Aortic Dissection after Transcatheter Aortic Valve Replacement: Conservative Approach with Good Outcome
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Abstract

An 80-year-old man with severe aortic stenosis, who declined aortic valve replacement several times since 2011 and had recurrent syncope after balloon aortic valvuloplasty, was admitted because of symptomatic aortic stenosis. A percutaneous strategy for his aortic stenosis was decided. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement using a balloon-expandable Edwards Sapien XT valve was performed under rapid ventricular pacing. After valve deployment, an aortic dissection of ascending aorta was noticed. There was no coronary flow compromise, no acute aortic root and ascending aorta dilation, no pericardial effusion, and paravalvular aortic regurgitation was mild. After consultation with cardiovascular surgeon, interventional radiologist and invasive cardiologist we decided for conservative approach, with very good outcome and no additional disabilities in the follow up of more than two years.
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Introduction

Symptomatic severe aortic stenosis (AS) is associated with significant mortality when managed only with optimal medical treatment [1]. While surgical aortic valve replacement (AVR) remains the gold standard of treatment for AS, a significant proportion of patients are turned town for the procedure because of too high perioperative risk [2]. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement (TAVR) has emerged as an alternative to AVR for these patients [3]. While procedural success in this relatively new procedure is high, TAVR is still associated with uncommon but potentially serious complications [4]. Aortic dissection remains a rare but potentially lethal complication. Here, we report a case of ascending aortic dissection complicating TAVR that was managed conservatively with a good outcome.

Case Report

An 80-year-old man with severe aortic stenosis, who declined AVR several times since 2011 and had recurrent syncope after balloon aortic valvuloplasty, was admitted because of symptomatic aortic stenosis. Echocardiography demonstrated a critical aortic stenosis (AVA 0.55-0.60 cm², DVI 0.14, maximal velocity 4.6 m/s) and normal stenosis. Echocardiography demonstrated a critical aortic stenosis (AVA 0.55-0.60 cm², DVI 0.14, maximal velocity 4.6 m/s) and normal stenosis. A percutaneous strategy for his aortic stenosis was decided. Transcatheter aortic valve replacement using a balloon-expandable Edwards Sapien XT valve was performed under rapid ventricular pacing. After valve deployment, an aortic dissection of ascending aorta was noticed. There was no coronary flow compromise, no acute aortic root and ascending aorta dilation, no pericardial effusion, and paravalvular aortic regurgitation was mild. After consultation with cardiovascular surgeon, interventional radiologist and invasive cardiologist we decided for conservative approach, with very good outcome and no additional disabilities in the follow up of more than two years.
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ascending aorta, TAVR valve was successfully deployed with a mild Para: valvular leak, patient was hemodynamically stable (Figure 1c). Aortography after valve deployment showed an aortic dissection that extended above artificial valve in ascending aorta. The same was seen with a TEE. There was no acute enlargement of the radius of ascending aorta, no obstruction of coronary flow, no flow obstruction in ascending aorta, no pericardial effusion, no deterioration of paravalvular leakage and no acute advancements of dissection. Patient was haemodynamically stable. We consulted a cardiovascular surgeon and interventionalist radiologist who proposed a conservative treatment (with maintenance of systolic blood pressure under 100 mmHg and keeping the patient sedated for 24:48 hours) if stable and follow up. Access point was closed surgically. A contrast computer tomography (CTA) was done that showed an intimal flap extending from artificial aortic valve to ascending aorta in length of 3 cm, with parallel blood flow in true and false lumina (Figure 1d). There were no signs of coronary artery flow compromise, both exit points of coronary artery was from the true lumen, there was no pericardial effusion and no progression of dissection to aortic arcus or aortic branches. Patient was admitted to intensive cardiac care unite, where the patient remained stable. The next day a control CTA was performed and showed no progression of dissection. There was a mild drop in blood hemoglobin levels which might be attributed to peri procedural loss of blood. Sedation was withdrawn, he was extubated and began with rehabilitation. He was asymptomatic during rehabilitation and denied any chest pain. We optimized antihypertensive therapy and reduced his rest heart rate to 60 beats per minute. He was discharged 7 days after TAVR. One month and six months after discharge from hospital he came to our outpatient clinic and reported normal exertion capacity and had no chest pain. Control CTA showed no progression of the dissection and no significant dilatation of ascending aorta (Figures 2a and 2b). During follow up of more than two years he was asymptomatic and without complaints.

Discussion

Aortic dissection during TAVR is relatively uncommon but serious complication. In meta-analysis of vascular complications in TAVR studies, regarding the Valve Academic Research Consortium (VARC) criteria, only two studies reported aortic dissection after TAVR appropriately [5]. Since the complication is relatively rare, and there are no evidence-based studies on how to solve dissection of the aorta, the reasoning and solution should be based on the team approach. Risk factors for aortic dissection may be a preexisting aortic disease (heavy atherosclerosis, calcification), aggressive balloon valvuloplasty, difficult positioning of artificial valve in its position, and excessive oversizing of the transcatheter heart valve. In our case we think, that difficult positioning of the transcatheter heart valve played a major role and that the intimal tear was most probably induced by leading edge of TAVR pusher. We might have escaped the complication with better BAV. There are various possible manifestations of aortic dissection, depending on the site of dissection, progression of the dissection, its severity (which layers of the aorta are involved) and organs involved in hypoperfusion, because of dissection. In our case intimal flap, it was enlarged to the size which respond the hemodynamic flow situation; there was adaptable flow through both lumina with the final stable position of flap in the middle. One of the most important things is the recognition of aortic dissection and team approach to its treatment. Since there is not a lot of experiences how to treat this kind of TAVR complications we did international consultations. Dr. Criberie A., Dr. Pichard A., Dr. Vahanian A. and their teams suggested us conservative approach. There were also options for acute surgery or flap stenting with aortic graft or metal stent suggested by dr. Pasić M. In our case we decided for conservative therapy. Temporary the patient is doing well, without any clinical or CTA signs of dissection progression, during more than two years of follow up.

Conclusion

Until now there are no guidelines on managing per procedural aortic dissection during TAVR. Individual approach to each patient with rear type of procedural complication and cardiac team approach is mandatory. In our case the conservative approach was the right one, with good long term clinical outcome.
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