Negative DNA supercoiling makes protein-mediated looping deterministic and ergodic within the bacterial doubling time
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ABSTRACT

Protein-mediated DNA looping is fundamental to gene regulation and such loops occur stochastically in purified systems. Additional proteins increase the probability of looping, but these probabilities maintain a broad distribution. For example, the probability of lac repressor-mediated looping in individual molecules ranged 0–100%, and individual molecules exhibited representative behavior only in observations lasting an hour or more. Titrating with HU protein progressively compacted the DNA without narrowing the 0–100% distribution. Increased negative supercoiling produced an ensemble of molecules in which all individual molecules more closely resembled the average. Furthermore, in only 12 min of observation, well within the doubling time of the bacterium, most molecules exhibited the looping probability of the ensemble. DNA supercoiling, an inherent feature of all genomes, appears to impose time-constrained, emergent behavior on otherwise random molecular activity.

INTRODUCTION

Protein-mediated DNA looping is a ubiquitous regulatory process that compacts the genome and may regulate transcription, make DNA more, or less, accessible for replication and facilitate repair (1–5). For instance, effectively switching on or off genes of the lac operon in response to the availability of lactose is advantageous (6,7). One would expect molecules displaying regulatory looping to exhibit behavior typical of the ensemble, and such ergodic behavior should be observable on an appropriate timescale for cellular biochemistry. Yet, in the thermal bath of the eukaryotic nucleus, or prokaryotic nucleoid, molecular motion is random and the behavior of a molecule can only be described in probabilistic terms (8,9). Single-molecule experimentation allows fluctuation analysis (10–12) and has revealed very heterogeneous behavior of molecules (13–18). Measurements have shown that protein-mediated loops form and rupture stochastically, and the lifetimes of such loops span several orders of magnitude (19–22). Notably, different DNA molecules in the single-molecule measurements behaved very differently during observations over a time span of ~30 min, comparable to the doubling time of Escherichia coli (23), the bacterium in which LacI-mediated DNA looping is physiologically relevant. Such heterogeneity seems ill-suited for biochemical reactions presumably designed to achieve a certain regulatory outcome.

Extending the interval of observation might produce a more homogeneous response (24,25), but other factors might also change looping dynamics to create similar homogeneity within a biologically relevant time interval such as the mitotic cycle. For example, the activity of biological molecules depends on their ionic environment (26) and bacteria like E. coli must contend with large variations in salt concentrations (27). In addition, there is evidence for subdiffusive behavior of biomolecules in cells (28–31). These complicate the identification of factors that tune looping dynamics, but it might be possible to identify such factors as those that produce time-constrained ergodic responses (32,33).

Nucleoid-associated proteins that are abundant in bacteria and are thought to decorate the bacterial genome, organizing and compacting the DNA (34,35), are among possible factors. The HU protein, an abundant nucleoid-associated architectural protein, seems a likely candidate, since knockouts and mutants profoundly modify the E. coli
(K12) transcriptome (36,37), and it has been observed to facilitate protein-mediated looping, although not against tension (38). Alternatively, evidence that supercoiling might be important had already appeared in earlier reports of comparisons of LacI dissociation from linearized or supercoiled plasmids containing the O1 and O2 operators separated by 400 bp (39).

In the experiments described below, the distribution of probabilities of DNA looping mediated by the lac repressor was measured versus various concentrations of the HU protein and levels of negative supercoiling. The loop size was 400 bp, which is an optimal compromise of minimizing the separation between binding sites to favor looping and utilizing long enough DNA to overcome stiffness. Furthermore, 400 bp loops are common in bacterial genomes (40). Only supercoiling under tension significantly altered stochastic DNA looping to produce homogeneous behavior among a large set of molecules and on the timescale commensurate with the doubling time of the bacterium.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Preparation of proteins and DNA constructs**

LacI was provided by Kathleen Matthews (Rice University). *Escherichia coli* HU protein was overexpressed in *E. coli* strain BE257recA (C600 leu, pro, lac, tonA, str, recA) carrying plasmid pRLM118 where the uppA and hupB genes are under control of the lambda PL promoter. To express and purify *E. coli* HU protein, the *E. coli* strain BE257recA/pRLM118 was grown in 4 l of terrific broth (LB) containing 50 μg/ml of ampicillin at 30°C with vigorous aeration to OD600 reaching ~0.7, and then switched to 42°C by adding 500 ml of 66°C terrific broth per liter and grown for an additional 50 min at 42°C. The cells were harvested by centrifugation at 6000 rpm at 4°C for 15 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the cell pellet was resuspended in a cold cell lysis buffer (25 mM HEPES·KOH, pH 7.6, 1 M KCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.25 mg/ml lysozyme and 1 mM PMSF). The cells in the cold buffer were incubated on ice for 1 h, then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored in a −80°C freezer. On the following day, the *E. coli* cells were thawed in a 4°C water bath. After the thawing, the cell lysate was sonicated on ice six times at 30 W with 2-min interval between each sonication and centrifugated at 18 000 rpm for 60 min. The supernatant was dialyzed against buffer A (25 mM HEPES·KOH, pH 7.6, 50 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.25 mg/ml lysozyme, 10% glycerol and 1 mM PMSF) overnight and then loaded onto a 40 ml SP Sepharose FF column equilibrated with buffer A. The HU protein was eluted with a 300 ml NaCl gradient of 0.1–0.6 M NaCl in buffer A. The peak fractions were pooled, dialyzed against buffer A overnight and loaded onto a DNA-cellulose column. The HU protein was eluted with a 300 ml NaCl gradient of 0.1–0.6 M NaCl in buffer A. The purity of *E. coli* HU protein was monitored using 20% SDS-PAGE and the concentration was determined using the Lowry assay (Bio-Rad). The purified *E. coli* HU protein is free of nuclease contaminations as determined in the Leng laboratory.

DNA constructs (Supplementary Figure S1) were built similarly to others previously described (38). All DNA fragments for tethered particle motion (TPM) experiments were amplified by PCR using pO1O2 (41) or pZV.2L400 (42) plasmids, as templates, digoxigenin- and biotin-labeled sense and antisense primers (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, or Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) (Supplementary Tables S1 and S2), dNTPs (Fermentas–Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Pittsburgh, PA) and Taq DNA polymerase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA). The final 831-bp-long DNA amplicons contained centrally located O1 and O2 operators separated by 400 bp (O1-400-O2 DNA; Supplementary Figure S1A).

DNA tethers used in the magnetic tweezer (MT) measurements were built by amplifying a 3352-, 2115- or 2011-bp-long main fragment containing the O1-400-O2 segment in the middle (Supplementary Figure S1B) and using T7 ligase (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA) to attach ~150-bp-long multiply digoxigenin-, or biotin-, labeled DNA fragments at opposite ends. These termini were necessary to securely attach one end of the main DNA fragment to an anti-digoxigenin-coated, flow-chamber surface and the other end to a streptavidin-coated bead.

The main fragments were amplified with a dNTP mix (Fermentas–Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Pittsburgh, PA) and a forward primer containing an ApaI restriction site paired with a reverse primer containing an XmaI restriction site. A double digestion of the amplicon with ApaI and XmaI was purified prior to ligation. Approximately 150-bp biotin- or digoxigenin-labeled DNA anchor fragments were generated by ApaI or XmaI restriction in the middle of 302-bp PCR amplicons produced using dATP, dCTP, dGTP, dTTP (Fermentas–Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Pittsburgh, PA) and digoxigenin-11-dUTP (Roche Life Science, Indianapolis, IN) or biotin-11-dUTP (Invitrogen, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY) in a molar ratio of 1:1:1:0.7:0.3. The product of ligation of the main fragment and two anchor fragments was purified and the total variation in DNA tether length, due to random placement of 30% biotin or digoxigenin along the anchor fragments, did not exceed 50 bp (16 nm) (38).

Details about template and primers that were used to generate the TPM and MT DNA constructs are summarized in Supplementary Table S1.

**Microchamber preparation**

Parafilm gaskets were fashioned with a laser cutter (VLS 860, Universal Laser Systems, Middletown, CT) supported on microscope slides, and coverslips were placed on top. Each gasket included inlet and outlet reservoirs positioned just beyond the edges of the coverslip and connected through narrow inlet and outlet channels to the central observation area. The assembly was heated briefly on a hot plate at the minimum setting to seal the components together. The narrow channels reduced evaporation of buffer, while nonhomogeneous flow through the triangular shape of the observation area produced a gradient of tether densities. DNA tethers in λ buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.4, 200 mM KCl, 5% DMSO, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mg/ml α-casein; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) were introduced into the chamber and attached through a single digoxigenin (TPM) or a multiply digoxigenin-labeled tail (MT) to the coverslip coated with anti-digoxigenin (Roche Life Science,
Indianapolis, IN). The opposite end of the DNA was attached to a streptavidin-coated bead via a single biotin (TPM) or a multiply biotin-labeled tail (MT).

The beads used in TPM measurements were 0.32 μm diameter, streptavidin-coated polystyrene beads (Spherotech, Lake Forest, IL), while the beads used in MT measurements were 1.0 μm diameter, streptavidin-coated superparamagnetic beads (Dynabead MyOne Streptavidin T1, Invitrogen, Grand Island, NY).

TPM experiments

All TPM experiments were conducted in λ buffer at room temperature. The LacI protein was introduced into the chamber before recording for ∼30 min or longer. For long experiments (up to 5 h), after adding the LacI protein the inlet and outlet of the chamber were sealed with grease to avoid evaporation.

A Leica DM LB-100 microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) with an oil-immersion objective (63×, NA 0.6–1.4) and differential interference contrast optics was used to observe tethered beads with a CV-A60 video camera (JAI, Copenhagen, Denmark). The absolute XY positions of each bead were recorded at 50 Hz with a custom LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, TX) program. Vibrational or mechanical drift in the position of each tethered bead was removed by subtracting the average position of multiple, stuck reference bead(s) within the same field of view (43,44). The excursion of each tether was then calculated as

\[
(\rho)_{s8} = \sqrt{\left(\langle x - (x)_{s8}\rangle\right)^2 + \left(\langle y - (y)_{s8}\rangle\right)^2},
\]

in which \(\langle x \rangle_{s8}\) and \(\langle y \rangle_{s8}\) are 8-s moving averages representing the coordinates of the anchor point of a bead. Changes in the excursion of the bead reflect conformational (length) changes of the DNA tether (45–47).

The beads that exhibited \((x, y)\) position distributions with a ratio of the major to minor axes of >1.07 were discarded (∼20–30%), since they were likely to be tethered by multiple DNA molecules (44). The excursion data from the time records of the beads, in the same experimental conditions, passed this ‘symmetry test’ were pooled to generate probability distribution histograms. These summarized the average excursion distribution and, in the presence of LacI, included three peaks (two looped states and one unlooped state) (38). The histogram of each selected temporal trace was fitted with three Gaussians, and then the looping probability was calculated by dividing the area under the Gaussians corresponding to the two looped states (peaks with shorter excursion) by the total area under all three Gaussians. The mean value of looping probability under each protein condition was weighted by the length of each trace.

MT experiments

The permanent magnets used in an MT can move vertically along and rotate around the optical axis of the microscope to change the tension, or torsion, on the beads, and thus twist the DNA tethers. The hardware, as well as the bead tracking algorithms, has been previously described (42). Briefly, prior to the start of an experiment, a piezoelectrically controlled objective scanner is used to vary the focus and record a series of images of beads in a field of view. The diffraction patterns of the beads change size as a function of focus and constitute a lookup table that can be used to determine the z position. Then, during the course of an experiment with a stationary objective, the x and y coordinates are determined using the radial symmetry of the diffraction patterns of the beads. Those same patterns are matched to entries in the focal stack that they most closely resemble, yielding the z position. The extension of each DNA tether is calculated using the distance between an immobile reference bead attached to the surface and a tethered bead exhibiting Brownian excursions. Stretching DNA tethers under high tension (∼2 pN) extends a 2115- or 2011-bp DNA template to ∼0.7 or 0.67 μm, which was used to identify tethers with acceptable contour lengths. Twisting DNA tethers to record an extension versus twist curve identifies beads tethered by single DNA molecules for inclusion in the analysis. Selected tethers were recorded for 3 min under three different levels of tension (0.25, 0.45 or 0.75 pN) and at a series of twist settings to determine the DNA extension in each condition before adding LacI protein. After introducing 1 nM LacI protein, x, y, z and τ data were recorded for 20 min at each selected tension and twist setting. The DNA extension versus time data were then analyzed to identify probable looping events and calculate looping probability (38).

The position versus time records for each bead were taken holding the magnet at an integral number of turns. However, since there were multiple number of tethers in a field of view, the torsionally relaxed state of each DNA tether was not synchronized with the initial ‘zero’ rotation of the magnet. Thus, the torsionally relaxed state of DNA molecule was obtained by fitting the extension versus twist curve for each molecule with a parabola (Supplementary Figure S2). Then, the effective number of turns applied to a DNA molecule was calculated by subtracting the center of the extension versus twist curve from the turns introduced with the magnet. Finally, the supercoiling density was calculated as the effective number of turns divided by the twist of a torsionally relaxed DNA (number of base pairs/helical pitch).

Looping probability distributions as a function of observation periods

For individual tethers, the duration of looped (τl) and unlooped (τu) states was determined using the total variation denoising algorithm (48), and the looping probability was calculated as

\[
P = \frac{\sum \tau_l}{\sum \tau_l + \sum \tau_u}.
\]

When the time series was divided into shorter intervals, the looping probability was calculated as

\[
P_{\tau T} = \frac{\sum_T \tau_l}{\sum_T \tau_l + \sum_T \tau_u},
\]

where \(\sum_T\) refers to the sum within a time interval \(T\). Five-hour-long TPM measurements were subdivided into intervals of 10, 20, 40, 60, 80 or 100 min. Twenty-minute-long MT records were subdivided into intervals of 2, 5, 10, 12, 15 or 18 min. Distributions of \(P_{\tau T}\) and \(P\) were processed with the ‘ecdf’ function of MATLAB to obtain the empirical cumulative distribution of looping probabilities for each time interval.

RESULTS

Previous work has shown that the probability of LacI-mediated looping in torsionally unconstrained DNA
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molecules with two LacI binding sites (operators) depends on the protein concentration (38). When LacI concentration is low, neither operator may be occupied by a LacI tetramer, the looping probability is low, so the DNA tether remains extended, and the attached bead exhibits large excursions. When the concentration is high, both operators become occupied by tetramers that, not being able to bind to each other, cannot form loops. Excursions are large for beads attached to these tethers as well. Only at intermediate concentrations, in which one tetramer may bridge two operators, does the probability of looping increase significantly. When loops form, the tether is less extended, and the excursions of the attached bead are restricted. Average looping probability, calculated as the time spent in the looped state over the total observation time, is indicated by crosses in Figure 1, which summarizes ~30-min-long TPM (19,44,45) measurements of LacI-mediated DNA looping between the strong O1 and weaker O2 operators separated by 400 bp (38).

Although the average behavior of the population of DNA tethers is clear and follows expectation, the looping probabilities of individual DNA tethers under any given LacI concentration are very heterogeneous. Indeed, the whiskers of each box in Figure 1A range from 0% to 100% looping probability. Raw data displaying this behavior are shown in representative temporal records for DNA tethers exposed to 0.5 nM LacI (Figure 1B). Each time record corresponds to a different DNA tether. Unlooped states have a larger excursion (tall/red signal), and looped states have a smaller excursion (short/blue and green) (49). Clearly, loop formation and breakdown occur randomly. However, some tethers are never looped, some are always looped and some toggle between looped and unlooped states with various degrees of probability.

To verify that the heterogeneity observed was indeed due to the activity of single lac repressors and not variations among DNA molecules introduced during PCR, a control experiment was performed in which excursions of the tethered beads were monitored for 30 min, and then the first solution containing LacI was washed away with λ buffer supplemented with high salt concentration (1 M KCl). To verify washing, the excursions of the same tethered beads were then monitored for 20 min in which no looping was observed. Finally, an identical solution of protein was introduced into the microchamber and excursions of the bead were monitored for another 30 min. For comparison, the percentages of time spent by individual tethers in the looped state during the two observation periods (before and after washout of LacI) were calculated. Supplementary Figure S3 shows the lack of correlation between the looping probabilities measured on individual DNA tethers before and after, suggesting that the looping behavior of a DNA tether is dictated by variations in the activity of associated individual LacI proteins in the two observation periods. While there may be variation in the activity of individual LacI enzymes, the following experiments on supercoiled DNA molecules established conditions in which all DNA tethers exhibited a narrow range of looping probabilities within observations on the timescale of the doubling time of E. coli. Thus, activity variations do not appear to be significant.

![Figure 1](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/doi/10.1093/nar/gkab946/4414509)

**Figure 1.** The looping probabilities of different DNA tethers vary widely. (A) The calculated looping probabilities of individual tethers exposed to a range of LacI concentrations are summarized in a box-whisker plot. The whiskers span the entire range of probabilities of the ensemble of DNA tethers monitored in each condition. As the LacI concentration was titrated from 0 to 20 nM, the looping probability increased from 0 to ~45 and then fell again to 0 when repressor molecules saturated the binding sites. Note, however, that at each concentration of LacI, the looping probabilities based on 30-min observations varied from 0% to 100%. The upper and lower borders of the boxes indicate the upper and lower quartiles, respectively. The midline and cross of each box indicate the median and average of the distribution of looping probabilities, respectively. Schematic diagrams of prevalent DNA/LacI configurations are depicted below their corresponding LacI concentrations. (B) Representative temporal records of the TPM excursion parameter ($\langle \rho^2 \rangle$) with 0.5 nM LacI are ranked by looping probability from 0 (top) to 1 (bottom). Unlooped states have larger excursions (tall/red signal) and looped states have narrower excursions (short/blue and green signal). The actual ($\langle \rho^2 \rangle$) values are encoded using the color scale at right.

Such extreme variation in the stability of lac-mediated loops might occur in vivo, but it is difficult to rationalize how it could benefit E. coli bacteria that must calibrate a response to lactose. Thus, there might be factors in vivo that turn looping into a deterministic process. Since
protein-mediated looping is a ubiquitous regulatory mechanism across biological kingdoms, this question transcends the specific organism and is relevant for cells of all organisms. We hypothesized that genome-compacting proteins and DNA supercoiling, which are common to all species, might decrease the variation in looping probability, because they compact DNA, change DNA flexibility and reduce the distance between sites joined by the looping protein. As a model genome-compacting protein, we chose the nucleoid-associated protein HU that is abundant in bacteria, binds nonspecifically to DNA, contributes to the overall architecture of the genome, facilitates protein-mediated looping and influences DNA replication and transcription (38,50–54).

To determine whether HU can eliminate variation in looping probabilities, TPM experiments were conducted at one LacI concentration (2.5 nM), while the HU concentration was titrated from 0 to ~1 µM. The LacI concentration was chosen such that it would be easy to measure with negligible uncertainty, and increases or decreases in the looping probability would be obvious (38). As shown previously by our lab and others, in the salt condition we use here, the magnitude of excursions of beads tethered to single DNA molecules decreases as HU concentrations increase (38,55–58); this protein-induced DNA compaction facilitates looping (38). Indeed, as shown in Figure 2A, increasing the HU concentration increases the median looping probability driving it from ∼20% to 80%. However, the looping probabilities of single DNA tethers ranged from 0% to 100% at each HU concentration as shown by the whisks in the plot. The representative temporal traces in Figure 2B show tethers that never looped, tethers that remained looped throughout the observation and others that toggled between the looped and unlooped states. This is similar to what was observed for LacI-induced looping without additional factors (Figure 1), indicating that HU, despite its ability to compact DNA and favor looping overall, did not reduce the variation in the looping probabilities of different DNA tethers.

DNA supercoiling is a second factor responsible for genome compaction in live cells. It refers to the over- or underwinding of a DNA molecule, which is often quantified as the ratio of the number of turns added to a DNA molecule over the number of helical turns in the torsionally relaxed state. In live cells, DNA supercoiling is ubiquitous and dynamic (35,59,60), genomes are negatively supercoiled overall, and it has been shown that DNA unwinding under low, physiological forces compacts DNA in a way that facilitates looping by proteins (38).

MTs (61) were used to modulate supercoiling and measure its effect on LacI-induced looping, focusing on the level of heterogeneity of the looping probabilities of different tethers. In these experiments, the magnetic field generated by a pair of magnets above the microchamber attracts and orients the beads applying tension to the DNA. In addition, if the magnets are rotated, DNA will be twisted. If DNA is twisted under low tension, either winding or unwinding will induce plectonemes that decrease the extension of the DNA. LacI-mediated loops persist long enough in supercoiled DNA tethers to reduce the average tether length. These stable intervals of reduced extension mark loop formation and breakdown and endure longer as (−) supercoiling increases.

Figure 3 summarizes measurements of LacI-mediated looping probability for the 2115- and 2011-bp DNA tethers unwound to different degrees under three different tensions. Tension completely suppressed looping in torsionally relaxed DNA, σ ≈ 0‰, but negative supercoiling compensated and progressively increased the looping probability at all three levels of tension. This is consistent with enhanced binding of LacI to negatively supercoiled DNA (62). As
expected based on previously published data, increasing (negative) supercoiling drove the looping probability to 100% (38). However, it is remarkable that looping probabilities measured for different DNA tethers were tightly grouped around the median values. Whereas the ensemble of torsionally unconstrained DNA tethers in TPM experiments displayed the entire range of looping probabilities, negative supercoiling dramatically reduced variation and produced quite uniform, deterministic behavior at each of the three tensions. This uniformity is illustrated in Figure 3B, in which individual time traces, recorded under 0.45 pN of tension and $\sigma \approx -2.5\%$, are quite similar and exhibit frequent switching between unlooped (tall/red) and looped (short/blue-green) states. The effect of positive supercoiling was previously investigated, but (+) winding facilitated looping only at very low forces (0.25 pN). In addition, at higher forces or $\sigma$, the signal was noisy and loops were difficult to distinguish unambiguously (38). Genomic DNA has large negatively supercoiled regions as shown by psoralen staining of eukaryotic cells (60) or bacteria (35), and plasmids extracted from bacteria display supercoiling as low as $-7.75\%$ and rise to $-6.5\%$ as cultures reach saturation (41). Here, much lower levels of supercoiling shift the equilibrium completely to the looped state.

Since single supercoiled molecules observed over a period of 20 min behaved ergodically, while single, torsionally relaxed molecules, observed for 30 min, did not, we conducted several much longer, up to 5-h, recordings of LacI-mediated looping in torsionally relaxed DNA using TPM (Supplementary Figure S4). We then measured the looping probabilities over temporal windows of different lengths, ranging from 10 min to the entire 5-h-long recording. Figure 4A is an overlay of the cumulative probability distributions for looping percentages calculated for entire 5-h records (black) or divided into shorter segments of 10 min (blue), 30 min (green), 40 min (red), 60 min (cyan), 80 min (magenta) and 100 min (yellow). At least 60 min of observation is required to accurately sample the dynamics of LacI-mediated looping in a DNA construct containing the O1 and O2 operators separated by 400 bp; i.e. ergodicity is attained with recordings of no less than 60 min. The looping probability in records for unwound DNA was analyzed in a similar manner and showed that a cumulative distribution of looping probabilities equivalent to that attained in 20-min-long measurements is achieved in just 12 min (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure S5).

DISCUSSION

Supercoiling makes protein-mediated looping deterministic

In the absence of supercoiling, the probabilities of LacI-mediated DNA looping for individual DNA tethers with, as well as without, HU range from 0% to 100%. In these conditions, in order for the protein to connect them, the LacI binding sites must juxtapose by 3D diffusion opposed by a high-energy barrier. HU protein helps to overcome this barrier and enhance looping by compacting the DNA to reduce the separation between the operators to be bridged. In contrast, supercoiling induces plectonemes that allow slithering of DNA segments past one another (63,64). Thus, the operators may juxtapose through 1D diffusion, across a much lower energy barrier. By reducing the dimensionality of the path to juxtaposition, supercoiling produced homogeneous looping probabilities for different DNA tethers that could not be achieved by adding HU to facilitate juxtaposition in three dimensions.
Since HU binding is known to supercoil DNA (65), it might similarly alter looping in a torsionally constrained DNA tether. This was not possible in a TPM experiment (Figure 2) in which single-bond attachments of the DNA to the surfaces would swivel to release any torsion. However, previously published data (38) showed that 1056 nM HU, which produces a median value of 85% average loop-
without supercoiling was extreme and seemingly at odds with a molecular system designed to respond to the presence of lactose. Such a system was expected to be ergodic, such that sufficiently long observations of single members of the ensemble would have exhibited the statistical behavior of the whole ensemble. When several much longer, 5-h, recordings of LacI-mediated looping in torsionally relaxed DNA were acquired using TPM and the looping probabilities were measured over temporal windows of different lengths, it was clear that observations for periods \(< 60\) min exhibit a tail of high looping probabilities that is not present in distributions from longer observations. Only recordings \(\geq 60\) min produce distributions like the 5-h distribution. In other words, observations \(>1\) h are required to accurately sample the dynamics of LacI-mediated looping in a DNA construct containing the O1 and O2 operators separated by 400 bp; i.e. ergodicity behavior is not displayed in recordings of \(< 60\) min. This is due to the inherent stochastic nature of protein-mediated looping. If, however, DNA molecules are supercoiled, the reduced dimensionality modulating juxtaposition of the protein binding sites accelerates dynamics such that all DNA tethers exhibit similar looping probability, and the statistical behavior of the ensemble can be revealed in much shorter observations of a single molecule. Indeed, analysis of looping probability in records for unwound DNA shows that a cumulative distribution of looping probabilities equivalent to that attained in 20-min-long measurements is achieved in just 12 min (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure S5B). Thus, looping dynamics in supercoiled DNA are deterministic within the timescale of the doubling time of the bacteria, effectively the cell cycle.

**CONCLUSION**

Recently, LacI was observed to hop along the double helix (70). This feature together with negative supercoiling is probably key for the protein to efficiently locate a binding site, contact a secondary binding site and maintain a conformation such that sufficiently long observations of single members of the ensemble would have exhibited the statistical behavior of the whole ensemble. When several much longer, 5-h, recordings of LacI-mediated looping in torsionally relaxed DNA were acquired using TPM and the looping probabilities were measured over temporal windows of different lengths, it was clear that observations for periods \(< 60\) min exhibit a tail of high looping probabilities that is not present in distributions from longer observations. Only recordings \(\geq 60\) min produce distributions like the 5-h distribution. In other words, observations \(>1\) h are required to accurately sample the dynamics of LacI-mediated looping in a DNA construct containing the O1 and O2 operators separated by 400 bp; i.e. ergodicity behavior is not displayed in recordings of \(< 60\) min. This is due to the inherent stochastic nature of protein-mediated looping. If, however, DNA molecules are supercoiled, the reduced dimensionality modulating juxtaposition of the protein binding sites accelerates dynamics such that all DNA tethers exhibit similar looping probability, and the statistical behavior of the ensemble can be revealed in much shorter observations of a single molecule. Indeed, analysis of looping probability in records for unwound DNA shows that a cumulative distribution of looping probabilities equivalent to that attained in 20-min-long measurements is achieved in just 12 min (Figure 4B and Supplementary Figure S5B). Thus, looping dynamics in supercoiled DNA are deterministic within the timescale of the doubling time of the bacteria, effectively the cell cycle.
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