COGNITIVE, PRAGMATIC AND INTERPRETATIVE DIMENSIONS OF NARRATIVE TEXTS IN CURRENT TRANSLATION STUDIES

Summary. The article discusses advantages of applying the interpretative approach in translation of literary texts that evolved on the premise of research findings in cognitive linguistics and pragmatics. The author claims it to be crucial to study the impact that the cultural context of the source text makes on translation and the target text in terms of its cognitive-pragmatic structuring in the process. The paper also aims to give an overview of the major cognition-related issues and to outline the main challenges of literary text translation with the focus on a number of linguistic and culture specific factors within an interpretative framework. The author highlights the significance of deepening further theoretical postulates worked out in current translation studies to research diverse means and ways, involved in the reconstruction of the source text message in the target text by a system of carefully chosen linguistic means, to convey semantics and pragmatics of the text properly. It is maintained that translation procedure encompasses the study of particularities of knowledge structures, verbalized in the text, as well as consideration of text social, cultural, and conceptual dimensions. The world models, present in the text continuum, manifest different features, so, the use of certain linguistic devices as well as the interpretation and transference of the narrative content, of its special pragmatic and semantic features should be based on the theoretical postulates of cognitive translatology to ensure adequate translation choices.
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Problem statement. Current translation studies, due to pivotal advances in the cognitive-pragmatic paradigm, focus on multifaceted and solid study of various perspectives, dealing with the interpretation of linguistic expressions in discourse and text. These are grounded on the theoretical assumptions and factual findings that outline new trends in the study of cognitive, communicative and socio-cultural aspects of linguistic meanings. Translation studies as a separate branch in translation have taken a new turn in the mid-nineties when the necessity to deepen the understanding of linguistic
nature of translations of diverse genre texts in different social contexts in terms of determining basic correlations between source and target messages was recognized as a priority in translology. A number of hypotheses, put forward in the works by M. Baker, B. Comrie, G. Hansen, O. Rebrii on fundamental features of translation, translation universals, dis-ambiguation, simplification, explicitation being the most important ones, caused large-scale discussions and, subsequently, shaped current translation studies paradigm [2; 4; 7; 11].

Recent research and publications. The major premise of the current translation studies lies on the theoretical generalizations worked out in the seminal works by A. Pym, G. Toury, M. Tomasello [8; 11; 12]. Irrespective of numerous research of culture in translation, a number of translation challenges caused by the issues related to the intricate and elaborate relations between culture and translation in terms of the nature and transfer of meanings in particular situational contexts of interpretation call for the integrated approach that will combine findings from research in social and linguistic sciences to address the problems of adequacy and equivalence between source and target texts. As S. Chugu emphasizes, translation procedure encompasses the analysis of particularities of knowledge structures, verbalized in the text, as well as consideration of text social, cultural and conceptual dimensions, the use of certain linguistic devices as well as the interpretation and transfer of meanings based on the assumption of the impact of cultural principles used in the transfer of culture specific notions, intensification and naming of the text extracts. The interpretative approach to translation of the source text into the target one so that to reveal the most important concepts and knowledge formats, ‘imbedded’ in its conceptual dimension and represented in special ways in the surface structure via a complex system of carefully chosen linguistic devices to convey the message text. Let us look into the features of the text extracts that have to be decoded carefully before getting down to translating them.

The sarcasm conveyed by the implications of the phrase Puxley’s Castle is a nineteenth-century Romanesque mansion, all Gothic arched windows and marble-clad pillars. It was built by the Puxley family, who made their millions in copper mining, or at least in owning the mines in which other people went mining. Their colourful family history, with little altered except the names, is told in Daphne du Maurier’s novel Hungry Hill. From the point the castle there’s a glorious view across a tidal channel to the real Hungry Hill, which looks high behind Castletownbere. Inside, chunks of stone and marble litter the ground. The roof’s gone. Shrubs and wild flowers are growing in impossibly high places. Some rooms are thick with hoof prints and cowpats. It was burned and gutted by the IRA during the Civil War in 1921 [MP, p. 135–136].

The sarcasm conveyed by the implications of the phrase Puxley’s Castle is a nineteenth-century Romanesque mansion with the hints in the vanity of the family making their mansion in the Romanesque style ironically in the XIX century and naming it using the grand idea of Puxley’s Castle in combination with their family name, intensified by the use of the lexeme all in the initial position of the phrase Gothic arched windows and marble-clad pillars. The use of the references to the literature and culture specific notions Daphne du Maurier’s novel Hungry Hill calls for the analysis of the cultural dimension of the text in the translation process. It appears that the particularities of the conceptual aspect are to be considered seriously with the name of the location Hungry Hill being significant for the understanding of the local history and its impact on the conventions, traditions, and the general world view of the inhabitants of Castletownbere, who used to be employed in copper mining and actually made millions for the Puxleys. Still another perspective of analysis is to address the information of social and historical significance of the notions such as the IRA and the Civil War in 1921. These need special explanations for readers to interpret the historical events (the Civil War and the organization IRA) correctly.
The way, the other of the two castles is described, reveals the cruelty, violence, and evil of war times and historical events that took place in Castletownbere: A few hundred yards away, facing Bere Island across the channel into Castletownbere harbor, is Dunboy Castle, the rugged stone ruin of a thirteenth century fortress. After the English hammered the combined Irish and Spanish forces at the Battle of Kinsale in 1602, this was the next target. The entire garrison of 143 men was slaughtered, including – and some people are still a bit miffed about this, even 400 years later – the priest who walked out carrying the white flag. There are plaques to him in Irish and in English. I sit on the mossy remains of a wall that was destroyed while Shakespeare was writing Hamlet, and contemplate this landscape that for centuries was the stronghold of the O’Sullivans. Before them the ruling clan here had been McCarthy’s [MP, p. 136].

The dramatic history of the place is presented via a number of linguistic devices, which pragmatic effect makes the description vivid and impressive: the English hammered the combined Irish and Spanish forces at the Battle of Kinsale in 1602. The entire garrison of 143 men was slaughtered, including <…> the priest who walked out carrying the white flag. There are other important references that are to be interpreted correctly to decode the message and some people are still a bit miffed about this, even 400 years later; while Shakespeare was writing Hamlet; that for centuries was the stronghold of the O’Sullivans; Before them the ruling clan here had been McCarthy’s.

Translatological analysis of the excerpts reveals the necessity of accurate application of multistep algorithm procedure that ultimately includes proper identifying of the text message, analyzing language devices of the source text and transferring it adequately into target cultural context via a carefully chosen linguistic means. The approach proves effective due to the fact that it recognizes the importance of basic cognitive issues as the fundamental conceptual basis for language expressive means, thus, putting emphasis on the proper choices of linguistic devices in the translation process to ensure appropriate translation decisions.

Conclusion. As universal meaning structures, enriched by culturally specific patterns of conceptual integration, make a huge layer of text continuum it is instrumental to consider these ethno-cultural particularities in a logical and sustainable manner from cognitive and pragmatic perspectives. The vital role of cognitive translatorial that resulted in designing the interpretative approach in translation studies focuses on the study of the ways meanings are constructed at different levels in the target text.

The interpretative approach has become a beneficial asset to current translation studies, as it is based on the clear understanding of the role of adequate interpretation of conceptual constructs and knowledge formats objectivized in the semantic and pragmatic dimensions of the text. Interpretative translation considers diverse meanings and functions of the language units providing insights into mechanisms of linguistic categories representation of conceptual categories through a complex combination of lexical and grammatical devices that pattern culturally specific meanings in discourse and text.

The interpretative algorithm provides proper ways and tools to ensure adequate transfer of culture specific notions in decoding and coding of meanings in accordance with language conventions, so it proves essential for a successful intercultural transfer. The study of the interpretative approach potential outlines the prospect of polishing research design to ensure reliable results and logical conclusions that might prove effective in translation theories and practices.
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