The Rademacher Cotype of Operators from \( l_N^{\infty} \)

S.J. Montgomery-Smith  
Department of Mathematics, University of Missouri,  
Columbia, MO 65211.

M. Talagrand  
Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University,  
231 W. 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210.  
Equipe d’Analyse – Tour 46, Université Paris VI,  
4 Place Jussieu, 75230 Paris Cedex 05.

Abstract: We show that for any operator \( T : l_N^{\infty} \rightarrow Y \), where \( Y \) is a Banach space, that its cotype 2 constant, \( K^{(2)}(T) \), is related to its \((2,1)\)-summing norm, \( \pi_{2,1}(T) \), by

\[
K^{(2)}(T) \leq c \log \log N \pi_{2,1}(T).
\]

Thus, we can show that there is an operator \( T : C(K) \rightarrow Y \) that has cotype 2, but is not 2-summing.
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Introduction

The notation we use in this paper is loosely based on that given in [L–T1], [L–T2] and [P1].

We let \( \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots \) be independent Rademacher random variables, that is, \( \Pr(\varepsilon_s = 1) = \Pr(\varepsilon_s = -1) = \frac{1}{2} \). A linear operator \( T : X \rightarrow Y \) is said to have (Rademacher) cotype \( p \) \((p \geq 2)\) if there is a constant \( C < \infty \) such that for all \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_S \) in \( X \) we have

\[
\left( \frac{1}{S} \sum_{s=1}^{S} \|T(x_s)\|_p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{S} \varepsilon_s x_s \right\|.
\]

The smallest value of \( C \) is called the (Rademacher) cotype \( p \) constant of \( T \), and is denoted by \( K^{(p)}(T) \). These definitions extend to spaces in the obvious way; a space \( X \) has cotype \( p \) if its identity operator has cotype \( p \).
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We define the $(p,q)$-summing norm of a linear operator $T : X \to Y$, denoted by $\pi_{p,q}(T)$, to be the least number $C$ such that for all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_S$ in $X$ we have
\[
\left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \|T(x_s)\|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq C \sup \left\{ \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} |\langle x^*, x_s \rangle|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\},
\]
where the supremum is taken over all $x^*$ in the unit ball of $X^*$. We call a $(p,p)$-summing operator a $p$-summing operator, and write $\pi_p(T)$ for $\pi_{p,p}(T)$. We say that the operator is $(p,q)$-summing ($p$-summing) if $\pi_{p,q}(T) < \infty$ (respectively $\pi_p(T) < \infty$).

If $1 \leq p < \infty$, and $1 \leq q \leq \infty$, then we let $L_{p,q}(\mu)$ denote the Lorentz space on the measure $\mu$. We refer the reader to [H] or [L–T2] for details, but just note that the $L_{p,1}$ norm may be calculated using
\[
\|f\|_{p,1} = \int_0^\infty \mu(|f| > t)^{\frac{1}{p}} \, dt = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^\infty s^{\frac{1}{p}-1} f^*(s) \, ds,
\]
where $f^*$ denotes the non-decreasing rearrangement of $|f|$.

The basic motivation behind this paper is in classifying operators from $C(K)$ that factor through a Hilbert space, where $C(K)$ denotes the continuous functions on the compact Hausdorff topological space, $K$. The first result in this direction is due to Grothendieck, which states that any bounded linear operator $C(K) \to L_1$ factors through Hilbert space. This was generalized by Maurey [Ma1], allowing $L_1$ to be replaced by any space of cotype 2, to give the following result (see also [P1]).

**Theorem 1.** Let $T : C(K) \to Y$ be a linear operator, where $Y$ is any Banach space. Then the following are equivalent:

i) $T$ is 2-summing;

ii) $T$ factors through Hilbert space;

iii) $T$ factors through a space of cotype 2.

However, we are still left with the following question: if the operator $T : C(K) \to Y$ has cotype 2, does it follow that it factors through Hilbert space?

One way one might tackle this problem is to consider the $(2,1)$-summing norms of such operators. Jameson [J] showed that there is an operator $T : l^N_\infty \to Y$ such that $\pi_2(T) \geq c^{-1} \sqrt{\log N} \pi_{2,1}(T)$. Hence, if we can establish a strong relationship between the cotype 2 constants and the $(2,1)$-summing norms of such operators, then we can answer the above question in the negative. To this end, we have the following — the main result of this paper.
Theorem 2. There is a constant $c$ such that for any operator $T : l^N_\infty \to Y$, where $Y$ is a Banach space, then the cotype 2 constant is bounded according to the relation:

$$K^{(2)}(T) \leq c \log \log N \pi_{2,1}(T).$$

Corollary. There is an operator $T : C(K) \to Y$, where $Y$ is a Banach space, that has cotype 2, but does not factor through Hilbert space.

Finally, before embarking on the proof of this result, we point out that for $p > 2$, the above problems have been completely answered.

Theorem 3. Let $T : C(K) \to Y$ be a bounded linear operator, where $Y$ is a Banach space. Then for all $p > 2$, the following are equivalent:

i) $T$ is $(p,1)$-summing;

ii) $T$ has Rademacher cotype $p$;

iii) $T$ factors through a space with Rademacher cotype $p$.

The implication (i) $\iff$ (ii) is due to Maurey [Ma2]. The third equivalence follows from the fact that any $(p,1)$-summing operator from $C(K)$ factors through $L_{p,1}$ (see [P2] or Theorem 5 below), and that $L_{p,1}$ has Rademacher cotype $p$, (see [C]).

Theorem 4. If $p > 2$, then there is a bounded linear operator $C(K) \to L_p$ that is not $p$-summing.

We refer the reader to [K].
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Proof of the Main Result

To prove Theorem 2, we need the following two results. The first allows us to reduce questions about $(p, 1)$-summing operators from $C(K)$ to the canonical embedding $C(K) \to L_{2,1}(K, \mu)$ ($\mu$ a probability measure), and is due to Pisier (see [P2]).

**Theorem 5.** Let $T : C(K) \to Y$ be a $(p, 1)$-summing operator, where $Y$ is a Banach space, and $p \geq 1$. Then there is a Radon probability measure $\mu$ on $K$ and a constant $C \leq p^\frac{1}{p} \pi_{p,1}(T)$ such that for all $x \in C(K)$ we have $\|Tx\| \leq C \|x\|_{L_{p,1}(K,\mu)}$.

The second result is about Rademacher processes, and is due to the second named author (for the proof, see [Ld–T]). First we establish some more notation. If $T$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^S$, we write

$$r(T) = \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in T} \left| \sum_{s=1}^S \varepsilon_s t(s) \right|.$$  

If $B$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^S$, we write $N(T, B)$ for the minimal number of translates of $B$ required to cover $D$. We write $B^S_1$ for the unit ball of $l^S_1$, and $B^S_2$ for the unit ball of $l^S_2$. From now on, we take all logarithms to base 2.

**Theorem 6.** There is a constant $c_1$ such that if $T$ is a bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^S$, and $\epsilon > 0$, then letting $D = c_1 r(T) B^S_1 + \epsilon B^S_2$, we have

$$r(T) \geq c_1^{-1} \epsilon \sqrt{\log N(T, D)}.$$  

Now we will state the main result towards proving Theorem 2.

**Proposition 7.** There is a constant $c_2$ such that if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a probability space with $N$ atoms, and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_S \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$ are such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^S \varepsilon_s x_s \right\|_{\infty} \leq 1,$$

then

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^S \|x_s\|_{L_{2,1}(\mu)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \leq c_2 \log \log N.$$  

Our first step in establishing this result is to restate Theorem 6 in a more suitable form.
Lemma 8. There is a constant $c_1$ (the same one as in Theorem 6) such that the following holds. Suppose that $(\Omega, F, \mu)$ is a measure space, with $\Omega$ finite, and $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_S \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$ with
\[
\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{S} \varepsilon_s x_s \right\|_{\infty} \leq 1.
\]
Then for all integers $k$, we may partition $\Omega$ into at most $2^{2^k}$ measurable sets, find $y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_S, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_S \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$, and find $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \ldots, \bar{x}_S \in L_{\infty}(\Omega, F', \mu)$ (where $F'$ denotes the algebra generated by the partition), such that $x_s = \bar{x}_s + y_s + z_s$,
\[
\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{S} \varepsilon_s \bar{x}_s \right\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \quad \left\| \sum_{s=1}^{S} |y_s| \right\|_{\infty} \leq c_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} |z_s|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\infty} \leq c_1 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}.
\]
Proof: Let $T = \left\{ (x_s(\omega))_{s=1}^{S} : \omega \in \Omega \right\}$, and let $\varepsilon = c_1^{-1}2^{-\frac{k}{2}}$. If we apply Theorem 6, we see that there are $2^{2^k}$ translates, $t_l + c_1(B_1^S + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}B_2^S)$ $(1 \leq l \leq 2^{2^k})$, that cover $T$. We let the covering of $\Omega$ be the sets
\[
A_l = \left\{ \omega : (x_s(\omega))_{s=1}^{S} \in t_l + c_1(B_1^S + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}B_2^S) \right\},
\]
and if $A_l$ is non-empty, we choose $\omega_l \in A_l$. Define $\bar{x}_s(\omega) = x_s(\omega_l)$ if $\omega \in A_l$. Now, if $\omega \in A_l$, we know that $(x_s(\omega) - \bar{x}_s(\omega))_{s=1}^{S} \in c_1(B_1^S + 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}B_2^S)$, that is, there are $(y_s(\omega))_{s=1}^{S} \in c_1 B_1^S$ and $(z_s(\omega))_{s=1}^{S} \in c_1 2^{-\frac{k}{2}}B_2^S$, with $x_s(\omega) = \bar{x}_s(\omega) + y_s(\omega) + z_s(\omega)$.

Lemma 9. There is a constant $c_3$ such that if $(\Omega, F, \mu)$ is a measure space with $\Omega$ finite, then the following hold.
\begin{enumerate}
  \item[i)] If $y \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$, then $\|y\|_{2,1} \leq \|y\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|y\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}$.
  \item[ii)] If the smallest atom is of size $a$, then for all $z \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$ we have
  \[
  \|z\|_{2,1} \leq c_3 \left( 1 + \sqrt{\log(\mu(\Omega)/a)} \right) \|z\|_{2}.
  \]
  \item[iii)] If there are $N$ atoms, then for all $z \in L_{\infty}(\mu)$ we have $\|z\|_{2,1} \leq \sqrt{N} \|z\|_{2}$.
\end{enumerate}

Proof: i) We have that
\[
\|y\|_{2,1} = \int_{0}^{\|y\|_{\infty}} \sqrt{\mu(|y| > t)} \, dt \leq \left( \int_{0}^{\|y\|_{\infty}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{0}^{\|y\|_{\infty}} \mu(|y| > t) \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|y\|_{\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|y\|_{1}^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\]
ii) We have
\[
\|z\|_{2,1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{z^*(s)}{\sqrt{s}} \, ds
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{a} \|z\|_\infty + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^\mu(\Omega) \frac{z^*(s)}{\sqrt{s}} \, ds
\]
\[
\leq \|z\|_2 + \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_a^\mu(\Omega) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_a^\mu(\Omega) (z^*(s))^2 \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\leq c_3 \left( 1 + \sqrt{\log(\mu(\Omega)/a)} \right) \|z\|_2.
\]

iii) Let \(B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_N\) be the atoms of \(\Omega\) arranged so that \(z^*(n)\), the value of \(|z|\) on \(B_n\), is in non-increasing order. Also, let \(z^*(N + 1) = 0\). Then
\[
\|z\|_{2,1} = \sum_{n=1}^N \left( \sum_{m=1}^n \mu(B_m) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (z^*(n) - z^*(n + 1))
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{N} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^n \mu(B_m) (z^*(n) - z^*(n + 1))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
\leq \sqrt{N} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \mu(B_n) (z^*(n))^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
\[
= \sqrt{N} \|z\|_2.
\]
as desired. \(\square\)

We remark that Lemma 9(i) is a well known interpolation result, and is true for all measure spaces.

**Lemma 10.** If \((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)\) is a probability space with \(\Omega\) finite, then
\[
\left( \sum_{s=1}^S \|y_s\|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left\| \sum_{s=1}^S |y_s| \right\|_\infty.
\]

**Proof:** This follows straight away from Lemma 9(i). \(\square\)
Lemma 10 is also well known (and true for all probability spaces). In fact it is a reformulation of the statement that the canonical embedding $C(\Omega) \to L_{2,1}(\mu)$ has $(2,1)$-summing norm equal to 1.

Lemma 11. There is a constant $c_4$ such that, if $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ is a probability space with at most $N$ atoms, then

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| z_s \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_4 \sqrt{\log N} \left\| \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} |z_s|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\infty}.$$

**Proof:** Let $A \subset \Omega$ be the union of those atoms of measure less than $\frac{1}{N^2}$, so that $\mu(A) \leq \frac{1}{N}$. By Lemma 9(ii), we have that $\| z_s \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} \|_{2,1} \leq c_3 \sqrt{\log N} \| z_s \|_2$, and by Lemma 9(iii), we have that $\| z_s \chi_A \|_{2,1} \leq \sqrt{N} \| z_s \chi_A \|_2$. Thus, we have that

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| z_s \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| z_s \chi_{\Omega \setminus A} \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| z_s \chi_A \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq c_3 \sqrt{\log N} \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| z_s \|_2^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sqrt{N} \mu(A) \left\| \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} |z_s|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\infty}$$

$$\leq c_4 \sqrt{\log N} \left\| \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} |z_s|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\|_{\infty},$$

as desired. \qed

**Proof of Proposition 7:** Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $N = 2^{2^k}$. We prove the result by induction over $k$. Suppose that $\Omega$ has $2^{2^{k+1}}$ atoms. Apply Lemma 8 to cover $\Omega$ by $2^{2^k}$ subsets, and to give $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_S, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_S, z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_S$ as described in the lemma. Then, by the triangle inequality

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| x_s \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| x_s \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| y_s \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| z_s \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By the induction hypothesis,

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \| x_s \|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_2 k.$$
By Lemmas 10 and 11 we have that

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \|y_s\|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_1$$

and

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \|z_s\|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_1 c_4 2^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left( 1 + \sqrt{\log 2^{2k}} \right) \leq 2 c_1 c_4.$$ 

Hence

$$\left( \sum_{s=1}^{S} \|x_s\|_{2,1}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_2 (k + 1),$$

as required, taking $c_2 = 1 + 2 c_1 c_4$. \(\square\)

To prove the main result is now easy.

**Proof of Theorem 2:** By Theorem 5, it is sufficient to show that for any probability measure $\mu$ on $\{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, the cotype 2 constant of the canonical embedding $l_{\infty}^N \to L_{2,1}(\mu)$ is bounded by some universal constant times $\log \log N$. But this is precisely what Proposition 7 says. \(\square\)

**Final Remarks**

There is a similar result for Gaussian cotype (see [Mo2]).

**Theorem 12.** There is a constant $c$ such that, for any operator $T : l_{\infty}^N \to Y$, where $Y$ is a Banach space, the Gaussian cotype 2 constant, $\beta^{(2)}(T)$, is bounded according to the relation:

$$\beta^{(2)}(T) \leq c \sqrt{\log \log N} \pi_{2,1}(T).$$

This result is the best possible, as is implicitly shown in [T].

**Theorem 13.** There is a constant $c$ such that for any integer $N$, there is an operator $T : l_{\infty}^N \to Y$, where $Y$ is a Banach space, such that

$$\beta^{(2)}(T) \geq c^{-1} \sqrt{\log \log N} \pi_{2,1}(T).$$

Since the Rademacher cotype 2 constant is greater than a constant times the Gaussian cotype 2 constant, we have the following corollary.
Corollary. There is a constant $c$ such that for any integer $N$, there is an operator $T : l_N^N \to Y$, where $Y$ is a Banach space, such that

$$K^{(2)}(T) \geq c^{-1} \sqrt{\log \log N} \pi_{2,1}(T).$$

We also have the following, the result originally stated in [T].

Corollary. There is an operator $T : C(K) \to Y$, where $Y$ is a Banach space, that is $(2,1)$-summing, but does not have Rademacher cotype 2.

If we write $R_N$ for the supremum of $K^{(2)}(T)/\pi_{2,1}(T)$ over all $T : l_N^N \to Y$, then we have shown that $c^{-1} \sqrt{\log \log N} \leq R_N \leq c \log \log N$. Clearly, we are left with the following problem.

Open Question. What is the asymptotic behavior of $R_N$?
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