Underweight: another risk factor for cardiovascular disease?
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Abstract

Obesity is a well-established risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD), but the underweight population of body mass index (BMI) below 18.5 kg/m² has not been an object of concern. The objective of this study is to investigate whether underweight could be an independent risk factor for CVD in a population-based cross-sectional study.

Cross-sectional data of 2013 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) database encompassing 491,773 US adult subjects were used to assess risk for CVD. Primary outcomes were the incidence and relative risks (RRs) of CVD including stroke, heart attack/myocardial infarction, or coronary artery disease according to BMI category. All analyses used weighted sampling probabilities of data source.

The underweight population had a 19.7% greater risk of CVD than did the normal-weight, and the overweight and obese population had a 50% and 96% increased risk, respectively. When adjusted with covariates, the relative risk for CVD elevated in underweight population (adjusted RR 1.34 [95% confidence interval (CI) 1.335–1.348]). Conversely, the adjusted relative risk was significantly attenuated in the obese group (adjusted RR 1.149 [95% CI 1.147–1.151]) and it was even insignificant in the overweight group (adjusted RR 1.00 [95% CI 1.000–1.003]). In subanalysis for each CVD category, being underweight among BMI status was the strongest independent risk factor for stroke (adjusted RR 1.441 [95% CI 1.431–1.450]), heart attack/myocardial infarction (MI) (adjusted RR 1.23 [95% CI 1.217–1.233]), and angina/coronary artery disease (adjusted RR 1.20 [95% CI 1.189–1.206]). Especially among the population below 40-year old, relative risk estimates remained increased in the underweight population; persons who were underweight had a 2.3-fold greater adjusted relative risk of CVD as compared with those with normal weight when we stratified with age.

Underweight below BMI 18.5 kg/m² may be another risk factor for CVD, and CVD risk of the overweight and obese population largely depended on other comorbidities accompanied by obesity.

Abbreviations: 95% CI = 95% confidence interval, AHA = American Heart Association, BMI = body mass index, BRFSS = behavioral risk factor surveillance system, CAD = coronary artery disease, CDC = centers for disease control and prevention, CHD = coronary heart disease, CVD = cardiovascular disease, MI = myocardial infarction, NHS = nurses’ health study, RR = relative risk.
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1. Introduction
As obesity has become a worldwide epidemic, a potential increase of obesity-related morbidity and mortality has been one of the major issues in the field of public medicine. Obesity is widely accepted as an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease (CVD) as well as other numerous comorbidities including hypertension, dyslipidemia, type 2 diabetes, and certain cancers. In clinical studies and daily practice, the degree of obesity is usually expressed via body mass index (BMI), a measure obtained by dividing a person’s weight by the square of the person’s height. There is a general consensus on the increasing incidence of CVD as the degree of BMI increases. However, recent evidence of a U- or J-shaped relationship between mortality and BMI namely “obesity paradox” implies a higher mortality in the normal-weight rather than the overweight population and it poses a significant challenge to this obesity-disease paradigm. In this context, the increased mortality of the underweight population becomes an emerging issue as well.

Most researchers have been regarding that being underweight is not a risk of CVD itself, and it might result from the lower incidence of CVD-related risk factors such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and insulin resistance in the underweight population. In addition, evidences from large cohort studies investigating CVD risk factors have not indicated being underweight as a risk for CVD. The low proportion of the underweight to the entire population, an average of 1% to 4% in developed countries, may lead to the underweight group to be frequently regarded as a category of normal-weight population in most studies, which made it hard to find a clinical implication of being underweight. Even if treated as an independent group, the low proportion of underweight subjects resulted in large variability and conflict results among studies in previous studies. The objective of this study is to investigate whether underweight could be an independent risk factor for CVD in a population-based cross-sectional study.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and data source
We conducted a cross-sectional study using data from the 2013 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) of Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) (http://www.cdc.gov/bfrss/annual_data/annual_data.htm). The BRFSS is a US nationwide annually-conducted randomized telephone-based surveillance system designed to measure behavioral risk factors for the adult population over the age of 18. The 2013 BRFSS database encompassed a total of 491,773 questionnaires among which BMI data were available in 465,052 subjects. Ethical approval was not necessary owing to the nature of the data (secondary data analysis of anonymized files).

2.2. Definition of variables
The person with a history of CVD was defined as if he answered yes to any of questions about three categories of CVD such as a heart attack including myocardial infarction (MI), angina, or coronary artery disease (CAD), and stroke; (Ever told) you had a heart attack, also called a MI, (Ever told) you had angina or CAD, and (Ever told) you had a stroke. When he/she answered “Don’t know/Not sure” or refused to answer, it was regarded as a missing value. The main independent variable, BMI was defined according to WHO classification; BMI of less than 18.5 kg/m² is considered underweight, between 18.5 and 24.9 corresponds to a healthy weight, between 25.0 and 29.9 is overweight, and above 30 is obese.

In addition to major variable, we included covariates defined as major risk factors for CVD by the American Heart Association (AHA), including advanced age, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, cigarette smoking, and physical inactivity. Age was categorized into 5-year groups with 14 levels from age 18 to 24 to age 80 or older. The subject with hypertension was defined as one who reported yes to following survey question; “Have you EVER been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional that you have high blood pressure.” Hypercholesterolemia was defined if respondents who have had their cholesterol checked have been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health professional that it was high. The diabetes mellitus was defined as a positive history of diagnosis of diabetes mellitus and pre- or borderline glucose status. Women once diagnosed with gestational diabetes but who now maintained a normal glucose level were excluded. Smoking status was defined as current, former, and never-smoker; never-smoked was defined as having smoked fewer than 100 cigarettes in the subject’s lifetime. Former smokers included individuals who had smoked more than 100 cigarettes over the subject’s lifetime but who had quit smoking any time prior to survey enrollment. Current smoker was the subject now smoking cigarettes some or every day, totaling more than 100 cigarettes over the subject’s lifetime.

Finally, respondents with physical activity were classified as meeting both the aerobic and muscle-strengthening guidelines.

2.3. Statistical analysis
All analyses took into account the complex survey design and weighted sampling probabilities of the data source. We used the final weight variable in 2013 BRFSS dataset (the code name of _LLCPWT) which is ranking weighted to 8 demographic dimensions including age group by gender, detailed race/ethnicity, educational level, marital status, home owner or renter status, gender by race/ethnicity, age group by race/ethnicity, and telephone source (landline telephone only, both landline and cell phone, or cell phone only). Relative risks (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for CVD according to categorized BMI were assessed with unadjusted and covariate-adjusted analysis using binary logistic regression model. In multivariate-adjusted analysis, we stratified our analyses by the important CVD risk terms of age, sex, presence of diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, and hypertension, smoking status (current smoker, former-smoker, and never-smoked), and physical inactivity. The incidence of cardiovascular diseases with normal BMI (from 18.5 to 24.99) was specified as the reference level, and RRs of the other groups were calculated by comparing to normal BMI group. In case of smoking status, the incidence of never-smoked population was treated as a reference level. All analyses were performed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 18.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL).

3. Results
The study population (n = 465,052) whose BMI result was available was representative of more than 231 million US adults over the age of 18. Of these, 28.4% were obese, 63.9% were either overweight or obese, and just 1.9% were underweight. The weighted proportion of males was 50.2%, and 27.4% of the subjects were over 60 years old. The weighted incidence of CVD...
was 8.7%, which was comprised of stroke, 3.0%, heart attack/MI, 4.5%, and angina/CAD, 4.3%. The rate of current cigarette smokers and former-smokers was 18.5% and 25.0%, respectively. The weighted incidence of diabetes including pre- and borderline diabetes mellitus was 11.9%, hypercholesterolemia 38.9%, and hypertension 32.9%. Underweight population was common in female and the younger age group below 40-year old and they had a lower incidence of the major CVD risk factors such as hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes mellitus compared with other BMI groups (Table 1).

When we assessed the effect of BMI on CVD, the underweight population with BMI below 18.5 kg/m² had a higher incidence of CVD (7.3%) than normal weight group (6.2%); compared with the normal weight group, being underweight was associated with a 1.20-fold increased risk of CVD, as was being overweight (1.51-fold) and obese (1.96-fold). When the estimates were adjusted with well-known risk factors for CVD, such as age, sex, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes, smoking status, and physical inactivity, the relative risk increased for underweight population (adjusted RR 1.34 [95% CI 1.335–1.348]). However, adjustment with covariates made the overweight group lose its statistical significance (adjusted RR 1.00 [95% CI 1.000–1.003]) and it attenuated the relative risk of obese group for total CVD (adjusted RR 1.15 [95% CI 1.147–1.151]), suggesting the elevated risk of overweight and obese group was to a large extent driven by obesity-related comorbidities. When we stratified with age, relative risk estimates remained increased in the underweight population; especially among the population below 60-year old, persons who were underweight had a 1.9-fold greater adjusted relative risk of CVD as compared with those with normal weight. Although underweight population was common in female (Table 1), the stratification with sex revealed a similar risk of CVD between male and female group of underweight population (Table 2, Supplementary Table 1–5, http://links.lww.com/MD/B969). Among the population below 40-year old, moreover, persons who were underweight had a 2.30-fold greater adjusted relative risk of CVD as compared with those with normal weight.

We then investigated whether the underweight had a different impact on individual CVD categories of stroke, heart attack/MI, and angina/CAD. Among these categories, the impact of underweight was most profound in stroke; the relative risk estimate of underweight population was 1.32-fold higher than that of controls. When adjusted with multiple compound factors, the stroke risk increased only in the underweight group (adjusted RR 1.44 [95% CI 1.431–1.450]). The risk of heart attack/MI was 1.18-fold higher in the underweight group than in the normal weight control, which remained statistically significant after adjustment with CVD-related covariates (adjusted RR 1.23 [95% CI 1.217–1.233]). Although the degree of relative risk was lower than stroke and heart attack/MI, there was a significant association between being underweight and risk of angina/CAD; underweight population had a 4.5% higher risk of angina/CAD than normal weight controls, which was accentuated by covariate-adjustment (adjusted RR 1.20 [95% CI 1.189–1.206]).

Based on these results, we analyzed a distribution of relative risks for total CVD and each CVD entity per unit of BMI. The age- and sex-adjusted relative risk of total CVD and individual diseases was lowest in the BMI range between 21.0 and 21.9 kg/m², which served as a control group. Overall distribution of relative risks for CVD showed U-shaped pattern according to BMI. The relative risks for CVD were more than 1.5-fold in the subjects with BMI below 17 kg/m² and above 30 kg/m² compared with controls, as was stroke, and heart attack/MI (Fig. 1).

**Table 1** Demographic and clinical characteristics of 2013 behavioral risk factor surveillance data by Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

| BMI Category | Unweighted number, % | Weighted number, % | Age distribution, %, y | Cardiovascular disease, % | Stroke, % | Heart attack/MI, % | Angina/CAD, % | Smoking status |
|--------------|----------------------|--------------------|------------------------|---------------------------|----------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| Underweight  | 8,264 (1.8)          | 4,471,598 (1.9)    | 18–39: 54.4            | 7.3                        | 3.1      | 3.6              | 2.9          | 28.8          |
| Normal-weight| 154,993 (33.3)       | 79,132,743 (34.2)  | 40–69: 22.3            | 6.2                        | 2.4      | 3.1              | 2.8          | 14.6          |
| Overweight   | 167,116 (35.9)       | 82,189,028 (35.5)  | ≥80: 23.3              | 9.0                        | 2.8      | 4.9              | 4.5          | 19.8          |
| Obese        | 134,677 (29.0)       | 65,380,613 (28.4)  | Male: 32.6             | 58.9                       | 3.9      | 5.0              | 6.0          | 3.6           |
| Total        | 465,052              | 231,173,982        | 18–29: 24.7            | 11.4                       | 3.0      | 5.9              | 4.5          | 18.5          |

BMI = body mass index, CAD = coronary artery disease, MI = myocardial infarction.

1 Cardiovascular disease means the patient with any history of stroke, heart attack/myocardial infarction, or angina/coronary artery disease.

2 Include the patient with pre- or borderline diabetes mellitus (DM) and exclude maternal DM.
Aged Female aged 18 ≥ Aged Male aged 18 ≥ Female < Male < CVD subgroup analysis, the association was most significant for stroke compared with other CVD entities. The major studies that serve as a stepping stone in concluding obesity as a major risk for CVD have not included the underweight subjects or merged them into normal-weight group in a statistical analysis.\textsuperscript{11–15,23} To our knowledge, there have been few studies investigating the underweight population as an independent group in CVD risk assessment.\textsuperscript{17–19,20} The prospective Finnish cross-sectional survey data revealed that underweight women have a significantly higher risk for stroke than do normal weight controls, but this risk was rather lower in underweight men.\textsuperscript{17} Other data analyzed from the 20 years of follow-up in the Nurses’ Health Study (NHS) showed that the risk of coronary heart disease (CHD) in underweight group was similar to normal weight.\textsuperscript{19} However, the underweight women in former-smoker sub-group had an 85% greater risk of CHD than normal-weight controls, but not in never-smoked and current smoker group.\textsuperscript{18} These conflicting results even in the

### Table 2

| BMI (kg/m\(^2\)) | CVD incidence (%) | Weighted statistics |
|------------------|------------------|----------------------|
|                  |                  | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) |
|                  |                  | 1.20 (1.193–1.202) | 1.34 (1.335–1.348) |
| Total population | <18.5            | 6.2                  | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 9.0                  | 1.51 (1.503–1.507) | 1.00 (1.000–1.003) |
|                  | ≥25.0            | 11.4                 | 1.97 (1.962–1.996) | 1.15 (1.147–1.151) |
| Aged 18–59       | <18.5            | 4.2                  | 1.63 (1.618–1.633) | 1.90 (1.888–1.916) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 2.6                  | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | ≥25.0            | 4.0                  | 1.57 (1.566–1.572) | 0.98 (0.956–0.961) |
|                  | >25.0            | 6.8                  | 2.69 (2.687–2.698) | 1.08 (1.080–1.085) |
| Aged ≥60         | <18.5            | 17.5                 | 1.04 (1.032–1.043) | 1.07 (1.059–1.072) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 17.0                 | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 25.0–29.9        | 20.5                 | 1.26 (1.255–1.259) | 1.03 (1.030–1.033) |
|                  | ≥30.0            | 23.9                 | 1.53 (1.531–1.535) | 1.18 (1.173–1.178) |
| Aged 18–39       | <18.5            | 2.6                  | 2.16 (2.142–2.178) | 2.30 (2.271–2.328) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 1.2                  | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | ≥25.0            | 1.5                  | 1.22 (1.217–1.227) | 0.99 (0.981–0.993) |
|                  | >25.0            | 2.4                  | 2.00 (1.991–2.007) | 1.12 (1.112–1.125) |
| Aged ≥40         | <18.5            | 17.5                 | 1.28 (1.271–1.281) | 1.22 (1.216–1.229) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 17.0                 | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 25.0–29.9        | 20.5                 | 1.25 (1.251–1.254) | 1.01 (1.013–1.016) |
|                  | ≥30.0            | 23.9                 | 1.57 (1.570–1.574) | 1.18 (1.177–1.181) |
| Male             | <18.5            | 8.9                  | 1.23 (1.224–1.238) | 1.36 (1.346–1.367) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 7.4                  | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 25.0–29.9        | 9.4                  | 1.31 (1.308–1.312) | 0.90 (0.902–0.905) |
|                  | ≥30.0            | 12.6                 | 1.80 (1.799–1.805) | 1.08 (1.080–1.084) |
| Female           | <18.5            | 6.5                  | 1.25 (1.241–1.253) | 1.35 (1.341–1.357) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 5.3                  | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 25.0–29.9        | 8.4                  | 1.64 (1.633–1.638) | 1.11 (1.104–1.108) |
|                  | ≥30.0            | 10.3                 | 2.06 (2.055–2.062) | 1.20 (1.191–1.190) |
| Male aged 18–39  | <18.5            | 3.6                  | 2.70 (2.670–2.735) | 2.45 (2.406–2.502) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 1.4                  | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 25.0–29.9        | 1.4                  | 1.03 (1.025–1.037) | 0.81 (0.798–0.811) |
|                  | ≥30.0            | 2.5                  | 1.87 (1.857–1.878) | 1.07 (1.057–1.074) |
| Female aged 18–39| <18.5            | 2.0                  | 1.88 (1.860–1.906) | 2.22 (2.184–2.257) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 1.1                  | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 25.0–29.9        | 1.6                  | 1.49 (1.481–1.500) | 1.25 (1.241–1.265) |
|                  | ≥30.0            | 2.3                  | 2.13 (2.118–2.114) | 1.15 (1.138–1.158) |
| Male aged ≥40    | <18.5            | 17.0                 | 1.27 (1.265–1.282) | 1.21 (1.198–1.218) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 13.9                 | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 25.0–29.9        | 13.7                 | 0.99 (0.984–0.987) | 0.93 (0.923–0.927) |
|                  | ≥30.0            | 17.2                 | 1.29 (1.286–1.291) | 1.12 (1.114–1.119) |
| Female aged ≥40  | <18.5            | 11.3                 | 1.40 (1.395–1.410) | 1.26 (1.247–1.264) |
|                  | 18.5–24.9        | 8.3                  | 1.00 (ref.) | 1.00 (ref.) |
|                  | 25.0–29.9        | 11.3                 | 1.41 (1.407–1.412) | 1.11 (1.105–1.110) |

BMI = body mass index, CDC = centers for disease control and prevention, CI = confidence interval, CVD = cardiovascular disease, RR = relative risk.

\textsuperscript{1} Cardiovascular disease means the patient with any history of stroke, heart attack/myocardial infarction, or angina/angina pectoris.

\textsuperscript{2} Adjusted for age (5-year categorized), sex, presence of diabetes or pre-diabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, smoking status (current smoker, former-smoker, and nonsmoker), and physical inactivity.

4. Discussion

In this cross-sectional study using database of BRFSS 2013, we found that underweight below 18.5 kg/m\(^2\) of BMI may be an independent risk factor for CVD with which BMI had a U-shaped curve relationship. This underweight effect on the association with CVD was more prominent in subjects under age 60. In a CVD subgroup analysis, the association was most significant for stroke compared with other CVD entities.
same study can be explained by the small number of disease cases in underweight population, such as a total of 17 stroke cases in Finnish data (15 in women and 2 cases in men) and 35 CHD cases of NHS data. A large cohort surveillance study including 104,928 Japanese revealed that underweight subjects had an increased risk for stroke and CVD, showing a U-shaped association with BMI. They used a control group with BMI between 23.0 to 24.9 kg/m² that had the lowest risk for CVD among BMI subgroups, and it can accentuate the CVD risk of the underweight group and a U-shaped association of BMI with CVD. Although the previous studies were frequently underpowered to detect a significant association between being underweight and CVD, the direction of the effect was largely consistent across studies.

This unexpected result of the increased CVD risk in underweight group can be associated with various clinical factors, such as aging, sarcopenia, and poor nutritional status in underweight population. However, our data showed that the CVD risk of underweight was more prominent in the younger population below 40 years old. Considering previous studies that low body muscle mass may be a risk factor for CVD, this may be due to the fact that the underweight of this population may have a relatively large decline in body muscle mass than the older population, because the body muscle mass tends to occupy a relatively large portion of body weight in the younger population.

In addition, a problem of poor nutritional status in underweight individuals can also be a possible explanation, because they tend to have a relative deficiency of vitamins and minerals compared with those in other BMI categories. However, there is no clear evidence connecting between nutritional deficiency and CVD risks. On the other hand, this association can be explained by the so-called “reverse causality,” which refers to the fact that an individual’s weight may be a reflection of their CVD. It is hard to discuss the causality with this cross-sectional data. However, the average BMI reduction after CAD was just about 0.5 kg/m², which was more common in obesity group. Another explanation can have to do with the presence of “metabolically obese underweight” population that is in the underweight range on BMI but has an increased proportion of visceral fat and metabolic abnormalities, including dyslipidemia or insulin resistance. Actually, a large Japanese cohort study reported that underweight is associated with risk of diabetes among older adults. However, our results showed that the CVD risk of underweight group was maintained or rather increased after adjustment with well-known CVD risk factors, suggesting that underweight group has different types of risk mechanisms contributing to CVD. To our knowledge, there have been few studies targeted on the underweight population and further investigations are required to elucidate the pathophysiologic mechanisms of this paradoxical phenomenon.

Another important point of this study is that the overweight in and of itself did not increase the risk of CVD and being obese has only a small effect that increased about 15% of CVD risk in multivariate analysis. It is widely accepted that obesity can directly increase CVD risk through a variety of mechanisms including systemic inflammation, hypercoagulability, and activation of the sympathetic and renin-angiotensin systems. However, there have been several evidences suggesting that the CVD risk associated with obesity is largely secondary to obesity-related comorbid conditions. A recent study showed that three obesity-related risk factors of hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, and diabetes mellitus can explain about 50% of excess risk for CHD and 75% of the risk for stroke in high BMI group. Among three risk factors, the effect of hypertension was most profound; hypertension alone mediated 31% of excess risk of CAD and about 60% of excess risk of stroke in obesity population. Taken together, our results provide consistent evidence that the direct effects of obesity on CVD are relatively weak, and the effects are largely dependent on a variety of obesity-related comorbidities such as dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, and hypertension. In other aspects, our data suggest that being overweight or moderately obese without any comorbidity may not be deleterious in CVD, which raise a question whether an aggressive weight reduction for CVD risks is reasonable for an overweight person without comorbidity.

This study has several limitations. First, as a result of the cross-sectional design, the results only demonstrated an association between underweight and CVD and could not infer the causality between them. That means the association of underweight and CVD can be affected by other factors that also led to underweight. By analyzing the relative risk based on age, however, we showed that the relative risk of CVD in younger age groups was higher than that of the older age groups. That means that underweight may not be secondary due to CVD of older age group. To clarify the relationship between CVD and

### Table 3
Individual cardiovascular disease-specific relative risk according to body mass index in CDC behavioral risk factor surveillance data 2013.

| BMI (kg/m²) | Incidence (%) | Unadjusted RR (95% CI) | Adjusted RR (95% CI) |
|------------|---------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Stroke     |               |                        |                      |
| <18.5      | 3.1           | 1.32 (1.315–1.328)     | 1.44 (1.431–1.450)   |
| 18.5–24.9  | 2.4           | 1.00 (ref.)            | 1.00 (ref.)          |
| 25.0–29.9  | 2.8           | 1.19 (1.184–1.189)     | 0.83 (0.826–0.830)   |
| ≥30.0      | 3.9           | 1.66 (1.655–1.661)     | 0.98 (0.975–0.979)   |
| Heart attack/myocardial infarction |               |                        |                      |
| <18.5      | 3.6           | 1.18 (1.171–1.183)     | 1.23 (1.217–1.233)   |
| 18.5–24.9  | 3.1           | 1.00 (ref.)            | 1.00 (ref.)          |
| 25.0–29.9  | 4.9           | 1.63 (1.624–1.629)     | 1.03 (1.029–1.033)   |
| ≥30.0      | 5.9           | 1.97 (1.967–1.974)     | 1.13 (1.123–1.128)   |
| Angina/coronary artery disease |               |                        |                      |
| <18.5      | 2.9           | 1.05 (1.039–1.051)     | 1.20 (1.189–1.208)   |
| 18.5–24.9  | 2.8           | 1.00 (ref.)            | 1.00 (ref.)          |
| 25.0–29.9  | 4.5           | 1.63 (1.629–1.635)     | 1.06 (1.055–1.060)   |
| ≥30.0      | 6.0           | 2.20 (2.193–2.200)     | 1.24 (1.240–1.245)   |

BMI = body mass index, CDC = centers for disease control and prevention, CI = confidence interval, RR = relative risk.

* Adjusted for age (5-year categorized), sex, presence of diabetes or prediabetes, hypercholesterolemia, hypertension, smoking status (current smoker, former-smoker, and nonsmoker), and physical inactivity.
underweight, a long-term follow-up study will be necessary in the future. Second, telephone-based self-reporting method may lead to measurement error or misclassification, especially in BMI and comorbid conditions.\[38\] And the data of BRFSS does not capture family history of CVD that is an important and clear risk factor for CVD. Further studies are needed to clarify the causal association between underweight and CVD.

In conclusion, this study provides evidence that being underweight of BMI below 18.5kg/m² may be another risk factor for CVD, especially in the population below 40-year old. Among CVD categories, the underweight-associated risk was most profound in stroke and heart attack/MI, whereas that was relatively small in angina/CAD. On the other hand, the CVD risk of overweight and obese population was largely dependent on the obesity-related comorbid conditions.
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