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The World Health Organization has designated Zika virus (ZIKV) as a dangerous, mosquito-borne flaviviral pathogen that was recently found to be responsible for a dramatically increased number of microcephaly cases and other congenital abnormalities in fetuses and newborns. There is neither a vaccine to prevent nor a drug to treat ZIKV virus infections, at the present time. Berberine (BBR) is a promising drug approved by FDA against flaviviral dengue virus, and BBR derivatives are of great interest in antiviral drug development. In this study, we synthesized eight BBR derivatives by introducing benzyl groups at the C-13 position of BBR and converting to respective 8-oxoberberine derivatives, performed molecular docking analysis, and evaluated their anti-Zika virus activity utilizing a cell-based phenotypic assay. Binding mode analysis, absolute binding free energy calculation, and structure-activity relationship studies of these compounds with ZIKV NS3 receptor were collected. Amongst these studied compounds, compound 4d with a structure of 13-(2,6-difluoro)-benzylberberine showed high binding affinity (docking score of −7.31 kcal/mol) towards ZIKV NS2B-NS3 protease with critical binding formed within the active site. In the cell-based assay, compound 4d displayed the highest antiviral efficacy against ZIKV with a selective index (SI) of 15.3, with 3.7-fold greater than that of berberine. Together, our study suggests that the potential ZIKV NS2B-NS3 protease inhibitor, compound 4d, is the best alternative to BBR and, further, extends an assuring platform for developing antiviral competitive inhibitors against ZIKV infection.
1. Introduction

Zika virus (ZIKV) was noticed as a major health risk by the World Health Organization. ZIKV is a mosquito-borne pathogen family having a positive-sense single-stranded RNA genome, belonging to Flaviviridae family including dengue, West Nile, and Japanese encephalitis viruses. Unlike its mosquito-borne relatives, ZIKV has emerged from obscurity by its association with a suspected “congenital Zika syndrome,” while causing asymptomatic or mild exanthematic febrile infections which are dengue or rubella-like in infected individuals [1]. ZIKV is thought to cause birth defects as well as neurological problems [2, 3]. Additionally, ZIKV is associated with neural-inflammatory diseases such as Guillain-Barré syndrome and ophthalmological complications in adults [1]. Currently, there are no medicines or vaccines against ZIKV or specific antiviral treatment for clinical ZIKV infection. To address this medical need, novel ZIKV interventions suitable for prevention and therapeutic purposes are urgent.

Berberine (BBR), an active isoquinoline alkaloid extracted from the Chinese herb Coptis chinensis [4], has been widely used for the treatment of gastrointestinal infection and diarrhea [5]. Currently, BBR is a FDA-approved drug to be effective against flaviviral dengue virus [6]. Recent studies revealed that BBR has various pharmacological activities such as antimicrobial [7], antidiabetic [8], anti-hyperlipidemic action [9], antitumor [10], anti-inflammatory [11], and antiparasitic [12]. Besides, several novel strategies have been developed for improving the bioavailability of BBR, which alternatively enhance its activity. Modifications of BBR structure is an effective pathway to yield derivatives with more valuable pharmacological properties. Therefore, a series of BBR derivatives have been designed by transforming and modifying its chemical structure [13–15].

In that respect, novel BBR-derived compounds (4a–d and 5a–d) were designed and synthesized aiming at obtaining drug leads possibly exhibiting ameliorated anti-ZIKV properties. An unprecedented feature of this new class of BBR derivatives is the presence of heteroaromatic moieties bonded to the 13-position of the parent alkaloid skeleton through a linker and functionality, in a fashion to possibly create a geometric propensity for additional non-covalent aromatic interactions on the cellular target site [16]. The ZIKA inhibitory effect of BBR and eight selected BBR derivatives then performed molecular docking simulation and biological evaluation targeted ZIKV to find specific antiviral BBR derivative compounds that may be potential in the treatment of Zika.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemistry

2.1.1. General Information. All reagents were purchased from commercial sources and were used without further purification. Reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography on 0.2 mm precoated silica gel 60 F254 plates (Merck). Derivatives were synthesized in the laboratory and then were purified by flash column chromatography using silica gel 45–63 μm (230–400 mesh), 60 Å pore size. 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury spectrophotometer (Agilent Technologies, Inc., CA, USA) at 300 MHz. Chemical shift values (δ) are given in parts per million (p.p.m) downfield from tetramethylsilane as an internal reference; coupling constants are given in hertz (Hz) and spin multiplicities are given as s (singlet), d (doublet), dd (doublet of doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), or m (multiplet).

(13-Benzylberberine) derivatives 4a-b and 5a-b were prepared adopting the previously reported methods as shown in Figure 1 [17].

2.1.2. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 2. Compound 1 (berberine chloride, 10.0 g, 26.9 mmol) was added to 5.0 M NaOH solution (9.2 g of NaOH, 46 mL of H2O) in a 250 mL size round-bottom flask at 0°C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min before adding acetone (10 mL, 134.5 mmol, 5.0 eq) dropwise at 0°C. The mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Then, the solid was filtered and washed with H2O (80 mL) and cold 80% MeOH in H2O (80 mL) and dried under the reduced pressure system giving the desired product 2 as a brownish-yellow solid (8.4 g) with 80% yield.

2.1.3. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 4. Compound 2 (1.0 g, 2.96 mmol, 1.0 eq) in MeCN (dried, 25 mL) was added with various benzyl halides 3 (5.93 mmol, 2.0 eq) and NaI (0.534 g, 3.55 mmol, 1.2 eq) and stirred at 80°C. After 4 h, the reaction mixture was filtered, washed with MC 3 times. While the solid (BBR, recovered) was dried, the filtrate was extracted with MC, dried with Na2SO4, filtered, concentrated, and purified by flash column chromatography (MC: MeOH, 20:1 to 10:1), which gave the desired product 4 as yellow solid.

2.1.4. General Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 5. A stirred suspension of compound 4 (300 mg) in 20% KOH (aq, 20 mL) was refluxed (115°C) for 24 h. After being cooled down to room temperature, the reaction mixture was extracted with MC twice. Then, combined organic phase was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, evaporated, and purified using flash column chromatography (EtOAc: Hex, 1:1 to 2:1; 3:1, and 4:1), which gave the desired product 5 as pale yellow solid.

2.2. Molecular Docking Studies

2.2.1. Ligands and Receptor. The three-dimensional structures of BBR and BBR derivatives (4a-d and 5a-d) were prepared using ChemDraw version 16.0 (PerkinElmer®). The energy minimization was carried out using MM2 force field and quantum chemical calculations were performed by PM6 semiempirical method implemented in Gaussian 09 [18, 19].
2.2. Docking Using AutoDock. The Graphical User Interface program named AutoDock Tools 1.5.6 (MGLTools) was employed to set up for docking simulations. The protein structure was prepared in order to obtain the correct ionization and tautomeric states of amino acid residues. Firstly, the heteroatoms including water molecules were removed and polar hydrogen atoms were added. Then, the Kollman charges and solvation parameters were assigned and Gasteiger charges were added to each atom. MGLTools assign the rigid roots to the ligands automatically and all other bonds were allowed to be rotatable. The grid map of various atoms of the ligands and receptor was generated by AutoGrid. It was previously reported that His51, Asp75, and Ser135 play an important role in the functioning activity of NS2B-NS3 protease [20, 21]; thus, in the docking procedure, the grid box was chosen such that it incorporates these key residues. The energy scoring grid was prepared in \( x \times y \times z \) directions (80 \( \times \) 80 \( \times \) 80) with a grid spacing of 0.375 \( \text{Å} \). During the docking process, the parameters of the Lamarckian genetic algorithm were as follows: 100 runs, elitism of 1; the mutation rate of 0.02; the population size of 27,000; the energy evaluations of 25,000,000; a crossover rate of 0.80; number of generations of 27,000; the energy evaluations of 25,000,000; and the root-mean-square (RMS) cluster tolerance set to 2.0 \( \text{Å} \) in each run. The outputs from AutoDock 4.2.6 modeling studies were analyzed using PyMOL and Discovery Studio Visualizer.

2.3. Biological Methods

2.3.1. Cell Culture and Reagents. Vero cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, 1% penicillin, and streptomycin (P/S; Gibco #11995-065), and 2 mM l-glutamine (GIBCO #11500626). Mycophenolate acid (MA) was purchased from Sigma (SIGMA#M5255).

2.3.2. Virus Preparation. To examine the anti-Zika effect of berberine derivatives, Zika virus MR766 Africa lineage was used. The strains are stored at the Vietnam National Institute of Hygiene and Epidemiology. This virus inoculated Aedes albopictus mosquito cells, clone C6/36, which was cultured in Eagle’s Minimum Essential Medium (EMEM) medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin and streptomycin. The culture was continued for 14 days until the cytopathic impacts were watched. Viral passages were divided into aliquots and kept at −80°C for use in all experiments.

2.3.3. Immunofluorescence Analysis. Vero cells were seeded at 2 \( \times \) 10^4 cells/well onto collagen (Sigma, #C8919) precoated 96-well, black wall, optical bottom microwell plates (#165305, ThermoNunc) using DMEM (Gibco, #11995-065) media supplemented with 10% FBS (OmegaSci #FB-12) and P/S (Gibco, #15140-122). Cells were pretreated with experimental compounds or positive control (mycophenolate acid, MP) in a 1:3 serial dilution at 8 concentrations in triplicate for 2 hr in an incubator. 5 \( \mu\text{L} \) of ZIKV inoculum (MOI 1) was added to each well. The infected cells were incubated for 24 hr after infection followed by 4% paraformaldehyde fixation and immunofluorescence assay (IFA). Cells were permeabilized using PBS with 0.1% TritonX100 and blocked with 2.5% FBS. Primary antibody, 4G2 (pan-flavivirus, anti-envelope antibody), was diluted in 1% FBS and incubated on cells for 3 hrs. Secondary antibody, goat anti-mouse Alexa647, and the nuclear stain, Hoechst was applied the following hour. Three images per well were obtained using the 10x objective on Axiovert A1 (Carl Zeiss, Germany). Images were analyzed using Zen imaging and ImageJ software. IC_{50} (effective concentration) and CC50 (cytotoxicity concentration) values were calculated using Prism v7.0 software. The antiviral activity of tested compounds was evaluated by 8-point DRC analysis in triplicate. Experiments were repeated at least two times independently.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthesis and Structural Characterization. By introducing benzyl groups at the C-13 position of BBR, analogues 4 were synthesized. The synthesis of compound 4 involved two steps, whereas compound 5 preparation needed an additional step. Treatment of commercially available BBR 1 with acetone in 5.0M NaOH solution at 0°C gave the key acetylated intermediates 2. Then, compound 2 was reacted with benzyl halide or heteroaromatic benzyl halide 3 in CH3CN solvent in the presence of NaI catalyst at 80°C to yield the desired compound 4. Oxoberberine derivatives 5 were formed in the reaction between 4 form salt and hot aqueous alkali in air. The structure of BBR derivatives is presented in Table 1.

3.1.1. Compound 2. 1H NMR (DMSO-d$_6$, 400MHz) \( \delta = 2.03 \) (s, 3H), 2.26–2.33 (m, 1H), 2.69–2.75 (m, 2H), 3.89–2.96 (m, 1H), 3.17–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 5.18–5.22 (m, 1H), 5.99–6.00 (m, 3H), 6.70–6.73 (m, 1H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 6.84–6.87 (m, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H).
Table 1: Chemical structure of BBR derivatives.

| R   | X          | Compound 4a-d | Compound 5a-d |
|-----|------------|---------------|---------------|
| 3a  | O Cl       | 4a            | 5a            |
| 3b  | Me Br      | 4b            | 5b            |
| 3c  | Cl NH N    | 4c            | 5c            |
| 3d  | F Br       | 4d            | 5d            |

3.1.2. Compound 4a–d

4a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400MHz) δ = 3.25–3.29 (m, 2H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 4.40 (s, 3H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 5.21 (s, 2H), 6.00 (s, 2H), 6.62–6.69 (m, 2H), 6.79–6.93 (m, 3H), 7.00–7.03 (m, 1H), 7.55–7.72 (m, 2H), 10.44 (s, 1H)

4b: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400MHz) δ = 2.45 (s, 3H), 3.30–3.34 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 4.01 (s, 3H), 4.40 (s, 3H), 4.51 (s, 2H), 5.21 (s, 2H), 6.00 (s, 2H), 6.62–6.67 (m, 1H), 6.82–6.88 (m, 2H), 7.08–7.11 (m, 1H), 7.21–7.28 (m, 1H), 7.35–7.39 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.55 (m, 1H), 7.69–7.72 (m, 1H), 10.45 (s, 1H)

4c: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400MHz) δ = 3.30 (s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 4.13 (s, 3H), 4.86 (s, 2H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 6.00 (s, 2H), 6.82 (s, 1H), 7.17–7.20 (m, 2H), 7.47–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.59–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.79–7.82 (m, 1H), 9.82 (s, 1H)

4d: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400MHz) δ = 3.23–3.29 (m, 2H), 4.03 (s, 3H), 4.36 (s, 3H), 4.75 (s, 2H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 6.06 (s, 2H), 6.82–6.89 (m, 2H), 6.92 (s, 1H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 7.16–7.24 (m, 1H), 7.74 (s, 2H), 10.44 (s, 1H)

3.1.3. Compound 5a–d

5a: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400MHz) δ = 2.80–2.84 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 4.20 (s, 2H), 5.89 (s, 2H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 6.80–6.90 (m, 3H), 6.94–6.97 (m, 1H), 7.16–7.29 (m, 3H)

5b: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400MHz) δ = 2.39 (s, 3H), 2.80–2.84 (m, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 4.05 (s, 3H), 4.16 (s, 2H), 5.90 (s, 2H), 6.43 (s, 1H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 7.35–7.40 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.72 (m, 2H), 10.45 (s, 1H),
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Docking Study. Zika virus NS2B-NS3 protease plays a key role in viral replication; therefore, it is considered as an important antiviral drug target. Several drugs serving as inhibitors of Zika virus NS2B-NS3 have been reported, including bromocriptine with IC$_{50}$ of 21.6 μM, novobiocin with IC$_{50}$ of 14.2 μM, temoporfin with IC$_{50}$ of 1.1 μM, and erythrosine B with IC$_{50}$ of 1.7 μM [22–24]. To facilitate the development of protease inhibitors with improved potency, proteolytic stability, selectivity, and bioavailability, many strategies have been used to screen for the desired inhibitors. Many studies have exploited in silico model to analyze the interaction between inhibitors and enzymes to find the best promising compounds. For example, Choudhry et al. selected seven potential compounds as antiviral drug candidates with NS2B-NS3 protease as target based on their high GILD fitness score, high AutoDock Vina score, and X-Score binding energy and analyzed the strength of molecular interactions between the active site amino acids and the selected compounds [25]. Another approach is to make chemical modifications of known inhibitors to get higher inhibitory activity. Voss and Nitsche modified the structures of peptidomimetic inhibitors by adding new chemical groups such as benzylamide structure (bZiPro) to form a complex of a P1–P4 segment and different P1 residues [26]. The new inhibitors may cause synergistic effects between active site and allosteric inhibitors. A combination of in silico analysis and chemical modification of the target compounds seems to be the best choice for finding inhibitors of Zika virus NS2B-NS3 protease. This is considered as an effective strategy. Using this approach, the antihistaminic chlorcyclizine was discovered as a good inhibitor candidate of Zika virus NS2B-NS3 protease [27].

### Table 2: Docking results of studied compounds and hydrogen bond interacting residues.

| Compound | Binding energy (kcal/mol) | ZIKV NS2B-NS3 protein | Interacting residues | RMSD (Å) |
|----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| 4a       | −7.18                    |                       | His51, Phe84, Ala132, Tyr161 | 1.800   |
| 4b       | −6.51                    |                       | Ala88, Ile123, Thr166, Gln167, Lys169 | 1.702   |
| 4c       | −7.29                    |                       | Ala88, Ile123         | 1.821   |
| 4d       | −7.31                    |                       | His51, Phe84, Ala132, Ser135, Gly151, Gly153, Tyr161 | 1.866   |
| 5a       | −6.09                    |                       | Leu78, Asp120, Ile123, Ala164, Ile165, Gly168 | 1.442   |
| 5b       | −7.65                    |                       | Asp86, Ala88, Ile123, Ala164, Ile165, Thr166 | 1.718   |
| 5c       | −6.97                    |                       | His51, Asp129, Ala132, Ser135, Tyr161 | 1.367   |
| 5d       | −6.67                    |                       | Lys73, Leu78, Thr118, Ile123 | 0.871   |
| BBR      | −6.17                    |                       | Ala132, Ser135, Tyr150, Gly151, Val155, Gly159, Tyr161 | 1.108   |

6.89–6.93 (m, 1H), 7.034–7.19 (m, 4H), 7.25–7.31 (m, 1H)

5c: $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400MHz) $\delta$ = 2.79–2.84 (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 4.21–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.46 (s, 2H), 5.89 (s, 2H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 7.10–7.40 (m, 6H), 7.71–7.75 (m, 1H)

5d: $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400MHz) $\delta$ = 2.84 (s, 2H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 4.00 (s, 3H), 4.23 (s, 2H), 4.43 (s, 2H), 6.74–6.80 (m, 3H), 6.97 (s, 1H), 7.07–7.14 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.25 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.39 (m, 1H)
Figure 3: Continued.
This compound had a grid score of −24.8 kcal/mol, exhibited the most promising interaction with NS2B-NS3 protease in comparison to crystallography ligand (−15.6 kcal/mol) by interaction with Tyr161 by hydrophobic interactions in the binding site of NS2B-NS3. Tyr161 is recognized as an important amino acid in substrate molecular recognition. Cytotoxicity and global antiviral activity assay in Vero cells by MTT method showed that chlorcyclizine reduced the ZIKV induced cytopathic effect (IC$_{50}$ of 69.0 ± 7.3 µM and SI = 1.9), and explicit molecular dynamics simulations implemented on a NAMD program indicated great stability of chlorcyclizine in protease binding site, suggesting the repurposing of chlorcyclizine as a promising finding in anti-ZIKV drug development.

In this study, molecular docking is utilized to calculate binding affinity and predict binding pose of studied compounds in the active site of ZIKV which is important to investigate the potential mechanism of inhibition activity.
According to the ranking criteria of AutoDock, the more the negative value of the docking score, the better the binding affinity of the compound towards the targeted receptor [28]. Figure 2 and Table 2 present dock poses of eight ligands in combination with the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD). The docking result is considered reliable when the RMSD value does not exceed 2.0 Å. It is reported by Gohlke et al. that ligand calculated partial charge with the PM6 method has been shown to greatly increase docking accuracy and cluster population of the most accurate docking [29]. In 2017, Singh et al. reported that berberine could be a novel inhibitor for NS3-protein preventing from ZIKV; thus, it was selected as a reference ligand for the docking simulation [30].

Of all the docked results, compound 5b exhibited the most negative dock score (−7.65 kcal/mol) towards NS2B-NS3 which suggest it has the highest binding affinity to this protease; meanwhile, the reference inhibitor (BBR) was recorded with the binding free energy of −6.17 kcal/mol. Three other derivatives whose docking energies are close to compound 5b are 4d, 4c, and 4a (−7.31, −7.29, and −7.18 kcal/mol, resp.). BBR binding mode analysis with targeted protease reported that Ala132, Tyr150, Gly151, Val155, Gly159, and Tyr161 are key residues that participated in hydrophobic interactions and Ser135 formed H-bond with this reference ligand. In 2007, Sahoo et al. proved hydrogen bonding interaction between Ser135 and 1,3-dioxolo ring of BBR moiety plays a vital role in the inhibition activity of the compound [20].

Binding mode of the BBR derivatives with compounds 5a–d is displayed in Figure 3. The hydrophobic pockets formed with compound 5a are revealed in Figure 3 involving residues Leu78, Asp120, Ile123, and Ala164. The interaction is further strengthened through two conventional hydrogen bonds with Ile165 and Gly168. Binding orientation analysis of compound 5b, which exhibit the highest binding affinity towards NS2B-NS3 protease, indicated Ala88, Ile123, Ala164, and Ile165 were the main residues involved in weak interactions; meanwhile, Asp86 and Thr166 bonded with compound for two H-bonds. It should be noted that all the residues that participated in interacting with 5b are not recorded as an important constituent of the active site of targeted protease; therefore, compound 5b is not considered as “hit” for further evaluation. An array of the hydrophobic interaction was observed as contributed by His51, Asp129, Ala132, and Tyr161 to the binding with compound 5c. The -NH group of compound 5c at benzimidazole ring forms a hydrogen bond with hydroxyl (-OH) of Ser135.

BBR derivatives 4a–d were observed to bind effectively with the targeted protease except for compound 4b. Binding orientation analysis exhibited His51, Ala132, and Tyr161 of NS2B-NS3 initiating the hydrophobic interaction with compound 4a and is further stabilized through a hydrogen bond with Phe84. The noncovalent bonds formed between the binding site of NS2B-NS3 and compound 4b including Ala88, Ile123, Lys169, and Gln167, and the interaction was further stabilized with one H-bond with Thr166. In the dock pose of compound 4c, Ala88 and Ile123 were the key residues involved in hydrophobic interactions and there were none H-bond formed. Compound 4d was ranked second in terms of binding affinity. It was observed to form two H-bonding with Phe84 and Ser135; on the other hand, His51, Ala132, Gly151, Gly153, and Tyr161 were the key residues involved in hydrophobic interactions (Figures 3 and 4). Particularly, the 1,3-dioxolo ring of 4d formed hydrogen bond with the hydroxyl (-OH) group of Ser135 which is similar to the reference inhibitor BBR and most of the residues involved in the interaction are pivotal for the function of NS2B-NS3 protease. In conclusion, combining both docking score and binding mode analysis criteria, compound 4d could be hypothesized as the most potential candidate to inhibit the function of NS2B-NS3 protease.

Figure 4: (a) Compound 4d (thick green lines) in the binding site of NS2B-NS3 protease of ZIKA (ribbon representation). (b) Surface model of the best dock pose of compound 4d with NS2B-NS3 protease.
with the SI values more than 2.

BBR. Five derivatives (4b) showed activity against Zika virus NS2B-NS3 protease. In summary, our results, the synthesize process resulted in 13-substituted BBRs derivatives the compound 4d highlighted that the (2,6-difluoro)-benzyl substitution pattern at the C-13 of BBR is the primary determinant for accomplishing successful noncompetitive inhibition. More works on in vitro and in vivo models are needed to confirm its therapeutic applications.

### Data Availability

All the data used to support the finding of this study are included within the article. Any other data are available from the corresponding author upon request.

### Additional Points

(i) Introduction of heteroaromatic moieties bonded to the 13-position for additional noncovalent aromatic interactions on the cellular target site. (ii) Compound 4d exhibited the potential NS2B-NS3 protease inhibition activity with a high binding affinity (dock score of −7.31 kcal/mol) and interaction with key residues within the protease active site were observed. (iii) Berberine derivative 4d showed the highest anti-ZIKA virus activity with 3.7-fold SI higher than that of berberine.
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### Table 3: Anti-ZIKV activity of berberines derivatives.

| Name | IC₅₀ (μM)  | CC₅₀ (μM)  | SI (μM) |
|------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| BBR  | 15.5 ± 3.1| 62.8 ± 4.9| 4.1    |
| 4a   | 35.2 ± 7.1| 112.5 ± 11.6| 3.2 |
| 4b   | 45.1 ± 9.6| 122.8 ± 2.1| 2.7 |
| 4c   | 5.9 ± 2.2| 44 ± 5.2| 7.5 |
| 4d   | 5.3 ± 1.9| 80.9 ± 5.7| 15.3 |
| 5a   | 37.6 ± 3.8| 90.2 ± 8.5| 2.4 |
| 5b   | 9.8 ± 1.4| 25.2 ± 7.5| 2.6 |
| 5c   | 116.3 ± 12.3| 325.5 ± 3.6| 2.8 |
| 5d   | 29.5 ± 2.3| 79.7 ± 12.3| 2.7 |
| MA   | 0.13 ± 0.12| >10| >76.9 |

(α)IC₅₀ half-maximal inhibitory concentration. (β)CC₅₀ values: half-maximal cytotoxicity concentration. (γ)SI = CC₅₀/EC₅₀: selective index.
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