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Abstract

Recently, the focus of dialogue state tracking has expanded from single domain to multiple domains. The task is characterized by the shared slots between domains. As the scenario gets more complex, the out-of-vocabulary problem also becomes more severe. Current models are not satisfactory for addressing the challenges of ontology integration between domains and out-of-vocabulary problems. To address the problem, we explore the hierarchical semantics of the ontology and enhance the interrelation between slots with masked hierarchical attention. In state value decoding stage, we address the out-of-vocabulary problem by combining generation method and extraction method together. We evaluate the performance of our model on two representative datasets, MultiWOZ in English and CrossWOZ in Chinese. The results show that our model yields a significant performance gain over current state-of-the-art state tracking model and it is more robust to out-of-vocabulary problem compared with other methods.

1 Introduction

Dialogue state tracking (DST) is in charge of updating the belief state in task-oriented dialogue system (Gao et al., 2019a). Traditional discriminative DST models assume that the task ontology is well defined in advance, that is to say, all states and their values are known to the model. They usually rely on hand-crafted features or task-specific lexicon (Henderson et al., 2014; Mrkšić and Vulić, 2018). An inconvenience is that they are time-consuming and hard to expand to new tasks. To overcome it, the open vocabulary-based models are proposed to decode the state value according to the dialogue context (Xu and Hu, 2018; Jin et al., 2018; Lei et al., 2018; Goel et al., 2019).

In recent years, the research frontier for task-oriented dialogue systems has expanded from single domain to multiple domains (Budzianowski et al., 2018; Zhu et al., 2020; Cheng et al., 2020). There come new challenges demanding prompt solution. Firstly, current model does not sufficiently consider the interrelation between slots in multi-domain scenario. For example, user asks “I also want to find an attraction near the restaurant”, which implies that the hotel need to have the same area with the restaurant. The implicit relation between the area slot of hotel and restaurant is the key to exactly track user’s intent (Wu et al., 2019). Prior work simply used the summed (Wu et al., 2019) or concatenated (Zhang et al., 2019; Kim et al., 2020) embedding of the domain and slot as the states representation for the decoder. Secondly, out-of-vocabulary (OOV) problem gets more severe since the user asking question with wider entities and more diverse words.

In this paper, we propose the generation and extraction combined method with hierarchical ontology integration, named GeeX, for dialogue state tracking. First, we explore the hierarchical semantics of the ontology to enhance the representation of slots in multiple domains. Inspired by Chen et al. (2019), we adopt the directed acyclic graph to represent the ontology and enhance the slots interaction between domains with masked hierarchical attention. We use the ontology of MultiWOZ (Budzianowski et al., 2018) to illustrate this mechanism. As shown in figure 1, the ontology has four
hierarchies, i.e., **Domain**, **Intent**, **Slot** and **Value**. The states can be expressed as the combination of **Domain**, **Intent** and **Slot** and the goal of DST is to decode the Value for the state mentioned in the dialogue context. The hierarchically represented ontology is efficient and effective in two aspects. First, it enhances the interrelation between slots in multiple domains. Second, the compact structure is efficient for state representation which is appropriate to domain expansion since new domain often shares slots with old one (Rastogi et al., 2020). To address the OOV problem, we leverage generation and extraction by combining the two methods together. We first predict the state operation policy to select the suitable decoding strategy. Then, we enter into the corresponding decoder for value decoding according to the predicted policy.

The contributions of this paper are summarized as follows: (i) We adopt the masked hierarchical attention to represent the ontology to enhance the slots interrelation between domains. (ii) We combine generation and extraction to handle OOV problem in dialogue state tracking. (iii) Experiment results demonstrate that GeeX outperforms state-of-the-art baseline on two representative datasets. Furthermore, GeeX also shows robustness in OOV testing.

2 Architecture

We use a four-stage model for state tracking. Figure 2 illustrates the architecture.

2.1 Masked Hierarchical Attention

We use a three-layer masked hierarchical attention to explicitly integrate the state information. Assuming there are $M$ states in total$^1$. For the $m$-th state, we use a state-specific mask $M_l^m \in R^{[M_l]}$ to activate certain gate and only pass through their information to the next level to disentangle the layer-wise information$^2$. The state is computed by,

$$
\tilde{S}_l^m = \sum_{l=1}^{M_l} M_l \text{Att}(\tilde{S}_{l-1}^m, \tilde{O}_l, \tilde{O}_l) \in R^{d_h}
$$

where \text{Att} is the standard scaled dot-product attention (Vaswani et al., 2017), $l$ is the layer number, $d_h$ is the hidden dimension, $l \cdot l$ denotes the length number. $\tilde{O}_l$ represents the layer of **Domain**, **Intent** and **Slot** when $l = 1, 2, 3$, respectively. The dialogue state is the concatenation of all individual states, i.e., $S = S^4 \oplus \cdots \oplus S^M$, where $S^m = \tilde{S}^m \oplus V^m$, $V^m$ is the value of $\tilde{S}^m$ and $\oplus$ denotes the concatenation operation. Note that they are shareable among layers, so the hierarchical attention helps to implicitly model the interrelation between states.

2.2 Transformer Encoder

We represent the dialogue context as the concatenation of last turn system response $D$ and current turn user utterance $U^3$. At $t$-th turn, the dialogue context is denoted as $C_t = D_{t-1} \oplus U_t$. We use Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017) to fuse the state information into dialogue context. We concatenate last turn state $S_{t-1}$ and current dialogue context $C_t$ as the input, i.e., $X_t = [CLS] \oplus S_{t-1} \oplus [SEP] \oplus C_t$, where $[CLS]$ and $[SEP]$ are the special token as in (Devlin et al., 2019). In output layer, we get the hidden representation for each of the input tokens.

$^1$The full states in MultiWOZ and CrossWOZ are listed in Appendix A.

$^2$The mask is a one-hot representation for **Domain**, **Intent** and **Slot**, respectively. For example, ‘attraction-inform-area’ is denoted as $[0,0,1,0,0], [1,0,0], [0,0,1,\ldots,0,0]$ in each layer, as shown in Figure 2.

$^3$To efficiently track current turn dialogue state, we adopt the selectively overwriting framework (Kim et al., 2020) to take advantage of last turn states.
Particularly, \( h^{s_m} \) corresponds to \( \tilde{S}^m \) representing the information of the \( m \)-th state.

2.3 Operation Gate

We predict the decoding operation \( o_k \in O = \{\text{CARRYOVER, GENERATE, EXTRACT, NULL}\} \) with a four-channel classifier, where, \( \text{CARRYOVER} \) denotes to keep the state value the same as last turn, \( \text{GENERATE} \) represents to decode the value by extraction decoding, and \( \text{NULL} \) means the state is not mentioned in the context and its value is empty. For each of the states, we compute the decoding operation probability \( P^{m}_{\text{op}} \in R^{|O|} \) by,

\[
P^{m}_{\text{op}} = \text{Softmax}(W_{\text{op}}h^{s_m}) \quad (2)
\]

where, \( W_{\text{op}} \in R^{|O| \times d_h} \) is a learnable parameter.

2.4 State Value Decoder

We build two parallel decoders for state value prediction and selectively decode the value for states whose operation policy is \( \text{GENERATE} \) and \( \text{EXTRACT} \). For each of the states, when its policy is \( \text{GENERATE} \), we execute the generation decoding mode, and when its policy is \( \text{EXTRACT} \), we enter into extraction decoding mode.

**Generation Decoding** We use Gated Recurrent Units (GRU) (Cho et al., 2014) as the basic decoder and employ copy mechanism to calculate a probability over the dialogue context to encourage reusing words in the context. We use the state representation \( h^{s_m} \) (whose operation policy is \( \text{GENERATE} \)) to initialize the decoder hidden. The final probability of decoding a certain word, e.g., the \( \tau \)-th token \( u(\tau) \), is calculated by

\[
P^{m}_{\text{gen}}(\tau) = P^{m}_{\text{voc}}(\tau) + P^{m}_{\text{copy}}(\tau) \quad (3)
\]

where \( P^{m}_{\text{voc}}(\tau) \) is the probability computed from the decoder hidden over whole vocabulary, and \( P^{m}_{\text{copy}}(\tau) \) indicates the probability of copying words from the context.

**Extraction Decoding** We treat the state value prediction as the extractive reading comprehension problem (Gao et al., 2019b, 2020). Specifically, we use the state representation \( h^{s_m} \) (whose policy is \( \text{EXTRACT} \)) as the query, the dialogue context \( H \) as background and the state value as the answer. The extraction can be formalized as

\[
P^{m}_s, P^{m}_e = \text{EXT}(h^{s_m}, H) \quad (4)
\]

where, \( P^{m}_s \) and \( P^{m}_e \) are the start index probability and the end index probability over the dialogue context, respectively. In implementation, we use the extraction method \( \text{EXT} \) from (Hu et al., 2018).

2.5 Learning

We use cross-entropy to compute the operation policy loss and state value decoding loss:

\[
L_{\text{op}} = - \sum_{m=1}^{M} y^{m}_{\text{op}} \log P^{m}_{\text{op}}
\]

\[
L_{\text{gen}} = - \sum_{\tau \in \text{GEN}} y^{m}_{\text{gen}(\tau)} \log P^{m}_{\text{gen}(\tau)}
\]

\[
L_{\text{ext}} = - \sum_{\tau \in \text{EXT}} y^{m}_{\tau} \log P^{m}_s + y^{m}_{\tau} \log P^{m}_e
\]

where \( y^{m}_{\tau} \) is the standard label for \( P^{m}_\tau \). We adopt multi-task learning to train the model. The optimization objective is a combination of the three loss function,

\[
L = L_{\text{op}} + L_{\text{gen}} + L_{\text{ext}} \quad (6)
\]

3 Experiment

3.1 Settings

**Dataset** We conduct experiments on MultiWOZ2.0 (Budzianowski et al., 2018) MultiWOZ2.1\( ^4 \) (Eric et al., 2019) and CrossWOZ (Zhu et al., 2020). MultiWOZ is the most representative multi-domain task-oriented dialogue datasets and CrossWOZ is the latest multi-domain task-oriented dialogue datasets in Chinese.\( ^5 \)

**Evaluation Metric** We adopt Joint State Accuracy to evaluate the model performance, which checks whether all state values exactly match the ground truth values at each dialogue turn.

**Training Detail** We optimize GeeX with Adam (Kingma and Ba, 2015). The hidden size is set to 300. The learning rate is initialized to \( 10^{-3} \) and annealed in the range of \( [10^{-3}, 10^{-5}] \) with a decay rate of 0.5.

**Benchmark** We compare GeeX with both discriminative methods i.e., SUMBT (Lee et al., 2019) and open vocabulary-based method, i.e., D-STReader (Gao et al., 2019b), TRADE (Wu et al., 2019), SOM (Kim et al., 2020), TripPy (Heck et al., 2020). To further verify the effectiveness of hierarchical ontology integration and parallel decoding strategy, we also design three ablation

\( ^4 \)MultiWOZ2.1 shares the same dialogues with MultiWOZ 2.0 but it fixed previous annotation errors.

\( ^5 \)Note that there is no gold operation label in datasets, so we automatically annotate it, as described in Appendix B.
models here, (i) –MHA. It replaces the masked hierarchical attention with flat representation as in SOM. (ii) –EXT. It removes the extraction decoding branch. All the state values are decoded with generation method. (iii) –GEN. It removes the generation decoding branch. All the state values are decoded with extraction method.

3.2 Results and Analysis

3.2.1 Multi-domain Testing

As illustrated in Table 1, GeeX outperforms all the baselines on MultiWOZ2.0, MultiWOZ2.1 and CrossWOZ.

The performance difference between vanilla extractive model (i.e., DSTReader, TripPy) and GeeX mainly comes from the limitation that its decoding vocabulary is limited to the words that occurred in the dialogue history. For example, a user may find a cheap restaurant while described it as economical, the extractive model would lose efficacy to predict the right answer span.

GeeX also achieves higher score than the generation decoding models (i.e., TRADE, SOM). After further observations, we find that most of the tokens can be directly extracted from context (82.0% in MultiWOZ2.0, 84.2% in MultiWOZ2.1 and 83.7% in CrossWOZ). The extractive decoding models is more robust to decode longer sequence. However, the generation decoding method helps to generate values not appearing in the context, so it is a perfect complement to extractive method.

Another performance gain comes from operation prediction. As stated in (Kim et al., 2020), a relatively larger amount of error originates from operation gate. SOM uses CARRYOVER for states keeping unchanged while neglecting the difference between “none” and succeeding. GeeX use CARRYOVER for state value succeeding from last turn and NULL for empty value, which help to explicitly take advantage of last turn belief states.

3.2.2 Ablation Study

Ablation results are reported in bottom half of Table 1, the degradation of –MHA, –EXT and –GEN validates the necessity of hierarchical ontology integration and parallel decoding approach. –EXT outperforms SOM in generation decoding method and –GEN outperforms DSTReader in extraction decoding method, demonstrating that hierarchical ontology integration is effective to promote the slots interaction and lead to the performance boost. Compared with vanilla extraction and generation decoding models, the improvement on –MHA further clarifies that the two parallel decoding approaches are complementary to each other.

3.2.3 OOV Testing

![Figure 3: Result on OOV testing (%). We randomly mask the words in value with the probability of 0%, 25%, 50%, 100%, respectively.](image)

We simulate OOV instances by randomly masking the value token in dialogue context. For example, we change ‘I would like modern European food’ into ‘I would like [UNK] European food’. Here, we take the three representative models, i.e., SUMBT, DSTReader and SOM, for comparison.

As shown in Figure 3, compared with SUMBT, DSTReader and SOM, GeeX still performs well in all OOV rates. This is actually because the extraction decoder plays a crucial role for predicting OOV tokens, which is also reflected in the smaller performance drop of DSTReader. In addition, the performance of SOM decreases more sharply as more instances set to be OOV, demonstrating that the copy-augmented model is inflexible to address multiple sequential unknown words. The worst performance of SUMBT demonstrates that the discriminative model is ill-equipped to recognize unknown tokens.
4 Conclusion

In the paper, we explore the hierarchical structure of ontology and combine generation and extraction together for state value decoding. With the domain expanding, supervised learning is not satisfactory for rapidly increasing requirements. In future work, few-shot learning and knowledge fusion can be applied to further improve domain transferring performance.
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Appendix

A. The states of MultiWOZ and CrossWOZ

The full belief states in MultiWOZ\(^6\) and CrossWOZ are list in Table 2.

B. The operation label annotation

There is no gold operation label in datasets, so we automatically annotate it according to the procedure below:

(i) If the state is empty, we label it with **NULL**.

(ii) If the state has value, and the value keeps unchanged compared with last turn, we label it with **CARRYOVER**.

(iii) If the state has different value compared with last turn, and the value exists in dialogue context, we label it with **EXTRACT**; otherwise, we label it with **GENERATE**.

The detailed examples are shown in table 3.

\(^6\)MultiWOZ2.0 and MultiWOZ2.1 share the same belief states.
| Domain         | MultiWOZ                                      | CrossWOZ                                      |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| restaurant, hotel, attraction, taxi, train | 景点(attraction), 餐馆(restaurant), 酒店(hotel), 地铁(metro), 出租车(taxi)) |
| Intent         | inform, book                                 | -                                             |
| Slot           | area, name, type, day, people, stay, internet, parking, pricerange, stars, time, food, arriveby, departure, destination, leaveat, arriveby | 出发地(from), 目的地(to), 车型(car type), 车牌(plate number), 出发地附近地铁站(from station), 目的地附近地铁站(to station), 名称(name), 周边景点(nearby attract.), 周边酒店(nearby hotels), 周边餐馆(nearby rest.), 地址(address), 游玩时间(duration), 源领域(source domain), 电话(phone), 评分(rating), 门票(ticket), 价格(cost), 酒店类型(type), 酒店设施(facility), 人均消费(consumption per person), 推荐菜(recommendation dishes), 营业时间(openning hours) |
| State          | attraction-inform-area,                      | 出租-出发地,                                 |
|                | attraction-inform-name,                      | 出租-目的地,                                 |
|                | attraction-inform-type,                      | 出租-车型,                                   |
|                | hotel-inform-area,                           | 出租-车牌,                                   |
|                | hotel-book-day,                              | 地铁-出发地,                                 |
|                | hotel-book-people,                           | 地铁-目的地,                                 |
|                | hotel-book-stay,                             | 地铁-目的地铁站,                              |
|                | hotel-inform-internet,                       | 景点-名称,                                   |
|                | hotel-inform-name,                           | 景点-周边景点,                               |
|                | hotel-inform-parking,                        | 景点-周边酒店,                               |
|                | hotel-inform-pricerange,                     | 景点-周边餐馆,                               |
|                | hotel-inform-stars,                          | 景点-地址,                                   |
|                | restaurant-inform-area,                      | 景点-游玩时间,                               |
|                | restaurant-inform-type,                      | 景点-源领域,                                 |
|                | restaurant-inform-book-day,                  | 景点-电话,                                   |
|                | restaurant-inform-book-time,                 | 景点-评分,                                   |
|                | restaurant-inform-food,                      | 景点-门票,                                   |
|                | restaurant-inform-name,                      | 酒店-价格,                                   |
|                | restaurant-inform-pricerange,                | 酒店-名称,                                   |
|                | taxi-inform-arriveby,                        | 酒店-周边景点,                               |
|                | taxi-inform-departure,                       | 酒店-周边酒店,                               |
|                | taxi-inform-destination,                     | 酒店-周边餐馆,                               |
|                | taxi-inform-leaveat,                         | 酒店-地址,                                   |
|                | train-inform-arriveby,                       | 酒店-源领域,                                 |
|                | train-inform-book-day,                       | 酒店-电话,                                   |
|                | train-book-people,                           | 酒店-评分,                                   |
|                | train-inform-book-time,                      | 酒店-酒店类型,                               |
|                | train-inform-day,                            | 酒店-酒店设施,                               |
|                | train-inform-departure,                      | 餐馆-人均消费,                               |
|                | train-inform-destination,                    | 餐馆-名称,                                   |
|                | train-inform-leaveat                         | 餐馆-周边景点,                               |

Table 2: The full states in MultiWOZ and CrossWOZ. Following (Wu et al., 2019), only five domains (restaurant, hotel, attraction, taxi, train) in MultiWOZ are used in our experiment.
Hello I am looking for a place to dine in the centre of town that needs to be cheaply priced.

I have 15 different restaurants available. What type of food would you like them to serve?

I would like it to have Scandinavian food.

Unfortunately none of them serve Scandinavian food. Is there another cuisine you might like instead?

Yes, do any serve Modern European food instead?

They are located at Quayside Off Bridge Street, phone number is 01223307030, and their postcode is cb58aq.

I also need a train that depart bishops stortford and goes to cambridge.

I am leaving on Monday after 13:15 in the afternoon. Please give me the reference number when you have it. Thanks!

Okay I have booked you a train ticket from Bishops stortford to Cambridge for 1 person leaving at 13:29. Your reference number is 962Q6MQQ.

I apologize, I forgot to mention that I’ll be needing 6 tickets, not just 1.

Okay! I’ve changed your reservation for 6 tickets rather than one. The reference number is now QHYYACDV.

I, [USER], am looking for a place to dine in the centre of town that needs to be cheaply priced. I, [AGENT], have 15 different restaurants available. What type of food would you like them to serve? I, [USER], would like it to have Scandinavian food. Unfortunately, none of them serve Scandinavian food. Is there another cuisine you might like instead? Yes, do any serve Modern European food instead? They are located at Quayside Off Bridge Street, phone number is 01223307030, and their postcode is cb58aq. I, [USER], also need a train that depart bishops stortford and goes to cambridge. I, [USER], am leaving on Monday after 13:15 in the afternoon. Please give me the reference number when you have it. Thanks! I, [USER], apologize, I forgot to mention that I’ll be needing 6 tickets, not just 1. Okay I, [USER], have changed your reservation for 6 tickets rather than one. The reference number is now QHYYACDV.

### Table 3

| $D_{t-1}$ | $U_t$ | $S_t$ |
|----------|-------|-------|
| - | Hello I am looking for a place to dine in the centre of town that needs to be cheaply priced. | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [GENERATE] restaurant-inform-area-centre [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| I have 15 different restaurants available. What type of food would you like them to serve? | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-scandinavian [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| I would like it to have Scandinavian food. | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| Unfortunately none of them serve Scandinavian food. Is there another cuisine you might like instead? | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| Yes, do any serve Modern European food instead? | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| They are located at Quayside Off Bridge Street, phone number is 01223307030, and their postcode is cb58aq. | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] train-inform-departure-bishops stortford [EXTRACT] train-inform-destination-cambridge [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| I also need a train that depart bishops stortford and goes to cambridge. | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] train-inform-departure-bishops stortford [EXTRACT] train-inform-destination-cambridge [EXTRACT] train-inform-leaveAt-13:15 [EXTRACT] train-inform-day-Monday [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| I am leaving on Monday after 13:15 in the afternoon. Please give me the reference number when you have it. Thanks! | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] train-inform-departure-bishops stortford [EXTRACT] train-inform-destination-cambridge [EXTRACT] train-inform-leaveAt-13:15 [EXTRACT] train-inform-day-Monday [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| Okay I have booked you a train ticket from Bishops stortford to Cambridge for 1 person leaving at 13:29. Your reference number is 962Q6MQQ. | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] train-inform-departure-bishops stortford [EXTRACT] train-inform-destination-cambridge [EXTRACT] train-inform-leaveAt-13:15 [EXTRACT] train-inform-day-Monday [EXTRACT] train-book-people-6 [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| I apologize, I forgot to mention that I’ll be needing 6 tickets, not just 1. | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] train-inform-departure-bishops stortford [EXTRACT] train-inform-destination-cambridge [EXTRACT] train-inform-leaveAt-13:15 [EXTRACT] train-inform-day-Monday [EXTRACT] train-book-people-6 [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |
| Okay! I’ve changed your reservation for 6 tickets rather than one. The reference number is now QHYYACDV. | restaurant-inform-pricerange-cheap [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-area-centre [CARRYOVER] restaurant-inform-food-Modern European [EXTRACT] train-inform-departure-bishops stortford [EXTRACT] train-inform-destination-cambridge [EXTRACT] train-inform-leaveAt-13:15 [EXTRACT] train-inform-day-Monday [EXTRACT] train-book-people-6 [EXTRACT] Others [NULL] |

Table 3: An example to illustrate the operation gate of GeeX. [] denotes the operation policy. Others denote the states which aren’t mentioned in the context.