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Abstract

Background: Several studies have investigated the association of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) gene insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism with peripheral vascular disease (PVD); however, the results remain controversial. Therefore, we conducted the current meta-analysis to evaluate this relationship in the general population of different ethnicities.

Methods: We searched PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Wanfang Database, and CNKI to identify eligible studies. Random-effect models were applied to estimate the pooled odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval (CI), regardless of between-study heterogeneity.

Results: A total of 13 studies with 1966 cases and 6129 controls were included in this meta-analysis. The pooled ORs for the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and PVD risk were not statistically significant in the overall population under all genetic models. In further ethnicity-stratified analyses, we found a statistically significant association of ACE I/D polymorphism with PVD susceptibility in Asians under most models. However, the association among Caucasians did not reach statistical significance.

Conclusion: ACE I/D polymorphism might be associated with susceptibility to PVD in the Asian population, but there was no clear evidence indicating a similar significant relationship among Caucasians.

© 2017 Chinese Medical Association. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of KeAi Communications Co., Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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Introduction

Peripheral vascular disease (PVD) is a common manifestation of systemic atherosclerosis, which encompasses numerous noncoronary arterial syndromes. It is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular events, affecting approximately 20% of adults aged 55 years or older and an estimated 27 million persons in North America and Europe. Apart from traditional
cardiovascular risk factors, several novel biologic mediators and genetic predisposing factors have been implicated in the atherogenic process leading to PVD.\(^3\)\(^\text{–}^5\) PVD is a complex trait influenced by multiple environmental triggers and genetic factors as well as their interactions, which are not yet completely defined.

Many studies have investigated the relevance of polymorphisms in renin angiotensin system (RAS) genes with PVD but reported conflicting results. Among the polymorphisms in RAS candidate genes, the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) insertion/deletion (I/D) polymorphism has attracted special attention owing to its functional roles. The ACE gene is located on the long arm of chromosome 17 (17q23) in humans and contains 26 exons and 25 introns. ACE I/D polymorphism (dbSNP rs1799752, chromosome position 63,488,529 GRCh37) results from the presence or absence of a 287-bp Alu repeat in intron 16 of the ACE gene.\(^9\) The population minor allele frequency (MAF) of this SNP is lower than 0.01. The ACE I/D polymorphism is known to be responsible for nearly half of the total phenotypic variance of circulating, intracellular, and tissue ACE in Caucasians, with higher serum ACE level and activity in D allele carriers.\(^7,^8\)

ACE, a key component of the RAS system, hydrolyzes angiotensin I to the potent vasoconstrictor and aldosterone-stimulating peptide angiotensin II, and inactivates the vasodilator bradykinin. This biological process results in decreased tissue perfusion, vascular smooth muscle cell growth, and stimulation of plasminogen-activator inhibitor type I.\(^9,^10\) Chronic exposure to high levels of circulating and tissue ACE may also lead to vascular wall thickening and atherosclerosis.\(^3\) Thus, those who carry a susceptible gene polymorphism of ACE may experience chronically unbalanced vasoconstriction and vasorelaxation. The unbalanced vascular tones subsequently increase arterial stiffness, ultimately predisposing them to lower extremity arterial disease (LEAD) or other vascular diseases.\(^5\)

There is mounting evidence proving the vital role of the D allele of the ACE gene in various cardiovascular diseases among different populations. Many studies supported the hypothesis that the ACE D allele confers an increased risk of vasculitis, especially in Behcet's disease and Henoch-Schönlein purpura.\(^11,^12\) The DD genotype and D allele were also found to be strongly associated with hypertension in different populations.\(^13,^14\) Moreover, cumulative evidence has confirmed the effect of ACE I/D polymorphism on the onset of type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM).\(^15,^16\) Given the importance of the ACE gene in the pathogenesis of hypertension, T2DM, vasculitis, and other predisposing causes to PVD,\(^17\) it is biologically plausible that these variants modulate the risk of PVD. Moreover, PVD per se is an atherosclerotic process.\(^18\) Thus, the ACE gene may be a good candidate gene for PVD study.

Although multiple studies have attempted to link ACE I/D polymorphism to PVD, the results remain controversial.\(^4,^5,^10,^23\) This lack of reproducibility might stem from methodological limitations of the available studies, including insufficient sample size, different definitions of PVD, ethnic heterogeneity, conceivable selection bias, environmental factors, as well as true variability between populations.\(^29\) Therefore, we conducted a meta-analysis to derive a more precise estimation of the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and PVD risk.

**Methods**

**Search strategy**

The PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Wanfang Database, and CNKI were searched for relevant studies published before July 2016 using the following searching strategy: “peripheral artery disease” OR “peripheral arterial disease” OR “peripheral vascular disease” OR “peripheral vascular occlusive disease” OR “peripheral arterial occlusive disease” OR “lower extremity arterial disease” OR “intermittent claudication” OR “limb ischemia” OR “atherosclerotic vascular disease” OR “PVD” OR “PAD” OR “PVOD” OR “PAOD” OR “LEAD”) AND (“ACE” OR “angiotensin converting enzyme”) AND (“gene” OR “genotype” OR “gene variant” OR “polymorphism” OR “gene polymorphism” OR “SNP”). Bibliographies in the published articles provided further references. Following this search, we also searched the reference list to identify potentially relevant articles. Studies with the most complete data were included when there were multiple publications based on overlapping data.

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The titles, abstracts, and full texts were reviewed. In this article, we mainly focused on lower-extremity peripheral artery disease (PAD), a chronic occlusive disease of aortic, iliac, and lower-limb arteries.\(^30\) Thus, cases were considered to be patients suffering from lower-extremity PAD, with the diagnosis based on both noninvasive and invasive diagnostic tools. Eligible studies fulfilled the following inclusion criteria: 1)
evaluation of the association of ACE I/D polymorphism with susceptibility to PVD; 2) involvement of human cases with clinically diagnosed PVD and healthy controls who were free of PVD; 3) complete information on genotype frequency or risk estimate; 4) retrospective case-control studies using either a hospital-based or population-based design; 5) original data; 6) genotyping performed using validated methods.

The exclusion criteria were: 1) duplicate studies, reviews, editorial comments, case reports, meta-analyses, meeting abstracts; 2) family-based studies or case-only studies; 3) studies investigating progression, severity, phenotype modification, response to treatment, or survival; 4) association between other gene polymorphism and PVD risks.

Data extraction

We collected the following information from each eligible study: first author, year of publication, ethnicity of subject, country of origin, demographic data, sample size, genotyping method, source of controls, and genotype distribution. Additionally, information from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) tests was also tracked or calculated manually if unavailable. Two investigators calculated and tabulated the data independently using a standard extraction formula. Finally, the discrepancies were addressed in a discussion and a consensus was reached.

Quality assessment

The Newcastle–Ottawa scale (NOS), which was used to assess the quality of the eligible studies by two investigators independently, consisted of three perspectives: selection, comparability, and exposure. The NOS scores varied from 0 to 9, and studies with a NOS score ≥7 were regarded as high-quality ones.

Statistical analysis

The association between ACE I/D polymorphism and PVD was assessed by calculating pooled odds ratios (ORs) at a 95% confidence interval (CI). Deviation from HWE for distribution of genotypes was tested by $\chi^2$ tests in control groups. Since no available evidence favored any genetic models of inheritance for the polymorphism under study, we tested a co-dominant model (DD vs. ID vs. II), a dominant model (DD + ID vs. II), a recessive model (DD vs. ID + II), and an allele model (D vs. I). Heterogeneity across the involved studies was assessed using Cochran’s $Q$-test and $I^2$ statistics with the level of significance set at $P < 0.10$. In this study, we only used the random-effect model to combine the individual effect-size estimates, considering the ubiquitous nature of heterogeneity between studies. The significance of the pooled ORs was determined by the Z-test.

In addition, meta-regression was conducted to explore the possible source of heterogeneity. We further performed a cumulative meta-analysis to track evidence over time and measure the extent of genetic effects as evidence accumulated. A stratified analysis according to races was conducted to analyze different genetic architecture across races. In order to explore the assumed effect of study design on heterogeneity, we also conducted a stratified analysis according to the source of the controls. To test the robustness of the results, we conducted sensitivity analyses by removing an individual study each time or studies with similar features, as well as substituting unadjusted ORs with adjusted ones if available. Finally, Begg’s test with a funnel plot was used to assess the publication bias among the involved studies. All analyses were conducted using STATA version 11.2 (Stata Corp LP, College Station, TX). A value of $P < 0.05$ was defined as statistically significant.

Results

Literature search

A total of 2779 abstracts were initially retrieved from PubMed, Embase, Web of Science, Wanfang Database, and CNKI with the relative keywords (230 from PubMed, 2383 from Embase, 107 from Web of science, 57 from Wanfang Database, and 2 from CNKI). Consequently, 2613 studies remained after removing duplications. A majority of these references that did not match our research criteria were excluded after reviewing the abstracts or titles, including reviews, commentaries, meta-analyses, meeting abstracts, and the obviously irrelevant ones. Finally, we identified 18 articles potentially related to the association between ACE gene polymorphism and PVD, among which two studies were based on the same subjects recruited from the staff of the University of Florence; only the more elaborate one was included. Furthermore, three studies focused on atherosclerotic progression, comorbidities, or outcome in the development of PVD and one without detailed genotype data between groups were also excluded.
Additionally, two studies reported about this issue based on overlapping data by the same institution; only the latest one was included. We also inspected the references of all studies to identify further studies. In total, 12 original articles with 13 study populations with a total of 1966 cases and 6129 controls were included in our meta-analysis. Among them, the article by Li et al was used as two separate studies owing to different races. A flow chart showing our selection is presented in Fig. 1.

**Study characteristics**

The main characteristics and genotype distribution of the 13 involved studies, published between 1998 and 2014, are presented in Tables 1 and 2. The sample size of these studies ranged from 104 to 1257, of which 4 were conducted in a population-based design and the remaining in a hospital-based design. The ethnicities of the study populations were Caucasian (n = 7), Asian (n = 5), and African-American (n = 1). The frequency of the ACE D allele in patients with PVD ranged from 29.1% to 65.8%, and in controls ranged from 26.2% to 61.9%. The genotype distribution in controls was consistent with HWE, except for one by Taute et al and another by Tosic et al, which deviated from HWE. The PCR or PCR-RFLP method was used for genotyping in all eligible studies, whereas the diagnostic standard of PVD varied among these studies. Ankle-brachial index (ABI), or as it is sometimes called, ankle-arm index (AAI), is a measure widely utilized for PVD. ABI or AAI was clearly reported as the diagnosis index in 11 studies, even though the threshold values differed slightly. Most studies involved were of high quality with a NOS score no less than 7 points.

![Flow chart of the study selection process](image-url)
Table 1: Major characteristics of the 13 studies involved.

| Study          | Year  | Country                      | Ethnicity | Design             | Sample size | Age (Mean ± SD, year) | Gender (% Male) | Comorbidity | Diagnosis                  | Genotyping methods | Diagnosis score |
|----------------|-------|------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Taute et al.   | 1998  | Germany                      | Caucasian | PB                 | 98          | 60.46 ± 11.4           | 78.6 NA         | NA          | NA                          | PCR               | 6               |
| Chen et al.    | 2002  | China (mainland)             | Asian     | HB                 | 100         | 66.3 ± 4.8             | 41.0 NA         | NA          | NA                          | ABI               | 0.9             |
| Renner et al.  | 2002  | Austria                      | Caucasian | PB                 | 522         | 64.9 ± 7.7             | 76.9 NA         | NA          | NA                          | PCR               | 7               |
| Thomas et al.  | 2003  | China (Hong Kong)            | Asian     | HB                 | 194         | 66.5 ± 10.5            | 65.9 ± 6.4      | 47.9        | T2DM                        | ABI               | 0.9             |
| Karagiannis et al. | 2004 | Greece                       | Caucasian | HB                 | 100         | 66.7 ± 7.9             | 78.0 ± 6.4      | 70.2        | T2DM                        | ABI               | 0.9             |
| Basar et al.   | 2007  | Turkey                       | Caucasian | HB                 | 78          | 66.9 ± 8.2             | 72.6 ± 8.2      | 74.5        | T2DM                        | ABI               | 0.9             |
| Li et al. A    | 2007  | United States                | Caucasian | PB                 | 234         | 74.5 ± 8.2             | 74.5 ± 8.2      | 74.5        | T2DM                        | PCR               | 6               |
| Li et al. B    | 2007  | United States                | American  | PB                 | 872         | 74.1 ± 8.2             | 74.1 ± 8.2      | 74.1        | T2DM                        | PCR               | 6               |
| Fatini et al.  | 2009  | Italy                        | Caucasian | HB                 | 281         | 72.4 (30–95)           | 71.3 (24–55)    | 70.1        | T2DM                        | ABI               | 0.9             |
| Pan et al.     | 2010  | China (mainland)             | Asian     | HB                 | 43          | 74.5 ± 7.3             | 72.9 ± 7.3      | 72.9        | T2DM                        | ABI               | 0.9             |
| Tseng et al.   | 2014  | China (Taiwanese)            | Asian     | HB                 | 81          | 864                     | 79.3 ± 6.3      | 79.3        | T2DM                        | PCR               | 6               |
| TDM: type 2 diabetes mellitus; HP: hypertension; ESRD: end-stage renal disease; ABI: ankle-Brachial index; PB: hospital-based; NA: not available; SD: standard deviation; NOS: Newcastle-Ottawa scale.

Pooled prevalence of ACE deletion polymorphism in the controls

The pooled frequency of the ACE D allele was 47.5% (95% CI: 39.7%–55.3%) in the overall controls under the random-effect model with obvious heterogeneity ($P = 0.000, I^2 = 97.4%$). The pooled D allele frequency was 56.2% (95% CI: 53.0%–59.3%), 31.8% (95% CI: 28.4%–35.2%), and 59.3% (95% CI: 56.1%–62.6%) in Caucasian, Asian, and African–American controls, respectively. Significant heterogeneity was detected among Caucasian controls ($P = 0.038, I^2 = 55.1%$) and Asian controls ($P = 0.029, I^2 = 63.1%$).

ACE I/D polymorphism and the risk of PVD occurrence

The association between ACE I/D polymorphism and susceptibility to PVD was detected in each study under all genetic models [co-dominant model (DD vs. II, ID vs. II); dominant model (DD + ID vs. II); recessive model (DD vs. ID + II); allele model (D vs. I)]. Overall, except for 5 articles suggesting the protective effect of the D allele, $\text{OR} = 0.874, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.780–0.970$, $\text{OR} = 0.945, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.874–1.006$, $\text{OR} = 0.951, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.871–1.037$, $\text{OR} = 0.953, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.875–1.039$, and $\text{OR} = 0.956, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.897–1.020$, the studies consistently favored the risk effect, especially the more recent studies.4,26–28 The main results of this meta-analysis are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 2. As a whole, the pooled ORs of all eligible studies indicated that there were no statistically significant associations between ACE I/D polymorphism and PVD risk in all genetic models for DD vs. II ($\text{OR} = 1.195, 95\% \text{CI} = 1.090–1.569$), ID vs. II ($\text{OR} = 1.190, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.970–1.460$), DD + ID vs. II ($\text{OR} = 1.190, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.968–1.462$), DD vs. ID + II ($\text{OR} = 1.069, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.874–1.308$), and D vs. I ($\text{OR} = 1.097, 95\% \text{CI} = 0.956–1.258$) with significant between-study heterogeneity.

Stratified analysis

To further explore the source of heterogeneity, we performed stratified analyses across several key characteristics including population ethnicity (Caucasian, Asian, and African–American) and research design (hospital- or population-based). However, in the stratified analysis by ethnicity, significant associations were observed in Asian populations under almost every genetic model [co-dominant model (DD vs. II: $\text{OR} = 1.620, 95\% \text{CI} = 1.077–2.436$; ID vs. II: $\text{OR} = 1.390, 95\% \text{CI} = 1.075–1.798$); dominant model: $\text{OR} = 1.455, 95\% \text{CI} = 1.164–1.818$; D vs. I: $\text{OR} = 1.569, 95\% \text{CI} = 1.190–2.095$].
Table 2
Distributions of ACE I/D genotypes and alleles in PVD patients and controls.

| Study            | Year | Distribution of genotype | Distribution of allele | HWE | MAF in controls |
|------------------|------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----|-----------------|
|                  |      | PVD patients              | Controls               |      |                 |
|                  |      | DD | ID | II | DD | ID | II             |     |                 |
| Taute et al.     | 1998 | 33 | 46 | 19 | 92 | 100 | 48             | 112 | 84 | 284 | 196 | 0.033a | 0.592 |
| Chen et al.      | 2002 | 23 | 43 | 34 | 29 | 127 | 120            | 89  | 111 | 185 | 367 | 0.589 | 0.335 |
| Renner et al.    | 2002 | 166| 234| 122 | 146 | 252 | 124            | 566 | 478 | 544 | 500 | 0.454 | 0.521 |
| Thomas et al.    | 2003 | 22 | 104| 68 | 102 | 420 | 524            | 148 | 240 | 624 | 1468| 0.200 | 0.298 |
| Karagiannis et al.| 2004 | 29 | 58 | 13 | 35 | 48  | 17             | 116 | 84  | 118 | 82  | 0.937 | 0.590 |
| Jeong et al.     | 2004 | 12 | 46 | 34 | 43  | 133 | 104            | 70  | 114 | 219 | 341 | 0.964 | 0.391 |
| Basar et al.     | 2007 | 22 | 42 | 14 | 31  | 27  | 15             | 86  | 70  | 89  | 57  | 0.057 | 0.610 |
| Li et al. A      | 2007 | 37 | 58 | 29 | 365 | 560 | 208            | 132 | 116 | 1290| 976 | 0.791 | 0.569 |
| Li et al. B      | 2007 | 73 | 111| 50 | 309 | 417 | 146            | 257 | 211 | 1035| 709 | 0.792 | 0.593 |
| Fatini et al.    | 2009 | 94 | 146| 41 | 127 | 239 | 119            | 334 | 228 | 493 | 477 | 0.755 | 0.508 |
| Pan et al.       | 2010 | 4  | 17 | 22 | 7   | 18  | 36             | 25  | 61  | 32  | 90  | 0.064 | 0.262 |
| Tseng et al.     | 2012 | 12 | 34 | 35 | 76  | 358 | 430            | 58  | 104 | 510 | 1218| 0.904 | 0.295 |
| Tosic et al.     | 2014 | 8  | 9  | 2  | 60  | 99  | 18             | 25  | 13  | 219 | 135 | 0.014a| 0.619 |

ACE: angiotensin-converting enzyme; PVD: peripheral vascular disease; HWE: Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; MAFs: minor allele frequencies.

* Deviated from HWE.

OR = 1.319, 95% CI: 1.107–1.571], while the effect estimates remained insignificant among the Caucasian and African–American populations (all P > 0.05) (Table 3 and Fig. 2a). Furthermore, among Asian populations, this trend was potentiated after comparing homozygotes of the D allele with I allele (DD vs. II) with a 62.0% increased risk. In contrast, a comparison between ID genotype and II genotype carriers only

Table 3
Meta-analysis of ACE I/D polymorphism with PVD risk in the overall population and subgroups.

| Total and subgroups | Genetic model | No. of studies | Sample size | Test of heterogeneity | Test of association |
|---------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
|                     |               |                | Patients    | Controls              | OR                  |
| Total               | DD vs. ID vs. II | 13             | 1966        | 6129                  | 27.43               |
|                     | DD vs. ID vs. II |                | 25.20       | 0.014                 | 52.4               |
|                     | DD vs. ID vs. II |                | 29.39       | 0.003                 | 59.2               |
|                     | ID vs. II      |                | 23.98       | 0.020                 | 50.0               |
|                     | D vs. I        |                | 31.98       | 0.001                 | 62.5               |
| Caucasian           | DD vs. ID vs. II | 7              | 1222        | 2730                  | 11.31               |
|                     | DD vs. ID vs. II |                | 11.28       | 0.080                 | 46.8               |
|                     | DD vs. ID vs. II |                | 11.94       | 0.063                 | 49.8               |
|                     | ID vs. II      |                | 10.54       | 0.104                 | 43.1               |
|                     | D vs. I        |                | 12.39       | 0.054                 | 51.6               |
| Asian               | DD vs. ID vs. II | 5              | 510         | 2527                  | 4.69                |
|                     | DD vs. ID vs. II |                | 7.80        | 0.099                 | 48.7               |
|                     | DD vs. ID vs. II |                | 6.31        | 0.177                 | 36.6               |
|                     | ID vs. II      |                | 5.39        | 0.249                 | 25.8               |
|                     | D vs. I        |                | 5.47        | 0.242                 | 26.9               |

ACE: angiotensin-converting enzyme; PVD: peripheral vascular disease; No.: number; OR: odds ratio; CI: confidence interval.
Fig. 2. Forest plot of the association between angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) I/D polymorphism and peripheral vascular disease (PVD) in the overall population stratified by ethnicities (a) and study design (b) (allele model: D vs. I).

### (a) Study ID Weight(%) OR(95% CI) Random Effect Analysis

**Caucasian**

| Study ID            | Weight(%) | OR(95% CI)            |               |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Taute et al. 1998   | 7.54      | 0.92 (0.66, 1.29)     |               |
| Renner et al. 2002  | 11.32     | 1.09 (0.92, 1.29)     |               |
| Karagiannis et al. 2004 | 6.38    | 0.96 (0.64, 1.43)     |               |
| Basar et al. 2007   | 5.43      | 0.79 (0.50, 1.24)     |               |
| Li et al. 2007      | 9.15      | 0.86 (0.66, 1.12)     |               |
| Fatini et al. 2009  | 10.41     | 1.42 (1.15, 1.75)     |               |
| Tsic et al. 2014    | 2.98      | 1.19 (0.59, 2.40)     |               |
| Subtotal (I²=51.6%, P=0.064) | 53.20   | 1.04 (0.88, 1.22)     |               |

**Asian**

| Study ID            | Weight(%) | OR(95% CI)            |               |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Chen et al. 2002    | 7.66      | 1.59 (1.14, 2.21)     |               |
| Thomas et al. 2003  | 10.04     | 1.45 (1.16, 1.82)     |               |
| Jeong et al. 2004   | 7.41      | 0.96 (0.68, 1.35)     |               |
| Pan et al. 2010     | 3.65      | 1.15 (0.62, 2.13)     |               |
| Tseng et al. 2012   | 7.51      | 1.33 (0.96, 1.87)     |               |
| Subtotal (I²=26.9%, I²=0.242) | 36.28   | 1.32 (1.11, 1.57)     |               |

**African-American**

| Study ID            | Weight(%) | OR(95% CI)            |               |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Li et al. B 2007    | 10.52     | 0.83 (0.68, 1.02)     |               |
| Subtotal (I²=26.5%, P=0.001) | 10.52   | 0.83 (0.68, 1.02)     |               |

**Overall (I²=62.5%, P=0.001)**

| Study ID            | Weight(%) | OR(95% CI)            |               |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Overall             | 100.00    | 1.10 (0.96, 1.26)     |               |

**Note:** Weights are from random effects analysis

### (b) Study ID Weight(%) OR(95% CI) Random Effect Analysis

**Population-based**

| Study ID            | Weight(%) | OR(95% CI)            |               |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Taute et al. 1998   | 7.54      | 0.92 (0.66, 1.29)     |               |
| Renner et al. 2002  | 11.32     | 1.09 (0.92, 1.29)     |               |
| Li et al. A 2007    | 9.15      | 0.86 (0.66, 1.12)     |               |
| Li et al. B 2007    | 10.52     | 0.83 (0.68, 1.02)     |               |
| Subtotal (I²=33.4%, P=0.212) | 38.52   | 0.94 (0.81, 1.08)     |               |

**Hospital-based**

| Study ID            | Weight(%) | OR(95% CI)            |               |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Chen et al. 2002    | 7.66      | 1.59 (1.14, 2.21)     |               |
| Thomas et al. 2003  | 10.04     | 1.45 (1.16, 1.82)     |               |
| Karagiannis et al. 2004 | 6.38    | 0.96 (0.64, 1.43)     |               |
| Jeong et al. 2004   | 7.41      | 0.96 (0.68, 1.35)     |               |
| Basar et al. 2007   | 5.43      | 0.79 (0.50, 1.24)     |               |
| Fatini et al. 2009  | 10.41     | 1.42 (1.15, 1.75)     |               |
| Pan et al. 2010     | 3.65      | 1.15 (0.62, 2.13)     |               |
| Tseng et al. 2012   | 7.51      | 1.33 (0.95, 1.87)     |               |
| Tsic et al. 2014    | 2.98      | 1.19 (0.59, 2.40)     |               |
| Subtotal (I²=38.9%, P=0.109) | 61.48   | 1.24 (1.06, 1.44)     |               |

**Overall (I²=62.5%, P=0.001)**

| Study ID            | Weight(%) | OR(95% CI)            |               |
|---------------------|-----------|-----------------------|---------------|
| Overall             | 100.00    | 1.10 (0.96, 1.26)     |               |

**Note:** Weights are from random effects analysis
yielded a marginally significant 39.0% increased risk for PVD.

Among the 13 studies, there were 4 population-based studies\textsuperscript{5,19,21} and 9 hospital-based studies.\textsuperscript{4,20,22–28}
After classifying all studies according to study design, it was interesting to note that a significant association was consistently observed for hospital-based studies but not population-based studies (Table 3 and Fig. 2b). For example, under the homozygous model of inheritance, comparison of the ACE DD genotype carriers with II genotype carriers generated no significant results among population-based studies ($OR = 0.874$, $95\% CI: 0.663–1.152$); however, the strength of association was nearly doubled among hospital-based studies ($OR = 1.546$, $95\% CI: 1.148–2.081$).

**Heterogeneity analysis and cumulative analysis**

As is shown in Table 2, significant heterogeneity was observed in the overall comparisons. Thus, meta-regression was performed to detect source of heterogeneity in general variables. It showed that the source of the controls (population- or hospital-based) was the major source of heterogeneity [co-dominant model (DD vs. II $P = 0.021$, ID vs. II $P = 0.003$); dominant model: $P = 0.003$; recessive model: $P = 0.316$; D vs. I: $P = 0.026$]. Population ethnicity, whether participants had comorbidity, and whether cases and controls were matched for age and gender could also partially explain the heterogeneity in some genetic models. However, the year of publication, sample size, status of HWE, and diagnosis standard was not statistically correlated with heterogeneity (all $P > 0.05$).

Cumulative meta-analysis for the allele model (D vs. I) in the overall population revealed clear evidence of a random-effect $OR$ not significantly larger than 1 (Fig. 3). This trend hardly varied after 2009 when it was around 1.07, indicating the stability of the association between ACE I/D polymorphism and risk of PVD. From 2009 to the present, the point estimates of the pooled effect gradually stabilized with narrowed CIs among other models.

**Sensitivity analysis and publication bias**

To evaluate the stability of the results, we further conducted sensitivity analysis by omitting one study at a time and recalculating the pooled $OR$s. The corresponding pooled $OR$s (D vs. I) were not substantially altered in the overall population (Fig. 4a) or Asian population (Fig. 4b), suggesting that the results were statistically robust. Similar results were identified when we excluded two studies in which genotype distribution in the controls slightly deviated from HWE\textsuperscript{19,27} two studies without a clear diagnosis threshold of ABI\textsuperscript{19,27} five studies with a NOS score $\leq 6$\textsuperscript{19,20,23,25,27} and five studies in which participants had comorbidities.\textsuperscript{20,22,26–28} Considering the effect of confounding factors, we also used substituted adjusted $OR$s for unadjusted ones\textsuperscript{4,26}; however, no prominent changes were observed in pooled results (data not shown).

Symmetry was apparent in the funnel plots (Fig. 5), and statistical evidence from the Begg’s test suggested that there was no publication bias among the overall population ($Z = -0.06$, $P = 1.000$) or Asian population ($Z = 1.22$, $P = 0.221$).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis of comprehensive assessment for the
relationship between ACE I/D polymorphism and risk of PVD. Our meta-analysis of 13 studies, including 1966 cases and 6129 controls, failed to show significant associations in overall comparisons. However, in subgroup analyses organized by race and study-design, we found that PVD cases had a significantly lower frequency of II genotype in Asians and hospital-based studies.

In the present study, significant association was remarkably found among Asians but not among Caucasians or African-Americans, suggesting possible ethnic differences in genetic backgrounds and the living environment. Indeed, an ethnic difference of ACE I/D polymorphism is present in healthy population studies, with D allele frequency ranging from 0.50 to 0.63 in Caucasians and 0.27 to 0.40 in Japanese individuals.\(^3\)\(^8\)\(^3\)\(^9\) In this contribution, the pooled D allele frequency in controls was exceedingly higher among Caucasians (53.0%—59.3%) than among Asians (28.4%—35.2%). Ethnic factors also affect the genotype-phenotype relationship, as seen in the weaker correlation between ACE I/D genotype and circulating ACE levels in African-Americans than in Caucasians.\(^4\)\(^0\) In addition, the impact of this polymorphism might be diluted or masked by other as-yet unidentified causal genes involved in PVD development among Caucasians. Future studies should investigate I/D adjacent markers to confirm whether the association is causal or due to linkage disequilibrium (LD) which varied between populations because of regional variability, genetic drift, and mating patterns.\(^4\)\(^1\) Other inherent factors such as selection bias, different matching criteria, or relatively small sample size may also play a role and lead to ethnic heterogeneity. Moreover, we cannot exclude the possibility that ACE I/D polymorphism may have pleiotropic effects on the pathogenesis of PVD among different ethnic groups in view of the high prevalence of the D allele among all studies. Since only one eligible study aimed at an African-American population, additional studies are warranted to further validate the ethnic impact on the association, especially among African-Americans.

No significant association between variant genotypes and PVD risk was observed in the subgroup analysis among population-based studies. The nonsignificant result might be ascribed to the limited number

![Fig. 4. Sensitivity analysis in the overall (a) and Asian (b) populations pooled with the random-effects model (allele model: D vs. I).](image-url)
of studies with available data, which lacked sufficient statistical power to detect a slight effect or tended to generate a fluctuated risk estimate. With respect to this research, we only involved 4 population-based studies, among which two were based on the same Health ABC study and accounted for nearly 50% weight in this subgroup. It is thus speculated that if omitted, the magnitude of estimated OR might fluctuate or even reverse, which was the case for the present meta-analysis (the pooled OR switched to 1.051, 95% CI: 0.901—1.225). Based on this, the results of the hospital-based studies might be considered statistically valid in this study.

Considering the fact that all the included studies were conducted among elderly adults, the issue of selective survival should not be neglected. It is likely that those who were genetically susceptible or environmentally prone to CVD either may not have survived to be enrolled or failed to meet the eligibility criteria. For instance, the criteria for accepting patients into the study conducted by Taute et al included the ability to walk 100 meters without suffering pain and the absence of symptomatic or ECG-detectable coronary heart disease. Individuals diagnosed with ischemic heart failure had to be ruled out of the Health ABC study, failing to meet the criteria of having no difficulty walking one quarter of a mile, climbing 10 steps, or performing basic activities of daily living. Thus, we may be left with a relatively healthier crowd with less susceptibility to PVD, as is the case with another study, which reported that the ACE D allele is associated with coronary artery disease in subjects younger than 61.7 years old but not in those aged 61.7 years and older.

Our meta-analysis had some notable advantages. First, a comprehensive searching strategy based on computer-assisted and manual searching allowed extensive access to all eligible studies. Second, sensitivity analyses and no publication bias contributed to the robustness of our results. Third, our analysis not only focused on papers published in English, but also included papers written in other languages such as Chinese and Korean, which might minimize the possibility of an English language bias.

Some limitations of our meta-analysis should also be mentioned. One limitation is that the included studies had relatively small sample sizes and were not uniformly designed, displaying obvious heterogeneity in our study. The discrepancy in the definition of controls (healthy people or PVD-free patients) might contribute to selection bias, considering that the control groups had different susceptibility to PVD. Second, the evidence of a significant association between ACE I/D polymorphism and PVD susceptibility among Asian populations may be weak, with only 5 studies reviewed. Thus, further large-sampled studies are warranted. Third, the lack of individual patient data hindered our ability to perform a thorough adjusted estimate for potential confounding factors (e.g. gender, obesity, smoking, and other lifestyles), as well as evaluate potential gene–gene interaction and gene–environment interaction.

Conclusions

In conclusion, the present meta-analysis provided evidence of an association between ACE I/D polymorphism and risk of PVD among Asian populations. However, currently, there is insufficient evidence to conclude that the association is consistent among Caucasian populations. In light of the overall limited existing data, the possible effect of study design on risk assessment is unclear. To confirm the hypothesis of the contribution of the D allele to genetic predisposition to PVD, additional longitudinal studies examining
gene—gene or gene-environmental interactions, as well as studies seeking to provide biological mechanisms or clinical confirmation of our results, are needed. Future studies should recruit homogeneous patients and well-matched controls from multi-ethnic populations. Such cohorts representative of the general population could enable a more conclusive evaluation of the role of ACE I/D polymorphism in the etiology of PVD.
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