Facial pain: sinus or not?
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SUMMARY

Facial pain is associated with significant morbidity and high levels of health care utilisation, and remains a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge for both clinicians and patients. In clinical practice, patients suffering from facial pain generally undergo multiple repeated consultations with different specialists and receive various treatments, including surgery. Many patients, as well as their primary care physicians, mistakenly attribute their pain as being due to rhinosinusitis when this is not the case. It is important to exclude non-sinus-related causes of facial pain before considering sinus surgery to avoid inappropriate treatment. Unfortunately, a significant proportion of patients have persistent facial pain after endoscopic sinus surgery (ESS) due to erroneous considerations on aetiology of facial pain by physicians. It should be taken into account that neurological and sinus diseases may share overlapping symptoms, but they frequently co-exist as comorbidities. The aim of this review was to clarify the diagnostic criteria of facial pain in order to improve discrimination between sinogenic and non-sinogenic facial pain and provide some clinical and diagnostic criteria that may help clinicians in addressing differential diagnosis.
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Introduction

Facial pain is associated with significant morbidity and high levels of health care utilisation, and remains a diagnostic and therapeutic challenge for both clinicians and patients; these conditions are often regarded as diagnoses of exclusion. The diagnostic criteria for facial pain can be found in both the International Association for the Study of Pain classification (IASP) and the International Headache Classification (ICHD-III)¹. According to the IASP, pain is an unpleasant emotional and sensory experience associated or not with real or potential injury; neuropathic pain occurs due to neural dysfunction ². In the group of patients who are referred to an otorhinolaryngologist with a presumptive diagnosis of rhinosinusitis as the cause of facial pain, only a few cases are found to have pain attributable to sinus diseases ³. Furthermore, studies selecting pa-
tients with facial pain with negative nasal endoscopy (NE) and computed tomography (CT) found that migraine was the most common cause of pain. Finally, clinical observations suggest that rhinosinusitis is an uncommon cause of facial pain:

- more than 80% of patients with purulent secretions visible at nasal endoscopy have no facial pain;
- most patients with nasal polyposis do not have facial pain;
- children who have rhinosinusitis rarely complain to an otolaryngologist for facial pain or headache;
- children with rhinosinusitis rarely complain of facial pain, even in the presence of florid purulent secretions;
- a significant proportion of patients who are candidate for endoscopic sinus surgery for facial pain have symptoms that persist post-operatively.

The management of patients with sinus pressure, pain, fullness, or headache is of utmost importance in the field of otolaryngology and rather challenging. From a merely clinical point of view, it is crucial distinguishing “sinogenic” from “non-sinogenic” facial pain to avoid erroneous treatment. Migraines are commonly misdiagnosed as sinus headache in approximately 42% of patients due to sharing overlapping symptoms (facial pain-fullness, nasal congestion and rhinorrhea), precipitating triggers (weather changes, allergies and environmental irritants) and common locations with chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS). Furthermore, sinonasal and migrainous disorders may frequently coexist as comorbidities; in fact, chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) may increase migraine-associated morbidity and frequency through irritation of trigeminal nerve receptors. Although American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery (AAO-HNS) criteria mandate objective confirmation by either NE or CT, non-otolaryngologists do not usually perform nasal endoscopy and the use of CT scan is limited due to radiation exposure and costs.

The aim of this work was to clarify the diagnostic criteria of sinogenic facial pain and to study differential diagnosis between sinogenic and non-sinogenic facial pain, as well as diagnostic-related strategies in patients presenting to an otolaryngologist for facial pain or headache.

Epidemiological data

Several epidemiological reports have been published regarding the relationship between sinus inflammatory disorders and headache, reaching different results. The clinical picture may be confounding due to overlapping symptoms. Runny nose, congestion and ocular symptoms may also be associated with neurological conditions. Migraine patients, for example, feel significantly bothered by nasal symptoms: a study on 46 patients diagnosed with primary headache disorder (PHD) showed high sino-nasal outcome test (SNOT-22) scores in almost all patients in whom CRS was excluded by objective criteria. Accordingly, Barbanti et al. demonstrated that migraine patients may experience unilateral nasal and/or ocular symptoms. Ocular and nasal symptoms have been hypothesised to arise from activation of the trigeminal-autonomic reflex, which is mediated by a circuit of trigeminal afferents and parasympathetic efferents innervating the lacrimal glands and the nasal mucosa. Several authors have demonstrated that the most common cause of self-diagnosed or primary physician-diagnosed “sinus headaches” in primary care scenarios is migraine. Schreiber et al. observed, in a very large series of 3000 patients with a self-diagnosis of “sinus headache”, that 88% of cases had migraine. Accordingly Eross et al. demonstrated that 86% of patients with a self-diagnosis and/or physician diagnosis of “sinus headache” have a migraine; Mehle et al. observed, finally, in a cohort of 35 patients affected by self-referred “sinus headache” that 74.3% satisfied International Headaches Society (IHS) criteria for migraine.

Several studies selecting otorhinolaryngological patients with negative NE and CT also found migraine to be the most common cause of sinuses headaches. Perry et al. for instance, observed that 58% of patients referring for self-diagnosed “sinus headache” in a tertiary rhinology practice, with negative NE or CT, were more properly diagnosed as migraine after referral to a neurologist. Conversely, in studies from non-otorhinolaryngological settings, migraine is frequently misdiagnosed as sinusitis. Lee observed that 28.7% of 1,235 patients diagnosed with primary headache and treated by neurologists in the emergency room showed radiological signs of rhinosinusitis, intranasal contact point, septal spur, concha bullosa, isolated sphenoïd lesion or osteoma.

Several epidemiological studies have confirmed that CRS and migraine may also be frequently comorbid, due to the high incidence of both disorders in the general population. Aaseth showed a strong association between headache and CRS in a cohort of 30,000 individuals, aged 30-44 years: patients with CRS had a 9-fold increased risk of having chronic headache; rhinitis and CRS are also believed to increase migraine-associated morbidity. Moreover, a study conducted on 100 headache patients demonstrated that 54% of those with IHS-defined migraine reported allergic rhinitis and 76% had at least one episode of prior acute sinusitis.

“Sinogenic” facial pain

The term “sinogenic” facial pain is routinely used to
suggest a pathogenic relationship between rhinological disorders and facial pain, and several physiopathological theories have been postulated. A "convergence hypothesis" has been elaborated, suggesting that headache might be a rebound mechanism that occurs when the central nervous system is stimulated by a headache-provoking environmental trigger. Sinus headache may be the result of trigeminal afferents in the nasal and sinus territory that activate the trigemino-vascular system. Peripheral trigger would occur with immunologic activation from allergies or infection or from stimulation derived from abnormal anatomic features culminating in facial pain. In addition, the creation of a pressure differential across obstructed sinus ostia, presence of inflammation and bacterial toxins and underlying osteitis may influence sensory nerve function and contribute to the aetiology of headache.

Rhinosinusitis and facial pain

Acute rhinosinusitis (ARS) usually follows an acute upper respiratory tract infection. Pain related to ARS is often unilateral, severe and associated with fever in 50% of cases and with nasal obstruction. Unilateral facial and dental pain are predictors of maxillary infection as validated in studies using maxillary sinus aspiration. Acute frontal ARS is often characterised by pyrexia and tenderness on the medial side of the orbital floor under the supraorbital ridge, where the frontal sinus is thinnest. Endoscopic examination is mandatory and usually shows hyperemia of the sinonasal mucosa, and purulent secretions. Acute sphenoiditis is uncommon, causing pain at the vertex of the head, although pain can be referred to the temporal region or the whole head.

In the CRS there is a poor correlation between the site of facial pain and evidence of sinus pathology. Indeed, CRS is usually painless, although the pain may occur during acute exacerbations. The relationship between facial pain/pressure, headache and chronic rhinosinusitis has long been debated and the most recent guidelines endorse the presence of pain in CRS. Several studies, however, suggested that facial pain is a very rare symptom in CRS. Hirsch et al. suggested that only 9.1% of patients affected by CRS refer facial pressure/pain as prevalent symptoms. CRS patients most commonly have other clinical manifestations of rhinosinusitis, such as purulent nasal discharge and respiratory obstruction, with pain occurring usually, as mentioned above, only during an acute exacerbation. Furthermore, more than 80% of patients with purulent secretions visible at nasal endoscopy have no facial pain.

CRS patients with facial pain and/or pressure have a higher risk of migraine compared to the patients without these symptoms. CRS may increase migraine-associated morbidity and migraine frequency through irritation of trigeminal nerve receptors. Additionally, the age- and sex-specific prevalence of CRS with pain and pressure mirror those of migraine (middle age, women). Diagnostic criteria for headache attributed to rhinosinusitis are listed in Table I.

Table I. Diagnostic criteria for headache attributed to rhinosinusitis. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS).

| Attributed to acute rhinosinusitis | Attributed to chronic or recurring rhinosinusitis |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------|
| A. Any headache fulfilling criterion C | A. Any headache fulfilling criterion C |
| B. Clinical, nasal endoscopic, and/or imaging evidence of acute rhinosinusitis | B. Clinical, nasal endoscopic, and/or imaging evidence of current or past infection or other inflammatory process within the paranasal sinuses |
| C. Evidence of causation demonstrated by at least 2 of the following: | C. Evidence of causation demonstrated by at least 2 of the following: |
| 1. headache as developed in temporal relation to the onset of rhinosinusitis; | 1. headache as developed in temporal relation to the onset of chronic rhinosinusitis; |
| 2. either or both of the following: | 2. headache waxes and wanes in parallel with the degree of sinus congestion and other symptoms of the chronic rhinosinusitis; |
| a. headache as significantly worsened in parallel with worsening of the rhinosinusitis; | 3. headache is exacerbated by pressure applied over the paranasal sinuses; |
| b. headache as significantly improved or resolved in parallel with improvement in or resolution of the rhinosinusitis; | 4. in the case of unilateral rhinosinusitis, headache is localised and ipsilateral to it. |
| 3. headache is exacerbated by pressure applied over the paranasal sinuses; | |
| 4. in the case of unilateral rhinosinusitis, headache is localised and ipsilateral to it. | |
| D. Not better accounted for/by another ICHD-III diagnosis | D. Not better accounted for/by another ICHD-III diagnosis |
a nosological entity. RH is defined as a headache, or a pain syndrome affecting the face, caused by mucosal surfaces impinging on each other within the nose and sinuses and lacking evidence of inflammation, hyperplasia of mucosal surfaces, pus-filled discharge, polyposis within the nose or sinuses, or existence of a mass. Normally, symptoms affect the area surrounding the orbit, the canthus medially and superiorly, or the zygomatic-temporal region and are one-sided, even if both sides may be affected in some cases. There is frequent recurrence of pain, the duration of which may be hours, interspersed with pain-free intervals. Where the mucosa impinge on each other, a “trigger point” may occur, with a resulting paroxysm of pain in the face area. Variations in anatomy can lead to impingement of structures on the nasal epithelium, the most frequently seen being a pneumatized middle turbinate (alternatively termed concha bullosa), which is a consequence of the way the ethmoidal sinus cells develop. Likewise, the middle turbinate may impinge painfully on the septum of the nose or the lateral wall, a situation Morgenstein called “middle turbinate headache syndrome”. Excising a portion of the middle turbinate in this group has an apparent benefit in relieving headache. On the balance of probability, as assessed through reviewing published case histories, most such patients with aberrant anatomy obtain only partial relief or of short duration by surgery. Greenfield has proposed the theory that cutaneous branches of the facial afferents of cranial nerve V synapse in the cerebral cortex, thus accounting for the perception of nasal stimulation as pain. Since the cortex is unable to localise these afferent impulses, they are perceived as referred facial pain. Stammberger and Wolf suggest that localise these afferent impulses, they are perceived as referred pain. Since the cortex is unable to localise these afferent impulses, they are perceived as referred facial pain. They hypothesise that impingement of mucosa may trigger discharge of the neurotransmitter peptide substance P, which is known to have a role in pain transmission, but do not offer further experimental corroboration of their theory.

To hypothesise a rhinogenic headache, three features must be present: an area of mucosal impingement, confirmed endoscopically or radiologically; infiltrating the suspicious area with local anaesthesia should abolish nociception for 5 minutes; the pain should disappear within a week. In contrast, a recent publication observed that most individuals with an area of impingement do not perceive pain nor, where there is pain, does obliteration of the contact point usually cause facial pain to disappear completely. Additionally, points of mucosal contact were discovered to occur with identical frequency in both symptomatic and asymptomatic individuals. Even more revealing, where individuals had one-sided pain that could be linked to a mucosal impingement, such lesions were also present asymptomatically in 50% of cases.

Impact of functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) on facial pain

The way FESS influences headache has been under investigation for a lengthy period, and such research may help to provide information on the putative links between sinonasal inflammation and facial pain. Lal stated that 66 of 211 patients (31.28%) undergoing endoscopic sinus surgery experienced pain relief. However, the population studied consisted of a mixture of cases referred from both ENT and neurology departments for sinus pressure or pain. The obstruction relinquished most often where the patient had received neurological input, either previously or concurrently. Indeed, 36% of cases, which had failed to improve on surgical intervention, were then given a primary diagnosis of headache disorder when reviewed by a neurologist. From research with more stringent inclusion criteria (including only genuine sinusitis and facial pain), the FESS success rate increases to 75-91.9%.

A study with a follow-up period of 7 to 8 years noted that 47% of the 51 cases included remained without headache throughout follow-up. Some studies have looked at cases where FESS was carried out despite no endoscopic or CT confirmation of sinus pathology or anatomical anomaly. Boonchoo carried out FESS in 16 cases where headache existed, but CT findings demonstrated no anomaly. Ten of these ended up completely pain-free, while the remaining 6 had some degree of alleviation. In a similar vein, Cook et al. observed that 12 of 18 cases undergoing the procedure found it of benefit, although none saw their symptoms disappear completely.

If an individual experiences abrupt changes in pressure, for example while diving, flying, or skiing, regardless of whether they suffer from rhinosinusitis or not, they may have short lived facial pain, which, however, abates within hours thanks to increasing vascular perfusion over time. Such individuals frequently find surgical interventions that increase ostial patency to be of benefit. By contrast, longer lasting ostial blockage is rarely the cause of uninterrupted facial pain. Silent sinus syndrome exemplifies this fact, in which an occluded sinus resorbs its contents, and the maxillary sinus is weakened to the extent that the orbit may prolapse into it. Despite this, the condition is painless. A similar effect may be responsible when intra-operative damage to afferents leading to the trigeminal nucleus causes the threshold for spontaneous discharge to be reset briefly.
Other rhinologic disorders occasioning sinogenic facial pain
Sinus mucocoeles, which expand gradually in a cystic fashion are among other diseases treated by a rhinologist that may cause facial pain. When the mucocoele attains sufficient magnitude to impinge on the osteous wall of the sinus, pain results. A mucocoele of the maxillary sinus may occlude the ostiomeatus, resulting in sinusitis. The most significant lesion from a clinical viewpoint is a fronto-ethmoidal mucocoele, since it provokes frontal headaches and pain in the orbit. Pain over the occiput, the vertex, or deep nasal region may be the result of a sphenoid-ethmoidal mucocoele. Where pain does not vary and has been worsening, other worrying features or neural signs are present, a neoplasm should be suspected, even if facial pain is seldom a presenting feature. A pus-filled, sanguineous discharge occurring on one side of the nose and blockage of the nose are more usual. Pain usually occurs at an advanced stage. Para-aesthetic sensation below the orbit, loosened dentition, dentures that no longer fit, proptosis, malar deformity and watering eyes are possible, less common signs. Radiological imaging is essential.

“Non-sinogenic” facial pain
The worldwide prevalence of some form of headache is 46%. Amongst non-sinogenic causes there are primary headache syndromes such as migraine and related disorders, tension headache and trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias (TACs). Somewhat seldom are headaches secondary to another condition, e.g. infection, vascular pathology, substance misuse, psychiatric illness and lesions or injuries of the cranial nerves, according to ICHD-III. The latter are often included in potential causes when assessing cases of facial pain thought to be sinogenic. Diagnostic criteria for the most frequent types of primary headache are listed in Table II.

Table II. Diagnostic criteria for most frequent primary headaches. Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society (IHS).

| Migraine without aura | Migraine with aura | Frequent episodic tension type | Cluster headache |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| A. At least 5 attacks fulfilling criteria B-D | A. At least 2 attacks fulfilling criteria B-C | A. At least 10 episodes occurring on 1 to 14 d/mo on average for > 3 mos (> 12 and < 180 d/y) and fulfilling criteria B-D | A. At least 5 attacks fulfilling criteria B-D |
| B. Headache attacks lasting 4-72 h (untreated or unsuccessfully treated) | B. 1 or more of the following fully reversible aura symptoms: 1. visual; 2. sensory; 3. speech and/or language; 4. motor; 5. brainstem; 6. retinal. | B. Headache lasting 30 min-7 d | B. Severe or very severe unilateral orbital, supraorbital, and/or temporal pain lasting 15-180 min (when untreated) |
| C. Headache has at least 2 of the following characteristics: 1. unilateral location; 2. pulsating quality; 3. moderate or severe pain intensity; 4. aggravation by or causing avoidance of routine physical activity (e.g. walking or climbing stairs). | C. Headache has at least 3 of the following characteristics: 1. at least 1 aura symptom spreads gradually over ≥ 5 min; 2. two or more aura symptoms occur in succession; 3. each individual aura symptom lasts ≥ 5 and ≤ 60 min; 4. at least 1 aura symptom is unilateral; 5. at least 1 aura symptom is positive; 6. the aura is accompanied, or followed within 60 min, by headache. | | |
| D. During headache, at least 1 of the following: 1. nausea and/or vomiting; 2. photophobia and phonophobia. | D. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-III diagnosis | | |
| E. Not better accounted for by another ICHD-III diagnosis | | | |
| *d: day; mos: months; y: year; h: hours; min: minutes | | | |
Primary headaches

Migraine

Migraines are frequently seen as a common cause of infirmity, they contribute significantly to absence from work, reduce employee productivity and cause reduced quality of life that can be readily shown. Worldwide, 11% of adults are sufferers, with a female to male ratio of 3:1, with the bias being attributed to hormonal changes. Children and elderly people are less commonly affected. The daily incidence in the general population is 3,000 in 1,000,000.

The symptomatology of migraine shares common features with other headache types: tension, cluster and “sinus”. The IHS defines migraine as of 4-72 hours duration when untreated coupled with particular features, i.e. pulsating pain on one side (40% are two-sided, however), affecting the face plus head. In a small number of cases pain is localised to the orbit alone and even more unusually, just the cheek and nose; there is often a prodrome, with 20-30% of sufferers describing an aura that may often affect vision. There are two principal variants: migraine minus aura (which used to be referred to as common migraine and affects around 75% of sufferers) and migraine plus aura (also known as classic migraine, affecting around 25%). Females have 3 times the likelihood of the condition and family history is common.

The onset of an aura is 5-20 minutes and typically finishes within an hour. Headaches then typically commence less than 60 minutes after the aura has stopped, albeit on occasion the headache may not begin for several hours, and there may be sporadic absence of pain. 64% of attacks have no preceding migraine and this is the most usual type.

Migraines trigger action from the trigemino-vascular afferent nerves, leading to greater vascular perfusion of the dura mater. The pain may occur in tandem with photophobia, acoustic hyperresponsivity and gut symptoms, e.g. nausea and vomiting.

Tension-type headaches (TTHs)

More than 70% of some populations describe having headaches of episodic tension-type, making it the most common of all headaches. However, the exact annual prevalence seemingly differs, being, on average, 42% amongst adults, with females more commonly affected than males. The chronic form has a prevalence of 1-3%. TTH occurs bilaterally, tends to recur, has a duration of between minutes and weeks and is accompanied by a feeling of pressure or constriction that ranges from mildly to moderately severe. Usually none of the features that characterise migraine, such as nausea and vomiting, are present. However, excessive sensitivity to sounds or light may occur. Frequently, no identifiable worsening or relieving factors are identified, even if sufferers may notice that bending forward worsens the situation, which may then lead to incorrectly ascribing the symptoms to pathology of the sinuses. The soft tissues may become sensitive to touch in TTH and may extend over the whole skull, with muscles being acutely tender and certain points, when touched, producing the sensation of pain. Notably, pressing for a long time on one point may result in pain referred elsewhere.

To diagnose TTH, the clinician must take a meticulous history, since the diagnosis hinges on identifying how long pain lasts, what type it is and how marked, alongside demonstrating clearly that concurrent symptomatology e.g. nausea and vomiting is absent. Asking what sets off or worsens an attack is valuable since TTH is both precipitated and aggravated by psychological pressure, lack of sleep and eating inadequately. It also helps when counselling patients about changing their lifestyle to cut down on the number of headaches and their severity when they do occur.

Trigeminal autonomic cephalalgias (TACs)
a) Cluster headache

Cluster headache is rather rare, but severe. It is a primary headache and typically consists of one-sided headaches that recur and classically interrupt sleep. Generally, the same side is affected each time and the pain is exceptionally severe at certain times. The episodes last from 15 min to 3 hours and affect the area behind the orbit or the mid-orbit. There is an accompanying autonomic neuropathy on the same side leading to nasal discharge, tearing and inability to sweat (due to parasympathetic activity), coupled with tiny pupils and ptosis (resulting from sympathetic outflow). It is periodic (which may reflect a circadian rhythm) and the attacks are present for 8 to 10 weeks a year, although there should be an entirely asymptomatic interlude of no less than a fortnight between attacks. Around 15 to 20% of sufferers with cluster headaches of a chronic type do not have asymptomatic interludes. They do not experience prodromal symptoms nor aura. Alcoholic drinks such as red wine or nitroglycerin may set off an episode during times when patients are symptomatic, or for those with a chronic form of the disorder. The classical presentation is in a male aged 20 to 40. Males are, in fact, six times more likely to have the disorder than females.

b) Paroxysmal hemicrania

Paroxysmal hemicrania causes extreme one-sided pain,
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Trigeminal neuralgia may occur without any trigger or may come about through sensation originating in specific regions (cheek, chin, lips or tongue). Such origins generally correspond to where the pain is. The usual triggers are touch to the face, washing, shaving, cleaning teeth, or mastication. Facial flushing may be observed. During its natural history, the frequency of painful attacks increases, and the asymptomatic intervals shorten. While it is frequent for the disease to remit, it may also worsen. If the sufferer is young, MRI evaluation is necessary to exclude other conditions such as widespread sclerosis or neoplasms (e.g. meningioma or neuroma) of the posterior fossa.

Other nerve-related conditions

Glossopharyngeal neuralgia is a seldom-encountered condition in which pain occurs in sudden flare-ups, and affects the pharynx, lingual base, velum and tonsillar fossae, potentially extending to the lower jaw angle or, unusually, the external acoustic meatus. Frequently, deglutition, mastication, speech, laughter or coughing. Occipital neuralgia, nervus intermedius (geniculate) and supraorbital neuralgia are much less frequently seen conditions. Charlin’s neuralgia and Sluder’s neuralgia generally affect the medial ocular angle. In most cases, the pain goes towards the superciliary, orbital or nasal and mandibular regions. Tearing, bloodshot eyes, blocked nose, sternutation and frontal erythema are characteristic signs/symptoms. This pattern is, therefore, hard to disentangle from the separate cluster headache phenomenon.

Persistent idiopathic facial pain

The other term for this condition, atypical facial pain, is preferred by the IHS. The pain is unremitting, deeply felt and frequently only affects one side. Patients frequently give an unclear and changeable account of their symptoms, describing pain that affects multiple areas including the face and other regions of the head and neck. Many times, they have already had sinus operations or dental treatment and may be displeased about the outcome. A somewhat frequent situation is a history of traumatic injury to the nose. Numerous sufferers from atypical facial pain have noticeable psychological difficulties or have had depression and the pain interferes with their normal functioning. Most often the patient is a female aged above 40 years. Detailed physical assessment, encompassing nasal endoscopy, is mandatory, and an MRI would be a worthwhile precaution before settling on atypical pain as a final diagnosis.

Differential diagnosis

The history must include information on what the patient recalls about previous pain episodes if the diagnosis is to...
accurately reflect an origin in the sinuses or not. More specifically, there must be recollection of: time course (when it started, how long it lasts and how frequently it occurs); site and where it spreads to (e.g. does it follow the distribution of a nerve?); type of pain and how marked; how it responds to pharmacological intervention; what helps and what makes it worse (such as eating hot, cold or sweet items, chewing a long time, swallowing, cleaning teeth, facial touch, weather conditions, doing particular things, posture, being stressed or tired); other factors (effect on taste, amount of saliva, clenching or grinding teeth, TMJ problems, changed sensation, symptoms affecting the nose, eyes or ears); effect on sleep, mood, ability to focus, tiredness level, associated thoughts and general life quality.

Just as with other chronic pain syndromes, mental status, family and social history and important life happenings all merit consideration. Physical examination may reveal different colouration, oedema and cutaneous lesions. Cranial nerves should be assessed. Since pain is a subjective phenomenon, the use of special questionnaires may help. The Brief Pain Inventory, Beck Depression Inventory, Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale and McGill Pain Questionnaire have adequate sensitivity and validation. Other than when assessing cranial arteritis or auto-immune pathologies, such as Sjögren’s syndrome, in vitro and pathological tests are of no help.

The AAO-HNS advises that rhinosinusitis diagnosis depends critically on the use of nasal endoscopy and radiology. For instance, there are reports of disease mimicry occurring due to other conditions. Hyperaemic mucosae and pus-containing secretions are frequent findings at endoscopy. In situations where the patient has no symptoms and endoscopy reveals no abnormality, a repeated endoscopy during a symptomatic period is of value. Nevertheless, it is worth bearing in mind that migraine attacks have also been shown to be associated with oedema of the lining of the nose. Endoscopy can also beneficially identify sites of mucosal impingement, although the existence of such contact points does not invariably correlate with an origin for pain in the sinuses, even where inflammation and infection are present.

Plain X-ray views of the sinuses may support a diagnosis of acute bacterial rhinosinusitis, but for chronic rhinosinusitis, they lack both sensitivity and specificity. For certain disorders, CT or MRI are indicated, but CT may give a misleading impression about the sinuses since approximately 30% of normal subjects have the appearance of thickened mucosae and the area of increased opacification on CT has no relation to where pain is actually felt. Hence, increased opacification need not mean the pain is sinogenic. Evaluating CT scans using different methods of scoring (Harvard and Kennedy) failed to improve the correlation and, indeed, only a weak association existed between CT appearances as scored using Lund-Mackay and the degree of chronic rhinosinusitis. Mehle studied a group of individuals who referred themselves for a “sinus headache” and discovered that scores using Lund-Mackay criteria are not significantly different for the presence or absence of migraine. Differences apparent clinically between sinus and non-sinus origin headache are outlined in Table III.

**Conclusions**

Individuals suffering from chronic facial pain experience significant changes in their lives and functioning. Identifying
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Fig. 1. Specific algorithm for thoroughly investigating the aetiology of facial pain.

- Consider coexisting aetiologies
  - Re-consider diagnosis
  - Re-consider therapeutic approach
  - Endoscopic re-evaluation of the patient in acute phase
fying the likely cause and treating appropriately may represent a clinical challenge and currently much remains to be discovered about the underlying pathology. Significant attempts have been made to separate different disorders on the basis of clinical features. The most usual way of classifying individuals is in terms of the underlying pathology. For a number of conditions, e.g. trigeminal neuralgia or cluster headache, clinical definitions are well established, despite the lack of confirmatory diagnostic investigations. One method of confirming the clinical impression is by observing the response to treatment. The current classification scheme suffers, however, from being inadequate for numerous cases that do not easily fit in one category, and for having a significant degree of overlap between syndromes.

The aim of this study is to highlight the optimal ways in ENT to diagnose facial pain arising from the sinuses. The published research indicates that nasal endoscopy is more specific than CT in the diagnosis of rhinosinusitis. Where nasal endoscopy is negative, the likelihood that pain in the face is sinogenic is very low. Pain originating in the sinuses is not likely to occur where the interior of the nose has neither pus-filled secretion nor swollen mucosae, and this is especially so where there is pain at the time of examination or in the recent past. A normal endoscopy on a symptom-free day may, however, need to be repeated during an episode of pain.

Facial pain or pressure, if unaccompanied by other clinical features pointing to nasal involvement, is rarely the result of sinus pathology. In any case, it is noteworthy that blockage of the nose with or without a clear nasal discharge may co-occur with facial pain elicited by vascular problems. Such a situation is, nonetheless, typically of short duration, seldom exceeding 72 hours.

For individuals experiencing unremitting pain of identical distribution bilaterally, midfacial segment pain is a diagnosis of exclusion. Where patients come with a history of recurrent acute rhinosinusitis but are asymptomatic at interview, they should return when symptoms recur. Given the rarity of bacterial rhinosinusitis, a vascular origin for their pain is a distinct possibility.

In this article, we have compared the differing features of sinogenic and non-sinogenic pain in a comprehensive way. Inappropriately classifying the reason for facial pain may explain treatment failures. Inappropriate diagnosis and consequent treatment appear to be common, from the epidemiological data we have gathered. Individuals having facial pain, but no corroborating sinus pathology at endoscopy, have a minimal chance of rhinosinusitis and thus are unlikely to benefit from operations or pharmacological interventions intended for sinus disease. Managing those with sinus pressure or fullness, facial pain, or headache can represent a clinical challenge, and this is best addressed using an approach that identifies the aetiology, whether rhinosinusitis, neurogenic or some other pathology. ENT practitioners are well-placed to assist in most cases of facial pain since their specialty is able to pinpoint the underlying aetiology, whether sinogenic or not. Figure 1 summarises our suggested clinical algorithm, which can help clinicians identify the underlying cause.
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