In this letter, we address the relationship between the statistical fluctuations of grain displacements for a full quasistatic plane shear experiment, and the corresponding anomalous diffusion exponent, $\alpha$. We experimentally validate a particular case of the so-called Tsallis-Bukman scaling law, $\alpha = 2/(3 - q)$, where $q$ is obtained by fitting the probability density function (PDF) of the measured fluctuations with a $q$-Gaussian distribution, and the diffusion exponent is measured independently during the experiment. Applying an original technique, we are able to evince a transition from an anomalous diffusion regime to a Brownian behavior as a function of the length of the strain-window used to calculate the displacements of grains in experiments. The outstanding conformity of fitting curves to a massive amount of experimental data shows a clear broadening of the fluctuation PDFs as the length of the strain-window decreases, and an increment in the value of the diffusion exponent - anomalous diffusion. Regardless of the size of the strain-window considered in the measurements, we show that the Tsallis-Bukman scaling law remains valid, which is the first experimental verification of this relationship for a classical system at different diffusion regimes. We also note that the spatial correlations show marked similarities to the turbulence in fluids, a promising indication that this type of analysis can be used to explore the origins of the macroscopic friction in confined granular materials.
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Turbulence is one of the most complex, but ubiquitous, phenomena observed in Nature and it is related with the underlying mechanisms responsible for the micro-macro upscale causing wide-ranging effects on classical systems, like macroscopic friction in granular solids or turbulent flow regime in fluids. The presence of multiple scales in time and space is an additional defy to a comprehensive theoretical description, and a particular effort is made in the literature to perform experiments and simulations in order to validate the proposed theoretical descriptions, particularly Tsallis nonextensive (NE) statistical mechanics.

A paradigmatic work relating anomalous diffusion and turbulent-like behavior in confined granular media was presented by Radjai and Roux, using numerical simulations, and confirmed qualitatively by experiments by Combe and collaborators. Radjai and Roux coined a new expression to characterize the analogies between fluctuations of particle velocities in quasistatic granular flows and the velocity fields observed in turbulent fluid flow in high Reynolds number regime, the “granulence”. Most of the evidences of the granulence are based in simulations using discrete element method (DEM) but, unfortunately, one can verify a lack of quantitative experimental verification in the last years, limiting the knowledge of the micromechanics of this system based almost exclusively on numerical evidences.

In the present work, we aim exactly to fill this gap by contributing with the experimental validation of the results obtained by DEM. Specifically, we seek to examine the findings revealed by Radjai and Roux in a detailed fashion, extending the previous works to explore quantitatively the relationship between the PDF of the velocity fluctuations and the diffusion features of the grains. We follow a detailed theoretical description for the anomalous diffusion in the presence of external driving. Particularly, a relation between the $q$-Gaussian value from the PDF of fluctuations and the diffusion exponent was proposed, which is validated experimentally here for the first time for a large range of the control parameter, differently of previous works where this relation was tested only for a single point.

In this work, we aim to advance in the route opened by Radjai and Roux with three basic goals: (i) Explore the low inertial number limit. The inertial number $I$ measures the ratio between inertial and confining forces, from the quasistatic regime (small values) to the dynamic regime (large ones). We would like to check if the granulence features are still observed in a better stablished quasistatic situation, i.e. the experimental one which involves inertial numbers around four orders of magnitude smaller than the currently reported in simulations. (ii) Point out the origins of the macroscopic friction.
We take advantage of the really quasistatic feature of the experimental data to explore the origins of the underlying mechanisms of granulization. Here, unlike fluid flow, the rigid particles can not fly freely since the motion of each particle is hampered by the presence of the other particles, and depends on the motion of its neighbors. This makes the straining in part controlled by geometric exclusions at the particle scale, preventing the development of a uniform straining in a sustainable way. As shown in [16, 17], at the limit of large strain-windows, it is possible to observe turbulent-like vortexes in the fluctuation field which turn out to be associated with the energy dissipation and macroscopic friction [16, 17].

(iii) Evince the nonextensive nature of the displacement fluctuations. In order to quantitatively analyze the data, we have used the Tsallis NE statistical mechanics approach. In this context, the PDF of displacement fluctuations is not expected to follow the normal Gaussian distribution, as in the case of classical Maxwell-Boltzmann distribution in thermodynamics. In granular systems under loading, the force chains engaged along the entire system are a clear evidence of long-range interactions [18]. These chains connect the microscopic contact forces with the global resistance to external forces, as shear for example [17]. Thus, it is natural to associate the emergence of these force chains at mesoscopic scales with the departure from the classical Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) statistics in these systems.

In our experiment, we have foreseen the possibility to quantify the degree of nonextensivity using the q-Gaussian fit of the PDF obtained experimentally [7]. The striking accordance observed on the fitted curves, and the dependence observed of q as a function of the strain-window used to calculate the fluctuations, according the reasoning presented here, corroborates the application of the NE statistical mechanics on these systems, opening an alternative approach to treat these systems quantitatively. Besides, by measuring the diffusion of the particles along the complete shear test, at different strain-window, we are able to associate the q-value measured from the fluctuation PDFs with the diffusion exponent α. This is a particular case of the Tsallis-Bukman scaling law [8],

\[ \alpha = \frac{2}{3-q} , \tag{1} \]

which can be obtained from the so-called porous media equation [10], a generalization of the classical diffusion equation where the linear dependence between the variance and time is no longer observed [20]:

\[ \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = D_q \frac{\partial^2 [p(x,t)]^{2-q}}{\partial x^2} . \tag{2} \]

For a Dirac delta initial condition, the solution reads as

\[ p_q(x,t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi A_q}} e^{-x^2/4q} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi A_q}} \left[ 1 - (1-q)x^2/4A_q \right]^{\frac{1}{1-q}} . \tag{3} \]

where \(e_q(x)\) is called q-exponential, and \(A_q\) is a constant which depends on q and Gamma-function [6, 10].

Equation (3) is known as the q-Gaussian distribution, and was used to fit the PDF of displacement fluctuations obtained experimentally. Figure 2 shows the results for the PDF of fluctuations and the corresponding fit function at two extremal values of \(\Delta \gamma\) considered in the image analysis.

In the case of anomalous diffusion, it is shown that the variance follows a power law with time:

\[ \langle x^2 \rangle \propto t^\alpha \equiv \langle x^2 \rangle \propto t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} , \tag{4} \]

where \(\alpha\) is the diffusion exponent equivalently expressed as a function of q by using Eq. (1). Note that, in Eqs 2 to 4, \(x\) stands for a fluctuation of displacement as we will see below. It is interesting to observe two special cases: when \(q = 1\), the variance is proportional to time which corresponds to the normal diffusion behavior; when \(q = 2\), the ballistic diffusion limit is reached. At intermediate values, we get large distributions with marked tails. The variance, calculated as the time-integral of \(p_q\), converges for \(q > 5/3\), and converges otherwise. Thus, if several independent convolutions are applied, \(p_q\) approaches a Gaussian distribution if \(q < 5/3\), and it approaches a Lévy distribution for \(q > 5/3\) [10].

We performed quasistatic simple shear tests with 1\(\gamma\)2\(\varepsilon\) apparatus which is fully described in [21, 22]. The granular packing is made of pilings of cylindrical rods which mimics a 2D granular material enclosed by a rectangular frame, with initial dimensions of 0.56 m \(\times\) 0.47 m. Then, the vertical sides of this rectangular parallelogram are shortened or elongated to apply a constant normal stress in the vertical direction, \(\sigma_n = 50\) kPa. These two vertical sides are tilted up to \(\gamma = 15^\circ\) while the two other sides are kept horizontal with a constant length – Fig. 1. The packing was made of 5471 wooden rollers (6 cm long) with ten different diameters ranging from 3 mm to 30 mm, approaching to a uniform distribution. To ensure a quasi-static transformation of the sample, it is sheared very slowly – the corresponding shear rate \(\dot{\gamma}\) is \(4.5 \times 10^{-5}\) s\(^{-1}\). This ensures a very small inertial number \(I = 10^{-9}\) when compared to what is applied in DEM simulations \((10^{-5} \text{ to } 10^{-3}\) in the best cases [18, 23]. During the test, kinematics of grains are measured by means of Digital Image Correlation (DIC) [24, 25] from 80 MPixels digital images of the sample where rollers look like disks, Fig. 1. A specific DIC computer program was developed to track rollers here assumed as rigid bodies [8] which allowed a sub-pixel kinematics measurement to track grains with an error of \(\pm 0.05\) pixels [20].
FIG. 1. The fluctuating part of the rod displacement $\mathbf{v}(\gamma, \Delta\gamma)$ over-plotted on the corresponding digital image, obtained from DIC technique. $\gamma = \Delta\gamma = 0.1$. Inset: a detailed view of the speckled rods. $\gamma$ and $\sigma_n$ are the shear angle and the vertical stress imposed, respectively. The shear strength is measured all along the shear test.

At the macroscopic level (sample scale), the stress-strain curve measured during the shear test exhibited hardening up to $\gamma \approx 0.06$ followed by softening until the end of the test (curve shown in [5] as well as several other mechanical properties like peak stress ratio, macroscopic friction angle etc.). Pictures were shoot every $d\gamma = 5$ s throughout the test, corresponding to a shear strain increment $\Delta\gamma = d\gamma \approx 2.4 \times 10^{-4}$ between each shot. To assess the displacement fluctuations, we consider two displacements of each particle during a shear increment $\Delta\gamma$. The first is the actual displacement $\delta \mathbf{r}(\gamma, \Delta\gamma)$ from $\gamma$ to $\gamma + \Delta\gamma$. The second displacement, $\delta \mathbf{r}^s(\gamma, \Delta\gamma)$, is fictitious and corresponds to an affine motion resulting from an homogeneous straining at $\gamma$ and during the shear increment $\Delta\gamma$. It is assessed from the motion of the four rigid sides of the apparatus $1 \times 2 \varepsilon$. With these definitions, the fluctuating part of the displacement is the difference between the actual and affine displacements. Thus, the normalized displacement fluctuation $\mathbf{v}(\gamma, \Delta\gamma)$ is defined by:

$$\mathbf{v}(\gamma, \Delta\gamma) = \frac{[\delta \mathbf{r}(\gamma, \Delta\gamma) - \delta \mathbf{r}^s(\gamma, \Delta\gamma)]}{/d\gamma},$$

where $d$ is the mean diameter of the rollers. One may notice that the normalized fluctuations can be interpreted as a local strain (grain scale – numerator) compared to the global strain (sample scale – denominator).

A displacement fluctuation field $\mathbf{v}$ is plotted in Fig. 1 for a given shear increment. We notice an organization in structures like vortexes that reminds the ones of turbulence in fluids. These structures found their origins in the rearrangement mechanism of the grains, since that the elements interfere with each other in their affine movement. This is, in other words, the deviation from the affine field due to steric exclusion forming patterns observed with discrete element modeling [13, 27, 28] and more rarely in experiments [17]. Their dynamics depend both on $\gamma$ and $\Delta\gamma$, evolving gently under shear when $\Delta\gamma$ is large ($> 0.04$) and very rapidly for small values ($\Delta\gamma \approx 2.4 \cdot 10^{-4}$). The characteristic lengths depend strongly on $\Delta\gamma$, with vortexes of a few tenths of grain mean diameter for large values of $\Delta\gamma$, and, to the contrary, for small values of $\Delta\gamma$ these structures are not well defined, and long range correlations are observed [5].

The PDFs of the horizontal component magnitude of normalized displacement fluctuations are shown in Fig. 2 for two different increments of shear strain: $\Delta\gamma = 7.3 \cdot 10^{-4}$ and $\Delta\gamma = 10^{-1}$. We observe a broadening of the PDF from a nearly Gaussian distribution (for large $\Delta\gamma$) to a wider distribution (for small $\Delta\gamma$).

The dependence of the $q$–exponent with the strain-window used to calculate the fluctuations is shown in Fig. 3 for experimental and simulation data. Two remarkable features can be observed in this plot: first, in the limit of large strain-window, when the abscissa goes to zero, $q \rightarrow 1$, indicating the limit when normal diffusion and the BG statistics are satisfied. Note that it is possible to test larger values of $\Delta\gamma$ in DEM simulations which confirm the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ (data shown in the inset of Fig. 3). This is exactly what we expect for this limit, once that the particles typically experience several collisions and rearrangements, approaching to the molecular chaos hypothesis.

In the other limit, for vanishing strain-window, the $q$-
value attains a plateau, with \( q \sim 3/2 \). This observation can be interpreted as a sign of the long range correlations imposed by the force chains at this short time scale. Once the value measured for \( q \) in this limit is lower than \( 5/3 \), one can expect that for large strain-windows a Gaussian distribution would be recovered, since it correspond to successive independent convolutions of \( q \)-Gaussian distributions.

These features were observed both in experiments and simulations, no matter the differences among the systems (periodic boundaries in horizontal direction in simulations, different number of particles and inertial numbers etc), proving the robustness of the result.

Analyzing the results as a whole, we can sketch a phenomenological scenario to explain the observations: in the limit of large \( \Delta \gamma \), we observe a tendency to agree with the BG statistics, with \( q \to 1 \). This limit corresponding to the transition from meso- to macroscopic scales, and we observe the formation of vortexes in the spatial distribution of fluctuations, as evinced by Fig. 1. These vortexes, with few grains diameters in size, interact each other to dissipate the excess of energy due to external loading, in analogy with the role of the vortexes in turbulent flow [4]. The nature of the interactions of these structures is purely stochastic, which acts as a precursor for the macroscopic friction. The broadening of the displacements fluctuations distribution is usually attributed to the energy cascade from larger to lower scales, that is, from large vortexes to the small ones [4].

On the other hand, we have \( q \simeq 3/2 \) for vanishing strain-windows limit, \( \Delta \gamma \to 0 \). This result indicates the presence of long-range interactions and anomalous diffusion. Considering the absence of spatial structures on the fluctuation field, it is clear that this limit is dominated by the force chain dynamics. Force chains can span all along the system, but are very fragile, implying short life times. The displacement of grains belonging to a force chain are strong correlated spatially, but this correlation is not verified for temporal scales.

Thus, we can conclude that the window used to measure the PDF particle displacement fluctuations in the system plays a crucial role in the statistics that will be obtained. Basically, it is possible to explore the micro-macro transition on the PDF distributions, from a correlated regime dominated by the force chains to a frictional stochastic one, dominated by spatial vortex interactions. This conclusion has a striking implication for any analysis concerning the measuring of displacement fluctuations, since it unveils how the observation procedure can alter the conclusions even in a relatively simple diffusion experiment.

To quantify the diffusion of the grains along the complete shear test we basically computed the average displacement of each grain as a function of time (shear increment \( \gamma \)), but with different sampling frequencies dit-

FIG. 3. Evolution of the measured \( q \)-value as a function of squared inverse of the strain increment for the experiments and simulations. The dashed line corresponds to a regression with the function \( q(1/\Delta \gamma) = 1 + \tanh(b/\Delta \gamma) \), with \( a = 0.521, b = 0.096 \). Inset: the same plot for data from a DEM simulation that highlights the limit \( q = 1 \) when \( \Delta \gamma \to \infty \). The fitted parameters for simulations were \( a = 0.387, b = 0.057 \).

FIG. 4. Verification of the Tsallis-Bukman scaling law for different regimes of diffusion. (Top) Evolution of the measured diffusion exponent \( \alpha \) as a function of \( 1/\Delta \gamma \) the dashed line is a direct application of the scaling law from the fit of the values shown in Fig. 3 \( \alpha P(1/\Delta \gamma) = 2/[3-q(1/\Delta \gamma)] \), where \( P \) stands for predicted. (Inset) a selected curve diffusion where \( x \) is the displacement fluctuations; it allows the assessment of the diffusion exponent \( \alpha \). (Bottom) Measure of the deviation of the data relative to the scaling law prediction, as a function of \( 1/\Delta \gamma \), showing a agreement on the order of \( \pm 2\% \).
determined by the strain-window $\Delta \gamma$. Following the reasoning presented above, and Eq. [4] we should expect two extreme regimes for the diffusion, analogously to the observed for the $q$-value: an anomalous diffusion regime with $\alpha \sim 4/3$ for vanishing strain-window, and an asymptotic regime with $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ for large shear increments. This is indeed what we can observe in Fig. [3] where we have verified the Tsallis-Bukman scaling law (Eq. [1]). It is important to stress that the dashed line in Fig. [4] is not a direct fit, but rather the curve obtained in Fig. [8] using the Tsallis-Bukman scaling law. To our knowledge, is the first time that this relation is verified for different regimes of diffusion. This striking result reinforces the use of the Tsallis NE statistical mechanics to describe strong correlated systems, as in the case of confined granular material under shearing.
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