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1. Introduction

The COVID-19 virus was pandemic and has become a serious public health problem worldwide. The pandemic disease was called severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, also named SARS-CoV-2. Coronaviruses (CoV) are a large family of viruses that cause diseases resulting from colds such as the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS-CoV) and Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS-CoV) [1–3]. This virus is identified has zoonotic because of contamination from animals to humans. There are studies that the SARS-CoV virus is contaminated from musk cats to humans, and the MERS-CoV virus is polluting substance from dromedary to humans. COVID-19 virus is assumed to be contaminated from bats to humans. Respiratory transmission of the disease from person to a person caused the rapid spread of the epidemic. In many advanced countries, the health system has come to the opinion of downfall because of the rise in demand for intensive care units (ICUs) simultaneously. ICU is filled with patients who get worse with COVID-19 pneumonia. The distribution of COVID-19 cases seen worldwide everyday increase case between February 1st and March 21st, 2020 is shown in Fig. 23.1 [4].

Many scholars, academicians from various disciplines are jointly working along with concerned officials to understand the COVID-19 pathogenesis and how to rheostat the spread of this new disease [5]. From the studies, it has been observed patterns on X-ray images for patients diagnosed with positive case. Toward the concern for common people’s health point of view, a fleet patient separation is crucial for suppression of this
FIGURE 23.1 Distribution of COVID-19 cases worldwide between (February 2020–March 2020).
disease [6]. Because of the less resources, this has become insufficient and deluge by the exponentially of increase in patients’ number [7]. Intelligence system in medical imagining systems has been developed in feature extraction and relation features. The convolutional neural network (CNN) has been tremendous in feature extraction. This CNN is used to enhance low images to high images with some less training. Similarly, it is used to diagnosis of pediatric via chest X-ray and image extraction from videos’ [8–10].

By the brisk outcome of information technology, digital image processing technology has been used successfully in the medical field, such has image segmentation and image enhancement and image reconstruction for subsequent medical diagnosis [11]. The deep learning technologies, like CNN of nonlinear modeling, have wide applications in medical image processing as well. The detection of this virus may fall back on these yardsticks: “clinical symptoms,” “epidemiological history,” and “positive X-ray images.” The clinical physiognomies of this virus’s include “respiratory symptoms,” “fever,” “cough,” and “pneumonia” [12–15]. The indicators of X-ray images, COVID-19 cases had their own features, different from the indicators of X-ray images of other viral pneumonia such as Influenza-A viral pneumonia, as showed in Fig. 23.2. For that reason, clinical doctors called for switching nucleic acid testing with lung X-ray as one of the early diagnostic criteria for this new type of pneumonia as soon as possible.

Digital image processing technology has been widely applied in the medical field, for image segmentation, image enhancement, and image reconstruction, providing support for medical diagnosis. In this study, CNN models were used to classify X-ray image datasets and calculate the infection probability of COVID-19. The verdicts might greatly support in the early transmission of patients with COVID-19. A representation model is as shown in Fig. 23.3.

2. Literature survey
Eduardo A. Soares, Plamen P Angelov, and Sarah Biaso [16] authors have developed a public available SARS-CoV-2 computed tomography (CT) scan dataset, containing 1252 CT scans that are positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19) and 1230 CT scans for
patients noninfected by SARS-CoV-2, 2482 CT scans in total. Authors were able to identify if a person is infected by SARS-CoV-2 through the analysis of his/her CT scans and they claim good results.

Sara Haseli, Nastaran Khalili [17] reported chest CT imaging results of patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 pneumonia. Inters of segmental distribution, most commonly, involvement was seen in the posterior segment of the left lower lobe. Moreover, certain segments and lobes were more frequently involved based on age and sex of patients.

Shuai Wang et al. [18] collected CT images of pathogen-confirmed COVID-19 cases along with those previously diagnosed with typical viral pneumonia. They modified the inception transfer-learning model to establish the algorithm, followed by internal and external validation and claims of 85% of results.

Ali Narin, Ceren Kaya [19] from their study proposed three different CNN-based models (ResNet50, InceptionV3, and InceptionResNetV2) have been proposed for the detection of coronavirus pneumonia—infected patient using chest X-ray radiographs. Considering the performance results obtained is seen that the pretrained ResNet50 model provides the highest classification performance.

2.1 Objective of the work

This study aimed to establish an early screening model to distinguish COVID-19 and healthy cases with X-ray images using Grad-CAM and CNN models.

2.2 Vital opinions

(1) Whether this technology can be used to early screen COVID-19 patients from normal patients and what is the indicative precision.

(2) In this case study, the overall accuracy of this models was obtained at the end of 15th epoch was 98% along with a training accuracy of 96% for two groups COVID-19 and healthy cases.

(3) It is fully programmed and conceivably it will be a hopeful supplementary diagnostic method for clinical doctors.
3. Materials and method

3.1 Dataset introduction

The dataset we took was a combination of chest X-ray dataset from two different sources.

1. Dr. Joseph Paul Cohen opened a publicly available chest X-ray dataset which contained chest X-ray images and some CT scan images which contained X-ray images of COVID and other similar diseases.
2. COVID-19 chest X-ray data from Kaggle: This dataset contained chest X-ray image data of pneumonia and normal condition of chest X-ray images.

3.2 Dataset description

The standard COVID-19 tests are called *polymerase chain reaction* tests which look for the existence of antibodies of a given infection. *Pathogenic laboratory testing* is the diagnostic gold standard, but it is time-consuming with significant false-negative results. Moreover, *large scale implementation* of the COVID-19 tests which are extremely expensive cannot be afforded by many of the developing and underdeveloped countries, in this regard, we decided to use the deep learning and computer vision approach to diagnose corona virus based off of X-ray images as X-ray is one of the readily available diagnostic solution as compared to CT scan.

3.2.1 Data working model explanation

(a) At the outset, the first process was concentrated mostly on COVID-19 chest X-ray images and normal chest X-ray images to build our deep learning classification model.

(b) Later the second data sources were combined by taking COVID-19 chest X-ray images from first source and normal chest X-ray images from second source, finally obtained 441 X-ray images in total of which 81 were randomly selected and used for validation and 350 images were used for training purpose.

(c) The dataset was carefully screened to have only relevant chest X-ray images, discarding images of other type or the images which lacked resolution to get the final dataset (Fig. 23.4).

3.2.2 Data augmentation

Many nondestructive sections which were inappropriate to this training were cloistered using the “three-dimensional segmentation model,” “fibrotic structure of pulmonary,” and “classification spots” are identified incorrectly. Thus, an additional category was added as extraneous besides COVID-19. The model prospect of COVID-19 cases was prolonged thrice to stabilize the number of extraneous, so as to limit the stimulus of the irregular distribution of different image types on the present dataset. At the same time, data extension mechanisms, such as “random clipping,” “left-right,” “up-down flipping,” and “mirroring operation,” were performed on samples to increase the number of training samples and prevent data overfitting.
4. Implementation workflow

The main motivation was to build a classification model which could predict and detect the occurrence of COVID-19 virus in the given X-ray sample of the patient as input to the developed model. To achieve this goal, three different experimentation approaches were carried out as shown below.

1. Training the model with some state-of-the-art models and finding out classification accuracy.
2. Training the model with VGG 16 transfer learning (TL) with the ImageNet weights and finetuning final layers to find the classification accuracy.
3. Building CNN architecture to find out the accuracy of the model (Figs. 23.5–23.12).

4.1 Training the data with state-of-the-art models

4.1.1 Results of the model

The accuracies obtained which is shown in Table 23.1 and the graphs from 3.1.1 through 3.1.8, none of the models are fit for detecting COVID-19 from chest X-ray for the given
FIGURE 23.6 Accuracy and loss curves for Mobile Net.

FIGURE 23.7 Accuracy and loss curves for ResNet15V2.
FIGURE 23.8 Accuracy and loss curves for VGG 19.

FIGURE 23.9 Accuracy and loss curves for Inception Resnet.
FIGURE 23.10 Accuracy and loss curves for Inception V3.

FIGURE 23.11 Accuracy and loss curves for VGG 16.

FIGURE 23.12 Validation and loss curves for Xception.
dataset. The model of covid 19 patients and normal person architecture is as shown in the Fig. 23.13. However, this gives us an insight into how the CNN trains over the small dataset. These results clearly infer an overfitting trend from the graphs. The overfitting trend remains though we have added image data augmentation to increase the size our dataset. We can then infer from the data such that when construction or finetuning our own model, which becomes necessary to add regularization or dropout layers so as to prevent overfitting of the training data (Fig. 23.13).

4.2 Deep transfer learning with layer fine turning and VGG 16

This is the subbranch of machine learning, and because of its accomplishment in the field of medical image processing, it is used in recent years. Deep learning models have been used successfully in many areas such as classification and segmentation of medical data. Analysis of image and signal data obtained with medical imaging techniques such as magnetic resonance imaging, CT, and X-ray with the help of deep learning models. This model provided the convince results in the field of detection and diagnosis in the field of “diabetes”, “skin and breast cancer” [20–22].

Deep learning (DL) models often need a lot of data, but for the analysis of medical data, the main disadvantage is the dataset till today many researchers are facing the issue. The main advantage of using this TL is that it allows the training of data with fewer datasets and requires less calculation costs. This TL method, which is widely used in
the field of DL, the information gainer by the pretrained model on a large dataset is transferred to the model to be trained [23–25].

4.2.1 Experimental setup for training the model carried out

Python programming language was used to train the proposed deep TL models. All experiments were performed on a Google Collaboratory with Ubuntu 16.04 operating system using Tesla K80 GPU graphics card.

CNN model VGG 16 was used for TL which was initialized with pretrained ImageNet weights and optimized using the Adam optimizer. The batch size, learning rate, and number of epochs were determined experimentally and early stopping was implemented over the training process. The dataset used was randomly split into two independent datasets with 80% and 20% for training and testing, respectively. The model was fine-tuned in their final layers after the convolutional layers as shown in Table 23.2. The full VGG 16 architecture used for experiment is given in Table 23.2.

The validation accuracy obtained was around 65% which was very low and comparatively same to the previous section, considering this is a 2-class problem.

Table 23.2 Transfer learning with layer fine turning and VGG 16.

| Layer (type)           | Output shape       | Param #  |
|------------------------|--------------------|----------|
| InputLayer             | (None, 224, 224, 3)| 0        |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 224, 224, 64)| 1792     |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 224, 224, 64)| 36,928   |
| MaxPooling2D           | (None, 112, 112, 64)| 0        |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 112, 112, 128)| 73,856   |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 112, 112, 128)| 147,584   |
| MaxPooling2D           | (None, 56, 56, 128)| 0        |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 56, 56, 256)| 295,168   |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 56, 56, 256)| 590,080   |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 56, 56, 256)| 590,080   |
| MaxPooling2D           | (None, 28, 28, 256)| 0        |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 28, 28, 512)| 1,180,160 |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 28, 28, 512)| 2,359,808 |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 28, 28, 512)| 2,359,808 |
| MaxPooling2D           | (None, 14, 14, 512)| 0        |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 14, 14, 512)| 2,359,808 |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 14, 14, 512)| 2,359,808 |
| Conv2D                 | (None, 14, 14, 512)| 2,359,808 |
| MaxPooling2D           | (None, 7, 7, 512)| 0        |
| GlobalAveragePooling2D | (None, 512)| 0        |
| Dense                  | (None, 128)| 65,664   |
| Dropout                | (None, 128)| 0        |
| Dense                  | (None, 1)| 129      |

Total parameters: 14,780,481. Trainable parameters: 14,780,481. Nontrainable parameters: 0.
4.3 Training with a convolutional neural network architecture

To obtain an improved accuracy, a new CNN architecture model was developed for training the model. Considering the small dataset, it was proceeded to build a small architecture for CNN classification which is as shown in Table 23.3.

The model was compiled with Adam optimizer along with binary cross-entropy as a loss function. Image data augmentation such as zoom, shear, normalization, and horizontal flip was used to negate the effects of using a small dataset.

The highest validation accuracy obtained at the end of 15th epoch was 98% along with a training accuracy of 96%.

The plots for Training Accuracy versus Validation Accuracy and Training Loss versus Validation Loss are as shown in Figs. 23.14 and 23.15, respectively.

4.3.1 Performance metrics

During the experiment process to analyze the performance metrics, the following five criteria were used.

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{(TN + TP)}{(TN + TP + FN + FP)}
\]  
\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{(TP + FN)}
\]  
\[
\text{Specificity} = \frac{TN}{(TN + FP)}
\]  
\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{(TP + FP)}
\]  
\[
\text{F1–Score} = 2 \times \left( \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \right)
\]

### Table 23.3 The structure of an architecture.

| Layer (type)       | Output shape         | Param #  |
|--------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Conv2D             | (None, 150, 150, 32) | 896      |
| MaxPooling2D       | (None, 75, 75, 32)   | 0        |
| BatchNormalization | (None, 75, 75, 32)   | 128      |
| Conv2D             | (None, 75, 75, 64)   | 18,496   |
| MaxPooling2D       | (None, 37, 37, 64)   | 0        |
| BatchNormalization | (None, 37, 37, 64)   | 256      |
| Conv2D             | (None, 37, 37, 128)  | 73,856   |
| MaxPooling2D       | (None, 18, 18, 128)  | 0        |
| BatchNormalization | (None, 18, 18, 128)  | 512      |
| Flatten            | (None, 41,472)       | 0        |
| Dense              | (None, 128)          | 5,308,544|
| Dropout            | (None, 128)          | 0        |
| Dense              | (None, 1)            | 129      |

Total parameters: 5,402,817. Trainable parameters: 5,402,369. Nontrainable parameters: 448.
TP, FP, TN, and FN which constitute the symbol of “True-Positive/Negative,” “False-Positive/Negative,” respectively. Precise set of data and model, in which “True-Positive” is the proportion of positive patient case that are properly considered as COVID-19 by the model; “False Positive” is the part of negative normal patient that are mislabeled as positive case, “True-Negative” is the part of negative normal patient that are properly considered as normal, and “False-Negative” is the part of positive patient that is misbranded as negative normal patient by the model. The Table 23.4 shows the results of normal and covid-19 patients precision model, and the confusion matrix as shown in the (Fig. 23.16).

5. Gradient-based activation model

The architecture of Gradient-weighted Class Activation Mapping (Grad-CAM) which is as shown in Fig. 23.17 uses the gradients of any target perception in a classification of network flowing into the final convolutional layer to produce a coarse localization map emphasizing the important regions in the image for predicting the concept. This model is applicable to a wide variety of CNN model-families: “Fully connected layer,” “Structured
output,” and “Multimodal inputs.” Grad-CAM uses the gradients of any target concept, flowing into the final convolutional layer to produce a coarse localization map highlighting the important regions in the image for predicting the concept. Using Grad-CAM, we can visually validate where our network is looking, verifying that it is indeed looking at the correct patterns in the image and activating around those patterns [4,26].

However, Class Activation Model (CAM) is a good technique to interpret the working of CNN and build the conviction in the applications developed, but still they undergo from some limitations. Some of the drawbacks of CAM is that it needs feature maps to

|          | Precision | Recall | F1  |
|----------|-----------|--------|-----|
| COVID-19 | 0.975     | 0.975  | 0.975|
| Normal   | 0.975     | 0.975  | 0.975|

Table 23.4  The precision model is as given below.
directly precede the SoftMax layers, so it is applicable to a kind of CNN architectures that perform global average pooling over convolutional maps immediately before prediction.

In our experimentation, we used Grad-CAM to find out which part of the chest X-ray was the most significant in diagnosing whether the patient has COVID-19 infection or not infected.

Steps followed in Grad-CAM

1. The model is first run on forward pass with the input image on our own architecture.
2. The input image is preprocessed so that it is compatible with the model.
3. Then the model is used to make prediction on the image, and the top prediction is decoded.
4. Then, we find the gradients of the target class score with respect to the feature maps of the last convolutional layer. Intuitively it tells us how important each channel is with regard to the target class.
5. The gradients thus obtained are then global average pooled to obtain the neuron important weights corresponding to the target class.
6. After that, we multiply each activation map with corresponding pooled gradients which acts as weights determining how important each channel is with regard to the target class. We then take the mean of all the activation maps along the channels, and the result obtained is the final class discriminative saliency map.
7. Then we apply ReLU on the resulting heatmap to only keep the features that have a positive influence on the output map.
8. We then divide each intensity value of the heatmap with the maximum intensity value to normalize the heatmap such that all values fall between 0 and 1.

The obtained results of Grad-CAM Steps are as shown in Figs. 23.18–23.20 respectively.

In Fig. 23.17, the darker regions are significant in finding whether an X-ray sample has COVID-19 or not as shown by our neural network architecture.
The image above shows the similarities between the region of interest marked by Grad-CAM trained from our custom CNN to the region marked as area of interest for COVID-19 obtained from the dataset. The image was part of a test set where the particular image was used to highlight Region of Interest by Grad-CAM. We can clearly...
see that the region marked is almost close or is exactly near the region of interest in Grad-CAM which to some extent shows that the custom-built CNN is classifying based on actual area of interest rather than some noise or discrepancies in the training or test images. It is important to note that the image obtained for test was from the open source dataset and not other information about the image is known to us (Figs. 23.18–23.20).

6. Results discussion

Prediction and isolation of COVID-19 cases was one of the major and required steps in taking immediate action over controlling the spread of the COVID-19 disease. Throat swab test was one of the methods for detecting the presence of COVID-19. The drawback of this test was a time-consuming test, and equipment were much needed which were not readily available with the everyday hospital. In this regard, we decided to use the concepts of image processing and DL to find the presence of COVID-19 infection in a person. The datasets collection phase involved collecting various X-ray images of COVID-19 and normal patients, in which various images were collected from open-source datasets. The experimentation phase was carried out on the X-ray images, and these were trained with the state-of-the-art deep learning model to an optimal model which could diagnose a given image as COVID-19 or normal. But regrettably, all the models were abortive in achieving accuracy. The reason for less accuracy was mainly because of few reasons which were notified such as huge datasets were used in training all the models which was used were from the image-net competition which includes large datasets of visually different images, and we had a small datasets of visually similar images.

In the second step, we strive with using the per-trained weights and the concept of TL to see if we could obtain a higher accuracy. Though the result was the model with a higher accuracy when compared to the one without TL, the accuracy was still not high enough to be considered a good classifying model. Considering the similarities between the previous two steps, it was concluded that the reason behind this was a large number of convolution layers and a small dataset.

In the third step, we have developed our own model considering the previous experiments. At the preliminary stage, we developed a small model with a few convolution layers and a few dense layers with normalization and dropouts to prevent the model from under-fitting or over-fitting. This model was found to be pretty good model when it was compared to the previous two models and achieved a good result with the experiment outcome. Subsequent plan was used to find which part of the X-ray images were responsible for COVID-19, hence to visualize this, a algorithmic technique Grad-CAM was used to generate heat maps from the final convolution layers. The observation made on the test set was a very close similarity to the images marked by professionals.
(obtained from the datasets) and the region shown by our model. Though the result was good in our experiment, all the results are based on a small open-sourced dataset which were made available for research purposes. The experiments results is as shown in the Figs. 23.21–23.24 respectively.
FIGURE 23.23 Homepage for testing case X-ray image of random sample 2.

FIGURE 23.24 Result of the tool detected positive.
7. Conclusion

Initial prediction of COVID-19 patients is essential to prevent the spread of the pandemic disease to other people. In this study, we proposed a Grad-CAM approach using chest X-ray images obtained from COVID-19 patients and normal to predict COVID-19 patients automatically. Performance results show that the pretrained model yielded the highest accuracy of 98%. The highest validation accuracy obtained is 98% along with a training accuracy of 96%. It is believed that this may help doctors to take appropriate decisions in clinical practice. To detect and predict the COVID-19 at an early stage, this experiment might give an insight on how Grad-CAM can be used. Further work and rigorous clinical trials need to be conducted by medical professionals before the effectiveness of the model can be proven practically.

8. Future work

The future work of the research involves using the model to classify phenomena, COVID-19, and other illnesses to normal images and to create a classifying model for these diseases using only X-ray images.

9. Summary of work carried out so far

1. In our problem, X-ray images were used for experiment. These images were taken from open source data sets.
2. This experiment is an end-to-end system, hence it does have not have any feature extraction and selection.
3. We used our own CNN model to carry out the experiment to achieve the better results along with Grad-CAM technique.
4. Since it is a new research topic, the data is limited to less samples, apart from this, good achievement results were obtained.
5. The main problem in this experiment is less amount of COVID-19 samples used for training and testing process (Figs. 23.21–23.24).

10. Application program interface for COVID-19 testing

Note

The effort was made to predict the COVID-19 using new technologies for the societal benefits. The COVID-19 X-ray experiment was conducted on a small open-source dataset which was available for research purpose. In this paper, deep learning technologies were used to detect and predict the presence of COVID-19 on the chest X-ray images along with Gradient Class Activation Map (Grad-CAM) can be potentially used to identify the infected region on a chest X-ray. The further work needs to be carried out
on this research including clinical tests with a large amount of data, and it is important that the work done should be reviewed and approved by medical professionals.
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