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We study the magnetic field dependence of the dielectric response of large cylindrical molecules such as nanotubes. When a field-induced level crossing takes place, an applied electric field causes a linear instead of the usual quadratic Stark effect. This results in a large dielectric response. Explicit calculations are performed for doped nanotubes and a rich structure in the real part of the low-frequency dielectric function $\epsilon'(\omega)$ is found when a magnetic field is applied along the cylinder axis. It is suggested that studies of $\epsilon'(H,T)$ can serve as a spectroscopic tool for the investigation of large ring-shaped or cylindrical molecules.

I. INTRODUCTION

During the last years considerable progress has been made in precise measurements of the real part of the low-frequency dielectric function $\epsilon'(\omega)$. In particular those measurements could be extended to ultra-low temperatures, i.e., down to a few mK. Ratios of $\delta\epsilon'/\epsilon'$ up to $10^{-7}$ were achieved in that temperature regime. A rather spectacular success associated with that progress was the observation of a strong magnetic-field dependence of the polarizability of multicomponent glasses in the mK regime. This development suggests reconsideration of magnetic field effects on ring molecules or related structures like nanotubes. In both cases an applied magnetic field induces a diamagnetic ring current. Due to this current the energy of the ground state increases quadratically with the applied magnetic field. This continues until one of the excited states which lowers its energy in a field crosses the ground-state and becomes the new ground state. At the crossing point an applied electric field causes a linear Stark effect, instead of the usual quadratic one and hence a divergent electric polarizability. The physical origin of the crossover is easily understood. An excited state carrying a ring current in the absence of a magnetic field becomes a state without a ring current, when a sufficiently high magnetic field is applied, because the induced current may cancel the original one. When this is the case the energy of that state equals the one of the ground state in the absence of a field. This simple argument shows that the ground-state energy is a periodic function of an applied magnetic field. The periodicity is given by the flux enclosed by the ring current. When one flux quantum $\phi_0 = hc/e$ is penetrating the ring the ground-state energy has returned to its original value. It is well known that a huge field of order $10^5$ T is needed for a flux quantum $\phi_0$ to penetrate a benzene molecule consisting of a ring of six carbon atoms. Because of that large value of the magnetic field, effects of it on ring molecules have obtained only little attention in the past. The purpose of the present paper is to point out that the situation has changed considerably. Not only has there been experimental progress in performing high precision measurements of $\epsilon'$ at low temperatures, but also the synthesis of organic ring structures has made impressive advances. For example, nanotubes of large circumference have been produced, lowering the field required for the enclosure of a flux unit. In this paper we want to demonstrate that a measurement of $\epsilon'(H,T)$ should provide important information on the electronic excitations of ring molecules, in particular on level crossings. As a first step, we calculate here the dielectric response of a molecule consisting of a square lattice, e.g., of carbon sites bent into a cylindrical form and of a nanotube, i.e., a bent honeycomb lattice. Various extensions of the work presented here will follow later.
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The magnetic and electric field are assumed to be directed along the cylindrical axis. The electron interactions are assumed to be included in effective one-electron parameters like in an extended Hückel theory or in the quasiparticle theory of Landau. In a subsequent investigation we shall include the electronic interactions more explicitly than done here. This may have profound effects on the results. A rich structure in $\epsilon'(H,T)$ is obtained which should be experimentally observable. It is closely related to the low-energy excitations of the systems in an applied magnetic field and in particular to level crossings as the field changes. Although our findings are limited here to the cylindrical structures described above, they suggest detailed experimental studies of $\epsilon'(H,T)$ for ring molecules. This seems to us a largely untouched field of research.

In order to explain the main features of $\epsilon'(H,T)$ we consider first a single ring of $N$ sites in a magnetic field along the ring axis. The Hamiltonian is

$$H = t \sum_{n,\sigma} (a^+_n(\sigma) a_n + e^{2\pi i n/N} + \text{h.c.})$$

(1)

where $a^+_n(\sigma), a_n$ are electron creation and annihilation operators and $\phi$ is the magnetic flux through the ring in units of the flux quantum $\phi_0$. The resulting energy eigenvalues are

$$\epsilon(q) = 2t \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(q + \phi)\right), \quad q = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$$

(2)

The ground-state energy $E_g$ is periodic in the flux, i.e., $E_g(\phi + 1) = E_g(\phi)$. More explicitly we write for $E_g$

$$E_g(\phi) = \sum_{\text{occ}} 2t \left[ \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}q\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\phi\right) - \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}q\right) \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\phi\right) \right].$$

(3)

For a closed-shell system, i.e., for an electron number $N_e = 4n + 2$, where $n$ is an integer we find that

$$\sum_{\text{occ}} \frac{2\pi}{N}q = 0.$$  

(4)

In that case the field-dependent contribution to the ground-state energy is

$$\delta E_g(\phi) = \sum_{\text{occ}} 2t \left[ \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}q\right) \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\phi\right) - 1 \right]$$

$$= -E_g(0) \left( 1 - \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}\phi\right) \right).$$

(5)

For large $N$ we may expand this expression and obtain

$$\delta E_g(\phi) = -E_g(0) \frac{2\pi^2}{N^2} \phi^2 > 0$$

(6)

for $\phi \leq \frac{1}{2}$. When $\phi = \frac{1}{2}$ the ground state is twofold degenerate because of a level crossing at that point. For $\phi > \frac{1}{2}$ the expression (3) is replaced by

$$\delta E_g(\phi) = -E_g(0) \frac{2\pi^2}{N^2} (1 - \phi)^2, \quad \phi > \frac{1}{2}.$$  

(7)

The behaviour of $\delta E_g(\phi)$ is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The contribution of $\delta E_g(\phi)$ to $E_g(\phi)$ is very small for large values of $N$ and hardly detectable. This does not hold true though for other quantities. For example, when in addition an electric field is applied perpendicularly to the ring axis its effect on the ground-state energy is strongly dependent on $\phi$. For $\phi = \frac{1}{2}$ we are dealing with a linear Stark effect instead of a quadratic one when $\phi \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Therefore the dielectric constant has a singularity at that particular value of $\phi$. This simple example sheds light onto the physical reason why the dielectric function can be so sensitive to an applied magnetic field.

The same feature is found for cylindrical molecules which are subject of this paper.

II. MAGNETIC FIELD DEPENDENCE OF THE FREE ENERGY

In order to demonstrate the influence of an applied magnetic field $H$ on the free energy we consider two different systems. One is a model square lattice rolled into the form of a cylinder. The other one is a nanotube which consists of a honeycomb lattice rolled into a cylinder in the same way. We start with the square lattice forming a cylinder. It consists of $N$ atoms along the perimeter and of $N$ atoms along the cylindrical axis $z$. The eigenvalues depend on the flux $\phi$ through the cylinder and are of the form

$$\epsilon_{pq\sigma} = -\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{N}(p + \phi)\right) - t\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{M+1}q\right)$$

$$+ 2t^2 \frac{m_{\text{eff}}}{m} \phi \frac{\phi}{N^2}.$$  

(8)

The first term corresponds to a transfer integral of size $-\frac{1}{2}$ along the perimeter and the second to one of magnitude $-\frac{1}{2}$ along the $z$ axis. The parameters $p$ and $q$ take the integer values $p = 1, \ldots, N$ and $q = 1, \ldots, M$, respectively. The last term is the Zeeman contribution which is expressed here in terms of the flux $\phi$. Since the latter is in units of the flux quantum $\phi_0$, the ratio of the effective mass $m_{\text{eff}}$ divided by the electron mass $m$ enters here, with the former referring to an electronic motion perpendicular to the $z$ axis. Furthermore, $\sigma = \pm 1$. The free energy of the system is of the usual form

$$\beta F = -\sum_{pq\sigma} \ln[1 + \exp(-\beta(\epsilon_{pq\sigma} - \mu))]$$

(9)

where $\beta = (k_B T)^{-1}$ and $\mu$ is the chemical potential. It is determined by expressing the number of electrons $N_e$ in terms of it, i.e.,

$$N_e = \sum_{pq\sigma} \frac{1}{\exp[\beta(\epsilon_{pq\sigma} - \mu)] + 1}.$$  

(10)

In practice we calculate $\mu$ by first choosing an approximate value $\mu_0$ and calculating the corresponding value $N_e^{(1)}$. The correction $\delta \mu_0$ to $\mu_0$ can then be determined from

$$\delta \mu_0 = -\frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left( 1 + \frac{N_e^{(1)} - N_e}{a(T)} \right).$$

(11)

This expression is more convenient for numerical calculations than its linearized version in $(N_e^{(1)} - N_e)/a(T)$ where the function $a(T)$ is given by
The Hamiltonians is of the form but on the coordinate $z$ as well. We illustrate the approxima-
tion scheme the excitation energies depend not only on $\epsilon_{pq\sigma}$, which perfectly serves our purposes. The same procedure can be applied to carbon nanotubes. In that case the unit cell contains four carbon atoms. Hence the excitation energies form four bands, i.e., 

$$
\epsilon_{pq\sigma}(\phi) = \pm \left( 1 + u_p \pm (1 + u_p v_q)^{1/2} \right) + 2\pi^2 m_{\sigma\sigma} \frac{\sigma \phi}{N^2}
$$

and $p = 1, \ldots, N$; $q = 1, \ldots, M$.

### III. INDUCED DIPOLE MOMENT

When an electric field is applied along the $z$ axis the excitation spectrum of the system can no longer be calculated exactly. Instead, approximations have to be made. We cannot apply linear response theory because of a linear Stark effect at level crossings. Since in practice the applied electric field is very small, the density of electrons changes only slightly along the $z$ axis. This enables us to determine the induced density changes by using a quasiclassical approximation. Within that scheme the excitation energies depend not only on $p, q$ and $\sigma$ but on the coordinate $z$ as well. We illustrate the approximation by considering a chain of $M$ atoms as a simple example. The Hamiltonians is of the form

$$
H_{1d} = - \sum_{n=1,\sigma}^{M-1} t_{n+1,\sigma} a_{n,\sigma}^+ a_{n,\sigma} + \text{h.c.}
+ \epsilon_{a0} F_0 \sum_n a_{n,\sigma}^+ a_{n,\sigma} \left( n - \frac{M+1}{2} \right).
$$

Here $\epsilon_{a0}$ is the lattice constant and $F_0$ is an applied electric field along the chain direction.

Exact calculations of the induced dipole moment $D$ require an evaluation of the expression

$$
D = \frac{Sp \hat{d}}{Sp e^{-\beta(H_{1d} - \mu)}}
+ \sum_{k=1,\sigma}^{M} \frac{(d)_{kk}}{1 + e^{\beta(E_{k\sigma} - \mu)}}.
$$

Here $\hat{d}$ is dipole operator

$$
\hat{d} = \epsilon_{a0} \sum_{n=1,\sigma}^{M} a_{n,\sigma}^+ a_{n,\sigma} \left( n - \frac{M+1}{2} \right)
$$

and $E_{k\sigma}$ denotes the excitation energies of the chain. In order to compute $D$ from (16) we have to diagonalize $H_{1d}$ in order to find the eigenenergies and eigenfunctions of that Hamiltonian. This can be done if not more than 1000 atoms are involved. Instead of doing that we want to use here a simpler, more effective quasiclassical scheme. In the quasiclassical approximation the excitation spectrum is of the form

$$
\epsilon_{pm} = -2\cos \left( \frac{2\pi}{M+1} p \right) + \epsilon_{a0} F_0 \left( m - \frac{M+1}{2} \right)
$$

with $p = 1, \ldots, M$ and $m = 1, \ldots, M$. The corresponding expression for the induced dipole moment is

$$
D = \frac{2\epsilon_{a0}}{M} \sum_{pm} \frac{(m - (M+1)/2)}{e^{\beta(\epsilon_{pm} - \mu)} + 1}.
$$

We have calculated $D$ for a chain of $M = 201$ atoms by using (13) and alternatively (18). The results are compared in Fig. 2 for different densities and temperatures. The deviations caused by the semiclassical approximation are less than 1% or $1\%$ in all cases. This justifies the use of a quasiclassical approximation when we calculate the dielectric response of cylindrical molecules such as nanotubes in an applied magnetic field.

### IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In the following we want to present results for the dielectric response of the two types of cylindrical molecules described above, i.e., for a square lattice rolled into a cylinder and for nanotubes. The induced dipole moment is calculated in close analogy to the one of a ring, although here the electric field $F_0$ is directed along the cylindrical axis. We start with the square-lattice case. In analogy to (13) the induced dipole is calculated from

$$
D(\phi) = \frac{e}{M} \epsilon_{a0} \sum_{mpq\sigma} \frac{(m - (M+1)/2)}{e^{\beta(\epsilon_{pq\sigma}(m,\phi) - \mu)} + 1}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon_{pq\sigma}(m,\phi) = \epsilon_{pq\sigma}(\phi) + \epsilon_{a0} F_0 \left( m - (M+1)/2 \right)
$$

and $\epsilon_{pq\sigma}(\phi)$ is given by (8). Results for the magnetic-field dependent part $D(\phi) - D(0)$ are shown in Fig. 3 for a cylinder with 100 atoms along the circumference and 1000 atoms along the axis, i.e., $N = 100$ and $M = 1000$, respectively. Note that $(D(\phi) - D(0))/D(0) = (\epsilon'(\phi) - \epsilon'(0))/\epsilon'(0)$ where $\epsilon'$ is the real part of the dielectric response in the low-frequency limit. The temperature, or more precisely $k_B T = 10^{-4}$ in units of the hopping matrix element. The chosen density corresponds to 0.74 electrons per site. One notices a rich structure as a function of the applied magnetic field.

A cylinder formed from a square lattice is a hypothetical case. But if one assumes a lattice constant $a_0 = 1.40 A$ as in the case of an aromatic carbon ring, the field required for the enclosure of a flux unit is of order $200 T$. It is derived from the following relation between the flux $\phi$ (in units of $\phi_0$) and the applied magnetic field.
\[ \phi = \frac{N^2 a_0^2 eH}{8\pi^2 \hbar c}. \] (22)

The structure in \( \varepsilon'(H) \) obtained within that range of fields reflects properties of excited states, in particular crossings of energy levels.

For nanotubes the calculations are done quite similarly, but here we have to take a sum over all four energy bands. The computational results are shown in Fig. 4 for a density of \( n = 0.89\pi \) electrons per site. One notices that the rich structure in \( \delta\varepsilon'(H)/\varepsilon'(H) \) in the regime \( 0 < H < 40T \) is of order unity and therefore should be easily detectable. Results for other densities look similar, except for \( n = 1 \) which is special. The reason is that a honeycomb or graphite lattice has for \( n = 1 \) a Fermi surface consisting of a point. Therefore in a finite system the level spacing close to the Fermi energy is particularly large. This leads to small changes in \( \delta\varepsilon'(H)/\varepsilon'(0) \) only. The situation changes at high magnetic fields. Due to the Zeeman term in the Hamiltonian the spin dependent densities \( n_\sigma \) differ more and more from each other, i.e., \( n = n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow \) with \( n_\uparrow(H) \neq n_\downarrow(H) \) and the Fermi surface moves away from the special point at half filling. This brings us back to the doped case and we obtain again a rich structure in \( \delta\varepsilon'(H)/\varepsilon'(0) \) like in Fig. 4.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The above calculations show that large molecules of cylindrical or circular shape should show detectable magnetic field effects due to the Bohm-Aharonov effect. They lead to a strong variation of the dielectric function in the low-frequency limit as function of the applied magnetic field. Those variations are predominantly caused by doubly degenerate ground states resulting from level crossings in the applied field. At a crossing point an applied electric field causes a linear Stark effect instead of a quadratic one when the ground state is nondegenerate. The present investigation requires a number of extensions which will be the subject of separate investigations. One concerns the dependence of \( \delta\varepsilon'(H) \) on the directions of the applied magnetic and electric fields. Important is also a proper inclusion of electron correlations. As pointed out before, the present calculations have been done within the one-electron approximation. But correlations, in particular when they are strong will clearly result in important modifications of the dielectric response [7,8]. Finally, we also have to generalize the above theory to the case of mutually interacting molecules. This may become an important issue when platelike molecules are forming stacks and a magnetic field is applied along the direction of the stack. Although the work presented here needs extensions of the form just described it is fair to state that the results presented here justify efforts towards a systematic investigation of the magnetic-field dependent dielectric response of ring- or cylinder shaped molecules. We feel that in the future they may develop into a spectroscopic tool for studying low-energy excitations of such systems.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1: Schematic plot of $\delta E_g(\phi)$ vs. $\phi$ (thick solid line). The level crossings are due to parabolas describing different excited states $\epsilon_i(\phi)$ shifted by flux units. When levels cross, an applied electric field causes a linear Stark effect and hence induces a divergent dielectric response.

Fig. 2: Induced dipole moment as a function of electrons per site for a chain of $M = 201$ atoms calculated with the exact quantum-mechanical expression (16) (dashed lines) and when a semiclassical approximation (19) (solid lines) is made. (a) and (b) correspond to temperatures $k_B T = 0.01$ and $0.05$, respectively (in units of the transfer integral).

Fig. 3: Dielectric response $[\epsilon'(H) - \epsilon'(0)]/\epsilon'(0)$ for a model square-lattice system with $N = 200, M = 1000$ in an axial magnetic field. The temperature is $k_B T = 10^{-4}$ (in units of the transfer integral), and $a_0 = 1.4 \text{Å}$. The density is 0.74 electrons per site.

Fig. 4: Dielectric response $[\epsilon'(H) - \epsilon'(0)]/\epsilon'(0)$ for a nanotube with $N = 100, M = 1000$ in an axial magnetic field. The temperature is $k_B T = 10^{-4}$ in units of 3 eV and the density is 0.89 electrons per site.
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