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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND
We wanted to assess the renal abnormalities including parenchymal, arterial, venous and collecting systems that preclude renal donation or altered surgical approach on the basis of CT angiography.

METHODS
This is a hospital based retrospective observational study. 55 donors (110 kidneys) had undergone preoperative CT renal angiography. The data were collected from last 3 years (December 2016 - December 2019) and analyzed. Two different radiologists interpreted the results unaware of the findings of each other. Final report depended upon the common consensus of both the radiologists.

RESULTS
The percentage of multiple renal arteries, early branching of renal artery and retrocaval right renal artery were 30 %, 5.45 % and 3.64 % respectively. The percentage of multiple renal veins, circumaortic renal vein and retroaortic renal veins were 7.27 %, 5.45 % and 1.82 % respectively. The late confluence of renal vein (left side) was found in 1 donor. Renal parenchymal abnormalities were detected in the form of simple cortical cysts and renal calculus. No variation or abnormality was detected in the collecting system.

CONCLUSIONS
Multi detector computed tomography (MDCT) angiography provides an accurate and reliable tool to evaluate the renal parenchyma, collecting system, vascular anatomy and their variations in the living renal donor. It guides the surgeon immensely in decision making regarding proper donor selection and as to which kidney should be harvested.
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BACKGROUND

Renal transplantation leads to a better survival and quality of life in end-stage renal disease patients than dialysis. Laparoscopic nephrectomy from the living renal donor is the gold standard technique of choice for harvesting the kidney. Laparoscopically medial and posterior aspects of the kidneys are not adequately assessed due to limited surgical exposure and some technical difficulties that may lead to catastrophes. Hence detailed preoperative evaluation of the potential donor is very crucial for the proper donor selection, which kidney to be harvested, best surgical approach for renal harvesting and to minimize post-operative complications for both the donor as well as the recipient.

There are various radiological methods (including traditional renal angiography and excretory urography) for the renal assessment, in which CT Angiography is considered as the best imaging modality for the preoperative evaluation of the living renal donor. MDCT along with 3D-CT angiography provides accurate anatomic information regarding the renal parenchyma, artery, vein and collecting system. MDCT offers shorter image acquisition times, reduction in tube heating and improved spatial resolution.

We present our experience at this institution using MDCT angiography in renal donors. In this study, we focused on the renal anatomy, it’s parenchymal lesion, artery, vein and the collecting system, which was very crucial for the radiologist to get familiar with. This could change the plan of action of the renal transplant surgeon.

METHODS

This is a hospital based retrospective observational study which was conducted for a period of 3 years from December 2016 to December 2019. Fifty-five donors i.e. one hundred ten kidneys had undergone MDCT and 3-D CT angiography for the detailed evaluation of the kidneys. The angiography protocol that we followed in our institute, was the standard of care over the past 5 years and the reporting format for the renal angiography was considered appropriate (predesigned performa).

| Scanner     | Toshiba Acquilion |
|-------------|-------------------|
| Tube voltage| 120 kVp           |
| Effective current | 150 mAs        |
| Rotation time  | 0.5 sec           |
| Slice thickness | 1.25 mm          |
| Detector collimation | 64 x 0.5 mm   |
| Intravenous contrast | 120 ml followed by 40 ml saline @ 4 ml/sec |
| Acquisition phases | NCCT, Arterial (bolus tracking), Venous (70 sec) and delayed (5 - 10 min) |
| Imaging analysis (PACS) | Axial, coronal and sagittal (3 mm) |
| Reconstruction technique | MPR, MIP, 3D-VRT |

Table 1. Scans Parameters for Data Acquisition and Post Processing in MDCT and 3-D CT Angiography Study Using 128 - Slice MDCT Scan at IGMS, Patna

All the data were reconstructed using the body soft-tissue algorithm. The post-processing / reformatting techniques like MPR (multiplanar reformation) to obtain axial, sagittal and coronal images, MIP (maximum intensity projection) in which brightest densities are projected into the 3D image and 3D-CT Angiography volume rendered technique (VRT) was used as a supplementary image specially for the small vessels. Renal artery and venous anatomy as well as it’s variations were evaluated in the arterial (25 sec, post-contrast) and venous phase (55 sec, post-contrast) respectively. Evaluation of the renal collecting systems and ureter was done in the delayed / urographic phases (5 - 10 min post-contrast).

Image Interpretation

The images were stored in the PACS (picture archiving and communicating system) and were reported by two different radiologists separately in a predesigned performa, unaware of the findings of each other. Then the findings of both the radiologists were analyzed. The information was collected regarding the number of the renal arteries, early branching of arteries and number of veins and their course. If a kidney had two or more arterial arteries, then the vessel with greatest diameter was considered as dominant / main artery and others as accessory artery. If the arteries were of same size then it was termed as upper renal artery and lower renal artery. Accessory artery was classified as hilar, polar and capsular depending upon it’s course from the aortic origin into the renal parenchyma. Distance between the two arteries was also noted, as this will guide the surgeon to create anastomosis with the recipient iliac artery. If any branch diverged / originated from the renal artery by 1 cm or less from the aortic origin, then it was considered as early branching (followed at our institute). Some studies defined early branching if the first branch was either 1.5 cm or 2 cm from the renal ostia. Presence of the soft or calcified plaque or any stenosis in the renal artery and renal venous anomaly like retroaortic and circumaortic were also recorded.

Renal Angiographic Features and It’s Implications on Decision for Renal Donation

As donor safety is of main importance in living donor transplant program, the donor should retain one normal (morphological as well as functional) kidney. If subtle abnormality is detected in one kidney and another is absolutely normal, then the kidney with altered morphology should be used as a transplant kidney. Presence of small renal calculi (< 4 mm) without calycectasia, small cortical cyst (< 5 mm) or small (< 5 mm) angiomyolipoma is not the contraindication of renal donation. Presence of multiple calculi or calculus of size > 5 mm requires removal of the stone and it’s metabolic analysis. Large Cortical cyst or angiomyolipoma (> 5 mm) requires excision of the lesion before transplantation.

If both the kidneys are normal in morphology, then kidney with less complicated vascular anatomy is chosen. The surgeon often prefers left kidney because of longer vascular pedicle and easy technique. Presence of the accessory artery or polar artery often increases the surgery duration in donor as well as in recipient with increased post-operative complications like arterial thrombosis and later on stenosis. According to rough estimate, small-caliber polar artery of diameter less than 2 mm may be sacrificed as it will produce graft infarct in less than 10 % of renal volume.

Precise evaluation of the renal arteries is very important aspect for the imaging work-up. It’s origin from the aorta, renal artery length till it’s first branch, luminal caliber, presence of...
calculated or soft plaque and any other pathology like fibromuscular dysplasia should be carefully looked for, since on the right side, there is inferior vena cava (IVC) and renal artery is retrocaival in location. Hence, it is important to see the relation of first renal artery branch with respect to the IVC. This will immensely help in planning the surgery.

Renal veins and their variations are also important to recognize. There could be one or more than one renal vein on either side. Duplication of right renal vein has been reported in 15 % of renal donors.8 Right renal vein is often short and slight oblique course and then drains into IVC. It often doesn’t show significant variation. Left renal vein is longer and commonly pre-aortic/horizontial course. Sometimes it is having retro-aortic course in which case it follows oblique route. Another uncommon variation is circumaortic left renal vein in which case renal vein is having both pre-aortic and retro-aortic course and drains into the IVC either separately or before draining they join to form single renal vein. Site of first venous confluence (IVC side) is identified and length of renal vein from the drainage site to the first venous confluence is measured that will form the renal venous pedicle length on each side which should be minimum 10 mm in length. In case of venous duplication, pattern of the venous separation e.g., circumferential or craniocaudal, their length and luminal caliber are measured and communicated to the concerned surgeon.

Statistical Analysis
chi-square test applied using SPSS software to analyze data. Accordingly mean and percentages were estimated.

RESULTS

MDCT angiographic evaluation was done in 55 patients i.e. 110 kidneys and their vessels were evaluated. Females were the most common candidates for the donor. They constituted 43 out of 55 candidates i.e. 78.18 % of the available donor. Age range was from 24 years to 65 years. Mean age was 45.2 (+/-10.5). Most common age group which volunteered for the renal donation was 41 - 50 years of age (18 / 55 i.e. 32.73 %) followed by 51 - 60 years of age (15 / 55 i.e. 27.27 %). In the age group of 21 - 40 years all donors were females. Males were the commonest donors in the age group 61 - 70 years i.e. 3 males among 4 donors (75 % male). There was one small right kidney (7.4 cm length) and relatively enlarged left kidney (12.8 cm length) in two different donors.

Focal parenchymal lesion in the form of simple cortical cysts was noted. Single cortical cyst was found in 3 different kidneys and multiple (> 2 in number) cortical cysts in 2 different right kidneys and 1 left kidney. Calculi were seen in 1 right and 1 left kidney as tiny concretions without caliectasis which was definitely, not the contraindication of renal harvesting. Extrarenal pathology was detected (that didn’t alter the decision of transplant surgeon) in two candidates; one donor was having healed hepatic hydatid cyst and the other one was having uterine multiple fibroids. Three renal arteries were detected in 4 out of 110 kidneys (3.64 %) and two renal arteries were detected in 29 out of 110 kidneys (26.36 %) (Figure 1 A & B). Early branching was noted in 6 kidneys (3 right and 3 left kidneys) among 110 kidneys (5.45 %) (Figure 1 C & D). Retrocaval branching was noted in one donor.

In two donors, MRA and accessory renal artery were having same caliber. A total of 6 polar arteries were found among 110 kidneys (5.45 %). Four right-sided kidneys were
having polar arteries. Three of them arose from the MRA (3.6 mm away from the aortic origin of MRA) and one directly from the aorta. One polar artery was detected in two left kidneys originating from the abdominal aorta. Both polar arteries that arose from the aorta were cranial to the origin (superior polar artery) of the MRA in our study (Figure 2A & B). Three capsular arteries (2 on right side of 2 different donors and 1 on the left side) were detected among 110 kidneys (2.72%), all arising from the renal artery (Figure 2C). One donor was having soft plaque in the right renal artery near the ostium; however no significant stenosis was noted (Figure 2D). The separation between the MRA and accessory artery ranged from 2.5 - 13 mm on right side and 2 - 15 mm on left side either cranio-caudally or circumferentially.

| Renal Vein | Right Renal Vein (N / %) | Left Renal Vein (N / %) | Total (N / %) |
|-----------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Single    | 51 / 46.36              | 51 / 46.36              | 102 / 92.72   |
| Double    | 4 / 3.64                 | 4 / 3.64                | 8 / 7.28      |
| Triple or more | 0 / 0                | 0 / 0                   | 0 / 0         |
| Total     | 59 / 54.36              | 59 / 54.36              | 118 / 100     |

Table 3. Renal Vein Variations

Interobserver agreement was excellent. Both radiologists found nearly the same results except one. A capsular artery was missed by one radiologist but picked up by another. Finally both the radiologists agreed on the presence of capsular artery after reviewing the source images together.

**DISCUSSION**

Fifty-five living renal donors i.e. 110 kidneys were evaluated by MDCT angiography. Forty-three (78.18%) donors were females and twelve were males (21.82%). Age range was from 24 to 65 years. Mean age was 45.2 ± 10.5 years. The most common age group that volunteered for the renal donation was 41-50 years of age (18/55 i.e. 32.73%) followed by 51-60 years of age (15/55 i.e. 27.27%). All donors were females in the age group of 21-40 years. Females were the most common in all age groups except in the age range of 61-70 years. In most number of donors (77/110 i.e. 70%) in our study, each kidney was supplied by single renal artery, arising from the abdominal aorta. This finding correlated with that of other investigators like C. Llado et al. in 2017 and Mehta G et al. in 2014. Diameter of the accessory artery ranged from 0.3 mm - 4 mm in our study. Satyapal et al. described the diameter range for an accessory renal artery between 0.2 and 3 mm. 30% of the donors were having accessory or polar renal arteries (5.45%, 6 out of 110 kidneys) or capsular arteries (2.72%, 3 out of 110 arteries). 50% of the polar arteries arose directly from the abdominal aorta and 50% of them from the main renal artery. A capsular artery was so thin and attenuated that it was missed by one radiologist but picked up by another. Two renal arteries were detected in 26.36% and three renal arteries were detected in 3.64% of donors. In two donors, caliber of the main renal artery and accessory artery were same. Early branching was recorded in total 6 kidneys among 110 kidneys (5.45%). Earlier studies done by Jee Won Chai in 2008 and by Holden A et al. in 2005 found that prevalence of early branching was in the range of 10-12%. 92.72% (102 out of 110) of the donors in our study were having single draining renal veins on each side and 7.28% (8 out of 110 kidneys) were having double renal veins. The prevalence of the supernumerary vein has been reported to be in the range of 9-28%. In three donors double right renal veins were having separate opening into IVC and in one donor both the right renal veins fused just before draining into IVC.

On the left side, three donors (5.45%, 3 out of 55 left kidneys) were having circumaortic renal vein and one donor (1.82%, 1 out of 55 left kidneys) was having retroaortic renal vein. These left sided double renal veins drained separately into the IVC. 3 of 17% of donors were having circumaortic renal vein (3.10% and 3% of them having retroaortic vein, as reported in literature). In one donor (1.82%, 1 out of 55 left kidneys) late confluence formation took place, at pre-aortic region. Jee Won Chai et al. and Kim JK et al. reported that this variation was detected in 10.8% and 16% of donors respectively. Low prevalence in our study could be due to either lesser prevalence in Indian population than any other population or due to inclusion of lesser number of individuals in the study.
CONCLUSIONS

In the past few years, renal transplantation has become the treatment of choice for the end stage renal disease. Triple phase MDCT combined with 3D-CT angiography is the imaging modality of choice for the presurgical work up of the living renal donors for nephrectomy. It provides minimally invasive, highly accurate and detailed renal parenchymal and vascular anatomic evaluation and hence helps the surgeon in planning regarding the proper donor selection, kidney to be harvested, best surgical approach for renal harvesting (fasten the procedure of graft harvesting) and to minimize post-operative complications (risk of vascular injury) for both the donor as well as the recipient. It will detect the anatomical variation of the kidney and various vascular anomalies in the renal donors and hence can modify the plan of action of the transplant surgeons.

Limitations

Operative evaluation of the renal vessel is considered as gold standard. However, non-availability of the data on the surgical correlation of the renal vascular anatomy and its variations imposed the biggest drawback. This lacuna might have caused some bias that probably led to false positive or false negative results. Another drawback could be the lesser number of study population.

Recommendations

Accuracy of the MDCT angiography should be correlated with surgical findings (considered as gold standard). So further study including surgical correlation along with larger study population is recommended.
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