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Abstract
Given an ample line bundle $L$ over a projective $\mathbb{K}$-variety $X$, with $\mathbb{K}$ a non-Archimedean field, we study limits of non-Archimedean metrics on $L$ associated to submultiplicative sequences of norms on the graded pieces of the section ring $R(X, L)$. We show that in a rather general case, the corresponding asymptotic Fubini-Study operator yields a one-to-one correspondence between equivalence classes of bounded graded norms and bounded plurisubharmonic metrics that are regularizable from below. This generalizes results of Boucksom-Jonsson where this problem has been studied in the trivially valued case.
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Introduction

Let $X$ be a projective variety defined over a non-Archimedean field $\mathbb{K}$, endowed with a line bundle $L$ which we will assume to be ample. To those objects, one can associate their Berkovich analytification, allowing the use of techniques analogue to those of complex analytic geometry. By considering the non-Archimedean avatar of the space of Kähler potentials: the space $\mathcal{H}(L)$ of Fubini-Study potentials, one can define the class of plurisubharmonic metrics. In this article, we look at the quantization of such plurisubharmonic metrics by sequences of norms acting on sections of tensor powers of $L$, in line with the ideas of [12]. In particular, we generalize results in this direction obtained in [6], building on the theory developed in [3].

A central object of study in complex pluripotential theory is the space of Kähler potentials: given a polarized compact connected Kähler manifold $(X/\mathbb{C}, L)$, and the curvature $\omega_L$ of a reference metric $\phi_0$ on $L$,

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ \phi \in C^\infty(X), \omega_L + dd^c \phi > 0 \}.$$ 

In non-Archimedean pluripotential theory, where we look at the Berkovich analytifications of $\mathbb{K}$-varieties, we similarly choose a reference metric $\phi_0$ on $L$, which gives a function $s \mapsto |s|_{\phi_0} = |s|$ acting on sections of $L$ (which extends naturally to sections of powers of $L$), then consider the set of Fubini-Study potentials $\mathcal{H}(L)$ whose objects are continuous functions (or potentials) $\varphi$ from the Berkovich analytification $X^{an}$ to the reals, of the form

$$\varphi = m^{-1} \max_i \{ \log |s_i| + c_i \},$$

where the $(s_i)$ are sections of $mL$ forming a basis of the space $H^0(mL)$, and the $c_i$ are real constants. By allowing decreasing limits, we obtain a much larger class, PSH($L$), that of $\phi_0$-plurisubharmonic functions (or $\phi_0$-psh functions).

Assume from now on $\mathbb{K}$ to be a non-Archimedean field. A simple way to generate Fubini-Study potentials is to consider an ultrametric norm $\zeta$ on $H^0(mL)$, i.e. a vector space norm satisfying the ultrametric inequality. Such norms are the natural equivalents in the non-Archimedean setting of Hermitian norms. We will only consider such norms (although not all norms on a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space are ultrametric), and thus simply refer to them as "norms". Now, if the absolute value on $\mathbb{K}$ admits a discrete value group, then all such norms are
diagonalizable, in the sense that there exists a basis \((s_i)\) of \(H^0(mL)\) with

\[
\zeta \left( \sum_{i=1}^{h^0(mL)} a_i \cdot s_i \right) = \max_i |a_i| \cdot \zeta(s_i),
\]

where the \(a_i\) are elements in \(\mathbb{K}\). In the general case, this would only be an inequality. (If \(\mathbb{K}\) is densely valued, there is still a way to make sense of this operator, but we will leave this definition to the second section.) The \((m-)\text{ Fubini-Study operator}\) then sends the norm \(\zeta\) to the potential

\[
FS_m(\zeta) = m^{-1} \max_i \{ \log |s_i| - \log \zeta(s_i) \}.
\]

More generally, we may consider the class of \textit{graded norms} on the algebra of multisections \(R(X, L) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 1} H^0(mL)\), that is: of sequences \(m \mapsto \zeta_m\), where for all \(m\), \(\zeta_m\) is a norm on \(H^0(mL)\), satisfying a \textit{submultiplicativity condition}: given sections \(s_m \in H^0(mL), s_n \in H^0(nL),\)

\[
\zeta_{m+n}(s_m \cdot s_n) \leq \zeta_m(s_m) \cdot \zeta_n(s_n).
\]

The pointwise limit \(FS_m(\zeta_m)\) always exists by Fekete’s lemma, but can be infinite in general. To get around this, one restricts to graded norms satisfying the following natural boundedness condition. Note that, by ampleness of \(L\), there always exists a positive integer \(r\) such that the subalgebra \(R(X, rL)\) is generated in degree one. We say that a graded norm \(\zeta\) is \textit{finitely generated} if there exists such an integer \(r\) such that for all positive integers \(m\), \(\zeta_{rm}\) is the quotient norm of induced by \(\zeta_m\) along the (then surjective) morphism from the \(m\)-th symmetric powers of \(H^0(rL)\) to \(H^0(rmL)\). (We define, for a further discussion, a norm \textit{generated in degree one} to be a finitely generated one with \(r = 1\), which exists if the algebra \(R(X, L)\) is generated in degree one.) We then say that a graded norm is \textit{bounded} if its distortion with respect to a finitely generated norm is at most exponential as a function of \(m\).

This growth condition allows us to define an important object: the \textit{asymptotic spectral measure} of two bounded graded norms \(\zeta\) and \(\zeta'\). Again, we describe it in the case where \(\mathbb{K}\) is discretely valued, and refer the reader to the second section for details on the general case. Since any two diagonalizable norms are diagonalizable in the same basis, we may pick for each \(m\) a basis \((s_{m,i})_i\) of \(H^0(mL)\) jointly diagonalizing \(\zeta_m\) and \(\zeta'_m\), and define the relative spectral measure of \(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m\) as the following probability measure on \(\mathbb{R}\):

\[
\sigma_m(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m) = h^0(mL)^{-1} \sum_i \delta_{\lambda_{m,i}/m},
\]

where \(h^0(mL) = \dim H^0(mL)\), and the \(\lambda_{m,i}\) are the \(h^0(mL)\) elements, counted with multiplicities, of the \textit{relative spectrum} of \(\zeta_m\) and \(\zeta'_m\):

\[
\lambda_{m,i} = \log \frac{\zeta'_m(s_{m,i})}{\zeta_m(s_{m,i})}.
\]

One then has from [10] that the sequence \(\sigma_m(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m)\) weakly converges to a boundedly supported probability measure, the aforementioned asymptotic spectral measure \(\sigma(\zeta\!, \zeta')\). The first absolute moment of this measure defines a semidistance \(d_1\) on the space \(N_\bullet(L)\) given for any two bounded graded norms \(\zeta\!, \zeta'\) by

\[
d_1(\zeta\!, \zeta') = \lim_m (m \cdot h^0(mL))^{-1} \sum_i |\lambda_{m,i}|.
\]
Identifying two norms at zero distance from each other yields an equivalence relation $\sim$ on this space, which may equivalently be characterized as follows: $\xi \sim \xi' \iff \sigma(\xi, \xi') = \delta_0$.

Going back to pluripotential theory, we may now define the asymptotic Fubini-Study operator on $N_\bullet(L)$ as the upper semi-continuous regularization

$$\xi \mapsto \text{usc lim}_m \text{FS}_m(\xi_m),$$

i.e. the smallest upper semicontinuous function bounded below by the limit $\lim_m \text{FS}_m(\xi_m)$. The existence of the pointwise limit is ensured by Fekete’s lemma, thanks to the submultiplicativity condition and the boundedness of $\xi$, and the usc regularization turns out to be plurisubharmonic provided the pair $(X, L)$ satisfies a condition, continuity of envelopes, conjectured to always hold as soon as $X$ is normal, and known to be true e.g. for line bundles on smooth varieties defined over a discretely or trivially valued field $\mathbb{K}$ of equal characteristic zero (the important case of the field of Laurent series $\mathbb{C}((t))$ is such an example; a review of the currently known cases is presented in Example 5.2.3).

This operator maps bounded graded norms to the set of plurisubharmonic functions regularizable from below $\text{PSH}^\uparrow$, i.e. psh functions which are limits of increasing nets of Fubini-Study potentials. It is not injective. However, in [6, Theorem C], S. Boucksom and M. Jonsson prove that, if $\mathbb{K}$ is trivially valued and of characteristic 0, this operator descends to an injection from the space of bounded graded norms modulo the equivalence relation $\sim$, onto $\text{PSH}^\uparrow$. The main result of this article is a generalization of this statement.

**Theorem (A)** Assume $(X, L)$ to admit continuity of envelopes. The asymptotic Fubini-Study operator $\text{FS}$ then defines a bijection:

$$\text{FS} : N_\bullet(L)/\sim \rightarrow \text{PSH}^\uparrow(L).$$

The main ingredient in the proof of Theorem A is the following Theorem, which builds on the main result of [6] and generalizes it:

**Theorem (B)** With the hypotheses of Theorem A, and given two bounded graded norms $\xi, \xi'$, we have that

$$E(\text{FS}(\xi), \text{FS}(\xi')) = \text{vol}(\xi, \xi').$$

A similar result has been proved, again in the characteristic 0, trivially valued case, in [6]. This is to be compared with, and builds on [3, T9.15], in which the authors prove this a result in the case of supnorms associated to bounded metrics.

The term on the left-hand side is the relative Monge–Ampère energy between two continuous psh functions, which exists thanks to the theory of non-Archimedean Monge–Ampère operators of Chambert-Loir and Ducros [9], and has been studied for example in [3].

The volume, on the right-hand side, is the first moment of the asymptotic spectral measure $\sigma(\xi, \xi')$.

It is a generalization of the relative volumes of balls studied in [1], and Theorem B is closely related to the results of the latter article. In the aforementioned article, where the base field is $\mathbb{C}$, one considers two specific norms on spaces of $m$-sections of $L$: having fixed a Hermitian metric $e^{-\phi}$ on $L$, a non-pluripolar compact set $K \subseteq X$, and a probability measure $\mu$ supported on $K$, those are the $L^2$-norm

$$\xi_{2,m\phi}(s) = \left( \int_X |s|_{m\phi}^2 \, d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
and the $L^\infty$-norm

$$\zeta_{\infty,m\Phi}(s) = \sup_K |s|_{m\phi}.$$  

Chen and Maclean have studied volumes more generally in [10], and indeed the proof of Theorem B uses their techniques. They rely on **Okounkov bodies**, which are convex bodies associated to a semigroup, reflecting its asymptotic properties. Originally introduced in [20], they have first been studied extensively in [16,18], and the reader may find a summary of their most important properties in [8].

The most important part of the proof of Theorem B, and also of interest as a stand-alone result, is the following Theorem stating that we can recover the volume of two bounded graded norms by approximating it with volumes associated to simpler graded norms:

**Theorem (C)** Let $L$ be such that $R(X,L)$ is generated in degree one. Let $\zeta_\bullet$, $\zeta'_\bullet$ be two bounded graded norms on $L$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $\zeta^{(k)}_\bullet$ and $\zeta'^{(k)}_\bullet$ denote the graded norms generated in degree one by $\zeta_k$ and $\zeta'_k$ respectively. Then, we have that:

$$\text{vol}(\zeta^{(k)}_\bullet,\zeta'^{(k)}_\bullet) \to_{k \to \infty} \text{vol}(\zeta_\bullet,\zeta'_\bullet).$$

The reason why this result is useful is that graded norms generated in degree one are simpler to study: their asymptotic behaviour is governed by that of the algebra of sections $R(X,L)$, and by the norm $\zeta_1$ on $H^0(L)$.

The proof of Theorem B also relies on the theory of models of varieties over the valuation ring: to any globally generated model $(X,L)$ of $(X,L)$ as described in e.g. [3] is associated a lattice norm on $H^0(L)$, that is, a norm for which there exists an orthonormal basis in the non-Archimedean sense. Using a specific construction of such a model $L$, one obtains a graded norm for which Theorem B essentially holds, thanks to the results of [3]. We then pass from this specific case, to the more general case of norms generated in degree one, by approximation of volumes: namely, the set of lattice norms is dense in the set of norms on a fixed vector space, for the sup (or $d_\infty$) distance. One can then create an approximation by norms “almost” generated in degree one (see Remark 3.3.9), and use Lipschitz continuity of volumes with respect to this distance. Finally, an application of Theorem C concludes the proof.

**Plan.** In the first section, we briefly review non-Archimedean fields and Berkovich spaces, then study in depth spaces of norms on vector spaces over non-trivially valued non-Archimedean fields. We rely on results from [3,6].

The second section is dedicated to pluripotential theory in the non-Archimedean world, and models, following [3].

The asymptotic properties of spaces of norms are developed in the third section.

In the fourth section are described convex asymptotics, in particular the theory of Okounkov bodies. We show existence of the limit spectral measure, then prove Theorem C. Some references include [3,8,10], while drawing parallels with some constructions of [19]. The reader is also invited to consult [2].

In the fifth section, we first prove Theorem B as announced, then follow the steps of [6] to prove Theorem A.

**Notation**

$\mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{L}$ always denote fields, where our fields are assumed to be commutative.
A **variety** $X$ over $\mathbb{K}$ is a geometrically integral, separated scheme, of finite type over $\mathbb{K}$. Given $L$ a line bundle over a $\mathbb{K}$-variety $X$, $H^0(X, L)$ is identified with the space of sections $\Gamma(X, L)$ of $L$ over $X$. When no confusion can arise, we will often write $H^0(L)$. $h^0(X, L)$ is the $\mathbb{K}$-dimension of the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $H^0(X, L)$.

Given a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $V$, and a positive integer $n$, $V^\otimes_n$ refers to the $n$-th tensor power of $V$; $V^{\otimes n}$ to the $n$-th symmetric power of $V$; and $V^{\wedge n}$ to the $n$-th exterior power of $V$.

$\zeta$ always refers to a norm on a vector space.

Unless stated otherwise, $d$ refers to the dimension of some vector space, or of some variety $X$, whichever is natural in context.

### 1 Norms

#### 1.1 Non-Archimedean fields

**Definition 1.1.1** Let $R$ be any ring. An **ultrametric multiplicative seminorm** on $R$ is a function

$$|\cdot| : R \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

satisfying the following properties:

1. $|0| = 0$, $|1| = 1$;
2. $|xy| = |x| \cdot |y|$, for $x, y \in R$;
3. $|x + y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$, for $x, y \in R$.

If $R$ is a field $\mathbb{K}$, an ultrametric multiplicative seminorm on $\mathbb{K}$ will be referred to as a **non-Archimedean absolute value** on $\mathbb{K}$. If such an absolute value exists, we say that $\mathbb{K}$ is:

- **trivially valued** if $|x| = 1$ for all $x \neq 0$;
- **discretely valued** if $\mathbb{K}$ is not trivially valued, and the image of $\mathbb{K}^\times$ under $|\cdot|$ is a discrete subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+$;
- **densely valued** otherwise (we recall that a subgroup of $\mathbb{R}_+$ is either discrete or dense).

Note that a real-valued non-Archimedean absolute value enriches $\mathbb{K}$ with a topology.

**Definition 1.1.2** Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field with a real-valued non-Archimedean absolute value. We say that $\mathbb{K}$ is a **non-Archimedean field** if it is Cauchy complete with respect to the topology induced by its absolute value.

The reader may find a review of non-Archimedean valued fields and their finer completeness properties in [11].

To any non-Archimedean field $\mathbb{K}$, one may associate the following objects:

- its **valuation ring** $\mathbb{K}^\circ$, defined as the set of elements in $\mathbb{K}$ with absolute value $\leq 1$;
- the **maximal ideal** of $\mathbb{K}^\circ$, $\mathbb{K}^{\circ\circ}$, characterized as the set of elements in $\mathbb{K}^\circ$ (or $\mathbb{K}$) with absolute value $< 1$;
- its **residue field** $\overline{\mathbb{K}} = \mathbb{K}^\circ / \mathbb{K}^{\circ\circ}$.

**Definition 1.1.3** Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a non-Archimedean field. If

$$\text{char } \mathbb{K} = \text{char } \overline{\mathbb{K}} = p$$

\(\square\) Springer
for some $p$, we say that $\mathbb{K}$ is of \textbf{equicharacteristic} $p$; otherwise, i.e. char $\mathbb{K} = 0$ and char $\tilde{\mathbb{K}} = p \geq 2$, we say that $\mathbb{K}$ has \textbf{mixed characteristic}.

\textbf{Remark 1.1.4} By [11], a non-trivially valued non-Archimedean field may always be embedded into a field which satisfies the following properties:

- densely valued;
- Cauchy complete;
- algebraically closed.

Indeed, let $\hat{\mathbb{K}}$ be the metric completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{K}$. Then $\hat{\mathbb{K}}$ is in fact such a field. Using a different construction, this also holds in the trivially valued case.

\section*{1.2 Berkovich analytification}

In the complex case, briefly, there exists an \textit{analytification functor} sending a complex projective variety $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ to its associated complex analytic space $(X^{an}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{an}})$, and the categories of coherent sheaves over $X$ and $X^{an}$ are equivalent. This principle fails a priori in the non-Archimedean case when one applies the same constructions \textit{mutatis mutandis}. The category of Berkovich spaces, which we will not describe here but in its most simple cases, serves as a “correct” category for a non-Archimedean GAGA principle. The essential result is the following theorem:

\textbf{Theorem 1.2.1} Fix a non-Archimedean field $(\mathbb{K}, |\cdot|)$. Let $(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ be a projective variety over $\mathbb{K}$. The analytification functor yields the \textbf{Berkovich analytification} $(X^{an}, \mathcal{O}_{X^{an}})$ with respect to $|\cdot|$, which has the following topological properties:

- connectedness;
- compactness;
- Hausdorff.

The reader is invited to consult the book of Berkovich [4], or for example [21], for an exposition of the theory.

\textbf{Example 1.2.2} (Affine $X^{an}$) Assume $X = \text{Spec} \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an algebra of finite type over $\mathbb{K}$.

- the set of points of $X^{an}$ is the set of multiplicative seminorms $|\cdot|_\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{A}$, such that $|a|_\mathcal{A} = |a|$ for all $a \in \mathbb{K}$, that is, $|\cdot|_\mathcal{A}$ extends the absolute value on $\mathbb{K}$;
- the topology on $X^{an}$ is the coarsest topology such that, for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, the evaluation map $X^{an} \ni |\cdot|_\mathcal{A} \mapsto |a|_\mathcal{A}$ is continuous.

In general, given any variety over $\mathbb{K}$, one proceeds by gluing local spaces as constructed above, similarly to the construction of abstract schemes by gluing affine schemes.

\textbf{Remark 1.2.3} The general construction also applies to Archimedean fields with respect to a non-ultrametric absolute value (i.e. which satisfies the usual triangle inequality $|x + y| \leq |x| + |y|$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{K}$), and in the complex case, the Berkovich analytification of $X$ coincides with the complex analytic space $X^{an}$. 
1.3 Spaces of norms

Throughout this section, unless otherwise specified, we fix a non-Archimedean field $\mathbb{K}$, with absolute value $|\cdot|$; and a finite-dimensional vector space $V$ over $\mathbb{K}$. Let $d = \dim V$. We follow [3, Part 1].

**Definition 1.3.1** A norm on $V$ is a function

$$\zeta : V \to \mathbb{R}_+,$$

satisfying the following properties:

- $\zeta(v) = 0$ if and only if $v = 0_V$;
- $\zeta(\lambda \cdot v) = |\lambda| \cdot \zeta(v)$, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}, v \in V$;
- $\zeta(v + w) \leq \max\{\zeta(v), \zeta(w)\}$, for $v, w \in V$.

We denote by

$$\mathcal{N}(V)$$

the set of norms on $V$.

Norms on a non-Archimedean vector space are stable under the (pointwise) maximum operation, which we denote

$$\zeta \vee \zeta' = \max(\zeta, \zeta'),$$

for any two norms $\zeta, \zeta' \in \mathcal{N}(V)$.

**Definition 1.3.2** A norm $\zeta \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ is **diagonalizable** if there exists a basis $(e_1, \ldots, e_d)$ of $V$ such that, for all

$$v = \sum v_i e_i,$$

with $v_i \in \mathbb{K}$ for all $i$, we have that

$$\zeta(v) = \max_i |v_i| \cdot \zeta(e_i).$$

We say that it is a **lattice norm**, or a **pure diagonalizable norm**, if, for all $i$, $\zeta(e_i) = 1$. In this article, we will define a lattice of $V$ as a submodule $L$ of $V$ of finite type over $\mathbb{K}^\circ$, such that $L \otimes_{\mathbb{K}^\circ} \mathbb{K} = V$. In particular, the unit ball of a lattice norm is always a lattice, justifying the terminology.

We define

$$\mathcal{N}^{\text{diag}}(V), \mathcal{N}^{\text{latt}}(V)$$

as respectively the set of diagonalizable norms and the set of lattice norms on $V$.

It is also common practice to use the terminology of **cartesian bases**, see e.g. [5, Ch. 2].

**Remark 1.3.3** One may define norms as follows.

- let $W \subset V$ be a subspace; any norm $\zeta$ induces a quotient norm $\zeta_{V/W}$ on $V/W$, as follows: given $[v] \in V/W$,

$$\zeta_{V/W}([v]) = \inf_{w \in W} \zeta(v + w);$$

\[ Springer \]
a norm $\xi$ on $V$ induces a norm $\xi^{\otimes n}$ on any tensor power $V^{\otimes n}$ of $V$, by setting, for each $v \in V^{\otimes n}$,

$$\xi^{\otimes n}(v) = \inf_{v = \sum_i v^i_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v^i_n} \max_i (\xi(v^i_1) \times \cdots \times \xi(v^i_n)),$$

where we take the infimum over all possible decompositions of $v$ of the form $\sum_i v^i_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v^i_n$, where the sum over $i$ is finite, and $v^i_k \in V$ for all $i, k$.

We may combine these constructions. Let $n$ be an integer, $\lambda$ be a partition of $n$, and let $S^\lambda$ denote the Schur functor associated to $\lambda$. Then a norm $\xi \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ defines a norm $\xi^\lambda \in \mathcal{N}(S^\lambda(V))$, as this vector space is a composition of quotients of tensor products. In particular, $\xi \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ induces:

- a norm $\xi^\wedge n$ on the $n$-fold exterior product $V^{\wedge n}$;
- a norm $\xi^\odot n$ on the $n$-fold symmetric product $V^{\odot n}$.

More can be said about the structure of $\mathcal{N}(V)$. To any basis $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ of $V$, one may associate three objects. Fix one such basis.

**Definition 1.3.4** The apartment associated to the basis $(e_i)$ is the following set of diagonalizable norms:

$$\mathcal{N}^{\text{diag}}(V) \supset A(e_i) = \{\xi \in \mathcal{N}^{\text{diag}}(v), \xi \text{ is diagonalizable in the basis } (e_i)\}.$$  

We then define the injection map associated to $(e_i)$:

$$i(e_i) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathcal{N}^{\text{diag}}(V),$$  

sending a real vector $(r_i)$ to the unique norm $\xi$ in $A(e_i)$ satisfying

$$\xi(e_i) = e^{-r_i}$$

for all $i$.

**1.4 Spectra**

We set $\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{N}(V)$. We introduce the notion of (relative) spectrum of two norms, and its associated spectral measure. These constructions will enable us to define easily many operations on tuples of norms, such as distances, which will be useful in further study of spaces of norms.

**Definition 1.4.1** The relative spectrum of $\xi$ and $\xi'$ is the set (with multiplicities) $\text{Sp}(\xi, \xi')$ which contains all reals of the form

$$\lambda_i(\xi, \xi') = \sup_{W \in \bigcup_{r \leq \dim V} \text{Gr}_K(r, V)} \inf_{W - \{0\}} \left[\log \xi'(w) - \log \xi(w)\right],$$

where

$$\text{Gr}_K(r, V)$$

denotes the $r$-th Grassmannian of $V$.

**Remark 1.4.2** As in [10, Section 2.2], elements of the relative spectrum are the non-Archimedean equivalent of the set of (logarithms of) eigenvalues of the transition matrix of two non-ultrametric Hermitian norms.
Remark 1.4.3 By [3, P2.24], if both norms are diagonalizable by a basis \((s_i)\), ordered such that

\[ i > j \Rightarrow \frac{\zeta'(s_i)}{\zeta(s_i)} \geq \frac{\zeta'(s_j)}{\zeta(s_j)}, \]

then

\[ \lambda_i(\zeta, \zeta') = \log \zeta'(s_i) - \log \zeta(s_i). \]

Definition 1.4.4 The relative spectral measure

\[ \sigma(\zeta, \zeta') \]

of \(\zeta\) and \(\zeta'\) is defined to be discrete probability measure supported on \(\text{Sp}(\zeta, \zeta')\), that is:

\[ \sigma(\zeta, \zeta') = d^{-1} \sum \delta_{\lambda_i(\zeta, \zeta')}, \]

where we recall that \(d = \dim K V\).

Definition 1.4.5 Let \(p \in [1, \infty)\). The \(d_p\)-distance of \(\zeta\) and \(\zeta'\) is defined by:

\[ d_p(\zeta, \zeta') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^p \, d\sigma(\zeta, \zeta'). \]

We furthermore define

\[ d_\infty(\zeta, \zeta') = \max_{\lambda \in \text{Sp}(\zeta, \zeta')} |\lambda|. \]

In this paper, we will only use the distances \(d_1\) and \(d_\infty\), which have more practical expressions:

\[ d_\infty(\zeta, \zeta') = \sup_{v \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|\log \zeta'(v) - \log \zeta(v)|}{}, \]

and

\[ d_1(\zeta, \zeta') = d^{-1} \sum \lambda_i(\zeta, \zeta'), \]

where \(d = \dim K V\).

Remark 1.4.6 (Important characterization of the distance \(d_\infty\)) The distance \(d_\infty(\zeta, \zeta')\) is equivalently characterized as the best constant \(C > 0\) such that for all \(v \in V\),

\[ e^{-C} \zeta'(v) \leq \zeta(v) \leq e^C \zeta(v). \]

Closely related to the distance \(d_1\) (see Theorem 1.4.9 below), the following definition generalizes ratios of volumes of balls of holomorphic sections, originally studied in [1].

Definition 1.4.7 The relative volume of \(\zeta\) and \(\zeta'\) is defined by:

\[ \text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, d\sigma(\zeta, \zeta'), \]

that is: the mean value of the relative spectrum of those norms. Note that we normalize by \(d\) (by our definition of the relative measure), while the authors in [3, T2.25] do not.
**Remark 1.4.8** In particular, if $\zeta \leq \zeta'$, then
\[
\text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta') = d_1(\zeta, \zeta'),
\]
and, reversing the inequality, we obtain
\[
-\text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta') = d_1(\zeta, \zeta').
\]

**Theorem 1.4.9** [3, T2.25] Let $\{e_1, \ldots, e_d\}$ be a basis of $V$. We have that
\[
\text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta') = \frac{1}{d} \left[ \log \zeta^{\wedge d}(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_d) - \log \zeta'^{\wedge d}(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_d) \right].
\]

**Corollary 1.4.10** Volumes satisfy a coycle property: given a third norm $\zeta'' \in \mathcal{N}(V)$,
\[
\text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta') = \text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta'') + \text{vol}(\zeta'', \zeta').
\]

**Proposition 1.4.11** [3, P1.8, T1.19, L1.29] Consider $\mathcal{N}(V)$ (and its subspaces) endowed with the distance $d_\infty$. We then have that:

(i) $\mathcal{N}(V)$ is complete;
(ii) $\mathcal{N}^{\text{diag}}(V)$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}(V)$, with equality if $\mathbb{K}$ is discretely valued;
(iii) if $\mathbb{K}$ is discretely valued, $\mathcal{N}^{\text{latt}}(V)$ is discrete and closed in $\mathcal{N}(V)$;
(iv) if $\mathbb{K}$ is densely valued, $\mathcal{N}^{\text{latt}}(V)$ is dense in $\mathcal{N}^{\text{diag}}(V)$.

We then have that:

**Lemma 1.4.12** [3, L1.29] Let $\mathbb{K}$ be nontrivially valued.

- if $\mathbb{K}$ is discretely valued, the unit ball of any diagonalizable norm is a lattice of $V$;
- if $\mathbb{K}$ is densely valued, the unit ball of a norm $\zeta \in \mathcal{N}(V)$ is a lattice if and only if $\zeta$ is a lattice norm.

**Remark 1.4.13** As seen above, the discrete and densely valued cases are fundamentally different. We will often use Remark 1.1.4 and embed $\mathbb{K}$ into an algebraically closed field. We now study the behaviour of the objects previously defined under a general field extension.

**Definition 1.4.14** Let $\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}$ be a non-Archimedean field extension. Let $\zeta$ be a non-Archimedean norm on $V = V_\mathbb{K}$. The ground field extension $\zeta_\mathbb{L}$ on $V_\mathbb{L} = V \otimes_\mathbb{K} \mathbb{L}$ is defined as
\[
\zeta_\mathbb{L}(v') = \inf_{i} \max_{a_i} |a_i| \cdot \zeta(v_i),
\]
for any $v' \in V_\mathbb{L}$, where the inf is defined over all representations
\[
v' = \sum_{i} a_i' \cdot v_i,
\]
with coefficients $a_i'$ in $\mathbb{L}$ and $v_i \in V_\mathbb{K}$.

This defines by [3, P1.24(i)] a non-Archimedean norm on $V_\mathbb{L}$, which coincides with the original norm $\zeta$ on $V_\mathbb{K}$. The two essential results for us are the following:

**Proposition 1.4.15** [3, L1.25,P2.14(v)] Let $\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}$ be a field extension. Let $\zeta$ be a norm on $V = V_\mathbb{K}$, with ground field extension $\zeta_\mathbb{L}$ on $V_\mathbb{L}$. We then have:

- if $\zeta$ is diagonalizable with basis $(e_i)$, then $\zeta_\mathbb{L}$ is also diagonalizable with basis $(e_i \otimes 1)$;
• the relative spectra of ground field extensions of norms coincides with with the relative spectra of original norms: for any other norm $\zeta'$ with ground field extension $\zeta'_L$, we have
  \[ \text{Sp}(\zeta, \zeta') = \text{Sp}(\zeta_L, \zeta'_L); \]
• for any other norm $\zeta'$ with ground field extension $\zeta'_L$, we have
  \[ \text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta') = \text{vol}(\zeta_L, \zeta'_L). \]

The second point follows from the first, and the fact that the ground field extension of a norm coincides with the original norm on $V_{\mathbb{K}}$.

Finally, we note that relative volumes behave well with respect to the $d_\infty$ distance.

**Lemma 1.4.16** (Volumes are Lipschitz, [3, P2.14]) The mapping vol is 1-Lipschitz in both variables, and thus Lipschitz on the product $N(V) \times N(V)$. In other words, given two pairs of norms $(\zeta_0, \zeta_1)$ and $(\zeta'_0, \zeta'_1)$ acting on $V$, we have
  \[ |\text{vol}(\zeta_0, \zeta_1) - \text{vol}(\zeta'_0, \zeta'_1)| \leq d_\infty(\zeta_0, \zeta'_0) + d_\infty(\zeta_1, \zeta'_1). \]

**2 Line bundles**

**2.1 Metrics**

In this section, we consider a projective $\mathbb{K}$-variety $X$, and $p : L \to X$ an ample line bundle.

**Definition 2.1.1** Given a point $x \in X^{\text{an}}$, we denote by $\mathcal{H}(x)$ the completion of the residue field at $x$, with its canonical absolute value. A **continuous metric** $\phi$ on $L$ is a family of functions
  \[ \phi_x : L \otimes \mathcal{H}(x) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}, \]
for all $x \in X$, such that $|\cdot|_{\phi_x} = e^{-\phi_x}$ is a norm on the $\mathcal{H}(x)$-line $L \otimes \mathcal{H}(x)$, and such that for any local section $s_U \in H^0(U, L)$ over a Zariski open set $U$, the composition
  \[ |s_U|_\phi : U^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{s_U} (L|_U)^{\text{an}} \xrightarrow{|\cdot|_\phi} \mathbb{R}_+ \]
is continuous. We refer the reader to [3] for further details. We say that a continuous metric on $L$ lies in the set $C^0(L)$.

We use the conventions from [3], which we recall here:

- the tensor product of line bundles is denoted additively: $L^k \otimes M^{-1}$ is written as $kL - M$;
- given metrics $\phi$, resp. $\phi'$ on $L$, resp. $M$, the induced metric on $kL - M$ is written as $k\phi - \phi'$;
- we identify $C^0(O_X)$ with the set $C^0(X^{\text{an}})$ of continuous functions on $X^{\text{an}}$ via $\phi \leftrightarrow -\log |1|_{\phi}$, whence $C^0(L)$ is an affine space modelled on $C^0(X^{\text{an}})$, for $\phi, \phi' \in C^0(L)$ transform as $|\cdot|_{\phi} = |\cdot|_{\phi} e^{\phi' - \phi}$.

**Remark 2.1.2** In general, we may define a non-necessarily continuous metric over $L$ by removing the second condition above, or impose different regularity conditions. In this case, the last point above still holds, modulo the necessary modifications of the sets of functions being used.
2.2 From norms to metrics and back: FS and N

We fix a reference metric \( \phi_0 \) on \( L \), and denote

\[
|s| = |s|_{\phi_0},
\]

given a section \( s \in H^0(L) \). This will allow us to define certain operators relating norms and metrics, which always require such a choice of a metric, without explicitly stating that we do so.

We introduce the \textit{Fubini-Study operators}, which give a way to turn norms into metrics:

\begin{definition}
Let \( m \) be such that \( mL \) is globally generated. We define the \((m\text{-th})\ \text{Fubini-Study operator}\) as follows:

\[
\text{FS}_m : \mathcal{N}(H^0(mL)) \to C^0(X^\text{an}), \quad \zeta \mapsto \text{FS}_m(\zeta) = \frac{1}{m} \log \sup_{s \in H^0(mL) - \{0\}} \frac{|s|}{\zeta(s)}.
\]

The implicit claim that functions in the image of \( \text{FS}_m \) are continuous is a consequence of [3, T7.16].
\end{definition}

Those operators allow us to define the non-Archimedean equivalent of Kähler potentials:

\begin{definition}
We define a \textit{Fubini-Study potential} on \( L \) to be a function \( f : X^\text{an} \to \mathbb{R} \) in the image of a Fubini-Study operator \( \text{FS}_m \) for some \( m \). The set of Fubini-Study potentials on \( L \) is denoted \( \mathcal{H}(L) \), or \( \mathcal{H} \) when no confusion can arise. Thus,

\[
\mathcal{H}(L) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*} \text{Im FS}_m \subset C^0(X^\text{an}).
\]

\end{definition}

\begin{remark}
There is a nice way to compute the Fubini-Study operators restricted to each \( \mathcal{N}^\text{diag}(H^0(mL)) \). Indeed, assume \((s_i)\) diagonalizes a norm \( \zeta \) on \( H^0(mL) \) for some large \( m \). We then have that:

\[
\text{FS}_m(\zeta) = \frac{1}{m} \log \max_i \frac{|s_i|}{\zeta(s_i)}.
\]

\end{remark}

\begin{definition}
A metric on \( L \) is \textit{Fubini-Study} if

\[
-\log |1|_{\phi - \phi_0} \text{ is of the form } \phi = \frac{1}{m} \log \max_i a_i \cdot |s_i|,
\]

for any reference continuous metric \( \phi_0 \) on \( L \), some \( m > 0 \), \( a_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \), and some basis \((s_i)\) of \( H^0(mL) \). We say furthermore that a Fubini-Study metric is a \textit{pure Fubini-Study metric} if all of the coefficients \( a_i \) above may be chosen to be 1.
\end{definition}

\begin{remark}
It follows that pure Fubini-Study metrics are characterized as those metrics \( \phi \) whose potentials \(-\log |1|_{\phi - \phi_0}\) (with respect to some reference metric \( \phi_0 \)) belong to the image of some Fubini-Study operator restricted to the set of orthonormal (or \textit{lattice}) norms.
\end{remark}

\begin{definition}
A reference metric \( \phi_0 \) on \( L \) having been fixed, a function from \( X^\text{an} \) to \( \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \) is said to be \( \phi_0\)-\textit{plurisubharmonic} (or \( \phi_0\-\text{psh} \)) if it is a limit of a decreasing net of functions in \( \mathcal{H} \). In particular, a \( \phi_0\-\text{psh} \) function is usc. A metric \( \phi \) on \( L \) is \textit{psh} if its associated potential is psh, i.e. if the function

\[
-\log |1|_{\phi - \phi_0}
\]

on $X^{an}$ is $\phi_0$-psh. We denote the set of $\phi_0$-psh functions on $X$ by $\text{PSH}_{\phi_0}(X)$ and the set of psh metrics on $L$ by $\text{PSH}(L)$. When it is clear from context, we will only write PSH, and speak of plurisubharmonic functions, rather than $\phi_0$-plurisubharmonic functions.

**Remark 2.2.7** As pointed out by the referee, while the class of functions $\text{PSH}_{\phi_0}(X)$ depends on the choice of a reference metric, the class of plurisubharmonic metrics (in particular, of Fubini-Study metrics) on $L$ does not. This justifies our notation.

**Remark 2.2.8** If a function $f$ on $X^{an}$ is continuous, then it is psh if and only if it is a uniform limit of a sequence of Fubini-Study potentials, by [3, C7.6].

**Remark 2.2.9** The set $\text{PSH}_{\phi_0}(X) \cup \{-\infty\}$ is stable under the following operations:

- addition of a real constant;
- limits of decreasing nets;
- maximum of finitely many functions.

We now construct an operator from the space of continuous functions $C^0(X)$ (on $X^{an}$) to spaces of norms on the $H^0(mL)$.

**Definition 2.2.10** The $m$-th supnorm operator $N_m$ sends $\varphi \in C^0(X)$ to the norm on $H^0(mL)$ defined as

$$N_m(\varphi)(s_m) = \sup_X |s_m|_{m(\phi_0 + \varphi)},$$

for $s_m \in H^0(mL)$. Note that we can more canonically see this operator as acting directly on the space of continuous metrics on $L$.

It it straightforward from the definitions to see that:

**Proposition 2.2.11** (Lipschitz-like properties of FS and $N$) Consider two functions $\varphi$ and $\varphi' \in C^0(X)$, and two norms $\zeta$ and $\zeta'$ on some $H^0(mL)$, $m \geq 1$. We then have that:

- $d_\infty(N_m(\varphi), N_m(\varphi')) \leq m \cdot \sup_X |\varphi' - \varphi|$;
- $\sup_X |FS_m(\zeta') - FS_m(\zeta)| \leq m^{-1} \cdot d_\infty(\zeta, \zeta')$.

For later use, we also define:

**Definition 2.2.12** The graded supnorm operator $N_\bullet$ sends $\varphi$ to the sequence of norms $(N_m(\varphi))_m$.

A metric $\phi$ is said to be bounded if its associated potential is a bounded function on $X^{an}$, i.e. given any continuous reference metric $\phi_0$ on $L$, the function $-\log |1|_{\phi - \phi_0}$ is bounded. We will say that a sequence of norms is the sup norm associated to a bounded metric $\phi$ if and only if it is the image of the potential associated to $\phi$ under the operator $N_\bullet$. We will see in Sect. 3 that sequences obtained as images of the graded supnorm operator satisfy some pleasant growth properties.
2.3 Monge–Ampère measures

The theory of Chambert–Loir–Ducros [9] shows that, as in the complex case, we may associate to a \(d\)-uple of continuous, plurisubharmonic metrics (i.e. uniform limits of Fubini-Study metrics) \((\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d)\) on ample line bundles \(L_i \to X, i \in \{1, \ldots, d\}\) (with \(d = \dim X\)), a positive Radon measure

\[
\ddc \phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ddc \phi_d,
\]
called the mixed Monge–Ampère measure of \((\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_d)\). Its total mass is equal to the intersection number of the \(L_i\)'s. The association of such a \(d\)-uple to its mixed Monge–Ampère measure is naturally symmetric and additive in each variable. It furthermore is stable under ground field extension:

**Proposition 2.3.1** (Mixed Monge–Ampère measures are invariant under ground field extension, [3, P8.3(iv)]) Let \(\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}\) be a non-Archimedean field extension. Consider the cartesian diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{\mathbb{L}}^{\text{an}} = (X \times_{\text{Spec} \mathbb{K}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{L})^{\text{an}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & X^{\text{an}} \\
\downarrow{\pi_2} & & \downarrow \\
\text{Spec } \mathbb{L}^{\text{an}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } \mathbb{K}^{\text{an}}
\end{array}
\]

We then have that:

\[
\pi_1^* \left( \ddc (\pi_1^* \phi_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \ddc (\pi_1^* \phi_d) \right) = \ddc \phi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \ddc \phi_d.
\]

**Definition 2.3.2** Assume \(L\) to be a semiample and big line bundle. Let \(\phi, \phi' \in \text{PSH}(L)\). The Monge–Ampère measure of \(\phi\) is the Monge–Ampère measure

\[
\text{MA}(\phi) = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(L)} \cdot (\ddc \phi)^d,
\]
where \(\text{vol}(L) = c_1(L)^d\). It is a Radon probability measure. We define the relative Monge–Ampère energy of \(\phi\) and \(\phi'\) as follows:

\[
E(\phi, \phi') = \frac{1}{(d + 1) \cdot \text{vol}(L)} \sum_{i=0}^{d} \int_X (\phi - \phi') \cdot (\ddc \phi)^i \wedge (\ddc \phi')^{d-i}.
\]

**Remark 2.3.3** Our conventions are slightly different than those of [3]—we adopt those of [6]: our Monge–Ampère energy is normalized by the volume of the semiample line bundle \(L\).

Much like volumes of norms, the Monge–Ampère energy satisfies a cocycle condition:

**Proposition 2.3.4** [3, P9.14(i)] Let \(\phi, \phi'\) and \(\phi''\) \(\in\) \text{PSH}(L), we have that:

\[
E(\phi, \phi') = E(\phi', \phi'') + E(\phi'', \phi).
\]

2.4 Models

From now on, we consider an ample line bundle \(L \to X\).

**Definition 2.4.1** A model of \(X\) is the data of a flat scheme \(\mathcal{X}\) of finite type over the valuation ring \(\mathbb{K}^\circ\), and an isomorphism \(\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathbb{K}^\circ} \mathbb{K} \to X\) as schemes over \(\mathbb{K}\).
Remark 2.4.2 Assume \( K \) to be nontrivially valued. As a scheme, \( \text{Spec} \, K^\circ \) has two points: the **generic point** corresponding to the ideal \( \{0\} \), with residue field \( K \), and a closed point, the **special point**, corresponding to \( K^{\infty} \), with residue field \( \tilde{K} \). Changing the base to \( K \) (resp. \( \tilde{K} \)) amounts to taking the **generic fiber** \( X_K \) (resp. **special fiber** \( X_\delta \)).

Remark 2.4.3 Note that, if \( K \) is discretely valued, \( K^\circ \) is a discrete valuation ring. On the other hand, if \( K \) is densely valued, \( K^\circ \) can never be Noetherian. This raises obstacles to prove certain results in this case, such as continuity of envelopes, a property which we will encounter later on.

Example 2.4.4 If \( K \) is trivially valued, the only model of \( X \) is \( X \) itself. This is one reason why the authors in [6] consider the notion of **test configuration**, which serves as an alternative notion of models in this specific case.

Definition 2.4.5 Let \( L \) be a line bundle on \( X \). A **model** \( (X, L) \) of \( (X, L) \) consists in a model \( X \) of \( X \), projective over \( K^\circ \), and a line bundle \( L \) on \( X \) extending \( L \) with respect to the identification \( X_K \cong X_\delta \). One then says that \( L \) is a model of \( L \) determined on \( X \).

Definition 2.4.6 Let \( X \) be a model of \( X \). There exists a compact subset \( X^\beth \subseteq X^\text{an} \) (the Hebrew letter bet \( \beth \) is to be read as "bet"), constructed in the affine case \( X = \text{Spec} \, A \), with \( A \) an algebra of finite type over \( K^\circ \), as the set of analytic points \( x \in X^\text{an} \) with \( |a(x)| \leq 1 \), for any \( a \in A \). The non-affine case is constructed by gluing affine open sets.

Further discussion on the properties of the set \( X^\beth \) may be found in [3, 4.3]. For our purposes, the important result is the following:

**Lemma 2.4.7** [3, L4.6] If the model \( X \) is proper over \( K^\circ \), we have that \( X^\text{an} = X^\beth \).

**Definition 2.4.8** Let \( X \) be a model of \( X \). There exists a compact subset \( X^\beth \subseteq X^\text{an} \) (the Hebrew letter bet \( \beth \) is to be read as "bet"), constructed in the affine case \( X = \text{Spec} \, A \), with \( A \) an algebra of finite type over \( K^\circ \), as the set of analytic points \( x \in X^\text{an} \) with \( |a(x)| \leq 1 \), for any \( a \in A \). The non-affine case is constructed by gluing affine open sets.

We now turn to the more general case of \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundles.

**Definition 2.4.9** A \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle \( L \) on \( X \) is an element of \( \text{Pic}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \). For all divisible enough \( m, mL \) is therefore a genuine line bundle on \( X \). We will say that a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle \( L \) is semiample if \( mL \) is basepoint-free for all divisible enough \( m \).

If \( L \) is merely a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle, the above definitions still make sense:

**Definition 2.4.10** Let \( L \) be a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle on \( X \), and \( X \) a projective model of \( X \). A **\( \mathbb{Q} \)-model** \( L \) of \( L \) determined on \( X \) is then a \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle \( L \) on \( X \), such that for some positive integer \( m, mL \) is a model of \( mL \) determined on \( X \). Its associated model metric is then defined as \( \phi_L = m^{-1} \phi_{mL} \), and we note by [3, L5.10] that \( \phi_L \) is independent of the choice of such an \( m \).

We have the following useful result:

**Proposition 2.4.11** [3, T5.14] A continuous metric \( \phi \) on a line bundle \( L \) is a pure Fubini-Study metric if and only if it is a model metric associated to some semiample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-model \( L \) of \( L \).
The asymptotic Fubini-study operator...

Example 2.4.12  An essential point in the proof of the previous result is the fact that the space of sections of a model of $L$ is a lattice in $H^0(L)$, hence determines a lattice norm on $H^0(L)$, usually denoted $\zeta_{H^0(L)}$. By [3, E7.19], for all $m$ such that $mL$ is globally generated, we have:

$$\phi_{mL} = \text{FS}_m(\zeta_{H^0(mL)}).$$

3 Graded norms and the asymptotic spectral measure

In this section, we assume $K$ to be any non-Archimedean field, and $L$ to be a semiample line bundle over a variety $X/K$. We let

$$R(X, L) = \bigoplus_m H^0(X, mL)$$

be the graded algebra of multisections of $L$, which is integral.

3.1 Bounded graded norms

We define a natural notion of norm on $R(X, L)$:

**Definition 3.1.1** A graded norm on $R(X, L)$ is the data of norms $\zeta_\bullet = (\zeta_m)$ on each graded piece $H^0(mL)$. Such a graded norm is said to be submultiplicative if:

- for any $s_m \in H^0(mL), s_n \in H^0(nL)$, we have that

$$\zeta_{m+n}(s_m \cdot s_n) \leq \zeta_m(s_m) \cdot \zeta_n(s_n);$$

and multiplicative if the above inequality is always an equality.

**Example 3.1.2** If $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ is a model of $(X, L)$, the sequence of norms $\zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{L})}$ is a submultiplicative graded norm. In particular, if $K$ is trivially valued, then the sequence of trivial norms $\zeta_{\text{triv}}$ is a submultiplicative graded norm.

**Definition 3.1.3** We say that a graded norm $\zeta_\bullet$ is a model graded norm on $L$ if there exists a model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of $(X, L)$, such that for all $m$,

$$\zeta_m = \zeta_{H^0(mL)}.$$

In order to endow spaces of graded norms with metric structures, we would like to associate, to any two submultiplicative graded norms $\zeta_\bullet, \zeta'_\bullet$ as defined above, a "spectral measure", in analogy with the spectral measure associated to two norms on a fixed vector space. The 'intuitive' way to do this, is to consider a weak limit in some sense of the (rescaled) measures $m^{-1}_n \sigma(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m)$.

It turns out that there exists such a limit, but we have to enforce a geometric growth condition on our norms. We will see later that it can also be replaced with another simpler growth condition.

**Definition 3.1.4** A submultiplicative graded norm $\zeta_\bullet$ is said to be bounded if and only if there exists a model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ of $(X, L)$, with associated graded norm

$$\zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{L})},$$

Springer
such that
\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} m^{-1} d_\infty(\xi_m, \xi_{H^0(mL)}) < \infty.
\]
We denote by \( \mathcal{N}_\bullet(L) \) the set of all bounded submultiplicative graded norms on \( R(X, L) \).

In other words, a bounded graded norm has at most exponentially linear distortion in \( m \) compared to a model graded norm: there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) and a model \((X, L)\) of \((X, L)\) such that, for all \( m \) large enough,
\[
e^{-mC} \xi_{H^0(mL)} \leq \xi_m \leq e^{mC} \xi_{H^0(mL)},
\]
in light of Remark 1.4.6.

**Remark 3.1.5** Note that, if the Condition (1) holds for one model, then it holds for all models, and all graded supnorms associated to bounded metrics on \( L \), see [3, 6.4]. In particular, Condition (1) in the case where \( \mathbb{K} \) is trivially valued amounds to requiring our norm to be exponentially linearly close to the graded trivial norm \( \chi_{\text{triv}}.\)

As stated above, we then have the existence of a "limit" spectral measure for elements in \( \mathcal{N}_\bullet(L) \). We will prove this result in the next section; it relies on Okounkov bodies. The exposition follows [10] and [3, 9.5]. For now, we only state it:

**Theorem 3.1.6** Let \( \xi_\bullet \) and \( \xi'_\bullet \) be bounded submultiplicative graded norms on \( V_\bullet \). The sequence of spectral measures
\[
m^{-1} \sigma(\xi_m, \xi'_m)
\]
has uniformly bounded support and converges weakly to a boundedly supported limit measure \( \sigma(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet) \).

**Definition 3.1.7** The asymptotic spectral measure of \( \xi_\bullet \) and \( \xi'_\bullet \) is defined as the limit measure in Theorem 3.1.6:
\[
\sigma(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet).
\]

### 3.2 Metric structures on spaces of graded norms

We may now introduce an asymptotic version of the distance \( d_1 \) we have previously defined on a space of norms over a fixed finite-dimensional \( \mathbb{K} \)-vector space. We similarly construct asymptotic volumes and \( d_\infty \) distances.

**Definition 3.2.1** Set \( \xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet \in \mathcal{N}_\bullet(V_\bullet) \).

- their asymptotic \( d_1 \)-distance is defined by:
\[
d_1(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda| d\sigma(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet);
\]
- their asymptotic \( d_\infty \)-distance is defined by:
\[
d_\infty(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet) = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*} m^{-1} d_\infty(\xi_m, \xi'_m);
\]
• their asymptotic relative volume is defined by:

$$\text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta') = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, d\sigma(\zeta, \zeta').$$

**Remark 3.2.2** Again, we adopt here the conventions of [6] concerning the volume: in [3], the volume (let us denote it $\text{vol}_{BE}$) of two norms on a fixed space is not normalized by the dimension of the vector space, while they define the asymptotic volume as

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{(\dim X)!}{m^{\dim X + 1}} \text{vol}_{BE}(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m),$$

which is then equal to $\text{vol}(L)$ times the asymptotic volume presently normalized.

Note that the $d_1$ "distance" above is merely a semidistance: for example, since for any two norms $\zeta, \zeta'$ on a fixed $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $V$,

$$d_1(\zeta, \zeta') \leq d_\infty(\zeta, \zeta'),$$

so that if two bounded graded norms have at most subexponential growth in $m$,

$$d_1(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m) \leq \lim_{m \to \infty} m^{-1} d_\infty(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m) = 0.$$

Even worse: there can be bounded graded norms such that $m^{-1} d_\infty(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m) \to C > 0$ but $d_1(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m) = 0$, see e.g. [6, R3.8]. We then have to identify such norms:

**Definition 3.2.3** Two bounded graded norms $\zeta_*$ and $\zeta'_*$ are asymptotically equivalent, and we write

$$\zeta_* \sim \zeta'_*,$$

if and only if

$$d_1(\zeta_*, \zeta'_*) = 0.$$

We then have that

$$(\mathcal{N}_*(L) / \sim, d_1)$$

with the induced $d_1$ distance, is a bona fide metric space.

**Remark 3.2.4** Note that, since $d_\infty$ is defined as a sup rather than as a limit, it is a genuine distance on $\mathcal{N}_*(L)$.

It is important to remark that, by definition of the limit measure, we have:

$$\text{vol}(\zeta_*, \zeta'_*) = \lim_{m \to \infty} m^{-1} \text{vol}(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m)$$

and

$$d_1(\zeta_*, \zeta'_*) = \lim_{m \to \infty} m^{-1} d_1(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m)$$

(but this is not the case for $d_\infty$).

**Remark 3.2.5** One has that $\zeta_* \sim \zeta'_*$ if one of the three following equivalent conditions is realized:

• for some $p \in [1, \infty)$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^p \, d\sigma(\zeta_*, \zeta'_*) = 0;$
for all $p \in [1, \infty)$, \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\lambda|^p \, d\sigma(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet) = 0; \)

the asymptotic spectral measure $\sigma(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet)$ is the Dirac measure $\delta_0$.

Finally, following the idea that we will consider graded norms on line bundles over any non-Archimedean fields, and need to pass to an algebraically closed extension to apply Okounkov body techniques, it is important to check that graded norms stay graded after piecewise ground field extension. This is a result of Boucksom-Eriksson:

**Lemma 3.2.6** [3, L9.4] Set $\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet \in \mathcal{N}_\bullet(L)$. Let $\mathbb{L}/\mathbb{K}$ be a complete field extension, and consider the sequences of ground field extensions

$$\xi_{\mathbb{L}, \bullet}, \xi'_{\mathbb{L}, \bullet}.$$  

Then, those sequences are bounded graded norms, and furthermore

$$\text{vol}(\xi_{\mathbb{L}, \bullet}, \xi'_{\mathbb{L}, \bullet}) = \text{vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet).$$

### 3.3 Norms generated in degree one

We introduce a subspace of $\mathcal{N}_\bullet(L)$ in the case where the algebra of sections of $L$ satisfies good properties with respect to symmetry morphisms.

**Definition 3.3.1** Let $L$ be a semiample line bundle over $X$. We say that $R(X, L)$ is **generated in degree one** if for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, the morphism induced by $r$-symmetric powers:

$$\Phi_r : H^0(L) \otimes^r \to H^0(rL)$$

is surjective.

This definition then propagates to bounded graded norms:

**Definition 3.3.2** Assume $R(X, L)$ to be generated in degree one. We say that $\xi_\bullet \in \mathcal{N}_\bullet(L)$ is **generated in degree one** if for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_r$ is the quotient norm induced by $\xi_\bullet \otimes^r$ via the above morphism $\Phi_r$.

Bounded graded norms $\xi_\bullet$ generated in degree one are very easy to study: their asymptotic behaviour is heavily controlled by that of $\xi_1$ and of the asymptotic structure of the algebra $R(X, L)$. The main result of this section will be a powerful approximation theorem for these norms.

If $L$ is semiample, there always exists a $r$ such that $R(X, rL)$ is generated in degree one. Thus, while norms generated in degree one may not necessarily exist (as they require $R(X, L)$ to be generated in degree one), the following class is always nonempty:

**Definition 3.3.3** We say that a graded norm $\xi_\bullet$ is **finitely generated** if there exists a positive integer $r$ such that $\xi_r$ is generated in degree one on $rL$.

**Remark 3.3.4** We can in fact replace the growth condition in the definition of a bounded graded norm, by defining boundedness as being of finite $d_\infty$-distance with respect to a finitely generated norm.

Due to the above remark, it is interesting to see the behaviour of the asymptotic spectral measure restricted to such a subalgebra. We have the following results:
Proposition 3.3.5 Recall that, given any measure $\mu$ on the reals and any $\mu$-measurable function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $f_*\mu$ denotes the pushforward of $\mu$ by $f$. Set $\xi_*, \xi'_* \in N_*(L)$. We then have that:

- $f(\lambda) = -\lambda \Rightarrow f_*\sigma(\xi_*, \xi'_*) = \sigma(\xi'_*, \xi_*)$;
- for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(\lambda) = \lambda + c \Rightarrow f_*\sigma(\xi_*, \xi'_*) = \sigma(e^{-c}\xi_*, \xi'_*)$;
- for any $r \in \mathbb{N}^+$, $f(\lambda) = r\lambda \Rightarrow f_*\sigma(\xi_*, \xi'_*) = \sigma(\xi_*, \xi'_*)$,

where $\xi_*$ denotes the restriction of $\xi_*$ to the subalgebra $R(X, rL)$.

This Proposition is the non-trivially valued equivalent of Propositions 3.4 and 3.5 of [6]. From now on, in this section, we assume $R(X, L)$ to be generated in degree one. We wish to prove an approximation Theorem for norms generated in degree one. We start with some preparatory Propositions and Lemmas.

Proposition 3.3.6 (Quotients decrease distance) Let $W \subset V$ be a proper linear subspace of $V$, let $\xi$ and $\xi'$ be norms on $V$. Denote $\xi$ and $\xi'$ the induced quotient norms on $V/W$. We then have that:

$$d_\infty(\tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\xi}') \leq d_\infty(\xi, \xi').$$

Proof Fix $a = d_\infty(\xi, \xi')$, and $\tilde{v} \in V/W$. It is enough to show that

$$e^{-a}\tilde{\xi}'(\tilde{v}) \leq \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{v}) \leq e^{a}\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{v}).$$

We lift $\tilde{v}$ to a sum $v + w$ with $v \in V - W$ and $w \in W$. Note that

$$e^{-a}\tilde{\xi}'(v + w) \leq \xi(v + w),$$

for all such lifts, so that we can pass to the inf and get that

$$e^{-a}\tilde{\xi}'(\tilde{v}) \leq \tilde{\xi}(\tilde{v}).$$

Similarly, we get that

$$e^{-a}\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{v}) \leq \tilde{\xi}'(\tilde{v}).$$

The result follows. \qed

Corollary 3.3.7 Let $\xi_*, \xi'_* \in N_*(L)$ be generated in degree one. We then have that:

$$d_\infty(\xi_*, \xi'_*) = d_\infty(\xi_1, \xi'_1).$$

Proof This follows on repeatedly applying the previous proposition. Set $a = d_\infty(\xi_1, \xi'_1)$. For any $m > 1$, we have that

$$\phi_m : H^0(L)^\otimes m \to H^0(mL)$$

is surjective. Consider $s \in H^0(mL)$, and lifts $\tilde{s}$ of $s$ in $H^0(L)^\otimes m$, which themselves lift to $\tilde{\tilde{s}} \in H^0(L)^\otimes m$. We naturally have that

$$e^{-ma}(\xi'_1)^\otimes m(\tilde{\tilde{s}}) \leq (\xi_1)^\otimes m(\tilde{\tilde{s}}) \leq e^{ma}(\xi'_1)^\otimes m(\tilde{\tilde{s}}),$$

so that, applying the above Proposition,

$$e^{-ma}(\xi'_1)^\otimes m(\tilde{\tilde{s}}) \leq (\xi_1)^\otimes m(\tilde{\tilde{s}}) \leq e^{ma}(\xi'_1)^\otimes m(\tilde{\tilde{s}}),$$

and finally, since a graded norm generated in degree one is a quotient,

$$e^{-ma}(\xi'_m)(s) \leq (\xi_m)(s) \leq e^{ma}(\xi'_m)(s).$$
This establishes
\[ d_\infty(\zeta_\bullet, \zeta'_\bullet) \leq d_\infty(\zeta_1, \zeta'_1), \]
and since the \( d_\infty \) distance is defined as a sup, we in fact have equality. \( \square \)

The coming results require specific constructions of bounded graded norms. It will not be possible in general to assume them to be being generated in degree one; however, they will coincide in all high enough degrees with one such norm. Hence, we introduce the following definition, to make our later statements lighter.

**Definition 3.3.8** We say that a bounded graded norm \( \zeta_\bullet \) is **eventually generated in degree one** if there exists a norm generated in degree one \( \zeta_\circ \) on \( L \), and a positive integer \( r \), such that for all \( m \geq r \),
\[ \zeta_m = \zeta_\circ_m. \]
We will say that \( \zeta_\bullet \) **eventually coincides** with \( \zeta_\circ \).

**Remark 3.3.9** In particular, Corollary 3.3.7 may be reformulated in the context of norms eventually generated in degree one as follows: let \( \zeta_\bullet \) and \( \zeta'_\bullet \) be two such norms, eventually coinciding with norms generated in degree one \( \zeta_\circ \) and \( \zeta'_\circ \) respectively. Then, for all large enough \( m \),
\[ m^{-1} d_\infty(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m) \leq d_\infty(\zeta_\circ_1, \zeta'_\circ_1). \]

We now describe how to construct, starting from a lattice norm, a model \((X, L)\) of \((X, L)\), where \( L \) is a line bundle whose algebra of multisections is generated in degree one, and satisfying the property that the bounded graded norm associated to the sections \( L \) is eventually generated in degree one.

Consider then \( L \) a line bundle on \( X \), such that \( R(X, L) \) is generated in degree one, and let \( \zeta \) be a lattice norm on \( H^0(L) \), i.e. there exists a basis of sections \((s_i)\) of \( H^0(L) \) which is orthonormal for \( \zeta \). Denote \( V_1 \) the \( K^\circ \)-submodule of \( H^0(L) \) generated by this basis of sections, i.e. the unit ball of \( \zeta \). Then, the surjective symmetry morphisms \( \phi_r : H^0(L)^{\circ r} \to H^0(rL) \) of \( R(X, L) \) being surjective for all \( r \geq 1 \), \( V_1 \) induces a \( K^\circ \)-subalgebra \( V_\bullet \) of \( R(X, L) \), which is furthermore generated in degree one and torsion-free. The scheme
\[ X = \text{Proj} \ V_\bullet \]
is then flat and projective over \( K^\circ \). Let \( L \) be its twisting sheaf \( O_X(1) \). \((X, L)\) is a model of \((X, L)\). Furthermore, for all \( m \) large enough, \( H^0(mL) \) coincides with \( V_m \) (see [14, Ex. II-5.14]). In particular, the sequence of norms
\[ (\zeta_{H^0(mL)})_m \]
is eventually generated in degree one, and the norm generated in degree one with which it eventually coincides is generated by \( \zeta \).

We may then prove the following result:

**Proposition 3.3.10** Assume \( K \) to be densely valued, and let \( \zeta_\bullet \) be generated in degree one. Then, for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \), there exists a model \((X^\varepsilon, L^\varepsilon)\) of \((X, L)\), such that, for large enough \( m \),
\[ d_\infty(\zeta_m, \zeta_{H^0(mL^\varepsilon)}) < m\varepsilon. \]

\( \square \) Springer
Proof Since $K$ is densely valued, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a lattice norm $\xi^\varepsilon$ with
\[ d_\infty(\xi_1, \xi^\varepsilon) < \varepsilon. \]
Being a lattice norm, we associate to $\xi^\varepsilon$ a model $(\mathcal{X}^\varepsilon, L^\varepsilon)$ as in the construction (2) above, whose associated graded norm $\xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon)$ eventually coincides with the norm generated in degree one by $\xi^\varepsilon$.

We then use the reformulation in Remark 3.3.9 of Corollary 3.3.7: since $\xi_\bullet$ and $\xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon)$ are both eventually generated in degree one, we have that
\[ d_\infty(\xi_m, \xi^H_{0}(m L^\varepsilon)) \leq m d_\infty(\xi_1, \xi^\varepsilon) < m \varepsilon \]
for all $m$ large enough. $\square$

Finally, we may prove the main Theorem of this section.

Theorem 3.3.11 Assume $K$ to be densely valued, and assume $L$ to be such that $R(X, L)$ is generated in degree one. Let $\xi_\bullet$, $\xi'_\bullet$ be bounded graded norms generated in degree one. Then, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist models $(\mathcal{X}^\varepsilon, L^\varepsilon)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}^\varepsilon, M^\varepsilon)$ of $(X, L)$, such that:
\[ \text{vol}(\xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon)), \xi^H_{0}(\bullet M^\varepsilon)) \rightarrow \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \text{ vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet). \]

Proof We pick sequences of models $(\mathcal{X}^\varepsilon, L^\varepsilon)$ and $(\mathcal{Y}^\varepsilon, M^\varepsilon)$ of $(X, L)$ as in Proposition 3.3.10. Using the cocycle condition on volumes, we have that
\[ \text{vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi'_\bullet) = \text{vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon)) + \text{vol}(\xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon), \xi^H_{0}(\bullet M^\varepsilon)) + \text{vol}(\xi^H_{0}(\bullet M^\varepsilon), \xi'_\bullet), \]
so that it is then enough to prove
\[ \text{vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon)) \rightarrow \varepsilon \rightarrow 0. \]
(The proof for $L$ being also valid for $M$.) Since volumes respect a Lipschitz property with respect to the $d_\infty$-distance (Proposition 1.4.16), we have
\[ |\text{vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon))| = |\text{vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon)) - \text{vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi_\bullet)| \leq \lim sup_m m^{-1} d_\infty(\xi_m, \xi^H_{0}(m L^\varepsilon)). \]
In light of Proposition 3.3.10, we then have that
\[ |\text{vol}(\xi_\bullet, \xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon))| \leq d_\infty(\xi_1, \xi^H_{0}(\bullet L^\varepsilon)) < \varepsilon, \]
which concludes the proof. $\square$

4 Chebyshev transforms of graded norms

The final result of this section relies on volumes, which are invariant under ground field extension. We may then consider an algebraically closed, non-trivially valued field $K$. The value group of $K$ is then divisible, hence dense. As in the previous section, $L$ is assumed to be a semiample line bundle over a $K$-variety $X$. \[ \text{ Springer} \]
4.1 Okounkov bodies

Let \( x \) be a regular \( \mathbb{K} \)-rational point of \( X \), and pick a regular sequence \( (z_1, \ldots, z_d) \) in the local ring \( \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \). By Cohen’s structure theorem, any element \( f \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \) may then be written as a formal power series

\[
f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} f_{\alpha} z^{\alpha},
\]

where the coefficients \( f_{\alpha} \) belong to the field \( \mathbb{K} \).

**Definition 4.1.1** A monomial order on \( \mathbb{N}^d \) is defined to be a total order \( \leq \) satisfying the following properties:

1. given any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \), \( 0_{\mathbb{N}^d} \leq \alpha \);
2. given any \( \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d \), for all \( \alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{N}^d \) with \( \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_1 \), we have \( \alpha_0 + \alpha \leq \alpha_1 + \alpha \).

Given a monomial order \( \leq \) on \( \mathbb{N}^d \), we then define a valuation

\[
\text{ord}_{x,\leq} : K(X)^* \to \mathbb{Z}^d
\]

\[
f = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} f_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} \mapsto \text{ord}_{x,\leq}(f) = \min_{\leq} \{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d, \ f_{\alpha} \neq 0 \}.
\]

Given a section \( s \in H^0(X, L) \), one may pick a trivialization of \( L \) at \( x \), so that \( s \) defines an element \( s_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \), and we extend the valuation ord to sections of \( L \) by setting

\[
\text{ord}_{x,\leq}(s) = \text{ord}_{x,\leq}(s_x).
\]

Note that this is independent of the choice of a trivialization. We then set

\[
\text{gr}_{n,\alpha}(L) = \{ s \in H^0(mL), \ \text{ord}_{x,\leq}(s) \geq \alpha \}/\{ s \in H^0(mL), \ \text{ord}_{x,\leq}(s) > \alpha \},
\]

and notice that, since the transcendence degree of the residue field of the valuation is 0, we have

\[
\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \text{gr}_{n,\alpha}(L) \leq 1
\]

for all choices of \( (n, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N}^{d+1} \). We then consider the sub-semigroup \( \Gamma_m(L) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d \) consisting of the values taken by \( \text{ord}_{x,\leq} \) evaluated on the space of sections \( H^0(mL) \), that is,

\[
\Gamma_m(L) = \text{ord}_{x,\leq}(H^0(mL)),
\]

and the graded semigroup \( \Gamma(L) \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{d+1} \) defined as the union of all the \( \Gamma_m(L) \), with a \( \mathbb{N} \)-grading given by the tensor power of \( L \) considered:

\[
\Gamma(L) = \{(n, \alpha) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}^d, \ \alpha \in \Gamma_n(L)\}.
\]

It then follows that \( (n, \alpha) \in \Gamma(L) \) if and only if \( \dim \text{gr}_{n,\alpha}(L) = 1 \). The semigroup \( \Gamma(L) \) then satisfies the following properties:

(i) **linear growth**: \( \Gamma(L) \) is contained within a finitely generated monoid \( \langle a_1, \ldots, a_k \rangle, k < \infty \), where for all \( i, a_i \in \{1\} \times \mathbb{N}^d \);

(ii) **bigness**: \( \Gamma(L) \) generates \( \mathbb{N}^{d+1} \) as a group.
See [8, L2.11, P3.3] for a precise justification of these facts. It follows that the projection to \( \mathbb{R}^d \) along the last \( d \) variables of the base

\[
\Delta(\Gamma(L)) = \text{Cone}(\Gamma(L)) \cap ([1] \times \mathbb{R}^d)
\]

of the convex cone generated by \( \Gamma(L) \) inside \( \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \) defines a convex body: a subset of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) satisfying the following properties:

- \( \Delta(\Gamma(L)) \) is compact and convex;
- the interior \( \Delta(\Gamma(L))^\circ \) is nonempty.

In fact, we may associate such a convex body \( \Delta(\Gamma) \) to any semigroup \( \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{d+1} \) satisfying the properties (i) – (ii) above. (See also [17, 2.A] for a definition of Okounkov bodies with minimal conditions on \( \Gamma \).) We call \( \Delta(\Gamma) \) the Okounkov body of the semigroup \( \Gamma \).

### 4.2 The asymptotic spectral measure

Consider as before a monomial order \( \preceq \) on \( \mathbb{N}^d \), a regular rational point \( x \in X \), and a regular system of parameters. Let \( (n, \alpha) \in \Gamma(L) \), which as we recall holds if and only if the graded piece \( \text{gr}_{n,\alpha} \) to be one-dimensional. Hence, given a trivialization \( \tau_x \) of \( L \) at \( x \), there exist sections \( s \in H^0(nL) \) with Taylor expansion

\[
s = z^\alpha + \sum_{\beta \geq \alpha} s_\beta z^\beta
\]

with respect to \( \tau_x^n \). Given a bounded graded norm \( \zeta \), the individual norm \( \zeta_n \) induces a quotient norm \( \zeta_{n,\alpha} \) on \( \text{gr}_{n,\alpha}(L) \). Given any \( s \) with a Taylor expansion as above, it is immediate that its class \( [s]_{n,\alpha} =: s_{n,\alpha} \in \text{gr}_{n,\alpha}(L) \) contains all sections with such a Taylor expansion, and we define

\[
\Phi : \Gamma(L) \to \mathbb{R}
\]

\[
n, \alpha \mapsto -\log \left[ \zeta_{n,\alpha} (s_{n,\alpha}) \right].
\]

By submultiplicativity of \( \zeta \), and the fact that

\[
s_{n,\alpha} \cdot s_{m,\beta} = s_{n+m,\alpha+\beta}
\]

in the algebra

\[
\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1(L)} \text{gr}_{n,\alpha}(L),
\]

the function \( \Phi \) so defined is then superadditive.

We now prove existence of the limit spectral measure between two bounded graded norms. This Theorem has originally been proved in [10, T5.2], albeit in a slightly different mathematical language, and some parts have been further developed in [3, T9.5]. We write out the proof in "our language" below.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.6** By [10, T5.2], such a limit measure exists provided the hypotheses of [10, T4.5] are verified. We state them now:

1. \( \zeta \) and \( \zeta' \) are submultiplicative graded norms;
2. \( \lim_{m \to \infty} m^{-1} d_\infty(\zeta_m, \zeta'_m) < \infty \);
3. there exists uniform positive constant $C$ such that
\[
\inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma_n(L)} \log \zeta_{n,\alpha}(s_{n,\alpha}) \geq -Cn,
\]
and similarly for $\zeta'_\bullet$.

The two first criteria (1) and (2) are by definition true since $\zeta_\bullet$ and $\zeta'_\bullet$ are bounded graded norms. What remains is to prove (3) which is equivalent to showing that $(n, \alpha) \mapsto \Phi(n, \alpha)$ is linearly bounded above in the first variable, i.e. there exists a uniform positive constant $C$ such that
\[
\Phi(n, \cdot) \leq Cn.
\]

Since the (quotient) norm $\zeta_{n,\alpha}$ is characterized as an inf on all sections $s \in H^0(nL)$ with Taylor expansion at $x$ of the form
\[
s = z^\alpha + \sum_{\beta \geq \alpha} s_\beta z^\beta,
\]
we have that, if
\[
-\log \zeta_n(s) \leq Cn
\]
is true for all such $s$, then this is also true for the inf on all such $s$, $\Phi(n, \alpha) = -\log \zeta_{n,\alpha}(s_{n,\alpha})$. In fact, by the finite growth property of $\Gamma(L)$, we know that there exists a uniform positive constant $C'$ such that
\[
\alpha \in \Gamma_n(L) \Rightarrow |\alpha| \leq C'n.
\]

Thus, it is enough to show that
\[
-\log \zeta_n(s) \leq C(n + |\alpha|)
\]
for all $s$ with Taylor expansion as in (3). We then follow the proof of [3, T9.5], itself based on [19, L5.4]. We first reduce to the case where $\zeta_\bullet$ is the supnorm $\zeta_\bullet\phi$ associated to a bounded metric $\phi$, for $\zeta_\bullet$ is bounded. This implies that for some bounded metric $\phi$,
\[
\lim_m (\zeta_m, \zeta_m\phi) = D < \infty
\]
so that if (5) is true for $\zeta_\bullet\phi$, then, as for all large enough $n$ we have
\[
e^{-Dn} \zeta_{n\phi} \leq \zeta_n \leq e^{Dn} \zeta_{n\phi},
\]
it follows that
\[
Dn - \log \zeta_{n\phi}(s) \geq -\log \zeta_n(s) \geq -Dn - \log \zeta_{n\phi}(s),
\]
and the equivalent of (5) for $\zeta_\bullet$ is deduced from this, and from (5).

We then assume that $\zeta_\bullet = \zeta_\bullet\phi$ for some bounded metric $\phi$ on $L$. Now, we know that we can find a trivialization $\tau_x$ of $L$ and analytic isomorphisms from a neighborhood $U$ of a regular rational point $x \in X$ to an open polydisc $D = \prod_{i=1}^d D(r_i) \subset \mathbb{K}^d$, such that a section $s \in H^0(nL)$ satisfies
\[
\log |s|_{n\phi} = \log |s_U| + n \log |\tau_x|_{\phi},
\]
for some analytic function $s_U$ of the form
\[
s_U(z) = z^\alpha + \sum_{\beta \geq \alpha} s_\beta z^\beta.
\]
Since \( \phi \) is bounded on \( U \), so is the term \( n \log |\tau_x|_\phi \), and by the maximum principle, applied in each variable, we have that
\[
r^{[\alpha]} \leq \sup_U |s_U|,
\]
and finally (5) follows, concluding the proof of the Theorem. \( \square \)

### 4.3 A Fujita approximation lemma

In this section, we prove a result which is similar in spirit to Fujita’s approximation Theorem. We first start by quoting the following result:

**Theorem 4.3.1** [8, L1.13] Let \( \Gamma \) be a graded sub-semigroup of \( \mathbb{N}^{d+1} \) satisfying conditions (i)–(ii) of 4.1, and let \( K \) be a compact convex subset of \( \mathbb{R}^d \) contained in the interior of \( \Delta(\Gamma_\bullet) \). For all large enough integers \( m \), we then have that:
\[
K \cap \frac{\Gamma_m}{m} = K \cap \frac{\mathbb{Z}^d}{m},
\]
where \( \Gamma_m \) is defined again as in 4.1.

We now prove the approximation result in question, which can also be seen as an \textit{ad hoc} version of [8, L1.21].

**Lemma 4.3.2** Let \( \Gamma^k \) be a graded sub-semigroup of some semigroup \( \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \), such that:
- \( \Gamma^k_1 = \Gamma_k \),
- \( \Gamma^k_r \subseteq \Gamma^k_{kr} \) for all \( r \geq 1 \),
- \( \Gamma \) satisfies the properties (i) and (ii) of linear growth and bigness as in Sect. 4.1.

We then have that
\[
k^{-d} \text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma^k_\bullet)) \to_{k \to \infty} \text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma_\bullet)).
\]

**Proof** First remark that, by the inclusion property
\[
\Gamma^k_r \subseteq \Gamma^k_{kr},
\]
we have that, for all \( k \geq 1 \),
\[
\frac{\Delta(\Gamma^k_\bullet)}{k} \subseteq \Delta(\Gamma_\bullet).
\]

If we can show that any compact (convex) subset \( K \) of \( \Delta(\Gamma_\bullet)^0 \) is also included in \( \frac{\Delta(\Gamma^k_\bullet)}{k} \) for large enough \( k \), then our assertion would be true. Pick such a compact \( K \), and embed it into another compact convex subset \( L \subseteq \Delta(\Gamma_\bullet)^0 \) such that the number
\[
d(K, \partial L) = \inf \{d(x, \ell), x \in K, \ell \in \partial L\}
\]
is (strictly) positive. We then have compact inclusions
\[
K \subset L \subset \Delta(\Gamma_\bullet)^0,
\]
with \( K \) not "touching" the boundary of \( L \).
By the bigness hypothesis, \( \Gamma^* \) generates \( \mathbb{Z}^{d+1} \) as a group. Then, the regularization of \( \Gamma_k \) is \( \mathbb{Z}^d \), whence, for all large enough \( k \),

\[
\left( L \cap \frac{\Gamma_k}{k} \right) = \left( L \cap \frac{\mathbb{Z}^d}{k} \right),
\]

(by Theorem 4.3.1), so that the convex hull of \( \left( \frac{\Gamma_k}{k} \right) \) naturally contains \( K \). (It does not necessarily contain \( L \).) Now, since

\[
\Gamma_1^k = \Gamma_k,
\]

the convex hull of \( \left( \frac{\Gamma_k}{k} \right) \) is contained in the scaled Okounkov body

\[
\frac{\Delta(\Gamma_1^k)}{k}.
\]

To conclude, we have a chain of compact inclusions

\[
K \subset \text{Hull} \left( \frac{\Gamma_k}{k} \right) \subset \frac{\Delta(\Gamma_1^k)}{k},
\]

from which follows the desired inclusion of \( K \). \( \square \)

In practice, we will consider \( \Gamma = \Gamma(L) \), the Okounkov semigroup associated to the choice of a semiample line bundle \( L \) over \( X \), a monomial order \( \leq \) on \( \mathbb{N}^d \), and a regular rational point \( x \in X \).

### 4.4 Chebyshev functions associated to superadditive functions

**Proposition 4.4.1** [10, L4.1, T4.3] Assume \( \Phi \) is a superadditive function

\[
\Phi : \Gamma(L) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},
\]

such that \( \Phi(0, 0_{\mathbb{N}^d}) = 0 \). For any \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), set

\[
\Gamma^{\Phi, \geq t} = \{(n, \alpha) \in \Gamma(L) \mid \Phi(n, \alpha) \geq n \cdot t\}.
\]

Then, \( \Gamma^{\Phi, \geq t} \) is a sub-semigroup of \( \Gamma(L) \) satisfying properties (i)-(ii) of 4.1 whenever

\[
t < \theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\alpha \in \Gamma_n(L)} n^{-1} \Phi(n, \alpha).
\]

**Remark 4.4.2** It is immediate that

\[
l < t \Rightarrow \Gamma^{\Phi, \geq l} \subseteq \Gamma^{\Phi, \geq t}.
\]

**Definition 4.4.3** Let \( \Phi \) be a superadditive function on \( \Gamma(L) \). We set

\[
G_\Phi : \Delta(\Gamma(L)) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}, \\
(n, \alpha) \mapsto \sup\{t \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \mid (n, \alpha) \in \Delta(\Gamma^{\Phi, \geq t})\}.
\]

The function \( G_\Phi \) is the **Chebyshev function** of the semigroup \( \Gamma(L) \) (associated to \( \Phi \)). The term **concave transform** is also common in the literature, see e.g. [17,19].

**Remark 4.4.4** By [2] this function is concave, hence continuous, on the interior of \( \Delta(\Gamma(L)) \).
4.5 An equidistribution result

We rely on a first result taken from [10], which can be seen as an equidistribution Theorem for the values of a superadditive function defined on an Okounkov body. We in particular obtain a limit measure, and a sequence of such limit measures is the main character of a Proposition proven below.

**Theorem 4.5.1** [10, T4.3, R4.4] Let $\Phi$ be a superadditive function from $\Gamma(L)$ to $\mathbb{R}$, with $\lim \sup$ denoted $\theta$ as in the previous section. Let $\mu(k)$ be the finitely supported probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$
\mu(k) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_k(L)} \delta_{\Phi_k(k, \alpha)}.
$$

This sequence then converges to a compactly supported probability measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\mu([t, \infty)) = \frac{\text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma, \geq t))}{\text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma))},
$$

for any $t \leq \theta$. Furthermore, $\mu$ is equal to the pushforward of the normalized Lebesgue measure on the Okounkov body $\Delta(\Gamma(L))$ by the Chebyshev function $G_\Phi$.

**Definition 4.5.2** If $\Phi$ is a superadditive function defined on an Okounkov body, associated to a bounded graded norm $\zeta$ as before, we denote the limit measure obtained in the previous Theorem by

$$
\mu(\zeta),
$$

and the measures $\mu(k)$ as

$$
\mu(\zeta(k)).
$$

We now state the main technical result of this section.

**Proposition 4.5.3** Let $L$ be such that $R(X, L)$ is generated in degree one. Let $\zeta$ be a bounded graded norm on $R(X, L)$. Consider, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the bounded graded norm $\zeta(k)$ on $R(X, kL)$ generated in degree one by $\zeta_k$, i.e. the sequence of quotient norms induced by $\zeta_k$ and the symmetry morphisms $H^0(kL) \xrightarrow{\otimes r} H^0(rkL)$

for all $r \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Set

$$
\Gamma(kL) = \{(n, \alpha) \in \Gamma(L), k|n\}.
$$

We then have

$$
\mu(\zeta(k)) \xrightarrow{k \to \infty} \mu(\zeta),
$$

where $\xrightarrow{}$ denotes weak convergence of measures, in particular: the sequence of functions $t \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{t} d\mu(\zeta(k))$ converges pointwise to $t \mapsto \int_{-\infty}^{t} d\mu(\zeta)$.

**Proof** Denote $\Phi$ and $\Phi_k$ be the superadditive functions associated to the norms $\zeta$ and $\zeta(k)$. We first notice the following properties of $\Phi$ and the $\Phi_k$:

(i) $\Phi_k(k, \alpha) = \Phi(k, \alpha)$, for all $(k, \alpha) \in \Gamma_k$;
(ii) $\Phi_k(kn, \alpha) \leq \Phi(kn, \alpha)$, for all $(kn, \alpha) \in \Gamma_k$;
(iii) if $d|k$, then $\Phi_d(kn, \alpha) \leq \Phi_k(kn, \alpha)$, for all $(kn, \alpha) \in \Gamma_k$.

Let $\theta_k$ and $\theta$ be the above bounds on the supports of the appropriate measures. We then show that

$$\mu(\zeta^{(k)})([t, \theta]) \rightarrow \mu(\zeta)([t, \theta]),$$

for all $t \in [-\infty, \theta]$.

Now, since

$$\mu(\zeta^{(k)})([t, \theta]) = \frac{\text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma_k^{\Phi_k, \geq t}))}{\text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma_k))},$$

and

$$\text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma_k))^{-1} = \text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma_k))^{-1},$$

the problem reduces to showing that the sequence of functions $(v_k)_k$, defined as

$$v_k : t \mapsto \text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma_k^{\Phi_k, \geq t}))$$

converges pointwise to

$$v : t \mapsto \text{vol}(\Delta(\Gamma)^{\Phi, \geq t}).$$

Note that (ii), (iii), and the expressions

$$\theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\Gamma} \frac{\Phi(n, \alpha)}{n} < \infty,$$

and

$$\theta_k = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{(kn, \alpha) \in \Gamma_k} \frac{\Phi_k(kn, \alpha)}{n} < \infty$$

imply that $(\theta_k)_k$ is an increasing sequence converging to $\theta$.

Finally, the semigroups $\Gamma^{\Phi_k, \geq t}_k$ and $\Gamma^{\Phi, \geq t}$, satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 4.3.2 (note (i)), which yields

$$v_k(t) \rightarrow v(t),$$

concluding the proof. $\square$

This implies the main Theorem of this section, Theorem C.

**Theorem 4.5.4 (Theorem C)** Let $L$ be such that $R(X, L)$ is generated in degree one. Let $\zeta$, $\zeta'$ be two bounded graded norms on $L$, and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $\zeta^{(k)}$ and $\zeta'^{(k)}$ denote the graded norms generated in degree one by $\zeta_k$ and $\zeta'_k$ respectively. Then, we have that:

$$\text{vol}(\zeta^{(k)}, \zeta'^{(k)}) \rightarrow_{k \to \infty} \text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta').$$

**Proof** Let $\Phi'$ and for all $k$, $\Phi'_k$ be the superadditive functions associated to the norms $\zeta'$ and $\zeta'^{(k)}$ respectively.

Recall the identity

$$\text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta') = \lim_{m \to \infty} m^{-1} \text{vol}(\zeta, \zeta').$$
Note that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, d\mu(\xi_m) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, d\mu(\xi'_m) = m^{-1} \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_m(L)} \left[ \Phi(m, \alpha) - \Phi'(m, \alpha) \right], \]
where \( \mu(\xi_m) \) and \( \mu(\xi'_m) \) are defined as the finitely supported measures as in Theorem 4.5.1. By [10, (29)], the quantity on the right is identified with
\[ m^{-1} \text{vol}(\xi_m, \xi'_m), \]
so that at the limit,
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, d\mu(\xi) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, d\mu(\xi') = \text{vol}(\xi, \xi'). \]
Doing the same process with \( \xi^{(k)} \) and \( \xi'^{(k)} \), we then find that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, d\mu(\xi^{(k)}) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda \, d\mu(\xi'^{(k)}) = \text{vol}(\xi^{(k)}, \xi'^{(k)}). \]
An application of Theorem 4.5.3 then yields the desired convergence. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.5.5** As volumes are invariant under ground field extension, and in view of the properties of the limit measure under pushforward, the results remain true whenever \( L \) is a semiample \( \mathbb{Q} \)-line bundle, and \( K \) is any non-Archimedean field.

### 5 The asymptotic Fubini-Study operator

We assume \( K \) to be any non-trivially valued, non-Archimedean field.

#### 5.1 Volumes and energies

The goal of this section is to prove the following Theorem, a generalization of [6, T4.13], where we consider a general complete non-Archimedean field, rather than one which is trivially valued.

**Theorem 5.1.1** (Theorem B) Let \( \xi, \xi' \in N_*(L) \). We then have:
\[ \lim_m E(FS_m(\xi_m), FS_m(\xi'_m)) = \text{vol}(\xi, \xi'). \]
As a first reduction, we can assume \( L \) to be globally generated and the algebra of sections to be generated in degree one (thanks to Remark 4.5.5).

We now show that we can reduce to the case where \( K \) is algebraically closed and non-trivially valued (hence densely valued).

**Lemma 5.1.2** Assume \( K \) to be any non-trivially valued, non-Archimedean field, and that Theorem B holds for the base change of \( X \) to an algebraically closed extension \( \mathbb{L} \) of \( K \). Then, Theorem B holds for \( X/\mathbb{K} \).

**Proof** By Remark 4.5.5, the right-hand side is indeed invariant under ground field extension, so that we only have to take care of the energy side of the equation. Consider the base change \( X_{\mathbb{L}} \) and its pullback line bundle \( L_{\mathbb{L}} \). Note that the ground field extension \( R(X, L)_{\mathbb{L}} \) of the algebra of sections of \( L \) coincides with \( R(X_{\mathbb{L}}, L_{\mathbb{L}}) \). Consider the associated norms \( \xi_{*,\mathbb{L}} \) and \( \xi'_{*,\mathbb{L}} \).
by Proposition 1.4.15, the Fubini-Study operators associated to each individual norm coincide with those associated to their ground field extension, and that (say)

\[ \text{FS}_m(\zeta_{m,L}) = \pi_1^*\text{FS}_m(\zeta_m); \]

by Proposition 2.3.1,

\[ \pi_1^*\text{MA}(\text{FS}_m(\zeta_{m,L}), \text{FS}_m(\zeta'_{m,L})) = \text{MA}(\text{FS}_m(\zeta_m), \text{FS}'_m(\zeta_m)), \]

where MA(\phi, \phi') denotes any mixed Monge–Ampère measure involving only \phi and \phi'.

It follows that both quantities in the assertion of Theorem B are invariant under ground field extension. Using that the Theorem then holds over \( X_L \), this finishes the proof. \( \square \)

From now on, assume \( \mathbb{K} \) to be algebraically closed and non-trivially valued. We first consider the basic case.

**Lemma 5.1.3** Theorem B is true when \( \zeta \) and \( \zeta' \) are both graded norms generated in degree one.

**Proof** Pick approximations \( \zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)} \) and \( \zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon)} \) as in Theorem 3.3.11. By Lemma 5.1.4 below, we have, for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[ E(\phi_{\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon}, \phi_{\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon}) = \text{vol}(\zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)}, \zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon)}). \]

Now, the statement of Theorem 3.3.11 is that

\[ \text{vol}(\zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)}, \zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon)}) \to \varepsilon \to 0 \text{ vol}(\zeta, \zeta'). \]

In particular, by construction, we have that

\[ \lim \text{FS}_m(\zeta_{H^0(m\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)}) = \text{FS}_1(\zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)}) = \phi_{\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon}, \]

so that the Lemma is proven once we show that

\[ \lim \text{FS}_m(\zeta_{H^0(m\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)}), \text{FS}_m(\zeta_{H^0(m\mathcal{M}^\varepsilon)})) \to \varepsilon \to 0 \lim \text{FS}_m(\zeta_m), \text{FS}_m(\zeta'_m)). \]

But using the 1-Lipschitz property of the operator \( \text{FS}_m \) with respect to the sup norm of metrics and the \( d_{\infty} \)-distance, we find that for all \( m \), for all \( \varepsilon > 0 \),

\[ \sup \text{FS}_m(\zeta_{H^0(m\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)}) - \text{FS}_m(\zeta_m) \leq \varepsilon, \]

so that finally,

\[ \lim \text{FS}_m(\zeta_{H^0(m\mathcal{L}^\varepsilon)}) \to \varepsilon \to 0 \lim \text{FS}_m(\zeta_m), \]

uniformly. Proceeding similarly for \( \mathcal{M} \), and then using continuity of the Monge–Ampère energy along uniform limits, we find the desired result. \( \square \)

We then have the following lemma, as promised.

**Lemma 5.1.4** Assume \( (X, \mathcal{L}), (Y, \mathcal{M}) \) to be semiample models of \( L \) defined on the same model \( X \) of \( X \). Denoting \( \phi_{\mathcal{L}} \) and \( \phi_{\mathcal{M}} \) their associated model metrics, we then have that

\[ E(\phi_{\mathcal{L}}, \phi_{\mathcal{M}}) = \text{vol}(\zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{L})}, \zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{M})}). \]
The asymptotic Fubini-study operator...

Proof We first start by stating the following equality [3, L9.17]:

$$\text{vol}(\zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{L})}, \zeta_{H^0(\mathcal{M})}) = \text{vol}(N_\bullet(\phi_\mathcal{L}), N_\bullet(\phi_\mathcal{M})).$$

Recall from Remarks 3.2.2 and 2.3.3 that our conventions for the volume and energy are different from those of [3], but as

$$\lim_{h_0 \to 0} m^{\dim X} = \text{vol}(L),$$

the changes cancel out. Furthermore, their notation

$$\text{vol}(L, \phi, \psi)$$

corresponds to

$$\text{vol}(N_\bullet(\phi), N_\bullet(\psi))$$

in our case.

The above equality follows from earlier results of [3], wherein it is shown that

$$d_\infty(\zeta_{H^0(m\mathcal{L})}, N_m(\phi_\mathcal{L})) = O(1),$$

[3, T6.4] so that Lipschitz continuity of the volume with respect to the $d_\infty$-distance concludes. Then, the Lemma is proven by applying Theorem 9.15 of [3] to $\phi_\mathcal{L}$ and $\phi_\mathcal{M}$. ☐

We now prove the Theorem.

Proof Assume now that both norms are not necessarily finitely generated. By surjectivity of $H^0(kL)^{\otimes m} \to H^0(kmL)$ for all $k, m > 0$, we may endow each $H^0(kmL)$ with the quotient norm induced by this morphism using the norms $\zeta_k, \zeta'_k$. We denote these norms $\zeta_m, \zeta'_m$. These define graded norms, generated in degree one, on $R(k)$. Consider their associated Fubini-Study metrics:

$$\text{FS}_k(\zeta^{(k)})$$

and

$$\text{FS}_k(\zeta'^{(k)}).$$

Recall that the Theorem holds for those norms. Now, since $(\text{FS}_k(\zeta^{(k)}))_k$, resp. $(\text{FS}_k(\zeta'^{(k)}))_k$ are decreasing nets, by continuity of $E$ along decreasing nets follows:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} E\left(\text{FS}_k(\zeta^{(k)}), \text{FS}_k(\zeta'^{(k)})\right) = E\left(\lim_k \text{FS}_k(\zeta_k), \lim_k \text{FS}_k(\zeta'_k)\right).$$

The right-hand side limit, that is,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \text{vol}(\zeta^{(k)}, \zeta'^{(k)}) = \text{vol}(\zeta_\bullet, \zeta'_\bullet),$$

is the statement of Theorem 4.5.4. ☐
5.2 Envelopes

Following [3, 7.5], we define two important notions of envelopes for bounded functions.

**Definition 5.2.1** Let $\phi$ be a bounded metric on $L$. The psh envelope of $\phi$ is defined as

$$P(\phi) = \sup\{\phi' \in \text{PSH}(L), \phi' \leq \phi\}.$$ 

The **regular psh envelope** of $f$ is defined as

$$Q(\phi) = \sup\{\phi' \in \text{PSH}(X) \cap C^0(X), \phi' \leq \phi\}.$$ 

We similarly define those envelopes for functions, by identifying the space of continuous metrics on a line bundle as an affine space modelled on the space of continuous functions as before.

**Definition 5.2.2** (Continuity of envelopes) We say that the pair $(X, L)$ admits **continuity of envelopes** if the following property holds true:

- if $\phi$ is a continuous metric on $L$, then $P(\phi)$ is continuous.

Note that we then have $P(\phi) = Q(\phi)$.

**Example 5.2.3** By [7], a smooth, projective variety $X$ defined over any field $K$ which satisfies all of the following properties:

- $K$ is of equal characteristic 0;
- $K$ is either trivially or discretely valued,

admits continuity of envelopes for any line bundle $L$ over $X$. Furthermore, by [13], continuity of envelopes also holds:

- for any line bundle on a curve, over any field (from the work of Thuillier);
- for all line bundles on a $d$-dimensional variety $X$ over $K$, where $K$ is a discretely valued field of positive characteristic $p$, provided we have resolution of singularities over $K$ in dimension $d + 1$.

**Definition 5.2.4** The **asymptotic Fubini-Study operator** is defined on the set of graded norms as the upper semi-continuous regularization of the limit of the usual Fubini-Study operators, that is, given a bounded graded norm $\zeta_*$,

$$\text{FS}(\zeta_*) = \text{usc} \left( \lim_m \text{FS}_m(\zeta_m) \right).$$ 

It associates a bounded psh function to any graded norm.

**Remark 5.2.5** The asymptotic Fubini-Study operator is well-defined and defines a psh function provided that $(X, L)$ admits continuity of envelopes, due to [3, L7.29], as, by Fekete’s lemma,

$$\lim_m \text{FS}_m(\zeta_m) = \sup_m \text{FS}_m(\zeta_m).$$
5.3 Plurisubharmonic functions regularizable from below

In this section, we investigate the image of the asymptotic Fubini-Study operator. Most of the material here is simply the translation of results from [6] from the trivially valued case to our case.

We first need to consider an important set of valuations contained in the Berkovich analytification of a variety.

**Definition 5.3.1** A valuation $\nu : K(X)^* \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be **divisorial** if there exists a normal, projective, birational model $Y \to X$ of $X$, a prime divisor $E \subset Y$, and a positive real number $c$, such that

$$\nu = c \cdot \text{ord}_E.$$

The set $X^{\text{div}}$ of divisorial valuations on $X$ is dense in $X^{\text{an}}$, see e.g. [15, 6.3].

**Definition 5.3.2** We say that a plurisubharmonic function $\phi$ is **regularizable from below**, and we write

$$\phi \in \text{PSH}^\uparrow$$

if and only if $\phi$ is the pointwise limit on $X^{\text{div}}$ of an increasing net of Fubini-Study potentials, equivalently of an increasing net of continuous, psh functions.

**Remark 5.3.3** We then have that $\phi$ is the usc regularized supremum of such a net. Furthermore, by [6, L4.4] (which extends to our non-trivially valued case where continuity of envelopes holds), $\phi \in \text{PSH}^\uparrow$ if and only if $\phi = Q^*(\phi)$, where $Q^*(\phi)$ denotes the usc-regularized envelope

$$Q^*(\phi) = \text{usc sup}\{\phi' \in \text{PSH}(X) \cap C^0(X), \phi' \leq \phi\}.$$

We then show that $\text{PSH}^\uparrow$ coincides with the image of the asymptotic Fubini-Study operator.

**Theorem 5.3.4** A function belongs to $\text{PSH}^\uparrow$ if and only if there exists a bounded graded norm $\zeta_\bullet$ such that $\text{FS}(\zeta_\bullet) = \phi$.

**Proof** Assume $\phi$ is the image of some bounded graded norm $\zeta_\bullet$ by the asymptotic Fubini-Study operator, i.e. $\phi = \text{usc}(\lim_m \text{FS}_m(\zeta_m))$. In particular, $\phi$ is psh, by continuity of envelopes. By the remark above, it is then enough to show that $\phi = \text{usc} Q(\phi)$, which is clear by construction.

We now assume $\phi \in \text{PSH}^\uparrow$. Now, by [3, T7.26], we have that $Q(\phi) = \lim_m \text{FS}_m(N_m(\phi))$. Then, by definition, $Q^*(\phi) = \text{FS}(N_\bullet(\phi))$. Since $\phi \in \text{PSH}^\uparrow$, we have that $\phi = Q^*(\phi)$, thus

$$\phi = \text{FS}(N_\bullet(\phi)),$$

which proves the Theorem.

\hfill $\Box$

5.4 The asymptotic Fubini-Study operator descends to a bijection

In this section, we prove the following theorem, which is a generalization of [6, T4.16]:

**Theorem 5.4.1** (Theorem A) Let $(X, L)$ admit continuity of envelopes, with $L$ ample. The asymptotic Fubini-Study operator $\text{FS}$ then defines a bijection

$$N_\bullet(L)/ \sim \to \text{PSH}^\uparrow(L).$$
The proof follows that of the aforementioned theorem. We start with preparatory lemmas:

**Lemma 5.4.2** Assume that $\zeta_\star \geq \zeta'_\star$ pointwise. Then,

$$d_1(\zeta_\star, \zeta'_\star) = 0 \iff \text{FS}(\zeta_\star) = \text{FS}(\zeta'_\star).$$

**Proof** We first notice that, since $\zeta_\star \leq \zeta'_\star$ pointwise, the definition of $d_1$ using successive minima implies

$$d_1(\zeta_\star, \zeta'_\star) = \text{vol}(\zeta_\star, \zeta'_\star),$$

and this volume is equal to 0 by our hypothesis. Using Theorem 5.1.1, we then have that

$$E(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star), \text{FS}(\zeta'_\star)) = 0.$$ But since $\zeta_\star \geq \zeta'_\star$, $\text{FS}(\zeta_\star)$ and $\text{FS}(\zeta'_\star)$ are comparable, and [22, P6.3.2] implies that $\text{FS}(\zeta'_\star) = \text{FS}(\zeta_\star)$. ⊓⊔

**Lemma 5.4.3** Let $\zeta_\star$ be an element of $N_\star(L)$, and assume continuity of envelopes to hold for $(X, L)$. We then have that $\zeta_\star \geq N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star))$, and furthermore those norms are equivalent.

**Proof** The first assertion follows from [3, L7.23] (and its proof). To show asymptotic equivalence, by the previous lemma, it is thus enough to show that $\text{FS}(N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star))) = \text{FS}(\zeta_\star)$. But, by [3, T7.26],

$$\text{FS}(N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star))) = Q(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star)),$$

which in turn is equal to $\text{FS}(\zeta_\star)$ itself, since it is a limit of an increasing net of Fubini-Study potentials. ⊓⊔

We now prove Theorem 5.4.1.

**Proof** Note that

$$d_1(\zeta_\star, \zeta'_\star) = \text{vol}(\zeta_\star, \zeta_\star \vee \zeta'_\star) + \text{vol}(\zeta'_\star, \zeta_\star \vee \zeta'_\star),$$

and by Theorem 5.1.1, the right-hand side is in fact equal to

$$E(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star), \text{FS}(\zeta_\star \vee \zeta'_\star)) + E(\text{FS}(\zeta'_\star), \text{FS}(\zeta_\star \vee \zeta'_\star)). \tag{6}$$

The trick is now to prove the following:

$$\text{FS}(\zeta_\star \vee \zeta'_\star) = Q(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star) \land \text{FS}(\zeta'_\star)),$$

where $\land$ denotes the min operator. Since, by Lemma 5.4.3,

$$\zeta_\star \geq N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star)),$$
$$\zeta_\star \sim N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star)),$$

and the same holds for $\zeta'_\star$, then

$$\zeta_\star \vee \zeta'_\star \geq N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star)) \vee N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta'_\star)),$$
$$\zeta_\star \vee \zeta'_\star \sim N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star)) \vee N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta'_\star)),$$

and furthermore, by [6, (4.4)],

$$N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star)) \vee N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta'_\star)) = N_\star(\text{FS}(\zeta_\star) \land \text{FS}(\zeta'_\star)).$$
Lemma 5.4.2 then implies
\[ \text{FS}(N \cdot (\text{FS}(\zeta) \wedge \text{FS}(\zeta')))) = \text{FS}(\zeta \vee \zeta'), \]
and the left-hand side is equal to \( Q(\text{FS}(\zeta) \wedge \text{FS}(\zeta')) \), by [3, T7.26]. We may now rewrite (6) as:
\[ d_1(\zeta, \zeta') = E(\text{FS}(\zeta), Q(\text{FS}(\zeta) \wedge \text{FS}(\zeta')))) + E(\text{FS}(\zeta'), Q(\text{FS}(\zeta) \wedge \text{FS}(\zeta')))). \]
Now,
\[ \text{FS}(\zeta) \geq Q(\text{FS}(\zeta) \wedge \text{FS}(\zeta')) \]
and
\[ \text{FS}(\zeta') \geq Q(\text{FS}(\zeta) \wedge \text{FS}(\zeta')), \]
so that the two energies above have the same sign. In particular, the distance \( d_1(\zeta, \zeta') \) vanishes if and only if the energies vanish, and we conclude using Lemma 5.4.2. \( \Box \)

Remark 5.4.4 Note that the previous proof shows that there is an expression of the \( d_1 \) distance using Monge–Ampère energies, analogous to [6, C4.21]:
\[ d_1(\zeta, \zeta') = E(\text{FS}(\zeta), Q(\text{FS}(\zeta) \wedge \text{FS}(\zeta')))) + E(\text{FS}(\zeta'), Q(\text{FS}(\zeta) \wedge \text{FS}(\zeta')))). \]

Remark 5.4.5 In light of the construction of a \( d_1 \)-metric on the space of finite-energy metrics \( E^1(L) \) in [22], restricting to the expression
\[ d_1(\phi, \phi') = E(\phi, P(\phi, \phi')) + E(\phi', P(\phi, \phi')) \]
when the metrics \( \phi \) and \( \phi' \) are continuous psh, one can see the asymptotic Fubini-Study operator as giving an injective isometry with dense image of the space of finitely-generated graded norms modulo asymptotic equivalence, into the metric space \( E^1(L) \). Since it is not known whether the envelope \( P \) coincides with the envelope \( Q \) for general metrics approachable from below, such a result does not hold a priori for the entire space of bounded graded norm modulo asymptotic equivalence, although it is conjectured that this is true.
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