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Understanding the impact of chemotherapy on the immune landscape of high-grade serous ovarian cancer
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: We quantitatively characterized the change in temporospatial expression of repressive and stimulatory checkpoints across immune cell populations in the tumor microenvironment in a cohort of high grade serous ovarian carcinomas (HGSOC) using matched samples before and after neoadjuvant platinum-based chemotherapy.

Methods: Using retrospectively collected matched tissue samples from 9 patients, cell populations were assessed using multiplex immunofluorescence using the Vectra Multispectral Imaging System (Perkin Elmer). We used multiple panels to assess: tumor (AE1/AE3), T cells (CD3, CD8, FOXP3), macrophages (CD68) as well as immune checkpoints (C3aR, PD-1, PD-L1, LAG3, IDO, ICOS, GITR). IHC staining was performed for folate receptor status. Changes in immune cell populations as well as intensities of associated repressive and stimulatory proteins were assessed pre- to post-treatment.

Results: We observed a consistently high pre-treatment stromal macrophage population which is reduced post-chemotherapy with post-treatment enrichment in macrophage PD-L1 expression. While inhibitory checkpoint expression on T cells was heterogeneous post-chemotherapy, we observed a change in the T reg:ICOS+:T eff ratio which resulted in T reg:ICOS+: cells outnumbering T eff cells post-treatment. Spatial analysis revealed the proximity of T eff cells to T reg: ICOS+: T eff cells decreased post-treatment. We also observed upward shifts in T eff:T reg: T eff cells with retention of immune checkpoints PD-1, LAG3 and GITR. Conclusions: In this unique dataset of pre and post matched chemotherapy treated HGSOC patients, we observed changes in immune cell subsets expressing repressive or stimulatory proteins resulting in immune compositions more favorable to checkpoint modulations, suggesting novel therapeutic strategies in the recurrent setting.

1. Introduction

High-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) is characterized by high response rates to initial treatment with either neoadjuvant chemotherapy (NACT) or primary debulking with adjuvant chemotherapy followed by high rates of recurrence and development of resistance to standard therapy (Bowtill et al., 2015). Despite advances in surgical technique and systemic treatments, ovarian cancer remains the fifth leading cause of cancer related death in women. Novel agents are needed to continue making progress in treating this complex disease.

Immunotherapy has shown promise in some gynecological cancers, such as the combination of pembrolizumab and lenvatinib, with a response rate of 37% (Makker et al., 2019), prompting accelerated approval by the FDA (Center for Drug Evaluation, Research, 2019).
Unfortunately, the use of single agent immunotherapy in ovarian cancer has not yet shown the same success, with the best published response rates of 15% (Varga et al., 2015; Hamanishi et al., 2015) in heavily pretreated patients. However, among patients who do respond, the response is frequently durable (Zamarin et al., 2020).

There is a growing literature on the tumor immune microenvironment (TME) in HGSOC, with most work done in the treatment naive setting (Zhang et al., 2003). Multiple studies that examined untreated tissue samples have shown a prognostic effect for tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) and immune gene expression signatures (Ovarian Tumor Tissue Analysis (OTTA) Consortium, 2017; Verhaak et al., 2013), implying the presence of a substrate for checkpoint blockade activity in some patients. A better understanding of the effect of NACT on the TME could aid in the development of more effective regimens through treatment timing, patient selection, combination therapies or novel. Adding to the complexity of the TME in ovarian cancer, prior work has shown significant intra-patient heterogeneity in immune composition (Jiménez-Sánchez et al., 2020) and checkpoint expression (Blanc-Durand et al., 2021).

We utilized multiplex immunofluorescence to investigate pre-post expression changes of immune checkpoints on T cells and macrophages including: programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), lymphocyte-activation gene-3 (LAG3), inducible T cell costimulator (ICOS), glucocorticoid-induced TNFR-related protein (GITR), indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) and complement component 3a receptor 1 (C3aR). PD-1 expression on T cells implies the presence of a substrate for checkpoint blockade activity in some patients. A better understanding of the effect of NACT on the TME could aid in the development of more effective regimens through treatment timing, patient selection, combination therapies or novel. Adding to the complexity of the TME in ovarian cancer, prior work has shown significant intra-patient heterogeneity in immune composition (Jiménez-Sánchez et al., 2020) and checkpoint expression (Blanc-Durand et al., 2021).

We utilized multiplex immunofluorescence to investigate pre-post expression changes of immune checkpoints on T cells and macrophages including: programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), programmed death-ligand 1 (PD-L1), lymphocyte-activation gene-3 (LAG3), inducible T cell costimulator (ICOS), glucocorticoid-induced TNFR-related protein (GITR), indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase (IDO) and complement component 3a receptor 1 (C3aR). PD-1 expression on T effector cells induces exhaustion resulting in loss of effector function (Barber et al., 2006). PD-L1, a ligand to PD-1, is expressed on tumor and antigen presenting cells including macrophages. PD-L1 binds to PD-1 resulting in immune tolerance. LAG3 also suppresses T cell activation. GITR is expressed on T effector, T reg and T eff effector cells and expression increases with activation. While overall immune activating, GITR can have a suppressive effect through T reg activation (Shevach and Stephens, 2006). ICOS expression on T cells plays a role in regulating adaptive T cell response which can be both anti-tumor through T cell activation as well as suppressive through the increase of T reg cells.IDO modulates inflammation and is correlated with reduced T-cell mediated response in model systems (Mellor and Munn, 2004). C3aR is expressed on myeloid cells including macrophages and was shown in animal studies to regulate T cell mediated antitumor immunity (Martin et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2019 Jul).

In this study we aimed to evaluate the effect of NACT on the TME on the expression and spatial distributions of immune checkpoints using paired tissue samples before and after therapy including a subset of site-matched samples. Specifically, we evaluated changes in expression of inhibitory checkpoints PD-1 and LAG3 on T effector cells after NACT. Stimulatory checkpoints GITR and ICOS were evaluated on T effector and T regulatory cells, respectively. We also assessed changes in checkpoint expression of populations that promote immune activation relative to suppressive populations including PD-1+T eff T reg, LAG3+T eff T reg, ICOS+T reg and GITR+T eff T reg. Finally, we assessed pre-post changes in the proportion of macrophages expressing inhibitory checkpoints PD-L1, IDO and C3aR.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cohort characteristics and selection of specimens

48 paired tumors were identified after review of all patients with HGSOC from an institutional database that included patients treated in the standard-of-care setting between 2008 and 2013 and had tissue samples available before and after treatment. Nine of these patients had adequate residual tumor present in paired tissue samples prior to NACT and at interval debulking surgery to perform multiplex immunofluorescence. All samples were obtained from formalin-fixed paraffin embedded blocks.

Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. Five patients’ pre- and post-therapy samples were collected from the same anatomic site (site-matched cases). All patients were diagnosed with stage IIIc or IV HGSOC and all received NACT with carboplatin and a taxane (either paclitaxel or docetaxel) with a median of 4 cycles (range 3–6). Two patients (22%) had germline mutations in BRCA captured in the course of routine clinical care. Somatic BRCA status was not assessed during this study. There was not sufficient tissue to perform on the remaining available specimens and molecular testing was not routinely being obtained for clinical care during the period patients were being treated.

2.2. Seven-color immunohistochemical multiplex staining

We performed three multiplex immunofluorescence assays to characterize the TME before and after treatment in order to assess T cell exhaustion (CD8, FOXP3, Ki-67, PD-1, LAG3), regulatory T cells (CD3, CD8, FOXP3, GITR, ICOS) and macrophages (CD68, CD3, C3aR, PD-L1, IDO). All panels included a tumor marker (AE1/AE3). 4 µm sections obtained from tissue blocks were baked for 2 h at 62 degrees with deparaffinization performed on the Leica Bond RX following by six sequential rounds of staining, each round including a combined block with primary antibody (PerkinElmer antibody diluent/block ARD1001) followed by a corresponding secondary horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated polymer (PerkinElmer Opal polymer HRP Ms + Rb ARH1001). Each HRP-conjugated polymer induces the covalent binding

| Subject ID | Age | BRCA status | Stage | Type of Chemo | Number of Cycles | Platinum Sensitive | Site Matched | Progression Free Survival (Months) | Overall Survival (Months) | Status |
|------------|-----|-------------|-------|---------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--------|
| 2359       | 68  | Negative    | IIB   | Carbo/paclitaxel | 6                | N                 | Y           | 17.4                              | 46                     | DOD    |
| 0564       | 73  | Negative    | IV    | Carbo/paclitaxel | 6                | N                 | Y           | 15.5                              | 38                     | DOD    |
| 6965       | 45  | BRCA1       | IIB   | Carbo/docetaxel  | 4                | Y                 | Y           | 13                                | 25                     | DOD    |
| 9347       | 60  | Negative    | IIB   | Carbo/paclitaxel | 3                | Y                 | Y           | 12.1                              | 51                     | DOD    |
| 7626       | 67  | Negative    | IIB   | Carbo/paclitaxel | 4                | N                 | Y           | 7.2                                | 49                     | AWD    |
| 7858       | 70  | Negative    | IIB   | Carbo/paclitaxel | 3                | Y                 | N           | 6.5                                | 39.5                   | DOD    |
| 6229       | 58  | Negative    | IV    | Carbo/paclitaxel | 4                | Y                 | N           | 13.2                              | 39.6                   | AWD    |
| 6986       | 50  | BRCA1       | IV    | Carbo/docetaxel  | 5                | N                 | N           | 18.4                              | 27.7                   | DOD    |
| 2220       | 59  | Negative    | IV    | Carbo/docetaxel  | 3                | N                 | N           | 5.5                                | 7.5                    | DOD    |
of fluorophores using tyramide signal amplification. The covalent reaction was followed by heat induced stripping of the primary antibody in citric acid buffer (pH 6.0; Leica ER1) for 20 min at 100 degrees before the next step in the sequence. For each of the three staining panels, the six antibodies were sequentially stained and then were stained with Spectral DAPI (Perkin Elmer) to visualize nuclei and mounted with ProLong Gold antifade reagent mounting medium (Invitrogen).

2.3. Immunohistochemical staining

The FOLR1 primary antibody (Abcam, ab221543, EPR20277) was used at a concentration of 1.07 μg/ml for IHC staining. Immunohistochemical staining for FOLR1 was performed on 4-μm thick FFPE serial sections using an automated staining system (Leica Bond). Antigen retrieval was conducted for 30 min using Bond epitope retrieval solution 2 (EDTA, pH 9.0) followed by incubation of the primary antibody for 30 min. Appropriate positive controls were included in each staining run.

2.4. Multispectral imaging, spectral unmixing and cell segmentation

Seven color multiplex stained slides were imaged using the Vectra Multispectral Imaging System version 3 (Perkin Elmer). Scanning was performed at 20X. Filter cubes used for multispectral imaging were DAPI, FITC, Cy3, Texas Red and Cy5. A spectral library containing the emitted spectral peaks of the fluorophores in this study was created using the Vectra image analysis software (Perkin Elmer). Using multispectral images from single-stained slides for each marker, the library was used to separate each multispectral cube into individual components (spectral unmixing) allowing for identification of all seven marker channels of interest using inform 2.2.1 image analysis software. Images were exported to Indica Labs Halo image analysis platform. Cell segmentation and signal thresholding were performed separately on each case using a supervised proprietary segmentation algorithm and random forest classifier for tumor and stromal identification.

2.5. Statistics

We used the lifelines python package (Davidson-Pilon, 2021) to perform univariate Cox proportional hazard modeling. The significance of intensity changes from pre- to post-treatment were assessed with a t-test, using the distributions of intensities above the threshold to be considered positive for the marker for a specific cell population, for each patient. For example, to calculate the significance in the change of macrophage PD-L1 intensity, the distributions of PD-L1 intensities in CD68+ macrophages, Teff, Th, and Treg. We also modeled checkpoint expression pre-treatment and post-treatment stromal densities including: PD-L1+, IDO+ and C3aR+ on macrophages, PD1+, LAG3+ and GITR+ on T eff and ICOS+ on Treg. In addition to the densities, we modeled pre-treatment and post-treatment checkpoint expression intensities. Only post-treatment stromal C3aR+ and CD68+ macrophages were found to be a significant predictor of PFS (HR = 6.1e-10, 95% CI 7.5e-19.05).

3. Results

3.1. Baseline immune characteristics of the untreated tumor microenvironment were patient specific

Baseline immune characteristics of the tumor microenvironment (TME) before and after treatment are shown in Fig. 1. Prior to chemotherapy, CD68+ macrophages represented the most consistently abundant cell type in both the tumor and stromal compartments before treatment (Fig. 1). CD8+ (Teff), CD3+CD8-FOXP3 (Th) and FOXP3+ (Treg) cells were present in all patients in the pretreatment stromal compartment with significant heterogeneity across the cohort (Fig. 1C). Fewer immune cells were seen in the pretreatment tumor compartment (Fig. 1A and B).

3.2. Post-treatment C3aR expressing macrophage density is associated with progression-free survival

We performed univariate Cox proportional hazard modeling of PFS using pre-treatment and post-treatment stromal cell densities including: CD68+ macrophages, Teff, Th, and Treg. We also modeled checkpoint expression pre-treatment and post-treatment stromal densities including: PD-L1+, IDO+ and C3aR+ on macrophages, PD1+, LAG3+ and GITR+ on T eff and ICOS+ on Treg. In addition to the densities, we modeled pre-treatment and post-treatment checkpoint expression intensities. Only post-treatment stromal C3aR+ and CD68+ macrophages were found to be a significant predictor of PFS (HR = 6.1e-10, 95% CI 7.5e-19.05).

3.3. NACT induced changes in checkpoint expression density and intensity

We evaluated changes in checkpoint expressing immune cells after NACT. Changes were only evaluated on intratumoral immune cells observed.

3.3.1. PD-L1, C3aR and IDO on macrophages and tumor

We observed macrophages to be the most abundant cell type within the pretreatment TME with large variance of PD-L1 expression before and after therapy (Fig. 2a). There was an enrichment in PD-L1+ stromal macrophages in 5/9 patients from pre to post treatment. Additionally, there was a significant change in the intensity of PD-L1 expressing stromal macrophages in 5/9 patients with an increase in 4 and decrease in 1. Significant changes in C3aR intensity on macrophages after treatment were observed in 6/9 patients (increase in 2, decrease in 4). C3aR macrophage expression was heavily enriched in case 2359 from 3% to 70% after treatment (Fig. 2b). No significant changes in stromal IDO expression were observed.

3.3.2. PD-1 and LAG3 on Teff

There was a significant decrease in PD-1 intensity on T eff cells in 2 cases and no significant change in the remaining cases (Fig. 3a). We observed higher PD-1+T eff:Treg ratios after therapy in 4/9 patients and decreases in the remaining patients. Case 6986 PD-1+T eff:Treg exhibited a large increase from 0.06 to 0.42 after therapy. Case 6229 did not exhibit a change in PD-1+T eff:Treg after treatment, but was the only case where PD-1+T eff cells consistently outnumbered Treg cells after treatment. Significant decreases in PD-1 intensity on Treg cells were observed in 2 cases. LAG3 expression was heterogeneous in both the tumor and stroma on Treg cells. Similar to PD-1, we observed increases in the LAG3+T eff:Treg in 5/9 patients, decreases in 3 cases (Fig. 3) and a depletion of LAG3 expressing Treg cells in case 2359. No significant change in LAG3 intensity on Teff cells was observed.

Increases of T eff:Treg ratios with retention of both PD-1 and LAG3 on Teff cells was observed in 4/9 patients. Both the LAG3+T eff:Treg and PD-1+T eff:Treg ratios remained below 1 after therapy, indicating a greater
abundance of T<sub>reg</sub> cells relative to checkpoint expressing T<sub>eff</sub> cells. The remaining patient, case 6229, exhibited no change in the PD-1+T<sub>eff</sub>:T<sub>reg</sub> ratio from pre to post.

3.3.3. ICOS on T<sub>H</sub> and GITR on T<sub>eff</sub>

There was a decrease of ICOS expressing CD8+ T cells in 7/9 patients. There was a significant increase of ICOS intensity on T<sub>H</sub> cells in 3 cases and decrease in 2 (Fig. 4a). We observed increases in the T<sub>H</sub>ICOS+ T<sub>reg</sub> in 6/9 patients. In 4 of these patients, the shift in T<sub>H</sub>ICOS+:T<sub>reg</sub> corresponded to a selective retention of T<sub>H</sub>ICOS+ and elimination of T<sub>reg</sub> cells, resulting in the T<sub>H</sub>ICOS+ T cells outnumbering T<sub>reg</sub> cells. Proximity analysis revealed significant decreases of T<sub>reg</sub> cells in the vicinity (30 µm) of T<sub>H</sub>ICOS+ T cells in 5/9 patients (Fig. 4b).

We observed heterogeneous changes in GITR expression on T<sub>reg</sub> cells after therapy. A significant decrease in GITR intensity on T<sub>eff</sub> cells was observed in Case 6986 (Fig. 4c). An increase GITR+T<sub>eff</sub>:T<sub>reg</sub> was observed in 5/9 patients. Despite these increases, GITR+T<sub>eff</sub>:T<sub>reg</sub> ratios remained significantly below 1, indicating the relative abundance of T<sub>reg</sub> cells compared to GITR+ T<sub>eff</sub> cells after therapy. There were no GITR+ T<sub>eff</sub> cells in case 2359 after treatment.

3.4. Hierarchical clustering showed treatment driven changes in receptor expression

We performed hierarchical clustering on stromal densities of all possible phenotypic combinations (Fig. 5a). The result showed the 2 patients with deleterious germline BRCA mutations (both BRCA1) demonstrate increased PD-L1+ expression following NACT. Many immune phenotype populations including ICOS+ (across all T cell types), IDO+, GITR+, CD8+PD-1+, CD8+LAG3+, and CD8+Ki-67+ exhibited low variance across all cases and thus little to no change in stromal densities between pre- and post- treatment. The CD68+ (both PD-L1+ and PD-L1-) macrophage stromal densities increased after treatment primarily in patients with the BRCA mutations while decreasing in all other cases. The FOXP3+ T cell stromal density increased post-treatment only in case 7626 while remaining relatively unchanged in all others. In contrast, the stromal CD8+ density increased in 6/9 patients. Clustering revealed the majority of these CD8+ T cells lack Ki-67 as well as the checkpoint inhibitors LAG3 and PD-1.

3.5. Folate receptor expression did not correlate with baseline or changes in immune populations

Folate receptors are tumor associated antigens that are frequently expressed in ovarian cancer, with overexpression noted on 70–90% of ovarian cancers (Kalli et al., 2008) and minimal expression on normal tissues. It has been targeted through the use of farletuzumab, a monoclonal antibody against folate receptor alpha, as well as through the use of folate receptor vaccines in conjunction with checkpoint blockade (Zamarin et al., 2020). It is also being targeted using an antibody drug conjugate, mirvetuximab. Initial data has been mixed (O’Malley et al., 2020; Moore et al., 2021 Jun), but trials are ongoing. We hypothesized that folate receptor expression would correlate with immune infiltrate. Overexpression was noted in 6/9 cases (67%). We did not observe consistent changes in folate expression after treatment, nor did we identify any correlation with changes in the TME (Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 1). We also did not observe any correlation between folate expression and baseline immune composition in either the stroma or intratumorally (Supplementary Figs. 2 and 3).

4. Discussion

A better understanding of the TME in ovarian cancer would allow for new approaches to treatment and better utilization of immunotherapy in this disease. Most patients are treated with a first line regimen that combines surgery with a standard chemotherapy regimen of carboplatin and a taxane, but little is known about the effect on the TME. The majority of immunotherapy clinical trials have to date been conducted in heavily pretreated patients, although recent trials are beginning to look at its use in the upfront treatment setting. Unfortunately, these have not yet shown improved response over standard treatment (e.g., JAVELIN 100 (Ledermann et al., 2020).

In this study we performed multiplex staining on paired samples from a retrospective single-center cohort of 9 patients with ovarian cancer who had undergone NACT with carboplatin and taxanes to characterize the TME before and after treatment. We observed that...
Chemotherapy does not cause uniform change in immune cell populations overall, but did cause changes in subsets of markers and in specific subsets of patients (Fig. 5b). We did not observe effects specific to the sites being matched.

The largest component of the stromal cellular infiltrate before and after treatment was CD68+ macrophages (18%–30% of all detected stromal nuclei), which has been previously reported in ovarian cancer (Nowak et al., 2020 May). We observed consistent macrophage infiltration before and after treatment, suggesting macrophage targeting as a novel therapeutic strategy for either initial treatment or in the recurrent setting (Duan and Luo, 2021). Increased PD-L1 expression on macrophages after chemotherapy in 5/9 patients also suggests the usage of PD-L1 inhibitors in the recurrent setting, which have been observed to induce M1 repolarization (Sun et al., 2019; Gubin et al., 2018). C3aR was expressed on macrophages prior to treatment in all 9 patients and was retained in all patients after treatment, although reduced (Fig. 2b). We found post-treatment C3aR expressing macrophages were also found to be associated with progression-free survival using Cox proportional hazard modeling. However, this observation should be considered exploratory due to the size of the cohort. If confirmed in larger studies, modulation of C3aR could also be a novel therapeutic target in the initial or recurrent setting.

Stromal T cell infiltration was considerably smaller than macrophages: T\(^h\)(1%–11%), T\(^{eff}\)(3%–14%) and T\(^{reg}\)(2%–12%). While there was no clear trend of increase or decrease in ICOS expression on T\(^{eff}\) cells after treatment (4 cases decrease, 5 increase), ICOS\(^{+}\)T\(^{eff}\):T\(^{reg}\) ratios were more consistent. ICOS\(^{+}\)T\(^{eff}\) cells were preferentially retained over T\(^{reg}\) cells in 6/9 cases. In 4 of these 6 patients, the T\(^{reg}\) cells were outnumbered by the ICOS\(^{+}\)T\(^{eff}\) cells. Spatial analysis showed fewer T\(^{reg}\) cells in proximity of ICOS\(^{+}\)T\(^{eff}\) cells after treatment. These observations suggest ICOS agonism as a novel therapeutic target in HGSOC. The balance of GITR\(^{+}\)T\(^{eff}\):T\(^{reg}\) also increased in 5 cases, although the change was smaller than ICOS\(^{+}\)T\(^{eff}\):T\(^{reg}\).

We observed that T cells do not uniformly express high levels of exhaustion markers after treatment. However, in 4/9 patients, PD-1\(^{+}\)T\(^{eff}\) cells were preferentially retained over T\(^{reg}\) cells after treatment. Similarly, LAG3\(^{+}\)T\(^{eff}\) cells were preferentially retained in 5/9 patients after treatment. These findings suggest that a subset of patients may
benefit from checkpoint blockade targeting PD-1, PD-L1 or LAG3. However, these may not be the most effective agents for broad use if this dataset is representative of the HGSOC TME landscape. Additionally, hierarchical clustering showed increases in PD-L1 expression among the two germline BRCA+ patients following chemotherapy, which if replicated in a larger data set, could provide a rationale for checkpoint blockade at the time of treatment within this population.

We did not observe a significant trend towards either immune activation or suppression. However, case 6986 exhibited a more immune-activated phenotype. IHC markers in case 6986 suggested an adaptive immune response (Teff cells) coupled with a compensatory suppressive markers (LAG3+ T eff cells, C3aR+ and PD-L1+ macrophages) in tumor and stroma prior to treatment, which intensified after chemotherapy. Case 6986 also had the highest progression-free survival in our cohort (18.4 months). Due to the size of our cohort, this case is merely anecdotal. However, if the case is representative of a small subpopulation, this phenotype might represent an opportunity for patient-tailored therapy. Retrospective analyses of failed all-comers clinical trials would represent a first step towards determining if this case represents a small but consistent phenotype.

Prior studies have looked at the effect of NACT with carboplatin and paclitaxel on the immune profile of HGSOC, but this study utilized a broader panel that includes both T cell and macrophage populations as well as additional markers. Prior work has shown the significant impact that tumor heterogeneity has on the immune environment both between patients and between anatomic sites in the same patient (Brunekreeft et al., 2020). This work was primarily done by looking at gene expression, but more limited data is available on tissue level expression. Additionally, the data available includes much more limited marker panels (Mesnage et al., 2017). A recent study characterized IHC expression of PD-L1, IDO, LAG3 and TIM3 before and after NACT within an ovarian cancer cohort (Blanc-Durand et al., 2021). The study described an increase in PD-L1 overall, but a heterogeneous response was seen in site matched pairs in the majority of paired specimens. Our study adds to a better understanding of the effect of NACT at the protein level because of the depth of markers studied, a cohort that includes site matched pairs, and the ability to assess the temporospatial relationship of the immune infiltrate.
While this study is limited by a small sample size, subsets of patients exhibited changes in their immune composition that may be favorable to novel therapies including checkpoint modulation. This patient cohort was investigated in another study, which looked at changes seen on the RNA and TCR level but did not address protein-level expression (Jiménez-Sánchez et al., 2020). In addition, while the multiplexed assessment of immune cells at the protein-level represents an important step forward in understanding chemotherapy-treated HGSOC, such an analysis does not directly describe the functional characteristics of those cells. As such, this study was hypothesis-generating and requires examination within a larger cohort.
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