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Abstract The objective of this research was the evaluation of the effects of exogenous added surfactants on hydrocarbon biodegradation and on cell surface properties. Crude oil hydrocarbons are often difficult to remove from the environment because of their insolubility in water. The addition of surfactants enhances the removal of hydrocarbons by raising the solubility of these compounds. These surfactants cause them to become more vulnerable to degradation, thereby facilitating transportation across the cell membrane. The obtained results showed that the microorganism consortia of bacteria are useful biological agents within environmental bioremediation. The most effective amongst all, as regards biodegradation, were the consortia of *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. strains. The results indicated that the natural surfactants (rhamnolipides and saponins) are more effective surfactants in hydrocarbon biodegradation as compared to Triton X-100. The addition of natural surfactants enhanced the removal of hydrocarbon and diesel oil from the environment. Very promising was the use of saponins as a surfactant in hydrocarbon biodegradation. This surfactant significantly increases the organic compound biodegradation. In the case of those surfactants that could be easily adsorbed on cells of strains (e.g., rhamnolipides), a change of hydrophobicity to ca. 30–40% was noted. As the final result, an increase in hydrocarbon biodegradation was observed.

Keywords Biodegradation · Hydrocarbon, Hydrophobicity, *Pseudomonas* · Rhamnolipides · Saponins

1 Introduction

The ability to degrade a wide range of xenobiotics by a variety of microorganisms is commonly exploited with bioremediation techniques. Microbes, such as bacteria and yeast, have the ability to metabolize oil-related compounds and therefore are of great importance and also carry with it great expectations regarding the restoration of the natural environment (Atlas 1981; Busscher et al. 1995; Ijah 1998). The optimal biodegradation conditions enable microorganisms to eliminate pollutants quickly. The addition of a surface-active compound as an exogenous factor is a crucial step in improving the bioavailability of pollutants and, therefore, could be degraded more efficiently. Busscher et al. (1995) suggested that mutual electrostatic interactions may play a crucial role in the adhesion of microorganisms to hydrocarbon droplets occurring within the water environment. Surfactants can alter
the features of the outer layers of a cell, known as changes of cell surface hydrophobicity (CSH). Such changes can have a serious impact on the biodegradation processes (Leung et al. 1997; Okerentugba and Ezeronye 2003; Kaczero et al. 2008). Such modification can simply be due to the adsorption of surfactants on the cell surface, but it is also due to changes in the membrane composition as an effect of surfactant-induced changes. It is also known that some surfactants, due to their toxicity, are able to decrease biodegradation (Volkering et al. 1998). However, surfactants can improve hydrocarbon biodegradation by increasing their solubility in water, promoting emulsification and changing cell surface properties. In the literature, three uptake modes of hydrophobic, poorly soluble hydrocarbon are considered (Gosiami and Singh 1991; Haferburg et al. 1986; Singer and Finnerty 1984). The first is the interfacial accession, which means the direct contact of microorganism cells with hydrophobic hydrocarbon droplets. The second mode is biosurfactant-mediated hydrocarbon uptake, and the third uptake of hydrocarbons dissolved in the aqueous phase.

The aim of the research was to compare the influence of three different surfactants of different quantities on the hydrophobicity of microorganism cells and to the efficiency of hydrocarbon biodegradation. Cell hydrophobicity was determined by using microbial adhesion to the hydrocarbon method (MATH test) described by Rosenberg et al. (1980). Rhamnolipides, saponins, and Triton X-100 were chosen as surfactants for the experiments. Our research also focused on the use of bacterial consortia in the bioremediation process. A model mixture of dodecane and hexadecane, as well as diesel oil, was the cause of biodegradation.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Microorganisms and Growth Conditions

Bacterial strains were isolated, by selective enrichment, from soils polluted by crude oil. Samples contaminated were collected from sites in the Polish Carpathian Mountains. The bacteria strains Pseudomonas fluorescens (P1) and Pseudomonas putida K1 were used in the experiments. Strains were identified by biochemical tests and by molecular technique (16S ribosomal DNA). The two bacterial consortia were also prepared: B1 (P. fluorescens P1, P. putida K1, and Pseudomonas spp. strains) and B2 (P. fluorescens P1, P. putida K1, Pseudomonas spp., and Bacillus spp. strains). Culture medium used throughout these studies consisted of the following (in grams per liter): Na2HPO4·2H2O, 7.0; KH2PO4, 2.8; NaCl, 0.5; NH4Cl, 1.0; MgSO4·7H2O, 0.01; FeSO4·7H2O, 0.001; MnSO4·4H2O, 0.0005; ZnCl2, 0.00064; CaCl2·6H2O, 0.0001; BaCl2, 0.00006; CoSO4·7H2O, 0.000036; CuSO4·5H2O, 0.000036; H3BO3, 0.00065; EDTA, 0.001; and HCl 37%, 0.0146 ml l−1. The pH of the medium was 7.2. For bacteria stock cultures, yeast extract (0.2 g l−1) was added.

A liquid pre-culture was started by adding a loop full of cells from an agar plate to a 250-ml Erlenmeyer flask containing 50 ml of medium. After about 24 h, a few milliliters (in the range 3–5 ml) of this liquid culture was used for the inoculation of the final culture to reach an optical density (OD) of ca. 0.1 (which corresponds to 10⁸ cells/ml).

2.2 Hydrocarbon and Surfactants

Hydrocarbon and other fine chemicals employed in this study were of highest purity grade, produced by Merck (Germany). The following surface-active agents were used: rhamnolipides (Jeneil Biosurfactant Company, USA, JBR 425—content 25% of rhamnolipides), saponins (Quillaja bark), and Triton X-100 (Sigma Aldrich, USA). In experiments, we used 1% concentration of tested surfactants.

2.3 Microbial Adhesion to Hydrocarbons

Microbial surface hydrophobicity was assessed by the microbial adhesion to the hydrocarbon method (MATH) described by Rosenberg et al. (1980). The culture was grown on different carbon sources: hydrocarbons (mixture of dodecane and hexadecane), diesel oil, octane, ethylbenzene, glucose, and rhamnose. For these experiments, two parallel systems were examined: carbon source supplemented with the addition of surfactants and surfactants without carbon source. Cells in exponential phase were centrifuged at 7000×g for 4 min, washed twice with phosphate urea magnesium buffer [containing the following (in grams per liter): K2HPO4, 19.7; KH2PO4, 7.26; H2NCONH2, 1.8; and MgSO4·7H2O, 0.2], and suspended to fit an OD of ca. 1.0 (A₀—initial OD).
Optical density was measured at 600 nm on UV-Visible Spectrophotometer Shimadzu. Next, 500 μl of hexadecane was added to 5 ml of microbial suspension and vortexed for 2 min with 2500 rpm. After 10 min, the OD of the aqueous phase was measured \( (A_1) \). The degree of hydrophobicity is calculated as \( \left[1 - \left(\frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0}\right)\right] \times 100\% \). Each experiment was repeated five times, and values for cell hydrophobicity were calculated as a mean value out of five flasks with a precision of ±4.6%. Cells cultivated on glucose were used as reference cells. When hydrophobicity is between 0% and 30%, it has been assumed that the cell surface of the microorganism has hydrophilic properties; from 30% to 40%, the surface has mixed hydrophobic and hydrophilic properties; above 40%, the cell surface of microorganism has hydrophobic properties.

2.4 Biodegradation Test

Experiments were performed in Erlenmeyer flask containing 100 ml of mineral salts medium. Samples were incubated at 25°C and shaken at 120 rpm for 7 days. A mixture of aliphatic hydrocarbon (dodecane and hexadecane, 1:1 w/w) and diesel oil was used for the biodegradation test. The hydrocarbon concentration in the experiment was 2% (w/v). Surfactants were used at 6, 30, 60, 120, 150, 240, and 360 mg/l concentrations. In the case of bacterial consortia, only one amount (150 mg/l) of surfactants was applied. The hydrocarbon biodegradation was determined using the “Polish standard method for gravimetric determination of hydrocarbon” PN-86 C-04573/01. The hydrocarbon biodegradation was calculated as \( \left(\frac{S_o - S_f}{S_o}\right) \times 100\% \), where \( S_o \) is the initial amount of hydrocarbon and \( S_f \) is the amount of hydrocarbon after biodegradation. After 7 days of biodegradation, the pH of whole culture broth was decreased to 1 by adding 1 M HCl. After which, the whole broth was centrifuged to separate biomass (10 min at 10,000×g). The residual aqueous phase was subject to double extractions with diethyl ether. The final results are calculated with respect to blank samples (hydrocarbon with medium without microorganisms).

2.5 Surface Tension

The surface tension was measured with a du Nouy ring method using the Kruss K 100 tensiometer. The experiments were done at 21±1°C. For the equilibrium on the surface, the platinum ring was dipped and kept into the solution for 20 min before the measurements. Before each set of experiments, the surface tension of water was measured to control the calibration of the tensiometer. A supernatant after separation of bacteria cells was used for the determination of surface tension. The bacteria cells, after separation, were washed by water, and the result of surface tension of the water phase was used to calculate the amount of surfactants adsorbed on bacteria cell surface.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 The Influence of Surfactants on Cell Hydrophobicity and Hydrocarbon Biodegradation

The biodegradation of the aliphatic hydrocarbons (dodecane and hexadecane), after 7 days, reached within 30% for both pure cultures of the *Pseudomonas* strains. The hydrophobicity of *P. fluorescens* (P1) cells cultivated on several different carbon sources strongly depended on the carbon source characteristics. The pure strain of *P. fluorescens* (P1) has the lowest hydrophobic properties of the cell surface (Table 1). When cultivated on ethylbenzene as a carbon source, the hydrophobicity of the strain was approximately 30%; however, the lowest hydrophobicity was observed when cells were cultivated on glucose, at 8%. *P. putida* (K1) had different hydrophobic properties. With a strain growth on diesel oil, the hydrophobicity was the highest, at 68%. However, the lowest hydrophobicity was observed when cells were cultivated on glucose, at 10%, whereas in the presence of other carbon sources, *P. putida* (K1) had more hydrophobic properties (Table 1).

For both strains, the cell hydrophobicity did not depend on temperature. The increase of temperature generated a higher hydrocarbon biodegradation, which was 50% higher at 35°C than at 25°C for both strains (Table 2). According to the literature, the most suitable temperature for *Pseudomonas* growth is in the 25–30°C range, and there are no data available regarding biodegradation at higher temperatures.

The addition of surfactants to the system significantly changes the microbial cell surface. The results of hydrophobicity analysis indicated that the modification of microbial cell surface depends on the type of...
surfactant and microorganism genus (Fig. 1a, b). *P. putida* (K1) strains have hydrophilic properties in systems with surfactants as the only carbon source; the type and quantity of surfactants have no significant influence (Fig. 1b). The addition of hydrocarbon to the system caused the increase of cell hydrophobicity in all tested systems (Fig. 1d). With surfactants of up to 60 mg/l, bacteria hydrophobicity did not significantly change, but the use of higher doses of surfactants caused a decrease in hydrophobicity (Fig. 1c) and an increase in hydrocarbon biodegradation (Fig. 2b). For saponins, the highest hydrocarbon biodegradation (66%) was observed with the addition of 120 mg/l of surfactant. This biodegradation result was nearly 100% higher than in a system without surfactants. The CMC value of saponins was 87.6 mg/l (Soeder et al. 1996).

In biological systems, the CMC values of surfactants are repeatedly higher, because of the possibility of adsorbing microorganism cells and the biodegradation of surfactants. Liu et al. (1995) recommended that the effect of surfactants could be determined at higher concentrations. Leung et al. (1997) proved that Quillaja saponins have a strong effect on membrane permeabilities. Saponins are considered to form complexes with sterols in plasma membranes of the eukaryotic cell. In plasma membranes of prokaryotes, hopanoids and pentacyclic compounds similar to sterols were found. In many bacterial strains, hopanoids may play important roles in the adjustment of cell membrane permeability and in its adaptation to extreme environmental conditions (Hu et al., 1996).

The highest hydrocarbon (dodecane and hexadecane) biodegradation by *P. putida* K1 (60%) was observed for 150 mg/l of rhamnolipides. According to Zhang and Miller (1992), the CMC value of rhamnolipides is 40 mg/l. Our study for *Aeromonas hydrophila* (Kaczorek et al. submitted for publication) indicated that CMC for rhamnolipides is three times higher in biological systems. Rhamnolipides were collected mainly vertical at the interfacial. Moreover, in the case of rhamnolipides, free-energy adsorption was the least (−ΔG_{ads}=45.89 \text{ kJ/mol}). This fact suggests that rhamnolipides are surfactants, with the highest tendency of adsorption. For both tested natural surfactants, the hydrophobicity of the cell surface was ca. 30%, which means that only *P. putida* K1 has hydrophobic–hydrophilic properties.

Different results were obtained for *P. fluorescens* P1 (Fig. 1a). This strain has hydrophobic properties (71–55%) in systems with natural surfactants (rhamnolipides and saponins), when surfactants of up to 60 mg/l were added to the solution, whereas with the addition of more than 60 mg/l, the hydrophobicity of bacteria decreases and reaches ca. 30%. When different concentrations of Triton X-100 were added to the system with *P. fluorescens* P1, there were no

### Table 1

| Bacteria strain | Hydrophobicity (%) | Glucose | Rhamnose | C₁₂+C₁₆ | ON | Ethylbenzene | Octane |
|-----------------|--------------------|---------|----------|---------|----|--------------|--------|
| *P. fluorescens* (P1) | 8±0.9 | 14±0.8 | 20±3.2 | 23±1.2 | 30±2.2 | 21±1.1 |
| *P. putida* (K1) | 10±1.6 | 33±2.4 | 21±1.7 | 68±3.8 | 34±2.2 | 27±2.1 |

ON diesel oil

### Table 2

| System | Hydrophobicity (%) | Hydrocarbon (C₁₂+C₁₆) biodegradation (%) |
|--------|-------------------|----------------------------------------|
|        | *P. fluorescens* P1 | *P. putida* K1 | *P. fluorescens* P1 | *P. putida* K1 |
| | 25°C | 35°C | 25°C | 35°C | 25°C | 35°C | 25°C | 35°C |
| C₁₂+C₁₆ | 20±3.2 | 20±2.1 | 21±2.8 | 21±1.8 | 30±2.1 | 47±1.4 | 31±2.3 | 45±2.4 |
| Rhamnolipides+C₁₂+C₁₆ | 39±2.9 | 38±1.6 | 32±2.5 | 32±1.2 | 48±3.2 | 65±2.3 | 44±2.9 | 60±2.6 |
| Saponins+C₁₂+C₁₆ | 37±1.9 | 38±2.2 | 26±2.1 | 25±1.7 | 43±3.1 | 61±2.7 | 60±3.1 | 71±3.3 |

454 Water Air Soil Pollut (2011) 214:451–459
significant changes in hydrophobicity observed. The addition of all tested surfactants to the system with hydrocarbons (mixture of dodecane and hexadecane) effectively increased the hydrophobic properties of *P. fluorescens* P1 (Fig. 1c).

The results of surface tension suggest that substantial amounts of exogenously added surfactants were collected at the external layers of microorganisms (Table 3). The amount of surfactants adsorbed at the cell surface depends on the initial surfactant concentration; therefore, the increase of hydrocarbon biodegradation is also probably connected with surfactant adsorption and the resulting bacteria hydrophobicity changes.

The ability of surfactant adsorption at the cell surface is in the following order: rhamnolipides > saponins > Triton X-100 (Table 3).

Bacterial adhesion is one of the first steps of a biofilm formation. CSH has been considered as an important factor in the stability of microbial aggregates (Liu et al. 2004). According to Li et al. (2006), extracellular polymeric substances play a significant role in bacterial aggregation. Absolom et al. (1983) and Bos et al. (1999) noted that hydrophobic strains adhere better to hydrophobic substrate, while hydrophilic strains have a thermodynamic preference for hydrophilic substrata. Our research indicated that the best biodegradation of hydrocarbons was observed when cells had hydrophilic–hydrophobic properties (hydrophobicity was approximately 30%). According to Cserhati et al. (2002), anionic surfactants show marked biological activity either by binding to various bioactive macromolecules or by being inserted into various cell fragments, that is, phospholipid membranes causing malfunction. Rhamnolipides were particularly effective for cells with low initial hydrophobicity. The addition of biosurfactant causes an increase of CSH (Zhang and Miller 1994). In contrast, microorganisms with a high initial cell hydrophobicity were unaffected by the addition of rhamnolipides in terms of cell hydrophobicity changes (for four *P. aeruginosa* strains).

The addition of different amounts of synthetic surfactant Triton X-100 does not significantly affect the hydrophobicity of cells of both types of bacteria. The highest biodegradation (40%) by *P. fluorescens* P1 was observed with the use of 60 mg/l of this surfactant. When *P. putida* (K1) was used, the hydrocarbon biodegradation was 48% (for 150 mg/l). According to Liu et al. (1995), Triton X-100 did not inhibit the growth of the gram-negative *Escherichia coli* strain on glucose at concentrations above CMC. They did not observe Triton X-100 biodegradation, while

---

**Fig. 1** Effect of surfactant concentration on cell hydrophobicity (the process was carried out in a mineral salts medium over 7 days); hydrophobicity was measured by MATH methods; surfactants were the only carbon source: *P. fluorescens* P1 (a) and *P. putida* K1 (b); system surfactant—hydrocarbon (model mixture, dodecane, and hexadecane) was the carbon source: *P. fluorescens* P1 (c) and *P. putida* K1 (d). Filled square Triton X-100, filled circle saponins, open triangle rhamnolipides.
Our results showed that natural surfactants such as rhamnolipides and saponins are more effective in the biodegradation of hydrocarbons and diesel oil than synthetic Triton X-100 (Fig. 3). Rhamnolipides increase the CSH and diesel oil biodegradation (62%) only for the \textit{P. fluorescens} strain. In the case of \textit{P. putida}, the biodegradation of diesel oil was comparable to systems without biosurfactants, but the CSH was significantly different. In systems without surfactants, strains have hydrophobic properties. The addition of rhamnolipides caused a decrease in the hydrophobicity. For \textit{P. putida}, an increase in biodegradation was observed after the addition of saponins to the system (76%). However, there were no significant changes in cell hydrophobicity observed after the addition of saponins.

### 3.2 Diesel Oil Biodegradation by Bacterial Consortia

The study of hydrophobicity and diesel oil biodegradation with two bacterial consortia B1 and B2 was also carried out (Table 4). B2 consortia contained bacteria from \textit{Pseudomonas} and \textit{Bacillus}. Gram-positive bacteria are present in environmental soil samples. We studied the influence of the \textit{Bacillus} genus when added to gram-negative consortium on diesel oil biodegradation. The obtained results indicated that the B2 consortia could efficiently degrade the diesel oil up to 57%. The addition of natural surfactants has a great influence on diesel oil biodegradation. These surfactants cause micelle formation and the uptake of pseudosolubilized hydrocarbon droplets by microorganisms. With the

### Table 3  Surface tension of supernatant, obtained after washed bacteria cells increasing in surfactant solution (\textit{P. fluorescens} P1)

| Concentration of surfactant (mg/l) | Concentration of surfactant (mg/l) | Surface tension (mN/m) and amount of adsorbed surfactant (mM) |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                   | Rhamnolipides                     | Saponins                                                      | Triton X-100                                               |
|                                   | Surface tension (mN/m) | Amount of adsorbed surfactant (mM) | Surface tension (mN/m) | Amount of adsorbed surfactant (mM) | Surface tension (mN/m) | Amount of adsorbed surfactant (mM) |
| 30                                | 33.0±0.08                        | 0.169±0.0007                                                | 57.5±0.47                                                  | 0.037±0.006                                                   | 47.4±0.10                                                               | 0.0127±0.005                                                          |
| 60                                | 30.8±0.12                        | 0.399±0.006                                                | 50.7±0.32                                                  | 0.087±0.006                                                   | 42.7±0.42                                                               | 0.04±0.003                                                            |
| 150                               | 29.9±0.18                        | 0.61±0.009                                                 | 49.2±0.25                                                  | 0.09±0.001                                                   | 41.2±0.31                                                               | 0.06±0.001                                                            |

Control surface tension: suspension with bacteria cell, 69.9±0.05 mN/m; water, 71.25±0.09 mN/m
presence of rhamnolipides or saponins in the system, the diesel oil biodegradation reached ca. 92%. Hydrophobicity analyses show a significant decrease of this parameter in relation to the initial value, causing high hydrocarbon biodegradation. The cell surface of microorganisms became clearly hydrophilic after the addition of surfactants. The hydrocarbon system B2 consortia had a higher hydrophobicity (89%) than the B1 consortia (46%). The addition of either natural surfactant (rhamnolipides or saponins) significantly decreased the hydrophobicity and an increase of diesel oil biodegradation was observed, whereas insignificant changes of hydrophobicity were observed with the addition of Triton X-100. Hydrocarbon biodegradation in this system was comparable with the system without surfactants.

Rahman et al. (2002) showed that the best crude oil biodegradation was observed in the system with bacterial consortia than with the individual pure bacteria strains. Their mixed bacterial consortia could carry out a maximum of 78% of crude oil biodegradation after 20 days. Our obtained results indicated that natural surfactants could significantly increase the diesel oil biodegradation. For both consortia, saponins were the best surfactants; biodegradation significantly increased after their addition. The addition of rhamnolipides biosurfactant had a positive effect only with the consortia that consisted of *Pseudomonas* spp. and

### Table 4 Hydrophobicity of bacteria cell surface and diesel oil biodegradation by two bacterial consortia: B1 (*P. fluorescens* P1, *P. putida* K1, and *Pseudomonas* spp.) and B2 (*P. fluorescens* P1, *P. putida* K1, *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Bacillus* spp.)

| System                  | Biodegradation (%) | Hydrophobicity (%) |
|-------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
|                         | Consortium B1 (*P. fluorescens* P1, *P. putida* K1, and *Pseudomonas* spp.) |                     |
| Diesel oil              | 31.8±1.8           | 46.2±1.4           |
| Diesel oil+rhamnolipides| 45.7±1.0           | 37.3±1.9           |
| Diesel oil+saponins     | 78.3±2.3           | 26.8±1.1           |
| Diesel oil+Triton X-100 | 46.2±1.4           | 39.8±2.8           |
|                         | Biodegradation (%) | Hydrophobicity (%) |
|                         | Consortium B2 (*P. fluorescens* P1, *P. putida* K1, *Pseudomonas* spp., and *Bacillus* spp.) |                     |
| Diesel oil              | 56.8±0.9           | 88.9±2.6           |
| Diesel oil+rhamnolipides| 94.4±2.5           | 21.7±3.5           |
| Diesel oil+saponins     | 89.6±1.8           | 39.5±2.1           |
| Diesel oil+Triton X-100 | 56.2±1.8           | 77.2±1.9           |

Time of biodegradation, 7 days; concentration of surfactants, 150 mg/l
Bacillus spp. (B2). For B1 consortia consisting of only Pseudomonas spp. strains, a positive influence of rhamnolipides was not observed. The presence of Bacillus spp. in consortia caused a significant increase in hydrocarbon biodegradation in the rhamnolipides system. According to Zhang and Miller (1994), mutual attraction between biosurfactant and microbial cells can lead to an increase in cell hydrophobicity, and therefore, cells have a better contact with the hydrophobic substrate and finally a higher biodegradation could be achieved. Our hydrophobicity results for consortia B2 suggest rather that the addition of rhamnolipides to Bacillus and Pseudomonas consortia decreased the hydrophobicity of microorganism systems. For all tested microorganism consortia, a favorable influence of Triton X-100 was not observed. However, Mukherji and Mohanty (2007) suggested that Triton X-100 can significantly enhance the rate and extent of diesel degradation by Exiguobacterium aurantiacum and Burkholderia cepacia. They obtained these results when Triton X-100 concentration was twice the CMC. Tsubata et al. (1998) suggested that Triton X-100 rather changes cell growth of microorganisms in the presence of high concentration of organic compounds. This surfactant decreases the degree of aggregation and improves growth.

Lower diesel oil biodegradation was observed when Triton X-100 was used (Table 4). The use of rhamnolipides or saponins seems to be a better bioremediation approach to environmental protection. These natural surfactants are easily biodegraded and therefore friendlier to the natural environment than Triton X-100. Moreover, we observed the positive effect of Bacillus genus on hydrocarbon biodegradation.

4 Conclusion

A very important matter is the selection of microorganisms to create a biologically active microorganism consortia, which could efficiently degrade the crude oil components. Our results indicated that hydrocarbon or diesel oil could be better biodegraded by bacterial consortia consisting of Pseudomonas spp. and Bacillus spp. strains than only Pseudomonas spp. The increase of the biodegradation process could be enhanced by the addition of natural surfactants.

The addition of surfactants to the system changed crucial parameters and cell surface properties of microorganisms. It is especially visible in the case of rhamnolipides, which are significantly adsorbed on the cell surface. A higher initial hydrophobicity of microorganisms does not guarantee relevant results in the hydrophobic compound degradation. Our results indicated that the best results of hydrocarbon biodegradation occur when the hydrophobicity for the pure strain is ca. 30–40% (hydrophobic and hydrophilic properties), especially when the surfactant could be easily adsorbed on the cell surface, for example, rhamnolipides. The addition of surfactant could change not only cell properties but also membrane permeability. Very promising is the use of saponins in hydrocarbon and diesel oil biodegradations. Saponins influence the permeability of cell membrane without clear hydrophobicity changes. These interactions depend on the type and concentration of surfactants and the genus of the microorganism. Therefore, the initial experiment for the selection of the optimal conditions of hydrocarbon bioremediation is very important. The use of consortia of different organisms enables a better result, but sometimes lower hydrocarbon degradation could be observed than for pure strains. The selection of the microorganism mixture is very important in the bioremediation process.
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