Age-dependent effects of protein restriction on dopamine release
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Despite the essential role of protein intake for health and development, very little is known about the impact of protein restriction on neurobiological functions, especially at different stages of the lifespan. The dopamine system is a central actor in the integration of food-related processes and is influenced by physiological state and food-related signals. Moreover, it is highly sensitive to dietary effects during early life periods such as adolescence due to its late maturation. In the present study, we investigated the impact of protein restriction during adolescence or adulthood on the function of the mesolimbic (nucleus accumbens) and nigrostriatal (dorsal striatum) dopamine pathways using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry in rat brain slices. In the nucleus accumbens, protein restriction in adults increased dopamine release in response to low and high frequency trains of stimulation (1–20 Hz). By contrast, protein restriction during adolescence decreased nucleus accumbens dopamine release. In the dorsal striatum, protein restriction at adulthood has no impact on dopamine release but the same diet during adolescence induced a frequency-dependent increase in stimulated dopamine release. Taken together, our results highlight the sensitivity of the different dopamine pathways to the effect of protein restriction, as well as their vulnerability to deleterious diet effects at different life stages.
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INTRODUCTION

The regulation of food intake in an ever-changing environment is a central survival process. Healthy diet requires a balanced intake of the three main macronutrients (carbohydrate, fat, and protein) [1]. Protein intake is especially important as amino acids are essential for many biological functions (growth and maintenance, synthesis of nucleic acids and hormones, immune response, and cellular repair) and many amino acids cannot be synthesized de novo. In humans, protein deficiency and a low protein diet are associated with muscle wasting, stunted growth, and increased vulnerability to infections, but may also, to some extent, contribute to obesity by generally increasing appetite [2–4]. Furthermore, protein deficiency and severe protein malnutrition are especially detrimental during development and early life when demand is highest [5–7]. Numerous species, including humans and rodents, regulate their food intake and food-related behaviors to avoid protein deficiency [8–13]. Increasing evidence implicates broad hypothalamic and limbic circuits in the regulation of protein appetite [10, 13–15]. However, the impact of protein imbalance (high or low protein diet) on the function of these neurobiological circuits remains undescribed, especially when protein deficiency occurs during a critical period of early development.

The dopamine system plays a central role in food-seeking behaviors, food preference, and in the motivation to eat [16–19]. Recent data show that dopamine neurons integrate current physiological state (i.e., hunger and nutrient deficiency) to guide food-seeking behaviors [20–23]. Dopamine neurons are especially sensitive to the nutrient content of ingested food [24–28], through gut-to-brain axis [29, 30] and peripheral feeding hormones [31–35]. Furthermore, exposure to specific diets, such as high carbohydrate and/or high fat, impacts dopamine signaling within the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and the dorsal striatum [36–40]. However, the impact of low protein diet on the function of dopamine circuits is still largely unexplored.

Early life periods like childhood and adolescence are periods of particular vulnerability to the deleterious impact of various diets on corticolimbic circuits and reward-related processes [41–47]. Interestingly, the dopamine system undergoes delayed maturation taking place during adolescence making it vulnerable to external insults [47–54]. The impact of prolonged inadequate protein consumption on dopamine signaling remains unknown but may be exacerbated during adolescence when protein demand is increased to support rapid growth [55].

Here, we investigated the impact of protein restriction either during adolescence or adulthood on the function of the mesolimbic (NAc) and nigrostriatal (dorsal striatum) dopamine pathways using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) in rat brain slices. We found that protein restriction induced opposite effects on NAc dopamine release depending on age, with restriction increasing dopamine release in adults but decreasing it in adolescents. In the dorsal striatum, however, dopamine function following protein restriction was increased only in adolescents and not adults.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

Male Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories) were received either at weaning (~P21, 50–70 g) for adolescent groups and rodents, regulate their food intake and food-related behaviors to avoid protein deficiency [8–13]. Increasing evidence implicates broad hypothalamic and limbic circuits in the regulation of protein appetite [10, 13–15]. However, the impact of protein imbalance (high or low protein diet) on the function of these neurobiological circuits remains undescribed, especially when protein deficiency occurs during a critical period of early development.

The dopamine system plays a central role in food-seeking behaviors, food preference, and in the motivation to eat [16–19]. Recent data show that dopamine neurons integrate current physiological state (i.e., hunger and nutrient deficiency) to guide food-seeking behaviors [20–23]. Dopamine neurons are especially sensitive to the nutrient content of ingested food [24–28], through gut-to-brain axis [29, 30] and peripheral feeding hormones [31–35]. Furthermore, exposure to specific diets, such as high carbohydrate and/or high fat, impacts dopamine signaling within the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and the dorsal striatum [36–40]. However, the impact of low protein diet on the function of dopamine circuits is still largely unexplored.

Early life periods like childhood and adolescence are periods of particular vulnerability to the deleterious impact of various diets on corticolimbic circuits and reward-related processes [41–47]. Interestingly, the dopamine system undergoes delayed maturation taking place during adolescence making it vulnerable to external insults [47–54]. The impact of prolonged inadequate protein consumption on dopamine signaling remains unknown but may be exacerbated during adolescence when protein demand is increased to support rapid growth [55].

Here, we investigated the impact of protein restriction either during adolescence or adulthood on the function of the mesolimbic (NAc) and nigrostriatal (dorsal striatum) dopamine pathways using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) in rat brain slices. We found that protein restriction induced opposite effects on NAc dopamine release depending on age, with restriction increasing dopamine release in adults but decreasing it in adolescents. In the dorsal striatum, however, dopamine function following protein restriction was increased only in adolescents and not adults.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

Male Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories) were received either at weaning (~P21, 50–70 g) for adolescent groups and rodents, regulate their food intake and food-related behaviors to avoid protein deficiency [8–13]. Increasing evidence implicates broad hypothalamic and limbic circuits in the regulation of protein appetite [10, 13–15]. However, the impact of protein imbalance (high or low protein diet) on the function of these neurobiological circuits remains undescribed, especially when protein deficiency occurs during a critical period of early development.

The dopamine system plays a central role in food-seeking behaviors, food preference, and in the motivation to eat [16–19]. Recent data show that dopamine neurons integrate current physiological state (i.e., hunger and nutrient deficiency) to guide food-seeking behaviors [20–23]. Dopamine neurons are especially sensitive to the nutrient content of ingested food [24–28], through gut-to-brain axis [29, 30] and peripheral feeding hormones [31–35]. Furthermore, exposure to specific diets, such as high carbohydrate and/or high fat, impacts dopamine signaling within the nucleus accumbens (NAc) and the dorsal striatum [36–40]. However, the impact of low protein diet on the function of dopamine circuits is still largely unexplored.

Early life periods like childhood and adolescence are periods of particular vulnerability to the deleterious impact of various diets on corticolimbic circuits and reward-related processes [41–47]. Interestingly, the dopamine system undergoes delayed maturation taking place during adolescence making it vulnerable to external insults [47–54]. The impact of prolonged inadequate protein consumption on dopamine signaling remains unknown but may be exacerbated during adolescence when protein demand is increased to support rapid growth [55].

Here, we investigated the impact of protein restriction either during adolescence or adulthood on the function of the mesolimbic (NAc) and nigrostriatal (dorsal striatum) dopamine pathways using fast-scan cyclic voltammetry (FSCV) in rat brain slices. We found that protein restriction induced opposite effects on NAc dopamine release depending on age, with restriction increasing dopamine release in adults but decreasing it in adolescents. In the dorsal striatum, however, dopamine function following protein restriction was increased only in adolescents and not adults.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Subjects

Male Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River Laboratories) were received either at weaning (~P21, 50–70 g) for adolescent groups
(n = 13) or at adulthood (P60, 200–250 g) for adult groups (n = 15). Rats were housed in groups of 2–3 in individually ventilated cages (46.2 x 40.3 x 40.4 cm), in a temperature (21 ± 2 °C) and humidity (40–50%) controlled environment with a 12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 7:00 a.m.) and with food and water available ad libitum. All testing and tissue harvested occurred in the light phase. Procedures were performed in accordance with the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and carried out under Project License PFACC162E granted by the UK Home Office after review from the local Animal and Welfare Ethical Review Board (AWERB) at the University of Leicester.

Diet

All rats were initially maintained on standard laboratory chow diet (Teklad global #2918, Envigo) containing 18% protein. One week after arrival rats either continued on standard laboratory chow diet (non-restricted group; Adolescents NR n = 6, Adults NR n = 8) or were switched to a modified AIN-93G diet containing 5% protein from casein (#D15100602, Research Diets; protein-restricted group: Adolescents PR n = 7, Adults PR n = 7; Supplementary Table 1) [11]. Rats had ad libitum access to their assigned diet. Protein restriction was maintained for 12–14 days either during adolescence (from P28 to P42) or during adulthood (>P70). Body weight and food intake data were collected daily throughout the experiments. Tissue was collected for voltammetry recordings immediately after this period (Fig. 1a).

Slice preparation

Rats were deeply anesthetized with chloral hydrate (400 mg/kg i.p., Sigma-Aldrich), decapitated, and brains were removed and transferred to ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF) containing in mM: 126 NaCl, 10 glucose, 26 NaHCO3, 2.5 KCl, 2.4 CaCl2, 1.4 NaH2PO4. Acute 300 µm thick coronal slices, containing both the NAc and the dorsal striatum were prepared in ice-cold aCSF buffer using a vibratome (Leica VT1200S). Slices were kept at room temperature (20 °C) for 30 min prior to superfusion at 2 ml/min with aCSF saturated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2 at 30 °C. Slices were kept at room temperature (20–22 °C) in aCSF saturated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2 for at least 1 h before the start of recordings.

Fast-scan cyclic voltammetry recordings

Unilateral slices were superfused to the recording chamber and superfused at 2 ml/min with aCSF saturated with 95% O2 and 5% CO2 at 30 °C. Slices were allowed to equilibrate for 30 min prior to recordings. A twisted stainless steel bipolar stimulating electrode (MS303T/2-B/SPC, P1 Technologies) was placed at the surface of the slice within the NAc core or the dorsal striatum (Fig. 1b). A homemade glass capillary carbon-fiber microelectrode (tip length 50–100 µm) was positioned in the slice ~100 µm beneath the tissue surface and 100–200 µm from the stimulating electrode [56, 57]. For FSCV recordings, a triangular voltage waveform was applied (~0.4 to ~+1.3 V and back versus an Ag/AgCl reference electrode; 400 V/s) using a custom-built headstage circuit (University of Washington Electronics and Materials Engineering Shop, Seattle, WA) and TarHeel voltammetry software (Chapel Hill, University of North Carolina [58]). The waveform was initially applied at 60 Hz for 10 min, to condition the electrode outside of the tissue, and then applied at 10 Hz while all experiments were being conducted. Dopamine release was evoked by monopolar stimulation pulses (0.7 mA, 0.2 ms) [59]. Electrical stimulations were repeated at 3 min intervals to ensure consistent release. Stimuli were either single pulses (1 p) or trains of five pulses (5 p) at frequencies ranging from ‘tonic’ (1, 5, or 10 Hz) to ‘phasic’ burst frequencies (20 Hz) of dopamine neurons reported ex vivo and in vivo [60–62]. Each stimulation was repeated three times in pseudo-random order and averaged to obtain the individual value for this frequency. Each slice yielded an individual recording site. The number of animals in each recording condition is ≥3.

Extracellular dopamine levels ([DA]o) were confirmed by examining current–voltage plots showing oxidation (~+0.6 V) and reduction (~−0.2 V) peaks using TarHeel software. Background (non-Faradaic) current was measured for 1 s between 4 and 5 s before the stimulation and subtracted from the signal. Dopamine currents (in nA) were then converted to dopamine concentration (in nM) using the calibration of each electrode against a known standard dopamine concentration. [DA]o peaks were measured following any stimulation artefacts as previously described [63]. As the electrical stimulations used varied in length and frequency, we also quantified DA release by using the area under the curve of [DA]o (AUC) calculated on a temporal window of 5 s (from ~+0.2 to 5.2 s after stimulation onset) in all conditions. Recording electrodes were calibrated after use using 1–2 µM dopamine solution in a flow cell system [64] and in the recording chamber.

Statistical analysis

Weight and food intake measures were analyzed using three- or two-way repeated measures ANOVAs with Diet (non-restricted NR, protein-restricted PR) and Age (Adults and Adolescents) as between factors and Day or Macronutrient (Protein, Carbohydrate, and Fat) as within factors. As rats were group-housed, food intake data were collected by cage, divided by the number of rats in the cage, normalized by kg of body weight and expressed as energy intake (kcal/kg of body weight). Energy intake was also analyzed as macronutrient breakdown.

For single pulse stimulation, [DA]o peaks, [DA]o AUC and clearance times (to50%: time for 50% decay from peak amplitude; to100%: time for 20% decay from peak amplitude; half-life: time for 50% decay from peak amplitude) were analyzed using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. [DA]o peaks and AUC from frequency-response curves were analyzed using three-way and two-way repeated measures ANOVA using Age (Adults and Adolescents) and Diet (NR, PR) as between-subject factors. [DA]o AUC in response to single pulses were plotted as cumulative probability and compared using the area under the curve of [DA]o (AUC) calculated on a temporal window of 5 s as well as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). The alpha risk for the rejection of the null hypothesis was 0.05.

Upon publication, all data analyzed in this paper will be available on Figshare (https://doi.org/10.25392/leicester.data.c.5008904).

RESULTS

Age-dependent impact of protein restriction on weight

We first investigated the impact of protein restriction during either adolescence or adulthood on weight and weight gain (Fig. 1c). As we previously observed [11], protein restriction at adulthood did not significantly affect rats’ weight (two-way repeated measures ANOVA: Diet, F(1, 13) = 0.5, p = 0.5; Day, F(11, 143) = 8.5, p < 0.001; Diet × Day, F(11, 143) = 0.4, p = 1.0). In contrast, protein restriction during adolescence significantly decreased weight gain, relative to control diet (Diet, F(1, 11) = 19.8, p < 0.001; Day, F(13, 143) = 478.7, p < 0.001; Diet × Day, F(13, 143) = 234.0, p < 0.001). Both NR and PR adult rats exhibited similar low weight gain (48 ± 6 g and 40 ± 6 g, respectively). NR adolescent rats showed substantial weight increases (118 ± 4 g), indicating a normal developmental growth whereas PR rats showed only a modest increase in their weight (~21 ± 4 g; two-way ANOVA: Diet, F(1, 24) = 23.14, p < 0.001; Age, F(1, 24) = 97.8, p < 0.001; Diet ×
Age, \(F(1, 24) = 70.3, p < 0.001\); Sidak’s post hoc tests \(p = 0.4\) for Adults and \(p < 0.001\) for Adolescents, demonstrating that protein restriction in adolescence disrupted normal growth.

Analysis of the average daily food intake for each cage showed that adolescent rats have a higher energy intake than adults (in kcal per kg of body weight; two-way ANOVA: Age, \(F(1, 11) = 229.8, p < 0.001\); Diet \( \times \) Age, \(F(1, 11) = 1.0, p = 0.7\)). A more detailed analysis of macronutrient breakdown showed that PR groups had a lower energy intake from protein but an increased intake from carbohydrate and fat (three-way repeated measures ANOVA: Diet, \(F(1, 11) = 35.1, p < 0.001\); Diet \( \times \) Macronutrient, \(F(2,22) = 394.7, p < 0.001\); all Sidak’s post hoc tests \(p < 0.05\); Fig. 1d and Supplementary Table 2).

After 2 weeks of protein restriction, we then assessed the neurobiological impact of this diet on dopamine release in both the NAC and the dorsal striatum using ex vivo FSCV in brain slices.

Age-dependent impact of protein restriction on NAC dopamine release

Single pulse evoked NAC dopamine release. In the NAC, protein restriction had a different impact on dopamine release evoked by single pulse stimulation depending on the life stage (Fig. 2a, b; two-way ANOVA: Age, \(F(1, 24) = 0.1, p = 0.7\); Diet, \(F(1, 24) = 0.7, p = 0.4\) for Adults and \(p < 0.001\) for Adolescents).
$p = 0.4$; Diet $\times$ Age, $F(1, 24) = 17.8, p < 0.001$). Protein restriction at adulthood induced a significant increase ($+167 \pm 49\%$) in NAc dopamine release in response to single pulse stimulation compared to NR control rats (Sidak’s post hoc tests $p < 0.01$). In contrast, protein restriction during adolescence significantly decreased NAc dopamine release evoked by single pulse stimulation (Fig. 2a, b; $-44 \pm 9\%$; $p < 0.05$). Further analyses confirmed that protein restriction in adulthood significantly changed the distribution of [DA]$_{o}$ AUC values toward the right, demonstrating a greater proportion of large dopamine responses to single pulse, compared to control animals (Fig. 2c; Kolmogorov–Smirnov test: $D(13) = 0.7, p < 0.05$). In adolescents, protein restriction significantly induced a left-shift of the distribution of [DA]$_{o}$ AUC, confirming a reduced dopamine response (Fig. 2c; Kolmogorov–Smirnov test: $D(11) = 0.8, p < 0.05$). Importantly, analyses of [DA]$_{o}$ peaks evoked by single pulse stimulation showed a differential effect of the diet (Fig. 2d; two-way ANOVA: Age, $F(1, 24) = 1.4, p = 0.2$; Diet, $F(1, 24) = 0.2, p = 0.7$; Diet $\times$ Age, $F(1, 24) = 7.6, p < 0.05$), without revealing significant differences in either adults (Sidak’s post hoc test $p = 0.1$) or adolescent rats (Sidak’s post hoc test $p = 0.09$). To examine whether the diet-induced changes in dopamine release were mediated by differences in dopamine reuptake, we measured the $T_{80}$ clearance time. $T_{80}$ was significantly shorter in adolescent groups compared to adults (Fig. 2e; two-way ANOVA: Age, $F(1, 24) = 6.5, p < 0.05$). However, protein restriction at
adulthood or during adolescence did not significantly change dopamine clearance (two-way ANOVA: Diet, F(1, 24) = 0.1, p = 0.7; Diet × Age, F(1, 24) = 2.7, p = 0.1; see also Supplementary Fig. 1). Thus, it appears that the robust changes to NAc dopamine release reported as AUC are not driven wholly by either a change to the [DA]o peak amplitude or the time course of dopamine uptake, but likely a combination of both factors.

**Frequency-dependent NAc dopamine release.** Dopamine neurons in vivo show a range of responses from low-frequency firing (<10 Hz, tonic mode) to brief bursts of action potentials at high frequency (15–25 Hz, phasic mode) [59–62]. We therefore investigated the effect of protein restriction on dopamine release at different stimulation frequencies ranging from 1 to 20 Hz (1 p at 1 Hz, or 5 p at 5, 10, or 20 Hz). Evoked dopamine release increased with the stimulation frequency (Fig. 3a, b; three-way repeated measures ANOVA: Frequency, F(3,72) = 8.7, p < 0.001) similarly in adolescent and adult groups (Age, F(1, 24) = 1.2, p = 0.3). Protein restriction did not affect the frequency-dependent effect on dopamine release (Frequency × Diet, F(3,72) = 2.2, p = 0.1). However, protein restriction did differentially affect NAc dopamine release depending on age (Diet, F(1, 24) = 1.4, p = 0.2; Age × Diet, F(1, 24) = 7.2, p < 0.01; Frequency × Diet × Age, F(3,72) = 2.1, p = 0.1; see also Supplementary Fig. 2).

In adult rats, protein restriction increased dopamine release in response to the range of stimulation frequencies (two-way repeated measures ANOVA: Diet, F(1, 13) = 4.7, p < 0.05; Frequency, F(3,39) = 30.0, p < 0.001; Diet × Frequency, F(3,39) = 2.6, p = 0.06). Conversely, protein restriction during adolescence significantly decreased evoked NAc dopamine release (two-way repeated measures ANOVA: Diet, F(1, 11) = 9.8, p < 0.01; Frequency, F(3,33) = 35.6, p < 0.001; Diet × Frequency, F(3, 33) = 1.3, p = 0.3). These results were also confirmed by the measure of dopamine release calculated as the AUC (see Supplementary Fig. 2). The relationship between dopamine release during tonic and phasic activity is a central process in the signaling of significant environmental events and learning [62, 65, 66]. We examined whether protein restriction during either adolescence or adulthood affected the ‘phasic/tonic ratio’ of NAc dopamine release (5 p at 20 Hz/1 p, Fig. 3c). Adolescent rats exhibited a lower ratio than adult rats (two-way ANOVA: Age, F(1, 24) = 13.9, p < 0.01). However, protein restriction did not alter this ratio at either age (Diet, F(1, 24) = 0.2, p = 0.7; Age × Diet, F(1, 24) = 0.6, p = 0.5), highlighting the general impact of protein restriction on dopamine release to both low and high frequency stimulations in all age conditions.

**Age-dependent impact of protein restriction on dorsal striatum dopamine release.**

In the dorsal striatum, protein restriction had no effect on dopamine release evoked by single pulse stimulation whether rats were exposed to the diet during adulthood or adolescence (Fig. 4a, b; two-way ANOVA: Age, F(1, 28) = 0.7, p = 0.4; Diet, F(1, 28) = 0.01, p = 0.9; Diet × Age, F(1, 28) = 1.3, p = 0.3), which is also confirmed by the distribution analysis (Fig. 4c; Kolmogorov–Smirnov tests: Adult groups, D(14) = 0.3, p = 0.8; Adolescent groups, D(14) = 0.6, p = 0.1). Moreover, protein restriction also did not significantly affect [DA]o peak amplitude (Fig. 4d; two-way ANOVA: Diet, F(1, 28) = 0.04, p = 0.9; Age, F(1, 28) = 0.08, p = 0.8, Diet × Age, F(1, 28) = 1.4, p = 0.2) or dopamine clearance (Fig. 4e; two-way ANOVA: Diet, F(1, 28) = 0.03, p = 0.9; Age, F(1, 28) = 0.9, p = 0.4, Diet × Age, F(1, 28) = 2.1, p = 0.2; see also Supplementary Fig. 1).

**Frequency-dependent dorsal striatum dopamine release.** Striatal dopamine release increased as a function of the stimulation frequency (Fig. 5a, b; three-way repeated measures ANOVA: Frequency, F(3, 84) = 81.6, p < 0.001; Age, F(1, 28) = 5.8, p < 0.05; Frequency × Age, F(3, 84) = 16.9, p < 0.001; see also Supplementary Fig. 28). However, protein restriction differentially impacted dopamine release evoked by low and high stimulation frequencies (Diet, F(1, 28) = 2.2, p = 0.1; Diet × Frequency, F(3, 84) = 6.7, p < 0.001; Diet × Age, F(1, 28) = 4.0, p = 0.5; Diet × Frequency × Age, F(3, 84) = 0.8, p = 0.5). Separate analyses for each age group...
confirmed that protein restriction has no significant effect on frequency-dependent striatal dopamine release in adults (two-way repeated measures ANOVA: Diet, $F(1, 14) = 0.2, p = 0.6$; Frequency, $F(3, 42) = 63.8, p < 0.001$; Diet $\times$ Frequency, $F(3, 42) = 4.2, p < 0.05$; Sidak’s post hoc tests: all $p > 0.7$). In contrast, protein restriction in adolescent rats significantly increased stimulation-evoked striatal dopamine release (two-way repeated measures ANOVA: Diet, $F(1, 14) = 4.8, p < 0.05$; Frequency, $F(3, 42) = 19.2, p < 0.001$; Diet $\times$ Frequency, $F(3, 42) = 2.7, p = 0.05$), especially in response to phasic-like stimulations (Sidak’s post hoc tests: $1–10$ Hz all $p > 0.1$; $20$ Hz $p < 0.01$). Moreover, both low and high stimulation frequencies increased dopamine release in the adolescent PR group (Dunnett’s post hoc tests versus $1$ Hz stimulation: $5$ Hz, $p < 0.01$; $10$ Hz, $p < 0.001$; $20$ Hz, $p < 0.001$), whereas this is only observed for high frequencies in the NR control group ($5$ Hz, $p = 0.3$; $10$ Hz, $p < 0.01$; $20$ Hz, $p < 0.001$). Similar results were observed by measuring the AUC of dopamine evoked release (see Supplementary Fig. 2). These results suggest that the nigrostriatal dopamine system may be sensitized by protein restriction during adolescence, despite an overall decrease in evoked release of dopamine.

Similar to what we observed in the NAc, the ‘phasic/tonic’ ratio of striatal dopamine release was lower in adolescent slices (Fig. 5c; Two-way ANOVA: Age, $F(1, 28) = 11.7, p < 0.01$) but was not altered by protein restriction (Diet, $F(1, 28) = 1.8, p = 0.2$; Age $\times$ Diet, $F(1, 28) = 0.001, p = 1.0$).
Age-dependent effects of protein restriction on dopamine release

**DISCUSSION**

Protein homeostasis is a crucial physiological function for almost all species throughout the lifespan. Despite the deleterious consequences of protein restriction on a multitude of physiological functions, the neurobiological impact of such a diet at different ages remains largely unexplored. The present study reveals that protein restriction affects the function of the mesolimbic and nigrostriatal dopamine pathways. More importantly, our results demonstrate that these effects are dependent on the age at which protein restriction is experienced, highlighting adolescence as a vulnerability window for the deleterious effects of an unbalanced diet.

The impact of protein restriction on weight is highly dependent on the degree of restriction and the physiological state of the animal [9, 10, 55]. When performed at adulthood, protein restriction did not affect rats’ weight, consistent with our previous results [11]. Moreover, adult rats slightly increased their daily energy intake relative to their body weight. In adults, this increase may explain the absence of effect on weight as rats attempt to compensate protein deficiency with a general hyperphagia [11]. An alternative explanation is that low protein diet may change energy expenditure, as previously observed [67]. In contrast, protein restriction during adolescence significantly limits animals’ normal trajectory of weight gain. As for adults, adolescent PR rats increased their daily energy intake compared to the control NR group. Adolescent animals are rapidly growing and have higher protein requirements than adults [55]. Surprisingly, this change in food intake behavior did not seem to be sufficient to support normal growth. In the present study, the low protein diet (5% protein from casein) was the only source of nutrients. Breakdown analysis of macronutrient intake revealed that the important protein deficiency observed in PR groups is associated with an indirect increase in carbohydrate and fat intake contained in animal food. The regulation of protein appetite and the balance between protein intake and other macronutrients is still poorly understood but several studies suggest that numerous species regulate their food-related behaviors to avoid protein deficiency [8–10], which may lead to the overconsumption of other nutrients. It remains intriguing, however, that in this case adolescent PR rats did not exhibit a larger increase of their food intake. As both the overconsumption of sweet or fat diets may impact the functioning of the dopamine system especially during development [45–47], we cannot exclude that the diet impact reported here may be the result of the combination of protein deficiency and concurrent changes in carbohydrate and fat intake.

The two main dopamine projections to the NAc and the dorsal striatum are involved in various food-related processes including incentive salience [16] and prediction error [66], using taste and nutritional (post-ingestive) values of food [23–28]. Here, we observed that protein restriction differentially affected projection-specific dopamine release depending on age of diet exposure. At adulthood, protein restriction increased NAc dopamine release but had no effect on dorsal striatum dopamine release. Tonic and phasic dopamine firing and release convey different information about motivational and learning processes [16, 19, 23, 66, 68]. In the mesolimbic pathway, PR diet at adulthood increased both responses to low ‘tonic’ and high ‘phasic’ stimulations but did not alter the phasic/tonic ratio, suggesting a more general increase in the capacity of terminals for dopamine release rather than a change in the contrast between different dopamine signaling modes [59, 69]. Such global sensitization of the mesolimbic pathway may profoundly alter motivated behaviors like food preferences [11, 13], and increase the rewarding properties of protein-enriched food in restricted/deprived animals [12].

Protein restriction during adolescence had a broader impact on the function of dopamine terminals, relative to the same diet during adulthood. In contrast to what we observed at adulthood, protein restriction in adolescents decreased NAc dopamine release both in response to single pulse stimulation, low-frequency pulse trains (5–10 Hz) and high frequency burst-like stimulation (5p at 20 Hz). Dopamine neurons exhibit an elevated firing rate during adolescence [50, 53, 54] associated with changes in dopamine availability in dopamine projection targets.
[48, 49, 51]. Based on this and our first results showing an effect of protein restriction at adulthood on NAc dopamine release, we might have expected an enhancement of the diet effect during adolescence. One way to reconcile these opposite findings is to consider that the degree of protein restriction in adolescent rats may be more profound than in adults. As discussed earlier, we observed a substantial impact of protein restriction on weight gain in protein-restricted adolescents (and not in adults) suggesting a more severe level of restriction. As dietary protein is a major source of amino acids (e.g., tyrosine) required for catecholaminergic metabolism (synthesis, release, and enzymatic activity), one hypothesis is that a greater protein deficiency in adolescent rats than adults will affect average dopamine levels and the ability to synthesize and release dopamine. Accordingly, previous studies have reported a decrease in dopamine in several brain regions in response to pre- or perinatal protein malnutrition as well as an hypo-responsivity to psychostimulants (see [5] for review).

In the dorsal striatum in adolescents, we observed an opposite pattern compared to the NAc. As such, evoked dopamine release was increased after adolescent protein restriction, especially at high stimulation frequencies. Such an effect partially rules out the hypothesis of a global amino acid deficiency. However, the nigrostriatal dopamine pathway matures earlier than other dopamine pathways [48] and may then be less sensitive to protein restriction. Striatal and NAc dopamine pathways are involved in different aspects of food-related processes and recent advances demonstrated that striatal, but not NAc, dopamine signaling is involved in encoding the nutritional value of food [70]. The increase in evoked dopamine release in striatal areas only seen in adolescent-exposed rats reported in the present study may support a nutrition-seeking response to the elevated protein requirement at this age.

The effect of protein restriction at adulthood or during adolescence on dopamine pathways may also involve regulation of dopamine terminal activity by reuptake processes or local striatal microcircuits [65, 69]. Dopamine reuptake activity may be changed by specific diets [39, 40]. Here, we did not observe any significant change induced by protein restriction on dopamine clearance in response to single pulse stimulations. Combined with the absence of significant diet effects on the [DA]₀ peak amplitudes, this suggests that neither protein restriction during adolescence nor adulthood impacts dopamine transporter functioning [71–74]. However, we cannot totally exclude reuptake changes as we observed diet-dependent changes in evoked dopamine release quantified by AUC. The AUC could vary because of changes in either dopamine release or reuptake. On the other hand, striatal microcircuits also mature during adolescence [75, 76] and may be sensitive to different diet effects. These issues and the behavioral consequences of dietary protein alterations on the dopamine system remain to be investigated.

The direct influence of protein or amino acids levels on dopamine neurons is still unexplored, however, these neurons receive input from hypothalamic regions which are able to detect amino acids [10, 14]. Protein restriction also induces a broad metabolic response involving peripheral food-related signals to which dopamine neurons are directly sensitive [31–35]. Dopamine release is especially sensitive to insulin through its actions at specific receptors located both directly on dopamine neurons [77] and on striatal cholinergic neurons [37]. The effects of insulin on the dopamine system and dopamine-related behaviors are complex and depend on insulin concentration, brain region, cell type, and the current physiological state [40, 78]. Protein restriction is known to increase insulin sensitivity and glucose metabolism [13, 79], which may then modulate dopamine’s neurobiological and behavioral functions. The interaction of the dopamine and insulin systems in response to different diets differing in protein content warrants further ex vivò and in vivo investigation.

In conclusion, our study provides evidence that prolonged protein restriction has an important impact on the function of dopamine terminals in the NAc and dorsal striatum. More importantly we highlight the increased sensitivity of the dopamine system during adolescence to the deleterious effects of a diet that is inadequate in protein. Adolescence is characterized by important maturation events within dopamine circuitry and dopamine-related processes [48–52, 54] and numerous studies have now demonstrated that adolescence is an important vulnerability window for diet-related alterations of cognitive and neurobiological functions [43–47]. How protein restriction during adolescence may have different, and potentially long-term, impacts on dopamine-related behaviors considering its opposite effects on the mesolimbic and nigrostriatal pathways, remains to be investigated. Given the role of malnutrition and inadequate protein intake on neurodevelopmental psychiatric disorders [5, 6] involving alterations of the dopamine system [17, 80, 81] and having their onset during adolescence [36, 82], our current findings also represent a step toward a better understanding of the mechanisms regulating protein appetite, protein malnutrition, and the emergence of dopamine-related disorders.
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