Fine Mapping of a Degenerated Abdominal Legs Mutant (E_{dl}) in Silkworm, Bombyx mori
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Abstract

In insects, abdominal appendages, also called prolegs, vary due to adaptive evolution. Mutations on prolegs within species provide insights to better understand the mechanisms underlying appendage development and diversity. In silkworm Bombyx mori, extra-crescents and degenerated abdominal legs (E_{dl}) mutant, belonging to the E pseudoallele group, is a spontaneous mutation that adds crescents and degenerates prolegs on the third abdominal segment (A3). This mutation may be a homeotic transformation of A3 to A2. In this study, the E_{dl} locus was mapped within approximately a 211 Kb region that is 10 Kb upstream of Bmabdominal-A (Bmabd-A). RT-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) and Western blot analysis of Bmabd-A expression showed a slight but significant decrease, while the expression of BmUltrabithorax (BmUbx) was up-regulated in the E_{dl} mutant compared to wildtype (Dazao). Moreover, we also found that BmDistal-less (BmDll), which regulated the development of distal proleg structures, was missing at the tips of the A3 prolegs in the E_{dl} mutant compared to BmDll expression in normally developed prolegs in both the wildtype and mutant. Collectively, we identified approximately a 211 Kb region in the E_{dl} locus that regulates BmUbx and Bmabd-A expression and found that changes in BmUbx and Bmabd-A expression may lead to the loss of distal proleg structures in B. mori.

Introduction

Morphological characteristics of insects are surprisingly variable. Particularly, the appendage number, morphology and position vary largely within and between species. Studies on the mechanisms underlying appendage development and diversity provide insights into the evolutionary process of arthropods [1–3].

Each thoracic segment, on the ventral side of an insects’ trunk, contains appendages called thoracic legs. The appendages on the abdominal segments are known as prolegs and their numbers vary markedly [4]. Segmental identities of these legs are determined by several Homeotic genes [5], which consist of a tandem gene cluster where the order of genes is co-linear with their expression pattern on the body axis [6]. The development of prolegs is mainly
regulated by Ultrabithorax (Ubx) and abdominal-A (abd-A). For example, in the dipteran, *Drosophila melanogaster*, abdominal-B (abd-B), abd-A and Ubx repress proleg development [7–9]; in the flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum*, Ubx does not repress but modifies the morphology of prolegs on abdomen 1 (A1), abd-A represses the development of proleg on the posterior abdominal segments [10]; in the lepidopteran, *Manduca sexta*, Ubx represses the development of prolegs on the anterior abdomen, but abd-A does not repress the development of prolegs because robust expression of abd-A is found in proleg primordia of A3-A6, which develop into prolegs [11]. Finally, in the lepidopteran, *Bombyx mori*, BmUbx and Bmabd-B repress the development of prolegs on the anterior and posterior abdominal segments, respectively; Bmabd-A, on the other hand, is known to play an important role in the development of prolegs on the intermediate abdominal segments, and RNAi of Bmabd-A results in the deletion of prolegs [12–17].

Studies in *Drosophila* have shown that the bithorax complex (BX-C) contains many cis-regulatory elements and some non-protein coding transcripts, which work together to control neighboring Hox gene expression [18–20]. The cis-regulatory elements are organized into several regulatory domains, which determine specific gene expression in different abdominal segments, and mutations of these domains can lead to homeotic transformation [21, 22]. In silkworm, the corresponding region extends to 620Kb (International Silkworm Genome Consortium, 2008) and contains only three protein coding genes, which are likely responsible for more than 30 mutant phenotypes [16, 17, 23]. However, only two mutants, E\(^{\alpha} \)-I and E\(^{\beta} \)-I, have been mapped to the region [15, 23], which is referred to as the E locus. Additional studies associated with the more than 30 mutants are needed to characterize the corresponding functional units.

In silkworm, more than 30 mutant phenotypes exist in the E locus, which is analogous to BX-C in *D. melanogaster* [17, 24]. The phenotypic characteristics of these mutants are associated with legs, markings, segmentation, genitalia as well as the nervous system [25]. The extra crescents and degenerated abdominal legs (E\(^{di} \)) mutant has degenerated prolegs without distal proleg structures and shows a homeotic transformation of A3 to A2; thus, it may be a good model to study Hox function in distal proleg development. In this study, the E\(^{di} \) locus was narrowed down to approximately a 211 Kb region that is 10 Kb upstream of Bmabd-A. Expression analysis revealed that BmUbx was up-regulated and Bmabd-A was down-regulated, suggesting a homeotic transformation of A3 to A2 in the E\(^{di} \) mutant. The expression of Dll was also changed, providing evidence for the function of Hox genes in distal proleg development.

**Materials and Methods**

**Silkworm strains**

E\(^{di} \) and Dazao strains were obtained from the Silkworm Gene Bank in Southwest University, China. The larvae were reared on fresh mulberry leaves under a 12 h light/12 h dark photoperiod at 25°C. Eggs of the two strains were hatched at 25°C and maintained in conditions with adequate humidity and embryos were staged according to Takami [26].

**DNA extraction**

Genomic DNA was obtained from the whole body of fourth instar larvae. Samples were first powdered in liquid nitrogen and then digested in DNA extraction buffer (pH 8.0, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)) with 100 μg/mL proteinase K. After digestion for 5–8 h at 50°C, phenol: chloroform extraction was performed and the resulting DNA was suspended in TE buffer (elution buffer, pH 8.0, 10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA).
Mapping of the $E_{dl}$ locus

Dazao (+/+)) and $E_{dl}$ ($E_{dl}/E_{dl}$) were used for the fine mapping. F₁ offspring were produced by a single-pair cross between a female ($E_{dl}$) and a male (Dazao). For linkage analysis, 20 BC₁ progenies (10 wildtype and 10 mutant) from the cross ($E_{dl}$× Dazao)F₁♀ × $E_{dl}$♂ were used; for recombination analysis, 1205 BC₁ progenies from the cross $E_{dl}$♀× (E₁dl× Dazao)F₁♂ were used. Based on the mapping of $E_{Cs}$-l and $E_{kp}$-1 mutants in the $E$ pseudoallele group [15, 23], new primers were designed to narrow down the $E_{dl}$ locus, and markers with polymorphism were used for genotyping the 1205 BC₁ progenies. This analysis requires the silkworm 9× assembly genome database (http://www.silkdb.org/silkdb/, SilkDB), BLAST [27] and primer 5. The primer sequences are shown in Table 1.

Reverse transcription–quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR)

Embryos of Dazao and $E_{dl}$ were dissected at stage 20. Total RNA from embryos was extracted using a MicroElute Total RNA Kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Norcross, GA, USA) and cDNA was obtained using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). An ABI Prism 7000 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with the SYBR Premix ExTaq Kit (TaKaRa) was employed to detect mRNA levels of $Bmabd-A$ and $BmUbx$ in Dazao and $E_{dl}$ mutant. All operations with instruments and kits were performed according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A (BmMDB probe ID: sw22934) and ribosomal protein L3 (RPL3) were used as internal controls. The melt curves, melt peaks and standard curves of the $BmUbx$ and $Bmabd-A$ primers are shown in S1 Fig. Each sample had three biological replicates and each experiment had three technical replicates. The results are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was carried out through Student’s t-test. The primers used in RT-qPCR are listed in Table 1.

Protein isolation and western blotting

Embryos at stage 20 were used. Protein isolation, quantification and Western blot analysis were as reported by Chen [15]. Antibodies used in Western blot analysis were provided by Chen [15].

Immunocytochemistry

For immunostaining, B. mori embryos at stage 20 were dissected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 30 min at 4°C. After fixation, the samples were washed in wash buffer (pH 6.8, 50
mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% (octylphenoxy) polyethoxyethanol (IGEPALCA-630), 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin) and then incubated overnight at 4˚C in a 1:200 dilution of anti-Dll [28]. The specimens were then incubated in a 1:1000 dilution of secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488, Beyotime) for 3h at 4˚C. The stained embryos were then mounted in medium (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA) and were observed through a fluorescence microscope (BX51TRF, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Results
Phenotypic characteristics of the $E^{dl}$ mutant

Compared to wildtype Dazao, the phenotype of $E^{dl}$ mutant has variations in the abdominal appendages and crescents. In embryo and larval stages, prolegs on the third abdominal (A3) segment are degenerated; specifically, the distal claws in the prolegs are missing (Fig 1A and 1B). A pair of crescents arises on the dorsal part of A3 during the larval stage (Fig 1C). $E^{dl}$ is the only recessive mutant in the $E$ pseudoallele group and was previously described as a dominant mutant. We also show the presence of two star spots on the A8 segment in our $E^{dl}$ mutant (Fig 1B and 1C), while there are no star spots in the $E^{dl}$ mutant from Japan indicating differences in the genetic background of the two mutants (http://shigen.nig.ac.jp/silkwormbase/ViewGeneDetail.do?id=68).

Fine mapping of the $E^{dl}$ locus

To identify the candidate locus responsible for the $E^{dl}$ mutant, we mapped the $E^{dl}$ locus within approximately a 211 Kb region with newly designed markers, using 1205 BC$_1$ individuals (a cross between $E^{dl}$♀×($E^{dl}$×Dazao)F1♂). The $E^{dl}$ locus was narrowed down between markers D4 and D6, and was tightly linked to marker D5 (Fig 2). As a result, no protein coding gene was predicted in this region (silkDB) but two ncRNAs, mir-iab-4 and mir-2835, were identified (Fig 2) [29–31]. However, the genomic sequences of pre-mir-iab-4 and pre-mir-2835 in $E^{dl}$ were identical to Dazao (GenBank accession numbers: KX344456~KX344459). One side of the region was approximately 10 Kb upstream of the Bmabd-A gene while the other side of the region was more than 40 Kb upstream of two predicted genes, BGIBMGA006488 and BGIBMGA006489, and approximately 101 Kb downstream of the Bmabd-B gene (Fig 2).

![Fig 1. Phenotype of wildtype (Dazao) and extra-crescents and degenerated abdominal legs ($E^{dl}$) mutant. Lateral views of embryos (A), and dorsal and lateral views of larvae (B, C) are shown with head on the left side. (A, B) Prolegs on the third abdominal segment (A3) are degenerated in $E^{dl}$ compared to those in Dazao. Blue arrowheads indicate prolegs on A3 in $E^{dl}$ and Dazao. (C) Extra crescents are arising on the dorsal side of A3 in $E^{dl}$. Blue arrowheads indicate the marking in the dorsal part of A3 in $E^{dl}$ and Dazao. Yellow arrowheads indicate the marking in the dorsal part of A8 in $E^{dl}$. Bars A 1 mm; B, C 1 cm.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169224.g001)
However, the Hox gene cluster in insects is conserved, and no protein coding gene has been identified in the genomic region between *abd-A* and *abd-B* in *D. melanogaster* and the corresponding region in other insect orthologs. Moreover, ESTs and transcripts of the two predicted genes could not be detected in NCBI or SilkTransDB. Thus, *Bmabd-B* was the functional gene nearest to the other side of the region and we narrowed down the region between *Bmabd-A* and *Bmabd-B* (Fig 2). Considering the *E*<sup>dl</sup> phenotype and neighboring Hox gene function, we examined the expression levels of *BmUbx* and *Bmabd-A* in the *E*<sup>dl</sup> mutant.

### Expression profiles of *BmUbx* and *Bmabd-A*

We determined the expression profiles of *BmUbx* and *Bmabd-A* in stage 20 embryos, which is a significant stage for proleg development. RT-qPCR and western blot analyses revealed a marginal decrease in the expression level of *Bmabd-A* in the *E*<sup>dl</sup> mutant compared to wildtype (Dazao). In contrast, *BmUbx* was significantly up-regulated in the *E*<sup>dl</sup> mutant (Fig 3 and S2 Fig). As reported in previous studies, blocking *Bmabd-A* through RNAi caused defects in proleg development. On the other hand, the ectopic expression of *Bmabd-A* resulted in the development of extra prolegs, while *BmUbx* repressed the development of proleg [12–16]. These results together indicate that the *E*<sup>dl</sup> phenotype is a homeotic transformation of A3 to A2.

### Expression pattern of BmDistal-less (BmDll)

The development of distal limb structures is controlled by the expression of *Dll* in arthropods [1, 32–34]. In addition, *BmDll* was shown to be present in the proleg primordial tips in silkworm [35]. Since the *E*<sup>dl</sup> mutant possesses degenerated prolegs without distal limb structures on A3, we performed whole-mount BmDll antibody staining to detect whether its expression pattern was changed. In wildtype, BmDll was detected in the distal part of A3-A6 proleg primordia (Fig 4A). However, in the *E*<sup>dl</sup> mutant, it was detected only in the distal part of A4-A6 and was not detectable in A3 (Fig 4B). These results indicate that the *BmDll* expression pattern is changed in the *E*<sup>dl</sup> mutant and that *BmDll* is responsible for the development of distal limb structures.

### Discussion

In silkworm, the *E*<sup>dl</sup> mutant belonging to the *E* pseudoallele group has crescents on the dorsal side and degenerated abdominal legs on the ventral side of A3, and it is the only recessive mutant in the *E* group. The degenerated abdominal legs seem to arise due to the incomplete transformation of A3 to A2, and the crescents seem to appear due to the complete
transformation of A3 to A2. Therefore, it is likely that the $E^{dl}$ phenotype is due to the homeotic transformation of A3 to A2. In this study, we narrowed down the $E^{dl}$ locus to approximately a 211 Kb region between Bmabd-A and Bmabd-B. The neighboring Bmabd-A and BmUbx are regulated differentially that aligns well with their contrasting functions; Bmabd-A is down-regulated and is involved in proleg development while BmUbx is up-regulated and represses the development of prolegs [15, 17]. Collectively, these may explain the origin of the $E^{dl}$ phenotype.

In silkworm, the $E$ pseudoallele group contains more than 30 mutant phenotypes. In this study, the $E^{dl}$ locus was delimited between Bmabd-A and Bmabd-B. Within this region in the $E^{dl}$ locus, we found two miRNAs, mir-iab-4 and mir-2835, which were potential functional units. Sequence analysis of pre-mir-iab-4 and pre-mir-2835 in $E^{dl}$ showed no differences compared to Dazao (GenBank accession numbers: KX344456~KX344459). The functions of these two miRNAs in silkworm need further investigation. Moreover, two other mutant phenotypes in the $E$ pseudoallele group, $E^{kp}$-1 and $E^{Cs}$-l, were also mapped to relatively small regions in the Hox gene cluster. The $E^{kp}$-1 locus was restricted within a 220 kb region using 2396 individuals, containing the Bmiab-4 locus and Bmabd-A gene, and the $E^{Cs}$-l locus was mapped within approximately a 68 kb region upstream of Bmabd-A, including the Bmiab-4 locus, while the sequence analysis of the transcripts in the narrowed regions showed synonymous mutations in the ORF of the Bmabd-A gene in $E^{kp}$-1 and no difference at the Bmiab-4 locus in $E^{Cs}$-l and $E^{kp}$-1 compared to wildtype [15, 23]. In D. melanogaster, the BX-C region contains many potential cis-regulatory domains and ncRNAs, and these functional units control the precise spatiotemporal expression patterns of BX-C genes [18–20]. As the $E$ locus in silkworm is analogous to the BX-C region in D. melanogaster, and the $E^{kp}$-1, $E^{Cs}$-l and $E^{dl}$ locus was narrowed to relatively small regions with no functional elements responsible for the mutant phenotypes identified, we expect that these regions could contain diverse potential functional elements such as...
cis-regulatory elements and ncRNAs. Further studies should be performed to identify and characterize new functional elements in the regions. Characterization of the Edl mutant in this study and two other mutants may provide the foundation for the finding of functional elements.

Previous studies have shown that abd-A specifies the abdominal appendages in lower insect orders but represses the development of abdominal appendages in middle insect orders, highly developed dipterans, and Precis coenia, a lepidopteran [10, 36–38]. The presence of this gene in all insect orders indicates that the suppression of proleg development by abd-A may have evolved early. However, Ubx appears to have appeared at a later time point only in higher insects [38]. Studies have shown that variations in lepidopteran prolegs are common and that they are controlled by abd-A [11, 15, 16]. In this study, we also found that Bmabd-A is involved in proleg development. It is likely that abd-A regained the function of specifying lepidopteran proleg development, which is observed in basally branching insects and lost during further evolution.

Dll is involved not only in inducing appendage development but also in specifying the distal identities of appendages in arthropods [1, 39–41]. In silkworm, BmDll induces proleg development with the absence of Bmabd-A in the proleg primordia during early embryogenesis, thus it is suggested that BmDll is suppressed by Bmabd-A [16, 35]. However, the interaction between Hox and Dll in distal appendage structures development is not clear. In D. melanogaster, Dll can induce normal tarsus in thoracic leg development in the absence of Hox function, so it is presumed that Hox genes do not modify the expression of Dll that regulates distal appendage structures [42]. But Proboscipedia (Pb) and Sex combs reduced (Scr) downregulate Dll to give rise to

Fig 4. Bombyx Distal-less (BmDll) antibody staining of embryos at stage 20 in Dazao and Edl mutant. BmDll staining (red) was detected in the distal part of all developed appendage primordia. T1, T2 and T3 represent three thoracic segments. The image was focused on abdominal appendages. Blue arrowheads were used for marking the prolegs on A3 segment. (A) BmDll staining was detected in the distal part of prolegs on A3-A6 and A10 segments in Dazao. (B) BmDll staining was not detected in the distal part of prolegs on A3 and was normally detected on A4-A6 and A10 segments in Edl. The prolegs on A3 were also degenerated compared to prolegs on A4-A6 in Edl.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169224.g004
unique distal proboscis structures [43]. Interestingly, the distal structures of prolegs also differ from the thoracic legs, and Bmabd-A expression is found in the distal part of proleg primordia in silkworm [15], and overlaps with the expression of BmDll [35]. In this study, we also found that the up-regulation of BmUbx and down-regulation of Bmabd-A expression may lead to the homeotic transformation of A3 to A2 in the E<sup>dl</sup> mutant, thus leading to the degenerated abdominal legs phenotype. In other words, the changes in BmUbx and Bmabd-A expression can alter the expression of BmDll in distal prolegs that may be involved in distal proleg development. Spatiotemporal expression patterns of BmUbx and Bmabd-A in E<sup>dl</sup> and Dazao embryos should be studied to confirm this hypothesis.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Specificity test and standard curves of BmUbx and Bmabd-A primers. Standard curves, RT-qPCR product electrophoreogram and melting curve analysis of Bmabd-A (A, C, D) and BmUbx (B, E, F). We performed a 2-fold serial dilution of a cDNA sample from across the treatment conditions; 2-, 4-, 6- and 16-fold. The concentration at 16-fold dilution with high expression was considered as 16. log<sub>2</sub>. cDNA concentration is represented in the Y axis and Ct is represented in the X axis. All data indicate that BmUbx and Bmabd-A primers had good efficiency to perform RT-qPCR.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Expression profiles of BmUbx and Bmabd-A in wildtype and E<sup>dl</sup> mutant in stage 20 embryos. RT-qPCR analysis of Bmabd-A (A) and BmUbx (B). Bmabd-A was significantly down-regulated and BmUbx was up-regulated in E<sup>dl</sup> mutants (Bars indicate mean values ± SD, Student’s t-test. *<sup>+</sup>, P<0.05. n = 3). RPL3 was used as the internal control.

(TIF)
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