Synovial C-Shaped Tibial Footprint of the Anterior Cruciate Ligament
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Background: Although numerous anatomic studies about the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) structure and attachments have been performed, these studies have not reached consensus on the ACL footprint.

Purpose: To investigate the existing controversy regarding the morphology of the tibial ACL insertion (footprint) and confirm histologically that the tibial ACL footprint is not completely filled with ligament tissue.

Study Design: Descriptive laboratory study.

Methods: The tibial ACL footprint was dissected from 20 different fresh-frozen cadaveric knees (all males; mean age, 68.8 ± 5.4 years [range, 55-80 years]; mean weight, 78 ± 6.6 kg [range, 45-93 kg]). Two knees, 1 with severe osteoarthritis and 1 with previous knee surgery, were excluded. The tibial ACL insertion was observed, and this area was longitudinally divided into 4 parallel slices (0%-25%, 25-50%, 50%-75%, and 75%-100%), embedded in paraffin wax, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin, alcian blue, and picrosirius-polarization. The specimens were measured using a microscope to determine the distances from the anterior to the posterior border of the ACL ligament tibial insertion and the distance from the posterior border to the end of the ligament fibers of the ACL ligament tibial insertions.

Results: The 18 evaluated knee specimens confirmed the finding of a C-shaped tibial insertion of the ACL. The measurements showed that the ligament (vertical parallel collagen fibers) occupied only 30.8% of the complete insertion. The remaining area was filled with synovial tissue, demonstrating histologically the “C” shape.

Conclusion: This study confirms macroscopically the C-shaped tibial insertion of the ACL and shows histologically that synovial tissue is an indirect insertion filling the major part of the footprint.

Clinical Relevance: This anatomic study suggests a different shape of the ACL tibial footprint, which may be useful for new perspectives regarding ACL reconstruction surgery research.
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The anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) is currently being intensively studied, and its surgical reconstruction after lesion is one of the most common procedures performed by orthopaedic surgeons.5,11 Although numerous anatomic studies about the ACL structure and attachments have been performed, these studies have not reached consensus regarding the ACL footprint.7,25,29

Many anatomic and biomechanical ACL studies have confirmed the existence of 2 bundles and an oval-shaped footprint. Other anatomic dissections suggest that there are more bundles that are less important than the 2 major functional ones: the anteromedial (AM) and posterolateral (PL).1-3,12,18,22,24 However, histological studies remain controversial: Some find no evidence of different anatomic subdivisions of the ACL, whereas others show a well-defined septum dividing the AM and PL bundles.4,9,21,25,28

Recently, these bundles have been described as flat instead of cylindrical.14,15,17 Additionally, anatomic dissections suggest that the ACL footprint is C-shaped and not completely filled with ligament tissue, as previously thought.14,24 This finding is very important for knee surgery aiming at a more anatomic and functional ACL reconstruction. However, it is not clear which structures fill or
are present on the footprint area besides the “C-shaped” ligament tissue.

Therefore, the purpose of this anatomic study was to investigate the existing controversy regarding the morphology of the tibial ACL insertion (footprint) and confirm histologically the C-shape of the ACL footprint (Figure 1).

METHODS

This study was approved by an ethics committee and was conducted according to the ethical standards of the Declaration of the World Medical Association Helsinki.

We evaluated 20 different fresh-frozen cadaveric knees for this study (all males, separate donors; mean age, 68.8 ± 5.4 years [range, 55-80 years]; mean weight, 78 ± 6.6 kg [range, 45-93 kg]). The knees were removed from cadavers after autopsy and family authorization, within 7 to 48 hours from death. Two knees, 1 with severe osteoarthritis and 1 with previous knee surgery, were excluded. The soft tissue structures around the knees were removed to expose the joint. An oscillating saw was used to remove the ACL ligament with the bone footprints (femur and tibia) to prevent any damage to the insertion or superficial fibrous membrane covering the middle substance of the ACL and ACL insertions (femur and tibia). The entire tibial ACL footprint was dissected carefully by the same orthopaedic surgeon.

The cadaveric specimens were fixed in formalin solution (10% neutral-buffered) for 2 days, followed by decalcification with HNO₃ (1/1 vol/vol) for 72 hours regardless of the bone quality. The histological evaluation began with surgical ink–signaling of the medial and lateral limits of the ACL footprint, which were observed macroscopically, and these areas were longitudinally divided (oblique divisions of anatomic view and parallel to the footprint axis) into 4 parallel slices from lateral to medial (0%-25%, 25%-50%, 50%-75%, and 75%-100%) of the full macroscopic insertion (Figure 2). Afterward, the blocks were embedded in paraffin wax and sliced into four 5-μm-thick sections. The sections that were closer to the insertion site were then stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) (Figure 3A) and alcian blue at pH 2.5 (Figure 3B). H&E was used to observe the morphology of the ACL insertion and alcian blue to enhance collagen-containing fibers (nonspecific type of collagen).

The ACL insertions were carefully observed with a microscope (Olympus) at 40×, 100×, and 400× magnifications. All blocks were also analyzed by comparing the ultrastructural picture with the results obtained using the specific method for collagen-containing fibers (picrosirius-polarization) by light microscopy. Quantification of collagen-type concentration was made using the 400× scale. Collagen type 1 appears in red, and type 3 is green.

Next, the specimens were measured using the microscope (Olympus) at 40× to determine the length of macroscopic tibial footprint from the anterior to posterior border of the ACL ligament tibial insertion (length “A” in Figure 4) and the distance from the posterior border to the end of the ligament fibers (length “B” in Figure 4).

Statistical Analysis

There were no comparison groups. Median and range were calculated for all specimens. Standard deviation and deviation from the normal distribution were calculated for all variables using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Morphometric measurements were described by descriptive analysis.
The 18 evaluated knee specimens confirmed the finding of a C-shaped tibial insertion of the ACL. In addition to the “C” shape, there was a rotation of insertion area between the medial and lateral intercondylar tubercles, with the ACL pointing toward the femoral insertion region. The microscopic evaluation showed a clear division between the ACL (vertical parallel collagen fibers) and the synovial tissue (Figure 5, A and B). Another important finding was the presence of histological bundles divided by the same synovial tissue found inside the ACL insertion area, which comprises neurovascular structures as well as arterial and venous bundles (Figure 5, B and C). We found that the insertion area ligament-bone was composed of ligament tissue, noncalcified fibrocartilage, and calcified fibrocartilage (Figure 6).

The measurements showed that the ligament (vertical parallel collagen fibers) occupied only 30.8% of the complete insertion (Table 1). The remaining area was filled with synovial tissue (see Figure 4).

The polarized light microscopic analysis with picrosirius showed a higher concentration of type 1 collagen in the ligament fibers, which was in contrast to the higher type 3 collagen concentration observed in the posterior area of the ligament fibers. The synovial tissue between the ACL bundles was similar to the posterior area tissue rather than the previously expected similarity to the ligament (Figure 7).

DISCUSSION

ACL reconstruction is undoubtedly one of the most common procedures in orthopaedic practice. Thus, studies regarding the function and morphology of the ACL are continuously being sought. Based on routine arthroscopic surgery, anterior to posterior observations of the ACL, and innumerous past publications, we have believed that
the ACL presents as a solid and cylindrical structure.\textsuperscript{17} However, after recent studies,\textsuperscript{5,7,11,23,29} the idea of a functionally cylindrical ligament with a flat morphology has gained attention.

The introduction of ACL reconstruction with a double bundle has promoted the study of tibial and femoral insertions, mainly by examining the anatomic region more closely.\textsuperscript{11} Anatomic studies using cadaver dissection are unparalleled sources of knowledge.\textsuperscript{4} The empty space in the tibial insertion of the ACL region was present in all dissections. The ligament footprint within this space was found to be C-shaped, oriented like a “C” written along the anterior-posterior tibial axis in an anterior to posterior direction (see Figure 1).\textsuperscript{9} Starting from the tibia within a large and hollow shape, the ligament heads to the femur, modifying its shape and transforming itself into a thin cylindrical structure before inserting into the lateral intercondylar ridge (“resident’s ridge”).\textsuperscript{10,14,15,17,24}

Histological slices, which were transverse to the ligament, showed an expressive difference between the ligament and the synovial tissue, as seen in Figures 2, 3, and 5. Macroscopically, the difference between the ligament region and the synovial tissue were easily detected. The search for ACL reconstruction methods that best preserve the actual anatomic position justifies and highlights our findings. With those findings, it may be possible to propose methods and techniques to reproduce the C-shaped tibial insertion.

Picrosirius polarization analysis is widely used in histochemical studies that assess collagen and was chosen because it is easy to manage, reproducible, and cost effective.\textsuperscript{20,24} Immunohistochemistry femoral footprint research has shown a collagen distribution pattern similar to our study.\textsuperscript{23} The type 1/type 3 collagen ratio found in the ligament at the area between the bundles and the posterior region of the ligament gradually decreased, with a greater concentration of type 3 collagen at the posterior region.

Some ACL studies use the direct and indirect fibers concept terminology.\textsuperscript{23,24} These different fibers are presented as separate parts of the ligament. In our study, the ligament insertion can be addressed as direct fibers and the synovial tissue insertion as indirect fibers. Different from the previous study on the ACL tibial footprint “duck foot,” where the indirect fibers were described as being located anterior to the direct fibers, our findings showed ligament direct fiber insertion to be anterior to synovial tissue indirect fiber insertion.\textsuperscript{24}

Although not part of the ligament, the tissue found posterior to the ACL ligament, in the central area of the “C,” has a primordial function as the origin of the connective tissue between the bundles of the ligaments that support the ACL vascular-nervous structures. These findings can be applied to recently described remnant preservation ACL reconstruction techniques.\textsuperscript{8,16,19}

Once the C-shaped format is widely accepted, future studies might suggest reconstructions where the perforation is made only on the region without ligament tissue (the internal part of the “C”).

Double-bundle reconstruction has been used worldwide as a more functional reconstruction of the ACL.\textsuperscript{5,7,13,27,29} After these findings, this type of reconstruction earns even more credibility, since both the AM and PL bundles are represented in the C-shaped concept (Figure 8).

### Table 1

| Knee specimen | Ligament Tibial Footprint Insertion, mm | Synovial Tissue Insertion Fibers on Tibial Footprint, mm |
|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| 1             | 5.15                                  | 12.08                                                  |
| 2             | 5.02                                  | 11.43                                                  |
| 3             | 4.73                                  | 12.83                                                  |
| 4             | 5.12                                  | 12.7                                                   |
| 5             | 4.74                                  | 11.71                                                  |
| 6             | 5.87                                  | 12.17                                                  |
| 7             | 4.56                                  | 10.99                                                  |
| 8             | 5.75                                  | 10.03                                                  |
| 9             | 4.81                                  | 13.08                                                  |
| 10            | 5.37                                  | 11.41                                                  |
| 11            | 4.98                                  | 9.75                                                   |
| 12            | 5.21                                  | 11.37                                                  |
| 13            | 4.04                                  | 13.48                                                  |
| 14            | 5.29                                  | 11.05                                                  |
| 15            | 5.11                                  | 10.38                                                  |
| 16            | 4.98                                  | 11.5                                                   |
| 17            | 5.49                                  | 10.41                                                  |
| 18            | 6.23                                  | 11.26                                                  |
| Mean ± SD     | 5.1361 ± 0.5056                       | 11.535 ± 1.0178                                        |

References 1-3, 5, 7, 12, 18, 22, 23, 25, 26, 29.
References 1-3, 6, 9, 12, 18, 21, 22, 24, 25, 28.
References 4, 9, 14, 15, 17, 21, 24, 25, 28.
The major limitation of this study includes the age of the specimens in that advanced age could provide tissue degeneration and contribute to the C-shaped formation. Future studies in other age groups are needed to clarify this issue. Additionally, immunohistochemical analysis could have been used to assess the collagen; however, we obtained a thorough analysis and expected results with picrosirius polarization analysis. New perspectives about ACL reconstruction may be available in future studies, including new methods on tibial drilling (small drills, curve osteotomies, or new guides systems) and graft shapes to reproduce the ACL “C-shaped” insertion as accurately as possible.

CONCLUSION

Our study confirmed macroscopically the ACL C-shaped tibial insertion and showed histologically that synovial tissue is an indirect insertion filling the major part of the footprint (see the Video Supplement).
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