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Abstract

Many activities from livestock husbandry contribute to emission and concentration of carbon dioxide (CO2), nitrous oxide (N2O) and methane (CH4) gases to the atmosphere; activities such as grazing, manure and urine deposited or stored on land as well as crop farming practices such as tilling, burning of biomass or crop residues. A better understanding of the extent of emission sources and carbon sequestration potential for Eastern Africa rangelands is vital for developing mitigation strategies. In this article, we review the sources of emission with a focus on land conversion for crop farming, livestock husbandry, wildfire/burning and biotic processes such as soil biota activity in the ecosystem. The trade-offs of using rangeland with an emphasis on enhancing carbon sequestration potential are also addressed. This review revealed that many practices that enhance carbon capture process show promising benefits with sink capacity of −0.004 to 13 Mg C ha−1 year−1. However, given multiple land-use and environmental dynamics in Eastern African rangelands, it is imperative to generate more data across various land management and climatic zones in order to ascertain varied sink capacity. Improving carbon sequestration in rangelands through appropriate land management is a promising cost-effective strategy to mitigate climate change. Through improved farming or grazing management practice and restoring of degraded areas, there are significant benefits to enhance carbon sequestration. As rangeland resources are multi-faceted, engaging trans-disciplinary approaches is necessary to allow analyses of co-benefits of improved management or trade-offs degrading.
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Introduction

Atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases

An increase in the concentration of atmospheric greenhouse gases (GHGs) methane (CH4), carbon dioxide (CO2) and nitrous oxide (N2O) has led to widespread concern about human activities that contribute heavily to global environmental change (IPCC 2013; Aneja et al. 2019). Between 1959 and 2018, the global mean annual concentration of CO2 rose from 315.97 parts per million (ppm) to 408.52 ppm (NOAA/ESRL 2018), while CH4 rose from 719.01 parts per billion (ppb) in 1750 to 1857.62 ppb in 2018 (EEA and NOAA 2019), and N2O increased from 270.00 ppb in 1750 to 328.51 ppb in 2016 (EEA 2019). Studies show that human activities such as deforestation, crop farming, livestock husbandry, land-use change and industrial developments have contributed to the observed increases in GHG concentrations (IPCC 2007, 2013; MacCarthy and Zougmoré 2018), which, over the last century, have resulted in increasing global mean surface air temperature and climate change. These changes have a range of potential impacts including extreme weather events, sea level rise and changes in biogeochemical cycles and net primary productivity (Ritchie and Roser 2019). While climate change is projected to aggravate more (McDermot and Elavarthi 2014), implementing practices that can help to
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improve sinks and intensify their sequestration from the atmosphere are necessary in order to mitigate climate change.

**Terrestrial carbon sinks**

From a land management perspective, greenhouse gas mitigation should focus on reducing emissions from livestock husbandry and land-use change, such as farming expansion or deforestation (Niles et al. 2002; Ringius 2002; Scharlemann et al. 2014). Additionally, mitigation may take advantage of the fact that, as plants and trees grow, they take CO$_2$ from the atmosphere through the photosynthesis process and store it in woody trees and soils. Therefore, enhancing the capacity of natural sinks such as forests (Pan et al. 2011; FAO 2020) and rangelands, is crucial (McDermot and Elavarthi 2014).

Forests form the most significant global carbon sink, because trees store carbon in woody tissues that delay decomposition and reduce the release of CO$_2$ through respiration (Keenan and Williams 2018). The proportion and spatial distribution of forests include tropical 45%, boreal 27%, temperate 16% and sub-tropical 11% (FAO and UNEP 2020). Given its coverage of 4.06 billion hectares (ha), about 31% of the total land area (FAO 2020), if well managed, forests have huge potential to sequester carbon in woody biomass and soils (Pugh et al. 2019). The current estimates based on FRA 2020 data show that forests acted globally as a net carbon sink (−0.6 Gt CO$_2$ year$^{-1}$) in the period 2011–2015 (FAO 2020). Forests contribute a large fraction of the global terrestrial CO$_2$ sink as they experience enhanced growth from improved environmental and resource conditions (Keenan and Williams 2018).

Rangelands are also an important carbon sink (McDermot and Elavarthi 2014; Derner et al. 2017). Globally, 41.3% of the world’s land surface is classified as rangelands (MEA 2005; Nosetto et al. 2006; Lal 2011; UN 2011; Laban et al. 2018). The rangeland vegetation consists of grasses, grass-like plants, forbs, shrubs or trees that are grazed or browsed and which are used as a natural ecosystem for raising grazing livestock and conserving wildlife (Allen et al. 2011; Liniger and Studer 2019). The Millennium Ecosystem Assessment (MEA 2005) includes rangelands with drylands, because the occurrence of vegetation subtypes is influenced by the quantity of precipitation. Based on aridity, rangelands may be classified into hyper-arid, arid, semi-arid, dry sub-humid and humid, with rainfall ranging from less than 200 millimetres (mm) in hyper-arid to above 1500 mm in humid areas. These ecosystems support the livelihoods of millions of people worldwide and are important providers of other services (Godde et al. 2020). Because of their global extent, if properly managed, rangelands have the potential to sequester carbon in woody plants and store organic and inorganic carbon in soils (WRI 2000; IPCC 2007; Derner et al. 2017). Some studies show that rangelands can store up to 10–30% of global soil organic carbon (SOC) (Lal 2004; Derner and Schuman 2007; Laban et al. 2018) and sequester up to 179.623 Mg of CO$_2$ from the atmosphere per year (Lal 2011; McDermot and Elavarthi 2014).

**Africa’s rangelands**

Rangelands occupy 43–45% of Africa’s land surface, with woody and shrub trees comprising the dominant vegetation types (23.8%) and grassland with bush trees making up 19.5% (WRI 2003; UNEP 2009). The remaining African land area is made up of dense forest (7.9%), mosaic forest (4.3%), cultivated land (10.1%), wetland (0.9%) and bare soil (33.5%) (Hoffman and Vogel 2008). Given their extent and heterogeneity, rangelands provide suitable habitat for wild and domesticated herbivores (as well as many other animals), ecosystem services for communities and support many different socio-economic activities (Mortimore et al. 2009). It is estimated that 40–55% of the continent’s population (268 to 384 million people) live in rangelands and are engaged in pastoralism, rainfed cultivation (in humid areas) and extraction of non-timber forest products (UNEP 2009; IIED and SOS 2010; Derner et al. 2017; Liniger and Studer 2019).

**Land-use dynamics**

African rangelands have multiple uses, with pastoralists, crop farmers, commercial investors and conservation competing for land and related resources (Kideghesho et al. 2013; Ykhanbai et al. 2014). This competition continues to occur under the influences of changing climate, land-use and national policy, such as the conversion of communal lands into private ranches (Neely et al. 2009; Reid et al. 2014). These changing trends affect the traditional land-use systems, vegetation cover and carbon balances of the ecosystem. Climate variability reduces the resilience of the ecosystem through deviations in precipitation, rising temperature and changes of net primary productivity. In Eastern Africa rangelands, increased intensity of livestock grazing around watering points, and growing of new permanent to semi-permanent farming settlements are also replacing nomadic pastoral systems (FAO 2019). In the contemporary discourse on land use, greenhouse gas emissions, climate change and strategies for poverty reduction, it has been suggested that development carbon finance schemes are a win-win strategy for African rangelands (Perez et al. 2007; Wilkes and Tennigkeit 2010; Dean et al. 2015). The schemes are perceived as ways to exploit the synergy between climate change mitigation and the enhancement of pastoral livelihoods for the benefit of the
larger ecosystem, with co-benefits for livestock production and carbon sequestration. However, the current United Nations (UN’s) Programme on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation (REDD+) carbon-based payment for ecosystem services’ programmes (UN 2018) has put little emphasis on arid and semi-arid rangelands (Wilkes and Tennigkeit 2010). This is attributed to inadequate monitoring of the changes on carbon stocks in the rangelands (cf. Lipper et al. 2010), as well as methodological complexities (Ciais et al. 2011; Stringer et al. 2012). A limited understanding of carbon market opportunities by the stakeholders, unclear land rights in most communal rangelands and uncertainty of the capacity of rangelands to compete for limited carbon markets with forest biomes are other uncertainties (Tennigkeit and Wilkies 2008; UNEP 2008; Wilkes and Tennigkeit 2010). Few studies have analysed the effects of these dynamics across land management practices (Houghton et al. 2012; MacCarthy and Zougmoré 2018). A recent study by Tessema et al. (2019) assessed the potential of grasslands to sequester soil organic carbon in six East African countries (Burundi, Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda). However, these estimates are not sufficient to inform country-specific policy recommendations and intervention practices by local resource users; hence, detailed quantification of the processes exacerbating GHG emissions and carbon dynamics is required (Stringer et al. 2012; Tessema et al. 2019). Given the modifications in rangelands, quantifying carbon stock in established allotments can help to predict the impacts of change in land management on carbon sequestration (Lal 2004; Lipper et al. 2010; Stringer et al. 2012). The objectives of this article are to review the major sources of GHG emissions related to land conversion for crop farming, livestock husbandry and soil biota processes and to identify and synthesize the knowledge gaps and uncertainties regarding the potential of rangeland ecosystems to sequester carbon. The specific focus is on the Eastern Africa experience, but also some examples are drawn from a broader perspective. The review provides specific recommendations for enhancing carbon sink capacity.

Methods
Data sources and compilation
The peer-reviewed articles, international reports, books, proceeding documents and web data were searched using the keywords “greenhouse gases”, “rangelands”, “land-use”, “crop farming/husbandry”, “livestock husbandry”, “wildfires/burning”, “soil biota”, “termites” and “carbon sequestration”. Seven hundred publications were identified from the search (Google Scholar, InWorldInData.org, Scopus.com, ResearchGate.net, Springer.com and ScienceDirect.com), of which 4 publications on atmospheric GHG data obtained from InWorldInData.org, 28 international reports (FAO/org, WRI/org, IPCC, IIED, MEA, UN, UNEP, IUCN, ICRAF/org, TerraAfrica, FIAN International, HPG, and ILCA), 1 proceeding report, 9 books and 171 peer-reviewed articles published from 1970 to 2020 were included during the preparation of this article. After screening the documents using the specific keywords, 213 relevant publications with information on land use and management, biotic activities, GHG emission sources and carbon sequestration potential and rangelands were reviewed, analysed and synthesized. The approach is semi-systematic and integrative where we begin from broad to specific (Snyder 2019). Abiotic processes are important as they influence biotic activity and GHG emissions and therefore were considered in this review. Similarly, ammonia (NH3), which is predominantly from manure storage and land application, is not a GHG but has significant environmental implications and therefore was considered in the review. Available data on carbon sequestration capacity of the forest biome is referred for comparative purposes. This review is a continuation of the CarbonQuest project: Livelihood diversifying potential of livestock based carbon sequestration options in pastoral and agro-pastoral systems in Africa funded by the Federal Ministry of Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) (Germany) 2011 to 2014. The review, therefore, focuses on the biophysical potential of rangelands to sequester carbon given the different land-use options such as livestock and crop husbandry. It aims to address the knowledge gap on how much carbon could potentially be stored and what emissions could be avoided in African rangelands, when effectively controlling factors influencing the carbon balance under conditions of pastoral land use, such as grazing, wildfire/burning, extraction of woody plants and land conversion to crop farming, are understood.

Results
Sources of greenhouse gas emissions in rangeland ecosystems
The major sources of GHG emissions related to land-use management are livestock or crop husbandry and plant biomass extraction (Fig. 1). Biotic activities which contribute to emissions are largely influenced by the quantity of precipitation and temperature or land use. Figure 1 illustrates the sources, activities and processes contributing to carbon dynamics and emission of other non-carbon greenhouse gases in rangelands via different pathways. The emission of CH4 is generated in anaerobic manure storage and eructation from ruminants, and N2O occurs from livestock manure. In high temperatures, volatilization of animal urine as urea-nitrogen occurs primarily in the form of NH3 and NOx. Carbon
dioxide (CO₂) is released during grazing and farming practice while carbon monoxide (CO) during burning of plant biomass. An increase in the atmospheric concentration of GHGs requires scientific strategies and policy responses for offsetting emissions through enhancing carbon sink. This is possible if there is enough data to support the strategies at various spatial and temporal scales.

**Activities contributing to greenhouse gas emissions**

**Land conversion for crop farming**

Conversion of rangelands to croplands is one of the largest sources of CO₂ emissions and the leading cause of loss of soil organic carbon (Conant and Paustian 2002; Farage et al. 2007; Luo et al. 2011; Valentini et al. 2014). Expansion of crop cultivation depletes soil organic matter (SOM) stored in the soil (Smith et al. 2020), thereby decreasing the carbon storage potential in rangelands (Mauda et al. 2017). The availability of SOM is very important in supporting microbes, nutrient cycling and water storage in the soil (Cano et al. 2018). However, in wet and humid areas of the Eastern Africa rangelands, people are increasingly intensifying small-scale crop farming to supplement pastoralism (e.g. Egeru et al. 2010; Reda 2016; Mekuyie et al. 2018). In particular, low-lying wet areas of the rangelands are converted into cultivated croplands (Abate and Angassa 2016). Distinct from livestock grazing, crop farming expansion into rangelands accelerates losses of soil organic carbon (SOC) by erosion and decomposition due to constant disturbance of the land (Franzluebbers et al. 2012). While grassland soils are the major stock of SOC (Batjes 1996), with a sequestration capacity of 90 to 160 Mg C ha⁻¹ (e.g. Lal 2000b), conversion of these landscapes into croplands through permanent or shifting cultivation will decrease the SOC (Lal 2000c, 2003). In the western grasslands of the USA, Lal (2002) reported losses of SOC by 30 to 50% (25–40 Mg C ha⁻¹) in the past century due to the expansion of cultivated lands. In semi-arid rangelands of northern Tanzania, Solomon et al. (2000) revealed a marked decline of the SOC after clearing native vegetation for crop cultivation. According to FAO (2004), grassland soils can lose their SOC at a rate of 0.17 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ over the first 25 years to 0.04 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ over the next 25 years following land conversion.

Table 1 shows that from 1980 to 2009, the emission of carbon from permanent croplands was higher than that
from land under shifting cultivation. The carbon fluxes of land under shifting cultivation are low due to the fallow system that allows recovery of vegetation, and therefore improve sequestration of carbon. Conversely, under permanent cropping, other than the cultivated crop, limited or no vegetation cover is left on the land, resulting in carbon flux than storage. As crop farming is needed to improve food security, implementing recommended management practices in African rangelands is crucial to enhance carbon storage in the soil. Agronomic practices such as conservation tillage, restoration of degraded areas and planting of cover crops have long-term benefits for maintaining soil moisture, vegetation biomass and carbon storage and sequestration in rangeland soils (Olsson and Ardö 2002; Lal 2003). In addition, no-till farming, addition of livestock manure, water harvesting and the construction of water breaks can enhance the storage of organic matter (Lal 2004, 2011; Branca et al. 2013). Table 2 presents various management practices and their contribution to carbon sequestration in rangeland soils.

As shown in Table 2, there are differences in the capacity to sequester carbon for different management practices. This is due to the heterogeneity of agro-ecosystems such soil, climate (see Batjes 2004a), and period of managing. Nevertheless, it is clear that the transitions from croplands to grassland or croplands to fallow have great potential to enhance carbon sequestration (cf. Table 2). Given the spatial variations of soil and land management practices across Eastern Africa rangelands, specific strategies that enhance the uptake of carbon and sequestration potential must be designed based on environments of specific locations. To design appropriate strategies to enhance carbon sequestration potential, there is a need to generate detailed data and comparative studies across regions to capture the variabilities (Tessema et al. 2019). This will help to provide information to rangeland planners and managers on land-use practices that can offset greenhouse gas emissions by enhancing carbon sequestration. Different literature suggests that adopting recommended practices can offer opportunities to store soil organic carbon (e.g. Batjes 2004b). However, in different farming communities, small-scale farmers may be inactive to adopt these practices mainly because of unclear land tenure, poverty and lack of economic incentives. A study by Elias et al. (2015) in agro-pastoral communities of Borana rangeland in Southern Ethiopia revealed that the majority of farmers were uncertain about implementing recommended soil management practices because of unclear land rights. Such conditions challenge efforts aimed at offsetting GHG emissions related to crop farming in the rangelands. Therefore, studies that would consider these constraints and land users are needed because they are the custodians of the land in these rangelands (Reid et al. 2004). As productive wet areas of rangelands are increasingly converted to crop farm for food, higher resolution data from remote sensing technology can help to map the extent and trends (Brink et al. 2014), and fieldwork inventories can be useful for identifying and monitoring carbon dynamics after converting rangelands into croplands.

**Livestock husbandry**

Livestock farming is the most widespread livelihood activity and the dominant land use in rangeland

---

### Table 1: Carbon emissions from two cultivation types in Eastern Africa rangelands

| Years       | Permanent cultivation | Shifting cultivation | TgC yr⁻¹ |
|-------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------|
| 1980–1989   | 26                    | 11                   | 37       |
| 1990–1999   | 27                    | 6                    | 33       |
| 2000–2009   | 45                    | 2                    | 47       |
| Mean±SD     | 33 ± 10.7             | 6.3 ± 4.5            | 39 ± 7.2 |

Data synthesized from Valentini et al. (2014). Shifting cultivation refers to a farming system in which a plot of land is cultivated temporarily and then abandoned while post-disturbance fallow vegetation is allowed to freely grow while the cultivator moves on to another plot.

### Table 2: Management practices that are reported to enhance soil carbon sequestration potential in rangelands

| Management practices                | Carbon sequestration capacity (MgC ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹) | Source          |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Restoration of degraded areas       | 0.1–0.4                                     | Lal (2003)      |
| Irrigation practices                | 0.05–0.2                                    | Lal (2003)      |
| Improved cropland management       | 0.05–0.5                                    | Batjes (2004a)  |
| Transition from cropland to grassland | 7.6                                        | Don et al. (2011) |
| Transition from cropland to fallow  | 8.9                                         | Don et al. (2011) |
| Use of compost manure               | 1–2                                         | Sharma et al. (2012) |
| Cover crop farming system           | 0.8–1.2                                     | Sharma et al. (2012) |
| No-till farming system              | 0.1–0.5                                     | Sharma et al. (2012) |
| Addition of manure to crop fields   | 0–0.2                                       | Sharma et al. (2012) |

The measurements of carbon are in megagramme of carbon per hectare per year (Mg C ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹)
ecosystems (Alkemade et al. 2013). Yet, the sector is an important source of global GHG emissions. The largest source of emissions in ruminant production is CH$_4$ from enteric fermentation (Valentini et al. 2014) and N$_2$O from feed production (IPCC 2007; Herrero et al. 2013a) while CO$_2$ is associated with the expansion of grazing into grasslands, burning of pastures or land use change (Gerber et al. 2013). Globally, livestock account about 9 to 18% of anthropogenic GHG emissions (IPCC 2007; Caro et al. 2014; Herrero et al. 2015). On a per capita basis in Africa, livestock-related GHG emissions are highest in East and Southern Africa which are about 0.6 t CO$_2$-equivalent/year and in West and Central Africa are 0.4 and 0.3 t CO$_2$-equivalent/year in 2010 (Otte et al. 2019). It is better to understand the sources of livestock husbandry greenhouse gas emissions and mitigation options.

**Animal nutrition and feeding**

Livestock contribute to CH$_4$ emissions during microbial fermentation of the carbohydrates, proteins, free amino-N and secondary plant components of their feed or forage (McAllister et al. 1996; Kebreab et al. 2006). Johnson and Johnson (1995) found that 4–12% of the gross energy ingested by livestock is converted to CH$_4$ by microbial fermentation in the gastrointestinal tract, though this is largely dependent on feed type. Table 3 shows the estimates of methane gas emissions from enteric fermentation from three livestock systems in rangelands of East and Horn of Africa in 2000 (Herrero et al. 2008). Reported estimates of methane gas emissions in millions of kg CH$_4$ were converted to carbon dioxide equivalent (CO$_2$e) (Table 3).

In addition to the estimates reported by Herrero et al. (2008), a recent study by Otte et al. (2019) reported about 8,648,400 tonne CO$_2$ eq in 1990 and 128,250,000 tonne CO$_2$ eq 2010 emissions from livestock production systems within the East African region. However, these studies are not sufficient for policy recommendations; more data is required across African regions to guide mitigation strategies. To mitigate enteric methane emission per unit of product is possible through the provision of quality and more digestible feeds (Herrero et al. 2015). Boadi et al. (2004) reported a decline of about 50% in CH$_4$ production from steers grazing on high-quality pastures compared to steers grazing on lower quality pastures. McCaughey et al. (1999) revealed that feeding livestock on alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) pastures could reduce CH$_4$ production by 7.1% of gross energy ingested, compared to 9.5% on grass-only pastures. Other feeds such as hay and maize silage can reduce CH$_4$ emissions while also increasing animal productivity (Herrero et al. 2013b; Hristov et al. 2013). Thornton and Herrero (2010) suggested that improved diet, feed digestibility, diet intensification and grain supplements could reduce CH$_4$ emissions from livestock. Depending on the socio-economic and environmental situation, providing improved feeds could be constraints in low input production systems (Herrero et al. 2013a, b, 2015). Although some of the mitigation options reported may have positive results, they may not be feasible for extensive livestock production in Eastern Africa rangelands. This is because grazing depends entirely on mobile systems and pastoralists have different economic and production goals (HPG 2009). In this context, there are few options for improving livestock feeds except in cases where crop residues such as sorghum, teff and wheat straw are available, or under agro-silvo-pastoral systems.

Other challenges facing pastoralism in Africa rangelands are issues of land tenure and privatization, affecting the choices to improve feeds (FIAN 2010; Flintan 2011; Ykhanbai et al. 2014). In communal grazing systems, drought, insecurity and conflict over resources hinder the selection or planting of forage (grass and shrubs). Considering these constraints, the options of reducing CH$_4$ emission through improved feeds are uncertain. It is therefore important to quantify livestock-derived emissions in the Eastern Africa rangelands and explore alternative avenues to minimize GHG emissions.

**Manure management**

Most livestock in semi-arid and arid rangelands of Africa roam freely for grazing on native pasture during the day and are kept in enclosures during the night. Faeces and urine deposited by animals on the rangeland or in enclosures can be an important source of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O emissions (Zhu et al. 2020). Methane gas is produced through microbial breakdown processes similar to those

| Livestock production systems                      | Emissions in CO$_2$e | Tanzania | Ethiopia | Kenya | Somalia |
|--------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------|
| Mixed rainfed agriculture in arid areas           | 5750                 | 5250     |          |       |         |
| Livestock grazing in arid areas                   | 3750                 |          | 4000     | 4500  |         |
| Mixed rainfed agriculture in humid areas          | 4250                 |          |          |       |         |

Adopted from Herrero et al. (2008). A quantity of GHG can be expressed as CO$_2$e by multiplying the amount of the GHG by its “global warming potential” (or GWP). If 1 kg of methane is emitted, this can be expressed as 25 kg CO$_2$e (1 kg CH$_4$ × 25 = X kg CO$_2$e). Data for rainfed areas of Kenya and Somalia and data for grazing in arid areas of Tanzania are missing. Likewise, data for humid areas in Ethiopia, Kenya and Somalia are not available.
in the gastrointestinal system of ruminants. Methane from manure is generated in anaerobic conditions through a decomposition process of organic matter in faecal or during handling of livestock excreta (Steinfeld et al. 2006; Dijkstra et al. 2013; Herrero et al. 2015; Otte et al. 2019). Much of the carbon in faeces, when allowed to decompose anaerobically, is converted to CH₄ (Forabosco et al. 2017), although temperature, moisture and type of manure (dry or wet matter) also play a role. The emission of nitrous oxide occurs from stored manure and in soil after the addition of manure in farm fields. The emission of nitrogen depends on the level of dietary nitrogen intake as well as the animal’s ability to metabolize nitrogen feeds into protein such as milk and meat (Gay and Knowlton 2009). In situations where livestock consume low to medium quality feeds, only 7–33% of the ingested nitrogen is metabolized while the rest is excreted as dung or urine (Gourley et al. 2012; Silva et al. 2019). This contributes to a significant concentration of nitrogen in excreta. Most inorganic nitrogen (N) in fresh solid manure is in the form of ammonium (Forabosco et al. 2017). Much of the excreta deposited on rangelands can stay without use except in very few areas where farmers use manure on their fields. The environmental conditions such as temperature can influence volatilization from excreta. Under high temperatures, volatilization of animal urine as urea-nitrogen occurs primarily in the form of NH₃ and NOₓ (Caro et al. 2014), hence contributing to emissions. Other biological processes producing N₂O and NO are nitrification and denitrification (Dijkstra et al. 2013); N₂O gas is produced during the denitrification of NO₃⁻ of manure under anaerobic condition (Swamy and Bhattacharya 2006) and occurs in intensive and extensive livestock production systems. The nitrification of surface-dropped faeces by free-range grazing animals in Eastern Africa rangelands produces aerobic NOₓ (Mosier et al. 1998; Swamy and Bhattacharya 2006), although temperature, soil moisture, soil pH levels and wind speed influence the rate of NOₓ production (Barton et al. 2008). In developing countries such as the Eastern Africa livestock production systems, the larger emission of methane comes from enteric fermentation of ruminants (cattle, sheep and goats) while for manure, all domestic ruminants contribute to the production of CH₄ and N₂O gases (Gerber et al. 2013). However, with proper manure management strategies, it is a key element in the mitigation of CH₄, N₂O and NH₃ emissions from livestock (Herrero et al. 2015). Another important element is the improvement of diet to reduce the nitrogen in livestock excreta. For example, dietary tannins affect nitrogen partitioning between faeces and urine, thus channelling a higher proportion of nitrogen to faeces (Somda et al. 1995; Powell et al. 1999). Therefore, tannin reduces nitrogen loss from urine through volatilization because nitrogen in faeces is less volatile than in urine. Finally, proper management of manure remains important not only for Eastern Africa livestock systems but also for continental Africa, because manure stored or abandoned in enclosures remains an active source of N₂O (Butterbach-Bahl et al. 2020). A viable option is to use dung and urine in crop fields to replenish C and N in soils that are often weathered or depleted. The practice also shapes the source-sink relationships between different land or vegetation units (Schlecht et al. 2007). Principally, reducing CH₄, N₂O or NH₃ emissions requires substantial data and strategies that support low input livestock production systems and overcome socio-economic and environmental constraints (Derner et al. 2017).

**Grazing management**

Grazing by livestock is one of the most widespread uses of rangelands and a means of modifying habitats (Brooks et al. 2002; Eldridge et al. 2016). However, grazing can affect soil properties, with direct effects on soil organic carbon (SOC) and soil organic nitrogen (SON) (Baisden and Amundson 2003; Yusuf et al. 2015), but this is influenced by the frequency, intensity and duration of livestock (Liebig et al. 2014). The changes in C and N in grazing lands arise mainly from changes in soil organic matter decomposition and mineralization rates (Piñeiro et al. 2006), as well as animal movement, grazing intensity and associated erosion (Wang et al. 2006). Studies show that the number of livestock and herding mode determines the degree of animal grazing impact (Turner et al. 2005; Schlecht et al. 2006, 2009). Therefore, improving grazing practice and resting the land are important practices for sustainable rangeland management (Garnett 2009).

In open-access systems (such as different parts of African rangelands), where mobile and sedentary forms of livestock coexist, pastures are exploited by multi-species herds. As a result, grazing causes defoliation of plants, over-concentration of livestock excreta on one area and increased ammonia emissions (Arsenault and Owen-Smith 2002). Defoliation of vegetation is another impact of grazing and affects below- and above-ground biomass production in a given vegetation period (Hiernaux and Turner 1996; Quiroga et al. 2018). In perennial and annual herbaceous species, moderate defoliation may also result in over-compensatory growth. In this context, livestock grazing can affect nutrient matter fluxes, particularly in heterogeneous areas (Wezel and Schlecht 2004; Herrero et al. 2013b; Waters et al. 2017). Therefore, varying stock densities, grazing itineraries, herd mobility, enclosures, rest periods and fencing offer the
potential to redirect nutrient redistribution processes (Schlecht et al. 1998; Wilkinson et al. 2013).

The practices can offer sustainability in land use where non-equilibrium systems are present (Vetter 2005). Sustainability relates to both the productivity of the grazed pastures and animals. However, implementing such measures depends on production goals, access to grazing lands or water points, property and user rights for grazing lands and the level of economic development (Turner 1995; Selemani 2014). In well-organized livestock production systems, enforcement of customary laws can regulate resource use. However, in the absence of effective traditional resource management practices, proper grazing practice is undermined. Over the past decade, for example, competition and land grabbing for the establishment of biofuel plantations in productive rangeland areas directly interfered with the traditional use of pasture and resulted in the reduction of grazing lands in East Africa (Reid et al. 2014). Intensive grazing by livestock results in trampling which can accelerate the deterioration of vegetation, transforming standing materials into litter and eventually incorporating litter into soil (Hiernaux et al. 1999; Hiernaux 2001). On different soil types (e.g. clays), trampling breaks surface crust, compacts soil and reduces infiltration; consequently, it influences nutrient fluxes and grassland productivity and hence reduces carbon sink potential (Waters et al. 2017). Excessive trampling reduces the ability of plants to access nutrients and water and hence limits plant growth. In turn, the process exhausts carbon reserves and the capacity of grasslands to store carbon (Hiernaux and Turner 1996; Thornton and Herrero 2010; Herrero et al. 2015). Despite the fact that intensive grazing influences soil C and N dynamics and storage in rangelands, few studies have quantified these effects in Eastern Africa (Mcsherry and Ritchie 2013; Angassa 2014; Yusuf et al. 2015). Recently, Oduor et al. (2018a, b) analysed soil organic carbon and microbial biomass in the semi-arid rangeland of Kenya and showed that total SOC and N have increased due to the establishment of pasture enclosures in degraded lands. Similarly, Tessema et al. (2019) show that soil organic carbon stocks in the grasslands of Burundi, Ethiopia, Kenya, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda range from 0.1 to 93 Mg C ha⁻¹ in areas under differently managed practices such as grassland regeneration and restoration of degraded lands.

We can deduce that by promoting sustainable grazing through restoring degraded grasslands, moderate grazing and enclosures, there is potential to enhance carbon sequestration in rangelands. This can be achieved if there is enough data on soil carbon stock changes caused by land-use changes, grazing practice or farming expansion across East African rangelands (Bikila et al. 2016). Such assessments will provide a better understanding of the relationship between change in land management and carbon sequestration in rangelands.

Biomass burning

Burning grass, bushes, wood trees and crop residues has been a practice in many pastoral and agro-pastoral traditions (Mammino 2020). In Africa, the practice is more extensive and frequent in rangelands. The demand for wood or charcoal for domestic cooking and heating, expansion of pastureland or farming are the major causes for burning. Fire is extensively used as a tool for managing domestic livestock and indigenous wildlife (Trollope 2011; Keywood et al. 2013). Its primary purpose is to control the encroachment of undesirable plants, stimulate germination of certain seed species and reduce vegetation patchiness and dead plant biomass. Burning replaces nutrients in the soil, paves the way for lush vegetation and manipulates vegetation to optimize forage and pest control (Andrew 1986; Paton and Rickert 1989; Orr et al. 1991; Higgins et al. 2000; Turner et al. 2007; Bowman et al. 2011; Lohmann et al. 2014). Some studies show an increase in the number of recurring burning in African rangelands (Mbow et al. 2000; Van Wilgen et al. 2004).

Besides its positive role, burning deteriorates forage and surface litter materials, changes species composition and may increase wood weeds or undesirable herbs (Trollope 1999; Higgins et al. 2007; Lohmann et al. 2014). Many studies describe the negative effects of burning on soil organisms, the oxidation of humus and the deterioration of organic matter in the soil ecosystem (Ojima et al. 1990, 1994; Crutzen and Andreae 1990; Symmann 2002; Bucini and Lambin 2002; Fynn et al. 2003; Perez et al. 2007; Fynn 2008; Santín and Doerr 2016).

Other effects of burning are the release of gases and aerosols to the atmosphere (Turner et al. 2007). Incomplete combustion contributes to CH₄ emissions and NH₃ gas is released from the volatilization of nitrogen compounds during biomass burning (Radojevic 2003; Andersson et al. 2004; Edwards et al. 2006; Bell and Adams 2009; Castaldi et al. 2010). Other gases released are CO₂, CO, N₂O and NOₓ. Alongside gas emissions, burning intensifies nutrient loss through run-off during rainfall and decreases soil carbon storage in the rangelands (Symman 2015). In sub-humid Great Plains rangelands, the rate of CO₂ flux was higher while soil carbon flux increases with periodic burning on non-grazed areas (Limb et al. 2016). However, there are relatively few studies that have quantified the effects of burning in African rangelands (e.g. Mapiye et al. 2008; Stavi 2019), and even fewer in Eastern Africa. Studies that have quantified the effect of burning in African rangelands have used remotely sensed data without measuring the
quantity of gas emissions (van der Werf et al. 2006; Govender et al. 2006; Valentini et al. 2014). Table 4 summarizes the estimates of emission sources from African rangelands.

The reported estimates show not only scanty, but also aggregated data on emissions from four sources. It is possible that emissions attributed to land-use change for pasture or farming expansion could be higher than those associated with wildfire. Since extensive burning on the rangelands is continuing, quantifying their emissions and carbon sequestration potential especially in Eastern Africa is important.

### Soil biota activity

The microbes and macro-decomposers play a critical role in the flow of energy through an ecosystem (Dutta and Dutta 2016). Soil microbes break plant litter and mix organic components in the soil (De Deyn et al. 2008) while macro-decomposers such as termites, darkling beetles (Tenebrionidae) and invertebrates (many of which are soil dwellers) prepare litter for soil microbes, mix organic and mineral fractions and carry out nutrient recycling in arid rangelands (MEA 2005).

Although soil organisms play a crucial role in all ecosystem processes, they are rarely the focus of greenhouse gas emissions. Soil organisms significantly contribute to the emissions of CO₂ (Hashimoto et al. 2015), CH₄ and N₂O gases in different situations. However, not many studies have quantified these emissions especially in Eastern Africa rangelands (MEA 2005). The microbes and macro-decomposers play a critical role in all ecosystem processes, they are rarely the focus of greenhouse gas emissions (van der Werf et al. 2006; Govender et al. 2006; Valentini et al. 2014). Table 4 summarizes the estimates of emission sources from African rangelands.
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CO2 flux, rangelands. Table 5 compiles the sources of emissions roles in different analyses especially for Eastern Africa They alter carbon and nitrogen cycles, biotic and abiotic bandry and plant biomass harvest are the land uses con- model (see Fig. 1), it shows that livestock or crop hus-

Discussion

Land management and carbon sequestration in rangelands

Terrestrial carbon sequestration is the process through which carbon dioxide from the atmosphere is absorbed by vegetation through photosynthesis and stored as carbon in biomass (tree trunks, branches, grasses, foliage and roots) and soils (Lal 2004, 2008, 2018; Olson et al. 2014). The ability of rangelands to sequester carbon depends on plant species, soil type, regional climate and management practices (Ciais et al. 2011). Basically, the per hectare capacity of rangelands to sequester carbon may be less than that of forests; the size of land that rangeland occupies shows a great potential to sequester carbon in below-ground biomass and soils (Derner and Schuman 2007). It is critical that rangelands globally are managed appropriately to develop their potential to sequester carbon. Rangeland management practices that contribute to increase carbon storage in the soil contribute to better soil quality (Tessema et al. 2019). Such management practices must avoid land-use change for farming expansion or deforestation, burning of vegetation and over-grazing. The practices that enhance carbon sequestration potential are moderate grazing, restoring degraded lands, using proper grazing management such as enclosures, implementing non-till farming and sowing drought-tolerant legumes or grass species (Smith et al. 2020). As synthesized in the schematic model (see Fig. 1), it shows that livestock or crop husbandry and plant biomass harvest are the land uses contributing to change the carbon balance in rangelands. They alter carbon and nitrogen cycles, biotic and abiotic processes, and climate system. As a result, they reduce the potential of rangelands to sequester carbon. The potential options to enhance rangelands’ capacity to sequester carbon are regeneration of degraded areas, strategic conservation and reduction in total grazing pressure on sensitive or degraded areas to allow natural revegetation to occur. Using different case studies in the world, Gerber et al. (2013) stated a number of practices that can mitigate GHG emissions with the potential to sequester carbon.

As for the case of Eastern Africa land-use systems, if rangelands are used for livestock husbandry, improving grazing practices, such as herd mobility over diverse landscapes (Dabasso et al. 2014), and revegetation of eroded lands are crucial for enhancing soil carbon storage (Tessema et al. 2019). Proper grazing on grasslands and fire management can increase vegetation cover and build soil carbon pool (Lal 2018). Other practices such as forage improvement, improved animal health and breeding, and manure management are also important. Moreover, since crop farming activity is inevitable in humid areas of rangelands, improving agronomic practices is very important for enhancing soil organic carbon storage, sequestration and food production. Sustainable farming practice that ensures the increased nitrogen availability and water use efficiency accelerates carbon input in the soil ecosystem. The adoption of less tillage (Lal 2013), planting leguminous crops, crop rotation, inter-cropping and adequate fallow periods for cultivated fields increases nitrogen availability and helps to sequester organic carbon in rangelands. Such practices must involve the use of manure and crop residue into cropland fields to increase carbon stocks.

However, before introducing any intervention, location-specific research and more data are needed to recommend practices that enhance carbon sequestration and are accepted in Eastern Africa rangelands. In the absence of scientific research data, it is impossible to implement management strategies that enhance carbon sequestration in rangelands. Additional data on the socio-economic and ecological conditions are needed before introducing soil management practice. At present, few studies have examined the management practices

Table 5 Estimates of CH4 and CO2 fluxes from termites in the rangelands

| Species                        | CH4 flux, μg CH4 g termite\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) | CO2 flux, mg CO2 g termite\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\) | Source                  |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Cubitermes fungifaber          | 7.68                                        | 0.17 ± 0.06                                   | Brauman et al. (1992)   |
| Trinervitermes rhodesiensis    | 2.88                                        | 0.75 ± 0.42                                   | Brauman et al. (1992)   |
| Pseudacanthotermes militaris   | 14.08 ± 4.5                                 | 0.953                                         | Rouland et al. (1993)   |
| Pseudacanthotermes spiniger    | 6.72 ± 1.6                                  | 0.466                                         | Rouland et al. (1993)   |
| Macrotermes bellicosus         | 6.72 ± 1.6                                  | 1.119                                         | Rouland et al. (1993)   |
| Termitidae Tumulitermes hastilis| 1.0                                         | 5.5                                           | Jamali et al. (2013)    |

The measurements of emissions of methane (CH\(_4\)) and carbon dioxide (CO\(_2\)) gases are in microgramme (μg) of CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) gas per termite per hour (CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) flux, μg CO\(_2\) g termite\(^{-1}\) h\(^{-1}\)). Data synthesized from few termite species of the world.
African rangelands. More data is required in order to capture the heterogeneity across different climatic zones, to capture variability explains one of the weaknesses of relying on continental or regional estimates to guide policy recommendations to land users at the local level. Studies such as Dabasso et al. (2014), Maestre et al. (2016) and Tsemesa et al. (2019) insisted on the necessity of producing more data on land-use and management practices across African climatic zones, to capture the variations in GHG emissions associated with land use or natural process. This information would be useful for promoting social acceptance to land users, to improve methods for estimating carbon flux and to effect technical and policy change. Lastly, this review emphasizes the importance and a need to link national and regional policies to global strategies to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and enhance carbon sequestration potential in rangelands.

Table 6 Reported management practices that enhance carbon sequestration in African rangelands

| Management practices                        | C sequestration (Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)) | Location        | Source                          |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Transition from heavy to moderate grazing   | 0.21                                         | African rangelands | Conant and Paustian (2002)      |
| Protection from intensive grazing and fire  | 5.8                                          | African rangelands | Grace et al. (2006)             |
| Decrease fire, grazing and cultivation      | 6.3                                          | African rangelands | Lehsten et al. (2009)           |
| Cessation of wildfire                       | 13                                           | African rangelands | van der Werf et al. (2006)      |
| Allowing fallow, agro-forestry practice and resting from grazing | 0.1–5.3 | Sub-Saharan Africa | Vagen et al. (2005)             |
| Use of farm yard manure into fields         | 0.018–0.028                                  | Sudan            | Farage et al. (2007)            |
| Use of grazed fallow                        | −0.008–0.004                                 | Sudan            | Farage et al. (2007)            |
| Use of inorganic fertilizer                 | 0.006–0.017                                  | Sudan            | Farage et al. (2007)            |
| Use of farm yard manure into fields         | 0.026–0.056                                  | Nigeria          | Farage et al. (2007)            |
| Use of inorganic fertilizer                 | −0.062 to −0.138                             | Nigeria          | Farage et al. (2007)            |
| Use of grazed fallow                        | 0.004–0.01                                  | Nigeria          | Farage et al. (2007)            |
| Improved grazing                            | 0.35                                         | Sub-Saharan Africa | Conant et al. (2001)           |
| Converting cultivated land to pasture       | 1.01                                         | Sub-Saharan Africa | Conant et al. (2001)           |
| Converting native land to pasture           | 0.35                                         | Sub-Saharan Africa | Conant et al. (2001)           |

The measurements of carbon sequestration capacity are in megagramme of carbon per hectare per year (Mg C ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\)).

Conclusion and further research

Globally, enhancement of carbon sequestration in rangelands not only is an essential strategy to mitigate climate change, but forms part of adaptation, through improved land productivity and livelihoods. Building up carbon stocks in rangeland soils through proper land management is a promising cost-effective, natural process to aid in mitigating climate change impacts with numerous benefits. However, given information inconsistency and the knowledge gaps revealed in this review, there is a need to generate more data on land-use and management practices across African climatic zones, to capture the variations in GHG emissions associated with land use or natural process. This information would be useful for supporting climate change policies and adaptation plans. It is necessary to adopt trans-disciplinary methods for promoting social acceptance to land users, to improve methods for estimating carbon flux and to effect technical and policy change. Lastly, this review emphasizes the importance and a need to link national and regional policies to global strategies to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases and enhance carbon sequestration potential in rangelands.
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