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ABSTRACT

Since, chemically complex environments, the aroma has been a difficult task so far. Therefore, in the present communication, an optofluidic Bragg fiber artificial nose for perceiving the temperature-functional molar fraction of an adulterated binary composition of hydrated mono-alcohols is optimized and reported. The task is theoretically predicted over an optofluidic Bragg fiber sensor having geometrical defects by creating an asymmetry in mid of periodic cylindrical Bragg reflectors. In a cylindrical coordinate system, Henkel function (HF) and transfer matrix technique (TMT) are used to simulate a multilayer concentric hollow-core Bragg fiber (HCBF). The variation in refractive index (RI) of the adulterated binary mono-alcohol fuel is connected to the temperature-functional molar concentration, which is again anticipated by making use of several models, including the most suitable Dale-Gladstone, Lorentz-Lorenz, etc. A prominent sensing signal of which has the full width at half maximum (FWHM) equal to 0.1 nm is observed in the examined photonic bandgap (PBG). The signal is responsive to fluctuations in optofluidic core RI in the vicinity of a structural defect layer. The suggested sensor’s temperature-dependent maximum sensitivity (due to varied weather circumstances) for ethanol fuel rather than methanol fuel is 1057.32 nm/RIU. Furthermore, the surface plasmon-based static temperature sensor is compared. Due to the smallest FWHM of output signal around 0.1 nm, other sensing performance metrics such as detection accuracy and quality parameters are also enhanced in the proposed sensor device.

1. Introduction

Adulteration is described as the improper or unlawful inclusion of foreign material into a base fuel or similar substance, causing the product to fail to meet the product’s criteria and specifications. Adulterants are exogenous compounds that, when added, modify and impair the quality of essential transportation fuels. When items of equal quality have varying costs or buyers lack adequate means to discriminate between identical objects of multiple standards, nefarious operators will try to benefit illegally from the situation. Fuel adulteration is one of the primary abuses in the retail industry, along with under-dispensing items to clients. These tactics lead to losses in various areas, including engine failure and deteriorating air quality. Fuel tax evasion also affects federal revenue. Consumer losses result from the under-distribution of supplies. Though we are all aware, biomass-based fuels have tremendous energy potential, and one of the key advantages is that they reduce greenhouse gas emissions. These fuels are a sustainable fuel source that will eventually lessen reliance on ex-submerged biomass like petroleum while also assisting in developing a strategic reserve [1, 2]. Monoalcohols, whether pure or hydrated, are a kind of biomass fuel commonly employed as an energy source in cosmetics, the pharmaceutical industry, etc. [3]. The quantity of diesel, petrol, gasoline, and other fossil fuels is considered constrained in the perspective of energy fuels. Mono-alcohol can be environmentally benign biofuels that may be used instead of diesel, gasoline, and other fossil fuels [4, 5]. The primary sources for large-scale mono-alcohol production are sugarcane, switchgrass, maize,
natural gas, municipal trash, and coal [6]. The Worldwide fuel mandate [7] and also the expert committee on the roadmap for Ethanol blending in India by 2025 [8] is a viable method for promoting high-quality, standardized fuels on a global basis while simultaneously taking into account the necessity for maximum engine and vehicle endurance as well as the greenest feasible engine and vehicle technology operation. The execution of the targeted objectives will benefit consumers by streamlining fuel markets, stimulating the economy, and aiding governments in attaining their public policy goals.

Methanol and Ethanol are mono-alcohol hydrocarbons that are now sustainable and used as direct gasoline additives and as feedstock for the production of gasoline additives. Methanol and Ethanol have comparable physical-chemical properties, such as water solubility, density values, appearance, smell, and transparency [9]. The cheaper cost of water compared to mono-alcohols and their resemblance contribute to the simplicity with which hydrated mono-alcohol Fuels may be adulterated. The purity of high ethanol quality is critical to its long-term effectiveness as a fuel and a source of additional fuel additives. The information here includes manufacturer feedstock recommendations for the ethanol quality required for optimum engine and vehicle performance. The prescribed limits are for anhydrous 100 percent ethanol (E100) [10] blendstock intended to blend with petroleum-based gasoline to produce a maximum of 10% ethanol by volume (E10) appropriate for automobiles with spark-ignition engines. Higher-level mixtures (more than E10) [11] are only suitable for use in vehicles designated for this type of fuel, such as “flexible fueled” cars, and Ethanol used for higher blends may have different restrictions. Ethanol is the most widely produced biofuel on the planet, with annual production reaching over 90 billion liters (yearly) in the previous decade. Such an energy matrix is a decent choice for petroleum derivatives in Europe, the United States, Brazil, Japan, India, Argentina, and Mexico. Anhydrous Ethanol is added to gasoline to increase fuel performance by acting as an octane stimulant [11]. The most common way to adulterate mono-alcohol fuel is to add too much water since the blends are translucent and have no distinctive fragrance. It results in a loss of power and an increased fuel consumption rate.

Additionally, the molar concentration of mono-alcohol changes significantly dependent on atmospheric temperature and varied meteorological circumstances [12, 13, 14, 15]. Because all-season types are common throughout India and the Asian continent, monitoring the molar concentration of contaminants in a binary composition of hydrated mono-alcohols in various weather situations should become a top focus. The physical properties of this pseudo-binary combination, such as refractive index, viscosity, and density, are all temperature-functional and connected [13, 14, 15]. As a result of the fluctuation in these parameters with temperature, the variation in the molar concentration of hydrated mono-alcohols during different climatic conditions must be felt. Infrared spectroscopy [16], indirect photometric determination [17], direct-injection enthalpymetry [18], cyclic voltammetry [19], photothermal detector [20], webcam photometer [21], ultrasonic propagation velocity [22] and surface plasmon sensor [23] have all been proposed for determining water content in mono-alcohol fuels. These approaches work well for mono-alcohols at static temperature behavior only. Given the likelihood of temperature-dependent molar concentrations of hydrated mono-alcohols adulteration, it is necessary to develop a quick, simple, and low-cost technique for detecting if the allowed maximum levels of these solvents have been exceeded with improved detection accuracy.

As a result, in contrast to the aforementioned investigations conducted in static surroundings and at static temperatures, the function of a temperature change as a result of variable climate/meteorological circumstances is extended and included in our current research. Adulterant molar content in hydrated mono-alcohols is thus measured. For this task, we have used the hollow-core Bragg fiber (HCBF) with the defect layer as the sensing platform for the present analysis. As per our existing knowledge, this proposed work is entirely new and has been first accomplished and renowned using HCBF in the context of adulteration measures in mono-alcohols. The high and low RI periodic arrangement based concentric circular layer in length is the essential foundation of HCBF structure, where EM-wave is transmitted through the empty core under no. of Bragg reflections through the periodic claddings [24, 25, 30]. Under Bragg conditions, the HCBF structure also shows a photonic bandgap (PBG). A band of wavelengths known as the PBG range is not permitted to pass through the HCBF structure. HCBF has many encouraging applications in doubly tuned multi-wavelength LASER sources [26], biosensors [27], tunable voltage multiplexers [28], wavelength and intensity modulation [29, 30], etc. In this paper, the high RI contrast (e.g., chalcogenide glass Arsenic tri-selenide As_{3}Se_{3} and polymer film Poly-ether imide PEI) and multi-clad HCBF structure has been investigated. The present hollow core in HCBF plays the role of an optofluidic flow cell for hydrated mono-alcohols throughout the sensing process. As we know, for transient response to improve the overall performance of sensing devices, narrow transmission bandwidth and constant intensity of output signal for inline application are needed. By inserting a PEI geometrical defect/flaw into the cladding periodicity and breaking the symmetry/creating asymmetry, it is possible to get this attribute in the present periodic structure. This layer is then used to produce a resonant transmission peak in the PBG area. With the aid of the TMT and mathematical HF formalism in a cylindrical coordinate system, the transmission characteristics of the electromagnetic (EM) wave through the under consideration HCBF structure were investigated.

The following is the proposed structure for the current communication: The detailed description of the HCBF structure and sensor device schematic layout using the Transfer matrix technique and Henkel formalism is provided in section 2. Section 3 addresses the results obtained, and Section 4 provides a summary conclusion.

2. Comprehensive outline of the HCBF structure and sensor device schematic setup

Fig. 1a shows the cladding RI and thickness profile of a pseudo-binary combination of water and mono-alcohols filled optofluidic core HCBF structure with a geometrical flaw. The longitudinal axis of the HCBF structure is cylindrically symmetric (propagation axis). The periodic repeating of bilayer high RI chalcogenide glassy substance Arsenic tri-Selenium As_{3}Se_{3} (n_{D}, d_{H}) and low RI polymeric layer poly-ether-imide (PEI) creates the HCBF structure (n_{L}, d_{L}). Furthermore, by breaking the periodic arrangement’s symmetry, a low RI polymeric layer called poly-ether-imide (PEI) is imposed as a geometrical defect layer described by (n_{D}, d_{D}). Entirely thicknesses are chosen for maximum transmission intensity via a quarter-wave stack (QWS) condition of n_{D}d_{H}+n_{L}d_{L} = n_{P}d_{P} = λ/4, where λ is the HCBF structure’s design wavelength. In addition, Fig. 1b shows the schematic configuration for optimizing the temperature-dependent molar concentration of the pseudo-binary combination of water and mono-alcohols using this HCBF adulteration sensor. The EM waves from the multi-wavelength broadband source impinge on the pseudo-binary mixture filled optofluidic core HCBF in this basic schematic configuration. After that, a photo-optic detector detects the output transmitted waves, then evaluates them by an optical spectrum analyzer on the computer setup.

2.1. Analytical modeling of HCBF structure: transfer matrix technique (TMT) and Henkel function (HF) formalism

Theoretical and mathematical modeling of the above-mentioned HCBF structure in cylindrical coordinates using TMM and Henkel formalism has been provided. The following is the refractive index profile of several layers of the HCBF structure used in this framework:
Fig. 1a. Thickness and RI profile of HCBF with geometrical defect layer and hydrated mono alcohols filled optofluidic core.

Fig. 1b. Schematic setup to optimize the temperature-functional concentration of adulterant in hydrated mono alcohols using HCBF adulteration optofluidic sensor device.
The cylindrical wave TMT [24, 25] may be used to create the above-specified HCBF structure solution to reflection and transmission. For a substrate with permeability $\mu$ and permittivity $\varepsilon$, the below Maxwell equations (2)-(3) [31, 32] are stated as:

$$\nabla \times E = -j\omega \mu H$$

$$\nabla \times H = j\omega E$$

The relationship along the longitudinal and transverse segments of the electric and magnetic fields is specified in the system of cylindrical coordinates ($r, \theta$) [31, 32], assuming that all fields have the same temporal aspect $\exp(j\omega t)$. The Helmholtz wave equations (4)-(5) are expressed as follows in the cylindrical coordinate system [31, 32]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial H_z}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\alpha^2 \mu r^2}{\omega^2} H_z = 0 \quad (TE\text{-mode})$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left( \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial r} \right) - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \frac{\partial E_z}{\partial \theta} \right) + \frac{\alpha^2 \mu r^2}{\omega^2} E_z = 0 \quad (TM\text{-mode})$$

The aforementioned differential equations may be solved using the variational segregation procedure. The Maxwell field solution is calculated for radial and angular components of the cylindrical wave [24, 31]. The TE-mode solution has three non-zero components ($H_x, E_y, E_z$), which are stated below in equations (6a), (6b) and (6c) [24]:

$$H_z(r, \theta) = [A J_{\nu}(kr) + B Y_{\nu}(kr)] e^{j\omega t}$$

$$E_y = \frac{1}{-j\omega \varepsilon} \frac{\partial H_z(r, \theta)}{\partial r}$$

$$E_z = \frac{m}{\varepsilon \omega} \frac{H_z(r, \theta)}{r}$$

where $A$ and $B$ are random constants and $Y_{\nu}$ are Bessel and Neumann functions, $k = (\frac{2}{\lambda}) n$ is the wave vector of the medium, $n$ represents the R.I. of the medium. The elements of the transfer matrix $\hat{T}$, that correlate the field vector to another point $r$ from an initial position $r_c$ are calculated using Abbe's multiplier structure theory [24, 32] and are described by the below equation (7) and (8) [24]:

$$\hat{T} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} \\ T_{21} & T_{22} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{11} = \frac{\xi}{2} kr c \left[ Y_{\nu}'(kr_c) J_{\nu}(kr) - J_{\nu}'(kr_c) Y_{\nu}(kr) \right]$$

$$T_{21} = \frac{\xi}{2} kr c \left[ Y_{\nu}'(kr_c) J_{\nu}(kr) - J_{\nu}'(kr_c) Y_{\nu}(kr) \right]$$

$$T_{22} = \frac{\xi}{2} kr c \left[ Y_{\nu}'(kr_c) J_{\nu}(kr) - J_{\nu}'(kr_c) Y_{\nu}(kr) \right]$$

$$T_{12} = -\frac{\xi}{2} kr c \left[ J_{\nu}'(kr_c) Y_{\nu}(kr) - Y_{\nu}'(kr_c) J_{\nu}(kr) \right]$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{\frac{n^2}{\varepsilon}}$ is the medium’s intrinsic impedance. The asymmetric defect layer transfer matrix component must be written using the above-mentioned steps. Because the radii of two interfaces must be taken into account when calculating the element of the transfer matrix, the $n^m$ transfer matrix $\hat{T}_n$, therefore, be determined by substituting $r_c \rightarrow r_{n-1}$, $r \rightarrow r_n$, $k \rightarrow k_n$ and $p \rightarrow p_n$. In addition, because the structure is periodic, we must take $n(r) = n_L$ if $n = even$ and $n(r) = n_H$ if $n = odd$. We have total $(2N + 1)$ layers in the HCBF structure with an asymmetric low RI defect layer; thus, the overall transfer matrix is written below in equation (9) [24]:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V(r_f) \\ U(r_f) \end{bmatrix} = T_{2N} \cdots T_2 T_1 \begin{bmatrix} V(r_i) \\ U(r_i) \end{bmatrix} = \hat{T} \begin{bmatrix} V(r_i) \\ U(r_i) \end{bmatrix}$$

$U(r)$ is the Helmholtz equation solution at point $r$, and $V(r)$ is the Helmholtz equation solution at point $r$. As shown above, transfer matrices, equivalent to Fresnel’s equation [31] in a planar geometry, are used to compute propagation and wave reflection in a cylindrical structure with various layers. The reflection coefficient through the HCBF system could be calculated as below in equation (10) [31]:

$$r_M = \frac{T_{22}^* - j p_{n_0} c_{n_0}^2 T_{21}^*}{T_{21}^* + j p_{n_0} c_{n_0}^2 T_{22}^*} (-T_{22}^* + j p_{n_0} c_{n_0}^2 T_{21}^*)$$

In equation (10), the matrix element $T_{21}^*$, $T_{22}^*$, $T_{21}$, and $T_{22}$ are the inverse matrix element of matrix $\hat{T}$ in Eq. (9) and the below coefficient is given by equation (11) [31]:

$$c_{n_0}^{l \pm 1} = \frac{H_{n_0}^{l \pm 1}(kr)}{H_{n_0}^{l \pm 1}(kr)}$$

For the azimuthal field variation $m$, the first and second kind Henkel function can be expressed by $H_{n_0}^1$ and $H_{n_0}^2$ respectively. The reflectance can be measured as $R = |r_M|^2$, and the percentage transmittance for non-absorbing media is calculated accordingly, $T = (1 - R) = 100$ with values between 0 to 100%. The TM-mode formula can be attained basically by inserting $\mu \leftrightarrow \varepsilon$ and $m \leftrightarrow -m$ in the TE-mode expression.

2.2. The correlation between the RI of hydrated mono-alcohols with composition and temperature

Monoalcohols, whether pure or combined with water, are extensively used in a range of applications, with energy supply being our primary concern throughout the research. The surface tension, density, RI, viscosity, and other physical parameters of these pseudo-binary fuel resources are beneficial analytical information for fuel industries [13, 14, 15]. We are interested in two physical parameters, only RI and density, as per the need of our proposed sensor system. Therefore, the predictive capacity of different proposed equations Lorentz-Lorenz, Gladstone-Dale, Eykman, Newton, Kay, etc., has their strength. Still, the first two Lorentz-Lorenz and Dale-Gladstone have successfully explained the theoretical prediction and experimental matching [14]. The refractive index $n_D$ and density $\rho$ of a pseudo-binary mixture of mono-alcohols + water (hydrated mono-alcohols) also measured at a temperature of 298.15 K (room temperature) and atmospheric pressure. The refractive indices of these solutions have also been studied as a function of temperature in the range $T = 278.15$ K to 323.15 K (desired range of weather temperature) and atmospheric pressure. The refractive indices acquired empirically and estimated using the Lorentz-Lorenz and Gladstone-Dale relations have been compared [14, 15]. The Gladstone-Dale equation yielded results that were similar to those observed experimentally in every case [14] for mono-alcohols. The excess molar volumes $v^E$ and molar volume fraction deviations $\Delta R$ of these combinations, as well as the discrepancies between experimental and Gladstone-Dale RI values, have been calculated. Thus, the Lorentz-Lorenz relation below in equation (12) is the most widely used theoretical method for predicting the RI of pseudo-binary mixtures of liquids [14].

$$n_L^{-2} = \frac{1}{n_L^2} = \frac{1}{n_{D_0}^2} + \frac{1}{n_{D_0}^2} - \frac{1}{n_{D_0}^2}$$

where $n_L$ is the RI of the mixture according to the Lorentz-Lorenz formula; $\theta_D$ and $\theta_D$ are the molar fraction of hydrated mono-alcohols.
obtained from volume determinations based on mass and density measurements, $\rho_{pa}$ and $\rho_{pb}$ are the individual R.I.'s of the mono-alcohols and water, respectively. Empirical equations have also been established to determine the refractive indices of pseudo-binary liquid systems, including that proposed by Gladstone-Dale and represented below in equation (13) [14]:

$$\frac{n_a - 1}{\rho} = \omega_a \left( \frac{n_{pa} - 1}{\rho_a} \right) + \omega_b \left( \frac{n_{pb} - 1}{\rho_b} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $n_a$ and $\rho$ is the RI and experimental density of the mixture; $\omega_a$ and $\omega_b$ are the mass fraction of mono-alcohols and water; $n_{pa}$ and $n_{pb}$ are the again individual RIs of the mono-alcohols, and water, respectively. Several researchers have confirmed the validity of the Lorentz-Lorenz and Gladstone-Dale relationships in the context of various sorts of mixtures [13, 14, 15].

Calculations have been completed of the excess molar volume, $V^E$ employing the following equation (14) [14]:

$$V^E = \frac{x_a M_a + x_b M_b}{\rho} - \frac{x_a M_a + x_b M_b}{\rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

where $\rho$ is the density of mixture; $\rho_a$ and $\rho_b$ are the density of the components; $x_a$ and $x_b$ are the respective molar fractions, $M_a$ and $M_b$ are the molar masses of the pure components.

Further, the molar refraction deviations, $\Delta R$ to optimize the accuracy of the measurements, have been calculated from the following expressions (15) and (16) [14]:

$$R_o = \left( \frac{n_D^2 - 1}{n_D^2 + 2} \right) \frac{x_a M_a + x_b M_b}{\rho}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

$$\Delta R = R_o - (x_a R_a + x_b R_b)$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $n_D$ is the RI of the mixture. In contrast, calculations have also been made of the differences between RIs obtained experimentally, $n_D$ and that calculated from the equation of Gladstone-Dale, $n_G$ i.e. $\Delta n_G = n_D - n_G$.

2.3. HCBF optofluidic adulteration sensor performance parameter

In this work, the performance of the proposed HCBF optofluidic adulteration concentration sensor is assessed using its performance parameters, sensitivity (S), detection accuracy (DA), and overall quality parameter (QP). The ratio of the measured output to the variation in the physical quantity being monitored is known as the sensor’s sensitivity. Therefore, the sensitivity of the current sensor is theoretically defined as the ratio of a spectral shift in resonance wavelength to a minute change in analyte RI or molar concentration.

$$S = \Delta \lambda_{res}/\Delta n_a \text{ or } S = \Delta \lambda_{res}/\Delta (V_e/V)$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $\Delta \lambda_{res}$ is the change in resonant sensing signal wavelength and $\Delta n_a$ is the change in RI in hydrated medium, $V_e$ is the volume fraction of mono-alcohol in water, and $V'$ is the volume of a pseudo-binary mixture. The detection accuracy (DA) parameter, which describes the true value of the measurand, is also crucial. The ratio of change in resonant sensing signal wavelength to the FWHM of the resonant sensing signal is the DA of this sensor.

$$\text{D.A.} = \frac{\Delta \lambda_{res}}{\Delta \lambda_{0.5}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $\Delta \lambda_{0.5}$ is spectral width at 50% of transmittance. The quality parameter (QP) is another important performance parameter that demonstrates the overall performance of the present sensor with an important sensitivity parameter, and DA QP is defined as:

$$\text{Q.P.} = S/\Delta \lambda_{0.5}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where $S$ is known as sensitivity defined in Eq. (17) and $\Delta \lambda_{0.5}$ is the FWHM of the sensing signal. According to the results of the study, the FWHM of the sensing signal should be as narrow as feasible to minimize the inaccuracy in identifying the sensing signal wavelength.

3. Numerical findings and discussion

The HCBF optofluidic fuel sensor with a geometrical flaw is designed, theoretically modeled, and further compared with some other published results to optimize the adulterants in temperature-functional concentration of hydrated mono-alcohols (Methanol and Ethanol) fuel. The current sensor arrangement is based on the EM wave’s design wavelength $\lambda_0 = 1550$ nm. The core of Bragg fiber is hollow enough that it may function as an optofluidic flow cell and store a pseudo-binary mixture of hydrated mono-alcohols. The hollow core's circumference is kept large enough to easily retain the mixture. Furthermore, the core is encircled by concentric cladding layers of Arsenic tri-selenide, a high RI material $\text{AsS}_2\text{Se}_3$ ($n_H = 2.82$) and low RI material Poly-ether-imide PEI ($n_L = 1.66$) [24, 25] with their thicknesses followed by a quarter-wave stack (QWS) condition $n_H d_H = n_L d_L = n_H d_L = \lambda_0/4$. Furthermore, a low-index material defect layer (Poly-ether-imide) with RI ($n_L = 1.66$) and QWS thickness $d_L = \lambda_0/4n_L$ is introduced in the mid of periodic stacking ($N = 16$) by breaking the symmetry, i.e., creating asymmetry in the periodicity of concentric cladding layers. The glass/polymer combination was chosen in this investigation for the ease of production of HCBF. The polymer PEI has good thermal stability up to 400 °C [33] with glass transition temperature above 220 °C in the visible and near-infrared region, which is necessary for additional monitoring of the temperature-dependent (present temperature study range is 5°C-50°C only) transmission spectra. The achieved results of this study have been shown in Table 3a and Table 3b and further plotted in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. The performance of the present device is tabulated in Table 4. Since the
temperature (due to different weather conditions and temperature variation throughout the day) has superior significance in the present study, therefore in Fig. 2a, 2b, 2c, and Fig. 3a, 3b, 3c, the PBG along with sensing signal peak positions have been estimated through transmittance spectra for Methanol and Ethanol in pseudo-binary hydrated fuel at temperatures of interest. The above transmission spectra have been drawn using equations (10)-(11) and Tables 1a-1b and 2. The obtained sensing signal peak positions at different temperatures (5°C-50°C) for all three molar fractions (\(x = 0.33, 0.66, \) and 1.00) have been optimized in Table 3a and 3b. These sensing signal peaks having the least FWHM of about 0.1 nm only are necessary for sensing applications.

The findings also indicate that the sensing signal exhibits an effective redshift with the smallest FWHM of 0.1 nm for various molar fractions at various temperatures, which will be used to enhance a performance parameter for the HCBF sensor that has a geometrical fault. Figs. 4 and 5 depict the relationships between the sensing signal peak wavelength and different molar percentage fractions of methanol and ethanol in the applicable temperature range. This study displays the lin-
Fig. 3. Finding sensing signal position for the different fractions of hydrated Ethanol fuel at various temperatures by using HCBF spectrum.

ear signature of variation between the peak wavelength of the sensing signal and various molar fractions at different weather temperatures. As constant sensitivity is preferred for high sensor performance, which is tabulated in Table 4 and displayed in Fig. 6, this linear variation will result in the outstanding performance of the suggested sensor. A comparative performance analysis for the mono-alcohols is plotted in Fig. 6.

From Table 4 and Fig. 6, it is clear that the maximum found sensitivity is 1057.3 nm/RIU in the case of ethanol fuel rather than methanol fuel. Further, we compared the obtained results with the static temperature molar concentration measurement of adulterants in hydrated mono-alcohol fuel using a surface plasmon resonance optic sensor recently published by Kumar et al. [23]. Our obtained result is superior
Table 2. The RI variations with the temperature of the soluble mono-alcohol fuel for each of the specified molar fractions (x).

| S. No. | T (K) | Methanol (x = 0.33) + Water | Methanol (x = 0.66) + Water | Methanol (x = 1.0) + Water |
|--------|-------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
|        |       | x = 0.33                    | x = 0.66                    | x = 1.0                   |
| 1      | 278.15| 1.3446                      | 1.3425                      | 1.3326                    |
| 2      | 283.15| 1.3438                      | 1.3411                      | 1.3312                    |
| 3      | 288.15| 1.3419                      | 1.3403                      | 1.3293                    |
| 4      | 293.15| 1.3396                      | 1.3368                      | 1.3264                    |
| 5      | 303.15| 1.3382                      | 1.3356                      | 1.3250                    |
| 6      | 308.15| 1.3371                      | 1.3337                      | 1.3231                    |
| 7      | 313.15| 1.3363                      | 1.3329                      | 1.3216                    |
| 8      | 318.15| 1.3344                      | 1.3306                      | 1.3195                    |
| 9      | 323.15| 1.3334                      | 1.3299                      | 1.3185                    |

Table 3a. Sensing signal position measurement for the various molar fraction of Methanol at different temperatures.

| S. No. | T (K) | Methanol (x = 0.33) | Methanol (x = 0.66) | Methanol (x = 1.0) |
|--------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
|        |       | Sensing signal      | Sensing signal      | Sensing signal      |
|        |       | (nm)                | (nm)                | (nm)                |
| 1      | 278.15| 1.3446              | 1.3425              | 1.3326              |
| 2      | 283.15| 1.3438              | 1.3411              | 1.3312              |
| 3      | 288.15| 1.3419              | 1.3403              | 1.3293              |
| 4      | 293.15| 1.3396              | 1.3368              | 1.3264              |
| 5      | 303.15| 1.3382              | 1.3356              | 1.3250              |
| 6      | 308.15| 1.3371              | 1.3337              | 1.3231              |
| 7      | 313.15| 1.3363              | 1.3329              | 1.3216              |
| 8      | 318.15| 1.3344              | 1.3306              | 1.3195              |
| 9      | 323.15| 1.3334              | 1.3299              | 1.3185              |

Table 3b. Sensing signal position measurement for the various molar fraction of Ethanol at different temperatures.

| S. No. | T (K) | Ethanol (x = 0.33) | Ethanol (x = 0.66) | Ethanol (x = 1.0) |
|--------|-------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
|        |       | Sensing signal    | Sensing signal    | Sensing signal    |
|        |       | (nm)              | (nm)              | (nm)              |
| 1      | 278.15| 1.3653            | 1.3696            | 1.3670            |
| 2      | 283.15| 1.3630            | 1.3675            | 1.3648            |
| 3      | 288.15| 1.3618            | 1.3661            | 1.3627            |
| 4      | 293.15| 1.3597            | 1.3640            | 1.3612            |
| 5      | 298.15| 1.3582            | 1.3605            | 1.3572            |
| 6      | 303.15| 1.3564            | 1.3600            | 1.3572            |
| 7      | 308.15| 1.3549            | 1.3587            | 1.3559            |
| 8      | 313.15| 1.3528            | 1.3561            | 1.3538            |
| 9      | 318.15| 1.3511            | 1.3536            | 1.3520            |
| 10     | 323.15| 1.3496            | 1.3518            | 1.3500            |

Fig. 4. Representation of sensing signal vs. temperature at a different concentration of Methanol in binary hydrated fuel.

tions. A narrow sensing transmission peak is obtained in the measured PBG due to a geometrical flaw in the HCBF structure, which is sensitive enough to changes in the hydrated fuel-filled core RI. The performance metrics of the current optofluidic sensor are substantially improved as compared to other similar sensors due to the smallest FWHM of the sensing signal. The maximum achieved a sensitivity of the HCBF sensor is 1057.3 nm/RIU in all weather conditions, which is superior to the attained sensitivity for surface plasmon resonance optic sensor for concurrent sensing of hydrated mono-alcohols but at static temperature. Because the other sensing performance metrics DA and QP are inversely proportional to the obtained FWHM of the sensing signal peak, the least FWHM for this structure improves these sensing characteristics as well.

4. Conclusion

An HCBF optofluidic adulteration sensor with a geometrical flaw has been effectively developed in the current communication for optimizing and monitoring the adulterants in a temperature-dependent molar percentage of hydrated mono-alcohols Fuel in various weather condi-
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