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Abstract

**Background:** The role of *Helicobacter pylori* eradication is still not clear in endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD)-induced artificial ulcer. This study investigates the therapeutic effects of *H. pylori* eradication on ESD-induced artificial ulcers.

**Methods:** Eighty-four patients with ESD-induced artificial ulcers were enrolled. *H. pylori* eradication success subgroup (Group A1) and *H. pylori* eradication failure subgroups (Group A2) received standard triple therapy orally for 7 days, followed by esomeprazole 20 mg bid orally for the remainder of the treatment period (4 weeks in total). The *H. pylori* positive (Group B1) and *H. pylori* negative subgroups (Group B2) received esomeprazole 20 mg bid orally for 4 weeks. Ulcer healing was evaluated by gastroscopy, and *H. pylori* was identified by a C13 breath test or an Hp-RUT 2 and 6 months after treatment.

**Results:** Successful eradication of *H. pylori* can promote healing of ESD-induced artificial ulcers. The ESD-induced artificial ulcer healing rate in Group A1 was statistically higher than that in Groups A2, B1, and B2.

**Conclusion:** Our results indicated that early *H. pylori* eradication therapy can promote ESD-induced artificial ulcer healing in *H. pylori* positive patients with ESD-induced artificial ulcers.

**Abbreviations:** ESD = endoscopic submucosal dissection, *H. pylori* = *Helicobacter pylori*, HGIN = high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia, Hp-RUT = *H. pylori* rapid urease test, PPIs = proton pump inhibitors.
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1. Introduction

Endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) has been widely used for endoscopic treatment, especially for early digestive tract tumors, submucosal lesions, giant polyps, and carcinoids. This technique has the advantages of minor trauma, decreased complications, fast recovery, and decreased impact on the patient’s physical condition. However, different-sized artificial ulcers can begin to form after ESD. Some artificial ulcers fail to heal within 8 weeks after ESD. Quality of life for patients with unhealed artificial ulcers may be impeded because of symptoms such as abdominal pain, indigestion, and other complications such as bleeding and perforation. Many factors may influence postoperative ulcer healing, such as smoking, drinking, treatment compliance, *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*), nutritional status, and other drugs that damage the digestive tract mucosa. Some previous studies have indicated that *H. pylori* eradication can promote ulcer healing, but other studies have found contradictory results. In the present study, we aimed to assess the therapeutic effects of *H. pylori* eradication on ESD-induced artificial ulcers.

2. Methods

2.1. Study subjects

This study was a retrospective study. *H. pylori* eradication therapy, ESD, and gastroscopy are already used in clinical practice. Given that the medical information of the patients was recorded necessarily and anonymously as part of the case history, which would not cause any risk to the participants, the Ethics Committee of Weihai Municipal Hospital approved this retrospective study with a waiver of informed consent from the patients.

Inpatients who underwent ESD treatment from January 2010 to May 2018 at Weihai Municipal Hospital were included. Patients were excluded according to the following criteria: severe organ dysfunction, anticoagulant, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or other gastric mucosal damaging drug use, or unhealthy living habits such as smoking, drinking, poor sleeping habits, or addictive/poor eating behaviors. *H. pylori* was measured in all patients. All patients were divided into the *H. pylori* eradication treatment group (Group A) and the non-*H. pylori* eradication treatment group (Group B). According to the *H. pylori* eradication results, Group A was divided into the *H. pylori* eradication success subgroup (Group A1) and the *H. pylori* eradication failure subgroup (Group A2). Group B was divided into the *H. pylori* positive subgroup (Group B1) and the *H. pylori* negative subgroup (Group B2).
After patients were treated with ESD, Group A received standard triple therapy (esomeprazole 20mg bid in die (bid), amoxicillin 1g bid, and clarithromycin 0.5g bid or levofloxacin 0.5g quaque die (qd)) orally for 7 days, followed esomeprazole 20mg bid orally for the remainder of the treatment period (4 weeks in total). Ulcer healing was evaluated by gastroscopy, and H. pylori was identified by a C13 breath test or an H. pylori rapid urease test (HP-RUT) 2 and 6 months after treatment.

### 2.2. Calculating the ulcer area

The ulcer area was calculated with the traditional formula: the endoscope measurement ruler was placed in the stomach via a biopsy port that was close to the ulcer lesions. The long diameter ($d_1$) and short diameter ($d_2$) were measured. Ulcer area $= \pi \left(\frac{d_1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{d_2}{2}\right)$.

### 2.3. Evaluation criteria for ulcer healing

Gastric ulcer stages were classified using a 6-stage system:

1. **A1 stage**: Ulcer that contains a mucus coating with marginal elevation because of edema.
2. **A2 stage**: Mucus-coated ulcers with discrete margins and less elevation because of edema.
3. **H1 stage**: Unhealed ulcer covered by less than 50% edema than stage A1.
4. **H2 stage**: Ulcer with a mucosal break but almost covered with regenerating epithelium with or without converging folds.
5. **S1 stage**: Red scar with rough epithelialization without a mucosal break.
6. **S2 stage**: White scar with complete re-epithelialization.

### 2.4. Statistics

Statistical comparisons of the patients were performed using the $\chi^2$ test for categorical data and Student $t$ test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for numerical data. Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. Differences in the categorical variables between the 2 groups were examined with the $\chi^2$ test. A 2-tailed $P$ value less than .05 was considered statistically significant.

### 3. Results

#### 3.1. Patient characteristics

Group A and Group B had no significant differences in factors, such as sex ratio, age, and ulcer area (Table 1). In each group, most of the lesions were located in the lower part of the stomach, followed by the middle and upper parts of the stomach. The pathological types were classified as gastric cancer, atypical hyperplasia, stromal tumors, polyps with atypical dysplasia, high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia (HGIN), polyps, ectopic pancreatic tissue, granular cell tumors, eosinophilic granulomas, lipomas, and inflammation (Tables 1 and 2).

#### 3.2. Successful H. pylori eradication can promote healing of ESD-induced ulcerous cells

Two months after ESD, the healing rate in Group A1 was significantly higher than those in Groups A2, B1, and B2 (87.50% vs 58.82%, 67.35%, and 63.01%, respectively), but that in Group A2 was slower but not significantly different than those in Groups B1 and B2 (58.82% vs 67.35% and 63.01%, respectively). Six months after ESD, the healing rate in Group A1 (100.00%) and was higher but not significantly different than those in Group A2 (88.23%), Group B1 (93.75%), and Group B2 (90.41%) (Table 1). Two months later, there was no statistically significant difference in ulcer stage between Group A and Group B (Fig. 1) ($P > .05$). Six months later, only 2 patients were in stage H2 and the others in Group A were in stage S1 or S2; however, in Group B, 1 patient was in stage H1, 9 patients were in stage H2, and the rest were in stage S1 or S2. Therefore, there was significant difference between the two group (Table 2, Fig. 2) ($P = .022$). In terms of ulcer stage, Group A1 had better stages than Groups A2, B1, and B2 (Table 2, Figs. 3 and 4). In addition, 1 case of HGIN with local carcinogenesis in small curvature of gastric antrum was treated with ESD in Group B 1.2 months later, HGIN was identified at the site of the scar. Therefore, the patient was excluded from the statistical data for 6 months after ESD.

#### 3.3. Ulcer healing in patients with successful H. pylori eradication was significantly faster than that in patients with failed H. pylori eradication

The eradication rate of H. pylori in Group A was 65.31%. Ulcer healing in patients with successful H. pylori eradication was faster than that in those with failed H. pylori eradication. However, there was significant difference in the healing rate of 2 months between Group A1 and Group A2 (87.50% vs 58.82%...
58.82% (Table 2, Fig. 3) (P < .05). But there was not significant difference in that of 6 months (100.00% vs 88.23%) (Table 2); the rate of group A1 was faster but Group A2 was slower than those of Groups B1 and B2 (Figs. 3 and 4). Endoscopic findings 2 months later revealed that there was a significant difference in ulcer stage between Group A1 and Group A2 (stage H1: 1 vs 3 case (3.13% vs 17.65%), stage H2: 3 vs 4 case (9.38% vs 23.53%)) (P < .05), but there was a statistically significant difference in the number of patients with stage S2 between Group A1 and Group A2 (9 vs 0 cases) (Table 2, Fig. 3) (P < .05).

Regarding endoscopic findings 6 months later, only 2 patients were in stage H2 and the others were in stage S1 or S2 in Group A2, but all patients in Group A1 were in stage S1 or S2 (Table 2, Fig. 4).

### Table 2
Baseline characteristics of patients in Groups A1, A2, B1, B2.

|                | A1    | A2    | B1    | B2    | P     | F     |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| N              | 32    | 17    | 40    | 73    |       |       |
| Age, mean (range), y | 63±17.55 | 58.8±19.26 | 59.43±17.32 | 59.35±17.45 |       |       |
| Sex (female/male) | 10/22 | 6/11  | 15/34 | 20/43 |       |       |
| Lesion size, mean (range), mm | 2.53±1.215 | 3.12±1.544 | 3.43±1.985 | 3.23±1.454 |       |       |
| Location (U/M/L) | 2/14/16 | 2/4/11 | 5/14/30 | 13/10/50 |       |       |
| Macroscopic type |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| Gastric cancer   | 3     | 5     | 16    | 8     |       |       |
| Atypical hyperplasia | 11    | 9     | 13    | 12    |       |       |
| Polyp           | 2     | 1     | 1     | 3     |       |       |
| Inflammation    | 1     | 1     | 1     | 3     |       |       |
| Stromal tumor   | 3     | 7     |       |       |       |       |
| Ectopic pancreatic tissue | 1     | 4     |       |       |       |       |
| Granul cell tumor | 1     |       |       |       |       |       |
| Eosinophilic granuloma | 2     |       |       |       |       |       |
| Polyp with atypical dysplasia | 2     |       |       |       |       |       |
| HGIN            | 12    | 2     | 12    | 24    |       |       |
| LGIN            | 1     | 1     | 6     | 6     |       |       |
| Lipoma          | 1     |       | 4     |       |       |       |
| Ulcer stage (A1/A2/H1/H2/S1/S2) |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 2 mo            | 0/0/1/3/19/0 | 0/0/3/1/0 | 2/1/6/7/11 | 1/3/11/26/20 | <.05* | 2.098 |
| 6 mo            | 0/0/0/3/29 | 0/0/2/4/11 | 0/0/1/2/14/31 | 0/0/7/22/44 | .024  | 3.223 |
| Amount of ulcer healing |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 2 mo (%)        | 28 (87.5) | 10 (58.82) | 33 (67.35) | 46 (63.01) | <.05  |       |
| 6 mo (%)        | 32 (100)  | 15 (68.23) | 45 (93.75) | 66 (90.41) |       |       |

HGIN = high-grade intraepithelial neoplasia, LGIN = low-grade intraepithelial neoplasia.
* A1 vs A2 P = .036, A1 vs B1 P = .046, A1 vs B2 P = .039.

4. Discussion

ESD is the primary treatment for early digestive tract carcinoma, precancerous lesions, giant polyps, and submucous eminence lesions. Because this technique is minimally invasive, associated with rapid recovery, decreased pain, and en bloc lesion resection, it has been rapidly popularized since it was introduced in China 10 years ago. However, ESD also has some complications, such as postoperative ulceration, bleeding, perforation, postoperative digestive tract stenosis, and lesion recurrence. Among them, artificial ulceration is the most common complication that can affect the quality of life of patients because it might cause them to...
suffer from abdominal pain, bloating, indigestion, hematemesis, hemafecia, or weakness. The pathogenesis of ESD-induced artificial ulceration is not the same as that of nonartificial gastric ulceration: Lack of enhanced gastric mucosal damage and impaired defensive function; Most gastric ulcers are deeper than ESD-induced arti
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**Figure 3.** The F-figure for ulcer staging ratio of 2 months after ESD in Groups A1, A2, B1, B2. There was significant difference in ulcer stage between Group A1 and Group A2 (stage H1: 1 vs 3 case (3.13%) vs 17.65%), stage H2: 3 vs 4 case (9.38% vs 23.53%) (P<.03), but there was a statistically significant difference in the stage S2 between Group A1 and Group A2 (P<.05). In Groups B1 and B2, it still had few patients in stage A1 (4.08%, 1.37%), A2 (2.04%, 4.11%). But Group A1 and Group A2 did not have patients in stage A. Group A1 had better stages than Group A2 (P=.039), Groups B1 (P=.046), and B2 (P=.039).
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**Figure 4.** The F-figure for ulcer staging ratio of 6 months after ESD in Groups A1, A2, B1, B2. About 11.76% were in stage H2 and the others were in stage S1 (23.53%) or S2 (47.11%) in Group A2, but all patients in Group A1 were in stage S1 (8.38%) or S2 (91.62%). In Group B1, 2.04% were in stage H1, 4.08% were in stage H2, 28.57% were in stage S1, and 63.27% were in stage S2. In Group B2, 9.59% were in stage H2, 30.14% were in stage S1, and 60.27% were in stage S2. Group A1 had better stages than Group A2, Groups B1, and B2 (P=.004).

Because the factors that affect healing of ESD-induced artificial ulcers are still not completely clear, many factors may affect ulcer healing, such as smoking, the location and extent of resection, positive or negative cutting margins, presence or absence of atrophy, *H. pylori* infection, and ulcer size. To confirm whether eradication of *H. pylori* can accelerate ESD-induced artificial ulcer healing, this study compared the outcomes after successful *H. pylori* eradication in ESD-induced artificial ulcer patients with those in *H. pylori* negative patients, non-*H. pylori* eradication treatment patients, and *H. pylori* eradication failure patients. Patients were treated with standard triple therapy for *H. pylori* eradication 1 week after ESD and then took PPIs until the end of the course (4 weeks). All patients were followed up with endoscopy at 2 and 6 months after the treatment. The results indicate that successful *H. pylori* eradication can promote healing of ESD-induced artificial ulcers. This finding is consistent with some of the results from relevant literature but contrary to those of others. For example, Higuchi et al. performed a control study in patients with post-ESD artificial ulcers treated with triple therapy for 1 week and rebamipide for 7 weeks and a single PPI for 8 weeks. This study found that the healing rate of small ulcers was similar between the 2 groups and the rate of large ulcers (over 56.5 mm²) in the PPI group was significantly higher than that in the *H. pylori* eradication group. Kakushima et al. suggested that preoperative *H. pylori* eradication and the degree of atrophic gastritis had no obvious effect on post-ESD ulcer healing. Yoshizawa et al. found that the post-ESD ulcer healing rates between preoperative and postoperative triple therapy for *H. pylori* eradication with the addition of PPIs for 8 weeks were similar and not significantly different. However, our results show that early postoperative *H. pylori* eradication and PPI treatment can significantly accelerate ESD-induced artificial ulcer healing. Failed eradication might prolong the healing of these ulcers, because the healing rate in Group A2 was slower but not significantly different than those in Groups B1 and B2. This finding suggests that *H. pylori* may play a certain role in ESD-induced artificial ulcer formation. The mechanism of action of *H. pylori* in ESD-induced artificial ulcer formation may be different from that in gastric ulcer formation, which is more complicated. The sample size of this study was relatively small. In addition, the study is retrospective that may have the potential to introduce bias in the results. Therefore, we will further increase the sample size and extend the follow-up time to further summarize some relatively reasonable conclusions and to further explore the specific mechanism of action of *H. pylori* in ESD-induced artificial ulcer formation.

The study shows that the ESD artificial ulcer healing rate of the successful eradication group was faster than the others. Some related literature also shows that *H. pylori* eradication failure is still a risk factor for artificial ulcer recurrence. Furthermore, *H. pylori* is a major risk factor for the recurrence of early gastric cancer and atypical hyperplasia, and age is also one of the main factors that affect ulcer healing.

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are the first-choice treatment for ESD-induced artificial ulcers. The remaining methods are based on PPIs, which include H2 receptor antagonists or gastric mucosal protective agents. These medications can promote healing of artificial ulcers and reduce complications, such as bleeding and perforation. Most courses of treatment last for 4 to 8 weeks. Previous studies have shown that there is no significant difference in the ulcer healing rate after 4 and 8 weeks of treatment. Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are the first-choice treatment for ESD-induced artificial ulcers. The remaining methods are based on PPIs, which include H2 receptor antagonists or gastric mucosal protective agents. These medications can promote healing of artificial ulcers and reduce complications, such as bleeding and perforation. Most courses of treatment last for 4 to 8 weeks. Previous studies have shown that there is no significant difference in the ulcer healing rate after 4 and 8 weeks of treatment.

The study shows that the ESD artificial ulcer healing rate of the successful eradication group was faster than the others. Some related literature also shows that *H. pylori* eradication failure is still a risk factor for artificial ulcer recurrence. Furthermore, *H. pylori* is a major risk factor for the recurrence of early gastric cancer and atypical hyperplasia, and age is also one of the main factors that affect ulcer healing.
risk factors. Some studies have shown that eradication of H. pylori can effectively reduce the recurrence of gastric cancer and the incidence of metachronous gastric neoplasias and can promote the healing rate of artificial ulcers. Therefore, for elderly and H. pylori eradication failure patients, successful H. pylori eradication should also be the main therapeutic target to prevent lesion and artificial ulcer recurrence.

5. Conclusion

Early H. pylori eradication therapy can promote H. pylori positive ESD-induced artificial ulcer healing and can be used as the preferred treatment after ESD. But it also needs to further explore the specific mechanism of action of H. pylori in ESD-induced artificial ulcer formation.
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