CCAR1 5′ UTR as a natural miRancer of miR-1254 overrides tamoxifen resistance
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MicroRNAs (miRNAs) typically bind to unstructured miRNA-binding sites in target RNAs, leading to a mutual repression of expression. Here, we report that miR-1254 interacts with structured elements in cell cycle and apoptosis regulator 1 (CCAR1) 5′ untranslated region (UTR) and this interaction enhances the stability of both molecules. miR-1254 can also act as a repressor when binding to unstructured sites in its targets. Interestingly, structured miR-1254-targeting sites act as both a functional RNA motif-sensing unit, and an independent RNA functional unit that enhances miR-1254 expression. Artificially designed miRNA enhancers, termed “miRancers”, can stabilize and enhance the activity of miRNAs of interest. We further demonstrate that CCAR1 5′ UTR as a natural miRancer of endogenous miR-1254 re-sensitizes tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer cells to tamoxifen. Thus, our study presents a novel model of miRNA function, wherein highly structured miRancer-like motif-containing RNA fragments or miRancer molecules specifically interact with miRNAs, leading to reciprocal stabilization.
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Introduction

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are small non-coding RNAs that modulate myriad biological processes including cancer progression by repressing the expression of RNA targets. miRNA:mRNA targeting is mainly mediated by specific base-pairing interaction between the 5′ end of miRNA (seed region) and miRNA response elements within the coding region or untranslated regions (UTRs) of mRNA, leading to mRNA destabilization and/or translational inhibition [1-3]. Typically target RNA in return titrates or sequesters the endogenous miRNA [4]. Conserved seed pairing in unstructured and AU-rich regions, generally located on 3′ UTR, has been considered as a good indicator of potential miRNA target sites [5]. In comparison, the 5′ UTR is typically GC-rich and is predicted to possess a higher degree of secondary structure [6]. Although some miRNAs can bind to the 5′ UTR of target mRNAs to stabilize the target mRNA [7] or activate transcription [8] or translation [9], the interaction between miRNAs and target RNAs with structured target sites remains largely unexplored.

The selective estrogen receptor modulator, tamoxifen, represents one of the most effective adjuvant treatments for ERα-positive (ER”) breast cancer patients in clinical practice. Unfortunately, many patients develop drug resistance during the treatment. The mechanisms of tamoxifen resistance include aberrant ERα expression, altered signal transduction pathways (e.g., EGFR, HER2/Neu,
IGF-1R), changes in miRNA expression, imbalance of co-regulatory proteins (e.g., NCOA1/SRC-1, NCOA2/GRIP1, NOCA3/AIB1/SRC-3), and genetic polymorphisms in tamoxifen metabolism [10, 11]. In this study, through screening of miRNAs involved in primary breast cancer, we identified a frequent loss of miR-1254 and its host gene CCAR1 (cell cycle and apoptosis regulator 1). Study of the interaction between miR-1254 and the 5′ UTR of CCAR1 revealed a fascinating paradigm of reciprocal modulation between this miRNA and its highly structured and GC-rich target sites.

Results

Loss of miR-1254 and CCAR1 expression

To screen for the potentially deregulated miRNAs at chromosome 10q, a site of frequent loss of heterozygosity in breast cancer [12], we determined the DNA copy numbers of 45 known miRNA genes in this region based on the miRBase 21.0 database [13] in 20 archived breast cancer specimens and 4 specimens from benign breast diseases (Figure 1A and 1B). The frequencies of copy losses or gains were analyzed by genomic quantitative PCR (qPCR) and summarized (Figure 1C). Due to the close proximity of some genes, such as miR-4679-1/2, miR-3158-1/2, miR-6715a/b, which are difficult to distinguish, only 42 items are listed. miR-1254-1 was identified as the most frequently lost (85%) miRNA gene.

miR-1254-1 resides within the 15th intron of its host gene CCAR1 on 10q21.3 (Figure 1D). CCAR1, also known as CARP1, which has been described as an apoptosis inducer or transcriptional coactivator for nuclear receptors or p53, plays a broad regulatory role in cancer cell progression [14, 15]. miR-1254-1 and CCAR1 were predicted to share the same transcription start site by Eponine-TSS [16] and miRstart database [17]. The expression of another putative intergenic genome locus of miR-1254 (predicted by miRBase), known as miR-1254-2, was below the detection level in breast cancer tissues. As the expression levels of mature pri-miR-1254-1 and miR-1254 are highly concordant (Pearson coefficient = 0.84, Figure 1E), we conclude that miR-1254 is derived mostly, if not entirely, from the miR-1254-1 locus. qRT-PCR analysis revealed the reduced expression of pri-miR-1254-1, miR-1254 and CCAR1 in breast cancer tissues, and their expression levels were highly correlated with each other (Figure 1E-1J). The expression of CCAR1 and mature miR-1254 was further examined in 13 breast epithelial or breast cancer cell lines by qRT-PCR. Much higher expression levels of CCAR1 and miR-1254 were observed in 3 immortalized but otherwise normal mammary epithelial cell lines, compared with mammary carcinoma cell lines (Figure 1K-1L). Again, a strong correlation between the expression level of miR-1254 and CCAR1 (Pearson coefficient = 0.71) was observed in these cell lines.

We next sought to functionally establish the legitimacy of miR-1254 as a miRNA. We transfected MCF-7 and T-47D cells with pri-miR-1254 expression plasmid containing miR-1254 precursor with 300 nt flanking sequence at either side (Supplementary information, Figure S1A) and performed northern blot analysis, which is considered as the gold standard for verifying a candidate miRNA, using digoxin-tagged DNA oligos antisense to either miR-1254 or let-7a. The endogenous pre-miRNAs as well as mature miRNAs were detected by their respective specific probes. Increased expression of miR-1254 but not let-7a was detected as a result of forced expression of pri-miR-1254-1 (Supplementary information, Figure S1B and S1C). In addition, the expression of miR-1254 is dependent on the expression of Drosha, Dicer and Ago2, as the expression was abrogated in the absence of these proteins caused by shRNA (Supplementary information, Figure S1D). Thus, miR-1254 is an authentic miRNA.

The extraordinarily low reads of miR-1254 by RNA-Seq in miRbase was most probably due to a very high GC content (62.5%) in miR-1254 (Supplementary information, Figure S1E). We found that reverse transcription using superscript III at 50 ºC or 55 ºC was required for the detection of miR-1254 by qPCR (Supplementary information, Figure S1G and S1H), whereas miR-1254 was minimally detectable using superscript II for reverse transcription at 42 ºC or 44 ºC as used in the preparation of small RNA library by different groups in miRBase (Supplementary information, Figure S1F). As control, miR-7 (GC 34.8%) or miR-9 (GC 34.8%) could be efficiently reverse-transcribed under all of above conditions (Supplementary information, Figure S1G).

Reciprocal stabilization of miR-1254 and CCAR1

As intronic miRNAs have been reported to influence the expression of their host genes via transcriptional regulation [8], we investigated possible functional interaction between CCAR1 and miR-1254 in light of their highly correlated expression. We first tested whether miR-1254 could promote CCAR1 transcription. A 1.14 kb fragment containing CCAR1 promoter (1kb upstream of start codon) and exon 1 was inserted into pGL3-basic vector upstream of firefly luciferase gene, termed as CPE (Supplementary information, Figure S2C), which was co-transfected with pRL-TK vector to allow normalization with Renilla luciferase activity. miR-1254 did not affect the promoter activity of CCAR1 (Supplementary information, Figure S2G). Further, forced expression of
miR-1254 promoted the expression of CCAR1 but not pri-CCAR1 (Figure 2A), whereas miR-1254 antagonism by a miRNA sponge [18] (Supplementary information, Figure S2A, S2B and S2E) decreased the level of CCAR1 but not pri-CCAR1 (Figure 2B) in MCF-7 cells, suggesting that regulation occurs at the posttranscriptional level. Consistently, forced expression of miR-1254 promoted CCAR1 protein expression, whereas miR-1254 sponge reduced expression of CCAR1 protein (Figure 2C). We further found that forced expression of miR-1254 extended the half-life of CCAR1 mRNA whereas miR-1254 antagonism accelerated CCAR1 mRNA decay (Figure 2D). Similar effects were observed in another luminal A/ER+ cell line T-47D (Supplementary information, Figure S2F). As both 3′ UTR and 5′ UTR could be involved in the regulation of mRNA stability [19], we first excluded a role of CCAR1 3′ UTR in the regulation of miR-1254-mediated stabilization of CCAR1 mRNA by using a CCAR1 3′ UTR luciferase reporter plasmid (LUC-C3U) (Supplementary information, Figure S2D and S2J). Furthermore, a CCAR1 5′ UTR (C5U) reporter plasmid, namely C5U-LUC (Figure 2E), was employed to determine miR-1254 activity on CCAR1 5′ UTR. Forced expression of miR-1254 increased C5U-LUC mRNA level (Figure 2F) and C5U-LUC reporter activity (Figure 2G), which were significantly abrogated by miR-1254 sponge (Supplementary information, Figure S2H and S2I). We further found by mutagenesis that two miR-1254-binding sites calculated by RNA hybrid [20] on C5U, namely site 1 and site 2 (Figure 2H), were both required for miR-1254-elicited effects on C5U (Figure 2F and 2G).

To determine the possible physical association between C5U and miR-1254, affinity purification of miR-1254-interacting endogenous CCAR1 mRNA in cytosolic extract was performed (Supplementary information, Figure S2K). Approximately 30-fold enrichment of CCAR1 mRNA was detected by the use of 3′-biotin-tagged miR-1254 (Supplementary information, Figure S2L). The cognate miR-1254 with 6 nt substitutions in the seed region and a bantam miRNA, both tagged with biotin, were included as controls. Of note, mutant miR-1254 still conferred a ~7-fold enrichment of CCAR1 mRNA, suggesting that a non-seed match might partially contribute to their binding (Supplementary information, Figure S2L). As controls, either wild-type or mutant miR-1254 failed to enrich GAPDH mRNA (Supplementary information, Figure S2M). Thus, these data suggest that miR-1254 interacts with CCAR1 5′ UTR via a base-pairing mechanism.

To further define the effect of miR-1254 on CCAR1 5′ UTR, we constructed a recombinant plasmid namely CEG, transcribing a chimeric mRNA containing an EGFP coding sequence flanked by C5U and GAPDH 3′ UTR (G3U) (Figure 2I). Consistently, the expression levels and decay of EGFP were regulated by miR-1254; this effect depended on the two wild-type miR-1254-binding sites in C5U (Figure 2J-2L), and was lost when both miR-1254-binding sites in C5U were mutated (mut-CEG). A dose-dependent expression of EGFP protein was detected by manipulating miR-1254 levels in MCF-7 CEG cells but not in MCF-7 mutCEG cells (Figure 2M). Together, we conclude that miR-1254 increases CCAR1 expression by enhancing its mRNA stability.

The possible reciprocal modulation of miR-1254 expression by C5U was examined. Interestingly, CEG overexpression resulted in a ~5-fold increase in miR-1254 levels, whereas CCAR1 depletion by pooled shRNAs reduced miR-1254 levels by ~70% (Figure 2N and 2O). Notably, the endogenous CCAR1 level was slightly reduced upon forced expression of CEG (Figure 2N), possibly due to competitive absorption of endogenous CCAR1-stabilizing factors by CEG. pri-miR-1254-1 level was unaffected by C5U (Figure 2N and 2O), further suggesting C5U-potentiated miR-1254 expression occurs at the post-transcriptional level. Finally we found that the half-life of miR-1254 was significantly elevated upon C5U overexpression, whereas a much faster decay was observed upon CCAR1 depletion (Figure 2P).

For reciprocal verification, 3′-biotin-tagged probes

Figure 1 Frequent loss of miR-1254 and CCAR1 expression in breast cancer. (A-B) Genomic qPCR of miR-1254-1 (A) and miR-4678 (B) in normal breast tissues or breast cancer tissues; the red lines indicate the threshold for gene amplification and the blue lines indicate the threshold for gene deletion. Error bar indicates standard error of the mean (SEM). ***P < 0.001; NS, not significant. (C) List of miRNAs on chromosome 10q frequently deleted or amplified in breast carcinoma determined by genomic qPCR. miR-3944 is below the detection level. (D) Schematic diagram of the genomic locus of hsa-miR-1254-1. (E-G) Correlation between the expression levels of miR-1254 and pri-miR-1254-1 (E), CCAR1 and pri-miR-1254-1 (F) miR-1254 and CCAR1 (G) in 57 breast epithelial tissues. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (H-J) miR-1254 (H), pri-miR-1254 (I) and CCAR1 (J) expression in normal breast tissues or breast cancer tissues determined by qRT-PCR. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (K-I) Expression levels of miR-1254 (K) and CCAR1 (L) in 13 human mammary epithelial cell lines (HMECs) analyzed by qRT-PCR. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. Student’s t-test. See also Supplementary information, Figure S1.
were used to pull down CCAR1 transcript-associated miRNAs. Significant enrichment of miR-1254 was observed with C5U-specific probes but not the 3′-biotin-tagged lacZ probes (Supplementary information, Figure S2N and S2O). In contrast, neither C5U-specific probes nor lacZ-specific probes enriched let-7a (Supplementary information, Figure S2P). Consistently, the enrichment of miR-1254 was further enhanced upon co-transfection of CEG but not mutCEG (Supplementary information, Figure S2O).

**Mechanism of miR-1254/CCAR1 interaction**

To gain mechanistic insight into miR-1254/CCAR1 interaction, we first sought to determine whether Argo-nauta-associated miRISC is involved. Interestingly, depletion of Ago2 but not Ago1 by pooled specific shRNAs reduced the expression of both CCAR1 and miR-1254 (Figure 3A). The reciprocal potentiation (Figure 3B and 3D) and stabilization (Figure 3C and 3E) between miR-1254 and CCAR1 were substantially abrogated by Ago2 depletion, suggesting an essential role of Ago2 in miR-1254/CCAR1 interaction. We subsequently interrogated if Ago2 directly interacted with this miRNA/mRNA complex. Interestingly, EGFP mRNA and thereby C5U was only detected in Ago2 immunoprecipitates using cytosolic extract derived from MCF-7 CEG cells transfected with miR-1254 but not negative control (NC, Figure 3F). Reciprocally, miR-1254 was significantly enriched to a greater extent in Ago2 immunoprecipitates using cytosolic extract derived from MCF-7 cells transfected with CEG than that of mutCEG (Figure 3G). Consistently, quantification of biotin-labeled miR-1254-associated CCAR1 mRNA or C5U-associated miR-1254 indicated that Ago2 depletion substantially diminished the interaction between miR-1254 and CCAR1 mRNA (Figure 3H and 3I). Hence, miR-1254 binds the CCAR1 5′ UTR in association with Ago2.

Because the canonical role of Ago2-associated miRISC is to mediate miRNA-elicited target silencing, we thus explored the mechanism by which Ago2/miRISC facilitates miRNA/target mutual stabilization by examining the structural and sequence features of C5U. Interestingly, as predicted by RNAfold [21], the two miR-1254-binding sites in C5U exhibited similar hairpin-like RNA structures (Figure 3J). In addition, the predicted secondary RNA structures of miR-1254-binding sites, either as an integral part of the C5U or as isolated RNA fragments, are exactly the same, suggesting the folding of miR-1254-binding regions is independent of the flanking RNA sequences. These two RNA fragments were subsequently subcloned into pSilencer 4.1 CMV plasmid, wherein the insertion sequence was juxtaposed to the CMV transcription start site and followed by a synthetic minimal polyA cassette. Interestingly, expression of either of the isolated structured miR-1254-binding site 1 or 2 (namely SS1 or SS2) was sufficient to partially recapitulate the capacity of C5U to enhance the expression and activity of miR-1254 (Figure 3L and 3M).

More strikingly, use of the linear sites (namely LS1 or LS2), which were derived by disrupting the hairpin structure of SS1 or SS2 without affecting their miR-1254-binding sequences (Figure 3K) converted the miRNA-enhancing molecules (SS1 or SS2) into miRNA-titrating molecules, leading to reduced expression (Figure 3L) and activity (Figure 3M) of miR-1254. The luciferase reporter plasmids containing SS1/SS2 or LS1/LS2 in front of the luciferase gene were further constructed (Figure 3N). miR-1254 overexpression significantly increased the luciferase activity and mRNA levels in cells transfected with the reporter plasmid containing SS1 or SS2.
but repressed the luciferase activity and mRNA levels in cells transfected with the reporter plasmid containing LS1 or LS2 (Figure 3O and 3P). These data suggest that the hairpin RNA structure of the miR-1254-binding sites is also critical for the mutual stabilization of miR-1254 and its structured targets.

To extend the miR-1254/CCAR1 interaction paradigm to other RNA transcripts, we made use of Ago2-PAR-CLIP data set derived from MCF-7 cells to screen for 5′ UTRs containing potential structured miR-1254-binding sites genome-wide. The RNA fragments containing at least five reads and one T to C conversion of a unique cluster were considered as AGO2-binding sites [22]. The clusters which overlap with miR-1254 binding sites-containing 5′ UTRs, featured by 6-7 mer perfect match with the seed region of miR-1254 (allowing G-U pairs), were subsequently retrieved. As a result, we found 9 Ago2-interacting transcripts, the 5′ UTRs of which contain putative miR-1254-binding sites (Supplementary information, Figure S3A). *TP53INP1* was further identified as the only gene with elevated expression by qRT-PCR upon miR-1254 overexpression (Supplementary information, Figure S3B). Fascinatingly, the predicted three miR-1254-binding sites on *TP53INP1* 5′ UTR (T5U) all presented hairpin-like structures as predicted by RNAhybrid and RNAfold, which mimic the structural and sequence features of miR-1254-binding sites on C5U (Supplementary information, Figure S3C). Reporter plasmids containing T5U or each of the individual structured miR-1254-binding site (SS1/SS2/SS3) or unstructured miR-1254-binding sites (LS1/LS2/LS3) derived from T5U were further constructed (Supplementary information, Figure S3D). We observed that miR-1254 overexpression increased the reporter activity and luciferase mRNA level in cells transfected with reporter plasmids containing T5U or any individual structured miR-1254-binding site, whereas the reporter activity and luciferase mRNA level were reduced in cells transfected with reporter plasmids containing any individual linear miR-1254-binding site upon miR-1254 overexpression (Supplementary information, Figure S3E and S3F). These findings suggest miR-1254 could specifically elevate the expression of genes with structured miR-1254-binding sites in their 5′ UTRs.

### Rational design of miRancer

Extracting from the structural and sequence similarity shared by two miR-1254-binding sites, RNA fragments mimicking miR-1254-binding RNA sites termed “miRNA enhancers” or “miRancers”, which possess a shortened or simplified sequence but retain a similar RNA structure compared with the prototypes, were artificially designed and expressed in pSilencer 4.1 CMV (Figure 4A). miRancer-1254 significantly stabilized miR1254 and further increased the expression and activity of miR-1254, while mutation in the miR-1254 seed region binding sequence in miRancer-1254 abrogated its efficacy (Figure 4B to 4D). Consistently, unstructured miRancer containing linear miRNA-binding site (MBS) resulted in its conversion into a miRNA titrator or sponge (Figure 4B to 4D). Further rational design of miRancers for miR-7 (miRancer-7) and miR-9 (miRancer-9), was performed (Figure 4E). We employed the luciferase reporter plasmid containing the 3′ UTR of *PTK2* or *CDH1* to measure the activity of miR-7 or miR-9, respectively [23, 24]. miRancer-7 or miRancer-9 specifically enhanced the expression (Figure 4F and activity (Figure 4G) of miR-7 or miR-9, respectively, whereas linear MBS (miR-7 sponge or miR-9 sponge) specifically reduced the expression (Figure 4H).

---

**Figure 3** Mechanism of miR-1254 and CCAR1 interaction in association with Ago2. (A) MCF-7 cells were transfected with shRNA of non-specific sequence (shNS), pooled shRNAs for Ago1 (shAgo1) or Ago2 (shAgo2). RNA levels of Ago1, Ago2, miR-1254 and CCAR1 were determined by qPCR. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (B-C) Ago2 depletion abrogates miR-1254’s effect in promoting the expression (B) and half-life (C) of CCAR1. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (D-E) Ago2 depletion abrogates CCAR1 5′ UTR-mediated increased expression (D) and half-life (E) of miR-1254. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (F-G) RNA immunoprecipitation of Ago2 or rabbit IgG in MCF-7 CEG cells with forced expression of miR-1254 (F), or in MCF-7 cells with forced expression of CCAR1 5′ UTR (G). EGFP and miR-1254 were detected by qRT-PCR and measured with input. Error bars indicate SEM. **P < 0.01. (H-I) Ago2 depletion abrogates CCAR1 enrichment by biotin-tagged miR-1254 (H) and miR-1254 enrichment by biotin-tagged probes against CCAR1 5′ UTR (I). Error bars indicate SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01. (J) Secondary structure of CCAR1 5′ UTR predicted by RNA fold. miR-1254-binding sites are indicated by arrows. (K) Sequence alignment of miR-1254 and structured site1 (SS1), linear site 1 (LS1), structured site 2 (SS2) and linear site 2 (LS2). (L-M) miR-1254 levels (L) or FL/R of miR-1254 reporter (M) were determined upon transfection with pSilencer vector (Vec) or expression plasmids for CCAR1 5′ UTR (CSU), SS1, LS1, SS2, LS2. Error bars indicate SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (N) Schematic diagram of the SS1, LS1, SS2, SS2-LUC plasmid. (O-P) MCF-7 cells were co-transfected with miR-1254 and SS1, LS1, SS2 or SS2-LUC, and luciferase mRNA level (O) or luciferase activity (P) of FL/RL was determined. Dotted lines indicate the control level. Error bars indicate SEM. *P < 0.05, Student’s t-test except in F-I and G, where χ²-square test is used. See also Supplementary information, Figure S3.
and activity (Figure 4I) of miR-7 or miR-9, respectively. We have also found that Ago2 was required for miRancer-potentiated miRNA expression (Figure 4J and 4K).

To determine whether the artificially designed miRancer could serve as a functional RNA motif responding specifically to the signal from the interacting miRNA, we constructed miRancer luciferase reporter plasmids by inserting the sequence of miRancer-7 or miRancer-9 in front of the luciferase gene (Figure 4L). We demonstrated that miR-7 or miR-9 specifically increased the reporter activity and luciferase mRNA level of their respective miRancer-containing reporter plasmid, whereas the replacement with cognate linear miRNA-binding sequence in the reporter plasmids resulted in reduced reporter activity and luciferase mRNA level (Figure 4M and 4N). Thus, the miRancer sequence embedded in the 5′ UTR as a functional RNA motif could be readily used as the specific sensor of its cognate miRNA for enhancing the expression of its host RNA.

**CCAR1 5′ UTR overrides tamoxifen resistance**

To ascertain whether CSU encompassing two miRancer-like motifs could act as an independent regulator via its interaction with miR-1254, we first sought to determine the roles of miR-1254 in ER+ breast cancer cells. Three lines of evidence suggested that miR-1254 is a tumor suppressor. First, miR-1254 suppressed cell growth and promoted apoptosis (Supplementary information, Figure S4). Second, miR-1254 suppressed epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) and stem cell-like characteristics in breast cancer cells (Supplementary information, Figure S5A-S5H). Third, xenograft studies showed that miR-1254 antagonism conferred breast cancer cells with enhanced tumor-initiating capacity *in vivo* as well as increased local invasion in primary tumors and pulmonary metastases (Supplementary information, Figure S5I-S5N).

Collectively, these observations indicate that miR-1254 potently affects the oncogenicity, metastasis, stem-like characteristics and tamoxifen resistance of ER+ mammary carcinoma cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Consistent with its capacity to enhance miR-1254 expression, forced expression of CSU using CEG plasmid in TAM-R cells potently restored cells' sensitivity to tamoxifen as demonstrated by both *in vitro* assays (Figure 5Q and Supplementary information, Figure S6T) and xenograft studies (Figure 5S-5V and Supplementary information, Figure S6V-S6X). These data suggest CCAR1 5′ UTR can serve as an independent functional unit to

**EMT and stem-cell like traits have been implicated in the anti-estrogen sensitivity of ER+ breast cancer cells [25-27]. Consistently, miR-1254 antagonism in ER+ breast cancer cells resulted in a reduced dependence on estrogen for growth and significant resistance to tamoxifen *in vitro* (Figure 5A-5F and Supplementary information, Figure S6A-S6F) and *in vivo* (Figure 5G-5I). Sections from tumors generated by cells carrying miR-1254 sponge exhibited a higher percentage of Ki67-positive cells and a lower percentage of TUNEL-positive cells compared with control tumors, in the presence or absence of tamoxifen treatment (Supplementary information, Figure S6G-S6J). Interestingly, a substantial reduction of miR-1254 levels was also observed in two established prolonged endocrine therapy-mediated tamoxifen resistant (TAM-R) cell models [27, 28] (Supplementary information, Figure S6K and S6L), suggesting that miR-1254 depletion might contribute to acquired tamoxifen resistance in ER+ breast cancer cells. Predictably, miR-1254 restoration in TAM-R cells restored sensitivity to tamoxifen (Figure 5J to 5L and Supplementary information, Figure S6M to S6O). miR-1254 restoration in TAM-R cells also substantially reduced their migratory capacity and stem cell-like population (Figure 5M-5P and Supplementary information, Figure S6P-S6S).**

**Rational design and characterization of miRancer.** (A) Alignment between miR-1254, miRancer-1254, seed region match sequence mutant of miRancer-1254 (miRancer-1254 mut) and linear miR-1254-binding sequence (linear MBS). (B-D) miR-1254 levels (B), RL/FL of miR-1254 reporter (C) and NCOA1 mRNA levels (D) upon transfection with pSilencer 4.1-CMV (Vec), miRancer-1254, miRancer-1254 mut or linear MBS. Error bars indicate SEM. \(* P < 0.05\), \(** P < 0.01\), \(*** P < 0.001\). N.S., not significant. (E) Alignment between miR-7, miRancer-7, miR-7 sponge, miR-9, miRancer-9 and miR-9 sponge. (F) miR-7 and miR-9 levels by qPCR after transfection with pSilencer 4.1-CMV (Vec), miRancer-7, miRancer-9 for 48h. Error bars indicate SEM. \(** P < 0.001\). (G) RL/FL of LUC-PTK2 3′ UTR or LUC-CDH1 3′ UTR after co-transfection with Vec, miRancer-7 or miRancer-9. Error bars indicate SEM. \(* P < 0.05\), \(** P < 0.01\). (H) miR-7 and miR-9 levels by qPCR after transfection with Vec, miR-7 sponge or miR-9 sponge. Error bars indicate SEM. \(** P < 0.01\). (I) RL/FL of LUC-PTK2 3′ UTR or LUC-CDH1 3′ UTR after co-transfection with Vec, miR-7 sponge or miR-9 sponge. Error bars indicate SEM. \(* P < 0.05\). (J-K) Ago2 depletion abrogates miRancer-7- or miRancer-9-mediated upregulation of miR-7 or miR-9. Error bars indicate SEM. \(** P < 0.001\). (L) Schematic diagram of the miRancer-7-LUC, miR-7 sponge-LUC, miRancer-9-LUC, miR-9 sponge-LUC plasmid. (M-N) mRNA levels (M) or luciferase activity (N) of FL/RL of miRancer-7-LUC, miR-7 sponge-LUC, miRancer-9-LUC, miR-9 sponge-LUC upon miR-7 or miR-9 overexpression. Error bars indicate SEM. \(* P < 0.05\), \(** P < 0.01\), \(*** P < 0.001\). Student’s t-test.
Figure 5 Functional characterization of miR-1254 and CCAR1 5’ UTR in breast cancer cells. (A-C) MCF-7 cells were transfected with vector or miR-1254 sponge and deprived of estrogen for 8 days. Cell viability was measured by MTT assay (A), foci formation in soft agar (B) and monolayer culture (C). Scale bars represent 5 mm. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (D-F) MCF-7 cells were transfected with vector or miR-1254 sponge and treated with different doses of tamoxifen. Cell viability was measured by MTT assay (D), foci formation on soft agar (E) and monolayer culture (F). Scale bars represent 5 mm. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (G) Tumors (red arrows) form after orthotopic injection of MCF-7 pcDNA3.1 cells or MCF-7 miR-1254 sponge cells in nude mice without estrogen supplement for 40 days. (H-I) Growth curves (H) and final sizes (I) of tumors derived from MCF-7 pcDNA3.1 cells or MCF-7 miR-1254 sponge cells orthotopically injected in nude mice with tamoxifen treatment for 40 days after the average tumor volume has reached 100 mm³ with estrogen supplementation. (J-L) MCF-7 TAM-R cells were transfected with NC or miR-1254 and further treated with different doses of tamoxifen for another 8 days. Cell viability was measured by MTT assay (J), foci formation on soft agar (K) and monolayer culture (L). Scale bars represent 5 mm. Error bars indicate SEM. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (M-N) Transwell assay (M) measuring cell migration and FACS analysis (N) measuring CD44 and CD24 expression in MCF-7 TAM-R cells transfected with NC or miR-1254. Scale bars represent 100 µm. (O-P) Quantification of relative migrating cell number (O) and average of CD44⁺/CD24⁻ population (P) in three independent experiments. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (Q-R) MTT assay measuring viability of MCF-7 TAM-R cells transfected with vector or CEG (Q), or vector or mutCEG (R) and treated with different doses of tamoxifen for 8 days. Error bars indicate SEM. **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001. (S) Tumors derived from MCF-7 TAM-R CEG or MCF-7 TAM-R mutCEG in nude mice. (T-V) Tumor weight (T), cell proliferation measured by Ki67 staining (U), and cell apoptosis measured by TUNEL staining (V) of tumors derived from MCF-7 TAM-R CEG or MCF-7 TAM-R mutCEG cells. Error bars indicate SEM. P = 0.007 in T; ***P < 0.001 in U and V. Student’s t-test except in T, where Wilcoxon test is used. See also Supplementary information, Figure S4-S6.
regulate tamoxifen sensitivity in ER+ breast cancer cells. Transfection of mutCEG with mutant miR-1254-binding sites did not confer sensitivity to tamoxifen (Figure 5R and Supplementary information, Figure S6U), indicating C5U’s activity is mainly mediated by its interaction with miR-1254. Together our results demonstrate that CCAR1 5’ UTR which encompasses two miRancer-like motifs can override tamoxifen resistance in ER+ breast cancer cells by serving as a natural miRancer of miR-1254.

Trans-regulation between 5’ UTR and 3’ UTRs

To elucidate the mechanism by which miR-1254 and C5U modulate anti-estrogen sensitivity, we utilized miRanda [29] and TargetScan [30] to predict potential miRNA target genes. Gene ontology analysis [31, 32] indicated the involvement of NCOA1, NCOA3, EGFR, ERBB2 and SNAI1 as candidate genes, all of which are well-established positive regulators of anti-estrogen resistance [33-35] and/or EMT [27, 36, 37] (Figure 6A). The full-length fragments of their 3’ UTRs were cloned into luciferase reporter plasmids and co-transfected with miR-1254 mimics. We observed that miR-1254 markedly repressed the relative luciferase activities from the 3’ UTR of these genes, whereas mutation of the partially complementary miR-1254 sites in these 3’ UTRs abrogated the responsiveness to miR-1254 (Figure 6B). Furthermore, miR-1254 overexpression or antagonism significantly reduced or increased expression of these target genes, respectively (Figure 6C and Supplementary information, Figure S7B). miR-1254-mediates repression of these targets was also verified in vivo by immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining of formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumor sections derived from the xenograft studies (Supplementary information, Figure S7D). Thus, all of these genes are bona fide targets of miR-1254.

Consistent with the reduced miR-1254 level in TAM-R cells compared with the parental cells, the expression of miR-1254 target genes, all of which were well-established positive regulators of anti-estrogen resistance, was elevated (Supplementary information, Figure S7A). In contrast, miR-1254 restoration in TAM-R cells significantly reduced their expression. As the natural miRancer of miR-1254, forced expression of CCAR1 5’ UTR by CEG significantly reduced the luciferase activity of reporters containing the 3’ UTR of miR-1254 target genes and the target gene expression in TAM-R cells (Figure 6D, 6E and 6H and Supplementary information, Figure S7C). This effect was abrogated when CEG was replaced by mutCEG (Supplementary information, Figure S7F and S7G), suggesting CCAR1 5’ UTR modulates the expression of miR-1254 target genes via its interaction with miR-1254. Conversely, CCAR1 depletion by pooled shRNAs in ER+ breast cancer cells increased both 3’ UTR reporter activity and expression of the miR-1254 target genes (Figure 6F-6H), leading to a loss of cellular sensitivity to tamoxifen (Supplementary information, Figure S7H). We also quantified the absolute copy numbers of miR-1254 and its downstream targets in tamoxifen-resistant cells and their parental cells, and found the levels of CCAR1 5’ UTR and miR-1254 transcripts were significantly higher in parental cells (Supplementary information, Figure S7E). A positive correlation between miR-1254 and CCAR1 as well as a negative correlation between miR-1254 and other targets were observed. Of note, depleting miR-1254 target genes in parental cells compared with tamoxifen-resistant cognates correlated with a higher expression of miR-1254 and CCAR1. Thus, CCAR1 5’ UTR acts as a natural trans-acting regulator of miR-1254 targets in ER+ breast cancer cells.

The reciprocal signaling elicited by the 3’ UTRs of miR-1254 targets to modulate CCAR1 5’ UTR was also determined by expression of plasmids containing the 3’ UTR of miR-1254 target genes. Strikingly, decreased expression of CCAR1 and miR-1254 compared with the vector-transfected cells was observed (Supplementary information, Figure S7I and S7J). Notably, only wild-type but not mutant 3’ UTRs of target genes significantly decreased CCAR1 and miR-1254 levels, suggesting that these 3 UTRs interact with miR-1254 to cross-regulate the CCAR1 5’ UTR. Consistent with this finding, depletion of target genes using siRNA significantly increased the expression of CCAR1 mRNA and miR-1254 (Supplementary information, Figure S7K and S7L). These data suggest that CCAR1 and miR-1254 target genes can cross-regulate the expression of each other via their respective 5’ UTR or 3’ UTRs.

Discussion

We present a novel regulatory paradigm by which miR-1254 interacts with the 5’ UTR of its host gene CCAR1 mRNA in association with Ago2/miRISC, stabilizing each other at the post-transcriptional level and resulting in elevated expression of both molecules. In contrast to the contemporary model, wherein miRNA binds to the linear or unstructured MBSs of the targets, we demonstrate herein targeting of two highly structured miR-1254-binding sites on CCAR1 by miR-1254. Interestingly, a consistent base-pairing pattern was observed in the interaction between the two MBSs on CCAR1 and the seed region of miR-1254, the most distinctive feature of which might be the consecutive miR-1254-binding sequences spanning the stem and loop/bulge regions. It is fascinating to note that miR-1254 could also enhance
Figure 6 Mechanistic characterization of miR-1254 and CCAR1 5′ UTR in modulating cellular sensitivity to tamoxifen. (A) miR-1254 targets predicted by TargetScan and miRanda with further GO analysis. NCOA1, NCOA3, EGFR, ERBB2 and SNAI1 are selected. (B) Relative luciferase activity of wild-type or mutant 3′ UTRs with forced expression of miR-1254. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001, N.S., not significant. (C) Western blot analysis of CCAR1- and miR-1254-targeted genes upon forced expression of miR-1254 in MCF-7 TAM-R cells or miR-1254 antagonism in MCF-7 cells for 48h. (D-E) Relative luciferase activity of 3′ UTR luciferase reporter (D), mRNA levels (E) of miR-1254-targeted genes in MCF-7 TAM-R cells transfected with CEG or vector. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (F-G) Relative luciferase activity of 3′ UTR luciferase reporter (F) and mRNA levels (G) of miR-1254-targeted genes in MCF-7 TAM-R cells transfected with shNS or shCCAR1. Error bars indicate SEM. ***P < 0.001. (H) Protein levels of indicated genes upon forced expression of CEG in MCF-7 TAM-R cells or depletion of CCAR1 in MCF-7 cells. Student’s t-test. See also Supplementary information, Figure S7.
TP53INP1 expression via a similar base-pairing pattern, wherein the miR-1254-binding sites on TP53INP1 exhibits a similar higher order structure. This particular hairpin structure was further verified to be critical for miR-1254-enhanced expression of CCAR1 and TP53INP1 as well as other miRNAs (miR-7 and miR-9) that promoted the expression of cognate miRancer-containing transcripts. Thus, MBSs on 5' UTR of target mRNA with defined structural and sequence features could direct the interacting miRNA as an enhancer instead of a repressor. It should be noted that the paradigm presented herein is intrinsically differently from other miRNA-mediated mechanisms to elevate gene expression, which employ either transcriptional or translational activation [8, 9], wherein miRNA binds directly to the 5' UTR of mRNA, enhancing the association of the RNA helicase DHX9 to the mRNA transcript to promote transcription [8], or binds immediately downstream of the regulatory 5'TOP motif to alleviate translational repression [9].

It is fascinating to observe that the extracted miR-1254-targeting sequence could serve as both a functional RNA motif and an independent RNA functional unit. On one hand, it behaves as a RNA sensor for miR-1254 by transmitting its signal to enhance CCAR1 or TP53INP1 expression; on the other hand, it serves as a functional RNA fragment by interacting with miR-1254 to enhance its expression. Strikingly, the linear miR-1254-targeting RNA sequences as either motif or functional RNA unit exhibited completely opposite roles to their prototypes, further verifying the pivotal role of the RNA structure of miRNA-targeting sequence in determining the outcomes of target RNA binding by a miRNA. The extension of the miR-1254/CCAR1 paradigm to miR-1254/TP53INP1 and particularly to other miRNAs and their respective structured targeting transcripts (Supplementary information, Figure S3) suggests this mechanism could be commonly used in RNA biology. Thus we have discovered additional complexity to the canonical model of miRNA: mRNA interaction, wherein miRNAs bind to the unstructured MBSs of target RNAs, leading to mutually repressed expression or activity. As a further refined model of miRNA function, we propose herein that miRNAs also bind to target RNAs with highly structured MBSs, leading to reciprocal stabilization (Figure 7A).

By further extraction and extrapolation of the features of miR-1254-targeting sequences as independent functional RNA units, an artificial miRNA enhancer termed “miRancer” was generated, which can specifically increase the expression and activity of the endogenous miRNAs of interest. Fascinatingly in reciprocity, miRancer motif embedded within the 5' UTR of the coding RNA transcript could readily mediate its cognate miRNA-boosted gene expression. The exact mechanism by which miRancer sequence embedded in the 5' UTR enhances the expression of its host RNA would need further investigation. However, it is interesting to note that miR-122 slows the decay of HCV RNA through a process involving the recruitment of Ago2 to its 5' UTR [7] and the 5' exonuclease Xrn1 [38], leading to a stabilized viral genome and increased production of infectious virions.

RNA structure appears to be critical in determining the “enhancer” function of miRancer and miRancer motif. As Argonaute protein is a limiting factor for the stability of miRNA [39, 40] as well as target RNA [1, 3, 7] (Figure 3A-3I), effort is needed to further define the structural and mechanistic basis of miRNA:miRancer reciprocal stabilization in the context of Ago2/miRISC. It is intriguing to note that a local triple-helix RNA structure or triplex RNA structure, wherein both Watson-Crick pairing and/or Hoogsteen pairing have been known to be utilized, is critical for the enhanced RNA stability [41, 42]. The possible formation and functional implication of a localized triple-helix RNA structure by miR-1254 and its structured binding sites warrant further investigation.

Unlike its conventional role as a cis-regulatory element, the unexpected discovery of CCAR1 5' UTR as an independent cellular regulator might represent for the first such report that 5' UTR can serve as an independent functional unit, although a number of studies have suggested that 3' UTRs independently regulate cellular events [43, 44]. For example, recently discovered competitive endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs) are characterized by the symmetrical trans-acting regulation among 3' UTRs of different coding genes to modulate various cellular behaviors [45, 46]. In our studies, the bi-directional regulation between the 5' UTR of CCAR1 and 3' UTRs of miR-1254-target genes represents a novel regulatory paradigm which allows asymmetrical trans-regulation among different genes (Figure 7B).

It is of further interest to observe that the CCAR1 5' UTR containing two miRancer motifs acts like an endogenous or natural miRancer of miR-1254 to resensitize tamoxifen-resistant ER+ breast cancer cells by increasing miR-1254 expression and subsequently suppressing 5' pro-oncogenic targets via their 3' UTRs. It is plausible that the natural miRancer might have evolved to sequence-specifically enhance miRNAs to modulate their associated cellular behaviors. This carries implications for the development of novel therapeutic approaches by using either miRancer-motif containing RNA fragments or miRancer molecules.
Figure 7 Diagram of working model. (A) Ago2 facilitates miRNA binding to a linear or structured miRNA-binding site to promote reciprocal functional repression (green arrows) or stabilization (orange arrows), respectively. (B) Molecular crosstalk among CCAR1 5′ UTR, miR-1254 and miR-1254-targeted genes. CCAR1 mRNA increases miR-1254 level, which causes an enhanced repression of miR-1254 target gene expression (orange arrows), while miR-1254 target gene mRNA reduces miR-1254 level, which leads to a repression of CCAR1 mRNA (green arrows).

Materials and Methods

Cell lines
MCF-7 and T-47D cells were purchased from ATCC and the authentication of cell lines by STR profile analysis was performed by a third party (Genewiz; Supplementary information, Data S1), MCF-7 TAM-R and T-47D TAM-R cells were generated as previously described [47]. All cell lines were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium with 10% FBS (Gibco) and 1% Pen/Strep (Invitrogen) at 37°C in a 5% CO₂-95% air incubator.

Generation of stable cell lines
Expression vectors were transfected into cells in 6 cm flasks for 24 h. Cells were then re-plated into 10 cm plates in the presence of 800 µg/ml G418 for selection over 2 weeks.

Patients and specimens
The patient population consisted of 57 consecutive patients with invasive mammary ductal carcinoma who underwent surgery at the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University (Hefei, Anhui, People’s Republic of China) between 2001 and 2002. All patients were Han Chinese female. 34 carcinoma tissues and 23 normal mammary tissue next to tumor were obtained. The pathohistological diagnoses of the specimens were consistent with breast neoplasm in accordance with WHO guidelines [48]. Histology grade was based on the Scarff-Bloom-Richardson system.
The study procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation and with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975, revised in 2008.

Reagents

Protein electrophoresis reagents were from Bio-Rad Laboratories. Tamoxifen and actinomycin D were from Sigma.

Animal Studies

All studies were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, published by the NIH (NIH Publication 85-23, revised 1985). An Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) approved all animal protocols.

For orthotopic injection, 5 × 10^5 to 5 × 10^6 cells (for limiting dilution analysis) or 5 × 10^6 cells (for others) were unilaterally injected into the second mammary fat pad of 5- to 6-week-old female BALB/c nude mice with subcutaneously implanted long-release 17ß estradiol pellets (Innovative Research of America). Tamoxifen treatment was applied by implanting subcutaneously a long-release tamoxifen pellet (Innovative Research of America) when the tumors reached a volume of 100 mm³. Tumor growth rates were analyzed by measuring tumor length (L) and width (W), and calculating tumor volume based on the formula: volume (V, mm³) = L × W² × 1/2. Tumors were surgically resected 8 weeks after injection and tumor weight was measured using a scale.

For experimental metastasis assays, 5- to 6-week-old female BALB/c nude mice were injected with 2 × 10^6 cells (resuspended in 100 μl PBS) via the lateral tail vein. 8 weeks later, animals were sacrificed and lungs were harvested. Some tissues were fixed over night in 4% paraformaldehyde (pH 7.4), embedded in paraffin and cut into 5 μm-thick sections for histological studies; other tissues were fixed in 100 μl PBS) via the lateral tail vein. 8 weeks later, animals were sacrificed and lungs were harvested. Some tissues were fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde (pH 7.4), embedded in paraffin and cut into 5 μm-thick sections for histological studies; other tissues were fixed at 80 ºC in RNALater (Ambion) for RNA extraction and real-time PCR analysis for hHPRT/mGAPDH to evaluate metastasis.

shRNA expression

shRNAs against CCAR1, Ago1, Ago2, NCOA1, NCOA3, EGFR, ERBB2, SNAI1, Drosha, Dicer were cloned in pSilencer 4.1-CMV puro (Ambion) following manufacturer’s instruction; target sequences are listed in Supplementary information, Table S1.

Flow cytometry

Annexin V staining was performed according to manufacturer’s instruction (Bio vision). To study the cell cycle, propidium iodide staining was used as previously described [50]. CD44/CD24 staining was performed as previously described [51].

Cell function assay

MTT assay, soft agar assay, colony formation assay and 2-D matrigel culture were performed as described previously [52-54]. Transwell migration assay was performed in 8-μm pore chambers (Corning Costar) as described previously [55]. Values for cell migration are expressed as the average number of cells per microscopic field. For mammosphere culture, cells were harvested in 0.05% trypsin-EDTA and carefully resuspended in DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen) supplemented with B27 (1:50, Gibco), bovine serum albumin (0.4 %, Sigma), EGF (20 ng/ml, Upstate), bFGF (20 ng/ml, Peprotech), insulin (5 μg/ml, Sigma), penicillin-streptomycin (Gibco), L-glutamine (Gibco). To induce sphere formation, 5,000 cells were plated into poly (2-hydroxyethyl metacrylate)-coated six-well plates to prevent the cells from attaching to the surface. For self-renewal assay, the spheres were dissociated into single cells after 7 days and seeded again as described previously [56]. For quantification, 1,000 cells per well of the dissociated single cells were seeded in medium containing 1% methylcellulose (Sigma) in Polyhema-coated 96-well plates. Colonies > 75 μm in diameter were counted after 7 days.

Affinity purification for miR-1254-associated mRNA

MCF-7 cells transfected with 3′ biotin-tagged miR-1254 or controls were subject to affinity purification as previously described [57].

Affinity purification for C5U associated miRNA

Some modification of ChIRP [58] has been applied to determine the C5U-associated miRNAs. 3′ biotin-tagged DNA probes against C5U were synthesized: C5U probe A, 5′-CGGGCCTTACTGGCTAGCTTCT-3′; C5U probe B, 5′-CCAATTCCTCCCCCTCTCCAC-3′; and C5U probe C, 5′-TTCTAATAAGTAGGAGGGCGGCTG-3′. 3′ biotin-tagged probe against lacZ was synthesized: 5′-CAAACGACTGTCCTGGCCGTA-3′. All probes were compared with the human transcripts using the BLAST tool, and no more than 15-mer non-specific overlap was noticed. MCF-7 cells were grown to log-phase in 10 cm plate and transfected with vector, CEG or mutCEG for 24 h, rinsed once with room temperature PBS, and fixed in 2 ml 1% formaldehyde in PBS for 10 min at room temperature. Crosslinking was then quenched with 0.125M glycine for 5 min. Cells were rinsed again with PBS, harvested into Falcon tubes, and pelleted at 800 × g for 3 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in 1 ml swelling buffer (0.1M Tris pH 7.0, 10 mM KOAc, 15 mM MgOAc), and 1% NP-40, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM PMSF, complete protease inhibitor mix (GE), and 0.1 unit/ml Superase-in (Ambion) were added for 10 min on ice. Cell suspension was then homogenized using a Dounce homogenizer and pelleted at 2500 × g for 5 min. Suspension was diluted in two times the volume of hybridization buffer (750 mM NaCl, 1% SDS, 50 mM Tris 7.0, 1 mM EDTA, 15% formamide with fresh DTT, PMSF, complete protease inhibitor mix, and Superase-in). 100 pmol of the probe was added to 3 ml hybridization buffer, which was then mixed by end-to-end rotation at room temperature for 4 h. Streptavidin magnetic C1 beads (Invitrogen), before adding to the mixture containing the probe, were washed three times in wash buffer, blocked with 500 ng/μl yeast total RNA and 1 mg/ml BSA for 1 h at room temperature, and washed three times again in wash buffer before resuspended in its original volume. 100 μl washed/blocke C1 beads were added to 100 pmol of the probe, and the entire reaction was mixed and incubated for another 30 min at 37 ºC. Beads were captured by magnets (Invitrogen) and washed five times with 4 ml wash buffer (2× SSC, 0.5% SDS, and fresh DTT and PMSF). After last wash, buffer was removed carefully using a P-10 pipette so that no trace volume was left behind. Beads were resuspended in 10 original volumes of RNA elution buffer (Tris 7.0, 1% SDS) and boiled for 15 min, followed by qRT-PCR assay.

qRT-PCR

Total RNA was isolated (TRIZOL, Invitrogen), DNase-treated...
(DNase I, Fermentas), reverse-transcribed (Superscript III, Invitrogen) and analyzed by qPCR (SYBR Green, TAKARA) using specific primers (Supplementary information, Table S2). microRNA were isolated using mirVana microRNA isolation kit (Ambion), reverse transcribed with Superscript III (Invitrogen) using stem-loop RT primers and analyzed by qPCR (Taqman, TAKARA) using specific primers (Supplementary information, Table S2).

**Transcript copy number analysis**

To determine the transcript copy number, RNA was prepared from 5 × 10^6 cells using total RNA mini-prep kit (Axygen) following manufacturer’s instruction. qRT-PCR was performed for CCAR1 5′ UTR, NCOA1 3′ UTR, NCOA3 3′ UTR, EGFR 3′ UTR, ERBB2 3′ UTR, SNAIL 3′ UTR and miR-1254 as described above. Threshold cycle (C_t) values were compared with a 10-fold dilution series of linearized C5U-LUC, LUC-NCOA1 3′ UTR, LUC-NCOA3 3′ UTR, LUC-EGFR 3′ UTR, LUC-ERBB2 3′ UTR, LUC-SNAI1 3′ UTR or a synthetic single-stranded miR-1254 mimic (GenePharma). Moles of transcripts and copies of transcripts per cell were then calculated using standard stoichiometric methods. Confidence intervals were calculated using Student’s t-test.

**Western blot**

Western blot analysis was performed using standard methods. Antibody details are described in Supplementary information, Table S3.

**miR-1254 sponge**

MCF-7 CEG cells transfected with negative control (NC) or miR-1254. MCF-7 cells were transfected with CEG or mutant-CEG. These cells were subject to Ago2-RNA immunoprecipitation as previously described [7].

**Luciferase reporter assays**

For CPE reporter assay, cells were seeded into 24-well plates in triplicate and transfected with CPE and pRL-TK (Promega), and further transfected with NC, miR-1254 mimic, vector control, or miR-1254 sponge. 24 h later, luciferase activities were determined using the Dual-Luciferase Assay System following manufacturer’s instruction (Promega). mRNA levels of firefly luciferase and Renilla luciferase were determined using qRT-PCR as above.

For luciferase reporter assay using psiCHECK2 reporter, cells were seeded into 24-well plates in triplicate and transfected with psiCHECK2 reporter and either NC, miR-1254 mimic, vector, or miR-1254 sponge. Luciferase activities and mRNA levels were determined as above.

**Histopathological analysis.**

After mice were euthanized by CO_2_ asphyxiation, lungs and tumors were collected and fixed overnight in 10% formalin. They were subsequently embedded, sectioned, and stained with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Ki67, TUNEL, or other antibodies described in Supplementary information, Tables S3 and S4.

**Statistical testing**

Statistical significance was assessed by the Student’s t-test, Wilcoxon test or χ-square test as indicated by figure legends.

**Plasmid constructs and mutagenesis**

A synthetic DNA corresponding to CCAR1 5′ UTR was inserted into psiCHECK2 (Promega) at Nhe I to create C5U-LUC. CCAR1 3′ UTR was PCR amplified from MCF-7 cDNA and inserted into psiCHECK2 (LUC-C3U) between Xho I and Not I sites. C5U, EGFP CDS and GAPDH 3′ UTR were PCR amplified from C5U-LUC, pIRES2-EGFP (Clontech) and MCF-7 cDNA, respectively; overlap PCR was then performed to generate CEG, which was subsequently inserted into pcDNA3.1 (+). 4 tandem repeats of bulged miR-1254 target sequence were synthesized and subcloned (HindIII + XhoI) into pcDNA3.1 as miR-1254 sponge [18]. miR-1254 target sequence was synthesized and inserted (XhoI + NotI) into psiCHECK2 as miR-1254 reporter. For expression of SS1, SS2, LS1, LS2, and miRancers, complementary oligonucleotides were annealed to form double-stranded DNA with sticky ends, which was ligated into pSilencer 4.1-CMV puro (Ambion) plasmid between BamHI and HindIII. For miRancer containing reporter and sponge-containing reporter, complementary oligonucleotides were annealed to form double-stranded DNA with sticky ends and ligated into psiCHECK2 at Nhe I site. For miRNA target reporters and 3′ UTR expressing plasmid, the full-length 3′ UTRs of NCOA1, NCOA3, EGFR, ERBB2, SNAIL1 were PCR-amplified from MCF-7 cDNA and cloned into psiCHECK-2 at XhoI and NotI, and pcDNA3.1 (+) at BamHI and NotI, respectively. Site-directed mutagenesis was performed using the QuickChange II XL site-directed mutagenesis kit (Stratagene) to change the seed-matching sequences. All constructs were confirmed by DNA sequencing. The primers used are shown in Supplementary information, Table S5.

**Ago2 PAR-CLIP dataset analysis**

We downloaded a dataset of AGO2 PAR-CLIP raw sequencing files from NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database [59] (SRR1045082 [22]). Low-quality bases and adaptors were removed from raw sequences using Filter-seq.pl and Cutadapt, respectively. Clean reads were then collapsed to unique reads by Fastx toolkit. Unique reads were aligned to human reference genome (hg19) using Bowtie [60] with parameters -v 2 -m 10 -best -strata. We employed PARalyzer [61] to identify AGO2-binding sites, with read deep ≥ 5 and unique locations of T to C conversions ≥ 1. AGO2-binding sites overlapping with 5′ UTR regions of human known coding genes were retrieved, and further scanned for potential miR-1254-binding sites, by seed matches including 1-7, 2-8, 1-6, 2-7, 3-8 bases of the seed region, allowing for G-U wobble base pair. AGO2-binding sites overlapping with 5′ UTRs and containing miR-1254-binding sites were verified by experiments.
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