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Abstract: Seaweeds are becoming a viable source of biologically active composites with a hopeful application as nutraceuticals, functional food components, and medicinal agents. In the present study, the antioxidant capacity and biochemical compositions of four seaweeds; Polycladia indica and Turbinaria ornata (Phaeophyceae) and Laurencia obtusa and Sarconema scinaoides (Rhodophyceae), were estimated. The results indicated that T. ornata showed the maximum value of total phenolic compound (TPC), flavonoid content, β-carotene, carbohydrate and has maximum percentage of DPPH radical scavenging capacity, total antioxidant capacity (TAC), and total reducing capacity (TRC) (72.48%, 15.02%, and 53.24% inhibition, respectively), while the highest contents of ascorbic acid, lipid, calcium, and zinc were observed in L. obtusa. P. indica showed the highest protein contents, dietary fibers, sodium, potassium, magnesium, and total amino acids. Glutamic, aspartic, proline, and methionine were the most frequent amino acids in the four selected seaweeds. Brown seaweeds (T. ornata and P. indica) attained the highest percent of the total polyunsaturated (ω6 and ω3) essential fatty acids. The biochemical content of these seaweed species, as well as their antioxidant properties, make them interesting candidates for nutritional, pharmacological, and therapeutic applications.
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1. Introduction

Seaweeds are multicellular macroscopic algae that grow in the sea and are a renewable resource. They are non-vascular plants that serve as primary producers in the ocean. Depending on their chemical composition and thallus pigmentation, they are classified into three major groups: brown (Phaeophyceae), red (Rhodophyceae), or green algae (Chlorophyceae) [1]. The biochemical makeup of seaweeds, which includes proteins, carbohydrates, lipids, and ash content, determines their nutritional characteristics in general [2]. These seaweeds are nutritious because they are low in calories but high in vitamins, minerals, and dietary fibers. The seaweed proteins are highly variable (10–47% DW), with high proportions of essential amino acids, around 50% carbohydrates, and 1–3% lipids [3]. Valentina et al. [4] proposed that about 5% of green algae, 33% red algae, and 66.5% of brown algae were nutritionally consumed in daily diets in many countries, such as Japan, China, and Korea.

The composition of nutrients in seaweed varies based on species, habitats, age, and environmental conditions that stimulate or prevent the creation of several nutrients [5]. The lipid composition of seaweeds has aroused the interest of researchers due to the high concentration of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA), particularly C18:3n-3, C18:4n-3, C20:4n-6, C20:5n-3, and C22:6n-3 acids. The antibacterial, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, and anticancer properties of seaweed fatty acids have been discovered to play a significant role
in the prevention of cardiovascular disease, osteoarthritis, and diabetes [6]. Furthermore, macroalgae contain most macroelements and trace elements required for human nutrition and development [7]. These elements are necessary for biological processes such as growth, reproduction, hormone metabolism, and antioxidant defense.

Vitamins found in seaweed are important not only for their biochemical functions and antioxidant activity but also for other health benefits such as lowering blood pressure (vitamin C), preventing cardiovascular disease (β-carotene), and lowering cancer risk (vitamins E, C, and carotenoids) [8].

Antioxidant activity refers to the ability of a molecule compound to suppress or avoid the oxidation of a substrate at low doses [9]. The oxidation process in materials for animal and human use and cosmetic preparations can destroy the product. The use of antioxidants aims to prevent oxidation so that these foods and cosmetics do not cause poisoning or disease [10].

Seaweeds have antioxidant substances that act as an endogenous defense mechanism against oxidative stress caused by extreme environmental conditions [11]. Polyphenols have recently been classified as antioxidant compounds (phenolic acid, flavonoid, and tannins). These compounds have been shown to have strong antioxidant properties as anticancer, antibacterial, anti-allergic, anti-diabetes, anti-aging, anti-inflammatory, and anti-HIV activities [12]. Phenolic and flavonoid compounds were detected in abundance in seaweeds under extreme climatic conditions, suggesting their critical role in chelating metal ions, decreasing radical generation, and strengthening the internal antioxidant system. These functions contribute to the prevention of diseases caused by reactive oxygen species (ROS) [13].

This study aimed to investigate the biochemical compositions of some brown algae (Polycladia indica and Turbinaria ornata) and red seaweed (Sarconema scinaeoides and Laurencia obtusa). It was also aimed to study the antioxidant activity of these seaweeds to elucidate their nutritional, pharmaceutical, and possible medical applications. This work also reported the potential of seaweeds as food nutrients and compared the nutritional values of these seaweeds with some other seaweeds and some locally consumed vegetables.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Seaweeds Collection

During August 2019, appropriate volumes of the four marine macroalgae were harvested from Egypt’s Red Sea shore (27°17’00” N, 33°46’21” E). To eliminate contaminants, the samples were carefully rinsed in seawater. The seaweed species were rinsed with tap water to eliminate undesired foreign particles and epiphytes. After that, the collected sample was shade dried until constant weight and ground. The algal samples belonged to two algal classes (Figure 1): Phaeophyceae: Polycladia indica (Thivy and Doshi) (formerly Cystoseira indica) according to Draisma et al. [14] and Turbinaria ornata (Turner) J.Agardh and Rhodophyceae: Laurencia obtusa (Hudson) J.V.Lamouroux and Sarconema scinaeoides (Børgesen) according to Guiry and Guiry [15].

2.2. Biochemical Composition Analysis

2.2.1. Estimation of Total Carbohydrates, Protein, and Dietary Fiber of Seaweeds

Seaweed’s total carbohydrates were estimated by the phenol-sulphuric acid method [16]. The total protein was assessed spectrophotometrically at 750 nm [17]. The total dietary fiber was calculated using the AOAC method, slightly modified by Pak and Araya [18]. The results were expressed as a percentage of DW seaweeds.
2.2.2. Estimation of the Elements Content of Algae

Na, K, Ca, and Mg analyses of the algal samples were determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS). Dry seaweeds (0.5 g) were digested in 5 mL HCl and HNO$_3$ (3:1), the digested sample was filtered into a graduating cylinder, and the filtrate was made up to 25 mL using distilled water, and heavy metal contents were determined using a flame atomic absorption spectrophotometer [19]. Mineral content was determined in triplicate for each sample and expressed as mg/100 g of DW seaweeds.

2.2.3. Estimation of Lipid

Total Lipid Contents

The chloroform-methanol method was used to extract the lipid content of seaweeds [20].

Composition of Fatty Acids

Fatty acid methyl esters preparation.

Aliquots of total lipids were kept at 4 °C in the dark for transmethylation and extraction of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs) before GC-MS analysis, as described by Radwan [21].

Gas-liquid chromatography of fatty acid methyl esters (FAMEs).

The fatty acid methyl esters were analyzed using GC-MS spectrophotometry. This method was accomplished at the National Center Research, Cairo, Egypt.

2.2.4. Determination of Amino Acids

A seaweed sample of 3 g was prepared for hydrolysis, according to Walker [22], before the determination of amino acids. Amino acid analyses were carried out using amino acid analyzer LC 3000 Eppendorf/Biotronik (Berlin, Germany) using column type H 125 x in the National Center Research, Cairo, Egypt.
2.2.5. Estimation of Total Phenols

The Folin–Ciocalteu reagent was used to determine the total phenolic content (TPC) of seaweed extracts, as described by Singleton and Rossi [23]. A gallic acid stock solution (10 mg/10 mL) was used as a reference for measuring the color produced at 750 nm. The results were represented as milligram gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per gram dry weight of the sample (mg GA/g DW of algae).

2.2.6. Estimation of Total Flavonoid Content

Flavonoids were measured using a colorimetric approach employing aluminum chloride [24]. The reaction mixture’s absorbance was measured at 415 nm. Catechin solution (20–100 g) in methanol was used to produce a calibration curve. The findings were represented in milligrams (+) Catechin equivalents per gram of seaweed dry weight (mg CE/g seaweed dry weight).

2.2.7. Estimation of Ascorbic Acid (Vitamin C) Content

Ascorbic acid was extracted and quantified using a modified method of Abushita et al. [25]. The extract’s ascorbic acid content was determined using a reverse-phase HPLC method, and the retention duration was compared to that of an L-ascorbic acid standard.

2.2.8. Estimation of Beta Carotene

Tee et al. [26], the method was used to extract β-carotene from the algal sample, with minor modifications agreed upon by Ismail and Fun [27]. In a blender, the algal sample (10 g) was mixed for 3 min with 40 mL absolute ethanol and 10 mL potassium hydroxide. After 30 min of saponification in a refluxing system, the mixture was cooled to room temperature. β-carotene was detected using reversed-phase HPLC technology (Hewlett Packard HPLC Series 1100, Palo Alto, CA, USA). A spike test based on its retention time and standard trans β-carotene detected the final peak of β-carotene.

2.3. Antioxidant Activity Assay

2.3.1. DPPH Radical Scavenging Capacity

The ability of seaweed extracts to scavenge the DPPH (1, 1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) radical was investigated using the technique developed by Yen and Chen [28]. Two mL of the test sample were mixed with 2 mL of a 0.16 mM DPPH methanol solution. The absorbance was measured at 517 nm after the liquid was vortexed and kept in the dark for 30 min. The antioxidant activity was expressed as an inhibition percentage of DPPH radical (% inhibition). The following equation was used to calculate the percentage of DPPH radical scavenging activity.

\[
\text{DPPH scavenging activity (\% inhibition) } = \left(\frac{\text{Ac} - \text{As}}{\text{Ac}}\right) \times 100
\]

where Ac is the absorbance of the control (100 µL of methanol solvent with 100 µL of the DPPH solution). As is the absorbance of the sample.

2.3.2. Total Antioxidant Capacity (TAC)

According to Prieto et al. [29], the total antioxidant capacity of seaweed extracts was assessed by the phosphomolybdate assay method (PMA). In this method, 0.3 mL of the seaweed extract was added to 3 mL of TAC reagent (28 mM sodium phosphate, 0.6 M sulphuric acid, and 4 mM ammonium molybdate) and incubated at 95 °C for 90 min in capped tubes, and the absorbance was measured at 695 nm against a blank. The total antioxidant capacity was expressed as a percentage, with ascorbic acids as a standard by using the formula.

\[
\text{Total antioxidant capacity (TAC) \% inhibition } = \left(\frac{\text{Ac} - \text{As}}{\text{Ac}}\right) \times 100
\]
where \( A_c \) is the absorbance of the control reaction (reagent without sample and standard); \( A_s \) is the absorbance of the sample.

### 2.3.3. Estimation of Total Reducing Capacity (TRC)

Dyaizu’s [30] method was used to assess the reducing capabilities of seaweed extract. A total of 2.5 mL phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6) and 2.5 mL % potassium ferricyanide were added to 1 mL extract seaweed. For 20 min., the mixture was incubated at 50 \(^\circ\)C. Trichloroacetic acid (2.5 mL, 10%) was added and centrifuged for 10 min at 3000 rpm. The supernatant (2.5 mL) was combined with 2.5 mL distilled water and 0.5 mL ferric chloride (0.1%). After 10 min. of incubation, the absorbance was measured at 700 nm. The reaction mixture’s enhanced absorbance indicated increased reducing power.

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

The Kruskal–Wallis test was used to analyze the data, followed by post-hoc Dunn’s multiple comparisons. Differences were considered significant at \( p \) values of <0.05. Graph Pad Prism version 5 was used for all statistical analyses.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Antioxidant Contents

Natural antioxidant compounds such as phenolic compounds, flavonoids, alkaloids, and vitamin C are not only found on land sources; reports show that algae are also rich in these natural antioxidants [31]. By scavenging ROS and free radicals, these antioxidants aid in the treatment of a variety of severe illnesses, including cancer, cardiovascular disease, and aging disorders [32]. Phenol is a compound widely present in almost all algae species and has a wide range of biological activities, including antioxidant properties [33].

Figure 2 shows the total phenolic compound (TPC) and flavonoid contents of the four studied seaweeds. The \( T. ornata \) showed the maximum value total phenols and flavonoid contents (14.14 ± 0.1 mg GA/g DW and 9.98 ± 0.09 mg CE/g DW, respectively) while the red alga (\( L. obtusa \)) recorded the lowest value total phenols and flavonoid contents (7.83 ± 0.14 mg GA/g DW and 4.78 ± 0.05 mg CE/g DW, respectively). These results are consistent with those of Manam and Subbaiah [34], who reported that total phenol and flavonoid contents of brown seaweeds \( Colpomenia sinuosa \) were high (56.45 and 12.13 mg/g DW, respectively) as compared to red seaweeds \( Halymenia porphyroides \) (9.02 and 4.43 mg/g DW, respectively).

Flavonoids are antioxidants, ROS scavengers, and lipid peroxidation inhibitors, as well as potential therapeutic agents for a wide range of illnesses. [35]. Kokilam and Vasuki [36] detailed that flavonoids have antihepatotoxic, mitigating, and antiulcer impacts other than ensuring against cardiovascular mortality.

Red seaweeds \( L. obtusa \) showed the highest ascorbic acid content (4.58 ± 0.07 mg/100 g), followed by \( S. scinaeoides \), \( P. indica \), and \( T. ornata \) (3.25 ± 0.02, 3.12 ± 0.04, and 2.49 ± 0.04 mg/100 g, respectively) (Figure 3). These results are in agreement with those of Manam and Subbaiah [34], who proposed that red seaweed, \( Halymenia porphyroides \), has a higher concentration of vitamin C (8.33 \( \mu \)g/g DW) than brown seaweed, \( Colpomenia sinuosa \) (0.0145 \( \mu \)g/g DW). The maximum content of \( \beta \)-carotene was detected in \( T. ornata \) (2.1 ± 0.06 mg/100 g) and the minimum content in \( L. obtusa \) (0.51 ± 0.05 mg/100 g) (Figure 3). Similarly, El-Shenody et al. [37] found that \( \beta \)-carotene contents of brown seaweeds \( Dictyota dichotoma \) and \( Turbinaria decurrens \) (1146.6 and 117.0 \( \mu \)g/g DW) were higher than those in red seaweed \( Laurencia obtusa \) (45.5 \( \mu \)g/g DW).
Figure 2. Total phenol compound (mg GA/g DW, (A)) and flavonoid content (mg CE/g DW, (B)) for studied seaweeds. Values are the mean of three replicates ± standard deviations (SD). Different letters refer to significant differences between species ($p < 0.05$).
Vitamin C is a significant cancer prevention agent that aids in the development and fix of an assortment of body tissues, including ligaments, bones, ligaments, tendons, and teeth. Normal cancer prevention agents present in higher plants, such as nutrients, polyphenols, and β-carotene, were used to trap receptive oxygen species (ROS) that cause lipid peroxidation. These substances are now employed in the food industry to protect the human body from free radicals and to prevent a variety of chronic diseases.

Many studies have found that natural beta carotene sources should be used to reduce free radical damage, which contributes to reducing the effects of aging and prevents cancer [12].

3.2. Antioxidant Activity

The ability of a compound to inhibit oxidation reactions, expressed as a percentage of inhibition, is known as antioxidant activity. The ability of algae to accumulate antioxidant compounds has attracted the attention of researchers because the environment in which algae grows is harsh, which can lead to the production of strong oxidants [5].

The antioxidant activity was determined using the DPPH, TAC, and TRC assays, and the results are shown in (Figure 4). The DPPH (1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl) test has been widely used as a stable free radical to evaluate reducing chemicals, and it is a suitable reagent for studying compounds’ free radicals [38]. The antioxidant scavenging activity of DPPH was considerably increased in all of the seaweeds tested, as shown in Figure 4. T. ornata showed higher DPPH scavenging activity of 72.48 ± 0.17% inhibition, while S. scinaioïdes exhibited lower activity of 49.54 ± 0.13% inhibition. These results were not only comparable to the ascorbic acid standard (62.35 ± 0.07%), but they were much higher in the case of brown species. These findings are in line with those of Parthiban et al. [39], who observed that brown seaweed Dictyota dichotoma acetone extract has high DPPH radical scavenging activity. The other two approaches, total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and reducing capacity (TRC), were used to assess and evaluate the antioxidant activity of various seaweed components (electron donors). Total antioxidant capacity
(TAC) and reducing capacity (TRC) had similar results with different scavenging percentages (Figure 4), which were better in the case of brown seaweeds than red seaweeds and near to the evaluated value of the ascorbic acid standard (17.41 ± 0.03 and 57.21 ± 0.01, respectively) for two testes.

Figure 4. DPPH radical scavenging activity, total antioxidant capacity (TAC), and total reducing capacity (TRC) of the tested seaweed species are expressed as inhibition percentages. Values are the mean of three replicates ± standard deviations (SD). Different letters refer to significant differences between species (p < 0.05).

T. ornata observed the highest total antioxidant capacity and reducing capacity (15.02 ± 0.13% and 53.24 ± 0.11%, respectively) while S. scinaoides exhibited lower activity (12.96 ± 0.09% and 30.37 ± 0.14%, respectively). As a result, all of the studied species are deemed to be high in antioxidants. Brown seaweeds have more antioxidant activity than red seaweeds, according to El-Sheekh et al. [40] and Ismail [41], which explains why brown algae T. ornata and P. indica had the greatest antioxidant activity.

Antioxidants convert reactive oxygen species (ROS) to non-toxic compounds, prevent their harmful effects, and reduce the risk of diseases, ischemia, diabetes infections, cardiovascular disease, and cancer [42]. Biologically, antioxidants protect the body by transferring an electron or a hydrogen (H) atom to reactive substances [43]. As a result, hydrogen atoms transfer (HAT) and single electron transfer tests assess antioxidant capability.

3.3. Phytochemical Constituents of the Studied Seaweeds

Carbohydrates, proteins, and lipids are the main biochemical components of algae. The proportion of each component varies from the taxonomic group [44]. The data in Figure 5 show the carbohydrates, protein, lipids, and fibers of the four studied seaweeds. The concentration of carbohydrates was higher in the species of Phaeophyceae, followed by Rhodophyceae. Carbohydrate contents of the studied seaweeds ranged from 20.17% to 38.62% DW. The greatest carbohydrate content was found in T. ornata (38.62% ± 0.11%), while the lowest was found in L. obtusa (20.17% ± 0.1%) of algal dry weight (Figure 5). Similarly, Roy and Anantharaman [45] and El-Sheekh et al. [40] recorded the highest carbohydrates content in brown seaweeds than in red seaweeds.
Figure 5. Biochemical composition (% of DW) of the four studied seaweeds. Values are the mean of three replicates ± standard deviations (SD). Different letters refer to significant differences between species (p < 0.05).

Carbohydrates are necessary for organisms’ metabolism because they supply energy, and they often contain high levels of polysaccharides such as alginate and fucoidan in brown algae and carrageenan and agar in red algae [46].

Polysaccharides are edible and described as an energy reserve and structural components of all organisms, including marine and higher plants. In seaweed, polysaccharides are the most significant macromolecule, which accounts for more than 80% of its weight.

The protein content of seaweeds varies between 5% and 17% of DW (Figure 5). The largest protein content in the brown seaweed (P. indica, 17.61 ± 0.14% and T. ornata, 10.32 ± 0.10% of DW) and the lowest amounts in the red seaweeds (L. obtusa, 5.41 ± 0.11% and S. scinaioide, 7.52 ± 0.13% of DW), as compared to other protein-rich meals derived from terrestrial plants, such as pulses (ex. Phaseolus vulgaris and Lens culinaris) and moong dal (Vigna radiate), the obtained values were discovered to be complementary protein sources according to Ramu Ganesan et al. [47]. These results are consistent with those of Parthiban et al. [39], who reported that brown seaweeds species usually contain a larger protein fraction than red seaweeds. According to FAO [48], the daily protein intake should be 1 g/kg BW; hence, the selected seaweed would be a balanced protein with a high biological value of vital amino acids.

According to Gressler et al. [49], the source of these variances might be that the protein content of seaweeds varies across species and within species according to levels of maturity, time of year, and different habitats.

Seaweeds have comparatively low lipid content with wide variances among species [50]. Depending on the algal species, the lipid content of the four macroalgae investigated ranged from 1.12% to 3.04% (Figure 5). The red alga L. obtusa had the highest lipid content (3.04 ± 0.12% DW), followed by S. scinaioide (2.03 ± 0.08% DW), and the brown alga T. ornata had the lowest (1.12 ± 0.12% DW). Normally, seaweeds do not appear to be a suitable source of fat, with total lipid contents in most species being less than 4% of dry weight [51]. The results for L. obtusa were similar to the total lipid percentage found in the two Laurencia species previously investigated, which ranged from 1.1% to 6.4% DW (Gressler et al. [49] and El-Shenody et al. [37]. In contrast, Ramu Ganesan et al. [47] observed that the highest content of lipid was detected in brown alga Padina gymnospora (1.4 g) and a low value found in red alga Acanthophora spicifera (0.48 g). However, fat is necessary for
fetal growth and provides significant energy for pregnant women to achieve a total weight increase of 12 kg, which should be obtained from protein and fat (WHO/FAO) [52].

The proportion of dietary fiber in seaweeds ranged between 35.65% and 11.63% of DW (Figure 5). Brown seaweed fiber content is greater than fiber found in red algae. The highest fiber was recorded in P. indica (35.65 ± 0.13%) followed by T. ornata (29.47 ± 0.08%), and the lowest was recorded in S. scinaoides (11.36 ± 0.09%). These results are in line with the findings of Kasimala et al. [53], who proposed that the brown alga Cystosoria myrica is rich in dietary fibers (32.39 mg/g) compared with other seaweeds. The fiber content of seaweed is higher than that of higher plants, according to Venugopal [54].

Dietary fibers are refractory polysaccharides that are not digested by the body but can ferment to varying degrees due to the enzymatic action of bacteria in the gut [55]. Seaweed carbohydrates fermentation can be exploited for bioethanol production [56]. Dietary fiber is recognized today as an important component of healthy nutrition. Seaweeds can provide up to 12.5% of a person’s daily caloric intake. This is a significant amount when compared to other terrestrial foods [57].

3.4. Mineral Composition

Seaweeds have a much higher quantity of all essential minerals than any other plant on land. Mineral content varies according to seaweed phylum, geographical origin, and seasonal, environmental, and physiological variations [58].

Table 1 displays the mineral composition of selected seaweeds and the values recorded in edible seaweed (Gracilaria gracilis) according to Rasyid et al. [59], and it also includes the Nutrition Division’s (2003) dietary recommended intake (DRI) for Thai males and females aged 19 to 50 years according to Ivanovitch et al. [60]. The most abundant macromineral in the four seaweeds studied was potassium (578.38–1228.73 mg/100 g DW), followed by calcium (390.42–845.38 mg/100 g DW) and sodium (102.55–283.82 mg/100 g DW). A similar tendency was noticed by Fouda et al. [61]. They reported that although potassium is essential for seaweed growth and metabolic activity, it has been found that certain seaweed accumulates more potassium than other elements.

### Table 1. Minerals contents (mg/100 g DW) of seaweed species compared to dietary reference intake (mg/day).

| Minerals | Brown Seaweed | Red Seaweed | mg/Day | DRI Male ** | DRI Female ** |
|----------|---------------|-------------|--------|-------------|---------------|
|          | T. ornata     | P. indica   | L. obtusa | S. scinaoides | G. gracilis * |
| Na       | 255.41 ± 0.07 _ab_ | 283.82 ± 0.06 _b_ | 102.55 ± 0.03 _a_ | 213.62 ± 0.02 _ab_ | 290.89 |
| Ca       | 436.39 ± 0.1 _ab_ | 390.42 ± 0.08 _a_ | 845.38 ± 0.11 _b_ | 632.71 ± 0.08 _ab_ | 429.11 |
| K        | 1102.85 ± 0.11 _ab_ | 1228.73 ± 0.05 _b_ | 870.58 ± 0.13 _a_ | 578.38 ± 0.14 _a_ | 1380.42 |
| Mg       | 266.4 ± 0.21 _ab_ | 275.45 ± 0.12 _b_ | 101.2 ± 0.13 _a_ | 196.81 ± 0.11 _ab_ | 380.42 |
| Cd       | 0.41 ± 0.05 _ab_ | 0.5 ± 0.07 _ab_ | 0.3 ± 0.05 _a_ | 0.57 ± 0.01 _b_ | 0.7 ± 0.05 _a_ |
| Pb       | 0.03 ± 0.003 _b_ | 0.02 ± 0.003 _ab_ | 0.01 ± 0.005 _ab_ | 0.02 ± 0.01 _ab_ | 0.02 ± 0.005 _ab_ |
| Cu       | 0.15 ± 0.006 _ab_ | 0.08 ± 0.004 _a_ | 0.17 ± 0.02 _ab_ | 0.18 ± 0.0 _b_ | 0.18 ± 0.02 _ab_ |
| Mn       | 0.67 ± 0.006 _b_ | 0.62 ± 0.06 _ab_ | 0.55 ± 0.012 _ab_ | 0.52 ± 0.025 _a_ | 0.5 ± 0.012 _ab_ |
| Zn       | 2.12 ± 0.025 _a_ | 2.16 ± 0.015 _ab_ | 4.9 ± 0.05 _b_ | 3.52 ± 0.013 _ab_ | 3.5 ± 0.015 _ab_ |
| Na/K ratio | 0.232 ± 0.006 _ab_ | 0.231 ± 0.001 _ab_ | 0.118 ± 0.006 _a_ | 0.369 ± 0.006 _b_ | 0.369 ± 0.006 _b_ |

* Rasyid et al. [59]. ** Dietary reference intake: the amount recommended for consumption daily for Thai adults of age 19–50 years [56].

N: not reported due to lack of suitable data. Values are means of three replicates ± standard deviations (SD). Different letters refer to significant differences between species (p < 0.05).

P. indica had the highest sodium, potassium, and magnesium concentrations (283.82, 1228.73, and 275.45 mg/100 g DW, respectively), and it had the lowest calcium concentration (390 mg/100 g DW), whereas L. obtusa had the highest calcium and zinc concentrations (845.38 and 4.9 mg/100 g DW, respectively). On average, dairy foods have 305 mg/100 g, while seaweed has 845 mg/100 g. As a consequence, seaweed is a considerably more...
valuable source of calcium. As a result, seaweed bioavailability and bioaccessibility must be investigated further [47].

The Na/K ratio in the species investigated varied from 0.369 to 0.118, showing ideal values for using these species as food to maintain a balanced Na/K ratio [62]. Seaweeds with low Na/K ratios are useful as salt replacers, according to Rodrigues et al. [63]. Potassium, sodium, and chloride maintain fluid balance in the gastrointestinal tract by reducing sodium intake and increasing potassium intake, while potassium, calcium, and magnesium are associated with lowering blood pressure and lowering the risk of stroke [64]. In this regard, Moreiras et al. [65] found that Wakame (Undaria pinnatifida) and Sea Spaghetti (Himanthalia elongata) seaweed species contained approximately eight times more calcium than milk, suggesting that they could be an excellent source of calcium for osteoporosis prevention and treatment, for growing children, and pre- and post-menopausal women. Calcium and magnesium were the most abundant elements in seaweeds for nutrient absorption binding sites, which increased Si and Cl accumulation during stress conditions [66]. Mineral elements are essential for overall mental and physical well-being because they are components of bones, teeth, soft tissues, hemoglobin, muscle, blood, and nerve cells [46].

All living organisms can have their ion quotient ratio calculated. It has better dietary and health benefits than simple Ca/Mg or Na/K ratios with concentrations expressed in moles [67]. $\frac{[\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Na}^{+}]}{[\text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{K}^{+}]}$ ion quotient this molar ratio was calculated to be 0.315, 0.359, 0.492, and 0.743 for P. indica, T. ornata, L. obtusa, and S. scinaioides, respectively (Table 1). As a result, including such seaweed species in meals might lower the ion quotient range in the human body (2.5–4.0) and minimize the risk of illnesses, including hypertension, preeclampsia, and heart disease [68]. These findings suggest that eating seaweed species can minimize this range in the human body and disorders such as hypertension, preeclampsia, and heart disease.

Cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), copper (Cu), and manganese (Mn) were also evaluated in this study, which recorded trace variable amounts among the investigated seaweeds (Table 1). As a result, consuming seaweed in single gram levels would not contribute considerably to the dietary recommended intake (DRI). However, manganese was involved in bone formation as well as the metabolism of lipids, amino acids, and carbohydrates [64]. The concentration of heavy metals in seaweeds reflects their concentration in the medium and the alga’s ability to chelate them up, according to Fouda et al. [61].

3.5. Amino Acids Analyzer of Studied Seaweeds

The contents of total amino acids ranged from 14.21 to 10.67 mg/100 mg DW (Table 2). P. indica contained the highest amount of total amino acids (14.21 mg/100 mg DW) followed by T. ornata (13.22) then L. obtusa (11.91) and S. scinaioides (10.67). These values correspond to 37.02%, 35.93%, 34.84%, and 35.05% of total amino acids content, respectively, were made up of essential amino acids (EAA). EAA, such as methionine, phenylalanine, threonine, and lysine, was high in all the selected seaweeds. Table 2 also includes the essential amino acids (EAA) of soya and eggs according to Galland-Irmouli et al. [69] to compare with our data. These results correspond to those of Dawczynski et al. [70], who reported that essential amino acids in seaweeds accounted for more than 30% of total amino acid content. The non-EAA, such as glutamic acid, aspartic acid, proline, and alanine, were high levels in all studied seaweeds showing significant amounts of cysteine, serine, and arginine, similar to results from previous studies [71]. The amino acid contents reported in this study were similar to those published in the literature. Gracilaria changii has an amino acid profile similar to that of hen’s eggs, with a ratio of essential to total amino acids of 0.4. [72]. According to Wong and Cheung [73], Hypnea japonica, Hypnea charoides (Rhodophyta), and Ulva lactuca (Chlorophyta) contained all essential amino acids, accounting for 42.1–48.4% of TAA. Because essential amino acids accounted for about 40% of total amino acids and the essential amino acid profile was similar to that of egg and soya protein, the researchers concluded that the proteins from the four seaweeds investigated were of excellent quality [74].
Table 2. Amino acid compositions of the studied Phaeophyceae and Rhodophyceae species (g/100 g sample) and profiles (g/100 g amino acid).

| Amino Acids | P. indica g/100 g Amino Acid | T. ornata g/100 g Amino Acid | L. obtusa g/100 g Amino Acid | S. scinaioides g/100 g Amino Acid | Soya # g/100 g Amino Acid | Egg # g/100 g Amino Acid |
|-------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Histidine   | 0.38                        | 2.67                        | 0.32                        | 2.42                             | 0.2                      | 1.87                   |
| Lysine      | 0.65                        | 4.57                        | 0.62                        | 4.69                             | 0.46                     | 4.31                   |
| Isoleucine  | 0.51                        | 3.59                        | 0.47                        | 3.56                             | 0.41                     | 3.44                   |
| Leucine     | 0.45                        | 3.17                        | 0.41                        | 3.10                             | 0.36                     | 3.02                   |
| Phenylalanine | 0.82                    | 5.77                        | 0.78                        | 5.90                             | 0.71                     | 5.96                   |
| Methionine  | 0.98                        | 6.80                        | 0.91                        | 6.88                             | 0.81                     | 6.80                   |
| Valine      | 0.68                        | 4.79                        | 0.75                        | 3.78                             | 0.46                     | 3.86                   |
| Threonine   | 0.79                        | 5.56                        | 0.74                        | 5.60                             | 0.63                     | 5.29                   |
| ∑ Essential Amino acids | 5.26                  | 37.02                       | 4.75                        | 35.93                             | 4.15                     | 34.84                  |

| Non-essential amino acids | P. indica g/100 g Amino Acid | T. ornata g/100 g Amino Acid | L. obtusa g/100 g Amino Acid | S. scinaioides g/100 g Amino Acid | Soya # g/100 g Amino Acid | Egg # g/100 g Amino Acid |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Alanine                   | 0.87                         | 6.12                         | 0.87                         | 6.38                             | 0.82                     | 6.88                   |
| Arginine                  | 0.77                         | 5.42                         | 0.72                         | 5.45                             | 0.68                     | 5.71                   |
| Aspartic                  | 1.47                         | 10.34                        | 1.24                         | 9.38                             | 1.03                     | 8.65                   |
| Cysteine                  | 0.85                         | 5.98                         | 0.86                         | 6.51                             | 0.82                     | 6.88                   |
| Glutamic                  | 1.64                         | 11.54                        | 1.51                         | 11.42                            | 1.41                     | 11.84                  |
| Glycine                   | 0.78                         | 5.49                         | 0.72                         | 5.45                             | 0.67                     | 5.63                   |
| Proline                   | 0.98                         | 6.90                         | 1.02                         | 7.72                             | 0.86                     | 7.22                   |
| Serine                    | 0.84                         | 5.91                         | 0.81                         | 6.13                             | 0.77                     | 6.47                   |
| Tyrosine                  | 0.75                         | 5.28                         | 0.72                         | 5.45                             | 0.7                      | 5.88                   |
| ∑ Non-essential Amino acids | 8.95                   | 62.98                        | 8.47                         | 64.07                             | 7.76                     | 65.16                  |
| ∑ TAA                     | 14.21                       | 13.22                        | 11.91                        | 10.67                             | 11.91                    | 10.67                  |

# Galland-Irmouli et al. [69]. ND: not detected.

3.6. Fatty Acids Profile of Studied Seaweeds

Seaweeds were not a conventional source of energy since their total lipid content was low. In terms of fatty acid content, however, the majority of them were shown to be high in polyunsaturated fatty acids [75]. Environmental and genetic factors play a role in fatty acid concentration variations [76]. Twenty-one components were identified with varying amounts in the four seaweeds studied in terms of fatty acid composition. Saturated fatty acids (SFA) were found in abundance in the seaweeds studied, according to the fatty acid analyses (Table 3). Red algae exhibited a high percentage of saturated fatty acids (SFA) than brown algae. L. obtusa recorded the highest percentage, 75.2% of TFA, followed by S. scinaioides (70.9%), while brown species (T. ornata) contain the lowest content of the total saturated fatty acids (65.48% of TFA). These findings are consistent with prior study El-Shenody et al. [37], which revealed SFAs to constitute the dominant percentage of total fatty acids in seaweeds.

The seaweeds investigated included 10 saturated fatty acids (SAF). The predominant saturated fatty acids (SAF) detected in all seaweed species with varying percentages were palmitic acid (C16:0) and myristic acid (C14:0). The greatest percentages of palmitic acid (C16:0) were shown in S. scinaioides (35.63% of TFA), which is consistent with the previous study [77]. Palmitic acid was also the major SFA fraction in Porphyra spp. and Palmaria spp. [76], Gelidium micropterum [78], and Gracillaria changii (Rhodophyta) [72].

In the four species studied, six monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) were found: Myristoleic acid (C14:1), Cis-10-pentadecenoic (C15:1), palmitoleic acid (C16:1), oleic acid (ω9) (C18:1), Cis-11-Eicosenoic (C20: 1 ω9), and erucic acid (C22: 1 ω9), the brown seaweeds had the highest proportion of monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), whereas the red seaweeds had the lowest. These fatty acids constituted (27.51%) in T. ornata, followed by P. indica and S. scinaioides (24%) and then L. obtusa (19.73%) of TFA content. These findings were consistent with [41,79]. Oleic acid (ω9) (C18:1) and palmitoleic acid (C16:1ω7) were the most predominant monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA), which agrees with Ismail et al. [80].
Table 3. Fatty acid compositions of the studied Phaeophyceae and Rhodophyceae species (mg/g sample) and profiles (g/100 g fatty acids).

| Carbon No. | Fatty Acids                  | mg/g Sample        | g/100 g Fatty Acids |
|------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|            | P. indica | T. ornata | L. obtusa | S. cinaioides | P. indica | T. ornata | L. obtusa | S. scinaioides | Porphyra * sp. | Palmaria * sp. | G. changii *
| C8:0       | Caprylic acid               | 0.94              | 3.01               | 2.41         | 1.02     | 1.38     | 4.86       | 2.600        | 1.19          | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C10:0      | Capric acid                 | 3.25              | 2.84               | 1.02         | 0.86     | 4.77     | 4.59       | 1.10         | 1.0           | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C12:0      | Lauric acid                 | 5.31              | 4.52               | 2.91         | 1.2      | 7.79     | 7.30       | 3.14         | 1.4           | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C13:0      | Tetradecanoic acid          | 2.11              | 1.54               | 5.21         | 3.65     | 3.09     | 2.49       | 5.63         | 4.26          | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C14:0      | Myristic acid               | 4.81              | 3.57               | 12.03        | 13.26    | 7.05     | 5.76       | 13.00        | 15.49         | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C15:0      | Pentadecylic acid           | 2.54              | 2.31               | 3.61         | 2.13     | 3.72     | 3.73       | 3.90         | 2.49          | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C16:0      | Palmitic acid               | 18.03             | 15.68              | 26.05        | 30.72    | 26.44    | 25.32      | 28.14        | 35.63         | 63.19         | 45.44        | 22.0          |
| C18:0      | Stearic acid                | 3.94              | 2.74               | 6.51         | 4.12     | 5.78     | 4.42       | 7.03         | 4.78          | 1.23          | 1.28          | ND            |
|            | Saturated fatty acids (SFA) |                   |                    |              | 46.56    | 40.55    | 69.62      | 60.98        | 68.28         | 65.48         | 75.2          | 70.9          | 64.42         |
| C14:1      | Myristoleic acid            | 2.95              | 3.71               | 3.23         | 3.41     | 4.33     | 5.99       | 3.51         | 3.98          | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C15:1      | Cis-10-Pentadecenoic acid   | 2.05              | 3.21               | 2.25         | 3.17     | 3.01     | 5.18       | 2.43         | 3.7           | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C16:1      | Palmitoleic acid            | 3.14              | 4.31               | 5.02         | 4.28     | 4.6      | 6.96       | 5.42         | 5.0           | 6.22          | 5.26          | ND            |
| C18:1      | Oleic acid                  | 6.57              | 5.25               | 3.98         | 4.67     | 9.63     | 8.48       | 4.3          | 4.45          | 6.7           | 3.13          | 21.9          |
| C20:1 ω9   | Cis-11-Eicosenoic acid      | 2.21              | 2.35               | 2.25         | 2.74     | 0.000    | 2.93       | 2.63         | 4.7           | ND            | ND            | ND            |
| C22:1 ω9   | Erucic acid                 | ND                | 0.56               | 1.050        | 3.05     | 0.000    | 0.9        | 1.13         | 3.56          | ND            | ND            | ND            |
|            | Monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFA) |         |                   |              | 46.56    | 40.55    | 69.62      | 60.98        | 68.28         | 65.48         | 75.2          | 70.9          | 64.42         |
| C18:2 ω6   | Linoleic acid               | 1.09              | 1.02               | 0.98         | 1.08     | 1.6      | 1.65       | 1.06         | 1.25          | 1.17          | 0.69          | ND            |
| C18:3 ω3   | α-Linolenic acid            | 1.21              | 0.96               | 1.04         | 1.54     | 1.77     | 1.55       | 1.12         | 1.79          | 0.23          | 0.59          | ND            |
| C20:4 ω6   | Arachidonic acid            | 1.13              | 0.82               | 0.95         | 0.166    | 1.32     | 1.03       | 0            | 6.8           | 1.45          | ND            | ND            |
| C20:5 ω3   | Eicosapentaenoic acid       | 1.08              | 1.54               | 1.04         | 0.91     | 1.58     | 2.49       | 1.12         | 1.06          | 6.03          | 24.05         | 33.1          |
| C20:6 ω3   | Docosahexaenoic acid        | 0.54              | ND                 | 0.67         | 0.87     | 0.97     | 0.00       | 0.72         | 1.01          | ND            | ND            | 12.9          |
|            | Polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFA) |       |                   |              | 46.56    | 40.55    | 69.62      | 60.98        | 68.28         | 65.48         | 75.2          | 70.9          | 64.42         |
| C18:2 ω6   | Linoleic acid               | 1.09              | 1.02               | 0.98         | 1.08     | 1.6      | 1.65       | 1.06         | 1.25          | 1.17          | 0.69          | ND            |
| C18:3 ω3   | α-Linolenic acid            | 1.21              | 0.96               | 1.04         | 1.54     | 1.77     | 1.55       | 1.12         | 1.79          | 0.23          | 0.59          | ND            |
| C20:4 ω6   | Arachidonic acid            | 1.13              | 0.82               | 0.95         | 0.166    | 1.32     | 1.03       | 0            | 6.8           | 1.45          | ND            | ND            |
| C20:5 ω3   | Eicosapentaenoic acid       | 1.08              | 1.54               | 1.04         | 0.91     | 1.58     | 2.49       | 1.12         | 1.06          | 6.03          | 24.05         | 33.1          |
| C20:6 ω3   | Docosahexaenoic acid        | 0.54              | ND                 | 0.67         | 0.87     | 0.97     | 0.00       | 0.72         | 1.01          | ND            | ND            | 12.9          |
|            | Ratio ω6/ω3                 |                   |                    |              | 2.22     | 1.84     | 1.93       | 1.08         | 3.26          | 2.95          | 2.08         | 1.25         | 7.97          | 2.14          | 0            |
|            | Polyunsaturated fatty acids (ω6) |       |                   |              | 46.56    | 40.55    | 69.62      | 60.98        | 68.28         | 65.48         | 75.2          | 70.9          | 64.42         |
|            | Ratio ω3/ω6                 |                   |                    |              | 2.83     | 2.5     | 2.75       | 3.32         | 4.15          | 4.03          | 2.97         | 3.85         | 6.26          | 24.64         | 46            |
|            | Ratio ω3/ω6                 |                   |                    |              | 0.78     | 0.74   | 0.70       | 0.43         | 0.33          | 0.33          | 1.27         | 0.27         | 0            | 82.09         | 89.9          |

* Sánchez-Machado et al. [76]. † Norziah and Ching [72]. ND: Not detected.
Oleic acid has the highest percentage of MUFA in brown seaweeds (P. indica and T. ornata), in accordance with the results of CAF et al. [77], reported that in brown algae (Toania atomaria and Padina pavonica), oleic acid made up the largest portion of the MUFA. Five polyunsaturated essential fatty acids (PUFA) were appeared in (Table 3) C18:2ω6 (linoleic acid), C18:3ω3 (α-linolenic acid), C20:4ω6 (arachidonic acid), C20:5ω3 (Eicosapentaenoic acid) and C22:6ω3 (Docosahexaenoic acid). Brown species (T. ornata and P. indica) attained the highest percent of the total polyunsaturated fatty acids, followed by red species S. scinaioi des and L. obtusa recorded the lowest percent. The amount of α-Linolenic acid and linoleic acid in the studied seaweeds was notably higher than those reported in Porphyra sp. and Palmaria sp. (Table 3).

The original value of 1 for the ω6/ω3 ratio involved the balance of intake of both PUSFA ω6 and ω3 fatty acids [79]. The ratio of ω6/ω3 was 0.78, 0.74, 0.70, and 0.33 for P. indica, T. ornata, L. obtusa, and S. scinaioi des, respectively.

This ratio should not exceed 10 in diets, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) [76], meaning that the seaweeds under investigation are edible after further research.

Diverse levels of ω3 fatty acids may explain why the seaweeds studied are used in different ways to reduce the risk of multiple sclerosis, inflammation, diabetes, cancer, and coronary heart disease.

According to Simopoulos [81], omega-3 fatty acids provide health benefits, including benefits for inflammatory bowel disease, cancer, psoriasis, and rheumatoid arthritis. In recent academic publications, the relevance of the ω6/ω3 ratio has been severely debated. PUFAs were found to play an important role in cell and tissue metabolism, such as controlling cell membrane fluidity, electron and oxygen transport, and thermal adaptation, and may lower the risk of coronary heart disease [82]. The fatty acid pattern of L. obtusa was nearly identical to that of Porphyra sp., but higher in total saturated and monounsaturated fatty acids and lower in palmitic acid (SFA). The species L. obtusa contains the highest percentage of the total fatty acids, while T. oranta has the lowest content of the total fatty acids.

These results conformed to Francavilla et al. [79] and Ismail [41], who stated that the saturated fatty acids constituted 70.01% of the total fatty acids. As compared with MUFA and PUFA, the SAF ratios of the four tested algae are relatively high [51].

4. Conclusions

The nutritional contents and antioxidant capacity of the Red Sea brown and red seaweeds, Polycladia indica, Turbina orna ta, Laurencia obtusa, and Sarconema scinaioi des were investigated and compared to those of various other seaweeds and local vegetables. The present study reveals the potent antioxidant properties of the studied seaweeds, especially brown seaweeds. The brown seaweeds are indicated to possess a high amount of carbohydrates, protein as well as amino acids compared to the red seaweeds. Red seaweeds are a rich source of vitamin C, lipids, and fatty acids. The presence of these compounds in high concentrations might indicate a possible pathway for these seaweeds to be used as a raw material in food processing and therapeutic industries to make inventive nutritive products.
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