Indicators of skin barrier integrity among newborns massaged with mustard oil in rural Nepal
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Abstract

Objective—To determine the skin barrier changes during postnatal month one among infants receiving routine mustard oil massage in the humid conditions of rural Nepal.

Study Design—An observational study among 500 live born neonates receiving mustard oil massage. Skin integrity as erythema, rash and, dryness, skin pH, stratum corneum protein concentration, and transepidermal water loss was measured on days 1, 3, 7, 14 and 28.

Results—Erythema and rash increased (worsened) during weeks 1 and 2, then decreased over weeks 3 and 4. Skin pH (6.1±0.5 to 5.0±0.6) and stratum corneum protein (16.6 ±7.9 to 13.5 ±5.9 μg/cm²) decreased. Transepidermal water loss increased from 33.2±23.5 to 43.0±24.5 g/m²/hr at day 28. Skin pH and stratum corneum protein were higher for early versus late premature infants.

Conclusion—Premature and full term skin condition was generally poor especially during the first two weeks, improving thereafter. Maturational changes were evident.

INTRODUCTION

Globally, 2.7 million neonatal deaths occur annually, with 98% occurring in developing countries 1, and mainly attributable to preterm birth complications, infections (e.g., sepsis, pneumonia, diarrhea), and intrapartum events, such as birth asphyxia 2. In settings with high
mortality, 50% are due to infections \(^3\), and half of all deaths are associated with preterm and low birth weight infants \(^4\). Skin care practices have emerged as an important area to reduce global newborn mortality, especially among preterm births; specific intervention approaches demonstrating benefit include chlorhexidine cleansing \(^5\), \(^6\) kangaroo mother care \(^7\) and application of topical emollients \(^8\), \(^9\), \(^10\).

Given the innate immune functions of newborn skin, an improved understanding of neonatal skin barrier integrity in the environments where such interventions might be scaled up is critical. Healthy, full term newborn infant skin is well-formed and fully functional at birth with excellent barrier properties, indicated by a low transepidermal water loss (TEWL) of 4–8 g/m\(^2\)/hr \(^11\), equal to or lower than adults \(^12\). Adaptive changes occur, particularly in the first month, and continue throughout the first year of life \(^12\), \(^13\). Full term infant skin acidity (apparent pH) is neutral at birth, decreasing considerably during days 1–4 and further during the first three months as acidic component generating enzymes are activated \(^12\), \(^14\). Stratum corneum (SC) acidification enhances its integrity, cohesion, and lipid processing and acidic treatments may assist in reducing inflammation and normalizing SC function \(^15\). Skin pH increases may reduce integrity and enhance susceptibility to mechanical trauma \(^16\).

Premature infants have a poor epidermal barrier and are at risk for increased permeability of exogenous materials, water loss, irritant exposure, skin compromise, delayed skin maturation and infection \(^17\), \(^18\). TEWL is significantly higher than in full terms, particularly for extremely premature infants \(^19\). SC acid mantle formation is incomplete and may be as long as 9 weeks postnatal age \(^20\), \(^21\). The literature on the ontogeny of immediate postnatal barrier maturation is severely limited, particularly for premature infants and for those born in low resource communities of South Asia and/or sub Saharan Africa.

Reductions in nosocomial infections and mortality among preterm infants receiving topical applications of sunflower seed oil have been attributed to improved skin barrier function, as evidenced by reduced SC dryness \(^8\), \(^9\), \(^10\). However, these studies were conducted in controlled hospital settings and compared massaged to non-massaged infants. As such, the results may not directly apply to communities, such as rural south Asia, where daily massage of infants with oils is routinely practiced and humidity and temperature are markedly higher than in previous reports on infant skin development. Little is known about the skin barrier integrity during the critical first weeks of life in these settings.

We describe the skin barrier integrity changes during the first postnatal month in rural Nepal among infants in continuously humid conditions, and exposed to routine traditional massage with mustard seed oil. We used a set of established methods to collectively measure skin barrier integrity and maturation, specifically visual skin condition (rash, erythema, and dryness) and instrumental skin surface pH acidity (apparent pH), TEWL, and SC protein concentration.

**SUBJECTS AND METHODS**

**Setting and Parent Trial**

This observational study was nested within a larger cluster-randomized community-based trial (ClinicalTrials.gov, NCT01177111) of the impact of topical skin emollient application...
on newborn mortality and morbidity in rural Nepal. That trial, which will be completed in 2017, targets live born infants in Sarlahi, a district in the low-lying plains region (“terai”). Communities (clusters) are randomized to promotion of topical applications to newborn skin (“newborn massage”) with sunflower seed oil (intervention) or the traditional mustard seed oil (comparison), which is used almost universally there as a standard neonatal care practice. Pregnant women are identified through regular monitoring by locally-resident female project workers; married women aged 15–35 are visited at home every five weeks, queried about menstruation, and offered pregnancy tests. Pregnant women who provide consent are visited at approximately 28–32 weeks gestation for promotion of newborn massage with their cluster-allocated oil, provided with an initial (100ml) supply of locally-purchased high quality oil. After delivery, the local worker visits the women daily to monitor usage of project-provided oil, and to encourage its use as part of their routine massage practices; they also notify a supervising data collector, who initiates visits on days 1, 3, 7, 10, 14, 21, and 28. At each visit, the infant is examined for vital status and signs of illness, and mother/caretaker reports signs of morbidity, care-seeking, and newborn care practices. Mothers are re-supplied with oil (500ml) on days 1, 10, and 21. Allocation status of clusters and mother/baby dyads was not masked.

Design of Current Study

In this observational study, a specialized team of field workers measured skin integrity among a subset of infants on days 1, 3, 7, 14, and 28. Biological measures were visual skin condition (erythema, rash, dryness), skin pH, TEWL and protein concentration (SC cohesion). Erythema (abnormal redness from capillary dilation and increased blood flow) indicates inflammation, irritation or infection. Rash is discrete areas of irritation, often around a hair follicle, and may indicate fluid filled vesicles (miliaria crystallina) or papules with deeper involvement (e.g., miliaria rubra) that may be infected.

Initially (July 2012), seven Village Development Committees (a geographically defined administrative unit within districts) were selected for inclusion given their proximity to our main field office, thus ensuring our ability to maintain a quality cold chain for collected specimens; two more were added by January 2013. Among all infants born alive, we further defined eligibility based on gestational age and timing of first postnatal visit. Specifically, all preterm (based on difference between last menstrual period and date of birth) and a randomly selected 20% subset of term infants were eligible. This proportion was chosen to achieve ~1:1 ratio of term/preterm infants, given our hypothesis that outcome measures may be more important in preterm infants due to skin immaturity. Eligible infants were enrolled if the infant was met prior to reaching 48 hours of age, and caretakers provided consent for the measures.

Skin Measurements

Skin condition was measured as percent area of involvement and severity using a validated scoring method as erythema (0–3), rash (0–3) and dryness (0–5) with 0.5 grade increments presented separately as they indicate different variations in skin irritation. After four days of training, field workers’ scoring of varying skin conditions (photographs) were compared with those of an expert (MV) with a kappa of 0.88. During implementation, workers’
assessments were periodically compared to an investigator’s (AS) scores during supervised visits. Workers recorded skin scores for the chest (using a standardized 4cm × 4cm template), left arm, and right leg.

TEWL (g/m²/hr), relative humidity (RH) and temperature were measured with a closed chamber device (VapoMeter, Delfin Technologies, Ltd, Finland)\(^{24}\), as recommended for non-clinical conditions\(^{25}\). Skin pH was measured with a flat electrode (Skincheck\textsuperscript{TM}, Hanna Instruments, UK) calibrated daily to pH 4 and 7\(^{26}\). The average of three measurements made at the mid-chest nipple line (easily accessible, a massaged area, reasonably flat) was analyzed.

SC protein content was determined from skin surface samples collected with 380 mm\(^2\) D-Squame adhesive discs (CuDerm, TX) applied to the chest for two minutes. Disc placement sites differed at each visit to avoid previous adhesive exposure. After transfer to field headquarters in cold boxes (2–8°C), the protein concentration was determined from optical absorption with a daily-calibrated spectrophotometer SquameScan\textsuperscript{TM} 850A (Heiland electronic, Wetzlar, Germany) and quantified as:\(^{27}\)

\[
C_{\text{protein}} \left( \frac{\mu g}{cm^2} \right) = 1.366 \times \text{Absorption}(-) - 1.557
\]

**Statistical Analysis**—Sample size was determined based on logistical constraints (i.e. limited instruments, minimize field staff, and distance from field headquarters/laboratory), and to achieve adequate precision of estimates overall and by term status. A sample size of 500 infants exposed to mustard oil massage was selected with approximately equal numbers of pre/full term infants. Analyses were conducted using STATA v14 (College Station, TX). Biologically implausible outliers were removed before analyses as being due to a confounding biological process (e.g. perspiration rather than TEWL). Skin pH values >8 and <3 (Marty Visscher, personal communication) and TEWL values >100 g/m²/hr. (Aki Immonen, personal communication) were removed.

Newborn characteristics were estimated for three GA groups (<34 weeks, 34–36 weeks, and ≥37 weeks) and included: sex, initial visit weight, small-for-gestational age (SGA) status, time since most recent massage, and average number of massages through age 7 days. The subjects were grouped by gestational age because SC barrier integrity is inversely related to GA and, therefore expected to be poorer for the youngest cohort\(^{19}\). Visit-specific temperature and RH were converted to heat index. Means and standard deviations of all skin measures were calculated for days 1, 3, 7, 14, and 28. Locally weighted scatterplot smoothing (lowess) curves were created to show changes of skin barrier integrity measures over time for each GA group. Skin measures were compared between GA groups using a bivariate random-effects model, accounting for repeated measures. Infants who missed visits were not included in the analyses for that visit, but were included in analyses if met on future visits.
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RESULTS

Subjects

There were 707 (20% of 3531) term and 551 preterm eligible live births between July 23, 2012 and May 18, 2014. Of these 5 (0.4%) infants died, 253 (20%) infants were >48 hours old when the field worker arrived, and mothers refused participation for 5 (0.4%) infants. A total of 995 infants were enrolled, 500 of whom were born in clusters receiving routine mustard oil massage and included in this analysis. Field workers completed 2,434 visits to the homes of these 500 infants; 451 (90%) infants contributed at least 4 of 5 visits, and 394 (79%) completed follow-up through 28 days; less than full follow-up was due to death (n=10, 2%) and mother/infant moving before day 28 (n=96, 19%).

Environment

Almost half (45.6%) of visits occurred at RH over 80%. Mean RH from 2,301 visits was 75.1±13.2% and ranged from 10% (n=3) to 100% (n=5). The within-child RH variability across visits was ±8.1%. Mean temperature and heat index were 30.0±4.5°C and 33.6±9.0°C, respectively.

Baseline Characteristics

There were more males (53.8%) than females (46.2%) with imbalance highest in the <34 week group (57.1% vs. 42.9%) (Table 1). Mean weight (initial visit) was 2638±440g and 167 (33.5%) infants were <2500 grams. The average daily number of applications of mustard oil through full-body massage was 4.6±1.4 (first week) and similar for all GA groups.

Baseline Skin Condition

On day 1, almost half of infants (44.2%) had chest erythema and 12.2% of infants had chest rash (Table 2), while 0.4% had chest dryness. The arm and leg showed similar patterns. Erythema scores were significantly lower for the chest versus the arm and leg sites (P<0.05). The sites did not differ at baseline for rash or dryness. The mean skin pH, SC protein concentration, and TEWL at the initial visit were 6.09±0.53, 16.6±7.88 μg/cm², and 33.2±23.5 g/m²/hr, respectively.

Skin Changes Over Time

Visual Skin Condition—Skin condition scores for erythema and rash increased throughout the first two weeks, peaked at day 14 and decreased in weeks 3 and 4, indicating an initial worsening of the skin barrier followed by some relative improvement (Figure 1, Table 2). Dryness was much less frequently observed, but followed a similar pattern. Arm and leg scores showed similar patterns as the chest (Table 2). Scores were not significantly
different among infants <34 weeks compared with late preterm or term infants for erythema, rash, or dryness over 28 days (Table 3).

**Skin pH**—Skin pH decreased throughout the neonatal period for each GA category, indicating barrier maturation and acid mantle development (Figure 2, Table 2). Infants <34 weeks GA had a significantly higher skin pH over the entire study versus infants 34–36 weeks GA ($P=0.004$) (Table 3). On day 28, skin pH did not differ significantly among infants in the three groups.

**Stratum Corneum Protein Concentration**—SC protein decreased during week one for each group, increasing for infants <34 weeks at day 14 then decreasing by day 28. For 34–36 week GA infants, protein increased slightly between days 7 and 28. In contrast, for full term infants, protein concentration continually decreased over 28 days (Figure 2, Table 2). Infants <34 weeks GA had significantly higher protein over 28 days versus infants 34–36 weeks ($P=0.04$) (Table 3). Infants <34 weeks GA had a significantly higher protein on day 14 versus both other groups. The groups did not differ on day 28 (Table 3).

**Transepidermal Water Loss**—TEWL increased throughout the neonatal period for each group, indicating a worsening skin barrier (Figure 2, Table 2) with no differences among GA groups (Table 3). Infants <34 weeks GA had a lower TEWL on day 28 versus the other groups, but differences did not reach significance.

**DISCUSSION**

Understanding the effects of topical emollients on skin barrier integrity in the target population, i.e., among neonates in hot, humid rural Nepal, is crucial for decision making regarding the implementation of skin-based interventions to reduce neonatal morbidity and mortality. To our knowledge, our study is the first prospective evaluation of postnatal skin barrier integrity and maturation in a realistic (i.e. non-hospital) setting with typical environmental conditions (i.e. consistently high humidity) among infants routinely massaged with vegetable oil. The vigorous oil massage typically occurs several times daily, differing considerably from the more gentle application in previous reports. Our findings are generalizable to settings where routine oil massage with locally available vegetable oils occurs because a mature, competent skin barrier may reduce neonatal morbidity and mortality in these populations.

Skin pH decreased consistently signifying maturational changes and acid mantle development. The acid mantle is necessary for SC formation and integrity, bacterial homeostasis, skin colonization and inhibition of pathogenic bacteria. Application of acidic treatments or treatments that facilitate acid mantle development may assist in reducing inflammation and normalizing SC function.

High initial skin pH and decreasing values are consistent with previous studies. After birth, skin pH is elevated in full term neonates decreasing most significantly during the first four days, continuing to drop during the first three months as the enzymes needed to generate acidic components are activated, e.g., proteolysis of filaggrin to NMF.
Consistent with previous reports, younger infants (<34 weeks) had a significantly higher skin pH over 28 days than infants of 34–36 weeks GA. Skin pH decreased but varied with GA for premature infants, remaining higher for longer in younger infants (< 1000g). More neutral skin diminishes the activities of lipid processing and activates serine proteases which damage SC desmosomes, thus compromising skin barrier integrity.

The elevated protein concentration over two weeks in the youngest infants (<34 weeks) indicates a less cohesive, i.e., less well formed, stratum corneum. In contrast, SC protein among full term infants decreased as expected from previous reports. There are no published reports of protein changes over time in premature infants using our methods for comparison.

Skin condition (erythema, rash, and dryness) increased (i.e., worsened), for all infants during the first two weeks, followed by relative improvement (i.e. decreasing scores), during weeks 3 and 4. Fifty-eight percent of infants had skin erythema on day 1. This may indicate the initial stages of erythema toxicum neonatorum (ET), a common transient newborn rash, typically regressing within a week. Rash developed, peaked after 2 weeks then regressed somewhat, characteristic of miliaria a common rash caused in part by high temperature and humidity. This finding is in contrast to previous reports in controlled conditions (and likely somewhat lower humidity) where rash was either not observed or found at very low (0.5%–8.5%) prevalence. The literature on skin maturation for premature infants during days 1–28 is limited, i.e., no comparative studies without massage are available. To our knowledge, there are no previous reports of neonatal skin barrier development under hot humid conditions, making this the first such report. In the more similar environment of New Delhi, miliaria was the most common non-infectious disorder among 100 newborns with pustular disorders. The high levels of miliaria in our study could also be due to occlusion of sweat ducts caused by oil application. Additionally, high temperature and humidity promote sweating as a normal cooling mechanism. Increased temperature resulted in sweating among infants ≥36 weeks on days 4–14 of life and for premature infants (~32 weeks), suggestive of maturation/adaptation. In these studies, miliaria occurred as sweating continued.

Skin dryness scores were very low and visual scaling rare. In contrast, a Bangladesh hospital-based study among infants <33 weeks GA receiving topical emollient therapy found deterioration of skin condition over the entire neonatal period and scores >0 for 59% of infants. Scores were 3 or lower, indicating dry skin (score of 1) or dry skin with scales (scores 2, 3). At lower humidity, skin hydration rapidly decreased on postnatal day one and gradually increased over the first month. Skin dryness and low hydration were associated with low levels of water binding amino acids in the upper SC at birth. We did not measure skin hydration directly but it is expected to be higher at high RH. A potential explanation for rare dryness is the nearly continuous RH between 80% and 95%, a level which is optimum for the proteolysis of filaggrin to form water binding moieties, i.e., natural moisturizing factor (NMF), in the upper SC.

The effects of mechanical forces, applied during massage, may impact skin condition. While mechanical massage and occlusion each increased the penetration depth of rigid and non-
rigid liposomes into skin via the hair follicles in an ex vivo context, the effects of massage on topical oil penetration via the hair follicles have not been reported.

Steady increases in TEWL over the entire neonatal period indicates worsening skin barrier function, possibly exacerbated by oil applications. In a study of 22 preterm infants receiving sunflower oil massage, TEWL increased significantly through age 11 days, and decreased only when application was discontinued. Without oil massage, TEWL in full term infants was lower than or equal to adults and remained relatively stable while TEWL in preterm infants decreased quickly during the first few days before leveling off.

Our contrasting observation might also be due to the consistently high humidity of 75%, where infant skin may not follow the environmental trajectory of previous reports, i.e., large changes from high humidity in utero to cooler and drier conditions, e.g. 10–40% RH. Our environment may be more similar to a study where TEWL decreased more slowly at 75% RH for 28 days than at 50% RH, indicating delayed epidermal barrier maturation. While higher TEWL at higher RH suggests that utilizing high RH for very premature infants might delay skin barrier maturation, such higher humidity may favor NMF generation and decreased skin pH. The ideal environment for neonatal skin development remains to be determined. TEWL values increase with sweating. Therefore, the increases we observed could be confounded by sweating, i.e. both evaporative and transepidermal water loss contributed to the value.

Our study strengths include the large sample size, oversampling of preterm infants, and repeated measures across the first month. Limitations included TEWL measurements in relatively uncontrolled, but realistic environmental conditions, and use of LMP, rather than ultrasound dating, resulting in some possible misclassification of GA. In this setting, however, the low-cost and simple LMP method is the best option for estimating GA. The absence of any infants non-exposed to massage precluded determination of the effects of massage with oil. However, such a group holds limited relevance given that application of mustard oil through traditional massage is universal in this population and throughout south Asia, and our purpose was to describe skin barrier properties in the context of routine infant care. The generalizability is limited to populations where routine oil massage occurs.

Newborn skin is the first defense against many environmental factors. Among neonates routinely massaged with mustard oil in rural Nepal, we found skin irritation/damage during the first two weeks of life. By the end of the neonatal period, skin pH and SC protein levels indicate a mature skin barrier, perhaps due to high humidity influence on NMF generation. TEWL levels increased, possibly due to high RH, frequent oil application, or a combination of both. Our findings are likely applicable to large populations throughout northern India, Pakistan, northwestern Bangladesh, and Nepal, where numerous cultural, social, economic, and environmental characteristics are shared. Massage practices are culturally entrenched thus the appearance of erythema and rash may be accepted as “normal”. At the individual level, a lack of comparative experiential data (i.e. observation of potentially improved skin condition in the absence of routine massage) likely inhibits changes in community-level behavior norms related to skin care practices. Further research in this population is warranted, e.g., evaluating different massage practices and the effect of massage itself.
comparing various oils, and exploring risk factors that may impact beneficial effects. A better understanding of the underlying mechanisms of how emollient therapy can improve health outcomes in low-resource settings is essential to best tailor future interventions aimed at reducing neonatal morbidity and mortality.
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Abbreviations

| Abbreviation | Description |
|--------------|-------------|
| AGA | Adequate for gestational age |
| CI | Confidence Interval |
| ET | erythema toxicum neonatorum |
| GA | gestational age |
| LMP | Last menstrual period |
| NMF | natural moisturizing factor |
| RH | relative humidity |
| SC | stratum corneum |
| SD | Standard deviation |
| SGA | small-for-gestational age |
| TEWL | transepidermal water loss |
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Figure 1.
Lowess curves of the change in chest skin condition throughout the neonatal period by gestational age groups, Sarlahi, Nepal.
Figure 2.
Lowess curves of the change in skin pH, SC protein concentration, and TEWL throughout the neonatal period by gestational age groups, Sarlahi, Nepal.
Table 1

Baseline newborn characteristics of neonates by gestational age groups, Sarlahi, Nepal

| Characteristic                  | <34 Weeks | 34–36 Weeks | ≥37 Weeks | Total |
|--------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------|
| **Sex**                        |           |             |           |       |
| Male                           | 32 (57.1) | 73 (49.3)   | 162 (55.1)| 269 (53.8) |
| Female                         | 24 (42.9) | 75 (50.7)   | 132 (44.9)| 231 (46.2) |
| **Weight at initial visit (g)**|           |             |           |       |
| <1500                          | 4 (7.4)   | 1 (0.7)     | 1 (0.3)   | 6 (1.2)  |
| 1500–2499                      | 20 (37.0) | 58 (39.2)   | 83 (28.2) | 161 (32.3) |
| ≥2500                          | 30 (55.6) | 89 (60.1)   | 210 (71.4)| 331 (66.5) |
| **SGA Status**                 |           |             |           |       |
| AGA                            |           |             |           |       |
| SGA 3–10%                      | 3 (5.6)   | 11 (7.4)    | 56 (20.8) | 70 (14.9) |
| SGA 3%                         | 0 (0)     | 9 (6.1)     | 80 (29.7) | 89 (18.9) |
| **Time between visit and last massage (minutes)** | | | | |
| <30                            | 46 (18.9) | 154 (22.1)  | 293 (21.8)| 497 (21.7) |
| 30–59                          | 36 (14.7) | 139 (20.0)  | 237 (17.7)| 413 (18.0) |
| 60–119                         | 67 (27.4) | 145 (20.8)  | 312 (23.2)| 526 (22.9) |
| 120–179                        | 32 (13.1) | 92 (13.2)   | 185 (13.8)| 309 (13.5) |
| ≥180                           | 64 (26.1) | 166 (23.9)  | 316 (23.5)| 548 (23.9) |
| **MEAN (SD)**                  |           |             |           |       |
| Birthweight (g)                | 2511.7 (545)| 2527 (434) | 2717 (406)| 2638 (440) |
| Gestational Age (weeks)        | 31.3 (2.6)| 35.8 (0.8)  | 40.2 (2.0)| 37.9 (3.6) |
| Average # of massages per day during first week of life | 4.6 (1.4) | 4.8 (1.4) | 4.6 (1.4) | 4.6 (1.4) |

1 Small for Gestational Age

2 Adequate for Gestational Age
Table 2

Skin barrier integrity measures of neonates at five time points during the neonatal period, Sarlahi, Nepal

| Skin Barrier Integrity Measure | Visit Number | 1     | 3     | 7     | 14    | 28    |
|------------------------------|--------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|                              | N            | Mean (SD) | N     | Mean (SD) | N     | Mean (SD) | N     | Mean (SD) | N     | Mean (SD) |
| Erythema Score, Chest        | 500          | 0.46 (0.61) | 480   | 0.73 (0.63) | 474   | 0.68 (0.59) | 464   | 0.74 (0.63) | 394   | 0.49 (0.54) |
| Erythema Score, Arm          | 500          | 0.55 (0.67) | 480   | 0.77 (0.63) | 474   | 0.80 (0.58) | 464   | 0.90 (0.63) | 394   | 0.61 (0.53) |
| Erythema Score, Leg          | 500          | 0.57 (0.68) | 480   | 0.74 (0.65) | 474   | 0.78 (0.61) | 464   | 0.82 (0.62) | 394   | 0.63 (0.59) |
| Rash Score, Chest            | 500          | 0.13 (0.39) | 480   | 0.67 (0.76) | 474   | 0.72 (0.77) | 464   | 0.97 (0.79) | 394   | 0.66 (0.70) |
| Rash Score, Arm              | 500          | 0.17 (0.47) | 480   | 0.65 (0.76) | 474   | 0.93 (0.84) | 464   | 1.18 (0.87) | 394   | 0.74 (0.72) |
| Rash Score, Leg              | 500          | 0.15 (0.44) | 480   | 0.52 (0.70) | 474   | 0.71 (0.78) | 464   | 0.98 (0.78) | 394   | 0.74 (0.74) |
| Dryness Score, Chest         | 500          | 0.002 (0.03) | 480   | 0.010 (0.16) | 474   | 0.017 (0.20) | 464   | 0.050 (0.37) | 394   | 0.00 (0.0) |
| Dryness Score, Arm           | 500          | 0.004 (0.07) | 480   | 0.067 (0.40) | 474   | 0.16 (0.65) | 464   | 0.15 (0.64) | 394   | 0.020 (0.20) |
| Dryness Score, Leg           | 500          | 0.01 (0.15) | 480   | 0.027 (0.23) | 474   | 0.12 (0.56) | 464   | 0.039 (0.31) | 394   | 0.024 (0.26) |
| Skin pH                      | 498          | 6.09 (0.53) | 480   | 5.72 (0.53) | 472   | 5.33 (0.58) | 459   | 5.20 (0.57) | 389   | 5.00 (0.61) |
| TEWL, (g/m²/hr)              | 499          | 33.2 (23.5) | 480   | 36.1 (24.7) | 468   | 37.7 (24.5) | 458   | 40.7 (24.3) | 386   | 43.0 (24.5) |
| Protein concentration (μg/cm²) | 497          | 16.6 (7.88) | N/A   | N/A     | 473   | 13.3 (6.52) | 460   | 13.4 (6.96) | 391   | 13.5 (5.89) |

1 Standard deviation;  
2 Transepidermal water loss
Table 3

Bivariate random-effects model comparing skin integrity measures throughout the entire study period by gestational age group, Sarlahi, Nepal

| Skin Barrier Integrity Measure | Gestational Age[^1] |          |          |          |          |          |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
|                               | 34–36 Weeks | 95% CI | ≥37 Weeks | 95% CI |        |        |
| Erythema, Chest                | 0.03        | -0.09–0.15 | -0.01 | -0.11–0.12 |        |        |
| Erythema, Arm                  | 0.04        | -0.09–0.17 | 0.04 | -0.08–0.16 |        |        |
| Erythema, Leg                  | 0.03        | -0.10–0.16 | -0.002 | -0.13–0.12 |        |        |
| Rash, Chest                    | 0.03        | -0.10–0.16 | 0.07 | -0.06–0.19 |        |        |
| Rash, Arm                      | 0.05        | -0.10–0.19 | 0.11 | -0.02–0.25 |        |        |
| Rash, Leg                      | -0.02       | -0.14–0.11 | 0.05 | -0.07–0.17 |        |        |
| Dryness, Chest                 | -0.03       | -0.05–0.004 | -0.01 | -0.04–0.01 |        |        |
| Dryness, Arm                   | -0.02       | -0.09–0.05 | -0.01 | -0.07–0.06 |        |        |
| Dryness, Leg                   | 0.01        | -0.04–0.06 | 0.02 | -0.03–0.06 |        |        |
| Skin pH                        | -0.19       | -0.32–0.06 | -0.08 | -0.20–0.04 |        |        |
| TEWL[^2] (g/m^2/hr)            | 2.13        | -3.39–7.66 | 2.63 | -3.10–7.22 |        |        |
| Protein concentration (μg/cm^2) | -1.33      | -2.60–0.06 | -0.89 | -2.07–0.30 |        |        |

[^1]: Reference Group: Gestational age <34 weeks;
[^2]: Confidence Interval;
[^3]: Transepidermal water loss