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1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to present the preliminary results collected in a central area of Rome, Italy: the Aventinus Minor or Little Aventine (Figure 1a). This area has evolved considerably over the centuries: extant literary sources mention a succession of various superimposed manmade structures (e.g., ancient Roman houses, medieval churches, etc.), although only limited and isolated archaeological evidence is currently known to remain [1].
Therefore, in the next years, the Aventinus Minor Project (AMP) aims to study the area in depth, both by means of desktop, remote sensing (RS) surveys, and ground truthing to bring to light the relationships between the buried past and the present [2].

1.1. The Aventinus Minor Area

The area under investigation is part of a plateau located on the north-eastern portion of the Roman hill called ‘Little Aventine’ (the Latin Aventinus Minor) (Figure 1b). In Antiquity, this summit was named the saxum and was a strategic point to control the underlying valley of the Circus Maximus and the routes to southern Italy and the Adriatic coast. At the bottom of the saxum, where the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations now stands, the temple of Bona Dea subsaxana (272 BCE) symbolically protected Romans with its healing rituals [1,3,4].

The open field surveyed by AMP in 2021 was included within the circuit of the Servian Walls, which originally date back to the 6th c. BCE during the Monarchic Period. Pieces of this massive wall dating to the late Republican phase of reconstruction are still visible in the field under a shelter and have also been incorporated within the medieval walls of the later monastery of St. Balbina (Figure 1c,d). The walls preserved on the Aventinus Minor are no longer a continuous span as they were partially dismantled when Rome outgrew this early defense circuit. When the city expanded, the hill became populated by various houses, warehouses, bathhouses, and safety structures [4–9].

The saxum itself was inhabited by elite families: the most important house was probably that of L. Fabius Cilo, counted among the closest friends of the emperor Septimius Severus. The medieval church of St. Balbina and the surrounding monastery have likely reused part of the structure of this wealthy residence, as evidenced by a series of walls of opus reticulatum and opus latericium, which are still visible within the monastery. This continuity between ancient residential structures and devotional Christian spaces was typical during the early periods of Christianization in Rome [1,10–14].

Meanwhile, the surrounding hill was depopulated during the early medieval period, leaving space for the cultivation of grapes, fruit trees, and greenery surrounding the two main ecclesiastic sites of St. Balbina and St. Saba. It was only in 1871 when Rome became the capital of the new Italian state that a new phase of urbanization began to shape the hill’s contemporary appearance [1,15–17].

1.2. Aventinus Minor Project

The Aventinus Minor Project (AMP) is a community archaeological excavation project focusing on an understudied area in Rome in the modern San Saba neighborhood. AMP has designed a research plan to answer specific academic research questions in collaboration with multiple community partners, including The American University of Rome, St. Stephen’s School (whose campus directly borders on the open field), the Istituto Santa Margherita (the landowners of the field and a Catholic convalescent home), and the Archaeological Superintendency of Rome. AMP’s three main goals include research, pedagogy, and community involvement. We aim to conduct academically rigorous, modern, and scientifically and culturally responsible archaeological research excavation; to teach archaeological theory methodologies to both high school students at St. Stephen’s and the undergraduate and graduate students at The American University of Rome; and to involve the surrounding local community, especially the Istituto Santa Margherita convalescent home and St. Stephen’s, in the research, discovery, and dissemination of their own local history and material culture. Indeed, the involvement of the local community is a valued component of AMP’s approach to the study of the area’s archaeological and social context, and is essential to a thorough and sustainable archaeological project. Since 2020, AMP has involved the local community through a series of bi-lingual (English and Italian) lectures, informational posters, hands-on demonstrations, cultural heritage exhibitions, and community service projects [18,19].
2. Materials and Methods

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, during the summer of 2020, AMP conducted only desktop survey with archival, cartographic, and bibliographic research, recording and investigating ancient landmarks on the Aventinus Minor Hill. Research specifically worked to list the features included on the Capitoline Base (136 CE) and in the Regionary Catalogues (312 CE), ancient primary sources in which various roads and structures in Roman neighborhoods are cataloged. These landmarks were placed into a Geographical Information System (GIS) database to better analyze the contextual relationships of the ancient Roman Aventinus Minor, including, for example, the extent to which past structures have been incorporated into or destroyed by modern buildings.

During the 2021 season, AMP received a three-year excavation permit from the Ministero della Cultura and the Soprintendenza Speciale Archeologia Belle Arti e Paesaggio di Roma. Thanks to an improvement in COVID-19 pandemic conditions, AMP was able to survey, record, and study the Aventinus Minor in person during May and June 2021. Students began by analyzing, drawing, and documenting the walls along Via di S. Balbina, as they appear on Nolli’s 1748 map. Students then georeferenced and researched all of the existing archaeology and landmarks (both ancient and modern) of the Little Aventine. Finally and most importantly, AMP conducted a non-destructive remote sensing survey in the open field adjacent to the basilica of S. Balbina and the Istituto Santa Margherita. The application of a remote sensing approach provides a nuanced understanding of both the landscape of the site as well as the larger context of the surrounding neighborhood.

During the 2021 season, 4-band satellite images (R,G,B, and NiR) were collected. The image used was taken on 28 May 2021 with the SkySat satellite (0.5m resolution) and the aim was to highlight possible evidence buried in the shallow subsurface using the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) calculated according to (1), a dimensionless index that describes the difference between visible and NiR reflectance of vegetation cover and can be used to estimate the density of green on an area of land with particular regards to possible buried archaeological remains [20–23].

\[
NDVI = \frac{\text{NIR} - \text{Red}}{\text{NIR} + \text{Red}} (1)
\]

Subsequently, the DJI Mavic Mini drone was used to collect aerial photos with an optical (RGB) sensor to not only detect possible crop marks on the surface, but also to better understand the general position of the surveyed area in relation to the Santa Balbina church and the remains of the Servian Walls. With such imagery, it is also possible to apply another RS tool called Visible Atmospherically Resistant Index (VARI) (2), which is designed and tested to work with RGB sensors. This is a more useful and accurate way to measure plant health than using RGB imagery.

\[
VARI = \frac{\text{Green} - \text{Red}}{\text{Green} + \text{Red} - \text{Blue}} (2)
\]

The VARI algorithm uses color correction to minimize reflectance, scattering, and other atmospheric effects to better estimate the fraction of healthy vegetation in an area and it is very useful in both archaeological and forensic investigations to detect remotely buried evidence (such as walls or concealed graves) in a shallow subsurface by enhancing vegetation under strong atmospheric impact while smoothing illumination variations [22,24–26].

Finally, AMP surveyed the site with a bistatic GPR system, which allowed us to view anomalies in the ground up to a maximum depth of about 3m. Specifically, the Noggin® SmartCart® (Sensors & Software, Inc., Mississauga, Canada) with 500 MHz antennas was employed. A series of Y-grids were collected in both areas with similar parameters due to the similarities in the soil and dielectric constant conditions (0.5 m interline; Stacking 4; \( v = 0.80 \text{ m/ns using the hyperbola calibration; setting of the zero-time position relative to the ground surface} \). The data was of good quality, and images were further set to “amplify”
the strength of the GPR data signals in both the GPR lines and depth slices. In particular, for both areas, a combination of dewow, migration, envelope (DME), background subtraction, and user gain (start gain 0.5, attenuation 0.8, and maximum between 450) was used. The attenuation value defines the steepness of the gain function. Lower values result in a more gradual slope, while higher values produce steeper slopes. The value slope begins at the start gain value and increases until the maximum gain value (the highest multiplication factor that can be applied to GPR line data) [27–29].

3. Results
3.1. Satellite Imagery (NDVI)

The purpose of using the abovementioned satellite image was to check for possible anomalies in the vegetation growth within the area affected by potential buried anomalies and the possible course of the Servian Walls. The use of the NDVI algorithm mentioned above allowed us to analyze the vegetation stress in the area of interest using the NiR band, however without highlighting any evident anomalies in the subsurface (Figure 2). In addition, this analysis did not highlight any other clear anomalies that might indicate evidence in the shallow subsurface.

Figure 2. The NDVI does not highlight any vegetation anomaly related to shallow buried structures. The yellow rectangle highlights the area in which the Servian Walls continuation is hypothesized. Note that inside the yellow rectangle, the solid black lines with dots inside represent the physical remains of the visible while, while the black dashed lines represent the hypothesized continuation of the wall.

3.2. UAV Imagery (VARI)

In contrast to the satellite image, the UAV image revealed interesting anomalies in a specific area of the garden. Using the above-mentioned VARI algorithm, the resulting optical image highlighted an evident discontinuity in the area proposed for the continuation of the Servian Walls (Figure 3a,b). In Figure 3b, it is possible to notice the presence of several dark anomalies, most of which already have visible matches on the ground within the UAV image. Only one anomaly (circled in black in Figure 3b) is unrelated to visible ground patches, and this happens to be exactly where we expect the passage of the walls should be. The advantage of the VARI analysis is that it can also be performed with images that are not satellite or orthorectified but simply taken from a bird’s eye view as in this case. Moreover, the point at which the anomaly is visible in the VARI image has been further georeferenced and correlated using the other analyses mentioned previously.
Figure 3. The comparison between visible and VARI images of the southern area of the garden: (a) The visible image; (b) The VARI image in which the presence of a possible manmade structure buried in the shallow subsurface is highlighted with a black circle.

This evidence has given us the necessary premises to specifically investigate the nature of this anomaly through the use of the GPR.

3.3. GPR

Based on the results of the previous VARI analysis, a series of parallel profiles were collected not only in the specific area investigated but also throughout the rest of the garden to obtain depth slices of the various anomalies in the subsoil.

The most interesting find related to the VARI result is an anomaly buried at 0.3 m (Figure 4). Based on georeferencing of the VARI anomaly and alignment derived from GIS mapping, Figure 4 shows some interesting correlated features. First, an isolated oval-shape geometry that seems aligned with the direction of the visible remains of the Servian Walls below the shelter (the yellow circles). In addition, other GPR anomalies with fairly regular shapes (circular or elongated) are visible in the northern area of the open field, which cannot be excluded as being of an ancient anthropic nature due to their regular shapes (green circles). Finally, in the part of the garden closest to the Istituto Santa Margherita and the church of Santa Balbina, there are anomalies that, given their orientation and proximity, can be attributed to recent anthropic elements related to the construction of the institute (e.g., water reservoir and sewage system) (red circles).

Figure 4. The GPR depth slice at 0.3 m. The green circles highlight anomalies that cannot be ruled out as being of an ancient manmade nature. The red circles highlight anomalies that, given their orientation and proximity, can be attributed to recent anthropogenic elements related to the construction of the institute (e.g., water reservoir and sewage systems). The yellow circles, on the other hand, highlight a possible alignment between the shelter protecting the few visible remains of the Servian Walls (to the west) and the superficial GPR anomaly linked to the VARI anomaly (to the east).
Considering the known remains of the Servian Walls and their alignment, there is a possibility that this GPR evidence corresponds with a portion of this wall. The reconstruction of this correct alignment was provided thanks to the GIS (Figure 5). This system allows us to collect different sources of information (from ancient cartography to modern surveys), overlapping different layers, and giving them a geographic coordinate.

Figure 5. Thanks to this GIS reconstruction, there is a possibility that the GPR evidence corresponds with a portion of the visible remain of the Servian Walls (yellow rectangle).

The next GPR depth slice of research interest is at 1.2 m. Figure 6 shows a series of GPR anomalies which, given their depth and geometry, could be of archaeological significance. Most of them are concentrated in the northern and central part of the garden and appear to have a circular shape. However, there is an isolated anomaly in the southern sector of the garden to be noted as a possible top of a deeper structure.

Figure 6. The GPR anomalies at 1.2 m (yellow circles).

Finally, the last two depth maps at 2 m and 3 m (Figures 7 and 8, respectively) also show interesting anomalies, albeit fewer in number, probably related to those noted in the previous Figure 6. In particular, the aforementioned isolated GPR anomaly in the southern
part of the garden now appears to be deepening and enlarging into something that has all
the appearance of a buried ancient manmade structure.

![Figure 7. The GPR anomalies at 2 m (yellow circles).](image)

![Figure 8. The GPR anomalies at 3 m (yellow circles).](image)

4. Discussion

The GPR anomalies revealed by the survey illustrate that this area is potentially
rich in buried structures, as reported in literary sources. A correct and georeferenced
mapping of this evidence leads to a more nuanced understanding of the urban territory
of the Little Aventine. The collection of this RS data helped to implement the existing GIS
(Figure 9). One of the most important elements of archaeology is context, which includes
all information associated with an archaeological element. Modern archaeological digs
try to preserve and record an object’s context as much as possible using a combination of
several different strategies. To that end, we used the concept of stratigraphic sequencing
to document the various GIS layers found in our different surveys. Together with the
management of data from archival research, ancient and modern cartography, it was also
possible to update the GIS data with GPR maps and remote sensing images acquired during
the 2021 season.
Figure 9. The figure shows an example of what the final GIS looks like.

This tool represents a powerful method to visualize in a correct and georeferenced way every single element, both visible (like the portion of Servian Walls already excavated) and “invisible” (like the anomalies brought to light by the VARI algorithm and the GPR). Thanks to the intrinsic nature of this platform, it is possible not only to update the archaeological map of the area but also and above all to plan in a precise and accurate manner the following season (Summer 2022), which will feature ground truthing through archaeological excavation as the main focus.

In the future, these promising results will be included in the largest GIS project in Rome: the SITAR (Sistema Informativo Archeologico di Roma), which brings together all of the results of archaeological research carried out within the area of Rome’s Capitoline Hill [30,31]. This will allow a wider collaboration between different researchers and a better knowledge of the urban archaeological landscape.

5. Conclusions

Remote sensing was a very important resource in the design of the Aventinus Minor Project, as it offered the possibility of assessing the archaeological potential of a central, though under investigated, area of Rome in a timely manner. The main aim of these preliminary investigations was to answer questions of urban archaeology typical of the area by accurately reconstructing connections and linking hitherto isolated archaeological manmade structures.

AMP’s plan of investigation required continuous research and also constant adaptation of initial plans due to the pandemic crisis. Despite this, the outcome has been extremely auspicious and sets interesting premises for ground truthing through archaeological excavation to be carried out next season (Summer 2022). Through archaeological excavation, we will be able to verify the results of the remote sensing survey detailed here. Future publications will compare our current hypotheses with the material obtained through ground truthing.

The potential of the RS results, combined with their usability, position AMP as a promising research project with firm bases both within the territory and the local community. However, beyond the quality and usability of the scientific results, AMP’s further novelty lies in having been able to successfully carry out its multi-faceted work by including several community collaborators within the complex urban environment of Rome, one of the world’s capital archaeological cities.
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