Proteomic differences in the hippocampus and cortex of epilepsy brain tissue
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Epilepsy is a common neurological disorder affecting over 70 million people worldwide, with a high rate of pharmaco-resistance, diverse comorbidities including progressive cognitive and behavioural disorders, and increased mortality from direct (e.g. sudden unexpected death in epilepsy, accidents, drowning) or indirect effects of seizures and therapies. Extensive research with animal models and human studies provides limited insights into the mechanisms underlying seizures and epileptogenesis, and these have not translated into significant reductions in pharmaco-resistance, morbidities or mortality. To help define changes in molecular signalling networks associated with seizures in epilepsy with a broad range of aetiologies, we examined the proteome of brain samples from epilepsy and control cases. Label-free quantitative mass spectrometry was performed on the hippocampal cornu ammonis 1–3 region (CA1–3), frontal cortex and dentate gyrus microdissected from epilepsy and control cases (n = 14/group). Epilepsy cases had significant differences in the expression of 777 proteins in the hippocampal CA1–3 region, 296 proteins in the frontal cortex and 49 proteins in the dentate gyrus in comparison to control cases. Network analysis showed that proteins involved in protein synthesis, mitochondrial function, G-protein signalling and synaptic plasticity were particularly altered in epilepsy. While protein differences were most pronounced in the hippocampus, similar changes were observed in other brain regions indicating broad proteomic abnormalities in epilepsy. Among the most significantly altered proteins, G-protein subunit beta 1 (GNB1) was one of the most significantly decreased proteins in epilepsy in all regions studied, highlighting the importance of G-protein subunit signalling and G-protein-coupled receptors in epilepsy. Our results provide insights into common molecular mechanisms underlying epilepsy across various aetiologies, which may allow for novel targeted therapeutic strategies.
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Abbreviations: ASD = anti-seizure drug; CBZ = carbamazepine; CLB = clobazam; CLO = clonazepam; COD = cause of death; CSF = Cerebrospinal fluid; DDG = dysgenesis of the dentate gyrus; DG = dentate gyrus; ESL = eslicarbazepine; FBM = felbamate; FC = frontal cortex; FCD = focal cortical dysplasia; FDR = false discovery rate; FFPE = formalin fixed paraffin embedded; fPHT = fosphenytoin; GBP = gabapentin; HIPP = hippocampus; HP = hippocampus; Hr = hour(s); HS = hippocampal sclerosis; IPA = Ingenuity Pathway Analysis; LAC = lacosamide; LCM = laser capture microdissection; LAM = lamotrigine; LEV = levetiracetam; LOR = lorazepam; MCA/ACA = middle cerebral artery/anterior cerebral artery; MTLE = mesial temporal lobe epilepsy; MTLE + HS = mesial temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis; MS = mass spectrometry; ND = not determined; PCA = principal component analysis; PER = perampanel; PHT = phenytoin; PMI = post-mortem interval; TPM = topiramate; VPA = valproate; Yr = year(s)
Introduction

Epilepsy is a spectrum disorder with diverse aetiologies and outcomes affecting over 70 million people worldwide.\(^1\)-\(^4\) Aetiologies include ion channel and many other genetic mutations, infection, structural lesions, metabolic abnormalities and autoimmune disorders, although the cause remains unknown in many cases.\(^5\)-\(^9\) The mechanisms underlying ictogenesis and epileptogenesis alter the balance of excitation and inhibition.\(^10\) Anti-seizure drugs (ASDs) target multiple mechanisms, including ion channels (e.g. sodium, calcium, potassium), neurotransmitter receptors and metabolism (e.g. GABA-A receptors, GABA transporter 1, GABA transaminase), synaptic vesicles (e.g. SV2A) and regulators of cell growth (e.g. mTOR inhibition). However, since one-third of patients have an incomplete response to ASDs, dietary, neuromodulatory and resective or disconnective surgical procedures, a broader understanding of the cellular and molecular drivers of ictogenesis and epileptogenesis is essential to identify new therapeutic targets.\(^4\),\(^11\),\(^12\)

Human and animal epilepsy studies reveal that seizures can arise from neocortical or limbic lesions, including focal cortical dysplasia (FCD), hippocampal sclerosis, tumours, inflammation and vascular lesions.\(^13\)-\(^15\) The hippocampus is often involved in focal epilepsy as a primary (e.g. mesial temporal lobe epilepsy [MTLE]) or secondary seizure focus (e.g. areas robustly connected with entorhinal cortex and hippocampus). The hippocampal cornu ammonis (CA) CA1−4 region and dentate gyrus are involved in seizure generation and in hippocampal sclerosis.\(^16\)

To date, our knowledge of brain protein changes in epilepsy comes from experimental MTLE models and human brain tissue. The most robust changes in epilepsy brain tissue involve proteins related to neurotransmitters, cell signalling, glucose metabolism and protein synthesis.\(^17\),\(^18\) Genomic, epigenetic and transcriptomic studies have revealed insights into mechanisms underlying ictogenesis and epileptogenesis by identifying novel genetic loci and profound changes in gene expression in humans and animal models.\(^19\)-\(^24\) However, the poor correlation between RNA expression and protein levels limits the value of isolated transcriptomic or genomic studies.\(^25\)

Unbiased, mass spectrometry-based proteomic studies of human epilepsy tissue can complement these studies, particularly since proteins are direct drug targets. Proteomics provides a global view of protein changes at a functional or network level. The majority of previous epilepsy proteomics studies have examined animal model tissue, rather than human brain tissue.\(^26\),\(^27\) Two previous studies have performed proteomics on human epilepsy brain tissue, however, these were limited by either small numbers, analysis of single regions or low sensitivity proteomics approaches. These studies identified 77 proteins differentially expressed in MTLE hippocampi compared with controls\(^28\) and 18 differentially expressed proteins between high- and low-spiking regions in six epilepsy patients.\(^29\) We hypothesized that we would identify more extensive protein differences in epilepsy by using a more sensitive proteomic approach, and that we would generate novel insight into the pathophysiology of epilepsy by examining protein changes in multiple brain regions that are differentially affected in epilepsy.

Recent advances in proteomics methods have shown that proteomics can be successfully performed using formalin fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue.\(^30\),\(^31\) We recently developed a new method combining laser capture microdissection (LCM) and mass spectrometry that allows localized proteomics using microdissected FFPE tissue to more precisely evaluate protein differences in microscopic brain regions or pathological lesions rather than bulk tissue.\(^30\),\(^31\) We specifically optimized this technique to allow the use of FFPE tissue so that archived human tissue specimens collected at autopsy could be used. This is a particular advantage of our approach, as the vast majority of autopsy human tissue specimens are FFPE blocks, which are currently an underutilized, but exceptionally valuable resource for research.

Therefore, to advance our knowledge of proteomics that is common to human epilepsy syndromes, we applied this method to epilepsy and control human brains across three regions: CA1−3 of the hippocampus, the dentate gyrus, and the frontal cortex.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

All studies were approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) at New York University (NYU). In all cases, written informed consent was obtained from the legal guardian or patient, and material used had ethical approval. All patient data and samples were deidentified and stored according to NIH guidelines.

Human brain tissue

All human tissue samples were collected at autopsy. Epilepsy and control cohorts were studied. The epilepsy cases (\(n = 14\)) were acquired through the North American SUDEP Registry (NASR) and included cases from multiple sites around the country whose cause of death (COD) was not SUDEP. The control cases (\(n = 14\)) were acquired through the NYU autopsy service. All control and epilepsy neuropathology reports were performed and finalized at the NYU Pathology Department. Histological evaluation of all cases was performed by neuropathologists and findings were classified using the international consensus criteria.\(^2\),\(^13\),\(^32\) Storage, as well as sample processing (sample collection, embedding, archival and sectioning) was performed for all control and epilepsy cases per the NYU Pathology Department protocol. For proteomics analyses, specimens were retrieved from the Center for Biospecimen Research and Development (CBRD). The NYU Experimental
Pathology Research Laboratory sectioned all cases for LCM and CBRD sectioned all cases for immunohistological validation. Six cases did not have seizure frequency and ten cases seizure type information, which came from a population with health care disparities that were not under regular medical care and therefore had limited medical records. Regarding epilepsy syndrome, there was a broad representation of various epilepsies in the cases with known clinical information, the majority of which excluded known frontal lobe involvement. All cases were matched for sex and age (46 ± 14 years) to the best of our ability. Individual patient information [sex, age, COD, post-mortem interval (PMI) and seizure classification if applicable] is included in Tables 1 and 2.

| Table 1 Control case information |
|---------------------------------|
| Case | Age | Sex | COD | PMI (hr) | Significant neuropathology | Brain region |
|------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----------------------------|--------------|
| 1    | 55  | M   | Cardiac arrest | 64 | HP, DG, FC |
| 2    | 57  | M   | Cardiac arrest | 142 | HP, DG, FC |
| 3    | 56  | F   | Cardiac arrest | 46 | HP, DG, FC |
| 4    | 49  | F   | Cardiac arrest | 144 | HP, DG, FC |
| 5    | 59  | F   | Cardiac arrest | 57 | HP, DG, FC |
| 6    | 59  | M   | Possible cardiac arrest | 116 | HP, DG, FC |
| 7    | 57  | M   | Cardiac arrest | 91 | HP, DG, FC |
| 8    | 55  | M   | Pulmonary fibrosis | 57 | Subacute, right temporal white matter microinfarct | HP, DG, FC |
| 9    | 59  | M   | Cardiac arrest | 60 | HP, DG, FC |
| 10   | 38  | M   | Anoxic encephalopathy secondary to cardiac arrest | 44 | Acute and chronic hypoxic-ischaemic changes, diffuse | HP, DG, FC |
| 11   | 59  | M   | Supraglottic squamous cell carcinoma | <120 | Ischaemic infarct, left frontal MCA/ACA | HP, DG, FC |
| 12   | 49  | M   | Cardiac arrest | 48 | Meningeal fibrosis | HP, DG, FC |
| 13   | 50  | M   | Possible cardiac arrest (contributing—acute gastroenteritis) | 50 | Hypoxic-ischaemic changes, diffuse | HP, DG, FC |
| 14   | 54  | M   | Cardiac arrest | 66 | Hypoxic-ischaemic injury, acute, multifocal | HP, DG, FC |

COD = cause of death; PMI = post-mortem interval; h = hour; HP = hippocampus; DG = dentate gyrus; FC = frontal cortex; ACA = anterior cerebral artery; MCA = middle cerebral artery.

| Table 2 Epilepsy case information |
|-----------------------------------|
| Case | Age | Sex | COD | PMI (hr) | Significant neuropathology | Disease duration (yr) | Seizure type | ASDs at time of death | Brain region |
|------|-----|-----|-----|----------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|
| 1    | 50  | M   | Choking on foreign object | 15 | Focal none | 49.5 | Focal | HP, DG, FC |
| 2    | 34  | F   | Pulmonary embolism | 13 | FCD-IIA | 32 | Focal | HP, DG, FC |
| 3    | 45  | M   | Suicide | 27 | DDG | 20 | Focal | HP, DG, FC |
| 4    | 36  | M   | Drowning | 48 | HS | 12 | Focal | HP, DG, FC |
| 5    | 36  | M   | Overdose/intoxication | 20 | ND | 8 | ND | HP, DG, FC |
| 6    | 54  | M   | Accident/trauma | <24 | Mild gliosis, contusion, focal cortical disorganization | 1 | ND | HP, DG |
| 7    | 64  | F   | Overdose | 18 | FCD-IIA | ND | ND | PHT | HP, DG |
| 8    | 9   | F   | Drowning | 30 | FCD-IIA | 8 | ND | LAM, PHT | HP, DG |
| 9    | 45  | M   | Suicide | <48 | DDG | 43 | ND | CLB, GBP, LEV, VPA, PER, CBZ, CLO, LOR | HP, DG, FC |
| 10   | 24  | F   | Drowning | <48 | DDG | ND | ND | LEV, VPA, PER, CBZ, CLO, LOR | HP, DG |
| 11   | 28  | M   | Accident/trauma | <48 | DDG | 22 | ND | CBZ, LAC | HP, DG |
| 12   | 23  | M   | Drowning | <48 | FCD-IIA | ND | ND | VPA, TPM, CLO | HP, DG |
| 13   | 32  | M   | Ethanol intoxication and clobazam overdose | 19 | FCD-IIA, Wernicke's encephalopathy | 10 | ND | LAM, LOR, PHT | HP, DG |
| 14   | 49  | M   | Aspiration | 43 | DDG, HS, gliosis, hemispheric atrophy | 48.4 | ND | fPHT | HP, DG, FC |

COD = cause of death; PMI = post-mortem interval; h = hour; yr = year; HP = hippocampus; DG = dentate gyrus; FC = frontal cortex; FCD = focal cortical dysplasia; HS = hippocampal sclerosis; DDG = dygenesis of the dentate gyrus; ND = not determined; ASD = anti-seizure drug; CBZ = carbamazepine; CLB = clobazam; CLO = clonazepam; ESL = eslicarbazepine; FBM = felbamate; fPHT = fosphenytoin; GBP = gabapentin; LAC = lacosamide; LAM = lamotrigine; LEV = levetiracetam; LOR = lorazepam; PER = perampanel; PHT = phenytoin; TPM = topiramate; VPA = valproate.
Laser capture microdissection
We sampled brain tissue from FFPE blocks of hippocampus or superior frontal gyrus collected and processed for autopsy neuropathology. LCM was performed using our published protocol. Briefly, 8 μm-thick FFPE sections containing hippocampus or superior frontal gyrus were collected onto LCM PET Frame Slides (Leica). Sections were stained with Cresyl violet to visualize neurons and regions of interest (ROIs). For each sample, 10 mm² of hippocampus (including CA1–3) and superior frontal gyrus (including layers I-IV) and 4 mm² of dentate gyrus (granule cell layer) were microdissected in separate tubes using a LMD6500 microscope at 5× magnification (Leica) (Fig. 1). ROIs were collected into ultrapure Pierce Water, LC–MS Grade (ThermoScientific). After collection, samples were centrifuged at 14 000 g for 2 min and stored at −80°C until peptide extraction.

Label-free quantitative mass spectrometry proteomics
The study included six experimental groups based on two states (epilepsy, control) and three brain regions. Samples were processed and analysed using label-free quantitative mass spectra (MS) in three batches: hippocampus (n = 13 epilepsy, n = 14 control), frontal cortex (n = 11 epilepsy, n = 14 control) and dentate gyrus (n = 13 epilepsy, n = 14 control). The same epilepsy and control cases were used across different runs. Protein quantification was performed with an initial precursor mass deviation of 7 ppm and a fragment mass deviation of 20 ppm with subsequent non-linear mass recalibration. Oxidation of methionine residues was searched as variable modification; carbamidomethylation of cysteines was searched as a fixed modification. The false discovery rate (FDR) for peptide, protein and site identification was set to 1% and was calculated using a decoy database approach. The minimum peptide length was set to 2. The option match between runs (1 min time tolerance) was enabled to correlate identification and quantitation results across different runs. Protein quantification was performed with built-in MaxQuant LFQ algorithm with the following settings: minimum ratio count of 2, ‘fastLFQ’ option enabled, minimum/average number of neighbours 3/6. LFQ normalization was performed within sample groups that belong to the same brain region.

For subsequent statistical analyses we limited our data set to proteins quantified in at least eight samples in at least one of the conditions/brain region (57–72% of microdissected samples, depending on the region analysed). All common contaminant entries were removed, resulting in a matrix with 3216 protein groups. Data analysis used Perseus v. 1.6.2.3 (http://www.perseus-framework.org/) using R environment for statistical computing and graphics (http://www.r-project.org/). Protein interaction networks and enrichment analyses were done in STRING39,40 v. 11.0 (https://string-db.org/).

Statistical analyses and interpretation of results
For principal component analysis (PCA) missing values were imputed from a normal distribution with width 0.3 and downshift 1.8 using Perseus. Hierarchical clustering was used to visualize sample metadata in the context of a clustering of those proteins associated with disease (whether positively or negatively, with a 5% FDR),
Quantitative proteomics analysis of epilepsy and non-epilepsy control cases. (A) Experimental workflow. Specific protein regions were excised by laser capture microdissection (LCM) from FFPE autopsy brain tissue from control and epilepsy cases (n = 14 in each group) and proteins quantified by label-free quantitative mass spectrometry (MS). Statistical, pathway and network analyses were performed to identify proteins differing in these regions. Three regions were analysed [hippocampus CA1–2 (n = 14 control, n = 13 epilepsy), frontal cortex (n = 14 control, n = 11 epilepsy) and dentate gyrus (n = 14 control, n = 13 epilepsy)]. Validation of protein differences was performed using immunohistochemistry (IHC). (B) LC–MS/MS computational analysis. Proteins groups were filtered and quantified using MaxQuant. (C) Venn diagram of proteins identified in each region. A total of 3216 protein groups were detected across all brain regions by MS, with 2440 overlapping protein groups between the three regions and 2861 overlapping protein groups between the hippocampus and the frontal cortex.
following a preliminary k-means clustering using $k=2$ and the Hartigan and Wong algorithm using the ComplexHeatmap package in the R environment.\(^{41}\) For the hierarchical clustering, Euclidean distance was used as the distance measure and complete-linkage was used to combine clusters. Two-sample Student’s $t$-test was used to find differentially expressed proteins between epilepsy and control groups (per each ROI). We corrected for multiple hypothesis testing by calculating the permutation-based FDR ($n=250$). Only protein groups with FDR <5% were deemed significantly different between groups (Supplementary Tables 5–11). For each ROI, protein groups absent in one of the disease groups but present in at least eight epilepsy cases in the other disease group were by definition considered as differentially expressed (i.e. protein group absent in all control cases but present in at least eight epilepsy cases in the hippocampus was considered as increased in epilepsy in the hippocampus). These significant protein groups are included in Supplementary Table 12.

### Pathway and network analysis

The genes encoding protein groups significantly altered in epilepsy and control were analysed using Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA, Qiagen). We analysed all differentially expressed proteins in the hippocampus ($n=777$), frontal cortex (249) or dentate gyrus ($n=49$). We also analysed differentially expressed proteins in both hippocampus and frontal cortex ($n=134$) and differentially expressed proteins specific to hippocampus or frontal cortex. All pathway analyses are included in Supplementary Tables 16–21. Network analysis was performed using STRING (v.11). Visualization and analysis of the network was conducted via Cytoscape.

### Cell-type specificity

For each ROI, genes encoding all significantly altered proteins in epilepsy and controls were assigned cell-type specificity derived from Lake et al.,\(^{42}\) in which each gene had only one cell type annotation from any brain region excluding the cerebellum with 1066 possible annotations. For each ROI, cell type enrichment analysis included the following annotations: neurons, excitatory and inhibitory neurons, astrocytes, endothelial, microglia, and oligodendrocytes. The ‘neurons’ annotation was given to proteins for which the gene in Lake et al. had both ‘excitatory neuron’ and ‘inhibitory neuron’ annotations, with no other cell type associated annotations. For the hippocampus, synaptic proteins were assigned based on the classification of ‘Synaptic protein’ in Lleo et al.\(^{43}\) Proteins with a neuron cell type annotation and assigned a synaptic protein were referred to as ‘neuro-synaptic’.

### Immunohistochemistry

To validate proteomics data, we immunostained FFPE tissue sections as described.\(^{31}\) Sections were incubated overnight at 4°C with either anti-SYP (synaptic protein synaptophysin) antibody (1:50, abcam, catalogue #ab8049) or anti-TUJ1 antibody (1:300, Biogeneg, catalogue #802001). Sections were then incubated for 2 h at RT with fluorescent secondary antibodies (all diluted 1:500, Jackson Immunoresearch) and coverslipped (ProLongDiamond Antifade Mountant, Invitrogen). All immunostained sections from one immunostaining combination were scanned at $20\times$ magnification on a NanoZoomer HT2 (Hamamatsu) microscope using the same settings. Fiji was used to analyse images with the same threshold for area positive for pixels relative to total area and reported as a percentage. Statistical analyses used unpaired $t$-tests. Representative images were obtained by confocal imaging using a Zeiss 700 confocal microscope. For each immunostaining combination, z-stacked images were collected with the same settings at $20\times$ and $63\times$ magnification with a 13 and 11 µm z-step and are depicted as a maximum projection image.

### Data availability

The mass spectrometry raw files are accessible under MassIVE ID: MSV000085517.

### Results

#### Quantitative proteomic analysis of epilepsy and non-epilepsy control cases

To identify proteomic alterations as a result of various aetiologies and seizures in the epilepsy brain, regions of the hippocampus (CA1–3 region) and frontal cortex were microdissected from all epilepsy and control cases (Fig. 1A). Cases were matched for sex, age (46 ± 14 years) and formalin archival time (2 ± 1 years) to the best of our ability (Tables 1 and 2). Histological evaluation of all epilepsy cases identified FCD in 4/14 cases, dysgenesis of the dentate gyrus (DDG) in 4/14 cases and hippocampal sclerosis (HS) in 2/14 cases (Tables 1 and 2). Control cases had no significant neuropathology (Tables 1 and 2). Regarding antiepileptic drug treatment, 12 epilepsy cases were prescribed at least 1 ASD (Tables 1 and 2). Regarding ASD adherence, 2/12 cases were known to be taking medications as prescribed, while 3/12 were unknown, and 7/12 were non-adherent for at least one ASD.

Given the role of the dentate gyrus in epileptogenesis and epileptic neuropathology,\(^{44,45}\) we microdissected and analysed the dentate gyrus proteome separately from CA1–3. Given the reduced size of the dentate gyrus, these samples were smaller than hippocampus and frontal cortex samples (4 mm\(^2\) versus 10 mm\(^2\); Fig. 1A) and may explain the
lower number of protein groups identified and higher coefficient of variation in dentate samples.

Combined, we analysed 79 samples from 28 cases using label-free quantitative MS and identified 59,903 peptides mapping to 4,894 protein groups (Fig. 1B). Additional filtering based on a minimum of 8 cases with quantification per group in an ROI resulted in 3,216 protein groups being included in our analyses: frontal cortex—3014, hippocampus—2989 and dentate gyrus—2652 protein groups. The proteins identified overlapped extensively across regions (Fig. 1C).

**Proteomic differences in epilepsy and control cases in hippocampus (CA1–3) and frontal cortex**

Protein expression differences between epilepsy and controls were identified in the hippocampus and frontal cortex. PCA showed a striking separation of epilepsy and control groups in hippocampus (permutation-based test, \( P = 2.1 \times 10^{-5} \), Fig. 2A), and to a lesser extent, in frontal cortex (\( P = 0.002; \) Fig. 2A) in PC1, confirming that the hippocampus is a more impacted region than the frontal cortex. Presence of FCD, DDG and HS did not account for this separation (Fig. 2A). Supervised hierarchical clustering revealed robust clustering for all hippocampi and frontal cortices from epilepsy cases, consistent with the PCA (Fig. 2B). Variables such as age, sex and neuropathological findings did not drive the clustering (Fig. 2B). Only age of epilepsy onset separated different epilepsy cases in the heatmap: cases with an age of onset below or above 20 years old clustered separately. However, several cases did not have comprehensive clinical information. Those cases came from a population with health care disparities that were not under regular medical care and therefore had limited medical records.

Differentially expressed proteins between epilepsy and controls were determined using Student’s t-test and Permutation based 5% FDR. There were significant alterations in the expression of 777 proteins in the hippocampus and 296 proteins in the frontal cortex (Fig. 3A and B; Supplementary Tables 7 and 9). A total of 134 proteins were significantly altered in both regions (Supplementary Table 13). The top 20 most significantly altered proteins in epilepsy by region are presented in Tables 3 and 4. Of the 40 top altered proteins, 30 (75%) have been associated with epilepsy in humans or animal models (Tables 3 and 4; Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). We observed that several altered proteins were encoded by genes in which mutations cause epilepsy, including proteins SCN2A, SCN8A, STXBP1, GABBR1, GABBR2, GABRA1, SLC25A12, SLC25A22, SLC6A1 and SCARB2 (Fig. 3C).

We sought to determine whether protein differences in epilepsy were cell type specific. Of the 777 altered proteins in the epilepsy hippocampus, neuronal proteins were significantly enriched (Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 6.49 \times 10^{-7} \)), particularly excitatory neuron proteins (\( P = 7.128 \times 10^{-6} \)) (Fig. 4A). There was no enrichment of inhibitory neuronal, oligodendroglial, astrocytic, microglial or endothelial proteins (Fig. 4A). These enrichments were not observed in the frontal cortex (Fig. 4B), suggesting more extensive protein alterations in hippocampal neurons. All neuron-specific proteins (‘neuron’, ‘inhibitory neuron’ or ‘excitatory neuron’ proteins) were predominantly decreased in the epileptic hippocampus compared with controls (Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 0.0013, \) Fig. 4C).

Pathway analysis showed that altered hippocampal and frontal cortex proteins were significantly associated with four pathways: protein biogenesis, mitochondrial function, synaptic plasticity and G-protein signalling (Fig. 4D and E; Supplementary Tables 18 and 19). Proteins associated with EIF2 signalling were particularly enriched. Most (37/53) were ribosomal proteins and 96.9% were upregulated (19/19 in frontal cortex, 31/33 in the hippocampus), indicating increased translational machinery and ribosome biogenesis. Other proteins involved in this pathway included translation initiation factors (EIF2AK2, EIF3E, EIF3J, EIF4E, EIF4G1) and protein kinases (MAPK1, MAPK3). The mTOR signalling pathway, as well as the regulation of elf4 and p70S6K signalling pathway—which is part of the mTORC1-S6K signalling axis—were also significantly represented, suggesting that mTOR pathway may drive this increase in proteins related to protein synthesis. The mTOR signalling pathway is involved in protein synthesis, synaptic plasticity, autophagy and other functions which may influence neuronal excitability and epileptogenesis. Oxidative phosphorylation proteins were also enriched in both regions, with broader evidence of altered mitochondrial function including pathways such as mitochondrial dysfunction, sirtuin signalling, glycolysis and TCA cycle, highlighting impaired neuronal energy regulation in epilepsy. The synaptic signalling pathway was also significantly enriched, confirming synaptic dysfunction resulting from epilepsy. Finally, G-protein signalling was also significantly represented in both regions (G Beta Gamma Signalling/Ephrin B signalling; Fig. 4D and E; Supplementary Tables 16 and 17). Notably, we observed a unique enrichment of glutamate receptor signalling pathway proteins in the frontal cortex and in the remodelling of epithelial adherens junction pathway in the hippocampus. Combined, these results suggest that although changes were more prominent in the hippocampus, similar pathways were enriched in frontal cortex, identifying widespread brain protein alterations in epilepsy.

**Proteomic differences in epilepsy and control cases in the dentate gyrus**

Proteomic analysis of the dentate gyrus in epilepsy was limited by smaller tissue area and increased variation.
Figure 2 Proteomic differences in epilepsy and control cases in hippocampus (CA1–3) and frontal cortex. (A) PCAs of overall data set for each region. PCAs of epilepsy (purple) and control (grey) cases in the hippocampus (left panel) and frontal cortex (right panel) showing that epilepsy hippocampus ($P = 5.1 \times 10^{-5}$) and frontal cortex ($P = 0.002782$) contained significantly different protein expression. Each point represents an individual case. Neuropathology (DDG, Dysgenesis of the Dentate Gyrus, FCD; Focal Cortical Dysplasia; HS, Hippocampal Sclerosis) is indicated on the PCA. Significance was determined using a non-parametric permutation-based $t$-test. Error bars represent PC1 min and max. (B) Supervised hierarchical clustering. Dendrogram showing hierarchical clustering of brain samples based on protein expression levels by region (left panel, hippocampus; right panel, frontal cortex). Information on diagnosis, sex, age, age of disease onset (OnsetAge), disease duration (Duration), seizure type (SeizureType), and frequency of lifetime seizures (SeizureFreq) is indicated according to the legend at the bottom of the graph. Heatmaps on the bottom show scaled (protein z-score) expression values (colour-coded according to the legend on the right) for proteins used for clustering.
PCA only showed a modest separation between epilepsy and control cases ($P = 0.246$) in the dentate gyrus (Supplementary Fig. 1A). However, quantification of individual proteins showed that 49 proteins were significantly altered in the dentate gyrus in epilepsy (Supplementary Fig. 1B and Table 1). Notably, 24 proteins were uniquely altered in the dentate gyrus, including 2 identified only in dentate gyrus (CPSF1, FAM120C) and 2 identified...
Table 3  Top 20 significant proteins in the hippocampal CA1 – 3 region of epilepsy cases

| Gene ID | Protein Name | UniProt ID | P Value  | Fold Change | Human | Animal Model | References |
|---------|--------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------|--------------|------------|
| **Decreased** | | | | | | | |
| ATP6V1A | V-type proton ATPase catalytic subunit A | P38606 | 1.202E – 10 | 1.591 | 0 | 0 | 46–49 |
| GNB2 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(i)/G(S)/G(T) subunit beta-2 | P62879 | 2.951E – 10 | 1.853 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| ATP6V1C1 | V-type proton ATPase subunit C 1 | P21283 | 6.918E – 10 | 1.840 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| GNAI2 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(i) subunit alpha-2 | P04899 | 1.622E – 09 | 1.569 | 0 | 0 | 50, 49 |
| ACTC1 | Actin, alpha cardiac muscle 1 | P68032 | 5.754E – 09 | 2.990 | 0 | 0 | 7, 50, 51, 52 |
| GNB1 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(i)/G(S)/G(T) subunit beta-1 | P62873 | 8.128E – 09 | 1.959 | 0 | 0 | 50, 49 |
| NAP1L4 | Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 4 | Q99733 | 9.333E – 09 | 1.840 | 0 | 0 | 50, 49 |
| TUBB4B | Tubulin beta-4B chain | P68371 | 1.023E – 08 | 1.682 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| ABI1 | Abi interactor 1 | Q8IZP0 | 1.288E – 08 | 1.464 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| GLO1 | Lactoylglutathione lyase | Q04760 | 1.66E – 08 | 1.485 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| COX6C | Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 6 C | P09669 | 2.399E – 08 | 2.000 | 0 | 0 | 7, 50, 49 |
| COX6B1 | Cytochrome c oxidase subunit Vib isoform 1 | P14854 | 2.512E – 08 | 2.949 | 0 | 0 | 7, 50, 49 |
| SLC25A4 | ADP/ATP translocase 1 | P12235 | 2.692E – 08 | 2.479 | 0 | 0 | 50, 49 |
| SYP | Synaptophysin | P08247 | 3.236E – 08 | 2.428 | 0 | 0 | 50, 49, 53–55 |
| NDUFB9 | NADH dehydrogenase [ubiquinone] 1 beta subcomplex subunit 9 | Q9Y6M9 | 3.467E – 08 | 1.790 | 0 | 0 | 50, 49 |
| PPP2R1A | Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase 2A 65 kDa regulatory subunit A alpha isoform | P30153 | 3.548E – 08 | 1.558 | 0 | 0 | 7, 48 |
| **Increased** | | | | | | | |
| DNM1 | Dynamin-1 | Q05193 | 4.898E – 08 | 1.385 | 0 | 0 | 7, 49, 54, 57 |
| NCL | Nucleolin | P19338 | 2.692E – 10 | 1.828 | 0 | 0 | 50, 58, 59 |
| RPS11 | 40S ribosomal protein S11 | P62280 | 6.026E – 09 | 1.959 | 0 | 0 | 50, 49 |
| SLC16A2 | Monocarboxylate transporter 8 | P36021 | 2.188E – 08 | 2.732 | 0 | 0 | 50, 49 |

Table 4  Top 20 significant proteins in the frontal cortex of epilepsy cases

| Gene ID | Protein Name | UniProt ID | P Value  | Fold change | Human | Animal model | References |
|---------|--------------|------------|----------|-------------|-------|--------------|------------|
| **Decreased** | | | | | | | |
| LANCL1 | Glutathione S-transferase LANCL1 | Q43813 | 9.12E – 08 | 1.464 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| MBP | Myelin basic protein | P02686 | 1.445E – 06 | 3.891 | 0 | 0 | 49.50,60,61 |
| FBXO2 | F-box only protein 2 | Q9UK22 | 6.457E – 06 | 1.366 | 0 | 0 | 48–50 |
| GLUL | Glutamine synthetase | P15104 | 1.288E – 05 | 1.485 | 0 | 0 | 7, 48, 6264 |
| GNB1 | Guanine nucleotide-binding protein G(i)/G(S)/G(T) subunit beta-1 | P62873 | 1.549E – 05 | 1.753 | 0 | 0 | 7, 5052 |
| COX6B1 | Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 6B1 | P14854 | 2.042E – 05 | 2.085 | 0 | 0 | 7, 49, 50 |
| SLC25A4 | ADP/ATP translocase 3 | P12236 | 2.951E – 05 | 1.636 | 0 | 0 | 49, 50 |
| SLC25A4 | ADP/ATP translocase 1 | P12235 | 3.311E – 05 | 2.114 | 0 | 0 | 7, 49, 50 |
| TPP1 | Tripeptidyl-peptidase I | O14773 | 3.802E – 05 | 1.741 | 0 | 0 | 7, 49, 50 |
| ASAH1 | Acid ceramidase | Q13510 | 4.169E – 05 | 1.853 | 0 | 0 | 7, 48, 50, 65 |
| **Increased** | | | | | | | |
| PRR36 | Proline-rich protein 36 | Q9H6K5 | 3.548E – 07 | 1.919 | 0 | 0 | 66–69 |
| GRM2 | Metabotropic glutamate receptor 2 | Q14416 | 1.778E – 06 | 1.580 | 0 | 0 | 7, 70–73 |
| GPHN | Gephyrin | Q9NQX3 | 5.888E – 06 | 1.569 | 0 | 0 | 7, 70–73 |
| GRIN1 | Glutamate receptor ionotropic, NMDA 1 | Q05386 | 7.943E – 06 | 1.580 | 0 | 0 | 7, 49, 74 |
| PSMC2 | 26S proteasome regulatory subunit 7 | P35998 | 9.333E – 06 | 1.347 | 0 | 0 | 7, 49, 74 |
| RTN4RL2 | Reticulon-4 receptor-like 2 | Q7M6Z0 | 1.66E – 05 | 1.516 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| KIF3A | Kinesin-like protein KIF3A | P04899 | 1.622E – 09 | 1.569 | 0 | 0 | 75 |
| NRXN3 | Neurexin-3-beta | P62195 | 4.571E – 05 | 1.257 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
| ARHGRP23 | Rho GTase Activating Protein 23 | Q9P227 | 3.981E – 05 | 1.444 | 0 | 0 | 50 |
Figure 4 Cell-type enrichment and pathway analysis of differentially expressed proteins in the hippocampus and frontal cortex in epilepsy. For each brain region [Hippocampus (A), frontal cortex (B)], cell-type enrichment analysis was performed for each cell type using a Fisher’s exact test. Significance threshold is represented by a dotted red line ($P < 0.05$); $**$ $P < 0.0001$. (C) Cell-type-specific protein expression differences in epilepsy. Each graph plots all significant proteins quantified in the epilepsy hippocampus (top panels) or frontal cortex (bottom panels). The further the point is away from the dashed line at 0, the greater the difference in expression in epilepsy and controls. Proteins with a positive log ratio have greater expression in epilepsy, and proteins with a negative log ratio have a lower expression in epilepsy. All color-coded proteins correspond to neuronal proteins (Neuron, blue; Inhibitory Neuron, dark green; Excitatory Neuron, dark green), oligodendrocyte proteins (yellow) and astrocyte proteins (orange). The cumulative cell type specific protein differences showed that there were significantly less neuronal proteins in the hippocampus in epilepsy ($P = 0.001$) but not in the frontal cortex ($P = 0.371$). No differences in the amount of oligodendroglial (hippocampus, $P = 0.096$; frontal cortex, $P = 1$), astrocytic (hippocampus, $P = 0.565$; frontal cortex, $P = 0.108$), microglial (not shown) or endothelial proteins (data not shown) were observed. Significance was determined using a Fisher’s exact test comparing cumulative cell type specific protein expression between epilepsy and control cases. Pathway analysis of the altered proteome in the hippocampus (D) and frontal cortex (E). IPA was used to identify the top 10 signalling pathways associated with the genes encoding protein groups that were significantly altered in both the hippocampus (pink) and the frontal cortex (blue). The red line corresponds to FDR corrected $P$-value thresholds (hippocampus, $P = 0.0001$; frontal cortex, $P = 0.001$). All pathways and proteins are shown in Supplementary Tables 16 and 15.
only in dentate gyrus and frontal cortex (CDC5L, AMER2) (Supplementary Table 5). Hierarchical clustering induced by pre-selection of these differently expressed proteins showed that 10/13 epilepsy cases clustered, supporting dentate gyrus dysfunction in epilepsy (Supplementary Fig. 1C). Supplementary Table 3 lists the 20 most significantly altered proteins; 16 (80%) are associated with epilepsy in humans or animal models, while 4 (20%) were novel (AMER2, ATP5BP, RPL15, TPI1), adding to the list of potential new epilepsy-associated proteins (Tables 3 and 4; Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). The G-Protein Subunit Beta 1 (GNB1) was the only protein to rank among the top 20 significantly altered proteins in all regions (hippocampus, \( P = 8.19 \times 10^{-8} \); frontal cortex; \( P = 1.55 \times 10^{-5} \), dentate gyrus; \( P = 6.96 \times 10^{-6} \); Supplementary Fig. 1D). Despite the epileptic encephalopathies that GNB1 mutations can cause, the underlying disease mechanisms are still largely unknown and the specific role of GNB1 in epileptogenesis has not yet been studied. Pathway analysis of altered proteins in the dentate gyrus showed the most enrichment in proteins involved in mitochondrial dysfunction, revealing a common epilepsy proteomic signature in all regions (Supplementary Fig. 1E and Table 18).

**Inter-regional epilepsy proteomic signature**

The common enriched pathways in the hippocampus and frontal cortex (Fig. 4D and E), as well as the overlapping altered proteins (Fig. 5A), indicate shared protein changes across both regions. We performed correlation analyses of the directional changes in hippocampus and frontal cortex for the (i) 939 significantly altered proteins and (ii) 134 common proteins altered in both regions (Fig. 5B and C; Supplementary Table 13). We found a modest positive correlation between protein levels in the hippocampus and frontal cortex for the (i) 939 significantly altered proteins and (ii) 134 common proteins altered in both regions (Fig. 5B, Fig. 5C). The correlation improved when analysing the changes of the 134 significantly different proteins shared by both the hippocampus and frontal cortex (\( r^2 = 0.73 \), Fig. 5C), confirming broad similar protein alterations throughout the epileptic brain. Interestingly, this correlation was also present in the dentate gyrus (hippocampus/dentate gyrus, \( r^2 = 0.64 \); frontal cortex/dentate gyrus, \( r^2 = 0.72 \), Fig. 5D and E), despite only 13/134 of these proteins being significantly altered in the dentate gyrus (Fig. 5A, D and E; Supplementary Table 13), supporting an epilepsy-associated proteomic signature. Hierarchical clustering of these specific proteins shows almost complete clustering of all epilepsy cases throughout the three regions analysed (Fig. 5F). These 134 enriched proteins relate to EIF2 and mTOR signalling pathways, mitochondrial dysfunction and other related metabolic pathways such as oxidative phosphorylation, glycolysis, TCA cycle and gluconeogenesis (Fig. 6A and B; Supplementary Table 19). Proteins involved in G-protein signalling were also significantly enriched (Ephrin B signalling; Fig. 6A and B; Supplementary Table 19).

Together, these results support a broad impact of epilepsy on mitochondrial function, protein biogenesis and G-protein signalling. Notably, synaptic plasticity-related signalling pathways were not among shared significant proteins changes across hippocampus and frontal cortex, suggesting that the synaptic-associated mechanisms differ between these regions.

**Intra-regional epilepsy proteomic changes**

We found that 635 proteins reached significance in the hippocampus and not in the frontal cortex. These highly significant hippocampal differences were enriched in proteins involved in the remodelling of epithelial junction (\( P = 7.71 \times 10^{-18} \)), with prominent involvement of cytoskeleton-associated proteins (actin-related [ACTC1, ACTG1, ACTN1, ACTR2, ACTR3, ARPC4, ARPC1A], dynamins [DNM1, DNM2, DNM3, DNM1L] and tubulins [TUBA1A, TUBA1B, TUBA4A, TUBB3, TUBB, TUBB2A, TUBB4A]) and clathrin-mediated endocytosis signalling (\( P = 7.92 \times 10^{-16} \)), suggesting a reorganization of the cortical microarchitecture and a remodelling of neuronal circuitries, or an impact on the brain vasculature (Supplementary Table 20). A significant alteration in synaptogenesis signalling pathway proteins was also observed (\( P = 6.26 \times 10^{-14} \); Supplementary Table 20). These findings, together with the significant decrease of neuronal proteins observed previously (Fig. 4C) suggest neuronal synaptic dysfunction in the hippocampus. Neuronal loss is another possible explanation but was not seen histologically. Using a publicly available data set of the most updated synaptic proteome,\(^{43}\) we confirmed that the significant proteins in the hippocampus were highly enriched in neuro-synaptic proteins (33/63 neuro-synaptic proteins, Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 3.939 \times 10^{-6} \); Supplementary Table 7). The expression of significant synaptic proteins in epilepsy was dramatically decreased (55/58 proteins, Fisher’s exact test, \( 8.133 \times 10^{-10} \), Fig. 7A), suggesting extensive synaptic alteration in the hippocampus. These proteomic changes were not significant in the frontal cortex (Fisher’s exact test, \( P = 0.089 \); Fig. 7B; Supplementary Table 7). We validated the decrease of SYP in the epileptic hippocampus using IHC (Fig. 7C, E and G). To determine whether these protein changes were unique to this region or simply less pronounced in the frontal cortex than in the hippocampus, we examined the directional changes of the significantly altered hippocampal proteins and their respective directional change in the frontal cortex. When we closely examined these differences, we discovered that the vast majority of these proteins were showing trends for similar changes in the frontal cortex.
Figure 5 Inter-regional epilepsy proteomic signature. (A) Venn diagram of significant proteins in each region. The Venn diagram shows the 963 altered proteins in epilepsy across all regions analysed. A total of 939 proteins were altered in hippocampus and frontal cortex altogether. There were 134 overlapping proteins between the hippocampus and the frontal cortex (purple), and 13 overlapping proteins across the three regions (dark blue). (B–E) Correlation between the protein fold changes in the hippocampus and the frontal cortex. Graphs represent correlations using the 939 significantly altered proteins (top left panel, Pearson's correlation, $r^2 = 0.32$), and the overlapping 134 proteins altered in both hippocampus and frontal cortex (top right panel, Pearson's correlation ($r^2 = 0.73$)). The proteomic signature was also preserved in the dentate gyrus, despite only 13/134 proteins being significant (bottom panels, Pearson's correlation; hippocampus/dentate gyrus (bottom left panel), $r^2 = 0.64$; frontal cortex/dentate gyrus (bottom right panel), $r^2 = 0.72$). (F) Hierarchical clustering of the 134 proteins showing similar directional changes in hippocampus CA1–3, frontal cortex and dentate gyrus. The dendrogram shows hierarchical clustering of brain samples based on protein expression levels by region. The heatmap on the bottom show scaled (protein z-score) expression values (color-coded according to the legend on the right) for proteins used for clustering.
Figure 6 Pathway and network analysis of the epilepsy proteomic signature. (A) Pathway analysis of the epilepsy proteomic signature. IPA was performed on genes encoding for protein groups significantly altered in the proteomic signature. The red line corresponds to FDR corrected $P$-value threshold ($P = 0.05$). (B) Epilepsy proteomic signature protein network. The network depicts altered proteins in both hippocampus and frontal cortex. Visualization and analysis of the network was conducted via Cytoscape. Color-coding represents directional changes (upregulated shown in red, downregulated shown in blue). Node sizes correspond to degree of interaction. Disconnected nodes in the network were removed. Black rectangles correspond to proteins involved in pathways of interest.
Figure 7  Altered synaptic transmission in epilepsy. (A) The graph depicts all significant proteins identified in the hippocampus. The further the point is away from the dashed line at 0, the greater the difference in expression in epilepsy and controls. Proteins with a positive log ratio have greater expression in epilepsy and proteins with a negative log ratio have a greater expression in controls. All proteins highlighted in green correspond to synaptic proteins. (B) The graph shows all significant proteins identified in the frontal cortex. All proteins highlighted in green correspond to synaptic proteins. (C) Synaptophysin (SYP) and Tubulin-β 3 (TUJ1) double fluorescent immunohistochemistry of n = 5 epilepsy and n = 5 control cases in the hippocampus showed a major decrease in SYP immunoreactivity in the epileptic hippocampus [panels show CA1 region, images taken at ×20 (top panels) and ×63 magnification (bottom panels)]. (D) SYP and TUJ1 double fluorescent immunohistochemistry of n = 5 epilepsy and n = 5 control cases in the frontal cortex also showing major decrease in SYP immunoreactivity in the epileptic cortex [images taken at ×20 (top panels) and ×63 magnification (bottom panels)]. (E–H) Quantification of SYP immunohistochemistry (E–G) and proteomic expression levels (F–H) in the hippocampus CA1–3 (E, F) and frontal cortex (G, H) (n = 5/group for IHC and n = 14/group for proteomics) epilepsy. For IHC quantification, individual points show SYP % load in each individual case (E–G). Significance was determined using a two-tailed unpaired t-test. Data show mean ± SD; *p < 0.05. For proteomics, individual points show protein expression in each individual case (F–H). Data show mean ± SEM; ****p < 0.0001. HIPP, hippocampus; FC, frontal cortex. (I, J) Topographical changes in epilepsy support the idea of selective vulnerability in hippocampus. The left panel shows a contingency table of the protein directional changes between epilepsy and control in the hippocampus and frontal cortex using the significant proteins in hippocampus only. The right panel shows a contingency table of the protein directional changes between epilepsy and control in the hippocampus and frontal cortex using the significant proteins in frontal cortex only. Proteins were annotated as either increased or decreased in epilepsy. Only proteins present in both regions were included in the analysis. Significance was determined using Fisher’s exact test.
(462/635 proteins in agreement [72%], Fisher’s exact test, \( P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16} \), Fig. 7I), suggesting that overall the same pathological protein changes were happening in the frontal cortex, but not to the same extent. IPA confirmed that the proteins that were in agreement were involved in the remodelling of epithelial adherens junctions (\( P = 2.14 \times 10^{-12} \), and synaptogenesis (\( P = 1.52 \times 10^{-10} \)). The significantly decreased SYP immunoreactivity in the frontal cortex also supported this observation (Fig. 7D, F and H).

Similarly, we found that 156 proteins reached significance in the frontal cortex and not in the hippocampus. While these frontal cortex protein changes were also suggestive of altered synaptogenesis, these changes were mostly enriched in proteins involved in increased glutamatergic receptor signalling (8/57 proteins, \( P = 2.61 \times 10^{-7} \), z-score = 2.236; Supplementary Table 21), which occurs in glutamatergic synapses, and plays a critical role in excitotoxic damage in epilepsy.79 Proteins in this pathway included protein Glutamine Synthetase (GLUL), Excitatory amino acid transporters 1 and 2 (SLC1A2, SLC1A3), Glutamate receptor ionotropic NMDA 1 and 2B (GRM1, GRIN2B), Glutamate receptor ionotropic AMPA 2 (GRIA2) and Glutamate metabotropic receptor 2 (GRM2). Interestingly, GLUL downregulation was associated with reduced astrocyte protection against glutamate excitotoxicity to neurons.80 Here again, we found that the majority of these significant changes which seemed specific to the frontal cortex were showing trends for similar directional changes in the hippocampus (110/156 proteins in agreement [72%], \( P = 1.753 \times 10^{-7} \), Fig. 7I), suggesting that most of these changes were also occurring in the hippocampus, yet to a lesser extent. IPA confirmed that the proteins in agreement were most enriched in the glutamatergic signalling pathway (\( P = 7.58 \times 10^{-7} \)).

Combined, our results indicate that 696/939 (74%) significant proteins had similar directional changes in both the hippocampus and frontal cortex in epilepsy (Supplementary Table 21). These findings suggest that most pathological protein changes in epilepsy occurred in both regions but to a different degree, supporting greater vulnerability or a primary role of the hippocampus compared with the frontal cortex.

**Discussion**

In this study, we have generated the first comprehensive characterization of the human epileptic proteome. We identified extensive protein alterations common to various epilepsy syndromes in multiple brain regions. The epileptic hippocampus and frontal cortex showed dysregulation in pathways associated with mitochondrial function, protein synthesis, synaptic transmission and remodelling of the neuronal network architecture. These protein alterations were present despite the lack of major neurodegeneration. Our proteomics analysis provides a network-level analysis of protein changes in epilepsy and identifies hundreds of new potential drug targets. Given the paucity of comprehensive proteomics studies, it was difficult to cross-validate our findings. However, Wang et al. presented the most updated summary of the genes associated with epilepsy, grouping these genes according to the manifestation of epilepsy in specific phenotypes. We compared our list of 939 altered proteins (hippocampus and frontal cortex) with this list and found that 20/939 proteins were encoded by genes in which mutations cause epilepsy.7 Importantly, 98/939 proteins were encoded by neurodevelopment-associated epilepsy genes, epilepsy-related genes, or potentially epilepsy-associated genes. In addition, we compared the top 20 most significant proteins in each region with the few other human and animal studies available. While there were some differences compared with previous literature, the majority of the proteins in Supplementary Tables 1–3 had similar directional changes to those previously reported. However, some of these discrepancies may be related to the evaluation of various epilepsies, brain regions and techniques. Our results also suggest that the G-protein heterotrimeric complexes may have a particularly important role in epilepsy and specifically highlighted the widespread decrease in GNB1 expression levels in epilepsy.

Our results showed that the hippocampus was particularly vulnerable in epilepsy and suggested that protein changes in epilepsy may progressively extend through the brain in a topographic manner. The increased vulnerability of the hippocampus was evident in the broad range of epilepsy syndromes included in this study. The hippocampus is an epileptogenic brain region that is highly susceptible to damage from seizures. As a result, hippocampal sclerosis is a common neuropathological feature of epilepsy and its incidence varies from 30 to 45% of all epilepsy syndromes and 56% of MTLE neuropathologically.81 Hippocampal sclerosis is the most common pathology associated with drug-resistant epilepsy in older adults.82 Our cohort only included two cases with hippocampal sclerosis, which alone was not enough to explain such extensive hippocampal neuronal alterations. In contrast to earlier studies, we included a heterogeneous case cohort to identify protein alterations across a spectrum of epilepsy syndromes. Our study suggests that hippocampal vulnerability is a consistent feature across all types of epilepsy. It remains unknown why the hippocampus is so vulnerable in epilepsy as well as in ischaemia, limbic encephalitis, multiple sclerosis, and neurodegenerative diseases such as Alzheimer’s disease.83–87 The cognitive deficits in temporal lobe epilepsy are associated with Alzheimer-like amyloid β and tau protein changes.88 Hippocampus vulnerability in epilepsy and other neurological disorders may result from a low threshold for glutamatergic excitotoxicity or for synaptic remodelling. Some studies show vulnerability correlates with increased neuroplasticity, which could reflect different energy
production mechanisms and requirements, making it highly sensitive to metabolic and oxidative stress, which can occur in epilepsy.89

Our results suggest that epilepsy affects brain areas in parallel yet to a different degree, supporting that epilepsy may be a progressive disease.90 Hippocampal protein alterations reflected pathologic changes of degeneration, such as neuronal and synaptic remodelling, which were also observed in the frontal cortex without reaching a significant threshold of 5% FDR (Supplementary Fig. 2). While decreased synaptophysin immunoreactivity was found in temporal lobe epilepsy-associated HS,91 our study While decreased synaptophysin immunoreactivity was also observed in the frontal cortex without reaching a significant threshold of 5% FDR (Supplementary Fig. 2). While decreased synaptophysin immunoreactivity was found in temporal lobe epilepsy-associated HS,91 our study while also observed in the frontal cortex without reaching a significant threshold of 5% FDR (Supplementary Fig. 2).

While decreased synaptophysin immunoreactivity was found in temporal lobe epilepsy-associated HS,91 our study while also observed in the frontal cortex without reaching a significant threshold of 5% FDR (Supplementary Fig. 2). While decreased synaptophysin immunoreactivity was found in temporal lobe epilepsy-associated HS,91 our study while also observed in the frontal cortex without reaching a significant threshold of 5% FDR (Supplementary Fig. 2).
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Our findings identified significant G-protein subunit alterations in epilepsy (Supplementary Fig. 2). Specifically, we detected 20 protein G-subunits in our study, with 13 of them being differentially expressed in at least one region (GNG7, GNAO1, GNG2, GNG4, GNB1, GNB2, GNA11, GNA12, GNAQ, GNAZ, GNB4, GNA11 and GNL1). Heterotrimeric G-proteins consist of two functional units, an α-subunit (Gα) and a tightly associated βγ complex. After activation, GPCRs change their conformation and transduce this into intracellular signals involved in diverse signalling pathways including the cAMP/PKA pathway, calcium/protein kinase C (PKC) pathway, IP3/DAG/phospholipase C pathway, β-arrestin pathway, protein tyrosine kinase pathway, ERK/MAPK pathway, PI3K/AKT pathway, Rho pathway and G-protein-gated calcium channels inwardly rectifying potassium channels.103 Animal studies suggest that GPCRs are important mediators of neuronal excitability, supporting a potential therapeutic role in epilepsy.104 However, no FDA-approved ASD suppresses epileptic seizures by directly acting on GPCRs. GNB1 may be central to GPCR dysfunction in epilepsy.104 We found that one G-protein subunit, GNB1, was significantly decreased in all the regions. Missense, splice-site and frameshift variants in the GNB1 gene are associated with multiple neurological phenotypes, including seizures in most cases.105–108 Mice harbouring the GNB1 K78R human pathogenic re-capitulate many clinical features, including developmental delay, motor and cognitive deficits, and absence-like generalized seizures, and that cellular models displayed extended bursts of firing followed by extensive recovery periods.109 Given the therapeutic potential of targeting G-proteins, and the novelty of our finding, it would be interesting to further investigate the role of GNB1 in epilepsy. The involvement of GNB1 variants in human epilepsies and our data suggest this gene may play an important role in many epilepsies. Among the 134 currently available drugs targeting GPCRs,110 there are several drugs targeting GPCRs involved in pathways significantly impacted in our epilepsy data set. GNB1 is involved in 28 pathways that are significantly impacted in the hippocampus of epilepsy cases (27 inhibited, 1 activated), and 15 of these pathways include GPCRs with an FDA-approved drug for non-epilepsy related indications. Future studies should evaluate whether any of these drugs or novel derivatives may be useful in ameliorating seizures in specific epilepsy syndromes. This may especially be of interest for the CREB signalling in neurons and endocannabinoid developing neuron pathways that were
significantly decreased in our data set, as well as drugs targeting GPCRs that have been specifically identified as affected in some epilepsy syndromes like ADRA2 in the adrenergic signalling pathway in addition to glutamatergic and GABAergic targets.

Our study provides a rich resource for epilepsy research, adding to a recent transcriptomic analysis of human MTLE brain.\textsuperscript{50} Combining different ‘-omics’ may provide complimentary facets to a more comprehensive understanding of epilepsy pathogenesis and help identify novel therapeutic targets. A limitation of this study is the heterogeneity of our cohort with diverse epilepsies, therapies and genetic backgrounds. However, our study was designed to identify common protein changes in epilepsy across heterogeneous cases, which increased variation, making the robust protein changes we observed more significant. Future studies should examine specific epilepsy syndromes as well as the role of ASDs. Our study was not powered to assess the impact of treatments, which could bias this study by altering protein levels and altering non-epilepsy pathways. For example, altered GABAergic receptors or mitochondrial function could reflect ASDs such as clobazam, clonazepam or valproic acid.\textsuperscript{111}

In conclusion, our study is the first comprehensive proteomic study in the human epilepsy brain and identifies novel proteins in critical pathways that may be considered as potential drug targets. These pathways mainly include protein biogenesis, mitochondrial function, and synaptogenesis signalling. Combined, our findings provide a valuable resource for epilepsy research.
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