ABSTRACT

We report the outcome of 32 patients who underwent total hip replacement (THR) augmented with morsellized fresh frozen femoral head allografts and acetabular reconstruction cages. Nine patients underwent primary THR and 23 patients underwent revision THR. Follow-up ranged from two to 9 years. Two most common indications for the procedures as reported in literature were rheumatoid arthritis and aseptic loosening of the hip. All but one patient achieved good outcome with radiographs showing full incorporation of bone grafts and no evidence of loosening of the implants. Morsellized bone grafting used with acetabular reinforcement devices is valuable for addressing severe acetabular deficiencies.
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INTRODUCTION

Total hip replacement (THR) in a patient with acetabular bone deficiency remains a major challenge for orthopaedic surgeons. Such condition may present in primary or revision THR setting and the degree of bone loss can be massive.

There has been a growing trend supporting cementless fixation using oversized cups for acetabular revision. Although this is a viable option for patients with sufficient bone stock, it is difficult to obtain stable fixation when bone stock is deficient. One study reported a 24% rate of loosening with the use of a bi-lobed acetabular cup in patients with extensive bone deficiency. Another option is by using acetabular reinforcement with reconstruction cage and morsellized bone graft. Numerous studies have reported promising results with this technique in the medium and long term.

The aim of this study is to report the use of morsellized allograft and acetabular reconstruction cage in patients requiring total hip replacement in both the primary and revision settings. We would like to present the medium range outcomes of these patients.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Between 1997 and 2004, there were a total of 32 patients (34 hips) who underwent total hip replacement with acetabular reconstruction utilizing morsellized allograft and cementless acetabular reconstruction cages. Nine patients (nine hips) underwent primary THR whereas the remaining 23 patients (25 hips) underwent revision THR. The patients ranged in age from 29 to 80 years, with an average of 64.3 years. All primary THR patients in this study were female. In the revision group, there were seven males and sixteen females.

The reasons for primary THR for patients in this study were acetabular protrusion of unknown cause in two patients, rheumatoid arthritis in four patients, avascular necrosis of femoral head in two patients and trauma in one patient. The reasons for revision THR were aseptic loosening in 22 patients and infection in one patient. Based on the AAOS Classification (Fig. 1), eight hips had Type II defects, 17 had Type III defects and nine had Type IV defects.

Reconstruction cages used were either Bürch-Schneider, Müller or Ganz cages. Fresh frozen femoral heads (morsellized prior to insertion) were used to fill acetabular defects.

RESULTS

All patients returned for follow-ups. The average Harris Hip Score preoperatively was 30, with a range of 22 to 43. The majority of the patients reported marked preoperative pain. All patients were housebound preoperatively, and two were not able to walk due to pain.

Postoperatively, 31 patients (33 hips) reported good functional outcomes at the last follow-up (Range 2 to 9 years). Twenty-five patients were able to walk for at least 30
minutes. Five patients still require a walking aid. All patients reported that their pain was much less compared to the preoperative levels. The average Harris Hip Score postoperatively was 76 (range of 61 – 89). Radiographically, all hips showed full incorporation of bone graft. Acetabular components and cages showed no signs of loosening at last follow-up (Figures 2 and 3).

One failure occurred in a patient who had a previously irradiated pelvis for carcinoma of the cervix. She fell two years after the hip replacement and sustained fracture of the pelvis. The patient underwent revision surgery, but postoperative recovery was complicated by infection and subsequently the implant loosened. Eventually Girdlestone procedure was performed for the affected hip.

Fig. 1: Classification of acetabular defects according to the American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Committee on the Hip. The five types of defects are segmental (Type 1), cavitary (Type 2), combined segmental and cavitary (Type 3), pelvic dissociation (Type 4) and hip fusion (Type 5).

Fig. 2A: Preoperative radiograph of bilateral hip arthroplasty with loosening.

Fig. 2b: Plain radiograph of both hips 7 years after surgery.

Fig. 3a: Preoperative radiograph of a hip requiring revision arthroplasty.

Fig. 3b: Plain radiograph of the hip 5 years after revision surgery.
Morsellized Allograft and Acetabular Reconstruction Cage: Follow-up of 2 to 9 years

DISCUSSION

Acetabular reconstruction with reinforcement cage has been advocated for total hip replacement in patients with significant bone loss. Deficiency of the acetabular bone makes implantation of new acetabular cup difficult. Normal placement of the implants help to normalize the hip centre and acetabular biomechanics. They also protect the bone grafts during integration and are instrumental in aiding restoration of bone stock. A cage is indicated when there is less than 50% of viable, stable host bone to support and stabilize the components.

Contained defects where the acetabular columns and rim are intact account for most acetabular revisions. Allograft may be required to fill the bone defects and restore the shape of the acetabulum. Once fully incorporated, bone stock will be restored. The type of allograft used depends on the degree of bone loss. Morsellized cancellous bone graft is used in contained acetabular defects. In this study, radiographs of all the patients at the last follow-up showed full incorporation of the bone grafts. Radiographs of the patient who was considered a failure due to subsequent fracture after a fall had radiological evidence of bone graft incorporation before the incident.

Result of surgery using reconstruction cages is well-documented. However, most available studies report on the use of acetabular reconstruction cages in the revision THR. In our study, acetabular reconstruction cages and morsellized bone grafts were also used in nine primary THR (26%) for those with significant acetabular bone defects. Functional outcome in the primary THR were comparable to that of the revision group.
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