Clinical profile and characteristics of eosinophilic esophagitis patients presenting with refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease in Makassar, Indonesia

Mariska Regina Kaurrany, Muhammad Amsyar Akil, Abdul Qadar Punagi, Andi Muhammad Lutfi Parewangi

Corresponding author: Mariska Regina Kaurrany, Department of Otorhinolarynology, Head and Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Hasanuddin, Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. riska2585kaurrany@gmail.com

Received: 22 Aug 2021 - Accepted: 08 Jan 2022 - Published: 02 Feb 2022

Keywords: Eosinophilic esophagitis, peripheral blood eosinophil, refractory GERD

Copyright: Mariska Regina Kaurrany et al. Pan African Medical Journal (ISSN: 1937-8688). This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution International 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cite this article: Mariska Regina Kaurrany et al. Clinical profile and characteristics of eosinophilic esophagitis patients presenting with refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease in Makassar, Indonesia. Pan African Medical Journal. 2022;41(93). 10.11604/pamj.2022.41.93.31341

Available online at: https://www.panafrican-med-journal.com/content/article/41/93/full

Clinical profile and characteristics of eosinophilic esophagitis patients presenting with refractory gastroesophageal reflux disease in Makassar, Indonesia

Mariska Regina Kaurrany1,6, Muhammad Amsyar Akil1, Abdul Qadar Punagi1, Andi Muhammad Lutfi Parewangi2

1Department of Otorhinolarynology, Head and Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Hasanuddin, Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia
2Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Hasanuddin, Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia

*Corresponding author
Mariska Regina Kaurrany, Department of Otorhinolarynology, Head and Neck Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Hasanuddin, Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia
Abstract

Refractory Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease (GERD) is a typical GERD that does not respond to Proton Pump Inhibitor (PPI) treatment for 8-12 weeks. One of the differential diagnoses for refractory GERD is eosinophilic esophagitis which is characterized by eosinophilic infiltration into the esophagus endothelium. However, to date, eosinophilic esophagitis is still poorly understood and data is still limited. The aim was to describe the profile and characteristics of patients with eosinophilic esophagitis presenting with refractory GERD in Makassar, Indonesia. This descriptive study involved patients with refractory GERD from two hospitals. In addition to basic demographic data, history, and body mass index, all subjects underwent peripheral blood tests to measure eosinophil level and flexible esophagoscopy, from which esophageal biopsy was done to assess the tissue eosinophil level. Eosinophilic esophagitis was established based on the examination of >15 eosinophils/high power field. Out of 32 subjects, two subjects were diagnosed with eosinophilic esophagitis (6.3%). Both subjects were male with normal BMI in the age range of 36-55 years and none had peripheral blood eosinophilia. Symptoms of eosinophilic esophagitis were similar to refractory GERD. Peripheral blood eosinophilia was not associated with incidence of eosinophilic esophagitis.

Introduction

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is defined as repeated reflux of gastric contents into the esophagus, resulting in disturbing symptoms and/or complications which may lead to disturbance to the patient's quality of life [1]. Symptoms experienced by patients can vary, such as non-cardiac chest pain, bloating, nausea, painful swallowing, early satiety, and heartburn, with or without typical reflux symptoms [2]. Refractory GERD is a typical GERD that does not respond to twice daily administration of proton pump inhibitor (PPI) for 4-8 weeks [3]. Identifying patients with refractory GERD is essential as upper GI endoscopy is indicated to exclude peptic ulcer disease or cancer and identify the presence of esophagitis [4]. On endoscopic examination of the upper gastrointestinal tract, two subsets of patients can be identified: patients with erosive esophagitis and patients with disturbing reflux symptoms. Patients with erosive esophagitis are characterized by esophageal mucosa damage on endoscopic examination (Erosive Reflux Disease/ERD) while patients with disturbing reflux symptoms do not show any signs of esophageal mucosa damage (Non-Erosive Reflux Disease (NERD). Based on pH monitoring, response to acid suppression, and a positive Bernstein test, available data suggests that symptoms experienced by NERD patients are also acid-induced [5]. Some causes of refractory GERD include GI hypersensitivity, eosinophilic esophagitis, and Zollinger Ellison syndrome [6].

Eosinophilic esophagitis is defined as eosinophilic infiltration into the esophagus endothelium [7]. The diagnosis can be made based on the clinical symptoms and the presence of >15 eosinophils per high power field (HPF) in the esophageal endothelium from esophageal biopsy, especially in the mid or proximal esophagus [8]. Other examinations such as barium examination and endoscopic examination can be carried out to aid diagnosis [8]. To date, due to the lack of diagnostic criteria, data on eosinophilic esophagitis is still scarce. Information about this disease is better delineated in children than adults. The exact prevalence is unknown, but several studies have found an estimated prevalence of about 2.5/100,000 adults in the United States and children about 4.3/10,000,000. This disorder often occurs in the Caucasian race, especially in male [9]. However, unfortunately, in Indonesia, study on eosinophilic esophagitis is yet to be available. Owing to the current limitation in the understanding of eosinophilic esophagitis, this study aims to report the profile and characteristics of patients with eosinophilic esophagitis presenting with refractory GERD in Makassar, Indonesia.
Methods

Study design and setting: this descriptive study was done on participants presenting to the Gastroenterohepatology outpatient clinic of Wahidin Sudirohusodo Hospital and Ibnu Sina Hospital, Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia, from February to April 2021. All participants who met the criteria were required to fill an informed consent sheet before being enrolled in this study. This research had obtained approval from the Research Ethics Commission of the Faculty of Medicine, Hasanuddin University (no: 112/UN4.6.4.5.31/PP36/2021).

Patient: a series of 32 patients with refractory GERD who met the inclusion criteria were included in analysis. Inclusion criteria were GERD patients aged 18-65 years who had undergone treatment with a proton pump inhibitor (PPI) for eight weeks with no satisfactory outcome. Exclusion criteria were pregnant women, intralaryngeal disorders such as tumors, metabolic disorders, and parasitic infections.

Procedure: patients who met the inclusion and not exclusion criteria underwent flexible esophagoscopy, and two samples of esophageal mucosal tissue were taken. The samples were then examined at the Anatomical Pathology Laboratory, Hasanuddin University Hospital, Makassar, South Sulawesi, Indonesia. Calculation of the number of eosinophils in peripheral blood was done by examining blood samples.

Statistical analysis: data analysis was done using SPSS version 23 (SPSS Inc. Chicago, IL, USA) and was statistically analyzed by Chi-Square test with a significance level of 0.05 (α = 5%).

Results

Table 1 presents an overview of patients with eosinophilic esophagitis and non-eosinophilic esophagitis. There were two male patients (6.2%) with eosinophilic esophagitis, both of whom had a BMI between 18.5 -22.9 m/kg². One patient was in the 36-45 years age group and another was in the 46-55 years aged group. The main complaint in both patients was heartburn and melena, respectively. The endoscopy result in each patient was NERD and ERD grade C, respectively. Table 2 shows the eosinophil level was < 15/HPF in 30 subjects (93.8%) and > 15/HPF in 2 subjects (6.3%).

Correlation between eosinophils in esophageal tissue and eosinophils in peripheral blood

Table 3 shows the distribution of peripheral blood eosinophil levels and esophageal tissue in patients with refractory GERD. No correlation between peripheral blood eosinophil level and esophageal tissue was found (p>0.466).

Discussion

The study showed that males and females had the same risk of developing refractory GERD and the 25-36 years age group was the population with the highest risk of having refractory GERD. A study by Tarigan et al. showed that the incidence of GERD was more in males, with the majority being over 40 years old (63.16%) [10] and was supported by another study that showed that GERD was more common in males (odds ratio [OR] 1.268) and in people older than 50 years (OR 3.179) [11]. A total of 20 subjects (62.5%) reported heartburn as the chief complaint and the majority of participants (18 subjects, 56.3%) had normal BMI (18.5 - 22.9 m/kg²). The most frequently obtained endoscopy results were NERD and ERD grade A (10 subjects, 31.3%, respectively). The findings by Tarigan et al. revealed that the most common complaint was epigastric pain (33.3%) [10]. Other studies also confirmed our result and reported that complaints were heartburn and acid regurgitation [1, 12].

The prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in GERD patients varies across studies. This study found that the prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis was 6.3%. In the study of Fujiwara et al. in Japan, the prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis was 0.04%. However, a study by Foroutan in Iran stated that the prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in...
patients with refractory GERD was 8.8%. Garcia et al. found a 4% prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis, which was similar to our data [13, 14]. This study revealed that all patients with eosinophilic esophagitis were male, with one person aged between 36-45 years and another aged between 46-55 years. Research conducted by Garcia in Mexico found no difference in the incidence of eosinophilic esophagitis in males and females with the age group of under 45 years (83.3%). However, a Canadian study stated that the incidence of eosinophilic esophagitis was higher in men in the second decade of life (mean age 31.8 ± 17.7 years). Findings by Foroutan et al. in Iran showed the prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis was higher in the female with an age range of 23-44 years (mean of 41.29±3.33 years) which was supported by Anis et al. in Pakistan who found that eosinophilic esophagitis was more common in women than men (5:3) with an age range of 41-63 years [14-16]. These results suggest that the role of gender in eosinophilic esophagitis is still unclear. The main complaint of the two cases of eosinophilic esophagitis in this study was heartburn and melena, respectively. Garcia et al. revealed that 100% patients with eosinophilic esophagitis had dysphagia while heartburn was felt in 3 patients (50%). Similarly, other studies by Mulder et al. in Canada and Anis in Pakistan showed that the chief complaint was dysphagia (74%) [14-16]. A research conducted by Fujiwara et al. in Japan in 2012 found 7 cases of eosinophilic esophagitis (9.9%) of 13,634 subjects who underwent upper GI tract endoscopy which was predominated by men with the main complaints of dysphagia and heartburn [13]. According to the data of this study, no increase in peripheral blood eosinophilia was found in all subjects, including those with eosinophilic esophagitis. Based on research conducted by Mulder et al. in Canada, there was an increase in the number of eosinophils with a mean of 0.35 ± 0.29/L [17]. At the same time, Yasuhiko et al. in Japan in 2017 stated that the increase in blood eosinophil levels in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis was only around 10 - 30% [18]. According to the study of Kinoshita et al. in Japan, the increased level of eosinophils in the peripheral blood was observed in 34.6% of eosinophilic esophagitis patients (26 patients out of 170 eosinophilic gastroenteritis patients) and concluded that the association between increased eosinophil level in the blood and eosinophilic esophagitis was still unclear [19]. It has to be noted that the involvement of other gastrointestinal organs and symptoms of atopy can further trigger an increase in the number of eosinophils in the blood [18, 19]. The correlation between eosinophils in peripheral blood and the esophagus has also been studied by Konikoff et al. in Ohio, United States. According to Konikoff, there is a significant correlation between an increase of eosinophils in the blood and esophageal tissue. However, this examination can only be used as a predictor of the severity of esophagitis symptoms. It is proven that the number of eosinophils in the blood decreased after therapy, accompanied by improvement in symptoms [20]. To our knowledge, this is the first study on the clinical profile and characteristics of patients with eosinophilic esophagitis in Indonesia. Future studies should be done with larger sample size to improve our understanding on the clinical profile and pathophysiology of eosinophilic esophagitis.

**Conclusion**

Symptoms complained of by patients with eosinophilic esophagitis are similar to with refractory GERD. Therefore, it is imperative to take thorough history and investigations. The increase in eosinophils in the peripheral blood does not necessarily occur in all patients with eosinophilic esophagitis.

**What is known about this topic**

- Refractory GERD is a typical GERD that does not respond to twice daily administration of proton pump inhibitor (PPI) for 4-8 weeks;
- The diagnosis of eosinophilic esophagitis is made based on the clinical symptoms and the presence of > 15 eosinophils per high power field (HPF) in the esophageal mucosa
from esophageal biopsy, especially in the mid or proximal esophagus;

- The clinical manifestation of eosinophilic esophagitis is indistinguishable from refractory GERD.

What this study adds

- The prevalence of eosinophilic esophagitis in patients in presenting with refractory GERD is 6.3%;
- No association between blood eosinophil and esophageal tissue eosinophil level was found in patients with eosinophilic esophagitis;
- We recommend conducting esophageal biopsy in patients with refractory GERD.
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### Table 1: distribution of refractory GERD patients undergoing endoscopic examination

| Characteristic                  | Non-eosinophilic esophagitis | Eosinophilic Esophagitis | %   |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|-----|
|                                | n                            | Mean ± SD                | n   | Mean ± SD | %  |
|                                | %                            | %                        | %   |           |    |
| **Sex**                        |                              |                          |     |           |    |
| Male                           | 14                           | 43.8 ± 14                | 2   | 6.2       | 50 |
| Female                         | 16                           | 50.0 ± 11                | 0   | 0         | 50 |
| **Age (Years)**                |                              |                          |     |           |    |
| 18 - 25                        | 3                            | 9.4 ± 3.1                | 0   | 0         | 9.4|
| 26 - 35                        | 10                           | 31.3 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 31.3|
| 36 - 45                        | 3                            | 9.4 ± 3.1                | 1   | 3.1       | 12.5|
| 46 - 55                        | 9                            | 28.1 ± 3.1               | 1   | 3.1       | 31.2|
| ≥ 56                           | 5                            | 15.6 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 15.6|
| **Occupation**                 |                              |                          |     |           |    |
| Entrepreneur                    | 11                           | 34.4 ± 3.1               | 1   | 3.1       | 37.5|
| Housewife                      | 6                            | 18.8 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 18.8|
| Government employees           | 6                            | 18.8 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 18.8|
| None                           | 2                            | 6.3 ± 3.1                | 0   | 0         | 6.3 |
| Others                         | 5                            | 15.6 ± 3.1               | 1   | 3.1       | 18.7|
| **Subjective Complaints**      |                              |                          |     |           |    |
| Heartburn                      | 20                           | 62.5 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 62.5|
| Epigastric pain                | 6                            | 18.8 ± 3.1               | 1   | 3.1       | 21.9|
| Melena                         | 2                            | 18.8 ± 3.1               | 1   | 3.1       | 21.9|
| Hematemesis                    | 1                            | 6.3 ± 3.1                | 0   | 0         | 6.3 |
| Dysphagia                      | 1                            | 15.6 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 15.6|
| **BMI (kg/m2)**                |                              | 21.47 ± 2.55             | 21.71 ± 3.00 |    |
| < 18.5                         | 3                            | 9.4 ± 3.1                | 0   | 0         | 9.4 |
| 18.5 - 22.9                    | 16                           | 50.0 ± 3.1               | 2   | 6.3       | 56.3|
| 23 - 24.9                      | 10                           | 31.3 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 31.3|
| 25 - 29.9                      | 1                            | 3.1 ± 3.1                | 0   | 0         | 3.1 |
| **Endoscopy**                  |                              |                          |     |           |    |
| NERD                           | 9                            | 28.0 ± 3.1               | 1   | 3.1       | 31.3|
| ERD grade A                    | 10                           | 31.3 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 31.3|
| ERD grade B                    | 9                            | 28.0 ± 3.1               | 0   | 0         | 28.0|
| ERD grade C                    | 2                            | 6.3 ± 3.1                | 1   | 3.1       | 9.4 |
| **Total**                      | 30                           | 93.75 ± 3.00             | 2   | 6.25      | 100|

### Table 2: eosinophil level of esophageal tissue

| Tissue Eosinophils | n  | %  |
|--------------------|----|----|
| < 15/HPF           | 30 | 93.8|
| ≥ 15/HPF           | 2  | 6.3|
| **Total**          | 32 | 100|


| Variable                     | Eosinophils in the blood |  |  |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
|                              | Normal | Mild eosinophilia |
|                              | n   | %     | n   | %     |
| Non-eosinophilic esophagitis | 20   | 62.5  | 10   | 31.2  |
| Eosinophilic esophagitis     | 2    | 6.3   | 0    | 0     |
| Total                        | 22   | 68.8  | 10   | 31.2  |