Integration of Ki-67 index into AJCC 2018 staging provides additional prognostic information in breast tumours candidate for genomic profiling
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BACKGROUND: The Eighth edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system (2018) for breast cancer (BC) introduced the prognostic stage. Moreover, multigene assessment has been indicated to tailor staging in T1/T2/N0, ER-positive/HER2-negative BC. However, many National Health Systems do not provide reimbursement for routine testing. The aim of this study was to assess whether Ki67 proliferation index is prognostically relevant for patients’ candidacy for molecular testing.

METHODS: A retrospective series of 686 ER+ /HER2– BC were reclassified using AJCC 2018, and in the group of 521 patients for which AJCC 2018 recommends molecular evaluation, we assessed the prognostic efficacy of a prognostic stage enriched by Ki67 (Ki67-PS), considering Ki67 <20% an alternative to recurrence score <11 provided by Oncotype DX.

RESULTS: We found that a group of BCs (35.6%, 58/163) assigned to IB stage by prognostic score were down classified to IA with Ki67-PS. The outcome of these 58 cases overlapped with that of lesions classified as stage IA using prognostic stage, showing a significantly better prognosis compared to IB tumours (HR = 2.79, p = 0.003).

CONCLUSIONS: These data suggest that Ki67 may be a reliable marker to enrich the 2018 AJCC prognostic score in BC patients’ candidacy for genomic profiling.
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The aim of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of a Ki67-integrated AJCC 2018 PS (Ki67-PS) for prognostic assessment of patients’ candidacy for molecular assays. In particular, we first reclassified a retrospective series of ER+/HER2− BC using both AJCC AS and PS. Then, in the subgroup of patients’ candidacy for multigene panel evaluation according to AJCC, we tested the prognostic efficacy and reliability of Ki67-PS.

METHODS

Case series

We retrospectively evaluated 686 ER+/HER2− BC patients who underwent conservative surgery at the Breast Unit of “Città della Salute e della Scienza” University Hospital (Turin, Italy) from April 1998 to December 2012. Data concerning tumour diameter, lymph node involvement, tumour grade, histological type, ER, PR, HER2 and Ki67 expression levels were obtained from the pathological reports. In addition, type of therapy and follow-up status were collected from clinical reports. All the cases were anonymously recorded into a dedicated database, and data were accessed anonymously. The study was conducted in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) and within the guidelines and regulations defined by the Research Ethics Committee for human Biospecimen Utilization (Department of Medical Sciences—ChBU) of the University of Turin. Considering the retrospective nature of this research protocol, which involved only already existing medical data that were previously anonymised with no impact on patient care, no specific written informed consent was required by the Committee.

Immunohistochemistry

Tissue sections were routinely immunostained using an automated slide processing platform (Ventana BenchMark AutoStainer, Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA) with the following primary antibodies: prediluted anti-ER rabbit monoclonal antibody (SP1, Ventana Medical Systems), prediluted anti-PR rabbit monoclonal antibody (1E2, Ventana Medical Systems), and anti-Ki67 mouse monoclonal antibody (MIB1, diluted 1:50, Dako). Evaluation of HER2 expression was performed by an anti-HER2 polyclonal antibody (A0485, diluted 1:800, Dako). Fluorescence in situ hybridisation was performed to define HER2 status in IHC equivocal cases (score 2+). Positive and negative controls were included for each IHC run.

Pathological evaluation

Tumour size was dichotomised at 15 mm, as suggested by previous studies. Cut-off for ER and PR positivity was determined at <1%, according to the Consensus of St. Gallen 2011. HER2 was evaluated as recommended by the American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists. Ki67 proliferation index was assessed on surgical specimens and a minimum of 1000 cells were evaluated. The surrogate of molecular subtypes obtained from ER, PR and HER2 IHC expression is summarised in Supplementary Table 1. Luminal subtypes were defined according to St. Gallen proposal using a Ki67 cut-off value of 20% in line with previously published studies.

Anatomic and prognostic staging

All cases (n = 686) were first staged using AS and PS, then BC in which further molecular testing (T1/T2, N0, M0) would be recommended according to AJCC 2018 were selected (n = 521). We hypothesised that the expression of Ki67 may provide prognostic information related to those obtained by Oncotype DX. Thus, in analogy to Oncotype DX® RS <11, we selected a value of Ki67 <20% to identify tumours staged IIA and IB, which could be reclassified as IA. In case of Ki67 values ≥20%, as for RS ≥ 11 the PS was not modified.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data were described as counts and percentages. Disease-free interval (DFI) was determined from the date of diagnosis to the date of first recurrence (either locoregional recurrence or distant metastasis), or if no recurrence occurred, analysis was censored at the time of last follow-up. DFI was estimated with the Kaplan–Meier analysis. The Cox model was used to assess the prognostic value of a series of patient and tumour characteristics. Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were also calculated. The proportional hazard assumption (Schoenfeld residuals) was always satisfied.

RESULTS

Clinical and pathological characteristics

Clinical and pathological information of 686 patients are reported in Supplementary Table 2. Briefly, 59.3% of the tumours had a diameter <15 mm and 85% were classified as pT1; of these, 42.1% were well differentiated (G1) and 11.4% were poorly differentiated (G3). Lymph nodes resulted free of metastases in 76.1% of patients. The proliferation rate was low (Ki67 < 20%) in 74.1% of cases. Most of tumours expressed PR and 59.3% were classified as Luminal A. All patients were treated by conservative surgery followed by radiotherapy. Hormonal therapy was administered to 95.2% of patients, while 23% received chemotherapy. Distant or local relapse was observed in 58 patients (8.4%) and 21 died of BC (3.1%).

Classification using AJCC 2018

Patients were staged according to the AJCC 2018 anatomic staging (Fig. 1—AS). According to this system, 468 (68.2%), 28 (4.1%), 132 (19.2%) and 39 (5.7%) of tumours were staged as IA, IB, IIA and IIB, respectively, whereas 19 (2.7%) were in stage III (Supplementary Table 3).

Then we re-staged the tumours using AJCC 2018 PS (Fig. 1—PS). Applying this staging system, the majority of tumours were still classified as IA (63.7%); however, the PS reassigned to IA and IB stage the majority of patients previously classified as IA or IIB by AS (Supplementary Table 3). Conversely, 57 cases changed from IA by AS to IB (51) and IIA (6) according to PS. Only 15 out of 39 cases staged as IIB by AS were
Table 1. Clinical and pathological characteristics of patients candidate for molecular profiling.

| Diameter  | No. of patients | %    |
|-----------|-----------------|------|
| <15 mm    | 343             | 65.8 |
| ≥15 mm    | 178             | 34.2 |

| pT        | No. of patients | %    |
|-----------|-----------------|------|
| 1         | 468             | 89.8 |
| 2         | 53              | 10.2 |

| Grade     | No. of patients | %    |
|-----------|-----------------|------|
| 1         | 231             | 44.4 |
| 2         | 244             | 46.8 |
| 3         | 46              | 8.8  |

| Ki67      | No. of patients | %    |
|-----------|-----------------|------|
| <20%      | 404             | 77.5 |
| ≥20%      | 117             | 22.5 |

| PR        | No. of patients | %    |
|-----------|-----------------|------|
| Negative  | 33              | 6.3  |
| Positive  | 488             | 93.7 |

| Subtype   | No. of patients | %    |
|-----------|-----------------|------|
| Luminal A | 319             | 61.2 |
| Luminal B | 202             | 38.8 |

| Chemotherapy | No. of patients | %    |
|--------------|-----------------|------|
| No           | 468             | 89.8 |
| Yes          | 53              | 10.2 |

| Recurrences | No. of patients | %    |
|-------------|-----------------|------|
| No          | 491             | 94.2 |
| Yes         | 30              | 5.8  |

| PR progesterone receptor | No. of patients | %    |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------|

confirmed by PS, while 14 cases were upstaged into IIIA, 2 were assigned to IIB and 8 were down staged to IB (Supplementary Table 3).

Supplementary Table 4 summarised the results obtained by AS and PS, grouping stage I–II–III patients. Using the new prognostic classification proposed by AJCC, the majority of patients of our series were shifted in stage I \( [x = 0.38, 95\% \text{ CI (0.33–0.411)}] \). In particular, using the AS 5.6% of cases were stage IB, the rate increased to 27.2% using the PS.

Ki67-integrated PS

We selected 521 patients with BC staged as T1/T2N0M0 who were potential candidates for molecular assessment following AJCC 2018. Differences between AJCC 2018 AS and PS are summarised in Supplementary Table 5. In this subgroup, Ki67 proliferation index was used to integrate the PS with additional information regarding biological aggressiveness (Ki67-PS) (Fig. 1—Ki67-PS).

Clinical and pathological information of this patient group are reported in Table 1. As shown in Table 2, 411 patients remained assigned to IA stage using both PS and Ki67-PS, while 58 out of 89 (65.2%) and 3 out of 19 (15.8%) BCs previously classified as IB and IIA, respectively, were down staged to IA, using Ki67-PS. In terms of absolute differences, 61/521 (approximately 12%) patients were differently classified.

Table 3 summarises the results obtained by the three different staging systems, grouping stage I–II–III patients. Prognostic staging (95.9%) and Ki67-PS (96.5%) moved to stage I the majority of BCs. In general, we observed an overlap between PS and Ki67-PS, although stage IA counted more cases (411 vs 472) according to Ki67-PS.

Outcome analysis according to different staging systems

To understand which staging system could be more accurate to predict the prognosis in ER+ BC patients, we used Kaplan–Meier analysis (Fig. 2a–c). Resulting DFI from both AS and PS is reported in Table 4. In particular, the 58 cases that were down staged from IB to IA using Ki67-PS showed a significantly different DFI among stage IA and IB (log-rank test \( p < 0.001 \)) (Fig. 2d, Table 4) and a better prognosis compared to IB patients classed as stage IA (grade and prognostic factors) leads to an increased number of patients classified as stage I, as previously reported. Furthermore, in line with other studies, we found that stage I according to PS clearly identifies a group of patients with a more favourable outcome, distinguishing them from other patients with

DISCUSSION

In the present study, a retrospective series of ER+/HER2– BC with long follow-up was reclassified using both Eighth edition AJCC AS and PS. The results obtained confirm that integration of tumour load (size and presence of node involvement) with tumour type (grade and prognostic factors) leads to an increased number of patients classified as stage I, as previously reported. Further, more, in line with other studies, we found that stage I according to PS clearly identifies a group of patients with a more favourable outcome, distinguishing them from other patients with
lesions classified as stage II or III and providing more accurate prognostic information compared with AS.

To further improve patient care and avoid unnecessary treatments, AJCC 2018 recommends the use of multigene profiling in the subset of T1/T2-N0, HER2-negative luminal BCs. However, in many countries, including Italy, the National Health System does not reimburse these tests, hampering the prompt translation of AJCC 2018 recommendations into the routine clinical practice.

In the absence of molecular assays, Ki67 is to date the only recommended marker, together with PR, that can help oncologists to differentiate luminal A from luminal B surrogate categories. In the present study, we created a PS integrated with Ki67 (Ki67-PS), hypothesising that expression of Ki67 may stratify patients similarly to Oncotype DX. Actually, Oncotype DX is based, among others, on the expression of 5 genes related to proliferation (namely MKI67, STK15, Survivin, CCNB1 and MYBL2), and the association between both RS and single gene expression with the Ki67 IHC levels has previously been addressed. Since use of Oncotype DX in routine practice requires important financial resources and its cost-effectiveness has been questioned in the literature, especially for low-risk BC patients, Ki67-PS can possibly provide additional information with an inferior burden on National Health System budget.

Several works reported a poor reproducibility of Ki67 assessment due to the use of different clones (e.g. MIB-1, MM1, NCL-Ki-67p) and different pre-analytic procedures, as well as discordant diagnostic evaluation even in case of dedicated breast pathologists. To overcome this problem, in Italy, breast pathologists and breast pathological laboratories perform routinely local, regional and national quality controls to standardise pre-analytical and analytical assessment of this marker, according to recommendation by the St Gallen Consensus Conference. In addition, we and other groups demonstrated that 20% is an optimal cut-off of Ki67 to stratify patients with luminal BCs. Thus we hypothesised that tumours...
showing Ki67 < 20% may be classified as stage IA, similarly to those with Rs < 11. In the present study, we showed that prognostic score clearly separates stage I tumours from the others. However, using the integrated Ki67-PS, 61/521 (12%) patients were down staged from IB (58 patients) and from IA (3 patients) to IA with an outcome comparable to those classified as stage IA defined by PS in terms of DFI. These data support Ki67 as a possible marker to identify the subgroup of patients with luminal BC with good prognosis in which treatment de-escalation could be considered.

The present study has some limitations that warrant consideration. Its retrospective nature limits the collection of follow-up data. Owing to the small number of patients who died of disease, we could not perform survival analyses. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that reports effective integration of the newly introduced AJCC 2018 PS system with Ki67 IHC evaluation.

In conclusion, our results confirmed that PS provides better prognostic information compared to AS in luminal BC patients. Moreover, the use of Ki67-integrated PS may be a reliable method to obtain additional prognostic data, enriching the 2018 AJCC system in BC patients’ candidacy for genomomic profiling.
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