed in airway epithelial cells and is internalized via the SDC1 receptor. The endocytosis of HMGB1, in turn, is mediated by the RAGE receptor expressed in epithelial cells and macrophages. Both proteins facilitate leakage of LPS from endosomes to the cytosol where it is bound by pro-caspase-11 and activates the NLRP3 inflammasome [113, 228].

TLR4 makes a small contribution to the internalization of LPS by immune cells [229]. In agreement, blocking of TLR4 with a neutralizing anti-TLR4 antibody did not affect the internalization of a relatively high dose of LPS (1000 ng/ml) [160]. Although TLR4 did not directly participate in the uptake of high amounts of LPS by macrophages, it could indirectly reinforce its clearance from plasma by hepatocytes, as a knock-down of hepatocyte TLR4 inhibited this process [26]. It was also shown that TLR4, CD14, and MD-2 participate in the clustering of CD11b/CD18a integrins involved in LPS endocytosis in hepatocytes [230]. Moreover, TLR4 can regulate deacylation of LPS by immune cells. In TLR4-deficient mice, significantly less LPS was deacylated at the site of infection than in wild-type littermates [231]. In accordance, LPS and commensal Gram-negative bacteria increased the expression of acyloxyacyl hydrolase (AOHA) in macrophages and DC suggesting that activation of TLR4 can activate production of this enzyme [232, 233]. AOHA is a highly conserved hydrolase which recognizes LPS and removes secondary fatty acids at positions 3', 2' and/or 2 of lipid A, converting a hexa-acyl (also hepta- or penta-acyl) structure into one that has only four acyl chains. The resulting tetra-acyl LPS molecule binds to MD-2 but does not initiate TLR4 signaling and is also inert to caspase-based detection systems [234]. Similarly, weaker inflammatory responses are induced by LPS dephosphorylated by host phosphatases, like the intestinal alkaline phosphatase which participates in detoxification of LPS in the gut lumen [235]. Deacylation and dephosphorylation of LPS deprived it of a pro-inflammatory activity especially in human cells [59, 61], due to a weaker or no activation of human TLR4 by these LPS species, as discussed above. Notably, the vast majority of in vivo studies on LPS detoxification have been carried out on mice the TLR4 of which can be activated by a broader range of LPS species (see above), but which are much less sensitive to LPS-induced septic shock than humans [236].

Intestinal alkaline phosphatase is produced in the duodenum, whereas high expression of AOAH was found in the liver, mainly in Kupffer cells with a minor contribution of DC [235, 237]. AOHA expression was also detected in macrophages and DC from the large intestine and in lung macrophages and neutrophils [232]. When LPS was applied subcutaneously, mimicking its release from bacteria in a tissue other than blood, up to 70% of the LPS was deacylated by AOHA before it left the site of injection. This in situ detoxification of LPS is carried out by immune cells, including neutrophils, DC, and macrophages [231].

Decylation of LPS is a slow process taking several days. Therefore, during infection, the activity of AOHA has little impact on the early response to LPS and cytokine production, but it is necessary to terminate the inflammation and affects the activation of B lymphocytes [231, 237]. Many aspects of AOHA activity are unresolved, the most intriguing one being whether the main side of its action are organelles of immune cells or the extracellular space [231]. In light of the data on the role of metabolic endotoxemia in the development of metabolic diseases, and a growing number of fatal sepsis cases worldwide, the mechanisms of endotoxin disposal by immune cells and overall by the human body deserve close attention.

**Contribution of abnormal trafficking of TLR4 and CD14 to pathogenesis of certain diseases**

The multiple pathways of TLR4 trafficking in diverse cells require tight regulation to ensure an optimal magnitude and duration of pro-inflammatory responses induced by LPS and DAMPs. For this reason, several human hereditary and neurodegenerative diseases caused by disturbances in the functioning of the endo-lysosomal compartment are linked with TLR4 hyperactivation and inflammation contributing substantially to their pathogenesis.

This fatal relationship is exemplified by lysosomal storage disorders (LSD) caused by hereditary dysfunctions of lysosomes which are accompanied by an abnormal activation of TLR4 and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [238, 239]. These responses can be triggered by an extracellular accumulation of undegraded substances which act as DAMPs and also by excessive TLR4 stability and persistent signaling caused by an impaired lysosomal proteolysis in cells of LSD patients. In particular, TLR4 has been shown to be involved in the development of mucopolysaccharidosis (MPS), a heterogenous group of LSD resulting from deficiencies in lysosomal enzymes degrading glycosaminoglycans (GAGs). Various MPS subtypes affect different tissues and organs, including central nervous system, bones, muscles, and the connective tissue [238]. Under physiological...
conditions, hyaluronan of the GAG family is transported to the extracellular matrix while other GAGs, like heparan sulphate, are exposed on the cell surface and remain bound to the plasma membrane via a proteoglycan core. Thereby heparan sulphate of epithelial cells affects the adhesion of immune cells during inflammation. Several GAGs also induce production of pro-inflammatory chemokines and cytokines. Studies conducted on animal models of MPS VI and/or VII showed an increased level of IL-1β and TNF-α and also an upregulated expression of several other inflammatory markers in synovial fluid, fibroblast-like synoviocytes and serum. Those changes were linked with TLR4 activity as a knock-down of TLR4 in MPS VII animals normalized the serum level of TNF-α and phosphorylation of chondrocyte STAT1 and also alleviated some of the pathological effects of the disease in bones and joints [240, 241]. A similar line of data indicated a contribution of TLR4-induced pro-inflammatory signaling of microglia to the onset of neurodegenerative MPS III [242]. The pro-inflammatory signaling of TLR4 is considered to be triggered by GAG—DAMPs which accumulate in the extracellular space. These include hyaluronan which stimulates TLR4 in cooperation with CD44, and also heparan sulphate and its derivatives resulting from an incomplete degradation of this GAG in lysosomes and subsequent exocytosis of its products. However, an increased level of TLR4 has also been detected in fibroblast-like synoviocytes of MPS VI animals. In addition, these cells have increased mRNA levels of LBP, CD14, and MyD88. The upregulation of TLR4 level was caused by its accumulation in dysfunctional lysosomes with an unchanged expression of the Tlr4 gene [240, 241]. Collectively, these results indicate that an impaired lysosomal degradation of TLR4 contributes to the inflammation in MPS.

An increased activity of TLR4 is also linked with the pathogenesis of another LSD, Niemann–Pick’s type C (NPC) disease leading to progressive neurological dysfunctions in children. NPC is caused by mutations in the NPC1 or NPC2 genes causing malfunctioning of the encoded proteins, which results in an aberrant endosomal membrane flow and accumulation of cholesterol and other lipids in endo-lysosomes. TLR4 level is increased in fibroblasts and glial cells of NPC patients and in Npc1 knock-out mice, respectively, as a result of its impaired degradation. This leads to the accumulation of TLR4 in endosomes and upregulation of its signaling pathways enhancing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6, IL-8, and IFN-β. The increased inflammatory response, particularly the glial production of IL-6, can contribute to the pathogenesis of NPC via both the autocrine and paracrine effects of this cytokine [243].

A participation of the TLR4 receptor has also been noted in the development of cystic fibrosis (CF)—an autosomal recessive disorder caused by mutations in the CFTR gene encoding cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, a chloride channel expressed in airway epithelial cells and, to a lower extent, also in other cells. The disease is manifested chiefly by a dysfunction of the airway epithelium, which leads to a dehydrated and acidic airway surface liquid favoring chronic bacterial infections [244, 245]. A characteristic feature of cystic fibrosis is an excessive inflammatory response leading to lung damage [244, 245]. Data concerning the role of bronchial epithelial cells in the inflammatory response in cystic fibrosis are inconsistent. Early studies reported a decreased or unchanged expression of TLR4 in those cells in comparison with the cells of healthy donors [244, 246]. In contrast, a recent study on immortalized bronchial epithelial cell derived from a CF patient showed an increased level of TLR4 on the cell surface and its impaired transport to lysosomes likely contributing to an enhanced inflammatory response to LPS [247]. However, the inflammatory response in CF lungs is mainly attributed to macrophages. Accordingly, macrophages from CFTR knockout mice had an elevated level of TLR4 compared with the wild type, with no differences in the amount of TLR4 mRNA [248]. The deletion of the Cftr gene caused accumulation of TLR4 in early endosomes in macrophages and compromised its degradation, thereby leading to an increased activation of NF-κB, MAPK, and IRF3. The retention of TLR4 in early endosomes could ensue from an aberrant maturation of endosomes in CFTR-depleted LPS-stimulated cells, insufficient endosomal acidification, or perturbations in cholesterol trafficking caused by the CFTR deficiency [248]. These mechanisms can also cause the accumulation of TLR4 and an excessive pro-inflammatory response to LPS of human macrophages ultimately leading to the lung disease of cystic fibrosis patients [248].

The arthrogryposis–renal dysfunction–cholestasis syndrome is a rare fatal disease caused by autosomal recessive mutations in the VPS33B gene. Characteristic symptoms of this disease comprise arthrogryposis, renal tubular acidosis, and neonatal cholestatic jaundice. The patients also suffer from persistent infections and sepsis [180, 249]. As it was described above, dysfunction of VPS33B leads to an impaired degradation of TLR4 and enhanced pro-inflammatory response of macrophages to LPS via the MyD88- and TRIF-dependent pathways. It has been proposed that such exaggerated TLR signaling causes so-called immune paralysis whereupon the immune system loses the ability to respond to secondary infection [180].

Studies on Chédiak–Higashi syndrome (CHS) have expanded the list of diseases linked with disturbances in TLR4 trafficking and signaling. CHS is a rare autosomal recessive disease characterized, among others, by partial albinism, neurological problems, and immunodeficiency. CHS patients suffer from persistent and recurrent infections of the skin, respiratory tract, and mucous membranes.
Human CHS and its corresponding mouse disorder beige are caused by mutations of the LYST gene [192]. Recent studies indicate that Lyst controls endosomal TRIF-dependent signaling of TLR4, as described above. In mice, a loss of a functional Lyst impaired inflammatory responses against Gram-negative bacteria and enhanced bacterial dissemination in tissues but also lowered the susceptibility to LPS-induced septic shock as a result of a reduced production of pro-inflammatory cytokines [183].

TLR4 also participates in the development/progression of neurodegenerative disorders associated with Alzheimer's disease (AD), Parkinson's disease (PD), multiple sclerosis, and amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS). Due to its engagement in neurodegeneration, TLR4 is considered a therapeutic target in the treatment of these diseases [250]. At the early stages of the development of neurodegenerative diseases, activation of TLR4 may be beneficial for the clearance of β-amyloid (AD) and α-synuclein (PD) and can prevent accumulation of their aggregates characteristic for these diseases. However, a prolonged overactivation of microglia may lead to the release of neurotoxic products and damage neurons [251, 252]. Such increased activity of microglia can result from their abnormally high content of TLR4. An increased expression of TLR4 was observed in brains of a murine AD model (overexpressing amyloid precursor protein) and in AD patients in areas surrounding plaques [251, 253]. Moreover, β-amyloid increases expression of TLR4 in cortical neurons, which further activates JNK and caspase-3 and contributes to neuron death [254]. An elevated expression of TLR4 was also detected in ALS and PD patients [255]. In addition to TLR4, also CD14 is important for the activation of inflammatory responses and phagocytosis upon exposure of microglia to β-amyloid [251, 252]. In agreement, an elevated level of CD14 was detected in brains from murine models of AD, PD, and ALS, and from AD patients [256–258]. However, the outcome of a CD14 engagement in AD can be both beneficial and detrimental [259]. The elevation of the amounts of TLR4 and CD14 in microglia and exaggerated production of pro-inflammatory mediators can result from disturbances in endo-lysosomal functioning in analogy to the LSD described above. This assumption is supported by the fact that among the features of AD, PD and ALS are defects of endo-lysosomes caused by mutations of genes encoding proteins involved directly or indirectly in their functioning [260, 261].

Recent data link TLR4 activation with the inflammatory process induced by cerebral stroke and leading to ischemic brain damage. TLR4 was upregulated after ischemia-induced stroke, and mice deficient in TLR4 exhibited less-severe cerebral infarction and neurological deficit compared with wild-type counterparts [200]. TLR4 is also associated with the development of allergies and some autoimmune diseases, like Crohn’s disease, asthma, and type 1 diabetes [262–264]. Further studies are required to establish the contribution of disturbances in TLR4 trafficking to these processes.

In summary, the involvement of TLR4-triggered signaling in the development and progression of multiple diseases is often linked with its disturbed trafficking through the endo-lysosomal compartment, as demonstrated for LSD and strongly suggested for neurodegenerative diseases. It remains an open question whether defects in TLR4 trafficking contribute also to the severity of acute and chronic inflammation caused, respectively, by infection and metabolic endotoxemia, the latter known to participate in the development of type 2 diabetes. A comprehensive knowledge of the TLR4 trafficking pathways and the role of CD14 in these processes is key to understanding mechanisms regulating TLR4-induced inflammatory response in both infectious and non-infectious diseases. This in turn should facilitate the design of new therapeutic strategies for treatment of these diseases.
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