INTRODUCTION

In 2008, with an estimated 263,000 new cases, oral (including lip) squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) ranked as the 15th most common cancer worldwide when the global incidence and related mortality of 27 malignancies was estimated for 182 countries by the International Agency for Research on Cancer as part of the GLOBOCAN scheme [1]. The most common site of intraoral SCC is the tongue, which accounts for around 40% of all cases involving the oral cavity proper.

Despite advances in surgery (including reconstructive techniques) and radiotherapy and chemotherapy, the five-year survival rate for oral cancer has not improved significantly over the past several decades and it is still around 50% to 55%. There is an undeniable need for novel, more effective diagnostic and therapeutic strategies to improve the overall survival of patients with oral SCC, particularly in advanced cases. Very recent research has focused on targeted molecular therapy, immunotherapy, and latent/residual tumor cell ablation. Although many promising therapies have been tested, only anti-epidermal growth factor receptor (anti-EGFR) therapy has been approved by the U.S.
Food and Drug Administration in recent years for use in SCCs of the head and neck.

STAT3 is a member of the STAT family that takes part in the normal cellular responses to cytokines and growth factors, such as transcription factors. STAT3 occurs in the cytoplasm under basal conditions and is activated as a consequence of the ligand-mediated receptor activation induced by Janus tyrosine kinases or growth factors. It seems that, while STAT2, STAT4, and STAT6 are only activated under normal conditions, STAT1, STAT3, and STAT5 would appear to have an important part to play in cancer (STAT1 as a tumor suppressor, and STAT3, and STAT5 as onco-genes) [2]. In normal cells, the ligand-dependent activation of the STATs is a transient process, lasting from a few minutes to several hours. In tumors, STAT proteins remain persistently phosphorylated, and consequently activated: phosphorylated STAT3 (pSTAT3) dimerizes and moves to the nucleus, regulating the transcription of target genes. There are reports of STAT3 being constitutively activated in lymphomas and in numerous primary cancers affecting the prostate, breast, lung, colon, liver or pancreas, and in head and neck carcinomas too [3-5]. The C-terminal transactivation domain of STAT3 has an important role in its activation through a tyrosine residue at position 705 and a serine residue at position 727 [2]. In particular, phosphorylation of the ser-727 site by several serine kinases, such as mammalian target of rapamycin, protein kinase C (PKC) delta, cyclin-dependent kinase 5, and PKC-ε, determines the maximal transcriptional activity of STAT3 [4]. pSTAT3 regulates many of the genes that play a part in a cancer’s initiation, development, and progression, affecting cell proliferation, interfering with apoptosis, and supporting invasion, angiogenesis, and immune surveillance evasion [2,4]. It has also recently been reported that, in head and neck carcinoma, pSTAT3 is a downstream effector of EGFR, interleukin (IL) 6, and the Src family of kinases [6,7].

The aim of this study was to conduct a preliminary (because of the retrospective setting and the limited number of considered cases) investigation into the potentially prognostic role of pSTAT3 expression in a series of consecutive cases of pT1–T2 of primary oral tongue SCC. This is the first time anti–phospho-ser727 STAT3 antibody has been used in oral tongue SCC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients
The study was approved by the Padova University Otolaryngology Section’s Ethical Committee. It involved 34 consecutive cases (25 male patients, 9 female ones; mean age, 66.2 ± 12.2 years) of primary pT1–T2 tongue SCC. Twenty-eight out of 34 cases had been previously evaluated for angiogenin, endoglin, and Mib-1 expressions [8]. Upper aero-digestive tract endoscopy and neck ultrasonography (with or without fine needle aspiration cytology), contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) or/magnetic resonance imaging of the head and neck, chest X-rays, and liver ultrasonography were performed in all patients.

After primary partial glossectomy with unilateral or bilateral cervical lymph node dissection (at the Otolaryngology Section of Padova University), the final histopathology report confirmed that all patients had negative surgical margins. According to the TNM classification of the International Union Against Cancer (Union Internationale Contre le Cancer) and the American Joint Committee on Cancer (7th edition) [9], the pathological stage of the primary tongue lesions (pT) was T1 in 16 cases, and T2 in 18; the regional lymph nodes were pathologically staged (pN) as N0 in 26 cases and N+ in eight. Twenty-nine patients underwent elective neck dissection, five therapeutic neck dissection. There were no cases of distant metastases. The pathological grade was G1 in 12 cases, G2 in 13, and G3 in nine. Median hospitalization time was 9 days. Eight patients underwent postoperative radiotherapy (PORT) according to current guidelines [10]. The PORT total dose was 60 Gy (conventional fractionation 2 Gy/fraction, once a day). The treatment time was 30 days.

The clinical follow-up schedule (adjustable to patients’ individual characteristics) was as follows: (1) once a month for the 1st year after treatment; (2) every 2 months in the 2nd year; (3) every 3 months in the 3rd year; (4) every 4 months in the 4th year; (5) every 6 months in the 5th year; and (6) every 12 months thereafter. Neck ultrasonography and chest X-rays were also performed at least yearly. Contrast-enhanced CT of the neck, total body positron emission tomography, chest CT, and liver ultrasonography were repeated as necessary.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemical reactions were obtained on sections 4- to 5-μm-thick obtained from the 34 formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded tumor samples. No cases were excluded because of the lack of representative carcinoma tissue. All immunohistochemical stains were handled automatically (Bond maX, Menarini, Florence, Italy), as described elsewhere [11], using an anti–phosphoser727 STAT3 primary antibody (rabbit polyclonal, SAB Signalway Antibody, Pearland, TX, USA; working dilution 1:200, 30 minutes, citrate buffer), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The prepared sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin. Since their constitutive STAT3 activation [12], breast carcinoma samples served as positive controls, according to producer’s suggestions, and sections incubated with no primary antibody as negative controls. For each slide, nuclear immunoreaction in tumor cells was scored separately by two pathologists (FM and RC) on a four-tiered scale based on the staining intensity: 0, absent staining; 1+, weak; 2+, moderate; and 3+, strong; as reported elsewhere [6]; the pathologists were unaware of the patients’ prognosis.

Image analysis
An image analysis (IA) workstation was used to assess nuclear
pSTAT3 expression in all samples. The system comprised a conventional Zeiss Axioskop light microscope (Zeiss, Jena, Germany) with a color digital, Peltier-cooled video camera (MicroPublisher 5.0 RTV, QImaging, Surrey, BC, Canada) that was connected to a PC with Image-Pro Plus software, Version 7 for Windows (Media Cybernetics Inc., Bethesda, MD, USA). Five areas of tumor tissue or morphologically normal mucosa 1,378 μ × 954 μ in size were always examined blindly with the aid of a 495-point sampling grid by that the program superimposed on images acquired with a 50 × field of view; the analysis relied on a tailored subroutine (Winrec, Immagini & Computer, Milano, Italy) that enabled the points intercepting the positive and negative areas to be identified and counted interactively. In compliance with stereological best practice, the proportion of the area found positive was calculated as a percentage (%).

**Statistical analysis**

Fisher exact test and the Mann-Whitney U-test were applied, as appropriate. For disease-free survival (DFS), we considered the months elapsing from when the treatment was completed up until there was a loco-regional recurrence. We used the log-rank test and Cox regression modeling to establish the DFS after stratifying patients in the light of the variables considered and the associated hazards. Considering the low number of considered cases, the median pSTAT3 nuclear expression value among SCCs was used as the threshold for the analyses. Statistical significance was assumed for a P-value of < 0.05, while values in the range of 0.05 ≤ P ≤ 0.10 were considered as indicating a statistical trend. The STATA 8.1 (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA) was used for all analyses.

**RESULTS**

**Patients’ clinical outcome**

The average follow-up was 61.7 ± 42.3 months (median, 56.0 months). Twelve patients developed SCC recurrences (9 local and 3 loco-regional) after a mean 34.6 ± 33.6 months (median, 19 months). The Mann-Whitney U-test ruled out any significant difference in the mean duration of the follow-up between patients with and without recurrent disease (P = 0.88). In accordance with follow-up protocol, 22 patients showed no evidence of recurrent disease at last clinical control; on the other hand, 3 patients died of disease, and 9 of other causes. After grouping patients by whether or not their disease recurred after treatment, Fisher exact test showed a difference in the distribution by lymph node status (pN0 vs. pN+, P = 0.09, indicating a trend towards significance), but not for pT (pT1 vs. pT2, P = 0.29), or grade (G1 vs. G2–3, P < 0.999). The hazard ratio for recurrence during the follow-up considered was 4.5 times higher for pN+ patients, and 2.4 times higher for pT2 cases. The log-rank test found a difference in DFS (in months) when patients were stratified by nodal status (pN0 vs. pN+, P = 0.031); One patient was staged as cN0 but resulted pN+ [occult metastasis] (Fig. 1) and pT (pT1 vs. pT2) (P = 0.09, trend towards significance), but the same did not apply to grade (G1 vs. G2–3, P = 0.86).

pSTAT3 expression, nuclear staining intensity, and clinicopathological features of oral tongue SCC

The tongue SCCs covered the whole range from well to poorly differentiated neoplasms (Fig. 2A, B). The well differentiated tumors featured keratinizing squamous epithelium with an abundance of keratin pearls. In cases with a moderate to poor differentiation, neoplastic cells with pronounced cytonuclear atypia...
The Mann-Whitney U-test ruled out any significant difference in DFS (in months) for different pSTAT3 expression levels in the oral tongue carcinoma cells (P=0.50). Our statistical analysis also confirmed that there was no significant association between the intensity of the pSTAT3 nuclear reaction and disease recurrence rate (Mann-Whitney U-test, P=0.90) or DFS (log-rank, P=0.98).

DISCUSSION

Given that this was a preliminary investigation, the main strength of the study lies in the homogeneity of the series of patients considered, i.e., (1) they all had primary pT1–T2 oral tongue SCCs; (2) they underwent surgery performed consecutively by the same team; (3) pathological T and N staging was available for all cases; (4) only surgical specimens (not biopsies) of oral tongue SCC were assessed. In addition, the present study focused on the expression of serine-pSTAT3, which has been considerably less thoroughly investigated than tyrosine-pSTAT3 in head and neck SCC, and a computer-based IA system was used to ensure a highly accurate, precise, and reproducible analysis of the immunostained slides. The main weaknesses of our investigation, on the other hand, concern the retrospective setting and the limited number of cases considered.

From a conventional pathological viewpoint, pN stage correlated significantly with prognosis (DFS) in the present series, as already reported extensively for oral tongue SCC. Local invasion and spread to regional lymph nodes in the neck are common in oral SCC, facilitated by the carcinoma’s highly-invasive behavior and by the lymphatic drainage in the cervical region [13]. The tongue has a rich blood supply and lymphatic drainage, and this explains why up to 65 percent of patients with primary tongue lesions already have neck disease by the time they are diagnosed.

| Variable                  | No. of cases | No loco-regional recurrence | With loco-regional recurrence | DFS (month) | pSTAT3 nuclear expression (%) in tongue carcinoma cells | Hazard ratio for recurrence |
|---------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Primary tongue lesions    |              |                              |                             |             | pT1 vs. pT2                                            | pT1 vs. pT2                  |
| pT1                       | 16           | 12                           | 4                           | 66.5±5.1    | 88.4±12.0                                              | 4.5 times higher for pN+      |
| pT2                       | 18           | 10                           | 8                           | 39.6±28.6   | 73.9±29.1                                              | (95% CI, 0.64–35.0; P=0.09)   |
| Regional lymph nodes      |              |                              |                             |             | pN0 vs. pN+                                            | pN0 vs. pN+                  |
| pN0                       | 26           | 19                           | 7                           | 57.9±45.4   | 84.4±18.8                                              | 2.4 times higher for pT2      |
| pN+                       | 8            | 3                            | 5                           | 33.8±27.1   | 68.6±32.9                                              | (95% CI, 0.45–14.0; P=0.29)   |
| Grade                     |              |                              |                             |             |                                                        |                              |
| G1                        | 12           | 9                            | 3                           | 54.8±37.5   | 87.5±16.4                                              |                              |
| G2                        | 13           | 7                            | 6                           | 56.2±52.4   | 80.6±21.3                                              |                              |
| G3                        | 9            | 6                            | 3                           | 43.2±32.3   | 71.8±31.4                                              |                              |

Values are presented as mean±standard deviation.
STAT3 is a transcription factor with important roles in cancer formation and progression; it is involved in several cellular mechanisms, such as proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis, immune escape, epithelial-mesenchymal transition, invasion, and angiogenesis [14,15]. In normal cells, STAT3 is transiently phosphorylated in response to several extracellular signals [16], but in a variety of cancers (including squamous carcinomas of the head and neck) it is constitutively activated, causing neoplastic cell transformation (i.e., acting as an oncogene) [4,7,15,17-19]. Although STAT3 activation is not the only, nor even a specific molecular change in head and neck carcinogenesis, Grandis and colleagues demonstrated that it is very common and it occurs early, so it seems to be crucial to maintaining the neoplastic phenotype in this group of cancers [18-22]. Consistently with the above literature and with our previous findings in temporal bone SCCs [6], the tongue SCCs in our sample showed strong (intensity ≥2+) in 28/34 cases) and diffuse nuclear pSTAT3 immunolabeling (mean ± SD, 80.7% ± 23.8%; median, 90.0%).

Investigating the human oral SCC cell line MIKS81-5, Naher et al. [23] found that IL-22 induced a transient tyrosine phosphorylation of STAT3 (the change in serine phosphorylation was subtle); and IL-22 prompted a pSTAT3 translocation into the nucleus. Tyrosine-pSTAT3 immune expression was examined by Seethala et al. [24] in two separate groups of patients with head and neck SCC (involving 61 and 69 cases), and they found pSTAT3 unrelated to clinical outcome in either group. When Macha et al. [25] studied 94 cases of oral SCC, however, they reported that the DFS was significantly shorter for those with higher tyrosine-pSTAT3 levels in the nucleus than for cases not expressing nuclear pSTAT3; and judging from their statistical analysis, nuclear pSTAT3 was the most significant predictor of a poor prognosis. Our findings ruled out any association of serine-phosphorylated nuclear STAT3 expression with tumor stage, grade, lymph node involvement, recurrence rate, or DFS. Zhao et al. [26] analyzed the baseline clinical characteristics of 80 lingual SCC patients vis-à-vis their STAT3 expression, finding that its expression correlated significantly with lymph node metastasis and disease stage. They also correlated STAT3 expression with prognosis in 39 patients, finding its expression significantly related to carcinoma recurrence and death. There are notable differences between our own and the Zhao et al. [26] study, however, that can explain these conflicting results. Our series of tongue SCCs included only locally confined tumors (pT1 and pT2) and only a few cases (8/34) with lymph node disease, and this could have affected our results. We also analyzed a phosphorylated form of STAT3 (in serine 727, reported to be the more active form), ignoring the other variant (phospho-tyrosine 705 STAT3) evaluated by other authors. Since both kinds of phosphorylation appear to activate STAT3 (whether they do so alternately or concurrently remains to be seen), leading to its dimerization and translocation in the nucleus, and consequently to the transcription of its target genes, we cannot definitely rule out any pSTAT3 involvement in tongue SCC. Finally, we considered only nuclear pSTAT3 immunolabeling as evidence of positivity (since pSTAT3 acts as a transcription factor), and a very precise quantification method was used (IA). Rather than the limited number and the loco-regionally homogeneously extended tongue SCCs, the major factor limiting our attempt to correlate pSTAT3 expression with the clinic-pathological variables was pSTAT3 uniformly intense and widespread diffused immunostaining among the series. This indicates that probably other markers are involved in pSTAT3 activity, such as its coactivators CREB-binding Protein/p300, APE1/Ref-1, and NCOA/Src1a, and should be analyzed together [4].

Although our results suggest that pSTAT3 has no prognostic value, its strong and diffuse expression in tongue SCC remains intriguing. Different pathways (transforming growth factor-α/EGFR, IL-6/gp130/Jak, Src, and nicotine) converge on pSTAT3, which might ultimately have a pivotal role in cell proliferation [18,19,27-30]. This abundance of activators could point to STAT3 having a complementing oncogenic activity, and this would make it an ideal target to investigate for novel therapies.
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