Localization of DNA methyltransferase-1 during oocyte differentiation, in vitro maturation and early embryonic development in cow
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DNA methyltransferase-1 (Dnmt1) is involved in the maintenance of DNA methylation patterns and is crucial for normal mammalian development. The aim of the present study was to assess the localization of Dnmt1 in cow, during the latest phases of oocyte differentiation and during the early stages of segmentation. Dnmt1 expression and localization were assessed in oocytes according to the chromatin configuration, which in turn provides an important epigenetic mechanism for the control of global gene expression and represents a morphological marker of oocyte differentiation. We found that the initial chromatin condensation was accompanied by a slight increase in the level of global DNA methylation, as assessed by 5-methyl-cytosine immunostaining followed by laser scanning confocal microscopy analysis (LSCM). RT-PCR confirmed the presence of Dnmt1 transcripts throughout this phase of oocyte differentiation. Analogously, Dnmt1 immunodetection and LSCM indicated that the protein was always present and localized in the cytoplasm, regardless the chromatin configuration and the level of global DNA methylation. Moreover, our data indicate that while Dnmt1 is retained in the cytoplasm in metaphase II stage oocytes and zygotes, it enters the nuclei of 8-16 cell stage embryos. As suggested in mouse, the functional meaning of the presence of Dnmt1 in the bovine embryo nuclei could be the maintenance of the methylation pattern of imprinted genes. In conclusion, the present work provides useful elements for the study of Dnmt1 function during the late stage of oocyte differentiation, maturation and early embryonic development in mammals.
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In mammals, the establishment and maintenance of DNA methylation patterns play an important role in the regulation of gene expression and genomic imprinting, and are crucial for normal embryonic development (reviewed in: Bird, 2002; Li, 2002; Reik et al., 2001). Genomic methylation patterns undergo drastic changes during gametogenesis and early embryonic development. This process has been extensively studied in mice using different experimental approaches. In the germ line, methylation patterns are erased early in development and gamete-specific ones are established at imprinted loci during gametogenesis (reviewed in: Li, 2002; Reik et al., 2001). In the oocyte, an overall increase in global DNA methylation has been reported during the oocyte growth phase, reaching the highest level in the fully grown oocyte at the germinal vesicle (GV) stage (Kageyama et al., 2007; Spinaci et al., 2004). After fertilization, the zygote undergoes extensive demethylation. Genomic DNA of paternal origin is actively demethylated within several hours after fertilization, whereas the oocyte-derived maternal genome is thought to be passively demethylated (Mayer et al., 2000; Oswald et al., 2000; Rougier et al., 1998; Santos et al., 2002; Cardoso and Leonhardt, 1999; Carlson et al., 1992; Howell et al., 2001; Mertineit et al., 1998). During this phase the monoallelic methylation marks of the imprinted genes escape demethylation and are faithfully maintained (reviewed in: Li, 2002; Reik et al., 2001). De novo and maintenance DNA methylation throughout the genome is restored around the time of implantation to establish tissue-specific epigenetic state (Kafri et al., 1993; Monk et al., 1987; Santos et al., 2002). DNA methyltransferases (Dnmts) are responsible for the establishment and the maintenance of methylation patterns. In contrast to Dnmt3a and 3b, which catalyze de novo methylation of unmethylated DNA, Dnmt1 is a maintenance enzyme that...
methyllates hemi-methylated CpG dinucleotides in the nascent strand of DNA after replication (reviewed in: Bestor, 2000; Li, 2002). Two different isoforms of Dnmt1 have been found in mouse, named Dnmt1o and Dnmt1s, which derive by alternative splicing of the Dnmt1 gene. While Dnmt1s protein is ubiquitously expressed, Dnmt1o is predominantly expressed in the mouse oocyte and preimplantation embryo (Bestor, 2000; Mertineit et al., 1998). The oocyte specific isoform of Dnmt1 has been found in monkey (Vassena et al., 2005) and pig (Jeong et al., 2009) and a novel Dnmt1 isoform was found to be expressed in sheep oocyte, embryo and early fetal lineage, but not in the adult tissue (Taylor et al., 2009). On the contrary, two independent studies indicate that the expression of an oocyte specific isoform of Dnmt1 is unlikely to occur in cattle (Golding and Westhusin, 2003; Russell and Betts, 2008). In mouse, Dnmt1o is thought to be implicated in the maintenance of DNA methylation of imprinted genes at the 8-cells stage of development, when it translocates into the nuclei for one cell cycle (Carlson et al., 1992; Howell et al., 2001; Ratnam et al., 2002). However it is unclear how the methylation imprints are maintained through extensive demethylation in cleavage-stage preimplantation embryos other than the 8 cells stage. Interestingly, new evidences have demonstrated that Dnmt1s is present in association with chromatin in metaphase II stage (MII) oocyte and preimplantation embryo (Cirio et al., 2008; Kurihara et al., 2008) and that Dnmt1 alone is sufficient to maintain the methylation marks of the imprinted genes (Hirasawa et al., 2008).

To date the possible involvement of Dnmt1 in the process of DNA methylation during bovine development is poorly understood. Moreover, since the methylation reprogramming events that presumably involve Dnmt1 during bovine development are to some extent different from those of the mouse, comparative studies of Dnmt1 expression are of mechanistic interest. To address these issues, we investigated the localization of Dnmt1 during the latest phase of the oocyte growth, differentiation and in vitro maturation as well as during the early embryonic development until the 8-16 cells stage. To investigate the possible involvement of Dnmt1 in the DNA methylation process of the oocyte genome, we analyzed the Dnmt1 expression and localization according to the chromatin configuration of bovine oocyte as previous studies indicated that different pattern of chromatin configuration could represent a morphological marker of oocyte differentiation (Lodde et al., 2007; Lodde et al., 2008). Moreover, to correlate the Dnmt1 localization with the DNA methylation patterns during oogenesis we also characterized the DNA methylation by immunohistochemical studies using antibodies against 5-methyl-cytosine.

## Materials and Methods

### Chemicals and Reagents

All chemicals and reagents were purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (St. Louis, USA), unless otherwise stated.

### Oocytes collection

Bovine ovaries were recovered at the abattoir (INALCA spa, Ospedaletto Lodigiano, L0, Ric. CEE 2270 M, Italy) from pubertal females subjected to routine veterinary inspection and in accordance to the specific health requirements stated in Council Directive 89/556/ECC and subsequent modifications. Ovaries were transported to the laboratory, within 2 hours, in sterile saline (9 g NaCl/L) maintained at 26°C. All subsequent procedures, unless differently specified, were performed at 35-38°C. Oocytes at different stage of differentiation were collected from early (0.5-<2 mm) and middle (2-6 mm) antral follicles as previously described (Lodde et al., 2007; Lodde et al., 2008). Cumulus-oocyte complexes (COCs) were retrieved from middle antral follicles with a 16-gauge needle mounted on an aspiration pump (COOK-IVF, Brisbane QLD, Australia). After aspiration, small pieces of ovarian cortex were removed and examined under a dissecting microscope. COCs were isolated from early antral follicles by rupturing the follicle wall with a scalpel. COCs were washed in M199 supplemented with HEPES 20 mM, 1790 units/L Heparin and 0.4% of bovine serum albumin (BSA) and examined under a stereomicroscope. Only COCs medium-brown in color, with five or more complete layers of cumulus cells and a finely granulated homogenous ooplasm were used.

### In vitro maturation, fertilization and embryo culture

Mature bovine oocytes, zygotes and preimplantation stage embryos were obtained using standard procedures utilized in our laboratory for in vitro...
embryo production (Luciano et al., 2005). COCs harvested from middle antral follicles were matured in four-well dishes (NUNC, VWR International, Milan, Italy), for 24 h at 38.5°C under 5% CO2 in humidified air in TCM-199, supplemented with 0.68 mM L-glutamine, 25 mM NaHCO3, 0.4% of bovine serum albumin fatty acid free, 0.2 mM of sodium pyruvate and 0.1 IU/mL of recombinant human FSH (rhFSH, Gonal-F, Serono, Rome, Italy). After in vitro maturation, oocytes were fertilized as previously described (Luciano et al., 2005). Briefly, the contents of a straw of cryopreserved bull spermatozoa (CIZ, S. Miniato Pisa, Italy) was thawed and cells separated on a 45-90% Percoll gradient. Sperms were counted and diluted to a final concentration of 0.5x10^6 spermatozoa/mL in fertilization medium that was a modified Tyrode's solution (TALP) supplemented with 0.6% (w/v) BSA fatty acid free, 10 µg/mL heparin, 20 µM penicillamine, 1 µM epinephrine, and 100 µM hypotaurine. COCs and sperms were incubated for 18 hours at 38.5°C under 5% CO2 in humidified air.

After in vitro fertilization, presumptive zygotes were washed and cumulus cells were removed by vortexing for 2 min in 500 µL of a synthetic oviduct fluid (SOF: Tervit et al., 1972) supplemented with 0.3% (w/v) BSA fraction V, fatty acid free, MEM essential and non-essential aminoacids, 0.72 mM of sodium pyruvate, and buffered with 10 mM of HEPES and 5 mM of NaHCO3. Presumptive zygotes were rinsed and transferred in embryo culture medium, which was SOF buffered with 25 mM of NaHCO3, supplemented with MEM essential and non-essential aminoacids, 0.72 mM of sodium pyruvate, 2.74 mM of myo-inositol, 0.34 mM of sodium citrate and with 5% of calf serum (Gibco, Invitrogen, San Giuliano Milanese, Milan, Italy). Incubation was performed at 38.5°C under 5% CO2, 5% O2 and 90% N2 in humidified air. Zygotes and 8-16 cells stage embryos were collected after 18 and 90 hours post insemination, respectively.

**Immunofluorescence staining**

Indirect immunofluorescence was carried out to evaluate the Dnmt1 cellular localization in oocytes collected from early and middle antral follicles, zygotes and 8-16 cells stage embryos. Oocytes were freed of cumulus cells by vortexing 2 min and fixed as previously described (Modina et al., 2004). Before fixation the zona pellucida of all the samples was digested with 0.5% of pronase according to (Modina et al., 2004). Samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde in phosphate buffer saline (PBS) for 1 h at 4°C, washed in 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS and permeablisized with 0.2% Triton X100, 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS for 30 min at room temperature (RT). Non specific binding was blocked by incubating the samples in 20% normal rabbit serum, 1% BSA in PBS for 1 h at RT. The samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with a polyclonal goat antibody anti-Dnmt1 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA) diluted 1:25 in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.05% Tween 20. Specificity and cross-reactivity with bovine was confirmed by the manufacturer. Samples were extensively washed in 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS and incubated for 1 h at RT with a rabbit anti goat secondary antibody conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen), diluted 1:500 in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.05% Tween 20. For the immunostaining of 8-16 cells stage embryos, PBS with 100 mg/ml of Calcium chloride and 100 mg/ml of Magnesium chloride, was used instead of PBS to avoid blastomeres separation after removal of the zona pellucida.

DNA methylation was detected on oocytes collected from early and middle antral follicles with a mouse anti-5-methylcytosine (Eurogentec SA, Seraing Belgium, 1:500), according to the above protocol except that oocytes were treated in 2 M HCl for 30 min at RT to obtain DNA denaturation and then neutralized in 100 mM Tris HCl buffer (pH 8.5) for 10 min before primary antibody incubation. Normal donkey serum was used to block non specific boundary of the secondary antibody that was a donkey anti mouse conjugated with Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen, 1:500).

All the samples were rinsed twice in 0.05% Tween 20 in PBS and mounted in an antifade medium (Vecta Shield; Vector Laboratories, Inc., Burlingame, CA) supplemented with DAPI dilactate (1 µg/mL). In each experiment, negative controls were performed by omitting the primary antibody. Samples were analyzed on a Nikon C1si confocal laser scanning microscope (Nikon Corp. Tokyo, Japan). When the DNA methylation patterns were analyzed according to the GV chromatin configuration, digital optical sections were obtained by scanning the sample on z-axis at 0.5 µm of thickness throughout the plane of focus containing the GV equatorial plane. The z-series were then projected to obtain a three dimensional image. Instrument
settings were kept constant for each sample; analysis was conducted on digitalized images.

RNA isolation and RT-PCR
Gene expression of Dnmt1 was examined by standard RT-PCR. Oocytes collected from early and middle antral follicles were freed of cumulus cells and divided according to their chromatin configuration as previously described (Lodde et al., 2007; Lodde et al., 2008). Briefly, denuded oocytes (DOs) were obtained by mechanically removing of cumulus cells by the use of vortex (2 min, 35 Hz) in M199 supplemented with HEPES 20 mM and with 5% of calf serum (HM199). DOs were twice washed in HM199, stained with 1 µg/mL Hoechst 33342 in HM199 for 5 min in the dark, and then individually transferred into a 5 µL drop of the same medium, overlaid with mineral oil. Chromatin organization was evaluated under an inverted fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX50, Tokyo, Japan, magnification 40X), equipped with a CCD camera (3CCD Color Video Camera JVC, Model KYF55B). Oocytes were exposed to fluorescence irradiation for no more than 3 seconds, classified and grouped according to the degree of chromatin condensation within the nuclear envelope. Total RNA was extracted from groups of oocytes (25 for each stage) using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Quiagen, Milan, Italy) according to the manufacturer instruction. Total RNA was then retro-transcribed with random examers using the SuperScript First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen). Groups of denuded oocytes from middle antral follicles were processed as control. A total amount of cDNA equivalent to 5 oocytes was used in each amplification reaction. Amplification was performed with specific primers for Dnmt1s according to Golding and Westhsin (2003) (Gene Bank AY244709; Forward primer: 5'-gtatgctctgcagacg-3'; Reverse primer: 5'-ccctggaattagagatggt-3'; amplification product: 521 bp). As internal control, β actin expression was tested in each GV category (Gene Bank NM173979; Forward primer: 5'-tgacacctaaagccaccagctg-3'; Reverse primer: 5'-tgatgcctcagcggctcctgga-3', amplification product: 268 bp). Beta actin primers were designed to span introns and thus to evaluate the presence of contaminating DNA in RNA samples. PCR amplification products were analyzed by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel. RT-PCR experiments were repeated on three RNA samples from independently collected and extracted oocytes for each experimental group.

Results

DNA methylation pattern and localization of bovine DNA methyltransferase-1 during oocyte differentiation
Chromatin configuration patterns obtained in GV stage bovine oocytes after confocal analysis confirmed previous observations made by epifluorescence microscopy (Lodde et al., 2007; Lodde et al., 2008). As shown in Figure 1 (E, F, G, H) and 3 (E, F, G, H), four discrete stages characterized by a progressive increase of chromatin condensation were identified.

The GV0 stage was characterized by a diffuse filamentous pattern of chromatin in the whole nuclear area. The GV1 stage was similar to the GV0 configuration except that few chromatin foci of condensation could be detected in the nucleus. In the GV2 stage, chromatin was further condensed into distinct clumps or strands, while in the GV3 stage the chromatin was condensed into a single clump. As previously shown, almost all oocytes collected from early antral follicles were classified as GV0. This class was absent in middle antral follicles, where the classes GV1, GV2 and GV3 occurred at similar frequency.

The DNA methylation analysis was conducted on a total of 93 GV stage oocytes collected from early and middle antral follicles. Fluorescence intensity was arbitrarily classified as weak or high. As indicated in Figure 1, DNA methylation was already established in GV0 stage oocytes from early antral follicles. However, a slight increase of DNA methylation levels was observed in the transition from GV0 to GV1 oocyte. On a total of 18 GV0 oocyte analyzed, only 7 showed intense fluorescence labeling, while 9 showed a weak signal and 2 showed no labeling. On the contrary, high levels of DNA methylation were observed in all the oocytes bearing to GV1, GV2 and GV3 stages (20, 27 and 28 respectively) from middle antral follicles.

Analysis of gene expression by RT-PCR confirmed the presence of Dnmt1 transcripts in all the observed GV stages (Figure 2). Immunofluorescence staining of Dnmt1 showed that the protein was present throughout the cytoplasm (Figure 3) in all the 43 oocytes analyzed (9 GV0, 11 GV1, 11 GV2, 12 GV3), while it was undetectable in the nuclear compartment.
Localization of bovine DNA methyltransferase-1 in matured oocytes, zygotes and 8-16 cells stage embryos

Immunofluorescence localization was conducted on 13 MII stage oocytes, 14 zygotes and 12 8-16 cells stage embryos. As shown in Figure 4 (A, B and C, D), Dnmt1 was cytoplasmic in all the MII stage oocytes and zygotes analyzed and in all the blastomeres of 8-16 cells stage embryos (Figure 4, E-J). Interestingly, at this stage we identified three patterns of Dnmt1 localization with respect to the nuclear compartment. Dnmt1 was found in the nuclei of several blastomeres (Figure 4, E and F), while was exclusively cytoplasmic in some others (Figure 4, G and H). Finally, Dnmt1 was found more concentrated in the perinuclear region in certain blastomeres (Figure 4, I and J). The Dnmt1 nuclear localization was not related to the embryonic cell number and occasionally, in the same embryo, blastomeres with different patterns were observed.

Discussion

The aim of our study was to assess the localization of Dnmt1 during the latest phases of oocyte differentiation as well as during the early stage of embryonic development in order to evaluate its possible involvement in the process of DNA methylation during bovine development. Most of the knowledge on Dnmt1 functions is based on studies in mice. However studies in other mammals, including cow (Beaujean et al., 2004; Dean et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2001; Lepikhov et al., 2008; Maalouf et al., 2008), revealed that methylation reprogram-
ming events occurring in mouse early development do not necessarily reflect those of the other species as the extent and timing of the epigenetic events throughout preimplantation development can be different between mammals (Beaujean et al., 2004; Dean et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2001; Lepikhov et al., 2008; Oswald et al., 2000; Reik et al., 2003; Santos et al., 2002). Moreover, in the cow the oocyte specific isoform of Dnmt1 (Dnmt1o) was not found to be expressed in oocytes and embryos (Golding and Westhusin, 2003; Russell and Betts, 2008).

In the present work, we analyzed the localization of Dnmt1 at two critical junctures during oogenesis, i.e. the periods of acquisition of maturation and embryonic developmental competences. Our previous studies indicated that the structural chromatin transitions in the oocyte nucleus are temporally related to the main morphological and functional events that characterize the final growth phase in bovine oocyte and thus representing a marker of oocyte differentiation. In particular, the transition from the GV0 to the GV1 stage has been related to the global transcription silencing and to the acquisition of meiotic competence, while the transition from the GV1 stage to higher degrees of condensation in GV2 and GV3 stage oocytes marks the acquisition of a full embryonic developmental capability (Lodde et al., 2007; Lodde et al., 2008). Although DNA methylation patterns in bovine oocytes and early embryos have been already documented in previous reports (Bourc'his et al., 2001; Dean et al., 2001; Kang et al., 2001; Maalouf et al., 2008), the present study analyzes for the first time this epigenetic mark according to the chromatin configuration changes. Our data indicate that DNA methylation is already established in GV0 stage oocytes and slightly increases thereafter with chromatin condensation in GV1 oocytes, which in turn seems to mark the achievement of a stable level of global DNA methylation as no further differences were observed between GV1, GV2 and GV3 stages oocytes. The increased DNA methylation from GV0 to GV1 stage oocytes correlates with the decrease of transcriptional activity that was observed during this transition (Lodde et al., 2008). In fact, a growing body of evidence indicates that the chromatin structure together with DNA methylation mutually reinforce the transcriptional repressive state of DNA (Bird, 2002; Fuks et al., 2003). Moreover, the low level of global DNA methylation in GV0 oocytes is in accordance with
their limited competences as it is becoming evident that genome wide epigenetic modifications must be set in place during gametogenesis in order to allow a correct developmental program (Albertini et al., 2003; Bao et al., 2000; De La Fuente, 2006; Li, 2002). RT-PCR analysis confirmed the presence of the somatic form of Dnmt1 transcripts in immature bovine oocytes as previously observed (Golding and Westhusin, 2003; Russell and Betts, 2008). Moreover this transcript was always detectable throughout the final phase of oocyte differentiation, independently on the chromatin configuration. Analogously, Dnmt1 protein was always present and its localization did not vary during oocyte differentiation as it was found in the cytoplasm of all the oocytes analyzed. This could indicate that Dnmt1 is not engaged in DNA methylation during the later phase of oocyte differentiation. This is in accordance with studies in mouse (Hirasawa et al., 2008; Mertineit et al., 1998), and sheep (Russo et al., 2007), where Dnmt1 was found within the nucleus of growing oocytes isolated from preantral follicles, but not at advanced stages of differentiation within antral follicles where Dnmt1 was retained in the cytoplasm (Hirasawa et al., 2008; Mertineit et al., 1998). On the contrary the de novo DNA methyltransferase protein (Dnmt3a) was found abundantly present in the nuclei of fully grown mouse oocytes (Hirasawa et al., 2008) suggesting that this form of Dnmt could be involved in the process of DNA methylation during the later aspects of oocyte differentiation. Although the presence of Dnmt3a transcript was demonstrated during early embryonic development in cow (Golding and Westhusin, 2003), the presence of both the transcript and the translated protein as well as the protein localization remain to be determined in bovine oocytes.

Immunodetection of Dnmt1 protein during in vitro maturation and early embryonic development was aimed to compare the behavior of bovine Dnmt1 with that described during the development of the wild-type mouse. We found that bovine Dnmt1 does associate with the chromatin neither at the MII stage nor at the pronuclear stage. A similar Dnmt1 localization has been described in mouse when specific anti Dnmt1o antibody or antibodies that recognized both the isoforms were used (Carlson et al., 1992; Cirio et al., 2008; Grohmann et al., 2005; Hirasawa et al., 2008; Kurihara et al., 2008). At the 8 cells stage, the mouse Dnmt1o

Figure 4. Dnmt1 localization in bovine MII stage oocytes, zygotes and early embryos: Representative confocal images showing Dnmt1 localization in MII stage oocyte (A, B), zygotes (C, D) and 8-16 cells stage embryos (E-J). Nuclear counterstaining was performed with DAPI (B, D, F, H and J), while Dnmt1 localization was analyzed by a specific antibody (A, C, E, G and I). All the panels represent confocal Z sections. Original Magnification 60X.
enters the nuclei, presumably to maintain the methylation patterns of imprinted loci (Howell et al., 2001; Mertineit et al., 1998). Our data indicate that an analogous process might be present in the bovine 8-16 cells stage embryo, as the Dnmt1 localization suggests a nucleo-cytoplasmic trafficking. Until recently it was thought that Dnmt1o was the only form of Dnmt1 protein present in mouse oocytes and preimplantation embryos (Ratnam et al., 2002). However new evidences have demonstrated the presence of the somatic form, Dnmt1s, in association with chromatin in MII stage oocytes as well as in the nucleus throughout preimplantation development (Cirio et al., 2008; Hirasawa et al., 2008; Kurihara et al., 2008; reviewed in Branco et al., 2008). These findings strongly support the hypothesis that the combination of Dnmt1o and Dnmt1s proteins works together to ensure the accurate inheritance of genomic imprints in the mouse (Cirio et al., 2008). However it must be pointed out that Dnmt1s is present at very low concentration when compared to the oocyte specific form (Cirio et al., 2008; Hirasawa et al., 2008; Kurihara et al., 2008). For example, it has been estimated that the concentration of Dnmt1s protein in mouse MII oocytes is approximately 1/2000th of the Dnmt1o protein concentration (Cirio et al., 2008). In this view, is it not surprising that the localization of Dnmt1s in cow, which represents the solely form of Dnmt1 in this species, reflects that of Dnmt1o during mouse development. Accordingly, it has been shown that there is no functional difference between these two isoforms (Ding and Chaillet, 2002). Furthermore, it must be considered that mouse and bovine preimplantation embryos are different with respect to the timing of genomic activation (2 cells stage for the mouse and 8-16 cells stage for the bovine), and to the timing of the establishment of new methylation patterns during early development, which is observed at the blastocyst stage in mouse (Dean et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2001), while it starts at the 16-cells stage onwards in bovine (Dean et al., 2003; Dean et al., 2001; Maalouf et al., 2008). Thus we cannot exclude that the functional meaning of the trafficking of Dnmt1 within the nuclei of 8-16 cells stage embryos may go beyond the maintaining of the methylation pattern of imprinted genes.

In conclusion, the present work can provide useful elements for the characterization of Dnmt1 function during the late stage of oocyte differentiation, maturation and early embryonic development in mammals. Our findings could be of mechanistic interest to better understanding the role of nuclear architecture in epigenetic maturation and genomic stability of the female germ line.
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