Effects of heavy metals on soil microbial biomass carbon

Abstract
This study was aimed at evaluating the effect of heavy metals on soil microbial processes. The effects of Lead (Pb) and Cadmium (Cd) at different concentrations were investigated over a period of eight weeks. Chloride salts of Pb and Cd were added singly and in combination to soil samples at room temperature (270°C) in different polythene bags. Samples were taken from the bags at two weeks interval and measurements were taken of the microbial biomass carbon (MBC). The results showed that there was a significant decrease in the microbial biomass carbon for all treated soils from the second week to the sixth week. But there was an observed increase in microbial biomass carbon on the eighth week. At the sixth week, 2000mgkg⁻¹Pb and 40mgkg⁻¹Cd gave the most significant decrease (P < 0.05) in microbial biomass carbon of 98%.
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Introduction
Heavy metals are naturally occurring elements that have a high atomic weight and a density at least five times greater than that of water.¹² Some debate exists as to exactly what constitutes a “heavy metal” and which elements should properly be classified as such. Some authors have based the definition on atomic weight, while others point to those metals with a specific gravity of greater than 4.0, or greater than 5.0. Most recently, the term “heavy metal” has been used as a general term for those metals and semimetals with potential human or environmental toxicity.²³ Metal distribution between soil and vegetation is a key issue in assessing environmental effect of metals in the environment.⁴

Heavy metal toxicity to plants vary with plant species, specific metal, concentration, chemical form, and soil composition and pH, as many heavy metals are considered to be essential for plant growth and similarly, many heavy metals are essential trace nutrients of animals and human body.⁵ Some of these heavy metals like Cu and Zn serve either as cofactor and activators of enzyme reactions e.g. informing enzymes/substrate metal complex⁶ or exert a catalytic property such as prosthetic group in metalloproteins. These essential trace metal nutrients take part in redox reactions, electron transfer and structural functions in nucleic acid metabolism. Some heavy metal such as Cd, Hg and As, etc are strongly poisonous to metal sensitive enzymes, resulting in growth inhibition and death of organisms.⁷ Most plants depend on soil and plants and their associated microorganisms also play a crucial role in the formation or modification of soil.⁸

Soil Carbon is predominantly derived from plants, directly or indirectly, and whilst weathering may be due to physical and chemical influences, most weathering processes involve plants, primarily roots, or microbial activities that depend on root-derived Carbon.⁹ Some microorganisms in the soil are responsible for nitrogen fixation, assimilation and degradation of organic residues to release nutrients.¹⁰,¹¹

Heavy metal toxicity has an inhibitory effect on plant growth, enzymatic activity, stoma function, photosynthesis activity and accumulation of other nutrient elements and also damages the root system. To the concern of the soil however, the effects of heavy metals pollutants could be enormous. Major amongst which is their effects on microbial activities.¹² Restrictions placed on the levels of accumulation of heavy metals in agricultural soils are based on known effects of heavy metals on plant uptake and animal health but takes no account of the effects on soil microorganisms or important microbial processes like carbon and nitrogen mineralization, microbial biomass carbon and nitrogen and respiration.¹³ Hence, there is need to evaluate the effects of these heavy metals at varying concentration on soil microorganisms as it will further provide valid information for determining restrictions limits.

In this work, the effects of Lead (Pb) and Cadmium (Cd) at varied concentrations on soil microbial processes were studied singly and in combination. The microbial biomass and the rates of nitrogen and carbon mineralization were monitored.

Materials and methods
Soil samples were collected from the Botanical Garden of the Department of Biological Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Samaru Main Campus, Zaria (Lat 11° 11’ N; Long 7° 38 ’N; Altitude 660m above sea level). It is about 4188604m² (4.2hectares) and segmented into 19 plots. It is a relatively undisturbed site with no farming and grazing activities and located in guinea savannah zone of Nigeria with distinct dry and rainy season.¹⁴,¹⁵ However, for this study, the garden was divided into two sections; the area dominated by trees and grasses. All soil samples collected from the sampling points were pooled together to obtain a homogenous unity sample. The soil samples were stored in plastic bags and transported to the Department of Biological Sciences. Soil heavy metal content was analyzed using the Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer (Shimazu AAS, model AA-6800) at NARICT, Basawa, Zaria. Other soil analyses were done at the Institute of Agricultural Research (IAR), Samaru, Zaria. Soil pH was measured in 1:2 soil to water ratio using pH meter (PHS-3C). Soil organic matter (OM) was determined by wet oxidation methods as described by Walkley and Black (1934).¹⁶ Soil particles analysis was done using hydrometer method as described by Bouyoucos.¹⁷

Microbial biomass carbon was determined by the fumigation extraction method.¹⁸ Soil samples were sieved using 2mm sieve to remove dirt. Sieved soil samples (1kg) were distributed into eighteen...
Results and discussion

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the soil used. The results show that the soil is slightly acidic with a pH value of 6.10 and could be texturally classified as loamy soil with 14% (clay), 49% (silt) and 37% (sand). Table 2 shows the patterns of heavy metal amendment (addition) in pre-incubated soil pots, with concentrations of Lead 1000mgkg\(^{-1}\) (Pb1), 2000mgkg\(^{-1}\) (Pb2), Cadmium 20mgkg\(^{-1}\) (Cd1), 40mgkg\(^{-1}\) (Cd2) and a combination of 1000mgkg\(^{-1}\) Pb and 20mgkg\(^{-1}\) Cd. While Table 1 also shows the heavy metal profile of the soil prior to amendment as determined by Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (AAS), indicating the presence of Cd at a very low or insignificant level (0.000071) and Pb to be undetected (absent).

The results on Table 3 showed a significant decline in biomass carbon among the treatments across the weeks. At the beginning of the experiment, there was a general inhibition of microbial biomass carbon, but at the eight week there was an increase. Among the treatments, the highest mean value (1296µg/g) was obtained in Cd1 treated soil while the least mean value (654µg/g) was in Pb2 treated soil. But across the weeks, week 0 had the highest mean value (2487.50µg/g) and week 6 had the least mean value (400µg/g).

Table 1 Characteristics of the soil

| Parameters | Results |
|------------|---------|
| pH(H\(_2\)O) | 6.10    |
| Organic carbon (%) | 1.56 |
| Total nitrogen (%) | 0.195 |
| Soil organic matter (%) | 2.69 |
| Clay (%) | 14 |
| Silt (%) | 49 |
| Sand (%) | 37 |
| Textural class (U.S.D.A) | LOAM |
| Pb(mg/kg) | ND (NOT DETECTED) |
| Cd(mg/kg) | 0.000071 |

Table 2 Pattern of metal amendment in pre-incubated soil pots

| Sample | Treatment | Concentration (mg/kg) |
|--------|-----------|----------------------|
| CT     | CONTROL   | -                    |
| L1     | Pb1       | 1000                 |
| L2     | Pb2       | 2000                 |
| C1     | Cd1       | 20                   |
| C2     | Cd2       | 40                   |
| L1C1   | Pb1.Cd1   | 1000:20              |

Table 3 Mean Soil Biomass Carbon for different treatments of Cd and Pb for eight weeks (µg/g)

| Treatment | BIOMASS CARBON (µg/g) | Week 0 | Week 2 | Week 4 | Week 6 | Week 8 | Mean total |
|-----------|----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------------|
| Control   | 2500.00±1.15\(^a\)  | 2470.00±0.58\(^a\) | 2050.00±0.58\(^a\) | 1800.00±0.58\(^a\) | 2000.00±0.06\(^b\) | 2164±203.33\(^a\) |
| Pb1       | 2495.00±0.58\(^a\)  | 360.00±0.12\(^b\)  | 180.00±0.06\(^b\)  | 160.00±0.01\(^c\)  | 600.00±0.06\(^c\)  | 759.00±235.80\(^b\) |
| Pb2       | 2490.00±0.58\(^a\)  | 240.00±0.06\(^b\)  | 60.00±0.00\(^d\)   | 60.00±0.10\(^e\)   | 420.00±0.06\(^c\)  | 654.00±247.53\(^c\) |
| Cd1       | 2480.00±0.58\(^a\)  | 240.00±0.58\(^b\)  | 160.00±0.06\(^c\)  | 240.00±0.51\(^b\)  | 1200.00±0.58\(^c\) | 1296.00±268.20\(^b\) |
| Cd2       | 2480.00±0.58\(^a\)  | 2000.00±1.15\(^a\) | 60.00±0.00\(^d\)   | 60.00±0.10\(^e\)   | 480.00±0.06\(^c\)  | 1016.00±273.25\(^c\) |
| Pb:Cd2    | 2480.00±1.50\(^a\)  | 360.00±0.06\(^d\)  | 180.00±0.06\(^d\)  | 80.00±0.00\(^d\)   | 1000.00±2.00\(^e\) | 820.00±248.51\(^d\) |

Means±SE; n = 3; Values with the same UPPERCASED superscript down a column (except overall) are not significantly different (P<0.05). Values with the same lowercased superscript across a row (except overall) are not significantly different (P>0.05).

There was a general inhibition of microbial biomass carbon after application of heavy metals. Both heavy metals (cadmium and lead) at their various concentrations and combination showed a negative effect on the microbial biomass as shown in Table 3.

The results show that the least measurements were obtained from Pb2 (2000mgkg\(^{-1}\)) and Cd2 (40mgkg\(^{-1}\)) at week six of the experiment. This is in line with the result obtained by Akmal et al.,\(^{18}\) which reported a significant decrease in microbial biomass carbon within four weeks of his experiment with regards to the use of Pb and Cd. Sethi and Gupta\(^{22}\) also reported a similar decrease in soil microbial biomass with the use of Cd as the pollutant and that this may be as a result of the change in microbial community structure affected by heavy metals. This observed decrease in microbial biomass carbon may be as a result of immediate death of cells caused by the disruption of essential functions, and changes in population sizes caused by change in viability resulting from the exposure to the metal.\(^{19}\) Cervantes,\(^{24}\) suggested additional energy cost to soil microbes as the reason for reduced soil microbial biomass under heavy metals stress conditions, and such additional cost can result in a decrease in the amount of substrate that is available for growth. The decrease in microbial biomass carbon as observed from the beginning to the sixth week of application of heavy metals.
the incubation with Pb and Cd may also be due to decline in the size of soil microbial community and a reduction of carbon mineralization in these soils. The later rise in microbial biomass carbon at the eight week may be as a result of development of tolerance and shifts in community structure to compensate for loose of more sensitive populations.

Conclusion

The research carried out revealed that concentration and time of exposure of Pb and Cd had a significant (P < 0.05) effect on soil microbial biomass carbon. There was a significant decrease in the microbial biomass carbon for all treated soils from the second week to the sixth week, and an increase in microbial biomass carbon was observed on the eighth week. At the sixth week, 2000mgkg⁻¹ Pb and 40mgkg⁻¹ Cd gave the most significant decrease (P < 0.05) in microbial biomass carbon of 98%. Since concentration and time of exposure of heavy metals had an effect on the microbial soil carbon, it invariably means they have an effect on the productivity of soils.
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