Topoisomerase VI participates in an insulator-like function that prevents H3K9me2 spreading
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The organization of the genome into transcriptionally active and inactive chromatin domains requires well-delineated chromatin boundaries and insulator functions in order to maintain the identity of adjacent genomic loci with antagonistic chromatin marks and functionality. In plants that lack known chromatin insulators, the mechanisms that prevent heterochromatin spreading into euchromatin remain to be identified. Here, we show that DNA Topoisomerase VI participates in a chromatin boundary function that safeguards the expression of genes in euchromatin islands within silenced heterochromatin regions. While some transposable elements are reactivated in mutants of the Topoisomerase VI complex, genes insulated in euchromatin islands within heterochromatic regions of the Arabidopsis thaliana genome are specifically down-regulated. H3K9me2 levels consistently increase at euchromatin island loci and decrease at some transposable element loci. We further show that Topoisomerase VI physically interacts with S-adenosylmethionine synthase methionine adenosyltransferase 3 (MAT3), which is required for H3K9me2. A Topoisomerase VI defect affects MAT3 occupancy on heterochromatic elements and its exclusion from euchromatic islands, thereby providing a possible mechanistic explanation to the essential role of Topoisomerase VI in the delimitation of chromatin domains.
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The discovery of position effect variegation in Drosophila melanogaster paved the way toward revealing the importance of chromatin contexts in the regulation of gene expression (1, 2). Since then, cytogenetic and molecular profiling of the epigenome, as well as topological analyses of chromatin architecture, have allowed the mechanisms involved in partitioning the gene-rich euchromatic fraction from the repeat-rich heterochromatic fraction to be elucidated. Large protein complexes specific to insulator DNA sequences contribute to partitioning chromatin domains with distinct identity at multiple scales. These complexes maintain the identity of adjacent genomic loci with antagonistic chromatin marks and functionality, and more globally influence the formation of long-range chromosomal interactions (3). Insulator binding proteins—such as the CCCTC-binding factor (CTCF), BEAF-32, CP190, and Mod—have been best described in Drosophila, where they play critical roles in the definition of chromatin and transcriptional status. In vertebrates, CTCF is the only known insulator binding protein homolog. CTCF is enriched at insulator DNA sequences that define large topological domains of the genome (4–6) and, in some cases, define boundaries between adjacent chromatin domains with distinct features (7). Surprisingly, CTCF orthologs cannot be identified in many eukaryotic organisms, including plants (8). Moreover, very few studies support the presence of insulator DNA sequences or insulator-like regions in plants (9–11), and insulator binding factors remain to be identified.

This contrasts with the observation that Arabidopsis thaliana and other plant species display highly indexed chromatin states along the genome, with well-defined chromatin signatures around transcriptionally active or repressed genes, as well as close relationships between chromatin composition and genome topology in the nuclear space (12, 13). In Arabidopsis, heterochromatin is found on hundreds of transposable elements (TEs) mostly confined within the pericentromeric regions and at a few knob structures that tend to associate through long-distance interactions in the nuclear space (14–18). As a result, in Arabidopsis interphase nuclei most cytologically visible heterochromatin is condensed within 8 to 10 conspicuous foci that are referred to as chromocenters (19–21). Consistent with their heterochromatic nature, chromocenters are refractory to transcription and contain highly methylated DNA (22), as well as histone modifications, such as H3K9me2 and H3K27me2 (23, 24). Nonetheless, many expressed genes exhibiting euchromatic features appear to be located in close vicinity to large heterochromatic
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regions in the Arabidopsis genome, notably within the pericentric and knob regions (25, 26). The mechanisms by which gene-containing euchromatic islands (Els) are insulated from neighboring heterochromatin regions and how their transcriptional capacities are preserved in such chromatin contexts are largely unknown. In this study, we have unveiled an essential function of the plant Topoisomerase VI (Topo VI) complex in preserving the functional and structural identity of Els.

DNA topoisomerases are enzymes that introduce transient DNA breaks to resolve topological constraints that arise during multiple cellular processes, such as replication, transcription, recombination, and chromatin remodeling. The plant Topo VI, a type II topoisomerase first identified in the archaeon Sulfolobus shibatae (27, 28), was initially implicated in various biological processes involving endoreduplication, such as root hair formation (32). Topo VI forms an A₂B₂ heterotetramer whose A and B subunits are encoded by single genes in Arabidopsis, AtTOP6A/CA9A9/AtSPO11-3/RHL2/BIN5/AT5G28220 and AtTOP6B/RHL3/BIN3/HYP6/HLQ4/AT3G20780, respectively (33–37). Two additional subunits named ROOT HAIRLESS 1 (RHL1/HYP7/AT1G48380) and BRASSINOSTEROID-INSENSITIVE 4 (BIN4/MID/AT5G24630) (31, 38, 39) are essential for the Arabidopsis Topo VI function and appear to be evolutionarily conserved in plants and in other eukaryote groups, while their precise functions remain unclear. However, BIN4 shares sequence similarity with the C-terminal region of animal Topo IIα, which seems to have regulatory functions (40–42), and exhibits stable DNA binding in vitro (38). Therefore, it has been proposed that BIN4 may have a regulatory role in the plant Topo VI complex, presumably by holding the substrate DNA through its AT-hook motif (38, 39).

In recent years, evidence has accumulated that topoisomerases have more diverse and specialized functions than previously thought (43). In particular, transcriptomic analyses of several Topo VI mutants revealed that Topo VI influences the expression of many nuclear genes, including genes regulated by phytohormones (35, 36) or by reactive oxygen species (44–46). A function of Arabidopsis Topo VI as a chromatin-remodeling complex has also been speculated (35). This hypothesis has since been supported by the observation that loss of the Topo VI B subunit in bej mutant plants leads to the misexpression of numerous adjacent genes, hence possibly triggering positional or chromatin context-dependent transcriptional defects (36). This is further supported by the implication of the BIN4 subunit in heterochromatin organization, as observed by smaller and diffuse chromocenters in interphase nuclei of plants bearing the severe mid mutation (39).

Here, we reveal that Arabidopsis Topo VI is required for chromocenter formation and for efficient silencing of some heterochromatic TEs but has an antagonistic effect on genes localized in Els. Down-regulation of El genes in Topo VI mutant plants is associated with the enrichment of the H3K9me2 heterochromatic mark. We further report that the BIN4 subunit of Topo VI directly interacts with S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) synthetase 3/methionine adenosyl transferase 3 (MAT3). Similar to Topo VI knockdown (KD) plants, mat3 KD mutants exhibit de-repression of heterochromatic TEs and a decrease in H3K9me2. Furthermore, we show that the association of MAT with heterochromatic elements is reduced in a hypomorphic Topo VI mutant, whereas it increased at some Els. We therefore propose that Topo VI has a prominent role in the delimitation of chromatin boundaries, could participate in defining SAM synthesis sites onto specific regions of the genome, and collectively has an essential role in the establishment of distinct chromatin domains.

**Results**

**Topo VI Is Required for Heterochromatin Organization.** Kirik et al. (39) reported that interphase nuclei of the severe mid mutant in the BIN4/MID subunit present smaller and less defined chromocenters compared to the WT, suggesting that heterochromatin organization is affected by the mid mutation. However, this phenotype was not reported in the allelic bin4-1 mutant, which also has a severe phenotype (38). Therefore, to unequivocally confirm the role of the Arabidopsis Topo VI complex in nuclear organization, we analyzed the nuclear phenotypes of hypomorphic and amorphic mutants of the AtTOP6A subunit, caa39 and rhl2-1, and of the BIN4/MID subunit, a BIN4 KD line (see below) and bin4-1, by DAPI staining and immunolocalization of heterochromatin hallmarks. Both the caa39 and rhl2-1 mutants exhibited strong alterations in heterochromatin organization with largely decondensed chromocenters (Fig. 1 A, Top, and SI Appendix, Fig. S1A). Likewise, nuclei of epidermal and mesophyll cotyledon cells from BIN4 KD and bin4-1 did not harbor conspicuous chromocenters (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A), as previously reported for the mid allelic mutants (39). In contrast, the nuclear phenotype of shoot apical meristematic cells was similar in WT, caa39, rhl2-1, bin4-1, and BIN4 KD lines, with equal proportions of nuclei with conspicuous (type 1) or diffuse (type 2) chromocenter profiles (SI Appendix, Fig. S1A, Bottom, and B). Consistent with its role in endocycles but not in mitosis (31, 37–39), these defects indicate that Topo VI is required for chromatin organization of differentiated cells, but less of actively dividing cells. Immunofluorescence analysis of the heterochromatin hallmark H3K9me2 confirmed the large extent of heterochromatin decondensation in caa39 Topo VI mutant plants (Fig. 1A). Immunoblot analyses further showed that the global level of H3K9me2 is not affected in caa39 seedlings (Fig. 1B). Likewise, 5-methylcytosine (5-meC) immunolabeling also revealed a dispersed signal in caa39 nuclei (Fig. 1C), whereas an anti–5-meC ELISA showed overall similar levels of 5-meC in WT and caa39 as compared to ddm1-8 seedlings (Fig. 1D). These results suggest that the marked alteration of chromocenter morphology does not result from a global decrease in heterochromatin hallmarks in caa39.

**Topo VI Is Required for the Silencing of Heterochromatic TEs.** A role for Arabidopsis Topo VI in heterochromatin-dependent transcriptional gene silencing was highlighted by the reactivation of TRANSCRIPTIONALLY SILENT INFORMATION (TSI) in mid mutant plants (39). However, reactivation was not observed in the bin4-1 allelic mutant (38). Therefore, to unambiguously assess the involvement of Topo VI in transcriptional silencing and get a more global understanding of Topo VI influence on TE repression, we performed a RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq) analysis of caa39 and WT transcripts. Multiple heterochromatic TEs (176 TEs with \( \log_2 \) fold-change \( [FC] > 2 \), particularly from the long terminal repeat (LTR)/Gypsy, LTR/Copia and En-Spm/CACTA superfamilies (47), are reactivated in caa39 plants (Fig. 2A and Dataset S1). Conversely, 91 TEs are repressed in caa39 (log2\( [FC] < -2 \)); unlike reactivated TEs, these repressed TEs are rarely in the most inaccessible and repressive heterochromatin state 9 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2A). To test for TE silencing defects in other Topo VI mutants, we selected several de-repressed heterochromatic TEs loci (Dataset S1) for which robust primer design was feasible, and measured their relative transcript abundance by qRT-PCR in rhl2-1 and bin4-1 mutants along with the caa39 and WT.
lines. A clear increase in TE transcript abundance was observed for all three tested Topo VI mutant lines (Fig. 2B).

Although we found no global decrease of H3K9me2 and 5-mC in caa39 (Fig. 1 B and D), more subtle local changes could account for TE reactivation. We first assessed DNA methylation levels at individual TEs in Topo VI mutants as compared to WT and ddm1-8 plants by digestion with the methylation-dependent restriction enzyme McrBC. As expected, very efficient digestion of TEs was observed in WT but not in ddm1-8 plants, reflecting a nearly complete loss of DNA methylation over multiple TEs in this hypomethylated mutant line (Fig. 2C). In sharp contrast, we observed WT levels of DNA methylation for all tested loci in caa39 and bin4-1 plants. This trend was confirmed in different sequence contexts (CG, CHG, and CHH) by using the methylation-sensitive restriction enzymes HpaII, MspI, and HaeIII (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Similar DNA methylation levels of TEs in WT and caa39 were then confirmed genome-wide by whole-genome bisulfite sequencing, in all three contexts (Fig. 2D). We concluded that TE de-repression in Topo VI mutants could not be accounted for by a global decrease of DNA methylation in cis. Next, we measured the level of H3K9me2 at TEs, which could be performed only with the caa39 hypomorphic mutant, because of the extreme dwarf phenotype of the rh2-1 and bin4-1 null mutants. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)-qPCR analyses revealed a modest decrease in H3K9me2 at some but not all tested TEs in caa39 as compared to WT plants (Fig. 2E). ChIP-sequencing (ChIP-seq) analysis of H3K9me2 levels in WT and caa39, normalized to H3 levels in each line, confirmed the slight decrease of H3K9me2 at AT3TE60425 and AT4TE15030, but not at AT2TE15415 and AT4TE16900 (SI Appendix, Fig. S2 B and C), and revealed a significant global decrease (P < 0.01, Mann–Whitney U test) of H3K9me2 at TEs (Fig. 2F). Collectively, these results suggest that H3K9me2 local decreases and heterochromatin spatial reorganization may contribute to TE activation, although the precise causal mechanism is unknown.

Figure 1. Topo VI is required for heterochromatin organization. (A) Representative nucleus (n > 30) from 6-d-old WT and caa39 cotyledon epidermal cells stained with DAPI and showing indirect immunolocalization of H3K9me2. (Scale bars, 5 μm.) (B) Two independently prepared nuclear extracts of WT and caa39 were immunoblotted against H3 or H3K9me2, as indicated. (C) Same as A for 5-mC localization. (D) Elisa assay to quantify total 5-mC in 6-d-old cotyledons of WT, caa39, and ddm1-8. **P < 0.005 (Student’s t test).
(72 of 79) of the pericentromeric region genes that are repressed in *caa39* and are detected in both RNA-seq and microarray experiments are also down-regulated in *bin4-1* or *bin4-2* (Fig. 3B and Dataset S2). We examined further the expression of seven pericentromeric genes distributed over the five chromosomes and strongly repressed in *caa39*, by qRT-PCR. These genes were found to be down-regulated in all mutants, except for *AT4G06634* and *AT4G07390* that were not significantly repressed in *rh2-1* and *bin4-1* (Fig. 3C). This could possibly be due to secondary effects of the *bin4-1* and *rh2-1* amorphic mutations as compared to the less severe *caa39* mutation. In order to test this hypothesis, we took advantage of the availability of an *Arabidopsis BIN4* cosuppressed transgenic line identified during the process of generating lines overexpressing BIN4-CFP. Rather than exhibiting *BIN4* up-regulation, this *BIN4* KD homozygous, monosinertional transgenic line, shows down-regulation of *BIN4* (SI Appendix, Fig. S5 A and B) and develops a phenotype similar to *caa39* (SI Appendix, Fig. S5C). In this line, all tested pericentromeric genes were at least as much down-regulated as in *caa39*, with a more pronounced effect than in the *bin4-1* and *rh2-1* knockout mutants (Fig. 3C). These observations indicate that Topo VI is required to maintain transcriptional control of both genes and TEs in pericentromeric and *hk4S* regions, possibly acting as a chromatin architectural factor.

**Down-Regulated Genes within Heterochromatic Regions Are Localized in Small Els.** We then asked whether the inverse expression patterns of genes and TEs in pericentromeric and *hk4S* regions in Topo VI mutants could be ascribed to their different chromatin landscapes. We first inspected the individual chromatin landscape of the seven down-regulated pericentromeric genes confirmed by qRT-PCR (Fig. 3C), using the nine
chromatin states defined by Sequeira-Mendes et al. (49). Euchromatin states 2, 1, and 3 characterize the proximal promoter, the transcriptional start site, and the start of coding sequence, respectively. The intragenic states 6 and 7 are characteristic of the transcriptional termination site and gene body of long transcribed genes, respectively. The intragenic states 6 and 7 are characteristic of the transcriptional start site, and the start of coding sequence, respectively. The intragenic states 6 and 7 are characteristic of the transcriptional start site, and the start of coding sequence, respectively. The intragenic states 6 and 7 are characteristic of the transcriptional start site, and the start of coding sequence, respectively. The intragenic states 6 and 7 are characteristic of the transcriptional start site, and the start of coding sequence, respectively.

To generate a comprehensive view of their structural features in the genome, we systematically investigated the pericentromeric and h4S heterochromatic regions defined in SI Appendix, Fig. S6B. We designed a script to extract all EIs surrounded by chromatin states 8 and 9, then we analyzed the proportion of chromatin states covering EIs and their 1.5-kb flanking regions (Fig. 3D). We identified 232 EIs containing 540 EI genes this way, among which 6 correspond to unsequenced gaps (https://jbrowser.arabidopsis.org/?data=Araport11) and were discarded in subsequent analyses (Dataset S3). Looking for EIs directly flanked by state 9 chromatin did not increase the number of EIs identified, showing that chromatin state 8 is always present in the proximal border (Fig. 3D and SI Appendix, Fig. S6C). In contrast, the number of detected EIs started to decrease to 215 when considering 2-nucleosome-long flanking regions in state 8, suggesting that 11 EIs have only one proximal nucleosome in state 8 (SI Appendix, Fig. S6C). With respect to state 9, the number of extracted islands began to drop from 4-nucleosome-long flanking regions in state 8, suggesting that the state 8 proximal border is always defined by state 9 chromatin.

Fig. 3. Genes in EIs within heterochromatic pericentromeric and chromosome 4 knob regions are repressed in Topo VI mutants. (A) Positional gene enrichment analysis of the top 500 most down-regulated genes in coa39. The bed files corresponding to coordinates of the widest enriched regions (false-discovery rate < 0.05) were visualized with a genome browser. Black lines correspond to enriched regions, blue boxes correspond to pericentromeric regions as defined by Yelina et al. (76), green box to the knob and red to centromeres. (B) Scatter plots and Pearson correlations of differentially expressed (P < 0.05) pericentromeric genes in coa39 and bind-1 or bind-2. (C) qRT-PCR of selected pericentromeric genes in coa39, rh2-1, bind-1, and BIN4 KD at 6 d postgermination. Error bars: ±SEM of three biological replicates. *P < 0.05; ****P < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA, Dunnett’s test). (D) The proportion of each chromatin state was computed for EIs and their 1.5-kb flanking regions. (E) Gene content in EIs.
Topo VI Prevents the Spreading of H3K9me2 into Els. Given the general repression of EI genes and the local decrease of H3K9me2 at some TE loci without affecting the global level of H3K9me2 (Fig. 1B), we hypothesized that EI gene repression might result from ectopic spreading of this silencing mark over Els. This was first tested on several EI genes by ChIP-qPCR analysis of H3K9me2 levels in WT and caa39. H3K9me2 levels were very low, barely above background, in WT, consistent with the fairly high level of expression of these genes (Fig. 4A). In contrast, a clear increase of H3K9me2 was observed in caa39 (Fig. 4A). Therefore, we further tested the spreading of H3K9me2 over Els on a genome-wide scale by ChIP-seq analysis of H3K9me2 levels in WT and caa39, normalized to H3 levels in each line. Analysis of the WT profile showed short Els (S-EIs, which contain only one or two genes and are <6-kb long) with sharp boundaries and where H3K9me2 was barely detected, flanked by regions with high H3K9me2 levels (Fig. 4B). Consistent with the minor decrease observed only on some TEIs presented in Fig. 2, the H3K9me2 level is globally not lower in EI-flanking sequences in caa39 as compared to WT. In contrast, a clear increase was observed within S-EIs of caa39, which was highly significant all along S-EIs (Mann–Whitney U test, Benjamini-corrected \( P < 0.01 \)), suggesting that Topo VI prevents H3K9me2 spreading across natural boundaries (Fig. 4B). H3K9me2 spreading into large and more complex Els (L-EIs, >6-kb long and often containing internal state 8) was also highly significant and particularly pronounced on L-EIs boundaries (Fig. 4C). Inspection of metaprofiles for each chromosome confirmed highly significant H3K9me2 spreading into Els (SI Appendix, Fig. S7A), that was observed for all replicates (SI Appendix, Fig. S7B).

To further strengthen our analysis, we applied diffReps (50) on each replicate individually to confirm differential enrichment of H3K9me2 in Els of caa39 and WT (Dataset S4). This very stringent and not very sensitive analysis (essentially due to the fact that H3K9me2 peaks were barely detectable in WT and that H3K9me2 spreading does not appear to be sequence-specific) could still reveal increased levels of H3K9me2 in some Els of caa39, but not all (92.0% in replicate 2, 73.9% in replicate 3, 27.4% in replicate 1 (Dataset S3)). The limited number of Els identified this way in replicate 1 can be attributed to the fact that it was less deeply sequenced than replicates 2 and 3 (GSE103924). Despite that, there was a large overlap between replicates: among Els that showed increased levels of H3K9me2 in caa39, only one was identified in replicate 1 but not in replicates 2 or 3, and 96.4% (161 of 167) of the Els identified in replicate 3 were also identified in replicate 2 (SI Appendix, Fig. S7C and Dataset S3). We then extracted the number of significantly (diffReps G-test, \( P < 0.01 \)) up or down H3K9me2 peaks in caa39 versus WT, in each individual replicate (Dataset S4). A similar percentage of significantly up or down H3K9me2 peaks was observed at the genome scale by this method (Fig. 4D), in agreement with the fact that the global level of H3K9me2 does not seem to be significantly affected in caa39. In contrast, the proportion of peaks was significantly shifted toward gains of H3K9me2 within Els, particularly within El genes and their 5′ UTRs (Fig. 4D and Dataset S4), confirming the specific role of Topo VI in safeguarding EI genes from ectopic spreading of H3K9me2.

Globally, these data show that Topo VI is required to prevent elevated H3K9me2 levels within Els, presumably by preserving sharp boundaries between these insulated elements to avoid pervasive spreading of heterochromatin from flanking regions. We further documented such a barrier-like function on an S-EI containing a single gene, At1g41830, by ChIP-qPCR analysis of H3K9me2. Scanning of six different loci along this region (Fig. 4E) in independent experiments confirmed the increased H3K9me2 levels within the island body, but also a decrease in one neighboring heterochromatic border (Fig. 4B), similarly to what was observed in the ChIP-seq experiment replicates for this Els (Fig. 4F and SI Appendix, Fig. S8) and other inspected Els (SI Appendix, Fig. S8).

EI Gene Repression Is Correlated with H3K9me2 Spreading. We then tried to evaluate the relative contribution of H3K9me2 increase to the down-regulation of EI genes, compared to other heterochromatin and repressive marks. First, because H3K9me2 and non-CG (CHG and CHH) DNA methylation are strongly interdependent (51), we tested whether H3K9me2 spreading into Els might in turn, or reciprocally, affect DNA methylation. Whole-genome bisulfite sequencing revealed that DNA methylation levels in all sequence contexts were overall unaltered in caa39 relative to WT in Els (Fig. 4F and I and SI Appendix, Fig. S8). Differentially methylated region (DMR) analyses confirmed that there was no global significant increase of DNA methylation in Els (Dataset S5). Surprisingly, despite CHGs being known to be methylated through a feedback loop with H3K9me2, DMR analyses rather revealed a very slight decrease of CHG, with 51 hypo-CHG DMRs and 16 hyper-CHG DMRs observed in Els of caa39 (with differences in the methylation percentage higher than 10%, \( P < 0.05 \)) (Dataset S5). Therefore, DNA methylation does not seem to contribute to the down-regulation of gene expression in Els.

Second, because EI boundaries are enriched in H3K27me3-marked heterochromatin state 8, and that H3K27me3 is also found on the proximal promoter (chromatin state 2) and transcribed region of many euchromatic genes (chromatin state 5, silenced genes) (49), we also performed a genome-wide H3K27me3 analysis by ChIP-seq. Interestingly, we observed a globally inverted tendency as compared to H3K9me2 profiles: average H3K27me3 levels were locally and significantly decreased within Els (SI Appendix, Fig. S9 A and B). We further documented such a local decrease of H3K27me3 on the S-EI containing At1g41830, by ChIP-qPCR (SI Appendix, Fig. S9C). Consistent with this, K-means linear clustering revealed that H3K27me3 decrease could not be generalized to all Els, as it marks only a small subset of Els in WT (Fig. 4G). Thus, H3K27me3 does not seem to contribute to the global down-regulation of EI genes, and its local decrease at some EI genes might even counterbalance the effect of H3K9me2 increase in a few Els.

Finally, we directly compared EI gene expression with H3K9me2 changes. A vast majority (90%) of EI genes that show significant changes (\( P < 0.05 \)) in either RNA-seq or ChIP-seq analyses are repressed and possess enhanced levels of H3K9me2 (Fig. 4 H and I and SI Appendix, Figs. S8 and S10A). Interestingly, the most repressed genes also tend to have the sharpest H3K9me2 increase, which is particularly true in S-EIs (Fig. 4H and SI Appendix, Fig. S10A). To see whether H3K9me2 defect might affect the expression of EI genes, we generated the quadruple mutant caa39 swb456 swb6456 mutated for the H3K9 methylase genes KRYPTONITE (KYP)/SUHVH4, SUVH5, and SUVH6 and examined the expression of the seven pericentromeric genes already studied. The expression of some EI genes was significantly increased in caa39 swb456 as compared to caa39 (SI Appendix, Fig. S10B). Taken together, these results suggest that H3K9me2 increase plays a role in the
Fig. 4. Topo VI prevents the invasion of EIs by H3K9me2. (A) ChIP-qPCR analysis of H3K9me2 at EI genes. Error bars: ±SEM of two biological replicates. *P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0005 (two-way ANOVA, Fisher’s test). (B) Average distribution of H3-normalized H3K9me2 along short euchromatic gene islands and 4-kb flanking regions. Two (H3) and three (H3K9me2) independent biological replicates for each genotype were performed. *P value was computed for each aggregated point by using the Mann-Whitney U test. (C) Same as B, long EIs. (D) Bar chart showing the average percentage of down or up H3K9me2 peaks identified by differReps analysis in the genome, EIs, EI genes (EIGs), EI gene body, 5′ and 3′ UTRs. Error bars: ±SEM of three biological replicates. *P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0005 (two-way ANOVA, Fisher’s test). (E) ChIP-qPCR validation of a single gene island for H3K9me2. Error bars: ±SEM of three biological replicates. *P < 0.05, ****P < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA, Fisher’s test). (F) Average distribution of methylated cytosine in CG, CHG, and CHH contexts over EIs. Two independent replicates for each genotype were performed. (G) K-means linear clustering of H3K9me2 and H3K27me3 tag densities across EIs and their 900-nt flanking borders as revealed by seqMINER in ccoa39 and WT. (H) Heatmap correlation clustering of EI genes with significant changes in RNA-seq and H3K9me2/H3 ChIP-seq. (I) Integrative Genomics Viewer (77) screenshot of H3, H3K9me2, H3K27me3, RNA, CG, CHG, and CHH methylation profiles in WT and ccoa39 on a single gene-containing island. Each track is normalized against corresponding input samples (ChIP-seq) and by the sequencing depth. Numbers indicate the position of primers used in E.  
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Topo VI subunits in BIN4/MID-YFP co-IPs validated our procedure (Dataset S7). Remarkably, MAT3 coimmunoprecipitated strongly with BIN4. MAT4 also coimmunoprecipitated with BIN4, but apparently to a much lesser extent (Dataset S7). We further investigated the genetic and biochemical interactions between BIN4 and enzymes of the SAM cycle, particularly the very last enzyme MAT3, using bimolecular fluorescence complementation (BiFC). We confirmed the BIN4-MAT3 and BIN4-MS1 interactions in nuclei of transiently agro-transformed Nicotiana benthamiana mesophyll cells (Fig. 5A).

**MAT3 Is Required for H3K9me2.** Given that MAT enzymes synthesize the SAM required for DNA and histone methylation, and that Topo VI is required for proper distribution of H3K9me2 throughout El-containing heterochromatic regions, we hypothesized that disruption of MAT3 affects H3K9me2 deposition. To address this question, we used a recently characterized KD line in which MAT3 3'UTR is interrupted by a T-DNA (53), generating strongly reduced but still detectable transcript levels (SI Appendix, Fig. S11A). We measured H3K9me2 levels at the four TEs strongly de-repressed in Topo VI mutant plants (Fig. 2) by ChIP-qPCR and found decreased levels of H3K9me2 (Fig. 5B). This modest decrease of H3K9me2 might be explained by the hypomorphic nature of the mutation or by a functional redundancy between MAT isoforms that share over 85% amino acid sequence identity (SI Appendix, Fig. S11B).

Fig. 5. MAT3 interacts with Topo VI and is required for H3K9me2 deposition on heterochromatic loci. (A) Protoplasts from transiently agrotransformed N. benthamiana leaves expressing different combination of BiFC vectors, as indicated. Nuclei were stained with Hoechst 33342. (Scale bars, 10 μm.) (B) Chromatin of 3-wk-old WT or MAT KD (here a mixed pool of MATs silenced plants with strong or weak phenotype) rosette leaves, or 6-d-old WT or mat3 cotyledon nuclei was immunoprecipitated with anti-H3K9me2 antibodies and the recovery of TEs known to be reactivated in cau39 was assessed by qPCR. The result is shown as a percentage of recovery normalized against WT. Error bars: ± SEM of two biological replicates. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0005, ****P < 0.0001 (two-way ANOVA, Dunnet’s test). (C) Representative photographs of 4-wk-old WT, MATs silenced plants with stronger (MAT KD+) and weaker (MAT KD) developmental phenotypes, and the mat3 mutant. (D) qRT-PCR analysis of TE transcript abundance in indicated genotypes. Error bars: ±SEM of three biological replicates. *P < 0.05, **P < 0.005, ***P < 0.0005 (Student’s t test).
To test this hypothesis, we took advantage of a homozygous, monoinserional, cosuppressor transgenic line obtained during the process of generating MAT3-YFP overexpressors, that we referred to as MAT3 KD (Fig. 5C and SI Appendix, Fig. S11C). Because of their high DNA sequence identity (SI Appendix, Fig. S11D), all MAT genes were down-regulated in this line (SI Appendix, Fig. S11E). In addition, the stochastic silencing of MAT3 gave rise to different phenotype severities: mildly affected MAT KD plants (Fig. 5C) that accumulated fewer MAT transcripts than WT (SI Appendix, Fig. S11E) and presented a more severe phenotype than mata3 hypomorphic mutant plants (Fig. 5C); and strongly affected MAT KD+ sister plants (Fig. 5C) that accumulated even fewer MAT transcripts (SI Appendix, Fig. S11E). As anticipated, the H3K9me2 decrease was even more pronounced in MAT KD plants than in mata3 (Fig. 5B). These results suggest that MAT isoforms possibly have additive roles in H3K9 dimethylation. Given the decrease of H3K9me2 in MAT-deficient plants, we then determined the extent of TE reactivation in mata3 and MAT KD by qRT-PCR analysis of the same four TEs. We observed increased levels of TE transcripts in mata3 (Fig. 5D). This increase was generally more pronounced in MAT KD plants, particularly in MAT KD+ plants (Fig. 5D). In contrast, we did not observe any significant effect on EI gene expression (SI Appendix, Fig. S11F).

Topo VI Favors MAT Enrichment at Some Heterochromatin Borders and Depletion from EIs. Collectively, our results suggest that Topo VI and MAT3 could act together in maintaining sharp chromatin boundaries by influencing H3K9me2 deposition. We therefore used a newly developed anti-MAT antibody (Agrisera) to test for MAT enrichment at specific loci and a putative Topo VI dependency. First, the specificity of this antibody was validated by immunoblot analysis of protein extracts from WT, MAT KD, and MAT3-YFP overexpressing lines (SI Appendix, Fig. S12A). We then performed ChiP-qPCR using an anti-MAT antibody and chromatin from WT and caa39 plants, to measure the recovery of TEs that are reactivated upon Topo VI or MAT loss of functions. Interestingly, MATs were enriched on all the tested TEs in WT and less in caa39, as compared to an IgG control (Fig. 6A). To specifically evaluate the implication of the Bln4/MID-associated MAT3 isoform, we performed ChiP-qPCR using an anti-GFP antibody and chromatin from two independent MAT3-YFP expressing lines. TEs reactivated in MAT KD and in Topo VI mutant plants were also specifically enriched in the GFP-pulled down chromatin (SI Appendix, Fig. S12B). These results indicate that Topo VI is required for the association of MAT3 with heterochromatic elements.

To test whether Topo VI might also influence the enrichment of MATs at EIs, we performed ChiP-qPCR using an anti-MAT antibody and chromatin from WT and caa39, and analyzed the same EI as in Fig. 4 E and I, which shows increased H3K9me2 levels within the island body (probe 3–4) but decreased levels in the 5′ heterochromatic border (probe 1) in caa39 (Figs. 4 E and I and 6B, Upper). We detected a significant decrease of MAT occupancy in this border in caa39 (Fig. 6 B, Lower, probe 1) and, conversely, significantly enhanced MAT occupancy in the island body (probe 3) in caa39 compared to WT (Fig. 6 B, Lower, probes 3–4). We analyzed three other S-EIs that show decreased gene expression levels (Fig. 3C), increased internal H3K9me2 levels (Fig. 4C and SI Appendix, Fig. S8) and contain a single gene (At4g06634’s and At5g30495’s EIs) or two genes (At2g07340s EIs). We observed trends of decreased MAT occupancy at one or both borders and enhanced MAT occupancy in the island bodies of caa39 (Fig. 6 C–E). These results suggest that the loss of Topo VI leads to MAT redistribution over EIs, which correlates with H3K9me2 redistribution and EIs heterochromatinization in caa39.

Discussion

The Arabidopsis epigenome is largely indexed by discrete chromatin signatures usually corresponding to single genetic element (e.g., a gene or a TE) (49, 54). However, despite this, in Arabidopsis DNA methylation has a known tendency to spread away from many TEs (55), and a few other studies have reported the existence of heterochromatin spreading in plants (56–58). Yet, the mechanisms that repress heterochromatin spreading, hence safeguarding EIs, are poorly understood in plants. Our study confirmed the existence of an insulator-like mechanism that preserves EIs and unveiled the role played by the Topo VI complex in this process. We first provide evidence that Topo VI is required to preserve the euchromatic nature and transcriptional activity of gene islands within pericentromeric and chromosome 4 knob heterochromatic regions.

Indeed, the most remarkable effect of the caa39 mutation was the specific misregulation of pericentromeric elements, with a general down-regulation of EI genes and, inversely, a reactivation of some heterochromatic TEs. We confirmed this peculiar expression pattern in several amorphic and hypomorphic mutants of the Topo VI complex. Surprisingly, EI gene down-regulation is more pronounced in the hypomorphic mutants caa39 and Bin4 KD than in the corresponding null Topo VI mutants that display more severe growth defects. Taking advantage of uncoupled growth defects and gene-expression changes in the hypomorphic caa39 allele, we were able to show that the repression of EI genes is correlated with the invasion of EIs by H3K9me2, indicating that Topo VI is required to prevent the spreading of H3K9me2. Here referred to as a boundary function. The increased expression of some EI genes in the quadruple mutant caa39 suvh456, defective for the H3K9 methylase SUVH4, SUVH5 and SUVH6, as compared with caa39, further supported that H3K9me2 spreading over EI genes participates to some extent in the transcriptional silencing of EI genes in Topo VI-defective plants.

Although it is known that CHG methylation is maintained through a feedback loop with H3K9me2, whole-genome bisulfite sequencing identified no global increase of cytosine methylation over EIs in caa39, but rather a slight decrease of CHG methylation, suggesting that DNA methylation is not involved in the reduced expression of EI genes in Topo VI mutants. Other recent work has also shown that, under specific circumstances, increased H3K9me2 levels do not necessarily result in increased CHG or CHH methylation, and vice versa. For example, the AT-hook protein AHL10 ectopically recruits H3K9me2 to small, AT-rich TEs without coincidental increase in DNA methylation (59). Conversely, the expression of AtCMT3 in Eutrema salsugineum, a Brassicaceae that has lost CMT3 and gene body methylation, induces de novo gene body methylation in CHG, CHH, and CG contexts, but without resulting in stable gain of H3K9me2. Interestingly, CHG hypermethylation in gene bodies is not correlated with consistent changes in gene expression in this case (60). While DNA methylation does not appear to be involved in the reduced expression of EI genes in Topo VI mutants, the question of the relative importance of H3K9me2 remains. Indeed, and first, the level of H3K9me2 in EIs of caa39 is still
significantly lower than in canonical heterochromatin. This might result from histone H3 demethylation, a process that is likely still active in caa39. Alternatively, gain of H3K9me2 could be the outcome of a subset of cells in which caa39 mutation has a strong effect on H3K9me2 boundaries. Second, the negative correlation between EI gene expressions and H3K9me2 changes is good, particularly in small EIs, but not very high, suggesting that H3K9me2 spreading over EI genes cannot entirely explain the transcriptional silencing of EI genes. H3K9me2 spreading on EIs might, in turn, affect the establishment of other chromatin modifications. For example, in the swi6-456 triple mutant, many TEs show a drastic increase in H3K4me1 level, which is associated with transcriptional de-repression and loss of H3K9me2 (61). Therefore, it is plausible that other histone methylation changes also occur in caa39, as we did observe for H3K27me3, which shows an opposite trend to H3K9me2 in EIs. Thus, H3K9me2 spreading might itself perturb H3K27me3 deposition by PRC2 and erasing by trithorax group (trxG) proteins. This second hypothesis is supported by similar observations made in other organisms, where the loss of Swi6/HP1 leads to H3K9me2 spreading across natural constitutive heterochromatin boundaries in fission yeast (62), and alters H3K27me3 deposition on facultative heterochromatin in Neurospora crassa (63).
In animals, insulator proteins like CTCF can participate in several distinct processes, for example, locally as a chromatin barrier and more globally on the formation of topologically associating domains (64). Similarly, the disorganization of chromatins and the partial loss of pericentromeric TE silencing observed in Topo VI mutants might result from the loss of a local boundary function and, possibly, also by the loss of a distinct, more global, architectural function of Topo VI in heterochromatin condensation. Indeed, given that no obvious loss of DNA demethylation and only a partial decrease of H3K9me2 over TE genes were observed in Topo VI mutant plants, the reactivation of TEs is unlikely to be solely explained by decreased levels of these marks, but rather by a combined loosening of their heterochromatic nature and of their higher-order organization. Although causal link between chromatin reorganization and TE reactivation in plants is very disputed, a few recent studies link chromatin organizers with TE corepression and the establishment of chromatin identity along the genome.

Materials and Methods

Detailed descriptions of the experimental methods are provided in SI Appendix, SI Materials and Methods. These include cloning procedures, plant material and growth conditions, DNA preparation, ChIP- and ChIP-seq, anti-5-mec EUSA, Y2H screen, co-IP/MS, Western blot, RNA extraction, qRT-PCR and microarrays, RNA-seq library preparation and sequencing, RNA-seq bioinformatic treatment and analysis, whole-genome bisulfite sequencing, and DNA methylation analysis. ChIP, ChIP-seq analysis, immunofluorescence, transient transformation and protoplasts preparation, confocal and epifluorescence microscopy.

Data Availability. ChIP-seq, RNA-seq, bisulfite sequencing, and microarray datasets have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) database, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo (accession no. GSE129249). Custom scripts used in this study are available in GitHub at https://github.com/michel-terese.
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