RNA editing enzyme ADAR1 controls miR-381-3p-mediated expression of multidrug resistance protein MRP4 via regulation of circRNA in human renal cells
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Multidrug resistance–associated protein 4 (MRP4), a member of the C subfamily of ATP-binding cassette transporters, is highly expressed in the kidneys of mammals and is responsible for renal elimination of numerous drugs. Adenosine deaminase acting on RNA 1 (ADAR1) has been reported to regulate gene expression by catalyzing adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing reactions; however, potential roles of ADAR1 in the regulation of MRP4 expression have not been investigated. In this study, we found that downregulation of ADAR1 increased the expression of MRP4 in human renal cells at the posttranscriptional level. Luciferase reporter assays and microarray analysis revealed that downregulation of ADAR1 reduced the levels of microRNA miR-381-3p, which led to the corresponding upregulation of MRP4 expression. Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are a type of closed-loop endogenous noncoding RNAs that play an essential role in gene expression by acting as miRNA sponges. We demonstrate that ADAR1 repressed the biogenesis of circRNA circHIPK3 through its adenosine-to-inosine RNA editing activity, which altered the secondary structure of the precursor of circHIPK3. Furthermore, in silico analysis suggested that circHIPK3 acts as a sponge of miR-381-3p. Indeed, we found overexpression of circHIPK3 induced the expression of MRP4 through its interference with miR-381-3p. Taken together, our study provides novel insights into regulation of the expression of xenobiotic transporters through circRNA expression by the RNA editing enzyme ADAR1.

Multidrug resistance–associated protein 4 (MRP4), encoded by the ABCC4 gene, is one of the ABC transporters and highly expressed in proximal renal tubules, bone marrow, and the brain of mammals. MRP4 recognizes a variety of compounds as substrates and transports them to the extracellular fluids. In the kidney, MRP4 participates in the elimination of many drugs, affecting their renal clearance. The expression of MRP4 is regulated in a tissue-dependent manner. Highly expressed transcription factors, such as PPARα, NRF2, and AHR, are thought to be involved in the transcription of ABCC4 mRNA in human renal cells. In addition to transcriptional regulation, posttranscriptional regulation has been demonstrated to be involved in MRP4 expression.

MicroRNA (miRNA) is a small noncoding RNA that binds to the 3’ untranslated region (3’UTR) of target mRNA, inducing mRNA degradation or transcriptional inhibition. Recent studies suggest that some members of the ABC transporter family are subject to miRNA-mediated gene regulation. For example, the downregulation of miR-298 in doxorubicin-resistant human breast cancer cells induced high expression of P-glycoprotein, conferring doxorubicin resistance. Several miRNAs are also found to regulate the expression of MRP4. miR-124a and miR-506 reduce MRP4 protein levels in HEK293T/17 cells, suggesting the role of miRNAs in regulating the expression of xenobiotic transporters in the human kidney. Although there are many reports demonstrating miRNA-mediated posttranscriptional regulation of ABC transporters, the regulatory mechanisms underlying the expression and function of miRNA have yet to be elucidated.

Circular RNA (circRNA) is a single-stranded noncoding RNA that forms a covalently closed loop structure having neither 5’ to 3’ polarity nor a polyadenylated tail. Numerous circRNAs act as a “sponge” of miRNAs, becoming a novel candidate for regulating the function of miRNAs. The biogenesis of circRNAs is different from canonical splicing of linear RNAs; circRNAs are formed by back-splicing, in which a downstream 5’ splice site is joined to an upstream 3’ splice site. Back-splicing requires the canonical 5’ and 3’ splice sites and the canonical spliceosome assembly. In general, the efficiency of back-splicing is much lower than that of canonical splicing; however, due to their high stability, some circRNAs exhibit higher expression than their counterpart linear miRNAs. RNA pairing at inverted repetitive elements, such as Alu elements in primates, in introns flanking the circularized exon is hypothesized to promote circRNA biogenesis because it brings the back-splice sites into close proximity.
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In addition to these cis-regulatory elements, some RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) act as trans-acting factors of back-splicing. Numerous circRNAs are upregulated by quaking (QKI) during epithelial-mesenchymal transformation (20). QKI binds to the flanking intron of circRNA-forming exons and then forms a dimer, bringing the back-splice sites closer together. Another example of circRNA-related RBPs is adenosine deaminase acting on RNA 1 (ADAR1), which suppresses the biogenesis of several circRNAs (21, 22). ADAR1 catalyzes adenosine-to-inosine (A-to-I) RNA editing, which is the most prevalent nucleotide conversion in mammals (23). Inosine behaves like guanosine as it forms a base pair with cytidine. Thus, A-to-I RNA editing leads to a codon change, alternative splicing, and alteration of RNA secondary structure (24). A-to-I RNA editing on the double-stranded RNA formed in the precursor of circRNAs diminishes the complementarity and disrupts RNA pairing, leading to the decreased biogenesis of circRNAs (21, 22). Although it has broad substrate-recognition specificity, ADAR1 prefers heights that are capable of forming Watson-Crick pairs with the target sequence (25, 26). Only a few studies reported the involvement of ADAR1 in circRNA biogenesis (27–29).

Our previous study demonstrated that ADAR1 regulated the expression of P-glycoprotein in human renal proximal tubular epithelial cells (RPTECs) (30). During the analysis of the role of ADAR1 in the regulation of renal expression of xenobiotic transporters, we also found that the expression of MRP4 protein was increased by the downregulation of ADAR1. ADAR1 controlled MRP4 expression at the post-transcriptional level. Therefore, we investigated the underlying mechanism of ADAR1-mediated MRP4 expression in RPTECs by focusing on the sponging function of circRNA against miRNA.

Results

Downregulation of ADAR1 increases the expression of MRP4 through the 3′ UTR of ABCC4 mRNA in human RPTECs

In our previous study (30), ADAR1-knockdown (KD) RPTECs were prepared by stable expression of small hairpin RNA (shRNA). No notable bands derived from ADAR1-p150 were detected in RPTECs, but the expression levels of the ADAR1-p110 isoform were significantly reduced to 40% in the anti-ADAR1 shRNA-transduced RPTECs (p < 0.01, Fig. 1A). Therefore, we used these cells to investigate the role of ADAR1 in the regulation of MRP4 expression. In the ADAR1-KD RPTECs, the mRNA levels of ABCC4 significantly increased in comparison with mock-transduced RPTECs (p < 0.05, Fig. 1B). The expression levels of MRP4 increased approximately 2.7-fold in ADAR1-KD RPTECs (p < 0.01, Fig. 1C).

The protein level of MRP4 is regulated at nearly all stages of the gene expression process (5). To investigate whether ADAR1 regulates the expression of MRP4 at the transcriptional level, we constructed a luciferase reporter vector containing the 5′ flanking region of the human ABCC4 gene (ABCC4 upstream::Luc) spanning from bp −1650 to +70 (the number is the distance in base pairs from the putative transcription start site, +1). The 5′ flanking region contains several response elements for NRF2, PPARα, and AHR (Fig. S1A), which are known to regulate transcription of the human ABCC4 gene (5). The expression levels for these transcriptional factors were not significantly different between mock-transduced and ADAR1-KD RPTECs (Fig. S1B). Consistent with this result, luciferase activity derived from ABCC4 upstream::Luc was not significantly altered in ADAR1-KD RPTECs (Fig. 1D). This suggests that ADAR1 is involved in the posttranscriptional process of MRP4 expression rather than transcriptional regulation of the ABCC4 gene. Therefore, we changed our focus to the posttranscriptional regulation mechanism promoting MRP4 expression in ADAR1-KD cells.

As translational efficiency is often regulated via 3′UTR of mRNA containing target sites of miRNAs (7), we explored whether ADAR1 acts on the 3′UTR of ABCC4 gene. To achieve this, the varying lengths of the 3′UTR of ABCC4 gene from bp +1 to +1741 (ABCC4 3′UTR +1741::Luc), from +1 to +1192 (ABCC4 3′UTR +1192::Luc), and from +1 to +553 (ABCC4 3′UTR +553::Luc) were cloned downstream of the luciferase gene into the pGL4.13 reporter vector (the nucleotide immediately after the stop codon in exon 30 of the human ABCC4 gene was defined as +1). The luciferase activity of ABCC4 3′UTR +1741::Luc significantly decreased in comparison with the control pGL4.13 reporter vector without containing 3′UTR (Fig. 1E), indicating that the 3′UTR of ABCC4 mRNA represses the translation of MRP4 protein. The reporter activity derived from ABCC4 3′UTR +1741::Luc in ADAR1-KD RPTECs was significantly higher than that in mock-transduced cells (p < 0.01, Fig. 1E), but downregulation of ADAR1 had negligible effects on the reporter activities of ABCC4 3′UTR +1192::Luc and ABCC4 3′UTR +553::Luc. This suggests that the 3′UTR of ABCC4 mRNA from +1193 to +1741 is responsible for the ADAR1-mediated regulation of MRP4 expression. The levels of Luciferase mRNA derived from ABCC4 3′UTR +1741::Luc were not significantly different between mock-transduced and ADAR1-KD RPTECs (Fig. 1F). Furthermore, the stability of ABCC4 mRNA was also not significantly affected by the downregulation of ADAR1 (Fig. 1G). Thus, the increased luciferase activity derived from ABCC4 3′UTR +1741 reporter vectors in ADAR1-KD cells was due to increased translational efficiency rather than the stabilization of mRNA.

miR-381-3p is involved in the ADAR1-mediated translational regulation of ABCC4 gene

Direct sequencing analysis revealed no ADAR1-mediated A-to-I RNA editing sites in the 3′UTR of ABCC4 mRNA (Fig. S2). Therefore, we investigated the possibility that ADAR1 regulates the expression of MRP4 through the mediation of miRNAs. The computational analysis using TargetScanHuman (31) identified 25 miRNAs whose target sites are located in the ABCC4 mRNA 3′UTR from bp +1193 to +1741 (Fig. 2A). Microarray analysis also showed 16 miRNAs that were differentially expressed between mock-transduced and ADAR1-KD RPTECs. For this analysis, two criteria were set for selecting upregulated miRNAs: Z-score of 2.0 or higher and...
ratio of 2-fold or greater; and for downregulated miRNAs: Z-score of −2.0 or lower and ratio of 0.5 or lower. The expression levels of nine miRNAs increased in ADAR1-KD RPTECs, whereas those of seven miRNAs decreased in ADAR1-KD RPTECs (Fig. 2, B and C). By combining these results, we focused on miR-381-3p as a possible candidate to regulate the translation of ABCC4 gene. The expression of miR-381-3p in ADAR1-KD RPTECs was significantly lower than that in mock-transduced RPTECs (p < 0.01, Fig. 2D). In this analysis, the expression levels of miR-381-3p were normalized to those of miR-191-5p, which is recommended as an endogenous control of miRNA and normalizer of RT and PCR steps (32). In addition, the luciferase activity of ABCC4 3’UTR +1741::Luc in RPTECs was significantly increased by mutation of the target site of miR-381-3p (p < 0.05, Fig. 2E). Therefore, the translation of the ABCC4 gene in RPTECs is
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repressed by miR-381-3p whose expression is under the control of ADAR1. This RNA editing enzyme may elevate the expression of miR-381-3p.

circHIPK3 mediates the ADAR1-induced regulation of miR-381-3p levels

To investigate the underlying mechanism of ADAR1-induced regulation of miR-381-3p in RPTECs, we focused on circRNAs for the following reasons: ADAR1 regulates the biogenesis of circRNAs by RNA editing (21, 22); circRNAs function as a “sponge” of miRNAs and interfere with their binding to target RNAs (12, 13); and some circRNAs prevent the function and expression of miR-381-3p (33–35). As circRNAs are expressed in tissue- and cell type–dependent manners (36), we investigated the expression profile of circRNAs in the kidney of human using the circRNA expression database circAtlas (37). In the database, 30 circRNAs were registered as exhibiting higher expression in the human kidney (Fig. 3A). Among them, circHIPK3 (circRNA ID: hsa_circ_0000284) was identified by starBase v2.0 (38) as having a target site for miR-381-3p (Fig. 3B). Therefore, we investigated whether the expression of circHIPK3 was altered in ADAR1-KD RPTECs. For quantification of circHIPK3 levels, we designed circHIPK3-specific divergent primers to span the circHIPK3 back-splice junction (Fig. 3C upper), which is a general method to specifically amplify circRNAs without amplification of the counterpart linear mRNA (39). The expression of circHIPK3 in RPTECs was detected by RT-PCR with the divergent primers (Fig. 3C left), and the circHIPK3-specific sequence at the back-splice junction was also confirmed by Sanger sequencing of the PCR product amplified with the divergent primers (Fig. 3C right). The levels of circHIPK3 significantly increased in ADAR1-KD RPTECs (p < 0.05, Fig. 3D), whereas the expression of the counterpart linear HIPK3 mRNA was not significantly different between mock-transduced and ADAR1-KD RPTECs (Fig. 3D). To investigate whether the expression of circHIPK3 is specifically increased in ADAR1-KD RPTECs, we also assessed the expression levels of other circRNAs by designing divergent primers. Of the 30 circRNAs listed in Figure 3A, 22 were detected in RPTECs, but there were no circRNAs whose expression were elevated by downregulation of ADAR1 (Fig. S3A). Therefore, among the circRNAs expressed in RPTECs, circHIPK3 may be more specifically upregulated in ADAR1-KD RPTECs. In contrast to linear mRNAs such as HIPK3 and β-ACTIN, circHIPK3 and other circRNAs were resistant to digestion by RNase R, a 3’ to 5’ exonuclease...
(Figs. 3E and S3B) because circRNAs do not have 5' or 3' ends. High stability of circHIPK3 was also confirmed in RPTECs after treatment with 5 μM actinomycin D, a transcription inhibitor (Fig. 3F).

Next, we investigated whether circHIPK3 is involved in the regulation of MRP4 expression. For this experiment, we constructed circHIPK3 expression vectors in which exon 2 of the HIPK3 gene and its flanking introns containing inverted complementary Alu elements were inserted into the multi-cloning site of pcDNA3.1. The transcripts derived from the expression vectors were considered to be back-spliced and form circHIPK3. The expression of circHIPK3 significantly increased in the RPTECs transfected with the circHIPK3 expression vectors (p < 0.01, Fig. 4A). The expression of MRP4 also significantly increased in the circHIPK3-overexpressing (OX) RPTECs (p < 0.05, Fig. 4B left), whereas ABCC4 mRNA levels were not affected by circHIPK3 expression (Fig. 4B right). Transfection of RPTECs with circHIPK3 expression vectors also reduced the levels of miR-381-3p (p < 0.05, Fig. 4C). The stability of miR-381-3p was also decreased in circHIPK3-OX RPTECs (p < 0.01, Fig. 4D), suggesting that circHIPK3 decreases the expression levels of miR-381-3p resulting from its destabilization.

A significant increase in the luciferase activity of ABCC4 3'UTR::Luc was detected in RPTECs when cells were transfected with circHIPK3 expression vectors (p < 0.01, Fig. 4E). The luciferase activity of ABCC4 3'UTR::Luc was also increased by mutation of the target site of miR-381-3p (Fig. 4F). The circHIPK3-induced increase in luciferase activity of ABCC4 3'UTR::Luc was comparable with that derived from miR-381-3p target site-mutated ABCC4 3'UTR::Luc in circHIPK3-OX RPTECs. This suggests that circHIPK3 exerts its sponging function against miR-381-3p, thereby preventing the miR-381-3p-mediated repression of translation from
ABCC4 mRNA to MRP4 protein. This circHIPK3/miR-381-3p axis may play an essential role in the ADAR1-mediated regulation of MRP4 expression in human renal cells.

**ADAR1 regulates the expression of MRP4 with its RNA editing and dsRNA binding ability**

ADAR1-mediated gene expression is known to be not only in editing-dependent manner but also in editing-independent manner; ADAR1 enables to modulate gene expression only through the binding to double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) (40, 41). To investigate how ADAR1 acts on RNAs and modulate their expressions, we constructed ADAR1 expression vectors in which RNA editing activity or dsRNA binding ability was deficient. In the structure of ADAR1 protein, a deaminase domain and three repeats of dsRNA-binding domain (dsRBD) are crucial for RNA editing (Fig. 5A). A point mutation of Glu617 to Ala617 (E617A) is sufficient to inactivate the RNA editing activity (42, 43). Mutations of KKxxK motif in each dsRBD to EAxxA motif (EAA mutation) abolish the dsRNA binding ability, thus leading to deficiency of RNA editing activity (44). In contrast to ADAR1-KD cells (Fig. 1C), the expression of MRP4 was significantly decreased in wildtype ADAR1-OX RPTECs (\(p < 0.01\), Fig. 5B and C), but the expression levels of MRP4 were not significantly decreased in E617A-mutated ADAR1-OX and EAA-mutated ADAR1-OX RPTECs as compared with those in wildtype ADAR1-OX cells (Fig. 5C). Similar negligible effects of E617A-mutated and EAA-mutated ADAR1 were observed on the expressions of circHIPK3 (Fig. 5D) and miR-381-3p (Fig. 5E). These data
suggested that both RNA editing activity and dsRNA binding ability of ADAR1 are indispensable for regulation of MRP4 expression via the circHIPK3/miR-381-3p pathway.

**ADAR1 suppresses circHIPK3 formation by inhibiting base pairing in its precursor RNA**

In general, most circRNAs are produced by back-splicing, in which a downstream 5′ splice site is joined to an upstream 3′ splice site (15); thus, circRNAs and their counterpart mRNAs are produced from the same transcripts. Back-splicing is often induced by forming a double-dsRNA structure of inverted complementary sequences in introns flanking the circularized exon, which brings these splice sites into close proximity (45). CircHIPK3 is produced from around exon 2 of the HIPK3 gene (46). Two inverted Alu elements were located in the flanking introns of exon 2 of the HIPK3 gene (Fig. 6A). The in silico prediction analysis for the secondary structure of pre-mRNA of HIPK3 gene also indicated that introns flanking exon 2 form a stable dsRNA of approximately 270 bp in length (Fig. 6B). The formed dsRNA structure was sufficiently long to be bound to and edited by ADAR1, which converts adenosines in long regions of dsRNA to inosines (25, 26). The RNA immunoprecipitation (RIP) analysis using exogenous RNA extracted from RPTECs infected with circHIPK3-expressing lentivirus revealed that ADAR1 bound to the dsRNA region in the precursor of circHIPK3 (p < 0.01, Fig. 6C), suggesting that the biogenesis of circHIPK3 is regulated by A-to-I RNA editing catalyzed by ADAR1 or its binding capacity.

The search for RNA editing sites using REDIportal, a comprehensive database (47), revealed that a total of 89 A-to-I RNA editing sites were registered in the flanking introns of HIPK3 exon 2 (Fig. S4A). Introduction of mutations into these registered editing sites diminished the complementarity of the RNA pairs in precursor of circHIPK3, demonstrating that A-to-I RNA editing disrupts the RNA pairing (Fig. S4B).
sequencing analysis of exogenous RNA extracted from ADAR1-overexpressing or ADAR1-KD RPTECs infected with circHIPK3-expressing lentivirus also revealed three A-to-I RNA editing sites in the precursor of circHIPK3 (Figs. 6D and S4A). To explore whether ADAR1-mediated A-to-I RNA editing affects the biogenesis of circHIPK3, we constructed mutated circHIPK3 expression vectors in which the three adenosine editing sites were converted to guanine. The mutation destabilized the dsRNA structure in the precursor of circHIPK3 (Fig. 6E) and significantly reduced the biogenesis of circHIPK3 ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 6F). This suggested that ADAR1-mediated reduction of circHIPK3 levels was, at least in part, due to the RNA editing in the precursor of circHIPK3. This ADAR1-induced prevention of circHIPK3 biogenesis may

---

Figure 6. ADAR1 suppresses circHIPK3 formation by inhibiting base pairing in its precursor RNA. A, a schematic diagram of the genomic region of human HIPK3 exon 2 and its flanking introns with inverted Alu elements (upper panel). CircHIPK3 is formed by back-splicing, in which a 5’ splice site in the downstream region of exon 2 joins to the 3’ splice site in the upstream region of exon 2 (lower panel). B, in silico analysis for prediction of RNA secondary structure of the HIPK3 pre-mRNA (the region from -630 to +2060 of HIPK3 exon 2) by RNAfold. The minimum free energy structure with base-pair probabilities was calculated to have the lowest value of free energy. Base-pair probabilities are shown by a color spectrum. C, ADAR1 binds to the precursor of circHIPK3. RPTECs were infected with lentiviral particles expressing precursor of circHIPK3 and RIP was conducted. Left panel shows Western blotting analysis of ADAR1-RIP immunoprecipitates. Right panel shows qRT-PCR analysis of ADAR1-RIP immunoprecipitates using pre-circHIPK3 targeting primers listed in Table 1. Values are the mean with SD (n = 3). **p < 0.01; significant difference between the two groups ($t_{4} = 13.102, p < 0.001$; unpaired $t$ test, two sided). D, electropherograms from direct sequencing of the PCR-amplified product of precursor of circHIPK3 derived from lentivirus. The sequence data were obtained from mock-transduced, ADAR1-overexpression (OX), and ADAR1-KD RPTECs. Triangles indicate the ADAR1-mediated A-to-I RNA editing sites detected in RPTECs. Percentage represents the editing frequency calculated by the peak height of “G” peak over sum of “A” and “G” peak heights. Electropherograms were aligned using SnapGene Viewer. E, in silico prediction of RNA secondary structure around the editing sites in precursor of circHIPK3. Left and right panels show the unedited and edited forms, respectively. The minimum free energy structure with base-pair probabilities was calculated to have the lowest value of free energy. Base-pair probabilities are shown by a color spectrum. F, the biogenesis efficiency of circHIPK3 derived from circHIPK3-expression vector with and without the mutation in Alu elements. The efficiency was calculated as the ratio of the expression levels of circHIPK3 to that of precursor of circHIPK3, which were assessed by qRT-PCR analysis. Values are the mean with SD (n = 5). *p < 0.05; significant difference between the two groups ($t_{8} = 2.682, p = 0.028$; unpaired $t$ test, two sided). RIP, RNA immunoprecipitation; TBP, TATA-binding protein.
enable miR-381-3p to interfere with translation from \textit{ABCC4} mRNA to MRP4 protein.

**Discussion**

MRP4 is an ABC transporter and is distributed in many tissues and cancer cells. As a xenobiotic transporter, MRP4 recognizes a variety of compounds as substrates and transports them to the extracellular fluid. The expression of MRP4 is regulated in a tissue-dependent manner through multiple processes, transcriptional regulations, posttranscriptional regulations, and membrane internalization (5, 48, 49). In this study, we demonstrated that the expression of MRP4 in human renal cells is regulated by the RNA editing enzyme ADAR1. A-to-I RNA editing catalyzed by ADAR1 reduced the production of circHIPK3, resulting in the increased expression of miR-381-3p, which suppressed the translation from \textit{ABCC4} mRNA to MRP4 protein (Fig. 7).

Recent studies reported that several ABC transporters are subject to miRNA-mediated gene regulation (8). For example, \textit{ABCC4} was reported to be regulated by miR-125a and miR-125b in hepatocellular carcinoma (6). The expression of miR-125a and miR-125b was also detected in RPTECs, but their expression levels were not affected by the downregulation of ADAR1. In addition, miR-124a and miR-506 were reported to downregulate MRP4 protein levels in HEK293T/17 cells (10). The expression levels of miR-124a and miR-506 were negatively correlated with MRP4 levels in the human kidney (10). Although the target site of miR-506, but not that of miR-124, was located in the 3'UTR of \textit{ABCC4} mRNA, no significant expression of the miRNA was detected in RPTECs. By combining computational analysis using TargetScanHuman and molecular experiments, we identified miR-381-3p as a novel regulator of MRP4 expression. miR-381-3p has been reported to function in the regulation of cancer cell proliferation (50), inflammation response (51), and drug resistance (52). Similar to its effects on \textit{ABCC4} mRNA, miR-381-3p targets the 3'UTR of \textit{ABCB1} mRNA and promotes cisplatin sensitivity in breast cancer cells (52). This suggests that miR-381-3p is involved in the posttranscriptional regulation of other xenobiotic transporters. Further studies are required to investigate the effects of miR-381-3p on the regulation of MRP4 in other organs and under pathological conditions.

Recent evidence has demonstrated significant roles of circRNAs in the regulation of the immune system (53), cell proliferation (46), and neuronal function (54). CircRNAs have a wide range of functions in the regulation of gene expression. As the most elucidated function to date, circRNAs function as competing endogenous RNAs, which are defined as the sponge that entraps miRNAs and prevents their binding to target mRNAs (55). CircHIPK3 is one of the typical circRNAs acting as a competing endogenous RNA. For example, circHIPK3 was reported to promote cell proliferation by inhibiting the function of miR-124 (46). CircHIPK3-mediated regulation of miR-338-3p function also underlies the alteration of insulin secretion (56). In human hepatocellular carcinoma, circHIPK3 is suggested to regulate the expression of MRP4 via sponging miR-124-3p and miR-4524 (57). On the other hand, our study revealed that circHIPK3 regulates the expression of MRP4 via sponging miR-381-3p in human renal cells. This difference was probably due to miRNA expression profile, which varies in a tissue- and cell type–dependent manner (58). Indeed, the expression of miR-124-3p and miR-4524 was undetectable in RPTECs, and the expression level of miR-381-3p in the kidney was approximately 2.6-fold higher than that in the liver according to the human miRNA tissue atlas, a comprehensive

**Figure 7. Schematic diagram of the ADAR1-mediated regulation of MRP4 expression in human renal cells.** ADAR1 edits and destabilizes the dsRNA structure in the precursor of circHIPK3, thereby preventing circHIPK3 biogenesis. circHIPK3 binds to miR-381-3p and reduces its expression levels, promoting the translation from \textit{ABCC4} mRNA to MRP4 protein. Thus, ADAR1-induced prevention of circHIPK3 biogenesis leads to the reduction of MRP4 expression via miR-381-3p.
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database of miRNA expression (59). Consequently, the target and function of circRNAs depend on the expression levels of miRNA in each tissue or cell type.

Although circRNA entraps miRNAs thereby preventing their binding to target mRNAs, it remains to be clarified how circRNA decreases the expression levels of target miRNAs (60, 61). In this study, we demonstrated that the increased expression of circHIPK3 in RPTECs led to the destabilization of miR-381-3p and thus decreased its expression levels. In both intracellular and extracellular fluids, most miRNAs are thought to be stable. The degradation mechanism of miRNA, especially after being entrapped by circRNA, is yet to be elucidated (62). Further studies are required to uncover the circRNA-mediated regulation of miRNA stability and its degradation.

A-to-I RNA editing is the most prevalent nucleotide conversion in mammals (23). ADAR1 catalyzes A-to-I RNA editing on dsRNA in not only protein coding regions of miRNA, but also in noncoding regions that often contain Alu elements. Thus, ADAR1 has been thought to act as a regulator of miRNA production (63, 64). In this decade, circRNA was demonstrated to function as an miRNA sponge and also recognized as a promising novel noncoding RNA in gene regulation (12, 13). The biogenesis of circRNA is regulated by cis-regulatory elements and trans-acting factors. RNA pairing formed across the introns that flank the circularized exon is the most effective cis-regulatory element. ADAR1 acts as a trans-acting factor by disrupting the RNA pairing, resulting in the decreased formation of circRNA. The RNA editing enzyme converts adenosines to inosines in the dsRNA that is longer than approximately 50 bp (25, 26), which are often observed in the cis-regulatory elements of circRNA formation (65). Therefore, ADAR1 appears to exert a broad range of effects on circRNA expression. However, our understanding of the role of ADAR1 in the formation of circRNA is limited. Only a few studies have reported ADAR1-mediated circRNA expression (27–29). In the present study, we found that ADAR1 is involved in the regulation of circHIPK3 production.

Inosine is an analog of guanosine, forming base pairs with cytidine in a Watson–Crick bonding configuration. Thus, inosine is recognized as guanosine in downstream processes such as splicing and translation (23). Using these characteristics, A-to-I RNA editing is usually detected by Sanger sequencing; edited adenosine is read as guanosine in the electropherogram. We also used Sanger sequencing to detect and quantify A-to-I RNA editing in the precursor of circHIPK3. Three adenosines were considered to be edited because their editing levels increased and decreased in ADAR1-OX and in ADAR1-KD RPTECs, respectively. The editing levels of three adenosines were relatively low (less than 10%), which was possibly due to an experimental limitation. As the endogenous precursor of circHIPK3 was difficult to be detected even by PCR, cells were transfected with circHIPK3 expression vectors and their RNA was applied to Sanger sequencing analysis. The excessive abundance of circHIPK3 precursor, as an ADAR1 substrate, may lead to the underestimation of RNA editing levels. Furthermore, ADAR1 has been reported to function not only as an RNA editing enzyme but also as a dsRNA-binding protein (40, 41). The wildtype ADAR1 decreased the expression of MRP4 protein in RPTECs accompanying with decreasing and increasing the levels of circHIPK3 and miR-381-3p, respectively. However, the modulatory effects of ADAR1 on the expression of MRP4, circHIPK3, and miR-381-3p disappeared by mutating not only the catalytic deaminase domain but also the dsRNA-binding domains. Considering the multiple roles of ADAR1, its binding capacity to dsRNA may also play a role in the prevention of circHIPK3 biogenesis. Further studies are required to clarify the detailed role of ADAR1 in the regulation of circHIPK3 formation.

In this study, we demonstrated that ADAR1 regulates the expression of MRP4 via the circHIPK3/miR-381-3p regulatory network in human renal cells (Fig. 7). ADAR1 edits and destabilizes the dsRNA structure in the precursor of circHIPK3, thereby preventing its formation, whereas circHIPK3 exerts its sponging function against miR-381-3p, thereby preventing the miR-381-3p-mediated repression of translation from ABCC4 mRNA to MRP4 protein. Consequently, ADAR1-induced prevention of circHIPK3 biogenesis may enable miR-381-3p to interfere with the expression of MRP4 protein. Although several regulatory factors have been identified to be involved in the expression process of MRP4 (5), we revealed that miR-381-3p acts as a novel regulator of MRP4 expression in human renal cells. In addition to MRP4, several ABC transporters are responsible for drug excretion. Hereafter, we should systematize the regulation mechanism underlying the function and expression of xenobiotic transporters and make a strategy to predict the excretion of drugs.

Experimental procedures

Cell culture and treatment

Human RPTECs (SA7K clone) were commercially obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. Cells were cultured under 5% CO2 at 37 °C in MEMα supplemented with 5.5% RPTEC Complete Supplement (Sigma-Aldrich), 2.33 mM l-glutamine (Sigma-Aldrich), 28 μM gentamicin (Sigma-Aldrich), and 14 nM amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich). For RNA stability assay, RPTECs were treated with 5 μM actinomycin D (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation), and cells were collected for RNA extraction at the indicated time points. For RNase R treatment, 20 μg of total RNA was incubated at 37 °C for 20 min with or without 2 U/μg of RNase R (Applied Biological Materials Inc), and the RNA was then purified by the phenol–chloroform precipitation method.

Construction of ADAR1-KD RPTECs

RPTECs were infected with lentiviral particles expressing small hairpin RNA (shRNA) against the human ADAR1 gene (sc-37657-V; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), which contained three target-specific constructs encoding 19 to 25-nucleotide shRNA designed to repress the expression of ADAR1. To select clones stably expressing shRNA, cells were maintained in a medium containing 5 μg/ml of puromycin (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation). Downregulation of
ADAR1 was confirmed by Western blotting. To construct mock-transduced RPTECs as control cells, naive RPTECs were infected with lentivirus particles derived from pLVSIN-CMV Pur vector (Takara Bio Inc) and were cultured in puromycin-containing medium as described above to select the stably expressing cells.

**Western blotting**

Total protein of RPTECs was prepared using CellLytic MT (Sigma-Aldrich) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Denatured samples containing 5 μg of protein were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and then transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes. The membranes were incubated with primary antibodies against ADAR1 (1:1000, sc-73408; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), MRP4 (1:3000, ab15602; abcam), and β-ACTIN (1:10,000, sc-1616; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Specific antigen–antibody complexes were visualized using horseradish peroxidase–conjugated anti-mouse antibodies (1:10,000, sc-2005; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Specified primary antibodies against ADAR1 and TBP, or anti-rat antibody (1:10,000, ab97057; abcam) against MRP4 and ImmunoStar LD (FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation). Visualized images were scanned using ImageQuant LAS4010 (FUJIFILM).

**Quantitative and qualitative RT-PCR analysis for mRNA and circRNA**

Total RNA was extracted from RPTECs using RNAiso Plus (Takara Bio Inc) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted RNA was reverse transcribed using ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (TOYOBO Co, Ltd). For quantitative real-time RT-PCR, the cDNA equivalent of 10 ng of RNA was amplified by PCR using the LightCycler 96 system (Roche Diagnostics) with THUNDERBIRD Next SYBR qPCR Mix (TOYOBO Co, Ltd). For qualitative RT-PCR, the cDNA equivalent of 10 ng of RNA was amplified by the GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega) with the divergent or convergent primers listed in Tables 1 and S1. The PCR-amplified products were separated by electrophoresis using 2% agarose gel containing ethidium bromide. Signals from the agarose gel were detected using LAS3000 (FUJIFILM). PCR-amplified products were confirmed by Sanger sequencing.

**Quantitative RT-PCR analysis for miRNA**

Total RNA was extracted from RPTECs using the miRNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The extracted RNA was reverse transcribed using the Taqman Advanced miRNA cDNA Synthesis Kit (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative real-time PCR analysis was performed using the 7500 Real-time PCR system with Taqman Fast Advanced Master Mix and miRNA-specific Taqman Advanced MicroRNA Assays for miR-381-3p (477816_mir; Applied Biosystems) and miR-191-5p (477952_mir; Applied Biosystems). The expression levels of miR-381-3p were normalized to those of miR-191-5p, which has been recommended as an endogenous control of miRNA (32).

**Plasmid construction**

The upstream region (from bp −1650 to +70, where +1 indicates the transcription start site) and 3’ UTR (from bp +1 to +1741, to +1192 and to +553, where +1 indicates the stop codon) of the human ABCC4 gene were amplified using PrimeSTAR MAX DNA polymerase (Takara Bio Inc). These sequences were subcloned into the pGL4.25 luciferase-reporter vector (Promega) using KpnI and NheI restriction sites and into the pGL4.13 luciferase-reporter vector (Promega) using XbaI and FseI restriction sites. To construct the reporter vector with mutation in miR-381-3p target site, the complementary sequence of the seed region of miR-381-3p (5’-TTGTATATAA-3’) on ABCC4 3’UTR::Luc was mutated to the MluI restriction site (5’-ACCGCGTA-3’) using the PrimeSTAR Mutagenesis Basal Kit (Takara Bio Inc) according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

The expression vector of human ADAR1 with C-terminal GFP-tag was commercially obtained from Origene Technologies, Inc (RG219761). To construct the RNA editing activity–deficient ADAR1 (E617A-mutated ADAR1) expression vector, the DNA sequence of Glu617 (GAA) was mutated to Ala617 (GCA). To construct the dsRNA binding ability–deficient ADAR1 (EAA-mutated ADAR1) expression vector, each

**Table 1**

| Gene     | Primers                                                                 |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Human ABCC4 | 5’-AGTCAGCCTCTGGAAGTTCGCTTGA-3’ 5’-GGCGAACAAATCATCAAGTG-3’ |
| Human HIKP3 | 5’-GACATGGTTCTGCTGGCTTGA-3’ 5’-GGTCGTAGAACGACCTTGA-3’ |
| Human circHIPK3 [divergent] | 5’-TTATGTTGGTGGACCTTGA-3’ 5’-TGGTGCTAGAACGACTTGA-3’ |
| Human circHIPK3 [convergent] | 5’-TTAGTCCCCGCACTAAATAGTTGA-3’ 5’-TGCGCAACAGATCCAAAACA-3’ |
| Human β-ACTIN [divergent] | 5’-CAGCTTCACGGTTCAGTTG-3’ 5’-AGTCATCTCAGAAATGACTG-3’ |
| Human β-ACTIN [convergent] | 5’-AAACTTGAACGCTTAGGTTG-3’ 5’-GGGATCACAGATCCAAAACA-3’ |
| Human pre-circHIPK3 [divergent] | 5’-CAGCTTCACGGTTCAGTTG-3’ 5’-GCACTTCATATTTGGAATGACTG-3’ |
| Human pre-circHIPK3 [convergent] | 5’-AAACTTGAACGCTTAGGTTG-3’ 5’-GGGATCACAGATCCAAAACA-3’ |
| Human 18S rRNA | 5’-GAAGGCCCTTGGACCTCCTAT-3’ 5’-GCAAGGCTGCGPCR-3’ |
| Luciferase Forward | 5’-GCAAGGCTGCGPCR-3’ 5’-GCAAGGCTGCGPCR-3’ |
| Luciferase Reverse | 5’-GCAAGGCTGCGPCR-3’ 5’-GCAAGGCTGCGPCR-3’ |
| Renilla Luciferase Forward | 5’-GGAAGGAGGGCGAGGGT-3’ 5’-CGCGAGGCGGTTTGTGAG-3’ |
| Renilla Luciferase Reverse | 5’-GGAAGGAGGGCGAGGGT-3’ 5’-CGCGAGGCGGTTTGTGAG-3’ |
DNA sequence of KKxxK motif in the dsRBD was mutated to
EAXxA motif (dsRBD#1: 5' - AAGAAAGTGGCCAAG-3' to
5' - GAGGCCGTGGCGCAGC-3'; dsRBD#2: 5' - AAGAAAGT
GGCAAG-3' to 5' - GAGGCAGTGGCAGCG-3'; dsRBD#3:
5' - AAGAAGCCAGCAAG-3' to 5' - GAGGCCCAAGGG
CG-3'), using the PrimeSTAR Mutagenesis Basal Kit (Takara
Bio Inc) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

The precursor sequence of circHIPK3 was amplified using
PrimeSTAR MAX DNA polymerase (Takara Bio Inc). The
sequence was subcloned into the pcDNA3.1 vector (Invi-
Prime) using the Alu element, the unedited sequence (5'
CTAAAAATA-3') in the circHIPK3 expression vector was mutations to the edited sequence (5'-CTAGGGATA-3') using the PrimeSTAR Mutagenesis Basal Kit (Takara Bio
Inc) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Sequences of primers are listed in Table 2.

Luciferase reporter assay
RPTECs were seeded at a density of 2.0 × 10⁵/well in 24-well
culture plates. Lipofectamine LTX regent (Invitrogen) was
used for reverse transfection with 500 ng of the pGL4.25
reporter construct or 200 ng of the pGL4.13 reporter constructs.
Ten nanograms of the pRL-TK vector (Promega) was
also transfected as an internal control reporter. Cells were har-
vested 24 h after transfection, and lysates were analyzed using
the Dual-Luciferase reporter assay system (Promega) with
Berthold Lumat3 LB9508 (Berthold Japan Co, Ltd). The ratio of
firefly (derived from pGL4.25 and pGL4.13 reporter con-
structs) to Renilla (derived from pRL-TK) luciferase activity in
each sample served as a measure of normalized luciferase activity.

miRNA microarray analysis
Total RNA was extracted from mock-transduced and
ADAR1-KD RPTECs using the mirNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN).
The quality of the RNA was checked with Experion (Bio-Rad).
In total, 2549 miRNAs were scanned on the SurePrint miRNA
Microarray rel. 21 (Agilent Technologies). To identify upre-
gulated or downregulated miRNAs, we calculated ratios and
Z-scores from the normalized signal intensities of each probe.
Two criteria were set as follows: for upregulated miRNAs, a
ratio of 2.0-fold or Z-score of 2.0; for downregulated miRNAs,
a ratio of 0.5-fold or Z-score of -2.0. The full data have been
deposited in National Center for Biotechnology Information
gene expression omnibus (Accession#: GSE192692).

Overexpression of ADAR1 with electroporation
The suspension of RPTECs (1.0 × 10⁶ cells) was mixed with
10 μg of ADAR1-expression plasmids or pcDNA3.1 in Opti-
MEM I Reduced Serum Medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific).
The cells–plasmids suspension was then transferred to a
cuvette, and the plasmids were transferred to the cells by
electroporation using Super Electroporator NEPA21 (NEPA
GENE, Co, Ltd). Poring pulses were applied at 175 V (pulse
length, 5 ms; pulse interval, 50 ms; number of pulses, 2; decay

Table 2
Primer sets for plasmid construction

| Gene              | Forward                                      | Reverse                                      | Primers                                      |
|-------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Human ABCC4       | 5' - CGTGGTACCCAGGGGTAGATGAGACGAGCAGC-3'     | 5' - ATAGCTAGCCCCGCTGTTAAGCAGAGGAGATG-3'     |
| Human ABCC4 3'UTR | 5' - CGGCCCTCTAGATCTACAAACAAAAATGTTAGCTAG-3'|
| Human ABCC4 3'UTR | 5' - TAGGCCCCATGGGAGATTAAACTATTAATTTA-3'   |
| Human ABCC4 3'UTR | 5' - TTAGGCGGCGCACTACGACCTCCATCTAATTTA-3'  |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - TTAGTACGCCGTAGATATATTGTGCCCTTTAG-3'    |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - TGTAGCGGTCTAAAGTACAGGTT-3'             |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - CGTGTCTAGCTGAGCAATTCCTTGGGCTTTAG-3'    |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - CGCGGTACCAGTCACGGGAGCCAAAGAAGTAT-3'   |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - CGGCCCTCTAGATCTAACGAGGCGAGGAGATG-3'    |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - ATGAGCGCGGCGAGGAGATGAGAGGTATATTT-3'   |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - CTCTACCTAGGATAACTAAAAATTTGACT-3'      |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - TGTCTATCTAGGATGAGAGATGAGGCTTTAG-3'    |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - CGCCTAGCTAGCTGAGCAGAGGAGATTAAACT-3'   |
| Human circHIPK3   | 5' - ATTCGCGGCTGAGCAGGAGGTAAAATTTTAT-3'   |
| Human ADAR1 E617A-mut | 5' - CATTGGCTACATCTGAGATTGAGGAGATG-3' |
| Human ADAR1 E617A-mut | 5' - GATTTATGCTGAGGTAGGAGGAGATG-3' |
| Human ADAR1 E617A-mut | 5' - GAGGCGGCGGCGGAGGAGGAGATGAGGAGATG-3' |
| Human ADAR1 E617A-mut | 5' - GAGGCGGCGGCGGAGGAGGAGATGAGGAGATG-3' |
| Human ADAR1 E617A-mut | 5' - GAGGCGGCGGCGGAGGAGGAGATGAGGAGATG-3' |
| Human ADAR1 E617A-mut | 5' - GAGGCGGCGGCGGAGGAGGAGATGAGGAGATG-3' |

The numbers indicate the distance from the transcription site (+1) for the upstream vector or from the stop codon (+1) for the 3'UTR vector.
rate, 10%), followed by transfer pulses at 20 V (pulse length, 50 ms; pulse interval, 50 ms; number of pulses, 5; decay rate, 40%).

In silico prediction of RNA secondary structure

The secondary structure of the human HIPK3 pre-mRNA was predicted using RNAfold (66), which computes the minimum free energy and predicts an optional secondary structure (http://rna.tbi.univie.ac.at/cgi-bin/RNAWebSuite/RNAfold.cgi). The range from -630 to +2060 of HIPK3 exon 2 was input as data with all default options.

RNA immunoprecipitation

RPTECs were infected with lentiviral particles expressing precursor of circHIPK3. The lentivirus particles were prepared by the Lentiviral High Titer Packaging Mix with Lenti-X 293T Cell Line (Takara Bio Inc). Forty-eight hours after transduction, cells were collected and lysed in 1 ml of nuclear isolation buffer (1.28 M sucrose, 40 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 20 mM MgCl2, and 4% Triton X-100). After the addition of 1 ml of PBS and 3 ml of distilled water to lysates, they were centrifuged at 2500g for 15 min at 4 °C, and resuspended in RIP buffer (150 mM KCl, 25 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM dithiothreitol, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 2 μg/ml of leupeptin, 2 μg/ml of aprotonin, and 100 U/ml of recombinant RNase inhibitor (TOYOBO Co, Ltd). The resuspended nuclei were homogenized and centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 10 min, and the supernatant was then incubated with anti-ADAR1 antibody (sc-73408; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or mouse IgG (Fujifilm Wako Pure Chemical) for 2 h at 4 °C with gentle rotation, followed by incubation with protein G beads (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 h at 4 °C. Then, the beads were washed with RIP buffer three times. A total of 10% of beads was used for protein elution, while the rest was subjected to RNA extraction using QIAzol Lysis Reagent and miRNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN) and used for quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis as mentioned above. During RNA extraction from immunoprecipitants, DNase treatment was conducted to avoid contamination of the genomic DNA. % Input = 2^{-ΔCt} × 100; ΔCt = C_{TIP} − [C_{input} − Log_2(input dilution factor)]. Sequences of primers for amplification of the precursor of circHIPK3 (pre-circHIPK3) are listed in Table 1.

Direct sequencing

RPTECs were infected with lentiviral particles expressing the precursor of circHIPK3. RNA was extracted using the ReliaPrep RNA Cell Miniprep system (Promega) and treated with DNase I on columns. A total of 500 ng of RNA was used for cDNA synthesis with ReverTra Ace qPCR RT Kit (TOYOBO Co, Ltd). DNA was extracted using the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega). The cDNA and DNA were amplified by the GoTaq Green Master Mix (Promega) with primers listed in Table 1. The PCR-amplified products were analyzed by Sanger sequencing using the same forward primer used in the PCR (Human pre-circHIPK3 in Table 1). Electropherograms were aligned using SnapGene Viewer (https://www.snapgene.com/snapgene-viewer/).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were carried out using JMP pro 14 (SAS institute Japan). The significance of differences among groups was analyzed by ANOVA, followed by Tukey–Kramer’s and Dunnett’s post hoc test. The unpaired t test was used for the comparison of data between two groups. Equal variances were not formally tested. A 5% level of probability was considered to be significant.
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All data supporting the results of the present study are included in the article. The full data of microarray analysis were deposited in National Center for Biotechnology Information gene expression omnibus (Accession#: GSE192692).
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