Thermodynamic and Mechanical Properties of DMPC/Cholesterol Mixed Monolayers at Physiological Conditions
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One of the main known effects of cholesterol is to rigidify the cell membrane throughout the so-called condensing effect. Although many studies have been done in mixtures of cholesterol with different membrane lipids, there are not many studies in a wide concentration range of cholesterol or at physiological conditions. In this work, we studied mixtures of DMPC/Cholesterol monolayers to determine the effect of cholesterol, from very low to physiological concentrations and two pHs. We use a Langmuir balance and Brewster angle microscopy to study their thermodynamic behavior at 37.0 ± 0.1°C at the air/solution interface. From the analysis of the (π−A) isotherms, we determined the excess area and the compressibility elastic modulus to determine the monolayers mechanical properties. Surprisingly, we found three main effects of cholesterol: The first one is a fluidization effect of the monolayer at all cholesterol concentrations. The second effect is the so-called condensing effect that appears due to the non-ideality of the mixture. The third effect is a stiffness of the monolayer as the cholesterol concentration increases. These effects are stronger in pure water, pH ≈ 6.6, than on buffer at physiological pH ≈ 7.4. We also found that all mixtures are thermodynamically stable at all concentrations at a surface pressure of 30.1 ± 1.6 and 27.4 ± 3.2 mN/m in pure water and buffer, respectively. Furthermore, we compared this stability with a fatty acid monolayer that shows a much lower surface pressure equilibrium value that DMPC or its mixtures with cholesterol, indicating a possibly reason why double chain lipids are better than single chain lipids to made up the cell membrane.
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INTRODUCTION

Cholesterol is a very important component in all membranes of mammalian cells and it is critical to human health: It is known that cholesterol is responsible for the modulation of physical properties of cell membranes, because the bulky molecular structure of cholesterol interferes with the movement of the phospholipid tails [1]. It constitutes up to 40% of the plasma membrane in some type of cells...
and the cholesterol concentration seems to be involved in the regulation of microphase separation (lipid rafts), rigidity, membrane thickness and permeability [3–5].

One effect of adding cholesterol is to reduce the $L_\beta/L_\alpha$ phase transition temperature, and it removes completely the transition at 50% of cholesterol concentration [6], by inducing the formation of an intermediate phase known as the liquid crystalline ordered or liquid ordered phase [5, 7]. The transition temperatures are correlated with the chain melting crystalline ordered or liquid ordered phase [5, 7]. The thickness of the bilayer, the orientational order of the lipids and the motion of the hydrocarbon chains [1, 3, 5].

Cholesterol mixed with phospholipids can form oligomeric chemical complexes with a fundamental stoichiometry 3:2 and 6:1 phospholipids per cholesterol molecule [8, 9]. The formation of these phospholipid/cholesterol complexes produce the so-called cholesterol condensing effect [4, 7, 10, 11] where the area occupied by the molecules is decreased. As stated by the umbrella model, the lipid acyl chains and the nonpolar cholesterol part become densely packed as they share the limited space below the phospholipid head groups. At a particular concentration, the head groups cannot protect additional cholesterol molecules from contact with water and they form a separated and immiscible monohydrated cholesterol phase [12–14]. The solubility limit for cholesterol in phosphatidicholines (PC) bilayers is known to be around 66% [7, 10, 12].

Recent monolayer studies of the interaction of phospholipids and cholesterol have shown that the molecular area of the mixture is typically smaller than the weighted molecular areas of the pure components [4, 15, 16]. It was found that cholesterol interacts preferentially with phospholipids containing fully saturated chains and this interaction decreases significantly with unsaturated chains [1, 5, 15, 17]. Cholesterol interacts more strongly with sphingolipids than with phosphatidylcholines of similar chain length [8, 11, 17, 18]. Monolayers mixtures of phosphatidicholines and cholesterol have a higher collapse pressure ($\pi_c$) than monolayers of single components, indicating that a mixed monolayer is more stable [16, 19, 20].

Model systems with only a few components have been extensively used to study the properties of biological membranes [8, 17, 19]. Giant unilamellar vesicles have been used to study mechanical properties and interactions between lipids and DNA, peptide and proteins in a simple model system composed of a single phospholipid bilayer [21, 22]. Langmuir monolayers have also been used as 2D model systems to study interactions present in biomembranes [3, 18], since the physicochemical and mechanical characterization of the monolayers can be obtained from surface pressure-area ($\pi$–$A$) measurements [17, 23, 24]. Furthermore, phase transitions, morphologies and textures can be obtained by combining additional characterization techniques such as neutron and X-ray scattering, polarized fluorescence, Brewster angle microscopy (BAM) or atomic force microscopy (AFM) [12, 18, 25].

Phosphatidylcholines play an important role in cell membranes since they represent more than 50% of the lipids of the plasma membrane in most eukaryotic cells [26]. The interaction of DMPC and other phosphatidylcholines of different acyl chain length and saturation degree with cholesterol has been studied at pH 6.6 and 24°C, and found that cholesterol cannot condense in the same way unsaturated lipids as it does saturated lipids, due to the kinks of the double bonds on the acyl chains [27]. In a similar study, at different temperatures from 10–30°C, it was found that acyl chain asymmetry modifies the interfacial elasticity of the lipid monolayers [28]. The condensation effect in DMPC and DPPC induced by different sterols at 23°C has been determined by mean of the analysis of the excess free energy; it was found that the mixture of phosphatidylcholines with cholesterol produced the most stable monolayers in comparison when cholesterol is replaced by ergosterol or lanosterol [29]. The effect of the subphase pH on the condensation effect in mixed monolayers of DPPC/cholesterol in a wide range of cholesterol fraction (10–90%) has been studied by Gong et al, at 25°C. They found that the monolayer is more stable at neutral pH and at 60% of cholesterol fraction [11]. Kim et al. observed that at 23°C a very low fraction of cholesterol (≈0.2%) modifies dramatically the morphology and the dynamic properties of a DPPC monolayer by reducing the surface viscosity due to the formation of 6:1 phospholipid/cholesterol complexes. This complexes decorates the boundaries of the DPPC lipid domains [9].

In this work, we study the interaction between DMPC and cholesterol from very low to physiological cholesterol mole fractions (0.01–0.40) and at physiological conditions of temperature, 37 ± 0.1°C and pH, ≈6.6 and 7.4. We use the Langmuir balance technique to study the model membrane monolayers, and we obtain the mechanical properties of the membranes from the isotherms. We show that cholesterol have three effects i) It fluidizes the monolayer at low surface pressures and at all concentrations studied, ii) When the pure condensed phase appears, it shows the so-called condensing effect, and iii) Upon increasing the concentration of cholesterol the monolayers stiffens.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Materials**

DMPC (1,2-Dimyristoyl-sn-glycero-3-phosphocholine, > 99%, Sigma-Aldrich, United States), Cholesterol (>99% Sigma-Aldrich, United States) and Arachidic acid (≥99% Sigma-Aldrich, United States) were used without further purification. DMPC, Cholesterol and Arachidic acid were dissolved in HPLC grade chloroform (>99%, Fermont, Mexico). Then DMPC and Cholesterol were mixed in different molar ratios (0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20, 0.30, 0.35, 0.40, and 1.0) of cholesterol and stored at −20°C.
Methods
Langmuir-Blodgett Trough
A Langmuir-Blodgett trough (model 611, NIMA Technology LTD., Coventry, England) was used to measure the pressure-area isotherms, using a filter paper as the Wilhelmy plate for the surface pressure determination (with a precision of ±0.1 mN/m). The trough was filled with deionized water (bioresearch grade water, >18.0 MΩ·cm of resistivity, Barnstead/Thermolyne, Dubuque, Iowa, United States) and deionized water was used to prepare the pH 7.4 phosphate buffer solution. The temperature was kept at 37.0 ± 0.1°C, during the experiments in order to have human physiological temperature conditions, using a water recirculator bath (Neslab, United States). Before starting each experiment, the subphase and trough cleanliness were tested by closing the barriers and checking that the pressure sensor readings were less than 0.1 mN/m when the barriers of the Langmuir trough were fully closed (and by the presence of a dark background only, observed by Brewster angle microscopy, see below). Using a 50 µl Hamilton glass microsyringe, the lipid/Cholesterol mixtures were gently deposited on the air/water interface and waited at least 30 min to allow for the evaporation of the solvent before starting each experiment. The monolayer was then compressed at 20 cm²/min. The average area per molecule was calculated by the NIMA software based upon the average molecular weight, concentration and volume of the deposited sample.

Brewster Angle Microscope
During the compression, images of the monolayer were acquired using a Brewster Angle Microscope BAM (NanoFilm EP4, Accurion GmbH, Germany) in order to see morphologies of the monolayer and phase transitions, along the obtained isotherms in the whole surface pressure range.

Mixed Monolayer Stability Study
We studied the stability of the DMPC/cholesterol mixed monolayers by slowly compressing them up to 35 mN/m and maintaining the area per molecule constant by using the area control function of the NIMA trough software. The surface pressure was recorded for approximately 300 min, in order to determine changes in surface pressure as consequence of the monolayer relaxation until its equilibrium value. The experiments were done both in ultrapure water (pH ≈ 6.6) and in a buffer subphase at pH 7.4.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Isotherms
The addition of even a very small amount of cholesterol produces a considerable shift in the take-off pressure area [12]. Considering an ideal mixture behavior between DMPC and cholesterol, each isotherm should give a take-off pressure area equal to \( A = X_{\text{DMPC}} A_{\text{DMPC}} + X_{\text{Chol}} A_{\text{Chol}} \), where \( A_i \) and \( X_i \) are the molecular take-off area and the mole fraction of the \( i \) component, respectively. Taking a 0.01 cholesterol fraction gives \( A = 0.99 \times (142) + 0.01 \times (40) = 141.0 \) Å²/molecule, which is much larger than the experimental value of 115.3 ± 0.1 Å²/molecule obtained. In fact, this is reflected at all cholesterol concentrations studied here, as it is shown in Figure 1. This difference implies that cholesterol interacts strongly with the liquid expanded (LE) DMPC phase, disrupting its formation and making the gas phase to disappear at much lower areas per molecule. Here, we are taking the take-off pressure as a reference for the “disappearing” of the gas phase, although in a mixture this is not completely correct, especially when the concentration of cholesterol becomes high. However, at the higher concentration of cholesterol in the mixture, we notice that the gas phase disappeared at about 5–8 mN/m and at lower cholesterol concentrations the gas phase disappear at even lower surface pressure; therefore we are taking the take-off pressure area as a reference for this case.

So, taking the take-off pressure as a reference of the condensed phase of pure DMPC, the molecules are arranged with a particular tilt azimuthal order parameter [30]. However, the bulky cholesterol molecule disrupts this order, shifting the appearance of the pure condense phase to lower areas per molecule, as denoted by a smaller take-off area of the surface pressure, as shown in Figure 2. A cholesterol molecule changes the tilt angle of the DMPC molecules around it, making them
more vertical with respect to the surface, so that they occupy a smaller effective area. The LE - G coexistence region is thus extended, decreasing the take-off pressure area by more than just the difference in areas of the individual components [16, 17, 31]. Cholesterol have therefore the effect of fluidizing the monolayer, preventing the appearance of the pure condensed phase.

Figure 3 shows representative BAM images along various isotherms. The images show the coexistence of the gaseous (G) phase (darker regions) and the more condensed (LE) phase (brighter regions) at relatively low surface pressures (Figures 3A–D). In the pure DMPC isotherm, the G phase disappears at the take-off surface pressure, as it is noticeable absent at a pressure of 5 mN/m (Figure 3E), something typical for a pure component system [18, 32, 33]. As we increase the amount of cholesterol there is a residual amount of gaseous phase at the same pressure of 5 mN/m, see Figures 3F–H [17, 34]. But at the surface pressure of 15 mN/m, the gaseous phase disappears at all mixture concentrations but in fact it disappears even a lower surface pressures, rendering a homogenous monolayer in the condensed phase (Figures 3I–L). At an even higher pressure value of 32.5 mN/m, we notice the presence of small 3D crystals which become more noticeable at higher pressures particularly close to the collapse pressure (IIc). The amount and size of the 3D crystals increase with the cholesterol concentration. It has been proposed [35] that the properties of a lipid monolayer can be correlated to those of a bilayer around a surface pressure of 32–35 mN/m.

Excess Area Analysis

A way to estimate the miscibility and the interactions between molecules present in a two-component monolayer mixture is by the determination of the excess area [11, 16]:

\[ A_{\text{ex}} = A_{12} - (X_1A_1 - X_2A_2) \]  

(1)

where \( A_{12} \) is the average area per molecule of the mixture and \( A_1 \) and \( X_1 \) as defined above. A negative excess area indicates attractive forces between the two kind of molecules of the mixture [11, 20, 23, 31]. Figure 4 shows the excess area determined at different surface pressures in the cholesterol range studied. The excess area is negative in all cases, indicating attractive interactions in the condensed phase between DMPC and cholesterol. At a given pressure the excess area is fairly constant at all cholesterol fractions; showing a clear effect that is noticeable even at the smaller amount of cholesterol studied. The effect is more evident at lower surface pressures, possible due to there is more space between the phospholipid molecules where cholesterol can be intercalated. As the surface pressure increases, this space is reduce making the presence of cholesterol between the lipids more difficult, until it is expelled at even higher surface pressures. The strongest attractive interaction (most negative excess area) occurs in the range of 0.30–0.40 M fraction of cholesterol. It is worth noting that this range of cholesterol concentration coincides with the physiological value in most cell membranes [11, 17, 26].

Thermodynamic Properties

Thermodynamic stability of mixed monolayers can be obtained by comparing the pure monolayer using the excess Gibbs free energy [23],

\[ \Delta G_{\text{ex}} = \int_0^\pi \left[ A_{12} - (x_1A_1 + x_2A_2) \right] d\pi \]  

(2)

It can be noticed from Figure 5 that the excess Gibbs-free energy for all the mixtures is negative, therefore it can be concluded that the DMPC and cholesterol molecules form a stable mixed monolayer at all conditions. The lowest energy happens again at a concentration between 30–40% of cholesterol concentration and at surface pressures of 30–40 mN/m that correspond to the values present in mammalian cell membranes [2, 26, 35].

Mechanical Properties and Equilibrium Spreading Pressure

Monolayer mechanical properties can be analyzed by calculating the isothermal compressibility or rather its inverse, the area compressibility elastic modulus given by [15, 23, 24],

\[ C_a = -A \left( \frac{d\pi}{dA} \right) \]  

(3)

Figure 6 shows a plot of \( C_a^{-1} \) as a function of the area per molecule for different cholesterol fractions. Note that \( C_a^{-1} \) start at a very low value when the monolayer is at the coexistence region of the condensed and gaseous phases (see Figure 3A). In this situation, the high compressibility of the gas phase gives a low value for \( C_a^{-1} \). The value of \( C_a^{-1} \) rises sharply at the take-off pressure area, mainly due to the compression force required to overcome the repulsive interactions of the condensed domains.
during domain coalescence [8]. The sharp rise stops once we reach a uniform monolayer and here we see two different slopes for pure DMPC (Area/molecule between 90 and 130 Å²), indicating a phase transition between two different condensed phases with different compressibility values. That transition is not as evident with a small fraction of cholesterol, probably due to the fact that cholesterol introduces some disorder in the monolayer [36]. As it was discussed before, the cholesterol changes the tilt angle of the DMPC molecules around it, moving the system away from a well-defined phase. At higher molar fractions (>0.10), the $C_{1s}$ curve start showing again two slopes; this is the monolayer becomes stiffer at higher surface pressures due to a rise in

![BAM images of DMPC/chol mixed monolayer at different surface pressures at 37.0°C. The images are 462 x 564 µm². All the images in a row (column) correspond to the surface pressure (cholesterol fraction) indicated in the first image.](image URL)
molecule packing driven by the well-known cholesterol condensation effect, where the phospholipid acyl chains interact strongly with cholesterol as reported in the literature [5, 11, 16]. This condensing effect does not mean that the monolayer becomes more ordered, in fact there are reports that the molecular correlation is short range [36]. Even more, at around physiological concentration of cholesterol (0.3–0.4), the $C_{1s}^{-1}$ curve still show two slopes. However, the lower slope region has shrunk while the upper one has increased. The pure cholesterol $C_{1s}^{-1}$ curve only show one high slope indicating a low compressibility of the condensed phase, in good agreement with its corresponding isotherm [37]. All $C_{1s}^{-1}$ the curves reached a maximum value until collapse occurs; after the maximum, the value of $C_{1s}^{-1}$ decreases rapidly to zero because the film becomes highly compressible due to collapse.

On the other hand, the behavior of both type isotherms, and therefore $C_{1s}^{-1}$, is quite different at pH 7.4 than in ultrapure water (pH ≈ 6.6). First of all, the take-off surface pressure occurs at higher molecular areas at pH 7.4. This means that the condensed phase appears at larger areas per molecule, due to the interactions between the head groups and the phosphate ions of the buffer solution expands the condensed phase [25, 38]. This difference in the take-off surface pressure might change the phase order compared to those present at lower pH, it could result in a more tilted phase; this result in a more expanded phase but also somewhat more compressible, as can be observed by both type of isotherms and $C_{1s}^{-1}$, since the latter is significantly larger for the pure DMPC and the higher cholesterol concentration at the higher pH. In addition, the change in pH has an effect in the behavior of $C_{1s}^{-1}$, for example, for the pure DMPC monolayer it shows three different slopes before collapse at pH 7.4 while at pH ≈ 6.6 it shows only two slopes, indicating that the monolayer might have three and two different phase regions, respectively. In addition, the maximum of the $C_{1s}^{-1}$ value at the intermediate concentrations of cholesterol is similar at 0.01 M fraction of cholesterol, but at 0.1 M cholesterol concentration is significantly higher at the lower pH. However, an addition of a small amount of cholesterol has a more noticeable effect at the higher pH than at lower pH. As mentioned above, the $C_{1s}^{-1}$ values change strongly with the addition of only 0.01 cholesterol fractions even more at 0.1 cholesterol fraction the $C_{1s}^{-1}$ curve becomes more shallow, with not very well defined regions. But at around physiological concentration of cholesterol, e.g., 0.35–0.40, the $C_{1s}^{-1}$ curve behavior changes strongly; the slope is very high, especially at physiological pH, and closer to the behavior of the
pure cholesterol curve, indicating that the monolayer becomes very stiff.

It is worth noticing that the maximum of the \(C_{-1}\) curves at high concentration of cholesterol and also for pure cholesterol, is much higher at higher pH, indicating that the monolayer is stiffer. This behavior is easily observed in Figure 7, where for different concentrations of cholesterol, the \(C_{-1}\) values are slightly lower at low surface pressures for the higher pH. But as the surface pressure is increased, the monolayer behavior is reversed since at higher pH shows higher values of \(C_{-1}\) than a lower pH, which again indicates that the monolayer becomes stiffer.

Figure 8 shows a monolayer stability analysis as a function of time at pH \(\approx 6.6\) (Figure 8A) and physiological pH (Figure 8B). In this study, we prepare again Langmuir monolayers with different concentrations of cholesterol and compared their relaxation with that of pure DMPC. We also include for comparison, the relaxation behavior of pure arachidic acid and pure cholesterol. It has been proposed [13, 34] that the behavior of a monolayer in a surface pressure range of 32–35 mN/m is equivalent to the behavior of a bilayer in a cell membrane at 20°C. To test this hypothesis, the monolayers were slowly compressed (20 cm²/min) up to 35 mN/m and allowed to relax to its equilibrium surface pressure.

Our relaxation studies indicate that all monolayers have a pressure drop as a function of time. However, both DMPC and DMPC + cholesterol relax to an equilibrium surface pressure of about 30.2 ± 1.4 and 27.4 ± 3.2 mN/m in pure water (pH = 6.6) and buffer at pH 7.4, respectively. It is surprising that at pH ≈ 6.6 the equilibrium surface pressure in quite similar for all the cholesterol concentration range, the surface pressure drop was between 3.8 and 6.2 mN/m, while at pH 7.4 the surface pressure drop is somewhat larger, between 4.4 and 11.5 mN/m. Therefore, the equilibrium surface pressure values at pH 6.6 are not very different for all DPPC-Cholesterol mixtures, but at pH 7.4 the equilibrium surface pressure values are not as homogeneous. The pressure drop indicates that the monolayer is slowly collapsing; that is, forming three-dimensional structures, but it is worth noting that the equilibrium surface pressure at pH 7.4 of pure DMPC and its mixture with a cholesterol mole fraction of 0.35 falls in the range of the equilibrium surface pressure at pH 6.6. In addition, we can state that at 37.0°C and physiological pH 7.4 that any of these monolayers could be equivalent to a bilayer below 27 mN/m, in terms of their equilibrium properties. Remarkably, pure cholesterol has a quick and very large surface pressure drop at physiological pH, to about 17 mN/m, as shown in Figure 8B. This indicates that the equilibrium surface pressure of the mixture is mostly due to the DMPC. Moreover, the surface...
pressure of arachidic acid shows two behaviors at pH 7.4; first, a rapid decay of about 11 mN/m in a short time, followed by a much slower decay of about 6 mN/m more toward the final equilibrium spreading pressure of about 18 mN/m. This is, the equilibrium spreading pressure value of arachidic acid is similar to that of pure cholesterol and almost half the initial surface pressure value. Even more, at pH 6.6, the equilibrium pressure of arachidic acid decays more slowly that at pH 7.4, but it decays even to a lower value of about 12.5 mN/m. This give us a good indication that monolayers formed by single chain lipids have a much lower equilibrium surface pressure than monolayers formed by double chain lipids, such as phospholipids. This also might indicate why nature chose double chain lipids, such as phospholipids, to be the main lipid components in cell membranes. Due to energetic considerations, single chain lipids tend to form micelles while double chain lipids tend to form vesicles that are associated with the formation of cell membranes [39]. However, even if single chain lipids could form vesicles, they could not form thermodynamically stable unilamellar vesicles but rather multiwall vesicles; for the case of arachidic acid they will be 4 to 5 bilayers thick [32].

Figure 9 shows a series of representative Brewster angle microscopy images of the equilibrium spreading pressure experiments of the monolayers. First at a surface pressure of 35 mN/m (left) and then at the equilibrium surface pressure (right) of the corresponding sample. The first row corresponds to the DMPC monolayer, at 35 mN/m where a homogeneous monolayer can be observed. While at 27 mN/m a few 3D
structures can be noted over the homogeneous DMPC monolayer, this is due to the relaxation of the monolayer. In the second row, a homogeneous Cholesterol monolayer can be noted at 35 mN/m and then when the equilibrium surface pressure is reached (17 mN/m), after 2.5 hours, some 3D structures can be noted floating on a homogeneous monolayer. In the third row the DMPC+0.3 cholesterol mixed monolayer is shown. At the start of the experiment at 35 mN/m, separated 3D structures can be noted due to the condensing effect induced by the cholesterol molecules. While at the equilibrium surface pressure (27 mN/m), 3D structure domains can be noted as well, forming a foam-like structure. Finally, the fourth row shows the images corresponding to the arachidic acid monolayer. At the beginning of the surface pressure relaxation experiment (35 mN/m) some 3D structures can be observed. But at the equilibrium surface pressure (17 mN/m), a large amount of arachidic acid crystals can be noticed due to the collapse of the monolayer by the relaxation process. It is important to notice that the arachidic acid monolayer achieves stability at a surface pressure value 37 % lower than the DMPC monolayer and its mixtures with cholesterol. Therefore, the phospholipid monolayer has a stable surface pressure much higher than the single-chain fatty acid.

**CONCLUSION**

The analysis of the thermodynamics and mechanical properties of Langmuir monolayers of DMPC/cholesterol as a function of the concentration of cholesterol and at two pH values at physiological temperature gave interesting results. For example, we found that the isotherms of DMPC/cholesterol monolayers mixture show an increase in the monolayer fluidity at all cholesterol concentrations. Cholesterol is a bulky molecule that makes the take-off surface pressure of the monolayer appears at lower areas per molecule. This means that the presence of the gas phase remains at much lower area per molecule compared to that of the pure DMPC monolayer due to the effect of cholesterol, thus fluidizing the monolayer. However, this effect is much less pronounced as the concentration of cholesterol increases, and near physiological cholesterol concentration the monolayer is less compressible, as observed by an increased in slope of the compression modulus, $C_m$, more noticeable at physiological pH than at the lower pH of pure water.

On the other hand, it is important to notice that the excess free energy is the lowest at physiological concentrations of cholesterol at both pH and surface pressure, this is, it becomes more negative not only as the concentration of cholesterol increases but also as the surface pressure increases as well, close to the equilibrium surface pressure determined at both pH. This indicates that DMPC and cholesterol mix better at higher cholesterol concentrations as well as at higher surface pressures. In general, in agreement to the excess area and Gibbs free energy analysis, this results showed the presence of attractive interactions that form thermodynamically stable monolayers in the whole cholesterol fraction range studied [17], and where more stable monolayers were observed when the mixture contained about the average physiological cholesterol fraction ($\approx$0.35) that resulted to be energetically favored and gives more stable monolayers [11]. Furthermore, we show that monolayers formed by either pure DMPC or a mixture of DMPC with cholesterol relax to about the same equilibrium surface pressure, although the final surface pressure relaxation value is different depending on the pH. Furthermore, we also show that a monolayer formed by a single chain lipid, such as arachidic acid, is much less stable than the pure DMPC or the DMPC/cholesterol mixture; this results clearly indicates a possible reason on why nature uses double chain lipids as its mayor component in cell membranes.
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