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Abstract

The “shuttle effect” of soluble lithium polysulfides (LPS), which causes rapid capacity fading, remains a lingering issue for lithium-sulfur batteries (LSBs). Herein, we report a new type of reactive molecule-based (or molecular) LPS trapper, zinc acetate-diethanolamine (Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA), which demonstrated a molecular efficiency of 1.8 for LPS trapping, approaching its theoretical limit of 2. This is the highest trapping capability among all reported LPS trappers. During discharge the trapped polysulfides are much more thermodynamically favored for reduction compared to the non-trapped ones, while during charge the complex Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA formed in the previous discharging process can be more easily oxidized due to its lower energy barrier in comparison to Li$_2$S, indicating the catalytic effects of Zn$^{2+}$·DEA on the redox of sulfur species. Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA is also an excellent binder owing to its multiple intermolecular hydrogen bonds. LSBs using Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA as a LPS trapper, a binder, and a redox catalyst exhibited excellent long-term cycling stability (with a capacity retention of 85% after 1000 cycles at a rate of 0.5 C) and enhanced rate performance. The work demonstrated the potential of this novel type of multifunctional metal complex-based reactive molecular LPS trappers for high capacity and stable LSBs.

Introduction

The escalating demand for high energy density rechargeable batteries has not only driven the research on the improvement of traditional lithium ion batteries, but also spurred the development of next generation ultra-high energy density battery technologies such as lithium-oxygen and lithium-sulfur batteries (LSBs)$^{1,2}$. In particular, LSBs possessing a high theoretical specific energy of 2600 Wh kg$^{-1}$ together with the very low cost of sulfur have attracted extensive attention for energy storage applications in recent years$^{1,3-6}$. However, there are still numerous issues that impede commercializing LSBs. One of them is the so-called “shuttle effect”$^7$, whereas soluble long-chain lithium polysulfides (LPS, Li$_2$S$_x$, 4 ≤ x ≤ 8) intermediates produced during discharge diffuse to and react with the Li anode to form an insoluble and insulating Li$_2$S layer on the anode surface$^{8-10}$. This causes continuous loss of active sulfur and an increased impedance of the anode surface, leading to fast fading of battery performance. On the other hand, the formation of soluble LPS is also considered necessary for increasing sulfur utilization and thus a higher specific capacity through a promotion of their subsequent reactions to produce shorter lithium sulfides (Li$_2$S$_2$ and Li$_2$S)$^{11}$.

To tackle the “shuttle effect”, numerous efforts have been devoted to explore various materials that can keep or trap the soluble LPS within the sulfur cathode. Mesoporous carbon materials were firstly employed to trap LPS through the spatial confinement and the physical adsorption of LPS on the large surface area of these carbon materials; however, due to the weak intermolecular interaction, LPS readily diffuse out of the pores and the battery capacity degrades rapidly within tens of cycles$^3$. It was found that incorporation of heteroatoms such as N$^{12}$, O$^{13}$ and B$^{14}$ in carbon materials can significantly enhance their LPS trapping capabilities due to the strong polar-polar interactions between these heteroatoms and
LPS. Organic polymers and frameworks containing heteroatoms have also shown good LPS trapping effects\textsuperscript{9,15,16}. Many metal compounds such as metal oxides\textsuperscript{17}, sulfides\textsuperscript{18}, nitrides,\textsuperscript{19} and carbides\textsuperscript{20} exhibit strong polar-polar interactions between the metal cations (or anions) in these compounds and the $S_x^{2-}$ (or Li$^+$) ions in LPS\textsuperscript{21}. Some metal compounds such as metal-organic frameworks (e.g. the Ni-based MOF)\textsuperscript{22} and MXenes (e.g. Ti$_2$C)\textsuperscript{23} have even stronger interactions with LPS to form metal-sulfur chemical bonds.

Nonetheless, since the previously reported LPS trappers are solid aggregates the adsorption of LPS occurs on the surface and thus the quantity of immobilized LPS critically relies on the available surface area of the trappers\textsuperscript{24}. Although the surface to volume ratio can be increased laboriously by reducing the particle size or making mesoporous structures\textsuperscript{25,26}, there would be always a large portion of inaccessible materials and a large amount of LPS trappers are required to achieve a satisfactory battery lifetime. Furthermore, most of the LPS trappers are incorporated as additives in the cathode in addition to the required components, i.e., sulfur, conductive carbon and binder. Consequently, the use of these known LPS trappers would inevitably reduce the sulfur content in the cathode and thus the energy density of LSBs\textsuperscript{27}.

In this study, we report the use of a simple organometallic compound, zinc acetate-diethanolamine complex ($\text{Zn(OAc)}_2\cdot\text{DEA}$) as a new type of reactive molecular LPS trapper, which showed an ultra-high mass trapping efficiency ($\eta_m$) of 1.3 g\textsubscript{LPS} g\textsubscript{trapper}$^{-1}$ (LPS = Li$_2$S$_6$, trapper = Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA). This value is equivalent to 1.8 LPS molecules being trapped by each Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA molecule, or a “molecular efficiency ($\eta_n$)” of 1.8 mol\textsubscript{LPS} mol\textsubscript{trapper}$^{-1}$, which approaches the theoretical limit of 2 mol\textsubscript{LPS} mol\textsubscript{trapper}$^{-1}$ for Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA, indicating that almost all Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA molecules can participate in the LPS trapping. Both the mass and molecular trapping efficiencies of Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA far exceed those of the LPS trappers reported so far. It was found that the $S_x^{2-}$ anions of LPS formed in the cathode during discharge spontaneously replace the acetate anions in Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA to form Zn($S_x$Li)$_2\cdot$DEA, while during charge Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA is regenerated with the release of sulfides and replenishment of acetate anions. This regenerative process maintains the LPS trapping ability of Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA and achieves high sulfur utilization during long-term cycling. In addition, Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA showed notable catalytic effects to promote the reactions of sulfur species in both discharge and charge processes. Furthermore, Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA has strong multiple intermolecular hydrogen bonds to form a 3-dimensional (3D) network, which eliminates the need of an additional binder material. Therefore, the sulfur cathode composite using Zn(OAc)$_2\cdot$DEA as a LPS trapper, a binder, and redox catalyst showed excellent cycling stability, high rate performance, and low self-discharge.

**Results**

**Synthesis and characterization of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA**
The Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA complex was conveniently prepared by mixing zinc acetate and DEA with a molar ratio of 1:1 in ethanol at room temperature without purification. Its $^1$H NMR spectrum (Fig. 1A and Fig. S1A) showed three peaks at 1.98, 2.95 and 3.75 ppm with integral ratios of 3:2:2, which are assigned to the H atoms in CH$_3$, CH$_2$-N and O-CH$_2$, respectively$^{28}$. There were no observable starting materials and other impurities, indicating the quantitative formation of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA (Fig. S2A-B). Previous single crystal XRD studies revealed that each Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA molecule forms six hydrogen bonds with two neighboring Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA molecules, which include 2’ O-H···O=C (1.792Å), 2’ O-H···O-Zn (1.918 Å), and 2’ N-H···O=C (2.294Å) (Fig. S1B-C)$^{28}$. These multiple hydrogen bonds would form a 3D interconnected network in the Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA solid. The rather flexible DEA ligands and reversible formation and dissociation of hydrogen bonds make Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA a potential “self-healable” sulfur cathode binder that can cushion the large volume change (up to 80%) of sulfur species between charge and discharge to maintain the structural integrity of the sulfur cathode. It is noticed that there exist large spaces between neighboring Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA molecules, which would make polysulfide anions accessible to the Zn$^{2+}$ cations for the facile entrapment of LPS.

**Electrochemical performance of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA based sulfur electrode**

To test the ability of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA as a binder, it was mixed with Super P with a mass ratio of 1:3.6 in a mixture of ethanol and water (v:v =1:1). A very uniform slurry with excellent coating properties was obtained. The XRD pattern of a dried Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA/Super P sample from the slurry showed no obvious diffraction peaks originated from Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA, indicating that Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA was well dispersed in the blend (Fig. S3). Accordingly, we prepared the sulfur cathode slurry using elemental sulfur as active material, Super P as conductor, and Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA as binder with a mixture solvent of ethanol and water (v:v =1:1), which showed a very good film forming property, comparable to that prepared using PVDF as binder in N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) (Fig. S4). The electrochemical performance of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA based electrode was then evaluated in comparison to the PVDF based electrode.

As shown in Fig. 2A, the CV profile of the Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA based cathode at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$ showed the typical two pairs of cathodic/anodic peaks, corresponding to the two-step reversible redox reaction between elemental sulfur (S$_8$) and Li$_2$S$^{29,30}$. The PVDF based cathode also showed two pairs of redox peaks; however, both reduction and oxidation peaks, particularly the second reduction and oxidation peaks, are much delayed. This indicates that the redox kinetics of sulfur species in the Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA based electrode is enhanced$^{31,32}$. A cell containing Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA and Super P (1:1) without sulfur was also cycled under the same conditions, which showed that Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA is stable in the potential range of 1.7-2.8 V that is the typical charge/discharge potential range for LSBs (Fig. S5A). When the Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA electrode was cycled on a battery tester at 0.1 C, it exhibited an initial specific discharge capacity of 1170 mAh g$^{-1}$, which increased by 14% compared to the PVDF based electrode.
(1025 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 2B). The former also showed a higher capacity in the first discharge plateau (388 mAh g\(^{-1}\) vs. 340 mAh g\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. S5B), indicating its higher sulfur utilization\(^{33}\). Besides, the discharge-charge plateau gap for the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA electrode is only 143 mV, much smaller than that (200 mV) for the PVDF based electrode, implying its fast reaction kinetics\(^{18}\), which agrees with the CV results. Furthermore, no obvious valley between the first and second plateaus was observed in the discharge curve of the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA based electrode, while there is a large overpotential of 39 mV for the PVDF based electrode, which suggests that the interfacial energy barriers for the nucleation and deposition of Li\(_2\)S were reduced in the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA based electrode (Fig. S5B)\(^{34}\). At 0.2 C, the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA based electrode achieved a capacity retention of 95% after 100\(^{th}\) cycles, which is a significant improvement compared to that (57%) for the PVDF based electrode (Fig. 2C). Moreover, a much improved rate performance with capacity ~800 mAh g\(^{-1}\) at a rate of 2 C was obtained for the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA based electrode due to its enhanced reaction kinetics (Fig. 2D). More importantly, the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA based electrode demonstrated an excellent long-term cycling stability with a capacity retention of 85% after 1000 cycles at a rate of 0.5 C, which corresponds to a very low capacity fading rate of 0.015% per cycle (Fig. 2E). Additionally, the open circuit potential (OCP) of the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA based battery was also very stable, achieving 99% retention after ten days, while the PVDF based battery only had 82% OCP retention, indicating the much lower self-discharge of the former (Fig. S5C)\(^{35}\).

**Interactions between Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA and LPS**

The greatly enhanced cycling stability of the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA based electrode is considered to be mainly contributed by the efficient LPS trapping capability of Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA. To quantify the LPS trapping capability of Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA, an ultraviolet-visible (UV-vis) spectrometer was used to measure the supernatant solutions of the Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA/Super P composite with addition of different amounts of Li\(_2\)S\(_6\) in the same solvent used for the battery electrolyte, 1,3-dioxolane/1,2-dimethoxyethane (DOL/DME, \(v:v = 1:1\)) (Fig. S6 and Table S1)\(^{24}\). The commonly used parameters to describe the LPS trapping capability, such as \(g_{LPS} m_{trapper}^{-2}\) and \(g_{LPS} g_{trapper}^{-1}\), are not very suitable for evaluating the trapping capability of a molecular LPS trapper, like Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA. Therefore, we defined the “molecular efficiency (\(n_n\))”, i.e., the number of molecules (or moles) of LPS trapped by one molecule (or mole) of LPS trapper, as a new parameter for the evaluation of the LPS trapping capability of molecular LPS trappers (see the more detailed definition of \(n_n\) in the Supporting Information). The molecular efficiency \(h_n\) of Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA for trapping Li\(_2\)S\(_6\) was calculated from the UV-vis absorption data to be 1.8 mol\(_{LPS}\) mol\(_{trapper}^{-1}\), i.e., each Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA molecule is capable of trapping 1.8 LPS molecules. This corresponds to a high mass efficiency \(h_m\) for LPS trapping of 1.3 \(g_{LPS} g_{trapper}^{-1}\). The LPS trapping capability of Zn(OAc)\(_2\)·DEA far exceeds those of all LPS trappers reported so far (Table S2 and Fig. S7).
The LPS trapping mechanism of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA was studied by using $^1$H NMR by adding a Li$_2$S$_6$ solution in DOL/DME to a Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA solution in deuterated methanol (CD$_3$OD). When one molar equivalent (eq.) of Li$_2$S$_6$ was added, white precipitates formed immediately (see the movie in S1 and the photo in Fig. S8A). When adding 2 eq. of Li$_2$S$_6$, the solution firstly became turbid, which is similar to that with addition of 1 eq. of Li$_2$S$_6$, but soon turned into a clear yellow solution (see the movie in S2 and the photo in Fig. S8B). The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the obtained solution of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA:2 Li$_2$S$_6$ (Fig. 1A) showed the complete disappearance of the peaks H$_a$ and H$_b$, which belong to Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA, and the appearance of two new peaks H$_a'$ and H$_b'$, which can be assigned to the DEA ligand. The peak originated from two acetate anions shifted from 1.98 ppm (H$_c$) for Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA to 1.90 ppm (H$_c'$) for Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA:2 Li$_2$S$_6$, indicating the formation of lithium acetate in the latter (Fig. S2C). Furthermore, the integral ratios of H$_a'$ : H$_b'$ : H$_c'$ are 2:2:3 indicate that the two acetate anions in each Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA complex were completed removed by 2 eq. of Li$_2$S$_6$. With further increasing the amount of Li$_2$S$_6$ (up to 7 molar eq.) no noticeable changes in the $^1$H NMR spectrum of the resulting mixture of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA and Li$_2$S$_6$ were observed (Fig. S9). Based on the above NMR data, it is most likely that a reaction proposed in Fig. 1B occurred, that is, each Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA reacted with or trapped two Li$_2$S$_6$ molecules to form a complex Zn(S$_6$Li)$_2$·DEA, which agrees well with the result obtained with UV-vis spectroscopy. We also mixed Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA with 2 eq. Li$_2$S in CD$_3$OD and observed the formation of white precipitates immediately (see movie S3 and Fig. S10A), indicating that Li$_2$S can readily react with Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA as well. The $^1$H NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture (Fig. S10B) also showed the release of two lithium acetate molecules, indicating that Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA possibly formed (Fig. S10C). When this reaction mixture was further mixed with 2 eq. of Li$_2$S$_6$, most of the white precipitates disappeared and a slightly turbid yellow solution resembling that of Zn(S$_6$Li)$_2$·DEA was obtained (movie S4 and Fig. S11A). The NMR spectrum of this solution (Fig. S11B) indeed showed the formation of Zn(S$_6$Li)$_2$·DEA, indicating that Li$_2$S$_6$ can readily react with Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA to form Zn(S$_6$Li)$_2$·DEA with the release of Li$_2$S. This indicates that Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA can also trap soluble LPS.

The interactions between Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA and LPS during discharge and charge were further examined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The high resolution XPS spectra of Zn 2p showed a binding energy of 1022.5 eV for the fresh Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA based electrode (Fig. 3A), which is similar to that of Zn in zinc acetate (Fig. S12). After discharging to 2.2 V and then 1.7 V, the binding energy decreased to 1021.6 eV and 1021.3 eV, respectively, which is most likely due to the formation of Zn-S$_x$ bonds with longer ($x = 4-8$) and shorter sulfide chains ($x = 1$), respectively. When the electrode recharged to 2.8 V, the binding energy returned to 1022.2 eV, which is very close to that of the fresh electrode. This indicates that the sulfide anions in Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA in the discharged cathode were oxidized to form elemental sulfur, while the Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA complex was regenerated during charge. There is a possibility that instead of acetate anions TFSI or nitrate anions in the electrolyte combine with Zn$^{2+}$, but the Zn binding energy in Zn(TFSI)$_2$ or Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$ would be quite different at 1023.1 eV (Fig. S12) and 1021.3 eV$^{36}$, respectively, if these
products were formed. After fully discharging to 1.7 V, the S 2p spectra of the cathode showed the formation of Li$_2$S (71%) with the rest (29%) being Li$_2$S$_2^{31,32}$ and Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA. The formation of elemental sulfur and complete disappearance of Li$_2$S were observed after fully recharging to 2.8 V (Fig. 3B)$^{32}$. Surprisingly, a significant amount of Li$_2$S (13%) was detected in the cathode when the battery was partially discharged to 2.2 V in the first discharge plateau, at which Li$_2$S should be absent$^{31,37}$. This strongly indicates that formation of Li$_2$S was promoted in the presence of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA.

Based on the above results, we proposed a reaction cycle involving Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA during the redox (discharge/charge) in the sulfur cathode as shown in Fig. 4. Specifically, when the battery is discharged, the formed LPS (Li$_2$S$_x$, 4≤x≤8) readily replace the acetate anions in Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA, forming a new complex Zn(S$_x$Li)$_2$·DEA (I). As the discharging process continues, (I) converts to the final complex Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA (II) along with the formation of Li$_2$S and Li$_2$S$_2$. When the battery is (re)charged, the sulfide (S$^2$) anions in (II) are oxidized to form elemental sulfur (S$_8$), while acetate anions come back to Zn$^{2+}$ to regenerate the Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA complex. The very high $\eta_n$ of 1.8 mol$_{\text{LPS}}$ mol$_{\text{trap}}^{-1}$ obtained by the UV-vis measurement, which approaches the theoretical limit of 2 mol$_{\text{LPS}}$ mol$_{\text{trap}}^{-1}$ for Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA, indicates that almost all Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA molecules in the cathode would participate in the LPS trapping. In addition, as revealed by the NMR analysis, (II) may further trap long chain LPS to reproduce (I). The strong and repetitive LPS trapping capability of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA largely accounts for the outstanding long-term cycling of the Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA based LSBs. Additionally, Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA has the rather flexible DEA ligand and reversible formation and dissociation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds or “a self-healing effect”, which may render it an excellent binder that can cushion the large volume oscillation of sulfur species during cycling, which makes a further contribution to the remarkable battery lifetime.

**The influences of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA on the redox of sulfur species**

The electronic states of the sulfur species in complexes (I) and (II) shown in Fig. 4 may be altered by Zn$^{2+}$ and thus both reduction of LPS to form Li$_2$S and oxidation of Li$_2$S to form S$_8$ are possibly promoted as shown in the CV and galvanostatical test results. To gain an insight into catalytic effects of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA on the charge/discharge processes of the sulfur cathode, first-principles density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Cambridge serial total energy package (CASTEP) code$^{38}$. The discharge process from S$_8$ and Li to Li$_2$S involves the formation of different intermediates, namely, Li$_2$S$_8$, Li$_2$S$_6$, Li$_2$S$_4$, and Li$_2$S$_2^{30,39}$. We propose that corresponding sulfides, Zn(S$_8$Li)$_2$·DEA, Zn(S$_6$Li)$_2$·DEA, Zn(S$_4$Li)$_2$·DEA, and Zn(S$_2$Li)$_2$·DEA may form during discharge. The geometries of these zinc complexes
and (II) were optimized as shown in Fig. 5A, while the geometries of S₈ and corresponding Li₂Sₓ are shown in Fig. S13 for comparison. The adsorption conformations of these compounds on the graphene substrate, which represents the surface of the conductive carbon, Super P, were also optimized (Fig. S14 and S15). The Gibbs free energy changes (ΔG) for the reduction reactions of sulfur species are denoted as ΔG₁ (S₈ → S₈²⁻), ΔG₂ (S₈²⁻ → S₆²⁻), ΔG₃ (S₆²⁻ → S₄²⁻), ΔG₄ (S₄²⁻ → S₂²⁻), and ΔG₅ (S₂²⁻ → S²⁻), respectively (see the detailed reactions in Supplementary Information). Fig. 5B shows the Gibbs free energy changes for the reduction reactions of sulfur species with or without Zn²⁺·DEA. It can be clearly seen that the reactions of sulfur species with Zn²⁺·DEA have markedly more negative Gibbs free energy changes compared with all the sulfur species without Zn²⁺·DEA, revealing that the former reactions are thermodynamically much more favored. It should be mentioned that the magnitude of ΔG₁ for the reduction between S₈ and Zn(OAc)₂·DEA to form Zn(S₈Li)₂·DEA is larger than that for the reduction of S₈ to form Li₂S₈, indicating that the former may occur in the beginning of discharge (as shown in Fig. 4 and Supplementary Information). Furthermore, while the magnitude of ΔG for the reactions without Zn²⁺·DEA decreases with decreasing the sulfide chain length, that for the ones with Zn²⁺·DEA remains large. These results indicate that once (I) with long chain sulfides formed, it would be more readily reduced to form a complex with shorter sulfides in comparison to the free sulfides. For the last step reduction from S₂²⁻ to S²⁻, in particular, the absolute value of ΔG₅ for the formation of Zn(SLi)₂·DEA (II) is more than two-fold that of the reduction from Li₂S₂ to Li₂S. This suggests that (II) may form during the first discharge plateau. As shown in the NMR data, (II) may further react with (or trap) LPS to reproduce (I). Li₂S is released in this step, which was observed at a discharge potential of 2.2 V by the XPS measurement, while the newly formed (I) starts a new journey towards (II). Therefore, one Zn(OAc)₂·DEA may trap numerous LPS during one discharge.

In the charge process, the regeneration of S₈ may start from the dissociation of Li₂S to yield LiS and Li⁺ (Fig. S16) or the dissociation of (II) to yield Zn(S₂Li)·DEA and Li⁺ (Fig. 5C). The energy profiles of the dissociation of Li₂S and (II) are shown in Fig. 5D. The dissociation energies of Li₂S and (II) on graphene are 1.77 and 1.81 eV, respectively, indicating that the latter requires a slightly larger net energy to dissociate. However, the energy barrier for the dissociation of (II) is 2.14 eV, which is much lower than that (2.47 eV) for the dissociation of Li₂S, indicating that (II) can be oxidized (or charged) more easily than Li₂S. Once Zn(OAc)₂·DEA is recovered, it may react with Li₂S to form (II) again, which can start a new dissociation cycle, or trap longer sulfides during charge. This may interpret the promoted charging process (lower charging potentials) as shown in Fig. 2B. The above DFT calculation results support the enhanced reduction and oxidation kinetics manifested in the longer first discharge plateau, smaller charge/discharge polarization, and excellent high rate performance.

Discussions
Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA was investigated as a novel type of reactive molecular LPS trapper for LSBs. The UV-vis spectroscopy measurement showed that each Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA molecule traps 1.8 LPS molecules in average. NMR results indicate that each Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA molecule can react with two LPS molecules, which suggest that the observed molecular trapping efficiency approaches its theoretical limit of 2 mol$_{LPS}$ mol$_{trapper}^{-1}$. This is the most efficient LPS trapper reported thus far. Furthermore, DFT calculations show that all LPS trapped by Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA have a much stronger tendency to be reduced to form shorter sulfides, indicating that Zn$^{2+}$·DEA can catalyze the reduction (or discharge) of sulfur species in the sulfur cathode. On the other hand, NMR analysis proves that the final Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA can also trap LPS to resume a new reduction cycle. Therefore, one Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA molecule is capable of trapping and promoting the reduction of multiple LPS molecules during one discharge process. In the charging process, Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA can be regenerated from Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA produced in the previous discharge process, which maintains the LPS trapping ability of Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA and high sulfur utilization during long-term cycling. In addition, Zn(SLi)$_2$·DEA was found to have significantly lower energy barrier for oxidation of S$^{2-}$ than the corresponding Li$_2$S, suggesting that the charging kinetics is also significantly promoted. Due to the presence of multiple intermolecular hydrogen bonds and the flexibility of DEA ligands, Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA also functions as a self-healable binder for the sulfur cathode composite to cushion the drastic volume change of the sulfur species during cycling. LSBs using Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA as a LPS trapper, a binder, and a redox catalyst demonstrated excellent cycling stability, high rate performance, and low self-discharge. This work presented a novel type of metal complex-based multifunctional LPS trapping binders that may open a new venue toward high performance LSBs and possibly other types of batteries with enhanced performance.

Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA is the first example of this type of LPS trappers. Among the vast number of transition metal-organic ligand complexes that are similar to Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA, many are potential high efficiency reactive molecular LPS trappers. The molecular efficiency of this type metal complex trappers may be further improved by using transition metals with higher valences, while the use of lighter metals and smaller ligands and anions would further increase the mass trapping efficiency.

**Methods**

**Synthesis of zinc acetate-diethanolamine complex (Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA).** Zinc acetate dihydrate (Zn(OAc)$_2$·2H$_2$O, 5 mmol, 1.097 g) and diethanolamine (HN(CH$_2$CH$_2$OH)$_2$, DEA, 5 mmol, 0.526 g) were dissolved in absolute ethanol (10 mL) and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. A transparent Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA complex solution was obtained, which was used without further purification.

**Synthesis of Li$_2$S$_6$.** Li$_2$S$_6$ solution was obtained by heating a mixture of Li$_2$S and elemental sulfur (S) with a molar ratio of 1:5 in a mixture solvent of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME) and 1,3-dioxolane (DOL) ($\nu:\nu=1:1$) at 80 °C for 12 h under stirring. The Li$_2$S$_6$ solution was diluted to 0.5 M for further use.
Fabrication of sulfur electrodes. Sulfur and Super P with a mass ratio of 6:4 were ground together and the mixture was heated at 155 °C for 12 h to obtain a sulfur/carbon composite. The Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA binder based electrodes were prepared by coating a slurry containing sulfur/carbon composite and Zn(OAc)$_2$·DEA with a mass ratio of 9:1 in ethanol and deionized water ($v$:$v$=1:1) on a carbon coated aluminum current collector. As comparison, the polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) binder based electrodes were prepared in a similar manner except of using PVDF as binder and $N$-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) as solvent. The coated electrodes were dried in ambient air overnight and then further dried at 50 °C in an oven overnight. The electrodes were cut into discs with a diameter of 12 mm and dried again at 50 °C in a vacuum oven for 12 h before being transferred into an argon-filled glovebox. The average sulfur loading on electrodes is 1.5 mg cm$^{-2}$.

Electrochemical measurements. Electrochemical studies were carried out on 2035 coin cells with lithium foil as anode, Celgard 2400 as separator and 1 M lithium bis(trifluoromethanesulfonyl)imide (LiTFSI) with 2 wt% LiNO$_3$ in a mixture of DME and DOL ($v$:$v$=1:1) as electrolyte. The coin cells were assembled in an argon-filled glove box with both O$_2$ and H$_2$O concentrations below 0.5 ppm. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements were conducted in a potential range of 1.7-2.8 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV s$^{-1}$. The electrochemical performance of cells was measured galvanostatically in a voltage window of 1.7-2.8 V on a Land 2001A battery test system at different current densities. Electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) were recorded at the open circuit potential (OCP) using a Bio-logic electrochemical potentiostat/galvanostat (VSP) in a frequency range from 1000 kHz to 0.1 Hz with an AC amplitude of 10 mV. Electrodes under different states for XPS analysis were prepared by discharging/charging the coin cells at the rate of 0.1 C.

Characterization. XRD measurements were carried out on a Bruker D8 Discover X-Ray Diffractometer using Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5418 Å). FT-IR analysis was performed on a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer. For XPS measurements, the samples were sealed in vials in the glovebox before being quickly transferred to an ultra-high vacuum chamber and measured with Thermo VG Scientific ESCALab 250 using a monochromated Al K-alpha X-ray source. UV-vis absorption spectra were measured on a Cary 7000 Universal Measurement Spectrophotometer (UMS). $^1$H NMR spectra were collected on a Bruker DPX 300MHz spectrometer.

Theoretical Calculation. The first-principles density functional calculations (DFT) used PW91 functional within the gradient-corrected (GGA) approximation$^{40}$ as implemented in the Cambridge serial total energy package (CASTEP) code$^{38}$. The Vanderbilt ultrasoft pseudopotential was used with a cutoff energy of 350.0 eV$^{41}$. Geometric convergence tolerances were set at a maximum force of 0.05 eV/Å, maximum energy change of $2 \times 10^{-5}$ eV/atom, maximum displacement of 0.002 Å and maximum stress of 0.1 GPa. Density mixing electronic minimizer was implemented and the self-consistent field (SCF) tolerance was set to accuracy of $2 \times 10^{-6}$ eV/atom for energy convergence. The Gibbs free energy change of each reaction is obtained by subtracting the Gibbs free energy of the reactants from the Gibbs free energy of the products.
Data availability

The data supporting the results of this work are available from the corresponding authors upon reasonable request.
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