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ABSTRACT: In an ethnically homogeneous population of women living in Tuscany, Italy, the relationships between age, body weight, bone mineral density and the vitamin D receptor (VDR) gene polymorphism were studied, with the objective of recognizing patients at risk for osteoporosis. In 275 women bone mineral density was measured by Dual Energy X-rays Absorptiometry (DEXA). In 50 of them the individual genetic pattern for VDR was evaluated by DNA extraction followed by PCR amplification of the VDR gene, and digestion with the restriction enzyme BsmI. Age and bone mineral density were inversely related ($R^2 = 0.298$). Body weight was associated with bone mineral density ($R^2 = 0.059$), but not with age. In osteoporotic women, mean (± SD) body weight was $59.9 ± 6.5$ Kg, lower than that recorded in non osteoporotic women ($64.2 ± 9.4$ Kg), even though not significantly different ($p = 0.18$). No association was found between VDR gene polymorphism, bone density or body weight. The performance of anthropometric and genetic components appear to be poor, and, at least for the time being, bone mineral density measurement by means of MOC-DEXA represents the optimal method to detect women at risk for postmenopausal osteoporosis.
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INTRODUCTION

The average age of the elderly is increasing world-wide, bringing about the growth in the number of people suffering from osteoporosis, especially women after menopause, and, accordingly, of age-specific fracture rates [2]. Beyond the health issue, the burden of economic and human costs of osteoporotic fractures, especially hip fracture, is escalating dramatically [18]. With regard to the large costs of osteoporotic fractures, it is important to identify people at risk of osteoporosis early in the course of the disease [22]. However, large-scale population screening studies require great human and economic resources and are time consuming. In this regard, the identification of risk factors could help to select people in general population in order to develop screening and preventive strategies. As far as osteoporosis is concerned, risk factors have been proposed to predict low bone mass and risk of fracture in women: age at menopause and its duration, height, weight, age at menarche, diet and lifestyle [7,21]. Common allelic variants in the gene encoding the vitamin D receptor (VDR) have been described, on the basis of the presence of the BsmI endonuclease restriction site, labelled as $b$, or of its absence, labelled as $B$. VDR genotypes have been related to differences in bone mineral density, suggesting a susceptibility...
to osteoporosis for women with the BB allele variants [17,19], they have also been related to the abnormal parathyroid function observed in primary as well as secondary hyperparathyroidism [1,5,27], and to prostate and breast cancer [24,26]. However, controversy surrounds the association between vitamin D receptor alleles and bone density [6]. Recently, body weight has been proposed to estimate the risk of osteoporosis, a weight of over 71 Kg being associated with a low risk if compared to the reduced bone density measured in women weighing less than 64 Kg, at least in a cohort of Swedish women [16]. An association between VDR alleles and body weight has been noted, suggesting that the VDR gene polymorphism may affect bone mass through an influence on body size [4]. Bearing in mind that familial and environmental factors certainly influence bone mass, which is inversely related to the risk of fractures in elderly women, and that the pattern of the VDR allele frequency distribution shows extreme ethnic differences [14,15], we have evaluated the relationship between VDR genotype, body weight and bone mineral density in an ethnically homogeneous female population living in Tuscany, Italy. The aim of the present study is to verify whether the combination of anthropometric factors and genetic analysis can reliably predict bone mineral density to select women at risk for osteoporosis.

**METHODS**

We studied 275 Caucasian women, all living in the same geographic area in central Tuscany, Italy, who were between 22 and 86 years of age (mean ± SD: 57.5 ± 8.0 years), randomly chosen among those who were undergoing bone mineral density (BMD) measurements for the local osteoporosis prevention program.

**Bone densitometry measurement**

We used computed densitometry applying dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA), and processed and analyzed the resulting data with dedicated software. Following the most recent studies in the field of osteoporosis, we measured bone mass in the lumbar spine (L1–L4). Thus, the lumbar spine is the elective site for bone mass measurement when looking for genetic factors influencing osteoporosis since the other regions (i.e. proximal femur) are subject to strong environmental influences. The following parameters were measured: area size (area sizes according to the dimension of each vertebra are selected); bone mean density for each area, and the means of all examined areas; percent variations from the calculated bone peak mass; percent variations in comparison with age-matched healthy subjects. In agreement with previous reports [15], women having DEXA measurements below 0.75 g/cm² were considered to have osteoporosis. Subjects were excluded if they suffered from metabolic bone disease (hyperparathyroidism, Paget’s disease), malabsorption, or had received steroid or antiepileptic therapies.

**VDR genotype**

In 50 women, randomly chosen, the genotype analysis of the polymorphism of the VDR gene was performed, according to the method of Morrison et al. [17], slightly modified as follows [1]. Genomic DNA was extracted from blood using an inorganic method, based on Proteinase K (Sigma Chem. Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) digestion without phenol. About 5 ml of blood were diluted with 35 ml of cold TRIS 20 mM-EDTA 5 mM, then placed in ice for twenty minutes and centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 15 minutes at low temperature (4 °C). The pellet obtained in this way was resuspended with vortex in 4 or 5 ml of TE 20-5, then brought to volume of 40 ml with the same solution. It was subjected to one or two of these lavages until it had become white. The white pellet was resuspended by vortexing in half the initial volume of blood in TE 20-5. Then Sarcosyl (N-Lauroylsarcosine, sodium salt; Sigma Chem. Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) was added to a final concentration of 1%, gently mixed by hand and finally Proteinase K was added in a final concentration of 200 µg/ml. The
Fig. 1. Relationship between bone mineral density (mg/cm²) and body weight in women.

Fig. 2. Age-related diminution of bone mineral density (mg/cm²) in women.
sample was incubated at 42 °C overnight in gentle agitation. Ammonium acetate was then added to a final concentration of 3 M, mixing well. DNA was then precipitated with cold absolute ethanol twice and finally resuspended in TRIS 20 mM-EDTA 1 mM.

Amplification and digestion with restriction enzyme

PCR of the DNA sequence including the BsmI site was used to determine the genotype of subjects with a MJ Research, Inc. (Watertown, MA, USA) Thermal controller. Genomic DNA was amplified with TaqPolymerase (Polymed, Firenze, Italy), and gene specific primers of 30 and 23 bp, during 32 cycles. Each cycle consisted of denaturation at 95 °C for 1 min, annealing at 55 °C for 1 min, and extension at 72 °C for 2 min. Reaction mixture contained: 1x PCR buffer (670 mM TrisHcl-pH 8.8 at 25 °C; 160 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄; 0.1% Tween-20), MgCl₂ (2 mM), dNTP (200 µm of each), 9.6 ng/µl of each primer and 2.0 units of PolyTaqDNA Polymerase. Amplified DNA was analyzed on a 2% agarose gel: a single 870bp band was obtained. Then 10 µl of the amplified DNA was restricted with BsmI (3 U) in the appropriate reaction buffer 1x provided by “Celbio”, Milan, Italy, according to the suppliers’ specification. In order to avoid technical problems with the assay, either unequal amplification of VDR BsmI alleles or incomplete digestion, adequate controls (i.e. amplification and digestion of DNA from subjects with known homozygous or heterozygous genotype) were performed in each assay. Restricted DNA was analyzed on a 2% agarose gel. Three different patterns of bands could be obtained: - a single 870bp, corresponding to the amplified DNA without restriction - a 640bp and a 230bp band, indicating the presence of the restriction site for BsmI - three bands of 870, 640 and 230bp, indicating a heterozygote genotype.

Statistical analysis

Results are expressed as means ± Standard Deviation. Association between anthropometric, bone measurements and genotype were assessed by analysis of variance and by linear regression analysis, using the statistical analysis program SPSS for Windows, Release 6.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Among the 275 examined women, we detected 56 (20.3%) osteoporotic subjects. Body weight was unrelated to age (R² = 0.006), while it was positively correlated to BMD (R² = 0.059; Figure 1). Age and BMD showed an inverse relationship (R² = 0.298; Figure 2). In the 50 patients whose VDR genotype was examined, 6 homozygous BB (12%), 9 homozygous bb (18%) and 35 heterozygous Bb (70%) subjects were found. There were no significant differences in BMD, body weight and age among women with different vitamin D receptor genotypes (Table 1). No clear role of the different genotypes on BMD was evident, even after adjustment for confounding factors, age and weight, by correction to mean weight and age by regression. In osteoporotic women, mean (± SD) body weight was 59.9 ± 6.5 Kg lower than that recorded in non osteoporotic women, 64.2 ± 9.4 Kg, even though not significantly different (p = 0.18).

DISCUSSION

In the present study we have investigated the relationship between bone mineral density, anthropometric and genetic factors in a homogeneous group of women living in the same geographic area.

Previous studies have shown that weight loss was associated with a reduction of bone mass, irrespective of age, while subsequent increases or reductions of body weight were followed by similar change of bone density [12]. In American women a weight loss greater than 10% over 50 years of age was associated with an increased risk of hip fracture, while a weight gain of the similar magnitude reduced the risk of fracture
Notwithstanding, body weight has shown a poor predictive value for identifying women at risk of osteoporosis [10]. It is likely that other unmeasured factors, and especially genetic factors, can explain the modest performance of anthropometric measures. A great body of evidence supports the role of genetic influence in reaching the peak bone mass. In this regard, the polymorphism of the vitamin D receptor gene has been associated with different bone mineral density [17]. The present results do not support the association of the VDR gene alleles with BMD, because no BMD difference has been measured between women with different VDR genotypes. In this population we found allele frequencies similar to those reported in other studies [9]. However, genotype frequencies are not in Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium, displaying excess heterozygotes compared to homozygotes. Excess of heterozygotes could be explained by the small number of cases, or by other factors. In fact, other studies on VDR genotype association with different diseases report a percentage of heterozygotes above 50% in ethnically distinct populations [8,26]. Since the VDR genotype is associated with conditions as diverse as tuberculosis, hepatitis B infection, leprosy, prostate cancer, metastatic breast cancer, coronary artery disease, psoriasis, diabetes and sex-dependent growth, one could also hypothesize that in certain populations the heterozygous genotype might have some sort of advantage, thus being overrepresented [3,11,20,23–27]. Recently a meta-analysis of studies dealing with the association between VDR genotype and osteoporosis has revealed a weak association between VDR genotype and bone density [6]. The different behavioural characteristics of subjects might explain the lack of association between vitamin D receptor alleles and bone mineral density among women in this sample. Moreover, in this population no association has been found between VDR genotype and body weight, differing from previous reports which suggested that the VDR gene polymorphism may affect bone mass through an influence on body size [4]. In fact, in the present study, homozygous bb women showed the highest mean BMD but the lowest mean body weight among the three VDR genotype groups. Independently from the genetic component, mean body weight in osteoporotic women, even though lower, was not significantly different from that measured in non-osteoporotic subjects.

In conclusion, anthropometric and genetic factors, alone or in combination, are poor predictors of bone disease. On the other hand, given the established and sound association between low bone mineral density and increased risk of fracture, the actual measure of bone mineral density by means of MOC-DXA stands as the best and safest method to identify women affected by osteoporosis and at risk of fracture, even though other factors may contribute to the risk itself.
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| VDR genotype | No. of women | Age (yr) | Body weight (kg) | Bone mineral density (g/cm²) | Osteoporotic women |
|--------------|--------------|----------|-----------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| BB           | 6            | 56.2 ± 3.0 | 67 ± 12         | 0.84 ± 0.14                 | 2                   |
| Bb           | 35           | 57.8 ± 6.5 | 63 ± 8          | 0.88 ± 0.13                 | 7                   |
| bb           | 9            | 53.3 ± 9.3 | 60 ± 8          | 0.91 ± 0.16                 | 1                   |
| P            | 0.21         | 0.48      | 0.66            |                             |                     |
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