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Abstract—We demonstrate for the first time a four-node trusted-node-free metro network configuration with dynamic discrete-variable quantum key distribution DV-QKD networking capabilities across four optical network nodes. The network allows the dynamic deployment of any QKD link between two nodes of the network, while a QKD-aware centralised software-defined networking (SDN) controller is utilised to provide dynamicity in switching and rerouting. The feasibility of coexisting a quantum channel with carrier-grade classical optical channels where both the quantum and classical channels are in the C-band over field-deployed metropolitan networks and laboratory-based fibres (<10km) is experimentally explored in terms of achievable quantum bit error rate, secret key rate as well as classical signal bit error rate. Moreover, coexistence analysis over multi-hops configuration using different switching scenarios is also presented. The secret key rate dropped 43% when coexisting one classical channel with 150 GHz spacing from the quantum channel for multiple links. This is due to the noise leakage from the Raman scattering into the 100 GHz bandwidth of the internal filter of the Bob DV-QKD unit. When coexisting four classical channels with 150 GHz spacing between quantum and the nearest classical channel, the quantum channel deteriorates faster due to the combination of Raman noise, other non-linearities and high aggregated launch power causing the QBER value to exceed the threshold of 6% leading the SKR to reach a value of zero bps at a launch power of 7 dB per channel. Furthermore, the coexistence of a quantum channel and six classical channels through a field-deployed fibre test network is examined.

Index Terms—Quantum Key Distribution (QKD), Optical Switching, Software Defined Networking, Quantum and Classical Channel Coexistence, Bandwidth Variable Transceivers

I. INTRODUCTION

Quantum communication networks are evolving from relayed point-to-point quantum communication links to multi-user quantum networks with partial or full connectivity [1], [2]. Although the current quantum networks are mainly implementing Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) protocols which is the most mature quantum communication application [3], the future of quantum communication networks is to provide a worldwide connectivity via complex networks with a seamless interconnection between multiple nodes to enable applications beyond QKD such as blind and distributed quantum computing [4]. For such networks to reach their maximum capabilities, fully dynamic quantum network solutions [5] need to be deployed to eventually overcome the established practice of relayed point-to-point quantum links and allow efficient resource sharing across the networks.

Since Dynamic QKD would rely on the need for deployment of low-loss switching and routing elements in the QKD channel, which may compromise its performance. The concept leads to a trade-off between QKD performance in terms of secret key rate (SKR) and increased network functionality with improved capabilities to maximise resource usage optimisation. However, this may be particularly appealing for optical networks in dense metropolitan regions of big cities, with the presence of large number of nodes likes with short fibre length (<10km) [6]. In addition, allowing the coexistence of WDM classical and quantum channels over the same fibre in metropolitan optical networks with the ability to switch between different nodes providing multi-hops connectivity [6] could be further beneficial for small scale quantum networks alleviating the need for additional dark fibres deployment. However the concept only applies for short fibre lengths due to the higher impact of the nonlinear effects on the quantum channel and therefore for longer distance fibres, quantum repeaters should be employed.

QKD utilises quantum mechanics to generate information-theoretic keys that can be used to encrypt and decrypt key information using classical symmetric-key algorithms such as One Time pad or Advanced Encryption Standard (AES). However, for QKD networks to become functional for the future Internet use cases such as 5G, the integration of QKD with classical optical networking infrastructure and adaptation the dynamic environment to the metro and edge part of optical networks are crucial; hence dynamic QKD could be considered as a potential security candidate for such networks [7].

Table I summarises the main features of the major QKD networks. Although the first field-trial of a QKD network was the DARPA QKD demonstrated in 2004 [10], it did not provide any practical implementation of the QKD system. The SECOQC was a subsequent demonstration of a QKD network aiming at implementing practical applications of the QKD technologies [11], [12]. Moreover, Tokyo QKD network was similar to the SECOQC in terms of infrastructure and was based on point-point links using trusted nodes topology. However, it included the implementation of the first Key Management Server (KMS) for centralised key management
These nodes are used to extend the reach of the QKD system to-end QKD connection. At the absence of quantum repeaters, fibre. A trusted node is an intermediate node between two QKD nodes. The previous mesh DV-QKD networks used trusted nodes dynamically. Software-defined CV-QKD quantum network was implemented in the Madrid Quantum Network, the demonstration of a fibre route under a physical-layer attack mitigation experiment. The quantum channel was switched into a different optical path. The SDN control plane implementation is a perfect technology to accomplish that as shown in [22].

In the Madrid Quantum Network, the demonstration of a 5x100 G classical DWDM channels in the C-band. Having the quantum and classical channels in different frequency bands significantly reduces any challenge in coexisting. The QKD network consisted of five nodes on a bus topology where the three intermediate nodes were used as trusted nodes [16]. Another demonstration was the Cambridge Quantum Metro network consisting of coexistence between DV-QKD channels implementing a BB84 protocol with two decoy states and 2x100 G classical DWDM channels on a single link. The network consisted of 3 nodes acting as trusted nodes forming in a ring topology [17].

Classical and quantum channels coexistence highly benefit from intelligent control of resources, and an SDN controller is a perfect technology to accomplish that as shown in [22]. SDN automation in QKD networks can be achieved based on real-time monitoring of quantum parameters such as SKR and QBER. Efforts to implement the first automated switching of the quantum channel in an SDN-controlled QKD network were reported in [23], [24]. In [25], a real-time monitoring of QKD parameters in an SDN-controlled QKD network was used to switch the quantum channel into a different optical fibre route under a physical-layer attack mitigation experiment. In the Madrid Quantum Network, the demonstration of a dynamic Software-defined CV-QKD quantum network was undertaken using commercial optical switches [18], [19]. All of the previous mesh DV-QKD networks used trusted nodes or did not coexist quantum and classical channels in the same fibre. A trusted node is an intermediate node between to QKD links containing a QKD device from each link, that performs a relayed function between the two QKD links to establish end-to-end QKD connection. At the absence of quantum repeaters, these nodes are used to extend the reach of the QKD system and to enable the distribution of secure keys between the end points. Having a pair of QKD devices allow the quantum generated keys to be extracted and XORed with the keys of the QKD system in the next node. In that sense, trusted nodes consume a sender (Alice) and receiver (Bob) hardware at each intermediate nodes which add the cost prohibitively. Although trusted nodes add to the cost of the network, they are crucial to enable long distance end-to-end QKD operation as shown in [16] where three trusted nodes were used for an end-to-end QKD operation using commercial QKD devices for over 120 km. Furthermore, since all the nodes in the network including intermediate trusted nodes are assumed to be safe from eavesdropping, any access to a trusted node cause a potential security risk and relinquish the strong security offered by quantum cryptography [20]. Therefore, a trusted-node free network that relies on optical switches does not only reduce the cost significantly relaxing the use of two QKD devices, but also does not stress the security requirements. To this end, we have recently demonstrated the concept of dynamic QKD as end-to-end quantum-secured inter-domain 5G implementation over a dynamically-switched optical network [24] employing specially designed low-loss Q-ROADMs as the switching elements. On the application side a 5G network slicing with QKD using a SDN orchestrator has been also verified in [27]. To further investigate the dynamic QKD approach in deployed optical fibre networks we have demonstrated a first field trial of a dynamic QKD networking capabilities across four nodes in the 5GUK testbed in Bristol [5].

In this paper, we present a detailed study of our work on the first dynamic DV-QKD network in a field trial (in 5GUK deployed fibre in Bristol) and extend the analysis with a laboratory-based testbed to fully characterise the network performance in terms of quantum impairments considering the coexistence of carrier-grade classic channels and a quantum channel over switched network. Coexistence over multiplexed using OXC switches and a comparison between different switching scenario are also presented. Additional findings of the coexistence over the deployed links of the 5GUK network are also evaluated. Moreover, new results of the SDN control implementation are also included in this communication. This paper is organised as follows: in Section III we discuss the overall network architecture. In Section III-A, we discuss the experimental system setup for the dynamic QKD network laboratory testbed followed by Section III-B where we discuss the SDN control plane implementation. In Section IV, we present the results of the data and control plane and finally, in Section V we conclude the paper.
Fig. 1: QKD Network Typologies. I) 6 QKD pairs trusted-node-free static configuration, II) 4 QKD pairs trusted-node static configuration, III) 4 QKD pairs trusted-node-free dynamic full-mesh configuration, IV) Trusted-node-free dynamic mesh configuration one, V) Trusted-node-free dynamic mesh configuration two. A: Alice, B: Bob, S: Optical Switch, Red line: Fibre with QKD communication, Black lines: Fibre without QKD communication.

II. NETWORK ARCHITECTURE

This section describes the overall network concept used in this paper. Full-mesh connectivity of a network with N nodes requires a minimum of $N(N-1)/2$ links. Therefore, as shown in Fig. [I] I) to implement a direct QKD connection between any two nodes and avoid the relayed function of trusted node configuration, six pairs of QKD devices are required to cover all possible six links L1-L6. Of course, using only four pairs of QKD devices as shown in Fig. [I] II) the six links could be covered by realising relayed nodes (trusted nodes) at the expense of using two QKD pairs to establish some links (e.g. node N2 to node N3 employs QKD pairs $A_4 - B_4$ and $A_1 - B_1$ and node N1 to node N4 using $A_1 - B_1$ and $A_2 - B_2$). Fig. [I] III) shows a 4 nodes implementation of our dynamic trusted-node-free full-mesh QKD network where each node has an Alice (A), a Bob (B) and an optical switch (S). In this case, the Alice and Bob devices employed in the nodes are not dedicated to a specific Alice or Bob on any other node as the switch allows to physically connect the output of any Alice to the input of any Bob of the network. In this way, any node can establish a direct QKD link with any other node without using a trusted node due to the ability to switch the optical cross-connect port to the required destination port. The main advantage of the proposed switched QKD configuration is that the number of QKD pairs required scales linearly with the number of nodes (N QKD pairs for N nodes) for offering direct QKD links between any two nodes of the network, as opposed to $N(N-1)/2$ scaling without switches. In this experiment although the network has a full-mesh physical connectivity, only four QKD devices were used (two Alice devices and two Bob devices) and each node had either an Alice or a Bob depending on the configuration resulting in mesh QKD topology. Fig. [I] IV) and Fig. [I] V) show the two dynamic QKD configurations that were used in this experiment that when combined the cover all 6 links of the network.

As shown in Fig. [II] the network is divided into control and data planes. The control plane contains the SDN controller and the required connectivity to the data plane equipment whereas the data plane represents the optical fibre infrastructure, optical equipment and QKD-related devices. The SDN controller is responsible for the computation, creation, and management of the complete path that traverses optical and SDN switches between the nodes. The SDN controller is individually controlling the switches, QKD terminals, and encryption/application server in each node to create secure channels. The SDN controller utilises a quantum aware path computation mechanism, that calculates the best path for QKD and classical channel including power and losses, for minimal effect on the quantum channel. The SDN controller is also responsible for the establishment and management of paths that traverses between the nodes, including both classical and quantum channels and requires an L2 network to communicate with the data plane devices as demonstrated in [28].

To be able to perform the aforementioned tasks, the controller requires some essential components, such as databases to store network data (e.g. routing and connection tables), driver modules needed to control multiple different vendor devices, communication modules that understand different protocols (e.g. gRPC and Netconf), and finally intelligent algorithms that could use both heuristics or machine learning to optimise the usage of the network resources. The computational units host the software needed to communicate
Fig. 2: Trusted-node-free Dynamic QKD Network configuration two testbed. Red link: Fibre with QKD communication, Black link: Fibre without QKD communication.

and control the equipment, including the Key Management System (KMS). The KMS is an entity that manages keys in a network in cooperation with one or more other KMS. When new cryptographic keys are generated by a QKD device, the keys are securely stored in a database (also called as key store) managed by the KMS. Such key stores provide a "key buffer" within the network, effectively decoupling the key generation process from the key consumption applications, allowing greater tolerance to bursts of key usage as also to temporary unavailability of the key-generation devices. Additionally, the KMS is responsible for monitoring and recording the usage and generation of keys, thus providing valuable statistics that can be used by the SDN controller. In the next section we describe the implementation of this architecture, as we describe the experimental setup.

Next, on the data plane, each node is composed of several components, including but not restricted to, optical switches, transponders, QKD equipment, data encryptors, computational servers, and filtering devices. Sharp filters are required to partly isolate the noise generated from the high power classical channels (orders of magnitude higher than quantum channels) to provide a sufficient isolation for the quantum channel; hence improving its performance.

III. EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM SETUP

A. Data Plane

Fig. 2 shows a details testbed setup of Fig. 1V. This testbed is used to demonstrate the dynamic QKD-networking using two QKD pairs. The network comprises four nodes interconnected via standard multiple single-mode fibre (SSMF) links resulting in a full-Mesh classical network due to physical fibre connecting all four nodes simultaneously. Each node is equipped with optical cross-connects (OXC), a bandwidth variable transponder (BVT), a QKD device, an encryption unit, data servers and a secure server. The testbed was designed to provide flexibility enabled by the SDN Controller. It is possible to transmit classical data using the BVT, coexisting or not with the quantum channel, while it is also possible to transmit encrypted data. This section describes the testbed in details.

The BVT includes four ports at 100 Gbps (25 Gbaud) data rate each with PM-QPSK modulation format. Each of the ports can be tuned to any of the 100 wavelengths in the C-band included in the ITU-T grid with 50 GHz offset. The QKD unit used is a commercially available IDQ Clavis2 DV-QKD [29]
B. Control Plane

As Fig. 2 shows, each node has several components that need to be monitored and controlled by the SDN controller. To provide connectivity between the SDN controller and all the controllable devices in our deployed network we devised a supporting management network. One particular component is the Secure Server, that connects to L2 switches and other Secure Servers (using 10 Gbps small form-factor pluggables (SFPs)), thus accounting for the control plane logical and electrical connections described in Fig. 2.

The Secure Servers also host the Key Manager System (KMS) that doubles down as a key database and as an agent to manage and control the QKD devices. The KMS software of choice was the CQP Toolkit, developed in-house [31]. Additionally, the Secure Servers also hosts the OXC agent and the encryption unit agent.

As can be seen in Fig. 2, Node 1 also hosts the SDN controller used in our testbed, in addition to all the described components. The SDN controller is responsible for the establishment and management of the complete path that traverses the optical SDN switches between the nodes. It can handle paths for the quantum channel, classical channels, and coexisting QKD and Classical channels. Additionally to the switches, the QKD terminals and the encryption units are also controlled.

In the current controller state, the routes are calculated offline using the network information and then uploaded to the controller. This network information includes the OXC ports, link connections and losses, and available routes. Therefore, the path assignment consists of selecting the most suited route available for the incoming request (e.g. fibres with smaller losses for a quantum channel). Classical channels can be established between any two nodes and quantum channels

| Parameters                  | Value                               |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Number of Channels          | 4                                   |
| Classical Channel Wavelengths | 1550.52 nm, 1550.12 nm, 1549.72 nm, 1549.32 nm |
| Classical Channel Frequencies | 193.35 THz, 193.40 THz, 193.45 THz, 193.50 THz |
| Grid Spacing                | 50 GHz                              |
| Modulation Format           | PM-QPSK                             |
| Optical Signal-to-Noise Ration (OSNR) | 20 dB                              |
| Capacity per Channel        | 100 Gbps                            |
| Total Capacity              | 400 Gbps                            |
| Pre-FEC Level               | 15%                                 |
| Detector sensitivity*       | -35 dBm                             |
| Quantum Channel             |                                     |
| DV-QKD Wavelength           | 1551.7 nm (C-band)                  |
| DV-QKD Frequency            | 193.20 THz                          |
| QKD Protocol                | BB84                                |
| Maximum Distance            | 50 km @ 10 dB loss                  |
| EDFA                        |                                     |
| Noise Figure                | 5 dB                                |
| Operation Mode              | Continuous optical power            |
| Encryption/Decryption       |                                     |
| Encryption technique        | AES-256                             |
| Encryption data rate        | 10 Gbps                             |
| Optical band pass/Rejection Filter (OBRF) |                                     |
| Insertion loss              | 0.5 dB                              |
| Center wavelength band pass port | 1551.7 nm                         |
| Bandwidth band pass port    | 100 GHz                             |

*Corresponding to PM-QPSK Modulation @ 100 Gbps and back-to-back
managed by the KMS system. In addition to the KMS, CQP Toolkit software suite also encompasses an interface to control the Clavis devices and an encryption application. This controlling interface allows the SDN controller to start/stop IDQClavis2 devices and subsequently to request statistics to monitor the quantum channel parameters. All communication between CQP Toolkit and the SDN controller is done using gRPC as communication protocol. As encryption application, CQP Toolkit suite ships with the QTunnelServer software which implements one solution to the problem of sending encrypted data from one site to another. It creates an encrypted tunnel thus allowing secured data transfer by using AES-256 encryption.

IV. RESULTS

A. Results of the Fully-Meshed Dynamic Network without Coexistence

Table III shows the main parameters of both configuration of the dynamic QKD network of Fig. IV and V. The parameters of the optical fibre links are described such as fibre lengths and end-to-end power losses. Also, Table III depicts the number of cross-connections per link required for dynamically switch to the quantum channel requested. The quantum parameters of quantum bit error rate (QBER) and secret key rate (SKR) are represented in this table without coexisting with classical channels. In addition, the combination of links for multihop scenarios is included to show the performance of the dynamic QKD network without coexistence. As observed, the link L1 with the lowest power budget (5.19 dB) excluding back-to-back configuration achieves the lowest QBER of 1.31% and highest SKR of 1762.06 bps. However, since L6 has the highest power budget of 9.61 dB and two cross connections, results show the highest QBER of 3.65% and the lowest SKR of 360.08 bps, being near the power budget limit of the QKD system used. L5 and L6 are field deployed fibres that were purposely chosen to reach the power budget limit of the QKD system. In Table III, A2 – B1 means the direct connection from Alice in Node 2 to Bob in node 1 whereas L1 + L2 is the connection from Bob in node 1 to Alice in node 3 via the switch in node 2 as shown in Fig. IV.

TABLE III: Dynamic QKD network parameters

| Link  | fibre Length (km) | End-to-End Power Budget (dB) | # OXC | QBER (%) | SKR (bps) |
|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|-----------|
| Back-to-Back | 0.0               | 4.99                        | 1     | 1.02     | 2575.69   |
| L1 (A2 - B1)   | 0.5               | 5.19                        | 2     | 1.31     | 1762.06   |
| L2 (A2 - B3)   | 1.0               | 5.70                        | 2     | 1.43     | 1414.15   |
| L3 (A3 - B2)   | 5.8               | 6.90                        | 2     | 1.70     | 1078.03   |
| L4 (A3 - B1)   | 4.7               | 7.00                        | 2     | 1.91     | 895.54    |
| L5 (A1 - B2)   | 1.625             | 9.22                        | 2     | 3.03     | 418.74    |
| L6 (A3 - B4)   | 1.625             | 9.61                        | 2     | 3.65     | 360.08    |
| L1 + L2        | 1.5               | 7.44                        | 3     | 2.01     | 819.22    |
| L1 + L3        | 6.3               | 8.60                        | 3     | 2.70     | 533.97    |
| L1 + L4        | 5.2               | 8.79                        | 3     | 2.73     | 542.26    |
| L2 + L3        | 6.8               | 9.14                        | 3     | 3.03     | 430.56    |
| L2 + L4        | 5.7               | 9.42                        | 3     | 3.05     | 417.94    |
Fig. 4: (a) Coexistence of quantum channel and one classical channel ($F_c$, 193.35 THz) for four different links. (b) Coexistence of quantum channel and four classical channels for four different links. (c) Coexistence of quantum channel and four classical channels for link L1+L2 (d) Coexistence of quantum channel and four classical channels for link L1+L3

Fig. 5: (a) Coexistence of quantum channel and six classical channels in a 1.9 km field-deployed fibre (HPC-WTC) (b) Coexistence of quantum channel and six classical channels in a 2.7 km field-deployed fibre (NSQI-WTC)
B. Results of the Fully-Meshed Dynamic Network with Coexistence

To investigate the effect of Raman noise over the DV-QKD channel, the testbed of Fig. 2 is used considering links L1, L2, L3 and L4 and one classical channel centered at the frequency of 193.35 THz with 150 GHz spacing from the quantum channel. Fig. 4a shows the measured SKR and QBER of the quantum channel at different launched optical power levels of the classical channel. As observed, when the launching power is more than 1 dBm, the QBER and SKR deteriorate due to the noise leakage into the 100 GHz bandwidth of the internal filter of the Bob DV-QKD unit. Moreover, the close QBER values achieved by the DV-QKD channel over all the links reflect the small variation of optical attenuation of 2 dB for each link. In addition, at the BVT highest possible launch power of 9 dB, the lowest SKR achieved is 350 bps with continuous key generation for link L4. As shown in III, L3 is longer than L4 and therefore, the Raman noise should deteriorate the QKD performance for L3 more than L4 due to the longer fibre. However, as shown in Fig. 4a the SKR is identical for both L3 and L4. This is due to the short fibre length (<6km) of both links, the low coexistence power and the almost equivalent end-to-end power budget for both links.

Fig. 4b shows the impact of coexisting four classical channels (193.35 THz, 193.40 THz, 193.45 THz and 193.50 THz) over the quantum channel (193.20 THz). As observed from Fig. 4b, the quantum channel deteriorates faster compared to Fig. 4a due to the combination of Raman noise and other nonlinearities. Considering the two frequencies 193.35 THz and 193.50 THz as $f_1$ and $f_2$ respectively, one product of the four wave mixing would be $f_3 = 2f_1 - f_2 = 193.20$ THz which is the quantum channel frequency which degrade the performance of the quantum channel due to the additional noise from such phenomena. Therefore, more noise leakage into the Bob DV-QKD unit occurs due to higher aggregated launch power (16 dB when transmitting four classical channels at 9 dB), higher Raman noise and other nonlinear effects such as four wave mixing. It also observed that at a launch power of 7 dB per channel, the QBER values exceed the threshold of 6% causing the SKR to be zero bps.

Fig. 4c and Fig. 4d show the SKR and QBER over the combined links of L1+L2 and L1+L3. In both figures, increasing the number of classical channels is presented to reflect the impact of incremental channels over the quantum channel. As observed, similar trends of QBER and SKR deterioration appear for both cases of links due to the optical power budgets in the vicinity of 8 dB. Also, it is clear in both links that by adding a classical channel, the total aggregated power is increased and the Raman noise effect is proportional to the power added, worsening the quantum channel performance. It can also be observed that the QBER values exceed the threshold of 6% causing the SKR to be zero bps at a launch power of 5 dB per channel which is different comparing to Fig. 4b. This is due the additional losses of links L1+L2 and L1+L3 and the additional cross-connection in the optical switch as shown in Table III.

C. Coexistence over Bristol City 5GUK Testbed

To further explore the coexistence of a DV-QKD channel with classical carrier-grade channels, two links of the Bristol City 5GUK testbed were used [5]. One link connects the site HPN to the WTC node, with a fibre length of 1.9 km total optical fibre attenuation of 4.68 dB. The other link interconnects the sites NSQI to the node WTC passing through the node HPN (2 hops) with a fibre length of 2.7 km total optical fibre attenuation of 5 dB. Fig. 5a shows the QBER and SKR for the link HPN-WTC. A quantum DV-QKD channel coexisted with 6 PM-QPSK 100 Gbps channels with 50 GHz spectrum space difference between them (from 193.5 THz to 193.75 THz). To evaluate the performance of the coexistence over these channels, the bandwidth of the optical band pass filter of Section III is tuned to gradually allow noise proliferation into the quantum channel. As observed, when the filter bandwidth is in the range of 500 GHz to 725 GHz, the SKR obtained is higher than 890 bps and the QBER is lower than 2.8%. However, for filter bandwidths higher than 750 GHz, the noise leakage over the quantum channel will impede the key generation due to high QBERs of more than 5.9% causing the SKR to plummet to zero bps. For the classical channels, the BER measured was $3.5 \times 10^{-9}$ average for the channels selected. Fig. 5b shows the QBER and SKR curve with respect to the filter bandwidth for the link NSQI-WTC. Compared to the link HPN-WTC (Fig. 5a), the SKR and QBER obtained degrade faster. This is due to the additional crossconnection for the extra hop in the link which increases the power budget of the link.

V. Conclusion

We have demonstrated for the first time a trusted node free dynamic QKD networking implementation over a testbed that spanned across four optical nodes interconnected in mesh topology with short links between nodes emulating the case of a dense metropolitan region. The coexistence of a DV-QKD channel and 4 x 100 Gbps classical channel was successfully demonstrated over multiple links with the ability to switch between the links using a centralised SDN controller. For the coexistence over the longest link (L3) with 5.8km, a minimum QBER of 1.7% and a maximum SKR of 1078 bps was demonstrated for the DV-QKD simultaneously with a minimum average pre-FEC BER of $1.28 \times 10^{-8}$ for the error free classical channels. Investigations also prove that when coexisting four classical channels with 150 GHz spacing from the quantum channel, a minimum launch power of 7 dB per channel is required to deteriorate the key generation process with a SKR of zero bps. Moreover, this work also demonstrated the coexistence of a quantum channel and six classical channels through a field-deployed fibre in the 5GUK Test Network. The key generation process is maintained when the bandwidth of the optical band pass filter which is centred at 193.625 THz and is lower than 750 GHz. When the filter bandwidths is tuned to higher than 750 GHz, the noise leakage over the quantum channel will impede the key generation due to high QBER of more than 6% causing the SKR to plummet to zero bps.
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