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Abstract: The number of elderly in the developing countries has been growing at a Phenomenal rate; in 1990 the population of 60 years and above in the developing countries exceeded that in the developed countries. According to present indications, most of this growth will take place in developing countries and over half of it will be in Asia, with the two major population giants of Asia, namely India and China contributing a significant proportion of this growing elderly (1). The elderly population aged 70 and above which was only 8 million in 1961 rose to 21 million in 1991 and to 29 million in 2001 (2). At the same time, due to urbanization and industrialization the elderly are forced to stay in geriatric homes. In geriatric homes more than half of the elderly are suffering from bio physical problems compared to the elderly residing in the community. Mortality rates will be higher among geriatric which is included in the vulnerable group by the year 2020, mainly due to bio physical problems especially cardiac and respiratory illnesses. The respiratory rate is considered as one of the most accessible and important indications of the general condition of a patient. Those problems can be prevented by recent trends in the intervention which includes complimentary therapies especially music therapy. To assess the effect of music therapy on elderly suffering from bio physical problems, who are residing in selected geriatric homes and to associate the effect with selected demographic variables were the objectives. The study was conducted in ‘Little Drops’ old age home (experimental group), and ‘Little Angles’ old age home (control group), Chennai, Tamil Nadu. A Quasi experimental design Pre-test/Post-test Control Group was used. Elderly samples of 101 in experimental group and 100 in control group were selected. Purposive sampling technique was used in selecting the samples. Inclusion criteria were followed, as the samples that were able to hear the music by conducting whisper test. Pre assessment of checking respiratory rate was done before the intervention of administration of music therapy. It was administered to the participants who were included in listening to Indian classical music for about 22 minutes in asymptomatic individuals. Minimal studies were conducted even in developed countries. Clinical Training in the field of geriatrics and gerontology for Para professionals should include administration of complimentary therapies especially music therapy. The study results revealed that, there is a significant relationship between the effect of music therapy and the increased respiratory rate of the elderly; also showed that female gender, married people, people interested in games and people walking had statistically significant reduction in respiratory rate, than their counterparts. The study concludes that training in the field of geriatrics and gerontology for Para professionals in counseling the elderly including music therapy beneficial effects on the problems of the elderly.
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1. Introduction

The number of people who turn 60 each year worldwide is nearly 58 million, equivalent to almost two persons every second. In 2012, people aged 60 or over represent almost 11.5 per cent of our total global population of 7 billion. By 2050, the proportion is projected to nearly double to 22 per cent. (3)

Living arrangements of older people are changing with modernization of societies. These types of arrangements force the elderly to stay in the geriatric homes. Such types of Institutions are mushrooming since 1990s. In 1998, India had 728 old age homes. In 2006 it is increased to 1049(4). Mortality rate among elderly is increasing which is mainly due to bio physical illnesses, for which respiration is the main indicator. This is preventable by administering complementary therapies especially music therapy. (5)

A study was conducted by Samitha Siritunga, Kumudu Wijewardena & et al on the effect of music on blood pressure, pulse rate and respiratory rate of asymptomatic individuals in which maintenance of the respiratory rate within the normal range has also being identified as crucial for a healthy heart. Significant reductions of systolic and diastolic blood pressure, pulse rate and respiratory rate were observed immediately after listening to Indian classical music for about 22 minutes in asymptomatic individuals aged between 45 and 65 years (6).
2. Materials and Methods

Research approach: Quasi-Experimental Research Approach

Research design: Quasi-experimental design Pre-test/Post-test Control Group Design

Settings of the study: ‘Little Drops’ old age home (experimental group), and ‘Little Angles’ old age home (control group), Chennai, Tamil Nadu.

Population: Elderly residing in the geriatric homes

Sample: elderly above 60 years of age, who can be able to read, write; also able to listen to the music.

Sample size: 101 samples in experimental and 100 in control groups who have met the inclusion criteria

Sampling Technique: purposive sampling technique.

Criteria for Selection of Sample:

Inclusion criteria: The inclusion criteria for the present study were:

- Males and females who are above the age group of 60 years.
- Those who can speak either Tamil or English language.
- Those who are willing to participate in this study
- Those who are able to listen to the music by conducting a whisper test.

Exclusion criteria: The exclusion criteria for the present study were:

- Those who are not available at the time of study.
- Those with the disability of deaf and dumb

3. Results and Discussion

The collected data were entered in data sheet and analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics. The distributions of the demographic data of the study participants are more than half the proportion (58.0%) of the elderly were in the age group of 60-70 years. With regard to the gender, males are found more (51%) than the females in the geriatric home (experimental group). Higher proportions (37.6%) of the elderly were widows/widowers. Also higher proportion (39.6%) of the old aged finished their primary schooling.

Majority (67.3%) of were having self income in the form of pension.

Considering **Baseline RESPIRATION**, experiment group aged people are having 21.78 whereas in control group it is 21.98. So the difference between experiment and control group is 0.20, it is small difference and it is not statistically significant.

Considered **1st month RESPIRATION**, experiment group aged people are having 20.90 whereas in control group it is 21.83. So the difference between experiment and control group is 0.93. This difference is large and it is statistically significant.

**Table 1**: Comparison of respiration between experimental and control groups

| Period  | Group          | Student’s Independent T-Test |
|---------|---------------|-----------------------------|
|         | Experiment    | Control                     | Mean  | SD    | Mean  | SD    | t     | p     |
| Baseline| 21.78         | 2.05                        | 21.98 | 2.34  | t=0.64| p=0.52|
| 1st month| 20.90        | 1.76                        | 21.83 | 1.79  | t=3.70| p=0.001***|

(Fig 1)

* Significant at P≤0.05 ** highly significant at P≤0.01 *** very high significant at P≤0.001

**Table no.14**: Baseline, 1st month and 3rd month RESPIRATION comparison between experiment and control group elderly people. 

---

**Figure 1**: Comparison of respiratory rate between experimental and control groups
Table 2: Effectiveness of music therapy on respiratory rate between experimental and control groups

| Bio-physical parameter | Research study groups | Baseline Mean value | After 1 month Mean value | Mean Difference with 95% Confidence interval | Percentage Difference with 95% Confidence interval |
|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Respiration            | Experiment            | 21.78               | 20.13                    | 1.65 (1.24–2.06)                           | 7.6% (5.7% – 9.5%)                                |
| Control                | 21.98                 | 21.52               | 0.46 (0.18–0.74)         | 2.1% (0.8% – 3.4%)                        |                                                  |

Table no. 2 shows the comparison of reduction score between pretest and posttest. Differences between pretest and posttest score was analyzed using proportion with 95% CI and mean difference with 95% CI. It shows the effectiveness of music therapy on bio physical problems of the elderly residing in selected geriatric homes.

Table 3: Association between level of respiration reduction score and their demographic variables (control group)

| Demographic variables               | Level of Respiration reduction score | Total | Chi square test |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|----------------|
|                                     | Below average (≤0.46)                | Above average (>0.46) | n   | %   | n   | %   |                  |                                                 |
| Age group                           |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      |                  |                                                 |
| 61-70 yrs                           | 28                                   | 50.0% | 28             | 50.0% | 56  |      |      | χ²=0.12 P=0.94 DF=2 |
| 71-80 yrs                           | 17                                   | 51.5% | 16             | 48.5% | 33  |      |      |                                                 |
| 81-90 yrs                           | 5                                    | 45.5% | 6              | 54.5% | 11  |      |      |                                                 |
| Sex                                 |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=0.64 P=0.42 DF=1 |
| Male                                | 23                                   | 46.0% | 27             | 54.0% | 50  |      |      |                                                 |
| Female                              | 27                                   | 54.0% | 23             | 46.0% | 50  |      |      |                                                 |
| Marital status                      |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=5.67 P=0.07 DF=2 |
| Married                             | 19                                   | 44.2% | 24             | 55.8% | 43  |      |      |                                                 |
| Unmarried                           | 8                                    | 36.4% | 14             | 63.6% | 22  |      |      |                                                 |
| Widow/widower                      |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=5.36 P=0.25 DF=4 |
| Iliterate                           | 11                                   | 42.3% | 15             | 57.7% | 26  |      |      |                                                 |
| Elementary school                   | 16                                   | 42.1% | 22             | 57.9% | 38  |      |      |                                                 |
| High school                         | 13                                   | 65.0% | 7              | 35.0% | 20  |      |      |                                                 |
| HSC                                 | 4                                    | 50.0% | 4              | 50.0% | 8   |      |      |                                                 |
| Under Graduate                      | 6                                    | 75.0% | 2              | 25.0% | 8   |      |      |                                                 |
| Income                              |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=3.31 P=0.24 DF=3 |
| nil                                 | 34                                   | 54.8% | 28             | 45.2% | 62  |      |      |                                                 |
| <500/month                          | 4                                    | 40.0% | 6              | 60.0% | 10  |      |      |                                                 |
| 501-1500/month                      | 3                                    | 27.3% | 8              | 72.7% | 11  |      |      |                                                 |
| 1501 and above                      | 9                                    | 52.9% | 8              | 47.1% | 17  |      |      |                                                 |
| Exercise                            |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=1.33 P=0.24 DF=1 |
| Nil                                 | 10                                   | 40.0% | 15             | 60.0% | 25  |      |      |                                                 |
| Walking                             | 40                                   | 53.3% | 35             | 46.7% | 75  |      |      |                                                 |
| Interest                            |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=1.98 P=0.37 DF=2 |
| nil                                 | 35                                   | 46.1% | 41             | 53.9% | 76  |      |      |                                                 |
| Games                               | 3                                    | 60.0% | 2              | 40.0% | 5   |      |      |                                                 |
| Tailoring                           | 12                                   | 63.2% | 7              | 36.8% | 19  |      |      |                                                 |
| other habits                         |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=6.67 P=0.09 DF=3 |
| nil                                 | 35                                   | 58.3% | 25             | 41.7% | 60  |      |      |                                                 |
| smoking                             | 4                                    | 50.0% | 4              | 50.0% | 8   |      |      |                                                 |
| pan chewing                         | 5                                    | 25.0% | 15             | 75.0% | 20  |      |      |                                                 |
| Others                              | 6                                    | 50.0% | 6              | 50.0% | 12  |      |      |                                                 |
| family income                       |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=5.79 P=0.06 DF=2 |
| less than 500/month                 | 1                                    | 12.5% | 7              | 87.5% | 8   |      |      |                                                 |
| 501-1500/month                      | 17                                   | 60.7% | 11             | 39.3% | 28  |      |      |                                                 |
| 1501 and above                      | 32                                   | 50.0% | 32             | 50.0% | 64  |      |      |                                                 |
| relationship                        |                                      |       |                |     |     |      |      | χ²=3.24 P=0.07 DF=1 |
| Yes                                 | 29                                   | 59.2% | 20             | 40.8% | 49  |      |      |                                                 |
| No                                  | 21                                   | 41.2% | 30             | 58.8% | 51  |      |      |                                                 |

* significant at P≤0.05 ** highly significant at P≤0.01 *** very high significant at P≤0.001

Reduction score value is calculated using Difference of each person after 1 month score – pretest score. It will give of Respiration reduction score. **Average of this reduction score = 0.46**

Table 3 shows the association between demographic variables and their level of respiratory rate reduction score in control group. None of the demographic variables are associated with their level of reduction. Statistical significance was calculated using chi square test.
Table 4: Association between level of respiration reduction score and their demographic variables (experiment group)

| Demographic variables | Below average (≤1.65) | Above average (>1.65) | Total | Chi square test |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------|----------------|
|                       | n     | %    | N    | %    |         |
| Age group             |        |      |      |      |         |
| 61 - 70 yrs           | 30    | 51.7%| 28   | 48.3%| 58      |
| 71 - 80 yrs           | 16    | 50.0%| 15   | 50.0%| 32      |
| 81 - 90 yrs           | 5     | 40.0%| 6    | 60.0%| 11      |
| Sex                   |        |      |      |      |         |
| Male                  | 33     | 64.7%| 18   | 35.3%| 51      |
| Female                | 18     | 36.0%| 32   | 64.0%| 50      |
| Marital status        |        |      |      |      |         |
| Married               | 12     | 34.2%| 23   | 65.8%| 35      |
| Unmarried             | 18     | 64.3%| 10   | 35.7%| 28      |
| Widow/widower         | 21     | 54.0%| 17   | 46.0%| 38      |
| Education status      |        |      |      |      |         |
| Illiterate            | 9      | 42.9%| 12   | 57.1%| 21      |
| Elementary school     | 20     | 51.3%| 19   | 48.7%| 40      |
| High school           | 7      | 36.8%| 12   | 63.2%| 19      |
| HSC                   | 5      | 50.0%| 5    | 50.0%| 10      |
| Under Graduate        | 9      | 81.8%| 2    | 18.2%| 11      |
| Income                |        |      |      |      |         |
| nil                   | 34     | 50.0%| 34   | 50.0%| 68      |
| < 500/month           | 2      | 28.6%| 5    | 71.4%| 7       |
| 501-1500/month        | 4      | 36.4%| 7    | 63.6%| 11      |
| 1501 and above        | 11     | 73.3%| 4    | 26.7%| 15      |
| Exercise              |        |      |      |      |         |
| nil                   | 15     | 75.0%| 5    | 25.0%| 20      |
| Walking               | 36     | 44.4%| 45   | 55.6%| 81      |
| Interest              |        |      |      |      |         |
| nil                   | 46     | 56.7%| 35   | 43.3%| 81      |
| Games                 | 1      | 12.5%| 7    | 87.5%| 8       |
| Tailoring             | 4      | 33.3%| 8    | 66.7%| 12      |
| Other habits          |        |      |      |      |         |
| nil                   | 31     | 44.9%| 38   | 55.1%| 69      |
| smoking               | 7      | 70.0%| 3    | 30.0%| 10      |
| pan chewing           | 7      | 53.8%| 6    | 46.2%| 13      |
| Others                | 6      | 66.7%| 3    | 33.3%| 9       |
| Family income         |        |      |      |      |         |
| less than 500/month   | 3      | 25.0%| 9    | 75.0%| 12      |
| 501-1500/month        | 19     | 52.7%| 17   | 47.3%| 36      |
| 1501 and above        | 29     | 54.7%| 24   | 45.3%| 53      |
| relationship          |        |      |      |      |         |
| Yes                   | 22     | 52.4%| 20   | 47.6%| 42      |
| No                    | 29     | 49.2%| 30   | 50.8%| 59      |

* significant at P≤0.05 ** highly significant at P≤0.01 *** very high significant at P≤0.001

Reduction score value is calculated using Difference of each person after one month score – pretest score. **Average of this reduction score = 1.65.**

Table 4 shows the association between demographic variables and their level of respiratory rate reduction score in experiment group. Female gender, married peple, people interested in games and people walking had statistically significant reduction in respiratory rate, than their counterparts. Statistical significance was calculated using chi square test.

Table 5: Identification of influence factors for reduction of Respiration using Multivariate logistic regression

| Demographic variables | Odds ratio | 95% CI Lower | 95% CI Upper |
|-----------------------|------------|--------------|--------------|
| Age                   | 0.818      | 1.075        | 0.58          |
| Sex                   | 0.338      | 1.387        | 0.711         |
| Marital status        | 0.074      | 0.512        | 0.246         |
| Education status      | 0.419      | 0.723        | 0.33          |
| Income                | 0.327      | 1.515        | 0.66          |
| Exercise              | 0.004      | 3.589        | 1.497         |
| Interest              | 0.031      | 2.896        | 1.091         |
| Other habits           | 0.394      | 1.365        | 0.667         |
| Family income         | 0.769      | 0.901        | 0.45          |
| Relationship           | 0.463      | 1.303        | 0.643         |

Table 5 shows the influencing factors to reduce respiration among the demographic variables of experimental group elderly people. Interest people are having 2.89 times more reduction than males and Exercise people are having 3.58 times more reduction than others. It was found using multivariate logistic regression.

4. Conclusion

Old aged population is also considered as one of the vulnerable group of population who needs much attention to alleviate bio physical problems of the elderly. Due to urbanization and industrialization old aged are forced to stay in the old age homes. Due to loneliness, feeling aloof, and other factors in geriatric homes lead to bio physical problems which can be connected with music therapy, one of the complimentary therapies.

Music therapy helps the old aged by reducing physical problems through musical progress. By conducting the research study, the author concludes that music can be considered for the use of programmes in preventing cardio vascular disease. As listening to relaxing music would be more acceptable to the elderly population as an intervention method of reducing CVD risk, it would lead to an improvement in the quality of life of the elderly.
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