Precision synthesis of reducing-end thiol-modified cellulose enabled by enzyme selection
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Abstract
Enzyme-catalyzed iterative β-1,4-glycosylation of β-glycosides is promising for bottom-up polymerization of reducing-end-modified cello-oligosaccharide chains. Self-assembly of the chains from solution yields crystalline nanocellulose materials with properties that are tunable by the glycoside group used. Cellulose chains with a reducing-end thiol group are of interest to install a controllable pattern of site-selective modifications into the nanocellulose material. Selection of the polymerizing enzyme (celldextrin phosphorylase; CdP) was pursued here to enhance the synthetic precision of β-1-thio-glucose conversion to generate pure “1-thio-cellulose” (≥95%) unencumbered by plain (unlabeled) cellulose resulting from enzymatic side reactions. The CdP from Clostridium stercorarium (CsCdP) was 21 times more active on β-1-thio-glucose (0.17 U/mg; 45 °C) than the CdP from Clostridium cellulosi (CcCdP), and it lacked hydrolase activity, which is substantial in CcCdP, against the α-D-glucose 1-phosphate donor substrate. The combination of these enzyme properties indicated that CsCdP is a practical catalyst for 1-thio-cellulose synthesis directly from β-1-thio-glucose (8 h; 25 mol% yield) that does not require a second enzyme (cellobiose phosphorylase), which was essential when using the less selective CcCdP. The 1-thio-cellulose chains had an average degree of polymerization of ~10 and were assembled into highly crystalline cellulose II crystallinity material.

Introduction
Cellulose is an abundant and eco-friendly natural polymer and represents an extremely versatile material. In particular, nanosized cellulosics (“nanocelluloses”) have attracted significant interest for material use and offer a unique combination of material properties, including high surface area, high porosity, suitable pore interconnectivity, and high mechanical flexibility [1–3]. They also show good biocompatibility in general, which makes them promising for medical engineering [4, 5] and tissue engineering applications [6, 7]. Chemical derivatization is frequently used to diversify the structural properties of nanocelluloses, with the aim of expanding the scope of their applications. However, sustainable production of nanocellulose from natural celluloses represents an important issue [8, 9]. Moreover, the preparation of chemically derivatized nanocelluloses that incorporate a well-defined pattern of functional groups remains a considerable challenge for material synthesis. In addition to the poor solubility of cellulose in common solvents, chemical derivatizations usually present difficult control of selectivity (e.g., site control) [10, 11]. Therefore, precise synthesis methods that enable the facile preparation of tailor-made nanocelluloses with tunable functional properties would be highly desirable in cellulose polymer chemistry. The classical top-down approach in two steps, namely, the release of nanocelluloses from natural cellulotic material and their subsequent chemical derivatization [12], encounters limitations, particularly in the last step, for achieving precise functionalization.

Bottom-up synthesis of derivatized cellulose chains has been explored as an alternative for overcoming these limitations to some degree. The bottom-up approach involves a reversed order of events wherein chemical functionalization...
occur before cellulose chain polymerization [13, 14]. Thus, higher synthesis precision (e.g., degree of polymerization (DP) or modification control) is offered compared with typical top-down processing. Both chemical and enzymatic routes are known for the bottom-up synthesis of cellulose [13–16]. Despite progress in chemistry [17], a polymerization reaction that exploits the activity enhancement and selectivity control of an enzyme is highly desirable [13]. To date, several classes of enzymes, including cellulase synthase, cellulase, glycosynthase, and glycoside phosphorylase, have been used for the synthesis of cellulose materials [14, 18]. A variety of cellulose materials built from β-1,4-polyglucose chains with a DP of up to 200 were produced through iterative glycosylation of cellulobiose [19, 20], glucose [21, 22], or derivatives thereof [23–25]. The introduced chemical modifications were mostly at the “reducing end”, with exceptions noted [25]. Reducing-end modifications result from glycosides being glycosylated [23–25]. These bottom-up synthetic approaches are highly flexible in general and open the door to the synthesis of tailor-made nanocelluloses, thus bringing the possibility to regulate the functionality of cellulose materials.

Thiol is a chemically reactive group that has attracted considerable attention for the functionalization of polymeric surfaces, including cellulose [26]. A family of thiol-based chemistries, such as thiol-ene [27], thiol-yne [28], thiolisocyanate [29], and thiol-halo reactions [30], are excellent synthetic tools to introduce novel functions into cellulose materials. By virtue of the high surface area offered, “1-thio-cellulose” materials would be excellent candidates for templating metal nanoparticles [32, 33]. Despite the application potential, the synthesis of thiol-modified cellulosics has not been well explored, particularly using enzymatic routes of preparation. In our recent study, a bottom-up synthesis of reducing-end thiol-modified cellulose was shown [32]. A two-phosphorylase cascade reaction was used that involved cellulobiose phosphorylase (CbP, EC 2.4.1.20) and cellobextrin phosphorylase (CdP, EC 2.4.1.49). Both enzymes (CbP from Cellulomonas uda, CuCbP; CdP from Clostridium cellulosi, CcCdP) employ α-D-glucose 1-phosphate (αGlc1-P) as the donor substrate. The overall iterative β-1,4-glycosylation starts from 1-thio-β-D-glucose as the “primer” substrate (Scheme 1a), and 1-thio-cellodextrin oligosaccharides of DP between 6 and 11 are released in the process. Self-assembly of the oligosaccharides produces a material with a nanosheet-like morphology and high cellulose II crystallinity. Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) data show that the synthesized 1-thio-cellulose contained ~40% unlabeled material. Given the high purity of the 1-thio-β-D-glucose precursor used, plain cellulose chains are formed as a consequence of side reactions catalyzed by the enzymes. In particular, CuCdP shows weak hydrolase activity on αGlc1-P, and the released glucose is used to produce plain cellulose chains by CuCbP and CcCdP working in combination (Supplementary Fig. S1). The relative content of 1-thio-cellulose chains in the modified cellulose may be difficult to control, especially under conditions when the activities of CuCbP and CcCdP are varied for optimization of the synthesis. Considering restrictions on the applicability of 1-thio-cellulose arising from contamination with unlabeled material, we explored enzyme selection to enhance the synthetic precision. The CdP from C. stercorarium (CcCdP) was identified to have superior kinetic properties to CcCdP. We showed that CcCdP can be used for the synthesis of pure 1-thio-cellulose (≥95%) directly from 1-thio-β-D-glucose in a single-enzyme reaction without the requirement of additional CuCbP. The resulting 1-thio-cellulose was characterized chemically (NMR and matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS)) and as a solid material (X-ray diffraction (XRD) and infrared spectroscopy). The bottom-up synthesized, pure 1-thio-cellulose can facilitate further functional diversification of nanocellulose materials.

**Experimental procedures**

**Materials**

The materials used were of reagent grade and obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (Vienna, Austria) or Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany).
Enzymes

CuCbP (GenBank ID, AAQ20920.1) and CcCdP (GenBank ID, CDZ24361.1) were obtained by previously reported methods [19]. CcCdP (GenBank ID, U60580.1) was expressed in *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3) using a plasmid vector (pET-21b(+)) harboring the codon-optimized gene (GenScript Biotech Corp., N.J., U.S.) under the control of a T7 promoter (Supplementary Fig. S2). Enzyme expression in *E. coli* strains was induced by isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (CuCbP: 0.1 mM; CcCdP: 0.05 mM; CcCdP: 0.25 mM) at 18 °C overnight. Afterward, enzymes were purified using a prepacked (1.6 cm × 2.5 cm; 5 mL) HisTrap FF crude column (GE Healthcare Europe, Vienna, Austria) on an AKTA prime plus system (GE Healthcare Europe). The His-tagged proteins were eluted with imidazole (0.01–0.30 M). The purified proteins were desalted using MES buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) with Vivaspin Turbo 50 kDa cutoff tubes (Sartorius Stedim, Vienna, Austria). Note that additional heat treatment at 65–70 °C for 15–30 min was necessary to obtain highly purified CcCdP. The protein concentration was measured using a ROTI QUANT assay (Carl Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) referenced against bovine serum albumin.

Enzyme activity assay

Enzyme activities were determined by previously reported methods [19]. Briefly, the activities of phosphorylase were determined at 45 °C in the direction of synthesis. A 50 mM MES buffer (pH 7.0) that contained the substrates 50 mM glucose (CuCbP) or 50 mM celllobiose (CcCdP and CcCdP) and 50 mM αGlc1-P was used. The released phosphate was measured by colorimetric detection [34]. In addition, the activity with the acceptor 1-thio-β-D-glucose (50 mM) was determined for each enzyme. One unit (U) of activity is equivalent to the enzyme amount that produces 1 μmol phosphate/min under the conditions employed.

Bottom-up synthesis of 1-thio-cellulose

Synthesis reactions were carried out at 45 °C and 300 rpm agitation through incubation in plastic tubes (0.5 mL volume) on a ThermoMixer C (Eppendorf, Vienna, Austria). Reaction solutions were produced in MES buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) containing donor αGlc1-P (100 mM) and acceptor 1-thio-β-D-glucose (10 mM). The enzymes used were CcCdP (0.1 mg/mL), CcCdP (0.08 mg/mL) and CuCbP (0.06 mg/mL), or CcCdP (0.08 mg/mL) and CuCbP (0.06 mg/mL). The enzymes were used to give reasonably similar volumetric activities for reaction with 1-thio-β-D-glucose: CcCdP, 0.02 U/mL; CuCbP, 0.04 U/mL. The reaction time varied between 8 and 12 h depending on the conditions used. The blank of each reaction used identical conditions but lacked the substrate 1-thio-β-D-glucose.

All synthesis reactions yielded insoluble material as a white precipitate. The insoluble material was centrifuged off (15,000 rpm, 5 min) and washed three times with distilled water. Approximately 0.5 g wet material was lyophilized for further analysis.

Cellulose material characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was performed for the lyophilized cellulose using a D8 Advance powder diffractometer (Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany). The instrument with Bragg-Brentano geometry was run using a Bruker LYNXEYE SuperSpeed Detector at room temperature. The instrument was operated at 40 kV and 40 mA using Cu-Kα radiation (λ = 0.15418 nm). Diffraction angles were measured from 5° to 60° 2θ, with a step size of 0.02° 2θ and 5 s per step.

Attenuated total reflection-Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectrometry was performed to determine the absorption spectra of cellulose materials using a Bruker ALPHA FTIR spectrometer (Bruker Optik, Ettlingen, Germany) and an ATR accessory with a diamond window. The cellulose suspension (~2 mg/mL, in water) was air dried on the sample holder before measurement. The spectra were recorded at room temperature in a range of 4125–375 cm⁻¹ at a spectral resolution of 2 cm⁻¹ and 128 scans.

Proton nuclear magnetic resonance (1H NMR) was performed, and the spectra of lyophilized cellulose or 1-thio-β-D-glucose dissolved in 4% (w/v) NaOD-D₂O (~10 mg/mL) were recorded on a Varian Inova-500 NMR spectrometer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, U.S.) at 30 °C using VNMRJ 2.2D software. The 1H NMR spectra were measured at 499.98 MHz on a 5 mm indirect detection PFG-probe. The chemical shift was recorded relative to D₂O (δH 4.8). Spectral data were analyzed by MestReNova (https://mestrelab.com).

Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) measurements were performed on a Bruker Autoflex Speed instrument (Bruker Daltonics, Billerica, MA, U.S.) using FlexControl 3.4 software. The cellulose suspension (~4 mg/mL) was vacuum dried on a polished steel plate before the addition of matrix (0.5 μL of 2% (w/v) 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid in 30% (v/v) acetonitrile) and crystallization again under vacuum. The MS spectra were recorded in the range of 700–3000 m/z under reflector mode with the detector voltage set at 2217 V and processed by using Bruker FlexAnalysis 3.3.80 software (https://www.bruker.com).
Results and discussion

Enzyme selection for synthesis of 1-thio-cellulose

The synthesis of 1-thio-cellulose from 1-thio-β-D-glucose via a cascade reaction of CuCbP and CcCdP involves ~40% plain cellulose, which is formed as a byproduct [32]. Glucose is required to build plain cellulose, and the αGlc1-P donor substrate is its source. The CcCdP used shows low hydrolase activity against αGlc1-P (20.7 ± 0.4 mU/mg). CuCbP forms cellobiose from the substrate glucose (32.1 U/mg), which shows a 51-fold increase in enzymatic production compared with 1-thio-β-D-glucose (0.63 U/mg). Cellobiose is an excellent substrate of CcCdP (13.3 U/mg), while 1-thio-β-D-glucose (0.008 U/mg) is not. The β-1,4-disaccharide of 1-thio-β-D-glucose may be a better substrate than 1-thio-β-D-glucose, although it is unlikely to be used by CcCdP at a similar activity level as cellobiose. Therefore, limited synthesis precision of the enzymatic polymerization from 1-thio-β-D-glucose arises from “hydrolytic errors” made by CcCdP in the utilization of αGlc1-P [32]. Considering the clear benefit for 1-thio-cellulose synthesis from the availability of a high-fidelity CdP, we searched the sequence database and the relevant literature reports for alternative candidate enzymes from this class.

Sequence-based categorization of biochemically characterized CdPs in the form of a phylogenetic tree (Fig. 1a) shows that the CdP from C. thermocellum (CsCdP; GenBank ID, BAB71818) is quite similar (75% sequence identity) to the CcCdP used in our earlier study [32]. CsCdP has been reported by several groups for the synthesis of cello-oligosaccharides and cellulose [21, 22, 35]. Considering that similarity in the sequence often translates into similarity in the biochemical properties, we focused on enzymes more distant from CsCdP than CtCdP. Among the candidates, CdP from C. stercorarium (CsCdP) was promising, particularly because it shares only 23% sequence identity with CcCdP and is permissive with respect to the acceptor substrate used. β-D-Glucosides with aromatic (e.g., 4-nitrophenol) and aliphatic aglycones (e.g., hexanol) are iteratively glycosylated from αGlc1-P to form the corresponding glycosidic cello-oligosaccharides [36]. The CdPs from Thermosipho africanus TCF52B (TaCdP; GenBank ID, ACJ76363.1) and Ruminococcus albus (RaCdP; GenBank ID, ADU22883.1) are also distantly related to CcCdP (Fig. 1a). However, a biochemical characterization of these enzymes [37, 38] did not show activity with a glycoside acceptor. Thus, CsCdP was the preferred choice for further study.

Characterization of CsCdP

Recombinant production of CsCdP in E. coli was previously reported [36], although a few points are worth noting here. The native CsCdP gene (GenBank ID, U60580.1) was expressed at a rather low level when the plasmid vector pTrc99A was used in E. coli XL10 Gold [36]. Using codon optimization, the gene expression in E. coli BL21(DE3) with plasmid vector pET-21b(+) was enhanced by a large amount, although most of the produced CsCdP (~85%) was found in inclusion bodies. The tendency of CsCdP to become insoluble was retained under the various conditions of expression examined (Supplementary Fig. S3). An analysis of the cell extract by SDS polyacrylamide was performed to estimate the maximum
The presence of the Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble Cs production yield of soluble CbP of CsCdP, which was 8 mg/L bacterial culture. CsCdP is equipped with a His tag at its N-terminus. Supplementary Fig. S2 shows the full amino acid sequence of the enzyme used. Purification led to enrichment of CsCdP in the enzyme preparation, although contaminating proteins remained in substantial amounts (Fig. 1b). Considering that CsCdP is heat resistant (half-life at 60 °C: ~30 h [39]), we used temperature-induced denaturation of the less thermostable E. coli proteins as a means of further purification of CsCdP (Fig. 1b). The yield of purified CsCdP was ~6 mg/L of culture. CsCdP did not lose activity during the heat treatment, suggesting that the purified enzyme should be of good quality despite the thermal stress used. The specific activity of the purified CsCdP for the reaction with cellulose and αGlc1-P (5.1 U/mg) was comparable with that from an earlier study of the enzyme (9.2 U/mg; [39]). This result is also reasonably in line with the specific activities of other CdPs assayed under relevantly comparable conditions (CcCdP: 13.3 U/mg [19]; CtCdP: 5.5 U/mg [40]; RaCdP: 20.4 U/mg [38]).

Figure 2 compares the relevant specific activities of CsCdP with those of CcCdP and CuCbP. Although CsCdP was 2.6-fold less active than CcCdP on cellulose, it retained considerably higher activities than CcCdP on glucose (11%; 8-fold) and 1-thio-β-d-glucose (3.4%; 21-fold) relative to cellulose. The specific activity of CsCdP with 1-thio-β-d-glucose thus surpassed that of CcCdP by 21-fold. The presence of the β-thio group diminished the activity of CsCdP (3.35-fold) less than that of CcCdP (8.8-fold) compared to the α/β-hydroxy group in d-glucoside/d-glucose acceptors. The activity of CsCdP with 1-thio-β-d-glucose was reasonably comparable (3.7-fold lower) to that of CuCbP.

Most importantly, CsCdP showed hydrolase activity toward αGlc1-P that was approximately 11-fold lower than that of CcCdP. We confirmed the result of our earlier paper that CuCbP was inactive toward hydrolyzing αGlc1-P within the limits of detection [32]. Comparing the transfer activity with 1-thio-β-d-glucose to the hydrolyase activity with αGlc1-P, CsCdP involved a reaction selectivity of 85 (= 0.17/0.002), which was 224-fold greater than that of CcCdP (0.38 = 0.008/0.021). The use of CdP enzyme selection for a direct (i.e., single-enzyme-catalyzed) iterative β-1,4-glycosylation of 1-thio-β-d-glucose with very high product selectivity was strongly supported by these results. The activity data (Fig. 2) imply that the enzyme cascade with CuCbP can provide only a modest benefit for the conversion of 1-thio-β-d-glucose. A simpler reaction with only a single enzyme (CsCdP) might suffice (Scheme 1b). The transfer/hydrolase selectivity of CsCdP suggests that the formation of plain cellulose should be minimal in the synthesis of cello-oligosaccharides from 1-thio-β-d-glucose. Differences between CsCdP and CcCdP in reactivity might be due to structural changes in the acceptor binding pocket, namely, the +2 subsite, which binds the reducing-end glucose residue of cellulose, as discussed in other papers [36, 41, 42].

**Enzymatic synthesis of 1-thio-cellulose**

Cellulose synthesis was performed using 100 mM αGlc1-P and 10 mM 1-thio-β-d-glucose. The concentrations of donor and acceptor substrates were inspired by earlier work [32], in which insoluble product formation was favored under these conditions. Note that the glucosyl units transferred from the αGlc1-P substrate are distributed between soluble and insoluble oligosaccharide products. A high donor/acceptor ratio shifts the distribution toward the desired insoluble material. The reactions of CsCdP alone or in
combination with CuCbP were compared to a reference reaction of CcCdP and CuCbP.

As shown in Fig. 3, all reactions involved a whitish precipitate formed with time. Importantly, the blank reaction in the absence of 1-thio-β-D-glucose did not show the precipitate or only showed a slight amount when CsCdP was used with or without CuCbP. In contrast, the blank reaction of the reference produced a substantial amount of precipitate. This finding was consistent with the expectation and supported by the activity data in Fig. 2, which showed that the hydrolysis of αGlc1-P catalyzed by CcCdP promotes cellulose formation via glucose release (Supplementary Fig. S1). These results also imply the formation of 1-thio-cellulose in higher purity when CsCdP is used instead of CcCdP.

The αGlc1-P conversion in the synthesis reactions was measured from the released phosphate. Reactions of CsCdP proceeded at a similar rate when CuCbP was absent or present. The conversion yield reached ~25 mol% after 8 h, which leaves room for optimization. The equilibrium for polymerization from αGlc1-P is complex when an insoluble product is formed. Generally, from the reaction in solution analyzed in detail for CcCdP, one would expect that αGlc1-P conversion of ~60 mol% should be thermodynamically possible. By comparison, the conversion yield of the CuCbP-CcCdP reference reaction was ~41 mol%.

Fig. 3 Photographic images showing the reaction mixture of the single enzyme (CsCdP) and coupled enzyme (CbP–CdP) reactions. Synthesis reactions containing 100 mM αGlc1-P and 10 mM 1-thio-β-D-glucose in MES buffer (50 mM, pH 7.0) were carried out at 45 °C for 8 h (CcCdP reaction) and 12 h (CbP–CdP reaction). Blank reactions were performed using otherwise exactly identical conditions but lacking 1-thio-β-D-glucose.

Structural characterization of the thio-cello-oligosaccharide chains

The insoluble product of enzymatic synthesis was analyzed by MALDI-TOF-MS. As shown in Fig. 4, the mass spectra showed a series of peaks ([(M + Na)+] with peak-to-peak mass differences of 162 Da, which is consistent with the mass of a single glucosyl unit in cellulose chains [35, 43]. The product from the CuCbP–CcCdP reference reaction exhibited two main groups of peaks assigned to the cello-oligosaccharides in the DP range 6–11, and they contained and lacked a single thiol group (Fig. 4a). The product from the CsCdP reaction without CuCbP (Fig. 4b) or with CuCbP (Fig. 4c) showed peak clusters assigned to 1-thio-cellulose chains of DP of 7–17 at an estimated purity of ~95%. Although plain cello-oligosaccharides were detectable (Fig. 4), their abundance was low (<5% of total product; single CsCdP reaction). The central idea of this study, that a purer 1-thio-cellulose product can be synthesized by selection of a CdP more suitable than CcCdP, was confirmed by this evidence. Of note, the peak cluster at each DP, with masses differing in \( m/z \) by +16/32/48/64 units from the corresponding plain cellulose chain (*), was assigned to different oxidation states of the 1-thio-cellulose chain. As shown elsewhere for the general case of thiol groups in polymers [44], the original −SH group of the cellulose chain can be oxidized to −SO\(_2\)H under the conditions of the MS analysis, with \( x \) varying between 1 and 3. The average polymer DP from the reaction with CsCdP (DP\(_{av} = 9.7\)) differed from that of the reference reaction (DP\(_{av} = 8.1\)). The longest chain detectable with the MALDI-TOF-MS analysis was larger for the CsCdP reactions (DP\(_{av} = 17\)) compared to the reference reaction (DP\(_{max} = 11\)). The cellulose synthesized by CsCdP exhibited a broader distribution of oligosaccharide DP than the cellulose synthesized by CcCdP (Fig. 4). The difference in the DP distribution of the produced cellulose chains likely reflects the individual specificities of the CdP enzymes used. Kinetic parameters (e.g., maximum rate constant) for each step of glycosyl transfer in the iterative process of polymerization can depend on the DP of the nascent cello-oligosaccharide, which was shown in a recent study of cello-oligosaccharide synthesis by CrCdP [45]. The glycosylation rate dropped substantially (~3-fold) once the acceptor DP reached a value of 6, thus suggesting an explanation for the particular DP distribution observed. A reaction time course analysis is not available for CsCdP. However, the shift of DP distribution to higher DP\(_{av}\) and DP\(_{max}\) compared to CcCdP would imply that CsCdP exhibits a relatively smaller dependence of its activity on the acceptor substrate DP in the relevant DP range from 7 to 17. Previous studies of CdP-catalyzed synthesis of cello-oligosaccharides show that reaction conditions (e.g., molar
ratio of donor and acceptor substrate; overall polymerization rate) also affect the DP distribution in the insoluble product. The reaction of CsCdP led to a lower conversion of the αGlc1-P substrate (~25 mol%) than the reference reaction (~40 mol%). The substrate conversion might affect the DP distribution in the insoluble product indirectly via its effect on the concentration and aggregation rate of the growing cello-oligosaccharides in solution [46]. However, an analysis of the CcCdP reaction with cellobiose as the substrate (10 mM; 150 mM αGlc1-P) also gave a DPmax of 11 (data not shown). This result supported the idea that the DP distribution was mainly due to the enzyme used, i.e., CcCdP or CsCdP. The importance of the choice of CdP enzyme was thus supported.

The synthesized celluloses were further characterized by 1H-NMR in solution. Solid material dissolved in 4% (w/w) NaOD-D2O was analyzed. The spectra showed signals assigned to the repeating β-glucosyl units of cellulose (Fig. 5) [47]. The dominant doublet at approximately δH 4.30 was assigned to the internal β-1,4 glycosidic linkages (H1) in cellulose [21, 46]. By reference to the acceptor (1-thio-β-d-glucose), the doublet signal at δH 4.35 (J-coupling constant of 8.9 Hz) was ascribed to the anomeric proton (H1') of the terminal β-1-thio-glucose residue in the 1-thio-cellulose chain. Here, we noticed that the signals at δH 5.1 and 4.5, which are assigned to the α- and β-anomeric protons on the reducing end of plain cellulose [19, 21, 22], were only detected in the spectra of the product from the CuCbP-CcCdP reference reaction (Fig. 5b). No unassigned signals were present in the full 1H-NMR spectra of the product from the CsCdP reaction (Fig. 5a). This result was consistent with the evidence from the mass analysis, thus indicating a highly pure 1-thio-cellulose obtained from the CsCdP reaction. Moreover, based on the signal intensity of the anomeric protons at internal sites (δH 4.30) and at the terminal residue (δH 4.35), a value of 10.3 was determined for DPav in the material from the CsCdP reaction, which is comparable to the value (DPav = 9.7) determined by MALDI-TOF-MS, thus indicating the validity of both analyses. Overall, the results shown confirm the expected chemical structure of the reducing-end thiol-modified cello-oligosaccharides and further support the idea of using CsCdP for precision synthesis of 1-thio-cellulose material.

**Material characterization of the as-synthesized 1-thio-cellulose**

XRD and ATR-FTIR were used, and the cellulose from the single-enzyme reaction of CsCdP was analyzed and compared to the sample from the CuCbP-CcCdP reference reaction. Figure 6 shows that the spectral properties of the two celluloses were similar. The XRD patterns of both
samples exhibited diffraction peaks (2θ at 12.3°, 20.0°, and 22.1°) that were assigned to the 11̅0, 110 and 020 faces of crystalline cellulose II (Fig. 6a), respectively [48]. The sharp XRD peaks suggest a highly crystalline material. In addition, the ATR-FTIR spectra of both samples showed prominent absorbance bands at 3441 and 3490 cm⁻¹ (Fig. 6b). The two bands are characteristic of the OH-stretching intramolecular hydrogen bonds present in crystalline cellulose II [49, 50]. Overall, therefore, these results indicate self-assembly of the pure 1-thio-cello-oligosaccharides into crystalline cellulose of allomorph II crystal structure. The absence of plain cello-oligosaccharide chains in the synthetic reaction of CsCdP apparently does not affect the recorded characteristics of the material structure in the cellulose obtained. The change in DP distribution in the CsCdP reaction compared to the reference reaction also seems to have no influence on the material properties analyzed. Organization of the synthetic oligomer chains into crystalline material appears to be independent of the Cdp enzyme used, which is consistent with the idea of a spontaneous process based on self-assembly-driven chain association. Considering the antiparallel arrangement of the oligosaccharide chains in cellulose II, earlier studies [14, 32] seem to suggest that the functional thiol groups are regularly distributed on the two base planes (surfaces) of the 1-thio-cellulose material. Taken together, the current study demonstrates a highly crystalline material (cellulose II allomorph) composed of pure 1-thio-cellulose chains with an average DP of ~10. Identification of CsCdP as a specific “cello-oligosaccharide polymerase” was essential to achieve precision synthesis of the material. We expect that access to well-defined 1-thio-cellulose can facilitate further functional diversification of nanocellulose materials in the future.

**Fig. 6** Structural characterization of the synthetic 1-thio-cellulose: **a** XRD patterns and **b** ATR-FTIR spectra. In each panel, the materials synthesized from the CsCdP reaction and the CuCbP-CcCdP reaction are indicated as (i) and (ii), respectively.

**Conclusions**

CsCdP was identified as a catalyst for the preparation of pure 1-thio-cellulose (≥95%). CsCdP differs from CcCdP in two important respects. The lower activity of CsCdP for hydrolyzing αGlc1-P (~11-fold) is essential for high synthetic precision, while the higher activity of CsCdP for the reaction with 1-thio-β-d-glucose (~21-fold) obviates the need for additional CbP and enables a practical reaction using only a single enzyme. The CsCdP reaction provides highly crystalline 1-thio-cellulose of allomorph II crystal structure involving oligosaccharides with DPₘᵋ of ~10. The incorporation of thiol groups into crystalline cellulose in a topochemically defined fashion may be used for further functional diversification of nanocellulose materials.
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