Post-burn tarsal tunnel syndrome response to extracorporeal shock wave therapy
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Abstract

Introduction. To evaluate the effect of extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT) on electrophysiological responses in patients suffering from tarsal tunnel syndrome after burn.

Methods. Overall, 40 male and female individuals with tarsal tunnel syndrome after a burn injury were equally divided into 2 groups. Group 1 received ESWT in addition to a routine physical therapy program, which consisted of stretching of calf muscles followed by pulsed ultrasound, ice massage, and ankle pump exercise. Group 2 received the routine physical therapy program only. The area of popliteal fossa (slightly laterally) was outlined and 100 impulses per cm² and another 100 impulses per cm² were administered over the area behind and above the medial malleolus. The 2 areas were administered every 2 weeks for 3 months as a total period of treatment. Sensory and motor nerve conduction velocities of the lateral and medial plantar branches of the tibial nerve were measured before the treatment and after 3 months.

Results. There was an improvement and a significant decrease in the prolonged motor and distal latencies of the 2 branches of the posterior tibial nerve in the ESWT group compared with group 2 (p < 0.05).

Conclusions. ESWT is effective in treating patients suffering from tarsal tunnel syndrome after burn as evidenced by decreasing the prolonged motor and sensory distal latencies of the 2 branches of the posterior tibial nerve.
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Introduction

Burned patients have many dysfunctions owing to the affection of original protective skin structures, damage to the blood system, and severe metabolic disorders associated with abnormal capillary permeability plus extravascular oedema with cutaneous ischaemia. Injuries secondary to burn also lead to nervous system destruction. The most common results of a burn injury and, at the same time, healing problems are weakness and loss of sensation. Nerve injuries may be the main cause of these symptoms. Burn contractures, affecting the physical functions of burned patients, can result from the previously mentioned burn complications, as well as a damage to the lymphatic system. These contractures will delay healing of burn wounds in both acute and chronic phases [1–4].

Peripheral neuropathies observed after thermal injuries mostly affect the nerves of the burned areas; they are also common in individuals with burn injuries exceeding 20% of total body surface area. Moreover, usually 3–7 nerves are affected in each individual during peripheral neuropathy. This results from multiple mononeuropathy caused by crush syndrome. Polyneuropathy is common after thermal injuries leading to electrophysiological symptoms which are present in the first week (post-burn) in injured and even uninjured areas; the changes in nerve functions originate from inflammation caused by thermal injuries. Peripheral neuropathies are common in alcoholics, diabetics, and aged patients owing to lesser mobility and their low nerve pressure tolerance as well [5–7].

One of the causes of peripheral neuropathies in burned patients is the hypermetabolic response. The basal metabolic rate (BMR) of burned individuals is more than double the one of healthy individuals. This contributes to the excess of circulating catecholamines, which increase the sympathetic tone, leading to increased systemic vascular resistance and decreased cutaneous, muscular, and endoneural blood flow (nerve blood flow), resulting in nerve function problems [8–11].

Burns affecting more than 20% of total body surface area are associated with scar formation, which may lead to contractures, decreased range of motion, and entrapment syndromes. Carpal (CTS) and tarsal (TTS) tunnel syndromes are examples of entrapment syndromes which may occur after burn in the upper and lower limbs, respectively. TTS is a compression neuropathy of the tibial nerve within the tarsal tunnel, which is located along the inner leg, behind the medial malleolus. Patients with TTS typically complain of numbness in the foot radiating to the big toe and the first three toes, pain, burning, electrical sensations, and tingling over the base of the foot and the heel [8–11].

Electrophysiology was used in the 18th century by Galvani. Electrophysiological studies of nerves and muscles are extremely important in many clinical practices. Hodes et al. [12] presented the first clinically-based discussion of conduction velocity analysis and aroused a great interest in the subject of electrophysiological testing [11–15].

Shock wave therapy, known as extracorporeal shock wave therapy (ESWT), is clinically recognized for the treatment of chronic soft tissue injuries and certain bone disorders. It is well defined as a non- or non-electrical high-energy sound wave that penetrates the body via a hand-held...
probe. The shock waves lead to a rapid increase in blood flow to the treated area and therefore interrupt the formation and building-up of scar tissue, particularly in chronic disorders. ESWT is effective in breaking down adhesion and scar tissue. It is proven that scar tissue is not as elastic as normal tissue, which prevents normal function during normal movement. Breaking down scar tissue is a key stone to return to normal function and to reduce pain [16–19].

ESWT can be effective in handling and re-establishing normal function in a wide range of soft tissue and bone disorders. These may include chronic connective tissue dysfunction, frozen shoulder, tennis elbow, heel spur, and supraspinatus tendinitis [20–22].

Many studies have been performed to assess the effect of shock wave in individuals suffering from different types of neuropathies, like peripheral neuropathy, diabetic neuropathy, and CTS. Evidence-based research proves that 3–4 treatment sessions with shock wave to heel spur, soft tissue injuries, and neuropathies allowed to decline pain and achieve normal function in more than 80% of patients [3, 5, 19, 20].

Regardless of new therapies, the management of TTS is still complicated, and there is no definite treatment effective in all cases, which present varying underlying mechanisms. Oral medications and local injections of corticosteroids have many adverse effects. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of shock wave in patients suffering from post-burn TTS as ESWT may provide a safe and non-invasive treatment and open the road for many researchers to perform more studies on that area. It was hypothesized that there was no effect of ESWT on the electrophysiological responses in post-burn TTS patients.

Subjects and methods

Design of the study

The study was designed as a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. It was performed between July 2018 and February 2019.

Participants

The study was carried out among 40 outpatient individuals with chronic burn in their lower extremity (30 men and 10 women). Their age ranged from 20 to 35 years. They were selected from the Cairo University hospitals and Om-At-Misryeen hospital. The percentage of total body surface area burned in the participants exceeded 20%. They were diagnosed with second or third degree burns with a secondary complication of TTS. The patients reported no other pathological conditions, except for neuropathies. They showed the necessary cooperation to enable the investigator to secure the required data. The participants were divided into 2 equal groups. Group 1 (study group) received ESWT in addition to a routine physical therapy program that consisted of stretching of calf muscles followed by pulsed ultrasound, ice massage, and ankle pump exercise. Group 2 (control group) received the routine physical therapy program only.

Inclusion criteria

All patients had the following characteristics: age ranging between 20 and 35 years, lower limb burn with the percentage of the total body surface area burned of more than 20%, and a diagnosis of a second or third degree burn complicated with TTS confirmed in an electroneurographic examination, as well as in a physical examination which included Tinel’s test. All participants were non-smokers and continued to receive their own medications prescribed by their physicians.

Exclusion criteria

Patients with a history of distal tibial fracture, ankle fracture, lumbar radiculopathy, fibromyalgia, diabetes mellitus, skin diseases, or peripheral vascular diseases, as well as pregnant women and individuals receiving oral anticoagulants or steroid injections were excluded.

Randomization

Every individual was informed about the nature, purpose, and benefits of the research and their right to withdraw or refuse at any time. The patients were randomly assigned into 2 equal groups (control group and study group) with the use of a computer-based randomization program. No subject dropped out from the study after randomization. The patients were blinded about which group they were allocated to.

Instrumentation

Assessment device

The equipment used in the measurements in this research was the Neuropack 2 MEB-7102K 2 channels EMG-EP machine (Nihon Kohden Corp. Tokyo, Japan). It allowed to obtain an unbiased assessment of the sensory (SCV) and motor (MCV) conduction velocity.

Treatment devices

The therapeutic equipment and tools used in this research were a MASTERPULS MP200 shock wave device (Storz-Medical, Tägerwil, Switzerland) and a CWM-302-digisonic therapeutic ultrasound device (Chungwoo Medical, Seoul, South Korea).

Procedures

Assessment procedures

Motor conduction velocity measurement

Recording electrodes

For the medial plantar branch, the recording electrode was placed between the heel and base of the first metatarsal bone over the main bulk of the abductor hallucis muscle. The positive electrode was placed distally over the ball of the big toe, and the ground electrode was placed between the stimulating and recording electrodes around the ankle area [4, 8, 10]. For the lateral plantar branch, the recording electrode was placed below the head of the third metatarsal bone over the main bulk of the adductor hallucis muscle. The positive electrode was placed distally over the tip of the third toe, and the ground electrode was placed around the ankle area [1, 8, 10, 17].

Stimulating electrodes

The stimulating cathode in the distal stimulation was placed behind and above the medial malleolus, 8 cm prox-
imally to the active recording electrode to provide a stand-


dardized distal latency segment. In the proximal stimula-
tion, the stimulating cathode was placed in the centre of the
popliteal fossa. For both stimulation sites, the positive elec-

trode was proximal to the negative electrode [1, 10, 13, 14].

Sensory conduction velocity measurement

Recording electrodes

For the medial plantar branch, the recording electrode was
placed above and behind the medial malleolus over the pos-
terior tibial nerve while. For the lateral plantar branch, the
recording electrode was placed above and behind the me-


dial malleolus over the posterior tibial nerve. The positive

electrode was placed 3 cm proximally from the active re-
cording electrode, and the ground electrode was placed
between the stimulating and recording electrodes around
the ankle area. An averaging recording technique was used
in the sensory recording.

Stimulating electrodes

For the medial plantar branch, the stimulating electrode
was placed around the big toe. For the lateral plantar branch,
it was placed around the little toe, with the active electrode
proximal to the reference one. The recording and stimulat-
ing electrodes were moistened with gel, while the ground
electrode was soaked in water; all electrodes were firmly
fixed in their places [13, 14, 17, 18].

The tibial nerve MCV and SCV measurement was per-
formed as follows:

– The recordings were conducted in an air-conditioned



room, at a temperature between 24°C and 28°C; therefore,
the room temperature variations along the distribution of
the tested nerve were decreased. Heating of the tested limb
was achieved by applying massage for 5 minutes to further
decrease the temperature disparity.

– The on-off switch of the Neuropack 2 MEB-7102K



machine was turned on.

– The Neuropack 2 MEB-7102K program CD was put in
to open the software.

– The availability of all needed electrodes was checked.



Then, they were connected either to the negative or to the
positive input of the electrode junctional box active chan-
nels [1, 2, 8, 10, 19].

– For MCV, throughout all recordings, the sensitivity used
was 1 mV/division, and the sweep speed was 3 ms, while
the intensity of the stimulus equalled 1–50 mA; stimuli were
performed to obtain a supra-maximal recording amplitude.
A tape was used to measure the distances between the points,
while a marker pen served to indicate the stimulation points
to allow nerve conduction velocity calculation. Nerve con-
duction velocity was determined with the following formula:

distance (in cm) × 10/conduction velocity = L1–L2



where L1 is the proximal latency and L2 is the distal latency
[5, 10, 12, 14].

– For SCV, ring electrodes were applied for the medial
plantar branch on the big toe and for the lateral plantar branch
on the little toe (cathode proximal to anode). The recording
was obtained from the main trunk of the posterior tibial nerve
behind and above the medial malleolus. An averaging tech-
nique was used. Sensory distal latency (SDL) and SCV were
obtained and recorded [2, 4, 5, 14, 17, 23].

Treatment procedures

Group 1 received ESWT plus a routine physical therapy
program, while group 2 received a routine physical therapy
program only.

Shock wave therapy application

The patient was placed in a supine lying position with
both hips slightly rotated laterally and flexed, both knees
slightly flexed (only 10°), and both ankles slightly planter
flexed, with pillows under the knees and head. This was to
achieve full relaxation of the participants. The popliteal fos-
sa (slightly laterally) was outlined and 100 impulses per cm²
were administered every 2 weeks for 3 months; another
100 impulses per cm² were administered over the area be-



hind and above the medial malleolus at a frequency of 6 Hz
and intensity of 1.5 bar [1, 10, 13, 14, 19].

Physical therapy program

The physical therapy program for both groups consisted of
gentle stretching of calf muscles followed by therapeutic
pulsed ultrasound (parameters: 3 MHz, 0.84 W/cm², contin-
uous, 3 minutes), ice massage for 5 minutes, and ankle pump
exercise (for 5 minutes, 3 sessions per week per 12 weeks)
[10, 13, 14].

Before the experiment, the SCV and MCV of the posterior
tibial nerve for each individual were recorded in both groups.
ESWT was applied every 2 weeks for 3 months, after which
the second (final) MCV and SCV recording were taken.

The pre- and post-experimental measurements were
performed during the same time of the day to decrease vari-
ability. The step-by-step procedure for data recording was
identical to that during the pre-experimental measurement
of the SCV and MCV of the posterior tibial nerve, taken be-
fore the treatment as an initial record and then after 3 months
as a second (last) record in the 2 groups.

The collected data were input into a personal computer
to analyse the statistics. Descriptive statistics as standard
deviation, mean, maximum, and minimum were calculated
for both groups. The t-test was performed to compare the
mean difference between both groups after and before the
intervention, as well as within each group. The alpha point
of 0.05 was used as the level of significance [24].

Ethical approval

The research related to human use has complied with all
the relevant national regulations and institutional policies,
has followed the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and
the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials, and has been
approved by the institutional review board at the Faculty of
Physical Therapy, Cairo University (No.P.T.REC/012/001941).

Informed consent

Informed consent has been obtained from all individuals
included in this study.

Results

As displayed in Table 1, the mean value of the motor distal
latency (MDL) of the medial plantar branch in the study group
equalled 10.4500 ± 1.0511 ms before the experiment and
decreased to 6.1200 ± 0.4365 ms after the treatment. This
showed a significant decrease in the MDL of the medial plantar
branch (p < 0.0001). In group 2, the mean value of the MDL
of the medial plantar branch equalled $10.4450 \pm 1.0556$ ms before the treatment and became $10.4600 \pm 1.0655$ ms after the treatment. This showed a non-significant difference in the MdL of the medial plantar branch ($p > 0.05$).

Table 2 shows that the mean value of SDL of the medial plantar branch in the study group equalled $10.3800 \pm 1.0562$ ms before the experiment and decreased to $6.6340 \pm 0.6780$ ms after the treatment. This showed a highly significant decrease in the SDL of the medial plantar branch ($p < 0.0001$).

ESWT – extracorporeal shock wave therapy, PT – physical therapy

Table 3. Comparison of mean motor distal latency values (ms) of the lateral plantar branch before and after treatment in groups 1 and 2

| Before treatment | After treatment | Mean difference | t    | p       | Level of significance   |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|---------|-------------------------|
| Mean             | SD              | Mean            | SD   |         |                          |
| Study group      | (ESWT + PT)     | 10.2850         | 0.6845 | 9.7050   | 0.4051                  | 0.580000 | 2.82     | < 0.0001 | Significant decrease |
| Control group    | (PT only)       | 10.3800         | 0.6120 | 10.3850  | 0.6067                  | –0.005000| –0.02    | 0.982    | Non-significant      |

ESWT – extracorporeal shock wave therapy, PT – physical therapy

Table 4. Comparison of mean sensory distal latency values (ms) of the lateral plantar branch before and after treatment in groups 1 and 2

| Before treatment | After treatment | Mean difference | t    | p       | Level of significance   |
|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|---------|-------------------------|
| Mean             | SD              | Mean            | SD   |         |                          |
| Study group      | (ESWT + PT)     | 10.4110         | 0.5216 | 6.9300   | 0.5251                  | 3.481000 | 18.22    | < 0.0001 | Highly significant decrease |
| Control group    | (PT only)       | 10.4142         | 0.6612 | 10.4300  | 0.6404                  | –0.015800| –0.07    | 0.947    | Non-significant      |

ESWT – extracorporeal shock wave therapy, PT – physical therapy
BMR continues to rise to at least double the normal value. The phase at which BMR is decreased after the burn is called the ebbed phase; while the second phase, at which BMR increases, is referred to as the flow phase; it is caused by an increased activity of the adrenal medulla to release catecholamines [5, 8, 13, 14].

Vascular burn complications that disturb the peripheral body parts result from the predominating sympathetic tone and the circumferential third degree burn. Together with body parts result from the predominating sympathetic catecholamines [5, 8, 13, 14].

Compression neuropathies can occur during surgery from the sustained application of an elastic tourniquet to create a bloodless area. The direct compression of the underlying nerves and ischaemic injury to the tissue distal to the tourniquet are two possible mechanisms of injury. Nerves that are superficial and at a substantial risk for damage are the ulnar nerve at the elbow and the superficial branch of the radial nerve on the dorsum of the hand in the upper limb, in addition to the common peroneal nerve at the fibular head in the lower limb [3, 10, 13, 17, 24].

Evidence-based research shows that ESWT has become a new effective modality in the treatment of many acute and chronic soft tissue injuries like tendinitis, tennis elbow, plantar fasciitis, and hypertrophic scar [23]. It is very important to know the exact parameters of the shock wave used in the treatment; however, the mechanism of how the shock wave works remains unclear [25]. The shock wave has two effects. The first is the primary effect which results from the mechanical energy concentrated at the targeted treated area. The second (secondary) effect results from cavitation [26].

Some studies performed on animals revealed that ESWT might efficiently support healing because it contributes to the process of angiogenesis and increases blood flow in the treated area [27]. Shock wave also stimulates a serial biological response which helps resolve inflammation [28]. Owing to substance P release, ESWT plays a role in pain relief [28]. It may enhance the formation of new blood vessels and regulate the inflammatory process [29].

One of the hypotheses concerning ESWT effects is that it supports nitric oxide (NO) production owing to the stimulation of neuronal NO synthase in the tissue around the median nerve and reduces topical inflammation, thus decreasing pressure on median nerve [30]. Another mechanism described in ESWT studies is pain transformation reduction in the nervous system as a result of an increase in NO level due to raised neuronal NO synthase. In this hypothesis, NO affects the nerve cell membrane, opening potassium channels and reducing the entrance of calcium, which results in hyperpolarization of the cell membrane and controls pain transmission [31, 32]. In addition, it is possible that NO produced by neuronal NO synthase acts as an opioid and reduces pain [33].

Shock wave has a depressing effect in declining the dominant sympathetic tone in burned patients, decreasing the reflex muscle spasm, increasing peripheral circulation, ameliorating oxygen supply, and increasing lymphatic clearing. It also raises nutrient supply and reduces the concentration of metabolites, muscle fatigue, oedema, inflammation, and compressive ischaemic pain. It helps accelerate wound healing and scar maturation, as well as improves nerve functions, motion, and physical functions of the burned patients, allowing them to quickly become productive citizens again [34].

In our trial, there was no significant difference in the MDL or SDL of both branches between the groups before treatment. In the study group, the MDL and SDL of the medial plantar branch decreased after the treatment to 6.1200 ± 0.4365 ms and 6.6340 ± 0.6780 ms, respectively, reflecting significant differences (p < 0.0001). However, the same readings for the control group after the treatment were 10.4600 ± 1.0655 ms and 10.2350 ± 0.6545 ms, respectively, with no significant differences (p > 0.05). Also, in the study group, the MDL and SDL of the lateral plantar branch decreased after treatment to 9.7050 ± 0.4051 ms and 6.9300 ± 0.5251 ms, respectively, revealing significant differences (p < 0.0001). The same readings for the control group after the treatment were 10.3850 ± 0.6067 ms and 10.4300 ± 0.6404 ms, respectively, without any significant difference (p > 0.05). As expected, the results of this study indicate that ESWT was significantly effective in decreasing the MDL and SDL of both the medial and lateral plantar branch of the posterior tibial nerve in post-burn TTS.

Kim et al. [35] performed a meta-analysis to investigate the effect of shock wave on CTS in 6 randomized control trials chosen from PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Library. The meta-analysis results showed that shock wave enhanced functional outcomes, symptoms, and electrophysiologic parameters in individuals with CTS. The authors recommended more research in that area to verify the long-term effects of shock wave.

Mahran et al. [36] revealed the impact of ESWT on CTS after burn injury. Overall, 30 male and female patients were randomly divided into 2 groups. One group received shock wave plus traditional physical therapy, while the other group received traditional physical therapy only. The study proved that there were non-significant differences in SCV and MCV in the control group before and after the treatment (p > 0.05), but there was a highly significant difference in the second records of SCV and MCV in the study group (p < 0.05). An improvement was observed in the nerve conduction velocity in the group who received shock wave compared with the control group.

In a study performed on rats, Murata et al. [37] reported that shock wave supported the process of nerve recovery in cases of peripheral nerve damage. They investigated the dorsal root ganglion and used activating transcription factor 3 (ATF3) and growth-associated phosphoprotein (GAP-43) as markers for nerve injury and axonal regeneration, respectively. The average number of neurons immunoreactive for ATF3 increased significantly in the treated rats at all experimental time points, with 78.3% of those neurons also exhibiting immunoreactivity for GAP-43.

Wu et al. [38] applied shock wave on the sciatic nerve of rats after surgical exposure. They divided the rats into 2 groups. One group received shock wave, while the other group did not. The authors measured MCV before and after the procedure application. They found that MCV decreased in the group who received shock wave so the conclusion was that shock wave might cause reversible demyelination of large diameter nerve fibres.

Hausner et al. [39] performed a study on rats to investigate the effect of shock wave on nerve regeneration after a nerve graft. The rats were divided into 2 groups. One group received shock wave after the nerve graft (300 impulses, 3 Hz), while the other group did not receive any intervention after the nerve graft. The results of the study showed that the nerve function and the rate of myelination improved in the shock wave group.
Vahdatpour et al. [40] investigated 60 patients with CTS at the University of Isfahan, Iran. The participants were divided into 2 groups. Group 1 was managed with shock wave and presented a considerable improvement after 3 months of the treatment compared with the control group, who showed no improvement or slight regression. So, the authors concluded that shock wave was a good conservative modality to be used in cases of CTS.

In accordance with the discussion of previous reports in fields related to this study, it can be claimed that the application of ESWT in post-burn TTS decreases the MDL and SDL of the medial and lateral planter branches of the posterior tibial nerve. This may be because of the depressing effect on the sympathetic tone and the peripheral vaso- dilatation, resulting in improved nerve functions.

Limitations

The study was limited by the psychological condition of the patients at the time of the intervention, which might affect the results. Another limitation was the small sample size and potential errors in measuring MCV and SCV. Therefore, more extensive studies regarding the efficacy of ESWT on post-burn TTS with larger samples are needed. Follow-up studies would be of great interest to detect the long-term impact of ESWT and the recurrence of pain.

Conclusions

ESWT application in post-burn TTS decreases the MDL and SDL of the medial and lateral planter branches of the posterior tibial nerve. The study demonstrates that ESWT is beneficial in treating patients suffering from TTS after burn.
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