Multi-level Analysis of Organic Anion Transporters 1, 3, and 6 Reveals Major Differences in Structural Determinants of Antiviral Discrimination
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Long-term exposure to antivirals is associated with serious cellular toxicity to the kidney and other tissues. Organic anion transporters (OATs) are believed to mediate the cellular uptake, and hence cytotoxicity, of many antivirals. However, a systematic in vitro and ex vivo analysis of interactions between these compounds with various OAT isoforms has been lacking. To characterize substrate interactions with mOat1, mOat3, and mOat6, a fluorescence-based competition assay in Xenopus oocytes as well as wild-type and knock-out whole embryonic kidney (WEK) organ culture systems was developed using 6-carboxyfluorescein, 5-carboxyfluorescein, and fluorescein. Of nine common antiviral drugs assessed in oocytes, many manifested higher affinity for SLC22a6 (mOat1), originally identified as NKT (e.g. adefovir and cidofovir), two (ddC and ddI) manifested significantly higher affinity for mOat3, while mOat6 had comparatively low but measurable affinity for certain antivirals. A live organ staining approach combined with fluorescent uptake in WEK cultures allowed the visualization of OAT-mediated uptake ex vivo into developing proximal tubule-like structures, as well as quantification of substrate interactions of individual OAT isoforms. In general, antiviral specificity of SLC22a6 (Oat1) (in Oat3−/− WEK culture) and SLC22a8 (Oat3) (in Oat1−/− WEK culture) was consistent with the Xenopus oocyte data. The combined observations suggest SLC22a8 (Oat3) is the major transporter interacting with ddC and ddI. Finally, quantitative structure-activity relationship analysis of the nine antivirals’ physicochemical descriptors with their OAT affinity indicates that antiviral preferences of mOat1 are explained by high polar surface areas (e.g. phosphate groups), whereas mOat3 prefers hydrogen bond acceptors (e.g. amines, ketones) and low rotatable bond numbers. In contrast, hydrogen bond donors (e.g. amides, alcohols) diminish binding to mOat6. This suggests that, despite sharing close overall sequence homology, Oat1, Oat3, and Oat6 have significantly different binding pockets. Taken together, the data provide a basis for understanding potential drug interactions in combination antiviral therapy, as well as suggesting structural modifications for drug design, especially in the context of targeting toward or away from specific tissues.

The organic anion transporters (OATs)2 mediate the uptake of structurally diverse substrates: endogenous compounds (steroids, odorants, cyclic nucleotides, neurotransmitters), drugs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, diuretics, and antibiotics), environmental toxins (ochratoxin A and mercuric chlorides), and other organic wastes (1, 2). It has recently been hypothesized that OATs and other SLC22 family members participate in a remote sensing mechanism for small molecules between tissues and also between organisms (1, 23). The prototype, now called Oat1, was initially identified as NKT (3–5). Since then, at least six OATs have been identified, all of which are members of the larger SLC22 family of transporters. Most closely related to SLC22a6 (Oat1) are SLC22a8 (Oat3), originally identified as Roct (6), and the recently identified SLC22a20 (Oat6), expressed in olfactory mucosa (1, 7). Importantly, the OATs have been postulated to constitute the initial step in the uptake of antiviral nucleoside and nucleobase analog antiretroviral, uptake (8, 9). To combat chronic disease because of HIV, herpes, smallpox, and other viruses, antiviral drugs are often administered, with HIV also requiring prolonged combination therapies as either triple or quadruple drug “cocktails” (10). Unfortunately, long-term exposure to antivirals is often associated with nephrotoxicity, lactic acidosis, hepatic failure, and skeletal myopathy (11, 12), problems that can be further exacerbated by additional, as yet undefined, drug interactions.

Despite their plausible role via OAT transport in cytotoxicity, data on the relative affinity of antiviral drugs for OATs (K\textsubscript{IC50} or IC\textsubscript{50}) is limited mostly to Oat1 (13, 14), with some data indicating the involvement of Oat3 (15–17). However, the relative contribution of each OAT to the uptake of antivirals in epithelial and other tissues is unknown. The analysis is further confounded by the presence of multiple, simultaneously expressed transporters; varying degrees of substrate specificity at the (individual) transporter level; as well as a complex pattern of
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tissue expression and cellular localization. These issues have yet to be addressed in a single system or in a single set of experiments; unfortunately, widely used heterologous systems for addressing OAT affinity do not take these issues into account.

In the original description of NKT/Oat1, it was shown that the gene is expressed during kidney development (4). Whole organ culture could conceivably provide a system for studying substrate interactions in a complete environment, providing native cellular machinery recapitulating the whole tissue response ex vivo. Rat whole embryonic kidney (WEK) cultures have previously been shown to accumulate fluorescein in a probenecid-sensitive fashion (18). Exploiting OATs expressed in WEKs, OAT isoform-specific fluorescent anion “trackers” capable of visualizing OAT-mediated uptake in organ systems could conceivably dissect the role of individual Oats in intact tissue. In fact, it has been shown that 6-carboxyfluorescein is an effective fluorescent tracer for studying hOat1-mediated translocation in transfected Chinese hamster ovary cells (19). In general, carboxyfluoresceins (CF) have better kinetic properties for studying cellular OAT-mediated uptake than fluorescein, because the extra negative charge on the molecule allows for better cell retention (20). In light of this, we developed and characterized a fluorescence-based assay for Xenopus oocytes and WEKs for determining OAT isoform substrate interactions using differently substituted carboxyfluoresceins.

Additionally, we have utilized the uptake of fluorescent OAT substrates in the in vitro and the whole organ system to determine the affinity values for a subset of nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI) and acyclic nucleotide/nucleoside antivirals interacting with mOat1, mOat3, and mOat6: adefovir, cidofovir, tenofovir, acyclovir, zidovudine (AZT), lamivudine (3TC), stavudine (d4T), zalcitabine (ddC), and didanosine (ddl)) were obtained from Moravek Biochemicals (Brea, CA). Fluorescent anions, TRITC-conjugated lectins (Dolichos biflorus lectin and Lotus lectin (Sigma)), and prototypical OAT compounds (ES, PAH), and fluorescent dyes (4-carboxyfluorescein dimethylester (4-CFDM), 5-carboxyfluorescein (5-CF), 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-CF), fluorescein, eosin Y, and resorufin) were obtained from Sigma. Antivirals (adefovir, cidofovir, tenofovir, acyclovir, zidovudine (AZT), lamivudine (3TC), stavudine (d4T), zalcitabine (ddC), and didanosine (ddl)) were obtained from Moravek Biochemicals or Sigma.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES
Organic Anions
The radiolabeled tracers [3H]p-aminohippurate (PAH) (specific activity 4.2 Ci/mmol) and [3H]estrone-3-sulfate (ES) (57 Ci/mmol) were purchased from PerkinElmer Life Sciences. [3H]ddC (30 Ci/mmol) was obtained from Moravek Biochemicals (Brea, CA). Fluorescent anions, TRITC-conjugated lectins (Dolichos biflorus lectin and Lotus lectin (Sigma)), and prototypical OAT compounds (ES, PAH), and fluorescent dyes (4-carboxyfluorescein dimethylester (4-CFDM), 5-carboxyfluorescein (5-CF), 6-carboxyfluorescein (6-CF), fluorescein, eosin Y, and resorufin) were obtained from Sigma. Antivirals (adefovir, cidofovir, tenofovir, acyclovir, zidovudine (AZT), lamivudine (3TC), stavudine (d4T), zalcitabine (ddC), and didanosine (ddl)) were obtained from Moravek Biochemicals or Sigma.

Xenopus Oocyte Uptake

Xenopus oocyte assays were performed as described previously (1, 22). Capped RNA was synthesized from linearized plasmid DNA for SLC22a6 (mOat1) (Image clone 4163278), SLC22a8 (mOat3) (Image clone 4239544), and SLC22a20 (mOat6) (Image clone 6309764) using the mMessage mMachine in vitro transcription kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). Briefly, oocytes were isolated and maintained in Barths buffer growth medium, injected with cRNA solution (0.5 μg/μl, 23 nl/oocyte), and allowed to mature for 3–4 days post-injection prior to transport assays.

Experimental groups of 16–20 oocytes were placed in a 24-well plate with 1 ml of Barths buffer containing 1 μCi of a 3H-labeled organic anion tracer or a fluorescent anion tracer: 20 μM 6-CF (for mOat1-injected oocytes), 100 μM 5-CF (mOat3), or 30 μM fluorescein (mOat6). Samples contained different concentrations of an unlabeled organic anion. After a 1-h incubation at 25 °C, oocytes were washed 3 times with 4°C Barths buffer and each experimental group was divided into four samples of 4–5 oocytes. Radioactivity was measured by scintillation counting (Rackbeta, Beckman-Coulter) or by fluorescence (PolarStar plate reader, BMG Labtechnologies, Durham, NC). To calculate the OAT-mediated component, the background tracer uptake was measured in uninjected oocytes and was subtracted from the uptake of OAT-injected oocytes in all experimental samples.

Calculations and Statistics

Substrate activity was calculated for fluorescent tracers as the fluorescence clearance (CL) from the incubation medium (CL = V_{transp}/S), by dividing the fluorescence (relative fluorescence units) absorbed per oocyte per unit time (V_{transp}, relative fluorescence units/oocyte/h) by the tracer concentration in the incubation medium (S, relative fluorescence units/ml). [3H] transport rates utilize counts/min instead of the relative fluorescence unit term. Affinity of an inhibitor organic anion (IC_{50}, K_{v} or K_{m}) was determined by measuring tracer uptake in the presence of 3–4 inhibitor concentrations in 10-fold increments. Each data point is the average of 2–3 experiments. Inhibition data were curve fitted using nonlinear...
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regression in Prism software 5.0 (GraphPad Inc., San Diego, CA) to calculate the IC_{50} ± S.E., the Michaelis-Menten equation for K_m and the K_i value was determined as shown previously (23). Maximum uptake (V_{max}) was calculated as V_{max} = CL · ([S] + K_i), where CL values were measured in a single oocyte experiment with all fluorescent compounds tested at the concentration, S = 100 μM, and K_i values were from Table 1.

RESULTS

Fluorescent Tracers for OAT-mediated Uptake—To identify fluorescent compounds with the potential to serve as tracers of OAT-mediated transport, a panel of six fluorescent anions was tested for suitable characteristics. Key criteria included a high V_{max} (translocation rate) and a suitable K_i (affinity constant) to minimize the chance of tracer competition with the test compound. The affinity values for each fluorescent anion for mOat1, mOat3, and mOat6 were determined by inhibiting the uptake of a radiolabeled tracer, either PAH or ES (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The fluorescent compounds (fluorescein, 4-CFDM, 5-CF, 6-CF, eosin Y, and resorufin) were all found to have significant interaction with all OATs tested. Because this does not necessarily indicate they are substrates, the uptake rates (V_{max}) of the fluorescent compounds were also determined for each transporter (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The uptake of 5 of the compounds (simultaneously tested at 100 μM and used to calculate the V_{max}) were obtained in a single typical experiment. All compounds tested proved to be translocated by (i.e. to be substrates for) at least one of the three transporters.

The data from Table 1 was then used to determine the best “tracers” (i.e. probes for cellular accumulation) and trackers (i.e. visualization of transport in organ culture systems) for the different transporters. Based on the V_{max} values determined, 6-CF, 5-CF, and fluorescein had the best characteristics for being used as probes of the transport activities of, respectively, mOat1, mOat3, and mOat6.

6-CF had the best characteristics for mOat1 transport compared with other compounds tested exhibiting a K_i of 57.3 μM and a V_{max} of 4.2 pmol/oocyte/h (Table 1). Although 6-CF binding affinity for mOat1 proved to be lower than that of fluorescein, 4-CFDM, eosin Y, and resorufin, the translocation rate, V_{max} was the highest (Table 1). Similarly, whereas 5-CF showed the poorest affinity for mOat3 (K_i of 373.3 μM, compared with the second poorest, fluorescein, which was 35.1 μM), it had the highest V_{max} value, 45.2 pmol/oocyte/h. Therefore, 5-CF has the best characteristics for use as a fluorescent mOat3 substrate. For mOat6, fluorescein had the second strongest binding affinity (K_i, 93.2 μM, compared with eosin Y, 5 μM) as well as the highest V_{max} (5.1 pmol/oocyte/h), qualities that suggest its use as an effective tracer for mOat6.

OAT Antiviral Affinities—Whereas mOat1 and mOat3 are coexpressed in many tissues, the relative proportion, as well as functionality, can be variable. For example, mOat1 is expressed in mouse olfactory mucosa (along with mOat6), whereas in retina, mOat3 expression appears higher than that of mOat1 (7). Thus, expression patterns are likely to be important determinants of tissue penetration for various antivirals. Using the identified fluorescent probes (5-CF, 6-CF, and fluorescein), binding affinities for a diverse group of antiviral drugs were determined for mOat1, mOat3, and mOat6 in Xenopus oocytes. The uptake of many of these compounds has been determined for hOat1 (8, 9, 12), although not side by side in the same study. Due to structural homology, Oat3 and Oat6 might translocate them as well, although this remains to be determined. Because
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FIGURE 1. Inhibition of radiolabeled tracer uptake by fluorescent organ anions. To determine the affinity of a particular fluorescent anion for a particular OAT, varying concentrations of the fluorescent anion were used to inhibit the uptake of a prototypical radiolabeled tracer ([³H]PAH for mOat1 and [³H]ES for mOat3 and mOat6) in Xenopus oocytes. Values are plotted here as percent inhibition of control uptake (mean ± S.E., n = 4). Curves were fit using nonlinear regression and affinity values are presented in Table 1.

TABLE 1

| OAT            | Fluorescent substrate | Kᵣᵣ* (µM) | CL (nml/oocyte/h) | Vmax (pmol/oocyte/h) |
|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|
| mOat1 (SLC22a6) | Fluorescein           | 3.0 ± 0.1 | 12.7 ± 1.5       | 1.3 ± 0.2           |
|                | 5-Carboxyfluorescein  | 523.4 ± 80| 3.6 ± 1.0        | 2.2 ± 1.4           |
|                | 6-Carboxyfluorescein  | 56.6 ± 3  | 26.7 ± 5.5       | 4.2 ± 1.1           |
|                | 4-Carboxyfluorescein-DM| 34.8 ± 4 | 8.7 ± 2.6        | 1.2 ± 0.4           |
|                | Eosin-Y               | 0.1 ± 0.03| 1.5 ± 1.7        | 0.2 ± 0.2           |
|                | Resorufin             | 4.7 ± 0.2 |                  |                     |
| mOat3 (SLC22a8) | Fluorescein           | 35.0 ± 2 | 81.6 ± 32.7      | 11.0 ± 4.8          |
|                | 5-Carboxyfluorescein  | 373.3 ± 28| 95.5 ± 21.5      | 45.2 ± 16.9         |
|                | 6-Carboxyfluorescein  | 10.7 ± 0.1| 33.5 ± 9.2       | 3.7 ± 1.0           |
|                | 4-Carboxyfluorescein-DM| 17.6 ± 0.9| 30.3 ± 8.8       | 3.6 ± 1.0           |
|                | Eosin-Y               | 0.3 ± 0.04| 0.6 ± 0.4        | 0.06 ± 0.04         |
|                | Resorufin             | 8.3 ± 0.1 | ND               | ND                  |
| mOat6 (SLC22a20)| Fluorescein           | 93.3 ± 6.2| 26.4 ± 12.3      | 5.1 ± 2.6           |
|                | 5-Carboxyfluorescein  | 3581.0 ± 582| 1.4 ± 1.8     | ND*                 |
|                | 6-Carboxyfluorescein  | 3162.3 ± 241| 1.4 ± 2.1    | ND*                 |
|                | 4-Carboxyfluorescein-DM| 4456 ± 117| 3.8 ± 0.5        | 2.1 ± 0.9           |
|                | Eosin-Y               | 4.8 ± 0.7 | 37.4 ± 26.6      | 3.9 ± 2.9           |
|                | Resorufin             | >3000     | ND               | ND                  |

*a Calculated from data of Fig. 1, the mean ± S.E.
*b For each of the transporters, OAT-mediated clearance values were determined for all fluorescent tracers in a single preparation of OAT-injected oocytes. For each transporter, a data of a typical single experiment is presented (data obtained with different oocyte preparations are not comparable to each other).
+c Calculated as Vmax = CL × (1 + S/Kᵣᵣ), with all fluorescent compounds tested at a concentration, S = 100 µM.
+d ND, uptake of resorufin was difficult to measure because it was rapidly decolorized (apparently metabolized) after being taken up by oocytes.
+e mOats-mediated transport rate was not measurable for 5-CF and 6-CF because their uptake in OAT-injected oocytes was not significantly different from un.injected oocytes.
with mOat3, radiolabeled ddC was assessed in mOat3 injected oocytes (Fig. 2). The estimated $K_m$ (125.9 μM) closely resembles the IC$_{50}$ determined in Table 2 (203 μM). This confirms that mOat3 is a high affinity transporter of ddC and because the IC$_{50}$ and $K_m$ are similar, strengthens the conclusion, that at least for these compounds, inhibition mirrors $K_m$. The compounds 3TC and AZT showed equal specificity for both mOat1 and mOat3 (Table 2).

With respect to the olfactory transporter, for all compounds tested, only ddC, d4T, and AZT exhibited significant interaction with mOat6, which had no detectable interaction with ddL, 3TC, cidofovir, and acyclovir. This is in contrast to its two closest homologs, mOat1 and mOat3, which, although differing in specificity have the ability to interact with all antivirals tested.

Localization of Carboxyfluoresceins in Whole Embryonic Kidneys—Different CF were used as trackable molecules in WEK for visualizing OAT-mediated uptake. This ex vivo system is an alternative to the use of renal slices and has the unique advantage that the tissue not only survives in culture, but continues to grow and develop. WEKs were incubated in a minimal saline solution containing CF before analysis under confocal microscopy. In this study, both 5-CF and 6-CF uptake reveals punctate cellular accumulation in convoluted structures (Fig. 3). Inhibition of uptake by the addition of 2 mM probenecid was sufficient to completely eliminate the fluorescence patterns, strongly suggesting OAT-mediated uptake. Additionally, high magnification images of the tubules (Fig. 3, C and F) indicated that fluorescence accumulates within tubular cells. Whereas the lack of strong luminal fluorescence suggests that the CF compounds enter through a basolateral step in tubular epithelial cells, there appears to be rate-limiting apical excretion based on significantly higher fluorescence in the cytosol than the lumen. These observations are in contrast to fluorescein uptake, which was found to rapidly accumulate within the lumen of the developing tubules (18), and would prove problematic for determining OAT uptake.

Whereas the structures displaying CF accumulation appear to be proximal tubules, it was not clear if there was any uptake in the collecting duct. Using a live staining method, we performed co-imaging of CF uptake with D. biflorus staining, a collecting duct marker (25), which demonstrates that uptake is separate from the collecting duct structures (Fig. 4, A and B). Sites of CF accumulation appear to begin at the tips of collecting ducts, sites in the developing kidney where nephrogenesis is highest and where the distal portion of the neprhop connects to the collecting system. As expected from an OAT-mediated process, CF uptake begins at the proximal portion of the nephron-like structure.

To further define these structures, colocalization with Lotus lectin, a proximal tubule marker (25), was performed. Lotus lectin staining was not as specific as D. biflorus because it marked all epithelial structures; however, it more intensely stained the convoluted tubules (Fig. 4, C and D). Therefore, the

### Table 2: Antiviral Affinities of Oat1, Oat3, and Oat6

| Antiviral compound | IC$_{50}$ mOat1 (SLC22a6) | IC$_{50}$ mOat3 (SLC22a8) | IC$_{50}$ mOat6 (SLC22a20) |
|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| NRTI               |                           |                           |                           |
| Didanosine (ddI)   | 600 ± 23                  | 136 ± 9                   | >3000                     |
| Stavudine (d4T)    | 628 ± 66                  | 2113 ± 274                | 1671 ± 181                |
| Zalcitabane (ddC)  | 1479 ± 67                 | 203 ± 30                  | 729 ± 30                  |
| Lamivudine (3TC)   | 104 ± 2                   | 140 ± 7                   | >3000                     |
| Zidovudine (AZT)   | 78 ± 3                    | 38 ± 5                    | 218 ± 17                  |
| Acyclic nucleotide analogs |            |                           |                           |
| Adefovir           | 36 ± 2                    | 597 ± 46                  | >3000                     |
| Tenofovir          | 81 ± 3                    | 384 ± 45                  | >3000                     |
| Cidofovir          | 25 ± 7                    | >3000                     | >3000                     |

**Table 2 notes**

- Calculated from data in supplemental Fig. 1. Fluorescent tracers determined in Fig. 1 and Table 1 were used as probes in competition with antivirals as listed.
- The data represents multiple 10-fold increases in antiviral concentration from two experiments plotted using nonlinear regression (see “Experimental Procedures” and supplemental Fig. 1) to determine the IC$_{50}$.

- S.E. was calculated as the deviation of the inhibitor points to the fitted nonlinear curve.

**IC$_{50}$ of antivirals against mOat1, mOat3, and mOat6**

- Acyclic nucleotide analogs
  - Adefovir: 36 ± 2, 597 ± 46 μM > 3000 μM
  - Tenofovir: 81 ± 3, 384 ± 45 μM > 3000 μM
  - Cidofovir: 25 ± 7, > 3000 μM > 3000 μM

**Kinetics of dideoxycytidine (ddC) uptake by mOat3**

- 30 nCi/mmol [3H]ddC was incubated with mOat3-injected Xenopus oocytes along with increasing concentrations of unlabeled ddC. Uptake of ddC by mOat3 was saturable and concentration dependent with a $K_m$ of 125.9 μM. These values are consistent with the IC$_{50}$ determinations and suggest that for these groups of compounds, IC$_{50}$ is an appropriate indicator of $K_m$. Background ddC accumulation in uninjected oocytes was linear, suggesting non-mediated mechanisms of uptake. The $K_m$ was determined in GraphPad using the Michaelis-Menten equation. Values are plotted as mean ± S.E. (n = 4).

**Comparison of Carboxyfluoresceins in E13.5 WEK**

- A: WT WEK + 5CF, B: WT WEK + 5CF in presence of 2 mM probenecid, C: High power view of single tubule in A, D: WT WEK + 6CF, E: WT WEK + 6CF in presence of 2 mM probenecid. F: zoom of single tubule in D. Both 5-CF and 6-CF show discrete patterns that resemble proximal tubule structures. Accumulation of 5-CF and 6-CF are in punctuate cellular sites (C and F), with overaccumulation in the cytosol. This would suggest the apical step is rate-limiting. Both dyes also show complete probenecid sensitivity, indicating an OAT-dependent mechanism of tubular accumulation (B and E).

**Localization of Carboxyfluoresceins in Whole Embryonic Kidneys**

- Different CF were used as trackable molecules in WEK for visualizing OAT-mediated uptake. This ex vivo system is an alternative to the use of renal slices and has the unique advantage that the tissue not only survives in culture, but continues to grow and develop. WEKs were incubated in a minimal saline solution containing CF before analysis under confocal microscopy. In this study, both 5-CF and 6-CF uptake reveals punctate cellular accumulation in convoluted structures (Fig. 3). Inhibition of uptake by the addition of 2 mM probenecid was sufficient to completely eliminate the fluorescence patterns, strongly suggesting OAT-mediated uptake. Additionally, high magnification images of the tubules (Fig. 3, C and F) indicated that fluorescence accumulates within tubular cells. Whereas the lack of strong luminal fluorescence suggests that the CF compounds enter through a basolateral step in tubular epithelial cells, there appears to be rate-limiting apical excretion based on significantly higher fluorescence in the cytosol than the lumen. These observations are in contrast to fluorescein uptake, which was found to rapidly accumulate within the lumen of the developing tubules (18), and would prove problematic for determining OAT uptake.

Whereas the structures displaying CF accumulation appear to be proximal tubules, it was not clear if there was any uptake in the collecting duct. Using a live staining method, we performed co-imaging of CF uptake with D. biflorus staining, a collecting duct marker (25), which demonstrates that uptake is separate from the collecting duct structures (Fig. 4, A and B). Sites of CF accumulation appear to begin at the tips of collecting ducts, sites in the developing kidney where nephrogenesis is highest and where the distal portion of the nephron connects to the collecting system. As expected from an OAT-mediated process, CF uptake begins at the proximal portion of the nephron-like structure.

To further define these structures, colocalization with Lotus lectin, a proximal tubule marker (25), was performed. Lotus lectin staining was not as specific as D. biflorus because it marked all epithelial structures; however, it more intensely stained the convoluted tubules (Fig. 4, C and D). Therefore, the
relative intensity could be used to define tubule structures. Structures intensely stained by Lotus lectin showed distinct CF accumulation that appeared three-dimensionally arranged around a lumen (Fig. 4, C and D). Of note, uptake was not seen along the entire length of the convoluted tubule (including the presumed loop of Henle) but only along half the tubule, suggesting the OAT-mediated uptake of CF is limited to distinct portions of the developing proximal tubule.

Antiviral Interaction with Whole Embryonic Kidneys—Oat1 and Oat3 knock-out mice have previously been described in detail (22, 24) and here we have used WEK from these mice to identify substrate interactions for single OAT isoforms within an intact organ system. This approach proved to be quantitative for ligand interactions and was consistent with data obtained by other methods. By measuring the intensity of inhibited samples in comparison to control images (see “Experimental Procedures”), it was possible to ascertain the inhibition potency (Figs. 5F, 6F, and supplemental Fig. S2F).

Before testing the knock-out WEKs, the differences between 5-CF and 6-CF in wild-type (WT) tissue was determined, because they express both Oat1 and Oat3. The inhibitory effects of cidofovir, ddC, and ES on WT WEK uptake were determined to define the differences between using 5-CF versus 6-CF. This was used to create an inhibitory profile. Both fluorescent compounds are translocated by the two major transporters (mOat1 and mOat3), but with very different affinities and rates. The data are summarized in supplemental Fig. S2; left sides of the panels contain 5CF-tracked WEKs, whereas the right side shows 6-CF as a tracker.

Data already presented from oocyte studies suggest 5-CF may be more selective for mOat3, predicting that 1 mM cidofovir should not significantly reduce 5CF uptake (Table 2), whereas ddC at 2 mM and ES at 100 μM should almost completely inhibit 5-CF uptake (IC50, ES = 9.1 μM (8)). Cidofovir inhibition was statistically indistinguishable from the control (left supplemental Fig. S2, A versus B). In contrast, both ddC and ES blocked 5-CF uptake to below 15% of control levels (left supplemental Fig. S2, A versus C + D). This would indicate that using 5-CF as a tracker in WEK may mostly reflect the action of mOat3 (the predicted inhibitory profile), with little contribution from mOat1.

On the other hand, 6-CF as a tracker may reflect more complex contributions from both mOat1 and mOat3. This is suggested by the similar Vmax shown in Table 2. All three compounds had intermediary effects on 6-CF uptake, with values showing 50–70% levels of fluorescence compared with the controls (right supplemental Fig. S2). These values
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roughly reflect the combined inhibitory profiles of mOat1 and mOat3 (Table 3). Therefore, 6-CF may be used to track both mOat1- and mOat3-mediated translocation in an intact organ system.

Whereas using wild-type WEKs may provide the more comprehensive view of OAT interaction with organic anions, singling out individual transport properties can provide important information. To isolate Oat1-mediated uptake, WEKs of Oat3<sup>−/−</sup> mice were tracked with 6-CF. As predicted from Table 3, adefovir and cidofovir (Fig. 5, B and C) had significant inhibition of 6-CF uptake by lowering WEK fluorescence to 20% of control levels. The effects of ddC and ddl were less potent but inhibited presumed Oat1 accumulation of 6-CF to nearly 60% of controls (Fig. 5, D and E). As suggested earlier, mOat1 has higher affinity for cidofovir and adefovir in comparison to its affinity for ddC and ddl (Table 3), data that compares well with the oocyte data presented here.

Oat3-specific uptake was studied in isolation by using WEKs from Oat1<sup>−/−</sup> mice using 5-CF. Adefovir and cidofovir, each tested at 1 mM (Fig. 6, B and C), showed poor inhibition of presumed Oat3-mediated uptake, with only adefovir causing any statistically significant effects (70% of control). The antivirals ddC and ddl had a major impact on Oat3-mediated uptake of 6-CF (reducing it to 20% of control), in contrast to those found by Oat1-mediated uptake (Fig. 5). Data points obtained from this image analysis reflected values obtained from oocytes fairly closely (Table 2 and supplemental Fig. S1). The combined observations suggest that mOat3 is the major route of ddC elimination. It seems likely that data obtained through this methodology more closely resemble the compound interactions in vivo.

QSAR of Antiviral Affinities—To explain the observed differences in antiviral affinity between OAT isoforms, predictive QSAR models were developed based on antiviral structure. Individual models for OAT ligand binding were built by fitting the data to general Equation 1 using multiple linear regression analysis based on different physicochemical properties of the various substrates. Predictions of pK<sub>i</sub> based on the models compared with the obtained experimental values are shown in Table 3 and supplemental Fig. S3.

\[
pK_i = \sum c_i \xi_i + \kappa
\]  
(Eq. 1)

The left and right hand side of Equation 1 represent dependent (pK<sub>i</sub> = -log K<sub>i</sub> in m) and independent variables, respectively. Here, ξ<sub>i</sub> represents the individual physicochemical descriptors (e.g. the number of hydrogen bond donors, acceptors, and rotatable bonds: each scaled by a factor c<sub>i</sub>). All the parameters and constants (κ) are freely optimizable. The physicochemical significance of coefficients and descriptors is explained in the following section.

### TABLE 3
Experimental, calculated pK<sub>i</sub> and residuals from multiple linear regression studies

| Compounds | Experimental pK<sub>i</sub><sup>a</sup> | Calculated pK<sub>i</sub><sup>b</sup> | Residual |
|-----------|----------------|----------------|---------|
|           | mOat1 | mOat3 | mOat6 | mOat1 | mOat3 | mOat6 | mOat1 | mOat3 | mOat6 |
| Didanosine | 3.22 | 3.87 | 3.25 | 4.10 | -0.03 | -0.23 | -0.41 |
| Stavudine  | 3.2  | 2.68 | 2.78 | 3.05 | 2.90 | 3.19 | 0.15 | -0.22 | -0.46 |
| Zalcitabine| 2.83 | 3.69 | 3.14 | 3.24 | 3.23 | 3.19 | -0.41 | 0.46 | -0.05 |
| Lamivudine | 3.98 | 3.85 | 3.74 | 4.10 | 0.24 | -0.25 | 0.47 |
| Zidovudine| 4.11 | 4.42 | 3.66 | 3.77 | 4.10 | 3.19 | 0.34 | 0.32 | 0.17 |
| Adefovir  | 4.44 | 3.22 | 2.28 | 4.38 | 3.18 | 2.11 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.17 |
| Tenofovir | 4.09 | 3.42 | 1.93 | 4.38 | 3.18 | 2.11 | -0.29 | 0.24 | -0.18 |
| Cidofovir | 4.6  | 2.49 | 4.57 | 2.66 | 0.03 | -0.16 | -0.09 | -0.25 |
| Acyclovir | 3.67 | 3.13 | 3.76 | 3.38 | 0.12 | 0.46 | -0.09 | -0.25 |

<sup>a</sup> Experimental pK<sub>i</sub> values were calculated as the -log[IC<sub>50</sub>] from values in Table 2.

<sup>b</sup> Calculated pK<sub>i</sub> values were determined by correlating experimental pK<sub>i</sub> values to different antiviral physicochemical descriptors using various software programs (see "Experimental Procedures").
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**DISCUSSION**

We have analyzed the interaction of antivirals with organic anion transporters of the SLC22 family using novel methods for visualizing OAT-mediated uptake in wild-type and knock-out whole organ culture systems combined with *Xenopus* oocytes. Drugs, toxins, and endogenous compounds that interact with OATs do not appear to act independently of each other. Clinically, many drugs that are OAT substrates are used together, HIV combination therapy being one example. Therefore, a thorough understanding of drug affinities for OATs is required. The present study examines OAT-mediated transport in multiple systems and levels (oocytes, whole embryonic kidneys, and physicochemical characteristics). Computational analyses of the data suggest physicochemical characteristics of antivirals that dictate binding preference for mOat1, mOat3, or mOat6. The WEK system, which likely recapitulates the native OAT intracellular and paracellular environment with greater fidelity than *Xenopus* oocytes, proved to be directly quantifiable and provides insight into the mechanism(s) by which drugs and/or toxins are able to access intrarenal sites. The approaches described here can tease out these interactions within the whole organ in the context of other drugs and toxins, whereas the analysis of structural characteristics of substrates could be exploited to modify OAT affinity and drug elimination.

The use of QSAR analysis provides mechanistic insight into the differential interaction of antivirals with OATs. We deter-

\[
p_{K_i} = -0.527 \cdot (RB) + 0.861 \cdot (HBA) + 0.510 \quad (Eq. 3)
\]

\[
p_{K_i} = -1.088 \cdot (HBD) + 5.37 \quad (Eq. 4)
\]
mined that different descriptors are important for explaining the biological activity of different OATs. The balance of hydrogen bond acceptors, such as amine and ketone groups, to the number of rotatable bonds was found to be important for mOat3 inhibition. On the other hand, the polar surface area (phosphate groups) and the number of hydrogen bond donating moieties (e.g. amides and alcohols) were found to be important for mOat1 and mOat6 inhibition, respectively. These data emphasize the distinctiveness of the binding sites of the three OATs, despite their high degree of homology (7) and suggests binding pocket characteristics that can be exploited for specific drug design. The identification of these characteristics may make it possible to differentially circumvent certain intrarenal anion transport processes, whereas modulating others. This concept would presumably apply to other OAT-expressing tissues like choroid plexus, olfactory mucosa, and placenta as well. For example, a viable treatment for prolonging drug action might utilize targeted and differential inhibition of the different OAT isoforms using structure-based drug design, a concept recently highlighted between mOat1 and mOat6 (23).

To analyze antiviral transport at multiple levels, novel WEK assays with wild-type and knock-out tissue were developed and utilized in conjunction with Xenopus oocyte assays. Comparisons clarified the different roles of OAT family members in antiviral uptake. For example, mOat3 was implicated as the major contributor to ddC and ddl transport, and consequently, ddC is shown to be a novel mOat3 substrate. Both ddC and ddl have been shown to be cytotoxic (27–30). Although Oat1 and Oat3 have significant overlap in the proximal tubule segments (S1–S3), rOat3 has also been shown to be expressed in the thick ascending loop, distal tubule, connecting tubule, and the collecting duct of the outer medulla (31, 32). Different parts of the nephron may thus accumulate substrates or toxins at different rates due to the different localization of transporters, thereby affecting cellular toxicity.

In addition, although adefovir, cidofovir, and tenofovir have been shown clinically to cause renal insufficiency (11), the particular local impact on the kidney may be more discrete than ddC or ddl due to more specific proximal tubule localization of Oat1 (31, 32). In fact, the proximal tubule appears to be the most vulnerable during renal ischemia due to its low glycolytic capacity (reviewed in Refs. 33 and 34–37). Plasma levels of adefovir in patients undergoing treatment (10 mg/day) rarely approach the maximal capacity of OATs. Nevertheless, such levels appear to be associated with nephrotoxicity, further highlighting the need to study the exact limits of OAT-mediated clearance of antivirals.

In general, the affinity of antivirals for mOat1 agreed with those found in previous work using cultured cell lines expressing hOat1 (13, 14, 17), and were consistent at multiple levels of analysis in this work. Furthermore, the similarities in affinity of mouse Oat1 to human Oat1, with respect to these antivirals, suggest mouse models of antiviral excretion may mirror those in humans.

Oat3 and Oat6 had little affinity for this group of (acyclic nucleotide analog) antivirals. Furthermore, a major role for Oat1 in the uptake of ddC and ddl seems less likely. For many compounds, Oat1 and Oat3 had complementary affinities for the antivirals tested (i.e. one with high affinity, one with low affinity). Finally, the recently discovered olfactory mucosa transporter (Oat6) was found to have little ability to interact with this group of antiviral drugs, despite high overall sequence homology. This further isolates mOat6 as a functionally separate homolog, possibly as an odorant transporter as postulated earlier (1).

By utilizing OAT knock-out WEKs for isolating the inhibitory profile of individual OATs in whole organ systems, we were able to dissect the relative importance of Oat1 and Oat3 interactions with antivirals in intact tissue. Whereas it is possible that loss of one OAT may impact interactions between the OATs (e.g. by uncoupling required protein regulation mechanisms), the data are largely consistent with published data in oocytes and cell lines, as well as in in vivo experiments (8, 22, 24). The flexibility to modulate OAT regulation in real time (i.e. increase in transcription or protein-protein regulation) can have a significant impact on how drug efflux is studied. Furthermore, it is worth noting that the fluorescent trackers provide new avenues for investigating the role of OATs in embryonic physiology and cell biology, maturation of the OAT system, as well as differences in the interplay of OATs early in fetal development. For instance, it has been suggested that OATs could require a scaffolding complex for particular functions (38), the function of which could be explored visually using this assay. Furthermore, the fetal capacity for handling toxins and drugs can be studied. It was previously shown that OATs are expressed and up-regulated as early as the onset of kidney development (i.e. rat embryonic day 13) (18), whereas it has been suggested that an OAT system (Oat4) regulates the mother-fetal barrier for certain drugs and other compounds in the placenta (39). In light of the prophylactic use of antiviral drugs in pregnant women (40, 41), this information may prove to be of particular clinical importance.

Ultimately, the data has important implications for systems pharmacology, particularly for antivirals in the kidney. There are multiple polyspecific ion transporters in the kidney, including organic cation transporter, organ anion polypeptide transporters, multi-drug resistance proteins (MDR and MRP), etc. (38, 42), which due to the large number of family members will likely have variation in specificity and affinity for substrates (including fluorescent tracking molecules). For instance, NBD-TMA and ASP+ have been used to track organic cation transport (43–45), whereas rhodamine-123 has been used as an MDR1 and MRP1 substrate (46, 47). Transporter-specific fluorescent isoforms could differentially mark the respective transport phenomenon. Thus, the different emission spectra utilized by confocal or two-photon microscopes could be exploited to understand the interplay of different ion transporters with each other and with different substrates in real-time. As systems pharmacology evolve, it may be possible to clarify the effects of transient disease on separate ion transport systems, their reactions to drug pharmacodynamics, and their interactions at the protein level.
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