Integrative approach detects natural hybridization of sympatric lambaris species and emergence of infertile hybrids
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Despite its relevance for ecology, evolution and conservation of species, natural hybridization and hybrids biology are still poorly studied in freshwater fish. Here, we tested the hypothesis that sympatric species *Astyanax paranae* and *A. fasciatus* are able to interbreed in the natural environment and presented evidence for the first record of hybridization between these species. We analyzed anatomical traits, gametogenesis, reproductive biology, and genetic variations of the COI and S7 genes of both species and putative hybrids. Intermediate morphometric and meristic features were observed in hybrids when compared to *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus*. Overlap in reproductive season was showed for these species, with greater reproductive activity from August to January, but hybrids did not present any sign of gonadal maturation. Oogonia and perinucleolar follicles as well as spermatogonia and primary spermatocytes were found in hybrids, but previtellogenic and vitellogenic follicles, spermatids, and spermatozoa were absent. Moreover, several alterations in gametogenesis were detected, such as interrupted meiosis in both males and females, vacuolated and degenerated germ cells, increased interstitial tissue, and presence of immune cells. Molecular analyses supported the hypothesis of hybridization between *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus*. Overall, our multidisciplinary approach also provides strong evidence that hybrids are infertile.

Hybridization consists of the crossing of groups or individuals that differ genetically, including crosses between lineages of the same species - intraspecific or between individuals of different species – interspecific¹. In general, the hybridization is considered more common in plants than animals² but is more frequent in fish than in other vertebrates³. In freshwater fish, hybridization is favored by ecophysiological attributes, such as external fertilization, competition for spawning territories, poor mechanisms of reproductive isolation, unequal abundance of the parental species, susceptibility to contact between individuals who have recently diverged, as well as cohabitation in limited environments³⁻⁵.

Although natural hybridization is an event considered rare historically, it is relatively common, especially in groups such as fish and amphibians⁶⁻⁹. Natural hybridization is also related to the evolution of species, since the transfer of DNA from one species to the genomic set of another species by repeated backcrossing between hybrids and parental species (introgressive hybridization) may constitute a considerable source of genetic variability in populations⁶. It is estimated that at least 10% of the animal species can undergo natural interspecific hybridization⁶.

In general, interspecific hybrids have intermediate morphological characteristics compared to parental species¹ and have historically been identified by morphometric and meristic analyses⁴. With the advancement of molecular biology techniques, identification of hybrids has been based on detection of genetic polymorphism in regions of the nuclear and mitochondrial DNA¹⁰⁻¹¹. In this context, DNA barcoding using the COI gene has been
widely used in molecular taxonomy for fish identification and may be also an auxiliary tool for the identification of hybrids as well as other mitochondrial genes. In addition, the S7 ribosomal protein gene has been successfully used in order to confirm the parental contributions in hybridization studies. Interspecific hybridization is generally facilitated by phylogenetic proximity between the species, since they tend to have less genetic divergence and a similar diploid chromosome number or genome size. However, cross-breeding often leads to the formation of individuals containing extra chromosomes, which do not have homologous pairs and, then, do not allow normal meiotic cell division at the time of gamete formation. Therefore, many hybrids may present gonadal alterations and sterility, characteristics rarely investigated in hybridization studies.

The *Astyanax* genus of the family Characidae is one of the most diverse freshwater taxa of the Neotropical ichthyofauna. These fish are popularly known as lambaris and are geographically distributed from Argentina to the border region between Mexico and the United States. *Astyanax paranae* Eigenmann, 1914 is a more generalist species and can be found in a wide range of aquatic systems, including lentic and lotic environments. *Astyanax* species usually present a long reproductive period with multiple spawning, external fertilization, and absence of parental care. Although they are small fish, the lambaris have ecological and commercial importance, since they are forage species for several predators and are caught in professional and artisanal fishing, possibly constituting protein source for riverine populations. In addition, *Astyanax* species have been indicated as sentinels for environmental investigations of pollutants.

Due to their morphofunctional plasticity, lambaris have a wide geographic distribution, and it is common to find different species coexisting in sympatry in some habitats. Sympathy may lead to ecological and reproductive niche overlapping, eventually favoring interbreeding between related species. Considering the phylogenetic proximity and morphophysiological similarities found among fish from the genus *Astyanax*, it is possible that the overlap of niches culminates in occasional cross-fertilization of the gametes, in a process of hybridization. In this regard, evidence of interbreeding between populations of *Astyanax bimaculatus* from Paraná and São Francisco River basins after deviation of a tributary was reported.

Recent studies on hybridization of freshwater fish species are mainly based on cytogenetic and molecular approaches, with identification of hybrids resulting from artificial crossing in aquaculture, introduction of species, and damage to habitats. The aim of this study was to test, using a multi-disciplinary approach, the hypothesis that the sympatric species *Astyanax paranae* and *A. fasciatus* are able to interbreed in the natural environment. We analyzed anatomical traits, gametogenesis, reproductive biology, and genetic variations of COI and S7 genes of both species and its putative hybrids.

**Methods**

**Study area and fish sampling.** The study was performed in an area of waterfall (22°13′7.04″S 44°32′23.14″W) at the headwaters of the Grande River, southeastern Brazil. The area is close to Itaiatia National Park, an important conservation unit of the Atlantic Forest biome, which has little anthropic influence and preserved ciliary forest.

Quarterly samplings during a reproductive cycle were carried out. A total of 494 lambaris specimens were collected using gillnets (0.8, 1.3, and 1.5 cm between opposing knots). The specimens presented three different morphotypes: the species *A. paranae* (n = 239; MZUEL18646) and *A. fasciatus* (n = 212; MZUEL18645) and the morphotype *Astyanax* sp. – putative hybrids (n = 43; MZUEL18647), which exhibited anatomical features of both *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus*. The fish were identified according to Graça and Pavanelli and deposited at the Museum of Zoology of the State University of Londrina (MZUEL). During fish collection, physicochemical parameters of the water were obtained in each period using a Horiba U-51 multiparameter probe.

In the field, fish caught alive were euthanized by immersion in eugenol 85 mg/L, and then the biometric data of each specimen were obtained. All the indicated procedures followed the principles established by the Brazilian College of Animal Experimentation (COBEA). The study was approved by the State Forestry Institute (IEF - fishing license no. 153) and the Ethics Committee on Animal Use of the Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil (CEUA-UFMG, protocol no. 92).

**Morphometric and meristic analyses.** A total of 21 specimens were examined, seven each of *A. paranae*, *A. fasciatus*, and putative hybrids. All specimens were collected and preserved together. Counts and measurements followed Fink and Weitzman, except for longitudinal scale rows above lateral line, counted from the middorsal scale row to lateral line, not including the scale of the middorsal row immediately anterior to dorsal-fin origin or the small scale on dorsal-fin base; and scale rows below lateral line, counted from lateral line to pelvic-fin origin, the half scale was only counted when the scale immediately anterior to pelvic-fin origin had at least half height above pelvic-fin insertion. Pored scales of lateral line included pored scales on base of caudal-fin rays. Differences in body shape among samples were determined by analyzing the morphological traits through principal component analysis (PCA) using PAST software. Data were log transformed prior to analysis.

**Biological indexes and fecundity.** Total length (TL), body weight (BW), and gonads weight (GW) were obtained using a caliper with accuracy of 0.01 cm and an analytical balance, accurate to 0.01 g. These biometric data were used to calculate the biological indices: gonadosomatic (GSI = 100 GW/BW) and Fulton condition factor (K = 100 BW/TL^3). The stages of gonadal maturation were determined based on macroscopic and microscopic characteristics of the gonads and GSI variations. To estimate fecundity, samples from the middle region of mature ovaries were collected during the reproductive season peak (January). The samples (n = 20 *A. paranae* and 20 *A. fasciatus*) were weighed and fixed in Gilson’s fluid (100 mL 60% of ethyl alcohol, 880 mL of distilled water, 15 mL of 80% nitric acid, 18 mL of glacial acetic...
acid and 20 g of mercuric chloride). The dissociated vitellogenic oocytes were separated and counted under a stereoscopic microscope. The number of oocytes per gram of ovary was determined and used to calculate batch fecundity based on total ovary weight. Relative fecundity was estimated from the number of vitellogenic oocytes in the ovaries per unit of gonadal weight (GW).

**Light and transmission electron microscopy.** For histological analyses of gametogenesis and gonadal maturation, samples from the middle region of the gonads of each fish were fixed in Bouin’s fluid (75 ml of saturated picric acid solution 1.5% in water, 25 ml of formalin and 5 ml of glacial acetic acid). Gonad samples were dehydrated in crescent concentrations of ethanol (70, 80, 95 and 100%), embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μm thickness, and stained with hematoxylin-eosin (HE) and Gomori trichrome.

For ultrastructure analysis of the germ cells of putative hybrids, samples of the gonads were fixed in modified Karnovsky’s solution (2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde). At the Microscopy Center of the Federal University of Minas Gerais, the samples were submitted to secondary fixation in 2% osmium tetroxide and were incubated in sucrose solution with 4% uranyl acetate overnight. Then, they were dehydrated in ethanol and embedded in Epon resin. The ultrathin sections were examined using a transmission electron microscope at 120kV (Tecnai G2–12 – Spirit Biotwin FEI).

**Morphometry of the germ cells.** The diameter of the germ cells in each developmental phase was measured in each species using Axiovision image analysis software coupled to a photomicroscope. Type A and B oogonia (Gₐ and Gₐ), early and advanced perinucleolus (PN, and PNₐ), previtellogenic (PV, with cortical alveoli) and vitellogenic oocytes (V) were measured at 100 or 1000 x magnification from five fish in the ripening/ripe stage of each species, totaling 50 measurements of the germ cells in each developmental phase. The follicular and theca cell layers were not considered for these measurements. Similarly, the nuclear diameter of 50 male germ cells (Gₐ and Gₐ, types A and B spermatogonia, SP₁ and SP₂, primary and secondary spermatocytes; T, spermatids and Z, spermatozoa) from five fish in the ripening/ripe stage of each species was measured at 1000 x magnification. Germ cells were identified according to Quagio-Grassiotto et al.´35, Lubzens et al.´36 and Schultz et al.´37.

To determine the proportion (%) of the germ cells, 10 images of the ovaries and testes of each group (A. paranae, A. fasciatus and putative hybrids) in resting stage were randomly chosen and analyzed with Image J software. Using a grid with 550 intersections, germ cells in different developmental phases35–37 and the following was used DnaSP 5.10.01. For the analysis of the S7 gene, the sequences were aligned using the Geneious R8 software.

**Immunofluorescence.** To investigate whether germ cells in putative hybrids were compromised with apoptosis, we performed immunofluorescence reaction for caspase 3. Gonad samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde solution, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μm thickness, and submitted to an immunocytochemistry reaction using primary antibody rabbit anti-caspase-3 (polyclonal). For antigen recovery, sections were boiled in 10 mM sodium citrate buffer at pH 6.0 for 20 min and incubated with 2% BSA solution (bovine serum albumin) in PBS (phosphate-buffered saline) for 30 min to block non-specific reactions. Next, primary antibody (dilution 1: 100) was applied to the sections overnight in a humidified chamber at 4 °C. Sections were washed in PBS and then incubated with secondary antibody anti-rabbit ALEXA 488 (1: 500). Nuclear DNA labelling was performed using 4,6-diamidino-2-phenyl-indole (DAPI, 1: 500). For negative control, the treatment with the primary antibody was omitted. Sections were examined with a fluorescence microscope (Axio Imager Z2 – ApoTome 2 Zeiss) from the Microscopy Center of the Federal University of Minas Gerais.

**Molecular analysis.** For mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase Subunit I (COI) gene, DNA was obtained from caudal fin tissue fixed in absolute ethanol from 26 A. paranae, 25 A. fasciatus, and 23 putative hybrids. DNA extraction was carried out using the salt extraction method, adapted from Aljanabi and Martinez38. The sequences were amplified using M13-tailed primer cocktails for the COI gene39. The amplification was done using the PCR technique in a thermocycler using 10 μl of a solution composed of 7 μl of ultrapure water, 0.3 μl of dNTP (10 mM), 1 μl of buffer MgCl₂, 0.4 μl of M13-tailed primer (10 μM), 0.3 μl of Taq DNA polymerase (5 U/μl), and 1 μl of template DNA. The PCR conditions used for amplification of the COI gene were: denaturation at 95 °C (2 min), then 35 denaturation cycles at 94 °C (30 s), primer annealing at 56 °C (30 s), extension at 72 °C (1 min), and final extension at 72 °C (10 min). PCR products were bidirectionally sequenced by the Sanger method using an Applied Biosystems, 3500 Genetic Analyzer and a commercial BigDye® Terminator v3.1 sequencing kit. Sequences were compared to reference sequences from GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov): JN 988740 and JN 988739 for A. paranae, and JQ 353543 and JQ 353534 for A. fasciatus.

For nuclear S7 ribosomal protein gene (first intron), DNA was amplified using the primers S7RPEX1F and S7RPEX2R40, and the following PCR conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C (2 min) followed by 35 denaturation cycles at 94°C (45 s), primer annealing at 59°C (45 s), extension at 72°C (45 s), then final extension at 72°C (7 min). PCR products were sequenced using an Applied Biosystems, 3730 Genetic Analyzer. Samples of caudal fin tissue from eight specimens of each morphotype (A. paranae, A. fasciatus and putative hybrids) were used for this analysis.

For the construction of the consensus of COI sequences (contigs), DNA Baser software version 4.16 was used. The alignment of the contigs was done in MEGA 6.0, followed by manual conference and editing. PopART 1.7 was used to construct the haplotype network. Kimura 2-parameter distance (K2P) was calculated using the software DnaSP 5.10.01. For the analysis of the S7 gene, the sequences were aligned using the Geneious R8 software.
Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism software version 5.0 for Windows. Values are expressed as means ± SD or SEM, and the results were considered significant at a 95% confidence interval. As the biological data did not show a normal distribution, they were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test (followed by Dunn's post-test) and Mann-Whitney test (when applicable).

Results
To verify if the water quality was favorable for fish reproduction, we evaluated its physicochemical parameters which were summarized in the Supplementary Table S1. Low temperatures throughout the year and high levels of dissolved oxygen were obtained. The amount of total dissolved solids was according resolution no. 357 of National Council for the Environment, CONAMA, Brazil, indicating water quality suitable for supporting fish reproduction.

Morphometric and meristic data. Sampled specimens of *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* were identified based on several meristic characteristics, including the number of scales on the lateral line, scale rows around caudal peduncle, scale rows above and below lateral line, and the number of branched anal-fin rays (see Supplementary Table S2). These meristic traits were intermediate in putative hybrids. For example, these specimens had 18–19 branched anal-fin rays, whereas *A. paranae* had 14 to 15 and *A. fasciatus* had 22 to 25. The body shape of putative hybrids was also intermediate between *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* (see Supplementary Table S3). The major differences in the body shape of *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* were related to the caudal peduncle length, anal-fin base length, and horizontal eye diameter.

In the PCA analysis, PC1 accounted for 70% of the observed variation, but included only positive values, as PC1 represents variation in size of specimens. PC2 and PC3 accounted for 16.2% and 6.2% of the found variation, respectively, and included positive and negative values, representing most of the body shape variation of the samples (see Supplementary Table S4). In the diagram from the PCA analysis, *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* were found to be completely non-overlapping groups on the PC2 axis with the hybrid population included between these species (Fig. 1). The major variables in PC 2 were: caudal peduncle length and caudal peduncle depth for *A. paranae*, and anal-fin base length and horizontal eye diameter for *A. fasciatus*.

Biometrical data and biological indexes. The body size of the lambaris varied from 7.6 to 14.2 cm TL, and from 6.52 to 38.90 g BW. In general, specimens of *A. fasciatus* were bigger than *A. paranae* (Table 1). Sexual dimorphism in size was observed between females and males of *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* (Mann-Whitney test).
The Fulton condition factor (K) was statistically higher for females and males of *A. paranae* when compared to *A. fasciatus* (*p* < 0.0001), while females of hybrids showed an intermediate value between both species of lambaris. Hybrids specimens did not show gonadal maturation and exhibited very thin gonads and the lowest values of GSI among the compared fish (Table 1). In general, higher GSI was observed in females than males, and the highest values occurred in *A. paranae* when compared to *A. fasciatus* and hybrids.

**Reproductive biology.** To confirm whether *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* reproduce in the headwaters of the Grande River, we comparatively assessed gametogenesis and gonadal maturation (see Supplementary Fig. S1). The relative frequency of gonadal maturation stages revealed specimens in the ripening/ripe stage in most sampling periods, with a higher frequency in the August–October and November–January quarters (Fig. 2A–D), when females had the higher GSI (Fig. 2E,F). Spawned females were also found in almost all periods, thus indicating a prolonged reproductive season with greater reproductive activity from August to January for *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* in the headwaters of the Grande River. Females in the resting stage were more frequent in *A. fasciatus* than *A. paranae* from August to January. Batch fecundity (BF) was not significantly different between *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* (*p* = 0.335) (see Supplementary Table S5).

**Morphological alterations in gonads of hybrids.** Hybrid specimens did not present gonadal maturation, and morphological analyzes showed several tissue alterations, such as vacuolated and degenerated germ cells, increased interstitial tissue, presence of immune cells, and degeneration of organelles (Fig. 3). We observed alterations in the first meiotic division, and most cells had chromatin aggregates close to nuclear envelope, in a typical pattern of apoptosis (Fig. 3A–C,F–I). In these animals, a continuum was observed from tissues that presented some progression in gametogenesis, exhibiting a perinucleolar oocyte or few spermatogonia and spermatocytes spread between the vacuolated cells (Fig. 3A,B,H,I), until fully vacuolated tissue with totally degenerated germ cells, which did not advance in meiosis (Fig. 3E). However, previtellogenic and vitellogenic oocytes as well
as spermatids and spermatozoa were not observed in any of the hybrid specimens. Some immune cells were also observed in the gonads of these animals (Fig. 3D,K). The immunolocalization of caspase 3 occurred in several of degenerated cells (Boogonia in females and primary spermatocytes in males) (Fig. 3F,G). Wide cytoplasmic vacuolization and autophagic vacuoles were also frequently observed in hybrids. (Fig. 4L,M).

Gametogenesis. Germ cells of _A. paranae_ and _A. fasciatus_ had similar diameters in most developmental phases and the gametes formed at the end of this process did not present significant differences (see Supplementary Table S6). The proportion (%) of germ cells in the resting stage (Fig. 3D,K) showed no significant difference in females of _A. paranae_ and _A. fasciatus_. However, the males of _A. fasciatus_ had increased interstitial tissue (34.13 ± 0.86%) and blood vessels (6.69 ± 1.97%) as well as decreased tubular lumen than males of _A. paranae_. In the hybrids, the ovaries had GA (8.11 ± 1.16%), GB (5.64 ± 0.53%), and PN1 (8.88 ± 4.09%), besides histopathological alterations such as increased interstitial tissue (26.63 ± 3.18%) and inflammatory infiltrate (10.19 ± 2.54%) when compared to resting females of _A. paranae_ and _A. fasciatus_. The presence of degenerated tissue (6.51 ± 4.35%), apoptotic bodies (0.09 ± 0.06%), and B oogonia in degeneration (29.65 ± 4.93%) were also
detected in hybrids, which were all absent in the A. paranae and A. fasciatus. Regarding the males, hybrid specimens had intermediate proportion of interstitial tissue and blood vessels when compared to the other two species and a lower amount of GA and GB (8.76 ± 1.70; 5.37 ± 1.08%), as well as the presence of degenerated tissue (3.30 ± 3.03%) and SP1 in degeneration (37.01 ± 6.96%), which were absent in A. paranae and A. fasciatus.

Molecular analyses. After alignment, manual conferencing, and trimming of the ambiguous ends, the COI sequences obtained were 564 base pairs in length. A total of 26 COI sequences were obtained from A. paranae, 25 from A. fasciatus, and 23 from hybrids.

The haplotype network showed the presence of two phylogenetically distinct clades, one referring to A. paranae and another referring to A. fasciatus (Fig. 5). A total of 9 haplotypes was detected in the 74 lambaris samples, and they were numbered from H-1 to H-9 in the haplotype network. The H-10 haplotype was found only in reference sequences for the A. paranae species obtained from the GenBank. Among the haplotypes sampled in this study, two were related to A. fasciatus clade (H-1 and H-2) and the other seven to A. paranae clade (H-3, H-4, H-5, H-6, H-7, H-8, and H-9). The H-2 haplotype was the most representative in this study, being shared by 40 animals, including most of the A. fasciatus specimens. In A. paranae, the most representative haplotype was H-5, which was shared by 15 individuals. The H-6 haplotype was observed in a specimen of the morphotype Astyanax sp. - hybrids, although according to the BOLD database, it belongs to the A. paranae clade. The haplotype network showed that A. paranae and A. fasciatus did not share haplotypes, while hybrids had shared haplotypes of both species of lambaris (H-2, H-4, H-5, H-6, and H-8), even though only showing one morphotype. Seven specimens of hybrids were grouped with A. paranae (Hybrid clade paranae) while 16 specimens were grouped with A. fasciatus (Hybrid clade fasciatus).

The mean genetic distance (K2P) between the sequences of the mitochondrial COI gene ranged from 0 to 2.2% (see Supplementary Table S7). The genetic distance analysis confirmed that the A. paranae and A. fasciatus morphotypes correspond to distinct species, since the distance between these two groups was 2.2%. In addition, the observed divergences between samples and reference sequences were low (0.5% for A. paranae and 0% for A. fasciatus). The genetic distance found between A. paranae haplotypes was 0.171%, while A. fasciatus haplotypes were 0.014% distant. Thus, a DNA “barcode gap” was observed among species of the genus Astyanax, showing that DNA barcoding was able to distinguish these species successfully.
The S7 sequences obtained were 390 base pairs in length after alignment, manual conferencing, and trimming of the ambiguous ends. A total of 196 variable sites were observed in hybrids when compared to *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus*. Hybrid specimens showed well-marked double peaks in their DNA sequencing chromatograms, an evidence of being heterozygotes42,43, with an allele inherited from each parental species. *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* presented fixed differences between each other at these variable sites (Fig. 6).

**Discussion**

In South America, reduction in the abundance and distribution of species, removal of isolation barriers of populations, river transposition, and escape of domestic species from fish farming contribute to hybridization and are an increasing threat to fish fauna9,11,16,20,30. Here, we report for the first time the natural hybridization between the lambaris *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* by using a multidisciplinary approach.

Morphological analysis of putative hybrids showed intermediate anatomical features when compared to *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus*, as expected for hybrid fish34. Among these features, it includes branched anal-fin rays, which is one of the main features used to diagnose *A. paranae* and closely related species, the so-called *Astyanax scabripinnis* species complex44. In Brazilian aquaculture, the common artificial crossing between the catfishes *Pseudoplatystoma corrucans* and *P. reticulatum* gives rise to a hybrid that shows a morphological characteristic with an intermediate pattern of its lateral body spots in relation to parental species45.

In the current study, both species showed sexual dimorphism in size, with larger and heavier females than males, thus corroborating previous studies in *Astyanax* species46,47. The presence of small specimens of *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* in reproductive activity in this study indicates that first sexual maturation occurs early in the development of lambaris. Indeed, Ferreira46 found specimens of *A. paranae* reproducing with a size of 3–5 cm in the Mogi Guacu River basin. Although the hybrids exhibit from 8.6 to 14.2 cm, a length at which most lambaris of *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* species shows reproductive activity, these specimens did not present gonadal maturation. Also, the trend of greater weight presented by the hybrids in relation to the other species may be due to the absence of energy investment in reproduction1.
The frequency distribution of the gonadal maturation stages described in this study demonstrated the overlap of reproductive period between *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* species. In *A. paranae*, spawned females were observed throughout all collections, suggesting that this species reproduces throughout the year in the headwaters of the Grande River, showing multiple spawns. This same reproductive strategy has been associated with *A. fasciatus* in some studies. In addition, the morphology of ovaries and testes was similar in *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus*, as also observed in *A. bimaculatus*, *A. scabripinnis*, and *A. fasciatus* from the Velhas River. Morphological and reproductive similarities between the species studied reflects the phylogenetic proximity and the overlap of reproductive niches (area and/or period of spawning), features known to favor the hybridization. In fact, a confined environment, such as the waterfall lagoon inhabited by the lambaris species of the present study, has been considered favorable for accidental fertilization of eggs of another species.

Histological and ultrastructural analyses performed on the gonads of the hybrids strongly suggest that the first phase of meiosis was interrupted in these fish. Apparently, it did not advance to stages after prophase due to the incorrect pairing of chromosomes and formation of altered spindle fibers in B oogonia and primary spermatocytes, leading to the alterations observed in their gonads. Evidence of this process is that spermatids, spermatooza, and previtellogenic and vitellogenic follicles were not found in any of the hybrid specimens. Chromatin aggregates attached to the nuclear envelope and positive reaction for caspase 3 indicated apoptosis of the germ cells, which was triggered after meiosis failure. Apoptosis can be a common finding in germ cells of hybrids and it was observed in 45% of seminiferous tubules analyzed in mules (crossing of male *Equus asinus* and female *Equus caballus*). Along with the morphological alterations observed in this study, degenerated tissue was formed and immune cells engulfed tissue remnants, while there was a remodeling for the formation of connective interstitial tissue. Despite the above-mentioned changes, some normal germ cells were observed in the hybrids, showing that a few cells advanced in meiosis similar to that found in sunfish hybrids and mules. The ultrastructural analyses showed degeneration of organelles and presence of autophagic vacuoles, with autophagy possibly being related to nutrient recycling to sustain cell metabolism during tissue degeneration. Similarly, hybrids of cichlid fish showed increased interstitial tissue, degenerated cells containing large lysosomes, and apoptotic nuclei.

During meiosis, recognition and paring of homologous chromosomes occur with the formation of the synaptonemal complex and crossing over, with the establishment of chiasmata in prophase in a highly regulated process. Failures during this and other stages of meiosis have been associated with human infertility. Here, we believe that failure in meiosis of hybrids triggered the morphological damage observed in these fish, i.e. tissue degeneration and apoptosis of B oogonia and primary spermatocytes. In triploid individuals, the three homologues align side by side during meiosis, but only two chromosomes are involved in the synapse, and this can lead to the interruption of meiosis. In medaka hybrids, the meiotic cell cycle was interrupted before reaching metaphase I, and when hybrids of both sexes were cultured together, females were able to spawn but the eggs did not develop, and males did not produce functional spermatooza. Also, defects in meiosis in sunfish hybrids led to sterility and production of altered gametes.

COI analysis identified *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* as two phylogenetically distinct clades and the divergence between the haplotypes was greater than 2%, which is considered a cut-off limit for distinct fish species. The proximity of the standard reference value found in this study (2.2%) may be related to the phylogenetic proximity between the analyzed species, a feature correlated to hybridization. In fact, Rossini et al. suggest that *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus* present recent radiation with low values of genetic distance. Although these species are close related, they present different chromosome counts in their karyotypes (*A. paranae* 2n = 50 and *A. fasciatus* 2n = 46–48). Therefore, the interrupted meiosis and sterility of the hybrids found in the present study are probably related to the presence of extra chromosomes, which possibly do not have homologous pairs, preventing the progression of meiosis and, consequently, formation of gametes in these specimens.

The fact that a single morphotype shares haplotypes of two distinct species may be an indication of hybridization, since haplotypes have uniparental inheritance. Thus, the specimens of morphotype *Astyanax* sp. may be considered hybrids resulting from the crossing between the lambaris species *A. paranae* and *A. fasciatus*. Considering that COI is a mitochondrial gene and its inheritance is maternal, this crossing could be bidirectional: females of *A. paranae* with males of *A. fasciatus* or males of *A. paranae* with females of *A. fasciatus*, since the inheritance was associated with both species.

Corroborating the COI data, analysis of S7 nuclear gene evidenced the hybridization process, since all the specimens of *Astyanax* sp. morphotype were heterozygous and showed several sites of double peaks within their DNA sequencing chromatograms, a peak from each pure parental species. Moreover, all variation found within the S7 fragment of the hybrids analyzed in this study was limited to the variability presented by DNA sequencing chromatograms, a peak from each pure parental species. Moreover, all variation found within specimens of *Astyanax* species, a feature correlated to hybridization. In fact, Rossini et al. highlight the data of the reproductive biology and germ cells morphology that strongly corroborate the molecular data and, in addition, suggest that hybrids are infertile. Thus, integrating data on anatomy, reproductive biology, gonadal morphology, and mitochondrial and nuclear DNA, the present study provides strong evidence of natural hybridization between two species of lambaris. Assessment of hybridization markers, including morphological and genetic issues, is of great relevance to improve the knowledge on conservation status of the phylogenetically close species that exhibit reproductive niche overlap in natural environments.
Data Availability

The datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request. The sequences obtained in this study were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers MH626656-MH626729 and MK318200-MK318216.
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