Methods of Clinical Assessment in Patients with Disorders of Consciousness, with an emphasis on Electrophysiological Evidence
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Abstract

Consciousness still remains for some cognitive psychologists and neuroscientists a remote and unempirical problem, but computational methods and understanding neural correlates of consciousness has opened a new area of research with vast clinical applications. On one hand, with regard to brain imaging and stimulation techniques the problem of consciousness is more addressable than before, and on the other hand thanks to brain imaging tools, consciousness level assessment is made possible. The major issue in this new research area is that whether we can help clinical cases that are in altered states of consciousness and recover their normal life. In this review we will first introduce different kinds of consciousness disorders, i.e. coma, vegetative, locked-in syndrome and minimally conscious states. Then we will focus on the electrophysiological methods of clinical assessment in patients with disorders of consciousness.
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Introduction

The problem of consciousness raises many fundamental questions and these questions occupied the minds of many researchers for centuries. With the arrival of the nineteenth and twentieth centuries, due to the extreme behavioral approaches, the general belief was that the term “consciousness” does not have any studying value and is also a and severely ill-defined phenomenon. But the situation has changed since the late 80’s and consciousness became one of the main issues in psychology and cognitive neuroscience.

However, consciousness is still a non-empirical matter for some cognitive psychologists and neuroscientists, especially due to inconsistent definitions as well as less attention to developments in neurophysiology and brain imaging. Thus, the first step to bring the phenomenon of consciousness into the laboratory is to propose an operational definition and search for the related neural mechanisms of consciousness. The main principle for the scientific study of consciousness is to find the minimal differences between conscious and unconscious states using brain imaging techniques such as functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and electroencephalogram (EEG).

In the first part of this article we will review a variety of disorders of consciousness including coma, vegetative state, locking syndrome and minimally conscious state and in the second part we will focus on the main electrophysiological methods of clinical assessment in patients with disorders of consciousness.

Disorders of Consciousness (DoC)

Every year, thousands of patients go to coma for reasons such as traffic accidents, stroke, suicide attempt, carbon monoxide poisoning and drowning and some of them go to more severe states such as vegetative or locked-in syndrome. Typically after the traumatic brain injury (TBI), the patient may either go to coma or brain death state. In brain death, in accordance with “death diagnosis guideline 1981”, the basic functions such as blood circulation, neuro endocrine systems and breathing are absent. If the patient survives from brain death, between two to four weeks he may go to the coma state [1]. After this period the patient may go to three different states: vegetative state, minimally conscious state or locked-in syndrome (Figure 1)[2].
Vegetative state (VS)

Vegetative state was first described by Jennet [3] as “an organic body capable of growth and development but devoid of sensation and thought”. Autonomous activities including blood circulation, breathing and sleep-wake cycle are intact in this state, but the patient is not aware of the environment [2]. These patients may occasionally open their eyes, but these reactions are not related to external stimulus. If the patient remains in the vegetative state more than three to twelve months, the end-of-life decision has to be considered by the neurologists.

Minimally conscious state (MCS)

Arousal levels in minimally conscious state will remain intact and these patients sometimes show visible signs of consciousness [4] including emotional response to verbal stimuli, manipulation of objects and visual search. If any of these behaviors are seen in these patients, we can conclude that the transition from the vegetative state has taken place and they are in minimally conscious state.

Locked-in syndrome (LIS)

The locked-in syndrome is not considered as a disorder of consciousness, but because it is sometimes confused with the vegetative state is of utmost importance. Patients with locked-in syndrome are not able to move or speak, but can communicate with the outside world through blinking or vertical movements of the eyes [4].

Electrophysiological Assessment of Disorders of Consciousness

Brain imaging techniques have brought important advances in the study of consciousness. In fact, these techniques have shown us the minimal difference between conscious and unconscious states in normal subjects [5].

Functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) have shown that in most cases when stimuli is presented less than 60 milliseconds to healthy subjects, they are not consciously perceived; while by increasing the presentation period, major changes in the cortical areas (especially parietal and prefrontal cortical areas) are observed [6].

Although functional magnetic resonance imaging is a useful tool to localize brain areas, it cannot tell us the exact timing of conscious processing [7]. In this case, brain imaging tools such as event-related potentials (ERP) and quantitative electroencephalogram (qEEG) are used to extract related components of sensory and cognitive functions.

Evoked and event-related potentials

Electrophysiological assessment of disorders of consciousness with regard to evoked potentials can be divided into two categories: short latency evoked responses and long latency event related potentials (ERPs). The first one is related to the physical properties of stimuli and its conduction in the nervous system and the second one is related to its psychological properties.

Somatosensory evoked potential (SSEP) which is elicited by stimulation of the median nerve is one of the short latency evoked potentials, and leads to the N20 component. A number of studies [8-10] show that bilateral absence of the N20 component is a good indicator of poor outcome in patients with disorder of consciousness (with 99 to 100 percent accuracy). Brainstem auditory evoked potential (BAEP) is another short latency evoked potential which is elicited 10ms after stimulation and shows the functional working of the auditory nerve. The absence of this potential also is significantly related to poor outcome, but it has a lower predictive value than the N20 component [11]. Examination of these early components is a good indication of poor outcome for the patients, but their presence will not guarantee the return of consciousness.

Event-related potentials (ERPs) on the other hand are predictors of awakening. These components show advanced cerebral processes and may indicate the presence of consciousness. The mismatch negativity (MMN) and P300 are two examples of these late potentials.
MMN is a negative component which is elicited between 100 and 200ms after a change or odd sound in a series of repeated similar sounds. It is an automatic response and does not need the subject’s attention. Studies have shown [12] that MMN beholds important predictive value. In fact, the absence of MMN is highly associated with an absence of recovery in 91 to 93 percent of cases.

The P300 is another ERP component which is elicited 300 ms after the presentation of the stimulus and is modulated by attention of the subject. The presence of P300 component is another predictor of recovery of consciousness but it can also be used as a tool for differential diagnosis of MCS and VS patients (Figure 2) [13].

The BIS is a measure with one digit from 0 (inactive EEG) to 100 (normal EEG) which is obtained from temporal and frequency parameters. Studies have shown that VS patients have a lower BIS value than MCS patients and therefore the value of BIS can be also used as a tool for differential diagnosis (Figure 4) [15].

Conclusion

In conclusion the scientific study of consciousness shows that there is evidence that evoked and event-related potentials could help refine clinical predictions of outcome from coma. Evoked potentials are reliable predictors of poor outcome and event-related potentials on the other hand can be used to predict good outcome. Quantitative EEG allows various objective measurements of the cerebral activity providing information on the patient’s consciousness level. Encouraging results have shown differences between groups of patients according to the diagnosis.

The methods discussed in this article are inexpensive and non-invasive tests that can be safely recorded at the patient’s bedside. Thus, the neurologists need experience to be aware of these new tools and their utmost important clinical values.
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