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Leukotriene Signaling as a Target in α-Synucleinopathies
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Abstract: Parkinson’s disease (PD) and dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) are two common types of α-synucleinopathies and represent a high unmet medical need. Despite diverging clinical manifestations, both neurodegenerative diseases share several facets of their complex pathophysiology. Apart from α-synuclein aggregation, an impairment of mitochondrial functions, defective protein clearance systems and excessive inflammatory responses are consistently observed in the brains of PD as well as DBL patients. Leukotrienes are lipid mediators of inflammatory signaling traditionally known for their role in asthma. However, recent research advances highlight a possible contribution of leukotrienes, along with their rate-limiting synthesis enzyme 5-lipoxygenase, in the pathogenesis of central nervous system disorders. This review provides an overview of in vitro as well as in vivo studies, in summary suggesting that dysregulated leukotriene signaling is involved in the pathological processes underlying PD and DLB. In addition, we discuss how the leukotriene signaling pathway could serve as a future drug target for the therapy of PD and DLB.
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1. Introduction

Parkinson’s disease (PD) and dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) are two representatives of α-synucleinopathies, a group of age-related neurodegenerative conditions that are characterized by the excessive accumulation of α-synuclein (α-syn), mainly in neurons. With more than 6 million affected people worldwide, PD is the second most common neurodegenerative disorder after Alzheimer’s disease (AD) [1]. Widespread inclusions of α-syn in neuronal perikarya named Lewy bodies (LBs) and in neuronal processes called Lewy neurites (LNs), the consequent loss of dopaminergic neurons in the substantia nigra (SN) and a decrease in striatal dopamine are considered the key hallmarks of PD [2]. Clinically, PD manifests as progressive motor decline involving tremor, rigidity, and bradykinesia, as well as cognitive changes at later disease stages. The presence of LBs and LNs is also the defining pathological feature of DLB [3], a common type of degenerative dementia accounting for approximately 4–5% of the 50 million dementia cases worldwide [4,5]. In DLB, primarily the limbic and cortical brain regions are affected by neuronal damage, which is why DLB is usually more associated with cognitive impairments that precede Parkinsonian symptoms compared to PD [3].

PD and DLB are multifactorial conditions with complex etiologies. Although the vast majority of cases occur sporadically and different environmental factors, such as exposure...
to herbicides/pesticides or heavy metals, strongly influence the susceptibility to developing α-synucleinopathies, several genetic risk variants have been identified. Some of these risk genes are shared between PD and DLB, as well as between familial and sporadic forms of the diseases [6–10] (Figure 1). Not only SNCA, which is the gene encoding for α-syn, but also GBA encoding β-glucocerebrosidase (GCase) is a mutual genetic risk factor of PD and DLB [6,11]. The enzyme GCase is active in lysosomes, where it catalyzes the cleavage of glucocerebrosides into glucose and ceramide. Reduced GCase activity is linked to the increased accumulation of its substrates and decreased lysosomal function, which may facilitate the aggregation of α-syn [6]. Mutations associated with PD, in addition to the α-syn gene, commonly occur in genes encoding for proteins that are part of signal transduction cascades and mitochondrial quality control pathways such as the leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2), Parkin, PTEN induced kinase 1 (PINK1) and DJ-1 [9,12]. An increased risk for developing DLB may be attributed to the apolipoprotein E (APOE) [6]. Under physiological conditions, APOE mediates the transport of cholesterol and other lipids to neurons, but its ε4 allele (APOE4) was found to exacerbate α-syn pathology [13].

As the pathomechanisms underlying PD and DLB are still not fully understood, therapeutic targets are lacking and curative therapies do currently not exist. Thus far, only symptomatic treatment options are available for PD and DLB. Most commonly, dopaminergic drugs such as the dopamine precursor levodopa or the dopamine agonist pramipexole are used [14]. Further approved symptomatic therapies against PD include monoamine oxidase B (MOA-B) and catechol-o-methyltransferase (COMT) inhibitors for suppressing the metabolism of endogenous dopamine, as well as deep brain stimulation (DBS) [15]. For

![Figure 1: Clinical differentiation between Parkinson’s disease (PD) and dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) and common genetic determinants. In the PD brain, aggregated α-synuclein and Lewy bodies (depicted as red circles) are mainly located in the substantia nigra and the brainstem, which is accompanied by dopaminergic neuronal death, causing typical parkinsonian motor symptoms. In DLB, α-synuclein accumulations and Lewy bodies are predominantly found in cortical brain areas involved in cognitive impairments. PD and DLB both feature individual predisposition genes (PD: LRRK2, Parkin, PINK1 and DJ-1; DLB: APOE4), but also share risk genes that influence the aggregation of α-synuclein (e.g., SNCA and GBA). Created with BioRender.com (last accessed on 1 January 2022).](image-url)
Mechanisms that have previously been proposed to contribute to α-syn aggregation and neurodegeneration in PD and DLB include oxidative stress, disruption of mitochondrial homeostasis, autophagy/lysosomal and synaptic dysfunction, endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress and neuroinflammation [10,17] (Figure 2). More recently, a meta-analysis of human central nervous system (CNS) microarray transcriptomic studies identified the downregulation of neuronal energy metabolism, failure in protein degradation and the upregulation of predominantly microglial and astrocytic inflammatory responses as the central modulators of neurodegeneration [18].

**Figure 2.** Structural features of functional and dysfunctional α-synuclein. Physiologically, α-synuclein likely exists in a dynamic equilibrium between an unfolded monomer and an α-helical membrane-bound or homotetrameric structure. Both the overexpression as well as the conformational change into a β-sheet structure increase the susceptibility of α-synuclein to accumulate. The aggregation process involves the formation of oligomeric protofilaments, which mature to fibrils and associate with other proteins to build up Lewy bodies. Under pathological conditions, aggregates of α-synuclein and Lewy bodies interfere with a variety of cellular processes, including mitochondrial and endoplasmic reticulum functions, protein degradation systems as well as with the activation state of microglia. Together, the disruption of cell homeostasis and the proinflammatory response of microglia (via releasing cytokines, chemokines and/or leukotrienes) eventually lead to neuronal cell damage and death. Created with BioRender.com (last accessed on 4 November 2021).

In addition, the possible contribution of inflammatory signaling via leukotrienes, a family of potent lipid mediators, to the development of different neurodegenerative diseases has come to the fore (reviewed in [19–21]).

This review thus addresses molecular changes associated with the pathogenesis of PD and DLB and discusses the potential role of the leukotriene signaling pathway as an attractive target for the therapy of α-synucleinopathies and related proteinopathies.
2. The α-Synuclein Protein and Lewy Body Formation

Alpha-synuclein is a 14 kDa cytosolic protein that is abundantly expressed in neurons and, to a lesser degree, in glial cells throughout the CNS [22]. The protein consists of three distinct domains: an amphipathic N-terminal region, a central hydrophobic non-amyloid-β component region and an acidic C-terminal region. As the native structure of α-syn remains largely undefined, its exact function is not completely clear. Under physiological conditions, α-syn likely exists in a dynamic equilibrium between a stable homotetramer and an unfolded monomer [23]. It is postulated that interactions of α-syn with phospholipids promote the formation of a more stable N-terminal α-helical structure, suggesting a possible membrane-associated function [24]. The interaction of α-syn with membranes might influence membrane shape and induce curvature to facilitate synaptic vesicle budding and vesicle trafficking [25]. Apart from this, α-syn might regulate the release of dopamine and other neurotransmitters by controlling the formation of presynaptic SNARE (soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor) complexes [26].

The conformational change of a monomer into a β-sheet structure causes the transition of the physiologically soluble α-syn into toxic insoluble deposits. In the β-beta sheet conformation, α-syn monomers stack together in a parallel fashion, forming first the protofilament and subsequently the mature fibril [27] (Figure 2).

Even though pathogenic variations of the SNCA gene are rare, several missense mutations, such as A30P, E46K, H50Q, G51D and A53T, are already identified in families throughout the world [6,9]. Nevertheless, multiplications of the entire coding region of SNCA are more frequently reported in familial but also sporadic cases of PD and DLB and are linked to propagating the assembly of toxic α-syn aggregates [6,9]. Furthermore, α-syn undergoes different post-translational modifications (PTMs). Certain PTMs might influence the accumulation process by affecting the membrane-binding ability of α-syn or by leading to aggregation-prone conformational changes [28]. In particular, a phosphorylation at serine residue S129 is the most commonly observed PTM in LBs [29].

Recent findings suggest that pathological neuronal α-syn inclusions may further drive the propagation of LB pathology through cell-to-cell transmission between interconnected brain regions [30].

3. Mitochondrial Dysfunction in Neurons

Neuronal cell death, the fundamental pathological feature of α-synucleinopathies, may directly be affected by the aggregation of α-syn and its interaction with mitochondrial structure and function. Paillusson et al. have shown that α-syn is a direct binding partner for the vesicle-associated membrane protein-associated protein B (VAPB) of the ER [31]. Together with protein tyrosine phosphatase-interacting protein 51 (PTPIP51), which is located in the outer mitochondrial membrane, VAPB couples mitochondria to the ER. This association enables the transfer of ER-stored Ca\(^{2+}\) to mitochondria via inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate receptors (IP3Rs) of the ER membrane and the mitochondrial voltage-dependent anion channel (VDAC). Due to their high energy consumption, neurons are especially reliable on properly functioning Ca\(^{2+}\) exchange as ATP production is regulated by Ca\(^{2+}\) levels [32,33].

In the presence of overexpressed wild-type or mutant α-syn, the VAPB–PTPIP51 tie was shown to become disrupted, which loosens the ER–mitochondria contact and impairs the IP3R-mediated delivery of Ca\(^{2+}\) to mitochondria [31]. The consequent reduction in mitochondrial ATP production in neurons seems to be a driver of neurodegenerative events.

Moreover, α-syn is able to reach the intermembrane space or even the matrix of mitochondria through translocation, most likely mediated by the TOM complex [34]. Previously, it has been shown that the binding of oligomeric α-syn to inner mitochondrial membranes impacts respiratory complex I activity [34,35] and induces oxidative events of the ATP synthase subunit β [36]. Together, these findings suggest that the localization of α-syn to mitochondria entails membrane depolarization events, leads to the excessive production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and facilitates the opening of the permeability
transition pore (PTP), resulting in mitochondrial swelling and increased cytochrome c release, which eventually triggers apoptosis in neurons [34–36].

Furthermore, α-syn oligomerization and the expression of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator 1α (PGC-1α), the master regulator of mitochondrial biogenesis, were found to influence each other negatively in PD [37]. Consequently, the downregulation of PGC-1α often observed in PD might contribute to α-syn-mediated toxicity and the detrimental effects on neuronal survival [37].

4. Dysregulated Protein Clearance in PD and DLB

The effective removal of misfolded and dysfunctional proteins, as well as of deleterious protein deposits, is crucial for the maintenance of neuronal cell homeostasis. Under physiological conditions, protein clearance is conducted by two main intracellular pathways: the ubiquitin–proteasome system (UPS) and the autophagy–lysosome pathway (ALP) [38]. Malfunctions in these pathways are linked to neurodegenerative processes in both PD and DLB, and increasing evidence suggests the involvement of α-syn in the impairment of these two protein degradation systems [38].

Previously, by applying in vitro proteasomal activity assays, researchers were able to show that aggregated α-syn inhibits proteasomal function, presumably through binding to the Rtp5/6S' protein of the 19S regulatory particle (19S RP) [39]. The results of enzymatic assays in postmortem brain tissue are in line with these findings: decreased proteasomal activities were revealed in the SN of PD patients [40] and in cortical areas of DLB patients [41], and these are thus the brain regions mostly affected by α-syn pathology. In addition, α-syn might influence the expression levels of other proteasomal components. Accordingly, loss of 26/20S proteasome α-subunits and loss of the 19S RP were detected in the SN of PD patients, while the expression of 19S RP α-syn pathology unaffected brain regions of the same patients was even increased [40]. In DLB, a reduction in the Rtp6/S8 subunit of 19S RP in different cortical areas was linked to cognitive impairment [41].

Similarly, the dysregulation of chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) as well as macroautophagy is thought to underlie the pathogenesis of α-synucleinopathies. Studies on human postmortem brain tissue have shown the colocalization of the microtubule-associated protein 1A/1B-light chain 3 (LC3), a marker for autophagic vacuoles, with accumulated α-syn and LBs in the SN of PD patients [42] and in vulnerable brain regions in DLB patients [43]. More interestingly, the levels of the lysosomal-associated membrane protein type 2A (LAMP2A), lysosomal luminal HSC70 [42] as well as of LAMP2 [43] are decreased in these brain areas, suggesting alterations of the autophagic flux. Moreover, Beclin-1, a central regulator of autophagy, was found to be caspase-cleaved and consequently inoperable in LBs and apoptotic cells within the SN of postmortem PD and DLB brains [44].

Even though α-syn is considered a cytosolic protein, neurons can also secrete different α-syn species into the brain’s extracellular environment [45]. Secreted α-syn can be detected in human plasma and in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [46]. For the CNS, it is assumed that not only extracellular proteolytic enzymes and astrocytes, but also mainly microglia, phagocytose extraneuronal α-syn [47] to prevent further spreading to neighboring neurons. More recently, Choi et al. have reported that neuron-released wild-type α-syn is cleared by microglia via selective autophagy, which is mediated by microglial TLR4 (toll-like receptor 4)-NF-κB (nuclear factor kappa B) signaling and the subsequent transcriptional upregulation of the autophagy receptor p62 [48].

Indeed, aggregated α-syn also has a direct effect on microglial activity, which is linked to the increased release of proinflammatory mediators [49] as well as an altered clearance process [47].

5. Microglia-Mediated Neuroinflammation in PD and DLB

Microglia, the resident innate immune cells of the CNS, make up approximately 10–15% of all cells in the brain, where they participate in a variety of processes that are
necessary for maintaining tissue homeostasis [50]. Microglia are important modulators of neuronal differentiation and survival by secreting growth factors and trophic factors [51]. In addition, they regulate neuronal activity through synaptic remodeling [52] and are involved in the induction of apoptosis and removal of defective neurons and glia cells [53]. In the healthy brain, microglia are described to appear in a resting state, in which they are stationary and feature a highly ramified phenotype for immune surveillance. Contrary to their name, resting microglia are, in fact, quite active and constantly survey their environment with their ramified processes [54]. Upon the detection of stimuli generated by injury, degenerative events or microbial infection, microglia can thus respond quickly and transform into a reactive state. Reactive microglia change their transcriptional profile, start to produce inflammatory cytokines as well as chemokines and alter their receptor expression pattern to facilitate cytokine communication between cells [55]. Besides their morphological change to an amoeboid shape, activated microglia migrate towards the stimulus and become proliferative and highly phagocytic [56].

Elevated levels of microglia-secreted proinflammatory factors such as Interleukin-1β (IL-1β), Interleukin-6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNFα), and the enhanced production of nitric oxide (NO) and ROS, can cause neuronal damage, especially when microglia become chronically activated [57]. This complex mechanism is summarized under the umbrella term neuroinflammation and is a common hallmark of various neurodegenerative diseases [58], suggesting that neuroinflammation might be the central modulator of disease progression.

Neuroinflammation is therefore an inflammatory condition of the nervous system, mediated by the brain’s innate and adaptive immune system, and can be defined on a molecular and cellular level. Indeed, elevated levels of IL-1β, IL-6, TNFα and eicosanoids are confirmed in nigrostriatal brain regions and the CSF of PD patients [59–61]. In addition, immunohistochemical analyses have revealed the increased expression of inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) in glial cells within the SN of PD patients, which hints at the neurotoxic overproduction of NO and other free radicals, especially in the microglia of the parkinsonian brain [62,63]. Moreover, the possible involvement of adaptive immune responses in PD and DLB was demonstrated by T lymphocyte brain infiltration [64–66], which might be related to the antigen-presenting properties of microglia [64].

The extent to which microglial activation and neuroinflammation contribute to the development or progression of α-synucleinopathies remains a matter of debate. Widespread microgliosis was detected in the brains of PD patients as well as in the brains of subjects with early stages of DLB by 11C-PK11195 positron emission tomography (PET) imaging of the microglial activation marker translocator protein (TSPO) [67,68]. Lavisse and colleagues confirmed increased microglial activation in the nigrostriatal pathway and the frontal cortex of PD patients via 18F-DPA714 TSPO PET imaging [69]. PET imaging using the TSPO ligand 11C-PBR28, however, did not show elevated microglial activation in PD as compared to control subjects [70].

At the protein level, in both PD and DLB, microglia have previously been shown to have elevated levels of the major histocompatibility complex class II (MHCII) in brain regions affected by α-syn pathology, which implies an interaction of microglia with the adaptive immune system [71,72]. In the same brain regions, increased immunoreactivity against CD68, a marker for phagocytic microglia, was found in PD and DLB cases compared to control groups [71,73]. Nonetheless, it must be noted that these findings do not allow us to draw any pathogenic links between activated microglia and neurodegeneration in the concerned brain areas [71–73]. Indeed, a more recent human postmortem study on DLB could not find differences in the cortical protein load for either microglial activation or inflammation markers between DLB cases and matched controls [74]. In this respect, it should be considered that it is still not clearly understood how and at what stage of PD/DLB progression microglial responses become detrimental. For instance, PET imaging of DLB patients revealed elevated microglial activation in early disease stages with mild cognitive impairments, but not in full-blown DLB [68]. Thus, it is possible that the microglial
response is only prominent in the early disease stages of DLB and fades as cognition declines. Similarly, PET imaging results suggest that microglial activity is already increased early in the disease process of PD and then remains stable over the years [67,69].

Findings of current gene expression studies further point out the involvement of microglia in the pathophysiology of PD/DLB [75,76]. Compared to healthy control brains, single-cell RNA sequencing discovered an enhancement of the microglia fraction in the anterior cingulate cortex of DLB and PD [75] patients, as well as in the PD midbrain [76]. In addition, microglia of the idiopathic PD midbrain contain subpopulations that are enriched towards an activated state [76].

6. The Role of Leukotrienes in CNS Inflammation and Neurodegeneration

Leukotrienes (LTs) are a group of highly active lipid inflammatory mediators constituted of five different types, namely leukotriene A4 (LTA$_4$), leukotriene B4 (LTB$_4$) and the cysteinyl leukotriene C4 (CysLT$_4$), leukotriene D4 (LTD$_4$) and leukotriene E4 (LTE$_4$). In the human body, LTs are primarily synthesized by leukocytes from arachidonic acid (AA) via the so-called 5-lipoxygenase (5-lox) pathway [77]. After encountering an inflammatory stimulus, the substrate for LT production, AA, is provided by phospholipase A2 (PLA2) through cleavage from membrane phospholipids [77]. The released AA is converted into 5-hydroxyperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid (5-HPETE) and further into LTA$_4$ by 5-lox. For these steps, the rate-limiting enzyme 5-lox is anchored to the nuclear envelope and activated by 5-lipoxygenase activating protein (FLAP) [78]. LTA$_4$ is then either hydrolyzed to LTB$_4$ by LTA$_4$ hydrolase or conjugated with glutathione by LTC$_4$ synthase, giving rise to LTC$_4$ [77]. The cysteinyl leukotriene LTC$_4$ may further be transformed into LTD$_4$ and LTE$_4$ through hydrolysis [77] (Figure 3).

In general, LTs exert immune responses by binding to G-protein-coupled receptors presented at the surfaces of target cells (e.g., smooth muscle cells, endothelial cells, mature hematopoietic cells [79,80]). A distinction is drawn between two classes of LT receptors: while LTB$_4$ binds to the leukotriene B4 receptors BLTR$_1$ and BLTR$_2$ [81], the CysLTs bind with different affinities to cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 (CysLT$_1$R; LTD$_4$ > LTC$_4$ > LTE$_4$) and cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 2 (CysLT$_2$R; LTC$_4$ = LTD$_4$ >> LTE$_4$) [82]. However, as cysteinyl leukotriene receptors (CysLTRs) share homologies to members of the purinergic (P2Y) receptor family of G-protein-coupled receptors [83], other receptors, such as G protein-coupled receptor 17 (GPR17) and the platelet P2Y$_{12}$ receptor (P2Y$_{12}$R), are also suspected to be responsive to CysLTs [84,85].

The role of LT-mediated inflammation and its effects on different cell types was extensively studied in the pathophysiology of asthma [86,87], but emerging evidence suggests that LTs, their receptors and LT signaling might also be involved in neurodegenerative diseases [19,88–91].

Indeed, the CysLTRs, GPR17 and P2Y$_{12}$R are present on different cell types of the human and rodent brain: under physiological conditions, CysLT$_1$R is expressed in microglia and, to a lesser extent, in neurons [88,92], while CysLT$_2$R can be found primarily in astrocytes [93]. GPR17 is mainly detected in neurons [84] and P2Y$_{12}$R in microglia [94,95]. The upregulation of CysLTRs and/or GPR17 on neurons and glial cells was observed after brain trauma in rats [84,93] and humans [92], as well as on microglia in the brains of old rats [88].

Previous studies have indicated that LT signaling plays a crucial role in neuroinflammation by regulating the activity of microglia and astrocytes. For instance, in vitro experiments have shown that LTD$_4$-induced microglial activation is mediated via CysLT$_1$R [96], CysLT$_2$R [97] and GPR17 [98]. A more recent in vitro study indicates that also LTB$_4$ can promote the activation of microglia via BLTR$_1$ [99]. By contrast, CysLT$_1$R seems to be the main mediator of astrocyte activation [100,101]. It is assumed that glial cells and neurons are capable of producing LTs and that the release of LTs by microglia and astrocytes drives neuroinflammation in an autocrine fashion [99,101–103].
Figure 3. The leukotriene biosynthesis and signaling pathway. Leukotrienes derive from arachidonic acid, which is cleaved from membrane phospholipids by phospholipase A2 (PLA2) and further processed by the key enzyme 5-lipoxygenase (5-Lox) and its activator, 5-lipoxygenase activating protein (FLAP). The intermediate product 5-hydroperoxyeicosatetraenoic acid (5-HPETE) gives rise to leukotriene A4 (LTA4), which is further converted to leukotriene B4 (LTB4) by leukotriene A4 hydrolase (LTA4H) or to the cysteinyl leukotrienes leukotriene C4, D4 and E4 (LTC4, LTD4 and LTE4) by leukotriene C4 synthase (LTC4S). Leukotrienes evoke inflammatory responses by binding with different affinities to specific receptors on target cells. These include the leukotriene B4 receptor (BLTR), the G-protein-coupled receptor 17 (GPR17), the cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 (CysLT1R) and cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 2 (CysLT2R). Created with BioRender.com (last accessed on 4 November 2021).

7. The Leukotriene Signaling Pathway in CNS Disorders

Involvement of the LT signaling pathway in the CNS and its pharmacological inhibition in vivo have previously been described, especially in the context of AD (reviewed in [19]). An immunohistochemical study of the human postmortem brain demonstrated that the 5-Lox enzyme is elevated surrounding neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid beta (Aβ) plaques in the hippocampus of AD patients, where it likely contributes to increased LT production and neurodegeneration [104]. The putative role of 5-Lox in the development of AD was confirmed in the triple transgenic (3xTg) mouse model of AD, in which neuronal overexpression of 5-Lox led to the exacerbation of tangle and plaque pathologies, as well as memory deficits [105]. The genetic ablation of 5-Lox, on the other hand, significantly reduced amyloid deposition in the brain in a Tg2576 AD mouse model [106]. The accumulation of Aβ is thought to be mediated by the increased activation of γ-secretase, which may be modulated by enhanced levels of 5-Lox and its metabolite, LTD4 [106,107]. This was demonstrated in an in vivo study in which the intracerebral infusion of LTD4 in mice upregulated not only the expression of CysLT1R but also the activity of γ-secretase and thus increased the Aβ burden, leading to memory impairments [107].

To date, the role of LT signaling and signaling-related molecules in α-synucleinopathies has not yet been widely explored and data on LT production in humans are missing. Nevertheless, several rodent studies provide evidence for the possible involvement of the LT signaling cascade in the pathogenesis of PD and DLB [89,108,109].

First, the analysis of 5-Lox expression in an α-syn transgenic mouse model of DLB (D-Line) revealed moderately elevated 5-Lox levels in the brain compared to wild-type
littermates [89]. Similarly, higher 5-lox expression was observed in the hippocampal neurons of human DLB patients compared to age-matched controls [89]. Thus, the LT production machinery seems to be induced in α-synucleinopathies.

Besides the above, LTs appear to be involved in neurodegeneration. In the context of PD, the 5-lox enzyme was found to affect the vulnerability of neurons in the nigrostriatal pathway in response to the selective dopaminergic toxin 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP) [109]. In wild-type C57BL6 mice, injections with MPTP usually cause a substantial loss of dopaminergic neurons, as demonstrated by reduced striatal values of dopamine (DA) and its rate-limiting synthesis enzyme tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) compared to saline-injected C57BL6 mice [108,109]. Mice deficient in 5-lox expression were found to have lower striatal levels of TH and DA than wild-type littermates but appeared to be protected against MPTP-induced neurotoxicity, as shown by unchanged striatal DA levels compared to saline-treated 5-lox-deficient mice, suggesting that LTs contribute to neuronal cell death in pathological situations [109].

In addition to the role of LTs in neuronal cell death in the context of α-synucleinopathies, there is accumulating evidence for a role of LTs in neuroinflammation and glial cell activation. MPTP injections caused enhanced expression levels of 5-lox and FLAP as well as increased neuroinflammation, represented by higher immunoreactivity for the astrocyte marker glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) and the microglia marker ionized calcium binding adapter protein 1 (Iba1) in the striatum of wild-type mice [108,109]. Kang et al. further showed the colocalization of 5-lox with GFAP, indicating that nigrostriatal damage by MPTP might, indeed, be related to gliosis [108]. However, toxin-challenged 5-lox-deficient mice show no signs of inflammation, indicated by the absence of microglia or astrocyte activation [109]. Together, these findings suggest that 5-lox contributes to physiologic striatal DA homeostasis but, when overactivated, leads to neuroinflammation and contributes to neurodegeneration.

It is not at all understood how neuroinflammatory and neurodegenerative events are mediated farther downstream of 5-lox activation in glial cells. Amongst the 5-lox metabolites downstream of AA, LTB₄ but not LTD₄ or 5-HETE (5-hydroxyeicosatetraenoic acid) enhanced the dopaminergic neurotoxicity in primary rat midbrain neuron–glia cocultures [108]. Interestingly, neuronal survival could be restored by MK-886, a potent suppressor of LT biosynthesis that inhibits FLAP [108]. Other in vitro studies examining the role of 5-lox in activated microglia provide evidence that CysLTs and their receptors, CysLT₁R and CysLT₂R, are involved in mediating neurotoxicity [110–112]. In these experiments, murine BV2 microglia cells were activated with lipopolysaccharide (LPS) or the pesticide rotenone. The cells’ medium was used for the cultivation of rat neuronal cells (PC12) to evaluate microglia-dependent neurotoxicity [111,112]. Conditioned media from LPS-treated and rotenone-treated BV2 cells significantly decreased the viability of PC12 cells, as measured by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assays. Immunohistochemical and enzyme-linked immunoassay (ELISA) analyses revealed that rotenone-stimulated BV2 microglia not only released proinflammatory cytokines into their culture medium but also featured upregulated expression of 5-lox and CysLT₁R and increased production of endogenous CysLTs [110]. The pretreatment of BV2 microglia with both the 5-lox inhibitor zileuton and the CysLT₁R antagonist Montelukast (MTK), however, counteracted the rotenone-induced microglial activation and cytokine release [110]. In a follow-up study, zileuton pretreatment could prevent the previously observed microglia-mediated rotenone toxicity in PC12 cells [111]. Chen et al. detected increased expression levels of CysLT₂R in LPS-stimulated BV2 cells and were able to attenuate the cytokine overproduction as well as the toxic effects of their conditioned medium on PC12 cells by the CysLT₂R-selective antagonist HAMI 3379 [112].

These in vitro findings give sufficient reason to hypothesize that the 5-lox pathway might be a key regulator of glial cell activation and is consequently implicated in mechanisms of neuronal loss in the context of neurodegenerative diseases. Although the contri-
bution of LTs specifically in the pathogenesis of PD and DLB remains elusive, LT signaling and its inhibition are potential new treatment strategies.

8. The Contribution of Leukotrienes in Autophagic Activity Impairment and Mitochondrial Dysfunction Outside the CNS

Although the impact of LT signaling on autophagy or mitochondria is largely unresearched in neurodegenerative diseases, studies on non-neurological conditions suggest detrimental effects of LTs on the autophagic and mitochondrial system [113–118].

In a rat model of aluminum (Al)-induced chronic liver injury, the 5-lox pathway was found dysregulated, as represented by enhanced activity of 5-lox [113], as well as increased expression levels of CysLTs, CysLTRs and BLTR [114]. Moreover, Western blot analysis revealed the upregulation of p62 under hepatotoxic conditions and downregulation of the autophagic vacuole marker LC3BII, indicating that autophagy is inhibited in the Al-overloaded rat liver. The impairment of autophagy was confirmed via Western blot and transmission electron microscopy in Al-induced human hepatocyte line L02, and blocking of CysLT1R via MK-571 could restore autophagy and reduce the cell death rate [114]. The potential role of CysLT1R in modulating autophagic processes was additionally shown in retinal pigment epithelial cells, in which CysLT1R inhibition by Zafirlukast treatment in the presence of lysosomal inhibitors led to higher LC3BII protein levels, indicative of increased autophagic flux, compared to the untreated control group [115].

Notably, LT signaling seems to affect mitochondrial function at the CysLT1R as well as at the BLTR1 level [116–118]. On the one hand, the intraperitoneal administration of the BLTR1 inhibitor U75302 reversed mitochondrial complex I and II downregulation and attenuated mitochondrial impairment in the myocardium of an LPS-induced acute cardiac injury mouse model [116]. On the other hand, the idea of a possible therapeutic effect of LT inhibition on mitochondria is supported by in vitro studies on human bronchial epithelial cells showing that the CysLT1R antagonists Zafirlukast and MTK stimulate mitochondrial biogenesis via PGC-1α [117,118].

With regard to α-syn pathology, however, the role of LTs in the autophagic and mitochondrial machinery requires more detailed investigation.

9. Targeting Leukotriene Signaling in Preclinical Studies

Reports of beneficial effects through the pharmacological modulation of LT signaling in AD animal models further support the potential of the LT system as a druggable target in CNS diseases (reviewed in [19]). For instance, the administration of the 5-lox inhibitor zileuton for 10 months through drinking water not only reduced Aβ and phosphorylated tau pathology in 3xTg AD mice but also ameliorated their behavioral impairments in the Y-maze, Morris water maze (MWM) and fear conditioning tests [119]. Improved cognitive functions were also observed in rodent AD models by antagonizing CysLT1R via Pranlukast, Zafirlukast or MTK [91,120,121]. The learning and memory decline observed after bilateral hippocampal injection of Aβ1-42 in mice could be reduced by a 4-week daily oral treatment with Pranlukast [120]. Likewise, in an intracerebroventricular Aβ1-42 oligomer-induced experimental AD rat model, the intraperitoneal (i.p.) administration of Zafirlukast for 21 days improved cognitive deficits, as assessed by the MWM and novel object recognition test [121]. In addition, the attenuation of behavioral deficits in the MWM test was observed especially in female 5xFAD transgenic mice after daily oral treatment with MTK for 89 days [91].

The aforementioned studies on CysLT1R antagonism in AD explained the observed improved cognitive performance not only by the attenuation of apoptotic and inflammatory responses [120], including the modulation of microglia and CD8+ T-cells [91], but also by restoring mitochondrial respiratory enzyme complex activities [121]. Considering that impaired mitochondrial dynamics are largely involved in neurodegeneration, the mitoprotective effects of CysLT1R inhibition could be vitally important for the development of new strategies against CNS diseases. Interestingly, Kumar et al. showed that a 2-
week daily i.p. MTK treatment in a kainic acid-induced cognitive dysfunction rat model improved memory performance, which might be traced to the restoration of mitochondrial functions [122]. An attenuation of mitochondrial dysfunction was, inter alia, also observed in a study on quinolinic acid/malonic acid-induced striatal neurotoxicity in rats after daily oral treatment with MTK for 21 or 14 days, respectively [123].

Focusing on α-synucleinopathies, the impact of LT signaling blockage has, in the last few years, also been assessed in vivo using different animal models of PD and DLB. As an example, the inhibition of LT biosynthesis at the 5-LOX level via i.p. injection of the selective FLAP inhibitor MK-886 for 7 days not only attenuated LTB4 production, but also decreased dopaminergic neuronal cell death in the striatum and SN of an MPTP-induced mouse model for PD [108]. Interestingly, a study using human granulocytes suggests that phenolic compounds and flavonoids, which are present in a variety of spices, exert inhibitory effects on cellular 5-LOX activity [124]. This seems also to apply to cells of the CNS, since the i.p. administration of an extract from the pepper Capsicum annuum L. was shown to alleviate the increased 5-LOX activity in the brain of a rotenone-induced PD mouse model [125]. Furthermore, the extract prevented neuronal injury caused by rotenone in the SN, cerebral cortex and hippocampus in a dose-dependent manner, most probably by interfering with LT production via the 5-LOX pathway [125].

To analyze the effects of intervening LT signaling at the receptor level in PD and DLB, recent animal model studies almost exclusively focused on the drug MTK. For this reason, the next section will cover studies with MTK and their findings in more detail.

10. Montelukast as a Treatment Option for PD and DLB

MTK is an approved selective CysLT1R antagonist used for the treatment of asthma as well as allergic rhinitis [77]. Its primary mode of action is the inhibition of inflammatory signaling by CysLTs through CysLT1R. However, MTK also antagonizes GPR17 [84] and was found to possess direct inhibitory effects on 5-LOX in vitro, presumably through binding of an allosteric site, resulting in reduced LTB4 production [126–128].

It does not seem unreasonable to consider MTK for repurposing as a treatment approach for PD and DLB. In this context, analyses of a Norwegian Prescription Database (NorPD) show that patients that were prescribed the asthma medicine MTK lived longer and had a lower chance of developing dementia than users of popular inhalation medicine (mainly inhaled corticosteroids). Moreover, these patients achieved better scores in brain function tests compared to users of other anti-inflammatory drugs. This suggests that MTK may alleviate the age-related cognitive decline [129,130]. As a matter of fact, MTK is currently being tested in clinical trials for use in AD (NCT03991988 and NCT03402503) as well as in PD (EudraCT: 2020-00148-76). The investigation of the NorPD using a regression model revealed a reduced risk of developing PD associated with the asthma drug salbutamol, a β2-adrenoreceptor agonist, which is discussed to regulate the transcription of the SNCA gene [131]. A study investigating the effects of salbutamol injection in guinea pigs exposed to dry-gas hyperpnea challenge found that their biliary release of LTD4 was inhibited [132]. Although this is a very vague conclusion, a link between the reduced PD risk in salbutamol users and a possible modulation of LT synthesis by salbutamol could be considered.

In a 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA)-induced PD mouse model, the i.p. administration of MTK for 7 consecutive days was reported to diminish microglial cytokine release as well as 6-OHDA-mediated neurotoxicity in the striatum and SN [133]. Despite the alleviated inflammatory response and protection of DA neurons by MTK, the treatment did not ameliorate the anomalous locomotor behavior of these mice, as assessed by the rotarod test [133]. Neuroprotective effects were also observed in the cerebral cortex and SN in a rotenone-induced rat model of PD after 2 weeks of systemic MTK administration [134]. Furthermore, MTK was able to attenuate the rotenone-evoked oxidative stress in the rat brain, as indicated by a decrease in nitric oxide and malondialdehyde (MDA) concentrations as well as an increase in the level of the antioxidant glutathione (GSH) [134]. Another study
using a rotenone rat model of PD confirmed the antioxidative effects of MTK after 2 weeks of treatment, as shown by reduced MDA levels and enhanced GSH levels in brain tissue homogenates [135]. This study also evaluated the impact of MTK on rotenone-induced motor impairment and reported improved motor activity in the open field test as well as improved performance in the catalepsy and rotarod tests in MTK-treated rats compared to vehicle-treated animals [135].

Inhibition of LT signaling remains widely unexplored in the field of DLB; however, recently, the effects of MTK have been assessed in an α-syn transgenic mouse model (D-Line) [89]. This genetic model overexpresses human α-syn under the regulation of the platelet-derived growth factor β (PDGF-β) promoter and features neuronal α-syn immunoreactive inclusions as well as cognitive deficits that precede motor impairments [136,137]. The D-Line mouse model can thus be considered a model for DLB rather than a model of PD [113], [114]. Marschallinger and Altendorfer et al. not only demonstrated a reduction in the α-syn load, but also increased expression of beclin-1 in the brains of D-Line animals after daily administration of MTK for 6 weeks [89]. This suggests that MTK might support protein clearance via stimulation of macroautophagy. In addition, MTK-treated D-Line mice showed improved memory compared to the vehicle-treated animals, which was assessed by the MWM spatial learning and memory test [89]. To date, there are no other reports on in vivo studies that test the effects of MTK in transgenic mice for DLB.

11. Concluding Remarks

Over the last few years, a considerable amount of evidence was collected underpinning the involvement of dysregulated LT signaling in various pathological processes of neurodegenerative diseases, including PD and DLB. The pharmaceutical intervention of the LT synthesis pathway was shown to have beneficial effects on different aspects of the pathogenesis, in vitro as well as in vivo. Together, all these data indicate that the repurposing specifically of the anti-asthmatic drug MTK would be a reasonable approach for the treatment of neurodegenerative diseases.

The focus of the in vivo studies performed so far to modulate the LT signaling system in α-synucleinopathies was previously laid on neuroinflammation and neurodegeneration, mainly using toxin-based models for PD. These animal models were designed to mimic nigrostriatal dopaminergic damage through the application of environmental toxins but are often criticized due to the fast onset of symptoms, which does not correspond to the gradual progression observed in PD patients [138]. Furthermore, it has to be considered that neurotoxic models such as the MPTP-induced model often do not encompass motor symptoms as observed in PD patients [139].

Indeed, previous in vivo studies have only sparsely addressed the impact of LT signaling blockage on behavior and/or motor functions in PD or DLB animal models and, even more importantly, almost completely neglected α-syn pathology, which is the defining hallmark of both diseases.

Only very recently, the effects of LT signaling inhibition on α-syn inclusions and behavior have been assessed in a transgenic α-syn mouse model [89]. Common genetic models of PD express wild-type or mutated forms of α-syn under a variety of different promoters and, in contrast to toxin models, provide a more reliable insight into the molecular pathogenesis underlying PD [140]. Although genetic models often fail to reproduce DA cell loss, they display intracellular α-syn inclusions, gliosis and functional abnormalities in the nigrostriatal system and exhibit motor deficits and sometimes even non-motor symptoms (reviewed in [140]). The use of transgenic α-syn animal models might hence cover a broader range of mechanisms potentially involved in the development of PD and DLB and would be reasonable for future drug candidate screenings. Apart from the D-Line mouse model, another well-established mouse model of the α-syn pathology is, for example, the Line 61. The Line 61 is a transgenic mouse model overexpressing α-syn throughout the brain under the regulatory control of the Thy-1 promoter [141]. Line 61 mice feature the early onset of
motor impairments, which can be detected already at an age of 1 month, and additionally show signs of neuroinflammation, as indicated by subcortical astrogliosis starting from an age of 6 months [141]. Thus, it might be of interest to investigate the role of LT signaling and its inhibition in the Line 61 model.

Clearly, to determine the pathophysiological role of LT signaling in α-synucleinopathies, further research is required. Nevertheless, existing research results provide an extensive amount of evidence suggesting that targeting the LT signaling pathway might be a rational approach for the management of PD and DLB as well as other related neurodegenerative diseases. Obviously, a number of important issues in the design of clinical trials testing MTK in PD and/or DLB must be addressed. For example, what should be the clinical outcome parameters in such trials? Based on the mode of action and on the available preclinical data (Figure 4), it is conceivable that treatment with MTK might affect not only motor and cognitive outcomes, but also autonomic functions, sleep disturbances as well as neuropsychiatric features such as depression and anxiety. Therefore, a broad spectrum of outcome parameters should be considered. A further point is that, most likely, MTK needs to be tested as an add-on therapeutic agent in addition to the standard medication—for example, levodopa in the case of PD. Since the mode of action of MTK is different from the standard medications, an additive effect could be expected.

![Figure 4. Overview of the effects of leukotrienes and leukotriene inhibition on the neurodegenerative brain.](image-url)

To summarize, it can be assumed that leukotriene modulators would cover additional mechanisms that, alongside existing symptomatic medications, would provide a broader spectrum of beneficial effects and eventually improved efficacy in PD/DLB patients.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, K.S. and L.A.; writing—original draft preparation, K.S.; writing—review and editing, M.S.U., S.F., B.H.-P., A.T., H.A.R. and L.A.; visualization, K.S.; supervision, M.S.U., S.F., B.H.-P. and L.A.; funding acquisition, L.A., B.H.-P. and S.F. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.
Funding: This research was funded by the Austrian Research Promotion Agency (Österreichische Forschungsförderungsgesellschaft, FFG), grant number 867741, and by the Austrian Science Fund (Fonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung, FWF), grant number P31362-B34.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are stored at the local PMU server and available upon request.

Acknowledgments: We thank Andreas Koller and Anja Ladek for their constructive input. All figures were created with BioRender.com.

Conflicts of Interest: L.A. is a coinventor on a patent application on the use of Montelukast in neurodegenerative diseases and a consultant for IntelGenx Inc. All other authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

1. GBD 2016 Parkinson’s Disease Collaborators. Global, regional, and national burden of Parkinson’s disease, 1990-2016: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet Neurol. 2018, 17, 939–953. [CrossRef]

2. Braak, H.; Del Tredici, K.; Rüb, U.; de Vos, R.A.; Steur, E.N.J.; Braak, E. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson’s disease. Neurobiol. Aging 2003, 24, 197–211. [CrossRef]

3. Gomperts, S.N. Lewy Body Dementias. Contin. Lifelong Learn. Neurol. 2016, 22, 435–463. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

4. Kane, J.P.M.; Surendranathan, A.; Bentley, A.; Barker, S.A.H.; Taylor, J.-P.; Thomas, A.J.; Allan, L.M.; McNally, R.J.; James, P.W.; McKeith, I.G.; et al. Clinical prevalence of Lewy body dementia. Alzheimer’s Res. Ther. 2018, 10, 19. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

5. Saltzhouse, T.A. What and When of Cognitive Aging. Curr. Dir. Psychol. Sci. 2004, 13, 140–144. [CrossRef]

6. Orme, T.; Guerreiro, R.; Bras, J. The Genetics of Dementia with Lewy Bodies: Current Understanding and Future Directions. Curr. Neurol. Neurosci. Rep. 2018, 18, 67. [CrossRef]

7. Nuytemans, K.; Theuns, J.; Cruts, M.; Van Broeckhoven, C. Genetic etiology of Parkinson disease associated with mutations in the SNCA, PARK2, PINK1, PARK7, and LRRK2 genes: A mutation update. Hum. Mutat. 2010, 31, 763–780. [CrossRef]

8. Modi, P.; Mohamad, A.; Phom, L.; Koza, Z.; Das, A.; Chaurasia, R.; Samadder, S.; Achumi, B.; Muralidhara, R.S.P.; Yenisseti, S.C. Understanding Pathophysiology of Sporadic Parkinson’s Disease in Drosophila Model: Potential Opportunities and Notable Limitations. In Challenges in Parkinson’s Disease; IntechOpen: London, UK, 2016. [CrossRef]

9. Hernandez, D.G.; Reed, X.; Singleton, A.B. Genetics in Parkinson disease: Mendelian versus non-Mendelian inheritance. J. Neurochem. 2016, 139, 59–74. [CrossRef]

10. Yuan, H.; Zheng, J.-C.; Liu, P.; Zhang, S.-F.; Xu, J.-Y.; Bai, L.-M. Pathogenesis of Parkinson’s disease: Oxidative stress, environmental impact factors and inflammatory processes. Neurosci. Bull. 2007, 23, 125–130. [CrossRef]

11. Surguchev, A.A.; Surguchov, A. Synucleins and gene expression: Ramblers in a crowd or cops regulating traffic? Front. Mol. Neurosci. 2017. [CrossRef]

12. Billingsley, K.J.; Bandres-Ciga, S.; Saez-Atienzar, S.; Singleton, A.B. Genetic risk factors in Parkinson’s disease. Cell Tissue Res. 2018, 373, 9–20. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

13. Zhao, N.; Attrebi, O.N.; Ren, Y.; Qiao, W.; Sonustun, B.; Martens, Y.A.; Meneses, A.D.; Li, F.; Shue, F.; Zheng, J.; et al. APOE4 exacerbates α-synuclein pathology and related toxicity independent of amyloid. Sci. Transl. Med. 2020, 12, 529. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

14. Schapira, A.H. Neurobiology and treatment of Parkinson’s disease. Trends Pharmacol. Sci. 2009, 30, 41–47. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

15. Stoker, T.B.; Torsney, K.M.; Barker, R.A. Emerging Treatment Approaches for Parkinson’s Disease. Front. Neurosci. 2018, 12, 693. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

16. Hershey, L.A.; Coleman-Jackson, R. Pharmacological Management of Dementia with Lewy Bodies. Drugs Aging 2019, 36, 309–319. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

17. Wang, Y.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, S.; Li, C.; Zhang, L. Modulation of neuroinflammation by cysteinyl leukotriene 1 and 2 receptors: Implications for cerebral ischemia and neurodegenerative diseases. Neurobiol. Aging 2019, 87, 1–10. [CrossRef]

18. Wallin, J.; Svenssønn, P. Potential Effects of Leukotriene Receptor Antagonist Montelukast in Treatment of Neuroinflammation in Parkinson’s Disease. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 2021, 22, 5606. [CrossRef]
22. Kim, W.S.; Kågedal, K.; Halliday, G.M. Alpha-synuclein biology in Lewy body diseases. *Alzheimer’s Res. Ther.* 2014, 6, 73. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
23. Bartels, T.; Choi, J.G.; Selkoe, D.J. α-Synuclein occurs physiologically as a helically folded tetramer that resists aggregation. *Nature 2011*, 477, 107–110. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
24. Ellezinger, D.; Kuhlau, E.; Bassel, R., Jr.; Browne, G. Conformational properties of α-synuclein in its free and lipid-associated states. *J. Mol. Biol. 2001*, 307, 1061–1073. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
25. Varkey, J.; Isas, J.M.; Mizuno, N.; Jensen, M.B.; Bhatia, V.K.; Jao, C.C.; Petruvía, J.; Voss, J.C.; Stamou, D.G.; Steven, A.C.; et al. Membrane Curvature Induction and Tubulation Are Common Features of Synucleins and Apolipoproteins. *J. Biol. Chem. 2010*, 285, 32486–32493. [CrossRef]
26. Burré, J.; Sharma, M.; Tsebesinis, T.; Buchman, V.; Etherton, M.R.; Südhof, T.C. α-Synuclein Promotes SNARE-Complex Assembly in Vivo and in Vitro. *Science 2010*, 329, 1663–1667. [CrossRef]
27. Vilar, M.; Chou, H.-T.; Luhrs, T.; Maji, S.K.; Riek-Lober, D.; Verel, R.; Manning, G.; Stahlberg, H.; Riek, R. The fold of -synuclein fibrils. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2008*, 105, 8637–8642. [CrossRef]
28. Chen, H.; Zhao, Y.-F.; Chen, Y.-X.; Li, Y.-M. Exploring the Roles of Post-Translational Modifications in the Pathogenesis of Parkinson’s Disease Using Synthetic and Semisynthetic Modified α-Synuclein. *ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2019*, 10, 910–921. [CrossRef]
29. Anderson, J.P.; Walker, D.E.; Goldenstein, J.M.; de Laat, R.; Banducci, K.; Caccavello, R.J.; Barbour, R.; Huang, J.; Kling, K.; Lee, M.; et al. Phosphorylation of Ser-129 Is the Dominant Pathological Modification of α-Syn in Familial and Sporadic Lewy Body Disease. *J. Biol. Chem. 2006*, 281, 29739–29752. [CrossRef]
30. Uemura, N.; Uemura, M.; Luk, K.; Lee, V.M.-Y.; Trojanowski, J.Q. Cell-to-Cell Transmission of Tau and α-Synuclein. *Trends Mol. Med. 2020*, 26, 936–952. [CrossRef]
31. Paillusson, S.; Gomez-Suaga, P.; Stoica, R.; Little, D.; Gissen, P.; Devine, M.J.; Noble, W.; Hanger, D.P.; Miller, C.C.J. α-Synuclein binds to the ER–mitochondria tethering protein VAPB to disrupt Ca²⁺ homeostasis and mitochondrial ATP production. *Acta Neuropathol. 2017*, 134, 129–149. [CrossRef]
32. Boyman, L.; Karbowsk, M.; Lederer, W.J. Regulation of Mitochondrial ATP Production: Ca²⁺ Signaling and Quality Control. *Trends Mol. Med. 2019*, 26, 21–39. [CrossRef]
33. Harris, J.J.; Jolivet, R.; Attwell, D. Synaptic Energy Use and Supply. *Neuron 2012*, 75, 762–777. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
34. Martínez, J.H.; Fuentes, F.; Vanasco, V.; Alvarez, S.; Alamo, A.; Cassina, A.; Leskow, F.C.; Velazquez, F. Alpha-synuclein mitochondrial interaction leads to irreversible translocation and complex I impairment. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2018*, 651, 1–12. [CrossRef]
35. Luth, E.S.; Stavrovskaya, I.G.; Bartels, T.; Cristal, B.S.; Selkoe, D.J. Soluble, Prefibrillar α-Synuclein Promotes SNARE-Complex Assembly in Vivo and in Vitro. *Science 2010*, 329, 1663–1667. [CrossRef]
36. Ludtmann, M.H.R.; Angelova, P.R.; Horrocks, M.H.; Choi, M.L.; Rodrigues, M.; Baev, A.Y.; Berezhnov, A.V.; Yao, Z.; Little, D.; et al. α-Synuclein Promotes SNARE-Complex Assembly in Vivo and in Vitro. *Science 2010*, 329, 1663–1667. [CrossRef]
37. Xilouri, M.; Brekk, O.R.; Stefanis, L. Alpha-synuclein and Protein Degradation Systems: A Reciprocal Relationship. *Brain. Exp. Mol. Med. 2012*, 47, 537–551. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
38. Snyder, H.; Mensah, K.; Theisler, C.; Lee, J.; Matouschek, A.; Wolozin, B. Aggregated and Monomeric α-Synuclein Bind to the S6 Pro teaseosomal Protein and Inhibit Proteasomal Function. *J. Biol. Chem. 2003*, 278, 11753–11759. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
39. St Naughton, K.P.; Belizaire, R.; Iascun, O.; Jenner, P.; Olanow, C.W. Altered Proteasomal Function in Sporadic Parkinson’s Disease. *Exp. Neurol. 2003*, 173, 38–46. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
40. Alghamdi, A.; Valtortigara, J.; Howlett, D.R.; Broadstock, M.; Hertobágyi, T.; Ballard, C.; Thomas, A.J.; O’Brien, J.T.; Aarsland, D.; Attems, J.; et al. Reduction of RPT6/S6 (a Proteasome Component) and Proteasome Activity in the Cortex is Associated with Cognitive Impairment in Lewy Body Dementia. *J. Alzheimer’s Dis. 2017*, 57, 373–386. [CrossRef]
41. Alvarez-Erviti, L.; Rodriguez-Oroz, M.C.; Cooper, J.M.; Caballero, C.; Ferrer, I.; Obeso, J.A.; Schapira, A.H.V. Chaperone-Mediated Autophagy Markers in Parkinson Disease Brains. *Arch. Neurol. 2010*, 67, 1464–1472. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
42. Higashi, S.; Moore, D.; Minegishi, M.; Kasanuki, K.; Fujishiro, H.; Kabuta, T.; Togo, T.; Katsuse, O.; Uchikado, H.; Furukawa, Y.; et al. Localization of MAP1-LC3 in Vulnerable Neurons and Lewy Bodies in Brains of Patients With Dementia With Lewy Bodies. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol. 2011*, 70, 264–280. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
43. Rohn, T.T.; Catlin, L.W. Immunolocalization of Influenza A Virus and Markers of Inflammation in the Human Parkinson’s Disease Brain. *PLoS ONE 2011*, 6, e22095. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
44. Emmanouilidou, E.; Elenis, D.; Papasilekas, T.; Stranalis, G.; Gerozissis, K.; Ioannou, P.; Vekrellis, K. Assessment of α-Synuclein Secretion in Mouse and Human Brain Parenchyma. *PLoS ONE 2011*, 6, e22225. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
45. El-Agnaf, O.M.A.; Salem, S.A.; Paleologou, K.; Cooper, L.J.; Fullwood, N.J.; Gibson, M.J.; Curran, M.D.; Court, J.A.; Mann, D.M.A.; Ikeda, S.-I.; et al. α-Synuclein implicated in Parkinson’s disease is present in extracellular biological fluids, including human plasma. *FASEB J. 2003*, 17, 1–16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
47. Lee, H-J.; Suk, J-E.; Bae, E-J.; Lee, S-J. Clearance and deposition of extracellular α-synuclein aggregates in microglia. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2008, 372, 423–428. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

Choi, I.; Zhang, Y.; Seegobin, S.P.; Pruvost, M.; Wang, Q.; Purcell, K.; Zhang, B.; Yue, Z. Microglia clear neuron-released α-synuclein via selective autophagy and prevent neurodegeneration. *Nat. Commun.* 2020, 11, 1386. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

49. Zhang, W.; Wang, T.; Pei, Z.; Miller, D.S.; Wu, X.; Block, M.L.; Wilson, B.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, Y.; Hong, J-S.; et al. Aggregated α-synuclein activates microglia: A process leading to disease progression in Parkinson’s disease. *EASEB J.* 2005, 19, 533–542. [CrossRef]

50. Nayak, D.; Roth, T.L.; McGavern, D.B. Microglia Development and Function. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 2014, 32, 367–402. [CrossRef]

Cherry, J.D.; Olschowka, J.A.; O’Banion, M.K. Neuroinflammation and M2 microglia: The good, the bad, and the inflamed. *J. Neuroinflamm.* 2014, 11, 98–2094. [CrossRef]

51. Ueno, M.; Fujita, Y.; Tanaka, T.; Nakamura, Y.; Kikuta, J.; Ishii, M.; Yamashita, T. Layer V cortical neurons require microglial support for survival during postnatal development. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2013, 16, 543–551. [CrossRef]

52. Schafer, D.F.; Stevens, B. Phagocytic glial cells: Sculpting synaptic circuits in the developing nervous system. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 2013, 23, 1034–1040. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

53. Marín-Teva, J.L.; Dueñas, J.; García-Martín, E.; van Rooijen, N.; Mallat, M. Microglia Promote the Death of Developing Purkinje Cells. *Neuron* 2004, 41, 535–547. [CrossRef]

54. Nimmerjahn, A.; Kirchhoff, F.; Helmchen, F. Resting microglial cells are highly dynamic surveillants of brain parenchyma in vivo. *e-Neuroforum* 2005, 11, 95. [CrossRef]

55. Wolf, S.A.; Boddeke, H.W.G.M.; Kettenmann, H. Microglia in Physiology and Disease. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 2017, 79, 619–643. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

56. Davalos, D.; Grutzendler, J.; Yang, G.; Kim, J.V.; Zuo, Y.; Jung, S.; Littman, D.R.; Dustin, M.L.; Gan, W.-B. ATP mediates rapid microglial response to local brain injury in vivo. *Nat. Neuroscience* 2005, 8, 752–758. [CrossRef]

57. Cherry, J.D.; Olschowka, J.A.; O’Banion, M.K. Neuroinflammation and M2 microglia: The good, the bad, and the inflamed. *J. Neuroinflamm.* 2014, 11, 98–2094. [CrossRef]

58. Subhramanyam, C.S.; Wang, C.; Hu, Q.; Dheen, S.T. Microglia-mediated neuroinflammation in neurodegenerative diseases. *Semin. Cell Dev. Biol.* 2019, 94, 112–120. [CrossRef]

59. Mogi, M.; Harada, M.; Kondo, T.; Riederer, P.; Inagaki, H.; Minami, M.; Nagatsu, T. Interleukin-1β, interleukin-6, epidermal growth factor and transforming growth factor-α are elevated in the brain from parkinsonian patients. *Neurosci. Lett.* 1994, 180, 147–150. [CrossRef]

60. Mogi, M.; Harada, M.; Riederer, P.; Nara, T.; Nagatsu, T. Tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) increases both in the brain and in the cerebrospinal fluid from parkinsonian patients. *Neurosci. Lett.* 1994, 165, 208–210. [CrossRef]

61. Xicoy, H.; Wieringa, B.; Martens, G.J.M. The Role of Lipids in Parkinson’s Disease. *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 2019, 53, 535–547. [CrossRef]

62. Gerhard, A.; Pavese, N.; Hotton, G.; Turkheimer, F.; Es, M.; Hammers, A.; Eggert, K.; Oertel, W.; Banati, R.B.; Brooks, D.J. In vivo imaging of microglial activation with [11C](R)-PK11195 PET in idiopathic Parkinson’s disease. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* 2005, 21, 404–412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

63. Hunot, S.; Boissière, F.; Faucheux, B.; Brugg, B.; Mouatt-Prigent, A.; Agid, Y.; Hirsch, E. Nitric oxide synthase and neuronal vulnerability in parkinson’s disease. *Nature Neuroscience* 1996, 72, 355–363. [CrossRef]

64. Kannarkat, G.T.; Boss, J.M.; Tansey, M.G. The Role of Innate and Adaptive Immunity in Parkinson’s Disease. *J. Parkinson’s Dis.* 2013, 3, 493–514. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

65. Iba, M.; Kim, C.; Sallin, M.; Kwon, S.; Verma, A.; Overk, C.; Rissman, R.A.; Sen, R.; Sen, J.M.; Masliah, E. Neuroinflammation is associated with infiltration of T cells in Lewy body disease and α-synuclein transgenic models. *J. Neuroinflamm.* 2020, 17, 214. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

66. Gate, D.; Tapp, E.; Leventhal, O.; Shahid, M.; Nonninger, T.J.; Yang, A.C.; Strempfl, K.; Unger, M.S.; Feilhmann, T.; Oh, H.; et al. CD4 + T cells contribute to neurodegeneration in Lewy body dementia. *Science 2021*, 374, 868–874. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

67. Gerhard, A.; Pavese, N.; Hotton, G.; Turkheimer, F.; Es, M.; Hammers, A.; Eggert, K.; Oertel, W.; Banati, R.B.; Brooks, D.J. In vivo imaging of microglial activation with [11C](R)-PK11195 PET in idiopathic Parkinson’s disease. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 2006, 21, 404–412. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

68. Surendranathan, A.; Su, L.; Mak, E.; Passamonti, L.; Hong, Y.T.; Arnold, R.; Vázquez Rodriguez, P.; Bevan-Jones, W.R.; Brain, S.A.; Fryer, T.D.; et al. Early microglial activation and peripheral inflammation in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Brain 2018*, 141, 3415–3427. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

69. Lavisse, S.; Goutal, S.; Wimberley, C.; Tonietto, M.; Bottlaender, M.; Gervais, P.; Kuhnast, B.; Peyronneau, M.-A.; Barret, O.; Lagarde, J.; et al. Increased microglial activation in patients with Parkinson disease using [18F]-DPA714 TSPO PET imaging. *Park. Relat. Disord.* 2020, 82, 29–36. [CrossRef]

70. Varnäs, K.; Cselényi, Z.; Jucaite, A.; Halldin, C.; Svenningsson, P.; Farde, L.; Varrone, A. PET imaging of [11C]PBR28 in Parkinson’s disease patients does not indicate increased binding to TSPO despite reduced dopamine transporter binding. *Eur. J. Pediatr.* 2018, 46, 367–375. [CrossRef]

71. Croisier, E.; Moran, L.B.; Dexter, D.T.; Pearce, R.K.B.; Graeber, M.B. Microglial inflammation in the parkinsonian substantia nigra: Relationship to alpha-synuclein deposition. *J. Neuroinflamm.* 2005, 2, 14. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

72. Mackenzie, I.R.A. Activated microglia in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology 2000*, 55, 132–134. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

73. Streit, W.J.; Xue, Q.-S. Microglia in dementia with Lewy bodies. *Brain Behav. Immun.* 2016, 55, 191–201. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

74. Amin, J.; Holmes, C.; Dorey, R.; Tommasino, E.; Casal, Y.R.; Williams, D.M.; Dupuy, C.; Nicoll, J.A.R.; Boche, D. Neuroinflammation in dementia with Lewy bodies: A human post-mortem study. *Transl. Psychiatry* 2020, 10, 267. [CrossRef]
75. Feleke, R.; Reynolds, R.H.; Smith, A.M.; Tilley, B.; Talout, S.A.G.; Hardy, J.; Matthews, P.M.; Gentleman, S.; Owen, D.R.; Johnson, M.R.; et al. Cross-platform transcriptional profiling identifies common and distinct molecular pathologies in Lewy body diseases. *Acta Neuropathol.* 2021, 142, 449–474. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

76. Smajic, S.; Prada-Medina, C.A.; Landoulsi, Z.; Dietrich, C.; Jarazo, J.; Henck, J.; Balachandran, S.; Pachchek, S.; Morris, C.M.; Antony, P.; et al. Single-cell sequencing of the human midbrain reveals glial activation and a neuronal state specific to Parkinson’s disease. *Brain* 2021.

77. Muir, R. Review Article. *Landscapes* 2001, 2, 112–115. [CrossRef]

78. Woods, J.W.; Evans, J.F.; Ethier, D.; Scott, S.; Vickers, P.J.; Hearns, L.; Heibein, J.A.; Charleson, S.; Singer, I. 5-lipoxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase-activating protein are localized in the nuclear envelope of activated human leukocytes. *J. Exp. Med.* 1993, 178, 1935–1946. [CrossRef]

79. Kanaoka, Y.; Boyce, J.A. Cysteinyl Leukotrienes and Their Receptors: Cellular Distribution and Function in Immune and Inflammatory Responses. *J. Immunol.* 2004, 173, 1503–1510. [CrossRef]

80. Johansson, A.-S.; Haegström, J.Z.; Hultenby, K.; Palmblad, J. Subcellular localization of leukotriene receptors in human endothelial cells. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2010, 316, 2790–2796. [CrossRef]

81. Tager, A.M.; Luster, A.D. BLT1 and BLT2: The leukotriene B4 receptors. *Prostaglandins Leukot. Essent. Fat. Acids* 2003, 69, 123–134. [CrossRef]

82. Bäck, M.; Dahlén, S.-E.; Drazen, J.M.; Evans, J.F.; Serhan, C.N.; Shimizu, T.; Yokomizo, T.; Rovati, G. International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology. LXXXIV: Leukotriene Receptor Nomenclature, Distribution, and Pathophysiological Functions. *Pharmacol. Rev.* 2011, 63, 539–584. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

83. Mellor, E.A.; Maekawa, A.; Austen, K.F.; Boyce, J.A. Cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 is also a pyrimidinergic receptor and is expressed by human mast cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2001, 98, 7964–7969. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

84. Ciana, P.; Fumagalli, M.; Trincavelli, M.L.; Verderio, C.; Rosa, P.; Ferriero, S.; Pannacciulli, C.; Capra, V.; Geola, P.; et al. The orphan receptor GPR17 identified as a new dual uracil nucleotides/cysteinyl-leukotrienes receptor. *EMBO J.* 2006, 25, 4615–4627. [CrossRef]

85. Shirasaki, H.; Kanaizumi, E.; Seki, N.; Himi, T. Leukotriene E4 induces MUC5AC release from human airway epithelial NCI-H292 cells. *Allergol. Int.* 2015, 64, 169–174. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

86. Hallstrand, T.S.; Henderson, W.R. An update on the role of leukotrienes in asthma. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 1999, 29, 1313–1320. [CrossRef]

87. Laidlaw, T.M.; Boyce, J.A. Cysteinyl leukotriene receptors, old and new; implications for asthma. *Clin. Exp. Allergy* 2010, 40, 60–66. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

88. Marschallinger, J.; Schäffner, I.; Klein, B.; Gelfert, R.; Rivera, F.J.; Illes, S.; Grassner, L.; Janssen, M.; Rotheneichner, P.; Schmuckermair, C.; et al. Structural and functional rejuvenation of the aged brain by an approved anti-asthmatic drug. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 8466. [CrossRef]

89. Marschallinger, J.; Altdorfer, B.; Rockenstein, E.; Holztrattner, M.; Garmweidner-Raith, J.; Pillichshammer, N.; Leister, I.; Hutter-Paier, B.; Strempfl, K.; Unger, M.S.; et al. The Leukotriene Receptor Antagonist Montelukast Reduces Alpha-Synuclein Load and Restores Memory in a Mouse Model of Dementia with Lewy Bodies. *Neurotherapeutics* 2020, 17, 1061–1074. [CrossRef]

90. Michael, J.; Zirknitzer, J.; Unger, M.; Pollard, R.; siebenhaar, N.; Leister, I.; Aigner, L. Microglia depletion diminishes key elements of the leukotriene pathway in the brain of Alzheimer’s Disease mice. *Acta Neuropathol. Commun.* 2020, 8, 129. [CrossRef]

91. Marschallinger, J.; Zirknitzer, J.; Unger, M.; Pourlard, R.; Rieß, T.; Piemonti, N.; Zerbe, H.; Hutter-Paier, B.; Reitsamer, H.; Aigner, L. The Leukotriene Receptor Antagonist Montelukast Attenuates Neuroinflammation and Affects Cognition in Transgenic 5xFAD Mice. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2021, 22, 2782. [CrossRef]

92. Zhang, W.; Hu, H.; Zhang, L.; Ding, W.; Yao, H.-T.; Chen, K.-D.; Sheng, W.-W.; Chen, Z.; Wei, E.-Q. Expression of cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 in human traumatic brain injury and brain tumors. *Neurosci. Lett.* 2004, 363, 247–251. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

93. Zhao, C.; Zhao, B.; Zhang, X.; Huang, X.; Shi, W.; Liu, H.; Fang, S.; Lu, Y.; Zhang, W.; Tang, F.; et al. Cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 2 is spatiotemporally involved in neuron injury, astrocytosis and microgliosis after focal cerebral ischemia in rats. *Neuroscience* 2011, 189, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

94. Sasaki, Y.; Hoshi, M.; Akazawa, C.; Nakamura, Y.; Tsuzuki, H.; Inoue, K.; Kohsaka, S. Selective expression of Gi/o-coupled ATP receptor P2RY12 in microglia in rat brain. *Glia* 2003, 44, 242–250. [CrossRef]

95. Walker, D.G.; Tang, T.M.; Mendsaikhan, A.; Tooyama, I.; Serrano, G.E.; Sue, L.I.; Beach, T.G.; Lue, L.-F. Patterns of Expression of Purinergic Receptor P2RY12, a Putative Marker for Non-Activated Microglia, in Aged and Alzheimer’s Disease Brains. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2020, 21, 678. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

96. Yu, S.-Y.; Zhang, X.-Y.; Wang, X.-R.; Xu, D.-M.; Yu, S.-Y.; Shi, Q.-J.; Zhang, L.-H.; Chen, L.; Fang, S.-H.; Lu, Y.-B.; Zhang, W.-P.; et al. HAM13379, a CysLT2 Receptor Antagonist, Attenuates Ischemia-Like Neuronal Injury by Inhibiting Microglial Activation. *J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.* 2013, 346, 328–341. [CrossRef]

97. Zhang, X.-Y.; Wang, H.; Li, C.; Song, S.; Fang, S.; Wei, E.; Shi, Q. GPR17 mediates ischemia-like neuronal injury via microglial activation. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 2018, 42, 2750–2762. [CrossRef]
99. Hijioka, M.; Futokoro, R.; Ohoto-Nakanishi, T.; Nakanishi, H.; Katsuki, H.; Kitamura, Y. Microglia-released leukotriene B4 promotes neutrophil infiltration and microglial activation following intracerebral hemorrhage. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* **2020**, *85*, 106678. [CrossRef]

100. Huang, X.-J.; Zhang, W.-P.; Li, C.-T.; Shi, W.-Z.; Fang, S.-H.; Lu, Y.-B.; Chen, Z.; Wei, E.-Q. Activation of CysLT receptors induces astrocyte proliferation and death after oxygen–glucose deprivation. *Glia* **2007**, *56*, 27–37. [CrossRef]

101. Ciccarelli, R.; D’Alimonte, I.; Santavenere, C.; D’Auro, M.; Ballerini, P.; Nargi, E.; Buccella, S.; Nicosia, S.; Caciagli, F.; Di Iorio, P. Cysteinyl-leukotrienes are released from astrocytes and increase astrocyte proliferation and glial fibrillary acidic protein via srs-LT1 receptors and mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **2004**, *20*, 1514–1524. [CrossRef]

102. Ballerini, P.; Di Iorio, P.; Ciccarelli, R.; Caciagli, F.; Polp, A.; Beraudi, A.; Buccella, S.; D’Alimonte, I.; D’Auro, M.; Nargi, E.; et al. P2Y1 and Cysteinyl Leukotriene Receptors Mediate Purine and Cysteinyl Leukotriene Co-Release in Primary Cultures of Rat Microglia. *Int. J. Immunopathol. Pharmacol.* **2005**, *18*, 255–268. [CrossRef]

103. Farias, S.E.; Zarini, S.; Precht, T.; Murphy, R.C.; Heidenreich, K.A. Transcellular biosynthesis of cysteine leukotrienes in rat neuronal and glial cells. *J. Neurochem.* **2007**, *103*, 1310–1318. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

104. Ikonomovic, M.D.; Abrahamson, E.E.; Uz, T.; Manev, H.; DeKosky, S.T. Increased 5-Lipoxygenase Immunoreactivity in the Hippocampus of Patients With Alzheimer’s Disease. *J. Histochem. Cytochem.* **2008**, *56*, 1065–1073. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

105. Chu, J.; Bsc, P.G.; Ceballos-Díaz, C.; Golde, T.E.; Praticò, D. 5-Lipoxygenase gene transfer worsens memory, amyloid, and tau brain pathologies in a mouse model of alzheimer disease. *Ann. Neurol.* **2012**, *72*, 442–454. [CrossRef]

106. Firuzi, O.; Zhou, J.; Chinnici, C.; Wisniewski, T.; Praticò, D. 5-Lipoxygenase gene disruption reduces amyloid-β pathology in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease. *FASEB J.* **2007**, *22*, 1169–1178. [CrossRef]

107. Tang, S.-S.; Wang, X.-Y.; Hong, H.; Long, Y.; Li, Y.-Q.; Xiang, G.-Q.; Jiang, L.-Y.; Zhang, H.-T.; Liu, L.-P.; Miao, M.-X.; et al. Leukotriene D4 induces cognitive impairment through enhancement of CysLT1R-mediated amyloid-β generation in mice. *Neuropharmacology* **2013**, *65*, 182–192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

108. Kang, K.-H.; Liou, H.-H.; Hour, M.-J.; Liou, H.-C.; Fu, W.-M. Protection of dopaminergic neurons by 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor. *Neuropharmacology* **2013**, *73*, 380–387. [CrossRef]

109. Chou, V.; Holman, T.; Manning-Bog, A. Differential contribution of lipoxygenase isozymes to nigrostriatal vulnerability. *Neuroscience* **2012**, *228*, 73–82. [CrossRef]

110. Zhang, X.-Y.; Chen, L.; Yang, Y.; Xu, D.-M.; Zhang, S.-R.; Li, C.-T.; Zheng, W.; Yu, S.-Y.; Wei, E.-Q.; Zhang, L.-H. Regulation of rotenone-induced microglial activation by 5-lipoxygenase and cysteiny1 leukotriene receptor 1. *Brain Res.* **2014**, *1572*, 99–71. [CrossRef]

111. Zhang, X.-Y.; Chen, L.; Xu, D.-M.; Wang, X.-R.; Wang, Y.-F.; Li, C.-T.; Wei, E.-Q.; Zhang, L.-H. Zileuton, a 5-lipoxygenase inhibitor, attenuates mouse microglial cell mediated rotenone toxicity in PC12 cells. *Zhongguo Da Xue Xue Bao Yi Xue Ban* **2014**, *43*, 273–280.

112. Chen, L.; Yang, Y.; Li, C.-T.; Zhang, S.-R.; Zheng, W.; Wei, E.-Q.; Zhang, L.-H. CysLT 2 receptor mediates lipopolysaccharide-induced microglial inflammation and consequent neurotoxicity in vitro. *Brain Res.* **2015**, *1624*, 433–445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

113. Mai, S.; He, Q.; Wang, H.; Hu, X.; Luo, Y.; Yang, Y.; Kuang, S.; Tian, X.; Ma, J.; Yang, J. 5-lipoxygenase activation is involved in the mechanisms of chronic hepatic injury in a rat model of chronic aluminum overload exposure. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2016**, *305*, 259–266. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

114. Hu, C.; Yang, J.; He, Q.; Luo, Y.; Chen, Z.; Yang, L.; Yi, H.; Li, H.; Xia, H.; Ran, D.; et al. CysLTR1 Blockage Ameliorates Liver Injury Caused by Aluminum-Overload via PI3K/AKT/mTOR-Mediated Autophagy Activation in Vivo and in Vitro. *Mol. Pharm.* **2018**, *15*, 1996–2006. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

115. Koller, A.; Bruckner, D.; Aigner, L.; Reitsamer, H.; Trost, A. Cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1 modulates autophagic activity in retinal pigment epithelial cells. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

116. Sun, M.; Wang, R.; Han, Q. Inhibition of leukotriene B4 receptor 1 attenuates lipopolysaccharide-induced cardiac dysfunction: Role of AMPK-regulated mitochondrial function. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, srep44352. [CrossRef]

117. Wang, H.; Cheng, Y.; Liu, Y.; Shi, J.; Cheng, Z. Montelukast promotes mitochondrial biogenesis via CREB/PGC-1α in human bronchial epithelial cells. *Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol.* **2019**, *47*, 4234–4239. [CrossRef]

118. Ren, P.; Gong, F.; Chang, L.; Hong, X.; Xing, L.; Zhang, H. Zafirlukast promotes mitochondrial respiration by stimulating mitochondrial biogenesis in human bronchial epithelial cells. *Eur. J. Neurosci.* **2020**, *52*, 643–650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

119. Chu, J.; Li, J.-G.; Praticò, M. Zileuton Improves Memory Deficits, Amyloid and Tau Pathology in a Mouse Model of Alzheimer’s Disease with Plaques and Tangles. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e70991. [CrossRef]

120. Tang, S.-S.; Ji, M.-J.; Chen, L.; Hu, M.; Long, Y.; Li, Y.-Q.; Miao, M.-X.; Li, J.-C.; Li, N.; Ji, H.; et al. Protective effect of pranlukast on Aβ 1–42-induced cognitive deficits associated with downregulation of cysteiny1 leukotriene receptor 1. *Int. J. Neuropsychopharmacol.* **2013**, *17*, 581–592. [CrossRef]

121. Kalra, J.; Kumar, P.; Majeed, A.B.A.; Prakash, A. Modulation of LOX and COX pathways via inhibition of amyloidogenesis contributes to mitoprotection against β-amyloid oligomer-induced toxicity in an animal model of Alzheimer’s disease in rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **2016**, *146–147*, 1–12. [CrossRef]

122. Kumar, A.; Prakash, A.; Palhwa, D.; Mishra, J. Montelukast potentiates the protective effect of rofecoxib against kainic acid-induced cognitive dysfunction in rats. *Pharmacol. Biochem. Behav.* **2012**, *103*, 43–52. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
123. Kalonia, H.; Kumar, P.; Kumar, A.; Nehru, B. Protective effect of montelukast against quinolinic acid/malonic acid induced neurotoxicity: Possible behavioral, biochemical, mitochondrial and tumor necrosis factor-α level alterations in rats. Neuroscience 2010, 171, 284–299. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

124. Prasad, N.; Raghavendra, R.; Lokesh, B.; Naidu, K. Spice phenolics inhibit human PMNL 5-lipoxygenase. Prostaglandins Leukot. Essent. Fat. Acids 2004, 70, 521–528. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

125. Abdel-Salam, O.M.; Sleem, A.A.; Youness, E.R.; Yassen, N.N.; Shaffie, N.; El-Toumy, S.A. Capsicum Protects Against Rotenone-Induced Toxicity in Mice Brain Via Reduced Oxidative Stress and 5-Lipoxygenase Activation. J. Pharm. Pharmacol. Res. 2018, 2, 60–77. [CrossRef]

126. Ramires, R.; Caiaffa, M.F.; Tursi, A.; Haeggström, J.Z.; Macchia, L. Novel inhibitory effect on 5-lipoxygenase activity by the anti-asthma drug montelukast. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 2004, 324, 815–821. [CrossRef]

127. Anderson, R.; Theron, A.J.; Gravett, C.M.; Steel, H.C.; Tintinger, G.R.; Feldman, C. Montelukast inhibits neutrophil pro-inflammatory activity by a cyclic AMP-dependent mechanism. J. Cereb. Blood Flow Metab. 2009, 156, 105–115. [CrossRef]

128. Tintinger, G.R.; Feldman, C.; Theron, A.J.; Anderson, R. Montelukast: More than a Cysteinyl Leukotriene Receptor Antagonist? Sci. World J. 2010, 10, 2403–2413. [CrossRef]

129. Grinde, B.; Engdahl, B. Prescription database analyses indicates that the asthma medicine montelukast might protect against dementia: A hypothesis to be verified. Immun. Ageing 2017, 14, 20. [CrossRef]

130. Grinde, B.; Schirmer, H.; Eggen, A.E.; Aigner, L.; Engdahl, B. A possible effect of montelukast on neurological aging examined by the use of register data. Int. J. Clin. Pharm. 2020, 43, 541–548. [CrossRef]

131. Mittal, S.; Bjørnevik, K.; Im, D.S.; Flierl, A.; Dong, X.; Locascio, J.J.; Abo, K.M.; Long, E.; Jin, M.; Xu, B.; et al. β2-Adrenoreceptor is a regulator of the α-synuclein gene driving risk of Parkinson’s disease. Science 2017, 357, 891–898. [CrossRef]

132. Yang, X.; Ho, G.; Xu, L.; Powell, W.; Martin, J. The β2-agonist Salbutamol Inhibits Bronchoconstriction and Leukotriene D4Synthesis After Dry Gas Hyperpnea in the Guinea-pig. Pulm. Pharmacol. Ther. 1999, 12, 325–329. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

133. Jang, H.; Kim, S.; Lee, J.M.; Oh, Y.-S.; Park, S.M.; Kim, S.R. Montelukast treatment protects nigral dopaminergic neurons against microglial activation in the 6-hydroxydopamine mouse model of Parkinson’s disease. NeuroReport 2017, 28, 242–249. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

134. Abdel-Salam, O.; Medhat, D.; Sleem, A.; Shaffie, N. Neuroprotection by Montelukast against Rotenone-Induced Rat Brain Damage. React. Oxyg. Species 2018. [CrossRef]

135. Nagarajan, V.B.; Marathe, P.A. Effect of montelukast in experimental model of Parkinson’s disease. Neurosci. Lett. 2018, 682, 100–105. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

136. Masliah, E.; Rockenstein, E.; Veinbergs, I.; Mallory, M.; Hashimoto, M.; Takeda, A.; Sagara, Y.; Sisk, A.; Mucke, L. Dopaminergic Loss and Inclusion Body Formation in α-Synuclein Mice: Implications for Neurodegenerative Disorders. Science 2000, 287, 1265–1269. [CrossRef]

137. Masliah, E.; Rockenstein, E.; Mante, M.; Crews, L.; Spencer, B.; Adame, A.; Patrick, C.; Trejo, M.; Ubhi, K.; Rohn, T.T.; et al. Passive Immunization Reduces Behavioral and Neuropathological Deficits in an Alpha-Synuclein Transgenic Model of Lewy Body Disease. PLoS ONE 2011, 6, e19338. [CrossRef]

138. Potashkin, J.A.; Blume, S.R.; Runkle, N.K. Limitations of Animal Models of Parkinson’s Disease. Park. Dis. 2011, 2011, 658083. [CrossRef]

139. Zhang, Q.-S.; Heng, Y.; Mou, Z.; Huang, J.-Y.; Yuan, Y.-H.; Chen, N.-H. Reassessment of subacute MPTP-treated mice as animal model of Parkinson’s disease. Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 2017, 38, 1317–1328. [CrossRef]

140. Koprich, J.B.; Kalia, L.V.; Brotchie, J. Animal models of α-synucleinopathy for Parkinson disease drug development. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 2017, 18, 515–529. [CrossRef]

141. Rabl, R.; Breitschaedel, C.; Flunkert, S.; Duller, S.; Amschl, D.; Neddens, J.; Niederkofler, V.; Rockenstein, E.; Masliah, E.; Roemer, H.; et al. Early start of progressive motor deficits in Line 61 α-synuclein transgenic mice. BMC Neurosci. 2017, 18, 22. [CrossRef]