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Abstract

Background: There is widespread concern around the rising rates of cesarean births (CBs), especially among first-time mothers, despite evidence suggesting increased morbidities after birth by cesarean. There are uncertainties around factors associated with rising rates of CBs among first-time mothers in Ireland, and insight into these is essential for understanding the rising trend in CBs. Therefore, this study aimed to identify the factors associated with CBs in nulliparous women.

Methods: A prospective cohort study was conducted in three maternity hospitals in the Republic of Ireland between 2012 and 2017. Data were collected from 3047 nulliparous women using self-administered surveys antenatally and at 3 months postpartum and from consenting women’s hospital records (n = 2755) and analyzed using the Poisson regression to assess associations between demographic and clinical factors and the main outcome measures, planned and unplanned CBs.

Results: Common risk factors for planned and unplanned CBs were being aged ≥40 years, being in private care, multiple pregnancy, and fetus in breech or other malpresentations. An unplanned CB occurred for 22.43% (n = 377/1681) of women who did not have induction of labor (IOL) or who had IOL with no epidural, but the risk was about twice as high for women who had IOL and epidural.

Conclusions: Findings confirm multifactorial reasons for CB and the challenge of reversing the increasing CB rate if maternal age, overweight/obesity, infertility treatment, multiple pregnancy, and preexisting hypertension in Ireland continue to increase. There is a need to address prelabor interventions, especially IOL combined with epidural analgesia with respect to unplanned CB.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Internationally, rates of cesarean birth (CB) continue to rise despite universal consensus that such frequent use of CB cannot be medically justified. In Europe, rates vary from as low as 16.1% (Iceland) to as high as 56.9% (Cyprus), with 27 countries at a CB rate above 20%. Analysis of data from 121 countries indicated a relative annual increase of CB by 4.4% between 1990 (6.7%) and 2014 (19.1%). CB rates in the Republic of Ireland, although in the European average range, rose from 27% in 2010 to the latest rates at 34.3% in 2019, a 27% increase. There are unexplained variations in rates between the 19 maternity units in Ireland with a wide variation from 27% to 41%, and a rate of 36.1% for first-time mothers; more than half of first-time mothers giving birth by cesarean in one of the maternity units. Ireland is a small country, with a homogeneous population, and the highest CB rates are not seen in the larger urban sites where women with more complex needs may attend. Previous Irish research has shown clearly that first-time mothers attending a consultant obstetrician privately are more than twice as likely to have a CB, despite the fact that these women do not have a higher at-risk profile. In Turner et al’s study, the higher rates of CB were attributed to women choosing elective CB, being reluctant to take risk, and being able to afford health insurance to choose continuity of care from a senior obstetrician.

Rising rates of CB among first-time mothers (nulliparous women) raise concerns because of the added risk of repeat CBs in subsequent pregnancies, and with evidence suggesting an increased risk of short-term or long-term complications after CB for mothers and babies compared with vaginal births.

Several factors are associated with the rising rates of CB. An increase in CB among nulliparous women has been associated with increasing risk factors such as advanced maternal age, obesity, previous treatment for infertility and hypertension/pregnancy-induced hypertension, or maternal age. There is consensus around clinical reasons for CB, such as labor dystocia, fetal distress, and acute clinical emergency (eg, severe antepartum hemorrhage or umbilical cord prolapse). Although fetal breech presentation continues to be a leading clinical reason to perform CBs, there is a strong emphasis on the use of external cephalic version (ECV) to reduce the rate. Despite evidence around the positive impact on reducing rates of CB by reducing the number of inductions of labor (IOL), this continues to be another major factor contributing to high rates of CB. There is mixed evidence around most of these factors such as maternal characteristics (age and BMI), labor interventions (eg, IOL), and systems-level characteristics (eg, private health care); thus, it was essential to confirm the findings from Irish data. It is important to replicate these results in the Irish context to, first, improve maternity care within Ireland through advancing knowledge by exploring clinical scenarios, and to second, add additional evidence and revisit policies, which in turn could have implications for whether efforts to reduce CB might be generalizable from one country to another. It is also essential to acknowledge that factors that lead to CBs in first-time mothers influence decision-making for the future and subsequent mode of births. Therefore, the objective of this study was to identify the combination of prepregnancy, pregnancy, and intrapartum factors, nonclinical and clinical, and possible patterns, associated with CB in nulliparous women in the Republic of Ireland.

2 METHODS

This prospective cohort study was conducted after ethics approval from the university and the three study sites in the Republic of Ireland between 2012 and 2017. The population in these settings included women from urban and...
rural areas, with both high and low obstetric and medical risks. All eligible nulliparous women aged 18 years or older, who could read and understand English, received the study information from the midwives at the first antenatal booking clinics and verbally consented to be contacted by the researcher 1-2 weeks later to answer their questions. Women who were willing to participate were asked to complete and post the antenatal survey and the consent form using the addressed envelope. Women were followed up by a phone call and text message. The participant flowchart presents the retention and response rates up to 3 months postpartum (Figure 1). Data were collected using the Maternal health And Maternal Morbidity in Ireland (MAMMI) study questionnaires (available at https://www.tcd.ie/mammi/gdpr.html), which gathered information on women’s prepregnancy and early pregnancy health antenatally and at 3, 6, 9, and 12 months postpartum. The MAMMI study questionnaires had been tested for face and content validity, and reliability, and then piloted. Data storage and processing complied with the European Data Protection regulation, General Data Protection Regulations (GDPR) 2018 (https://gdpr-info.eu/). A total of 3047 women were recruited to the study. Data from women who had late miscarriages or fetal deaths were excluded from the analysis. The questionnaire data were merged with the hospital records data (completed by clinicians) from consenting women after checking and cleaning of the individual databases. Preparation of the data involved spot checking (10% of the total surveys), thorough cleaning of variables, recoding (into binary variables), recategorizing (eg, into three BMI categories), and creating new variables (eg, creating clinical scenarios outlined in Figure 2).

Women attending for all types of maternity care at the site hospitals were included in the study. There are three maternity care packages available to women at two study sites (public, semiprivate, and private maternity care), and two packages at one of the study sites (public and private maternity care). Public care is free to all women who are residents in the Republic of Ireland. Women who choose semiprivate and private care pay a fee, which is not covered by their private health insurance. In the public and semiprivate system, women book for their maternity care in their chosen maternity unit, and their care during pregnancy, intrapartum, and postpartum period is provided by midwives and the team of obstetricians. During pregnancy, the care is shared between the hospital and general practitioners (GPs). Women who choose private care can book directly with a consultant obstetrician in their chosen maternity unit, and the consultant obstetrician is directly responsible for decision-making and their care.

2.1 | Statistical analyses

Of the total number of women recruited to the study (n = 3047), women who had completed the antenatal survey and consented for access to their hospital records

---

**Figure 2** Clinical scenarios and the risk of unplanned cesarean birth
(n = 2755/3047, 90.4%) were included in the analysis. Prepregnancy factors (maternal age, prepregnancy BMI, medical conditions such as any diabetes, hypertension, and asthma, and treatment for infertility) sourced from the antenatal survey, pregnancy factors (type of care, number of fetus[es], gestational age at birth, and presentation of fetus at birth), and intrapartum factors (IOL, IV oxytocin in labor, and epidural for pain management) sourced from hospital records of consenting women were identified from literature and included in the analysis to explore possible associations with planned and unplanned CB. Data for the variables were obtained either from the questionnaire or from the medical record, but not both. Mode of birth was the outcome (dependent) variable in this analysis.

Sample representativeness was assessed by comparing data on socio-demographic characteristics and pregnancy and birth details with routinely collected national perinatal data. Prepregnancy, pregnancy, and birth details of women in the study (n = 3047) are presented in Table 1 (Table S1—Additional socio-demographic characteristics). Study participants were representative of socio-demographic characteristics of women birthing in Ireland, that is, being Irish by birth and married, singleton pregnancy, IOL and spontaneous vaginal birth (SVB), and CB. However, women aged up to 24 years and ≥40 years are slightly underrepresented, and women aged 35–39 years overrepresented. In relation to rates of epidural for pain management in labor, women in the study (nulliparous) from all three study sites were, understandably, not representative when compared to the national statistics, which presents data on all women and not for nulliparous and multiparous women separately (Table 1).

3.2 Mode of birth

A total of 90.4% of the cohort of women recruited to the study had consented for access to their medical records (n = 2755/3047), and of these, approximately one-third (888/2755, 32.2%) had a CB (planned n = 166, 6% and unplanned n = 722, 26.2%) similar to the CB rates for nulliparous women from the three study sites. Compared with the national statistics, women in the study were representative of SVBs and CBs (planned and unplanned) and overrepresentative of assisted vaginal births (AVBs) (Table 1) (Table S2—Additional pregnancy and birth details). The most common reason for a planned CB was fetal breech presentation (n = 74/166, 46.7%) and for an unplanned CB was fetal distress (n = 337/722, 46.7%). Macrosomia (13/166, 7.8%) and fetal growth restriction (7/166, 4.2%) accounted for a further 12% of planned CBs (Table S3—Reasons for planned and unplanned CB).

3.3 Factors associated with risk of planned CB

Separate consideration of each prepregnancy and pregnancy factor showed that maternal age, treatment for infertility, type of care, multiple gestation, malpresentation, and preterm gestation were associated with risk of planned CB (Table 2). When these factors were considered together, risk of planned CB was increased for women aged ≥40 years (ARR 2.77, 95% CI 1.52–5.05, P = 0.001), having had treatment for infertility (2.03, [1.38–2.99], P < 0.001), being in semiprivate (1.92, [1.33–2.78], P = 0.001) or private care (2.78, [1.92–4.04], P < 0.001), and having a multiple gestation (2.81, [1.48–5.35], P < 0.05) and a breech or other malpresentations.
When women with multiple gestation, preterm gestation, or malpresentation were excluded, the associations were similar, but the effects of age were stronger; for example, ARR for women ≥40 years of age was 6.13 (2.06–18.27, $P = 0.001$).

### 3.4 Factors associated with risk of unplanned CB

Bivariate analysis of each prepregnancy, pregnancy, and intrapartum factor showed that maternal age, prepregnancy

#### Table 1: Study participant characteristics compared with national data

| Socio-demographic characteristics | Study participants (all nulliparous) | Perinatal statistics report<sup>18</sup> |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
|                                  | Frequency (%)                       | Frequency (%)                            |
| Maternal age                     |                                     |                                          |
| Up to 24 years                   | 239 7.8                             | 6327 9.9                                 |
| 25-29 years                      | 620 20.4                            | 11431 17.8                               |
| 30-34 years                      | 1316 43.2                           | 23078 36                                 |
| 35-39 years                      | 734 24.1                            | 18829 29.4                               |
| ≥40 years                        | 138 4.5                             | 4133 6.4                                 |
| Total                            | 3047 100                            | 64097 (all parities)                    |
| Region of birth                  |                                     |                                          |
| Irish                            | 2106 70.2                           | 48937 76.3                               |
| Europe (excluding Ireland and the United Kingdom) | 568 18.9                           | 8761 13.7                               |
| United Kingdom                   | 137 4.6                             | 1463 2.3                                 |
| America                          | 44 1.5                              | 773 1.2                                  |
| Asia                             | 77 2.6                              | 2344 3.7                                 |
| Africa                           | 53 1.8                              | 1445 2.7                                 |
| Australia and other Oceania      | 14 0.5                              | 81 (Australia) and 269 (not stated) 0.5 |
| Total                            | 2999 100                            | 64097 (all parities)                    |
| Relationship status              |                                     |                                          |
| Married                          | 1828 61.0                           | 39882 62.2                               |
| Single                           | 92 3.1                              | 23301 36.4                               |
| In relationship with or without partner | 1046 34.9                           | 21 -                                     |
| Other (divorced, widowed, separated) | 30 1.0                              | 877 1.4                                  |
| Total                            | 2996 100                            | 64097 (all parities)                    |
| Number of fetus(es)              |                                     |                                          |
| Singleton gestation              | 2700 98.0                           | 57204 98.2                               |
| Multiple gestation               | 55 2.0                              | 1068 1.8                                 |
| Total                            | 2755 100                            | 58272 (all parities)                    |
| Induction of labor               |                                     |                                          |
| No                               | 1655 60.3                           | 13330 59                                 |
| Yes                              | 1089 39.7                           | 9261 41                                  |
| Total                            | 2744 100                            | 22591 (nulliparous)                     |
| Epidural for pain management in labor |                                     |                                          |
| No                               | 566 26.7                            | 34627 59.4                               |
| Yes                              | 1554 73.3                           | 23645 40.6                               |
| Total                            | 2120 100                            | 58272 (all parities)                    |
| Mode of birth                    |                                     |                                          |
| Spontaneous vaginal birth        | 926 33.6                            | 8343 36.9                                |
| Assisted vaginal birth           | 941 34.7                            | 6083 26.9                                |
| Planned cesarean                 | 166 6.0                             | 8165 36.1                                |
| Unplanned cesarean birth         | 722 26.2                            | 22591 (nulliparous)                     |
| Total                            | 2755 100                            | 22591 (nulliparous)                     |

Data were missing for country of birth (n = 48), relationship status (n = 51), induction of labor (n = 11), and epidural for pain management in labor (n = 635). Table S1 and S2 present additional socio-demographic characteristics and pregnancy and birth details of participants. The Perinatal Statistics Report does not report all variables by parity and these are presented for nulliparous and multiparous women when available.

(15.99, [11.77–21.74], $P < 0.001$) (Table 2).
BMI, treatment for infertility, hypertension, diabetes, asthma, type of care, multiple gestation, malpresentation, preterm gestation, IOL, and epidural were associated with risk of unplanned CB (Table 3). When considered together, the risk of unplanned CB was increased for women aged ≥40 years (1.76 [1.25-2.46], *P* < 0.001), and those who were overweight (1.58 [1.32-1.90], *P* < 0.001) or obese/very obese (1.29 [1.02-1.64], *P* < 0.05), with preexisting hypertension (1.41 [95% CI] 1.03-1.92, *P* < 0.05) or asthma (1.22 [1.02-1.47], *P* < 0.05), in private care (1.92 [1.33-2.78], *P* < 0.01), and with multiple gestation (1.56 [1.01-2.40], *P* = 0.045), preterm gestation (1.68 [1.27-2.23], *P* < 0.001), and breech or other malpresentations of the fetus at birth (4.54 [3.38-6.09], *P* < 0.001). There was an interaction between IOL and epidural for pain management in labor whereby the risk of unplanned CB was increased for those with IOL (without epidural) (1.57 [1.10-2.23], *P* < 0.05) and for women with epidural (without IOL) (1.28 [1.02-1.62], *P* < 0.05), but the risk was more than doubled for women with both IOL and epidural (2.14 [1.71-2.69], *P* < 0.001). Women aged up to 24 years had less risk of an unplanned CB (0.59 [0.39-0.90], *P* = 0.014). The above associations were very similar in the analysis that excluded women with multiple gestation, preterm gestation, and presentation of fetus at birth.

### Table 2  Factors associated with the risk of planned CB

| Factor                        | Group        | Planned CB (n = 166) | Other modes (n = 2589) | ARR (95% CI) | P-value |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Maternal age                  | Up to 24 years | 6 (2.8%)             | 207 (97.2%)            | 0.63 (0.26-1.53) | 0.306   |
|                               | 25-29 years  | 26 (4.7%)            | 524 (95.3%)           | 1 (Ref)      |         |
|                               | 30-34 years  | 58 (4.9%)            | 1132 (95.1%)          | 1.00 (0.63-1.59) | 0.984   |
|                               | 35-39 years  | 52 (7.7%)            | 623 (92.3%)           | 1.42 (0.88-2.30) | 0.151   |
|                               | ≥40 years    | 24 (18.9%)           | 103 (81.1%)           | 2.77 (1.52-5.05) | 0.001   |
| Prepregnancy BMI              | Ideal weight | 107 (6.0%)           | 1678 (94.0%)          | 1            |         |
|                               | Overweight   | 31 (6.3%)            | 458 (93.7%)           | 1.00 (0.67-1.50) | 0.987   |
|                               | Obese/very obese | 16 (6.0%)        | 252 (94.0%)           | 1.04 (0.62-1.77) | 0.876   |
|                               | Missing      | 12 (5.6%)            | 201 (94.4%)           | 1.01 (0.54-1.89) | 0.983   |
| Treatment for infertility     | No           | 124 (5.1%)           | 2328 (94.9%)          | 1 (Ref)      |         |
|                               | Yes          | 41 (14.0%)           | 253 (86.0%)           | 2.03 (1.38-2.99) | <0.001  |
| Type of care                  | Public       | 77 (4.3%)            | 1718 (95.7%)          | 1 (Ref)      |         |
|                               | Semiprivate  | 45 (7.8%)            | 529 (92.2%)           | 1.92 (1.33-2.78) | 0.001   |
|                               | Private      | 44 (11.4%)           | 342 (88.6%)           | 2.78 (1.92-4.04) | <0.001  |
| Number of fetus(es)           | Singleton gestation | 154 (5.7%)   | 2546 (94.3%)          | 1 (Ref)      |         |
|                               | Multiple gestation | 12 (21.8%)    | 43 (78.2%)            | 2.81 (1.48-5.35) | 0.002   |
| Cephalic presentation         | Cephalic     | 91 (3.5%)            | 2528 (96.5%)          | 1 (Ref)      |         |
|                               | Breech or other malpresentations | 75 (55.2%) | 61 (44.9%)           | 15.99 (11.77-21.74) | <0.001  |
| Gestational age at birth      | Term         | 148 (5.7%)           | 2446 (94.3%)          | 1 (Ref)      |         |
|                               | Preterm      | 18 (11.2%)           | 143 (88.8%)           | 1.17 (0.69-2.00) | 0.554   |

Note: Data were missing for treatment for infertility (n = 9). The model included prepregnancy factors (maternal age, prepregnancy BMI, and treatment for infertility. Preexisting medical conditions were not significantly associated with the risk of a planned CB in bivariable analysis, and hence were not included in the multivariable Poisson regression model. Prepregnancy BMI was not identified to be significantly associated with the risk of planned CB, but it was included in the model because of its clinical importance) and pregnancy factors (type of care, number of fetus(es), gestational age, and presentation of fetus at birth).

Abbreviations: ARR, adjusted risk ratio; CI, confidence interval.

BMI, treatment for infertility, hypertension, diabetes, asthma, type of care, multiple gestation, malpresentation, preterm gestation, IOL, and epidural were associated with risk of unplanned CB (Table 3). When considered together, the risk of unplanned CB was increased for women aged ≥40 years (1.76, [1.25-2.46], *P* < 0.001), and those who were overweight (1.58 [1.32-1.90], *P* < 0.001) or obese/very obese (1.29 [1.02-1.64], *P* < 0.05), with preexisting hypertension (1.41 [95% CI] 1.03-1.92, *P* < 0.05) or asthma (1.22 [1.02-1.47], *P* < 0.05), in private care (1.33 [1.07-1.64], *P* < 0.01), and with multiple gestation (1.56 [1.01-2.40], *P* = 0.045), preterm gestation (1.68 [1.27-2.23], *P* < 0.001), and breech or other malpresentations of the fetus at birth (4.54 [3.38-6.09], *P* < 0.001). There was an interaction between IOL and epidural for pain management in labor whereby the risk of unplanned CB was increased for those with IOL (without epidural) (1.57 [1.10-2.23], *P* < 0.05) and for women with epidural (without IOL) (1.28 [1.02-1.62], *P* < 0.05), but the risk was more than doubled for women with both IOL and epidural (2.14 [1.71-2.69], *P* < 0.001). Women aged up to 24 years had less risk of an unplanned CB (0.59 [0.39-0.90], *P* = 0.014). The above associations were very similar in the analysis that excluded women with multiple gestation, preterm gestation, or malpresentation.

### 3.5  Clinical scenarios associated with the risk of unplanned CB

The frequency of IOL, epidural, and oxytocin is detailed in Figure 2. Of the 2589 women who did not have a planned CB, 42.0% (n = 1087) had their labor induced. The most common reasons for IOL were post-term gestation (341/1087, 31.4%), prolonged rupture of membranes (242/1087, 22.3%), and pregnancy-induced hypertension/preclampsia (109/1087, 10.0%) (Table S4). Over one-third of the women whose labor was induced had an unplanned CB (388/1087, 35.7%), whereas this was the case for 22.2% (334/1502) of the women with no IOL.

No significant difference was observed in the risk of unplanned CB between Scenarios 1 and 6, which represent women who did not have IOL or who had IOL.
without epidural. Considered together, 1681 women (1681/2589, 64.9%) were in this low intervention clinical scenario (clinical scenario i) and 22.4% (377/1681) of them had an unplanned CB. In contrast, risk of an unplanned CB for women who had an IOL and epidural was 45.5% (66/145) if they did not have IV oxytocin (clinical scenario ii) and 36.4% (276/759) if they had IV oxytocin (clinical scenario iii). This increased risk remained even after adjusting for prepregnancy and pregnancy factors (Table 4). Women who had IOL and epidural had twice the risk if they did not have IV oxytocin (2.06, [1.57-2.69], P<0.001) and 70% higher risk if they did have IV oxytocin (1.70 [CI 1.44-2.01], P<0.001). The above associations were very similar in the analysis that excluded women with multiple gestation, preterm gestation, or malpresentation.

4 | DISCUSSION

The risk of having a planned CB significantly increased for women who were aged ≥40 years, had treatment for infertility, were in semiprivate and private care, and had multiple gestation and breech or other malpresentation, after adjusting for the prepregnancy and pregnancy factors.
Women aged ≥40 years, being overweight and obese/very obese prepregnancy, with preexisting hypertension or asthma, in private care, with multiple gestation and breech or other malpresentations, and who had IOL and epidural with or without the use of IV oxytocin in labor had a significantly increased risk of birthing by an unplanned CB, after controlling for prepregnancy and pregnancy factors.

In recent years, changing maternal characteristics and risk profiles, such as increasing maternal age and high BMI, treatment for infertility,4,10,22 are frequently reported as being associated with the increase in CBs. These resonate with our study with twofold increased risk of CB for women aged ≥40 years, one and half times increased risk for women with high BMI and doubled risk for women who had treatment for infertility. Although the change in maternal demographics partly contributes to the rising trend in CBs, this does not fully explain the overall decision-making, and rising CB rates in nulliparous women.4 CB rates in many countries with low rates of CB have stayed at a 15%-18% level for decades,2 despite an increase in average maternal age and obesity.23 The higher rates of CB for women with high BMIs can be attributed to the pathway followed for the management of their labor, involving a greater use of epidural and IV oxytocin in labor, and earlier decisions to perform CB in

| TABLE 4 | Clinical scenarios and the risk of unplanned CBs |

| Factor | Group | Unplanned CB (n = 722) | Vaginal birth (n = 1867) | ARR (95% CI) | P-value |
|--------|-------|------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Case scenario | No IOL or IOL without epidural (with or without oxytocin) | 377 (22.4%) | 1304 (77.6%) | 1 (Ref) |
| IOL, epidural, no oxytocin | 66 (45.5%) | 79 (54.5%) | 2.06 (1.57-2.69) | <0.001 |
| IOL, epidural, oxytocin | 276 (36.4%) | 483 (63.6%) | 1.70 (1.44-2.01) | <0.001 |
| Age group | <25 years | 29 (14%) | 178 (86%) | 0.59 (0.39-0.89) | 0.013 |
| 25-29 years | 127 (24.2%) | 397 (75.8%) | 1 (Ref) |
| 30-34 years | 292 (25.8%) | 840 (74.2%) | 0.99 (0.80-1.23) | 0.958 |
| 35-39 years | 217 (34.8%) | 406 (65.2%) | 1.22 (0.96-1.54) | 0.098 |
| ≥40 years | 57 (55.3%) | 46 (44.7%) | 1.75 (1.25-2.46) | 0.001 |
| Prepregnancy BMI | Ideal weight | 403 (24.0%) | 1275 (76.0%) | 1 (Ref) |
| Overweight | 178 (38.9%) | 280 (61.1%) | 1.57 (1.31-1.88) | <0.001 |
| Obese/very obese | 90 (35.7%) | 162 (64.3%) | 1.31 (1.04-1.67) | 0.025 |
| Missing | 51 (25.4%) | 150 (74.6%) | 1.16 (0.85-1.57) | 0.360 |
| Hypertension | No | 664 (27.1%) | 1783 (72.9%) | 1 (Ref) |
| Yes | 46 (45.5%) | 55 (54.5%) | 1.42 (1.04-1.94) | 0.026 |
| Any diabetes | No | 704 (27.8%) | 1831 (72.2%) | 1 (Ref) |
| Yes | 7 (63.6%) | 4 (36.4%) | 1.61 (0.75-3.42) | 0.219 |
| Asthma | No | 568 (26.6%) | 1567 (73.4%) | 1 (Ref) |
| Yes | 147 (34.5%) | 279 (65.5%) | 1.24 (1.03-1.50) | 0.022 |
| Treatment for infertility | No | 618 (26.5%) | 1710 (73.5%) | 1 (Ref) |
| Yes | 102 (40.3%) | 151 (59.7%) | 0.99 (0.78-1.25) | 0.926 |
| Type of care | Public | 442 (25.7%) | 1276 (74.3%) | 1 (Ref) |
| Semiprivate | 150 (28.4%) | 379 (71.6%) | 1.04 (0.86-1.26) | 0.672 |
| Private | 130 (38.0%) | 212 (62.0%) | 1.28 (1.04-1.59) | 0.022 |
| Number of fetus(es) | Single | 695 (27.3%) | 1851 (72.7%) | 1 (Ref) |
| Multiple | 27 (62.8%) | 16 (37.2%) | 1.57 (1.02-2.41) | 0.042 |
| Gestational age | Term | 650 (26.6%) | 1796 (73.4%) | 1 (Ref) |
| Preterm/very preterm | 72 (50.4%) | 71 (49.7%) | 1.65 (1.25-2.17) | <0.001 |
| Cephalic presentation | Yes | 664 (26.3%) | 1864 (73.7%) | 1 (Ref) |
| No | 58 (95.1%) | 3 (4.9%) | 4.22 (3.16-5.64) | <0.001 |

Note: Data were missing for clinical scenario (ii) (n = 4), hypertension (n = 41), and asthma (n = 28). The model included prepregnancy (maternal age, prepregnancy BMI, and preexisting hypertension and asthma) and pregnancy (type of care, number of fetus(es), gestational age, and fetal presentation at birth) factors that were found to be significantly associated with an unplanned CB on bivariable analysis.

Abbreviations: ARR, adjusted risk ratio; BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; IOL, induction of labor.
the second stage.\textsuperscript{24} This is well supported by clinicians’ views from other studies that have stated that care of women with obesity is complex and challenging, with overmedicalization of intrapartum practices, and have suggested the need to challenge the current practice, promote normality, and optimize vaginal birth among obese women.\textsuperscript{25}

Although limited by lack of data on whether women with breech presentation were offered ECV or were eligible to have ECV before the decision for a CB, a possible limitation of this study, women with fetal breech presentation and other malpresentations were at the highest risk for planned (16 times higher) and unplanned (four and half times higher) CBs consistent with findings from other studies.\textsuperscript{4,26} Despite recommendations from the Health Service Executive (HSE) in Ireland to conduct vaginal breech births,\textsuperscript{27} the practice has remained unchanged in all the maternity units in Ireland. This can be attributed to changes in practice after the publication of findings from the term breech trial.\textsuperscript{28} Although the methodology and findings of the trial were critiqued,\textsuperscript{29} and long-term outcomes of both the babies\textsuperscript{30} and mothers\textsuperscript{31} were shown to be similar in both arms of the trial, the results led to planned CB becoming the favored mode of birth for women with breech presentations. Recent studies have highlighted the need to re-evaluate practices\textsuperscript{14} in order to reduce the number of planned CBs for fetal breech presentation.

There is wide variation in the strength of the relationship between IOL and unplanned CB with ongoing debate around the contribution of IOL to the rising rates of CB for low-risk women. Two systematic reviews of randomized controlled trials in the past have concluded that IOL was associated with a reduced rate of CB among low-risk women,\textsuperscript{32,33} in contrast to the findings of this study. The risk of CB in this study was twice as high for women whose labors were induced, and who used epidural analgesia, with or without IV oxytocin in labor. These findings are consistent with other large observational studies suggesting a doubled risk of unplanned CB with IOL among first-time mothers\textsuperscript{15} illustrating the suspected consequences of the “cascade of intervention.”\textsuperscript{34} Although we did not adjust for reasons or methods of IOL, reasons for and duration of use of IV oxytocin or epidural in labor, and possible limitations, previous studies have shown a significantly increased risk of CB regardless of the method of IOL\textsuperscript{35} and timing of epidural,\textsuperscript{36} and no difference in rates of CB irrespective of the time of onset of IV oxytocin for slow labor (early or late).\textsuperscript{37} Although our study findings do not report indication and time of administration of epidural, the findings suggest a significant association of epidural with CB for women whose labor was induced.

Although there is evidence around IOL being associated with reduced rates of CB (The ARRIVE trial),\textsuperscript{38} in contrast to the findings of the current study, there are recommendations to carefully consider translation of findings from the trial to clinical practice.\textsuperscript{38} However, the association between elements in the cascade of intervention in the current study highlights the need to revisit organizational guidelines on the criteria for selection of women for IOL, use of epidural analgesia and IV oxytocin for IOL, or augmentation of labor, and to introduce evidence-based interventions\textsuperscript{39} designed to reduce CB. The resulting decrease in CBs for nulliparous women will also reduce the number of repeat CBs, with their concomitant ill effects on health-related quality of life.\textsuperscript{40}

Private care is one of the frequently reported factors associated with an increased risk of CB.\textsuperscript{5,6,41} Several studies have explored the underlying reasons for this association. Decision-making in private practice, in some countries, is often described as being related to pay and reimbursement system, the financial incentives associated with CB, and benefits to the consultants; others have attributed it to continuity of care and women being inclined to go with the flow of their consultant obstetricians’ recommendation, or clinicians’ “convenience,”\textsuperscript{41} or women being able to afford health care insurance to choose private and continuity of care by a senior obstetrician.\textsuperscript{6} Findings from this study resonate with what has been reported previously\textsuperscript{41} suggesting a significantly increased risk of both planned and unplanned CBs for women in private care compared with women in public care.

The study findings are possibly limited due to the potential for recruitment bias since the questionnaires were available in English only, which precluded the recruitment of women who did not read or understand English. This proportion is not known due to a lack of data on the use of interpreter services at the time of recruitment. However, the strength of this study lies in its uniqueness of presenting results from 3047 first-time mothers recruited in early pregnancy from the three (two large- and one moderate-sized) maternity hospitals in the Republic of Ireland, which enhanced the generalizability of the findings. Survey data collected prospectively from women in early pregnancy and the high response rate (84% of the total women eligible for follow-up at 3 months postpartum), combined with clinician-reported data collected from hospital records of consenting women, add strength to the findings. Although findings are not adjusted for reasons, length or methods of IOL, and IV oxytocin or epidural, which is a possible limitation, presentation of the risk of having an unplanned CB in the common clinical scenarios and intrapartum interventions added to the discussion of factors associated with CB.

\subsection{4.1 Conclusions}

There are multiple factors associated with the risk of CB. Understanding these factors has the potential to help identify possible explanations for the rising trend in CB
among first-time mothers with the goal of reducing any inappropriate CBs and repeat CBs in future pregnancies. Given the evidence around a significant association between IOL (with other prelabor and labor interventions such as epidural and IV oxytocin) and unplanned CBs, there is an opportunity to address practices around these interventions in order to avoid any unnecessary CBs. This can be achieved through revision of policies, clinical protocols, and guidelines, such as criteria for IOL and offering/performing ECV, conducting regular audits of clinical practice and birth outcomes to ensure adherence to the guidelines for women in all models of care, public and private. Based on the identified risk factors, there is potential for clinicians to develop strategies to reduce CBs safely in nulliparous women, ultimately leading to a reduction in the number of repeat CBs in multiparous women.
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