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Abstract

Background: Non-Alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has become a worldwide health concern with increase in the global incidence of obesity and it is now considered the hepatic component of the metabolic syndrome. As a result of epidemic increase in hypertension, obesity, hypertriglyceridemia along with diabetes mellitus, prevalence of NAFLD and metabolic syndrome have increased globally. Aims and Objective: The study’s aim was to compare the indices of the metabolic syndrome in compensated chronic liver disease patients with and without NAFLD at Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Rajshahi, Bangladesh. Materials and Methods: A total of 91 consecutive patients with compensated chronic liver disease were recruited into the study. A structured questionnaire was administered to obtain relevant socio-demographic data. NAFLD was diagnosed based on clinical, biochemical, ultrasonographic and in a few histological features. The Adult Treatment Panel III criteria were used to identify patients with the metabolic syndrome. Results: Out of ninety one DM patients 2/3rd (67%) were male and remaining 1/3rd (33%) were female. Mean age of them was 51.43±6.24 years. Among study population 80.2% were obese, 31.8 were hypertensive and 72.5% had hypertriglyceridemia. Proportion of NAFLD and metabolic syndrome in T2DM patients was 60.4% and 61.5% respectively. 52.7% had mild whereas 47.3% had moderate fatty change in liver. These FFA either undergo lipid peroxidation or are esterified with glycerol to form triglycerides, leading to hepatic fat accumulation [5, 6]. Though the mechanism is unclear by some intricated ways hypertension, central obesity and dyslipidaemia play their role as individual risk factor in the development of NAFLD. It is recommended that patients with NAFLD be screened for metabolic syndrome and appropriate therapy instituted to decrease the risk of both hepatic and cardiovascular complications.

Conclusion: Indices of the metabolic syndrome were more prevalent in persons with NAFLD. It is recommended that patients with NAFLD be screened for metabolic syndrome and appropriate therapy instituted to decrease the risk of both hepatic and cardiovascular complications.
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INTRODUCTION

Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a condition that is characterised by excess deposition of fat in liver. This ranges from simple steatosis to steatohepatitis, cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [1]. Hypertension, diabetes, obesity and dyslipidemia are predisposing factors of NAFLD [2]. These are also considered as components of metabolic syndrome. NAFLD is supposed to be hepatic manifestation of metabolic syndrome [3, 2, 4]. Patients with NAFLD usually have insulin resistance (IR) which increases lipolysis from the adipose tissue [4], and there is an increased delivery of Free Fatty Acid (FFA) to the liver. These FFA either undergo lipid peroxidation or are esterified with glycerol to form triglycerides, leading to hepatic fat accumulation [5, 6]. Though the mechanism is unclear by some intricated ways hypertension, central obesity and dyslipidaemia play their role as individual risk factor in the development of NAFLD. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) has become a public health problem worldwide due to rising incidence of obesity and Type 2 diabetes [7]. It has emerged as the commonest cause of chronic liver
disease and abnormal liver function tests among adults in Western countries [3, 2, 4, 26]. The prevalence of Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) varies in different populations of study with a prevalence of 15%–30% in Western populations [8, 9] and 9–40% in Asian countries [1]. The prevalence increases to 58% in overweight individuals and can be as high as 90% in obese individuals [10, 11]. NAFLD is found in 60% of patients with mixed hyperlipidemia and in 83% of those with both mixed hyperlipidemia and an elevated serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) [12, 13]. Non-Alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) is a chronic liver disease which refers to the presence of hepatic steatosis without significant intake of alcohol [43]. A significant alcohol intake is considered as ingestion of >20g/day or >140g/week of alcohol for males and >10g/day or >70g/ week of alcohol for females [44]. NAFLD is an asymptomatic disease that can progress to nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH), fibrosis, and cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma [43]. Most patients with this condition are asymptomatic and few present with vague right upper abdominal pain, malaise and fatigue. Others present with abnormal liver function tests or incidental finding of fatty liver on abdominal ultrasound scan for other reasons [45-47]. At present, the global prevalence of NAFLD is estimated at about 9% in developing countries and 30% in developed countries [48]. Increasing incidence of NAFLD is reported in Asian countries like Japan and China [14]. There is increased incidence of diabetes, obesity in India in last two decades, it is logical to expect increase in incidence of NAFLD in India [15, 16]. Bangladesh is the next door neighbour of India and hence supposed to run the same risk. The prevalence of NAFLD in Type 2 DM patients is about 75% [3], and Diabetes mellitus is observed in 18%-45% NAFLD patients [12, 13]. Compared with non-diabetic subjects, people with type 2 diabetes appear to have an increased risk of developing NAFLD and have a higher risk of developing fibrosis and cirrhosis [3, 2, 4]. The poor controlled diabetes promotes hepatic steatosis and a vicious cycle binds the two situations. So hepatic steatosis, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome are part of the same disease process ultimately leads to increased morbidity and mortality. Although about 70–75% of type 2 diabetic patients may have some form of NAFLD [17] precise prevalence of NAFLD in type 2 diabetes is unknown. Some small studies are available that are performed in highly selected populations and some studies have estimated prevalence of abnormal aminotransferase levels only [18-21]. An ultrasonographic study of patients with T2DM showed a 69% prevalence of NAFLD in Europe [22]. Diabetes as a disease has increased in an alarming way that has created a serious threat to public health globally and also in Bangladesh. We know NAFLD has strong association with diabetes mellitus so prevalence of NAFLD in Diabetes patients is a burning issue in Bangladesh. There is limited data on the prevalence of NAFLD from India [23-25]. Data on prevalence of NAFLD in diabetes patient is still lacking in Bangladesh.

**METHODOLOGY**

This was a Cross sectional descriptive study carried out at medicine inpatient and outpatient department, Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Rajshahi, Bangladesh done from July-2015 to June-2017. The study population were adults with type 2 DM attending in Medicine inpatient and outpatient department, Rajshahi Medical College Hospital, Rajshahi, Bangladesh. Sample Size: Ninety one cases of Type 2 DM patients and Purposive sampling method. Dependant and Independent variables: NAFLD, Metabolic syndrome, Age, Sex, Hypertension, BMI, Waist circumference, Duration of DM, Control of DM, Serum TG, Serum HDL cholesterol, Serum LDL cholesterol, Serum Total cholesterol, HbA1c, Serum AST, Serum ALT, AST: ALT and BAAT score.

**Inclusion Criteria**
- Patients of both sexes.
- Patients diagnosed as type 2 diabetes mellitus.

**Exclusion Criteria**
- Persons with previous history of jaundice.
- Patients with past history of alcohol consumption.
- Persons with history of chronic kidney disease and ischemic heart disease.
- Patients with prior serologic evidence of HBV or HCV infection.

**Sample Collection**
Niney one cases of type 2 DM were included in this study. The diagnosis of type 2 DM was based on performing FBS, PPSBs and HbA1c and checking previous treatment records. After meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria patients with type 2 DM admitted in inpatient department and attending outpatient department of medicine, Rajshahi medical college hospital were included in the study. Age of the patient ranged from 42 to 71 years. Among them 61 were male and 30 were female.

Variables used in this study

**Operational Definition NAFLD**
Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) represents a spectrum of liver disease encompassing simple fatty infiltration (Steatosis), fat and inflammation (non-alcoholic steatohepatitis, NASH) and cirrhosis, in the absence of excessive alcohol consumption (typically a threshold of <20 g/day for women and <30 g/day for men).

**Metabolic Syndrome**
According to the NCEP ATP III definition, metabolic syndrome is present if three or more of the
following five criteria are met: waist circumference over 90 cm (men) or 80 cm (women) for people of Asia pacific region, blood pressure ≥130/85 mm Hg, fasting triglyceride (TG) level ≥150 mg/dl, fasting high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol level less than 40 mg/dl (men) or 50 mg/dl (women) and fasting blood glucose ≥110 mg/dl.

**Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus**

Type 2 diabetes encompasses individuals who have insulin resistance and usually relative (rather than absolute) insulin deficiency. This form previously referred to as “non-insulin dependent diabetes” or “adult-onset diabetes.” It accounts for 90-95% of all diabetes. Most but not all patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus are obese. Specific aetiologies of type 2 diabetes mellitus are not known.

**Procedure of Data Collection**

**Screening and recruitment**

Patients were taken to a separate room where PI introduced himself and explained nature of study. A questionnaire and a written informed consent form (both in Bangla and English) was prepared. Patients were explained the nature of the study. Those who agreed to participate were recruited and detailed consent obtained. Data was obtained by PI from patient using the following methods.

**The Scores Are Categorized As Follows**

BMI (kg/m²): ≥28=1, <28=0, Age: ≥50=1, <50=0, ALT (U/L): ≥ 2 times rise than normal upper limit=1, <2 times rise than normal upper limit=0 and serum TG (mg/dl): ≥150=1, <150=0.

**Radiological Methodology**

The consultant radiologist in radiology and imaging department, Rajshahi medical college hospital performed B mode 2 dimensional abdominal Ultrasonography of all recruited patients with PI in attendance. Diagnosis of NAFLD was made on basis of criteria outlined below [20].

**Procedure of Data Analysis**

The numerical data obtained from the study was analyzed and the significance of differences was estimated by using statistical methods. After processing of all available information, statistical analysis was performed by using computer based SPSS-16 (Statistical Package for Social Science). Data was expressed in percentage, frequencies mean and standard deviation. Continuous data was expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) and dichotomous data was represented as percentage. Continuous variables were compared through the Student’s t-test and for the categorical variables the chi-square test was applied.

**RESULTS**

In this study maximum number of patients were in between 46-55 years of age and male female ratio was 2:1. Most of the patients with type 2 DM were nonsmoker (75.0%), normotensive (68.2%). 78% patient were Muslim, 90% patient were married, 70% patient had monthly income >15,000 taka, 33% patient were service holder, 33% patient were housewife and 22% were businessman, 55% patient were graduate (Table-1).

| Table-1: Socio-demographic distribution of patients with type 2 DM (n=91) |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|
| **Age**         | **Frequency**   | **%** |
| ≤45 years       | 6               | 6.5    |
| 46-55 years     | 68              | 75.0   |
| 56-65 years     | 10              | 11.0   |
| >65 years       | 7               | 7.5    |
| **Sex**         | **Frequency**   | **%** |
| Male            | 61              | 67.0   |
| Female          | 30              | 33.0   |
| **Smoking History** | **Frequency**   | **%** |
| Present         | 23              | 25.0   |
| Absent          | 68              | 75.0   |
| **Occupation**  | **Frequency**   | **%** |
| Service holder  | 30              | 33.0   |
| Businessman     | 20              | 22.0   |
| Housewife       | 30              | 33.0   |
| Others          | 11              | 12.0   |
| **Religion**    | **Frequency**   | **%** |
| Muslim          | 71              | 78.0   |
| Non-Muslim      | 20              | 22.0   |
| **Education**   | **Frequency**   | **%** |
| Below primary   | 11              | 12.0   |
| Up to HSC       | 30              | 33.0   |
| Graduate        | 50              | 55.0   |
| **Monthly income** | **Frequency**   | **%** |
| <15000 Tk.      | 27              | 30.0   |
| >15000 Tk.      | 64              | 70.0   |
| **Marital status** | **Frequency**   | **%** |
| Married         | 82              | 90.0   |
| Unmarried       | 9               | 10.0   |

NB: x ±SD age = 51.43 ±6.24 years
Table-2: Clinical variables of metabolic syndrome in patients with type 2 DM (n=91)

|               | Frequency | %   | Mean ±SD     |
|---------------|-----------|-----|--------------|
| BMI           |           |     |              |
| Normal(18.5-22.9 kg/m²) | 1         | 1.1 | 27.27±2.83   |
| Overweight (23.0-24.9 kg/m²) | 17        | 18.7|              |
| Obese (>25.0 kg/m²) | 73        | 80.2|              |
| Waist circumference |           |     |              |
| Normal (<90 cm in male, <80 cm in female) | 32        | 35.2| 102.22±8.01  |
| Increased (>90 cm in male, >80 cm in female) | 64.8  |     |              |
| HTN           |           |     |              |
| Present       | 29        | 31.8|              |
| Absent        | 62        | 68.2|              |

Mean BMI was 27.27±2.83 kg/m², mean WC was 102.22 ±8.01 cm. In this study 18.7% patient was overweight and 80.2% were obese. Increased WC was observed in 64.8% patient. In this study mean SBP was 127.47 ±12.72 mm Hg, mean DBP was 77.09 ±10.19 mm Hg. 68.2% study population were normotensive, 31.8% were hypertensive (Table-2).

Table-3: Investigation findings of patients with type 2 DM (n=91)

|               | Frequency | %   | Mean ±SD     |
|---------------|-----------|-----|--------------|
| HDL           |           |     |              |
| Low (<40 mg/dl in male, <50 mg/dl in female) | 8         | 8.8 | 41.85±2.72   |
| Normal (>40 mg/dl in male, >50 mg/dl in female) | 83        | 91.2|              |
| TG            |           |     |              |
| Normal (<150 mg/dl) | 25        | 27.5| 186.08±58.42 |
| High (≥150 mg/dl)  | 66        | 72.5|              |

In this study only 8.8% patient was found to have low HDL. 72.5% patient was found to have high TG, high LDL was found in 26% patient. Mean total cholesterol was 197.31±34.10 mg/dl, mean LDL, HDL and TG was 114.18±35.41 mg/dl, 41.85 ±2.72 mg/dl, and 186.08 ±58.42 mg/dl respectively (Table-3). Mean AST, ALT, and AST: ALT, serum albumin and serum bilirubin was 29.47±5.89 U/L, 38.09±5.77 U/L, 0.077 ±0.08, 3.80.22 g/dl, and 0.45±0.09 mg/dl respectively.

Table-4: Components of metabolic syndrome NAFLD in patients with type 2 DM (n=91)

|               | Frequency | %   |
|---------------|-----------|-----|
| Hypertension (≥130/85 mm of Hg) |           |     |
| Present       | 29        | 31.8|
| Absent        | 62        | 68.2|
| Obesity (evidenced by BMI >25 kg/m²) |           |     |
| Present       | 73        | 80.2|
| Absent        | 18        | 19.8|
| Central obesity (evidenced by waist circumference >90 cm in male and >80 cm in female) |           |     |
| Present       | 32        | 35.2|
| Absent        | 59        | 64.8|
| Hypertriglyceridemia (evidence by TG ≥150 mg/dl) |           |     |
| Present       | 25        | 27.5|
| Absent        | 66        | 72.5|
| Low HDL       |           |     |
| Present       | 8         | 8.8 |
| Absent        | 83        | 91.2|

In this study proportion of hypertension, obesity, central obesity, hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL were 31.8%, 80.2%, 35.2%, 72.5%, 8.8% respectively (Table-4).
Table-5: Patient categorization on the basis of single or multiple components of metabolic syndrome in patients with type 2 DM (n=91)

| Patient categorization                                      | Frequency | %   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| Type 2 DM with only HTN                                      | 29        | 31.8|
| Type 2 DM with obesity                                      | 73        | 80.2|
| Type 2 DM with hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL             | 66        | 72.5|
| Type 2 DM with HTN and obesity                              | 27        | 29.6|
| Type 2 DM with obesity, hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL    | 61        | 67.03|
| Type 2 DM with HTN, obesity, hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL | 25        | 27.4|

Table-5 shows patient categorization on presence of different components of metabolic syndrome in type 2 DM patients. It revealed 31.8% patient had type 2 DM with only HTN. 80.2% patient had type 2 DM with obesity and 72.5% patient had type 2 DM with hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL. 29.6% patient had type 2 DM with HTN and obesity, 67.03% patient had type 2 DM with obesity and hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL where as 27.4% patient had type 2 DM with HTN, obesity and hypertriglyceridemia and low HDL (Table-5).

Table-6: Duration and control of diabetes mellitus in patients with type 2 DM (n=91)

| Duration of DM                     | Frequency | %   | Mean±SD     |
|------------------------------------|-----------|-----|-------------|
| 0-4 years                          | 23        | 25.0| 7.44±4.21   |
| 5-9 years                          | 32        | 35.0|             |
| >9 years                           | 36        | 40.0|             |
| Control of DM                      |           |     |             |
| Controlled                         | 29        | 31.8|             |
| Uncontrolled                       | 62        | 68.2|             |

NB: x ±SD HbA1c was 6.96±1.17%

In this study (35.0±40.0) % patients had diabetes more than 5 years and majority (68.2%) of patient DM was uncontrolled (Table-6).

Fig-1: Proportion of fatty liver in patients with type 2 DM by abdominal ultrasonography (n=91)

In this study ultrasonographic proportion of NAFLD was 60.4% in patients with type 2 DM (Figure-1).

Table-7: Comparison of different clinical variables in diabetic patients with and without fatty liver (n=91)

| Characteristics     | Type 2 Diabetes mellitus patients | T, DF (p) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
|                     | With fatty liver n=55 (mean±SD)   | Without fatty liver n=36 (mean±SD) |         |
| HbA1c               | 7.282±1.26                        | 6.458±0.78 | 3.478, 89 (0.000) |
| BMI                 | 28.48±2.77                        | 25.40±1.69 | 5.97, 89 (0.000) |
| Waist circumference | 103.91±7.55                       | 99.64±8.11 | 2.56, 89 (0.012) |
| ALT                 | 38.29±6.10                        | 37.78±5.28 | 0.413, 89 (0.681) |
| AST                 | 29.44±5.65                        | 29.53±6.31 | –0.072, 89 (0.943) |
| AST:ALT             | 0.760±0.08                        | 0.770±0.80 | –0.32, 89 (0.749) |
In this study demographic variables like BMI, waist circumference were significantly associated with presence of fatty liver in T2DM patients (p=0.000 and p=0.000 respectively). HbA1c was significantly associated with presence of NAFLD (p=0.000) but ALT, AST and AST: ALT were not significantly associated with presence of NAFLD in T2DM patients (p=0.681, p=0.943 and p=0.749 respectively) (Table-7).

DISCUSSION

NAFLD has become a worldwide health concern. It was previously thought to be uncommon and usually classified under the cryptogenic causes of chronic liver diseases. It is now recognized that NAFLD results in hepatic metabolic stress damage, and is closely related to insulin resistance (IR) and genetic susceptibility [49, 50]. NAFLD cases among suspected liver disease patients appear to be uncommon in young adults in our environment but common in the fourth decade of life. NAFLD is characterized by fatty infiltration of the liver mostly in the form of triglyceride which exceeds 5% of the liver weight without a history of significant alcohol intake and not due to other identifiable causes of liver [3]. Fatty liver is a common finding among T2DM individuals. NAFLD and T2DM together have poorer prognosis in terms of higher frequency of cirrhosis and mortality [27]. NAFLD is more commonly seen in T2DM patients and it is now an important public health issue. NAFLD is associated with a variety of features of metabolic syndrome like obesity, hypertension and hypertriglycerideremia and low HDL. NAFLD is the integral part of the metabolic syndrome which comprises a cluster of abnormalities such as hyperglycemia, hypertriglycerideremia and low HDL, hypertension, obesity with insulin resistance as a central pathogenic factor [28]. This cross sectional descriptive study was conducted in medicine department, Rajshahi medical college. This study was designed to determine the ultrasonographic proportion of NAFLD in subjects with T2DM. Ultrasoundography has a sensitivity and specificity of 83% and 100% respectively as compared with histological finding as the gold standard method in detecting fatty liver [29, 30]. Ultrasonography is a validated tool for screening of NAFLD in the absence of liver biopsy [31-33]. Ninety one patients with T2DM were included in this study who met the inclusion criteria and who were admitted in inpatient or attended outpatient department of medicine in Rajshahi medical college hospital. Out of the five components of metabolic syndrome, diabetes is the risk factor most frequently associated with NAFLD. This study further documented the proportion of the other components of metabolic syndrome namely obesity, elevated blood pressure, elevated triglyceride and low HDL cholesterol and we tried to determine if there was significant association of these factors to NAFLD in study subjects. Liver function tests were also done to assess any derangement. The study population was mostly urban, middle class, living and working in Rajshahi and from diverse occupational background. In this study age range of study population was 42 to 71 years and most study subjects were in between 46-55 years of age. Mean age of the study population 51-43±6.24 years. Among ninety one study population sixty one were male and thirty were female. Male female ratio was 2:1. 78% patient were Muslim, 90% patient were married, 70% patient had monthly income >15,000 taka, 33% patient were service holder, 33% patient were housewife and 22% were businessman, 55% patient were graduate. Most of the study population were nonsmoker (75.0%), normotensive (68.2%).In this study mean SBP was 127.47±12.72 mm Hg and mean DBP was 77.09± 10.19 mm Hg. 18.7% patient was overweight and increased waist circumference was observed in 64.8% patient. Among study population twenty nine patients were hypertensive. Prevalence of HTN in T2DM patient with or without NAFLD was 31.8%. Seventy three T2DM patients were obese. Prevalence of obesity in T2DM patient with or without NAFLD was 80.2%. Sixty six patient had high TG level. So prevalence of hypertriglycerideremia and low HDL as evidenced by high TG level in T2DM patient with or without NAFLD was 72.5%. 31.8% patient had type 2 DM with only HTN. 80.2% patient had type 2 DM with obesity and 72.5% patient had type 2 DM with hypertriglycerideremia and low HDL. 29.6% patient had type 2 DM with HTN and obesity, 67.03% patient had type 2 DM with obesity and hypertriglycerideremia and low HDL where as 27.4% patient had type 2 DM with HTN, obesity and hypertriglycerideremia and low HDL. In this study 55 patients out of 91 had ultrasonographic evidence of NAFLD. Proportion of NAFLD in T2DM was 60.4% in this study by ultrasonography. The diagnosis of NAFLD in the current study was solely based on abdominal ultrasonography. Ultrasonography was considered famous and common clinical procedure to diagnose NAFLD which precisely diagnosed steatosis with a sensitivity of upto 80% [34, 20, 35], found proportion of NAFLD was 61% among T2DM population detected by abdominal ultrasound which is almost similar to our study and [36], found 60.8% ultrasonographic occurrence of NAFLD in T2DM patients which was also similar to our study result. This study was found proportion of NAFLD among T2DM patients in their study as 55.68%, 56.66%, 58.5%, 62%, 55.8% respectively [27]. All these studies were conducted in Bangladesh and neighboring India and the prevalence rate was almost similar to our study. On the other hand [37], found 30.5% and 10.51% ultrasonographic presence of NAFLD in T2DM patients which were much lower than our study result. In this study 52.7% patient had mild fatty change and 47.3% had moderate fatty changes in liver on ultrasonography. [38], also found 52% mild and 36% moderate fatty changes on USG which nearly matched our study. In this study mean age of study population was 51.43± 6.24 years and mean age of patients in both
NAFLD and non NAFLD with T2DM patients was 53.24±6.86 years and 48.67±3.81 years respectively which was statistically significant (p=0.000). Higher mean age was found by [38, 35]. Lower age was found by Singh et al., [24]. In this study [38], found no statistically significant difference in age between T2DM patients with and without NAFLD but [35], found significant difference between mean age of two group (p=0.002). In this study, it was found that increased proportion of NAFLD was observed in higher age group but it was not statistically significant (p>0.05). In this study among study population, majority (75%) had diabetes more than 5 years with a mean duration 7.44±4.21 years. Longer duration of DM was significantly associated with higher proportion of NAFLD (p<0.01). And also found longer duration of DM was significantly associated with increased occurrence of NAFLD (p=0.001) [42]. This study found statistically significant association between increased duration of DM and presence of fatty liver (p=0.001, p<0.001 and p<0.0001 respectively) [37, 38], and found no significant relationship between duration of DM and presence of fatty liver (p=0.45 and p=0.81 respectively). But [27], found mean duration of DM was significantly lower in patients with NAFLD as compared to patient without NAFLD (p=0.002). In this study found most of the study population with NAFLD had prolonged course of DM [39]. Found mean duration of DM was 12±3 years among T2DM patient with NAFLD which was statistically significant (p<0.001) [40]. In this study 68.2% patient had uncontrolled DM and uncontrolled DM was significantly associated with more severe form of NAFLD (p<0.01). In this study control of DM was indicated by measurement of HbA1c. Mean HbA1c was 6.96±1.17% among study population and HbA1c was 7.28±1.26% and 6.45±0.78% among NAFLD and non NAFLD patients with T2DM respectively which was statistically significant (p<0.0001). And found control of DM was significantly associated with presence of fatty liver (p<0.001). And found HbA1c level was significantly higher in diabetic patients with fatty liver in comparison to non-fatty liver diabetic patients (p<0.0001) [37, 41]. But [35], found control of DM was not significantly associated with occurrence of NAFLD in T2DM. FPG and HbA1c statistically did not differ between NAFLD and non NAFLD study population (p=0.751 and p=0.064 respectively). This study showed that the prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetic mellitus was significantly higher in participants with NAFLD when compared to non NAFLD patients. Both type 2 DM and NAFLD are associated with adverse outcomes of the other; Type 2 DM is a risk factor for progressive liver disease and liver-related death in patients with NAFLD, whereas NAFLD may be a predictor of cardiovascular risk and mortality in individuals with T2DM [51]. The characteristic findings in NAFLD include increased cholesterol (VLDL and LDL), increased triglyceride and low HDL [52]. This was essentially the same pattern seen in this study. There was also increased triglyceride levels in the NAFLD group due to over production of VLDL, particles during lipid metabolism associated with insulin resistance. In the blood, the TG content of VLDL is progressively reduced by the action of lipoprotein lipase eventually resulting in intermediate density lipoproteins and LDL with high cholesterol content [50]. The prevalence of hypertension was significantly higher in NAFLD when compared to non NAFLD subjects and was similar to findings from other studies [47, 48].

CONCLUSION

Indices of the metabolic syndrome were more prevalent in patients with NAFLD. It is recommended that patients with NAFLD be screened for metabolic syndrome and appropriate therapy instituted to decrease the risk of both hepatic and cardiovascular complications.
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