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Abstract
The purpose of this paper is to give a sufficient condition for the existence and stability of a hybrid limit cycle for the stabilizing control of a class of switched dynamical systems in \(\mathbb{R}^2\). This is then illustrated on an Induction Heating Appliance.
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Introduction
Stability theory plays a central role in systems theory and engineering. There are different kinds of stability problems that arise in the study of dynamical systems. In recent years, the problem of stability and stabilization of switched systems has attracted a considerable attention from control community [1-9].

Switched dynamical systems (SDS) are an important class of hybrid systems, which consist of a family of continuous-time or discrete-time subsystems and a switching law that specifies the switching between them [10]. The SDS [2] are found in many fields of application: transport, embedded systems, electronics power, aeronautics, chemical engineering, pharmaceutical, etc. It can be seen in these applications that interactions between discrete events and continuous phenomena give rise to complex system behavior that can only be properly controlled if the hybrid phenomena (continuous and discrete features, and interactions between them) are fully taken into consideration [3,5].

Limit cycles are one of the most important phenomena in nonlinear dynamical systems, and applied in many engineering fields [6]. While stability analysis of limit cycle is a fundamental problem and many theories such as Lyapunov function methods have been proposed, the problem of synthesizing a nonlinear system which has a stable limit cycle is also important [7,8].

In this paper we consider the following time invariant switched dynamic system (SDS) in \(\mathbb{R}^2\):

\[
\dot{y} = v_i Y_i(y) + u_1 Y_1(y) + u_2 Y_2(y)
\]  

(1)

where \(Y_i\) is in vector fields of class \(C^1\), the control \(v_i \in \{0,1\}\) for \(i \in \{1,2,3\}\) verifying the condition \(\sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i = 1\) and the state \(y = (y_1, y_2)\) is in \(\mathbb{R}^2\).

The main hybrid system is to propose a new constructive method for synthesizing a hybrid limit cycle for the SDC (1).

In this paper we use essentially the following result: we consider the nonlinear SDS in the plan [7]

\[
\dot{x} = u_i X_i(x) \quad \text{with} \quad u_i \in \{0,1\}, i \in \{1,2\}
\]  

(2)

The solution of the differential equation \(\dot{x} = X_i(x), i \in \{1,2\}\) after elapsed time \(t\) with initial condition \(x(0) = x_i\) is denoted \(X_i(t, x_i)\).

Definition
Let us consider \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) two points in \(\mathbb{R}^2\), with \(x_1 \neq x_2\). CC(\(x_1, x_2\)) is the hybrid limit cycle of the SDS (2) \(\dot{x} = X_i(x), i \in \{1,2\}\), between the switching points \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) if and only if \(\{\delta_{i1}, \delta_{i2}\} \in \mathbb{R}^2\) exists such that:

\[
x_i = X_i(\delta_{i1}, x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad x_i = X_i(\delta_{i2}, x_2) \quad [7].
\]

Then

\[
\text{CC}(x_1, x_2) = \{(X_i(x_1))0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_{i1}\} \cup \{(X_i(x_2))0 \leq \delta \leq \delta_{i2}\}.
\]

We recall a sufficient condition for existence and stability of a hybrid limit cycle:

Let consider two maps enough smooth \(\gamma_i : I \subset \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2, j \in \{1,2\}\). Suppose that \(\gamma_i(t_0) = \gamma_i(t_0)\) (the two trajectories intersect at \(t_0\)) with \(\gamma_i(t_o) \neq 0\) and \(\gamma_i(t_o) \neq 0\).

Definition
We call that curves \(\gamma_i\) and \(\gamma_j\) are transverse if and only if \(\gamma_i\) cross the curve \(\gamma_j\) in \(x_0, \gamma_j(t_0)\). We explain this property [7] following Figure 1.

Note
Let us denote as \(d^{\gamma_i} X_i(x) = \frac{d^{\gamma_i} X_i(x)}{dt} \bigg|_t\) with \(p_j \geq 1\) and \(i \neq j\). We also denote \(p_j(x)\) is the smallest positive integer such that \((\gamma_j(t)) = X_i^{p_j}(x)\) and \(\gamma_j(t) = X_i^{p_j}(x)\):
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\((X(x)|X(x)|) \circ (d^{\gamma_1} X(x)|X(x)|) = (X(x)|X(x)|) \circ (d^{\gamma_2} X(x)|X(x))\).

**Theorem**

The two parameterized curves \(\gamma_1, \gamma_2\) are transverse if \(\det (y'(t), y'(t)) = 0\) and \(p_y\) is odd. Otherwise they are not transverse [7].

**Definition**

\(\forall i \neq j\), we define \(E = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 | \det (X(x), X(y)) = 0\}, (X(x), X(y)) < 0\) and \(p_1(z) = 1\) is even, the set of points with collinear and opposite vector fields \(X(x)\) and \(X(y)\) and these orbits of \(z\) under \(X(x)\) and \(X(y)\) are not transverse [7].

Let \(x_c\) the desired operating point of SDS. It is shown in ref. [7], that for \(x_c \in E\) there exists an infinity of hybrids limit cycles around the desired point \(x_c\). This result can be summarized in the following theorem:

**Theorem**

Let us consider the SDS (2). For each point \(z \in E^2\) and for any \(O\) open set contain \(z\), there exists a hybrid limit cycle \(CC(x_c, x_c)\) in \(O\) such that \(z \in \text{Int}(CC(x_c, x_c))\).

**Sufficient Condition of Existence and Stability of a Hybrid Limit Cycle**

Let us consider the time invariant switched dynamic system (SDS) (1)

\[
\dot{y} = v_1 y'(y) + v_2 y^2(y) + v_3 y^3(y).
\]

**Notation**

We denote

\(E = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^2, Y_1(y) = \alpha_1 y^3(y) + \alpha_2 y^4(y), \alpha_1 > 0, \text{ for } i \in \{1, 2\}\}\)

the set of all points \(y\) such that the vector \(Y'(y)\) is represented as a linear combination of \((Y_1(y), Y_2(y))\) with positive coefficient.

**Theorem**

For all \(y \in E\) and the desired point \(x_c\) of SDC (1) is in \(E^2\), there exists a hybrid limit cycle \(CC(x_c, x_c)\) such that \(x_c \in \text{Int}(CC(x_c, x_c))\).

**Proof**

For all \(y \in E\), we have \(Y_1'(y) = \alpha_1 y^3(y) + \alpha_2 y^4(y)\), for \(y \in \mathbb{R}^2\)

Thus, the system (1) becomes

\[
\dot{y} = (\alpha_1 y + v_1) y^3(y) + (\alpha_2 y + v_2) y^4(y)
\]

\[= u_1 y^3(y) + u_2 y^4(y)\]

By using the fact that \(x_c \in E^2\) and by using the theorem (2) there exists a hybrid limit cycle around of \(x_c\).

**Remark**

In the case where the control \(v_1 = 0\), the SDS (1) rest around of point \(x_c\). Otherwise, we can reach the point \(x_c\) by the vector field \(Y'(y)\).

**Three Control Strategies of an Induction Heating Appliance**

An induction heating appliance (induction hob) is made of adaptable-diameter inductors. Currently, one resonant inverter is dedicated to supplying each winding [6,10]. The current variations in the inductor produce heat energy in the metal vessel placed on the winding. The inductor and the load (vessel) are each equivalent to a resistor and an inductor in series. In the system studied here, the global inductor (R, L) is in series with a capacitor C to compose the resonant inverter (voltage inverter). The voltage source, E, provides adjustable DC voltage through a thyristors or diodes rectifier. An adequate DC voltage is applied to the series RLC circuit, with the control of the opening and closure of the four switches \(T_1, T_2, T_3\) and \(T_4\). Three configurations are possible (Figure 2):

- \(T_1\) and \(T_4\) closed
- \(T_2\) closed and \(T_3\) open
- \(T_1\) open and \(T_4\) closed.

The objective is to control the \(u_c\) voltage on a hybrid limit whatever the load.

The standard state-space representation of the induction heating appliance, with power state variables, is the following equation (3):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\dot{u}_c \\
\dot{i}_c \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
-R/L & -1/L \\
1/C & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
u_i \\
i_c \\
\end{bmatrix} + \rho \begin{bmatrix}
2E/L \\
0
\end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix}
E/L \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(3)

with \(\rho\) the control signal of the switches. If \(\rho = \frac{1}{2}\), the configuration 1 is active, if \(\rho = 1\), the configuration 2 is active and, if \(\rho = 0\), the configuration 3 is active. Noting the state \(y = [i_c u_c]^T\). The system (3) is equivalent to (1) with

\[
Y'(y) = Ay, Y'(y) = Ay + B \text{ and } Y'(y) = Ay - B
\]

where

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
-R/L & -1/L \\
1/C & 0
\end{bmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{bmatrix}
E/L \\
0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The parameter values are as follows: \(R=22\Omega, C=47\mu F, L=0.2 H, E=20V\).

The set of possible points of equilibrium for this system is \(\{y_1, y_2\} \in \mathbb{R}^2\) such that \(y_1 = 0\) and \(y_2 \leq E\).

It clear that

\[
Y_3'(y) = Y_2'(y) + Y_1'(y)
\]

Let us now calculate the set \(E^2 = \{z \in \mathbb{R}^2 | \det (Y_1'(y), Y_2'(y)) = 0, (Y_2'(y), Y_1'(y)) < 0 \text{ and } p_{ij}(z) (\text{even})\}\.

We have.

\[
\begin{align*}
\det (Y_1'(y), Y_2'(y)) = 0 & \Rightarrow \begin{cases} y_1 = 0 \\
Y_2'(y), Y_1'(y) < 0 \Rightarrow \{ -E < y_2 < E \}
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Furthermore, The condition \(p_{ij}=2\) such that the trajectories of both \(Y_2\) and \(Y_1\) are not transverse is checked. Thus,

\[
\text{Figure 2: Induction Heating Appliance.}
\]
E^{23} = \{ z \in \mathbb{R}^2 | A^{-1}B < z < -A^{-1}B \} and \ p_{23} = 2 \} is the straight line that connects the two stable point \ x_e = \{ \frac{0}{\rho}, \frac{1}{\rho} \} of vector fields \ Y_2(y) \ and \ Y_3(y). \ It \ follow \ that \ for \ each \ point \ in \ E^{23} \ there \ exists \ a \ hybrid \ limit \ cycle. \ If \ we \ choose \ x = \{ \frac{0}{\rho}, \frac{1}{\rho} \} \ then \ x \in E^{23}.

Figure 3 gives the dynamics of the two modes of the SDS, associated with vector fields \ Y_2(y) \ (red curves) and \ Y_3(y) \ (blue curves) as well as the set \ E \ (straight line in black).

Conclusion

The current variations in the inductor produce heat energy in the metal vessel placed on the winding. The inductor and the load (vessel) are each equivalent to a resistor and an inductor in series. With power state variables, \ \rho \ \ the \ control \ signal \ of \ the \ switches. \ If \ \rho = \frac{1}{2}, \ the \ configuration \ 1 \ is \ active, \ if \ \rho = 1, \ the \ configuration \ 2 \ is \ active \ and, \ if \ \rho = 0, \ the \ configuration \ 3 \ is \ active. \ It \ can \ be \ seen \ in \ these \ applications \ that \ interactions \ between \ discrete \ events \ and \ continuous \ phenomena \ give \ rise \ to \ complex \ system \ behavior \ that \ can \ only \ be \ properly \ controlled \ if \ the \ hybrid \ phenomena \ (continuous \ and \ discrete \ features, \ and \ interactions \ between \ them) \ are \ fully \ taken \ into \ consideration.
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