Hemangioma of the prostate with retrograde ejaculation - a case report
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ABSTRACT

Introduction and importance: Hemangioma of the prostate is rarely reported. We here describe a hemangioma of the prostate in a 31-year-old man. Case presentation: The history, imaging characteristics, treatment and one year follow-up results were well documented. The chief complaint was retrograde ejaculation. A 3.1 cm × 2.9 cm mass in the prostate was detected by ultrasound. Transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) was performed. Clinical discussion: Pathological examination revealed the mass was hemangioma. Immunohistochemical study found the tissue was SMA, CD34, CD31 positive, but D2-40 negative. Imaging feature combined with pathological result suggests the diagnosis of hemangioma of the prostate. One year follow-up revealed the patient was fertile. Conclusion: We suggest TURP should be performed to remove the hemangioma. Combined treatment is necessary to resolve the patient's infertility.

1. Introduction

Hemangioma is a common disorder pathologically characterized as capillary hemangioma, cavernous hemangioma or racemose hemangioma [1]. The expression of the disease varies substantially regarding affected organs. Hemangioma in the prostate is the most rarely reported hemangioma in urinary system [2]. We report a case of hemangioma of the prostate in a 31-year-old man found by ultrasound with a chief complaint of retrograde ejaculation. The treatment and short-term follow-up results were well documented. This work was reported in line with the SCARE 2020 criteria and the PROCESS criteria [3,4]. The patient gave informed consent for publication.

2. Case report

A 31-year-old male was admitted to hospital complaining of retrograde ejaculation. Sperm density of the patient was merely 1.0 million/mL, which is extremely low referring to the normal value. Oligospermia is defined as a sperm density of less than 20 million/mL [5]. The total number of sperm was only 8.1 million (the normal value is 39 million or more). A large amount of sperm was found in the urine during the first urination after ejaculation, which was in consistent with his chief complaint [6]. The urinary sperm count after ejaculation was 128,000/mL. He also suffered voiding symptoms as acraturesis and weak urine flow. Hematuria, urinary retention, frequency, urgency, or renal function insufficiency was not found in this case. The total prostate specific antigen (PSA) in venous blood was 1.89 mg/L, which was lower than the upper limit of 4 mg/L.

2.1. Imaging characteristics

Transrectal ultrasound: Transrectal ultrasound revealed a 3.1 cm × 2.9 cm mass in prostate (Fig. 1a). The uneven isoechoic mass with abundant blood flow protruded into the bladder. The venous plexus around the prostate was dilated. The bilateral testicles were in smaller size, 35.6 mm × 17.5 mm × 24.0 mm on the left and 37.5 mm × 16.4 mm × 22.6 mm on the right, with normal position and blood flow. The size and shape of bilateral epididymis were normal. Bilateral spermatic vein were normal with the widest inner diameter of 2.2 mm on the left side and 2.1 mm on the right side. No reflow was found during supine position on both side. Reflow was detected during Valsalva maneuver on the right side. The reflow time was more than 6 s. Bilateral seminal...
vesicles, vas deferens and ejaculatory ducts were normal.

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI): MRI found an irregular nodule in the central lobe of the prostate protruded to the bladder. The nodule was about 21 mm × 8 mm × 21 mm in size, showing isointensity on T1-weighted images (Fig. 1b). The mass was hyperintense on T2-weighted images and fat suppression images. Thin layer of low signal capsule with slight enhancement was observed around the nodule. Multiple low signal spots, unclear boundary and enhancement were also observed in T2-weighted images. The prostate capsule was intact, and the surrounding fat tissue was clear. The size and shape of bilateral seminal vesicles were normal. No enlarged lymph node or effusion were found in pelvic cavity. The shape and signal of rectum were normal.

2.2. Management

After spinal anesthesia, the patient was placed in lithotomy position. Resectoscope was inserted transurethrally. Elevated bladder neck was observed (Fig. 1c). Hemangioma at the apex of the prostate was obviously protruding to the bladder cavity. The hemangioma was resected following routine transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP) procedure. No adjuvant management was given after TURP. Urinary catheter was removed 1 week after surgery. The patient accepted the management strategy and shared no other perspective on the treatments.

2.3. Pathological findings

2.3.1. Pathological examination

A 1 cm × 1 cm tissue taken from the prostate was monitored. Abundant smooth muscle and sinus like vessels were observed in the tissue. The tissue section was reviewed by two pathologists. The pathological diagnosis was hemangioma (Fig. 1d).

2.3.2. Immunohistochemical study

Immunohistochemical staining exhibited SMA, CD34 and CD31 were highly expressed in the tissue, but D2–40 was negative. The expression pattern was consistent with the pathological diagnosis of hemangioma.

2.4. Follow up

The patient was discharged from the hospital with satisfactory urinary stream. At 1-year follow-up, the patient didn’t complain of any voiding problem. Transrectal ultrasound showed no recurrence of the hemangioma in prostate. But he still suffered from low semen volume and oligospermia caused by retrograde ejaculation. The urinary sperm count after ejaculation was 94,000/mL. The patient’s sperm density increased to 6.5 million/mL in the 1 year follow-up. Infertility was diagnosed after 1-year sexual activity after the surgery without any form of conception control. Thus, assisted reproductive technology was recommended.

3. Discussion

Due to the scarcity of hemangioma in the prostate, diagnosis and management remain difficult for practitioners. In all reported cases, diagnosis was elusive until made by pathologists [2,7–9]. Surgical complication even lead to one patient’s death caused by uncontrollable bleeding [9]. Its nature history and management decision making merit further study.

The chief complaint of the present case was retrograde ejaculation. Various clinical manifestation has been reported, including urine retention [2], nocturia, hematuria [9], hematospermia or post-ejaculation urethral bleeding [8]. No specific manifestation distinguishes hemangioma of the prostate from benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH). Hematospermia and urethral bleeding are commonly observed in infectious conditions or malignant disease. So the diagnosis had to be made according to pathological examination in previously reported cases. The young age (31 years) of our patient might distinct the mass from BPH. Normal PSA level was helpful to distinguish the mass from malignant lesion.

Due to the lack of featured complaint, cystoscopy and transrectal power Doppler ultrasonography were recommended to be employed for
diagnosis. Cystoscopy showed bladder trabeculation and injected bladder mucosa in one case [9]. The mass protruding into bladder cavity was observed during TURP performed in our case. Although infectious bleeding or bladder cancer can be discerned by cystoscopy, we found it difficult to distinguish hemangioma from BPH. Transrectal power Doppler ultrasonography and MRI are able to show the difference of benign prostatic hyperplasia and hemangioma. In addition, the resected tissue was evaluated by immunohistochemistry which demonstrated positive expression of smooth muscle marker (SMA), mesenchymal marker (CD34) and vascular endothelial marker (CD31), and the expression of mesothelial marker (D2–40) was negative. This particular expressing pattern and irregular vasculature containing structure along with prostate tissue in histological section gave rise to the diagnosis of hemangioma originated from prostate.

TURP was performed for the patient in our report. Neither intraoperative nor postoperative complication occurred. In previous reports, patients were treated as BPH by perineal intracapsular prostatectomy or transurethral resection [9]. Postoperative urine retention was found in one patient subjected to transurethral resection, followed by self-catheterization [8]. Continuous bleeding of surgical wound on the prostate occurred in the patient treated by perineal intracapsular prostatectomy, which resulted in the patient’s death [9].

In the present case, TURP relieved the voiding symptom. But the follow up results revealed removal of the hemangioma was not enough to improve the sperm density suggesting the anatomical cause of retrograde ejaculation had not been restored. The hemangioma may have affected the internal sphincter near the bladder neck, resulting in retrograde ejaculation. It should be noted that the thermal effect from the full resection of a hemangioma can also damage the internal sphincter, causing an ongoing instability of the internal sphincter after the surgery. Despite the fact that the patient still reported low semen volume after surgery, the sperm density was higher than it was before surgery. Retrograde ejaculation is the main cause of 1.2% cases of infertility [10]. The benefit from treatments that include electro-ejaculation [11,12], penile vibratory stimulation [13] and oral medication is controversial [6]. Therefore, assisted reproductive technology might be the recourse for the patient in our case.

4. Conclusion

We present a rare case of hemangioma affecting the prostate with chief complaint of infertility. We recommend TURP should be performed to remove the hemangioma completely. A complete resection prevents recurrence, and relieves the voiding symptoms. In addition, tissue for histological examination can be obtained, which is essential for a clear diagnosis. Because of the complexity of etiology, combined treatment is necessary to resolve the patient’s infertility.
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