The relationship between consumption of nitrite or nitrate and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma
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Epidemiologic studies of the relationship between nitrite or nitrate consumption and risk of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (nHL) remain controversial. The current meta-analysis aimed to reexamine the evidence and quantitatively evaluate that relationship. Manuscripts were retrieved from the Web of Science, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure and PubMed databases up to May 2019. From the studies included in the review, results were combined and presented as odds ratios (OR). To conduct a dose-response (DR) analysis, studies presenting risk estimates over a series of categories of exposure were selected. Our data indicate that the consumption of nitrite was linked to a significantly increased hazard of NHL (OR: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.14–1.65), rather than nitrate (OR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.94–1.10). According to Egger's and Begg's tests (P > 0.05), there was no evidence of significant publication bias. Moreover, our DR analysis indicated that the risk of NHL grew by 26% for each additional microgram of nitrite consumed in the diet per day (OR: 1.26; 95% CI: 1.09–1.42). Through subset analysis of the nitrite studies, data from the high-quality studies indicated that consumption was positively associated with carcinogenicity, leading to NHL (OR: 1.44; 95% CI: 1.17–1.77) and positively correlated with the development of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (OR: 1.55; 95% CI: 1.07–2.26), but not other NHL subtypes. In addition, the data suggested that females (OR: 1.50; 95% CI: 1.15–1.95) and high levels of nitrite intake (OR: 1.64; 95% CI: 1.28–2.09) had a higher risk of NHL. Our meta-analysis supports the hypothesis that nitrite intake, but not that of nitrate, raises the risk of developing NHL. In the future, better designed prospective research studies should be conducted to confirm our findings, clarify potential biological mechanisms and instruct clinicians about NHL prophylaxis.

Non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) is a heterogeneous group of hematologic malignancies, developing from cells contained in the lymphoid tissue or from lymph glands. In recent decades, NHL has been categorized into more than 40 forms based on pathological and histological features by the World Health Organization. According to the 2018 global cancer statistics, NHL ranks as the 8th most common carcinoma in males and 10th in females. In the USA, it has been estimated that 74,680 new cases of NHL (41,730 males and 32,950 females) and 19,910 deaths (11,510 males and 8,400 females) occurred in 2018. Despite the diagnostic and therapeutic progress in the recent decades, the 5-year survival rate for all NHL forms combined is 72% (https://seer.cancer.gov/statfacts/html/nhl.html). It is well-known that NHL patients, particularly for high-risk subtypes (e.g. immunoblastic lymphoma, Burkitt lymphoma and lymphoblastic lymphoma), continue to receive adverse prognoses. Hence, to increase precautions against and reduce the prevalence of NHL, further exploration of its risk and deeper understanding of its epidemiology are essential.

Nitrate and nitrite are crucial precursors of N-nitroso compounds (NOCs), a group of genotoxic composites that are highly carcinogenic and which act systemically. In epidemiological studies, the potential relationship between nitrate or nitrite consumption and risk of development of tumors has been investigated. Positive associations have been detected in adult glioma (RR: 1.32; 95% CI: 1.01–1.71), thyroid neoplasm (RR: 2.05; 95% CI: 1.20–3.51), and gastric carcinoma (RR: 1.90; 95% CI: 1.30–2.70), etc. Moreover, Xie et al. and Song et al. further confirmed these correlations by conducting meta-analyses. During the past decades, the relationship...
between risk of NHL and nitrate or nitrite consumption have been investigated in several epidemiological publications, yet the results are contradictory. Some surveys failed to show positive or negative associations, while others have revealed significant correlations. When no specific trend can be ascertained from any individual investigation, combining a number of independent studies can reveal hidden associations through meta-analysis. Therefore, we conducted the present meta-analysis to discover latent connections between nitrate or nitrite consumption with the etiology of NHL, and so aimed to: (1) evaluate the epidemiological evidence about the relationship between nitrate or nitrite consumption from water or diet and risk of NHL; (2) consider a possible dose response (DR) relationship between nitrate or nitrite consumption and the risk of NHL; and (3) assess the quality of evidence and the statistical significance of the results.

Results
Study retrieval and research characteristics. Figure 1 displays details of the process of identification of relevant studies and filtering of articles. In total, 727 relevant manuscripts were identified. After deletion of 210 duplicates, the titles and abstracts of the remaining 517 articles were inspected. Of these, 502 studies were removed for the following reasons: laboratory research study (n = 89), conference abstract (n = 28), review article (n = 58), case report (n = 67), irrelevant subject matter (n = 260). Thus, 15 papers apparently matched the inclusion criteria for further screening. In addition, two studies were identified from the reference lists of the retrieved and review articles. After reading the full texts, 8 case-control and 4 follow-up studies that reported the correlation between nitrate or nitrite consumption and risk of NHL published between 1996 and 2013 were selected.

Table 1 displays the fundamental characteristics of the articles included in the review. The studies were conducted in three regions, as follow: Europe (n = 3; one from Italy, one from the United Kingdom and a third from Slovakia), North America (n = 8, all from the United States) and Asia (n = 1, from China). A total of 8,067 cases of NHL were included from all the studies. Four articles reported a significant relationship between nitrate or nitrite intake and the risk of NHL. In each study included in the review, at least two different pathologists were involved in the diagnosis of NHL. Every NHL case was categorized by the Working Formulation criteria of the Lymphoma Study Group or using the World Health Organization (WHO) classification criteria. The quality of each study was evaluated using the Newcastle-Ottawa Quality Assessment Scale (NOS). Scores ranged from 4 to 8 with a mean value of 6 (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). Information on nitrate and nitrite intake was obtained by personal interview, telephone interview or mailed questionnaires.

Risk assessment. The relationship between nitrate or nitrate (high vs. low level consumption) and risk of NHL is illustrated in Fig. 2. The pooled ORs indicated that high levels of nitrite intake was linked to a significantly elevated risk of NHL (OR: 1.37; 95% CI: 1.14–1.65), yet no statistically significant heterogeneity was found ($I^2 = 55.0\%$, $P = 0.083$) (Fig. 2A). No evidence of publication bias were detected using an Egger's or Begg's test ($P = 0.818$ and 0.308, respectively) (Fig. 3A). Furthermore, no missing studies were identified using trim-and-fill analysis, further suggesting low publication bias (Fig. 4A). Conversely, no connection was found between the risk of NHL and high-levels of nitrate intake (OR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.94–1.10) (Fig. 2B). No significant heterogeneity was found ($I^2 = 14.2\%$, $P = 0.308$), with both Egger's and Begg's tests indicating that no evidence of publication bias existed ($P = 0.116$ and 0.119, respectively) (Fig. 3B). Similarly, publication bias was not found by trim-and-fill analysis (Fig. 4B).
Table 1. Principal characteristics of studies evaluating the association between nitrate or nitrite and risk of NHL. Abbreviations: M, male; F, female; NR, not reported; NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; BMI, body mass index. aDiet: details were assessed from food frequency questionnaire. bDrinking water: details were assessed from official measurements.

Stratified analysis. Subset analyses were subsequently conducted, based on study design or quality, gender, source of nitrate, geographical region, NHL subtypes or levels of nitrite or nitrate (Tables 2 and 3). In subgroups divided by study quality, the high-quality studies suggested that nitrite intake caused tumorigenesis leading to NHL (OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.94–1.16), although a similar influence was not observed in the low-quality studies (OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 0.94–1.16). In the nitrite intake studies, no significant association was found in either the high (OR: 0.85; 95% CI: 0.66–1.04) or low (OR: 1.00; 95% CI: 0.91–1.09) quality studies. Gender was identified as a factor able to stratify the results in nitrite intake studies, with females exhibiting a significant positive association with NHL (OR: 1.50; 95% CI: 1.15–1.95) compared with males (OR: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.52–1.36). For nitrate consumption, the ORs (95% CI) were 1.00 (0.76–1.24) in the female group and 1.03 (0.61–1.46) in the male group. Where the risk factors concerned nitrite studies and NHL subtypes, a positive relationship was more evident in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL) (OR: 1.55; 95% CI: 1.07–2.26) compared with follicular lymphoma (FL) (OR: 1.29; 95% CI: 0.89–1.86). For nitrate studies, the ORs (95% CI) for DLBCL and FL were 0.86 (0.61–1.23) and 1.14 (0.80–1.63), respectively. When separately analyzed by study design of nitrate studies, no statistically significant difference was found between nitrites in the diet or drinking water (OR: 0.83, 95% CI: 0.68–1.01; OR: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.78–1.14, respectively). For subgroup analysis based on geographical region, we found that nitrate intake was protective for NHL in North America (OR: 0.77; 95% CI: 0.62–0.92), but not in Asia (OR: 1.08; 95% CI: 0.90–1.26) or Europe (OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.94–1.16). Additionally, in subsets stratified by source of nitrites, no statistically significant difference was found between nitrates in the diet or drinking water (OR: 0.83; 95% CI: 0.68–1.01; OR: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.78–1.14, respectively). Finally, when analyzing by the levels of nitrite or nitrate, the high levels of nitrite in diet was positively associated with NHL (OR: 1.64; 95% CI: 1.28–2.09), but not for low-level group (OR: 1.08; 95% CI: 0.82–1.44). For nitrate studies, no significant connections were observed either in high-level group (OR: 1.05; 95% CI: 0.94–1.16) or low-level group (OR: 0.78; 95% CI: 0.30–1.26), respectively.

Dose-response (DR) analysis. Because a heightened risk of NHL caused by the intake of nitrites, but not nitrates, was found, a DR analysis was further conducted to assess the dose-response interrelationship for the risk.
of NHL and nitrite consumption. A 26% greater risk of NHL was linked to an increase of 1 microgram of nitrite per day (OR: 1.26; 95% CI: 1.09–1.42) (Fig. 5).

Heterogeneity appraisal. In order to assess heterogeneity in the studies of this meta-analysis, the $I^2$ statistic and Q test were utilized. We found large heterogeneity across the studies of nitrite ($P = 0.083$, $I^2 = 55.0\%$), but not studies of nitrate ($P = 0.308$, $I^2 = 14.2\%$) (Fig. 2). As shown in Fig. 6A for nitrite studies, we found that the major source of heterogeneity originated from a study exploring t(14;18)-negative NHL as displayed in the Galbraith plot. After precluding it, the heterogeneity decreased dramatically ($P = 0.161$, $I^2 = 41.8\%$). Furthermore, the overall association was more robust (OR: 1.55; 95% CI: 1.27–1.88). As displayed in Table 2, no factors could be identified as being the latent origin of heterogeneity in the nitrite studies when assessed by meta-regression analysis.

For the nitrate studies, heterogeneity principally originated from the study of Freedman et al., as can be seen from the Galbraith plot (Fig. 6B). After excluding this study, which reported a considerable negative effect of nitrate intake for risk of NHL, but with low quality data (6), the heterogeneity disappeared ($I^2 = 0.0\%$, $P = 0.588$). The pooled OR remained not significant (1.00; 95% CI: 0.92–1.09). As shown in Table 3, no factors could be identified as being the potential origin of heterogeneity in the nitrate studies according to the meta-regression analysis.

Sensitivity analysis. The influence of each study on overall estimate of risk was measured by repeating the meta-analysis after omitting each study in turn so as to conduct an analysis of sensitivity. As shown in Supplementary Fig. S1, no one item influenced the pooled OR for risk of NHL due to nitrate or nitrite consumption. The four study-specific ORs for nitrate intake and risk of NHL varied from a high of 1.54 (1.27–1.88) to a low

Figure 2. Forest plots illustrating risk estimates from studies included in the review on the relationships between nitrite (A) or nitrate intake (B) and the risk of NHL. The size of gray box is positively proportional to the weight assigned to each study, and horizontal lines represent the 95% confidence intervals.
of 1.23 (1.00–1.51) after removal of the t(14;18)-negative NHL study published by Chiu et al.\textsuperscript{24} and the research by Ward et al.\textsuperscript{25}, respectively. For nitrate intake and risk of NHL, the ORs of the eleven studies varied from a high of 1.02 (0.95–1.11) to a low of 1.00 (0.91–1.09) after omitting the studies of Ward et al.\textsuperscript{25} and Chang et al.\textsuperscript{20}, respectively.

**Discussion**

Globally, nitrates and nitrites are present within many typical diets. For example, in processed meats (bacon, sausages, hot dogs, ham, etc.), nitrites and nitrates may be adjuncts that maintain the color of meat products and reduce microbial spoilage. However, excessive intake of processed meat is associated with an elevated risk of carcinomas, including NHL.\textsuperscript{28} In recent years, the association between risk of NHL and nitrate or nitrite intake have been explored in a number of epidemiological studies. However, the relationship remains uncertain. Therefore, all available studies that have explored these relationships were integrated into this meta-analysis in an attempt to clarify these possible associations.

**Figure 3.** Funnel plots of: (A) nitrite or (B) nitrate intake (B) for risk of NHL.

**Figure 4.** The trim-and-fill test did not identify possible missing studies for: (A) nitrite or (B) nitrate.
In agreement with this study, our results indicated a significant positive relationship was found between NPIP, etc cancer and renal cell carcinoma. However, high nitrate intake was deemed to be from the consumption of vegetables, which are also rich in various anti-cancer substances (including fiber, vitamin C, vitamin E and other anti-oxidants). Palli et al. separately demonstrated that high nitrate consumption protected against esophageal cancer, gastric cancer and renal cell carcinoma. Notably, Palli et al. Rogers et al. and Ward et al. observed that nitrite intake promoted lymphomas in rats in a dose-dependent manner. In 1979, Newberne et al. observed that nitrite intake promoted lymphomas in rats in a dose-dependent manner. In agreement with this study, our results indicated a significant positive relationship was found between NHL and nitrate or nitrite consumption from water or diet. It is well-known that nitrates are abundant within the environment. Furthermore, nitrates participate in the nitrogen cycle which is essential for life. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) declared, as early as 2010, that there was no conclusive evidence that nitrates were carcinogens. In fact, this meta-analysis was in agreement with previous studies, the results of which indicate that nitrate consumption was not linked to increased risk of NHL. Moreover, in subtype analysis where study quality or design, geographical area, source of nitrite, NHL subtypes, levels of nitrate in water and gender were considered, the risk of NHL was not increased as a result of nitrate consumption. Indeed, in representative exposure models, several studies have provided strong evidence that nitrate cannot be a carcinogen for humans or animals. Notably, Palli et al., Rogers et al. and Ward et al. separately demonstrated that high nitrate consumption protected against esophageal cancer, gastric cancer and renal cell carcinoma. However, high nitrate intake was deemed to be from the consumption of vegetables, which are also rich in various anti-cancer substances (including fiber, vitamin C, vitamin E and other anti-oxidants).

In 1979, Newberne et al. observed that nitrite intake promoted lymphomas in rats in a dose-dependent manner. In agreement with this study, our results indicated a significant positive relationship was found between NHL and high levels of nitrite intake (OR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.94–1.10). In summary, the results suggest that nitrite intake, but not nitrate, is an important factor in the occurrence of NHL and that increased nitrite intake appears to raise the risk of NHL in a dose-dependent manner.

The meta-analysis summarized the outcomes of four follow-up and eight case-control studies, including four studies on nitrite consumption comprising a total of 1,542 cases and eleven on nitrate intake comprising a total of 7,920 cases. As far as can be ascertained, this is the first time an assessment of the relationship between the incidence of NHL and nitrate or nitrite consumption from water or diet has been performed by meta-analysis. The results indicate that a high nitrate intake from diet resulted in a 37% increased risk of NHL. Furthermore, DR analysis demonstrated that an additional consumption of 1 microgram/day of nitrite was linked to a 26% increased risk of NHL. However, this analysis suggests that there was no significant correlation between the risk of NHL and nitrate consumption (OR: 1.02; 95% CI: 0.94–1.10). In summary, the results suggest that nitrite intake, but not nitrate, is an important factor in the occurrence of NHL and that increased nitrite intake appears to raise the risk of NHL in a dose-dependent manner.

| Variables | Number of studies | OR (95% CI) | Q-test for heterogeneity P value (I² score) | P for interaction |
|----------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Total    | 4                | 1.37 (1.14–1.65) | 0.083 (55.0%) | —               |
| Gender   |                   |             |                                          | 0.290           |
| male     | 1                | 0.84 (0.52–1.36) | —                                       |                 |
| female   | 2                | 1.50 (1.15–1.95) | 0.608 (0.0%) |                 |
| Study quality |         |             |                                          | 0.605           |
| High     | 3                | 1.44 (1.17–1.77) | 0.057 (65.2%) |                 |
| Low      | 1                | 1.16 (0.78–1.72) | —                                       |                 |
| NHL subtype |              |             |                                          | 0.800           |
| DLBCL    | 2                | 1.55 (1.07–2.26) | 0.085 (66.3%) |                 |
| FL       | 2                | 1.29 (0.89–1.86) | 0.045 (75.1%) |                 |
| Study design |            |             |                                          |                 |
| Case-control study | 4 | 1.37 (1.14–1.65) | 0.083 (55.0%) |                 |
| Geographical area |       |             |                                          |                 |
| United States | 4 | 1.37 (1.14–1.65) | 0.083 (55.0%) |                 |
| Source of nitrite |     |             |                                          |                 |
| Diet     | 4                | 1.37 (1.14–1.65) | 0.083 (55.0%) |                 |
| Levels in diet |   |             |                                          | 0.170           |
| High     | 2                | 1.64 (1.28–2.09) | 0.186 (42.9%) |                 |
| Low      | 2                | 1.08 (0.82–1.44) | 0.635 (0.0%) |                 |

Table 2. Subgroup analyses of odds ratios for the relationship between nitrite intake and risk of NHL. Abbreviations: NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma.
confirmed that such a change in concentration of these cytokines, at least partly, contributes to the increased risk of developing NHL. In our study, a significant positive relationship was showed between the risk of NHL and nitrite consumption for female (OR: 1.50; 95% CI: 1.15–1.95), but not for male (OR: 0.84; 95% CI: 0.52–1.36). The different hormone and cytokine levels in female and male might be a possible reason for raising the risk of NHL. A greater number of basic studies are urgently required in order to clarify the biological mechanisms in carcinogenesis induced by nitrites in NHL.

The present meta-analysis is the largest study to date in which the relationship between nitrate and nitrite consumption from diet or drinking water has been studied in relation to the risk of NHL, including 8,067 NHL cases in total. Based on the studies included in this review, it was possible for us to investigate the relationship in various subgroups using meta-analysis methods. Nevertheless, the meta-analysis had some limitations, due to data originating from previously published observational studies. Firstly, our analysis assembled together published

**Table 3.** Subgroup analyses of odds ratios for the relationship between nitrate intake and risk of NHL. Abbreviations: NHL, non-Hodgkin lymphoma; DLBCL, diffuse large B-cell lymphoma; FL, follicular lymphoma; NR, not reported.

| Variables               | Number of studies | OR (95% CI)      | Q-test for heterogeneity P value (I² score) | P for interaction |
|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Total                   | 11                | 1.02 (0.94–1.10) | 0.308 (14.2%)                               |                   |
| Gender                  |                   |                  |                                             | 0.767             |
| male                    | 5                 | 1.03 (0.61–1.46) | 0.333 (12.6%)                               |                   |
| female                  | 6                 | 1.00 (0.76–1.24) | 0.722 (0.0%)                                |                   |
| Study quality           |                   |                  |                                             | 0.188             |
| High                    | 4                 | 0.85 (0.66–1.04) | 0.751 (0.0%)                                |                   |
| Low                     | 7                 | 1.00 (0.91–1.19) | 0.015 (62.0%)                               |                   |
| NHL subtype             |                   |                  |                                             | 0.462             |
| DLBCL                   | 2                 | 0.86 (0.61–1.23) | 0.334 (0.0%)                                |                   |
| FL                      | 2                 | 1.14 (0.80–1.63) | 0.141 (53.8%)                               |                   |
| Study design            |                   |                  |                                             | 0.988             |
| Case-control study      | 7                 | 0.90 (0.72–1.02) | 0.028 (57.6%)                               |                   |
| Follow-up study         | 4                 | 1.03 (0.93–1.14) | 0.589 (0.0%)                                |                   |
| Geographical area       |                   |                  |                                             | 0.119             |
| North America           | 7                 | 0.77 (0.62–0.92) | 0.272 (20.6%)                               |                   |
| Europe                  | 3                 | 1.05 (0.94–1.16) | 0.505 (0.0%)                                |                   |
| China                   | 1                 | 1.08 (0.90–1.26) | —                                           |                   |
| Source of nitrate       |                   |                  |                                             | 0.080             |
| Diet                    | 5                 | 0.83 (0.68–1.01) | 0.028 (63.1%)                               |                   |
| Water                   | 9                 | 0.96 (0.78–1.14) | 0.019 (56.4%)                               |                   |
| Levels in the water     |                   |                  |                                             | 0.599             |
| High                    | 3                 | 1.05 (0.94–1.16) | 0.505 (0.0%)                                |                   |
| Low                     | 3                 | 0.78 (0.30–1.26) | 0.002 (83.6%)                               |                   |

**Figure 5.** Odds ratio for NHL against dose of nitrite intake based on the results of the dose-response meta-analysis. Solid line represents estimated odds ratios, while the dotted lines represent 95% confidence intervals.
studies in Chinese or English but did not attempt to uncover unpublished data, which may have led to publication bias. However, the Begg's and Egger's tests did not suggest any apparent evidence for recall bias. Secondly, in this meta-analysis, the results were principally derived from case-control studies, which could lead to the potential for publication bias, owing to the data for case-control studies being retrospective. Thirdly, due to a number of the subgroup analyses being conducted on tiny datasets, a high degree of confidence should not be placed on their conclusions. Fourthly, although we found a positive relationship between nitrite consumption and DLBCL, we did not obtain sufficient data to compute odds ratios for other particular histopathological subclasses of NHL from the studies included in this meta-analysis. Therefore, we were not able to explore the relationship between nitrate or nitrite consumption and the risk of suffering additional subclasses of NHL. Fifthly, when exploring the relationship between nitrate intake and the risk of NHL, we combined the data for nitrate consumption from diet and drinking water. However, the metrics for nitrate in diet or drinking water was different and we could not find an accurate method for combining them, which would affect the reliability of this meta-analysis. Additionally, a wide range of values for the cutoff points for the highest and lowest level of the consumption of nitrate and nitrite was found in the involved studies, which could led to the possible bias. Finally, the accuracy of the conclusions of this meta-analysis will have been influenced by the threshold values of the highest and lowest categorizations of nitrate or nitrite consumption being distinct in the various studies. Hence, a greater number of well-designed, multi-center, large-sample epidemiological studies are essential for better elucidating the association between the risk of NHL and nitrate or nitrite consumption.

Conclusion
To summarize, the results suggest that nitrite intake is linked to increased risk of NHL. In the future, to acknowledge our conclusions and to ensure precautions against NHL, additional and more stringent systematic studies are required.

Materials and Methods

Literature search. A systematic and comprehensive article retrieval strategy that provided a general impression of the risk of NHL due to nitrate or nitrite consumption was conducted. The Web of Science, the Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI) and PubMed databases were searched for articles of follow-up, cohort or case-control studies assessing the relationship between the risk of NHL and nitrate or nitrite consumption from drinking water or diet, from inception of each database until May 31th, 2019. Few relevant articles were obtained by searching for the terms 'nitrate' or 'nitrite' and 'non-Hodgkin lymphoma'. Identification of more relevant articles was accomplished by combing the keywords in a more detailed retrieval strategy, as follow: (N-nitroso compounds OR nitrite OR nitrate) AND (NHL OR non-Hodgkin's lymphoma OR non-Hodgkin lymphoma OR lymphoma). In addition, a manual search of the references of relevant articles was performed to locate additional studies not identified in the initial search. The current analysis was performed with due consideration to the quality criteria for meta-analyses.

Figure 6. Galbraith plot analysis used to evaluate heterogeneity for: (A) nitrite and (B) nitrate studies.
Inclusion and exclusion criteria. All relevant articles were included if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) a follow-up study or the study had a case-control design; (2) the study investigated the possible relationship between risk of NHL and nitrate or nitrite intake from diet or drinking water; (3) outcomes included relative risk (RR) or odds ratio (OR), or provided sufficient data to perform calculations; (4) the study was published before May 2019 and reported in Chinese or English. If the same samples were reported in different articles, only the manuscript reporting the largest sample size was selected. If an article provided inadequate details or reported overlapping material, it was precluded.

Data extraction. The following data were retrieved from each article: article title (including publication year and first author’s name), study location of origin, judgment of risk factors, numbers of cases and controls, study design, adjustment factors and patient country of origin. Because non-Hodgkin lymphoma is an uncommon disorder, it is believed that the RR is commensurate with the OR. Thus, OR was adopted to assess any potential association between risk of NHL and nitrate or nitrite consumption. And OR was calculated by comparing the highest level of nitrate or nitrite consumption with the lowest. Two researchers (C.H. and M.X.Y.) independently conducted data collection via a structured questionnaire. A third researcher was consulted in order to reach a consensus, if required.

Quality assessment. Two investigators independently evaluated the quality of the articles included in the review through application of the nine-star Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)59. In the event of disagreement between the two investigators, a third reviewer arbitrated. The NOS is a tool that permits appraisal of follow-up, population selection, exposure and comparability of included studies. It was then possible to quantitatively assess the quality of each article according to the four aspects above. For each study, the points total varied from 9 to 0. Scores ≥7 represented articles considered high-quality, while scores <7 were considered of low quality.

Statistical analysis. The relationship between risk of NHL and nitrate or nitrite intake was evaluated using pooled ORs and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The choice of random or fixed-effects model was dependent on heterogeneity between studies. Where significant heterogeneity was absent, a Mantel-Haenszel test was utilized to compute pooled ORs in a fixed-effects model47. Conversely, the DerSimonian and Laird method was used to evaluate a random-effects model48. In order to calculate levels of heterogeneity, the I^2 statistic (values of 0%, >0% and ≤25%, >25% and ≤50%, or >50% implying zero, low, moderate or high heterogeneity, respectively) and the Q test were adopted48,49. To detect sources of heterogeneity, we also conducted subgroup analyses, using source of nitrate, study design or quality, geographical area, gender, NHL subtype and levels of nitrate in water. In addition, a Galbraith plot and meta-regression analysis were also performed to explore the potential origins of heterogeneity50.

For DR analysis, articles were included if they provided the number of controls and cases in addition to reporting at least 3 levels of nitrate or nitrite intake for every exposure classification. Using a method proposed by Orsini et al.31 and Greenland et al.32, we repeated the meta-analysis to re-assess risk. The mean value of every categorization range for nitrate or nitrite intake was used in the DR analysis. If the upper boundary of the highest category or the lower boundary of the lowest category was not provided in a particular study, the equivalent interval was assumed according to the next highest or lowest category53. The potential DR relationship of risk of NHL to nitrate or nitrite intake was estimated in two stages31. In the first, a limited cubic spline model with 4 knots at the percentages: 5%, 35%, 65% and 95% of the allocated exposure consumption was calculated, then three regression moduli (4 knots minus 1) were computed. Secondly, the covariance from every study was integrated.

In order to assess underlying publication bias, we executed a trim-and-fill test54, which indicated the magnitude of influence of all studies allocated normally around the center of a funnel plot. In addition, latent publication bias was also considered using an Egger’s test (linear regression method)55 and a Begg’s test (rank correlation method)56. STATA 11.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX) was used to conduct the meta-analysis. P values less than 0.05 were considered significant.
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