Basalt reinforced concrete structures for retrofitting concrete surfaces
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Abstract. Reinforced concrete facades exist since decades exposed to natural weather conditions. Thus nowadays lot of them are damaged by carbonation induced corrosion and therefor require repairing and retrofitting. The aim of this research project is to investigate the possibilities of basalt fibre reinforced concrete as repairing material and also basalt rebars as additional strengthening reinforcement. Investigations with basalt fibre reinforced mortar prisms showed best results in 3 point bending tests, tensile strength and also compressive strength using 0.3 Vol.-% basalt fibres in mixture. The mechanical properties of basalt rebars made of basalt fibre reinforced polymer were tested, showing higher values in tensile strength and Young’s Modulus than comparable steel reinforcement samples. The basalt rebar reinforced concrete samples achieved higher ultimate loads in three-point bending test compared to SRC samples. But after failure in the bonding area no residual load capacity remained. Finally basalt reinforcement bars seems to be well suited for use as retrofitting material for facade elements, but numerous properties have to be examined in further investigations.

1 BASALT REINFORCEMENT AS RETROFITTING MATERIAL

Many concrete structures in Germany, built in the 50s and 60s, reinforced with traditional steel rebar, are constantly subjected by corrosion attack especially by carbonation. To avoid further corrosion damage after repairing the concrete surfaces alternative reinforcement materials have been investigated. There is a wide range of possible applications for use of basalt fibres due to its good thermal, electrical and sound insulating properties. In combination with its high specific strength and high resistance to aggressive media basalt fibres seems to be a good alternative as retrofitting material [1]. Due to its proven corrosion resistance under alkaline and non-alkaline conditions when compared to other glass materials [2] it should be possible to reduce the concrete cover of the retrofitting elements.

The basic idea of this research was to use basalt fibre reinforced polymer (BFRP) rebars as a strengthening material for use in concrete façade elements to be repaired. The mechanical properties of BFRP specimen were tested in reference to samples with traditional steel reinforcement (SRC). Additionally, the possibility of using an admixture of mortar reinforced with chopped basalt fibres for surface design is investigated. Generally “materials with fibrous structure are characterized by higher ratio of tensile strength and bending strength than the ultimate compressive strength” [3]. The use of fibres in the mortar can also significantly enhance the bond of strength between the existing material base and the repair materials [4].

Some research for the use of BFRP rebars in civil engineering still exist [5; 6]. Urbanski et al. showed that BFRP rebars are an “excellent alternative [...] as reinforcement due to minimizing the weight of the slab, having excellent resistance to corrosion effects and reducing repairs” [7; 8].

Studies showed, that deflections of BFRP reinforced concrete beams are significantly higher than deflections of SRC beams [7]. These properties are caused by lower Young’s modulus of the basalt rebars [8; 9]. It is also shown that the bond length and the rebar diameter can influence the mechanical behaviour of BFRP samples [8; 10].

2 BASALT FIBRE REINFORCED MORTAR AND CONCRETE

2.1 Aim of investigation

As one step to reach the aim of a retrofitting material, basalt fibre reinforced mortar and concrete were tested at the University of Applied Sciences Munich. Thin layers of retrofitting mortar have to resist high tension stresses. The aim of this test series was to determine, how much
fibres have to be added to a mortar mixture to improve the tension strength and the 3 point bending test results.

2.2 Basalt fibre reinforced mortar mixture

Basalt fibres were tested to add with different fibres contents within the mortar. As basalt fibre was used a product of the Deutsche Basalt Faser GmbH with bulk density of about 2.7 g/cm³ and a tensile strength of 3000 – 4000 MPa. The used chopped basalt fibres have 24 mm length and an average diameter of about 0.4 mm. The Young’s Modulus of the fibre is 90 to 1000 GPa. A positive influence of basalt fibres on element’s load bearing capacity in relation to reference sample could be expected [11].

Table 1: Mortar mixture with different amounts of basalt fibre reinforcement

| [kg/m³]       | B0a | B0,25 | B2,0w | B0,3FM 04 |
|---------------|-----|-------|-------|-----------|
| CEM I 42,5 N  | 360 | 360   | 628   | 404       |
| Water [kg/m³] | 208 | 208   | 330   | 212       |
| Air void content [Vol.-%] | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Basalt fibre content | 0   | 0.25 | 2.00  | 0.30     |
| Aggregate 0/4 [kg/m³] | 1784 | 1778 | 1166 | 1726     |
| Plastizicer [Vol.-% of cement] | -   | -   | -    | 0.4      |
| w/c ratio    | 0.58| 0.58 | 0.53  | 0.53     |

Table 1 shows - as an example- a few of the different mortar mixtures with different amounts of basalt fibres. Mixture B0a and also B0b are reference mixtures without fibres but different w/c ratio. The mixture B0,25 is designed with the same component contents as B0 mixture, i.e. with identical w/c ratio, except the 0.25 Vol.-% basalt fibre content. In mixture B2,0w the cement paste content was enhanced due to poor workability of the fresh mortar. In mixture B03FM04 plasticizer was added; 0.4 M.-% of the cement content has been used.

2.3 Properties of fresh basalt fibre reinforced concrete

The workability of the basalt fibre reinforced mortar mixtures with different fibre content was determining the flow spread between 11 and 37 cm, depending on the fibre content. For the mortar tests with the basalt fibre reinforced prisms (160 mm x 40 mm x 40 mm) were produced. In Figure 1 the results of workability tests on the fresh mortar are shown in comparison to the results of the 3-point bending test on the prisms of hardened basalt fibre reinforced mortar. On the right hand side, the mixtures with plasticizer (signed with “FM”) are presented. As expected they show higher values in the workability. Also the mixture B2,0w was more fluent than the others due to the enhanced water content and also the enhanced cement paste content. It can be determined that increasing the fibre content results in a significant worsening of the mortar workability, which already has been determined in other studies [3].

![Figure 1: Workability (slump test) and 3-point-bending tensile strength of basalt fibre reinforced mortar prisms](image.png)

2.4. Mechanical properties of hardened basalt fibre reinforced concrete

The test results of tensile strength are shown in Figure 3. The tested mixtures were all without plasticizer. The test specimens were notched with 5 mm depth in the middle part on two opposite sides of the prism, so a defined breaking surface was assured. On the upper und the lower end of the prism special prepared test equipment was fixed, which guaranteed an absolute axial tension strength test. The highest values were achieved with mixtures with basalt fibre content of 0.29 Vol.-% and a water cement ratio of 0.58 with 2.5 MPa. The crack opening in the failure zone at the moment of highest load is marked in Figure 3 with dl and showed also the highest value. The dl is defined as the crosshead travel which includes the self-deformation of glue. Fmax and dl are shown as the mean out of three measurements each.

In Figure 1 the results of the 3-point bending tests of the prisms with different amount of basalt fibre reinforcement are shown together with the workability results.

The highest values of 3-point bending tests match partially with higher values of workability tests. But not in every case, so a direct correlation cannot be assumed between these two parameters.

The investigation of the basalt fibre reinforced mortar prisms showed highest values in 3-point bending tests, tensile strength and also compressive strength with 0.3 Vol. % basalt fibres in mixture.
The specimens (basalt fibre rebars with diameter of 10 mm and length of 1000 mm) were placed in a clamping device with jaws made of polyamide. The torque value can be set in a way that there is no indentation damage at the rebar but ensures the necessary bond strength within the clamping under testing load. Three rebars with grain-covered rebar surfaces were investigated. As Lin and Zhang showed, these types of samples perform best among all other types of rebar surfaces [12].

To prevent measurement uncertainties like slip in the clamping or material deformation of the polyamide jaws, a fine strain extensometer has been mounted to determine the Young’s Modulus, as can be seen in Figure 4. On the left side the detailed test setup can be seen. The measurement of Young’s modulus takes place in the centre of the rupture area.

3 MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF BASALT FIBRE REBARS

3.1 Experimental Setup

Before testing the bonding properties of BFRP concrete samples mechanical parameters of basalt rebar have to be determined.

Dynamical fatigue tests of basalt-made rebars with conventional experimental setups at the University of Applied Sciences Munich showed unsatisfactory results with fatigue strength in the range of less than 10 % of the tensile strength. These low determined fatigue strengths resulted from indentations of the basalt rebars, depending on the strength of the epoxy-based layer as well as indentations of the clamping in the former test setup. For this reason several special experimental setups were designed to obtain representative test result.

Figure 2: test setup for centric tension test

Figure 3: Axial tensile strength of notched basalt fibre reinforced mortar

Shrinkage tests showed negligible differences with different content of basalt fibres in mixture. But with shrinkage deformation in the range of 0.25 mm/m the shrinkage is reduced compared to the shrinkage of ordinary concrete, which ease the application of basalt fibre reinforced mortar as thin retrofitting layer. The workability decrease with increasing fibre content which can easily be handled by an adapted content of superplasticizer: the optimum consistency can be achieved as can be seen in Figure 1.

Figure 4: Test setup for statical tensile test of basalt rebar with mounted fine strain extensometer

3.2 Results of Tensile Strength and Young’s modulus of Basalt Rebars

Figure 5 shows a force-deformation-diagram of steel and basalt rebar in batches of four samples each. Basalt rebars obtain higher tensile strength values than B500S steel reinforcement samples. As expected abrupt failure occurs for the basalt rebars. The results of basalt rebar show ultimate loads in range of 60 to 80 kN, which is expected to be sufficient for strengthening facades.

Next step was to determine the Young’s-Modulus of the basalt reinforcement bars. Table 2 shows the Young’s-modulus values of ten basalt reinforcement samples as well as the maximum strength of each sample. The mean value of the Young’s-Modulus obtained from these samples is determined to 52’065 N/mm². However it should be noted that the intrinsic value of the bar diameter is about 8.91 mm (manually determined by averaging ten measurement points). Taking into account the assumed bar diameter of
10 mm, the Young’s-Modulus average value is about 41’000 N/mm². This calculated value is in the range of glass fibre reinforcement bars [12]. Remarkably all testing samples fail in locations within the testing area, which indicates an accurate force transmission of the developed test device.

Table 2: Evaluation of basalt rebar properties, calculated with diameter of 8.91 cm

|    | Young’s-Modulus [N/mm²] | Fₘₐₓ [kN] | Tensile strength [N/mm²] |
|----|------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| 1  | 51’799                 | 64.93     |                          |
| 2  | 51’634                 | 73.15     |                          |
| 3  | 53’624                 | 65.15     |                          |
| 4  | 52’623                 | 77.95     |                          |
| 5  | 51’898                 | 69.25     |                          |
| 6  | 51’999                 | 72.94     |                          |
| 7  | 50’634                 | 67.45     |                          |
| 8  | 52’151                 | 77.65     |                          |
| 9  | 52’643                 | 72.52     |                          |
| 10 | 51’861                 | 78.78     |                          |
| Mean value | 52’065 | 71.75 | 1152 |

4.2 Experimental setup and testing program

The test setup for 3-point bending test is shown in figure 6. It can be seen that the test specimens are reinforced with three rebars. For detecting the vertical deflection an extensometer has been used. The steel angle, on which the extensometer has been mounted, was fixed with two anchors. Every specimen has been preloaded with 200 N. At this point the values of the extensometer have been zeroed. After that test load has been increased continuously until failure.

Figure 7 shows testing setup for central tension test program. It can be seen that the test specimens were reinforced with two rebars. To ensure practical geometry of the testing samples the maximum grain size has been reduced to 8 mm.

As the basalt reinforcement bars are vulnerable for lateral pressure the specimens have to be glued to the test setup. It can be seen that there are two extensometers in the test setup. The impact length was determined to be 45 cm.

Every specimen has been loaded with 40 kN. Then the test program has been stopped and the crack widths have been measured. In the subsequent analysis the sum of the crack widths have been compared with the results of the extensometers which were positioned at the longitudinal sides of the specimens.

4 INVESTIGATIONS ON BFRP CONCRETE SAMPLES

4.1 Material

Various testing procedures were conducted to study the behaviour of BFRP in concrete matrix. All data obtained are evaluated in reference to B500S steel reinforcement specimens. Table 3 shows the concrete mixture which has been used for all specimens of the described investigation.

Table 3: Concrete mixture of concrete samples

| component |                |
|-----------|----------------|
| CEM I 42.5 N [kg/m³] | 354 |
| Water [kg/m³]          | 191 |
| Plasticizer [Vol-% of Cem.] | 0.4 |
| Aggregate 0/4 [kg/m³]   | 1239 |
| Aggregate 4/16 [kg/m³]  | 596- |
| w/c ratio              | 0.54 |

Figure 5: force-deformation-diagram of steel and basalt rebars
There is loss of adhesion in the cracking area, which can be seen in Figure 3.

Table 3: Comparison of failure load values of SRC and BFRP - Specimens

| Sample | SRC B500S | BFRC |
|--------|-----------|------|
| V1-01  | 98.16     | 134.40 |
| V1-02  | 120.17    | 153.39 |
| V1-03  | 98.24     | 143.25 |

When the load is increased in the 3-point-bending test to failure using BFRP concrete specimens, it can be assumed that there is bonding failure of the specimens reinforced with basalt reinforcement bars. There is loss of adhesion in the cracking area, which can be seen in Figure 8 and Figure 9, while basalt reinforcement bars did not suffer any damage. When debonding occurs, slip takes place between the reinforcement bars and surrounding concrete [9].

The load carrying capacity of the SRC specimens was preserved even with high deflection. Thus not all of the samples could be deformed until failure of the specimens in the current test setup.

Table 4: Comparison of vertical deflection of SRC and BFRP when achieving the failure load

| Sample | SRC B500S | BFRC |
|--------|-----------|------|
| V1-01  | 2.50      | 6.04 |
| V1-02  | 2.50      | 6.60 |
| V1-03  | 2.45      | 7.3  |

Table 5: Comparison of vertical deflection results than SRC specimens. Related cracking loads can be seen in Table 7.
Figure 8 Comparison of cracking pattern between BFRP concrete (left) and SRC concrete samples (right)

Table 7: Example of developing of bending cracks by load

| Crack nr. | Load at crack initiation $F$ [kN] |
|-----------|----------------------------------|
|           | BFRP concrete | SRC concrete |
| 1         | 27.4           | 40.5         |
| 2         | 53.0           | 52.8         |
| 3         | 57.5           | 63.6         |
| 4         | 60.2           | 70.8         |
| 5         | 61.2           | 76.4         |
| 6         | 67.4           |              |
| 7         | 76.1           |              |
| 8         | 78.1           |              |
| 9         | 83.4           |              |
| 10        | 96.7           |              |
| Failure load | 134.3       | 98.6         |

Figure 9: BFRC specimen crack pattern after bonding failure

4.4 Central tension test

Figure 10 and Figure 11 show central tension test results of BFRC specimens and SRC specimens. BFRC bones show larger deformations than SRC specimens. Using the graphic charts it is possible to retrace the crack initiation scheme of the specimens. It can be seen that there are larger crack widths at the damage pattern of BFRC specimens than SRC. There are already first indications that adhesion of concrete matrix and basalt reinforcement has exceeded and slip has been occurred (Figure 12): BFRP concrete specimens show longitudinal cracks on the sides, marked with the symbols “R” and “L”. Furthermore there can be viewed secondary cracks in the test surfaces of the BFRP concrete samples. This could be another sign for bonding failure.

On the other the damage patterns of SRC specimen show cracks at regular intervals (seen at Figure 13). Furthermore no secondary cracking can be seen in the surfaces of SRC samples. Thus there is no indication that there is bonding failure between steel reinforcement and cement matrix.

In contrast to the damage pattern there is no big difference in the strength of crack initiation, which can be seen in Table 8. This table shows the cracking loads for the primary cracks 1-8. First Primary cracks are
created in range of 7.6 to 11.9 kN in all test samples. Crack no. 8 is induced at a force of 21.6 to 23.8 kN.

Due to the lower Young’s modulus of the basalt reinforcement bars (approx. 52’000 N/mm$^2$ compared to 210’000 N/mm$^2$ of steel) the BFRP samples (Figure 11) show larger deformations than SCR samples (Figure 10). The crack pattern given in Figure 12 confirms that the sum of the crack widths of BFRP samples is consistent with the values of the extensometers (Figure 11).

**Table 8**: Load of crack initiation

| Crack no. | Basalt (BFRC), 2 x Ø10 mm | SRC, 2 x Ø10 mm |
|-----------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|           | V2-B-01       | V2-B-02       | V2-B-03       | V2-S-01       | V2-S-02       | V2-S-03       |
| 1         | 9.5           | 11.9          | 8.1           | 12.3          | 10.4          | 7.6           |
| 2         | 10.1          | 14.8          | 10.8          | 12.9          | 11.1          | 10.3          |
| 3         | 12.4          | 17.2          | 12.0          | 14.6          | 12.6          | 15.2          |
| 4         | 13.4          | 21.3          | 12.4          | 16.4          | 15.5          | 20.1          |
| 5         | 17.2          | 21.8          | 13.5          | 18.5          | 17.4          | 20.8          |
| 6         | 20.8          | 22.0          | 22.1          | 20.5          | 20.2          | 22.0          |
| 7         | 21.8          | 20.8          | 21.6          | 22.3          | 19.4          | 21.8          |
| 8         | 22.3          | 21.6          | 23.9          | 23.8          | 22.0          | 23.7          |

Figure 10: central tension test results of SRC specimen

Figure 11: central tension test results of BFRP specimen

Figure 12: crack pattern example of BFRP specimen V2-B02 after central tension test

Figure 13: crack pattern example of SRC specimen V2-S02 after central tension test
5. Conclusions

In this paper, the effect of using chopped basalt fibres in mortar mixtures as well as the mechanical behaviour of BFRP concrete rebars and BFRP reinforced concrete beams were investigated.

Based on the experimental results and discussion the conclusions can be summarized as following:

▪ Tests with basalt fibre reinforced mortar with prisms showed highest values in 3-point-bending tests, tensile strength and also compressive strength with 0.3 Vol. % basalt fibres in mixture.

▪ The specially developed test set-up ensures testing the mechanical behaviour without causing any damage of the basalt rebars.

▪ BFRP concrete samples can take higher breaking loads in 3-point-bending test compared to SRC samples. But, on the other hand, after failure in the bonding area has been occurred, no residual load capacity remains.

▪ Several indicators confirm that there is an exceeding of the adhesion of the BFRP in the bonding area of basalt reinforced bars and concrete matrices leading to slipping of the BFRP in the matrix causing a failure without load capacity remaining.

▪ High tensile strength of BFRP concrete beams (in comparison to SRC samples) show that the material is well suited for use as retrofitting facade elements. It has be considered that BRFP reinforced concrete has higher vertical deflection values with same load levels.

▪ Some studies modelling the deformation behaviour have to be carried out to confirm the laboratory results described in this paper.

▪ The failure behaviour of the bonding zone will be the subject of further studies.

▪ Fatigue performance of BFRP concrete beams are currently carried out.
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