Improvement in the Awareness of Palliative Care Nursing Using STAS-J in an Acute Care Hospital
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Abstract

The Japanese version of the Support Team Assessment Schedule (STAS-J) in palliative care units is reliable and widely used in Japan. However, there are few reports showing its impact on palliative care in acute care hospitals (ACHs). To verify the usefulness of STAS-J in ACHs, we evaluated changes in the awareness of care for patients among 48 nurses in a university hospital using a questionnaire administered before the introduction of STAS-J, after virtual case assessment, and after assessment of the first five inpatients. Statistical examination was performed by the Mann-Whitney U test. Most of the surveyed items, except noticing the anxiety of the patient’s family and information exchange with medical staff other than doctors, were significantly improved after the introduction of STAS-J (p<0.05). Approximately 60% of the nurses had affirmative opinions of STAS-J. The results suggested STAS-J is useful in improving the awareness of palliative care nursing in ACHs.
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Introduction

In 2009 in Japan, the number of deaths from malignant neoplasms was 344,105, making it the most common cause of death [1]. The number of deaths due to malignant neoplasms has been increasing and this increase is predicted to continue. In the census-of-population report by the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare released in 2004, 3.4% of malignant neoplasm patients died in palliative care units (PCU) [2]. In addition, it was reported in 2007 that 89.9% of cancer patients died in hospitals, and most of them were ACHs [2].

The first PCU in Japan was established in 1981, and after that, the number of institutions providing palliative care has gradually increased. As of February 2014, there were 5,991 beds and 300 PCUs in Japan. Although PCUs have been increasing in response to the world, and its validity has been verified [7-9]. The Japanese version of the STAS (STAS-J) was created and its reliability and validity in PCUs have also been verified [10]. The validity of another scale to evaluate the quality of palliative care in Japan called the Care Evaluation Scale, which is an evaluation scale of hospice and palliative care by bereaved families, has also been reported [11]. Other standards evaluating the outcomes of palliative care have also been proposed such as the Good Death Inventory, an evaluation scale of quality of life of end stage cancer patients by bereaved families; however, it is not always a stable evaluation because it contains subjective survey items [12]. STAS evaluates the degree of achievement by grouping the main nine items (pain control, symptom control, patient’s anxiety, family’s anxiety, patient’s recognition, family’s recognition, communication between patient and family, communication between medical staff, and communication between medical staff and the patient and family) into four steps. STAS-J offers a stable evaluation of patients and can be used as a comprehensive scale measure of palliative care or as an audit tool [7].

Evaluation by STAS was reported to be useful for palliative care teams in ACHs [13]. STAS was also found to provide a reliable evaluation of palliative care performed by doctors and nurses in a university hospital in Japan [14]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on introducing STAS-J to improve palliative care by changing the awareness of care among nurses treating advanced malignant tumor patients in ACHs. Therefore, the palliative not only physical cancer pain but also mental, social, and spiritual pain [4]. The need for quality standards in palliative care has been reported [5,6]. The Support Team Assessment Schedule (STAS) is an assessment scale used to evaluate hospice and palliative care. It was created as a clinical audit tool in Britain [7], but has spread all over the world, and its validity has been verified [7-9]. The Japanese version of the STAS (STAS-J) was created and its reliability and validity in PCUs have also been verified [10]. The validity of another scale to evaluate the quality of palliative care in Japan called the Care Evaluation Scale, which is an evaluation scale of hospice and palliative care by bereaved families, has also been reported [11]. Other standards evaluating the outcomes of palliative care have also been proposed such as the Good Death Inventory, an evaluation scale of quality of life of end stage cancer patients by bereaved families; however, it is not always a stable evaluation because it contains subjective survey items [12]. STAS evaluates the degree of achievement by grouping the main nine items (pain control, symptom control, patient’s anxiety, family’s anxiety, patient’s recognition, family’s recognition, communication between patient and family, communication between medical staff, and communication between medical staff and the patient and family) into four steps. STAS-J offers a stable evaluation of patients and can be used as a comprehensive scale measure of palliative care or as an audit tool [7].

Evaluation by STAS was reported to be useful for palliative care teams in ACHs [13]. STAS was also found to provide a reliable evaluation of palliative care performed by doctors and nurses in a university hospital in Japan [14]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on introducing STAS-J to improve palliative care by changing the awareness of care among nurses treating advanced malignant tumor patients in ACHs. Therefore, the...
present study was conducted to verify the usefulness of STAS-J in an ACH for improving palliative care.

Methods

The present study was performed in the acute care ward of Kyushu University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan, where around 30% of the patients suffer malignant tumors. Weekly joint conferences with doctors and nurses are held to discuss patient treatment. When doctors explain the patient’s condition to a patient and their family, nurses are present in about 75% of cases. STAS-J assessment was introduced in the ward in February 2009 and the present study period was from February 2009 to August 2009. Forty-eight nurses (25 in a general ward and 23 in an aseptic ward) agreed to participate in the study.

Nurses answered questions about their years of nursing experience, the number of times they had participated in STAS-J assessment (two or three times), and changes in their awareness after performing STAS-J assessment. The nurses completed a 22-item self-evaluation questionnaire with responses recorded on a four-point scale (1 indicating “I can do it well”, 2 indicating “I can do it moderately”, 3 indicating “I can seldom do it”, and 4 indicating “I cannot do it at all”). Questionnaire items related to awareness of care are listed in Table 1. The survey consisted of anonymous questionnaires, which were sealed and collected. The answers of nurses working in the aseptic ward were compared with those of nurses in the general ward who were in charge of solid tumour care. Nurses were also asked to write free description responses about their impression of STAS-J.

All nurses were required to perform STAS-J assessment of a virtual case before assessing actual patients. The nurses were surveyed with the same 22-item questionnaire regarding the awareness of care three times: before performing STAS-J assessment, after STAS-J assessment of a virtual case, and after assessment of the first five inpatients.

The reliability of the questionnaire was evaluated using the results of the latter two surveys. Responses for questions about years of nursing experience, the number of times participating in STAS-J assessment, and free descriptions of their impression of STAS-J were recorded after assessment of the first five inpatients. Comparison of the nurses’ responses by ward was based on the results of their questionnaire responses.

Statistical examination was performed using SPSS ver.13 (IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan). The results of the third survey were compared with those of the first and the second surveys using the Mann-Whitney U test. P values of<0.05 were considered significant. This study complied with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Freedom of participation and protection of personal information were carefully considered in this study.

Results

Years of nursing experience and the number of times participating in STAS-J assessment

Among the 48 nurses who participated in this study, the number of years of nursing experience was 6.9 ± 5.4 years and the number of times participating in STAS-J assessment was 2.5 ± 1.4 times.

Changes in awareness of care of nurses after STAS-J

The nurses’ responses to the 22 self-evaluation questionnaire items were compared over time (Figure 1). For items other than information exchange with doctors, pharmacists, physical therapists, and dietitians, whenever the number of times of STAS-J assessment increased, the nurses’ self-evaluation responses improved. In particular, the items of dealing with pain, dealing with physical symptoms other than pain, or managing problems with the patient not fully recognizing the condition of their disease showed a larger improvement than items related to noticing how a patient or their family was handling the situation. Moreover, large improvements were also observed in the items about information exchange with doctors and nurse aids, and communication with the patient and their family. As shown in Figure 1, the results of the surveys performed after the virtual case assessment and after assessment of the first five inpatients exhibited almost equivalent values, suggesting that the reliability of the questionnaire was acceptable.

![Figure 1: Changes in awareness of care of nurses after STAS-J assessment. The results of the 22-item questionnaire for the 48 nurses surveyed are shown over time. Responses for each item were given on a four-point scale with 1 indicating “I can do it well”, 2 indicating “I can do it moderately”, 3 indicating “I can seldom do it”, and 4 indicating “I cannot do it at all.”](image-url)
dentists (p<0.05). Comparison of the first and third surveys showed no significant improvement in the items of noticing anxiety of the patient’s family and information exchange with pharmacists, physical therapists, and clerks (p>0.05) (Table 1).

| Items                                         | Significance level | p-value |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------|
| Noticing pain                                | 775               | 0.011*  |
| Dealing with pain                            | 677.5             | 0.001***|
| Noticing physical symptoms other than pain   | 770               | 0.006*  |
| Dealing with physical symptoms other than pain | 664.5             | 0.001***|
| Noticing anxiety                             | 778.5             | 0.011** |
| Dealing with anxiety                         | 741.5             | 0.009***|
| Noticing anxiety of the patient’s family     | 826               | 0.068   |
| Dealing with anxiety of the patient’s family | 809.5             | 0.040   |
| Grasp of the patient’s recognition of the condition of their disease | 816               | 0.042** |
| Managing problems with the patient not fully recognizing the condition of their disease | 755               | 0.012*  |
| Grasp of the patient’s family’s recognition of the condition of the disease | 728               | 0.006** |
| Managing problems with the patient’s family’s recognition of the condition of the disease | 652               | 0.001***|
| Grasp of communication with the patient and their family | 604               | 0.000***|
| Managing problems with communication with the patient and their family | 781               | 0.025** |
| Information exchange with doctors            | 313               | 0.000***|
| Information exchange with dentists           | 764               | 0.025** |
| Information exchange with pharmacists        | 980.5             | 0.213   |
| Information exchange with physical therapists | 906               | 0.215   |
| Information exchange with nurse aids         | 684.5             | 0.002** |
| Information exchange with clerks             | 914               | 0.237   |
| Information exchange with dietitians          | 715               | 0.004** |
| Providing information to the patient and their family | 648               | 0.000***|

For each item, statistical significance was examined before the introduction of STAS-J and after assessment of the first five inpatients. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine statistical significance. *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.

**Table 1:** Statistical significance test results of changes in awareness of care among nurses after STAS-J.

**Comparison of wards**

Nurses in the general ward treating patients mainly for solid tumors showed more significant changes in awareness of care than nurses in the aseptic ward. Significant improvements were observed in the items of dealing with anxiety of the patient’s family, grasp of the patient’s family’s recognition of the condition of the disease, managing problems with the patient’s family’s recognition of the condition of the disease, and information exchange with physical therapists, nurse aids, clerks, and dietitians (p<0.05) (Table 2).

| Items                                          | Mann-Whitney U test | Wilcoxon W test | p-value |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---------|
| Years of experience as a nurse                 | 2097                | 4375            | 0.3426  |
| Number of times participating in STAS-J assessment | 241.5              | 517.5           | 0.4497  |
| Noticing pain                                  | 1926                | 4204            | 0.0928  |
| Dealing with pain                              | 2033                | 4311            | 0.3119  |
Noticing physical symptoms other than pain 1909 4187 0.0822
Dealing with physical symptoms other than pain 1970 4248 0.1694
Noticing anxiety 1897.5 4108.5 0.0847
Dealing with anxiety 2123.5 4268.5 0.9106
Noticing anxiety of the patient’s family 1948.5 4226.5 0.1859
Dealing with anxiety of the patient’s family 1728.5 4006.5 0.0136
Grasp of the patient’s recognition of the condition of their disease 1914.5 4192.5 0.0957
Managing problems with the patient not fully recognizing the condition of their disease 1872 4150 0.0752
Grasp of the patient’s family’s recognition of the condition of the disease 1784.5 3995.5 0.0435
Managing problems with the patient’s family’s recognition of the condition of the disease 1625.5 3836.5 0.0046
Grasp of communication with the patient and their family 2092.5 4370.5 0.5218
Managing problems with communication with the patient and their family 1857 4135 0.0769
Information exchange with doctors 2021.5 4232.5 0.2871
Information exchange with dentists 2004.5 4149.5 0.3314
Information exchange with pharmacists 1887.5 4233.5 0.0854
Information exchange with physical therapists 1322 3668 0.0000
Information exchange with nurse aids 1446 3792 0.001
Information exchange with clerks 1434.5 3780.5 0.0001
Information exchange with dietitians 357 1438 0.0000
Providing information to the patient and their family 2059 4270 0.5196

The significance of changes in awareness of care among nurses in the general ward treating patients with solid tumor over that of nurses treating patients with hematological malignancies was verified. For each item, statistical significance was examined before the introduction of STAS-J and after assessment of the first five inpatients. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine statistical significance. *p<0.05.

Table 2: Comparison of changes in awareness of care between nurses in the general ward and the aseptic ward.

Nurses’ impressions of STAS-J

Twenty percent of nurses commented that STAS-J was useful for understanding palliative care and for reviewing their nursing skills. Eighteen percent commented that STAS-J met their expectations as a tool for connecting to other hospitals. Furthermore, 12% recognized STAS-J as an effective tool for allowing that to grasp a patient’s pain and mental condition. Nine percent of nurses realized there was a lack of communication with medical staff other than doctors, and 1% commented that STAS-J enables establishment of a common perspective among medical staff. Although around 60% of nurses expressed positive impressions of STAS-J, some problems were also noted. For example, 31% mentioned that it was difficult to determine the appropriate score by themselves and 3% felt whether their scoring was correct (Figure 2). Some of the important comments from the nurses surveyed are listed in Table 3.

| Category | Comment |
|----------|---------|
| Usefulness of STAS-J for nurses | Improved understanding of palliative care of cancer patients  
Good opportunity to review nursing skills |
| Expectations for STAS-J use | Possible application in other hospitals |
| STAS-J is a useful tool to assess a patient’s condition | Useful in understanding the patient’s pain  
Useful in understanding the patient’s mental condition |
| Recognition of lack of communication | Poor communication with medical staff other than doctors |
**Discussion**

In order to provide high quality palliative care, the level of care must be evaluated with high validity. The reliability of STAS-J was already shown in PCUs [10] and in university hospitals [14]. In this study, we verified that STAS-J is useful for improving the quality of palliative care in ACHs by assessing changes in awareness of care among nurses.

One possible reason why the score for information exchange with doctors improved after the introduction of STAS-J might be that nurses had more chances to communicate with doctors in joint conferences. However, the score for information exchange with other medical staff did not improve, possibly because of limited chances to communicate with them in daily clinical work. Since direct information exchanges between nurses and other medical staff did not improve after STAS-J assessments in the present study, this may indicate an important issue for future research.

The evaluated score of physical symptoms was high after the introduction of STAS-J. Since patients tend to complain about their physical symptoms and nurses can easily assess such symptoms, changes in awareness among nurses after STAS-J assessment might have been induced by the daily care of patients [14]. We speculated that the reason the score for physical symptoms improved more than that of psychological symptoms was that medical staff can evaluate physical symptoms quantitatively, but psychological symptoms tend to be evaluated qualitatively. Therefore, in the future, we need to research how to effectively evaluate these two types of symptoms from the viewpoint of patients. Furthermore, good evaluation results were obtained over repeated STAS-J assessments and the results were closely related, suggesting that STAS-J is a useful tool to create adequate awareness of the important points of palliative care among nurses.

Significant changes in awareness of care were not observed for many items between nurses in the general ward and the aseptic ward. Interestingly, general ward nurses showed greater changes in awareness in items regarding care of the patient’s family, and in the items about information exchange with physical therapists, nurse aids, clerks, and dietitians. Since changes in awareness of care in general ward and aseptic ward nurses have yet to be clarified, it is still an important issue to be examined.

While many nurses pointed out the usefulness of STAS-J in their free description responses, difficulties with scoring and evaluation of the items that are not suitable for objective assessment, such as the patient’s recognition of the condition of their disease or communication were also reported. STAS-J includes relatively difficult quantitative evaluation of the items that are not suitable for objective assessment, such as the patient’s recognition of the condition of their disease or communication. We should exercise caution in the face of such issues when STAS-J assessment is applied in acute wards of hospitals of various sizes and functions.

The present study had some limitations. First, the sample size was small, which may have limited interpretation of the data. We hope to conduct a subsequent study with a larger sample size in the future. Second, the questionnaire method was employed to examine changes in the awareness of care. Since the questionnaire method potentially possesses a limitation in terms of the reliability of measurements, we compared survey results obtained at two different times and found that the data were similar. There are few reports of the clinical usefulness of STAS-J, and methods of examining its usefulness of STAS-J, and methods of examining its usefulness are not firmly established. A recent study used the individual interview technique for assessing changes in awareness among nurses [15]. It may be important to continue to evaluate STAS-J using various methods.

**Table 3:** Selected free description comments about STAS-J assessment from the nurses surveyed.

| Poor communication with doctors |
|--------------------------------|
| STAS-J forms a common perspective among staff |
| Difficulties with scoring |
| Scoring the patient’s objective symptoms is difficult |
| Scoring the patient’s mental condition is difficult |
| Anxiety about scoring |
| Anxiety about the appropriateness of the nurses own scoring |

**Figure 2:** Categorized comments from nurses’ free description responses after STAS-J assessments. All nurses surveyed provided comments about STAS-J assessment in free description responses. These comments were categorized into eight groups and the percentage of comments in each category is shown. About 60% of nurses expressed a positive opinion of STAS-J assessment. Thirty-one percent of nurses described difficulties in scoring and 3% mentioned anxiety about scoring.

**Correlation between years of experience and changes in awareness**

No correlation in changes in awareness of care by years of nursing experience was observed in any items (data not shown).
The present study is the first to show the usefulness of STAS-J assessment in acute wards by inducing changes in the awareness of care of nurses with quantifiable and statistical analyses. Since STAS-J exhibited the potential to improve the quality of palliative care in a university hospital, further examination of the effectiveness of STAS-J assessment in various kinds of hospitals is required.
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