Evaluation of Traumatic Spine by Magnetic Resonance Imaging and Correlation with Neurological Recovery
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Study Design: Prospective study.
Purpose: To compare magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings with clinical profile and neurological status of the patient and to correlate the MRI findings with neurological recovery of the patients and predict the outcome.
Overview of Literature: Previous studies have reported poor neurological recovery in patients with cord hemorrhage, as compared to cord edema in spine injury patients. High canal compromise, cord compression along with higher extent of cord injury also carries poor prognostic value.
Methods: Neurological status of patients was assessed at the time of admission and discharge in accordance with the American Spine Injury Association (ASIA) impairment scale. Mean stay in hospital was 14.11±5.74 days. Neurological status at admission and neurological recovery at discharge was compared with various qualitative cord findings and quantitative parameters on MRI. In 27 patients, long-term follow-up was done at mean time of 285.9±43.94 days comparing same parameters.
Results: Cord edema and normal cord was associated with favorable neurological outcome. Cord contusion showed lesser neurological recovery, as compared to cord edema. Cord hemorrhage was associated with worst neurological status at admission and poor neurological recovery. Mean canal compromise (MCC), mean spinal cord compression (MSCC) and lesion length values were higher in patients presenting with ASIA A impairment scale injury and showed decreasing trends towards ASIA E impairment scale injury. Patients showing neurological recovery had lower mean MCC, MSCC, and lesion length, as compared to patients showing no neurological recovery (p<0.05).
Conclusions: Cord hemorrhage, higher MCC, MSCC, and lesion length values have poor prognostic value in spine injury patients.
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Introduction

Spinal trauma occurs with an annual incidence of approximately 15 to 40 cases per million. It commonly results from motor vehicle accident, fall from height, community violence and workplace related injury [1]. The incidence in South Asia is around 21 per million [2]. Trauma to the spine and spinal cord is a potentially devastating injury.
The incidence of spinal cord injury (SCI) is more common in young male patients, occurring most frequently in persons between 16 and 40 years of age. Imaging studies are essential to confirm the exact location of the injury, to assess the stability of the spine, and to define the repercussion of the trauma on the diameters of the spinal canal and neural foramina. The advent of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has made it possible to accurately characterize the underlying SCI even when radiographs and computerized tomography (CT) scan are normal. MRI, with superior tissue characterization, provides the best evaluation of soft tissue pathology and is essentially the only direct evaluation of the spinal cord and nerve roots. Several types of traumatic spinal cord lesions can be found: intramedullary hemorrhage, spinal cord contusion/edema, extrinsic compression by a bone fragment or a traumatic disc herniation, and even complete transection of the cord [3]. MRI helps in predicting neurological recovery. Neurological recovery is usually insignificant in patients with intramedullary hemorrhage or cord transection, whereas patients with cord edema or contusion may significantly recover from neurological dysfunction [4]. Plain radiographs, and even multidetector computed tomography, do not rule out injury to the spinal cord [5]. Quantitative measurements for evaluating spinal trauma like mean canal compromise (MCC), mean spinal cord compression (MSCC), and lesion length of cord are also important in predicting the severity of damage and predicting recovery [6]. The aim of our study was to compare MRI findings with clinical profile and neurological status of the patient and to correlate the MRI findings with neurological recovery of the patients and predict the outcome.

**Materials and Methods**

Fifty-seven patients of acute SCI were included in the study from among the patients reporting within 72 hours of the injury for treatment at Department of Radiodiagnosis in Pandit Bhagwat Dayal Sharma Post Graduate Institute of Medical Sciences (Rohtak, India) between the periods of January 2012 to May 2014. Prior written informed consent was obtained from each patient after explaining the procedure, risks and benefits. The included patients were with either injury on radiograph or radiographically normal patients with neurologic deficit. All the patients underwent X-ray, and MRI examinations and 36 patients underwent CT scans as well, within 72 hours of injury. The neurological status of the patients was compared at admission and discharge and correlated with the MRI findings. The mean period of stay in hospital was 14.11±5.74 days. In 27 patients, the long-term follow-up was done at a mean time of 285.9±43.94 days comparing the same parameters.

1. **Inclusion criteria**

All patients of acute spine injury or suspected SCI with neurological deficits presenting within 72 hours of injury were included.

2. **Exclusion criteria**

   (1) Patients presenting after 72 hours of injury. (2) Patients with non-traumatic cause for SCI. (3) Non-cooperative patients. (4) Medically unstable patients. (5) Patients with previous implanted metallic devices. (6) Patients with claustrophobia, pacemakers, and cochlear implants. (7) Patients presenting with previous neurological deficits. (8) Fractures in pathological bone.

Patient assessment was done by taking detailed history of the patient in a chronological order. Thorough general physical examination and neurological examination of the patient was performed at the time of admission and at the time of discharge according to international guidelines. All the patients were given methyl prednisolone and scanned just after resuscitation. Twenty-one patients were managed by surgical decompression and pedicle screw fixation for spine stability after initial spine immobilization. Others were managed conservatively with spine immobilization using braces. Patients were placed in various groups based on American Spinal Injury Association (ASIA) impairment scale [4,7]. Change in ASIA impairment scale toward lower grade between admission and discharge was considered neurological recovery.

3. **Qualitative cord changes**

The abnormal signal if seen from spinal cord on MRI of the patients was divided into three categories. (1) Cord hemorrhage with edema: seen as low signal intensity images surrounded by thin rim of high signal intensity edema on T2-weighted image (T2WI) and heterogeneous signal on T1-weighted image (T1WI) within 24 hours which changes to heterogeneous signal pattern on T2WI...
between 48 hours to 1 week (Fig. 1A). (2) Cord contusion with edema: seen as area of intermediate to low intensity signal on T2WI surrounded by thick rim of high signal intensity edema on T2WI and normal signal intensity on T1WI, the signal persists in subacute period (Fig. 1B). (3) Cord edema only: seen as uniform high signal intensity on T2WI and normal intensity on T1WI (Fig. 1C) [8].

Quantitative parameters assessed spinal trauma were also evaluated by quantitative criteria of MCC, MSCC, and lesion length of the cord.

\[ MCC = \left(1 - \frac{Di}{Da+Db} \right) \times 100 \]

Di is the mid sagittal diameter of canal at injury level and Da and Db is the mid sagittal diameter of canal one segment above and below the injury level. T1WI was used to calculate MCC (Fig. 2A).

\[ MSCC = \left(1 - \frac{di}{da+db} \right) \times 100 \]

di is the mid sagittal diameter of cord at injury site and da and db is the diameter at one segment above and below, respectively. T2WI was used to calculate the values for MSCC (Fig. 2B). In some cases where the injury was seen at the terminal end of cord the only da was taken instead of average value of da and db. MSCC taken as nil when the injury was at the level below the termination of cord and no injury was seen in cord. MCC and MSCC were taken as percentage values.

Lesion length was measured in millimeters as the length of abnormal signal from cord in craniocaudal direction on T2WI (Fig. 2C). This included signals from cord edema, cord contusion, and cord hemorrhage [6,9]. MRI scans were done within 72 hours of injury in all cases in a machine with superconducting magnet of 1.5-Tesla strength; patients were examined in supine position with quiet breathing. Four-millimeter slice thickness was used for images. Field of view (FOV) for sagittal images was 325 mm and flip angle (FA) was 90 degree. FOV for axial image was 225 mm and FA was 90 degree. Coronal images were acquired if required with FOV of 325 mm and FA of 90 degree.

4. Statistical methods

All the statistical analyses and computation were per-
formed using SPSS ver. 10.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The correlative studies were assessed statistically by applying Pearson chi-square test for qualitative parameters and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for quantitative parameters. The statistical significance level accepted was $p<0.05$.

**Results**

1. Epidemiology

Out of 57 patients, 42 (73.7%) were males and 15 (26.3%) were females. Male to female ratio was 2.8:1. Majority of patients were in age group of 21–40 years (54%). Mean age of patient was $35.39\pm13.91$ years. The mean time taken to perform MRI was $29.5\pm12.58$ hours after injury. The level injury was shown in Table 1. In 55 patients (96.4%), the cause of injury was either fall from height (50.9%) or road side accident (45.6%). One patient had a history of fall of weight on patient (1.8%) and one with assault (1.8%).

2. Neurological status

SCI was grouped into five categories based on the ASIA impairment scale at the time of admission. Most common presentation was ASIA A impairment scale injury in 43.9% of patients (Table 2).

3. Radiographic injury

Out of total 57 patients, 49 (86%) showed fracture or dislocation on the X-ray. Eight patients (14%) had normal X-rays and were included in SCI without radiographic abnormality group.

4. Spinal cord changes

Most common lesion seen was cord edema in 15 (26.3%); cord hemorrhage and cord contusion was seen in 13 pa-
tients (22.8%) each. Normal cord was seen in 16 patients (28.1%) (Table 2).

5. Correlation of qualitative parameters of cord with neurological status at admission

Cord changes were correlated with the initial neurological status at the time of admission. In all the patients with cord hemorrhage, initial neurological status was ASIA A. Nine patients (69.2%) having contusion pattern on MRI had ASIA A status where as only two patients (13.3%) with only cord edema had ASIA A status at admission (Table 2). This data was statistically significant of applying Pearson chi-square test ($p<0.05$).

6. Correlation of quantitative parameters with neurological status at admission

The mean scores of MCC, MSCC, and lesion length were calculated in different neurological group according to ASIA impairment scale. The average of MCC, MSCC, and lesion length was more for complete SCI (ASIA A) than incomplete SCI (ASIA B, C, and D) and least for neurologically normal patients (ASIA E) (Table 3). The data was statistically significant on application of ANOVA with the individual $p<0.05$ as shown in Table 3.

7. Neurological recovery

Thirty-six percent of patients with complete SCI (ASIA A) showed some level of improvement in their neurological status over the period of hospital stay; whereas, all the patients with incomplete SCI (ASIA B, C, and D) showed some improvement (Table 4).

Only two patients (15.4%) with cord hemorrhage in initial MRI showed improvement in neurological status. In patients with cord contusion pattern, improvement in neurological status was seen in nine patients (69.2%); and in patients with cord edema only, improvement was seen in 13 patients (86.7%) (Table 5). On long-term follow-up in 27 patients, similar trend was observed as cord hemorrhage pattern showed no neurological recovery even on long-term follow-up and contusion showed recovery in four patients (57.1%) (Table 6).

Mean MCC value for patients showing no improvement in neurological grade was 52.88%±21.86% and the mean MCC value in patients showing improvement was 35.42%±22.94%. Mean MSCC value in patients showing no improvement was 40.44%±29.45% and for the patients showing improvement mean MSCC value was

### Table 2. Neurological status of patients with different cord findings (n=57)

| Cord finding                        | No. of patients | American Spine Injury Association impairment scale | p-value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Cord hemorrhage with edema          | 13              | A(13 (100)) B(0) C(0) D(0) E(0)                  | <0.001  |
| Cord contusion with edema           | 13              | A(9 (69.2)) B(2 (15.4)) C(2 (15.4)) D(0) E(0)   | <0.001  |
| Only cord edema                     | 15              | A(2 (13.3)) B(5 (33.3)) C(5 (33.3)) D(2 (13.3)) E(1 (6.7)) | 0.032   |
| Normal cord                         | 16              | A(1 (6.0)) B(4 (25.0)) C(4 (25.0)) D(7 (43.7)) E(0) | <0.001  |

Values are presented as number (%).

### Table 3. Mean MCC, MSCC, and lesion length value with the neurological status

| Quantitative parameter | American Spine Injury Association impairment scale | p-value |
|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------|
| MCC                    | A(49.32±24.1) B(27.29±15.9) C(39.09±21.3) D(26.83±24.3) E(20.63±24.3) | 0.011   |
| MSCC                   | A(30.72±28.2) B(12.57±15.6) C(18.73±18.2) D(5.0±12.2) E(1.75±4.9) | 0.009   |
| Lesion length          | A(74.2±58.1) B(37.14±39.5) C(13.0±16.5) D(5.5±8.9) E(2.8±5.3) | <0.001  |

Values are presented mean±standard deviation.

MCC, mean canal compromise; MSCC, mean spinal cord compression.
13.48%±16.01%. Mean lesion length in patients showing no improvement in neurological status was 94.9±62.53 mm and the mean values of lesion length for the group showing improvement was 23.39±26.34 mm (Table 7). On long-term follow-up in 27 patients, higher value of MCC, MSCC, and lesion length was seen on initial MRI in patients showing no neurological recovery even on long-term follow-up, as compared to patients showing...
The male to female ratio of our study was 2.8:1. Singh et al. [11] in their study of epidemiology of spinal trauma patients in the same region as ours had observed the male to female ratio of 2.9:1.

Agarwal et al. [12] also reported the most common age group as 20–39 years. In their study Singh et al. [11] had a mean age of 35.4 years. Katzberg et al. [13] had a mean age of 37.8 years in spinal trauma patients in their study. Chiu et al. [14] reported most common cause of injury in developing world, as fall from height followed by road side accident. In developed countries, the most common cause is road side accident followed by fall from height.

The most common neurological status was ASIA A in about 25 patients (43.9%). Similar results were reported by Parashari et al. [15] who reported 41.93% patients in ASIA A group; Andreoli et al. [16] reported 42% in group ASIA A and Ramon et al. [17] reported 51%.

The distribution of patients in different group as per ASIA impairment scale in our study showed that the most common neurological status as ASIA A (43.9%), followed by ASIA C (19.3%). Least common neurological status was ASIA D (10.5%). Parashari et al. [15] reported most common neurological status as ASIA A (41.9%), followed by ASIA D (27.4%); however, Mahmood et al. [18] and Flanders et al. [19] had ASIA D (42.15%) and ASIA E (30.8%) as the most common group, respectively, in their study.

Pattern described in our study was similar to patterns described by Ramon et al. [17], Mahmood et al. [18], and Bondurant et al. [20]. However, the cord lesion described by Kulkarni et al. [4] comprised of type I: as T2WI showing large area of hypointensity surrounded by thin rim of hyper intensity and T1WI as inhomogeneous signals; type II: as central hyperintensity surrounded by hyper intensity on T2WI and normal intensity on T1WI; type III: as small area of central hypointensity surrounded by thick hyperintensity.

In our study, 13 patients (22.8%) had cord hemorrhage; 13 (22.8%) had cord contusion; 15 (26.3%) had cord edema and 16 (28.1%) had normal cord on MRI. Cord abnormality was seen in 47 patients (75.8%) out of 62 patients by Parashari et al. [15] and in 19 patients (70%) out of total 27 patients by Kulkarni et al. [4].

Miyajji et al. [6] observed that patients with complete SCI (ASIA A) had more MCC ($p=0.005$), MSCC ($p=0.002$), and lesion length ($p=0.005$), as compared to incomplete SCI or neurologically normal status. Although the final outcome of neurological recovery was more strongly correlated to the spinal cord compression than canal compromise. Haar et al. [10] also found a positive correlation between the complete SCI on Frankel grade with the MCC ($p=0.009$), MSCC ($p=0.008$), and Lesion Length ($p=0.001$). Similar results were reported by Furlan et al. [21].

The patients with ASIA A impairment scale showed improvement in 36% of cases only; whereas, all the patients in ASIA B, C, and D grade of injury showed some level of improvement.

Parashari et al. [15] reported that out of total 62 patients in their study; 30.65% of patients showed improvement and 43.5% of patients showed no improvement; furthermore, 23% of the patients with ASIA A grade injury improved in their study. Harrop et al. [22] reported that 7% of ASIA A impairment scale patients had improvement, whereas 94% of cases in ASIA D category had some improvement. Rao et al. [23] reported that none of the patients with ASIA A impairment scale neurological injury showed improvement and all the patients of group ASIA D improved.

We observed that out of 13 patients with cord hemorrhage with edema pattern, only two patients (15.45%) had shown improvement ($p<0.001$); out of 13 patients with cord contusion, nine patients (69.2%) had shown improvement ($p=0.028$) and out of 14 patients with cord edema only with neurological deficit at admission, 13 patients (86.2%) had shown improvement over the period of hospital stay ($p=0.045$).

Ramon et al. [17], Bondurant et al. [20], Mahmood et al. [18], and Tewari et al. [24] had reported that cord hemorrhage was associated with poor neurological outcome, as compared to contusion or edema pattern. Rao et al. [23] in their study also observed that the 84% of patients of edema less than three segments long had shown improvement, as compared to 16.6% of patients with improvement of edema more than three segments involved.

Haar et al. [10] also concluded that the lesion length ($p=0.011$) and MSCC ($p=0.063$) are predictors of poor neurological recovery. Miyajji et al. [6], Fehlings et al. [25] and Rao et al. [23] also studied the quantitative variables and their relationship with neurological status and found...
a significant correlation between the poor neurological recovery and severity of MSCC and lesion length.

**Conclusions**

MRI is an excellent available tool for depicting the changes within the injured spine. It allows the radiologist to directly evaluate the soft tissue of spine like ligaments and disc in a case of trauma. It differentiates between spinal cord edema, contusion and hemorrhage, each of which has different prognostic implications for the patients. Cord edema and normal cord in a case of injury is associated with favorable neurological outcome. Cord contusion shows lesser neurological recovery, as compared to cord edema and normal cord. Cord hemorrhage is associated with complete SCI and poor neurological recovery. MRI is also the modality of choice to assess the extent of cord lesion, as well as amount of canal compromise and cord compression. The severity of canal compromise, cord compression, and lesion length have a direct relationship with poor neurological outcome.
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