Quantum simulations of thermodynamic properties of strongly coupled quark-gluon plasma *
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A strongly coupled quark-gluon plasma (QGP) of heavy constituent quasi-particles is studied by a path-integral Monte-Carlo method. This approach is a quantum generalization of the model developed by Gelman, Shuryak and Zahed. It is shown that this method is able to reproduce the QCD lattice equation of state and also yields valuable insight into the internal structure of the QGP. The results indicate that the QGP reveals liquid-like rather than gas-like properties. At temperatures just above the critical one it was found that bound quark-antiquark states still survive. These states are bound by effective string-like forces and turns out to be colorless. At the temperature as large as twice the critical one no bound states are observed. Quantum effects turned out to be of prime importance in these simulations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Contributions of A.B. Migdal to physics of the last century are difficult to overestimate. These include the Landau-Migdal-Pomeranchuk effect, theory of finite Fermi systems, pionic degrees of freedom in nuclei, physics of strong fields, etc. This results entered many textbooks and found numerous applications. A.B. Migdal was one of the first who started to think about possible existence of an anomalous nuclear matter [1]. Nowadays this concept is, in particular, associated with the phase transition into the QGP. While the possibility of pion condensation is still vividly discussed in astrophysical applications, see, e.g., [2].

Investigation of properties of the QGP is one of the main challenges of strong-interaction physics, both theoretically and experimentally. Many features of this matter were experimentally discovered at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) at Brookhaven. The most striking result, obtained from analysis of these experimental data [3], is that the deconfined quark-gluon matter behaves as an almost perfect fluid rather than a perfect gas, as it could be expected from the asymptotic freedom.

There are various approaches to studying QGP. Each approach has its advantages and disadvantages. The most fundamental way to compute properties of the strongly interacting matter is provided by the lattice QCD [4–6]. Interpretation of these very complicated computations requires application of various QCD motivated, albeit schematic, models simulating various aspects of the full theory. Moreover, such models are needed in cases when the lattice QCD fails, e.g. at large baryon chemical potentials and out of equilibrium. While some progress has been achieved in the recent years, we are still far away from having a satisfactory understanding of the QGP dynamics.

A semi-classical approximation, based on a point like quasi-particle picture has been introduced in [7]. It is expected that the main features of non-Abelian plasmas can be understood in simple semi-classical terms without the difficulties inherent to a full quantum field theoretical analysis. Independently the same ideas were implemented in terms of molecular dynamics (MD) [8]. Recently this MD approach was further developed in a series of works [9, 10]. The MD allowed one to treat soft processes in the QGP which are not accessible by perturbative means.

A strongly correlated behavior of the QGP is expected to show up in long-ranged spatial correlations of quarks and gluons which, in fact, may give rise to liquid-like and, possibly, solid-like structures. This expectation is based on a very similar behavior observed in electrodynamic plasmas [9, 11, 12]. This similarity was exploited to formulate a classical non-relativistic model of a color Coulomb interacting QGP [9] which was numerically analyzed by classical MD simulations. Quantum effects were either neglected or included phenomenologically via a short-range repulsive correction to the pair potential. Such a rough model may become a critical issue at high densities, where quantum effects strongly affects properties of the QGP. Similar models have been used in electrodynamic plasmas and showed poor behavior in the region of strong wave function overlap, in particular at the Mott density. For temperatures and
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densities of the QGP considered in Ref. [9] these effects are very important as the quasi-particle thermal wave length is of order the average interparticle distance.

In this paper we extend previous classical nonrelativistic simulations [9] based on a color Coulomb interaction to the quantum regime. We develop an approach based on path integral Monte Carlo (PIMC) simulations of the strongly coupled QGP which self-consistently takes into account the Fermi (Bose) statistics of quarks (gluons). Following an idea of Kelbg [13], quantum corrections to the pair potential are rigorously derived [14]. To extend the method of quantum potentials to a stronger coupling, an “improved Kelbg potential” was derived, which contains a single free parameter, being fitted to the exact solution of the quantum-mechanical two-body problem. Thus, the method of the improved Kelbg potential is able to describe thermodynamic properties up to moderate couplings [15]. However, this approach may fail, if bound states of more than two particles are formed in the system. This results in a break-down of the pair approximation for the density matrix, as demonstrated in Ref. [15]. A superior approach, which does not have this limitation, consists in use the original Kelbg potential in the PIMC simulations which effectively map the problem onto a high-temperature weakly coupled and weakly degenerate one. This allows one to rigorously extend the analysis to strong couplings and is, therefore, a relevant choice for the present purpose.

This method has been successfully applied to strongly coupled electrodynamic plasmas [16, 17]. Examples are partially-ionized dense hydrogen plasmas, where liquid-like and crystalline behavior was observed [18, 19]. Moreover, also partial ionization effects and pressure ionization could be studied from first principles [20]. The same methods have been also applied to electron-hole plasmas in semiconductors [21, 22], including excitonic bound states, which have many similarities to the QGP due to smaller mass differences as compared to electron-ion plasmas.

The main goal of this article is to test the developed approach for ability to reproduce known lattice data [4, 5] and to predict other properties of the QGP, which are still unavailable for the lattice calculations. To this end we use a simple model [9] of the QGP consisting of quarks, antiquarks and gluons interacting via a color Coulomb potential. First results of applications of the PIMC method to study of thermodynamic properties of the nonideal QGP have already been briefly reported in [23, 24]. In this paper we present a comprehensive report on the thermodynamic properties.

II. THERMODYNAMICS OF QGP

A. Basics of the model

Our model is based on a resummation technique and lattice simulations for dressed quarks, antiquarks and gluons interacting via the color Coulomb potential. The assumptions of the model are similar to those of Ref. [9]:

I. All color quasi-particles are heavy, i.e. their mass ($m$) is higher than the mean kinetic energy per particle. For instance, at zero net-baryon density it amounts to $m > T$, where $T$ is a temperature. Therefore these particles move non-relativistically. This assumption is based on the analysis of lattice data [25, 26].

II. Since the particles are non-relativistic, interparticle interaction is dominated by a color-electric Coulomb potential, see Eq. (1). Magnetic effects are neglected as sub-leading ones.

III. Relying on the fact that the color representations are large, the color operators are substituted by their average values, i.e. by classical color vectors, the time evolution of which is described by Wong’s dynamics [27].

The quality of these approximations and their limitations were discussed in Ref. [9]. Thus, this model requires the following quantities as an input:

1. the quasi-particle mass, $m$, and
2. the coupling constant $g^2$.

All the input quantities should be deduced from the lattice data or from an appropriate model simulating these data.

B. Path-Integral Monte-Carlo Simulations

Thus, we consider a three-component QGP consisting of a number of dressed quarks ($N_q$), antiquarks ($N_{\bar{q}}$) and gluons ($N_g$) represented by quasi-particles. In thermal equilibrium the average values of these numbers can be found in
the grand canonical ensemble defined by the temperature-dependent Hamiltonian, which can be written as \( \hat{H} = \hat{K} + \hat{U} \). The kinetic and color Coulomb interaction energy of the quasi-particles are

\[
\hat{K} = \sum_i \left[ m_i(T, \mu_q) + \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2m_i(T, \mu_q)} \right], \quad \hat{U}_C = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} g^2 |q_i - r_j|/4\pi |r_i - r_j|,
\]

(1)

Here the \( q_i \) denote the Wong’s color variable (8-vector in the SU(3) group), \( T \) is the temperature and \( \mu_q \) is the quark chemical potential, \( \langle Q_i | Q_j \rangle \) denote scalar product of color vectors. In fact, the quasi-particle mass and the coupling constant, as deduced from the lattice data, are functions of \( T \) and, in general, \( \mu_q \). Moreover, \( g^2 \) is a function of distance \( r \), which produces a linearly rising potential at large \( r \) [28].

The thermodynamic properties in the grand canonical ensemble with given temperature \( T \), chemical potential \( \mu_q \) and fixed volume \( V \) are fully described by the grand partition function

\[
Z(\mu_q, \beta, V) = \sum_{N_q,N_{\bar{q}},N_g} \exp(\mu_q(N_q - N_{\bar{q}})/T) \sum_{\sigma} \int dV \rho(r, Q, \sigma; N_q, N_{\bar{q}}, N_g; \beta),
\]

(2)

where \( \rho(r, Q, \sigma; N_q, N_{\bar{q}}, N_g; \beta) \) denotes the diagonal matrix elements of the density operator \( \hat{\rho} = \exp(-\beta \hat{H}) \), and \( \beta = 1/T \). Here \( r \) and \( Q \) denote the spin, spatial and color degrees of freedom of all quarks, antiquarks and gluons in the ensemble, respectively. Correspondingly, the \( \sigma \) summation and integration \( dV \) run over all individual degrees of freedom of the particles. Since the masses and the coupling constant depend on the temperature and chemical potential, special care should be taken to preserve thermodynamical consistency of this approach. In order to preserve the thermodynamical consistency, thermodynamic functions such as pressure, \( P \), entropy, \( S \), baryon number, \( N_B \), and internal energy, \( E \), should be calculated through respective derivatives of the logarithm of the partition function

\[
P = \partial(T \ln Z)/\partial V, \quad S = \partial(T \ln Z)/\partial T, \quad N_B = (1/3)\partial(T \ln Z)/\partial \mu_q, \quad E = -PV + TS + 3\mu_qN_B.
\]

(3)

This is a conventional way of maintaining the thermodynamical consistency in approaches of the Ginzburg-Landau type as they are applied in high-energy physics.

The exact density matrix of interacting quantum systems can be constructed using a path integral approach [29, 30] based on the operator identity \( e^{-\beta \hat{H}} = e^{-\Delta \beta \hat{H}} \cdot e^{-\Delta \beta \hat{H}} \ldots e^{-\Delta \beta \hat{H}} \), where the r.h.s. contains \( n + 1 \) identical factors with \( \Delta \beta = \beta/\mu_q \), which allows us to rewrite the integral in Eq. (2)

\[
\sum_{\sigma} \int dr^{(0)} dQ^{(0)} \rho(q^{(0)}, Q^{(0)}, \sigma; N_q, N_{\bar{q}}, N_g; \beta) = \int dr^{(0)} dQ^{(0)} dr^{(1)} dQ^{(1)} \ldots dr^{(n)} dQ^{(n)} \rho^{(1)} \rho^{(2)} \ldots \rho^{(n)}
\]

\[
\times \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{P_q} \sum_{P_{\bar{q}}} \sum_{P_g} (-1)^{\kappa_{P_q} + \kappa_{P_{\bar{q}}}} S(\sigma, \hat{P}_q \hat{P}_{\bar{q}} \hat{P}_g \sigma') \hat{P}_q \hat{P}_{\bar{q}} \hat{P}_g \rho^{(n+1)}|_{\epsilon^{(n+1)} = \epsilon^{(0)}, \sigma' = \sigma}
\]

\[
= \int dQ^{(0)} dr^{(0)} dr^{(1)} \ldots dr^{(n)} \hat{\rho}(r^{(0)}, r^{(1)}, \ldots r^{(n)}; Q^{(0)}; N_q, N_{\bar{q}}, N_g; \beta).
\]

(4)

The spin gives rise to the spin part of the density matrix \( S(\sigma) \) with exchange effects accounted for by the permutation operators \( \hat{P}_q, \hat{P}_{\bar{q}} \) and \( \hat{P}_g \) acting on the quark, antiquark and gluon spatial \( r^{(n+1)} \) and color \( Q^{(n+1)} \) coordinates, as well as on the spin projections \( \sigma' \). The sum runs over all permutations with parity \( \kappa_{P_q} \) and \( \kappa_{P_{\bar{q}}} \). In Eq. (4) the index \( l = 1 \ldots n + 1 \) labels the off-diagonal density matrices \( \rho^{(l)} \equiv \rho(q^{(l-1)}, Q^{(l-1)}; r^{(l)}, Q^{(l)}, \Delta \beta) \approx \langle r^{(l-1)} | e^{-\Delta \beta \hat{H}} | r^{(l)} \rangle \delta_\epsilon(Q^{(l-1)} - Q^{(l)}) \), where \( \delta_\epsilon(Q^{(l-1)} - Q^{(l)}) \) is a delta-function at \( \epsilon \to 0 \). Accordingly each particle is represented by a set of \( n + 1 \) coordinates (“beads”), i.e. by \( n + 1 \) 3-dimensional vectors \( \{ r^{(0)}_a, \ldots, r^{(n)}_a \} \) and a 8-dimensional color vector \( Q^{(0)} \) in the SU(3) group, since all beads are characterized by the same color charge.

The main advantage of decomposition [4] is that it allows us to use a semi-classical approximation for density matrices \( \rho^{(l)} \), which is applicable due to smallness of artificially introduced factor \( 1/(n + 1) \). This parameter makes the thermal wavelength \( \Delta \lambda_a = \sqrt{2\pi \Delta \beta/m_a} \) of a bead of type \( a = q, \bar{q}, g \), smaller than a characteristic scale of variation of the potential energy. In the high-temperature limit \( \rho^{(l)} \) can be approximated by a product of two-particle density matrices. Generalizing the electrodynamic plasma results [17] to the case of an additional bosonic species (i.e.
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gluons), we write

\[ \hat{\rho}(r^{(0)}, r^{(1)}, \ldots, r^{(n)}; Q^{(0)}; N_q, N_{\bar{q}}, \beta) \]

\[ = \sum_{s,k} \frac{C_s^N C_k^N}{2^N N_s N_k} \exp\{-\beta U(r, Q, \beta)\} \times \prod_{l=1}^{n} \prod_{p=1}^{N} \phi_{pp}^{n} \]

where \( N = N_q + N_{\bar{q}} + N_g \), \( s \) and \( k \) are numbers of quarks and antiquarks, respectively, with the same spin projection, \( \lambda_s = \sqrt{2\pi\beta/m_a} \) is a thermal wavelength of an \( a \) particle, \( C_N^N = N_a!/[s!(N_a-s)!] \), the antisymmetrization and symmetrization are taken into account by the symbols “det” and “per” denoting the determinant and permanent, respectively. Functions \( \phi_{pp}^{n} \equiv \exp[-\pi|\tilde{\rho}_{pp}^{n}|^2] \) and matrix elements \( \tilde{\rho}_{to}^{n} = \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\Delta\lambda_s^2} \right) \delta_s(Q_t, Q_o) \), where \( t \) and \( o \) are particle’s indexes, are expressed in terms of distances \((y_t^{(1)}, \ldots, y_t^{(n)})\) and dimensionless distances \((\xi_t^{(1)}, \ldots, \xi_t^{(n)})\) between neighboring beads of an \( a \) particle, defined as \( y_t^{(i)} = y_o^{(i)} + y_t^{(i)}, (l > 0) \), and \( y_t^{(i)} = \Delta\lambda_o \sum_{k=1}^{l} \xi_t^{(k)} \). Notice that the indices \( s \) and \( k \) in det \( ||\tilde{\rho}_{pp}^{n}||_s \) and det \( ||\tilde{\rho}_{to}^{n}||_s \) denoted that matrices \( ||\tilde{\rho}_{pp}^{n}|| \) have two nonzero blocks related to quark and antiquark quasi-particles with the same spin projections. The density matrix \( \rho_{pt} \) has been transformed to a form which does not contain an explicit sum over permutations and thus no sum of terms with alternating sign (in the case of quarks and antiquarks). Let us stress that the determinants depend also on the color variables.

In Eq. (6) the total color interaction energy

\[ U(r, Q, \beta) = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} \tilde{U}^{(l)} = \frac{1}{n+1} \left[ \sum_{l=1}^{n+1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq t} \Phi_{pt}^{k} (|r_{p}^{(l)} - r_{t}^{(l)}|, Q_{p}, Q_{t}) \right. \]

is defined in terms of off-diagonal two-particle effective quantum potential \( \Phi_{pt}^{k} \), which is obtained by expanding the two-particle density matrix \( \rho_{pt} \) up to the first order in small parameter \( 1/(n+1) \):

\[ \rho_{pt}(r, r', Q_{p}, Q_{t}, \Delta\beta) \approx \rho_{pt}^{0}(r, r', Q_{p}, Q_{t}, \Delta\beta) - \int_{0}^{1} d\tau \int dr'' \frac{\Delta g^2(r''|T, \mu_{g})}{4\pi \Delta\lambda_{pt}^2 \sqrt{\tau(1-\tau)}} \exp\left(-\frac{\pi}{\Delta\lambda_{pt}^2} \right) \approx \rho_{pt}^{0} \exp[-\Delta\beta\Phi_{pt}(r, r', Q_{p}, Q_{t})]. \]

where \( r = r_p - r_t, r' = r_p - r_t', \Delta\lambda_{pt} = \sqrt{2\pi\Delta\beta/m_{pt}}, m_{pt} = m_{p}m_{t}/(m_{p} + m_{t}) \) is the reduced mass of the \((pt)\)-pair of particles, and \( \rho_{pt}^{0} \) is the two-particle density matrix of the ideal gas. The result for the diagonal color \( K \) potential can be written as

\[ \Phi_{pt}^{k}(r, r', Q_{p}, Q_{t}) \approx \frac{g^2(T, \mu_{g}) (Q_{p} Q_{t})}{4\pi \Delta\lambda_{pt}^{2} x_{pt}} \left[ 1 - e^{-x_{pt}} + \sqrt{\pi} x_{pt} \left[ 1 - \text{erf}(x_{pt}) \right] \right], \]

where \( x_{pt} = |r_{p} - r_{t}|/\Delta\lambda_{pt} \). Here the function \( g^2(T, \mu_{g}) = g^2(r''|T, \mu_{g}) \), resulting from averaging of the initial \( \tilde{g}^2(r'', T, \mu_{g}) \) over relevant distances of order \( \Delta\lambda_{pt} \), plays the role of an effective coupling constant. Note that the color \( K \) potential approaches the color Coulomb potential at distances larger than \( \Delta\lambda_{pt} \). What is of prime importance, the color \( K \) potential is finite at zero distance, thus removing in a natural way the classical divergences and making any artificial cut-offs obsolete. This potential is a straightforward generalization of the corresponding potential of electrodynamic plasmas [13]. The off-diagonal elements of the effective interaction are approximated by the diagonal one by means of \( \Phi_{pt}^{k}(r, r', Q_{p}, Q_{t}) \approx \frac{1}{2} \{ \Phi_{pt}^{k}(r, r, Q_{p}, Q_{t}) + \Phi_{pt}^{k}(r', r', Q_{p}, Q_{t}) \}. \)

The described path-integral representation of the density matrix is exact in the limit \( n \to \infty \). For any finite number \( n \), the error of the above approximations for the whole product on the r.h.s. of Eq. (4) is of the order \( 1/(n+1) \) whereas the error of each \( \rho^{k} \) is of the order \( 1/(n+1)^2 \), as it was shown in Ref. 14.

The main contribution to the partition function comes from configurations in which the ‘size’ of the cloud of beads of quasi-particles is of the order of their thermal wavelength \( \lambda_{q} \) whereas characteristic distances between beads of each quasi-particle are of the order of \( \Delta\lambda_{q} \).

III. SIMULATIONS OF QGP

To test the developed approach we consider the QGP only at zero baryon density and further simplify the model by additional approximations, similarly to Ref. 15.
IV We replace the grand canonical ensemble by a canonical one. The thermodynamic properties in the canonical ensemble with given temperature $T$ and fixed volume $V$ are fully described by the density operator $\hat{\rho} = e^{-\beta \hat{H}}$ with the partition function defined as follows

$$Z(N_q, N_{\bar{q}}, N_g, V; \beta) = \frac{1}{N_q!N_{\bar{q}}!N_g!} \sum_{\sigma} \int dr dQ \rho(r, Q, \sigma; \beta), \quad (9)$$

with $N_q = N_{\bar{q}}$ and hence $N_B = 0$. In order to preserve the thermodynamical consistency of this formulation, thermodynamic quantities should be calculated through respective derivatives of the logarithm of the partition function similarly to that in Eq. (4) with the exception that now $N_a$ are independent variables.

V Since the masses of quarks of different flavors extracted from lattice data are very similar, we do not distinguish between quark flavors. Moreover, we take the quark and gluon quasi-particle masses being equal because their values deduced from the lattice data \cite{23, 26} are very close.

VI Because of the equality of masses and approximate equality of number of degrees of freedom of quarks, antiquarks and gluons, we assume that these species are equally represented in the system: $N_q = N_{\bar{q}} = N_g$.

VII For the sake of technical simplicity, the $SU(3)$ color group is replaced by $SU(2)$.

Thus, this simplified model requires an additional quantity as an input:

3. the density of quasi-particles $(N_q + N_{\bar{q}} + N_g)/V = n(T)$ as a function of the temperature.

Although this density is unknown from the QCD lattice calculations and we use it as a fit parameter, it is very important to partially overcome constrains of the above simplifications. First, it concerns the use of the $SU(2)$ color group, which first of all reduces the degeneracy factors of the quark and gluon states, as compared to the $SU(3)$ case, and thereby reduces pressure and all other thermodynamic quantities. A proper fit of the density allows us to remedy this deficiency of the normalization. Second, in fact we consider the system of single quark flavor, i.e. all quarks are identical, which also reduces the normalization of all thermodynamic quantities. The density fit cures the deficiency of this normalization, though the excessive anticorrelation of quarks remains.

Ideally the parameters of the model should be deduced from the QCD lattice data. However, presently this task is still quite ambiguous. Therefore, in the present simulations we take a possible (maybe, not the most reliable) set of parameters. Following Refs. 9, 26, the parametrization of the quasi-particle mass is taken in the form

$$m(T)/T_c = 0.9/(T/T_c - 1) + 3.45 + 0.4T/T_c \quad (10)$$

where $T_c = 175$ MeV is the critical temperature. This parametrization fits the quark mass at two values of temperature obtained in the lattice calculations \cite{23}. According to \cite{23} the masses are quite large: $m_q/T \simeq 4$ and $m_g/T \simeq 3.5$. These are essentially larger than masses required for quasi-particle fits \cite{31, 52} of the lattice thermodynamic properties of the QGP: $m_q/T \simeq 1/2$ and $m_g/T \simeq 1.5/3$. Moreover, the pole quark mass $m_q/T \simeq 0.8$ was reported in recent work \cite{33}, as deduced from lattice calculations. Nevertheless, in spite of the fact that it obviously produces too high masses, we use parametrization (10) in order to be compatible with the input of classical MD of Ref. 9. The $T$-dependence of this mass is illustrated in Fig. 1 (left panel).

The coupling constant, i.e. $\alpha_s = g^2/(4\pi)$, used in the simulations is displayed in the left panel of Fig. 1 as well. As seen, $\alpha_s$ well complies with phenomenologic QCD estimations \cite{34} of its values. Notice that in previous publications \cite{23, 24} the factor $(N_c^2 - 1)$, where $N_c$ is the number of colors in the $SU(N_c)$ color group, was accidentally included in $g^2$, when displaying it corresponding figures. In fact, this factor is a part of Casimirs defining the normalization of the color vectors in the color group.

The density of quasi-particles, which is additionally required within the canonical-ensemble approach, was chosen on the condition of the best agreement of the calculated pressure with the corresponding lattice result, see Fig. 1 (right panel). It was taken to be $n(T) = 0.24T^3$. From the first glance, it is a very low density. For example, in the classical simulations of Ref. 8 it was taken as $n(T)/T^3 = 6.3$, which corresponds to the density of an ideal gas of massless quarks, antiquarks and gluons. Since the quasi-particles are very heavy in the present model (as well as in that of Ref. 8)), the latter density looks unrealistically high. Even in quasi-particle models \cite{31, 52}, where the masses are lower, the density turns out to be $n(T)/T^3 \approx 1.4$. Since Eq. (10) gives even larger masses than those in Refs. 31, 52 and in view of the adopted large coupling, the chosen value of $n(T)$ does not look too unrealistic.

Thus, although the chosen set of parameters is still debatable, it is somehow self-consistent. In the future we are going to get rid of the $n(T)$ parameter, by applying the grand-canonical approach, and by using more moderate (and maybe realistic) sets of parameters.
Calculation of the equation of state (right panel of Fig. 1) was used to optimize the parameters of the model in order to proceed to predictions of other properties concerning the internal structure and in the future also non-equilibrium dynamics of the QGP. The plasma coupling parameter is defined as

$$\Gamma = \frac{\bar{g}^2}{4\pi r_s^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where $r_s$ is the Wigner-Seitz radius, defined such that $4\pi r_s^3/3 = n$, and $\bar{g}^2$ the quadratic Casimir value averaged over quarks, antiquarks and gluons, $\bar{g}^2 = N_c^2 - 1$ is a good estimate for this quantity. The plasma parameter is a measure of ratio of the average potential to the average kinetic energy. It is also presented in Fig. 1 (left panel). It turns out to be of the order of unity which indicates that the QGP is a strongly coupled Coulomb liquid rather than a gas. In the studied temperature range, $1 < T/T_c < 3$, the QGP is, in fact, quantum degenerate, since the degeneracy parameter $\chi_a = n_a \lambda^3$ (where the thermal wave length, $\lambda$, is defined in the previous sect.) varies from 0.1 to 1.7, see Fig. 1 (left panel).

**Figure 1:** Left panel: Temperature dependence of the model input quantities, coupling constant $g^2$ and mass-to-temperature ratio (scaled by 1/2), the plasma coupling parameter $\Gamma$ [see Eq. (11)] and the degeneracy parameter $\chi$. The $\chi$ parameters for different species are equal, since their masses and densities are assumed to be equal. Right panel: Equation of state (pressure versus temperature) of the QGP from PIMC simulations (open squares) compared to lattice data of Refs. [4, 6]. The solid line is a smooth interpolation between the PIMC points. Results of the HotQCD Collaboration [4] are presented by filled circles, while results of the Budapest group [6], open circles. Different kinds of circles (filled and open) correspond to different discretization schemes of the QCD action (p4 and asqtad, see [4] for details).

**Figure 2:** Entropy (left panel) and trace anomaly (right panel) of the QGP computed in the PIMC method. These quantities are calculated accordingly to Eqs. (3). In order to avoid the numeric noise, the derivative of a smooth interpolation between the PIMC points (see the right panel of Fig. 1) was taken. These results are compared
to lattice data of Refs. [4, 6]. It is not surprising that agreement with the lattice data is also good, since it is a direct consequence of the good reproduction of the pressure.

Details of our path integral Monte-Carlo simulations have been discussed elsewhere in a variety of papers and review articles, see, e.g. [35] and references therein. The main idea of the simulations consists in constructing a Markov process of configurations which differ by the particle coordinates. Additionally to the case of electrodynamic plasmas, here we randomly sample, according to the group measure, the color variables $Q$ of all particles until convergence is achieved. We use a cubic simulation box with periodic boundary conditions. The number of particles was taken as $N = N_q + N_{\bar{q}} + N_g = 40 + 40 + 40 = 120$, and the number of beads, $n = 20$. Calculation of the equation of state (right panel of Fig. 1) was used to optimize the parameters of the model in order to proceed to predictions of other properties concerning the internal structure and in the future also non-equilibrium dynamics of the QGP.

Let us now consider the spatial arrangement of the quasi-particles in the QGP by studying the pair distribution functions (PDF’s) $g_{ab}(r)$. They give the probability density to find a pair of particles of types $a$ and $b$ at a certain distance $r$ from each other and are defined as

$$
g_{ab}(R_1 - R_2) = \frac{1}{Z N_q! N_{\bar{q}}! N_g!} \sum_{\sigma} \int drdQ \delta(R_1 - r_1^a)\delta(R_2 - r_2^b)\rho(r,Q,\sigma;\beta).$$

The PDF’s depend only on the difference of coordinates because of the translational invariance of the system. In a non-interacting classical system, $g_{ab}(r) \equiv 1$, whereas interactions and quantum statistics result in a re-distribution of the particles. Results for the PDF’s at temperature $T/T_c = 3$ are shown in the top panels of Fig. 3.

At large distances, $r/\sigma \geq 0.5$, all PDF’s of identical particles (top left panel of Fig. 3) coincide. A drastic difference in the behavior of the PDF’s of quarks and gluons (the anti-quark PDF is identical to the quark PDF) occurs at small distances. Here the gluon PDF increases monotonically when the distance goes to zero, while the PDF of quarks (and antiquarks) exhibits a broad maximum. This difference is the effect of the quantum statistics. In the present conditions, the thermal wavelength $\lambda$ approximately equals $0.37\sigma$, i.e. the difference starts to appear at distances of the order of $\lambda$. The enhanced population of low distance states of gluons is due to Bose statistics and the color-Coulomb attraction. In contrast, the depletion of the small distance range for quarks is a consequence of the Pauli
At low densities, it is reasonable to choose \( E' \approx E \). For high densities, it is determined by the energy of the state at which the main contribution to the Slater sum comes from bound states. Similarly, at low temperature, the contribution from the bound states is larger than at larger distances, so that the corresponding PDF maxima are shifted to shorter distances. Oscillations of the PDF at very small distances result in its enhancement at intermediate distances. This is related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at small distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of quasi-particles at small distances results in its enhancement at intermediate distances. This increase is a clear manifestation of an effective pair attraction of quarks and antiquarks as well as quarks (antiquarks) and gluons. This attraction suggests that the structure of nearest neighbors. The QGP lowers its total energy by minimizing the color Coulomb interaction energy, which may be interpreted as emergence of liquid-like behavior of the QGP. Much more pronounced is the short-range attraction of quarks and antiquarks which are shown in the lower panels of Fig. 4. All PDF's turn out to be negative at small distances, indicating that the pair attraction of quarks and antiquarks is much larger than the pair attraction of quarks and antiquarks. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Cardo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases. The depletion of the probability of nearest neighbors of any type are related to Monte Carlo statistical error, as probability of quasi-particles being at short distances quickly decreases.
Рис. 4: Top and middle panels: Pair distribution functions at two different temperatures $T$ (left panels) and quark-antiquark PDF multiplied by distance squared (right panels). The distance is measured in units of $\sigma = 1/T_c = 1.1$ fm. Bottom panels: potential of average force, defined according to Eq. (16), at small distances for $T = 1.1 T_c$ (right panel) and logarithm of the product $r$ and averaged potential at large distances (left panel). Dashed lines are linear fits to the calculated (solid) lines.

Product $|\langle Q_q + Q_q | Q_q + Q_{\bar{q}} \rangle|/|\langle Q_q | Q_q \rangle|$ is much smaller than $|\langle Q_q | Q_{\bar{q}} \rangle|$ and $|\langle Q_{\bar{q}} | Q_q \rangle|$ that means that these bound states are colorless. With the temperature rise these bound states dissolve much faster than it was assumed in [37, 38], which complies with the analysis of Ref. [41]. Indeed, at the temperature of $T = 2 T_c$ the bound states completely disappear (see right middle panel of Fig. 4) and $r^2 g_{ab}(r)$ approaches the behaviour which corresponds to the plasma without bound states.

Interesting observations can be done from the analysis of the potential of average force (PAF) defined as the logarithm of the related PDF,

$$U_{ab}(r, T) = -T \ln g_{ab}(r, T)$$

(16)
IV. CONCLUSION

Quantum Monte Carlo simulations based on the quasi-particle picture of the QGP are able to reproduce the lattice equation of state (even near the critical temperature) and also yield valuable insight into the internal structure of the QGP. Our results indicate that the QGP reveals liquid-like (rather than gas-like) properties even at the highest considered temperature of \(3T_c\). At temperatures just above \(T_c\) we have found that bound quark-antiquark states still survive. These states are bound by effective string-like forces. Quantum effects turned out to be of prime importance in these simulations.

Our analysis is still too simplified and incomplete. It is still confined only to the case of zero baryon chemical potential. The input of the model also requires refinement. Work on these problems is in progress.

However, the FIMC method is not able to yield dynamical and transport properties of the QGP. One way to achieve this is to develop semiclassical color molecular dynamics simulations. In contrast to previous MD simulations [3], where quantum effects were included phenomenologically via a short range potential, we have developed a more rigorous approach to study the dynamical and transport properties of strongly coupled quark-gluon systems which is based on the combination of the Feynman and Wigner formulation of quantum dynamics. The basic ideas of this approach for the electron-ion plasmas have been published in [12,15,39]. In particular, this approach allows us to deduce the viscosity of the QGP. Work on these problems is also in progress.
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