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PTEN in Lung Cancer: Dealing with the Problem, Building on New Knowledge and Turning the Game Around
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Abstract: Lung cancer is the most common malignancy and cause of cancer deaths worldwide, owing to the dismal prognosis for most affected patients. Phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted in chromosome 10 (PTEN) acts as a powerful tumor suppressor gene and even partial reduction of its levels increases cancer susceptibility. While the most validated anti-oncogenic duty of PTEN is the negative regulation of the PI3K/mTOR/Akt oncogenic signaling pathway, further tumor suppressor functions, such as chromosomal integrity and DNA repair have been reported. PTEN protein loss is a frequent event in lung cancer, but genetic alterations are not equally detected. It has been demonstrated that its expression is regulated at multiple genetic and epigenetic levels and deeper delineation of these mechanisms might provide fertile ground for upgrading lung cancer therapeutics. Today, PTEN expression is usually determined by immunohistochemistry and low protein levels have been associated with decreased survival in lung cancer. Moreover, available data involve PTEN mutations and loss of activity with resistance to targeted treatments and immunotherapy. This review discusses the current knowledge about PTEN status in lung cancer, highlighting the prevalence of its alterations in the disease, the regulatory mechanisms and the implications of PTEN on available treatment options.
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1. Introduction

Most newly diagnosed lung cancer patients develop distant metastasis, which leads to poor survival, keeping lung cancer as the leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide. Histological classification of lung cancer provides crucial information regarding treatment approach. Small cell lung cancer (SCLC) and non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) account for the 15% and 85% of new lung cancer cases, respectively. NSCLC is further divided in three main subtypes, lung adenocarcinoma (LUAD), squamous cell lung carcinoma (SCLC) and large cell carcinoma [1]. Although a great deal of progress
has been made in terms of precision medicine, particularly in oncogene-addicted disease, chemotherapy still represents a crucial treatment option for the majority of lung cancer patients, albeit with usually a short-term survival benefit. The development of tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) and immunotherapy were major breakthroughs in lung cancer treatment providing an important survival benefit for subgroups of NSCLC. Nevertheless, resistance eventually emerges also in those single molecular alteration-dependent tumors, consequently decreasing the initial benefit [1,2]. Research strategies utilizing whole genome sequencing, increasingly applied nowadays, have led to the definition of the genomic landscape of numerous cancers. PI3K/mTOR/Akt is one of the most commonly genetically altered and deregulated oncogenic signaling pathways in cancer, including lung cancer and especially NSCLC. At the end of the 1990s, phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted in chromosome 10 (PTEN) was discovered as a tumor suppressor gene and thereafter it was demonstrated that it acts as a master negative regulator of the PI3K/mTOR/Akt pathway. Nevertheless, to date clinical trials with numerous agents targeting this pathway failed to demonstrate a solid clinical benefit.

2. PTEN Biology

PTEN is one of the most frequently inactivated tumor suppressor genes in cancer and its expression is dramatically decreased in numerous cancer types [3]. PTEN was originally identified in 1997, when independent groups studying frequent mutations at the 10q23 locus in chromosome 10, suggested PTEN as a candidate tumor suppressor gene [4]. The PTEN gene is structured by nine exons and encodes for a 403-aminoacid protein with dual lipid and protein phosphatase utility. While other tumor suppressor genes follow the stepwise theory (such as the hereditary or sporadic retinoblastoma), PTEN seems to escape from this classical, two hit model and, as was demonstrated in vivo, even a subtle decrease of its levels could increase cancer susceptibility indicating a continuum for tumor suppressor behavior [5,6].

The PI3K/mTOR/Akt pathway is a critical oncogenic signaling that controls a plethora of cellular events. The binding of different ligands (growth factors) on receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) activate the PI3K either directly or through the assistance of the insulin receptor substrate (IRS) adaptor protein [7]. Then, the active PI3K phosphorylates the phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) generating phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3), which acts as a lipid second messenger stimulating the activation signals to Akt and mTOR complexes initiating cell proliferation, survival and anabolic events [8]. Physiologically, PTEN patrols in proximity to this phosphorylation event preserving homeostasis by removing a phosphate group from PIP3 and converting it back to the PIP2 inactive state. In addition to this critical duty, other important findings showed that PTEN facilitates fundamental anti-oncogenic tasks, such as maintenance of chromosomal stability and competence of DNA repair by positively regulating the DNA repair protein RAD51 [9]. PTEN was described as forming homodimers for acquiring the functional lipid phosphatase configuration. However, PTEN mutants lack the catalytical function associated with wild-type (WT) PTEN units and establish, in a dominant negative manner, an inactive state. This suggests that mechanisms interfering with the dimerization could prevent its proper activity and trigger oncogenesis [10]. Phosphorylation of PTEN C-tail induces folding and association with the rest of the protein body, promoting a closed shape of PTEN shelling the binding sites and thus negatively regulating its tumor suppressor function. Nevertheless, eradication of the phosphorylation residues could restore PTEN to fully active conformation [11]. Interestingly, both PTEN and PTEN-L, an alternative less abundant transcriptional variant, 173 amino acids longer, were found to be secreted in the extracellular environment exerting their tumor suppressor properties into the recipient cells in a cell autonomous manner, a finding that might imply pharmaceutical utility for PTEN delivery (Figure 1) [12–14]. It is noteworthy that with the presence of two different PTEN peptides, two homodimers (PTEN/PTEN, PTEN-L/PTEN-L) and one heterodimer (PTEN/PTEN-L) can be formed, likely enriching the spectrum of mediated activities [15].
Figure 1. Phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted in chromosome 10 (PTEN) can exit the cell and with a paracrine manner can be engulfed by the recipient cell, exerting also there its tumor suppressor role. PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase; PIP2, phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate; PIP3, phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate; mTORC1, mTOR complex 1; mTORC2, mTOR complex 2.

PI3K/mTOR/Akt is usually aberrantly activated due to gene amplification and the gaining of function mutations of the catalytic subunits of PI3K or loss of function of the tumor suppressor gene PTEN [16]. According to the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) research network, PTEN genetic alterations in SQCL are found in approximately 15% of cases, while they are much less frequent in LUAD (3%). These rates cannot explain the high prevalence of PTEN protein loss usually observed in lung cancer samples [17,18]. Therefore, other modification mechanisms should be involved. Indeed, PTEN gene expression is tightly regulated by genetic, epigenetic-driven mechanisms, as well as through protein–protein interactions (Figures 2 and 3).

2.1. PTEN Epigenetic Regulation

At the epigenetic level, SAL-like protein 4 (SALL4) recruits a complex with nucleosome remodeling and histone deacetylase activity (NuRD) at the PTEN locus repressing its transcription [19]. Epigenetic silencing of PTEN gene expression in different cancers is also mediated by aberrant hypermethylation of CpG islands on its promoter [20,21]. In early-stage NSCLC evaluated by immunohistochemistry (IHC), 24% (30/125) of samples were PTEN negative. Then, 20 PTEN negative and 10 PTEN positive samples were subjected to a methylation specific polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay and PTEN promoter was methylated in 35% (7/20) of PTEN negative cases, whereas no methylation was detected in PTEN positive samples [22]. Additionally, 69% (11/16) of NSCLC cell lines harbored methylated PTEN promoter. The negative impact of methylation on PTEN expression was confirmed by inducing PTEN expression in a highly methylated NSCLC cell line upon the use of a demethylating agent [22]. Methylation-specific PCR assay analysis of the PTEN promoter in a different cohort of NSCLC samples detected methylation events in 26% (39/151), albeit methylation status is not predictor for PTEN expression since unmethylated samples lacked PTEN expression as well [23].
PTEN regulation by genetic mechanisms involves insertion, deletion and mutations that lead to functionally impaired PTEN peptides. (b) At a transcriptional level, PTEN promoter can be found heavily methylated or deacetylated, abrogating transcription initiation. Moreover, different transcription factors bind to promoter region and either promote transcription (PPARγ, etc.) or repress it (BMI1, NF-κB, etc.). (c) The 3′-UTR of PTEN mRNA is a direct target of numerous miRNAs, which negatively regulate PTEN expression in a post-transcriptional level. However, PTEN pseudogene transcript as well as other protein-coding mRNA transcripts function as decoy, ameliorating PTEN downregulation. PIC, preinitiation complex; SALL4, SAL-like protein 4; NuRD complex, nucleosome remodeling and deacetylase complex; PPARγ, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma; BMI1, polycomb complex protein BMI1; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa beta.
2.2. PTEN Post-Transcriptional Modulation by Non-Coding RNAs

PTEN mRNA is highly susceptible to post-transcriptional control by different non-coding RNAs in numerous cancer types including NSCLC (Table 1). Indeed, miRNAs directly targeting PTEN include miR-524 in osteosarcoma, miR-146b in thyroid cancer and miR-205 in NSCLC [24–27]. Recently, IncRNA growth arrest-specific 5 (GAS5) was reported to recognize and target miR-205, decreasing its levels and allowing PTEN to increase in NSCLC cell lines [28]. The miR-21 is frequently upregulated in numerous cancer types and has been reported to directly target and repress PTEN expression in NSCLC [29]. Furthermore, miR-449a has been detected downregulated in gefitinib resistant in vitro and in vivo NSCLC models [30]. Furthermore, higher expression levels of miR-328 and lower expression levels of PTEN were detected in cisplatin resistant compared with cisplatin sensitive NSCLC patients [31]. Another oncomiRNA manipulating PTEN levels is miR-130b, which was reported as increased in NSCLC cisplatin resistant cells. Inhibiting miR-130b overcomes cisplatin resistance both in vitro and in vivo. Its function is based on PTEN downregulation with a subsequent promotion of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling axis [32]. Indeed, PTEN has been described as a negative regulator of Wnt/β-catenin in NSCLC and prostate cancer, mainly by affecting β-catenin function [33,34]. Higher levels of miR-93-5p were found in NSCLC compared to normal tissue and patients with high expression had more dismal survival rates than those with lower expression [35]. More examples of epigenetic regulation of PTEN involve miR-29b, miR-92a, miR-183-5p, miR-374b, miR-494 and miR-4286 [36–41]. Interestingly, the transcripts of PTEN pseudogene 1 (PTENP1) which share highly homologous regions with PTEN have started to attract increasing research attention. Due to the almost identical sequence, PTENP1 transcripts act as a bait for miRNAs sacrificing themselves for allowing PTEN mRNA to escape miRNA surveillance [42]. This decoy mechanism expands also to other protein-coding miRNAs, so called competitive endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs). These ceRNAs act as targets for PTEN-targeting miRNAs, which might drive PTEN upregulation [43]. For instance, ZEB2 protein is a well-known initiator of epithelial–mesenchymal transition (EMT). However, ZEB2 mRNA acts as a ceRNA of PTEN in melanoma cells, exerting a tumor suppressor role [44].

Table 1. Role of different PTEN-targeting miRNAs in non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) prognosis and therapy resistance.

| microRNA   | Expression | Material          | Clinical Correlation          | Ref. |
|------------|------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------|
| miR-21     | High       | Tissue/in vitro   | Chemo- and radio resistance   | [29] |
| miR-29b    | Low        | Tissue/in vitro   | LN metastasis                 | [36] |
| miR-92a    | High       | Tissue            | Tumor stage/LN metastasis     | [37] |
| miR-93-5p  | High       | Tissue            | Poor survival                 | [35] |
| miR-130b   | High       | In vitro/in vivo  | Cisplatin resistance          | [32] |
| miR-183-5p | High       | Tissue/in vitro   | Tumor volume                  | [38] |
| miR-205    | High       | Tissue/in vitro   | Chemo resistance              | [26–28] |
| miR-328    | High       | Tissue/in vitro   | Cisplatin resistance          | [31] |
| miR-374b   | High       | Tissue/in vitro   | NR                            | [39] |
| miR-449a   | Low        | EGFR TKI res NSCLC tissue/in vitro/in vivo | EGFR TKI resistance | [30] |
| miR-494    | High       | Tissue            | LN metastasis/poor OS         | [40] |
| miR-4286   | High       | Tissue/in vitro   | Histology                     | [41] |

Ref., reference; NR, not reported; OS, overall survival; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; LN, lymph nodes; Gef res, gefitinib resistant models.

2.3. PTEN Post-Translational Regulation

Protein phosphorylation is a critical post-translational modification resulting in either activation or inhibition of downstream effectors. Induced expression of a modified version of PTEN C-tail in LUAD...
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Cell line H-1299 resulted in a striking reduction of cell proliferation as compared to transduction with a WT version of PTEN. Moreover, upon leptin treatment which mediates phosphorylation of PTEN and triggers the PI3K/mTOR pathway, cells expressing the mutated PTEN form, which has compromised phosphorylation sites, proliferated with a slower rate than those with WT PTEN, likely due to the inability of leptin to phosphorylate PTEN. This finding highlights the negative regulatory role that phosphorylation events exert on the tumor suppressive function of PTEN. Interestingly, phosphorylated levels of PTEN were higher in tumor tissue and were increasing with tumor grade. The mutated PTEN binds to transcription factor E2F1, blocking the transcription of cell cycle genes (cyclin D1, cyclin E1) [45]. Although PTEN creates an antioncogenic shield above different factors, there are also guardians of PTEN protecting its function and stability. CK1α is a PTEN interacting-protein, which binds to the C-terminal tail with higher affinity than any other kinases (GSK3B, CK2) therefore preventing its phosphorylation. Moreover, the interaction of CK1α and PTEN antagonized NEDD4 binding, thus abrogating the NEDD4-mediated PTEN polyubiquitination. Interestingly, NSCLC patients with high CK1α expression had a significantly longer survival than those with low expression and this was observed only in PTEN-detectable group confirming the close association of PTEN with CK1α [46]. Another guardian of the PTEN protein is Importin-11 (IPO-11), which mediates and is required for PTEN nuclear translocation. Genetic perturbation of IPO-11 resulted in increased polyubiquitination and degradation of PTEN in cytoplasm due to its insufficient import to nucleus. Moreover, IPO-11 absence or malfunction allows NEDD4 ubiquitin ligase to approach and degrade PTEN. Similarly, in vivo findings, confirm that PTEN levels are decreased in the presence of malfunctional IPO-11. In analysis of human lung cancer samples, a strong correlation between absent or low IPO-11 with absent or low PTEN was reported [47].

2.4. PTEN-Transcription Factors Interaction

Transcription factor binding sites on PTEN promoter are direct targets of p53, early growth response protein 1 (EGR1) and peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPARγ), which trigger transcription initiation [48,49]. On the other hand, transcription repressors Snail1 and SLUG antagonize p53 for the PTEN-promoter binding sites [50,51]. Moreover, PTEN transcription is also directly abrogated by BMI1, c-Jun and nuclear factor kappa B (NFκB) [52–54]. EYA2 was reported upregulated and inversely correlated with PTEN expression in lung cancer tissue and NSCLC cell lines, leading to increased lung cancer cell proliferation. Deeper experiments revealed that EYA2 upregulates the expression levels of miR-93, which in turn targets directly the 3′ UTR of PTEN downregulating its expression [55]. Other regulatory mechanisms describe Myc-driven WW domain–containing ubiquitin E3 ligase 1 (WWP1) upregulation, which in turn promotes polyubiquitination and inactivation of PTEN in prostate cancer cells. Interestingly, pharmacological inhibition of WWP1 by a natural compound derived from cruciferous vegetables can restore PTEN expression [56]. After PTEN loss in prostate epithelium, TGF-β signaling emerges as a defense barrier abrogating prostate tumorigenesis. However, the presence of chicken ovalbumin upstream promoter transcription factor II (COUP-TFII) abolishes this protective mechanism by interacting with SMAD4, a crucial downstream effector of TGF-β signaling pathway, inhibiting the pathway flux [57]. These findings should trigger lung cancer-related studies exploring novel means of PTEN regulation and potential therapeutic intervention.

3. PTEN Dysregulation Initiates Oncogenesis

In numerous major cancers, including NSCLC and breast cancer, PTEN limited expression or complete absence is correlated with clinical outcome and therapy efficacy [58–60]. The pattern of PTEN genomic alterations is highly diverse across cancer types and some genetic alterations could exert a stronger oncogenic effect than others (missense mutations versus truncated mutations) [61]. Regarding lung cancer oncogenesis, PTEN seems to play a role in regulation of the apical junctional complexes (polarized epithelial cells). Smoking can induce downregulation of PTEN expression (likely due to an immune-mediated mechanism) and accordingly increase mTOR/Akt signaling activation in
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airway epithelium of healthy and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) smokers compared to non-smokers [62,63]. PTEN loss in epithelium of in vivo models resulted in leptin signaling initiation followed by epithelial hyperplasia. In the presence of leptin, lung adenocarcinoma PTEN-null cells proliferated and migrated with a higher rate through the upregulation of the oncogenic pathways PI3K/mTOR/Akt, MAPK, JAK/STAT3, establishing a positive feedback among them that was abrogated after pathway targeted inhibition [64]. KRAS-driven cancer progressed faster, in the sensitizor background of PTEN null. In the absence of this strong anti-oncogenic force, infiltration of neutrophils and endothelial cells was increased leading to elevated vascularization and inflammation, altering the status of the tumor microenvironment and leading to higher cell proliferation rates [65]. Intriguingly, delivery of urocanic acid-modified chitosan-mediated PTEN gene aerosol into lung adenocarcinoma KRAS mutant in vivo models increased PTEN levels and downregulated the oncogenic mTOR/Akt axis [66].

4. PTEN as an Inhibitory Factor for Metastasis

Interesting findings highlight the inhibitory role of PTEN in metastasis initiation. Genetic silencing of PTEN in vitro and in vivo upregulated the EMT markers (N-cadherin, vimentin), together with the induction of EMT-associated morphological modification in PTEN-deficient cells. Moreover, β-catenin was detected exclusively in the nucleus of PTEN-deficient cells in a ready-to-act state [33]. In lung cancer cells, TGF-β, a well-known promoter of EMT, increased the phosphorylation levels of PTEN while decreasing PTEN expression. Substitution of the four-Ala on the phosphorylation region in the C-terminal domain of PTEN (PTEN4A) abrogated more efficiently TFG-β mediated EMT initiatiou, blocking β-catenin at the cell membrane, repressing cell motility in vitro and tumor growth in vivo than WT PTEN [67]. Moreover, analysis of PTEN protein levels in a set of NSCLC in vitro models showed that H1299, a cell line with EMT phenotype, expressed the lowest PTEN levels. It is known that a hypoxia condition could ignite EMT. In NSCLC cells maintained in hypoxia conditions, PTEN levels were downregulated, EMT markers were increased and β-catenin was translocated from the cytoplasm into the nucleus. Induction of PTEN4A in hypoxia-cultured cells partially reversed EMT by inhibiting the translocation of β-catenin into the cytoplasm and nucleus [68].

5. PTEN Status and Clinical Implications in Lung Cancer

Nowadays, research strategies including whole genome screening of different cancer types are considered as the most comprehensive approach, potentially enriching the currently available therapeutic opportunities. PTEN genetic, epigenetic and expression profiles in lung cancer and the available correlation with clinicopathological factors are reported in Table 2.

| Histologic Type | Finding | Number of Patients | Human Material | Correlated Parameters | Discovery Technique | Ref. |
|-----------------|---------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------|
| NSCLC | Protein loss, Promoter methylation | 24% (30/125), 35% (7/20) | Tissue | NR | IHC, PCR | [22] |
| NSCLC | Promoter methylation | 26% (39/151) | Tissue | No predictor of protein expression | PCR | [23] |
| NSCLC | Mutation | 4.5% (8/176) | Tissue | smokers, mostly SQLC | PCR, sequencing assays | [69] |
| A-NSCLC | Mutation | 2.5% (4/162) | Tissue | NR | NGS | [70] |
| LUAD | Mutation | 2.2% (1/45) | Tissue | NR | NGS | [71] |
| LUAD | Deletion | 6.8% (2/29) | Tissue | NR | NGS | [72] |
### Table 2. Cont.

| Histologic Type | Finding                  | Number of Patients | Human Material | Correlated Parameters                               | Discovery Technique      | Ref. |
|-----------------|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| NSCLC           | Protein loss, mutation   | 50% (86/173), 4% (7/180) | Tissue         | NR                                                  | IHC, PCR [73]            |      |
| NSCLC           | Protein loss, weak        | 44% (52/117), 29% (34/117) | Tissue         | Stage I and II                                      | IHC [22]                 |      |
| NSCLC           | Protein loss             | 59.86% (173/289)    | Tissue         | LN metastasis Smoking status, Decreased survival    | IHC [74]                 |      |
| NSCLC           | Protein loss             | 42.4% (122/288)     | Tissue         | SQLC, Smoking status; Decreased PFS                 | IHC [75]                 |      |
| NSCLC           | Protein loss             | 39% (41/104)        | Tissue         | More prevalent in SQLC                             | IHC [76]                 |      |
| SQLC, LUAD      | Protein loss             | 21% (9/43), 4% (2/56) | Tissue         | PTEN gene loss was associated with SQLC             | IHC [77]                 |      |
| NSCLC           | Protein loss, Deletion   | 41.4% (63/152), 5.6% (7/124) | Tissue         | More prevalent in SQLC, shorter DFS for LUAD       | IHC, FISH [78]           |      |
| NSCLC           | Protein loss             | 41.4% (43/104)      | Tissue         | Advanced disease, LN metastasis, Decreased survival| IHC [79]                 |      |
| NSCLC           | Protein loss             | 46.1% (47/102)      | Tissue         | Poor survival for p-AktS473 positive and PTEN negative | IHC [80]                 |      |
| SCLC            | Deletion                 | 29% (7/24)          | cf-DNA         | NR                                                  | WGS [81]                 |      |
| SCLC            | Mutation                 | 0% (0/99)           | cf-DNA         | NR                                                  | HRM [82]                 |      |
| SCLC            | Mutation                 | 7.4% (2/27)         | cf-DNA         | NR                                                  | NGS [83]                 |      |

Ref., reference; NR, not reported; IHC, immunohistochemistry; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; NGS, next-generation sequencing; WGS, whole genome sequencing; FISH, fluorescent in situ hybridization; HRM, high resolution melt; OS, overall survival; RFS, relapse free survival; A-NSCLC, advanced-non-small cell lung cancer; LUAD, lung adenocarcinoma; SQLC, squamous cell lung cancer; SCLC, small-cell lung cancer; LN, lymph nodes; cf-DNA, cell-free DNA; PFS, progression-free survival; DFS, disease-free survival.

5.1. PTEN Genetic Status in Lung Cancer

Results from different studies are consistent regarding the low rate of PTEN genetic alterations (2–7% approximately) [69–72]. Interestingly, PCR-based assay and direct sequencing in 176 NSCLC tissues recognized 4.5% (8/176) PTEN mutations on exons 5–8, with four of them described only in lung cancer. Patients with these mutations were all smokers and mostly SQLC. Assessment of the impact of one of these mutations on protein functional level reported a truncated protein of high instability [69]. Moreover, next-generation sequencing (NGS) analysis in 162 advanced NSCLC Korean patients identified four patients (2.5%, 4/162) with the following mutations: K66E, R130X, Q171X, P246L [70]. EGFR-mutant NSCLC tumors concurrently harbor PTEN mutations in 6.6% (1/15), agreeing with TCGA where PTEN deletion or mutation was found in 5.5% (1/18) of EGFR-exon 19 deletion or L858R mutant tumors [84]. Owing to limited tissue availability regarding SCLC, blood-based real time monitoring tools have started to receive increasing attention. Whole genome sequencing in plasma cell free DNA of 24 SCLC patients detected PTEN deletion in 29% (7/24), while blood samples from 99 SCLC patients subjected to targeted mutational analysis on codons 5 (p.R130G), 6 (p.R173C) and 8 (p.T319fs*1) of PTEN, resulted negative for each of the specified [81,82]. In contrast, SCLC plasma cell free DNA was analyzed by NGS using a panel targeting all PTEN exons. Insertion-deletion mutations in 7.4% (2/27) were identified, highlighting the value of cutting-edge technology and the importance of the analytic method [83].

5.2. PTEN Protein Status in Lung Cancer

In contrast with the low frequency of genetic alterations, the prevalence of PTEN protein loss is much higher. Most of the relevant studies have described PTEN protein loss in more than 40% of NSCLC cases while some of them suggested correlation with smoking status, SQLC histology.
and decreased survival [23,73–80]. For example, IHC analysis of PTEN in 289 NSCLC patients and 76 healthy or benign cases reported 59.86% (173/289) and 3.94% (3/76) to be PTEN negative, respectively. PTEN negative cases had lymph node metastasis, were usually smokers, and carried the worst survival [74]. A different cohort with 288 resected NSCLC samples reported PTEN loss in 42.4% (122/288), significantly correlated with SQLC histology, smoking status, advanced disease and larger tumor size, as well as shorter progression-free survival (PFS) than the PTEN positive cases [75]. In another study, while decreased expression of PTEN was observed similarly in SQLC and LUAD, total loss of PTEN was more common in SQLC, 21% (9/43) than LUAD 4% (2/56). Also, PTEN loss was associated with short survival. In vitro NSCLC models harboring PTEN loss were sensitive to PI3K inhibition [77]. PTEN expression was reported totally absent in 41.4% (63/152) by IHC and was more frequent in SQLC cases. However, PTEN loss was correlated with shorter disease-free survival (DFS) only in LUAD patients. From the above cases, only the 5.6% (7/124) showed PTEN deletion and all were PTEN negative by IHC. Interestingly, PTEN loss in never smokers was detected only in 26%, but without significant difference from smokers [78]. High prevalence of PTEN expression loss was demonstrated also in a cohort of 102 NSCLC cases. PTEN negative by IHC was 46.1% (47/102) and 0% (0/20) of NSCLC samples and healthy tissues, respectively. The findings also suggested a negative correlation between p-Akt S473 and PTEN and poor survival for p-Akt S473 positive/PTEN negative [80]. IHC analysis of more than 1000 patients in two cohorts of predominantly early stage NSCLC cases (LUAD and SQLC), performed in independent laboratories, confirmed that cytoplasmic PI3Kβ overexpression and PTEN low or negative expression were significantly more prevalent in SQLC than LUAD. Moreover, the SQLC subtype was found to be more frequently correlated with simultaneous PI3Kβ overexpression and inverse expression of PTEN than LUAD patients. This finding suggests the potentially increased benefit by using a selective PI3K isoform inhibitor especially for SQLC that completely lacks targeted therapeutic options [85]. In 2016, a meta-analysis by Xiao et al. involving 23 studies (some of them were discussed in this review) with around 2.500 NSCLC patients concluded that decreased PTEN expression detected by IHC was associated with shorter overall survival (OS), DFS and PFS [86].

5.3. PI3K/mTOR/Akt Inhibition in Lung Cancer

Considering the crucial role of PI3K/mTOR/Akt signaling in cancer initiation, progression and metastasization, some inhibitors of this pathway have been tested and afterwards included in the therapeutic strategy of various cancer types. Among the approved drugs in oncology are two rapamycin analogs inhibiting the mTOR complex 1 (mTORC1): (i) temsirolimus for the treatment of advanced renal cell carcinoma and (ii) everolimus for advanced renal cell carcinoma, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors and advanced hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative breast cancer (in combination with exemestane). Recently, the Food and Drug Administration has granted approval for the PI3K inhibitor alpelisib for hormone receptor-positive, HER2-negative, PIK3CA-mutated breast cancer (in combination with fulvestrant). In lung cancer, several inhibitors of PI3K/mTOR/Akt axis have been evaluated in clinical trials as single agent and/or combination therapies demonstrating relevant adverse events without a solid clinical benefit. The main available studies, as well as the ongoing clinical trials with inhibitors of PI3K/mTOR/Akt signaling in lung cancer are summarized in Table 3.

| Agent         | Target | Phase | Setting          | Main Results                        | Ref. |
|---------------|--------|-------|------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| Everolimus    | mTORC1 | II    | Pretreated NSCLC | DCR 47.1%; mPFS 2.6–2.7 months     | [87] |
| Everolimus (+ erl vs. erl) | mTORC1 | IIR   | Pretreated NSCLC | mPFS 2.9 vs. 2.0 months; G3/4 AEs 72.7% vs. 32.3% | [88] |
Table 3. Cont.

| Agent                | Target | Phase | Setting                  | Main Results                  | Ref.      |
|----------------------|--------|-------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Everolimus (+ docetaxel) | mTORC1 | II    | Pretreated NSCLC         | 6-month PFS 5%, mOS 9.6 months | [89]      |
| Everolimus (+ thoracic RT) | mTORC1 | I     | Locally advanced or metastatic untreated NSCLC | Recommended dose with RT 50mg/week; relevant pulmonary AEs | [90]      |
| Temsirolimus          | mTORC1 | II    | Advanced NSCLC           | mPFS 23 months, mOS 6.6 months | [91]      |
| Vistusertib           | mTORC1/2 | II   | Advanced RICTOR-amplified SCLC | Ongoing                      | NCT03106155 |
| MK-2206               | Pan-AKT | II    | PI3KCA, AKT and PTEN-mutant NSCLC and SCLC | Ongoing                      | NCT01306045 |
| Gedatolisib (+ carbo-paclitaxel) | Dual PI3K and mTORC1/2 | I/II | Pretreated NSCLC         | Ongoing                      | NCT02920450 |
| Gedatolisib (+ palbociclib) | Dual PI3K and mTORC1/2 | I     | Squamous pretreated NSCLC | Ongoing                      | NCT03065062 |

R, randomized; ref., reference; erl, erlotinib; NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer; mPFS, median progression-free survival; RT, radiotherapy; AEs, adverse events; mOS, median overall survival.

6. PTEN-Mediated Resistance to Targeted Therapy

Development of EGFR TKIs (gefitinib, afatinib, erlotinib) was a breakthrough in the treatment of NSCLC patients carrying EGFR mutations. However, the emergence of resistance is almost inevitable in these oncogene-addicted patients, after roughly 12 months, predominantly due to the secondary mutation EGFR T790M. Additionally, other mechanisms which have been associated with EGFR TKIs resistance in NSCLC patients involve PTEN loss and the activation of PI3K/mTOR signaling [92]. Shortly after, another study reconfirmed the advent of EGFR TKI resistance in the absence of PTEN expression. Gefitinib-resistant NSCLC in vitro models showed a significant decrease in PTEN expression followed by upregulation of Akt phosphorylation compared to the sensitive parental cells. Reduced nuclear localization of EGR1, a transcription factor regulating PTEN, in resistant cells might represent a mechanism leading to the downregulation of PTEN expression. Moreover, genetic silencing of PTEN in cell lines conferred resistance to gefitinib, supporting the notion that PTEN expression is associated with EGFR TKI sensitivity [93]. Intriguingly, a single case report described a multiple primary lung cancer in which the adenocarcinoma of the left lobe was an EGFR mutant at exon 21 and KRAS/PTEN negative and thus, was treated with gefitinib. While the left lobe lesions responded to TKI, the poorly differentiated lesion of the right lobe progressed. After resection of the right lobe, the tissue was subjected to mutation analysis, which revealed a PTEN mutation, but no EGFR mutations [94]. PTEN proficient HCC827 cells with the sensitizing to-TKI-therapy EGFR mutation showed high sensitivity to gefitinib. Genetic deletion of PTEN diminished this sensitivity. Treatment of in vitro PTEN-deficient EGFR-mutant NSCLC models with PPARγ agonists (rosiglitazone, pioglitazone) in presence of gefitinib produced a strong synergism and induced PTEN protein expression and autophagy mitigating TKI resistance in NSCLC increasing the anticancer effect. The PPARγ-specific contribution was confirmed also after genetic and pharmaceutical inhibition where the reverse of the effect was described [95,96]. NEDD4 is a E3 ubiquitin ligase that promotes ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation of PTEN and was moderately and strongly expressed in 26/103 and 56/103 in NSCLC samples, respectively, correlating significantly with PTEN low protein levels [97,98]. Moreover, it was found to be negatively regulated also in HCC827 TKI erlotinib resistant cells at mRNA and protein level. Interestingly, only concomitant NEDD4 genetic deletion and PTEN transfection in the PTEN-deficient H1640 resistant cells managed to increase significantly the resistance to erlotinib indicating that NEDD4-mediated resistance mechanism relies on PTEN presence and subsequent degradation [99]. As mentioned
previously, methylation of the PTEN promoter could be found in EGFR TKIs resistant cell lines. Interestingly, treatment of these cell lines with demethylating agents could restore PTEN levels and consequently sensitivity to TKIs, suggesting that epigenetic modulators could be considered as treatment options [100]. Interestingly, in a study where 169 NSCLC patients harbored EGFR-sensitive mutations, those that had concurrent PTEN deletion showed a more dismal PFS and OS compared to those with intact PTEN. In addition, PTEN deletion and low PTEN protein expression are strong and independent predictors of worse PFS in EGFR-TKI treated NSCLC patients [101]. Moreover, high PTEN expression seems to contribute to prolonged survival in gefitinib-treated NSCLC patients, while EGFR mutant patients with concomitant elevated PTEN expression showed the longest survival compared to other subgroups [102]. The parallel architecture of the signaling pathways enables crosstalk either at a baseline or after the treatment pressure as a bypass mechanism. PTEN appears to participate in the circuit of PI3K and MAPK signaling pathways that cooperate for the interest of cell survival and proliferation. PTEN assessment in a set of lung cancer cell lines resulted in variable outcomes among them. Interestingly, a targeted combination of everolimus with trametinib (a MEK inhibitor) produced a synergistic effect in a large panel of cell lines lacking PTEN expression, including cells of other origin besides lung cancer, while PTEN competent cell lines exhibited either a slight additive or antagonistic effect. Combined inhibition of MEK and both mTOR complexes using double mTOR or PI3K/mTOR inhibitors might result in a more profound response and therefore deserves attention [103].

7. PTEN Role in Tumor Microenvironment and Immunotherapy Sensitivity

The tumor microenvironment frequently serves as a major limitation for current anti-cancer drugs. Nevertheless, immunotherapy has brought a strong wind of optimism in lung cancer treatment with an already reported remarkable survival benefit for NSCLC patients. The delineation of predictive biomarkers has lagged behind and if steps would be taken forwards, the expected profit from immunotherapy will be improved, reducing the proportion of patients without benefit [104]. The presence of T regulatory cells (Tregs) is fundamental for controlling immune response thus providing protection from autoimmune disorders and appropriate regulation of PI3K/mTOR signaling is necessary for this [105]. Tregs specific deletion of PTEN in vivo disturbed the immunotolerance inducing spontaneous inflammatory conditions. PTEN is required for the stability of Tregs controlling transcription and metabolic events silencing mTOR complex 2 (mTORC2) axis [106]. Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) tumors implanted in hosts with PTEN-KO Tregs grew with a slower rate than LLC tumors in WT hosts. Moreover, concomitant administration of chemotherapy and VO-OHpic (a PTEN inhibitor) exerted a synergistic effect reducing LLC tumor size. This drug combination promotes a proinflammatory phenotype within a tumor microenvironment that was quite similar with that observed in PTEN-KO Tregs hosts. Although this approach can raise concerns for PTEN expressing patients, it might harbor therapeutic value for PTEN-deficient patients [107]. From a technical perspective, PTEN basal expression in stromal cells can be utilized as a control marker of tissue quality and, according to the acceptable expression levels, tissues can be analyzed with for PD-L1 or rejected. While exclusion of poor-quality samples is critical before biomarker analysis, the criteria for selecting PTEN as a tissue quality marker should be explored deeper [108]. IFN-γ-mediated anti-oncogenic functions are well demonstrated in cancer, including in lung cancer, and exogenous administration has been used to treat different malignancies and PTEN appears to play a role also in the IFN-γ impact. While PTEN competent A549 cell respond to IFN-γ, genetic deletion of PTEN in them but also PTEN-deficient PC14PE6/AS2 cells failed to respond. Moreover, after exploring the negative regulators of IFN-γ/JAK2/STAT1 axis, generation of ROS was reported which in turn increased the p-SHP2, a phosphatase that can deactivate JAK2/STAT1. Treatment of the cells with antioxidant N-acetyl-cysteine or SHP2 inhibitor decreased the SHP2 levels and restored the IFN-γ mediated antioncogenic effect [109]. PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome (PHTS) individuals harbor germline PTEN mutations and characterized by an increased predisposition for benign and malignant tumorigenesis. As expected, inactive PTEN results in a higher activity of PI3K/mTOR/Akt pathway
in PHTS patients leading to increased aerobic glycolysis generating lactate, a modulator of the immune system, enhancing a proinflammatory environment [110]. In the pursuit of identifying reliable predictive biomarkers, glioblastoma patients who did not respond to anti-PD-1 therapy were analyzed and reported to be significantly enriched in PTEN mutations, especially loss-of-function mutations within the C2 domain, leading to the establishment of a immunosuppressive context and an upregulated PI3K/mTOR pathway activity as was showed in non-responders patients with PTEN mutations [111]. Immunotherapy resistance mediated by PTEN loss is also confirmed in metastatic uterine leiomyosarcoma and melanoma [112,113]. Interestingly, immunosuppressive cytokines such as VEGF have been reported overexpressed in PTEN negative tumors. Clinical trials including anti-PD-1 combined with anti-vascularization agents are currently ongoing including NSCLC (NCT02443324). A phase III study showed the combination of the anti-PD-L1 avelumab with the multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor axitinib is superior to sunitinib and led to a longer PFS in advanced renal-cell cancer patients [114]. Interestingly, a metastatic NSCLC case with PTEN mutation, 80% PD-L1 expression and high tumor mutational load showed a durable response to temsirolimus (mTORC1 inhibitor), whereas treatment with anti-PD-1 antibodies induced rapid progression. These findings demand further investigation for exploring the potential superior biological impact of PTEN mutations over immunotherapy sensitizing mechanisms and/or the PTEN-mediated resistance to immunotherapy despite candidate immunologic predictive markers [115].

8. Conclusions

PTEN is a powerful tumor suppressor gene that counteracts several oncogenic stimuli and it has been demonstrated that even partial PTEN protein loss could initiate carcinogenesis. While the most critical duty of PTEN is the negative regulation of the PI3K/mTOR/Akt oncogenic pathway, thus inhibiting uncontrolled cell survival, growth and migration, further crucial antioncogenic functions have been attributed to PTEN. Intriguingly, large cohort studies analyzing human lung cancer samples showed decreased and complete loss of PTEN expression for 40% of cases, approximately. However, genetic alterations of PTEN (mutations/deletion) in lung cancer do not match the protein loss prevalence and, therefore, non-genomic mechanisms regulating PTEN expression should exist. Indeed, epigenetic (miRNAs, methylation, acetylation) and post-translational (phosphorylation, oxidation) mechanisms have been preclinically and clinically detected. Since intervention on a genetical level is still an unmet need, epigenetic pharmaceutical modulation by demethylating agents and anti-miRNA oligonucleotides represents an attractive challenge. Potential combinations involving perturbation of PTEN loss-driven signaling pathways through targeted inhibitors (PI3K/mTOR/Akt) might produce a more profound anti-oncogenic effect. Efforts to modulate PTEN levels were reinforced further by the findings which associate PTEN mutations with resistance to TKI and recently to immunotherapy. Given the intricate multilevel regulation of PTEN, a global assessment of PTEN status in a retrospective and perspective manner could identify initially harboring or post treatment arising genetic/protein alterations leading to the identification of a PTEN signature with predictive and/or prognostic value. PTEN protein levels have been evaluated by IHC in numerous studies within large cohorts and valuable information regarding histology and prognosis was extracted. For the time being, PTEN is evaluated in clinic by IHC, an indispensable diagnostic tool and the most appropriate and cost-effective method for estimating PTEN protein expression on lung cancer tissue. However, pathologist visual scoring, specificity of the antibody and tissue quality are some of the main IHC limitations. Evaluation by independent pathologists, proper handling and preparation of the tissue but also PTEN antibody validation in PTEN-null genetic models could ameliorate potential bias. Until recent years, PTEN was not considered according to its high tumor suppressor value by the research community, while at the same time the downstream signaling network, and especially the PI3K/mTOR/Akt pathway, received enormous attention. However, first rate discoveries regarding PTEN structure and the mechanisms controlling its activation, together with the advent of pioneer technology, will accelerate
the elucidation of PTEN function and regulation, potentially leading to more clinically meaningful therapeutic approaches for lung cancer patients.

**Funding:** E.B. is supported by the Italian Association for Cancer Research AIRC-IG 20583; E.B. was supported by the International Association for Lung Cancer (IASLC), the LILT (Lega Italiana per la Lotta contro i Tumori) and Fondazione Cariverona. E.B. received speakers’ and travels’ fee from MSD, Astra-Zeneca, Celgene, Pfizer, Helsinn, Eli-Lilly, BMS, Novartis and Roche. E.B. received consultant’s fee from Roche, Pfizer. E.B. received institutional research grants from Astra-Zeneca, Roche. S.P. is supported by the Italian Association for Cancer Research AIRC-IG 20583; S.P. was supported by the International Association for Lung Cancer (IASLC). S.P. received speakers’ and travels’ fee from MSD, Astra-Zeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Eli-Lilly, BMS, Novartis and Roche. S.P. received consultant’s fee from Boehringer Ingelheim, BMS, MSD, Istituto Gentili. S.P. received institutional research grants from Astra-Zeneca.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The remaining authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

**References**

1. Gridelli, C.; Rossi, A.; Carbone, D.P.; Guarize, J.; Karachaliou, N.; Mok, T.; Petrella, F.; Spaggiari, L.; Rosell, R. Non-small-cell lung cancer. *Nat. Rev. Dis. Primers* 2015, 1, 15009. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

2. Rosell, R.; Karachaliou, N.; Morales-Espinosa, D.; Costa, C.; Molina, M.A.; Sansano, I.; Gasco, A.; Viteri, S.; Massuti, B.; Wei, J.; et al. Adaptive resistance to targeted therapies in cancer. *Transl. Lung Cancer Res.* 2013, 2, 152–159. [CrossRef]

3. Milella, M.; Falcone, I.; Conciatori, F.; Cesta Incani, U.; Del Curatolo, A.; Inzerilli, N.; Nuzzo, C.M.; Vaccaro, V.; Vari, S.; Cognetti, F.; et al. PTEN: Multiple Functions in Human Malignant Tumors. *Front. Oncol.* 2015, 5, 24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Li, J.; Yen, C.; Liaw, D.; Podsypanina, K.; Bose, S.; Wang, S.I.; Puc, J.; Miliareis, C.; Rodgers, L.; McCombie, R.; et al. PTEN, a putative protein tyrosine phosphatase gene mutated in human brain, breast, and prostate cancer. *Science* 1997, 275, 1943–1947. [CrossRef]

5. Alimonti, A.; Carracedo, A.; Clohessy, J.G.; Trotman, L.C.; Nardella, C.; Egia, A.; Salmena, L.; Sampieri, K.; Haveman, W.J.; Brogi, E.; et al. Subtle variations in Pten dose determine cancer susceptibility. *Nat. Genet.* 2010, 42, 454–458. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

6. Shen-Li, H.; Koujak, S.; Szabolcs, M.; Parsons, R. Reduction of Pten dose leads to neoplastic development in multiple organs of Pten (shRNA) mice. *Cancer Biol. Ther.* 2010, 10, 1194–1200. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

7. Thorpe, L.M.; Yuzugullu, H.; Zhao, J.J. PI3K in cancer: Divergent roles of isoforms, modes of activation and therapeutic targeting. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2015, 15, 7–24. [CrossRef]

8. Gkountakos, A.; Pilotto, S.; Mafficini, A.; Vicentini, C.; Simbolo, M.; Milella, M.; Tortora, G.; Scarpa, A.; Bria, E.; Corbo, V. Unmasking the impact of Rictor in cancer: Novel insights of mTORC2 complex. *Carcinogenesis* 2018, 39, 971–980. [CrossRef]

9. Shen, W.H.; Balajee, A.S.; Wang, J.; Wu, H.; Eng, C.; Pandolfi, P.P.; Yin, Y. Essential role for nuclear PTEN in maintaining chromosomal integrity. *Cell* 2007, 128, 157–170. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

10. Papa, A.; Wan, L.; Bonora, M.; Salmena, L.; Song, M.S.; Hobbs, R.M.; Lunardi, A.; Webster, K.; Ng, C.; Newton, R.H.; et al. Cancer-associated PTEN mutants act in a dominant-negative manner to suppress PTEN protein function. *Cell* 2014, 157, 595–610. [CrossRef]

11. Rahdar, M.; Inoue, T.; Meyer, T.; Zhang, J.; Vazquez, F.; Devreotes, P.N. A phosphorylation-dependent intramolecular interaction regulates the membrane association and activity of the tumor suppressor PTEN. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2009, 106, 480–485. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

12. Putz, U.; Howitt, J.; Doan, A.; Goh, C.P.; Low, L.H.; Silke, J.; Tan, S.S. The tumor suppressor PTEN is exported in exosomes and has phosphatase activity in recipient cells. *Sci. Signal.* 2012, 5, ra70. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Hopkins, B.D.; Fine, B.; Steinbach, N.; Dendy, M.; Rapp, Z.; Shaw, J.; Pappas, K.; Yu, J.S.; Hodakoski, C.; Mense, S.; et al. A secreted PTEN phosphatase that enters cells to alter signaling and survival. *Science* 2013, 341, 399–402. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Lavictoire, S.J.; Gont, A.; Julian, L.M.; Stanford, W.L.; Vlasschaert, C.; Gray, D.A.; Jomaa, D.; Lorimer, I.A.J. Engineering PTEN-L for Cell-Mediated Delivery. *Mol. Ther. Methods Clin. Dev.* 2018, 9, 12–22. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
15. Pulido, R.; Baker, S.J.; Barata, J.T.; Carracedo, A.; Cic, V.J.; Chin-Sang, I.D.; Dave, V.; den Hertog, J.; Devreotes, P.; Eick, B.J.; et al. A unified nomenclature and amino acid numbering for human PTEN. Sci. Signal. 2014, 7, pe15. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Janku, F.; Yap, T.A.; Meric-Bernstam, F. Targeting the PI3K pathway in cancer: Are we making headway? Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 2018, 15, 273–291. [CrossRef]

17. Cancer Genome Atlas Research, N. Comprehensive genomic characterization of squamous cell lung cancers. Nature 2012, 489, 519–525. [CrossRef]

18. Cancer Genome Atlas Research, N. Comprehensive molecular profiling of lung adenocarcinoma. Nature 2014, 511, 543–550. [CrossRef]

19. Lu, J.; Jeong, H.W.; Kong, N.; Yang, Y.; Carroll, J.; Luo, H.R.; Silberstein, L.E.; Yupoma; Chai, L. Stem cell factor SALL4 represses the transcriptions of PTEN and SALL1 through an epigenetic repressor complex. PLoS ONE 2009, 4, e5577. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

20. Khan, S.; Kumagai, T.; Vora, J.; Bose, N.; Sehgal, I.; Koeffler, P.H.; Bose, S. PTEN promoter is methylated in a proportion of invasive breast cancers. Int. J. Cancer 2004, 112, 407–410. [CrossRef]

21. Mirmohammadsadegh, A.; Marin, A.; Nambar, S.; Hassan, M.; Tannapfel, A.; Ruzicka, T.; Hengge, U.R. Epigenetic silencing of the PTEN gene in melanoma. Cancer Res. 2006, 66, 6546–6552. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

22. Soria, J.C.; Lee, H.Y.; Lee, J.I.; Wang, L.; Issa, J.P.; Kemp, B.L.; Liu, D.D.; Kurie, J.M.; Mao, L.; Khuri, F.R. Lack of PTEN expression in non-small cell lung cancer could be related to promoter methylation. Clin. Cancer Res. 2002, 8, 1178–1184. [PubMed]

23. Marsit, C.J.; Zheng, S.; Aldape, K.; Hinds, P.W.; Nelson, H.H.; Wiencke, J.K.; Kelsey, K.T. PTEN expression in non-small-cell lung cancer: Evaluating its relation to tumor characteristics, allelic loss, and epigenetic alteration. Hum. Pathol. 2005, 36, 768–776. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

24. Zhuang, M.; Qu, X.; Cheng, D.; Zhu, C.; Chen, L. MicroRNA-524 promotes cell proliferation by down-regulating PTEN expression in osteosarcoma. Cancer Cell Int. 2018, 18, 114. [CrossRef]

25. Ramirez-Moya, J.; Wert-Lamas, L.; Santisteban, P. MicroRNA-146b promotes PI3K/AKT pathway hyperactivation and thyroid cancer progression by targeting PTEN. Oncogene 2016, 37, 3369–3383. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

26. Lei, L.; Huang, Y.; Gong, W. miR-205 promotes the growth, metastasis and chemoresistance of NSCLC cells by targeting PTEN. Oncol. Rep. 2013, 30, 2897–2902. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

27. Cai, J.; Fang, L.; Huang, Y.; Li, R.; Yuan, J.; Yang, Y.; Zhu, X.; Chen, B.; Wu, J.; Li, M. miR-205 targets PTEN and PHLPP2 to augment AKT signaling and drive malignant phenotypes in non-small cell lung cancer. Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids 2018, 7, 5402–5415. [CrossRef]

28. Dong, L.; Li, G.; Li, Y.; Zhu, Z. Upregulation of Long Noncoding RNA GAS5 Inhibits Lung Cancer Cell Proliferation and Metastasis via miR-205/PTEN Axis. Med. Sci. Monit. 2019, 25, 2311–2319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

29. Liu, Z.L.; Wang, H.; Liu, J.; Wang, Z.X. MicroRNA-21 (miR-21) expression promotes growth, metastasis, and chemoresistance in non-small cell lung cancer cells by targeting PTEN. Mol. Cell. Biochem. 2013, 372, 35–45. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

30. Bach, D.H.; Kim, D.; Bae, S.Y.; Kim, W.K.; Hong, J.Y.; Lee, H.J.; Rajasekaran, N.; Kwon, S.; Fan, Y.; Luu, T.T.; et al. Targeting Nicotinamide N-Methyltransferase and miR-449a in EGFR-TKI-Resistant Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer Cells. Mol. Ther. Nucleic Acids 2018, 11, 455–467. [CrossRef]

31. Wang, C.; Wang, S.; Ma, F.; Zhang, W. miRNA328 overexpression confers cisplatin resistance in nonsmall cell lung cancer via targeting of PTEN. Mol. Med. Rep. 2018, 18, 4563–4570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

32. Zhang, Q.; Zhang, B.; Sun, L.; Yan, Q.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Su, Y.; Wang, C. MicroRNA-130b targets PTEN to induce resistance to cisplatin in lung cancer cells by activating Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. Cell. Biochem. Funct. 2018, 36, 194–202. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

33. Perumal, E.; So Youn, K.; Sun, S.; Seung-Hyun, J.; Suji, M.; Jieying, L.; Yeun-Jun, C. PTEN inactivation induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition and metastasis by intranuclear translocation of beta-catenin and snail/slug in non-small cell lung carcinoma cells. Lung Cancer 2019, 130, 25–34. [CrossRef]

34. Yu, E.J.; Hooker, E.; Johnson, D.T.; Kwak, M.K.; Zou, K.; Luong, R.; He, Y.; Sun, Z. LZTS2 and PTEN collaboratively regulate ss-catenin in prostatic tumorigenesis. PLoS ONE 2017, 12, e0174357. [CrossRef]
35. Yang, W.; Bai, J.; Liu, D.; Wang, S.; Zhao, N.; Che, R.; Zhang, H. MiR-93-5p up-regulation is involved in non-small cell lung cancer cells proliferation and migration and poor prognosis. *Gene* 2018, *647*, 13–20. [CrossRef]

36. Wang, H.; Guan, X.; Tu, Y.; Zheng, S.; Long, J.; Li, S.; Qi, C.; Xie, X.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, Y. MicroRNA-29b attenuates non-small cell lung cancer metastasis by targeting matrix metalloproteinase 2 and PTEN. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 2015, *34*, 59. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

37. Ren, P.; Gong, F.; Zhang, Y.; Jiang, J.; Zhang, H. MicroRNA-92a promotes growth, metastasis, and chemoresistance in non-small cell lung cancer cells by targeting PTEN. *Tumour Biol.* 2016, *37*, 3215–3225. [CrossRef]

38. Wang, H.; Ma, Z.; Liu, X.; Zhang, C.; Hu, Y.; Ding, L.; Qi, P.; Wang, J.; Lu, S.; Li, Y. MiR-183-5p is required for non-small cell lung cancer progression by repressing PTEN. *Biomed. Pharmacother.* 2019, *111*, 1103–1111. [CrossRef]

39. Li, J.; Zhou, Z.; Xu, F.C.; Li, J.; Zeng, D.; Cao, X.Q.; Han, Y. MicroRNA-374b accelerates the development of lung cancer through downregulating PTEN expression via activating PI3K/Akt pathway. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* 2019, *23*, 1116–1124. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

40. Wang, J.; Chen, H.; Liao, Y.; Chen, N.; Liu, T.; Zhang, H.; Zhang, H. Expression and clinical evidence of miR-494 and PTEN in non-small lung cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 2015, *36*, 6965–6972. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

41. Ling, C.; Wang, X.; Zhu, J.; Tang, H.; Du, W.; Zeng, Y.; Sun, L.; Huang, J.A.; Liu, Z. MicroRNA-4286 promotes cell proliferation, migration, and invasion via PTEN regulation of the PI3K/Akt pathway in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Med.* 2019. [CrossRef]

42. Haddadi, N.; Lin, Y.; Travis, G.; Simpson, A.M.; Nassif, N.T.; McGowan, E.M. PTEN/PTENP1: ‘Regulating the regulator of RTK-dependent PI3K/Akt signalling’, new targets for cancer therapy. *Mol. Cancer* 2018, *17*, 37. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

43. Tay, Y.; Kats, L.; Salmena, L.; Weiss, D.; Tan, S.M.; Ala, U.; Karreth, F.; Poliseno, L.; Provero, P.; Di Cunto, F.; et al. Coding-independent regulation of the tumor suppressor PTEN by competing endogenous mRNAs. *Cell* 2011, *147*, 344–357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

44. Karreth, F.A.; Tay, Y.; Perna, D.; Ala, U.; Tan, S.M.; Rust, A.G.; DeNicola, G.; Webster, K.A.; Weiss, D.; Perez-Mancera, P.A.; et al. In vivo identification of tumor-suppressive PTEN ceRNAs in an oncogenic BRAF-induced mouse model of melanoma. *Cell* 2011, *147*, 382–395. [CrossRef]

45. Malaney, P.; Palumbo, E.; Semidey-Hurtado, J.; Hardee, J.; Stanford, K.; Kathiriya, J.J.; Patel, D.; Tian, Z.; Allen-Gipson, D.; Dave, V. PTEN Physically Interacts with and Regulates E2F1-mediated Transcription in Lung Cancer. *Cell Cycle* 2018, *17*, 947–962. [CrossRef]

46. Cai, J.; Li, R.; Xu, X.; Zhang, L.; Lian, R.; Fang, L.; Huang, Y.; Feng, X.; Liu, X.; Li, X.; et al. CK1alpha suppresses lung tumour growth by stabilizing PTEN and inducing autophagy. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2018, *20*, 465–478. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

47. Chen, M.; Nowak, D.G.; Narula, N.; Robinson, B.; Watrud, K.; Ambrico, A.; Herzka, T.M.; Zeeman, M.E.; Minderer, M.; Zheng, W.; et al. The nuclear transport receptor Importin-11 is a tumor suppressor that suppresses lung tumour growth by stabilizing PTEN and inducing autophagy. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2017, *216*, 641–656. [CrossRef]

48. Lee, Y.R.; Chen, M.; Pandolfi, P.P. The functions and regulation of the PTEN tumour suppressor: New modes and prospects. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2018, *19*, 547–562. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Yu, J.; Zhang, S.S.; Saito, K.; Williams, S.; Arimura, Y.; Ma, Y.; Ke, Y.; Baron, V.; Mercola, D.; Feng, G.S.; et al. PTEN regulation by Akt-EGF1-ARF-PTEN axis. *EMBO J.* 2009, *28*, 21–33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

50. Escriva, M.; Peiro, S.; Herranz, N.; Villagrasa, P.; Dave, N.; Montserrat-Sentis, B.; Murray, S.A.; Franci, C.; Gridley, T.; Virtanen, I.; et al. Repression of PTEN phosphatase by Snail1 transcriptional factor during gamma radiation-induced apoptosis. *Mot. Cell Biol.* 2008, *28*, 1528–1540. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

51. Uygur, B.; Abramo, K.; Leikina, E.; Vary, C.; Liaw, L.; Wu, W.S. SLUG is a direct transcriptional repressor of PTEN tumor suppressor. *Prostate* 2015, *75*, 907–916. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

52. Song, L.B.; Li, J.; Liao, W.T.; Feng, Y.; Yu, C.P.; Hu, I.J.; Kong, Q.L.; Xu, L.H.; Zhang, X.; Liu, W.L.; et al. The polycomb group protein Bmi-1 represses the tumor suppressor PTEN and induces epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human nasopharyngeal epithelial cells. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2009, *119*, 3626–3636. [CrossRef]

53. Hettinger, K.; Vikhanskaya, F.; Poh, M.K.; Lee, M.K.; de Belle, I.; Zhang, J.T.; Reddy, S.A.; Sabapathy, K. c-Jun promotes cellular survival by suppression of PTEN. *Cell Death Differ.* 2007, *14*, 218–229. [CrossRef]
54. Xia, D.; Srinivas, H.; Ahn, Y.H.; Sethi, G.; Sheng, X.; Yung, W.K.; Xia, Q.; Chiao, P.J.; Kim, H.; Brown, P.H.; et al. Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase-4 promotes cell survival by decreasing PTEN expression through an NF kappa B-dependent pathway. J. Biol. Chem. 2007, 282, 3507–3519. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

55. Li, Z.; Qiu, R.; Qiu, X.; Tian, T. EYA2 promotes lung cancer cell proliferation by downregulating the expression of PTEN. Oncotarget 2017, 8, 110837–110848. [CrossRef]

56. Qin, J.; Wu, S.P.; Creighton, C.J.; Dai, F.; Xie, X.; Cheng, C.M.; Frollov, A.; Ayala, G.; Lin, X.; Feng, X.H.; et al. COUP-TFI inhibits TGF-beta-induced growth barrier to promote prostate tumorigenesis. Nature 2013, 493, 236–240. [CrossRef]

57. Perez-Ramirez, C.; Canadas-Garre, M.; Molina, M.A.; Faus-Dader, M.J.; Calleja-Hernandez, M.A. PTEN and PI3K/AKT in non-small-cell lung cancer. Pharmacogenomics 2015, 16, 1843–1862. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

58. Marsh, D.J.; Coulon, V.; Lunetta, K.L.; Rocca-Serra, P.; Dahia, P.L.; Zheng, Z.; Liaw, D.; Caron, S.; Duboue, B.; Lin, A.Y.; et al. Mutation spectrum and genotype-phenotype analyses in Cowden disease and Bannayan-Zonana syndrome, two hamartoma syndromes with germline PTEN mutation. Hum. Mol. Genet. 1999, 7, 507–515. [CrossRef]

59. Shaykhiev, R.; Otaki, F.; Bonsu, P.; Dang, D.T.; Teater, M.; Strulovici-Barel, Y.; Salit, J.; Harvey, B.G.; Crystal, R.G. Cigarette smoking reprograms apical junctional complex molecular architecture in the human airway epithelium in vivo. Cell Mol. Life Sci. 2011, 68, 877–892. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

60. West, K.A.; Brognard, J.; Clark, A.S.; Linnoila, I.R.; Yang, X.; Swain, S.M.; Harris, C.; Belinsky, S.; Dennis, P.A. Rapid Akt activation by nicotine and a tobacco carcinogen modulates the phenotype of normal human airway epithelial cells. J. Clin. Investig. 2003, 111, 81–90. [CrossRef]

61. Pathak, R.R.; Grover, A.; Malaney, P.; Quarni, W.; Pandit, A.; Allen-Gipson, D.; Dave, V. Loss of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) induces leptin-mediated leptin gene expression: Feed-forward loop operating in the lung. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 282, 29821–29835. [CrossRef]

62. Iwanaga, K.; Yang, Y.; Rasg, M.G.; Ma, L.; Hanna, A.E.; Thilaganathan, N.; Moghaddam, S.; Evans, C.M.; Li, H.; Cai, W.W.; et al. Pten inactivation accelerates oncogenic K-ras-initiated tumorigenesis in a mouse model of lung cancer. Cancer Res. 2008, 68, 1119–1127. [CrossRef]

63. Jin, H.; Xu, C.X.; Kim, H.W.; Chung, Y.S.; Shin, J.Y.; Chang, S.H.; Park, S.J.; Lee, E.S.; Hwang, S.K.; Kwon, J.T.; et al. Urocanic acid-modified chitosan-mediated PTEN delivery via aerosol suppressed lung tumorigenesis in K-ras(LA1) mice. Cancer Gene Ther. 2008, 15, 275–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

64. Aokeyama, D.; Hashimoto, N.; Sakamoto, K.; Kohnoh, T.; Kusunose, M.; Kimura, M.; Ogata, R.; Imaizumi, K.; Kawabe, T.; Hasegawa, Y. Involvement of TGFbeta-induced phosphorylation of the PTEN C-terminus on expression of PTEN. Oncotarget 2013, 4, 110837–110848. [CrossRef]

65. Kohehno, T.; Hashimoto, N.; Ando, A.; Sakamoto, K.; Miyazaki, S.; Aokeyama, D.; Kusunose, M.; Kimura, M.; Omote, N.; Imaizumi, K.; et al. Hypoxia-induced modulation of PTEN activity and EMT phenotypes in lung cancers. Cancer Cell Int. 2016, 16, 33. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Jin, G.; Kim, M.J.; Jeon, H.S.; Choi, J.E.; Kim, D.S.; Lee, E.B.; Chai, S.I.; Yoon, G.S.; Kim, C.H.; Jung, T.H.; et al. PTEN mutations and relationship to EGFR, ERBB2, KRAS, and TP53 mutations in non-small cell lung cancers. Lung Cancer 2010, 69, 279–283. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Ku, B.M.; Heo, M.H.; Kim, J.H.; Cho, B.C.; Cho, E.K.; Min, Y.J.; Lee, K.H.; Sun, J.M.; Lee, S.H.; Ahn, J.S.; et al. Molecular Screening of Small Biopsy Samples Using Next-Generation Sequencing in Korean Patients with Advanced Non-small Cell Lung Cancer: Korean Lung Cancer Consortium (KLCC-13-01). J. Pathol. Transl. Med. 2018, 52, 148–156. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
Cancers 2019, 11, 1141

71. Cassidy, R.J.; Zhang, X.; Patel, P.R.; Shelton, J.W.; Escott, C.E.; Sica, G.L.; Rossi, M.R.; Hill, C.E.; Steuer, C.E.; Pillai, R.N.; et al. Next-generation sequencing and clinical outcomes of patients with lung adenocarcinoma treated with stereotactic body radiotherapy. Cancer 2017, 123, 3681–3690. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Mehrad, M.; Roy, S.; Bittar, H.T.; Dacic, S. Next-Generation Sequencing Approach to Non-Small Cell Lung Carcinoma Yields More Actionable Alterations. Arch. Pathol. Lab. Med. 2018, 142, 353–357. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Sjörenstrom, A.; Karlsson, C.; Fernandez, O.J.; Soderkvist, P.; Karlsson, M.G.; Thunell, L.K. Alterations of INPP4B, PIK3CA and pAkt of the PI3K pathway are associated with squamous cell carcinoma of the lung. Cancer Med. 2014, 3, 337–348. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Chang, X.-J.; Zuo, X.-S.; Wang, Z.-T.; Li, F.-G.; Duan, Y.; Han, M. The clinical significance of loss of FHIT and PTEN expression in 289 patients with non-small-cell lung cancer. Transl. Cancer Res. 2016, 5, 294–301. [CrossRef]

75. Yoo, S.B.; Xu, X.; Lee, H.J.; Jheon, S.; Lee, C.T.; Choe, G.; Chung, J.H. Loss of PTEN Expression is an Independent Poor Prognostic Factor in Non-small Cell Lung Cancer. J. Pathol. Transl. Med. 2011, 45, 329–335. [CrossRef]

76. Scrima, M.; De Marco, C.; Fabiani, F.; Franco, R.; Pirozzi, G.; Rocco, G.; Ravo, M.; Weisz, A.; Zoppoli, P.; Ceccarelli, M.; et al. Signaling networks associated with AKT activation in non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): New insights on the role of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase. PLoS ONE 2012, 7, e30427. [CrossRef]

77. Sporerke, J.M.; O’Brien, C.; Huw, L.; Koeppen, H.; Fridlyand, J.; Brachmann, R.K.; Haverty, P.M.; Pandita, A.; Mohan, S.; Sampath, D.; et al. Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) pathway alterations are associated with histologic subtypes and are predictive of sensitivity to PI3K inhibitors in lung cancer preclinical models. Clin. Cancer Res. 2012, 18, 6771–6783. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

78. Yanagawa, N.; Leduc, C.; Kohler, D.; Saieg, M.A.; John, T.; Sykes, J.; Yoshimoto, M.; Pintilie, M.; Squire, J.; Shepherd, F.A.; et al. Loss of phosphatase and tensin homolog protein expression is an independent poor prognostic marker in lung adenocarcinoma. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2012, 7, 1513–1521. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

79. Goncharuk, V.N.; del-Rosario, A.; Kren, L.; Anwar, S.; Sheehan, C.E.; Carlson, J.A.; Ross, J.S. Co downregulation of PTEN, KAI-1, and nm23-H1 tumor/metastasis suppressor proteins in non-small cell lung cancer. Ann. Diagn. Pathol. 2004, 8, 6–16. [CrossRef]

80. Tang, J.M.; He, Q.Y.; Guo, R.X.; Chang, X.J. Phosphorylated Akt overexpression and loss of PTEN expression in non-small cell lung cancer confers poor prognosis. Lung Cancer 2006, 51, 181–191. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

81. Du, M.; Thompson, J.; Fisher, H.; Zhang, P.; Huang, C.C.; Wang, L. Genomic alterations of plasma cell-free DNAs in small cell lung cancer and their clinical relevance. Lung Cancer 2018, 120, 113–121. [CrossRef]

82. Lu, H.Y.; Qin, J.; Han, N.; Lei, L.; Xie, F.; Li, C. EGFR, KRAS, BRAF, PTEN, and PIK3CA mutation in plasma of small cell lung cancer patients. Onco Targets Ther. 2018, 11, 2217–2226. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Almodovar, K.; Iams, W.T.; Meador, C.B.; Zhao, Z.; York, S.; Horn, L.; Yan, Y.; Hernandez, J.; Chen, H.; Shyr, Y.; et al. Longitudinal Cell-Free DNA Analysis in Patients with Small Cell Lung Cancer Reveals Dynamic Insights into Treatment Efficacy and Disease Relapse. J. Thorac. Oncol. 2018, 13, 112–123. [CrossRef]

84. VanderLaan, P.A.; Rangachari, D.; Mockus, S.M.; Spotlow, V.; Reddi, H.V.; Malcolm, J.; Huberman, M.S.; Joseph, L.J.; Kobayashi, S.S.; Costa, D.B. Mutations in TP53, PIK3CA, PTEN and other genes in EGFR mutated lung cancers: Correlation with clinical outcomes. Lung Cancer 2017, 106, 17–21. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

85. Cumberbatch, M.; Tang, X.; Beran, G.; Eckersley, S.; Wang, X.; Ellston, R.P.; Dearden, S.; Cosulich, S.; Smith, P.D.; Behrens, C.; et al. Identification of a subset of human non-small cell lung cancer patients with high PI3Kbeta and low PTEN expression, more prevalent in squamous cell carcinoma. Clin. Cancer Res. 2014, 20, 595–603. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

86. Xiao, J.; Hu, C.P.; He, B.X.; Chen, X.; Lu, X.X.; Xie, M.X.; Li, W.; He, S.Y.; You, S.J.; Chen, Q. PTEN expression is a prognostic marker for patients with non-small cell lung cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. Oncotarget 2016, 7, 57832–57840. [CrossRef]

87. Soria, J.C.; Shepherd, F.A.; Douillard, J.Y.; Wolf, J.; Giaccone, G.; Crino, L.; Cappuzzo, F.; Sharma, S.; Gross, S.H.; Dimitrijevic, S.; et al. Efficacy of everolimus (RAD001) in patients with advanced NSCLC previously treated with chemotherapy alone or with chemotherapy and EGFR inhibitors. Ann. Oncol. 2009, 20, 1674–1681. [CrossRef]
88. Besse, B.; Leighl, N.; Bennouna, J.; Papadimitrakopoulou, V.A.; Blais, N.; Traynor, A.M.; Soria, J.C.; Gogov, S.; Miller, N.; Jehl, V.; et al. Phase II study of everolimus-erlotinib in previously treated patients with advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* 2014, 25, 409–415. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

89. Ramalingam, S.S.; Owonikoko, T.K.; Behera, M.; Subramanian, J.; Saba, N.F.; Kono, S.A.; Gal, A.A.; Sica, G.; Harvey, R.D.; Chen, Z.; et al. Phase II study of docetaxel in combination with everolimus for second- or third-line therapy of advanced non-small-cell lung cancer. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2013, 8, 369–372. [CrossRef]

90. Deutsch, E.; Le Pechoux, C.; Fais, L.; Tao, Y.; Pignon, J.P.; Angokai, M.; Bahleda, R.; Deandreis, D.; Angevin, E.; et al. Phase I trial of everolimus in combination with thoracic radiotherapy in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* 2015, 26, 1223–1229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

91. Reungwetwattana, T.; Molina, J.R.; Mandrekar, S.J.; Allen-Ziegler, K.; Rowland, K.M.; Reuter, N.F.; Luyun, R.F.; Dy, G.K.; Marks, R.S.; Schild, S.E.; et al. Brief report: A phase II “window-of-opportunity” frontline study of the MTOR inhibitor, temsirolimus given as a single agent in patients with advanced NSCLC, an NCCTG study. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2012, 7, 919–922. [CrossRef]

92. Sos, M.L.; Koker, M.; Weir, B.A.; Heynck, S.; Rabinovsky, R.; Zander, T.; Seeger, J.M.; Weiss, J.; Fischer, F.; Frommolt, P.; et al. PTEN loss contributes to erlotinib resistance in EGFR-mutant lung cancer by activation of Akt and EGFR. *Cancer Res.* 2009, 69, 3256–3261. [CrossRef]

93. Yamamoto, C.; Basaki, Y.; Kawahara, A.; Nakashima, K.; Kage, M.; Izumi, H.; Kohno, K.; Uramoto, H.; Yasumoto, K.; Kuwano, M.; et al. Loss of PTEN expression by blocking nuclear translocation of EGR1 in gefitinib-resistant lung cancer cells harboring epidermal growth factor receptor-activating mutations. *Cancer Res.* 2010, 70, 8715–8725. [CrossRef]

94. Zhu, Z.; Yu, T.; Chai, Y. Multiple primary lung cancer displaying different EGFR and PTEN molecular profiles. *Oncotarget* 2016, 7, 81969–81971. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

95. To, K.K.W.; Wu, W.K.K.; Loong, H.H.F. PPARgamma agonists sensitize PTEN-deficient resistant lung cancer cells to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors by inducing autophagy. *Eur. J. Pharmacol.* 2018, 823, 19–26. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Lee, S.Y.; Hur, G.Y.; Jung, K.H.; Jung, H.C.; Lee, S.Y.; Kim, J.H.; Shin, C.; Shim, J.J.; In, K.H.; Kang, K.H.; et al. PPAR-gamma agonist increase gefitinib's antitumor activity through PTEN expression. *Lung Cancer* 2006, 51, 297–301. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

97. Wang, X.; Trotman, L.C.; Koppie, T.; Alimonti, A.; Chen, Z.; Gao, Z.; Wang, J.; Erdjument-Bromage, H.; Tempst, P.; Cordon-Cardo, C.; et al. NEDD4-1 is a proto-oncogenic ubiquitin ligase for PTEN. *Cell* 2007, 128, 129–139. [CrossRef]

98. Amadio, N.; Scrima, M.; Palaia, L.; Salman, A.N.; Quintino, A.; Franco, R.; Botti, G.; Pirozzi, P.; Rocco, G.; De Rosa, N.; et al. Oncogenic role of the E3 ubiquitin ligase NEDD4-1, a PTEN negative regulator, in non-small-cell lung carcinomas. *Am. J. Pathol.* 2010, 177, 2622–2634. [CrossRef]

99. Sun, H.; Ma, H.; Wang, J.; Xia, L.; Zhu, G.; Wang, Z.; Sun, J.; Chen, Z. Phosphatase and tensin homolog deleted on chromosome 10 degradation induced by NEDD4 promotes acquired erlotinib resistance in non-small-cell lung cancer. *Tumour Biol.* 2013, 34, 629–634. [CrossRef]

100. Maeda, M.; Murakami, Y.; Watari, K.; Kuwano, M.; et al. CpG hypermethylation contributes to decreased expression of PTEN during acquired resistance to gefitinib in human lung cancer cell lines. *Lung Cancer* 2015, 82, 265–271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

101. Wang, F.; Diao, X.Y.; Zhang, X.; Shao, Q.; Feng, Y.F.; An, X.; Wang, H.Y. Identification of genetic alterations associated with primary resistance to EGFR-TKIs in advanced non-small-cell lung cancer patients with EGFR sensitive mutations. *Cancer Commun.* 2019, 39, 7. [CrossRef]

102. Endoh, H.; Yatabe, Y.; Kosaka, T.; Kuwano, H.; Mitsudomi, T. PTEN and PIK3CA expression is associated with prolonged survival after gefitinib treatment in EGFR-mutated lung cancer patients. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 2006, 1, 629–634.

103. Milella, M.; Falcone, I.; Conciatori, F.; Matteoni, S.; Sacconi, A.; De Luca, T.; Bazzichetto, C.; Corbo, V.; Simbolo, M.; Sperduti, I.; et al. PTEN status is a crucial determinant of the functional outcome of combined MEK and mTOR inhibition in cancer. *Sci. Rep.* 2017, 7, 43013. [CrossRef]

104. Grizzi, G.; Caccese, M.; Gkountakos, A.; Carbognin, L.; Tortora, G.; Bria, E.; Pilotto, S. Putative predictors of efficacy for immune checkpoint inhibitors in non-small-cell lung cancer: Facing the complexity of the immune system. *Expert Rev. Mol. Diagn.* 2017, 17, 1055–1069. [CrossRef]
105. Huynh, A.; DuPage, M.; Priyadharshini, B.; Sage, P.T.; Quiros, J.; Borges, C.M.; Townamchai, N.; Gerriets, V.A.; Rathmell, J.C.; Sharpe, A.H.; et al. Control of PI(3) kinase in Treg cells maintains homeostasis and lineage stability. *Nat. Immunol.* **2015**, *16*, 188–196. [CrossRef]

106. Shrestha, S.; Yang, K.; Guy, C.; Vogel, P.; Neale, G.; Chi, H. Treg cells require the phosphatase PTEN to restrain TH1 and TH2 cell responses. *Nat. Immunol.* **2015**, *16*, 178–187. [CrossRef]

107. Sharma, M.D.; Shinde, R.; McGaha, T.L.; Huang, L.; Holmgaard, R.B.; Wolchok, J.D.; Mautino, M.R.; Celis, E.; Sharpe, A.H.; Francisco, L.M.; et al. The PTEN pathway in Tregs is a critical driver of the suppressive tumor microenvironment. *Sci. Adv.* **2015**, *1*, e1500845. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

108. Zhang, X.C.; Cao, X.; Sun, C.; Xie, Z.; Guo, J.J.; Yang, J.J.; Yang, X.N.; Dai, H.J.; Li, S.C.; Xu, X.R.; et al. Characterization of PD-L1 expression in Chinese non-small cell lung cancer patients with PTEN expression as a means for tissue quality screening. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* **2018**, *67*, 471–481. [CrossRef]

109. Chen, C.L.; Chiang, T.H.; Tseng, P.C.; Wang, Y.C.; Lin, C.F. Loss of PTEN causes SHP2 activation, making lung cancer cells unresponsive to IFN-gamma. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2015**, *466*, 578–584. [CrossRef]

110. Sloot, Y.J.E.; Rabold, K.; Netea-Maier, R.T. Effect of PTEN inactivating germline mutations on innate immune cell function and thyroid cancer-induced macrophages in patients with PTEN hamartoma tumor syndrome. *Oncogene* **2019**, *38*, 3743–3755. [CrossRef]

111. Cheng, F.; Eng, C. PTEN Mutations Trigger Resistance to Immunotherapy. *Trends Mol. Med.* **2019**. [CrossRef]

112. George, S.; Miao, D.; Demetri, G.D.; Adeegbe, D.; Rodig, S.J.; Shukla, S.; Lipschitz, M.; Amin-Mansour, A.; Raut, C.P.; Carter, S.L.; et al. Loss of PTEN Is Associated with Resistance to Anti-PD-1 Checkpoint Blockade Therapy in Metastatic Uterine Leiomyosarcoma. *Immunity* **2017**, *46*, 197–204. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Peng, W.; Chen, J.Q.; Liu, C.; Malu, S.; Creasy, C.; Tetzlaff, M.T.; Xu, C.; McKenzie, J.A.; Zhang, C.; Liang, X.; et al. Loss of PTEN Promotes Resistance to T Cell-Mediated Immunotherapy. *Cancer Discov.* **2016**, *6*, 202–216. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Motzer, R.J.; Penkov, K.; Haanen, J.; Rini, B.; Albiges, L.; Campbell, M.T.; Venugopal, B.; Kollmannsberger, C.; Negrier, S.; Uemura, M.; et al. Avelumab plus Axitinib versus Sunitinib for Advanced Renal-Cell Carcinoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2019**, *380*, 1103–1115. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Parikh, A.R.; Ali, S.M.; Schrock, A.B.; Albacker, L.A.; Miller, V.A.; Stephens, P.J.; Crilley, P.; Markman, M. Response to rapamycin analogs but not PD-1 inhibitors in PTEN-mutated metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer with high tumor mutational burden. *Lung Cancer* **2018**, *9*, 45–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]