Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 (DPP4, CD26), a type II transmembrane ectopeptidase, is the receptor for the Middle Eastern respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Since its emergence in 2012, the World Health Organization has been notified of 1542 laboratory-confirmed cases of MERS-CoV infection in >2 dozen countries, resulting in at least 544 related deaths (http://www.who.int/emergencies/mers-cov/en; last accessed September 12, 2015). Available data indicate that men are more commonly infected than women, with a median age of 47 years.2–4 Although human-to-human or zoonotic spread of MERS has not reached epidemic or pandemic levels, its potential to spread among individuals was found in health care settings in the Middle East5 and by the recent outbreak in South Korea caused by a single infected individual.6

Most fatal MERS cases have occurred in individuals 60 years or older, frequently associated with significant comorbidities, such as obesity, renal or cardiac disease, diabetes, lung disease, or immunocompromise.7 Severe affected individuals have manifested significant respiratory symptoms, including cough, fever, dyspnea, and chest pain.2–4 Many seriously ill patients have progressed to respiratory failure and required ventilatory support. These patients exhibited dense airspace and interstitial lesions on chest radiography and computed tomography.1,3,8 In addition to the pulmonary manifestations, other reported problems in seriously ill patients include hyperkalemia, disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, pericardial effusion, central nervous system manifestations,9 and multiorgan
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Table 1 Comparison of Cellular Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Immunostaining Scores between Large and Small Conducting Airways

| Airway       | Ciliated cells | Nonciliated cells | Goblet cells |
|--------------|----------------|------------------|-------------|
| Large airway | 1.1 ± 0.3      | 1.1 ± 0.3        | 2.1 ± 0.7   |
| Small airway | 1.5 ± 0.7      | 1.5 ± 0.6        | 1.8 ± 0.7   |
| **P value**  | 0.23           | 0.17             | 0.43        |

*Mann-Whitney U-test.

failure.\textsuperscript{2–4} To date, a lack of autopsy pathology data from patients who have died of MERS has hindered understanding of disease pathogenesis.

Epidemiologic studies have established that MERS is zoonotic in origin, with evidence of a closely related virus in dromedary camels on the Arabian peninsula and throughout Africa.\textsuperscript{10–12} Spread from camels to humans is documented,\textsuperscript{13} as well as person-to-person spread among health care workers in hospital settings.\textsuperscript{7} Unlike the ‘super spreader’ cases described with SARS-CoV,\textsuperscript{14,15} the spread of MERS-CoV from person-to-person is inefficient, but this could change with virus evolution.\textsuperscript{16,17} MERS-CoV has also been detected in individuals with mild, influenza-like illnesses, those with a dengue-like illness, and those without obvious disease signs or symptoms,\textsuperscript{18–21} suggesting that there may be a larger disease burden than currently recognized.

Shortly after MERS-CoV was discovered, its cellular receptor, dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4, CD26), was identified.\textsuperscript{22} The structural residues comprising the receptor-binding domain have been defined by co-crystallization of the MERS-CoV spike glycoprotein and DPP4.\textsuperscript{23} DPP4 is a single-pass type II transmembrane glycoprotein with a short N-terminal cytoplasmic tail. The native protein is a homodimer. DPP4 cleaves X-proline dipeptides from N-terminus of polypeptides and in doing so may functionally modify many substrates, including growth factors, neuropeptides, cytokines, chemokines, and vasoactive peptides.\textsuperscript{24}

DPP4 is expressed in many tissues and cell types, including kidney, intestine, liver, thymocytes, and several cells of hematopoietic lineage.\textsuperscript{25} DPP4 expression is increased on activation of T, B, and natural killer cells and is considered a marker of functional activation.\textsuperscript{24} DPP4 is also shed from the surface of many cell types and is present in soluble forms in plasma.\textsuperscript{25}

Although there are limited reports describing aspects of DPP4 expression in animal and human tissues and cell types,\textsuperscript{26–27} there has been no comprehensive survey of its cellular expression in the human respiratory tract. We localize DPP4 expression in normal and diseased human respiratory tissues to identify the pulmonary cell types that may be susceptible to MERS-CoV infection and thereby obtain insight into MERS pathogenesis.

Materials and Methods

Tissues

This study was approved by the institutional review board of the University of Iowa. Formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) lung tissues were collected from archival tissues from autopsy and lung donors, whereas archival nasal tissues (n = 3) were collected from biopsy samples. Portions of the cases also had trachea or primary bronchi (large airways) available for examination. These were scored for DPP4 immunostaining and compared to small airways defined by intrapulmonary bronchioles and bronchioles (Table 1). We gathered sufficient lung samples to compare 2 clinical groups (Table 2). Group 1 was composed of 16 healthy lung tissues (defined as lacking clinical evidence of active or chronic lung disease), whereas group 2 was composed of chronic lung disease tissues defined by chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD, n = 4) or cystic fibrosis (CF, n = 8) clinical diagnoses. The use of a control population (group 1), even though healthy and often younger, allows several advantages. It provides a control group for comparison to the diseased individuals (group 2). Furthermore, our total pool of cases ranged from 2 months to 76 years of age, allowing evaluation of age-related changes in DPP4 expression (Table 3).

Immunohistochemistry

DPP4 expression was detected using immunohistochemistry (IHC) on paraffin-embedded tissues. Briefly, tissues were sectioned (approximately 4 μm) and hydrated through a series of graded alcohol and xylene baths, and antigen

Table 2 Scoring of Cellular Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Immunostaining in Lung Cell Types

| Cell                     | Unaffected     | COPD              | CF                    | P value*    |
|--------------------------|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Group age, mean (range), years | 23 (0.2–53)   | 57 (32–68)        | 34 (19–76)            | 0.048       |
| Ciliated cells           | 1.6 ± 0.2 (n = 16) | 1.5 ± 0.3 (n = 4) | 1.4 ± 0.2 (n = 8)    | 0.74        |
| Goblet cells             | 1.8 ± 0.2 (n = 16) | 2.3 ± 0.3 (n = 4) | 1.5 ± 0.2 (n = 8)    | 0.99        |
| Nonciliated              | 1.4 ± 0.2 (n = 16) | 2.5 ± 0.3 (n = 4) | 1.3 ± 0.2 (n = 8)    | 0.53        |
| Type II cells            | 4.1 ± 0.2 (n = 16) | 5.0 ± 0.0 (n = 4) | 4.5 ± 0.2 (n = 8)    | 0.014       |
| Type I cells             | 1.8 ± 0.2 (n = 16) | 2.5 ± 0.3 (n = 4) | 2.6 ± 0.2 (n = 8)    | 0.012       |
| SMG, serous              | 5.0 ± 0.0 (n = 13) | 5.0 ± 0.0 (n = 4) | 5.0 ± 0.0 (n = 5)    | >0.99       |
| SMG, mucous              | 2.0 ± 0.2 (n = 13) | 2.0 ± 0.4 (n = 4) | 1.4 ± 0.2 (n = 5)    | 0.33        |
| Alveolar macrophages     | 4.2 ± 0.2 (n = 16) | 4.8 ± 0.3 (n = 4) | 4.8 ± 0.2 (n = 8)    | 0.03        |

*Mann-Whitney U-test comparing group 1 (unaffected) and group 2 (COPD and CF). Bold text indicates P < 0.05.

CF, cystic fibrosis; COPD, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; SMG, submucosal gland.
retrieval was performed (Decloaking Chamber NxGen; Bio-care Medical, Concord, CA) in citrate buffer (pH 6.0, 11°C for 15 minutes). Endogenous peroxidase was quenched with 3% hydrogen peroxide (8 minutes) and nonspecific background blocked with 1% horse serum (30 minutes). DPP4 was detected using a mouse monoclonal anti-DPP4 antibody (1:200, clone 11D7, TA500733; Origene Technologies Inc, Rockville, MD) and secondary kit (Mouse-on-Farma; Biocare Medical). Antibodies were visualized with chromogen (DAB Plus and DAB Enhancer; Dako, Carpinteria, CA). Slides were dehydrated through a series of alcohol and xylene baths and routinely coverslipped.

DPP4 immunostaining was optimized and validated on FFPE primary airway cell cultures either expressing DPP4 or lacking its expression. An additional validation step was performed on human kidney where renal tubules are known to have robust immunostaining. These steps have been useful to enhance specific staining while greatly minimizing nonspecific staining that can confound localization studies in tissues. Furthermore, optimization and validation studies were performed on FFPE tissues similar to the test samples to enhance consistency.

Morphometry

Tissues were evaluated and scored by a pathologist using a postexamination masking technique.\(^{28}\) DPP4 expression in the lung was assessed by scoring of the cellular distribution of immunostaining according to morphologic cell type using the following grades: 1, absent; 2, rare (<1%); 3, low numbers (2% to 33%); 4, moderate numbers (34% to 66%); and 5, common (67% to 100%).

For evaluation of DPP4 expression changes within lungs, areas with and without disease (e.g., hyperplasia, inflammation, remodeling) were selected from cases of CF. Alveolar macrophages were selected for this study because they are easily identified as single cells within the alveolar lumen, whereas other cell types are more difficult to definitively discern in the absence of staining, which could skew results. Alveolar macrophages (>30 per sample area) were counted and scored for DPP4 staining using the following grades: 1, no staining; 2, weak, perceptible staining; 3, moderate, diffuse cytoplasmic staining; and 4, robust, dark staining. Scores were averaged, and areas with and without disease were then compared using the paired \(t\)-test.

**Statistical Analysis**

Unless otherwise specified, comparison of morphometric scoring data between groups was performed with Mann-Whitney test, and statistical significance was set at \(P < 0.05\).

**Results**

We determined the cellular expression of DPP4 protein in the human respiratory tract from nasal cavity to airways to lung.

**Nasal Mucosa**

The surface epithelium of the nasal mucosa lacked DPP4 immunostaining (Figure 1A). In contrast, DPP4 immunostaining was seen in scattered mononuclear lymphoid cells and the apical surface of serous cells of the submucosal gland cells (Figure 1, A and B).

**Airways**

In the surface epithelium, DPP4 immunostaining was detected in uncommon foci as solitary to scattered goblet cells, nonciliated cells, and/or ciliated cells (Figure 2A). DPP4 was also detected in scattered mononuclear round cells within the epithelium and in the subepithelial tissues (Figure 2, A and C–E). Robust apical to cytoplasmic DPP4 immunostaining was present in the submucosal gland serous cells and rare duct epithelial cells but was lacking in mucous cells (Figure 2, B and C). Sometimes, the DPP4 signal appeared to be filling luminal spaces (Figure 2B) possibly
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**Figure 1** Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) immunostaining in nasal mucosa. A: Surface epithelial cells lack DPP4 immunostaining but scattered mononuclear cells in the subepithelial connective tissue have cytoplasmic staining (arrows). B: In contrast, the apical surface of serous cells (arrows) in submucosal glands commonly have robust DPP4 immunostaining. Original magnification, \(\times400\) (A and B).

---

**Table 3** Correlation of Patient Age and Dipeptidyl Peptidase 4 Immunostaining Scores for Type I and Type II Cells in Lung

| Group                        | \(R\) value | \(P\) value |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Type I cells in group 1      | 0.1915      | 0.4728      |
| Type I cells in groups 1 and 2| 0.1787      | 0.3629      |
| Type II cells in group 1     | −0.4887     | **0.0001**  |
| Type II cells in groups 1 and 2| 0.1089      | 0.5813      |

Bold text represents \(P < 0.05\).
representing soluble DPP4 released by serous cells, ductal epithelia, or a combination thereof. We also occasionally observed cytoplasmic staining in nonciliated cells that appeared to be undergoing morphologic degeneration and extrusion from the surface epithelium (Figure 2F).

Although cell staining was uncommon in conducting airways, smaller airways appeared to have more frequent DPP4 detection in the surface epithelium. To test this, we examined immunostaining scores for large airways compared with smaller airways. Smaller airways had nonsignificant trends toward increased incidence of DPP4 immunostaining in ciliated and nonciliated cells (Table 1). This observation may also be influenced by the larger sampling area represented of smaller airways compared with larger airways in microscopic lung sections.

**Lung Parenchyma and Other Regions**

DPP4 immunostaining was detected in alveolar type II cells, type I cells, and alveolar macrophages (Figure 3A). The endothelium of pulmonary vessels had multifocal DPP4 immunostaining (Figure 3B). Thin walled vessels devoid of erythrocytes (indicative of lymphatics) (Figure 3B) often had stronger staining than those with erythrocytes (indicative of venules). The general gradient of staining intensity observed was lymphatics greater than venules greater than arterioles. Some capillaries occasionally had staining. Of interest, intravascular valves (when present) (Figure 3C) exhibited preferential strong staining in lymphatics and veins. Pulmonary lymph nodes also had abundant DPP4 immunostaining in lymphatic vessels of the medullary sinuses (Figure 3D).

**Figure 2** Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) immunostaining in airways. A: In the trachea, DPP4 is observed in scattered mononuclear cells (arrows and inset) within the epithelium and in the subepithelial connective tissue, and DPP4 is sometimes seen in the apical cytoplasm of goblet cells (arrowheads). B: Submucosal glands have apical to cytoplasmic immunostaining in serous cells (arrows), but mucous cells (asterisks) lack immunostaining. Gland lumens also stain positive (arrowheads). C: In bronchus, apical staining is seen in scattered to solitary nonciliated cells (arrowheads), and apical immunostaining is seen in uncommon submucosal gland ducts (arrows). D and E: Bronchioles have robust solitary apical immunostaining in scattered to solitary nonciliated cells (D, inset) or less commonly apical border of ciliated cells including cilia (E, inset). F: Cytoplasmic staining is also uncommonly seen in select nonciliated cells that appear to be undergoing extrusion from the epithelial surface (F, insets). Original magnifications: ×600 (A and D–F); ×2100 (A, inset); ×400 (B); ×200 (C); ×100 (D–F); ×225 (D–F, insets).

**Figure 3** Dipeptidyl peptide 4 immunostaining in lung. A: Immunostaining is detected in alveolar macrophages (red arrows) and in type II cells (black arrows). B–D: Vascular lining cells have multifocal immunostaining (arrows, B and D). C: A pulmonary vein with preferential immunostaining on vessel valves. D: Pulmonary lymph node with abundant immunostaining of the vascular cells in the medullary sinus (arrows). Original magnifications: ×600 (A); ×400 (B); ×200 (C); ×100 (D).
Differential dipeptidyl peptidase 4 (DPP4) immunostaining in relatively healthy (top) and remodeled (bottom) regions of the same cystic fibrosis lung. A and C: DPP4 is detected in the visceral pleura (arrow, inset, A) and has more intense staining in remodeled areas with plump reactive mesothelial cells (arrows, inset, C). B and D: Weak to moderate immunostaining is common in alveolar macrophages (arrows, B) in nominally affected lung. However, in areas of remodeling, activated alveolar macrophages (macrophages with larger, foamyier cytoplasm and sometimes multinucleate cells) have more robust immunostaining (arrows, D). Original magnification: x600 (A–D); x1500 (A and C, insets).

**Influence of Tissue Remodeling on Heterogeneous DPP4 Expression in Chronic Disease Lungs**

Mesothelial lining cells of the visceral pleura were often immunostained except in sections of lung with apparent drying/sloughing artifacts that occurred during tissue preparation (Figure 4A). In areas of remodeling, hypertrophy of mesothelial cells often corresponded with increased DPP4 immunostaining compared with unreactive pleura in the same lung (Figure 4, A and C). Alveolar macrophages often had similarly weak to moderate immunostaining in lung (Figure 4B). However, in the same lung, DPP4 immunostaining was more prominent in activated alveolar macrophages near sites of disease (eg, remodeling) (Figure 4, C and D), and this change was corroborated by semiquantitative scoring (Figure 5).

We then compared DPP4 scoring between group 1 (healthy) and group 2 (chronic disease) to determine whether chronic lung disease affected DPP4 expression (Table 2). Scoring of DPP4 immunostaining in type I and type II (Figure 6, A and B) cells was significantly increased in group 2 lungs (Table 2). Alveolar macrophages also had a similar significant increase in staining for group 2, but neutrophils in both groups consistently lacked DPP4 (Figure 6C). In addition, we observed that groups 1 and 2 had differences in mean age (Table 2), which could possibly contribute to the increased DPP4 scores for type I and type II cells. To address this, we further examined the significant parameters in Table 2 to determine whether there were correlations in the scores with progressive age (Table 3). Although there were no significant correlations between the scores of type I cells and increased age, type II cells had a negative correlation in group 1 ($r = -0.4887, P = 0.0001$) but lacked a significant correlation when groups 1 and 2 were combined (Table 3). These findings suggest that increased DPP4 scores are not age related but most likely responses to chronic lung disease. An additional question of interest is whether female or male sex contributed to the changes in DPP4 expression. We detected no significant sex-specific changes in DPP4 expression in alveolar type II cells or alveolar macrophages (Figure 6, D and E).

**Discussion**

We evaluated DPP4 expression in the respiratory tract to better understand its association with potential pathogenesis and clinical disease in MERS-CoV infection. It is known that patients with MERS develop severe respiratory disease and that ground-glass changes, edema, and pleural effusions on chest computed tomography typify the lung lesions. However, more detailed descriptions of MERS-CoV lung disease remain elusive because of the absence of autopsy cases for diagnostic evaluation. Our results provide the first comprehensive localization of the MERS-CoV receptor DPP4 in human lung tissues.

Only a few scattered cells on surface epithelia expressed DPP4 in the nasal cavity and conducting airways. Our finding of nominal DPP4 expression in the conducting airways is consistent with a report by van der Velden et al, who investigated DPP4 expression in human bronchus from healthy individuals and asthmatic patients and noted no localization in surface epithelia but robust expression in submucosal gland epithelia. We detected DPP4 expression on cells within the lung parenchyma (eg, type I and II cells) and interstitium (endothelial cells) and on leukocytes (macrophages, mononuclear lymphoid cells). This finding might suggest that MERS-CoV exposure to airway epithelia...
could provide only a nominal or preliminary conduit for infection but is not a primary site for infection. This concept is consistent with the lack of evidence for airway centric disease in humans and animal models and may explain the poor transmissibility of MERS-CoV. In contrast, the submucosal glands exhibited robust DPP4 expression in serous epithelial cells and submucosal gland lumens, likely representing soluble DPP4 in secretions. Soluble DPP4 is present in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, and these levels are regulated independent of serum levels with the extracellular domain cleaved by sheddases, such as matrix metalloproteinase (MMP) 1, MMP-2, and MMP-14. Soluble DPP4 is also detectable in pleural fluid. In some inflammatory lung conditions, such as smoking, bronchoalveolar lavage levels of soluble DPP4 are reduced, whereas in other settings, such as asthma, it is unchanged or increased. Submucosal gland secretions normally bathe the airway surface, thus soluble DPP4 from submucosal glands and surface epithelia may bind to the MERS-CoV spike (S) protein. This has been speculated to competitively inhibit virus binding as reported in vitro. In contrast to the conducting airways, the lung parenchyma and interstitium were relatively rich in cellular sources of DPP4 protein. MERS-CoV infection of these pulmonary cell types would likely contribute to and be consistent with the reported parenchymal lesions observed on lung imaging, such as diffuse alveolar damage.

We hypothesized that disease states might modify DPP4 expression. Of interest, DPP4 expression in cells (eg, mesothelium, macrophages) was increased at sites of heterogeneous remodeling compared with healthy sites in the same lung. This finding, along with significant enhanced DPP4 expression scores in type I and type II cells (Tables 2 and 3), suggests that chronic lung disease may enhance DPP4 expression and perhaps make such individuals more susceptible to MERS-CoV infection. Schmiedl et al reported an increase in DPP4 tissue staining and activity in a rat model of asthma, indicating that some inflammatory conditions may increase the abundance of MERS-CoV receptor in the airways. It has been reported that patients with MERS and comorbidities, including smoking and COPD, have worse outcomes, suggesting such conditions predispose individuals to an increased susceptibility to MERS-CoV infection or disease progression. In addition, it
is interesting to consider how DPP4 expression on immune cells and vascular endothelia might contribute to MERS-CoV–related disease. Both macrophages and plasmacytoid dendritic cells express DPP4 and are susceptible to MERS-CoV entry. Monocyte-derived macrophages support productive MERS-CoV infection, whereas plasmacytoid dendritic cells support virus entry and release cytokines and interferon in response to entry but do not allow the virus to complete its replication cycle.37 Chu et al38 reported that human T lymphocytes from the peripheral blood and from lymphoid organs can be infected by MERS-CoV. Of interest, MERS-CoV infection of T lymphocytes activated both the extrinsic and intrinsic apoptosis pathways.38,39 In addition to alveolar macrophages and mononuclear cells, we found significant DPP4 immunostaining of endothelia of lymphatics and other vasculature. If these cell types or structures become infected, it is possible that this could contribute to MERS disease pathogenesis by facilitating spread to other organs.

The catalytic domain of DPP4 functions to cleave X-proline dipeptides from the N-terminus of polypeptides.24 Cell-associated and soluble DPP4 can regulate the functional activity of bioactive peptides, including neuropeptides, vasoactive peptides, cytokines, and chemokines, by removing N-terminal amino acids.40 The present study and other published results indicate that DPP4 could modify peptide function at many sites, including airway and alveolar epithelia, bronchoalveolar lavage, endothelia41 (including lymphatics25), immune cells, and mesothelia.32,43 Some examples of known DPP4 substrates include several chemokines, such as SDF-1α and SDF-1β, CXCL2, CXCL6, CXCL9, CXCL10, CXCL11, CXCL12, and CCL22. The catalytic action of DPP4 on substrate chemokines usually reduces the ligand’s agonist properties. For example, DPP4-mediated cleavage of CXCL10 yields a dominant negative antagonist peptide product.44 It is currently not known whether severe infections such as MERS alter the abundance or function of DPP4 in the respiratory tract, but DPP4 could possibly influence the kinetics of inflammation entering the lung. In addition, there is recent evidence that DPP4 itself may act as a proinflammatory signaling molecule.45,46

Chan et al41 found that MERS-CoV productively replicated in human bronchial and lung tissue grown in ex vivo organ cultures. They reported that the virus infected nonciliated bronchial epithelium, bronchial epithelial cells, alveolar epithelial cells, and endothelial cells.31 Hocke et al47 also used ex vivo organ cultures of human lung to study MERS-CoV cell tropism. They observed that MERS-CoV infected most cell types in the alveolar compartment and detected morphologic correlates of severe lung injury. Although ex vivo models are useful, it is possible that culture conditions may alter native DPP4 expression or other factors that influence cell susceptibility to MERS-CoV infection. Several groups have reported that infection of airway epithelial cell lines with MERS-CoV elicits a range of transcriptional and protein responses, including antagonism of interferon responses, induction of STAT3 pathway genes, and differential expression of cytokines and chemokines.25,48–50 It is not currently known how infection of endothelia, mesothelia, or immune cell types with MERS-CoV might influence disease outcomes.

This study has advantages and limitations. One advantage is that we used cell cultures and known positive tissue to optimize and validate the technique to maximize specificity and minimize nonspecific background that can easily confound localization studies. Another advantage is that we immunostained tissue from a range of healthy and diseased individuals to comprehensively investigate DPP4 distribution across all lung cell types. Other studies have used DPP4 activity–based localization techniques51 in addition to immunolocalization25,27 or in vitro culture of primary cells and cell lines.22,52,53 Of note, we found that tissues from patients with COPD and CF exhibited areas of enhanced DPP4 immunostaining in airway epithelia, type II epithelia, pleural mesothelia, and alveolar macrophages. A disadvantage of this study is that the tissues from disease states tended to be from older individuals, but this age difference did not appear to directly influence the study findings. Another limitation of this study is the relatively small number of cases in the COPD and CF groups. Even so, we identified significant trends from this cohort. Furthermore, small sample sizes of nasal tissue biopsies constrained the tissue area for examination compared with other tissues, and this small sampling could explain the absence of DPP4 staining in nasal surface epithelium compared with sparse staining in airway surface epithelium. We acknowledge that very little DPP4 expression may be required to confer susceptibility to MERS-CoV infection, and this could be below the level of detection with the optimized IHC technique. We also speculate that although the expression of DPP4 alone is sufficient to confer susceptibility to MERS-CoV infection in resistant cells,22 it is possible that there may be currently unknown cell-type specific co-factors, such as host pro tease,54 that influence disease that we could not evaluate. In summary, the evidence of more prominent localization of DPP4 in parenchymal tissue may help explain why MERS is characterized by lower respiratory tract disease.
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