Micro- and Nanostripes of Self-Assembled Au Nanocrystal Superlattices by Direct Micromolding

Boya Radha and Giridhar U. Kulkarni (*)

Chemistry and Physics of Materials Unit and DST Unit on Nanoscience, Jawaharlal Nehru Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Jakkur P.O., Bangalore 560064, India

Received: 13 May 2010 / Accepted: 8 June 2010
© The Author(s) 2010. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

ABSTRACT
A simple, inexpensive direct micromolding method for patterning Au nanocrystal superlattices using a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) stamp has been developed. The method involves in situ synthesis of Au(I) dodecanethiolate and its decomposition leading to Au nanocrystals in the microchannels of the stamp which order themselves to form patterned superlattice stripes, in conformity with the stamp geometry. Owing to its insolvibility in common solvents, the dodecanethiolate was made by reacting Au(PPh 3)Cl and dodecanethiol in situ inside the microchannels, by injecting first the former solution in toluene at room temperature followed by the thiol solution at 120 °C. Annealing the reaction mixture at 250 °C, resulted in formation of nanocrystals (with a mean diameter of 7.5 nm) and hexagonal ordering. By using an external pressure while molding, parallel stripes with sub-100 nm widths were obtained. The choice of parameters such as injection temperature of the thiol and concentrations is shown to be important if an ordered superlattice is to be obtained. In addition, these parameters can be varied as a means to control the nanocrystal size.
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1. Introduction
In an ordered arrangement of metal nanocrystals, there exist emergent collective properties besides the properties possessed by the individual nanocrystals [1, 2]. It is therefore not surprising that mesoscalar lattices of nanocrystals have attracted a great deal of attention [3]. While the properties of individual nanocrystals are tuned by varying the size, the collective properties—such as optical, electronic, and magnetic—are influenced not only by the nanocrystal size but also by the interparticle separation and the nature of the spacer ligands [4–6]. These parameters have been tailored for designing high density data storage [7], nanoscale interconnects [8, 9], and tunable optical elements [10]. Many interesting phenomena and processes such as single electron transport [11], metal–insulator transitions [12, 13], and magnetic transitions [7, 14] have been revisited at the mesoscale using nanocrystal arrays as model systems. Besides two-dimensional (2-D) arrays, linear (1-D) assemblies [15] as well as three-dimensional (3-D) assemblies of nanocrystals (supracrystals) [16, 17] have also been fabricated.
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Selectively positioning and addressing bits containing individual nanocrystals or arrays is of paramount importance in device applications. Towards this end, various lithography techniques have been employed for patterning stripe or dot features containing spontaneously organized nanocrystals. For instance, Hamann et al. obtained patterned mesodots of FePt nanocrystals by shining a laser beam though a mask onto a nanocrystal film [18]. Metal precursor loaded block copolymer micelles [19], Au(I) thiolate thin films [20, 21], thiolated Au [22], tetracetylammonium bromide-capped Pd nanocrystal sols [23], and gold cluster compounds [24] have served as negative resists in ion or e-beam lithography to create mesoscale lattices of nanocrystals. Electrodynamic focusing of aerogels containing Ag nanocrystals has been employed to produce nanoparticle patterns on conducting and non-conducting surfaces [25]. Au nanoparticles embedded in micelles have been cast into nanopatterns via nanoimprint lithography [26]. Further, there are a set of soft lithography methods—microcontact printing of nanocrystals [27, 28] down to even single nanocrystal resolution [29], deprinting leading to selective lift-off of the precursor [30], controlled dewetting [31, 32], molding of microdroplets of nanocrystal sols [33], and ways of deriving free-standing patches of nanoparticle superlattice [34]—which have been used for this purpose. As micromolding involves the simple steps of introducing an ‘ink’ into defined microchannels and evaporating the solvent, it is considered extremely efficient and therefore has been widely employed in patterning a variety of materials [35], particularly polymers [36]. However, employing micromolding methods to produce nanocrystal array patterns is a challenging task. This problem is clearly discussed in Ref. [33]. If one starts with a premade sol, the particle–particle and particle–substrate interactions dictate the organization, which is usually discontinuous over larger areas [33, 37, 38]. A recent report makes use of a mixture of high and low boiling solvents to exercise control over the nanocrystal organization in predefined channels [39]. Here, we report a simple method based on micromolding in capillaries where \textit{in situ} synthesis, ordering as well as patterning of Au nanocrystals all take place in a single step. The choice with respect to the metal (Au) was made considering its importance in many areas including chemical and biosensing, diagnostics, cellular imaging, plasmonic activity, ease of functionalization, and enhanced Raman scattering [40–42].

2. Experimental section

Au(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl was synthesized based on a procedure reported in the literature (for details of the synthesis, please see the Electronic Supplementary Material (ESM)). Elastomeric stamps (10 mm wide) were fabricated by replica molding of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) on a commercially available compact disk (Sony CD-R). PDMS was prepared by mixing Sylgard 184 curing agent (Dow Corning) and its elastomer in the ratio of 1:10 by mass. The mixture was then degassed under vacuum for 30 min. PDMS was poured onto the polycarbonate backing of the master (CD) and then cured in an oven at 70 °C overnight. The stamp peeled off from the master contained protruding line features with a width of 505 nm separated by 950 nm channels. The stamp was ~2 mm thick and weighed ~2.4 grams. PDMS stamps were cleaned using hexane and sonicated in ethanol to remove any uncured, low molecular mass oligomers. Si(111) substrates (n-doped, 4–7 Ω·cm) were cleaned by sonicating in acetone and double-distilled water and dried under flowing argon. The patterned substrates were examined using a Nova NanoSEM 600 instrument (FEI Co., The Netherlands). Energy-dispersive spectroscopy (EDS) analysis was performed with an EDAX Genesis instrument (Mahwah, NJ) attached to the scanning electron microscope (SEM) column.

3. Results and discussion

Direct micromolding requires an appropriate metal–organic precursor, which decomposes cleanly to give rise to the metal (nanocrystals) at relatively low working temperatures. Its high solubility in common solvents is also crucial, as the micromolding process relies on capillary flow [36]. In one such attempt, we used Au(PPh$_3$)$_2$Cl in toluene (1 mmol/L) as a precursor ink. The X-ray diffraction (XRD) data from a film of the precursor (Fig. 1(a)) clearly shows the formation of polycrystalline Au upon heating in air to 250 °C (Fig. 1(b)).
Figure 1  XRD data of Au(PPh3)Cl drop-coated as a film (a) as prepared, and (b) after heating to 250 °C; XRD data of a mixture of Au(PPh3)Cl and dodecanethiol (c) as prepared and (d) after heating to 250 °C, when the peaks are indexed by reference to the standard pattern for polycrystalline Au (JCPDS PDF No. 040784). Some precursor Au(PPh3)Cl still remains in (c), as revealed by the peak marked by*

This is also supported by the TGA data (Fig. S-1 in the ESM). For micromolding, a topographically patterned PDMS stamp placed on a Si substrate served as a mold for hosting microchannels as depicted in the scheme in Fig. 2(a). A 60 μL aliquot of the Au(PPh3)Cl solution was dropped at the stamp edge so as to fill the microchannels. The setup was heated gradually to 250 °C in steps of 10 °C per min and held for 30 min. After cooling, the stamp was removed leaving behind the molded material with stripe patterns covering large areas. However, this procedure gave rise to Au nanocrystals with large size variation (Fig. 2(b)). Although the stripe patterning was evident (Fig. S-2 in the ESM), we were unable to obtain an ordered nanocrystal array based on this process. For example, a lower rate of heating (5 °C per min) offered no benefit (see Fig. S-3 in the ESM).

Metal alkanethiolates have been extensively used in nanocrystal preparations [43], despite their low solubility in common solvents [44]. We decided to carry out an \textit{in situ} synthesis of a thiolate followed by

Figure 2  Direct patterning of Au nanocrystal superlattices by micromolding. (a) Schematic illustration showing introduction of the precursor inks at the interface of the PDMS stamp and the Si substrate, followed by thermolysis at 250 °C. Stamp geometry is shown alongside. (b)–(h) SEM images showing Au nanocrystal arrays obtained by varying the preparation conditions as discussed in the text. Au(PPh3)Cl = Au–P; Th = Thiol; T = Toluene; Total reaction time was 60 min.
its decomposition, as thiolates are known to give rise to uniform sized Au nanocrystals upon thermolysis [45]. A simple mixing of Au(PPh₃)Cl and dodecanethiol solutions at room temperature leads to the formation of the Au(I)-thiolate as shown in the XRD data in Fig. 1(c). When subjected to thermolysis at 250 °C in air, the corresponding XRD pattern (Fig. 1(d)) showed peaks corresponding to polycrystalline Au. Encouraged by this finding, we introduced mixed toluene solutions of Au(PPh₃)Cl and dodecanethiol (1 mmol/L, 60 μL each) into the stamp mold and heated it to 250 °C (Fig. 2(c)). Nanocrystals in the size range 50–100 nm were formed, with some indications of close packing, but there were voids between them. Mixing the ingredients prior to molding seems to accelerate the nanocrystal growth. Introducing the mixed solutions at 120 °C (slightly above the boiling point of the solvent, 110 °C), gave rise to tiny Au nanocrystals (8–15 nm) amidst larger ones (50–100 nm) with little improvement in packing (Fig. 2(d)). This suggests that a sudden evaporation of the solvent may hold the key for small nanocrystal formation. Based on the above experiments, we resorted to a two-step synthesis—first introducing the Au(PPh₃)Cl solution into the mold at room temperature followed by the dodecanethiol solution at elevated temperatures (Figs. 2(e)–2(h)). This was followed by thermolysis at 250 °C. The introduction of the thiol at 120 °C gave rise to fine nanocrystals as seen Fig. 2(e), with more details shown in Fig. 3. The nanocrystal stripes can be seen over a large area (Fig. 3(a)) and the EDS spectrum showed the presence of Au. The stripes measure ~1.08 μm across, corresponding to the microchannel width of 950 nm (see Fig. 2(a)). From Fig. 3(b), we can see that each stripe is essentially a colony of Au nanocrystals organized into a superlattice. The internal hexagonal ordering in the superlattice is evidenced from the magnified image shown in Fig. 3(c). Careful observation reveals the multilayer structure of the patterned stripe. SEM images from various locations on the patterned stripes (Fig. 3(a)) shown in Fig. S-4 in the ESM reveal the remarkable uniformity of the nanocrystal ordering. The fast Fourier transform of the hexagonal ordering is shown in Fig. S-5 in the ESM. Hexagonal ordering is favored based on entropy considerations [1, 2], and is commonly observed in the absence of a directing agent or a template. The histogram of the sizes of the Au nanocrystals (Fig. 3(d)) shows a mean particle size of ~7.5 nm, with a mean interparticle separation of ~1.5 nm. This distance can be attributed to the dodecanethiol molecules chemisorbed on the nanocrystal surface [46]. IR and Raman spectroscopy measurements confirmed the presence of the thiolate species (Fig. S-6 in the ESM). While the excess thiolate species may desorb at the annealing temperature of 250 °C, that chemisorbed on the nanocrystal surface may remain [47] and induce the observed hexagonal ordering of the nanocrystals [48]. The average height of the stripes was found to be ~60 nm based on atomic force microscopy (AFM) measurements, corresponding to ~8 nanocrystal layers (Fig. S-7 in the ESM).

Carrying out the second step at 120 °C seems to be crucial. A higher temperature (140 °C) leads to evaporation of the solvent inhibiting formation of the array (Fig. 2(f)). Lower temperatures, 80 °C or 100 °C, also were not helpful (see Figs. 2(g) and 2(h), along with Fig. 4). Although superlattice formation is evident in Fig. 4, there are noticeable differences in particle

**Figure 3** (a) SEM image showing the patterned Au nanocrystal superlattices formed by thermolysis at 250 °C for 30 min, with the EDS data from the patterned region overlaid, with magnified views in (b) and (c), and (d) a histogram of the size of the Au nanocrystals from (c)
size as well as extent of ordering. In the two-step preparation with the thiol introduced at 80 °C, the resulting stripes contain polydisperse nanocrystals, and hence, ordering in the microstripes is not quite uniform (Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)). The magnified view in Fig. 4(b) shows the size range to be 5 to 40 nm with an interparticle spacing of 3 to 5 nm, as seen from the histogram in Fig. 4(c). On the other hand, introducing the thiol solution at 100 °C instead of at 80 °C, led to nearly monosized and somewhat smaller (5–15 nm) Au nanocrystals (see Fig. 4(d)), with the interparticle spacing being 2–3 nm. The extent of ordering is quite high owing to the monodispersity, which is a stringent condition for the formation of a superlattice. But, as can be seen from the magnified images in Fig. 4(b), there are domains where the superlattice is disrupted by intervening void regions.

The formation of the well ordered nanocrystal stripes shown in Fig. 3 is truly intriguing. At 120 °C, the solvent (toluene) is slightly above its boiling point and is expected to leave the microchannel rather quickly due to evaporation, causing less disruption of the Au nucleation sites. However, the presence of the solvent—even if for a short while—seems important. Replacing the thiol solution in toluene with the neat thiol, led to polydisperse nanocrystals along with a few elongated rod-like structures (Fig. 5-8(a) in the ESM), instead of an ordered nanocrystal superlattice. The nature of the solvent also plays a role. When toluene was replaced by ethanol, we observed larger Au nanocrystals (Fig. 5-8(b) in the ESM). Toluene is a good solvent for the Au precursor, and its presence in the microchannel seems to facilitate the reaction of the Au precursor with the thiol giving rise to an ordered lattice. Above 120 °C however, introducing the solution is rather difficult as the whole setup becomes agitated, resulting in just a rudimentary network of particles (Fig. 2(f)).

Micromolding offers additional advantages. One may manipulate the fluid flow conditions inside the microchannels to achieve narrow feature sizes. Earlier, we have shown that starting with a stamp with nearly micron sized features, it is possible to directly imprint truly nanometric line patterns [49]. We term this process nanoentrapment molding, since under the pressure and heat treatment applied, the precursor is only deposited at the edges of the relief features. In order to pattern Au nanostripes via nanoentrapment molding, the PDMS stamp was kept on a cleaned Si wafer and an appropriate mass was applied on top amounting to a pressure of 450 Pa (see the schematic illustration in Fig. 5(a)). An SEM image of the patterned region (Fig. 5(b)) shows—in contrast to the images in Fig. 3—pairs of stripes of width 70 nm, filled with nanocrystals. As seen in the magnified image of one stripe, the sizes of the crystal vary (5–10 nm) but the nanocrystals are tightly packed into thread-like features. The formation of such narrow stripe features from microchannels is typical of the nanoentrapment process [49]. In conformity with the nanochannel formation, we see pairs of parallel stripes ~70 nm wide with internal separation of ~430 nm and a distance of ~990 nm between each pair (see Fig. 5(b)). Our method is much simpler and more effective than those based on solvent evaporation [39], since solvent evaporation from narrow channels (height or width < 100 nm) is not trivial [50].
4. Conclusions

We have developed a simple method to produce patterned superlattices of Au nanocrystals (with mean diameter of 7.5 nm) synthesized in situ during micromolding using a PDMS stamp. The precursor leading to the formation of nanocrystals is Au(I) dodecanethiolate, which was synthesized in situ while molding, in order to address its limited solubility in common solvents. Au nanocrystals in the size range 5–10 nm were formed, with interparticle spacing of ~1.5 nm, in stripes which were ~1080 nm wide with a spacing of ~495 nm, in conformity with the stamp geometry. By applying a gentle pressure while heating, we were able to induce nanoentrapment of the precursor and produce stripes which were only ~70 nm wide. Clearly, the method is not limited to Au nor to the size range mentioned. However further experiments are required to optimize the conditions for other systems. Such patterned substrates may find applications as diffraction gratings, nanoscale interconnects, or even as active elements in devices relying on the exotic electronic properties arising from the ensemble nature of the nanocrystals. Patterning on flexible substrates is also a possibility.
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