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Abstract. Let $F, G : I \to C$ be monoidal functors from a monoidal category $I$ to a linear abelian rigid monoidal category $C$ over an algebraically closed field $k$. Then the set $\text{Nat}(F, G)$ of natural transformations $F \to G$ is naturally a vector space over $k$. Under certain assumptions, we show that the set of monoidal natural transformations $F \to G$ is linearly independent as a subset of $\text{Nat}(F, G)$.

As a corollary, we can show that the group of monoidal natural automorphisms on the identity functor on a finite tensor category is finite. We can also show that the set of pivotal structures on a finite tensor category is finite.

1. Introduction

Monoidal categories arise in many contexts in mathematics. In this paper, we prove a basic fact on monoidal natural transformations between monoidal functors.

Our terminology basically follows that of Mac Lane. Recall that a monoidal functor is a functor $F : C \to D$ between monoidal categories equipped with a natural transformation $\varphi_{X,Y} : F(X) \otimes F(Y) \to F(X \otimes Y)$ ($X, Y \in C$) and a morphism $\varphi_0 : 1 \to F(1)$ in $D$ satisfying certain axioms and that it is said to be strong if $\varphi$ and $\varphi_0$ are isomorphisms. In this paper, by a monoidal functor we always mean a strong monoidal functor.

Throughout, we work over an algebraically closed field $k$. By a tensor category over $k$ we mean a $k$-linear abelian monoidal category $C$ which is rigid and satisfies the following conditions:

\begin{itemize}
  \item The unit object $1 \in C$ is simple.
  \item The tensor product $\otimes : C \times C \to C$ is $k$-linear in both variables.
  \item Every object of $C$ is of finite length.
  \item Every hom-set in $C$ is finite-dimensional over $k$.
\end{itemize}

Let $C$ be a tensor category over $k$ and let $I$ be a skeletally small monoidal category. If $F, G : I \to C$ are functors, then the set $\text{Nat}(F, G)$ of natural transformations $F \to G$ is naturally a vector space over $k$. Now we suppose that both $F$ and $G$ are monoidal functors. Then we can consider the set $\text{Nat}_\otimes(F, G)$ of monoidal natural transformations. Our main result in this paper is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** $\text{Nat}_\otimes(F, G) \subset \text{Nat}(F, G)$ is linearly independent.
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We will prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2. In what follows, we give some applications of this theorem. The following criterion for finite-dimensionality of $\text{Nat}(F,G)$ is important:

**Lemma 1.2.** Let $F, G : A \to B$ be right exact $k$-linear functors between $k$-linear abelian categories. Suppose that $A$ has a projective generator $P$ and that every object of $A$ is of finite length. Then the linear map

$$(\cdot)|_P : \text{Nat}(F,G) \to \text{Hom}_B(F(P), G(P)), \quad f \mapsto f|_P$$

is injective. In particular, if every hom-set in $B$ is finite-dimensional, then

$$\dim_k \text{Nat}(F,G) \leq \dim_k \text{Hom}_B(F(P), G(P)) < \infty.$$

**Proof.** Let $f \in \text{Nat}(F,G)$ and suppose that $f|_P = 0$. By the assumption, for every $X \in A$, there exists an exact sequence $P \oplus n \to P \oplus n \to X \to 0$. By applying $F$ and $G$ to this sequence, we have a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
F(P) \oplus n & \longrightarrow & F(P) \oplus n & \longrightarrow & F(X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
(f|_P) \oplus n & \downarrow & (f|_P) \oplus n & \downarrow & f|_X \\
G(P) \oplus m & \longrightarrow & G(P) \oplus m & \longrightarrow & G(X) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}
$$

in $B$ with exact rows. Since $f|_P = 0$, $f|_X = 0$. This implies the injectivity. \qed

A $k$-linear abelian category $A$ is said to be finite if it is $k$-linearly equivalent to the category of finitely generated modules over a finite-dimensional $k$-algebra. We present some applications of Theorem 1.1 to finite tensor categories [2]. By a tensor functor we mean a $k$-linear monoidal functor between tensor categories. By Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 we have the following:

**Corollary 1.3.** Let $F, G : C \to D$ be two right exact tensor functors between tensor categories $C$ and $D$. Suppose that $C$ is finite. Then $\text{Nat}_\otimes(F,G)$ is finite.

Let $\text{Aut}_\otimes(F) \subset \text{Nat}_\otimes(F,F)$ denote the group of monoidal natural automorphisms on a monoidal functor $F : C \to D$. As an immediate consequence of Corollary 1.3 we have the following:

**Corollary 1.4.** Let $F : C \to C$ be a right exact tensor endofunctor on a finite tensor category $C$. Then $\text{Aut}_\otimes(F)$ is finite. In particular, $\text{Aut}_\otimes(\text{id}_C)$ is finite.

We will give some remarks on the structure of $\text{Aut}_\otimes(\text{id}_C)$ in Section 3. We note that the finiteness of $\text{Aut}_\otimes(\text{id}_C)$ is well known in the case where $C$ is the category $\text{Rep}(H)$ of finite-dimensional representations of a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $H$. In fact, $\text{Aut}_\otimes(\text{id}_C)$ is then isomorphic to the group of central grouplike elements of $H$.

There is another important corollary. A pivotal structure on a rigid monoidal category $C$ is an element of $\text{Piv}(C) := \text{Nat}_\otimes(\text{id}_C, (-)^*)$, where $(-)^* : C \to C$ is the left duality functor.

**Corollary 1.5.** If $C$ is a finite tensor category, then $\text{Piv}(C)$ is finite.

Also this corollary is well known in the case where $C = \text{Rep}(H)$ for some finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $H$. In fact, $\text{Piv}(C)$ then is in one-to-one correspondence between grouplike elements $g \in H$ such that $S^2(x) = gxg^{-1}$ for all $x \in H$, where $S$ is the antipode of $H$. 
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Remark 1.6. We should remark that every finite tensor category is equivalent as a tensor category to the category of finite-dimensional representations of a weak quasi-Hopf algebra \cite{2}. It will be interesting to interpret Corollaries 1.4 and 1.5 in terms of “grouplike elements” of weak quasi-Hopf algebras (cf. \cite{3}).

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a tensor category over $k$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose $\mathcal{C}$ to be strict. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. The tensor product of $\mathcal{C}$ defines two $k$-linear endofunctors $V \otimes (-)$ and $(-) \otimes V$ on $\mathcal{C}$. Both these functors are exact since $\mathcal{C}$ is rigid \cite{1}.

Lemma 2.1. If $V \neq 0$, then $V \otimes (-)$ and $(-) \otimes V$ are faithful.

Proof. Suppose that $V \neq 0$. Then the evaluation morphism $d_V : V^* \otimes V \to 1$ is an epimorphism. Indeed, otherwise, since $1$ is simple, $d_V = 0$. It follows from the rigidity axiom that $id_V = 0$, and hence $V = 0$, a contradiction.

Let $X,Y \in \mathcal{C}$ be objects. Consider the linear map

$(-)^\otimes : Hom_\mathcal{C}(V \otimes X, V \otimes Y) \to Hom_\mathcal{C}(V^* \otimes V \otimes X,Y)$

given by $\phi^\otimes = (d_V \otimes id_Y) \circ (id_{V^*} \otimes \phi)$. If $f : X \to Y$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{C}$, then

$\phi^\otimes f = f \circ (d_V \otimes id_X)$.

If $id_V \otimes f = 0$, then, by the above equation, we have $f \circ (d_V \otimes id_X) = 0$. As we observed above, $d_V$ is an epimorphism. Since the tensor product of $\mathcal{C}$ is exact, also $d_V \otimes id_X$ is an epimorphism. Therefore, we conclude that $f = 0$. This means that the functor $V \otimes (-)$ is faithful.

The faithfulness of $(-) \otimes V$ can be proved in a similar way. \hfill $\square$

Let $\mathcal{I}$ be a skeletally small category and let $F,G : \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{C}$ be functors. Then the set Nat($F,G$) of natural transformations $F \to G$ is a vector space over $k$. Let $V,W \in \mathcal{C}$ be objects. The tensor product of $\mathcal{C}$ defines a linear map

$Hom_\mathcal{C}(V,W) \otimes_k Nat(F,G) \to Nat(V \otimes F(-), W \otimes G(-))$.

Lemma 2.2. The above map is injective.

In the case where $F$ and $G$ are constant functors sending all objects of $\mathcal{I}$ respectively to $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, Lemma 2.2 states that the map

$Hom_\mathcal{C}(V,W) \otimes_k Hom_\mathcal{C}(X,Y) \to Hom_\mathcal{C}(V \otimes X, W \otimes Y)$

induced from the tensor product is injective. We need to consider a bit more general situation than this case.

Proof. Let $f_1, \cdots, f_m \in Nat(F,G)$ be linearly independent elements. It suffices to show that if $c_1, \cdots, c_m : V \to W$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$ such that

$(2.1) \quad c_1 \otimes f_1|_X + \cdots + c_m \otimes f_m|_X = 0$

for all $X \in \mathcal{I}$, then $c_i = 0$ for $i = 1, \cdots, m$.

We show the above claim by induction on the length $\ell(V)$ of $V$. If $\ell(V) = 0$, our claim is obvious. Suppose that $\ell(V) \geq 1$. Then there exists a simple subobject of $V$, say $L$. Let $K$ be the image of the morphism

$(c_1|_L, c_2|_L, \cdots, c_m|_L) : L^{\oplus m} \to W$. 
Since \( L \) is simple, \( K \cong L^\oplus n \) for some \( n \geq 0 \). Let \( p_j : K \cong L^\oplus n \to L \) be the \( j \)-th projection. Then \( p_j \circ c_i|_L = \lambda_{ij} \text{id}_L \) for some \( \lambda_{ij} \in k \). By (2.1),
\[
\text{id}_L \odot \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{ij} f_i|_X \right) = (p_j \odot \text{id}_{G(X)}) \circ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i|_L \otimes f_i|_X \right) = 0.
\]
Lemma (2.1) yields that \( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{ij} f_i|_X = 0 \) for all \( X \in \mathcal{I} \). By the linear independence of the \( f_i \)'s, we have that \( \lambda_{ij} = 0 \) for all \( i \) and \( j \). This means that \( c_i|_L = 0 \) for all \( i \).

Let \( p : V \to V/L \) be the projection. By the above observation, for each \( i \), there exists a morphism \( \tau_i : V/L \to W \) such that \( c_i = \tau_i \circ p \). By (2.1),
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tau_i \otimes f_i|_X = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i \otimes f_i|_X \right) \circ (p \otimes \text{id}_{F(X)}) = 0
\]
for all \( X \in \mathcal{I} \). Note that \( \ell(V/L) = \ell(V) - 1 \). By the induction hypothesis, \( \tau_i = 0 \) for all \( i \). Therefore, \( c_i = \tau_i \circ p = 0 \). \( \square \)

Now we generalize Lemma (2.2). Let \( \mathcal{I}_i (i = 1, 2) \) be skeletally small categories and let \( E_i : \mathcal{I}_i \to \mathcal{C} \) \( (i = 1, 2) \) be functors. We denote by \( E_1 \otimes E_2 \) the functor \( E_1 \otimes E_2 : \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{I}_2 \to \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \)
\[
E_1 \otimes E_2 : \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{I}_2 \to \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}.
\]

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \( F_i, G_i : \mathcal{I}_i \to \mathcal{C} \) \( (i = 1, 2) \) be functors. Then the map
\[
\text{Nat}(F_1, G_1) \otimes_k \text{Nat}(F_2, G_2) \to \text{Nat}(F_1 \otimes F_2, G_1 \otimes G_2)
\]
induced from the tensor product is injective.

**Proof.** Let \( f_1, \cdots, f_m \in \text{Nat}(F_2, G_2) \) be linearly independent elements. Suppose that \( c_1, \cdots, c_m \in \text{Nat}(F_1, G_1) \) are elements such that
\[
c_1|_X \otimes f_1|_Y + \cdots + c_m|_X \otimes f_m|_Y = 0
\]
for all \( (X, Y) \in \mathcal{I}_1 \times \mathcal{I}_2 \). If we fix \( X \in \mathcal{I}_1 \), we can apply Lemma (2.2) and obtain that \( c_i|_X = 0 \) for \( i = 1, \cdots, m \). By letting \( X \) run through all objects of \( \mathcal{I}_1 \), we have that \( c_i = 0 \) for \( i = 1, \cdots, m \). Thus the map under consideration is injective. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Now we prove Theorem 1.1. Our proof is based on a proof of the linear independence of grouplike elements in a coalgebra over a field. Recall the assumptions: \( \mathcal{I} \) is a skeletally small monoidal category, \( \mathcal{C} \) is a tensor category over \( k \), and \( F \) and \( G \) are monoidal functors from \( \mathcal{I} \) to \( \mathcal{C} \).

We first note that \( 0 \notin \text{Nat} \odot (F, G) \). Indeed, if \( g \in \text{Nat} \odot (F, G) \), \( g|_1 : F(1) \to G(1) \) must be an isomorphism. Since \( F(1) \cong 1 \neq 0 \), \( g|_1 \neq 0 \), and hence \( g \neq 0 \).

Suppose to the contrary that \( \text{Nat} \odot (F, G) \) is linearly dependent. Then there exist elements \( g_1, \cdots, g_m \in \text{Nat} \odot (F, G) \) and \( \lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_m \in k \) such that
\[
g := \lambda_1 g_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m g_m \in \text{Nat} \odot (F, G)
\]
and \( g \neq g_i \) for \( i = 1, \cdots, m \). We may suppose that \( g_1, \cdots, g_m \) are linearly independent. Since \( g \neq 0 \), we may also suppose that \( \lambda_h \neq 0 \) for some \( h \).

By the definition of monoidal natural transformations, we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j g_j|_X \otimes g_j|_Y = g|_X \otimes g|_Y = \sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \lambda_i \lambda_j g_i|_X \otimes g_j|_Y
\]
for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{I}$. By Lemma 2.3 $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j \gamma_i = \lambda_j \gamma_j$ for each $j$. By the linear independence of the $\gamma_i$'s, we have that $\lambda_i = 0$ for $i \neq h$. Therefore, $g = g_h$. This is a contradiction. □

3. SOME REMARKS ON $\text{Aut}_\otimes(\text{id}_\mathcal{C})$

3.1. Bound of the order. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a finite tensor category over $k$. In this section, we give some remarks on the structure of the group $G(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Aut}_\otimes(\text{id}_\mathcal{C})$. We first note that by the definition of natural transformations, $G(\mathcal{C})$ is abelian.

Let $I$ be the set of isomorphism classes of simple objects of $\mathcal{C}$. For each $i \in I$, we fix $S_i \in i$. Let $P_i$ be the projective cover of $S_i$. Then $P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i$ is a projective generator. As every object of $\mathcal{C}$ is of finite length, $\mathcal{C}$ is $k$-linearly equivalent to the category of finite-dimensional right $\text{End}_\mathcal{C}(P)$-modules. Hence the map

$$\text{Nat}(\text{id}_\mathcal{C}, \text{id}_\mathcal{C}) \to Z(\text{End}_\mathcal{C}(P)), \quad \eta \mapsto \eta|_P$$

is an isomorphism of $k$-algebras (cf. Lemma 1.2).

Theorem 1.1 states that $G(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \text{Nat}(\text{id}_\mathcal{C}, \text{id}_\mathcal{C})$ is linearly independent. Therefore, we have the following bound on the order of $G(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 3.1. $|G(\mathcal{C})| \leq \dim_k Z(\text{End}_\mathcal{C}(P))$.

In the case where $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(H)$ for some finite-dimensional Hopf algebra $H$, this proposition is obvious since the right-hand side of the inequality is equal to the dimension of the center of $H$.

3.2. Values on simple objects. Let us consider the map

$$\text{Nat}(\text{id}_\mathcal{C}, \text{id}_\mathcal{C}) \to \prod_{i \in I} \text{End}_\mathcal{C}(S_i), \quad \eta \mapsto (\eta|_{S_i})_{i \in I}.$$  

This map is not injective anymore unless $\mathcal{C}$ is semisimple. Since $k$ is algebraically closed, we can identify $\text{End}_\mathcal{C}(S_i)$ with $k$. The above map induces a group homomorphism

$$\varphi: G(\mathcal{C}) \to \prod_{i \in I} \text{End}_\mathcal{C}(S_i)^\times \cong \text{Map}(I, k^\times), \quad g \mapsto (i \mapsto g|_{S_i}).$$

We show that $\varphi$ is injective if $k$ is of characteristic zero. To describe the kernel of $\varphi$, we introduce some subgroups of $G(\mathcal{C})$. If $p = \text{char}(k) > 0$, then we set

$$G(\mathcal{C})_p = \{g \in G(\mathcal{C}) \mid g^p = 1 \text{ for some } k \geq 0\},$$

$$G(\mathcal{C})'_p = \{g \in G(\mathcal{C}) \mid \text{the order of } g \text{ is relatively prime to } p\}.$$  

Otherwise we set $G(\mathcal{C})_p = \{1\}$ and $G(\mathcal{C})'_p = G(\mathcal{C})$. By the fundamental theorem of finite abelian groups, we have a decomposition $G(\mathcal{C}) = G(\mathcal{C})_p \times G(\mathcal{C})'_p$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $X \in \mathcal{C}$ be an indecomposable object.

(a) If $g \in G(\mathcal{C})_p$, then $g|_X$ is unipotent.

(b) If $g \in G(\mathcal{C})'_p$, then $g|_X = \lambda \cdot \text{id}_X$ for some $\lambda \in k^\times$.

Proof. Let $g \in G(\mathcal{C})$. As $X$ is indecomposable, $\text{End}_\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a local algebra. Since $k$ is algebraically closed, $g|_X$ can be written uniquely in the form

$$(3.1) \quad g|_X = \lambda \text{id}_X + r \quad (\lambda \in k^\times, r \in m),$$
where \( m \) is the maximal ideal of \( \text{End}_C(X) \). If \( r \neq 0 \), then there exists \( k \geq 1 \) such that \( r \in m^{k-1} \) but \( r \not\in m^k \). By the binomial formula, we have

\[
(g|_X)^n = \lambda^n \text{id}_X + nr \pmod{m^k}
\]

for every \( n \geq 0 \). This implies that \( (g|_X)^n = \text{id}_X \), then \( \lambda^n = 1 \) and \( nr = 0 \).

(a) Suppose that \( g \in G(C)_p \). Since the claim is obvious for \( p = 0 \), we assume that \( p > 0 \). Then the order of \( g|_X \) is a power of \( p \). Thus, by the above observation, \( \lambda = 1 \). This implies that \( g|_X \) is unipotent.

(b) Suppose that \( g \in G(C)_p' \). Then the order of \( g|_X \) is nonzero in \( k \). Thus, by the above observation, \( r = 0 \). This implies that \( g|_X = \lambda \text{id}_X \). \( \square \)

In what follows, we denote \( \lambda \) in equation (3.1) by \( \lambda_g(X) \). We note the following easy but important property of \( \lambda_g(X) \).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \( X \) and \( Y \) be indecomposable objects of \( C \). If \( X \) and \( Y \) belong to the same block, then \( \lambda_g(X) = \lambda_g(Y) \) for every \( g \in G(C)_p' \).

Here, a block is an equivalence class of indecomposable objects of \( C \) under the weakest equivalence relation such that two indecomposable objects \( X \) and \( Y \) of \( C \) are equivalent whenever \( \text{Hom}_C(X,Y) \neq 0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( g \in G(C)_p' \). By the definition of blocks, it is sufficient to prove this in the case when there exists a nonzero morphism \( f : X \to Y \). By the naturality of \( g \),

\[
\lambda_g(X)f = (g|_X) \circ f = f \circ (g|_Y) = \lambda_g(Y)f.
\]

Since \( f \neq 0 \), we have \( \lambda_g(X) = \lambda_g(Y) \). \( \square \)

Now we have a description of the kernel of \( \varphi \) as follows:

**Proposition 3.4.** \( \text{Ker}(\varphi) = G(C)_p \). In particular, \( \varphi \) is injective if \( p = 0 \).

**Proof.** Let \( g \in G(C)_p \). Then, by Lemma 3.2 \( g|_S = \text{id}_S \) for every simple object \( S \) of \( C \) and hence \( g \in \text{Ker}(\varphi) \). This implies that \( G(C)_p \subseteq \text{Ker}(\varphi) \).

Next let \( g \in G(C)_p' \cap \text{Ker}(\varphi) \). Let \( X \) be an indecomposable object of \( C \) and fix a simple subobject \( S \) of \( X \). Then, by Lemma 3.3 \( \lambda_g(X) = \lambda_g(S) \). On the other hand, \( \lambda_g(S) = 1 \) since \( g \in \text{Ker}(\varphi) \). This implies that \( g|_X = \text{id}_X \) for all indecomposable objects \( X \) and hence \( g = 1 \). Therefore \( G(C)_p' \cap \text{Ker}(\varphi) = \{1\} \).

Now we recall that \( G(C) = G(C)_p \times G(C)_p' \). Our claim follows immediately from the above observations. \( \square \)

It is interesting to characterize the image of \( \varphi \). Let \( N_{ij}^k (i,j,k \in I) \) be the multiplicity of \( S_k \) as a composition factor of \( S_i \otimes S_j \). In the case where \( C \) is semisimple, it is known that the image of \( \varphi \) is the set of functions \( \lambda : I \to k^\times \) such that

\[
\lambda(i)\lambda(j) = \lambda(k) \quad \text{whenever} \quad N_{ij}^k \neq 0 \quad (i,j,k \in I).
\]

In general, the image of \( \varphi \) is smaller than the set of such functions.

**Proposition 3.5.** The image of \( \varphi \) is the set of all functions satisfying (3.2) and the following condition:

\[
\lambda(i) = \lambda(j) \quad \text{whenever} \quad S_i \text{ and } S_j \text{ belong to the same block} \quad (i,j \in I).
\]
Proof. We remark that if an indecomposable object \( X \in \mathcal{C} \) has \( S_i \) as a composition factor, then \( S_i \) and \( X \) belong to the same block. Indeed, then there exists a nonzero morphism \( p_i \rightarrow X \) and hence \( p_i \) and \( X \) belong to the same block. On the other hand, since \( S_i \) is a quotient of \( p_i \), \( S_i \) and \( p_i \) belong to the same block. Therefore the claim follows.

Let \( \lambda = \varphi(g) \). By Proposition 3.4 we may assume \( g \in G(\mathcal{C})_p' \). (3.3) follows from Lemma 3.3. Thus we check that \( \lambda \) satisfies (3.2). Let \( i, j \in I \). By the definition of monoidal natural transformations,

\[
g|_{S_i \otimes S_j} = g|_{S_i} \otimes g|_{S_j} = \lambda(i)\lambda(j) \text{id}_{S_i \otimes S_j}.
\]

Suppose that \( N_{ij}^k \neq 0 \). This means that \( S_i \otimes S_j \) has \( S_k \) as a composition factor. Let \( X \) be an indecomposable direct summand of \( S_i \otimes S_j \) having \( S_k \) as a composition factor. By the above equation, \( g|_X = \lambda(i)\lambda(j) \text{id}_X \). On the other hand, since \( X \) and \( S_k \) belong to the same block, \( g|_X = \lambda(k) \text{id}_X \). Therefore \( \lambda(k) = \lambda(i)\lambda(j) \).

Conversely, given a function \( \lambda : I \rightarrow k^\times \) satisfying (3.2) and (3.3), we define a natural automorphism \( g : \text{id}_C \rightarrow \text{id}_C \) as follows: If \( X \) is an indecomposable object of \( \mathcal{C} \), then \( g|_X = \lambda(i) \text{id}_X \), where \( i \in I \) is such that \( X \) has \( S_i \) as a composition factor. As \( \lambda \) satisfies (3.3), this does not depend on the choice of \( i \). We can extend \( g \) to all objects of \( \mathcal{C} \), since they are direct sums of indecomposable objects.

Now we need to show that \( g \in G(\mathcal{C}) \), that is, \( g|_{X \otimes Y} = g|_X \otimes g|_Y \) for all objects \( X, Y \in \mathcal{C} \). We may assume that \( X \) and \( Y \) are indecomposable. Suppose that

\[
X = \sum_{i \in I} m_i S_i \quad (m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) \quad \text{and} \quad Y = \sum_{j \in I} n_j S_j \quad (n_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})
\]

in the Grothendieck ring \( K(\mathcal{C}) \). Then

\[
X \cdot Y = \sum_{k \in I} \left( \sum_{i, j \in I} m_i n_j N_{ij}^k \right) S_k
\]

in \( K(\mathcal{C}) \). This equation means that if \( X \otimes Y \) has \( S_k \) as a composition factor, then there exist \( i, j \in I \) such that \( X \) has \( S_i \) as a composition factor, \( Y \) has \( S_j \) as a composition factor and \( N_{ij}^k \neq 0 \). By the definition of \( g \) and (3.2), we have that \( g|_{X \otimes Y} = g|_X \otimes g|_Y \).

It is obvious that \( \lambda = \varphi(g) \). The proof is completed. \( \square \)

The following theorem is a direct consequence of Propositions 3.4 and 3.5.

Theorem 3.6. If \( p = 0 \), then \( \varphi \) gives an isomorphism between \( G(\mathcal{C}) \) and the group of functions \( \lambda : I \rightarrow k^\times \) satisfying (3.2) and (3.3).
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