Effect of Starvation in Reversing Cancer Chemoresistance Based on Drug-Resistance Detection by Dextran Nanoparticles
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Introduction
Chemoresistance leads to chemotherapy failure in patients with cancer. Multidrug resistance (MDR) in cancer is mainly caused by the high expression of P-glycoprotein encoded by the MDRI gene, which is an ATP-dependent protease. Keeping the stronger invasion and migration abilities of chemoresistant cells in cancer also requires more ATP consumption. Herein, we aimed to reverse resistance by reducing the glucose supply in the cellular environment.

Methods: A starvation approach in reversing chemoresistance was applied, which was implemented through preparing fluorescent dextran-based nanoparticles to detect the proportion of chemoresistant cells in the chemoresistant/chemosensitive cell mixture after cells cultured in a low-glucose condition.

Results: Chemoresistant cells had higher glucose consumption with higher ATPase expression and stronger glucose dependence compared to chemosensitive cells. Moreover, cancer cells cultured in a low-glucose condition reduced the proportion of chemoresistant cells.

Conclusion: Starvation therapy can be used as a new method to reverse drug resistance in cancer.
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Introduction
Chemoresistance is one of the main causes of cancer treatment failure.1 Multidrug resistance (MDR) in cancer is mainly caused by the high expression of P-glycoprotein (P-gp) encoded by the MDRI gene. Common treatments for reversal of drug resistance include P-gp inhibitor therapy,2 gene therapy,3,4 and multidrug therapy.5 However, these treatments usually have low effectiveness and side effects.6 P-gp inhibitor therapy, for instance, can cause a lot of damages to the human body because P-gp is also expressed in the cells and tissues such as the blood-brain barrier,7 which can help protect body cells from harmful substances. On this basis, the reversal of resistance in a highly effective way with low side effects is necessary.

P-gp is an ATP-dependent molecule.8 Resistant cells need P-gp to expend a lot of energy to excrete drugs to achieve resistance, theoretically requiring more glucose than non-resistant cells. Besides, keeping the stronger invasion and migration abilities of chemoresistant cells in cancer9 also requires more ATP consumption. Therefore, it is possible to reverse resistance by reducing the glucose supply in the cellular environment.
Treatment with the reduction of glucose supply in cancer is called starvation therapy. Starvation therapies, including angiogenesis suppression and metabolism intervention, are increasingly being used in cancer, which can inhibit tumor growth by reducing the carbohydrate supply or by inhibiting glycolysis.

In our previous work, we have developed a facile graft copolymerization induced self-assembly (GISA) method for nanoparticle preparation, which has been proved that the fluorescence nanoparticles prepared by the GISA method can effectively distinguish the resistant from sensitive cells. Therefore, in this study, we synthesized fluorescent dextran nanoparticles (FDNPs) and detected the proportion of chemoresistant and chemosensitive cells in the chemoresistant/chemosensitive cell mixture after starvation to find the significance of the starvation approach in reversing cancer drug resistance. As shown in Scheme 1, there are large numbers of chemoresistant cells in cancer cells after treatment, while these resistant cells proliferate more slowly in glucose-deficient environments, thus decreasing the proportion of drug-resistant cells in the drug-surviving cells, which in turn reduces cancer drug resistance. As FDNPs could effectively identify and determine the proportion of chemoresistant cells, we tracked and evaluated the effectiveness of starvation therapy.

Results and Discussion
Fluorescent Dextran Nanoparticles Synthesis and Flow Cytometry Assays

We previously developed a GISA method for the preparation of polysaccharide-based nanocarriers, and demonstrated that the fluorescent polysaccharide nanoparticles prepared by GISA can effectively distinguish chemoresistant cells from chemosensitive cells. Here we synthesized FDNPs by the GISA method. The preparation process is shown in Figure 1A. Firstly, dextran was mixed with aminated dextran. Then we carried out graft copolymerization with the polymeric monomer methyl methacrylate. Due to the hydrophobic property of polymethyl methacrylate, the grafted polymer can spontaneously assembled into nanoparticles in water. Next, the nanoparticles were crosslinked by diallyl disulfide. Finally, fluorescent molecules Cy5.5 were labeled on the amino group of the nanoparticles. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) image revealed that the FDNPs showed a uniform spherical structure (Figure 1B). Dynamic light scattering (DLS) showed that the FDNPs were uniformly distributed with a particle size of 50–100 nm (Figure 1C). The fluorescence spectrum showed that the FDNPs were successfully labeled by the fluorescent dextran.
molecule (Figure 1D). All the results indicated that the FDNPs were successfully prepared. We selected two chemoresistant cell lines: one is a human ovarian cancer paclitaxel-resistant (DROV) cell line constructed in this laboratory and another is a commercialized doxorubicin-resistant human immortalized myelogenous leukemia (DRK562) cell line. Their sensitive counterparts OVCAR-3 (OV) and K562 cells were also used in this study. It was proved that FGNPs can well distinguish chemoresistant cells from chemosensitive cells by flow cytometry (Figure 1E–H). As seen from the flow cytometry data, the chemoresistant cells appeared at the relatively low fluorescence position, while the chemosensitive cells appeared at the relatively high fluorescence position.

**Genetic Differences Among the Chemoresistant and Chemosensitive Cancer Cells**

The main reason for multi-drug resistance in cancer cells is the high expression of the multi-drug resistance gene MDR1. Both quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) and Western blot studies showed the higher expression of MDR1 in DROV and DRK562 cells than their sensitive counterpart OV and K562 cells (Figure 2A–C), which was consistent with the results of drug resistance assays (Figure 2D–E). MDR1 expressed P-gp, which is a drug-efflux transporter and ATP-dependent protein. ATP is an important energy molecule of cells with a high-energy phosphate group. The higher ATPase vitality in resistant cells was found by using...
Figure 2 Detection of genetic differences among the chemoresistant and chemosensitive cancer cells. (A), MDR1 expression of OV and DROV cells as determined by qRT-PCR. (B), MDR1 expression of K562 and DRK562 cells as determined by qRT-PCR. (C), P-gp expression of OV, DROV, K562, and DRK562 cells as determined by Western blot assays. (D), Half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) of OV and DROV cells. (E), IC₅₀ of K562 and DRK562 cells. (F), Na⁺K⁺ and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺ ATPase vitality of OV and DROV cells. (G), Na⁺K⁺ and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺ ATPase vitality of K562 and DRK562 cells. (H), Expression of GLUT1 and glycolysis genes in OV and DROV cells as determined by qRT-PCR. (I), GSEA revealing enrichment of oxidative phosphorylation gene signature in DROV cells compared to OV cells. (K), GSEA revealing enrichment of respiratory electron transport gene signature in DROV cells compared to OV cells.
ATPase kit (Figure 2F–G). The higher ATPase vitality means that drug-resistant cells have higher glucose metabolism.\textsuperscript{21} qRT-PCR detection also revealed that some genes related to glucose transporter and glycolysis, such as GLUT1,\textsuperscript{22} HK2,\textsuperscript{23} PKM2,\textsuperscript{24} and LDHA,\textsuperscript{25} were slightly upregulated in chemoresistant cells (Figure 2H–I). Furthermore, mRNA sequencing of OV and DROV cells was performed and analyzed by Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) analysis, showing that the oxidative phosphorylation gene signature and respiratory electron transport gene signature were significantly up-regulated in chemoresistant cells (Figure 2J–K), which proved that chemoresistant cells have higher glucose metabolism than chemosensitive cells, suggesting that glucose metabolism in chemoresistant cells was oxidative phosphorylation.

Detection of High Glucose Consumption in Chemoresistant Cancer Cells
Chemoresistant cells showed higher ATPase vitality and oxidative phosphorylation, indicating that chemoresistant cells have higher energy requirements, which means that chemoresistant cells are more dependent on glucose. In order to know if the concentration of glucose in the cell culture medium had a significant effect on the cell viability of chemoresistant cells, the chemoresistant/chemosensitive cells were cultured in media containing different concentrations of glucose (11.11 mM of the glucose concentration in the RPMI-1640 medium was taken as 100%). After 48 h culture, it was found that the cell viability of chemoresistant cells was significantly decreased with the decrease of glucose concentration compared with that of chemosensitive cells (Figure 3A and D). We then cultured chemoresistant/chemosensitive cells in 100% glucose medium and 50% glucose medium for different times. It was found that the growth rate of chemoresistant/chemosensitive cells in 100% culture medium was almost the same (Figure 3B and E). By comparing to chemosensitive cells, chemoresistant cells in 50% glucose medium showed a process of growth and then rapid decrease (Figure 3C and F), indicating that chemoresistant cells exhausted the glucose in the medium and died. All the results indicated that the chemoresistant cells had higher glucose dependence than the chemosensitive cells.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/figure3.png)

**Figure 3** Detection of the difference in glucose consumption among the chemoresistant and chemosensitive cancer cells. (A), Cell viability of OV and DROV cells cultured at different glucose concentrations at 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% for 48 h. (B), Cell viability of OV and DROV cells cultured in medium with 100% glucose concentration for 4, 24, 48, and 72 h. (C), Cell viability of OV and DROV cells cultured in medium with 50% glucose concentration for 4, 24, 48, and 72 h. (D), Cell viability of K562 and DRK562 cells cultured at different glucose concentrations at 100%, 75%, 50%, 25% for 48 h. (E), Cell viability of K562 and DRK562 cells cultured in medium with 100% glucose concentration for 4, 24, 48, and 72 h. (F), Cell viability of K562 and DRK562 cells cultured in medium with 50% glucose concentration for 4, 24, 48, and 72 h.
Reducing Glucose in Reversing Cancer Chemoresistance

Due to tumor heterogeneity,26,27 there are different types of tumor cells, include resistant and non-resistant cells.28 In order to demonstrate whether the decrease of the concentration of glucose in the cell culture environment, known as starvation therapy, could reduce the proportion of chemoresistant cells in cancer, thus achieving a reversal of drug resistance, we mixed chemoresistant cells with chemosensitive cells in the presence of FGNPs to detect the proportion of chemoresistant cells in mixed cells cultured at different glucose concentrations and to determine whether the starvation therapy is effective in the reversal of drug resistance. As shown in Figure 4, the mixed cells were cultured at different glucose concentrations for 48 h and subsequently incubated with FGNPs for another 4 h. Flow cytometry detection showed that the proportion of chemoresistant cells was decreased with reducing glucose concentration (Figure 4A–H). After the mixed cells were cultured in a medium with a glucose concentration at 50%, the proportion of chemoresistant cells decreased with the increase of culture time (Figure 4I). Laser confocal images also showed that the proportion of chemoresistant cells was decreased with reducing glucose concentration (Figure 4J–K). All the results indicated that lowering the glucose concentration effectively decreased the proportion of chemoresistant cells in the mixed cell population, demonstrating that starvation therapy can reverse cancer resistance.

Application of E. coli in Reversing Cancer Drug-Resistance

Because of the tumor hypoxic environment,29 anaerobic bacteria can accumulate at tumor areas30 and ways to treat...
tumors using *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) bacteria have been developed. Therefore, we wanted to know if the bacterial therapy could reduce the proportion of resistant cells by consuming glucose in the tumor microenvironment, and thus, reverse the drug resistance of the tumor. We designed a way for cancer cells to co-culture with bacteria. As shown in Figure 5A, the bacteria were cultured in a transwell chamber with a pore diameter of 0.4 μm and the cancer cells were cultured in the lower chamber. The *E. coli* with an OD value of 1.77 (number ~ 10⁹/mL) was diluted at different concentrations from 10⁷/mL to 10⁹/mL, and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium for a different period. We found that the exponential growth time of *E. coli* with different initial concentrations was mainly within 24 h (Figure 5B). There was no significant difference in bacterial concentration after the exponential growth time. After cancer cells were co-cultured with the *E. coli* for 24 h, FGNPs were added and cultured for 4 h. After dead cells that were suspended were removed, the left cells were digested and collected for flow cytometry detection. It was found that the proportion of resistant cells decreased with the increase of bacterial concentration (Figure 5C–F). These results indicated that bacterial treatment can effectively reduce the proportion of chemoresistant cells and may reverse the drug resistance of the tumor.

Experimental Section

Cell Lines and Cell Cultures

Human ovarian cancer cell line OVCAR-3 and human immortalized myelogenous leukemia cell line K562 were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). Human ovarian cancer PTX-resistant cell line OV3R-PTX referred to as DROV in the text was generated in this laboratory at Jinshan Hospital and obtained a Chinese Invention Patent (# ZL201410708515.7). Human immortalized myelogenous leukemia doxorubicin (adriamycin, ADR)-resistant cell line DRK562 was purchased from Keygen Biotech (Nanjing, China). The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Jinshan Hospital, Fudan University (# JYLLKY-2019-01-01). Sample abbreviations are shown in Table S1. All cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% FBS.

---

**Figure 5** Application of *E. coli* in reversing cancer drug-resistance. (A). Schematic illustration depicting the co-culture of tumor cells with bacteria. (B). The growth curve of *E. coli* cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with different initial concentrations. (C). The DROV cells proportion in DROV/OV mixed cells after cells were co-cultured with different concentrations of *E. coli* for 24h. (D–F). The Flow cytometry data for DROV/OV mixed cells after cells were co-cultured with different concentrations of *E. coli* for 24h, following by culturing with FGNPs for 4 h. The *E. coli* number (/mL) in the graph is the initial number before culturing.
fetal bovine serum (FBS, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA).

Fluorescent Dextran Nanoparticles (FDNPs) Synthesis and Characterization

The dextran (2.25 g) was mixed with aminated dextran (0.25 g) and dissolved in 50 mL pure water. The mixture was stirred at 30 °C under nitrogen protection for 30 min. Then, 1.16 g of cerium ammonium nitrate was dissolved in 1.26 mL of 0.1 N diluted nitric acid and added to the mixture. The polymerization monomer methyl acrylate (1.02 mL) was added after 5 minutes, and 30 min later the cross-linking agent diallyl disulfide (190 µL dissolved in 5 mL of dimethyl sulfoxide) was added. After the reaction lasted for 4 h, the dextran nanoparticles were obtained by dialysis in pure water for 3 days. After 8 mL of dextran nanoparticles (6.3 mg/mL in pure water) was mixed with 0.024 mL of Cy5.5-NHS (10 mg/mL in dimethyl sulfoxide), the mixture was stirred for 12 h. The fluorescent dextran nanoparticles were obtained after dialyzed in pure water for 3 days. Hydrodynamic diameters of the fluorescent dextran nanoparticles were measured using a Zetasizer Nano ZS90 instrument (Malvern, UK) and transmission electron microscopy image was recorded using a transmission electron microscope (FEI Tecnai G2 Spirit BioTwin, 120 kV, FEI, USA).

RNA Extraction and Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was extracted using Trizol according to the manufacturer’s instructions. PCR primer sequences are shown in Table S2. PCR amplification was performed using an SYBR Green Master kit (Roche). The expression levels of genes were normalized to an endogenous control GAPDH. The threshold cycle (Ct) was determined using the sequence detection software V1.4 (7300 real-time PCR system).

Protein Extraction and Western Blot Analysis

The cells were lysed in sodium dodecyl sulfate lysate buffer with 1% benzyl sulfonyl fluoride and 1% phosphatase inhibitor. After SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis was performed, the primary antibodies of rabbit anti-MDR1 (1:50,000 dilution) and mouse anti-β-actin (1:50,000 dilution) and the secondary antibodies of goat anti-rabbit and mouse IgG (1:10,000 dilution) labeled with horseradish peroxidase were used. The signal was detected by a chemiluminescence imaging system (Tanon Science & Technology, China).

ATPase Vitality Assays

ATPase vitality was detected according to the instructions of the ATPase kit (Keygen Biotech, China). In brief, Na⁺K⁺ and Ca²⁺Mg²⁺ ATPase decompose ATP to produce ADP and inorganic phosphorus. Then, the vitality of ATPase was determined by measuring the amount of inorganic phosphorus. ATPase vitality was calculated according to the equation: ATPase vitality

\[
\text{ATPase vitality} = \frac{\mu \text{mol Pi/g Hb/hour}}{\text{OD}_{\text{experiment}} - \text{OD}_{\text{control}}} / \text{OD}_{\text{calibrator}}
\]

\[
\times \text{Calibrator concentration}
\]

\[
\times \text{Dilution ratio of sample}
\]

\[
\times 6 / \text{Protein concentration}
\]

Detection of Cell Vitality and Half-Maximal Inhibitory Concentration (IC₅₀)

Cells were seeded with a density of 1×10⁴ cells/well in 96-well plates and cultured in 100 µL of RPMI-1640 medium (containing various concentrations of glucose between 2.78 and 11.11 mM). At least four parallel wells were performed for each sample. Cell viability was determined using the CCK-8 kit. The optical density (OD) of each well was measured by a microplate reader (BioTek Epoch, USA) at 450 nm. Cells viabilities were calculated according to the equation: Cell viability (%) = OD_{experiment}/OD_{control}×100%. Cells with a density of 1×10⁴ cells/well were cultured in 96-well plates for 24 h. Then the supernatant was removed and 100 µL RPMI-1640 solution (containing PTX with the concentrations ranged from 0.001 to 10 µM or ADR with the concentrations ranged from 0.01 to 100 µM) was added into each well after 48 h. The cell vitality was determined using the CCK-8 assay and the IC₅₀ was calculated using the GraphPad prism software.

RNA Sequencing Analysis

The cells were divided into the drug-resistant cell group and the drug-sensitive cell group. The drug-resistant cell group included DROV monoclonal cells selected from 2 µM of paclitaxel intervention, DROV polyclonal cells selected from 2 µM of paclitaxel intervention, and DROV monoclonal cells selected from 30 µM of paclitaxel intervention. The drug-sensitive cell group included two independently cultured OV cells. mRNA was isolated by Trizol. mRNA sequencing was performed by Shanghai Biochip Co. Ltd. (Shanghai, China) and analyses were
performed using Stringtie and HISAT2. Broad GSEA was used to analyze the gene signature enrichment based on the Molecular Signature Database (MSigDB).

**Flow Cytometry Analysis**

The cells were treated with 10 μg/mL of FDNPs for 4 h and then washed twice with cold PBS (pH 7.4). After cells were collected and suspended by PBS, the cells were immediately detected by flow cytometry (Gallios, Beckman, CA, USA). Flow cytometry data were analyzed using FlowJo (V10).

**Laser Confocal Microscopy Assays**

The cells were inoculated in a confocal petri dish (diameter 35mm) at 0.5 mL/dish. Cells were then incubated in RPMI-1640 medium with different glucose concentrations for 48 h. Cells were then treated with FDNPs at a concentration of 10 μg/mL for 4 h, washed with PBS for 3 times, stained by DAPI, and imaged with a laser confocal microscope (SP8 STED 3X, Leica, Germany).

**Co-Culture of Cells and Bacteria**

Co-culture of cells with bacteria was carried out using transwell chambers (Corning, VA, USA) DROV/ OV mixed cells (1×10⁶) in 600 μL of RPMI-1640 medium were placed in the lower compartment of the chambers. After incubation for 24 h, 100 μL of RPMI-1640 medium containing various concentrations of E. coli were added to the upper compartment of the chambers. After 24 h of co-culturing, cells were treated with 10 μg/mL of FDNPs for 4 h and then collected and detected by flow cytometry.

**Statistical Analyses**

Results are presented as the mean ± standard error (SE) for qRT-PCR and IC₅₀ values and the mean ± standard deviation (SD) for cell viability, ATPase assays, and cell counting.

**Conclusion**

The current study evaluates the effectiveness of starvation therapy. A fluorescent dextran nanoparticle has been prepared to detect the proportion of chemoresistant cells in chemoresistant/chemosensitive cell mixture in real-time. The proportion of chemoresistant cells in the mixed cell population was significantly decreased after reducing glucose concentration in the culture medium, demonstrating that the reversal of drug resistance may be achieved by the starvation approach. The co-culture of cancer cells with E. coli can reduce the proportion of resistant cells. Thus, starvation therapy can be used as a new method to reverse drug resistance in cancer.
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