Effect of Matrix Content on Mechanical and Thermal Properties of High Graphene Content Composites
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Abstract. Recently, biomimetic brick and mortar composites (B/M) are gathering great attention due to their outstanding properties. The use of graphene as bricks is expected to achieve good mechanical performances combined with remarkable thermal diffusivity making them optimal candidates for heat spread applications. Macroscopic composites (1 mm thick) have been manufactured at different filler content (up to 100% vol%) and their morphology have been investigated by scanning electron microscopy. Bending test have been carried out on samples for measuring the effect of the polymer amount on the composite. The thermal diffusivity has been investigated, both in plane and cross plane, by light flash analysis (LFA). Coupons showed a well aligned inner structure at each resin content, however the effective performances depends on the capability of stress transfer.

1 Introduction

Freestanding paper-like materials base on nanoscale lamellar fillers have attracted extensive interest thank to their intrinsic properties. In fact, such class of materials borrows specific properties from the two dimensional (2D) nano-filler together with special features related to the material architecture[1]–[3]. Due to its multifunctional properties, graphene based two-dimensional sheets are ideal candidate for developing protective layers, chemical filters, adhesive layers, flexible sensing and energy devices, and wearable electronics[4], [5].

The challenge is then to retain at the macroscale the mechanical and thermal properties of the filler. In the case of lamellar fillers paper-like structures allow to reproduce on the macroscopic scale the behaviour of the nanoscale reinforcement, in addition, based on the manufacturing procedure additional features such as foldability, permeability, barrier properties should be engraved to the material[6], [7]. Both graphene oxide [8]–[10] and reduced graphene oxide [9], [11], [12] have assembled by means of layer-by-layer technology to form a very thin nanolaminate film (few tens microns thickness) showing exceptionally rich multifunctional properties [13]. The inner architecture of nacre-like composites is quite different respect to the conventional paper-like composites. Main differences between the two architecture are the porosity, i.e. bucky papers possess connected micro porosity, and a lower degree of in-plane oriented nanoplatelets [14]. Li et al. [15] and Biswas and Drzal [16] both fabricated Langmuir–Blodgett thin films from exfoliated graphite. However, the Langmuir–Blodgett approach is not suitable for a thickness in the micron range and the porosity of the film is usually hard to control, making it not an adequate solution for composites applications. Wu and Drzal [8] fabricated a self-standing graphite paper consisting of graphite nanoplatelets (GNPs) with controlled porosity. Both thermostet and thermoplastic matrices were used to impregnate the porous GNP neat paper and an interesting tensile modulus (22 GPa) was attained by the nanolaminate at a minimum content of 20% of the polymer matrix. Recently, Li et al [17] produced high content GNP polyetherimide, PEI, matrix paper by filtration and hot-press. The GNP paper showed an improvement of the damping factor in respect to the neat PEI and the pure GNP.

In this work, prepregs made at high content of GNP have been fabricated at different GNP content from 60 %vol up to 100 %vol. Morphological analysis showed that films have a nacre like hierarchical structure. Thermal diffusivity was investigated both in-plane and cross-plane showing outstanding performances. We further investigate the effect of the matrix content on thermal diffusivity of films and flexural elastic modulus of the nanolaminates. The results revealed that these class of composites are promising candidates for heat spread applications since they combine good mechanical performances and remarkable thermal diffusivity.
2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Graphite nanoplatelets, namely G2NAN, were kindly supplied by Nanesa srl. The single particle has a lateral size and thickness of 30 μm and 14 nm respectively and a specific surface area (BET) > 30 m²/g.

HexFlow® RTM6 is a degassed monocomponent resin purchased from Hexcel, specially developed to fulfil the requirements of the aerospace and space industry in advanced resin transfer moulding processes (RTM). It has been characterized by differential scanning calorimeter (DSC) and thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA). It exhibits high glass transition temperatures, around 190°C, and a recuitulation peak at 241°C with an energy of 470 J/g. Thus, a cure cycle at 160°C for 90 min is chosen, followed by a post-cure cycle at 180°C for 1 hour.

2.2 Experimental methods

The actual polymeric matrix content has been investigated through TGA Q500. The analysis were performed in inert atmosphere, using nitrogen gas, with a temperature ramp of 10°C/min from room temperature to 800°C. The weight loss is evaluated at 600°C, temperature at which the percent residue from heating the pure resin is 10.3%.

The film thermal diffusivity has been investigated through laser flash analysis (LFA), with NETZSCH instrument.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) FEI Quanta 200 FEG has been employed to observe the cross section of the laminates, in order to study the orientation of the particles and the adhesion between the plies. Samples were fractured in nitrogen in order to have a picture of the laminates section.

Bulk laminates have been manufactured by Compression moulding by platen press (P200E Collin): different GNP pre-impregnated films are stacked, positioned between two metallic caul plates and confined by a frame. The laminate is cured for 90 min at 160°C with a pressure of 40 bar.

Mechanical characterization of bulk GNP composites was performed by a DMA-Q800 equipped with 3 point bending clamp with a displacement rate of 500 μm/min. Data were elaborated according to the ASTM D790 standard for flexural behaviour of composites.

Table 1. Actual composition in GNP/epoxy films.

| Filler content, vf [%vol] | 60 wt. % GNP | 70 wt. % GNP | 80 wt. % GNP | 90 wt. % GNP | 100 wt. % GNP |
|--------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| Actual (wt. %)           | 61           | 69           | 78           | 92           | 100           |
| Density (g/cm³)          | 1.4          | 1.5          | 1.4          | 1.4          | 1.8           |
| Void (%)                 | 7            | 6            | 18           | 24           | 10            |
| GNP (vol %)              | 42           | 53           | 67           | 82           | 100           |

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Thermal properties

The GNP platelet have anisotropic properties in-plane direction respect to the cross plane, the alignment of GNP particles can help GNP films to achieve maximum performance at the in-plane direction. It is expected that GNP films exhibit elastic modulus, electrical and thermal conductivity reproducing the anisotropy of the GNP particles. The best the alignment achieved will led to improved performances up the bare GNP particle.

Generally, the three kinds of properties are positively related to the density of the sample, Table 1 shows the achieved density for GNP films at different polymeric binder content.

Thermal gravimetrical analysis was carried out to estimate the actual GNP content within GNP films. To eliminate the effect of porosity and obtain best properties, the GNP films were also mechanically compressed during consolidation.

Figure 1. Thermal diffusivity trend for different filler content: a) In plane; b) Cross plane.
The in plane thermal diffusivity of GNP/Epoxy papers linearly increase with the increasing filler content (Figure 1a) as it is expected. In fact, the addiction of polymer, reduces the thermal diffusivity, it means that for high filler content, there are many GNP-GNP bridges, which allow the heat transfer. Whereas the cross plane thermal diffusivity (Figure 1b) is quite constant and slightly increase in the case of pure GNP paper, indicating that the polymer does not affect the thermal properties in the cross plane direction.

The higher is the filler content the higher is the in plane thermal diffusivity (Figure 1a), while cross-plane diffusivity has not a real trend fluctuating close to the thermal conductivity of the graphite [18].

The adopted fabrication route was able to reproduce the anisotropic thermal properties of the graphite nanoplatelet, the only issue is the high porosity detected. The voids negatively affects the cross-plane diffusivity, while the good alignment guaranteed by the calendering stage led to good correlation between the resin content and the material diffusivity.

3.2 Mechanical performances of films and layered GNP rich composites

Residual porosity negatively affects the mechanical properties of composites, the compaction pressure has not been uniformly distributed during the consolidation stage. In order to improve the alignment of GNP within the material and to decrease the void content, during the processing of thick GNP composites layer are stacked up to a final thickness 30% higher than the desired final thickness and the compression moulded at pressure of 40 bar. A set of coupons were manufactured at a nominal thickness of 1 mm in order to investigate the effect of resin content on the final mechanical performances.

Figure 2 shows fracture surface at a matrix content of ~30vol%. Here, the alignment of GNP in the plane is greatly improved, the cross section exhibits a uniform texture. Even if the overall distribution of lamellar particle is good some voids are still present. Empty areas should weak points affecting the bonding between layers. Mechanical tests carried out on laminates reproduced a similar behaviour as observe for film, even if the higher compaction load lead to improved final mechanical performances. The increase stiffness probably is related to the higher ordering achieved and to the decrease of void content.

Figure 3 shows the results of flexural tests conducted on laminates. The picture reports the evolution of the elastic modulus at different filler content. At GNP loading lower than 70 vol% the elastic modulus follows the direct rule of mixture, while at higher filler content (>70 vol%) the elastic modulus abruptly drops, then starts to increase with a different slope. This jagged-like behaviour of the young modulus can be associated either to the achieving of a critical stress corresponding to the exfoliation of the graphitic layers either to a too thin interphase, due to low resin content, which is not able to efficiently transfer load.

\[ E_c = \eta v_f E_f + v_m E_m \]

Figure 3. Flexural elastic modulus of GNP/Epoxy laminates at different filler content.

The analysis of modulus vs GNP content data based on simplified shear lag model [19] reveals that in the
range 40-70 vol% the reinforcement effect is maximum, while in the range between 70-90 vol% drops by 20%. The latter scenario is reproduced both for films and both for thick laminates. Data in Figure 3 are divided in two separate set, fitted respectively by models with a relative efficiency of 1 and 0.8.

4 Conclusions

In the present paper a procedure for manufacturing GNP rich films have been described. The proposed methods allows to wet GNP by a polymeric binder (i.e. epoxy, PU). Films made by epoxy and GNP are suitable for further manufacturing of thick composites made by compression moulding.

Main advantage of such class of composite is to reproduce on meso and macroscale the properties of lamellar nanofiller used as building block. Thermal diffusivity measurement reported an outstanding thermal conductivity on the particle plane while cross-plane measurement shows a global conductivity similar to the GNP brick independent from the resin phase.

Mechanical tests, conducted on both GNP films and 1mm thick laminates (with more than 50 vol%) showed a jagged increase of composite elastic modulus. Based on simplified shear lag model two different trend were highlighted on data in the range 50-70 vol% and in the range 70-90 vol%, where a drop in reinforcement efficiency drop of 20% has been experienced.
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