The roles of BTG1 mRNA expression in cancers: A bioinformatics analysis
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BTG1 (B-cell translocation gene 1) may inhibit proliferation and cell cycle progression, induce differentiation, apoptosis, and anti-inflammatory activity. The goal of this study was to clarify the clinicopathological and prognostic significances of BTG1 mRNA expression and related signal pathways in cancers. Using the Oncomine, TCGA (the cancer genome atlas), xiantao, UALCAN (The University of Alabama at Birmingham Cancer data analysis Portal), and Kaplan-Meier plotter databases, we undertook a bioinformatics study of BTG1 mRNA expression in cancers. BTG1 expression was lower in gastric, lung, breast and ovarian cancer than normal tissue due to its promoter methylation, which was the opposite to BTG1 expression. BTG1 expression was positively correlated with dedifferentiation and histological grading of gastric cancer \((p < 0.05)\), with squamous subtype and young age of lung cancer \((p < 0.05)\), with infrequent lymph node metastasis, low TNM staging, young age, white race, infiltrative lobular subtype, Her2 negativity, favorable molecular subtyping, and no postmenopause status of breast cancer \((p < 0.05)\), and with elder age, venous invasion, lymphatic invasion, and clinicopathological staging of ovarian cancer \((p < 0.05)\). BTG1 expression was negatively correlated with favorable prognosis of gastric, lung or ovarian cancer patients, but the converse was true for breast cancer \((p < 0.05)\). KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) analysis showed that the top signal pathways included cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, cell adhesion molecules, chemokine, immune cell receptor and NF (nuclear factor)-\(\kappa\)B signal pathways in gastric and breast cancer. The top hub genes mainly contained CD (cluster of differentiation) antigens in gastric cancer, FGF (fibroblast growth factor)-FGFR (FGF receptor) in lung cancer, NADH (nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide): ubiquinone oxidoreductase in breast cancer, and ribosomal proteins in ovarian cancer. BTG1 expression might be employed as a potential marker to indicate carcinogenesis and subsequent progression, even prognosis.
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Introduction

BTG1 (B-cell translocation gene 1) is reported to suppress cell proliferation and cell cycle progression, and induce cell differentiation due to its interaction with the myogenic factor MyoD (Busson et al., 2005), protein arginine methyltransferase 1 (Lin et al., 1996), and human carbon catabolite repressor protein-associative factor 1 (Bogdan et al., 1998). BTG1 has also been demonstrated to promote Hoxb9-induced transcription to suppress proliferation in HeLa cells (Prévôt et al., 2000). Additionally, BTG1 mediates the apoptotic induction, as evidenced by BTG1 overexpression in apoptotic cells (Corjay et al., 1998) and the contribution of BTG1 to anti-sense Bcl-2-induced cytotoxicity (Nahta et al., 2006). Liu et al. (2015) found that BTG1 potentiated apoptosis and suppressed proliferation in renal clear cell carcinoma by interacting with PRMT1. BTG1 could reverse the miR-22-induced inhibition of autophagy (Zhang et al., 2015), while miR-4295 significantly promoted proliferation, colony formation, and migration of bladder cancer cell via directly targeting BTG1 (Nan et al., 2016). By inhibiting BTG1, miR-511 strengthened the proliferation of human hepatoma cells, while miR-301A promoted the development of colitis-associated cancer (He et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). BTG1 functioned as a direct target of miR-330-3p, and miR-27a-3p in hepatocellular carcinoma and ovarian cancer cells, thereby weakened cell viability, migration and invasion, and promoted cell apoptosis (Li et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2019). BTG1 was shown to prevent antigen from inducing molecular features of in vitro allergic reactions as a direct target of miR-183-5p (Kim et al., 2020). Ni et al. (2021) found that miR-141-5p enhanced the proliferation and inhibited apoptosis by targeting BTG1 in cervical Cancer cells. Cheng et al. (2021) revealed that the exosomal miR-301a-3p promotes the proliferation and invasion of nasopharyngeal carcinoma squamous cells by targeting BTG1.

Su et al. (2019) reported that BTG1 overexpression triggered G1/S phase cell cycle arrest and increased apoptosis in HCT-116 cells via the ERK (extracellular regulated protein kinases)/MEK (map kinase kinases) signaling pathway. Zhu et al. (2015) showed that BTG1 enhanced the radiation sensitivity of human breast cancer by inducing cell cycle arrest, the formation of reactive oxygen species, chromosomal aberrations and apoptosis via inhibition of the PI3K/Akt signaling pathway. BTG1 overexpression was also found to suppress proliferation, tumor growth and lung metastasis, induce differentiation, autophagy, and apoptosis, and mediate chemosensitivity in colorectal or gastric cancer cells (Zheng et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017). Xue et al. (2021) found that chidamidine triggered BTG1-mediated autophagy and reverses the chemotherapy resistance in relapsed/refractory B-cell lymphoma. However, BTG1 overexpression was found to promote invasion and metastasis of colorectal cancer in our previous study (Zhu et al., 2014; Szász et al., 2016; Zhao et al., 2020).

In the present study, we aimed to clarify the clinicopathological and prognostic significances of BTG1 mRNA expression in cancers by bioinformatics analysis of high-throughput cDNA array and RNA sequencing using online Oncomine, TCGA (the cancer genome atlas), xiantao, UALCAN (The University of Alabama at Birmingham Cancer data analysis Portal) and Kaplan-Meier plotter. According to the cancer types of Kaplan-Meier plotter (before 2018), we chose gastric, lung, breast and ovarian cancers for BTG1 analysis.

Methods

Oncomine database analysis

The individual gene expression level of BTG1 mRNA was analyzed using Oncomine (www.oncomine.org), a cancer microarray database and a web-based data mining platform for a new discovery from genome-wide expression analyses. We compared the differences in BTG1 mRNA levels between normal tissue and cancer. All data were log-transformed, with median centered per array centered and standard deviation normalized to each array.

TCGA database analysis

The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA, https://cancergenome.nih.gov/) database was used to download expression data (RNA-seqV2) and clinicopathological data of gastric (n = 392), lung (n = 865), breast (n = 1,093), and ovarian (n = 304) cancer patients. We integrated the raw data, analyzed BTG1 expression in the cancers, and compared it with clinicopathological and prognostic data from the cancer patients. A student t test was used to compare the means. Kaplan-Meier survival plots were generated with survival curves compared by log-rank statistic. Cox’s proportional hazards model was employed for multivariate analysis. Two-sided p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. SPSS 17.0 software was employed to analyze all the data.

GEO analysis

The mRNA expression profile of GSE38666 (platform: Affymetrix-GPL570) and GSE26712 (platform: Affymetrix-GPL96) was obtained from NCBI GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/), and R was used for the analysis of the
**BTG1 mRNA expression between ovarian cancer and normal tissues.**

**Kaplan-Meier plotter analysis**

The prognostic significance of BTG1 mRNA in gastric, lung, breast and ovarian cancers was also analyzed by the Kaplan-Meier plotter. ([http://kmplot.com](http://kmplot.com)).

**UALCAN analysis**

The expression and methylation of BTG1 gene and the relationship between BTG1 expression and immune cells infiltration were analyzed using UALCAN database ([http://ualcan.path.uab.edu](http://ualcan.path.uab.edu)). They were also compared to clinicopathologic and prognostic parameters associated with gastric, lung, breast, and ovarian cancers.

**Xiantao analysis**

The expression and methylation of BTG1 gene and the relationship between BTG1 expression and immune cells infiltration were analyzed using xiantao platform ([https://www.xiantao.love/](https://www.xiantao.love/)).

Additionally, we found the differential and related genes using xiantao. The PPI (protein-protein interaction) network was built using the differential genes, and the important hub genes were identified. These genes were submitted to KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes) analysis in order to build signal pathways.
Results

The clinicopathological and prognostic significances of BTG1 mRNA expression in gastric cancer

According to Wang’s database, we found that BTG1 mRNA expression was lower in gastric cancer than in normal tissues (Figure 1A, p < 0.05). In TCGA data, BTG1 expression was positively correlated with dedifferentiation and histological grading of gastric cancer (Figure 1B, p < 0.05). Using the xiantao tool, we discovered a negative correlation between BTG1 mRNA and its methylation (cg05819371, cg09918929, cg21381360, and cg08832851) (Figure 1C, p < 0.05). The UALCAN showed that BTG1 methylation was higher in gastric cancer than in normal tissues (Figure 1D, p < 0.05). According to the TCGA data, BTG1 mRNA

TABLE 1 The prognostic significance of BTG1 mRNA in gastric cancer.

| Clinicopathological features          | Overall survival | Progression-free survival |
|----------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
|                                        | Hazard ratio     | p-value                   | Hazard ratio     | p-value |
| Sex                                    |                  |                           |                  |         |
| Female                                 | 0.69 (0.45–1.07) | 0.098                     | 0.65 (0.43–0.99) | 0.045   |
| Male                                   | 1.65 (1.22–2.24) | 0.001                     | 1.45 (1.08–1.94) | 0.012   |
| T2                                    | 1.65 (1.08–2.53) | 0.019                     | 1.44 (0.9–2.32)  | 0.13    |
| T3                                    | 0.75 (0.51–1.08) | 0.12                      | —                | —       |
| T4                                    | 0.54 (0.2–1.48)  | 0.23                      | —                | —       |
| N0                                    | 1.98 (0.84–4.67) | 0.11                      | 1.68 (0.72–3.91) | 0.22    |
| N1–3                                   | 1.29 (0.99–1.69) | 0.062                     | 1.24 (0.92–1.67) | 0.16    |
| N1                                    | 1.49 (0.98–2.27) | 0.063                     | 1.33 (0.89–1.98) | 0.16    |
| N2                                    | 0.72 (0.46–1.13) | 0.15                      | 0.79 (0.51–1.21) | 0.28    |
| N3                                    | 2.07 (1.16–3.71) | 0.013                     | 1.71 (0.97–3.01) | 0.061   |
| M0                                    | —                | —                         | 1.28 (0.94–1.75) | 0.12    |
| M1                                    | 1.37 (0.99–1.91) | 0.058                     | 1.63 (0.85–3.13) | 0.14    |
| TNM staging                            |                  |                           |                  |         |
| I                                      | 1.67 (0.54–5.18) | 0.37                      | 0.5 (0.16–1.57)  | 0.23    |
| II                                     | 2.5 (1.05–5.97)  | 0.032                     | 1.89 (0.84–4.26) | 0.12    |
| III                                    | 0.81 (0.56–1.19) | 0.28                      | 0.74 (0.48–1.13) | 0.16    |
| IV                                     | 1.73 (1.14–2.65) | 0.0099                    | 1.38 (0.92–2.08) | 0.11    |
| Differentiation                        |                  |                           |                  |         |
| Well-differentiated                    | —                | —                         | —                | —       |
| Moderately-differentiated              | 0.62 (0.32–1.21) | 0.16                      | 0.66 (0.35–1.24) | 0.2     |
| Poorly-differentiated                  | 0.61 (0.37–1.01) | 0.05                      | 0.59 (0.37–0.94) | 0.026   |
| Lauren’s classification                |                  |                           |                  |         |
| Intestinal-type                        | 1.49 (1.03–2.13) | 0.031                     | 1.38 (0.95–2.02) | 0.092   |
| Diffuse-type                           | 1.18 (0.82–1.68) | 0.38                      | 0.81 (0.56–1.18) | 0.27    |
| Mixed-type                             | 3.52 (1.11–11.13)| 0.023                     | 0.5 (0.18–1.35)  | 0.16    |
| Her2 positivity                        | —                | —                         | —                | —       |
| −                                      | 1.27 (0.97–1.66) | 0.078                     | 1.23 (0.91–1.65) | 0.18    |
| +                                      | 1.73 (1.11–2.71) | 0.015                     | 1.42 (0.89–2.27) | 0.14    |
| Perforation                            | —                | —                         | —                | —       |
| −                                      | 0.57 (0.38–0.86) | 0.0059                    | 0.61 (0.42–0.9)  | 0.011   |
| Treatment                              |                  |                           |                  |         |
| Surgery alone                          | 1.56 (1.13–2.14) | 0.0063                    | 1.31 (0.96–1.77) | 0.083   |
| 5-FU-based adjuvant                    | 0.21 (0.06–0.71) | 0.0058                    | 0.16 (0.05–0.55) | 0.00095 |
| Other adjuvant                         | 0.6 (0.25–1.47)  | 0.26                      | 1.54 (0.69–3.44) | 0.29    |
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expression was negatively related to the overall survival of the gastric cancer patients (Figure 1E, \( p < 0.05 \)). By the Kaplan-Meier plotter, a higher BTG1 expression was negatively correlated with overall and progression-free survival rates of all cancer patients, male or perforating cancer patients and patients receiving 5-FU-based adjuvant (Figure 1F; Table 1, \( p < 0.05 \)). As shown in Table 1, negative correlation between overall survival and BTG1 expression was found in the patients with stage II and IV, T2, N3, intestinal and mixed or Her2-positive cancers (\( p < 0.05 \)). It was similar for progression-free survival in female, male, or poorly-differentiated cancer patients (\( p < 0.05 \)). Negative association between BTG1 expression and overall prognosis was observed in the cancer patients only receiving surgical operation (Table 1, \( p < 0.05 \)).

The clinicopathological and prognostic significances of BTG1 mRNA expression in lung cancer

According to xiantao (Figure 2A) and UALCAN databases (Figure 2B), BTG1 expression was lower in lung cancer than in normal tissue (\( p < 0.05 \)). In TCGA data, BTG1 expression was higher in squamous cell carcinoma than adenocarcinoma, in male than female cancer patients, and in elder than younger cancer patients (Figure 2C, \( p < 0.05 \)). In UALCAN data, BTG1 expression was lower in N3 than N0, N1 and N2, S2 than S1, and G3 than G1 and G2 cancer (Figure 2D, \( p < 0.05 \)). There was a negative correlation between BTG1 mRNA and methylation (cg20078640, cg05819371, cg09918929, cg06551025, cg13132650, cg04211745, cg04100724, cg21381360, cg25218905 and cg08832851) by xiantao (Figure 2E, \( p < 0.05 \)). BTG1 methylation was higher in lung cancer than normal tissues in UALCAN (Figure 2F, \( p < 0.05 \)). According to Kaplan-Meier plotter, we found that the higher BTG1 expression was negatively correlated with the overall rate of all, male or G2 cancer patients (Figure 2G, \( p < 0.05 \)). The Cox’s risk proportional analysis showed that younger age, lymph node metastasis, TNM staging and BTG1 hypoexpression were independent factors for worse prognosis of the patients with lung cancer (Table 2, \( p < 0.05 \)).
BTG1 was more expressed in breast cancer than in normal tissues (Figure 4A, p < 0.05). TCGA data showed that BTG1 expression was negatively associated with lymph node metastasis and TNM staging of breast cancer (Figure 3C, p < 0.05). There was a negative correlation between BTG1 mRNA and methylation (cg05819371, cg21381360, and cg08832851) by xiantao (Figure 3D, p < 0.05). It was positively related to the infiltration of Th2 cells, Tem, Tcm, CD8+ T cells, NK CD56dim cells, Th1 cells, DC, eosinophils, iDC, macrophages, TFH, neutrophils, T cells, cytotoxic cells, B cells in ovarian cancer (Figure 5D, p < 0.05).

The clinicopathological and prognostic significances of BTG1 mRNA expression in breast cancer

BTG1 was more expressed in breast cancer than in cancer according to xiantao (Figure 3A) and UALCAN databases (Figure 3B, p < 0.05). TCGA database showed that BTG1 expression was negatively associated with lymph node metastasis and TNM staging of breast cancer (Figure 3C, p < 0.05). There was a negative correlation between BTG1 mRNA and methylation (cg05819371, cg09918929, cg21381360, and cg08832851) by xiantao (Figure 3D, p < 0.05). BTG1 methylation was higher in breast cancer than normal tissues (Figure 3E, p < 0.05), N1 than N0, and Luminal than triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) patients (Figure 3F, p < 0.05) by UALCAN. As summarized in Table 3, BTG1 mRNA expression was positively correlated with young patients, white race, infiltrative lobular carcinoma, Her2 negativity, better molecular subtyping, and no postmenopause status (p < 0.05). TCGA data showed that BTG1 expression was positively associated with a high overall survival rate of breast cancer patients (Figure 3G, p < 0.05). According to Kaplan-Meier plotter, a higher BTG1 expression was negatively correlated with the overall survival rates of Dubulk suboptimal and p53-mutant cancer patients (Figure 4C, p < 0.05). Stage I + II, II, II + III, III, and III + IV cancer patients with high BTG1 expression showed a short progression-free survival time than those with its low expression (Figure 4B, p < 0.05). There appeared a negative relationship between BTG1 expression and the overall survival rate of the cancer patients with paclitaxel treatment (Figure 4B, p < 0.05).

The clinical-pathological and prognostic significances of BTG1 mRNA expression in ovarian cancer

Then, we used xiantao database to perform bioinformatics analysis and found that BTG1 expression was lower in ovarian cancers than normal tissues (Figure 4A, p < 0.05), in line with GEO data (GSE38666 and GSE26712, Figure 4B). As shown in Table 4, BTG1 expression was positively associated with elder age, venous invasion, lymphatic invasion, and FIGO (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics) staging of ovarian cancer (p < 0.05). According to Kaplan-Meier plotter, a higher BTG1 expression was negatively correlated with the overall survival rates of Dubulk suboptimal and p53-mutant cancer patients (Figure 4C, p < 0.05). Stage 1 + II, II, II + III, III, and III + IV cancer patients with high BTG1 expression showed a short progression-free survival time than those with its low expression (Figure 4B, p < 0.05). There appeared a negative relationship between BTG1 expression and the overall survival rate of the cancer patients with paclitaxel treatment (Figure 4B, p < 0.05).

The relationship between BTG1 mRNA expression and the infiltrating immune cells in cancers

According to xiantao, BTG1 mRNA expression was positively related to the infiltration of CD8+ T cells, TFH, T cells, cytotoxic cells, DC, B cells, aDC, Th1 cells, iDC, TReg, macrophages, NK cells, eosinophils, mast cells and T helper cells, pDC, NK CD56dim cells and Tem, but negatively to Th17 cells in gastric cancer (Figure 5A, p < 0.05). It was positively related to the infiltration of Tcm and Tgd, but negatively to T helper cells, neutrophils, aDC, NK CD56bright cells, DC, iDC, NK cells, Th1 cells, pDC, CD8+ T cells, TFH, Tem, eosinophils, macrophages and Th17 cells in lung cancer (Figure 5B, p < 0.05). It was positively related to the infiltration of T helper cells, CD8+ T cells, T cells, mast cells, B cells, iDC, TFH, cytotoxic cells, neutrophils, Tcm, Tem, iDC, DC, Tem, macrophages, eosinophils, NK CD56bright cells, pDC, aDC, NK cells, TReg, Tgd and Th17 cells in breast cancer (Figure 5C, p < 0.05). It was positively related to the infiltration of T helper cells, CD8+ T cells, T cells, mast cells, B cells, iDC, TFH, cytotoxic cells, neutrophils, Tcm, Tem, iDC, DC, Tem, macrophages, eosinophils, NK CD56bright cells, pDC, aDC, NK cells, TReg, Tgd and Th17 cells in ovarian cancer (Figure 5D, p < 0.05).

The BTG1-related genes and pathways in cancers

On the xiantao platform, we discovered the genes that differed between low and high expression groups of BTG1 mRNA in cancers. KEGG analysis showed that the top signal
pathways included cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, cell adhesion molecules, chemokine, B cell receptor and NF-κB signal pathways in gastric cancer, focal adhesion, lysosome, cell cycle, MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase), AMPK (Adenosine 5'-monophosphate-activated protein kinase) and TNF (tumor necrosis factor) signal pathways in lung cancer, cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, chemokine, T cell and NF-κB signal pathways in breast cancer, and neural diseases, oxidative phosphorylation, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease and ribosome in ovarian cancer (Figure 6A). In addition, the STRING was used to identify the PPI pairs and the cytoscape to find out the top 10 nodes ranked by degree (Figure 6B). The top hub genes mainly contained CD (cluster of differentiation) antigens in gastric cancer, FGF (fibroblast growth factor)-FGFR (FGF receptor) and downstream genes in lung cancer, mitochondrial NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase in breast cancer and ribosomal proteins in ovarian cancer.

According to the xiantao database, the BTG1-correlated genes in cancers were analyzed and subjected to the KEGG analysis (Figure 7). The BTG1-correlated genes were involved in cell adhesion, chemokine, T cell receptor and PD-L1 signal pathways for gastric cancer, endocytosis, infection-related diseases, and spliceosome, ubiquitin-mediated proteolysis and PD-L1 signal pathway for lung cancer, cytokine-cytokine interaction, cell adhesion molecules, chemokine, B cell receptor and NF-κB signal pathways in breast cancer, and cell cycle, MAPK (mitogen-activated protein kinase), AMPK (Adenosine 5'-monophosphate-activated protein kinase), and TNF (tumor necrosis factor) signal pathways in ovarian cancer.
TABLE 3 The correlation of BTG1 mRNA expression with clinicopathological features of breast cancer.

| Characteristic          | Variables                  | Low expression | High expression | \( p \)-value |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Age (years), n (%)      | \( \leq 60 \)              | 281 (25.9%)    | 320 (29.5%)     | 0.022        |
|                         | >60                        | 260 (24%)      | 222 (20.5%)     | 0.021        |
| Race, n (%)             | Asian                      | 34 (3.4%)      | 26 (2.6%)       |              |
|                         | Black or African American  | 104 (10.5%)    | 77 (7.7%)       |              |
|                         | White                      | 354 (35.6%)    | 399 (40.1%)     |              |
| Histological type, n (%)| IDC                        | 414 (42.4%)    | 358 (36.6%)     | <0.001       |
|                         | LC                         | 66 (6.8%)      | 139 (14.2%)     |              |
| Her2 status, n (%)      | Negative                   | 260 (35.8%)    | 298 (41%)       | 0.003        |
|                         | Indeterminate              | 8 (1.1%)       | 4 (0.6%)        |              |
|                         | Positive                   | 96 (13.2%)     | 61 (8.4%)       |              |
| PAM50, n (%)            | Normal                     | 9 (0.8%)       | 31 (2.9%)       | <0.001       |
|                         | Luminal A                  | 263 (24.3%)    | 299 (27.6%)     |              |
|                         | Luminal B                  | 123 (11.4%)    | 81 (7.5%)       |              |
|                         | Her2+                      | 47 (4.3%)      | 35 (3.2%)       |              |
|                         | Basal-like                 | 99 (9.1%)      | 96 (8.9%)       |              |
| Menopause status, n (%) | Pre                        | 102 (10.5%)    | 127 (13.1%)     | 0.043        |
|                         | Peri                       | 17 (1.7%)      | 23 (2.4%)       |              |
|                         | Post                       | 374 (38.5%)    | 329 (33.8%)     |              |

IDC, infiltrating ductal carcinoma; ILC, infiltrating lobular carcinoma.

receptor interaction, chemokine, JAK-STAT, FoxO and TNF signal pathway for breast cancer, endocytosis, cAMP, oxytoxin, cGMP and GnRH signal pathways for ovarian cancer.

**Discussion**

Overexpression of BTG1 inhibited the proliferation, migration and invasion of hepatocytes, thyroid, nasopharynx, esophagus, breast and non-small cell lung cancer cells and induced apoptosis and cell cycle arrest by downregulating the expression of Cyclin D1, Bcl-2 and MMP-9 (Sun et al., 2014a; Sun et al., 2014b; Sun et al., 2014c; Sun et al., 2014d; Lu et al., 2014; Sheng et al., 2014). In ovarian cancer, BTG1 expression caused lower growth rate, high cisplatin sensitivity, G1 arrest, apoptosis, and decreased migration and invasion by down-regulating the expression of PI3K (phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase), PKB (protein kinase B), Bcl-xL, survivin, VEGF (vascular epithelial growth factor), and MMP (matrix metalloproteinase)-2 (Zhao et al., 2013). The chemosensitivity of BTG1 transfectants to paclitaxel, cisplatin, MG132 (proteasome inhibitor) or SAHA (histone deacetylase inhibitor) was positively correlated with its apoptotic induction of colorectal cancer cells (Zhao et al., 2014). BTG1 overexpression suppressed tumor growth and lung metastasis of ovarian and colorectal cancer cells by inhibiting proliferation, and enhancing autophagy and apoptosis in xenograft models (Zheng et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2017).

Taken together, BTG1 should be used as a molecular target for cancer gene therapy.

Jung et al. (2018) found that BTG1 expression was lower in colorectal cancer than in control, and in metastatic cancer than in primary cancer, due to the hypermethylation of the BTG1 promoter. BTG1 expression was decreased in hepatocellular, thyroid, nasopharyngeal, esophageal, breast, colorectal, and non-small cell lung cancers, and negatively associated with aggressive behaviors (Sun et al., 2014a; Sun et al., 2014b; Sun et al., 2014c; Sun et al., 2014d; Lu et al., 2014; Sheng et al., 2014; Zhu et al., 2014). Decreased BTG1 expression in gastric cancer was positively correlated with depth of invasion, lymphatic and venous invasion, lymph node metastasis, TNM staging and worse prognosis (Zhu et al., 2015), but the lower BTG1 expression in ovarian cancer was positively correlated with FIGO staging (Sheng et al., 2014). Here, we found that BTG1 expression was lower in gastric, lung, breast and ovarian cancer than normal tissue due to its promoter methylation, which was opposite of BTG1 expression. Moreover, BTG1 expression was positively correlated with dedifferentiation and histological grading of gastric cancer, and venous invasion, lymphatic invasion, and TNM staging of ovarian cancer, but negatively associated with lymph node metastasis and TNM staging of breast cancer. In breast cancer, the
relation of BTG1 expression to TNM staging was the opposite to that between BTG1 methylation and TNM staging. BTG1 expression was higher in pulmonary squamous cell carcinoma than in adenocarcinoma, which provided another evidence that squamous cell carcinoma but not adenocarcinoma showed higher BTG1 expression than normal tissue. These findings suggested that aberrant BTG1 expression was positively correlated with carcinogenesis, histogenesis and subsequent progression because of its aberrant promoter methylation. The contradictory data in our and other studies could be attributed to sample selection, different methodologies, and tissue specificity.

Kanda et al. (2015) reported that downregulation of BTG1 mRNA in gastric cancer was positively associated with shorter disease-specific and recurrence-free survival of the patients with gastric cancer as an independent prognostic factor. BTG1 expression was adversely linked to poor prognosis of patients with hepatocellular, thyroid, nasopharyngeal, esophageal, breast, or non-small cell lung cancer (Sun et al., 2014b; Sun et al., 2014c; Sun et al., 2014d; Lu et al., 2014; Sheng et al., 2014). According to the Kaplan-Meier plotter, we found that BTG1 expression was negatively correlated with favorable prognosis of gastric, lung, or ovarian cancer patients, but not for breast cancer patients. The correlation between BTG1 expression
and prognosis of the cancer patients did not parallel with the alteration in BTG1 expression in cancer tissues. Additionally, TCGA data showed the same results about the prognostic significance of BTG1 expression to Kaplan-Meier plotter’s in gastric and breast cancers although Kaplan-Meier plotter is based on cDNA array and the TCGA experiment on RNA sequencing. The tissue specificity might account for the phenomenon of the relationship between BTG1 expression and prognosis.

Reportedly, human papillomavirus E7 peptide (p11-20) induced the pro-apoptotic BTG1 expression in mature dendritic cells from human peripheral blood monocytes (Bard et al., 2004). BTG1 has been discovered to regulate quiescence in naïve and memory T cells (Kim et al., 2022). Loss of BTG1 expression causes glucocorticoid resistance both by decimating glucocorticoid receptor (GR) expression and the recruitment of BTG1/PRMT1 complex to GR promoter (van Galen et al., 2010). In the present study, we found that BTG1 mRNA expression was closely linked to the infiltration of immune cells, indicating the possible role of BTG1 in immunotherapy in future. The relationship between BTG1 expression and infiltrating immune cells in gastric, breast and ovarian cancer was opposite to that in lung cancer, which might be attributable to its inclusion of lung adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma.

Because m6A-hypomethylation regulated FGFR4 phosphorylates GSK-3β and activates β-catenin/TCF4 signaling to drive anti-HER2 resistance, FGFR4 inhibition enhances susceptibility to anti-HER2 therapy in resistant breast cancer by reducing glutathione synthesis and Fe2+ efflux efficiency via the β-catenin/TCF4-SLC7A11/FPN1 axis and labile iron pool accumulation (Zou et al., 2022). Reportedly, TNBCs were classified into immune Phenotypes A and B.

### TABLE 4 The correlation of BTG1 mRNA expression with clinicopathological features of ovarian cancer.

| Characteristic | Variables | Low expression | High expression | p-value |
|---------------|-----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| Age (years), n (%) | ≤60       | 112 (29.6%)     | 96 (25.3%)     | 0.108   |
|               | >60       | 77 (20.3%)      | 94 (24.8%)     |         |
| Venous invasion, n (%) | No       | 21 (20%)        | 20 (19%)       | 0.480   |
|               | Yes       | 27 (25.7%)      | 37 (35.2%)     |         |
| Lymphatic invasion, n (%) | No       | 30 (20.1%)      | 18 (12.1%)     | 0.036   |
|               | Yes       | 43 (28.9%)      | 58 (38.9%)     |         |
| FIGO stage, n (%) | Stage 1   | 0 (0%)          | 1 (0.3%)       | 0.022   |
|               | Stage 2   | 12 (3.2%)       | 11 (2.9%)      |         |
|               | Stage 3   | 138 (36.7%)     | 157 (41.8%)    |         |
|               | Stage 4   | 38 (10.1%)      | 19 (5.1%)      |         |

FIGO, federation of gynecology and obstetrics.
according to the infiltration of stromal γδ T cells, CD4+ T cells, monocytes, M1 and M2 macrophages. In Phenotype A, there were rich immune-related pathways, and a stronger level of PD-L1, PD-1 and CTLA-4 (Zheng et al., 2020). Here, we found that BTG1 mRNA expression was remarkably linked to the infiltration of immune cells, Her2 expression and molecular subtyping of breast cancer, demonstrating that BTG1 would be employed to guide the target therapy for breast cancer patients.

KEGG analysis demonstrated that the BTG1-related pathways included cytokine-cytokine receptor interaction, cell adhesion...
molecules, chemokine, immune cell receptor and NF-κB signal pathways in gastric and breast cancers. The top hub genes mainly contained CD antigens in gastric cancer, FGF-FGFR and downstream genes in lung cancer, mitochondrial NADH:ubiquinone oxidoreductase in breast cancer, and ribosomal proteins in ovarian cancer respectively. These bioinformatics data provided novel clues to the molecular mechanisms of BTG1 in malignancies.

In conclusion, aberrant BTG1 mRNA expression was closely linked to carcinogenesis, cancer aggressiveness, and worse prognosis of cancer patients in a tissue-specific manner. The study’s limitation is that the results from the Oncomine, TCGA, xiantao, UALCAN, and Kaplan-Meier plotter datasets were not validated using real-time RT-PCR, even after laser capture dissection because the specificity of cDNA chip or RNA sequencing is not enough high.
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