Investigations on Improving the Compressive Strength of Sand Column with Cement Grout and Chemical Admixture
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Abstract: Grouting is one of the most commonly adopted technique for soil improvement and strengthening. Adding super plasticizers, accelerators, antifreezes, air entraining agent improves the performance of the cement grout. The performance of the grout while injecting in the sand column mainly depends on its fluidity property. Keeping it in mind about the water cement ratio, the strength of the sand column is studied in two sets of experiments one by sand column with cement grout only and another set by sand column with cement grout added with super plasticizers by varying the water cement ratios. Strength parameters like angle of internal friction and cohesion were obtained by direct shear test and unconfined compressive strength test on the specimens by varying the water content. An increase of 15.2kPa to 60.33 kPa was observed in the cohesion value for specimens with 10% water content and 13.8 kpa to 47.2kPa cohesion value observed in the specimens with 20% water content. The angle of internal friction was decreased from 36° to 16° for 10% water content whereas 300 to 100 for 20% water content. A series of experiments were conducted on the sand column grouted with cement and for different water cement ratios as 1.5, 2.0 and 2.5. Another set of experiments were repeated by adding 2%super plasticizer Sulphonated Melamine Formaldehyde (SMF). The experiment results revealed that at lower water cement ratio higher value of compressive strength was observed. It was also observed that the strength increases with curing period.
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1. Introduction

Grouting technique is adopted very widely in various purposes especially in soil strengthening by injecting the grout to seal voids, filling fissures and cavities in rocks also. By sealing the pores it helps in reducing the permeability. Grouting has a wide application in modern civil engineering world (Nonveiller 1989) to strengthen the dam structures, increasing the strength of the soil under the foundation, fixing and sealing of soil nailing adopted in slope stability works, fixing reinforcing elements (e.g. cables) in pre-stressed concrete structures, repair and rehabilitation of deteriorated structures and buildings, Fill voids between rock and tunnel linings (yasilinacur, 2003), rehabilitate and reinforce old defective masonry of historical buildings (Yeon and Han, 1997) fixing reinforcing elements (e.g. cables) in pre-stressed concrete structures, lifting and erection of leaning structures and buildings, Fill voids between rock and tunnel linings (yasilinacur, 2003), rehabilitate and reinforce old defective masonry of historical buildings (Yeon and Han, 1997).

2. Scope and Objective

Sand column being one of the methods of installing vertical drains to reduce the water table, could also be adapted to strengthening measures for weak soil stabilization by injecting cement or lime as grout by increasing its compressive strength and by increasing the surrounding soil on installation. The following objectives were considered in this experimental study:

1. To study the improvement in the compressive strength of sand column with grout by varying the water – cement ratios for its fluidity characteristics to ease injection.
2. To bring out the extent of improvement in adding super plasticizer.
3. Experimental Methodology

1. Properties of materials
   Sand, Cement and Super Plasticizer (SMF)
2. Experimental Programme
   1. Specimen Sample preparations with different water cement ratios
   2. Shear strength parameters from Direct shear test and Unconfined compressive strength test
   3. Results and discussions
   4. Conclusion.

4. Properties of Materials

4.1. Properties of Cement

Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) is used for this investigation. Laboratory tests were conducted and the following values obtained. Specific gravity (G) as 3.15, Initial setting time 30 minutes and final setting time 300 minutes.

4.2. Properties of Sand

River sand was collected from Cauvery River, near Karur district of Tamilnadu for testing. From the grain size distribution curve, the values obtained for the sand is listed in table. Direct shear test was conducted and the angle of internal friction is found to be 35°.

| S.No. | Description        | Values       |
|-------|--------------------|--------------|
| 1     | IS classification  | SP (USBR1960) |
|       | D10 Effective size | 0.24 mm      |
| 2     | D60                | 0.74 mm      |
| 3     | Uniformity Coefficient | 2.90      |
| 4     | Coefficient of curvature | 0.95      |
| 5     | Minimum dry density | 1.58 g/cc   |
| 6     | Maximum dry density | 1.64 g/cc   |
| 7     | Test density       | 1.60g/cc     |
| 8     | Angle of internal friction | 35°    |
4.3. Properties of SMF

Sulphonated melamine Formaldehyde (SMF) is a high range water reducer referred commonly as super plasticizer. It confines the requirement of chemical admixtures specification for concrete GB 8076 – 1987

Table 2. Properties SMF

| Sl.No | Properties            | Characteristic value |
|-------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| 1     | Visual appearance     | White Liquid         |
| 2     | pH value              | 8 – 9                |
| 3     | Chloride content (%)  | 0.03 – 0.04          |
| 4     | So4 content (%)       | 3 – 4                |
| 5     | Density               | 1.2                  |
| 6     | Surface tension (N/cm)| (71 +/- 0.2) x 105   |
| 7     | Solid content (%)     | 92 +/- 0.1           |

5. Experimental Programme

5.1. Sample preparation for Direct shear test

Sample preparation for direct shear test (undrained) with different water cement ratios as listed in the table:

Table 3. Sample Preparations for Direct Shear Test

| Set | Cement Content % | Water content % |
|-----|------------------|-----------------|
| I   | 2                | 10              |
|     | 4                | 10              |
|     | 6                | 10              |
|     | 8                | 10              |
| II  | 2                | 20              |
|     | 4                | 20              |
|     | 6                | 20              |
|     | 8                | 20              |

5.2. Sample preparation for UCC test

The success of grouting mainly depends upon the efficiency of grout to occupy void space and then bind the particulate material. If the cement is mixed with water to use as a grout, then this is in the form of suspension grout. The workability of suspension grout to occupy the void space increases with increase in amount of water. But if the water content is more, then the strength of the grouted sand column decreases. In order to have better workability and also to have higher strength super plasticizer are used.

Hence the second set of experiments are focused to get the unconfined compressive strength of sand column grouted with different cement of water cement ratio without super plasticizer and with 2% of super plasticizer/admixture. The various percentages of cement contents used to stabilize the sand are 2, 4, and 8 at water contents 10% and 20%. Effects of curing period on strength of the stabilized soil are brought by curing the samples for curing periods of 7, 14 and 28 days.

Table 4. Sample Preparation for UCC Test

| Sets | Water (ml) | Cement (g) | ratio | SMF (%) | Curing (days) |
|------|------------|------------|-------|---------|---------------|
| I    | 150        | 100        | 1.5   | 7       | 7             |
|      | 150        | 100        | 1.5   | 7       | 14            |
|      | 150        | 100        | 1.5   | 2       | 28            |
|      | 150        | 100        | 1.5   | 2       | 7             |
A split mould has been prepared to cast the soil specimen for UCC test by keeping the l/d ratio 1:2 as diameter 45mm and height 90mm with cap at both ends having a hole at top and bottom to allow the grout to pass freely from top to bottom. The mould is filled with sand and the grout is allowed to pass from top until it reaches the bottom. After curing for 7 days, 14 days and 28 days respectively the soil specimen was extracted from the split mould for UCC test.

6. Results and Discussions

6.1. Shear strength parameters (c & φ)

The values of angle of internal friction obtained from direct shear test immediately after preparation sand with cement and water in various mix ratios as tabulated in table 3 are plotted in the graph to represent the variations due to the effect of different mix proportions.
It is observed that as the angle of internal friction decrease with an increase of cement content. It is also observed that the rate of reduction in angle of internal friction with variation of cement content from 2% as 45° to 8% as 27° for 10% water content. Whereas for 20 % water content, there is a change in the values of angle of internal friction from 36° for 2% cement and 22° for 8% cement mixed specimens.

The variation of cohesion as obtained from direct shear test with increase in water cement ratio is plotted in figure 4.

The observed values of cohesion from direct shear tests with an addition of cement content by two sets, one with 10% water content and another with 20% water content is shown in fig 4. It is noted that there is an increase in cohesion with increase in cement content from 16N/mm² from 2% cement content and 41N/mm² for 8% cement content for 10 % water. An increase from 32 N/mm² from 2% cement and 42N/mm² has been recorded for 20% water content. This may be due the increase in grout fluid property enabled the mixing of cement paste with sand grains more compared with 10% of water content.

### 6.2. Unconfined Compressive Strength (UCC)

The unconfined compressive strength of grouted sand columns were conducted on the specimens as shown in table 4 after the curing periods of 7 days, 14 days and 28 days respectively. The variation of UCC values are plotted in the graph shown in figure 5 for the sand columns grouted with cement only and the variation of UCC values for sand columns with cement plus 2% super plasticizer SMF in figure 6.
Table 4. UCC Strength of cement grouted sand specimen

| Sl.No | W/C ratio | 7 days N/mm² | 14 days N/mm² | 28 days N/mm² |
|-------|-----------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1     | 1.5       | 74.19        | 152.44        | 231.52       |
| 2     | 2         | 43.38        | 64.23         | 88.54        |
| 3     | 2.5       | 21.81        | 58.03         | 68.86        |

The grouted specimens with low water cement ratio exhibits a remarkable increase in UCC strength for all curing intervals whereas the increase of water content showed a lesser values for the grouted sand columns with cement only.

Table 6. UCC Strength of cement grouted sand specimen with 2% super plasticizer SMF

| Sl.No | Water cement ratio | 7 days N/mm² | 14 days N/mm² | 28 days N/mm² |
|-------|--------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|
| 1     | 1.5                | 276.27       | 389.05        | 451.83       |
| 2     | 2                  | 74.19        | 143.91        | 225.06       |
| 3     | 2.5                | 50.81        | 72.16         | 81.72        |

Figure 6 shows the increase of unconfined compressive strength with cement grout added with super plasticizer Sulphonated Melamine Formaldehyde for the curing periods of 7, 14 and 28 respectively. The UCC strength values are increased with the curing time similar to the specimens grouted with cement alone but a drastic increase has been observed in the case of sand columns grouted with cement plus super plasticizer as listed in Table 6. The sand columns grouted with lower water cement ratio performs well compared with the other proportions.

![Figure 6. Variation of compressive strength of sand column specimen with cement grout only](image)

It is inferred that adding SMF with cement grout is very effective in improving the strength in lower water content ratio. Addition of 2% SMF has improved the workability and facilitated the grout at lower water cement ratio to fill the voids easily.

7. Summary and Conclusion

On the experimental study conducted, the following conclusions were obtained on the sand column specimens with cement grout added with super plasticizer SMF.

- The value of angle of internal friction decreases with the increased percentage of Cement and water.
- The compressive strength of grouted sand column decreased linearly with increased percentage of water cement ratios whereas the sand columns grouted with cement plus super plasticizer SMF showed an increased values when compared with sand columns grouted with cement alone in all water cement ratios.
- The addition of super plasticizer SMF is very effective at all water cement ratios which in turn will improve the compressive strength which behave well in weak soil stabilizations.
As this method of installing sand columns grouted with cement plus super plasticizer is very effective and economical, this could be adopted for weak soil stabilization techniques.
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