The Effect of Educational Intervention Based on Model 5A Self-Management Theory on Life Quality in Hypertensive Patients

CURRENT STATUS: UNDER REVIEW

Farbod Ebadi Fard Azar
Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Mahnaz Solhi
Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Nemam Ali Azadi
Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Arash Ziapour
Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences

Javad Yoosefi Lebni
Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Manoj Sharma
Tehran University of Medical Sciences

Fakhreddin Chaboksavar fchaboksavar@yahoo.com
Iran University of Medical Sciences

Corresponding Author
ORCiD: 0000-0003-4285-3178

DOI: 10.21203/rs.2.18395/v1

SUBJECT AREAS
Cardiac & Cardiovascular Systems Health Economics & Outcomes Research

KEYWORDS
self-management, quality of life, hypertension
Abstract

Background: Hypertension is a major risk factor in heart failure, arterial aneurysms, peripheral arterial disease, chronic kidney disease, stroke and myocardial infarction.

Methods: This study was an experimental study. From patients with hypertension presenting to karaj Health Center, 90 patients were selected according to the eligibility criteria and were assigned randomly to two groups, the intervention group (45 patients) and the control group (45 patients). The data were collected through questionnaires. Educational intervention based on self-management theory was designed in 5A method and implemented in the intervention group. The data was collected in three times including before the intervention, 1 month after the intervention and 3 months after the educational intervention. data were analyzed using SPSS software and statistical methods number, percentage, mean, standard deviation and repeated measure test.

Results: The results showed that the mean score of various domains of life quality (physical domain, psychological domain, social domain, environmental domain) and total score of life quality in the intervention group increased significantly compared to the control group after the educational intervention.

Conclusion The results of the study showed that using self-management program is effective in improving the life quality of hypertensive patients.

Background

Hypertension is a major risk factor in heart failure, arterial aneurysms, peripheral arterial disease, chronic kidney disease, stroke and myocardial infarction [1].
According to the National Center for Health Statistics, hypertension was the leading cause of death for more than 41,000,000 American patients (1,100 deaths per day) in 2014, costing $41.8 billion for health care services, antihypertensive drugs and lost working days of the patients [2]. According to the latest studies, the prevalence of hypertension in Iran is about 18% [3]. This disease is asymptomatic and the person may suffer from it for years unknowingly [4, 5]. Given the increasing number of hypertensive patients in Iran and in the world as well as the adverse effects of this disease on life quality, the life quality of this group of patients is of great significance [6, 7]. Health-based life quality refers to a condition where the patient is emotionally, socially, and physically satisfied [8]. The study used self-management theory to improve the life quality of hypertensive patients. The purpose of self-management theory is one's own empowerment, and in fact it is in such a way that one's own participation is essential [9]. Active involvement of the patients in taking care of themselves and increasing their responsibility help control the symptoms and complications of the disease and help the individuals maintain their independence to a large extent, thus reducing the patients’ dependence on the medical staff and other family members that improves the quality of life of these people as well [10, 11]. Moreover, the cost of frequent hospitalization reduces, and the hospital beds are not occupied in vain, so that the person can work as a young workforce in the family and community [12, 13]. From among the models and programs used in self-management, Model 5A, known as behavior change counseling, is an evidence-based approach appropriate for behavior modification and health promotion, which has five steps: Assess, Advise, Agree, Assist, and Arrange [14, 15]. Regarding this, the results of other studies have shown the effectiveness of 5A self-management program [16, 17]. As few studies have been
done on 5A self-management in hypertensive patients and since achieving quality of life needs active participation of patients in their care, the study tried to examine the effect of self-management program on the quality of life of hypertensive patients to reduce hospital stay and improve the life quality of patients.

Methods

Study design

The study was an empirical study with control to determine the effect of educational intervention based on Model 5A self-management theory on life quality in hypertensive patients admitted to health centers in Karaj, Iran. The sample size was determined to be 90 according to field studies and previous examinations and also regarding the type of study and the use of relevant statistical formula. They were randomly divided into two groups of control (n = 45) and intervention (n = 45). The data were collected through 2 questionnaires. The first questionnaire was a demographic questionnaire. It included one item to examine the demographic variables such as sex, age, job, education, marital status, family history of disease and length of disease. The second questionnaire was the World Health Organization Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF) (SF-26). It is a 26-item questionnaire assessing four aspects of physical health, mental health, social relationships, and environmental health with 24 questions. Each of the quality of life domains had 7, 6, 3, and 8 questions, respectively, and two questions that did not belong to any of the domains assessed the general health and quality of life. The questionnaire was in the form of a 5-point Likert scale. The validity and reliability of the quality of life questionnaire were also confirmed by correlation values and Cronbach's alpha of above 0.7 [18]. After necessary explanation on the goals and stages of the study,
the questionnaires were given to the patients and they completed them. Using the data from the questionnaire, the life quality of the samples was evaluated and the educational intervention using self-management theory was done for intervention group in 5 stages. The intervention included running 5A self-management training program implemented for 3 months in 5 stages: 1. Assess stage: In this stage, the patient was assessed in terms of risk factors, disease history, drug use, nutrition, and physical activity through face-to-face interviews. 2. Advise stage: At this stage, according to the results of the assessments of previous stage, the identified health hazards were informed to the patient and the benefits of behavior change were emphasized. 3. Agree stage: An agree between patient and researcher on setting the goals; An agreement was reached between the patient and the researcher on patient performance and appropriate behavioral and goals were set in an agreement with patient and an action plan was designed for each of the goals and patients were asked to record their performance about each behavioral goal in daily basis. 4. Assist stage: Trainings such as blood pressure management, stress management, and so on were provided to patients. Patients were asked to perform them daily and record their performance. 5. Arrange stage: During this stage, patients' performance was followed-up by telephone and in person for 3 months to remind the action plan. Moreover, the status of patients' progress was monitored monthly, with an agreed practice plan, and a patient self-report booklet was reviewed to make the necessary changes in goals or action plans if needed. One month and three months after the intervention, the questionnaires were re-completed and data were collected. After collecting the questionnaires, data were analyzed using SPSS software and statistical methods number, percentage, mean, standard deviation and repeated measure test.
Results

As shown in Table 1, most participants were male, so that 66.7% and 62.2%, of the patients were male in the control and intervention groups, respectively. 100% of the patients in all tow groups were married. Concerning the education level in control group, the highest frequency was in illiteracy (28.9%) and the lowest was in academic literacy (8.9%). In the intervention group, the highest frequency was related to guidance school level (28.9%) and the least to academic literacy (6.7%). In terms of occupational status in the control group, the highest frequency was related to housewife (31.1%) and self-employed (31.1%) and the lowest to unemployed (4.4%). In the intervention group, the highest frequency was related to self-employed jobs (28.9%) and the lowest to employee (2.2%). With regard to family history of hypertension, in the control group, 57.8% of patients had family history of hypertension, in the intervention group, 53.3% had family history of hypertension.

| Demographic Characteristics | Control group | | Intervetion group | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---|---------------|---|
| Gender                      |               |   |               |   |
| male                        | 30            | 66.7% | 28            | 62.2% |
| female                      | 15            | 33.3% | 17            | 37.8% |
| total                       | 45            | 100   | 45            | 100   |
| Marital Status              |               |   |               |   |
| single                      | 0             | 0     | 0             | 0     |
| married                     | 45            | 100   | 45            | 100   |
| total                       | 45            | 100   | 45            | 100   |
| Education Level             |               |   |               |   |
| illiterate                  | 13            | 28.9% | 9             | 20    |
| elementary                  | 9             | 20    | 11            | 24.4  |
| guidance                    | 8             | 17.8% | 13            | 28.9  |
| High school                 | 11            | 24.4% | 9             | 20    |
| university                  | 4             | 8.9   | 3             | 6.7   |
| total                       | 45            | 100   | 45            | 100   |
| Job                         |               |   |               |   |
| unemployed                  | 2             | 4.4   | 4             | 8.9   |
| housewives                  | 14            | 31.1% | 12            | 26.7  |
| worker                      | 7             | 15.6% | 6             | 13.3  |
| Self employed               | 14            | 31.1% | 13            | 28.9  |
| employee                    | 3             | 6.7   | 1             | 2.2   |
| retired                     | 5             | 11.1% | 9             | 20    |
| total                       | 45            | 100   | 45            | 100   |
| Family History of Hypertension | yes        | 26   | 57.8% | 24 | 53.3% |
|                              | no            | 19   | 42.2% | 21 | 46.7  |
| total                       | 45            | 100   | 45            | 100   |

Table 1

Demographic characteristics of hypertensive patients based on gender, marital status, education level, job and Family history of hypertension in control and intervention groups.
the results of the present study showed that the mean age of patients in the control group was 51.44 years, in the intervention group, it was 52.77 years. the mean duration of hypertension was 3.35 years in the control group, 3.93 years in the intervention group.
Table 2
Results of repeated measure test to compare various domains of life quality in control and intervention groups, prior to intervention, 1 month after intervention and 3 months after educational intervention

| Quality of Life domains | Time                          | Control group | Intervention group |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|
|                         | Mean  | SE  | Confidence interval 95% | Mean  | SE  | Confidence interval 95% |
| physical domain         |       |     |                          |       |     |                          |
| Pre intervention        | 18.86 | 0.346 | 19.55–18.18              | 18.77 | 0.346 | 19.46–18.09              |
| 1 month after intervention | 18.84 | 0.346 | 19.53–18.16              | 24.28 | 0.239 | 24.76–23.81              |
| 3 month after intervention | 17.85 | 0.338 | 18.52–17.18              | 23.62 | 0.265 | 24.14–23.09              |
| Psychologic Domain      |       |     |                          |       |     |                          |
| Pre intervention        | 14.55 | 0.280 | 15.10–13.99              | 15.13 | 0.286 | 15.69–14.56              |
| 1 month after intervention | 15.57 | 0.286 | 16.14–15.01              | 21.04 | 0.216 | 21.47–20.61              |
| 3 month after intervention | 15.53 | 0.286 | 16.11–14.95              | 20.04 | 0.216 | 20.47–19.61              |
| Social Domain           |       |     |                          |       |     |                          |
| Pre intervention        | 6.85  | 0.194 | 7.23–6.46                | 7.40  | 0.199 | 7.79–7                  |
| 1 month after intervention | 7.51  | 0.199 | 7.90–7.11                | 9.57  | 0.144 | 9.86–9.29               |
| 3 month after intervention | 7.44  | 0.199 | 7.58–7.09                | 9.57  | 0.144 | 9.86–9.29               |
| Environmental Domain    |       |     |                          |       |     |                          |
| Pre intervention        | 19.46 | 0.458 | 20.37–18.56              | 18.73 | 0.458 | 19.64–17.82              |
| 1 month after intervention | 19.13 | 0.458 | 19.95–18.32              | 24.71 | 0.367 | 25.43–23.98              |
| 3 month after intervention | 18.73 | 0.458 | 19.64–17.82              | 25.66 | 0.356 | 26.37–24.96              |
| Total Score of Quality of Life |       |     |                          |       |     |                          |
| Pre intervention        | 66.82 | 1.20  | 69.20–64.43              | 65.24 | 1.20  | 67.62–62.86              |
| 1 month after intervention | 66.45 | 1.20  | 68.90–64.01              | 86.84 | 0.801 | 88.43–85.25              |
| 3 month after intervention | 65.31 | 1.20  | 68.61–63.01              | 84.06 | 0.871 | 85.78–82.34              |

The results of Table 2 showed that in the control group and at the first measurement phase, 95% confidence interval for the mean score of quality of life in the physical domain was in the range of 18.18–19.55, and it was 13.99–15.10 for
psychological domain, 6.46–7.23 for social domain, 18.56–20.37 for environmental domain, and 64.43–69.20 for total score of quality of life. One month after the first stage measurement, 95% confidence interval for the mean score of quality of life in the physical domain was in the range of 18.16–19.53, and it was 15.01–16.14 for psychological domain, 7.11–7.90 for social domain, 18.32–19.95 for environmental domain, and 64.01–68.90 for total score of quality of life. Three months after the first stage measurement, 95% confidence interval for the mean score of quality of life in the physical domain was in the range of 17.18–18.52, and it was 14.95–16.11 for psychological domain, 7.09–7.58 for social domain, 17.82–19.64 for environmental domain, and 63.01–68.61 for total score of quality of life. As the confidence interval between the various domains of life quality and overall life quality score, overlap one month after the first stage measurement and 3 months after the first stage measurement relative to the first measurement phase, one can conclude that repeated measure test did not show any significant differences in the control group. But in the intervention group before the educational intervention, 95% confidence interval for the mean score of quality of life in the physical domain was in the range of 18.09–19.46, and it was 14.56–15.69 for psychological domain, 7.79–7.90 for social domain, 17.82–19.64 for environmental domain, and 62.86–67.62 for total score of quality of life. One month after the educational intervention, 95% confidence interval for the mean score of quality of life in the physical domain was in the range of 23.81–24.76, and it was 20.61–21.47 for psychological domain, 9.29–9.86 for social domain, 23.98–25.43 for environmental domain, and 85.25–88.43 for total score of quality of life. Three months after the educational intervention, 95% confidence interval for the mean score of quality of life in the physical domain was in the range of 23.09–24.14, and it was 19.61–20.47 for psychological domain, 9.29–
9.86 for social domain, 24.96–26.37 for environmental domain, and 82.34–85.78 for total score of quality of life. As the confidence interval between the various domains of life quality and overall life quality score, did not overlap one month after the educational intervention and 3 months after the educational intervention relative to before the educational intervention, one can conclude that repeated measure test did show significant differences in the intervention group.

Discussion

Regarding the effect of self-management theory on life quality in hypertensive patients in the study, one can conclude that self-management program can improve and enhance the life quality in all its aspects, and can reduce the problems to some extent by empowering hypertensive patients regarding their disease management. The results showed that self-management interventions were effective in improving the life quality in hypertensive patients in all aspects of life quality. The results are consistent with those of Khezri et al. [19] and Ganji et al. [20]. The total score of life quality after the intervention did not change significantly in the control group. However, in the experimental group, one month and 3 months after the educational intervention, a significant difference was observed, which the mean score of total life quality was in line with Khazri et al. in Khazri et al. in the experimental group after the intervention was significantly different from that before the intervention [19]. The results of Tung et al. on cardiac patients indicated that patients with better self-management would have a better life quality [21]. Scholars have used self-management theory to empower individuals to manage their disease and enhance their life quality, which has been effective. For instance, in his study, Tang dealt with empowering hypertensive people and showed significant changes in
diastolic blood pressure and serum cholesterol following a healthy diet and blood glucose monitoring after 6 months and 12 months [22]. The results of the study by Shearer et al. indicated that empowerment intervention was effective for self-management of patients with heart failure, and self-management ability and health care decisions were increased in the patients under intervention, whereas they did not change in the control group [23]. The results of Bosworth et al. on “two self-management interventions to improve hypertension control” showed that self-management behavioral interventions improved hypertension control after 24 months of implementation [24]. The results of the present study are consistent with those of Harvey et al., who examined the effect of chronic self-management training on health behaviors and outcomes and found that self-management has a positive effect on improving health [25]. According to the results of the above studies, all of which showed the effect of self-management training on hypertension, using self-management theory can be an effective step in enhancing the life quality of hypertensive patients. Studies on the implementation of self-management programs in other chronic diseases have shown positive results, among which the studies by Bucknall et al. [26], Bourbeau and Van der Palen [27], Mirzai et al. [28], Kafami et al. [29], Salimi et al. [30], and Karimi et al. [31], can be cited. As the systematic running of self-management theory can enhance all aspects of life quality in hypertensive patients, this approach is a suitable strategy in care plans, and can enhance the life quality of patients.

Conclusion

The results of the study showed that using self-management program is effective in improving the life quality of hypertensive patients. Hence, one can take steps in
improving the life quality of hypertensive patients by implementing self-management programs.
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