Data Article

Dataset on photodegradation of tetracycline antibiotic with zinc stannate nanoflower in aqueous solution – Application of response surface methodology

Samira Taherkhani\textsuperscript{a,b}, Mohammad Darvishmotevalli\textsuperscript{a,b}, Kamaleddin Karimyan\textsuperscript{c,d}, Bijan Bina\textsuperscript{a}, Adibeh Fallahi\textsuperscript{e}, Hossein Karimi\textsuperscript{a,b,*}

\textsuperscript{a} Department of Environmental Health Engineering, School of Public Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
\textsuperscript{b} Student Research Committee, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
\textsuperscript{c} Environmental Health Research Center, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran
\textsuperscript{d} Department of Environmental Health Engineering, Faculty of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran
\textsuperscript{e} Student Research Committee, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

\textbf{ARTICLE INFO}

\textbf{Article history:}
Received 11 May 2018
Received in revised form 5 June 2018
Accepted 15 June 2018
Available online 22 June 2018

\textbf{Keywords:}
ZTO
Nanoflower
Photodegradation
Water treatment
Antibiotic
Modeling
RSM

\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Removal of pharmaceutical ingredients such as tetracycline from aqueous solution has a great importance. The aim of the current study was to investigate the degradation of tetracycline antibiotic in the presence of a triode semiconductor oxide as well as modeling of the photocatalytic degradation process in order to determine optimal condition. Zinc stannate nanoflower (Zn\textsubscript{2}SnO\textsubscript{4}) was synthesized by hydrothermal process and characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) techniques. Response surface methodology (RSM) was used to model and optimize four key independent variables, including photocatalyst dosage, initial concentration of tetracycline antibiotic (TC) as model pollutant, pH and reaction time of photocatalytic degradation. The proposed quadratic model was in accordance with the experimental results with a correlation coefficient of 98%. The obtained optimal experimental conditions for the photodegradation process were the following: zinc stannate (ZTO) dosage = 300 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}, initial concentration of TC = 10 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}, reaction time = 100 min and...
pH = 4.5. Under the optimal conditions, the predicted degradation efficiency was 95.45% determined by the proposed model. In order to evaluate the accuracy of the optimization procedure, the confirmatory experiment was carried out under the optimal conditions and the degradation efficiency of 93.54% was observed, which closely agreed with the predicted value.

© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

### Specifications Table

| Subject area          | Environmental sciences |
|-----------------------|------------------------|
| More specific subject area | Environmental chemistry |
| Type of data          | Tables and figures     |
| How data was acquired | In this study, firstly, Zn$_2$SnO$_4$ was synthesized and investigated for TC removal in aqueous solution. After that, it characterized by XRD, FT-IR, and SEM techniques. Response surface methodology (RSM) was used to model and optimize four independent variables, including photocatalyst dosage, initial concentration of TC, pH and reaction time of photocatalytic degradation |
| Data format           | Raw, analyzed          |
| Experimental factors  | Zinc stannate nanoflower (Zn$_2$SnO$_4$) was synthesized by hydrothermal process. |
| Experimental features | The samples preparation and analysis of them were performed according to standard method that provided invalid and similar references. |
| Data source location  | Isfahan city, Iran     |
| Data accessibility    | Data are included in this article |

### Value of the data

- The treatment of wastewater containing TC by suitable and efficient ways (before entering the aquatic ecosystem) is very necessary. Based on this necessity, the data in this study provides information on the effectiveness of a new method for removal of TC from aqueous solutions.
- The obtained data showed the prepared ZTO has suitable efficiency for the removal of TC from aqueous solution. Accordingly, more research can be done with more hope and confidence on the present treatment method.
- The obtained data can be useful for future similar studies especially in terms of study design about removal survey of TC from aqueous solution.

### 1. Data

1.1. **Modeling and optimization of the tetracycline degradation process during the (UV/ZTO) process via the response procedure method**

The CCD method is used to design the experiments to achieve optimized conditions of tetracycline degradation. The designed experiments (31 experiments) are done on the proposed condition based on the CCD and the results are presented in Table 1. According to the data collected for determination of the degradation level, according to Table 1, a quadratic polynomial equation is obtained. The following equation
shows a general model for prediction of the tetracycline degradation level according to real values:

\[
Y = 52.5714 - 30.6050 \times (A) + 5.4833 \times (B) - 3.5783(C) + 20.8317 \times (D) + 8.0806 \left( A^2 \right) - 7.0306 \left( B^2 \right)
\]

\[
+ 1.8694 \left( C^2 \right) - 9.1806 \left( D^2 \right) - 13.1450(A \times B) - 2.5450(A \times C) + 1.3350(A \times D) - 1.3550(B \times C)
\]

\[-0.1350(B \times D) + 9.5650(C \times D)
\]

Where Y is the TC degradation degree, and A, B, C, and D are the real values of pH, photocatalyst dosage, initial concentration of TC, and reaction time. The predicted values of the tetracycline degradation are presented in Table 1 with a model. Drawing the predicted values with a model, according to the real values (Fig. 1), a line was achieved with the correlation coefficient of 0.98, which shows that the model is satisfactory.

The results obtained from the ANOVA, which are driven from the Mini Tab software, are presented in Table 2.

P values related to the terms of the proposed model for the TC degradation process during the UV/ZTO process are presented in Table 3.

The optimized values of the chosen variables and the maximum predicted value for the tetracycline degradation are presented in Table 4. To evaluate the validity of the predicted value, the experimental would be done via CCD in the same proposed condition and with a value of 95.45% for the TC degradation in the optimized conditions.

Table 1
Experimental design matrix and the value of responses based on experiment run.

| Run | pH | ZTO dosage, mg/l | TC concentration, mg/l | Reaction time, min | Actual removal, % | Predicted removal, % |
|-----|----|------------------|------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| 1   | 6  | 150              | 25                     | 40                | 42.8             | 42.91                |
| 2   | 9  | 250              | 25                     | 40                | 13               | 15.25                |
| 3   | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 70                | 51               | 52.57                |
| 4   | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 130               | 64.7             | 64.22                |
| 5   | 7.5| 300              | 20                     | 70                | 52.1             | 51.02                |
| 6   | 6  | 150              | 15                     | 40                | 48.6             | 49.32                |
| 7   | 6  | 250              | 25                     | 100               | 77.12            | 79.24                |
| 8   | 7.5| 200              | 30                     | 70                | 52               | 50.86                |
| 9   | 9  | 250              | 25                     | 100               | 43.6             | 41.16                |
| 10  | 9  | 150              | 15                     | 40                | 27               | 25.9                 |
| 11  | 9  | 200              | 20                     | 70                | 11.8             | 13.88                |
| 12  | 6  | 250              | 15                     | 40                | 62.1             | 62.13                |
| 13  | 4.5| 200              | 20                     | 70                | 76.8             | 75.09                |
| 14  | 9  | 150              | 25                     | 40                | 19               | 16.94                |
| 15  | 6  | 250              | 25                     | 40                | 54.4             | 54.36                |
| 16  | 7.5| 100              | 20                     | 70                | 38.6             | 40.01                |
| 17  | 9  | 250              | 15                     | 100               | 41.3             | 21.42                |
| 18  | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 10                | 21.7             | 22.55                |
| 19  | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 70                | 51.8             | 22.55                |
| 20  | 9  | 150              | 25                     | 100               | 42.3             | 43.29                |
| 21  | 6  | 150              | 25                     | 100               | 67.3             | 67.93                |
| 22  | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 70                | 50.5             | 52.57                |
| 23  | 9  | 250              | 15                     | 40                | 27.6             | 25.5                 |
| 24  | 9  | 150              | 15                     | 100               | 44.06            | 42.68                |
| 25  | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 70                | 51.7             | 52.57                |
| 26  | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 70                | 50.3             | 52.57                |
| 27  | 7.5| 200              | 10                     | 70                | 56.5             | 58.01                |
| 28  | 6  | 200              | 15                     | 100               | 76.8             | 77.44                |
| 29  | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 70                | 60.1             | 52.57                |
| 30  | 6  | 150              | 15                     | 100               | 66               | 64.77                |
| 31  | 7.5| 200              | 20                     | 70                | 52.6             | 52.57                |
1.2. Evaluation of synthesized nano-particles properties

FT-IR studies on the synthesized ZTO via the 500–4000 cm\(^{-1}\) hydrothermal method are evaluated and the result is shown in Fig. 2.

Position and relative intensity of peaks in the XRD pattern of the synthesized ZTO indicates the presence of crystal phases (with the cart No. of 2184-074-01) in the structure of the synthesized photocatalyst (Fig. 3).

The SEM images of the synthesized ZTO via the hydrothermal method are presented in Fig. 4. It was observed that the ZTO is in the form of nano flowers.

Table 2
Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the selected quadratic model.

| Source     | DOF | Adj SS  | Adj MS  | F-value | P-value |
|------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Regression | 14  | 9079.61 | 648.54  | 89.96   | 0.000   |
| Residual   | 16  | 115.34  | 7.21    | –       | –       |
| Total      | 30  | 9194.96 | –       | –       | –       |

SS: Sum of squares.
MS: Mean squares.

Table 3
The ANOVA results for the coefficients of variables of quadratic model.

| Factor | Coefficient | P-Value |
|--------|-------------|---------|
| A      | –30.605     | 0.000   |
| B      | 5.4883      | 0.000   |
| C      | –3.5783     | 0.005   |
| D      | 20.8317     | 0.000   |
| A\(^2\) | –8.0806    | 0.001   |
| B\(^2\) | –7.0306    | 0.001   |
| C\(^2\) | 1.8694     | 0.336   |
| D\(^2\) | –9.1806    | 0.000   |
| A \times B | –13.145 | 0.000   |
| A \times C | –2.545  | 0.000   |
| A \times D | 1.335    | 0.626   |
| B \times C | –1.355  | 0.621   |
| B \times D | –0.135   | 0.961   |
| C \times D | 9.565    | 0.003   |

Fig. 1. The relationship between the predicted and actual responses.
Table 4
Optimized values of parameters effective on the tetracycline degradation.

| Parameters      | Optimized amounts |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| ZTO (mg/L)      | 300               |
| pH              | 4.5               |
| mg/L Tc         | 10                |
| Time(min)       | 100               |
| Removal Percent | 93.54             |

Fig. 2. FT-IR spectrum of prepared ZTO.

Fig. 3. XRD pattern spectrum of prepared ZTO.
1.3. The effect of different parameters on the photocatalytic degradation of TC

1.3.1. Effect of initial concentration of pollutant and contact time on the tetracycline degradation

Fig. 5-a shows the effect of initial concentration of pollutant and contact time on the tetracycline degradation (pH is 7.5 and the photocatalyst dosage is 200 mg L\(^{-1}\)). The tetracycline degradation efficiency increases with an increase in contact time and the pollutant concentration.

1.3.2. Effect of initial concentration of pollutant and photocatalyst dosage on the tetracycline degradation

The tetracycline degradation degree for the reaction time of 70 min and pH of 7.5, as a function of photocatalyst dosage, is shown in Fig. 6-b. The obtained results from the diagram indicate that in the low concentration of pollutant, the degradation degree increases as a result of the existence of numerous absorption sites.

1.3.3. Effects of pH and initial concentration of pollutant on tetracycline degradation

In Fig. 5-b in the conditions that the time is equal to 70 min and pollutant concentration is 20 mg L\(^{-1}\) in the acidic medium the highest amount of degradation occurs as a result of the electrostatic attraction between the pollutant and the photocatalyst surface.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

2.1. Properties of tetracycline antibiotic

The properties of the tetracycline antibiotic as pollutant sample are shown in Table 5.

2.2. Materials

The materials used in this investigation are tetracycline antibiotic (C\(_{22}\)H\(_{24}\)O\(_{8}\)N\(_{2}\)HCl), tin tetra-chloride (pentahydrate) 98%, hexahydrate zinc nitrate, 98% (Sigma-Aldrich Co.), sodium hydroxide, ammonia, 32%, ethanol (Merck Co.).

The used equipment includes the following: the digital pH meter (Metrohm 780/Swiss) was used to adjust the pH of the solution, the spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-160/japan), magnetic stirrer (Helidolph Mr 3001, k/Germany), ultrasonic bath (CD-4820), autoclave, digital oven (Pars Azma), electronic furnace (Syborn Thermolyne, 1500 Furnace) with precision of \(+0.00001\).

2.3. Synthesis of Zn\(_2\)SnO\(_4\)

The following steps were taken to synthesize Zn\(_2\)SnO\(_4\): 1.5 mg of SnCl\(_4\).5H\(_2\)O and 3 mg of Zn(NO\(_3\))\(_2\).6H\(_2\)O were separately dissolved in 20 ml of double distilled water. Then, 20 ml of sodium...
Fig. 5. Surface and contour plots of the photocatalytic degradation of tetracycline.
hydroxide (1 M) was added drop by drop to the stirring solution of SnCl₄.5H₂O. Finally, the zinc nitrate solution was added to the above solution to cause formation of white dye hybrid sediment. The obtained sediment was transported to Teflon autoclave (200–220 °C) for 48 h. At the end, the sediment was filtered and washed with water and ethanol, then was dried in oven at 80 °C for 20 h [1,2].

Table 5
The properties of TCA.

| Parameters            | Properties     |
|-----------------------|----------------|
| Molecular formula     | C₂₂H₂₄O₈N₂HCl  |
| Molecular weight (g/mol) | 480.9         |
| Solubility (mol/L)    | 0.041          |
| λₘₐₓ (nm)             | 359            |

Chemical structure

Table 6
Factors and levels of designing experiments via the CCD method.

| Parameters | Level | -2 | 1- | 0  | 1+ | 2+ |
|------------|-------|----|----|----|----|----|
| (X₁) pH    |       | 4.5| 6  | 7.5| 9  | 10.5|
| (X₂) ZTO   |       | 100| 150| 200| 250| 300|
| (X₃) TC    |       | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 | 30 |
| (X₄) Time  |       | 10 | 40 | 70 | 100| 130|

Fig. 6. The schematic of UV photoreactor.
2.4. Evaluation of the photocatalytic destruction of the synthesized nanoparticle

A Photocatalytic activity of the synthesized ZTO for destruction of the TC was evaluated under irradiation of UV light (30 W) (UV-C). In order to carry out the experiment, 100 ml of the solution of the pollutant was poured in 200 ml Bécher as a reactor with magnetic stirrer (Fig. 6).

In order to determine the concentration of pollutant at any time, the sampling accrued in intervals of 0–100 min and the absorption of antibiotic solution was recorded with the spectrophotometer in the wavelength of 359 nm. The removal degree was calculated using the following equation [3–9].

\[
\text{Removal, } \% = \left[ \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \right] \times 100
\]

Where \( C_0 \) is initial concentration of TC and \( C_t \) is the concentration of TC at time \( t \).

2.5. Optimizing the photocatalytic degradation process

To optimize the process of the photocatalytic degradation, central composite design (CCD) was used- RSM’s common form [10–14]. Considering the initial experiments, the four factors of pH, initial density, photocatalyst dosage and reaction time, were investigated as the main effective factors and the antibiotic degradation degree was considered as the response. Table 6 shows Levels of independent variables for photocatalytic degradation of TC. The intended design, presented in Table 7 is based on CCD and considers the four variable including 31 experiments with various conditions.

These experiments include 16 factorial experiment at factor levels of -1 and +1, seven experiments at central levels (0), and eight experiments at axial points (\( \alpha =2 \)). To create connection between

| Run | \( X_1 \) | \( X_2 (\text{mg L}^{-1}) \) | \( X_3 (\text{mg L}^{-1}) \) | \( X_4 (\text{min}) \) |
|-----|-------|------------------|------------------|-------|
| 1   | 6     | 150              | 25               | 40    |
| 2   | 9     | 250              | 25               | 40    |
| 3   | 7.5   | 200              | 20               | 70    |
| 4   | 7.5   | 200              | 20               | 130   |
| 5   | 7.5   | 300              | 20               | 70    |
| 6   | 6     | 150              | 15               | 40    |
| 7   | 6     | 250              | 25               | 100   |
| 8   | 7.5   | 200              | 30               | 70    |
| 9   | 9     | 250              | 25               | 100   |
| 10  | 9     | 150              | 15               | 40    |
| 11  | 10.5  | 200              | 20               | 70    |
| 12  | 6     | 250              | 15               | 40    |
| 13  | 4.5   | 200              | 25               | 70    |
| 14  | 9     | 150              | 25               | 40    |
| 15  | 6     | 250              | 20               | 40    |
| 16  | 7.5   | 100              | 15               | 70    |
| 17  | 9     | 250              | 20               | 100   |
| 18  | 7.5   | 200              | 20               | 10    |
| 19  | 7.5   | 200              | 25               | 70    |
| 20  | 9     | 150              | 25               | 100   |
| 21  | 6     | 150              | 25               | 100   |
| 22  | 7.5   | 200              | 25               | 70    |
| 23  | 9     | 250              | 25               | 40    |
| 24  | 9     | 150              | 15               | 100   |
| 25  | 7.5   | 200              | 20               | 70    |
| 26  | 7.5   | 200              | 20               | 70    |
| 27  | 7.5   | 200              | 10               | 70    |
| 28  | 6     | 200              | 15               | 100   |
| 29  | 7.5   | 200              | 20               | 70    |
| 30  | 6     | 150              | 15               | 100   |
| 31  | 7.5   | 200              | 20               | 70    |
independent and dependent variables (presenting a model, introducing the process) the following Quadratic polynomial equation is used [15–20].

\[ y = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_i x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (b_{ii} x_i^2) + \sum_{i<j=1}^{n} (b_{ij} x_i x_j) \]

Where, \(y\) is the response predicted by the model, \(x_i\) is the encoded amount of levels of variables and \(b_0, b_i, b_{ii},\) and \(b_{ij}\) are the coefficients of the model.
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