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Abstract. This paper describes in outline a method for translating Japanese temporal expressions into English. We argue that temporal expressions form a special subset of language that is best handled as a special module in machine translation. The paper deals with problems of lexical idiosyncrasy as well as the choice of articles and prepositions within temporal expressions. In addition temporal expressions are considered as parts of larger structures, and the question of whether to translate them as noun phrases or adverbials is addressed.

1 Introduction

In this paper we argue that the transfer and generation of temporal noun phrases and adverbials are best handled by a separate (though integrated) module in Japanese-to-English machine translation.

There are several reasons for creating a separate module. They center on the fact that temporal expressions are lexically and syntactically highly idiosyncratic in both Japanese and English. In addition to grammatical differences, there are differences in style between the two language communities that need to be addressed by a machine translation system: for example in English stock market reports days are given as days of the week, whereas in Japanese they are given as dates.

We argue that attempting to handle all phenomena by a single mechanism, while conceptually elegant, causes unnecessary complications. In addition trying to shoehorn all idiosyncrasies into any one method is likely to strain it to the breaking point. Instead we adopt the multi-level machine translation approach of [Ikehara et al., 1991] in which there are many levels of transfer between two languages, and expressions are transferred at whatever level the system judges to be the most appropriate.

The processing described has been implemented in the Japanese-to-English machine translation system ALT-J/E [Ikehara et al., 1991, Ikehara et al., 1996].

2 Temporal Noun Phrases

English temporal noun phrases, along with locatives, do not have all the properties of prototypical ‘purebred’ noun phrases. They are one of the five types of noun phrases classed as ‘defective’ by [Ross, 1995]. For example, in contrast to ‘purebred’ noun phrases, they are typically pronominalized by then rather than it. There is also a great deal of variation in realization between dialects, with substantial differences between Australian, American and British English.\(^1\) In addition, there is a lot of lexical idiosyncrasy as we will show in the following sections.

\(^1\) There is also some dialectal variation in Japanese temporal expressions. For example, yanoasatte, which means the fourth day after today in the standard dialect, means three days after today in some areas.
In the following section we will restrict our discussion to noun phrases that show position in time, rather than duration or frequency. English temporal adjuncts are described in some detail in [Quirk et al., 1985, 526-555]. To the best of our knowledge, the only description of their treatment in natural language processing is that of [Flickinger, 1996], who discusses English time expressions in the HPSG grammar used by the VerbMobil German/Japanese-to-English machine translation project.

2.1 Temporal Noun Phrase Structure

English time position noun phrases used primarily to refer to time (for example within adverbials or the subject of sentences such as Spring has come) are highly idiosyncratic in their lexical choice, as well as their choice of determiners, typically having no surface determiner, although some take the definite article. Note that noun phrases headed by the same nouns, but not primarily referring to time, behave as do other nouns: It was a spring to remember. To handle these lexical and syntactic idiosyncrasies we introduce special processing for temporal noun phrases.

[Ross, 1995, 433] claims that such defective noun phrases are always locally triggered, that is there is some clause mate that forces or enables the noun phrase to become defective. If we allow time position adjuncts to license themselves as being defective, then this claim holds, and we can always count on there being some trigger to introduce our special processing.

We will now give some example of the idiosyncrasies, starting with the lack of an article for unmodified nouns, assuming they are in temporal noun phrases: (1).

(1) a. today, yesterday, tomorrow (deictic-day)
b. Monday (day-of-week)
c. Christmas (holiday)
d. 3 o'clock, 12:15 (numbered-hour)
e. February (month)
f. 1997 (year)
g. dawn (time-of-day)
h. winter (season)

We analyze the noun phrases with no surface determiner as NULL determiners, phonologically empty determiners which appear in noun phrases with definite reference to a locatable, one-member referent set itself, following [Chesterman, 1991, 73]. Our analysis of null determiners is independently motivated, we also consider them to appear in proper names, technical terms, and noun phrases such as school in I went to school today.

In contrast with the noun types shown in (1), ordinal numbers denoting the day of a month, on the other hand, normally take the definite article: the 19th.

The choice of dependent also affects the choice of determiner, for example, there are some temporal expressions which take the null determiner when they are modified by another class of time expressions (2):

2 After each example in (1) we give the semantic attribute of its head, from the hierarchy given in Figure 2.
3 Null determiners are distinct from ZERO determiners, also phonologically empty, which appear with indefinite uncountable and plural noun phrases, the equivalent to a for singular countable noun phrases.
We analyze these simply as “NULL modifier head”. In our translation module, we generate the determiner during the transfer from Japanese. [Flickinger, 1996] introduces a more elegant analysis where the first element is treated as specifier (determiner) of the second, thus explaining the lack of article. Both the specifier’s change in part of speech, and the head's choice of complement, are expressed by lexical rules. However, as null determiners or their equivalent are needed for the noun phrases in (1) anyway, we do not consider their use here to be problematic.

In addition, as [Flickinger, 1996] notes, his analysis leads to noun phrases with multiple specifiers in expressions like *February the 19th*, although most analyses of English allow only one specifier.

To handle such cases, we use a special structure, the special compound noun phrase, that we have established for noun phrases where there is no obvious head, such as person and company names, and addresses. We use this structure for time position noun phrases which include the following elements: **year, day-of-month, month** and/or **numbered-time**. In these noun phrases there is no obvious semantic head and there are many possible representations in English. We show some examples of the choice of expressions for a single date in (3). The choice of representation is mainly a question of style. In particular, it does depend on the Japanese source noun phrase, which has only two possible forms: 2-gatsu-19-nichi “2 month 19 day” and 2-gatsu-no 19-nichi “2 month GEN 19 day”.

(3) February the 19th vs February 19 vs February 19th vs the 19th of February

By establishing a set of special structures for noun phrases that behave atypically, and thus have to be treated atypically anyway, we are able to preserve a uniform structure for all other noun phrases (with a single, although potentially phonologically empty, specifier). Although the grammar is consistent with ‘purebred’ noun phrases, the choice of determiner is not, which is why we argue for a separate module.

In the next section we will describe the transfer module for temporal noun phrases, which does most of the hard work in creating appropriate English structures, handling lexical and phrasal idiosyncrasies.
refers to time position, simple regular rules are used to generate the corresponding English expressions. For example, days of months in Japanese have the form NUMERAL-nichi “NUMERAL day” and are translated into special compound noun phrases with the day-of-month slot filled by the value for the numeral.4

Complex noun phrases require more complicated rules. We show the rules for the combination of a noun phrase denoting deictic-day or day with one denoting period-of-day (morning, afternoon, evening) or night in Figure 1. The Japanese will be of the form a-no β “α-GEN β” where α is headed by a day or deictic-day noun and β is headed by a period-of-day noun or night, that translates into English noun phrase B.

B is period-of-day (morning, afternoon, evening) or night:
if A is deictic-day
  if A = issakusakujitsu “the day before the day before yesterday”
    ⇒ the B before the B before last ; 3 before
  if A = otooi “the day before yesterday”
    ⇒ the B before last ; 2 before
  if A = kinō “yesterday”
    if B = night
      ⇒ NULL last night
    else
      ⇒ NULL yesterday B ; 1 before
  if A = kyō “today” or honjitsu “today”
    if B = night
      ⇒ NULL tonight
    else
      ⇒ this B ; today’s
  if A = ashita “tomorrow”
    ⇒ NULL tomorrow B ; 1 after
  if A = asatte “the day after tomorrow”
    ⇒ the B after next ; 2 after
  if A = shiasatte “the day after the day after tomorrow”
    ⇒ the B after the B after next ; 3 after
  if A = yanoasatte “the day after the day after the day after tomorrow”
    ⇒ the B after the B after the B after next ; 4 after [rare]

if A is relative-day
  if A = zenjistu “the previous day”
    ⇒ the previous B
  if A = yokujitsu “the following day”
    ⇒ the following B

if A is named-day
  ⇒ NULL A B

if A is day-of-month
  ⇒ the B of the A (A must be ordinal)

Figure 1. Rules for day, deictic-day and period-of-day

---

4 This is further complicated by the fact that the Japanese have two counting systems for years, one based on the western system (A.D.) and the other on years of the current emperor’s reign. 3-nen “3 years” is thus multiply ambiguous between, at least, 3 AD, 1903 AD, 2003 AD, 1991 AD (the third year of the current emperor’s reign) and a three year period. Disambiguating these readings is outside of the scope of this paper.
These transfer rules capture the lexical and phrasal idiosyncrasies of the temporal noun phrases, and are relatively easy to test and expand. The rules are all specific to temporal noun phrases; no generality has been lost by putting them in a separate module.

Note that these rules do not necessarily preserve the Japanese structure. In particular many temporal expressions made up of two noun phrases in Japanese are often most naturally translated as a noun phrase and an adverbial phrase in English. For example, *ashita-no akegata* “tomorrow-GEN dawn” could possibly be translated as *tomorrow’s dawn*, but is generally translated as *dawn tomorrow* where *tomorrow* is an adverbial modifying *dawn*.

Attempts to preserve structure, translating all Japanese temporal noun phrases as English noun phrases, are problematic as the resulting expressions are often unwieldy or ambiguous. Consider for example *kotoshi-no kurisumasu* “this year-GEN Christmas”, which could potentially be translated as *this year’s Christmas, this Christmas or Christmas this year; this year’s Christmas* sounds extremely unnatural. The use of deictic dependents like *this* and *last*, are not bound by year boundaries, *this Christmas* spoken in January, could refer to Christmas last year, or the coming Christmas and is thus ambiguous in a way that the Japanese original is not. In addition, there is considerable dialectal difference in the use of *this* and *next*. Thus the most acceptable translation of *kotoshi-no kurisumasu* “this year-GEN Christmas” is *Christmas this year*, where *this year* is an adverbial.

We also found, in a preliminary investigation of bilingual corpora, that translation of Japanese temporal noun phrases into English adverbials was very common, not only in complex temporal noun phrases, but also in noun phrases with only one part being temporal, for example those in (4).

(4)  
   a. *konshū-no uchiwase* “this week-GEN meeting”  
     ⇒ *this week’s meeting vs the meeting this week*  
   b. *getsuyōbi-no uchiwase* “Monday-GEN meeting”  
     ⇒ *Monday’s meeting vs the meeting on Monday*.

At present our rules translate most Japanese complex temporal noun phrases, and all temporal noun phrases occurring inside larger non-temporal noun phrases as noun phrases in English, but as we identify more criteria for choosing between adverbials and noun phrases they will be added to our module.

Note that we have similar sets of rules to those presented in Figure 1 for other time combinations, such as: *the January before last* (year + month), *next Saturday* (week + day) and so on.

3 Temporal Adverbials

Japanese temporal adverbials have the same structure as noun phrases, that is any number of modifiers, followed by a noun head, followed by a post-positional particle. The fact that the constituent is an adverbial is determined by the choice of postpositional particle, the semantic attribute of the head noun, and the structure of the sentence. As well as translating Japanese adverbials as adverbials it is often necessary to translate Japanese temporal phrases into English adverbials, as discussed in Section 2.2. In such cases, we need to select which preposition to use. In the next section we outline our algorithm for choosing prepositions, a sub-section of the module for translating temporal expressions.

---

5 We are indebted to Tim Baldwin for reminding us of this.
3.1 Choice of Preposition

The main problem for generating English prepositions is deciding between on, at and in. Other prepositions, such as before or during have Japanese equivalents (normally functional nouns or post-positional particles) which can be used to select them. The two most widely used particles in Japanese for temporal (and locative) expressions are ni and, to a lesser extent, de, either of which can be translated as on, at or in. To choose between these three alternatives, we need to consider both the semantic attribute of the head noun and its dependents in English. We use the semantic attribute system for Japanese analysis of [Ikehara et al., 1997], augmented with several types defined especially in English generation. The relevant parts of the hierarchy are shown in Figure 2. The nodes underlined are those that appear in the Japanese semantic attribute system.

Our type hierarchy matches that suggested by [Flickinger, 1996], and we adopt his nomenclature. The hierarchy presented here is very similar, but includes deictic-day nouns and more branches under the day and non-day/hour node. The new nodes are needed in writing the rules to translate complex temporal noun phrases outlined in Section 2.2, and in choosing prepositions, as will be shown below.

The hierarchy is loosely based on the choice of preposition for temporal adverbials formed by a prepositional phrase followed by an unmodified noun phrase headed by each type, deictic-day nouns take no preposition, they form adverbials by themselves.

Noun phrases headed by day nouns will take the preposition on. The preposition is optional for noun phrases headed by day-of-week nouns in American English. Noun phrases headed by day-of-month nouns can take months as specifiers in Flickinger’s analysis, cardinal-day nouns can only take months, while ordinal-day nouns can take months or the or both.

Noun phrases headed by hour nouns, including numbered-hour nouns and time-of-day nouns like noon and dawn are selected by the preposition at. The common denominator seems to be the idea of a precise moment in time.

The remainder of noun phrases headed by temporal nouns are selected by the preposition in.

Our algorithm for choosing whether to use a preposition, and if so which preposition to use, is given in Figure 3. The algorithm is used to convert noun phrases headed by temporal nouns to adverbials, and has been extended to include noun phrases of the form A of B where A is

---

In this algorithm, we treat the narrowest time unit as the head of temporal special compound noun phrases: for example, the heads of February the 19th and the 19th of February will both be 19th a cardinal-day noun. For other purposes, such as pluralization, the rightmost noun is treated as the head.
If equal to deictic-day (today, tomorrow, yesterday) or tonight
or (premodified by one of the deictic terms this, that, last, next
or one of the deictic-day nouns
or a quantifier such as every, some)
or post modified by ago, later
⇒ adverbial is a noun phrase (no preposition)
else create a prepositional phrase headed by:
If head is (hour or night and not modified) or beginning, end:
⇒ at
Else-If head is day or (period-of-day and modified)
⇒ on
Else
⇒ in

Figure 3. Preposition choice for temporal adverbials

beginning, middle, end and B is a temporal noun phrase. There are also some event nouns that
could be analyzed as heading temporal noun phrases that can appear in temporal adverbials,
such as opening in at the opening [of the Osaka Stock Exchange], we would like to extend our
analysis to include them.

on is optional for modified period-of-day nouns like Friday morning in at least one author’s
idiolect, and for day-of-week nouns in general in American English. In addition to dialect the
choice depends on domain and genre (stock reports and speech tend to omit on). Depending
on the users’ requirements, some finer gradations may need to be made. By default we always
generate on when it is considered optional, under the assumption that it is easier to delete it
afterwards than put it in.

3.2 Deictic Concerns

There is another concern for a practical machine translation system, and that is the different
choice of temporal expressions in different cultures. To take a well defined example, there is a
marked difference between temporal expressions in Japanese and English on-line stock market
reports in the Nikkei Biz Database.

To give three examples: in the Japanese reports, days are given as days of the month while
in English they are given as days of the week (5); expressions such as shumatsu “week end”
are also translated as week days (6); and periods within the day are anchored to week day’s in
English but not in Japanese (7).

(5) ... beikoku-jikan-no 12-13-nichi-ni hirakareru FOMC ...
American time-GEN T2-T3-day-on/at/in hold FOMC
... the U.S. FOMC meeting Tuesday and Wednesday ...
(6) zen-shūmatsu-no shikago nikkei-heikin-sakimono-daka
last week end-GEN Chicago Nikkei average futures high
Nikkei 225 futures gained in Chicago last Friday
(7) daisho-shūsei-wa maebike-ni kake yasuneken-de momi-au
Osaka Stock Exchange-TOP morning close-DAT about low range at struggle
Monday morning trading was confined to a boxed range at slightly lower levels

For a machine translation system to be useful in such a domain it must be able to make
these conversions. The conversion of dates to days is relatively simple and could theoretically
be done as part of a separate pre or post editing process. Changing stock market jargon to
mornings and afternoons requires knowledge of the domain, while converting week beginnings
and ends to days requires not just knowledge of the actual date, but also on which day the
stock market was open, as, for example, the last trading day in the week is not necessarily a
Friday (Friday may have been a holiday).

We have added a special, domain-triggered section to our temporal expression transfer mod-
ule that converts dates to days, and appropriately generates period of day expressions. It uses
common date conversion routines, combined with the header date and tune information avail-
able in the on-line market reports themselves. We are in the process of extending the module
to handle market opening and closing date information.

Extensions like these are a small step into translation based on domain understanding, which
we hope will be the start of a long, but interesting journey.

4 Conclusion

In this paper we present an outline of rules for translating Japanese temporal phrases to English.
Because the rules only apply to a specific limited class of input, and there are many lexical
idiosyncrasies, we argue that these rules are better thought of as a separate module, although
integrated with the entire system. We also emphasize the need to consider the context of
temporal expressions, giving the example of Japanese and English Stock market reports, where
knowledge of the date the report was prepared is essential to translate it.
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