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Abstract

The process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ is studied in 0.5 fb$^{-1}$ of data collected with the L3 detector at centre-of-mass energies between 130.1 GeV and 201.7 GeV. Cross sections are measured and found to be consistent with the Standard Model expectations. The study of the least energetic photon constrains the quartic gauge boson couplings to $-0.008 \text{ GeV}^{-2} < a_0/\Lambda^2 < 0.005 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ and $-0.007 \text{ GeV}^{-2} < a_c/\Lambda^2 < 0.011 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$, at 95% confidence level.
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1 Introduction

The LEP data offer new insight into the Standard Model of electroweak interactions \cite{1} by investigating the production of three gauge bosons. Results were recently reported on studies of the reactions $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$ \cite{2} and $e^+e^- \rightarrow W^+W^-\gamma$ \cite{3,4}. This letter describes the extension of the study of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$ process to centre-of-mass energies, $\sqrt{s}$, between 130 and 202 GeV. Final states with hadrons and isolated photons are considered to select $Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ events.

In the Standard Model, the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$ process occurs via radiation of photons from the incoming electron and/or positron. One possible diagram is presented in Figure 1a.

The $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$ signal is defined by phase-space requirements on the energies $E_{\gamma}$ and angles $\theta_{\gamma}$ of the two photons, and on the propagator mass $\sqrt{s'}$:

$$E_{\gamma} > 5 \text{ GeV}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

$$|\cos \theta_{\gamma}| < 0.97$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$|\sqrt{s'} - m_Z| < 2\Gamma_Z$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $m_Z$ and $\Gamma_Z$ are the Z boson mass and width. In the following, hadronic decays of the Z boson are considered. Events with hadrons and initial state photons falling outside the signal definition cuts are referred to as “non-resonant” background.

A single initial state radiation photon can also lower the effective centre-of-mass energy of the $e^+e^-$ collision to $m_Z$, with the subsequent production of a quark-antiquark pair. This photon can be mistaken for the most energetic photon of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ process. Two sources can then mimic the least energetic photon: either the direct radiation of photons from the quarks or photons originating from hadronic decays, misidentified electrons or unresolved $\pi^0$s. These background processes are depicted in Figures 1b and 1c, respectively.

In order to compare experimental results with $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ matrix element calculations, a further requirement is applied on the angle $\theta_{\gamma q}$ between the photons and the nearest quark:

$$\cos \theta_{\gamma q} < 0.98.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

This cut avoids collinear divergences. Its inclusion makes the signal definition used here different from the previous one \cite{2}. Signal cross sections calculated with the KK2f Monte Carlo program \cite{5} range from 0.9 pb at $\sqrt{s} = 130.1$ GeV down to 0.3 pb at $\sqrt{s} = 201.7$ GeV.

The $Z\gamma\gamma$ final state could also originate from the $s$-channel exchange of a Z boson, as presented in Figure 1d. This process is forbidden at tree level in the Standard Model, but it is expected to occur in the presence of Quartic Gauge boson Couplings (QGC) beyond the Standard Model.

2 Data and Monte Carlo Samples

This measurement uses data collected with the L3 detector \cite{6} at LEP in the years from 1995 through 1999, at centre-of-mass energies between $\sqrt{s} = 130.1$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 201.7$ GeV, for a total integrated luminosity of 0.5 fb$^{-1}$. The centre-of-mass energies and the corresponding integrated luminosities are listed in Table 1. Given their relatively low luminosities, the $\sqrt{s} = 130.1$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 136.1$ GeV data sample are combined into a single luminosity averaged
sample at $\sqrt{s} = 133.1$ GeV. Similarly the $\sqrt{s} = 161.3$ GeV and $\sqrt{s} = 172.3$ GeV samples are merged into a single sample at $\sqrt{s} = 166.8$ GeV.

The KK2f Monte Carlo program is used to generate $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}(\gamma\gamma)$ events, that are assigned to the signal or the background according to the criteria (1)–(4). The hadronisation process is simulated with the JETSET \cite{7} program. Other background processes are generated with the Monte Carlo programs PYTHIA \cite{7} ($e^+e^- \rightarrow Ze^+e^-$ and $e^+e^- \rightarrow ZZ$), KORALZ \cite{8} ($e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-(\gamma)$), PHOJET \cite{9} ($e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-$ hadrons) and KORALW \cite{10} for $W^+W^-$ production except for the $e\nu_e\ell\bar{q}$' final states, generated with EXCALIBUR \cite{11}.

The L3 detector response is simulated using the GEANT \cite{12} and GHEISHA \cite{13} programs, which model the effects of energy loss, multiple scattering and showering in the detector. Time dependent detector inefficiencies, as monitored during data taking periods, are also simulated.

| $\sqrt{s}$ (GeV) | Integrated Luminosity (pb$^{-1}$) |
|------------------|-------------------------------|
| 133.1            | 12.0                          |
| 166.8            | 21.1                          |
| 182.7            | 55.3                          |
| 188.7            | 176.3                         |
| 191.6            | 29.4                          |
| 195.5            | 83.7                          |
| 199.5            | 82.8                          |
| 201.7            | 37.0                          |

Table 1: Average centre-of-mass energies and corresponding integrated luminosities of the data samples used for this analysis.

3 Event Selection

The $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ selection demands balanced hadronic events with two isolated photons and small energy deposition at low polar angle. Selection criteria on photon energies and angles follow directly from the signal definition as $E_\gamma > 5$ GeV and $|\cos \theta_\gamma| < 0.97$. The invariant mass $M_{q\bar{q}}$ of the reconstructed hadronic system, forced into two jets using the DURHAM algorithm \cite{14}, is required to be consistent with a Z boson decaying into hadrons, $72$ GeV < $M_{q\bar{q}}$ < $116$ GeV.

The main background after these requirements is due to the “non-resonant” production of two photons and a hadronic system. The relativistic velocity $\beta_Z = p_Z/E_Z$ of the system recoiling against the photons, calculated assuming its mass to be the nominal Z mass, is larger for part of these background events than for the signal and an upper cut is used to reject those events. It is optimised for each centre-of-mass energy, as listed in Table 2.

Other classes of background events, shown in Figure 1b and Figure 1c, are rejected by an upper bound on the energy $E_{\gamma_1}$ of the most energetic photon. This requirement, presented in Table 2, suppresses the resonant return to the Z, whose photons are harder than the signal ones. A lower bound of $17^\circ$ on the angle $\omega$ between the least energetic photon and the closest jet is also imposed. This requirement is more restrictive than the similar cut on $\cos \theta_{\gamma q}$ included in the
signal definition. Data and Monte Carlo distributions of the selection variables are presented in Figure 2 for the data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{ GeV} - 202\text{ GeV}$ when selection criteria on all the other variables are applied. Good agreement between data and Monte Carlo is observed.

| $\sqrt{s}$ (GeV) | 133.1 | 166.8 | 182.7 | 188.7 | 191.6 | 195.5 | 199.6 | 201.7 |
|------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| $\beta_Z <$ | 0.48  | 0.61  | 0.64  | 0.66  | 0.66  | 0.67  | 0.69  | 0.70  |
| $E_{\gamma_1}$ (GeV) < | 31.9 | 55.0 | 67.6 | 69.8 | 72.8 | 74.2 | 75.8 | 76.6 |

Table 2: Energy dependent criteria for the selection of $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ events.

4 Results

The signal efficiencies and the numbers of events selected in the data and Monte Carlo samples are summarised in Table 3. The dominant background is hadronic events with photons. About half of these are “non-resonant” events. In the remaining cases, they originate either from final state radiation or are fake photons.

A clear signal structure is observed in the spectra of the recoil mass to the two photons, as presented in Figure 3 for the $\sqrt{s} = 192\text{ GeV} - 202\text{ GeV}$ data sample and for the total one. The $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ cross sections, $\sigma$, are determined from a fit to the corresponding spectra at each $\sqrt{s}$. Background predictions are fixed in the fit. The results are listed in Table 4 with their statistical and systematic uncertainties. The systematic uncertainties on the cross section measurement are of the order of 10\% \cite{2}. The main contributions arise from the signal and background Monte Carlo statistics (6\%) and a variation of $\pm 2\%$ of the energy scale of the hadronic calorimeter (6\%). A variation of $\pm 0.5\%$ of the energy scale of the electromagnetic calorimeter does not yield sizable effects. Other sources of systematic uncertainties are the selection procedure (3\%) and the background normalisation (3\%). The latter is estimated by varying by 10\% the normalisation of the “non-resonant” background, as estimated from a comparison between the KK2f and PYTHIA Monte Carlo predictions for hadronic events with photons, and by 20\% that of the other backgrounds. Uncertainties on the determination of the integrated luminosity are negligible.

The measurements are in good agreement with the theoretical predictions $\sigma^{\text{SM}}$, as calculated with the KK2f Monte Carlo program, listed in Table 4. The error on the predictions (1.5\%) is the quadratic sum of the theory uncertainty \cite{3} and the statistical uncertainty of the Monte Carlo sample generated for the calculation. These results are presented in Figure 4 together with the expected evolution with $\sqrt{s}$ of the Standard Model cross section.

The distribution of the recoil mass to the two photons for the full data sample, presented in Figure 3b, is fitted to calculate the ratio $R_{Z\gamma\gamma}$ between all the observed data and the signal expectation. The background predictions are fixed in the fit, which yields:

$$R_{Z\gamma\gamma} = \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^{\text{SM}}} = 0.85 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.06$$

in agreement with the Standard Model. The first uncertainty is statistical while the second is systematic. The correlation of the energy scale and background normalisation uncertainties between data samples is taken into account.
Table 3: Yields of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ selection. The signal efficiencies $\varepsilon$ are given, together with the observed and expected numbers of events. The right half of the table details the composition of the Monte Carlo samples with $N_s$ denoting the signal, $N_{q\bar{q}}$ the $q\bar{q}$ and $N_{\text{Other}}$ the other backgrounds. The uncertainties are statistical only.

| $\sqrt{s}$ (GeV) | $\varepsilon$ (%) | Data | Monte Carlo | $N_s$ | $N_{q\bar{q}}$ | $N_{\text{Other}}$ |
|------------------|-------------------|------|-------------|-------|---------------|------------------|
| 133.1            | 45                | 4    | 5.9 ± 0.5   | 5.0 ± 0.5 | 0.8 ± 0.2     | 0.08 ± 0.02      |
| 166.8            | 52                | 4    | 6.7 ± 0.3   | 4.9 ± 0.3 | 1.4 ± 0.1     | 0.4 ± 0.1        |
| 182.7            | 51                | 13   | 13.6 ± 0.7  | 10.8 ± 0.6 | 2.7 ± 0.2     | 0.06 ± 0.02      |
| 188.7            | 52                | 38   | 40.3 ± 2.0  | 32.5 ± 1.7 | 7.2 ± 1.1     | 0.6 ± 0.1        |
| 191.6            | 42                | 2    | 5.9 ± 0.4   | 4.1 ± 0.3 | 1.8 ± 0.3     | 0.06 ± 0.02      |
| 195.5            | 46                | 13   | 17.5 ± 0.9  | 12.4 ± 0.7 | 4.9 ± 0.5     | 0.2 ± 0.1        |
| 199.6            | 46                | 14   | 15.0 ± 0.8  | 11.5 ± 0.6 | 3.4 ± 0.5     | 0.13 ± 0.05      |
| 201.7            | 48                | 9    | 6.9 ± 0.5   | 5.2 ± 0.4 | 1.7 ± 0.3     | 0.06 ± 0.02      |

Table 4: Results of the measurements of the $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ cross section, $\sigma$, with statistical and systematic uncertainties. The predicted values of cross sections, $\sigma^{SM}$, are also listed.

| $\sqrt{s}$ (GeV) | $\sigma$ (pb) | $\sigma^{SM}$ (pb) |
|------------------|---------------|---------------------|
| 133.1            | 0.70 ± 0.40 ± 0.07 | 0.923 ± 0.012       |
| 166.8            | 0.17 ± 0.13 ± 0.02 | 0.475 ± 0.006       |
| 182.7            | 0.36 ± 0.13 ± 0.04 | 0.379 ± 0.004       |
| 188.7            | 0.34 ± 0.06 ± 0.03 | 0.350 ± 0.004       |
| 191.6            | 0.09 ± 0.09 ± 0.01 | 0.326 ± 0.004       |
| 195.5            | 0.30 ± 0.11 ± 0.03 | 0.321 ± 0.004       |
| 199.6            | 0.28 ± 0.11 ± 0.03 | 0.304 ± 0.004       |
| 201.7            | 0.50 ± 0.18 ± 0.05 | 0.296 ± 0.003       |

5 Study of Quartic Gauge Boson Couplings

The contribution of anomalous QGCs to $Z\gamma\gamma$ production is described by two additional dimension-six terms in the electroweak Lagrangian \[15,16\]:

$$
L_6^0 = -\frac{\pi \alpha}{4 \Lambda^2} a_0 F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \vec{W}_\rho \cdot \vec{W}^\rho
$$

$$
L_6^c = -\frac{\pi \alpha}{4 \Lambda^2} a_c F_{\mu\rho} F^{\mu\sigma} \vec{W}_\sigma \cdot \vec{W}_\rho,
$$

where $\alpha$ is the fine structure constant, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the field strength tensor of the photon and $\vec{W}_\sigma$ is the weak boson field. The parameters $a_0$ and $a_c$ describe the strength of the QGCs and $\Lambda$ represents the unknown scale of the New Physics responsible for the anomalous contributions. In the Standard Model, $a_0 = a_c = 0$. A more detailed description of QGCs has recently appeared \[17\]. Indirect limits on QGCs were derived from precision measurements at the $Z$ pole \[18\].

Anomalous values of QGCs are expected to manifest themselves via deviations in the total $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$ cross section, as presented in Figure \[4\]. In the Standard Model, $Z\gamma\gamma$ production
occurs via bremsstrahlung with the low energy photon preferentially produced close to the beam direction. The QGC s-channel production results instead in a harder energy spectrum and a more central angular distribution of the least energetic photon [16]. Distributions for this photon of the reconstructed energy, the cosine of the polar angle and the transverse momentum for the full data sample are compared in Figure 5 with the predictions from signal and background Monte Carlo. Predictions in the case of a non zero value of $a_0/\Lambda^2$ or $a_c/\Lambda^2$ are also shown. They are obtained by reweighting [2] the Standard Model signal Monte Carlo events with an analytical calculation of the QGC matrix element [16]. Monte Carlo studies indicate the transverse momentum as the most sensitive distribution to possible anomalous QGC contributions. A fit to this distribution is performed for each data sample, leaving one of the two QGCs free at a time and fixing the other to zero. It yields the 68% confidence level results:

$$a_0/\Lambda^2 = -0.002^{+0.003}_{-0.002} \text{ GeV}^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad a_c/\Lambda^2 = -0.001^{+0.006}_{-0.004} \text{ GeV}^{-2},$$

in agreement with the expected Standard Model values of zero. A simultaneous fit to both the parameters gives the 95% confidence level limits:

$$-0.008 \text{ GeV}^{-2} < a_0/\Lambda^2 < 0.005 \text{ GeV}^{-2} \quad \text{and} \quad -0.007 \text{ GeV}^{-2} < a_c/\Lambda^2 < 0.011 \text{ GeV}^{-2},$$

as shown in Figure 6. A correlation coefficient of $-57\%$ is observed. The experimental systematic uncertainties and those on the Standard Model $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ cross section predictions are taken into account in the fit.
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**Appendix**

To allow the combination of our results with those of the other LEP experiments, the cross sections $\sigma$ are also measured in the more restrictive phase space obtained by modifying the conditions (2) and (4) into $|\cos \theta_\gamma| < 0.95$ and $\cos \theta_{\gamma q} < 0.9$, respectively. The results are:

$$\sigma(182.7 \text{ GeV}) = 0.11 \pm 0.11 \pm 0.01 \text{ pb} \quad (\text{SM : } 0.233 \pm 0.003 \text{ pb})$$
$$\sigma(188.7 \text{ GeV}) = 0.28 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.03 \text{ pb} \quad (\text{SM : } 0.214 \pm 0.003 \text{ pb})$$
$$\sigma(194.5 \text{ GeV}) = 0.15 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.02 \text{ pb} \quad (\text{SM : } 0.197 \pm 0.003 \text{ pb})$$
$$\sigma(200.2 \text{ GeV}) = 0.15 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.01 \text{ pb} \quad (\text{SM : } 0.185 \pm 0.003 \text{ pb}).$$

The first uncertainty is statistical, the second systematic and the values in parentheses indicate the Standard Model predictions. The samples at $\sqrt{s} = 192 \text{ GeV} - 196 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 200 \text{ GeV} - 202 \text{ GeV}$ are respectively merged into the $\sqrt{s} = 194.5 \text{ GeV}$ and $\sqrt{s} = 200.2 \text{ GeV}$ ones.
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Figure 1: Diagrams of a) the Standard Model contribution to $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma$ signal and “non-resonant” background, b) the background from direct radiation of photon from the quarks, c) the background from photons, misidentified electrons or unresolved $\pi^0$s originating from hadrons and d) the anomalous QGC diagram.
Figure 2: Distributions of a) the invariant mass $M_{qq}$ of the hadronic system, b) the relativistic velocity $\beta_Z$ of the reconstructed $Z$ boson, c) the energy $E_{\gamma 1}$ of the most energetic photon and d) the angle $\omega$ between the least energetic photon and the nearest jet. Data, signal and background Monte Carlo samples are shown for $\sqrt{s} = 192$ GeV − 202 GeV. The arrows show the position of the final selection requirements. In each plot, the selection criteria on the other variables are applied.
Figure 3. Recoil mass to the photon pairs in data, $Z\gamma\gamma$ and background Monte Carlo for a) \(\sqrt{s} = 192 \text{ GeV} - 202 \text{ GeV}\) and b) the total sample.
Figure 4: The cross section of the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z\gamma\gamma \rightarrow q\bar{q}\gamma\gamma$ as a function of the centre-of-mass energy. The signal is defined by the phase-space cuts of Equations (1)–(4). The width of the band corresponds to the Monte Carlo statistics and theory uncertainties. Dashed and dotted lines represent anomalous QGC predictions for $a_0/\Lambda^2 = 0.015$ GeV$^{-2}$ and $a_c/\Lambda^2 = 0.015$ GeV$^{-2}$, respectively.
Figure 5: Distributions for the least energetic photon. a) the energy $E_{\gamma_2}$, b) the cosine of its polar angle $|\cos \theta_{\gamma_2}|$, c) its transverse momentum $P_{T\gamma_2}$ with respect to the beam axis. Data, signal and background Monte Carlo are displayed for the full data sample together with QGC predictions.
Figure 6: Two dimensional contours for the QGC parameters $a_0/\Lambda^2$ and $a_c/\Lambda^2$. The fit result is shown together with the Standard Model (SM) predictions.