Abstract. Previous studies have revealed that long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) function as crucial regulators in various biological processes, including tumorigenesis. Although the expression of lncRNA TP73-antisense RNA1 (AS1) has been identified in hepatocellular carcinoma and glioma, the biological function of TP73-AS1 in gastric cancer (GC) remains unclear. Thus, the present study employed a comprehensive analysis on the function of lncRNA TP73-AS1 in GC. The aim of the present study was to determine the clinical significance and biological function of TP73-AS1 in human GC tissues and cells. Additionally, the expression of TP73-AS1 was increased in GC tissues and cell lines and increased expression level of TP73-AS1 was associated with poor prognosis in patients with GC. Functional assays revealed that silencing of TP73-AS1 may suppress cell proliferation and enhance the chemotherapeutic response of GC cells to cisplatin through targeting the high mobility group 1/receptor for advanced glycation endproducts signaling pathway. Collectively, the results of the present study demonstrated that TP73-AS1 may be a novel lncRNA for the clinical prognosis of GC and a potential therapeutic target for the treatment of GC.

Introduction

Gastric cancer (GC) is the third leading cause of cancer-associated mortality worldwide (1-3). Due to the lack of effective techniques for early diagnosis, the majority the patients with GC are diagnosed at late stages of GC. Despite advances in the diagnosis and treatment of GC, the 5-year overall survival rate of patients with GC remains low (4). Chemotherapy is the primary treatment for GC. However, chemoresistance remains to be a major obstacle for the clinical treatment of the disease.

Materials and methods

Clinical tissues. A total of 58 patients with GC underwent surgery at the Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University (Guangdong, China) and were enrolled in the present study. In total, 58 pairs of GC tissues and adjacent non-tumor tissues were collected.

Therefore, investigating the molecular mechanism underlying chemoresistance is essential for effective treatments in patients with GC. Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs, >200 nucleotides in length) are dysregulated in various human diseases and disorders, including cancer (5-13). LncRNA metastasis-associated lung adenocarcinoma transcript 1 promotes the development of hepatocellular carcinoma by upregulating serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 1 and activating mammalian target of rapamycin (14). LncRNA FEZF1 antisense RNA1 (AS1) may repress the expression of p21 and promote the proliferation of GC cells through lysine-specific demethylase 1-mediated H3K4 dimethylation (15). LncRNA SPRY4-intronic transcript 1 may lead to microRNA-101-3p-mediated proliferation and metastasis of bladder cancer cells through upregulating enhancer of zeste homolog 2 (16). These studies suggest that IncRNAs may be involved in tumor development and progression.

P73 antisense RNA 1T also known as TP73-AS1 or PDAM, is a long noncoding RNA which may regulate apoptosis via p53-dependent anti-apoptotic genes, and may be deregulated in cancer (17,18). To the best of our knowledge, the biological function of TP73-AS1 in patients with GC has not been examined. Additionally, the function of TP73-AS1 in cisplatin resistance of GC remains unclear.

Reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) analysis was conducted to detect the expression levels of TP73-AS1 in GC tissues and cell lines. Following transfection, loss-of-function assays were conducted in GC cells, to measure the effects of silenced TP73-AS1 on cell growth and the chemosensitivity of GC cells. Mechanism experiments were performed to examine the functional mechanism underlying TP73-AS1 and mobility group 1 (HMGB1)/receptor for advanced glycation endproducts (RAGE) signaling pathway in GC; therefore, the study investigated the function and mechanism underlying TP73-AS1 in GC.
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between September 2008 and September 2011 and stored at -80°C. Patients who were not diagnosed with gastric cancer were excluded from the present study. Patients who received previous treatment were excluded from this study. The clinicopathological characteristics of patients with GC are presented in Table I. Tumor differentiation was defined based on the cellular differentiation degree, which may be divided into three grades including well differentiation, moderate differentiation and poor differentiation (19). The present study was approved by the Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, the First Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-Sen University (Guangdong, China). Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Cell culture.** GC cell lines, including AGS, SGC-7901, BGC-823 and MGC-803 and a normal gastric epithelial cell line (GES-1) were obtained from the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Shanghai, China). The cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc., Waltham, MA, USA) medium supplemented with 10% of fetal bovine serum (FBS; Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂.

**Plasmid construction and transfection.** The full-length TP73-AS1 sequence was synthesized and then sub-cloned into pcDNA3.1 vector (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) to construct the pcDNA3.1-TP73-AS1 vector. The blank vector was obtained from the Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.. Subsequently, the pcDNA3.1-TP73-AS1 vector (2 µg) or the empty vector (2 µg) was transfected into the cells using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) in Hybridoma serum-free medium (Gibco, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's instructions.

The primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) were as follows: TP73-AS1, 5'-TCA GGT TCG TAA CGG TGC GTT-3' (forward) and 5'-TCGTATCTCCGACCTCCTCCC-3' (reverse). The empty pcDNA3.1 vector was used as a negative control. The small interfering RNA (siRNA) sequence for TP73-AS1 was as follows: 5'-CCTGCTGCCTCTCCAAAGACGTC TATTA-3'. The plasmid of pcDNA/TP73-AS1 was transfected into GES-1 cells (90%) at a density of 0.8x10⁴ cells into each well. At 4 h, the medium was removed and 100 µl DMSO was added into each well. Absorbance values were determined using a microplate reader at a wavelength of 560 nm. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**RNA extraction and RT-qPCR.** Total RNA was isolated from cells and tissues using TRIzol reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol. According to the manufacturer's protocols, PrimeScript™ RTMaster Mix (Takara Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Dalian, China) was used to reverse transcribe RNA to cDNA. RT-qPCR was performed using random primers from Augt DNA-Syn Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). Real time PCR conditions were: 1 cycle of 2 min at 50°C; 1 cycle of 10 min at 95°C; and 40 cycles of 15 sec at 95°C and 1 min at 60°C. RT-qPCR was performed using ABI 7300 Real-time PCR system and Power SYBR-Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocol. The primers were as follows: TP73-AS1, 5'-CCGGTTTTCCAG TTCTTGACAC-3' (forward) and 5'-GCCTCACAGGAAAAC TTCATGCG-3' (reverse); GAPDH, 5'-GTCAACGGATTGGT CTGTATT-3' (forward) and 5'-GCTTCTGGGTGGCAGT GAT-3' (reverse). Relative expression levels were determined using the 2²ΔCq method (20). StepOne™ Software Version 2.1 (Applied Biosystems; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) was utilized to analyze Cq value. GAPDH was used as the internal reference. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Cell viability.** GC cells (3-6x10⁴) were incubated at 37°C in a 96-well plate in DMEM (200 µl/well) in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂ for 24-72 h and 20 µl MTT solution (5 mg/ml; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) was added into each well. At 4 h, the culture medium was discarded and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; 150 µl) (Merck KGaA) was added into each well and mixed for 10 min to dissolve crystallization. Absorbance values were determined using a microplate reader at a wavelength of 570 nm at indicated time points (12, 24, 48, 72 and 96 h). All experiments were performed in triplicate. The chemosensitivity was determined using an MTT assay (5 mg/ml; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Cells were cultured in 96-well plates and were treated with cisplatin (0, 5, 10, 15 and 20 µM/ml; BioVision, Inc., Milpitas, CA, USA). At 48 h post-treatment, MTT solution was added into each well. At 4 h, the medium was removed and 100 µl DMSO was added into each well. Absorbance values were determined using a microplate reader at a wavelength of 560 nm. All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Colony formation assay.** Cells (500 cells/well) were plated in 6-well plates and incubated in RPMI-1640 (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) supplemented with 10% of FBS at 37°C for 2 weeks. Following incubation, cells were fixed with 4% methanol for 15 min at room temperature and stained with 0.1% of crystal violet at room temperature for 30 min. The number of visible colonies was counted manually using an Olympus optical microscope (DSX100; Olympus Corporation, Tokyo, Japan).

**Flow cytometric analysis of apoptosis.** Cells were transfected with indicated plasmids (pcDNA/TP73-AS1) or negative control for 48 h as aforementioned. Cells were stained using an Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)/propidium iodide (PI) kit (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were analyzed using a flow cytometer and CellQuest software version 0.9.3.1 (BD Biosciences). All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Flow cytometric analysis of cell cycle distribution.** Cells were collected at 48 h post-transfection, washed with ice-cold phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). Following this, the cells were fixed with 70% ethanol at 4°C for 2 h. Fixed cells were rehydrated in PBS for 10 min and then were incubated in RNase A (1 mg/ml) for 30 min at 37°C, and stained with PI/RNase (1 ml) at 4°C overnight in a dark place. Cells were analyzed using a flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). All experiments were performed in triplicate.

**Western blot analysis.** Total protein was isolated from cells using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Merck
KGaA) with Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) and stored at -20˚C. Protein concentration was evaluated with the BCA protein assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Each sample (40 mg/lane) was isolated by 10% SDS-PAGE electrophoresis and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride membranes (Sangon Biotech Co., Ltd.). The membranes were blocked with 5% skimmed milk at 37˚C for 1 h. The membranes were incubated with the following primary antibodies: Anti-HMGB1 (1:1,000; ab79823), anti-RAGE (1:1,000; ab3611), nuclear factor (NF)-κB (1:1,000; ab222497), anti-p21 (1:1,000; ab109520), anti-cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK)2 (1:1,000; ab208697), anti-CDK4 (1:1,000; ab199728), anti-CDK6 (1:1,000; ab151247) and anti-GAPDH (1:1,000; ab9485) at 4˚C overnight and with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG H&L (1:2,000; ab6789) at 37˚C for 1 h. All antibodies used in this experiment were obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, UK). The molecular weight of candidate proteins was referred to the Pre-stained SeeBlue Rainbow marker (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) loaded in parallel. The blots were visualized using the enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The results were analyzed with Quantity One software (V4.4.0; Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA, USA).

Statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using SPSS software (version 19.0; IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). The relevant data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD). The Chi-square test was used to assess the association between TP73-AS1 expression and clinicopathological factors. Differences between two groups were analyzed using Student’s t-test. One-way analysis of variance (Least-Significance-Difference post-hoc test) was performed when multiple comparisons were performed. Survival analysis was performed using the Kaplan-Meier method and the log-rank test. Cox proportional hazards regression model was generated to identify factors associated with overall survival through a multivariate survival analysis. Correlation among the expression levels of TP73-AS1, HMGB1 and RAGE in 58 cases of GC were analyzed using Spearman’s correlation analysis. *P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

TP73-AS1 is upregulated in human GC tissues and is associated with poor prognosis. To explore the biological function of TP73-AS1 in GC, the expression level of TP73-AS1 was examined in 58 GC tissues and adjacent normal tissues using RT-qPCR. Fig. 1A demonstrated that the relative expression of TP73-AS1 was significantly increased in GC tissues compared with that in adjacent normal tissues. Then, the association between the expression level of TP73-AS1 and the clinicopathological parameters of 58 patients with GC was evaluated. The mean value of TP73-AS1 in GC tissues was used as a cutoff value and patients with GC were divided into two groups (high expression group, n=27; low expression group, n=31). Table I demonstrated that increased expression level of TP73-AS1 was significantly associated with tumor stage (P=0.001), lymph node metastasis (P=0.008), distant metastasis (P=0.034) and differentiation (P=0.017), but was not significantly associated with age, sex and tumor size (P>0.05). Furthermore, Kaplan-Meier method analysis and log-rank test was performed to determine the association between TP73-AS1 expression and overall survival of patients with GC. Fig. 1B demonstrates that patients with increased expression of TP73-AS1 exhibited a significantly shorter overall survival compared with those with low expression level of TP73-AS1 (P=0.000). Cox’s proportional hazards analysis revealed that the increased expression level of TP73-AS1 (P=0.012; Table II) may be a prognostic factor in GC. These results suggest that TP73-AS1 may act as an oncogene in GC and may be considered as a specific biomarker for poor prognosis in GC.

Knockdown of TP73-AS1 suppresses cell proliferation and increases the sensitivity of GC cells to cisplatin. To determine the biological function of TP73-AS1 in GC, the expression level of TP73-AS1 was evaluated in GC cell lines (AGS, SGC-7901, BGC-823 MGC-803) and a normal gastric epithelial cell line
Table I. Association between the expression of LncRNA-TP73-AS1 and clinical features in gastric cancer.

| Variable                      | LncRNA-TP73-AS1 expression, n | P-value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| Sex                           |                               | 0.113   |
| Male                          | 20                            | 11      |
| Female                        | 11                            | 16      |
| Age, years                    |                               | 0.428   |
| <60                           | 12                            | 14      |
| ≥60                           | 19                            | 13      |
| T stage                       |                               | 0.001   |
| T1-T2                         | 22                            | 7       |
| T3-T4                         | 9                             | 20      |
| Lymph node metastasis         |                               | 0.008   |
| No                            | 21                            | 8       |
| Yes                           | 10                            | 19      |
| Distant metastasis            |                               | 0.034   |
| No                            | 17                            | 7       |
| Yes                           | 14                            | 20      |
| Tumor size, cm                |                               | 0.124   |
| <5                            | 18                            | 10      |
| ≥5                            | 13                            | 17      |

Low/high expression was determined by the mean of TP73-AS1. AS1, antisense RNA1; LncRNAs, long noncoding RNAs.

Table II. Multivariate analysis of prognostic parameters in patients with gastric cancer by Cox’s proportional hazard model analysis.

| Variable                      | P-value |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| Sex                           | 0.459   |
| Male                          |         |
| Female                        |         |
| Age, years                    | 0.494   |
| <60                           |         |
| ≥60                           |         |
| T stage                       | 0.897   |
| T1-T2                         |         |
| T3-T4                         |         |
| Lymph node metastasis         | 0.652   |
| No                            |         |
| Yes                           |         |
| Distant metastasis            | 0.257   |
| No                            |         |
| Yes                           |         |
| Differentiation               | 0.002   |
| Well/moderate                 |         |
| Poor                          |         |
| Tumor size, cm                | 0.602   |
| <5                            |         |
| ≥5                            |         |
| TP73-AS1 expression           | 0.012   |
| Low                           |         |
| High                          |         |

AS1, antisense RNA1.

(GES-1). Fig. 2A demonstrates that the expression level of TP73-AS1 in GC cells was significantly increased compared with that in the normal gastric epithelial cell line. Among the four GC cells, the expression of TP73-AS1 was increased in AGS and BGC-823 cells compared with that in the remaining cell lines. Therefore, AGS and BGC-823 cells were selected for subsequent experiments.

AGS and BGC-823 cells were transfected with TP73-AS1 specific siRNA in order to downregulate the endogenous level of TP73-AS1. GES-1 cells were transfected with TP73-AS1 expression vector (pcDNA3.1/TP73-AS1) to enhance the expression level of TP73-AS1. The results demonstrated that the expression of TP73-AS1 was downregulated in AGS and BGC-823 cells following transfection with si-TP73-AS1 compared with that in the negative control (Fig. 2B). Additionally, the expression level of TP73-AS1 in pcDNA3.1/TP73-AS1-transfected GES-1 cells was increased compared with that in the negative control (pcDNA3.1) (Fig. 2B).

MTT and colony formation assays were also performed. The results demonstrated that the cell proliferation was impaired in AGS and BGC-823 cells transfected with siRNA compared with that in the negative control (Fig. 2C). Additionally, overexpression of TP73-AS1 in GES-1 cells promoted cellular proliferative ability compared with that in the negative control (Fig. 2C). The colony formation ability of AGS and BGC-823 cells transfected with siRNA was decreased compared with that in the negative control (Fig. 2D), whereas an increased colony formation ability was observed in GES-1 cells transfected with pcDNA3.1/TP73-AS1 (Fig. 2D). Additionally, downregulation of TP73-AS1 increased the sensitivity of AGS and BGC-823 cells to cisplatin compared with control-transfected cells, whereas overexpressed TP73-AS1 significantly decreased the sensitivity of GES-1 cells to cisplatin (Fig. 2E). These results indicated that TP73-AS1 may be involved in the progression of GC.

Silencing of TP73-AS1 inhibits cell proliferation and increases chemosensitivity through regulating cell cycle and apoptosis. Flow cytometric analyses were conducted to investigate the effects of dysregulated TP73-AS1 on cell apoptosis and cell cycle in GC. As demonstrated in Fig. 3A, knockdown of TP73-AS1 in AGS and BGC-823 cells induced cell cycle arrest at G1 phase, whereas overexpressed TP73-AS1 promoted cell cycle progression. CDKs are key factors in G1/S phase transition. The dysregulation of the cell cycle may be mediated by deregulation of CDKs (21). To determine the mechanism by which TP73-AS1 may regulate cell cycle, the expression
level of CDKs (CDK2, 4 and 6) was determined. The results demonstrated that CDK2 may be positively regulated by TP73-AS1 (Fig. 3B). CDK2 may serve a crucial function in cell cycle progression and apoptosis and its activity may be regulated by the CDK inhibitor p21 (22). Therefore, the expression level of p21 was evaluated in TP73-AS1-downregulated/overexpressed GC cells. The results demonstrated that p21 may be negatively regulated by TP73-AS1 (Fig. 3B). These results indicated that TP73-AS1 may affect the cell cycle through targeting p21
in GC. Additionally, downregulation of TP73-AS1 significantly increased the apoptosis rate of AGS and BGC-823 cells (Fig. 3C). The levels of apoptosis-associated proteins (cleaved caspase-3 and -9) were examined in indicated GC cells (Fig. 3D). These results indicated that downregulation of TP73-AS1 inhibited cell proliferation and increased chemosensitivity, which may be mediated through the regulation of cell cycle and apoptosis.

Downregulation of TP73-AS1 increased the sensitivity of GC cells to cisplatin through targeting the HMGB1 signaling pathway. HMGB1 is an evolutionarily ancient and critical regulator for cell death and survival. It has been revealed that HMGB1 may activate the RAGE signaling pathway and induce the activation of NF-κB to promote cellular processes (23). Previous studies demonstrated that the HMGB1/RAGE signaling pathway may be involved in the biological function of TP73-AS1 in hepatocellular carcinoma and glioma (17,24). To determine whether the HMGB1/RAGE signaling pathway was involved in TP73-AS1-mediated effects in GC, the levels of HMGB1, RAGE, and NF-κB were evaluated in response to downregulation or upregulation of TP73-AS1. Fig. 4A
demonstrates that the protein levels of HMGB1, RAGE and NF-κB were significantly decreased in AGS and BGC-823 cells following knockdown of TP73-AS1 (achieved by si-TP73-AS1) whereas their protein levels were increased in GES-1 cells with an overexpression of TP73-AS1. Rescue assays were performed to confirm the association between TP73-AS1 and HMGB1. Fig. 4B and C demonstrate that co-transfection with HMGB1 overexpression vector may restore the proliferative ability and the sensitivity to cisplatin mediated by si-TP73-AS1 in BGC-823 cells. Additionally, the expression levels of HMGB1 and RAGE

Figure 4. TP73-AS1 is upregulated in human GC tissues and is associated with poor prognosis. (A) Western blot analysis was used to evaluate the expression level of HMGB1, RAGE and NF-κB in response to downregulation or upregulation of TP73-AS1. MTT assay was performed to determine (B) the proliferative ability and (C) sensitivity to cisplatin of cells co-transfected with si-TP73-AS1 and HMGB1 overexpression vector. (D) Reverse-transcription quantitative polymerase chain reaction was used to determine the expression level of HMGB1 and RAGE in GC tissues. (E) The correlation among the expression levels of TP73-AS1 and HMGB1 or RAGE in GC tissues was analyzed by Spearman's correlation analysis. *P<0.05, **P<0.01. GC, gastric cancer; AS1, antisense RNA1; siRNA, small interfering RNA; HMGB1, high mobility group 1; RAGE, receptor for advanced glycation endproducts; NF, nuclear factor.
were evaluated in GC tissues. The results demonstrated that the expression of HMGB1 and RAGE was upregulated in GC tissues (Fig. 4D), and were positively correlated with TP73-AS1 (Fig. 4E). Collectively, the results revealed that TP73-AS1 regulated the sensitivity of GC cells to cisplatin through the HMGB1/RAGE signaling pathway.

Discussion

GC is a common malignancy in humans and is associated with an increased incidence in China (25). Several studies have investigated strategies for improving the diagnostic methods in GC. Dakal et al (26) revealed that the deregulation of IL-8 may be an important prognostic marker for patients with GC. Due to the lack of effective techniques for early diagnosis, the majority the patients with GC are diagnosed at late stages of GC. Chemotherapy is the primary treatment for GC and is used in patients at advanced stage of GC. However, chemoresistance remains to be a major obstacle for clinical treatment of GC. The molecular mechanism underlying chemoresistance is complex and involves a deregulation of various biological processes involved in drug metabolism and transport, apoptosis and DNA repair (27-32). Despite several advances, the molecular mechanisms underlying chemoresistance remain unclear. Therefore, further investigation on the molecular mechanism underlying the chemoresistance in GC is required.

Accumulating evidence suggest that IncRNAs are associated with various biological processes (32-37). The prognostic potential of IncRNAs has been demonstrated in several types of cancer, including GC. Wu et al (38) demonstrated that increased expression of long noncoding RNA colon cancer-associated transcript 2 indicated poor prognosis of GC. Tan et al (39) revealed that plasma IncRNA-gastric cancer associated transcript 2 may be a valuable marker for the screening of GC. Moreover, Liu and Shangguan (40) demonstrated that the upregulation of IncRNA CARLo-5 was associated with poor prognosis in patients with GC. However, whether additional IncRNAs may be associated with chemoresistance remains to be investigated. TP73-AS1, a lncRNA transcribed from chromosome 1p36, has been reported to be associated with cell proliferation and tumor progress (17,18). Previous studies predicted that TP73-AS1 may be upregulated in glioma and esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and was associated with the progression and prognosis of cancer (17,18). However, its biological function in GC still remains unclear. The results of the present study demonstrated that TP73-AS1 was differentially expressed in the GC tissues and cell lines compared with those of controls, and increased expression level of TP73-AS1 was associated with poor prognosis of GC. Cox's proportional hazards analysis revealed that increased expression of TP73-AS1 may be considered as a specific biomarker for the poor prognosis of GC. Furthermore, cellular transfection experiments revealed that knockdown of TP73-AS1 significantly suppressed the proliferative ability and increased the sensitivity to cisplatin of GC cells. Flow cytometric analysis revealed that downregulation of TP73-AS1 may induce cell cycle arrest and promote cell apoptosis. The results demonstrated that the HMGB1/RAGE signaling pathway was involved in TP73-AS1-mediated function in GC. Taken together, the results of the present study investigated the IncRNA-mediated regulation of chemoresistance in GC and provide a potential candidate for novel therapeutic strategies in GC.
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