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Abstract: Excessive nitrite amounts harm the environment and put public health at high risk. Therefore, accurate and sensitive detection of nitrite in surface and groundwater is mandatory for mitigating its adverse effects. Herein, a highly sensitive electrochemical sensor based on carbon screen-printed electrodes (CSPE) surface-modified with photochemically-made gold nanoparticles (AuNPs, ~12 nm) is proposed for nitrite detection. Scanning electron microscopy, cyclic voltammetry, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy show that AuNPs uniformly coat the CSPE, increase its surface area, and contribute to oxidizing nitrite to much lower potential (+0.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl) and faster rate. Under optimized differential pulse voltammetry conditions, the CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode responds linearly ($R^2 > 0.99$) to nitrite within a wide concentration range (0.01–4.0 $\mu$M), showing a sensitivity of 0.85 $\mu$A·$\mu$M$^{-1}$·cm$^{-2}$ and limit of detection as low as 2.5 nM. The CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode successfully detects nitrite in tap water and canned water of olives, showing no influence of those matrices. In addition, the electrode’s response is highly reproducible since a relative standard deviation lower than 10% is observed when the same electrode is operated in five consecutive measurements or when electrodes of different fabrication batches are evaluated.
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1. Introduction

The excessive use of chemical fertilizers, the heavy use of pesticides in farming and industrial activities, and household waste have resulted in high levels of pollutants in the environment. Thus, the uncontrolled rise in the level of contamination affects the quality of drinking water, draining aquatic ecosystems and damaging the ecological cycle. Nitrite ($\text{NO}_2^-$) is one of the most common inorganic nitrogenous compounds found in the environment and is widely used as a food additive and preservative [1], as well as nitrogen-based fertilizers utilized in agriculture [2,3]. Nonetheless, nitrite has been classified as a hazardous species due to its toxicity to humans. The excess intake of nitrite can accelerate the irreversible oxidation reaction of hemoglobin to methemoglobin, thus limiting the ability of hemoglobin to carry oxygen [4]. Besides that, nitrite may react with amines to form nitrosamines, which are toxic and carcinogenic [5]. For these reasons, the allowable limit of nitrite concentration in drinking water is 43.48 $\mu$M, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines [1]. It is, therefore, of great urgency, the development of fast, sensitive, and reliable methods for nitrite detection.
So far, a variety of approaches exists for nitrite detection, such as spectrophotometry [6,7], chromatography [8,9], and chemiluminescence [10]. However, most of them have many disadvantages, such as toxic chemicals, reagents, complicated and high-cost equipment, time-consuming sample preparation, low selectivity, and inability to perform on-site monitoring. Conversely, electrochemical sensors have been considered as relevant alternatives because they ensure a rapid, sensitive, and low-cost method for the determination of nitrites [11–14]. Moreover, electrochemical sensors can be fabricated as miniaturized devices, allowing for on-site monitoring of nitrite by non-skilled personnel. Nevertheless, it is still challenging to improve sensitivity and other performance parameters for electrochemical sensing of nitrite.

For electrochemical sensors, both sensitivity and selectivity to a specific target species are very important. One of the main causes of low sensitivity can be due to adsorption of interfering substances on the electrode surface, which reduces the efficiency of the reactions and leads therefore to measurement deviations. Therefore, the sensor loses sensitivity and even specificity to the target species [15]. Additionally, the effective modification of the electrode surface by the effective nanomaterials should achieve selective and sensitive binding of the target species [16]. By using nanomaterials, like incorporating carbon nanomaterials or metal nanoparticles into a working electrode [17], an increase of the surface area and/or conductivity of the electrode can be reached leading to an increase of the sensitivity and signal level. A highly sensitive sensor having a low LOD is essential. It is also important that it reaches the required sensitivity level for a particular application.

In that regard, significant efforts have been devoted to improving these performance parameters, which have been focused on the functionalization of different electrodes with nanomaterials [18]. Recently, interest has been focused on metal and metal oxide nanoparticles (M-NPs and MO-NPs) and their widespread application in analytical chemistry for displaying unique physicochemical properties owing to their enlarged surface-to-volume ratio [19]. Many of these nanoparticles have been employed in nitrite electrochemical sensors, including silver [20], copper [21], platinum [22] and iron oxide [23] nanoparticles, and mainly gold nanoparticles (AuNPs).

AuNPs display very attractive properties, such as electrocatalytic activity, good electrical conductivity, chemical stability, and appropriate biocompatibility, which are very attractive for building electrochemical sensors [24]. In addition, AuNPs can be synthesized at controlled size and shape and assembled into organized thin films employing mild experimental conditions [25]. These distinctive features make AuNPs a promising nanomaterial, and as a result, many research studies based on AuNPs have proven the excellent effect for the electrocatalytic oxidation of nitrite [14,26–31].

For example, Abbas et al. [26] prepared a carbon paste electrode modified with AuNPs multi-walled carbon nanotubes nanocomposite (AuNPs/MWCPE) to determine nitrite. Hanbing et al. [27] developed an electrochemical sensing platform based on the deposition of AuNPs on chitosan@N, S co-doped multi-walled carbon nanotubes composite modified glassy carbon electrode (GCE). Huaiyin Chen et al. [28] elaborated a nitrite sensor based on a copper metal-organic framework decorated with AuNPs (Cu-MOF/Au/GCE). Furthermore, Shenghong et al. [29] modified α-Fe₂O₃ nanorods with AuNPs giving rise to AuNPs-Fe₂O₃ composite that was effectively used as photoelectrode to detect nitrite. Hao Yu et al. [30] detected nitrite by preparing an AuNPs-reduced graphene oxide and multi-walled carbon nanotubes (AuNP/rGO/MWCNTs) nanocomposite. Paramasivian et al. [31] reported the synthesis of β-cyclodextrin capped AuNPs (β-CD@AuNPs) without the use of additional reducing agents. β-CD molecules act as reducing agents and stabilizers, and no hazardous reagents or conditions have been used. In addition, Xin Li et al. reported in [32] about the process of the electrodeposition of gold nanoparticles on a glassy carbon electrode (GCE) functionalized with MoS₂ nanosheets, which showed a good response for sodium nitrite under optimal conditions.

This study reports about the use of AuNPs, produced by an ecofriendly photochemical route, to modify commercially available carbon screen-printed electrodes (CSPE) and use
them on the sensitive detection of nitrite. Moreover, it is observed that the CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode’s response to nitrite is insensitive to different tested matrices, such as tap water and canned water of olives, thereby demonstrating its promising use in real applications. The structure and morphology of AuNPs and CSPE/AuNPs-PEI are fully assessed by UV-Vis spectroscopy and high-resolution microscopy (transmission electron microscopy, TEM, and scanning electron microscopy, SEM). The effect of AuNPs electrode modification is further investigated by cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. The sensing performance is evaluated by differential pulse voltammetry. In general, a sample fabrication process proposed herein is capable to achieve a very sensitive level with good reproducibility, and a very low limit of detection.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Apparatus and Chemicals

Carbon screen-printed electrodes (Itasens IS-C) were purchased from PalmSens BV, Houten, Netherlands. PalmSens 3 potentiostat (PalmSens BV, Houten, Netherlands) was used in all experimental measurements. The pH measurements were carried out using a pH meter (model TM 39) with a combined pH glass and temperature electrode (Sensortechnik Meinsberg GmbH, Germany). Analytical grade chloroaquic acid (HAuCl₄·3H₂O), branched poly(ethyleneimine) (PEI, M₉ 60,000 g mol⁻¹), sodium nitrite (NaNO₂), potassium ferrocyanide (K₄[Fe(CN)₆]), potassium ferricyanide (K₃[Fe(CN)₆]), sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate (NaH₂PO₄·H₂O), and sodium phosphate dibasic heptahydrate (Na₂HPO₄·7H₂O) were used, respectively, for standard nitrite solutions, ferri/ferrocyanide redox probe solution and phosphate buffer solution (PBS) are purchased from Sigma-Aldrich without any further purification. For interference measurements, MgCl₂, MgSO₄, CaCl₂, NaOH, KCl, NaNO₃, Na₂SO₄, NaBr, ascorbic acid, uric acid were used. All chemicals were of analytical grade, purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Germany, and applied in this study as received without further purification or refinement.

2.2. Synthesis of AuNPs and Characterization

AuNPs were produced by a photochemical method as described elsewhere [33]. In brief, 5 mL of aqueous HAuCl₄·3H₂O solution (0.88 mmol L⁻¹) and 10 mL of PEI solution (1.0 g·L⁻¹) were mixed in a 25 mL borosilicate beaker and then exposed for 30 min to UV irradiation (254 nm, 16 W) inside a lab-made reaction chamber as depicted in [26]. The obtained suspension was stored in the fridge (~8 °C) until structural characterizations and electrode modification. Herein, PEI is employed to ensure the colloidal stability of AuNPs. For structural and morphological characterizations, UV-Vis spectra of AuNPs were recorded in an Agilent Cary 8454 UV-Visible spectrophotometer, Agilent technologies, Brazil (200–800 nm, scan rate: 1 nm min⁻¹, resolution: 0.1 nm). TEM images were acquired with a JEOL JEM-1011 (JEOL, Japan) microscope, whereas hydrodynamic diameter and zeta potential were assessed with the Malvern Zeta Sizer Nano ZS (Malvern Panalytical, Brazil). SEM and EDX spectra of electrodes were recorded with Nova NanoSEM 200 microscope (Thermo Fisher, Germany).

2.3. Electrode Preparation and Preliminary Nitrite Investigations

CSPE modification was achieved by drop-casting of AuNPs suspension onto the central, working electrode and then dried at room temperature. Different volumes of AuNPs suspensions (2–10 µL) were evaluated to optimize the modification of the electrode. The highest obtained nitrite oxidation current is at 6 µL in 0.1 M of PBS and 0.001 M of nitrite, as shown in Figure 1b.
PBS buffer solutions were prepared with distilled water and a concentration of 0.1 M at different pH levels and ambient room temperature for all experiments. For primary electrode investigations, these buffers are added to 0.5 mM of Ferri/ferrocyanide redox probe in 0.5 mM of KCl and then running cyclic voltammetry (with a scan rate of 5 mV/s) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy measurements (at the frequency range 0.01 Hz to 300 Hz, 12 points per decade and 0.5 V amplitude). For impedance data fitting, the Circuit Fitting option was used in the PSTrace 5.5 software. After that, optimizing working conditions in DPV for nitrite detection and preparing different nitrite solutions in the concentration range of 1000 \( \mu \text{M} \) to 0.001 \( \mu \text{M} \) were carried out. The interference study was investigated in the absence and presence of a 100 fold excess of different interfering species such as \( \text{Na}^+ \), \( \text{NO}_2^- \), \( \text{Br}^- \), \( \text{K}^+ \), \( \text{Cl}^- \), \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \), \( \text{NH}_4^+ \), \( \text{HPO}_4^{2-} \) and \( \text{SO}_4^{2-} \). Furthermore, a real test was done with tap water and caning olives water samples spiked with 0.001 M of nitrite.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structural and Morphological Characterization of AuNPs and CSPE/AuNPs-PEI

The main structural feature of the AuNPs suspension is the plasmonic band centered at 530 nm. The size distribution histogram displayed in Figure 2a provides a mean diameter of 12.9 \( \pm \) 0.4 nm, after fitting experimental data with a log-normal function. The hydrodynamic diameter is about four times greater, 55.5 \( \pm \) 0.5 nm, thus revealing the adsorption of PEI onto AuNPs. Indeed, this is confirmed further by the positive zeta potential equal to +26.0 \( \pm \) 2.3 mV, which is ascribed to positively charged amine and imine groups [34].

In addition, TEM images in Figure 2b reveal a sphere-like shape and the crystalline planes pointing towards the (111) direction, as shown by the inset. The interplanar distance highlighted in yellow is 0.268 nm, which gives a lattice parameter of 0.44 nm. This value is compatible with that expected for FCC gold (0.406 nm) [35].

The chemical composition and structural morphology of the CSPE/ AuNPs-PEI were analyzed by SEM. From Figure 3a, the structure of the graphite flakes is observed at a 40,000 times magnification (scale bar of 3.0 \( \mu \text{m} \)). In addition, Figure 3b, shows well-defined spherical gold nanoparticles are distributed evenly on the top of the electrode. Few of them are accumulated heavily in different areas of the working electrode surface. Figure 3c,d show the EDX spectra for the elemental composition characterization of the bare and modified electrode that proves the electrode’s modification with gold.
Few of them are accumulated heavily in different areas of the working electrode surface. Figure 3c,d show the EDX spectra for the elemental composition characterization of the bare and modified electrode that proves the electrode’s modification with gold.

Figure 2. (a) Histogram of the size distribution of AuNPs; (b) Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of AuNPs.

Figure 3. SEM images of (a) bare; (b) modified SPE with AuNPs. EDS spectrums of the (c) bare; (d) modified electrodes with inset tables for different elemental compositions.
3.2. Electrochemical Behaviour of CSPE/AuNPs-PEI Modified Electrode

The electrochemical behaviour of CSPE/AuNPs-PEI has been first investigated in a ferri/ferrocyanide redox couple by CV and EIS. Figure 4a,b show cyclic voltammograms in ferri/ferrocyanide (with 5 mM of KCl) registered at different scan rates (20–200 mV s\(^{-1}\)) with bare CSPE and CSPE/AuNPs, respectively.

Although the \([\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-}\leftrightarrow[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{4-}\) oxidation–reduction occurs for both electrodes, the effect of modification by AuNPs is apparent. For example, at 200 mV s\(^{-1}\), the peak-to-peak separation \(\Delta E_p = (E_{pox} - E_{pred})\) is 191 mV, and the anodic peak current is
100 µA for bare CSPE, whereas for CSPE/AuNPs-PEI these values are 100 mV and 150 µA, respectively. It means that the $[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-} \leftrightarrow [\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{4-}$ reaction is more favorable and becomes quasi-reversible upon AuNPs modification.

The anodic/cathodic peak currents were then plotted versus the square root of the scan rate, as shown in Figure 4c. There is a linear relationship between them, confirming a diffusion-controlled process. Besides that, the slope is greater with the CSPE/AuNPs-PEI, indicating that AuNPs increase the electroactive area of bare CSPE. Indeed, the estimated electroactive area of bare and AuNPs modified CSPE is 0.07 cm$^2$ and 0.11 cm$^2$, respectively.

In addition, EIS spectra (Nyquist plots) shown in Figure 4d provide even stronger evidence for the beneficial effect of AuNPs on the electrochemical performance of CSPE. After curve fitting, the experimental data with the equivalent circuit of $R_S-[R_{CT-W} \| C_{PE}]$, in Figure 4e, circuit 1 and circuit 2 represent the Randle circuit for the bare and modified electrode, respectively. The charge-transfer resistance ($R_{CT}$) estimated for CSPE is 4654 Ω, and it is steeply decreased to 119.2 Ω for CSPE/AuNPs-PEI. Therefore, it is concluded that modification with AuNPs enlarges the electroactive area, consequently reducing the charge-transfer resistance at the electrode/electrolyte interface. Besides that, it can be hypothesized that positively charged PEI coating the AuNPs favours the adsorption of anionic $[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-}/4^-$, which contributes further to the enhanced electrochemical signal.

### 3.3. Electrocatalytic Activity of CSPE/AuNPs-PEI towards Nitrite Oxidation

As an electroactive ion, nitrite has two possible mechanisms for its electrochemical detection: oxidation or reduction. The most commonly used detection way is the oxidation of nitrite since its reduction is quite complicated, and it can involve several interferences such as nitrate ions and the reduction of molecular oxygen [36,37].

The nitrite electro-oxidation process typically involves the following Equations [30]:

$$\text{NO}_2^- \leftrightarrow \text{NO}_2 + e^- \quad (1)$$

$$2\text{NO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + 2\text{H}^+ \quad (2)$$

At first, nitrite ions get oxidized at the electrode surface to NO$_2^-$, and secondly, the NO$_2^-$ is homogeneously processed in a second-order disproportionation process to generate nitrate ions. In the case where the scan rate is slow, the whole process occurring at the electrode could be described by Equation (3):

$$\text{NO}_2^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \leftrightarrow \text{NO}_3^- + 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \quad (3)$$

Nevertheless, when the scan rate is high, it is unlikely that the homogeneous protonation of NO$_2^-$ occurs, and in this case, the reaction described in Equation (1) is the prevalent one by which one electron is transferred [30].

Figure 5 shows cyclic voltammograms and EIS spectra of NO$_2^-$ (1 mM in PBS pH 7) registered with bare CSPE and CSPE/AuNPs-PEI. In Figure 5a, the voltammograms clearly show an anodic peak, corresponding to NO$_2^-$ oxidation (reaction 1) [26], which occurs at lower potential (+0.57 V) and higher current (approximately doubled value) at CSPE/AuNPs-PEI. In Figure 5b, the EIS spectra indicate a significant impedance decrease upon modification of bare CSPE with AuNPs. After fitting the experimental data with an $R_S-[R_{CT-W} \| C_{PE}]$ equivalent circuit, values of $R_{CT}$ were determined; 5400 Ω for bare CSPE and 600 Ω for CSPE/AuNPs-PEI. It is seen that AuNPs reduce $R_{CT}$, thereby causing a decrease in the onset oxidation potential and increase of the anodic current for the NO$_2^-$ oxidation.

This decrease reduces the rate of electron transfer at the electrode interface. The effect of the pH on the electrochemical response of CSPE/AuNPs-PEI to nitrite was investigated with CV. As shown in Figure 6, the maximum peak current was reached at pH 6.5. However, the peak current decreases at pH lower or higher than 6.5. Therefore, pH 6.5 was chosen for further studies. According to some reports, at low pHs (lower than 4), protonation...
of nitrite will occur, forming nitrous acid, HNO$_2$ [32,33]. This will affect the electrode’s response, explaining the low current measured at pH < 5. Conversely, at a more basic pH, oxidation of AuNPs is expected to occur, which will form a passivating oxide layer that prevents nitrite oxidation and adversely affects the stability of the electrode [26,38,39].
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**Figure 5.** Study of nitrite oxidation reaction in 1 mM of NaNO$_2$ and 0.1 M of PBS buffer at pH = 7 on bare and modified electrode with AuNPs-PEI with; (a) cyclic voltammograms; (b) electrochemical impedance spectroscopy spectra; (c) and equivalent circuits for bare (circuit1) and modified electrode (circuit2).
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**Figure 6.** pH study of the modified electrode with AuNPs in 1 mM of NaNO$_2$ and 0.1 M of PBS buffer at different pH values; relation between nitrite oxidation peak currents as a function of the oxidation potential with inset of cyclic voltammograms of nitrite oxidation currents.

The electrochemical kinetics of nitrite oxidation at the CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode was investigated by CV, and in Figure 7 the results are shown. Figure 7a illustrates...
cyclic voltammograms of nitrite oxidation performed at different scan rates in 0.1 M of PBS solution at pH 6.5 and in the potential range between −0.2 V and 0.7 V. The nitrite oxidation is evidenced by an anodic peak around +0.57 V, which shifts to more anodic potentials as the scan rate increases, suggesting an irreversible process. In Figure 7b, a linear dependence between the anodic current and the square root of the scan rate is observed, which indicates a diffusion-controlled process.

Figure 7. (a) Cyclic voltammograms of nitrite oxidation at different scan rates values in which the inset is the linear dependency of the scan rate and anodic current; (b) variation of the square root of the scan rate as a function of anodic oxidation current of nitrite; (c) Tafel’s plot of nitrite oxidation at 50 mV/s; (d) dependence of peak potential ($E_a$) on $\ln v$.

The Tafel plot is provided in Figure 7c, described by the linear equation $E_a = 0.85x - 0.714$ ($R^2 = 0.990$), where $E_a$ represents the overpotential of nitrite oxidation. The slope of this equation relates to the charge-transfer coefficient $\alpha$ through Equation (4):

$$0.85 = \frac{2.303RT}{(1 - \alpha)F}$$

where $R$ is the gas constant (8.31 J.K.mol$^{-1}$), $T$ is the absolute temperature (298 K), and $F$ is the faraday constant (96,500 C.mol$^{-1}$). The value of $\alpha$ calculated from that is 0.93. The dependence of the anodic peak potential on $\ln v$ is provided in Figure 7d, which gives a
linear relationship: \( E_{pa} = 0.387 + 0.041 \ln v \) \((R^2 = 0.992)\). Since the reaction is irreversible and diffusion-controlled, \( E_{pa} \) and \( \ln v \) are related by Equation (5):

\[
E_{pa} = \left( \frac{RT}{2n_{\alpha}F} \right) \ln v + \text{const}
\]

in which \( n_{\alpha} \) is the number of transfer electrons. The value found for \( n_{\alpha} \) is 0.33.

3.4. Electrochemical Determination of Nitrite

After obtaining qualitative data on the oxidation process and a good electrochemical response in cyclic voltammetry measurements, the investigation was carried out to develop the analytical method for detecting nitrite in an aqueous solution. Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) technique was applied to record the signals. Under optimum conditions (\( E_{\text{pulse}} = 0.1 \) V, \( t_{\text{pulse}} = 0.01 \) s and scan rate of 0.05 V/s), voltammograms illustrated in Figure 8a show the performance of CSPE/AuNPs-PEI in 0.1 M of PBS (pH 6.5) under different concentrations of nitrite, ranging from 10 to 400 nM at 50 mV/s scan rate. As expected, the increase of the nitrite concentration is accompanied by an increase in the oxidation peak current.
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(a) Differential pulse voltammograms responses of CSPE/AuNPs-PEI in different concentrations of nitrite in 0.1 M of PBS at pH 6.5 and 50 mV/s scan rate; (b) Calibration curve of nitrite detection in the concentration range of 10 to 400 nM.

In Figure 8b, the dependency of the oxidation peak current on the nitrite concentration is provided. From this analytical curve (concentration of NO\(_2^-\) vs. current response), which is fitted with a linear correlation, it is observed that the peak current increases linearly with the increase of nitrite concentration.

The linear regression equation \((y (\mu A) = 0.065x (nM) + 7.71)\) was acquired with a high correlation coefficient \(R^2 = 0.995\). The sensor’s sensitivity towards NO\(_2^-\) was found to be at least 0.065 \(\mu A/\text{nM}\), and it shows a limit of detection (LOD) of 2.5 nM for a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. The achieved electrocatalytic performances of the CSPE/AuNPs-PEI were compared to other nitrite sensors reported in the literature based on different nanomaterials, as shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Sensing performances comparisons of previously reported nitrite sensors with different nanomaterials.

| Electrode                        | Technique | Linear Range (µM) | Sensitivity (µA·µM⁻¹·cm⁻²) | LOD (µM) | Ref.   |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------|--------|
| AuNPs/rGO a/MWCNTs b             | Amperometry | 0.05–2200         | 1.201                       | 0.014    | [30]   |
| ERGO c/AuNPs                    | DPV       | 1–6000            | 0.3048                      | 0.13     | [40]   |
| AuNPs-Fe₂O₃ d                   | CV        | 1–1000            | 0.149 ± 0.01                | 0.07     | [29]   |
| AuNPs/MoS₂ e/Gr                 | Amperometry | 5–5000            | -                           | 1        | [41]   |
| Au/ERGO/CuTDPAT f/GCE           | Amperometry/DPV | 0.001–1000        | -                           | 0.006    | [42]   |
| β-CD@AuNPs g/GCE                | Amperometry | 0.04–1470         | -                           | 0.014    | [31]   |
| Dendrimer/AuNPs-I/GC            | Amperometry | 10–5000           | 0.640                       | 0.2      | [43]   |
| RGO/MnFe₂O₄ h/PANI              | Amperometry | 0.05–12,000       | -                           | 0.015    | [37]   |
| AuNPs/Ti₃C₂Tx-PDDA i            | Amperometry | 0.1–2490 and 2490–13,490 | 0.250                   | 0.059    | [1]    |
| Fe₃O₄ j/GO/COOH/GC              | DPV       | 90–600            | 2.17                        | 0.37     | [44]   |
| CSPE/AuNPs-PEI                  | DPV       | 0.01–4            | 0.85                        | 0.0025   | This work |

a Reduced Graphene oxide; b Multwall carbon nanotubes; c Electrochemically reduced graphene oxide; d Fe₂O₃: Iron oxide; e Molybdenum disulphide nanoflower; f Copper-based metal-organic framework; g β-Cyclodextrin Capped Gold Nanoparticles; h Manganese ferrite; i poly (dimethyl diallyl ammonium chloride)-decorated MXene; j Iron oxide black.

It can be noted that the present sensor exhibits excellent figures of merit in terms of having a higher sensitivity and a lower limit of detection. These results suggest that the CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode can be successfully used as a sensor for NO₂⁻. The performance of the proposed sensor for the determination of nitrite ions has been investigated under the presence of possibly interfering species that usually coexist with NaNO₂. As shown in Figure 9, anionic and cationic species i.e., Na⁺, NO₃⁻, Br⁻, K⁺, Cl⁻, Ca²⁺, NH₄⁺, HPO₄²⁻ and SO₄²⁻ were tested. The DPV experiments were carried out with nitrite at 1 mM, in the absence and the presence of each interfering species at 100-fold excess. Unlike the apparent current increase with NaNO₂ injection, the current changes caused by the addition of most interferants were negligible, indicating the good selectivity of NaNO₂ with the developed sensor. An exception has to be made for NH₄Cl, in which the interfering effect can be as high as 30%. For that specific interfering species, an additional calibration curve should be prepared to address this effect. Generally, chloride ions in water are noticeable in the millimolar range, and nitrite ions in the micromolar range. Consequently, chloride ions may interfere in the direct detection of nitrite in water samples. Chloride can precipitate AgCl along with AgNO₃, thus Cl⁻ interference could be avoided by the addition of a suitable amount of AgNO₃ to water samples. Furthermore, AgNO₃ can precipitate other interfering ions such as Br⁻, which improves the sensor’s selectivity [30].

3.5. Analytical Study

Nitrite always remains in the environment and is a common additive in salty food products. To evaluate the performance of the proposed sensor for practical application for nitrite detection in real samples, the CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode was tested in mineral water and canning water of olives. These measurements were conducted with no primary preparation. In addition, the samples were spiked with 0.001 M of NaNO₂. DPV voltammograms in Figure 10 show the response to nitrite in both mineral water (Figure 10a) and canning water of olives (Figure 10b) before and after nitrite addition, which proves that the application of the sensor in real samples is practical.
3.5. Analytical Study

Nitrite always remains in the environment and is a common additive in salty food products. To evaluate the performance of the proposed sensor for practical application for nitrite detection in real samples, the CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode was tested in mineral water and canning water of olives. These measurements were conducted with no primary preparation. In addition, the samples were spiked with 0.001 M of NaNO₂. DPV voltammograms in Figure 10 show the response to nitrite in both mineral water (Figure 10a) and canning water of olives (Figure 10b) before and after nitrite addition, which proves that the application of the sensor in real samples is practical.

3.6. Reproducibility and Stability

In order to access the reproducibility of the sensor’s response, DPV curves were first registered with a single CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode in five consecutive measurements in nitrite concentration of 0.001 M with 0.1 M of PBS solution at pH 6.5. As shown in Figure 11a, the shape of DPV curves and respective current signals remain stable after running the five consecutive measurements. Second, an intra-study, as illustrated in Figure 11b, based on testing five different electrodes fabricated under identical experimental conditions, shows an RSD lower than 10%. Accordingly, the suggested sensor has a good reproducibility for nitrite measurement.

Figure 9. Influence of different chemical compounds on the oxidation current of nitrite; error bars indicate the standard deviations of 3 repeated tests.

Figure 10. Differential pulse voltammograms of nitrite oxidation in; (a) mineral water and; (b) olive water spiked with 0.001 M of nitrite, respectively.
3.6. Reproducibility and Stability

In order to access the reproducibility of the sensor’s response, DPV curves were first registered with a single CSPE/AuNPs-PEI electrode in five consecutive measurements in inter-study and; (b) intra-study.

4. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that photochemically-synthesized gold nanoparticles coated with poly(ethyleneimine) provide a strong electrocatalytic effect for commercially available carbon screen-printed electrodes toward nitrite oxidation. The improved performance of the proposed modified electrode is ascribed to the enlarged electroactive area provided by gold nanoparticles and electrostatic attraction promoted by positively charged poly(ethyleneimine). This synergistic effect is responsible for detecting nitrite at very low potential (+0.57 V) and obtaining a very low limit of detection (2.5 nM), the latter being among the lowest found in the literature. In addition, the sensor exhibited good sensitivity and selectivity and is insensitive to different matrices. Since the production of these gold nanoparticles is accomplished by a photochemical process that does not require hazardous reagents, the proposed nitrite sensor is environmentally friendly and, therefore, very promising for determining nitrite in real samples.
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Figure 11. Reproducibility investigation of the electrode in 0.001 M of nitrite with 0.1 M of PBS (pH 6.5); (a) inter-study and; (b) intra-study.
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