Usefulness of MRI findings in differentiating between septic arthritis and transient synovitis of hip joint in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Septic arthritis (SA) of the hip joint is a serious infection which can lead to more irreversible complications. Differentiating Septic arthritis from Transient synovitis (which is the most common cause of painful hip in children) is difficult and very important to prevent serious complications which can occur with Septic arthritis. The aim of this study was to find out the MRI findings which can differentiate between these two conditions.

Methods: Systematic literature search was conducted according to the PRISMA guidelines on MEDLINE(PubMed), Google Scholar, ScienceDirect, and world Health Organization Virtual Health Library, up to April 2022. Studies that compared MRI findings between Septic Arthritis and Transient Synovitis of hip joint in children were included. The pooled sensitivity and specificity estimates of these findings were calculated using MetaDTA version 2.0.

Results: Six studies were included in qualitative analysis and five were included in quantitative analysis. Pooled sensitivity and specificity of synovial enhancement were 94.2 % (95 % CI, 45.2–99.7 %) and 60.6 % (95 % CI, 6–97.4 %) respectively. Soft tissue changes had pooled sensitivity and specificity of 75 % (95% CI, 57.5–86.9 %) and 69.9 % (95 % CI, 46.5–86.2 %) respectively. Pooled sensitivity and specificity of femoral head changes were 41.5 % (95 % CI, 15.9–72.7 %) and 87.3 % (95 % CI, 75.5–93.8 %) respectively. Bone marrow changes had pooled sensitivity and specificity of 70 % (95 % CI, 26.8–93.7 %) and 99.9 % (95 % CI, 28.7–100 %) respectively.

Conclusion: MRI findings especially bone marrow changes were found to be useful in differentiating septic arthritis from transient synovitis among children presented with painful hip after exclusion of other causes.

1. Introduction

Irritable hip is a common complaint in pediatric outpatient clinics with many different underlying causes, that differ in their disease course and seriousness of their outcomes. Septic arthritis (SA) is a debilitating disease with serious outcomes that affects lower limb joints more than upper ones, with the hip joint being the most affected [1]. However, early diagnosis and adequate management of septic arthritis can control it and prevent further complications. It has been reported that starting treatment within four days of the onset of symptoms is associated with a better prognosis than a delay of five days [2]. That is why ruling out other differentials and starting treatment early on is very important. A common differential diagnosis of septic arthritis is transient Synovitis (TS), which is a benign inflammatory condition that can mimic septic arthritis presentation making it difficult to differentiate between these two conditions [3].

A clinical prediction algorithm was developed by Kocher et al., in which four clinical variables were used to help in the diagnosis of septic arthritis. However, this algorithm was found to be of a limited predictive value [4]. To lessen the burden of this diagnostic challenge, radiological methods can be of value to make a quicker and more accurate diagnosis. MRI findings can help in the diagnosis of septic arthritis, early detection of complications and aid in early management of this condition which can prevent serious complications. Several studies have focused on differentiating septic arthritis from transient synovitis of hip joint in children [2,4–7]. However, utilizing MRI findings to
differentiate between these two conditions have not previously been well established in the literature, especially in systematic review or meta-analysis field [8,9]. Nowadays MRI has become increasingly important in diagnosing musculoskeletal infections because of its high sensitivity in the detection of bone marrow alterations, soft-tissue lesions, synovial enhancement, as well as joint effusions [10, 11–12].

Previously, it has been reported that a hip affected by sepsis shows decreased perfusion in the femoral head which leads to femoral head and bone marrow changes, whereas a hip affected by transient synovitis does not [13]. On the other hand it has been shown that joint effusion would occur with most of septic arthritis and transient synovitis patients and the difference in the grade of joint effusion between the two patient groups was not significant [13,14]. However contralateral hip joint effusion is found to be more frequent in transient synovitis than in septic arthritis [14,15].

This study focused on the usefulness of MRI findings as means of diagnostic investigation to differentiate between septic arthritis and transient synovitis of hip joint amongst children.

2. Methods

2.1. Search strategy and inclusion criteria

A systematic literature search according to Preferred Reporting Items
We also examined the referenced articles in the identified articles editorials, reviews, abstracts, and studies without sufficient data of in retrieved from this search were screened for potential inclusion [17]. were deleted, then initial titles/abstracts screening of all articles in the search to ensure maximum coverage. The studies retrieved were "septic arthritis", "acute hip", "hip", "hip joint", "magnetic resonance" and [18] were not clear, this percentage represents all patients included in the study. Accuracy Test

| Study | Year | Country | Study design | Q/A Score | Index Test | Reference Test for SA | MRI findings in SA | MRI findings in TS | No. of SA Patients | No. of TS Patients | Age range |
|-------|------|---------|--------------|-----------|------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| Mahmoud et al 2017 Egypt | Analytic Cross sectional | 5/8 MRI | Culture and Sensitivity | JE (100 %), SE (100 %), ST (75 %), BM edema (100 %), Soft tissue edema (56 %) | JE (100 %) | Total (16) | Total (9) | 1 month to 12 years |
| Kang et al 2019 Korea | Analytic cross sectional | 5/8 MRI | Joint fluid and Blood culture, Histological Findings for debris and Joint Capsules | Symmetrical JE (100 %), Contralateral JE (25 %), SE (82 %), ST (68 %), BM edema (18 %), Soft tissue edema (68 %), FH changes (32 %) | Symmetrical JE (100 %), Contralateral JE (49 %), SE (76 %), ST (43 %), BM edema (3 %), Soft tissue edema (30 %), FH changes (16 %) | Total (28) | Total (27) | < 16 years |
| Yang et al 2006 Korea | Analytic cross sectional | 5/8 MRI | Arthroscopy (12 patients, 2 of them by culture and 10 by Histological findings).; 2; Joint Aspiration in 6 patient (2 by culture and 4 by purulent synovial fluid) | Symmetrical JE (100 %), Contralateral JE (17 %), SE (83 %), ST (83 %), BM changes (56 %), Soft tissue changes (89 %), FH changes (22 %) | Symmetrical JE (100 %), Contralateral JE (63 %), SE (78 %), ST (55 %), BM changes (0.00 %), Soft tissue changes (3 %), FH changes (6 %) | Total (18) | Total (49) | SA (1 month to 15 years) |
| Kwack et al 2007 Korea | Analytic cross sectional | 5/8 MRI | Arthrocentesis (by culture in 8 patients and purulent fluid in one patient) | JE (100 %), ST (57 %), BM edema (43 %), Soft tissue edema (71 %), FH changes (86 %) | JE (100 %), ST (45 %), Soft tissue edema (36 %), FH changes (18 %) | Total (7) | Total (11) | SA (10 months to 48 years) |
| Lee et al 1999 Korea | Analytic Cross sectional | 5/8 MRI | Arthrocentesis and culture. 2/Blood culture. 3/Arthroscopy | JE (100 %), Soft tissue changes (88.9 %), BM changes (88.9 %) | JE (100 %), Soft tissue changes (71.4 %) | Total (9) | Total (14) | SA (7 months to 19 years) |
| Kim et al 2011 Korea | Diagnostic Test Accuracy | 8/10 MRI | Arthrocentesis and synovial fluid culture. 2/Blood culture + purulent aspiration. | FH perfusion change: early decreased enhancement on Dynamic contrast enhanced MRI in the affected hip than the normal one (85.7 %) | FH perfusion change: early decreased enhancement on Dynamic contrast enhanced MRI in the affected hip than the normal one (27.3 %) | Total (7) | Total (11) | N/A |

SA—Septic arthritis. TS—Transient synovitis. JE—JOINT EFFUSION. ST—SYNOVIAL THICKENING. SE—SYNOVIAL ENHANCEMENT. BM—BONE MARROW. FH—FEMORAL HEAD. N/A—NOT AVAILABLE. Q/A—Quality Assessment.

The keywords terms used for the search were; "transient synovitis", "septic arthritis", "acute hip", "hip", "hip joint", "magnetic resonance" and "MRI". We also examined the referenced articles in the identified articles in the search to ensure maximum coverage. The studies retrieved were transferred into Rayyan software (QCRI, Doha, Qatar) where duplicates were deleted, then initial titles/abstracts screening of all articles retrieved from this search were screened for potential inclusion [17]. The inclusion criteria were cohort and cross-sectional studies that demonstrated a comparison between septic arthritis and transient synovitis radiological findings in hip joint with the use of MRI as the tool for assessment in pediatric patients. Whereas case-controls, case reports, editorials, reviews, abstracts, and studies without sufficient data of interest were excluded. Furthermore, full-texts of studies classed as relevant were reviewed for inclusion according to the determined eligibility criteria.

All steps were executed by two independent reviewers, any conflict between the two was further brought for group discussion in order to resolve it.

2.2. Quality assessment and data extraction

Quality assessment of the included studies was done using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical appraisal checklists by two independent reviewers to assess the methodological quality of studies and the possibility of bias in study design, data analysis and conduct. The quality assessment tool consisted of eight and ten questions for cross-sectional and diagnostic test accuracy studies respectively. Using Yes, No, Unclear, and Not applicable reply to each question, values are assigned representing the extent to which they met the criteria. The mean score of two authors was taken for final decision and studies with a score yes, greater than or equal to five out of eight or eight out of ten...
TP, true positive; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; TN, true negative.

Table 2
MRI sequences and specifications.

| Study          | MRI sequence                                      | Use of Fat-suppression technique                              | Section thickness | Matrix size | Field view |
|----------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------|------------|
| Kang et al     | 1/ Axial T1 weighted TSE (TR/TE 450_778/11_14 ms) | - Axial T2 weighted TSE                                      | 3.5 mm            | 92 × 92 and | 160_400 mm |
|                | 2/ Axial T2 weighted TSE (TR/TE, 2500_4500/60_108 ms) | - Coronal T2 weighted TSE                                    |                   | 512 × 512   |            |
|                | 3/ Coronal T2 weighted TSE (TR/TE, 2291_2978/60_80 ms) | - Contrast enhanced T1 weighted sequence                     |                   |             |            |
|                | 4/ Contrast enhanced T1 weighted sequence in axial, coronal or sagittal planes. |                                                               |                   |             |            |
| Kwack et al.   | 1/ T1 weighted TSE (TR/TE, 400_800/9_14 ms)       | - T2 weighted fast spin echo.                                 | 0.25 mm           | 256 × 256   | 180_340 mm |
|                | 2/ T2 weighted fast spin echo (TR/TE, 3_500_4000/65,75 ms). | - Gadolinium T1 weighted spin-echo                            |                   |             |            |
|                | 3/ Gadolinium enhanced T1 weighted spin-echo (TR/TE, 400_800/9_14 ms) |                                                               |                   |             |            |
| Lee et al      | 1/ T1 weighted TSE (TR/TE, 400_600/10_12 ms)      | - T2 weighted fast spin echo.                                 | 3.7 mm            | 255 × 192   | 180_340 mm |
|                | 2/ T2 weighted fast spin echo (TR/TE, 2_500_3500/96_108 ms) | - Gadolinium T1 weighted spin-echo                            |                   |             |            |
|                | 3/ Gadolinium enhanced T1 weighted spin-echo (TR/TE, 400_650/10_12 ms) |                                                               |                   |             |            |
| Mahmoud et al. | N/A                                               | N/A                                                         | N/A               | N/A         | N/A        |
| Yang et al     | 1/ T1-weighted spin-echo (TR/TE, 400750/920 ms)    | - T2 weighted fast spin-echo.                                 | 2.4_4 mm          | 224_1024 × 192_256 | 175_330 mm |
|                | 2/ T2 weighted fast spin echo (TR/TE, 2_300_4000/81_99 ms) | - Contrast enhanced T1 weighted spin-echo                     |                   |             |            |
|                | 3/ Contrast enhanced T1 weighted spin-echo (TR/TE, 400_650/9_19 ms) |                                                               |                   |             |            |

TSE = Turbo spin-echo, TR = Repetition Time, TE = Echo Time, MS = millisecond, MM = millimeter, N/A = Not Available

Table 3
Study level outcomes for synovial enhancement.

| Author          | Year | TP | FN | FP | TN | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-----------------|------|----|----|----|----|-------------|-------------|
| Kang et al.     | 2019 | 23 | 5  | 28 | 9  | 23/28 %     | 9/37 %      |
| Mahmoud et al.  | 2017 | 17 | 0  | 0  | 9  | 16/16 %     | 9/9 %       |
| Yang et al.     | 2006 | 15 | 3  | 38 | 11 | 15/18 %     | 11/49 %     |

TP, true positive; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; TN, true negative.

Table 4
Study level outcomes for soft tissue changes.

| Author          | Year | TP | FN | FP | TN | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-----------------|------|----|----|----|----|-------------|-------------|
| Kang et al.     | 2019 | 19 | 9  | 11 | 26 | 19/28 %     | 26/37 %     |
| Kwack et al.    | 2007 | 5  | 2  | 4  | 7  | 5/7 %       | 7/11 %      |
| Lee et al.      | 1999 | 8  | 1  | 10 | 4  | 8/9 %       | 4/14 %      |
| Mahmoud et al.  | 2017 | 9  | 7  | 0  | 9  | 9/16 %      | 9/9 %       |
| Yang et al.     | 2006 | 16 | 2  | 14 | 35 | 16/18 %     | 35/49 %     |

TP, true positive; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; TN, true negative.

Table 5
Study level outcomes for femoral head changes.

| Author          | Year | TP | FN | FP | TN | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-----------------|------|----|----|----|----|-------------|-------------|
| Kang et al.     | 2019 | 9  | 19 | 6  | 31 | 9/28 %      | 31/37 %     |
| Kwack et al.    | 2007 | 6  | 1  | 2  | 9  | 6/7 %       | 9/11 %      |
| Yang et al.     | 2006 | 4  | 14 | 3  | 46 | 4/18 %      | 46/49 %     |

TP, true positive; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; TN, true negative.

Table 6
Study level outcomes for bone marrow.

| Author          | Year | TP | FN | FP | TN | Sensitivity | Specificity |
|-----------------|------|----|----|----|----|-------------|-------------|
| Kang et al.     | 2019 | 5  | 23 | 1  | 36 | 5/28 %      | 36/37 %     |
| Kwack et al.    | 2007 | 3  | 4  | 0  | 11 | 3/7 %       | 11/11 %     |
| Lee et al.      | 1999 | 8  | 1  | 0  | 14 | 8/9 %       | 14/14 %     |
| Mahmoud et al.  | 2017 | 16 | 0  | 0  | 9  | 16/16 %     | 9/9 %       |
| Yang et al.     | 2006 | 10 | 8  | 0  | 49 | 10/18 %     | 49/49 %     |

TP, true positive; FN, false negative; FP, false positive; TN, true negative.

were included in the study [18]. The relevant data were extracted using a standardized form. For all included studies the collected information consisted of the (demographic characteristics) first author’s surname, publication year, country, study design, SA and TS sample size, true positive, false positive, false negative, and true negative, septic arthritis findings on MRI and transient synovitis findings on MRI.

2.3. Statistical analysis

The data analyses of estimates of sensitivity, specificity, were calculated based on true positive, false positive, false negative, and true negative, using random-effects model. The pooled estimates of sensitivity and specificity were graphically demonstrated by hierarchical summary receiver operating characteristic (HSROC) and Forest Plot. The meta-analysis was performed by using MetaDTA: Diagnostic Test Accuracy Meta-Analysis Version 2.0 [19].

3. Results

3.1. Literature search and study characteristics

A detailed flow diagram with the study selection process and reasons for exclusion is shown in Fig. 1. Based on our search strategy, the systematic literature search identified 852 (articles/records). After the 205 duplicates were deleted, we screened 647 titles and abstracts, of them 576 records were excluded for not containing any relevant data of interest or having a different study design than the desired. The remaining 71 records were screened through full-text reading for eligibility. Finally, six studies were included in our systematic review [10,13,14,15,20,21].

The studies included in the review were published between 1999 and 2019. The age range of participants varied across the studies, participants included in the studies were 1 months to 48 years of age. However, the analyses were carried on data of children under 18 years of age. The overall number of patients with Septic arthritis was 78 (29 females and 49 males) and the overall number of patients with Transient synovitis is 120 (36 females and 84 males). Details of the information extracted from the selected studies and the quality score of each study are summarized in Table 1.
3.2. Meta-analysis

The overall frequency of Septic arthritis was 39 % (78 patients with septic arthritis/198 total number of patients with septic arthritis and transient synovitis). There were sufficient data on four main MRI findings deduced from these studies where light was shed upon to assess their likelihood for diagnosing septic arthritis rather than transient synovitis. Details about the MRI sequences used in the included studies are provided in Table 2.

The MRI finding of synovial enhancement showed a sensitivity and specificity ranges of 82–100 % and 22 %– 100 %, respectively, sensitivity and specificity ranges for Soft tissue changes were 50–89 % and
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Fig. 2. Showing the forest plots of sensitivity (A) and specificity (B) of synovial enhancement on MRI in differentiating between SA and TS, each circle represents individual study point estimates, horizontal lines indicate 95 % CI. Study 1: Kang et al. [16]. Study 2: Mahmoud et al. [14]. Study 3: Yang et al. [15]. (C): HSROC curve for diagnostic accuracy of MRI finding of synovial enhancement. Summary estimate is demonstrated. HSROC: Hierarchical Summary Receiver Operating Characteristic.
29–100 %, respectively, that of femoral head changes finding showed sensitivity and specificity ranges of 22–86 % and 81–94 %, respectively, while sensitivity and specificity ranges for the finding of bone marrow changes were 17–100 % and 97–100 %, respectively. These findings are summarized in Tables 3–6.

Pooled sensitivity and specificity for the MRI finding of synovial enhancement were 94.2 % (95 % CI, 45.2–99.7 %) and 60.6 % (95 % CI, 6–97.4 %) respectively. Soft tissue changes had pooled sensitivity and specificity of 75 % (95 % CI, 57.5–86.9 %) and 69.9 % (95 % CI, 46.5–86.2 %) respectively. That of Femoral head changes were 41.5 % (95 % CI, 15.9–72.7 %) and 87.3 % (95 % CI, 75.5–93.8 %) respectively. While those of Bone marrow changes were 70 % (95 % CI, 26.8–93.7 %) and 99.9 % (95 % CI, 28.7–100 %) respectively. Forest plots and HSROC curves of the four main findings are shown in Figs. 2–5.

Fig. 3. Showing the forest plots of sensitivity (A) and specificity (B) of soft tissue changes on MRI in differentiating between SA and TS, each circle represents individual study point estimates, horizontal lines indicate 95 % CI. Study 1: Kang et al. [17]. Study 2: Kwak et al. [17]. Study 3: Lee et al. [18]. Study 4: Mahmoud et al. [14]. Study 5 Yang et al. [15]. (C): HSROC curve for diagnostic accuracy of MRI finding of soft tissue changes. Summary estimate is demonstrated.
Arthritis can lead to devastating consequences like osteonecrosis, growth arrest, and sepsis. Although transient synovitis is the most common disease in a child with painful hip, based on clinical, laboratory, and radiographic findings, the differentiation between them is very important [22,23]. The aim of this study was to find out the MRI findings which can differentiate between these two conditions.

According to our findings, bone marrow changes on MRI were found to be the most specific finding for SA followed by femoral head changes. These MRI findings are more likely to occur with septic arthritis, because destructive enzymes in the infected fluid destroy the articular and epiphyseal cartilages also accumulation of pus in the joint increases the intra-articular pressure and reduce the blood flow to the epiphysis which results in osteonecrosis [10]. Also, it is stated that in the literature MRI is very effective in detecting conditions which affect bone marrow in comparison to other imaging modalities [24,25]. The most sensitive MRI finding for SA was synovial enhancement this may be explained by the fact that the most common route for bacterial entry to the joints is the hematogenous spread of microorganism, and this occurs through synovial vasculature which is the primary site of blood supply to any joint. So, increased vascularity of the synovium as in hip joint along with the lack of a basement membrane helps in bacterial entry and this may also explain the early presentation of this radiological finding [26–28].

Femoral head changes showed the lowest sensitivity for SA, these changes need time to occur and the variation between patient’s presentation time and MR imaging time among studies included in this meta-analysis may explain this result.

Fig. 4. Showing the forest plots of sensitivity (A) and specificity (B) of femoral head changes on MRI in differentiating between SA and TS, each circle represents individual study point estimates, horizontal lines indicate 95 % CI. Study 1: Kang et al. [16]. Study 2: Kwak et al. [17]. Study 3: Yang et al. [15]. (C): HSROC curve for diagnostic accuracy of MRI finding of femoral head changes. Summary estimate is demonstrated. HSROC: Hierarchical Summary Receiver Operating Characteristic.
These results are only applicable after exclusion of other conditions of the hip joint, to help in the differentiation between septic arthritis and transient synovitis in children who present with inflammatory warning signs (fever, limping, high white blood cells, high ESR or high CRP).

Kocher et al. used retrospective data to develop a clinical prediction algorithm for differentiating the two conditions [5]. After that many studies discussed the radiological findings that may help in differentiation between these two diseases.

Ultrasound-guided aspiration helps in identifying effusions suggestive of septic arthritis [29]. However, this procedure is invasive, requires local anesthesia and may introduce microorganism to the joints [30]. Ultrasound is very effective in the detection of hip joint effusion and synovial changes. However, it is not useful alone in differentiation of transient synovitis from septic arthritis and it is not effective in ruling out concomitant soft tissue infection or osteomyelitis [6,7,31,32]. Laine et al. in their study evaluated the importance of ultrasound in children with suspected hip SA and concluded that MRI could be done when there is no joint effusion or for those who fail to respond to treatment [33]. Although MRI takes more time, expensive, less accessible, and requires sedation in children, it can assess the status of the bones and soft tissues [2,34–37]. A study was done by Merlini et al. in 2015 showed that patients with Septic arthritis at presentation may get bone marrow

Fig. 5. Showing the forest plots of sensitivity (A) and specificity (B) of bone marrow changes on MRI in differentiating between SA and TS, each circle represents individual study point estimates, horizontal lines indicate 95% CI. Study 1: Kang et al. [16]. Study 2: Kwak et al. [17]. Study 3: Lee et al. [18]. Study 4 Mahmoud et al. [14]. Study 5: Yang et al. [15]. (C): HSROC curve for diagnostic accuracy of MRI finding of bone marrow changes. Summary estimate is demonstrated.
enhancement on MRI suggestive of secondary osteomyelitis, infants with isolated septic arthritis and reduced blood flow to the femoral head are at risk to develop osteomyelitis and should be treated accordingly. In children more than one year this enhancement pattern was not observed, and subsequent osteomyelitis was rare [38].

Previous studies also showed that more changes in soft tissues and bone marrow are associated with SA rather than transient synovitis [39, 40, 41].

Furthermore, Kang et al. have mentioned that MRI findings can change with time, and divided children in their study into short-term group (symptoms duration ≤ 2 days) and long-term group (symptoms duration >2days). Children that did not have changes in the adjacent soft tissue on MRI were considered as Transient Synovitis patients. This may be justified by prolonged septic arthritis is most likely associated with signal changes in the adjacent soft tissues [15]. This makes the possibility of transient synovitis high if there are no changes on an MRI in the surrounding soft tissues.

Moreover, White et al. in their study classified soft tissue signaling changes into grade 1 (slight increase in signal compared with normal soft tissue), grade 2 (moderate increase in signal), or grade 3 (marked increase in signal). They found that in pelvic musculoskeletal infections there were marked alteration in tissue signal on MRI (grade 2 or 3) near to the symptomatic hip in comparison to transient synovitis. However, this difference did not reach statistical significance (p = 0.10). Children with pelvic musculoskeletal infections had a mean ESR value of 45.5, while that of transient synovitis was 12.2. based on this, combining the MRI findings with the clinical presentation of the child and laboratory results may help in diagnosing serious diseases which need early intervention [39].

5. Conclusion

Although there were few studies included in this review, bone marrow and femoral head changes were found to be more specific to septic arthritis patients than transient synovitis. However, more studies are needed in this area to be established.

6. Limitations

The findings of this review are limited by Lack of enough studies, especially diagnostic test accuracy studies which assess the usefulness of MRI in differentiating between Septic arthritis and transient synovitis as well as the number of children included in the studies was small.
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