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ABSTRACT
Given the evolving volume of data storage in a data warehouse, partitioning is a very useful optimization option during the design phase of data warehouse. Materialized views also improve the execution time of data warehouse queries.

The primary concern of designers and end users of data warehouses is always the performance that ensures the production of the best results in the shortest time.

In this work, we will demonstrate that if we end up with the partitioning of materialized views, we can optimize the functioning of data warehouses.
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1. INTRODUCTION
To help decision makers understand and improve the performance of their businesses, data warehouse is used which is large databases specifically for data analysis. It is designed for queries and analysis, not for processing day-to-day transactions.

The performance of this data warehouse is of great interest to designers and this is because design is the most important stage in their life cycle, and this is due to its permanent impact on its condition and functioning.

Designers are always looking to optimize the performance of data warehouses to be able to respond to so-called OLAP analytical queries. These data analysis queries are performed in an environment of high volume of data that keeps increasing day by day.

Given the evolving volume of data storage in a data warehouse, partitioning is a very useful optimization option during the design phase of these warehouses. Materialized views are used to improve the execution time of data warehouse queries.

To optimize the performance of data warehouses, we will combine two techniques: vertical and horizontal partitioning and materialized views.

2. MATERIALIZED VIEWS
We can define a view as a function from a set of base tables to a derived table and the function is recited each time the view is referenced; this is almost similar to the principle of the cache, it offers a quick access to a selected copy of data.

Materialized views are views, the data of which is materialized, that is, stored. Materialized views are quite useful objects, allowing a relatively large performance gain when used properly. In the data warehouse environment, materialized views can be called "summaries" because they are used to store aggregated and pre-calculated data. A materialized view helps avoid the overhead associated with expensive joins and aggregations for large analytical queries.

Figure 1: Materialized view

3. PARTITIONING
Partitioning a table is defined by dividing the table into several disjoint partitions. Recall that a partitioning scheme is the result of the process of fragmentation [1].

Two types of partitioning are possible: horizontal partitioning and vertical partitioning. In vertical partitioning, a relation is divided into sub relations called vertical fragments which are projections applied to the relation. Vertical partitioning naturally favors the processing of projection requests on the attributes used in the process of fragmentation, by limiting the number of fragments to access.

Figure 2: Horizontal Partitioning
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4. HYPOTHESIS

Materialized views speed up responses to analytical queries. We propose to create a materialized view from our model shown in figure 5. We will partition our materialized view horizontally by date, and vertically by store.

Our materialized view is used to store aggregated data relating to sales operations on dimensions: customers, stores and products.

The queries used in this experiment are star join queries, and the DBMS used is Oracle with its Enterprise edition.

5. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

In this study, we used a data warehouse with:

- One fact table (Sales).
- Four dimension tables (Customers, Products, Time, Stores).

Then we inserted 3 million rows of data to overload the fact table.

For the dimension tables, the contents of the fact table have been respected for their loading.

Below is the design schema of the data warehouse used in our study.

5.1 Technical characteristics of the study environment

The following table presents the technical characteristics of the environment in which our study has been realized. We used great resources to find the best results.

| Physical Memory | Storage Disk | Operation System | RDBMS        |
|-----------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|
| 8 Gb            | 2.5 TB       | Windows Server 2019 | Oracle Enterprise Edition |

5.2 Star join query used

This star join query is used to stress the data warehouse because of the aggregation it contains. Our goal is to compare the results found after running this query.

We are interested in the behavior of the data warehouse before and after the double partitioning that we will apply on materialized view.

This query will be used three times:

a - In the initial state of the warehouse, ie with a simple design without partitioning or materialized view.

b - Secondly, we will create a materialized view

c - In the third iteration, we partition the materialized view

After each step, the results are retrieved for comparison.

5.3 Results

Step 1: Simple design

We executed our request on the data warehouse, designed with without partitioning or materialized view. The results found are:

| Memory Occupied by process | execution time | Size compression | processor cores |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 76%                        | 60 s           | 1.53 TB         | 70%            |

Step 2: Materialized view

| Memory Occupied by process | execution time | Size compression | processor cores |
|----------------------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|
| 65%                        | 38 s           | 1.11 TB         | 77%            |
5.4 Results analysis
After double partitioning the materialized views vertically and horizontally based on time and stores, we saw a remarkable optimization of the performance of our data warehouse.

Our method has given results on a logical and physical level such as reducing memory occupancy by 44%, minimizing query execution time by more than 50% and increasing processor cores allocated to this operation.

The comparison curves between the second and third step clearly show the effects of partitioning the materialized views.

6. CONCLUSION AND PERSPECTIVES
To conclude, we have approved by our experimental approach that the double partitioning of materialized views is a suitable solution for optimizing the performance of data warehouses.

Partitioning vertically based on stores and the horizontal based on time allows materialized views of data to perform sufficiently large for queries over large volumes of data.

As perspectives, we will work on several diversified techniques based on double partitioning and materialized views to have further results of optimizing the performance of data warehouses.
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