Effects of heat-moisture treatment on molecular interactions and physicochemical properties of tapioca starch

Abstract

Tapioca starch (TS) was heat-moisture treated at 95, 110 and 125°C with moisture contents of 17, 20 and 23% (w/w) for 16h. Heat-moisture treatment (HMT) increased the apparent amylose content, both crystalline and amorphous areas, and crystallinity of TS. On HMT, gelatinization temperatures were increased but gelatinization enthalpy was decreased; however, both increase and decrease in the gelatinization temperature ranges were observed. Moreover, HMT also increased the retrogradation enthalpy of TS. Total resistant starch (RS) content was decreased but thermostable RS content was increased on HMT. Both pasting characteristics and gel textures of TS were greatly altered by HMT. Specific trends in most physicochemical properties of modified starches were noticed, and were closely correlated with the changes in HMT conditions. Present study showed that HMT-induced structural changes and starch chain interactions exerted a significant effect on X-ray diffraction, thermal properties and RS levels of TS.
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Introduction

Cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz), or tapioca, is one of the most important crops grown in Thailand. And, as one of the main production countries, Thailand has gained experience in its starch technology. Tapioca starch (TS) extracted from the roots of cassava plant has been fully studied and widely used in food and industrial applications in Thailand.

TS shows some physicochemical properties superior to most other tuber and the cereal starches, which gives it great preference where specific starch features are desired. For instance, TS possesses a non-cereal, bland taste imparted by the very low lipid content (<0.1%), and shows easy cooking, high paste clarity and high paste viscosity. However, the high breakdown viscosity upon pasting poses a drawback to TS because its cohesive pastes are commonly undesired in food production. On account of its lower amylose content and higher molecular weight of amylose, TS pastes retrograde slowly during cooling, which resulted in a lesser setback and a greater noticeable retrogradation behavior. The low setback and slow retrogradation of TS pastes, on one hand, may benefit the texture of long stored starch-based products such as canned foods, but, on the other hand, could restrict its use where excellent gel textures are a prerequisite for specific food processing.

Several modification techniques have been carried out to improve the physicochemical properties of TS so that modified derivatives could serve as potential materials for varied food and non-food applications. Among the ongoing modification methods, starches undergone physical modifications such as hydrothermal treatments (heat-moisture treatment and annealing) are preferable to the chemically treated counterparts due to consumer’s concern over the safety of such ingredients. Accordingly, extensive studies have been conducted on heat-moisture treatment (HMT) of starches from various botanical sources, where the effects of HMT on starch physicochemical properties have been examined. HMT could cause starch chain interactions (amylose-amylose, AM-AM and amylose-amylopectin, AM-AMP) and amylose-lipid (AM-L) complexing, which accounted for the increase in starch gelatinization temperatures and also displayed influence on starch retrogradation enthalpy. And HMT-induced starch chain interactions including amylopectin-amylopectin (AMP-AMP) have further been shown effects on the levels of rapidly digestible, slowly digestible and resistant starches, among which resistant starch (RS) has raised great interest due to its physiological benefits. Since molecular interactions of AM-AM, AMP-AMP and AM-AMP on HMT were believed to influence starch thermal properties and thermostable RS levels, one would anticipate the observations of these chain interactions in heat-moisture treated starches by the most likely means of thermal transitions. And it is plausible to assign higher temperatures to the transitions of these chain interactions than to the gelatinization of starch crystallites. Therefore, the objective of present study was to determine the impacts of HMT under varied modification conditions on the physicochemical properties of TS as well as try to verify the presence of HMT-induced starch chain interactions and their effects on resistant starches.
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Materials and methods
Tapioca starch and heat-moisture treated tapioca starch (HMT-TS)

Tapioca starch was kindly provided by a local noodle factory (NIT noodle factory, Sukhothai, Thailand). Prior to HMT, exact moisture content was determined and then adjusted to 17, 20 and 23% (w/w, wb) by adding the calculated amount of distilled water. Well mixed starches were immediately transferred to food cans and hermetically sealed. After the equilibration at room temperature for 24h, sealed cans were incubated in hot-air oven at 95, 110 and 125°C for 16h. After removing from the oven, cans were unsealed and starch samples were cooled and then dried at 45°C till the moisture content reached around 10-12% (w/w). Dried samples were ground and sieved through a 120-mesh screen to get heat-moisture treated starches. In this study tapioca starch under specific HMT conditions was termed as HMT-TS (moisture content-heating temperature), such as HMT-TS (17-95). Heat-moisture treatment of tapioca starch was duplicated.

Proximate analysis

Moisture content was determined by the method of AOAC. Apparent amylose content was measured by an iodine colorimetric method. Chemical analyses were replicated three times.

X-ray diffraction characteristics

X-ray diffraction (XRD) characteristics of starches were obtained by an X-ray diffractometer (Bruker AXS Model D8 Discover, Bruker AXS, USA). Samples were analyzed under the operating conditions as: target voltage 40kV, current 40mA, increment 0.02degree/step, scan speed 0.5sec/step and a super speed detector (VANTEC-1, USA). Data obtained from XRD analysis included crystalline and amorphous areas, crystallinity, inter planar spacings (d) in Angstroms (Å) with intensities at corresponding 2-theta (2θ). Crystallinity (%) of sample was determined by the ratio of crystalline area to the total area of crystalline and amorphous regions.

Thermal properties

Gelatinization properties of starches were determined by a differential scanning calorimeter (Diamond DSC, Perkin Elmer, Norwalk, Connecticut, USA) equipped with an intercooler unit. Starch (9mg) and deionized water (27μl) were added to a stainless steel pan and immediately sealed. The sealed pans were equilibrated at room temperature for 2h before DSC analysis. Sample pans were then heated from 30 to 150°C at a heating rate of 10°C/min. An empty pan was used as a reference.

After gelatinization, sample pans were stored at 4°C for seven days followed by subsequent equilibration at room temperature for 4h, and then rescaned by the same profile as gelatinization. Thermal transition onset (Tc), peak (Tp) and conclusion (Tn) temperatures were recorded. The energy (enthalpy, ΔH) required to melt starch crystallites was measured from the areas of endothermic peaks in the thermo grams on a dry weight basis (J/g), and the temperature range (AT) between onset and conclusion temperatures was calculated as Tc-Tn. For DSC analysis, each sample was replicated at least twice.

In vitro starch digestibility

In vitro starch digestibility was expressed as the level of resistant starch (RS) in the starches. Both total and thermostable RS were determined by Megazyme resistive starch assay kit (Megazyme International Ireland, Wicklow, Ireland). The determination of total RS in starches followed the assay procedure in the kit, and RS content was calculated by the Megazyme Mega-Calc™ provided along with the assay procedure.

For the determination of thermostable RS, starches were first gelatinized by the method of Chung et al. Starch (100mg) and distilled water (2ml) were added to test tube and capped, and the content was mixed on a vortex mixer for 1min. The tube was then heated in boiling water for 20min while gently stirring on a magnetic stirrer with hot plate. After heating, the tube was placed in a water bath at 37°C to equilibrate for 10min. RS determination was then immediately conducted following the above assay procedure. The total and thermostable RS contents of starches were averaged from three replicates.

Pasting characteristics

Pasting characteristics of starches were determined by a Rapid Visco-Analyzer (RVA; Series S4A, Newport Scientific, Australia). Starch (3.0g, 12% moisture basis) was weighed directly in the RVA canister and distilled water was added to obtain a suspension with total weight of 28.0g. The suspension was heated and cooled by the procedure of the AAC standard method No. 61-02.01. Parameters of pasting characteristics included peak viscosity (PV), the highest viscosity during heating; hot paste viscosity (HPV) at the end of holding at 95°C; cold paste viscosity (CPV) at the end of holding at 50°C; breakdown (BD=PV–HPV); setback (SB=CPV– HPV) and pasting temperature (Pp), the temperature where great increase in viscosity was observed. All measurements were processed in duplicate.

Gele texture

A TA-XTi2 texture analyzer (Stable Micro Systems, Godalming, UK) was used to determine the texture of gels formed in the RVA canisters after pasting test. After removal of RVA paddles, canisters were covered with Para film, and stored at 4°C overnight. Sample was then equilibrated at room temperature for 4h before texture profile analysis (TPA). TPA of the gels was conducted using a 6.0mm diameter cylindrical probe to puncture 10.0mm depth of the gel at 1.0mm/s. The pause between first and second cycles was 5s. Gel TPA parameters of hardness, adhesiveness, springiness, cohesiveness and gumminess were recorded. Each gel was tested at five different positions on the surface, and the result was obtained from all the data for each sample.

Statistical analysis

All the mean values and standard deviations were analyzed by SPSS v. 16. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for multiple treatments and the differences were reported at a confidence level of 95% (P<0.05) by Duncan’s multiple range test (DMRT).

Results and discussion

Impact of HMT on apparent amylose content

Apparent amylose contents (AAC) of native and modified tapioca starches are shown in Figure 1. HMT increased the AAC of TS, and HMT at 110°C produced the highest values followed by those at 95°C and 125°C under each moisture level. Moreover, at each heating temperature, the HMT with 20% moisture resulted in

Citation: Liu Y, Laohasongkram K, Chaivanchisiri S. Effects of heat-moisture treatment on molecular interactions and physicochemical properties of tapioca starch. MOJ Food Process Technol. 2016;3(3):304-311. DOI: 10.15406/mojfpt.2016.03.00072
the highest values followed by those with 23% and 17% moisture. The highest AAC was obtained by the mid-point HMT condition (i.e. 110°C and 20% moisture) among all the treatments; however, similar observations have not been reported. Lu et al. reported that HMT could cause thermal degradation of the exterior linear chains of amylopeptin. And these degraded exterior linear chains became just like amylose chains and would complex with other amylose chains or lipids, responsible for the increase in amylose content after HMT. While HMT at higher heating temperatures (or moisture levels) might cause higher degrees of amylopeptin degradation, HMT-induced starch chain interactions were severer at 125°C (or 23% moisture) than at 110°C (or 20% moisture) and 95°C (or 17% moisture). On AAC determination, newly created amylose could be counteracted by the amylose interactions with amylose or amylopeptin from more severe HMT conditions, which might explain why the mid-point condition constantly showed the higher AAC.

Impact of HMT on X-ray diffraction characteristics

XRD characteristics of native and modified tapioca starches are presented in Table 1. For all the starch samples, three noticeable diffraction peaks at ~15, 17 and 23° 2θ were observed. They typified the XRD pattern of cereal starches and therefore indicated an A-pattern.

Table 1 X-RAY diffraction characteristics of native and heat-moisture treated tapioca starches

| Sample                          | Interplanar spacings (d) in Å with intensities (CPS) | X-Ray diffraction pattern and crystallinity |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
|                                | 15.1° 2θ | 16.9° 2θ | 17.2° 2θ | 23° 2θ | X-ray pattern | Crystalline area | Amorphous area | Crystallinity (%) |
| TS                              |          |          |          |        |              |                |                |                   |
| HMT-TS (17-95)                  | 5.84(1418)| 5.23(1486)| 5.19(1553)| 3.87(1274)| A             | 3769           | 12777          | 22.78             |
| HMT-TS (17-110)                 | 5.86(1406)| 5.26(1528)| 5.20(1531)| 3.88(1217)| A             | 4882           | 14954          | 24.61             |
| HMT-TS (17-125)                 | 5.86(2461)| 5.22(2623)| 5.18(2708)| 3.86(2125)| A             | 8634           | 23227          | 27.1              |
| HMT-TS (20-95)                  | 5.87(2484)| 5.23(2701)| 5.19(2719)| 3.86(2128)| A             | 8756           | 23737          | 26.95             |
| HMT-TS (20-110)                 | 5.88(2400)| 5.22(2614)| 5.18(2607)| 3.87(1899)| A             | 8136           | 23038          | 26.1              |
| HMT-TS (20-125)                 | 5.83(1253)| ND         | 5.15(1377)| 3.86(1065)| A             | 4033           | 13442          | 23.08             |
| HMT-TS (23-95)                  | 5.87(2437)| ND         | 5.19(2663)| 3.86(2112)| A             | 8274           | 23318          | 26.19             |
| HMT-TS (23-110)                 | 5.88(2189)| 5.23(2343)| 5.19(2389)| 3.88(1898)| A             | 7258           | 21555          | 25.19             |
| HMT-TS (23-125)                 | 5.87(2403)| 5.21(2523)| 5.17(2538)| 3.86(1994)| A             | 7743           | 22612          | 25.51             |

CPS, counts per second; ND, not detected

Impact of HMT on thermal properties

Upon gelatinization, the endothermic transitions of HMT-TS showed a shift toward higher temperatures in comparison to that of TS (Figure 2). At each moisture level, the increase in the heating temperatures of HMT was accompanied by the elevated gelatinization temperatures, and vice versa (Figure 3). This indicated that both high temperature and high moisture used in HMT promoted the recrystallization of starch granules. It has been reported that HMT could increase the gelatinization temperatures of starches. These authors claimed that HMT-induced starch chain interactions could restrict the swelling and mobility of starch chains in the amorphous regions causing higher temperatures for crystallite melting. Moreover, the aforementioned thermal degradation of weak structures of amylopeptin and the crystallite perfection on HMT may further enhance the thermal stability of starch crystallites contributing to higher gelatinization temperatures.

From Figure 3, in comparison with TS, HMT-TS showed decreased gelatinization enthalpy (ΔHg). Furthermore, trends were observed between the changes in HMT conditions and the decrease in ΔHg of HMT-TS: increase in the moisture levels and/or heating temperatures of HMT was followed by the progressive decrease in ΔHg (Figure 3). This implied that more penetration of water molecules into starch granules would cause more disruption of double helices resulting in less energy required to melt the remaining crystallites. According to the report of Hoover et al., increased moisture level of HMT would facilitate the movement of double-helical chains promoting...
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the disruption of double helices. Double helices in both crystalline and amorphous regions could be disrupted by HMT, which led to less amount of double helices unraveling and melting during starch gelatinization and the decreased ΔH_G
17 Cooke et al. reported, further verified by Hoover et al., that ΔH_G primarily reflected the loss of double helical order rather than the crystalline structure. In our study, HMT-TS, with higher crystallinity than TS, showing smaller ΔH_G was due to the lesser disruption of double helices during gelatinization.

Figure 1 Apparent amylose content of the (c) native tapioca starch (TS) and (●, ■, ▲) those that were heat-moisture treated (HMT-TS) at (▲) 95°C, (■) 110°C or (●) 125°C with different moisture contents. Data shown are derived from two replications of experiment.

Figure 2 DSC thermograms showing the gelatinization and retrogradation of the native tapioca starch (TS) and those that were heat-moisture treated (HMT-TS). Data shown are derived from two replications of experiment.

Figure 3 DSC thermal properties of the (c) native tapioca starch (TS) and (●, ■, ▲) those that were heat treated (HMT-TS) at (▲) 95°C, (■) 110°C or (●) 125°C. Thermal properties are shown as the thermal onset (To), peak (Tp) and conclusion (Tc) temperatures, and changes in the level of the starch gelatinization enthalpy (△H_G), gelatinization temperature (△T_G) and amylopectin retrogradation enthalpy (△H). Data shown are derived from two or more replications.

Regarding the gelatinization temperature range (△T_G), HMT caused both broadened and narrowed △T_G of TS (Figure 3). The △T_G has been interpreted as the indication of homogeneity of ordered structures inside starch granules. Differences in the △T_G among HMT-TS suggested varied homogeneity of crystallites within the starch granules. Starch with increased △T_G after HMT has been reported by other researchers. Starch with decreased △T_G after HMT, however, could be due to the modifications under some conditions benefiting the development of more homogeneous crystallites. Similar results of HMT causing decrease in △T_G were also observed for rice starch.

Within the higher temperature range (>95°C) on the DSC traces, HMT-TS showed some multiphasic endothermic transitions (Figure 2). The lipid content of tapioca starch is known to be very low, which could not contribute to the complexation of amylose and lipid. Therefore, these minor but noticeable melting peaks might be the disordering of newly formed structures (i.e. HMT-induced starch chain interactions) instead of AM-L complexes. Co-crystallization between amylose and amylopectin could possibly occur on HMT. Regarding the thermal stabilities of these HMT-induced starch chain interactions, AM-AM was reported to be much stronger than AM-AMP and AMP-AMP. The phase transition of recrystallized amylose has been shown in the temperature range of 110-150°C. Therefore, these minor endothermic transitions might be the dissociation of crystallized starch chains that had different thermal stabilities due to varied degrees of chain association on HMT.

Retrogradation of TS showed minute endothermic transition in the DSC thermogram (Figure 2). In contrast, HMT-TS displayed distinguishable melting peaks expressed by their larger endothermic peak areas than that of TS (Figure 2). Indicated by the endothermic peak areas, retrogradation enthalpy (ΔH) of HMT-TS was influenced by the conditions of HMT. It is obvious in Figure 3 that at constant...
heating temperature, higher moisture level of HMT resulted in higher ∆H, and vice versa. Furthermore, at each moisture level, HMT at 125°C produced much higher ∆H than both at 95 and 110°C (Figure 3). Starch retrogradation enthalpy (∆H) actually measures the melting of recrystallized amylopectin, which is accordingly relevant to the process of amylopectin recrystallization. The very low ∆H of TS was due to the long unit chain length of amylopectin demanding extended period of time to recrystallize.\textsuperscript{23} Increased ∆H of HMT-TS indicated that HMT promoted the recrystallization process of amylopectin, and the same effect of HMT on facilitating starch retrogradation has also been reported.\textsuperscript{13,14} From the results of starch X-ray diffraction, the higher peak intensity of HMT-TS indicated a rearranged amylopectin chain array, which would expedite the association of amylopectin chains during starch retrogradation. And therefore highly recrystallized amylopectin melted on reheating would produce larger ∆H of HMT-TS than TS.

**Impact of HMT on resistant starch content**

Noticed from Figure 4 that TS had a total RS content higher than those of HMT-TS, but a thermostable RS content lower than those of HMT-TS. And significant differences in the thermostable RS content were found between TS and HMT-TS from more severe modifications. Moreover, the thermostable RS contents of HMT-TS increased with an increase in the heating temperature at each moisture level. Reported by Englyst et al.,\textsuperscript{26} RS derived from granular starches belonged to the second type RS (RS 2), and their resistance to enzymatic hydrolysis depended on the granular morphology and crystalline organization.\textsuperscript{28} The high total RS content of TS suggested a low susceptibility toward enzymatic hydrolysis, which might be due to the fact that TS granules possessed multiple layers and a tightly organized structure causing less accessibility to hydrolyzing enzymes.\textsuperscript{2} Compared with TS, the lower and varying total RS contents of HMT-TS might be ascribed to the HMT-induced morphological alterations of starch granules.\textsuperscript{26} Granular damage such as concave surface and holes caused by HMT would result in weaker resistance of starch granules to enzymatic hydrolysis.\textsuperscript{14} Similarly, decrease in the total RS contents of maize starches on HMT has been reported.\textsuperscript{4,27}

For all starch samples, thermostable RS contents were much lower than total RS contents due to starch gelatinization. It disrupted the barrier of granular structure and exposed amorphous and crystalline areas to amylolytic enzymes causing sufficient hydrolysis. The HMT-TS exhibited higher thermostable RS contents than TS, which could be due to the HMT-induced starch chain interactions: AM-AM, AMP-AMP and AM-AMP were believed to survive starch gelatinization and further restrain the accessibility of starch chains to hydrolyzing enzymes.\textsuperscript{22} Moreover, the aforementioned correlation between HMT conditions and the thermostable RS contents of HMT-TS suggested that HMT-induced starch chain interactions with higher thermal stabilities would result in higher resistance to enzyme hydrolysis. In agreement, HMT has caused increase in the thermostable RS contents of corn, pea and lentil starches\textsuperscript{27} and the boiling-stable RS contents of maize starch.\textsuperscript{27}

**Impact of HMT on pasting characteristics**

The RVA pasting characteristics of all starch samples were presented in Table 2. Compared with TS, HMT-TS showed decreased PV, BD and SB, but increased P\textsubscript{g}. However, the HPV and CPV of HMT-TS showed to be higher or lower than those of TS, and varied greatly along the HMT conditions. Regarding the PV, HPV, BD, CPV and SB, HMT-TS showed these characteristics in the same trend: at constant moisture level, increased heating temperature of HMT was followed by a decrease in these viscosity parameters (Table 2). Whereas, the P\textsubscript{g} of HMT-TS displayed an opposite trend: at constant moisture level, increased heating temperature of HMT was followed by an increase in the P\textsubscript{g} (Table 2).

PV of TS was decreased by HMT, which was probably due to the HMT-induced morphological alterations and crystalline disruptions of starch granules. At constant moisture level, increase in the heating temperature might cause more severe granular damage, thus, resulting in weaker resistance to granule swelling. Such influence was also revealed by the variations in the HPV of HMT-TS. Under low heating temperature (i.e. 95°C) the starch granular rigidity still remained high on HMT, which would produce higher resistance to the shearing and then higher HPV. Breakdown (BD), viscosity change from PV to HPV, reflects the thermal stability of swollen starch granules. Compared with TS, HMT-TS showed lower BD suggesting higher thermal stability of the starch granules, which was most likely due to the HMT-induced starch chain interactions. CPV is indicative of the recrystallization of solubilized amyllose upon cooling,\textsuperscript{28} which results in an increase in the paste viscosity. And the viscosity change from HPV to CPV is denoted as SB that indicates the extent of starch retrogradation. The differences in both CPV and SB between TS and HMT-TS suggested that HMT had influence on starch amyllose leaching and retro gradation during pasting test. Furthermore, note that under varied HMT conditions changes in P\textsubscript{g} followed the same trends as that in gelatinization temperatures, which implied consistency of temperature changes between starch gelatinization and pasting on HMT. Reportedly, the general effects of HMT on the pasting properties of tapioca starches were suppression in PV, increase or decrease in CPV and an increase in P\textsubscript{g},\textsuperscript{2,29} and our results were in agreement with these observations.

**Impact of HMT on gel texture**

Gel TPA results of TS and HMT-TS are listed in Table 3. The prominent texture characteristic of TS gel was the absence of adhesiveness, springiness, cohesiveness and gumminess. Whereas,
HMT-TS showed all the TPA parameters, and differences were noticed among them due to the varied HMT conditions. Gel hardness is commonly used to evaluate the texture contribution of starches in food applications. Gel hardness of TS was significantly higher than those of HMT-TS. The HMT-TS showed gel hardness in a specific trend relevant to the HMT conditions: at each moisture level, HMT at 125°C resulted in the highest gel hardness followed by those at 95 and 110°C.

Under puncture test, the absence of adhesiveness, springiness, cohesiveness and gumminess of TS gel implied that the probe failed to break and penetrate into the gel. This was evidenced by the fact that gel adhesiveness, defined as the negative area in the first cycle, did not appear in the TPA graph of TS gel. Since the TPA parameters are measured based on a typical two-cycle TPA-style result graph, the lack of adhesiveness would then cause the absence of other TPA parameters. While the hardness of HMT-TS gels was obtained from TPA tests where the gels were punctured, then the “hardness” of TS gel should be interpreted as compressibility. Although both setback (SB) viscosity and gel hardness of HMT-TS were smaller than those of TS, inconsistency was observed between the trends of SB and gel hardness of HMT-TS. In pasting test, SB mainly reflected the retrogradation of leached amylose during short time cooling. Whereas, starch gel hardness was influenced by the complex interplay of continuous and dispersed phases of gelatinized starch and the crystallization of amylopectin and leached amylose during storage.

Table 2 RVA pasting characteristics of native and heat-moisture treated tapioca starches

| Sample          | RVA Pasting Characteristics¹ | PV (RVU) | HPV (RVU) | BD (RVU) | CPV (RVU) | SB (RVU) | Pₜ (°C) |
|-----------------|------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|
| TS              |                              | 399.42±1.13a | 156.73±0.79b | 242.69±0.76a | 234.73±4.33b | 78.00±5.03a | 69.44±0.35h |
| HMT-TS (17-95)  |                              | 355.21±28.64b | 169.21±3.85a | 186.00±24.83b | 227.44±3.59b | 58.23±0.86b | 70.95±0.73g |
| HMT-TS (17-110) |                              | 191.48±19.56d | 100.64±5.42c | 90.83±14.15e | 141.42±10.20c | 40.77±4.79c | 72.00±0.52f |
| HMT-TS (17-125) |                              | 20.29±3.21g | 9.94±1.63e | 10.35±1.62g | 14.06±1.91e | 4.13±0.40e | 81.64±0.48c |
| HMT-TS (20-95)  |                              | 318.60±28.31c | 168.23±7.93a | 150.38±20.40c | 233.04±19.98b | 64.82±9.39b | 71.76±0.69f |
| HMT-TS (20-110) |                              | 134.44±3.06f | 81.54±2.64d | 52.90±0.44f | 114.27±2.82d | 32.73±0.41d | 74.64±0.39e |
| HMT-TS (20-125) |                              | 22.02±3.09g | 12.07±1.22e | 9.95±1.92g | 17.70±1.44e | 5.63±0.30e | 82.58±0.22b |
| HMT-TS (23-95)  |                              | 304.41±22.60c | 172.64±6.77a | 131.77±14.98d | 246.88±19.7a | 74.23±9.52a | 74.24±0.41e |
| HMT-TS (23-110) |                              | 166.23±19.80e | 104.34±11.56c | 61.90±8.27f | 150.27±16.53c | 45.93±4.99c | 75.55±0.61d |
| HMT-TS (23-125) |                              | 12.09±1.20g | 6.96±1.05e | 5.13±0.20g | 11.08±1.24e | 4.12±0.38e | 83.52±0.34a |

¹PV: Peak Viscosity; HPV, hot paste viscosity; BD, break down; CPV, cold paste viscosity; SB, set back; PT, pasting temperature

Means of each characteristic followed by different letters in the same column are significantly different at P<0.05.

Table 3 Gel texture profile analysis (TPA) of native and heat-moisture treated tapioca starches

| Sample          | Texture Profile Analysis (TPA) Characteristics | Hardness (g force) | Adhesiveness (g/sec) | Springiness | Cohesiveness | Gumminess (g force) |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| TS              |                                              | 24.52±1.15a       | ND⁴                  | 0.00±0.00d  | 0.00±0.00f   | 0.00±0.00h          |
| HMT-TS (17-95)  |                                              | 16.27±0.81d       | 13.23±3.70f          | 0.89±0.02c  | 0.44±0.02e   | 7.14±0.23d          |
| HMT-TS (17-110) |                                              | 10.71±0.54j       | 32.68±1.68c          | 0.92±0.01b  | 0.56±0.03b   | 5.98±0.19g          |
| HMT-TS (17-125) |                                              | 17.47±0.70c       | 44.66±9.10a          | 0.93±0.02ab | 0.58±0.01ab  | 9.75±0.22b          |
| HMT-TS (20-95)  |                                              | 14.87±0.39f       | 18.10±6.44e          | 0.90±0.02c  | 0.46±0.05d   | 6.91±0.45def         |
| HMT-TS (20-110) |                                              | 11.44±0.66i       | 39.52±1.35b          | 0.93±0.01b  | 0.59±0.02a   | 6.80±0.39f          |
| HMT-TS (20-125) |                                              | 19.05±0.92b       | 40.23±7.35b          | 0.94±0.02a  | 0.56±0.02b   | 10.73±0.51a         |
| HMT-TS (23-95)  |                                              | 13.48±0.46g       | 28.24±5.13d          | 0.90±0.02c  | 0.51±0.03c   | 6.83±0.32ef          |
| HMT-TS (23-110) |                                              | 11.95±0.65h       | 40.66±1.72b          | 0.92±0.01b  | 0.59±0.01a   | 7.08±0.40de         |
| HMT-TS (23-125) |                                              | 15.46±0.42e       | 39.15±3.90b          | 0.94±0.03a  | 0.58±0.02ab  | 8.92±0.43c          |

⁴N, not detected

Means of each characteristic followed by different letters in the same column are significantly different at P<0.05.
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Conclusion

Changes in some physicochemical properties of tapioca starch were observed on heat-moisture treatment. HMT-induced crystalline disruption and starch chain interactions were the two major underlying causes of these changes. Although the A-pattern was not changed by HMT, crystalline disruption and rearrangement of double helices showed impact on the crystalline and amorphous areas as well as the crystallinity. The disruption of linking hydrogen bonds impaired the integrity of double helices resulting in decreased gelatinization enthalpy, while starch chain interactions that increased the gelatinization temperatures were observed in higher temperature areas. These starch chain interactions also played an important role in the formation of thermostable resistant starches. Furthermore, specific trends in the apparent amylose content, thermal properties, resistant starch content, pasting characteristics and gel TPA of HMT-TS were noticed and followed the changes in the moisture levels and heating temperatures of HMT. Accordingly, the goal of tailor-made HMT-TS with desired features could be obtained by HMT of TS under proper conditions for food and non-food applications.
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