The role of remdesivir in treating patients in hospital with COVID-19 remains ill defined.1 Remdesivir, a repurposed antiviral medication, has full or emergency approval from a number of regulators — including Health Canada — for the treatment of COVID-19, based on clinical trial data documenting a benefit on improving time to recovery. 2 An interim report of the larger World Health Organization (WHO) Solidarity trial showed no difference regarding mortality or need for mechanical ventilation, with a number of smaller trials being inconclusive on these important outcomes.3–6 Recommendations of clinical guidelines are mixed, with some recommending remdesivir as standard of care, and others weakly recommending against. 7,8 Its impact on other clinical outcomes, including resource utilization and post–hospital stay outcomes, has not been fully defined, and there remains a possibility of an important treatment effect, particularly in certain groups of patients.9
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Abstract

Background: The role of remdesivir in the treatment of patients in hospital with COVID-19 remains ill defined in a global context. The World Health Organization Solidarity randomized controlled trial (RCT) evaluated remdesivir in patients across many countries, with Canada enrolling patients using an expanded data collection format in the Canadian Treatments for COVID-19 (CATCO) trial. We report on the Canadian findings, with additional demographics, characteristics and clinical outcomes, to explore the potential for differential effects across different health care systems.

Methods: We performed an open-label, pragmatic RCT in Canadian hospitals, in conjunction with the Solidarity trial. We randomized patients to 10 days of remdesivir (200 mg intravenously [IV] on day 0, followed by 100 mg IV daily), plus standard care, or standard care alone. The primary outcome was in-hospital mortality. Secondary outcomes included changes in clinical severity, oxygen- and ventilator-free days (at 28 d), incidence of new oxygen or mechanical ventilation use, duration of hospital stay, and adverse event rates. We performed a priori subgroup analyses according to duration of symptoms before enrolment, age, sex and severity of symptoms on presentation.

Results: Across 52 Canadian hospitals, we randomized 1282 patients between Aug. 14, 2020, and Apr. 1, 2021, to remdesivir (n = 634) or standard of care (n = 648). Of these, 15 withdrew consent or were still in hospital, for a total sample of 1267 patients. Among patients assigned to receive remdesivir, in-hospital mortality was 18.7%, compared with 22.6% in those assigned remdesivir, and 15.0% in those receiving standard of care (RR 0.83 (95% confidence interval [CI] 0.67 to 1.03), and 60-day mortality was 24.8% and 28.2%, respectively (95% CI 0.72 to 1.07). For patients not mechanically ventilated at baseline, the need for mechanical ventilation was 8.0% in those assigned remdesivir, and 15.0% in those receiving standard of care (RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.38 to 0.75). Mean oxygen-free and ventilator-free days at day 28 were 15.9 (± standard deviation [SD] 10.5) and 21.4 (± SD 11.3) in those receiving remdesivir and 14.2 (± SD 11) and 19.5 (± SD 12.3) in those receiving standard of care (p = 0.006 and 0.007, respectively). There was no difference in safety events of new dialysis, change in creatinine, or new hepatic dysfunction between the 2 groups.

Interpretation: Remdesivir, when compared with standard of care, has a modest but significant effect on outcomes important to patients and health systems, such as the need for mechanical ventilation.

Trial registration: ClinicalTrials.gov, no. NCT04330690.
Solidarity is a global pragmatic clinical trial examining the effects of various therapeutics in patients with COVID-19.\textsuperscript{3,10} Canadian Treatments for COVID-19 (CATCO) is a substudy of Solidarity, funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), in which added data elements are collected to better understand the effects of specific agents. We aimed to estimate the effect of treatment with remdesivir compared with standard care, for patients in hospital with COVID-19 in Canada; global data, which include Canadian patients randomized before Jan. 29, 2021, will also be available in a separate publication.

**Methods**

CATCO is a pragmatic, multicentre randomized controlled trial (RCT), in which multiple agents are compared against the currently available standard of care in an open-label fashion. Eligible patients include adults admitted to participating hospitals (Appendix 1, available at www.cmaj.ca/lookup/doi/10.1503/cmaj.211698/tab-related-content) with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. Exclusion criteria were allergy to study drug, anticipated transfer to a nonstudy site, expected to not survive beyond 24 hours, or already receiving remdesivir at time of enrolment. Baseline renal or hepatic dysfunction were not specific exclusion criteria. The effect of other drugs studied in CATCO — interferon, lopinavir-ritonavir and hydroxychloroquine — are reported as part of a previous Solidarity interim report.\textsuperscript{3}

We randomized eligible and consenting patients to either the intervention treatment regimen of remdesivir (intravenous [IV]) 200 mg on day 0 and 100 mg on days 1 through 9 plus standard care, or the control treatment regimen, consisting of standard care. All other care decisions were left to the treating clinicians, including co-interventions, such as dexamethasone or tocilizumab or both for eligible patients, according to time period, hospital setting and participation in other RCTs.\textsuperscript{11} Patients were discharged when the treating team deemed them clinically eligible, and study intervention was stopped if discharge occurred before completion of a full course of treatment. Consent was either obtained a priori or deferred, as per the requirements of local ethics boards. We randomized patients, unstratified, in a 1:1 ratio. After the Solidarity initial interim analysis was reported, recruitment preferentially focused on patients who were not mechanically ventilated.\textsuperscript{3} We performed randomization through the global Solidarity trial until Jan. 29, 2021, and then in Canada until Apr. 1, 2021, through a Web-based server after Solidarity ceased randomization to remdesivir. As such, CATCO enrolled more Canadian patients than are included in the global Solidarity trial, all using the same unstratified randomization ratios.

**Outcome measures**

The primary outcome in the global Solidarity trial was in-hospital mortality, with secondary outcomes of new need for mechanical ventilation (for those not ventilated at baseline) and hospital length of stay.\textsuperscript{3} Additional predefined secondary outcomes for CATCO included in this report were clinical severity of illness on days 3, 5, 8, 11, 15, 29 and 60 (including postdischarge), according to the WHO Ordinal Scale;\textsuperscript{12} oxygen-free and ventilator-free days at day 28 from time of randomization, defined as 0 if the patient died within 28 days and 28 minus number of days with oxygenation or ventilation, respectively;\textsuperscript{13} and safety outcomes of special interest, including new hepatic dysfunction and new need for renal replacement therapy. We did not conduct a pre-specified exploratory analysis of the proportion of patients with negative viral swabs at various time points after randomization, given the amount of missingness in this data point.

**Figure 1:** Flow chart showing enrolment, randomization and inclusion of patients in analysis. Note: IP = investigational product, IV = intravenous. *One patient with 2 reasons.
Among patients in the CATCO trial, we report the primary outcome, as well as the 2 secondary Solidarity outcomes, in addition to the above-listed secondary outcomes. The primary analysis was based upon intention to treat. We report all-cause mortality and the binary secondary outcomes as proportions, risk ratios, absolute risk difference and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We examined secondary outcomes of clinical severity using Table 1: Characteristics of patients at baseline in the Canadian Treatments for COVID-19 trial

| Characteristic                              | No. (%)* of patients receiving remdesivir | No. (%)* of patients receiving standard of care |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| Age, yr, median (IQR)                       | 65 (53 to 77)                            | 66 (54 to 77)                                 |
| Female sex                                  | 260 (41.0)                               | 255 (39.4)                                    |
| Clinical Frailty Score, median (IQR)        | 3 (3 to 5)                               | 3 (2 to 5)                                    |
| Time from symptom onset, to hospital admission, d, median (IQR) (n = 1210) | 6 (3 to 9)                               | 6 (4 to 9)                                    |
| Time from hospital admission to symptom onset for nosocomial acquisition (n = 65), d, median (IQR) | 16 (7 to 40)                            | 8 (5 to 16.5)                                 |
| Time from symptom onset to randomization, d, median (IQR) | 8 (5 to 11)                             | 8 (6 to 11)                                   |
| Diabetes†                                   | 155 (33.6)                               | 188 (38.4)                                    |
| Chronic respiratory disease†                | 67 (14.5)                                | 65 (13.3)                                     |
| Asthma†                                     | 49 (10.6)                                | 55 (11.2)                                     |
| Smoker†                                     | 23 (5.0)                                 | 22 (4.5)                                      |
| Chronic cardiovascular disease†             | 120 (26.0)                               | 135 (27.6)                                    |
| Chronic liver disease†                      | 8 (1.7)                                  | 19 (3.9)                                      |
| HIV positive†                               | 1 (0.2)                                  | 1 (0.2)                                       |
| Ethnic group‡                                |                                         |                                               |
| White                                       | 269 (42.4)                               | 255 (39.4)                                    |
| South Asian                                 | 90 (14.2)                                | 110 (17.0)                                    |
| East Asian                                  | 40 (6.3)                                 | 42 (6.5)                                      |
| Indigenous or First Nations                 | 40 (6.3)                                 | 28 (4.3)                                      |
| Black                                       | 20 (3.2)                                 | 25 (3.9)                                      |
| Arab                                        | 22 (3.5)                                 | 24 (3.7)                                      |
| Latin American                              | 23 (3.6)                                 | 21 (3.2)                                      |
| West Asian                                  | 8 (1.3)                                  | 12 (1.9)                                      |
| Other                                       | 9 (1.4)                                  | 14 (2.2)                                      |
| Not available                               | 119 (18.8)                               | 126 (19.5)                                    |
| Employed as a health care worker            | 29 (4.6)                                 | 28 (4.3)                                      |
| Corticosteroid use                          | 553 (87.2)                               | 564 (87.2)                                    |
| Tocilizumab use                             | 14 (2.2)                                 | 5 (0.8)                                       |
| Baseline severity at randomization          |                                          |                                               |
| Patient in ICU                              | 139 (21.9)                               | 135 (20.9)                                    |
| Organ support on day 0                      |                                          |                                               |
| No organ support                            | 71 (11.2)                                | 54 (8.4)                                      |
| Low-flow oxygen                             | 334 (52.7)                               | 363 (56.2)                                    |
| High-flow nasal oxygen                      | 149 (23.5)                               | 153 (23.7)                                    |
| Noninvasive ventilation                     | 22 (3.5)                                 | 23 (3.6)                                      |
| Invasive mechanical ventilation             | 58 (9.1)                                 | 54 (8.3)                                      |

Note: ICU = intensive care unit, IQR = interquartile range.
*Unless otherwise specified.
†All the unavailable, unknown and missing values were set to “No.” Data on these comorbidities are available for a subset of patients: n = 951 (n = 461 in remdesivir and n = 490 in control group).
‡Percentages add to more than 100% as multiple ethnic groups might have been chosen.
a proportional odds model, adjusting for baseline severity based on ordinal scale position at baseline. The proportionality assumption for clinical severity outcomes did not hold for some outcomes and we therefore compared groups using a Wilcoxon rank-sum test. We used the Fine–Gray model to compare the time to discharge alive, accounting for competing risk of death, and present results as subdistribution hazards and 95% CIs. We summarize duration of hospital stay and oxygen-free and ventilator-free days using means and standard deviations (SDs), and medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs); differences between the groups for medians and 95% CIs are based on the Hodges–Lehman approach. Subgroup analyses for the primary outcome of mortality evaluated the treatment effect across the following prespecified subgroups, with tests for interaction: duration of symptoms before enrolment (< 7 d), age younger than 55 years, sex, and severity of symptoms on presentation (defined as amount of respiratory support, including low-flow oxygen, high-flow nasal oxygen, noninvasive ventilation and invasive mechanical ventilation). There was no imputation for missing data. Given that this study was part of a global adaptive trial, we did not perform power calculations. \( P \) values less than 0.05 denote statistical significance for primary and secondary outcomes, which were not adjusted for multiplicity. We performed all statistical analyses in SAS (version 9.4, Cary, NC).

**Ethics approval**
This study was approved by local research ethics boards and coordinated by Sunnybrook Research Institute. The trial was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Guideline for Good Clinical Practice of the International Council for Harmonization (http://www.ich.org).

**Results**
Between Aug. 14, 2020, and Apr. 1, 2021, we randomized 1282 patients between remdesivir \( (n = 634) \) and standard of care \( (n = 648) \) (Figure 1) at 52 Canadian hospitals (Appendix 1, Table S2). Of these, outcome data were missing for 15 patients — 9 because consent was withdrawn and 6 were still in hospital at time of analysis — for a total sample size of 1267 for the primary mortality outcome. This article includes 951 patients who will be described in the global Solidarity report.

**Baseline characteristics**
Table 1 shows patient characteristics at baseline. Reflecting the demographic profile of patients in hospital during this pandemic in Canada, there was a sizable proportion of racialized people and those with pre-existing comorbid conditions. Baseline corticosteroid use, which was the standard of care for patients in hospital and on oxygen during most of this trial period, was similar

---

**Figure 2:** Days from randomization to mortality: censored at hospital discharge, 15 or 29 d, whichever is observed last. Note: CI = confidence interval, HR = hazard ratio.
across groups. Treatment with tocilizumab was low (1.5%), consistent with evolving practice patterns in Canadian hospitals. Most patients were on low-flow oxygen (54.5%) on day 1, and 21.4% were in the intensive care unit (ICU) at time of randomization. There were 57 health workers and 1 pregnant patient enrolled in the trial.

Outcomes
Among patients assigned to receive remdesivir, in-hospital mortality was 18.7%, compared with 22.6% in the standard of care arm (relative risk [RR] 0.83, 95% CI 0.67 to 1.03) (Appendix 1, Supplemental Figure S1 and Supplemental Table S3), and 60-day mortality was 24.8% and 28.2%, respectively (RR 0.88, 95% CI 0.72 to 1.07). For patients not mechanically ventilated at baseline, the need for mechanical ventilation was 8.0% in those assigned remdesivir and 15.0% in those assigned to standard of care (RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.38 to 0.75). Mean oxygen-free and ventilator-free days at day 28 were 15.9 (± SD 10.5) and 21.4 (± SD 11.3) in those receiving remdesivir and 14.2 (± SD 11) and 19.5 (± SD 12.3) in those receiving standard of care (p = 0.006 and 0.007, respectively). Duration of hospital stay was not different between the 2 groups (median 10 [IQR 6–18] in the remdesivir group v. 9 [IQR 6–17] in the control group, Figure 2), and we observed no difference in duration of hospital stay for survivors (Table 2).

Examining in-hospital mortality among prespecified subgroups, the treatment effect did not vary according to age, sex, severity of disease or duration of symptoms (Figure 3).

Clinical recovery, as measured by position on the WHO Ordinal Scale, is reported in Table 3, and day 15 status in Figure 4.

Safety
There were no differences in secondary safety outcomes between intervention groups in serum creatinine on day 5, incidence of new dialysis or incidence of hepatic dysfunction (Table 2).

### Table 2: Clinical outcomes of patients in the Canadian Treatments for COVID-19 trial

| Outcome                        | No. (%) of patients receiving remdesivir | No. (%) of patients receiving standard of care | RR (95% CI) or difference in means/medians (95% CI) | Risk difference, % (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| In-hospital mortality, n = 1267† | 117 (18.7)                               | 145 (22.6)                                   | 0.83 (0.67 to 1.03)                               | −3.9 (−8.3 to 1.03)         |
| Mortality by 60 d, n = 1052‡   | 127 (24.8)                               | 152 (28.2)                                   | 0.88 (0.72 to 1.07)                               | −3.4 (−8.8 to 1.9)          |
| Need for new mechanical ventilation, n = 1168§ | 46 (8.0)                              | 89 (15.0)                                    | 0.53 (0.38 to 0.75)                               | −7.0 (−10.6 to −3.4)        |
| Duration of hospital stay† median (IQR) | 10 (6 to 18)                             | 9 (6 to 17)                                  | 0 (−1 to 0)                                      | −1 (−4 to 2)                |
| Duration of hospital stay for survivors, median (IQR); n = 1005 | 9 (6 to 17)                             | 9 (6 to 16)                                  | 0 (−1 to 0)                                      | −1 (−4 to 2)                |
| Duration of hospital stay for nonsurvivors, median (IQR); n = 262 | 12 (5 to 20)                            | 11 (6 to 20)                                 | 0 (−2 to 2)                                      | −2 (−5 to 1)                |
| Need for new oxygen¶, n = 125 | 16 (22.5)                               | 16 (29.6)                                    | 0.76 (0.42 to 1.38)                               | −7.1 (−2.3 to 8.5)          |
| Oxygen-free days at day 28, mean ± SD,** median (IQR); n = 1168 | 15.9 ± 10.5 (0 to 24)                    | 14.2 ± 11.1 (0 to 24)                        | 1.7 (0.4 to 3.0)                                 | −1 (−4 to 0)                |
| Ventilator-free days at day 28, mean ± SD,** median (IQR); n = 1168 | 21.4 ± 11.3 (19 to 28)                   | 19.5 ± 12.3 (18 to 28)                       | 1.9 (0.5 to 3.2)                                 | 0 (0)                       |

**Safety**

| Outcome                        | No. (%)* | No. (%)* | RR (95% CI) or difference in means/medians (95% CI) | Risk difference, % (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| New hepatic dysfunction††      | 82 (13.1) | 88 (13.7) | 0.96 (0.72 to 1.26)                               | −0.6 (−4.4 to 3.1)          |
| New dialysis‡‡, n = 1265       | 16 (2.6)  | 15 (2.3)  | 1.09 (0.54 to 2.19)                               | 0.2 (−1.5 to 1.9)           |
| Day 5 serum creatinine, mean ± SD; median (IQR); n = 936 | 86.7 ± 78.0 (57–88.5) | 87.7 ± 79.2 (57–87.5) | −0.92 (−10.9 to 9.1) | −1 (−4 to 2) |

Note: ALT = alanine aminotransferase, CI = confidence interval, IQR = interquartile range, RR = relative risk, SD = standard deviation.

*Unless otherwise specified.
†15 patients have missing hospital mortality and length of stay: 6 patients still in hospital and 9 withdrew consent.
‡230 patients withdrew consent or were lost to follow-up postdischarge.
§Defined as being on invasive ventilation on day 2 onward but not on day 1.
¶Defined as being on oxygen on day 2 and no oxygen therapy on day 1.
**Patients who were transferred to other facility or received palliative care before day 28 and patients who were readmitted and coded as oxygen at day 29 were considered as missing.
††Defined as acute liver function, as clinically determined, or ALT at day 5 > twice ALT at day 1.
‡‡Defined as dialysis for those who were not on dialysis at baseline. Sixteen patients were on dialysis on day 1 and were excluded from the denominator, n = 1265: 625 remdesivir and 640 control.
Interpretation

In the CATCO component of the global WHO Solidarity clinical trial, we found that among 1282 patients admitted with COVID-19 to 52 hospitals in Canada, in-hospital mortality of patients treated with remdesivir was lower than that of control patients. Small, regional trials are at high risk of being underpowered to detect modest, but important, treatment effects, and international collaboration is fundamental. At the same time, understanding the role of treatments in different health systems requires careful examination of data to look for region-specific effects, and understanding whether any differences are a result of chance.14

Across a range of prespecified subgroups of patients, according to age, sex, degree of respiratory support at admission and duration of symptoms, the odds of in-hospital death were consistent with the main findings among all Canadian patients (Figure 3). The benefit of treatment was most apparent for preventing the need for mechanical ventilation, suggesting probable added value for patients with less severe disease to avoid progression during hospital stay. We found more ventilator- and oxygen-free days among patients receiving remdesivir, as well as improved clinical recovery at day 15, largely consistent with other reported trials, including the Adaptive COVID-19 Treatment Trial (ACTT-1), the trial by Wang and colleagues and Discovery, another substudy of Solidarity.4,15,16 This may have important implications for patients and for health systems, particularly when ICU capacity, mechanical ventilation or oxygen are in limited supply.

The findings of CATCO are also important and complementary to Solidarity as they help to address questions of generalizability of a large simple protocol carried out across a wide range of...
hospitals and health care systems from low-, middle- and high-income countries. Canada has a well-developed and relatively resource-rich, nationally regulated and provincially administered system of acute and critical care. Inpatient hospital care, including medication costs, is typically fully covered by provincial and federal health jurisdictions, with limited to no out-of-pocket costs to patients. Inpatient acute- and critical-care bed supply, although declining in recent years, remains similar to many high-income countries. Accordingly, understanding variability of results across health systems is crucial when considering the relative benefits of drugs that may have small but real benefits.

The CATCO trial was also able to collect more detailed data among enrolled patients than in many global jurisdictions, such as longer follow-up for mortality assessments and incorporation of safety outcomes, and provides generalizable results to other, similar health systems. As well, CATCO was able to continue enrollment of Canadian patients beyond the global trial stop date, resulting in an additional 330 patients who were not reported as part of Solidarity, extending well into Canada’s third COVID-19 wave and the emergence of the Alpha variant. This study represents the largest individual country trial of remdesivir yet reported. We were able to include data from several ethnicities, an important component of ensuring generalizability of our results in the Canadian health system, emphasizing the value of recruiting from a wide range of health care facilities.

Decision-making on the use of remdesivir in Canada will benefit from an in-progress health economic evaluation comparing the potential effects and costs of the remdesivir treatment evaluated in this trial. Data from small RCTs on the equivalence of 5-day versus 10-day treatment courses should be part of any recommendations on implementation. Given the high likelihood of heterogeneous treatment effect of remdesivir across the spectrum of severity of disease in patients in hospital, it will be important to thoughtfully steward this therapy.

Understanding differences in risk across different populations and trials, and their impact on the role of remdesivir, will be very important. The control group mortality in CATCO for death by 60 days was 28.2%, compared with 15.2% in the ACTT-1 cohort by 29 days. This likely reflects the phase of the pandemic when patients were recruited, baseline severity of illness and a greater focus on recruitment in ICUs, post-hospital mortality and, potentially, associated strain on hospital resources. Future data syntheses across trials should incorporate these different baseline risks as a potential effect modifier.

**Limitations**

This report contains a smaller number of patients than that reported in the main Solidarity trial and, as such, has limited power to independently show statistical significance on the
primary mortality outcome. The Canadian trial represents outcomes from an intervention delivered in 1 highly resourced health system. Our findings help to understand the expected effects in similar and highly resourced health systems; our data are most consistent with ACTT-1 data.

Information on the infecting variant of SARS-CoV-2 was unavailable at the level of individual patients, so the effect of remdesivir as an antiviral on different variants is impossible to assess; however, the patients in the third wave reported here would likely have been infected with the Alpha variant.\textsuperscript{24} As the pandemic rapidly changed, understanding the impact of varying care over the course of the trial is important; time-based analyses will be considered in future post-hoc analyses. Outcomes such as the use of mechanical ventilation will also be influenced by patient goals of care, and we cannot rule out some imbalance between groups regarding eligibility for mechanical ventilation. A composite of need for intubation and death in those not ventilated at baseline as a post-hoc analysis is included in Appendix 1, Table S3.

Achieving follow-up during a pandemic was often difficult, and we could not contact a proportion of patients for outcome assessment after they were discharged from hospital.

Finally, we did not capture accurate screening data at participating sites, owing to the strain on the health systems during a pandemic, similar to other large-scale pandemic studies.\textsuperscript{25} Given the small number of exclusion criteria, this is unlikely to negatively affect the generalizability of the findings, and the demographic profile of the patients enrolled was similar to the general population of Canadian patients in hospital with COVID-19.\textsuperscript{26,27}

Conclusion

This trial found that in Canadian patients in hospital with COVID-19, remdesivir, in combination with standard care, improved secondary outcomes of need for mechanical ventilation in patients not ventilated at entry, compared with standard care alone, while being underpowered to detect a difference in mortality. Understanding which patient populations would have the largest benefit should be the focus of future meta-analyses.
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