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Objectives  Epidemiological studies suggest that long working hours and shift work may increase the risk of chronic diseases, but the “toxic” elements remain unclear due to crude assessment of working time patterns based on self-reports. In this methodological paper, we present and evaluate objective register-based algorithms for assessment of working time patterns and validate a method to retrieve standard payroll data on working hours from the employer electronic records.

Methods  Detailed working hour records from employers’ registers were obtained for 12 391 nurses and physicians, a total 14.5 million separate work shifts from 2008–2013. We examined the quality and validity of the obtained register data and designed 29 algorithms characterizing four potentially health-relevant working time patterns: (i) length of the working hours; (ii) time of the day; (iii) shift intensity; and (iv) social aspects of the working hours.

Results  The collection of the company-based register data was feasible and the retrieved data matched with the originally published shift plans. The transferred working time records included <0.01% missing data. Two percent were duplicates that could be easily removed. The 29 variables of working time patterns, generated for each year, were stable across the follow-up (year-to-year correlation coefficients from r=0.7–0.9 for 23 variables), their distributions were as expected, and correlations of the variables within the four main dimensions of working hours were plausible.

Conclusion  The developed method and algorithms allow a detailed characterization of four main dimensions of working time patterns potentially relevant for health. We recommend this method for future large-scale epidemiologic studies.
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Working time patterns have been a public health issue for over a century. At first, the focus was on night/shift work, which was considered potentially harmful to health. In the 1920s, night work was banned for women in several European countries based on an early International Labour Organization (ILO) convention (1). In 2007, the International Agency of Research on Cancer classified shift work as “probably carcinogenic to humans” (Group 2A) (2), increasing the scientific debate of the possible risks of night shift work (3–6). Circadian disruption caused by working at night has been found to be associated with occupational accidents (7), cardiovascular diseases (8–10), and peptic ulcer (11). Shift work may also be related to type 2 diabetes (12), rheumatoid arthritis (13), multiple sclerosis (14), and psoriasis (15). Currently, the scientific debate of the
possible health risks related to working time patterns covers the length of working hours and its social dimensions. Extensively long working hours appear to be a risk factor for coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes, depression, sleep disturbances, and occupational and traffic safety (7, 16–18), and irregular and “unsocial” working hours have been associated with work–life imbalance, work stress, and mental disorders (19–21).

Epidemiological research on working hours and health has increased rapidly but the findings have been surprisingly inconsistent. Most previous studies have used questionnaire or interview-based data on working hours, which provide only crude information on the exposure to the multidimensional aspects of working hours and are additionally based on subjective reporting (3–6, 22–25). The specific measures used vary considerably between the studies, making exposure measurement an important source of bias also for systematic reviews (5). To date, very few studies have utilized daily registry data on working time patterns for exposure information. Lie et al’s (26) nested case–control study used national registers to categorize exposure to night work (information on night work was based on the Norwegian Board of Health’s registry of nurses and census data), but this study was based on assumptions that may not always hold, such as “work sites other than infirmaries only involved daytime work”, whereas “all work at infirmaries was assumed to include night work, except for managerial jobs, teaching, and work at physiotherapy or out-patients’ departments”. Some observational studies have used company records (27, 28) to categorize workers into day and shift workers. Taylor and Pocock et al (28), for example, defined shift workers as following a system of working hours other than regular day work (eg, 3-shift rotas at weekly or more frequent rotation, alternate day and shift work, double days, rotating 12-hour shift, regular night work). Further measures to characterize exposure to shift work include the use of job titles or a job exposure matrix (29). These methods have been criticized as being biased (30); in addition, information on job title or job exposure matrix cannot characterize multidimensional exposure.

In the National Longitudinal Survey of Youth (31), exposure to different work shifts based on information from both questionnaires and interviews did not match. Questionnaire measurement of the exposure to shift work may result in misclassifications that, if non-differential, reduce the estimated risk towards the null. Findings from the Million Women Study showed that the demographic characteristics of shift and day workers differed (32). This may lead to “differential” misclassification, which can bias results in either direction. Selection bias is possible, for example, if night workers were more difficult to reach by questionnaire or interview than day workers. Reverse causation bias will occur if women with breast cancer recall and report more accurately their lifetime exposure to night work than healthy women (5). A healthy worker effect and lower response rates in surveys of employees in “unsocial” working hours, in turn, can increase the risk for differential exposure misclassification in case–control studies (33).

To reduce various biases in epidemiological studies on working time and health, it is crucial to develop more accurate and reproducible exposure variables (30, 34–37). Such assessments would also increase opportunities to identify unhealthy components of working time patterns associated with long working hours and shift work. Accordingly, the aim of this study was to design a wide range of objective algorithms to measure and analyze working time patterns in epidemiologic studies that use register-based exposure assessment of working hours. The use of objective, register-based exposure assessment methods we develop in this study could be used to clarify the effects of shift work on chronic diseases in future studies. In addition, we validate a method to retrieve standard payroll data on working hours from the employer electronic records.

Methods

Participants

The individual-level data were collected from employers’ electronic working time records from six hospitals participating in the Finnish Public Sector study (38). Total data included 12 391 employees, ranging from 7836–8096 individuals per year and a total of 14 488 274 work shifts (table 1).

To evaluate the annual distributions of worktime characteristics, we limited the data to employees with a work contract of ≥10 months and ≥150 working days a year and excluded small groups not belonging to either nursing personnel or physicians (N=191 in 2013) based on their work contract data. The final analytical sample for comparisons between the study years included 7643 participants varying from 4808–4967 participants per year (tables 3–6). They covered 10 235 267 work shifts. The hospital districts gave a written permission to the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health to use the employers’ registry data on working hours for scientific research. All data were anonymized for research purposes. The ethics committee of the Hospital District of Helsinki and Uusimaa approved the Finnish Public Sector Study.

Working time data

All payroll-based daily working hour data were retrieved using the shift scheduling program Titania® from the
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beginning of 2008 to the end of 2013. The working time data were based on the shift plans (rotas) that were made with Titania® (CGI Finland) for every 3 or 6-week-period. Titania® is a Windows-compatible software which is used for shift planning and payroll in the majority of public sector organizations in Finland. It has tools for the planning of working hours according to national legislation and collective agreements with labor market organizations. The software calculates employee’s monthly reimbursements based on the materialized working hours and is linked to the electronic time card systems to correct the planned working hours by the individually punched true hours on minute-by-minute bases. The rotas are checked by the superiors of the organizations before acceptance for payroll and filed for ten years according to Finnish legislation.

The sampling software (by CGI Finland) was used to retrieve all the data from the saved rotas. The resulted data included the starting and ending times of the daily working hours and the reasons for an absence (day off, sick leave, maternity leave, physician’s on-call duties, annual leave etc). Each individual work shift was also linked to numerous background information including the unique personal identification code ID (with information on age and sex), occupational title, working time contract/shift system, work unit, and shift rota unit.

To classify work shifts based on the shift starting and ending times, the sampling software scored the working hours into the following shift types: early morning shift (starts before 06:00 hours and is not categorized as a night shift); morning shift (starts 06:00–07:00 hours); day shift (starts after 07:00 hours and ends no later than 18:00 hours); evening shift (starts after 12:00 hours and is not categorized as a night shift); night shift (≥3 hours between 23:00–06:00 hours, according to the Finnish working time law).

Analysis of data quality

The process of data cleaning involved raw data analysis, definition, and verification of data transformation workflow, mapping rules, and the handling of possible errors (39). The quality of the retrieved total working hour data was examined by using the main lines of data taxonomy developed for time-oriented data (40). Based on the analysis we excluded all duplicates and missing data. The correctness of the data was additionally verified by comparing randomly selected consequent 3-week shift plans of one psychiatric inpatient department (with 15 employees), one acute inpatient department (with 22 employees), and one outpatient ward of home care (with 36 employees) to the data retrieved day-to-day and on individual basis. This assessment was done by comparing the scoring of work shifts obtained from the sampling software with the shifts based on the original raw data.

Table 1. Characteristics of the total data by follow-up year. [SD=standard deviation.]

| Characteristic                             | 2008 (N=8052) | 2009 (N=7936) | 2010 (N=7848) | 2011 (N=8096) | 2012 (N=8044) | 2013 (N=8039) |
|-------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Age                                       | Mean 42 SD 12 | Mean 42 SD 12 | Mean 42 SD 12 | Mean 42 SD 12 | Mean 43 SD 12 | Mean 43 SD 12 |
| Men                                       | 13            | 13            | 13            | 14            | 14            | 15            |
| Part-time work                            | 15            | 15            | 16            | 17            | 17            | 16            |
| Work time contract                        |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Nursing (shift work)                      | 52            | 51            | 51            | 51            | 51            | 51            |
| Nursing (day work)                        | 33            | 33            | 32            | 31            | 31            | 31            |
| Physician                                 | 12            | 13            | 13            | 13            | 13            | 13            |
| General                                   | 1             | 1             | 0             | 0             | 0             | 0             |
| Regular (37 hour/week)                    | 1             | 2             | 1             | 1             | 1             | 1             |
| Variable (average 37 hour/week)           | 1             | 0             | 2             | 3             | 2             | 2             |
| Length of work contract/year              |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| ≤50 days (≤1 month)                       | 5             | 4             | 3             | 4             | 4             | 4             |
| 31–60 days / (1–2 months)                 | 4             | 3             | 4             | 3             | 3             | 3             |
| 60–150 days / (2–5 months)                | 11            | 10            | 11            | 11            | 10            | 10            |
| 150–299 days / (5–10 months)              | 10            | 10            | 9             | 9             | 9             | 9             |
| ≥300 days / (>10 months)                  | 71            | 73            | 73            | 72            | 74            | 74            |
| Most frequent occupational titles          |               |               |               |               |               |               |
| Nurse                                     | 2563          | 2595          | 2610          | 2708          | 2753          | 2770          |
| Ward domestic                             | 673           | 638           | 649           | 630           | 596           | 579           |
| Practical nurse                           | 573           | 495           | 497           | 468           | 274           | 215           |
| Departmental secretary                    | 508           | 513           | 502           | 524           | 525           | 506           |
Dimensions and variables characterizing working time patterns

Based on the literature (see discussion for reasoning and justification), we constructed 29 annual variables of working time patterns (table 2). These variables belonged to four major working-hour domains: (i) the length of the working hours, including seven variables describing annual, weekly or daily working hours calculated for each year; (ii) time of the day (shift work), including six variables that were used to measure the proportion of different shifts; (iii) shift intensity, including seven variables related to both the consecutive work shifts and recovery time between the shifts; and (iv) social aspects of the working hours, including nine variables related to the distribution of free days, irregularity and predictability of the working hours, and worktime control.

Statistical analysis

Although the working-hour records are used for payroll purposes and should be precise, we examined whether the retrieved data and the created working time variables were correct and meaningful by calculating the distributions (mean, median, min and max) of these variables for each year in the final analytical sample. This helped to detect possible outliers and analyze the external validity of the data. Two statisticians calculated the working time variables from the original retrieved ASCII data using different statistical packages (Stata and SAS) to double-check the used formulas for calculating the variables. Based on the annual distributions, we investigated the prevalence of the working time variables in 2013 by calculating the proportion of those having (i) at least once a year, (ii) ≥10%, (iii) ≥25%, or (iv) ≥50% of the annual occurrence of the working time variables. The stability of the data between subsequent years was studied by calculating pairwise correlation coefficients of the repeated data on the working hour characteristics among those with ≥10 months of work contract and ≥150 working days during both subsequent years for all year-to-year combinations. We averaged the correlation coefficients for the combinations within 2-, 3-, 4- and 5-year periods. For example, the mean was calculated over the coefficients including year to year pairs for 4-year periods: 2008–2011, 2009–2012, and 2010–2013. Furthermore, we investigated the interrelationships between the working time variables by calculating the correlation coefficients for annual working time pattern variables (that were described in proportions) within the four working time domains.

Results

Quality control

In the original raw data from the 12 392 participants, including 14 488 274 work shifts in 2192 separate days from the time period 1 January 2008 to 31 December 2013, there were no missing values in shift starting or ending times. Only 189 participants had incomplete data and one had a missing ID code. There was a small amount of redundant duplicates (26 308 shifts, <0.01% of the shifts) due to the same employee being recorded twice in the same rota. The redundant duplicates were removed. A larger amount of shifts (1.8%) were incorrect duplicates having some differences in some of the variables for the same day of the same participant. The most frequent reasons for the wrong duplicates were (i) different rota number but otherwise identical shift data due to the employee being at the same time in two separate rotas; the second duplicate was removed; (ii) two separate shift starting and/or ending times very close to each other (eg, 08:00–16:00 and 08:00–16:15 or 08:00–16:15 and 08:15–16:15 hours). This error could occur due to after-shift corrections to the starting or ending times for salary corrections; the earlier starting and/or later ending times were kept and the shorter work shift was removed; (iii) having both a free day and a work shift overlapping with each other that could be because of last-minute cancelling of a free-day. In that case, the work shift was kept and the free day was removed. There were no outdated data (shifts outside the analyzed years).

The comparison of the six randomly selected 3-week shift plans from three different inwards showed a complete match between the original on-wall Excel rotas of the hospital departments and the retrieved Titania® registry data for shift starting and ending times, shift types, and absences from work.

Frequency and distributions of working time variables

The descriptive statistics of the created working time characteristics in 2013 are shown in table 3 according to the main occupational group and worktime contract. We found no unexpected distributions, based on our earlier knowledge and analysis of the working hours of the same or similar organizations (41, 42) and discussions with the persons responsible for the shift planning of the organizations. Among nursing personnel, the mean of the average weekly working hours during a year (when all calendar weeks with any work were included and both paid leave – eg, sickness absence – and unpaid leave were excluded) was 34.9 hours in day work and 34.7 hours in shift work among the nursing personnel. Among the physicians, the average weekly
working hours was 35.3 hours, the maximum mean weekly working hours ranging from 45–48 hours. Few subjects, mostly physicians, had single calendar weeks with working hours of up to 92 hours (including some 24 hour shifts). There were considerable differences in the shift characteristics between the nursing personnel’s day work and shift work contracts and physicians’ working time contracts.

The interrelationships between different working time variables within each working time domain are shown in table 4. With few exceptions, the correlations were mostly low, indicating that the variables measured different aspects of working hours. High correlations were seen between % of long shifts and % of long night shifts ($r=0.76$) and the correlation between % of night shifts and % of non-day shifts ($r=0.83$).

### Occurrence and prevalence

In order to decide the optimal algorithms for the exposure to potentially health-relevant aspects of working hour patterns, we calculated annual prevalence rates for different cut-off values related to the annual occurrence rates (at least once a year, and $>10\%$, $>25\%$, and $>50\%$ of the annual occurrence). As shown in table 5, depending on the occurrence, ie, the cut-off value chosen, the annual prevalence of the exposure variables among shift workers varied remarkably. For example, 93% of...
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the subjects had at least one night shift in 2013, but the night shifts covered >25% of all the annual shifts only among 23% of the shift workers.

Stability and time trends of working time variables

Comparison between the years showed that working time variables within individuals were relatively stable from 2008–2013 (table 6), as shown by the mostly high year-to-year correlation coefficients ranging, from r=0.7–0.9 in 23 variables. The correlations decreased only moderately up to five years between the analyzed two years. The year-to-year correlation coefficients for rarely occurring variables (eg, % of early morning shifts, % of short recovery after the last night shifts) were lower, from r=0.4–0.6 showing lower stability.

Table 3. Description of the working time variables among the final analytical sample including 4631 employees with a work contract of at least 10 months and with the minimum of 150 working days in 2013 [Md=median; Min=minimum; Max=maximum; SD=standard deviation]

| Working time domain/variable | Nursing personnel Day work contract (N=1702) | Nursing personnel Shift work contract (N=2400) | Physicians’ work time contract (N=529) |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|
|                             | Mean  SD  Md  Min  Max                     | Mean  SD  Md  Min  Max                     | Mean  SD  Md  Min  Max                 |
| **Length of working hours** |                                             |                                             |                                        |
| Weekly working hours (hours)| 34.9  2.8  35.4  16.0  45.0 | 34.7  2.5  35.2  17.6  47.8 | 35.3  2.9  35.8  23.9  49.6 |
| % of long (>40 hours) working weeks | 16  9  0  86  16 | 26  11  26  0  78 | 14  19  4  0  83 |
| % of long (>48 hours) working weeks | 1  3  0  65  1 | 5  7  2  0  57 | 1  5  0  0  67 |
| Shift length (hours) | 7.8  0.5  7.7  3.8  9.8 | 8.5  0.8  8.3  4.6  12.4 | 7.8  0.4  7.7  5.5  10.1 |
| % of long shifts | 0  1  0  25  0 | 5  13  1  0  97 | 0  1  0  0  16 |
| Length of night shifts (hours) | 10.6  2.7  10.7  2.9  17.0 | 10.8  0.9  10.8  2.5  24.5 | 12.7  4.3  12.3  7.0  17.8 |
| % of long night shifts | 24  39  0  0  100 | 9  25  0  0  100 | 53  51  67  0  100 |
| Time of the day (% of) |                                             |                                             |                                        |
| Early morning shifts | 4  6  2  0  27 | 9  11  2  0  38 | 2  3  1  1  5 |
| Morning shifts | 29  37  6  0  100 | 37  23  39  0  100 | 2  9  0  0  100 |
| Day shifts | 70  37  92  0  100 | 37  27  17  0  100 | 98  10  0  0  100 |
| Evening shifts | 1  4  0  0  32 | 19  14  21  0  95 | 0  1  0  0  18 |
| Night shifts | 0  2  0  0  36 | 15  19  12  0  99 | 0  0  0  0  1 |
| Non-day shifts | 2  5  0  0  59 | 34  25  38  0  99 | 1  2  0  0  30 |
| **Shift intensity** |                                             |                                             |                                        |
| Number of consecutive working days | 5.1  0.4  5.2  2.9  7.4 | 4.7  0.5  4.7  3.1  8.1 | 5.2  0.4  5.3  2.9  5.9 |
| % of long spells of work shifts | 1  2  0  0  22 | 5  4  5  0  25 | 0  1  0  0  7 |
| Number of consecutive night shifts | 3.5  0.9  3.0  2.0  6.0 | 4.0  0.7  4.0  2.0  7.6 | 2.6  0.5  3.0  2.0  3.0 |
| % of long spells of consecutive night shifts | 1  3  0  0  15 | 5  7  1  0  42 | 0  0  0  0  0 |
| Time between shifts (hours) | 15.6  2.6  16.0  0  20.2 | 14.9  3.0  15.5  0  19.5 | 15.7  2.3  16.2  0  18.7 |
| % of short shift intervals | 1  4  0  0  48 | 19  14  19  0  99 | 1  3  0  0  29 |
| % of short recovery periods after the last night shift | 34  43  0  0  100 | 6  14  0  0  100 | 0  1  0  0  100 |
| **Social aspects of working hours** |                                             |                                             |                                        |
| % of annual leave days | 13  4  13  0  29 | 12  4  13  0  34 | 11  5  12  0  24 |
| % of weekend work | 3  7  0  0  60.4 | 41  18  45  0  91 | 0  2  0  0  15 |
| % of single free days | 4  4  2  0  35 | 17  9  16  0  63 | 3  3  2  1  31 |
| Variability of shift starting times | 0.4  0.6  0.2  0.0  0.5 | 3.1  3.1  0.0  0.8  7.0 | 0.4  0.4  0.3  0.1  3.4 |
| Variability of shift ending times | 0.7  0.5  0.6  0.2  0.0 | 3.1  3.1  0.0  0.8  7.0 | 0.4  0.4  0.3  0.1  3.4 |
| Variability of shift length | 0.5  0.3  0.5  0.0  0.0 | 1.0  1.0  0.0  0.8  10.9 | 0.5  0.4  0.3  0.1  3.2 |
| % of realized shift plans | 92  18  98  0  100 | 89  18  93  0  100 | 96  16  100  0  100 |
| % of the use of shift wishes | 2  6  1  0  78 | 7  12  2  0  100 | 1  0  1  1  1 |
| % of realized shift wishes | 0  2  0  0  29 | 3  5  1  0  53 | 0  0  0  0  0 |

Discussion

Epidemiological research on working hours and health has increased rapidly but the findings have been inconsistent. Transfer to electronic management systems, including the growing use of the electronic payroll and shift planning systems, has created new possibilities for access and analysis of exact exposure data on working hours in large epidemiological studies. The future possibilities to link objective exposure assessment of working time patterns to follow-up of morbidity and mortality will be a major step forward in the research of working hours and health. In this paper, we developed general algorithms for the assessment of 29 variables describing working time patterns to be used in future epidemiological studies on working hours, shift work and health.

These variables capture four major domains of working time patterns (length of the working hours, time of the day, shift intensity, and social aspects of working
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The first domain, length of the working hours included algorithms for the annual, weekly or daily working hours calculated for each year separately. The selection of this domain and the variables designed can be justified by the fact that the length of the working hours needs to be related to the variation of cumulative work load if the work intensity itself is not modified. There is also good evidence of the association of long work shifts, long working weeks and long annual working hours with ill health, safety and work-home balance (7, 16, 17, 21, 43–45). The second domain, time of the day, includes the annual proportion of the different shifts; and the third domain, shift intensity includes several variables related to the number of consecutive work shifts and recovery time between the shifts. Studies have shown that night shift work is related to circadian dysrhythmia due to the changed exposure to environmental light and other circadian time cues (2, 37). Earlier studies have also indicated the effects of non-standard work shifts (especially night shifts and early morning shifts), and shift intensity (including factors like the speed and

**Table 4a.** Between correlations of variables of annual working time patterns within each working time domain in 2013 for the final analytical sample limited to nursing personnel with a shift work contract of ≥10 months and with ≥150 working days in 2013, N=2400.

| Length of working hours (%) | Correlation coefficient |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
|                            | Long (>40 hours) working week (%) Long (>48 hours) working week (%) Long shift (%) Long night shift (%) |
| Long (>40 hours) working week | 1.00                    |
| Long (>48 hours) working week | -0.40 1.00              |
| Long shift                  | 0.24 -0.11 1.00         |
| Long night shift            | 0.12 0.003 0.76 1.00    |

**Table 4b.** Between correlations of variables of annual working time patterns within each working time domain in 2013 for the final analytical sample limited to nursing personnel with a shift work contract of ≥10 months and with ≥150 working days in 2013, N=2400.

| Time of day (%) | Correlation coefficient |
|-----------------|-------------------------|
|                 | Early morning shift (%) Morning shift (%) Day shift (%) Evening shift (%) Night shift (%) |
| Early morning   | 1.00                    |
| Morning         | 0.21 1.00               |
| Day             | 0.13 -0.63 1.00         |
| Evening         | -0.39 -0.38 -0.38 1.00  |
| Night           | -0.26 -0.27 -0.43 0.50  1.00 |
| Non-day         | -0.40 -0.37 -0.48 0.89  0.83 |

**Table 4c.** Between correlations of variables of annual working time patterns within each working time domain in 2013 for the final analytical sample limited to nursing personnel with a shift work contract of ≥10 months and with ≥50 working days in 2013, N=2400.

| Shift intensity (%) | Correlation coefficient |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
|                     | Long spells of work shifts (%) Long spells of consecutive night shifts (%) Short shift intervals (%) Short recovery periods after the last night shift (%) |
| Long spells of work shifts | 1.00                    |
| Long spells of consecutive night shifts | 0.25 1.00              |
| Short shift intervals | 0.04 -0.41 1.00         |
| Short recovery periods after the last night shift | 0.06 -0.06 -0.04 1.00    |

**Table 4d.** Between correlations of variables of annual working time patterns within each working time domain in 2013 for the final analytical sample limited to nursing personnel with a shift work contract of ≥10 months and with ≥150 working days in 2013, N=2400.

| Social aspects of working hours (%) | Correlation coefficient |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Annual leave days (%)               |                         |
| Weekend work (%)                    |                         |
| Single free days (%)                |                         |
| Realized shift plans (%)            |                         |
| Use of shift wishes (%)             |                         |
| Annual leave days                   | 1.00                    |
| Week-end work                       | -0.12 1.00              |
| Single free days                    | 0.04 0.40 1.00          |
| Realized shift plans                | 0.10 -0.02 -0.01 1.00   |
| Use of shift wishes                 | -0.003 0.13 -0.04 -0.02 1.00 |
| Realized shift wishes               | -0.02 0.14 -0.01 0.004 0.98 |

hours) which are based on the current understanding and evidence on the potential pathways of how working hours could influence physical and psychosocial health. The possible pathways from shift work to decreased health can be related to psychosocial, behavioral, or physiological mechanisms (2, 9). Since working hours are associated with different types of health outcomes and psychosocial problems, and we do not know yet which of the developed variables prove to be the most important ones, we suggest here a wide range of potential algorithms for the future studies. However, the selection of the exposure variables should be based on specific hypotheses and outcomes of the study and if this were not the case, the analyses should be corrected for multiple testing. All 29 working time pattern variables have been calculated for an annual time window due to the observed annual variation in the distribution of free-time and operational working hours linked to the many of used variables. The annual time window is also sufficiently long to allow a reliable estimation and follow-up of the used working time exposure variables.
Table 5. Prevalence of the percentile working time variables depending on the cut-off value of the occurrence of the variable in 2013 for the final analytical sample limited to nursing personnel with a shift work contract of ≥10 months and with the ≥150 working days in 2013, N=2400.

| Working time domain                      | % of annual occurrences |
|------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Length of working hours                  |                         |
| Long (>40 hour) working weeks            | 56 | 40 | 33 | 26 |
| Long (>48 hour) working weeks            | 66 | 56 | 30 | 16 |
| Long shifts                              | 82 | 13 | 2  | 1  |
| Long night shifts                        | 100| 18 | 2  | 0  |
| Time of the day                          |                         |
| Early morning shifts                     | 38 | 28 | 19 | 2  |
| Morning shifts                           | 97 | 64 | 52 | 39 |
| Day shifts                               | 98 | 68 | 43 | 17 |
| Evening shifts                           | 51 | 36 | 30 | 21 |
| Night shifts                             | 93 | 37 | 23 | 12 |
| Non-day shifts                           | 96 | 65 | 53 | 38 |
| Shift intensity                          |                         |
| Long spells of work shifts               | 18 | 11 | 8  | 5  |
| Long spells of consecutive night shifts  | 29 | 15 | 8  | 1  |
| Short shift intervals                    | 48 | 35 | 28 | 19 |
| Short recovery periods after the last night shift | 100| 14 | 7  | 0  |
| Social aspects of working hours          |                         |
| Annual leave days                        | 19 | 16 | 15 | 13 |
| Week-end work                           | 70 | 59 | 52 | 45 |
| Single free days                        | 42 | 29 | 22 | 16 |
| Realized shift plans                     | 100| 98 | 96 | 93 |
| Use of shift wishes                      | 84 | 17 | 8  | 2  |
| Realized shift wishes                    | 32 | 8  | 3  | 1  |

The methodology used to retrieve and analyze the raw working hour data from employers’ registers proved to be valid. The retrieval of the data was easy, and the retrieved data included no wrong, missing, or outdated working hour data. The incorrect duplicate data were mostly due to the same employees attached to two different rotas having two separate rota codes but no or minimal differ-
The use of an objective registry-based exposure assessment method does not fully substitute the use of questionnaire-based information on working hours since factors like the perceived control of working hours and the use of non-paid overtime work cannot be estimated from company records. Information on specific individual factors related to adaptation to night work, like chronotype, is also useful as such factors can modify the health effects of shift work (63). However, the registry data have several advantages, including continuous exposure information with no selection bias covering virtually all employees and no attrition (30, 36, 37). It also offers a possibility to analyze irregular, complex and changing working time patterns over long periods of time that are common in organizations, such as hospitals [eg, (64)]. Since the current knowledge on the effects of working time patterns on health is mostly based on crude methods on exposure assessment, it is likely that the use of the proposed more detailed register-based exposure information will create robust new knowledge on the association of working time patterns with health. Detailed exposure information is also essential in planning intervention studies to assess whether change in working time patterns could reduce morbidity or the other negative effects of shift work or unfavorable working hours.

To conclude, the data retrieval method used and the suggested algorithms allows a detailed characterization of working time patterns potentially relevant for health. For multidimensional exposure assessment, we suggest the measurement of four potentially health-relevant areas of working time patterns: the length of the working hours, time of the day, shift intensity, and the social aspects of the working hours. We propose that the developed method is considered as “a method for choice” to assess exposure to working time patterns in large-scale observational studies on working hours and health.
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**Table 6. Correlation coefficients of all the 29 annual working time variables averaged for all year to year combinations for the final analytical sample including employees with a work contract of at least 10 months and with the minimum of 150 working days per a year.**

| Working time domain | Correlation coefficient (years) |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|
|                     | Year-to-year | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    |
| Length of working hours | Weekly working hours (hours) | 0.74 | 0.63 | 0.54 | 0.48 | 0.43 |
|                      | % of long (>40 hours) working week | 0.82 | 0.76 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.62 |
|                      | % of long (>48 hours) working week | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.36 | 0.33 | 0.27 |
| Shift length (hours) | 0.93 | 0.87 | 0.81 | 0.77 | 0.72 |
| % of long shifts | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.68 |
| Length of night shifts (hours) | 0.50 | 0.44 | 0.46 | 0.35 | 0.29 |
| % of long night shifts (%) | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.65 |
| Time of the day (% of) | Early morning shifts | 0.68 | 0.38 | 0.09 | 0.20 | 0.68 |
|                      | Morning shifts | 0.95 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 0.81 |
|                      | Day shifts | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.85 |
|                      | Evening shifts | 0.94 | 0.91 | 0.87 | 0.83 | 0.80 |
|                      | Night shifts | 0.94 | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.78 |
|                      | Non-day shifts | 0.93 | 0.89 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.79 |
| Shift intensity | Number of consecutive working days | 0.79 | 0.71 | 0.67 | 0.62 | 0.59 |
|                      | % of long spells of work shifts | 0.83 | 0.79 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.69 |
|                      | Number of consecutive night shifts | 0.89 | 0.84 | 0.81 | 0.78 | 0.75 |
|                      | % of long spells of consecutive night shifts | 0.88 | 0.81 | 0.75 | 0.71 | 0.65 |
|                      | Time between shifts (hours) | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.10 |
|                      | % of short shift intervals | 0.91 | 0.86 | 0.82 | 0.78 | 0.74 |
|                      | % of short recovery periods after the last night shift | 0.45 | 0.57 | 0.51 | 0.52 | 0.46 |
| Social aspects of working hours | % of annual leave days | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 0.33 |
|                      | % of week-end work | 0.94 | 0.90 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.81 |
|                      | % of single free days | 0.88 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.73 |
|                      | Variability of shift starting times | 0.96 | 0.93 | 0.90 | 0.87 | 0.84 |
|                      | Variability of shift ending times | 0.95 | 0.92 | 0.89 | 0.86 | 0.83 |
|                      | Variability of shift length | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.69 |
|                      | % of realized shift plans | 0.70 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.24 | 0.17 |
|                      | % of the use of shift wishes | 0.78 | 0.68 | 0.61 | 0.55 | 0.50 |
|                      | % of realized shift wishes | 0.76 | 0.65 | 0.58 | 0.52 | 0.47 |
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