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Epidemiology of Visceral Leishmaniasis in a Reemerging Focus of Intense Transmission in Minas Gerais State, Brazil
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This study was developed in the urban area of Governador Valadares, a reemerging focus of intense transmission of visceral leishmaniasis (VL) in Brazil, presenting 86 human cases of VL from 2008 to 2011. The disease prevailed in males (73.2%) with most patients between 0 and 9 years (44.1%) and a lethality rate of 16.2%. A canine survey was carried out on 16,529 domestic dogs in 35 districts in the area and it showed that 30.2% of them (4,992 dogs) were positive for VL by serum assays. Prevalence ratios for canine VL varied between 13.6% and 53.4%. The clinical exam of 343 seropositive dogs showed that 49.9% of them were considered symptomatic, with larger prevalence of canine VL being in short-furred animals (90%). The entomological survey was performed in eight districts, where 2,539 phlebotomines were captured, preferentially in the peridomicile (84.5%). *Lutzomyia longipalpis* was the predominant species (90%) suggesting its participation in the VL transmission in the area. The correlation between canine prevalence and *L. longipalpis* density was evaluated.

1. Introduction

In Brazil, visceral leishmaniasis (VL) or kala-azar is one of the biggest concerns of public health due to its high morbid-mortality in nontreated cases [1]. The etiological agent *Leishmania infantum chagasi* is transmitted mainly through the bite of *Lutzomyia longipalpis* (Diptera, Psychodidae, Phlebotominae), which is infected when ingesting intracellular parasites from the peripheral blood of a dog (*Canis familiaris*), considered not only the main domestic reservoir but also an essential link for the maintenance of the VL epidemiological chain in urban areas [2–4].

In the last decades, the environmental modifications caused by man, the deforestation, the disordered city growth, the concomitant presence of *L. longipalpis*, and domestic animals, not to mention the precarious habitation conditions of the population, all contributed to the urbanization and geographical expansion of VL in Brazil and the emergence of new focuses or reactivation of old ones [5].

In Governador Valadares, located in Rio Doce Valey, MG, cases of VL were registered in the 60s [6]. When the visceral leishmaniasis control program was adopted, the municipality was considered as belonging to a “controlled endemic” area. However, in the beginning of the 90s, the program was interrupted and epidemiological surveillance has not been carried out regularly in the region since then, without notification of human cases of VL up to 2007.

Since 2008, human cases of VL began to be registered in the municipality of Governador Valadares. Given this situation, the present study undertakes an epidemiological investigation taking into account human and canine cases and also the phlebotomine fauna, in a reemergent focus of intense VL transmission in Brazil.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Area. The municipality of Governador Valadares (18°5′12″S–41°56′42″W) is located in the eastern region of Minas Gerais state, covering part of the Rio Doce basin, in the southeastern area of Brazil. The city has 263,689 inhabitants distributed in 150 districts [7]. The region is subjected to a hot and humid climate in which temperatures vary little throughout the year (annual temperature average of 25.6°C). Its topography is characterized by a hilly relief. The vegetation is between the ecosystems of seasonal semideciduous forest and savannah, but due to logging in the past, some native species were replaced by pastures, with some representatives in areas of environmental protection in the municipal surrounding areas.

2.2. Human Cases of VL. Data concerning the number of human cases of VL in the urban area of Governador Valadares from 2008 to 2011 was obtained from the Epidemiology Management/DVS/SMS, that considered the municipality as an area of intense VL transmission (average of cases in the last 5 years ≥ 4.4 cases) in the state of Minas Gerais [5].

2.3. Canine VL Survey. The canine VL (CVL) survey was carried out from 2008 to 2011 in all dogs domiciled in neighborhoods in the urban area with records of human cases in Governador Valadares. Blood samples were obtained annually in all the districts through the cervical or jugular vein puncture for serum production. A first screening for the presence of anti-Leishmania immunoglobulin in canine serum was performed by ELISA (Bio-Manguinhos/Fiocruz, RJ, Brazil) [8]. The diagnosis confirmation was accomplished through the indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFAT) [9], in agreement with the procedure adopted by the Brazilian Ministry of Health [5]. Among the positive animals for IFAT, a random sample of 343 dogs was analyzed according to the protocol of the Epidemiological Management/DVS/SMS, that considered the municipality as an area of intense VL transmission (average of cases in the last 5 years ≥ 4.4 cases) in the state of Minas Gerais [5].

2.4. Entomological Captures. Phlebotomine captures were performed in 8 neighborhoods in the municipality of Governador Valadares (Altinópolis, Mãe de Deus, Nossa Senhora das Graças, Santa Helena, Ilha de Araújos, Santos Dumont, São Raimundo, and Turmalina). The neighborhoods were chosen taking into account the prevalence rates canine (high and low). Thirty-two HP light traps [11] were distributed in the intra- and peri-domicile, exposed in two residences of each neighborhood. The residences were chosen according to favorable ecological conditions for their development, such as the presence of trees, domestic animals, and organic matter.

2.5. Statistical Analysis. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to evaluate the normality of data distribution (Software: R v. 2.14.1). The Spearman correlation between the prevalence of canine infection and the density of L. longipalpis was calculated and its statistical significance assessed (P value ≤ 0.05).

2.6. Ethical Aspects. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of animal experimentation adopted by the Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation (COBEA) and it was approved by the Ethical Committees on the Use of Animals of Universidade Federal dos Vales do Jequitinhonha e Mucuri (CEUA/UFVJM 010/10, Diamantina, Brazil).

3. Results

The municipality of Governador Valadares presented 86 autochthonous cases of visceral leishmaniasis from 2008 to 2011, with 14 cases in 2008, 27 in 2009, 24 in 2010, and 21 in 2011 (data not showed). The disease prevailed in males (73.2%), in children aged 0–9 years (44.1%) with a lethality rate of 16.2% (Table 1).

The canine survey carried out in 35 districts of Governador Valadares from 2008 to 2011 resulted in 4,992 (30.2%) VL-seropositive dogs among 16,529 tested (Table 2). Canine VL was widespread throughout the urban area, with prevalence rates varying from 13.6% (São Paulo district) to 53.4% (Carapina district) (Table 2). Among the 343 seropositive dog samples, 49.9% were considered symptomatic, with a larger prevalence of CVL in short-furred dogs (90%) (Figure 1(a)). The most frequent clinical signs in symptomatic animals were located ulcers and onychogryphosis, as evidenced in Figure 1(b).
Table 2: Median prevalence of canine visceral leishmaniasis infection and number of human cases, by district, in Governador Valadares from 2008 to 2011.

| Districts    | Number of dogs examined | IFAT-positive dogs | Prevalence (%) | VL human cases |
|--------------|-------------------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Altinópolis  | 2,237                   | 756               | 33.8           | 10             |
| Atalaia      | 47                      | 18                | 38.3           | 1              |
| Carapina     | 189                     | 101               | 53.4           | 2              |
| Centro       | 605                     | 121               | 20.0           | 6              |
| Esperança    | 274                     | 104               | 37.9           | 2              |
| Esplanada    | 166                     | 85                | 51.2           | 1              |
| Fraternidade | 262                     | 63                | 24.0           | 1              |
| Grã-Duquesa  | 891                     | 332               | 37.2           | 2              |
| Ilha dos Araújos | 690                  | 127               | 18.4           | 2              |
| JK           | 259                     | 58                | 22.4           | 1              |
| Jardim do Trevo | 683                | 185               | 27.1           | 3              |
| Lourdes      | 824                     | 327               | 39.7           | 6              |
| Maria Eugênia| 205                     | 69                | 33.6           | 1              |
| Mãe de Deus  | 401                     | 132               | 32.9           | 3              |
| Monte Carmelo| NU                      | NU                | —              | 1              |
| N. Sra. das Graças | 725             | 275               | 37.9           | 4              |
| Palmeiras    | 764                     | 238               | 31.1           | 7              |
| Planalto     | 354                     | 124               | 35.0           | 2              |
| Santa Efigênia| 195                  | 54                | 27.7           | 1              |
| Santa Helena | 1,536                   | 581               | 37.8           | 7              |
| Santa Terezinha | 342                  | 90                | 26.3           | 1              |
| Santo Antônio| 519                     | 148               | 28.5           | 3              |
| Santos Dumont| 256                     | 35                | 13.7           | 1              |
| São Cristóvão| 268                     | 64                | 23.9           | 2              |
| São José     | 165                     | 24                | 14.5           | 1              |
| São Paulo    | 785                     | 107               | 13.6           | 1              |
| São Raimundo | 25                      | 8                 | 32.0           | 1              |
| Turmalina    | 946                     | 194               | 20.5           | 3              |
| Vila Bertas  | 528                     | 116               | 21.9           | 4              |
| Vila Império | 226                     | 46                | 20.3           | 1              |
| Vila Isa     | 230                     | 68                | 29.5           | 1              |
| Vila Mariana | 460                     | 218               | 47.4           | 1              |
| Vila dos Montes | 19                    | 6                 | 31.6           | 1              |
| Vila Ozanã   | 161                     | 48                | 29.8           | 1              |
| Vila Rica    | 292                     | 70                | 24.0           | 1              |

Total 16,529 4,992 30.2 86

NU: not undertaken.

Table 3: Phlebotomine sandflies captured with HP trap in Governador Valadares by species, sex, and environment (from May 2011 to January 2012).

| Species            | Environment | Inside | Outside | Total | % |
|--------------------|-------------|-------|---------|-------|---|
| Lutzomyia cortelezzii | δ         | 26    | 3       | 29    | 1 |
| Lutzomyia intermedia | δ         | 3     | 3       | 6     | 0.7 |
| Lutzomyia longipalpis | δ     | 80    | 250     | 330   | 90 |
| Lutzomyia whitmani | δ         | 1     | 2       | 3     | 0.1 |
| Lutzomyia spp. | δ         | 10    | 6       | 16    | 2.1 |

1923), Lutzomyia intermedia (Lutz and Neiva, 1912), Lutzomyia longipalpis (Lutz and Neiva, 1912), and Lutzomyia whitmani (Antunes and Coutinho, 1939), totaling 2,539 specimens, of which 2,105 were males (83%) and 434 females (17%). The peridomestic presented the largest percentage of the captured specimens (84.5%). The predominant species was L. longipalpis (90%) (Table 3). The phlebotomine distribution according to the neighborhoods and months of collection can be visualized in Table 4.

The correlation between the density of the L. longipalpis captured and canine prevalence is represented in Figure 2. There was no statistically significant association between the variables number of sandflies and prevalence of canine infection (P = 0.057).

4. Discussion

In Brazil, VL is considered a neglected disease that prevails in places where underprivileged social conditions predominate. It contributes to the maintenance of the inequality picture that we have nowadays, as it represents a strong barrier to the social and economical development of the country [5]. In the last decades, the urbanization phenomenon has been pointed out as the responsible for the appearance of new focuses and reemergence of old ones in urban areas of small and medium-sized cities [13–15].

Governador Valadares, located in the Southeastern area of Brazil, is an example of a reemerging focus of VL as a result of urbanization. The VL cases notified occurred where housing conditions, basic sanitation, and garbage collection were poor. The residents have low socioeconomic status, living together with domestic animals and accumulated organic matter (data not shown). The same conditions were evidenced in other places where VL transmission is endemic [16, 17].

Analyzing the human cases according to the age group it was noticed that VL was more frequent in children under 10 years old (44.1%) (Table 1). The same findings were reported by several authors [18–20]. The lethality rate was 16.2%. Nascimento et al. [14] and Queiroz et al. [21] verified a lethality rate of 11.5% and 10.2%, respectively. The greatest prevalence of VL in children (Table 1) can explain the high lethality rate found, as they are yet incompletely developed immune system.

The phlebotomine fauna in Governador Valadares urban area consisted of 4 species: Lutzomyia cortelezzii (Brêthes,
Table 4: Phlebotomines and flies captured with HP trap in Governador Valadares by district and sex (from May 2011 to January 2012).

| Year | Months | Altinópolis | Mãe de Deus | N. Sra. Graças | Santa Helena | Ilha dos Araújos | Santos Dumont | São Raimundo | Turmalina | N | % |
|------|--------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|----|----|
| 2011 | May    | 17          | 28          | 58             | 278          | 8              | 27           | 32           | 98        | 0  | 0  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 548 | 21.6 |
|       | Jul     | 8           | 34          | 20             | 153          | 7              | 49           | 19           | 72        | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 2  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 364 | 14.4 |
|       | Sep     | 3           | 5           | 4              | 8            | 5              | 10           | 7            | 29        | 0  | 0  | 1  | 5  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 77  | 3.0  |
|       | Nov     | 21          | 33          | 15             | 7            | 8              | 25           | 11           | 57        | 3  | 1  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  | 3  | 4  | 189 | 7.4  |
| 2012  | Jan     | 19          | 299         | 99             | 315          | 56             | 549          | 10           | 14        | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1,361 | 53.6 |
| Total |         | 467         | 957         | 744            | 349          | 4              | 7            | 3            | 8         |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | 2,539 | 100  |

Figure 1: Frequencies of canine visceral leishmaniasis by fur type and clinical status (a) and the recorded clinical signs of CVL (b) in urban dogs of Governador Valadares, MG, Brazil.

The prevalence of canine-VL in Brazil has been demonstrated to be between 1.9 and 35% in endemic areas [22–26]. In a previous study undertaken in Governador Valadares, Malaquias et al. [27] evidenced 13.7% of positivity in dogs in urban areas. In the present study, the average prevalence was 30.2%, but in some neighborhood it reached 53.4%. The high prevalence of canine infection has been given as one of the risk factors for VL occurrence [28, 29].

In this context, symptomatic or asymptomatic seropositive dogs play an important role in the maintenance of the infection [30]. Signs of VL were detected in 171 (49.9%) of the 343 dogs sampled. The pattern of clinical signs observed for the disease most commonly included weight loss, apathy, and emaciation, similar to what was observed by Silva et al. [15]. The most worrying fact is the percentage of dogs with nonapparent infection (50.1%), as they show a high degree of cutaneous parasitism, being capable of living together with the parasite for long periods [31, 32].

In addition, short-furred dogs represented the largest percentage of seropositive animals (90%), suggesting that short fur determines larger chance by a greater propensity to contract the infection in the population investigated because it enables the phlebotomine access to the place of the bite [33, 34]. These results are reinforced by França-Silva et al. [4] who observed a larger prevalence of CVL in short-furred dogs.

In Minas Gerais, several authors have showed the abundance of *L. longipalpis* in urban areas where VL is endemic [16, 35, 36]. The same pattern has been observed in other Brazilian areas [37, 38] where the species clearly participates in the transmission of *L. infantum chagasi*. However, in previous research in the same area as the present study, although the authors found *L. longipalpis*, it was not the most abundant species. This fact may be because the area sampled was a transitional one between wild and urban environments. Thus the predominance of this sand fly in an urban area with high frequencies in residences and surroundings suggests its participation in the transmission of *L. infantum chagasi* among dogs and humans in the city of Governador Valadares [39].

The presence of a great number of seropositive dogs and the high density of *L. longipalpis* have been affirmed to be the main risk factors for the occurrence of VL in urban areas [40, 41]. In the present study, the correlation between these
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