1. Introduction

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are organic chemicals that have a high vapor pressure at room temperature and a low boiling point in the range of 50–100 °C to 240–260 °C. The sources of VOCs are diverse and include burning fuel, such as gasoline, wood, coal, or natural gas, or a variety of consumer products such as cigarettes, solvents, pesticides, etc. Despite being valuable for many industrial applications, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are also a health hazard to humans.¹

Toluene is one of the most common VOCs, that is widely used in the production of fuels and other industrial products.²,³ Various studies have reported that exposure to toluene has major effects on human health, causing diseases such as encephalopathy, headaches and loss of coordination.⁴,⁵ The OSHA’s (occupational safety and health administration) permissible exposure limit (PEL) standard for toluene as an 8 hour time-weighted average (TWA) limit is 200 ppm, with a maximum limit of 300 ppm (not to be exceeded for more than 10 minutes in any 8 hour TWA); in quantities above 500 ppm it becomes immediately dangerous to life or health (IDLH).⁶

Hence, there is a pressing need to remove VOCs from the atmosphere. Various physical, chemical and biological removal techniques have been widely used such as adsorption,⁷–⁹ oxidation,¹⁰ biofiltration,¹¹ biodegradation,¹² bio-reactor removal¹³,¹⁴ and catalytic combustion.¹⁵ Among the above technologies, adsorption is a good candidate method for air purification, as it is efficient, low cost and a simple technique. Various porous materials have been studied as adsorbents for the abatement of VOCs from contaminated air. They consist of activated carbon, carbon nanotubes, zeolites, graphene and metal–organic frameworks (MOFs).⁵,⁶,¹⁶,¹⁷

Metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) are known to have explicit pore structures, a high specific surface area and a high pore volume, which makes them good candidates for many applications, such as gas storage and adsorption.⁵,⁶,¹⁷ Compared to other traditional porous materials like activated carbon, MOFs have excellent advantages for the removal of VOCs due to high specific surface area, diverse structural composition, a large number of active adsorption sites and cation–π bonding through electrostatic attraction with a benzene ring.²⁰ A large number of MOF materials are synthesized for VOCs adsorption, which were design to display high selectivity or capacity.²⁰ However, MOFs have a low resistance to high humidity and high
temperature, which significantly limits their application in a humid environment. 24 To alleviate this, the water stability of MOFs was enhanced by optimized synthetic methods which produced MOFs composites, including MOF–silica, MOF@zeolite, MOF@organic polymers, MOF@CNT and MOF@carbon. 25

The most widely used adsorbent for the adsorption process in industrial wastewater treatment systems is activated carbon due to its large specific surface area and high pore volumes. 25,26 On the other hand, the modification of activated carbon and the preparation of new composite adsorbent materials from modified activated carbon are necessary. 24 Therefore, researches have been continued for the preparation of new alternative adsorbents having reasonable adsorption efficiencies. 25

Also, activated carbon has been commonly used as adsorbents for volatile organic compounds (VOCs), due to the hydrophobic surface that is appropriate for adsorption of organic compounds. 24 In recent years, it has attracted attention as a low cost solution with a number of useful features (chemisorption, high surface area, material hardness, etc.). 27,28 Its surface area range is between 800 and 1200 m² g⁻¹. Generally, activated carbon can be used in a fixed, moving, or fluidized bed system. The advantages of fixed beds include low cost and longer packing life. 29 One of the essential factors for the removal of compounds is surface chemistry. The lack of functional groups on the external surface of the activated carbon (AC) can limit its practical use. Hence, a modification of its surface chemistry was a key point for the work presented here. Oxidized activated carbon (OAC), produced by the introduction of independent monoprotic functional groups on AC surfaces, such as carboxyls (–COOH) can be a favorable solution. Carboxyl groups are affiliated as common oxygen functions on activated carbons. The presence of surface oxygens can influence the adsorption properties of AC, as a result of the formation of additional and diverse binding sites. 3,26 Chemical or thermal treatments can be used to manipulate the proportion of oxygen surface groups. In contrast to heating, oxidation/activation can be used for increasing the number of oxygen surface groups. 26

Cu-BDC MOF contains copper nodes interconnected by 1,4-benzene dicarboxylate (BDC) coordinated as 2D layers within the bulk crystal. The Cu–BDC MOF is often water stable and can be used as an adsorbent to remove pollutants and gas storage. 19,34 By combining with other materials, the characteristics of MOFs can be enhanced to a greater extent for improved functionality/stability, simplicity of preparation and selectivity of operation. For example, the composite Cu-BTC@GO (comprising of Cu-BTC and graphite oxide) improves toluene adsorption capacity. 24 As it was mentioned, the MOF or modified MOF material proves magnificent adsorptive toluene performance in the adsorption system. Furthermore, some of the MOFs have been synthesized and studied under the specific attention of avoiding exposure to air, so enhancing the stability and suitability of MOF materials is needed more research field. The mixture of a MOF and AC can improve performance because most carbon-based materials inherently possess excellent stabilities towards water/vapor. In a study conducted for adsorptive desulfurization, MOF-5@AC was synthesized. The results showed that the composite was an excellent material for adsorptive desulfurization. 26

Further experimental research can provide insights on the effects of applying suitable conditions of critical operating parameters, including concentration, flow rate, temperature and relative humidity. 1 The optimal experimentation design will have to possess the following three features: (1) cost reduction; (2) accommodation of several parameters, for example, process, variety and separate parameters; (3) optimization of designs can be done when the design-space is constrained. 2,3,23

There are several types of design for experiments, such as one-factor-at-a-time and the response surface methodology (RSM) design. Taking into account the disadvantages of conventional one-factor-at-a-time optimization method such as requiring more runs for the same precision, time-consuming, high overall costs, failure to estimate interactions and missing optimal settings of parameters, using statistical methods such as response surface methodology (RSM) can be performed to enhance the production of a particular substance by optimization of operational variables. 2,3,23 Lately, there has been an enormous increase in the use of RSM in studies. 2,3,24 Amari et al. used central composite design (CCD) as an experimental design for the investigation of toluene adsorption onto acid-activated clay. They studied the effect of temperature, contact time, the mass ratio of liquid/solid and strength of the acid. The optimized proportions of the following variables, i.e., temperature, contact time, the mass ratio of liquid/solid and strength of acid were found to be 96.2 °C, 6.93 h, 5.98 and 32.94%, respectively. 1 To date, a number of studies have addressed the reduction of toluene just under certain circumstances such as concentration. 1,4,26,35,36 These studies did not perform an optimization of essential variables regarding the adsorption capacity. The present study thus addresses this knowledge gap with the aim to provide a comprehensive study of the adsorption behavior of toluene under various operational parameters.

As it was mentioned above, among many MOF materials, Cu–BDC MOF is inexpensive and environmentally friendly and also has a uniform pore size and open-pore structure. After Cu–BDC is combined with oxidized activated carbon, the hydrophobicity and specific surface area are increased by synergistic action. 19,34,37 Therefore, this article aimed to synthesize microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite from incorporating Cu–BDC MOF into oxidized activated carbon with high specific surface area and porosity to enhance the performance of toluene adsorption at various operating conditions. Also, the other purpose of this study is to present a systematic method to determine what range of essential variables has a higher effect on the VOC adsorption. For achieving this aim, optimization will be done by the response surface methodology. Finally, the reusability of the synthesized composite will be investigated.

2. Experimental

2.1 Materials

All chemicals used, including toluene, copper[Ⅰ] nitrate trihydrate, terephthalic acid, N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF), nitric acid (65%) and hydrochloric acid (1 M) [Trisitol® concentrated...
solution) were purchased from Merck Co. (Germany). Commercial activated carbon (815 m² g⁻¹) was supplied by Caware Int’l Corp. (Taiwan).

2.2 Pretreatment of adsorbents

Samples [activated carbon] were ground using a mortar grinder (RM 200, Retsch Company) and sieved to a mesh of 35–60 (250–500 µm). After that, the ground sample was mixed with distilled water using stirrer for 1 h with a velocity of 150 rpm to remove impurities, the suspension was passed through a standard sieve and washed 3 times using distilled water. Then, the sample was dried in the oven at 105 °C for 24 h to remove the moisture till constant weight. The dried sample was heated at 200 °C for 1 h in a furnace (Carbolite, UK) in the presence of air. Finally, the samples were maintained in a desiccator for further use.

2.3 Synthesis of Cu–BDC MOF

The Cu–BDC MOF was prepared by a previously reported method adapted from the published procedure, at first, 1 : 1 molar ratio of Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O (1.45 g, 6 mmol) and terephthalic acid (1 g, 6 mmol) were mixed in 75 mL DMF. The mixture was stirred for 10 min and after transferred to a glass reagent bottle heated at 110 °C for 36 h. The bottle was then cooled to room temperature outside the oven. The resulting blue precipitate was filtered and washed with fresh DMF several times over 24 h. Finally, the sample was dried in an oven at 220 °C for 24 h.

2.4 Synthesis of Cu–BDC@OAC composite

Oxidized activated carbon with terminal –COOH groups was prepared as previously described. In a typical preparation, commercial coconut shell activated carbon (7 g) was placed in reflux conditions for 4 h in 7.5 M nitric acid. Then, after the reaction is complete, the oxidized carbon is filtered off and washed with de-ionized water to stabilize its pH. Finally, it was dried at 75 °C under vacuum. Obtained samples were marked as oxidized activated carbon (OAC). Similarly, the preparation of the Cu–BDC@OAC composite was carried out by adding oxidized activated carbon (4 g) to well-dissolved MOF precursors (6 mmol from each one) in the same procedure as described for the Cu–BDC followed by continuous stirring for 30 min. The obtained precipitate was washed with DMF for several times and dried in an oven at 220 °C for 24 h.

2.5 Characterization

Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area analyzer (Belsorp-Mini II, Gemini 2375 (Bel Japan Inc.)) was used to measure the specific surface area and pore size distributions with N₂ adsorption/desorption at liquid nitrogen at -196 °C. For achieving this aim, each sample was degassed under the vacuum (10⁻⁵ torr) at 150 °C for 24 h. The BET surface area (S_BET) and micropore volumes from Dubinin–Radushkevich (W_d) were obtained from the N₂ adsorption isotherm. Based on the Gurvitch rule, total pore volume was determined, as the N₂ adsorbed at P/P₀ = 0.95. Furthermore, mesopore volume was calculated subtracting the micropore volume to the total pore volume. Also, pore size distributions were determined by applying quenched solid density functional theory (QSDFT) to the N₂ adsorption data.

The titration method developed by Boehm was applied to study the surface chemistry of AC. The X-ray powder diffraction patterns were recorded on X’pert MPD Philips DW371 with Cu Kα radiation (λ = 1.5406 Å). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy was used to the analysis of the chemical structure and binding features of activated carbon, oxidized activated carbon, MOF and composite (FT-IR, Brucker V33 instrument). The spectra were obtained in the range of 4000–400 cm⁻¹, at the resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ with 45 scans using the KBr pellet method. The morphologies of samples were also observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, TESCAN VEGA-XMU) using ultrathin coating of gold (Au) with an accelerating voltage of 20 kV with 3000× magnification.

2.6 Dynamic adsorption and desorption experiments

The samples were granulated and sieved between 35 and 60 meshes. On a continuous flow type fixed bed reactor, the adsorption and desorption of toluene were performed. The injection technique was used to generate vapors of a particular concentration of toluene.

Fig. 1 shows the schematic diagram of the adsorption and desorption experimental set-up. Firstly, the air produced by a pump passed through a tube containing charcoal to remove potential impurities in the air stream. The flow meter was carried out to control the airflow rate. Toluene vapor was produced by vaporizing toluene flowed out from the syringe pump at a constant rate. The adsorbent was packed in a quartz tube with a 10 mm internal diameter, 12 mm outer diameter and 150 mm long, which MCE (mixed cellulose ester membrane) filter with 37 mm size (SKC) was placed on the top of the reactor as an adsorbent holder. Before any experiment, adsorbents were heated at 110 °C for 2 h in order to remove adsorbed water from the air.

Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of the experimental setup.
Eqn (1) presents the residence time under this condition:

\[ t = \frac{\text{bed volume}}{\text{flow rate}} \]  

(1)

The residence time alters between 0.01 and 0.1 s. The influent and effluent concentrations were measured using a calibrated portable handheld VOC detector equipped with a photoionization detector (MultiRAE, made in the USA). Attention was always paid once the gas mixture was constant at upstream, the adsorption experiment might start.

A humidifier was used to provide the desired relative humidity, which was placed at the upstream. The required temperature was provided using a thermostated bath with a temperature uncertainty of 0.1 °C. The temperature and relative humidity were controlled using ETS electro-tech systems humidity and temperature controller. Breakthrough curves were used to study the activities of the adsorbents toward toluene. The time of the adsorption breakthrough point \( t_b \) and equilibrium \( t_e \) (min) was obtained when the \( C/C_0 \) reached to 0.1 and 0.5, respectively. The adsorption capacity of adsorbent (mg g\(^{-1}\)) was as the total mass of adsorbed toluene per unit weight of adsorbent. It was obtained using eqn (2):

\[ q = \frac{Q}{M} \left( \int_0^{t_e} (C_0 - C)dt \right) \]  

(2)

where \( C_0 \) and \( C \) were influent and effluent concentrations of toluene (mg m\(^{-3}\)). \( Q \) was the volumetric flow rate (m\(^3\) h\(^{-1}\)), \( M \) was the total mass of adsorbent (g) and \( t_e \) (min) was the time required to reach 50% breakthrough.

For optimization of toluene adsorption, five parameters, including adsorbent mass, flow rate, the concentration of the sample, relative humidity and temperature, were chosen according to preliminary studies and experiments. Because of some drawbacks such as time-consuming and not considering the interaction of the important parameters in the conventional optimization techniques, such as one variable at a time, the response surface methodology (RSM) was selected to design optimization techniques, such as the total mass of adsorbent (g) and \( t_e \) (min) was the time required to reach 50% breakthrough.

For assessment of the fitting of results along with the experimental conditions with the response surface models, the main, quadratic and interaction relationships between output responses and independent factors were studied using a second-order polynomial model according to the eqn (3):

\[ \hat{R} = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i<j}^{n} b_{ij} x_i x_j + \varepsilon \]  

(3)

where \( \hat{R} \) is the predicted response, \( x_i \) is variables, \( b_0, b_i, b_{ii}, b_{ij} \) are the regression coefficients and \( \varepsilon \) is the statistical error.

Table 1 presents the selected operational range of parameters.

Central composite design (CCD) (a full factorial \( 2^n \) of orthogonal type) with 8 central and 10 axial points was used by design expert 11. According to the suggested experimental design, the effective parameters were particularly studied in two sets of 50-run experiments for adsorption of toluene onto the adsorbents. The adsorption capacity of the adsorbents was defined as the response value (\( y \)).

Table 1 Level of independent variables considered in the study of the adsorption of toluene using central composite design (CCD)

| Factors                  | Unit       | Symbol | Variable level |
|--------------------------|------------|--------|----------------|
| Adsorbent mass           | mg         | \( x_1 \) | -2  60   105  150  195 |
| Flow rate                | ml min\(^{-1}\) | \( x_2 \) | 35  90  145  200  255 |
| Adsorbate concentration  | ppm        | \( x_3 \) | 50  200  350  500  650 |
| Relative humidity        | %          | \( x_4 \) | 10  30  50  70  90 |
| Temperature              | °C         | \( x_5 \) | 14  26  38  50  62 |

Fig. 2 The XRD patterns of (a) Cu–BDC MOF and (b) Cu–BDC@OAC composite.
3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of Cu–BDC@OAC composite

As shown in Fig. 2, the XRD patterns of Cu–BDC MOF and Cu–BDC@OAC composite are presented, comparatively. Fig. 2a represents the XRD pattern of the Cu–BDC with sharp peaks, which are consistent with the diffraction peaks centered at 2θ = 8.3° and 9.1° representing (001) and (020) planes of crystal structure respectively, match well with relevant reported in the literature. The high intensity of the peaks in the XRD pattern demonstrated that the good crystallization of Cu–BDC MOF was developed. Also, the characteristic peaks of Cu–BDC MOF are observed in the composite based on oxidized activated carbon, which confirms the successful formation of Cu–BDC@OAC composite. The appearance of the two main peaks at (2θ = 8.3° and 9.1°) less than 2θ = 10° in both Cu–BDC MOF and Cu–BDC@OAC indicates that the DMF solvent completely evaporated at 220 °C.

The SEM images of the as-synthesized Cu–BDC, oxidized activated carbon and Cu–BDC@OAC composite are shown in Fig. 3 respectively. The SEM image shows that the Cu–BDC MOF particles are uniform with well-formed cubic microcrystals and, which are in agreement with their XRD patterns and their average edge length is about 9.3 μm. Its morphology was compatible with the previous results that were achieved from hydrothermal synthesis. Fig. 3b shows that the oxidized activated carbon is amorphous and its particle size is below 10 μm. Comparing the SEM images of oxidized activated carbon and Cu–BDC@OAC composite (Fig. 3b and c) the successful formation of crystalline Cu–BDC on oxidized activated carbon can be observed. Furthermore, the EDS spectra and elemental analysis data (Fig. 3d) show that the Cu–BDC@OAC composite
has been successfully synthesized and it is confirmed by the presence of Cu.

The specific textural properties of oxidized AC, Cu–BDC and Cu–BDC@OAC composite were determined by N2 adsorption–desorption measurements at −196 °C (Fig. 4a). According to the IUPAC nomenclature, the illustrated isotherm of oxidized AC was type I, which is typical for microporous materials.

The specific BET surface area obtained for the oxidized AC is 632 m² g⁻¹, and the total volume of pores is 0.277 cm³ g⁻¹. Cu–BDC and Cu–BDC@OAC composite revealed the isotherm type I with H2 hysteresis, which is related to microporous materials with a BET surface area of 686 and 712 m² g⁻¹ respectively. In the N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms of Cu–BDC and Cu–BDC@OAC composite, there is a small hysteresis loop, which can be attributed to the H2-type hysteresis loop, indicating the presence of mesopores.⁴⁶ Larger micropore volume of Cu–BDC@OAC sample leads to a higher N2 adsorption capacity at low pressures. As shown in Fig. 4a, Cu–BDC and Cu–BDC@OAC have higher N2 adsorption capacity at high pressures because of their larger V_total (Table 2). Also, there has been some increase in the specific surface area of Cu–BDC@OAC composite even more than pure Cu–BDC, which could be due to the synergistic action.⁴⁶⁷ Pore size distribution (PSD) demonstrates a model of solid internal structure, which shows that a similar set of non-interacting and commonly shaped model pores can indicate the complex void spaces. The PSD is nearly attributed to both kinetic and equilibrium features of porous material, and it can be the most significant factor to characterize the structural heterogeneity of porous materials. Fig. 4b shows the pore size distributions of the adsorbents derived from QSDFT. Therefore, the prepared Cu–BDC@OAC composite has mainly micropores with some mesopores. The presence of the uplift curve at diameter < 2.5 nm for Cu–BDC@OAC proves the existence of micropore structure.⁴⁸ Also, the BET surface areas and different textural parameters of the samples are listed in Table 2. From this table, it can be found that introducing Cu–BDC MOF to OAC caused a significant effect on the textural features (Cu–BDC@OAC).

The FT-IR spectra of the activated carbon, oxidized AC, Cu–BDC and Cu–BDC@OAC composite were displayed in Fig. 5. There is a clear difference in the IR spectrum between the oxidized AC compared to the original activated carbon after the oxidation with nitric acid. Typical bands of the oxidized AC at
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**Table 2**  Textural parameters of the samples

| Sample       | S_BET (m² g⁻¹) | S_DFT (m² g⁻¹) | V_micro (cm³ g⁻¹) | V_meso (cm³ g⁻¹) | V_total (cm³ g⁻¹) |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Oxidized AC  | 632            | 523            | 0.260            | 0.017            | 0.277            |
| Cu–BDC      | 686            | 707            | 0.269            | 0.066            | 0.335            |
| Cu–BDC@OAC  | 712            | 966            | 0.287            | 0.049            | 0.336            |

Fig. 4  (a) N2 adsorption–desorption isotherms and (b) QSDFT pore size distribution of oxidized activated carbon, Cu–BDC, and Cu–BDC@OAC composite, Open symbols adsorption branch, closed symbols desorption branch.

Fig. 5  FT-IR spectra of activated carbon, oxidized AC, Cu–BDC and Cu–BDC@OAC composite.
1716 cm$^{-1}$ can be assigned to the stretching vibration from ketones, or carboxyl groups. Also, the 1577 cm$^{-1}$ band belongs to the aromatic ring conjugated with C=O carbonyl groups. The broad peak around the 3400 cm$^{-1}$ represents the carboxylic OH group. The 1185 cm$^{-1}$ peak corresponded to C–O stretching vibration and OH bending mode of alcoholic, phenolic and carboxylic groups. In the as-synthesized Cu–BDC spectra, the sharp absorption peaks at 1602 and 1398 cm$^{-1}$ belong to the asymmetric and symmetric stretching modes of the carboxylate groups, respectively. The bands at 1512 and 743 cm$^{-1}$ are assigned to the vibrations of the phenyl ring. The vibration bands at 468 and 556 cm$^{-1}$ can be considered as the stretching vibration peaks of Cu–O.$^{39}$ Also, there are no solvent (DMF) absorption peaks, which is in agreement with the XRD patterns of these samples. All of the mentioned peaks of Cu–BDC are observed in the infrared spectrum of the Cu–BDC@OAC composite without shift.

Notably, the peak 1716 cm$^{-1}$ for the oxidized AC shifts to a lower wavenumber or disappears, which may be due to bond formation between Cu ions in Cu–BDC MOF and –COO groups on the oxidized AC surface.

The Boehm titration revealed that the quantities of carboxylic, lactonic and phenolic groups are, respectively, 1.7, 0.55 and 1.25 meq g$^{-1}$ for oxidized AC. The surface of the original

---

**Table 3** Toluene adsorption capacities of different adsorbents

| Adsorbents          | Experimental conditions$^a$ | Toluene adsorption capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) | Reference |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------------|-----------|
| Cu–BDC@OAC         | $T$: 26, RH: 30, Q: 90, $C_0$: 500 | 220.7                                    | This study |
| Cu-BTC@ZSM-5$^b$   | $T$: 25, RH: 30, Q: 180, $C_0$: $\sim$345 | 158.6                                    | 52        |
| ASMS$^c$            | $T$: 35, Q: 60, $C_0$: 2 | 98.1                                    | 53        |
| CPM-5$^d$           | $T$: 25, RH: 30, Q: 600, $C_0$: 1 | 50                                      | 8         |
| SWCNT [NaOCl]$^e$  | $T$: 25, $C_0$: 200 | 103.2                                    | 54        |
| ACF$^f$             | $T$: 20, $C_0$: $\sim$100 | 85                                       | 55        |

$^a$ $T$ = temperature (°C); RH = relative humidity (%); Q = flow rate (mL min$^{-1}$); $C_0$ = initial toluene concentration (ppm). $^b$ Copper–benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylic acid@Zeolite Socony Mobil-5. $^c$ Amino-functionalized spherical mesoporous silica. $^d$ Crystalline porous material. $^e$ Single-walled carbon nanotube. $^f$ Activated carbon fiber.
activated carbon does not contain a carboxylic group and is approximately zero. Carboxylic groups are the higher acidity, followed by phenolic groups, and then lactonic groups.

Fig. 6 depicts the schematic synthesis of Cu–BDC on the oxidized AC surface and the proposed mechanism of toluene adsorption on the Cu–BDC@OAC composite adsorbent. After the oxidation reaction on the surface of activated carbon with HNO₃, the proportion of acidic functional groups, particularly the carboxylic acid (–COOH) groups, was escalated on the surface of AC (approved by Boehm titration). After adding

---

**Fig. 7** Breakthrough curves of toluene on the Cu–BDC@OAC composite at different (a) adsorbent masses, (b) concentrations, (c) flow rates, (d) temperatures and (e) relative humidity levels.
oxidized activated carbon to well-dissolved MOF precursors, the chemical bond is formed between Cu\(^{2+}\) ions in MOF and –COO groups on the surface of oxidized activated carbon due to the existence of vacant coordination sites in Cu(II).\(^{49}\) Also, the proposed mechanism of toluene adsorption is presented in Fig. 6. Pore-filling is proposed to be an important mechanism participating in the micropore of activated carbon and Cu–BDC in the synthesized composite. Furthermore, Cu–BDC@OAC has other types of adsorption mechanisms, which include transition metal cation–π interactions, π–π stacking, donor-acceptor complexes with the C=O group (–COOH) and hydrophobic interactions from phenyl ring in the wall of the structure of MOF. Cu\(^{2+}\) cation could form π-complex bonds with toluene molecules, creating more active adsorption sites, which greatly enhanced the selectivity of adsorption (confirmed by the adsorption tests).\(^{50}\)

| Table 4 Adsorption capacity and breakthrough time of the microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite in different operating conditions |
|---|
| Run no. | Std Type | Adsorbent mass (mg) | Flow rate (mL/min\(^{-1}\)) | Concentration (ppm) | Temperature (°C) | Relative humidity (%) | Adsorption capacity (mg g\(^{-1}\)) | Breakthrough time (min) |
| 1 | 17 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 200 | 50 | 30 | 205.38 | 36.33 |
| 2 | 16 Factorial | 150 | 200 | 500 | 26 | 70 | 192.11 | 15.29 |
| 3 | 14 Factorial | 150 | 90 | 500 | 26 | 70 | 196.44 | 34.75 |
| 4 | 35 Center | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 187.55 | 14.93 |
| 5 | 27 Factorial | 60 | 200 | 200 | 50 | 70 | 215.04 | 15.21 |
| 6 | 21 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 500 | 50 | 30 | 189.92 | 37.79 |
| 7 | 38 Center | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 197.55 | 15.72 |
| 8 | 36 Center | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 190.44 | 20.91 |
| 9 | 37 Center | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 194.44 | 20.91 |
| 10 | 12 Factorial | 150 | 200 | 200 | 26 | 70 | 188.92 | 37.79 |
| 11 | 11 Factorial | 60 | 200 | 200 | 50 | 30 | 199.7 | 15.9 |
| 12 | 24 Factorial | 150 | 200 | 500 | 50 | 30 | 206.66 | 16.45 |
| 13 | 15 Factorial | 60 | 200 | 500 | 26 | 70 | 199.47 | 6.35 |
| 14 | 28 Factorial | 150 | 200 | 200 | 50 | 70 | 184.74 | 36.76 |
| 15 | 26 Factorial | 150 | 90 | 200 | 50 | 70 | 186.76 | 82.59 |
| 16 | 5 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 500 | 26 | 30 | 220.79 | 15.62 |
| 17 | 34 Center | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 200.44 | 22 |
| 18 | 3 Factorial | 60 | 200 | 200 | 26 | 30 | 208.5 | 16.6 |
| 19 | 10 Factorial | 150 | 90 | 200 | 26 | 70 | 194.34 | 85.95 |
| 20 | 22 Factorial | 150 | 90 | 500 | 50 | 30 | 210.56 | 37.24 |
| 21 | 4 Factorial | 150 | 200 | 200 | 26 | 30 | 202.4 | 40.28 |
| 22 | 33 Center | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 190.44 | 20.91 |
| 23 | 18 Factorial | 150 | 90 | 200 | 50 | 30 | 200.39 | 88.62 |
| 24 | 2 Factorial | 150 | 90 | 200 | 50 | 30 | 204.11 | 90.27 |
| 25 | 7 Factorial | 60 | 200 | 500 | 26 | 30 | 215.4 | 6.86 |
| 26 | 29 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 500 | 50 | 70 | 197.33 | 13.42 |
| 27 | 8 Factorial | 150 | 200 | 500 | 26 | 30 | 200.49 | 16.67 |
| 28 | 1 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 500 | 26 | 30 | 211.63 | 37.44 |
| 29 | 6 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 500 | 26 | 30 | 213.46 | 37.76 |
| 30 | 13 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 500 | 26 | 30 | 203.24 | 14.38 |
| 31 | 9 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 200 | 50 | 70 | 197.76 | 39.35 |
| 32 | 20 Factorial | 150 | 200 | 200 | 50 | 30 | 201.06 | 35.56 |
| 33 | 30 Factorial | 150 | 90 | 500 | 50 | 70 | 192.95 | 34.13 |
| 34 | 32 Factorial | 150 | 200 | 500 | 50 | 70 | 189.67 | 15.09 |
| 35 | 31 Factorial | 60 | 200 | 500 | 50 | 70 | 190.62 | 6.07 |
| 36 | 25 Factorial | 60 | 90 | 200 | 50 | 30 | 192.33 | 34.02 |
| 37 | 23 Factorial | 60 | 200 | 500 | 50 | 30 | 209.41 | 6.66 |
| 38 | 46 Axial | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 90 | 175.61 | 19.28 |
| 39 | 40 Axial | 195 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 201.87 | 41.16 |
| 40 | 41 Axial | 15 | 35 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 208.52 | 94.86 |
| 41 | 44 Axial | 105 | 145 | 650 | 38 | 50 | 209.23 | 12.37 |
| 42 | 45 Axial | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 10 | 213.95 | 23.49 |
| 43 | 49 Center | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 195.79 | 21.49 |
| 44 | 48 Axial | 105 | 145 | 350 | 62 | 50 | 196.64 | 21.59 |
| 45 | 50 Center | 105 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 195.79 | 21.49 |
| 46 | 47 Axial | 105 | 145 | 350 | 14 | 50 | 209.53 | 23 |
| 47 | 39 Axial | 15 | 145 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 213.34 | 3.34 |
| 48 | 43 Axial | 105 | 145 | 50 | 38 | 50 | 174.83 | 152.83 |
| 49 | 42 Axial | 105 | 255 | 350 | 38 | 50 | 199.96 | 12.48 |
3.2 Toluene adsorption studies

3.2.1 Dynamic adsorption behaviors. In order to study the adsorption behavior of the composite toward toluene, adsorption capacity, and breakthrough points resulting from column tests were employed in a continuous mode. The best adsorption capacity and breakthrough point of Cu–BDC@OAC composite were 220.7 mg g⁻¹ and 152.8 min, respectively. The comparison of the adsorption capacity for toluene vapor by adsorbents reported in the literature is presented in Table 3. The present microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite demonstrates the better performance of toluene adsorption than many kinds of adsorbents, which recommends that the microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite is an efficient toluene adsorbent. The microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite acquires good potential for toluene removal in air purification. The current results showed that the volume of micropores was an important factor for the removal of VOCs and are consistent with those reported in the literature. Based on the theory of volume filling of micropores, adsorption is increased because of the overlap of dispersion forces from adjacent pore walls.

The effects of different operational parameters for adsorption of toluene on the composite were studied separately. The effect of adsorbent mass on the adsorption capacity in order to determine the required adsorbent quantity for maximum removal of toluene was investigated and illustrated in Fig. 7a. Adsorbent mass studies were done at temperature 40 °C, flow rate 150 mL min⁻¹ and initial concentration 350 ppm with relative humidity 50% for three different adsorbent masses (100–300–500 mg). At first glance, it is evident that the breakthrough point of the adsorbent declines with the increasing weight of the adsorbent. The adsorption capacity declined from 195 mg g⁻¹ to 149.5 mg g⁻¹ when the weight of the adsorbent was increased from 100 mg to 500 mg. This can be interpreted as the higher the mass of adsorbent, the greater the accessibility of the interchangeable sites or surface offered to the adsorption of toluene.

The influence of the initial concentration on the adsorption capacity of the adsorbent was examined. The experiments were performed at various initial concentrations (100–200–400 ppm) at the fixed adsorbent mass of 100 mg, temperature 40 °C, flow rate 150 mL min⁻¹ and relative humidity 50%. The adsorption capacity decreased with an increase in initial concentration (Fig. 7b). The adsorption capacity reduced from 198.9 mg g⁻¹ to 178.6 mg g⁻¹ when the toluene concentration was increased from 100 ppm to 400 ppm. The toluene concentration influences the diffusion of toluene molecules to the surface of adsorbent since agitation speed is constant. An increase of the toluene concentration accelerates the diffusion of toluene molecules onto the adsorbent as a result of the increase in the driving force of the concentration gradient.

The effect of flow rate on the adsorption of toluene is depicted in Fig. 7c. The flow rate experiments were conducted at three different flow rates of air passing from adsorbent at a temperature of 40 °C, the relative humidity of 50% and initial concentration of 350 ppm for a constant adsorbent mass of 100 mg. The adsorption capacity of toluene was found to decline with increasing flow rate. Also, when the flow rate increased, the breakthrough curve becomes steeper. With increasing flow rate,
the adsorption capacity is decreased due to the increase in the velocity of passing airstream over the composite surface; so, whether the residence time of the toluene in the reactor is not long sufficient for adsorption equilibrium to be reached at that flow rate, the toluene vapor leaves the reactor before equilibrium happens. Thence, at a higher flow rate, the contact time of toluene ions with the adsorbent is very short so that the adsorption capacity will be declined.28,58

The relationship between temperature and adsorption capacity was illustrated in Fig. 7d. The experiments were done for an initial concentration of 350 ppm at a flow rate 150 mL min\(^{-1}\) and relative humidity 50% with constant carbon loading of adsorbent mass of 100 mg. The results reveal that the amount of toluene adsorbed declined moderately with increasing temperature from 21 °C to 40 °C. The decrease in the adsorption capacity of toluene indicates that the significance of the physisorption mechanism between the composite and gaseous toluene.47,59

Fig. 7e illustrates the relationship between humidity and adsorption capacity. Humidity experiments were executed at temperature 40 °C, flow rate 150 mL min\(^{-1}\) and initial concentration 350 ppm at the fixed adsorbent mass of 100 mg for three different relative humidity ranges (30–50–80%). It is evident from Fig. 7e that the presence of relative humidity (RH) has a significant negative impact on the rate of toluene adsorption on the adsorbent, demonstrating a competitive adsorption process between toluene and water vapor. The amount of adsorption capacity declines relatively in the presence of 30% RH, and this proportion drops noticeably in the presence of 80% RH. The structure of the composite could be deformed due to interactions between hydrogens in H\(_2\)O and oxygens in carboxylate, so it hindered toluene from reaching the active sites of the adsorbent.47,60,61

### 3.2.2 The optimization of adsorption conditions

Herein, the RSM was applied to optimize the adsorption of toluene in the airstream using adsorbents. In this section, the microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite was investigated. Table 4 presents the experimental design used for the investigation of toluene adsorption over the composite. The values in adsorption presented in Table 5 indicate the validity of the models to
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### Table 6 The optimum point for adsorption process through point prediction method

| Solution no. | Adsorbent mass (mg) | Concentration (ppm) | Flow rate (mL min$^{-1}$) | Temperature (°C) | Relative humidity (%) | Adsorption capacity (mg g$^{-1}$) |
|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| 1            | 60.000              | 500.000             | 90.000                   | 26.000           | 30.000                | 222.811                          |
| 2            | 61.885              | 494.524             | 90.064                   | 26.450           | 30.391                | 221.709                          |
| 3            | 62.336              | 486.535             | 90.950                   | 26.025           | 30.170                | 221.405                          |
| 4            | 60.099              | 499.773             | 90.150                   | 26.123           | 33.753                | 221.140                          |
| 5            | 60.011              | 465.943             | 90.051                   | 26.008           | 30.024                | 221.050                          |

$R^2 = 0.9717$, which is for eqn (4), demonstrates that almost 2.83% of the whole alterations were not adequately explained by the model. From Table 5, it is immediately apparent that the statistical $F$ value of the model was high. This great value represents that the adsorption capacity can be acceptably explained by the model. The residual error is the unexplained part of the model (4.51), which is presented in Table 5. It was seen that the linear effects of all the factors were significant ($P < 0.001$) and the coefficient of $X_1X_3$, $X_1X_4$, $X_2X_3$ and $X_2X_4$ were considerably significant ($P < 0.001$) in comparison with the interaction terms $X_1X_3$ ($P = 0.0299$) and $X_3X_4$ ($P = 0.0012$). The most important term on the adsorption capacity was $X_4$ with $F$-value 536.83.

The behavior of the response surface models can be shown using graphical representations of the parameter dependencies, such as 3D response plots, where the interaction influence of the parameters have been mapped against the response factors. The adsorption capacities of the adsorbent (the Cu–BDC@OAC) over the various mixtures of independent parameters were therefore shown through plots (Fig. 8a–d). In this way, the plots were illustrated as a function of two parameters at a time, maintaining other variables at a fixed state. The response surfaces at low and high levels of the parameters lead to minimal capacity of the adsorbent. The results show that there is an area where neither an increasing nor a decreasing trend in the adsorption capacity is observed. This trend demonstrates an optimum point for the adsorption parameters to enhance the
capacity of CuO/AC 0.3 wt% composite (AC03) was 701.8 mg g\(^{-1}\).\(^{27}\) Zhang et al., reported the adsorption capacities of UiO-66, CTAB-U-0.3, CTAB-U-0.5 and CTAB-U-1 were 151, 177, 275 and 204 mg g\(^{-1}\), respectively.

3.2.3 The regenerability of the microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite. The reusability performance of adsorbent was a significant factor in investigating the application of adsorbents. Toluene was desorbed using a temperature-programmed desorption process following the dynamic toluene adsorption and, therefore, re-adsorbed for three thermal regeneration cycles at 150 °C in N\(_2\) flow for 4 h to evaluate the reversibility of toluene adsorption on the microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite. Fig. 10a illustrates the regenerability of toluene adsorption on the adsorbent. The adsorption capacity of toluene over the adsorbent at the third run was 172.9 mg g\(^{-1}\), which is almost 78% of the initial values. The microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite showed good recyclability and stability and had the potential to be a suitable adsorbent for toluene removal. Furthermore, Fig. 10b shows the N\(_2\) adsorption-desorption isotherms of the fresh and recovered adsorbent (run 3), which confirms the stability of the porous structure. The BET surface area decreased from 712 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\) in the fresh sample to 352 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\), which may be due to a blockage or destruction of some pores.

4. Conclusions

In summary, the microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite was synthesized by \textit{in situ} incorporation of the Cu–BDC MOF to oxidized activated carbon with terminal –COOH groups. Characterization of this adsorbent showed the larger specific surface area (712 m\(^2\) g\(^{-1}\)) than that of Cu–BDC MOF and oxidized AC, respectively. Dispersed Cu–O bonds combined with hydrophobic phenyl groups and oxygen-containing functional groups of oxidized AC lead to enhance the surface affinity to toluene and prepare more active adsorption sites. The pore structure of adsorbents was identified to play an important role in the adsorption features of toluene. Micropores appeared a positive effect on the adsorption of toluene. Optimization based on the statistical design of experiments was shown to be a useful tool for predicting and investigating the interaction effects of experimental factors. RSM and the CCD were proper for determining the optimal conditions for toluene adsorption onto the Cu–BDC@OAC composite. An adsorbent mass of 60 mg, a flow rate of 90 mL min\(^{-1}\), a concentration of 500 ppm, relative humidity of 30% and a temperature of 26 °C were found to be the optimized process conditions. The maximum adsorption capacity of toluene onto Cu–BDC@OAC composite was 222.811 mg g\(^{-1}\), which increased by almost 12% and 50% compared with pure Cu–BDC and oxidized AC, respectively. The regeneration of the composite was still up to 78% after three consecutive adsorption–desorption cycles. The higher adsorption capacity and reusability make the microporous Cu–BDC@OAC composite a superior VOCs adsorbent.
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