High-Throughput Sequencing to Reveal Genes Involved in Reproduction and Development in *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Diptera: Tephritidae)
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**Abstract**

**Background:** Tephritid fruit flies in the genus *Bactrocera* are of major economic significance in agriculture causing considerable loss to the fruit and vegetable industry. Currently, there is no ideal control program. Molecular means is an effective method for pest control at present, but genonomic or transcriptomic data for members of this genus remains limited. To facilitate molecular research into reproduction and development mechanisms, and finally effective control on these pests, an extensive transcriptome for the oriental fruit fly *Bactrocera dorsalis* was produced using the Roche 454-FLX platform.

**Results:** We obtained over 350 million bases of cDNA derived from the whole body of *B. dorsalis* at different developmental stages. In a single run, 747,206 sequencing reads with a mean read length of 382 bp were obtained. These reads were assembled into 28,782 contigs and 169,966 singletons. The mean contig size was 750 bp and many nearly full-length transcripts were assembled. Additionally, we identified a great number of genes that are involved in reproduction and development as well as genes that represent nearly all major conserved metazoan signal transduction pathways, such as insulin signal transduction. Furthermore, transcriptome changes during development were analyzed. A total of 2,977 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were detected between larvae and pupae libraries, while there were 1,621 DEGs between adults and larvae, and 2,002 between adults and pupae. These DEGs were functionally annotated with KEGG pathway annotation and 9 genes were validated by qRT-PCR.

**Conclusion:** Our data represent the extensive sequence resources available for *B. dorsalis* and provide for the first time access to the genetic architecture of reproduction and development as well as major signal transduction pathways in the Tephritid fruit fly pests, allowing us to elucidate the molecular mechanisms underlying courtship, ovipositing, development and detailed analyses of the signal transduction pathways.

---

**Introduction**

Tephritid fruit flies are of major economic importance in agriculture, causing damage to fruits and other plant crops. The genus *Bactrocera* is of worldwide notoriety for its destructive impact on agriculture. Adults lay eggs in fruit and the hatched larvae feed inside. Various species of fruit flies in the genus *Bactrocera* vary in the number of generations and in the type of host plants used for feeding and ovipositing. Due to the wide host range and high fecundity of the adults as well as the great adaptability of the larvae, effective control of *Bactrocera* is of great value. The oriental fruit fly, *B. dorsalis*, is one of the most important quarantine pests in Asian countries. It can feed on up to 250 different types of fruits and vegetables, causing severe economic loss [1]. Therefore, it is important to identify more molecular targets involved in adult reproduction and larval development in *B. dorsalis*, to formulate simple and effective strategies in agricultural pest control.

Compared to the model insect species whose genomes have been sequenced, such as *Drosophila melanogaster, Anopheles gambiae,* and *Bombyx mori*, genomic sequence resources for Tephritid fruit flies are limited. The EST approach to obtain detailed information on transcriptome signatures that relate to some physiological processes in the medfly *Ceratitis capitata* has indicated the significance of large-scale gene discovery in insects lacking genomic sequence information [2]. But gene discovery using 3730 DNA analyzer in the *C. capitata* cDNA libraries of embryos and adult heads is limited to genes involved in reproduction, sex determination, and chemosensory perception [2]. Although the
known resources available on NCBI for B. dorsalis have facilitated the study of gene function during yolk protein synthesis [3,4], large scale molecular studies in B. dorsalis remain limited. There is a lack of large-scale sequencing in species of Tephritidae.

The introduction of novel high-throughput sequencing technologies has provided significant convenience for further studies of non-model organisms including insects [5,6]. Next generation sequencing technologies such as the 454 pyrosequencing and Illumina have been widely used to identify genes involved in several physiological processes and behaviors. An EST library has been built for the flesh fly Sarcophaga crassipalpis utilizing massively parallel pyrosequencing with the Roche 454-FLX platform [7]. A transcriptomic study in the lepidopteran model host Galleria mellonella by 454 pyrosequencing has created extensive resources for Galleria, especially for immune related genes [8]. The 454 sequencing technology has also been used in the milkweed bug Oncopeltus fasciatus to discover genes participating in several early developmental processes [9]. The transcriptome of Bemisia tabaci has been built by Illumina sequencing and a large number of genes associated with development and insecticide resistance were identified [10]. Transcriptome analysis of B. dorsalis which mainly focused on genes involved in insecticide resistance has been performed by Illumina sequencing recently [11]. However, a comprehensive identification of genes involved in reproduction and development as well as major signal transduction pathways in B. dorsalis remains unavailable.

In the present study, we present the results from the sequencing and assembly of the transcriptome of B. dorsalis (whole body) at different developmental stages (larvae from three instars, pupa and adult) using the 454-pyrosequencing technology. Genes involved in reproduction and development as well as nearly all major conserved metazoan signal transduction pathways were largely identified. Additionally, a great number of differentially expressed genes were obtained and functionally annotated, and the gene expression patterns for some of these genes were verified by qRT-PCR. This transcriptome is undoubtedly valuable for molecular studies of the underlying mechanisms in reproduction and development and is a useful resource for further exploring signal transduction pathways. Furthermore, our data will provide insights for the development of effective and eco-friendly pest control strategies.

Results and Discussion

Generation and assembly of oriental fruit fly ESTs

To obtain an overview of the B. dorsalis gene expression profile during development, cDNA samples from different developmental stages (Table 1) were prepared and sequenced on a 454 GS-FLX machine. A total of 350,863,036 bases from 747,206 sequence reads with a mean read length 382 bp were obtained (Table 2, Figure S1). The number of reads from the larval, pupal and adult stages was 343,907 reads, 355,839 reads, and 217,426 reads, respectively, with a mean read length of 398 bp, 380 bp, and 359 bp, respectively (Figure S1).

These raw data were assembled into 28,782 contigs and 169,966 singletons, and finally we generated 48,876 unigenes. The mean contig size was 750 bp with lengths ranging from 43 bp–11,208 bp (Table 2, Figure 1). The contig size distribution revealed the following: more than half of the contigs (14,912; 51.81%) were between 500 and 1000 bp in length; 30.14% (8,676) were less than 500 bp; 17.48% (5,030) of contigs were between 1000 and 3000 bp; and 0.57% (165) were more than 3000 bp (Figure 1). The previously reported B. dorsalis transcriptome by Illumina sequencing generated 49,804 unigenes with the mean size of 456 bp, and less than 10% (4,404) of unigenes was more than 1000 bp [11]. Therefore, compared with the previous report, our new transcriptome by 454-pyrosequencing makes more detailed and general genetic data available that will facilitate large-scale discovery and utilization of genetic resources for B. dorsalis.

To demonstrate the quality of our sequencing data and sequence assembly, 5 contigs (>750 bp) and 5 singletons were randomly selected for RT-PCR analysis. Agarose gel electrophoresis results showed that 9 out of 10 primer pairs resulted in a product of the expected size, and the PCR products were further confirmed by Sanger sequencing (data not shown).

Annotation of predicted proteins

BLASTX alignments (E-value cut-off of 10^-4) between the predicted protein sequences and several protein databases, including GenBank non-redundant (NR) and Swiss-Prot, showed that a total of 11,859 (35.8%) predicted proteins could be annotated with known biological functions, whereas the remainder

Table 1. RNA extraction strategy.

| Larval stages | Pupal stages | Adult stages |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|
| First instar larvae | Prepupa | Newly emerged adult |
| Second instar larvae (feeding, molting) | New pupa (2 d after pupation) | Mature adults before mating (sex ratio 1:1) |
| Third instar larvae (metamorphosing, wandering) | Mid pupa | Mature adults after mating (sex ratio 1:1) |
| Old pupa (2 d before eclosion) | | |

RNA from each life stages were individually extracted and combined equally prior to cDNA library synthesis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036463.t001

Table 2. Summary of the B. dorsalis transcriptome.

| Total base pairs (bp) | 350,865,036 |
|-----------------------|-------------|
| High-quality reads    | 747,206     |
| Number of reads assembled in contigs (Larvae/Pupa/Adult) | 275,375/320,113/151,718 |
| Average read length (bp) | 382         |
| Number of contigs     | 28,782      |
| Average contig length (bp) | 750         |
| Range of contig length (bp) | 43–11,208  |
| Number of singletons  | 169,966     |
| Unigenes with E<=0.0001 vs. NR/NT database | 48,876/3,744 |
| Estimated unique transcript | 134,169    |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036463.t002
will require more genetic data, which is currently lacking in the fruit fly.

To analyze which part of the assembled sequences had counterparts in certain insect species, orthologous genes shared between *B. dorsalis* and other three insect model species were compared, including either Dipteran *D. melanogaster*, Dipteran *A. gambiae*, or Lepidopteran *B. mori*. We used our *B. dorsalis* ESTs as a query in a BLASTn search (E-value cut-off of $10^{-10}$) against the databases Flybase, Vectorbase and Silkbase. Given that p values are influenced by the size of the database, a score value of 150 were chosen for the exclusion criteria to make BLAST hits between databases comparable. The results showed 9,295 hits, more than 4,000 of which are common to all insect species and therefore belong to the core invertebrate genes (Figure 2). There were 7,463 identifiable genes shared between *Drosophila* and *Bactrocera* indicating a good coverage of the *Bactrocera* transcriptome, as that many other genes are species-specific. Homologous genes shared between *Anopheles* and *Bactrocera* were 6,262, and the number was 6,087 between *Bombyx* and *Bactrocera*. Both *B. dorsalis* and *D. melanogaster* belong to Aristocera within Diptera, while *A. gambiae* belongs to Nematocera within Diptera, so *B. dorsalis* and *D. melanogaster* in relationship are near with each other while *A. gambiae* is far with them. It is unsurprising that the number of homologous genes shared between *B. mori* and *B. dorsalis* is the least because Lepidopteran *Bombyx* is in the farthest relation to Dipteran *Bactrocera*.

The identity distribution and species distribution were then analyzed (Figure 3). For the identity distribution of the predicted proteins, most of the hits (39%) had 60% to 80% identity with other insects in the nr database, whereas 30% of the sequences had greater identity than 80% (Figure 3A). The species distribution of the top BLAST hits against the nr database for the *Bactrocera* transcriptome showed that *Bactrocera* genes had the greatest number of matches with *Glossina* and *Drosophila* genes. Among them, 24% of the distinct sequences had first hits with sequences from the Dipteran species *Glossina morsitans morsitans* and 17% with sequences from another Dipteran species *Drosophila*, followed by other species within Diptera, including *Ceratitis* (8%), *Bactrocera* (8%), *Lucilia cuprina* (4%) and *Stomoxys calcitrans* (3%) (Figure 3B). The other sequences had hits with other species, such as Coleopteran *Tribolium castaneum* (3%), higher mammalian species *Rattus norvegicus* and *Homo sapiens* (3%).

**Gene ontology and clusters of orthologous groups classification**

Gene ontology (GO) assignment programs were utilized for functional categorization of annotated genes. In many cases, multiple terms were assigned to the same transcript. These sequences were categorized into 43 main functional groups belonging to 3 categories, including biological process, molecular function, and cellular component (Figure 4). Among the biological
processes, the dominant GO terms were grouped into either metabolic (35%) or cellular (16%) (Figure 4A). Within the molecular function category, there was a high-percentage of genes with binding (23%), catalytic activity (21%), protein binding (13%), and hydrolase activity (13%) (Figure 4B). For cellular components, those assignments were mostly given to cell (27%), intracellular (23%), and cytoplasm (10%) (Figure 4C). This GO assignment result is similar to the previously sequenced *B. dorsalis* transcriptome, in which binding, cell and metabolic process were the three largest groups [11].

To further evaluate the completeness of our transcriptome library and the effectiveness of our annotation process, assignments of clusters of orthologous groups (COG) were used. Overall, 50,199 proteins were classified as involved in different processes (Figure 5). Among the 25 COG categories, the majority of the clusters were “Signal transduction mechanisms” (6,595, 11.33%), “General function prediction only” (6,171, 10.60%), “Amino acid transport and metabolism” (5,283, 9.08%) and “Transcription” (5,263, 9.04%), whereas “Cell motility” (165, 0.28%), “Defense mechanisms” (272, 0.47%) and “Nuclear structure” (272, 0.47%) represented the smallest groups (Figure 5). Our new sequencing generated a much larger group of genes involved in “Signal transduction mechanisms” than that in the previous report by Shen et al., 2011. In their sequenced *B. dorsalis* transcriptome by Illumina, “General function prediction only” (2,327, 16.49%) was the largest group, followed by “Translation, ribosomal structure, and biogenesis” (1,138, 8.21%), “Transcription” (1,074, 7.61%), “Carbohydrate transport and metabolism” (1,039, 7.36%), whereas “Nuclear structure” was the smallest group (8, 0.057%) [11].

**Genes involved in reproduction**

In insects, sexual reproduction is a very important physiological process and is critical to the maintenance of a population. Therefore, identification of genes related to reproduction is significant and would be helpful for pest control and for evaluating the molecular mechanisms of reproduction in higher-order species.

From the *B. dorsalis* transcriptome, 14 genes have been identified with significant hits to 14 different Dipteran genes known to be involved in reproduction by BLASTX analyses (Table 3). These genes can be divided into 3 classification groups. For the first group, a total of 7 genes are predicted to be involved in male courtship behavior. In *Drosophila*, mutations in the *takeout* gene lead to little or no courtship behavior, and mutations in the *technical knockout* gene cause unsuccessful male courtship behavior [12,13]. The *quick-to-court* gene, which encodes a predicted coiled-coil protein mainly expressed in the olfactory organs, central nervous system, and male reproductive tract, plays important roles in male courtship behavior. Mutations in this gene cause abnormal male-male or accelerated male-virgin female courtship [14]. We also found a gene homologous to the *Drosophila* *timeless* in this transcriptome. Copulation times of males are extended in *timeless* mutants [15]. Males carrying mutations in *lingerer*, which is involved in initiation and termination of copulation, disengage their genitalia abnormally [16].

![Figure 4. GO analyses of Bactrocera transcriptome data.](https://plosone.org/doi/abs/10.1371/journal.pone.0036463.g004)
**Figure 5. Histogram of clusters of orthologous groups (COG) classification.** A total of 58,199 predicted proteins have a COG classification among the 25 categories. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036463.g005

**Table 3. Oriental fruit fly assembled sequences with best-hit matches to Dipteran genes involved in reproductive behaviors.**

| Gene ID          | Dipteran gene         | Length (bp) | E-value | Protein Identity (%) | Function (Species)          |
|------------------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Group I**      |                       |             |         |                      |                             |
| 1-G0EDD0L01DWQ2X | calmodulin            | 551         | 8e-98   | 100                  | male courtship              |
| contig2395       | takeout                | 449         | 1e-47   | 58                   | D. melanogaster             |
| Contig10357      | technical knockout     | 649         | 8e-67   | 76                   | G. morsitans                |
| Contig1089       | timeless               | 1073        | 3e-103  | 83                   | D. melanogaster             |
| Contig20167      | ken and barbie         | 778         | 1e-69   | 89                   | D. yakuba                   |
| Contig363        | lingerer               | 2122        | 3e-31   | 54                   | D. melanogaster             |
| contig8597       | quick to court         | 948         | 2e-74   | 92                   | D. melanogaster             |
| **Group II**     |                       |             |         |                      |                             |
| 1-G0EDD0L01DOM5P | sex peptide receptor   | 406         | 4e-66   | 75                   | D. melanogaster             |
| contig27364      | logjam                 | 1015        | 9e-129  | 84                   | D. melanogaster             |
| Contig23838      | sphingosine kinase 2   | 457         | 4e-08   | 43                   | D. melanogaster             |
| Contig934        | forkhead box O         | 1133        | 1e-80   | 83                   | D. melanogaster             |
| 1-G0EDD0L01EVP9  | forkhead box L         | 431         | 6e-24   | 70                   | A. aegypti                  |
| 1-G0CLBU04IB3H6  | forkhead box P         | 342         | 9e-08   | 43                   | D. melanogaster             |
| **Group III**    |                       |             |         |                      |                             |
| 1-G0CLBU04IPTV3  | fruitless              | 434         | 2e-35   | 62                   | D. heteroneura              |

A. aegypti: Aedes aegypti; D. melanogaster: Drosophila melanogaster; G. morsitans: Glossina morsitans morsitans.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036463.t003
kinase II disrupt the ability of the male to learn to avoid courting males and mated females [17]. The *ken and barbie* gene encodes a putative transcription factor, and mutants feature malformation of terminalia in adult *Drosophila* [18].

Sequences in the second group share a high degree of homology with genes involved in female reproduction in *Drosophila* and mosquitoes, including the *Drosophila logjam*, *sphingosine kinase 2*, *forkhead box*, and *sex peptide receptor (SPR)*. The *logjam* gene encodes a predicted protein homologous to the EMP24/GP25 transmembrane components of cytoplasmic vesicles and may participate in the intracellular trafficking of positive signals for oviposition.

Mutants for this gene are unable to oviposit mature eggs but display normal courtship behavior and fertility [19]. Mutations in *sphingosine kinase 2* have no effect on viability at any developmental stage or on adult longevity but do result in the reduction of flight ability and fecundity, which might be caused by retention of mature eggs in the ovaries [20]. The forkhead-box (Fox) proteins constitute a large and diverse group of transcription factors characterized by a conserved 110-amino acid 'Fox' DNA-binding domain. Fox genes that belong to various subgroups (A-Q) have been found to be involved in many biological processes, including development, metabolism, and immunoregulation [21–25]. Three Fox gene homologs were found in the *B. dorsalis* transcriptome, including *FoxL*, *FoxO* and *FoxP*. Fox transcription factors reportedly play important roles in regulating reproduction in *Aedes aegypti*. Silence of mosquito *FoxL* and *FoxO* reduces the amino acid-induced vitellogenin gene expression, which leads to fewer eggs laid [26]. Another female reproductive gene is *SPR*, which controls the post-mating switch in reproductive behaviour of female *Drosophila*. Knockdown of *SPR* results in very few eggs laid after mating, and RNAi-treated females remate frequently [27]. Notably, *fruitless (fru)* belongs to the third group. It can be processed into male-specific or female-specific proteins by alternative splicing [28,29], orchestrating both male courtship behavior and sexual orientation [28–32].

Genes involved in signal transduction

Genes involved in signal transduction pathways have been listed in Table S1. The results showed that “Signal transduction mechanisms” constitutes the majority of the clusters within the metabolism pathway classification of the *B. dorsalis* transcriptome (Figure 5), including hormone signaling, insulin signaling, MAPK, Wnt, Notch, and Hedgehog (Table S1).

Among these signaling pathways, the insulin signaling pathway is one of the most important. Insect growth and developmental processes, among which molting and metamorphosis are the most important physiological events, are regulated by two major hormones: steroid 20-hydroxyecdysone (20E) and sesquiterpenoid juvenile hormone (JH). Both the 20E and JH signal transduction pathways have been well studied [33–37]. Recently, another conserved signaling pathway called the insulin signaling pathway has been studied in *Drosophila* and has been demonstrated to play an essential role in controlling insect body, organ, and cell size. Several protein are involved in this pathway, including PI3K, PTEN, and Akt/PKB [38–40].

16 genes have been identified to share high homology with insect genes known to be involved in this pathway by BLASTX analyses (Table S1). Overexpression of PI3K proteins in the wing or eye imaginal discs in *Drosophila* results in enlarged wings or eyes, respectively, while mutation in this gene results in flies with smaller wings and eyes, which are caused by changes in both cell size and cell number [38]. Additionally, PI3K regulates cell division and cell survival in the imaginal discs by controlling the cell number [41]. The gene *DPTEN*, which is human tumor suppressor gene PTEN homolog, encodes a putative cytoskeleton-associated molecule with both protein phosphatase and phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PIP3) 3-phosphatase activities. It is known to control cell number and growth by antagonizing PI3K, through PI3K-dependent and -independent pathways [39,42,43]. The phosphatidylinositol-3-OH kinase-dependent serine/threonine protein kinase Akt (protein kinase B) has been reported to affect cell and imaginal disc size during *Drosophila* development in an autonomous manner [40]. In the past decade, lipid rafts have been considered critical for the proper compartmentalization of insulin signaling in adipocytes. Flotillin-1, originally identified as an integral membrane protein [44], was reported to recruit a complex of tyrosine-phosphorylated Cbl and Cbl-associated protein (CAP) to the lipid rafts, and this recruitment is required for GLUT-4 translocation in response to insulin [45].

Gene expression profile among the different developmental stages

To identify genes showing differential expression during development, the differentially expressed sequences between two samples were identified (Table S2). There were 2,977 significantly differentially expressed genes detected between the larval and pupal samples, including 838 up-regulated genes (FDR < 0.001) and 1,159 down-regulated genes (FDR < 0.001, Figure 6 & Figure S2A). The large number of differentially expressed genes between these two samples may be attributed to the important molting and metamorphosis processes during the transition from larva to pupa. A cascade of physiological processes occurs during molting and more complicated physiological processes take place during metamorphosis including histolysis of larval tissues, remodeling and formation of adult tissues, and a molting cascade similar to the larval molt [46,47]. Additionally, a total of 1,621 differentially expressed genes were detected between adult and larval libraries, with 490 up-regulated genes and 605 down-regulated genes (Figure S2B). Between adult and pupal libraries, 544 genes were up-regulated, while 794 genes were down-regulated, with a total of 2,002 differentially expressed genes (Figure S2C). The number of differentially expressed genes between samples in our transcriptome is different from that of the previously sequenced *B. dorsalis* transcriptome by Illumina [11]. This may be caused by the

Figure 6. Changes in gene expression profile during development. The number of up-regulated and down-regulated genes between larva and pupae, between adults and pupae, and between adults and larvae are summarized here. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036463.g006
different samples in these two transcriptomes. The larval stage is limited to the third instar larvae of B. dorsalis in the previous transcriptome, while the larval library consists of all larval stages in our new one by 454 pyrosequencing, including the first instar, the second instar and the third instar larvae. Therefore, our new transcriptome makes more detailed and general genetic data available that will facilitate further study of larval development in B. dorsalis, especially molting and metamorphosis.

The top 10 most differentially expressed genes between each two samples (larvae vs. pupae, adults vs. larvae, and adults vs. pupae) were analyzed (Table S3). The results showed that most of the upregulated genes in larvae and pupae had orthologs with Drosophila and other insects, including those encoding the cuticle protein, the fat body protein, trypsin, and the Ras-related protein. However, nearly 50% of the genes upregulated in adults had no known orthologs in the NCBI database. These findings might be attributed to the fact that developmentally related genes have been more widely identified in Drosophila and other Dipteran species than adult genes.

Functional annotation of differentially expressed genes

To understand the functions of DEGs and identify DEGs involved in signal transduction and important physiological processes, such as development and reproduction, all of the DEGs were mapped to terms in the KEGG database and compared with the whole transcriptome background (Table S4). Among all of the genes with KEGG pathway annotation, a total of 547 differentially expressed genes were found between the larval and pupal stages. Most of them were identified to be involved in metabolic pathways, including oxidative phosphorylation, the citric acid cycle (TCA cycle), and glycolysis/glucogenesis. This observation is consistent with that of the previously sequenced B. dorsalis transcriptome and suggests that the metabolic rate of the B. dorsalis larva is different from that of the pupa. Between the adult and pupal stages, 416 differentially expressed genes were annotated with KEGG pathway annotation. In addition to oxidative phosphorylation and the TCA cycle, starch and sucrose metabolism-associated genes were also enriched. Adult B. dorsalis primarily feeds on sucrose; thus, genes involved in sucrose metabolism are upregulated to adapt to food sources. As for the pathways enriched between the adult and larval stages, 337 differentially expressed genes were identified.

Verification of differentially expressed genes

To further evaluate our DEG library, the expression level of 9 genes mostly involved in development and reproduction were analyzed by qRT-PCR. Results showed that real time PCR revealed the same expression tendency as the DEG data, despite some quantitative differences in expression level (Table 4, Figure 7). The effector genes trypsin and Jonah 44E were highly expressed at the larval stage. Other two genes belonging to the nuclear receptor superfamily, Broad and hormone receptor 3 (HR3), were expressed at a high level at the pupal stage. Broad is a well-known molecular marker of pupal commitment and has been reported to be involved in mediating the ‘status quo’ action of juvenile hormone on the pupal-adult transformation in Drosophila and Manduca [48]. Broad is abundantly expressed during the formation of the pupa but not in adult differentiation [34,48]. This is consistent with our result. A member of the small GTPase subfamily, rab7, was also expressed abundantly at the pupal stage. Notably, both the serine/threonine-protein kinase AKT and mediator complex (MED) were highly expressed in both larvae and pupae. This finding is consistent with their roles in controlling cell and imaginal disc size during development in Drosophila [40]. An effector gene adult cuticle protein 1 (ACPi) was expressed significantly at the adult stage, but its specialized cuticle function needs further

Table 4. Comparisons of the DEGs data and qRT-PCR results.

| Gene   | Gene ID   | DEGs library   | fold by DEG | P value  | Q value  | fold by qPCR |
|--------|-----------|----------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| Jonah 44E | Contig10080 | larvae vs. pupa | 6.47*       | 3.47E-11 | 8.43E-10 | 6.72         |
| Trypsin | Contig4994 | larvae vs. pupa | -5.39**     | 1.75E-08 | 3.15E-07 | -6.12        |
| AKT    | Contig8031 | larvae vs. pupa | -2.41       | 5.09E-06 | 5.82E-05 | -1.52        |
| MED    | Contig10114 | adult vs. larvae | -5.35      | 2.73E-08 | 4.82E-07 | -3.90        |
| HR3    | Contig25289 | adult vs. pupa | -4.32       | 8.18E-05 | 0.000995 | -4.17        |
| Broad  | Contig9781 | larvae vs. pupa | -1.66       | 1.79E-06 | 2.26E-05 | -3.32        |
| Rab7   | Contig21195 | adult vs. pupa | -5.97       | 4.08E-13 | 1.72E-11 | -8.03        |
| ACP1   | Contig234  | adult vs. larve | -2.67       | 1.34E-06 | 1.73E-05 | -2.60        |
| TK(control)*** | Contig13751 | larvae vs. pupa | 0.80       | 0.54      | 0.68       | /            |
|  |           | adult vs. larve | -0.72      | 0.63      | 0.81       | /            |

6.47*: up-regulated at larval stage (P value<0.001);
-5.39**: down-regulated at adult stage (P value<0.001); TK (control) ***: non-DEG.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036463.t004
study. As a control, technical knockout from the non-DEGs library was demonstrated to be equally expressed during different developmental stages. This will provide us with more molecular targets for further study of development and reproduction in *B. dorsalis* and other Tephritid species.

**Conclusion**

We have generated a comprehensive transcriptome of the *B. dorsalis* during development using a 454 FLX platform. The single run produced 28,782 contigs with a mean size of 750 bp. A great number of genes involved in reproduction, development and most major signaling pathways were found in this transcriptome. Additionally, genes differentially expressed at different developmental stages were largely identified and functionally annotated with KEGG database.

To our knowledge, this is the first report using 454 sequencing technology for a Tephritid fruit fly species lacking a reference genome. These data make a substantial contribution to existing sequence resources for the oriental fruit fly, provide many more potential molecular targets for *B. dorsalis* control, and may aid in studies of the mechanisms of development and reproduction in fruit flies.

Figure 7. qRT-PCR confirmation of the differentially expressed genes between each two stages (larvae vs. pupae, adults vs. larvae, and adults vs. pupae). The transcript abundance from DEG data is shown above each gene. Relative transcript levels are calculated by real-time PCR using 16 s rRNA as the standard. 2F, feeding 2th instar larvae; 2M, molting 2th instar larvae; 3M, metamorphic molting larvae; P1, prepupa; P2, pupa. Three biological replicates were performed, and the data shown are typical results.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0036463.g007
Methods

Insect culture and sample collection

*B. dorsalis* were cultured in our laboratory at 28°C under a 12 h light: 12 h dark photoperiod. Adult flies were reared on artificial diets (25% yeast extract and 75% sugar) and oviposited into bananas. The hatched larvae fed inside according to the methods described by Li et al. [49]. Larvae of three instars were collected as one sample. The pupae from different stages (prepupa, new pupa, mid pupa, and old pupa) were collected as another sample. Newly emerged adults and sex matured adults before and after copulation were collected as the third sample (the sex ratio was 1:1, Table 1). At least ten insects were collected for each stage.

RNA extraction, mRNA purification, and cDNA synthesis

Each frozen sample was ground in mortars with liquid nitrogen, and then total RNA was isolated using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The concentration of total RNA was determined using the NanoDrop (Thermo Scientific, USA), and the RNA integrity value (RIN) was checked using the RNA 6000 Pico LabChip on an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent, USA). For mRNA purification, total RNA was incubated with 10 U DNase I (Ambion) at 37°C for 1 h, followed by a purification step using the MicroPoly (A) Purist Kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, the purified mRNA was dissolved in the RNA storage solution, and the final concentration was determined using the NanoDrop.

Double-stranded cDNA was synthesized from mRNA according to Ng’s full-length cDNA synthesis protocol with some modifications [50]. A Gsul-oligo dT primer was used for the first-strand cDNA synthesis with 10 µg of mRNA and Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). After incubation at 42°C for 1 h, the 5’-CAP structure of mRNA was oxidized by NaIO4 (Sigma) and ligated to biotin hydrazide, which was used to select complete transcriptase (Invitrogen). After incubation at 42°C ligated to biotin hydrazide, which was used to select complete transcriptase (Invitrogen). After incubation at 42°C, cDNA was incubated with 10 U DNase I (Ambion) at 37°C for 1 h, followed by a purification step using the MicroPoly (A) Purist Kit (Ambion) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Then, the purified mRNA was dissolved in the RNA storage solution, and the final concentration was determined using the NanoDrop.

Sequence annotation

All contigs and singlets were annotated with GetORF from the EMBOSS package [51]. The ORF of each predicted protein was used for BLASTp searches against the Swiss-Prot and the NCBI nr databases setting the e-value threshold to 10^{-4}. GO annotations were also derived based on sequence similarity with GoPipe [52]. Predicted proteins were first used for BLASTp against the Swiss-Prot and TrEMBL databases using an E-value cut-off of 10^{-4}. GO annotations were analyzed by GoPipe according to the gene2go software. The COG and KEGG pathways annotations were performed using Blastall software against the Cluster of Orthologous Groups database and the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes database [53]. In this study, we used the default parameters in each approach and no other custom approach was used.

Analysis of differentially expressed genes (DEGs)

To analyze genes differentially expressed at the different developmental stages, the number of reads for each of the contigs from the three samples was converted to Reads per Kilobase per Million (RPKM) [54]. Then, the MARS model (MA-plot-based method with Random Sampling model) in the DEGseq package was used to calculate the expression abundance of each contig between the three samples. We used an FDR (false discovery rate) to determine the threshold of p value for this analysis. An FDR<0.001 was considered to have significant expression abundance. For the identification of the pathways that the DEGs were predicted to participate in, we mapped all DEGs to terms in the KEGG database and looked for significantly enriched KEGG terms compared to the genomic background.

Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) verification

9 genes were chosen for the confirmation of DEG data by qRT-PCR using the SYBR Premix Ex Taq kit (Takara, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions with a real-time thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). TRIZol reagent (Invitrogen, USA) was used to extract total RNA from the *B. dorsalis* at 6 different typical developmental stages (Table 1), including the second instar larvae (feeding and molting larvae), third instar larvae (metamorphosing larvae), prepupae (48 h before pupation), pupae, and adults. At least 10 insects were collected for each sample. The first strand cDNA was obtained from 2 µg of total RNA using M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Takara, Japan) with the primer oligo-anchor R (5’-GACCACGGCTATCGATGTC-GACT16(A/C/G)-3’). The primers used for qRT-PCR detection of selected DEGs are listed in Table S5. The relative gene expression data were analyzed using the 2^{-DD_Ct} method as described by Ren et al. [55]. The results were analyzed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) statistical test. All quantitative PCR were repeated in three biological and three technical replications.

Supporting Information

Figure S1  Read length distribution from each samples: larva (A), pupa (B) and adult (C). The x-axis shows read size and the y-axis shows the number of reads for each given size. (TIF)

Figure S2  Comparison of sequence expression between the larvae and the pupae (A), adults and larvae (B), as well as adults and pupae (C). The abundance of each gene was normalized as Reads Per Million (RPKM) and Reads Per Kilobase per Million (RPKM). The differentially expressed genes are shown in red and green, while the other genes that are not differentially expressed (not DEGs) are shown in blue. (TIF)
Table S1  Selected signaling pathway genes identified in the B. dorsalis transcriptome with best-hit matches to other insects.  

Table S2  Abundance of each contig in the three samples (larva, pupa and adult). RPM, RPKM, and the fold number from each comparison group (larvae vs. pupae, adults vs. larvae, and adults vs. pupae) are shown.  

Table S3  The top 10 most up-regulated and down-regulated genes between samples (larvae vs. pupae, adults vs. larvae, and adults vs. pupae).  
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