1. Introduction

Solid oxide fuel cells (SOFCs) with nanocomposites and/or nanocrystalline oxides as electrolytes have attracted a lot of attention. The electrolyte of the cell is usually composed of two oxides or a single nanocrystalline oxide material, such as a mixture of Gd-doped CeO$_2$ (GDC) and Li–Ni–Zn oxide (LNZ), or a single nano-GDC, which can be used as an electrolyte. In this kind of cell structure, the electrolyte is usually made of a semiconductor material, for which reason this type of fuel cell is also called a semiconductor ionic fuel cell (SIFC).

Unlike traditional SOFC cermet anodes (Ni/YSZ, Ni/GDC) and ceramic oxide cathodes (LSM, LSCF, etc.), lithium containing metal oxides such as Ni$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$LiO$_2$ (NCAL) are commonly used as electrodes in SIFCs. A SIFC shows better electrochemical performance in the low temperature range (300–600 °C) than a traditional SOFC with the same electrolyte thickness. We found in a previous study that the maximum power density of a SIFC with a 0.5 mm La$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ (LST) layer as the electrolyte and nickel foam coated NCAL as the symmetrical electrolyte could reach 908 mW cm$^{-2}$ at 550 °C in H$_2$/air. The excellent catalytic activity and high conductivity of Ni foam coated NCAL electrodes for the HOR and the ORR play an important role in achieving high performance with the LST electrolyte cell. Asghar et al. used a composite of wide bandgap lithium–nickel–zinc-oxide (LNZ) and gadolinium-doped-cerium-oxide (GDC) as the electrolyte to prepare two kinds of cells with Au/GDC-LNZ/Au and Ni foam–NCAL/GDC-LNZ/NCAL–Ni foam structures. It was found that the maximum power density of the cell with Ni foam–NCAL as the electrodes reached 801 mW cm$^{-2}$ at 550 °C, which was higher than that when Au was used as the electrodes (357 mW cm$^{-2}$).

The ionic conductivity of a LST electrolyte is high, e.g. 0.22 S cm$^{-1}$ at 550 °C. The ionic conductivity of eutectic mixtures composed of Ce$_{0.85}$Sm$_{0.15}$O$_2$ (SDC) and Na$_2$CO$_3$, Li$_2$CO$_3$, K$_2$CO$_3$ (NLK) could even reach >0.5 S cm$^{-1}$ at 550 °C. With BaZr$_{0.5}$Y$_{0.5}$O$_3$ (BZY), which is an electrolyte material used in traditional SOFCs, a nanocrystalline electrolyte had an ionic conductivity of 0.33 S cm$^{-1}$ at 550 °C. The ionic conductivity of these electrolytes is much higher than that of the traditional YSZ, GDC, LSGM, and BZY electrolytes densified by sintering at temperatures higher than 1400 °C. For example, the conductivity of GDC and BZY is below 0.05 S cm$^{-1}$ at 550 °C. The new electrolyte structure reported here offers an alternative for improving the low temperature ionic conductivity of SOFC materials.

It is well known that LST is an electronic conductor in a reducing atmosphere, but it is also a semiconductor with
a relatively wide band gap in an air atmosphere. This kind of semiconductor material as the electrolyte does not cause short circuiting inside the fuel cell. Zhou et al. recently used a mixed electronic and ionic conductor, SmNiO$_3$ (SNO), as a SOFC electrolyte with a power output of 225 mW cm$^{-2}$ at 500 °C. Zhou et al. also introduced the concept of strong electron correlation and proposed that the hydrogenation process at the anode of the cell creates an electrically insulating H-SNO-layer on the anode side. Such a layer on the anode transforms the SNO from a mixed conductor into an ionic conductor. Lan et al. reported a SOFC with 0.79 mm thick Li$_3$Al$_{0.5}$Co$_{0.5}$O$_2$ as the electrolyte and obtained 173 mW cm$^{-2}$ at 525 °C, when silver was used as the cathode and anode. Zhu et al. fabricated cells by mixing oxygen ions and the electronic mixed conductor LSCF with other oxide materials as the electrolyte and achieved good electrochemical performance without short circuiting the cell.

These types of electrolytes have a high ionic conductivity. It has been found that a core–shell structure consisting of an oxide core and a carbonate shell can be formed in the composite electrolyte when mixed with a carbonate (e.g. Li$_2$CO$_3$, Na$_2$CO$_3$, K$_2$CO$_3$). Interface conduction of ions seems to play an important role in these cases. However, it is not fully clear why oxide electrolytes without carbonate, such as LST, BZY, La$_{0.7}$Sr$_{0.3}$TiO$_3$, and Sr$_2$Fe$_{1.5}$MoO$_6$O$_{1.5}$, also have high ionic conductivity. The oxide material SrTiO$_3$ (STO) is a perovskite ABO$_3$ semiconductor with a band gap of about 3.2 eV. STO has a fairly high conductivity when doping at A and/or B sites and is thus a promising candidate for an ionic conducting material, but this has not been previously investigated in detail. The main purpose of this paper is to improve the understanding of the ionic conduction mechanisms of a STO semiconductor in a SIFC fuel cell. For this purpose, we prepared a cell with a Ni-foam-NCAL/STO/NCAL-Ni foam structure, which was analysed and characterized in detail, both electrochemically and structurally.

2. Experimental

SrTiO$_3$ powder was synthesized by the sol–gel method. Firstly, SrCO$_3$ was dissolved in nitric acid. Ti[OCH(CH$_3$)$_3$]$_4$ was dissolved in ethylene glycol (volume ratio 1:4) with gentle magnetic stirring. Citric acid was then added into the Ti [OCH(CH$_3$)$_3$]$_4$ and ethylene glycol solution with a Ti cation to citric acid mole ratio of 1:4. The solutions with a stoichiometric ratio of Ti$^{4+}$ aqueous solution to Sr nitric acid were mixed with gentle stirring for 12 h. The precursor solution was heated and stirred continuously for several hours to evaporate the water and form a brown gel. The gel was then dried and pyrolyzed on a hot plate at 530 °C in a ceramic crucible for 2 h. The pyrolyzed STO ash was sintered at 800, 1000, and 1200 °C in air for 5 h, respectively. Phases of the STO powder sintered at different temperatures were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD). A Rigaku D/Max-2500PC X-ray diffractometer with Cu-Kz radiation ($\lambda = 0.15406$ nm) was used (40 kV, 100 mA). The cross-sectional structural morphologies of the cells were obtained using an SSX-550 field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, Japan). The surface compositions and the oxidation state of the STO powder before and after the performance test were analyzed by FTIR absorption spectroscopy and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The XPS spectra were recorded on a Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250Xi XPS system with a monochromatic Al/Mg dual anode X-ray source. The bonding energy and oxidation state of the oxygen can be obtained by high-resolution scanning. NCAL powder was purchased from Tianjin Bamo Science & Technology Joint Stock Ltd., China, for use as the electrode material. Ni foam with a thickness of 2 mm was used as the current collector and cell support. The NCAL powder and terpineol was mixed into a slurry. The slurry was coated on the Ni foam and baked in an oven at 80 °C for 20 min. The Ni-foam coated with NCAL was then cut into a wafer with a diameter of 13 mm. The STO powder was sandwiched between two Ni foam-NCAL pellets and compressed at 360 MPa into a single cell with diameter of 13 mm. The effective area of the as-prepared symmetrical cell Ni-NCAL/STO/NCAL-Ni was 0.64 cm$^2$. The electrochemical performance of the cell was tested with H$_2$ fuel and air oxidant. The flow rate of both H$_2$ and air was 150 ml min$^{-1}$. The impedance of the cells was measured between 0.1 Hz and 1 MHz using a Princeton electrochemical workstation (Versa STAT3) with AC voltage (amplitude 10 mV under open-circuit conditions). The I–V curves of the cells were measured using computerized instruments (IT8511 + 120 V/30 A/150 W).

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of the STO powder after sintering at 800, 1000, and 1200 °C in air for 5 h, respectively. It can be seen that a uniform perovskite phase was formed after sintering at 800 °C and no additional phase was formed. On increasing the sintering temperature to 1000 and 1200 °C, the diffraction peak of STO becomes sharper, and obvious peak splitting occurs at high angles due to two types of Kz X-rays (Kz1 and Kz2) with similar wavelength (Kz1 = 1.54056 Å, Kz2 = 1.54439 Å), which indicates that the STO formed a good cubic structure. The crystallinity of the STO sintered at 800 and 1000 °C
was not good. The best cubic phase structure of STO was formed at 1200 °C. Therefore, the STO sintered at 1200 °C was used as the electrolyte material in this study.

Fig. 2(a) shows the measured electrochemical performance of the test cell in H2. The open circuit voltages of the cell were 0.996, 0.974, and 0.996 V at 450, 500, and 550 °C, respectively. The maximum power densities of the cell were 0.31, 0.44, and 0.62 W cm⁻² at 450, 500, and 550 °C, respectively. Fig. 2(b) shows the corresponding impedance spectra of the cell measured at 450, 500, and 550 °C under open-circuit conditions after the IV–IP test. The first intercept on the real axis in the high-frequency region represents the ohmic resistance, which was 0.51, 0.39, and 0.34 Ω cm² at 450, 500, and 550 °C, respectively. As NCAL is a good cathode material with high electronic conductivity, combining it with nickel foam should result in even higher conductivity,²⁸ meaning that the ohmic resistance of the cell should mainly originate from the STO electrolyte. The difference between the high-frequency and low-frequency intercepts on the real axis corresponds to the polarization resistance, which is composed of several overlapping suppressed arcs reflecting the physical and/or chemical processes associated with the hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) at the anode side and the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) at the cathode side.²⁹ The polarization resistances of the cell were 0.74, 0.36, and 0.26 Ω cm² at 450, 500, and 550 °C, respectively. In our previous study, we found that the Ni foam–NCAL electrode had very good ORR and HOR catalytic activities.³⁰ Li2CO3 and a cation-disordered ‘NiO-like’ phase were formed on the surface of the layered NCAL structure due to prolonged exposure to air and contained a large number of oxygen vacancies, which also provide ion pathways for oxygen ions and the ORR reaction, reducing the activation energy of the reaction. The Li2CO3 seems to originate from atmospheric CO2, as XRD measurements of virgin NCAL did not reveal any presence of Li2CO3, as discussed later in this paper. The Ni foam–NCAL anode was reduced to Ni, Li2CO3, and Li–Co–Al by H2 at the anode,¹⁰ and Li2CO3 may actually play a key role in the conduction of oxygen ions.¹¹

Fig. 3 shows the SEM images of the cross section of the symmetrical cell with a Ni foam–NCAL/STO/NCAL–Ni foam structure. The thicknesses of the Ni foam–NCAL electrode and the STO electrolyte were 0.5 and 0.8 mm, respectively. From Fig. 3(c), it can be seen that the Ni foam–NCAL electrode has pores, while the STO electrolyte has no obvious pores. The magnification of the STO electrolyte shown in Fig. 3(b) shows that the morphology of STO partly remains as powder particles when not sintered at high temperatures. The interface between STO particles is very clear and there is no obvious grain growth phenomenon associated. It should be noted that the conventional YSZ and GDC and other electrolytes must undergo a high temperature sintering densification process to form crystals with a polygonal structure and grain boundaries.

Dense ceramic crystals were produced through sintering at a high temperature to prevent internal leakage of fuel gas. In this case, the high OCV shown in Fig. 2(a) indicates that the STO electrolyte is dense, and can prevent hydrogen permeating through it.

Densifying traditional YSZ, GDC, and LSGM electrolytes though sintering at high temperatures improves the oxygen vacancy and grain boundary diffusion and ionic conduction through the inherent material inside the crystal, whereas the ionic conduction mechanism of the STO electrolyte is different as the tightly contacted interface of the STO particles may provide ion transport paths. Based on the ohmic resistance of the cell, the ionic conductivities of the STO electrolyte were assessed to be 0.16 (450 °C), 0.21 (500 °C), and 0.24 (550 °C) S cm⁻¹, which are an order of magnitude higher than those of the conventional densified electrolytes at the same temperatures. These values are comparable or even higher than those of several composite electrolytes reported for mixed ionic conductors and semiconductors with heterogeneous structures.³⁰,³¹

In our experiment, a high ionic conductivity was achieved with one semiconductor material (STO) only, contrary to mixed ionic-semiconductor heterostructures. In order to better understand the type of charge carriers and the ionic conduction mechanisms of the STO electrolyte, the impedance change of the cell was analyzed in different gas atmospheres. Fig. 4(a) shows the impedance spectrum of the cell operated at 550 °C with air on both sides before the fuel cell performance test. Several suppressed arcs with a total impedance of about 160 kΩ cm² were observed in Fig. 4(a), indicating that the ionic conductivity of the STO electrolyte is very low in this state. Replacing air with H2 (10 min flow) at one side of the cell (Fig. 4(b)) yielded an ohmic resistance of 0.33 Ω cm² at 550 °C and a polarization resistance of 0.27 Ω cm², which is significantly lower than that under the air/air conditions. The H2 in the cell was then purged by N2 and air for 1 h, and the EIS measurement with air/air was repeated. The ohmic resistance of
the cell in air/air now increased to 0.34 $\Omega \text{cm}^2$, which can be observed in Fig. 4(b). That is, when the atmosphere goes back to air/air after the $H_2$/air atmosphere, the total resistance of the cell does not return to the original value of 160 $k\Omega$. It can also be seen from Fig. 4(b) that the ohmic resistance of the cell does not show any obvious change, which could indicate that the oxygen ions could be charge carriers in the STO electrolyte.

To further identify the main charge carrier types in a STO electrolyte, two double-layer electrolyte cells were prepared for ion filtration experiments, using pure oxygen ionic conductor GDC and $\text{SrCe}_{0.95}\text{Y}_{0.05}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ (SCY) pellets with a high proton transfer coefficient as oxygen ion and proton filters, respectively. The thickness of the GDC and SCY pellets was 0.8 mm. A 0.8 mm thick STO electrolyte was first co-pressed with a NCAL-coated Ni foam pellet under 360 MPa to form a half cell. The as-prepared GDC or SCY pellet was sandwiched into one piece with the NCAL coated Ni foam pellet and half-cell of STO/NCAL–Ni foam and assembled into a cell with the GDC/STO or SCY/STO dual electrolyte (see Fig. 5(a)). The GDC/STO or SCY/STO dual electrolyte cell was clamped with a stainless steel fixture; two alumina mesh sheets placed inside the stainless steel fixture were used as electrode supports to bring the electrode and electrolyte close together. The IV–IP curves of the fuel cells with the bi-layer GDC/STO and SCY/STO electrolytes at 550 °C are shown in Fig. 5(b). The OCV of the cell with GDC/STO
was 1.06 V and with SCY/STO was 0.98. The maximum power density of the cell with GDC/STO was 32.3 and with SCY/STO was 16.9 mW cm$^{-2}$. It should be noted that the oxygen ion conductivity of GDC and the proton conductivity of SCY is only $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-2}$ S cm$^{-1}$ at 550 °C, which is much lower than the ionic conductivity of the STO electrolyte prepared in this study.$^{16,32,33}$ The electrochemical performance of the cells with the densified GDC/STO and SCY/STO double layer electrolyte is determined by the thickness of the GDC and SCY. In this study, we used a 0.8 mm thick GDC or SCY electrolyte as the filter layer and the thickness of the STO was 0.8 mm, so the peak power density of the cells with the densified GDC/STO and SCY/STO double layer electrolyte indicates that the charge carriers of the STO electrolyte include both oxygen ions and protons.

STO is a semiconductor material with a band gap of 3.2 eV, whose electrical conductivity is affected by the oxygen partial pressure.$^{35-37}$ The high OCV of the STO electrolyte cell shown in Fig. 2(a) indicates that there is no internal short circuiting within the cell. There could be a similar charge separation mechanism as in a semiconductor-ionic LSCF-SCDC membrane fuel cell, but this aspect will require further verification.$^{38}$ The STO prepared has a typical cubic structure and the bulk phase should have no oxygen vacancies. It has been widely reported that a high-mobility two dimensional electron gas (2DEG) exists at the interface of the insulating oxides SrTiO$_3$ and LaAlO$_3$, and that this conducting interface exhibits interesting phenomena on electron conduction such as high electron mobility and superconductivity.$^{39-42}$ However, observations on the high ionic conductivity of the STO have rarely been reported.

Fig. 6(a) and (b) show the high-angle annular dark field (HAADF)-STEM image and annular bright field (ABF)-STEM image of the STO powder before the performance test. The red and yellow circles in Fig. 6(a) represent the Sr and Ti cations, respectively. STO is a typical ABO$_3$ perovskite oxide.

The spacing between the Sr atoms at the A-site is about 0.39 nm (Fig. 6(a)), which proves that the as-prepared STO is a typical cubic structure. This result is consistent with the XRD results shown in Fig. 1. In the ABF-STEM image in Fig. 6(b), the blue circles between two Sr atoms represent the oxygen atoms. It can be seen that the as-prepared completely stoichiometric STO has no obvious intrinsic oxygen vacancies, so the bulk phase is unlikely to exhibit ionic conductivity. Thus, the interface of the STO particles becomes the most probable path for ionic conduction. According to the EIS results in Fig. 4, the ionic conductivity of STO in different atmospheres is very different, which indicates that the STO electrolyte may undergo phase transition or structural change when hydrogen is introduced, i.e. the conductivity of the STO electrolyte changes greatly when H$_2$ is fed to the anode. Similar phenomena have been found in a cell with a Ni foam–NCAL/LST/NCAL–Ni foam structure using LST as the electrolyte.$^{3}$ When hydrogen is fed into the cell, the conductivity of the STO electrolyte increases from near-insulation to 0.24 S cm$^{-1}$ at 550 °C. To check if any structural changes had taken place in the STO electrolyte, the surface morphology of the STO was characterized by HRTEM before and after the performance test. Fig. 7(a) shows the HRTEM image of the original STO powder synthesized. Fig. 7(b) and (c) show the HRTEM images of the STO powder scraped from the cell after the electrochemical performance testing. From Fig. 7(a)–(c) it can be seen that the interior of the STO particles is a perovskite before and after performance testing, and an amorphous layer is formed on the surface of the STO particles after testing in a fuel cell atmosphere.

Fig. 8(a) and (b) show the C 1s and the O 1s XPS spectra of the as-prepared STO powder and the STO powder scraped from the cell after the performance test. In the C 1s XPS spectra, the peak located at 284 eV is attributed to C–H, and the peak at 289 eV is attributed to CO$_3^{2–}$. From the XPS spectra of C 1s, it can be seen that carbonates appeared on the STO surface after the cell performance test. The peak center located at 528 eV represents the lattice oxygen in the STO, and the peak located at 530 eV is attributed to oxygen in a low oxidation state including the CO$_3^{2–}$ and O–H bonds from adsorbed water molecules and the presence of oxygen defects.$^{43,44}$ The XPS spectra of O 1s also show that a large amount of oxygen with high oxidation state, including oxygen vacancies, OH groups, and carbonates, appear on the STO surface after performance testing. The results of XPS indicate that carbonates enter the STO electrolyte during the performance test. Fig. 9 shows the TGA curves of the STO powder before the test and powder scraped from the cell after
the performance test. It should be noted that the melting point of lithium carbonate is 723 °C.\textsuperscript{45} The TGA curves show a weight loss in the STO at around 720 °C after the performance test, which is very close to the melting point of Li$_2$CO$_3$, which may indicate that the carbonate on the STO surface during the performance test could be lithium carbonate, although we have not detected the Li element directly.

Several researchers have reported that the composite electrolyte composed of lithium carbonate and SDC or GDC has high ionic conductivity.\textsuperscript{14,15} As discussed previously, the STO electrolyte may only allow conduction of oxygen ions and protons through the STO particle interfaces. However, the presence of the alkali carbonate (in this case Li$_2$CO$_3$) on the STO particles leads to a core–shell structure, which may introduce the carbonate ion conduction through the interfaces between the STO oxide phase and the amorphous carbonate phase. In the literature, the Arrhenius plots of electrolytes consisting of a mixture of doped ceria and alkali carbonates show a sharp increase in the ionic conductivity around the eutectic or melting temperature of the alkali carbonates.\textsuperscript{15} The presence of alkali

Fig. 7 HRTEM images of STO powder before and after the performance test: (a) raw powder and (b) and (c) STO powder scraped from the cell after electrochemical performance testing.

Fig. 8 (a) C 1s and (b) O 1s XPS spectra of the as-prepared STO powder and the STO powder scraped from the cell after the performance test.

Fig. 9 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves of the STO powder before the test (black line) and of the STO powder scraped from the cell after the performance test (red line).
carbonates in the doped ceria increased the ionic conductivity of the electrolyte layer by either introducing the carbonate ion conduction or improving the oxygen ion conduction between the carbonate/doped-ceria interface in the electrolyte layer. Similarly, in this STO/Li$_2$CO$_3$ composite electrolyte, carbonate partially melts or softens at high temperatures, forming channels for high-speed ion conduction.\textsuperscript{4,14} In the STO electrolyte cell prepared, lithium carbonate was formed on the STO electrolyte surface after hydrogen was introduced into the anode. The origin of the carbonate is important as no carbonate salt was used in the electrolyte itself. There are only two possible sources for carbonate in this case: CO$_2$ in the air or carbon in the NCAL used in the electrolyte itself. There are only two possible sources for carbonate in this case: CO$_2$ in the air or carbon in the NCAL used in the electrolyte itself. It has earlier been reported that lithium carbonate can be produced on a NCAL surface after long-term exposure to air.\textsuperscript{46} In our previous study we also found the presence of lithium carbonate on commercial NCAL surfaces by XPS and FTIR.\textsuperscript{13} To study the formation of Li$_2$CO$_3$ in NCAL exposed to air, NCAL was prepared and exposed to air for 2 months. Fig. 10 shows the FTIR spectra of pure Li$_2$CO$_3$ powder, commercially purchased NCAL used in this study, and the as-prepared NCAL before and after exposure to air for 2 months. Zhuang et al. reported that the strong peak at 1422 cm$^{-1}$ and shoulder at 1479 cm$^{-1}$ in FTIR spectra of NCAL are the C–O asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes of Li$_2$CO$_3$.\textsuperscript{46}

As can be seen from Fig. 10, there is no obvious Li$_2$CO$_3$ peak on the surface of fresh NCAL powder just prepared. Two adjacent peaks located between 1380 and 1450 cm$^{-1}$ proved that Li$_2$CO$_3$ formed on the surface of NCAL after exposure to air for 2 months and the commercial NCAL used in this study, which means that Li$_2$CO$_3$ already existed on the commercial NCAL surface when purchased. This lithium carbonate may be the main source of the carbonate in the amorphous layer of the STO surface. We hypothesize that Li$_2$CO$_3$ is reduced to LiOH which is in the liquid phase (melting point 462 °C), which could enable entry into the STO electrolyte, but verification of this was outside the scope of the present work. How the carbonate exactly enters into the STO electrolyte still needs further research. In the present study, it was found that the STO and the carbonate produced on the surface of the fuel cell constitute a core–shell composite electrolyte with high ionic conductivity at low temperatures, with oxygen ions, protons and carbonate ions as the main charge carriers. In this core–shell electrolyte, the STO provides a solid support structure, but also improves the ionic conductivity, though the amorphous layer may be the main channel for ion transport. As was earlier reported, the ionic conductivity of an oxide-ion conductor can significantly be enhanced by formation of a heterostructure between the oxide-ion conductor and a semiconductor in a composite.\textsuperscript{2} Such an enhancement of the ionic conductivity has also been reported in YSZ/STO and YSZ-STO/SDC-STO heterostructures.\textsuperscript{30,31}

A detailed and systematic experimental study is also recommended to quantitatively observe the diffusion of lithium carbonate into the STO electrolyte from the STO/NCAL interface. The temperature and the thickness (or concentration) of the lithium carbonate on the STO/NCAL interface affect the composition of the composite electrolyte (STO + Li$_2$CO$_3$). An optimized composition of the electrolyte could improve the electrochemical performance of the cell.

4. Conclusions

A layered Ni foam–Ni$_{0.8}$Co$_{0.15}$Al$_{0.05}$Li$_2$O$_2$ (NCAL)/SrTiO$_3$ (STO)/NCAL–Ni foam structure was investigated for low-temperature (450–550 °C) fuel cell use. The focus was on the underlying electrochemical mechanisms. Different from many previous studies, a single-oxide material was used as the electrolyte base. STO powder was synthesized by the sol–gel method and a symmetrical fuel cell was prepared by the co-press method using a 0.8 mm thick STO electrolyte layer. The maximum power density achieved was 0.62 mW cm$^{-2}$ in H$_2$/air at 550 °C, and the corresponding ionic conductivity of the STO electrolyte was 0.24 S cm$^{-1}$. It was found that the STO electrolyte experiences a major transition in the ionic conductivity after introducing H$_2$ into the cell, which changes it from a near-insulator to a high ionic conductor. The experimental results of densified GDC/STO and SCY/STO double layer electrolyte cells showed that the STO electrolyte is a mixed conductor of protons and oxygen ions when using a Ni foam–NCAL/STO/NCAL–Ni foam structure. XPS, TGA, and HRTEM results indicate that a lithium carbonate layer is formed on the STO surface after performance testing, which constitutes a composite electrolyte with a core–shell structure. This STO–Li$_2$CO$_3$ composite electrolyte has high oxygen ion, proton and carbonate ion conductivity. The carbonate seems to originate to NCAL from the atmospheric CO$_2$ and is transferred from the NCAL electrode to the electrolyte. The mechanism of how lithium carbonate enters the STO electrolyte is a subject for future studies.
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