EXPERIENCE OF OMOM CAPSULE 2 ENDOSCOPY SYSTEM FOR SUSPECTED SMALL BOWEL PATHOLOGY IN A TROPICAL COUNTRY
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ABSTRACT

Background: Capsule endoscopy (CE) is a useful tool in evaluating small bowel pathologies. The experience regarding the diagnostic efficiency of OMOM capsule 2 (Jinshan Science and Technology Company, Chongqing, China) in tropical countries are limited. There is controversy regarding the use of capsule endoscopy in resource poor tropical countries.

Methods: This was a prospective observational study conducted during a 24-month period from January 2015 to December 2016. The aim was to evaluate the diagnostic efficiency of OMOM capsule 2 (Jinshan Science and Technology Company, Chongqing, China) for various indications such as obscure GI bleed (OGIB), chronic diarrhea, and abdominal pain. A total of nineteen patients were included among which nine were for obscure GI bleed, one case of unexplained abdominal pain and remaining nine patients were for chronic diarrhea.

Results: Abnormal findings were noted in six out of the nine patients (66.7%) with OGIB and chronic diarrhea respectively. Findings in patients presenting with OGIB included two cases of angioectasia, two cases of hookworm infestation, one case of small bowel ulcers and one case with two lesions which were angioectasia with jejunal ulcer. The remaining three cases had a normal study. CE findings in patients with chronic diarrhea were two cases of intestinal lymphangiectasia, one case with features suggestive of intestinal villous atrophy with scalloped valvulae conniventes and later diagnosed as tropical sprue, one case of celiac disease with persistent symptoms despite gluten free diet on CE had ulcerated atrophy with scalloped valvulae conniventes and later diagnosed as tropical sprue, on histopathology.

Conclusion: The OMOM capsule 2 endoscopy system is safe and has similar diagnostic efficacy as the other capsule endoscopic systems currently in use among patients presenting with obscure GI bleed and chronic diarrhea.

INTRODUCTION

Capsule endoscopy is a useful tool in the evaluation of patients with gastrointestinal bleed especially when EGD and colonoscopy are unremarkable. The other indications of CE are suspected Crohn’s disease, polyps syndromes such as familial adenomatous polyposis syndrome, Peutz-Jeghers syndrome, chronic unexplained abdominal pain and chronic diarrhea.1 Push enteroscopy allows visualization up-to proximal jejunum.
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This limitation was overcome by Double balloon and single balloon enteroscopy whereby the entire small bowel can be visualized by an antegrade or combination of antegrade and retrograde approach. Balloon enteroscopy is generally preferred in suspected small bowel obstruction where by balloon dilatation can be done for strictures, resection of polyps, retrieval of foreign bodies, and in few instances placement of metallic stents.2 The advantages of CE are that it can be done in outpatient basis, allows visualization of the entire small bowel with no requirement for sedation as it is non-invasive. However, its use is limited by the cost, inability to take samples for histopathology and carry out endoscopic therapy. We wanted to assess the diagnostic efficiency and
share our experience of OMOM capsule 2 (Jinshan Science and Technology Company, Chongqing, China) for various indications such as obscure GI bleed (OGIB), chronic diarrhea, and abdominal pain.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

Consecutive patients with suspected small intestinal pathology seen at Institute of Medical Gastroenterology, Madras Medical College, India from January 1st 2015 up to December 31st 2016 were recruited. Indications were obscure GI bleed, suspected Crohn’s disease, chronic diarrhea, and chronic unexplained abdominal pain. Pregnant subjects, and patients with small bowel obstruction, swallowing disorders, cardiac pacemakers were excluded from the study. The study was approved by the Hospital Ethics Committee. Informed consent was taken prior to the procedure.

Capsule endoscopy was carried out using of OMOM capsule 2 (Jinshan Science and Technology Company, Chongqing, China). The dimensions are 25.4 mm x 11 mm and weighs 4.5 grams. The battery life is approximately 10 hours which takes > 50,000 images in total. The images were transmitted by a radiofrequency transmitter and captured by the antenna system which is integrated into the belt which is connected to a recorder that stores the images.

Capsule endoscopy was carried out after bowel preparation with polyethylene glycol and 12 hour overnight fasting. The recorder assembly which consists of the sensor belt along with the recorder were worn over the abdomen at the umbilical level. Half an hour prior to the procedure 600 mg of simethicone solution was given. Patients swallowed the OMOM capsule with a glass of water. The patients were allowed to take clear colorless liquids 4 hours after swallowing the capsule and were kept in hospital for the complete duration of the procedure. The recording was continued until the CE battery ran out of charge after which the assembly was switched off and the data was downloaded to a computer workstation using proprietary software of the OMOM capsule endoscopy system. Using this software, the images were analyzed and read by a single investigator and reviewed by two senior gastroenterologist with extensive experience in capsule endoscopy. Patients were reviewed after 1 week to assess for any complications such as capsule retention, and if patients didn’t notice to have passed the capsule in stool an abdominal x ray was done.

**Statistics Analysis**

Quantitative variables were expressed as mean±SD values, qualitative variables as percentages.

**RESULTS**

Capsule endoscopy was done in nineteen patients among which 12 were male and 7 were female patients. The mean age of the patients was 41.42 (Standard Deviation: 18.225). In four patients, the complete screening of small bowel could not be done as the capsule battery exhausted even before the capsule could pass the ileo-cecal valve because of the increased gastric transit times. The average gastric transit time among the nineteen patients was 81.63 minutes (Standard deviation 89.86) and small bowel transit time among the fifteen patients were 296.20 minutes (Standard Deviation: 126.76). Among the nineteen patients, nine were evaluated for obscure GI, nine for chronic diarrhea and remaining one for chronic unexplained abdominal pain. In the obscure GI bleed group, 55.6% presented as occult and 44.4% presented as overt GI bleed. Diagnostic yield of CE in obscure GI bleed and chronic diarrhea were 6/9 (66.7%) and abnormal findings were also noted in the patient with unexplained abdominal pain. Abnormal findings noted in CE in patients who presented with obscure GI bleed were two cases of small bowel parasitosis, angioectasia in three patients, and isolated jejunal ulcers in one patient. Among the three patients with angioectasia, two patients had an additional finding of ulcers in the jejunum and polypoidal lesion in the jejunum respectively. The remaining three of the nine patients who presented with obscure GI bleed had normal findings. CE findings in the nine patients evaluated for chronic diarrhea were two patients had intestinal lymphangiectasia, one case of features suggestive of Crohns disease confirmed histo-pathologically and one case of parasitic larvae noted in the ileal fluid which later turned out to be strongyloidiasis on histo-pathology of duodenal biopsy. Two patients had features suggestive of celiac disease among which one was later diagnosed as tropical sprue and the other was diagnosed as celiac disease with additional findings of ulcero-jejunitis. Findings in the patient with unexplained abdominal pain showed nodular lesions in distal duodenum which was also noted on EGD and submucosal bulge in the ileum probably due to an extrinsic compression. Histopathology of the nodules in duodenum turned out to be Brunners gland hyperplasia and the findings of suspected submucosal bulge in the ileum could not be confirmed with further imaging or surgery as the patient refused for further evaluation.

There were no adverse events in any of the nineteen patients and all patients had passed out the capsule. All the patients found the capsule easy to swallow, painless and preferred it from conventional endoscopy.

**Figure 1** Type of lesions in small bowel detected on CE

| Findings                  | Number |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Total                     | 19     |
| Hookworm infestation      | 2 (10.5%) |
| Ulcers                    | 4 (21.1%) |
| Angioectasia              | 3 (15.8%) |
| Polyps                    | 1 (5.3%) |
| Villous atrophy           | 3 (15.8%) |
| Erosions                  | 1 (5.3%) |
| Lymphangiectasia          | 2 (10.5%) |
| Parasitic larvae (Strongyloidiasis) | 1 (5.3%) |
| Stricture                 | 1 (5.3%) |
| Nodular lesion duodenum   | 1 (5.3%) |

**Table 2** Comparison of lesions between OGIB, chronic diarrhea and abdominal pain

| Findings                  | OGIB 6/9 (66.7%) | Chronic diarrhea 6/9 (66.7%) | Abdominal Pain 1 |
|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| Total positive cases      | 6/9 (66.7%)      | 6/9 (66.7%)                   | 1                |
| Angioectasia              | 3 (33.3%)        | 0                             | 0                |
| Lymphangiectasia          | 0                | 2 (22.2%)                     | 0                |
| Crohns disease            | 0                | 1 (11.1%)                     | 0                |
| Celiac disease            | 0                | 1 (10%)                       | 0                |
| Tropical Sprue            | 0                | 1 (10%)                       | 0                |
| Hookworm infestation      | 2 (22.2%)        | 0                             | 0                |
| Ulcers                    | 2 (22.2%)        | 2 (22.2%)                     | 0                |
| Strongyloidiasis          | 0                | 1 (11.1%)                     | 0                |
| Polyp                     | 1 (11.1%)        | 0                             | 0                |
| Erosions                  | 0                | 1 (11.1%)                     | 0                |
| Nodular lesion duodenum   | 0                | 0                             | 1                |
DISCUSSION

Capsule endoscopy has gained widespread acceptance in the evaluation of patients with suspected small bowel pathology especially in the diagnostic algorithm of OGIB. Before the advent of CE, various other diagnostic modalities were used such as push enteroscopy, barium studies and angiography. Double balloon enteroscopy (DBE) has been used in many centers for evaluating OGIB. However, CE has been found to have a significantly higher yield compared to DBE examination done via the oral or anal route in the setting of OGIB.3

The detection rate for source of bleed for CE among patients with obscure GI in our study is similar to already published literature.4-7 In our study angioectasia was the commonest etiology in patients presenting with OGIB. Hookworm infestation was diagnosed in two out the nine patients with OGIB which is an interest finding that is not being reported in similar studies in western population. Among the two patients with hookworm infestation, one presented with overt GI bleed in the form of melena with severe anemia which required multiple packed red blood cell transfusion and the other presented with occult GI bleed with iron deficiency anemia. Both patients responded to anti-helminthic and iron supplementation. Ghoshal et al evaluated CE in obscure GI bleed in 86 patients and found hookworm infestation in 7.8%.5 The diagnostic yield of CE endoscopy depends on the optimum timing which is ideally within the 48 hours of the bleed but can be extended up to a maximum of 2 weeks and the presence of overt or occult GI bleed where the diagnostic yield were much higher (87% vs 46%) in patients presenting with overt GI bleed.4

The remaining cases in our study with regard to obscure GI bleed had more than one lesion noted such as a combination of angioectasia with small bowel ulcers or polyp. Only one case had isolated jejunal ulcer of unknown etiology. The commonest lesions detected in Indian studies on CE for obscure GI bleed were small bowel ulcers and erosions.4 In our study among the nine patients with chronic diarrhea the commonest findings noted on CE were intestinal lymphangiectasia, followed by celiac disease, Crohn's disease, strongyloidiasis. One case had features suggestive of celiac disease but serology was negative and was empirically treated for tropical sprue after which the patient had improved. The commonest etiology in patients with chronic diarrhea in Egyptian population diagnosed by CE were celiac disease and crohns disease.8 The findings of larvae of Strongyloides stercoralis seen in the ileal fluid captured on capsule endoscopy is uncommon which was later confirmed in our patient on histopathology as well.9 CE could be an alternate method of diagnosing strongly stercoralis infection in patients with chronic diarrhea and malabsorption in endemic areas where the suspicion is high in settings where the stool examination as well as histopathology are in conclusive. However, Strongyloides stercoralis larvae must be differentiated from the 2 species of hookworms i.e. Ancylostomaduodenale and Necatoramericanus.

Various studies have shown that there is very limited role for CE in the setting of chronic unexplained abdominal pain.10 Our study evaluated CE findings in only one patient which had abnormal findings of nodular lesions in duodenum which was later confirmed as Brunner’s gland hyperplasia and
suspected submucosal bulge in the terminal ileum which could not be further evaluated. Due to the lack of sufficient cases, the role of CE in our study for the evaluation chronic unexplained abdominal pain could not be established the main drawback of our study was that the abnormalities detected on CE could not be confirmed due to the lack of double/single balloon enteroscopy in our center. Secondly this study does not provide long term follow-up of patients and hence it is difficult to draw a conclusion as to the fate of the patients who had normal findings on capsule endoscopy.

However, in our relatively small series we have found CE a helpful endoscopic technique in diagnosing OGIB and a useful adjunct in the evaluation of chronic diarrhea when other primary diagnostic modalities are not contributory. CE assists in picking up and localizing the lesions in the small bowel to provide a road map for more invasive endoscopic techniques such as DBE for biopsy or endo-therapy, similar to how MRCP provides a roadmap for therapeutic ERCP.

CONCLUSION

The OMOM capsule 2 endoscopy system is safe to use and has similar diagnostic efficacy as the other capsule endoscopic systems currently in use among patients presenting with obscure GI bleed and chronic diarrhea.
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