Evaluation of Hepatoprotective and Antioxidant Activity of Ethanolic Extract of *Artabotrys zeylanicus* Stem against Various Hepatotoxins Induced Hepatotoxicity in Albino Wister Rats
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ABSTRACT

**Aim:** The objective of the present study was to investigate the antioxidant and hepatoprotective activity of ethanolic stem extract of *Artabotrys zeylanicus* against paracetamol (PCT), Ethanol (ETN) and Isoniazid and Rifampicin (IR) induced hepatotoxicity in Albino wister rats.

**Methodology:** The material was dried in shade, they were powdered and extracted with ethanol. Preliminary Phytochemical tests were done. The hepatoprotective activity of the ethanol extract was assessed in Albino wister rats. PCT (3 g/kg), ETN (5 gm/kg) and IR (100 mg/kg) has enhanced

*Corresponding author: E-mail: abdulhindustan@gmail.com*
INTRODUCTION

The liver is the main homeostatic organ in the body. It maintains homeostasis by regulating various physiological functions such as metabolism, secretion and storage [1]. It serves as a first line of defense and prevents toxic effects by detoxifying toxic substances. Various toxic chemicals, alcohols, drugs, infections and autoimmune diseases, through the process of lipid peroxidation and other mechanisms damage liver cells, leading to hepatotoxicity [2].

The liver plays a vital role in the metabolism of various substances, such as carbohydrates: glycogenesis (storage of glucose as glycogen), glycogenolysis (breakdown of glycogen into glucose), gluconeogenesis (production of glucose from a non-carbohydrate source) and protein - deamination amino acids (main detoxification mechanism) [3]. Together with the spleen, it helps reuse the proteins that make up old red blood cells. Liver damage is a key disease process in most chronic liver disease, and long-term liver damage causes liver fibrosis, liver cirrhosis, and even hepatocellular carcinoma [4,5]. Research has indicated that certain herbal extracts and their chemical components can significantly inhibit these pathological processes mentioned above and protect hepatocytes from the etiology of chronic liver damage. In the absence of effective liver protection drugs in modern medicine, a large number of medicinal preparations are recommended for the treatment of liver ailments and are most often believed to offer significant relief [6]. Attempts are being made globally to obtain scientific evidence for these traditionally reported herbal drugs.

The plant kingdom has provided a diverse array of bioactive molecules which make them a valuable source, from a medical point of view. Due to the enormous limitations of synthetic drugs, little or no harmful effects and increased awareness of natural products, it takes an hour to isolate lead compounds from them [7]. One of those plants currently under investigation for its potential hepatoprotective and antioxidant activity in our laboratory is Artabotrys zeylanicus (family: Annonaceae) [8]. Commonly known as Ceylon Green Champa and South Indian tail grape in English [9,10].

Recent studies have shown varying levels of hepatoprotective prosperity in traditional plants found in southern India such as Phyllanthus maderaspatensis, [11] Phyllanthus rheedii [12], Thespesia populena [13], Momordica subangulata [14], Naregamia alata [15], Lygodium flexuosum[16], Cheilanthes farinose [17], Physalis peruviana [18] and Trichopus zeylanicus [19]. However, many medicinal plants used in remote villages and tribal villages in the southern districts of Andhra Pradesh remain to be studied. A. zeylanicus is one of those plants.
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This stem of the plant is used in folk medicine to treat liver disease in the Kurnool and Ananthapuramu districts of Andhra Pradesh. In traditional medicine, its roots are used to treat jaundice. Few studies have shown that the plant has antitumor and antimicrobial, antioxidant and antidiabetic activities. In addition, the phytochemical components of A. zeylanicus are also reported, indicating the presence of flavonoids, tannins and triterpenes. Polyphenolic flavonoids, in particular, have been shown to exhibit various pharmacological activities, including hepatoprotective activity.

Our study through the literature revealed that to date no attempt has been made to study the hepatoprotective activity of A. zeylanicus roots. Therefore, this study was conducted to obtain information on the utility of the ethanolic extract of the A. zeylanicus stem against various hepatotoxic models, namely, paracetamol (PCT), ethanol (ETN) and isoniazid and rifampicin-induced liver injury (IR) in rats, as an animal model for developing a successful hepatoprotective drug.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Animals

Albino Wister rats of both sexes were used to study the crude extracts. The Institute's Animal Ethics Committee approved the project (831/a/19/CPCSEA). Animals were kept at 27 ± 2°C, relative humidity 44-56%, and light and dark cycles of 10 and 14 hours, respectively, for 1 week before and during the experiments. The animals were given a standard diet (Lipton, India) and food was removed 18 hours before the start of the experiment and water ad libitum. All experiments were performed in the morning according to current laboratory animal care guidelines and ethical guidelines [20] for the study of experimental pain in conscious animals.

2.2 Plant Resources and Preparation of Crude Drug Extract

The stems of A. Zeylanicus was collected from Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh, India. And the authentication was performed by Dr. K. Madhava Chetty, assistant professor, Department of Botany, Sri Venkateshwara University, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh. The herbarium sample was submitted to the College’s Department of Pharmacognosy (Voucher specimen no-017 / C112 / suresh-01). The stems were dried in the shade and degreased with petroleum ether. The defatted material was extracted with 95% ethanol using a soxhlet apparatus and then dried under vacuum.

2.3 Phytochemical Studies

All the extracts were subjected for Phytochemical study [21].

2.4 Acute Toxicity Studies

The acute toxicity study for ethanolic extract of stem of A. Zeylanicus stems were performed using albino rats. The animals were fasted overnight prior to the experiment and maintained under standard conditions. All the extracts were administrated orally in increasing dose and found safe up to dose of 2000 mg/kg for all extracts [22].

2.5 Experimental Animal and Design

The experiment was conducted according to the modified procedures described above [5]. PCT (3 g / kg), ethanol 5 mg / kg and IR (50 + 50 mg / kg) were dissolved in 0.5% CMC for oral administration. The rats were randomly divided into six groups for each model and consisted of six rats. PCT poisoned animals were pooled from P1-P6. E1-E6 represents a group of animals intoxicated by ethanol and the group IR1-IR6 constitutes animals intoxicated by IR. Table 1 shows details of animal groupings for various hepatotoxicity models.

After 48 hours of intoxication, the rats were sacrificed with ether and then sacrificed. Blood was dissected by cardiac puncture into heparinized tubes for evaluation of different levels of AST, ALP and total bilirubin enzymes using standard kits available. The liver was immediately removed and washed with ice-cold saline for histological observation. Table 1 shows the groups of animals.

2.6 Biochemical Determinations

The biochemical parameters like serum enzymes: aspartate aminotransferase (AST), Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) [23] serum alkaline phosphatase (ALP) [24] and total bilirubin [25] were assayed using assay kits (Span Diagnostic, Surat).
Table 1. Animal groupings for various hepato toxicity models

| PCT Group* | ETN Group* | IR Group* | Served with                                                                 |
|------------|------------|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| P1         | E1         | IR1       | Normal control and was orally given pure water for seven days, and then    |
|            |            |           | intraperitoneally injected with 10 ml/kg body weight isotonic 0.9% NaCl     |
| P2         | E2         | IR2       | Hepatotoxicity control and was orally given pure water for seven days and   |
|            |            |           | then orally intoxicated.                                                   |
| P3         | E3         | IR3       | Standard, and received Standard drug Silymarin 25gm/kg, orally.             |
| P4         | E4         | IR4       | Ethanol extract of A. Zeylanicus stem at 100 mg/kg, orally, for seven days. |
| P5         | E5         | IR5       | Ethanol extract of A. Zeylanicus stem at 200 mg/kg, orally, for seven days. |
| P6         | E6         | IR6       | Ethanol extract of A. Zeylanicus stem at 300 mg/kg, orally, for seven days. |

*Each group contains 6 animals

2.7 In Vitro Anti-Oxidant Activity

2.7.1 DPPH-scavenging activity

The free radical scavenging activity of the extract was measured in terms of radical scavenging or hydrogen donation capacity using the stable radical DPPH [26]. A 0.1 mM solution in ethanol and 1.0 ml of this solution was prepared to 3.0 ml of all the extract solution in water at different concentrations (10–100 µg/mL). Thirty minutes later, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm. A lower absorbance of the reaction mixture indicates a greater elimination activity of free radicals. Ascorbic acid was used as a standard drug [26].

2.7.2 Scavenging of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂)

A solution of hydrogen peroxide (20 mm) in phosphate buffered saline (pH-7.4) was prepared, various concentrations of extract or standard in methanol (1 ml) were added to 2 ml of peroxide solution. hydrogen in PBS. After 10 min the absorbance was measured at 230 nm [27-30].

2.8 Histopathological Studies

Liver tissue was dissected and fixed in 10% formalin, dehydrated in stepwise ethanol (50-100%), eliminated in xylene and embedded in paraffin. Sections were prepared and then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H-E) dye for photomicroscopic observation, including cell necrosis, fat displacement, hyaline regeneration, balloon degeneration [31].

2.9 Statistical Analysis

The data are expressed as mean ± standard error of mean (SEM). The data were analysed using the one – way analysis of variance (ANOVA), and the differences between the groups were determined using the Dunnett post hoc test as provided by the graph pad PRISM V5.02 software. The limit of significance was set at p<0.05 [32].

3. RESULTS

3.1 Phytochemical Study

All extracts subjected for phytochemical study showed the presence of alkaloids, proteins, amino acids, phenolic compounds, glycosides and flavonoids.

3.2 Acute Toxicity Studies

Ethanolic and aqueous extracts did not show any sign and symptoms of toxicity and mortality up to 2000 mg/kg dose. Table 2 shows the results of acute toxicity studies.

3.3 In vitro Antioxidant Study

Before proceeding for in vivo activity the efficacy of the plants was tested in vitro. The in vitro antioxidant activity was performed by using DPPH free radical and Hydrogen Peroxide scavenging. Results were tabulated in Table 3.
Table 2. Acute oral toxicity study of AZ

| Treatment                                      | Body weight (gm) | Mortality (Animals dead) | Toxicity profile |
|------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| Ethanol extract of AZ stem                    | 150 ±10.50       | 0                        | Safe            |

Table 3. In vitro antioxidant activity of AZ

| Conc. (µg/ml) | % Inhibition | Hydrogen peroxide scavenging | Ascorbic acid |
|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--------------|
|               | DPPH free radical |                            |              |
| 20            | 21.8 ± 0.47   | 25.15± 0.92                 | 31.5 ± 0.15  |
| 40            | 39.6 ± 0.72   | 28.68± 1.57                 | 54.9 ± 0.92  |
| 60            | 48.3 ± 0.57   | 32.94± 0.58                 | 61.8 ± 0.38  |
| 80            | 58.1 ± 0.41   | 48.23± 0.39                 | 70.2 ± 0.72  |
| 100           | 67.35 ±0.29   | 57.29± 0.91                 | 81.3 ± 0.69  |
| 120           | 71.5 ± 0.47   | 62.23± 1.26                 | 91.9 ± 0.45  |
| Half maximum inhibitory concentration (IC₅₀) | 62.2          | 63.25                       | 65.3          |

3.4 Effect of the Ethanolic Extract of AZ Stem on Biochemical Parameters against PCT Induced Hepatotoxicity

AST, ALT, ALP, Bilirubin, SOD, CAT, MDA, and GSH are highly sensitive liver markers and their elevated levels are indicative of liver damage. Table 4 shows the results of the AZ stem cell ethanol extract on various biochemical parameters. There were no marked changes in the levels of these parameters detected in normal control rats. Rats with induced liver injury were injected with IP PCT, representing significantly elevated SGOT, SGPT, ALP, bilirubin, SOD, CAT, MDA, and GSH activities compared to the normal control group. However, a significant decrease in elevated serum enzyme levels can be observed in the AZ treatment (400 mg / kg) as compared to the PCT treated group. The dose-dependent effect of AZ is comparable to that of silymarin treatment. These results indicated a protective effect of the AZ stem ethanolic extract on PCT-induced liver injury in rats.

Histopathologically, non-PCM-intoxicated liver pretreated with 10% DMSO (normal) shows normal lobular architecture and normal liver cells with well-preserved cytoplasm and well-defined sinusoidal line and nucleus around the perivenular area (Fig. 1 (a)). The PCM-intoxicated section of the liver, pretreated with 10% DMSO, shows infiltration of lymphocytes, presence of hemorrhage and extensive coagulative necrosis of the perivenular and mid-zonal region with periportal sparing (Fig. 1 (b)). Coagulative necrosis of hepatocytes in PCM-induced liver toxicity is predominantly present in the perivenular zone (zone 3). These pathological changes were found to be less with increasing MEBP dose, indicating the extract’s ability to reverse PCM-induced intoxication (Figs. 1(d) - 1(f))). Interestingly, the presence of marked necrosis, inflammation and hemorrhage following PCM treatment (shown by the negative control group) was significantly reduced when pretreated with the extract or silymarin.

3.5 Effect of the Ethanolic Extract of AZ Stem on Biochemical Parameters against ETN Induced Hepatotoxicity

The hepatotoxic agent ethanol caused significant liver damage as indicated by the increased levels of liver chemistry biomarkers such as AST, ALT, ALP, Bilirubin, SOD, CAT, MDA and GSH. Rats treated with 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg doses significantly reduced levels of biochemical markers when compared with ETN administered controls. As compared to the lower doses, the higher one (400 mg/kg) demonstrated an improved hepatoprotective activity. Table 5 shows the detailed results of effect of ethanolic extract of AZ stem on biochemical parameters against ETN induced hepatotoxicity.

The hepatoprotective effect of the ethanolic extract of the AZ stem on ETN-induced liver injury was further confirmed by histopathological
3.6 Effect of the Ethanolic Extract of AZ Stem on Biochemical Parameters against IR Induced Hepatotoxicity

The AZ ethanolic extract showed significant hepatoprotective activity (p <0.05) against the toxicity patterns induced by isoniazid and rifampicin (50 mg / kg + 50 mg / kg) by improving liver function, as indicated by the reduction of liver enzyme levels compared to the control group. Table 6 shows the detailed results of hepatoprotective activity against the IR-induced hepatotoxicity model. Histopathological studies in liver extracted from IR-induced rats pretreated with 10% DMSO revealed significant damage (p <0.05) in liver architecture, with severe hepatocyte necrosis. Non-IR intoxicated liver pretreated with 10% DMSO (normal) shows normal lobular architecture and normal liver cells with well-preserved cytoplasm and well-defined sinusoidal line and nucleus around the perivenular area (Fig. 3 (a)). Normal histological structures were observed in the liver of rats treated with silymarin (25 g / kg) as shown in Fig. 3 (b). Alterations of liver cells, necrosis, and inflammation were observed in the rats given IR (Fig. 3 (c)). While rats treated with AZ extract (100, 200 and 400 mg / kg) showed reduction / absence of inflammatory cells, vascular congestion, cell degeneration, necrosis and vacuoles. (Fig. 2 (d,e,f) respectively). In contrast, lower doses (100 mg / kg) of ethanolic extract of AZ stems showed lower protection than a higher dose of 400 mg / kg.

4. DISCUSSION

The liver plays an indispensable role in life thanks to its metabolic and detoxifying capabilities. As it is exposed to various endogenous and xenobiotic agents, a large number of intermediate and final products are produced which can cause hepatocellular death and are the main causes of liver disease.[33,34] To ensure an individual’s survival and maintain liver function, conventional treatment focuses on symptom management and liver transplantation in severe cases of liver disease.[35] However, no drugs are currently used to increase the detoxifying power of the organ. Therefore, the tests and use of herbal hepatoprotective agents are increasing dramatically. Therefore, it would be absolutely imperative to demonstrate the efficacy of plant extracts in the presence of chemical induced hepatotoxicity [33].

Paracetamol (PCT) and ethanol (ETN) have generally been consumed by humans for reasons such as pyrexia and those who have a habit of drinking alcohol, respectively. Isoniazid and rifampicin (IR) are the most commonly used drugs to treat tuberculosis. All of these agents were known to induce hepatotoxicity.[33] Therefore, the same hepatotoxins were chosen to induce hepatotoxicity in rats and to evaluate the hepatoprotective activity of Artabotrys zeylanicus. The rats were treated with ethanolic extract of the A. zeylanicus stem. Several studies indicate that PCT, ETN and IR can cause hemorrhagic liver necrosis in humans and experimental animals.[33] Thus, in this study, rats treated with PCT, ETN, and IR resulted in increased rat liver weight through the development of infiltration, vacuolation and inflammation in the liver (Fig. 1b 2b and 3b). PCT, ETN and IR induced hepatotoxicity was used to evaluate the hepatoprotective potential of plant extracts in various animal models. These hepatotoxins are bioactivated by cytochrome P450 into highly unstable reactive free radicals. These can cause cell damage through peroxidation of membrane lipids and covalently bind with other macromolecules within hepatocytes.[34] Damage to the membrane causes the release of cytosolic and endoplasmic enzymes, which show damage to the structure and function of the liver. These manifest as elevations of SGOT, SGPT, ALP, Bilirubin, SOD, CAT, MDA and GSH levels.
Table 4. Effect of the ethanolic extract of AZ stem on biochemical parameters in PCT induced hepatotoxicity

| Group | AST (U/L) | ALT (U/L) | ALP (U/L) | BILURUBIN (mg/dL) | SOD (units/mg liver protein) | CAT (units/mg liver protein) | MDA (nmol/g tissue) | GSH (µmol/g tissue) |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| P1    | 91.87±    | 63.83±    | 71.50±    | 0.245±            | 95.35±                      | 132.8±                     | 29.9±             | 42.9±             |
|       | 1.411     | 0.693     | 0.638     | 0.151             | 1.562                       | 0.472                       | 0.471             | 0.592             |
| P2    | 243.5±    | 291.5±    | 208.5±    | 0.957±            | 37.93±                      | 66.2±                       | 61.2±             | 13.2±             |
|       | 2.349     | 1.763     | 0.458     | 0.392             | 1.095                       | 0.928                       | 0.091             | 0.184             |
| P3    | 104.5±    | 87±       | 85.50±    | 0.296±            | 86.79±                      | 116.3±                      | 32.2±             | 38.2±             |
|       | 0.763**   | 0.577**   | 0.763**   | 0.113**           | 1.373                       | 1.537                       | 0.927             | 0.316             |
| P4    | 203.8±    | 261.8±    | 181.5±    | 0.677±            | 45.3±                       | 68.2±                       | 56.6±             | 17.83±            |
|       | 0.534     | 0.609     | 0.428     | 0.071             | 0.947                       | 0.638                       | 0.972             | 0.853             |
| P5    | 198.3±    | 211.3±    | 161.3±    | 0.574±            | 58.6±                       | 82.3±                       | 48.2±             | 21.53±            |
|       | 0.494**   | 0.482**   | 0.631**   | 0.048**           | 0.381**                     | 0.753**                     | 0.642**           | 0.851**           |
| P6    | 171.2±    | 141.2±    | 116.3±    | 0.476±            | 74.86±                      | 98.4±                       | 37.6±             | 32.91±            |
|       | 0.600**   | 0.081**   | 0.286**   | 0.514**           | 0.719**                     | 1.084**                     | 0.371**           | 0.640**           |

**P < 0.001 significant with respect to Control group. Values are expressed as mean± S.E; n=6 in each group. Statistical analysis one-way ANOVA followed by t-test.

Fig. 1. (a) Normal; (b) Section of liver tissue of 3g/kg PCT treated group showing massive coagulative necrosis, hemorrhage and inflammation; (c) Section of 25gm/kg of silymarin liver tissue pretreated on the liver followed by PCT showing preservation of normal hepatocytes; (d) Section 100 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with PCT showing tissue necrosis and inflammation; (e) Section 200 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with PCT showing tissue necrosis and inflammation; (f) Section 400 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with PCT showing normal histology with mild inflammation. (100x Magnification) CV: centrilobular. CN: coagulative necrosis. I: inflammation. H: haemorrhage
Table 5. Effect of the ethanolic extract of AZ stem on biochemical parameters in ETN induced hepatotoxicity

| Group | AST U/L  | ALT U/L  | ALP U/L  | BILURU mg/dL | SOD (units/mg liver protein) | CAT (units/mg liver protein) | MDA (nmol/g tissue) | GSH (µmol/g tissue) |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|
| E1    | 91.87±  | 63.83±  | 71.50±  | 0.245±       | 96.35±                    | 131.8±                    | 28.9±          | 42.9±             |
|       | 1.411   | 0.693   | 0.638   | 0.151        | 1.562                     | 0.472                     | 0.471          | 0.592             |
| E2    | 241.5±  | 291.5±  | 208.5±  | 0.957±       | 38.93±                    | 65.2±                     | 60.2±          | 14.2±             |
|       | 2.349   | 1.763   | 0.458   | 0.392        | 1.095                     | 0.928                     | 0.091          | 0.184             |
| E3    | 104.5±  | 84±     | 85.50±  | 0.296±       | 85.79±                    | 115.3±                    | 31.2±          | 39.2±             |
|       | 0.763** | 0.577** | 0.763** | 0.113**     | 1.373                     | 1.537                     | 0.927          | 0.316             |
| E4    | 212.2±  | 258.5±  | 183.8±  | 0.693±       | 43.9±                     | 75.2±                     | 54.6±          | 17.07±            |
|       | 0.577   | 0.763   | 1.169   | 0.068        | 0.731                     | 0.521                     | 1.003          | 0.635             |
| E5    | 194.2±  | 208.5±  | 157.8±  | 0.565±       | 58.2±                     | 83.1±                     | 48.2±          | 21.94±            |
|       | 0.703** | 0.412** | 0.703** | 0.192**     | 1.179**                   | 0.052**                   | 0.521**        | 0.168**           |
| E6    | 158.5±  | 131.3±  | 112.8±  | 0.461±       | 70.12±                    | 103.9±                    | 39.2±          | 34.86±            |
|       | 0.763** | 0.881** | 0.792** | 0.168**     | 1.153**                   | 0.581**                   | 0.257**        | 0.921**           |

** P <0.001 significant compared to the control group. Values are expressed as mean ± S.E; n = 6 in each group.

One-way ANOVA statistical analysis followed by t-test

Fig. 2. (a) Normal; (b) Section of liver tissue of 5g/kg ETN treated group showing massive coagulative necrosis, hemorrhage and inflammation; (c) Section of 25gm/kg of silymarin liver tissue pretreated on the liver followed by PCT showing preservation of normal hepatocytes; (d) Section 100 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with ETN showing tissue necrosis and inflammation; (e) Section 200 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with ETN showing tissue necrosis and inflammation; (f) Section 400 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with ETN showing normal histology. (100x Magnification) CV: centrilobular. CN: coagulative necrosis. I: inflammation. H: haemorrhage
Table 6. Effect of the ethanolic extract of AZ stem on biochemical parameters in IR induced hepatotoxicity

| Group | AST U/L | ALT U/L | ALP U/L | BILUR UBIN (mg/dL) | SOD (units/mg liver protein) | CAT (units/mg liver protein) | MDA (nmol/g tissue) | GSH (µmol/g tissue) |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|-------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| IR1   | 91.87 ± 1.411 | 63.83 ± 0.693 | 71.50 ± 0.151 | 0.244 ± 1.562 | 95.35 ± 0.472 | 132.8 ± 0.471 | 29.9 ± 0.592 |
| IR2   | 243.5 ± 4.58 | 291.5 ± 0.954 | 208.5 ± 0.192 | 0.294 ± 1.095 | 95.35 ± 0.928 | 132.8 ± 0.911 | 31.2 ± 0.184 |
| IR3   | 104.5 ± 0.763** | 84 ± 0.763** | 83.50 ± 0.113** | 0.294 ± 1.373 | 114.3 ± 1.537 | 33.2 ± 0.927 | 38.2 ± 0.316 |
| IR4   | 206.5 ± 0.657 | 256.5 ± 0.496 | 186.5 ± 1.156 | 47.2 ± 0.947 | 71.2 ± 0.731 | 52.8 ± 0.680 | 18.90± 0.690 |
| IR5   | 187 ± 0.570 | 203.8 ± 0.50 | 151.5 ± 1.556 | 61.3 ± 1.947 | 83.71 ± 0.915 | 37.7 ± 0.358 | 32.48 ± 0.631** |
| IR6   | 143.8 ± 0.423 | 121.8± 0.154** | 103.5 ± 0.915 | 72.80 ± 0.269 | 99.2 ± 0.358** | 37.7 ± 0.358** | 32.48 ± 0.631** |

**P < 0.001 significant with respect to control group. Values are expressed as mean± S.E.M; n=6 in each group. Statistical analysis one-way ANOVA followed by t-test

Fig. 3. (a) Normal; (b) Section of liver tissue of 100g/kg IR treated group showing massive coagulative necrosis, hemorrhage and inflammation; (c) Section of 25mg/kg of silymarin liver tissue pretreated on the liver followed by IR showing preservation of normal hepatocytes; (d) Section 100 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with IR showing tissue necrosis and inflammation; (e) Section 200 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with IR showing tissue necrosis and inflammation; (f) Section 400 mg/kg AZ liver tissue intoxicated with IR showing normal histology. (100x Magnification) CV: centrilobular. CN: coagulative necrosis. I: inflammation. H: haemorrhage
Therefore, measuring the levels of these biomarkers of liver damage can reveal the hepatoprotective activity of the plant extract and solvent fractions. In the present study, the ethanolic extract showed a reduction in the levels of SGOT, SGPT, ALP, Bilirubin, SOD, CAT, MDA and GSH in a dose-dependent manner. 100 mg / kg of AZ ethanolic stem extract did not produce a visible effect on all biomarkers of liver injury at their lowest dose, but medium and high doses managed to produce a significant reduction in AST, ALT and ALP levels (Tables 4-6). This could likely suggest that the lower dose may be less than the minimum effective dose, which cannot cause a significant reduction in liver enzyme levels, and the other two doses may be large enough to cause a significant reduction. Percent reduction of hepatic injury biomarkers showed that 200 mg / kg and 400 mg / kg of the ethanolic extract exerted an effect almost similar to that of the standard (Tables 4-6). The pre and post treatment with ethanolic extract in the two doses (200 mg / kg and 400 mg / kg) except for the 100 mg / kg dose strongly modulated the severity of the liver damage. The return of enzyme levels to near-normal levels in ethanolic rats before and after treatment shows that the ethanolic extract can stabilize liver cell membranes and prevent the escape of enzymes.

The prevention of free radical production and their neutralization, as well as the protection potential of this plant from hepatotoxins, may be other probable reasons for the healing effect of A. zeylanicus stem extract. To concentrate or separate the active ingredients, the crude ethanolic extract was fractionated. This study showed that most of the polar components of the plant stem could be due to the available flavonoidal content. The active principle or the principles responsible for the hepatoprotective activity of the ethanolic extract and the solvent fractions of A. zeylanicus are, until now, unknown, therefore it has not been identified which compounds are exactly responsible for the antioxidant and hepatoprotective activities. Previous studies have shown that alkaloids and flavonoids have antioxidant activity. Preliminary phytochemical analysis was performed on the crude ethanolic extract and the solvent fractions revealed a variety of secondary metabolites which appeared to be differentially distributed throughout the extract. It is reasonable to suggest that the phytochemicals present in the plant may act individually or synergistically to produce the observed hepatoprotective activity of A. zeylanicus. Probably, the flavonoids and alkaloids present in the raw stem extract exerted a hepatoprotective effect due to their free radical scavenging activity, prevention of lipid peroxidation and cell damage, as such action has been suggested for some other plants. Furthermore, alkaloids and flavonoids are known as natural antioxidants due to their free radical scavenging activity [34].

As the liver is continuously exposed to oxidative stress, the release of free radicals is the main hepatotoxicity mechanism of toxicants. In oxidative stress, the balance between the formation of reactive oxygen species and the amount of antioxidants is disturbed. Oxidative stress causes damage to cell components, such as proteins, lipids and nucleic acids.[33] To confirm the antioxidant activity of the plant extract, in vitro DPPH radical scavenging and hydrogen peroxide scavenging assya were carried out. In these scavenging assay, ethanolic extract of A. zeylanicus were observed to inhibit with the maximum value of 71% and 62% at the concentration of 100 µg/mL (Table 3). The crude ethanolic extract of A. zeylanicus had a calculated IC₅₀ value of 62.2 and 63.25 µg/mL, which is nearly similar to the calculated IC₅₀ value of the known antioxidant, ascorbic acid, ie 65.3 µg/mL. As it is explained for other plants ethanolic extract might act via their free radical scavenging, neutralization of free radicals and inhibition of necrosis via several pathways.

To sum up, this study provided further evidence that the ethanolic extract, possessed a comparable hepatoprotective activity with that of the standard drug. Results obtained revealed that there was a dose-dependent reduction in all biomarkers of liver injury in pre- and post-treatment. Therefore, this data seems to indicate that the hepatoprotective effect of the plant is distributed to polar bioactive principles contained in the ethanolic fraction. Even though the hepatoprotective mechanism of the plant extract is yet not elucidated, the observed antioxidant activity is one of the anticipated mechanisms. Above all, the ethanolic extract of the stem of A. zeylanicus would be rewarded as safe based on the results of acute oral toxicity study. Moreover, isolation and characterization of novel antioxidants will be done in future studies by using HPLC/LC-MS/MS techniques.

5. CONCLUSION

Experimental evidence obtained in the present study demonstrated that the A. zeylanicus stem
possesses hepatoprotective activity against PCT, ETN and IR induced liver toxicity. This activity may be due to the presence of flavonoids and other components present in the plant. However, complementary in vitro and in vivo studies will be necessary to confirm the mechanism responsible for this hepatoprotective effect.
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