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Abstract

Background and the purpose of the study: Modified androsterone derivatives are class of steroidal compounds with potential anticancer properties. Various steroidal derivatives containing substitution at position 16 have shown diversified pharmacological activities. In the present study, a new series of cytotoxic 16-(substituted benzylidene) derivatives of dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) were synthesized and evaluated against three different cancer cell lines.

Methods: The cytotoxic 16-(substituted benzylidene) derivatives of DHEA were synthesized via aldol condensation of DHEA with corresponding benzaldehyde derivatives. The cytotoxic activity of synthesized derivatives was evaluated against three different cancer cells including KB, T47D and SK-N-MC cell lines by MTT reduction colorimetric assay.

Results: The results indicated that 16-(substituted benzylidene) derivatives of DHEA could be served as a potent anti-cancer agent. The 3-chloro benzylidene derivatives of DHEA was the most potent synthesized derivative especially against KB and T47D cell lines (IC50 values were 0.6 and 1.7 μM; respectively).

Conclusion: The cytotoxic potential of novel benzylidene derivatives of DHEA is mainly attributed to the position and nature of the substituted group on the benzylidene pendant.
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Introduction

Steroidal derivatives are important class of synthetic and naturally occurring compounds, which have exhibited different biological properties [1-3] and attracted profound attention for development of potent pharmacological agents for treatments of various diseases [4] including: cardiovascular disease [5], adrenal insufficiencies [6], autoimmune disorders [7], fungal and microbial infections [8,9]. Furthermore, different steroidal derivatives have been considered as potent anti-cancer agents for the treatment of leukemia [4], breast cancer [10-12], prostate cancer [13] and brain tumors [14].

Several natural and modified steroidal derivatives have been previously described in the literatures as potent cytotoxic agents [15-17]. In this regard, different derivatives of androsterone (3α-hydroxy-5α-androstan-17-one) have been excessively studied as potent anti-cancer agents (Figure 1) [18,19]. Recently, the significant cytotoxic and aromatase inhibitory potential of a large number of androsterone derivatives containing substitution at position 16 have been reported [12,20,21]. Bansal et. al demonstrated the effectiveness of different 16E-arylidenosteroids as potential anticancer and anti-aromatase scaffold against estrogen-dependent breast
cancer and different human tumor cell lines [12,20]. The cytotoxic mechanistic study of α,β-unsaturated carbonyl derivatives revealed that compounds containing described functional group can cause alteration and misfolding of proteins through the formation of adducts with reactive thiol groups of proteins [22]. For this reason, the α,β unsaturated androstrone derivatives containing exocyclic double bond at C16 position could be served as potent chemotherapeutic agents. Dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), also known as androstenolone (3α,5α-dihydroxyandrost-5-en-17-one) is an androsterone derivative and important endogenous steroid hormone which plays an important role as intermediate for biosynthesis of androgens and estrogen hormones [23]. Apart from its different biological potential, DHEA demonstrated antiproliferative and antiapoptotic effects on different cancer cell lines [24-26].

In the course of our ongoing study for the synthesis and biological evaluation of potential anticancer agents [27-34], herein, we investigate the synthesis and cytotoxic activity evaluation of a new series of 16-(substituted benzylidene) derivatives of DHEA taking into account the structural necessities for cytotoxic activity of these derivatives. The aim of this study was to investigate the structural requirements affecting the cytotoxic potential of modified steroidal compounds.

**Material and methods**

**Chemistry**

All starting materials, reagents, and solvents were prepared from Merck AG (Germany). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) using various solvents of different polarities was applied for determination of the purity of the synthesized compounds. Melting points were determined on a Kofler hot stage apparatus (Vienna, Austria) and are uncorrected. 1H-NMR spectra were recorded using a Bruker 400 spectrometer (Bruker, Rheinstetten, Germany), and chemical shifts are expressed as δ (ppm) with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as internal standard. The IR spectra were recorded using a Shimadzu 470 (Shimadzu, Tokyo, Japan) spectrophotometer (potassium bromide disks). The mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan TSQ-70 spectrometer (Finnigan, USA) at 70 eV.

**General procedure for the preparation of the (E)-16-(substituted benzylidene) dehydroepiandrosterone derivatives 1a-m using aldol Condensation**

The appropriate aldehyde was added to a mixture of DHEA (1.0 g, 3.47 mmol) and NaOH (1.75 g) in methanol (20 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The completion of reaction was confirmed using analytical thin layer chromatography. After completion, the reaction mixture was poured into ice-water. The final precipitate was filtered; washed with cold water, dried under reduced pressure and crystallized in methanol.

**Figure 1 Chemical structures of androsterone and dehydroepiandrosterone.**
(E)-16-(4-Fluorobenzylidene)-1,3,4,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16-
dodecahydro-3-hydroxy-10,13-dimethyl-2H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17(14H)-one (1h)

Yield: 46%; mp=234-236°C; IR (KBr, νmax cm⁻¹): 3471 (OH), 1709 (C=O). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.00(s, 3H, CH₃), 1.07(s, 3H, CH₃), 3.42-3.60(m, 1H, CH-OH), 5.40(s, 1H, Hvinyl), 7.29(t, 1H, Hphenyl, J= 6.4Hz), 7.35(s, 1H, Hphenyl), 7.40(s, 1H, Hvinyl), 7.44(dd, 1H, HPhenyl, J=8.4Hz), 7.52(d, 1H, Hphenyl, J=8.4Hz). MS (EI) m/z (%): 456(M⁺, 26), 454(M⁺, 26), 436(46), 424(32), 343(26), 315(18), 263(32), 213(38).

(Yield: 28%; mp=222-234°C; IR (KBr, νmax cm⁻¹): 3471 (OH), 1710 (C=O). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.95(s, 3H, CH₃), 1.04(s, 3H, CH₃), 3.48-3.60(m, 1H, CH-OH), 5.40(s, 1H, Hvinyl), 7.29(t, 1H, Hphenyl, J= 6.4Hz), 7.35(s, 1H, Hphenyl), 7.40(s, 1H, Hvinyl), 7.44(dd, 1H, HPhenyl, J=8.4Hz), 7.52(d, 1H, Hphenyl, J=8.4Hz). MS (EI) m/z (%): 456(M⁺, 26), 454(M⁺, 26), 436(46), 424(32), 343(26), 315(18), 263(32), 213(38).

(E)-16-(4-Fluorobenzylidene)-1,3,4,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16-
dodecahydro-3-hydroxy-10,13-dimethyl-2H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17(14H)-one (1i)

Yield: 35%; mp=199-201°C; IR (KBr, νmax cm⁻¹): 3429 (OH), 1717(C=O). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.97(s, 3H, CH₃), 1.07(s, 3H, CH₃), 3.85(s, 3H, OCH₃), 3.50-3.60(m, 1H, CH-OH), 5.40(s, 1H, Hvinyl), 6.94(dd, 1H, Hphenyl, J=8.4Hz), 7.40(1H, Hvinyl), 7.51(dd, 1H, Hphenyl, J=8.4Hz). MS (EI) m/z (%): 467(M⁺+1, 7), 406(M⁺, 29), 408(100).

(E)-16-(2,3,4-Trimethoxybenzylidene)

1.3,4,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16-dodecahydro-3-hydroxy-10,13-dimethyl-2H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17(14H)-one (1l)

Yield: 27%; mp=234-236°C; IR (KBr, νmax cm⁻¹): 3456 (OH), 1724(C=O). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 1.00(s, 3H, CH₃), 1.06(s, 3H, CH₃), 3.48-3.60(m, 1H, CH-OH), 5.38(s, 1H, Hphenyl), 7.42-48(m, 1H, Hphenyl) 7.56-7.60 (m, 2H, Hphenyl), 7.70-7.75(m, 2H, Hphenyl, Hvinyl). MS (EI) m/z (%): 445 (M⁺+1, 21), 444(M⁺, 100).

(E)-16-(2,3,4,5-Tetramethoxybenzylidene)

1,3,4,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,15,16-dodecahydro-3-hydroxy-10,13-dimethyl-2H-cyclopenta[a]phenanthren-17(14H)-one (1n)

Yield: 35%; mp=216-218°C; IR (KBr, νmax cm⁻¹): 35214 (OH), 1721(C=O). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 0.96(s, 3H, CH₃), 1.07(s, 3H, CH₃), 1.03(s, 3H, CH₃), 3.48-3.60(m, 1H, CH-OH), 7.42-48(m, 1H, Hphenyl) 7.56-7.60 (m, 2H, Hphenyl), 7.70-7.75(m, 2H, Hphenyl, Hvinyl). MS (EI) m/z (%): 420(M⁺+1, 32), 419(M⁺, 10).
Biological assay

**Cell lines and cell culture**

The synthesized compounds were tested against three different human cancer cell lines including KB (human nasopharyngeal epidermoid carcinoma), T47D (human breast cancer) and SK-N-MC (human neuroblastoma) cells. The cell lines were purchased from the National Cell Bank of Iran (NCBI). The cells were grown in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco BRL) supplemented with 10% heat inactivated fetal calf serum (Gibco BRL), 100 μg/mL streptomycin, and 100 U/mL penicillin, in a humidified air atmosphere at 37°C with 5% CO2.

**In vitro cytotoxicity assay**

The in vitro cytotoxic activity of each synthesized derivatives 1a-m was investigated using MTT colorimetric assay [35]. Briefly, each cell line in log-phase of growth was harvested by trypsinization followed by resuspension in complete growth medium to give a total cell count of 5×10^4 cells/ml. The resulted cell suspension was seeded into the wells of 96-well plates (Nunc, Denmark). The plates were incubated overnight in a humidified air atmosphere at 37°C with 5% CO₂. After the incubation period, 5 μL of the media containing various concentrations of the compounds was added per well in triplicate followed by further incubation for 24 h. The final maximum concentration of DMSO was 0.1%. Etoposide was used as positive control for cytotoxic activity, while three different wells containing evaluated cancer cells cultured in 200 μL of complete medium were used as negative controls of cell viability. After incubation, the medium was discarded and 200 μl phenol red-free RPMI containing MTT (final concentration 1 mg/ml), was added to each well. The test plate was incubated for 4h. The culture medium was then replaced with 100 μL of DMSO and the absorbance of each well was measured by using a micro plate reader (Gen5, Power wave xs2, BioTek, America) at 492 nm. Each set of experiments was independently performed three times. The concentration causing 50% cell growth inhibition (IC₅₀) compared with the control was calculated using concentration-response curves by regression analysis.

**Results and discussion**

The benzylidene-substituted DHEA derivatives 1a-m were synthesized through the aldol condensation [36] of DHEA with corresponding benzaldehyde derivatives (Figure 2).

The in vitro cytotoxic activity of synthesized compounds 1a-m was investigated against three different cancer cell lines including KB, T47D and SK-N-M cells. The percentage of growth inhibition was assessed using MTT reduction assay versus controls not treated with test compounds. The 50% growth inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) for each compound was determined and presented in Table 1. The data for etoposide was also included.

The results of cytotoxic data indicate that most of synthesized compounds showed moderate to strong cytotoxic potential in all three cell lines. Based on the cytotoxic data, the following structure-activity relationship may be developed:

- Introduction of different substitutes such as chlorine, trifluoromethyl, methoxy and methyl groups into the ortho or meta position of benzylidene moiety, resulted in enhanced cytotoxic potential of benzylidene derivatives of DHEA.
- The compounds containing chlorine, nitro and fluorine substitutes at para position of benzylidene pendant, were almost inactive against all three evaluated cell lines (IC₅₀>100 μM). Whereas, substitution of methoxy, methyl and trifluoromethyl groups into the para position (compounds 1i-j), resulted in enhanced cytotoxic potential of corresponding derivatives, e.g. the corresponding IC₅₀ values of para-methyl

![Figure 2 Synthetic protocol for compounds 1a-m.](image-url)
benzylidene derivative 1j in KB, T47D and SK-N-MC cell lines were 1.7, 7.6 and 1.0 μM, respectively.

- The 3-chloro benzylidene derivatives of DHEA, compound 1b, was the most potent synthesized derivative especially against KB and T47D cell lines (IC₅₀ values were 0.6 and 1.7 μM; respectively) which were comparable with etoposide (IC₅₀= 2.8 and 1.2 μM; respectively).

Based on the above finding it might be deduced that 16-(substituted benzylidene) derivatives of DHEA could be served as a potent anti-cancer agents. The cytotoxic potential of described compounds is mainly attributed to the position and nature of the substituted group on the benzylidene pendant. The ortho or meta positions of the benzylidene group could well accommodate different substitute in order to afford potent cytotoxic derivatives of this types.

### Table 1 Chemical structures and in vitro cytotoxic activity of compounds 1a-m assessed by MTT reduction assay (Continued)

| Compound | Ar | KB       | T47D     | SK-N-MC   |
|----------|----|----------|----------|-----------|
| 11       |    | 1.3(±1.8) | 4.1(±1.2) | 5.9(±1.4) |
| 1l        | OCH₃       | >100     | >100     | >100      |
| 1m        | OCH₃       | >100     | >100     | >100      |
| Etoposide |    | 2.8(±1.6) | 1.2(±0.8) | 3.9(±0.8) |

*Values in parentheses represent the average of 3–4 experiments ± S.E.M.*

### Conclusion
A new series of cytotoxic 16-(substituted benzylidene) derivatives of DHEA were synthesized and evaluated
against three different cancer cells including KB, T47D and SK-N-MC cell lines by MTT reduction colorimetric assay. The cytotoxic potential of these novel benzylidene derivatives of DHEA is mainly attributed to the position and nature of the substituted group on the benzylidene pendant.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors’ contributions
MV: Design and synthesis of target compounds. HY: Synthesis of some intermediates and target compounds. KD: performing the biological tests. HS: Synthesis of some target compounds, MS: performing the cytotoxic test, SKA: Supervision of biological tests, NM: collaboration in identification of synthesized compounds, NE: collaboration in identifying of the structures of target compounds, manuscript preparation, MK: collaboration in manuscript preparation, AS: Collaboration in identifying the structures of target compounds, AF: Design of target compounds and supervision of the synthetic and pharmacological parts. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgement
This work has been supported by grants from Research Council of Tehran University of Medical Sciences and Iran National Science Foundation (INSF).

Author details
1Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.
2Neuroscience Research Center, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, Iran. Institute of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Department of Biochemistry, University of Tehran, P.O. Box 13145–1384, Tehran, Iran.
3Medicinal & Natural Products Chemistry Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran.

Received: 12 March 2013 Accepted: 21 April 2013

References
1. Mensah-Nyagan AG, Meyer L, Schaeffer V, Kibaly C, Patte-Mensah C: Evidence for a key role of steroids in the modulation of pain. Psychoneuroendocrinology 2009, 34:169–177.
2. Ibrahim-Ouali M: Recent advances in oxasteroids chemistry. Steroids 2007, 72:473–508.
3. Bhatti HN, Khera RA: Biological transformations of steroidal compounds: A review. Steroids 2012, 77:1267–1290.
4. Bansal R, Guleria S, Thota S, Bodhankar SL, Patwardhan NR, Zimmer C, et al: Design, synthesis and evaluation of novel 16-imidazolyl substituted steroidal derivatives possessing potent diversified pharmacological properties. Steroids 2012, 77:621–629.
5. Dubey RK, Oparil S, Irnath B, Jackson EK: Sex hormones and hypertension. Cardiovasc Res 2002, 53:688–708.
6. Holst JP, Soldin SJ, Tractenberg RE, Guo T, Kundra P, Verbalis JG, et al: Use of steroid profiles in determining the cause of adrenal insufficiency. Steroids 2007, 72:71–84.
7. Auci DL, Reading CL, Frincke JM: 7-Hydroxy androstene steroids and a novel synthetic analogue with reduced side effects as a potential agent to treat autoimmune diseases. Autoimmun Rev 2009, 8:369–372.
8. Jurics BS, Upadhyak SY, Cechec CC, Neumann DM: Novel efficient synthesis and antifungal evaluation of 2,3-functionalized cholostane and androstane derivatives. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2010, 20:7372–7375.
9. Banday AH, Iqbal Zargar M, Gainie BA: Synthesis and antimicrobial studies of chalconyl pregnenolones. Steroids 2011, 76:1558–1562.
10. Bilich A, Hussauner P, Lehr P: Stimulation of MCF-7 breast cancer cell proliferation by estrone sulfate and dehydroepiandrosterone sulfate: inhibition by novel non-steroidal steroid sulfatase inhibitors. J Steroid Biochem 2000, 73:225–235.
11. Saha P, Fortin S, Leblanc V, Parent S, Asselin E, Berube G: Design, synthesis, cytotoxic activity and estrogen receptor alpha affinity of doxorubicin conjugates at 16alpha-position of estrogen for site-specific treatment of estrogen receptor positive breast cancer. Steroids 2012, 77:1113–1122.
12. Bansal R, Guleria S, Thota S, Hartmann RW, Zimmer C: Synthesis and biological evaluation of 16E-arylidenosteroids as cytotoxic and anti-aromatase agents. Chem Pharm Bull 2011, 59:327–331.
13. Guthrie S, Mantel C, Labrie F: Steroid derivatives as pure antagonists of the androgen receptor. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 2012, 132:503–104.
14. Sheridan PJ, Blum K, Trachtenberg MC: Steroid receptors and disease: cancer, autoimmune, bone, and circulatory disorders. In: Marcel Dekker Inc: 1988:289–564.
15. Li C, Qiu W, Yang Z, Luo J, Yang F, Liu M, et al: Stereospecific synthesis of some methyl-substituted steroid hormones and their in vitro cytotoxic activity against human gastric cancer cell line MGC-803. Steroids 2010, 75:859–869.
16. Li Y, Huang J, Liu J, Yan P, Liu H, Sun Q, et al: Synthesis and cytotoxicity of 17E-(2-aryl-2-oxo-1-ethylylidene)-Salpha-androstanetriones. Steroids 2011, 76:1615–1620.
17. Duh CY, Lo IW, Wang SK, Dai CF: New cytotoxic steroids from the soft coral Clavularia vindis. Steroids 2007, 72:573–579.
18. Banday AH, Singh S, Alam MS, Reddy DM, Gupta BD, Sampath Kumar HM: Synthesis of novel steroidal D-ring substituted isoxazoline derivatives of 17-oxoandrostanes. Steroids 2008, 73:370–374.
19. Krsic NM, Bjelakovic MS, Pavlovic VC, Robevsks K, Jurancic ZD, Matic I, et al: New androst-4-en-17-spiro-1,3,2-oxathiaphospholanes. Synthesis, assignment of absolute configuration and in vitro cytotoxic and antimicrobial activities. Steroids 2012, 77:559–565.
20. Bansal R, Thota S, Karka N, Minu M, Zimmer C, Hartmann RW: Synthesis and aromatase inhibitory activity of some new 16E-arylenosteroids. Bioorg Chem 2012, 45:36–40.
21. Chattopadhaya R, Jindal DP, Minu M, Gupta R: Synthesis and cytotoxic studies of hydroxynimo derivatives of some 16E-arylenosteroids. Arzneimitt-Forsch 2004, 54:551–556.
22. Bradshaw TW, Matthews CS, Cookson J, Chew EH, Shah M, Bailey K, et al: Elucidation of thioredoxin as a molecular target for antitumor quinols. Cancer Res 2005, 65:3911–3919.
23. Mo Q, Lu SF, Simon NG: Dehydroepiandrosterone and its metabolites: differential effects on androgen receptor trafficking and transcriptional activity. J Steroid Biochem 2006, 99:50–58.
24. Yang NC, Jeng KC, Ho WM, Hu ML: ATP depletion is an important factor in DHEA-induced growth inhibition and apoptosis in BV-2 cells. Life Sci 2002, 70:1979–1988.
25. Loría RM: Immune up-regulation and tumor apoptosis by androstenedione. Steroids 2002, 67:953–966.
26. Tworoger SS, Sluss P, Hankinson SE: Association between plasma prolanctin concentrations and risk of breast cancer among predominantly premenopausal women. Cancer Res 2006, 66:2476–2480.
27. Safavi M, Esmati N, Ardestani SK, Emami S, Ajdari S, Davoodi J, Shafiee A, Forouzandi A: Halogenated flavanones as potential apoptosis-inducing agents: synthesis and biological activity evaluation. Eur J Med Chem 2012, 58:573–580.
28. Nakhjiri M, Safavi M, Alipour E, Emami S, Atash AF, Jafari-Zavareh M, et al: Asymmetrical 2, 6-bis (benzlydine) cycloanahesones: Synthesis, cytotoxic activity and QSAR study. Eur J Med Chem 2012, 20:113–123.
29. Aryapour H, Mahdavi M, Mohrebi SR, Zali MR, Forouzandi A: Anti-proliferative and apoptotic effects of the derivatives from 4-aryl-4H-chromene family on human leukemia K562 cells. Arch Pharm Res 2013, 35:1573–1582.
30. Rafinejad A, Fallah-Tafti A, Tiwari R, Shirazi AN, Mandal D, Shafiee A, Forouzandi A: Halogenated flavanones as potential apoptosis-inducing agents: synthesis and biological activity evaluation. Eur J Med Chem 2012, 58:573–580.
31. Chatterjee S, Ghosh S, Pramanik S, Ghosh S, et al: Application of molecular docking and FDS simulations to predict the novel anti-TAFI peptide mimetic. Biorg Synth 2012, 162:431–435.
32. Chatterjee S, Ghosh S, Pramanik S, Ghosh S, et al: Application of molecular docking and FDS simulations to predict the novel anti-TAFI peptide mimetic. Biorg Synth 2012, 162:431–435.
34. Aryapour H, Riazi GH, Ahmadian S, Foroumadi A, Mahdavi M, Emami S: Induction of apoptosis through tubulin inhibition in human cancer cells by new chromene-based chalcones. Pharm Biol 2012, 50:1551–1560.

35. Mosmann T: Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays. J Immunol Methods 1983, 65:55–63.

36. Nadri H, Pirali-Hamedani M, Moradi A, Sakhteman A, Vahidi A, Sheibani V, Asadipour A, Hosseinzadeh N, Abdollahi M, Shafighi A, Foroumadi A: 5,6-Dimethoxybenzofuran-3-one derivatives: a novel series of dual Acetylcholinesterase/Butyrylcholinesterase inhibitors bearing benzyl pyridinium moiety. DARU J Pharmaceut Sci 2013, 21:15–23.

doi:10.1186/2008-2231-21-34

Cite this article as: Vosooghi et al.: Synthesis and In vitro cytotoxic activity evaluation of (E)-16-(substituted benzylidene) derivatives of dehydroepiandrosterone. DARU Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences 2013 21:34.