Paraneoplastic pemphigus (PNP) is a severe bullous autoimmune condition associated with neoplasms. Blistering and suprabasal acantholysis are usually evident in histology. Because PNP mimics many autoimmune blistering diseases, several immunological assays have been developed to better detect different PNP antibodies and facilitate differential diagnosis. Among them, anti-desmoglein (Dsg) 3 IgG on enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA), indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) on rat bladder, and immunoprecipitation or immunoblotting show antibodies against Dsg and/or plakins display high sensitivity or specificity, playing important roles in diagnostic criteria for PNP (1, 2). Skin lesions of PNP can usually be controlled by systemic glucocorticoid with or without immunosuppressant after tumorectomy. In some cases, mucosal lesions are recalcitrant to standard combination therapy. Multi-organ manifestations can present bronchiolitis obliterans (BO), myasthenia gravis, and gastrointestinal bleeding, of which irreversible BO with progressive respiratory failure is one of the leading death causes in patients with PNP (1–3). Ruxolitinib, an oral selective inhibitor of the Janus kinase 1/2 (JAK 1/2), because of its pivotal role in inflammation and activation of T cells, was reported to be effective in the treatment of steroid-refractory graft-versus-host disease (SR-GVHD) (4). The similarity between GVHD and PNP pathogenically and clinically led us to propose ruxolitinib as a putative option for persistent stomatitis and BO in a post-operative patient with PNP.

CASE REPORT

A 31-year-old woman was diagnosed with PNP and retroperitoneal mass 3 years previously (Fig. 1). She was otherwise healthy without other autoimmune or respiratory conditions or relevant family history. Physical examination revealed multiple erosive lesions on the buccal mucosa and tongue, and blisters on the hands, vulva, and the vermilion border of the lips. The oral erosion was biopsied, showing dyskeratosis with suprabasal acantholysis. Anti-Dsg 3 antibody level was 40.95 U/ml. IIF on rat bladder and the 190-kDa perilakin. Prednisolone was administered, at an initial dose of 30 mg, and, later, cyclosporine, at 200 mg daily, but the bullae and erosion barely improved. Even worse, she gradually developed dyspnoea and wheezing, despite taking a 3-month course of azithromycin. Pulmonary function examination demonstrated expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV1) was 0.73 L, FEV1 in percent predicted values (FEV1%pred) was 26.3% and 30.2%, respectively. Combined with a high-resolution computed tomography image showing airway wall thickening and bronchiectasis, the patient was diagnosed with BO. Surgical removal of the tumour was performed, and pathology confirmed Castleman’s disease (CD). Steroid administration was decreased to 10 mg daily, together with cyclosporin 100 mg daily. Post-operative lung function showed FEV1 0.74 L, FEV1%pred 28.3%, and FEV1/FVC 30.9%. The appearance on the skin showed consistent healing, but there was no notable improvement in oral lesions and respiratory function.

Six months after the operation, the patient still experienced dyspnoea with painful ulceration on the right lingual margin (Fig. 2a). As a result, combined therapy with ruxolitinib, 5 mg once daily, was initiated. After 2 weeks, ruxolitinib was increased to 5 mg twice a day with good tolerance, and ciclosporin was tapered from 210 mg daily, but the bullae and erosion barely improved. Even worse, she gradually developed dyspnoea and wheezing, despite taking a 3-month course of azithromycin. Pulmonary function examination showed FEV1 0.74 L, FEV1%pred 29.5%, and FEV1/FVC 31.6%. After 4 months, the patient returned with negative IIF and decreased antibody of Dsg 3 (5.87 IU/ml). The ulcer on the tongue had almost disappeared (Fig. 2b), and respiratory assessment showed FEV1 0.89 L, FEV1%pred 33.6%, FEV1/FVC 40.2%. Recurrent symptoms were not observed for 15 months after the initiation of ruxolitinib.
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DISCUSSION

Although the pathogenesis of PNP is not completely clear, it is plausible that both autoantibodies and cell-mediated immunity dominate in the disorder. Patients develop IgG autoantibodies against Dsg 1/3 and proteins of the plakin family, which induce loss of cell adhesion between keratinocytes and cause subsequent blister formation. Meanwhile, cell-mediated cytotoxicity attacks proteins in different layers of the epidermis, and more intractable stomatitis are seen compared with classic forms of pemphigus (1). Moreover, Dsg 3-specific T cells could not only help B cells produce anti-Dsg 3 IgG, but also infiltrate into the epidermis and induce an interface dermatitis in the mice model (5). Because mucosal lesions are usually resistant to prednisolone and immunosuppressive regimen, intravenous immunoglobulin, plasmapheresis, rituximab, and other monoclonal antibody agents were used in selected patients (1). However, due to the high cost and inconvenience of these emerging therapies, they are not widely accepted. On the other hand, PNP could also damage the respiratory epithelium, causing a series of respiratory complications, among which BO is strongly related to a worse prognosis despite treatment of the underlying malignancy (1–3).

The JAK family is essential in mediating cytokine signalling by phosphorylation of downstream signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) proteins, guiding differentiation and function of inflammatory cells (6). Due to the vital role of JAK1/2-STAT3 pathways on T cells activation, JAK 1/2 inhibitor may inhibit donor alloreactive T-cell expansion and inflammatory cytokine production, inflammation, tissue damage, and fibrosis (7). Accordingly, some members of the JAK inhibitor (JAKi) family, such as baricitinib and tofacitinib, showed benefits in mucous membrane pemphigoid (8, 9). Furthermore, JAKi could keep chemokines and other profibrotic factors from accumulating in the airway, blocking macrophage infiltration and collagen deposition, decelerating airway remodelling, and obstruction (6, 10). Ruxolitinib, the oral JAK 1/2 inhibitor, was the first JAKi approved for use in myelofibrosis by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and European Medicines Agency (EMA) in 2011. Currently, it is used as salvage therapy for SR-GVHD, especially in cases with BO and oral involvement (11, 12).

There are several significant similarities between PNP and GVHD, such as the common pathogenesis (mainly mediated by cellular immunity and pathogenic antibodies), tissues with strong heterogeneity in vivo (tumour or human leukocyte antigens), dermatological manifestations (present as blisters, erythema multiforme-like, and lichen planus-like lesions, and hyperpigmentation), and multi-organ involvement (with BO as a fatal complication) (2, 3, 13). Collectively, we considered the potent immunosuppressive and anti-inflammatory activities of JAKi might be valuable for the management of BO in this case of intractable PNP. As there are several reports and studies describing the high efficacy and favourable survival of ruxolitinib in the treatment of SR-GVHD, we chose ruxolitinib over the isoinhibitor baricitinib or tofacitinib, the JAK 1/3 inhibitor.

It is known that ruxolitinib might increase the incidence of cytopenia and infections (14, 15). Thus, screening for haemocytopaenia and infectious events should be done before and during JAKi treatment and combined with anti-infection therapy before initiation of ruxolitinib for those at high risk (14). Fortunately, no severe adverse effects were noted for the current patient in subsequent years.

We believe that the off-label use of JAKi could provide a promising therapy for patients with autoimmune bulous disease, particularly when conventional agents are ineffective. However, further research is necessary into the underlying mechanism, safety, and efficacy of JAKi with long-term use in PNP.
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