Prevention of weld-decay in austenitic stainless steel by using surface mechanical attrition treatment
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Abstract
Surface mechanical attrition treatment (SMAT) was applied to the samples of a type AISI 304 stainless steel in order to induce grain refinement as well as formation of twins. Transmission electron microscopy and X-ray diffraction analysis results showed that the average grain size at the surface of the SMATed sample was about 10 nm. The untreated and SMATed samples were then welded using a one-pass gas tungsten arc procedure. The heat-affected zone (HAZ) of the samples was examined by optical microscopy and corrosion tests. Results of the double loop electrochemical potentiokinetic reactivation tests showed that the degree of sensitization in the HAZ for the SMATed sample was very low as compared to that of the untreated one. The pre-SMATed sample was resistant to intergranular corrosion. This is mainly due to the formation of high density of twins which are not prone to carbide precipitation because of their regular and coherent atomic structure and extreme low grain boundary energy as compared with those of other grain boundaries.
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Background
Austenitic stainless steels are widely used in a wide range of applications such as steam generating plants (as piping and superheating tube materials), chemical reactors, and nuclear plants due to their excellent corrosion resistance, good mechanical properties, and good weldability at elevated temperatures. Austenitic stainless steels possess excellent resistance to general corrosion; however, when they are subjected to a treatment like welding in the temperature range between 500°C and 800°C, they suffer from corrosion in forms of intergranular corrosion and intergranular stress corrosion cracking. This is generally attributed to sensitization as a result of chromium depletion which in turn is due to the chromium carbide precipitation in the grain boundaries [1-5]. Sensitization as a serious and momentous problem during welding of stainless steel has not been completely prevented by conventional techniques such as reduction of carbon content (below 0.03 wt.%), addition of strong carbide formers (such as titanium, niobium or zirconium), and solution heat treatment. Although these methods have met with various degrees of success, they have increased the cost of the materials without producing sensitization-free materials [6,7]. Recent investigations have revealed that the degree of sensitization (DOS) of austenitic stainless steels depends strongly on the grain size and nature of the grain boundary. Moreover, studies about the grain boundary design and control have shown that materials characterized by a high frequency of low-energy grain boundaries such as coincidence lattice boundaries are strongly resistant to intergranular precipitation and corrosion [5-12]. It has also been reported that the DOS is inversely related to the grain size and shows a nearly exponential decrease with increasing grain boundary surface area (decreasing grain size) [5]. Surface mechanical attrition treatment (SMAT) is a new and potentially effective method to produce nanostructured surface layers in bulk materials. Investigations indicate that the grain refinement via SMAT process can cause the formation of twins in the materials with low-stacking fault energy, for example, in 304 stainless steel [13-20]. So, in this paper an attempt...
was made to evaluate the effect of nano grains and twins formation in the 304 stainless steel (by using SMAT) on the prevention of weld-decay.

**Methods**

AISI 304 stainless steel samples with chemical composition of C 0.055%, Cr 18.28, Ni 8.48, Mn 1.1, Si 0.60, and balance Fe (wt.%) were used in this study as initial samples. Before welding and SMAT processes, in order to gain homogeneous coarse-grained structure, the specimens were annealed at 1,070°C for 1 h and then water-quenched. Rectangular samples of dimensions 50 × 20 × 8 mm were machined from the aged material. In order to induce grain refinement in the surface of the samples, SMAT was performed under vacuum at room temperature for 30 min with a vibrating frequency of 20 kHz and with spherical stainless steel balls of 3 mm diameter. Microstructural feature in the surface layer of the SMATed sample was characterized by using a Philips EM-420 transmission electron microscope (TEM) (Philips Electronics, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), operating at a voltage of 120 kV. The surface layer structure of the SMATed sample was investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis using a Siemens D-500 diffractometer (Siemens AG, Munich, Germany) with Cu Kα radiation in the range of 40°-100° with a step width of 0.02°. Weldments were prepared by one-pas gas tungsten arc (GTA) procedure without filler and with argon as shield gas in a travel speed of 6 cm/min. The cross-section of the SMATed sample and heat-affected zone (HAZ) of the welded samples (perpendicular to the welding direction at the surface) were observed with an optical microscope (Olympus BX51M, Shinjuku, Tokyo, Japan). In order to evaluate the intergranular corrosion resistance of the welded samples, double loop electrochemical potentiokinetic reactivation (DLEPR) tests were carried out by a potentiostat/galvanostat (EG&G Princeton Applied Research 273A, Oak Ridge, TN, USA) system. For electrochemical tests, samples of 8 mm in thickness were cut perpendicular to the welding direction, as depicted in Figure 1, and then mounted in resin with a copper wire attached in the back side of the sample for connection to the potentiostat. The HAZ of the samples, 10 mm away from the weld center, was subjected to the DLEPR test to assess the degree of sensitization. Schematic illustration of the weld zone is presented in Figure 1. DLEPR tests were performed in the conventional electrochemical cell with three electrodes: a welded sample as the working electrode, a platinum grid as the counter electrode, and a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as the reference electrode. During the DLEPR test, the working electrode was immersed in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ + 0.01 M KSCN solution. After the specimens attained a stable open circuit potential (OCP), they were polarized from OCP to +0.3 V (vs. SCE) and then reversed at a scan rate of 1.67 mV.S⁻¹ until the OCP. Each test was repeated for three times in order to assure its reproducibility. The ratio of Iᵣ (maximum current density in the reverse scan) and Iₐ (maximum current density in the anodic scan) was used to evaluate the DOS. Furthermore, a ferric sulfate-sulfuric acid (Streicher) test was also performed to investigate more the resistance of the samples.

![Figure 1](http://www.inl-journal.com/content/2/1/37)

**Figure 1** Schematic representation of weld zone. Regions marked with A, B, and C are weld metal, HAZ, and base metal, respectively.

![Figure 2](http://www.inl-journal.com/content/2/1/37)

**Figure 2** Optical micrograph of the cross section of the sample subjected to SMAT for 30 min.
to intergranular corrosion. The HAZ of the welded samples after the Streicher test was observed with a scanning electron microscope (SEM, Philips XL-30).

Results and discussion

Figure 2 shows the cross-sectional optical observation of the SMATed stainless steel. High densities of mechanical twins are identified in the surface layer of the sample. Single twins and the intersections of twins can be clearly seen in the deformed layer with about 300 μm thick below the top-treated surface. It is evident that the density of mechanical twins decreases with increasing of the depth from the top surface. Grains cannot be observed in the region about 10 μm beneath the top surface with optical microscopy. Figure 3 shows a typical TEM plane-view observation of the top surface layer in the SMATed stainless steel sample. It is clear that the microstructure of the top-treated surface layer is characterized by ultrafine equiaxed grains with random crystallographic orientations, as indicated by the dark field image and the
selected area electron diffraction pattern. As can be seen, the mean grain size in the surface layer is approximately 10 nm. Figure 4 shows the surface XRD patterns of the AISI 304 stainless steel before and after undergoing SMAT for 30 min. It is clear that after the SMAT, the diffraction peaks changed obviously. The full width of half maximum (FWHM) of the diffraction peaks for the SMATed sample is much broader than that of the as-received sample, which came from the influence of grain refinement. Calculation with Williamson-Hall method (Equation 1) [21] shows that the average grain size in the top surface layer of the SMATed steel is about 10

Figure 6 DLEPR curves obtained in the weld HAZs of un-SMATed and SMATed samples.

Figure 7 SEM photographs of (a,b) un-SMATed and (c,d) SMATed samples after the Streicher test.
nm, which is in a good agreement with the results of the TEM observation.

\[ B \cos \theta = \frac{k \lambda}{I} + \eta \sin \theta \]  

Where \( B \) is FWHM of the peak \((B_{\text{size}} + B_{\text{strain}})\), \( \lambda \) is the diffraction angle, \( k \) is constant (0.9), \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the X-ray, \( I \) is the grain size and \( \eta \) is the micro strain. 

Mechanisms of the grain refinement, formation of nanocrystalline, and twins have been discussed elsewhere by other researchers [13,14,16]. The untreated and SMATed samples were then GTA-welded separately at the same conditions. It is well known that the HAZ of the welded metals is more prone to intergranular corrosion [6,22]. The HAZ in the surface of the samples perpendicular to the welding direction after electroetching in a 10% oxalic acid solution is shown in Figure 5. A typical weld-decay region with deep grooving along grain boundaries is clearly observed in the HAZ of the untreated sample as a result of the sensitization (Figure 5a). In contrast, the welded HAZ of the pre-SMATed sample does not show any clear grooved grain boundaries, as shown in Figure 5b. Figure 6 shows representative curves of the DLEPR test for the HAZ region of the as-received and pre-SMATed steel. According to DLEPR tests, DOS \((I_c/I_a \text{ ratio})\) value is about 25 and 0.5 for untreated and pre-SMATed weldments, respectively. Based on Cihal rating about the sensitization of materials [23], the samples can be classified into four sensitivity groups: unsensitized samples (for DOS <2%), samples which are traces of sensitization (for DOS values between 2% and 8%), samples which are considered weakly and medium-sensitized (for DOS values between 8% and 30%), and samples which are severely sensitized (for DOS >30%). So, according to the results of the DLEPR tests (Figure 5), the HAZ of the pre-SMATed sample can be considered as nonsensitized material. Thus, pretreatment with SMAT can suppress the sensitization by a factor of fifty. In order to get more information about the sensitization behavior, the Streicher test was performed for both (untreated and pre-SMATed) welded samples and its results are shown in Figure 7. The weld-decay zone in the HAZ of the untreated sample suffer from severe grain boundary dropping due to deep intergranular corrosion, as shown in Figure 7a,b. However, in the case of the pre-SMATed sample, the HAZ region shows only some shallow grooves along grain boundaries, as depicted in Figure 7c,d. Based on the above results, it can be concluded that the HAZ of the SMATed sample does not show any clear sensitization, unlike what was previously reported for un-SMATed stainless steels [21,24]. This fact suggests that the high densities of twins formed in the pre-SMATed sample are not susceptible to intergranular corrosion because of their regular and coherent atomic structure and extreme low grain boundary energy as compared with those of other grain boundaries [7]. On the other hand, SMAT process can cause grain refinement at the surface of metals and decreases grain size significantly. According to Beltran et al. [25], the sensitization is due to the carbide precipitation at the grain boundaries, which requires that the carbides nuclei in the grain boundaries attain a critical size. Furthermore, the growth and size of these carbides determine the extent of Cr depletion around the grain boundaries and DOS. So, by increasing the subcritical carbide nuclei, the carbon availability in each nucleus is restricted due to sharing with other nuclei. Accordingly, in larger-grained materials which have more C available per nuclei due to the smaller number of nuclei, the carbide growth is faster. In fact the DOS in larger-grained materials is greater than the finer-grained materials. So, the SMAT can effectively reduce the DOS by two ways: by increasing the grain boundary surface area (decreasing the grain size) and formation of high density of twins.

**Conclusions**

Surface mechanical attrition treatment was used as a pre-treatment for GTA welding of AISI 304 stainless steel. It was found that SMAT enhanced the degree of sensitization in heat-affected zone as high as 50 times than the untreated sample. This was mainly due to the formation of high density of twins as well as grain refinement. Twins were not susceptible to intergranular corrosion and sensitization due to their regular and coherent structure and extreme low grain boundary energy as compared with those of other grain boundaries. So the SMAT can effectively prevent the weld-decay of the 304 stainless steel.
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