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Abstract

**Background:** Maternal biochemical markers used in multiple marker aneuploidy screening have been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes. This study aims to assess if a combination of maternal characteristics and biochemical markers in the first and second trimesters can be used to screen for preeclampsia (PE), gestational hypertension and preterm birth.

**Methods:** This case-control study used information on maternal characteristics and residual blood samples from pregnant women who have undergone multiple marker aneuploidy screening. The median multiple of the median (MoM) of first and second trimester biochemical markers in cases (women with PE, gestational hypertension and preterm birth) and controls were compared. Biochemical markers included pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A), placental growth factor (PlGF), human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG), alpha fetoprotein (AFP), unconjugated estriol (uE3) and Inhibin A. Logistic regression analysis was used to estimate screening performance using different marker combinations. Screening performance was defined as detection rate (DR) and false positive rate (FPR). Preterm and early-onset preeclampsia PE were defined as women with PE delivered < 37 and < 34 weeks of gestation.

**Results:** There were 147 pregnancies with PE (81 term, 49 preterm and 17 early-onset), 295 with gestational hypertension, and 166 preterm birth. Compared to controls, PE cases had significantly lower median MoM of PAPP-A (0.77 vs 1.10, p<0.0001), PlGF (0.76 vs 1.01, p<0.0001) and free-β hCG (0.81 vs. 0.98, p<0.001) in the first trimester along with PAPP-A (0.82 vs 0.99, p<0.01) and PlGF (0.75 vs 1.02, p<0.0001) in the second trimester. The lowest first trimester PAPP-A, PlGF and free-β-hCG were seen in those with preterm and early-onset PE. At a 20% FPR, 67% of preterm and 76% of early-onset PE cases can be predicted using a combination of maternal characteristics with PAPP-A and PlGF in the first trimester.

**Conclusions:** Maternal characteristics with first trimester PAPP-A and PlGF measured for aneuploidy screening provided reasonable accuracy in identifying women at risk of developing early onset PE, allowing triage of high-risk women for further investigation and risk-reducing therapy.

Background

Maternal multiple marker screening for fetal aneuploidy is part of routine prenatal care. Over the past decades, multiple marker screening tests, comprising of biochemical markers and the ultrasound marker nuchal translucency (NT), have evolved from second to first trimester screening. In recent years, multiple marker screening has been used as a first-tier screen to identify pregnancies at increased risk of fetal aneuploidy followed by cell-free fetal DNA (cffDNA) or diagnostic testing. Biochemical markers used in multiple marker screening have long been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes such as preeclampsia (PE), preterm birth and intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR). Results from recent studies have shown that about 65% of early-onset PE can be predicted using first trimester maternal serum placental growth factor (PIGF), pregnancy-associated plasma protein A (PAPP-A) and maternal demographics and history at a false positive rate (FPR) of 5%. The accuracy increased to 90% for early-onset PE and 80% for preterm PE with the addition of mean arterial blood pressure (MAP) and uterine artery pulsatility index (UTPI) at a 5% FPR. Moreover, studies have shown that the administration of nightly low-dose aspirin before 16 weeks of gestation, to women who are identified as high-risk for PE, can prevent about 90% of early-onset PE cases. Second trimester biochemical markers were also found to be helpful in identifying women at risk of developing PE to allow for close surveillance and a timely intervention.

The ideal screening test for PE should include maternal characteristics, medical and family history, biochemical and biophysical markers. In reality, biophysical markers such as MAP and especially UTPI might not be readily accessible, especially for women in remote areas. Since PIGF has recently been added to some screening programs to improve the accuracy of aneuploidy screening, maternal characteristics (questionnaire) combined with biochemical markers (blood test) could be used as a first-tier test to identify women for secondary MAP (physical test) and UTPI (ultrasound scan), allowing for the expansion of current aneuploidy screening programs to include PE screening. A contingent PE screening approach would not only be cost effective but also easy to implement, as it will require minimal change to current aneuploidy screening. Women who are identified as high-risk can be triaged for MAP and UTPI and be considered for prophylactic therapy (e.g. Aspirin) to reduce the risk for developing PE. Our study assessed the first stage of this contingent approach by using maternal characteristics with first and/or second trimester biochemical markers to predict PE, in addition to other adverse pregnancy outcomes including gestational hypertension and preterm birth.

Methods

Study Population

A retrospective case-control study was carried out using frozen residual serum samples from women who had undergone multiple marker screening and delivered at a tertiary center in Toronto, Ontario, Canada between January 1, 2014 and October 31, 2017.

A combined dataset was created by linking multiple marker screening records with maternal and newborn records using patient identifiers and expected date of delivery (EDD). Women who had a first and/or second trimester serum sample available were included in this study. The exclusion criteria included multiple pregnancies, pregnancies with a fetal anomaly, or those with unavailable or immeasurable residual samples. Cases were identified from maternal records of women who had PE, gestational hypertension, or preterm birth. PE cases were further classified as preterm (women who developed PE and gave birth at < 37 weeks of gestation), early-onset (women who developed PE and gave birth at < 34 weeks of gestation) or all PE. Women with early-onset PE were included in the subgroup of preterm PE cases. Early-onset, preterm PE and term PE cases were included in the group of all PE cases. Each affected pregnancy was matched with three controls by date of blood sample draw (within 30 days), gestational age at first blood sample draw (within 7 days), maternal age (within 5 years), maternal ethnicity and amount of residual sample.
During the study period, most of the women were screened using Integrated prenatal screening. Others were screened using first trimester screening, enhanced first trimester screening or second trimester serum screening (QUAD). Integrated prenatal screening consisted of NT and PAPP-A measured between 11 + 0 and 13 + 6 weeks, and alpha fetoprotein (AFP), unconjugated estriol (uE3) and intact human chorionic gonadotrophin (hCG) measured between 15 + 0 and 18 + 6 weeks. Integrated prenatal screening results were reported after the second blood test was completed. First trimester screening consisted of NT, PAPP-A and free β-hCG measured between 11 + 0 and 13 + 6 weeks. Enhanced first trimester screening was introduced in April 2016 which includes all markers used for first trimester screening and at the same gestational window with the addition of PI GF and AFP. Second trimester QUAD consisted of AFP, uE3, total hCG and inhibin A measured between 15 + 0 to 20 + 6 weeks. As all first trimester samples had PAPP-A measured and all second trimester samples had AFP, uE3 and total hCG measured, the concentrations of these markers from routine aneuploidy screening records were used in this study. Other markers were measured using frozen residual samples.

**Biochemical Assays**

All samples were processed and assayed in a local genetic laboratory. Frozen serum samples had been stored at -20°C immediately after an initial assay for routine screening and at -70°C four weeks later for long term storage. All except 33 samples had one freeze-thaw cycle, and none had been used in other studies. Samples having two freeze-thaw cycles were from women who had originally enrolled for Integrated prenatal screening but did not provide a second sample in time to complete the test. Therefore, the first sample was converted to first trimester screening and was measured for free β-hCG - A. All assays were performed on the AutoDELFIA analyzer (PerkinElmer). The AutoDELFIA routine dictates a solid phase, two-site fluorometric assay using two monoclonal antibodies directed against two separate antigenic sites on the molecule. One antibody is labelled with a fluorescent marker, and after incubation, the europium or samarium forms fluorescent chelates. The fluorescence is proportional to the concentration of analyte in the sample.

**Statistical Analysis**

The differences in the median of continuous variables including maternal age at (EDD, maternal weight and body mass index (BMI), gestational age at birth, gestational age at first and second sample among cases and controls were tested using Mann-Whitney U-tests. Categorical variables such as ethnicity, pregnancy outcome, parity, gravidity, chronic hypertension, Type 1 diabetes, Type 2 diabetes, smoking and in vitro fertilization (IVF) were compared using chi-square or Fisher's exact tests.

The concentrations of biochemical markers in this study were expressed as Multiple of the Median (MoM) calculated by dividing marker concentrations by the expected median values at a particular gestational age. The expected median was generated by weighted regression analysis on marker concentrations of all the controls. The MoM values were then adjusted for maternal weight and were further corrected for ethnicity, parity and smoking status where applicable. The adjustment factors for ethnicity, parity and smoking status were generated based on MoM values calculated from the current study. Multiple of the median values of markers that were taken from the routine screening records were already adjusted for ethnicity, parity and smoking status using factors that were implemented in the screening software Alpha 8.0 (Logical Medical Systems Ltd, London). All analyses going forward were based on adjusted MoM values, unless otherwise specified. To assess if there were significant variations in biochemical markers in pregnancies with adverse outcomes, median MoM values of biochemical markers from cases and controls were compared using Mann-Whitney U-tests.

Of women who had both the first and second trimester serum samples available, a subsequent data set was created to assess the change in biochemical marker concentrations and MoM values between the second and first trimester samples in the case and control groups. The changes were calculated by subtracting the values of the first trimester sample from the second trimester sample. The changes in cases and controls were compared using Mann-Whitney U-tests.

Logistic regression was used to assess if the risk of developing PE, gestational hypertension, and preterm birth can be predicted using a combination of maternal biochemical markers and maternal characteristics. Logistic regression analysis with backward selection were run with all first trimester biochemical markers to determine the best predictive models for each case group using only first trimester markers. This was repeated using second trimester biochemical markers. For models with maternal characteristics, an initial logistic regression with backward selection was run to identify significant maternal characteristics for each case group. Varying biochemical marker combinations were then added to significant maternal characteristics to develop predictive models with a combination of maternal characteristics and biochemical markers in each case group. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were created to determine detection rates (DR) at a 5%, 10%, and 20% FPR, respectively. All statistical analysis was carried out using SAS 9.4.

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Board of North York General Hospital on May 17, 2017. All methods were performed in accordance with the relevant guidelines and regulations (Declaration of Helsinki).

**Results**

In total, 608 cases and 1,815 controls were identified from 15,640 singleton pregnancies. The case group included 147 pregnancies with PE (81 term, 49 preterm and 17 early-onset), 295 with gestational hypertension, and 166 preterm birth. All but eight cases were matched to three controls. Eight cases had 1–2 controls because the control samples were either unusable or unavailable. 459 cases and 1358 controls had samples available from both the first and second trimesters.

Table 1 compares the maternal characteristics, medical history, and pregnancy outcomes among case and control groups. Maternal age and ethnicity were similar among case and control groups. Women with PE delivered two weeks earlier, and those with gestational hypertension delivered one week earlier than the control group. The PE group included a greater proportion of women with a history of chronic hypertension, higher BMI and nulliparous women compared to controls. Live birth pregnancies were lowest among preterm birth cases (72%), followed by early-onset PE (94%), preterm PE (98%), and all PE cases (99%).
Median gestational age was 88 days for first trimester samples and 114 days for second trimester samples in both case and control groups. The smoking and IVF status were similar in cases and controls (not shown in the table).

Table 1
Maternal characteristics, medical history, and pregnancy outcomes among case and control groups

| Maternal Characteristics | Preeclampsia | Gestational Hypertension | Preterm birth | Controls |
|--------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|--------------|----------|
|                          | All (n = 147) | Preterm (n = 49) | Early-onset (n = 17) | Preterm (n = 166) | (n = 1815) |
|                          | n           | Median (5th,95th percentile) | /Proportion(%) | n           | Median (5th,95th percentile) | /Proportion(%) | n           | Median (5th,95th percentile) | /Proportion(%) | n           | Median (5th,95th percentile) | /Proportion(%) |
| Maternal age, years      | 147         | 34.1<sup>NS</sup> (26.5–40.9) | 34.9<sup>NS</sup> | 17         | 34.4<sup>NS</sup> (28.1–41.6) | 33.5<sup>NS</sup> (26.4–41.4) | 166         | 33.9<sup:NS</sup> (24.9–41.7) | 1815         | 33.5 (26.3–39.9) |
| Maternal weight, kg      | 146         | 66.0* (48.6–113.2) | 65.4<sup>NS</sup> | 17         | 65.5<sup>NS</sup> (42.3–97.7) | 71.5<sup>NS</sup> (50.8–110.0) | 161         | 60.5<sup>NS</sup> (45.5–92.7) | 1772         | 60.5 (46.8–88.5) |
| Maternal BMI, kg/M2      | 124         | 25.7<sup>NS</sup> (18.7–43.1) | 25.2<sup>NS</sup> | 13         | 24.8<sup>NS</sup> (17.9–32.4) | 26.3<sup>NS</sup> (19.5–38.5) | 134         | 23.3<sup>NS</sup> (17.6–35.3) | 1560         | 22.4 (17.9–32.9) |
| Gestational age at birth, weeks | 147 | 37.0<sup>NS</sup> (32.0–40.0) | 35.0<sup>NS</sup> | 17 | 33.0<sup>NS</sup> (23.0–33.0) | 38.0<sup>NS</sup> (36.0–40.0) | 166 | 31.0<sup>NS</sup> (20.0–33.0) | 1815 | 39.0 (37.0–41.0) |
| Ethnicity                |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Caucasian                | 36          | 24.5<sup>NS</sup> | 16.3<sup>NS</sup> | <6<sup>NS</sup> | 111         | 37.6<sup>NS</sup> | 50          | 30.1<sup>NS</sup> | 589          | 32.5 |
| Asian                    | 85          | 57.8        | 35          | 71.4       | 154         | 52.2        | 90          | 54.2        | 983          | 54.2 |
| Black                    | 16          | 10.9        | <6          | 70.6       | 19          | 6.4         | 11          | 6.6         | 137          | 7.5 |
| Other/Unknown            | 10          | 6.8         | <6          | 11          | 3.7         | 15          | 9.0         | 106         | 5.8 |
| Chronic hypertension     | 40          | 27.2*       | 11          | 22.4<sup>NS</sup> | 20          | 6.8<sup>NS</sup> | 0          | 0          | <6          | -- |
| Parity a,c               |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Nulliparous              | 90          | 62.5<sup>c</sup> | 27          | 57.4<sup>c</sup> | 9           | 52.9<sup>NS</sup> | 164         | 56.6<sup>c</sup> | 80           | 51.6<sup>NS</sup> | 729          | 41.0 |
| Pregnancy Outcome d      |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |             |
| Live Birth               | 146         | 99.3<sup>NS</sup> | 48          | 98.0<sup>p</sup> | 16          | 94.1<sup>NS</sup> | 295         | 100         | 120          | 72.3<sup>p</sup> | 1815         | 100 |

* p < 0.0001; ^ p < 0.001; ¶ p < 0.01; % p < 0.05; NS Not significant

Comparison between case and control groups: Chi-Square or Fisher's exact test for categorical variables; Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables

BMI, Body Mass Index

a Parity is a binary variable categorized as nulliparous or parous

<sup>c</sup> Parity and Gravidity were missing among cases and controls: 3 missing among all preeclampsia cases (n = 144), 2 missing among preterm preeclampsia cases (n = 47), 5 missing among gestational hypertension cases (n = 290), 11 missing among preterm birth cases (n = 155), and 35 missing among controls (n = 1780).

d Pregnancy Outcome is a binary variable categorized as live birth or stillbirth/pregnancy loss

Table 2 gives the median MoM of first trimester and second trimester biochemical markers seen in case and control groups. The median MoM of first trimester PAPP-A, PlGF, and free-β hCG were significantly reduced among cases compared to controls. Of women who developed PE, the lowest median MoM of PAPP-A and PlGF were seen in those with preterm PE (0.63 and 0.73), and early-onset PE (0.54 and 0.72), respectively. First trimester median MoM values of AFP...
increased in the overall PE, gestational hypertension and preterm birth groups. Free-β hCG decreased in PE, gestational hypertension and preterm birth groups. No change in the first trimester inhibin A median MoM was observed in case groups.

Table 2

| Marker       | Tested     | All          | Preterm      | Early-onset  | Gestational Hypertension | Preterm birth | Controls   |
|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|
|              |            | Median (MoM) | Median (MoM) | Median (MoM) | Median (MoM)             | Median (MoM) | Median (MoM) |
| PAPP-A       | Routineab  | 141          | 0.77 *       | 48           | 0.63 *                   | 17            | 0.54 *      | 283         | 0.93 *      | 157         | 0.86 *      | 1746        | 1.10        |
| free-β hCG  | Studyb     | 141          | 0.81 *       | 48           | 0.82 %                   | 17            | 0.81 NS     | 284         | 0.88 %      | 157         | 0.88 NS     | 1751        | 0.98        |
| PIGF         | Study      | 141          | 0.76 *       | 48           | 0.73 *                   | 17            | 0.72 *      | 284         | 0.92 *      | 157         | 0.91 *      | 1751        | 1.01        |
| AFP          | Study      | 141          | 1.16 *       | 48           | 1.17 NS                  | 17            | 0.92 NS     | 284         | 1.11 *      | 157         | 1.27 *      | 1751        | 1.00        |
| Inhibin A    | Study      | 141          | 1.03 NS      | 48           | 1.07 NS                  | 17            | 0.98 NS     | 284         | 1.08 NS     | 157         | 0.95 NS     | 1751        | 1.00        |

Second trimester (T2)

| Marker       | Tested     | All          | Preterm      | Early-onset  | Gestational Hypertension | Preterm birth | Controls   |
|--------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------|
|              |            | Median (MoM) | Median (MoM) | Median (MoM) | Median (MoM)             | Median (MoM) | Median (MoM) |
| AFP          | Routine    | 126          | 1.03 NS      | 43           | 1.01 NS                  | 15            | 1.01 NS     | 223         | 1.04 NS     | 130         | 1.18 *      | 1402        | 1.01 *      |
| uE₃          | Routine    | 91           | 1.00 NS      | 31           | 0.92 %                   | 10            | 0.74 NS     | 163         | 1.01 NS     | 101         | 0.98 NS     | 1056        | 1.01        |
| hCG          | Routine    | 91           | 1.17 %       | 31           | 1.30 %                   | 10            | 1.62 *      | 163         | 1.14 NS     | 101         | 1.09 NS     | 1056        | 1.06        |
| PAPP-A       | Study      | 110          | 0.82 *       | 36           | 0.80 %                   | 13            | 0.87 NS     | 189         | 0.90 NS     | 97          | 0.98 NS     | 1140        | 0.99        |
| PIGF         | Study      | 113          | 0.75 *       | 37           | 0.74 *                   | 13            | 0.42 *      | 197         | 0.89 *      | 103         | 0.79 *      | 1187        | 1.02        |
| Inhibin A    | Routine    | 6            | 1.45 %       | < 6          | 1.51 NS                  | 0             | -           | 12          | 0.95 NS     | 9           | 1.36 NS     | 75          | 0.98        |

*p < 0.0001; *p < 0.001; *p < 0.01; *p < 0.05; NS Not significant

Table 3 gives the coefficients of logistic regressions which indicate the degree of the associations between biochemical markers, maternal characteristics and the risk of developing PE, gestational hypertension, and preterm birth. When maternal characteristics were not included in the model, first and second trimester PIGF was associated with all PE, preterm PE and early-onset PE. First trimester PAPP-A, free-β hCG and inhibin A were associated with all PE and preterm PE. When maternal characteristics were included in the model, the associations between PIGF and all PE, preterm PE and early-onset PE remained statistically significant.
Table 3
Logistic regression results for the prediction of adverse pregnancy outcomes using maternal characteristics and biochemical markers

| Marker                              | Preeclampsia | Gestational hypertension | Preterm birth |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|---------------|
|                                     | All          | Preterm                  | Early-onset   |
| T1 Biochemical Markers\(^a\)       |              |                          |               |
| Intercept                           | -1.1692\(^*\) | -1.1523\(^{NS}\)         | -1.1012\(^*\) |
| PAPP-A                              | -0.7193\(^*\) | -1.5537\(^*\)           | -0.4694\(^*\) |
| free-\(\beta\) hCG                 | -0.4488\(^*\) | -0.6470\(^{NS}\)         | -0.2657\(^*\) |
| PIGF                                | -0.9420\(^*\) | -1.3867\(^*\)           | -0.3503\(^*\) |
| AFP                                 | 0.1868\(^{NS}\) | -             | 0.3657\(^*\)  |
| Inhibin A                           | 0.5241\(^*\) | 0.8701\(^*\)           | 0.4195\(^*\)  |
| T2 Biochemical Markers\(^b\)       |              |                          |               |
| Intercept                           | -1.5231\(^*\) | -2.5203\(^*\)           | -3.9344\(^*\) |
| AFP                                 | -            | 0.7503\(^*\)           | 1.2020\(^*\)  |
| uE\(_3\)                            | -            | -            | -            |
| hCG                                 | 0.5895\(^*\) | 0.5332\(^*\)           | -            |
| PAPP-A                              | -0.6405\(^*\) | -            | -0.3987\(^*\) |
| PIGF                                | -1.0464\(^*\) | -1.9338\(^*\)           | -            |
| Maternal Characteristics\(^c\) + T1 PIGF + T1 PAPP-A |              |                          |               |
| Intercept                           | -6.9419\(^*\) | -5.2600\(^*\)           | -7.2465\(^*\) |
| PIGF                                | -0.9925\(^*\) | -1.7875\(^*\)           | -0.4626\(^*\) |
| PAPP-A                              | -0.9265\(^*\) | -1.5843\(^*\)           | -0.6066\(^*\) |
| Maternal Characteristics\(^c\) + All T1 Biochemical Markers\(^a\) |              |                          |               |
| Intercept                           | -7.4496\(^*\) | -5.9671\(^*\)           | -6.5085\(^*\) |
| PAPP-A                              | -0.9335\(^*\) | -1.6698\(^*\)           | -0.3836\(^*\) |
| free-\(\beta\) hCG                 | -0.3955\(^*\) | -0.5637\(^*\)           | -0.4324\(^*\) |
| PIGF                                | -0.7713\(^*\) | -1.2278\(^*\)           | -0.9651\(^*\) |
| AFP                                 | 0.2180\(^{NS}\) | 0.1505\(^{NS}\)         | 0.3204\(^*\)  |
| Inhibin A                           | 0.4649\(^*\) | 0.8114\(^*\)           | 0.2345\(^{NS}\) |
| Maternal Characteristics\(^c\) + All T2 Biochemical Markers\(^b\) |              |                          |               |
| Intercept                           | -5.5285\(^*\) | -1.1855\(^{NS}\)        | -2.9484\(^*\) |
| AFP                                 | -0.4117\(^{NS}\) | -0.7740\(^{NS}\)       | 0.9651\(^*\)  |
| uE\(_3\)                            | -0.4744\(^*\) | -0.9717\(^{NS}\)        | -0.5001\(^{NS}\) |

\(^*\) p < 0.0001; \(^\*\) p < 0.001; \(^\#\) p < 0.01; \(^%\) p < 0.05; \(^{NS}\) Not significant

PAPP-A, pregnancy-associated plasma protein A; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; PIGF, placental growth factor; AFP, alpha feto-protein

\(^a\) First Trimester (T1) Biochemical Markers: PAPP-A, free-\(\beta\) hCG, PIGF, AFP, Inhibin A

\(^b\) Second Trimester (T2) Biochemical Markers: AFP, uE\(_3\), hCG, PAPP-A, PIGF

\(^c\) Through backward selection significant maternal characteristics for each case group were identified. Significant maternal characteristics for each case group include - All PE: age, weight, ethnicity, nulliparous; Preterm PE: age, weight, ethnicity, gravidity; Early-onset PE: age, gravidity; Gestational Hypertension: age, weight, ethnicity, nulliparous; Preterm Birth: nulliparous
| Marker | Preeclampsia | Gestational hypertension | Preterm birth |
|--------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|
|        | All         | Preterm                 | Early-onset  |
| hCG    | 0.6030^     | 0.9158^                 | 0.7432 NS    | 0.2084 NS | 0.1450 NS |
| PAPP-A | -0.8083^    | -0.8946^%               | 0.3250 NS    | -0.6117^ | -0.5368 NS |
| PlGF   | -1.0086^    | -1.4560^%               | -3.0874%     | -0.3119 NS | -0.3736 NS |

*p < 0.0001; ^p < 0.001; ¶p < 0.01; %p < 0.05; NS Not significant

PAPP-A, pregnancy-associated plasma protein A; hCG, human chorionic gonadotropin; PlGF, placental growth factor; AFP, alpha feto-protein

* First Trimester (T1) Biochemical Markers: PAPP-A, free-β hCG, PlGF, AFP, Inhibin A

^ Second Trimester (T2) Biochemical Markers: AFP, uE3, hCG, PAPP-A, PlGF

Through backward selection significant maternal characteristics for each case group were identified. Significant maternal characteristics for each case group include - All PE: age, weight, ethnicity, nulliparous; Preterm PE: age, weight, ethnicity, gravidity; Early-onset PE: age, gravidity; Gestational Hypertension: age, weight, ethnicity, nulliparous; Preterm Birth: nulliparous

Table 4 shows the estimated DR for PE, gestational hypertension and preterm birth at a FPR of 5%, 10%, and 20% using different biochemical marker combinations with and without maternal characteristics. Detection rates for preterm PE and early-onset PE were higher than for all PE cases. Adding maternal characteristics to any combinations yielded better DR values than maternal characteristics or biochemical marker combination alone. Detection rates for both gestational hypertension and preterm birth, using different biochemical marker combinations with and without maternal characteristics, were low.
| Marker | Preeclampsia | Gestational hypertension | Preterm birth |
|--------|-------------|-------------------------|--------------|
|        | All | Preterm | Early-onset |        |        |        |
| T1 Biochemical Markers | | | | | | |
| AUC    | 0.70 | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.63 | 0.64 |
| DR for 5% FPR | 23% | 25% | 35% | 13% | 17% |
| DR for 10% FPR | 33% | 42% | 35% | 19% | 25% |
| DR for 20% FPR | 55% | 73% | 65% | 35% | 40% |
| T2 Biochemical Markers | | | | | | |
| AUC    | 0.69 | 0.76 | 0.83 | 0.58 | 0.65 |
| DR for 5% FPR | 23% | 29% | 50% | 12% | 19% |
| DR for 10% FPR | 31% | 42% | 63% | 20% | 29% |
| DR for 20% FPR | 44% | 58% | 88% | 28% | 38% |
| Maternal Characteristics Only | | | | | | |
| AUC    | 0.70 | 0.71 | 0.68 | 0.74 | 0.55 |
| DR for 5% FPR | 18% | 15% | 18% | 22% | 7% |
| DR for 10% FPR | 31% | 30% | 18% | 34% | 15% |
| DR for 20% FPR | 45% | 47% | 41% | 54% | 25% |
| Maternal Characteristics + T1 PlGF + T1 PAPP-A | | | | | | |
| AUC    | 0.78 | 0.83 | 0.82 | 0.75 | 0.61 |
| DR for 5% FPR | 30% | 35% | 41% | 23% | 15% |
| DR for 10% FPR | 42% | 52% | 65% | 39% | 21% |
| DR for 20% FPR | 60% | 67% | 76% | 58% | 36% |
| Maternal Characteristics + All T1 Biochemical Markers | | | | | | |
| AUC    | 0.79 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.76 | 0.64 |
| DR for 5% FPR | 30% | 37% | 47% | 24% | 19% |
| DR for 10% FPR | 45% | 59% | 71% | 40% | 29% |
| DR for 20% FPR | 59% | 72% | 82% | 59% | 40% |
| Maternal Characteristics + All T2 Biochemical Markers | | | | | | |
| AUC    | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.83 | 0.76 | 0.70 |
| DR for 5% FPR | 27% | 39% | 75% | 29% | 18% |
| DR for 10% FPR | 38% | 48% | 75% | 40% | 37% |
| DR for 20% FPR | 63% | 65% | 75% | 56% | 58% |

DR, Detection Rate; FPR, False Positive Rate; AUC, Area under the Curve

a First Trimester (T1) Biochemical Markers: PAPP-A, free-β hCG, PlGF, AFP, Inhibin A. Refer to Table 3 for specific markers used in each case group

b Second Trimester (T2) Biochemical Markers: AFP, uE3, hCG, PAPP-A, PlGF. Refer to Table 3 for specific markers used in each case group

c Significant maternal characteristics for each case group include- All PE: age, weight, ethnicity, nulliparous; Preterm PE: age, weight, ethnicity, gravidity; Early-onset PE: age, gravidity; Gestational Hypertension: age, weight, ethnicity, nulliparous; Preterm Birth: nulliparous

Figure 2 demonstrates the ROC curves for PE, gestational hypertension and preterm birth using maternal characteristics and PlGF and PAPP-A in the first trimester. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was largest among preterm PE (0.83) and early-onset PE (0.82) cases followed by all PE (0.78), gestational hypertension (0.75) and preterm birth cases (0.61). The best screening performance for a FPR < 25% was seen for early-onset PE followed by preterm PE and all PE.
Discussion

Our study assessed whether first and second trimester biochemical markers used in prenatal aneuploidy screening could accurately identify pregnancies at risk of developing adverse pregnancy outcomes, mainly PE. We found that the combination of maternal characteristics with biochemical markers in both trimesters can provide reasonable accuracy in identifying women at risk of developing preterm and early-onset PE but not women at risk for gestational hypertension or preterm birth. PAPP-A and PI GF were the most reliable biochemical markers to be associated with and to predict adverse pregnancy outcomes, particularly early-onset PE.

Our results have confirmed previously reported associations between individual biochemical markers and PE and preterm birth. Similar to previous studies, we found the performance of PE prediction to be improved by using multiple biochemical markers in combination with maternal characteristics. The most commonly used marker combination in the first trimester PAPP-A and PI GF along with maternal characteristics can predict 65% and 76% of early-onset PE with a FPR of 10% and 20% respectively. Second trimester biochemical markers can identify 88% of the pregnancies at risk of developing early-onset PE with a FPR of 20%. However, this will require the addition of PAPP-A and PI GF which are not currently used in second trimester aneuploidy screening. In addition, we found that the increase of PI GF with gestational age was smaller in women affected by PE compared to the control group.

Low first trimester PAPP-A and PI GF have been associated with adverse pregnancy outcomes, especially PE in multiple studies. The case-control study by Keikkala et al. (2016) investigating maternal serum samples from 8–13 weeks of gestation found lower median MoM values for PI GF and PAPP-A in women with PE compared to control. Consistent with our study, the lowest median MoM values were seen in cases with preterm and early-onset PE. As with previous studies, our study found median first trimester AFP MoM values to be higher and median free β-hCG MoM values to be lower in women with PE, although the significance of these changes was smaller in comparison to those of PAPP-A and PI GF. The previously described association between first trimester inhibin A and PE was not confirmed in our study.

Second trimester PI GF and PAPP-A median MoM values, were lower in our study than those reported by other studies. However, this was mainly true for PI GF and not significant for PAPP-A in women with preterm or early-onset PE, likely due to the small number of pregnancies in this group. We found an increase in total hCG in PE pregnancies, as reported in previous studies. In contrast, no change in Inhibin A was seen in our study although reported as an optimal second trimester marker by others. We suspect this is due to the small number of early PE pregnancies involved in our study. Lastly, the change in the first and second trimester markers in gestational hypertension and preterm birth cases was consistent with other studies in general although the magnitude of the change was variable.

In recent years, the results of several large clinical trials have suggested that the performance of PE screening can be improved by using multiple biochemical markers together with maternal characteristics and biophysical markers. A prospective study by Akolekar et al. (2013) on 58,884 singleton pregnancies at 11–13 weeks reported a 50.5% DR at a 10% FPR with maternal characteristics alone for early-onset PE, 74.3% with the addition of PI GF and PAPP-A and 89.7% with the addition of biophysical markers, MAP and UTPI. The DR improved to 96.3% when maternal characteristics and biochemical and biophysical markers were combined. Similarly, in a prospective study of 35,948 singleton pregnancies at 11–13 weeks’ gestation, O’Gorman et al. (2016) found that combined screening obtained a DR of 75% and 47% at a 10% FPR for preterm PE and term PE pregnancies, respectively. When such modelling combinations were applied to the ASAPRE trial, similar DR values were observed. First-trimester screening for preterm PE with a risk cut-off of 1 in 100 detected 76.7% of preterm PE and 43.1% of term PE pregnancies, at a screen-positive rate of 10.5% and a FPR of 9.2%. The most commonly used marker combination in the first trimester PAPP-A and PI GF along with maternal characteristics can predict 65% and 76% of early-onset PE. As with the one-time screening approach, our contingent screen can yield results before 16 weeks of gestation in order to initiate prophylactic therapy with Aspirin.

If first trimester screening is aiming to initiate Aspirin treatment, second trimester screening is useful for patient triage by identifying pregnancies needing close surveillance and more urgent medical attention. For example, numerous studies have focused on second trimester PI GF or soluble fms-like tyrosine kinase-1 (sFlt-1)/PI GF ratio. In our study, in addition to the PE screening performance using second trimester markers, we compared the changes in biochemical markers between the first and second trimester samples. For women who developed PE, the increase in PI GF concentrations was significantly smaller compared to controls. These results have been confirmed by the findings from other studies. Theoretically, for women who are identified to be at high risk for PE in the first trimester, a repeat test for PI GF and PAPP-A in the second trimester might provide useful information which can be used for risk modification. Nevertheless, a calculation of biomarker changes between trimesters did not yield a better prediction for PE than using second trimester markers alone in our study. Further investigation of this trend may provide clinicians with valuable information for monitoring and early detection of high-risk women.

In our study, the preterm birth screening performance was less optimal than previously reported. A possible reason for the lower DR is that unlike some previous studies, our preterm birth group excluded all women with PE or gestational hypertension. Incomplete maternal characteristics data may have also contributed to the lower-than-expected DR values for preterm birth.

The strengths of our study include the identification of cases and controls from a routine unselected screening population, representing a true sample of women undergoing prenatal screening in Ontario. Also unique to our study is the availability of both first and second trimester serum samples for most cases
and controls. Having samples from both trimesters enabled us to investigate the change between the first and second trimesters, which might provide additional information for PE screening and monitoring. The limitations of this study include, the transfer of some women to other obstetrical centres, potentially lowering the incidence of PE in our population. Additionally, our local population includes a greater proportion of women of Asian ancestry compared with other studies. Varying ethnicity between studies may impact PE prevalence; in particular, a lower prevalence of PE among Asian women has been noted previously. Our study lacked complete information on all maternal characteristics and as such, the accuracy of PE screening using maternal characteristics cannot be directly compared to studies where maternal characteristics were explicitly collected for PE screening. However, the contribution of biochemical markers and overall PE screening performance using the combination of maternal characteristics and biochemical markers were consistent with previous studies. In a real-life clinical setting, it will be practical to collect all maternal characteristics missed in this study. Since information on MAP and UTPI was not available to our study, we were not able to assess the final performance of a contingent PE screening strategy. Nevertheless, we achieved our goal of assessing the first tier of a contingent screening strategy to provide reasonable performance and warrant the expansion of current aneuploidy screening to include preeclampsia.

Conclusions

Our study, based on a routine aneuploidy screening, showed that the combination of maternal characteristics and first trimester serum PAPP-A and PIGF can provide reasonable performance for PE screening. If our study results can be validated by prospective studies, the current aneuploidy screening program can be expanded to include identification of women at risk of developing PE, particularly early-onset PE. As a contingent strategy, it can provide first-tier PE screening with minimal associated costs and minimal change to the current workflow.
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**Figures**
Figure 1
Changes in PlGF concentration between the first and second trimester samples among preeclampsia (PE), gestational hypertension, preterm birth cases and controls.

Figure 2
ROC curves for models including maternal characteristics and first trimester PAPP-A + PlGF for preterm delivery (AUC 0.61), gestational hypertension (AUC 0.75), all preeclampsia (PE) (AUC 0.78), preterm preeclampsia (AUC 0.83), and early-onset preeclampsia (AUC 0.82) cases.