Comparison of the Efficacy of Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs and Opioids in the Treatment of Acute Renal Colic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis
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Background: Although multiple randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and systematic review and meta-analysis were performed to investigate the efficiency and safety of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and opioids in the treatment of acute renal colic, the therapeutic regimen of renal colic is still controversial. Therefore, the aim of this study was to derive a more concise comparison of the effectiveness and safety between NSAIDs and opioids in the treatment for patients with acute renal colic by a systematic review and meta-analysis.

Design: We searched PubMed, Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of controlled trials for seeking eligible studies. The pooled mean difference (MD) or risk ratio (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated using the random effects model. The primary outcome was assessed according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation.

Results: A total of 18 studies involving 3,121 participants were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis. No significant difference between the NSAID and opioid groups was observed, with changes in the visual analog scale (VAS) at 0–30 min (MD = 0.79, 95% CI: −0.51, 2.10). NSAIDs in the form of intravenous administration (IV) had no better effect on the changes in the VAS at 0–30 min, when compared to opioids (MD = 1.25, 95% CI: −4.81, 7.3). The NSAIDs group in the form of IV had no better outcome compared to the opioids group, as well as the VAS at 30 min (MD = −1.18, 95% CI: −3.82, 1.45; MD = −2.3, 95% CI: −5.02, 0.42, respectively). Moreover, similar results of this outcome were also seen with the VAS at 45 min (MD = −1.36, 95% CI: −5.24, 2.52). Besides, there was a statistical difference in the incidence of later rescue (RR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.66, 0.89), drug-related adverse events (RR = 0.44, 95% CI: 0.27, 0.71), and vomiting (RR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.49, 0.96).

Conclusion: There is no significant difference between the NSAIDs and opioids in the treatment of renal colic in many outcomes (e.g., the VAS over different periods using
INTRODUCTION

Renal colic, a sort of pain that is hard to bear for patients when it attacks, along with a high incidence of 0.5% each year in Europe and North America, is characterized by pain in the waist or upper abdomen with paroxysmal attack (Patti and Leslie, 2021). Timely pain management is usually performed by using nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) and with opioids as a drug of choice for renal colic patients, for reducing acute and unbearable pain in patients who have been severely affected by renal colic (Schmidt and Kroeger, 2016; Zamanian et al., 2016). Nevertheless, the antinociceptive effects of both these drugs are different, as is the pharmacological mechanism. For instance, NSAIDs have been associated with inhibiting the release of prostaglandins, further reducing the pressure of kidneys in order to increase the diuretic effect (Larkin et al., 1999).

However, the application of NSAIDs or opioids depends on the clinical workers' preference to these drugs (Sackner et al., 1974). A study of Passik (2009) indicated that this reluctance to their application may be caused by worries that are relevant to the possible adverse events and other potential risks, including fatal opioid overdose, the development of tolerance and dependence syndrome, and the harmful use of opioid and diversion. Some previous studies have revealed that renal colic patients could get more benefits from applying NSAIDs compared to opioids (Masoumi et al., 2014; Rezaei et al., 2020). Meanwhile, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have shown a completely opposite conclusion, which hold the view that NSAIDs has a similar analgesic effect to opioids for pain relief in the management of renal colic (Majidi and Derakhshani, 2020).

Compared with a previous meta-analysis on a similar topic, this study has the advantage of including more new RCTs, more abundant outcome indicators, and a detailed collection of analgesic effects of two different drugs at different times in important outcomes, which is believed to play a guiding role in clinical practice.

Moreover, there are a variety of problems about the agents used clinically in the treatment of acute renal colic, that is, the routes of administration [intramuscular administration (IM) and intravenous administration (IV)], dosage of agents, and the safety and effectiveness of agents appropriate on patients with acute renal colic (Marthak et al., 1991). Relevant studies have shown that different routes of administration for pain management could have an influence on the adverse events and others (Fanelli et al., 2014; Daoust et al., 2015). Therefore, based on the uncertainty of pain management of NSAIDs and opioids for renal colic patients, this systematic review and meta-analysis was performed to investigate the efficacy and safety of NSAIDs and opioids in the treatment of renal colic and obtain an optimal management for patients, with less adverse events under the premise of relieving pain.

METHODS

Search Strategy

Three electronic databases, including PUBMED, Embase, and Cochrane Central Register of controlled trials, were screened up to February 1, 2021, for seeking eligible studies on comparison of efficacy and safety of NSAIDs and opioids in patients undergoing treatment for renal colic. The medical terms and keywords we used are as follows: “renal colic,” “Nonsteroidal drugs,” “non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs,” and “opioids.” The detailed search strategies are shown in Supplementary Method S1.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

We screened relevant RCT studies according to the following criteria: 1) all the patients with acute renal colic involved in the study were older than 16 years; 2) patients were administered only NSAIDs and opioids in the treatment of renal colic without a request of dose or route of drugs; 3) sufficient data were available about outcomes such as changes in the visual analog scale (VAS) or numerical rating scale (NRS) at 0–30 min, the VAS or NRS over different time periods (at 15, 30, 45, 60 min), need for rescue analgesia, and adverse events involving vomit and dizziness.

Patients’ pain was assessed by using the VAS or NRS, which rates the amount of pain from 0 to 10, where 0 means no pain and 10 means the most pain. The adverse drug reactions include the overall incidence of adverse drug events and the incidence of dizziness and vomiting.

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) participants presenting to the hospital because of acute renal colic with more than 12 h after disease onset; 2) duplicate studies; 3) data were not available.

Data Extraction

Titles and abstracts of the articles were screened according to the preset inclusion and exclusion criteria. Subsequently, the eligible studies were registered through reading of the full text of the articles. Two authors (Xie-Yuan Leng and Chang-Ning Liu) individually collected the data and information from included studies involving research design, the average age, the proportion of gender, intervention measures, the comparison of analgesic effect, the route of administration, and adverse events. We contacted the original author for inquiry of the missing data. Additionally, two outcomes that changed in the VAS at 0–30 min and the VAS over different time periods (at 15, 30, 45, and 60 min) were defined as the primary outcomes, and the other outcomes were considered as secondary outcomes in this...
systematic review and meta-analysis. Besides, the scores of 100 subscales were converted into 10 subscales, so as to achieve the unit of data units. Any discrepancies were resolved in discussions with the third reviewer (Hao-Dong Peng).

Quality Assessment
Two reviewers (Xie-Yuan Leng and Chang-Ning Liu) independently completed quality assessment of included RCTs by adopting the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool, which involves seven items that evaluated quality via ranking “low risk of bias,” “unclear risk of bias,” or “high risk of bias.”

Statistical Analysis
The measurement tools for pain used in the included studies included the VAS and NRS of 100 mm and 10 cm, with 100–0 and 10–0, respectively, to indicate the most severe pain to no pain. In this study, the scores of 100 subscales were converted into 10 subscales, so as to achieve the unity of data units. Dichotomous outcomes were expressed as relative risks (RR) with 95% confidence interval (CI), and continuous outcomes were expressed as mean difference (MD) with 95% CI (Deeks, 2002; Cumpston et al., 2019). We applied Knapp–Hartung adjustments to reduce the uncertainty of inter-study heterogeneity estimation. The combined data were processed to reduce the uncertainty of inter-study heterogeneity estimation. Besides, the information of the subgroup analysis by the different routes of administration and NSAID type are presented in Figure 3. The results presented (Serinken et al., 2012; Masoumi et al., 2014) that opioids in the form of IV administration didn’t have significant differences in changes in the VAS at 0–30 min in the renal colic patients, when compared with the NSAIDs through the same route (MD = 1.25, 95% CI: –4.81, 7.30, $I^2 = 48\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Figure 3). Conversely, no statistical significance was evident with the primary outcome (Oosterlinck et al., 1990) in comparison between the NSAID and opioid groups when administered IM (MD = 0.29, 95% CI: –6.69, 7.26, $I^2 = 48\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Figure 3).

Based on the subgroup analysis in different NSAIDs and opioids in Table 3, it is shown that the changes in the VAS at 0–30 min had no statistical difference (MD = 0.29, 95% CI: –6.69, 7.26, $I^2 = 48\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) between ketorolac and pethidine, provided by the data of two RCTs of Oosterlinck et al. (1990). However, when compared with morphine from only one study (Masoumi et al., 2014), acetaminophen (MD = 1.70, 95% CI: 0.86, 2.54, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) can significantly lower the changes in the VAS at 0–30 min, but paracetamol (MD = 0.71, 95% CI: –0.35, 1.77, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) demonstrated no significant difference (Table 3).

RESULTS

Literature Search and Characteristics of Included Studies
There were 5,873 articles obtained from the initially screened databases, and finally, a total of 18 studies (Hetherington and Philp, 1986; Thompson et al., 1989; Oosterlinck et al., 1990; Sandhu et al., 1994; Cordell et al., 1996; Larkin et al., 1999; Safdar et al., 2006; Bektas et al., 2009; Serinken et al., 2012; Soleimanpour et al., 2012; Azizkhani et al., 2013; Ay et al., 2014; Masoumi et al., 2014; Pathan et al., 2016a; Zamarian et al., 2016; Al et al., 2018; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019; Rezaei et al., 2020) were identified, including 3,121 participants, who met eligible criteria in this systematic review and meta-analysis through the process of deleting duplicate articles, and removing ineligible studies with reasons. All the details of screening the articles are illustrated in Figure 1. In addition, Table 1 demonstrates basic information of the included studies, mainly consisting of a summary of patient characteristics, administration of drugs (NSAIDs and opioids), and outcomes.

Quality Assessment of Included Studies
A total of 18 included studies in this systematic review and meta-analysis were rated according to the Cochrane Collaboration’s tool in Supplementary Figure S1.

Primary Outcomes
Changes in Visual Analog Scale at 0–30 min
Three RCTs (Oosterlinck et al., 1990; Serinken et al., 2012; Masoumi et al., 2014) presented the outcome that the changes of the VAS at the 30th minute after applying NSAIDs and opioids as the treatment of acute renal colic. Based on these included studies, no marked significance between the NSAIDs group and opioid group was observed in terms of the analgesic effect for patients with renal colic (MD = 0.79, 95% CI: –0.51, 2.1, $I^2 = 61\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids). More data about the changes in the VAS at the 30th min are presented in Figure 2. The overall evidence of changes of the VAS at the 30th min was assessed to be of low quality using the GRADE assessment framework (Table 2).

Besides, the information of the subgroup analysis by the different routes of administration and NSAID type are presented in Figure 3. The results presented (Serinken et al., 2012; Masoumi et al., 2014) that opioids in the form of IV administration didn’t have significant differences in changes in the VAS at 0–30 min in the renal colic patients, when compared with the NSAIDs through the same route (MD = 1.25, 95% CI: –4.81, 7.30, $I^2 = 48\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Figure 3). Conversely, no statistical significance was evident with the primary outcome (Oosterlinck et al., 1990) in comparison between the NSAID and opioid groups when administered IM (MD = 0.29, 95% CI: –6.69, 7.26, $I^2 = 48\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Figure 3).

Based on the subgroup analysis in different NSAIDs and opioids in Table 3, it is shown that the changes in the VAS at 0–30 min had no statistical difference (MD = 0.29, 95% CI: –6.69, 7.26, $I^2 = 48\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) between ketorolac and pethidine, provided by the data of two RCTs of Oosterlinck et al. (1990). However, when compared with morphine from only one study (Masoumi et al., 2014), acetaminophen (MD = 1.70, 95% CI: 0.86, 2.54, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) can significantly lower the changes in the VAS at 0–30 min, but paracetamol (MD = 0.71, 95% CI: –0.35, 1.77, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) demonstrated no significant difference (Table 3).

VAS Over Different Time Periods
Five articles (Cordell et al., 1996; Masoumi et al., 2014; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019; Rezaei et al., 2020) in this meta-analysis presented the result of the VAS at 15 min (MD = –1.30 95% CI: –2.22, –0.38, $I^2 = 88.8\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) and demonstrated that the NSAIDs group greatly improved former outcomes compared to the opioids group, as is shown in Figure 4. However, the VAS at 30 min (Cordell et al., 1996; Soleimanpour et al., 2012; Azizkhani et al., 2013; Masoumi et al., 2014; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019; Rezaei et al., 2020) (MD = –1.38, 95% CI: –3.16, 0.39, $I^2 = 98\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids).
opioids) indicated that there was no significant difference between the opioid and NSAID groups. Moreover, similar results were also seen in the VAS at 45 min (Masoumi et al., 2014; Rezaei et al., 2020) (MD = −1.36, 95% CI: −5.24, 2.52, I² = 40.7%, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Figure 4). Besides, the outcome of the VAS at 60 min involved data (Oosterlinck et al., 1990; Masoumi et al., 2014; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019; Rezaei et al., 2020) from both forms of IV and IM administration, and the total result of this outcome shows that using NSAIDs had a similar benefit to using opioids in the treatment of renal colic (MD = −0.83, 95% CI: −1.82, −0.82, I² = 47.8%, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Masoumi et al., 2014; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019). This is similar to the primary outcome in the form of IM (Oosterlinck et al., 1990) (MD = −0.19, 95% CI: −5.88, 5.49, I² = 48.4%, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Figure 5).

At 15 min, according to the subgroup analysis of different NSAIDs and opioids shown in Table 4, it is not difficult to find that using ketorolac is better than meperidine as per one study (Cordell et al., 1996) (MD = −2.02, 95% CI: −2.22, −1.82, I² = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids). Three other studies (Soleimanpour et al., 2012; Masoumi et al., 2014; Rezaei et al., 2020) also proved that acetaminophen performed better than morphine (MD = −1.59, 95% CI: −2.45, −0.73, I² = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids), ketorolac better than fentanyl (MD = −1.39, 95% CI: −1.90, −0.88, I² = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids), and lidocaine better than morphine (MD = −1.18, 95% CI: −1.54, −0.82, I² = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids). However, one study showed that there was no significant difference between ketorolac and ketamine at this time (Sotoodehnia et al., 2019).

Similarly, four articles (Cordell et al., 1996; Soleimanpour et al., 2012; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019; Rezaei et al., 2020) as shown in
| Study  | Year | Population | Sample size | Male (%) | Mean age ± SD | Route of administration | NSAIDs | Opioids | Outcomes | Assessment tool |
|--------|------|------------|-------------|----------|---------------|------------------------|--------|---------|----------|----------------|
| Al     | 2018 | Turk       | 300         | DKT 78  (78%)/ fentanyl 71 (71%)/ paracetamol 67 (67%) | 42.2  | NR | IV | fentanyl | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | VAS |
| Ay     | 2014 | Turk       | 52          | NR | NR | Injection | IV | fentanyl | 1) Pain score (NRS-11) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | NRS |
| Azizkhani | 2013 | Iranian | 124         | 84 (67.7%) | 38.40 ± 11.60/ 39.73 ± 11.62 | IV injection | IV | acetaminophen | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | VAS |
| Bektas | 2009 | Turk       | 95          | 31 (67%)/ 27 (55%) | 35 ± 10/ 39 ± 11 | IV injection | IV | morphine | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | VAS |
| Cordell | 1996 | American | 71          | 30 (83%)/ 28 (80%) | 38.8 ±1.7/ 42.0 ± 1.9 | IV injection | K etorolac 60 mg | Meperidine 50 mg | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | VAS |
| Hetherington | 1986 | British | 58          | NR | NR | IM injection | Diclofenac 75 mg | Pethidine 100 mg | 1) Need for rescue analgesia | VAS |
| Larkin | 1999 | American | 70          | 26 (79%)/ 27 (73%) | 45.5 ±16/ 40.7 ± 13.3 | NR | IM dose of 60 mg of ketorolac | A single weight dependent dose of IM meperidine (patients weighing from 50 to 90 kg received 100 mg of meperidine while those weighing more than 90 kg received | VAS |

(Continued on following page)
| Study       | Year | Population | Sample size | Male (%) | Mean age ± SD | Route of administration | NSAIDs | Opioids | Outcomes | Assessment tool |
|-------------|------|------------|-------------|----------|---------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| Masoumi     | 2014 | Iranian    | 108         | 43 (79.6%)/39 (72.2%) | 36.07 ± 9.7/34.96 ± 8.94 | IV injection | Acetaminophen (IV acetaminophen with a dose of 1 g in 100 ml normal saline) | 150 mg of meperidine Morphine (0.1 mg/kg morphine in 100 ml normal saline was infused) 100 mg (2 ml of 5% solution) of pethidine | 1) Pain score (NRS-11) at 30 min 2) Adverse events | VAS |
| Oosterlinck | 1990 | American   | 111         | NR NR | | IM injection | Single IM doses of 10 mg (1 ml of 1% solution) or 90 mg (3 ml of 3% solution) of ketorolac Diclofenac 75 mg/acetaminophen 1 g | Morphine 0.1 mg/kg | 1) Need for rescue analgesia 2) Adverse events | VAS |
| Pathen      | 2016 | Qatari     | 1095        | NR NR | | Diclofen IM/ acetaminophen IV/morphine IV | | | VAS |
| Rezaei      | 2020 | Iranian    | 186         | 70 (75.3%)/69 (70.4%) | 45.56 ± 12.33/42.33 ± 13.92 | IM injection/Atomization inhalation | IV ketorolac | Nebulized fentanyl | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | NRS |
| Safdar      | 2006 | American   | 86          | 29 (67%)/29 (67%) | 39.3 ± 9.9/37.3 ± 10 | IV injection | Ketonolac 15 mg | Morphine 5 mg | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | VAS |
| Sandhu      | 1994 | Britisher  | 110         | 15 (46.9%)/58 (74.4%) | 45.2 ± 14.6/42.1 ± 14.6 | IM injection | Single 30 mg IM dose of ketorolac IM pethidine 100 mg | | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | VAS |
| Serinken    | 2012 | NR         | 73          | 51 (70%) | 30.2 ± 8.6 | IV injection | IV single-dose paracetamol IV single-dose morphine | | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Need for rescue analgesia 3) Adverse events | VAS |
| Sotoodehnia | 2019 | Iranian    | 126         | 52 (81.2%)/44 (71%) | 37.9 ± 10.6/34.2 ± 9.9 | IM injection | IV ketorolac | IV ketamine | 1) Pain score (VAS 10 cm) at 30 min 2) Adverse events | NRS |
| Thompson    | 1989 | Britisher  | 58          | NR NR | | Rectal administration/ injection | Rectal diclofenac Pethidine injection | | 1) Complete pain relief at 30 min 2) Adverse events | VAS |
| Zamanian    | 2016 | Iranian    | 158         | 52/50 | NR | | | | | VAS |

(Continued on following page)
ketorolac–keta mine (MD = −1, 95% CI: −1.92, −0.08, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids), respectively, and showed that NSAIDs had more advantages in the treatment of acute renal colic at 30 min. However, two RCTs (Azizkhani et al., 2013; Masoumi et al., 2014) showed that there was no significant difference between acetaminophen and morphine at this time (MD = −0.16, 95% CI: −0.23, 0.09, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids).

It happens that there is a similar case, at 45 min; two studies (Masoumi et al., 2014; Rezaei et al., 2020) showed the superiority of acetaminophen over morphine (MD = −1.8, 95% CI: −2.67, −0.93, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids) and ketorolac over fentanyl (MD = −1.15, 95% CI: −1.6, −0.7, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids).

Additionally, with regard to the subgroup analysis by NSAIDs and opioids, at 60 min, a study (Oosterlinck et al., 1990) showed that there was no significant difference between ketorolac and pethidine (MD = −0.19, 95% CI: −0.58, 0.29, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids). However, three other studies (Masoumi et al., 2014; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019; Rezaei et al., 2020) that used acetaminophen–morphine (MD = −1.29, 95% CI: −2.15, −0.43, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids), ketorolac–fentanyl (MD = −1.62, 95% CI: −2.10, −1.14, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids), and ketorolac–ketamine (MD = −0.40, 95% CI: −1.20, −0.40, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids), respectively, showed that NSAIDs were more effective than opioids (Table 4).

Table 4 reported the indicated significant differences on the VAS at 30 min for subjects with acute renal colic. They used ketorolac–meperidine (MD = −3.19, 95% CI: −3.42, −2.96, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids), ketorolac–fentanyl (MD = −2.51, 95% CI: −2.92, −2.1, I^2 = NA, NSAIDs vs. opioids), lidocaine–morphine (MD = −1.1, 95% CI: −1.45, −0.75, I^2 = NA), and

---

**TABLE 1** Summary of included clinical trials and patient characteristics.

| Study          | Year | Population | Sample size | Male (%) | Mean age ± SD | Route of administration | NSAIDs | Opioids | Outcomes | Assessment tool |
|----------------|------|------------|-------------|----------|---------------|--------------------------|--------|---------|----------|-----------------|
| Soleimanpour   | 2012 | Iranian   | 240         | 90 (75%) | 37.3 ± 11.5/ 37.2 ± 10.6 | Single-dose IV | 100 mg indomethacin suppository | 10 mg morphine suppository | 1) Pain score (NRS-11) at 40 min 2) Adverse events | VAS |

NR, not reported.

**FIGURE 2** The results of meta-analysis for changes in pain scores (VAS) at 0–30 min.

**TABLE 2** GRADE profile of changes in VAS at 0–30 min.

| Summary of findings | Quality of evidence |
|---------------------|---------------------|
| With NSAIDs | With opioids | MD, 95% CI |
| With NSAIDs | With opioids | MD, 95% CI |
| 166 | 163 | 0.79 (−0.02, 1.61) |

a) The test for heterogeneity is significant, and the I^2 is moderate, 44%.

b) The 95% CI for the absolute effects include clinical benefit and no benefit, and the sample size was insufficient.
Secondary Outcomes

Need for Rescue Analgesia

In the light of the 11 included studies (Hetherington and Philp, 1986; Sandhu et al., 1994; Cordell et al., 1996; Larkin et al., 1999; SaÏd et al., 2006; Bektaş et al., 2009; Serinken et al., 2012; Ay et al., 2014; Masoumi et al., 2014; Pathan et al., 2016a; Al et al., 2018), there were a total of 2,262 patients who needed rescue analgesia during the therapy of acute renal colic. The difference among nine RCTs, to be exact, involved the standards of rescue, administration of NSAIDs or opioids (e.g., dose of drugs, time of using analgesic) and the criteria that rescue succeed. The difference was observed with data from the above studies that opioids were more likely to receive later rescue when they were used for analgesia (RR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.66, 0.89, \(I^2 = 42.3\)%, NSAIDs vs. opioids). The relevant data about the need for rescue analgesia is presented in Figure 6 (please refer for details).

Some studies were performed by the subgroup analysis on the NSAID and Opioid types. Three studies (Sandhu et al., 1994; Masoumi et al., 2014; Pathan et al., 2016a) used morphine–acetaminophen (RR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.36, 0.9, \(I^2 = NA\), NSAIDs vs. opioids); morphine–diclofenac (RR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.43, 0.76, \(I^2 = NA\), NSAIDs vs. opioids), and pethidine–ketorolac (RR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.6, 0.96, \(I^2 = NA\), NSAIDs vs. opioids), respectively; all of them showed that morphine is easier to use for rescue analgesia than NSAIDs. Several other studies, as given in Table 5, showed no significant statistical difference between the NSAIDs and opioids.

Drug-Related Adverse Events

This systematic review and meta-analysis collected all adverse events described in 15 original articles (Thompson et al., 1989; Oosterlinck et al., 1990; Sandhu et al., 1994; Cordell et al., 1996; Larkin et al., 1999; Saïd et al., 2006; Bektaş et al., 2009; Serinken et al., 2012; Soleimanpour et al., 2012; Azizkhani et al., 2013; Masoumi et al., 2014; Ay et al., 2014; Pathan et al., 2016a; Al et al., 2018; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019), which differ in types and standards of adverse events caused by drugs. We synthesized all data about drug-related adverse events and found that it was more possible for opioids to lead to adverse events in the treatment of patients with acute renal colic than the NSAIDs group (RR = 0.44, 95% CI: 0.27, 0.71, \(I^2 = 65.7\)%, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Figure 7). According to drug-related adverse events, the subgroup analysis was conducted to investigate the difference of both therapeutic regimens in renal colic patients. A total of 15 studies (Thompson et al., 1989; Oosterlinck et al., 1990; Sandhu et al., 1994; Larkin et al., 1999; Saïd et al., 2006; Bektaş et al., 2009; Soleimanpour et al., 2012; Serinken et al., 2012; Azizkhani et al., 2013; Masoumi et al., 2014; Ay et al., 2014; Zamanian et al., 2016; Al et al., 2018; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019) referred to vomiting caused by the medications, and there were remarkable differences among the patients of the NSAIDs group (RR = 0.68, 95% CI: 0.49, 0.96, \(I^2 = 22.6\)%, NSAIDs vs. opioids) (Figure 8). However,
the participants in the opioids group and NSAIDs group showed no significance in dizziness comparing with those in the NSAIDs group (RR = 0.34, 95% CI: 0.01, 15.24, $I^2 = 90.3\%$, NSAIDs vs. opioids) on the basis of four RCTs (Sandhu et al., 1994; Soleimanpour et al., 2012; Zamanian et al., 2016; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019) (Figure 9).

The NSAIDs and opioids subgroup analysis was performed on drug-related adverse events as given in Table 6. Two studies (Oosterlinck et al., 1990; Sandhu et al., 1994) showed that pethidine is more likely to produce drug-related adverse events than ketorolac (RR = 0.58, 95% CI: 0.38, 0.90, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids). The other two studies (Azizkhan et al., 2013; Masoumi et al., 2014) showed the same results between morphine and acetaminophen (RR = 0.03, 95% CI: 0, 0.45, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids). One study (Thompson et al., 1989) showed that pethidine is more likely to produce drug-related adverse events than diclofenac (RR = 0.03, 95% CI: 0, 0.51, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids).

Furthermore, the study by Saif et al. (2006) showed that morphine is more likely to produce drug-related adverse events than ketorolac (RR = 0.12, 95% CI: 0.03, 0.51, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids). The study by Sotoodehnia et al. (2019) showed that ketamine is more likely to produce drug-related adverse events than ketorolac (RR = 0.22, 95% CI: 0.12, 0.42, $I^2 = NA$, NSAIDs vs. opioids). However, there are still seven studies (Cordell et al., 1996; Larkin et al., 1999; Bektas et al., 2009; Serinken et al., 2012; Soleimanpour et al., 2012; Ay et al., 2014; Pathan et al., 2016b) that indicated that there was
no significant statistical difference in drug side effects between the opioids and NSAIDs (Table 6).

All in all, most of the included studies showed that opioids were more prone to drug side effects.

Publication Bias

According to the assessment of funnel plots, no obvious publication biases were found as shown in Supplementary Figures S2–S9.

---

**TABLE 4** | Subgroup analysis of NSAIDs and opioids of the VAS over different time periods.

| Study          | Year | NSAIDs mean | NSAIDs SD | NSAIDs total | Opioids mean | Opioids SD | Opioids total | Medicine NSAIDs | Medicine opioids | MD (95%CI) NSAIDs vs. opioids | $I^2$ (%) |
|----------------|------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Cordell        | 1996 | 3.48        | 0.45      | 36           | 5.5          | 0.43       | 35           | Ketorolac       | Meperidine       | −2.02 (−2.22, −1.82)              | NA      |
| Masoumi        | 2014 | 5.87        | 2.00      | 54           | 7.46         | 2.51       | 54           | Acetaminophen   | Morphine         | −1.59 (−2.45, −0.73)              | NA      |
| Rezaei         | 2020 | 5.7         | 1.75      | 93           | 7.09         | 1.8        | 93           | Ketorolac       | Fentanyl         | −1.39 (−1.9, −0.88)               | NA      |
| Soleimanpour   | 2012 | 1.37        | 1.32      | 120          | 2.55         | 1.52       | 120          | Lidocaine       | Morphine         | −1.18 (−1.54, −0.82)              | NA      |
| Sotoodehnia    | At 15 min | 4.8 | 2.5       | 64           | 4.7          | 3.1        | 62           | Ketorolac       | Ketamine         | 0.1 (−0.89, 1.09)                | NA      |
| Azizkhani      | 2013 | 2.41        | 3.29      | 62           | 0.75         | 1.31       | 62           | Acetaminophen   | Morphine         | −0.16 (−23.41, 23.09)             | NA      |
| Cordell        | 1996 | 2.47        | 0.46      | 36           | 5.66         | 0.52       | 35           | Ketorolac       | Meperidine       | −3.19 (−3.42, −2.96)              | NA      |
| Masoumi        | 2014 | 4.09        | 2.68      | 54           | 6.09         | 2.69       | 54           | Acetaminophen   | Morphine         | −0.16 (−23.41, 23.09)             | NA      |
| Rezaei         | 2020 | 3.95        | 1.32      | 93           | 6.46         | 1.55       | 93           | Ketorolac       | Fentanyl         | −2.51 (−23.41, 23.09)             | NA      |
| Soleimanpour   | At 30 min | 1.13 | 1.15      | 120          | 2.23         | 1.57       | 120          | Lidocaine       | Morphine         | −1.1 (−1.45, −0.75)               | NA      |
| Rezaei         | 2012 | 3.73        | 1.37      | 93           | 4.88         | 1.75       | 93           | Ketorolac       | Fentanyl         | −1.15 (−1.6, −0.7)                | NA      |
| Soleimanpour   | At 45 min | 2.02 | 2.03      | 54           | 3.31         | 2.51       | 54           | Acetaminophen   | Morphine         | −1.29 (−2.15, −0.43)              | NA      |
| Oosterlinck a  | 1990 | 2.6         | 2.6       | 39           | 2.3          | 2.6        | 37           | Ketorolac       | Pethidine        | −0.19 (−5.88, 5.49)               | NA      |
| Oosterlinck b  | 1990 | 1.7         | 1.8       | 35           | 2.3          | 2.6        | 37           | Ketorolac       | Pethidine        | −0.19 (−5.88, 5.49)               | NA      |
| Rezaei         | 2020 | 3.18        | 1.35      | 93           | 4.8          | 1.91       | 93           | Ketorolac       | Fentanyl         | −1.62 (−2.1, −1.14)               | NA      |
| Sotoodehnia    | At 60 min | 1 | 2.2       | 64           | 1.4          | 2.4        | 62           | Ketorolac       | Ketamine         | −0.4 (−1.2, −0.4)                | NA      |

**FIGURE 6** | The results of meta-analysis for need for rescue analgesia.
DISCUSSION

According to a recent study, a large proportion of people suffer from acute renal colic each year, suffering unbearable pain that is described as agony of obstruction in the upper urinary tract by kidney stone(s) (Wang et al., 2020). Unfortunately, there are a myriad of patients around the world who do not adequately receive pain relief, with possible reasons of excessive regulatory restrictions (Minozzi et al., 2013). With respect to therapy of acute renal colic, NSAIDs are prescribed for patients with acute pain and have been identified as necessary agents for treating pain when clinicians made the diagnosis of renal colic, in addition to opioids (Bultitude and Rees, 2012). However, the therapeutic regimen of renal colic is still controversial, with analgesic drug as the appropriate choice for patients who need it to release their pain immediately (Zamanian et al., 2016; Zhili et al., 2020). Therefore, the aim of this study is to investigate the effect and safety of two main analgesics (NSAIDs and opioids) in the treatment of patients diagnosed with acute renal colic, by a systematic review and meta-analysis.

NSAIDs exert their analgesic effect through inhibiting the release of prostaglandins in the body, then acting on the kidneys to increase the diuretic effect, which efficiently alleviates the pain of renal colic patients (Larkin et al., 1999). The creation of renal colic was attributed to prostacyclin and prostaglandin E2, which has been presented in previous studies (Fu et al., 2019). The above demonstration accounts for using NSAIDs in patients with acute renal colic. Be divergent with NSAIDs, however, opioids work by...
binding to opioid receptors in the brain of the patients and then stimulating the opioid systems that result in decreased pain within a short time.

A total of 18 studies (Hetherington and Philp, 1986; Thompson et al., 1989; Oosterlinck et al., 1990; Sandhu et al., 1994; Cordell et al., 1996; Larkin et al., 1999; Safdar et al., 2006; Bektas et al., 2009; Serinken et al., 2013; Ay et al., 2014; Masoumi et al., 2014; Pathan et al., 2016; Zamanian et al., 2016; Al et al., 2018; Sotoodehnia et al., 2019; Rezaei et al., 2020) were included in this systematic review and meta-analysis, involving 3,121 participants who used NSAIDs or opioids for releasing pain. The primary outcome in this study—changes in the VAS at 0–30 min, showed the result of the nonsignificant difference between the NSAID and opioid groups, which is consistent with the study of Khammissa (2020). The conclusion of this outcome is consistent with previous studies (Das and Teece, 2006), but there is one article that clearly indicates significant advantage in favor of NSAIDs in the treatment of acute renal colic (Steinberg and Chang, 2016). The subgroup analysis that was performed, in light of the different routes of administration (IV & IM administration) and NSAID type, with changes in the VAS at 0–30 min and the VAS at 60 min, aims to explore the resource of heterogeneity among the included studies about certain outcomes discussed in this systematic review and meta-analysis. Additionally, this study found interesting results that all of the secondary outcomes were statistically significant for patients with renal colic, between the NSAID and opioid groups. To be specific, regarding the outcome of the VAS over different time periods. There was no significant difference in the analgesic effect of NSAIDs and opioids on renal

![FIGURE 8](image1.png) The results of meta-analysis for vomiting caused by the medications.

![FIGURE 9](image2.png) The results of meta-analysis for dizziness caused by the medications.
changes in the VAS at 0

Khammissa et al., 2020, the factors of analgesic effect of evidence is inadequate to dictate the risk of this factor (Daoust elucidate the method of administration, and at present, the sensitivity).

individuals and environments (e.g., age, gender, and pain administration in patients, and the differences among these two kinds of drugs are numerous and could be divided

| Study | Year | NSAIDs events | NSAIDs total | Opioids events | Opioids total | Medicine NSAIDs | Medicine opioids | RR (95%CI) NSAIDs vs. opioids |
|-------|------|---------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Ai a  | 2018 | 2             | 100          | 14             | 100           | Diclofenac     | Fentanyl       | 0.14 (0.03, 0.61) | NA                      |
| Ai b  | 2018 | 2             | 100          | 14             | 100           | Paracetamol    | Fentanyl       | 0.14 (0.03, 0.61) | NA                      |
| Sotoodehnia | 2019 | 9             | 64           | 39             | 62            | Ketorolac     | Ketamine       | 0.22 (0.12, 0.42) | NA                      |
| Ay    | 2014 | 1             | 26           | 2              | 26            | Diclofenac    | Meperidine     | 0.5 (0.05, 5.18) | NA                      |
| Cordel | 1996 | 19            | 36           | 28             | 35            | Ketorolac     | Meperidine     | 0.76 (0.02, 30.52) | NA                      |
| Larkin | 1999 | 5             | 33           | 4              | 37            | Acetaminophen | Meperidine     | 0.76 (0.02, 30.52) | NA                      |
| Azizkhani | 2013 | 0             | 62           | 22             | 62            | Acetaminophen | Morphine       | 0.03 (0, 0.45)   | NA                      |
| Masoumi | 2014 | 0             | 54           | 14             | 54            | Acetaminophen | Morphine       | 0.03 (0, 0.45)   | NA                      |
| Pathan | 2016 | 7             | 547          | 7              | 548           | Diclofenac    | Morphine       | 1 (0.35, 2.84)   | NA                      |
| Safdar | 2006 | 2             | 43           | 16             | 43            | Ketorolac     | Morphine       | 0.12 (0.03, 0.51) | NA                      |
| Soleimanpour | 2012 | 15            | 120          | 16             | 120           | Lidocaine     | Morphine       | 0.94 (0.49, 1.81) | NA                      |
| Bektas | 2009 | 11            | 46           | 16             | 49            | Paracetamol   | Morphine       | 0.66 (0.03, 14.58) | NA                      |
| Serinken | 2012 | 2             | 38           | 5              | 35            | Paracetamol   | Morphine       | 0.66 (0.03, 14.58) | NA                      |
| Thompson | 1989 | 0             | 29           | 15             | 29            | Diclofenac   | Pethidine      | 0.03 (0, 0.51)   | NA                      |
| Oosterlinck a | 1990 | 8             | 39           | 14             | 37            | Ketorolac    | Pethidine      | 0.58 (0.38, 0.9) | NA                      |
| Oosterlinck b | 1990 | 10            | 35           | 14             | 37            | Ketorolac    | Pethidine      | 0.58 (0.38, 0.9) | NA                      |
| Sandhu | 1994 | 21            | 76           | 40             | 78            | Ketorolac    | Pethidine      | 0.58 (0.38, 0.9) | NA                      |

Regarding the antalgic effects and side effects of both NSAIDs and opioids, whereas some publications persist that NSAIDs is superior to opioids in terms of side effects (e.g., hypotension, respiratory depression) (Esmailian and Keshavarz, 2014; Berthelot et al., 2015), there are a few studies that indicate there are similar effects between these two kinds of agents (Fu et al., 2019). Almost all included studies in this systematic review and meta-analysis illustrated adverse effects in their participants who were suffering acute renal colic and were using analgesics, but the divergent data about adverse effects, after analyzing the subgroup analysis, showed significant differences in favor of NSAIDs when compared with opioids in the treatment of renal colic patients. Similarly, a higher risk of side effects in patients when using opioids for treatment of renal colic, such as nausea and vomiting, was reported in many systematic reviews and meta-analyses, when compared with NSAIDs (Holdgate and Pollock, 2005). Besides, a recent study raised a regime that IV lidocaine could be a substitution for mitigating pain in a condition of intolerant adverse effects of NSAIDs or opioids (Loj et al., 2018). One note of caution, we considered there are possible reasons to explain the inconsistencies of side effects included in the studies reported, that is, the analgesic effect was not satisfactory due to the underdose of the antalgics and individual variations, because severe pain of acute renal colic can also cause nausea, vomiting, and hypertension (Holdgate and Pollock, 2005).

LIMITATION

There are several potential limitations in this systematic review and meta-analysis. First, the potential influence, in terms of the dose of the agents used for acute renal colic patients, would probably trigger...
uncertain results on the efficacy and safety of NSAIDs and opioids in the treatment of renal colic, especially regarding the primary outcome changes in the VAS at 0–30 min. In addition, the reason for this difference is likely due to various drugs provided by the different hospitals. Further RCTs are urgently required to investigate whether different agents and dosage of drugs used for renal colic have diverse influence on patients while alleviating extreme pain.

CONCLUSION

According to the results of this systematic review and meta-analysis. There is no significant difference between the NSAIDs and opioids in the treatment of renal colic in many outcomes (e.g., the VAS over different time periods except 15 min, using different injection methods at 30 and 60 min). However, patients can benefit from less side effects because clinicians use NSAIDs. Based on the subgroup analysis of NSAID and opioid types, ketorolac performs better than meperidine at 15 and 30 min, with acetaminophen and morphine performing equally, and ketorolac is better than fentanyl at 30 and 60 min, and acetaminophen is also better than morphine. Keturolac also has a better performance than opioids in terms of drug side effects and whether rescue is required.
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