Co-expression network analysis of the transcriptomes of rice roots exposed to various cadmium stresses reveals universal cadmium-responsive genes
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Abstract

Background: The migration of cadmium (Cd) from contaminated soil to rice is a cause for concern. However, the molecular mechanism underlying the response of rice roots to various Cd stresses remains to be clarified from the viewpoint of the co-expression network at a system-wide scale.

Results: We employed a comparative RNAseq-based approach to identify early Cd-responsive differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in rice ‘Nipponbare’ seedling roots after 1 h of high-Cd treatment. A multiplicity of the identified 1772 DEGs were implicated in hormone signaling and transcriptional regulation, particularly NACs and WRKYs were all upregulated under Cd stress. All of the 6 Cd-upregulated ABC transporters were pleiotropic drug resistance proteins (PDRs), whereas all of the 6 ZRT/IRT-like proteins (ZIPs) were consistently downregulated by Cd treatment.

To further confirm our results of this early transcriptomic response to Cd exposure, we then conducted weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) to re-analyze our RNAseq data in combination with other 11 previously published RNAseq datasets for rice roots exposed to diverse concentrations of Cd for extended treatment periods. This integrative approach identified 271 transcripts as universal Cd-regulated DEGs that are key components of the Cd treatment coupled co-expression module. A global view of the 164 transcripts with annotated functions in pathway networks revealed several Cd-upregulated key functional genes, including transporter ABCG36/OsPDR9, 12-oxo-phytodienoic acid reductases (OPRs) for JA synthesis, and ZIM domain proteins JAZs in JA signaling, as well as OsWRKY10, NAC, and ZFP transcription factors.

More importantly, 104 of these, including ABCG36/OsPDR9, OsNAC3, as well as several orthologs in group metalloendoproteinase, plastocyanin-like domain containing proteins and pectin methylesterase inhibitor, may respond specifically to various Cd pressures, after subtracting the 60 general stress-responsive genes reported to be commonly upregulated following multiple stresses.

Conclusion: An integrative approach was implemented to identify DEGs and co-expression network modules in response to various Cd pressures, and 104 of the 164 annotatable universal Cd-responsive DEGs may specifically respond to various Cd pressures. These results provide insight into the universal molecular mechanisms beneath the Cd response in rice roots, and suggest many promising targets for improving the rice acclimation process against Cd toxicity.
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Background
Cadmium (Cd) is a nonessential detrimental element that poses potential chronic toxicity to living organisms [1–3]. Cd is absorbed by the roots from the soil and transported to the shoot, so understanding the molecular mechanisms of plant roots coping with challenges imposed by unfavorable Cd element is one of crucial steps for improving acclimation of plants against Cd toxicity [4].

Like other nonessential elements, Cd enters cells as hitchhiker through some transporters specific for nutrient elements [5]. For rice, natural resistance-associated macrophage protein 5 (OsNramp5) is the major transporter for Mn and Cd transport into the inner root [6, 7]. The iron-regulated transporter 1 (OsIRT1) and OsNramp1 are potentially involved in this process [8], but their contributions appear small compared to that of OsNramp5 [3]. Under the adverse condition imposed by heavy metals, plants have evolved correspondingly a multiplicity of highly integrated adjustments to reduce the toxicity of absorbed heavy metals [9, 10]. In plant roots, Arabidopsis heavy metal ATPase AtHMA2 and AtHMA4 [11], as well as the ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter AtPDR8 [12] and OsHMA2 [13] localize to the plasma membrane and transport Cd out of the cell [14]. Another ABC-type transporter AtABCC3 [15], AtHMA3 [16] and OsHMA3 [17–19] play important roles in Cd detoxification by sequestering Cd to root vacuoles [3, 14].

Besides the strategies of heavy metal sequestration and detoxification, various signaling pathways are stimulated by Cd stress, and plant transcription factors (TFs) play vital roles in the regulation of signal transduction and protection of cells from stresses [20].

Although microarray-based transcriptomic analysis did provide highly valuable information on the plant responses to Cd pressure over the past decade [21], the complex Cd defense system in plants was still unexplored. Currently, ever-increasing RNAseq-based comparative transcriptomic studies have been conducted in several plant species, such as radish [22], Cd/zinc/Pb co-hyperaccumulating *Crassulaceae* [23], fast growing Cd-resistant tree [24], and maize [25, 26]. Taking the staple model crop rice into account, a few transcriptomic studies focusing on genes involved in the response to Cd stress have been conducted with the aid of RNAseq approach [1, 20, 27, 28]. However, there is still lack of an integrative investigation on these dispersed transcriptomes of rice roots under various Cd pressures over diverse treatment periods.

In the current omics era, co-expression networks and gene regulatory networks are widely used to predict functional roles of individual genes at a system-wide scale [29]. WGCNA is such a fascinating genome-wide approach focusing on elucidating biological networks instead of individual genes [29–31].

This powerful approach has been widely used on a range of systems for elucidating various processes, including dissecting flower development in Arabidopsis [32, 33] and strawberry [30], characterizing wheat endosperm development [34] and soybean domestication [35], revealing biotic stress responses in Arabidopsis [36], citrus [37] and *Medicago truncatula* [38], elucidating the holistic picture of drought response in grapevine [39], Cd response in maize [26] and the hyperaccumulating ecotype of *Sedum alfredii* [23]. Interestingly, WGCNA was also utilized to confirm the genome-wide association study (GWAS) inferred candidate genes associated with NaCl tolerance in Arabidopsis [40].

Through integrating transcriptome and metabolite data, gene co-expression networks related to inositol phosphate in maize [41] and fruit anthocyanin content in apple [42, 43] were constructed by WGCNA. Recently, three types of omics data including 31,447 mRNAs, 13,175 proteins and 4267 phosphoproteins were grouped individually by WGCNA into co-expression modules, and then integrated into omics networks in a developmental atlas of maize [29]. In addition to these integrations of different levels of omics data of the same sample, expression datasets generated by different groups under different experimental conditions and biological systems can be integrated by WGCNA to aid the annotation of rice genome [44]. It was also implemented to elucidate the genetic basis of drought tolerance in rice [45, 46] and discover the core biotic stress responsive genes in Arabidopsis [47]. However, there is no such a comprehensive resource aiming to elucidate the scaffolding mechanisms for Cd signaling pathways in rice from the viewpoint of co-expression network at a system-wide scale.

In this study, we implemented a comparative RNAseq-based approach to identify Cd-responsive DEGs in rice seedling roots under 1 h of high-Cd stress. Among the 1772 DEGs responsive to short-term high-Cd, 536 are novel Cd-responsive genes. To further clarify our findings of the early transcriptomic response to Cd exposure, we utilized WGCNA to re-analyze our RNAseq data in combination with other 11 published RNAseq datasets with different Cd concentrations and different time points. A universal Cd-responsive DEGs subset of 164 annotatable genes was extracted from the co-expression network modules generated by WGCNA, and 104 of them were Cd-specific regulated genes which were not reported to be general stress-responsive previously. Our results provide insight into the high-confidence universal molecular mechanisms beneath the Cd response in rice roots, and the universal Cd-regulated DEGs that may contribute to plant responses to Cd stress will be valuable for further improving the Cd response in rice using the genetic engineering approach.
Results

Global transcriptomic changes of rice roots in response to short-term high-Cd stress

Transcriptomic changes in roots response to 1 h of high-Cd stress were determined by comparing the control (ck1h) and 100 μM Cd-treated (Cd1h) rice seedlings (Additional file 1: Table S1). After using the stringent criteria (fold change ≥2 and q-value ≤0.05) to select transcripts, a total of 1772 gene isoforms were identified as being early Cd-responsive (Fig. 1). Since few genes had more than one Cd-regulated transcript isoform, differentially expressed transcripts were regarded as differentially expressed genes (DEGs) (Table 1, Additional file 2: Table S2).

To validate the expression pattern of DEGs that resulted from RNAseq, 8 DEGs were randomly selected for qRT-PCR assay (Additional file 3: Table S3). As anticipated, the qRT-PCR results were basically consistent with those from RNAseq (Additional file 4: Fig. S1), suggesting that DEGs resulted from RNAseq were credible for further analysis.

For the 1334 Cd-induced and 438 Cd-repressed transcript isoforms in rice ‘Nipponbare’ roots, 643 and 84 of them were also reported to be up- and down-regulated by 1 h of 50 μM medium Cd treatment (MCd1), correspondingly [27], whereas 575 up- and 44 down-regulated isoforms were also DEGs with same regulated pattern in the microarray-based analysis of early transcriptomic responses to 25 μM Cd treatment (lowCd) for 3 h [21]. Taking multiple Cd stresses commonly regulated genes into account, 298 up- and 1 down-regulated DEGs also displayed the same regulatory pattern in the previous reports, both with MCd1 and lowCd treatments [21, 27] (Additional file 5: Figure S2, Additional file 6: Dataset 1).

Excluding the 1070 DEGs also identified in two previous reports, the remaining 702 DEGs (433 up- and 269 down-regulated) are uniquely identified in this study (Additional file 5: Figure S2). Although 166 have other isoforms differentially expressed in previous two studies, 536 DEGs regulated by short-term high-Cd treatment are novel Cd-responsive genes (Table 1, Additional file 2: Table S2).

Functional characterization of early Cd-responsive DEGs in rice roots

To gain insights into the functionality of the 1772 DEGs that are likely to be associated with the Cd response, all of these Cd-responsive transcripts were visualized in the candidate pathway networks with MapMan.

Globally, the overrepresented biological functional pathway genes among Cd-regulated DEGs were involved in stress and hormone-signaling transduction, redox balance, regulation of transcription, ion transport, etc. (Fig. 2, Additional file 2: Table S2), which is consistent with previous studies [1, 21, 27]. Furthermore, the regulation patterns of JA signaling nodes, ABA-dependent pathway components, transporters, and TFs were essentially the same as those reported in previous Cd-treated rice transcriptomic studies (Fig. 2, Additional file 2: Table S2); therefore, these
| BinName                          | Transcript ID       | FPKM ck1h | FPKM Cd1h | log2FC | q_value | Rice gene annotation                                                                 |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                |                     |           |           |        |         | 12-oxophytodienoate reductase, OsOPR1                                                 |
|                                | Os06g11290.1*       | 5.18      | 435.09    | 6.39   | 0.0019  |                                                                                      |
|                                | Os06g11210.1*       | 58.99     | 717.03    | 3.60   | 0.0019  | OsOPR5 [Q69T10]                                                                      |
|                                | Os06g11240.1        | 14.15     | 339.14    | 4.58   | 0.0019  | OPR                                                                                   |
|                                | Os06g11200.1        | 0.15      | 2.40      | 3.98   | 0.0188  | OPR                                                                                   |
|                                | Os03g55800.1*       | 29.03     | 146.45    | 2.33   | 0.0019  | allene oxide synthase, AOS1                                                          |
|                                | Os12g12500.1*       | 2.23      | 13.52     | 2.60   | 0.0019  | allene oxide synthase, AOS2                                                          |
|                                | Os12g05440.1*       | 1.85      | 58.66     | 4.98   | 0.002   | cytochrome P450, CYP94C2b                                                            |
|                                | Os03g08310.1*       | 1.88      | 27.26     | 3.86   | 0.002   | ZIM domain containing protein, OsJAZ9                                                |
|                                | Os03g28940.1*       | 38.17     | 106.38    | 1.48   | 0.0019  | ZIM domain containing protein                                                         |
|                                | Os09g02710.1        | 0.63      | 4.20      | 2.73   | 0.0035  | Arabidopsis loss of the timing of Et and JA biosynthesis 1                            |
|                                |                     |           |           |        |         |                                                                                      |
|                                | Os03g44380.1*       | 0.53      | 5.75      | 3.43   | 0.0019  | 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase, OsNCED3                                             |
|                                | Os07g05940.1*       | 0.59      | 99.97     | 7.41   | 0.0019  | OsNCED5                                                                              |
|                                | Os12g42280.1*       | 2.63      | 32.42     | 3.62   | 0.0019  | OsNCED4                                                                              |
|                                | Os12g29400.1*       | 5.88      | 14.09     | 1.26   | 0.0019  | ABA-responsive protein [Q2QQY9]                                                       |
|                                | Os04g44510.1        | 51.06     | 244.45    | 2.26   | 0.0019  | ABA-responsive protein (GRAM domain)                                                  |
|                                | Os04g44500.1        | 17.60     | 49.88     | 1.50   | 0.0019  | ABA-responsive protein (GRAM domain)                                                  |
|                                | Os10g04860.1        | 5.05      | 2.26      | −1.16  | 0.0019  | aldehyde oxidase                                                                      |
|                                | Os02g52780.2        | 0.71      | 14.01     | 4.30   | 0.0019  | OsbZIP23                                                                             |
|                                |                     |           |           |        |         |                                                                                      |
|                                | Os07g48630.1*       | 52.93     | 109.98    | 1.06   | 0.002   | ethylene-insensitive 3                                                               |
|                                | Os02g43790.1*       | 41.57     | 265.23    | 2.67   | 0.002   | ethylene-responsive transcription factor, OsBIERF3                                    |
|                                | Os03g09170.1*       | 20.44     | 218.22    | 3.42   | 0.002   | ERF                                                                                   |
|                                | Os04g46220.1        | 44.26     | 119.27    | 1.43   | 0.002   | ERF                                                                                   |
|                                | Os08g31580.1        | 102.51    | 268.56    | 1.39   | 0.002   | ERF                                                                                   |
|                                | Os01g54890.1        | 4.75      | 31.18     | 2.71   | 0.034   | ERF2                                                                                 |
|                                | Os01g58420.1        | 49.28     | 134.22    | 1.45   | 0.002   | OsERF3                                                                              |
|                                | Os11g06707.2*       | 0.10      | 0.70      | 2.75   | 0.027   | ERF110                                                                               |
|                                | Os02g43970.1*       | 2.93      | 7.61      | 1.37   | 0.002   | ABA-responsive DREB gene, ARAG1                                                       |
|                                | Os10g41330.2*       | 5.79      | 64.06     | 3.47   | 0.002   | AP2 domain                                                                           |
|                                | Os06g10780.1*       | 2.17      | 6.55      | 1.59   | 0.002   | AP2 domain                                                                           |
|                                | Os02g43940.1*       | 1.74      | 6.56      | 1.92   | 0.002   | AP2 domain, OsDREB4–1                                                                 |
|                                | Os09g28440.1        | 0.59      | 47.37     | 6.32   | 0.002   | AP2 domain                                                                           |
|                                | Os08g36920.1*       | 0.76      | 70.32     | 6.53   | 0.002   | AP2 domain                                                                           |
|                                | Os03g08460.1        | 91.75     | 42.47     | −1.11  | 0.002   | AP2 domain                                                                           |
|                                | Os03g08490.1        | 215.27    | 81.73     | −1.40  | 0.002   | AP2 domain                                                                           |
|                                | Os03g08500.1        | 538.17    | 256.44    | −1.07  | 0.002   | AP2 domain                                                                           |
|                                | Os04g08740.3        | 68.92     | 31.96     | −1.11  | 0.002   | ethylene receptor, OsETR2 [Q7XXB4]                                                   |
|                                |                     |           |           |        |         |                                                                                      |
|                                | Os06g37300.2        | 0.22      | 0.01      | −2.36  | 1.1290  | ent-kaurene oxidase 4, OsKO4 [Q0DBF4]                                                 |
|                                | Os03g63970.1*       | 18.68     | 0.00      | −1.12  | 40.5099 | gibberellin 20 oxidase 1                                                               |
|                                | Os05g48700.1        | 2.84      | 0.01      | 1.34   | 1.1252  | gibberellin 2-beta-dioxygenase                                                        |
|                                |                     |           |           |        |         |                                                                                      |
|                                | Os04g57200.1*       | 54.50     | 169.43    | 1.64   | 0.002   | heavy metal transport/detoxification protein                                         |

Table 1 Cd-regulated differentially expressed genes (DEGs) mapped to the metabolic pathways
### Table 1 Cd-regulated differentially expressed genes (DEGs) mapped to the metabolic pathways (Continued)

| BinName | Transcript ID | FPKM ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC | q_value | Rice gene annotation |
|---------|---------------|------------|------|--------|---------|----------------------|
|         |               |            |      |        |         | heavy metal-associated domain protein, HMAD HMAD |
|         |               |            |      |        |         | ZRT/IRT-like protein, OsZIP4 [Q6ZJ91] |
|         |               |            |      |        |         | ABCG36/OsPDR9 pleiotropic drug resistance protein |
|         |               |            |      |        |         | ABC transporter family protein |
|         |               |            |      |        |         | chloride transporter |

**Divalent cations transporters**

| BinName | Transcript ID | FPKM ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC | q_value | Rice gene annotation |
|---------|---------------|------------|------|--------|---------|----------------------|
|         |               |            |      |        |         | ABCG37/OsPDR8 [Q8GU89] |
|         |               |            |      |        |         | ABCG39/OsPDR7 [Q8GU88] |
|         |               |            |      |        |         | ABCG35/OsPDR11 [Q8GU92] |
|         |               |            |      |        |         | ABCG44/OsPDR17 [Q6YW62] |
|         |               |            |      |        |         | ABC transporter family protein |

**ABC transporters and pleiotropic drug resistance proteins**

| BinName | Transcript ID | FPKM ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC | q_value | Rice gene annotation |
|---------|---------------|------------|------|--------|---------|----------------------|
|         |               |            |      |        |         | Mitochondrial electron transport |

**MATE family of citrate/H+ antiport transporters**

| BinName | Transcript ID | FPKM ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC | q_value | Rice gene annotation |
|---------|---------------|------------|------|--------|---------|----------------------|
|         |               |            |      |        |         | Mitochondrial electron transport |

**other transporters**

| BinName | Transcript ID | FPKM ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC | q_value | Rice gene annotation |
|---------|---------------|------------|------|--------|---------|----------------------|
|         |               |            |      |        |         | Mitochondrial electron transport |

**Mitochondrial electron transport**

---

Abbreviations: q_value, significance value; Cd1, Cd-treated group; ck1, control group; FPKM, fragments per kilobase of transcript per million mapped reads; MATE, multi-drug and toxic compound extrusion; ABC, ATP-binding cassette; OsPDR, Os clinic resistance gene; OsIRT1, Os iron-regulated transporter 1; ZRT/IRT-like protein, OsZIP.
DEGs can be regarded as members of universal Cd-responsive genes.

Among the DEGs within the ‘Stress’ group, all transcripts involved in cold stress response and metal binding, and the majority of heat shock protein transcripts, were upregulated in response to Cd. Of the transcripts mapped to ‘Hormones’ category, 9 DEGs in Jasmonate signaling, 8 in ABA signal transduction, and 10 of 11 in Auxin signal

| Transcript ID          | ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC  | q_value | Rice gene annotation                          |
|------------------------|------|------|---------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Os07g37730.1           | 0.15 | 9.07 | 5.96    | 0.0035  | NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase                 |
| Os04g51150.1           | 14.41| 35.47| 1.30    | 0.0019  | AOX1A, alternative oxidase                     |
| Os04g51160.1           | 0.66 | 46.99| 6.15    | 0.0019  | AOX1B                                         |
| Os10g39870.1           | 10.79| 29.33| 1.44    | 0.0019  | Arabidopsis Transmembrane protein G1P-related 1|
| Os05g09550.1           | 1.37 | 4.81 | 1.81    | 0.0482  | Der1-like family domain containing protein     |
| Os02g37000.1           | 6.37 | 16.29| **1.35**| 0.0019  | mitochondrial prohibitin complex protein 1      |

Heat-shock transcription factor (HSF) family

| Transcript ID          | ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC  | q_value | Rice gene annotation                          |
|------------------------|------|------|---------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Os09g35790.1           | 0.76 | 6.99 | **3.20**| 0.0168  | OsHsfB2c [Q652B0]                             |
| Os09g35790.2           | 9.03 | 26.10| **1.53**| 0.0019  | OsHsfA3 [Q6H6Q7]                              |
| Os02g32590.1           | 1.21 | 7.07 | 2.55    | 0.0019  | OsHsfB2a [Q7XRX3]                             |
| Os02g32590.2           | 0.38 | 2.76 | 2.88    | 0.0218  |                                               |
| Os04g48030.1           | 3.05 | 14.05| 2.20    | 0.0178  | OsHsfA2c                                     |
| Os10g28340.1           | 0.62 | 12.76| 4.36    | 0.0019  |                                               |
| Os10g28340.2           | 3.72 | 15.96| 2.10    | 0.0135  |                                               |
| Os03g12370.3           | 0.40 | 4.95 | 3.65    | 0.0101  | OsHsfA9 [Q10PR4]                              |
| Os08g43334.2           | 2.45 | 44.04| 4.17    | 0.0019  | OsHsfB2b [Q6Z9C8]                             |
| Os02g13800.1           | 15.01| 50.05| 1.74    | 0.0019  |                                               |

NAC domain transcription factor family

| Transcript ID          | ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC  | q_value | Rice gene annotation                          |
|------------------------|------|------|---------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Os01g50360.1           | 2.52 | 1.09 | **−1.21**| 0.026  | NAC domain containing protein                 |
| Os11g03300.2           | 16.07| 38.93| 1.28    | 0.002   | OsNAC10                                      |
| Os9g60020.1E           | 5.77 | 25.25| 2.13    | 0.002   | OsNAC4                                       |
| Os07g12340.1           | 12.81| 455.79| 5.15   | 0.002   | OsNAC3 [Q7EZT1]                               |
| Os03g60080.1           | 88.35| 942.97| 3.42   | 0.002   | SNAC1                                        |

WRKY domain transcription factor family

| Transcript ID          | ck1h | Cd1h | log2FC  | q_value | Rice gene annotation                          |
|------------------------|------|------|---------|---------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Os02g88440.1           | 2.03 | 125.38| 5.95   | 0.0019  | WRKY71                                       |
| Os02g08440.4           | 24.26| 179.27| 2.89   | 0.0019  |                                               |
| Os05g27330.1           | 101.45| 430.59| 2.09   | 0.0019  | OsWRKY53                                     |
| Os01g61080.1           | 6.51 | 92.36| 3.83    | 0.0019  | OsWRKY24                                     |
| Os01g09100.1           | 29.32| 195.59| 2.74   | 0.0019  | OsWRKY10                                     |
| Os05g50610.2           | 0.89 | 2.44 | 1.45    | 0.0317  | WRKY8                                        |
| Os08g13840.2           | 130.82| 404.49| 1.63   | 0.0019  | WRKY25                                       |
| Os05g09020.1           | 1.47 | 13.65| 3.21    | 0.0019  | WRKY67                                       |
| Os05g09020.2           | 9.62 | 51.47| 2.42    | 0.0019  |                                               |
| Os11g29870.1           | 5.02 | 15.26| 1.60    | 0.0019  | WRKY72                                       |
| Os09g25060.1           | 3.11 | 24.14| 2.95    | 0.0019  | OsWRKY76                                     |
| Os01g60600.1           | 0.42 | 1.68 | **1.99**| 0.0323  | WRKY108                                      |
transduction, as well as the majority of isoforms mapped to ethylene signaling, were upregulated by Cd treatment.

Additionally, 6 transcripts that encode antioxidant enzymes and thioredoxin, and the majority of TFs, including 7 members of the HSF family, 4 NACs, and 22 WRKYs, were all upregulated under Cd stress (Table 1, Fig. 2, Additional file 2: Table S2).

Based on the transport overview, 3 groups of mapped transporters (including 6 ABC transporters mainly in the PDR category, 6 amino acid transporters, and 3 ammonium transporters) were all upregulated quickly following Cd treatment. Ten of 11 heavy metal-associated domain containing proteins (HMADs) and 4 members of multidrug and toxic compound extrusion (MATE) efflux family were all rapidly upregulated in Cd-treated rice roots. However, all 6 zinc or iron-regulated transporters (ZRT/IRT-like proteins, ZIPs) including OsIRT1 and OsZIP4 were downregulated by Cd stress. Similarly, 5 other transporters, including 1 chloride transporter and 1 malic acid transport protein were also inhibited by short-term high-Cd stress (Table 1, Additional file 7: Figure S3).

With regard to the novel Cd-responsive DEGs, CYP94C2b and OsJAZ9 were involved in Jasmonate signaling, one ethylene-responsive transcription factor (ERF110) and other 4 DEGs were involved in ethylene signaling, and the Cd-inhibited gibberellin-20 oxidase was responsible for gibberellin synthesis. Seven transporters are also putative novel Cd-responsive DEGs; these include 1 HMAD, OsZIP9, and OsIRT1, 2 MATEs, and 1 inorganic phosphate transporter OsPT8. Three TFs, including OsHsfB2c, 1 NAC, and WRKY108, are also among the novel Cd-responsive DEGs (Table 1, Additional file 2: Table S2).

Five DEGs, including one 12-oxophytodienoate reductase (OsOPR5), ABCG36/OsPDR9, stress responsive NAC (SNAC1) and WRKY71 transcription factors genes, were also reported to be upregulated by medium and low Cd stresses in rice seedling roots in 3 previous reports [21, 27, 48]. In the latter 2 previous high-throughput transcriptome studies, 30 DEGs were upregulated whereas OsZIP4 was downregulated by Cd treatment. Interestingly, OsNAC4 was also consistently upregulated in microarray analyses with 2 lowest Cd treatments, both at the 3-h time point. Transcription factors OsbZIP23 and OsNAC10 have also been previously shown to be responsive to medium Cd stress in RNAseq analysis [27], but in different gene isoforms, and mainly as the first isoforms (Table 1, Additional file 2: Table S2).

Identification of DEGs and co-expression network modules in response to various Cd pressures

To investigate whether the early Cd-responsive genes identified in this study are involved in response to diverse concentrations of Cd treatments at different time points or not, 17 RNAseq samples covering those published and our RNAseq data of Cd-treated rice seedling roots (Additional file 1: Table S1) were processed by the new pipeline HISAT-StringTie-Ballgown. After filtering those transcripts with no more than 50% missing data,
22,080 transcripts across 17 RNAseq samples (Additional file 8: Dataset 2) were selected for analysis of DEGs and construction of gene co-expression network simultaneously.

For differential expression analysis, the stringent criteria (fold-change ≥ 2 and adj.P.Val ≤ 0.05) was used to select transcripts, thus 4 datasets containing DEGs [(1 h of Medium Cd treatment) MCd1 vs ck0, (1 d of Medium Cd) MCdD vs ck0, Cd24h vs ck24h and Cd1h vs ck1h)], representing various concentrations of Cd treatments across diverse time points, were obtained for further analysis (Additional file 9: Dataset 3). Meanwhile, the WGCNA package was employed to construct gene co-expression network of Cd response from the expression matrix of 22,080 transcripts across 17 RNAseq samples. This approach resulted in 22 distinct co-expressed modules (labeled by different colors), and the module ‘darkorange’ (with 1868 transcripts) is of particular interest (Fig. 3, Additional file 10: Table S4). This module has the highest level of module significance, and its module Eigengene expression profile suggests that it is correlated with Cd treatment, therefore, it is considered as the dominant Cd response-specific or Cd-coupled module.

The comparison of transcriptomes across different time points and various dosages of Cd treatments might provide additional information about gene function, therefore the intersection of transcripts in Cd-coupled module and the 4 DEGs datasets was selected for functional analysis (Fig. 4).

**Functional characterization of universal Cd-responsive DEGs in co-expression network**

After the comparison with the 4 DEGs datasets, 418 of the 1868 transcripts from Cd-coupled module were unveiled to be unrelated to Cd response, whereas 271 transcripts were universal Cd-responsive DEGs, constituting the central hub of Cd response-specific module subset (Fig. 4). The global function view showed that 164 of 271 DEGs from the Cd response-specific module across 17 samples can be annotated and located in MapMan pathway network (Fig. 5, Additional file 11: Table S5) and the remaining 107 unmapped were novel transcripts with “MSTRG” prefix (Additional file 10: Table S4) assigned by StringTie.

Taking the “Biotic stress” pathways as an example, all of the common upregulated transcripts were enriched in category ‘Hormone Signaling,’ ‘proteolysis,’ ‘Redox,’ ‘Signaling’ and ‘transcription factors’. In detail, the expression of genes implicated in ‘Jasmonic acid’ and ‘Transcription factors’ (WRKYs and ERFs) were upregulated in Cd treated roots (Fig. 5). Among the 164 common data points, 6 of them involving in ethylene signaling, 8 in JA synthesis and signal transduction, 7 transcription factors, 7 zinc finger proteins (ZFPs), 7 glutathione-S-transferases (GSTs) and 4 transporters were all upregulated by Cd stress (Table 2). Of particular interest is the identification of 1 key enzyme 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase (OsNCED4) for ABA synthesis, 2 key enzymes (OsOPR1 and OsOPR5) for JA synthesis, 6 transcription Factors including OsWRKY10 and SNAC1, 6 ZFPs, 3 GSTs and 3 transporters including ABCG36/OsPDR9, which were also...

---

**Fig. 3** Gene co-expression network analysis of 17 RNAseq datasets for rice roots exposed to diverse concentrations of Cd for extended treatment periods. **a** Barplot of module significance. **b** Eigengene expression profile for the Cd-coupled module in 17 RNAseq samples. The module significance is defined as the mean gene significance across all genes in the module. For the heatmap of the expression of eigengenes in the Cd-coupled module (rows) across 17 RNAseq samples (see Methods section), low values means a lot of module genes are under-expressed (green color in the heatmap) and high values for over-expressed (red in the heatmap) in these samples. The number of genes in the module is indicated in parenthesis.
uniformly upregulated by medium and low Cd stresses in rice seedling roots in at least 2 previous reports [21, 27, 48]. Additionally, one C2H2-type zinc finger protein (ZFP182) was significantly enhanced even at the lowest Cd concentration [48], and it was strongly induced from FPKM of 5 to 858 after the short-term high-Cd treatment (Table 2, Additional file 2: Table S2).

After subtracting the 60 general stress-responsive genes reported to be commonly upregulated following multiple stresses [27], 90 universal Cd-upregulated and 14 Cd-downregulated DEGs may respond specifically to various Cd pressures (Table 2; Additional file 11: Table S5). Regarding the 104 Cd-specific regulated genes, several DEGs could be categorized into orthologous groups of model plants (numbered as APK_ORTHOMCL). Among these DEGs, two metalloendoproteinases were in APK_ORTHOMCL1642, three plastocyanin-like domain containing proteins in APK_ORTHOMCL3089, while one pectin methylesterase inhibitor (Os12g18560, OsPMEI46) in APK_ORTHOMCL14694 (Table 2; Additional file 11: Table S5).

It is noteworthy that 14 of the 104 Cd-responsive members are also novel DEGs, only identified in this study through combing the two approaches. Among these 14 novel Cd-responsive DEGs, Os04g33390 (encoding prephenate dehydratase) is the ortholog of Arabidopsis arogenate dehydratases (Table 2, Additional file 2: Table S2, Additional file 11: Table S5).

Discussion

Early high-Cd responsive transporters in rice roots
To elucidate the rice early response to high-Cd stress, we performed pair-end RNAseq of rice roots with replicates treated with high Cd concentrations (100 μM) for 1 h, in parallel with control samples at the same time point. As expected, 702 of the 1772 DEGs were uniquely identified in our rice roots treated by short-term high-Cd treatment (Additional file 5: Figure S2, Additional file 2: Table S2), which differed from previous...
| BinName                          | Log2Foldchange | Rice gene annotation                                      |
|---------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| **Stress protein**              |                |                                                       |
| Os03g16020.1                    | 4.78           | hsp20/alpha crystallin family protein                  |
| Os07g05940.1*                   | 5.63           | 9-cis-epoxycarotenoid dioxygenase, *OsNCED4*             |
| **Abscisic acid synthesis**     |                |                                                       |
| Os06g1120.1*                    | 2.72           | 12-oxophytodienoate reductase, *OsOPR1*                 |
| Os06g11240.1                    | 3.36           | OPR                                                   |
| Os06g1210.1*                    | 4.52           | OsOPR5                                                |
| Os06g12520.1*                   | 4.89           | OsJAZ10                                               |
| **Jasmonate synthesis and signaling** |            |                                                       |
| Os07g05830.1*                   | 2.57           | cytochrome P450, *CYP94C2b*                           |
| Os07g05840.1*                   | 3.67           | ethylene-responsive transcription factor, *OsBIEF3*    |
| **Ethylene signal transduction**|                |                                                       |
| Os01g09100.1*                   | 2.44           | OsWRKY10                                              |
| Os01g09180.1*                   | 2.53           | HLH DNA-binding domain containing protein              |
| Os01g9160.1*                    | 1.91           | MYB family transcription factor                        |
| Os01g91330.1                    | 4.33           | MYB                                                   |
| **Transcription factor family** |                |                                                       |
| Os09g31390.1                    | –1.25          | OsbZIP74                                              |
| **Zinc finger proteins**        |                |                                                       |
| Os09g21710.1*                   | 2.71           | AN1-like zinc finger domain containing protein          |
| Os03g32230.1*                   | 5.04           | ZOS3--12 - C2H2 zinc finger protein                     |
| Os03g60560.1                    | 7.11           | ZOS3--21, *ZFP182*                                    |
| Os12g39400.1*                   | 2.36           | ZOS12--09, ZFP252                                     |
| **Glutathione S transferases**  |                |                                                       |
| Os10g22070.1*                   | 2.42           | glutathione S-transferase, GST                         |
| Os09g20220.1*                   | 6.43           | GST                                                   |
| Os10g38340.1*                   | 6.40           | GSTU6                                                 |
| Os10g38720.1*                   | 2.87           | GST                                                   |
| Os03g57200.1                    | 2.10           | GST                                                   |
transcriptomic studies using short-term and moderate or low Cd treatment [21, 27].

Metal transporters play key roles in the acquisition, distribution, and homeostasis of Cd in plants [5]. Several Arabidopsis ABC transporters are suggested to mediate vacuolar sequestration of Cd-phytochelatin conjugates [15, 49]. In this study, all of the 6 Cd-upregulated ABCGs/PDRs were from one of the most highly represented subfamilies of ABC transporters (Table 1, Additional file 7: Figure S3).

In Arabidopsis, ABC transporter of the mitochondria AtATM3 [50] and AtABCC1–3 [15, 49] transported Cd into the vacuoles to increase Cd tolerance. AtABCC3 confers Cd tolerance by transporting phytochelatin (PC)-Cd complexes into the vacuoles to detoxify Cd [15]. In rice, the Cd-inducible OsABCG43 is likely to sequester Cd at the subcellular level [51], similar to vacuolar sequestration mediated by OsHMA3 [17].

Among the Cd-upregulated ABCG-type transporter genes, ABCG36/OsPDR9 is reported induced rapidly and markedly in rice roots by Cd and Zn [52]. Taking its homologs in Arabidopsis into consideration, AtPDR12 and AtPDR8 are all involved in heavy metal resistance, and the Cd-inducible AtPDR8 can export multiple functionally

### Table 2 Mapping the universal Cd-responsive DEGs in co-expression network to the metabolic pathways (Continued)

| BinName | Log2Foldchange | Rice gene annotation |
|---------|----------------|----------------------|
| Os10g38314.1 | 5.71 | GST |
| Os10g38350.1 | 1.97 | GST |

ROS regulation-related genes

| Transcript ID | Log2Foldchange | Rice gene annotation |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Os07g08840.3 | 5.09 | apoplastic H-type thioredoxin, OsTRXh1 |
| Os04g33390.1* | 1.98 | prephenate dehydratase / arogenate dehydratase |
| Os11g42989.1* | 5.09 | exo70 exocyst complex subunit |

Transmitters

| Transcript ID | Log2Foldchange | Rice gene annotation |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Os01g42380.1# | 2.07 | ABCG36/OsPDR9 |
| Os02g37300.1* | 2.33 | HMAD |
| Os02g37330.1* | 4.25 | HMAD |
| Os03g11900.1 | 1.15 | monosaccharide transporter, OsMST4 |

others DEGs with Cd-upregulated homologs

| Transcript ID | Log2Foldchange | Rice gene annotation |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Os02g50730.1* | 1.90 | APK_ORTHOMCL1642, metalloendoproteinase |
| Os06g13180.1* | 3.03 | APK_ORTHOMCL1642, metalloendoproteinase |
| Os08g04350.1* | 4.22 | APK_ORTHOMCL3089, plastocyanin-like |
| Os08g04360.1* | 4.76 | APK_ORTHOMCL3089, plastocyanin-like |
| Os08g0470.1* | 3.04 | APK_ORTHOMCL3089, plastocyanin-like |
| Os12g18560.1* | 2.53 | APK_ORTHOMCL14694, pectin methylesterase inhibitor |

others novel DEGs

| Transcript ID | Log2Foldchange | Rice gene annotation |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|
| Os01g61990.1* | 1.42 | ankyrin repeat-containing protein, OsNPR4 |
| Os03g08520.1* | 2.79 | DUF581 domain containing protein |
| Os03g41330.1* | −1.59 | DUF260 domain containing protein |
| Os02g25700.1* | −1.31 | O-acyltransferase |
| Os03g08720.1* | −1.57 | transferase family protein |
| Os04g43710.1* | −1.23 | CAMK, Ca/CaM-dependent protein kinases |
| Os06g11030.1* | 2.13 | EF hand family protein |
| Os08g42940.1* | 3.47 | DC1 domain containing protein |
| Os12g43870.1* | 3.05 | expressed protein |

Rice seedling roots samples of medium Cd stress (50 μM) for 1 h and 24 h (designated MCd1 and MCdD, respectively), high Cd pressure (100 μM) for 24 h (labeled as Cd24h) and 1 h (Cd1h, prepared in our lab), and their corresponding control are compared in couples to analyze DEGs using the limma package. The DEGs marked with stars are the uniquely identified DEGs in our RNAseq samples (Cd1h). Those marked with pound signs were also upregulated by medium and low Cd stress in rice seedling roots in 3 previous reports [21, 27, 48], and those with triangle were regulated uniformly by Cd treatments in the two high-throughput studies [21, 27], whereas those labeled M were detected only in the microarray analysis [21]. Those labeled C were general stress-responsive genes that were commonly upregulated by multiple stresses as previously reported [27]. Rice genes with experimentally verified functions are presented in bold fonts, and the homologous DEGs can be categorized into orthologous groups are presented with APK_ORTHOMCL number [86].
unrelated substrates, including auxinic compounds and Cd; thus, it confers heavy metal resistance as a Cd extrusion pump [12], strengthening the potential of employing ABCG36/OsPDR9 for genetic improvement of Cd tolerance in rice. Besides its mechanism as a pump to exclude lead, AtPDR12 functions as a plasma-membrane ABA-uptake transporter [53].

In addition to the upregulated PDR-type transporters, 4 members of the MATE efflux family were rapidly upregulated in 1-h Cd-treated rice roots (Table 1).

MATE proteins play a role in aluminum tolerance by mediating citric acid efflux from root cells to chelate Al [54]. Functional MATE homologs associated with Al tolerance were identified in Arabidopsis [55], Medicago truncatula [56], sorghum [57], barley [58, 59], wheat [60], maize [61], and rice (OsFRDL4, Os01g69010) [62]. In Arabidopsis, the MATE-related transporter DETOXIFICATION 1 (AtDTX1) has been described as an efflux transporter that detoxifies plant-derived or exogenous toxic compounds including Cd from the cytoplasm [63]. Interestingly, a recent study on mammalian MATEs has provided the first evidence that MATE transporters are involved in the cellular transport and detoxification of Cd [64]. Therefore, it would be worth investigating the role of the Cd-induced plant MATE transporters in combating Cd toxicity in planta.

Ten of 11 heavy metal-associated domain containing proteins (HMADs) were rapidly upregulated in Cd-treated rice roots (Table 1).

HMADs, such as AtHMAD1 [65] and heavy metal-associated isoprenylated plant proteins (HIPPs) metallochaperones [66], have been suggested play roles in metal binding and/or transport, thus regulating various processes under (a) biotic stress [65]. The main hypothesis for the mechanism of Cd homeostasis is that HIPPs protect the plant by trapping free Cd ion (via the CXXC core motif), thus preventing the ion from binding to a more essential protein [66, 67]. Whether these 10 Cd-upregulated rice HMADs are involved in Cd homeostasis and detoxification is of particular interesting.

With regard to the Cd-downregulated divalent cations transporters (Table 1, Additional file 7: Figure S3), 4 ZRT/IRT-like protein genes (OsZIP4, OsZIP5, OsZIP9, and OsZIP10) were consistently upregulated in the overexpression OsHMA3 line [19].

Overexpression of OsHMA3 enhanced the tolerance to Cd toxicity by increasing vacuolar sequestration of Cd in the roots, meanwhile, OsHMA3 is also responsible for vacuolar sequestration of Zn, but the translocation of Zn to the shoots is maintained by up-regulating these ZIP genes implicated in Zn uptake and translocation in the OsHMA3-overexpressed line [19].

Cd absorption from the soil is thought to occur mainly via ZIP family transporters in Zn/Cd-hyperaccumulators [10]. Among these, IRT1 protein is a broad-range metal ion transporter in plants. Arabidopsis AtIRT1 has previously been shown to transport Fe, Mn, Zn, Co, and Cd, whereas rice OsIRT1 and its homolog in barley HvIRT1 is able to transport Fe, Zn, and Cd [68–70]. However, OsIRT1 was neglected in 2 previous Cd-treated root transcriptomic studies, and it was found here to be suppressed by Cd treatment (Table 1, Additional file 2: Table S2). Moreover, the over-expression of OsIRT1 led to increased iron and zinc accumulation in rice, but Cd and other metal content in flag leaves and mature seeds from transgenic plants did not differ from those of WT plants, both growing in a paddy field not severely contaminated by Cd [69]. These findings again emphasize the potential use of these 4 Cd-suppressed ZIPs in Cd-contaminated soil to balance micronutrient fortification and toxicity reduction, which might be achieved by overexpressing them in rice.

Universal Cd-responsive genes in rice roots
To determine the universal Cd-responsive genes in rice ’Nipponbare’ roots, we simultaneously performed a DEGs assay and gene co-expression network analysis. This combined approach produced 271 DEGs, which were putative universal Cd-responsive genes, and 164 DEGs of these with annotation information could be mapped to the MapMan pathway network (Table 2, Fig. 5, Additional file 11: Table S5).

Twelve mappable universal Cd-responsive DEGs were upregulated uniformly in previously published 3 reports including 2 microarray analyses, with the 2 lowest Cd treatments at the 3-h time point (Table 2, Additional file 11: Table S5) [21, 48], which demonstrated their high sensitivity to a wide range of Cd stresses across diverse time points. These included OsOPR5 and OsJAZ12 in JA signaling, the intensively studied SNAC1, 2 ZFPs, and ABCG36/OsPDR9. It is noteworthy that 14 universal Cd-responsive members are also novel DEGs only identified in this study through combining the 2 approaches (Table 2, Additional file 2: Table S2), and these include 2 experimentally verified genes, OsJAZ9 and CYP94C2b, involved in JA signaling [71–73].

From the perspective of global pathway distribution, 3 OPRs for JA biosynthesis and 4 OsJAZs for JA signaling were uniformly upregulated in all Cd-treated rice root samples (Fig. 5, Table 2). The universal Cd-responsive OsOPR1 identified here was also rapidly upregulated in leaves by heavy metals, Cu, Cd, and mercury [73]. Additionally, 2 maize zmOPRs were significantly upregulated in 2 maize genotypes following Cd stress [26]. Interestingly, CYP94C2b, in which overexpression can alleviate the JA response through inactivating JA and enhancing salt tolerance in rice [71], is also a universal Cd-responsive gene. Besides 3 OPRs and CYP94C2b for bioactive JA
control, 4 OsJAZs for JA signaling were also shown to be universal Cd-responsive genes. As was expected, these 4 OsJAZs were also induced significantly by medium-term of medium Cd treatment (60 μM for 6 h) [28]. Among these, the stress-inducible OsJAZ9 acts as a transcriptional regulator in JA signaling and modulates salt stress tolerance in rice, and OsJAZ9-suppression rice plants exhibited increased sensitivity to JA, resulting in reduced salt tolerance [72, 73].

With regard to universal Cd-responsive TFs (Table 2, Fig. 5, Additional file 11: Table S5), SNAC1/OsNAC19 can be more strongly induced by exogenous application of JA than by ABA and ethylene [75], and OsWRKY10 can be strongly upregulated after JA treatment [76]. Collectively, the synchronized expression patterns of OsOPRs, OsJAZs, and JA-responsive TFs genes (SNAC1 and OsWRKY10) clearly indicate that the JA signaling pathway is one of the crucial elements in the plant response to Cd stress [21, 48]. Further identification of the upstream and downstream relationships between JA biosynthesis, and these TFs may contribute to elucidating the role of the JA-mediated signaling pathways underlying the responses of plants to Cd stress.

Beside SNAC1 and OsWRKY10, 7 ZFPs were also universally upregulated under 4 Cd exposure conditions (Table 2, Additional file 11: Table S5). Several ZFPs have been demonstrated to regulate plant tolerance to heavy metals. Among them, bean PvMTF-1 confers Cd tolerance in tobacco through activation of tryptophan biosynthesis, and the zinc finger-like motif is essential for the metal-responsive element binding of PvMTF-1 [77]. Maize zinc finger protein OXIDATIVE STRESS2 can enhance Cd tolerance in Arabidopsis [78], and Arabidopsis zinc-finger transcription factor ZAT6 positively regulates Cd tolerance through the glutathione-dependent pathway [79]. Arabidopsis STOP1 (sensitive to proton rhizo-toxicity 1) operates in the signal transduction pathway controlling acid-soil tolerance [80]. Another zinc finger ART1 (for Al resistance transcription factor 1) regulates 31 genes implicated in Al tolerance in rice [81]. Regarding the 7 universal Cd-responsive ZFPs, ZFP182 was involved in ABA-induced antioxidant defense and its overexpression significantly enhanced salt, cold, and drought tolerance in rice [82, 83].

Since these transcription factors might trigger the expression of Cd detoxification genes and, thus, converge Cd stress signals, further investigation on them and their downstream targets involved in Cd response will aid to unveil ZFPs-mediated regulatory networks in rice under Cd stress.

Cd exposure somewhat perturbs the expression of genes in drought, high salinity, and low-temperature stress-signaling pathways; therefore, 9% of Cd-upregulated transcripts, including SNAC1 and ZFP252, were commonly upregulated among the 4 stresses [27]. Interestingly, 60 of the 164 universal Cd-responsive DEGs were Cd-upregulated and also commonly upregulated by the 4 stresses mentioned above (Table 2, Additional file 11: Table S5, Additional file 6: Dataset 1); therefore, these subset of 60 universal Cd-upregulated DEGs can be considered general stress-responsive genes. These include OsNCED4 for ABA synthesis, OsOPRI for JA synthesis, OsJAZ12 and OsJAZ13 in JA signaling, 2 GSTs, and 7 TFs genes (SNAC1, OsBIERF3, 1 MYB, and 4 ZFPs) (Table 2). Regarding that overexpression of stress-inducible TFs can increase plant tolerance to multiple abiotic stresses, so upregulation of these general stress-responsive TFs could be useful for genetic improvement of crop tolerance to various abiotic stresses including diverse Cd pressure conditions.

**Cd stress-specific responsive genes**

Except the 60 general stress-responsive genes, 104 universal Cd-regulated were not general stress-responsive and 14 of them were not reported to be Cd-responsive previously (Table 2; Additional file 11: Table S5). Among these 14 novel Cd-responsive DEGs, Os04g33390 is the ortholog of Arabidopsis arogenate dehydratase 3 (ADT3). In plants, the arogenate pathway mediated by ADT isoforms is predominant in Phe biosynthesis [84], and ADT3 supply of Phe is required to control reactive oxygen species (ROS) concentration and distribution to protect cellular components [85]. These indicate the potential role of this rice ADT3 ortholog in buffering and restricting ROS under Cd pressure.

Regarding the 104 Cd-specific regulated genes, several DEGs could be categorized into orthologous groups of model plants [86] (Table 2; Additional file 11: Table S5).

Of the 49 rice OsPMEIs, OsPMEI46 and OsPMEI28 are in the same orthologous group APK ORTHOMCL14694 [87], and these two PMEIs are all upregulated by Cd treatment, though OsPMEI28 (Os08g01630) is only in the list of short term High Cd-upregulated DEGs (Additional file 2: Table S2).

The activity of PME, responsible for catalyzing the demethylation of pectin in cell walls, is positively related to the binding of Cd and Al in plants [88]. Overexpression of one PME inhibitor (OsPMEI28) in rice resulted in dwarf phenotypes, and the cell wall thickness of culms of transgenic rice was greatly decreased [87]. Therefore, the roles of the two Cd-upregulated rice PMEIs in Cd stress tolerance and/or response need further investigation, especially the universal Cd-upregulated OsPMEI46 should gain more attention.

Plant MMPs (matrix metalloproteases) have been implicated in programmed cell death, and in response to biotic and abiotic stresses [89–91]. Tomato Sl2- and Sl3-MMP act upstream of P69B (an extracellular protease of
the subtilase family) in an extracellular proteolytic cascade that contributes to the regulation of cell death [90]. In 4-week-old Arabidopsis plants, At2-MMP was induced in leaves by Cd or methyl JA and in roots by NaCl; however, Cd inhibited its expression in inflorescence and leaves of 10-week-old plants [90, 92].

As At2-MMP, the two rice MMPs in group APK_ORTHOMCL1642 are not general stress-responsive, but they are universal Cd-upregulated genes in rice roots (Table 2). Therefore, the identification of the substrates of these proteases will provide further insight into the mechanisms of cell death control under heavy metal stress.

Phytocyanins (PCs) are ancient blue copper binding proteins function as electron transporters, and plastocyanins as well as uclacyanins are typical family members of PCs [93, 94]. Moreover, PCs may also function in enhancing resistance to stress [93]. Overexpression of an Arabidopsis blue copper binding gene (AtBCB) could confer Al resistance in Arabidopsis [95]. Transgenic tobacco lines overexpressing an early nodulin-like protein gene from Boea crassifolia (BeBCP1) showed enhanced tolerance to osmotic stress [96]. Amplification of the PC genes in monocot plants (e.g. maize and rice) was suggested to be a mechanism of tolerance to harmful stresses [93]. Here, 3 members of the plastocyanin-type PCs in the orthologous group APK_ORTHOMCL3089 are universal Cd-upregulated genes (Table 2). Furthermore, the other member within this group (Os08g04340) is also upregulated by high-Cd stress (Additional file 2: Table S2). So, addressing whether these Cd-upregulated rice PCs play key roles in Cd tolerance is valuable.

**Conclusion**

To obtain more information from the RNAseq data on Cd-treated rice roots, the WGCNA package was employed to dissect the Cd-coupled co-expression gene modules from the filtered 22,080 transcripts across 17 RNAseq samples, including data from several published studies. Combined with the differential expression information, 164 universal Cd-responsive DEGs were identified as functioning under different concentrations of Cd across diverse time points.

More importantly, 104 of the 164 DEGs, including ABCG36/OsPDR9 and OsNAC3, might specifically respond to various Cd pressures, and 14 members of these are also novel DEGs, including OsJAZ9, OsNPR4 and Os04g33390 (encoding prephenate dehydratase), only identified in this study through integrative analysis. Few studies have been performed on these Cd-specific responsive DEGs; because they are promising novel candidate genes, more systemic investigation on them is needed to gain a better understanding of plant responses to Cd stress.

**Methods**

**Rice seedlings cultivation, Cd treatment, and samples preparation**

The seedlings of rice (Oryza sativa spp. japonica cv. Nipponbare) were cultivated in a hydroponic system (Kimura B nutrient solution) [97] in a growth chamber with a temperature of 28/22 °C (day/night), photosynthetic active radiation of 200 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹, and a photo-period of 14/10 h (day/night). All hydroponic solutions were continuously aerated and renewed every 3 days. When the third leaves were fully expanded, the seedlings were transferred into fresh growing solutions containing 100 μM CdCl₂ for 1 h. Following Cd treatment, roots were collected from rice seedlings of uniform size and separated into three groups: untreated 0 h, untreated 1 h, and Cd treated 1 h (labeled as ck0h, CK1h and Cd1h, respectively). All experiments were performed at least twice with 3 biological replicates each, and 3 replication samples for each treatment were mixed into one for RNAseq analysis, as described previously [1, 2, 27].

**Rice roots RNA isolation, RNAseq library preparation and sequencing**

Total RNA for RNAseq was extracted from rice seedling roots using a plant RNA kit (Omega, USA), and total RNA samples were then sent to Novogene Corporation (https://en.novogene.com/) for sequencing. Sequencing libraries were generated according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Illumina). Then the libraries were sequenced on an Illumina Hiseq 2000 platform and 100 bp paired-end reads were generated.

The process including RNA isolation, RNAseq library preparation and sequencing was repeated twice as 2 biological replicates. After the adaptor and low-quality sequences of pair-end reads were trimmed, a total of 32.63 Gb clean data from 6 cDNA libraries (labeled as ck0h, CK1h and Cd1h, each with 2 replicates) were obtained. Over 86% of the clean reads had scores at the Q30 level (Additional file 1: Table S1).

**Mapping pair-end reads to the rice reference genome**

A comprehensive analysis pipeline was formulated and our workflow for analysis of RNAseq data was illustrated in Fig. 1. In brief, two ‘Tuxedo’ packages, TopHat-Cufflinks [98] and HISAT-StringTie-Ballgown [99], were utilized in parallel to process the RNAseq data and output per kilobase transcript per million reads mapped (FPKM) matrix for differential expression analysis (Fig. 1). The rice reference genome and gene model annotation files (MSU6) were downloaded from Illumina’s iGenomes project (support.illumina.com/sequencing/sequencing_software/igenome.html) directly. For the 6 rice roots samples (ck0h, CK1h and Cd1h, each with replicates) prepared in our lab, the clean reads per sample were aligned to the rice reference
pipeline for differential expression was performed with TopHat2 (v2.0.11). For each sample, the mapped reads were then assembled into transcripts with Cufflinks package (v2.2.1). Index of the reference genome was built using Bowtie (v2.2.2) and paired-end clean reads were aligned to the reference genome using TopHat2. After alignment, Cufflinks was employed to assemble the transcripts. Gene- and transcript-level expression values were represented by FPKM and were measured with Cufflinks. Then the Cuffdiff was performed to evaluate the differential expression of genes and transcripts across various conditions (Fig. 1). A stringent criteria (fold-change ≥ 2 and q_value ≤ 0.05) was used to screen DEGs between each set of compared samples. MapMan (v3.6.0 RC1) was then used to annotate and subsequently visualize the DEGs on metabolic pathways [100].

**Preprocessing public transcriptomic datasets of Cd-stressed rice roots**

The RNAseq data (SRP053169, prepared in our lab) representing early transcriptomic response to high-Cd exposure (100 μM) were re-analyzed in combination with those published RNAseq data of Cd-treated rice seedling roots (DRP001141 and SRP058434, Additional file 1: Table S1), particularly from rice samples of medium Cd stress (50 μM) for 1 h and 24 h (designated MCd1 and MCdD, respectively) (single-end, each with 3 replicates, and only 0 h control ck0 available) [27], and high Cd pressure (100 μM) for 24 h (labeled as Cd24h) (pair-end, without replicate and the corresponding control ck24h available) [1]. In total, 17 datasets consisting of 3 time points and 3 concentrations of Cd were preprocessed by the ‘new Tuxedo’ RNAseq analysis package HISAT-StringTie-Ballgown (Fig. 1).

The RNAseq reads for each sample were mapped to the rice reference genome (MSU6) using HISAT2, and the output SAM files were sorted and converted to BAM files using SAMtools (version 0.1.19). Then the sorted alignments were assembled into transcripts and the expression levels of all genes and transcripts were estimated using StringTie. Similarly, StringTie merge function was performed after assembling each sample, then the merged transcripts are fed back to StringTie one more time so that it can re-estimate the transcript abundances using the merged structures, meanwhile create table counts for Ballgown [99].

Considering that some sample groups with less than three replicates or being single-end sequenced, the expression (FPKM values) matrix of all transcripts from Ballgown was extracted directly to analyze DEGs using the limma package [101]. The voom transformation was applied to the read counts. After this, the usual limma pipelines for differential expression was performed with the default stringent criteria (fold-change ≥ 2 and adj.P.Val ≤ 0.05).

**Co-expression network analysis of Cd-stressed roots transcriptomes with WGCNA package**

To reveal potential Cd-responsive modules in the public temporal transcriptome data of roots under various Cd pressures, the WGCNA software package in R was used to construct gene co-expression network of Cd-stressed rice roots from the normalized log2-transformed FPKM matrix from the Ballgown output mentioned above. To be included in the WGCNA workflow, transcript isoforms needed to have no more than 50% missing data [40]. Based on these criteria, there were 22,080 transcripts filtered for WGCNA unsigned co-expression network analysis (Fig. 1).

WGCNA network construction and module detection was conducted using an unsigned type of topological overlap matrix (TOM) with a soft-thresholding power β of 7 (R² > 0.7), a minimal module size of 30 and a branch merge cut height of 0.25, as described in previous reports [30, 33, 37, 43, 102].

**Additional files**

- **Additional file 1:** Table S1. RNAseq information of rice roots under various Cd stresses conditions. (XLSX 12 kb)
- **Additional file 2:** Table S2. Cd-responsive 1772 differentially expressed genes transcripts isoforms (DEGs) in rice roots exposed to Cd for 1 h. (XLSX 186 kb)
- **Additional file 3:** Table S3. Primers for quantitative RT-PCR. (XLSX 9 kb)
- **Additional file 4:** Figure S1. Primers for quantitative RT-PCR of 8 randomly selected DEGs expression in in rice roots exposed to Cd for 1 h. Actin-1 (LOC4333919) was used to standardize transcript levels in each sample. The primers used in the qRT-PCR experiments are listed in additional Table S3. (PPT 75 kb)
- **Additional file 5:** Figure S2. A Venn diagram showing the intersections of Cd-responsive 1772 DEGs and those identified in previous two reports. Rice roots exposed to 1 h of Cd treatment (Cd1h) and its control (ck1h) are sampled in our lab. The published rice roots RNAseq data of medium Cd stress for 1 h (MCd1) [27], and the microarray analysis of low Cd stress for 3 h (lowCd) [21] are listed in Additional file 6: Dataset 1. (PPT 373 kb)
- **Additional file 6:** Dataset 1. Rice roots short-term Cd-responsive DEGs identified in previous three reports. (XLSX 621 kb)
- **Additional file 7:** Table S4. Transport overview of 1772 DEGs in rice roots under Cd stress. DEGs were selected for the metabolic pathways analysis using the MapMan software (v3.6.0RC1). The colored boxes indicate the Log2 ratio of Cd1h/ck1h (1 h of Cd treated and untreated rice roots, respectively). (PPT 282 kb)
- **Additional file 8:** Dataset 2. The FPKM matrix of 22,080 rice genes transcripts across 17 RNAseq samples. (TXT 2098 kb)
- **Additional file 9:** Dataset 3. Four DEGs datasets (MCd1 vs ck0, MCdD vs ck0, Cd24h vs ck24h, Cd1h vs ck1h) output by limma package. (XLSX 1903 kb)
- **Additional file 10:** Table S4. One thousand eight hundred sixty-eight rice genes and transcripts in the Cd response-specific module generated by WGCNA. (XLSX 47 kb)
Additional file 11: Table S5. The expression of 164 universal Cd-responsive DEGs in Cd response-specific module across 4 DEGs datasets. (XLSX 38 kb)
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