Progestins influence performance on cognitive tasks independent of changes in affective behavior
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In this study, the effects of progestins on various cognitive and affective tasks were investigated. Ovariectomized rats (N = 72) received subcutaneously 0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg of progesterone (P), dihydromprogesterone (DHP), or 5α-pregnan-3α-ol-20-one (3α,5α-THP) suspended in 10% ethanol/sesame oil v/v. For the cognitive tasks (Y-maze, inhibitory avoidance, and object recognition), the subjects were injected after habituation and training trials and were tested 24 h later. For the nociception and affective tasks (open field, elevated plus-maze, and tailflick), the subjects were injected 1 or 24 h before testing. P reduced latencies to the goal arm in the Y-maze, enhanced latencies to the shock-associated side of the inhibitory avoidance chamber, and increased the percentage of time with a novel object. DHP reduced latencies to the goal arm, increased the percentage of correct choices in the Y-maze, and increased crossover latencies in the inhibitory avoidance task. 3α,5α-THP administration enhanced performance in the inhibitory avoidance task. P, DHP, and 3α,5α-THP increased the number of entries into the central squares of a brightly lit open field, open arm time in the elevated plus-maze, and tailflick latencies, when administered 1 h, but not 24 h, prior to testing. These data suggest that some progestins, when administered following habituation and training and 24 h prior to testing, produce changes in cognitive performance that do not coincide with overt changes in the affective behaviors examined.

Cognitive changes over endogenous hormone cycles following removal of the ovaries and after hormone replacement suggest that there are activational effects of steroids on cognitive performance. The majority of these studies have focused on estrogen's (E) effects. Systemic E administration to ovariectomized rats (McCord, Hamlin, Pool, & Milner, 1979) and mice (Miele, Rosellini, & Svare, 1988) enhances conditioned aversions and reverses the learning deficits in T-maze performance induced by scopolamine (Dohanich, Fader, & Javorsky, 1994). Intrahippocampal E to ovariectomized rats significantly improves long-term memory retention in a spatial water maze task, as compared with vehicle-administered controls (Packard & Teather, 1997). These studies, and others, provide evidence that E has activational effects on cognitive performance in rodents.

Progesterone (P) normally varies with E over endogenous hormonal cycles and may itself have important effects on cognitive performance; however, the effects of progestins are generally inconsistent. P over the menstrual cycle is positively correlated with performance on perceptual (Broverman et al., 1981) and visual (Phillips & Sherwin, 1992a, 1992b) memory tasks. During pregnancy, when P concentrations are increased above menstrual cycle levels and are high for a longer time, deficits in women's memory have been consistently observed (Brindle, Brown, Brown, Griffith, & Turner, 1991; Eidelman, Hoffman, & Kaitz, 1993; Parsons & Redman, 1991; Sharp, Brindle, Brown, & Turner, 1993; Silber, Almkvist, Larson, & Uvnas-Moberg, 1990; Woodfield, 1984). These findings suggest that either circulating levels of progestins or duration of exposure to progestins can modify effects on cognitive behaviors. Although progestins rarely act without E in a physiological situation, it is necessary to examine them independent of E in order to parse out the relative contributions of each steroid. One of the few studies that enabled the effects of E and P to be differentiated clearly revealed that cognitive performance was enhanced significantly in women who had been administered P, as compared with that of women who had been administered E or gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GNRH) agonist only (Berman et al., 1997).

In rodents, P's effects on the performance of cognitive tasks when alone or with E are also variable. P when administered with E, completely reversed the learning def-
icits produced by scopolamine (Dohanich et al., 1994) and enhanced state-dependent learning (Stewart, Krebs, & Kaczender, 1967). However, P alone has been reported to improve or have no effect on conditioned avoidance (Diaz-Veliz, Urresta, Dussaubat, & Mora, 1994; Ebner, Richardson, & Riccio, 1981) and short- and long-term spatial tasks (Frye & Sturgis, 1995).

Some of the discrepancies in P’s effects on cognitive performance may be due to circulating levels and durations of exposure that influence P’s bioavailability and that of its metabolites, which have different actions. P and its 5α-reduced metabolite dihydroprogesterone (DHP) have a high affinity for intracellular progestin receptors (PRs; Iswari, Colas, & Karavolas, 1986) but weak activity at γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA)A/benzodiazepine receptor complexes (GBRs; Majewska, Harrison, Schwartz, Barker, & Paul, 1986). P and DHP can be reduced readily to 5α-pregnan-3α-ol-20-one (3α,5α-THP; Karavolas & Nuti, 1976), which is the most effective endogenous compound at enhancing GABA-stimulated chloride flux in GBRs (Harrison, Majewska, Harrington, & Barker, 1987; Majewska et al., 1986) but is devoid of activity at PRs. Progestins produce different outcomes on passive avoidance (van Wimersma Greidanus, 1977) and short- and long-term memory performance (Frye & Sturgis, 1995). The fact that E enhances the activity of enzymes that mediate P metabolism (Cheng & Karavolas, 1973) may underlie differences between studies that have examined the effects of P following E priming and those that have examined P alone. Hence, the cognitive effect of progestins may be related to the dosage, bioavailability, and/or differential actions of progestins at PRs or GBRs (Frye & Sturgis, 1995) at the time of testing.

Indeed, the variability in the literature regarding P’s cognitive effects also may be related to anxiolytic effects (Bitran, Hilvers, & Kellogg, 1991a, 1991b; Mora, Dussaubat, & Diaz-Veliz, 1996; Wieland, Lan, Mirasedeghi, & Gee, 1991) secondary to its metabolism to the neurosteroid 3α,5α-THP. P and DHP have benzodiazepine-like effects at GABA (Majewska et al., 1986; McAuley, Reynolds, Kroboth, Smith, & Kroboth, 1995; Wu, Gibbs, & Farb, 1990) and produce analgesia (Frye & Duncan, 1995) and anxiolysis (Bitran et al., 1991a, 1991b). In drug discrimination tasks, ovarioectomized rats generalize P to pentobarbital (Heinsbroek, van Haaren, Zantvoord, & van de Poll, 1987) and benzodiazepines (Ator, Grant, Purdy, Paul, & Griffiths, 1993). Notably, blocking P’s metabolism by coadministration of a 5α-reductase inhibitor, which blocks P’s conversion to 3α,5α-THP (Bitran, Shiekh, & McLeod, 1995), attenuates its anxiolytic effects. Together, these findings suggest that the ability of P to be metabolized to DHP or 3α,5α-THP may mitigate variations in the way progestins alter affective behaviors.

It is unclear whether the effects of progestins on cognitive performance may be due to the nature of the task, altered perception of a task as a result of anxiolysis, altered motor behavior, or true mnemonic changes in cognitive functioning. To examine the effects of progestins on the performance of cognitive tasks (Y-maze, inhibitory avoidance, and object recognition), progestins (0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP) were administered after habituation and training, and testing occurred 24 h later. To determine whether the progestin regimens employed altered anxiety-like behaviors, rats were tested in affective tests (open field, elevated plus-maze, and tail-flick) 24 h following administration. Performance in affective tasks was also examined 1 h following progestin administration (as a positive control). We hypothesize that if progestins have true mnemonic effects, progestin administration will produce differences in cognitive performance 24 h following administration that are independent of changes in affective behavior.

**METHOD**

**Animals and Housing**

Female, Long-Evans rats (N = 72), approximately 55 days of age, were obtained from Harlan Sprague-Dawley and were housed in hanging stainless steel cages (24 X 18 X 19 cm) in a temperature-controlled room (21° ± 1°C) in the laboratory animal care facility. The rats were maintained on a 12:12-h light:dark cycle (lights off, 8:00 a.m.) with access to Purina Rat Chow and tap water in their home cages, unless otherwise specified. Behavioral testing began 1 h after lights out, when animals normally are most active. Females were ovarioectomized 2 weeks prior to behavioral testing. The animals were assigned to receive subcutaneously (s.c.) 0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP suspended in 10% ethanol/sesame oil v/v. The hormone regimens utilized were based on our previous research that demonstrated that these dosages produce physiological circulating and central concentrations of P and its metabolites (Frye, Bayon, Pursnani, & Purdy, 1998). Although the brain normally is not exposed to progestins in the absence of estradiol, progestins were utilized alone, because estradiol and progestins may have synergistic or antagonistic consequences for function, which should be examined in future studies. For the Y-maze, inhibitory avoidance, and object recognition tests, the subjects were injected after training trials. Open-field, elevated plus-maze, and tail-flick testing occurred 1 h after injection and again 24 h later. All the tests were conducted in the same testing room and were counterbalanced to prevent order effects. There was a minimum 1-week hormone wash-out period between each behavioral test.

**Behavioral Testing**

The cognitive tasks (spatial vs. nonspatial; aversive vs. nonaversive; working vs. long-term memory) were chosen because of the different types of memory they assess; also, these approaches could be utilized with minimal training in order to maximize the possibility that differences between steroids in cognitive performance would be discernable. All the data were collected by trained observers, who were “blind” with respect to treatment conditions. A comprehensive description of these methods has been previously published (Frye & Lacey, 1999; Frye, Petralia, & Rhodes, 2000).

**Y-Maze**

The delayed nonmatching-to-sample Y-maze procedure employed tested working and long-term memory (Aggleton, Blindi, & Candy, 1989; Frye & Sturgis, 1995; Kelsey, Sanderson, & Frye, 2000). The Y-maze consisted of a start arm (61 cm long, 13 cm
wide, and 30 cm high) and two goal boxes (46 × 15 × 30 cm) with metal cups (1-cm diameter) positioned 0.5 cm from the end of each arm. A guillotine door enclosed the start box and each goal arm.

**Habituation (Day 1):** The rats were ad-lib food restricted for 60 h prior to habituation and throughout testing. During this time, the rats were given three pellets of rodent chow and three Froot Loops daily. On Day 1, the rats explored the maze without the doors for 2 min and ate one half of a Froot Loop at the end of each goal arm. The rats were then placed in the start box with the door down. After 5 sec, the door was lifted and closed after they passed it, and they were again allowed to eat the Froot Loops.

**Training (Day 2):** The rats were trained to alternate between the two goal arms of the maze. The rats were placed in the start box with the door down. One goal arm was closed, whereas the other was open and baited. After 5 sec, the start door was lifted and closed when the rats traversed past it. Upon entering the open goal arm, the door was closed, and the rats remained in the arm to eat the bait (25 sec). This forced run was repeated once for the opposite arm, then once again for the original arm, until rats alternated three times in succession in under 2 min for each trial. There were no differences between groups in the latencies for these trials; average latencies were 98.6 ± 10.9 sec. Immediately following the third training trial, the rats were injected with P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP (0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg, s.c.).

**Testing (Days 3 and 5):** The subjects were tested 24 h following training (Day 3) and again 48 h later to test long-term memory (Day 5) on their ability to alternate between arms of the maze within 10 trials. On both days, the latency to the goal box and the percentage of correct arm entries in 10 trials were measured. A testing trial consisted of a forced run immediately followed by a choice run. During a forced run, one goal arm was closed, whereas the other was open and baited. In the second part of the trial, during the choice run, both goal arm doors were open, and the rats could choose either arm. If the rats chose the correct arm, the arm not previously entered, the rats were closed in the goal box and removed after eating. In the case of an incorrect choice, defined as a return to the arm just visited, the arm not previously entered, the door was shut behind the rats, and the rats remained for 25 sec without a Froot Loop. There was an intertrial interval of 3 min, and the forced arm direction was alternated so that the rats were forced down each arm five times. Average baseline latencies on the forced training trials were 92.9 ± 13.7 sec and did not differ between groups. On both days, the latency to the goal box and the percentage of correct arm entries in 10 trials were measured. Four-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with three within-subjects factors (Day 3 or 5, Trials 1–10, forced or choice run type) and one between-subjects factor (dosage) analyzed the effects of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP on the latency to reach the food cup and the percentage of correct choices. There were differences between trials and run type (data not shown). Latency data presented are for forced and choice trials, which were different on Day 3. There were no differences between percentage correct on Days 3 and 5; thus, overall (Days 3 and 5 combined) data for percentage correct are presented.

**Inhibitory Avoidance**

The step-through inhibitory avoidance procedure assessed nonspatial/nonmotor-dependent memory (Frye & McCormick, 2000; O’Connell, Earley, & Leonard, 1994; Venault et al., 1986). The apparatus consisted of a two-compartment (24 × 18 × 19 cm each) stainless steel box similar to that described by Venault et al. One chamber was brightly lit from above and painted white. The other was painted black and covered to block out light. The two chambers were separated by a guillotine door.

**Habituation and training (Day 1):** The rats were placed in the white room with the door down for 5 sec. The door was raised as soon as the rats were facing it, and the rats were then allowed to explore the entire box for 2 min. Twenty minutes later, the rats were placed in the white chamber for 5 sec or until they faced the door. When the door was lifted, the rats entered the black compartment, and the door was closed behind them. The rats then received a mild shock (0.25 mA, 2-sec duration). There were no differences between groups in the latency to the dark side on the training day (average latency was 69.5 ± 30.6 sec). Following training, the rats were immediately removed and injected with a given dose of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP (0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg, s.c.).

**Testing (Day 2):** Twenty-four hours later, the rats were placed in the white chamber. The door was lifted, and the latency to enter the dark chamber was recorded (180 sec, maximum).

One-way ANOVAs, followed by Student Newman–Keuls post hoc tests, were used to determine differences in latency for animals injected with 0.0, 4.0, and 8.0 mg/kg P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP.

**Object Recognition**

In the object recognition task (Frye & Lacey, 1999; McCormick, McNamara, Mukhopadhyay, & Kelsey, 1997), the rats were tested 24 h after injection for their memory of a familiar object, as measured by the amount of time spent within one body length of a new object. The object recognition box was 70 cm wide × 70 cm long, with two objects placed 30 cm apart in the center of the box. The objects used on Day 1 were curved pieces of metal pipe open at one end. The novel object used was a plastic, T-shaped pipe open at two ends.

**Habituation and training (Day 1):** The rats were placed individually in the object recognition box without the objects in it and were allowed to explore for 2 min. Twenty minutes later, the rats were placed in the object recognition box with the two identical objects for 3 min. There were no significant baseline differences among groups in the open-field behavior or investigation of objects. Following training, the rats were injected with P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP (0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg).

**Testing (Day 2):** The rats were placed in the box with one of the objects from Day 1 and one new object. Proximity to both objects was recorded for 3 min.

A one-way ANOVA determined the effects of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP (0.0, 4.0, and 8.0 mg/kg) on time spent with the novel object (as a percentage of the total time spent with both objects).

**Open Field**

The open-field task was used as a measure of anxiety and motor activity (Blizard, Lipman, & Chen, 1975; Frye et al., 2000; McCarthy, Felzenberg, Robbins, Pfaff, & Schwartz-Giblin, 1995). The open field was a box, 57 cm wide × 76 cm long, with a 48-square grid floor (6 × 8 squares, 9.5 cm/side) and an overhead light illuminating the central squares (all but the perimeter squares were considered central). The rats were injected with P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP (0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg, s.c.), placed in the box, and observed for 5 min, 1 h, and 24 h postinjection. The ratio of central squares entered to total number of entries into any square was used as an index of anxiety. An entry into a square was considered when all four paws were in the square. The total number of squares entered was considered an index of overall motor activity. One-way ANOVAs, followed by SNK post hoc tests, examined the effects of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP (0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg) on the central versus total square entries ratio and total square entries 1 and 24 h postinjection.

**Elevated Plus-Maze**

The elevated plus-maze paradigm (Dunn, Reed, Copeland, & Frye, 1998; Frye et al., 2000; Pellow & File, 1986) was used to measure anxiety in the rats. The elevated plus-maze consisted of four arms 10 cm wide elevated 50 cm off the ground. Two arms were enclosed by walls 30 cm high, and the other two arms were exposed. The rats were placed at the junction of the open and the closed arms of the maze and were observed for 5 min. The amount of time spent on the open arms was recorded 1 and 24 h after injection of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP (0.0, 4.0, and 8.0 mg/kg, s.c.). One-way ANOVAs, followed by SNK post hoc tests, examined the effects of P, DHP, or
3α,5α-THP on anxiety, as measured by time spent in the open arms, 1 and 24 h postinjection.

**Tailflick**

The tailflick paradigm (D’Amour & Smith, 1941; Frye & Duncan, 1994, 1995) was used to test the effects of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP on pain sensitivity. The rats were handled, covered with a towel, placed on the platform of the tailflick apparatus (San Diego Instruments), and held in place as their tails were smoothed above the radiant heat source. The mean latency of three tail flick trials, 1 and 24 h after P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP, was used as an index of nociception. One-way ANOVAs examined differences on the latency measure between 0.0, 4.0, and 8.0 mg/kg 1 and at 24 h postinjection with P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP.

**Steroid Hormone Measurements**

Following behavioral testing, the rats were readministered their assigned milieu of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP (0.0, 4.0, or 8.0 mg/kg), and blood was collected from the tail vein 1 and 24 h later. Plasma samples were extracted with diethyl ether, reconstituted in phosphate assay buffer (pH 7.4), equilibrated, and analyzed by radioimmunoassay (P antibody: Endocrine Sciences, P 11-192, I:30,000 dilution; DHP and 3α,5α-THP: Dr. Robert Purdy, X947 and X1412, respectively, 1: 5,000 dilutions) to determine the circulating concentrations produced by the steroids administered.

**RESULTS**

**Y-maze**

P (4.0 mg/kg) significantly [F(2,21) = 4.48, p < .05] reduced latencies to the goal box on Trials 1–5 of Day 5 (see Figure 1) but did not affect percentage of correct choices.

DHP (4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg) significantly [F(2,21) = 6.84, p < .01] reduced latencies to the goal arm on Trials 1–5 of Day 5. Only the 8.0 mg/kg group had significantly lower latencies than the controls on Trials 6–10 on Day 5 [F(2,21) = 3.60, p < .05; see Figure 1]. DHP significantly [F(2,21) = 3.53, p < .05] increased the percentage of correct choices overall for the 4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg groups, as compared with the controls (see Figure 2).

3α,5α-THP did not affect the latency or percent correct measure in the Y-maze.

**Inhibitory Avoidance**

Administration of P [4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg; F(2,21) = 3.33, p < .05], DHP [4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg; F(2,21) = 4.62, p < .05], or 3α,5α-THP [8.0 mg/kg; F(2,21) = 3.31, p < .05] significantly increased the latency to enter the dark chamber in the inhibitory avoidance task, as compared with vehicle administration (see Figure 3).

**Object Recognition**

P (8.0 mg/kg) significantly increased [F(2,21) = 3.75, p < .05] the percentage of time with the novel object, as compared with that seen following vehicle administration (see Figure 4); however, DHP and 3α,5α-THP did not significantly influence the amount of time spent with a novel object.

**Open Field**

P [4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg; F(2,36) = 8.80, p < .01], DHP [4.0 mg/kg; F(2,36) = 4.78, p < .05], and 3α,5α-THP [4.0 mg/kg; F(2,36) = 5.85, p < .01], when administered 1 h, but not 24 h, prior to testing, significantly increased the number of entries into central squares (see Figure 5). There was no effect on the total squares entered for any of the progestins.

**Elevated Plus-Maze**

P [4.0 or 8.0 mg/kg; F(2,21) = 7.97, p < .05], DHP [4.0 or 8.0 mg/kg; F(2,21) = 7.63, p < .05], and 3α,5α-THP [4.0 or 8.0 mg/kg; F(2,21) = 11.57, p < .05] significantly increased time spent on the open arms 1 h, but not 24 h, postinjection (see Figure 6).

**DISCUSSION**

Progesterone, DHP, and 3α,5α-THP had different effects on cognitive performance but produced similar outcomes on affective tasks. P reduced latencies to the goal arm in the Y-maze, enhanced retention intervals in the inhibitory avoidance task, and increased the percentage of time with a novel object. DHP reduced latencies to the goal arm, increased the percentage of correct choices in
Figure 1. Mean latencies to the food cup (±SEM) for progesterone (P; top), dihydroprogesterone (DHP; middle), and 3α,5α-THP (bottom) on Day 5 of the Y-maze. P (4.0 mg/kg) significantly decreased latencies on Trials 1–5 (solid bars), as compared with 8.0 mg/kg and controls. DHP (4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg) significantly decreased latencies on Trials 1–5. 8.0 mg/kg significantly decreased latencies on Trials 6–10 (striped bars). 3α,5α-THP had no effect.
Figure 2. Overall percentage of correct arm entries (±SEM) for progesterone (P; top), dihydroprogesterone (DHP; middle), and 3α,5α-THP (bottom) in the Y-maze. DHP (4.0 mg/kg, striped bars, and 8.0 mg/kg, solid bars) significantly increased the percentage of correct arm entries, as compared with controls (white bars). P and 3α,5α-THP did not affect this measure.
Figure 3. Latency to the dark, shock-associated side of the inhibitory avoidance chamber (±SEM) for progesterone (P; top), dihydroprogesterone (DHP; middle), and 3α,5α-THP (bottom). P (4.0 mg/kg, gray bars, and 8.0 mg/kg, black bars), DHP (4.0 mg/kg, gray bars, and 8.0 mg/kg, black bars), and 3α,5α-THP (8.0 mg/kg, black bars) significantly increased crossover latencies, as compared with controls (white bars).
Figure 4. Percentage of time exploring a novel object (±SEM) for progesterone (P; top), dihydroprogesterone (DHP; middle), and 3α,5α-THP (bottom) in the object recognition task. P (8.0 mg/kg, solid bar) significantly increased the percentage of time spent exploring a novel object, as compared with controls (white bar). DHP and 3α,5α-THP did not affect this measure.
Figure 5. Ratio of central to total squares entered in the open field (±SEM) 1 and 24 h following progesterone (P; top), dihydroproges-terone (DHP; middle), or 3α,5α-THP (bottom). P (4.0 mg/kg, striped bars, and 8.0 mg/kg, solid bars), DHP (4.0 mg/kg), and 3α,5α-THP (4.0 mg/kg) significantly increased the ratio of central to total squares 1 h after injection. Twenty-four hours after injection, there were no differences between the three dosages of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP.
Figure 6. Open arm time in the elevated plus maze (±SEM) 1 and 24 h following progesterone (P; top), dihydroprogesterone (DHP; middle), or 3α,5α-THP (bottom). P (4.0 mg/kg, striped bars, and 8.0 mg/kg, solid bars), DHP (4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg), and 3α,5α-THP (4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg) significantly increased the open arm time 1 h after injection, as compared with vehicle administration (white bars). Twenty-four hours after injection, there were no differences between the three dosages of P, DHP, or 3α,5α-THP.
Figure 7. Latency to tailflick (±SEM) 1 and 24 h following progesterone (P; top), dihydroprogesterone (DHP; middle), or 3α,5α-THP (bottom). P (4.0 mg/kg, striped bars, and 8.0 mg/kg, solid bars), DHP (4.0 and 8.0 mg/kg), and 3α,5α-THP (8.0 mg/kg) significantly increased the latency to tailflick 1 h after injection, as compared with controls (white bars). Twenty-four hours after injection, there were no differences between the dosages for any of the steroids.
the Y-maze, and enhanced inhibitory avoidance. In the inhibitory avoidance task, 3α,5α-THP improved latencies but did not have any other effects on performance in these cognitive tasks.

Previous research has shown that progestins can have differential effects on cognitive performance. Long-term potentiation (LTP) varies over the estrous cycle (Warren, Humphreys, Juraska, & Greenough, 1995), and progestins can modulate hippocampal electrophysiological responses (although not LTP or excitatory postsynaptic potentials directly; Landgren, 1991). Also, systemic administration of P (500 µg, s.c.), 19-norprogestrone, hydroxydione, corticosterone, deoxycorticosterone, cortisone, or hydrocortisone results in impaired performance in passive avoidance behavior (Hamburg, 1966; van Wimersma Greidanus, 1977) when administered prior to testing. Lower (3.2 and 6.4 mg/kg) systemic dosages of 3α,5α-THP, when administered just prior to testing, reduce latencies and distances to the platform in a Morris water maze task, whereas the same dosages of other neurosteroids, pregnenolone sulfate (PS) and dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEAS), impair performance. 3α,5α-THP (6.4 mg/kg and ICV implants) increases latencies and decreases percentage of correct choices in the Y-maze, whereas 6.4 mg/kg s.c. and ICV implants of other neurosteroids, DHEAS and PS, have the opposite effect (Frye & Sturgis, 1995). These aforementioned pregnane- and neurosteroid-induced decrements in cognitive performance have been attributed to the steroids‘ reducing animals‘ sensitivity to arousing or aversive stimuli (Bitran et al., 1991a, 1991b; Frye & Duncan, 1994, 1995), through their actions at GBRs (biphasic dosage effects were attributed to allosteric modulation of GBRs). Indeed, 3α,5α-THP is devoid of affinity for intracellular progestin receptors but enhances GABA-mediated chloride currents, whereas DHEA and PS display functional antagonistic properties at GBRs (Rupprecht, 1997).

The present experiments confirm and extend the notion that progestins may have effects on cognitive performance. Although the timing of these effects did not coincide with overt alterations in affective behaviors (such as those seen 1 h following progestin administration), there are a number of reasons it may be premature to conclude that the cognitive effects observed were not due to the altered affective state of the animals. First, P, DHP, and 3α,5α-THP did produce analgesic and anxiolytic effects when administered 1 h prior to open-field, plus-maze, or tailflick testing. The analgesic and anxiolytic effects of P, DHP, and 3α,5α-THP were no longer apparent 24 h following progestin administration, when initial cognitive testing was conducted. According to our findings from the open-field, plus-maze, and tailflick tasks, effects of the progestins in cognitive tasks may not be due to their overt anxiety-reducing side effects. However, effects of the progestins on cognitive performance could be due to the anxiogenic effects resulting from P or 3α,5α-THP withdrawal (Frye, Scalise, & Bayon, 1998; Gallo & Smith, 1993; Smith et al., 1998). Second, performance in some affective tasks can be highly confounded by retesting, especially within 24 h. Hence, one cannot rule out the possibility that the lack of effect of progestins at the 24-h tests of anxiety are due to test decay. Finally, additional experiments testing cognitive and anxiety measures at both 1 and 24 h postinjection would allow a more clear-cut conclusion concerning the contribution of anxiety in cognitive performance.

Although the exact physiological (genomic vs. nongenomic) or mnemonic (acquisition, consolidation, or retention) mechanisms through which progestins affect cognition are not yet defined, the present data confirm and expand upon earlier reports of the effects of progestins on cognitive and affective function. These and additional data from future animal studies may extend the burgeoning literature on the cognitive effects of progestins and help elucidate the manner in which P mitigates the beneficial effects of E on mood (Rice, Graves, McCurry, & Larson, 1997), enhances dream recall (Sheldrake & Cormack, 1974, 1976), or impairs retrieval of learned information coincident with intact encoding in women with PMS (Keenan, Lindamer, & Jong, 1995).
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