Cortical Brain Development in Schizophrenia: Insights From Neuroimaging Studies in Childhood-Onset Schizophrenia
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Childhood-onset schizophrenia (COS; defined as onset by age 12 years) is rare, difficult to diagnose, and represents a severe and chronic phenotype of the adult-onset illness. A study of childhood-onset psychoses has been ongoing at the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) since 1990, where children with COS and severe atypical psychoses (provisionally labeled “multidimensionally impaired” or MDI by the NIMH team) are studied prospectively along with all first-degree relatives. COS subjects have robust cortical gray matter (GM) loss during adolescence, which appears to be an exaggeration of the normal cortical GM developmental pattern and eventually mimics the pattern seen in adult-onset cases as the children become young adults. These cortical GM changes in COS are diagnostically specific and seemingly unrelated to the effects of medications. Furthermore, the cortical GM loss is also shared by healthy full siblings of COS probands suggesting a genetic influence on the abnormal brain development.
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Introduction

Across medicine, childhood manifestation of a typical adult-onset illness usually shows a more severe phenotype and perhaps greater genetic influences.1 This also appears to be the case in childhood-onset schizophrenia (COS), which is a rare, severe phenotype of the typical adult-onset illness.2 Although the existence of childhood schizophrenia was recognized since early in the 20th century,2,3 “childhood psychosis” broadly included both the spectrum of behavioral disorders as well as autism4 until these were distinguished by Kolvin5 in 1971. Even today, high rates of misdiagnosis remain because transient psychotic symptoms can occur in healthy children6–8 and fleeting hallucinations are not uncommon in nonpsychotic pediatric patients,9,10 particularly in response to anxiety and stress.11 Fully developed psychotic disorders in children, however, are rare and tend to be more severe than their adult counterparts,1 and recent data from a large birth cohort study suggests that self-reported psychotic symptoms at age 11 years predicted a very high risk (odds ratio = 16.4, confidence interval = 3.9–67.8) of schizophreniform diagnosis at age 26 years suggesting that psychotic symptoms probably exist as a continuous phenotype rather than an all-or-none phenomenon.12

A study of COS was started at the National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) in 1990 with the hypothesis that it would make it possible to study the illness with a more homogeneous phenotype, closer to the developmental roots, and also less confounded by environmental factors such as multiple hospitalizations or substance abuse. Over the past 15 years, children have been nationally recruited and diagnosis is made using unmodified Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Third Revision/Fourth Edition (DSM-III/IV), criteria for schizophrenia, with onset of psychosis by age 12 years, premorbid IQ of 70 or above, and absence of significant neurological disorder. In most cases, the diagnosis is confirmed after a complete medication washout followed by 1–3 weeks’ drug-free inpatient observation.2,13,14 This has resulted in a unique homogeneous cohort of COS cases (n = 95 to date), where most patients resemble chronic, severe, treatment-refractory adult-onset cases. Earlier studies comprehensively showed the continuity between the early- and later onset schizophrenia through studies on premorbid risk factors,15–22 smooth pursuit eye-tracking function,23 familial schizophrenia-spectrum disorders,24,25 and neurocognitive measures.26 Structural brain abnormalities are an established feature of schizophrenia, characterized by decreased total gray matter (GM) volume reduction in cortex, hippocampus, and amygdala.27–30 The cortical GM loss appears progressive over time.31–34 Regional analyses of cortical GM, using either voxel-based morphometry or cortical thickness measures, show that most cortical GM deficits
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in schizophrenia are localized to prefrontal and superior temporal cortices in both first-episode and chronic adult patients. However, many questions regarding the GM findings remain unanswered; eg, whether the GM changes are genetically influenced, whether the GM loss could be considered a trait marker, what is the influence of medications on GM changes, whether the GM loss is functionally significant, and whether the GM changes in schizophrenia are diagnostically specific. The unique cohort of severe but homogeneous COS cases, along with their healthy as well as schizophrenia-spectrum full siblings, provide an important sample to gain further insights into some of these questions, which are discussed in this review.

**Brain Imaging Studies in COS**

The majority of the COS brain imaging studies have come from the NIMH cohort. Earlier cross-sectional imaging studies showed general agreement on findings of increased lateral ventricular volume, decreased total as well as regional GM volumes, and increased basal ganglia volume. Later on, prospective longitudinal brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) studies of the NIMH COS population showed increasing ventricular volume and decreasing total cortical, frontal, medial temporal, and parietal GM volumes at 2- to 6-year follow-up during adolescent years. However, all these early studies were done using relatively crude whole-lobe volumetric measures that do not provide subregional specificity of GM findings. Recent advances in computational image analysis now permit regional GM density or cortical thickness measurements, which, when automated, can be applied to large samples, increasing statistical power and provide unprecedented anatomic detail of cortical GM change across the entire cortex, and across time. Using these novel brain mapping techniques, we now have mapped longitudinal GM developmental trajectories for COS probands contrasting them with matched groups of healthy children, healthy COS siblings, and children with pediatric bipolar illness to address some of the questions raised above.

**What is the Pattern of Cortical GM Loss in COS? And How Does the GM Loss in COS Compare With the Normal GM Maturation Pattern?**

Initial analyses on 12 COS patients and temporally matched controls with prospective scans over 5 years between showed a unique wave of “back-to-front” tissue loss with early parietal GM loss followed by frontal and temporal GM loss (parietofrontal and parietotemporal directions) during adolescence (ages 12–16 years), and in a top-down fashion on the medial cortical surface.

In a recent analyses of 13 healthy children with 3–5 scans \( (n = 54 \text{ scans}) \) over a 10-year period (ages 4–22 years), an overall similar pattern of GM maturation was seen also progressing in “back-to-front” or parietofrontal and parietotemporal directions. This suggests that GM loss in COS may reflect an exaggeration of normal maturational process of synaptic/dendritic pruning during adolescence, perhaps also supporting the excessive pruning (synaptic elimination) hypothesis proposed for GM loss in schizophrenia (figure 1).

**Is the Cortical GM Loss More Striking for COS Than for the Adult-Onset Population?**

Several adult schizophrenia studies using brain MRI have reported GM loss and ventricular enlargement, which are progressive. Because adolescence is a time for major brain reorganization, we hypothesized that the GM changes in COS will be more striking than seen in the adult population with the illness, which could be either the cause or result of the more severe COS phenotype. An effect size comparison of longitudinal anatomic MRI studies showed that the progressive brain changes in COS are indeed more robust than in the adult-onset schizophrenia (AOS) population. This may also indicate either a “pathological hit” in early childhood, or that in adult-onset patients, the disease process could have begun during adolescence, prior to the onset of psychosis, as suggested recently by Pantelis et al.

**Does the Cortical GM Loss in COS Mimic the Adult-Onset Pattern After Adolescence?**

If COS is continuous with AOS, as the children become young adults, the cortical GM pattern would take the pattern seen in AOS patients. Cortical thickness analyses in AOS show GM loss mostly in prefrontal and temporal cortices. In seeking whether COS eventually resembles the pattern seen in AOS, we analyzed GM development using prospective MRI scans of 70 COS subjects, ages 6–26 years, and 72 matched healthy controls (1–5 scans per subject; total scans = 330), using a fully automated cortical thickness analyses with mixed effect regression model statistics. The analyses show that as COS subjects mature, the robust and global GM loss during the adolescent years becomes limited to prefrontal and superior temporal cortices by age 24 years, mimicking a pattern seen in adult patients. These findings also establish the anatomic continuity between the 2 phenotypes (figure 2).

**Is the Cortical GM Loss Medication Influenced and Diagnostically Specific?**

How the effect of medications reflects on the pattern of GM loss in schizophrenia has been difficult to elucidate.
Due to the severity of illness, medication-naive COS subjects are difficult to obtain. We have attempted to address this question using a cohort of atypical psychoses patients recruited and characterized during the course of the NIMH COS study.

The Multidimensionally Impaired Cohort. A sizeable, heterogeneous group of children who were referred to the study with initial diagnosis of COS were ruled out as having COS after medication washout. These children could not be adequately characterized into any existing...
**DSM-IV** diagnoses\(^{13,64,65}\) (would probably be labeled psychosis not otherwise specified [NOS] or mood disorder NOS) and were labeled by the NIMH group as “multidimensionally impaired (MDI),” due to the following distinct features which were used as the “operational diagnostic criteria” by the NIMH group\(^{13,64}\), (1) brief, transient episodes of psychosis and perceptual disturbance usually in response to stress (as opposed to the pervasive hallucinations/delusions in COS); (2) nearly daily periods of emotional lability disproportionate to participants, (3) impaired interpersonal skills despite the desire to initiate peer friendships (distinction from COS), (4) no thought disorder, and (5) high comorbidity with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. These children were followed longitudinally along with the COS children with the thought that some of the MDI children may evolve into the schizophrenia spectrum,\(^64\) but at 2- to 10-year follow-up the MDI cohort appears to have a distinct long-term clinical course and none have progressed to schizophrenia.\(^{66}\) Due to their similar initial presentation and medication history, these children have provided a medication-matched contrast group to evaluate the specificity of brain findings in COS.

When progressive GM changes were mapped in a group of age-, sex-, and IQ-matched COS \((n = 12)\) and “MDI” \((n = 10)\) patients, it was clear that the cortical deficits seen in COS are not shared by the “MDI” group and thus are not a result of medication treatment.\(^50\) This is further supported by our recent quantitative analysis of a larger sample of matched COS \((n = 23)\), “DI” \((n = 19)\) and healthy community control \((n = 38)\) groups, where the GM loss was specifically seen in COS group.\(^{57}\)

At 4- to 8-year follow-up, 12 out of 32 MDI subjects \((38\%)\) developed a **DSM-IV**-defined manic episode and thus were diagnosed as Bipolar I. Nine of these 12 children had usable scans that were obtained before and after the first manic episode (Bipolar I diagnosis). We mapped the cortical brain development in pediatric bipolar illness before and after the onset of illness. These analyses show a pattern strikingly distinct from that seen in COS. The brain development in MDI children who became Bipolar I showed subtle, regionally specific, bilaterally asymmetrical cortical changes, with cortical GM increasing over the left temporal cortex and decreasing bilaterally in the anterior (and subgenual) cingulate cortex particularly after the illness onset.\(^{68}\) Thus, at least in pediatric samples, there appears to be no overlap between the brain changes in COS and bipolar illness.

**Is the Increased GM Loss in COS Genetically Influenced and a Trait Marker?**

Several studies have described structural brain abnormalities in families of schizophrenic patients.\(^{69-72}\) Our initial cross-sectional analysis with 15 healthy full siblings of COS \((\text{mean age } 19.4 \pm 5.9 \text{ years})\) showed GM reduction relative to 32 healthy controls \((\text{mean age } 18.7 \pm 6.2 \text{ years})\), where the difference was most significant in the parietal GM (effect size \(= 0.3\)).\(^{73}\) This was recently explored further using automated cortical thickness analyses on an expanded sample of nonpsychotic siblings of COS probands\((n = 52, 113 \text{ scans}; \text{age } 8–28 \text{ years})\) contrasting with age-, sex-, and scan interval–matched controls \((n = 52, 108 \text{ scans})\). In these analyses, younger healthy COS siblings showed significant GM deficits in left prefrontal and bilateral temporal cortices and smaller deficits in right prefrontal and inferior parietal cortices compared with the controls suggesting that the prefrontal and temporal GM deficits in COS may be familial/trait markers. However, the cortical deficits in siblings disappeared by age 20 years suggesting a plastic or restitutive brain response in these nonpsychotic, nonspectrum siblings (figure 3).\(^{75}\)
Numerous chromosomal abnormalities have been reported in schizophrenia.\(^{75,76}\) As has been seen in other pediatric onset diseases,\(^ {1,77,78}\) the familial risk for schizophrenia-spectrum disorders appear higher for COS than for AOS.\(^ {24,25}\) Candidate gene association studies and cytogenetic studies also show increased rates of abnormalities for the COS population, which are clearly higher than those seen for the AOS.\(^ {79}\)

We are beginning to explore the influence of individual risk alleles on GM developmental trajectories. For example, the gene for glutamate decarboxylase (GAD), a modulator enzyme for the inhibitory neurotransmitter \(\gamma\)-aminobutyric acid, influences the prefrontal cortical circuitry and postmortem studies have shown reduction in prefrontal cortical GM in schizophrenia patients with GAD risk gene.\(^ {80,81}\) Our preliminary analyses using automated cortical thickness measures show that, in both COS subjects (\(n = 61, 149\) scans) and their healthy siblings (\(n = 24, 50\) scans), presence of GAD risk allele is associated with steeper slopes for GM loss in prefrontal and parietal cortices most prominently on the left side; while a similar analysis in the controls (\(n = 232, 500\) scans) revealed no influence of the risk allele status (Dr Nitin Gogtay, unpublished data). These observations strongly suggest that the GM changes in COS are genetically influenced, possibly trait markers (at least in prefrontal and temporal regions), and influenced by risk allele status.

Is there a Relationship Between Brain Developmental Abnormalities and Functional Outcome?

The clinical and functional implications of cortical GM loss in schizophrenia have been unclear. We have recently studied this relationship in both COS probands and their healthy siblings. In healthy COS siblings, where the cortical GM deficits appear in early ages and normalize by 20 years, the process of deficit reduction appears to be correlated with overall functioning (GAS scores) at the last scan with children with higher GAS scores showing faster deficit reduction.\(^ {74}\) Similarly, in our preliminary analyses, the COS probands who meet the criteria for remission at discharge (\(n = 19\)) have thicker cortical GM in prefrontal, temporal, as well as parietal cortical regions compared with the non remitted (\(n = 53\)) probands (Dr Deanna Greenstein, unpublished data). These findings suggest a relationship between brain plasticity and functional as well as clinical outcome in schizophrenia.

Summary

COS, which appears to represent more severe neurobiological and phenomenological counterpart of the adult-onset illness, follows a distinct course with more salient genetic factors. Advances in neuroimaging and genetic methodology, combined with the availability of longitudinal data, now allow further insights into the disease on-set, course, and etiopathologic mechanisms in COS, which can be extended to the understanding of schizophrenia pathology at large. However, research in this field is still limited by small samples and few longitudinal and population-based studies stressing the need for continued future work in this area.
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