Simultaneous Quantification of Ten Active Components in Traditional Chinese Formula Sijunzi Decoction Using a UPLC-PDA Method
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1. Introduction

Traditional Chinese herbal formulation (TCMF) has been widely used in the clinic for its well-proven efficacy with few side effects. Sijunzi decoction (SJZT) is one of the most famous TCMFs consisting of four herbs: Radix Ginseng, Poria cocos, Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae, and Radix Glycyrrhizae. In China, SJZT has long been used for the treatment of gastrointestinal disorders such as chronic gastritis and gastric and duodenal ulcer, and it could effectively attenuate nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea [1]. Clinical studies show that SJZT could effectively restore the homeostasis of the digestive tract in patients [2]. More recently, SJZT has been shown to ameliorate the intestinal flora disturbance in rat models of spleen deficiency syndrome [3]. Moreover, emerging evidences are showing that SJZT and modified SJZT could play a good supporting role in suppressing tumors and confer a protective effect on gastrointestinal mucosa damage induced by chemotherapy [4].

Supporting these well-confirmed pharmacological efficacies, recent years have seen an increasing knowledge of the chemical components from SJZT. HPLC-MS was exploited to analyze the major components of SJZT, and eight ginsenosides (ginsenosides Rg1, Re, Rb1, liquiritin, liquiritigenin, glycyrrhizic acid, atracylenolide I, atracylenolide II, atracylenolide III, and pachymic acid). Separation was achieved using an ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 μm) with a gradient elution program consisting of acetonitrile and 0.1% phosphoric acid solution. The detection wavelengths were set at 203, 254, 222, and 267 nm. The method was validated for linearity, accuracy, precision, limit of detection, and limit of quantification. The validated method was successfully applied to the simultaneous quantification of ten active compounds from several finished batches of SJZT. This validated that UPLC method is expected to provide a new basis for the quality control of SJZT.
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main active components existing in SJZT may be influenced by harvest time, plant origin, and manufacturing procedures, which could significantly affect the pharmacological effects and necessitate the quality assessment of SJZT. Undoubtedly, it is not easy to simultaneously determine all the components existing in the formula. However, simultaneous analysis of the main active components may be one possible solution. Previous studies have quantitatively determined the main components existing in the four individual herbs of SJZT, namely, *Radix Ginseng* [6, 7], *Poria cocos* [8], *Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae* [9, 10], and *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* [11–13], by using HPLC or UPLC methods. However, a satisfactory quantitative method of the major active components in SJZT for quality control purposes is not available. Simultaneous analysis for the main active compounds in each herb of SJZT has been suggested as one possible solution.

The aim of this research was to develop a convenient, reliable, and sensitive analytical method to determine the quantity of major compounds in SJZT by using ultraperformance liquid chromatography (UPLC). Specifically, ginsenoside Rg1, Re, Rb1, liquiritin, liquiritigenin, glycyrrhizic acid, atracylenolide I, atracylenolide II, atracylenolide III, and pachymic acid were selected as the marker constituents for the relatively high contents in the individual herbs and their validated pharmacological effects, such as anti-inflammatory, brain protection effects, antioxidation effect, and hypoglycemic effect [1, 5]. The potential application of this study could not only support a quality control of SJZT but also provide a theoretical basis for further in-depth research of SJZT in clinical research.

2. Experimental

2.1. Reagents and Chemicals. The four crude herbs, *Radix Ginseng*, *Poria cocos*, *Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae*, and *Glycyrrhiza uralensis*, were purchased from Nanjing Traffic Hospital (Nanjing, China). All samples were identified by one of the authors (Professor Wang Xiao-Long) as authentic herbal medicine. Ginsenoside Rg1, Re, and Rb1 were purchased from Jilin University (Changchun, China); liquiritin, liquiritigenin, and glycyrrhizic acid, atracylenolide I, atracylenolide II, atracylenolide III, and pachymic acid were purchased as the marker constituents for the relatively high contents in the individual herbs and their validated pharmacological effects, such as anti-inflammatory, brain protection effects, antioxidation effect, and hypoglycemic effect [1, 5]. The potential application of this study could not only support a quality control of SJZT but also provide a theoretical basis for further in-depth research of SJZT in clinical research.

2.2. Chromatographic Analysis. The analytes were separated on an ACQUITY UPLC H-class system with a PDA detector using 2.1 mm × 100 mm × 1.7 μm ACQUITY BEH C18 column with a flow rate of 0.3 mL min⁻¹. Separation was achieved using a gradient method. Acetonitrile (A) and 0.1% phosphoric acid (B) were selected and the gradient solvent system was as follows: 0–5 min, (A) 19%; 5–13 min, 19%–30%; 13–25 min, 30%–50%; 25–33 min, 50%–60%; 33–37 min, (A) 60%–68%; 37–39 min, (A) 68%–19%; and 30–40 min, (A) 19%. The injection volume of samples was 5 μL and temperature of the column oven was maintained at 30 °C. The UV wavelength was set at 203 nm (for ginsenoside Rg1, Re, and Rb1), 254 nm (for liquiritin, glycyrrhizic acid, and liquiritigenin), 222 nm (for atracylenolide I and III), 276 nm (for atracylenolide II), and 242 nm (for pachymic acid).

2.3. Preparation of SJZT Samples. According to the original composition of SJZT, the four constituting herbs including *Radix Ginseng* (100 g), *Poria cocos* (100 g), *Rhizoma Atractylodis Macrocephalae* (100 g), and *Glycyrrhiza uralensis* (50 g) were crushed into small pieces and then mixed and decocted twice in 3500 mL water for 1 h in a glass flask. The decoction was filtered through 8 layers of gauze; the filtrate was concentrated in vacuum at 60 °C at a final concentration of 2 g/mL.

Ethanol was added to an aliquot of 5 mL SJZT overnight in order to remove the polysaccharides. The supernatant was transferred into a test tube and evaporated to dryness with vacuum at room temperature. Finally, the residue was reconstituted in 5 mL methanol by vortex mixing for 5 min and centrifuged at 16,000 rpm for 10 minutes. 5 μL supernatant was injected into chromatographic systems for analysis.

2.4. Preparation of Standard Solutions. The standard stock solutions of ginsenoside Rg1 (300.00 μg/mL), ginsenoside Re (302.10 μg/mL), ginsenoside Rb1 (300.00 μg/mL), liquiritin (90.30 μg/mL), liquiritigenin (87.00 μg/mL), glycyrrhizic acid (110.00 μg/mL), atracylenolide III (59.00 μg/mL), atracylenolide I (71.40 μg/mL), atracylenolide II (50.60 μg/mL), and pachymic acid (200.00 μg/mL) were prepared in methanol. These solutions were stored at 4°C and were stable for at least 1 month.

2.5. Preparation of Negative Control Samples of SJZT. The negative control samples of SJZT were prepared by deriving one herb from the prescriptions. The herbs were accurately weighed according to the prescription of SJZT and prepared with the same procedure as for the sample preparation.

2.6. Method Validation. Specificity, linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), precision (repeatability and intra- and interassay), and accuracy (recovery) of this UPLC method were evaluated in accordance with International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) [14].

The standard calibration curve for the linearity assay was prepared with seven different concentrations of diluted standard solutions (ginsenoside Rg1, ginsenoside Re, ginsenoside Rb1, liquiritin, glycyrrhizic acid, liquiritigenin, atracylenolide I, atracylenolide II, atracylenolide III, and
Ginsenoside Re

Ginsenoside Rg₁

Ginsenoside Re

Ginsenoside Rb₁

Liquiritigenin

Gluc-osl-rha

Glycyrrhizic acid

Pachymic acid

Liquiritin

Atractylenolide I

Atractylenolide II

Atractylenolide III

Figure 1: The chemical structure of ginsenoside Rg₁, Re, Rb₁, liquiritin, liquiritigenin, glycyrrhizic acid, atractylenolide I, atractylenolide II, atractylenolide III, and pachymic acid.

pachymic acid). The lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was determined as the lowest concentration point of the standard curve and the signal-to-noise ratio was higher than 10. The lower limit of detection (LLOD) was defined as the amount that could be detected with a signal-to-noise ratio of 3.

The precision of the analytical method was evaluated by intrabatch and interbatch variability. Three different concentrations of standards (low, medium, and high) were prepared. The quantity of each component was determined by the respective calibration curve. RSD was used to measure precision. The interbatch reproducibility test was carried out on three different batches.

Recovery studies were carried out by spiking three concentrations of mixed standards at low (50% of the known
amounts), medium (100% of the known amounts), and high (200% of the known amounts) in the 5 mL of SJZT. Then, the spiked samples were then extracted, processed, and quantified in accordance with the methods mentioned above.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Optimization of the UPLC Conditions. Optimization of the separation conditions for HPLC analysis was performed including the mobile phase composition, gradient elution program, and wavelength. To obtain chromatograms with better resolution of adjacent peaks within shorter time, the chromatographic conditions were optimized. Methanol and acetonitrile were compared in the experiment. The result showed that acetonitrile was much better as it could result in a better resolution and shorter time for analysis. In addition, water and 0.1% phosphoric acid/water were investigated and the result showed that 0.1% phosphoric acid/water was better than water. As a result ACQUITY UPLC BEH C18 column (2.1 mm × 100 mm, 1.7 μm) with acetonitrile and
### Table 1: The linear regression data, LODs, and LOQs of ten compounds.

| Components       | Regression equations | $R^2$ | Linear range (μg/mL) | LODs (μg/mL) | LOQs (μg/mL) |
|------------------|----------------------|-------|-----------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Ginsenoside Rg1  | $y = 2123.1x + 1493.3$ | 1     | 4.68–300.00           | 0.07         | 0.23         |
| Ginsenoside Re   | $y = 1701.3x + 2520.7$ | 0.9998| 4.72–302.10           | 0.07         | 0.23         |
| Ginsenoside Rb1  | $y = 1465x + 2077.4$  | 0.9998| 4.68–300.00           | 0.06         | 0.20         |
| Liquiritin       | $y = 4334.7x + 1378.8$ | 0.9998| 1.41–90.30            | 0.02         | 0.07         |
| Liquiritigenin   | $y = 7817.1x + 3469.8$| 0.9998| 1.36–870.00           | 0.02         | 0.07         |
| Glycyrrhizic acid| $y = 3181.9x + 1888$  | 0.9998| 1.72–110.00           | 0.03         | 0.10         |
| Atractylenolide III | $y = 34419x + 6432.6$ | 0.9998| 0.92–59.00            | 0.01         | 0.03         |
| Atractylenolide I | $y = 18610x + 8178.9$ | 0.9998| 1.12–71.40            | 0.02         | 0.07         |
| Atractylenolide II | $y = 40016x + 9753.9$ | 0.9999| 0.79–50.60            | 0.01         | 0.03         |
| Glycyrrhizic acid | $y = 2060.1x + 3937.9$ | 0.9996| 3.13–200.00           | 0.05         | 0.16         |

$y = Ax + B$; $y$ is peak area; $x$ is concentration of the analytes (μg/mL); $r$ is the correlation coefficient of the equation.

### Table 2: Precision, repeatability, and stability of ten compounds in SJZT ($n = 6$).

| Analytes          | Levels (μg/mL) | Precision | Intraday RSD (%) | Interday RSD (%) | Repeatability RSD (%) | Stability RSD (%) |
|-------------------|----------------|-----------|------------------|------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Ginsenoside Rg1   | 7.03           | 1.05%     | 0.29%            |                  | 0.98%                 | 1.01%             |
|                   | 37.50          | 0.30%     | 0.20%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 240.00         | 0.85%     | 1.04%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Ginsenoside Re    | 7.08           | 0.60%     | 0.22%            |                  | 0.87%                 | 1.04%             |
|                   | 37.76          | 0.36%     | 0.42%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 241.68         | 0.81%     | 0.93%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Ginsenoside Rb1   | 7.03           | 0.28%     | 0.22%            |                  | 1.20%                 | 0.92%             |
|                   | 37.50          | 0.17%     | 0.16%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 240.00         | 1.15%     | 0.98%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Liquiritin        | 2.12           | 0.33%     | 0.70%            |                  | 0.48%                 | 0.57%             |
|                   | 11.29          | 0.54%     | 0.35%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 72.24          | 1.18%     | 1.03%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Liquiritigenin    | 2.04           | 0.83%     | 0.70%            |                  | 0.27%                 | 0.92%             |
|                   | 10.88          | 0.39%     | 0.49%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 69.60          | 0.39%     | 0.31%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Glycyrrhizic acid | 2.58           | 0.91%     | 1.04%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 13.75          | 0.21%     | 1.13%            |                  | 0.28%                 | 0.73%             |
|                   | 88.00          | 0.71%     | 0.72%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Atractylenolide III | 1.38          | 1.08%     | 0.46%            |                  | 0.63%                 | 0.84%             |
|                   | 7.38           | 0.96%     | 0.50%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 47.20          | 0.93%     | 0.83%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Atractylenolide I | 1.67           | 1.03%     | 0.58%            |                  | 0.81%                 | 0.92%             |
|                   | 8.93           | 1.07%     | 0.97%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 57.12          | 0.87%     | 0.72%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Atractylenolide II | 1.19           | 1.03%     | 0.64%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 6.33           | 0.63%     | 0.62%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 40.48          | 0.97%     | 0.72%            |                  |                       |                   |
| Pachymic acid     | 4.69           | 0.52%     | 0.48%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 25.00          | 0.97%     | 1.10%            |                  |                       |                   |
|                   | 160.00         | 0.61%     | 0.37%            |                  |                       |                   |

RSD: relative standard deviation.
Table 3: Recovery of eight components in Sijunzi (n = 3).

| Components | Contents (μg/mL) | Quantity added (μg/mL) | Theoretical amount (μg/mL) | Recorded amount (μg/mL) | Recovery (%) | RSD (%) |
|------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|---------|
| Ginsenoside Rg₁ | 64.83 | 37.5 | 102.33 | 102.42 | 100.25 | 0.65 |
| Ginsenoside Rg₁ | 64.83 | 75 | 139.83 | 139.44 | 99.48 | 0.78 |
| Ginsenoside Rg₁ | 64.83 | 150 | 214.83 | 210.05 | 96.81 | 0.54 |
| Ginsenoside Rb₁ | 48.17 | 37.5 | 85.67 | 85.24 | 98.85 | 0.67 |
| Ginsenoside Rb₁ | 48.17 | 75 | 123.17 | 119.96 | 95.72 | 0.82 |
| Ginsenoside Rb₁ | 48.17 | 150 | 198.17 | 191.81 | 95.76 | 0.87 |
| Ginsenoside Re | 61.63 | 18.88 | 80.51 | 80.95 | 102.33 | 0.53 |
| Ginsenoside Re | 61.63 | 37.76 | 99.39 | 99.73 | 100.9 | 0.20 |
| Ginsenoside Re | 61.63 | 75.53 | 137.16 | 137.13 | 99.96 | 0.26 |
| Liquiritin | 57.39 | 22.58 | 79.97 | 78.98 | 95.62 | 0.76 |
| Liquiritin | 57.39 | 45.15 | 99.39 | 99.73 | 96.1 | 0.87 |
| Liquiritin | 57.39 | 90.3 | 147.69 | 143.72 | 95.6 | 0.84 |
| Liquiritigenin | 47.48 | 21.75 | 69.23 | 69.27 | 100.18 | 0.25 |
| Liquiritigenin | 47.48 | 43.5 | 90.98 | 91.67 | 101.59 | 0.79 |
| Liquiritigenin | 47.48 | 87 | 134.48 | 136.8 | 95.6 | 0.84 |
| Glycyrrhizic acid | 111.18 | 55.00 | 166.18 | 164.12 | 96.25 | 0.62 |
| Glycyrrhizic acid | 111.18 | 110.00 | 221.18 | 217.71 | 96.84 | 0.63 |
| Glycyrrhizic acid | 111.18 | 220.00 | 331.18 | 330.52 | 99.7 | 0.36 |
| Atractylenolide III | 42.08 | 29.50 | 71.58 | 72.45 | 102.95 | 0.83 |
| Atractylenolide III | 42.08 | 59.00 | 101.08 | 100.81 | 99.54 | 0.30 |
| Atractylenolide III | 42.08 | 118.00 | 160.08 | 160.29 | 100.18 | 0.24 |
| Atractylenolide I | 10.50 | 8.93 | 19.43 | 19.37 | 99.33 | 0.21 |
| Atractylenolide I | 10.50 | 17.85 | 28.35 | 28.47 | 100.67 | 0.20 |
| Atractylenolide I | 10.50 | 37.50 | 48.00 | 46.15 | 95.07 | 0.62 |

Recovery (%) = (recorded amount – original amount)/spiked amount * 100%.

0.1% phosphoric acid/water was selected as the preferred chromatographic conditions.

Moreover, different gradient profiles were also optimized. Actually, we tried to simplify the gradient elution system and shorten the analysis time, but peaks for atractylenolide III and atractylenolide I have not been completely separated except for the current condition to in the gradient program mentioned above.

In this experiment, the specificity of UV absorption was also investigated, using the present chromatographic conditions and comparing a SJZT sample with a standard mixture. The UV absorbance and the best UV detection wavelength of each compound in SJZT were confirmed as follows: ginsenoside Rg₁, R e, and Rb₁ (203 nm), liquiritin, glycyrrhizic acid, and liquiritigenin (254 nm), atractylenolide I and atractylenolide III (222 nm), atractylenolide II (276 nm), and pachymic acid (242 nm).

3.2. Validation of the UPLC Method. The validation study allowed the evaluation of the method for its suitability for routine analysis.

3.2.1. Specificity. Representative chromatograms of the standard solution, sample solution, and negative control samples at different UV wavelength were shown in Figure 2. The chromatographic peaks were identified by comparing their retention time with that of each reference compound. In addition, chromatograms of the negative control samples further confirmed the specificity of this method.

3.2.2. Calibration Curves, LODs, and LOQs. The linearity of the developed method was assessed using seven different concentrations each of ginsenoside Rg₁, ginsenoside R g, ginsenoside R b₁, liquiritin, glycyrrhizic acid, liquiritigenin, atractylenolide I, atractylenolide II, atractylenolide III, and pachymic acid and the observed concentrated ranges were as follows: 4.68–300.00, 4.72–302.10, 4.68–300.00, 1.41–90.30, 1.72–110.00, 1.36–87.00, 1.12–71.40, 0.79–50.60, 0.92–59.00, and 3.13–200.00 μg/mL, respectively. The correlation coefficient (R²) of all biomarkers has good linearity of over > 0.9996 in the aforementioned ranges. The LODs and LOQs of the ten analytes were 0.01–0.07 and 0.03–0.23 μg/mL, respectively (Table 1).
Table 4: Contents of eight components in three batches of SJZT.

| Sample | Source | Ginsenoside Rg1 (mg/g) | Ginsenoside Re (mg/g) | Ginsenoside Rb1 (mg/g) | Liquiritin (mg/g) | Liquiritigenin (mg/g) | Glycyrrhizic acid (mg/g) | Atractylenolide III (mg/g) | Atractylenolide I (mg/g) |
|--------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1      | Batch 1 | 0.254                 | 0.115                 | 0.184                 | 0.659            | 0.078                | 0.258                  | 0.020                    | 0.013                    |
| 2      | Batch 2 | 0.445                 | 0.185                 | 0.261                 | 0.858            | 0.100                | 0.345                  | 0.043                    | 0.017                    |
| 3      | Batch 3 | 0.209                 | 0.094                 | 0.116                 | 0.600            | 0.067                | 0.572                  | 0.039                    | 0.011                    |

3.2.3. Precision, Repeatability, and Stability. Intraday and interday variations were chosen to determine the precision of the developed assay. The analyzed data showed that relative standard deviation (RSD) of intra- and interday was in the range of 0.21–1.18% and 0.20–1.10% (n = 6), the RSD of the SJZT repeatability, and stability test was in the range of 0.20–0.89% and 0.57%–1.04%, respectively (Table 2). None of the precision, repeatability, and stability data exceeded 5%, except atractylenolide II and pachymic acid (the content of those two components were lower than the LODs).

3.2.4. Accuracy. The accuracy of the method was assessed by a recovery assay. The spiked samples were then extracted, processed, and quantified in accordance with the methods mentioned above. The measured data showed that the recovery of the investigated components ranged from 95.07% to 102.67%, and their RSD values were all less than 3.0% (Table 3). Recovery data represented the accuracy of the method and is sufficient for usual analysis.

3.2.5. Applications. The established analytical method was subsequently applied for the simultaneous determination of the ten markers in 3 batches of SJZT. The results are presented in Table 4. The results showed that there are remarkable differences among the contents of the ten components in SJZT from the same or different batches.

4. Conclusion
In this study, a UPLC method for the simultaneous determination of ten active ingredients in SJZT has been developed and the results showed that it could be used for the quality control of the SJZT. Thus, this validated that UPLC method could be expected to provide a new basis for the quality control of SJZT.
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