Carboxylation Enhances Fragmentation of Furan upon Resonant Electron Attachment

Mateusz Zawadzki,* Thomas F. M. Luxford, and Jaroslav Kočišek

ABSTRACT: We report a dissociative electron attachment study to 2-furoic acid (C₅H₄O₃) isolated in a gas phase, which is a model molecule consisting of a carboxylic group and a furan ring. Dissociation of furan by low energy electrons is accessible only via electronic excited Feshbach resonances at energies of incident electrons above 5 eV. On the other hand, carboxylic acids are well-known to dissociate via attachment of electrons at subexcitation energies. Here we elucidate how the electron and proton transfer reactions induced by carboxylation influence stability of the furan ring. Overlap of the furan and carboxyl π orbitals results in transformation of the nondissociative π₂ resonance of the furan ring to a dissociative resonance. The interpretation of hydrogen transfer reactions is supported by experimental studies of 3-methyl-2-furoic and 5-methyl-2-furoic acids (C₆H₆O₃) and density functional theory (DFT) calculations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Electron scattering by furan-containing molecules has attracted significant attention in recent years (e.g., refs 1–9). This is caused by a more general interest in electron interactions with biomolecular systems due to their involvement in the radiation chemistry of living tissues. The molecular structure of furan can be considered as a building block of biomolecules, particularly sugar and more complex structures such as nucleic acids. Carboxylic acids are studied as a model components of complex biomolecules as well, particularly as important prototypical systems for hydrogen bonds. It has been proposed theoretically and demonstrated experimentally for the formic acid, oxalic acid, or pyruvic acid dimers that the formation of a double hydrogen bond via carboxylic groups can induce ultrafast proton transfer between two bonded monomers. The double hydrogen bond structure of dimer has also been observed for 2-furoic acid (2FA)—a molecule studied here, which possesses both a heterocyclic ring and a carboxylic group.

Substitution on a furan ring can significantly affect its ability to attach low energy electrons as well as its susceptibility to fragment. Already the first studies of the electron attachment to furan, tetrahydrofuran, and fructose demonstrated that fructose is a much better electron scavenger than bare or hydrogenated furan. A large (>10 × 10⁻²⁰ m²) cross section for electron attachment to deoxyribose was then reported by Ptasińska et al. Another example is the severe damage caused to the furan ring after electron transfer from cytidine in a complex nucleoside, deoxyctydine monophosphate. Substitution of furan hydrogens by electronegative fluorine atoms not only enhances its ability to attach low energy electrons at subexcitation energies, but also enables breakage of the ring by these species. Moreover, several examples of chemical intramolecular mechanisms of hydrogen migration in the charged state of the furan and its substituents were observed. Finally, substitution can affect the chemical and biochemical interaction of the ring structures. It is therefore interesting to study how substituion of the ring influences the interaction with low energy electrons, particularly for 2FA with several important applications.

2FA, C₅H₄O₃, is also known as pyromucic acid or α-furancarboxylic acid or furan-2-carboxylic acid. 2FA can be synthesized by the oxidation of either furfuryl alcohol or furfural. An industrial way of achieving 2FA involves the Cannizzaro disproportionation reaction of furfural in an aqueous sodium hydroxide solution. The main industrial applications of 2FA include pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals, fragrances, and flavors. Due to its highly nonlinear optical properties, 2FA finds its application in optic technologies. Of particular importance for the present study is a proposed...
Electron affinity antitumor activity of 2FA.\textsuperscript{42} Electron affinic antitumor drugs exhibit strong synergistic effects with radiation, which have been subscribed to the interaction with secondary low energy electrons.\textsuperscript{53}

Presently, in the literature, there is a paucity of experimental electron scattering studies with 2FA, likely because of its low volatility and sensitivity to air, complicating its handling and sublimation for gas phase studies. The use of a direct insertion probe technique for sample sublimation in the present experiment significantly improves the handling of 2FA and may be advantageous also for other studies of low volatility samples.

In addition to 2FA measurements we investigated 3-methyl-2-furoic acid (3M-2FA) and 5-methyl-2-furoic acid (5M-2FA) molecules. These measurements helped us to better understand the mechanism of 2FA dissociation upon electron attachment.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

The electron attachment experiments were performed using the TEM–QMS (trochoidal electron monochromator–quadrupole mass spectrometer) setup originally constructed by the Michael Allan group at the University of Freiburg\textsuperscript{44} and used for studies of isolated molecules. After its transport to the J. Heyrovský Institute of Physical Chemistry (Czech Republic), it has been modified for studies with low volatility compounds.\textsuperscript{45} Here, we briefly describe the apparatus and focus more on the procedure used to prepare an isolated gas phase target of 2FA.

The TEM–QMS used in the present study was placed in a vacuum chamber with a background pressure of 10\textsuperscript{−7} mTorr, and it was able to measure the energy dependent and mass-selected yields of stable anions forming after electron attachment. The TEM–QMS employs two main parts: (i) a trochoidal electron monochromator (TEM) that delivers a monochromatic electron beam (20–40 nA) and (ii) a detection system based on a quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS) capable of separating formed negative ions according to their m/z. The electron beam was steered by means of electrostatic potentials and an axial collimating magnetic field into the collision chamber filled with the gas sample. Anions were drawn from the collision region, at right angle to the electron beam, into the detector by an extraction electron energy calibration was made by measuring the 4.4 eV threshold energy calculations were based on the formula

\[
E_{th} = E_{M^-} + E_{M}\quad (1)
\]

where \(E_{M^-}\) and \(E_M\) are the energies of the breakup products in the DEA process, for a newly formed stable negative ion and its neutral part, respectively. \(E_M\) is the energy of the parent molecule. In the reactions involving more than two neutral fragments (n > 1), the neutral part \(M_n\) can be replaced by a

Many low volatility compounds require thermal treatment (degassing) prior to their sublimation for gas phase studies. Construction of the direct insertion probe (see Figure 1) allows both degassing and sublimation of the sample. In the probe, a solid state sample is placed in a glass reservoir inside a copper sublimation oven, which is separated from the collision region only by an ~1 cm capillary, which significantly reduces the travel path of the compound and offers a direct sample temperature control by means of the resistively heated oven. The sublimation of the sample for gas phase studies in the present experiment was achieved by heating the oven to 80 °C. After we place the sample inside the chamber, we wait until the base pressure, at 10\textsuperscript{−7} mbar, is stable. Then, we slowly heat the sample, at the same time monitoring the increasing pressure by a residual gas analyzer (electron impact ionization MS) to identify the composition of the vapor. We have not observed any sample impurities with the studied molecules. An additional inlet line from outside the vacuum can be used for gases and volatile samples, connected to the reaction chamber through the same capillary. This inlet is advantageous for calibration of the instrument. The insertion probe is equipped with an auxiliary valve (see detail in Figure 1) with the connection to the fore-line pump that enables filling up the sample without a need to vent the vacuum chamber to air.

3. THEORETICAL METHODS

The present experimental work is supported by density functional theory (DFT) quantum chemical calculations performed with the Gaussian 09 software.\textsuperscript{51} First, 2FA geometries were optimized using the hybrid B3LYP method\textsuperscript{50,51} and standard cc-PVTZ or aug-cc-PVTZ basis set. Further, threshold energies were estimated for the dissociative electron attachment (DEA) reactions at the same level of theory. The reliability of the approach is well-known from previous studies (e.g., refs 22 and 54). Individual threshold energy calculations were based on the formula
sum $\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i$. As will be discussed in more detail in section 4.3, this method can provide information on resonance energies and enable one to trace possible reaction pathways. For several fragments, surprisingly complex rearrangement reactions are required so that the calculated threshold correctly agrees with the onset of the experimental signal. Individual threshold energies are then obtained from the sums of electronic and zero-point energies.

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Theoretically, we explored four minimum energy conformers for 2FA. First, the carboxylic moiety can be oriented in two ways with respect to the furan ring, and second, the hydrogen atom in the carboxylic acid can be oriented either toward or away from the ring. Graphical representations of these conformers and calculated relative energy levels are shown in Table 1. Choosing the conformer does not affect much the position of the theoretical threshold energy. For the purpose of our analysis it is not critical to choose which energy conformer we use in the calculations. The calculated energy thresholds between two, most energetically separated conformers introduce the difference only by $\sim 230-280$ meV, depending on the basis set. For DEA threshold calculations, we use the lowest energy conformer of 2FA.

The DEA fragmentation processes to 2FA lead to a large number of anionic products. Generally, DEA is a two-step process that can be described by the following equation:

$$e^- + C_6H_9O_3^- \rightarrow C_6H_9O_3^- + e^-$$

(2)

where we can distinguish creation of a transient parent anion $M^{*+}$ of a target molecule $M$ and then fragmentation into a detected negative fragment ion $M_{a}^-$ and an undetected neutral fragment $M_b$. In the following sections, we will describe the mechanisms of partial dissociation channels of the 2FA after electron attachment.

4.1. Hydrogen Loss Channel. The dominant fragmentation channel results in the formation of the stable, closed shell anion, $(M-H)^-$, by the loss of a neutral hydrogen atom:

$$e^- + C_6H_9O_3^- \rightarrow (C_6H_9O_3^-)^+ + M$$

(3)

cleaved from the parent ion. The threshold energy calculations in Table 3 confirm that only the hydrogen in the carboxylic acid group fulfills the energy onset of the low energy resonance.

Cleavage of hydrogen atoms from the furan ring can be achieved only by significant energy input of above 2.5 eV. Such fragmentation can occur via core excited Feshbach resonances observed as a broader and overlapping structures in the $(M-H)^-$ anion yield from 5 to 11 eV (Figure 2). Based on the calculations, cleavage of any of the hydrogen atoms of the furan ring is possible at these energies. However, our supporting experiments with methyl-substituted compounds indicate that only the hydrogen from position 3 is cleaved. We can see that Feshbach resonances are well visible in the spectra of 2FA and 5M-2FA (Figure 2a,c), but they disappear in the

| Table 1. Relative Electronic Energies (in kJ mol$^{-1}$) of 2FA Acid Conformers Compared with Available Theoretical Results from Halasa et al.\textsuperscript{55} |
|-----------------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| $\Delta E_{\text{B3LYP/aug-cc-PVTZ}}$ | 0.0    | 0.5     | 9.0     | 23.5    |
| $\Delta E_{\text{B3LYP/cc-PVTZ}}$     | 0.0    | 0.8     | 9.7     | 24.7    |
| $\Delta E_{\text{B3LYP/6-31++G(d,p)}}$ | 0.0    | 0.9     | 10.9    | 28.2    |
| $\Delta E_{\text{QCISD/6-31++G(d,p)}}$  | 0.0    | 0.2     | 10.0    | 29.0    |
| $\Delta E_{\text{MP2/6-31++G(d,p)}}$   | 0.3    | 0.0     | 11.7    | 29.0    |

The DEA reaction resulting in hydrogen loss from a molecule itself\textsuperscript{56,57} or from the hydroxyl moiety of a carboxylic acid group is a well-known process.\textsuperscript{3,22,58} In the present case, it occurs primarily at an electron energy of $\sim 1.2$ eV as demonstrated in the energy dependent ion yields in Figure 2. In 2FA, there are four hydrogen atoms which may be

![Figure 2. 2FA molecule model with depicted carbon numbers (top); ion yields for the most prominent DEA fragments of 2FA, 3M-2FA, and 5M-2FA (bottom).](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jPCA.0c07283)
spectrum of 3M-2FA (Figure 2b), where the hydrogen in position 3 is replaced with a methyl group.

The differences in computed energies for 2FA indicate that hydrogen loss from position 3 may be favored by \( \approx 0.5 \) eV in comparison to the other ones. While the energy may explain the preference for the channel in the case of ergodic dissociation of the transient anion, it does not explain why other channels are closed. An alternative explanation may be that the \((M-H)^-\) anion of 3M-2FA, at these higher energies, is metastable and undergoes dissociation to smaller anionic fragments as will be discussed in sections 4.2 and 4.3.

4.2. Electronically Excited Feshbach Resonances. In Figure 3, we present the ion yield curves for DEA channels of the three targets together, in decreasing intensity order. In Figure 3, the values of the calculated threshold energies for the individual dissociation channels are included to enable comparisons with the theoretical evaluations of the dissociation thresholds. Table 3 presents the threshold energies calculated for several possible reaction pathways for each detected DEA channel. The uncertainty in the identification of the reaction pathways is caused by the fact that the energy threshold depends on the neutral fragmentation products. Hence, finding an agreement with the experimental signal onset requires calculation of several reaction pathways and often an inclusion of complex rearrangement reactions.

The observed anionic fragments, with the exception of \((M-H)^-\) (1.2 eV resonance) and \(C_5H_7^+\) (discussion in section 4.3), are formed above 5 eV. In Figure 3, we can distinguish three dominant energy bands, centered around 5.3, 6.7, and 9.4 eV, which correspond to core-excited resonances. These can fall into two main classes: the Feshbach resonances with a cationic core and two electrons in the \(s^2\) Rydberg orbital or core-excited shape resonances (possibly supported by a dipole moment of the parent excited state). The first class has been shown to be operative in a broad range of molecules;\(^{60-62}\) the second class has been shown to be operative in selected organic molecules.\(^{63}\) In the case of simple amides, the question of which mechanism is operative sparked a recent discussion.\(^{64-66}\) A useful tool to identify the contribution of the Feshbach resonances is to compare the DEA spectra with an available photoelectron spectrum (PES) and semiempirical molecular orbital (MO) calculations for 2FA. The relationships between energies available from photoelectron and electron attachment spectroscopies is well-known. The spectra are typically shifted by a constant energy of a few electron-volts.\(^{7,22,67}\) The PES data reveals three distinct bands in the region of 9–13 eV. We use the same MO notation as Klapstein et al.\(^{59}\) to denote these bands. The first band in the PES, located at 9.32 eV, is related to ionization from the ring \(\pi_1\) orbital. The second, composed of two overlapping bands, the ring \(\pi_2\) orbital and the \(n_{O}^a\) band of the carbonyl group, appears at 10.74 eV. The third band, due to out-of-plane lone-pair orbital on the hydroxyl oxygen, \(n_{O}^a\), is located at 11.90 eV. The next higher, unassigned, band is visible in the experimental PES. When we collate the DEA bands above 5 eV with the PES, we can assign bands as in Table 2.

![Figure 3](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.jpca.0c07283)

Figure 3. Ion yields for individual DEA fragments of 2FA (red), 3M-2FA (semitransparent green), and 5M-2FA (semitransparent black). The calculated threshold energies (from Tables 3 and 4) for individual dissociation channels refer to 2FA. The blue curve in panel a is a photoelectron spectrum from ref 59 shifted by 4 eV. The blue transparent vertical stripes are to enhance the relation between the PES and the DEA spectra.

### Table 2. Assignment of the Bands from the PES Spectrum to DEA\(_{2FA}\) and DEA\(_{FN}\) Band Locations from Furan\(^{a}\)

| DEA\(_{2FA}\) → PES | (assignment) | DEA\(_{FN}\) |
|-------------------|--------------|-------------|
| 5.3 → 9.32        | (\(\sigma_1\)) | 6           |
| 6.7 → 10.74       | (\(n_{O}^a, \pi_1\)) | 10.5        |

\(^{a}\)All data in electronvolts.

The energy differences between corresponding DEA and PES bands can be estimated to \(\sim 4\) eV. Typically, previous reports found the energy shift in the range 3.3–4.5 eV depending on the molecular target.\(^{2,22,60}\) The PES band assigned to the \(n_{O}^a\) band is not visible in the DEA spectrum; however, it may contribute to the adjacent ion yield signals, making them broader. Using the same energy shift, as for other bands, we can estimate its position to be at \(\sim 7.9\) eV in the DEA spectrum. The correlation with the photoelectron spectrum indeed suggests that the observed DEA bands are mediated by the formation of Feshbach resonances with two
electrons in the same Rydberg orbitals. It should be noted that for a molecule of this size with a complicated excited structure other core-excited processes can be operative at overlapping energies.

We can compare the present results with those of furan (FN)\(^1\) to gain more insight. FN fragments into three pronounced DEA channels of C\(_2\)HO\(^-\) (\(m/z = 41\)), (FN\(^-\)H\(^-\)) (\(m/z = 67\)), and C\(_3\)H\(_3\)\(^-\) (\(m/z = 39\)) fragments, in decreasing intensity order. The present work allows us to observe the quantitative agreement in these anionic fragments between FN and 2FA; the same ion yield intensity order can be observed in Figure 3, panels c, d, and g, respectively.

Concerning the energetics, the FN ion yields band peaks at 6 and 10.5 eV. The first band is sharp and intense, and the latter is less intense and broader. Such bands are observed also in the spectrum of 2FA, slightly shifted toward lower energies and observed as 5.3 and 9.4 eV bands, respectively (see Table 2).

However, we can see in Figure 3 that, except for the \(m/z = 67\) fragment corresponding to the loss of carboxyl group from 2FA, the intensity of the first 5.3 eV band is negligible. For all ions, a new and intense band appears in the spectrum of 2FA (in Figure 3) at an energy of 6.7 eV, which corresponds to the overlapping \(\pi\) orbital of the ring and carbonyl oxygen as discussed above. We can see that initially bound excited state corresponding to electron removal from the \(\pi\) orbital in FN is transformed to dissociative state after carboxylation in 2FA.

In addition to the fragments, which were previously observed for furan, we also observe new fragments in 2FA. The most intense is OH\(^-\) (\(m/z = 17\)) resulting from dissociation of the carboxylic group. Another fragment that can be directly assigned to the carboxylic group is COOH\(^-\). However, this fragment was observed with low intensity at the detection limit of our setup, so the spectrum is not shown here. Even though one can expect formation of these ions at energies typical for

### Table 3. B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ Threshold Energies for Individual DEA Fragmentation Channels

| Dissociation scheme | Anion | Neutral products | \(m/z\) | \(E_{th}[\text{eV}]\) |
|---------------------|-------|------------------|--------|-------------------|
| ![Diagram](image1)  | (M−H\(_i\))\(^-\)  +  H\(_i\)     | 111    | 0.99 → H\(_{(a)}\) |
|                     |       |                  |        | 2.56 → H\(_{(b)}\) |
|                     |       |                  |        | 2.87 → H\(_{(c)}\) |
|                     |       |                  |        | 2.90 → H\(_{(d)}\) |
| ![Diagram](image2)  | OH\(^-\)  +  (M−OH)   | 17     | 2.50             |
| ![Diagram](image3)  | HCCO\(^-\)  +  H  +  HCCO\(_2\)OH | 41     | 4.25             |
| ![Diagram](image4)  | HCCO\(^-\)  +  HCCH  +  COOH  | 41     | 3.59             |
| ![Diagram](image5)  | C\(_4\)H\(_3\)O\(^-\)  +  COOH  | 67     | 2.81             |
| ![Diagram](image6)  | C\(_3\)H\(_3\)O\(^-\)  +  C\(_2\)O\(_2\)H  | 55     | 5.97             |
| ![Diagram](image7)  | C\(_3\)H\(_3\)O\(^-\)  +  C\(_2\)O\(_2\)H  | 55     | 4.91             |
| ![Diagram](image8)  | C\(_3\)H\(_2\)\(^-\)  +  C\(_2\)O\(_2\)H  | 39     | 5.78             |
| ![Diagram](image9)  | C\(_3\)H\(_2\)\(^-\)  +  C\(_2\)O\(_2\)H  | 39     | 3.28             |
| ![Diagram](image10) | C\(_4\)H\(_3\)\(^-\)  +  H\(_2\)O  +  COOH  | 49     | 3.96             |
| ![Diagram](image11) | C\(_4\)H\(_3\)\(^-\)  +  H\(_2\)O  +  COOH  | 49     | 6.17             |
| ![Diagram](image12) | COOH\(^-\)  +  C\(_4\)H\(_3\)O  | 45     | 3.14             |
DEA to formic acid, this is not the case. The main Feshbach resonance in formic acid peaks at 7.6 eV corresponding to the electron removal from the \( n_{\text{o}} \) orbital of the hydroxyl oxygen. The \( \text{OH}^- \) and \( \text{COOH}^- \) fragments in the present case, however, peak at 6 and 9 eV, where bare \( \text{HCOOH} \) dissociates with only low yields. Either such electronic states localized on \( \text{HCOOH} \) group become dissociative in 2FA or the entrance states are that of the furan ring. Correct assignment of these bands will require high level \textit{ab initio} calculations.

Substitution of the carboxylic group then also opens several new fragmentation channels of the furan ring. The most intense of these channels results in the formation of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}^- \) (\( m/z \) 25) followed by \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_3\text{O}^- \) (\( m/z \) 55) and \( \text{C}_4\text{H}^- \) (\( m/z \) 49).

Most of these fragmentation channels can be described by a simple reaction mechanism as shown in Table 3.

For DEA to 3M-2FA and 5M-2FA results in similar anionic products. When comparing the yields for 3- and 5-methylated furonic acid with that of 2FA, we can see similar resonance trends, both quantitatively and qualitatively. Increase of the signal intensity in the case of \( m/z \) 39 may be assigned to competition of this fragmentation channel with that of \( m/z \) 25, and a similar increase in the case of \( m/z \) 55 may be assigned to competition with the channel resulting in the formation of \( m/z \) 41 anion. The \( \text{COOH} \) loss, \( m/z \) 67 for 2FA, corresponds to \( m/z \) 81 anions observed for methylated molecules. However, substitutions cause significant changes in the signal of \( m/z \) 67 and 25 anions, which will be discussed in section 4.3.

Table 4. Possible Dissociation Channels for \( \text{C}_2\text{H}^- \) DEA Channel of the 2FA Molecule

| Dissociation scheme | Anion | Neutral Products | \( m/z \) | \( E_{\text{th}} \) [eV] |
|---------------------|-------|-----------------|---------|-------------------|
| 1)                  | \( \text{C}_2\text{H}^- \) + \( \text{H} \) + \( \text{C}_4\text{H}_2\text{O}_3 \) | 25       | 8.15              |
| 2)                  | + \( \text{H} \) + \( \text{C}_4\text{H}_2\text{O}_3 \) | 7.77     |                    |
| 3a)                 | + \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) + \( \text{COOH} \) | 7.49     |                    |
| 3b)                 | + \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{O} \) + \( \text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \) | 4.10     |                    |
| 4)                  | + \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_3\text{O}_3 \) | 4.46     |                    |
| 5)                  | + \( \text{C}_3\text{H}_3\text{O}_3 \) | 3.19     |                    |
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4.3. Hydrogen Migration. The anionic channel leading to the formation of \( \text{C}_2\text{H}^- \) from 2FA shows two distinctive resonance bands in the ion yield spectrum peaking at \( \sim 5 \) eV and around 9 eV. The higher energy band was previously assigned as a Feshbach resonance. Fragmentation resulting in a stable anion and two neutral fragments predict energy thresholds of 8.15, 7.77, and 7.49 eV, for reactions 1, 2, and 3 in Table 4. These are good estimates for this band. However, the experimental onset for the lower band appears at \( \approx 4 \) eV.

First, what is the nature of this band? The band seems to be slightly shifted with respect to the main 5.3 eV band contribution observed in the spectrum of other anions. This apparent shift may be caused by the overlap with higher energy bands for all the anions except \( \text{C}_2\text{H}^- \), which is well separated. However, such overlap does not explain the low energy onset of the resonance.

Therefore it is possible that the band results from a shape resonance. We explored the Rydberg states of the system using the semiempirical formula of Gallup; however, we did not find any high lying shape resonances (LUMO \( \rightarrow 0.07 \) eV, LUMO + 1 \( \rightarrow 2.24 \) eV, LUMO + 2 \( \rightarrow 3.29 \) eV). Still, the dipole supported inner shell resonances may be possible as described by Khvostenko et al. In furan the resonance is positioned at 5.55 eV, which is \( \sim 0.5 \) eV below the corresponding \( S_1 \) state. A similar shift was proposed for amides in the recent study of Li et al., and the same shift due to a dipole interaction may also well describe the low lying \( \text{C}_2\text{H}^- \) resonance in the present case. Irrespective of the nature
of this resonance, we can see from Table 4 that it cannot be explained by any direct dissociation channel, so a more complex reaction must be involved.

Several complex dissociation channels resulting in formation of C2H anions are listed in Table 4. These include reaction channels where hydrogen migrates from/to carboxyl group, reactions 2a, 3a, and 3b, or within the ring, reactions 4 and 5. The lowest threshold of 3.19 eV was estimated for reaction 5 with only one neutral cofragment. Any of these reactions can explain the lowest energy band in the C2H anion signal, but identifying the most likely will require further experiments or an estimation of the different reaction barriers.

The second interesting observation is the formation of m/z 67 anion from the methyl-substituted species. The anion formed by simple cleavage of the carboxyl group corresponds to m/z 66. Formation of m/z 67 anion therefore requires a more complex fragmentation pathway, with hydrogen migration from the carboxyl group to the furan ring.

It is now interesting to explore how methyl substitution at different positions in the molecule influences hydrogen migration. The ion yield for C6H4O− (m/z 67) in Figure 3d significantly changes with methyl substitutions. The three main bands positioned at 5.3, 6.7, and 9.4 eV are visible in 2FA. For 3M-2FA the band located around 6.7 eV is significantly suppressed, whereas for 5M-2FA a decrease in ion yield is observable to the band around 5.3 eV, leaving the middle band (6.7 eV) the strongest. Methylation also results in a slight decrease of the intensity of the 9.4 eV band.

When exploring the yields of C6H5− (m/z 25) in Figure 3e, we can see only two resonances in the case of 2FA around 5 and 9 eV, which do not change upon substitution at the 5 position of the ring. However, substitution at the 3 position of the ring results in complete disappearance of the low 5.3 eV resonance and in the formation of a new peak in the anion yield around 6.7 eV.

The present experimental results do not allow us to unambiguously identify what causes these strong changes in the hydrogen migration after methyl substitution at the 3 position of the ring. We can only speculate about the mechanisms involved.

The disappearance of the 5.3 eV resonance in the yield of the m/z 25 anion after 3-methylation may be partially described by the new signal at this energy in the yield of m/z 39 anion, corresponding to the C5H3− anion due to the attached methyl group. This gives us only two options for how the C2H+ can be extracted from the furan ring at low energies. The carbons of the resulting C2H− anion are, in the first option, the 2 and 3 carbons of the furan ring and, in the second option, the 3 and 4 carbons of the ring. Returning to Table 4, only reaction mechanisms 2a, 3a, and 3b are consistent with this explanation. The appearance of a new resonance in the yield of m/z 25 anion at 6.7 eV after 3-methylation can be then linked to the disappearance of this resonance in the yield of m/z 67 anion. It seems that, after cleavage of the carboxylic group from 3M-2FA, the anion becomes highly unstable and dissociation via release of C2H+ will be much easier than stabilization of the ring. As the mechanism requires hydrogen migration, the low stability of the 3M-2FA anion may be an explanation also for the disappearance of the signal of Feshbach resonances in the (M−H)− anion signal described in section 4.1.

As a further note, our experimental measurements are performed under conditions which are similar to our earlier work on pyruvic acid, where we observed the formation of dimer anions and consequently parent anions. In the present study, we did not observe the creation of stable molecular parent anions, nor dimers. This again indicates a strong interaction of the ring with the COOH group. Consequently, the ability of the carboxyl group to form intermolecular hydrogen bonds is reduced. The binding energy of the carboxyl group bonded dimer of 2FA is −0.26 eV as reported by Ghalla et al., which is less than half of the energy for formic acid (−0.62 eV).

5. CONCLUSIONS

In the present work, we have measured dissociative electron attachment in 2-furoic acid, 3-methyl-2-furoic acid, and 5-methyl-2-furoic acid. A rich fragmentation pattern has been revealed, with the strongest dissociation channel for all three compounds coming from the cleavage of the hydroxyl bond and production of the (M−H)− anion at electron energies around 1.2 eV. At higher energies (M−H)− anions are formed via core excited Feshbach resonances that are not detected for 3M-2FA. The behavior can be explained by exclusive formation of the (M−H)− only from the 3 position of the molecule or low stability of the 3M-2FA dehydrogenated anion at these energies, which undergoes further fragmentation.

Assignment of individual core excited Feshbach resonances to individual Rydberg states of the molecule based on PES indicate that the nondissociative π−→π* resonance of furan becomes dissociative in 2FA upon overlapping the orbital with that of the carbonyl group. This is important with respect to electron transfer reactions after irradiation of complex molecular systems.

DFT calculations of energetic thresholds for individual DEA reaction channels of 2FA are in good agreement with a direct bond cleavage mechanism for most of the observed fragments. However, complex rearrangement and fragmentation of the neutral cofragments may also occur during the DEA. Experiments with methyl-substituted molecules help us to better identify the most probable reaction pathways, including hydrogen migration.
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