Evaluating contribution of ionic, osmotic and oxidative stress components towards salinity tolerance in barley

Getnet Dino Adem¹, Stuart J Roy²,³, Meixue Zhou¹, John P Bowman¹ and Sergey Shabala¹* 

Abstract

Background: Salinity tolerance is a physiologically multi-faceted trait attributed to multiple mechanisms. Three barley (Hordeum vulgare) varieties contrasting in their salinity tolerance were used to assess the relative contribution of ionic, osmotic and oxidative stress components towards overall salinity stress tolerance in this species, both at the whole-plant and cellular levels. In addition, transcriptional changes in the gene expression profile were studied for key genes mediating plant ionic and oxidative homeostasis (NHX; RBOH; SOD; AHA and GORK), to compare a contribution of transcriptional and post-translational factors towards the specific components of salinity tolerance.

Results: Our major findings are two-fold. First, plant tissue tolerance was a dominating component that has determined the overall plant responses to salinity, with root K⁺ retention ability and reduced sensitivity to stress-induced hydroxyl radical production being the main contributing tolerance mechanisms. Second, it was not possible to infer which cultivars were salinity tolerant based solely on expression profiling of candidate genes at one specific time point. For the genes studied and the time point selected that transcriptional changes in the expression of these specific genes had a small role for barley’s adaptive responses to salinity.

Conclusions: For better tissue tolerance, sodium sequestration, K⁺ retention and resistance to oxidative stress all appeared to be crucial. Because these traits are highly interrelated, it is suggested that a major progress in crop breeding for salinity tolerance can be achieved only if these complementary traits are targeted at the same time. This study also highlights the essentiality of post translational modifications in plant adaptive responses to salinity.
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Background

The world food supply is endangered by salinity exacerbated by global environmental warming. Agricultural production is already seriously affected by increasing salinity, with estimated economic penalties being in excess of $12B [1,2]. Creating salt tolerant crop germplasm is, therefore, becoming an urgent imperative [3,4].

Salinity tolerance in crops is a physiologically multi-faceted trait and is attributed to multiple mechanisms. The key ones include improved osmotic adjustment; minimizing Na⁺ uptake by roots and/or increasing Na⁺ efflux back to the soil; intracellular Na⁺ sequestration; potassium retention in the cytosol; tissue-specific Na⁺ sequestration; control of xylem ion loading; excluding Na⁺ from the shoot; and oxidative stress tolerance [5-7]. These numerous mechanisms are usually grouped into three major clusters: (i) osmotractance; (ii) sodium exclusion mechanisms; and (iii) tissue tolerance mechanisms [6]. Despite the significant progress that has been made in elucidating specific details of each of these mechanisms, the relative contribution of the above components to overall salinity tolerance remains unclear, prompting numerous attempts to overcome the issue by modifying the expression level or function of specific genes by molecular means [8,9].

Crop osmotractance has long been attributed to a plant’s ability to increase de novo synthesis of compatible solutes [10,11]. Accordingly, efforts have been made to increase the expression of compatible solute associated
genes, most significantly those that catalyse the production of glycine betaine including betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase, encoded by the gene betB [12,13], choline dehydrogenase (CDH) encoded by betA [14] and choline oxidase codA [15]. Despite these genes being transformed into a large number of species, the success in providing improved crops to the farmers field via this avenue has been very limited [16,17].

Another major component of salinity tolerance often targeted in transgenic plants is Na⁺ exclusion by minimising Na⁺ uptake by the root. This component comes to play by the SOS1 (plasma membrane Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger) along with interacting and phosphorylating proteins SOS3 and SOS2 forming a Ca²⁺-dependent signalling cascade [18,19]. This Na⁺ exclusion process is energised by the plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase [20]. Over-expressing SOS1 Na⁺ exclusion gene or its homologues has been attempted in several species such as Arabidopsis [18] or tobacco [21]. However, when Na⁺ is extruded to the medium by this mechanism, it further increases the osmotic and ionic imbalance that in turn causes the stress to be aggravated. Therefore, such a strategy can only be used as an interim solution and cannot, by itself, confer long term salinity stress tolerance under field conditions. Other mechanisms contributing to restricted Na⁺ accumulation in the shoot include reduced Na⁺ loading into the xylem [6,22] and increased Na⁺ retrieval from the xylem [23], with a recent study by Munns et al. [3] showing that the presence of TmHKT1;5-A significantly reduced leaf Na⁺ content and increased durum wheat grain yield by 25% compared to near-isogenic lines lacking a Na⁺2 locus, expressing this gene. Sodium retrieval from the shoot via its recirculation to the root via phloem is another contributing mechanism [24], this process is also believed to be mediated by HKT transporters [25]. However, it has been argued that excluding Na⁺ from the xylem may not be a plausible mechanism at all times [26], as Na⁺ can be used as a cheap osmoticum in species possessing high tissue tolerance mechanisms. Indeed barley over-expressing the HKT subfamily 2 gene, HvHKT2;1, had higher xylem and leaf Na⁺ content in saline-grown plants and was correlated with increased salt tolerance [27].

The third component of salinity tolerance is tissue tolerance, e.g. the ability of plants to safely handle large amounts of sodium accumulated in plant tissues, without any detrimental effects to cell metabolism. Such tissue tolerance can be achieved by efficient Na⁺ sequestration away from the cytosol (where it can affect the metabolic processes of the cell) into the vacuole. This is achieved by operation of the tonoplast Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger [28-30] that is energised by the vacuolar H⁺-ATPase (V-ATPase, E.C. 3.6.1.3) and pyrophosphatase (V-PPase, E.C. 3.6.1.1.) [31]. The Arabidopsis thaliana Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter gene (AtNHX1) was the first plant NHX homolog to be cloned [30]. There are six isoforms of AtNHX in Arabidopsis with AtNHX1 and AtNHX2 highly expressed in many tissues, while AtNHX3 and AtNHX4 are exclusively expressed in flowers and roots [32,33]. Recently, evidence has emerged suggesting that NHX1 proteins may operate as K⁺/H⁺ exchangers, and that their major role is not Na⁺ transport but regulation of vacuolar K⁺ content [34,35].

Vacular Na⁺ sequestration is important but not the only mechanism contributing to tissue tolerance. The ability to retain K⁺ in cells has recently emerged as an important component of this trait, in both root [36-39] and leaf [40] tissues. Strong correlation between K⁺ retention ability and plant overall salinity tolerance has been shown in some species [37,38,41] and attributed to the importance of high cytosolic K⁺ to suppress activity of caspase-like proteolytic and endonucleolytic enzymes triggering programmed cell death in salt-affected cells [42,43]. High cytosolic K⁺ is also required to maintain metabolic processes such as protein synthesis by enabling tRNA binding to ribosomes [44]. Also contributing to plant tissue tolerance is reactive oxygen species (ROS) detoxification. It has been shown that significant amounts of ROS are generated in salt-affected plant tissues in both roots and leaves [45,46], and the causal link between salinity and oxidative stress signalling and reactive species detoxification is becoming evident [47]. At the same time, attempts to link plants salinity tolerance with the level of antioxidant activity in their tissues appear to be problematic, with reports being highly controversial and ranging from positive to either negative or no correlations with salinity stress tolerance [47,48].

To the best of our knowledge, only one comprehensive attempt to separate the relative contribution of each of above three major components of salt stress was reported in the literature. Using sophisticated whole-plant imaging facilities to determine the area of healthy leaf and the area of senescing leaf in several einkorn wheat accessions, Rajendran et al. [49] has reported that the most tolerant genotype (judged by relative growth rate under saline conditions) was the Triticum monococcum accession, AUS 18755–4 which was not the best performing in any of the above three major mechanisms contributing to salinity tolerance, namely Na⁺ exclusion, osmotic tolerance, and tissue tolerance, compared to the other eleven accessions studied. On the contrary, this variety had an excellent osmotic tolerance (indexed as 0.95 out of 1) and good tissue tolerance but had rather poor ability to exclude Na⁺ (indexed as only 0.17). However, given the indirect methods of assessment used (e.g. whole-plant phenotyping) and the fact that this work has been conducted on salt-sensitive species (wheat), it remains to be answered to what extent these conclusions can be extrapolated to other species.
Barley is one of the most important cereal crops in the world. While being generally classified as relatively salt tolerant (Maas & Grieve 1984), barley germplasm show a great extent of variability in salinity stress tolerance [38,50]. We used this opportunity to examine the salt tolerance mechanisms at the post-translational level and compared it only with changes observed at the transcript level. Unlike Rajendran et al. [49], our assay has been conducted not only at the whole plant but also at the cellular level (using the non-invasive microelectrode ion flux measuring (MIFE) technique). We also aimed to compare contribution of transcriptional and post-translational factors to the specific components of the overall salinity tolerance. Our results indicate that root K⁺ retention ability and increased tolerance to ROS damage were the main contributing tolerance mechanisms. These traits were pronounced at a post-translational but not a transcriptional level. The overall poor correlation of the change in transcript levels of selected genes in relation to post translational/functional response, demonstrates the importance of post translational modifications in planta.

Methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Glasshouse experiments

Three barley (Hordium vulgare L.) cultivars - Numar (salt tolerant), Naso Nijo (salt sensitive) and Golden Promise (intermediate salinity tolerance) – were used in experiments. Seeds were obtained from the Tasmanian Institute of Agriculture (TIA) and University of Adelaide Waite Barley Breeders. Seeds were planted into 2 L plastic pots containing 70% composted pine bark; 20% coarse sand; 10% sphagnum peat; Limil at 1.8 kg/m³, dolomite at 1.8 kg/m³). The plant nutrient balance was maintained by adding the slow release Osmocote Plus™ fertilizer (at 6 kg/m³), plus ferrous sulphate (at 500 g/m³) [51]. Two levels of NaCl (0 and 150 mM) were applied in five replications and eight plants were grown in each 2 L pot. The plants were grown from seed under controlled greenhouse conditions (temperature between 19 and 26°C; day length, 12 h; average humidity ~65%) at the University of Tasmania between March 2012 and April 2012. The plants were irrigated with salt free water until seedling establishment (approx. for one week) and then after, the plants were irrigated with the respective salt treatments for four weeks.

Electrophysiological experiments

Seeds were surface sterilized by 1.5% (w/v) NaClO and rinsed well with distilled water several times. The seeds were germinated and grown for 3 days in an aerated hydroponic solution containing 0.5 mM KCl and 0.1 mM CaCl₂ in a dark growth chamber at 24 ± 1°C as described elsewhere [26,52]. Plants were used for measurements when their roots were 60 to 70 mm long.

Hydroponic experiments

Barley plants were grown from seeds in 1 L plastic pot in 25% strength of modified Hoagland solution [53] for 3 days where the first leaf fully emerged. To salt stress the plants, 100 mM of NaCl was then added to the hydroponics solution, and plants were grown for additional 8 days. Root and shoot tissues were sub-sampled on days 1, 2, 4, and 8 after salt application. Control plants were grown in 25% strength of Hoagland solution for the entire duration of experiment.

Whole-plant agronomical and physiological characteristics

Shoot fresh (FW) and dry (DW) weight were measured, and relative water content calculated as RWC = (FW-DW)/FW. Before harvesting, leaf chlorophyll content was measured as a SPAD index using a Minolta Chlorophyll Meter SPAD-502 (Konica Minolta, Osaka, Japan) on the third true leaf, at a position about one quarter of the length of the leaf from the leaf tip. Stomatal conductance (Gs) was also measured on the same leaf using a Decagon leaf porometer (Decagon Devices Inc., WA, Australia), under constant light conditions (artificial light of 150 μmol m⁻² s⁻¹). The number of necrotic leaves was also counted at harvest.

Tissue sap ion content

Na⁺ and K⁺ content in plant tissues was determined by the freeze-thaw method essentially as described elsewhere [54]. In brief, roots of hydroponically-grown plants were quickly rinsed in 10 mM CaCl₂ to remove apoplastic Na⁺, blotted dry and then placed into 1.5 mL microfuge tubes and stored at −20°C. Shoot samples were harvested at the same time and also frozen at −20°C. The frozen samples were thawed and the sap squeezed from the tissue using a pointed glass rod. The sap samples were diluted × 100 times with distilled water, and K⁺ and Na⁺ content of the sap determined using a flame photometer (MODEL PFP7 Flame photometer, JENWAY, Bibby Scientific Ltd, UK).

Non-invasive ion flux measurements

Net fluxes of K⁺ and H⁺ were measured using non-invasive ion measurement technique, MIFE (University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia) as described in our previous publications [26,52]. In brief, borosilicate glass microelectrodes with the tip diameter of 2–3 μm were pulled, silanised with tributylchlorosilane (Fluka, Catalogue no. 90796), and then filled with appropriate back-filling solution. Electrode tips were then filled with an appropriate Liquid Ion Exchanger (LIX) (Fluka Catalogue no. 60031 for K⁺; 95297 for H⁺). Microelectrodes were calibrated in
a set of pH and K⁺ standards before and after use. The electrodes were mounted on a 3D-micromanipulator (MMT-5, Narishige, Tokyo, Japan) and the tips of the electrodes were drawn close to each other and positioned 40 μm above the root surface. While measuring, the electrodes were moving between two positions (40 and 80 μm) in a 10 s square-wave manner. The CHART software records the potential difference between these two positions and converted it to electrochemical potential difference considering the Nernst slope value obtained during calibration. These potential difference values were converted into ion flux using MIFEFLUX software utilising cylindrical diffusion geometry (see Newman, 2001). Ion fluxes were measured from excised root segments of 3 to 4 day old seedlings from elongation (~2 mm from the tip) and mature (~10 mm) root zones. Root segments were placed in 10 mL Perspex measuring chamber filled with basic salt medium (BSM; 0.5 KCl mM, 0.1 CaCl₂ mM, pH 5.7 unbuffered) and allowed to equilibrate for ~30 minutes. Steady-state ion fluxes were then recorded for 5–10 min, and then the treatment (either 100 mM NaCl – for salinity stress; or 0.3 mM CuCl₂+1 mM ascorbate – for ROS stress) was administered.

Gene expression studies
Barley cultivars were grown hydroponically until the third leaf was fully emerged (plants were approximately 15 days). The growth solution was changed every seven days. Plants were treated with 100 mM NaCl for 48 hours, their roots and leaves harvested, and snap frozen with liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was extracted following the method of P Chomczynski [55], using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Ambion’s DNA-free (Madison, WI, USA) reagent was used to remove contamination of genomic DNA. To synthesise cDNA, Invitrogen’s superscript III Reverse Transcriptase kit with an oligo(dT)₁₂ primer was used, following the manufacturer’s instructions. Quantitative Real-time PCR was performed as described in [56] using a RG6000 Rotor-Gene real time thermal cycler (Corbett Research, Sydney) and SYBR® green PCR reagent (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Gladesville). Primers were designed to determine the expression of a number of key genes involved in Na⁺ compartmentation and ROS detoxification and included members of the NHX, AHA, RBoHF, SOD and GORK family of genes. Primer sequences can be found in Additional file 1: Table S1. Normalization of the test gene transcript was relative to the control gene (GAPdH2).

Statistical analysis
All the values in this manuscript are presented as mean value ± SE. For mean comparison and statistical significant level pairwise t-test in all possible combination of the treatments was employed using SPSS software version 20 (IBM support portal, USA).

Results
Whole-plant physiological responses
In the glasshouse, salinity stress significantly affected plant growth and biomass production (Figure 1A), resulting in a three- and five-fold reduction in the fresh weight (FW) in the tolerant variety Numar and the sensitive cultivar Naso Nijo (NN), respectively (Figure 1B). The cultivar Golden Promise (GP) displayed an intermediate salinity tolerance with a 4-fold FW reduction in biomass production under salt stress. All the differences were significant at P < 0.001.

Four weeks of salinity stress also resulted in a reductions in leaf chlorophyll content (Figure 2A). This reduction in chlorophyll varied significantly among cultivars, with the salt sensitive NN exhibiting the highest reduction in chlorophyll content (a 4-fold reduction from 29 ± 0.7 to 7.9 ± 1.6 arbitrary units; significant at P < 0.001). The salt-tolerant cultivar Numar, however, increased its leaf chlorophyll content by ~10% (significant at P < 0.05), while the intermediate salt tolerant GP showed no significant reduction in chlorophyll content. The chlorophyll content of the salt grown Numar leaves was 4-fold greater than that measured in salt stressed NN leaves (all the differences are significant at P < 0.01: Figure 2A). The salt-sensitive NN had twice as many necrotic leaves as Numar and GP (Figure 2B).

Salinity stress also resulted in a significant (P < 0.01) decline in stomatal conductance (Gs; Figure 2C) and shoot water content (RWC; Figure 2D). The highest decline in Gs was measured in the sensitive cultivar NN (a five-fold reduction from 53.2 ± 4.7 to 10.4 ± 2.3 mmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Surprisingly, the intermediate cultivar GP outperformed salt tolerant variety Numar (2-fold vs 4.5-fold Gs reduction, respectively; both significant at P < 0.01). However, due to initially higher Gs values in control conditions for Numar, the difference in Gs between salt-grown GP and Numar genotypes was not statistically significant (at P < 0.05). Both these varieties retained significantly (P < 0.01) more water in the shoot compared with salt-sensitive NN (Figure 2D).

Tissue ionic relations
Hydroponic experiments demonstrated that salt-tolerant Numar accumulated less Na⁺ in the root (Figure 3A) compared with two other cultivars, throughout the whole salt stress period. At the same time, Numar plants had twice as much Na⁺ in the shoot sap compared with other cultivar on day 4 (Figure 3B), however, similar shoot Na⁺ were observed between all three cultivars by day 8 (Figure 3B). There was no significant difference in either root or shoot Na⁺ accumulation between the three
Figure 1 Growth responses and agronomical characteristics of three barley cultivars (Numar, Golden Promise, and Naso Nijo) treated with 150 mM NaCl for 4 weeks. A - plant phenotype under control and salt conditions; B - shoot fresh weight; C - shoot dry weight. Open bar - control; closed bar - salt. Mean ± SE (n = 30).

Figure 2 Whole-plant physiological characteristics in control- and salt-grown (150 mM NaCl for 4 weeks) plants. A - chlorophyll content (SPAD readings); B - number of necrotic leaves; C - stomatal conductance (Gs); D - relative water content. Open bar - control; closed bar - salt. Mean ± SE (n = 10 and 30 for A-C and D, respectively).
cultivars when grown in the absence of salt (data not shown).

Salinity stress resulted in a rapid and progressive decline in root K⁺ content in salt-sensitive cultivar NN (a 2-fold decrease from 128.4 ± 31 to 62.4 ± 2.7 mM over 8 days of 100 mM NaCl treatment; Figure 3C), while over the same time period, root K⁺ content did not change significantly in salt-tolerant cultivar Numar. The root K⁺ content in the intermediate salt tolerant cultivar GP also declined substantially, but not to the same extent as in NN (Figure 3C). Interestingly, while root K⁺ content dropped sharply in cultivars NN and GP, their shoot K⁺ content increased approximately 2 fold over the same time period (Figure 3D), suggesting a possible retranslocation of K⁺ from root to shoot. This observation was not seen for Numar (Figure 3D). The mean values for root K⁺ content in controls were 111.2 ± 11.6, 153 ± 4.5 and 146.7 ± 1.9 mM, for NN, GP and Numar, respectively. As a result of better K⁺ retention and less Na⁺ accumulation, root Na⁺/K⁺ ratio was 2.5 fold higher in salt sensitive NN compared with tolerant Numar (2.57 vs 1.03, respectively; Figure 3).

Salinity- and hydroxyl radical-induced ion flux kinetics

Similar to our previous reports on barley [36,38,48], acute NaCl treatment induced massive K⁺ efflux from the epidermal cells of the root (Figure 4). Epidermal cells in the elongation zone had approximately 5-fold higher K⁺ efflux when compared with those in mature zone (Figures 4A and B, respectively; significant at P < 0.01). Responses of cultivar GP and Numar were very similar (no difference at P < 0.05) while the magnitude of NaCl-induced K⁺ leak from the roots of the salt-sensitive NN was approximately 4-fold greater than the response seen in the other two cultivars (Figure 4; significant at P < 0.05). Salinity treatment also induced a rapid (within one minute) net H⁺ efflux from both the elongation and mature root zones (Figure 5). This activation was strongest for GP, followed by Numar and then NN (Figure 5). The difference in H⁺ efflux amongst cultivars was significant at p < 0.01; and so was the difference between elongation and mature zones (Figures 5A and B). The NaCl-induced H⁺ efflux was more pronounced in the elongation zone in comparison to the mature zone.

Consistent with previous observations [43,57], addition of hydroxyl radical-generating Cu/ascorbate mix also triggered massive K⁺ efflux from plant roots (Figure 6). Similar to NaCl stress, responses from elongation zone for each cultivar was significantly (P < 0.05) stronger compared with the mature root zone (Figure 6A and 6B, respectively). ROS induced K⁺ efflux was higher in the salinity sensitive cultivar NN followed by intermediate cultivar GP.
GP variety and then the tolerant cultivar Numar (Figure 6B; significant at P < 0.05).

Transcriptional changes in the gene expression profile

The expression of a number of key genes potentially involved in plant adaptive responses to salinity was examined in hydroponically-grown plants (Figures 7 and 8; Table 1). This included NHX (encoding tonoplast Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger and thus enabling vacuolar Na⁺ sequestration; [28-30,32,33]); AHA (confers activity of the P-type H⁺-ATPase and, thus, is critical for membrane potential maintenance and also “fueling” of SOS1 and NHX Na⁺/H⁺ exchangers; [20]), and genes involved in ROS production (RBoHF, encoding NADPH oxidase, [58,59]) and detoxification (SOD, Superoxide dismutase [45,57]). The expression pattern of GORK was also examined, given the essential role cytosolic K⁺ retention plays in salinity tolerance in barley [36,38] with the GORK channel having a key role in this process [60]. All these genes were found to be the major contributors to plant salt tolerance in numerous previous studies [28-33,36,38,45,57,60,61].

As shown in Figures 7 and 8, there was a large range in the transcriptional response in the eight genes studied to salt application in both leaves and roots. However no clear patterns of gene expression could be observed at this specific time point which could explain the difference in tolerance between the three cultivars. Of three homologues to the AtNHX gene, which was originally suggested to encode a protein involved in Na⁺ sequestration into the vacuoles, a 2-fold increase in NHX1 transcript level was measured both in the leaf and root of the salt sensitive NN (Figure 7). No significant changes in NHX1 expression were measured in the leaf and root of the salt-tolerant Numar plants, while in the intermediate GP significant NHX1 upregulation was only observed in the leaves (Figure 7). NHX2 transcript levels went down in both leaves and roots in cultivars Numar and GP but not in salt-sensitive NN (Figure 7), while no clear patterns were observed for NHX3 transcripts.

During salinity treatment there was a relative increase in the expression of the barley homologue AHA2 in the roots of both Numar and NN, while a significant induction was observed only in the leaves of NN (Figure 7). NHX2 transcript levels went down in both leaves and roots in cultivars Numar and GP but not in salt-sensitive NN (Figure 7), while no clear patterns were observed for NHX3 transcripts.

The relative gene expression of the barley homologue of RBoHF1, important in encoding for NADPH oxidase was down-regulated significantly (P < 0.01) by about 2-fold
in the leaves of all the cultivars after salt application (Figure 8), however, no clear patterns in the roots were detected. *FBoHF2*, also involved in the production of NADPH oxidase was only slightly down-regulated after salt application (significant for Numar in leaves and NN and GP in roots; Figure 8). The barley homologue of *SOD2* relative gene expression showed small increase in leaves of GP cultivar but no significant changes for any other varieties in either tissue (Figure 8).

The largest and most striking difference was observed for NaCl-induced changes in the relative transcript abundance of the barley homologue of *GORK* in barley roots, with 4 to 5-fold increase reported for all cultivars (Figure 8; all significant at P < 0.01). In leaves, small but significant (at P < 0.05) increase in *GORK* transcript level was measured in the salt-sensitive NN while the *GORK* transcripts in the leaf of salt-tolerant Numar were reduced (Figure 8).

**Discussion**

No obvious relationship between gene expression in seedlings and overall barley performance under saline conditions

Vacuolar sodium sequestration is essential to avoid Na⁺ cytotoxicity, and increased activity of *NHX* tonoplast Na⁺/H⁺ exchangers was shown to enhance salinity tolerance in plants [29,62]. However, in the work presented here a 2-fold increase in *NHX1* transcript level was measured in both leaves and roots of salt-sensitive NN
(Figure 7), while no significant (at $P < 0.05$) changes were measured in salt-tolerant Numar plants in either tissue. Consistent with this, $NHX2$ transcript levels went down in both tissues in cultivars Numar and GP but not in salt-sensitive NN (Figure 7). Thus, it appears that at the measured time point changes in transcript levels of the $NHX$s cannot be used to predict the salinity stress tolerance of barley in our experiments.

Several possible explanations should be considered for these observations. First, as described above, there is recent evidence that the major role of $AtNHX1$ may be in $K^+$ but not $Na^+$ transport [34], thus the genes studied here may not encode proteins involved in $Na^+$ compartment. Second, the higher $NaCl$ induced expression of $NHX$s in the shoots of the salt-sensitive NN could be to compensate for its inability to prevent $Na^+$ delivery to
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Figure 8 Expression of barley RBoHF1 (Respiratory oxidative burst homologue/NADPH oxidase), RBoHF2, SOD2 and GORK genes in leaf and root tissues after 48 h of 100 mM NaCl treatment. Mean ± SE ($n = 12-15$).

| Gene name   | % Fold change (p-value) | Leaf Cultivar | Root Cultivar |
|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|
|             |                         | NN GP Numar   | NN GP Numar   |
| $Hv-NHX1$   | 200 (0.005)             | 160 (0.02)    | 95 (NS)       |
| $Hv-NHX2$   | −94 (NS)               | −80 (0.0001)  | −68 (0.0001)  |
| $Hv-NHX3$   | 104 (NS)               | 118 (0.01)    | −73 (0.0009)  |
| $Hv-RBoHF1$ | −60 (0.0001)           | −39 (0.0001)  | −49 (0.0001)  |
| $Hv-RBoHF2$ | 131 (NS)               | −78 (NS)      | −74 (0.0001)  |
| $Hv-PMMATPase$ | 212 (0.0001)     | −84 (NS)     | 99 (NS)       |
| $Hv-SOD2$   | 107 (NS)               | 136 (0.0001)  | 97 (NS)       |
| $Hv-GORK$   | 137 (0.0006)           | 129 (NS)      | −74 (0.0001)  |

NS=Non-significant; − sign indicate down-regulation.
the shoot (e.g. a need to deal with the consequences of cytosolic Na\(^+\) accumulation). However, this explanation is unlikely as the shoot Na\(^+\) content of the salt tolerant Numar was higher than that of NN between days 2 and 4 of salt stress (the time when gene expression of the NHXs were assessed). Another explanation is that post-translational modifications such as protein folding are much more important that gene expression to have a proper functional response – the proteins are already present at desired concentrations (therefore gene expression is not required), however, need to be activated by post-translational modifications, a process which allows a plant to respond faster to stress than relying solely on gene expression. It should also be noted that only one time point was used for the expression studies, and expression of genes encoding salt tolerance gene has been shown to fluctuate between days (e.g. HVP10 in [63]). Lastly, it should also be noted the NHX1 and NHX2 genes in barley are homologues of AtNHX1 and, while having similar nucleotide sequence may not necessarily confer the protein(s) function as AtNHX1 in Arabidopsis.

The above notion that measuring the changes in transcript levels at one time point early on during seedling growth does not allow the ability to predict barley salinity stress tolerance is further corroborated by the study of plant oxidative stress responses. No significant difference in the relative expressions of RBoHF1 and RBoHF2 which encode the NADPH oxidase/Nox (one of the major sources of ROS production under saline conditions; [58,59]) were found in roots of the salt sensitive NN and salt tolerant Numar cultivars (Figure 8). Similarly, salt stress did not result in any significant changes in SOD expression level in either root or leaf tissues of these varieties (Figure 8). At the same time, NN roots were twice more sensitive to ROS treatment (Figure 6B).

Other evidence comes from comparing the NaCl-induced net H\(^+\) fluxes measured in barley roots (Figure 5) with the changes in the AHA (encoding plasma membrane H\(^+\)-ATPase) transcript levels (Figure 7). H\(^+\)-ATPase activity is indispensable for maintaining membrane potential (MG Palmgren and P Nissen [20]), and intrinsically higher H\(^+\)-ATPase activity was shown to correlate with salinity tolerance in barley [38]. In this study, the GP cultivar showed consistently higher proton efflux in both elongation and mature zone compared with two other cultivars under hydronic conditions (Figure 5A and 5B). However, the expression of the AHA barley homologue was shown to be lower compared to the other two cultivars suggesting that at this time point H\(^+\) pumping activity may be higher in GP cultivar.

It should be also added that plant responses to salinity stress may differ dramatically between hydroponics and soil systems [64]. While soils are extremely complex and heterogeneous media, with pronounced physical, chemical, and biological gradients observed in root rhizosphere, ionic conditions around roots are more or less uniform in hydroponics. Specifically, no depletion zones will be present in the latter case; salinity build-up in the rhizosphere will be also prevented by the continuous solution mixing. Thus, to properly adapt to such conditions plant roots may require a different set of transporters as compared with the soil system. Also different may be the gene expression patterns.

**Plant tissue tolerance was a dominating component that has determined the overall plant responses to salinity**

Salinity tolerance is a physiologically multi-faceted trait attributed to multiple mechanisms; these can be roughly divided into ionic, osmotic and oxidative components [6]. While all of them are indeed important, their relative contribution may differ, depending on plant species, stress severity and duration, and experimental conditions. In this work we have attempted to quantify the relative contribution of each of these components towards the overall salinity stress tolerance in barley.

The tolerant cultivar Numar maintained root K\(^+\) content at a constant level throughout the eight day salt stress period, while the two other varieties showed a progressive decline in root K\(^+\) content (with the greatest decline in the salt-sensitive NN) (Figure 3C). This was further corroborated in MIFE experiments measuring NaCl-induced K\(^+\) efflux from barley roots (Figure 4). The rationale behind this experiment is that when Na\(^+\) is absorbed from extracellular space, the membrane gets depolarised and this depolarisation of cell membrane initiates potassium leak as a result of the activation of depolarisation activated outward-rectified potassium channels (KOR) [38,65]. This reduces the K\(^+\) content in the cytosol negatively affecting cell metabolism [60] and in turn brings about programmed cell death [43,66]. Potassium loss from the epidermal cell in the elongation and mature zone of three barley plants was studied and the highest potassium loss was observed in the salt sensitive cultivar NN followed by the tolerant cultivars (Numar and GP) in both zones (Figure 4A and B), reflecting overall tolerance estimated by agronomical (biomass accumulation; Figure 1) and whole-plant physiological (chlorophyll content; Figure 2) characteristics. At the same time, osmotic tolerance appears to be not central to the overall plant performance under saline conditions. Indeed, the intermediate salt tolerant GP outperformed the salt-tolerant cultivar Numar, having highest Gs and shoot water content values under saline conditions (Figure 2). This corroborates the point made by K Rajendran, M Tester and S Roy [49] that superiority in one salt tolerant component does not guarantee an enhanced overall salt tolerance performance.

Gas exchange (Gs) could be considered as a yield determinant and a valuable tool as a physiological
trait that can readily be used as a breeding tool [67,68]. Carbon entry and transpiration occurs using open stomata which both helps to increase photosynthesis and nutrient absorption from the growth media. However, under saline conditions, the stomata tend to close to prevent water loss as it is a scarce resource due to osmotic imbalance. Thus, higher Gs values will ensure better CO₂ assimilation ability (and, hence, higher yield) only when plants have a biochemical and physiological capacity to fully utilise it. This means that higher Gs values must be complemented by higher shoot tissue tolerance, to ensure efficient leaf photochemistry under saline conditions.

To our great surprise, the expression of the barley homologue of GORK gene showed high up-regulation in all cultivars in our hydroponics assay. This is counterintuitive, as the opposite effect would be hypothesised due to the very strong correlation between barley salinity stress tolerance and its ability to prevent NaCl-induced K⁺ leak from roots [36,38,39]. These findings, however, may be due to the differences between the experimental systems used or due to the notion that transcriptional changes appear to be causally unrelated to functional plant responses. It could be suggested that the post-translational phenomena of assembly might play a role in the regulation of this gene as it is fundamental for the pore formation and electric activity to form tetramer of its α-subunit [69]. Furthermore, the availability of the α-subunits and its functional tetrameric assembly is of paramount importance for the cell [70].

Another fact supporting the essential role of tissue tolerance in overall plant performance under saline conditions could be found in kinetics of Na⁺ accumulation in the shoot (Figure 3). Consistent with previous reports [26,27,47], the tolerant cultivar Numar tends to send more Na⁺ to the shoot via the transpiration stream and use it as a cheap osmoticum to maintain shoot turgor, while sensitive variety NN delayed this process favouring Na⁺ accumulation in the roots (Figure 3A and B). Despite this, Numar plants were able to maintain higher chlorophyll levels while massive chlorosis was observed in NN variety (Figure 3). As the volume of the leaf epidermis is small; the explanation for Na⁺ sequestration would be vacuolar compartmentalisation in the mesophyll cells. Consistent with this, salinity treatment caused an increase in the size of palisade parenchyma cells; this increase was much higher in the tolerant barley cultivars [26]. A similar phenomena is widespread in halophytes [4], species considered to be most tolerant to salt stress. Halophytes also use additional tissue tolerance mechanism such as salt bladders to accumulate excess Na⁺ away from the photosynthetic tissue.

**Hydroxyl radical-induced K⁺ loss is negatively correlated with salinity stress tolerance**

In addition to the two above components of the tissue tolerance mechanism, namely better K⁺ retention by roots and more efficient vacuolar Na⁺ sequestration in shoots, salinity stress tolerance in barley is also correlated strongly with its ability to prevent hydroxyl radical/induced K⁺ loss (Figure 6). The highest potassium efflux was observed from the salt sensitive cultivar NN, followed by GP (intermediate) and Numar (tolerant). The hydroxyl radical-induced effects were higher in the mature root zone (Figure 6A and B). These findings are consistent with previous reports for root sensitivity to H₂O₂ [38,48] suggesting that sensitivity to oxidative stress is an essential component of the tissue tolerance mechanism.

An increase in ROS production under salinity stress is attributable to activity of NADPH oxidase, a cell wall-associated peroxidase that generates O₂⁻ by oxidizing NADPH and transferring the electron to oxygen (O₂) [61]. Accordingly, the regulation of the gene at the transcript level was studied. The relative gene expression at the transcript level of the barley homologue RBoHF gene for all of the cultivars was significantly down-regulated but only in leaves but not roots (Figure 8). This down-regulation was least in salt-sensitive NN, suggesting a possible causal link between NADPH oxidase activity and tissue tolerance mechanisms in salinised mesophyll tissues. However, unlike the leaf, in the root the transcript abundance was non-significant, except for GP (Figure 8).

Potassium efflux induced by hydroxyl radicals is mediated by two major transport systems: (i) non-selective cation channel (NSCC) and (ii) depolarization-activated K⁺-selective outward rectifying channel [43,71]. High cytosolic K⁺ levels are also essential to suppress activity of caspase-like proteases and endonucleases, both in mammalian [72,73] and plant [42,43] systems. Decrease in the cytosolic K⁺ pool in plant roots may result in activation of these catabolic enzymes triggering programmed cell death (PCD), especially in sensitive root apex cells (Figure 6).

**Conclusion**

In this study, we show that much of the genes that have been known to encode key physiological mechanisms conferring plant salt stress tolerance have lower explanatory value towards the contribution of this gene towards plants adaptive responses to salinity, when assessed at the transcriptional level. This was likely to do with focusing on the transcriptional response at a particular time point that may not necessarily reflect the overall plant performance during the life span of experiment. Post-translational (e.g. functional) modifications may be another reason to explain this apparent controversy. For
better tissue tolerance, sodium sequestration, K⁺ retention and resistance to oxidative stress all appeared to be crucial. These traits seem to be highly interrelated, as cytosolic K⁺ retention is essential for the optimal vacuolar H⁺ pump operations [4] required to fuel NHX Na⁺/H⁺ exchanger to enable Na⁺ sequestration. Cytosolic K⁺ homeostasis, in its turn, is strongly affected by the sensitivity of plasma membrane transporters to ROS and the plant’s ability to prevent stress-induced hydroxyl radical accumulation in stressed tissues. Thus, it appears that major progress in crop breeding for salinity tolerance can be achieved only if these complementary traits are targeted at the same time.

Additional file

Additional file 1: Table S1. The Primers used in the gene expression study and their respective amplicon size.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author’s contributions

GA conducted the bulk of experiments and wrote the paper draft. SS was responsible for experimental design and data interpretation, and took the leading role in writing. SR was leading the transcriptomic work, critically responsible for experimental design and data interpretation, and took the leading role in writing. GA conducted the bulk of experiments and wrote the paper draft. SS was responsible for experimental design and data interpretation, and took the leading role in writing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Australian Research Council and Grain Research and Development Corporation grants to Sergey Shabala and to the Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics.

Author details

1. School of Land and Food, University of Tasmania, Private Bag 54, Hobart Tas 7001, Australia. 2. Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics, Private Mail Bag 1, Glen Osmond SA 5064, Australia. 3. University of Adelaide, Private Mail Bag 7001, Australia. 4. Australian Centre for Plant Functional Genomics, Private Mail Bag 1, Glen Osmond SA 5064, Australia.

Received: 14 January 2014 Accepted: 24 April 2014

Published: 28 April 2014

References

1. Qadir M, Tubeileh A, Akhtar J, Larbi A, Minhas P, Khan M: Productivity enhancement of salt-affected environments through crop diversification. Land Degrad Dev 2003, 19:429-453.

2. Flowers T, Galan H, Bromham L: Evolution of halophytes: multiple origins of salt tolerance in land plants. Funct Plant Biol 2010, 37:604-612.

3. Munns R, James RA, Xu B, Athman A, Conn SJ, Jordans C, Byrt CS, Hare RA, Tyerman SD, Tester M, Piessens M, Gillham N: Wheat grain yield on saline soils is improved by an ancestral Na⁺ + transporter gene. Nat Biotechnol 2012, 30(4):360-364.

4. Shabala S: Learning from halophytes:physiological basis and strategies to improve abiotic stress tolerance in crops. Ann Bot 2013, 112(7):1209–1221.

5. Zhu J-K: Regulation of ion homeostasis under salt stress. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2003, 6(5):441–445.

6. Munns R, Tester M: Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. Annu Rev Plant Biol 2008, 59:651–681.

7. Shabala S, Munns R: Salinity stress: physiological constraints and adaptive mechanisms. UK: CAB; 2012.

8. Piessens M, Gillham N: Na⁺ transport in glycophytic plants: what we know and would like to know. Plant Cell Environ 2010, 33(4):612–626.

9. Roy SJ, Huang W, Wang XL, Evrard A, Schmool CM, Zafar ZU, Tester M: A novel protein kinase involved in Na plus exclusion revealed from positional cloning. Plant Cell Environ 2013, 36(3):553–568.

10. Shabala S, Shabala L: Ion transport and osmotic adjustment in plants and bacteria. Biomedical Concept 2011, 2:407–419.

11. Hasegawa FM, Bressan RA, Zhu J-K, Bohmert HJ: Plant cellular and molecular responses to high salinity. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 2000, 51:463–499.

12. Zhou SF, Chen XY, Zhang XG, Li YX: Improved salt tolerance in tobacco plants by co-transformation of a betaine synthesis gene Bnadh and a vacuolar Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter gene SeNHX1. Biotechnol Lett 2008, 30(2):369–376.

13. Holst-Romkens KO, Somersalo S, Mandal A, Palva TE, Weilm B: Improved tolerance to salinity and low temperature in transgenic tobacco producing glycinine betaine. J Exp Bot 2000, 51(343):177–185.

14. Lulis G, Holmberg N, Bullow L: Enhanced NaCl stress tolerance in transgenic tobacco expressing bacterial choline dehydrogenase. Bio-Technology 1996, 14(2):177–180.

15. Takashi H, Alaa, Sakamoto A, Nonaka H, Chen THH, Murata N: Enhanced germination under high-salt conditions of seeds of transgenic Arabidopsis with a bacterial gene (codA) for choline oxidase. J Plant Res 1998, 111(102):357–362.

16. Flowers TJ: Improving crop salt tolerance. J Exp Bot 2004, 55(396):307–319.

17. Senaj R, Sinclair TR: Osmolyte accumulation: can it really help increase crop yield under drought conditions? Plant Cell Environ 2002, 25(2):333–341.

18. Shi HZ, Lee BH, Wu SJ, Zhu JK: Overexpression of a plasma membrane Na⁺+H⁺ antiporter gene improves salt tolerance in Arabidopsis thaliana. Nat Biotechnol 2003, 21(1):81–85.

19. Wu SJ, Ding L, Zhu JK: SOS1, a genetic locus essential for salt tolerance and potassium acquisition. Plant Cell 1996, 8(6):617–627.

20. Palmgren MG, Nissen P: P-Type ATPases. In Annual Review of Biophysics, Vol 40. Edited by Rees DC, Dill KA, Williamson JR, 2011:233–246.

21. Yue YS, Zhang MC, Zhang JC, Duan LS, Li ZH: SOS1 gene overexpression increased salt tolerance in transgenic tobacco by maintaining a higher K⁺/Na⁺ ratio. J Plant Physiol 2012, 169(3):255–261.

22. Tester M, Driver P: Mechanism of salinity tolerance: Na⁺ tolerance and Na⁺ transport in higher plants. Ann Bot 2003, 91:503–527.

23. Davenport RJ, Munoz-Moyzar A, Jha D, Essah PA, Rus A, Tester M: The Na⁺ transporter AtHKT1:1 controls retrieval of Na⁺ from the xylem in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Environ 2007, 30(4):497–507.

24. Berthomieu P, Conejero G, Nublat A, Brackenbury WJ, Lambert C, Savio C, Uozumi N, Ook, Sylam NY, Rellar, F, Gost, F, Simonneau T, Essah PA, Tester M, Very A-A, Santenac H, Case F: Functional analysis of AtHKT1:1 in Arabidopsis shows that Na⁺ recirculation by the phloem is crucial for salt tolerance. EMBO J 2003, 22(9):2004–2014.

25. Garcicablas B, Senn ME, Banuelos MA, Rodriguez-Navao A: Sodium transport and HKT transporters: the rice model. Plant J 2003, 34(6):788–801.

26. Shabala S, Shabala S, Guin TA, Pang YJ, Percy W, Chen ZH, Conn S, Eing C, Wegner LH: Xylem ions relations and salinity tolerance in barley. Plant J 2010, 61(3):839–853.

27. Miao A, Oomen R, Isayenkov S, Senentac H, Maathuis FJM, Very AA: Over-expression of an Na⁺ and K⁺ permeable HKT transporter in barley improves salinity tolerance. Plant J 2011, 68(3):468–479.

28. Blumwald E: Sodium transport and salinity tolerance in plants. Curr Opin Plant Biol 2002, 12(4):431–434.

29. Apsel MP, Aharon GS, Snedden WA, Blumwald E: Salt tolerance conferred by overexpression of a vacuolar Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter in Arabidopsis. Science 1999, 285(5429):1256–1259.

30. Gaxiola RA, Rao R, Sherman A, Grisafi P, Alper SL, Fink GR: The Arabidopsis thaliana proton transporters, AtHx1 and AtApv1, can function in cation detoxification in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1999, 96(1):480–485.

31. Fukuda A, Chiba K, Naeda M, Nakamura A, Maeshima M, Tanaka Y: Effect of salt and osmotic stresses on the expression of genes for the Vacuolar H⁺-pyrophosphatase, H⁺-ATPase subunit A, and Na⁺/H⁺ antiporter from barley. J Exp Bot 2004, 55(397):585–594.

32. Aharon GS, Apsel MP, Duan SL, Hua XJ, Blumwald E: Characterization of a family of vacuolar Na⁺/H⁺ antiporters in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant and Soil 2003, 233(1):245–256.
33. Yokoi S, Quintero FJ, Cubero B, Ruiz MT, Bressan RA, Hasegawa PM, Pardo JM: Differential expression and function of Arabidopsis thaliana NHX Na+/H+ antiporters in the salt stress response. Plant J 2002, 30(5):529–539.

34. Bais E, Taima H, Liang YC, Otma MA, Ushijima K, Nakano R, Esumi T, Cokku A, Pelmonte M, Ilumma L, Bluming E: The Arabidopsis Na+/H+ Antiporters NHX1 and NHX2 Control vacuolar pH and K+ homeostasis to regulate growth, flower development, and reproduction. Plant Cell 2011, 23(12):3482-3497.

35. Barragan V, Leidi EO, Andres Z, Rubio L, De Luca A, Fernandez JA, Cubero B, Pardo JM: Ion exchangers NHX1 and NHX2 Mediate active potassium uptake into vacuoles to regulate cell turgor and stomatal function in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 2012, 24(1):1127–1142.

36. Chen Z, Newman I, Zhou M, Mendham N, Zhang G, Shabala S: Screening plants for salt tolerance by measuring K+ flux: a case study for barley. Plant Cell Environ 2005, 28(10):1230–1246.

37. Chen Z, Shabala S, Mendham N, Newman I, Zhang G, Zhou M: Combining ability of salinity tolerance on the basis of NaCl-induced K+ flux from roots of barley. Crop Sci 2008, 48(4):1362–1388.

38. Chen Z, Zhou M, Newman IA, Mendham NJ, Zhang G, Shabala S: Potassium and sodium relations in salinized barley tissues as a basis of differential salt tolerance. Funct Plant Biol 2007, 34(2):150–162.

39. Chen ZH, Pottosin I, Cuiu TA, Fuglsang AT, Tester M, Jha D, Zepeda-Jazo I, Zhou MX, Paimgren MG, Newman IA, Shabala S: Root plasma membrane transporters controlling K+/Na+ homeostasis in salt-stressed barley. Plant Cell Physiol 2007, 48(8):1714–1725.

40. Wu HH, Shabala L, Barry K, Zhou MX, Shabala S: Ability of leaf mesophyll to retain potassium correlates with salinity tolerance in wheat and barley. Physiol Plant 2013, 149(4):515–527.

41. Smethurst CF, Rix K, Garnett T, Auricht G, Bayart A, Lane P, Wilson SJ, Shabala S: Multiple traits associated with salt tolerance in lucerne: revealing the underlying cellular mechanisms. Funct Plant Biol 2008, 35(7):640–650.

42. Shabala S, Cui TA, Prislavil L, Nemchinov LG: Expression of animal CED-9 anti-apoptotic gene in tobacco modifies plasma membrane ion fluxes in response to salinity and oxidative stress. Plantana 2007, 227(1):189–197.

43. Demidchik V, Cui TA, Sivstuenko D, Smith SJ, Miller AJ, Shabala S, Sokolik A, Yurin V: Arabidopsis root K+–efflux conductance activated by hydroxyl radicals: single-channel properties, genetic basis and involvement in stress-induced cell death. J Cell Sci 2010, 123(8):1488–1497.

44. Wyn Jones RG, Brady CJ, Speirs J: Recent advances in cereal biochemistry; 1979.

45. Mittler R: Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci 2002, 7(9):405–410.

46. Miller G, Shulaev V, Mittler R: Reactive oxygen signaling and abiotic stress. Plant Physiol 2008, 146(3):481–489.

47. Bose J, Rodriguez-Moreno A, Shabala S: ROS homeostasis in halophytes in the context salinity stress tolerance. J Exp Bot 2013, 65(5):1241–1257.

48. Maksmovic JD, Zhang YJ, Zeng FR, Zivanovic BD, Shabala L, Zhou MX, Shabala S: Linking oxidative and salinity stress tolerance in barley: can root antioxidant enzyme activity be used as a measure of stress tolerance? Plant and Soil 2013, 365(1–2):1245–1255.

49. Rajendran K, Tester M, Roy SJ: Quantifying the three main components of salinity tolerance in cereals. Plant Cell Environ 2009, 32(3):327–349.

50. Dai F, Nexo E, Wu DZ, Comadran J, Zhou MX, Qiu L, Chen ZH, Beiles A, Chen GX, Zhang GP: Tibet is one of the centers of domestication of cultivated barley. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012, 109(2):16969–16973.

51. Bonalles-Alatorre E, Pottosin I, Shabala L, Chen ZH, Zeng F, Jacobsen S-E, Shabala S: Differential activity of plasma and vacuolar membrane transporters contributes to genotypic differences in salinity tolerance in halophyte species, Chenopodium quinoa. Int J Mol Sci 2013, 14:9267–9285.

52. Shabala S: Ionic and osmotic components of salt stress specifically modulate net ion fluxes from bean leaf mesophyll. Plant Cell Environ 2000, 23(6):825–837.

53. Epstein E: Mineral nutrition of plants: principles and perspectives. London: John Wiley and Sons, UK; 1972.

54. Cui TA, Tian Y, Betts SA, Chalmandrier R, Shabala S: Ionic relations and osmotic adjustment in durum and bread wheat under saline conditions. Funct Plant Biol 2009, 36(12):1110–1119.

55. Chomiczynski P: A reagent for the single-step simultaneous isolation of RNA, DNA and Protein from cell and tissue samples. Biotechniques 1993, 15(3):532–537.

56. Burton RA, Jobling SA, Harvey AJ, Shirley NJ, Mather DE, Bacic A, Fincher GB: The genetics and transcriptional profiles of the cellulose synthase-like HvCslE gene family in barley. Plant Physiol 2008, 146(4):1821–1833.

57. Cui TA, Shabala S: Compatible solutes reduce ROS-induced potassium efflux in Arabidopsis roots. Plant Cell Environ 2007, 30(7):875–885.

58. Kavano T: Roles of the reactive oxygen species-generating peroxidase reactions in plant defense and growth induction. Plant Cell Rep 2003, 21(8):829–837.

59. Bolwell GP, Wojtaszek P: Mechanisms for the generation of reactive oxygen species in plant defence - a broad perspective. Physiol Mol Plant Pathol 1997, 51(3):347–366.

60. Shabala S, Cui TA: Potassium transport and plant salt tolerance. Physiol Plant 2008, 133(6):651–669.

61. Sagi M, Flurh R: Production of reactive oxygen species by plant NADPH oxidases. Plant Physiol 2006, 141(2):336–340.

62. Blumwald E, Aharon GS, Apse MP: Sodium transport in plant cells. Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Biomembranes 2000, 1465(1–2):140–151.

63. Shavrukov Y, Bovill J, Milz J, Hayes E, Roy SJ, Tester M, Collins NC: HVP10 encoding V-PPase is a prime candidate for the barley HvNax3 sodium exclusion gene: evidence from fine mapping and expression analysis. Plantana 2013, 237(4):1111–1122.

64. Tavakkoli E, Rengasamy P, McDonald GN: High concentrations of Na+ and Cl− ions in soil solution have simultaneous detrimental effects on growth of faba bean under salinity stress. J Exp Bot 2010, 61(15):4449–4459.

65. Shabala S, Demidchik V, Shabala L, Cui TA, Smith SJ, Miller AJ, Davies JM, Newman IA: Extracellular Ca2+ ameliorates NaCl-induced K+ loss from Arabidopsis root and leaf cells by controlling plasma membrane K+ permeable channels. Plant Physiol 2006, 141(4):1653–1666.

66. Shabala S: Salinity and programmed cell death: unravelling mechanisms for ion specific signalling. J Exp Bot 2009, 60(3):709–711.

67. Lu Z, Percy R, Quislet C, Zeiger E: Stomatal conductance predicts yields in irrigated Pima cotton and bread wheat grown at high temperatures. J Exp Bot 1998, 49(Special Issue):453–460.

68. Richards RA: Selectable traits to increase crop photosynthesis and yield of grain crops. J Exp Bot 2000, 51(GMP Special Issue):447–458.

69. Dreyer I, Antunes S, Hothii T, MullerRober B, Palmre K, Pongs O, Reinertz B, Heidrich R: Plant K+ channel alpha-subunits assemble indiscriminately. Biophys J 1997, 72(8):2143–2150.

70. Dreyer I, Poree F, Schneider A, Mittelstadt J, Berti A, Sorentac H, Thibaud JB, Mueller-Roemer B: Assembly of plant Shaker-like K+ channels requires two distinct sites of the channel alpha-subunit. Biophys J 2004, 87(2):858–872.

71. Demidchik V, Shabala SN, Coutts KB, Tester MA, Davies JM: Free oxygen radicals regulate plasma membrane Ca2+ and K+–permeable channels in plant root cells. J Cell Sci 2003, 116(1):381–388.

72. Hughes FM, Cidlowski JA: Glucocorticoid-induced tyrosine apoptosis: Protease-dependent activation of cell shrinkage and DNA degradation. J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol 1998, 65(1–6):207–217.

73. Hughes FM, Cidlowski JA: Potassium is a critical regulator of apoptotic enzymes in vitro and in vivo. In Advances in Enzyme Regulation, Vol 39. Edited by Weber G. 1999:157–171.

Cite this article as: Adem et al.: Evaluating contribution of ionic, osmotic and oxidative stress components towards salinity tolerance in barley. BMC Plant Biology 2014 14:113.