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Abstract

We analyze the gauging of Abelian isometries on the hypermultiplet scalar manifolds of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in four dimensions. This involves a study of symmetric special quaternionic-Kähler manifolds, building on the work of de Wit and Van Proeyen. In particular we compute the general set of Killing prepotentials and associated compensators for these manifolds. This allows us to glean new insights about $\text{AdS}_4$ vacua which preserve the full $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry as well as BPS static black hole horizons.

1 Introduction

There has been much work in the last 10 years deriving gauged supergravity theories in four dimensions from string theory and M-theory. Such theories have applications to string phenomenology, holography and black hole physics. The canonical vacua in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity are the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS$_4$ vacua; the equations for such vacua are straightforward to derive, one can find recent discussions in [1, 2, 3] which we build upon in the current work.

The essential step in gauging supergravity theories is to charge the gravitino under isometries of the vector scalar manifold $M_v$ and the hypermultiplet scalar manifold $M_h$. We will consider Abelian gaugings in this work so that the only other charged fields are the hypermultiplets. Certainly one should understand these global symmetries before making them local and as luck would have it, the symmetries of very special Kähler manifolds and quaternionic Kähler manifolds in the image of a c-map have been studied in great depth some time ago by de Wit and Van Proeyen [4, 5, 6]. In the current work we utilize these descriptions of symmetric quaternionic Kähler manifolds to compute the Killing prepotentials, a key ingredient in constructing gauged supergravity theories.
An interesting feature of quaternionic Kähler manifolds is that the curvature forms need not be exactly invariant under a given Killing vector but may transform under the $SU(2)$ holonomy group. We find that in order to have $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS$_4$ vacua, one must gauge along an isometry $k$ which induces such an $SU(2)$ transformation. In the language of the text below, this implies there is a non-trivial compensator $W^k_x$. Notably, the vector fields which generate the Heisenberg algebra do not generate such transformations and are thus not sufficient for the existence of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS$_4$ vacua. Nonetheless there are large numbers of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS$_4$ vacua found by gauging the Heisenberg algebra [7, 8].

We also analyze the conditions for quarter BPS black hole horizons of the form AdS$_2 \times \Sigma_g$ where $\Sigma_g$ is a Riemann surface of genus $g$. For the same vacua but in FI-gauged supergravity, the algebraic BPS equations have been solved [9] and the entropy found to be related to the famous quartic invariant. We repeat this analysis for Abelian gaugings of hypermultiplets, and again find that the quartic invariant plays a prominent role.

The work of [4, 5, 6] considered homogeneous quaternionic manifolds which lie in the image of a c-map. This later condition is tantamount to the fact that they arise in three dimensions as the moduli space of vector in a dimensional reduction from four dimensions. In particular the symmetries of such manifolds were classified. We build on this work while just considering the symmetric quaternionic Kähler manifolds. While all homogeneous spaces are cosets, the condition of being symmetric means that all possible symmetries are realized and they form a semi-simple Lie algebra. We add a conceptual point to the analysis of [4, 5, 6]; the so-called hidden isometries must act symplectically on the base special Kähler manifold, this is not at all evident from the formulae of de Wit and Van Proeyen. By restricting to symmetric spaces we are able to demonstrate this explicitly although generalizing this to the homogeneous case is an interesting future step.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we review aspects of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS$_4$ vacua as well as quarter BPS black hole horizons. In section 3 we review aspects of special Kähler geometry which we will need. In section 4 we present the symmetries of symmetric quaternionic Kähler manifolds. In section 5 we compute the prepotentials and compensators for all symmetries on these quaternionic Kähler manifolds. In section 6 we discuss the constraints on the embedding tensor from locality and in section 7 we discuss two examples from M-theory which utilize $\mathcal{M}_h = G_{2(2)}/SO(4)$.

Note added: As this paper was being prepared for submission, we were made aware of a recent article [10] which overlaps with our work. In particular they also compute the Killing prepotentials associated to symmetric quaternionic Kähler manifolds.

2 BPS vacua in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Gauged Supergravity

In this section we review some basic facts about gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity with $n_v$-vector multiplets and $n_h$-hypermultiplets. We then discuss the conditions for AdS$_4$ vacua with eight supercharges and AdS$_2 \times \Sigma_g$ vacua which preserve four supercharges.

The scalar kinetic terms respect a division into hyper-scalars $\{q^u|u = 1, \ldots, 4n_h\}$ and vector-scalars $\{\tau^j = x^j + iy^j|j = 1, \ldots, n_v\}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{scalar}} = \mathcal{M}_v \times \mathcal{M}_h$$

(2.1)
where $\mathcal{M}_v$ is a special Kähler manifold and $\mathcal{M}_h$ is a quaternionic Kähler manifold. The gauging procedure involves minimally coupling certain scalar fields with respect to a chosen set of isometries of $\mathcal{M}_{\text{scalar}}$ and in this article we will exclusively consider gauging Abelian isometries on $\mathcal{M}_h$. Accordingly, the hyper-scalars appear in the action with the covariant derivative

$$Dq^u = dq^u + k^u_A A^A$$

(2.2)

where $\{A^A|\Lambda = 0, \ldots, n_v\}$ are the vectors fields including the graviphoton. For each $\Lambda$, the vector field $k_\Lambda = k^u_\Lambda \partial_u$ on $\mathcal{M}_h$ is Killing, one can thus associate to it a Killing prepotential $P^x$:

$$k_\Lambda \Omega^x = -DP^x_\Lambda,$$

(2.3)

where $\Omega^x$ is the triplet of curvature two-forms as described in appendix B. Much as the Killing vector provides the charge for the hyper-scalars, this Killing prepotential provides the charge for the doublet of gravitinos $\Psi^A$:

$$D\Psi^A_\mu = d\Psi^A_\mu + P^x_\Lambda A^A (\sigma^x\epsilon)^A_B \Psi^B_\mu$$

(2.4)

Our metric ansatz is

$$ds^2 = -e^{2U} dt^2 + e^{-2U} dr^2 + e^{2(V-U)} d\Sigma_g^2.$$  

(2.5)

d$\Sigma_g^2$ is the uniform metric on Riemann surfaces

$$\Sigma_g = \begin{cases} 
S^2 & \kappa = 1 \\
T^2 & \kappa = 0 \\
\mathbb{H}^2/\Gamma & \kappa = -1
\end{cases}$$

(2.6)

where $\kappa$ is the curvature of $\Sigma_g$ and $\Gamma$ is a Fuchsian group which do not enter in our local analysis.

On $AdS_4$ and $AdS_2 \times \Sigma_g$ vacua these functions $U$ and $V$ are respectively

$$AdS_4 : \quad e^U = \frac{r}{R}, \quad e^V = \frac{r^2}{R},$$

(2.7)

$$AdS_2 \times \Sigma_g : \quad e^U = \frac{r}{R_2}, \quad e^V = \frac{R_2}{R_1} r.$$ 

(2.8)

The gauged fields give rise to the charges

$$p^A = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma_g} F^A, \quad q_\Lambda = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\Sigma_g} G_\Lambda$$

(2.9)

where $F^A = dA^A$ and the dual field strength is

$$G_\Lambda = \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda\Sigma} F^\Sigma - \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda\Sigma} * F^\Sigma,$$

(2.10)

the matrices $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{I}$ being defined in the appendix A.


2.1 Magnetic Gaugings

A key step in the development of gauged supergravity is making symmetries local with respect to magnetic gauge fields in addition to the more canonical electric gauge fields. In general this can be neatly formulated in terms of the embedding tensor \([11, 12]\) but since we will be restricting to Abelian gaugings we find it clearer to merely include the magnetic Killing vectors \(\tilde{k}^u_\Lambda\) and magnetic Killing prepotentials \(\tilde{P}^x_\Lambda\), we use the following notation for the symplectic vector of gauging parameters

\[
K^u = \left( \tilde{k}^u_\Lambda \right), \quad P^x = \left( \tilde{P}^x_\Lambda \right).
\]

(2.11)

In section 6.1 we will enforce a particular set of constraints on these objects to ensure that there exists a symplectic frame where all the gaugings are electric \([11]\). If one is willing to consider an arbitrary prepotential \(F\) one could thus equally well consider solely electric gaugings from the outset but we will allow for magnetic gaugings and restrict the class of prepotentials \(F\) which we consider.

2.2 \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) AdS\(_4\) Equations

We first analyze the algebraic equations for \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) AdS\(_4\) vacua with radius \(R\) and constant scalar fields:

\[
\langle P^x, D_i \mathcal{V} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{(2.12)}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}^x \mathcal{L}^x = \frac{1}{R^2} \quad \text{(2.13)}
\]

\[
\langle K^u, \mathcal{V} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{(2.14)}
\]

where we have used the fairly standard definition

\[
\mathcal{L}^x = \langle P^x, \mathcal{V} \rangle. \quad \text{(2.15)}
\]

To simplify these equations somewhat we first perform a symplectic rotation to a frame where \(P^x\) is purely electric (i.e. \(\tilde{P}^x_\Lambda = 0\)), then (2.12) reduces

\[
P^x_i f^\Lambda_i = 0. \quad \text{(2.16)}
\]

This implies that for each \(x\), \(P^x_\Lambda\) is orthogonal to \(f^\Lambda_i\) for each \(i\) and thus

\[
P^x_\Lambda = c^{x}(q^u) P_\Lambda \quad \text{(2.17)}
\]

for some functions \(c^{x}(q^u)\). A local \(SU(2)\)-transformation which can be used to set

\[
c^1 = c^2 = 0. \quad \text{(2.18)}
\]

We emphasize that (2.17) must be enforced for by solving (2.16), it is not a generic consequence of the theory.
As a result (2.12)-(2.14) become

\[ P = -2 \text{Im}[\mathcal{Z} \mathcal{V}] \]  
(2.19)

\[ \mathcal{L} = \frac{ie^{i\psi}}{R} \]  
(2.20)

\[ \langle K^u, \mathcal{V} \rangle = 0 \]  
(2.21)

where we have introduced

\[ P \equiv P^3, \quad \mathcal{L} \equiv L^3. \]  
(2.22)

In this work, our strategy to solve these equations will be to first recognize that (2.19) and (2.20) are identical in form to the AdS\(_4\) equations in FI-gauged supergravity [13], which are in turn identical in form to the attractor equations in *ungauged* \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) supergravity [14, 15] and can be solved quite explicitly [16]. When \(\mathcal{M}_v\) is a symmetric space as well as a very special Kähler manifold, we can use the identity (A.18) and (2.19) to transform (2.21) into

\[ 0 = I_4(K^u, P, P, P) \sim \nabla^u I_4(P) \]  
(2.23)

which is \(4n_h\) equations depending only on the hypermultiplet scalars.

One objective of our current work is to clarify (2.21) and to do so we first recall an argument from [17] regarding SU(2) compensating transformations. For a given Killing vector \(k\), the spin connection on \(\mathcal{M}_h\) need only be invariant under the Lie derivative by \(k\) up to a gauge transformation

\[ \mathcal{L}_k \omega^x = \nabla W^x_k. \]  
(2.24)

Using this one can algebraically relate the Killing prepotential associated to \(k\)

\[ P^x_k = k\nabla^x - W^x_k. \]  
(2.25)

Simple inspection of (2.21) shows that if none of the gauged isometries of \(\mathcal{M}_h\) have a non-trivial compensator then \(\mathcal{L} = 0\) which by (2.20) does not give a regular AdS\(_4\) vacuum. We see that a necessary condition in order to have a regular \(\mathcal{N} = 2\), AdS\(_4\) vacuum is that one must gauge along at least one isometry of \(\mathcal{M}_h\) which has a non-trivial compensator \(W^x_A\) and much of this paper is devoted to fleshing out this idea in some detail. We will build upon the work of Van Proeyen and de Wit [4, 5] where they classified isometries of particular quaternionic-Kähler manifolds but we will provide simplified formulae for these isometries which we consider more easily utilized in gauged supergravity, in particular we compute the compensators \(W^x_A\).

### 2.3 BPS Black Hole Horizons: AdS\(_2\) × \(\Sigma_g\)

Another canonical vacuum in four dimensional \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) gauged supergravity is AdS\(_2\) × \(\Sigma_g\). The bosonic fields and the supersymmetry parameter are independent of the co-ordinates on \(\Sigma_g\) which thus allows for quotient of \(\mathbb{H}^2\) by \(\tilde{\Gamma}\). We refer to such solutions as *black hole horizons* since the horizon of a static extremal black hole is of this form. The solutions which we study of this form preserve two real Poincaré supercharges plus two superconformal supercharges, they are typically referred to as quarter-BPS.
The equations for BPS black hole horizons with hypermultiplets were derived in [18]. We will use the symplectic completion of these equations but as explained above, once the locality constraints of section 6.1 are imposed, these models can always be symplectically rotated to a frame with purely electric gaugings at the cost of a potentially non-trivial transformation on the prepotential. From the equations in appendix D we note that the Killing prepotentials always appear in terms of the quantity $P^x_p \equiv P^x_p \Lambda^p$. Since by (D.1) $p^\Lambda$ are constant, we can use a local (on $\mathcal{M}_b$) $SU(2)$ transformation to set

$$P^1_p = P^2_p = 0.$$ (2.26)

In this way, much like the AdS$_4$ equations, the BPS equations depend only on $P^3_p$.

The BPS equations are for AdS$_2 \times \Sigma_g$ solutions are

$$Q - R^2_2 M P = -4 \text{Im}(\overline{Z} V)$$ (2.27)

$$Z = e^{\psi} \frac{R^2_2}{2R_1}$$ (2.28)

$$\langle P, Q \rangle = \kappa$$ (2.29)

$$\langle K^u, V \rangle = 0$$ (2.30)

$$\langle K^u, Q \rangle = 0$$ (2.31)

where $(R_1, R_2)$ are the radii of AdS$_2$ and $\Sigma_g$ in the metric ansatz (2.5) and (2.8). When $\mathcal{M}_v$ is a symmetric space, the equations (2.27)-(2.29) were explicitly solved in [9] and implicitly solved when $\mathcal{M}_v$ is not symmetric. This solution can then be used to reduce the full set (2.27)-(2.31) to (2.30)-(2.31) depending only on the hypermultiplet scalars $q^u$. Of course these remaining equations depend non-trivially on the gauging parameters. Using the results of [19] one can replace $V$ in (2.30) with an expression involving $I^4_p$ evaluated on $P$ and $Q$.

We note that as in [9] the entropy of the black hole is obtained by expanding

$$0 = I_4(Q - iR^2_2 P)$$ (2.32)

into real and imaginary parts

$$R^4_2 = \frac{-I_4(Q, Q, P, P) \pm \sqrt{I_4(Q, Q, P, P)^2 - I_4(Q, Q, Q) I_4(P, P, P)}}{I_4(P, P, P, P)}.$$ (2.33)

We note that the $P$ depends nontrivially on $q^u$ (as opposed to the constant gauge couplings in the FI-gauged supergravity studied in [9]) which must in turn be evaluated by solving (2.30) and (2.31).

### 3 Symmetries of Special Kähler Manifolds

We warm up by recalling various features of the symmetry structure of special Kähler manifolds [4, 5]. In general for homogeneous spaces, there are certain universal symmetries which are guaranteed to exist for any such manifold and then there are model dependent symmetries which are constrained. For symmetric spaces all the model independent symmetries are realized. This is
particularly useful for our computations in the next section where the so-called hidden isometries act symplectically on the base special Kähler manifold.

A key point regarding symmetries on special Kähler manifolds is that all symmetries act on the symplectic sections as linear symplectic transformations:

$$\delta \left( \frac{X^\Lambda}{F_\Lambda} \right) = \mathbb{U} \left( \frac{X^\Lambda}{F_\Lambda} \right),$$

with

$$\mathbb{U} = \begin{pmatrix} Q & R \\ S & T \end{pmatrix}, \quad R = R^T, \quad S = S^T, \quad T = -Q^T. \tag{3.2}$$

However not all symplectic transformations generate isometries of $M_v$, true symmetries are constrained by

$$\delta F = \frac{\partial F}{\partial X^\Sigma} \delta X^\Sigma, \tag{3.3}$$

contracting both sides and using the homogeneity of $F$ we get

$$X^\Lambda \delta F = F \delta X^\Sigma \Rightarrow 0 = X^\Lambda S_{\Lambda\Sigma} X^\Sigma - 2X^\Lambda (Q^T)^\Sigma F_\Sigma - F_\Lambda R_{\Lambda\Sigma} F_\Sigma. \tag{3.4}$$

This constraint is sufficient to classify isometries on special Kähler manifolds.

### 3.1 Cubic Prepotentials

When the prepotential is cubic

$$F = -d_{ijk} \frac{X^i X^j X^k}{X^0}, \tag{3.5}$$

the general solution to (3.4) is found by expanding in powers of $\tau^i$ and one finds

$$Q^\Lambda_{\Sigma} = - (\mathcal{T}^T)^\Lambda_{\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} \beta \\ b^i \\ B^i_j + \frac{1}{3} \beta \delta^i_j \end{pmatrix}, \quad S_{\Lambda\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -6d_{ijk} b^k \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_{\Lambda\Sigma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{2}{3} d_{ijk} a_k \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\{\beta, B^i_j, b^i, a_j\}$ are constants. On special coordinates these symmetries act as a generalization of fractional linear transformations:

$$\delta \tau^i = b^i - \frac{2}{3} \beta \tau^i + B^i_j \tau^j - \frac{1}{2} R^i_{\ jk} \tau^j \tau^k a_l. \tag{3.7}$$

The unconstrained symmetries are given by axion shifts generated by $b_i$ and a common rescaling generated by $\beta$. The other rescalings generated by $B^i_j$ are constrained

$$0 = d_{i(kl} B^i_{j)} \tag{3.8}$$

as are the non-linear symmetries generated by $a_i$ which must satisfy

$$a_i E^i_{jklm} = 0, \tag{3.9}$$
where
\[
E_{jklm}^i = \hat{d}^{ijk} d_{(lm)np} - \frac{64}{27} \delta^{i}_{(mnp)}, \quad (3.10)
\]
\[
\hat{d}^{ijk} = \frac{g^{il} g^{jm} g^{kn} d_{lmn}}{d_y^2}. \quad (3.11)
\]

When \( \mathcal{M}_v \) is a symmetric space, \( \hat{d}^{ijk} \) has constant entries and \( E_{jklm}^i = 0 \). Then the symmetry group of \( \mathcal{M}_v \) will be a simple Lie algebra where \( b^i \) generate the lowering operators, \( a_i \) generate raising operators and \( (\beta, B^i_j) \) generate Cartan elements. We can use the constant tensor \( \hat{d}^{ijk} \) to define the quartic invariant
\[
\mathcal{I}_4(Q) = -(q_\Lambda p^\Lambda)^2 + \frac{1}{16} p^0 \hat{d}^{ijk} q_i q_j q_k - 4 q_0 d_{ijkl} p^i p^j p^k + \frac{9}{16} \hat{d}^{ijk} d_{klm} p^l p^m q_i q_j, \quad (3.12)
\]
one can check that \( \mathcal{I}_4(Q) \) is invariant under the action
\[
\delta \left( \begin{array}{c} p^\Lambda \\ q_\Lambda \end{array} \right) = \mathbb{U} \left( \begin{array}{c} p^\Lambda \\ q_\Lambda \end{array} \right) \quad (3.13)
\]
with \( \mathbb{U} \) given by (3.2) and (3.6).

### 3.2 Quadratic Prepotentials

We will also consider the solution to (3.4) for the series of special Kähler manifolds which arise from quadratic prepotentials:
\[
\mathcal{F} = X^\Lambda \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} X^\Sigma. \quad (3.14)
\]
As explained in appendix A.1 where more details are given, one can in general take
\[
\eta = \frac{1}{2i} \text{diag}\{1, -1, \ldots, -1\} \quad (3.15)
\]
and \( \mathcal{M}_v \) is the homogeneous space
\[
\mathcal{M}_v = \frac{SU(1, n_v)}{U(1) \times SU(n_v)}. \quad (3.16)
\]
The solution to (3.4) is given by (3.2) with
\[
S_{\Lambda \Sigma} = 4 \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} \mathcal{R}^\Lambda \eta_{\Delta \Sigma}, \quad (3.17)
\]
\[
Q^0_i = Q^i_0, \quad Q^i_j = -Q^j_i, \quad Q^\Lambda = 0, \quad (3.18)
\]
with no summation on \( \Lambda \) in the last line. The special coordinates \( \tau^i \) transform as
\[
\delta \tau^i = A^i_0 + (A^i_j - A^0_j \delta^i_0) \tau^j - \tau^i \tau^j A^0_j \quad (3.19)
\]
where
\[
A = Q + \mathcal{R} \eta. \quad (3.20)
\]
There is a unique (up to constant rescalings) quadratic invariant, given by
\[
\mathcal{J}_2(p^\Lambda, q_\Lambda) = 4 p^\Lambda \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} p^\Sigma - q_\Lambda (\eta^{-1})^{\Lambda \Sigma} q_\Sigma \quad (3.21)
\]
which gives rise to the unique quartic invariant
\[
\mathcal{J}_4(p^\Lambda, q_\Lambda) = \left[ \mathcal{J}_2(p^\Lambda, q_\Lambda) \right]^2. \quad (3.22)
\]
3.3 Lie derivative of the Kähler potential

Despite the fact that in homogeneous coordinates the Kähler potential is manifestly symplectic invariant
\[ e^{-K} = -iX^T \Omega X \] (3.23)
and the Killing vectors act by a linear symplectic transformation (3.1), in special coordinates the Kähler potential need not be exactly invariant under the action of the Killing vectors. For any given Killing vector \( k \), there may be a compensating Kähler transformation
\[ \mathcal{L}_k K = f_k + \bar{f}_k. \] (3.24)

For cubic prepotentials we have
\[ e^{-K} = 8d_y, \quad \mathcal{L}_U(K) = 2\beta + 2a_i \tau^i \] (3.25)
giving the holomorphic function
\[ f_U = \beta + a_i \tau^i. \] (3.26)

For quadratic prepotentials the Kähler potential is
\[ e^{-K} = 2(-1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n_v} |\tau^i|^2) \] (3.27)
the Lie derivative induces the Kähler transformation
\[ f_U(\tau^i) = 2\tau^i \bar{A}^i_0. \] (3.28)

In a conceptually similar vein, we will find below that the action of various symmetries on our quaternionic Kähler manifolds induce non-trivial \( SU(2) \) compensating transformations.

4 Symmetries of Special Quaternionic Kähler Manifolds

Many of the symmetries on a special quaternionic Kähler manifold are constructed from the symmetries of the base special Kähler manifold \( \mathcal{M}_z \) and this is the reason for reviewing such symmetries in section 3. As reviewed in appendix B the metric on a special quaternionic Kähler manifold which lies in the image of a c-map is\(^1\)
\[ ds_{QK}^2 = d\phi^2 + g_{\bar{a}b}dz^a dz^\bar{b} + \frac{1}{4} e^{4\phi} \left( d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \xi^T \mathbb{C} d\xi \right)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{2\phi} d\xi^T \mathbb{C} d\xi \] (4.1)
with \( a = 1, \ldots n_h - 1 \). The symmetries of such manifolds have been studied in [4, 5] and here we find somewhat more compact expressions and compute the Killing prepotentials. We use the
\(^1\)We will sometimes use the coordinate \( \rho = e^{-2\phi} \).
notation whereby the symplectic sections on the special Kähler base $\mathcal{M}_z$ and the symplectic vector for the Heisenberg fiber are denoted

$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z^A \\ G_A \end{pmatrix}, \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix} \xi_A \\ \xi_A \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = 0, \ldots, n_h - 1,$$

$$Z^A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z^a \end{pmatrix}, \quad a = 1, \ldots, n_h - 1$$ (4.2)

While de Wit and Van Proeyen considered special quaternionic Kähler manifolds which are homogeneous spaces, we will focus on the symmetric spaces for simplicity.

One conceptual addition we add to the work of [4, 5] is the following. Since the quaternionic Kähler metric $ds^2_{QK}$ has terms quadratic but not linear in $z^a$, any Killing vector which acts on the $z^a$ must be a linear symplectic transformation on the sections $Z$ of the form $U$ described above. This transformation may have components $\{a_c, b^c, \beta, B^a, B^a_j\}$ which depend on the fields $\{\phi, \sigma, \xi_A, \tilde{\xi}_A\}$. In this section we present this transformation for symmetric spaces, leaving the more complicated homogeneous spaces for future work.

We have computed the Killing vectors presented in this section by explicit computation using [4, 5] as a guide but altering and correcting their formulae where necessary.

4.1 The Duality Symmetries

The so-called duality symmetries are generated by

$$h_{\epsilon^+} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma},$$

$$h_{\alpha} = \mathbb{C} \left[ \partial_\xi + \frac{1}{2} \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} \right],$$

$$h_{\epsilon^0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} - 2\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + \xi \mathbb{C} \partial_\xi,$$

$$h_U = (\mathbb{U}Z)^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A} + (\mathbb{U}Z)^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A} - (\mathbb{U} \xi)^T \mathbb{C} \partial_\xi$$ (4.7)

where

$$\partial_\xi = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z^a} \right).$$

The Killing vector $h_{\epsilon^+}$ is an axion shift while $h_{\alpha}$ are shifts of the Heisenberg fibers embellished with a field dependent shift of $\sigma$ (there are $2n_h$ of them). The Killing vector $h_{\epsilon^0}$ generates a universal scaling symmetry. These symmetries are all model independent, they exist for any special quaternionic Kähler manifold.

The Killing vector $h_U$ uses the symplectic matrix from (3.1, 3.2) and should be understood as a general Killing vector of the base special Kähler manifold $\mathcal{M}_z$ which has been uniquely lifted to a Killing vector on $\mathcal{M}_h$ (parameters are written for this vector since there is no symplectic expression without writing them). For cubic prepotentials, such symmetries with non-trivial $(b^a, \beta)$ are therefore universal while those with non-trivial $(B^a, B^a_j, a_a)$ are constrained with all the $a_a$ symmetries being realized when $\mathcal{M}_z$ is a symmetric space. The series of quadratic prepotentials are all symmetric spaces and all the symmetries of the base $\mathcal{M}_z$ extend to symmetries of $\mathcal{M}_h$. 
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The Killing vectors $h_\alpha$ are $2n_h$-dimensional and their components read explicitly

$$
\begin{align*}
  h_A &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^A} + \frac{1}{2} \xi_A \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}, \\
  h^A &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_A} - \frac{1}{2} \xi^A \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}.
\end{align*}
$$

(4.9)

### 4.2 The Hidden Symmetries

In addition to these duality symmetries there are the so-called *hidden* symmetries and these have a more formidable expression. After some lengthy but unenlightening computations we find the Killing vectors fields to be generated by

$$
\begin{align*}
  h_{\epsilon_-} &= -\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + (\sigma^2 - e^{-4\phi} - W) \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} - \sigma \xi \xi \partial_\xi + (\partial_\xi W)^T \mathcal{C} \partial_\xi + \left[ (SZ)^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A} + \text{c.c.} \right] \\
  h_{\hat{\alpha}} &= -\frac{1}{2} C \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + \left[ \frac{\sigma}{2} C \xi + C \partial_\xi W \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma} + \left[ \sigma \mathbb{I} + \frac{1}{2} C \xi \xi^T \mathcal{C} + \partial_\xi (\partial_\xi W)^T \mathcal{C} \right] \partial_\xi \\
  &\quad - \left[ (C \partial_\xi S) Z^A \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^A} + \text{c.c.} \right]
\end{align*}
$$

(4.10)

where

$$
W = \frac{1}{4} h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) - \frac{1}{2} e^{-2\phi} \xi^T \mathcal{C} \mathcal{M} \xi
$$

(4.12)

and $\mathcal{S}$ is the symplectic matrix:

$$
\mathcal{S} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \xi \xi^T + \frac{1}{2} H \right) \mathcal{C}, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix}
  \partial^I \partial^J h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) & -\partial^I \partial^J h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) \\
  -\partial_I \partial^A h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) & \partial_I \partial^A h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A)
\end{pmatrix} = \partial_\xi (\partial_\xi h)^T.
$$

(4.13)

In addition $h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A)$ is a particular quartic polynomial which will be elaborate on below. In appendix B.2 we present these Killing vectors in a form more easily comparable with those in [4, 5], in fact we have amended an error in $h^\sigma_{\epsilon_-}$ and $h^\sigma_{\hat{\alpha}}$ which appears in those works.

One can schematically see that the general form of

$$
\delta_{\epsilon_-} Z = \mathcal{S} Z, \quad \delta_{\hat{\alpha}} Z = \mathcal{C} \partial_\xi \mathcal{S} Z
$$

(4.14)

is necessary since the metric (4.1) has no terms linear in $dz^a$. As such when computing the variation of (4.1) with respect to any Killing vector, the only terms quadratic in $dz^a$ which are produced come only from $ds^2_{M_z}$ itself and thus must cancel amongst themselves. In principle this argument allows for $(\beta, \tilde{a}_c, \tilde{b}_c, \tilde{B}_c^e)$ to depend on $(\phi, \sigma)$ as well but ultimately there is no such dependence. This is the main improvement of our expressions over those in [4, 5], in particular for symmetric spaces the matrix $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{S}$ is explicitly independent of the coordinates $z^a$ of the base $M_z$, it depends only on $(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A)$.

### 4.2.1 Cubic Prepotentials

For cubic prepotentials

$$
\mathcal{G} = -D_{abc} \frac{Z^a Z^b Z^c}{Z^0}
$$

(4.15)
we have
\[ h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) = \mathcal{I}_4(\xi) \] (4.16)
where \( \mathcal{I}_4 \) is the familiar quartic invariant defined in (3.12). This is not the unique quartic invariant on a very special Kähler manifold so (4.16) does not follow from invariance and dimensional analysis alone.

With this form of \( h \) we note that \( S \) has the same form as \( U \) in (3.1) and (3.6) but its entries \((\tilde{\beta}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{B})\) are now field dependent:
\[ \tilde{\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( 3\tilde{\xi}_0\xi^0 + \tilde{\xi}_a\xi^a \right), \quad \tilde{B}_b^a = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2}{3} \delta_b^c \tilde{\xi}_c \xi^e + 2\tilde{\xi}_b \xi^a - \frac{9}{8} \tilde{D}^{ace} D_{bcf} \xi^f \right) \] (4.17)
\[ \tilde{a}_a = -\frac{1}{2} \left( 2\xi^0 \tilde{\xi}_a + 6D_{abc} \xi^b \xi^c \right), \quad \tilde{b}^a = -\frac{1}{2} \left( 2\tilde{\xi}_0 \xi^a - \frac{3}{32} \tilde{d}^{abc} \xi^b \xi^c \right). \] (4.18)

In a sense this is our main addition to the work of [4, 5], in that we provide the explicit form of the duality transformation on \( M_z \) contained within the hidden Killing vectors on \( M_h \).

### 4.2.2 Quadratic Prepotentials

With base special Kähler manifold
\[ M_z = \frac{SU(1, n_h - 1)}{U(1) \times SU(n_h - 1)} \] (4.19)
and quadratic prepotential
\[ G = Z^A \eta_{AB} Z^B, \quad \eta = \frac{1}{2i} \text{diag}(1, -, -1, \ldots, -1), \] (4.20)
the resulting quaternionic Kähler manifold is the homogeneous space
\[ M_h = \frac{SU(2, n_h - 1)}{SU(2) \times SU(n_h - 1) \times U(1)}. \] (4.21)

There is a unique quartic invariant and we find that
\[ h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) = -\frac{1}{16} \mathcal{J}_4(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) \] (4.22)
where \( \mathcal{J}_4 \) is defined in (3.22). With this form we find that \( S \) has the form of (3.2) subject to (3.17) and (3.18) but with the non-trivial components having dependence on \((\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A)\). Explicitly we find
\[ R^{AB} = \frac{1}{2} [\xi^A \xi^B + \frac{1}{8} (\eta^{-1})^{AB} (4 \xi \eta \xi - \tilde{\xi} \eta^{-1} \tilde{\xi}) - \frac{1}{4} (\eta^{-1} \tilde{\xi})^{A} (\eta^{-1} \tilde{\xi})^{B}] \] (4.23)
\[ S_{AB} = \frac{1}{2} [\tilde{\xi}_A \tilde{\xi}_B + \frac{1}{2} \eta_{AB} (4 \xi \eta \xi - \tilde{\xi} \eta^{-1} \tilde{\xi}) + 4 (\eta \xi)_A (\eta \xi)_B] \] (4.24)
which satisfy (3.17) and also
\[ Q^A \quad B = \frac{1}{2} [\xi^A \xi^B - (\eta^{-1} \tilde{\xi})^{A} (\eta \xi)_B], \] (4.25)
which gives in components
\[
Q_A^\alpha = 0, \quad Q_\alpha^0 = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^0 \tilde{\xi}_\alpha + \delta^{0c}\delta_{ab} \tilde{\xi}^b), \quad Q_a^\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\xi^a \tilde{\xi}_b - \delta^{ac}\delta_{ab} \tilde{\xi}^c) \quad (4.26)
\]
and satisfies (3.18).

This concludes our description of the Killing vectors on quaternionic Kähler manifolds. We next turn to the computation of the Killing prepotentials for these Killing vectors which will involve computing the compensators \(W^\alpha_x\).

### 4.3 Killing vector algebra

The non-vanishing commutators of the algebra are [5]
\[
[h_{\epsilon_0}, h_{\epsilon_+}] = 2h_{\epsilon_+}, \quad [h_{\epsilon_0}, h_\alpha] = h_\alpha, \quad [h_\alpha, h_{\epsilon_+}] = \mathbb{C} h_{\epsilon_+}, \quad [h_U, h_\alpha] = \mathbb{U} h_\alpha,
\]
\[
[h_{\epsilon_0}, h_{\epsilon_-}] = -2h_{\epsilon_-}, \quad [h_{\epsilon_0}, h_\tilde{\alpha}] = -h_\tilde{\alpha}, \quad [h_{\epsilon_-}, h_\alpha] = -h_\alpha, \quad [h_U, h_\tilde{\alpha}] = \mathbb{U} h_\tilde{\alpha},
\]
\[
[h_\tilde{\alpha}, h_{\tilde{\epsilon}_+}] = \mathbb{C} h_{\epsilon_-}, \quad [\tilde{\alpha}^t h_\tilde{\alpha}, \alpha^t h_\alpha] = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\alpha}^t \mathbb{C} \alpha h_{\epsilon_0} + h_{\tau_{\alpha,\tilde{\alpha}}}
\]
with
\[
T_{\alpha,\tilde{\alpha}} = (\alpha^t \partial_\xi)(\tilde{\alpha}^t \partial_\xi) \mathbb{S} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{C}(\tilde{\alpha} \alpha^t + \alpha \tilde{\alpha}^t) + \frac{1}{4} H^{\alpha,\tilde{\alpha}} \mathbb{C}, \quad (4.28a)
\]
\[
H_{\alpha,\tilde{\alpha}}'' = \partial_\xi(\partial_\xi h_{\alpha,\tilde{\alpha}}) = (\alpha^t \partial_\xi)(\tilde{\alpha}^t \partial_\xi) H, \quad (4.28b)
\]
\[
h_{\alpha,\tilde{\alpha}}'' = (\alpha^t \partial_\xi)(\tilde{\alpha}^t \partial_\xi) h. \quad (4.28c)
\]

There are two Heisenberg subalgebra, one generated by \(\{h_\alpha, h_{\epsilon_+}\}\), the other by \(\{h_\tilde{\alpha}, h_{\epsilon_-}\}\).

As an example we give the \(G_2\) root diagram in figure 4.3. The hidden symmetries are on the left side.

### 5 The Killing prepotentials and compensators

For applications to \(\mathcal{N} = 2\) gauged supergravity we need to compute the Killing prepotentials. As reviewed in section B the action of a Killing vector on the spin connection may induce a local \(SU(2)\) transformation:
\[
\mathcal{L}_A(\omega^x) = dW^x_A + \epsilon^{xyz} W^y_A \omega^z \quad (5.1)
\]
and \(W^x_A\) is then referred to as a compensator. The Killing prepotentials are then given by
\[
P^x_A = k_A \omega^x - W^x_A \quad (5.2)
\]
and we find this to be an efficient route to computing the Killing prepotentials. We use the canonical expression for the spin connection using homogeneous coordinates on \(\mathcal{M}_z\) [20]²:
\[
\omega^+ = \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{B_0}{2}} + \phi Z^T d\xi \quad (5.3)
\]
\[
\omega^3 = \frac{e^{2\phi}}{2} \left( d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \xi^T d\xi \right) + \frac{1}{2} e^{K_B} \left[ G_B dZ^B - Z^A dG_A + c.c. \right] \quad (5.4)
\]

²This expression is of course not invariant under local \(SU(2)\) transformations and neither are our expressions for \(P^x_A\) or \(W^x_A\)
5.1 The Compensators

5.1.1 Duality Symmetries

We find that the spin connection is exactly invariant under all the duality symmetries except some components of \( h_U \). For the quaternionic Kähler manifolds where \( \mathcal{M}_z \) has a cubic prepotential, we find

\[
\mathcal{L}_U(\omega^+) = -ia_c \text{Im} z^c \omega^+ .
\]

and so the only non-trivial compensator is

\[
W^3_U = a_c \text{Im} z^c .
\]

For the \( \mathcal{M}_h \) where \( \mathcal{M}_z \) has a quadratic prepotential, we find

\[
\mathcal{L}_{Q_0^a}(\omega^+) = -i \text{Im} z^a Q_0^a \omega^+ , \quad \mathcal{L}_{R^{a\bar{a}}}(\omega^+) = -i \text{Re} z^a R^{a\bar{a}} \omega^+ ,
\]

and thus the non-trivial compensators for the duality symmetries

\[
W^3_{Q_0^a} = \text{Im} z^a Q_0^a , \quad W^3_{R^{a\bar{a}}} = \text{Re} z^a R^{a\bar{a}} .
\]

5.1.2 Hidden Symmetries

For the hidden symmetries all components of the compensator are non-trivial. Nonetheless we can derive an expression which is equally valid for all prepotentials since the model dependence...
appears only through the compensator for the duality symmetry $h_U$.

$$
\begin{align*}
W^+_{\epsilon_-} &= -i2\sqrt{2}e^{K\phi} Z^T C \xi \\
W^3_{\epsilon_-} &= -W^3_{\bar{U}} - e^{-2\phi} \\
W^+_{\alpha} &= -C \partial_\xi W^+_{\epsilon_-} = i2\sqrt{2}e^{K\phi} CZ \\
W^3_{\alpha} &= -2C \partial_\xi W^3_{\epsilon_-}
\end{align*}
\quad (5.9)
$$

The expression $W^x_{\bar{U}}$ is defined to mean $W^x_U$ with the parameters in $U$ promoted to the field dependent quantities from (4.17)-(4.18) in the cubic case and (4.23)-(4.26) in the quadratic case. Similarly to the Killing vectors, $W^x_\alpha$ is a $2n_h$-dimensional vector.

### 5.2 Killing Prepotentials

We find the Killing prepotentials by using

$$
P^x_\Lambda = k_{\lambda\mu} \omega^x - W^x_\Lambda. \quad (5.10)
$$

Since we have already computed the compensators, it remains to just compute $k_{\lambda\mu} \omega^x$ for the various Killing vectors. This contraction must be done in special co-ordinates, not homogeneous co-ordinates.

For the universal symmetries we have

$$
\begin{align*}
P^+_{\epsilon_+} &= 0, & P^3_{\epsilon_+} &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi/2}, \\
P^+_{\epsilon_0} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}e^{K\phi} Z^T C \xi, & P^3_{\epsilon_0} &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-\phi/2} \sigma, \\
P^+_{\alpha} &= -\sqrt{2}e^{K\phi} C Z, & P^3_{\alpha} &= -\frac{1}{2}e^{2\phi} C \xi
\end{align*}
\quad (5.11)
$$

For the model-dependent symmetries on the special Kähler base the prepotentials are

$$
\begin{align*}
P^+_{\bar{U}} &= \sqrt{2}e^{K\phi} Z^T C \xi, & P^3_{\bar{U}} &= \frac{1}{4}e^{2\phi} Z^T C \xi - e^{K\phi} Z^T C \xi \\
P^3_{\bar{U}} &= \frac{1}{4}e^{-2\phi} + \frac{2}{2}e^{2\phi} - \frac{4}{4}e^{2\phi} Z^T C (\partial_\xi W)
\end{align*}
\quad (5.12)
$$

For the hidden symmetries we find

$$
\begin{align*}
P^+_{\epsilon_-} &= \sqrt{2}e^{K\phi} Z^T C \xi - i2e^{-2\phi} Z^T C Z - Z^T C (\partial_\xi W) \\
P^3_{\epsilon_-} &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-2\phi} + \frac{2}{2}e^{2\phi} - \frac{4}{4}e^{2\phi} Z^T C (\partial_\xi W)
\end{align*}
\quad (5.13)
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
P^+_{\alpha} &= -\sqrt{2}e^{K\phi} (Z^T C \xi) C \xi - 2C (\partial_\xi P^+_{\epsilon_-}) \\
P^3_{\alpha} &= -C [\sigma e^{2\phi} + \partial_\xi P^3_{\epsilon_-}]
\end{align*}
\quad (5.14)
$$

### 6 The Gauging

Once the Killing vectors are classified a gauged supergravity theory is specified by a large set of gauging parameters which dictate how the various fields are charged. In this section we present
the constraints on the embedding tensor for our Abelian gaugings. We denote the set of all Killing
vectors of the hypermultiplets by
\[ k_A = \{ h_U, h_\alpha, h_\widehat{\alpha}, h_{\epsilon_+}, h_{\epsilon_0}, h_{\epsilon_-} \} \] (6.1)
and consider the most general gauging by introducing electric and magnetic parameters
\[ \Theta^A = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta^{AA} \\ \Theta^A \end{pmatrix} \] (6.2)
for each of these Killing vectors
\[ \Theta^A = \{ U, \alpha, \widehat{\alpha}, \epsilon_+, \epsilon_0, \epsilon_- \} \] (6.3)
where we allow for a different symmetry \( U_\Lambda \) for each vector field. Each of the parameters is a
symplectic vector whose components are of the same dimension than the corresponding Killing
vectors (see appendix C for explicit lists). In particular all the parameters of the matrix \( U \) become
symplectic vectors.

Contracting the Killing vectors with the parameters give the Killing vectors
\[ K_K = K_u \partial \partial q_u = \Theta^A k^A = h_U + \alpha^t \mathbb{C} h_\alpha + \widehat{\alpha}^t \mathbb{C} h_\widehat{\alpha} + \epsilon_+ h_{\epsilon_+} + \epsilon_0 h_{\epsilon_0} + \epsilon_- h_{\epsilon_-} \] (6.4)
that couple to the electric and magnetic gauge fields \(^3\). Splitting the electric and magnetic com-
ponents give
\[ k_\Lambda = k^\Lambda u \partial \partial q^u = h_{U\Lambda} + \alpha^\Lambda \mathbb{C} h_\alpha + \widehat{\alpha}^\Lambda \mathbb{C} h_\widehat{\alpha} + \epsilon_+^\Lambda h_{\epsilon_+} + \epsilon_0^\Lambda h_{\epsilon_0} + \epsilon_-^\Lambda h_{\epsilon_-} \] (6.5)
\[ \overline{k}^\Lambda = \overline{k}^\Lambda u \partial \partial q^u = h_{U\Lambda} + \alpha^{t\Lambda} \mathbb{C} h_\alpha + \widehat{\alpha}^{t\Lambda} \mathbb{C} h_\widehat{\alpha} + \epsilon_+^{t\Lambda} h_{\epsilon_+} + \epsilon_0^{t\Lambda} h_{\epsilon_0} + \epsilon_-^{t\Lambda} h_{\epsilon_-} \] (6.6)
Electric and magnetic gaugings are distinguished only by the position of their \( \Lambda \) index.

The number of parameters is
\[ \#(\text{params}) = 2 n_v \times [(4 + x)n_h + 3] \] (6.7)
since for each of the \((2n_v)\)-dimensional symplectic vector component there is: 3 parameters for
\( h_{\epsilon_0} \) and \( h_{\epsilon_\pm} \), \( 2n_h \) parameters for \( \alpha \) and \( \widehat{\alpha} \) and \( xn_h \) parameters for \( h_U \) (\( x \) being of order 1 or \( n_h \)
depending on the model under consideration). All these parameters are not independent since
consistency impose relations between them.

### 6.1 Constraints on the gauging parameters

The gauging parameters are constrained by two conditions \([11, 12, 3]\): closure of the Killing vector
algebra
\[ [k_A, k_B] = f^{C}_{AB} k_C \] (6.8)
\(^3\)Our notation is not very convenient for the vector \( h_U \): by the contraction we mean that the matrices \( U^A \) and
\( U_\Lambda \) are used as the parameter for \( h_U \), i.e. we have \( h_{U\Lambda} \) and \( h_{U\Lambda} \).
and locality. These two conditions are also necessary for satisfying the supersymmetric Ward identities [12].

Since only the hypermultiplet isometries are gauged, the Killing vectors \( k_A \) form an abelian algebra [3, 21]. As a consequence the following commutators need to vanish

\[
[k_A, k_B] = [k_A, \tilde{k}_B] = [\tilde{k}_A, k_B] = 0. \tag{6.9}
\]

Upon inserting the explicit expression (6.5) of \( k_A \) and using the algebra (4.27), the first commutator leads to a set of quadratic constraints

\[
0 = T(\alpha_\Lambda, \alpha_\Sigma) - T(\alpha_\Sigma, \alpha_\Lambda), \tag{6.10a}
\]

\[
0 = -(U_\Lambda \alpha_\Sigma - U_\Sigma \alpha_\Lambda) + (\epsilon_0 \alpha_\Sigma - \epsilon_0 \alpha_\Lambda) + (\epsilon_+ \tilde{\alpha}_\Sigma - \epsilon_+ \tilde{\alpha}_\Lambda), \tag{6.10b}
\]

\[
0 = (U_\Lambda \tilde{\alpha}_\Sigma - U_\Sigma \tilde{\alpha}_\Lambda) + (\epsilon_- \alpha_\Sigma - \epsilon_- \alpha_\Lambda) + (\epsilon_0 \tilde{\alpha}_\Sigma - \epsilon_0 \tilde{\alpha}_\Lambda), \tag{6.10c}
\]

\[
0 = \alpha^I_A C_\alpha + 2(\epsilon_+ \epsilon_0 - \epsilon_+ \epsilon_0), \tag{6.10d}
\]

\[
0 = (\tilde{\alpha}^I_A C_\alpha - \alpha^I_A \tilde{C}_\alpha) + 2(\epsilon_+ \epsilon_- - \epsilon_+ \epsilon_-), \tag{6.10e}
\]

\[
0 = \tilde{\alpha}^I_A \tilde{C}_\alpha + 2(\epsilon_0 \epsilon_- - \epsilon_0 \epsilon_-). \tag{6.10f}
\]

These constraints involves product of electric parameters. The two other commutators lead to similar constraints for electric/magnetic and magnetic/magnetic products.

The so-called locality constraints implies that the electric/magnetic duality exists and that we can rotate to a frame which is purely electric. Using the notation (6.2) for the gauging parameters this condition reads

\[
\langle \Theta^\alpha, \Theta^\beta \rangle = 0. \tag{6.11}
\]

The explicit list is given in appendix C. These constraints generalize the one given in [21].

A consequence of the locality constraints is that the symplectic product of \( \mathcal{K}^u \) with \( P^x \) always vanishes

\[
\langle \mathcal{K}^u, P^x \rangle = 0. \tag{6.12}
\]

The prepotential is linear in the gauging parameters and it can be written

\[
P^x = \Theta^A P^x_A. \tag{6.13}
\]

Inserting this expression and (6.4) into the brackets we get

\[
\langle \mathcal{K}^u, P^x \rangle = \mathcal{K}^u_A P^x_B \langle \Theta^A, \Theta^B \rangle = 0. \tag{6.14}
\]

7 Examples

In this section we work through two examples of gauged supergravity theories which arise from M-theory and which have \( \mathcal{M}_h = G_{2(2)}/SO(4) \), reproducing the \( N = 2 \) AdS4 vacuum and then look at black hole horizons. It is well known that when an FI-gauged supergravity theory (i.e. with \( n_h = 0 \) and \( U(1)_R \) gauging) admits an \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) AdS4 vacuum it also admits a constant scalar flow to AdS2 × H2/\( \tilde{\Gamma} \), one can find a very general proof of this in [22]. With the addition of hypermultiplets, one can set them also constant and then the only additional constraints are \( \langle \mathcal{K}^u, Q \rangle = 0 \). Subject
to this being solved, the hypermultiplets decouple and the constant scalar flow is also a solution of the theory with hypermultiplets. We demonstrate this in our two examples.

Our first example was obtained in [21] corresponding to the invariant dimensional reduction of M-theory on $V_{5,2}$. Our second example comes from [23] and corresponds to a consistent truncation of the dimensional reduction of maximal gauged supergravity on the Einstein three-manifold$^4$ $M_3 \in \{\mathbb{H}_3/\Gamma, T^3, S^3\}$.

### 7.1 $V_{5,2}$

The invariant reduction of M-theory on seven-dimensional cosets was performed in [21] where in addition the general reduction on $SU(3)$-structure manifolds was performed. All the resulting four dimensional gauged supergravity models found in that work fall into the class studied here, namely the hypermultiplet scalar manifold is a symmetric space which lies in the image of a c-map. Black hole solutions in many of these models were studied in [18], here we restrict ourselves to the example where $\mathcal{M}_h = G_{2(2)}/SO(4)$ corresponding to the reduction on $V_{5,2}$.

The following data specifies the four dimensional supergravity theory [21]:

$$n_{v} = 1, \quad \mathcal{M}_{v} = \frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)}, \quad \mathcal{F} = -\frac{(X^1)^3}{X^0}, \quad X^{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau \end{pmatrix}, \quad \quad (7.1)$$

$$n_{h} = 2, \quad \mathcal{M}_{h} = \frac{G_{2(2)}}{SO(4)}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{z} = \frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \quad \mathcal{G} = -\frac{(Z^1)^3}{Z^0}, \quad Z^{\Lambda} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix}. \quad (7.2)$$

The nonvanishing electric gaugings are given by

$$b^{1}_{\Lambda} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\delta_{\Lambda 0}, \quad a_{1,\Lambda} = -\frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}\delta_{\Lambda 0}, \quad \epsilon_{+\Lambda} = -\epsilon_{0}\delta_{\Lambda 0}. \quad (7.3)$$

The non-vanishing magnetic gauging is given by

$$\epsilon^{\Lambda}_{+} = -2\delta^{\Lambda 1} \quad (7.4)$$

The constant $\epsilon_{0}$ has its origin in the M-theory three-form with legs in the external four dimensional spacetime which has been dualized to a constant [21].

We note that the gaugings which specify this model were incorrectly reported in [21] to have vanishing compensator $W^{\alpha}_{\Lambda}$. This of course is incompatible with the existence of a supersymmetric AdS$_4$ vacuum. The resolution is that as found in section 5.1.1 the Killing vectors $k_{U}$ with $a_{i} \neq 0$ have non-trivial compensators and we now see this is nontrivially gauged. In fact this is the only gauging with a non-trivial compensator in this reduction.

#### 7.1.1 AdS$_4$ Vacua

The Killing prepotentials $P^{\pm}_{\Lambda}$ are set to vanish by the condition

$$\xi^{\Lambda} = \bar{\xi}_{\Lambda} = 0. \quad (7.5)$$

$^4\Gamma$ is a discrete subgroup of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$
Then from $\langle K^A, \text{Im} \mathcal{V} \rangle = 0$ (in the direction of $\mathcal{M}_z$) we get

$$K^A = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad z^1 = i\sqrt{3}. \quad (7.6)$$

and from $\langle K^a, \text{Im} \mathcal{V} \rangle = 0$ (in the direction of the axion $a$) we get

$$e^\phi = \sqrt{\frac{6}{e_0}} \quad (7.7)$$

while the axion is unfixed. As a result we have the Killing prepotentials

$$P_3^3 = (1, 0), \quad \tilde{P}^{3, \Lambda} = (0, -6/e_0). \quad (7.8)$$

The vector multiplet scalars are then given by

$$x = 0, \quad y = \sqrt{\frac{e_0}{6}} \quad (7.9)$$

and the AdS$_4$ radius is given by

$$R_{\text{AdS}_4}^2 = \frac{12\sqrt{6}}{e_0^{3/2}}. \quad (7.10)$$

### 7.1.2 AdS$_2 \times \Sigma_g$ Vacua

There is a related AdS$_2 \times \mathbb{H}^2/\tilde{\Gamma}$ vacuum at the same point on the scalar moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_v \times \mathcal{M}_h$. The charges are

$$Q = (\frac{1}{4}, 0, 0, \frac{e_0}{8}) \quad (7.11)$$

and the radii are

$$R_1 = \frac{\frac{e_0^{3/4}}{8(2^{1/4}3^{3/4})}}, \quad R_2 = \frac{\frac{e_0^{3/4}}{(2^{1/4}3^{3/4})}}. \quad (7.12)$$

### 7.2 SO(5) Gauged Supergravity on $M_3$

The maximal gauged supergravity in seven dimensions [24] has been dimensionally reduced on three-dimensional constant curvature Einstein manifolds and consistently truncated to a four dimensional gauged supergravity theory in [23]. The resulting theory is given by the following data:

$$n_v = 1, \quad \mathcal{M}_v = \frac{SU(1, 1)}{U(1)}, \quad \mathcal{F} = -4\frac{(X^1)^3}{X^0}, \quad X^\Lambda = \left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad (7.13)$$

$$n_h = 2, \quad \mathcal{M}_h = \frac{G_{2(2)}}{SO(4)}, \quad \mathcal{M}_z = \frac{SU(1, 1)}{U(1)}, \quad \mathcal{G} = -\frac{(Z^1)^3}{Z^0}, \quad Z^\Lambda = \left(\frac{1}{z}\right). \quad (7.14)$$

We have computed the gaugings in our terminology by careful comparison with [23]. This requires a non-trivial co-ordinate change which is detailed in appendix F.
To specify the gaugings we need only to give the components of the embedding tensor in (6.3). We find that
\[ k_1 = 0 \] and the non-vanishing electric components are in \( k_{0,0} = 3^{3/4} \), \( \alpha_{1,0} = \frac{3^{3/4} \ell}{4} \). (7.15)

Likewise we find that \( \tilde{k}^0 = 0 \) and the non-vanishing magnetic components are in \( \tilde{k}_{1,0} = 3^{3/4} \), \( \tilde{\alpha}_{1,0} = \frac{3^{3/4} \ell}{4} \) \( \tilde{\alpha}_{1,0} = \frac{3^{3/4} \ell}{4} \). (7.16)

The integer \( \ell = \{ -1, 0, 1 \} \) corresponds to the reduction on \( M_3 = \{ \mathbb{H}_3/\Gamma, T^3, S^3 \} \) respectively. The gauging from \( \tilde{\alpha}_{0,0} \) provides the non-trivial compensator required to have a supersymmetric AdS\(_4\) vacuum.

This yields the magnetic Killing prepotentials
\[ \tilde{P}_{x,0} = 0, \quad \tilde{P}_{1,1} = \frac{3^{3/4}}{2} e^{\phi + 3\varphi} \chi, \quad \tilde{P}_{2,1} = \frac{3^{3/4}}{2} e^{\phi + \varphi}, \quad \tilde{P}_{3,1} = \frac{3^{3/4}}{2} e^{2\phi} \xi^1 \] (7.17)

and the electric Killing prepotentials
\[ P_1^1 = \frac{1}{3^{3/4}} \left[ -9e^{4\varphi} \chi \ell + 2 \chi (e^{4\varphi} \chi^2 - 3) + 3^{3/2} \left( 6e^0(\xi^1 - \chi \xi^0) + e^{4\varphi}(-2\sigma + e^0(\xi_0 + 2\chi^3 \xi^0) + \xi_1 \xi^1 - 6\chi^2 \xi^0 \xi^1 + 6 \chi(\xi^1)^2) \right) \right] \]
\[ P_0^2 = \frac{1}{3^{3/4}} \left[ -9e^{\phi + \varphi} \ell + 2e^{-\phi - 3\varphi} \left( e^{2\phi}(3e^{4\varphi} \chi^2 - 1) + 3^{3/2} \left( e^{2\phi}(3e^{4\varphi}(-\chi \xi^0 + \xi^1)^2) - (\xi^0)^2 - e^{6\varphi} \right) \right) \right] \]
\[ P_0^3 = \frac{1}{3^{3/4}} \left[ 18\sqrt{3} e^{2\varphi}(\chi \xi^0 - \xi^1) + e^{2\phi}(\xi_0(2 + 3^{3/2}(\xi^0)^2) - 9\ell \xi^1 + 3^{3/2}(\xi_1 \xi^0 \xi^1 + 2(\xi^1)^3 - 2\sigma \xi^0)) \right] \]
\[ P_1^x = 0. \] (7.18)

### 7.2.1 AdS\(_4\) Vacua

The supersymmetric AdS\(_4\) vacuum is at
\[ \xi^A = \bar{\xi}_A = \chi = a = \phi = 0, \quad e^\varphi = \frac{1}{3^{3/4}}, \quad \tau^1 = \frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}} \] (7.19)

and in particular requires \( \ell = -1 \), corresponding to a reduction on \( \mathbb{H}_3/\Gamma \). The AdS\(_4\) radius is
\[ R_{AdS_4} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}. \] (7.20)

Evaluated at this vacuum the Killing prepotentials become
\[ P_{\Lambda}^1 = P_{\Lambda}^3 = \tilde{P}_{1,\Lambda} = \tilde{P}_{3,\Lambda} = 0, \quad P_0^2 = -\frac{1}{4}, \quad \tilde{P}_{2,2} = \frac{1}{2} \] (7.21)
7.2.2 AdS$_2 \times \Sigma_g$ Vacua

The AdS$_2 \times \Sigma_g$ vacuum for is located at the same point on the scalar manifold. The charges are given by

$$p^0 = -1, \quad p^1 = 0, \quad q_0 = 0, \quad q_1 = -\frac{3}{2} \tag{7.22}$$

The radii are given by

$$R_1 = \frac{1}{2^{3/4}}, \quad R_2 = \frac{1}{2^{1/4}}. \tag{7.23}$$

When lifted to M-theory this is a solution of the form

$$\text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{H}^2/\tilde{\Gamma} \times (\mathbb{H}^3/\Gamma \times_w S^4) \tag{7.24}$$

where the $S^4$ is fibered non-trivially over $\mathbb{H}^3$. It arises as the IR of a domain wall $\text{AdS}_4 \rightarrow \text{AdS}_2 \times \mathbb{H}^2$ where the scalar fields take constant values along the whole flow.

8 Conclusions

We have analyzed the symmetry structure of symmetric special quaternionic Kähler manifolds with a view towards studying general gaugings of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity. In particular we have computed the Killing prepotentials and compensators for all symmetries of such manifolds. We have shown in certain examples how this fits with existing theories in the literature derived from M-theory.

The overarching goal of this study is a comprehensive understanding of BPS vacua in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauged supergravity, in particular black hole solutions. A particular goal, yet to be realized is to generalize the solution of black hole horizons in [9] to include hypermultiplets. This requires a deeper analysis of (2.30) and (2.31) as well as the constraints on the embedding tensor in section 6.

An interesting related computation was performed in [8] regarding the analysis of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS$_4$ vacua in the same theories we have studied in this work. The key difference is that for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ AdS$_4$ vacua one only gauges the Heisenberg shift symmetries and these have vanishing compensators. Nonetheless with this simplification the authors of [8] could derive very general classes of AdS$_4$ vacua in theory coupled to hypermultiplets whose scalar manifold lies in the image of a c-map.

A more immediate a modest goal is to complete the analysis of black hole horizons of [18] by expressing the scalar fields and radii in terms of the charges. One lesson from the study of FI-gauged supergravity in [9] was that while this inversion can be a formidable task in any given example, it is advantageous to maintain the symplectic covariance by studying general classes of theories simultaneously. The models studied in [18] have a hypermultiplet scalar manifold $\mathcal{M}_h$ whose base special Kähler manifold $\mathcal{M}_z$ has a quadratic prepotential. This can be studied using the techniques from this work and should result in complete solution for the black hole horizons for all the models of [21]. This should involved carefully considering the embedding of the Abelian gauge group into the symplectic group or equivalently solving the constraints in section 6. A simple model of AdS$_4$ vacua was solved in [25] where very particular patterns were observed regarding the dependence if if the solution space on the gauge group and its embedding.

Another interesting direction is to find the analytic black hole solutions for models with hypermultiplets much like the analytic solutions in FI-gauged supergravity [26, 13, 19]. The key step in finding the most general dyonic static black hole these FI-gauged supergravity theories was to
posit the ansatz whereby a particular metric function was, much like the Demianski-Plebanski solution [27], a quartic polynomial in the radius. This ansatz may help in generalizing such analytic solutions to hypermultiplet theories, it seems like a difficulty problem but any progress would be an interesting development.

One last issue is that the computations in this paper can most likely be generalized to include all homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifolds, not just the symmetric ones. For these manifolds, the hidden Killing vectors are significantly more complicated but given that they have been explicitly computed in [4] one imagines it to be possible to compute the associated Killing prepotentials, we leave this for future investigations.

**Acknowledgments** We would like to thank Bernard de Wit for useful conversations. This work was conducted within the framework of the ILP LABEX (ANR-10-LABX-63) supported by French state funds managed by the ANR within the Investissements d’Avenir programme under reference ANR-11-IDEX-0004-02.

## A Special Kähler Geometry

We start with a brief summary of special Kähler geometry. The key ingredients are a Kähler manifold $\mathcal{M}_v$ equipped with an $Sp(2n_v + 2, \mathbb{R})$ bundle over it with sections

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X^\Lambda \\ F_\Lambda \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Lambda = 0, \ldots, n_v. \quad (A.1)$$

We will be primarily concerned in this paper with the so-called very special Kähler manifolds, which means there is a cubic prepotential

$$F = -d_{ijk} \frac{X^i X^j X^k}{X^0}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n_v. \quad (A.2)$$

The canonical complex coordinates $\tau^i = x^i + y^i$ on $\mathcal{M}_v$ are called special coordinates

$$X^\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau^i \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow F_\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} d_\tau \\ -3d_{\tau,i} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (A.3)$$

The metric can be obtained from a Kähler potential $K$

$$e^{-K} = -iX^T \Omega \bar{X} = 8d_y \quad (A.4)$$

$$g_{\bar{\gamma}j} = \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_j K \quad (A.5)$$

where $\Omega$ is the $(2n_v + 2) \times (2n_v + 2)$ dimensional matrix $\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ -\mathbb{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

We next introduce the operators which appear in the gauge field Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda \Sigma} = \mathcal{R}_{\Lambda \Sigma} + i \mathcal{I}_{\Lambda \Sigma} \quad (A.6)$$
There is a very useful projection operator

\[ \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}^{-1} \mathcal{R} & -\mathcal{I}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{I} + \mathcal{R} \mathcal{I}^{-1} \mathcal{R} & -\mathcal{R} \mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \]  

(A.7)

which satisfies

\[ \mathcal{M} \mathcal{V} = -i \mathcal{V}, \quad \mathcal{M} U_i = i U_i \]  

(A.8)

where \( \mathcal{V} = e^{K/2} X \) and

\[ U_i = D_i \mathcal{V} = \partial_i \mathcal{V} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_i K \mathcal{V} \]  

(A.9)

The Riemann tensor on \( \mathcal{M}_v \) is given by

\[ R^i_{\ jk} = \delta^i_j \delta^l_k + \delta^i_k \delta^l_j - \frac{9}{16} \hat{d}^{ilm} d_{mjk} \]  

(A.10)

where

\[ \hat{d}^{ijk} = \frac{g^{il} g^{jm} g^{kn} d_{lmn}}{d^2} \]  

(A.11)

When \( \mathcal{M}_v \) is in addition a homogeneous space, the tensor \( \hat{d}^{ijk} \) has constant entries and satisfies certain useful identities

\[ \hat{d}^{ijk} d_{jl(m d_{np})k} = \frac{16}{27} \left[ \delta^i_l d_{mnp} + 3 \delta^i (m d_{np})l \right] \]  

(A.12)

\[ \hat{d}^{ijk} d_{ji(l m d_{np})k} = \frac{64}{27} \delta^i (m d_{np})l . \]  

(A.13)

The quartic invariant is defined using both \( d_{ijk} \) and \( \hat{d}^{ijk} \):

\[ I_4(Q) = -(p^0 q_0 + p^i q_i)^2 - 4 q_0 d_{ijk} p^j p^k + \frac{1}{16} p^0 \hat{d}^{ijk} q_i q_j q_k + \frac{9}{16} d_{ijk} \hat{d}^{lm} p^j p^k q_l q_m . \]  

(A.15)

From this we obtain a symmetric four index tensor

\[ I_4(Q) = \frac{1}{4!} t^{MNRST} Q_M Q_N Q_R Q_S \]  

(A.16)

which is then used to define the derivative of \( I_4 \):

\[ I'_4(Q) = \frac{1}{3!} \Omega_{MNT} t^{NRST} Q_R Q_S Q_T . \]  

(A.17)

We note that \( I'_4 \) can be used to relate the real and imaginary parts of the symplectic section \( \mathcal{V} \)

\[ \text{Re} \mathcal{V} = -\frac{I'_4(\text{Im} \mathcal{V})}{2 \sqrt{I_4(\text{Im} \mathcal{V})}} . \]  

(A.18)

We will often employ the shorthand notation

\[ d_\tau = d_{ijk} \tau^i \tau^j \tau^k, \quad d_{\tau,i} = d_{ijk} \tau^j \tau^k, \quad d_{\tau,ij} = d_{ijk} \tau^k . \]  

(A.19)
A.1 Quadratic Prepotential

The general quadratic prepotential is

\[ F = X^\Lambda \eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} X^\Sigma. \]  

(A.20)

Using an orthogonal matrix we can diagonalize \( \eta \) then with a complex rescaling of \( X^\Lambda \) we can set

\[ \eta = \frac{1}{2i} \text{diag}\{1, -1, \ldots, -1\}. \]  

(A.21)

We then choose special coordinates:

\[ X^\Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \tau_i \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_\Lambda = 2\eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} X^\Sigma = i \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ \tau_i \end{pmatrix} \]  

(A.22)

giving

\[ e^{-K} = 2(1 - |\tau|^2), \quad g_{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{1 - |\tau|^2} \]  

(A.23)

which is the maximally symmetric metric on

\[ \mathcal{M}_z = \frac{SU(1, n_v)}{U(1) \times SU(n_v)}. \]  

(A.24)

Taking the variation of \( F_\Lambda = 2\eta_{\Lambda \Sigma} X^\Sigma \) we get

\[ 2\eta_{\Lambda \Sigma}(Q^\Sigma_\Delta X^\Delta + R^{\Sigma \Delta} F_\Delta) = S_{\Lambda \Sigma} X^\Sigma - (Q^T)^\Sigma_\Lambda F_\Sigma \]  

\[ \Rightarrow 2\eta_{\Lambda \Sigma}(Q^\Sigma_\Delta X^\Delta + 2R^{\Sigma \Delta} \eta_{\Delta \gamma} X^\gamma) = S_{\Lambda \Sigma} X^\Sigma - 2(Q^T)^\Sigma_\Lambda \eta_{\Sigma \Delta} X^\Delta \]  

(A.25)

(A.26)

which gives

\[ \eta_{\Sigma (\Lambda} Q^\Sigma_{\Delta)} = 0 \]  

(A.27)

\[ S_{\Lambda \Sigma} = 4\eta_{\Lambda \gamma} R^{\gamma \Delta} \eta_{\Delta \Sigma}. \]  

(A.28)

Note that (A.27) gives

\[ Q^\Lambda_{\Lambda} = 0, \quad Q^0_0 = Q^i_0, \quad Q^i_j = -Q^j_i \]  

(A.29)

(\( \Lambda \) indices are not summed).

The special coordinates \( \tau^i \) transform as

\[ \delta \tau^i = A^i_0 - \tau^i A^0_0 + A^i_j \tau^j - \tau^i \tau^j A^0_j \]  

(A.30)

where

\[ A = Q + 2R \eta. \]  

(A.31)

From this we see that we should remove \( \text{Tr} A \) or \( A^0_0 \) since their action on \( \tau^i \) is redundant, this is tantamount to removing \( \text{Tr} R \) or \( R^0_0 \). This leaves the components

\[ R : \frac{1}{2}(n_v + 1)(n_v + 2) - 1, \quad Q : \frac{1}{2} n_v(n_v - 1) + n_v \]  

(A.32)
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giving $n_v^2 + 2n_v$ which agrees with the number of Killing vectors on $SU(1,n_v)/[U(1) \times SU(n_v)]$ thus demonstrating that all Killing vectors come from the symplectic action (3.1).

The Lie derivative of the Kähler potential gives

$$e^K \mathcal{L}_U (e^{-K}) = - \left[ \tau^i (\mathcal{A}_0^i - \tau^j \mathcal{A}_j^i) - |\tau|^2 \mathcal{A}_0^i + \tau^i \tau^j \mathcal{A}_j^i + \text{c.c.} \right] e^K$$

$$= - 2 \left[ x^i \mathcal{Q}^i - 2i \eta^i (\mathcal{R} \eta)_i^0 \right],$$

so the Kähler potential transforms as

$$\mathcal{L}_U (K) = f_U (\tau^i) + \bar{f}_U (\tau^i), \quad f_U (\tau^i) = 2 \tau^i \mathcal{A}_0^i.$$  

(A.33)

(A.34)

B Quaternionic Kähler Geometry

Here we collect some facts about quaternionic Kähler geometry. The triplet of curvature two-forms $\Omega^x$ are given by

$$\Omega^x = D\omega^x = d\omega^x + \frac{1}{2} e^{xyz} \omega^y \wedge \omega^z$$

(B.1)

where $\omega^x$ is the $SU(2)$-valued spin connection. For each Killing vector $k_\Lambda$ one can construct the moment maps, or Killing prepotentials $P^x_\Lambda$:

$$- k_\Lambda \Lambda^x = DP^x_\Lambda.$$  

(B.2)

The curvature forms need not be precisely invariant under the action of $k_\Lambda$ but may transform by a compensating local $SU(2)$ transformation

$$\mathcal{L}_k \Omega^x = e^{xyz} \Omega^y W^z_\Lambda, \quad \mathcal{L}_k \omega^x = DW^x_\Lambda.$$  

(B.3)

Following [17] page 719, one can show that the Killing prepotentials are given by

$$P^x_\Lambda = k_\Lambda \Lambda^x - W^x_\Lambda$$

(B.4)

and in addition the compensator $W^x_\Lambda$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{L}_\Lambda W^x_\Sigma - \mathcal{L}_\Sigma W^x_\Lambda + e^{xyz} W^y_\Lambda W^z_\Sigma = f_\Lambda^\Sigma W^x_\Delta.$$  

(B.5)

B.1 Special Quaternionic Kähler Geometry

In this work we are primarily concerned with quaternionic Kähler manifolds $\mathcal{M}_h$ (of real dimension $4n_h$) which lie in the image of the c-map. Amongst other things, this means that $\mathcal{M}_h$ has a base $(2n_h - 2)$-dimensional base manifold $M_z$ which is special Kähler. For such manifolds the metric takes the form

$$h_{uv} dq^u dq^v = d\phi^2 + g_{ab} dz^a dz^b + \frac{1}{4} e^{4\phi} (d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \xi^T \xi d\xi)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{2\phi} d\xi^T \xi d\xi$$

(B.6)
where $a = 1, \ldots, n_h - 1$ and
\[ C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mathbb{I} \\ -\mathbb{I} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \]  
and $\mathcal{M}$ is the equivalent of $\mathcal{M}$ but for $\mathcal{M}_z$
\[ \mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{I}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \]  
On the base special Kähler manifold we denote the sections by
\[ Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z^A \\ G_A \end{pmatrix}, \quad A = 0, \ldots, n_h - 1. \]  
Will will generically assume there is a prepotential $G$ which thus satisfies $G_A = \partial_A G$, special co-ordintaes on $\mathcal{M}_z$ are given by
\[ Z^A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z^a \end{pmatrix}. \]  
The canonical expression for the spin connection [20] uses homogeneous coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_z$:
\[ \omega^+ = \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{K_{ab}}{2}} z T C d\xi, \]  
\[ \omega^3 = \frac{1}{2} e^{2\phi} (d\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \xi T C d\xi) + \frac{1}{2} e^{K_{ab}} [\mathcal{G}_B dZ^B - Z^A dG_A + c.c.] \]  
where we have denoted the Kähler potential on $\mathcal{M}_z$ by $K_{ab}$.

### B.2 Hidden symmetries: field variations

Following [4, 5] we denote the parameters for these symmetries as $(\epsilon_-, \hat{\alpha}^A, \hat{\alpha}_A)$ and variations associated to the Killing vectors (4.10) are
\[ \delta \rho = 2 \rho \left[ \sigma \epsilon_- + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\alpha}^T C \xi \right] \]  
\[ \delta \sigma = \sigma \left[ \sigma \epsilon_- + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\alpha}^T C \xi \right] - \rho^2 \epsilon_- - DW \]  
\[ \delta \xi = \xi \left[ \sigma \epsilon_- + \frac{1}{2} \hat{\alpha}^T C \xi \right] + \sigma \hat{\alpha} - \partial \xi DW \]  
\[ \delta Z = D S Z \]  
with $\rho = e^{-2\phi}$
\[ \hat{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}^A \\ \hat{\alpha}_A \end{pmatrix}, \quad D = \epsilon_- - \hat{\alpha}^T C \partial \xi, \quad W = \frac{1}{4} h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) - \frac{1}{2} \rho \xi^T C \mathcal{M} \xi \]  
and $S$ is the symplectic matrix:
\[ S = \frac{1}{2} (\xi \xi^T + \frac{1}{2} H) C, \quad H = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \partial_t h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) & -\partial_t \partial_j h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) \\ -\partial_i \partial_j h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) & \partial_i \partial_j h(\xi^A, \tilde{\xi}_A) \end{pmatrix} = \partial_{\xi} (\partial_{\xi} h)^T. \]  
Our expression for $\delta \sigma$ differs from that found in [4, 5] by a component in the final term $DW$. We have not been able to check that our expression for $\delta Z$ precisely agrees with the expressions there.
B.3 Computing the Compensators

We now provide some details about how we computed the compensators $W_A^\pm$ for the duality symmetries as well as the hidden symmetries. We do this by computing the Lie derivative of the spin connection then using

\[ \mathcal{L}_\Lambda(\omega^\pm) = dW_A^\pm \mp i\omega^\pm W_A^3 \pm i\omega^3 W_A^\pm \]  
\[ \mathcal{L}_\Lambda(\omega^3) = dW_A^3 + \text{Im}(\omega^- W_A^3). \]  

(B.19)  
(B.20)

The key point is that we must use special coordinates on $\mathcal{M}_z$ in the expressions (B.11) and (B.12). Some of these calculations are lengthy but in principle they are all fairly straightforward.

B.3.1 Duality Symmetries

Under the Cartan transformation $\beta$ we have

\[ \mathcal{L}_\beta(e^{K/2}) = \beta e^{K/2} \]  

(B.21)

as well as

\[ \mathcal{L}_\beta(Z^T \mathcal{C} d\xi) = -\beta d\tilde{\xi}_0 - \beta \frac{D_z d\xi^0}{3!} - \beta z^a d\tilde{\xi}_a + \beta \frac{D_{z,a} d\xi^a}{6} + 2\beta D_z d\xi^0 - \frac{2\beta}{3} z^a d\tilde{\xi}_a - \frac{2\beta}{3} D_{z,a} d\xi^a \]

(B.22)

In total (B.21) and (B.22) give

\[ \mathcal{L}_\beta(\omega^+) = 0 \]  

(B.23)

and this demonstrates the need to compute in special co-ordinates. Similarly one finds

\[ \mathcal{L}_\beta(\omega^3) = 0 \]  

(B.24)

Under the $a_c$-symmetries the special coordinates transform as

\[ \delta z^a = -\frac{1}{2} R^a_{bc} e^b \bar{z}^c a_e = -z^a(a_e \bar{z}^c) + \frac{9}{32} a_e \tilde{D}^{ac} D_{z,c} \]  

(B.25)

and we find

\[ \mathcal{L}_a(e^{K/2}) = a_c \text{Re}z^c \bar{e}^{K/2}, \quad \mathcal{L}_a(Z^T \mathcal{C} d\xi) = -a_c z^c \bar{Z}^T \mathcal{C} d\xi, \]  

(B.26)

which gives

\[ \mathcal{L}_a(\omega^+) = -i a_c \text{Im}z^c \omega^+. \]  

(B.27)

Then

\[ \mathcal{L}_a(e^K) = 2a_c e^{z^c} \bar{e}^K, \quad \mathcal{L}_a \left[ \bar{G}_B dZ^B - \bar{Z}^A dG_A \right] = -2a_c x^l \left[ \bar{G}_B dZ^B - \bar{Z}^A dG_A \right] - ie^{-K/2} a_c dz^c \]  

(B.28)

which gives

\[ \mathcal{L}_a(\omega^3) = a_c \text{Im} dz^c. \]  

(B.29)

The non-vanishing compensators from the duality symmetries are then

\[ W^+_a = 0, \quad W^3_a = \hat{a}_c \text{Im} z^c. \]  

(B.30)
B.3.2 Hidden Symmetries

The hidden symmetries require more attention, they all have non-trivial compensators and the computation of these is somewhat intensive. As mentioned in the main text, a key to understanding the hidden symmetries is that the variation of the fields on the special Kähler base \( M \) can be thought of as a \( \xi \)-dependent symmetry from section 3. These parameters will now produce non-trivial terms when they appear under a derivative.

As an example we derive the variation of \( \omega^x \) under \( k_{\epsilon_-} \). After some work we find the following expressions for \( \epsilon_- \):

\[
\delta_{\epsilon_-}(\omega^+) = i(-a_i y^i + e^{-2\phi})\omega^+ - [i2\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{K}{2}}\phi(Z^T\xi)] i\omega^3 - d\left(i2\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{K}{2}}\phi Z^T\xi\right) \tag{B.31}
\]

Then comparing with (B.19) we find that the compensator for our general Killing vectors is

\[
W^{\pm}_{\epsilon_-} = -i2\sqrt{2}e^{\frac{K}{2}}\phi Z^T\xi \tag{B.32}
\]

\[
W^3_{\epsilon_-} = \hat{a}_c \text{Im} z^i - e^{-2\phi} \tag{B.33}
\]

where

\[
\hat{a}_c = -\frac{1}{2}(2\xi^\alpha \xi^c - 6D_{cef}\xi^e \xi^f) \tag{B.34}
\]

is the field-dependent parameter for the isometry on \( M \).

C Gaugings and their constraints

For completeness the full set of constraints for the (symplectic) gaugings parameters are listed below.

The set of parameters

\[
\Theta^A = \{ U, \alpha, \hat{\alpha}, \epsilon_+ , \epsilon_- \} \tag{C.1}
\]

reads explicitly

\[
U = \begin{pmatrix} U^A & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^A & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}^A & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_\pm = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_\pm^A & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \epsilon_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_0^A & \end{pmatrix} \tag{C.2}
\]

where \( U^A \) and \( \alpha_A \) are matrices whose parameters depend on the model.

The constraints from the closure of the abelian algebra are

- electric/electric

\[
0 = T(\alpha_A, \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma) - T(\alpha_\Sigma, \hat{\alpha}_A), \tag{C.3a}
\]

\[
0 = -(U_A \alpha_\Sigma - U_\Sigma \alpha_A) + (\epsilon_0 A \alpha_\Sigma - \epsilon_0 A \alpha_A) + (\epsilon_{+A} \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - \epsilon_{+A} \hat{\alpha}_A), \tag{C.3b}
\]

\[
0 = (U_A \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - U_\Sigma \hat{\alpha}_A) + (\epsilon_{-A} \alpha_\Sigma - \epsilon_{-A} \alpha_A) + (\epsilon_{0A} \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - \epsilon_{0A} \hat{\alpha}_A), \tag{C.3c}
\]

\[
0 = \alpha^A_A \alpha_\Sigma + 2(\epsilon_{+A} \epsilon_{0A} - \epsilon_{+A} \epsilon_{0A}), \tag{C.3d}
\]

\[
0 = (\hat{\alpha}^A_A \alpha_\Sigma - \hat{\alpha}^A_A \alpha_\Sigma) + 2(\epsilon_{+A} \epsilon_{-A} - \epsilon_{+A} \epsilon_{-A}), \tag{C.3e}
\]

\[
0 = \hat{\alpha}^A_A \alpha_\Sigma + 2(\epsilon_{0A} \epsilon_{-A} - \epsilon_{0A} \epsilon_{-A}). \tag{C.3f}
\]
• electric/magnetic

\[ 0 = T(\alpha_A, \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma) - T(\alpha_\Sigma, \hat{\alpha}_A), \]  
\( \quad (C.3g) \)

\[ 0 = -(U_A \alpha_\Sigma - U_\Sigma A_\alpha) + (\epsilon_{0\alpha} \alpha_\Sigma - \epsilon_0^\Sigma \alpha_A) + (\epsilon_{+\Lambda} \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - \epsilon_{+\Sigma} \hat{\alpha}_A), \]  
\( \quad (C.3h) \)

\[ 0 = (U_A \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - U_\Sigma \hat{\alpha}_A) + (\epsilon_{-\Lambda} \alpha_\Sigma - \epsilon_{-\Sigma} \alpha_A) + (\epsilon_{0\Lambda} \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - \epsilon_{0\Sigma} \hat{\alpha}_A), \]  
\( \quad (C.3i) \)

\[ 0 = \alpha^t_A C \alpha_\Sigma + 2(\epsilon_{+\Sigma} \epsilon_{0\Lambda} - \epsilon_{+\Lambda} \epsilon_0^\Sigma), \]  
\( \quad (C.3j) \)

\[ 0 = (\hat{\alpha}^t_A C \alpha_\Sigma - \alpha^t_A C \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma) + 2(\epsilon_{+\Sigma} \epsilon_{-\Lambda} - \epsilon_{+\Lambda} \epsilon_{-\Sigma}), \]  
\( \quad (C.3k) \)

\[ 0 = \hat{\alpha}^t_A C \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma + 2(\epsilon_{0\Lambda} \epsilon_{-\Sigma} - \epsilon_{0\Sigma} \epsilon_{-\Lambda}). \]  
\( \quad (C.3l) \)

• magnetic/magnetic

\[ 0 = T(\alpha^t_A, \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma) - T(\alpha_\Sigma, \hat{\alpha}^t_A), \]  
\( \quad (C.3m) \)

\[ 0 = -(U^A \alpha_\Sigma - U^\Sigma A^A) + (\epsilon_{0\alpha} \alpha_\Sigma - \epsilon_0^\Sigma \alpha_A) + (\epsilon_{+\Lambda} \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - \epsilon_{+\Sigma} \hat{\alpha}_A), \]  
\( \quad (C.3n) \)

\[ 0 = (U^A \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - U^\Sigma \hat{\alpha}_A) + (\epsilon_{-\Lambda} \alpha_\Sigma - \epsilon_{-\Sigma} \alpha_A) + (\epsilon_{0\Lambda} \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma - \epsilon_{0\Sigma} \hat{\alpha}_A), \]  
\( \quad (C.3o) \)

\[ 0 = \alpha^{tA} C \alpha_\Sigma + 2(\epsilon_{+\Sigma} \epsilon_{0\Lambda} - \epsilon_{+\Lambda} \epsilon_0^\Sigma), \]  
\( \quad (C.3p) \)

\[ 0 = (\hat{\alpha}^{tA} C \alpha_\Sigma - \alpha^{tA} C \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma) + 2(\epsilon_{+\Sigma} \epsilon_{-\Lambda} - \epsilon_{+\Lambda} \epsilon_{-\Sigma}), \]  
\( \quad (C.3q) \)

\[ 0 = \hat{\alpha}^{tA} C \hat{\alpha}_\Sigma + 2(\epsilon_{0\Lambda} \epsilon_{-\Sigma} - \epsilon_{0\Sigma} \epsilon_{-\Lambda}). \]  
\( \quad (C.3r) \)

We recall the expression of the matrix

\[ T_{\alpha, \hat{\alpha}} = (\alpha^t \partial_\xi) (\hat{\alpha}^t \partial_\zeta) S. \]  
\( \quad (C.4) \)

The number of constraint from the algebra is

\[ \#(\text{algebra constraints}) = 3 \frac{n_v(n_v - 1)}{2} \left[ \frac{n_h(n_h + 1)}{2} + 2n_h + 3 \right] \]  
\( \quad (C.5) \)

where the 3 comes from the three sets of constraints, the second front factor from the antisymmetric equations on \((\Lambda, \Sigma)\). The matrix \(T\) is symmetric.

The constraints from locality are

\[ 0 = \langle \alpha, \alpha^t \rangle = \alpha^A \alpha^t_A - \alpha_A \alpha^t_A, \]  
\( \quad (C.6a) \)

\[ 0 = \langle \alpha, \hat{\alpha}^t \rangle = \alpha^A \hat{\alpha}^t_A - \alpha_A \hat{\alpha}^t_A, \]  
\( \quad (C.6b) \)

\[ 0 = \langle \hat{\alpha}, \alpha^t \rangle = \hat{\alpha}^A \alpha^t_A - \hat{\alpha}_A \alpha^t_A, \]  
\( \quad (C.6c) \)

\[ 0 = \langle \alpha, \epsilon_+ \rangle = \alpha^A \epsilon_{+A} - \alpha_A \epsilon^A_{+}, \]  
\( \quad (C.6d) \)

\[ 0 = \langle \alpha, \epsilon_0 \rangle = \alpha^A \epsilon_{0A} - \alpha_A \epsilon^A_0, \]  
\( \quad (C.6e) \)

\[ 0 = \langle \alpha, \epsilon_- \rangle = \alpha^A \epsilon_{-A} - \alpha_A \epsilon^A_-, \]  
\( \quad (C.6f) \)

\[ 0 = \langle \hat{\alpha}, \epsilon_+ \rangle = \hat{\alpha}^A \epsilon_{+A} - \hat{\alpha}_A \epsilon^A_{+}, \]  
\( \quad (C.6g) \)

\[ 0 = \langle \hat{\alpha}, \epsilon_0 \rangle = \hat{\alpha}^A \epsilon_{0A} - \hat{\alpha}_A \epsilon^A_0, \]  
\( \quad (C.6h) \)
\[ 0 = \langle \tilde{\alpha}, \epsilon_- \rangle = \tilde{\alpha}^\Lambda \epsilon_- - \tilde{\alpha}_\Lambda \epsilon_-^\Lambda, \] (C.6i)

\[ 0 = \langle \epsilon_+ , \epsilon_- \rangle = \epsilon_+^\Lambda \epsilon_- - \epsilon_-^\Lambda \epsilon_+^\Lambda, \] (C.6j)

\[ 0 = \langle \epsilon_+ , \epsilon_0 \rangle = \epsilon_+^\Lambda \epsilon_0 - \epsilon_0^\Lambda \epsilon_+^\Lambda, \] (C.6k)

\[ 0 = \langle \epsilon_0 , \epsilon_- \rangle = \epsilon_0^\Lambda \epsilon_- - \epsilon_-^\Lambda \epsilon_0^\Lambda, \] (C.6l)

\[ 0 = \langle U, \epsilon_+ \rangle = \alpha^\Lambda \epsilon_+ - \alpha_\Lambda \epsilon_+^\Lambda, \] (C.6m)

\[ 0 = \langle U, \epsilon_0 \rangle = \alpha^\Lambda \epsilon_0 - \alpha_\Lambda \epsilon_0^\Lambda, \] (C.6n)

\[ 0 = \langle U, \epsilon_- \rangle = \alpha^\Lambda \epsilon_- - \alpha_\Lambda \epsilon_-^\Lambda, \] (C.6o)

\[ 0 = \langle U, \alpha \rangle = \alpha^\Lambda \epsilon_0 - \alpha_\Lambda \epsilon_0^\Lambda, \] (C.6p)

\[ 0 = \langle U, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle = \alpha^\Lambda \epsilon_- - \alpha_\Lambda \epsilon_-^\Lambda \] (C.6q)

where

\[ \langle \alpha, \alpha^\dagger \rangle = \left( \begin{array}{cc} \langle \alpha^A, \alpha^B \rangle & \langle \alpha^A, \alpha_B \rangle \\ \langle \alpha_A, \alpha_B \rangle & \langle \alpha_A, \alpha_B \rangle \end{array} \right), \quad \langle \alpha, \epsilon_+ \rangle = \left( \begin{array}{c} \langle \alpha^A, \epsilon_+ \rangle \\ \langle \alpha_A, \epsilon_+ \rangle \end{array} \right) \] (C.7)

and similarly for the others. The notation \( \langle U, X \rangle \) is shortcut for the product of \( X \) with all parameters of \( U \) (by linearity). For example with a cubic prepotential one of the constraint is

\[ \langle \beta, X \rangle = 0, \quad \beta = \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta^\Lambda \\ \beta_\Lambda \end{array} \right). \] (C.8)

The numbers of locality constraints is

\[ \#(\text{locality constraints}) = 3n_h^2 + 6n_h + 3 + x n_h(2n_h + 3). \] (C.9)

### D Black Hole Flow Equations

In [18] the equations for static black holes in electrically gauged \( \mathcal{N} = 2 \) supergravity were derived assuming that \( P^I = P_\Lambda = 0 \). We can relax this assumption and still converge on the identical equations. Without any such assumption, with all components of \( P_\Lambda \) non-trivial in principle, the full set of equations for BPS black holes takes the form

\[ p^\Lambda = 0 \] (D.1)

\[ (p^\Lambda P_\Lambda) = \kappa^2 \] (D.2)

\[ k_\mu P^\Lambda = 0 \] (D.3)

\[ \mathcal{L}_r^\Lambda P^\Sigma P_{\Sigma} = e^{2(V-U)} \Im \left( e^{-i\psi} Z \right) \] (D.4)

\[ \partial_r (e^U) = \mathcal{L}_r^\Lambda P_{\Sigma} P^\Sigma + e^{2(U-V)} \Re \left( e^{-i\psi} Z \right) \] (D.5)

\[ \partial_r V = 2e^{-U} L_i^\Lambda P_{\Sigma} P^\Sigma \] (D.6)

\[ \partial_r (e^U L_i^\Lambda) = \frac{1}{2e^{2(V-U)}} \mathcal{T}\mathcal{R}_{\Sigma} P^\Lambda - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{T}^{\Lambda\Sigma} q_{\Sigma} \] (D.7)

\[ \partial_r (e^{-U} L_i^\Lambda) = \frac{p^\Lambda}{2e^{2V}} + \frac{1}{2e^{2U}} \mathcal{T}^{\Lambda\Sigma} P^\Sigma P_\Delta P^\Delta + \frac{4}{e^{2U}} \mathcal{L}_r^\Sigma P_{\Sigma} P^\Lambda P^\Delta \mathcal{L}_r^\Lambda \] (D.8)

\[ \dot{q}_r = 2e^{-U} h^{uv} \partial_v \left( p^\Sigma P^\Sigma L_i^\Lambda P^\Delta \right) \] (D.9)
First we define
\[ P^x_p = P^x_A p^A \] (D.10)
then use a local $SU(2)$ transformation to set
\[ P^1_p = P^2_p = 0, \] (D.11)
which is weaker than setting $P^1_A = P^2_A = 0$ as was done in [18]. At this point one can see that $P^1_A$ and $P^2_A$ completely drop out of the above equations (D.1)-(D.9). This allows us to rewrite all equations in terms of $P^3_A \equiv P_A$ only.

### E Killing Vectors on the Coset $G/H$

There is a general method to construct Killing vectors on cosets which we will utilize here [28, 29]. We first define a canonical automorphism on the Lie algebra of $G$:
\[ \tau(\vec{H}) = -\vec{H}, \quad \tau(E_i) = -F_i, \quad \tau(F_i) = -E_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 6. \] (E.1)
We define a rotated basis $K_{\pm i} = E_i \pm F_i$ and the $\tau$-invariant subalgebra is given by $K_{-i}$
\[ \tau(K_{-i}) = K_{-i}. \] (E.2)

The general construction involves starting with a semi-simple Lie algebra $g$ with generators $T_A$ and decomposing it into orthogonal subspaces under the Killing form
\[ \kappa_{AB} = \text{Tr}(T_AT_B) \] (E.3)
as
\[ g = h + k \] (E.4)
The indices under this decomposition are $\{T_A\} = \{T_i, T_a\}$. The coset element is $L(y)$ and the one form has some component along $k$ and some along $h$:
\[ V(y) = dLL^{-1} = V^a(y)T_a + \Omega^i(y)T_i \] (E.5)
\[ V^a(y) = V^a_\alpha dy^\alpha \] (E.6)
where we introduced coordinates $y^\alpha$ on the coset $G/H$.

We now produce a formula for the Killing vectors on $G/H$. If we vary the coset element by
\[ L \rightarrow hLg \] (E.7)
where
\[ g = 1 + \epsilon^A T_A \] (E.8)
\[ h = 1 - \epsilon^A W^A_i T_i \] (E.9)
\[ y'^\alpha = y^\alpha + \epsilon^A K^\alpha_A(y) \] (E.10)
we get
\[ \delta L(y) = \epsilon^A K_A L(y) = \epsilon^A \left[ LT_A - W^i A T_i L \right] \] (E.11)

From this we find that
\[ LT_A L^{-1} = K_A^\alpha (\partial_\alpha L) L^{-1} + W^i A T_i \] (E.12)

which can be projected onto both \( K \) and \( H \) to give\(^5\)
\[ D_A^B \text{Tr} \left[ T_B T_a \right] = K_A^\alpha \text{Tr} \left[ (\partial_\alpha L) L^{-1} T_a \right] \] (E.14)
\[ \Rightarrow D_A^b \kappa_{ba} = K_A^a V^b \kappa_{ba} \] (E.15)

and we now have an explicit formula for the Killing vectors on \( G/H \)
\[ K_A^\alpha = D_A^B (V^{-1})_b^\alpha \] (E.16)

We now use this general formula to produce Killing vectors on the two canonical examples of homogeneous quaternionic Kähler manifolds.

**F \quad G_{2(2)}/SO(4)**

We now construct the quaternionic Kähler metric on \( G_{2(2)}/SO(4) \) and perform the explicit coordinate transformation such that the resulting space is clearly in the image of a c-map.

We take the following standard generators of \( G_2 \):
\[
\begin{align*}
H_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( e_{11} - e_{22} + 2e_{33} - 2e_{55} + e_{66} - e_{77} \right), & H_2 &= e_{11} + e_{22} - e_{66} - e_{77}, \\
E_1 &= -2(e_{16} + e_{27}), & F_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} (e_{61} + e_{72}), \\
E_2 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left( 2e_{41} - 2e_{52} - 2e_{63} + 2e_{74} \right), & F_2 &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} (e_{14} - e_{25} - e_{36} + e_{47}), \\
E_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( e_{13} - 2e_{24} + 2e_{46} - 2e_{57} \right), & F_3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (e_{31} - e_{42} + e_{64} - e_{75}), \\
E_4 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \left( e_{21} + e_{43} + e_{54} + e_{76} \right), & F_4 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (e_{12} + 2e_{34} + 2e_{45} + e_{67}), \\
E_5 &= \frac{1}{2} \left( e_{51} + e_{73} \right), & F_5 &= -2(e_{15} - e_{37}), \\
E_6 &= -e_{23} - e_{73}, & F_6 &= -e_{32} - e_{65}.
\end{align*}
\]

Using \( K_{\pm i} = E_i \pm F_i \) we form the ordered basis
\[ T_A = \{ K_{-1}, \ldots , K_{-6}, H_1, H_2, K_{+1}, \ldots , K_{+6} \} \] (F.1)

the Cartan Killing Form is
\[ \kappa = 4 \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbb{I}_6 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{I}_8 \end{pmatrix} \] (F.2)

We take the coset element to be
\[ V = e^{(\varphi^1 H_1 + \varphi^2 H_2)/2} e^{\xi E_1} e^{\sqrt{3}(1 - \theta_1 E_2 + \theta_2 E_3)} e^{\tilde{\theta}_2 E_6} e^{2\sqrt{3}E_4} e^{-\tilde{\theta}_1 E_5} \] (F.3)

\( ^5 \)We define the adjoint action to be
\[ g T_A g^{-1} = D_A^B T_B \] (E.13)
and the resulting metric is
\[ ds^2 = \frac{1}{4}(d\varphi_1^2 + d\varphi_2^2) + \sum_{i=1}^{6}(\mathcal{F}^i)^2, \]  
with the frames given by
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F}^1 &= d\zeta, \\
\mathcal{F}^2 &= \sqrt{3}d\theta^1, \\
\mathcal{F}^3 &= \sqrt{3}(d\theta^2 - \zeta d\theta^1), \\
\mathcal{F}^4 &= 2 3^{1/2}(da + \frac{1}{2}(\theta^1 d\theta^2 - \theta^2 d\theta^1)), \\
\mathcal{F}^5 &= d\bar{\theta}_1 - 6\theta^1 da - \theta^1(\theta^1 d\theta^2 - \theta^2 d\theta^1), \\
\mathcal{F}^6 &= d\bar{\theta}_2 - 6\theta^2 da - \theta^2(\theta^1 d\theta^2 - \theta^2 d\theta^1) - \zeta \mathcal{F}^5. 
\end{align*}

The co-ordinate transformation to bring the metric to the form (B.6) is
\begin{align*}
\varphi^1 &= -\sqrt{3}(\varphi + \phi + \frac{\log(3)}{4}) \\
\varphi^2 &= \phi - 3\varphi - \frac{3}{4}\log 3 \\
\zeta &= 3^{3/4}\xi^0 \\
a &= -\frac{1}{6}3^{1/4}\tilde{\xi}_1 - \frac{1}{2}3^{1/4}\chi\xi^1 \\
\theta^1 &= \frac{\chi}{\sqrt{3}} \\
\theta^2 &= 3^{1/4}(-\xi^1 + \chi\xi^0) \\
\bar{\theta}_1 &= \frac{1}{3^{3/4}}(\tilde{\xi}_0 - \chi^2\xi^1) \\
\bar{\theta}_2 &= -\sigma + 2\chi(\xi^1)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\xi^0\tilde{\xi}_0 + \frac{1}{2}\xi_1\tilde{\xi}_1 - \chi^2\xi^0\xi^1
\end{align*}

The base special Kähler manifold is
\[ \mathcal{M}_z = \frac{SU(1,1)}{U(1)} \]
with prepotential
\[ G = -(Z^1)^3 \]
where the special co-ordinate on the \( \mathcal{M}_z \) is
\[ Z^A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \chi + ie^{-2\varphi} \end{pmatrix}. \]
Explicitly the metric is the c-map coordinates is
\[ ds^2 = d\phi^2 + 3d\varphi^2 + \frac{3}{4}e^{4\varphi}d\chi^2 + \frac{1}{4}e^{4\phi}\left(d\sigma - \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\xi}_A d\xi^A - \xi^A d\tilde{\xi}_A]\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\phi-6\varphi}(d\xi^0)^2 + \frac{3}{4}e^{2\phi-2\varphi}(d\xi^1 - \chi d\xi^0)^2 + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\phi+6\varphi}(d\tilde{\xi}_0 + \chi d\xi_1 - \chi^2 d\xi^0 + 3\chi^2 d\xi^1)^2 + \frac{1}{12}e^{2\phi+2\varphi}(d\tilde{\xi}_1 - 3\chi^2 d\xi^0 + 6\chi d\xi^1)^2. \] (F.22)

We can also write down the Killing vectors in the quaternionic-Kähler construction in terms of those obtained from the coset construction \( K_A \). First for the duality symmetries we find
\[
\begin{align*}
h_{\epsilon_+} &= \frac{1}{2}[K_6 + K_{14}] \quad \text{(F.23)} \\
h_{\alpha_0} &= \frac{3^{3/4}}{2}[K_1 + K_9] \quad \text{(F.24)} \\
h_{\alpha_1} &= -\frac{3^{3/4}}{2}[K_3 + K_{11}] \quad \text{(F.25)} \\
h_{\alpha_0} &= -\frac{1}{2^{3^{3/4}}}[K_4 + K_{12}] \quad \text{(F.26)} \\
h_{\alpha_1} &= -\frac{1}{2^{3^{3/4}}}[K_5 + K_{13}] \quad \text{(F.27)} \\
h_{\epsilon_0} &= \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}[K_7 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}K_8] \quad \text{(F.28)} \\
h_a &= \frac{1}{2}[K_2 - K_{10}] \quad \text{(F.29)} \\
h_\beta &= -\frac{1}{2^{3^{1/2}}}[K_7 + \sqrt{3}K_8] \quad \text{(F.30)} \\
h_b &= -\frac{1}{2}[K_2 + K_{10}] \quad \text{(F.31)}
\end{align*}
\]
where \( \{h_a, h_b, h_\beta\} \) refer to the obvious components of \( h_U \). Then for the hidden symmetries we find
\[
\begin{align*}
h_{\epsilon_-} &= K_6 - K_{14} \quad \text{(F.32)} \\
h_{\tilde{\epsilon}_0} &= \frac{1}{3^{3/4}}[K_4 - K_{12}] \quad \text{(F.33)} \\
h_{\tilde{\epsilon}_1} &= \frac{1}{3^{3/4}}[K_5 - K_{13}] \quad \text{(F.34)} \\
h_{\tilde{\alpha}_0} &= \frac{1}{3^{3/4}}[K_1 - K_9] \quad \text{(F.35)} \\
h_{\tilde{\alpha}_1} &= \frac{1}{3^{3/4}}[K_3 - K_{11}] \quad \text{(F.36)}
\end{align*}
\]
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