Effects of Different Extraction Methods on Vanilla Aroma
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Abstract: To establish the analytic conditions for examining the aroma quality of vanilla pods, we compared different extraction methods and identified a suitable option. We utilized headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME), steam distillation (SD), simultaneous steam distillation (SDE) and alcoholic extraction combined with gas chromatography (GC) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) to identify volatile components of vanilla pods. A total of 84 volatile compounds were identified in this experiment, of which SDE could identify the most volatile compounds, with a total of 51 species, followed by HS-SPME, with a total of 28 species. Ten volatile compounds were identified by extraction with a minimum of 35% alcohol. HS-SPME extraction provided the highest total aroma peak areas, and the peak areas of aldehydes, furans, alcohols, monoterpenes and phenols compounds were several times higher than those of the other extraction methods. The results showed that the two technologies, SDE and HS-SPME, could be used together to facilitate analysis of vanilla pod aroma.
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1. Introduction

Natural vanilla pods have a delicate and rich aroma that cannot be easily replicated and replaced by synthetic fragrances. As a result, with an increasing demand for vanilla pods, prices have rose, the market is in short supply, and there has been extensive news concerning the adulteration and blending of natural vanilla extracts [1]. Most foods release volatile organic compounds during storage or handling, which can be used as indicators of food quality or safety [2]. Thus, quick, stable and accurate extraction techniques are extremely important.

The techniques most commonly used to extract and analyze natural vanilla pods are alcoholic extraction, liquid–liquid extraction (LLE), and liquid–solid extraction (SLE) [3], as well as LLE with ultrasonic vibration, SDE and SPME, among others [4]. The ideal extraction technique must be able to extract the analyte quickly, easily, completely and inexpensively. Different extraction methods each have unique advantages but also have different usage limitations and disadvantages [5]. The extraction methods used in this experiment are introduced separately below.

Since vanilla pods are sold as alcoholic extracts in the international market [1], it is necessary to establish a suitable alcoholic extraction method for vanilla pods. According to the regulations of the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the ethanol content of commercially available vanilla alcohol extracts should not be less than 35% (v/v).
Simultaneous steam distillation solvent extraction, a traditional extraction technique that is widely used to analyze volatile compounds [4], is a technique that combines solvent and steam distillation extraction, with better extraction efficiency than the former [6]. However, for many analyses, SDE is labor intensive, lacks sensitivity [7], requires large sample volumes, is time-consuming [8], and may raise concerns about solvent residues. In addition, under high-temperature extraction, some volatile compounds are easily hydrolyzed, thermally cracked or lost [7]. Cai et al. [4] also found that SDE is less sensitive to trace components. Nevertheless, the reproducibility of SDE is high, so SDE is the preferred choice for the quantitative analysis of volatiles.

Traditional methods of extracting volatile components are often time-consuming and prone to the loss or degradation of volatile components [9], in addition to low yields and the use of large amounts of solvents [1]. Therefore, modern scientists are devoted to finding extraction techniques that use low or even no solvent, thereby reducing the residual amount of harmful solvents in natural extracts [10]. SPME is a relatively new extraction technique [8] that is simpler than traditional methods [11], fast, solvent-free [7], environmentally friendly [3], does not thermally degrade or hydrolyze samples [4] and inexpensive [2]. Additional advantages without the need for time-consuming sample preparation are still needed [12], as well as strategies to reduce the harm caused by solvents to humans and the environment. Therefore, SPME has been applied in many fields, including agriculture, medicine [13], clinical testing, spice, food and environmental science [14]. This method has been demonstrated to rapidly extract volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and it is often used in GC and high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) to analyze the composition of complex volatile compounds in plants [9,11]. However, SPME also has disadvantages, which can lead to inaccurate quantification due to the adsorption competition of different volatile components. In addition, it has poor sensitivity and therefore cannot detect trace components [3].

Steam distillation extraction has been used to extract volatile compounds from medicinal plants [8] and is a traditional extraction technique used to separate essential oils from plants [15]. The principle is to use boiling water or steam to separate lower boiling volatile compounds from plant raw materials [16]. These water vapors and volatile oils are condensed through the condensing device and are called hydrosol and essential oil, respectively. The essential oil will float on the upper layer of the water layer (hydrosol) and can be effectively separated [15]. However, this extraction method is not only time-consuming and labor intensive [7] but also consumes a large number of samples. High-temperature extraction easily causes the loss of volatile compounds [17] or hydrolysis and oxidation of components [18].

The aim of this experiment was to explore, develop and verify different extraction methods and to find an analytical method suitable for extracting vanilla pods to establish the conditions for the aroma quality of vanilla pods, which can be used as a reference for the future development of the vanilla industry and aroma detection.

2. Results
2.1. Investigation of the Effect of Different Extraction Methods on the Aroma Components of Vanilla Pods
2.1.1. SDE

In this experiment, pentane/ether (P/E) (1:1, v/v) was used for extraction. We chose a solvent with a low boiling point, which can be more easily removed to preserve the original aroma of vanilla pods [19]. Pérez-Silva et al. [20] compared the extraction of V. planifolia with pentane/dichloromethane (2:1, v/v), ether or pentane/ether (P/E) (1:1, v/v), and using P/E (1:1, v/v), the authors could extract a wide variety of compounds, potentially due to the difference in solvent polarity. According to Table 1, it can be observed that SDE could extract more carboxylic acids, aldehydes and phenols. Pérez-Silva et al. [20] extracted V. planifolia with P/E (1:1, v/v) and identified acids, phenols, alcohols, aldehydes, esters, hydrocarbons.
and ketones. The contents of acids and phenolic compounds were highest, among which the main aroma components were vanillin, vanillic acid and $p$-hydroxybenzaldehyde.

Table 1. Total peak areas of the chemical groups of vanilla pods using different extraction methods.

| Chemical Groups | Peak Areas $^1$ | SDE | SD | HS-SPME | SE |
|-----------------|----------------|-----|----|---------|----|
|                 |                | 35% | 75%| 95%     |
| aldehydes       |                | 3593.07 | 3383.06 | 21,546.27 | 989.18 | 2096.52 | 2266.22 |
| esters          |                | 396.12 | 319.49 | 174.65 | - | 22.45 | 22.25 |
| furans          |                | 16.11 | - | 289.08 | - | - | - |
| monoterpenes    |                | 13.19 | - | 24.58 | - | - | - |
| sesquiterpenes  |                | 68.26 | - | 55.22 | - | - | - |
| carboxylic acids|                | 3882.94 | - | - | - | 12.68 | 39.62 |
| alcohols        |                | 164.39 | 425.67 | 934.03 | 14.36 | 33.76 | 46.43 |
| ketones         |                | 137.94 | 627.84 | 204.12 | 210.39 | 110.28 | 365.43 |
| phenols         |                | 2306.55 | 175.39 | 6104.42 | 48.92 | 118.94 | 117.61 |
| hydrocarbons    |                | 779.67 | 28.73 | 30.10 | - | - | - |
| total           |                | 11,391.62 | 4960.18 | 29,362.47 | 1262.85 | 2394.63 | 2857.56 |

$^1$ Each value is the mean of three replication. $^2$ undetectable.

Although the types of components were similar to those identified in this experiment, vanillic acid was not identified in this experiment, probably because the gas chromatography column used by the author was polar (DB-WAX), and herein we used a nonpolar column (DB-1). Table 2 shows that SDE could extract palmitic acid and other larger-molecule components. Cai et al. [4] believed that SDE could be used to extract compounds with larger molecular weights and lower volatility, such as palmitic acid, compared with HS-SPME. Bajer et al. [21] considered SDE to be a more suitable extraction technique for analyzing volatile components with high retention indices (RIs). The present study showed that the volatile components with higher RIs were only identified by the SDE extraction method, which was consistent with previous studies.

2.1.2. HS-SPME

A total of 28 volatile compounds were identified by HS-SPME extraction of vanilla pod samples (Table 2). The samples contained 6 aldehydes, 6 phenols, 5 alcohols, 3 esters, 2 ketones, 2 hydrocarbons, 2 sesquiterpenes, 1 furan and 1 monoterpene. The total peak area with HS-SPME was the largest and the total peak area of aldehydes was more than 5 times greater than that obtained with the other extraction methods (Table 1). In addition, the total peak areas of furans, alcohols and phenols were also higher than those obtained with the other extraction methods. The main components of vanilla pods analyzed by HS-SPME were phenol, 1-octen-3-ol, 2-pentylfuran, 1-octanol, guaiacol and vanillin. Yeh et al. [22] used HS-SPME to analyze $V$. planifolia produced in Taiwan and detected a variety of monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes. Among them, limonene, $\alpha$-copaene and $\alpha$-muurolene were also identified in the experiment, which can offer vanilla citrus, lemon and wood aromas. Hassan et al. [12] analyzed $V$. planifolia using HS-SPME and showed that shikimate derivatives accounted for the majority of $V$. planifolia, and vanillin was the most abundant component. In addition, volatile compounds, such as benzaldehyde, $p$-anisaldehyde, $p$-hydroxybenzaldehyde, benzyl alcohol, $p$- cresol, guaiacol, creosol and $p$-anisyl alcohol, were all shikimic acid derivatives. In this experiment, such compounds accounted for approximately 92% of the components, among which vanillin was the most abundant, followed by guaiacol. Although guaiacol was abundant, it is generally considered to have a negative effect on vanilla pod aroma [23], and with increasing guaiacol content, the vanillin content tends to decrease [24].
### Table 2. Analysis of the volatile components of vanilla pods after different extractions methods.

| Compounds  | RI  | Peak Areas 1 |
|------------|-----|--------------|
|            | SDE | SE 35% | 75% Ethanol | 95% Ethanol | SD 35% | HS-SPME |
| ethyl acetate | 601 | 24.34 ± 9.94 | - | - | - | - |
| 3-methylbutanal | 627 | 24.34 ± 9.94 | - | - | - | - |
| 3-methylpentanal | 740 | - | - | - | - | - |
| hexanal | 772 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1,2-butanediol | 777 | - | - | - | - | - |
| furfural | 790 | 41.05 ± 9.58c | - | - | - | - |
| furfuryl alcohol | 844 | - | 27.62 ± 2.73a | 33.76 ± 14.29a | 37.67 ± 10.91a | - | - |
| heptanal | 874 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-methyl-2(5H)-furanone | 886 | - | 24.69 ± 11.47 | - | - | - |
| 5-methylfurfural | 921 | - | 7.44 ± 0.70b | 10.19 ± 1.22a | - | - |
| benzaldehyde | 922 | 25.94 ± 5.46c | - | - | - | - |
| phenol | 947 | 151.63 ± 31.97b | 11.52 ± 2.05c | 25.65 ± 2.86c | 14.92 ± 1.97c | - | - |
| 1-octen-3-one | 948 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-octanone | 954 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1-octen-3-ol | 955 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2-pentylfuran | 968 | 16.11 ± 4.99b | - | - | - | - |
| octanal | 971 | - | - | - | - | - |
| hexanoic acid | 975 | 60.46 ± 37.02 | - | - | - | - |
| benzyl alcohol | 992 | 22.11 ± 6.29b | - | - | - | - |
| phenylacetaldehyde | 996 | 39.00 ± 8.68a | - | - | - | - |
| 3-octen-2-one | 999 | 15.71 ± 4.24c | - | - | - | - |
| limonene | 1010 | 13.19 ± 4.09a | - | - | - | - |
| furaneol | 1011 | - | 9.41 ± 4.18 | - | - | - |
| p-cresol | 1037 | 50.37 ± 13.50b | 4.75 ± 0.52c | 14.09 ± 5.72c | 10.87 ± 2.95c | - | - |
| vanillin | 1041 | 117.30 ± 30.42b | - | - | - | - |
| guaiacol | 1052 | 1747.13 ± 403.38b | 11.34 ± 0.97c | 24.61 ± 2.26c | 24.41 ± 5.69b | - | - |
| 2-nonanone | 1059 | - | - | - | - | - |
| nonanal | 1070 | 31.47 ± 10.74b | - | - | - | - |
| 2-phenoylethanol | 1073 | 17.35 ± 4.16b | - | - | - | - |
| 2-(1-methylethyl)-denechylecyclohexane | 1088 | - | - | - | - | - |
| methyl octanoate | 1091 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 1,2-dimethoxybenzene | 1096 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2,3-dihydro-3,5-dihydroxy-6-methyl-4H-pyran-4-one | 1102 | - | 81.02 ± 18.00a | 85.59 ± 17.35a | 75.80 ± 25.06a | - | - |
| benzoic acid | 1122 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3,5-dimethylphenol | 1131 | - | 8.25 ± 3.90 | - | - | - |
| octanoic acid | 1144 | 194.34 ± 50.57 | - | - | - | - |
| 2-nonenal | 1151 | - | - | - | - | - |
| cresol | 1157 | 86.53 ± 22.09b | - | - | - | - |
| methyl salicylate | 1163 | 26.58 ± 4.42c | - | - | - | - |
| safaran | 1170 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 5-hydroxymaltol | 1170 | - | 129.37 ± 69.97a | - | - | - |
| 5-hydroxymethylfurfural | 1172 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 3-phenyl-1-propanol | 1193 | 7.63 ± 2.96 | - | - | - | - |
| methyl nonanoate | 1195 | 12.63 ± 7.97b | - | - | - | - |
| dodecane | 1200 | - | - | - | - | - |
| anisaldehyde | 1210 | 17.43 ± 6.08 | - | - | - | - |
| chavicol | 1218 | 11.44 ± 1.89 | - | - | - | - |
| cinnamaldehyde | 1229 | 14.81 ± 4.42 | - | - | - | - |
| anisyl alcohol | 1243 | - | - | - | - | - |
| nonanoic acid | 1255 | 1014.60 ± 250.70a | - | - | - | - |
| (1)-methyl cinnamate | 1268 | 24.46 ± 7.74a | - | - | - | - |
| p-vinylguaiacol | 1280 | 167.46 ± 39.17a | 21.30 ± 14.47b | 26.47 ± 9.23b | 27.03 ± 4.18b | - | - |
| 2,4-decadienal | 1284 | 69.98 ± 17.33 | - | - | - | - |
| p-hydroxybenzaldehyde | 1313 | 35.10 ± 12.64c | 92.54 ± 23.72b | 160.39 ± 7.12a | 195.96 ± 25.85a | - | - |
| methyl anisate | 1337 | 29.45 ± 5.05 | - | - | - | - |
| decanoic acid | 1341 | 120.36 ± 4.45 | - | - | - | - |
| (2)-methyl cinnamate | 1349 | 208.71 ± 34.92 | - | - | - | - |
| vanillin | 1358 | 3318.29 ± 552.20b | 896.65 ± 1603.90 ± 2026.60 ± | - | - | - |
| α-copaene | 1380 | 24.90 ± 6.47b | - | - | - | - |
| tetradecane | 1400 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2,6-dimethynaphthalene | 1405 | - | - | - | - | - |
| methylparaben | 1410 | - | 22.45 ± 1.94a | 22.25 ± 1.93a | - | - |
| veratr aldehyde | 1424 | - | - | - | - | - |
| vanillyl alcohol | 1425 | - | 14.36 ± 3.35 | - | - | - |
| undeconoic acid | 1436 | 58.92 ± 24.10 | - | - | - | - |
| 1-dodecanol | 1450 | - | - | - | - | - |
| 2,4-di-tert-butylphenol | 1484 | 37.65 ± 14.08a | - | - | - | - |
| butylated hydroxytoluene | 1491 | 46.08 ± 13.19a | 30.02 ± 10.05ab | - | - | - |
| α-muurolene | 1496 | - | - | - | - | - |
| lauric acid | 1535 | 271.98 ± 19.08 | - | - | - | - |
Compared with other extraction methods, HS-SPME extracted more monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes. Although the total peak area of HS-SPME was highest, no carboxylic acid compounds were identified, and the types of compounds were lower than those obtained with SDE. Kraujalytė et al. [25] found that HS-SPME was more suitable for compounds with low volatility due to the lower extraction temperature. Therefore, this extraction method was consistent with previous studies and is suitable for simple and rapid detection of sample components [4].

### 2.1.3. SD

A total of 25 volatile compounds were identified using SD extraction of vanilla pod samples (Table 2). The samples contained 11 aldehydes, 5 ketones, 4 esters, 3 alcohols, 1 phenol and 1 hydrocarbon. In this experiment, SD could not extract important aroma components, such as p-hydroxybenzaldehyde and vanillin, from vanilla pods, possibly because p-hydroxybenzaldehyde [26] and vanillin are only slightly soluble in water (1 g/100 mL) [1]. Additionally, the aqueous layer of SD extract lacks compounds, such as p-hydroxybenzaldehyde and vanillin. Despite the absence of vanillin, the total peak areas of aldehydes still accounted for 68% of the extract (as shown in Table 1), which might be related to the greater polarity of aldehydes. From Table 3, it can be observed that a large amount of furfural appeared in the extract. Cai et al. [4] speculated that this phenomenon was caused by the hydrolysis and pyrolysis of the compounds during the extraction process.
Table 3. SDE quantifies the volatile components of vanilla pods.

| Compounds                  | RI 1 | RI 2 | RI 3                        | Concentration (mg/kg) | References |
|----------------------------|------|------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|------------|
| ethyl acetate              | 603  | 601  | 1.39 ± 0.26                 | [19]                  |
| furfural                   | 799  | 790  | 2.39 ± 0.33                 | [22]                  |
| benzaldehyde               | 931  | 922  | 1.52 ± 0.24                 | [27,28]               |
| phenol                     | 949  | 947  | 8.68 ± 1.37                 | [29]                  |
| 2-pentylfuran              | 975  | 968  | 0.92 ± 0.11                 | [22]                  |
| hexanoic acid              | 955  | 975  | 3.13 ± 1.34                 | [30]                  |
| benzyl alcohol             | 1011 | 992  | 1.27 ± 0.09                 | [28]                  |
| phenylacetaldheyde         | 1002 | 996  | 2.28 ± 0.39                 | [31]                  |
| 3-octan-2-one              | 1015 | 999  | 0.90 ± 0.07                 | [19]                  |
| limonene                   | 1017 | 1010 | 0.75 ± 0.03                 | [31,32]               |
| 3-p-cresol                 | 1043 | 1037 | 2.90 ± 0.28                 | [22]                  |
| 1-octanol                  | 1048 | 1041 | 6.76 ± 0.69                 | [31]                  |
| guaiacol                   | 1056 | 1052 | 10.58 ± 13.92               | [22]                  |
| nonanal                    | 1074 | 1070 | 1.79 ± 0.19                 | [22]                  |
| 2-phenylethanol            | 1080 | 1073 | 1.01 ± 0.12                 | [22]                  |
| 3,5-dimethylphenol         | 1139 | 1131 | 0.40 ± 0.21                 | [33,34]               |
| octanoic acid              | 1150 | 1144 | 11.21 ± 1.14                | [19]                  |
| cresol                     | 1161 | 1157 | 5.01 ± 0.66                 | [22]                  |
| methyl salicylate          | 1166 | 1163 | 1.51 ± 0.13                 | [22,31]               |
| 3-phenyl-1-propanol        | 1201 | 1193 | 0.42 ± 0.03                 | [19]                  |
| methyl nonanoate           | 1205 | 1195 | 0.69 ± 0.32                 | [19]                  |
| anisaldehyde               | 1212 | 1210 | 0.98 ± 0.04                 | [22]                  |
| chavicol                   | 1223 | 1218 | 0.54 ± 0.02                 | [19]                  |
| cinnamaldehyde             | 1239 | 1229 | 0.85 ± 0.09                 | [19]                  |
| nonanoic acid              | 1247 | 1255 | 58.74 ± 7.10                | [19]                  |
| (E)-methyl cinnamate       | 1281 | 1268 | 1.39 ± 0.04                 | [33,34]               |
| p-vinylguaiacol            | 1280 | 1280 | 9.91 ± 2.42                 | [22]                  |
| 2,4-decadienal             | 1288 | 1284 | 4.10 ± 0.81                 | [33,34]               |
| p-hydroxybenzaldehyde      | 1315 | 1313 | 1.98 ± 0.22                 | [19,22]               |
| methyl anisate             | 1336 | 1337 | 1.41 ± 0.15                 | [33,34]               |
| decanoic acid              | 1344 | 1341 | 7.43 ± 2.91                 | [19]                  |
| (Z)-methyl cinnamate       | 1356 | 1349 | 12.45 ± 3.14                | [30]                  |
| vanillin                   | 1354 | 1358 | 196.36 ± 40.91              | [28]                  |
| α-copaene                  | 1373 | 1380 | 1.46 ± 0.33                 | [35,36]               |
| undecanoic acid            | 1445 | 1434 | 3.41 ± 0.74                 | [33,34]               |
| 2,4-di-tert-butylphenol    | 1494 | 1484 | 2.10 ± 0.14                 | [33,34]               |
| butylated hydroxytoluene   | 1488 | 1491 | 2.64 ± 0.23                 | [33,34]               |
| lauric acid                | 1566 | 1535 | 16.59 ± 5.68                | [19]                  |
| hexadecane                 | 1600 | 1600 | 1.54 ± 0.60                 | [19]                  |
| tridecanoic acid           | 1645 | 1629 | 2.57 ± 0.65                 | [33,34]               |
| cadalene                   | 1653 | 1660 | 2.51 ± 0.52                 | [19]                  |
| heptadecane                | 1700 | 1700 | 3.12 ± 0.19                 | [19]                  |
| myristic acid              | 1729 | 1731 | 21.56 ± 4.89                | [33,34]               |
| 1-octadecene               | 1788 | 1757 | 3.49 ± 2.35                 | [33,34]               |
| octadecane                 | 1800 | 1800 | 4.03 ± 0.31                 | [19]                  |
| 6,10,14-trimethylpentadecan-2-one | 1817 | 1817 | 7.08 ± 0.86                 | [33,34]               |
| pentadecanoic acid         | 1823 | 1823 | 16.00 ± 3.85                | [33,34]               |
| nonadecane                 | 1900 | 1900 | 24.01 ± 11.20               | [19]                  |
| methyl palmitate           | 1909 | 1926 | 3.81 ± 0.99                 | [19]                  |
| palmitic acid              | 1968 | 1962 | 90.12 ± 28.34               | [33,34]               |
| eicosane                   | 2000 | 2000 | 7.04 ± 2.43                 | [28]                  |

1 Tentatively identification of components based on GC-MS library (Wiley 7n). 2 Literature retention indices obtain from [19,22,27–36] and reference were checked for all on DB-1. 3 Retention indices, using paraffin (C5–C35) as references. 4 Total concentration from GC-FID, values are means ± SD of triplicates.

2.1.4. Alcoholic Extraction

In this experiment, 35, 75 and 95% alcohol were used to extract vanilla pods, and 10, 14 and 19 volatile compounds were identified, which consisted of only aldehydes, esters, carboxylic acids, alcohols, ketones and phenols. According to Table 2, the contents of guaiacol, p-hydroxybenzaldehyde and vanillin extracted from vanilla pod with 35% alcohol were lower than those in the other two ethanolic extracts. Moreover, esters and carboxylic acids were only identified in the 75% and 95% ethanolic extractions but not in the 35% ethanolic extraction. However, only the 35% ethanolic extracts contained...
vanillyl alcohol. Hernández-Fernández et al. [37] used GC–MS to compare the differences between 35% ethanolic extraction (1:10, v/v) and supercritical carbon dioxide extraction of *V. planifolia*. They found that the vanilla pod ethanolic extract contained six compounds, guaiacol, *p*-vinylguaiacol, vanillin, *p*-hydroxybenzaldehyde, vanillyl alcohol and vanillic acid. Excluding vanillic acid, the other five compounds were detected in the 35% ethanolic extract in this experiment. Sostaric et al. [9] extracted *V. planifolia* with 35% alcohol, and the extraction ratio was consistent with this experiment (1:5, v/v). Additionally, they used GC–MS to compare differences between the *V. planifolia* ethanolic extract and synthetic flavor. The authors found that natural vanillin extracts contain high amounts of vanillin and long carbon-chain esters that are not found in synthetic flavors such as ethyl nonanoate and ethyl decanoate. Synthetic fragrances contain ethyl vanillin that are lacking in natural vanilla extracts. Comparing three kinds of vanilla pod extracts with different alcohol concentrations, it can be observed that the higher the alcohol concentration, the more volatile components are extracted and the greater are the total peak areas. At present, commercial vanilla alcohol extracts are mostly extracted with 35% (v/v) alcohol [37], potentially because higher alcohol concentrations will alter the vanilla aroma of the extract. However, consumer acceptance is not high. Hernández-Fernández et al. [37] believed that alcohol extraction has some disadvantages, such as high concentration of organic residues, longer extraction time, and a larger dosage required for use as a spice.

### 2.2. Quantitative Analysis of Vanilla Pods

In this experiment, SDE was used to quantitatively analyze vanilla pod samples, and a total of 51 volatile compounds were identified (Table 3) using the method that identified the most compounds among all evaluated extraction methods. It contained 9 aldehydes, 10 carboxylic acids, 9 phenols, 7 esters, 6 hydrocarbons, 4 alcohols, 2 ketones, 2 sesquiterpenes, 1 furan and 1 monoterpene, revealing that the content of vanillin was highest, followed by guaiacol. Januszewska et al. [38] found that the main volatile components of vanillin pods from different origins were vanillin and guaiacol. Among them, vanillin has sweet and creamy aromas and is an important aroma component of vanilla pods [39]. Zhang and Mueller [19] quantified the volatile components of *V. planifolia* extracts by GC–MS and identified *p*-hydroxybenzaldehyde, (E)-methyl cinnamate, benzyl alcohol, phenol, *p*-cresol, 1-octanol, 2-phenylethanol, benzoic acid, octanoic acid, cresol, methyl salicylate, anisaldehyde, nonanoic acid, anisyl alcohol, isovanillin and other volatile compounds, and these compounds were also identified in this experiment. Among them, the content of guaiacol, a minor component, was 105.00 mg/kg, which was similar to the quantification results (101.58 mg/kg). In addition, guaiacol, cresol and phenol endow *V. planifolia* with strong phenolic, woody and smoky flavors [40].

### 2.3. Comparison of Different Extraction Methods

Figure 1 shows a principal components analysis (PCA) diagram of different extraction methods, from which it can be observed that the different methods can be divided into 3 groups. The three ethanolic extracts with different concentrations were close to the same group on the PCA diagram, which indicated that the composition of ethanolic extracts with different concentrations were similar. Table 2 also shows that the volatile components extracted with the three different concentrations of alcohol were mainly composed of aldehydes, alcohols, ketones and phenols, which can be compared with the PCA results. SDE could extract a wide variety of volatile components. In addition, in contrast to the other extraction methods, the proportion of aldehydes was highest, while SDE had the highest content of acid components, and no carboxylic acid compounds were identified in SD and HS-SPME (Table 2). Therefore, SDE was the farthest from other extraction methods on the PCA diagram, and it can be speculated that the volatile components extracted with SDE were the most different from other extraction methods.
Figure 1. Principal component analysis diagram (PCA) of vanilla pods with different extraction methods. ●: Samples (ET: ethanolic extract).

Vanillin is the main component of natural vanilla pods, so the content of vanillin is extremely important for vanilla extracts [1]. In SD extracts, vanillin cannot be detected, so this method is preliminarily considered unsuitable for analysis of vanillin. Although most commercially available vanilla pods are sold in the form of ethanolic extraction, the number of components and total peak areas identified by ethanolic extraction in this study were the lowest. Zheng et al. [41] compared the extraction of Syringa flowers with different solvents, and they also found that the efficiency of ethanolic extraction was poor. Based on the results of this experiment, it was found that SDE could extract more volatile components, but the total peak areas of HS-SPME were more than twice as large as those obtained with SDE. In addition, this study showed that only HS-SPME and SDE could extract monoterpene and sesquiterpenes. Kung et al. [31] used SDE and HS-SPME to analyze the volatile compounds from Platostoma palustre and found that SDE could extract more volatile compounds and sesquiterpenes. However, HS-SPME could extract more monoterpene than SDE. In this study, the monoterpenes total peak areas of HS-SPME were higher while the sesquiterpene total peak areas were lower than those determined with SDE, which was similar to the results of a previous study. For many assays, SDE lacks the sensitivity and convenience required for experiments, and HS-SPME can make up for these shortcomings. Cai et al. [4] believed that the reproducibility of SDE was better than that of HS-SPME, so if quantitative analysis is needed, SDE is the best extraction method. In addition, SDE can extract more components. However, it is less sensitive to trace components. Reineccius [42] pointed out that no method will accurately reflect the aroma components actually present in a food or their proportions. Therefore, it is recommended to use SDE and SPME complementary to analyze more complete vanilla aroma components.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Plant Materials

In this experiment, top bourbon vanilla beans (*V. planifolia*) with similar length and weight (about 17 cm and 4 g) which had been cultivated and cured in Sava, Madagascar, and were purchased from MR. Vanilla Beans commercial source in Taiwan.
3.2. Extraction Method

3.2.1. HS-SPME

The 65 µm PDMS/DVB adsorption fibers used in this experiment were purchased from Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA. The experimental procedure has been described by Yeh et al. [22]; 8–10 vanilla pods were cut in half, and 1 g of vanilla seeds were scraped and placed into a 4 mL cylindrical glass bottle with a Teflon rubber pad. It was then heated in a 50 °C water bath and extracted with a 65 µm PDMS/DVB adsorption fiber for 40 min. After the extraction was completed, GC and GC–MS desorption were applied for 20 min for analysis in splitless mode. The above process was repeated 3 times.

3.2.2. SDE

A total of 20 g vanilla pods were cut into approximately 0.2 cm wide pieces and placed into a 5 L three-necked round bottom flask. Then, 500 g water and 1.00 g internal standard (0.5 mg/g cyclohexyl acetate) were added, and a Likens-Nickerson (L-N) device was connected. Fifty milliliters of n-pentane/diethyl ether at a ratio of 1:1 (v/v) was added to the bottom of the L-N device, placed in a pear-shaped bottle as a solvent end, and then placed in a water bath at 40–50 °C. The other end was connected to a 5 L three-neck round-bottom flask filled with 4 L of water as a heat source for steam distillation, and the sample end was heated to 100 °C. After extraction for 2 h, the solvent extract in the pear-shaped bottle was collected, dehydrated with anhydrous sodium sulfate and filtered with No. 1–125 mm qualitative filter paper. Then, a distillation column device (40 °C, 1 h, 100 cm glass column) was used to remove excess solvent and collect the concentrated volatile compound extract. GC syringes were used to collect 1 µL, and GC and GC–MS analyses were performed by direct injection. The split ratio was 1:100. The above process was repeated 3 times.

3.2.3. SD

Twenty grams of vanilla pods were cut into approximately 0.2 cm wide pieces and placed into a 5 L three-necked round-bottom flask. Then, 500 g of water was added, the other end and connected to a 5 L three-necked round-bottomed flask, and 4 L of water was placed in the flask for steam distillation. The sample end was heated to 100 °C. After 2 h, the extract was collected, and 10 g was placed in a 15 mL cylindrical glass bottle with a Teflon rubber pad. Then, the samples were extracted with 65 µm PDMS/DVB adsorption fibers of HS-SPME for 40 min at room temperature. After the extraction was completed, GC and GC–MS desorption were used for 20 min for analysis in splitless mode. The above process was repeated 3 times.

3.2.4. Alcoholic Extraction

Two grams of vanilla pods were cut into approximately 0.2 cm wide pieces, and 20 g of 95, 75 and 35% alcohol was added. After extraction with an ultrasonic shaker for 30 min, the mixture was shaken by hand for 1 min and filtered with No. 1–125 mm qualitative filter paper. The filtrate was collected for later use. Twenty grams of 95, 75 and 35% alcohol was added to the vanilla pod sample again and the above extraction method repeated. The two extracts were mixed and filtered with anhydrous sodium sulfate, and the extract was injected into the capillary using a 3 mL disposable syringe to remove excess solvent and concentrated. One microliter of the extract was collected with GC syringes and analyzed by GC and GC–MS by direct injection with a split ratio of 1:10. Each of the above alcohol concentrations was repeated 3 times.

3.3. Internal Standard (IS) Preparation

Standard compound of cyclohexyl acetate was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA). Cyclohexyl acetate (0.5 g) was diluted to 10 g with 95% alcohol and then serially diluted to 0.5 mg/g.
3.4. GC/GC-MS Instrument Analysis
3.4.1. GC

The instrumental conditions refer to Yeh et al. [22]. The instrument used in this study was an Agilent Model 7890 GC (Santa Clara, CA, USA), and the separation column was a DB-1 (60 m × 0.25 mm i.d.) from Agilent, which is a nonpolar column. The carrier gas was nitrogen (N\textsubscript{2}) delivered at a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The injection port temperature was set to 250 °C. The detector was a flame ionization detector (FID), and the detector temperature was 300 °C. The oven temperature was maintained at 40 °C for 1 min, then raised to 150 °C at 5 °C/min, held for 1 min, raised to 200 °C at 10 °C/min, and then maintained at this temperature for 21 min.

3.4.2. GC-MS

A Model 5977A quadrupole mass spectrometer (Mass Selective Detector, MSD) from Agilent (CA, USA.) was used. The ion source temperature of the MSD was 230 °C, and the quadrupole temperature was 150 °C. The GC was an Agilent Model 7890B. The operating conditions for the GC and the use of column were the same as those described for GC, changing only the carrier gas to helium (He). The mass spectral data measured by the instrument were compared with the mass spectral library of Wiley 7N.

3.5. Quantitative Calculation of the IS Method

The IS method is a relatively accurate quantitative method in instrumental analysis, and its calculation formula is as follows:

\[
\text{Sample concentration (mg/kg)} = \frac{(A_x)(C_{ib})}{(A_{ib})(W_s)} \times 1000
\]

where 
- \(A_x\) = The peak area of the compounds in the sample,
- \(A_{ib}\) = the peak area of IS,
- \(C_{ib}\) = the amount of IS added (mg), and
- \(W_s\) = the sample weight (g).

3.6. Statistical Analysis

In this study, principal component analysis (PCA) was performed using XLSTAT2014 (Addinsoft, New York, NY, USA). The data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance, with Tukey’s multiple range method used to identify significant differences of \(p < 0.05\) with GraphPad Prism 5 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

4. Conclusions

From the PCA chart, it can be observed that the different extraction methods could be divided into 3 groups. Among them, the three different concentrations of alcohol were extracted from the same group, and the composition was similar. They were mainly composed of aldehydes, alcohols, ketones and phenols. However, Alcohol extraction at 35% resulted in the fewest extraction components. In this experiment, SD extraction could not detect vanillin, so this method is not suitable for analysis of vanilla pods. SDE could extract a variety of volatile compounds, while HS-SPME did not extract the most components but could extract more aroma total peak areas. The result suggested that the HS-SPME and SDE are both powerful analytic tool for the determination of the volatile compounds in vanilla. Therefore, HS-SPME is recommended for the preliminary identification of vanilla aroma. Otherwise, SPME and SDE can complement each other for vanilla aroma analysis.
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