Non-invasive detection and localization of microplastic particles in a sandy sediment by complementary neutron and X-ray tomography
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Abstract
Purpose Microplastics have become a ubiquitous pollutant in marine, terrestrial and freshwater systems that seriously affects aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. Common methods for analysing microplastic abundance in soil or sediments are based on destructive sampling or involve destructive sample processing. Thus, substantial information about local distribution of microplastics is inevitably lost.
Methods Tomographic methods have been explored in our study as they can help to overcome this limitation because they allow the analysis of the sample structure while maintaining its integrity. However, this capability has not yet been exploited for detection of environmental microplastics. We present a bimodal 3D imaging approach capable to detect microplastics in soil or sediment cores non-destructively.

Results In a first pilot study, we demonstrate the unique potential of neutrons to sense and localize microplastic particles in sandy sediment. The complementary application of X-rays allows mineral grains to be discriminated from microplastic particles. Additionally, it yields detailed information on the 3D surroundings of each microplastic particle, which supports its size and shape determination.

Conclusion The procedure we developed is able to identify microplastic particles with diameters of approximately 1 mm in a sandy soil. It also allows characterisation of the shape of the microplastic particles as well as the microstructure of the soil and sediment sample as depositional background information. Transferring this approach to environmental samples presents the opportunity to gain insights of the exact distribution of microplastics as well as their past deposition, deterioration and translocation processes.
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1 Introduction

Microplastics (MPs) are present not only in marine environments but also in lakes and rivers (Blair et al. 2017), the latter also acting as major sources of MPs to the oceans (Schmidt et al. 2017). Due to their ubiquitous presence in marine, terrestrial and freshwater systems, MPs are an environmental pollutant of substantial concern and represent an urgent challenge for research (Rochman 2018). In river water, MP concentrations are typically present in an order of several particles per cubic metre (Horton et al. 2017), but much higher values can also be found (Koelmans et al. 2019), up to around 10,000 MP particles per cubic metre close to the surface in an urban watercourse (Schmidt et al. 2018). The density differences of MPs to water make them float or sink in the water column. The ones lighter than water tend to float and be transported away from their source, with the potential to be ultimately deposited downstream or downwind at river banks and lake shores. In contrast, particles denser than water tend to sink and be deposited in river or lake beds close to their source, at least initially. However, there are several additional processes...
influencing the net buoyancy such as attachment of biofilms or gas bubbles or ageing. Thus, MPs lighter than water can be found in bottom sediments, e.g. up to about 9000 pieces foamed polystyrene per square metre (Sagawa et al. 2018). While there is no generally accepted definition of the upper and lower size limit of MP, a common definition is that MP is smaller than 5 mm and larger than 1 μm (Frias and Nash 2019). The size range from 1 to 5 mm in diameter can be called large MPs. The current lower size limit for identification is in the range between 20 and 100 μm (Frias and Nash 2019) and this implies that currently mainly medium to large MP particles can be detected. Sediment samples are usually taken by a grab sampler, spade or corer and then destructively processed, mainly including volume reduction via net collection or sieving and density separation or filtration before detection of MP (Prata et al. 2019).

Common methods for identification of medium to large MP particles after extraction and processing are optical inspection, sometimes together with a needle test or similar (Masura et al. 2015; Willis et al. 2017; Silva et al. 2018), attenuated total reflection-Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) (Löder and Gerdts 2015; Renner et al. 2019), thermoanalytical methods such as pyrolysis with subsequent gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Fischer and Scholz-Böttcher 2017; Käppler et al. 2018) or thermal extraction-desorption gas chromatography mass spectrometry (TED-GC-MS) (Dümichen et al. 2017), or using near infrared imaging (Schmidt et al. 2018; Corradini et al. 2019). For detecting smaller MPs, a recent comparative study tested measurement results of different methods (Müller et al. 2020). Furthermore, optical analysis of destructively sampled soil material can provide information on presence of MPs, e.g. PET and LDPE (by Fourier-transformed infrared spectroscopy) or PE, PP, PS, PET and PVC (by near infrared spectroscopy in combination with chemometrics), however, requiring MP abundance to be at or above 1% by weight (Hahn et al. 2019; Paul et al. 2019).

Recent studies have shown that MPs are found in significant concentrations at lake shores and in rivers and bed sediments. For example, in river banks, MPs have been found at an abundance of hundreds to several thousand pieces per square metre, and showing large scatter (Castañeda et al. 2014; Dris et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2017). While the size of MP particles is an important property, for example by influencing deposition processes (Blair et al. 2019), it can only be retrieved by some of the detection methods. Also, the mass fraction has been found to strongly increase with MP size (Klein et al. 2015). In river bed sediments, MP particles in the medium to large MP size ranging from about 0.1 to 5.0 mm have been reported to be about 1000 particles per kilogramme dry weight of sediment (Frei et al. 2019). The methods used are destructive, laborious and struggle with differentiating MP from natural material, and thus provide only limited insights.

Studies on quantitative identification of MPs in soil are still rare (Bläsing and Amelung 2018) although it can strongly affect soil properties, such as bulk density and soil structure, and biological processes, such as evapotranspiration and root biomass growth (de Souza Machado et al. 2019). There seems to be a large variability in MP contents in agricultural soils depending on management practices. In one study, MPs of size > 1 mm in diameter with 0.34 particles per kilogramme dry weight, mainly foils and fragments, were found in the top 5 cm of soil at an agricultural site, though on this ploughed field no agricultural plastic had been used and neither sewage sludge applied (Pielh et al. 2018). However, in another investigation, between 7100 and 42,960 MP particles per kilogramme dry weight of soil were reported on cropped vegetable fields in China, with the majority below 1 mm and mainly consisting of fibres, where irrigation with wastewater had been applied (Zhang and Liu 2018). This also demonstrates that large differences between sites and along soil profiles can be expected based on past management with varying MP inputs.

Furthermore, the vertical distribution of MP in sediments has been investigated, though in all of these studies, to our knowledge, via destructive sampling and extraction of MPs. Typically, sections ranging from a few to 10 m were extracted from different depths from marine, beach and river sediments and analysed as a whole for their total MP content (Turra et al. 2014; Willis et al. 2017; De Ruijter et al. 2019; Frei et al. 2019). MP abundance in beach sands, for example, was around a few hundred per kilogramme dry weight in the shallow depths investigated by Besley et al. (2017) or between 5 and about 60 MP particles per kilogramme dry weight down to 40 cm depth (Kreiss 2020). Studies obtaining vertical distributions of MPs in soils seem to be lacking so far. For river, lake and marine sediments as well as soils, investigations are needed that provide sizes and shapes of MP particles, and also there should be investigations that go beyond destructive analysis and that achieve a vertical resolution down to the scale of the size of MP particles, i.e. millimetres rather than centimetres or decimetre. So far, tomography methods have rarely been used to investigate the presence and fate of MPs in the environment. X-ray microtomography was applied to study the shapes of individual MP particles after having been extracted from samples and identified with other methods (Sagawa et al. 2018). Optical coherence tomography has been applied and tested to image internalized MPs accumulated in the intestines of living *Daphnia magna* (Barroso et al. 2019).

However, tomography methods have unique capabilities and some are common investigation tools in analysis of sediments and soils. X-ray tomography (CT) is mainly used in soil physics to investigate soil structures, soil properties and root-soil interaction, or to study flow and transport processes in porous soil media (Helliwell et al. 2013; Schlüter et al. 2014). Similar applications can be found in sedimentology
and earth sciences (Duliu 1999; Fouinat et al. 2017). CT may be applied at small scales as microtomography or synchrotron tomography (Lombi and Susini 2009; Mooney et al. 2012; Keyes et al. 2017). Imaging with neutrons is used for investigating water flow, root water uptake and rhizosphere properties as 2D transmission imaging (Oswald et al. 2008; Carminati et al. 2010) or as 3D tomography (Esser et al. 2010; Moradi et al. 2011). While long acquisition times seemed to limit the application of neutron tomography (NT) to quasi-stationary situations, recent developments could yield similar information over much shorter time scales, down to seconds per tomogram (Töttke et al. 2017; Töttke et al. 2019). A third common imaging method for soils and sediments is magnetic resonance imaging, which can provide water distribution, water movement, transport of paramagnetic tracers and differences in texture and water mobility (Chen et al. 2002; Moradi et al. 2010). These imaging methods can also be combined (Oswald et al. 2015; van Veelen et al. 2018) and a recent study showed the combination of all three of them (Haber-Pohlmeier et al. 2019).

Tomographic investigation can help to identify MP particles in soils and sediments, obtain information on their shape and context, e.g. if different fragments belong together as remnants of a larger mother particle or if they are embedded in particular layers of specific texture resulting from particular events. Coring and non-invasive analysis for MP particles can even constitute a historical record of MP deposition in the past and its changes (Willis et al. 2017). That applies probably more for marine, lake and river bed sediments than for beaches, river banks and soils, where human or natural activities cause disturbances, e.g. translocation by ploughing or earthworms (Rillig et al. 2017).

Our study is the first to test a combination of neutron and X-ray tomography for the detection of MP particles in sandy sediments. A particular advantage of this imaging approach over common detection methods is that no destructive sample preparation procedures are required. By maintaining the integrity of the sediment sample during analysis, the imaging approach offers the potential to go beyond simply quantifying the number of MP particles present. This includes advanced analysis options such as detecting the 3D shape and spatial distribution of the plastic particles as well as capturing the microstructure of the sediment surrounding the MP particles. Although X-ray tomography provides excellent contrast to analyse the microstructure of sediment and soil samples, the detection of MP particles requires a complementary method, as common plastic materials are quite transparent for neutrons (e.g. attenuation coefficient polyethylene: $\mu(E = 100$ keV) = 0.16 cm$^{-1}$) (NIST 2020). On the other hand, neutrons are a sensitive probe for MPs as they are strongly attenuated by common plastic materials (e.g. neutron attenuation coefficient of polyethylene: $\mu(\lambda = 3$ Å) = 6.6 cm$^{-1}$) (NIST 2020). The basic concept is to use the different contrast behaviour of these imaging modalities to clearly identify MP particles and to gain additional information about the microstructure of the sediment surrounding them. This approach can achieve an unprecedented vertical resolution and the information gained can crucially support the understanding of the depositional context of MP particles. For example, the identification of local cracks or macropores could explain the preferential deposition of plastic particles in respective regions of the sediment sample. The abundance of MPs found in soils and sediments in the environment, at least for substantially polluted sites, makes it likely that a few MP particles can be expected in cored sediment or soil samples. For this scenario, we have developed this non-destructive measurement approach to provide an option for reconstructing MP deposition in the past and investigate deposition and translocation processes.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Sample preparation

To test the feasibility of detecting MPs, a sand column containing a known number of MP particles was prepared in a boron-free glass cylinder to enable the use of neutron and X-ray tomography on the same sample. The dimensions of the container were diameter 20 mm and height 100 mm. The bottom half of the container was filled with quartz sand (type FH 31, Quartzwerke Frechen/Germany, well-sorted medium sand size fraction), which is considered a simple surrogate of a natural sandy soil or sediment in a surface water course (Fig. 1a). Five small almost rectangular pieces about 1 mm in width were cut from the disposable security ring band of a polyethylene (PE) bottle screw cap and embedded into the sand. In the next step, a cardboard disc was used as separator covering the bottom sand compartment before the upper half was filled with thermally treated FH31 sand. The thermal treatment (3 h at 800 °C) was supposed to eliminate potential organic matter present in the sand. Finally, six similar-shaped (PE) particles with a size of roughly 1 mm (Fig. 1b and c) were embedded in the sand of the upper compartment. Afterwards, the container was closed at the top using aluminium tape.

2.2 Dual-mode neutron and X-ray imaging

Complementary imaging experiments were performed at the Helmholtz Centre Berlin for Energy and Materials (HZB) in Berlin, Germany. Neutron images were captured at the tomography station CONRAD II, which was supplied with cold neutrons by the research reactor BER II via a curved neutron guide (Kardjilov et al. 2016). The neutron detector system was equipped with a 100-μm-thick $^{6}$LiZnS:Ag scintillator 16-bit sCMOS camera (Andor “Neo”) in combination with a Nikon photo lens (focus 60 mm, aperture 1:2.8). The neutron beam...
collimation ratio L/D was set to 250. A total number of 500 radiographs with an exposure time of 19 s each and a resolution of 39 μm/pixel were taken while the sample was stepwise rotated between images over an angular range of 180°. The acquisition time for the entire scan was 3 h and 14 min.

X-ray computed tomography was performed using a laboratory μCT scanner with a cone beam geometry. The major components of the scanner were a micro-focus X-ray source (type L8121-03, Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan), operated with an acceleration voltage and current set to 90 kV and 111 μA, respectively, and a flat panel detector (type C7942SK-05 Hamamatsu Photonics, Hamamatsu, Japan). The latter had 2316 × 2316 pixels with pixel size 50 μm × 50 μm. The source object distance of 216 mm and the source detector distance of 300 mm resulted in an image resolution of 35 μm/pixel and a corresponding field of view of 81 mm × 81 mm. Nine hundred radiographic projections were recorded via a sample manipulation stage over an angular range of 360°. Three frames with 0.6 s exposure time were taken at each angular step and a median image calculated to improve the statistics of the projection. The acquisition time for the entire scan was about 1 h.

Neutron and X-ray radiographs (projection images) were corrected by flatfield and darkfield images. Tomograms were reconstructed using filtered back algorithms implemented in the software Octopus (Inside Matters, Gent/Belgium) and IDL (Harris Geospatial Solutions, Broomfield, USA). A 3D non-local mean filter efficiently reduced the noise of the image data. The neutron and X-ray tomograms were registered using the software ImageJ. Resolution, field of view and 3D orientation of the volume data sets were matched manually to keep full control during the registration procedure, similar to the procedure in Haber-Pohlmeier et al. (2019). 3D rendering and data analysis of 3D volumes were performed using the software VGSTUDIO MAX (Volume Graphics, Heidelberg, Germany).

3 Results
3.1 Identification of potential microplastics by neutron tomography

Through an NT scan, the attenuation property for each point of a sample can be reconstructed using mathematical algorithms (Kardjilov et al. 2018). Our measured sand column contained a known number of polyethylene particles to explore and demonstrate the feasibility of this non-invasive imaging approach. Figure 2 shows three different 3D representations of the sand sample. To start with, the rendering settings were adjusted such that only the outer shape of the sample became visible, i.e. the glass container sealed with aluminium...
tape (Fig. 2a). For rendering, we used a ramp function that varied the opacity between zero and one as indicated by the red line in the histogram (Fig. 2d). In the next step, the least attenuating components of the sample appear transparent in the 3D representation because they were rendered transparent to reveal the more attenuating particles including also the cardboard divider disc (Fig. 2b). Subsequently, a segmentation threshold was introduced at $\mu = 2.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to select only the most attenuating sample components (Fig. 2f and Fig. 6). The selection contained the plastic particles (six pieces in the top, five in the bottom half of the sand column as described in the “Sample preparation” section) but also a number of additional particles in the sand matrix that attenuated neutrons in a similar strong manner (Fig. 2c). This indicates that all MP particles present in the sediment were marked as potential MP by neutron tomography, which would not be possible by just using X-ray CT. However, the analysis solely based on neutron attenuation coefficients remains ambiguous to some extent. This problem can be solved by using complementary X-ray tomography revealing further distinguishing features and gaining complementary information on local structure and composition of a sample as demonstrated in the next step.

### 3.2 Discrimination of potential microplastics by X-ray computed tomography

We performed an X-ray scan of the sand sample, reconstructed the 3D sample volume and registered the two modalities, which facilitated the evaluation of the individual attenuation properties for each point of a sample for both neutrons and X-rays. The complementary character of the registered image data facilitates the identification and segmentation of the individual sample components and helps to reveal distribution and shape of potential MP particles in 3D and to study their embedding in the sand matrix (Fig. 3a). The 2D cross-sectional views presented for X-rays (Fig. 3b) and neutrons (Fig. 3c) illustrate well the complementary character of the two imaging modalities. MP particles and the cardboard material are clearly visible in the neutron images (bright pixels) while the contrast for the sand particles is rather low. On the other hand, the X-ray image provides excellent mineral contrast necessary to

![Fig. 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)

**Fig. 2** 3D-rendered neutron tomographic images of the sand sample containing a few MP particles. **a** Perspective view showing the shape of the sample. The corresponding histogram including rendering settings (opacity shown as red line) is displayed in **d**. **b** Interior compounds with higher neutron attenuation are revealed by modified opacity setting displayed in **e**. **c** Potential MP particles are selected by setting a segmentation threshold at the attenuation coefficient $\mu = 2.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, as illustrated in the histogram **f**
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analyse the microstructural features of sand, but MP particles and the cardboard structure appear only as gaps in the sand matrix. Some particles, e.g. the one labelled with “2”, strongly attenuate both neutrons and X-rays, i.e. appear bright in Fig. 3b and c, indicating that they are non-plastics. Figure 3d displays a bivariate histogram plotted for a sub-volume containing a plastic particle labelled with “1” and mineral particle labelled with “2”. It illustrates the benefit of combining neutron and X-ray tomography as the registered information about the bimodal attenuation characteristics facilitates the identification and segmentation of different components in the sample (Kaestner et al. 2017).

In addition to the particles “1” and “2”, the bulk sand contains a third group of voxels visible in the lower right part of the histogram. These voxels seem to contain metallic components strongly attenuating X-rays but neutrons only weakly (neutron attenuation coefficients ranging from 0.1 cm\(^{-1}\) < \(\mu\) < 0.5 cm\(^{-1}\)). Now we can define a two-step procedure to identify and select just the MP particles. First, particles are identified by the neutron measurement as potential MP particles. The corresponding histogram of potential MP particles (Fig. 4a) confirms that these particles differ in their X-ray attenuation coefficients. Therefore, secondly, a threshold is set at \(\mu = 0.65\) cm\(^{-1}\) in order to discard the more attenuating non-plastic particles. Voxels above the threshold are excluded and only voxels with lower attenuation than this threshold are assigned to belong to an MP particle. Resulting MP particles are rendered in green in the 3D representation (Fig. 4b). The number of identified MP particles matches exactly the number of MP particles added during sample preparation: six in the upper and five in the lower sand compartment (Fig. 1b). This procedure was equally successful for both the thermally treated sand and the non-treated sand with natural content of organic matter. Furthermore, size and shape of particles are in good agreement with the light-microscopic measurement of the MPs (Fig. 4c). To further check the result, we tracked down one MP particle and one discarded particle in the stack of tomographic 2D slices as illustrated in Fig. 5 as examples for detailed consideration. The magnified inset proves that the discarded one is a sand particle (see red-coloured region of interest (ROI) in Fig. 5b, top row). However, this particle seemed to have a specific elementary composition, which
led to its distinct attenuation characteristic. It attenuated both probes’ X-rays and neutrons while the majority of sand particles interacted only weakly with neutrons. The detected sand grain may have contained some boron, gadolinium or cadmium compounds. The cross-sectional view on the MP particle (Fig. 5c) reveals an apparent cavity in the sand matrix of about 1 mm as proved by the red-marked ROI. Due to the low contrast between polyethylene and air, it is not possible to determine the outer contour of the MP particle directly in the X-ray image, but only the shape of the total void in respect to the sand matrix. Nevertheless, the size of this void is a valuable information for the accurate determination of the MP particle size.

3.3 Determination of MP particle size

The detection of MP particles by NT relies on a threshold-based voxel-wise analysis of attenuation properties of sediment samples. At the edges of the particles, partial volume effects impair the reconstruction of local attenuation coefficients. This causes a blurriness of the particle edges that depends on the resolution limit of the tomography. Figure 6a highlights the influence of the selected threshold value on the detected particle size thus illustrating the challenge of correctly reproducing the true particle fringe in the tomographic image. An appropriate strategy for determining the accurate MP particle size is to adjust the segmentation threshold iteratively such that the MP particles fit into the corresponding pores of the sand matrix. This is achieved when the margins of the MP particle have at least one contact point but should not overlap with the surrounding sand particles. A well-adjusted segmentation threshold ($\mu = 2.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) is indicated by the red-bordered ROI for particle “2” in the horizontal and vertical cross section shown in Fig. 6b and c. Using this threshold, the MP particle volume was calculated and represented as diameter of a volume-equivalent sphere and the
cumulative distribution plotted in Fig. 6d. Particle sizes range around 1 mm with $D_{\text{min}} = 0.91$ mm and $D_{\text{max}} = 1.09$ mm. The accuracy of the size determination depends on the size of the particles (with smaller ones having higher relative errors) and the physical spatial resolution of the method. In the present study, the relative error is estimated to be 5%.

4 Discussion

The experimental results have shown that a non-destructive detection of MP particles in sandy sediment or soil cores is possible. While neutron tomography was the key step in detecting MPs as hydrogen-rich particles, complementary X-ray tomography analysis enabled the unambiguous identification as MP particles. This tomography approach goes beyond a mere numerical identification and provides further valuable information. The general shape of each particle could be correctly detected as well as its basic size (Fig. 6). Complementary tomographic information about the sand matrix was gained from the X-ray tomography to allow for a precise adjustment of the segmentation threshold in the neutron images, which decreased uncertainty of particle size determination to an approximated error of ±5%. The position and orientation of each MP particle can be identified that is primarily not only its depth below the sample surface, but also the distance to other MP particles and structures in the sediment or soil, here for example the cardboard layer. Moreover, the X-ray tomography provides detailed information on the 3D surrounding of each MP particle and could be used to determine local grain size distribution and porosity (Naveed et al. 2013; Evans et al. 2015). The sample size is limited by the transmission capacity of the neutron and X-ray beam. The maximum diameter for a tomographic measurement with reasonable contrast depends on the elementary composition of the sediment or soil core, since this composition determines the total attenuation of the sample.

Another important point is the spatial resolution needed to detect smaller MP particles. The principal detection limit for MP particles corresponds to the resolution capacity of the neutron tomographic measurements. Recent advances have improved the physical spatial resolution down to a few
micrometres (Tengattini et al. 2020). At this high resolution, however, the size of the field of view and thus the sample size that can be examined shrinks down to a few millimetres. To find a reasonable compromise between sample size and spatial resolution, the actual size of MP particles to be detected has to be taken into account. Provided the sediment sample contains only moderately attenuating components, sample diameters of up to 6 cm seem possible for the detection of larger MP particles (> 1 mm). For smaller MP particles (0.05 mm < D < 1 mm), realistic sample core diameters range rather between 1 and 5 cm. Note, the smaller the particles to be detected, the more important the precision of the registration procedure becomes. As the detection of plastic particles relies on the sensitivity of neutrons to hydrogen as constituents of the plastic compounds, the method is able to detect most common plastic materials except for polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE), which contains no hydrogen. However, it does not provide information to distinguish between types of plastics.

In this pilot study, the combination of neutron and X-ray tomography was presented as a unique approach to study MP in soil and sediment samples. Unlike most commonly used methods, it is not only suitable for determining the number of particles and classifying their size and shape, but also provides high-resolution information on the spatial distribution of the MP particles. The complementary application of neutrons and X-rays ensures sensitivity and robustness to detect even small MP particles down to the spatial resolution of the two methods, which is less than 100 μm. Most importantly, the tomographic analysis of real environmental samples would allow for studying the detailed relative positioning of all detected MP particles as well as the microstructure of the intact sediment or soil core promising new insights into the depositional context of the MP particles. This may promote a better understanding how the deposition of MPs influences the microstructure of the soil or sediment and vice versa. The deposition of MP particles could lead to structural changes that have significant consequences for the hydraulic properties of the sampled soil. For example, preferential deposition of MP particles in soil macrospores may result in clogging of efficient water pathways through soil layers. Furthermore, MP
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**Fig. 6** Determination of segmentation threshold for the MP particle size analysis. **a** Impact of segmentation threshold on the particle size illustrated for a selected MP particle. Particle shapes for an exemplary selection of segmentation thresholds (1-4) are displayed as ROIs in the cross-sectional X-ray images in **b** and **c**. Setting a segmentation threshold of μ = 2.8 cm⁻¹, the cumulative MP particle size distribution was calculated from the neutron image and plotted in **d**.
particles deposited in pores and surface interstices may significantly affect the soil-water contact angle and thus the wettability and water holding capacity of soil. Only through methods providing high spatial resolution, such as the tomography approach presented here, that enable analysis beyond bulk samples, will it be possible to better understand the deposition of MPs and implications of their presence for sediment and soil properties and their hydroecology.

Clearly, there is a need to test this tomography approach in the future with real environmental samples and subsequently refine it, which may also result in different procedures adapted to measurement of soils, beach and river bank or river bed, lake bed and marine sediments. One challenge is the analysis of soils or sediments containing natural organic matter. A potential approach could be to treat the sample, as is often the case in existing analyses on MPs, e.g. with hydrogen peroxide or enzyme cocktails, to degrade and flush out organic matter before drying and imaging. Another option could be an additional treatment for staining natural organic matter with an X-ray contrast agent, to discriminate them from MPs in the X-ray CTs. Finally, thresholds may also be adjusted or the internal structure of larger particles visualized to help discrimination of natural organic matter from MPs. For future measurements, it is also promising to apply segmentation algorithms based on artificial intelligence. Since initially only a small number of data are available, classification procedures such as random forest (machine learning) are preferred. At a later stage, when a large amount of training data are available, neural networks (deep learning) can also be used to identify MP particles and to discriminate non-plastics such as organic matter. These algorithms are particularly promising as they not only take the local attenuation properties of both imaging modalities into account but also recognize specific shapes of structures. This appears to be of great benefit for identifying specifically shaped MPs such as fragments of foils or fibres. Furthermore, shape recognition can certainly be of great assistance when it comes to discriminating specific organic matter such as remnants of plant roots, snails or shells.

5 Conclusions

The combined tomography method presented here is a first approach to identify and characterize some aspects of MP particles in undisturbed cores taken from sediments or soils. Our study has demonstrated the detection of MP particles in the millimetre size range. However, the method has the potential to identify MP particles down to at least 100 µm as the detection limit depends mainly on the chosen spatial resolution of the tomography. The non-invasive character of the method offers a valuable opportunity to quantify not only the MP abundance, but also the spatial distribution of MP particles and the microstructure of the sediment or soil sample itself. As soon as this approach can be transferred to environmental samples, there is not only enormous potential to gain insights into the exact distribution of MPs deposited in past events (e.g. floods) or by direct human intervention (e.g. irrigation with waste water), but also possible mechanical translocation or bioturbation processes.
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