A Rare Pulmonary Arterio-venous Malformation with Circular Shunting Due to Inferior Phrenic Artery Supply with Pulmonary Venous Drainage: a Case Report
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Abstract
A rare case of a pulmonary arterio-venous malformation not requiring treatment is reported. An incidental peripheral right lower lobe intrapulmonary pulmonary arterio-venous malformation was identified on computed tomography. On catheter angiography, no pulmonary artery supply was identified. Systemic artery catheter arteriography identified systemic arterial supply from a conjoined inferior phrenic artery with pulmonary venous drainage to the left atrium. Due to this lung abnormality being a systemic arterial circulation to pulmonary venous circulation (left to left or circular) shunt, no treatment was indicated.
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Introduction
Pulmonary arterio-venous malformations (PAVMs) are usually due to an abnormal connection between the pulmonary arteries and pulmonary veins resulting in a right to left shunt. Around 90% of patients with PAVMs have an associated genetic syndrome, most commonly hereditary haemorrhagic telangiectasia (HHT). Solitary PAVMs are more likely to be sporadic in aetiology. Large or multiple PAVMs may cause hypoxaemia or high-output cardiac failure. These complications do not occur with small solitary PAVMs. Any PAVM may result in haemoptysis. It is now generally accepted that all PAVMs, irrespective of the size of the feeding vessel, require treatment due to the risk of paradoxical embolic events (including stroke and brain abscess) secondary to the right to left shunt [1] [2]. Endovascular embolisation is the first line treatment modality.

Case Presentation
A 60-year-old male smoker presented with progressive SOB and a persistent productive cough. His chest radiograph was unremarkable and he subsequently had a chest CT which identified an incidental asymptomatic PAVM peripherally in the right lung lower lobe at the right costophrenic recess (Fig. 1). There was no history of trauma, surgery, endocarditis or severe pulmonary infection (tuberculosis, fungal or bacterial). The patient was referred to the interventional radiology (IR) clinic for consideration of embolisation. CT scan reviewed in clinic by the consulting interventional radiologist was considered to show a connection between a right lower lobe pulmonary artery and vein. Informed consent for embolisation was obtained in the IR clinic.

Pulmonary arteriography was performed via a 5Fr right common femoral vein sheath under local anaesthesia with underwater catheter and guidewire exchanges (Fig. 2a, b). Extensive right-sided pulmonary catheter angiography was unable to identify a PAVM (Fig. 3a). Pulmonary artery pressures were normal. This prompted on table review of an earlier CT scan from 2014 which had better systemic arterial opacification; the patient had undergone this scan for...
unrelated indications of “weight loss? cause”. This identified an abnormal tortuous right inferior phrenic artery directed towards the PAVM (Fig. 3b). Diagnostic systemic arteriography was then performed via a 5Fr right common femoral artery sheath. The inferior phrenic arteries had a conjoined origin with a hypertrophied right inferior phrenic artery supplying the right basal lung juxta-diaphragmatic PAVM with pulmonary venous drainage to the left atrium (Fig. 4a–d). The PAVM produced a left to left or circular shunt. Due to a proximal stenosis in the right phrenic artery and the conjoined inferior phrenic artery origin, significant collateral supply to the PAVM was from a tortuous branch of the left phrenic artery. This led to hypertrophy of the left phrenic artery as well as the right. Branches of the dorsal pancreatic artery also provided supply (Fig. 4a). Following discussion with the patient, a conservative approach was taken given that the PAVM was stable/longstanding, had complex anatomy, had no complications (no haemoptysis or cardiac failure), and carried an extremely low risk of systemic emboli. The patient and his general practitioner are aware of the findings and the need to re-refer to the IR clinic should haemoptysis develop. He remained asymptomatic in the subsequent 18 months.

Conclusions

Cardiovascular shunts are divided into intra-cardiac and extra-cardiac. The commonest extra-cardiac shunts are right to left shunts which include PAVMS, hepatopulmonary syndrome, congenital cardiac disease and post-traumatic fistulas. Left to right extra-cardiac shunts are rarer (e.g. total or partial anomalous pulmonary venous drainage). Even rarer are shunts which do not cross a systemic capillary bed (a left to left shunt) or pulmonary capillary bed (a right to right shunt). These are also known as circular shunts. Anomalous systemic
artery to pulmonary venous malformations/fistulae are extremely rare [3].

Paradoxical emboli occur in pulmonary artery to pulmonary vein PAVMs due to venous thrombo-emboli shunting through the PAVM and bypassing the filter effect of the pulmonary capillary bed. Usually systemic circulation thrombo-embolism arises from the left atrium, left ventricle, aorta or large vessels. A PAVM with a circular shunt might minimally increase the risk of stroke by re-circulating emboli. Thrombus formation in the PAVM sac is rare but could potentially result in a systemic embolus [4]. No documented reports of this occurrence were identified in the literature. The higher flow systemic supply should make thrombus formation less likely in our case than in the higher prevalence right to left PAVMs.

Fig. 3  a Multiple attempts of selective pulmonary artery angiography were performed, none demonstrating an AVM. b Re-review of CT images showing a communication between the right inferior phrenic artery (black arrows) and the prominent pulmonary vasculature (white arrow)

Fig. 4  Angiography showing (a) access into the inferior phrenic arteries (there is a stenosis of the right artery (solid arrow) with collateral arcade from the left artery (dashed arrow)); (b) proximal filling of the right inferior phrenic artery; (c) contrast blush of the AVM nidus (white arrow); (d) draining of the AVM via the inferior right pulmonary vein (white dashed arrow) into the left atrium (not shown)
Rarely HHT PAVMs may have dual pulmonary and systemic arterial supply [5].

In this case, the underlying lung was normal excluding hypogenetic lung syndrome and intrapulmonary sequestration which may result in circular shunts [6]. It is recognised that systemic arteries may supply normal lung with normal pulmonary venous drainage, most commonly at the left lung base [7]. This is not the scenario in this case as there is an abnormal vascular sac (arrowed in Fig. 1).

Congenital systemic artery to pulmonary vein connections, the presumed aetiology in this case report, are rare. Jariwala and colleagues identified 21 cases in 16 papers when they reviewed the literature in 2014 [8]. The majority of these PAVMs arose from unnamed vessels arising from the aorta with one case coming from the left lateral thoracic artery branch of the left subclavian artery. Thirteen cases were treated due to high-output cardiac failure. Four cases were treated with no reason given, one patient died, one paper was not found and the remaining two were not treated. Historically, treatment was with open surgery, but more recent cases were controlled endovascularly. Treatment was refused in two cases identified by Jariwala’s review who were asymptomatic, one a 5-year-old and a 55-year-old. Neither had long-term follow-up.

A similar incidental PAVM in a 52-year-old with inferior phrenic and internal mammary arterial supply but connection to the pulmonary artery rather than vein was reported by Geyik et al. in 2006 [9]. The authors emphasised this asymptomatic PAVM by occluding the draining pulmonary artery branch despite the anatomical connections not intuitively representing a risk of paradoxical embolisation. The authors considered that embolisation was indicated due to a future risk of haemoptysis and pulmonary hypertension despite the history pointing to a congenital lesion which had not caused symptoms in 52 years. The patient in this report is not at risk of pulmonary arterial hypertension as the systemic connection was to a right lower lobe pulmonary vein. Embolisation has risks of pulmonary infarction, infection and damage to accessed vessels. The “first do no harm principle” indicates that a treatment should only be offered where the chances of benefit exceed the risk of harm. The absence of any literature or significant anatomical risk of paradoxical embolism, to indicate that intervention is justified, led us to adopting a conservative observant approach with the patient’s agreement. The patient is under review such that we can report if this strategy was the wrong choice.
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