Destruction of the Fermi Surface in Underdoped High \( T_c \) Superconductors
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The Fermi surface, the locus in momentum space of gapless excitations, is a central concept in the theory of metals. Even though the optimally doped high temperature superconductors exhibit an anomalous normal state, ARPES has revealed a large Fermi surface despite the absence of well-defined elementary excitations (quasiparticles) above \( T_c \). However, the even more unusual behavior in the underdoped high temperature superconductors, which show a pseudogap above \( T_c \), requires us to carefully re-examine this concept. Here, we present the first results on how the Fermi surface is destroyed as a function of temperature in underdoped \( \text{Bi}_2\text{Sr}_2\text{CaCu}_2\text{O}_{8+\delta} \) (Bi2212) using ARPES. We find the remarkable effect that different \( \mathbf{k} \) points become gapped at different temperatures. This leads to a break up of the Fermi surface at a temperature \( T^* \) into disconnected Fermi arcs which shrink with decreasing \( T \), eventually collapsing to the point nodes of the \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) superconducting ground state below \( T_c \). This novel behavior, where the Fermi surface does not form a continuous contour in momentum space as in conventional metals, is unprecedented in that it occurs in the absence of long range order. Moreover, although the d-wave superconducting gap below \( T_c \) smoothly evolves into the pseudogap above \( T_c \), the gaps at different \( \mathbf{k} \) points are not related to one another above \( T_c \) the same way as they are below, implying an intimate, but non-trivial, relation between the two.

ARPES probes the occupied part of the electron spectrum, and for quasi-2D systems its intensity \( I(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \) is proportional to the Fermi function \( f(\omega) \) times the one-electron spectral function \( A(\mathbf{k}, \omega) \). In Fig. 1, the solid curves are ARPES spectra for an underdoped 85K sample at three \( \mathbf{k} \) points on the Fermi surface (determined above \( T^* \)) for various temperatures. To begin with, let us look at the superconducting state data at \( T = 14K \). At each \( \mathbf{k} \) point, the sample spectra are pushed back to positive binding energy \( \omega < 0 \) due to the superconducting gap, and we also see a resolution limited peak associated with a well-defined quasiparticle excitation in the superconducting state. The superconducting gap, as estimated by the position of the sample leading edge midpoint, is seen to decrease as one moves from point a near \( M \) to b to c, closer to the diagonal \( \Gamma - Y \) direction, consistent with a \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) order parameter. Next, consider the changes in Fig. 1 as a function of increasing \( T \). At each \( \mathbf{k} \) point the quasiparticle peak disappears above \( T_c \), but the suppression of spectral weight – the pseudogap – persists well above \( T_c \), as noted in earlier work above which the largest pseudogap has vanished within the resolution of our experiment, and a closed contour of gapless excitations – a Fermi surface – is obtained.

The striking new feature which is apparent from Fig. 1 is that the pseudogap at different \( \mathbf{k} \) points closes at different temperatures, with larger gaps persisting to higher \( T \)'s. At point a, near \( M \), there is a pseudogap at all \( T \)'s below 180K, at which the Bi2212 leading edge matches that of Pt. We take this as the definition of \( T^* \) above which the Fermi contour near the \( \Gamma Y \) line and found no gap at any \( T \), even below \( T_c \), consistent with \( d_{x^2-y^2} \) anisotropy.

One simple way to quantify the behavior of the gap is to plot the midpoint of the leading edge of the spectrum (Fig. 1e). We will say that the pseudogap has closed at a \( \mathbf{k} \) point when the midpoint equals zero energy, in accordance with the discussion above. From this plot, we find that the pseudogap closes at point a at a \( T \) above 180K, at point b at 120 K, and at point c just below 95 K. If we now view these data as a function of decreasing \( T \), the picture of Fig. 2 clearly emerges. The pseudogap suppression first opens up near \( \pi, 0 \) and progressively gaps out larger portions of the Fermi contour, leading to gapless arcs which shrink with decreasing \( T \). It is worth noting that midpoints with negative binding energy, particularly for \( \mathbf{k} \) point c, indicate the formation of a peak in the spectral function at \( \omega = 0 \) as \( T \) increases.

We see similar results on other underdoped samples. For example, in the upper panel of Fig. 3 we show midpoints for a 77K underdoped sample at two \( \mathbf{k} \) points...
shown in the inset, with behavior very similar to that of the 85K sample of Fig. 1. Contrast this behavior to that of the more conventional T-dependence of an overdoped 87K sample shown in the lower panel. Gaps with different magnitudes, one at a k point near M and the other halfway towards the ΓY direction, go to zero at the same temperature, very close to Tc, a behavior we have seen in other overdoped samples as well. This is in marked contrast with the new results on underdoped samples. Further, to show that the negative midpoints at high T’s are not unusual, we plot those for an 82K overdoped sample at the MY Fermi point as filled symbols in the lower panel. The midpoint goes to zero at about Tc (indicating the absence of a pseudogap above Tc in this sample) followed by a slower evolution to negative binding energy (indicating the formation of a spectral peak, as discussed above). There are also important differences between the spectral lineshapes of the overdoped and underdoped cases. The underdoped spectra are broader above Tc, as demonstrated by the flatness of the spectra seen in Fig. 1 at k points a and b, and have smaller quasiparticle peaks below Tc, implying an increase in the strength of the interactions as the doping is reduced.

Before discussing the implications of our results, we introduce a visualization aid that makes these results very transparent. This symmetrization method, described in the caption of Fig. 4, effectively eliminates the Fermi function f from ARPES data and permits us to focus directly on the spectral function A. We have extensively checked this method, and studied in detail the errors introduced by incorrect determination of the chemical potential or of kF (which lead to spurious narrow features in the symmetrized spectra), and the effect of the small (1° radius) k-window of the experiment (which was found to be small).

In Fig. 4 we show symmetrized spectra for the 85K underdoped sample corresponding to the raw data of Fig. 1. To emphasize that the symmetry is put in by hand, we show the ω > 0 curve as a dotted line. At k point a near M the sharp quasiparticle peak disappears above Tc, but a strong pseudogap suppression, on the same scale as the superconducting gap, persists all the way up to 180K (T∗). Moving to panels b and c in Fig. 4 we again see pseudogap depressions on the scale of the superconducting gaps at those points, however the pseudogap fills up at lower temperatures: 120K at b and 95K at c. In panel c, moreover, a spectral peak at zero energy emerges as T is raised. All of the conclusions drawn from the raw data in Figs. 1 and 3 are immediately obvious from the simple symmetrization analysis of Fig. 4.

We now discuss why the T dependence of the Fermi arc is not simply due to inelastic scattering above Tc broadening the d-wave node. From Fig. 4, it is apparent that the gap “fills in” for k points a and b as T is raised, whereas it “closes” for k point c since a peak at zero energy emerges. This can be seen more clearly in Fig. 5, where we show symmetrized spectra for a 75K underdoped sample at two k points (similar to points a and c of Fig. 4) as a function of temperature. For the first point (I), the spectral feature at the gap edge does not move with temperature, whereas for the latter point (II), it clearly moves in to zero energy.

We now give a brief discussion of the implications of our results. A unique feature of ARPES is that it provides k-resolved information. We believe that the unusual T-dependence of the pseudogap anisotropy will be a very important input in reconciling the different crossovers seen in the pseudogap regime by different probes. The point here is that each experiment is measuring a k-sum weighted with a different set of k-dependent matrix elements or kinematical factors (e.g., Fermi velocity). For instance, quantities which involve the Fermi velocity, like dc resistivity above Tc, and the penetration depth below Tc (superfluid density), should be sensitive to the region near the ΓY direction, and would thus be affected by the behavior we see at k point c. Other types of measurements (e.g. specific heat and tunneling) are more “zone-averaged” and will have significant contributions from k points a and b as well, thus they should see a more pronounced pseudogap effect. Interestingly, other data we have indicate that the region in the Brillouin zone where behavior like k point c is seen shrinks as the doping is reduced, and thus appears to be correlated with the loss of superfluid density [8]. Further, we speculate that the disconnected Fermi arcs should have a profound influence on magnetotransport given the lack of a continuous Fermi contour in momentum space.

We emphasize that the Fermi arcs do not imply the existence of hole pockets (i.e., small closed contours) centered about (π/2, π/2), as suggested by some theories of lightly doped Mott insulators [9]. In the samples studied here (and more heavily underdoped ones) we have carefully searched for hole pockets and for shadow band dispersion, but found no evidence for either [9]. The gapless arcs that we observe are simply an intermediate state in the smooth evolution of d-wave nodes into a full Fermi surface. This smooth evolution was carefully checked on an 83K underdoped sample where a detailed sweep was done in k space at T = 90K, revealing only a small Fermi arc just above Tc. This behavior is fully consistent with the gap above and below Tc, being of the same origin as suggested by our earlier experiments [10].

Theoretical calculations in which d-wave pairing correlations cause a pseudogap above Tc [10] have predicted gapless arcs which expand as T increases. Resonating valence bond theories also lead to gapless arcs above Tc due to spinon pairing [11]. There are other proposals in which the pseudogap has a completely different (non-pairing) origin from the superconducting gap. Given the smooth evolution we find through Tc, they appear difficult to reconcile with our results.
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FIG. 1. Data obtained on single crystals of Bi2212 grown by the traveling solvent floating zone method. Doping was achieved by adjusting the oxygen partial pressure during annealing with samples labeled by their onset $T_c$‘s. Measurements were carried out at the Synchrotron Radiation Center, Wisconsin, using a high resolution 4-m normal incidence monochromator with 22eV photons and an energy resolution of 20 meV (FWHM). The spectra in (a)-(c) are taken at three $\mathbf{k}$ points in the Brillouin zone, shown in (d), for an 85K underdoped Bi2212 sample at various temperatures (solid curves). (The $Y$ quadrant was studied to minimize effects due to the superlattice [12]). Our notation is $\Gamma = (0,0)$, $\bar{M} = (\pi,0)$, and $Y = (\pi,\pi)$, in units of $1/a$, where $a$ is the Cu-Cu distance, and $\Gamma \bar{M}$ is along the CuO bond direction. The dotted curves are reference spectra from polycrystalline Pt (in electrical contact with the sample) used to determine the chemical potential (zero binding energy). Note the closing of the spectral gap at different $T$ for different $\mathbf{k}$. This feature is also apparent in the plot (e) of the midpoint of the leading edge of the spectra as a function of $T$.

FIG. 2. Schematic illustration of the temperature evolution of the Fermi surface in underdoped cuprates. The d-wave node below $T_c$ (left panel) becomes a gapless arc above $T_c$ (middle panel) which expands with increasing $T$ to form the full Fermi surface at $T^*$ (right panel).
FIG. 3. Midpoints of the leading edge of the spectra for a 77K underdoped sample versus temperature (top panel), again showing closure of the spectral gap at different $T$ for different $k$. This behavior can be contrasted with that of overdoped samples (bottom panel) where all gaps close near $T_c$.

FIG. 4. Given ARPES data described by $I(\omega) = \sum_k I_0 f(\omega) A(k, \omega)$ with the sum over a small momentum window about the Fermi momentum $k_F$, we can generate the symmetrized spectrum $I(\omega) + I(-\omega)$. Making the reasonable assumption of particle-hole (p-h) symmetry for a small range of $\omega$ and $\epsilon_k$, we have $A(\epsilon_k, \omega) = A(-\epsilon_k, -\omega)$ for $|\omega|, |\epsilon|$ less than few tens of meV. It then follows, using the identity $f(-\omega) = 1 - f(\omega)$, that $I(\omega) + I(-\omega) = \sum_k I_0 A(k, \omega)$ which is true even after convolution with a (symmetric) energy resolution function. This symmetrized spectrum coincides with the raw data for $\omega \lesssim -2.2 T_{eff}$, where $4.4 T_{eff}$ is the 10%-90% width of the Pt leading edge, which includes the effects of both temperature and resolution. Non-trivial information is obtained for the range $|\omega| \lesssim 2.2 T_{eff}$, which is then the scale on which p-h symmetry has to be valid. The curves are symmetrized spectra corresponding to the raw spectra of Fig. 1. The gap closing in the raw spectrum of Fig. 1 corresponds to where the pseudogap depression disappears in the symmetrized spectrum. Note the appearance of a spectral peak at higher temperatures in c.

FIG. 5. Symmetrized spectra for a 75K underdoped sample for $k$ points analogous to a and c of Fig. 4 at three different temperatures. Note that the spectral feature at the gap edge does not move in energy with increasing $T$ for point I (upper panel), but does move in to zero energy for point II (lower panel).