Time Scale Changes in the Water Quality of the Ganga River, India and Estimation of Suitability for Exotic and Hardy Fishes
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Abstract

The water quality of rivers is an issue of serious concern today. Rivers are heavily impacted due to their use for carrying off the industrial, municipal, agricultural and domestic effluents. Water samples were collected for the present study from the Ganga River at Kanpur, Allahabad and Varanasi sites, during the period 2011-2012. The minimum temperature (17°C) was recorded in winter season. The pH, TDS, EC, sulphate, phosphate, nitrate, BOD and COD values exhibited their minimum at Allahabad site. The chloride and dissolved oxygen were recorded minimum at Kanpur site while alkalinity and total hardness had their lowest values at Varanasi site. The water quality of the Kanpur site was very poor compared to Varanasi and Allahabad sites. However, the Ganga river waters could host some tolerant fish species such as the exotic Cyprinus carpio and Oreochromis niloticus and also catfishes. All these species are very hardy, in respect of poor water quality, thus they (exotic species) have powerfully invaded in degraded systems worldwide.
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Introduction

The Ganga river quality is day by day deceased due to domestic and industrial influent. The Ganga River is a backbone of Indian biodiversity (aquatic flora and fauna). Pollution threatens not only humans, but also more than 130 fish species, 83 amphibian species and the endangered Ganga river dolphin. But, current time poor water quality of the Ganga River provides shelter to some exotic aquatic organisms.

The contamination of fresh waters through ample variety of pollutants has become a matter of great concern over the last two decades especially inland water [1-5]. The contamination level in the water bodies is changes according to season and. The concentrations of pollutants in water samples only indicate the condition at the time of sampling. Due to industrialization, the number of factories and population has increased rapidly. The riverine systems may be greatly infected with pollutants released from industrial, domestic, mining and agricultural effluents [6-8]. Discharge of pollutants into rivers or any aquatic environment can change aquatic species diversity, abundance and ecosystems, due to their toxicity and accumulative behavior [9-13]. Over the last two decades huge scale usage of chemicals in various human activities has grown very rapidly. In developing and underdeveloped countries, the industrial and domestic effluents are release directly or indirectly into the large water bodies [14,15].

Catfishes such as Sperata aor, S. seenghala, Wallago attu, Rita rita, Clupisoma garua, Eutropiichthys vacha and two exotic fish species Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) and Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) are commercially exploited with highly contribution in the landing of the Ganga river. Common carp (Cyprinus carpio) and Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus) are exotic/alien fish species for India. Both species are of great economic importance in the country [16-18], and are commercially exploited in the Ganga River (at Kanpur to Varanasi section) and its tributaries [13,19-21]. Fishes are widely used to evaluate the health of aquatic ecosystems because pollutants are building up in the food chain and are responsible for adverse effects and death in the aquatic systems.

Water pollution of rivers in India has now been reaching to a point of predicament due to unplanned urbanization and rapid growth of industrialization. Various fragmented studies concerning the water quality of Ganga River are available, but complete middle stretch data are not available which is most important for fisheries and human life. Record and assessment of the present research work is necessary to formulate up to date decisions about management of the river habitat and fishery to help of restoration and conservation of flora and fauna.

Materials and Methods

The River Ganga is the most important river system in India. It originates from the Gangotri glacier at Gomukh, at an altitude of about 6000 m in the Garhwal Himalaya. The Ganga river is about 2525 km long, covering a basin area of 861, 404 km². The Ganga river system drains about one fourth of the Indian subcontinent. The river supports abundant biological wealth, characterized by its rich fisheries and faunal diversity.

Collection of samples

Water samples were collected from all the selected sites (Kanpur, Allahabad and Varanasi) during January 2011 to December 2012 from the Ganga River, India (Figure 1). During the entire study period of one year, samples were collected three times in a year: during winter, summer and monsoon seasons. The sampling was done between 9.30 to 11.00 AM.

Water sampling procedures

For physico-chemical analysis, surface water samples were collected from each selected site in 500 ml polyethylene bottles fitted with polyethylene caps. For dissolved oxygen analysis, samples were fixed with manganese sulphate and alkaline iodide in 250 ml BOD bottles in the field. For the analysis of heavy metals, 500 ml of water sample was taken from each site and was immediately acidified with 10 ml of 6N HNO3. All samples were brought to the laboratory. Acidified samples were stored at 4°C in the refrigerator for further analysis.
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Analysis of physico-chemical parameters of water sample

For the analysis of physio-chemical properties of river water, specialised sampling and sample handling procedure are required. The water samples were analysed for various parameters in the laboratory of Botany Department, University of Allahabad, Allahabad, India. Various physio-chemical parameters like Temperature, pH, Total Dissolved Solid (TDS), Electrical Conductivity (EC), Total Hardness (TH), Sulphate, Phosphate, Alkalinity, Nitrate, Chloride, Dissolved oxygen, Biological Oxygen Demand (BOD) and Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) have been analysed [22].

Temperature

Temperature was measured by Multi probe ITS-701.

pH

It was measured by Multi probes ITS-701 direct at the sampling site.

Total Dissolved Solid (TDS)

It was measured by Multi Parameter ITS-701 direct at the sampling site at the time of sample collection and expressed in mg/l.

Electrical Conductivity (EC)

The electricity conductivity is a measurement of capability of water to transmit electricity in a water bodies. It was measured by using Multi Parameter ITS-701 direct at the sampling site at the time of sample collection. It expressed in mhos/cm.

Sulphates

It was measured by nephelometric method in which the concentration of turbidity is measured against the known concentration of synthetically prepared sulphate solution. Sulphate was measured by Perkin Elmer UV/VIS spectrophotometer model Lambda 25. It expressed in mg/l.

Phosphates

Phosphates were measured by Perkin Elmer UV/VIS spectrophotometer model Lambda 25. Concentrations were expressed in mg/l.

Alkalinity

Alkalinity was measured by Multi parameter ITS-701 direct at the sampling site the time of sample collection and expressed in mg/l.

Chlorides

Chlorine was measured by chlorine meter model it’s 1001 directly at the sampling site at the time of sample collection and expressed in mg/l.

Total Hardness

Total Hardness was measured by Multi Parameter ITS-701 direct at the sampling site at the time of sample collection and expressed in mg/l.

Nitrates

They were measured in a Perkin Elmer UV/VIS spectrophotometer model Lambda 25. Concentrations were expressed in mg/l.

Dissolved Oxygen (DO)

It was measured by using Multi Parameter ITS-701 direct at the sampling site at the time sample collection and expressed in mg/l.

Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)

It was measured by automated oxygen analyser. It expressed in mg/l.

Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)

An automatic analyser was used to measure the COD level.
in all sample collected from different rivers at various sites. It is expressed in mg/l.

Results and Discussion

Temperature

At Kanpur site, the average temperature was 21.67 ± 5.69°C. The minimum temperature has been recorded in winter (17°C) while the maximum in the summer season 28°C (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the lowest temperature was recorded in the winter (17°C), modest increase was noted in monsoon season (22°C) and the highest was noted in summer season (27°C). The average temperature was 22.0 ± 5°C (Table 2). At Varanasi site, the average temperature was 24.00 ± 3.61°C. The minimum temperature of 20°C was recorded in winter; moderately increased was noted in monsoon season (25°C) and the maximum temperature in summer (27°C) (Table 3). The mean temperature of the Ganga River is most suitable for *C. carpio* and *O. niloticus* for breeding and growth [15,16,19]. The present environmental conditions of the Ganga river are most suitable for breeding of both fishes for two or three times in a year [15,19]. Praveen [23] reported that temperature at Kanpur ranged from 21°C to 30°C and 14°C to 32°C by Trivedi [24]. According to Raghuvanshi [25] temperature was varied from 24.2°C to 32.5°C from the Ganga River at Allahabad. Zafer [26] reported monsoon season temperature varied from 25.8°C to 26.1°C in 2002 and 2003 which was higher than present study. Singh [27] observed that temperature ranged from 22.1 ± 1.3°C to 33.8 ± 1.4°C at Rajghat (Varanasi) which was slightly higher than present finding. Thareja [28] recorded that the temperature ranged from 16°C to 30°C in Kanpur site from the Ganga river. Temperature is one of the important aspects in the aquatic ecosystem as it plays a key role in determination of other parameters such as conductivity, saturation stage of gases and different forms of alkalinity [29,30].

The average pH values were 8.50 ± 0.30, 7.90 ± 0.30 and 7.97 ± 0.25 at Kanpur, Allahabad and Varanasi sites, respectively (Tables 1-3). The lowest pH was recorded at Allahabad site (7.6, Table 2). It has been observed that pH is generally higher in summer than other season. Maximum values of pH during summer may be due to increased photosynthesis of the algal bloom resulting into the precipitation of carbonates of calcium and magnesium from bicarbonates (Prakash et al.). The pH of different aquatic ecosystems determines the health and biological characteristics of those systems. The pH value of the Ganga river water falls between slightly acidic to moderately alkaline and has relationship with the solubility and accumulation of heavy metal in river water as well as sediment. The pH is not limiting factor for *C. carpio* and *O. niloticus* in the Ganga River and its tributaries, India. Tripathi [31] reported lower mean value of pH 7.60 ± 0.30 at Varanasi compared to present finding in all sampling sites while Rai [32] observed 7.2 ± 0.1 to 7.9 ± 0.1 at the same site in the year 2005. Rai [33] reported average value of pH 7.80 from lower stretch of the Ganga River at Kolkata. Gupta [34] observed pH values between 7.3-7.7 in Yamuna river water at Agra.

### Total Dissolved Solid (TDS)

At Kanpur site, the average TDS recorded was 403.33 ± 141.20 mg/l. The minimum TDS 258 mg/l was recorded in the winter season, while as the maximum TDS in the monsoon 540 mg/l with moderately decreased 412 mg/l in summer season (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the minimum TDS was recorded 254 mg/l in the winter season, moderately increased in summer season which was 406 mg/l and the maximum 536 mg/l in monsoon season. The average TDS was noted 398.67 ± 141.14. At Varanasi site, the average TDS was observed 405.33 ± 20.01 (Figure 2). The minimum TDS 385 mg/l was noted in the winter season, moderately increased 406 mg/l in summer season and the maximum 425 mg/l in monsoon season. A high content of dissolved solid element affect the density of water influence osmoregulation of fresh water in organism, reduce solubility of gases and utility, of water for drinking. The TDS is not limiting factor for *C. carpio* and *O. niloticus* in the Ganga River, India. After invasion of *C. carpio* in the Ganga River TDS was increased [15]. Its browsing nature increased suspended solids in the Ganga River [16]. According to Villuzzi [35] a considerably found in
various water bodies, to increase nitrogen, turbidity/suspended solids, phosphorus and phytoplankton or chlorophyll (about 66-87) in the water bodies due to C. carpio. Maheshwari [36] reported that the TDS value varied from 178 to 200 mg/l in summer season from the Yamuna River at Agra, India. Khwaja [37] observed mean TDS values 152 ± 11 in summer and 725 ± 16 mg/l in winter at Kanpur from the Ganga River. Kattiyar [38] reports TDS mean values 381.37 ± 70.39 mg/l in summer, 417.25 ± 4.33 mg/l in monsoon which are higher than present findings and 371.25 ± 14.22 mg/l in winter season at Jajmau, Kanpur which is lower than present finding.

Electrical Conductivity (EC)

Chemically pure water does not conduct electricity. Any rise in the electrical conductivity of water indicates pollution. The maximum EC was noted in summer season 628 µmho/cm while as the minimum 378 µmho/cm in the monsoon season with the moderately increased 532 µmho/cm in winter season at Kanpur site and average recorded was 512.67 ± 126.12 (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the minimum EC was recorded 375 µmho/cm in the monsoon season, moderately increased in winter season which was 528 µmho/cm and the maximum in summer season as 612 µmho/cm. At Allahabad site, the average EC was recorded 505.00 ± 120.51 µmho/cm. At Varanasi site, the average EC was recorded 674.33 ± 29.94 µmho/cm (Table 3). Gupta [34] reported EC values between 990 to 1285 mg/l in Yamuna river at Agra which are much higher than those of the present study. High EC values are indicating the presence of higher amount of dissolved inorganic substances in ionized form. Chandra [39] reported 222 mg/l from the Ganga river at Kasi and 215 mg/l in Gomti River at Lucknow which was lower as compared to present study.

Sulphates (SO₄²⁻)

At Kanpur site, the average SO₄ recorded was 69.00 ± 30.51 mg/l, 50.67 ± 15.53 mg/l and 45.33 ± 10.69 mg/l at Kanpur, Allahabad and Varanasi sites, respectively. At Kanpur site, the minimum SO₄ was recorded 48 mg/l in the winter season, moderately increased 55 mg/l in summer season with the maximum in the monsoon season as 104 mg/l (Table 1). The slightly lower concentration of sulphate was recorded at Allahabad site compared to Kanpur site. At Allahabad site, the minimum SO₄ was recorded 38 mg/l in the winter season, moderately increased in summer season which was 46 mg/l and the maximum in monsoon season 68 mg/l (Table 2). The minimum SO₄ was recorded 36 mg/l in the winter season, moderately increased in summer season which was 43 mg/l and the maximum in monsoon season as 57 mg/l (Table 3).

Chavan [40] observed minimum sulphate 5.34 mg/l at winter, whereas maximum value 13.95 mg/l in monsoon season in which both season have lower value compared to present work. Discharge of industrial wastes and domestic sewage in water ends to increase its concentration. Sulphate present in fertilisers they contributes to water pollution and increase sulphate concentration in water bodies. They also come from the runoff water which contain relatively large quantitative of organic and minerals sulphate compound.

Phosphates (PO₄³⁻)

Phosphates control the algal growth and primary productivity. In most natural water excess amount of phosphorus can cause eutrophication leading to excessive algal growth called algal blooms. At Kanpur site, the average PO₄ recorded was 1.02 ± 0.49 mg/l in the year 2011-2012. The maximum PO₄ was noted 1.58 mg/l in summer season while as the minimum in the monsoon season 0.66 mg/l with the moderately increased 0.82 mg/l in winter season. At Allahabad site, the minimum PO₄ was recorded 0.63 mg/l in the monsoon season, moderately increased in winter season which was 0.78 mg/l and the maximum in summer season as 1.5 mg/l. The average PO₄ was recorded 0.97 ± 0.47 and 0.98 ± 0.46 mg/l in the year 2011-2012 (Table 2). At Varanasi site, the average PO₄ was recorded 1.30 ± 0.17 mg/l. The minimum PO₄ was recorded 1.11 mg/l in monsoon season, moderately increased in winter season which was 1.37 mg/l and the maximum in summer season 1.42 mg/l. Singh [27] reported that the PO₄ was ranged from 1.01 ± 0.3 to 1.58 ± 0.7 mg/l at Raighat (Varanasi).

Alkalinity

At Kanpur site, the average alkalinity recorded was 189.67 ± 70.81 mg/l. The minimum alkalinity was recorded 123 mg/l in the summer season while as maximum 264 mg/l in winter with the moderately increased 182 mg/l in monsoon season (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the minimum alkalinity was recorded 120 mg/l in the summer season, moderately increased in monsoon season which was 178 mg/l and maximum in winter season as 261 mg/l in year 2011-2012. At Allahabad site, the average alkalinity was recorded 186.3 ± 70.87 mg/l. At Varanasi site, the average alkalinity was recorded 139.33 ± 32.50 mg/l in the year 2011-2012. The minimum alkalinity was recorded 107 mg/l in summer season, moderately increased in monsoon season which was 139 mg/l and maximum in winter season as 172 mg/l (Table 3). Praveen [23] reported that alkalinity value was ranged from 12.7 to 245 mg/l at Kanpur and Thereja [28] observed that the alkalinity was ranged from 108 to 260 mg/l at same site in 2008-2009. Maheshwari [36] reported alkalinity values varied from 156 to 210 mg/l in summer season in the Yamuna River at Agra. The alkalinity of the river water was more in winter when the temperature was lower compared to monsoon and summer seasons.

Chloride (Cl)

The chloride concentration was varied from 15 to 32, 20 to 33 and 43 to 49 mg/l at Kanpur, Allahabad and Varanasi sites, respectively (Tables 1-3). The average Cl was recorded 24.6 ± 8.74 at Kanpur, 26.6 ± 6.51 at Allahabad and 45.67 ± 3.06 mg/l at Varanasi sites. Trivedi [24] recorded that Cl value was ranged from 8-30 mg/l in years 2009 at Kanpur. Gupta [34] reported Cl concentration varied from 180 to 218 mg/l in Yamuna River at Agra.

Total Hardness (TH)

At Kanpur site, the average TH was recorded 174.67 ± 65 in the year 2011-2012. The minimum TH was recorded in the monsoon
season 110 mg/l while as maximum in winter season 240 mg/l (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the minimum TH was recorded 107 mg/l in the monsoon season, moderately increased in summer season which was 170 mg/l and the maximum in winter season as 235 mg/l. At Allahabad site, the average TH was recorded 170.67 ± 64 (Table 2). At Varanasi site, the average TH was recorded 146.67 ± 59.03 in the year 2011-2012 (Table 3). The minimum TH 95 mg/l was recorded in the monsoon season and moderately increased in summer season which was 134 mg/l. The maximum TH was noted in winter season as 211 mg/l in year 2011-2012 (Table 3). Trivedi [24] observed that the TH was from 108 to 246 mg/l at Kanpur. Gupta [34] reported TH values ranged from 252 to 304 mg/l in the Yamuna River at Agra. Water hardness refers to the concentration of divalent calcium, Magnesium, Strontium, Ferrous, and Manganese ions. It is derived largely from soil and rock erosion.

**Nitrates (NO\textsubscript{3}^-)**

At Kanpur site, the average Nitrate was recorded 1.03 ± 0 mg/l. The minimum Nitrate was recorded in monsoon season 0.45 mg/l, while as maximum in summer season 1.7 mg/l with the moderately increased 0.94 mg/l in winter season of year 2011-2012 (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the minimum Nitrate was recorded 0.23 mg/l in the winter season, moderately increased in monsoon season which was 1.4 mg/l and the maximum in summer season as 1.5 mg/l in year 2011-2012. At Allahabad site, the average Nitrate was recorded 1.04 ± 0.71 in the year 2011-2012 (Table 2). At Varanasi site, the average Nitrate was recorded 2.37 ± 0.25 in the year 2011-2012. The minimum Nitrate was recorded 2.1 mg/l in the winter season and moderately increased in monsoon season which was 2.4 mg/l. The maximum Nitrate was noted in summer season as 2.6 mg/l in year 2011-2012 (Table 3). Tripathi [31] reported mean value of NO\textsubscript{3}^- was 1.10 ± 0.40 mg/l at Varanasi, Rai [32] observed NO\textsubscript{3}^- ranged 0.85 ± 0.31 mg/l to 1.30 ± 0.22 mg/l in the year (2005), at Rajghat (Varanasi) in the river Ganga. In surface waters nitrogen may exist as particulates or dissolved forms. Organic nitrogen decays to produce ammonia via anaerobic bacteria decayed process. Ammonia in turn converted to nitrates and ultimate to nitrates via the process of nitrification, an aerobic bacteria procedure. Algae readily take up dissolved inorganic nitrogen, which consist of ammonia and nitrate with a typical preference for ammonia. Nitrates added into the river water mainly by agricultural runoff.

**Dissolved Oxygen (DO)**

At Kanpur site, the average DO was recorded 3.93 ± 0.90 mg/l in the year 2011-2012. The minimum DO was recorded in the monsoon season 3.0 mg/l while as maximum in winter 4.8 mg/l with the moderately increased 4 mg/l in summer season of year 2011-2012 (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the minimum DO was recorded 4 mg/l in the monsoon season, moderately increased in summer season which was 5 mg/l and the maximum in winter season as 6 mg/l in year 2011-2012. At Allahabad site, the average DO was recorded 5.00 ± 1 in the year 2011-2012 (Table 2). At Varanasi site, the average DO was recorded 4.17 ± 0.76 in the year 2011-2012 (Figure 3). The minimum DO was recorded 3.3 mg/l in the monsoon season, moderately increased in summer season 4 mg/l and the maximum DO in winter season 5 mg/l in year 2011-2012 (Table 3). Tripathi [31] recorded mean value of DO was 0.90 ± 0.50 mg/l at Varanasi. Maheshwari [36] reported DO varied from 5.5 to 8.2 mg/l in summer from Yamuna river at Agra. According to USPH standard, DO values should range from 4.0 to 6.0 mg/l for fishes. Value of DO increased in winter due to circulation of cold water as well as high solubility of oxygen at low temperature. DO play an important role in water quality determination. The introduction of oxygen demanding materials, either organic or inorganic, in to water causes depletion of the dissolved oxygen in the water. This poses a threat to fish and other higher forms of aquatic life if the concentration of oxygen falls below critical point. But in case of C. carpio, O. niloticus and catfishes other fishes (especially carps) are suffer for survival and growth. DO levels in surface water body indicate the ability to support aquatic life. The high DO values means the rate of oxygen replenishment in water is greater than the oxygen utilization. Adequate DO is necessary for good water quality. DO levels between 5.0 and 8.0 mg/l are satisfactory for survival and growth of aquatic organisms. The concentrations of DO in surface waters are affected by water temperature. Colder water can hold more DO than warmer water and saturation occurs at higher concentrations in winter. DO may decrease in north temperature ecosystem.

**Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD)**

At Kanpur site, the average BOD was recorded 38.67 ± 8 (Figure 4). The minimum BOD was recorded in the winter season 32 mg/l while as maximum 48 mg/l in monsoon of year 2011-2012 (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the average BOD was recorded 34.00 ± 11.14 in the year 2011-2012. The minimum BOD 22 mg/l was recorded in the winter season, moderately increased in summer season which was 36 mg/l, and the maximum BOD was noted in monsoon season as 44 mg/l in year 2011-2012 (Table 2). At Varanasi site, the average BOD was recorded 31.00 ± 6.56 in the year 2011-2012. The minimum BOD was recorded 25 mg/l in the winter season, moderately increased in summer season...
which was 30 mg/l and maximum in monsoon season as 38 mg/l in year 2011-2012 (Table 3). Singh [27] observed that the BOD was ranged from 66.2 ± 3.4 to 87.5 ± 2.9 mg/l at Raighat (Varanasi) while Rai [32] reported BOD range was from 97 ± 41 to 265 ± 78 mg/l in the year 2005 at the same site, Chandra [39], Chavan et al. reports minimum BOD 1.38 mg/l during winter and maximum value 6.51 mg/l in monsoon season. Chavan [40] records values of 3.9 mg/l BOD at Ganga Kasi, and 2.1 mg/l at Lucknow in Gomti River. Khwaja [37] provides mean BOD value 2.8 ± 0.4 in summer and 3.5 ± 0.4 mg/l in winter at Kanpur from the Ganga River. Katiyar [38] reports very high BOD mean 41.7 ± 1.26 mg/l during summer, 34.12 ± 1.57 mg/l during monsoon, 36.69 ± 1.40 mg/l during winter at Lajman, Kanpur compared to the present investigation. Due to higher values of BOD in the river Ganga are suitable for C. carpio, O. niloticus and catfishes (due to hardy nature) for growth and production while other fishes are suffer for survival and growth. BOD is the amount of oxygen required by the living organisms (microbes) in the utilization or stabilization of organic matter in water over a 5 day period. It is very important indicator of the organic pollution status of a water body. The unpolluted water has BOD values of 3 mg/l or less and industrial waste waters have BOD values 25000 mg/l. BOD values generally approximates the amount of oxidisable organic matter, and is therefore, used as a measure of degree of water pollution and waste level. BOD values are thus use full in evaluation of self-purification capacity of a water body and for possible control measure of pollution.

**Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)**

COD is a method to determine the organic load of water body i.e., susceptible to oxidation. COD as a result of pollution is largely determined by the various organic and inorganic materials as like calcium, magnesium, potassium, sodium etc. The levels of COD seem to be the appropriate indices for assessing the pollution level of water bodies. The high COD values are found mainly in water, which may be due to the mixing of domestic and industrial wastes. At Kanpur site, the average COD was recorded 29.67 ± 0.82 mg/l. The minimum COD was recorded in the summer season 22 mg/l while as maximum in monsoon 38 mg/l with the moderately increased 29 mg/l in winter season of year 2011-2012 (Table 1). At Allahabad site, the minimum COD 19 mg/l was recorded in the summer season, moderately increased in winter season 26 mg/l and the maximum in monsoon season as 36 mg/l in year 2011-2012 (Table 3). Tripathi [31] recorded higher mean values of COD 520 ± 180 mg/l at Varanasi compared to present findings. Chandra [39] reported 22 mg/l at Ganga Kasi and 14 mg/l at Lucknow from the Gomti River, lower compared to present observation.

The chemical oxygen demand is commonly used to determine the amount of organic pollutants found in surface waters. COD is a measure of the oxygen equivalent of the organic matter in a water sample that is susceptible to oxidation by a strong chemical oxidant, such as dichromate [42]. Oxygen demand value is useful in specifying toxic condition and presence of biological resistant substances. BOD and COD are usually at or near analytical limits of detection in toxic condition and presence of biological resistant substances. BOD and COD are commonly used to determine the amount of organic pollutants found in surface waters. COD is a measure of the oxygen equivalent of the organic matter in a water sample that is susceptible to oxidation by a strong chemical oxidant, such as dichromate [42]. Oxygen demand value is useful in specifying toxic condition and presence of biological resistant substances. BOD and COD are usually at or near analytical limits of detection in relatively undisturbed systems. Khwaja [37] estimated lower mean values of COD compared to present findings 14 ± 0.8 in summer and 19.7 ± 0.9 mg/l in winter at Kanpur from the Ganga River. Heavy metals accumulation was reported higher in the gills from the Ganga river but gill rakers and gill lamellae found safe conditions in case of C. carpio and O. niloticus [43].

The poor water quality of the Ganga river was most suitable for catfishes (hardy fishes), C. carpio and O. niloticus at Kanpur, Allahabad and Varanasi sites. The Indian riverine sector (specially central India) presently dense by C. carpio and O. niloticus (Table 4) due to poor water quality. Table 4 is shows that the C. carpio and O. niloticus highly landed (shared in landing) in earlier in the Ganga, Yamuna, Ken, Paisuni and Tons rivers which is reported by Ref. [13,15,17,18]. Present dense condition of C. carpio and O. niloticus were also reported by Mayank [44], Dwivedi [45] from the Vindhyan region and Yamuna river.

It may be concluded that the water quality of the Ganga river contaminated by the ample pollutants at Kanpur, Allahabad and Varanasi sites. It may be also concluded that the water of the Ganga River most suitable for C. carpio and O. niloticus by earlier reports (landing and relative abundance). Due to higher values of BOD and

### Table 4: Relative abundance and landing scenario of fishes and groups from the various rivers, India (%).

| Fishes/groups | Water bodies     | Relative abundance (according to number) |
|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------|
|               | Kanpur           | Allahabad                               | Varanasi |
| Major carps   | Ganga river      | 13.13                                   | 9.64     | 10.71 [13] |
| Catfishes     | Ganga river      | 63.44                                   | 72.04    | 70.47 [13] |
| C. carpio     | Ganga river      | 10.25                                   | 8.75     | 9.64 [13] |
| O. niloticus  | Ganga river      | 13.18                                   | 9.66     | 9.18 [13] |
| Landsing scenario (according to weight) |
| Major carps   | Ganga river      | 8.74                                    | [15]     |
| Catfishes     | Ganga river      | 40.67                                   | [15]     |
| C. carpio     | Ganga river      | 14.20                                   | [15]     |
| O. niloticus  | Ganga river      | 12.54                                   | [15]     |
| Major carps   | Yamuna river     | 12.97                                   | [18]     |
| Catfishes     | Yamuna river     | 25.32                                   | [18]     |
| C. carpio     | Yamuna river     | 21.76                                   | [18]     |
| O. niloticus  | Yamuna river     | 24.36                                   | [18]     |
| Major carps   | Yamuna river     | 8.00                                    | [15]     |
| Catfishes     | Yamuna river     | 23.98                                   | [15]     |
| C. carpio     | Yamuna river     | 25.18                                   | [15]     |
| O. niloticus  | Yamuna river     | 19.07                                   | [15]     |
| C. carpio     | Ken river        | 17.80                                   | [17]     |
| C. carpio     | Paisuni river    | 6.43                                    | [17]     |
| C. carpio     | Tons river       | 4.06                                    | [17]     |

![Figure 5: Site variation in COD from the Ganga River, India.](image)
COD in the river Ganga are suitable for *C. carpio*, *O. niloticus* and catfishes for growth and production while other fishes are suffer for survival and growth.

References

1. Canil M, Ay O, Kalay M (1998) Level of heavy metals (Cd, Pb, Cu and Ni) in tissues of *Cyprinus carpio, Barbus capito* and *Chondrostoma region* from the Seyhan River Turkey. Journal of Zoology 22: 149-157.
2. Vogebofro RB, EL-Methani AM, Abedin MZ (1999) Mercury, cadmium and lead content of canned tuna fish. Food Chemistry 67: 341-345.
3. Dirigien N (2001) Accumulation of heavy metals in freshwater: Assessment of toxic interactions. Turkey Journal of Chemistry 25: 173-179.
4. Vutukuru SS (2005) Acute effects of Hexavalent chromium on survival, oxygen consumption, hematological parameters and some biochemical profiles of the Indian Major carp, *Labeo rohita*. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health 2: 456-462.
5. Dwivedi AC, Tiwari A, Mayank P (2015) Seasonal determination of heavy metals in muscle, gill and liver tissues of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) from the tributary of the Ganga River, India. Zoology and Ecology 25: 166-171.
6. Yang Y, Yun X, Liu M, Jian Y, Li QX, Wang J (2014) Concentrations, distributions, sources, and risk assessment of organochlorine pesticides in surface water of the East lake, China. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 21: 3041-3050.
7. Tiwari A, Kushwaha AS, Dwivedi AC (2015) Accumulation of heavy metals in liver, muscle and gill of *Cyprinus carpio* from the Ganga River at Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh. Journal of the Kalash Science 3: 47-51.
8. Ajima MNO, Nindri PC, Oga OA, Adaka GS, Osuigwi DI, et al. (2015) Bioaccumulation of heavy metals in Mbaa river and the impact on aquatic ecosystem. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment.
9. Heath AG (1987) Water Pollution and Fish Physiology. CRC press 245. Florida, USA.
10. Suzuki KT, Sunaga H, Aoki Y, Tatekeyama S, Sumi Y, et al. (1988) Binding of cadmium and copper in the mayfly Baetis thermicus larvae that inhabit in a river polluted with heavy metals. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology 91C: 487-492.
11. Allen P (1995) Accumulation profiles of lead and cadmium in the edible tissues of *Oreochromis aureus* during acute exposure. Journal of Fish Biology 47: 559-568.
12. Tiwari A, Dwivedi AC (2014) Assessment of heavy metals bioaccumulation in alien fish species *Cyprinus carpio* from the Gomti River, India. European Journal of Experimental Biology: 4: 112-117.
13. Dwivedi AC, Mishra AS, Mayank P, Tiwari A (2016) Persistence and structure of the fish assemblage from the Ganga River (Kanpur to Varanasi section), India. Journal of Geography and Natural Disasters 6: 159.
14. Allg G, Balkis N (2009) Levels of some toxic elements and frequency of bacterial heavy metal resistance in sediment and sea water. Environment Monitoring and Assessment 149: 61-66.
15. Pathak RK, Gopesh A, Dwivedi AC (2015) Invasion potential and biology of *Cyprinus carpio* (Common carp). LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing GmbH & Co. KG, Dudweiler Landstr. 99, 66123 Saarbrucken, Germany.
16. Dwivedi AC, Mayank P (2013) Studies on the age, growth pattern and sex ratio of *Cyprinus carpio* var. *communis* from the largest tributary of the Ganga River, India. Journal of the Kalash Science, Special Volume: 21-27.
17. Dwivedi AC, Nautyal P (2013) Alien fish species, *Cyprinus carpio* (common carp) as a invader in the Vindhyan region (Ken, Paisuni, Tons rivers), India. Journal of the Kalash Science 1: 133-139.
18. Mayank P, Dwivedi A C (2015) Role of exotic carp, *Cyprinus carpio* and *Oreochromis niloticus* from the lower stretch of the Yamuna River. Advances in biosciences and Technology edited by Pandeya KB, Mishra AS, Ojha RP, Singh AK. Published by NGBU, Allahabad, pp: 93-97.
19. Mayank P, Dwivedi AC (2015) Biology of Cirrhinus mrigala and Oreochromis niloticus. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing GmbH & Co. KG, Dudweiler Landstr. 99, 66123 Saarbrucken, Germany, p: 188.
20. Pathak RK, Gopesh A, Dwivedi AC (2011) Alien fish species, *Cyprinus carpio* var. *communis* (common carp) as a powerful invader in the Yamuna river at Allahabad, India. National Academy of Science Letter 34: 367-373.
21. Dwivedi AC, Nautyal P, Rozi AF, Mayank P (2016) Landing scenario, size and age of commercially important fish species, *Labeo rohita*, *Tor tor* and *L. calbasu* response to need their restoration in the Vindhyan region (Paisuni river), India. Journal of the Kalash Science 4: 27-40.
22. APHA (1998) Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 20th edn. Amer. Pub. H. Assoc., New York, USA.
23. Praveen A, Kumar R, Pratima, Kumar R (2013) Physico-chemical properties of the water of river Ganga at Kanpur. International Journal Computational Engineering Research 3: 134-137.
24. Trivedi P, Bajpai A, Thareja S (2010) Comparative study of seasonal variation in physico-chemical characteristics in drinking water quality of Kanpur, India with reference to 200 MLD filtration plant and ground water. Nature and Science 8: 11-17.
25. Raghuvanshi S, Singh H, Pandey R, Tripathi B, Shukla DN (2014) Physico-chemical properties and correlation co-efficient of river Ganga at Allahabad. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Science 3: 233-240.
26. Zafer A, Sultana N (2007) Seasonal analysis in the water quality of river Ganga disaster ecology and environment. Arvind K (eds.), Daya publishing house, India, pp: 67-62.
27. Singh N (2010) Physico-chemical properties of polluted water river of Ganga at Varanasi. International Journal of Energy and Environment 1: 823-832.
28. Thareja S, Choudhury S, Trivedi P (2011) Assessment of water quality of Ganga River in Kanpur by using principal components analysis. Advances Applied Science Research 2: 84-91.
29. Esmaeili HR, Johal MS (2005) Study of physico-chemical parameters of water of Gobindisagar reservoir, India. In: Johal MS (eds.), Proceedings of the National Seminar “New Trends in Fishery Development in India”. Punjab University, Chandigarh, Feb. 16-16: 173-177.
30. Singh RP, Mathur P (2005) Investigation of variations in physico-chemical characteristics of a freshwater reservoir of Ajmer city, Rajasthan. International Journal of Environmental Science 9: 57-61.
31. Tripathi BD, Sikanand M, Shukla SC (1991) Physico-chemical characterization of city sewage discharged into river Ganga at Varanasi, India. Environmental International 17: 469-476.
32. Rai PK, Mishra A, Tripathi BD (2010) Heavy metal and microbial pollution of the river Ganga: a case study of water quality at Varanasi. Aquatic Ecosystem and Health Management 13: 352-361.
33. Kar D, Surr P, Mandal SK, Saha T, Cole RK (2008) Assessment of heavy metal pollution in surface water. International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology 5: 119-124.
34. Gupta N, Yadav KVK, Singh D (2013) Assessment of physicochemical properties of Yamuna river in Agra city. International Journal of ChemTech Research 5: 528-531.
35. Vilizzi L, Tarkan AS, Copp GH (2015) Experimental evidence from causal criteria analysis for the effects of Common carp *Cyprinus carpio* on freshwater ecosystems: A global perspective. Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture 23: 253-290.
36. Maheshwari A, Sharma M, Sharma D (2011) Hydro Chemical analysis of surface and ground water quality of Yamuna River at Agra, India. Journal of Materials and Environmental Science 2: 373-378.
37. Khwaja AR, Singh R, Tandon SN (2001) Monitoring of Ganga water and sediment tannery pollution at Kanpur (India): A Case Study. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment 68: 179-193.
38. Trifunac A, Kumar S, Pratima, Kumar R (2013) Physico-chemical properties of the water of river Ganga at Kanpur. International Journal Computational Engineering Research 3: 134-137.
39. Chandra S, Singh A, Tomar PK, Kumar A (2011) Evaluation of Physicochemical Characteristics of Various river water in India. E Journal of Chem 6: 1546-1555.
40. Chavan AW, Dhamani AA, Muruke VB (2006) Seasonal variation in the physicochemical parameter of river Wainanga near Bramhapuri, Dist Chandrapur, Vidh. Inter. Interred. Res. 1: 28-35.
41. Dwivedi AC, Tewari NP, Singh KR (2004) Present structure of capture and culture fishery of the Faizabad District (U.P.). Bioved 15: 95-98.

42. Chapman PM, Allen HE, Godtfredsen K, Graggen MN (1996) Evaluation of bioaccumulation factors in regulating metals. Environmental Science Echnol 30: 448-452.

43. Tiwari A, Dwivedi AC, Shukla DN, Mayank P (2014) Assessment of heavy metals in different organ of Oreochromis niloticus from the Gomti river at Sultanpur, India. Journal of the Kalash Science 2: 47-52.

44. Mayank P, Dwivedi AC (2015) Population structure of alien fish species, Oreochromis niloticus (Linnaeus 1758) from lower stretch of the Yamuna river, India. Journal of the Kalash Science 3: 35-40.

45. Dwivedi AC, Nautiyal P (2010) Population dynamics of important fishes in the Vindhyan region, India. LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing GmbH & Co. KG, Dudweiler Landstr. 99, 66123 Saarbrucken, Germany, p: 220.