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ABSTRACT
The increase in demand for wood for various purposes has put serious pressure on Nigeria’s forest with its attendant fall in the supply of solid wood for construction purposes. As an alternative, non-woody material like banana stem fibre is being investigated for the production of Cement Bonded Particle Board (CBPB). The sorption and strength properties of CBPB from Musa spp fibre were assessed. The board samples were formed using cement to materials mixing ratio of 2:1 and 3:1 and blending proportions of sawdust and banana fibre using ordinary Portland cement as a binder. Physical and Mechanical properties of boards produced from stem using were investigated. The influence of blending proportion (B.P) and mixing ratio (MR) on Density, Water Absorption (WA), Thickness Swelling (TS), Linear Expansion, Modulus of Rupture (MOR), and Modulus of Elasticity (MOE) were determined. The results of sorption properties showed that for immersion at 24, 48, and 72 hours, WA, TS, and LE decreased with an increase in mixing ratio. MOE mean values ranged between 293.65 and 2367.01 N/mm² for 2:1 and 250.99 and 2009.28 N/mm² for 3:1 while MOR values ranged between 2.55 and 7.592 N/mm² for 2:1 and ranged from 0.55 to 1.40 N/mm² for 3:1 respectively. The result proved that banana fibre is suitable for the production of panel products with enhanced strength properties.

INTRODUCTION
Today, the multi-faceted demand for wood-products by the growing population has resulted in over-exploitation and declined forest resources (Owoyemi et al., 2010). As a result, the panel-board industry is showing an increasing interest in the utilization of wastes from sawmills and furniture mills in the production of panel-boards to mitigate the rate of forest exploitation and to promote sustainable forest management. Studies conducted in the past have shown that wood-wastes and agricultural residues such as wheat straw (Mantanis and Berns, 2001), maize (Ajayi, 2010), maize stalk (Ajayi, 2002), yam stem (Ajayi, 2006), and bamboo (Papadopoulos et al., 2004) are suitable for particle-board production.

Particle Board (PB) is a composite material and engineered wood products produced from sawdust, chips of wood, sawmill shavings, and a synthetic resin, glue, or other suitable binders (Bruce, 2000). The constituents are pressed in wide flat boards or sheets of uniform cross-section and thickness under pressure and heat in a suitable mold after mixing.

In Particle Board production, the original longitudinal grain arrangement of solid wood is reformed by gluing wood particles together so that they criss-cross each other to form a network of structures with no general grain direction (Adejoba et al., 2009). The manufactured board in final form thereby retains few properties of the original wood and because of the manufacturing
process, gains new and different properties (Wood Handbook, 1999).

The utilization of agricultural residues and pozzolan cement in the manufacturing of ceiling-board is a suitable alternative to sawn timber, sawdust, among others (Owoyemi et al., 2010) thereby, forests are managed sustainably. The pozzolan-bonded board is useful as a construction material in regions where fire and moisture interdict the utilization of resin-bonded particleboard (Aruwajoye, 2008).

Banana Pseudo-stem is the part of banana plant observed as trunk, they are fleshy, consist of water, and anatomically lack vascular bundles like the sclerenchyma, parenchyma that are found in a tree. The pseudo-stem can support a bunch weight of 50kg or more, they are usually left on the field after harvesting where it deteriorates rendering it as waste material. Post-harvest banana pseudo-stem residues are potential raw material for particleboard production in some areas of the country where it’s available in large quantities e.g. Ogun, Ondo, Ekiti, Edo, and Cross-River (Ajayi, 2003).

Proper management and utilization of agricultural residues could generate employment opportunities, increase the economic standards of farmers and provide other services for the public and industries. This may be the best option for mitigating global climate change. However, utilization of the renewable resources in cement-bonded boards could be a suitable alternative in furniture industries (Aladenola et al., 2008).

A wide variety of production variables determine the physical and mechanical properties of cement-bonded wood composites. Among those, wood/cement ratio, density, type and percent accelerators used, particle geometry, wood species, amount of water, pre-treatment of wood, chemical composition of wood, composition of cement was reported to be of primary importance (Frybort et al., 2008). The objective of this study was to investigate the inclusion of banana pseudo-stem in cement bonded board, its physical and mechanical properties as reinforcing material was assessed in combination of the residue with Gmelina arborea sawdust from sawmill industry at diverse mixing ratio and different blending proportions.

**METHODS**

**Procurement of raw materials**

Banana pseudo-stem residue (Plate 1) was sourced from a farm in Awule rural settlement in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria, and Gmelina arborea sawdust was obtained from a wood processing company in Akure, Ondo State, Nigeria. The residues were processed at the Wood Composite Laboratory of The Federal University of Technology, Akure, Nigeria. Calcium chloride an additive to increase the strength and improve the dimensional stability of the boards was purchased from a Scientific Chemical Laboratory while Ordinary Portland Cement was purchased from fresh consignment.
**Preparation of material**

A wooden mold of 220 × 220 × 8 mm was constructed at the Department of Forestry and Wood Technology’s Wood and Furniture Workshop at the Federal University of Technology, Akure, and utilized as the frame for mat formation.

The Bio-fibre material from Banana Pseudo-stem was cut to fiber lengths of 50mm, immersed in water for 72hours to facilitate the process of fermentation for quality board production. The extracted fibre was milled in a milling machine, washed, and pre-treated in hot water for 24hours to remove the inhibitory content. The fiber was rinsed thrice and sun-dried to reduce the moisture content to 15%, then sealed in a jute bag for conditioning.

Similarly, *Gmelina arborea* sawdust was pretreated in boiled water for 30mins at a temperature of 900C to remove the inhibitory substances that may likely affect the setting of the cement used as a binding agent (Ajayi, 2005). It was washed and air dried to attain a moisture content of 12% before utilization.

**Board formation**

The blending proportion of 100:0, 90:10, 80:20, 70:30, and 0:100 fibre/sawdust with cement/material and mixing ratio of 2:1 and 3:1 were used for the production of the Board. The weight (gram) of the required quantity of banana pseudo-stem fiber and the binding agent (cement) were taken with the use of weighing balance, the chemical additive (CaCl2) 3%, and water was added after which it was mixed in the stated ratio and cast into the wooden-mold frame and covered with polythene sheets to enhance easy removal. They were then pressed to the required thickness. The board was removed after 24 hours and stacked in the rack for 21 days of curing. All sample boards were produced according to the stated method with three replicates each per treatment.

**Parameters Tested**

The board edges were trimmed with a circular saw machine to avoid edge effect and then cut into required sizes of 50 ×50 × 8mm and 195 ×50 × 8mm for Physical and Mechanical tests respectively according to ASTM (2005) Standard for Particle Board test.

**Physical test: Water Absorption Test**

The percentage water intake for different mixing ratios was calculated after 24, 48, and 72 hours soaking time using:

\[
WA (%) = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{W_1} \times \frac{100}{1} \quad \text{(Eqn.1)}
\]

Where:

- \(W_1\) = Initial Weight of the board (g)
- \(W_2\) = Weight of board after immersion in water (g)

**Thickness Swelling Test**

Thickness swelling (TS) after 24, 48, and 72 hours immersion in water was calculated using:

\[
TS \% = \frac{T_2 - T_1}{T_1} \times 100 \quad \text{(Eqn.2)}
\]

Where:

- \(T_2\) = thickness of the board after water immersion (mm)
- \(T_1\) = the initial thickness of the board (mm).

**Linear Expansion Test**

The test samples were immersed in water for 24, 48, and 72 hours. The Linear change in each sample was measured after immersion. The percentage linear expansion was determined using the formula;

\[
\text{L.E} \% = \frac{L_2 - L_1}{L_1} \times 100 \quad \text{Eqn (3)}
\]

Where

- \(L_2\) = length of the board after water immersion (mm)
- \(L_1\) = initial length of the board (mm)

**Mechanical test: Modulus of Rupture Test (MOR) and Modulus of Elasticity Test (MOE)**

The two tests were determined by three-point loading on Universal Testing Machine by applying load at the center of each board sample with the aid of an electro-mechanical motor to the point when failure occurred. The ultimate load (P) and the slope were recorded and estimated using:

\[
\text{MOR} = \frac{3PL}{2BH^2} \quad (N/mm^2) \quad \text{Eqn (4)}
\]

\[
\text{MOE} = \frac{PL^3}{4BHD^3} \quad \text{Eqn (5)}
\]

Where

- \(\text{MOR}\) = Modulus of Rupture
- \(\text{MOE}\) = Modulus of Elasticity
- \(L\) = Span between centre of support (mm)
- \(B\) = Width of test specimen (mm)
H = Thickness of test specimen (mm)
P = Ultimate failure load (N)

**MOE:**

$L$ = Span between center of support (mm)
$B$ = Width of test specimen (mm)
$P$ = Ultimate failure load (N)
$H$ = Increase in deflection
$D$ = Thickness of the specimen (%)

**Experimental Design**

For the purpose of this study, a 2×5 factorial experiment in Completely Randomized Design with 5 levels of factor A (Blending proportion) and 2 levels of factor B (Mixing ratio) replicated three times for each treatment combination. The experiment was designed to include the following production variables:

1. **Board density:** 1100 kg/m³ (nominal density)
2. **Blending proportion:** 100:0, 90:10, 80:20, 70:30, and 0:100 depicting sawdust to banana pseudo-stem fibre
3. **Mixing ratio of cement to sawdust at:** 2:1 and 3:1 depicting cement to mixture of sawdust + banana pseudo-stem fibre
4. **Additive concentration at a level of 3% of cement weight** in each board.
5. **Pressing pressure:** 1.23N/mm²
6. **Board size:** 220 × 220 × 8mm

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Water Absorption**

The mean values for mixing ratio 2:1 after immersion in water for 24 hours ranged between 22.10 and 47.90%, values between 24.87 and 50.93% were recorded for 48 hours while a range of 26.07% and 52.93% were recorded for 72 hours water-immersion cycle. Similarly, mean values for mixing ratio 3:1 after immersion in water for 24 hours ranged between 19.69 and 42.54% and between 23.44 and 46.81% for 48 hours while 26.41 and 50.56% was obtained for 72 hours water-immersion cycle for the blending proportions as shown in (Figure 1).

The Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) carried out at 95% probability level (Table II) showed that mixing ratio, blending proportion and immersion period have significant effect on water absorption after 24, 48 and 72 hours.

The Duncan Multiple Ranged Test (DMRT) for WA showed that there were significant difference between boards of blending proportion of 100:0, 80:20, 70:30, 90:10 when subjected to the three (3) time intervals (24, 48 & 72 hours) respectively as shown in Table 4.

Water Absorption is a physical property related to the dimensional stability of the boards, which gives an idea of how cement-bonded boards behave when exposed to severe humidity conditions, it is especially important in boards for external purposes. Wood water relation is the most indicative feature of wood with a major influence on dimensional stability and board durability.

Results obtained for board samples showed increases in the rate of water absorption after 24, 48 and 72 hours cycles from boards produced with 100% sawdust, mixture of sawdust, and banana stem to boards produced with 100% banana stem. This corroborates with the findings of Owoyemi and Ogunrinde (2013), which revealed that; “Water absorption decreased with increase M.R while board density increased with increase in M.R.” The boards with B.P 0:100 at M.R 3:1 is more porous because of the increased quantity of banana fibre-lengths in it. The inter-flake was filled with water thereby opened the void-spaces and contributed to the weight of the boards.

![Figure 1: Chart Showing Water Absorption Test](Image)
Table 1: Anova Table for Water Absorption

| Source of variation          | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F-cal | Sig. |
|------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| Mixing ratio                 | 2592.02        | 1  | 2592.02     | 25.97 | 0.01 |
| Blending proportion          | 6321.91        | 4  | 1580.48     | 15.84 | 0.01 |
| Time                         | 1428.42        | 2  | 714.21      | 7.16  | 0.01 |
| Mixing ratio * Blending      | 3462.56        | 4  | 865.64      | 8.67  | 0.01 |
|      proportion              |                |    |             |       |      |
| Mixing ratio * Time          | 135.42         | 2  | 67.71       | 0.68  | 0.51 |
| Blending proportion * Time   | 774.71         | 8  | 96.84       | 0.97  | 0.50 |
| Mixing ratio * Blending      | 472.49         | 8  | 59.06       | 0.59  | 0.78 |
|      proportion * Time       |                |    |             |       |      |
| Error                        | 11976.68       | 120| 99.81       |       |      |
| Total                        | 27164.21       | 149|             |       |      |

**Thickness Swelling**

The mean values for cement-bonded board of mixing ratio (MR) 2:1 ranged between 0.89 and 1.92% after 24 hrs immersion in water. For 48 hours, mean values ranged between 1.82 and 2.94% while soaking for 72 hours ranged between 2.16 and 3.38%.

For boards with MR 3:1, mean values after 24 hours ranged between 0.59 and 1.98%, 48 hours immersion-cycle recorded values between 1.15 and 3.5%. While 72 hours water-immersion cycle ranged between 1.31% and 4.00% (Figure 2). Analysis of Variance carried out at 95% probability level (Table III) showed that mixing ratio does not have a significant effect on T.S but blending proportion and soaking time have a significant effect on thickness swelling after 24, 48 and 72 hours.

A follow-up analysis conducted with Duncan Multiple Ranged Test (DMRT) on the boards showed that boards produced with a blending proportion of 100:0 have the highest rate of thickness swelling (i.e. swells rapidly) while there is a significant difference between the other boards of B.P (80:20, 70:30, 90:10, 0:100) at 24, 48 and 72 hours water-immersion cycle (Table 4).

Thickness swelling is a physical property for assessing the dimensional stability of the boards, it shows how cement-bonded boards perform under severe humidity conditions; it is especially useful in boards for external purposes. Thickness swelling value increases with an increase in fibre content of the board and decreases with an increase in sawdust. Similarly, T.S decreases with increasing cement to fibre ratio. This assertion corroborates with the works of Ajayi, (2003) which stated that Cement-bonded particleboard made at B.P 100:0 of Sawdust to banana flakes with M.R 3:1 of cement to cellulosic materials produced the least thickness swelling of 0.27%. This finding is also in agreement with previous work on composites board by Owoyemi and Ogunrinde (2012) on Flexural and Thickness Swelling Properties of Paper Cement Board made from Waste Paper that thickness swelling (TSW) for the boards produced with the highest M.R 2:1 cement paper ratio showed the least thickness swelling”. This observation as found in this research-work may be as a result of a reduction in cement paste mixed with sawdust and banana pseudo-stem fibres rendering water to penetrate the uncoated space of the experimental boards.
Table 2: Anova Table for Thickness Swelling

| Thickness Swelling | Source of variation       | Sum of Squares | Df  | Mean Square | F-cal | Sig.  |
|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|-------|
| Mixing ratio       |                           | 1.0            | 1   | 0.96        | 0.34  | 0.56  |
| Blending proportion|                           | 30.0           | 4   | 7.51        | 2.63  | 0.04  |
| Time               |                           | 59.5           | 2   | 29.74       | 10.41 | 0.01  |
| Mixing ratio * Blending proportion | | 16.6           | 4   | 4.16        | 1.46  | 0.22  |
| Mixing ratio * Time |                           | 0.4            | 2   | 0.19        | 0.07  | 0.94  |
| Blending proportion * Time | | 4.7            | 8   | 0.58        | 0.20  | 0.99  |
| Mixing ratio * Blending proportion * Time | | 3.7            | 8   | 0.46        | 0.16  | 1.00  |
| Error              |                           | 342.9          | 120 | 2.86        |       |       |
| Total              |                           | 458.8          | 149 |             |       |       |

**Figure 2: Chart Showing Thickness Swelling Test**

**Linear Expansion**

The LE mean values for test-samples produced with a mixing ratio of 2:1 after 24 hours water-immersion cycle ranged between 0.12% and 0.67% and LE mean values of 0.20% and 0.28% was determined after test-samples were immersed in water for 48 hours while boards immersed in water for 72 hours ranged between mean values of 0.28% and 0.75% respectively.

Boards of mixing ratio 3:1 was subjected to a similar linear expansion test and its mean values ranged between 0.10% and 0.63% after 24 hours immersion-cycle while the test-samples were determined with mean-values ranged between 0.16% and 0.77% after 48 hours water-immersion cycle. Finally, boards immersed in water for 72 hours ranged between mean values of 0.28% and 0.75% respectively for the blending proportions (Figure 3). The Analysis of Variance carried out at 95% probability level (Table 3) show that mixing ratio does not have a significant effect on T.S but blending proportion and time have a significant effect on thickness swelling after 24, 48, and 72 hours water immersion cycle. A follow-up analysis was conducted with Duncan Multiple Ranged Test (DMRT) on the test samples where boards produced with blending proportion of 100:0 have high linear expansion while there is a significant difference between the other boards of Blending Proportion 80:20, 70:30, 90:10, 0:100 after water absorption at 24, 48 and 72 hours cycle (Table 4).

Linear expansion is a function of the performance of cement-bonded boards when used in severe humidity prone environment, boards for
external purposes are often subjected to this physical test. The relationship between wood and water is the most significant feature of wood with a major influence on dimensional stability.

Linear expansion decreased from boards produced from pure cement and sawdust (B.P 100:0) to boards from pure cement and banana pseudo-stem fibres (B.P 0:100) as a result of the non-inclusion of banana pseudo-stem fibres in the structural integrity of boards produced with only sawdust.

Table 3: Anova Table for Lineae Expansion

| Linear Expansion Source of variation | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F-cal | Sig. |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|----|-------------|-------|------|
| Mixing ratio                        | 0.09           | 1  | 0.09        | 1.14  | 0.29 |
| Blending proportion                 | 5.86           | 4  | 1.46        | 18.64 | 0.01 |
| Time                                | 0.93           | 2  | 0.46        | 5.91  | 0.00 |
| Mixing ratio * Blending proportion  | 0.28           | 4  | 0.07        | 0.90  | 0.47 |
| Mixing ratio * Time                 | 0.01           | 2  | 0.01        | 0.08  | 0.93 |
| Blending proportion * Time          | 0.05           | 8  | 0.01        | 0.08  | 1.00 |
| Mixing ratio * Blending proportion * Time | 0.05 | 8 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 1.00 |
| Error                               | 9.42           | 120| 0.08        |       |      |
| Total                               | 16.77          | 149|             |       |      |

Table 4: Duncan Multiple Ranged Test for W.A, T.S & L.E

| Blending Proportion | Water Absorption | Thickness Swelling | Linear Expansion |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| 100:0               | 22.76a           | 1.32a              | 0.25a            |
| 90:10               | 30.88b           | 1.98ab             | 0.41b            |
| 80:20               | 38.05c           | 2.64b              | 0.24a            |
| 70:30               | 30.62b           | 2.35b              | 0.22a            |
| 0:100               | 42.35d           | 2.26b              | 0.75c            |

Density

The mean values for density of boards produced with cement/fibre mixing ratio of 2:1 ranged between 980.00 to 1,140.00 kg/m3 while the mean values for density of boards produced with Mixing ration 3:1 ranged between 1,010.00 and 1,360.00 kg/m3 (Figure 4) The result of ANOVA (Table 5) showed that blending proportion and
mixing ratio has a significant effect on the density of the boards produced.

Density refers to the mass or weight of materials per unit volume is very significant in the determination of strength and water holding capacity of the board. These works reveal that as board density increases, WA, TS, MOE, and MOR decreased which corroborates with the findings of Ajayi (2005) that board density has a significant effect on cement-bonded boards reinforced with fibrous materials.

Table 5: Anova Table for Density

| Density Source of variation | Sum of Squares | Df  | Mean Square | F-cal | Sig. |
|-----------------------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| Mixing ratio                | 2.23           | 1   | 2.23        | 30.52 | 0.02 |
| Blending proportion         | 4.87           | 4   | 1.22        | 16.63 | 0.01 |
| Mixing ratio * Blending proportion | 1.90   | 4   | 0.475       | 6.49  | 0.03 |
| Error                       | 2.93           | 40  | 0.073       |       |      |
| Total                       | 1.19           | 49  |             |       |      |

Mechanical Properties of Fibre-Reinforced Board

Modulus of Rupture

The mean values for MOR ranged between 2.55 to 7.59 N/mm² for mixing ratio 2:1 while the mean values for MOR ranged between 0.55 to 1.46 N/mm² for mixing ratio 3:1 by the blending proportions used for the production respectively (Figure 6). The Analysis of Variance (Table 6) showed that the mixing ratio is not significant on MOR and blending proportion is significant for the Modulus of Rupture.
Modulus of Elasticity

The mean values for MOE for boards with mixing ratio 2:1 ranged between 293.65 to 2367.01 N/mm² while boards with mixing ratio 3:1 ranged between 250.99 to 2009.28 N/mm² for 100-0 and 0-100 sawdust/fibre blending proportions respectively as used for the production (Figure 6). The Analysis of Variance (Table 7) showed that the mixing ratio had no significant effect on MOE while the blending proportion had a significant effect on the Modulus of Elasticity.

![Figure 6: Modulus of Elasticity of boards](image)

Table 7: Anova Table for Modulus of Elasticity

| MOE                  | Source of variation | Sum of Squares | df  | Mean Square | F-cal | Sig. |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| Mixing ratio         | 2.43                | 1              | 2.43| 1.1         | 0.31  |
| Blending proportion  | 126.49              | 4              | 31.62| 13.8       | 0.02  |
| Mixing ratio * Blending proportion | 10.88 | 4 | 2.72 | 1.2 | 0.33 |
| Error                | 91.51               | 40             | 2.29 |
| Total                | 231.30              | 49             |     |

Modulus of Rupture and Modulus of Elasticity is mechanical properties depicting the strength of board products, they give an idea of how cement-bonded boards behave when subjected to tensile stress and suggest the maximum load such boards can withstand before deformation simultaneously.

The tests on the board samples revealed that Boards produced with Mixing 3:1 tends to be the strongest due to more availability of short fibres of (50mm) in the structural integrity of the boards i.e filled the pore space. This assertion correlates with the opinion of Badejo et al., (2011) research on cement bonded board. They opined that boards produced from short fibres length had better mechanical properties.

Table 6: Anova Table for Modulus of Rupture

| MOR                  | Source of variation | Sum of Squares | df  | Mean Square | F-cal | Sig. |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| Mixing ratio         | 467735.16           | 1              | 467735.16| 1.70 | 0.20 |
| Blending proportion  | 19280000.00         | 4              | 4820207.77| 17.51| 0.03 |
| Mixing ratio * Blending proportion | 1909289.49 | 4 | 477322.37 | 1.73 | 0.162 |
| Error                | 11010000.00         | 40             | 275355.26 |
| Total                | 32670000.00         | 49             |     |
CONCLUSION

The use of agricultural residues as reinforcers in cement bonded board produced with sawdust has performed best in use. It is also a good strategy towards sustainable forest management and a feasible alternative to curtail the effects of over-exploitation of trees thus reducing pressure on the forests. Boards produced with banana-stem fibres have demonstrated good strength properties. The results from this work showed that the physical and mechanical properties of cement-bonded board can be improved when a minimum fibre-lengths included. This research work confirmed the possibilities of utilization of agricultural residues usually disposed of as waste on farms. It revealed that Boards produce from blending proportion 90:10 had better physical performance and high strength properties.
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