Effect Modification of Chronic Kidney Disease on the Association of Circulating and Imaging Cardiac Biomarkers With Outcomes
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Background—Cardiac troponin T and brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) are elevated in >50% of dialysis patients and are associated with poor outcomes. Few data investigated these associations in earlier chronic kidney disease (CKD).

Methods and Results—We studied whether CKD modified associations of elevated BNP, N-terminal-pro-BNP, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T, coronary artery calcification, and left ventricular hypertrophy with all-cause death and cardiovascular death/events in 3218 multiethnic individuals followed for 12.5 years, and whether biomarkers added prognostic information to traditional cardiovascular risk factors in CKD. Of the cohort, 279 (9%) had CKD. There were 296 deaths and 218 cardiovascular deaths/events. Of non-CKD individuals, 7% died and 6% had cardiovascular death/event versus 32% and 30% of CKD participants, \( P < 0.001 \) for both. The interaction between BNP and CKD on death was significant (\( P = 0.01 \)); the adjusted hazard ratio in CKD was 2.05, 95% CI (1.34, 3.14), but not significant in non-CKD, 1.04 (0.76, 1.41). CKD modified the association of high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T with cardiovascular death/event, adjusted hazard ratio 3.34 (1.56, 7.18) in CKD versus 1.65 (1.16, 2.35) in non-CKD, interaction \( P = 0.09 \). There was an interaction between N-terminal-pro-BNP and CKD for death in those without prior cardiovascular disease. Addition of each biomarker to traditional risk factors improved risk prediction, except coronary artery calcification was not discriminatory for cardiovascular death/event in CKD.

Conclusions—Cardiac biomarkers, with the exception of coronary artery calcification, prognosticated outcomes in early-stage CKD as well as, if not better than, in non-CKD individuals, even after controlling for estimated glomerular filtration rate, and added to information obtained from traditional cardiovascular risk factors alone. (J Am Heart Assoc. 2017;6:e005235. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.116.005235.)
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Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality among patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD), and is almost twice as prevalent among those with CKD as those without it. Baseline levels of commonly tested plasma cardiac biomarkers including brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), cardiac troponin T (TnT), and N-terminal-pro-BNP (NT-pro-BNP) can be elevated in asymptomatic patients with advanced CKD and end-stage renal disease, and can thus be difficult to interpret clinically in these populations. Several studies suggest prognostic value of these biomarkers in hemodialysis patients for predicting all-cause mortality and cardiovascular events. Few studies have assessed elevated levels of these biomarkers in earlier CKD stages and explored associations with outcomes in nondialysis CKD samples. These were limited by small sample sizes and event rates, inadequate control for confounding, and

From the Divisions of Nephrology (L.P.G., S.S.H.) and Cardiology (J.A.d.L.), Department of Medicine, and Division of Biostatistics, Department of Clinical Sciences (B.A.-H., X.L.), University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX; Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas, TX (G.C.); Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, University of Arkansas, Little Rock, AR (N.J.); Division of Nephrology, Medical Service, Veterans Affairs North Texas Health Care System, Dallas, TX (S.S.H.).

Accompanying Tables S1 through S5 are available at http://jaha.ahajournals.org/content/6/7/e005235/DC1/embed/inline-supplementary-material-1.pdf

Parts of these data were presented in abstract form at the 50th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Nephrology on November 19, 2016 in Chicago, IL; at the Southern Society for Clinical Investigation Nephropathy Young Investigators’ Forum on February 10, 2017 in New Orleans, LA; and at the Young Investigators’ Forum at the National Kidney Foundation Spring Clinical Meeting on April 18, 2017 in Orlando, FL.

Correspondence to: S. Susan Hedayati, MD, MHSc, 4500 S Lancaster Rd, MC 111G1, Dallas, TX 75216. E-mail: susan.hedayati@utsouthwestern.edu

Received December 7, 2016; accepted May 5, 2017.
Clinical Perspective

What Is New?

• Cardiac biomarkers such as high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T, brain natriuretic peptide, N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide, coronary artery calcification, and left ventricular hypertrophy are more commonly elevated in individuals with chronic kidney disease, even at early stages identified by albuminuria in the setting of preserved glomerular filtration rate.

• Each of these biomarkers, except for coronary artery calcification, prognosticate cardiovascular outcomes in chronic kidney disease patients at least as well, if not more powerfully, as in those without chronic kidney disease.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

• A multimodality approach combining these circulating and imaging-based cardiac biomarkers can be used to add to the prognostic information obtained from traditional risk factors alone to predict the likelihood of cardiovascular events in individuals with chronic kidney disease.

Materials and Methods

Participants

The DHS (Dallas Heart Study) is a longitudinal, multiethnic, population-based study involving a probability sample of community-dwelling residents of Dallas County, TX, approved by the University of Texas Southwestern Institutional Review Board. The study adheres to the Declaration of Helsinki. After providing informed consent, 6101 participants completed an in-home visit to collect health-related data. A probability-based subset of 3398 persons aged 30 to 65 years underwent a second in-home visit, providing fasting blood and first-void urine. Of those, 2971 participants completed a third visit for advanced imaging. Our primary analysis included 3218 participants with samples for circulating cardiac biomarkers of interest, microalbuminuria, and sufficient data to estimate GFR. Of those, 2324 with available imaging studies were included in a secondary analysis involving CAC and LV mass.

Biomarker Measurements

Fasting venous blood was drawn via venipuncture into EDTA tubes, refrigerated for up to 4 hours at 4°C before centrifugation at 1430g for 15 minutes. Plasma was removed and frozen at −70°C until assays were performed. TnT levels were measured using a high-sensitivity assay (hs-TnT; Elecsys-2010® Troponin T hs STAT; Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). BNP (Biosite Inc, San Diego, CA) and NT-pro-BNP (Elecsys®; Roche Diagnostics) were measured using commercially available assays, previously described.22 Hs-TnT was considered elevated if present at a concentration ≥3 ng/L, the limit of blank of the assay. BNP and NT-pro-BNP were defined as elevated if ≥75th sex-based percentiles. For BNP, the 75th percentile cutoff was ≥15.4 pg/mL for women and ≥9.5 pg/mL for men; and for NT pro-BNP, ≥76.1 pg/mL for women and ≥40.6 pg/mL for men. The 75th percentile threshold was selected because it is unbiased and would yield a prevalence of elevation roughly equivalent to hs-TnT, which was above the limit of blank in 24% of DHS participants.

CAC was measured with electron-beam computerized tomography on a single scanner (Imatron 150 XP; Imatron, Inc, San Francisco, CA) at 80% of the R-R interval with 30-cm field of view, 512 matrix with sharp kernel reconstruction.23 The mean of 2 consecutive measurements was used as the final score. If only 1 scan was performed, that measurement was designated as the final score. CAC was scored following the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis protocol, and expressed in Agatston units.24 Clinically relevant CAC was defined as a score of ≥100 Agatston units, corresponding to moderate-to-high 10-year cardiovascular event risk.25 LV mass was measured with cardiac magnetic resonance imaging.
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Statistical Analysis

Biomarker levels were compared among participants with versus without CKD using \( \chi^2 \) test for categorical and 2-sample \( t \) test or Wilcoxon rank sum for continuous variables. For comparison across CKD stages, Cochran–Armitage trend test was used for categorical and Jonckheere–Terpstra test for continuous variables.

For the primary prespecified analysis including 3218 participants with available plasma biomarkers, all-cause death and cardiovascular deaths/events were estimated using Kaplan–Meier curves, and compared between CKD and non-CKD groups using the log-rank test. Univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazards models were used to determine the association between biomarkers, at the prespecified cut points, and outcomes. Effect modification of CKD on these associations was determined using interaction terms (CKD×biomarker), with an interaction \( P \) value of <0.1 considered significant. Multivariable models controlled for race and traditional cardiovascular risk factors (age, sex, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, current smoking, total cholesterol, and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol). Finally, adjustment for log-transformed eGFR was made to control for any potential effect of reduced renal clearance on biomarker levels, and separate models were also constructed to evaluate controlling for body mass index and ACR. Sensitivity analyses were performed excluding 236 participants (49 with CKD and 187 without CKD) with prior CVD (self-reported history of myocardial infarction, revascularization, congestive heart failure, or stroke). Sensitivity analyses were also performed using the CKD-EPI equation (instead of Modification of Diet in Renal Disease) to derive eGFRs. A prespecified secondary analysis was performed that included 2324 participants with imaging studies available for CAC and LVH assessment.

To determine whether biomarkers differentially add to the prognostic ability of traditional cardiovascular risk factors (base model), Harrell’s c-statistics were calculated and compared with and without the addition of biomarkers. Standard errors and 95% CI for the c-statistics were computed with jackknife estimation. Nested models were compared with likelihood ratio tests after adding each biomarker at the prespecified cut points to the base model, then introducing new biomarkers to assess for improvement in model discrimination for risk prediction in CKD and non-CKD groups. Statistical analyses were performed with SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

Results

Characteristics of Study Participants

Of the 3218 participants, 56% were female, 52% were black, 29% were white, 17% were Hispanic, and 2% were other races. There were 279 (8.7%) with CKD. Seventy-six percent of those with CKD had stages 1 to 2, defined by albuminuria with eGFR \( \geq 60 \) mL/min per 1.73 m\(^2\). Specifically, proportions with stage 1, 2, 3, and 4 to 5 were 50%, 26%, 20%, and 3%, respectively. The mean (±SD) age was 44.6±9.8 years (Table 1). Participants with CKD were older, had a higher proportion of men...
and blacks, and were more likely to be hypertensive, diabetic, and current smokers than those without CKD. Median blood pressure and body mass index were also higher in the CKD group (Table 1). There were no differences in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and the proportion with hyperlipidemia between groups.

**Univariable Associations of Biomarkers With CKD**

Elevated circulating biomarkers, CAC, LV mass normalized to body surface area, and presence of LVH were all significantly associated with the presence of CKD, \(P<0.001\) for all (Table 1). Across advancing stages of CKD, there were statistically significant graded increases in the plasma levels of both BNP and NT-pro-BNP (\(P\) for trend \(<0.001\) for both, data not shown). Proportion with elevated hs-TnT, BNP, NT-pro-BNP, CAC, and LVH also increased across advancing CKD stages, \(P\) for trend \(<0.001\) for each (data not shown). Sensitivity analyses using the CKD-EPI equation to derive eGFRs for the analysis of biomarker levels revealed similar differences between CKD and non-CKD groups (Table S1).

**All-Cause Death and Cardiovascular Death or Event**

There were 296 deaths during a median (interquartile range) follow-up of 149.6 (145.8, 154.6) months. In the CKD group, 31.9\% died compared with 7.0\% in the non-CKD group, \(P<0.001\) (Table 2). Cardiovascular death/event was reached in 218 cases (29.7\% of participants with versus 6.1\% without CKD, \(P<0.001\)). Each component of the secondary outcome (cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction, stroke, congestive heart failure hospitalization, cardiovascular revascularization, and atrial fibrillation) also occurred in a higher proportion of CKD individuals (Table 2). Sensitivity analysis excluding 236 participants with prior cardiovascular disease revealed similar findings (Table S2).

**Effect Modification of CKD on Association of Biomarkers With Outcomes**

Event rates for both primary and secondary outcomes were higher in participants with elevated plasma biomarkers than without in both CKD and non-CKD groups (Figures 1 and 2). A statistically significant interaction was seen between CKD and the effect of BNP \(\geq 75\text{th percentile on all-cause death such that the adjusted hazard ratio was intensified and remained significant in the CKD group but was not significant in the non-CKD group (interaction } P=0.01\) (Table 3). There was also a significant interaction between the effect of CKD and both elevated BNP and detectable hs-TnT on cardiovascular death/event, so that the magnitude of the associations was accentuated in CKD individuals (Table 3). The adjusted hazard ratios of elevated hs-TnT and BNP for cardiovascular death/event in the CKD group were twice that in the non-CKD group. Controlling for eGFR yielded similar associations, with no change in the interactions between CKD and BNP on either outcome. However, after adjusting for eGFR, the interaction of CKD×hs-TnT for cardiovascular death/event became nonsignificant. Despite this, the adjusted hazard ratio of hs-TnT for cardiovascular death/event remained almost twice as high in the CKD group as in the non-CKD group. Additional models adjusting for ACR and body mass index separately produced similar results, with the exception of BNP (data not shown). When controlling for albuminuria, BNP remained significantly associated with cardiovascular death or event in CKD and non-CKD individuals, but the CKD×BNP interaction became nonsignificant: adjusted hazard ratio (95\% CI) was 1.60 (1.15, 2.23) in the non-CKD and 2.59 (1.54, 4.37) in the CKD group, interaction \(P=0.12\).

Sensitivity analyses excluding participants with prior CVD illustrated similar results for CKD effect modification, and also revealed a significant interaction between CKD and NT-pro-BNP for death, such that the hazard ratio for those with elevated NT-pro-BNP was 3.20 (1.83, 5.60) in the CKD group versus 1.72 (1.22, 2.41) in the non-CKD group, interaction \(P=0.06\) (Table 3). Results were similar when using CKD-EPI equation–derived eGFRs (Table S3).

There was no significant CKD×CAC interaction for death, although CAC appeared less predictive of cardiovascular death/event in the CKD compared with the non-CKD group, such that the association was no longer significant after adjustment for Framingham risk factors in the CKD group (Table 3). CKD did not modify the associations between LVH and the primary or secondary outcomes.

**Prognostic Ability of Biomarkers**

Figures 3 and 4 illustrate the c-statistics of nested model comparisons to determine whether biomarkers differentially added to the prognostic ability of traditional cardiovascular risk factors (base model). (See Tables S4 and S5 for individual model c-statistics, 95\% CI). NT-pro-BNP added prognostic information for *all-cause death* to the base model in both CKD and non-CKD individuals. Hs-TnT improved the prognostic discrimination for death in the non-CKD but not in the CKD group. This was true for both 1 biomarker and 2 biomarker models (Figure 3A and 3B). As compared to the base model and the model containing hs-TnT, addition of BNP or NT-pro-BNP improved the model fits in CKD, but adding hs-TnT to BNP or NT-pro-BNP did not improve prognostication. In the non-CKD group, all 2-biomarker models were more discriminatory for all-cause death than 1-biomarker models (Figure 3A and 3B).
In models for cardiovascular death/event, both BNP and NT-pro-BNP added prognostic value to the base model for CKD and non-CKD individuals (Figure 3C and 3D). Adding hs-TnT to the base model improved the fit only for those with CKD. Two-biomarker models were more discriminatory than 1-biomarker models for cardiovascular death/event in the CKD group. In those without CKD, hs-TnT did not add prognostic information for cardiovascular death/event to either the base model or the models containing only BNP or NT-pro-BNP (Figure 3C and 3D).

| Variables | No CKD (N=2939) | CKD (N=279) | P Value |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| Age, y, median (IQR) | 44.0 (37.0, 52.0) | 49.5 (40.0, 56.0) | <0.001 |
| Women, % | 1676 (57.0) | 137 (49.1) | 0.01 |
| Race/ethnicity, % | | | |
| Black | 1485 (50.5) | 192 (68.8) | <0.001 |
| White | 876 (29.8) | 45 (16.1) | |
| Hispanic | 515 (17.5) | 38 (13.6) | |
| Other | 63 (2.1) | 4 (1.4) | |
| Smoker, % | 1309 (44.6) | 149 (53.4) | 0.006 |
| Hypertension, % | 976 (33.2) | 186 (66.7) | <0.001 |
| Diabetes mellitus, % | 286 (9.7) | 105 (37.6) | <0.001 |
| Hyperlipidemia, % | 382 (12.7) | 45 (16.2) | 0.11 |
| Prior cardiovascular disease, %* | 187 (6.4) | 49 (17.6) | <0.001 |
| Blood pressure, mm Hg | | | |
| Systolic, median (IQR) | 123.5 (114.4, 134.2) | 135.1 (123.3, 153.1) | <0.001 |
| Diastolic, median (IQR) | 77.7 (72.4, 83.6) | 82.0 (76.0, 90.4) | <0.001 |
| BMI, kg/m², median (IQR) | 29.4 (25.4, 34.6) | 31.8 (27.4, 36.5) | <0.001 |
| ACR, mg/g, median (IQR) | 2.7 (1.8, 4.5) | 48.2 (26.6, 117.5) | <0.001 |
| GFR, mL/min per 1.73 m², median (IQR) | 98.4 (85.8, 112.9) | 89.6 (61.7, 114.4) | <0.001 |
| Total cholesterol, mg/dL, median (IQR) | 177.0 (154.0, 203.0) | 176.0 (150.0, 200.0) | 0.85 |
| HDL cholesterol, mg/dL, median (IQR) | 48.0 (40.0, 57.0) | 47.0 (39.0, 55.0) | 0.33 |

Cardiac biomarkers

| | No CKD (N=2939) | CKD (N=279) | |
| Hs-TnT ≥3 ng/L, % | 712 (24.2) | 166 (59.5) | <0.001 |
| BNP, pg/mL, mean (SD) | 10.9 (32.6) | 56.0 (316.4) | <0.001 |
| NT-pro-BNP, pg/mL, median (IQR) | 3.0 (0.1, 12.5) | 5.6 (0.1, 25.7) | <0.001 |
| NT-pro-BNP, pg/mL, mean (SD) | 53.6 (118.4) | 327.0 (1242.4) | <0.001 |
| NT-pro-BNP ≥75%ile, %† | 27.9 (13.0, 56.5) | 55.0 (19.4, 157.1) | <0.001 |
| BNP >75%ile, % | 707 (24.1) | 100 (35.8) | <0.001 |
| NT-pro-BNP >75%ile, % | 668 (22.7) | 138 (49.5) | <0.001 |
| CAC ≥100 Agatston units, %‡ | 197 (8.5) | 45 (22.4) | <0.001 |
| LV mass, g, median (IQR) | 155.9 (129.9, 186.2) | 183.4 (147.9, 232.0) | <0.001 |
| LV mass/BSA, g/m², median (IQR) | 79.5 (69.8, 92.1) | 92.4 (78.5, 111.2) | <0.001 |
| LVH, %§ | 219 (9.4) | 69 (34.0) | <0.001 |

ACR indicates spot urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio; BMI, body mass index; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; BSA, body surface area; CAC, coronary artery calcification; CKD, chronic kidney disease; GFR, glomerular filtration rate; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; hs-TnT, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T; IQR, interquartile range; LV, left ventricular; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

*Prior cardiovascular disease was defined as self-reported history of prior myocardial infarction, revascularization, heart failure, or stroke.
†Derived from sex-based cutoffs: BNP 75th percentile cutoff for women = 15.4 pg/mL, for men = 9.5 pg/mL; NT-pro-BNP 75th percentile cutoff for women = 76.1 pg/mL, for men = 40.6 pg/mL.
‡Included 2522 participants (2321 without CKD and 201 with CKD) with available CAC scores.
§Included 2543 participants (2340 without CKD and 203 with CKD) with available cardiac magnetic resonance imaging measurements.
In the CKD group, adding LVH did not improve the prognostic discrimination of models containing 2 circulating biomarkers for all-cause death (Figure 4A and 4B). However, in both CKD and non-CKD participants, LVH did improve model fit for cardiovascular death/event when added to any of the 2-biomarker models or models including CAC (Figure 4C and 4D). Adding CAC provided prognostic value for all-cause death in both CKD and non-CKD, but not for cardiovascular death/event in CKD participants.

**Discussion**

In this report from a large multiethnic population-based cohort with a median follow-up of 12.5 years, we found that (1) despite that levels of plasma and imaging cardiac biomarkers were more commonly elevated in CKD, these biomarkers, except for CAC, still prognosticated all-cause death and cardiovascular death/event at least as well, if not better in CKD as in non-CKD individuals; and (2) each biomarker added to the prognostic ability of traditional cardiovascular risk factors alone in those with CKD, except that CAC was not discriminatory for cardiovascular death/event. Our CKD sample is unique in that the majority were defined by albuminuria, with a minority defined by eGFR < 60 mL/min per 1.73 m², showing that these associations exist not only in those with reduced GFR but also in those with earlier stages of CKD when GFR is preserved.

Baseline chronic elevation of circulating cardiac biomarker levels has historically clouded clinical interpretation of these important tests in advanced CKD patients. The fractional plasma clearance of both BNP and NT-pro-BNP are reduced with declining eGFR, particularly for NT-pro-BNP. The impact of renal clearance on circulating TnT concentrations is less certain. In this study, the majority of the CKD group was defined by albuminuria with preserved eGFR, where knowledge gaps in the literature exist. We extend the finding that these cardiac biomarkers are elevated in those with decreased GFR to a multiethnic CKD group primarily composed of those with preserved GFR, a sample not included in the majority of previous studies. In addition to decreased renal clearance, potential mechanisms for biomarker elevations in CKD patients could include chronic myocardial injury from altered hemodynamics, inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, and subendocardial ischemia in those with albuminuria.

We show that despite the increased prevalence of elevated hs-TnT, BNP, and NT-pro-BNP levels, each biomarker independently prognosticates hard clinical outcomes in CKD, and in some instances has even stronger associations with outcomes than in non-CKD individuals. Studies of TnT in nondialysis CKD patients were limited by small sample sizes and low event rates, precluding adjustment for traditional risk factors and limiting results to unadjusted hazard ratios; only 3 investigated the prognostic value of the hs-TnT assay in CKD patients, showing an association with incident heart failure and cardiovascular events. Our study is the first to report from a multiethnic population-based cohort that even after controlling for traditional cardiovascular risk factors, the magnitude of the association between hs-TnT and cardiovascular outcomes was 2 times greater in those with CKD versus those without CKD, in a sample weighted towards those with albuminuria but preserved eGFR. Controlling for eGFR to account for potentially decreased renal clearance of biomarkers slightly attenuated the interaction between CKD and hs-TnT on cardiovascular death/event, but the association remained twice as strong in the CKD group as in the non-CKD group. This suggests that elevation in hs-TnT and association with cardiovascular outcomes in CKD is not

**Table 2. Outcome Measures by CKD Status**

| Outcome Measure                             | Entire Cohort (N=3218) | No CKD (N=2939) | CKD (N=279) | P Value |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------|---------|
| All-cause death                             | 296 (9.2)              | 207 (7.0)       | 89 (31.9)   | <0.001  |
| Cardiovascular death or cardiovascular event| 218 (7.9)              | 155 (6.1)       | 63 (29.7)   | <0.001  |
| Cardiovascular death                        | 48 (1.7)               | 29 (1.1)        | 19 (9.0)    | <0.001  |
| Cardiovascular death or heart failure       | 107 (3.3)              | 66 (2.3)        | 41 (14.7)   | <0.001  |
| Nonfatal MI                                 | 67 (2.4)               | 53 (2.1)        | 14 (6.6)    | <0.001  |
| Stroke                                      | 21 (0.8)               | 12 (0.5)        | 9 (4.3)     | <0.001  |
| CHF hospitalization                         | 72 (2.6)               | 45 (1.8)        | 27 (12.7)   | <0.001  |
| Cardiovascular revascularization            | 69 (2.5)               | 52 (2.0)        | 17 (8.0)    | <0.001  |
| Atrial fibrillation                         | 29 (1.1)               | 22 (0.9)        | 7 (3.3)     | 0.005   |

CHF indicates congestive heart failure; CKD, chronic kidney disease; MI, myocardial infarction.
merely because of decreased clearance, and may reflect other mechanisms such as underlying myocardial strain, endothelial dysfunction, or subendocardial ischemia in albuminuric CKD.\textsuperscript{5} NT-pro-BNP has been associated with death\textsuperscript{9,11,15,39,45} and cardiovascular events in CKD samples.\textsuperscript{10,11,14,38,43–45}

Elevated BNP, which has a shorter half-life than NT-pro-BNP, was associated with cardiovascular events in a Japanese cohort with known CVD.\textsuperscript{11} In our adjusted models, elevated

Figure 1. Kaplan–Meier curves for all-cause death with (A) BNP, (B) NT-pro-BNP, and (C) hs-TnT cutoffs. \(\P\) values are for log-rank tests comparing curves within CKD and non-CKD groups. BNP indicates brain natriuretic peptide; CKD, chronic kidney disease; hs-TnT, high-sensitivity troponin T; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide.
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Figure 2. Kaplan–Meier curves for cardiovascular deaths or events with (A) BNP, (B) NT-pro-BNP, and (C) hs-TnT cutoffs. \(\P\) values are for log-rank tests comparing curves within CKD and non-CKD groups. BNP indicates brain natriuretic peptide; CKD, chronic kidney disease; hs-TnT, high-sensitivity troponin T; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide.
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BNP was associated with all-cause death in the CKD group, with no significant association in non-CKD individuals, even after controlling for eGFR. This may reflect the fact that very low BNP levels in the non-CKD group, which fall in a range of imprecision of the assay, do not allow discrimination of risk. We also show that in individuals without prior CVD, NT-pro-BNP was more strongly associated with death if CKD was present versus if absent. This association persisted after controlling for eGFR, suggesting mechanisms other than decreased renal clearance of NT-pro-BNP. Volume overload is functional for the cardiomechanical changes associated with CKD.

Table 3. Associations of Biomarkers With Outcomes by CKD Status

| Exposure Variable | No CKD (N=2939) HR (95% CI) | CKD (N=279) HR (95% CI) | P Value for Interaction |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| **All-cause death** |                             |                         |                        |
| Hs-TnT (≥3 ng/L)   |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 3.16 (2.40, 4.15)            | 2.73 (1.66, 4.50)       | 0.62                   |
| Adjusted¹         | 1.75 (1.29, 2.38)            | 1.41 (0.84, 2.39)       | 0.47                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 1.72 (1.27, 2.34)            | 1.31 (0.77, 2.24)       | 0.36                   |
| Without prior CVD |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 2.73 (2.00, 3.73)            | 2.58 (1.48, 4.48)       | 0.85                   |
| Adjusted*         | 1.55 (1.09, 2.20)            | 1.37 (0.76, 2.47)       | 0.71                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 1.52 (1.07, 2.16)            | 1.26 (0.69, 2.29)       | 0.57                   |
| **BNP ≥75th percentile** |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 1.36 (1.01, 1.83)            | 2.47 (1.63, 3.75)       | 0.02                   |
| Adjusted*         | 1.04 (0.76, 1.41)            | 2.05 (1.34, 3.14)       | 0.01                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 1.04 (0.77, 1.42)            | 1.97 (1.29, 3.02)       | 0.02                   |
| Without prior CVD |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 1.02 (0.71, 1.47)            | 2.13 (1.31, 3.47)       | 0.02                   |
| Adjusted*         | 0.78 (0.53, 1.14)            | 1.63 (0.99, 2.69)       | 0.02                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 0.79 (0.54, 1.15)            | 1.55 (0.93, 2.57)       | 0.04                   |
| **NT-pro-BNP ≥75th percentile** |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 2.57 (1.95, 3.38)            | 3.66 (2.28, 5.88)       | 0.21                   |
| Adjusted*         | 1.92 (1.44, 2.56)            | 2.92 (1.80, 4.76)       | 0.14                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 1.93 (1.44, 2.57)            | 2.82 (1.73, 4.60)       | 0.18                   |
| Without prior CVD |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 2.19 (1.59, 3.03)            | 4.11 (2.39, 7.08)       | 0.05                   |
| Adjusted*         | 1.72 (1.22, 2.41)            | 3.20 (1.83, 5.60)       | 0.06                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 1.73 (1.23, 2.43)            | 3.10 (1.77, 5.44)       | 0.08                   |
| **CAC ≥100¹**     |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 5.36 (3.76, 7.64)            | 3.39 (1.98, 5.80)       | 0.16                   |
| Adjusted*         | 2.31 (1.55, 3.43)            | 2.12 (1.20, 3.73)       | 0.80                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 2.30 (1.54, 3.42)            | 1.88 (1.05, 3.35)       | 0.56                   |
| **LVH²**          |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 3.12 (2.12, 4.61)            | 2.34 (1.38, 3.95)       | 0.39                   |
| Adjusted*         | 1.61 (1.06, 2.44)            | 1.57 (0.92, 2.68)       | 0.94                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 1.61 (1.06, 2.45)            | 1.52 (0.88, 2.60)       | 0.86                   |

Table 3. Continued

| Exposure Variable | No CKD (N=2939) HR (95% CI) | CKD (N=279) HR (95% CI) | P Value for Interaction |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| **Cardiovascular death or event** |                             |                         |                        |
| Hs-TnT (≥3 ng/L)   |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 3.04 (2.21, 4.16)            | 7.07 (3.37, 14.86)      | 0.04                   |
| Adjusted*         | 1.65 (1.16, 2.35)            | 3.34 (1.56, 7.18)       | 0.09                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 1.63 (1.14, 2.32)            | 3.13 (1.45, 6.76)       | 0.12                   |
| Without prior CVD |                             |                         |                        |
| Unadjusted        | 2.54 (1.73, 3.73)            | 7.45 (3.16, 17.61)      | 0.03                   |
| Adjusted*         | 1.39 (0.91, 2.14)            | 3.60 (1.48, 8.78)       | 0.05                   |
| Adjusted+eGFR¹    | 1.38 (0.90, 2.12)            | 3.40 (1.39, 8.37)       | 0.06                   |

BNP indicates brain natriuretic peptide; CAC, coronary artery calcification; CKD, chronic kidney disease; CVD, cardiovascular disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HR, hazard ratio; Hs-TnT, high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide.

¹Models adjusted for age, sex, race, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking, total and high-density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol.

²Models adjusted for age, sex, race, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking, total and HDL cholesterol, and eGFR.

³Analysis excluding 236 participants with prior cardiovascular disease, defined as self-reported history of prior myocardial infarction, revascularization, heart failure, or stroke (total N=2982).

⁴Analysis including 2324 participants with available imaging studies for evaluation of CAC and LVH. Sensitivity analyses excluding participants with prior cardiovascular disease were not performed for CAC or LVH, given fewer numbers of events.
a poor prognosticator in CKD, and despite decreased renal clearance of BNP or NT-pro-BNP in later stage CKD, elevated levels in earlier stages may reflect subclinical chronic volume overload in the setting of albuminuria.46 While hs-TnT more specifically prognosticated cardiovascular outcomes, BNP was associated with both cardiovascular outcomes and all-cause death, supporting this underlying pathophysiologic mechanism of chronic volume overload secondary to albuminuria even before decline in GFR.

Although traditional risk factors were more prevalent among those with CKD than without, addition of cardiac circulating biomarkers generally improved the prognostic ability of the base model that included traditional risk factors in CKD participants. The poorer performance of traditional cardiovascular risk factors alone before the addition of biomarkers for predicting outcomes highlights an opportunity to identify nontraditional risk factors specific to CKD populations to improve risk prediction.

Regarding cardiac imaging biomarkers, we confirm increased prevalence of LVH in CKD versus non-CKD participants, even in those with albuminuria but without diminished eGFR.47–49 Previous studies reporting association of LVH with cardiovascular events may be largely confounded by the presence of hypertension.20 We show that although adding LVH does not improve mortality prediction in CKD, it does improve prediction for cardiovascular death/event, even after controlling for hypertension.

There are less data reporting unfavorable clinical outcomes of CAC in nondialysis CKD versus in end-stage renal disease samples and were limited by low event rates, limited follow-up, or ethnic homogeneity.16,17 We show that although CAC ≥100 was more commonly present in those with CKD, it did

---

Figure 3. Differential prognostication of circulating biomarkers for all-cause death in (A) non-CKD and (B) CKD individuals; and for cardiovascular death or event in (C) non-CKD and (D) CKD individuals. X-axis represents Harrell’s c-statistics, and P values are for likelihood ratio tests comparing the nested models. BNP indicates brain natriuretic peptide; CKD, chronic kidney disease; hs-TnT, high-sensitivity troponin T; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide. *P<0.05 1 biomarker model compared with base model, including age, sex, race, diabetes mellitus, hypertension, smoking, and total and HDL cholesterol. †P<0.05 2 biomarker model compared to base model+BNP. ‡P<0.05 2 biomarker model compared to base model+NT-pro-BNP. §P<0.05 2 biomarker model compared with base model+hs-TnT.
not add prognostic value above traditional markers for cardiovascular outcomes in CKD individuals. In fact, CAC was less predictive of cardiovascular death/event in the CKD group compared with the non-CKD group. It is possible that underlying pathophysiologic differences in the development of CVD in CKD, such as medial versus intimal vessel calcification, may lead to other predisposing factors for cardiovascular events that would not be reflected in CAC scores. Alternatively, increased CAC may be a surrogate for other traditional cardiovascular risk factors in CKD patients, such that controlling for these factors resulted in a nonsignificant hazard ratio. Finally, the decreased number of participants with available CAC may have underpowered this assessment.

Despite the significance of our findings in a large multiethnic population-based cohort, a few limitations deserve mentioning. The CKD group comprises a relatively low proportion of our cohort, but it is the largest cohort in the literature that contains all of the cardiac biomarkers of interest, a non-CKD comparison group, and long-term cardiovascular outcome measures for analysis. These findings should be validated in samples with larger numbers of individuals with CKD. Our sample included a lesser number of participants with stage 4 to 5 CKD, although the larger number with earlier stages of CKD addresses the knowledge gaps in the existing literature. In addition, time-varying repeated measures of kidney function and cardiac biomarkers in relation to outcomes were not assessed. Future studies...
with serial biomarker evaluations are needed to investigate whether changing biomarker levels over time will affect cardiovascular outcomes. Finally, given that serum creatinine concentrations were determined by the alkaline picrate method, the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease equation was used to calculate eGFR. This could lead to potential misclassification of some CKD patients based on eGFR alone. However, only 3 participants were classified as having CKD by the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease but not by the CKD-EPI equation, and sensitivity analyses using CKD-EPI-derived eGFRs yielded similar results.

**Conclusion**

We confirm that hs-TnT, BNP, NT-pro-BNP, CAC, and LVH are more commonly elevated in CKD, even at early stages identified by albuminuria. Despite this, we demonstrate that in early stages of CKD with preserved GFR, each of these biomarkers, except for CAC, prognosticates outcomes at least as well, if not more powerfully, as in non-CKD individuals, and adds to the prognostic information obtained from traditional risk factors alone. The lower performance of traditional risk factors alone in those with CKD leaves room for further elucidation of the role of nontraditional risk factors to improve risk prediction in CKD patients.
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SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL
Table S1. Cardiac biomarkers across CKD stages based on eGFR derived by CKD EPI equation

| Cardiac Biomarker | No CKD N=2,942 | CKD N=276 | P for CKD vs. no CKD |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Hs-TnT ≥3 ng/L (%) | 714 (24.3)     | 164 (59.4) | <0.001               |
| BNP, pg/mL, mean (SD) | 10.9 (32.5)     | 56.6 (318.1) | <0.001               |
| BNP, pg/mL, median (IQR) | 3.0 (0.1, 12.5) | 5.7 (0.1, 26.6) | <0.001               |
| NT-pro-BNP, pg/mL, mean (SD) | 53.6 (118.3)     | 330.0 (1248.8) | <0.001               |
| NT-pro-BNP, pg/mL, median (IQR) | 27.9 (13.0, 56.5) | 55.3 (19.5, 157.5) | <0.001               |
| BNP ≥75%ile (%)* | 707 (24.0)     | 100 (36.2) | <0.001               |
| NT-pro-BNP ≥75%ile (%)* | 669 (22.7)     | 137 (49.6) | <0.001               |
| CAC ≥100 Agatston units (%)† | 198 (8.5) | 44 (21.9) | <0.001               |
| LV mass, g, median (IQR)‡ | 155.9 (129.9, 186.3) | 183.6 (147.9, 232.0) | <0.001               |
| LV mass/BSA, g/m², median (IQR)‡ | 79.5 (69.8, 92.0) | 92.5 (78.5, 112.1) | <0.001               |
| LVH (%)‡ | 219 (9.4) | 69 (34.0) | <0.001               |

CKD, chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-TnT, high sensitivity cardiac troponin T; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; SD, standard deviation; IQR, interquartile range; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; CAC, coronary artery calcification; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; LV, left ventricular; BSA, body surface area

*Derived from sex-based cutoffs: BNP 75th percentile cutoff for women =15.4 pg/ml; for men =9.5 pg/ml; NT-pro-BNP 75th percentile cutoff for women =76.1 pg/ml; for men = 40.6 pg/ml
†Included 2,522 participants (2,321 without CKD and 201 with CKD) with available CAC scores.
‡Included 2,543 participants (2,340 without CKD and 203 with CKD) with available cardiac MRI measurements.
### Table S2. Outcome measures by CKD status excluding participants with prior cardiovascular disease*

| Outcome Measure                        | Entire cohort N=2,982 | No CKD N=2,752 | CKD N=230 | P-value |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|---------|
| All-cause death                        | 226 (7.6)             | 161 (5.9)      | 65 (28.3) | <0.001  |
| CV death or CV event                   | 152 (5.9)             | 107 (4.5)      | 45 (25.4) | <0.001  |
| CV death                               | 29 (1.1)              | 17 (0.7)       | 12 (6.8)  | <0.001  |
| CV death or heart failure              | 70 (2.4)              | 42 (1.5)       | 28 (12.2) | <0.001  |
| Non-fatal MI                           | 44 (1.7)              | 36 (1.5)       | 8 (4.5)   | 0.01    |
| Stroke                                 | 10 (0.4)              | 4 (0.2)        | 6 (3.4)   | <0.001  |
| CHF hospitalization                    | 45 (1.2)              | 27 (1.1)       | 18 (10.2) | <0.001  |
| CV revascularization                   | 50 (1.9)              | 39 (1.6)       | 11 (6.2)  | <0.001  |
| Atrial fibrillation                    | 20 (0.8)              | 15 (0.6)       | 5 (2.8)   | 0.01    |

CKD, chronic kidney disease; CV, cardiovascular; CHF, congestive heart failure

*Sensitivity analysis excluding 236 participants (49 with CKD and 187 without CKD) with prior cardiovascular disease, defined as self-reported history of prior myocardial infarction, revascularization, heart failure, or stroke.
Table S3. Associations of biomarkers with outcomes by CKD status based on eGFR derived by CKD EPI equation

| Cardiac biomarker | No CKD HR (95% CI) N=2,942 | CKD HR (95% CI) N=276 | P-value for interaction |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
|                   | All-cause death             |                        |                         |
| **Hs-TnT (≥3 ng/L)** |                             |                        |                         |
| Unadjusted        | 3.15 (2.40, 4.13)           | 2.87 (1.72, 4.77)      | 0.75                    |
| Adjusted*         | 1.75 (1.29, 2.37)           | 1.47 (0.86, 2.52)      | 0.56                    |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 1.72 (1.27, 2.34)           | 1.39 (0.81, 2.39)      | 0.48                    |
| **Without prior CVD‡** |                             |                        |                         |
| Unadjusted        | 2.74 (2.01, 3.73)           | 2.72 (1.54, 4.79)      | 0.98                    |
| Adjusted*         | 1.55 (1.09, 2.20)           | 1.43 (0.78, 2.61)      | 0.81                    |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 1.52 (1.07, 2.16)           | 1.33 (0.73, 2.45)      | 0.70                    |
| **BNP ≥75th percentile** |                             |                        |                         |
| Unadjusted        | 1.34 (1.00, 1.80)           | 2.57 (1.68, 3.92)      | 0.01                    |
| Adjusted*         | 1.02 (0.75, 1.39)           | 2.15 (1.40, 3.31)      | 0.005                   |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 1.03 (0.76, 1.40)           | 2.11 (1.37, 3.24)      | 0.007                   |
| **Without prior CVD‡** |                             |                        |                         |
| Unadjusted        | 1.00 (0.70, 1.45)           | 2.23 (1.36, 3.66)      | 0.01                    |
| Adjusted*         | 0.77 (0.53, 1.13)           | 1.73 (1.04, 2.87)      | 0.01                    |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 0.78 (0.53, 1.14)           | 1.67 (1.01, 2.78)      | 0.02                    |
| **NT-pro-BNP ≥75th percentile** |                             |                        |                         |
| Unadjusted        | 2.57 (1.96, 3.39)           | 3.76 (2.32, 6.10)      | 0.18                    |
| Adjusted*         | 1.93 (1.44, 2.57)           | 3.02 (1.84, 4.95)      | 0.12                    |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 1.93 (1.45, 2.57)           | 2.94 (1.79, 4.83)      | 0.15                    |
| **Without prior CVD‡** |                             |                        |                         |
| Unadjusted        | 2.21 (1.61, 3.04)           | 4.25 (2.44, 7.42)      | 0.05                    |
| Adjusted*         | 1.73 (1.24, 2.42)           | 3.33 (1.87, 5.90)      | 0.05                    |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 1.74 (1.24, 2.44)           | 3.25 (1.83, 5.77)      | 0.06                    |
| CAC ≥100$ |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|
| Unadjusted | 5.41 (3.81, 7.69) | 3.39 (1.96, 5.86) | 0.16 |
| Adjusted* | 2.32 (1.57, 3.45) | 2.08 (1.17, 3.70) | 0.75 |
| Adjusted + eGFR† | 2.31 (1.56, 3.43) | 1.89 (1.05, 3.39) | 0.56 |
| LVH$ |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted | 3.07 (2.08, 4.52) | 2.47 (1.45, 4.21) | 0.52 |
| Adjusted* | 1.57 (1.04, 2.38) | 1.67 (0.97, 2.89) | 0.86 |
| Adjusted + eGFR† | 1.58 (1.04, 2.39) | 1.70 (1.98, 2.93) | 0.83 |
| CV death or event |  |  |  |
| Hs-TnT (≥3 ng/L) |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted | 3.06 (2.24, 4.20) | 7.00 (3.33, 14.71) | 0.04 |
| Adjusted* | 1.70 (1.17, 2.37) | 3.27 (1.52, 7.03) | 0.10 |
| Adjusted + eGFR† | 1.63 (1.15, 2.33) | 3.05 (1.41, 6.58) | 0.13 |
| Without prior CVD‡ |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted | 2.59 (1.77, 3.80) | 7.37 (3.12, 17.44) | 0.03 |
| Adjusted* | 1.42 (0.93, 2.18) | 3.50 (1.43, 8.54) | 0.06 |
| Adjusted + eGFR† | 1.39 (0.91, 2.14) | 3.22 (1.31, 7.91) | 0.09 |
| BNP ≥75th percentile |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted | 2.25 (1.63, 3.10) | 2.86 (1.74, 4.72) | 0.42 |
| Adjusted* | 1.63 (1.17, 2.26) | 3.14 (1.88, 5.25) | 0.03 |
| Adjusted + eGFR† | 1.63 (1.18, 2.26) | 2.95 (1.75, 4.95) | 0.06 |
| Without prior CVD‡ |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted | 1.77 (1.19, 2.65) | 2.94 (1.62, 5.34) | 0.17 |
| Adjusted* | 1.31 (0.87, 1.97) | 3.24 (1.75, 5.99) | 0.02 |
| Adjusted + eGFR† | 1.31 (0.87, 1.98) | 2.98 (1.59, 5.58) | 0.03 |
| NT-pro-BNP ≥75th percentile |  |  |  |
| Unadjusted | 3.50 (2.55, 4.79) | 3.58 (2.10, 6.10) | 0.94 |
| Adjusted* | 2.64 (1.91, 3.65) | 2.67 (1.56, 4.59) | 0.96 |
| Conducted + eGFR† | 2.63 (1.90, 3.63) | 2.58 (1.50, 4.43) | 0.95 |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|
| **Without prior CVD‡** |                   |                   |      |
| Unadjusted        | 2.77 (1.89, 4.07) | 3.83 (2.05, 7.15) | 0.39 |
| Adjusted*         | 2.25 (1.51, 3.36) | 2.71 (1.44, 5.10) | 0.63 |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 2.27 (1.52, 3.38) | 2.63 (1.39, 4.95) | 0.70 |
| **CAC ≥100§**     |                   |                   |      |
| Unadjusted        | 6.93 (4.65, 10.33)| 2.67 (1.36, 5.26) | 0.02 |
| Adjusted*         | 2.82 (1.82, 4.38) | 1.12 (0.54, 2.29) | 0.02 |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 2.83 (1.82, 4.39) | 1.05 (0.51, 2.18) | 0.02 |
| **LVH§**          |                   |                   |      |
| Unadjusted        | 4.33 (2.83, 6.63) | 4.33 (2.31, 8.11) | 0.99 |
| Adjusted*         | 2.90 (1.84, 4.58) | 3.51 (1.85, 6.68) | 0.63 |
| Adjusted + eGFR†  | 2.89 (1.83, 4.57) | 3.48 (1.83, 6.63) | 0.64 |

CKD, chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; hs-TnT, high sensitivity cardiac troponin T; CVD, cardiovascular disease; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; CAC, coronary artery calcification; LVH, left ventricular hypertrophy

*Models adjusted for age, sex, race, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, total and HDL cholesterol.
†Models adjusted for age, sex, race, diabetes, hypertension, smoking, total and HDL cholesterol, and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR).
‡Analysis excluding 236 participants with prior cardiovascular disease, defined as self-reported history of prior myocardial infarction, revascularization, heart failure, or stroke.
§Analysis including 2,324 participants with available imaging studies for evaluation of CAC and LVH. Sensitivity analysis excluding participants with prior cardiovascular disease not performed for CAC or LVH given too few events.
Table S4. C-statistics of nested models comparing prognostic utility of adding circulating biomarkers for outcomes by CKD status

| Biomarkers added to the base model | No CKD C-statistic (95% CI) N=2,939 | CKD C-statistic (95% CI) N=279 |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| All cause death                   |                                   |                                 |
| Base model                        | 0.762 (0.729, 0.795)              | 0.708 (0.658, 0.758)            |
| BNP                               | 0.762 (0.729, 0.795)              | 0.736 (0.684, 0.787)            |
| NT-pro-BNP                        | 0.770 (0.737, 0.803)              | 0.748 (0.700, 0.797)            |
| Hs-TnT                            | 0.767 (0.734, 0.800)              | 0.710 (0.661, 0.760)            |
| BNP + hs-TnT                       | 0.768 (0.734, 0.801)              | 0.734 (0.683, 0.785)            |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT                | 0.773 (0.740, 0.806)              | 0.749 (0.701, 0.797)            |
| CV death or event                 |                                   |                                 |
| Base model                        | 0.801 (0.770, 0.832)              | 0.706 (0.645, 0.767)            |
| BNP                               | 0.806 (0.775, 0.837)              | 0.743 (0.684, 0.802)            |
| NT-pro-BNP                        | 0.812 (0.781, 0.843)              | 0.765 (0.716, 0.815)            |
| Hs-TnT                            | 0.806 (0.776, 0.837)              | 0.742 (0.687, 0.798)            |
| BNP + hs-TnT                       | 0.809 (0.779, 0.840)              | 0.769 (0.717, 0.821)            |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT                | 0.815 (0.784, 0.846)              | 0.778 (0.729, 0.828)            |

CKD, chronic kidney disease; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide, Hs-TnT, high sensitivity cardiac troponin T; Cutoff value for BNP and NT-pro-BNP ≥75th percentile; cutoff value for hs-TnT ≥3 ng/L.
### Table S5. C-statistics of nested models comparing prognostic utility of adding circulating and imaging biomarkers for outcomes by CKD status

| Biomarkers added to the base model | No CKD C-statistic (95% CI) N=2,140 | CKD C-statistic (95% CI) N=184 |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| **All cause death**               |                                    |                                |
| Base model                        | 0.769 (0.729, 0.809)               | 0.708 (0.645, 0.771)           |
| BNP                               | 0.769 (0.729, 0.809)               | 0.718 (0.653, 0.783)           |
| NT-pro-BNP                        | 0.776 (0.736, 0.815)               | 0.727 (0.664, 0.790)           |
| Hs-TnT                            | 0.772 (0.732, 0.812)               | 0.707 (0.645, 0.770)           |
| BNP + hs-TnT                      | 0.772 (0.733, 0.812)               | 0.717 (0.651, 0.782)           |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT               | 0.777 (0.737, 0.816)               | 0.727 (0.664, 0.790)           |
| NT-pro-BNP + CAC                  | 0.779 (0.740, 0.818)               | 0.744 (0.684, 0.805)           |
| NT-pro-BNP + LVH                  | 0.778 (0.738, 0.818)               | 0.731 (0.667, 0.795)           |
| Hs-TnT + CAC                      | 0.776 (0.737, 0.816)               | 0.739 (0.678, 0.799)           |
| Hs-TnT + LVH                      | 0.775 (0.735, 0.815)               | 0.720 (0.657, 0.783)           |
| CAC + LVH                         | 0.777 (0.738, 0.817)               | 0.744 (0.681, 0.806)           |
| BNP + hs-TnT + CAC                | 0.777 (0.737, 0.816)               | 0.747 (0.686, 0.807)           |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT + CAC         | 0.779 (0.740, 0.819)               | 0.748 (0.688, 0.807)           |
| BNP + hs-TnT + LVH                | 0.775 (0.735, 0.815)               | 0.719 (0.654, 0.784)           |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT + LVH         | 0.778 (0.739, 0.818)               | 0.732 (0.669, 0.795)           |
| BNP + hs-TnT + CAC + LVH          | 0.779 (0.739, 0.819)               | 0.749 (0.688, 0.811)           |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT + CAC + LVH   | 0.781 (0.742, 0.820)               | 0.752 (0.691, 0.813)           |
| **CV death or event**             |                                    |                                |
| Base model                        | 0.819 (0.784, 0.853)               | 0.708 (0.634, 0.781)           |
| BNP                               | 0.821 (0.787, 0.855)               | 0.731 (0.659, 0.803)           |
| NT-pro-BNP                        | 0.828 (0.794, 0.862)               | 0.762 (0.703, 0.821)           |
| Hs-TnT                            | 0.821 (0.788, 0.855)               | 0.731 (0.661, 0.801)           |
| BNP + hs-TnT                      | 0.823 (0.789, 0.856)               | 0.754 (0.689, 0.818)           |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT               | 0.829 (0.795, 0.863)               | 0.768 (0.708, 0.828)           |
| Combination                        | AUC (95% CI)          | BIC (95% CI)          |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| NT-pro-BNP + CAC                  | 0.835 (0.802, 0.869)  | 0.770 (0.710, 0.831)  |
| NT-pro-BNP + LVH                  | 0.835 (0.801, 0.869)  | 0.780 (0.724, 0.836)  |
| Hs-TnT + CAC                      | 0.831 (0.799, 0.864)  | 0.750 (0.676, 0.825)  |
| Hs-TnT + LVH                      | 0.830 (0.797, 0.864)  | 0.761 (0.687, 0.836)  |
| CAC + LVH                         | 0.839 (0.807, 0.817)  | 0.766 (0.697, 0.836)  |
| BNP + hs-TnT + CAC                | 0.831 (0.798, 0.864)  | 0.771 (0.707, 0.836)  |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT + CAC         | 0.835 (0.802, 0.869)  | 0.774 (0.712, 0.837)  |
| BNP + hs-TnT + LVH                | 0.831 (0.797, 0.865)  | 0.774 (0.710, 0.838)  |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT + LVH         | 0.835 (0.801, 0.869)  | 0.784 (0.723, 0.846)  |
| BNP + hs-TnT + CAC + LVH          | 0.839 (0.807, 0.872)  | 0.790 (0.725, 0.855)  |
| NT-pro-BNP + hs-TnT + CAC + LVH   | 0.841 (0.807, 0.874)  | 0.789 (0.724, 0.853)  |

CKD, chronic kidney disease; BNP, brain natriuretic peptide; NT-pro-BNP, N-terminal-pro-brain natriuretic peptide; Hs-TnT, high sensitivity cardiac troponin T
Analysis including 2,324 participants with available imaging studies for evaluation of CAC and LVH. Cutoff value for BNP and NT-pro-BNP ≥75th percentile; cutoff value for Hs-TnT ≥3 ng/L; cutoff value for CAC ≥100 Agatston units.