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ABSTRACT
A novel combination of the ant colony optimization algorithm (ACO) and computational fluid dynamics (CFD) data is proposed for modeling the multiphase chemical reactors. The proposed intelligent model presents a probabilistic computational strategy for predicting various levels of three-dimensional bubble column reactor (BCR) flow. The results prove an enhanced communication between ant colony prediction and CFD data in different sections of the BCR.
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1. Introduction
Multiphase bubble column reactor (BCR) types are highly important for different industries because of their applications and efficiency (Kumar, Degaleesan, Laddha, & Holesch, 1976; Li & Prakash, 2002; Schäfer, Merten, & Eigenberger, 2002). A BCR's structure is composed of a cylindrical vessel with a gas distributor at the bottom section so that the gas bubbles are fed into the reactor (Bouafi, Hebrard, Bastoul, & Roustan, 2001; Dhotre, Ekambara, & Joshi, 2004; Lefebvre & Guy, 1999; Shah, Kelkar, Godbole, & Deckwer, 1982). Therefore, the gas is sparked in other phases for separation or chemical reaction. Moreover, this phase may have two forms; i.e. liquid–solid mix and liquid phase (Cho, Woo, Kang, & Kim, 2002; Kantarcı, Borak, & Ulgen, 2005; Pino et al., 1992; Pourousi, Sahu, & Ganesan, 2014). The BCR is particularly beneficial in petrochemical, chemical, metallurgical, and biochemical industries, and they are utilized as multiple reactors and contactors since these fluid-structure domains give a large surface area (Bombač, Rek, & Levec, 2019; Rieth & Grünewald, 2019; Shi et al., 2019; Shu, Vidal, Bertrand, & Chaouki, 2019). The BCRs in different industries such as pharmaceutical or biochemical are used in the processes that involve reactions such as chlorination, oxidation, polymerization, hydrogenation, and alkylation, which are advantageous for the production of synthetic fuels (Chen, Hasegawa, Tsutsumi, Otawara, & Shigaki, 2003; Ruzicka, Zahradnık, Drahos, & Thomas, 2001; Sokolichin & Eigenberger, 1994; Wang et al., 2003). The Fischer–Tropsch process is considered as a major application of the mentioned reactors in the chemical industries (Prakash, Margaritis, Li, & Bergougnou, 2001). It is the process of indirect coal liquefaction, resulting in various kinds of fuels like synthetic fuels, methanol synthesis, and transportation fuels (Chuntian & Chau, 2002; Maalej, Benadda, & Otterbein, 2003; Rabha, Schubert, & Hampel, 2013). The production of these kinds of fuels is environmentally advantageous compared to the fuels derived from petroleum (Behkish, Men, Inga, & Morsi, 2002; Kantarcı et al., 2005; Michele & Hempel, 2002). The BCRs are extensively used due to their specific operation and design. The high heat transfer coefficients are characteristics of the bubble columns (Buwa & Ranade, 2003; Kantarcı et al., 2005; Krishna...
& Van Baten, 2003; Leonard, Ferrasse, Boutin, Lefevre, & Viand, 2015; Luo, Lee, Lau, Yang, & Fan, 1999). As the advantage of the bubble columns, it can be stated that a catalyst or other packing chemical components are able to stay a long period even though they are extensively used (Asil, Pour, & Mirzaei, 2018; Kannan, Naren, Buwa, & Dutta, 2019; Liu & Luo, 2019; Shi, Yang, Li, Zong, & Yang, 2019; Xin, Zhang, He, & Wang, 2019). Also, it is possible to add or remove the online catalyst easily (Deen, Solberg, & Hjertager, 2000; Diaz et al., 2008; Masood & Delgado, 2014; Shimizu, Takada, Minekawa, & Kawase, 2000; Thorat & Joshi, 2004). Thus, the bubble columns are used in biochemical and chemical industries. In order to get effective BCRs, it is necessary to consider their design scale (Krishna, Baten, & Urseanu, 2001; Masood, Khalid, & Delgado, 2015). Hence, if the reactors are improved by computation and simulation of the column's hydrodynamics, then a perfect understanding concerning the process can be provided (Pourtousi, Ganesan, & Sahu, 2015; Razzaghi, Pourtousi, & Darus, 2012; Verma & Rai, 2003). Various numerical methods are available for estimation of the multiphase flow in the BCRs. Nevertheless, the scholars have difficulties in the simulation of the full gas movement (Besagni, Guédon, & Inzoli, 2018; Li & Prakash, 2001; Silva, d’Ávila, & Mori, 2012). In order to numerically simulate complex turbulence behavior in the two-phase reactor, often the supercomputers provide the opportunity to calculate the liquid flow in the very complicated geometries. In experimental observation, If the fluid flow is needed to be measured during operation, because of the requirement for the high-speed microscopic cameras and modern probes, it is not economical (Besagni, Guédon, & Inzoli, 2016; Clift, 1978; Pourtousi, Zeinali, Ganesan, & Sahu, 2015; Rzehak & Krepper, 2013; Wang et al., 2014). Moreover, the other constraint of the approach in the prediction of large BCRs is related to the computational costs at varying operational conditions and different times (Cartland Glover, Blážej, Generalis, & Markoš, 2003; Ekambaram, Dhotre, & Joshi, 2005; Hecht & Grünwald, 2019; Jamialahmadi & Müller-Steinhagen, 1992; Joshi, 2001). These limitations gave way to the application of the intelligent algorithms for simulation of BCRs (Burns, Frank, Hamill, & Shi, 2004; Buwa, Deo, & Ranade, 2006; Pourtousi, 2016; Xing, Wang, & Wang, 2013).

Support vector machines (Moazenzadeh, Mohammadi, Shamshirband, & Chau, 2018), neural networks (Taherei Ghazvinei et al., 2018), simulated annealing, and evolutionary algorithms are some of the soft-computing approaches that can be applied for predicting and simulating the chemical processes (Mahmoud & Ben-Nakhi, 2007; Ozsunar, Arcaklioğlu, & Dur, 2009; Sudhakar, Balaji, & Venkateshan, 2009). This system can direct the complicated relationships (Saleem, Di Caro, & Farooq, 2011). Using this approach, a smart way is provided for the estimation of the complicated mechanisms in engineering. A suitable example in this regard is the regulation of robotic movements in risky cases (Burns et al., 2004; Krishna, Urseanu, Van Baten, & Ellenberger, 1999; Rampure, Kulkarni, & Ranade, 2007). Thus, this approach is useful in order to control the robots in the cases that the chemical reactions may be dangerous for the people (Buwa et al., 2006; Simonnet, Gentic, Olmos, & Midoux, 2007; Xing et al., 2013).

As mentioned, soft modeling approaches pursue a smart process; thus, it is useful in decision-making because of its comprehensiveness and complex algorithm (Berrichi, Yalaoui, Amodeo, & Mezghiche, 2010). In addition, they can be devoid of various errors including the accuracy in monotonous conditions. In addition, using the different inputs and output procedures is beneficial when the input-output association is inherently meaningful (Lu & Liu, 2013). Therefore, the method's learning process is completely dependent on the data both for experimental or simulated cases (Babanezhad, Rezakazemi, Hajilary, & Shirzadian; Mosavi, Shamshirband, Salwana, Chau, & Tah, 2019; Mosavi et al., 2019; Jafari-Sejahrood et al., 2019; Shamshirband et al., 2019). The recent research works have been mainly focused on a specific dimension of soft-computing methods used for flow patterns production in the BCRs. According to the research works, the relationship between machine learning and CFD results in important concepts for the computation of different properties of BCRs. A number of researchers, e.g. Mohammad Pourtousi (2016) used different type of big data in the bubble column reactor in the machine learning algorithm and they predicted pattern recognition of gas and liquid flow in the BCR (Fotovatikhah et al., 2018; Yaseen, Sulaiman, Deo, & Chau, 2018). In this study, the ant colony method is combined to predict the flow pattern in the BCR. The application of ant colony algorithm is an appropriate alternative rather than using the CFD approach, which is costly in terms of computation, for the flow simulation in BCRs. In this study, the flow characteristics were trained in the BCR by pheromone-based communication of biological ants and compare the results with existing CFD data (Marco Dorigo & Gambardella, 1997; Xu, Chen, Zhu, & Wang, 2010). As a combination of optimization methods and fuzzy system have not been fully used to simulate biological and physics-based phenomena. In this paper, the ant optimization method with the fuzzy system was used to predict continuous data. For the first time, the optimization method is used as a solver of machine learning to simulate bubble column characteristics.
2. Method

For the simulation of bubbling flow in the BCR, the Eulerian–Eulerian approach is used throughout the domain. This method can simulate the fraction of each phase in the domain and it is based on ensemble-averaged mass and momentum transport equations for each phase. For solving fluid flow in the BCR firstly the continuity equation was computed as follows:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_k \varepsilon_k) + \nabla (\rho_k \varepsilon_k u_k) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Momentum transfer equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho_k \varepsilon_k u_k) + \nabla (\rho_k \varepsilon_k u_k u_k) = -\nabla (\varepsilon_k \tau_k) - \varepsilon_k \nabla p + \varepsilon_k \rho_k g + M_{ILk}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The total interfacial force schemes between the main phases are mainly drag and turbulent dispersion force. The overall forcing scheme is written as:

$$M_{IL} = -M_{LG} = M_{DIL} + M_{TDL}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The details description of interfacial force methods that are utilized used in this investigation can be observed in (Mosavi et al., 2019a; Tabib, Roy, & Joshi, 2008). For calculation of the turbulence flow characteristics the k-ε model is utilized for calculation of turbulence behavior in the bubble column reactor. All turbulence model parameters are similar to k–ε model (Pourtousi, 2016).

2.1. Geometrical structure

A BCR with a height of 2.6 m and a diameter of 0.288 m, is used, and a single sparger point is used at the bottom of the column with 0.5 m height. (see Figure 1) The details description of boundary conditions such as slip boundary conditions and degassing pressure at the surface of the column in this investigation can be observed in Pfleger and Becker (2001). The source point boundary condition used for a single Sparger is identical to Tabib et al. (2008) and Mosavi et al. (2019a).

2.2. Grid

A structured hexahedral grid is utilized for calculation of the whole fluid-structure and the interaction between liquid and gas.

---

**Figure 1.** Schematic of the bubble column reactor and sparging bubble through the Sparger.

**Figure 2.** (a) Ants food-finding schematic; (b) Ants with an obstacle (starting problem); (c) Ants with an obstacle (best solution).
2.3. ANT colony

In this study, the ant colony optimization method (ACO) is a technique for solving big data with complicated problem structures that can be decreased to discover good paths through graphs. Intelligent Ants or artificial algorithms of ant method stand for multi-agent methods to mimic the real behavior of ants. In this study, this method was used to predict the gas–liquid flow pattern in the column. More description about this method can be found in (Baker & Ayeche, 2003; Bell & McMullen, 2004; Blum, 2005; Castillo, Neyoy, Soria, Garcia, & Valdez, 2013; M Dorigo, Birattari, & Stütze, 2006; Dorigo & Blum, 2005; Li, Sun, Sattar, & Corchado, 2014; Maroosi & Amiri, 2010; McMullen, 2001; Mocholi, Jaen, Catala, & Navarro, 2010; Mohan & Baskaran, 2012; Mullen, Monekosso, Barman, & Remagnino, 2009; Rao, Srinivasan, & Venkateswarlu, 2010; Suganthi & Samuel, 2012; Tian, Ma, & Yu, 2011; Valdez, Melin, & Castillo, 2014; Yu, Yang, & Yao, 2009) (see Figure 2).

Figure 3. Ant colony algorithm training and testing process with one input (number of ant = 20, 30, 40; number of data = 1500; max iteration = 100; $P = 70\%$; FCM clustering).

Figure 4. Ant colony algorithm training and testing process with two inputs (number of ant = 20, 30, 40; number of data = 1500; max iteration = 100; $P = 70\%$; FCM clustering).
3. Results

In the present study, through simulating a cylindrical BCR reactor by the CFD method, different parameters of the fluid are acquired as the CFD outputs parameters. The output parameters consist of the x, y, and z coordinates which denote pressure, air superficial velocity, and air volume fraction, simultaneously. In this study, the CFD outputs were assessed by combining the intelligence optimization algorithm of ant colony and fuzzy inference system (FIS) with.

To use the Ant colony algorithm, part of the CFD outputs were considered as input and the others were considered as output. In this research, five inputs were utilized; the first input was the x coordinate, the second input was the y coordinate and the third was the z coordinate. The
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**Figure 5.** Ant colony algorithm training and testing process with three inputs (number of ant = 20, 30, 40; number of data = 1500; max iteration = 100; P = %70; FCM clustering).

![Figure 6](image2.png)

**Figure 6.** Ant colony algorithm training and testing process with four inputs (number of ant = 20, 30, 40; number of data = 1500; max iteration = 100; P = %70; FCM clustering).
pressure which was one of the traits of the fluid inside the BCR is the fourth input; air superficial velocity another characteristic of the fluid inside BCR is the fifth input, whereas air volume fraction is considered as output. To initiate the learning process by artificial intelligence (ant colony algorithm), the following conditions are assumed:

The maximum iteration is 100, the total data number is 1500, the value of \( \rho \) represents a percentage of the data that has been used in the learning processes and is considered as %70. In the training process, %70 of the data were involved and %100 of the data were evaluated in the training process. The clustering type was assumed as Fuzzy c-means (FCM). With the above-mentioned assumptions, by considering the input of the \( x \) coordinates and the output of the air volume fraction, the training and testing processes were performed separately for 20, 30, and 40 numbers of ants. As presented in Figure 3, the best Regression (R) value is 0.30 for a number of 30 ants which shows that FIS does not have sufficient intelligence in the learning process using the ant colony algorithm, and the change in the number of ants has made no significant enhancement in the FIS intelligence.

**Figure 7.** Ant colony algorithm training and testing process with five inputs when (number of ant = 20; the number of data = 1500; max iteration = 100; \( \rho = \%70; \) FCM clustering).

**Figure 8.** The CFD method nodes used in the ant colony algorithm learning process.
To boost the system intelligence, the number of inputs was increased and evaluated; the x coordinate and y coordinate were considered as inputs, and learning processes were carried out for 20, 30, and 40 ants separately. Figure 4 does not show much enhancement in system intelligence.

To elevate the ant colony algorithm intelligence, the increase in the number of inputs from 2 to 3 was considered and z coordinate was considered as third input and the air volume fractions were considered as output. By conducting separate training and testing procedures for various numbers of ants, no significant changes are observed in intelligence as shown in Figure 5.

In this stage of the study, one of the characteristics of the fluid inside the BCR i.e. pressure was considered as the fourth input. The learning processes (training and testing) for 20, 30, and 40 ants were done separately, but unfortunately, there was still no significant effect on elevating the system intelligence. (See Figure 6)

Afterward, in order to attain favorable system intelligence, another characteristic of the fluid inside BCR i.e. air superficial velocity was considered as the fifth input,

![Figure 9](image)

**Figure 9.** (a) Training process target and prediction (number of ant = 20; number of data = 1500; max iteration = 100; P = %70; FCM clustering). (b) Testing process target and prediction (number of ant = 20; number of data = 1500; max iteration = 100; P = %70; FCM clustering).
and the learning processes were carried out for 20 ants. As presented in Figure 7, the value of R for the training process has increased from about 0.20 to 0.96 and for the testing process, it has increased to 0.95, which indicates a very favorable enhancement in the system intelligence and the achievement of complete intelligence for the system. Using this intelligence, various parts of the BCR can also be predicted. In Figure 8, points of BCR that participated in the learning process are observed that used in the ant colony algorithm learning process.

The combination of artificial intelligence (ant colony algorithm) and the CFD method decreases the required time for calculations by the CFD method, it also leads to avoiding the solving of complex equations by the CFD method; moreover, by exploiting the created intelligence, much more information and result points can be acquired.

A comparison of the CFD output nodes and ACO algorithm prediction nodes demonstrates a very favorable agreement between the CFD results and the ant colony algorithm output (see Figure 9(a,b)). Using this obtained intelligence, nodes that are not present in the learning process can be predicted and this shows the very favorable capacity of the artificial intelligence (ant colony algorithm), which is very advantageous and effective (see Figure 10).

**4. Conclusion**

Current work describes the simulation of the gas fraction based on different bubble column characteristics with an ant colony approach. In particular, the CFD data are considered as training inputs of the ant colony method, and this method predicts the behavior of the bubble column reactor. The simulation of the gas fraction is implemented in a 3D domain of fluid-structure and it is compared with the results of CFD. In the training process, the reactor’s top bottom and middle levels are chosen for computing the BCR hydrodynamics because of the gas holdup behavior at the mentioned levels. The Ant colony method model is an appropriate tool for prediction with almost 30% of data in the learning state. Nevertheless, the tuning parameters of this model significantly enhance the ant colony method’s intelligence. Also, it is possible to train it in a highly short period of time (iteration), which provides a quick learning procedure having very small computational time and efforts. Moreover, as no obstacle of computational time is present, a higher amount of data can be generated in the input domain of data indicating novel reactor conditions with no experimental or numerical outcomes. This new perception of data analysis with artificial ants and local search algorithms is a sophisticated process for post-processing the data as other researchers started with other soft-computing methods. Prediction of the fluid flow around bubbles can be very complicated and estimation of the vortex structure near bubbles requires more training data. This new combination of CFD and AI can provide more tuning parameters in AI prediction of the reactor to achieve accurate prediction results, and enables us to organize data during training and optimization base on the biological overview. For future studies, other biological optimization methods can...
be combined with inference fuzzy system to predict the BCR hydrodynamics. However, the ant colony method can be modified based on different ants such as Tapinoma nigerrimum, Redwood ant and Myrmecia.
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