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Generation of stable infectious clones of plant viruses by using *Rhizobium radiobacter* for both cloning and inoculation
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**A B S T R A C T**

A novel *Rhizobium radiobacter* (synonym *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*)-mediated approach was developed to generate stable infectious clones of plant viruses. This method uses *R. radiobacter* for both cloning and inoculation of infectious clones, bypassing the requirement of cloning in *E. coli* to avoid the instability. Only three steps are included in this method: (i) construct viral genome-encoding plasmids *in vitro* by one-step Gibson assembly; (ii) transform the assembled DNA products into *R. radiobacter*; (iii) inoculate plants with the *R. radiobacter* clones containing the viral genome. Stable infectious clones were obtained from two potyviruses papaya ringspot virus (PRSV) and papaya leaf distortion mosaic virus (PLDMV) using this method, whereas attempts utilizing “classical” *E. coli* cloning system failed repeatedly. This method is simple and efficient, and is promising for a wide application in generation of infectious clones of plant virus, especially for those which are unstable in *E. coli*.

1. Introduction

Infectious clone is critical for analysis of virus properties such as viral replication, movement, symptom development, host range and virus-host interactions. However, full-length clones of many viruses have been proved to be difficult or even impossible to perform molecular manipulation in *E. coli* due to their toxicity to *E. coli*. The instability in *E. coli* has been reported for an array of viruses belonging to potyviruses (Bedoya and Daros, 2010; Gao et al., 2012; Johansen, 1996; Lopez-Moya and Garcia, 2000; Olsen and Johansen, 2001; Tuo et al., 2015; Yang et al., 1998), tobaviruses (Constantin et al., 2004; Ratcliffe et al., 2001), flaviviruses (Aubry et al., 2015), coronaviruses (Almazan et al., 2000), picornaviruses (Zibert et al., 1990) and pestiviruses (Rasmussen et al., 2010). Several methods have been developed to circumvent this problem, including the *in vitro* ligation method involving two plasmid, mutating cryptic prokaryotic promoter sites in the viral genome, and using intron insertions, low copy number plasmids, specific *E. coli* strains and low growth temperature (Aubry et al., 2015; Edmonds et al., 2013; Johansen and Lund, 2008; Pu et al., 2011; Siridechadilok et al., 2013; Yamshchikov et al., 2001). For generation of infectious clones of plant virus that are stable in *E. coli*, insertion of introns in viral genome to terminate expression of undesired toxic proteins in *E. coli* is the most extensively used approach so far (Desbiez et al., 2012; Gao et al., 2012; Johansen, 1996; Johansen and Lund, 2008; Lopez-Moya and Garcia, 2000; Tuo et al., 2015; Yang et al., 1998). However, determination of the numbers and locations of intron insertions required to stabilize infectious clones is time-consuming and laborious. Some sequence-independent cloning methods were also developed to assemble infectious clones of plant viruses *in vivo* or *in vitro*, such as yeast recombination system (Desbiez et al., 2012; Youssef et al., 2011), Gibson assembly and In-Fusion cloning method (Blawid and Nagata, 2015; Bordat et al., 2015; Tuo et al., 2015), but the assembly products require *E. coli* transformation for propagation, which means those methods just make easier viral genome assembly, not solving the problem of viral genome instability in *E. coli*. Thus for plant viruses that cannot maintain stability in *E. coli*, generation of stable infectious clones by these assembly methods still depends on the using of intron insertions (Desbiez et al., 2012; Tuo et al., 2015), which remains a major hurdle in the research of these viruses.

Here we describe a simple approach for rapid generation of infectious clones of plant viruses, especially for the plant viruses whose genomes are stable in *E. coli*. This method uses *R. radiobacter* for both cloning and inoculation of infectious clones of plant viruses, bypassing the requirement for cloning in *E. coli* to avoid the instability.

2. Results

2.1. The feasibility and efficiency of this method

The schematic representation of the novel approach to generate
stable infectious clones of plant viruses was showed in Fig. 1. This method uses \textit{R. radiobacter} both for cloning and inoculation, which completely eliminates the need for plasmid propagation in \textit{E. coli}. Two viruses, PRSV and PLDMV, were chosen to generate infectious clones by using this method. Full-length cDNAs of both PRSV and PLDMV were divided into two fragments for easier PCR amplification. The two fragments were cloned into pGreen-35S vector by one-step Gibson assembly. Then the assembled DNA products were transformed into \textit{R. radiobacter} and \textit{E. coli}, respectively, with the same growth temperature 28 °C. As shown in Table 1, the \textit{R. radiobacter} transformation of PRSV or PLDMV had more than 80 colonies (average for three experiments), while the \textit{E. coli} transformation of PRSV and PLDMV had 2 and 3 colonies (total for three experiments), respectively. All the five \textit{E. coli} colonies were sequenced and found to be incorrect genome of the viruses with deletion or insertion mainly in the region of \textit{P3} or \textit{CI}. Seventeen PCR-positive \textit{R. radiobacter} colonies were sequenced and 15 colonies were found to be correct. The \textit{R. radiobacter} colonies with correct viral genome were used for inoculation, and 96% of inoculated papaya plants showed system infections with similar symptoms to those caused by the wild-type viruses (Fig. 2). The systemically infected plants showed visibly mosaic on leaves and water-soaking streaks on petioles at 20 days post inoculation (dpi), and developed severely distorted leaves at 45 dpi, whereas the plants inoculated with the infiltrating buffer were of no symptoms (Fig. 2).

To test whether other \textit{R. radiobacter} strains and binary vectors can be used to generate infectious clones by this method, pGreen or pCambia-derived pCSNX (Chen et al., 2009) was used to generate infectious clones of PLDMV by transforming \textit{R. radiobacter} strains GV3101 and LBA4404, respectively, and the infectious clones were obtained successfully by using each of these combinations of vectors and strains (data not shown).

### 2.2. Generation of GFP-tagged infectious clone by this method

A GFP-tagged infectious clone of PRSV was also generated by this method. The green fluorescent protein gene \textit{gfp} was inserted into PRSV genome between the \textit{Nt}- and \textit{CP}-encoding regions (Fig. 3A). Two fragments of PRSV and the \textit{gfp} were cloned into pGreen-35S vector by one-step Gibson assembly, and then the assembled DNA product was transformed to \textit{R. radiobacter}. The \textit{R. radiobacter} colony with PRSV-GFP was used to inoculate papaya plants, and typical symptoms as well as green fluorescence were detected in the systemically infected leaves at 30 dpi (Fig. 3B), indicating that GFP can be expressed stably and GFP insertion did not affect virus infection and movement.

**Table 1**

Transformation of \textit{E. coli} and \textit{A. tumefaciens} with viral genome-encoding plasmid and infectivity of clones.

| Virus | Rep | \textit{E. coli} transformation | \textit{A. tumefaciens} transformation |
|-------|-----|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
|       | Colonies | PCR (positive/ tested) | Sequencing (correct/ tested) | Colonies | PCR (positive/ tested) | Sequencing (correct/ tested) | Inoculation (symptomatic/ tested) |
| PRSV | 1 | 0 | | 106 | 17/20 | 3/3 | 30/31 |
|      | 2 | 2/2 | 0/2 | | 52 | 12/14 | 2/3 | 30/32 |
|      | 3 | 0 | | 98 | 20/24 | 2/2 | 30/30 |
| PLDMV | 1 | 1 | 0/1 | | 157 | 14/14 | 4/4 | 20/22 |
|      | 2 | 2/2 | 1/2 | | 103 | 10/12 | 2/2 | 20/20 |
|      | 3 | 0 | | 54 | 8/12 | 2/3 | 21/22 |
3. Discussion

Full-length cDNA clones of many RNA viruses, especially (+) RNA viruses are difficult to maintain stably in E. coli. In this study, two potyviruses PRSV and PLDMV (family Potyviridae, positive-sense RNA virus), were demonstrated to be impossible to clone in E. coli. None colony or a few colonies with incorrect viral genome were obtained in E. coli transformation. The infectious clone of Taiwan PRSV isolate has been reported to be generated by E. coli transformation (Chen et al., 2008), but the Hainan PRSV isolate was proved to be impossible to propagate correctly in E. coli in this study. The reasons may be the different sequences of viral genomes between the two isolates, or the use of different vectors. Several other potyviruses also have proved to be instable in E. coli (Bedoya and Daros, 2010; Gao et al., 2012; Johansen, 1996; Lopez-Moya and Garcia, 2000; Olsen and Johansen, 2001; Tuo et al., 2015; Yang et al., 1998). When the viral genome-encoding plasmids assembled in vitro were transformed into R. radiobacter, the colonies with correct viral genome were obtained with high efficiency. We speculate two possibilities for the stability of infectious clones in R. radiobacter: (i) low copy number of infectious clones in R. radiobacter causes less toxicity. (ii) R. radiobacter is more tolerant to toxicity than E. coli. In fact, using low copy number vectors is an approach to get stable infectious clones in E. coli for some viruses (Almazan et al., 2000; Choi et al., 1999; Gualano et al., 1998; Hurrelbrink et al., 1999). However, this does not always resolve the problem. Many viral genomes are instable in E. coli even using low

Fig. 2. Symptoms derived from infectious clones of PRSV and PLDMV. These photos were taken at 45 dpi. Papaya plants inoculated with the infectious clones of PRSV and PLDMV showed systemic infections with similar symptoms to those caused by the wild-type viruses, whereas the plants inoculated with the infiltrating buffer (mock) were symptomless.

Fig. 3. Generation of GFP-tagged infectious clone of PRSV. (A) Assembly schemes of infectious clone of PRSV-GFP. (B) Expression of GFP was observed under UV lamp and fluorescence microscope in the papaya leaves inoculated with infectious clone of PRSV-GFP at 30 dpi.
copy number vectors, so more sophisticated procedures have been used, such as ligation in vitro and insertion of introns. In this study, we used R. radiobacter for both cloning and inoculation of infectious clones, and found that even a high copy number plasmid (pGreen) containing viral genome without intron insertions can be stable in R. radiobacter.

Using plasmids prepared from E. coli to transform R. radiobacter is the routine procedure for a long time. So many approaches have been developed to solve the problem of instability of infectious clones in E. coli, such as using intron insertions, low copy number plasmids and low growth temperature. In this study, the viral genome-encoding plasmids assembled in vitro were used to transform R. radiobacter, which eliminates the need for plasmid propagation in E. coli. The high efficiency of R. radiobacter transformation with in vitro assembled plasmids may be due to the newly developed DNA assembly methods, such as Gibson assembly (Gibson, 2011; Gibson et al., 2009) and In-Fusion (Sleight et al., 2010). Infectious clones can be constructed and reconstructed in vitro easily with these assembly methods.

Infectious RNA can be produced via in vitro or in vivo transcription. Performing in vitro transcription is inconvenient and expensive, so more and more infectious clones of plant viruses have been generated using R. radiobacter-mediated transformation (Ambros et al., 2011; Park et al., 2017; Shi et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2015; Wieczorek et al., 2015; Zheng et al., 2015). We developed the method for generation of infectious clones of plant viruses by using R. radiobacter for cloning and inoculation, which is convenient and not expensive.

In conclusion, a simple and efficient method was developed for the generation of stable infectious clones of plant viruses by using R. radiobacter for both cloning and inoculation, bypassing the propagation in E. coli. This method is promising for a wide application in the generation of infectious clones of plant viruses, especially those which are instable in E. coli.

4. Methods

4.1. Virus source

PRSV and PLDMV were originally isolated from commercialized papaya in Hainan province, China. The complete genomic sequence of PRSV (GenBank Accession no. KF791028) (Zhao et al., 2015) and PLDMV (GenBank Accession no. JX974555) (Tuo et al., 2013) have been reported previously.

4.2. cDNA synthesis and PCR amplification

The total RNA was extracted from 100 mg of symptomatic papaya leaves with TRIzol reagent according to the manufacturer’s protocol. The first-strand cDNA was synthesized from 0.5 µg of total RNA with the Takara RNA PCR Kit (AMV) Ver.3.0 (TaKaRa, Dalian, China) using random 9 mers and oligo dT-Adaptor primers. Both the full-length PRSV and PLDMV cDNA were divided into two overlapping amplified fragments using specific primers with 25-base overlap (Table S1). A 35 S promoter and a CaMV polyA terminator were inserted into the multiple cloning sites of binary expression vector pGreenII 0000 to form pGreen-35S (Hollens et al., 2000). The pGreen-35S was amplified by primers with 25–33 homologous bases to the viral genome fragments. The PCR amplification reactions were performed with Phusion® High-Fidelity DNA Polymerases (NEB). The amplicons of the expected sizes were purified with the MiniBEST Agarose Gel DNA Extraction Kit (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). The viral genome fragments were cloned to the linearized pGreen-35S by Gibson Assembly. The reaction was performed in a total volume of 10 µL, containing 100–200 ng of each purified PCR fragments and 5 µL of 2×Gibson Mix (NEB). The reaction mix was incubated at 50 °C for 1 h, and then placed on ice for R. radiobacter transformation.

4.3. E. coli and R. radiobacter transformation

The reaction mix (5 µL) was transformed to 100 µL E. coli strain DH5α chemically competent cells (Transgen Biotech, Beijing, China) by heat shock according to the standard protocol. The transformants were selected on LB medium containing 50 mg/L kanamycin for 3 days at 28 °C to keep the same incubation temperature as that of R. radiobacter transformation, and then screened with colony PCR using the primers shown in Table S1. The plasmids were extracted from the PCR positive colonies for sequencing the full-length of PRSV and PLDMV in infectious viral clones using Sanger’s method by primer walking.

The reaction mix (5 µL) was transformed to 100 µL R. radiobacter strain C58C1 (provided by Thierry Candresse, INRA, France) (Youssef et al., 2011) competent cells (containing a helper plasmid pSoup) by electroporation according to the standard protocol. The transformants were screened on LB medium containing 50 mg/L kanamycin and 50 mg/L rifampicin for 3 days at 28 °C, and then screened with colony PCR using the primers shown in Table S1. The plasmids (final 50 µL) were extracted from 100 mL culture of the positive colonies for sequencing. Or the plasmids (final 30 µL) were extracted from 4 mL culture of the positive colonies, and then used as the template to amplify two overlap fragments of the viral genomes using Q5 High-Fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB). The PCR products were used for sequencing the full-length cDNAs of infectious clones using Sanger’s method by primer walking.

4.4. R. radiobacter-mediated transfection

Agroinfiltration was performed as previously reported (Sparkes et al., 2006; Yan et al., 2012). A single colony of agrobacterium for each infectious clone was picked to inoculate 10 mL YEP medium (Bacto-Trypton, 10 g/L; yeast extract, 10 g/L; NaCl, 5 g/L; pH 7.0) supplemented with 50 mg/L kanamycin and 50 mg/L rifampicin. Bacteria were grown overnight at 28 °C to obtain an OD600 of 1.0–1.5. The cultures were pelleted and washed one time using infiltration buffer (10 mM MES pH 5.6, 10 mM MgCl2, and 100 µM acetoxyxynorleucine). Then cells were diluted with infiltration buffer to a final OD600 of 0.6–0.8, and incubated for 3 h at room temperature in dark before the agroinfiltration of papaya plants using 1 mL syringes without needle. The inoculated papaya seedlings were grown in a controlled environment with a 16 h light cycle at 28 °C and then for 8 h in the dark at 25 °C.

4.5. RT-PCR and fluorescence assays

Total RNA was extracted from the upper non-inoculated leaves of inoculated papaya plants and reverse transcribed as described above. The primers for the RT-PCR of each infectious viral clone were shown in Table S1. The fluorescence of the leaves from the GFP-tagged virus was detected using a long-wave length UV lamp (Black Ray model B 100 A; UV products; Upland, CA, USA) and a fluorescence microscope.
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