Extraction Optimization of Soluble Compounds of Yerba Maté
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ABSTRACT

The objective of this research was the extraction optimization of water soluble compounds of yerba maté. Measures variables were extract concentration and weight of leaves and twigs. Controlled variables were time and temperature of extraction and water/solid relation. A surface response method of three variables was used as experimental design, with 20 experiences in each case. The range of each variable, defined in the experimental design, was: extraction time, 13.2 to 46.8 minutes; temperature, 48.2 to 81.8°C and water solid relation, 4.64 to 11.36 g water/100 g of dry solid. Extract weight varied from 13.14 to 29.56 g in leaves and 8.98 to 16.32 g in twigs (each one per 100 g of dry solid). Extract concentration varied between 2.17 and 3.43 g/100 ml in leaves and between 1.32 and 2.31 g/100 ml in twigs. The results were fit to a linear equation in each case.
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INTRODUCTION

Production of yerba maté (Ilex paraguariensis St. Hil.) in the region of Mercosur is about 460,000 t of dry product per year (Almeida Rucker and Gortari, 1997). A large part of this production is consumed like hot or cold maté or like tea. Other possibilities of its use may be as an instantaneous drink developed some years ago, as a concentrated one for carbonated drink, ice cream, yogurt, etc. To prepare the instantaneous product or the concentrated drink, it is necessary, in a first step, to extract the soluble compounds from the solid. Escalada et al (1998) found that leaves and twigs of yerba maté had high quantities of soluble compounds, and they differed highly one from the other. Leaves had a mean content of 42.05 of soluble compounds/100 g of dry solid and twigs had 21.11 g of soluble compounds/100 g of dry solid. Those extracts were obtained in the lab using the AOAC method (1990), with water at 100°C. To obtain the soluble compounds, it is necessary to work at lower temperatures in order to avoid losses of volatile compounds. One of the methods mainly used to optimize an industrial or lab process is the response surface method. In this method, the simultaneous effect of two or three variables on the response is studied (Cadden, 1988; Mudahar et al, 1989; Vega et al, 1996). The objective of this study was to optimize the extraction of soluble compounds of yerba maté using the response surface method. The variables
to be optimized were extract weight and concentration and the controlled variables were time and temperature of extraction and water/solid relation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Material

Elaborated yerba maté from local producers was used as material. Leaves and twigs were separated and ground in a mill. Each sample was put in a sieve and particles between 10/14 mesh were used as test material.

Moisture content determination

The moisture content was determined using the method of loss in mass at 103°C during 6 h (IRAM 20503). Measures were made in triplicate.

Experimental equipment

Extraction equipment consisted of a vessel with an agitator and impeller of four vanes. The vanes were welded to the rod with a 90° angle. The vessel had a height of 140 mm and a width of 90 mm. It had four baffles with a relation between baffle width to vessel diameter of 0.1. Agitator velocity was 60 rpm.

Extraction method

The vessel was put in the bath at a temperature given by the experimental design. Then, the sample and water were put in it and stirred during a time given by the experimental design. Then the mixture was filtered in a buchner funnel to separate big particles and through another funnel with filter paper to separate the small particles. The filtrate was evaporated in an oven at 100°C.

Experimental design

A response surface design for three variables was used. In this design, the response is fit to an equation of three variables, like equation 1.

\[
y \text{ or } w = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + b_{11} x_1^2 + b_{22} x_2^2 + b_{33} x_3^2 + b_{12} x_1 x_2 + b_{13} x_1 x_3 + b_{23} x_2 x_3
\]  

(1)

Where:

- \( y = \) extract concentration (g/100 ml)
- \( w = \) extract weight (g/100g dry solid)
- \( x_1 = \) reduced water/solid relation = \((r-8)/2\)
- \( r = \) water/solid relation (g water/g dry solid)
- \( x_2 = \) reduced temperature = \((T-65)/10\)
- \( T = \) temperature (°C)
- \( x_3 = \) reduced time = \((t-20)/10\)
- \( t = \) time (minutes).

Different levels for the reduced variables are given in Table 1. Values of central point \((r= 8 \text{ g water/g dry solid and } t= 20 \text{ minutes})\) were obtained in previous tests.

The results were analyzed using Statgraphics Plus (1993). Mean % Error (MPE) was calculated using equation 2.

\[
MPE = \frac{100}{n} \sum \frac{|y_{\text{calculated}} - y_{\text{experimental}}|}{y_{\text{experimental}}}
\]  

(2)

Where “n” is the number of experimental data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Twenty experiences for each material (leaves and twigs) were carried out according to the experimental design. Values of extract concentration and weight for leaves and twigs are given in Table 1.

For leaves, extract weight varied between 13.14 and 29.56 g/100 g dry solid. Mean value for total water extract, determined using AOAC method (1990) at 100°C for three samples of leaves was 37.14 g/100 g dry solid. Therefore, extraction efficiency for leaves varied between 35.4 and 79.6%.

For twigs, extract weight varied between 8.98 and 15.58 g/100 g dry solid. Mean value of total water extract in this case was 18.14 g/100 g dry solid.
and efficiency varied between 47.8 and 83.0%. Extract concentration varied between 1.32 and 2.31/100 ml. Higher efficiency of extraction for twigs was probably due to lesser concentration of the extract. Equations obtained for leaves of yerba maté, considering all terms with a significative level minor to 5% were the following:

\[ y = 3.31 + 0.27x_2 - 0.27x_1^2 - 0.07x_2^2 \]  
\[ w = 26.08 + 5.52x_1 + 2.11x_2 - 1.67x_1^2 \]

With an MPE= 6.62 and 4.83% for equations 3 and 4, respectively.

Figures 1 and 2 show these two equations. The higher the temperature and the lesser water/solid relation, higher was the value of extract concentration. The higher the temperature with a relation of 10.6 g water/g dry solid, higher was the values of extract weight. Influence of time was not statistically significative, probably, due to high values of levels selected in the experiment and the differences between the results were in the order of the error. However, we could say that a lapse of 10 minutes was enough to reach a good extraction.

For twigs of yerba maté, the following equations, considering all terms with a significative level minor to 5% were obtained:

\[ y = 1.84 - 0.25x_1 + 0.12x_2 + 0.08x_3 \]  
\[ w = 14.64 + 1.34x_1 + 0.80x_2 + 0.83x_3 - 0.81x_1^2 \]

The MPE for equations 5 and 6, were 5.14% and 5.00%, respectively.

Extract concentration equation was a linear one of first order and the maximum was obtained with the minor value of water/solid relation and higher temperatures and time in the work range. Extract weight had a maximum with the maximum values of temperature and time and a relation of 9.6 g water/g dry solid. It was very difficult to explain this behavior. But, when one applies equation 5, only a little difference is found when “y” varies between 8 and 11.6 g water/g dry solid.

CONCLUSIONS

When a surface response method was applied to optimize soluble extraction of leaves and twigs of yerba mate, the equation obtained was a function of temperature and water/solid relation in leaves and temperature, time of extractions and water/solid relation. In leaves, higher extract concentration was found with higher temperatures and minor water/solid relation. A time of 10 minutes was enough to reach a good extraction. Maximum value of extract weight was obtained at the maximum temperature and a relation of 10.6 g water/g dry solid. In twigs, extract concentration increased when time and temperature increased and water/solid relation decreased; while, extract weight increased when time and temperature increased with a water/dry solid relation of 9.6 g/g, but there was only little difference when this relation varied from 8 to 11.4 g water/g dry solid.

![Figure 1 - Extract concentration of leaves of yerba maté, in g/100 ml](image-url)
Figure 2 - Extract weight of leaves of yerba maté, in g/100 g dry solids relation of 9.6 g/g, but there was only little difference when this relation varied from 8 to 11.4 g water/g dry solid.

Table 1 - Values of extract concentration (g/100 ml) and weight (g/100g) for leaves and twigs of yerba maté

| Nro | Reduced variables | Leaves Extract | Twigs Extract |
|-----|-------------------|----------------|---------------|
| 1   | -1 -1 -1 | 2.34 | 14.06 | 1.89 | 11.32 |
| 2   | 1 -1 -1 | 2.68 | 26.48 | 1.36 | 13.17 |
| 3   | -1 1 -1 | 2.64 | 15.84 | 1.95 | 11.84 |
| 4   | 1 1 -1 | 2.94 | 29.36 | 1.48 | 14.78 |
| 5   | -1 -1 1 | 2.38 | 14.26 | 2.10 | 15.58 |
| 6   | 1 -1 1 | 2.70 | 27.02 | 1.44 | 14.38 |
| 7   | -1 1 1 | 3.15 | 18.92 | 2.31 | 13.84 |
| 8   | 1 1 1 | 2.96 | 29.56 | 1.56 | 15.58 |
| 9   | -1.68 0 0 | 2.83 | 13.14 | 1.94 | 8.98 |
| 10  | 1.68 0 0 | 2.42 | 28.60 | 1.32 | 15.02 |
| 11  | 0 -1.68 0 | 2.17 | 17.36 | 1.34 | 10.74 |
| 12  | 0 1.68 0 | 3.43 | 27.44 | 2.04 | 16.32 |
| 13  | 0 0 -1.68 | 3.01 | 24.04 | 1.67 | 13.38 |
| 14  | 0 0 1.68 | 3.36 | 26.86 | 1.90 | 15.20 |
| 15  | 0 0 0 | 3.33 | 26.62 | 1.84 | 14.72 |
| 16  | 0 0 0 | 3.29 | 26.34 | 1.89 | 14.64 |
| 17  | 0 0 0 | 3.30 | 26.40 | 1.82 | 14.58 |
| 18  | 0 0 0 | 3.29 | 26.30 | 1.84 | 14.72 |
| 19  | 0 0 0 | 3.33 | 26.60 | 1.83 | 14.60 |
| 20  | 0 0 0 | 3.30 | 26.62 | 1.84 | 14.68 |

RESUMO

O objetivo desta pesquisa foi a otimização da extração aquosa da erva mate. As variáveis medidas foram a concentração do extrato e a massa das folhas e ramos. As variáveis controladas foram: o tempo e a temperatura de extração e a relação água/sólido. Como desenho experimental foi utilizado o método de resposta superficial de três variáveis, com vinte experiências em cada caso. A faixa de variação definida para as variáveis no desenho experimental...
foram: tempo de extração, de 13,2 a 46,8 minutos; temperatura, de 48,2 a 81,8 °C; relação água/sólido, de 4,64 a 11,36 gramas de água por gramas de sólido seco. A massa do extrato variou de 13,14 a 29,56 gramas para as folhas desramificadas e de 8,98 a 16,32 para os ramos (cada por 100 gramas de sólido seco). A concentração de extrato variou entre 2,17 a 3,43 g/100 ml nas folhas e entre 1,32 e 2,31 g/100 ml nos ramos. Os resultados foram, em ambos casos, ajustados para uma equação linear.
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