Fault diagnosis methods based on machine learning and its applications for wind turbines: a review
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ABSTRACT With the increase in the installed capacity of wind power systems, the fault diagnosis and condition monitoring of wind turbines (WT) has attracted increasing attention. In recent years, machine learning (ML) has played a crucial role as an emerging technology for fault diagnosis in wind power systems has played a crucial role. Even though ML methods have shown great potential in dealing with the issues related to the fault diagnosis of WT, there are still some challenges encountered in many aspects. In this paper, typical fault diagnosis methods based on ML methods for wind power systems are thoroughly reviewed in terms of both theoretical fundamentals and industrial applications, including traditional machine learning (TML), artificial neural networks (ANN), deep learning (DL) and transfer learning (TL), in the development line of ML technologies. The advantages and disadvantages of various methods are analyzed and discussed. Meanwhile, a distribution diagram is provided for the discussions of ML methods applied for WT fault diagnosis, and the existing challenges on the applications for fault diagnosis based on ML for wind power generation systems are presented. Moreover, some prospects for future research directions are provided.
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Nomenclature

AE autoencoder
AI artificial intelligence
ANN artificial neural networks
ART adaptive resonance theory
BPNN back propagation neural network
CA clustering algorithm
CNN convolutional neural network
DAE denoising autoencoder
DBN deep belief network
FCM fuzzy C-means clustering
HMM hidden Markov model
LSSVM least squares support vector machine
LSTM long short-term memory network
ML machine learning
RBFNN radial basis function neural network
RF random forest
RLM extreme learning machine
RNN recurrent neural network
RVM relevance vector machine
SAE stacked autoencoder
SCADA supervisory control and data acquisition
SDAE stacked denoising autoencoders
SOM self-organizing map
SVM support vector machine
t-SNE t-distributed logistic neighbor embedding
TL transfer learning
TML traditional machine learning
VMD variational mode decomposition
WT wind turbines

I. INTRODUCTION

With the increasing consumption of fossil fuels and the gradual deterioration of environmental problems, there is an urgent need to find a clean and renewable energy source. Wind energy is irreplaceable in energy structures owing to its rapid growth. Wind power accounts for 20% of the world’s total electricity, and WT are receiving increasing attention as the core components of wind power generators [1], [2]. Usually, wind power generators are installed in remote areas or offshore areas where traffic is inconvenient, and the gearbox is generally installed in a sky above tens or even hundreds of meters from the ground. In addition, the blades are often subjected to complex alternating impact loads.
that are transmitted through the main shaft to other critical components of the WT during operation, which makes the daily monitoring and maintenance of the WT difficult. To maximize the economic benefits of the wind farm, the size of the WT is increasing, the capacity of the generator is increasing, and the structure of the corresponding generator has become more complicated. Once a problem occurs, it requires considerable time to check out, which significantly reduces the profits of the wind farm. Therefore, fault diagnosis and maintenance are very important during the operation of WT [3].

The fault diagnosis first needs to obtain the operating data of the WT, and the collected information is usually nonlinear and nonstationary, which contains a lot of noise. In addition, the fault data account for a relatively small amount, and there is a defect in data loss during data transmission [3], [4]. Therefore, the researchers have introduced ML into the fault diagnosis of WT based on this situation. In general, fault diagnosis methods can be divided into four categories [5]:

(a) Method based on a physical model. Building a physical model requires a good understanding of the structure of the WT, but it is almost impossible to achieve a highly nonlinear coupled complex system [5].

(b) Statistical-based approach. In the case of a limited number of samples, the optimization between the learning accuracy of the training samples and the ability to identify arbitrary samples can be optimized to realize the best generalization ability, and utilize the historical data to estimate the changes in WT in the short term [6].

(c) TML-based methods. The known fault samples are represented by the mapping relationship between the input and output of the diagnostic model. In addition, these methods can well characterize the nature of the fault data, which further improves the accuracy of fault diagnosis [7].

(d) A technique based on a hybrid model. Two or more different fault diagnosis models are employed to form a new diagnostic method that can achieve high fault diagnosis accuracy by taking advantage of various diagnostic networks [8], [9].

In the early days, some TML methods have been applied to fault diagnosis, such as Bayesian, decision tree, support vector machine, and random forest, and these methods have some identical diagnostic processes in fault diagnosis. First, the obtained data are preprocessed, the noise in the received signal is reduced, and the abnormal values in the data are addressed. Then, the preprocessed data are sampled or grouped according to different approaches, and the faulty feature extraction is performed on the grouped data. Subsequently, feature selection based on experience and specific diagnostic issues is applied to form a feature vector for fault diagnosis [10]–[12].

However, these methods have some limitations. Usually, the obtained data are nonstationary and contain a large amount of noise. After processing, there is still much noise in the signal, which significantly influences the extraction of fault features. Therefore, more advanced signal processing techniques are required to process the collected data. At the same time, relying on human experience when making feature selection absolutely affects the accuracy of the fault diagnosis, especially for some newly generated faults and insufficient understanding of how the fault develops in the early stage [13]. Furthermore, when the acquired data contain some data that have never appeared or the data distribution has changed, the diagnostic accuracy of the trained model is severely reduced, which causes the diagnostic network to retrain and waste much time [14].

To solve the above problems, researchers have employed artificial neural networks (ANN) for fault diagnoses such as BPNN, RBF, SOM, ART, and ELM. The basic units of the ANN are the neurons and weights between neurons. ANN can completely learn the target task in the training process, while simultaneously optimizing its organizational structure to represent the information so as to retain the information in the data to a large extent. When a new fault occurs, it only needs to adjust the weight of the connection between some neurons or increase the number of neurons. Thus, the local adjustment of the trained model can be used for fault diagnosis of new problems. Therefore, retraining of the entire diagnostic model can be avoided and the time consumption is reduced. In addition, the neural network can process data in a parallel manner with a fast calculation speed and high calculation accuracy, which is suitable for building online diagnostic models [15].

Although the diagnostic accuracy of ANN is superior to that of TML methods, there are also some flaws. Most ANNs have only one layer of the hidden layer, which cannot fully exploit the information contained in the data, and some information may be lost during the learning process [16]. In addition, the ability to search for optimum results in the parameter space is limited and cannot provide accurate results [8], and the optimization of existing ANN requires further study.

Based on the basis of ANN, the researchers introduced deep learning (DL), which includes CNN, DBN, SAE and RNN. In practical terms, DL is just a subset of the ML. However, there are two main differences between them. The first difference between them is the way in which the data are presented in the system. ML algorithms almost always require structured data, whereas DL networks rely on layers of neural networks. Another difference is that DL networks do not require human intervention because multilevel layers in neural networks place data in a hierarchy of different concepts, which ultimately learn from their own mistakes. DL uses a greedy learning algorithm to establish the mapping relationship between inputs and outputs through layer-by-layer nonlinear learning, obtain high-dimensional feature representation under different working conditions, and integrate network training and fault diagnosis processes together. For complex nonlinear problems, a more abstract representation of the original data is obtained by increasing the number of layers, and these features have excellent generalization capabilities. In addition, DL can directly learn the acquired data, thereby eliminating the dependence on human experience, and the fault diagnosis
model can be transformed into a life prediction model by changing the activation function of the output layer.

However, there is still some potential for improving DL. Because DL diagnoses a fault by multi-layer nonlinear fitting, the computational complexity of the method is increased. Hence, it is necessary to reduce the complexity of the network computing. Most of the current DL methods are like a “black box,” which cannot understand the role of each step in the learning process. Therefore, it is necessary to combine the visual learning method to show the results of each stage of DL to improve the method. Another severe problem is that the current DL fault diagnosis method is only applicable to a specific situation or a certain type of situation. When the method is applied to other components or similar problems, the diagnostic accuracy can be significantly reduced.

To solve the problem of reduced diagnostic accuracy in similar situations, researchers have employed transfer learning (TL) to DL, which enables a well-trained model or method to have better generalization capabilities and maintain good diagnostic accuracy in different mechanical systems. However, the negative transfer learning phenomenon generated by the implementation process and how to make full use of the data of the target domain require further research.

It can be seen that, to overcome the different challenges in the field of fault diagnosis, researchers have proposed different methodologies over the past decades. From TML and ANN, to DL and TL, the performance of diagnosis models has been improved with the development of advanced methodologies. Meanwhile, for WT, the fault diagnosis approaches based on ML have rarely been mentioned in the existing works. Therefore, a review is needed to summarize the current research progress in this field. This review makes several contributions to the literature:

(a) The development of WT fault diagnosis method is summarized into four parts, which represent the evolution of ML in the field of fault diagnosis. The challenges for research on ML methods for WT fault diagnosis are summarized for future research directions.

(b) A distribution diagram is provided for the discussion of ML methods applied for WT fault diagnosis. The challenges for research on ML methods for WT fault diagnosis are summarized for future research directions.

(c) To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that such a comprehensive review of fault diagnosis methods and applications specialized in WT is proposed.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, some TML methods are summarized. Section III gives a review of the applications of ANN methods. Section IV mainly focuses on the approaches of DL. Section V introduces TL method and its applications, and Section VI provides a discussion. Conclusions are enclosed in section VII.

II. TML METHODS APPLIED FOR FAULT DIAGNOSIS OF WT

A. SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE (SVM)

1) Theoretical basis

SVM is a pattern-recognition method based on the principle of structural risk minimization. SVM is usually based on a limited sample to find the best generalization ability by finding the optimal compromise between model complexity and learning ability. It attempts to find the maximum margin between the two data categories and then determines the hyperplane that is in the middle of the maximum margin.

For a dataset \( \{(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_l, y_l)\} \) and \( y_i \in \{-1, 1\} \), SVM training attempts to find a parameter \( w \) and a parameter \( b \) of the linear decision function \( f(x) = wx + b \) defining the optimal hyperplane. Considering two points \( x_1 \) and \( x_2 \) with \( f(x_1) = 1 \) and \( f(x_2) = -1 \), the margin equals to:

\[
\text{margin} = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_2)}{\|w\|} = \frac{2}{\|w\|.}
\]

Thus, maximizing the margin is equivalent to minimizing \( \|w\| \) or \( \|w\|^2 \). Then, to achieve the optimal hyperplane, the SVM solves the following optimization problem:

\[
\min_{w, b} \frac{1}{2} w^T w
\]

s.t. \( y_i(w^T \cdot x_i + b) \geq 1, \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, l. \)

The transformation of this optimization problem into its corresponding dual problem gives the following quadratic problem:

\[
\max_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{l} \alpha_i \alpha_j y_i y_j (x_i \cdot x_j),
\]

s.t. \( \sum_{i=1}^{l} y_i \alpha_i = 0, \quad \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, 2, \ldots, l, \)

where \( \alpha_i \) is the Lagrange multiplier. The solution of the previous problem gives the parameter \( w = \sum_{i=1}^{l} y_i \alpha_i x_i \) of the optimal hyperplane. Hence in dual space, the decision function becomes:

\[
f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} \alpha_i y_i (x_i \cdot x) + b.
\]

The SVM maps the eigenvectors of the low-dimensional space to a high-dimensional space through appropriate kernel functions, and constructs the optional linear classification hyperplane in the assigned area to classify different types of points. However, the classical SVM is suitable for the two-classification problem, but it is normally a multi-classification problem, and the original SVM needs to be modified to adapt to the multiclass problem by transforming it into a serial of two-class problem as shown in Fig 1. Currently, there are primarily four types of SVM for the multi-classification problem: one against all (OAA), one against one (OAO), directed acyclic graph (DAG), and hierarchical SVM (H-SVM).

In the OAA algorithm, a class of samples is distinguished for each classifier, so K of the two-class SVM is needed to identify K class samples. When applying the OAA method, the
training time increases proportionally with the increment of the sample, and the sample classification accuracy decreases with the accumulation of the test error rate by multiple classifier algorithms. The OAO algorithm recombines any two of the multiple categories and designs corresponding two-class SVM for each combination. Then, the unknown samples categories are specified according to the amount of the corresponding division of each classifier result. The disadvantage of the algorithm is that the number of classifiers generated increases rapidly with the number of classes, and when there are too many classification categories, the training speed decreases exponentially. The DAG algorithm is a directional graph without a closed loop, which is consistent with OAO during the training phase. For the problem of distinguishing N types of samples, only N-1 steps are required to complete the classification. Compared with the OAO classification, the DAG improves the classification speed. Nevertheless, the algorithm does not study the impact of sample error propagation on subsequent generations. The H-SVM algorithm is similar to DAG. When the morphology of the hierarchy is close to that of the complete binary tree, the method has an ideal training speed and requires fewer classifiers.

2) Applications
Owing to the complexity of the WT system, only some typical faults are discussed in this section.

(a) Fault diagnosis applied to the gearbox of WT.

In Ref. [18], a WT fault diagnosis method was proposed based on a diagonal spectrum and clustering binary tree SVM. Comparing the test results with the general SVM and radial basis function (RBF) neural networks, the method presented in this paper achieved higher diagnostic accuracy with fewer fault samples. The sample attributes were reduced by using a rough neighborhood set in Ref. [19]. The rough neighborhood set ensured that important attributes were added to the reduced attribute set using a forward search method to avoid the loss of essential characteristics. Compared with SVM without rough neighborhood set, the proposed method reduced the computational time and improved the accuracy of fault diagnosis by 6%. In Ref. [6], the optimal penalty factor and kernel function parameters were obtained by cross optimization to construct an SVM classifier with the lowest structural risk and good classification effect. In Ref. [20], Cuckoo search optimization (CSO) algorithm was applied to optimize the kernel function parameters in the SVM. The CSO algorithm is a novel particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm, and the diagnostic results of SVM based on the CSO algorithm were increased by 2.5%, 3.5% and 6.5% respectively, as compared with the traditional SVM and SVM based on PSO and KNN. In Ref. [21], the proposed structure selected SVM based on DAG overcome the unreasonable distribution of nodes, which led to poor diagnosis results. By considering the similarity between the nodes, the nodes were selected to maximize the difference between the two categories. However, the proposed method does not guarantee that the chosen results have a minimum diagnostic error rate. In Ref. [22], multi-fault SVM classifiers composed of OAO algorithms can achieve fault diagnosis under multiple operating conditions, which improves the generalization ability of the proposed method. In addition, the current signal is used for fault diagnosis in this method so that the signal acquisition cost is reduced under the premise of improving the signal reliability. According to the characteristics of vibration fault signals in the gearbox of WT, the feature vectors obtained by wavelet decomposition of the gearbox vibration signals in Ref. [23] were input into the multi-class least squares SVM (LSSVM) constructed by the OAO method for model training and fault diagnosis. A novel fault diagnosis method based on manifold learning and Shannon wavelet SVM was presented by Tang et al. [24] for WT transmission systems. The successful fault diagnosis application in a WT gearbox proved that the performance of the proposed method is effective.

(b) Fault diagnosis applied to WT bearing.

In Ref. [25], a model of the WT output power was established using the regression SVM. When the WT fails, the generator output power gradually decreases with the gradual increase of the fault, and the residual between the actual value and the predicted value exceeds the standard threshold. Thus, potential failure can be detected through a continuing change in the trend of the residual. Gao et al. [26] proposed a novel WT fault diagnosis method based on integral extension load mean decomposition multiscale entropy and LSSVM which was aimed at the nonstationary and nonlinear characteristics of WT vibration signals. The feature vectors were obtained by ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD) [27] and input into the model for training which were optimized by inner cluster distance (ICD). The ICD can measure the degree of separation between different categories in the characteristic space, which can be used to optimize the kernel function parameters in the SVM. The experimental results showed better diagnostic performance than the EMD-ICD-SVM and EEMD-ANN. In Ref. [28], the problem of low-speed rotating bearing fault diagnosis was solved using an alpha stable distribution (ASD). The proposed approach combined the EMD to obtain the characteristic parameters, and chose the most sensible and stable characteristic parameters of the faults that were input into the diagnostic network, and optimized
the LSSVM by using PSO. The test results demonstrated that the proposed method can not only achieve fault diagnosis of low-speed rolling bearings’ damage position and degree, but also has better diagnostic accuracy and operational efficiency than other methods. In Ref. [29], the variational mode decomposition (VMD) was optimized using the quantum chaotic fruit fly optimization algorithm for the fault diagnosis of the bearing in the WT. The fault features were then grouped into two-dimensional, vectors that were sent to the RVM for fault diagnosis, and the experimental results demonstrated that the proposed method is effective.

(c) Fault diagnosis applied to the rotational imbalance of the WT.

In Ref. [30], a fault diagnosis method for a direct-drive wind turbine based on support vector machine (SVM) and feature selection was presented. Five direct-drive wind turbine experiments were carried out, the features of which were analyzed. Then the sensitive time-domain feature parameters in the horizontal and vertical directions of the vibration signal in the five conditions were selected and used as feature samples. The method was effective in identifying the fault of wind turbine and had good classification ability and robustness to diagnose faults in direct-drive WT. In Ref. [31], the kernel FCM algorithm was used to estimate the degree of similarity between test samples, and the PSO algorithm was employed to optimize the parameters of the kernel function. Finally, the optimized eigenvectors were used to train and test the optimized multiclass fuzzy SVM model constructed using the OAO method. The experimental results demonstrated that the proposed approach is an effective fault diagnosis method. In Ref. [32], the obtained representative features were selected using the principal component analysis (PCA) method and applied to train the proximal SVM (PSVM). Compared with the probability neural network and learning vector quantization, it requires less time and has a higher diagnostic accuracy.

(d) Fault diagnosis applied to the misalignment of WT.

When a misalignment problem occurs, a severe dynamic load is generated between the high-speed shaft of the transmission and the generator shaft, increasing the axial and radial vibrations of the shaft. In addition, the bearing oil leakage, high temperature and loosening of the fastening bolts affect power generation. In Ref. [33], the dual-tree complex wavelet transform (DTCWT) was used to demodulate and reconstruct the signal to obtain the feature vector. Then the PSO algorithm was employed to optimize the kernel function parameters and the penalty factor in the SVM, and construct a multi-class SVM using the OAO method. Nevertheless, this method was only for simulation analysis, and actual cases were not applied to examine the effectiveness of the approach. In Ref. [34], a method of heterogeneous information fusion was proposed to solve the problem of misalignment in WT. First, the feature vectors were obtained by fusing the fault characteristics of the multi-source signals, and dimensionality reduction processing was performed using t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (t-SNE). Subsequently, the LSSVM was optimized by using the artificial bee colony algorithm while performing network training. The t-SNE algorithm is based on the conditional probability nonlinear reduction algorithm, which is able to represent high-dimensional information using two-dimensional or three-dimensional data so that it could be used as a visualization algorithm.

3) Summary

SVM maps feature vectors of high-dimensional space by selecting appropriate kernel functions and thus achieving fault classification. It is particularly suitable for nonlinear processing data and high-dimensional samples. It can obtain higher diagnostic accuracy with fewer and simpler samples, and has good global optimization and generalization capabilities. Nevertheless, it is worth noting that the SVM still has some defects:

(a) The selection of the kernel function and penalty factor is essential for the diagnosis accuracy of SVM.

(b) SVM is suitable for solving the binary problem, for the multi-classification problem and processing a large number of samples, the performance of SVM needs to be improved.

(c) SVM converges to a local minimum easily. Therefore, the SVM should be further optimized and improved.

(d) When a new type of fault occurs, the established diagnostic model should be able to update itself.

B. DECISION TREE (DT)

1) Theoretical basis

The DT uses an attribute test of the data to achieve classification. Generally, a DT contains a root node, several intermediate nodes, and several leaf nodes. Each leaf node represents a category corresponding to the decision result. Each intermediate node represents an attribute test. The considering scope of each test is within the bounds of the last decision result, and the contained samples based on the outcome of the attribute test are divided into sub-nodes. The root node contains the complete set of samples, and the path from the root node to each leaf node corresponds to a decision test sequence. A greedy algorithm is applied to the decision tree in the construction process to optimize the current classification effect optimal. There are three forms of termination conditions for decision tree recursion: (a) all samples of the current node belong to one category and do not need to be divided; (b) the current attribute set is empty, or all samples have the same value of all attributes and cannot be separated; (c) the current sample set is empty and cannot be divided.

2) Applications

In Ref. [35], the fault diagnosis decision table was obtained from the past fault samples and discretized by the FCM, which was optimized by the rough set theory and the maximum cluster ratio. The optimized decision table was then used together with the C4.5 algorithm to train the DT. The experimental
results showed that the proposed method can effectively reduce the computing load of fault diagnosis and improve the diagnostic accuracy. Vamsi et al. [36] proposed a method utilizing the multi-source signals to acquire fault features for the gearbox fault diagnosis problem in WT. Then the features were chosen by the C4.5 algorithm, and the dominant features were selected as the feature vector and sent to the SVM for fault diagnosis model training and verification. In Ref. [37], [38], the J48 algorithm was used to extract the fault features, and the features that were most sensitive to the fault were selected as the feature vector, which was sent to fuzzy Q-learning for fault diagnosis in Ref. [37]. The experimental results proved that the performance of the method was better than that of the ANN and SVM. In Ref. [38], the features were sent to a classifier based on the best-first tree algorithm and functional trees algorithm, and it was proved that the fault diagnosis rate of the method in WT blade reached 91.67% in 10-fold cross validation. In Ref. [39], the DT method was used for fault diagnosis, and a graphical relationship between the fault source and the fault was established. Then, the reasoning between the fault and the fault source was learned based on the existing fault samples, and the learning results were utilized for fault diagnosis. Both the diagnostic accuracy and the confirmation time of the fault were improved.

3) Summary

The DT can deepen the understanding of faults in WT based on the learning of existing fault samples, and does not require much processing of the data when establishing the DT. In addition, it can deal with the data containing noise, and is not sensitive to information loss. Nevertheless, it is easy to converge to a local minimum, and the risk of overfitting the data is high, and cannot be used to establish an online diagnostic network. Moreover, when there are too many categories need to be classified, the diagnostic accuracy may decrease.

C. BAYES

1) Theoretical basis

Bayesian decision theory is a method for implementing decisions based on prior knowledge of the conditions. The fundamental principle of the Bayes classifier is the Bayes rule, as follows:

$$P(\omega_i|x) = \frac{p(x|\omega_i)P(\omega_i)}{\sum_{j=1}^{K} p(x|\omega_j)P(\omega_j)}.$$  \hfill (5)

The Bayes rule indicates how the information of known probability density functions, \(p(x|\omega_i)\), and a priori probabilities, \(P(\omega_i)\), can be used to calculate the posterior probability, \(P(\omega_i|x)\). The minimum-error-rate classification can be achieved by use of the Bayes discriminant functions as follows:

$$g_i(x) = \ln P(x|\omega_i) + \ln P(\omega_i), \quad \text{where} \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, c.$$  \hfill (6)

This expression above can be readily evaluated if the densities \(p(x|\omega_i)\) are normal distribution, that is, if there is a distribution \(p(x|\omega_i) \sim N(\mu_i, \Sigma_i)\). So in this case, we have:

$$g_i(x) = -\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu_i)^T\Sigma_i^{-1}(x-\mu_i) - \frac{d}{2}\ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2}\ln |\Sigma_i| + \ln P(\omega_i),$$  \hfill (7)

where \(\mu_i\) is the mean and \(d\ln 2\pi\) is a constant. All the necessary information of each class and feature cluster is contained in the mean vector and covariance matrix. The center of each cluster is determined by the mean vector and the shape of the cluster using the covariance matrix. As for sorting tasks, in cases where all correlation probabilities are known, Bayesian decision-making considers how to choose the best class label based on these probabilities and misjudged losses. Because each sample has the possibility of being mislabeled, the expected loss due to a misclassification of the sample which is conditional risk of an example can be calculated based on the posterior probability. To minimize the risk of overall misjudgment, it is necessary to reduce the conditional risk of each sample, which is to select the category label that minimizes the conditional likelihood for each sample based on the Bayesian decision rule. The posterior probability used in the Bayesian decision rule, which is immeasurable in actual operating conditions is usually obtained indirectly by Bayes’ theorem. Nowadays, the naïve Bayes classifier is widely used to solve the problem of joint probability between attributes when calculating the posterior probability. The naïve Bayes classifier uses the “attribute conditional independence assumption” which means that for all known categories, it is assumed that all attributes are independent of each other. The semi-naïve Bayes classifiers are an improvement of the naïve Bayesian classifiers by providing proper consideration of the interdependence of attributes. [7]

2) Applications

(a) Fault diagnosis applied to the gearbox of WT.

Song et al. [40] conducted a comparison study on three types of Bayesian diagnostic models constructed based on SCADA, which include the bin method, the multivariate normal distribution-based method, and the Copula method. Examining the same data with the three diagnostic models showed that the Copula method can provide more diagnostic information. Moreover, the Bayesian diagnostic model was compared with the traditional energy curve-based diagnostic method to illustrate the superiority of the Bayesian diagnostic network. Li et al. [41] proposed a method based on tunable Q-factor wavelet transform-morphological component analysis (TQWT-MCA) and a sparse Bayesian iteration algorithm combined with a step-impulse dictionary to address the issue of high-speed remote transmission and large-capacity data storage. The proposed method solves the problem of data loss and confusion during data transmission by considering the interaction of data between multiple channels. Yu et al. [42] tried to establish a decision table using the characteristic
relation and then used an assignment reduction algorithm to remove redundant features, and the remaining features were sent to a flexible naïve Bayesian classifier for fault diagnosis. The experimental results prove that the proposed method can achieve a planetary gearbox fault diagnosis with incomplete diagnostic information, reduce computational complexity, and enhance reasoning accuracy. Zhong et al. [43] combined correlation analysis with the Hilbert-Huang transform (HHT) to extract eigenvectors, and then eigenvectors were sent to a pairwise-coupled sparse Bayesian extreme learning machine for model training and fault diagnosis. Comparing the method with pairwise-coupled probabilistic neural networks and pairwise-coupled RVM, the results demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed method. 

(b) Fault diagnosis applied to the bearing of WT. 

Herp et al. [44] developed a method that assumes that the fault features extracted from the SCADA data obey the Gaussian distribution in the feature space, and the fault diagnosis model was established by learning the fault samples. The experimental outcomes demonstrated that the method can detect faults on average 33 days in advance, but the method has low fault diagnosis accuracy and relies heavily on high-quality samples. D. Wang [45] proposed an approach to combine the Infogram with the novel Bayesian inference to improve the wavelet filtering to determine the optimal wavelet parameters and apply them for fault diagnosis. Two instance studies proved that the proposed Bayesian inference method is convergent and provides more fault signatures than the Infogram. Li et al. [46] utilized the PSO algorithm to optimize the importance of different signals in the process of extracting fault features. The PCA algorithm was then used to select the most sensitive fault features that were subsequently sent to the three-tier Bayesian belief network for fault diagnosis. The effectiveness of the proposed method was verified through experiments. Yu et al. [47] presented a fault feature extraction method based on mean multi-granulation decision-theoretic rough set (MMG-DTRS) and non-naïve Bayesian classifier (NNBC). To begin with, fault features were obtained by the MMG-DTRS, and the representative feature dimension was then reduced by the attribute reduction algorithm, and finally the fault diagnosis was performed by the NNBC. In addition, the choice of optimized bandwidth in NNBC was used to ignore the assumption of attribute independence, and the joint probability density function was applied to replace the edge probability density function to make the diagnostic model more realistic.

3) Summary 

Bayesian networks diagnose faults by minimizing the risk of separation. The diagnostic model can make the fault diagnosis fast and straightforward. For multi-classification problems, the computational complexity does not increase significantly. In addition, in the case of independent distribution of attributes, the diagnosis effect is particularly useful, and it is not sensitive to data loss. Nevertheless, the network cannot work when there is an error category that has never been recorded in the data, and the diagnostic accuracy decreases when the input data attributes are not independent of each other. Moreover, the diagnostic system needs to know the prior probability in advance, which is usually subjected to a hypothetical model. If the model selected is unreasonable, the diagnostic accuracy decreases.

D. HIDDEN MARKOV MODEL (HMM) 

1) Theoretical basis 

HMM is based on the Markov chain. The state at the next moment is only related to the current state and has nothing to do with the previous state. There are two variables in HMM. The first one is a state variable, which indicates the state of the system at a precise moment because the system often changes between these states, so the state variables of the system are usually discrete spaces with some possible values and usually assume that the state variables of the system are not measurable. The second state variable is the observed variable, which is the observed value of the system at a particular time. The observed values may be discrete or continuous. The transition between states in the basic HMM depends on a certain probability, which is the state transition probability matrix, and assumes that the probability matrix and the state in the diagnostic model do not change over time.

An N states’ HMM can be expressed by:

$$\lambda = (N, M, \pi, A, B),$$  

where $N$ is the number of state of HMM and the state $b_t \in \{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_N\}$ at moment $t$. $M$ is the number of possible observations for each state. $\pi$ denotes to probability of the original state and $\pi = (\pi_1, \pi_2, \ldots, \pi_N)$. Note that $\pi_i$ can be described as:

$$\pi_i = P(q_t = S_i), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N,$$

and $\pi_i$ satisfies to normalization condition, i.e. $\sum_{i=1}^{N} \pi_i = 1$. $A$ is state transition probability matrix, 

$$A = (a_{ij})_{N \times N}, \quad a_{ij} = P(q_{t+1} = S_j | q_t = S_i),$$

$$1 \leq i, j \leq N, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij} = 1.$$  

$B$ is observed probability matrix and 

$$B = (b_{jk})_{N \times M}, \quad b_{jk} = P(O_t = V_k | q_t = S_j),$$

$$1 \leq j \leq N, \quad 1 \leq k \leq M, \quad \sum_{k=1}^{M} b_{jk} = 1.$$  

HMM model can also be simply remarked as $\lambda = (\pi, A, B)$. Moreover, in a classification problem, the diagnostic model is usually estimated based on the observed sequence, and maximizes the probability of occurrence of the observed sequence [48], [49].
2) Applications

(a) Fault diagnosis applied to the gearbox of WT.

Li et al. [50] presented a new optimal Bayesian control approach to predict the early fault of a partially observable gear shaft system subjected to deterioration and random failure. The optimal maintenance policy represented by a multivariate Bayesian control scheme based on a hidden semi-Markov model (HSMM) was developed. The method determines whether there is a fault by detecting the posterior probability, and the model can be applied to online diagnosis once the boundary of the posterior probability is determined by learning. Long-term vibration data were collected from a 3MW WT based on two-year observations [51]. The observation thresholds of various signals and the correlation coefficients between the signals were determined and committed to the HMM for fault diagnosis. The experimental results indicated that the success rate of the method was as high as 95%. However, considering the continuous monitoring of the acquired data, the threshold in the method needs to be adjusted in real time, and when a new state occurs, the state transition matrix should update in time.

(b) Fault diagnosis applied to the bearing of WT.

In order to tackle the issue that HMM is not very efficient in accuracy and sensitivity of fault diagnosis [52], the fuzzy scalar quantization method was used to reduce the influence of outliers in the data which makes the HMM more sensitive to fault characteristics. Gao et al. [53] proposed a method to obtain the feature vector by combining the local mean decomposition with the mutual information method and the false nearest neighbor, and then the features were used for HMM training and diagnosis. The experimental results show that the proposed method can effectively identify the different faults of the rolling bearing. Liu et al. [54] introduced a hybrid generalized HMM-based condition monitoring approach for rolling bearings where interval valued features were used to efficiently recognize and classify machine states in the machine process. The PCA technique was applied to reduce the dimensionality of features that were obtained by VMD and the remaining fault features were sent to the generalized HMM for fault diagnosis. The experimental results proved the superiority of the method in signal processing and fault diagnosis.

3) Summary

The HMM is a graphical diagnostic model based on the Markov chain. This indicates the reasoning process for fault diagnosis. However, because of the nature of the Markov chain, it is only related to the state of the previous moment. Hence, the historical data cannot be fully utilized, and the probability of the state transition matrix remains unchanged in the diagnostic model, which is not in line with the real situation. Moreover, the topology in the diagnostic model is sometimes ambiguous, and it is challenging to create a precise topology.

E. RANDOM FOREST (RF)

1) Theoretical basis

RF is a typical representative of ensemble learning, completing learning tasks by building multiple learners. The RF makes multiple learners parallel based on the decision tree to learn one problem at the same time. The results of these learners decide on the final results. Moreover, in the process of learning in an RF, the current partitioning attribute is obtained by randomly selecting k attributes in the attribute set, and then the optimal attributes among the k attributes are chosen. In this way, not only the diversity of samples but also the diversity of attributes improve the generalization ability of RF algorithms.

2) Applications

(a) Fault diagnosis applied to the gearbox of WT.

Gan et al. [55] proposed a fault diagnosis method for WT’s gearbox based on an improved genetic algorithm RF classifier. The acquired feature vectors were filtered by the PCA algorithm to form feature vectors, which were sent to the RF for fault diagnosis. In addition, the number of RF learners in the method and the number of attributes in each node partition attribute set were optimized using the genetic algorithm (GA). The experimental results indicated that the method is more effective than SVM and traditional RF. A gearbox fault diagnosis method based on deep RF fusion of acoustic and vibration signals was introduced by Li et al. [56]. The obtained feature vectors were sent to the deep Boltzmann machine for high-dimensional fault feature learning, and the learned fault features were fused by RF and used for fault diagnosis. The experimental results indicated that the diagnostic accuracy of the method was as high as 97.68% for the 11 different condition patterns.

(b) Fault diagnosis applied to the bearing of WT.

Han et al. [57] utilized VMD to obtain a feature vector that was sent to the RF for fault diagnosis. The experimental results showed that the approach achieved higher accuracy than SVM, GA-SVM, and PSO-SVM with less time. Qin [58] combined ensemble empirical mode decomposition (EEMD) and RF to achieve a fault diagnosis. The feature vectors obtained by EEMD are subsequently sent to the RF for fault diagnosis. Five cross-validations proved the effectiveness of this method. To address the issue of traditional feature extraction methods, it is difficult to accurately extract fault information, and there is a serious problem of information redundancy in fault diagnosis. Jia et al. [59] used the two-dimensional signal correlation information combined with the complex EMD to obtain the fault features, and then used the Gini index to measure the importance of each feature to perform feature screening, and finally sent the features into the RF for fault diagnosis. Simulation and experimental results shows that the method can effectively extract fault features.

3) Summary

RF is a fault diagnosis model based on the decision tree. Because it learns the same problem through multiple learners,
it can obtain better diagnostic results than the general learner. Through different sampling methods and selecting different partitioning attributes, the robustness of RF is enhanced, which makes the method more generalizable. Moreover, the RF is not sensitive to outliers in the data. However, because it contains multiple learners, the training process is complicated. And it requires much more calculation time. If there is intense noise in the processed data, the method may suffer from the risk of overfitting. In addition, when selecting a learner, there is no clear guideline to specify which learner is better at diagnosing a problem.

F. CLUSTERING ALGORITHM (CA)

1) Theoretical basis

The CA is an unsupervised learning algorithm that attempts to divide the unmarked samples into several disjoint subsets, each of the subsets integrates into a "cluster" as shown in Fig. 2. The classification goal is that the samples within the cluster should be as similar as possible, and the differences between the clusters are expected to be as substantial as possible. The most widely used CA is the k-means and FCM algorithms.

The K-means algorithm uses the squared loss function to measure the similarity of the samples in the cluster and selects the cluster center by minimizing the squared loss function. The loss function can be defined as the sum of squares of errors between each sample and the center point of the cluster to which it belongs:

$$ J(c, \mu) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \|x_i - \mu_c\|^2, \quad (12) $$

where \(x_i\) represents the \(i\)th sample, \(c_i\) is the cluster to which \(x_i\) belongs, \(\mu_c\) represents the center point corresponding to the cluster, and \(M\) is the total number of samples.

The FCM algorithm determines the label of the sample by calculating the membership of each sample and the cluster center. By optimizing the objective function, it obtains the membership degree of each sample point to all class centers, so as to automatically classify the samples. If the computed values exceed a certain threshold, a new sample marker is added to accommodate the change of the sample. Suppose we have a dataset \(X\), and we want to classify the data in it. If these data are divided into \(c\) classes, the corresponding \(c\) class centers are \(c_i\), and each sample \(x_j\) belongs to a certain class, and the membership of \(c_i\) is determined as \(u_{ij}\). Then we define a FCM objective function and its constraints as follows:

$$ J = \sum_{i=1}^{c} \sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ij}^m \|x_j - c_i\|^2, \quad (13) $$

$$ \sum_{i=1}^{c} u_{ij} = 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots, n, \quad (14) $$

where \(m\) is a factor of membership, generally values to 2, and \(\|x_j - c_i\|\) represents the Euclidean distance from \(x_j\) to the center point \(c_i\).

2) Applications

(a) Fault diagnosis applied to the gearbox of WT.

A feature extraction method based on multi-fractal approximate entropy and subtractive clustering has been proposed [60]. First, the multi-fractal spectrum was combined with the approximate entropy to obtain the feature vector, and then the subtractive clustering was used to process the feature vector to make the initial clustering center, and FCM was applied for fault diagnosis. Among them, subtractive clustering can effectively solve the problem in which FCM easily falls into the local optimum. And it improves the convergence speed. Wu et al. [61] proposed a method to use kernel FCM to improve the clustering result of fuzzy c-means clustering for nonlinear data. Additionally, a gravitational search algorithm was proposed to solve the randomness of clustering centers. The results showed that concurrent faults could be effectively diagnosed.

(b) Fault diagnosis applied to the bearing of WT.

The K-means CA was used to process the outliers of the data [62], and then the auto-associative neural network was combined with the residual approach to approximate the data distribution to a normal distribution, and Hotelling multivariate quality control charts were used for fault identification. However, the method of processing data needs to be improved, and the diagnostic model cannot be updated in time. Because the accuracy of fault diagnosis is limited considering a single operating condition, Zhang et al. [63] combined the historical state of the SCADA data with the K-means CA to divide the health state into four subspaces. In each operational state subspace, according to the Gaussian mixture model, a basic model of health status and a health index was established based on the Mahalanobis distance to assess the health status of the online WT. However, this method does not adequately consider changes in the operating conditions under regular operation. A novel cluster-contraction stage-wise orthogonal matching-pursuit approach for bearing fault information extraction
was presented [64]. The clustering contraction mechanism was added to the Stage-wise Orthogonal-Matching-Pursuit (StOMP) algorithm and the selected atoms were filtered twice during atomic search, making the condition number of the support set more reasonable, thus realizing amelioration of the pathological equation in weight determination.

3) Summary

The CA achieves classification by calculating the different membership degrees of each sample in the cluster center. This method can manage massive quantities of data with high efficiency. However, the number of categories needs to be given in advance, and the selection of the initial cluster center has a significant influence on the training of the model. With the new data input, the cluster center of each class should continually adapt, which increases the amount of computation of the method. In addition, it may converge to a local minimum when processing big data.

G. SUMMARY OF TML

From the above introduction, it can be found that the application of TML methods for fault diagnosis of WT is pervasive. In fact, TML-based fault diagnosis methods are a big domain of many technologies. Many valuable methods that have been applied to fault diagnosis in addition to those we have summarized. For instance, [65] developed a surrogate model method, namely the modified Kriging-based moving extremum framework (MKMEF) to efficiently perform probabilistic analyses of the structural dynamic response. To improve the dynamic reliability analysis of complex structures such as turbine blisk, [66] proposed a moving extremum surrogate modeling strategy (MESMS) method. In [67], two different modified multi-extremum response surface basis models (MRSM) were proposed for dynamic nonlinear responses of failure capacities for turbine blisk responses. Usually, the information on the fault samples is analyzed to integrate the representative fault features based on past fault samples. A summary of the applications of TML for WT fault diagnosis is presented in Table 1.

To reduce the complexity of fault diagnosis in high-dimensional space and the time spent in model training, the acquired fault features are subjected to a dimensionality reduction process to remove redundant information and obtain more sensitive fault features. Then, a peculiar type of WT fault is trained in the model based on these fault characteristics. Nevertheless, there are some issues with this type of method:

(a) Because the acquired data are usually nonlinear and nonstationary, the data contain considerable noise interference.

(b) Extracting the fault features of these data while eliminating noise requires advanced signal processing methods. Nevertheless, the existing method can deal with these problems, simply because the approach is too complicated and time consuming.

(c) Fault features usually obtained in the time, frequency, and time-frequency domains. Although different fault features provided by different domains in the same algorithm can contain more fault information at the same time, it increases the complexity of the algorithm, and the selection of fault features in a specific fault usually depends on prior knowledge. Therefore, it is necessary to further study the domain to select for feature extraction and the fault features in the domain.

(d) Environmental information, climate change, and other factors should be considered when selecting fault feature vectors to ensure the comprehensiveness of the information obtained.

III. ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS (ANN)

The ANN is a data-driven fault diagnosis method based on a biological model. ANN establishes a system of adaptive neurons with a broad scope of parallel interconnections, and fault diagnosis is achieved by nonlinear fitting of the input samples and output results. A typical representative of an ANN is a multilayer perceptron as shown in Fig 3. It consists of an input layer, an output layer, and a hidden layer in the center. Because of their high self-learning capability, ANN-based models could automatically learn better diagnosis knowledge with fewer prior knowledge than TML. Therefore,

| Methodologies | Monitoring Components | References |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|
| SVM           | Gearbox               | Li et al. [17], Liu et al. [18], Ning et al. [19], Agasthian et al. [20], Li et al. [21], Cheng et al. [22], Liu et al. [23], Tang et al. [24] |
| DT            | Bearing               | Li et al. [25], Gao et al. [26], Zhang et al. [27], Qi et al. [28], Xu et al. [29] |
| Bayes         | Gears, Generation system | Wang et al. [35], Vamsi et al. [36], Malik et al. [37], Josuha et al. [38], Liu et al. [39] |
| HMM           | Gearbox               | Song et al. [40], Li et al. [41], Yu et al. [42], Zhong et al. [43] |
| HMM           | Bearing               | Herp et al. [44], Wang et al. [45], Ke et al. [46], Yu et al. [47] |
| RF            | Gearbox               | Marquez et al. [48], Man et al. [49], Li et al. [50], Sung et al. [51] |
| CA            | Gearbox               | Liu et al. [52], Gao et al. [53], Liu et al. [54] |
|               | Bearing               | Gan et al. [55], Li et al. [56] |
|               | Bearing               | Han et al. [57], Qin et al. [58], Jia et al. [59] |
|               | Bearing               | Yang et al. [62], Zhang et al. [63], Song et al. [64] |
they can be regarded as more advanced technologies than TML-based methods.

Fig 3 (b) depicts a fully connected neural network, where each neuron is connected to each neuron in the adjacent layer. The input layer neurons only accept external inputs. The hidden layer neurons not only accept the information from the previous layer but also generate an output to the next layer by comparing the total received inputs with the threshold of the neuron. In addition, the effect produced by the hidden layer was invisible. The output layer neurons produce outputs based on the inputs obtained through an activation function. This section introduces several widely used ANNs.

A. BACK PROPAGATION NEURAL NETWORK (BPNN)

1) Theoretical basis

The BPNN is a multilayer feedforward network trained by an error back-propagation algorithm. The training process includes two parts: the forward propagation of the diagnosis network and the reverse fine adjustment of the network parameters. The error propagation in the opposite direction is to distribute the output error through the hidden layer to the input layer, giving out the error to each layer unit. The learning rule applies the gradient descent method to continuously adjust the weights and thresholds of the network through backpropagation as shown in Fig 4, thus minimizing the sum of squared errors of the network. Given the training dataset \( \{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^m \) with \( m \) samples, where \( x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \) includes \( d \) features and \( y_i \in \mathbb{R}^l \) includes \( l \) health states, the output of the \( h \)th hidden layer is expressed as:

\[
(x^h_i)_j = \sigma^h(\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \omega^h_i \cdot x^{h-1}_i + b^h_j),
\]

\( j = 1, 2, \ldots, n_h, h = 1, 2, \ldots, H, \) (15)

where \( (x^h_i)_j \) is the output of the \( j \)th neuron in the \( h \)th hidden layer, and \( x^0 = x_i, n_h \) is the number of neurons in the \( h \)th hidden layer, \( \sigma^h \) represents the activation function of the \( h \)th hidden layer, \( n_{h-1} \) is the number of neurons in the \((h-1)\)th hidden layer, \( \omega^h_j \) is the weights between the neurons in the \((h-1)\)th layer and the \( j \)th neuron in the \( h \)th hidden layer, and \( b^h_j \) is the bias of the \( h \)th hidden layer. The predicted output of

\[
(\hat{y})_k = \sigma^{out}(\sum_{i=1}^{n_H} \omega^{out}_i \cdot x^H_i + b^{out}_j),
\]

\( k = 1, 2, \ldots, l, \) (16)

where \( (\hat{y})_k \) is the predicted output of the \( k \)th neuron in the output layer, \( \sigma^{out} \) is the activation function of the output layer, \( \omega^{out}_j \) and \( b^{out}_j \) are respectively the weights and bias of the output layer. When given a certain training sample \( x_i, y_i \), the optimization objective of BPNN aims to minimize the error between the predicted output and the target one by:

\[
\min_{\omega,b} E_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{l} [(y_k) - (\hat{y}_k)]^2.
\] (17)

2) Applications

To address the problems of highly nonlinear and nonstationary signals of WT, a fault diagnosis method for direct-drive WT was proposed by An et al. [68] used a BPNN. The time-domain feature parameters of the vibration signals in the horizontal and vertical directions were considered in the method. Test samples were utilized to verify the validity of the BPNN model, and showed a higher diagnostic accuracy. In Ref. [69], the Levenberg-Marquardt (L-M) algorithm was utilized for the BPNN, and the faults of the gear box, wind pressure difference system and generator in the WT were diagnosed. The MATLAB simulation experiment was used to determine the fault characteristics that were most sensitive in differentiating faults, and it was indicated that it is feasible to use neural networks for the fault predictive diagnosis of WT. Wang et al. [70] proposed a method based on a BPNN to address the WT converter circuit fault diagnosis online. The converter circuit model of the doubly fed WT has strong nonlinearity, which makes it difficult to diagnose faults online. Data acquisition and data normalization were performed using critical points in the voltage or current signals that might be faulty. Then, the data with fault information were sent to a four-layer BPNN for fault diagnosis. The field results proved the effectiveness of the proposed method. Han et al. [71] proposed a tabu search method to optimize the BPNN...
for predicting the output energy of the WT. Owing to the ability of global optimization, the tabu search method can improve the BPNN deficiency that can quickly converge to the local optimal value. By inputting appropriate parameters, the method can improve the convergence speed while improving the diagnostic accuracy.

3) Summary

BPNN has been widely applied in fault diagnosis because of its maturity. Under the assumption that there are enough neurons in the hidden layer, the three-layer neural network can approximate any function with arbitrary precision. Network training sustains self-learning and self-adjustment capability. Moreover, this method has fault tolerance ability. When an individual neuron has an error, the impact on the overall result is not significant, and there is a specific generalization ability for the same type of problem. However, the convergence speed of BPNN is slow, and it is easy to converge to the local optimal value, and there is a certain risk of overfitting. The selection of the initial weight in the method and the selection of the learning rate in the fine-tuning process need to be further studied.

B. EXTREME LEARNING MACHINE (ELM)

1) Theoretical basis

The ELM is an improvement of BPNN, also a feedforward neural network, so it has an input layer, a hidden layer, and an output layer. The connection weights of the input layer and the hidden layer and the threshold of the hidden layer are set randomly or artificially and do not need to be updated during the learning process. The weighted connections of the hidden and output layers are determined by solving the system of equations and cannot be updated once determined.

If the training dataset is given as

\[ \{x_i, t_i | x_i \in \mathbb{R}^D, t_i \in \mathbb{R}^m, i = 1,2, \cdots , N\}, \]  

where \( x_i \) is ith data instance, \( t_i \) is the label of ith data instance, and such set denotes to all training data, the output of hidden layer can be written as,

\[ H(x) = [h_1(x), h_2(x), \cdots , h_L(x)] \]  

where \( h_i(x) (i = 1, 2, \cdots , L) \) is output of the ith hidden layer node, which is not unique. Generally, \( h_i(x) \) can be described as follows:

\[ h_i(x) = g(w_i, b_i, x) = g(w_i x + b_i), w_i \in \mathbb{R}^D, b_i \in \mathbb{R} \]  

where \( g(w_i, b_i, x) \) is the activation function and Sigmoid function and Gaussian function are commonly used. \( w_i \) and \( b_i \) are parameters of hidden layer nodes. Then the output of the "generalized" single hidden layer feedforward neural network ELM is:

\[ f_L(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} \beta_i h_i(x) = H(x) \beta \]  

where \( \beta = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots , \beta_L]^T \) is the output weights between hidden and output layers.

2) Applications

(a) Fault diagnosis applied to the gearbox of WT.

Li et al. [72] proposed a method that combines VMD with the kernel ELM (KELM) for rolling bearing fault diagnosis. The feature vectors obtained by the VMD method were sent to the KELM for fault diagnosis. Simultaneously, the PSO algorithm was employed to optimize the penalty factor and kernel function parameters in the KELM. The experimental results indicated that the proposed method performed better than BPNN, SVM, and ELM. An intelligent WT gearbox diagnosis approach using VMDEA (optimize VMD parameter with differential evolution algorithm (DEA)) and ELM was reported by Isha et al. [73]. The mode number and the balancing parameter in the VMD were optimized based on DEA [72], and the signal was demodulated by the optimized VMD to obtain the feature vector for the ELM training. The experimental results indicated that the diagnostic accuracy of the method was improved by 10% for the ELM-based diagnostic method, and the diagnostic accuracy of the method was improved by 5%-10% for the VMD-based diagnostic method. A one-dimensional feature vector obtained by HHT was sent to a pairwise-coupled sparse Bayesian ELM for model training [43]. Experiments demonstrated that this method can consume a relatively short time to obtain higher diagnostic accuracy. To address the challenges of the dynamical and high-dimensional data generated from the WT generator system, Yang et al. [74] proposed a new fault diagnosis scheme composed of multiple ELMs. A multiple ELM in a hierarchical structure was utilized to achieve feature extraction and dimensionality reduction of data, and the last layer of the ELM was set as a fault classifier. Compared with other fault diagnosis methods that use a combination of wavelet packet transform (WPT), time-domain statistical feature (TDSF) and kernel principal component analysis (KPCA), the diagnostic accuracy of the proposed method was improved by 5%-10%. In Ref. [75], cloud computing technology was adopted for the condition monitoring of WT, and the compressed sensing method was used for data transmission, which can reduce the data transmission amount while ensuring the data security. The obtained feature vector was sent to the hierarchical ELM for fault recognition, and experiments proved the robustness of the proposed method.

(b) Fault diagnosis applied to the transmission chain of WT.

To solve the weakness (weak generalization ability, low diagnostic rate) of traditional fault diagnosis with feedforward neural networks, a fault diagnosis method based on an improved extreme learning machine (IELM) was proposed [76]. The sample set was updated by the new fault sample and the historical sample similarity, which guarantees that the diagnostic network can update the network according to the change in the fault sample while reducing the calculation time. Compared with SVM, ELM, and fixed-size sequential ELM, the proposed method has better diagnostic accuracy. Wang et al. [77] proposed a novel dual-ELM-based fault diagnostic framework for feature extraction and fault pattern recognition.
recognition. The acquired fault characteristics are sent to the
the dual-ELM for fault diagnosis. The dual-ELM contains only two basic ELMs, one for calculating the number of faults and the other for indicating the types of faults that may be involved. In addition, the network structure of the method is relatively small, and the ELM operation speed can be effectively utilized. In Ref. [78], an online sequential ELM method was proposed for fault diagnosis. The obtained fault features were normalized using a physical kinetic energy correction model to eliminate the effects of different speeds. The short-term and long-term fault diagnosis of WT through SCADA data proved the effectiveness of the method, and the method can update the model in real time according to the data change.

3) Summary
ELM is normally deemed as an improvement of BPNN because the learning speed of the algorithm is greatly improved as compared with the BPNN. Moreover, the algorithm performs complex learning by increasing the number of hidden layer neurons. Usually, the number of hidden layer neurons is connected to the categories that need to be distinguished so that they can adapt to new situations. At the same time, this method has better generalization ability. However, this method has only one layer of hidden neurons, and thus, the learning ability is limited.

C. RADIAL BASIS FUNCTION NEURAL NETWORK (RBFNN)

1) Theoretical basis
The RBFNN is a single hidden layer feedforward neural network that uses a radial basis function as the activation function of the hidden layer neuron, which is a local response function. The radial basis function (RBF) is a real-valued function whose value depends only on the distance from the origin or arbitrary point $c$, and point $c$ is called the central point. That is, the RBF can be described as follows:

$$
\phi(x) = \phi(||x||),
$$

or

$$
\phi(x,c) = \phi(||x-c||).
$$

Gaussian function

$$
\phi(x) = \exp(-r^2/2\sigma^2),
$$

multiquadric function

$$
\phi(x) = \sqrt{x^2 + c^2},
$$

and inverse Multiquadric function

$$
\phi(x) = 1/\sqrt{x^2 + c^2}
$$

are some typical RBFs.

In the application of this method, the number of center points and the position of the center points should be determined first, which are the number and location of neurons in the hidden layer. In addition, the method calculates the distance between the input sample and the hidden layer point as the input of the radial basis function, and the output layer is the linear combination of the output of the hidden layer neuron function. Moreover, the weights and biases in the neural network were determined from the process of learning the samples.

2) Applications
In Ref. [79], for the fault diagnosis of blades of WT, the fault features were first extracted based on historical data, and then the features were selected using the J48 algorithm. Subsequently, the acquired features were employed to train the sequential minimal optimization (SMO) algorithm and simple logistic algorithm (SLA), BPNN, logistic algorithm (LA), and RBFNN. The experimental results indicated that the SLA had most significant effect, and the correct rate reached 93.67%. A data-driven fault diagnosis and isolation method was proposed for fault diagnosis of actuators in WT [80]. The obtained feature vectors were sent to the BPNN, RBFNN, DT, and KNN for parallel training, and then the results of the respective learners were fused to obtain the results. Simulation results and Monte Carlo sensitivity analysis have proved the effectiveness of the proposed method.

3) Summary
The RBFNN has strong nonlinear fitting ability, which can deal with the law that is difficult to analyze in the system. Moreover, it has fast convergence speed and better generalization ability. Moreover, its performance for classification problems is better than BPNN. However, the quality of the learning data and the selection of samples significantly influenced on the learning effect. There is a problem of information loss in the learning process, and the reasoning process of the method cannot be explained.

D. SELF-ORGANIZING MAP (SOM)

1) Theoretical basis
SOM is a competitive learning model belonging to unsupervised neural network, which maps high-dimensional inputs to low-dimensional space while maintaining the topological structure of input data in the high-dimensional area, in other words, similar sample points in high-dimensional space are mapped to the adjacent neurons in the network output layer. The output layer neurons of SOM are arranged in a matrix in a two-dimensional space, and each neuron has a weight vector. After accepting the input vector, the network determines the winning neurons in the output layer and the position of the input vectors in the low-dimensional space. The vectors of the winning neurons and their neighboring neurons were then continuously adjusted to reduce the distances between these weight vectors and the current input samples. The purpose of SOM training is to find an appropriate weight vector for each neuron to maintain the topology.

The SOM process involves for major components, initialization, competition, cooperation and adaptation. In the initialization process, all connection weights were initialized with small random values.

In the competitive process, if the input space is $D$ dimension, the input data can be written as $x = \{x_i : i = 1,...,D\}$ and the connection weights between the input units $i$ and the neurons $j$ in the computation layer can be written as $w_{ij} = \{w_{ji} : j = 1,...,N ; i = 1,...,D\}$ where $N$ is the total number of neurons. The discriminant function can be defined
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The neuron whose weight vector comes closest to the input vector is declared the winner.

In the cooperative process, a similar topological neighbourhood for the neurons in SOM is defined as,

$$ T_{j,x} = \exp\left(-\frac{S_{j,x}^2}{2\sigma^2}\right), $$

where $S_{ij}$ is the lateral distance between neurons $i$ and $j$ on the grid of neurons, $I(x)$ is the index of the winning neuron.

A special feature of the SOM is that the size $\sigma$ of the neighbourhood needs to decrease with time which is defined as

$$ \sigma(t) = \sigma_0 \exp(-t/\tau_\sigma) $$

where $\sigma_0$ and $\tau_\sigma$ are super parameters.

In the adaptive process, the winning neuron and its neighbours have their weights updated. In practice, the appropriate weight update equation is

$$ \Delta w_{j,t} = \eta(t) \cdot T_{j,x} \cdot (x_i - w_{j,i}), $$

where $t$ is a time epoch and $\eta(t) = \eta_0 \exp(-t/\tau_\eta)$ is the learning rate, $\eta_0$ and $\tau_\eta$ are super parameters.

3) Summary

The output results of the SOM network are easy to understand. This method can also be used for the visualization of an algorithm, and its implementation structure is relatively simple. However, the calculation complexity of this method is relatively high, and it cannot learn a dataset with missing data. When the number of learning samples is small, the order of the input sample has a significant influence on the learning results. Moreover, the setting of the initial state and the selection of parameters influence the convergence speed of this method.

E. ADAPTIVE RESONANCE THEORY (ART)

1) Theoretical basis

ART is a competitive learning network. The network has a comparison layer, identification layer, identified threshold, and reset module. The comparison layer receives the input sample and transmits it to the recognition layer. Each neuron of the recognition layer corresponds to a pattern category, and the number of neurons can be dynamically increased during the training process to add a new pattern class. Upon having the input signal of the comparison layer, each neuron in the recognition layer calculates the distance between the representative vector of all storage classes and the input vectors, and the smallest distance is the winning neuron. If the similarity between the input vector and the winning neuron is greater than the recognition threshold, the current input sample is marked as the category to which the vector belongs, and the network weight is updated. Subsequently, when accepting similar input samples, the model calculates a higher degree of similarity, which gives the neuron a higher chance of winning. When the similarity between the input vector and the winning neuron is below the threshold, the reset module adds a new neuron to the network.
neuron in the recognition layer, and its representative vector is the current input vector.

The structure of ART includes layer F1 and F2, layer F1 is the comparison layer and layer F2 is the recognition. The neurons of each sublayer of F1 works in a shunt model and operates in an instantaneous balance. \( V_i(i = 1, ..., M) \) represents the activity of neurons and can be calculated as,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} V_i = -AV_i + (1 - BV_i)J_i^+ - (C + DV_i)J_i^-, \quad (26)
\]

where \( A \) and \( D \) are constants, \( B, C = 0 \), and \( J_i^+ \) represents the stimulation and \( J_i^- \) represents the inhibition. The solution of \( V_i \) is,

\[
V_i = \frac{J_i^+}{A + DJ_i^+}, \quad (27)
\]

The winning neuron \( y \) is obtained by layer F2 selects the from the result of F1, which is calculated as,

\[
T_j = \sum_k p_k z_{ji}, \quad (28)
\]

\[
y_i = \begin{cases} 
  d, & T_j = \max_j \{T_k\}, \forall k \text{ not marked} \notag \\
  0, & \text{otherwise} \notag 
\end{cases} \quad (29)
\]

where \( T_j \) is the similarity of neuron \( j \) of F2, \( z_{ji} \) represents the connection weight between neuron \( i \) of F1 and neuron \( j \) of F2, \( p_k \) is a parameter of layer F1 and \( d \) is a super parameter that can be selected according to different systems.

2) Applications

Yang et al. [88] constructed a vibration condition monitoring system for WT bearings based on noise suppression using ANN. The feature vectors acquired by multi-point data fusion. The feature vectors obtained from the EM correlation analysis were sent to ART for fault diagnosis. As an improved version of the ART, ART-2 can learn autonomously about emerging issues, and no prior knowledge is required. Through an analysis of the actual and simulated fault vibration signals of wind turbine bearings, the proposed EMD correlation model supplemented with ART-2 data fusion could not only effectively remove white noise and short-term disturbance noise but also extract early weak fault feature frequencies. In Ref. [89], the feature vectors obtained by the discrete wavelet transform (DWT) were addressed by ART-2 for fault diagnosis. The proposed method was applied to the vibration signals collected from a gearbox to diagnose gear-crank faults. The results showed that the relative wavelet energy could effectively extract the signal feature and that the ART-2 neural network could recognize the changing trend from the normal state to a crack fault before the occurrence of a broken tooth fault. Ben et al. [90] proposed an online automatic diagnosis approach for WT bearings progressive degradations based on ART-2, which was simulated by the Randall model and combined with the obtained feature vector into ART-2 for fault diagnosis. The use of real measured data from a wind turbine drivetrain proved that the proposed data-driven approach is suitable for online condition monitoring of WT bearings even under real experimental conditions and achieves a better generalization capability as compared to previous works even with noisy measurements. Lee et al. [91] presented a model-based fault diagnosis method for detecting and isolating faults in a robot arm control system. When a change in the system occurred, the errors between the system output and the estimated output crossed a predetermined threshold, and once a fault in the system was detected, the estimated parameters were transferred to the fault classifier by ART2 neural networks with uneven vigilance parameters for fault isolation. For the problem of gear fault diagnosis, the soft competitive learning fuzzy ART method was adopted by Wan et al. [92]. The Yu norm was introduced to solve the influence of the input sample order in the soft competitive learning fuzzy ART, and the lateral inhibition theory was also applied to solve the problem of modal node chaos. The obtained fault characteristics were sent to the soft competitive learning fuzzy ART for training, and then the weighted voting method was employed to make a judgment based on the correlation selection partial diagnosis results. Experiments demonstrated that the proposed method had better diagnostic accuracy and generalization ability.

3) Summary

ART is an incremental learning method that can learn new problems while retaining previous learning experiences. It is an unsupervised learning method that can learn the problem without any prior knowledge and can stably and quickly identify the object that has been determined. In addition, the method can avoid the disadvantages of other algorithms that easily converge to a local minimum. However, the ART needs to set parameters, and it is very difficult to determine the optimal combination of parameters. In addition, ART cannot handle imbalanced datasets and the order of the test data affects the final clustering results.

F. SUMMARY FOR ANN

ANN makes fault diagnosis faster and has a higher diagnostic accuracy than TML methods. Utilizing the nonlinear fitting of the ANN between the inputs and outputs can better learn the faulty information. When the structure of the input information changes or a new type of fault occurs, the ANN can learn new information structures or problems by modifying the link weights between some neurons, updating the thresholds, or adding new neurons. The ability of ANN to learn indivisible linear problems, especially high-dimensional data, is better than that of TML algorithms. Although the degree of dependence of ANN on prior knowledge is not as high as that of conventional TML algorithms, it still needs to rely on manual experience in feature extraction and feature selection. Meanwhile, other than the typical ANN-based methods reviewed in this section, there are many ANN-based works for fault diagnosis that are in the minority but have shown good performance. For example, [93] proposed an extremum response surface method by introducing generalized regression neural network (GRNN)
The summary of applications of ANN for WT fault diagnosis can be shown in Table 2.

**TABLE 2** The summary of applications of ANN to WT fault diagnosis.

| Methodologies | Monitoring Components | References |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|
| BPNN          | Gearbox, Transmission chain, generator fault | An et al. [68], Ju et al. [69], Zhe et al. [70], Shuang et al. [71] |
| ELM           | Gearbox               | Li et al. [72], Isham et al. [73], Yang et al. [74], Qian et al. [75] |
| Transmission chain | Wu et al. [76], Wang et al. [77], Qian et al. [78] |
| RBFNN         | Blades, Actuators     | Joshua et al. [79], Pashazadeh et al. [80] |
| SOM           | Bearings, Gearbox     | Zhemin et al. [81], Yang et al. [82], Fadda et al. [83], Kramer et al. [84], Wang et al. [85], Angle et al. [86], Alejanduro et al. [87] |
| ART           | Bearings, Gearbox     | Yang et al. [88], Li et al. [89], Ali et al. [90], Lee et al. [91], Wan et al. [92] |

However, the hidden layer in the ANN usually has one layer, which causes the neural network to have limitations in learning data and may miss some vital information in the learning process to affect the accuracy of fault diagnosis. Another problem is that there is no precise standard for determining the number of neurons in each layer of the network. If the number of neurons is too small, the learning effect is impacted, and the accuracy of the fault diagnosis is subsequently reduced. However, if the number of neurons is too large, it takes more time to train the model, and the probability of overfitting increases, which reduces the generalization ability of the proposed method.

**IV. DEEP LEARNING (DL)**

To solve the problem that the acquisition process of fault features still relies on the manual experience in the ANN as well as only the superficial level features are learned and the extraction of deep-level features is insufficient, researchers introduced DL fault diagnosis for WT. The DL directly learns the acquired data through a multidimensional neural network to obtain high-dimensional features, which are a more essential representation of the data structure. Therefore, the generalizability of the diagnostic method is improved. DL combines two processes of feature acquisition and fault diagnosis, which reduces the dependence on advanced signal processing technology and diagnostic experience to improve the accuracy of fault diagnosis. In addition, this method has no special requirements for data and can process high-dimensional and nonlinear data that cannot be addressed in the past. The most commonly used DL networks are convolutional neural networks (CNN), deep belief networks (DBN), stacked auto-encoders (SAE), and recurrent neural networks (RNN).

**A. CONVOLUTIONAL NEURAL NETWORKS (CNN)**

1) **Theoretical basis**

A CNN is a typical feedforward neural network. It essentially aims to build multiple filters that can extract the features of the input data. Through layer-by-layer convolution and pooling of these filters, the topological features hidden in the data are extracted step by step, and finally, the characteristics of the input data are obtained during the process of translation, rotation, and scaling. The CNN is usually composed of an input layer, convolution layer, pooling layer, fully connected layer, and an output layer, and the convolution layer and the pooling layer are alternately arranged as shown in Fig. 5 (a). Fig. 5(b) shows the normal procedure for fault diagnosis with CNN. The signal samples are first processed by the stacked convolutional layer and pooling layer to obtain local features, and then the fully connected layer is used to transform local features into global features, and finally the probability distribution of the sample is output. The convolution layer of the CNN includes many feature planes, each of which contains many neurons, and each neuron connects to a local area of the upper feature layer through the convolution kernel, which is a weight matrix. In addition, CNN obtains fault features through convolution operations and share weights in the same feature plane, which reduces the complexity of the model and makes the model easier to train. The pooled layer follows the convolutional layer and has a structure similar to that of the previous convolutional layer, which aims to obtain spatially invariant features by reducing the resolution of the feature surface. Moreover, pooling operations reduce the number of neurons, thereby reducing the computational complexity of the network model [94].

2) **Applications**

(a) Fault diagnosis applied to the gearbox of WT.

Two-dimensional feature vectors were obtained by different methods and sent to the CNN for fault diagnosis [95]. The proposed method was verified and compared with other methods to demonstrate that the proposed method has a robust
ability to suppress noise while processing data and can obtain a diagnostic accuracy of 99.3%. An algorithm was proposed by Monteiro et al. [96] to reduce the training time by 80% without affecting the accuracy of the CNN diagnosis. The obtained features were sent to the CNN for training, and the output results were sent to the SVM for fault recognition. The algorithm was improved by explaining the results of the DL in the decision-making stage. To solve the problem that the CNN learning process cannot be explained, the layer-wise correlation propagation method was proposed to make the CNN learning process visible [97], which demodulates the two-dimensional signal output after CNN learning into a series of pixel points and quantizes them to obtain the feature variables that have the greatest impact on fault diagnosis.

3) Summary

Compared with the traditional ANN, CNN reduces the variable parameters in the network training process through the weight sharing network in the convolutional layer, which reduces the complexity of the network model and avoids overfitting of data, thus improving the generalization ability of the CNN. At the same time, the pooling operation used in the CNN structure dramatically reduces the number of neurons in the model, and the translation invariance of the input data makes the CNN more robust. However, this method has several drawbacks. A large amount of data are required in the network training process, which increases the computational complexity of the method. During the pooling process, some critical information may be lost, and the method is generally incomprehensible for the data learning process. In addition, the CNN can only process input data with a fixed length, so it is necessary to further exploit the potential advantages of this method in combination with other theories.

B. DEEP BELIEF NETWORKS (DBN)

1) Theoretical basis

DBN is composed of a several restricted Boltzmann machines (RBM). An RBM contains only one visible unit and one hidden unit. The visible and hidden layers were bidirectionally connected. The visible layer units \( v = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m\} \) and the hidden layer units \( h = \{h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n\} \) are bidirectionally connected. As an energy-based model, the variables \( v \) and \( h \) are subject to the joint configuration as follows:

\[
E(v, h, \theta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{ij} v_i h_j - \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i v_i - \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j h_j, \quad (30)
\]

where \( \theta = \{\omega, a, b\} \) represents the parameters of RBM. Then, the marginal distribution of the visual units can be calculated as:

\[
P(v|\theta) = \frac{1}{Z(\theta)} \sum_{h} \exp[-E(v, h, \theta)], \quad (31)
\]

where \( Z(\theta) = \sum_{v,h} \exp[-E(v, h, \theta)] \) is the partition function. There is no connection between neurons in the same layer, and there is a weight between any connected neurons to indicate the connection strength. The multi-layer perceptron of multiple nonlinear operation hidden layers is used to represent the input data in a distributed way, which can learn the essential features of the dataset in the case of limited samples, and achieve high-level feature representation and extraction of data. In classification learning, supervised learning is usually used, and its core is to use a greedy algorithm to optimize the connection weight in DL layer by layer. In other words, unsupervised learning is used to train layer-by-layer to effectively mine the fault features in the signal to be diagnosed to ensure as much feature information as possible when the feature vector maps to different feature spaces. Then through
the BPNN of the last layer, the weights in the trained DBN are adjusted in reverse through supervised learning. Generally, all layers of the DBN are considered as a whole by using the method of random gradient descent to minimize the network training error and optimize the fault identification ability of DBN [106], [107].

2) Applications

Yu et al. [108] proposed a radically data-driven fault detection and diagnosis (FDD) method based on DBN for WT. In a wind turbine benchmark Simulink model, DBN was compared with the existing four model-based algorithms and four data-driven algorithms, and the superiority of DBN for the diagnosis of WT faults was proved. In Ref. [109], the obtained fault features were sent to the DBN for fault diagnosis. The proposed method was compared with SVM, SOM, BPNN and Mahalanobis distance (MD) to diagnose the same faults, and the experimental results proved that DBN is superior to other methods. In addition, the paper pointed out that the performance of the method could be further tested using mixed marked and unmarked data. Liu et al. [110] proposed a fault diagnosis method for WT gearbox based on DBN and vibration signals. Before the vibration signals were sent to the DBN network for learning, the data were processed by the batch normalization method, which can effectively reduce the probability of overfitting and improve the convergence speed of the method. Through experiments, the improved method performed better than ordinary DBN and BPNN. An approach using an optimized DBN for rolling bearing fault diagnosis was presented by Shao et al. [111]. The obtained vibration data were directly input into the DBN network for learning. At the same time, the PSO algorithm was used to determine the optimal number of neurons in each hidden layer, learning rate, and momentum of the DBN. Through a comparison with SVM and ANN based on simulation and experimental data, the accuracy and robustness of the optimized DBN were proved. Li et al. [56] proposed a combination method to achieve a gearbox fault diagnosis. After the vibration signals of gearbox were processed, they were sent to the DBN for DL to obtain high-dimensional fault features, which were fused to the RF for fault diagnosis. The accuracy of the method was 97.68% through 11 types of tests under different working conditions. To solve the problem of gradient disappearance in reverse fine tuning, improved sigmoid units have been proposed [112]. By combining the traditional sigmoid units with the merits of unsaturation from leaky rectified linear units, when the absolute value of the gradient was greater than a certain threshold value, the continuous gradient was replaced by a constant gradient to avoid the problem of gradient disappearance. To further improve the diagnosis accuracy, the optimized Morlet wavelet transform was also used to process the signal, which was sent to the DBN for learning and training. After testing, the fault diagnosis accuracy of this method reached 96.32%.

3) Summary

DBN is a probability generation model. The method can eliminate the dependence on a large amount of signal processing technologies and experience to complete the adaptive extraction of fault features, and fault diagnosis of WT. In addition, the method has no periodic requirements for data and has strong versatility and adaptability. Moreover, the method can process high-dimensional, nonlinear data, which can effectively avoid dimensional disasters or insufficient diagnostic capabilities. However, this method has some shortcomings in this method. This method is usually only capable of processing one-dimensional data or one-dimensional feature signals, but the learning effect of two-dimensional signals or feature vectors declines, which wastes a lot of time in the forward training process of greedy learning, and it needs to choose the appropriate method to reduce the calculation time.

C. STACKED AUTOMATIC ENCODER (SAE)

1) Theoretical basis

SAE is similar to DBN, which is used to replace RBN in DBN with AE (autoencoder). AE is a three-layer unsupervised neural network that includes an input layer, hidden layer and output layer. Generally, the number of neurons in the input and output layers is the same, while the number of neurons in the hidden layer is less than that in the input layer and output layers to obtain the characteristic representation of the input data. AE is used to achieve the maximum reduction of data through two processes, encoding and decoding, while minimizing the data reduction error.

Given the dataset \( \{x_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^m \) with \( m \) samples, the represented features \( h_i \) are defined as:

\[
h_i = f_\theta(x_i) = \sigma_f(\omega^T \cdot x_i + b),
\]

where \( \sigma_f \) is the activation function of the encoder network, and \( \theta = \{\omega, b\} \) is the training parameters of the encoder network. The reconstructed sample \( \hat{x}_i \) can be obtained by the decoder network, which is expressed as follows:

\[
\hat{x}_i = g_{\theta'}(h_i) = \sigma_g(\omega'^T \cdot h_i + b'),
\]

where \( \sigma_g \) is the activation function of the decoder network, and \( \theta' = \{\omega', b'\} \) represents the training parameters of the decoder network. In order to reconstruct the original input as well as possible, the optimization objective of AE focuses on minimizing the error between the input samples and the reconstructed ones by:

\[
\min_{\theta, \theta'} L(x_i, \hat{x}_i) = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|x_i - \hat{x}_i\|^2.
\]
fine-tuning, there are problems of gradient disappearance and gradient diffusion [107]. The DAE is a variation of the AE and is an improvement that can deal with the gradient diffusion problem.

2) Applications

(a) Fault diagnosis applied to the gearbox of WT.

Chen et al. [113] introduced a fault diagnosis method based on rotor current for doubly fed induction generators WT drive train gearboxes using frequency analysis and a deep classifier. The processed data were sent to the SAE to extract the deep representative features, and then the multiclass SVM was used for fault diagnosis. Experiments demonstrated that the proposed method achieves a more considerable improvement than traditional diagnostic methods. In Ref. [114], to solve the problem of few gearbox failure samples, a method based on generative adversarial networks (GANs) was proposed [115]. Based on the existing fault samples, a new fault sample with similar data distribution was generated by using the GAN algorithm, and used in SDAE training together with the previous fault samples. By comparing the trained fault diagnosis model with SDAE, SAE, BPNN, SVM and ELM, the validity of the proposed method was proved. Yu et al. [116] presented a method based on selective deep SDAE with negative correlation learning (NCL) for gearbox fault diagnosis. The acquired signal was used for forward learning by SDAE followed by reverse fine tuning with NCL, and then the fault diagnosis was completed by the PSO-optimized integrated learning algorithm. By comparing SDAE-NCL with DBN, BPN, SVM, KNN, and RF, the validity of this method was proved. The acquired signal is usually accompanied by a large amount of noise, and the common method can only learn from the data of a specific noise level. To overcome this problem, the AE was trained by the noise level to form stacked multilevel-denoising autoencoders (SMLDAE) [116]. Through experimental analysis, it can be seen that SMLDAE has advantages over MLP, SAE, and SDA, but the paper pointed out that the method of increasing multi-level noise needs to be improved, and the method cannot deal with unbalanced data.

(b) Fault diagnosis applied to the bearing of WT.

Lu et al. [117] proposed a fault diagnosis method for rotary machinery components using an SDA-based health state identification method. The obtained data were sent to the SDA for the fault diagnosis. By comparing with SVM and RF, it can be seen that the proposed method has many advantages but at the same time, a large number of samples are needed to further verify the limitations of this method and optimize the DL structure. To improve the accuracy of fault diagnosis and recognition, an intelligent fault diagnosis approach based on sparse deep neural networks was introduced [118]. The data received from the four different states were learned by SAE, and the established diagnosis network was verified by experiments, and the results showed that the diagnostic accuracy of the proposed method was 98%. Shao et al. [119] proposed a method to improve the fusion of the depth features. The obtained signals were sent to the DAE and the output results were sent to the CAE (contracting auto encoder) to further extract fault features. Then the extracted fault features were fused in the LPP (locality preserving project) to improve the feature learning ability, and the fused features were sent to softmax for fault diagnosis. Compared with BP and SVM, this method was proven to be effective, and exhibited better performance than the standard CNN.

3) Summary

SAE is a discriminant generation model. Compared with DBN, this method lacks the strict requirements of layer parameterization, and can achieve high-performance fault diagnosis results through a small amount of sample data and an appropriate classification basis. SDAE can overcome the gradient diffusion problem in the process of backward fine tuning, and it is easier to understand the internal learning process than DBN. However, there are some limitations to this method. Because the number of encoders used should be determined according to different working conditions, when the number of encoders is too large, the training time of the model increases, along with an increase in the risk of overfitting, and there is no clear rule to measure the selected results.

D. RECURRENT NEURAL NETWORKS (RNN)

1) Theoretical basis

Fig. 6 shows a typical RNN schematic diagram. RNN has both internal feedback and feedforward connections between processing units. The internal feedback connection can maintain the state of the hidden nodes and provide memory for the network. The output of the network is not only related to the current input, but also to the internal state of the previous network, reflecting good dynamic characteristics. The output $O_t$ and the value of hidden layer $S_t$ can be calculated as:

$$O_t = g(V \cdot S_t),$$

$$S_t = f(U \cdot X_t + W \cdot S_{t-1}),$$

where $t$ is the moment, $U, V, W$ are parameters of the system network, $X_t$ represents the instance vector. In the whole training process, the same parameter $W$ is used at each time. The RNN fully considers the association between samples, which is reflected by the connections between neural networks. Generally, the neurons of RNN have the same weight and offset, and the RBM or AE can be utilized for pre-training to initialize the network parameters. Then, the output error of each sample is calculated, and the network parameters with the accumulated error are trained [120]. However, in the process of each feedback, some information is lost. When the time accumulated to a certain extent, the initial information degenerated and the gradient vanishing effect appeared.
signal was generated by learning the original signal through LSTM, and then the residual signal was sent to the RF for fault diagnosis. Compared with the other four model-based algorithms and four data-driven algorithms, this algorithm was proven to be effective. However, the proposed algorithm requires a large amount of fault data when it is implemented and cannot update the model automatically.

3) Summary

The hidden neurons in the RNN network not only receive the output of the upper layer, but also receive feedback from the neurons of this layer, and can process data of infinite length. Owing to the different structures of the feedforward neural network, it is possible to diagnose slow-developing faults by hiding long-term dependencies in the data. Moreover, it is challenging to deal with the problem of gradient disappearance in the recursive process, but LSTM as an improvement of RNN can solve the problem of gradient disappearance. However, the trade-offs between new input information and current state information require further study, and there are no clear rules on how to choose the optimal number of hidden neurons and the appropriate RNN structure. If the choice is not appropriate, the network may be unstable, turbulent, or chaotic.

E. SUMMARY OF DL

From the above analysis, it can be seen that the DL can directly obtain high-dimensional and in-depth representative features from the original data, which solves the issues of the TML and ANN methods caused by fault feature selection which depends on the manual experience. The summary of applications of DL to WT fault diagnosis is shown in Table 3. Moreover, the high-quality diagnosis results in the cited literature have shown that the deep features learned by deep networks contain more diagnostic information than the TML and ANN, and the DL-based models gain better generalization ability. In addition, the DL-based methods are totally data-driven, which means those models could automatically recognize all different fault types if the training dataset is sufficient.

At the same time, DL has some flaws:
(a) There is no uniform rule for the selection of the number of hidden layers in the DL structure and the number of neurons in each hidden layer. If the selection is not reasonable, it not only increases the computational complexity of the method, but also reduces the diagnostic accuracy of the algorithm. Typically, the number of hidden layers is given according to different problems combined with human experience. Although it can achieve good results, it is not necessarily the best. Although, the number of neurons in each layer is currently selected by an optimization algorithm, but the optimization effect needs to be further improved.
(b) The training and testing sets used in the current methods are obtained from the same dataset, and the distribution of data structures in these two datasets is generally consistent. When a trained diagnosis model is used to diagnose the fault

![Figure 6: Typical RNN schematic diagram.](image-url)
of a similar component with a different distribution of data, its diagnostic accuracy generally decreases.

(c) Most DL learning processes are essential black-box problems. It is impossible to clearly indicate the type of learning process inside. Only by clearly understanding the DL learning process can we improve some of the deficiencies in the learning process, so that the algorithm can improve the diagnostic accuracy by reducing the computational complexity. Although some visualization tools can show the learning effect of DL through each hidden layer, its effect still needs to be further improved.

(d) Although DL has high diagnostic accuracy in fault diagnosis, there is still a risk of error diagnosis. Consequently, multimodal information should be considered in data learning, which could further improve the accuracy of fault diagnosis.

TABLE 3 The summary of applications of DL to WT fault diagnosis.

| Methodologies | Monitoring Components | References |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|
| CNN Gearbox   | Zhou et al. [94], Chen et al. [95], Monteiro et al. [96], John et al. [97] | 178 |
| CNN Bearing   | Min et al. [98], Dobie et al. [99], Verstockt et al. [100], Wang et al. [101], Guo et al. [102], Chen et al. [103], Zhu et al. [104], Zhuang et al. [105] | 178 |
| DBN Gearbox   | Rui et al. [106], Helbing et al. [107], Yu et al. [108], Tamilselvan et al. [109], Liu et al. [110], Shao et al. [111], Qin et al. [112] | 178 |
| SAE Gearbox   | Cheng et al. [113], Wang et al. [114], Yu et al. [115], Jiang et al. [116] | 178 |
| SAE Bearing   | Chen et al. [117], Fei et al. [118], Shao et al. [119] | 178 |
| RNN Gearbox   | Tang et al. [120], Talebi et al. [121], Qian et al. [122], Yang et al. [123], Lei et al. [124], Sun et al. [125], Li et al. [126] | 178 |

B. APPLICATIONS

(a) Feature-based TL

Kandaswamy et al. [127] introduced an approach to improve TL accuracy by reusing SDAE. Data were learned through SDAE to complete the fault diagnosis for different data distributions and various tasks. The experiments demonstrated that the TL of high-dimensional features cannot only reduce the computational complexity of fault diagnosis, but also achieve higher diagnostic accuracy. However, the problem of negative transfer in the TL process needs to be solved. Shen et al. [128] presented a bearing fault diagnosis based on SVD feature extraction and TL classification. Using auxiliary data, the target data and additional data were given different weights by the TrAdaBoost algorithm, and then the TL algorithm was used for fault diagnosis. In this process, the negative transfer was avoided by similarity judgments, and the accuracy of the diagnosis was improved while reducing the computational complexity. Tong et al. [129] introduced a bearing fault diagnosis method under variable working conditions based on domain adaptation using feature-based TL. The dataset of normal and faulty bearings was obtained through the fast Fourier transformation of raw vibration signals under various conditions. Then, the marginal and conditional distributions were reduced simultaneously between the training data and testing data by refining pseudo test labels based on the maximum mean discrepancy and domain-invariant clustering in a common space. Finally, a transferable feature representation for the training data and testing data was obtained. Experimental results showed that this method is superior to other traditional methods. In Ref. [130] a fault

FIGURE 7 Typical feature-based TL applied for fault classification.
diagnosis method for rolling bearings based on a sparse denoising autoencoder (SAE) for deep feature extraction. Combining TL was proposed to improve the accuracy of bearing fault diagnosis. The bearing vibration signal in the time domain was transformed into the frequency domain, and the joint geometrical and statistical alignment was introduced to deal with the deep feature samples to reduce the domain discrepancy both statistically and geometrically. Additionally, the k-nearest neighbor classification algorithm was used to complete the fault diagnosis of rolling bearings under variable working conditions. To solve the problem of gear fault diagnosis, the fault features under known and unknown working conditions were selected by means of transferring feature analysis to minimize the difference between domains, which ensures that the data features remain unchanged while the data scale is reduced. The selected fault features were used for fault diagnosis using the SVM. The effectiveness of this method was illustrated by comparison with PCA, kernel PCA (KPCA), locally linear embedding (LLE) and factor analysis (FA). Wang et al. [132] presented a heterogeneous TL method based on stack sparse autoencoders (SSAE), for fault diagnosis. The data in the source and target domains were represented by heterogeneous features of different dimensions, which were sent to the same feature space through different AE. The similarity degree of the two domains was judged according to the center distance between the domains, and then the difference between the data was reduced by using the maximum mean error. The final results were diagnosed by SVM and performed better than the TML approaches, when there was little labeled data in the target domain. Ren et al. [133] proposed a fault diagnosis method based on VMD for WT. Aimed at the problem that the source and target domains data belong to different working conditions, the proposed method reduces the difference in data distribution between the source and target domains by minimizing the covariance between them through a linear transformation matrix. The experiment showed that the proposed covariance alignment (COVAL) of fault features has higher accuracy in rolling bearing multi-state classification under variable working conditions as compared with other methods. Qian et al. [134] constructed a novel deep transfer network (DTN) for rotating machine fault diagnosis with working condition variations, which combines auto-balanced high-order Kullback-Leibler (AHKL), smooth conditional distribution alignment (SCDA), and weighted joint distribution alignment (WJDA). Extensive experimental evaluations through 18 TL cases demonstrated its validity, and further comparisons with the state of the arts also validated its superiority. In order to overcome the weaknesses of the Gaussian kernel-induced maximum mean discrepancy (GK-MMD), Yang et al. [135] proposed a distance metric called kernel-induced MMD (PK-MMD). Combined with PK-MMD, a diagnosis model was constructed to reuse diagnosis knowledge from one machine to the another. The proposed methods were verified by two TL cases, and the results showed that the PK-MMD based diagnosis model presented better transfer results than other methods.

(b) Parameter-based TL

In Ref. [136], a network fault diagnosis model was established by sampling the data of the source domain, and the same operation was performed on the target domain data, which were then used to update the established network diagnostic model parameters, finally, and a small number of samples were obtained from the target domain tested. The results indicated that the method can reduce the network training time and improve the network diagnostic accuracy under the premise of a modest number of samples. In Ref. [5], [137], [138], the CNN was combined with TL to complete the fault diagnosis under different speeds and loads. First, the two-dimensional signal obtained by processing the source domain signal was sent to the CNN for network training, which contains a measure that does not change owing to the change in the working state. The CNN was updated with the signal of the target domain to adapt to the fault diagnosis under the new working state. The experiments demonstrated that the accuracy of the method mentioned in Ref. [137] reached 99.8%. In Ref. [13], the two-dimensional signals obtained after processing were subjected to diagnosis and testing of the network through AlexNet-based TL CNN, and the learning process was visualized using t-SNE during network training. The experimental results showed that the fault diagnostic accuracy of this method was 99.89%, which was higher fault diagnosis accuracy than that of CNN, DAE, DBN, and SVM. In Ref. [14], a fault diagnosis model using the SVM based on known samples was established, when there was a change in the diagnostic data, the fault features of the data before and after the change were placed in the same feature space. Moreover, a parameter to determine the degree of dependence on the existing model was set according to different parameter values to update the kernel function matrix in the SVM until the model could adapt to the new data distribution. Experiments conducted on toy data and real datasets showed that the proposed method could adapt to data change, and it provides a good transition from the old detection rule to the new one, which is obtained using the new data set only when the number of samples gathered from that new one is large enough. In addition, some TL methods that combine parameter-based TL and feature-based TL have also been applied. These methods usually first modify some existing deep network models, then add a domain adaptation layer to the network, and finally perform joint training. In Ref. [139], a novel domain adversarial transfer network (DATN) was proposed to handle large distribution discrepancies across domains. First, hierarchical representations were learned from the source and target domains with two asymmetric encoder networks. Then, the network weights learned in the source tasks are transferred to improve the training on the target tasks. Finally, the difference between the source and target distributions is minimized by domain adversarial training. The experimental results on two fault datasets demonstrated...
that the proposed method achieves excellent accuracy which outperforms other algorithms. In Ref. [140], an optimal ensemble deep transfer network (OEDTN) is proposed for rolling bearing fault diagnosis with unlabeled data, which takes advantage of parameter TL, domain adaptation and ensemble learning. Experiments on three bearing test rigs were carried out, and the results showed that the proposed method was more effective than the existing methods.

(c) Instance-based TL

Wen et al. [141] presented a new deep TL method based on the SAE for fault diagnosis. For the fault diagnosis of different bearing conditions, an SAE fault diagnosis network based on the source domain data was first established, and then the discriminate penalty of the training data and the testing data was minimized by the maximum mean discrepancy to update the fault diagnosis network with the target data. The method obtained more potential features by using unmarked third-party data, and the diagnostic accuracy of the operation was 99.82% through experiments under different operating conditions. Moreover, the final diagnostic accuracy was proportional to the standard deviation of the data. To address the problem of sufficient labeled samples in the laboratory equipment and a small number of unlabeled samples from actual machines, a TL method for intelligent fault diagnosis was presented [142]. First, the labeled samples were utilized to train the domain-shared CNN model, and the multi-kernel maximum mean discrepancy was then applied to minimize the error in the learning characteristics of the laboratory data and actual data. Subsequently, a pseudo label is generated for the actual unlabeled data to diagnose the fault through the domain shared classifier, and the validity of the method was proved experimentally. Xie et al. [143] introduced a TL strategy for rotating machinery fault diagnosis based on cycle-consistent generative adversarial networks (GAN). The characteristic of the network was that it could generate new samples similar to the original data through the training process. When the distributed adaptive signals were unified in all states, the samples generated under different working conditions could be approximated successfully. Based on the fault classifier established for learning the known samples, cycle-consistent GANs were used to generate different samples for different working states and improve the established classifiers to adapt to the fault diagnosis under different working conditions.

VI. DISCUSSIONS

This paper summarizes the applications of ML in the fault diagnosis of WT and analyzes the advantages and disadvantages of various methods as shown in the Appendix. For TML, SVM and its variants are the most widely used methods. Because the classic SVM is only suitable for dealing with the two classification problems, the real fault diagnosis is a multi-classification problem. Hence, it is necessary to make some improvements to the classic SVM to meet the requirements of practical problems. In Ref. [24], [32], the rough neighborhood set, manifold learning, and PCA algorithms were used to select the most relevant fault features, which can reduce the calculation time and improve the fault accuracy in the process of fault diagnosis. Different methods were applied to optimize the kernel parameters and penalty factors in the SVM to speed up the fault diagnosis. LSSVM and RVM, as variants of SVM, both improve the calculation efficiency of SVM [6], [20], [27], [33]. In Ref. [34], it fuses heterogeneous information to solve the misalignment problem in WT, and the t-SNE method was utilized for dimension reduction. In Ref. [29], the fault features of the collected data were used to form a two-dimensional vector for model training. By selecting the appropriate penalty factor and kernel function parameters to optimize the SVM, the diagnosis model

| Methodologies | Monitoring Components | References |
|---------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Feature-based | Bearings, Gearbox     | Kandaswamy et al. [127], Fei et al. [128], Tong et al. [129], Dong et al. [130], Wang et al. [131], Wang et al. [132], Ren et al. [133], Qian et al. [134], Yang et al. [135] |
| Parameter-based| Bearings, Gearbox     | Zhang et al. [136], Hasan et al. [137], Hasan et al. [138], Chen et al. [139], Li et al. [140] |
| Instance-based| Bearings, Gearbox     | Wen et al. [141], Yang et al. [142], Xie et al. [143] |
can have a higher diagnostic accuracy.

In terms of DT, the C4.5 algorithm was used in Ref. [39] to diagnose the faults of WT. The Bayesian method can realize fault diagnosis by minimizing the risk of the sample conditions. In Ref. [40], three Bayesian diagnosis models based on SCADA were established and compared with other methods to demonstrate the effectiveness of Bayesian diagnosis. The problem of signal transmission is solved and the data after transmission is reconstructed using sparse Bayesian algorithm combined with other methods [41]. In Ref. [44], the state transition probability matrix was obtained using the Bayesian method for fault diagnosis. The results showed that the method can predict faults 33 days in advance on average. In Ref. [42], [43] and [46], different types of Bayesian classifiers were constructed according to a conventional Bayesian splitter in order of performance with specific problems. However, the diagnostic networks need to know the prior probability when they are applied. As for the HMM, the prediction of the next state is completed only by the data of the current state. In Ref. [50], the semi-hidden Markov model was used to learn the fault features, and the posterior probability was tested to determine whether there was a fault in WT. In Ref. [52], the influence of the outliers in the data was reduced, making the HMM more sensitive to the fault characteristics. HMM usually uses the posterior probability to diagnose faults, but the posterior probability cannot be directly measured. Therefore, it is generally obtained indirectly through Bayes’ theorem.

The RF is established on the basis of DT, and the diagnosis is completed by learning the same fault problem with multiple learners. In Ref. [55], the attributes were optimized by the GA in Ref. [58], [59], the fault features were screened using the correlation coefficient and Gini index respectively. In Ref. [60], the high-dimensional features of the DBM were fused by RF to diagnose the fault. The experiment showed that the diagnostic accuracy of this method was 97.68%. However, the selection and optimization of the learners are very important for the accuracy of the diagnosis in this method. The CA uses the membership degree between sample and cluster center to diagnose faults. The FCM algorithm was utilized in Ref. [60] and kernel FCM was used in [61] to improve the diagnosis accuracy by optimizing the cluster center. In Ref. [59], [62], [63], and K-means CA was applied. In Ref. [62], K-means CA was applied to deal with the outliers in the data. In Ref. [63], the historical data obtained were grouped by the K-means CA to establish the corresponding model for fault diagnosis, but this method needs to optimize the selection of the clustering center.

For ANN, fault samples are learned by connecting the weights of the neurons and adjusting the thresholds of the neurons. As the most widely applied ANN, BPNN completes the construction of a fault diagnosis network by forward propagation and backward fine-tuning. In Ref. [69], [71], various optimization algorithms, and the LM algorithm were utilized to optimize the weight and threshold value in BPNN to improve the convergence speed and diagnosis accuracy. The defect is that it is easy to converge to the local optimal value is overcome as well. ELM is an improved algorithm for BPNN, and the connection weights between the input layer and hidden layer and the threshold of the hidden layer neurons were set randomly and not updated. In Ref. [77], a dual-ELM diagnosis model was proposed, with one of the two ELMs used for counting and the other was used to determine the possible fault type. In Ref. [74], a hierarchical ELM was established, and the diagnostic accuracy of this method was improved by 5%-10% as compared with other methods. In Ref. [78], an online sequential extreme learning machine (OS-ELM) method was proposed to realize online fault diagnosis. Moreover, this method can adapt to the new fault diagnosis by increasing the number of hidden layer neurons. Compared with BPNN, ELM has improved the diagnosis effects comprehensively.

The RBF network has a strong learning ability and can realize global optimization, but the method requires a high-quality fault samples. SOM is a competitive learning network, and the output results are displayed in a two-dimensional plane. Therefore, this method can be used as a visual method. However, its computational complexity is high, and when there are new faults, the entire network needs to be reconstructed. ART is also a type of competitive learning network. It can diagnose faults by similarity between neurons, and it is also an incremental learning method that can learn new faults by increasing the number of neurons.

Regarding the DNN, fault feature acquisition and fault diagnosis are integrated to eliminate the defects of human experience on the selection of fault features. The CNN reduces the complexity of the fault diagnosis by convolution and pooling. Combining CWT with CNN results in a fault diagnosis accuracy of 99.11% [102], and combining DWT with CNN promoted the fault diagnosis accuracy to a level of 99.3% [10]. Moreover, the LNP algorithm was used to visualize the CNN learning process [97]. Ref. [96] reported an algorithm that can reduce the training time by 80% without affecting the diagnosis accuracy. These methods extend the application scope of CNN.

The DBN is composed of a series of RBM, which realizes forward training through a greedy algorithm, and then performs reverse fine-tuning for the weights of DBN. In Ref. [111], PSO was used to optimize the number of hidden neurons and the learning rate in the DBN. Improved sigmoid units were applied to solve the problem in which the gradient of the DBN disappears during the reverse fine-tuning process.

Similarly, SAE is made up of several AE stacks, and its training process is similar to that of the DBN. In Ref. [116], an SAE was proposed to extract data containing multiple noise levels. In Ref. [118], SAE was used to learn bearing vibration signals, and the diagnosis accuracy achieved 98%. In Ref. [119], the high-dimensional fault features were fused by locality preserving projection (LPP) to improve the learning ability. The RNN can maintain the state of the system at the last moment while receiving the external inputs. As the most widely used network in RNN, LSTM networks have been used.
to solve the problem of gradients disappearance in the reverse fine-tuning stage [122]. In Ref. [126], the high-dimensional features obtained by LSTM were sent to the RF for fault diagnosis. Although the application of the DNN algorithm greatly improves the accuracy of fault diagnosis, at present the learning process of each stage of the DL method cannot be understood, which is actually a "black box" problem. TL solves the defect in which the diagnosis accuracy decreases when the existing diagnostic methods are applied to other similar problems. In feature-based TL, some auxiliary data were used in Ref. [128] to avoid negative transfer during the learning process. In Ref. [129]–[132], the fault features of the source and target domains were sent to the same feature space using different methods, and the discrepancy between them was reduced by selecting appropriate feature variables for fault diagnosis. In the parameter-based TL, SVM and SDAE were utilized to establish the TL model in Ref. [11], [127], but a negative transfer learning problem occurs in both methods. In Refs. [4], [13], [137], [138], the CNN was used to learn the data of the source domain, and then the trained model was modified by the sample of the target domain so that the fault diagnosis cloud be performed in the new environment. The final diagnostic accuracy for bearing fault diagnosis was 99.8% [137]. For the instance-based TL method, laboratory fault samples were first learned to establish a diagnostic network, and then the TL was used to generate a pseudo label for the unmarked target domain data for fault diagnosis [142]. In Ref. [143], cycle-consistent GANs have been to create more target domain samples for fault diagnosis [27]. In Ref. [141], third-party unlabeled data were used to obtain more potential features to further improve the diagnostic accuracy. The experimental results showed that the diagnostic accuracy of the method was 99.82%.

Although TL can expand the application scope of existing knowledge, there is occasionally a negative transfer phenomenon in the process of TL, and the application of the existing fault diagnosis model to other machinery fault diagnosis needs further research.

Normally, condition monitoring of WT can be considered as a pattern recognition problem that consists of three phases, namely, feature extraction, feature selection, and feature classification [38]. In this review, different methods related to the phases of fault diagnosis are shown in Fig. 8. Most TML and ANN methods focus on the feature classification phases [232]. They usually combine with traditional signal processing approaches, such as EMD and wavelet transform to extract features and then send them to TML or ANN for fault diagnosis. DL and TL can include the phases from feature extraction to feature classification so that this type of method can achieve fault diagnosis from end to end.

Following the development of TML, ANN, DL, and TL, the intelligent fault diagnosis methods have released the human labor and demonstrated the potential of automatically recognizing WT fault patterns with great accuracy. From TL to the performance of intelligent fault diagnosis methods has been improved. However, several challenges still exist in current studies.

(a) As is well known, the high diagnostic accuracy of the ML-based methods relies on the huge amount of data and the thousand times of training. The training process usually requires a long period of time to obtain satisfactory performance if it starts from zero. However, in real industrial scenarios, the diagnosis method is required to be active as soon as possible. Therefore, a method to reduce the calculation time of the training of the ML-based fault diagnosis models needs to be studied in the future. For this issue, two research interests are recommended for future research. 1) It is necessary to propose a method that can determine the trade-off between the complexity of the model, such as the number of hidden layers in the network and the number of neurons in each hidden layer, and the time consumption of the training. 2) The pre-trained model in the experimental environment could be leveraged to release the burden caused by training from zero.

(b) With the development of ML technologies, the DL-based fault diagnosis methods have become the mainstream of intelligent diagnostic methodologies. Although the unparalleled feature extraction ability of DL and its end-to-end diagnosis process have indeed facilitated intelligent algorithms for WT fault diagnosis, the drawback of the black box for deep hierarchical networks still impedes the application of DL-based diagnosis methods in real-world scenarios. The diagnosis results of DL are mainly based on the estimation of sufficient data and thousands of experimental trials rather than a strictly theoretical background. Therefore, the diagnosis results of DL lack strict prior constraints and the physical meaning of the parameter and the extracted features of those models can hardly be explained. However, the diagnosis of WT is a serious process that requires diagnostic methods to be highly reliable. Improving the interpretability of DL-based methods is an important future research direction.

(c) For different types of WT, their internal structures are usually different, and they usually work under time-varying conditions. Therefore, the WT diagnosis models constructed by traditional ML, ANN and DL could hardly be well leveraged in all machines because of the different data distributions. To bridge this gap, TL provides intelligent fault diagnosis with a promising way. However, the inherent issue of negative transfer has rarely been discussed in the current work for WT fault diagnosis. Because of the high cost of data collection and the harsh operating environment, it is difficult to organize sufficient data in all domains. Therefore, the design of a specific TL-based paradigm for WT diagnosis that can reduce the negative transfer caused by limited data in different domains is worth investigating in future research.

(d) The working conditions of WT vary in many ways, so the degradation mode of the gearbox is not fixed. Studying and classifying the infinite working conditions as the limited degradation mode is a potential way to solve this type of problem. Wind speed, load, current and other information can reflect changes in working conditions. Identifying the
degradation mode dynamically by multi-sensor self-mapping under changing working conditions still needs to be further investigated.

VII. CONCLUSIONS

Fault diagnosis for WT is critical in terms of improving the economic profits of wind farms and maintaining safe operation. ML has shown a great potential for fault diagnosis of the key components of WT, such as gearbox, bearing, blade, etc. Moreover, the condition monitoring of WT has the characteristics of big data, and is thus more suitable for ML-based fault diagnosis.

In this paper, we review several typical ML methods for the fault diagnosis of WT from the perspectives of theoretical fundamentals and practical applications. By introducing TML, such as SVM, DT and HMM, the diagnostic approaches are able to automatically recognize the fault patterns of WT. However, these methods still rely on artificial feature extraction, which requires significant human labor and expert knowledge. To this end, the ANN is utilized to adaptively learn the health states of WT because of its multi-layer perceptron architecture, whereas the shallow network of ANN limits its performance. With the rapid development of ML, the DL-based fault diagnosis methods have become mainstream owing to their end-to-end diagnosis process and the performance with high accuracy. It should be noted that the successes of DL-based diagnosis models are subject to the situation of sufficient labeled samples which is probably impractical in real-world scenarios. To bridge this gap, TL is introduced to WT fault diagnosis mission by transferring the diagnosis knowledge gained from one task to another. Finally, this paper discusses the challenges of the current WT fault diagnosis and provides several potential prospects for future research. We believe that this review will provide a comprehensive reference to related researchers.
### APPENDIX

#### TABLE 5 Comparison of different ML methods for WT fault diagnosis.

| Methods   | Articles     | Input data                        | Advantage                                                                                                                                   | Disadvantage                                                                                                                                  | Monitoring components |
|-----------|--------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| SVM       | [17]-[34]    | Vibration signal, SCADA           | Higher diagnostic accuracy with less simple samples; Good global optimization and generalization capabilities.                             | Rely on the selection of kernel function and penalty factor; Converge to a local minimum easily.                                              | Bearings, Gears, Blades |
| DT        | [35]-[39]    | Vibration signal, generator current signal | Converge to a local minimum easily; Risk of overfitting; Cannot establish an active online diagnostic network.                           |                                                                                                                                             | Gears, Generation system |
| Bayes     | [40]-[47]    | Vibration signal, SCADA           | Can make the fault diagnosis fast and straightforward; The computational complexity does not increase significantly for multi-classification problems; It is not sensitive to data loss. | It is sensitive to error category; It is sensitive to data attributes; Rely on hypothetical model.                                            | Gearbox, Blades, Bearings |
| HMM       | [48]-[54]    | Vibration signal                  | Can indicate the reasoning process.                                                                                                         | The historical data cannot be fully utilized; The topology in the diagnostic model is sometimes ambiguous.                               | Bearings              |
| RF        | [55]-[59]    | Vibration signal                  | Robust; It is not sensitive to the outliers in the data.                                                                                   | Training process is complicated; The calculation amount is large; Time-consuming.                                                            | Bearings, Bearings     |
| CA        | [60]-[64]    | Vibration signal, SCADA           | Can manage massive quantities of data with high efficiency.                                                                               | The number of categories distinguished needs to be given in advance; Depend on the selection of the initial cluster center.               | Bearings, Gearbox     |
| BPNN      | [68]-[71]    | Vibration signal                  | Self-learning and self-adjustment; Fault tolerance ability.                                                                               | Convergence speed is slow; It is easy to converge to the local optimal value; A certain risk of overfitting.                               | Gearbox, Transmission chain, generator fault |
| ELM       | [72]-[78]    | Vibration signal, SCADA           | Fast learning speed; Can adapt to new situations; Better generalization ability.                                                           | Only one layer of hidden neurons; The learning ability is limited.                                                                           | Bearings, Transmission chain |
| RBFNN     | [79], [80]   | Vibration signal                  | Strong nonlinear fitting ability; Fast convergence speed; Can achieve global optimization; Better generalization ability.                 | Rely on the quality of the learning data and the selection of samples; Information loss.                                                         | Blades, Actuators     |
| SOM       | [81]-[87]    | Vibration signal, SCADA           | Visualization; Implementation structure is relatively simple.                                                                             | Calculating complexity is relatively high.                                                                                                  | Bearings, Gearbox     |
| ART       | [88]-[92]    | Vibration signal                  | Can learn new problems while retaining previous learning experiences; Do not rely on prior knowledge.                                       | Information loss.                                                                                                                             | Bearings, Gearbox     |
| CNN       | [94]-[105]   | Vibration signal                  | Reduces the complexity of the network model; Can avoid overfitting of data; Better generalization ability; Robust.                        | A large amount of data are required; High computational complexity; Can only process input data with a fixed length; Information loss.        | Bearings, Gearbox     |
| DBN       | [106]-[112]  | Vibration signal, SCADA           | Does not depend on a large amount of signal processing technologies and experience; Strong versatility and adaptability; Can process high-dimensional, nonlinear data. | Only capable of processing one-dimensional data; Large calculation time.                                                                    | Gearbox               |
| SAE       | [113]-[119]  | Vibration signal                  | Do not need large amount of data; Can overcome the gradient diffusion problem.                                                             | Training time is long; Risk of overfitting; No clear rules to measure the selected results.                                                 | Bearings, Gearbox     |
| RNN       | [120]-[126]  | Vibration signal                  | Possible to diagnose slow-developing faults; Can solve the problem of gradient disappearance.                                              | No clear rules on how to choose the optimal number of hidden neurons.                                                                        | Bearings, Gearbox     |
| TL        | TL           | Vibration signal, SCADA           | Maintain high diagnostic accuracy for fault diagnosis under variable working conditions; Adaptive for new fault problems.                | Negative transfer learning may occur.                                                                                                         | Bearings, Gearbox     |
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