First record of microfilariae in *Antilophia galeata* (Aves: Pipridae)
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Abstract

Filarid nematodes are transmitted by arthropod vectors. In the vertebrate host, they inhabit the cardiovascular, pulmonary, and lymphatic system. Although most bird infections are not considered pathogenic, there may be an impact on fitness. Blood smears were performed to verify the intensity of the infection and to morphometrically analyse and describe the microfilariae found in individuals of *Antilophia galeata* captured in a fragment of the Cerrado forest. The microfilariae were photographed, and morphometry analysis was performed using the ImageJ software. One individual was infected (14.2%; n = 7) but with a high intensity of infection (42 microfilariae). It is suggested that the microfilariae found belong to the genus *Eufilaria* spp., since all specimens presented the diagnostic characteristics of the taxon (absence of sheath, pointed tail, and length less than 200 μm). This is the first time that microfilariae parasitising *A. galeata* have been recorded. Considering that microfilariae records are rare in Brazilian wild birds, this record may be useful to support further studies and contribute to the understanding of the conservation of the host species.
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Primeiro registro de microfilárias em *Antilophia galeata* (Aves: Pipridae)

Resumo

Nematódeos filarídeos são transmitidos por vetores artrópodes. No hospedeiro vertebrado, habitam o sistema cardiovascular, pulmonar e linfático. Embora a maioria das infecções em aves não seja considerada patogênica, pode haver impactos no fitness. Os objetivos do estudo foram verificar a intensidade de infecção e descrever as microfilárias encontradas em *Antilophia galeata*. Sete indivíduos foram capturados em um fragmento florestal de Cerrado, para coleta de sangue e confecção de extensões sanguíneas. As microfilárias foram fotografadas e foi feita a morfometria através do software ImageJ. Um indivíduo estava infectado (14.20%), mas com alta intensidade de infecção (42 microfilárias). As microfilárias encontradas pertencem ao gênero *Eufilaria* spp., pois todos os espécimes apresentaram as características diagnósticas do táxon (ausência de bainha, cauda pontiaguda, e comprimento menor que 200 μm). Pela primeira vez foram registradas microfilárias parasitando *A. galeata*. Considerando que são raros os registros de microfilárias em aves silvestres brasileiras, este registro pode ser útil para subsidiar estudos posteriores e contribuir para o entendimento da conservação da espécie hospedeira.
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Introduction

Filarids are threadlike nematode helminths that specialise in parasitizing the tissues and cavities of birds other groups of animals (mammals, amphibians, reptiles). They are transmitted in larval form (microfilariae) by Diptera: (Simuliidae, Culicidae, Ceratopogonidae), or Phthiraptera (Barlett, 2009). Microfilariae migrate from circulating blood and become adults in the cardiovascular, pulmonary, or lymphatic system. After mating, the females release the larvae into the host's bloodstream, where they are ingested by the vector (Barlett, 2009).

In birds, most filarid infections are considered non-pathogenic (Huang, Tsai, Thongchan, Khatri-Chhetri & Wu, 2016). However, in some cases, infections may negatively impact host fitness, with records of body weight reduction (Atawal, Mgbeahuruike & Hammers, 2019), ataxia (Law, Tully & Stewart, 1993), and death (Muñoz-García et al., 2018).

Detection of filarids in wild birds is considered difficult (Barlett, 2009), as finding adult worms usually requires necropsies (Huang et al., 2016). Microfilariae can be found in blood smear scans, which consist of observation under optical microscopy (Silveira, Belo, Rodello, Pinheiro & Braga, 2010), or by molecular methods, such as PCR, which detects the parasite's DNA in the blood of the host bird (Clark, Wells, Dimitrov & Clegg, 2016). However, for the complete description of the microfilariae it is necessary to visualize and measure the morphological structures, which is
Low detection of microfilariae in birds is common, which can be influenced by the periodicity of the parasites. Some species of microfilariae may have their densities increased in peripheral blood at night (Dreyer & Dreyer, 2001). On the other hand, the majority of Passerine birds are diurnal, restricting their captures at daytime (Haas et al., 2011; Sebaio et al., 2012; Brum et al., 2016). Despite the methodological limitations, microfilariae have been found in bird species from all around the world (Atawal et al., 2019; Brum et al., 2016; Huang et al., 2016; Haas et al., 2011; Clark et al., 2016).

The species in this study was the Helmeted Manakin (Antilophia galeata, Lichtenstein, 1823), an endemic Cerrado bird that inhabits the riparian forest understory (Sick, 2001). It measures 13.9 to 14.5 cm in length and weighs 18 to 26.5g (Wikiaves, 2019). It is predominantly territorial, frugivorous, and presents sexual dimorphism in adulthood (Silva & Melo, 2011). In addition, it is considered an important biomonitor of environmental quality in the forested areas of Cerrado (Baesse, Tolentino, Morelli & Melo, 2019).

The objective of this study was to analyse the morphometry and describe the microfilariae found in Antilophia galeata.

Material and Methods

The birds were captured with mist nests exposed in trails between 6:30h and 17:30h in July 2014 in a fragment of the Cerrado forest at the Glória Experimental Farm (18°57’03”S; 48°12’22”O) – Federal University of Uberlândia, in the municipally of Uberlândia, MG, Brazil. The individuals were banded with metal bands provided by the Wild Bird Conservation Research Centre (CEMAVE/ICMBio - Authorization: 3730 – Registry: 359076). Blood samples were collected by puncture of the metatarsal vein using a sterile disposable needle (8 × 0.3 mm) (SISBIO/ICMBio – Authorization: 44901). For the preparation of blood smears (two per individual), the blood was dripped directly onto a sterile microscope slide and was spread with the aid of a second slide. The slides were fixed with absolute methanol and stained in Giemsa solution and phosphate buffer.

The blood smears were examined under an optical microscope at 100x objective using immersion oil. All detected microfilariae were photographed by a camera (Olympus DP70) attached under a microscope (Olympus BX51). The morphometric measurements of the microfilariae were performed with the aid of the ImageJ 1.x software. The size of the scale bar present in the images was selected and used as a reference value. From this, it was possible to measure the total body length, maximum body width, head space, head width, tail length, tail width, and the distance of the fixed points (nerve ring, excretory pore, inner body, and anal pore) from the anterior end of the microfilariae. Fixed values were expressed as percentages of total body length (Haas et al., 2011).

The intensity of the infection was categorised from the entire slide view according to the criteria of Haas et al. (2011), and could be classified into three levels: low (1–10 microfilariae per slide), medium (11–20 microfilariae per slide), and high (>20 microfilariae per slide).

Results and Discussion

Only one individual (14.2%; n = 7) was infected with microfilariae. It was an adult female (identification: E65838 – CEMAVE/ICMBio) with 16.5 g, without incubation patch, molting, and ectoparasites. Forty-two microfilariae were counted, with an average of 21 microfilariae per slide, as 22 were observed in one slide and 20 in another, characterised by a high infection.

The morphological aspects of the microfilariae were similar, all being relatively elongated, unsheathed, usually extended, or wavy (Figure 1). The anterior extremity (head) was rounded and contained a small head space. The maximum width was observed in the anterior quarter of the body, close to the level of the nerve ring, where the location was indicated from a brief disruption in the microfilariae cell system. The excretory pore was recognised as a short, pale lateral opening, as was the anal pore, the second being located near the beginning of the tail. The inner body was visible as an intensely stained homogeneous space, located toward the back. The specimen body gradually tapered to the posterior end, forming a pointed tail, with the cells almost at the tip. The head space and excretory pore were not visualised in four specimens, the nerve ring and inner body in eight, and the anal pore in two. The morphometric measurements are described in Table 1.

Based on the specimens’ morphological characteristics and according to the descriptions of Barlett (2009), it is suggested that the microfilariae found belong to the genus Eufilaria spp.

This is the first record of microfilariae in A. galeata, with a prevalence of 14.2% and a high intensity of infection. In the literature, there are different records of prevalence and intensity of infection according to the host taxon. Haas et al. (2011) found a prevalence of 20%, 8%, and 2%, and with low intensity of infection in Turdus merula, Turdus philomelos, Erithacus rubecula, respectively, and a prevalence of 50% and medium infection intensity in Poecile montanus. Silveira et al. (2010) reported a prevalence of 64% and a high intensity of infection in Thamnophilidae. These variations could be considered common, as the occurrence of microfilariae in birds depends on several factors, such as species, sex, age and host ethology, locality, and sampling period (Kućera, 1981; Haas et al., 2011; Atawal et al., 2019).
The epidemiology of the microfilariae in wild birds of the Cerrado is unknown, as few studies have reported such parasites in wild birds from this biome (Silveira et al., 2010; Fecchio, Lima, Silveira, Braga & Marini, 2011). However, Fecchio et al. (2011) explored the hypothesis of greater exposure to vectors in bird species that build open and higher nests. A. galeata nests are open and can be located from 1.15 to 5.64 meters (Marçal & Lopes, 2019). Previous studies have registered other species of Brazilian birds that build open nests, infected by microfilariae, such as: Formicivora grisea and Formicivora rafa (Silva, 1988; Willis & Oniki 1988; Silveira et al., 2010); Camptostoma obsoletum (Fecchio et al., 2011); Thanmorphilus pelzelni (Silva & Carneiro, 2015; Sebaio et al., 2012); Saltator atricollis (WikiAves, 2020; Brum et al., 2016). In addition, the individual infected in the present study was female, and it is known that the females of A. galeata are solely responsible for the incubation (Marçal & Lopes, 2019). Such behaviour can leave them more exposed to the vectors, as they remain immobile in the nests for long periods. Fecchio et al. (2011) also found higher prevalence of blood parasites in birds with gregarious social behaviour. However, A. galeata is a highly territorial species that lives in pairs (Sick, 2001). Territoriality is associated with increased levels of hormones that can trigger immunosuppression and consequently greater susceptibility to parasitic infections (Edler, Goymann, Schwabl & Friedl, 2011).

Although the pathogenicity of filarids in birds may be mild, the presence of microfilariae can facilitate the simultaneous occurrence of other infections, such as malaria (Clark et al., 2016). In non-adapted populations, malaria can have disastrous consequences, such as mortality and extinctions (Atkinson & La Point, 2009). Thus, knowing and studying the filarids that affect wild birds is important to minimize the chances of co-infections and their consequences, especially in endemic species of conservation interest such as A. galeata.

Benedikt et al. (2009) and Haas et al. (2011) also found that in some specimens it was not possible to visualize certain morphological features, such as head space, nerve ring, excretory pore, inner body and anal pore. According to Silveira et al. (2010), some characteristics of microfilariae are indistinguishable by light microscopy, which may be associated with the technique of preparing blood smears. Therefore, microfilarial morphology key characteristics are better observed in wet mounts than in dry blood smears (Bartlett, 2009).

The identification of filarial taxa from the visualisation of blood smears is considered complex due to the high degree of morphological and morphometric similarities between these parasites (McKeand, 1998). However, the morphology of microfilariae could provide useful clues about the identity of the genus (Bartlett, 2009). It is suggested that the microfilariae found in the present study are of the genus Eufilaria spp. Because all the specimens had the key characteristics of this taxon; the absence of sheath, pointed tail, and length less than 200 μm (Barlett, 2009). In a study of Poecile montanus in Central Europe, Haas et al. (2011) also grouped the microfilariae in Eufilaria spp. due to the shape of the tail. Regarding the species identification of the present study, it was not possible, because adult specimens are needed.

### Conclusion

For the first time, were recorded microfilaria parasitising A. galeata and with high intensity of infection. The morphometric analysis allowed the microfilariae genus identification (Eufilaria spp.). Biological and behavioural factors of the species, such as sex and type of nest, may possibly have contributed to the emergence of microfilariae. Considering that the records of microfilariae in Brazilian wild birds are rare, this work may be useful to support further studies and contribute to the understanding of the conservation of A. galeata and other host species.
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