Overexpression and optimization of glutamate decarboxylase in \textit{Lactobacillus plantarum} Taj-Apis362 for high gamma-aminobutyric acid production
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Summary

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) is an important bioactive compound biosynthesized by microorganisms through decarboxylation of glutamate by glutamate decarboxylase (GAD). In this study, a full-length GAD gene was obtained by cloning the template deoxyribonucleic acid to pTZ57R/T vector. The open reading frame of the GAD gene showed the cloned gene was composed of 1410 nucleotides and encoded a 469 amino acids protein. To improve the GABA-production, the GAD gene was cloned into pMG36e-\textit{LbGAD}, and then expressed in \textit{Lactobacillus plantarum} Taj-Apis362 cells. The overexpression was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and GAD activity, showing a 53 KDa protein with the enzyme activity increased by sevenfold compared with the original GAD activity. The optimal fermentation conditions for GABA production established using response surface methodology were at glutamic acid concentration of 497.973 mM, temperature 36°C, pH 5.31 and time 60 h. Under the conditions, maximum GABA concentration obtained (11.09 mM) was comparable with the predicted value by the model at 11.23 mM. To our knowledge, this is the first report of successful cloning (clone-back) and overexpression of the \textit{LbGAD} gene from \textit{L. plantarum} to \textit{L. plantarum} cells. The recombinant \textit{Lactobacillus} could be used as a starter culture for direct incorporation into a food system during fermentation for production of GABA-rich products.

Introduction

Gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA), a non-proteinaceous amino acid, is known to be a major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the mammalian brain tissues (Park and Oh, 2007a,b). The health benefits of GABA have been well documented which include anti-stress effects in humans. Gamma-aminobutyric acid also plays an important role in the reduction of anxiety, improve mood and regulating cardiovascular function (Yokoyama \textit{et al}, 2002; Vaiva \textit{et al}, 2004; Cho \textit{et al}, 2007; Tuijoka \textit{et al}, 2007; Ma \textit{et al}, 2013). Interest in the production of GABA-rich products has attracted scientists to investigate the role of glutamate decarboxylase (GAD: EC 4.1.1.15) that is a unique pyridoxal enzyme catalysing α-decarboxylation of L-glutamate to GABA (Li and Cao, 2010). Glutamate decarboxylase is found in different groups of microbes, including lactic acid bacteria (LAB), yeast and fungi. Gamma-aminobutyric acid production by various microorganisms has been actively explored (Kono and Himeno, 2000; Komatsuzaki \textit{et al}, 2005; Park and Oh, 2007a,b; Seo \textit{et al}, 2013).

Among the microbes, LAB are of interest to food manufactures as they are categorized as ‘generally regarded as safe’ (GRAS), and have been used in the production of fermented foods such as cheese, yogurt and beverages. Since the biomass of these harmless and food-grade microbes of LAB is ingested regularly by people as natural food components, they are superior candidates for appraisal as used in bacterial cell factories for GABA production and in the food industry. To date, LABs such as \textit{Lactobacillus brevis} (Kim \textit{et al}, 2007; 2009; Park and Oh, 2007a,b), \textit{Lactobacillus delbrueckii} (Sigrusa et al, 2007), \textit{Lactobacillus plantarum} (Park and Oh, 2004), \textit{Lactobacillus paracasei} (Sigrusa et al, 2007) and \textit{Lactococcus lactis} (Sigrusa et al, 2007) have been identified capable of producing GABA. The corresponding genes encoding GAD from \textit{L. plantarum} (Park and Oh, 2004), \textit{Lactobacillus brevis} OPK-3 (Park and Oh,
2007a,b). Lactobacillus brevis BH2 (Park and Oh, 2007a,b) and Lactobacillus brevis CGMCC 1306 (Fan et al., 2012) have been cloned and expressed in Escherichia coli. Furthermore, the core fragments of gadB from L. lactis, L. plantarum C48, L. paracasei PF6 and L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus PR1 have been cloned and sequenced (Siragusa et al., 2007). However, E. coli cannot be used as a starter culture in the food system since it is not registered as GRAS. On the other hand, the GAD gene from L. brevis OPK-3 and L. brevis Lb85 was successfully expressed in Bacillus subtilis and Corynebacterium glutamicum respectively (Park and Oh, 2006; Shi et al., 2013). However, these bacteria have a limited use in the food fermentation systems; hence, enhancing GABA production by recombinant GAD in GABA-producing LAB could help in the development of a starter culture for diverse food applications.

The present study describes the identification of a full-length GAD gene from a novel strain of LAB, L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 and cloning and overexpression of L. plantarum Taj-Apis362-derived GAD gene in L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 competent cells. The applicability of genetically engineered L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 for the enhancement of GABA production is also reported. To our knowledge, this is the first report of cloning (clone-back) and overexpression of the LbGAD gene from L. plantarum to L. plantarum cells. Finally, optimal fermentation conditions for producing maximum GABA were established using response surface methodology (RSM).

Results and discussion

Cloning of GAD gene from L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 and sequence analysis

In order to clone the GAD gene from L. plantarum Taj-Apis362, the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the core fragment was performed using primers designed from highly conserved regions of GAD as hypothesized by Fan and colleagues (2012). After the first PCR reaction, the PCR product with 1274 bp that matched to the core fragment was cloned into pTZ57R/T vector and sequenced. A complete open reading frame (ORF) encoded a protein (GAD) of 469 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 53.6 kDa was established. As a comparison, a full-length GAD genes were also isolated from L. brevis OPK-3 (Park and Oh, 2006), L. paracasei (Komatsuzaki et al., 2008), L. lactis 01-7 (Nomura et al., 1999), L. plantarum KCTC3015 (Park and Oh, 2004) and L. brevis CGMCC 1306 (Fan et al., 2012). Komatsuzaki and colleagues (2008) reported that the GAD gene consists of 1443 bp encoded a protein of 481 amino acid residues with the predicted molecular mass of 54.3 kDa. In another study, a full-length GAD gene was isolated from L. brevis BH2, which was composed of 1407 nucleotides and encoded a protein of 468 amino acids with a predicted molecular weight of 53.5 kDa (Kim et al., 2007). The deduced amino acid sequence of GAD (Fig. 1) from L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 was found to have a similarity of 99%, 82%, 67% and 64% with other GAD proteins from L. plantarum WCFS1, L. brevis, L. lactis subsp. cremoris MG1363 and Lactobacillus reuteri TD1 respectively. Thus, it can be concluded that GAD proteins from L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 contained highly conserved catalytic domains. The GAD gene of L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 has been deposited into the GenBank under the accession number of KF770955.

Overexpression and activity of LbGAD

The L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 GAD was expressed in the pMG36e vector as described in the Materials and methods, and the overexpression was monitored by SDS-PAGE. The SDS-PAGE analysis of extracted proteins showed one band of approximately 53 kDa which was strongly expressed in recombinant L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 harbouring pMG36e-LbGAD in comparison with the wild type of L. plantarum Taj-Apis362; though the expression levels of other proteins were almost same (Fig. 2). In order to confirm the presence of L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 GAD in L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 competent cells, the GAD activity of the cell extract was measured by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), and the result was compared with GABA produced by the wild type. A noticeable peak was observed at a retention time of 12.52 min in the reaction products of wild-type L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 (Fig. 3A) and recombinant L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 (Fig. 3B) which were coincided with the peak of the GABA standard (Fig. 3C). It is apparent that GAD activity of the cell extract released by the recombinant (167.2 units/ml/min) was sevenfold greater than the GAD activity of wild-type cells (23.5 units/ml/min) confirming the presence of LbGAD gene encoded GAD with enhanced activity in the new host.

Analysing and modeling

Preliminary experiments using L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 showed that the initial glutamic acid concentration, cultivation temperature, initial pH and incubation time were the most important factors for GABA production. To optimize the fermentation parameters for maximum GABA production, RSM was employed with the initial glutamic acid concentration set at 525 mM, cultivation temperature 37.5°C, initial pH 5.25 and incubation time 48 h in the central point (Table 1).

Optimization by RSM

Fitting the data into different models (two factorial, cubic, linear and quadratic) and their subsequent analysis of
Fig. 1. Multiple alignment of GAD sequences of L. plantarum Taj-Apis362 with GADs from various Lactobacillus species. Lactobacillus plantarum WCFS1, accession number: AL935263; L. plantarum strain GB 01-21 glutamate decarboxylase gene, accession number: JN248358; L. plantarum subsp. plantarum ST-III, accession number: CP002222; L. delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus glutamate decarboxylase gene, accession number: EF174472; L. lactis glutamate decarboxylase-like gene, accession number: EF174474; L. paracasei glutamate decarboxylase-like gene, accession number: EF174473; L. plantarum glutamate decarboxylase-like gene, accession number: EF174475. The asterisks (*) represent identical GAD in all sequences in the alignment. The deduced amino acid sequence was analysed using the Clustal W (Tamura et al., 2007).
variance (ANOVA) (Table 2) demonstrated that the quadratic model as shown in Eq. (1) was found to be the most suitable model to explain the influence of effective factors on GABA production.

\[
\text{GABA} = 10.27 - 0.094 \, A + 0.14 \, B - 0.17 \, C \\
+ 1.4 \, D + 0.83 \, AB - 0.12 \, AC - 0.68 \, AD \\
- 0.098 \, BC + 0.37 \, BD - 0.5 \, CD \\
- 0.58 \, A^2 - 1.29 \, B^2 - 0.9 \, C^2 - 0.77 \, D^2
\] (1)

Where A is incubation temperature, B is initial pH; C is glutamic acid concentration, and D is incubation time.

Analysis of variance (Table 2) showed the coefficient of determination of \( R^2 = 0.972 \) with a very small ‘model \( P \) value’ (0.0001), high ‘lack of fit \( P \) value’ (0.2615) and adjusted coefficient of determination of \( R^2 = 0.9465 \), reflecting that the model suitably represented the actual relations between the fermentation parameters selected. Both values (\( R^2 \) adjusted and \( R^2 \) predicted) are close to 1, which indicated an important correlation between the observed and predicted values. The adjusted value suggested that the total variation of 0.9465% predict is attributed to the independent variables, and just around 5.35 %
of the whole variation cannot be described by the model. In addition for any terms in the model, a large $F$ value and a small $P$ value indicated a more significant effect on the respective response variables.

The ANOVA result showed that the GABA yield is mainly influenced by the terms $D$, $AD$, $AB$, $BD$, $CD$, $A^2$, $B^2$, $C^2$ and $D^2$ (Table 2). The most significant factor was $D$ (time) with the $F$ value of 174.57 and $P$ value $< 0.0001$. The interactions of $BC$ and $AC$ factors were not significant. The four independent variables displayed quadratic effects on the responses. Although pH, temperature and glutamic acid were insignificant parameters ($P$ value $> 0.05$), they had significant interaction with other parameters; therefore, they were utilized to develop the model (Table 2).

Figure 4A shows the effect of glutamic acid and pH on GABA production when fixing the temperature (37.5°C) and retention time (48 h) at the central point. Gamma-aminobutyric acid production increased with the increase in pH and glutamic acid concentration up to pH 5.3 and 520 mM. As for the effects of temperature and glutamic acid concentration, GABA production was increased with the increase in both parameters up to 37.5°C and 525 mM, respectively (Fig. 4B), when fixing the pH at 5.25 and time at 48 h. It is apparent that high temperature and glutamic acid concentration suppressed GABA production. Figure 4C shows the effect of pH and incubation time on GABA production when fixing the initial glutamic acid concentration and incubation temperature at the central point (525 mM, 37.5°C). As can be seen, GABA concentration increased with the increase incubation time and pH up to 60 h and 5.25 respectively. However, GABA production decreased when the pH was increased to 5.63.

**Verification of the fitted model and optimum points**

To verify the model, the actual values of GABA production by recombinant *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 were compared with the predicted values by computation of absolute average deviation (AAD) (Table 3). The computed AAD for this quadratic model was 0.8615%, indicated that the model equation was accurate and highly reliable. Moreover, to confirm the predicted optimum condition, the factor levels were set at the best values specified by the quadratic equation utilizing DESIGN EXPERT software.

The optimum conditions for GABA production were predicted as shown in Table 3 together with their predicted and actual values. Maximum GABA production (11.23 mM) was obtained at the incubation temperature of 36°C, initial glutamic acid concentration of 497.973 mM, initial pH of 5.31 and incubation time of 60 h. The obtained experimental value of 11.09 mM was very close to the predicted value of 11.23 mM.
Table 1. Recombinant *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 treatment incorporations and responses.

| Trials | Factor A  | Factor B  | Factor C  | Factor D | Actual (GABA) | Predicted (GABA) | Absolute deviation |
|--------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|
|        | temperature (°C) | pH | glutamic acid (mM) | time (h) | (mM) | (mM) |                  |
| 1      | 33.75     | 4.875    | 462.5     | 36       | 5.01          | 5.28             | 0.0543             |
| 2      | 41.25     | 4.875    | 462.5     | 36       | 5.23          | 5.02             | 0.0403             |
| 3      | 33.75     | 5.625    | 462.5     | 36       | 3.79          | 3.36             | 0.1145             |
| 4      | 41.25     | 5.625    | 462.5     | 36       | 5.81          | 6.42             | 0.1054             |
| 5      | 33.75     | 4.875    | 587.5     | 36       | 6.26          | 6.36             | 0.0161             |
| 6      | 41.25     | 4.875    | 587.5     | 36       | 6.18          | 5.64             | 0.0875             |
| 7      | 33.75     | 5.625    | 587.5     | 36       | 4.48          | 4.05             | 0.0960             |
| 8      | 41.25     | 5.625    | 587.5     | 36       | 6.42          | 6.64             | 0.0342             |
| 9      | 33.75     | 4.875    | 587.5     | 60       | 9.92          | 9.69             | 0.0223             |
| 10     | 41.25     | 4.875    | 587.5     | 60       | 5.81          | 6.72             | 0.0431             |
| 11     | 33.75     | 4.875    | 587.5     | 60       | 4.44          | 5.25             | 0.0231             |
| 12     | 41.25     | 5.625    | 587.5     | 60       | 8.87          | 9.26             | 0.038             |
| 13     | 33.75     | 5.625    | 587.5     | 60       | 9.71          | 9.61             | 0.0106             |
| 14     | 41.25     | 4.875    | 587.5     | 60       | 9.53          | 8.77             | 0.0801             |
| 15     | 33.75     | 5.625    | 587.5     | 60       | 7.79          | 7.94             | 0.0270             |
| 16     | 41.25     | 5.625    | 587.5     | 60       | 4.90          | 5.33             | 0.0882             |
| 17     | 50        | 5.25     | 525       | 48       | 7.79          | 8.36             | 0.0514             |
| 18     | 45        | 5.25     | 525       | 48       | 7.99          | 7.78             | 0.0259             |
| 19     | 37.5      | 4.5      | 525       | 48       | 4.58          | 4.83             | 0.0500             |
| 20     | 37.5      | 6        | 525       | 48       | 5.50          | 5.40             | 0.0174             |
| 21     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 400       | 48       | 7.40          | 7.03             | 0.0494             |
| 22     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 650       | 48       | 10.40         | 6.84             | 0.0834             |
| 23     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 525       | 24       | 5.81          | 4.41             | 0.0301             |
| 24     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 525       | 24       | 4.28          | 4.10             | 0.026              |
| 25     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 525       | 24       | 9.98          | 10.01            | 0.0026             |
| 26     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 525       | 48       | 9.45          | 10.27            | 0.0864             |
| 27     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 525       | 48       | 10.40         | 10.27            | 0.0125             |
| 28     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 525       | 48       | 10.48         | 10.27            | 0.0204             |
| 29     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 525       | 48       | 10.60         | 10.27            | 0.0308             |
| 30     | 37.5      | 5.25     | 525       | 48       | 10.44         | 10.27            | 0.0158             |

AAD = 3.869%, $R^2 = 0.972$. 
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A novel full-length GAD gene from a new GABA-producing microorganism, *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 was identified, cloned and successfully expressed in *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362. The overexpression was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and GAD activity, which indicated 53 KDa protein and a significant increase in the GAD activity by more than sevenfold. The fermentation parameters were optimized using RSM. Under the optimum conditions with initial glutamic acid concentration 497.973 mM, cultivation temperature 36°C, initial pH 5.31 and incubation time 60 h, maximum GABA concentration was 11.09 mM, which is 1.55-fold higher than the optimized wild type. To our knowledge, this is the first report of cloning (clone-back) and overexpression of the *LbGAD* gene from *L. plantarum* to *L. plantarum* cells. These results suggest that this recombinant could be utilized for the industrial production of GABA as well as for the development of health foods rich in GABA.

### Experimental procedures

**Strains, plasmids and media**

*Lactobacillus plantarum* Taj-Apis362 with high GABA-producing capacity was isolated from the honey stomach of honeybee *Apis dorsata* in Malaysia (Tajabadi *et al.*, 2013) and used as the deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) source and host for gene overexpression. Plasmid pTZ57R/T vector (MBI, Fermentas, USA) was used for DNA cloning and sequencing, and pMG36e (van de Guchte *et al.*, 1989) vector for the overexpression of *LbGAD* protein. Competent cells were prepared according to a standard procedure with some modifications and stored at −80°C until use (Teresa Alegre

### Table 2. Analysis of variance for the regression of recombinant *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 harbouring pMG36e- *LbGAD*.

| Source | SS   | DF | MS   | F     | P       |
|--------|------|----|------|-------|---------|
| Model  | 141.86 | 14 | 10.13 | 37.68 | 0.0001  |
| A      | 0.21  | 1  | 0.21 | 0.79  | 0.3877  |
| B      | 0.49  | 1  | 0.49 | 1.83  | 0.1963  |
| C      | 0.73  | 1  | 0.73 | 2.73  | 0.1195  |
| D      | 46.95 | 1  | 46.95 | 174.57 | 0.0001  |
| AB     | 11.03 | 1  | 11.03 | 40.99 | 0.0001  |
| AC     | 0.22  | 1  | 0.22 | 0.81  | 0.3836  |
| AD     | 7.36  | 1  | 7.36 | 27.36 | 0.0001  |
| BC     | 0.15  | 1  | 0.15 | 0.57  | 0.4622  |
| BD     | 2.21  | 1  | 2.21 | 8.2   | 0.0118  |
| CD     | 4.04  | 1  | 4.04 | 15.03 | 0.0015  |
| A²     | 9.1   | 1  | 9.1  | 33.82 | 0.0001  |
| B²     | 45.6  | 1  | 45.6 | 169.53| 0.0001  |
| C²     | 22.04 | 1  | 22.04| 81.96 | 0.0001  |
| D²     | 16.08 | 1  | 16.08| 59.79 | 0.0001  |
| Residual | 4.03 | 15 | 0.27 |       |         |
| Lack of Fit | 3.17 | 10 | 0.32 | 1.83  | 0.2615  |
| Pure Error | 0.87 | 5  | 0.17 |       |         |
| Cor Total | 145.9 | 29 |     |       |         |

A = temperature (°C), B = pH, C = glutamic acid (mM), D = time (h).

### Conclusion

A novel full-length GAD gene from a new GABA-producing microorganism, *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 was identified, cloned and successfully expressed in *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362. The overexpression was confirmed by SDS-PAGE and GAD activity, which indicated 53 KDa protein and a significant increase in the GAD activity by more than sevenfold. The fermentation parameters were optimized using RSM. Under the optimum conditions with initial glutamic acid concentration 497.973 mM, cultivation temperature 36°C, initial pH 5.31 and incubation time 60 h, maximum GABA concentration was 11.09 mM, which is 1.55-fold higher than the optimized wild type. To our knowledge, this is the first report of cloning (clone-back) and overexpression of the *LbGAD* gene from *L. plantarum* to *L. plantarum* cells. These results suggest that this recombinant could be utilized for the industrial production of GABA as well as for the development of health foods rich in GABA.
et al., 2004). *Lactobacillus plantarum* Taj-Apis362 was cultured at 30°C in de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar (Merck) supplemented with 5 μg/ml of erythromycin for the selection of transformants harbouring recombinant plasmids.

### PCR amplification of the full-length GAD gene and sequence analysis

Genomic DNA from *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 was purified and used as the template for the PCR analysis. Polymerase chain reaction amplification was performed using the designed forward (5’-atggcwaqgtrtaygwaa-3’) and reverse (5’-tagtgtaggaayccgtatttt-3’) primers (Fan et al., 2012). Amplification by PCR was performed at 94°C for 3 min, 94°C for 40 s, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 2 min for 29 cycles using Pfu DNA polymerase (Fermentas, USA). The PCR product was purified using the Wizard SV Gel and PCR Clean Up Kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). Then it was ligated into pTZ57R/T vector with T4 DNA ligase and transformed into *E. coli* TOP10 using a standard protocol (Sambrook et al., 2001). The positive transformants were selected on Luria-Bertani ampicillin plates, and several of them were confirmed by colony PCR using the same primer. The final validated positive clone of pTZ57R/T vector was sent to First Base Company for sequence determination. Databases (GenBank) were searched for the similarity analysis of the GAD sequence obtained (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

### Construction of pMG36e-LbGAD vector

The complete ORF region of *LbGAD* was amplified by PCR using pTZ57R/T-LbGAD as template and GADSS8 as a forward primer (TAAGAGCTCTCATGGCAATGGTTATGG) (SacI restriction site underlined and start codon in boldface) and GADX58 as a reverse primer (TAATCTAGATTAGTGTGTAATCC) harbouring an XbaI site (underlined). The resulting 1410 bp PCR product (*LbGAD*) was purified using the Wizard DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Madison, WI) and digested with SacI/XbaI. An approximately 1410 bp DNA was then inserted into the pMG36e expression vector to generate the recombinant expression vector construct pMG36e-LbGAD.

### Preparation of *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 competent cells

To prepare the *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 competent cells, the pre-cultured *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 in MRS medium was inoculated into the MRS medium and grown at 30°C to an OD600 of 0.7–0.8. After cultivation, the cells were collected by centrifugation at 5000 × g for 2 min and washed with distilled water by centrifugation at 5000 × g for 2 min. The cells were washed with 10 mM MgcCl2 solution (tw times), and finally suspended with electroporation buffer (0.5 M sucrose solution containing 10% glycerol).

### Overexpression of the LbGAD gene in *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362

The prepared competent cells and plasmid pMG36e-LbGAD were mixed and transferred to a pre-chilled electroporation cuvette. Then they were exposed to a single electric pulse at 2.3 kV, 25 μF and 200 Ω using a Gene-Pulsar (Bio-Rad, USA) according to Holo and Nes (1989). The suspensions were immediately mixed with 600 μl MRS broth containing 3% glycine, 5% sucrose, 20 μM MgcCl2, and 2 mM CaCl2, and then incubated at 30°C for 2 h. The incubated cells were spread on the three MRS agar plates containing erythromycin (final concentration of 5 μg/ml) and incubated at 30°C for 48 h. Then positive transformants were selected on MRS agar erythromycin plates, and several of them were confirmed by colony PCR as well as restriction digestion of purified plasmid DNA with EcoRI and SacI. The final validated positive purified plasmid was sent to First Base Company for sequence determination.

Recombinant *L. plantarum* Taj-Apis362 were cultivated in 50 ml MRS broth containing 5 μg/ml erythromycin at 30°C for 12 h. The cells were then centrifuged (11 000 × g, 4°C, 15 min), and the pellet was washed with distilled water (11 000 × g, 4°C, 15 min). Then the cells were re-suspended in PBS and disrupted by sonication [5 min (30 s on and 30 s off)]. The supernatant fraction was recovered by centrifugation at 11 000 × g for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant was used for SDS-PAGE and the analysis of GAD activity. A colorimetric protein assay, based on the Bradford method, was used for the measurement of protein concentration (Bradford, 1976). This is a dye-binding assay based on the differential color change of Coomassie Brilliant blue G dye in response to various concentrations of protein. Thirty microlitres of the sample was mixed with 970 μl of Bradford reagent (Bio-Rad) in a 1.5 ml cuvette and mixed thoroughly. Incubated for 15 min in dark place at room temperature and absorbance was determined from the standard curve which was constructed by using various known concentration of BSA under the same procedure. All the samples which were

### Table 3. Solution of optimum condition.

| No. | Temperature (°C) | pH | Glutamic acid (mM) | Time (h) | Actual (GABA) (mM) | Predicted (GABA) (mM) | Absolute deviation |
|-----|----------------|----|--------------------|---------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| 1   | 36             | 5.31 | 497.973           | 60      | 11.09             | 11.23               | 0.01299           |
| 2   | 37             | 5.16 | 462.5             | 60      | 10.49             | 10.59               | 0.00972           |
| 3   | 37.5           | 5.31 | 514.883           | 48      | 9.72              | 9.70                | 0.00154           |
| 4   | 37.5           | 5.33 | 462.5             | 48      | 10.39             | 10.49               | 0.01021           |

R² = 0.954, AAD = 0.8615%.
enzymes that produced 1 μmol GABA/min. Where \( y_i \), \( y_{i,a} \), and \( y_{i,c} \) are the experimental and calculated responses, respectively, and \( p \) is the number of the experimental run.

\[
R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{model prediction}_i - \text{experimental value}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\text{average experimental value} - \text{experimental value}_i)^2}
\]  

(2)

When the \( n \) is the number of experimental data.

\[
\text{AAD} = 100 \left[ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (|y_{i,c} - y_{i,a}|/y_{i,c})}{p} \right] 
\]  

(3)

Where the \( y_{i,c} \) and \( y_{i,a} \) are the experimental and calculated responses, respectively, and \( p \) is the number of the experimental run.

\( R^2 \) is a factor that showed the reduction amount in the variability of response obtained by using the repressor variables in the model. Because \( R^2 \) alone is not a measure of the model accuracy, it is necessary to use AAD analysis, which is a direct method for describing the deviations. In addition, evaluation of \( R^2 \) and AAD values together showed the accuracy of the model. However, \( R^2 \) must be close to 1.0, and the AAD between the predicted and observed data must be as small as possible. The acceptable values of \( R^2 \) and AAD values mean that the model equation defines the true behaviour of the system, and it can be used for interpolation in the experimental domain (Bas and Boyaci, 2007).
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