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Potato late blight field resistance from QTL dPl09c is conferred by the NB-LRR gene R8
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Abstract

Following the often short-lived protection that major nucleotide binding, leucine-rich-repeat (NB-LRR) resistance genes offer against the potato pathogen Phytophthora infestans, field resistance was thought to provide a more durable alternative to prevent late blight disease. We previously identified the QTL dPl09c on potato chromosome 9 as a more durable field resistance source against late blight. Here, the resistance QTL was fine-mapped to a 186 kb region. The interval corresponds to a larger, 389 kb, genomic region in the potato reference genome of Solanum tuberosum Group Phureja doubled monoploid clone DM1-3 (DM) and from which functional NB-LRRs R8, R9a, Rpi-moc1, and Rpi_vnt1 have arisen independently in wild species. dRenSeq analysis of parental clones alongside resistant and susceptible bulks of the segregating population B3C1HP showed full sequence representation of R8. This was independently validated using long-range PCR and screening of a bespoke bacterial artificial chromosome library. The latter enabled a comparative analysis of the sequence variation in this locus in diverse Solanaceae. We reveal for the first time that broad spectrum and durable field resistance against P. infestans is conferred by the NB-LRR gene R8, which is thought to provide narrow spectrum race-specific resistance.
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Introduction

Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.) is the third most important food crop in the world after rice and wheat in terms of human consumption. More than a billion people worldwide consume potatoes, and global crop production exceeded 382 million...
metric tons in 2014 (FAO, 2017). Phytophthora infestans, the causal agent of late blight disease, is the most devastating pathogen of potato, causing losses of approximately $6.7$ billion annually (Haas et al., 2009). Preventative application of chemicals is currently being used to control this disease. However, excessive use of fungicides poses detrimental risks to human health and to the environment. Moreover, isolates can become insensitive to some of the commonly used agents (Goodwin et al., 1996). Thus, the characterization, cloning and introgression into cultivars of natural resistance provides an environmentally benign alternative to chemical crop protection agents.

The wild species Solanum demissum has been used as a donor for single race-specific resistance (R) genes, which mediate complete resistance to P. infestans isolates carrying cognate avirulence protein (Lenman et al., 2016). Nonetheless, a dynamic, repeat-rich genome enables the pathogen to often evolve rapidly (Haas et al., 2009; Raffaele et al., 2010) and to overcome host resistance through the emergence of new pathogen races (Fry and Goodwin, 1997). Pyramiding multiple major R genes is considered a sustainable strategy to maintain the resistance for longer (Zhu et al., 2012; Haesaert et al., 2015), while deploying quantitative disease resistance (QDR) in breeding programs has also been adopted (St Clair, 2010). QDR has been described as horizontal, incomplete, field, durable, and broad-spectrum resistance by different authors owing to their interests and assumptions (Solomon-Blackburn et al., 2007; Poland et al., 2009). However, phenotypically, all show reduced but not absent disease symptoms. It is thought that these resistances could be more durable as the evolutionary pressure to adapt is significantly decreased for the pathogens. Therefore, QDR has been favored by potato breeders after initial simple stacking of R genes in the 1960s, which could be easily overcome as shown for R1, R2, and R3a in the cultivar Pentland Dell (Hein et al., 2009).

Since the 1980s, the International Potato Center (CIP) has developed durable late blight resistant potato germplasms by excluding the known major R genes from selected resistant sources. The strategy was meant to eliminate the interference of R genes, because they could potentially mask the underlying quantitative resistance in the process of selection (Landeo, 1989). Three selection steps were carried out with complex P. infestans races that were predicted to overcome the major R genes as well as race 0, and the resulting population is known as B3 (Landeo, 1989; Landeo et al., 1995). To date, the B3 population has undergone three cycles of recurrent selection to improve agronomic traits whilst maintaining broad-spectrum late blight resistance (M. Gastelo, CIP, personal communication), and a number of cultivars have been released by CIP national partners (Ndacyayisenga, 2011). To understand the genetic and molecular mechanism of the quantitative late blight resistance in the B3 population, a dihaploid population, B3C1HP, which originated from the resistant B3 advanced clone 393046.7, was used to construct a genetic map. Five independent field assessments were conducted at two locations in Peru and a major QTL, dPI09c, was detected on chromosome 9. The dPI09c QTL explained between 14.5 and 83.3% of the disease variance in different environments (Li et al., 2012).

Map-based cloning has been widely used for cloning potato late blight resistance genes, such as R1 (Ballvora et al., 2002), R2 (Lokossou et al., 2009), and RB (Song et al., 2003). Recently, with the development of high-throughput sequencing platforms, the potato genome has been sequenced (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2011; Aversano et al., 2015), and this may greatly accelerate the identification of genes of interest. A total of 755 nucleotide binding, leucine-rich-repeat (NB-LRR) genes have been identified and used as probes to establish a resistance gene enrichment sequencing (RenSeq) platform (Jupe et al., 2012; Jupe et al., 2013) to promote the identification of R genes through bulked-segregation analysis. Combined with single-molecule real-time (SMRT) sequencing (SMRT RenSeq) (Witek et al., 2016) or chemical mutagenesis (MutRenSeq) (Steuernagel et al., 2016), RenSeq has been utilized in cloning R genes in different species. RenSeq has also been applied as a diagnostic tool, dRenSeq, to identify known R genes or their homologs (Van Weymers et al., 2016). In this study, we report the molecular characterization of the dPI09c locus through map-based cloning, dRenSeq, allele mining, and comparative genomics.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

For the fine mapping of the resistance QTL, an extended progeny of the B3C1HP population was generated using the same progenitors, 301071.3 as the resistant maternal parent and 703308 as the susceptible male plant, as described by Li et al. (2012). Over 4000 potato true seeds were germinated in vitro. The seeds were sterilized with 1.5% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite solution for 15 min and rinsed three times with sterile distilled water. Seed germination was induced by incubating sterile seeds with 1000 ppm gibberellic acid for 18 h in the dark followed by culturing of seeds in plastic tubes (Falcon, 15 ml, conical) containing 5 ml of MS medium (Murashige & Skoog, 1962). The plants were multiplied in tissue culture using standard growth media for potato. Three-week-old in vitro plantlets were transplanted in pots containing Promix.

Nicotiana benthamiana was grown in the greenhouse under a 16 h/8 h light–dark cycle at 24 °C. Leaves from 5-week-old plants were used for experiments.

DNA extraction and recombinants screening

The genomic DNA of all the 4000 progenies was extracted from 3-week-old plantlets with a fast and simple method described by Hosaka (2004). For the screening of recombinants, four markers flanking the QTL dPI09c region were used, namely Rpi-svnt1_367, DMC42162bf, DMC42144af, and At3g24160f2 (Li et al., 2012; see Supplementary Table S1 at JXB online). The PCR and polyacrylamide gel running procedures were as described by Li et al. (2012).

Late blight resistance evaluation

Whole plant inoculations of the 106 recombinants was conducted in a greenhouse by spraying P. infestans sporangia onto all recombinant plants and using Amarilis and Cruzia-148 as resistant controls as well as Desiree, Yungay, and Tomasa as susceptible controls. There were six plants of each genotype and three plants of each control distributed following a randomized complete block design. Plants were 45 d old since emergence and P. infestans isolate PSR24 (Race 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, and 11 tested on a Black differential set) was used for the infections. This particular isolate had been collected from susceptible plants of the B3C1HP progenies in the field and...
purified, at a concentration of 750 sporangia ml⁻¹. The inoculation was done with a hand-held sprayer until run-off. After the inoculation, plastic tents were constructed to cover the plants and maintain almost 100% humidity. Humidity was maintained high in the greenhouse by an automatic sprinkler system that switched on every 15 min. The temperature ranged between 17 and 24 °C. The disease level was evaluated by estimating the percentage area of infection in each plant 7 d after inoculation. For the analysis, the average infection percentage of each genotype was calculated and the progenies were divided in two groups, resistant and susceptible. As the resistance phenotype was quantitative, all progenies that scored a disease level of at least 30% or higher were considered susceptible, and the genotypes with disease level less than this were considered as resistant.

Field assessment of the 106 recombinants was carried out in Oxpampa (12°34′05″ S, 75 °24′23″ W), a highland jungle agroecological zone in the Peruvian Andes with high endemic late blight pressure, which was considered a ‘hot spot’ of Phytophthora diversity (Gomez-Alpizar et al., 2007) with multi-races (Perez et al., 2001; Kaila, 2015). This is a recognized late blight resistance evaluation site of the International Potato Center’s breeding program. During the assessment, the temperature varied from 8.8 °C to 30.4 °C, with a mean of 18.4 °C, and the relative humidity varied from 38.4% to 100%, with a mean of 86.4%. The field trial was performed using the Alpha-Lattice design with three replications each consisting of 10 plants per individual. Local variety Tomasa was used as a susceptible control and 393046.7 (the original tetraploid resistance donor (Gomez-Alpizar et al., 2007) for each genotype by using the mid-parent value. Another susceptible variety, Yungay, was planted around the field to serve as an inoculum source. After the plants started to emerge, the field was protected from late blight infection with weekly spraying of fungicide until all plants had fully emerged. After this the endemic infection was allowed to proceed, and the disease levels were evaluated weekly until the susceptible control (Tomasa) was 100% infected. The last disease evaluation (the seventh evaluation) was done on 8 December 2014. The percentage of leaf area affected was used to calculate the area under the disease progress curve (AUDPC) (Jeger and Viljanen-Rollinson, 2001) for each genotype by using the midpoint rule method (Campbell and Madden, 1990).

For sequential agroinfiltration and detached leaf late blight assays in N. benthamiana, the third to fifth fully expanded leaves (counted from the uppermost leaf) of 5-week-old N. benthamiana plants were used for agroinfiltration. Two days post-Agrobacterium tumefaciens infiltration (dpi), plants were infected with 10 μl sporangia of P. infestans isolate 88069 adjusted to a concentration of 1.5 × 10³ sporangia ml⁻¹. The droplets of sporangia suspension were inoculated onto the abaxial side of detached N. benthamiana leaf within the agroinfiltration site. Disease symptoms were monitored for up to 12 dpi under natural and UV light. Three replicates were conducted with at least 12 leaves in each.

Marker development

New PCR markers were developed according to all gene sequences of the Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium (http://solanaecea.plantbiology.msu.edu/) pseudomolecule v4.03 from marker At3g24160f2 (Chr09: 58728502-58728908) to the distal end of chromosome 9. Genetic DNA samples from both parents were used as templates to amplify the polymorphism determined by PCR product length with newly developed markers of dPI09c. DNA of 15 resistant (AUDPC 0) and 15 susceptible (the largest 15 AUDPCs) progenies (see Supplementary Table S2), which performed no recombination in the dPI09c region, were selected to form resistance and susceptible pools, and were used as templates to confirm the polymorphism. The PCR products amplified by the three flanking markers (3233-1, 8384-1, and 8586-1) and four linked markers (jr38, 5455-1, jr69, and jr78-2) were sequenced and aligned to the reference genome to verify their positions and for successive bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) screening. An overview of the newly designed primers is listed in Supplementary Table S3. Polycrylamide (19:1) gel electrophoresis was used to separate PCR products, followed by silver staining (Li et al., 2012).

BAC library construction, screening and sequencing

A BAC library (BACGENE, Wuhan, China) was constructed with the DNA of 304413.40, one resistant clone of B3C1HP₀₀. The BAC library clones were individually picked and stored in 228 384-well microtiter plates, and 384 clones in each plate were mixed to generate plate super pools. Three flanking markers, 3233-1, 8384-1, and 8586-1, and four linked markers, jr38, 5455-1, jr69, and jr78-2, were used to screen for positive super pools. Afterwards, clones in each row and column within a single plate were mixed to form row and column sub-pools. The same markers were used to locate the positive clones.

Plasmids of the positive BAC clones (clone 119 and 122) were isolated with the Qiagen Plasmid Midi Kit (Qiagen, Hombrech, Germany), and subsequently sequenced using PacBio RS II sequencing and assembled into one contig (Personalbio, Shanghai, China).

dRenSeq analysis

Genomic DNA of resistant parent 301071.3, susceptible parent 703308, resistant bulk, and susceptible bulk consisting of 27 resistant or susceptible progenies were enriched using NB-LRR baits (Jupe et al., 2013). Enrichment was followed by paired-end Illumina MiSeq sequencing and diagnostic RenSeq (dRenSeq) analysis as described previously (Van Weymers et al., 2016). For characterizing the late blight resistance via dRenSeq, two genes recently identified at the end of chromosome 9, R₈ (KUS300153) (Vossen et al., 2016) and R₉a (Jo et al., 2015; https://www.google.com/patents/US20140041072), were added to the reference library used by Van Weymers et al. (2016).

Allele mining

To confirm the presence of the complete target gene in the B3C1HP population, resistant female plant 301071.3 and two resistant progenies of B3C1HP₁₀₀, 304413.40 and 304413.74, were subjected to PCR using R₈-specific primers (R₈-UTR_F and R₈-UTR_R) (see Supplementary Table S1) followed by cloning and sequencing. R₈ is a potato late blight differential of the Mastenbroek differential set MaR₁-MaR₁₁ (Kim et al., 2012; Vossen et al., 2016), from which R₈ was cloned, was used as a positive control, while susceptible male plant 703308 and two susceptible progenies of B3C1HP, 304413.19 and 304413.89, were used as negative controls. Long-range PCR was conducted with Phanta Max Super-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (Vazyme Biotech, Nanjing, China) to generate blunt-end PCR products. Adenine was added to both 3′ ends, using Taq polymerase 2 μl, PCR product 36 μl, 10× buffer 5 μl, 2 mM dATP 5 μl, incubating at 72 °C for 40 min. Afterwards, PCR products were purified and cloned into pGEM²-T vector (Promega), and transformed to ElectroMAX™ DH10B competent cells (Invitrogen). At least eight positive clones of each genotype were sequenced and aligned with R₈ using the ClustalX 1.81 (Thompson et al., 1997) and Genedoc (Nicholas et al., 1997) analysis.

Vector construction and agroinfiltration

Avr₈ (Jo, 2013) minus signal peptide was amplified from P. infestans isolate 88069 with attB sites to generate the entry clone, and recombined with pB7WG2 for N-terminal enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) fusion using Gateway technology. Resistance genes R₈ and R₈-like were amplified with primer pB7-R₈ (see Supplementary Table S1) and ligated into empty vector pB7WG2, which had been digested with restriction enzyme BspI1407I. Recombinants were transformed into A. tumefaciens strain GV3101 competent cells. R₃a and Avr₃a (Armstrong et al., 2005) plasmids harboring the late blight resistance gene R₃a and its cognate avirulence gene,
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Avr3a, respectively, were also transformed into GV3101 as a positive control for co-infiltration tests that elicit a strong hypersensitive response, while empty vector pB7WG2 was used as a negative control (Armstrong et al., 2005). GV3101 strains with target constructs were grown in liquid YEB medium at 28 °C overnight; bacterial cells were collected and re-suspended in modified MMA buffer (10 mM MES, 10 mM MgCl₂, and 200 mM acetosyringone). Agrobacteria stains containing the constructs of interest were mixed and adjusted to a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.6 and 0.3 for R gene and Avr gene, respectively. The mixed agrobacteria suspension was incubated at room temperature for 2 h in the dark before infiltration. After the incubation, a needleless syringe was used to infiltrate the agrobacteria suspension to a diffusion area of diameter 1–1.5 cm through the abaxial leaf surface. Hypersensitive responses were monitored 5 d after co-infiltration.

Comparative genome analysis

The BAC sequences of clones 122 and 119 span together the entire QTL dPI09c as defined by markers 3233-1/jr38 towards the centromeric part of LG 9 and 8384-1/8586-1 towards the distal end of the chromosome. Homologous representative sequences of the dPI09c interval from different species including potatoes DM1-3 (DM) (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2011), 304413.40 (resistant progeny used in this study), and MaR8 (Vossen et al., 2016), and tomatoes Solanum lycopersicum (Sato et al., 2012) and S. pennellii (Bolger et al., 2014) were selected for a comparative genomic study. Repeat stretches of ambiguous nucleotides (poly Ns) were removed resulting in sequences of 326 207 bp in length for DM, 310 320 bp for dPI09c, 174 573 bp for R8, 301 334 bp for S. lycopersicum and 557 425 bp for S. pennellii (Supplementary Dataset S1).

Sequences were aligned using the progressive Mauve algorithm (Darling et al., 2004) in the program Geneious (version 10.2) using the default condition (automatically calculate seed weight; compute locally collinear blocks (LCBs); automatically calculate the minimum LCB score; full alignment using Gapped Aligner MUSCLE3.6).

Results

Fine mapping of the QTL dPI09c

Initial mapping carried out in the population B3C1HP placed the QTL dPI09c within the proximity of the marker DMG400031529 (Li et al., 2015), which resides near R gene clusters with homology to Tm-2' and Sw-3 on potato linkage group 9 (Jo et al., 2011, 2015; Jupe et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012). To fine map the resistance, a larger population comprising 4000 additional clones (B3C1HP4000) was assessed with initially identified markers Rpi-svnt1_367, DMC42152bf, DMC42144af, and At3g24160f2, which resulted in 106 recombinants (Fig. 1, represented by Rec 1 and 2). Further resistance assessment of these recombinants with P. infestans isolate PSR24 in whole-plant greenhouse tests revealed an approximate 1:1 segregation ratio of resistant recombinants that displayed less than 30% of leaf area infection with late blight and susceptible progenies that displayed more than this. Field tests revealed a similar 1:1 segregation for resistance and susceptibility (see Supplementary Table S2), which indicated a single dominant gene is concealed in this QTL. Additional markers (Supplementary Table S3) were developed towards the end of chromosome 9 based on the PGSC v4.03 pseudomolecule sequence (http://solanaceae.plantbiology.msu.edu/) at positions 58.72–61.40 Mb. We identified one recombinant between markers STMput157a37146 and 3233-1 (Fig. 1, Rec 3), and two recombinants between markers 3233-1 and 8384-1 (Fig. 1, Rec 4 and 5). Furthermore, markers jr38, 5455-1, jr69, and jr78-2 were linked to the resistance. This ultimately narrowed the locus for resistance
QTL \textit{dPI09c} to a 389 kb interval of the DM1-3 pseudomolecule sequence flanked by markers 3233-1 and 8384-1.

\textbf{Functional R8 was identified in dPI09c by dRenSeq}

The fine mapping of the resistance in the B3C1HP\textsubscript{4000} population placed the QTL \textit{dPI09c} in a genomic region that is known to contain a number of functional NB-LRRs such as \textit{R8}, \textit{R9a}, \textit{Rpi moc1}, and \textit{Rpi vnt1} (Smilde \textit{et al.}, 2005; Foster \textit{et al.}, 2009; Pel \textit{et al.}, 2009; Jo \textit{et al.}, 2015; Vossen \textit{et al.}, 2016). To ascertain if a known NB-LRR gene could explain the resistance in the \textit{dPI09c} QTL, we conducted a dRenSeq analysis (Van Weymers \textit{et al.}, 2016). Genomic DNA of resistant parent 301071.3, susceptible parent 703308, and bulks consisting of 27 resistant and 27 susceptible progenies of the B3C1HP\textsubscript{100} population were enriched using NB-LRR baits (Jupe \textit{et al.}, 2013). Reads were mapped, using a high stringent 0.5% mismatch rate, against nine functional late blight NB-LRR genes, namely \textit{Rpi blb1}, \textit{Rpi blb2}, \textit{R1}, \textit{R2}, \textit{R3a}, \textit{R3b}, \textit{R8}, \textit{R9a}, and \textit{Rpi vnt1.1}. Under these conditions, the RenSeq reads only map to the reference set containing functional NB-LRRs if the reads have a maximum of one SNP in 200 bp of sequence compared with the reference. Mapping results demonstrated that the reads of the resistant parent and resistant bulk generated full coverage of \textit{R8}, while only partial coverage was achieved using reads from the susceptible parent or the susceptible bulk (Fig. 2). The read depth is an important indication of the completeness of the coverage, as a partial coverage in susceptible parent and bulk indicates that some parts of the functional gene \textit{R8} are conserved. These results strongly suggest that \textit{R8} could be a main contributor towards the function of \textit{dPI09c} for late blight resistance.

\textbf{The presence of R8 was confirmed by allele mining}

To confirm the presence of a complete and intact \textit{R8} gene in the B3C1HP\textsubscript{100} population, \textit{R8}-specific primers (Supplementary Table S1) were utilized to amplify a 7 kb fragment that encompasses functional \textit{R8} (including coding and regulatory sequences). We included the susceptible male parent and two susceptible progenies (304413.19 and 304413.89) as negative controls, and \textit{MaR8} as a positive control. As expected, all susceptible plants did not yield the \textit{R8}-specific amplicon (see Supplementary Fig. S1). The PCR products of resistant female parent and two resistant progenies were cloned and sequenced. Our analysis confirmed that all clones contained the \textit{R8} gene and no sequence variation was identified (data not shown).

\textbf{R8 and functional R8-like resistance is found in diverse breeding material and wild species}

To ascertain if \textit{R8} is also present in additional late blight resistance resources, we used PCR to test previously identified sources of late blight resistance that were obtained following

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig2.png}
\caption{dRenSeq analysis on resistant and susceptible parent and bulks of population B3C1HP\textsubscript{100}. Coverage of nine functional \textit{R} genes and read depth converted to log10 scale are depicted on the x-axis and y-axis. Light green and dark green curves represent resistant parent and bulk, red and scarlet curves represent susceptible ones, respectively. A high stringent mismatch rate (0.5\%) was used for read mapping.}
\end{figure}
late blight assays with highly aggressive \textit{P. infestans} isolates UK3928A in the UK (Van Weymers et al., 2016) and HB14-2 and HB16-2 collected in infected fields in Hubei province of China. Thirty-two out of 242 tested genotypes showed resistance to the pathogens, with three susceptible cultivars (Yungay, E-Potato 3, and Huashu 1) being utilized as negative controls (see Supplementary Table S4). The results showed that 21 resistant plants putatively contained \textit{R8} as they amplified the expected 7 kb fragment. These included 15 progenies descending from the B3 population, four cultivars (06HE13-1, 08HE171-1, 08HE171-6, and E-Potato 5), and two wild species (\textit{Solanum phureja} accession IVP196-2 and \textit{S. demissum} accession CT9-4). As expected, none of the susceptible plants yielded the \textit{R8}-specific amplicon. PCR products of seven out of the 15 progenies from the B3 population and all additional putative \textit{R8}-containing genotypes were cloned and at least eight recombinant clones from each plant were sequenced. There was no sequence variation in \textit{R8} alleles except for the wild species, \textit{S. phureja} accession IVP196-2 and \textit{S. demissum} accession CT9-4. In \textit{S. phureja} IVP196-2, several insertions and deletions in the promoter were evident along with many SNPs and a premature stop codon that results in a pseudogenized gene (see Supplementary Fig. S2). \textit{Solanum demissum} accession CT9-4 has a complete coding sequence like \textit{R8} (Fig. 3A) except for two non-synonymous SNPs at position 482 and 1051 in the Solanaceae domain (SD) that changed the amino acids from Ile to Arg and from Phe to Leu, respectively (Fig. 3B) and is subsequently referred to as \textit{R8-like}.

To investigate whether \textit{R8-like} is a functional \textit{R} gene, it was transiently co-expressed with the cognate avirulence gene of \textit{P. infestans}, \textit{Avr8}, in the model Solanaceae plant \textit{N. benthamiana}, as shown by Vossen et al. (2016). Co-infiltration-specific cell death, which is indicative of a recognition response, was evident upon co-infiltration of a resistance gene and an avirulence gene such as the positive control \textit{R3a/Avr3a}, while the empty vector control \textit{pB7WGF2} showed no such phenotype. Importantly, this response between \textit{R8-like} and \textit{Avr8} was phenotypically not distinct from the cell death that was elicited after the co-infiltration of \textit{R8} with \textit{Avr8} 5 d post-infiltration (Fig. 3C). Five-week-old \textit{N. benthamiana} leaves transiently expressing \textit{R8} and \textit{R8-like} were challenged with \textit{P. infestans} isolate 88069. \textit{R8-like} was demonstrated to be a functional \textit{R} gene as it efficiently stopped colonization by \textit{P. infestans} (Fig. 3D).

**Genomic analysis of dPI09c**

In order to investigate the genomic organization of the \textit{dPI09c} locus, a resistant progeny of B3C1HP100, 304413.40, was used to construct a BAC library. The library consisted of 87,552 recombinant clones with an average insert size of 110 kb, thus covering the genome 10-fold. The BAC library was screened with seven PCR markers of the 389 kb region in DM, and in total seven positive BAC clones were identified (Fig. 1). The BAC clones 122 and 119 fully covered the \textit{dPI09c} interval and were subsequently sequenced. The assembled contig is 310.32 kb in length. Importantly, the contig contains the entire \textit{dPI09c} interval flanked by markers 3233-1 and 8384-1, which is 186 kb in length and therefore shorter than the 389 kb region predicted in the potato reference genome.

Synteny analysis among homologous representative sequences of the \textit{dPI09c} interval from potatoes DM (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2011), 304413.40 (resistant...
progeny used in this study) and MaR8 (Vossen et al., 2016), and tomatoes S. lycopersicum (Sato et al., 2012) and S. pennellii (Bolger et al., 2014) demonstrated rearrangements between all the haplotypes from different species in this interval, while a high homology between both MaR8 and 304413.40 was depicted (Fig. 4). Genomic sequence comparison between MaR8 and 304413.40 revealed that the same fragment has been introduced into different cultivars, suggesting that the resistance that dPI09c is conferring might be derived from a common S. demissum source. Nevertheless, there is a slight difference between the two R8-containing haplotypes at both BAC ends. The two analogs of R8 in the front end of MaR8 BAC and 304413.40 BAC shared less than 40% sequence identity, and the similarity between the flanking sequence was very low as well (data not shown). This suggests either this is a breaking point of recombination in different haplotypes or there was incomplete sequencing of the haplotype. R8 paralog copy numbers vary in haplotypes from different species, with 17 in DM, 10 in 304413.40 and MaR8, four in S. lycopersicum, and three in S. pennellii. However, the R8 sequence is somewhat conserved comparing between the species. These results suggested that R8 progenitors are most likely ancient genes that have undergone distinct evolution in different species.

Discussion

In a previous study, we have identified the late blight quantitative resistant QTL dPI09c (Li et al., 2012) as a major QTL located at the end of the chromosome 9. Here, we have taken a map-based cloning approach to narrow the genetically defined interval to a 389 kb fragment with new markers 3233-1 and 8384-1 designed based on the reference genome of potato (Fig. 1). In this region an array of late blight R genes including Rpi-moc1 (Smilde et al., 2005), Rpi-vnt1 (Foster et al., 2009), R8 (Jo et al., 2011), R9a (Jo et al., 2015), and Ph-3 (Zhang et al., 2014) have been reported. Using the diagnostic RenSeq method (dRenSeq), we identified the full coding sequence of R8 in the resistant progenitor and the bulk consisting of resistant progenies. (Fig. 2). Allele mining confirmed that the resistance gene concealed in dPI09c is identical to R8 of S. demissum (Vossen et al., 2016). This was further confirmed by BAC library screening and sequencing, which also enabled a comparative genome study and indicated that this interval is conserved in other Solanaceae haplotypes.

This study provides clear evidence that a single major disease resistance gene can explain the QTLs, as has previously been speculated. Indeed, cultivars such as Sarpo Mira display field resistance-type responses to late blight and are now known to also contain R8 but in combination with other major R genes (Rietman et al., 2012; Vossen et al., 2016). Plants of the B3C1HP population containing R8 generally show expanding lesions in the detached leaf assay (data not shown), and in the B3 population the resistance in severe epidemics is consistent with what had been considered a quantitative type (Landeo et al., 1999; Lindqvist-Kreuze et al., 2014). CIP’s B3 population incorporated a battery of resistant resources, including S. demissum-derived advanced sources of population A, native cultivars from S. phureja, Solanum andigena adapted to long days (Neotuberosum), and combined material of Solanum acaule, Solanum bulbocastanum, and S. tuberosum (ABPTs) through four-way hybrids (Landeo, 1989). An attempt was made to rule out major R

![Fig. 4. Genomic comparison of dPI09c interval among potato DM1-3 516 R44 (Potato Genome Sequencing Consortium, 2011), 304413.40 (Resistant progeny used in this study) and MaR8 (potato late blight differential of Mastenbroek differential set MaR1–MaR11; Vossen et al., 2016), and tomato Solanum lycopersicum (Sato et al., 2012) and S. pennellii (Bolger et al., 2014). The large blocks in different colors show the homology of the genome. The small green rectangles beneath the large blocks represent R8, blue rectangles are R8 analog, and forward and reverse direction of the analogs are indicated by the upper and lower rectangles, respectively. Sequences were aligned using progressive Mauve algorithm (Darling et al., 2004) in the program Geneious (version 10.2) with default settings.](https://academic.oup.com/jxb/article-abstract/69/7/1545/4827645)
genes in this population by selecting only progenies that were not fully resistant three decades ago (Landeo et al., 1995). The quantitative resistance phenotype of B3C1HP is probably the reason why the responsive gene of dPI09c, R8, was retained in the B3 population after stringent screenings. Thus, this study significantly shows how difficult it can be to select progenies against the presence of major R genes. Whether minor contributors to R8 reside in the genetically defined interval may be studied in the future as R8-based resistance is highly dependent on the genetic background as described by Vossen et al. (2016) and in our detached leaf assay (data not shown). Hence, mining the R8 gene stability and functionality regulator or other defense-related genes could also assist with the resistance breeding program in the future.

Some QDRs have been identified as co-localizing with a major R gene locus. Three QTLs conferring resistance to the powdery mildew Oidium lycopersici were found adjacent to qualitative loci, with OI-qtl1 on chromosome 6 in the same region as the OI-1, OI-qtl2, and OI-qtl3 on chromosome 12 in the vicinity of the Lv locus conferring resistance to another powdery mildew species, Leveillula Taurica (Bai et al., 2003). It has been reported that potato late blight QDR on chromosome 5 co-localizes with R1 (Collins et al., 1999; Beketova et al., 2006). However, it is likely that the quantitative resistance on chromosome 5 is not caused by QDR but by maturity type, which is linked to R1 (Collins et al., 1999; Beketova et al., 2006). In addition, the effects of ‘defeated’ or ‘weak’ R genes have been reported in many plants with quantitative disease resistance (Poland et al., 2009; Kou and Wang, 2010; St Clair, 2010; Roux et al., 2014; French et al., 2016). For example, the rice bacterial blight disease resistance gene Xa4 has been regarded as a ‘defeated’ R gene that confers resistance to multiple strains of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo) (Li et al., 1999). In potato, QDR to late blight has been found durable in the B3 population, Sarpo Mira, Stirling, and other cultivars (Solomon-Blackburn et al., 2007; Rietman et al., 2012; Lindqvist-Kreuze et al., 2014). In the present study, the cloning of R8 provides strong evidence that quantitative resistance can be caused by an NB-LRR gene, which is normally thought to be responsible for qualitative resistance. Besides, S. demissum differential MaR8, MaR9, and MaR10 have also shown broad-spectrum and quantitative resistance in the field (Bradshaw et al., 2006; Jo et al., 2011; Xu et al., 2013; Jo et al., 2015), which suggests that when novel field resistance is identified, we must be careful with the assumptions made about the molecular basis of such resistance and cannot rule out major R genes as the main contributors. Helpfully, with the recently developed dRenSeq method, field resistance of potato materials can be quickly detected to check if known R genes exist, which is an efficient way to avoid time-consuming map-based cloning. Also, dRenSeq has a potential to investigate not only late blight resistance genes but also other significant traits, such as potato resistance to cyst nematodes, viruses, and bacteria.

NB-LRR genes are well known to be clustered in plant genomes by tandem and segmental duplications (McDowell and Simon, 2006). Functional homologs have been confirmed in late blight R gene clusters such as R2, R3a, R3b, and Rpi-vnl loci (Huang et al., 2005; Foster et al., 2009; Lokossou et al., 2009; Pel et al., 2009; Li et al., 2011; Lenman et al., 2016). In this study, we dissected that R8 is located in such an R gene cluster. We identified a functional R8 homolog as defined by R8-like in S. demissum with two non-synonymous amino acid mutations (Fig. 3). These could result from random point mutations in different S. demissum accessions, or be a consequence of pathogen selection pressure in natural environments that might lead to novel effector recognition, but this is not clear at present. Nonetheless, sequence alignment revealed that a similar fragment has been introduced to MaR8 and 304413.40 from S. demissum (Fig. 4), suggesting that scientists might exploit the same S. demissum resources (Black et al., 1953; Malcolmson and Black, 1966) for resistance breeding against late blight. However, the BACs of 304413.40 for sequencing only contain the resistant haplotype derived from the resistant female parent 301071.3; little is known about the sequence of the susceptible one. There were no ampiclons obtained when amplifying R8 in susceptible plants. Therefore, the difference between the resistant and susceptible haplotypes is speculated to be the sequence variations in the 5′-untranslated region (UTR) or the 3′-UTR of R8, as the R8-specific primers were designed to amplify the full length of R8 only. How R8 might have evolved in the dPI09c locus between the two haplotypes remains to be established, if the susceptible BAC clones can be identified and sequenced. Genomic comparison among Solanaceae species showed significant rearrangements in the dPI09c interval. However, R8 shares 83.3% identity with its ortholog Sw-5b in tomato (Vossen et al., 2016) and is relatively conserved compared with other analogs in Solanaceae. These findings suggest that R8 analogs probably originated from the same ancestor and underwent distinct evolution in response to diverse challenges.

The R8 gene was identified in some resistant potato cultivars (see Supplementary Table S4) indicating its feasibility in the resistance improvement to cope with unexpected environmental and pathogen changes. This R gene with quantitative features of resistance has been observed to combat a broad range of pathogens (Roux et al., 2014) and is considered to be a generalist. Sw-5b, the ortholog of R8 in tomato (Brommonschenkel et al., 2000; Spassova et al., 2001), which has the SD (Mucyn et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2016), is a versatile R gene and confers broad resistance against tospoviruses, including Tomato spotted wilt virus, Groundnut ring spot virus, and Tomato chlorotic spot virus (Boiteux and Giordano, 1993; Bendahmane et al., 2002). Mi-1, also containing the SD domain, which is thought to have dual regulatory roles of activating the Mi-1 resistance protein (Lukasik-Shreepaathy et al., 2012), is responsible for the resistance against root-knot nematodes, whitefly, and aphids (Rossi et al., 1998; Vos et al., 1998; Nombela et al., 2003). Whether R8 can bring resistance to other pathogens like these SD-encoding R genes and whether the mechanism underlying the quantitative resistance is due to the dynamic allele variation (Fig. 3) should be intriguing areas for further study.

It has been argued that R gene stacking is by far the best strategy to improve late blight quantitative resistance in the field (Stewart et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2012). We know that
some of the major \( R \) genes are more likely to be defeated as a result of strong selection pressure for the cognate fast evolving effectors, for instance, the evading form of Avr3a\(^{EM} \) (Armstrong \textit{et al.}, 2005), the truncated Avr4 (van Poppel \textit{et al.}, 2008), and the expression-reduced Avrvt1 (Pel, 2010; Vleeshouwers \textit{et al.}, 2011). However, \( R8, R9a, R10, \) and \( Rpi-blb1 \) still maintain their quantitative resistance. \( R \) genes that confer quantitative disease resistance to late blight are more likely to be durable and are presumed to have less selection pressure on pathogens; they may be good candidates for \( R \) gene stacking. This novel way of stacking resistance genes may be a great achievement for the breeding community in maintaining the durability of potato resistance against late blight and increase the life expectancy of potato cultivars. Also, it may be technically possible for several of these gene stackings to induce high level resistance. Molecular markers are needed for every contributing QTL, including functional markers, such as \( R/Avr \) gene responses, to help in rapid and precise selection of resistance in breeding lines.
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