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ABSTRACT

There exists a small group of metal-deficient stars that have Li abundances well below the Li plateau that is defined by over 100 unevolved stars with temperatures above 5800 K and values of [Fe/H] < −1.0. Abundances of Be have been determined for most of these ultra–Li-deficient stars in order to investigate the cause of the Li deficiencies. These Li-deficient stars have implications on the value of primordial Li. High-resolution and high signal-to-noise ratio spectra have been obtained in the Be η spectral region near 3130 Å for six ultra–Li-deficient stars with the Keck I telescope and its new UV-sensitive CCD on the upgraded HIRES. The spectrum synthesis technique has been used to determine Be abundances. All six stars are found to have Be deficiencies also. Two have measurable but reduced Be, and four have only upper limits on Be. These results are consistent with the idea that these Li- and Be-deficient stars are analogous to blue stragglers. The stars have undergone mass transfer events (or mergers) that destroy or dilute both Li and Be. The findings cannot be matched by the models that predict that the deficiencies are due to extramixing in a subset of halo stars that were initially rapid rotators, with the possible exception of one star, G139-8. Because the ultra–Li-deficient stars are also Be-deficient, they appear to be genuine outliers in the population of halo stars used to determine the Li plateau in that they no longer have the Li in their atmospheres that was produced in the big bang.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The plateau in Li abundances was first noted by Spite & Spite (1982). Much subsequent research work has added many more stars and has refined the details of the plateau, e.g., Spite et al. (1984), Rebolo et al. (1988), Hobbs & Duncan (1987), Thorburn (1994), Bonifacio & Molaro (1997), Ryan et al. (1999, 2001b), Novicki (2005), and more recently Shi et al. (2007) and Bonifacio et al. (2007). In the course of these studies some Li “misfits” were discovered, the first of which was HD 97916, found by Spite et al. (1984); it had a low upper limit on its Li content that was an order of magnitude below the plateau value. Additional stars with only upper limits on the Li abundance were found by Hobbs et al. (1991), Hobbs & Mathieu (1991), Thorburn (1994), and Ryan et al. (2001b). They were dubbed “ultra–lithium-deficient” halo stars by Ryan et al. (2001a). There are now nine such stars known with effective temperatures higher than 5900 K. (There are three others with upper limits found by Thorburn (1994) at low [Fe/H], but the upper limits are not very restrictive.)

The study of these Li-deficient halo stars and their properties is important for two particular reasons: (1) what they can tell us about stellar structure and evolution, and (2) the assessment the amount of Li produced in the big bang. If “normal” halo stars keep their original Li, what properties are different in these stars that result in the loss of Li? Are they merely examples of extreme Li depletion and thus imply that other plateau stars are also depleted, but more mildly? If they need to be included in assessments of a spread in Li due to a universal depletion, this would alter the value of the big bang contribution to the overall Li abundance, log N(Li)p, on the scale where log N(H) = 12.00.

The latest predicted value of log N(Li)p that meets the requirement of consistency with the results from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) is 2.64 ± 0.03 according to Spergel et al. (2007). This is considerably higher than the measured values in the most metal-poor stars; recent results from Charbonnel & Primas (2005), Novicki (2005), Boesgaard et al. (2005), and Bonifacio et al. (2007) give values of log N(Li)obs near 2.1.

Deliyannis et al. (1990) considered the effects of diffusion and estimated that it could decrease the initial Li abundance by 0.14 dex. In their Figure 3, Ryan et al. (1996) show the predicted effects of both rotation and diffusion on the initial Li content compared to the extant Li abundances all assembled on uniform temperature and abundance scales. More recently, new observations in the metal-poor globular cluster NGC 6397 by Korn et al. (2006) suggest that the observed Li reduction resulting from turbulent diffusion could be as much as 0.25 dex, to give A(Li) = 2.54 ± 0.10, thereby achieving agreement with the WMAP results. A recent intriguing idea has been put forward by Piao et al. (2006) that big bang Li was depleted by the first generations of stars. They suggest that as much as one-half of the baryonic matter was effectively depleted in Li and then recycled to the interstellar medium, where efficient turbulent mixing resulted in fairly constant level of Li in subsequent generations of stars. Then those stars may have further depleted Li by internal mixing, e.g., diffusion, rotationally induced mixing.

The connection of these very Li-deficient halo stars with blue stragglers has been discussed in several papers, e.g., Hobbs & Mathieu (1991), Hobbs et al. (1991), and Norris et al. (1997), but the hypothesis of the ultra–Li-deficient stars as blue straggler analogs was put forward by Ryan et al. (2001a, 2002) for the whole subset of nine stars. In addition, Carney et al. (2001, 2005) have done radial velocity studies of five of the metal-poor, Li-deficient stars, which are candidate field blue stragglers.
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There are at least two ideas about the cause of the Li deficiencies. The published ones involve blue-straggler analogs (Ryan et al. 2001a, 2002) and rotationally induced mixing (Pinsonneault et al. 1999, 2002). These two theories of the Li deficiency make different predictions about the Be content. In the case of the blue-straggler analog, all or most of the Be would be destroyed, while in the case of extramixing induced by stellar rotation, all or most of the Be would be preserved. Beryllium is formed by spallation reactions throughout the history of the Galaxy, but it can be destroyed in stellar interiors wherever the temperature exceeds about $3.5 \times 10^6$ K. Rotation in stars produces additional mixing below the convection zone and enables those rotating stars to deplete Be.

The blue stragglers in globular clusters stand out because they are blue, and they are above the main-sequence turnoff. The blue-straggler analogs under discussion here are thought to have extra mass deposited on them, but are not brighter than their main-sequence turnoff counterparts. The phenomena of mass transfer or binary coalescence can affect lower mass, sub-turnoff stars as well. In a binary merger the thorough mixing would destroy both Li and Be. In mass transfer from an evolved star, the Li and Be would both have been diluted as the convection zone deepened; the mass deposited on the “analog” would have little or no Li or Be present.

Pinsonneault et al. (1999, 2002) suggest that a subset of rapid rotators became the very Li-deficient halo stars; as they spun down, they would lose Li by extra mixing. Rotationally induced mixing would transport the surface Li atoms down to layers where the temperature is about $2.5 \times 10^6$ K, where they interact with a proton and become two helium nuclei. The mixing would have to be much more effective to destroy the surface Be atoms, as they would need to be mixed down to $3.5 \times 10^6$ K to be destroyed.

The most metal poor of the ultra–Li-deficient stars is G186-26. Boesgaard & Novicki (2005) have determined its Be upper limit from UV spectra obtained at Subaru with high dispersion spectrograph (HDS). They found that star to be ultra–Be-deficient also, with $\Delta$(Be) $< -2.00$. In comparison with other stars at $[\text{Fe}\/\text{H}]$ $\sim -2.7$, its upper limit on Be is 0.80 dex lower than normal Be. The maximum amount of Be depletion that would be caused by rotationally induced mixing is 0.40 dex, according to the model calculations of Pinsonneault et al. (1992). Boesgaard & Novicki (2005) suggested that the blue straggler analog could explain the Li and Be upper-limit abundances via a binary merger or mass transfer.

In this paper Be results for six more of the nine ultra–Li-deficient halo stars are presented. High-resolution, high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) spectra have been observed for Be at Keck I with the new, improved HIRES. The original HIRES (Vogt et al. 1994) has been modified to contain three CCDs (blue, green, red), which combined have 4096 $\times$ 6144 pixels and which are 15 $\mu$m in size. Most importantly for this work the 8% quantum efficiency (QE) at 3130 Å of the old TEK chip is now 94% in the new blue CCD.

2. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ULTRA–LI-DEFICIENT STARS

Figure 1 shows the halo Li plateau for stars with $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] \leq -1.0$ with Li abundances $[\Delta$(Li) $= \log N$(Li) $-$ log N(H) + 12.00] from Boesgaard et al. (2005), Novicki (2005), Ryan et al. (1996, 1999, 2001a), and Gutiérrez et al. (1999). These Li abundances have all been put on the same temperature scale as discussed by Novicki (2005). That temperature scale was based on photometric indices, $(b-y)_0$, $(V-K)_0$, and $(R-I)_0$ (with a weighting of 4:2:1) and the calibrations of Carney (1983). [The Ryan et al. series of papers (1996, 1999, 2001a) and the Gutiérrez et al. paper (1999) have a similar photometry-based temperature scale, but Novicki (2005) used Kurucz models to find $\Delta$(Li) rather than Bell models (which were privately communicated to Ryan and company). Gutiérrez et al. (1999) published only Li equivalent widths from which Novicki found Li abundances.]

The nine ultra–Li-deficient stars in Figure 1 are shown with their upper limits on $\Delta$(Li) as inverted solid triangles. (Other Li upper limits for three metal-poor stars from Thorburn 1994 are inverted open triangles.) The six stars with Li upper limits observed for Be in this work are the circled filled triangles. An additional one of the nine stars, G186-26, has been observed at Subaru (Boesgaard & Novicki 2005) and is indicated by a square around the solid triangle. The stars plotted all have $T$$_{\text{eff}}$ $> 5700$ K to eliminate stars with possible Li depletion from deeper convection in the lower mass stars. (The two ultra–Li-deficient stars that are not observed here are CD $-$ 31 19466, which is at too large an air mass to be observed for Be from Mauna Kea, and G122-69, which is fainter than the other stars and would require a long integration time for the high S/N spectra needed for meaningful detections or upper limits on Be.)

Most halo, metal-deficient dwarf and turnoff stars have $\Delta$(Li) $\sim 2.1$, but there is a subset that are Li deficient, as shown in Figure 1. The Li deficiencies were discovered by several different authors. Spite et al. (1984) found an upper limit in $\Delta$(Li) for HD 97916 of $< 1.2$. Hobbs et al. (1991) found that G186-26 is severely Li depleted with $\Delta$(Li) $< 1.23$. Thorburn (1992) first reported on the Li deficiencies in G122-69 and G139-8; the upper limits on $\Delta$(Li) given in Thorburn (1994) are $< 1.10$ for G122-69, $< 1.39$ for G139-8, and $< 1.08$ for G186-26. (She also identified three other Li-deficient stars with $T$$_{\text{eff}}$ above 5800 K, but they have $V$ magnitudes of 12.84, 14.4, and 14.24, making them too faint for Be observations, which require high resolution; the upper limits on Li for these stars are not very stringent, only a factor of 2 or so below the halo star Li plateau (Thorburn 1994; Thorburn & Beers 1993). Hobbs & Mathieu (1991) found an upper limit of
A(Li) ≤ 1.75 for BD +25 1981 and of ≤ 1.69 for G202-65, while identifying them as blue stragglers. The halo dwarf, G66-30, was found to be deficient in Li by Spite et al. (1993) with A(Li) ≤ 1.45; the Ryan et al. (2001a) limit for this star is <1.61. Two other Li-deficient halo stars were discovered by Ryan et al. (2001a); these are BD +51 1817 with A(Li) < 1.64 and CD −31 19466 with A(Li) < 1.49.

Various characteristics of these stars have been studied in order to determine the cause of the Li deficiencies. The nine halo stars that are superdeficient in Li are not a homogeneous group. Several properties are summarized in Ryan et al. (2001a). Four are long-period, single-lined spectroscopic binaries with periods ranging from 167–688 days (Carney et al. 2001, 2005). Those stars are HD 97916 (P = 664 days), BD +51 1817 (516 days), G66-30 (688 days), and G202-65 (167 days). Four are thought to be single stars: BD +25 1981, G122-69, G139-8, and G186-26, while the binarity of CD −31 19466 has not been determined. Elliott & Ryan (2005) have measured \( \sin i \) in six of the stars, finding only two with very sharp lines (G139-8 and G186-26). Four others, HD 97916, G202-65, G66-30, and G122-69, show enhanced rotation with values from 4.7 to 10.4 km s\(^{-1}\). Carney et al. (2005) also give values for \( \sin i \) for four stars (but for the three that overlap with Elliott & Ryan (2005) and Ryan et al. (2002) their values are 3–4 km s\(^{-1}\) higher); for an additional star, BD +51 1817, they find enhanced rotation of 9 km s\(^{-1}\).

For several stars the abundances of elements other than Fe have been determined. Norris et al. (1997) determined abundances of 14 elements in G66-30, G139-8, and G186-26; Ryan et al. (1998) added G122-69 to that list. And Elliott & Ryan (2005) added HD 97916 and G202-65. There is no consistent set of abnormal abundances. Soubiran & Girard (2005) found abundances of nine elements in HD 97916; this star has also had its composition studied by Fulbright (2000) and Chen et al. (2000). Elliott & Ryan (2005) presented abundances of eight elements in HD 97916, G202-65, G66-30, G186-26, G139-8, and G122-69. BD +25 1981 was investigated by Peterson (1981) and Gehren et al. (2006). Although the ultra-Li-deficient stars have a range in [Fe/H] from −1.0 to −2.8, they have no pattern of anomalous abundances relative to Fe. According to Sneden et al. (2003), blue stragglers ought to be enhanced in the s-process elements, Sr, Ba, and Pb. Indeed G186-26 has high [Ba/Fe] = +1.0 and [Sr/Fe] = +0.5, but G139-8 is deficient in both Ba and Sr (Elliott & Ryan 2005).

### 3. KECK/HIRES OBSERVATIONS

The spectra for this research were obtained with the new, improved version of HIRES on the Keck I telescope on four nights in 2004 and 2005. The spectrometer now has a three-chip mosaic of CCDs from MIT-Lincoln Labs with 15 μm pixels. There is a dramatic improvement in the quantum efficiency (QE) on the blue/ultraviolet chip. The QE in the region of the Be \( \pi \) lines at 3130 Å is 94%, a 12-fold enhancement over the previous TEK chip.

The binning used on the CCD was X2-Y1; this binning in the direction of the dispersion was done to optimize the sampling and the readout time. The spectral resolution is 0.0134 Å pixel\(^{-1}\). We used the UV cross-disperser and the B5 decker, which is 3.5' × 0.861' in dimension on the sky. All three CCDs, blue, green, and red, were exposed, and flat fields of different exposure times were obtained to match each of the three chips: 50 s for the blue/UV, 3–5 s for the green and 1 s for the red. Seven to 11 bias frames of 0 s were taken, and Th-Ar comparison spectra were obtained at the beginning and end of each night.

The log of the observations, including the exposure times and S/N per pixel, are given in Table 1. Cirrus clouds, sometimes thick, were present on the nights of 2004 November 7 and 2005 May 15. In order to obtain meaningful measurements or upper limits on the Be abundance high S/N spectra were required for this program, because the Be lines could be expected to be very weak. Also in Table 1 are three comparison stars that have normal Li abundances but are similar in [Fe/H] to the six Li-deficient stars.

The data reduction was done in IRAF following standard procedures. Master flat fields were made (median combined) from the seven quartz-lamp exposures. A master bias frame was similarly made from the 7–11 bias frames. These were applied to the stellar frames and the spectral orders were extracted to produce the one-dimensional spectra. Dispersion solutions were made from the Th-Ar comparison spectra with low-order Legendre polynomial fits to hundreds of lines. To minimize the cosmic-ray hits none of the individual exposures exceeded 30 minutes; most of the stars had multiple exposures, and these were co-added using the wavelength shifts found in the cross-correlation techniques in IRAF. For one star (BD +25 1981) spectra were obtained on two different nights, and they were co-added via the same technique.

Figures 2–4 show the Be region in a Li-deficient star and a lithium-normal star in pairs that are approximately matched in temperature.

---

**Table 1**

**Keck HIRES Observations**

| Star         | \( V \) | \( \langle B-V \rangle_0 \) | Night       | Exposure Time (minutes) | Total S/N |
|--------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|
| **Li-Deficient Stars** |        |                             |             |                         |           |
| BD +25 1981  | 9.29   | 0.36                        | 2004 Nov 7  | 30                      | 170       |
| BD +25 1981  | 9.29   | 0.36                        | 2005 Jan 31 | 30                      | 160       |
| HD 97916     | 9.17   | 0.38                        | 2005 Jan 31 | 20                      | 120       |
| BD +51 1817  | 10.23  | 0.38                        | 2005 Jan 31 | 85                      | 128       |
| G66-30       | 11.07  | 0.37                        | 2005 Apr 1  | 90                      | 120       |
| G202-65      | 11.22  | 0.36                        | 2005 Apr 1  | 90                      | 90        |
| G139-8       | 11.46  | 0.42                        | 2005 May 15 | 150                     | 102       |
| **Li-Normal Stars** |      |                             |             |                         |           |
| HD 24289     | 9.96   | 0.52                        | 2004 Nov 7  | 50                      | 97        |
| HD 233511    | 9.71   | 0.48                        | 2005 Jan 31 | 30                      | 118       |
| HD 194598    | 8.36   | 0.49                        | 2004 Nov 7  | 10                      | 140       |
and [\(\text{Fe/H}\)]. Figure 2 compares the Be \(\pi\) region in the Li-deficient star, BD +51 1817, with the Li-normal star, HD 194598. These stars have similar values of [\(\text{Fe/H}\)], but there are two noticeable differences: the absence of the Be \(\pi\) lines and the higher line broadening in the Li-deficient star. The same Li-normal star (HD 194598 at [\(\text{Fe/H}\)] = −1.23) was used as a comparison for the Li-deficient stars, BD +25 1981 with [\(\text{Fe/H}\)] = −1.26 and HD 97916 with [\(\text{Fe/H}\)] = −0.96. While BD +25 1981 seems to have extremely weak Be \(\pi\) lines, HD 97916 seems to have no Be present.

Figure 3 shows the Li-Be-normal star, HD 233511, at [\(\text{Fe/H}\)] = −1.70 and the Li-deficient star, G66-30, at [\(\text{Fe/H}\)] = −1.57. The two remarkable differences seen in Figure 2 are also seen in Figure 3: the absence of the Be \(\pi\) lines and the higher line broadening in the Li-deficient star. HD 233511 is also a good, Li-normal, comparison star for G202-65, which has [\(\text{Fe/H}\)] = −1.50. The absence of the Be \(\pi\) lines in G202-65 is pronounced. Figure 4 shows the difference in spectra in the Be \(\pi\) region of two other metal-poor stars, one Li deficient and one Li normal. The Be \(\pi\) lines are readily apparent in the Li-normal star, HD 24289 at [\(\text{Fe/H}\)] = −2.19, but they are very weak, if present, in the Li-deficient star, G139-8, at [\(\text{Fe/H}\)] = −2.26.

The syntheses of the spectra to derive Be abundances or upper limits are presented in § 4, but this set of comparisons with the Li-normal stars demonstrates the reality of the Be deficiencies in the ultra–Li-deficient stars.

4. BERYLLIUM ABUNDANCES

The abundance of Be is not very sensitive to the effective temperature or [\(\text{Fe/H}\)], but does depend rather sensitively on the value of \(\log g\). Our stars have been observed by several authors, primarily to determine their Li abundances (the results of which are virtually independent of \(\log g\)). The parameters from several studies have been adopted or averaged. These parameters are listed in Table 2 along with the references used for each star. The error estimates on these parameters are based on the general agreement among the various references, but are at least 40 K in \(T_{\text{eff}}\) and at least 0.2 dex in \(\log g\).

Of the six ultra–Li-deficient stars, only one (G202-65) is in the Li-Be dip region found in the Hyades and other open clusters...
\[ T_{\text{eff}} \] (K) \hspace{1cm} \sigma(T) (K) \hspace{1cm} \log g \hspace{1cm} \sigma(\log g) (\text{dex}) \hspace{1cm} [\text{Fe/H}] (\text{dex}) \hspace{1cm} \sigma([\text{Fe/H}]) (\text{dex}) \hspace{1cm} \xi \text{ (km s}^{-1}) \hspace{1cm} \text{Ref.}

| Star            | Ref. | \sigma(T) (K) | \log g | \sigma(\log g) (\text{dex}) | [\text{Fe/H}] (\text{dex}) | \sigma([\text{Fe/H}]) (\text{dex}) | \xi \text{ (km s}^{-1}) | Ref. |
|-----------------|------|---------------|--------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| BD +25 1981     | 6811 | +40           | 4.00   | 7                            | +0.40           | -1.26                             | +0.10             | 1.5  | 5    |
| HD 97916        | 6375 | 3             | 3.95   | 3                            | +0.20           | -0.96                             | +0.15             | 1.6  | 3    |
| BD +51 1817     | 6345 | 9             | 4.00   | ...                          | +0.40           | -1.10                             | +0.10             | 1.5  | 5    |
| G66-30          | 6336 | 3, 3, 9       | +90    | 4.20                         | +0.20           | -1.57                             | 3, 9              | 1.3  | 3    |
| G202-65         | 6480 | 1, 2, 3, 9    | +75    | 3.85                         | +0.20           | -1.50                             | 9                 | +0.16| 1.55 | 3    |
| G139-8          | 5984 | +40           | 3.50   | 2                            | +0.40           | -2.26                             | 3, 6              | +0.15| 0.9  | 3    |

| Star            | Ref. | \sigma(T) (K) | \log g | \sigma(\log g) (\text{dex}) | [\text{Fe/H}] (\text{dex}) | \sigma([\text{Fe/H}]) (\text{dex}) | \xi \text{ (km s}^{-1}) | Ref. |
|-----------------|------|---------------|--------|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|------|
| HD 24289        | 5700 | 100           | 3.5    | 8                            | +0.50           | -2.22                             | +0.10             | 1.5  | 5    |
| HD 194598       | 5875 | 4             | 4.2    | 4                            | +0.06           | -1.23                             | +0.08             | 1.4  | 4    |
| HD 233511       | 5900 | +40           | 4.2    | 4                            | +0.06           | -1.70                             | 4                 | +0.08| 1.2  | 4    |

2 See http://verdi.as.utexas.edu/moog.html.

(e.g., Boesgaard & Tripicco 1986; Boesgaard & King 2002.) One star is hotter and four are cooler. The coolest star, G139-8 at 5984 K, is hotter than the region where Li depletion due to convective mixing sets in. The comparison of the synthetic and observed spectra in Figure 2 shows that some Be is present in BD +25 1981, which has [Fe/H] = -1.26. (The spectrum is very different from the Li-normal comparison star, HD 194598, at [Fe/H] = -1.23, shown in Fig. 2.) The stronger Be line at 3130 Å is well fit by A(Be) = -1.00, whereas the weaker line at 3131 Å gives a better match at -0.70. Giving twice the weight to the result for the stronger line produces A(Be) = -0.90.

In Figure 6 it is apparent that neither Be line is present (the solid line has no Be) for HD 97916; the upper limit is taken as -1.3. Similarly, there seems to be no Be in the spectrum of BD +51 1817 in Figure 7. For this star the upper limit on A(Be) is < -1.50.

For G66-30, shown in Figure 8, there is no evidence of a Be line at 3130 Å, but the data do not rule out Be at the 3131 Å line. The limit of A(Be) < -1.0 is adopted for this star. A similar situation prevails for G202-65 shown in Figure 9: no apparent Be feature at 3130 Å, but there is a possible indication of a small feature (noise?) at 3131 Å. Again, a limit on A(Be) of < -1.3 is adopted.

The situation is different for G139-8, shown in Figure 10. Both Be lines appear in this star. The best fit is A(Be) = -1.60 for the
stronger line and −1.30 for the weaker line. With twice the weight on the result from the stronger line at 3130 Å, the adopted Be abundance becomes −1.50. However, one should note that the upper limit on lithium, $A$(Li) of $<1.39$, (Thorburn 1994) is among the lowest of the ultra–Li-deficient stars.

The observed values of $A$(Be), both detections and upper limits, are given in Table 3 in column 10 as $A$(Be)$_{\text{meas}}$, as well as in the text above. Paragraph 5 in this section has the values for the three comparison stars. Figure 11 puts these Be results and upper limits in the context of other Be abundances in halo stars with normal Li. For consistency, the stars in this figure are from analyses done by our group at the Institute for Astronomy (Stephens et al. 1997; Boesgaard et al. 1999; Boesgaard 2000; Boesgaard & Novicki 2006). It also includes the results from Primas et al. (2000a, 2000b), which are on a virtually identical temperature scale. Implications of these findings are discussed in § 5.

5. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

5.1. Rotation

Predictions have been made by Pinsonneault et al. (1992) for the amount of depletion of both Li and Be resulting from mixing caused by rotation. They calculated depletions for models with different metallicities ($Z = 0.001$ and $0.0001$), for various initial angular momenta (no rotation, $J_0 = 49.2$, 49.7, and 50.2), and for an array of masses ($M = 0.60 – 0.85 M_\odot$) covering various evolutionary ages for each mass.

From these predictions the maximum amount of Be depletion that might result from rotationally induced mixing has been estimated. The maximum prediction was used because our stars seem to have little or no Be left in their atmospheres, so the tabulations for $J_0 = 50.2$, the maximum for the initial angular momentum, were used. Then the appropriate metallicity models were used; the value $Z = 0.001$ was a good choice for five of the stars, while $Z = 0.0001$ was the correct choice for G139-8. The effective
temperature was used to zero-in on the model with the approximate mass and age. The mass for each star could be estimated from the latest "Yale" isochrones (Yi et al. 2004) given each star’s metallicity, gravity, and temperature. In order to estimate the maximum possible Be depletion among the models with the appropriate temperature, metallicity, mass, and age, the largest depletion was selected.

Table 3 shows the Li and Be depletions found this way. The parameters of $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $[\text{Fe/H}]$ are shown for each star from Table 2. Then the closest tabulated $T_{\text{eff}}$ for the models in Tables 4C and 5C (for $J_{\text{rot}} = 50.2$) from Pinsonneault et al. (1992) are listed along with the model mass and age that gives the greatest Be depletion. This Be depletion, $d(\text{Be})$, and the initial Be abundance (which is a function of $[\text{Fe/H}]$) give the predicted, present Be abundance, $A(\text{Be})_{\text{obs}} = A(\text{Be})_{\text{init}} - d(\text{Be})$, which is to be compared to the measured $A(\text{Be})_{\text{obs}}$. (The explicit calculations for Li and Be depletions were presented in Pinsonneault et al. [1992], but newer models have been made by Pinsonneault et al. [1999, 2002]. The newer work of Pinsonneault et al. [2002] explains that for rapidly rotating stars there could be a saturation effect in the angular momentum loss. They state that the Li depletion would be less than that predicted by Pinsonneault et al. [1992]. The Be depletion would be predicted to be smaller also in those newer models. The Li and Be depletions in Table 3 would be even less with the new models, and so the rotation hypothesis for the ultra–Li-deficient stars would be even more difficult to reconcile with the large observed depletions.)

Then the closest tabulated $T_{\text{eff}}$ for the models in Tables 4C and 5C (for $J_{\text{rot}} = 50.2$) from Pinsonneault et al. (1992) are listed along with the model mass and age that gives the greatest Be depletion. This Be depletion, $d(\text{Be})$, and the initial Be abundance (which is a function of $[\text{Fe/H}]$) give the predicted, present Be abundance, $A(\text{Be})_{\text{pred}} = A(\text{Be})_{\text{init}} - d(\text{Be})$, which is to be compared to the measured $A(\text{Be})_{\text{obs}}$. (The explicit calculations for Li and Be depletions were presented in Pinsonneault et al. [1992], but newer models have been made by Pinsonneault et al. [1999, 2002]. The newer work of Pinsonneault et al. [2002] explains that for rapidly rotating stars there could be a saturation effect in the angular momentum loss. They state that the Li depletion would be less than that predicted by Pinsonneault et al. [1992]. The Be depletion would be predicted to be smaller also in those newer models. The Li and Be depletions in Table 3 would be even less with the new models, and so the rotation hypothesis for the ultra–Li-deficient stars would be even more difficult to reconcile with the large observed depletions.)

Figure 12 is similar to Figure 11, but all the Be abundances from that figure (in Li-normal stars) are downsized to small points. Then the mean $A(\text{Be})$ at each of the $[\text{Fe/H}]$ values of our Li-deficient stars are shown in the context of Be abundances in Li-normal stars as a function of $[\text{Fe/H}]$. The filled squares are the two ultra–Li-deficient stars with detected Be, while the filled triangles represent the upper limits on four ultra–Li-deficient stars. Open circles are from Boesgaard et al. (1999, 2004b), Boesgaard (2000), Boesgaard & Novicki (2006). Filled circles are the Li-normal stars in this paper. Open squares (low-metallicity stars) are from Primas et al. (2000a, 2000b). Individual error bars on both $A(\text{Be})$ and $[\text{Fe/H}]$ are shown. The horizontal dotted line is the meteoritic Be abundance, $A(\text{Be}) = 1.42$ (Grevesse & Sauval 1998). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

Then the closest tabulated $T_{\text{eff}}$ for the models in Tables 4C and 5C (for $J_{\text{rot}} = 50.2$) from Pinsonneault et al. (1992) are listed along with the model mass and age that gives the greatest Be depletion. This Be depletion, $d(\text{Be})$, and the initial Be abundance (which is a function of $[\text{Fe/H}]$) give the predicted, present Be abundance, $A(\text{Be})_{\text{pred}} = A(\text{Be})_{\text{init}} - d(\text{Be})$, which is to be compared to the measured $A(\text{Be})_{\text{obs}}$. (The explicit calculations for Li and Be depletions were presented in Pinsonneault et al. [1992], but newer models have been made by Pinsonneault et al. [1999, 2002]. The newer work of Pinsonneault et al. [2002] explains that for rapidly rotating stars there could be a saturation effect in the angular momentum loss. They state that the Li depletion would be less than that predicted by Pinsonneault et al. [1992]. The Be depletion would be predicted to be smaller also in those newer models. The Li and Be depletions in Table 3 would be even less with the new models, and so the rotation hypothesis for the ultra–Li-deficient stars would be even more difficult to reconcile with the large observed depletions.)

Figure 12 is similar to Figure 11, but all the Be abundances from that figure (in Li-normal stars) are downsized to small points. Then the mean $A(\text{Be})$ at each of the $[\text{Fe/H}]$ values of our Li-deficient stars are shown in the context of Be abundances in Li-normal stars as a function of $[\text{Fe/H}]$. The filled squares are the two ultra–Li-deficient stars with detected Be, while the filled triangles represent the upper limits on four ultra–Li-deficient stars. Open circles are from Boesgaard et al. (1999, 2004b), Boesgaard (2000), Boesgaard & Novicki (2006). Filled circles are the Li-normal stars in this paper. Open squares (low-metallicity stars) are from Primas et al. (2000a, 2000b). Individual error bars on both $A(\text{Be})$ and $[\text{Fe/H}]$ are shown. The horizontal dotted line is the meteoritic Be abundance, $A(\text{Be}) = 1.42$ (Grevesse & Sauval 1998). [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]

A typical error on a Be abundance determination is $\pm 0.15$ (see Fig. 11 for examples). All the observed upper limits on Be are lower than the Be that is the predicted “maximum amount of Be depletion” by considerably more than the $1\sigma$ errors. Only in the case of G139-8 could the rotation hypothesis be consistent with
the observed Be abundance, although this Be detection is 1.5σ below the prediction.

Table 3 also shows the present Li abundance predictions, where the model predictions of \( d(\text{Li}) \) and the initial \( A(\text{Li}) \) of 2.25 (for a typical halo star) give \( A(\text{Li})_{\text{pred}} \). These are all considerably lower than the observational upper limits also given in Table 3, i.e., the upper limits do not provide a meaningful test of the model predictions for Li.

In their discussion of metal-poor blue stragglers, Carney et al. (2005) point to the role of Li abundances in distinguishing between the metal-poor blue stragglers and the young metal-poor dwarfs. In this context they point to the Li gap found in the Hyades by Boesgaard & Tripicco (1986) in the temperature range of 6400–6800 K over which all the stars show severe Li deficiencies. Five of the ultra–Li-deficient halo stars are in their paper, and their Table 2 lists one (G202-65) as a Li-gap star with \( T_{\text{eff}} \) of 6560 K. (Their revised \( T_{\text{eff}} \) is 6340 K in their Table 5, cooler than the Hyades Li gap.) Beryllium depletion has also been found in the Hyades and other clusters and field stars in this temperature range (e.g., Boesgaard et al. 2004a, 2004b). Could this be the explanation for all or some of the stars with the ultra-Li deficiencies? The Li gap for metal-poor stars, which have lower masses for a given \( T_{\text{eff}} \), could occur in a broader or a different range in \( T_{\text{eff}} \). This explanation seems implausible, however, even for G202-65 as there are many halo stars with these warmer temperatures that show normal halo Li abundances (e.g., Thorburn 1994; Ryan et al. 2001a; Boesgaard et al. 2005; Meléndez & Ramírez 2004). In addition, Ryan et al. (2001a) state that the Hipparcos parallaxes exclude the possibility that these ultra–Li-deficient stars are “descendents” of Li gap stars.

5.2. Blue Stragglers

Traditionally, we think of “blue stragglers” as the hot, blue stars in globular clusters that are still on the main sequence (e.g., Sandage 1953) even though the other blue stars in the cluster have evolved to red giants and beyond, and the turnoff from the main sequence is at a significantly lower mass. There are field stars also that have blue-straggler characteristics. According to Preston & Sneden (2000) most of the blue metal-poor field stars are binaries, and at least half of those are blue stragglers. They further conclude that those blue stragglers are formed by mass transfer through the evolution of the primary during its red giant phase, rather than by mergers or by coalescence processes that occur in the densely populated globular clusters. This view is supported by the work of Carney et al. (2001, 2005), who find evidence of mass transfer. Ryan et al. (2001a) and Carney et al. (2005) also point out that the blue-straggler binaries in their studies are depleted in Li.

Other evidence shows that blue stragglers have Li deficiencies. Observations were made by Pritchet & Glasepy (1991) of the blue stragglers in the open cluster, M67, to search for Li, but they could determine only upper limits. Two of the stars studied here, G202-65 and BD +25 1981, were classified as blue stragglers by Hobbs & Mathieu (1991) based on photometry. They found these stars to be severely depleted in Li (\( \leq 1.69 \) and \( \leq 1.75 \) dex, respectively). The work in this paper reveals them to be depleted in Be also (\( \leq 1.3 \) and \( \leq 0.90 \), respectively).

A secondary (lower mass) star can have accreted mass from a companion that is now a white dwarf, although that secondary has yet to evolve beyond the main sequence, or even be a turnoff star. It would have become more massive via mass transfer from the primary, but not massive enough to be hotter or bluer than other main-sequence stars or turnoff stars. Ryan et al. (2001a) call them “blue-stragglers-to-be.”

There are a few distinctions in the methods by which the atmosphere of a blue straggler could become deficient in Li and Be. (1) A star we observe now was initially of low enough mass to have destroyed Li and Be during its pre-main-sequence evolution. It has gained mass (also deficient in Li and Be) now from mass transfer during the post-main-sequence evolution of its companion. It is higher up on the main sequence, but not above the turnoff. (2) During the evolution of the primary, mass was transferred to the secondary. The mass transfer process itself may induce extensive mixing, which would result in the depletion of Li and Be. (3) The post-main-sequence evolution of the primary star included mass transfer of Li and Be diluted (and depleted?) material onto the surface of the stars we now observe to be ultra-Li deficient and Be deficient.

Higher values for \( \sin i \) have been found for the blue metal-poor stars that are binaries by Preston & Sneden (2000) and Carney et al. (2005) relative to the constant-velocity stars at similar temperatures. Four ultra–Li-deficient stars (HD 97916, BD +51 1817,
G66-30, and G202-65) have long periods and large orbital separations now, which imply that the high $v\sin i$ values are not the result of tidal effects. More likely, they are caused by spin-up during the mass transfer, which resulted in their becoming blue stragglers, as Carney et al. (2005) suggest. The rapid rotation of the ultra–Li-deficient stars and their connection to blue stragglers was promoted by Ryan et al. (2002). As Figures 2 and 3 show, the lines in the ultra–Li-deficient stars BD +51 1817 and G66-30 are noticeably broader than their Li-normal comparison stars. Figures 5–10 show that of the six ultra–Li-deficient stars studied here, only G139-8 has sharp lines. This ties the Li-deficient/Be-deficient stars to the blue straggler phenomenon also.

A picture is emerging of mass transfer in a blue straggler that results in a deficiency in both Li and Be. It is likely that the field blue stragglers are caused by mass transfer, as put forward by Preston & Sneden (2000), rather than binary coalescence. The mass donor, now a white dwarf, would have transferred mass as it evolved through its red giant phase. While becoming a red giant, its convection zone deepens, and whatever Li and Be remained in its surface layers when it left the main sequence would have been diluted through mixing in the entire convection zone. Typically, a surface convection zone of a few percent of the stellar mass in a main-sequence star grows to become some 60% of the mass in the red giant (Iben 1965). At the very least the deposited material would have diluted whatever Li and Be had not been destroyed during earlier evolution (Iben 1965). Carney et al. (2005) suggest that the former primaries are now white dwarf stars with a narrow mass range. Further support for mass transfer from an evolved star comes from the presence of neutron-capture elements in the ultra–Li-deficient stars. Although G186-26 has enhanced [Ba/Fe], it is well below the relationship between $\sin i$ and [Fe/H] found for Li-normal stars, including the three Li-normal stars that were chosen here at similar [Fe/H] for comparison with the program stars.

From this study the following conclusions can be drawn:

1. The Li-deficient stars are also Be-deficient, and as such they are genuine outliers in the $A$(Li)-[Fe/H] plane and in the $A$(Li)-$T_{eff}$ plane. Therefore, they should not be included in any assessment of the value of big bang–produced Li.

2. Pinsonneault et al. (1992) have made calculations to assess the amount of Li and Be depletion that results from rotationally induced mixing. They did this for an array of angular momenta, metallicities, masses, ages, and temperatures. With the parameters selected for the stars studied here, it was possible to estimate the specific, maximum depletions of Li and Be for each star that would be caused by mixing due to rotation. For these six stars the predicted Be depletions ranged between $-0.56$ and $-0.64$ dex. However, the observed differences from the initial Be (at each [Fe/H]) to the currently measured (or upper limit) Be range from $-0.70$ to $-1.90$ dex. Thus, the depletions that were measured exceeded those that were predicted. A star-by-star evaluation shows that the predictions fail by 2 to 9 $\sigma$ to match the observed Be abundances and upper limits.

3. The star that comes closest in its Be abundance to the predictions of rotationally induced mixing for the Li and Be depletion is G139-8. This star has apparently retained some Be. The measured Be (not an upper limit) is $-1.50 \pm 0.16$, which is 0.70 dex below the mean $A$(Be) of $-0.80$ at [Fe/H] = $-2.26$. The predicted maximum depletion due to rotational mixing would make its $A$(Be) $= -1.24$, compared to the measured $-1.50$; this star is only 1.5 $\sigma$ below the maximum predicted for it. Its $A$(Be) falls below the lower envelope of the spread in Be at its Fe (see Fig. 11). Could this mean that some of the observed spread in Be is the result of a range in initial angular momenta? Some of the observed spread in $A$(Be) may be due to nonuniform formation of Be throughout the halo and disk, e.g., in the neighborhood of hypernovae (see Boesgaard & Novicki 2006), and perhaps some could be due to Be depletion from rotationally induced mixing.

4. The blue-straggler analog is best fit to explain the large Li and Be deficiencies found in the seven ultra–Li-deficient stars. The method of creating a blue straggler via mass transfer with the Preston & Sneden (2000) model, would readily cause the deficiencies in those two elements. During the evolution of the primary whatever Li and Be remained in the atmosphere of the secondary would become diluted by the expansion of the surface convection zone and the mixing therein as advanced first by Iben (1965). Furthermore, both G202-65 and BD +25 1981 are known blue stragglers. At least four are long-period, single-lined spectroscopic binaries (HD 97916, BD +51 1817, G66-30, and G202-65). All four of those and BD +25 1981 have higher $v\sin i$ than normal, which is attributed to spin-up during mass transfer by Carney et al. (2005). The donor of the mass is now a white dwarf. The ultra–Li–Be-deficient stars that are apparently single stars could be the results of stellar mergers.

Of the nine known ultra–Li-deficient stars seven have now been found to be Be-deficient also. In other ways these stars are a disparate group as first pointed out by Norris et al. (1997) and Ryan et al. (1998) based on the composition of four of them and augmented with two more stars by Elliott & Ryan (2005). They are a homogeneous group in their deficiencies in both Li and Be. The evidence accumulated thus far supports their connection to the blue straggler phenomenon.
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