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Cytotoxic effect of commercial *Humulus lupulus* L. (hop) preparations – In comparison to its metabolomic fingerprint
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Abstract  Hops (*Humulus lupulus* L. Cannabaceae) is an economically important crop, that has drawn more attention in recent years due to its potential pharmaceutical applications. Bitter acids (prenylated polyketides) and prenylflavonoids are the primary phytochemical components that account for hops resins medicinal value. We have previously reported on utilizing untargeted NMR and MS metabolomics for analysis of 13 hops cultivars, revealing for differences in α- versus β-bitter acids composition in derived resins. In this study, effect of ratios of bitter α- to β-acids in hop resins to cytotoxicity of hop resins was investigated. *In vitro* cell culture assays revealed that β-acids were more effective than α-acids in growth inhibition of PC3 and HT29 cancer cell lines. Nevertheless, hop resins enriched in β-acids showed comparable growth inhibition patterns to α-enriched resins and suggesting that bioactivity may not be easily predicted by metabolomics and/or gross metabolic profiling in hops.

© 2012 Cairo University. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The hop plant (*Humulus lupulus* L., Cannabaceae) is an economically important crop cultivated in most temperate zones of the world for its female inflorescences, commonly referred to as “hop cones” or “hops”. The bitter, resinous substance produced in the glandular hairs of the strobiles (lupulin glands) is used in brewing, baking and as cattle feed for its bacteriostatic action and preservative qualities [1]. In addition, it is used in pharmaceutical applications. The resin is used as a mild sedative in European phytotherapy, and hop has been investigated for its potent estrogenic and, more recently, potential cancer chemopreventive activities [2,3]. Major class of secondary metabolites in hop lupulin glands include hop bitter acids which exhibit interesting effects on human health [4]. The hop bitter acids are resinous alicyclic phenolic acids, classified as α-acids (humulones) and β-acids (lupulones). The main α-acids are humulone, cohumulone, and adhumulone; the corresponding β-acids are lupulone, colupulone, and adlupulone (Fig. 1A). The β-acids differ structurally from the α-acids by having one extra isoprenyl group. Furthermore, hop resin contain terpenes and isoprenylated flavonoids [5–7]. There are at least 200 different hop varieties grown and cultivated worldwide and it is of increasing interest to develop accurate methods for hop
characterization that could be used to classify hop from different geographical origins or countries. We have recently reported on the use of LC–MS and NMR for the metabolic fingerprinting of hop. This comparative untargeted approach revealed compositional differences in \( \alpha \)/\( \beta \)-bitter acids among hop cultivars [7]. Our objective from this study was to further investigate whether differences in \( \alpha \) - and \( \beta \)-bitter acids composition in hop resins could influence its cytotoxic effect. A total of 13 chemically well-characterized hop resins were tested for growth inhibition effect against (mutated androgen
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**Fig. 1** Hop \( \alpha/\beta \) bitter acids composition in comparison to its cytotoxic effect. (A) Chemical structures of humulones (\( \alpha \)-bitter acids) and lupulones (\( \beta \)-bitter acids) series detected in hop resin. (B) Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) of hop cultivars based on group average cluster analysis of its biochemical profile as the analytical data showing clustering of cultivars in 2 major groups mostly influenced by its \( \beta \)-bitter acids levels (\( \mu \)g/ml) and \( \beta/\alpha \)-acid ratios. Data are mean ± SE from three independent measurements. Grey box highlights \( \beta \)-acids enriched resins (HSE, HHE & HHT) from other cultivars. (C) Cytotoxicity data of hops resin extracts and pure \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-bitter acids against human prostate (PC3) and colon (HT29) cancer cell lines (IC\(_{50}\) values expressed in \( \mu \)g/ml). Detailed description of bitter acids standards composition is provided under materials and methods. Data are mean ± SE from four independent experiments. Note the grey box highlighting \( \beta \)-enriched resins (HSE, HHE & HHT) showed no significant difference in its IC\(_{50}\) values from other samples.
dependent) prostate (PC3) and (androgen independent) colon (HT-29) cancer cell lines along with standard mix of α- and β-acids. HCA multivariate data analysis was also used as an additional exploratory tool to assess the heterogeneity and relationship between the different hop cultivars.

Material and methods

Plant material

The 13 different hop resins included in this study were provided by Hopsteiner (Mainburg, Germany). All information on collected samples and their origin is recorded in Table 1. Resins were obtained by standard extraction with ethanol. A detailed description of the resin preparation is given by [8].

Chemicals and reagents

Standard for α-acids mixture (30.06% cohumulone and 69.93% humulone + adhumulone) and β-acids mixtures (47.95% colupulone and 52.05% lupulone + adlupulone) were provided by Hopsteiner (Mainburg, Germany). All other chemicals and standards were provided by Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

HCA analysis of LC/MS dataset

Quantified hop metabolites performed using XCMS data analysis software [9] was imported into the R 2.9.2 software package using custom-written procedures for hierarchical clustering analysis (HCA) to visualize for general clustering trends. Absolute LC/MS peak area values were autoscaled (the mean area value of each feature throughout all samples was subtracted from each individual feature area and the result divided by the standard deviation) prior to clustering analysis. This provides similar weights for all the variables. Detailed description on bitter acids analysis and quantification methodology is provided in [7].

Cell lines and culture conditions

Human prostate cancer cell line, PC3, was obtained from the Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen, Braunschweig, (DMSZ ACC# 465) and the colon cancer cell line, HT29, was obtained from the medical immunology department at Martin Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg (Prof. Seliger). The cells were grown as monolayers in adherent cell lines and were routinely cultured in RPMI (Roswell Park Memorial Institute) 1640 supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% L-glutamine in 75 cm² polystyrene flasks (Corning Life Sciences, UK) and maintained at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere with 5% CO₂.

Cytotoxicity assay

Cells were plated at a density of 1 × 10⁴/well in 96-well plates. They were allowed to attach to the plate for 24 h. After 24 h, the media were replaced with RPMI media containing resin extracts. Four concentrations of each resins were tested (1, 5, 10 and 20 µg/ml). Resins were initially dissolved in DMSO at a concentration of 2 mg/ml and further diluted with RPMI medium. The DMSO concentration in the assay did not exceed 0.1% and was not cytotoxic to the tumor cells. After 72 h, the growth medium was taken out and 100 µl of XTT-solution (2,3-bis (2-methoxy-4-nitro-5-sulfophenyl)-5-[(phenylamino) carbonyl]-2H-tetrazolium hydroxide) (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) was added to each well, and plates were incubated at 37 °C for another 4 h at a final concentration 0.3 mg/ml). Absorbance was measured at 490 nm against a reference wavelength at 650 nm using a microplate reader (Beckman Coulter, DTX 880 Multimode Reader). The mean of four experiments for each dose was used to calculate the IC₅₀ and repeated in 2 passages for each cancer cell line. Digitonin was used as a standard cytotoxic agent with an IC₅₀ value of 1.7 µg/ml. IC₅₀ values were calculated with GraphPad Prism version 5 software, using sigmoidal dose–response function.

Results and discussion

Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) of hop resins

The major goal of this study was to investigate the effect of hop bitter acids compositional differences on hop resin cytotoxic effect. To accomplish this goal, we have selected a study group of resins derived from 13 hop cultivars, 10 of which originated from Germany and 3 others originated from Austria and the Czech Republic (Table 1). Previous LC–MS and

| Cultivar                    | Region, country | Abbreviation |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Hallertau Perle             | Hallertau, Germany | HPE         |
| Hallertau Hallertauer Tradition | Hallertau, Germany | HHT         |
| Hallertau Hersbrucker       | Hallertau, Germany | HHE         |
| Hallertau Herkules          | Hallertau, Germany | HHS         |
| Hallertau Hallertauer Magnum | Hallertau, Germany | HHM         |
| Hallertau Späther Select    | Hallertau, Germany | HSE         |
| Hallertau Hallertauer Taurus | Hallertau, Germany | HTU         |
| Elbe-Saale Magnum           | Elbe-Saale, Germany | EHM         |
| Elbe-Saale Northern Brewer  | Elbe-Saale, Germany | ENB         |
| Tettmang Perle              | Tettmang, Germany | TPE         |
| Mühltviertel Magnum         | Mühltviertel, Austria | ATHM       |
| Mühltviertel Perle          | Mühltviertel, Austria | ATPM       |
| Saaz Agnus                 | Saaz, Czech Republic | CZAG       |
NMR analyses revealed the compositional differences in α and β-bitter acids among resins derived from different hop cultivars [7]. Hop cultivars HHS, HTU & HHM were found to be enriched in α-acids, whereas higher levels of β-acids were determined in cultivar HHE, and to less extent in HSE and HHT as confirmed by absolute metabolites quantification [7].

Hierarchical cluster analysis (HCA) is an unsupervised data analysis method that allows interpretation of metabolites results in a fairly intuitive graphical way without prior knowledge of the sample composition. In this study, HCA of the different hop resins based on quantified data from a total of 29 metabolites described by Farag et al. [7] was used as an additional exploratory tool to assess the heterogeneity among the different hop cultivars. HCA showed two clear major clusters, of 10 and 3 cultivars (Fig. 1B), referred to as groups I and II, respectively. Clustering pattern was mostly influenced by differences in bitter acids levels among cultivars as revealed from density of corresponding signal in the heatmap plot (data not shown). Inspection of group II showed that HHE, HHT and HSE cultivars are more closely related as in both lupulone type β-acids were enriched (260–350 μg/mg resin dry wt.) and in agreement with PCA results [7]. Cluster I included all other cultivars having a lower levels in β-acids reaching an average of 170 to 260 μg/mg resin dry wt. Interestingly, both ATHM and ATPE cultivars that were collected from the same geographical area/region (Austria) clustered together (Fig. 1B) suggesting that in hop, geographical origin can be reflected in its bitter acids composition.

It is hypothesized that in natural plant systems, metabolic pathways contain biosynthetic modules, which lead to the formation of metabolites (groups of metabolites whose production is co-regulated and biosynthetically linked). To test whether such co-regulated metabolite modules do exist in hops and produce this myriad of phytochemicals, HCA analysis was also performed (Supplementary Fig. 1) with Pearson correlation coefficients calculated for all pairs of 29 metabolites identified in our analysis [7]. The HCA results and ‘correlation heatmaps’ clearly show the existence of modules of co-regulated metabolites in hops, supporting the hypothesis that biosynthetic modules do indeed exist in natural plant systems [10]. Metabolites within the same module had abundance patterns across cultivars that were highly correlated with each other, and they had similar relationships to other compounds. Importantly, one of the many co-regulated metabolite modules that were readily detected in this clustering analysis contained the unprenylated flavonoids i.e. querceatin and kaempferol (module B) whereas prenylated metabolites belonged to separate metabolite modules. The presence of α-bitter acids in a separate module (module C) from β-forms (module D) suggests the presence of a distinct isoprenyl transferase in hops catalyzing for the attachment of an extra isoprenyl group (Fig. 1) as the last step of β-acids biosynthesis. Cloning and or functional characterization of isoprenyl transferases involved in bitter acids biosynthesis has yet to be achieved. It should be noted that β-bitter acids oxidative products i.e. cohumulone and hulupone were clustered in a separate metabolite (module A) along with other unknown metabolites. This is the first report on metabolites modules existence in hop plants.

The presence of α-,β-bitter acids levels

Increasing evidence in the literature points towards the marked cytotoxic effect found for hop bitter acids in addition to the well established effects of xanthohumol and 8-prenylnaringenin [11,4]. Analyses of resins in the current study show that xanthohumol was present at much lower levels compared to bitter acids (ca. 30 μg/mg resin dry wt.) whereas 8-prenylnaringenin was found at trace levels. Recently, both humulone and lupulone isolates were found to inhibit cancer cell growth with lupulone exhibiting lower IC50 values against lung and breast cancer cell lines [12]. Cytotoxic effect in hop is mediated via angiogenesis, inducing apoptosis, and by increasing the expression of cytochrome P450 detoxification enzyme [4]. Our objective was to investigate cytotoxic effect of hop resins derived from the 13 cultivars with different α-β-acids composition as revealed from our HCA analysis. The 13 hop resins were tested for growth inhibition effect against (mutated androgen dependent) prostate (PC3) and (androin independent) colon (HT29) cancer cell lines along with standard mix of α- and β-acids. IC50 values exhibited are presented in Fig. 1C. All hop resin extracts inhibited cancer cell growth with comparable IC50 values ranging from 9 to 20 μg/ml for HT29 and PC3 cell lines. Both α- and β-acid standards exhibited high cytotoxic activities against both PC3 and HT29 cells. However, IC50 values for β-acids (lupulones: PC3, 2.4 μg/ml; HT29, 8.1 μg/ml) were significantly lower than the α-acids (humulones: PC3, 13.2 μg/ml; HT29, 15.5 μg/ml). These results were in agreement with previous results [12]. It is unclear though, whether differences are based on difference in lipophilicity due to extra isoprenyl group in β-acids (and thus cell uptake), or rather a specific target effect. Interestingly, prenylation of apigenin and liquiritigenin flavonoids was found to enhance its cytotoxicity against rat H4IEIi hepatoma and C6 glioma cells [13]. Nevertheless, cultivars such as HSE, HHE and HHT enriched in β-acids (clustered separately in group II, Fig. 1B) did not exhibit a lower IC50 values against both cell lines compared with other cultivars. This might be attributed to the small differences between α- and β-acids levels among cultivars. In addition, other compounds of higher specific activity i.e. xanthohumol and 8-prenylnaringenin (8-PN) present in the hop matrix [14–16] may act additively or synergistically, and may eventually be more relevant for the cytotoxic or specificity effects of hop resin extracts than just the high concentrations (75% resin content) of bitter acids. These results suggest that anticancer activity may not be easily predicted by multivariate data analysis of metabolites composition in hop. In contrast to the compound related differences, there is a consistent differentiation according to the cell type, with PC3 being more sensitive than HT29, which is opposite to the common response of these cell lines on cytotoxic compounds. The origin of this trend is unclear, but PC3 cells are more responsive to steroid mimetics as found in hop. In conclusion, our results confirm the potential health benefits of hops in chemoprevention, however no correlation could be observed between differences in hop bitter acids composition and anticancer effect among hop cultivars and suggesting that bioactivity may not be easily predicted by bitter acids profiling in hops.
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