ABSTRACT

Introduction In Aotearoa New Zealand, Māori and Pacific people experience worse health outcomes compared with other New Zealanders. No population-based eye health survey has been conducted, and eye health services do not generate routine monitoring reports, so the extent of eye health inequality is unknown. This information is required to plan equitable eye health services. Here we outline the protocol for a scoping review to report the nature and extent of the evidence reporting vision impairment, and the use of eye health services by ethnicity in New Zealand.

Methods and analysis An information specialist will conduct searches on MEDLINE and Embase, with no limit on publication dates or language. We will search the grey literature via websites of relevant government and service provider agencies. Reference lists of included articles will be screened. Observational studies will be included if they report the prevalence of vision impairment, or any of the main causes (cataract, uncorrected refractive error, macular degeneration, glaucoma or diabetic retinopathy) or report the use of eye health services in New Zealand among people of any age. Two authors will independently review titles, abstracts and full-text articles, and complete data extraction. Overall findings will be summarised using descriptive statistics and thematic analysis, with an emphasis on disaggregation by ethnicity where this information is available.

Ethics and dissemination Ethical approval has not been sought as our review will only include published and publicly accessible data. We will publish the review in an open access peer-reviewed journal. We anticipate the findings will be useful to organisations and providers in New Zealand responsible to plan and deliver eye care services, as well as stakeholders in other countries with differential access to eye care.

Registration details The protocol has been registered with Open Science Framework (https://osf.io/yw7xb).

INTRODUCTION

Rationale

The recent Lancet Global Health Commission on Global Eye Health defined eye health as ‘maximised vision, ocular health, and functional ability, thereby contributing to overall health and wellbeing, social inclusion, and quality of life’.

Eye health services are then considered any service which contributes to this broad definition of eye health. The need for accessible eye health services is large and increasing. Globally, an estimated 43 million people were blind and 295 million people had moderate or severe vision impairment in 2020. In high-income countries, including Aotearoa New Zealand (hereafter referred to as New Zealand), the main causes of blindness and moderate or severe vision impairment (collectively referred to as vision impairment) are cataract, macular degeneration, glaucoma, uncorrected refractive error and diabetic retinopathy. Most people with vision impairment are older adults, however, diabetic retinopathy is the leading cause of vision impairment in the working age group. Diabetic retinopathy is projected to be an increasingly common cause of vision impairment in the coming decades due to the rising prevalence of diabetes.

Although some countries are striving to reduce health inequalities, achieving equitable health outcomes is an intractable challenge. In many countries, people who are Indigenous, living with socioeconomic disadvantage and marginalised communities face barriers to accessing healthcare.
and chronic health conditions are more prevalent among these people. They also tend to have higher rates of vision impairment. For example, in Australia the prevalence of cataract is higher among Indigenous people compared with non-Indigenous Australians, reflecting lower access to eye health services.

Māori, the Indigenous people of New Zealand, are one of six main ethnicity groups (defined by Statistics New Zealand as ‘a cultural group a person identifies with or has a sense of belonging to’). In the 2018 census, 70% of New Zealanders identified with at least one European ethnicity, 17% identified as Māori, 8% identified with at least one Pacific peoples’ ethnicity, 15% identified as Asian, 2% identified as Middle Eastern/Latin American/African and 1% identified as other ethnicity.

Inequities in health and variations between ethnicity groups in the prevalence of systemic diseases have been reported in New Zealand. The health gap is persistent between Māori and non-Māori. Chronic conditions such as diabetes, cardiovascular disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are more prevalent among Māori compared with other New Zealanders. Māori have a higher need for renal replacement therapy and a 30% higher risk of developing a cardiovascular event compared with European New Zealanders.

Inequities in eye health are well-documented in several high-income countries. In New Zealand, the extent of inequity in eye health is largely unknown. New Zealand has never had a population-based eye health survey. A systematic review has been conducted on diabetic retinopathy prevalence and services, but synthesis of information on other causes of vision impairment has not been undertaken. This information would assist decision-makers to plan equitable eye health services.

The aim of this scoping review is to summarise the nature and extent of evidence in New Zealand on: 1. The distribution of vision impairment and its major causes by ethnicity. 2. Differential access to eye health services by ethnicity.

As there is no New Zealand-specific information available on the main causes of vision impairment, we will assess the evidence on main causes in high-income countries. We chose to undertake a scoping review rather than a systematic review, as we anticipate that the available evidence will be heterogenous.

**METHODS AND ANALYSIS**

We will follow the methodological steps for scoping reviews outlined by Arksey and O’Malley. Our team includes researchers (JB, MH, JR) with experience in conducting scoping reviews including on service delivery models to address inequities in eye health.

**Objectives/scoping review questions**

To achieve our aim, we will answer the following questions:

1. What is the nature and extent of the available evidence on vision impairment in New Zealand?

2. What is the available evidence on the prevalence of the major causes of vision impairment in New Zealand?

3. How and in what ways is vision impairment and its major causes distributed across ethnicity groups?

4. What is the available evidence on differential access to eye health services for the major causes of vision impairment by ethnicity?

**Protocol and registration**

The protocol for this scoping review is reported according to the relevant items of the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) extension for Scoping Reviews checklist (online supplemental annex 1). The protocol has been registered with Open Science Framework.

**Patient and public involvement**

There is no patient or public involvement as our review will only include published and publicly accessible data.

**Eligibility criteria**

We will include studies that meet the following criteria:

**Context**

Studies will be included if they report outcomes among residents of New Zealand (whether disaggregated by ethnicity or not), or attendees at New Zealand health facilities (regardless of facility size, public/private sector or level of care). Multi-country studies will be included if the results are reported separately for New Zealand.

**Types of studies**

Observational study types such as cross-sectional, case-control and consecutive case series will be included. Non-consecutive cases series will be excluded. Research letters and grey literature, such as District Health Board (DHB) reports will be included, if they report data for at least one of our outcomes of interest. Editorials and conference abstracts will be excluded. We will have no time limit or language restrictions. Only studies where the full article is available will be included. We will use the University of Auckland’s comprehensive inter-library loan service to retrieve articles not readily available.

**Participants**

We will include studies of any population group resident in New Zealand. There will be no age or gender restriction.

**Concept/outcomes**

We will include studies that report at least one of:

- The prevalence of vision impairment.
- The prevalence of cataract, uncorrected refractive error, macular degeneration, glaucoma or diabetic retinopathy.
- The prevalence of vision impairment due to cataract, uncorrected refractive error, macular degeneration, glaucoma or diabetic retinopathy.
► Attendance at eye health service such as ophthalmology services, optometric services and eye health screening programmes (eg, diabetic retinal screening, children vision screening).
► Rates of treatment for cataract, uncorrected refractive error, macular degeneration, glaucoma or diabetic retinopathy.

We will include studies which report these outcomes by person. Studies which only report the outcomes by eye or by eye health service visit will be excluded.

Search
Published literature search
We will search MEDLINE and Embase using search strategies developed by a Cochrane Eyes and Vision Information Specialist (IG). Our search strategy used on MEDLINE is included in online supplemental annex 2. We will apply a backward and forward snowball citation approach to identify potentially relevant studies, by examining reference lists of all included articles (backward) and examining studies which have cited our included articles (forward).

Grey literature search
We will include grey literature that reports data for at least one of our outcomes. General search terms will be used to identify eligible information within each website. Relevant links within documents to other sources of information will be pursued. A single reviewer will perform the search and identify eligible data, with verification from a second reviewer.

Using Google search engine, separate searches will be performed across:
► New Zealand government websites such as Ministry of Health and DHBs.
► Professional associations such as New Zealand Association of Optometry and the Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Ophthalmologists.
► Non-profit organisations and charitable trusts such as Blind Low Vision New Zealand and Macular Degeneration New Zealand.

We will limit our search to the first 20 items of Google search engines.

Google search terms will include:
► “vision”, “eye”, “eye health”, “eye service”, “vision tests” and “vision screening”.
► “cataract”, “uncorrected refractive error”, “macular degeneration”, “glaucoma” or “diabetic retinopathy”.

In addition, field experts and key stakeholders will be contacted to share our list of included studies and request they identify further potentially relevant studies for consideration in the review.

Study selection
Covidence systematic review software will be used for screening (Veritas Health Innovation, Melbourne, Australia. Available at: www.covidence.org). Two reviewers will independently screen the title and abstract of identified studies to exclude publications that clearly do not meet the inclusion criteria. The full-text article will be retrieved for review (via the University of Auckland Library) if the citation seems potentially relevant. Any discrepancies between the reviewers will be resolved by discussion and a third reviewer will be consulted if necessary. A PRISMA flow diagram will be completed to summarise the study selection process.

Data charting process
A custom form will be developed in Excel for data charting. The form will be piloted on three studies and required amendments agreed by consensus. As we anticipate a broad scope of studies, the data charting process will be iterative and the data charting form will be amended as required. Each included study will be charted independently by two reviewers. Any discrepancies between the reviewers will be resolved by discussion, and a third reviewer will be consulted if necessary. We plan to contact study authors in the case of unclear information and will make up to three attempts by email.

Data items
The following data items will be collected during the data charting process:
1. Source characteristics:
   a. Published data—author(s), year of publication, title, journal and study design.
   b. Grey literature—author (organisation, eg, Ministry of Health), year of publication, source website (eg, government/non-government organisation), type of literature (report, thesis, technical report, statistic, other).
2. Study characteristics: year(s) of data collection, sample size, age group of study population, demographics of study population such as gender and ethnicity. Geographical area (e.g., city, district) and study setting (e.g., facility level).
3. Outcomes as outlined above. We will extract all outcomes at the aggregate level, as well as disaggregated by ethnicity, gender, DHB and area level deprivation wherever available.

Synthesis of results
We will summarise findings narratively and in tables. Information for each outcome will be disaggregated by cause of impairment, ethnicity, age, geographic region and area level deprivation where these are available.

Where possible, we will use Statistics New Zealand level 2 main categories for ethnicity (European, Māori, Pacific people, Asian and Middle Eastern/Latin American/African), and otherwise report according to information provided by authors.

Where possible, we will use the International Classification of Diseases 11th classification (ICD-11) categories of vision impairment, based on presenting visual acuity in the better eye, that is, mild vision impairment is visual acuity of 6/12 or worse to 6/18 inclusive; moderate vision impairment is visual acuity worse than 6/18 to 6/60 inclusive; severe vision
impairment is visual acuity worse than 6/60 to 3/60 inclusive and blindness is visual acuity worse than 3/60.29

We will share our synthesis of the results with the field experts and key stakeholders engaged during the search process, to get feedback on our summary of results.23
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