BACKGROUND: Increases in heart rate are thought to result in incomplete left ventricular (LV) relaxation and elevated filling pressures in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). Experimental studies in isolated human myocardium have suggested that incomplete relaxation is a result of cellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} overload caused by increased myocardial Na\textsuperscript{+} levels. We tested these heart rate paradigms in patients with HFpEF and referent controls without hypertension.

METHODS AND RESULTS: In 22 fully sedated and instrumented patients (12 controls and 10 patients with HFpEF) in sinus rhythm with a preserved ejection fraction (≥50%) we assessed left-sided filling pressures and volumes in sinus rhythm and with atrial pacing (95 beats per minute and 125 beats per minute) before atrial fibrillation ablation. Coronary sinus blood samples and flow measurements were also obtained. Seven women and 15 men were studied (aged 59±10 years, ejection fraction 61%±4%). Patients with HFpEF had a history of hypertension, dyspnea on exertion, concentric LV remodeling and a dilated left atrium, whereas controls did not. Pacing at 125 beats per minute lowered the mean LV end-diastolic pressure in both groups (controls −4.3±4.1 mm Hg versus patients with HFpEF −8.5±6.0 mm Hg, \(P=0.08\)). Pacing also reduced LV end-diastolic volumes. The volume loss was about twice as much in the HFpEF group (controls −15%±14% versus patients with HFpEF −32%±11%, \(P=0.009\)). Coronary venous [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] increased after pacing at 125 beats per minute in patients with HFpEF but not in controls. [Na\textsuperscript{+}] did not change.

CONCLUSIONS: Higher resting heart rates are associated with lower filling pressures in patients with and without HFpEF. Incomplete relaxation and LV filling at high heart rates lead to a reduction in LV volumes that is more pronounced in patients with HFpEF and may be associated with myocardial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} retention.
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Hypertensive heart disease with associated diastolic dysfunction is a common substrate for both atrial fibrillation (AF) and heart failure (HF) with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF).\textsuperscript{1,2} It is commonly assumed that heart rate (HR) lowering should benefit patients with HFpEF because it provides more time for ventricular filling, whereas higher HRs are detrimental because of an increase in filling pressures.\textsuperscript{3,4} In light of this, it is not surprising that ≈80% of patients with HFpEF in recent clinical trials were noted to be taking β-blockers.\textsuperscript{5}

Changes in HR and stroke volume are critical components of the heart’s capacity to adapt to a change in demand. With exercise, HR rises along with an increase in myocardial contractility, ventricular filling rate, and arterial vasodilation, which combine to
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increase stroke volume and cardiac output. These changes are in part attributable to a rise in adrenergic tone and the action of skeletal muscle pumps to increase systemic venous return. In healthy individuals, exercise is not associated with a significant rise in left ventricular (LV) filling pressures. In patients with HFpEF, however, a pronounced rise in LV filling pressures at higher HRs is an important determinant of exercise intolerance. This unfavorable hemodynamic-mechanical effect in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.

What Is New?

- Moderate heart rate elevations by atrial pacing results in left ventricular volume loss that is much more pronounced in patients who have heart failure with preserved ejection fraction than in controls without hypertension and is associated with myocardial Ca²⁺ retention.
- This is the first in vivo observation that links myocardial Ca²⁺ handling with a functionally relevant hemodynamic-mechanical effect in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Higher heart rates in both controls and patients who have heart failure with preserved ejection fraction are associated with lower and not higher filling pressures. This physiological response may present a therapeutic target.

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

| Abbreviation | Definition |
|--------------|------------|
| AF           | atrial fibrillation |
| BP           | blood pressure |
| bpm          | beats per minute |
| HF           | heart failure |
| HFpEF        | heart failure with preserved ejection fraction |
| HR           | heart rate |
| LA           | left atrial |
| LV           | left ventricular |
| SR           | sarcoplasmic reticulum |

Translational studies have revealed that incomplete relaxation at higher HRs is in part caused by impaired cardiomyocyte Ca²⁺ handling. In myocardium from patients with hypertensive heart disease and HFpEF, diastolic Ca²⁺ levels cannot be restored to normal (Ca²⁺ overload) at higher HRs, which results in diastolic myofilament activation manifested as incomplete relaxation. The mechanism commonly offered to explain Ca²⁺ overload is an increase in cardiac myocyte Na⁺ levels that lowers the driving force for cellular Ca²⁺ extrusion via the Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger.

Demonstration of elevated filling pressures and incomplete relaxation at higher HRs along with evidence for their underlying mechanisms have been derived from exercise studies and in vitro studies of maximally loaded isolated myocardium. We have recently raised the concern that inferences from these studies have been incorrectly applied to patients with HFpEF and proposed that moderate HR elevations, and not HR lowering, would result in lower filling pressures in patients with HFpEF. Based on clinical observations we also propose that a major effect of moderate elevation of resting HRs is a marked reduction in LV diastolic volume that could be the result of an Na⁺- and Ca²⁺-dependent residual diastolic myocardiopathy activation. In the present study, we tested this in fully sedated patients with HFpEF who had underlying hypertensive heart disease and in controls without hypertension undergoing radiofrequency ablation for paroxysmal AF.

We studied patients who met the enrollment criteria only if they were in sinus rhythm. The instrumentation routinely employed in AF ablation procedures allowed us to make invasive measurements with minimal excess procedural risks. Patients without hypertension who were deemed to have “vagua mediated” paroxysmal AF and with no other significant comorbidities were enrolled as referent controls.

METHODS

The authors declare that all supporting data are available within the article. Requests for details of the analytic methods and study materials should be made to the corresponding author.

Study Population

The study was conducted between December 2015 and April 2019 and was approved by the University of Vermont’s (UVM’s) institutional review board. A total of 523 patients scheduled to undergo AF ablation were screened for the inclusion/exclusion criteria specified below. Twenty-six patients met the criteria and provided informed consent. Three of these patients could
not be studied for procedural reasons, and 1 patient was found to have a pulmonary mass before the procedure, resulting in a total of 22 studied patients (12 controls and 10 patients with HFpEF).

**Exclusion Criteria**
Patients were excluded if they had ≥1 of the following: any LV regional wall motion abnormality, LV ejection fraction <50%, LV end-diastolic volume index >75 mL/m², more than moderate valvular disease by echocardiography, normal regional wall motion, and LV end-diastolic volume index ≤75 mL/m² were eligible. Patients who met these inclusion criteria were further categorized as follows: (1) referent controls with no history of hypertension and/or HF (criteria below) and no concentric LV remodeling or hypertrophy (criteria below), and (2) patients with HFpEF with a history of hypertension and a hospitalization for decompensated HF (adjudicated to be primarily caused by HF rather than AF) within the previous 2 years or dyspnea on exertion (New York Heart Association class ≥2) and echocardiographic evidence of concentric LV remodeling or overt hypertension (relative wall thickness >0.42 or LV mass >115 g/m² in men/>95 g/m² in women). The presence of sinus rhythm was confirmed by 12-lead ECG and continuous ECG recordings at the time of the study. H2FPEF scores were calculated for all patients with HFpEF.21

**Baseline Echocardiogram**
Preprocedural transthoracic echocardiograms were performed to assess LV concentric remodeling or hypertrophy using the aforementioned partition values in line with guideline-recommended linear assessments and calculations from the parasternal long and short axis.22 Chamber volumes were assessed from an optimized LV apical view that avoided foreshortening.

**Instrumentation and Ablation Procedure**
All patients presented in a fasting state with all medications held. After informed consent was obtained, all patients underwent general anesthesia, preprocedural transthoracic echocardiograms to rule out left atrial (LA) appendage thrombus, and invasive blood pressure (BP) monitoring from the left radial artery. Right and left femoral venous access was obtained by modified Seldinger technique. Following administration of heparin given as a weight-based bolus to reach a target activated clotting time of >300 seconds, standard multielectrode catheters were placed in the right atrial appendage and coronary sinus or great cardiac vein. An intracardiac ultrasound probe was placed in the right atrium (8F: AcuNav, ACUSON). A double transseptal puncture was performed to insert an irrigated ablation catheter and multielectrode mapping catheter in the left atrium via 2 SL1 sheaths (St. Jude Medical). LA pressure tracings were obtained during transseptal puncture and subsequently with pacing from the right atrial appendage. All of these invasive procedures are routinely performed during AF ablation procedures at the UVM Medical Center.

**Experimental Measurements**
The following measurements and samples were obtained sequentially (1 through 6) beginning at each patient’s baseline HR (in sinus rhythm), followed by pacing from the right atrial appendage at a rate of 95 beats per minute (bpm) and then at a rate of 125 bpm. Pacing was maintained for 60 to 120 seconds at each rate before measurements were made to establish steady-state conditions.

1. **Invasive radial artery pressure** recorded in sinus rhythm and at 95 bpm and 125 bpm.
2. **Coronary sinus blood samples**: The SL1 sheath was advanced into the coronary sinus for blood sampling. The following were measured in sinus rhythm and during and after pacing at 125 bpm: venous blood gases, pH, and serum [Na⁺], [Ca²⁺], and [K⁺]. Two after-pacing samples were obtained, 1 within 0 to 15 seconds of stopping pacing at 125 bpm and a second 15 to 60 seconds after pacing cessation. All samples were drawn into a 5-cc heparin-coated arterial blood gas syringe and placed on ice. Immediately following collection, the samples were analyzed in the clinical pathology laboratory.
3. **LV volumes**: Echocardiographic volume measurements were obtained from recorded video clips from
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the intracardiac ultrasound probe. Volumes were derived by the modified Simpson equation in accordance with echocardiographic guidelines. Individual data points represent the average of 3 measurements at baseline, 95 bpm, and 125 bpm. To illustrate the sequential effects of increasing HRs on LV dimensions, low-speed M-mode tracings were obtained while the HR was increased to 95 bpm and 125 bpm within 1 screen.

4. Coronary sinus blood flow: The coronary sinus cross-sectional area was obtained using the intracardiac ultrasound probe at rest followed by pulsed-wave Doppler recordings of blood flow. Mean coronary flow velocities were obtained from the recordings.

5. LA blood sample: After the transseptal puncture, a blood sample was obtained from the left atrium to determine arterial blood gases and N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide levels.

6. LA pressure: Mean LA and LA pressure at the time of LV end-diastolic pressure (determined at the peak of the R wave of the QRS complex) in sinus rhythm and at 95 and 125 bpm.

Statistical Analysis
Data are presented as means, SDs, and standard errors for graphs or medians and interquartile ranges for pooled composite graphs. Controls and patients with HFpEF were compared using 1-way fixed effects ANOVA models for continuous items (baseline to 125 bpm). Paired Student t test was used for within-group comparisons without repeated measures. Multiple comparisons to a baseline control, eg, BP or changes in Ca²⁺, were evaluated with a Dunnett test to maintain the overall type I error rate. The clinical control and HFpEF baseline characteristics were compared by Student t test for continuous items and a Fisher exact test for categorical variables. Statistical sensitivity analyses were performed using nonparametric testing to explore for potential issues with ANOVA assumptions. Percentage change scores were examined by unpaired t and Mann-Whitney tests. Formal tests utilized a 5% significance level. Parametric P values confirmed by nonparametric testing are reported. Data analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prism 8.

RESULTS
Study Population and Baseline Characteristics
The baseline characteristics of the enrolled study participants are listed in Table 1. The average age was 59±10 years, 32% were women, and the average ejection fraction was 0.61±0.04. The mean HR at baseline sinus rhythm was 67±8 bpm. The body mass index was significantly higher in patients with HFpEF versus controls. All but 1 of the patients with HFpEF were receiving >1 BP-lowering medication. The mean HfFPEF score for enrolled patients with HFpEF was 6.7±0.8 (ranging from 6 to 8), corresponding to an average HfFPEF probability of 0.93 (ranging from 0.91 to 0.98).  

| Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Enrolled Patients |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Patient Data | Controls n=12 | Patients With HFpEF n=10 | P value |
|---------------|---------------|------------------------|--------|
| Age, y        | 57±9          | 61±10                  | 0.33   |
| Women, %      | 25            | 40                     | 0.65   |
| HR, bpm       | 65±7          | 70±9                   | 0.21   |
| BMI, kg/m²    | 28±6          | 36±7                   | 0.01   |
| BSA, m²       | 2.06±0.31     | 2.12±0.33              | 0.65   |
| sBP, mm Hg    | 126±8         | 134±9                  | 0.04   |
| DBP, mm Hg    | 70±15         | 75±9                   | 0.78   |
| ACEI/ARB, No. (%) | 1(8)   | 7(70)                  | 0.027  |
| ccb, No. (%)  | 4(33)         | 1(10)                  | 0.32   |
| Diuretic, No. (%) | 0(0)   | 0(0)                   |        |
| β-Blocker, No. (%) | 4(33) | 8(80)                  | 0.04   |
| NT-proBNP, pg/mL | 184±254 | 274±255                | 0.41   |
| CAD, No. (%)  | 0(0)          | 2(20)                  | 0.19   |
| Echocardiography |
| EF, %         | 61±4          | 61±5                   | 0.83   |
| Septum, mm    | 9.3±0.6       | 12.4±1.8               | <0.001 |
| Posterior wall, mm | 8.5±0.8 | 11.3±1.3               | <0.001 |
| LVEDD, mm     | 50±5          | 48±4                   | 0.26   |
| LVESD, mm     | 34±5          | 30±6                   | 0.12   |
| RWT           | 0.34±0.3      | 0.48±0.07              | <0.001 |
| LVM, g        | 162±40        | 218±35                 | 0.002  |
| LVM/BSA       | 79±16         | 103±13                 | 0.002  |
| LVM/H         | 92±21         | 132±20                 | <0.001 |
| LVM/H².7      | 36±8          | 58±17                  | <0.001 |
| LA volume, mL | 52±19         | 84±22                  | 0.003  |
| LA volume/BSA | 24±7          | 35±12                  | 0.008  |
| E, cm/s       | 78±19         | 84±15                  | 0.52   |
| E/e' med      | 7.3±2.6       | 11.3±4.2               | 0.06   |
| E/e' lat      | 7.0±3.2       | 8.5±1.97               | 0.36   |

A indicates A-wave peak velocity; ACEI/ARB, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker; BMI, body mass index; bpm, beats per minute; BSA, body surface area; CAD, coronary artery disease; CCB, calcium channel blocker; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; E, wave peak velocity; E/E’med, ratio of mitral peak velocity of early filling (E) to early diastolic septal mitral annular velocity (E’); E/E’lat, ratio of mitral peak velocity of early filling (E) to early diastolic lateral mitral annular velocity; EF, ejection fraction; HfFPEF, heart failure with preserved ejection fraction; HR, heart rate; LA, left atrial; LVEDD, left ventricular end-diastolic diameter; LVESD, left ventricular end-systolic diameter; LVM, left ventricular mass; LVM/H, left ventricular mass to height ratio; LVM/H².7, allometric left ventricular mass index; NT-proBNP, N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide; RWT, relative wall thickness; and SBP, systolic blood pressure.
Baseline Echocardiographic Characteristics

Baseline echocardiographic characteristics are listed in Table 1. As specified in the inclusion/exclusion criteria, patients with HfPfEF met criteria for LV hypertrophy or concentric remodeling. In addition, the LA volume index was significantly higher in patients with HfPfEF compared with controls. There was a trend towards a higher medial E/e'.

LA and LV Filling Pressure Response to HR

Figure 1 shows presentative LA tracings from a control patient and a patient with HfPfEF at the 3 HRs. From baseline to 125 bpm, the mean LA pressure at LV end-diastolic pressure decreased on average by 20% and 35%, respectively (mean LA pressure: controls 15.3±5.8 to 11.4±4.1 mm Hg and patients with HfPfEF 16.8±6.0 to 12.3±2.6 mm Hg; LV end-diastolic pressure: controls 15.0±4.4 to 10.2±4.1 mm Hg and patients with HfPfEF 16.6±5.4 to 8.9±3.0 mm Hg, all P<0.05). There was a trend towards a greater reduction of LV end-diastolic pressure in patients with HfPfEF (controls −4.3±4.1 mm Hg and patients with HfPfEF −8.5±6.0 mm Hg, P=0.08) also shown in Figure 2.

Radial Arterial BP and Coronary Sinus Flow

Systolic BP in controls significantly increased with pacing to 95 bpm (P<0.05) and then plateaued at 125 bpm as shown in Figure 3. There was no change in systolic BP in HfPfEF. Diastolic arterial BP increased from baseline
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**Figure 1.** LA Pressures at Baseline Sinus Rhythm and During Atrial Pacing.
Left atrial (LA) pressures at baseline sinus rhythm and during atrial pacing at 95 beats per minute (bpm) and then 125 bpm in a control patient and in a patient with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HfPfEF) as demonstrative examples.
with pacing in controls (95 bpm and 125 bpm, both \( P<0.05 \)) but not in patients with HFpEF. The increase in HR from baseline sinus rhythm to 125 bpm resulted in a near doubling of the coronary sinus blood flow in both groups without changes in oxygen saturation (Table 2).

**LV Chamber Size Response to HR**

Compared with baseline LV end-diastolic volumes (left ventricular end-diastolic volume per body surface area) in sinus rhythm pacing at 125 bpm significantly decreased chamber volumes in both groups as shown in Figure 4 (controls 48.1±10.6 mL/m² to 41.7±10.5 mL/m² and patients with HFpEF 37.0±8.9 mL/m² to 25.3±8.2 mL/m², both \( P<0.05 \)). End-systolic volumes were reduced in HFpEF (controls 19.1±5.7 mL/m² to 17.9±5.6 mL/m² \( [P=0.10] \) and patients with HFpEF 14.4±4.6 mL/m² to 10.1±4.6 mL/m² \( [P<0.001] \)). The relative reduction in diastolic LV chamber dimensions was much more pronounced in patients with HFpEF (−32%±11%, versus controls −15%±14%, \( P<0.01 \)). The pronounced LV volume loss in patients with HFpEF is also demonstrated in the M-mode tracing (Figure 5).

**Ca²⁺ and Na⁺ Levels**

In controls there were no significant changes in coronary sinus \([Ca^{2+}]\), \([Na^+]\), or \([K^+]\) immediately following pacing at 125 bpm (Table 3). In contrast, in patients with HFpEF there was a significant increase in \([Ca^{2+}]\) after pacing at 125 bpm (Figure 6) without any significant changes in \([Na^+]\) or \([K^+]\) levels.

**DISCUSSION**

We studied the effects of increased HRs produced by atrial pacing in anesthetized patients with and without hypertensive heart disease–associated HFpEF. A rise in HR had the following effects:

1. Left-sided filling pressures decreased in controls and patients with HFpEF.
2. LV volumes decreased. The end-diastolic volume loss was approximately twice as pronounced in patients with HFpEF as in controls.
3. Systolic arterial BPs rose significantly in controls but not in patients with HFpEF.
4. Based on coronary sinus blood samples, tachycardia-induced myocardial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} retention occurs in patients with HFpEF but not in controls. Na\textsuperscript{+} and K\textsuperscript{+} are unaffected.

The expectation that high HRs are always associated with incomplete relaxation and a rise in LV filling pressures in patients with HFpEF is derived from exercise studies. During exercise, patients with HFpEF will demonstrate a marked increase in LV filling pressures at higher HRs in contrast to healthy patients.\textsuperscript{11} Multivariate physiologic modeling also predicts that a selective increase in HR increases filling pressures.\textsuperscript{4} However, the present study demonstrates that an isolated increase in HR has the opposite effect and significantly lowers both LA and LV filling pressures in patients with and without HFpEF. The loss in LV volume at a higher HR is consistent with the reduction in filling pressure, but the much greater loss in patients with HFpEF suggests an abnormality in diastolic properties.

Hemodynamic Effects of Higher HRs at Rest and With Exercise

A review of the literature reveals that a reduction in LV filling pressures with HR elevations caused by atrial pacing has been documented as an ancillary finding in a small number of studies in both large animals and humans, with the latter including patients with HFpEF.\textsuperscript{19} Other key findings from these studies are that higher HRs accelerate both contraction and relaxation, reflected in a higher +dP/dt and -dP/dt and a shortened time constant of isovolumic pressure decline (\textit{tau}). End-systolic elastance, the slope of the end-systolic pressure-volume relation, a measure of contractility, increases with higher HRs.

It is important to distinguish between the HR-induced changes in response to atrial pacing in patients at rest from the much more complex changes that occur during exercise. Principal differences include increased adrenergic tone, which results in

| Table 2. CS and LA Blood Measurements |
|--------------------------------------|
|                                      |
| **Controls**                         |
| No. | Baseline | 125 bpm | \textit{p} Value |
|-----|----------|---------|------------------|
| Oxygen saturation                     | 9        | 78±12    | 77±11 ns        |
| CS flow, mL/min                       | 6        | 248±68   | 452±169 0.01   |
| M\textsubscript{VO2}, mL/min          | 6        | 12±3     | 18±6 0.005     |
| M\textsubscript{VO2}, mL/min per 100 g | 6        | 7±3      | 11±5 0.01      |
| pH                                   | 9        | 7.33±0.05| 7.31±0.04 ns   |

| **HFpEF**                             |
| No. | Baseline | 125 bpm | \textit{p} Value |
|-----|----------|---------|------------------|
| Oxygen saturation                     | 9        | 74±9     | 75±9 ns         |
| CS flow, mL/min                       | 9        | 294±152  | 523±179 0.002  |
| M\textsubscript{VO2}, mL/min          | 7        | 19±16    | 28±17 0.01     |
| M\textsubscript{VO2}, mL/min per 100 g | 7        | 10±8     | 14±9 0.01      |
| pH                                   | 9        | 7.30±0.03| 7.31±0.03 ns   |

Baseline vs 125 beats per minute (bpm): Control patients’ coronary sinus (CS) blood sample measurements compared with those of patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF) at baseline heart rate and at paced heart rate of 125 bpm. LA indicates left atrial; M\textsubscript{VO2}, myocardial oxygen consumption; and ns, nonsignificant.
larger changes in contractility-relaxation dynamics; the action of skeletal muscle pumps, which increases systemic venous return; and adjustments to peripheral resistance. During exercise in healthy patients, these additional effects combine to leave filling pressures relatively unaffected, whereas in patients with HFpEF exercise causes filling pressures to markedly increase.\textsuperscript{9–11}

**Bowditch-Treppe Effect**

Higher HRs lead to an increase in contractility in normal myocardium. This intrinsic myocardial property (often referred to as the Treppe effect, or a positive force-frequency relationship) was first described by Bowditch in 1871.\textsuperscript{24} Isolated isometrically contracting normal human myocardium displays an increase in systolic force up to stimulation frequencies of between 120 to 170 per minute; after which force declines.\textsuperscript{25,26} As the frequency increases, the relaxation rate also increases and relaxation time shortens. Under these fixed loading conditions, diastolic force eventually rises when, despite the increased relaxation rate, the diastolic interval becomes so short that relaxation can no longer reach completion.\textsuperscript{12,13,27,28}

These cumulative changes in contraction-relaxation dynamics are largely accounted for by cardiomyocyte Ca\textsuperscript{2+} handling through an activation of the sarcoplasmic reticulum (SR) Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ATPase 2a pump. This accelerates cellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sequestration into the SR resulting in shortened relaxation (lusitropic effect of HR) and an increased SR Ca\textsuperscript{2+} content available for the ensuing contraction, thus increasing contractility (inotropic effect of HR).\textsuperscript{17,29} This is primarily regulated by protein kinase A–mediated phosphorylation of the SR protein phospholamban, a Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent modulator of SR Ca\textsuperscript{2+} ATPase 2a.\textsuperscript{30,31} Increased contractility also contributes to lower filling pressures by increased torsional deformation and early diastolic recoil (untwisting) that leads to filling by suction.\textsuperscript{32} A major reason
Silverman et al Heart Rate and HFpEF

in vitro findings cannot be directly extrapolated to effects at higher HRs in vivo is because the reductions in filling times and preload—reflected in lower LA pressure—that occur in the intact heart.

**HR Effect on LV Volumes, Ca²⁺, and Clinical Translation**

We show that a major effect of pacing is an LV volume loss that is more pronounced in patients with HFpEF. Although a shorter filling time provides a principle explanation for the volume loss, it fails to provide an explanation for the observed differences between controls and patients with HFpEF. Smaller LV volumes at higher HRs are paralleled by increased myocardial Ca²⁺ levels that shift the left ventricle to a more leftward and steeper end-systolic pressure volume relationship. With the HR-dependent increase in cellular Ca²⁺ load, cytoplasmic Ca²⁺ levels reach a point where diastolic crossbridge deactivation is progressively incomplete, leaving the myocardium in an activated and energy-consuming state. At this stage, compensatory cellular Ca²⁺ extrusion via the Na⁺/Ca²⁺ exchanger is insufficient to restore normal Ca²⁺ levels in diastole. This is further compounded by a Ca²⁺ leak from the SR that is more pronounced at high Ca²⁺ loads. The same mechanisms eventually come to play in normal myocardium albeit at significantly higher HRs.

Residual diastolic crossbridge activation leads to shortened myocytes in diastole. At the whole organ level this translates into a reduction in LV diastolic volumes, as observed in this study. It is of clinical relevance that an acceleration of SR Ca²⁺ uptake by protein kinase A–mediated mechanisms exacerbates the volume loss despite an acceleration of LV relaxation. This is apparent during dobutamine stress testing where comparable HR elevations lead to a much more pronounced LV volume loss than pacing or HR elevations during exercise.

The ensuing energetic cost of diastolic myofilament activation at high HRs can be so profound that it exceeds the energy requirement for contraction. Diastolic myocardial activation is therefore an important but largely unrecognized effect that likely plays a role in tachycardia-induced myocardial ischemia even in the absence of flow obstructive coronary disease. However, at an HR of 125 bpm, ischemia did not appear to play a role as coronary venous pH and oxygen saturation did not change and LV contractility was normal.

In the HR range studied we found that both systolic and diastolic BPs increase in referent controls but do not significantly change in patients with HFpEF. The trend towards a reduction in BPs between 95 bpm

![Figure 5. Left Ventricular M-Mode Tracing at Baseline and During Atrial Pacing.](image)

Left ventricular M-mode tracing recorded with the intracardiac ultrasound probe positioned at the right ventricular septum at baseline sinus rhythm, 95 beats per minute (bpm), and 125 bpm in controls and in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction (HFpEF). SR indicates sarcoplasmic reticulum.

**Table 3. Coronary sinus Ca²⁺, Na⁺, and K⁺ Levels After Pacing**

|          | Controls       |                   | Patients With HFpEF (n=8) |                   |
|----------|----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
|          | After Pacing   | (n=9) 0 to 15 s  | 15 to 60 s                | 0 to 15 s         | 15 to 60 s        |
| Ca, mg/dL| 8.84±0.45      | 8.86±0.42        | 8.80±0.48                 | 8.75±0.30         | 8.83±0.36         | 8.89±0.34*        |
| Na, mg/dL| 141.1±2.3      | 141.9±1.9        | 142.0±1.9                 | 141.0±1.7         | 140.6±1.3         | 140.6±1.7         |
| K, mg/dL | 4.24±0.23      | 4.22±0.23        | 4.11±0.26                 | 4.50±0.61         | 4.44±0.57         | 4.40±0.48         |

Pacing at 125 beats per minute (bpm) versus sinus rhythm after 125 bpm pacing. HFpEF indicates heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *P=0.03.
and 125 bpm in patients with HFpEF is likely directly related to the greater reduction in LV volumes observed in these patients, resulting in a blunting or inversion of the HR-cardiac output relationship. This effect also helps explain the clinical observation that patients with concentrically remodeled left ventricles are more prone to develop hypotension with tachycardia. Because of the loss in volumes, the inflection point of the HR-mediated increase in cardiac output and BP is moved toward lower HRs in patients with higher degrees of concentric hypertrophy and diastolic dysfunction. At HRs >170 bpm, the associated volume loss can be so profound that stroke volume and cardiac output approach zero. This physiological response is routinely used during transcatheter aortic valve replacement procedures to facilitate the deployment of the aortic valve.

Other factors clearly play important roles in diastolic dysfunction in HFpEF, including an HR-dependent reduction in the atrial contribution to LV filling, slowed actomyosin relaxation kinetics, and myocardial modifications that increase stiffness, eg, increased collagen levels and changes in the posttranslational modification of titin.33,34 However, the latter 2 mechanisms are likely mainly at play at resting HRs when sarcomere lengths exceed 2.0 micrometers.

**Tachycardia-Induced Myocardial Ca^{2+} Retention is Not Na^{+} Dependent**

Direct in vivo assessments of myocardial Na^{+} and Ca^{2+} levels are not feasible in patients. Instead we made
measurements in the coronary effluent. We hypothesized that Ca\textsuperscript{2+} and Na\textsuperscript{+} levels would rise in coronary sinus blood as soon as pacing is stopped, reflecting a restoration of normal cellular ion levels. Indeed, Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels increased in the coronary sinus after pacing in patients with HFpEF but not in controls. To our knowledge, these results provide a first in vivo documentation of myocardial Ca\textsuperscript{2+} overload that very likely contributes to the more pronounced LV volume loss in HFpEF. It is not surprising to find Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels elevated for more than 15 seconds after pacing cessation as normalization of the cellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} content is an incremental beat-to-beat process that is buffered by SR Ca\textsuperscript{2+} resequestration.\textsuperscript{29} This experimental approach also allowed us to test the Na\textsuperscript{+} paradigm, which contends that HR-dependent elevations in intracellular Na\textsuperscript{+} are responsible for Ca\textsuperscript{2+} overload via the Na\textsuperscript{+}/Ca\textsuperscript{2+} exchange mechanism as the driving Na\textsuperscript{+} gradient is narrowed.\textsuperscript{17,18} In vitro studies in isolated human myocardium have suggested that intracellular Na\textsuperscript{+} levels rise by about 25% to 30% with stimulation at 120 per minute.\textsuperscript{35} Such marked changes in cellular Na\textsuperscript{+} should be readily detectable in the coronary effluent after pacing cessation. However, Na\textsuperscript{+} levels were unchanged in both controls and patients with HFpEF, making this an unlikely explanation for the observed differences in [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]. It is conceivable that HRs >125 bpm would eventually result in an ischemia-mediated reduction in Na\textsuperscript{+}/K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase activity followed by detectable changes in Na\textsuperscript{+}. In addition, other factors, eg, reduced phospholamban phosphorylation or a leakage of the ryanodine receptor may play a role in our findings.

**Therapeutic Implications**

Because higher HRs are associated with lower filling pressures in patients with HFpEF, it is possible that higher HRs convey a clinical benefit without raising BP and without adverse effects from higher cellular Ca\textsuperscript{2+} levels.\textsuperscript{14,36,37} Higher HRs may also benefit patients with AF as chronic diastolic dysfunction with elevated filling pressures is an important driver of this arrhythmia.\textsuperscript{38,39} However, it remains to be determined whether HR elevation within a clinically acceptable range results in meaningful benefit in patients with HFpEF.

Conversely, we recently demonstrated that pharmacological HR lowering with β-blockers in patients with HFpEF is associated with higher natriuretic peptide levels and more HF admissions.\textsuperscript{40,41} The results of the current study provide additional key evidence challenging this paradigm.

**Limitations**

Our study was relatively small but attempts to characterize previous in vitro findings in vivo. Sampling from the coronary sinus following HR manipulations is an indirect measure of myocardial ion handling. The required duration of pacing and to reach steady state before serum sampling has not been validated. However, this approach can be safely accomplished in humans and has been evaluated for its ability to quantify the release of cardiomyocyte metabolites, which are otherwise undetectable if sampled peripherally.\textsuperscript{12} Our study population included only patients with HFpEF who had hypertensive heart disease and paroxysmal AF with predominant obesity. As such, both the presence of obesity and AF could be confounders for symptomatic dyspnea used as enrollment criteria. Likewise, these results may not apply to other HFpEF phenotypes.
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