Flow-aligned, single-shot fiber diffraction using a femtosecond X-ray free-electron laser
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1 | INTRODUCTION

Fiber diffraction has a long history in being used to determine the structures of biological filaments. In the early 1920’s Michael Polanyi adapted the earlier advances in X-ray diffraction studies (Friedrich, Knipping, & Laue, 1912; Bragg, 1913) to study natural fibers, such as cellulose, and formulated a theoretical concept of fiber diffraction (Polanyi, 1921; Polanyi & Weissenberg, 1923). X-ray fiber diffraction studies of tobacco mosaic virus (TMV) by Bernal and Fankuchen (1941) followed in the early 1940s. Yet X-ray fiber diffraction, as we know it, only started in the 1950s, most notably with the determination of the primary double helical structure of DNA (Franklin and Gosling, 1953; Watson and Crick, 1953; Wilkins et al., 1953). In 1958, Klug, Crick, & Wyckoff (1958) produced the formalism that allowed a 3D density map to be calculated from fiber diffraction data. However, only a limited number of filament systems have given rise to high-quality fiber diffraction patterns suitable for solving structures at near atomic resolution, such as in the cases of TMV (Namba and Stubbs, 1986) and flagella (Yamashita et al., 1998a).

In fiber diffraction, the X-ray diffraction pattern is unchanged as the sample is rotated around the fiber axis, which is a result of the random rotations of the constituent molecules about this axis. Additionally, many polymers repeat periodically along their lengths confining the scattering to layer lines of helical polymers. The intensity distribution along the layer lines is best expressed as a sum of Bessel functions of integer order (Holmes and Blow, 1965). Yet, only in rare cases do fibers naturally align to produce high quality fiber diffraction patterns, such as in muscle fibers (Huxley, 1953). For biopolymers that are semi-rigid or more flexible in vivo, the preparation of oriented, and some samples entrained in the fluid ejected from this virtual nozzle (GDVN). Here, a co-axial helium gas flow acts as a virtual nozzle (GDVN). Here, a co-axial helium gas flow acts as a virtual nozzle that focuses the liquid jet and by accelerating the fluid, the cross section of the liquid jet is reduced (Figure 1; Supporting Information Section SI 1) (DePonte et al., 2008). Filamentous samples entrained in the fluid ejected from this virtual nozzle align via extensional stress to the fluid’s convergent streamlines (Briatsky, Vinogradov, Isayev, & Podolsky, 1978). Bright, femtosecond X-ray free-electron laser (XFEL) pulses were used to repeatedly illuminate this filament-laden flow, producing a large number of diffraction patterns each from only tens to hundreds of different filaments. Finally, we extracted a subset of these single-shot patterns that showed sufficiently small disorientation and relatively low solvent scattering, which were averaged to produce a highly aligned fiber diffraction pattern with minimal solvent scattering.

Filaments in this system can be studied in their near-native solvent environment with minimal radiation damage due to the self-shuttering mechanism of ultrafast XFEL pulses (Neutze, Wouts, van der Spoel, Weckert, & Hajdu, 2000). Using actin filaments as a calibration sample, we further computationally re-aligned the already
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flow-aligned fiber diffraction patterns with a disorientation half-width-half-max standard deviation of about 5 degrees. This computational procedure re-aligns each noisy actin diffraction pattern to produce an average pattern that in turn maximizes the likelihood of generating the patterns we measured (details in Supporting Information S I5). We show that three other biologically relevant fiber systems flow-align in a similar manner.

2 | RESULTS

Four diverse filament systems were prepared to test the feasibility of studying the structures of periodic biological filament systems with an XFEL. Solutions of these samples were formed into continuous micro-jets focused to various diameters, which were intercepted by the XFEL (Figure 1). The jet diameter was controlled by the nozzle size, pressure of the liquid in the nozzle, and the rate of gas flow around the nozzle. In order to minimize the scatter from the jet solvent to vacuum interface, we selected the jet diameter to be larger (4–5 μm) than that of the X-ray beam diameter (0.2 or 1 μm). Single-shot diffraction images of these solvated samples were recorded on a CSPAD detector (Figures 2–5; Supporting Information Section SI 2), and then computationally processed and classified. For each sample, only patterns that showed prominent fiber diffraction features were averaged (Supporting Information Section SI 3).

2.1 | Actin

Actin filaments were generated by nucleation (Oosawa & Asakura, 1975) and pointed-end growth from calcium-activated gelsolin (Nag, Larsson, Robinson, & Burtnick, 2013) (Figure 1a). This procedure produces gelsolin-capped actin filaments in which there is a narrow length distribution. The average length of the filaments can be controlled by the gelsolin to actin ratio. At actin concentrations of 60 μM, using a 5 μm diameter micro-jet and an X-ray illuminated volume of approximately 0.2 × 0.2 × 5 μm, an estimated average of 100 filaments will fall into the X-ray beam as it intersects the center of the 5 μm diameter micro-jet, if flow-induced crowding effects are neglected. Under these conditions, the protein-to-solvent ratio based on volume is estimated to be approximately 1:200.

A total of 52,738 diffraction patterns were recorded and 26,610 of these were classified as containing small clusters of aligned filaments with weak background scattering (Figure 2a). These patterns were averaged giving the pattern shown in Figure 2b. The averaged pattern showed characteristic reflections of F-actin, namely the 5.96 and 5.1 nm layer lines arising from the right and left handed generic helices (Holmes, Popp, Gebhard, & Kabsch, 2012).
1990) (Figure 2c), demonstrating that the filaments remained intact in the micro-jet within the vacuum chamber. These layer lines were faint in single femtosecond snapshots but became more pronounced after unsupervised selection and averaging (Loh and Elser, 2009) (Figure 2B; Supporting Information Section SI 3).

The actin filaments flow-aligned remarkably well, in part due to stable injector conditions (Supporting Information Sections SI 4 and 5), and the resulting X-ray diffraction patterns were used to study the intrinsic fiber disorientation. The average fibril orientation was estimated for each diffraction pattern and the patterns computationally aligned before averaging. Subsequent averaging of the aligned patterns reduced the disorientation SD to 5 degrees, which represents the intrinsic disorientation as seen in a single shot.

**FIGURE 2** XFEL fiber diffraction from actin filaments colored according to photon intensity per detector pixel. (a) A total of 52,738 patterns were collected and 26,610 were classified as usable (small or medium cluster of aligned filaments), the remainder containing large, non-oriented clusters of filaments or strong background scattering. (b) An averaged XFEL fiber diffraction from the selected images that shows the most prominent layer lines. The 5.96 nm (order \( l = 6 \)) and 5.1 nm (order \( l = 7 \)) layer lines are clearly visible, which arise from the protomer spacing in the right and left handed long and short pitches of the actin helix, respectively. (boxes 1–3) Weaker, higher-order layer lines are also labeled and enhanced for contrast (e.g. 2.98 nm (order \( l = 13 \)) layer line, which arises from the separation of the long pitch actin strands) and (box 4) shows detector artifacts. (c) Qualitative comparison against features from a fiber diffraction pattern collected from capillary aligned F-actin on a rotating anode X-ray generator.

**FIGURE 3** XFEL fiber diffraction from E. coli type 1 pili colored according to photon intensity per detector pixel. (a) 81,352 such patterns were either classified as usable (containing a small cluster of aligned filaments) or unusable (large non-oriented clusters of filaments; strong scattering from edges of micro-jet; strong background scattering). Only the most intense, usable patterns were selected and averaged. (b) An averaged XFEL fiber diffraction from 11,244 images that shows the most prominent layer lines. The 2.44 nm layer line indicates the pitch of the helical pili. Additional reference rings (4.25, 2.6, 1.77 and 1.16 nm) shown here aid comparison with classical pattern in C. Relevant features are boxed. (box 1) the equatorial intensity at 4.2 nm, (box 2) the 2.44 nm layer line, and (box 3) the 1.16 nm layer line. Boxes 2 and 3 have been enhanced for contrast. (c) Qualitative features of synchrotron-based fiber diffraction pattern collected from capillary aligned P pill from E. coli strain ClapRHU845. The same resolution guides as in panel (b) are shown.
2.2 | Escherichia coli pili

The second filament system studied was type 1 pili that were sheared from the surface of *E. coli*. Pili are extracellular fibers produced mostly by Gram negative bacteria, and are crucial for attachment and survival in the environment, notably during infection in human and other animal hosts. Type 1 pili (and the structurally similar P pili) are largely composed of a stiff helical shaft formed by the polymerization of FimA subunits, with approximately 3 subunits per turn. The pilus shaft is 69 Å wide and can extend to lengths of over 1–2 μm (Figure 1b) (Brinton, 1965; Hahn et al., 2002). We injected *E. coli* pili into the XFEL pulses at a concentration of 2.6 mg/mL using a 5 μm diameter micro-jet. Assuming an average pilus is 250 nm long, we estimate approximately 100–150 pili in the X-ray illuminated volume (approximately 0.2 × 0.2 × 5 μm) of the micro-jet.

Diffraction patterns obtained (Figure 3a) and the averaged patterns (Figure 3b) showed prominent features at the approximately 2.4 nm layer line, approximately 1.16 nm layer line, and a peak on the equator at 4.2 nm. These features represent the helical repeat, the second order of the helical repeat, and the helical radius (2.5 nm to the center of a FimA subunit, whose width is 2.0–2.5 nm; see Supporting Information Sections SI 6 and 7). The presence and alignment of the pili fibers were
qualitatively confirmed by comparison of the averaged XFEL fiber pattern with that of synchrotron-based fiber diffraction image from oriented P pilus fibers (Figure 3c) (Bullitt and Makowski, 1995; Gong and Makowski, 1992). Comparison of Figure 3b and c shows a reasonable agreement between features from the two techniques.

2.3 | AgelN amyloid fibrils

The third filament system studied was a self-assembling peptide derived from a mutant gelsolin, which causes the disease Familial Amyloidosis Finnish-type (FAF) (Solomon, Page, Balch, & Kelly, 2012). In this case, a minimal peptide AgelN was synthesized (Fadika and Baumann, 2002). A solution of AgelN (1 mg/mL) in water produced regular fibrils after shaking for 120 h (Figure 1c). The improved uniformity in morphology of these fibrils allowed for investigation of their structural assembly. The fibrils were introduced into the XFEL within a 5 μm micro-jet at a concentration of AgelN of 6 mg/mL and 66,270 diffraction patterns recorded (Figure 4a).

Averaging the best diffraction images indicated reasonably low disorientation and shows a prominent meridional layer line, which is split into a doublet at approximately around 0.497 and 0.505 nm, and an equatorial reflection at approximately 1.1 nm (Figure 4b). These spacings indicate that the FAF fibrils are comprised of β-sheets running along the filament axis, and are stacked with a spacing of 1.1 nm across the filament axis, similar to that observed in other amyloid structures (Geddes, Parker, Atkins, & Beighton, 1968; Wille et al., 2009).

2.4 | G11A α-synuclein

The final filament system studied was α-synuclein fibrils (Figure 1d) that are known to be present as the main component of Lewy bodies, commonly associated with Parkinson’s disease. Several lines of evidence point to an 11-residue segment that spans residues 68–78 of α-synuclein, G11A, as being important for the aggregation and toxicity of the full-length protein (Du et al., 2003; El-Agnaf and Irvine, 2000; Giasson, Murray, Trojanowski, & Lee, 2001). Electron micrographs of aggregated G11A show needles with notably straight edges, suggesting they are crystalline to some degree (Figure 1d). The maximum width of the crystals is 200 nm, which is too small to detect by visible light microscopy. Only larger, fibrillar bundles can be observed under visible light (Supporting Information Section S1 8), and attempts to obtain X-ray diffraction patterns using a 1.5 μm diameter focus X-ray beam at the SPring8 beamline 32XU revealed only a single 4.6 Å reflection, spread over a wide arc (Figure 5d).

The combination of the micro-jet injector and XFEL source gave higher-resolution diffraction from the G11A sample, with patterns ranging from fiber diffraction (Figure 5a) to a single crystal lattice (Figure 5b). Most of the diffraction images had properties somewhere between these two extremes, where two or more lattices could be seen having similar orientations. The maximum projection image (Figure 5c) shows the maximum photon count received per pixel across all the collected diffraction patterns, which is observed as sharp reflections spread to form arcs. This projection enhances the location of the bright intensity peaks that stand out over the diffuse scattering. This indicates crystalline aggregates, with modest orientation from shot to shot. This suggests that with enough images and appropriate sorting algorithms it might be possible to obtain three-dimensional crystal diffraction data sets.

3 | DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated flow alignment of four different biological filament systems in a liquid micro-jet, and the production of diffraction patterns with femtosecond XFEL pulses. This allowed capture of single-shot diffraction features of approximately 100 filaments that permitted computational selection of the best single-shot diffraction patterns, which upon averaging gives improved fiber diffraction data.

The results show that the already flow-aligned filaments can be further computationally aligned to a disorientation standard deviation of approximately 5 degrees, that AgelN FAF filaments are comprised of stacked β-sheets, and that the G11A particles range in order from crystalline to fibrillar. The collection of data serially, rather than in bulk, indicates that it may be possible, with appropriate processing, to extract full 3D single crystal diffraction patterns from the data.

Overall, these data suggest that XFEL experiments on filamentous systems may be optimized in two ways: an aligned multifilament mode and a single filament mode. In the aligned multifilament mode there are opportunities to improve the flow alignment by optimizing, particle length, salt concentrations, pH and flow speeds (Oda, Makino, Yamashita, Namba, & Maeda, 1998). Charged filaments like F-actin have a tendency to form a nematic liquid crystalline phase above a certain critical concentration, which is the basis of alignment in conventional fiber diffraction studies (Onsager, 1949; Flory, 1956). For example above 150 μM, F-actin forms a uniform liquid crystalline phase, with a measured disorientation standard deviation of the filaments, depending on buffer conditions, between 7 and 15 degrees (Helfer, Panine, Carlier, & Davidson, 2005; Suzuki, Maeda, & Ito, 1991).

In a single filament mode, individual diffraction patterns can be computationally aligned and considerably sharpen the orientation distribution. Computational alignment may be limited by background scattering from the water jet. The background water scattering may be reduced by using a nebulizer that creates an aerosol suspension of solvent covered particles (Bogan, Starodub, Hampton, & Sierra, 2010), followed by a stack of gas-focusing elements. Focused particle beams of about 20 μm diameter have been are readily achieved by this method (Spence, Weierstall, & Chapman, 2012), and applied to XFEL imaging of aerosols (Loh et al., 2012).

There are many filament systems in biology, most of which are uncharacterized at the structural level (Fisher, Deane, & Wakefield, 2008; Uribe and Jay, 2009). Decorated actin or tubulin filaments are potential targets for XFEL-fiber diffraction. A wealth of novel actin-like proteins (ALPs) (Derman et al., 2009) and tubulin-like proteins (TLPs) (Pilhofer, Ladinsky, McDowall, Petroni, & Jensen, 2011) have been discovered in bacteria, which are involved in cellular processes such as DNA segregation, cell division and cell shape maintenance. Most of
these filaments are helical. Sequence homology between bacterial ALPs or TLPs is low and filament structures are highly diverse (Popp and Robinson, 2011; Popp et al., 2012), making them excellent targets for fiber diffraction studies. To date only one ALP (ParM-R1) has yielded fiber diffraction data for structure determination, due to its availability through high expression levels in E. coli (Popp et al., 2008). Similarly, analysis of genomic data has identified hundreds of different pilus systems that have not been characterized, either functionally or structurally (Hung, Knight, Woods, Pinkner, & Hultgren, 1996; Wurpel, Beatson, Totsika, Petty, & Schembri, 2013). Only type 1 and P pili, both important virulence factors for urinary tract infections, have been studied in detail (Brinton, 1965; Geibel and Waksman, 2011; Gong and Makowski, 1992; Hahn et al., 2002; Le Trong et al., 2010). Variations in assembly mechanisms are known to exist among different classes of pili (Zavjalov, Zav’yalova, Korpela, & Zav’yalov, 2007), and structural characterization of the pilus shaft could provide valuable insight into these systems that may help rationalize their function during infections as well as help in engineering for biotechnological applications. Finally, understanding the mechanisms of amyloid fibrils in various human diseases (Rambaran and Serpell, 2008) require architectural information which may be provided by further development of XFEL fiber diffraction methods.

The advantages of single filament and XFEL fiber diffraction methods over classical fiber diffraction methods are a requirement for significantly less protein, without the need for time-consuming, difficult, and sometimes unsuccessful, filament alignment protocols, and the opportunity to obtain 3D diffraction data from single filaments. The results presented demonstrate the potential of XFELs to extend the range of filament systems that can be studied by fiber diffraction. Single-shot diffraction patterns were recorded from approximately 100 aligned filaments, showing the potential to obtain structural information from particles that are too small and heterogeneous to be used in conventional synchrotron diffraction experiments. Taking advantage of the throughput imaging of XFELs, the heterogeneous sample preparation of G11A was shown to contain both nano-sized crystals and fibrils. Computational techniques were developed to classify the corresponding diffraction patterns and reduce the disorientation by removing the blurring due to jet instability, in particular to identify changes in the angle of the jet relative to the beam. The diffraction patterns collected indicate the possibility of recording single filament data provided that the solvent background can be significantly reduced. XFEL fiber diffraction may also be capable of providing time resolved data, trapping the structure of intermediate states or following the fates of dynamically unstable filament systems.

4 METHODS

4.1 Filament preparation

Actin was prepared according to Spudich and Watt (1971) with small modifications (Wang, Robinson, & Burtnick, 2010) and gelsolin was prepared as described in Nag et al. (2009). F-actin samples for XFEL fiber diffraction were prepared by adding gelsolin to monomeric actin at a ratio of 1:4,000 in the presence of 1 mM CaCl2. Polymerization was initiated by adding KCl (50 mM final concentration) 2 hr prior to the experiment. The average filament length from the 14,000 gelsolin: actin ratio is expected to be around 10 μm. Actin concentrations were used between 10 and 60 μM. Under this regime filaments are in the isotropic phase and did not show any birefringence under the polarizing microscope.

Type 1 pili were isolated from a modified strain of UTI89, an E. coli strain isolated from the urine of a urinary tract infection patient (Chen et al., 2006). Strain SLC-490 is a derivative of UTI89 in which one of the inverted repeats flanking the fimS switch (which controls the transcription of the entire fim operon encoding the structural proteins, largely FimA, that make up the pilus) has been mutated so that the strain constitutively expresses type 1 pili (locked ON). The purification of type 1 pili was adapted from (Dodd and Eisenberg, 1982). Bacteria from a frozen stock were inoculated into a 50 mL LB culture and grown overnight, then added to 8 L of culture and grown at 37°C, shaking at 120 rpm. The bacteria were pelleted at 9,000 × g for 6 min at 4°C and resuspended in 2.2 L of 0.5% NaCl for washing. Cells were sedimented and resuspended in 220 mL of 5 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 100–150 μL of bacteria solution was added to a Moulinex blender to shear the pili from the bacteria. Two minutes of blending was repeated five times, with 2 min of cooling on ice between each round of blending. Bacteria were spun down and the supernatant containing the pili was collected. The supernatant was further centrifuged for 30 min at 20,000 rpm (Beckman Coulter NVT-65) to pellet contaminating membrane vesicles and flagella. Due to the fimS mutation, SLC-490 did not show a contaminating band of flagella by SDS-PAGE analysis; thus the supernatant was directly centrifuged at 53,000 rpm in a NVT-65 for 2 h to pellet the pili. The supernatant was removed and the gelatinous pili pellet was stored in 260 μg (as determined by the Bradford assay) aliquots at −80°C. Prior to the introduction into the micro-jet the pili were resuspended in 1% sodium dodecyl sulfate solution.

Gelsolin FAF amyloid fibrils were formed from the synthetic peptide homologous to the amino acids 183–210 of human plasma gelsolin (AgelN, sequence FNNGGFLLDGNHHWCGSNSNNRYER) (GenScript) similarly as described (Fadika and Baumann, 2002), where N indicates the amyloid mutation. Briefly, AgelN was dissolved in water at 1 mg/mL, vortexed, sonicated and incubated for 120 hr at room temperature with shaking. These conditions had been optimized to produce consistent morphologies of the filament system as judged by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). A drop of filament-containing solution was applied to a carbon-coated copper electron microscopy grid, blotted, stained with 1% uranyl acetate, and visualized under a JEOL 1010 transmission electron microscope operated at 80 keV, and at a nominal magnification of 60,000 times. Prior to X-ray exposure the sample was concentrated to 6 mg/mL and filtered (stainless steel 10 μm filter).

A mixture of fibrils and crystals were produced from an 11-residue segment of α-synuclein, G11A, spanning residues 68–78 (sequence GAVTVTGYTA). To prepare the sample, 10 batches of synthesized peptide (CSBio), 1 mg each, were weighed and each dissolved in 1 mL of sterile water. The samples were shaken at 37°C on a Torrey Pines orbital mixing plate at speed setting 9, overnight. The insoluble material
was washed in 30% (w/v) glycerol then stored at room temperature before the diffraction experiment. Diffraction experiments were performed using a concentration of approximately 25 \( \mu \)L of pelleted material suspended in 1 mL water. Negatively stained G11A specimens for TEM were prepared by applying 5 \( \mu \)L of sample on hydrophilic 400 mesh carbon-coated formvar support films mounted on copper grids (Ted Pella, Inc., Redding, CA) These samples were allowed to adhere for 3 min, rinsed twice with distilled water and stained for 1 min with 1% uranyl acetate. Grids were examined on either a JEM1200-EX (JEOL) or T12 (FEI) microscope.

4.2 | XFEL data collection

The Multiple Nozzle Injector System developed at CFEL (Center for Free-Electron Laser, Hamburg, Germany), which allows for the remote rapid changeover of six nozzles and positioning within 10 nm, was incorporated into the nanofocus chamber of the Coherent X-ray Imaging (CXI) instrument (Boutet and Williams, 2010) at the Linac Coherent Light Source (LCLS) (Emma et al., 2010). Using a liquid micro-jet formed with a GDVN (DePonte et al., 2008) the filament systems in their buffer solutions were injected into the XFEL beam with typical velocities of 10 m/s. Single shot diffraction patterns were recorded using a CSPAD detector at 120 Hz by intersecting the jet with a 200 \( \times \) 200 nm XFEL beam of the CXI instrument at LCLS (Boutet et al., 2012). Actin, amyloids and pili were equilibrated at room temperature before injection into the vacuum chamber of the XFEL beam (Supporting Information Section SI 1). The X-ray wavelength was set to 2.07 Å (6 keV), with each X-ray pulse lasting approximately 33 fs. The sample to detector distance was 565 mm for the actin and pili filament systems, and moved to 175 mm for the gelsolin FAF amyloids and 85 mm for the \( \alpha \)-synuclein amyloids. The \( \alpha \)-synuclein amyloids were imaged with 8.52 keV (1.45 Å) X-ray pulses, each approximately 40 fs in duration, focused to a beam diameter of approximately 1 \( \mu \)m. The micro-jet width was approximately 4 \( \mu \)m and the flow rate was 40 \( \mu \)L/min.

4.3 | XFEL data processing

More than 10\(^6\) detector exposures (images) of each randomly injected sample type were collected. The processing, selection, and calibration of single snapshots and averaged diffraction patterns for pili, actin and gelsolin are described in the Supporting Information, which includes an adaptation of XFEL workflows in the Cheetah processing software (Barty et al., 2014). The calibration, single stills and composite images for G11A were produced by cctbx.xfel (Hattne et al., 2014). Using a simple clustering scheme, images were excluded that showed strong edge scattering from the jet or negligible filament diffraction signal (Supporting Information Section SI 3). Where possible, hundreds of images that contained only background scattering were isolated, and their average subtracted from the average of the signal-containing images.

The degree of disorientation was estimated from the fluctuations of intensity motifs from single multi-filament patterns or from Bayesian inference of the mutual orientation between the patterns (details in Supporting Information Sections SI 4 and 5, respectively).
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