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Abstract: The smart grid is characterized as a power system that integrates real-time measurements, bi-directional communication, a two-way flow of electricity, and evolutionary computation. The power distribution system is a fundamental aspect of the electric power system in order to deliver safe, efficient, reliable, and resilient power to consumers. A distribution management system (DMS) begins with the extension of the Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system through a transmission network beyond the distribution network. These transmission networks oversee the distribution of energy generated at power plants to consumers via a complex system of transformers, substations, transmission lines, and distribution lines. The major challenges that existing distribution management systems are facing, maintaining constant power loads, user profiles, centralized communication, and the malfunctioning of system equipment and monitoring huge amounts of data of millions of micro-transactions, need to be addressed. Substation feeder protection abruptly shuts down power on the whole feeder in the event of a distribution network malfunction, causing service disruption to numerous end-user clients, including industrial, hospital, commercial, and residential users. Although there are already many traditional systems with the integration of smart things at present, there are few studies of those systems reporting runtime errors during their implementation and real-time use. This paper presents the systematic model of a distribution management system comprised of substations, distribution lines, and smart meters with the integration of Internet-of-Things (IoT), Nondeterministic Finite Automata (NFA), Unified Modeling Language (UML), and formal modeling approaches. Non-deterministic finite automata are used for automating the system procedures. UML is used to represent the actors involved in the distribution management system. Formal methods from the perspective of the Vienna Development Method-Specification Language (VDM-SL) are used for modeling the system. The model will be analyzed using the facilities available in the VDM-SL toolbox.
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1. Introduction

The traditional power grid has been in place for more than a century, with little modification in its fundamental architecture despite the fact that energy demand has risen dramatically in recent decades, necessitating large-scale control of electricity supply and consumption. The smart grid is a modern way of power transmission in which user safety should be on top of the list during the checking and updating of the grid. With the increasing evolution of smart sensors and information and communication technologies, the conventional power system has come forth with the advancement of the smart grid. IoT
devices are installed at each stage of the smart grid for monitoring the grid statistics and competent delivery of electricity. According to NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology), a smart grid consists of seven domains [1]; these are illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1. NIST conceptual domain model of a smart grid.

The smart grid is an upgraded electric grid that is comprised of a modern energy system, advanced metering infrastructure, distributed generation resources, smart metering, sensors, smart protection, and smart communication. The progressive development of the power system framework would enable us to manage power generation and its transmission and distribution in large amounts. It also offers the opportunity to coordinate with electric power markets, control center operators, power consumers, and service providers and also to reduce the greenhouse effect and raise the power quality.

The functions of the smart grid conceptual model domains are outlined as follows:

- **Customers**: Customers are the people who utilize power. Energy may also be generated, stored, and managed by the customers. Residential, commercial, and industrial customers are conventionally addressed, each with its own domain.

- **Markets**: The participants and operators of the power sector.

- **Service providers**: Industries that provide services to consumers and utility companies.

- **Operations**: Manager in charge of the flow of power.

- **Generation**: It is possible to store energy for later use. Traditional sources (also known as “generation”) and distributed energy resources (DERs) are included in this area. On a logical level, “generation” refers to coal, nuclear, and large-scale hydroelectric power plants that are typically connected to transmission. Customers and distribution-domains provide
generation and storage; service-provider-aggregated energy resources are all included in the generation domain.

**Transmission:** The long-distance carriers of bulk power. It is also possible to store and generate power.

**Distribution:** Power suppliers who provide and receive electricity from clients. It is also possible to store and produce power.

Distribution networks become more decentralized and bilateral when distributed generation resources, microgrids, and energy storage technologies are integrated, allowing for device reconfiguration and network self-healing. There are so many conflicts associated with this new adventuring touch, which include managing the bidirectional transfer of power voltage in the network. Many forms of network faults such as source and load side faults, transmission line faults, Internet-of-Things protocol breakdown, and smart meter faults may occur in these cases, which makes them difficult to handle, monitor, and regulate.

The key factor of smart grid reliability is in detecting and isolating faults at the generation, transmission, distribution, and consumption levels. The faults in the distribution network disrupt the supply of electricity to end-users, and, sometimes, these faults cause longer durations of outages. If the necessary monitoring and mitigating measures are not taken, the faults will trigger network instabilities and other serious issues. As a result, it is important not only to recognize the various fault modes, as well as their primary triggers and complications, but also to apply real-time automatic fault monitoring tools that can stop the fault progression and reduce the need for corrective measures. A fault in the electric power system is ordinarily related to the abnormal supply of electric current, such as short-circuits. Several works have been completed in this field. In [2], formal verification of fault detection and mitigation in distribution automation was conducted using the Uppaal integrated tool environment. The author in [3] used the smart grids laboratory for the evaluation of the quality of service of the fault location, isolation, and service restoration functionalities. In [4], the author proposed an intelligent control system for fault identification in the distribution network using neural networks. While several mechanisms have been followed for monitoring, detecting, and isolating the faults in smart grids, the primary objective here is to design and model a fault-free distribution management system using IoT and finite automata in an efficient, reliable, and sustainable manner. In this paper, the aim is to improve the distribution management system of the smart grid using formal methods and a design model for fault identification, isolation, and service restoration in distribution management systems. The major objective is to formalize the model in an efficient way before its implementation so that there is less chance of errors during the implementation due to its validation in the formalizing phase. Fault identification detects all possible fault points; Fault Isolation identifies the best switching order sequence to isolate the fault; Service Restoration identifies the best switching order sequence to return power to the feeders’ safe parts.

Formal methods are abstract techniques that are used to model complex and sophisticated systems. Developers can not only validate the system’s properties in a more detailed manner (than they might through empirical testing) but also use mathematical evidence as a compliment to system testing to ensure the correct behavior by constructing a mathematically robust model of a complex system. Formal approaches have a number of benefits, including the ability to remove/overcome the uncertainties of system requirements and state the underlying assumptions. They also reveal the errors/defects in system specifications, and their diligence allows a more detailed understanding of the problem. The model will address the dynamic automating and efficient fault identification of the distribution management system. The supervisory control and data acquisition system is the standard method for the communication between the components of the distribution network; it will be used for collecting the system faults through its communication facility. Non-deterministic finite automata are used for automating the failure detection and recovery procedures. Unified Modeling Language (UML) diagrams are used for the representations of the actors and their actions in the system, and they show how the components interact.
with each other. Formal methods in terms of the Vienna Development Method-Specification Language (VDM-SL) are used for the description and formal modeling of the system in a systematic way. Formal methods are mathematically based techniques supported by many tools that offer careful and effective ways to model, design, and analyze systems of the real world. Ambiguities and contradictions are often discovered while formalizing the informal requirements.

2. Related Work

The identification of the faulty line section is made possible with the petri net theory. The data concentrator units transfer and receive the fault signal, including the pre/post-fault current of the lines. The measurements of the feeder loading and statuses of the fault indicators play an important role in the petri-net-based fault identification method. The measurement of the feeder loadings and the pre/post-fault current values are compared to find out the loading mismatch of the feeder. The wireless LoRa module is used for all the communication between the equipment, feeder devices, and the web server [5]. An IoT-assisted power monitoring system using ThingSpeak technology is proposed in this paper. It provides an easy method for consumers and service provider companies to monitor and analyze the electrical parameters of the load data at the remote end. The arrangements are prepared with the integration of WiFi-based nodes, Arduino UNO, and an LCD for local display. WiFi nodes fetch the consumer’s load voltage and values of current sensors, and the Arduino interacts with the sensors to collect load information. The WiFi module works as an intermediary gateway between the monitoring panel and the webserver; it transfers the real-time data to ThingSpeak for storage and manipulations [6].

The model checking framework is proposed with the intent of strong and resilient smart grid practices in accordance with distributed intelligence. In one study, the author auto-developed the formal model for two distributed grid intelligence systems in a symbolic model-checking language, and the proposed model was verified with the NuSMV model checker. Tests were created for model-checking the computational tree logic properties, and the initial obtained results were satisfactory [7]. A innovative prototype scheme was developed for the distribution SCADA system. The proposed scheme was developed by using smart meters for the automation of the distribution network. The smart meter would be installed at the substation for tracking the demand/supply parameters, the detection and location of faults, and bidirectional communication using GSM technology. With the integration of microcontrollers and GSM, the scheme identifies the fault type and its location, and there is an indication on the consumer’s mobile [8].

To the best knowledge of the author, Ref. [9] is the first article on modeling a smart grid framework based on formal specifications using Z-language. Though the author does not work on the deep level infrastructure of the smart grid components, as titled, the study presents brief specifications on domains such as smart appliances, wind turbine systems, solar systems, and storage with limited conditions. An overall networking-based scheme for smart grids is presented in [10], from the integration of a wireless sensor network to its routing protocol and from possible attacks to its countermeasures, but the author remains precise on these topics and does not provide much research on the security protocols of smart grid communication.

The faults of distribution systems are diagnosed using a programmable logic controller and supervisory control and data acquisition system in [11]. The programmable logic controller is used for analyzing the different parameters of transformers, such as oil levels, voltages, and the load current and its temperature. The suggested monitoring procedure works with the integration of solid-state plc devices and the package of sensors. The proposed scheme provides the facility to detect the internal/external faults of transformers, and whenever a fault occurs in a three-phase line, the detection circuit indicates the abnormal condition. Visual representations are shown in the system, which helps the crew to clear the faults and reduce the patrolling time.
An automated communication system of the distribution network is designed with the functionality of data collection and processing and remote control fault indicators, and it presents the configuration of the distribution network system in case of failure [12]. A robust model-based fault detection and isolation scheme in smart power systems is presented with an unknown input observer mechanism. Load fluctuations of multi-area power systems and variations in the power of renewable energy resources are taken as unknown input observers. The sensor fault detection and isolation scheme will notify the operator of the power system that this specific faulty sensor needs to be replaced [13].

Three different algorithms, namely, system A, system B, and system C, were developed for identifying the fault location in the medium voltage power grid. In each line of the power grid, the value of the electric current is monitored by system A, the value of the current in transformers is measured by system B, and, lastly, system C compares the value of the current at the start and final/end of the power line of the grid in order to check the variation between them [14]. Fault detection is a key factor for the reliability of the smart grid; therefore, it is essential to detect and locate those faults of the smart grid.

Smart features are implemented in smart grids for increasing reliability, efficiency, and sustainability. Technological advancements are taking place in smart grids because of the rising demands and complexities of power grids. Different aspects of smart grids with their features in distribution systems have been overviewed in [15]; the author presented the technological potential of how smart grids will strengthen the electric power distribution networks. Information and communication technologies are gaining popularity with their rapid advancement; this is similar to IoT with its embedding capability.

In [16], the author presented IoT deployments in several parts of the smart grid. The major focus was given to three levels (generation, transmission, distribution) of the smart grid with the IoT application. In [17], a brief overview of communication network architecture for smart grids was given, such as home area networks, neighborhood area networks, and wide-area networks. However, for specified requirements, no profound methodology was presented. A scheme based on the resilient information architecture platform for smart grids has been designed for fault management in smart grids. A systematic approach was followed for designing fault management architecture in which the probable failure forms of the framework were recognized by reviewing the associated links across the layers of the resilient information architecture platform for smart grids. The communication protocols of the distinct services were analyzed with regard to the functional role of system enhancement and bettering the resilience properties [18].

Functional analysis of the smart grid has been examined through supervisory control and data acquisition method with the integration of two systematic approaches to structured analysis, design technique, and real-time structured analysis. The purpose of this comparative study was to design a general methodology framework for the analysis and supervision of smart grids, namely, control command applications. The drawback of the system was that the structured analysis real-time mechanism did not permit a direct pathway to the software, which was coded in an executable language [19]. A comparative study of fault location and outage area location methods was presented in this paper. The classification of algorithms was done with criteria of impedance-based, sparse measurements, traveling-wave, and some others as a helping guide for the engineers and researchers of power systems to select the methods according to their requirements [20]. A fast-distributed fault detection, isolation, and restoration algorithm was designed based on an IEC generic object-oriented substation event messaging system for reducing the service outage time [21]. In [22], a comprehensive survey is presented on different classification frameworks for faults in transmission, distribution, and consumption levels based on the learning algorithms of machine learning.

In [23], a novel approach was developed for distribution networks by using feeder terminal unit signals with the combination of grid states to detect and locate faulty areas timely and accurately. The pickup and tripping signals of the feeder terminal unit and loss of voltage were used. An optimization model for the service restoration is presented.
with the objective of reducing the control actions in active distribution systems. The effectiveness of the system was measured on the distribution system by changing the buses from 135 to 540, and a satisfactory result was gained [24]. The author in [25] developed a platform based on IoT for performing the simulations. An Opal real-time simulator was used for modeling the physical elements of the smart distribution system. Transport message queuing protocol was used in the system, and an algorithm for fault detection was developed using Matlab. A comprehensive review of the customer activities based on different scenarios was undertaken, and the duration was measured in the test plant. A novel algorithm was presented for detecting the earth fault that happened in a cross-linked network with the integration of distributed energy resources [26].

An integrated framework with the combination of IoT and phasor measurement units was presented. The communication and monitoring of the system were designed with security measures, and they provided support for managing and forecasting the load [5]. A comprehensive study on smart grid technologies, along with their implications, was presented in this paper. Centering/focusing on consumers’ empowerment, the architecture of smart grids analyzed issues including advanced metering infrastructure, demand–response, and demand-side management components. The author also stated that the smart grid is facing several issues, such as consumer awareness and their interest. Several other contributing components of smart grids were reviewed, such as microgrids, pico grids, nano grids, inter grids, virtual power plants, and distributed generation [27]. A generic review of the communication requirements of advanced metering infrastructure, distribution automation, and wide-area measuring systems was given for particular transmission and distribution smart grids. These requirements were analyzed with respect to the quality of service parameters, in particular latency and bandwidth [28].

Internet protocol multicast technology will be the only viable solution for communication, hence the demand for complex power system applications in the future. A heuristic algorithm has been presented that will add a minimum set of links to the network topology, and a threshold value of the delay for multicast configuration has been set. As a result, it has been shown that by adding a few links, delay can be reduced [29]. The petri-net-based method is proposed for fault location by using the fault indicators’ information. The statuses of the indicators and circuit breakers and the measurement of pre- and post-current can help to identify the faults. The indicators have the capabilities to communicate with the central system and alert the system to send the team to the faulty area for restoring the services quickly. The proposed system was simulated in the distribution feeder of Taiwan [30]. A comprehensive review of the advanced progress for protecting the alternate current micro grid from faults was performed. Different fault detection methods were classified into digital signal processing methods and artificial intelligence methods along-side their advantages and disadvantages [31]. In [32], a new advanced smart sensor was designed with a self-adjustment setting capability, being coordinated with the rest of the network. The designed sensor was tested with several different scenarios of short-circuits. The sensor proved efficient up to 80% in comparison with other analog and intelligent electronic devices.

The technique is comprised of four layers, which work in a hierarchical form. Multifaceted faults are detected through the islanding search algorithm, and the effectiveness of the designed technique is measured by using simulation tools [33]. The systematic study of smart grid communication infrastructure is presented, including its architectures, several network frameworks, and related technologies, and it is compiled with intelligent functions from the consumer perspective and distribution units of electricity [34]. In [23], the author presented an innovative FLISR solution for distribution networks that uses feeder terminal unit signals along with distribution grid states to rapidly identify and reliably locate the faulted sectors of the network. Particularly, the feeder terminal units, tripping signals of relays, and loss of voltages were used in combination for detecting and locating faults. Post-fault restoration was executed based on 13 different factors, including total operation cost, violation of power flow, and number of switching steps. To assess the
electrical connection of the distribution network, a network topology processor was used so that at any time the network topology changed, it would automatically redefine it. We may infer from the literature analysis that no formal modeling of a distribution management system has been done before, and, to support this assertion, we have summarized past works in Table 1.

Table 1. Comparison between previous studies and the current study.

| Reference | Year | Description | Limitation | Formal Modeling |
|-----------|------|-------------|------------|-----------------|
| [9]       | 2018 | State based formal specification framework for smart grid generation components is presented in this paper. | The formal modeling has been designed only for the state identification of the smart grid components. | Yes |
| [35]      | 2017 | Formal modeling approach is used generically for smart transformers. | The given mechanism of theft detection and user communication is poor. | Yes |
| [30]      | 2020 | Distribution dispatching control system is discussed in this paper. | The standard petri nets are used, which have the distinct disadvantage of producing very large and unstructured specifications for the systems being modeled. | No |
| [36]      | 2019 | Decentralized service restoration strategy is applied | Unable to restore services where DGs are not available. Presented switching order is complex and occupies more time. | No |
| [37]      | 2018 | Harmonic footprint method is used for determining the voltage dips. | The provided technique is expensive with regard to installing external components. Moreover, it cannot prevent PV inverter shutdown during the fault event. | No |

3. Problem Statement and System Model

Compared to the traditional grid, there are higher expectations with the smart grids to provide better services. Utility firms intend to convert the present unidirectional grid into a bi-directional power grid, with the goal of storing energy in the electrical system and using it wherever it is needed. Among other safety-critical applications, smart grids need precise modeling and the analysis of systems such as demand response, distribution automation, energy storage, and fault detection. These applications are critical because even a minor design flaw can have serious consequences, even at the expense of individual life and property. The present electricity grid is predicted to have expertise in the difficulties in the generation, transmission, and distribution of the requisite power for massive amounts of the demanding load. Considering the sophistication and complexity of today’s distribution networks, there is always the risk of underlying errors in models that are not detectable by evaluating a small number of scenarios. Network failures and high operating expenses might occur if these models fail. As a result, it appears that a mathematical model for distribution automation capabilities in smart grids that can be confirmed is required. The aim of this paper is to design a systematic model for a distribution management system comprised of substations, feeders, and smart meters. Modeling an IoT-based automated distribution management system will portray equipment utilization in substations, fault detection, and a recovery mechanism in transmission lines for the integrity and efficiency of the system.
3.1. Advanced Metering Infrastructure (AMI)

The primary objectives of the smart grid are: self-coordination, self-awareness, self-healing, and self-reconfiguration, to add intelligence to the grid so that it can perform, to increase the deployment of renewable energy sources, to improve the efficiency of power generation, transmission, and usage, and to shift and configure consumers’ energy demands by using demand response (DR) techniques to manage peak loads of customers. Sophisticated distribution automation and price optimization models based on automated meter reading (AMR) and advanced metering infrastructure are required to achieve this. Smart meters are similar to a traditional electric meter but with enhanced ICT-enabled features because they not solely measure the amount of energy consumed but also track a vast amount of data over time, such as the patterns of electricity usage. AMI uses smart control and communication technologies to automate metering services that were previously done by hand, which are time-consuming activities such as energy meter readings, service connection and disconnection, interventions and theft detection, the monitoring of voltage, and fault and outage identification, when combined with cutting-edge customer-centric technology. The Drive-by/Walk-by meter reading in AMI is depicted in Figure 2.

![Drive-by/Walk-by automated meter reading.](image)

**Figure 2.** Drive-by/Walk-by automated meter reading.

3.2. Cables and Transmission Lines

Power cables, including transmission and distribution-level lines, establish crucial links between the generation and load. These lines often transport low-voltage power that is stepped down from the transmission grid or generated by distributed generating systems. Transmission lines carry voltages from transmission to distribution points, whereas the distribution lines carry voltage from distribution to domestic use, such as to homes, offices, and buildings. The faults in the transmission lines network are classified into four categories [38], which are illustrated in Figure 3, with a brief explanation as follows.

- **Single-line-to-ground fault**

  The most frequent transmission line fault is the single-line-to-ground (SLG) fault that might be caused by a vehicle accident, by tree branches, or by flashovers over dusty insulators during rain showers, which will cause one of the phase conductors to collapse and come in touch with the ground.

- **Line-to-line fault**

  When two phases of a three-phase line are unexpectedly coupled, a line-to-line fault occurs. The fault current will flow during both phases in this instance.

- **Double-line-to-ground fault**

  The two lines, as well as the ground, come in touch with each other in a double-line-to-ground fault. Such faults have a nearly 10% chance of occurring.

- **Triple-line-to-ground fault**

  Such faults have a nearly 10% chance of occurring.
A triple line-to-ground fault occurs when three lines come in touch with the neutral wire or lie on the ground.

In our proposed monitoring network of transmission lines, several wireless sensors are mounted on the chosen towers. The working principle of these sensors is to collect information about the operating conditions of the transmission lines as well as their surroundings. These sensors, after collecting data, send the data to the nearest IED through a communication gateway. Figure 4 shows the communication infrastructure between the sensors and IEDs. These IEDs will send the collected data to the control center. The important point to be considered here is that sensors do not need to be installed on all of the towers.

Figure 3. Transmission line faults.

Figure 4. Transmission line monitoring.
3.3. Intelligent Electronic Device (IED)

Intelligent electronic devices in the distribution network of smart grids play a crucial role. Whenever a fault is encountered because of the failure of the transformers or the line current surpasses the threshold value, the substation’s overcurrent relay trips the circuit breaker and the IED associated with that circuit breaker forwards an alarm message to other load-switch IEDs that are associated and operated by the IEDs of the substation’s circuit breaker. The relay will determine the fault as temporary or permanent if after one or two consecutive trippings of the circuit breaker, the system comes back to its previous state; that is, if the power supply is restored, the fault is considered temporary.

In the second scenario, after some consecutive tripping, if the system is unable to recover itself, the IEDs of the circuit breaker interact with the load switches of the IED to identify the actual fault location. After the fault identification, the fault localization will be finished when the load switch of the feeder terminal unit raises the fault flag; then, the next task of the IED is to isolate that area by tripping off the specific load switch. The load switch cuts off the power supply to the rest of the network within a short time span and transfers a message to each IED of the system components, including relays, circuit breakers, and tie switches, for the purpose of power supply restoration in the substation’s faulty area. If the non-active switch is unable to restore the substation’s power supply through the primary source, then it will choose another fault-free energy side. Figure 5 shows the possible states of the IED in the substation.

![Figure 5](image-url) Possible states of the IED during the fault.

3.4. Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) System

A smart grid is made up of several micro subsystems that work together to share connectivity and security components. It is the core component of the substation’s control center, which is not only a monitoring system but also provides communication links. It is used for automating the distribution network of a medium voltage substation for intelligent remote controlling. This controlling and monitoring infrastructure provides benefits to
the power utilities by enhancing electric supply maintainability and lowering the cost of operation [10]. The essential features of SCADA are gathering data, presentation and monitoring, supervisory control, and notifying alarms as shown in Figure 6. It includes both hardware and software, having primary components such as human–machine interfaces (HMIs), programmable logic controllers (PLCs), data collection servers, and remote terminal units (RTUs).

Figure 6. IoT-based SCADA communication in a substation.

3.5. Sequence Diagram

The Unified Modeling Language (UML) is a software engineering modeling language that tries to standardize how to depict a system’s architecture [39]. UML is used to create a variety of diagrams, including interface, structural, and behavior diagrams. The most frequent type of interaction diagram is a sequence diagram. A sequence diagram simply displays the order in which objects interact or the order in which these interactions occur. Sequence diagrams show how and in what sequence the components of a system work together. In Figure 7, the sequence diagram of fault detection is shown, such as, whenever a fault occurs in the substation, the detector will eventually detect the fault and send a report message to the control center and the nearest recloser will automatically open itself according to the predefined instructions embedded in it. After the first tripping, the connected circuit breaker will try to make contact, and if the recloser recloses itself, the connection will be reestablished, the fault will be recorded as temporary, and then no further actions will need to be performed.
Figure 7. UML sequence diagram of fault detection in a substation.

4. Formal Model

Formal methods are mathematical entities that are used to model complicated systems. It is feasible to validate the characteristics of a complex system in a more formalized manner than empirical testing by developing a mathematically rigorous model of the system. Formal specifications are the descriptions of a model that can be described in a thorough and consistent manner for an application domain, a requirement or a group of requirements, software architecture, or program organization [40]. Formal methods in terms of the Vienna Development Method-Specification Language (VDM-SL) are used for the description and formal modeling of the system in a systematic way. Various constructs, such as composite objects, invariants, sets and pre/post-conditions, are used for developing the specifications.

4.1. Static Model

Formal methods are mathematical entities that are used to model complicated systems. It is feasible to validate the characteristics of a complex system in a more formalized manner than empirical testing by developing a mathematically rigorous model of the system. Formal specifications are the descriptions of a model that can be described in a thorough and consistent manner for an application domain, a requirement or a group of requirements, software architecture, or program organization [41]. The proposed model in this article signifies yet another contribution in this field. In programming languages, composite types are equivalent to record types. The static components include invariants for integrity checking of the condition, which must always hold true, and the fields, in the case of composite objects, may have several data types. In the formal specifications
of the distribution system, one portion includes the data types of the variables, alongside which their quote types are declared. The model is comprised of various variables such as sequence type, token type, and string type. To record everything in the system, the date and time are taken as composite objects.

**types**

- LID = token;
- DID = token;
- SID = token;
- SBID = token;
- TID = token;
- Details = token;
- Wire = token;
- String = seq of char;
- CName = String;
- CID = token;
- MID = token;
- Relay = token;
- Ack = <yes> | <no>;
- Mode = <idle> | <working> | <damage>;
- Status = <ON> | <OFF>;
- Signal = <OPEN_Recloser> | <CLOSE_Recloser> | <DO_Nothing> | <Restored>;
- FType = <Temporary> | <Permanent>;
- FAlert = <Detected> | <NoDetected>;
- FInfo = <Transmitted> | <Received>;
- Fcat = <LL> | <LG> | <LLG> | <LLL>;
- Date: day: nat
  - Month: nat
  - Year: nat;
- Time: hour: nat
  - min: nat

\[ \text{inv \ mk\_Time(hour,min) == hour < 24 \ and \ min < 60;} \]

The composite object substation consists of the substation ID; the capacity of the substation means the total amount of electricity to send and receive, the details about the substation, and the set of transformers. The invariant on the composite object substation is defined, and it ensures that the capacity of the substation will always be more than 0.

**Substation :: id : SBID**

- capacity : real
- details : Details
- transformers : set of Transformer

\[ \text{inv \ mk\_Substation(-,c,-,-) == c > 0;} \]

The next composite object is the transformer, which has five fields: transformer ID; its mode, with three possible conditions idle | working | damage; location of the transformer; and the capacity of carrying voltage, respectively.

**Transformer :: tid:TID**

- mode:Mode
- location: String
- tcapacity: real
- date: Date;

The third composite object transmission line is composed of the line ID; a detector, which is embedded on each transmission line; the phase voltage of type real value; and the zero sequence current of type real. The zero sequence current is the unbalance flow of the current during the earth fault. Three lines are taken as wire, and the invariant on these lines ensures that all these lines are distinct from each other.
Transmissionline :: lineid : LID
detector : Detector
phasevoltage : real
zeroscurrent: real
line1 : Wire
line2 : Wire
line3 : Wire

inv mk_Transmissionline((x,y,z), l1, l2, l3) == (l1<>l2 and l1<>l3 and l2 <>l3);

The detector is the one that is embedded in each transmission line and the near-to-field devices, such as transformers and meters, and in the substation area. Each detector is distinguished based on the unique ID. The possible values of the detector status would be on and off. The purpose of the detector is to determine the type of the fault, whether it is temporary or permanent, and if the fault is permanent, it will further inform about the category of the fault, whether it is \(<LL>\) line-to-line fault, \(<LG>\) line-to-ground fault, \(<LLG>\) double line-to-ground fault, or \(<LLL>\) line-line-line fault. To keep the database of faults updated, it is necessary to add the date and time of the fault.

Detector :: id : DID
status : Status
ftype: FType
fcat:Fcat
signal : Signal
fdate : Date
ftime : Time;

The composite object Voltsensor is created for the sensor, which is used for measuring the voltage of the power. The deviation in the nominal values of voltage and current causes most electrical faults, so it is most important to continuously measure the value of the voltage in the lines. Actual load and requested load are those values of the voltage that are actually flowing and demanding, respectively. The sensor will send the alert message to the control center and provide the fault info.

Voltsensor :: vsid: SID
volt:real
nvolt:real
actualload : int
requestedload : int
faultalert: FAlert
faultinfo: FInfo;

The keyword values is used to specify the constants of the specifications in VDM-SL. This declaration of values comes right before the state definition. Here, we declare the phase voltage and zero sequence current values. These values will be used in the fault detection operation. These values are specified here for the efficient working of the system. If the threshold values are exceeded, the system will go into the imbalance condition, which is the faulty state.

Values
phasevoltage = 160;
zeroscurrent = 35;
Limit = 200;

4.2. Dynamic Model

The dynamic components include the state definition, the possible operations, and the reusable functions. Various constructs, such as composite objects, invariants, sets, and pre/post conditions, are used for developing the specifications. IoT is deployed in the smart grid for detecting the faults that consist of sensors and detectors. The exceptional part of the VDM-SL specification is state, in which the variables are declared in a similar manner as in the other programming languages. The attribute specified in the state is permanently stored by the system. The illustration/explanation of specifying the state for our DMS is as follows. Many variables have been declared, with their data types being used in the state. Invariants are defined in these variables, which must be true for the
system from the beginning to the system termination. The state of the system is always finished with the keyword **end**.

```plaintext
state DMS of
detectors : set of Detector
transformers : map TID to Transformer
transmissionlines : set of Transmissionline
voltsensors : set of Voltsensor
substations : map SBID to Substation
tinterrupted : set of Transmissionline
requestedusers : map CID to Consumer
processedusers : map CID to Consumer
meters : map MID to Smeter
inv mk_DMS(-,t,-,-,-,-,-,-,-) == forall n in set dom t & n = t(n).tid
init dms == dms = mk_DMS({ },{|->},{},{},{|->}, {},{|->},{|->},{|->} )
end
```

The function is another tool that is vital when specifying complicated systems. These functions can be used later in operations. The following function is defined to check the voltage: `nvolt` is the normal voltage, and `volt` is the abrupt change in the voltage if it becomes high. We will use this function later in our operation of fault detection.

```plaintext
Functions
isVoltDetected(volt : real, nvolt : real) faultalert: bool
pre true
post faultalert <=> volt > nvolt;
```

The dynamic behavior of the system is described by exploiting operations. A non-mandatory pre-condition and a mandatory post-condition are required to represent the operation. By specifying the pre-conditions, each operation is correlated to the preceding one. Post-conditions are used to specify the accuracy of an operation. Our proposed formal model performs various operations on the distribution management system, such as checking the capacity of the substation to get its details and adding transformers to the substation.

**Operations**

The operation `checkCapacity` is designed to measure the total capacity of any specific substation in the smart grid. The capacity refers to the capability of power storage and power transfer to the distribution transformers. It takes one input, which is the ID of the specific substation, and will return the value of the capacity in the real data type. Before proceeding to the post-condition, the system will ensure the pre-condition. In the external clause, the `rd` keyword is used to tell the system that the access type is only to read.

```plaintext
checkCapacity(idIn: SBID) cap : real
ext rd substations : map SBID to Substation
pre idIn in set dom substations
post cap = (substations(idIn)).capacity;
```

**Pre-conditions** (1) The registration of the specific substation is the first check by the system that the given ID is in the mapping of the substation in the system record.

**Post-conditions** (1) The mapping of the substations is applied to the entered ID of the substation, which will create an object; the dot operator is used with the capacity attribute, which will take the value of the specified entry and return it as a real number. The get details operation is defined for getting the details of the specific substation. This operation is useful for obtaining the overall detail of the substation at any time; the system is updated periodically.

```plaintext
getDetails(idIn: SBID) detailsOut : Details
ext rd substations : map SBID to Substation
pre idIn in set dom substations
post detailsOut = (substations(idIn)).details;
```

**Pre-conditions** The registration of the specific substation is the first check by the system that the given ID is in the mapping of the substation in the system record.
Post-conditions The mapping of the substations is applied on the entered ID of the substation, which will create an object, and, with the dot operator, it will take the value of the specified entry and return the appropriate fields of details for that particular substation. When the demand for electricity usage increases, the need will be to receive more power from generation resources so that the demand of the consumers is met. The extra received electricity needs to be stored in the main substation, which means the need will arise for new substation transformers of heavy capacity. The add transformer SB refers to the newly added transformer in the substation. The required fields for the new record are: the substation ID in which it is being deployed and recorded, transformer ID, capacity of the new transformer, its location, and the date on which the transformer was added into the system. The external clause is used to give the write access to the mapping of substations.

\[
\text{addTransformerSB}(\text{idIn} : \text{SBID}, \text{tidIn} : \text{TID}, \text{tcapacityIn} : \text{real}, \text{locationIn}:\text{String}, \text{dateIn}:\text{Date})
\]

\[
\text{ext wr substations} : \text{map SBID to Substation}
\]

\[
\text{pre idIn not in set dom substations}
\]

\[
\text{post let trans = (substations~(idIn)).transformers}
\]

\[
\quad \text{in let newTrans = mk_Transformer(tidIn, <idle>, locationIn, tcapacityIn, dateIn)}
\]

\[
\quad \text{in substations = substations~ ++ {idIn |-> mu (substations~ (idIn), transformers |
\quad \quad \text{(|->) union {newTrans}})}}
\]

**Pre-conditions** (1) The registration of the specific substation is the first check by the system that the given ID is not in the mapping of the substation in which the transformer is added.

**Post-conditions** (1) The let-in clause is used here to overcome the complexity of the operation. More than one let-in clause can be used in a single operation, such as in the post-condition. (2) Here, two local names ‘trans’ and ‘newTrans’ are used for the sub-expressions (substations~(idIn)).transformers and mk_Transformer (tidIn, <idle>, locationIn, tcapacityIn, dateIn), respectively. (3) Both of these local names are joined in the last sub-expression, with the union operation to add a new record in the substations mapping. The following operations are defined for the entity transformer. The add transformer operation is for the new transformer that is being deployed into the distribution network for the electric supply to the user. The required fields are the ID, location, and date of entry.

\[
\text{addTransformer}(\text{tidIn}:\text{TID}, \text{locationIn}:\text{String}, \text{dateIn}:\text{Date})
\]

\[
\text{ext wr transformers} : \text{map TID to Transformer}
\]

\[
\text{pre tidIn not in set dom transformers}
\]

\[
\text{post transformers = transformers~ munion {tidIn |-> mk_Transformer(tidIn, <idle>, locationIn, 33, dateIn)}};
\]

**Pre-conditions** (1) The pre-condition is to first check the transformers mapping to ensure that there is no already existing transformer with the same ID.

**Post-conditions** (1) The post-condition accepts the ID of a new transformer and records the fact that this transformer has been added with the specified fields to the collection of transformers.

The operation removeTransformer is similar to the addTransformer operation in nature except the fact that its pre-condition is different; it accepts the ID of a transformer and records the removal of this transformer from the system.

\[
\text{removeTransformer}(\text{tidIn}:\text{TID})
\]

\[
\text{ext wr transformers} : \text{map TID to Transformer}
\]

\[
\text{pre transformers <> {<} and tidIn in set dom transformers and}
\]

\[
\text{transformers(tidIn).mode <> <working>}
\]

\[
\text{post transformers = {tidIn} <: transformers~;}
\]

**Pre-conditions** (1) The pre-condition is to first check the transformers mapping to ensure that the accessed mapping is not empty; in brief context, in order to remove a record from the system, there should a record present in it. (2) The specified ID to be removed is in the collection of transformers. (3) The working mode of the transformer is checked, in that its status should not be working, which means its status can be any other than working.
Post-conditions (1) The post-condition accepts the ID of a new transformer and removes the required transformer from the collection of transformers.

To record a transformer as damaged, the operation to repair accepts the ID of a transformer and records its mode as damaged. To change the records of the composite object, the write access is given to the transformers mapping with the external clause.

```java
toRepair(tidIn:TID)
ext wr transformers : map TID to Transformer
pre tidIn in set dom transformers
post transformers = transformers~ ++ {tidIn |-> mu(transformers~(tidIn), mode |-> <damage>)};
```

Pre-conditions (1) The pre-condition is to first check the transformers mapping to ensure that the transformer is in the collection of transformers.

Post-conditions (1) The post-condition accepts the ID of the transformer, and, by using the override, the operator changes the required fields of that transformer, and then the collection of transformers will be updated.

To update the record of the transformer’s collection, the operation fixedTransformer accepts the name of a damaged transformer and records that its mode is set to idle; the other process is the same as above.

```java
fixedTransformer(tidIn:TID)
ext wr transformers : map TID to Transformer
pre tidIn in set dom transformers
post transformers = transformers~ ++ {tidIn |-> mu(transformers~(tidIn), mode |-> <idle>)};
```

The operation numberToFix returns the number of total damaged transformers. The operation will return a natural number value.

```java
numberToFix() out : nat
ext wr transformers : map TID to Transformer
pre true
post out = card {t | t in set rng transformers & t.mode = <damage>};
```

Pre-conditions (1) The pre-condition is true here, which refers to the condition that there is no need to apply any check or constraints because, without any pre-condition, the post-condition will work perfectly, such that it is just a read type operation.

Post-conditions (1) The cardinality operator is used here to take the number from a set type. (2) The condition in braces checks that there exists a transformer in the range of the transformers mapping of them; if the mode is damaged, then the total gathered transformers will be returned as a number.

To determine the total number of transformers under a substation, we created the operation of get_total_Transformer, which will return a natural number value.

```java
get_total_Transformer(out:nat)
ext rd substations : map SBID to Substation
pre true
post out = card dom substations;
```

The important aspect of the distribution management system is to detect the theft of equipment in the substation. It can be ensured by checking the supply or working mode of the transformer; the operation will take the ID of the transformer as input and the query will return as true or false, depending on the post-condition check.

```java
detectTransformerTheft(tidIn:TID) query : bool
ext wr transformers : map TID to Transformer
pre true
post query <=> tidIn in set dom transformers and transformers(tidIn).mode <> <working>;
```

Post-conditions (1) The query will return as true when the specified transformer is in the record of the substation but the system shows its mode is not working. (2) We ensure that we have already created an operation of damaged transformers, so it cannot be considered that if a transformer is not working, it is in the damaged transformer collection. (3) The
damaged transformer records are updated with the time, so a nonworking transformer will be considered as lost.

To detect the fault in the transmission line, a volt measuring sensor is taken as input in the following operation; it will return a Boolean type value in the form of true/false.

```java
faultDetection(voltsensorIn : Voltsensor) faultalert: bool
ext rd voltsensors : set of Voltsensor
pre voltsensorIn in set voltsensors
post faultalert <=> voltsensorIn.volt > voltsensorIn.nvolt and voltsensorIn.faultinfo=<Transmitted>;
```

**Pre-conditions** (1) The pre-condition will check the fact that the voltage measuring sensor is in the collection of Voltsensor.

**Post-conditions** (1) Fault alert will return true only if the volt value is greater than the normal volt that is nvolt; the fault info is transmitted to the control center. The major task of the distribution management system is to categorize the type of fault. Our operation determines that the fault type is quite lengthy yet easy to understand. The operation takes three transmission lines as input and the detector. The specified fields for fault detection in transmission lines are three transmission lines and one detector mounted on the tower. Read and write access is given to the collection of transmission lines, detectors, and the set of interrupted lines.

```java
determineFaultType (lineIn1 : Transmissionline, lineIn2 : Transmissionline, lineIn3 : Transmissionline, detectorIn : Detector) signal : Signal
ext rd transmissionlines : set of Transmissionline
    rd detectors : set of Detector
    wr tinterrupted : set of Transmissionline
pre lineIn1 in set transmissionlines and lineIn2 in set transmissionlines and lineIn3 in set transmissionlines and detectorIn in set detectors and detectorIn.status=<ON> and tinterrupted = {} post if lineIn1.phasevoltage > 160 and lineIn2.phasevoltage > 160 then tinterrupted <>{}and detectorIn.ftype = <Permanent> and detectorIn.fcat= <LL> and signal = <OPEN_Recloser>
    elseif lineIn2.phasevoltage > 160 and lineIn3.phasevoltage > 160 then tinterrupted <>{}and detectorIn.ftype = <Permanent> and detectorIn.fcat= <LL> and signal = <OPEN_Recloser>
    elseif lineIn1.phasevoltage > 160 and lineIn1.zeroscurrent > 35 then tinterrupted <>{}and detectorIn.ftype = <Permanent> and detectorIn.fcat= <LG> and signal = <OPEN_Recloser>
    elseif lineIn2.phasevoltage > 160 and lineIn2.zeroscurrent > 35 then tinterrupted <>{}and detectorIn.ftype = <Permanent> and detectorIn.fcat= <LG> and signal = <OPEN_Recloser>
    elseif lineIn3.phasevoltage > 160 and lineIn3.zeroscurrent > 35 then tinterrupted <>{}and detectorIn.ftype = <Permanent> and detectorIn.fcat= <LG> and signal = <OPEN_Recloser>
else signal =<DO_Nothing>;
```

**Post-conditions** (1) Two types of faults are detected in the post-condition by comparing the combinations of transmission lines. (2) Two constraints, phase voltage and zero sequence current, are used here for checking the proper working condition of the lines. (3) For any combination of two adjacent wires, if these constraints exceed the threshold value, the fault will occur and will be detected by the detector, which will determine the fault type as permanent and send a signal to the nearest recloser to open itself. (4) When two adjacent wires exceed the value of phase voltage, they will touch each other and the occurring fault will be a line-to-line fault. (5) If one of the three wires exceeds the phase voltage as well as the zero sequence current value, the occurring fault will be considered a
line-to-ground fault. The following function takes the transformer ID as input and will send a signal to the control center about the restoration of the supply. In the post-condition, the mode is checked for that specific transformer if the result is true; if it is in the condition, then a restored signal is sent to the system; in the opposite case, it sends a signal to do nothing.

```java
serviceRestore(tidIn: TID) signal : Signal
ext rd transformers : map TID to Transformer
pre tidIn in set dom transformers
post if transformers(tidIn).mode= <working> then signal = <Restored> else signal = <DO_Nothing>;
```

**Pre-conditions** (1) The pre-condition is to first check whether the given ID is in the collection of transformers.

**Post-conditions** (1) The specified transformer mode is checked as to whether it is working or not. If the mode is equal to working, then a signal will be transferred to the control center that the service has been restored.

The following operations are specified for the transmission lines. For checking the specific line against an ID, the first operation is used, which will check that line in the interrupted collection of wires. After resolving the fault of the specific line, it will add up to the collection of transmission lines; `transmissionlines~` is the old set of transmission lines and `transmissionlines` is the updated collection. To remove a faulty line from the interrupted transmission line collection, write access is used here to change the record. Sometimes, the continuous flow of the current in the wires gets changed due to some inner or outer conditions of the system, and the system starts tripping; this tripping mostly happens in the nearest circuit breaker.

```java
lineRestore(lineidIn:LID)
ext wr transmissionlines : set of Transmissionline
pre true
post transmissionlines = transmissionlines~ union {lineidIn};
```

```java
removeFaultyLine()
ext wr tinterrupted : set of Transmissionline
pre tinterrupted <> {}
post tinterrupted = {}; 
```

```java
tripCB(voltsensorIn : Voltsensor) trip: bool
ext rd voltsensors : set of Voltsensor
pre true
post trip <=> voltsensorIn.requestedload > voltsensorIn.actualload;
```

The following are the smart meters’ operations: initially, the consumers request that the smart meter be installed at their residence; the required attributes are taken as input, which is stored in the system for further use in the future. The smart meter installation takes the required data of the user as input and also the unique ID of the meter that is being installed. The last two operations are regarding the usage of the units and meter removal.

```java
requestMeter(cidIn : CID,cnameln: CName, dateIn: Date, detailsIn: Details)
ext wr requestedusers: map CID to Consumer
rd processesdusers : map CID to Consumer
pre cidIn not in set dom requestedusers
post requestedusers = requestedusers~ munion {cidIn |->mk_Consumer(cidIn, cnameln, dateIn, detailsIn)};
```

**Pre-conditions** (1) The pre-condition is to first check that the request has not already been registered against the ID of the consumer.

**Post-conditions** (1) The post-condition is updating the mapping of the requested user. The installMeter operation will record the fact that the request of the specified consumer is completed with the complete details of the consumer and that the date of the record is also updated.
installMeter(cidIn : CID, cnameIn: CName, dateIn: Date, detailsIn: Details, midIn: MID)\text{ack} : \text{Ack}\n\text{ext wr processesdusers : map CID to Consumer}\n\text{wr meters : map MID to Smeter}\n\text{rd requestedusers : map CID to Consumer}\n\text{pre cidIn in set dom requestedusers and midIn not in set dom meters}\n\text{post meters= meters~ munion \{midIn |-> mk_Smeter(midIn,2000)\}}\n\text{and processesdusers= processesdusers~ munion \{cidIn |-> mk_Consumer(cidIn, cnameIn, dateIn, detailsIn)\}and ack = <yes>};\n
\textbf{Pre-conditions} (1) The pre-condition is to first check that the request is not yet processed and the meter ID is not in the already installed meter collection.

\textbf{Post-conditions} (1) The post-condition is updating the mapping of the meters; the requested user is added to the processed users, and an acknowledge message is sent to the system that a new meter has been installed and the request has been processed.

To limit the usage of the electricity unit, the load limit operation is specified here, in which the pre-condition ensures that the meter is in the collection of meters and the usage limit is crossing or exceeding the specified value. In the post-condition, the status of the meter load limit will be enabled.

loadLimit(midIn: MID)\n\text{ext wr meters: map MID to Smeter}\n\text{pre midIn in set dom meters and meters(midIn).munits} > \text{Limit}\n\text{post meters = meters~ ++\{ midIn |-> mu (meters~(midIn), status |-> <Enable>);\}};\n
The removeMeter operation illustrates the procedure of removing a meter that has crossed the limit of the given units and has not yet paid for the previous transaction.

removeMeter(midIn: MID)\n\text{ext wr meters : map MID to Smeter}\n\text{pre midIn in set dom meters and meters(midIn).munits} > \text{Limit}\n\text{post meters = \{midIn\} <: meters~ ;}\n
\section{5. Model Analysis}

To analyze and verify formal specifications, the VDM-SL toolbox was deployed through the exploitation of its prevailing service. The toolbox comprises various services for ensuring accuracy, such as syntax and type checking, pretty printer, C++ code generation, interpreter, integrity inspector, dynamic verification, and several other validations and analysis techniques. No tool exists to provide a complete guarantee of the absolute correctness of any system model. Therefore, the formal specification does not assure that system is completely accurate. However, it helps in the identification of the possible errors at the initial stages of any software development. The design and analysis of the model help to recognize areas of ambiguity and incompleteness in the requirements of the informal framework and give a degree of assurance that the key properties, in particular those of safety or security, will be appropriate for legitimate implementation. The specifications of the system are analyzed through the VDM-SL toolbox. The specification is evaluated via syntax, type checker, generator of C++ code, and pretty printer. In the formal specification, the reported errors are eliminated earlier by enhancing the characteristics of invariants and pre/post-conditions as well. The developed formal specification is approved with success through all checkers, and the proof of correctness is shown in Figure 8.

An integrity analyzer is used to determine the specification’s integrity properties. The dynamic level of the requirements is examined by the integrity checker, and VDM-SL predicates are defined by a set of formulated integrity properties that specify the parameters in which no runtime error should execute. There will be no runtime error if the integrity property responds to true. All integrity properties are found to verify the true condition of the specification. For the validation of model properties, invariants and pre/post-conditions are specified.

There are two functions that are necessary to be performed in order to ensure the system’s formal validation’s validity. The VDM-SL toolbox provides syntax and type checkers that evaluate the developed static and dynamic models. Initially, there were
numerous syntax and type errors within the model specification, which were explored by the tool’s syntax and semantics evaluation as shown in Figure 9.

Figure 8. Proof of correctness.

Figure 9. Model analysis.

The detailed description of the proposed model is defined in tabular form, as given in Tables 2 and 3.
Table 2. Formal analysis of the transformer module.

| Specifications                  | Syntax Check | Type Check | Integrity Check | C++ | Pretty Printer |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----|----------------|
| checkCapacity                   | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| getDetails                      | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| addTransformer                  | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| removeTransformer               | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| toRepair                        | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| fixedTransformer                | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| numberToFix                     | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| getTotalTransformer             | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| detectTransformerTheft          | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |

Table 3. Formal analysis of the fault detection module.

| Specifications                  | Syntax Check | Type Check | Integrity Check | C++ | Pretty Printer |
|---------------------------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----|----------------|
| faultDetection                  | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| determineFaultType              | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| serviceRestore                  | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| isFaultyLine                    | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| removeFaultyLine                | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| lineRestore                     | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| tripCB                          | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| isVoltDetected                  | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| checkCapacity                   | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| getDetails                      | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| requestMeter                    | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| installMeter                    | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| loadLimit                       | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |
| removeMeter                     | ✓            | ✓          | ✓              | ✓   | ✓              |

6. Conclusions

The smart grid is an excellent innovation of engineering and technology for the modern world. There are numerous studies concerning the distribution layer of the smart grid, but this is majorly concentrated on the modeling of the distribution management system because very little work has been done in this domain. The obligation is to utilize the current state-of-the-art technologies in better sensing, detecting, communicating, and controlling the grid, especially the distribution network layer, to make the grid robust, efficient, and resilient. In this article, we present the formal model of an automated distribution management system for smart grids. The aspiration of using formal methods in smart grids is to design a formalized model in an efficient way so that there is less chance of errors during the implementation because smart grids are a safety-critical domain, where a minor error can lead to severe destruction to equipment or serious harm to humans. An IoT-based automated distribution management system is introduced in the form of formal specifications. The system helps to ensure the correct and cost-effective functioning of the system at the user end as well as service-provider end because the designed system has been produced for substation automation; transmission line fault detection and its restoration; components management, such as transformers; and the specifications of smart meters, enabling the properties of advanced metering infrastructure. The absolute necessity of employing formal techniques in safety-critical systems leads to the suggested system’s precision, consistency, and correctness. To demonstrate and justify the formal specification’s validity, the Vienna Development Method-Specification Language (VDM-SL) was deployed. The formulated specification of the IoT-based formal model of a distribution management system was then substantiated and analyzed by employing the VDM-SL toolbox facilities. The reported errors were eliminated earlier by enhancing the characteristics of invariants and pre/post-conditions. Moreover, examining specifications...
and implementing them by utilizing comprehensive computer tools enhances reliability through the exploration of possible problems in the initial phases of the development of system design. We would like to point out here that the framework recently proposed here presents only a few distribution level components. However, the same principle may be used for a wide range of components of each layer of the smart grid as future prospects; additionally, we will employ model checking and simulation approaches to extend our work to real-world scenarios.
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