Cluster Optimization in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks Based on Memetic Algorithm: memeHoc
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In mobile ad hoc networks (MANETs), the topology differs very often due to mobile nodes (MNs). The flat network organization has high topology maintenance messages overload. To reduce this message overload in MANET, clustering organizations are recommended. Grouping MANET into MNs has the advantage of controlling congestion and easily repairing the topology. When the MANET size is large, clustered MN partitioning is a multiobjective optimization problem. Several evolutionary algorithms such as genetic algorithms (GAs) are used to divide MANET into clusters. GAs suffer from premature convergence. In this article, a clustering algorithm based on a memetic algorithm (MA) is proposed. MA uses local exploration techniques to reduce the likelihood of early convergence. The optimal clusters in MANET can be achieved using MA for dynamic load balancing. In this work, the network is considered a graph G (V, E), where V represents MN and E represent the communication links of the neighboring MNs. The aim of this study is to find the cluster head (CH) as early as possible when needed. High-quality individuals are selected for the new population in the next generation. New individuals are generated using the crossover mechanism on the chromosome once the two parents have been selected. Data are communicated via CHs between other clusters. The proposed technique is compared with existing techniques such as DGAC, MobHiD, and EMPSO. The proposed technique overcomes the state-of-the-art clustering schemes in terms of cluster counting, reaffiliation rate, cluster life, and overload of control messages.

1. Introduction

A wireless sensor network for the Internet of things MANET is the set of MNs capable to share data with their neighbors. The MNs may generate their own data, or they may be received from other neighbors. Several standard technologies, for example, IEEE 802.15.4, ultrawideband, IEEE 802.15.3 [1], IEEE 802.11 [2], and Bluetooth [3] support MANET. MANET permits to construct a short-term MANET for instant communication deprived of some fixed structures. MANET may be used for managing different applications, for example, rescue, flood monitoring, border monitoring, disaster management, and battle field communication. The clustering algorithms
perform well when the MANET size becomes larger compared to flat MANET regardless of routing method adopted [4]. The scalability issue in flat MANET is very critical with a large number of node, and the nodes are moving in some directions. Once the number of MNs in MANET with flat routing structure is $x$, the complexity of proactive routing scheme will be $O(x^2)$ [5]. When the number of MNs in the MANET grow, the routing overhead grows accordingly which can be calculated as the square the number of MNs. The re-active routing algorithms also cause route setup delay when we increase the number of MNs in a MANET. The flooding route request (RREQ) packets issue may also arise. Hence, to accomplish elementary performance assurance in sized MANET, a hierarchal organization is mandatory [6]. The classic implementation of hierarchal design is the clustering structure. The selection of optimal CHs is an NP-hard problem [7].

Designing a clustering algorithm able to route information with little effort is a demand of the day in MANET research. Clustering is an important paradigm, and its importance can be stated in two ways. Firstly, network management can be carried out effectively via the clustering algorithm. A typical MANET comprises more than hundred or even thousand MNs. In flat MANET structure, needless packets are initiated [8]. The scalability issue may arise with flat-based MANET when we want to increase the number of MNs in MANET and may saturate the network. The MNs in MANET may be static or mobile, and handling the scalability is more challenging as compared to other networks. Therefore, managing the MANET effectively is more important. To manage the MANET effectively, utilizing the clustering mechanism becomes essential. Secondly, the problems like controlling the topology, construction of virtual network, intrusion detection, and routing can be solved with the help of clustering [9]. All the subjects stated previously are committed based on well-structured MANET clustering.

One of the imperative design consideration of a clustering algorithm is the discovery of an optimal CH set that should cover all parts of the MANET. At one instant, MN will be the member of one cluster. The existence of a CH in each cluster is not mandatory. However, the existence of a CH in a cluster has the advantage of managing the MANET easily, and most of the protocols discussed in the literature assume the selection of CHs. The cluster formation should be carried out in a way that the control overhead messages may decrease. Otherwise, the clustering algorithm will be more energy consuming than flat architecture. To find optimal CH set, optimization schemes such as particle swarm optimization, evolutionary algorithms, and neural networks may be used [10].

The contributions are listed as follows:

(i) In this paper, the MANET is distributed into clusters using an evolutionary memetic algorithm.

(ii) The problem is formulated as a graph, and the fitness function is tested according to network requirements.

(iii) The proactive cluster-based routing scheme named "optimal clustering in MANET based on memetic algorithm: memHoc" is proposed.

(iv) The algorithm works by selecting a set of CHs where a cluster headset denotes a chromosome (solution).

(v) The quality of the chromosome is improved with local search method. The (CH set) result is evaluated by a fitness function. The parents are selected for reproduction based on the fitness value. The crossover and mutation are applied to generate the offsprings. The new solutions are generated until the optimal solution is found.

(vi) The efficiency of the clustering algorithm is increased with memetic algorithm.

(vii) The test outcomes demonstrate that the suggested method has notable performance when compared to existing techniques.

The rest of the article is planned as follows: Section 2 discusses the existing work. In Section 3, a detail description of the basic memetic algorithm is presented; in Section 4, network formulation using the memetic algorithm is presented, and in Section 5, the performance evaluation is described, and finally the paper is concluded.

2. Literature Review

The recent research on the cluster-based algorithm in MANET is presented in this section. The clustering procedures are divided into the following classes.

2.1. Clustering Based on Energy Efficiency. The main goal of this technique is to minimize the power dissipation throughout the cluster creation. The paper [11] presents a clustering algorithm that works in a distributed manner named distributed cluster head scheduling (DCHS) algorithm. The purpose of the proposed scheme is to enhance the lifetime of MANET. In this algorithm, the MANET is grouped into two tiers, i.e., primary tire and secondary tier. The tier formation is based on the received signal strength of MN in MANET from the base station. The algorithm performs the cluster head selection for the primary tier as well as secondary tier. The authors claim that the proposed clustering scheme selects the CHs in a way that load balancing factor may not compromise. The benefit is to avoid or minimize calling reclustering procedure again and again. The CHs are mainly selected based on the received signal strength and remaining energy of mobile nodes.

Findings: the mobility of node which is a key parameter is not considered in this scheme. The MNs with a large number of neighbors are the most suitable candidates for CH selection, and it is also ignored in this paper. Similarly, the communication load, trust, and reputation are also ignored.

The CHs are selected randomly in the first round in [12]. The CHs in the next round are selected on the basis of residual energy.
Findings: the output of the random selection of CH nodes in the first round may be unbalance partition. The CHs may be selected from one part of the network and may increase the messages overhead. The mobility and degree of node during the CH selection process is also ignored. The protocol may not perform well when we have a mobile base station.

2.2. Mobility-Based Clustering. Mobility of sensor nodes is a key to consider during the selection of CHs so as to increase the lifetime of MANET. The movement may be random or the node may use other mobility patterns. In leader-based group routing, the overhead of routing throughout routing practice and resource requests are reduced significantly by assuming each set as a different element [13]. To reduce the impact of group variations on the performance of MANET, the resource assignments to each set separately is assumed. The contact opportunities of mobile nodes in delay tolerant networks are very less, and to better utilize the rare contact opportunities, any node of a group within the transmission range of different group member exchanges data with other group instead of direct communication between group leaders.

In the work proposed in [14], the CH nodes are selected on the basis of node mobility. The nodes having the least mobility are the best candidates for the role of CH. The weighted clustering algorithm is adopted to perform the election of CHs. The connectivity of CHs and their members are also checked. The authors claim that the proposed scheme outperforms in terms of energy and flexibility against mobility.

Findings: The nodes with low mobility are the best candidates for CHs, and the scheme may not perform well when the overall speed of the network is high. The nodes with low speed will be disconnected after a while, and the reclustering procedure will be called very frequently, resulting in decreasing network lifetime. Similarly, the direction of nodes also plays an important role during the CH selection. The CH with low mobility but different directions to their neighbors may cause the disconnection of CH with their members. The degree of node is also ignored in the CH selection process.

2.3. Stable Clustering. The CHs should be selected in such a way to get more stable and balanced clusters in order to decrease the ripple effect of reclustering. For this, we need to consider the parameters like the relative mobility, degree, and the current energy of MNs. By clustering in MANETs through neighborhood stability-based mobility prediction (MobHiD), the clusters that are extremely resilient to variations of topology are formed with right prediction of MHs mobility [15]. Mobility measurement is based on the location of its neighbors over time and does not require any specific hardware. The correct estimation of future mobility is achieved by the strong correlation between MHs movement. It combines the features of highest degree and node mobility prediction to form long life. In the stable k-hop clustering algorithm for routing in MANET, the clustering topology overhead by forming k-hop clusters is more stable than that forming by one-hop clustering structure [16]. For particular situations, two cluster heads are allowed in the k-hop neighborhood, and this will be achieved with maintenance function. In the k-hop neighborhood, the diffusion of the cluster information is reduced by two round cluster head selection mechanisms.

2.4. Optimization and Swarm Intelligence-Based Clustering. In order to efficiently perform, the parameters used for the selection of CHs in clustering algorithms play a very important role. In proficient bee colony clustering protocol (PBC-CP), the bee intelligence is used to select the CHs in MANET [17]. The CHs are selected based on the current energy of node, nodes degree, and distance from the BS. The algorithm is further improved by choosing the shortest path for sending from and to the BS. The path which requires less energy to transmit a packet is assumed as the shortest path in this approach.

Findings: mobility is the main source of energy consumption in MANET because the topology changes very frequently when the nodes are highly mobile. The mobility metric during the selection of CH is not assumed in this protocol. The trust, reputation, and communication load of nodes need careful attention running the clustering selection algorithm and are ignored in this paper. The quality of neighbors plays a very vital role when dealing with CHs selection. The neighbor’s response is not noted in the proposed scheme.

Because of the unequal distribution of CHs in MANET, the dynamic particle swarm optimization-based fuzzy clustering algorithm was proposed [18]. The authors claim that the fuzzy c means in addition to PSO results more stable and balanced clusters in MANET. An inference system named Mamdani fuzzy inference system is used to nominate the CHs. The node current energy, number of neighbors, and distance to BS are the parameters for the selection of a node as CH. The parameters are the inputs in the inference scheme described above. The fuzzy instruction is optimized using the particle swarm optimization. The proposed scheme result increases the lifetime of the network.

Findings: In latest technology, we may deal with either static nodes or mobile nodes in MANET. The proposed scheme does not assume the mobility of sensors while electing the CHs. The factors assumed above are not sufficient to form balance clusters. The ripple effect of reclustering will be high in the proposed scheme. The reclustering burden may decrease the lifetime of MANET.

We have proposed a clustering scheme based on honey bee swarm optimization and genetic algorithm in [19]. The proposed scheme forms stable clusters compared to the existing schemes. An energy-efficient clustering in MANETs using multiobjective particle swarm optimization (EMPSO), which manages effectively the resources of MANET by finding the optimum number of clusters in multiobjective manner [20].

2.5. Load Balancing through Evolutionary Algorithms Clustering. The problem of dynamic load balancing (DLB) is first framed to dynamic optimization problem (DOP) [21].
The use of different dynamic genetic algorithms developed for DOPs is proposed to solve the balance clustering issue in MANET. The fitness of a solution is examined on DLB metric, and every individual denotes a CH set with member nodes associated with each CH. To control the environmental changing aspects, numerous multipopulation algorithms, colonists, memory, and permutations of all or some of these are incorporated in the SGA. Cluster-based LBP is modeled precisely to DOP and will be used by different AI techniques like artificial immune system and ant colony optimization. Dynamic GAs are developed for load balancing and used in this paper. Dynamic test and network environments are created and tested.

In this approach [22], the compactness, separation, and optimal number of clusters are optimized using the genetic algorithm. The weight function is developed based on some empirical analyses. The weights between 0 and 1 can be assigned to each parameter. The compact value should be minimized, and the cluster separation should be maximized. The optimal clusters are achieved.

Findings: the nodes’ distance and compactness are considered in CHs selection. The mobility, degree, and communication load of sensor nodes are ignored in this approach.

2.6. Hybrid Clustering. To reduce the burden on the nodes near base station (BS), the clustering algorithm that assumes the energy constraint of MNs in MANET was proposed [23]. The clustering scheme focuses on the global optimization of MNs energy. The authors claim that the overloaded burden on the MNs near to BS is reduced to the optimal level. The scheme uses timer-oriented competition approach for the selection of CH set. The scheme topology overheads messages of MNs. In this way, the network traffic may reduce. The distance of MNs and BS is taken into consideration to equally distribute the CH throughout the network. This way, the MNs may consume energy in a uniform fashion. The member nodes join a cluster on the basis of communication radius and CH set in order to guarantee the balance partitioning.

Findings: CHs are rotated based on time interval, and it is hard to know that the reselection is required based on time. The nodes may be selected from one part of the network. The mobility of nodes is not considered during the CH selection.

To improve the productivity and ease the process of cultivation, the precision agriculture needs the services of MANET. This will improve farming techniques, and production cost (labor) may decrease. The MANET has widely been used for the purpose [24]. The technical nature of wireless sensor nodes makes it difficult to transmit the sensing data in a timely manner without delay. The routing issues and short network lifetime may also arise due to limited energy of nodes. The sensor nodes near to base station manage heavy burden of data coming from other neighbors. The main goal of this proposal is to select the CHs from centroid locations and the gateway nodes are selected from each cluster based on their location. The purpose of gateway nodes is to reduce the burden on CHs. The gateway nodes forward data to and from other clusters. The authors claim that by this way, the load on the CHs will be equal. The coverage of CH will also increase because the gateway nodes communicate with other clusters on behalf of CH.

3. Proposed Memetic Algorithm for MANET Clustering: memeHoc

To formulate the problem of dividing the network in clusters, we assume N number of MNs in the network, and the task is to divide the MANET to k clusters. In the proposed algorithm, the network model is first presented and then the dynamic and optimal clustering in MANET is formulated. The problem of balanced cluster formation of n MNs is actually solved by constructing a mapping or finding a set of MNs (cluster heads) which shows the allocation of n MNs into k nonoverlapping clusters (C1, C2, …, Ck) and roughly have equal size which the cluster head serves. This can be achieved by modeling/assuming the MANET as graph G (V, E), and the edge E represents the communication links between the MNs in the graph. The wireless nodes (routers) are represented by the vertices V.

A set of vertices (CHs) is selected optimally based on the fitness value of MNs for cluster heads role. The equation described below can be used to compute the weighting value of a MN:

\[ WF_x = WFE_x + WFRM_x + WFD_x, \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( WFE_x \) is the weighting factor with respect to remaining energy of a MN \( x \) and computed as

\[ AE_x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} R.E_x}{n}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where R.EnrNode\text{Node} is the residual energy of a MN, \( n \) is MNs in MANET (total nodes), and \( AE_x \) is the average energy of MNs at current. A weight value with respect to energy can be extracted as follows: the value of \( WFE_x \) will be 1 if the R.Enr\text{Node} is greater than \( AE_x \), its value will negative if the R.Enr\text{Node} is less than \( AE_x \), if both cases are false, the value of \( AE_x \) will be zero.

\( WFD_x \) is the weight factor w.r.t. a MN’s inner and outer degrees. The weight value to \( WFD_x \) can be assigned based on the outer and inner degrees of a MN such as

\[ AFD_x = \frac{\sum_{x=1}^{n} (OD + ID)_x}{n}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where OD and ID are the outer and inner degrees of MN \( x \), \( AFD_x \) is the average number of neighbors in MANET. The weight value with respect to MN neighbors can be assigned in the following way: if the degree of a MN \( x \) is greater than \( AFD_x \), its value will be 1; if the degree of a MN \( x \) is less than \( AFD_x \), its value will be -1; otherwise, its value will be zero.

Correspondingly, the weighting factor/value of MN w.r.t mobility \( WFRM_x \) can be computed by keeping in mind that...
MN has relative mobility or the stationary MNs are ideal nominees for the role of CH. The value of WMob, will be 1 if the relative mobility of a MN x is nearly equal to that of its neighbors; otherwise, its value will be −1.

The number of clusters k will be computed prior choosing the cluster headset by the equality below. The value of k is obtained as

\[ k = \frac{\sum_{x=1}^{n} (OD + ID)_{x}}{n} + 1, \tag{4} \]

where the total number of clusters in MANET is k, the total number of MNs in MANET is represented by n, and (OD + ID) x represents the neighbors’ information of a MN x.

The cluster headset covers nodes at least three hops away from other CHs. When the weighting values of the whole MANET nodes are computed, the function described in equation (5) will be applied to compute the suitability of cluster headset.

The proposal of the memetic algorithm (MA) for the dynamic and optimal clustering in MANET is illustrated in this section. The CH is denoted by chromosome (individual). The cluster headsets are selected at random initially. The cluster headset is optimized using the objective function. The fittest individuals are chosen for the next generation to develop a new solution. The parents for reproduction are selected from the population similar to the classical GA. After the selection of two parents for the new population, the mutation and crossover are applied to generate new offspring. The new population is improved by applying the local search procedure. In the memetic algorithm, local search is performed to efficiently find the local optimum solution and proceed for global optimum.

The algorithm starts its operation by calculating the weights of every node. Nodes with the higher weights are selected for initial population. The fitness values of the population are calculated. The nodes to become the cluster head are selected. The probability of selection is calculated. The local search is applied. The fitness value of the node to survive in the population is calculated.

The process of MA comprises numerous significant modules such as (1) memetic representation, (2) initialization, (3) fitness function (FF) assessment, (4) selection methods, (5) crossover, and (6) mutation. The process of the memetic algorithm to form balanced clusters is shown in Algorithm 1. Table 1 presents notations used in Algorithm 1.

### 3.1. Genetic Representation

The conventional evolutionary algorithms such as genetic algorithm fail to search several solutions for the problem domain owing to its inherent quality of premature convergence. A memetic algorithm uses a local search to reach its final destination without stopping on local maxima. The first step in the memetic algorithm is encoding the chromosome.

#### 3.1.1. Encoding and Population Initialization

The set S\textsubscript{CH} of nodes are randomly selected from all the networks as cluster heads where \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \). Each solution of the problem is a set of cluster heads (S\textsubscript{CH}). In this way, the cluster headset can be generated by a random combination of node IDs. And the chromosome can be represented by a random set of cluster head IDs. Repetition of node IDs in a chromosome is strictly prohibited. The gene of a chromosome can be represented by a single node ID. Suppose we have a network of 8 nodes. The IDs of the nodes in the network would be from 1 to 8. In this scenario, the chromosome may be represented by a random permutation 54673128. The next task is to extract a set of cluster heads. Assume that the first gene is added to the cluster headset. Then the second ID is also added. The weights of the nodes are calculated by adding the third node to the cluster headset and so on. At each step of adding nodes to the cluster headset, the weights of the node are updated. The node having higher weight is replaced with the node that has lower weight. The discarded node from the cluster headset is no more considered to be a cluster head in the current round. After encoding, the objective function is evaluated for the fitness of the solution. The population is initialized using the following procedure.

### 3.2. Objective Function

The quality of a solution or chromosome is evaluated by calculating the standard deviation of the fitness values of all nodes considered for the cluster head role. The fitness value of a solution can be calculated by

Minimize \( \text{Ftn} (WF, AFV) = \sum_{x=1}^{n} \sum_{y=1}^{k} \text{RelWei}_{xy} \left( WF_{x} - AFV_{y} \right)^{2}, \)

Subject to \( \sum_{y=1}^{k} \left( \text{RelWei}_{xy} \right) = 1 \) for \( y = 1, \ldots, k \),

\( \text{RelWei}_{xy} = 1 \) or \( 0 \) for \( x = 1, \ldots, n, y = 1, \ldots, k \).

The equation stated above is a minimization function where the MNs in MANET are \( n \), k number of known or unknown clusters will be designed, \( WF_{x} \) \( (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n) \) is the weight of node, and \( AFV_{y} \) is the average fitness value of
MN to perform the role of CH. The following equation can be used to compute AFV_y for a node x:

\[ AFV_y = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{k} ReIWei_{xy} \cdot WF_y}{k} \]  

(6)

where the clusters in the MANET is k (solution) and ReIWei_{xy} is the relationship weight of MN x and cluster y; when the MN x is allocated to the cluster y (member node), the ReIWei_{xy} will be assigned 1, else its value will be 0.

After all the fitness function values are computed, the probability of choice P_x for each CH can be computed by

\[ P_x = \frac{WF_x}{\sum_{y=1}^{k} WF_y} \]  

(7)

where k is equal to total CHs, WF_x be the weight value of node y, and local search is applied for optimal CH set in the region of y by using

\[ \text{node}_y (x + 1) = \text{node}_y (x) + \alpha_{xy} \cdot rz \]  

(8)

where \( \alpha_{xy} \) is the radius of node x with cluster y and rz is the random variable within the range \([-1, 1]\) to compute the fitness value by using equation (8) above. Moving outside the radius of the target node is not permissible in the new population.

The cluster headset is selected based on the fitness/objective function. The neighbor MNs join the nearest cluster heads to form clusters. Once the clusters are formed, various MNs change their state to sleep mode and other nodes wake up from time to time due to limited energy. As a result, the network topology changes periodically. The purpose of this study is finding the set of cluster heads as soon as possible when a topology change occurs.

3.3. Selection. This step is very important for improving the quality of the population. With good selection, high-quality individuals are passed to the next population for reproduction. The chromosome is selected on the basis of its fitness value. The selection method used in this work is the pairwise tournament selection. The selection is performed without replacement. This method of selection is efficient and very simple. The size of the tournament is set to 2. A local search is applied to extract high-quality genes from the population.

3.4. Local Improver. In this step, a local search is applied when the chromosome is evaluated and selected. The weights of the genes in the population are calculated. The genes with higher weights are searched in the population. The population is searched, and the higher weight genes are replaced with low weight genes. Algorithm 2 improves the solution locally.

3.5. Crossover and Mutation. The significant memetic operators are crossover and mutation. The offspring generated from two predecessor chromosomes is known as a crossover. The properties of the new generated chromosome are extracted/inherited from all parts of its parents. For the purpose of this research, the well-known method "x-order1" is used. The offspring generated from only one chromosome by changing one gene is known as mutation. The mutation used in this research is substituting one gene in the chromosome.

3.6. Accepting. After the mutation and crossover, the new chromosomes are placed in the population. The chromosomes with high quality are replaced with low-quality chromosomes.

3.7. Replace. The new generated population is used for the further runs of the algorithm. This process can be explained by using Algorithm 3.

3.8. Test. The algorithm is iterated until the stopping criterion is met. The best solution is returned after the last iteration of the memetic algorithm.

4. Experimentation and Results

To evaluate the performance of our proposed memeHoc cluster configuration algorithm, several simulation experiments were conducted on Eastnet. In a square simulation area of 1000 m x 1000 m, the mobile nodes (MN) (50–500) are distributed randomly. The simulation parameters were taken from [19]. The speed of the MN varies from 1 km/h to 80 km/h. Each MN is equipped with deaf omnidirectional antennas. The transmission range of each antenna differs in radius from 100 m to 300 m. Each node has an archive (queuing mechanism) for incoming and outgoing packets and keeps information about the mobility of their neighbors. The continuous bit rate was used to generate the origins of the traffic. The threshold used for generating traffic was 20 packets per second. The simulations were run for 50 minutes. The average of 100 simulation runs is represented as graphs. Table 2 shows the parameters used during the simulation as in [19].
(1) Procedure memhoc clustering
(2) Input: $n, k$
(3) Output: CHs
(4) $TD = 0$
(5) for ($i = 1; i \leq n; i++$) do
(6) \hspace{1cm} $TD = TD + Deg_i$
(7) end for
(8) $A = TD/n$
(9) $k = A$
(10) for ($j = 1; j \leq k; j++$) do \hspace{1cm} initializes a random cluster headset permutation
(11) \hspace{2cm} CHs[$j$] = rand($v[n]$).
(12) end for
(13) pop[$j$] = CHs[$j$],
(14) pop[$j$] = Local_Improver(CHs),
(15) pop_new[$j$] = call function_replace(pop).
(16) $fv[pop_new] = calculate\ fitness\ (pop_new)$
(17) if ($fv[pop_new] < fv[pop]$),
(18) CHs = pop_new,
(19) Return CHs
(20) end procedure

Algorithm 1: Psuedocode of memHoc.

(1) Procedure Local_Improver
(2) Input: $v[n], CHs, k$
(3) Output: CHs
(4) for ($i = 1; i \leq n; i++$) do
(5) \hspace{1cm} $w[i] = xi$
(6) end for
(7) for ($i = 1; i \leq n; i++$)
(8) \hspace{1cm} for ($j = 1; j \leq K; j++$)
(9) \hspace{2cm} if ($w[i] > w[j]$)
(10) \hspace{3cm} CHs[$j$] = $v[i]$
(11) \hspace{1cm} end if
(12) end for
(13) end for
(14) return CHs
(15) end procedure

Algorithm 2: Pseudocode of local improver.

(1) Procedure Replace
(2) Input: pop, CHs, $k$
(3) Output: CHs
(4) for ($j = 1; j \leq k; j++$) do \hspace{1cm} Generate new population
(5) \hspace{2cm} New_CHs[$j$] = rand($v[n]$)
(6) end for
(7) New_pop[$j$] = new_CHs[$j$]
(8) for ($p = 1; p \leq k; p++$) do \hspace{1cm} apply crossover and mutation
(9) \hspace{3cm} Temp = pop[$p$]
(10) \hspace{2cm} Pop[$p$] = New_pop[$j$]
(11) \hspace{1cm} New_pop[$j$] = Temp
(12) end for
(13) $m = k/2$
(14) New_pop[$m$] = pop[$m$]
(15) return new_pop;
(16) end procedure

Algorithm 3: Pseudocode for function replace.
In Table 2, the first column represents the parameter, and the adjacent column shows the value. These are kept constant throughout all the experiments. The number of nodes, the transmission interval, and the speed have varied accordingly.

The performance of the memeHoc algorithm is compared with dynamic genetic algorithms for the DLB clustering problem (DGAC) [21] and energy-efficient clustering in MANETs using multiobjective PSO (EMPSO) [20] and a neighborhood stability-based mobility prediction algorithm for clustering the MANETs (MobHiD) [15]. To evaluate memeHoc’s performance, the following metrics are taken into account.

| Parameters          | Value          |
|---------------------|----------------|
| Data packet size    | 1400 bytes     |
| Mobility speed      | 0–80 km/h      |
| Frequency (MHz)     | 2400           |
| Link bandwidth      | 11 Mbps        |
| Frequency channel   | 3              |
| Simulation time     | Vary           |
| Max (x)             | 1000 m         |
| Max (y)             | 1000 m         |
| Node space          | Vary           |
| Number of nodes     | 50–500         |

| Complexity          |               |

4.1. Number of Clusters (NOC). Numerous experiments have been conducted to calculate the NOC metric when we increase the number of nodes from 50 to 500. The incremental step 50 is used to increase the number of nodes in the individual simulation experiment. The mobility model adopted is RWP. The speed of the MN varies in the range from 1 km/h to 80 km/h, i.e., from 1 km/h to 5 km/h for walking, from 5 km/h to 20 km/h for running, and from 20 km/h to 80 km/h for vehicle movement. Likewise, the transmission range is 100 meters and 200 for different experiments. To measure the NOC metric, the results obtained during the simulation are presented in the form of a graph in Figures 1 and 2. The curves presented in the figure show the number of clusters for networks of different sizes (i.e., 50–500 knots). The number of clusters increases as the number of nodes increases, as shown in Figure 1. The graph shows that memeHoc forms fewer clusters than MobHiD, DGAC, and EMPSO. In memeHoc, the number of clusters is calculated based on the degree of the node. Average degrees of nodes are calculated to calculate the number of clusters. Therefore, memeHoc has fewer clusters and the stability of the clusters can be guaranteed even if the degree of the node is taken into account. After memeHoc, DGAC performs well compared to other algorithms, as shown in Figure 1. Analyzing the arcs presented in Figure 1, we see that EMPSO has full performance, plus the number of clusters created by MobHiD is considerably less than EMPSO. ModHiD focuses on cluster stability by predicting future node mobility during cluster formation. EMPSO’s attention to optimization and the number of calculations become low. The results show that memeHoc outperforms the leading clustering algorithms in terms of counting clusters. DGAC performs well after memeHoc. To evaluate memeHoc’s performance in more detail, a series of simulation experiments are conducted for a different transmission interval, for example, 200 meters. The results of subsequent experiments are illustrated in Figure 2. The curves in Figure 2 show that the radio transmission intervals of the mobile nodes influence the performance of the clustering algorithms. The NOC metric decreases considerably with high transmission intervals. With a large transmission interval, the CH will cover a large...
area and the number of member nodes that a CH services will increase; therefore fewer clusters result. The size of the backbone network will decrease when we increase the radio transmission radius of the nodes. All algorithms work the same way as in Figure 1.

4.2. Cluster Lifetime. In this section, we evaluate the effect of node speed on the duration of CH. Nodes with a high mobility ratio can reduce the duration of CH. Emptying 100 nodes in the network performs the simulation. The transmission interval of each node is set to 200 in the first experiment. The nodes move at a speed between 1 km/h and 80 km/h. The movable features are adopted as in [15]. The duration of the CH is calculated in seconds. Figure 3 shows the results obtained during the simulation. As the graph shows, the proposed memeHoc aggregation algorithm selects the CH for a long time. Stable (permanent) brackets are formed using the memeHoc algorithm, as the relative mobility of the nodes and their neighbors are considered during CH selection. As shown in Figure 3, the proposed memeHoc exceeds the performance of DGAC, MobHiD, and EMPSO in terms of screening stability. MobHiD works well after memeHoc because it assumes future mobility of nodes during cluster formation. The behavior of NMs cannot be projected realistically in the long run. In memeHoc, group members remain associated with CH for a long time. The relative mobility of MNs is measured during the selection of CHs and MNs with high degree and relative mobility are the ideal candidates to become CHs.

By evaluating the arcs shown in Figure 3, we examine that memeHoc forms stable and sustained groups compared to MobHiD. EMPSO and DGAC select unstable CH. EMPSO is better than DGAC. Therefore, DGAC chooses an unstable CH when we increase the speed of MN. Node mobility is not considered during the CH selection process. The radio transmission range of MN was increased to 300 meters in the following experiment, and the results are shown in Figure 4. All other parameters are constant (as in Figure 3). The graph shows that the radio transmission interval of MN significantly influences the performance of all clustered algorithms under consideration, including our proposed memeHoc scheme. More stable ramps are obtained once by increasing the MN communication range. Class and online life can increase. The proposed memeHoc works well when we increase the delivery. With a high transmission interval, the reaffiliation of the nodes decreases and CH will cover a large number of nodes.

4.3. Reaffiliation Rate (RR). A series of simulation experiments were performed to evaluate the performance of the binding rate for the node speed and the radio transmission range. The results are presented in the form of graphs, e.g., Figures 5 and 6. A new join may occur when the MN leaves the current CH and joins another cluster or when the CH moves across a member node’s radio transmission interval. NM can no longer communicate with its CH. As the speed of MNs increases, new affiliation is more common when MNs leave their CH more often. The mobility model chosen is a random waypoint, as in Section 4.2.

The nodes move at a speed of 1 km/h to 80 km/h. Several experiments are taken into account as an average of the
results. The average connection rate over 100 different experiments is shown in Figure 5. As shown in the graph, the increase in reconnection rate is shown for memeHoc, MobHiD, DGAC, and EMPSO with an increase in node speed. The performance of memeHoc in arcs is better because they have the lowest RR compared to other weighted schemes. The duration of CHs is long when the memeHoc clustering algorithm is started, and this indicates that rejoining is small because the cluster mechanism is restarted less frequently when stable CHs are selected. That is why, CH is selected based on the residual energy, degree, and relative mobility of the node. Therefore, the duration of CHs increases, and member nodes leave the existing cluster less frequently. MobHiD performance is better after memeHoc because MobHiD takes into account future node mobility in the CH selection process. MobHiD performance is better among all the modern clustering algorithms studied, as the selected CH neighbors remain long, and therefore, the probability of reconnection is reduced. The worst performance compared to reconnection is in DGAC compared to MobHiD and EMPSO. Node mobility is not taken into account in DGAC when forming clusters. Therefore, unstable clusters may result. The same simulation tests are repeated for a network of different sizes, ranging from 50 MN to 500 MN. The results are shown in Figure 6 as a graph. An incremental phase 50 was used to evaluate the performance of memeHoc in a network with different numbers of NMs. The graph in Figure 6 shows that the reconnection rate decreases as the size of the mobile network becomes large. In a large network, the CH server has many nodes, and topology changes are transmitted less frequently. The simulation area was the same as in the previous experiment. The curve at the bottom of the graph in Figure 6 shows that the reconnection rate decreases in memeHoc as the network size increases in line with other state-of-the-art clustering algorithms. In memeHoc, CH is selected based on relative mobility, the remaining energy and node degree are obtained, and stable clusters are obtained. If we have stable clusters, the reunification rate is low.

4.4. Control Message Overhead. A number of packet exchanges are required during the CHs’ selection process in MANET. In this section, the number of messages interchanged throughout the cluster construction and maintenance phase is noted and presented. The performance of memeHoc is compared with MobHiD, EMPSO, and DGAC.

In this series of simulation experiments, 50 MNs are randomly distributed. The simulation area is set to 1000 m × 1000 m. Random mobility of waypoints is accepted in simulation tests. The coverage area of MN radio transmission is set at 200 meters. The nodes move at a speed of 0–5 km/h for walking, 5–20 km/h for running, and 20–80 km/h for a vehicle. The results are shown in a linear graph in Figure 7. The tests were performed for a further 300 m transmission range, and the results are shown in Figure 8. As shown in the graph, the DGAC control is too low when the MNs are moving at low speed, i.e., up to 40 km/h and become high as MNs move faster. The genetic algorithm suffers from local maxima, and unstable clusters can occur when the node speed becomes high. The same
effect can be observed in all other schemes under consideration, including memeHoc, as the clustering procedure is often called for high mobility. Control overload may decrease if the MN radio transmission range is increased. Long transmission distances may consume more power during network operations. Prolonged network life may not be guaranteed if the MN transmission interval is high. Once the optimal CH has been found and the optimization technique has high overall control values, more communication is required, as shown in Figure 7 and 8. EMPSO and MobHiD provide uniform performance when the MN radio beam is 200 meters. If the transmission range is large, EMPSO and MobHiD have minimal environmental control. The memeHoc algorithm offers requires several messages during cluster formation. Metrics such as energy, degree, and relative mobility are taken into account, and accurate calculation can increase the number of control messages. The optimal CH is calculated based on the higher congestion cost, but once the optimal CH is determined, the clustering procedure is called less frequently.

5. Conclusions and Future Work

In this research, the memetic algorithm is used to solve the cluster formation problem in MANET known as memeHoc. Due to its well-organized local search mechanism, the memetic algorithm forms balance clusters efficiently. A set of solutions (chromosomes) is randomly selected as initial population. A chromosome is a set of possible cluster heads. The population is optimized by using the local search mechanism. The fitness of the population is evaluated using the fitness function. The parents are selected for reproduction if the solution is not optimal. Mutation and crossover are applied for diversity in the population resulting in new population generation. The process continues until the optimal clusters are found. The memeHoc is validated via detail simulation study. The performance is compared with other related protocols such as DGAC, MobHiD, and EMPSO algorithms. The proposed solution gives satisfactory results compared to protocols under consideration. The proposed memeHoc performs well in almost every experiment.

In future, this work can be extended to vehicular ad hoc networks. The good performance with high-speed MANETs gives an indication that it is well suited for vehicular ad hoc network cluster formation. It can also be used in MANETs in a distributed fashion for the same purpose.
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