ASSESSMENT OF GROUNDWATER QUALITY FROM INDUSTRIAL AND RESIDENTIAL AREAS OF SANGO OTA, NIGERIA
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ABSTRACT

High concentration of contaminants in drinking water can affect human health. This study assessed quality of groundwater at industrial and residential areas of Sango Ota, Ogun State. Water samples were collected in triplicates from 8 wells at industrial and residential areas and analyzed for its physicochemical properties. The pH, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solid (TDS), nitrate, sulphate, total suspended solid (TSS), total hardness (TH), iron, zinc, copper, lead, nickel, chromium and cadmium concentrations in the water samples were determined following American Public Health Association (APHA) procedure. Results showed that pH of 50 % of the wells were lower than minimum limit of 6.5 recommended by WHO. Sulphate, TH and iron in the water were significantly (p ≤ 0.05) higher at residential area than industrial area. Electrical conductivity exhibited significant (p ≤ 0.01) correlation with TDS (r = 0.701*) and NO3– (r = 0.922”) at residential area. At the industrial area however, concentration of salts in water samples was highly associated with SO42– (r = 0.864”) and Cd (r = 0.587”). Across locations of groundwater, iron and lead were above allowable WHO limits in drinking water. Cadmium was also above drinking limit at location T4 of the residential area. Consumers of groundwater in the study area are prone to health related challenges of heavy metal toxicity.
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INTRODUCTION

Water is one of the most abundant natural resources essential for survival of all living organisms. A major source of natural water which is available for drinking, bathing, washing, aquaculture, agricultural and industrial uses is the groundwater. The quality of groundwater as an important source of freshwater reserves on which urban and rural population depend is been threatened due to population growth and anthropogenic activities [1, 2]. The high rate and improper management of waste generated in towns and cities due to urbanization and industrialization have also contributed to groundwater contamination [3, 4, 5]. Besides, factors such as the topography of the land, geology, weathering, mineral composition of the aquifer, water bed-rock interaction and anthropogenic activities can influence groundwater quality [6, 7, 8]. Contamination of groundwater therefore, is an environmental issue of health concern that requires attention particularly in areas without potable water. Consumption of water contaminated by excess chemicals from natural and or anthropogenic sources can lead to health related problems [2]. Among the diversity of contaminants of health concerns that can migrate down the soil profile and contaminate aquifers are the heavy metals due to their toxicity even at low concentrations [9, 10]. Studies have also shown that contamination of shallow groundwater by nitrate, sulphate, fluoride, total dissolved solid, and heavy metals makes groundwater unsuitable for drinking and for other purposes [2, 11, 12, 13]. In addition, dust that settles on air from industrial operations as well as wastewater that leaches through the soil are among the contributors to surface and groundwater quality contamination [14, 15]. Sango-Ota is a major industrial area of Ogun State due to its nearness to Lagos State which is the most populous state in Nigeria. The population explosion of Lagos State which has extended to Owode area of Sango Ota has led to increase in water demand in the area. In
addition, the topography of Owode area of Sango Ota is in the lowland making the area vulnerable to pollution from runoff and contamination of groundwater by domestic and industrial waste. Therefore, to determine the safety of groundwater used for drinking and domestic purposes in the study area where people rely on their private wells for water use, an assessment of quality of such water is necessary. The objectives of this study therefore, was to (1) determine the concentrations of water quality indicators including; pH, electrical conductivity (EC), total dissolved solid (TDS), nitrate, sulphate, total suspended solid (TSS), total hardness (TH) and (2) determine the levels of selected heavy metals such as iron (Fe), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), lead (Pb), nickel (Ni), chromium (Cr) and cadmium (Cd) in the hand dug wells around industrial and residential areas in Sango Ota.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Water Sample Collection

Two liters of groundwater samples were collected in plastic containers previously washed with liquid detergent and thoroughly rinse with de-ionized water. The water samples were collected in triplicates from eight different hand dug wells. Four of the water samples were collected from wells in the proximity of industrial area at Sango Ota while the other four were from residential area at Owode town a suburb of Sango Ota. Owode town is located at Sango Ota along Lagos-Abeokuta Express road in Ogun State. The samples were collected in the month of June, 2016. The locations of the hand dug wells sampling points coordinates as indicated by Global Positioning System (GPS) was presented in Figure 1.

Water Sample Preparation and Analyses

Water samples collected in clean plastic bottles (1 L capacity) kept in cooler contain ice block were transported to laboratory for analyses. The samples were kept in the refrigerator at 4 °C in the laboratory for analyses within five (05) days. Physicochemical properties of the water samples were determined according to standard methods. The pH was measured with pH glass electrode pH meter. Electrical Conductivity (EC) was measured with conductivity meter. Sulphate (SO₄²⁻) was determined by turbidimetric method at an absorbance of 425 nm on a UV-Visible spectrophotometer (Model: UVmini-1240/UVmini-1240V, Shimadzu Corporation Analytical & Measuring Instruments Division, Kyoto, Japan). Nitrate (NO₃⁻) was measured using the phenoldisulphonic method by reading the absorbance at 410 nm. Total suspended solid (TSS) was determine by gravimetric analysis. Total hardness (TH) was determined using volumetric method. For the heavy metals, water samples were filtered through 125 mm Whatman filter paper (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany). Thereafter, concentrations of Fe, Zn, Cu, Pb, Ni, Cr, Cd were determined on Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer –AAS, (Buck Scientific 210 VGP, Buck Scientific, Inc., East Norwalk, CT, USA). All analytical procedures were carried out as described by [16].

Statistical Analysis

Data generated from the samples were subjected to SPSS version 20.0 for statistical analysis. The physicochemical parameters were also correlated with the heavy metals using Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Turkey HSD test was used to separate significant means at probability value of 0.05.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physicochemical Property of Water Sample

The physicochemical property of the groundwater (Table 2) shows that pH of the water samples from industrial areas was highest at location T1 and was very slightly acidic. On the other hand, the highest pH at the residential areas was at location T2 which was very slightly alkaline. Across the four locations in both areas, two locations (T2 and T3 at industrial) as well as (T1 and T3 at residential) the pH value was less than the lower limit of 6.5 recommended by [17]. This shows that people from 50 % of the study area had been drinking well water with pH values less than minimum required. The pH values reported in this study was similar to what [10] reported for groundwater samples of Lagos and Ogun State. The EC and TSS were very low at both the industrial and residential areas. The TDS was in many folds higher at the various locations in the industrial areas compared to residential areas but was far lower than WHO limits. [18] had also reported lower TDS value
than WHO limit in groundwater at Owo, southwest Nigeria. The low levels of EC, TSS and TDS indicated that the groundwater was suitable for drinking. Except at location T4, the NO$_3^-$ content of the water samples was higher at the industrial areas compared to residential areas. The NO$_3^-$ concentration of the wells does not pose any health threat because it was lower than 50 mg/L allowable limit in drinking water [17]. Previous study by [19] also reported low concentration of nitrate-nitrogen in groundwater of southwest Nigeria. Across locations, sulphate (SO$_4^{2-}$) concentration in the groundwater was two to three folds higher in the residential area compared with industrial area except at location T2. Total hardness (TH) across the four locations range from 20.33 to 70.00 mg/L at the industrial area and it range from 26.67 to 90.33 mg/L at the residential area. The TH followed a trend similar to that of SO$_4^{2-}$ with higher concentration in the groundwater at residential than industrial area (Figure 1 a). Higher concentrations of SO$_4^{2-}$ and TH at the residential area compared to industrial area could be due to higher domestic activities that made wells to be left opened more often and consequently being easily contaminated.

**Figure 1**: map of the study area showing sampling point locations
Table 2: Physicochemical Properties of Groundwater Samples at different locations of Industrial and Residential Areas of Sango Ota (mean ± SD)

| Parameter | Area          | Location | WHO limits [17] |
|-----------|---------------|----------|-----------------|
| pH        | Industrial    | T1       | T2             | T3             | T4             | 6.5-8.5         |
|           | Residential   |          |                 |                |                |                 |
|           |               | 6.77±0.07<sup>a</sup> | 6.37±0.06<sup>c</sup> | 6.23±0.09<sup>d</sup> | 6.50±0.00<sup>b</sup> |                |
| EC (μS/cm)| Industrial    | 6.43±0.06<sup>c</sup> | 7.23±0.08<sup>a</sup> | 6.37±0.06<sup>c</sup> | 6.57±0.09<sup>b</sup> |                |
|           | Residential   | 1.13±0.06<sup>a</sup> | 1.03±0.06<sup>b</sup> | 0.57±0.04<sup>c</sup> | 1.20±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 250            |
|           |               | 1.47±0.06<sup>b</sup> | 0.47±0.05<sup>d</sup> | 0.83±0.06<sup>c</sup> | 1.73±0.07<sup>a</sup> |                |
| TSS (mg/L)| Industrial    | 0.022±0.00<sup>b</sup> | 0.018±0.02<sup>c</sup> | 0.034±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.022±0.00<sup>b</sup> | N/A            |
|           | Residential   | 0.052±0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.030±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 0.031±0.03<sup>c</sup> | 0.032±0.00<sup>b</sup> |                |
| TDS (mg/L)| Industrial    | 0.179±0.00<sup>b</sup> | 0.882±0.03<sup>a</sup> | 0.168±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 0.078±0.03<sup>d</sup> | 250            |
|           | Residential   | 0.035±0.04<sup>c</sup> | 0.070±0.00<sup>e</sup> | 0.071±0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.068±0.01<sup>b</sup> |                |
| NO₃<sup>-</sup> (mg/L)| Industrial | 0.77±0.03<sup>a</sup> | 0.55±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 0.78±0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.55±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 50             |
|           | Residential   | 0.40±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 0.41±0.00<sup>b</sup> | 0.41±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 0.59±0.01<sup>a</sup> |                |
| SO₄²⁻ (mg/L)| Industrial | 0.82±0.03<sup>c</sup> | 1.29±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 0.33±0.04<sup>d</sup> | 1.45±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 250            |
|           | Residential   | 3.71±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 0.16±0.01<sup>d</sup> | 2.13±0.06<sup>c</sup> | 4.36±0.04<sup>a</sup> |                |
| TH (mg/L) | Industrial    | 70.00±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 50.67±0.59<sup>b</sup> | 24.33±0.58<sup>c</sup> | 20.33±0.60<sup>d</sup> | 500            |
|           | Residential   | 90.33±0.57<sup>a</sup> | 28.00±0.00<sup>g</sup> | 40.33±0.58<sup>b</sup> | 26.67±0.56<sup>d</sup> |                |

N/A = Not available; means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) according to Turkey HSD test.

Heavy Metals in the Groundwater
The concentration of heavy metals in the groundwater samples are shown in Table 3. Zinc concentration in the sampled wells was quite low across locations. Concentration of Fe range from 0.5 mg/L in location T2 to 1.07 mg/L in location T3 at the industrial area while it range from 0.78 mg/L in location T3 to 1.80 mg/L in location T1 at the residential area (Table 3). At both industrial and residential areas, concentration of Fe was two to six folds higher in the groundwater samples than 0.3 mg/L [20] limit for drinking water. Across locations, concentration of Fe was significantly (p ≤ 0.05) higher at the residential than industrial area (Figure 1 b). The high concentration of Fe at residential area could be due to anthropogenic activities around the wells. When high concentration of Fe in drinking water enters into human body, the free irons penetrate into cells of the liver, heart and brain causing severe damage of the organs [21, 22]. Cadmium was detected only in water sample collected at location T4 of the residential area. Concentration of Cd at location T4 was however, higher than WHO permissible limit of 0.003 mg/L. Previous study by [10] had also reported that 18 % and 5 % of groundwater from Lagos and Ogun sedimentary origin, respectively had Cd concentration higher than WHO limit. High bioavailability of Cd owing to being highly water soluble compared to other toxic metals made it accumulate and intoxicate body organs like kidney, lungs, bones [22, 23, 24].

At the industrial area, concentration of Pb was highest in location T2 while the lowest was recorded in location T1. Similarly at the residential area, well in location T2 had the highest Pb while location T4 had the lowest Pb concentration. This study revealed that 50 % of the groundwater at both the industrial and
residential areas had higher concentration of Pb. This showed that half of the groundwater from either of the area was polluted by Pb. Meanwhile, it was discovered that higher concentration of Pb was found in groundwater at the residential than industrial area (Figure 1 b). In both areas, the concentration of Pb in the groundwater was between two to twenty three folds higher than 0.01 mg/L WHO limit for drinking water. This corroborates the findings of [22] that lead poisoning can occur through drinking water. High concentration of Pb in drinking water can cause kidney dysfunction and permanent brain damage among other chronic exposure [25].

Concentration of Cu in the groundwater was lowest (0.05 mg/L) in location T1 and highest (0.15 mg/L) in T4 at the industrial area. Conversely, at the residential area, the lowest (0.03 mg/L) Cu was in location T4 while the highest (0.09 mg/L) was in location T2. The wells at the industrial area were found to be more polluted with Cu than those at the residential area (Figure 1 b).

At the industrial area, Cr was not detected in groundwater in location T1 and was highest (0.03 mg/L) in location T3. In contrary, it was only in location T3 that Cr was detected at the residential area. The concentration of Cr in the wells does not pose any threat to peoples’ health since it was lower than 0.05 mg/L limit recommended by [17]. Across the locations, concentration of Ni in the groundwater was below detection limit.

**Correlation Analysis**

Table 4 shows the Spearman’s correlation matrix of the groundwater quality parameters. The pH of the groundwater was positively correlated with Pb (r = 0.416*) and negatively correlated with Cr (r = -0.668**) at the industrial area. The correlation of pH with TSS (r = 0.578**), TH (r= 0.732**), Fe (r = 0.549**) and Cd (r = 0.927**) was however, strong at the residential area. This indicated that the pH strongly influence these parameters.
All values are in mg/L except EC (μS/cm) and pH (no unit)

**Figure 1:** Qualities and Heavy Metal Concentrations of Groundwater at Industrial and Residential Areas of Sango Ota
Table 3: Concentrations of Heavy Metals in Groundwater Samples at different locations of Industrial and Residential Areas of Sango Ota (mean ± SD)

| Parameters | Area     | Location | WHO Limits [17] |
|------------|----------|----------|-----------------|
|            |          | T1       | T2       | T3       | T4       |                  |
| Zn         | Industrial | 0.13±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 0.14±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 0.19±0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.18±0.03<sup>a</sup> | 3.0               |
|            | Residential | 0.17±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.15±0.03<sup>b</sup> | 0.15±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 0.13±0.01<sup>c</sup> |                  |
| Fe         | Industrial | 0.80±0.02<sup>c</sup> | 0.50±0.01<sup>d</sup> | 1.07±0.04<sup>a</sup> | 0.82±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 0.3†             |
|            | Residential | 1.80±0.03<sup>a</sup> | 0.81±0.01<sup>b</sup> | 0.78±0.57<sup>b</sup> | 0.90±0.00<sup>b</sup> |                  |
| Cd         | Industrial | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       | 0.003            |
|            | Residential | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       |                  |
| Pb         | Industrial | 0.06±0.02<sup>c</sup> | 0.15±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.12±0.03<sup>b</sup> | 0.10±0.02<sup>b</sup> | 0.01             |
|            | Residential | 0.15±0.00<sup>b</sup> | 0.23±0.02<sup>a</sup> | 0.09±0.04<sup>c</sup> | 0.02±0.01<sup>d</sup> |                  |
| Cu         | Industrial | 0.05±0.02<sup>c</sup> | 0.10±0.04<sup>b</sup> | 0.14±0.03<sup>a</sup> | 0.15±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 2.0              |
|            | Residential | 0.06±0.03<sup>b</sup> | 0.09±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 0.04±0.01<sup>c</sup> | 0.03±0.04<sup>d</sup> |                  |
| Cr         | Industrial | BDL       | 0.02±0.03<sup>b</sup> | 0.03±0.01<sup>a</sup> | 0.01±0.04<sup>c</sup> | 0.05             |
|            | Residential | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       |                  |
| Ni         | Industrial | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       | 0.02             |
|            | Residential | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       | BDL       |                  |

†Based on WHO guideline [20]; BDL = Below detection limit; means in the same row with different superscript letters are significantly different (p < 0.05) according to Turkey HSD test.
Table 4: Pearson Moment Correlation (r) of Groundwater Quality Parameters at Industrial and Residential Areas in Sango Ota

| Properties | Areas       | pH  | EC  | TSS | TDS | NO$_3^-$ | SO$_4^{2-}$ | TH  | Zn  | Fe  | Cd  | Pb  | Cu  | Cr  | Ni  |
|------------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|----------|-------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| pH         | Industrial  | 1   |     |     |     |          |             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential |     |     |     |     |          |             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| EC         | Industrial  | -.244$^{ns}$ | 1   |     |     |          |             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .251$^{ns}$ |     |     |     |          |             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| TSS        | Industrial  | -.120$^{ns}$ | .212$^{ns}$ | 1   |     |          |             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .578$^{**}$ | .319$^{ns}$ |     |     |          |             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| TDS        | Industrial  | -.255$^{ns}$ | -.083$^{ns}$ | -.532$^{**}$ | 1   |          |             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .230$^{ns}$ | .701$^{**}$ | .007$^{ns}$ |     |          |             |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| NO$_3^-$   | Industrial  | -.230$^{ns}$ | -.021$^{ns}$ | -.373$^{ns}$ | .135$^{ns}$ | 1   |          |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .280$^{ns}$ | .922$^{**}$ | .072$^{ns}$ | .530$^{**}$ |     |          |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| SO$_4^{2-}$| Industrial  | -.291$^{ns}$ | .864$^{**}$ | .516$^{*}$ | -.237$^{ns}$ | -.323 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .168$^{ns}$ | .000$^{ns}$ | .010$^{ns}$ | .266$^{ns}$ | .123 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| TH         | Industrial  | -.074$^{ns}$ | .338$^{ns}$ | .427$^{*}$ | .095$^{ns}$ | -.131 | .287 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .732$^{**}$ | .106$^{ns}$ | .037$^{ns}$ | .659$^{**}$ | .540$^{**}$ | .173 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Zn         | Industrial  | -.302$^{ns}$ | -.266$^{ns}$ | .385$^{ns}$ | -.320$^{ns}$ | .016 | -.183 | -.199 | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .151$^{ns}$ | .209$^{ns}$ | .063$^{ns}$ | .128$^{ns}$ | .940$^{**}$ | .392 | .352 |     |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Fe         | Industrial  | -.129$^{ns}$ | .291$^{ns}$ | .860$^{**}$ | -.459$^{*}$ | -.180 | .417$^{*}$ | .502$^{*}$ | .413$^{*}$ | 1   |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .549$^{**}$ | .168$^{ns}$ | .000$^{ns}$ | .024$^{ns}$ | .400$^{*}$ | .042 | .012 | .045 |     |     |     |     |     |     |
| Cd         | Industrial  | .927$^{**}$ | .003$^{ns}$ | .746$^{**}$ | .432$^{*}$ | .684$^{**}$ | .001 | .217 | .081 | .884$^{**}$ |     |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .416$^{*}$ | -.639$^{**}$ | .153$^{ns}$ | .176$^{ns}$ | -.473$^{*}$ | -.500$^{*}$ | .038 | .290 | .067 | -.547$^{**}$ |     |     |     |     |
| Pb         | Industrial  | .043$^{ns}$ | .001$^{ns}$ | .477$^{*}$ | .411$^{*}$ | .019 | .013 | .859$^{**}$ | .169 | .754$^{**}$ | .006 |     |     |     |     |
|            | Residential | .189$^{ns}$ | -.446$^{*}$ | -.247$^{ns}$ | .220$^{ns}$ | .237 | -.581$^{**}$ | -.440$^{*}$ | .716$^{**}$ | -.103 | -.421$^{*}$ | .397 |     |     |     |

**Note:** $^{ns}$: Not significant; $^{*}$: Significant at 0.05 level; $^{**}$: Significant at 0.01 level.
|          | Residentia | Industrial | Residentia | Industrial | Residentia | Industrial | Residentia | Industrial | Residentia | Industrial |
|----------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Cr       | .378 **ns | .029 ns    | .244 **ns | .301 **ns  | .266 .003   | .032 .000   | .633 **    | .040 .055   |            |            |
|          | - .668 ** | -.460 *    | -.251 ns   | .417 *     | .281 -.393 | -.368 -.365 | -.259 -.314 | .047 .561 ** | 1          |
| Ni       | .000 ns    | .024 ns    | .236 ns    | .043 ns    | .184 .058   | .077 .079   | .221 .136   | .827 ** .004 |            |            |
|          |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |            |

*, and ** correlation is significant at p < 0.05; p < 0.01 probability levels (2-tailed), respectively; ns = non-significant and c = cannot be computed as at least one of the variable is constant.
Electrical conductivity of the groundwater had a strong influence on the SO$_4^{2-}$ (r = 0.864)$^*$ and Cd (r = 0.587)$^**$ but with a negative relationship on Pb (r = -0.639)$^**$, Cu (r = -0.446)$^*$ and Cr (r = -0.460)$^*$ at the industrial area. The negative correlation indicated that abundance of dissolved salt (EC) in the groundwater resulted in a corresponding decrease in concentrations of Pb, Cu and Cr. At the residential area, increase in EC of the water also had a significant increase on the TDS (r = 0.701)$^*$ and NO$_3^-$ (r = 0.922)$^*$ concentrations. The positive correlation however, showed that EC was controlled by TDS and NO$_3^-$, which agrees with the study of [26]. Total suspended solid was negatively correlated with TDS (r = - 0.523)$^*$ but positively correlated with SO$_4^{2-}$, TH and Fe with coefficients $r$, of = 0.516$^*$, 0.427$^*$ and 0.860$^*$, respectively at the industrial area. This indicated that the amount of solid particles found in the groundwater was a function of its sulphate, hardness property and iron content. Meanwhile, at the residential area, the correlation showed that TSS was a function of Cd (r = 0.746)$^*$ and Pb (r = 0.477)$^*$. Total dissolved solid in the water sample was negatively correlated with Fe (r = -0.459)$^*$ at the industrial area. This indicated that as TDS increases concentration of Fe in the water decreases and vice versa. However, at the residential the TDS was strongly influenced by NO$_3^-$ and TH with correlations of $r$ = 0.550$^*$ and $r$ = 0.659$^*$, respectively. The TDS was also positively correlated with Cd (r = 0.432)$^*$ and Pb (r = 0.411)$^*$ in the groundwater at the residential area. This implies that these parameters affect the potability of the groundwater samples. Total dissolved solid has been regarded as a measure of water potability [2]. At the industrial area, NO$_3^-$ had an inverse relationship with concentration of Pb (r = -0.473)$^*$ in the groundwater. Conversely, NO$_3^-$ in the water was positively influenced by TH (r = 0.540)$^*$, Zn (r = 0.940)$^**$, Fe (r = 0.400)$^*$, and Cd (r = 0.684)$^*$ at the residential area. This could be a reflection of anthropogenic activities at the residential area. According to [2] anthropogenic activities in surrounding environment of groundwater have a strong impact on its nitrate enrichment contamination. The SO$_4^{2-}$ in the groundwater at industrial area showed a significant positive relationship with Fe (r = 0.417)$^*$ and Cd (r = 0.635)$^*$ but had a negative relationship with Pb (r = -0.500)$^*$ and Cu (r = -0.581)$^*$. Total hardness was positively correlated with Fe (r = 0.502)$^*$ and negatively correlated with Cu (r = -0.440)$^*$ at industrial area. Meanwhile, at the residential area, the TH was significantly correlated with Pb (r = 0.859)$^*$). The correlation of Zn was only significant with Fe (r = 0.413)$^*$ and Cu (r = 0.716)$^*$ at industrial area. Concentration of Fe in the groundwater was however, strongly influenced by Cd (r = 0.884)$^*$, Pb (r = 0.754)$^*$ and Cu (r = 0.633)$^*$ at the residential area. This showed that abundance of Fe in the groundwater was influenced by these toxic metals hence, people in this area are exposed to potential health risks. Cadmium in the groundwater had an inverse correlation with Pb (r = -0.547)$^*$ and Cu (r = -0.421)$^*$ at industrial area. This indicated that the presence of Cd in the water was not dependent on Pb and Cu. Meanwhile, Pb was highly dependent on Cr (r = 0.827)$^*$ at residential area while Cu concentration was a function of Cr (r = 0.561)$^*$ at the industrial area.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This study revealed that most of the groundwater samples were slightly acidic. Majority of the water samples were high in, EC, TSS, SO$_4^{2-}$ and TH at the residential than industrial area. However, high concentrations of TDS and NO$_3^-$ were found in 75% of the groundwater at the industrial over residential area. Among the heavy metals, Fe and Pb were above WHO allowable limits for drinking water and hence constitute major health threat in both the industrial and residential areas. Cadmium was also of health concern at location T4 of the residential area. Therefore, to safe guard people from contacting diseases related to these water contaminants, there is need for government to provide potable water for people in the study area. Government should also focus more on sensitization programme that centered on improved sanitation practices for people who rely on their wells for drinking water.
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