Association of Food Insecurity and Weight Status of Women in Tuba Island, Malaysia
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Abstract
Global concern is food insecurity and low weight status, contributing to poor health outcomes. Food deficiency happens when people can’t get enough food much of the time; on the other hand, low weight status occurs when people eat more or less than they need. Women are a potentially vulnerable group of people facing weight and food insecurity. Therefore, this research was intended to establish the connection between the status of food insecurity and the weight status of women on Tuba Island. This is a cross-sectional study design, and about 114 women aged 18-45 years have participated in Tuba Island. The Radimer/Cornell hunger and food insecurity questionnaire and anthropometric measurements are the study’s measurement methods. Via a face-to-face interview, this research was carried out. With 64.9 percent and 35.1 percent, respectively, the incidence of food insecurity was higher than food security. The prevalence of low weight status (underweight, overweight and obese) was 71.9%, which was just 28.1% higher than good weight status (normal weight). There was a significant relationship between job status (p=0.019) and household income (p=0.006) in terms of food insecurity; however, there was no significant relationship between food insecurity and weight status (p=0.437). This study showed no correlation between food insecurity and women’s weight status on Tuba Island, although there is an association between job status and household income with food insecurity.
Introduction
Food insecurity is characterised as an economic and social situation at the household level with restricted or uncertain access to adequate food. It has been categorised into two categories: moderate food insecurity and very low food insecurity. When a person encountered food insecurity but not hunger, low food security was considered, which the opposite of very low food insecurity was. Many studies revealed that food insecurity is a complex problem as many people do not have the resources to meet their basic needs, increasing the risk of family food insecurity. A broader look at the extent of food insecurity in 2018, 9.2% of the global populations were suffered from severe food insecurity; meanwhile, 17.2% of them were classified as moderate food insecure. African people recorded a higher prevalence (74.0%) due to a small population in their continent, and it was followed Latin America and Asia with 40.0% and 30.6%, respectively. Northern America and Europe recorded a very low prevalence than the rest, with 9.0% only. Bawadi et al. indicated one over three of the women in northern Jordan, 32.4%, were food-insecure. Abdu et al. showed that 70.4% of women in Assayita District, Afar Regional State, Ethiopia involved in food insecurity. This prevalence was higher when compared to previous studies.

Meanwhile, in Malaysia, the prevalence of food insecurity are commonly high among indigenous population reside in certain districts. For example, 88% were reported in Gombak, Selangor state and 82.9% in Carey Island and Tanjung Sepat, Selangor state. Food insecurity also prevalent in the non-indigenous population in Malaysia, for instance, as seen in few components of food insecurity in the Malaysian Adult Nutrition Survey (MANS) study, such as food quantity insufficiency (25.0%), food variety insufficiency (25.5%), reduced the size of the meal (21.9%) and 15.2% skipped meals due to financial restraints.

Several studies express that demographic characteristics influence an individual's food insecurity, such as household income, employment, education level, physical disability, marital status, etc. The survey also shows that, when changing the socio-demographic characteristics, household food insecurity was reduced. The lack of consistent access to food for a safe life is associated with food insecurity. In terms of calories, food insecurity may also mean someone is getting plenty to eat, but the nutritional content of their food is missing. However, most poor food security individuals might be expected to reduce food intake and reduce body calorie intake, thus overweight. Several studies found that food insecurity is one factor of poor nutrient intake, contributing to the overweight of women. Women with a lack of income also decreased in consumption of high-rich nutrient foods due to high price; meanwhile, they consume high energy-dense food as it is cheapest. These results are likely to be related to overweight and obesity as high energy food was associated with excess energy intake and eventually become overweight. This double-burden malnutrition that was closely associated with food insecurity will affect women at reproductive age who were vulnerable due to increased nutritional demands for pregnancy and lactation. As a result, this will impact their nutritional status and further exploited the nutrition and health status of the offspring. Therefore, by considering filling the gaps in knowledge, this study is aimed to determine the association between food insecurity and weight status among women at reproductive age at Pulau Tuba. The findings of this study may be beneficial in highlighting strategies that should be taken to develop and design proper nutritional interventions for this population.

Literature Review
Food insecurity has become a hot topic in Malaysia, especially during the pandemic of Covid-19. However, there is no definite epidemiological research on the prevalence of food insecurity among the population in Malaysia. Nevertheless, according to Global Food Security Index (GFI), Malaysia reported improved food insecurity status in previous years. It jumped to the position of 28th in 2019 compared to the 48th position in 2018. The truth is Malaysia has achieved an improvement as the previous year, Malaysia reported higher prevalence in this issue. This has been proved when several reports had shown that the prevalence of food insecurity in previous years was high. A strong relationship between food insecurity and Malaysian people has been reported in the literature of Ilhab et al. when 83.9% of 223 mothers in rural area were classified as household food insecurity. They
indicate that food insecurity status is associated with the quality of life and prone to adverse effects on the well-being of individuals in low-income communities. However, they did not mention the ways to counter this higher prevalence at that moment.

Another finding for food insecurity is, 24.9% household food insecurity, 19.5% was individual food insecurity, and 40.8% was child hunger detected among palm-plantation households, consisting of 169 Indian women. Briefly summarised, more than half of respondents (85.2%) of this population suffered from food insecurity, and the possible explanation is that due to inadequate food supply in the household. They stated that appropriate community-based interventions should be designed and implemented to address food insecurity and possible health and nutritional outcomes. This finding follows Shariff & Lin17 when they found food insecurity status among rural households in Sabak Bernam about 58%. It was considered higher as it over the food secure prevalence. These differences could be due to the fixed income of palm-plantation households, which may put them more risk of experiencing food insecurity than rural households. The same goes for this study; they never stated how they improvise the prevalence of food insecurity among this population. Therefore, the hypothesis drawn for the current study was illustrated from the previous literature, which is that food insecurity’s prevalence among women in Tuba Island is higher.

Materials and Methods
This research was performed in a cross-sectional manner. It was carried out on 114 women on Tuba Island, Langkawi, Malaysia. The sampling technique used was the non-probability convenience method. The sample size was determined through the Cochran formula. The prevalence of food insecurity used in this analysis is 54.4 percent, according to Bakar et al.18 With a 95% confidence level and desired precision of 0.1, 95 of the sample size was acquired. 20% is added to increase the study’s response rate, thus the results able to represent the target sample.

In consequence, the total sample size is 114. The respondent was selected by inclusion (women aged 18-45 years old, not pregnant and breastfeeding, and only from Tuba Island) and exclusion (vegetarian, suffer from disease and changed dietary pattern in the past six months) criteria and this study was conducted through a face-to-face interview. This study’s measurement tools are a structured questionnaire that consists of three sections: demographic characteristic, anthropometry measurement, and Radimer/Cornell hunger and food insecurity instrument. Age, marital status, educational level, employment status, household income, number of persons contributing to household income, household size category, and monthly household food expenditure are the eight demographic characteristics established. An anthropometric calculation used by calculating weight and height to assess weight status to calculate the Body Mass Index (BMI). Ten items established the Radimer/Cornell hunger and food insecurity and assessed the status of food insecurity. Based on responses to ten questions, food insecurity was divided into four categories: food safe, household food insecure, person food insecure, and child hunger. It took approximately 20 minutes to complete the interview. The data were analysed using version 26 of the Statistical Kit of Social Science (SPSS). All variables, such as mean, standard deviation, median, frequency and percentage, were first viewed as descriptive statistics. The precise Fisher test was used to show the correlation of demographic characteristics with food insecurity and food insecurity with weight status. This study obtained ethical approval from the Research Ethical Committee UiTM.

Results
In this study, a total of 114 subjects participated. Table 1 illustrates the socio-demographic characteristic, food insecurity status and weight status of respondents. It showed the percentage of food insecurity status (64.9%) was higher than food security (35.1%) as 35.1% of household only reported food security. Meanwhile, with 42.1% of household food insecurity, 7.9% of household food insecurity was person food insecurity, and 14.9% were in child hunger, which is a serious level of food insecurity. The mean+SD of weight, height, and BMI, respectively, were 67.89 + 14.88, 157.39 + 5.53, and 27.36 + 5.55. About 2.6% were recognised as underweight, and followed by 26.3% of respondents were classified as obese. The majority of them were overweight, with 43.0% of the percentage value and
The average of them (28.1%) were normal weight. It can be summarised that most women in Tuba Island were overweight, as evidenced by the higher percentage in BMI classification.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristic, food insecurity status and weight status of respondents

| Characteristics                          | N (%)  | Mean + SD       |
|-----------------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| Age (Years)                             |        | 32.84 + 9.04    |
| 18-31                                   | 51 (44.7%) |                 |
| 32-45                                   | 63 (55.3%) |                 |
| Marital status                          |        |                 |
| Single                                  | 27 (23.7) |                 |
| Married                                 | 81 (71.1) |                 |
| Widowed/divorced                        | 6 (5.3)   |                 |
| Education                               |        |                 |
| No schooling                            | 3 (2.6)    |                 |
| Primary education                       | 37 (32.5) |                 |
| Secondary education                     | 63 (55.3) |                 |
| Tertiary education                      | 11 (9.6)   |                 |
| Employment status                       |        |                 |
| Government/private sector               | 13 (11.4) |                 |
| Self-employed                          | 25 (21.9) |                 |
| Housewife                               | 76 (66.7) |                 |
| Household income                        |        |                 |
| Less than RM908                         | 42 (36.8) |                 |
| RM 909-RM2208                           | 65 (57.0) |                 |
| More than RM2208                        | 7 (6.1)   |                 |
| No of the person contribute to household income | |                 |
| None                                    | 8 (7.0)    |                 |
| Only                                    | 74 (64.9)  |                 |
| More than one                           | 32 (28.1)  |                 |
| Household size category                 |        |                 |
| 5 members or below                      | 81 (71.1) |                 |
| 6-8 members                             | 27 (23.7)  |                 |
| 9 members or above                      | 6 (5.3)    |                 |
| Household food expenditure              |        |                 |
| RM500 and below                         | 69 (60.5)  |                 |
| RM501-RM749.99                          | 36 (31.6)  |                 |
| RM750 and above                         | 9 (7.9)    |                 |
| Food insecurity status                  |        |                 |
| Food secure                             | 40 (35.1)  |                 |
| Household food insecurity               | 48 (42.1)  |                 |
| Individual food insecurity              | 9 (7.9)    |                 |
| Child hunger                            | 17 (14.9)  |                 |
| Weight status                           |        |                 |
| Weight (kg)                             | 67.89 + 14.88 |             |
| Height (cm)                             | 157.39 + 5.53 |            |
| Body Mass Index                         |        |                 |
| 27.36 + 5.55                            |          |                 |
| Body Mass Index Classification (kg/m²)  |        |                 |
Table 2 revealed a correlation between socio-demographic factors and food insecurity. Food insecurity was significantly correlated with working status and household income, with a P-value equal to 0.019 and 0.006, respectively. Table 3 revealed the relationship between respondents' food insecurity and their weight status, with a P-value of 0.437, suggesting no substantial relationship between food insecurity and weight status.

Table 2: Relation between socio-demographic factors and the respondents' food insecurity

| Characteristics       | Food security, N (%) | Food insecurity, N (%) | P-value |
|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Employment status     |                      |                        |         |
| Government/private sector | 9 (69.2)            | 4 (30.8)               | 0.019   |
| Self-employed        | 9 (36.0)             | 16 (64.0)              |         |
| Housewife            | 22 (28.9)            | 54 (71.1)              |         |
| Household income     |                      |                        | 0.006   |
| Less than RM908      | 8 (19.0)             | 34 (81.0)              |         |
| RM908- RM2208        | 27 (41.5)            | 38 (58.5)              |         |
| More than RM2208     | 5 (71.4)             | 2 (28.6)               |         |

*Analysed by Fisher’ Exact Test
*Significant value (P<0.05)

Table 3: Association between food insecurity and weight status of respondents

| Variable               | Food security, n (%) | Food insecurity, n (%) | P-value |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|---------|
| Body Mass Index        |                      |                        |         |
| classification (kg/m²) | 1 (33.3)             | 2 (66.7)               | 0.437   |
| Underweight            |                      |                        |         |
| Normal weight          | 12 (37.5)            | 20 (62.5)              | (< 18.5)|
| Overweight             | (25.0 -29.9)         | 20 (40.8)              | (18.5 – 24.9)|
| Obese                  | (> 30.0)             | 7 (23.3)               | 23 (76.7)|

*Analysed by Fisher’ Exact Test
*Significant value (P<0.05)

Discussion
About 64.9% of food insecurity prevalence found in this study, and it was dominated by household food insecurity. The prevalence of food insecurity was considered lower in this study than recorded in the previous studies. It can be inferred, relative to these previous studies, that the lower prevalence of food insecurity seen in this research may be due to the lower cost of living for this population. This occurs due to collaboration between Ministry of Domestic Trade and Consumer Affairs (MDTCA) and Jawatankuasa Kemajuanan Keselamatan Kampung (JKKK), an incentive called Koperasi Untuk Penduduk Pulau Tuba to help reduce life...
expenditure among this population in 2017. Since most head households are fishermen, they tend to get their food sources instead of making food purchasing. These results may explain why this study found a lower prevalence of food insecurity than previous studies. However, it was contrary to Mohamadpour's findings when they indicated that food insecurity in their study was influenced by child hunger. This difference might occur due to the household category's size as our household category was dominated by almost half of respondents from 5 members and below. Thus, a low percentage of the big household category in this study might contribute to a low percentage of child hunger food insecurity.

Poor weight status is defined by BMI less than 18.5 kg/m² which indicates underweight and BMI more than 25.0 kg/m² for overweight and obesity. The prevalence of poor weight status in this study is high by 71.9 % and was dominated by the overweight category. This accords with earlier observations, which showed that overweight prevalence in most countries exceeds the prevalence of underweight prevalence. Moreover, the present study considers a higher prevalence of poor weight status than Malaysia Non-Communicable Disease Surveillance-1 (NCDS-1) reported by Tan et al. It was supported by the study from He et al. as they indicated that their prevalence lower than the current study. A possible explanation for this might be that respondents in this study lack knowledge regarding energy and nutrient requirements. Thus, a high percentage of overweight and obesity occurs. This is because most of the respondents (87.8%) were classified as secondary and primary education, contributing to this growth. These explanations are directly in line with previous findings that stated that low education level was associated with obesity in women.

Concerning the socio-demographic characteristics of food insecurity status in this present analysis, food insecurity was correlated with employment status and household income. According to our result, almost half of food-insecure respondents (47.4%) were housewife. Thus, it can be assessed that financial issue plays an important role in this relationship. This is because working individual usually have greater food expenditures compared to unemployed. Therefore, unemployed such as housewife tends to low purchasing power which contributes to the insufficient food supply. The previous studies showed that employment affects household food supply as it determines food purchasing; thus, lack of purchasing power will associate with food insecurity status. This study generates results that corroborate other studies' findings in this field in the context of household income. Pei et al. reported insufficient income was a leading factor to food insecurity as it affects poor food purchasing and availability. It also supported Ali Naser et al. They concluded that the association between these variables is a sequential relationship: income, food expenditure, and food variety promote food security. Therefore, the conclusion drawn from the current finding is that household income was associated because most of the respondents were unemployed housewives (73%). Besides, most of their husband or head of household reported as a fisherman. In line with the study from Rhoumah agreed that poverty was related to the fisherman. Thus, this explained how household income affects the food insecurity status in this study.

What stands out in these factors is that they contradicted our assumptions when we discovered that food insecurity is unrelated to weight status. This may be because the current study's sample size is only 114 women. Gad et al. showed this when they concluded that a small sample is unlikely to imply a meaningful relationship between variables, regardless of how large the correlation is. However, taking a large enough sample will show proof of association, irrespective of how slight the effect of that association is. The previous studies of Pei et al. and Dharod et al. were also supported when their respondents were 222 and 195 respondents, respectively, and established a correlation between food insecurity and weight status. Overall, the most prominent findings that can be emerged from the current study is that the prevalence of food insecurity was lower than previous studies, and analysis showed there was no association between food insecurity and weight status. This study also represents the first and latest issue regarding food insecurity among Pulau Tuba residents.
Conclusion

The most influential finding from this study is that food insecurity and weight status were not related. The second major finding was that food insecurity and poor weight status (underweight, overweight, and obesity) were more prevalent in this study than food security and good nutrition (normal weight). This study also identified employment status and household income were significant factors influencing food insecurity. The evidence indicates that the relevant organisation should design programmes that prioritise financial planning and nutrition and food choices education to minimise the prevalence of food insecurity and obesity in this research. The government also requires greater efforts to provide job opportunities for the population of Tuba Island and ensure that each household has sufficient income. Future studies should also concentrate on these points and recognise other potential causes that could lead to food insecurity and low weight status at the same time.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the respondents for their participation and the authorities of Tuba Island, Langkawi, Malaysia for their continuous support in conducting this study.

Funding

This research received funding from the UniversitiTeknologi MARA through the LESTARI SDG TRIANGLE grant number 600-RMC/LESTARI SDG-T 5/3 (180/2019).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. United State Department of Agriculture. Definitions of food security. Retrieved from https://www.ers.usda.gov/topics/food-nutrition-assistance/food-security-in-the-us/definitions-of-food-security.aspx. Accessed date 02 January 2020.
2. Gopichandran V., Claudius P., Baby L.S., Felinda A., Mohan V. R. Household Food Security in Urban Tamil Nadu: A Survey in Vellore. The National Medical Journal of India. 2010;23(5):278-280. Accessed date 04 February 2020
3. Mohamadpour, M., Sharif, Z. M., & Keysami, M. A. Food insecurity, health and nutritional status among sample of palm-plantation households in Malaysia. Journal of health, population, and nutrition. 2012;30(3), 291. Accessed date 04 July 2020.
4. Food and Agriculture Organization. Retrieved from http://www.fao.org/state-of-food-agriculture/2019/en/. Published date 2019. Accessed date 02 January 2020
5. Bawadi H.A., Tayyem R.F., Dwairy A.N., Al-Akour N. Prevalence of Food Insecurity among Women in Northern Jordan. Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition. 2012;30(1):49. Accessed date 02 January 2020
6. Abdu J., Kahssay M., Gebremedhin M. Household Food Insecurity, Underweight Status, and Associated Characteristics among Women of Reproductive Age Group in Assayita District, Afar Regional State, Ethiopia. Journal of Environmental and Public Health. 2018. Accessed date 05 January 2020.
7. Nurfahilin, T.; Norhasmah, S. Factors and coping strategies related to food insecurity and nutritional status among Orang Asli women in Malaysia. Int. J. Public Health Clin. Sci. 2015, 2, 55–66
8. Chong, S.P.; Geetah, A.; Norhasmah, S. Household food insecurity, diet quality, and weight status among indigenous women (Mah Meri) in Peninsular Malaysia. Nutr. Res. Pract. 2018, 12, 135–142. [CrossRef]
9. Institute for Public Health (IPH). National Health and Morbidity Survey 2014: Malaysian Adult Nutrition Survey. Vol. 1: Methodology and General Findings: 108 pages
10. Loopstra R., Tarasuk V. Severity Of Household Food Insecurity Is Sensitive To Change In Household Income And Employment Status Among Low-Income Families. Journal of Nutrition. 2013;143(8):1316-1323. Accessed date 31 August 2020.
11. Leung C.W., Epel E.S., Ritchie L.D., Crawford P.B., Laraia B.A. Food Insecurity is Inversely Associated with Diet Quality of Lower-Income
12. Darmon N., Drewnowski A. Contribution of Food Prices and Diet Cost to Socioeconomic Disparities in Diet Quality and Health: A Systematic Review and Analysis. Nutrition Reviews. 2015;73(10):643-660. Accessed date 05 February 2020.

13. Stelmach-Mardas M., Rodacki T., Dobrowolska-Iwanek J., Brzozowska A., Walkowiak J., Wojtanowska-Krosniak A., Zagrodzki P., Bechthold A., Mardas M., Boeving H. Link between Food Energy Density and Body Weight Changes in Obese Adults. Nutrients. 2016;8(4):229. Accessed date 02 August 2020.

14. Jaacks LM, Slining MM, Popkin BM. Recent underweight and overweight trends by rural-urban residence among women in low- and middle-income countries. J Nutr. 2015 Feb;145(2):352-7. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.203562. Epub 2014 Dec 10. PMID: 25644358; PMCID: PMC6619682.

15. Global Food Security Index (2019). Retrieved from https://foodsecurityindex.eiu.com/index4. Accessed date 04 March 2020.

16. Ilhab, A. N., Rohana, A. J., Manan, W. W., Suriati, W. W., Zalilah, M. S., &Rusli, A. M. Nutritional outcomes related to household food insecurity among mothers in rural Malaysia. Journal of Health, Population, and Nutrition, 2013;31(4), 480. Accessed date 24 August 2020.

17. Shariff, Z. M., & Lin, K. G. Indicators and nutritional outcomes of household food insecurity among a sample of rural Malaysian women. J Nutr. 2004;30, 50-55. Accessed date 21 July 2020.

18. Bakar, W.A.M.A., Ismail S., Sidek S., Rahman R.A. Prevalence And Factors Affecting Food Insecurity among University Students in Pahang, Malaysia. Malaysian Journal of Nutrition. 2019;25(1). Accessed date 02 January 2020.

19. Shariff Z.M., Sulaiman N., Jalil R.A., Yen W.C., Yaw Y.H., MohdTaib M.N., Kandiah M., Lin K.G. Food Insecurity and The Metabolic Syndrome among Women from Low Income Communities in Malaysia. Asia Pacific Journal of Clinical Nutrition. 2014;23(1):138-47. Accessed date 22 July 2020.

20. Black R.E., Victora C.G., Walker S.P., Bhutta Z.A., Christian P., De Onis M., Ezzati M., Grantham-McGregor S., Katz J., Martorell R., Uauy R. Maternal and Child Undernutrition and Overweight in Low-Income and Middle-Income Countries. The Lancet. 2013;382(9890):427-451. Accessed date 01 January 2020.

21. Tan A.K., Yen S.T., Feisul M.I. Determinants of Body Weight Status in Malaysia: An Ethnic Comparison. International Journal of Public Health. 2012;57(2); 279-288. Accessed date 05 September 2020.

22. He Y., Pan A., Yang Y., Wang Y., Xu J., Zhang Y., Liu D., Wang Q., Shen H., Zhang Y., Yan D. Prevalence of Underweight, Overweight, And Obesity Among Reproductive-Age Women And Adolescent Girls In Rural China. American Journal Of Public Health. 2016;106(12):2103-2110. Accessed date 04 March 2020.

23. Dachner N., Ricciuto L., Kirkpatrick S.I., Tarasuk V. Food Purchasing and Food Insecurity: Among Low-Income Families in Toronto. Canadian Journal of Dietetic Practice and Research. 2010;71(3):e50-e56. Accessed date 02 February 2020.

24. Ali Naser I., Jalil R., Wan Muda W.M., Wan Nik W.S., MohdShariff Z., Abdullah M.R. Association between Household Food Insecurity and Nutritional Outcomes among Children in Northeastern of Peninsular Malaysia. Nutrition Research and Practice. 2014;8(3):304-311. Accessed date 05 January 2020.

25. Rhooumah A.M.O. Determinants of Factors That Affect Poverty among Coastal Fishermen Community in Malaysia. Journal of Economics and Finance. 2016;7(3):9-13. Accessed date 27 July 2020.

26. Gad S.C., Rousseaux C.G. Use and Misuse of Statistics in The Design and Interpretation of Studies. In Handbook Of Toxicologic Pathology. 2002 Jan 1 (pp. 327-418). Academic Press. Accessed data 13 November 2020.
(Mah Meri) in Peninsular Malaysia. *Nutrition Research and Practice*. 2018;12(2):135-142. Accessed date 21 July 2020.

28. Dharod J.M., Croom J.E., Sady C.G. Food Insecurity: Its Relationship to Dietary Intake and Body Weight among Somali Refugee Women in The United States. *Journal of Nutrition Education and Behavior*. 2013;45(1):47-53. Accessed date 02 May 2020.