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Abstract. We study the empirical meaning of randomness with respect to a family of probability distributions \( P_\theta \), where \( \theta \) is a real parameter, using algorithmic randomness theory. In the case when for a computable probability distribution \( P_\theta \) an effectively strongly consistent estimate exists, we show that the Levin’s a priori semicomputable semimeasure of the set of all \( P_\theta \)-random sequences is positive if and only if the parameter \( \theta \) is a computable real number. The different methods for generating “meaningful” \( P_\theta \)-random sequences with noncomputable \( \theta \) are discussed.

1 Introduction

We use algorithmic randomness theory to analyze the empirical meaning of random data generated by a parametric family of probability distributions when the parameter value is noncomputable. More correctly, let a parametric family of probability distributions \( P_\theta \) (\( \theta \) is a real number) be given such that an effectively strongly consistent estimate exists for this family. The Bernoulli family with a real parameter \( \theta \) is an example of such family. We show that in this case the Levin’s a priori semicomputable semimeasure of the set of all \( P_\theta \)-random sequences is positive if and only if the parameter value \( \theta \) is a computable real number.

We say that a property of infinite sequences have an empirical meaning if the Levin’s a priori semimeasure of the set of sequences possessing this property is positive. In this respect, the model of the biased coin with “a prespecified” probability \( \theta \) of the head is invalid if \( \theta \) is a noncomputable real number; noncomputable parameters \( \theta \) can have empirical meaning only in their totality, i.e., as elements of some uncountable sets. For example, \( P_\theta \)-random sequences with noncomputable \( \theta \) can be generated by a Bayesian mixture of these \( P_\theta \) using a computable prior. In this case, evidently, the semicomputable semimeasure of the set of all sequences random with respect to this mixture is positive.

We also show that the Bayesian statistical approach is insufficient to cover all possible “meaningful” cases: a probabilistic machine can be constructed, which with probability close to one outputs a random \( \theta \)-Bernoulli sequence, where the parameter \( \theta \) is not random with respect to each computable probability distribution.
2 Preliminaries

Let \( \Xi \) be the set of all finite binary sequences, \( \Lambda \) be the empty sequence, and \( \Omega \) be the set of all infinite binary sequences. We write \( x \subseteq y \) if a sequence \( y \) is an extension of a sequence \( x \), \( l(x) \) is the length of \( x \). A real-valued function \( P(x) \), where \( x \in \Xi \), is called semimeasure if

\[
P(\Lambda) \leq 1, \\
P(x_0) + P(x_1) \leq P(x)
\]

for all \( x \), and the function \( P \) is semicomputable from below; this means that the set \( \{ (r, x) : r < P(x) \} \), where \( r \) is a rational number, is recursively enumerable. A definition of upper semicomputability is analogous.

Solomonoff proposed ideas for defining the a priori probability distribution on the basis of the general theory of algorithms. Levin [13], [3] gave a precise form of Solomonoff’s ideas in a concept of a maximal semimeasure semicomputable from below (see also Li and Vitányi [7], Section 4.5, Shen et al. [9]). Levin proved that there exists a maximal to within a multiplicative positive constant factor semimeasure \( M \) semicomputable from below, i.e. for every semimeasure \( P \) semicomputable from below a positive constant \( c \) exists such that the inequality

\[
cM(x) \geq P(x)
\]

holds for all \( x \). The semimeasure \( M \) is called the a priori or universal semimeasure.

A function \( P \) is a measure if (1) holds, where both inequality signs \( \leq \) are replaced on =. Any function \( P \) satisfying (1) (with equalities) can be extended on all Borel subsets of \( \Omega \) if we define \( P(\Gamma_x) = P(x) \) in \( \Omega \), where \( x \in \Xi \) and \( \Gamma_x = \{ \omega \in \Omega : x \subseteq \omega \} \); after that, we use the standard method for extending \( P \) to all Borel subsets of \( \Omega \). By simple set in \( \Omega \) we mean a union of intervals \( \Gamma_x \) from a finite set.

A measure \( P \) is computable if it is, at one time, lower and upper semicomputable.

For technical reasons, for any semimeasure \( P \), we consider the maximal measure \( \bar{P} \) such that \( \bar{P} \leq P \). This measure satisfies

\[
\bar{P}(x) = \inf_n \sum_{l(y)=n, x \subseteq y} P(y).
\]

In general, the measure \( \bar{P} \) is noncomputable (and it is not a probability measure). By (2), for each lower semicomputable semimeasure \( P \), the inequality \( c\bar{M}(A) \geq \bar{P}(A) \) holds for every Borel set \( A \), where \( c \) is a positive constant.

In the manner of Levin’s papers [13][14][13] (see also [12]), we consider combinations of probabilistic and deterministic processes as the most general class of processes for generating data. With any probabilistic process some computable probability distribution can be assigned. Any deterministic process is realized by means of an algorithm. Algorithmic processes transform sequences generated
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by probabilistic processes into new sequences. More precise, a probabilistic computer is a pair \((P, F)\), where \(P\) is a computable probability distribution, and \(F\) is a Turing machine supplied with an additional input tape. In the process of computation this machine reads on this tape a sequence \(\omega\) distributed according to \(P\) and produces a sequence \(\omega' = F(\omega)\) (A correct definition see in [4], [7], [9], [12]). So, we can compute the probability

\[
Q(x) = P\{\omega \in \Omega : x \subseteq F(\omega)\}
\]

that the result \(F(\omega)\) of the computation begins with a finite sequence \(x\). It is easy to see that \(Q(x)\) is a semimeasure semicomputable from below.

Generally, the semimeasure \(Q\) can be not a probability distribution in \(\Omega\), since \(F(\omega)\) may be finite for some infinite \(\omega\).

The converse result is proved in Zvonkin and Levin [13]: for every semimeasure \(Q(x)\) semicomputable from below a probabilistic computer \((L, F)\) exists such that

\[
Q(x) = L\{\omega|x \subseteq F(\omega)\},
\]

for all \(x\), where \(L(x) = 2^{-l(x)}\) is the uniform probability distribution in the set of all binary sequences.

Therefore, by (2) \(M(x)\) defines an universal upper bound of the probability of generating \(x\) by probabilistic computers.

We refer readers to Li and Vitányi [7] and to Shen et al. [9] for the theory of algorithmic randomness. We use definition of a random sequence in terms of universal probability. Let \(P\) be some computable measure in \(\Omega\). The deficiency of randomness of a sequence \(\omega \in \Omega\) with respect to \(P\) is defined as

\[
d(\omega|P) = \sup_n \frac{M(\omega^n)}{P(\omega^n)}, \tag{3}
\]

where \(\omega^n = \omega_1\omega_2\ldots\omega_n\). This definition leads to the same class of random sequences as the original Martin-Löf [8] definition. Let \(R_P\) be the set of all infinite binary sequences random with respect to a measure \(P\)

\[
R_P = \{\omega \in \Omega : d(\omega|P) < \infty\}.
\]

We also consider parametric families of probability distributions \(P_\theta(x)\), where \(\theta\) is a real number; we suppose that \(\theta \in [0,1]\). An example of such family is the Bernoulli family \(B_\theta(x) = \theta^k(1 - \theta)^{n-k}\), where \(n\) is the length of \(x\) and \(k\) is the number of ones in it.

We associate with a binary sequence \(\theta_1\theta_2\ldots\) a real number with the binary expansion \(0.\theta_1\theta_2\ldots\). When the sequence \(\theta_1\theta_2\ldots\) is computable or random with respect to some measure we say that the number \(0.\theta_1\theta_2\ldots\) is computable or random with respect to the corresponding measure in \([0,1]\).

We consider probability distributions \(P_\theta\) computable with respect to a parameter \(\theta\). Informally, this means that there exists an algorithm enumerating all pairs of rational numbers \((r_1, r_2)\) such that \(r_1 < P_\theta(x) < r_2\). This algorithm uses
an infinite sequence $\theta$ as an additional input; if some pair $(r_1, r_2)$ was enumerated by this algorithm then only a finite initial fragment of $\theta$ was used in the process of computation (for correct definition, see also Shen et al. [9] and Vovk and V’yugin [10]).

Analogously, we consider parametric lower semicomputable semimeasures. It can be proved that there exist a universal parametric lower semicomputable semimeasure $M_\theta$. This means that for any parametric lower semicomputable semimeasure $R_\theta$ there exists a positive constant $C$ such that $CM_\theta(x) \geq R_\theta(x)$ for all $x$ and $\theta$.

The corresponding definition of randomness with respect to a family $P_\theta$ is obtained by relativization of (3) with respect to $\theta$

\[
d_\theta(\omega) = \sup_n \frac{M_\theta(\omega^n)}{P_\theta(\omega^n)}
\]

(see also [3]). This definition leads to the same class of random sequences as the original Martin-Löf [5] definition relativized with respect to a parameter $\theta$.

For any $\theta$, let

\[
I_\theta = \{ \omega \in \Omega : d_\theta(\omega) < \infty \}
\]

be the set of all infinite binary sequences random with respect to the measure $P_\theta$. In case of Bernoulli family, we call elements of this set $\theta$-Bernoulli sequences.

3 Results

We need some statistical notions (see Cox and Hinkley [2]). Let $P_\theta$ be some computable parametric family of probability distributions. A function $\hat{\theta}(x)$ from $\Xi$ to $[0, 1]$ is called an estimate. An estimate $\theta$ is called strongly consistent if for any parameter value $\theta$

\[
\hat{\theta}(\omega^n) \to \theta
\]

for $P_\theta$-almost all $\omega$. We suppose that $\epsilon$ and $\delta$ are rational numbers. An estimate $\theta$ is called effectively strongly consistent if there exists a computable function $N(\epsilon, \delta)$ such that for any $\theta$ for all $\epsilon$ and $\delta$

\[
P_\theta\{ \omega \in \Omega : \sup_{n \geq N(\epsilon, \delta)} |\hat{\theta}(\omega^n) - \theta| > \epsilon \} \leq \delta
\]

(4)

The strong law of large numbers Borovkov [11] (Chapter 5)

\[
B_\theta \left\{ \sup_{k \geq n} \left| \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \omega_i - \theta \right| \geq \epsilon \right\} < \frac{1}{\epsilon^4 n}
\]

shows that the function $\hat{\theta}(\omega^n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_i$ is a computable strongly consistent estimate for the Bernoulli family $B_\theta$. 
Proposition 1. For any effectively strongly consistent estimate \( \hat{\theta} \),

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\theta}(\omega^n) = \theta
\]

for each \( \omega \in I_\theta \).

Proof. Let, for some \( \theta \), an infinite sequence \( \omega \) be Martin-Löf random with respect to \( P_\theta \).

At first, we prove that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\theta}(\omega^n) \) exists. Let for \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \),

\[
W_j = \{ \alpha \in \Omega : (\exists n, k \geq N(1/j, 2^{-(j+1)})) | \hat{\theta}(\alpha^n) - \hat{\theta}(\alpha^k)| > 1/j \}.
\]

By (4) for any \( \theta \), \( P_\theta(W_j) < 2^{-j} \) for all \( j \). Define \( V_i = \cup_{j>i} W_j \) for all \( i \). By definition, for any \( \theta \), \( P_\theta(V_i) < 2^{-i} \) for all \( i \). Also, any set \( V_i \) can be represented as a recursively enumerable union of intervals of type \( \Gamma_x \). To reduce this definition of Martin-Löf test to the definition of the test (3) define a sequence of uniform lower semicomputable parametric semimeasures

\[
R_{\theta,i}(x) = \begin{cases} 
2^i P_\theta(x) & \text{if } \Gamma_x \subseteq V_i \\
0 & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

and consider the mixture \( R_\theta(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}} R_{\theta,i}(x) \).

Suppose that the limit \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\theta}(\omega^n) \) does not exist. Then for each sufficiently large \( j \), \( |\hat{\theta}(\omega^n) - \hat{\theta}(\omega^k)| > 1/j \) for infinitely many \( n, k \). This implies that \( \omega \in V_i \) for all \( i \), and then for some positive constant \( c \),

\[
d_\theta(\omega) = \sup_n \frac{M_\theta(\omega^n)}{P_\theta(\omega^n)} \geq \sup_n \frac{R_\theta(\omega^n)}{cP_\theta(\omega^n)} = \infty,
\]

i.e., \( \omega \) is not Martin-Löf random with respect to \( P_\theta \).

Suppose that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\theta}(\omega^n) \neq \theta \). Then the rational numbers \( r_1, r_2 \) exist such that \( r_1 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\theta}(\omega^n) < r_2 \) and \( \theta \notin [r_1, r_2] \). Since the estimate \( \hat{\theta} \) is consistent, \( P_\theta(\alpha : r_1 < \lim_{n \to \infty} \hat{\theta}(\alpha^n) < r_2) = 0 \), and we can effectively (using \( \theta \)) enumerate an infinite sequence of positive integer numbers \( n_1 < n_2 < \ldots \) such that for

\[
W_j' = \cup \{ \Gamma_x : l(x) \geq n_j, r_1 < \hat{\theta}(x) < r_2 \},
\]

we have \( P_\theta(W'_j) < 2^{-j} \) for all \( j \). Define \( V'_i = \cup_{j>i} W'_j \) for all \( i \). We have \( P_\theta(V'_i) \leq 2^{-i} \) and \( \omega \in V'_i \) for all \( i \). Then \( \omega \) can not be Martin-Löf random with respect to \( P_\theta \). These two contradictions obtained above prove the proposition. \( \square \)

The following theorem shows that, from the point of view of the philosophy explained above, \( P_\theta \)-random sequences with “a prespecified” noncomputable parameter \( \theta \) can not be obtained in any combinations of stochastic and deterministic processes.
Theorem 1. Let a computable parametric family \( P_\theta \) of probability distributions has an effectively strongly consistent estimate. Then for any \( \theta, M(I_\theta) > 0 \) if and only if \( \theta \) is computable.

Proof. If \( \theta \) is computable then the probability distribution \( P_\theta \) is also computable and by (2) \( c M(I_\theta) \geq P_\theta(I_\theta) = 1 \), where \( c \) is a positive constant.

The proof of the converse assertion is more complicated. Let \( M(I_\theta) > 0 \). There exists a simple set \( V \) (a union of a finite set of intervals) and a rational number \( r \) such that \( \frac{1}{2} M(V) < r < M(I_\theta \cup V) \). For any finite set \( X \subseteq \Xi \), let \( X = \bigcup_{x \in X} \Gamma_x \).

Let \( n \) be a positive integer number. Let us compute a rational approximation \( \theta_n \) of \( \theta \) up to \( \frac{1}{2n} \). Using the exhaustive search, we find a finite set \( X_n \) of pairwise incomparable finite sequences of lengths \( \geq N(1/n, 2^{-n}) \) such that

\[
X_n \subseteq V, \quad \sum_{x \in X_n} M(x) > r, \quad \left| \hat{\theta}(x) - \check{\theta}(x') \right| \leq \frac{1}{2n}
\]

(5) for all \( x, x' \in X_n \). If any such set \( X_n \) will be found, we put \( \theta_n = \hat{\theta}(x) \), where \( x \in X_n \) is minimal with respect to some natural (lexicographic) ordering of all finite binary sequences.

Now we prove that for any \( n \) the set \( X_n \) exists. Since \( M(I_\theta \cap V) > r \), there exists a closed (in the topology defined by intervals \( \Gamma_x \)) set \( E \subseteq I_\theta \cap V \) such that \( M(E) > r \). Consider the function

\[
f_k(\omega) = \inf\{n : n \geq k, |\hat{\theta}(\omega^n) - \theta| \leq \frac{1}{4n}\}.
\]

By Proposition I this function is continuous on \( \Omega \) and, since the set \( E \) is compact, it is bounded on \( E \). Hence, for any \( k \), a finite set \( X \subseteq \Xi \) exists consisting of pairwise incomparable sequences of length \( \geq k \) such that \( E \subseteq X \) and \( |\hat{\theta}(x) - \check{\theta}(x')| \leq \frac{1}{2n} \) for any \( x, x' \in X \). Since \( E \subseteq X \), we have \( \sum_{x \in X} M(x) > r \). Therefore, the set \( X_n \) can be found by exhaustive search.

Lemma 1. For any Borel set \( V \subseteq \Omega \), \( M(V) > 0 \) and \( V \subseteq I_\theta \) imply \( P_\theta(V) > 0 \).

Proof. By definition of \( M_\theta \) any computable parametric measure \( P_\theta \) is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure \( M_\theta \), and so, we have representation

\[
P_\theta(X) = \int_X \frac{dP_\theta}{dM_\theta}(\omega) dM_\theta(\omega),
\]

(6)

where \( \frac{dP_\theta}{dM_\theta}(\omega) \) is the Radon-Nicodim derivative; it exists for \( M_\theta \)-almost all \( \omega \).

By definition we have for \( M_\theta \)-almost all \( \omega \in I_\theta \)

\[
\frac{dP_\theta}{dM_\theta}(\omega) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{P_\theta(\omega^n)}{M_\theta(\omega^n)} \geq \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{P_\theta(\omega^n)}{M_\theta(\omega^n)} \geq C_{\theta, \omega} > 0.
\]

(7)
By definition $c_{\theta}M_\theta(X) \geq \bar{M}(X)$ for all Borel sets $X$, where $c_{\theta}$ is some positive constant (depending on $\theta$). Then by (3) and (2) the inequality $M(X) > 0$ implies $P_\theta(X) > 0$ for each Borel set $X$. △

We rewrite (1) in a form

$$E_n = \{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{N \geq N(1/2n,2^{-n})} |\hat{\theta}(\omega^N) - \theta| \geq \frac{1}{2n}\}$$

(8)

and $P_\theta(E_n) \leq 2^{-n}$ for all $n$. We prove that $X_n \not\subseteq E_n$ for almost all $n$. Suppose that the opposite assertion holds. Then there exists an increasing infinite sequence of positive integer numbers $n_1, n_2, \ldots$ such that $X_{n_i} \subseteq E_{n_i}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots$. This implies $P_\theta(X_{n_i}) \leq 2^{-n_i}$ for all $i$. For any $k$, define $U_k = \bigcup_{i \geq k} X_{n_i}$. Clearly, we have for all $k$, $M(U_k) > r$ and $P_\theta(U_k) \leq \sum_{i \geq k} 2^{-n_i} \leq 2^{-n_0 + 1}$. Let $U = \cap U_k$, then $P_\theta(U) = 0$ and $M(U) \geq r > \frac{1}{2}M(V)$. From $U \subseteq V$ and $M(I_\theta \cap V) > \frac{1}{2}M(V)$ the inequality $M(I_\theta \cap U) > 0$ follows. Then the set $I_\theta \cap U$ consists of $P_\theta$-random sequences, $P_\theta(I_\theta \cap U) = 0$ and $M(I_\theta \cap U) > 0$. This is a contradiction with Lemma 1.

Let $X_n \not\subseteq E_n$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Let also, a finite sequence $x_n \in X_n$ is defined such that $P_{x_n} \cap (\Omega \setminus E_n) \neq \emptyset$. Then from $l(x_n) \geq N(1/2n,2^{-n})$ the inequality

$$\left| \frac{1}{l(x_n)} \sum_{i=1}^{l(x_n)} (x_n)_i - \theta \right| < \frac{1}{2n}$$

follows. By (2) we obtain $|\theta_n - \theta| < \frac{1}{n}$. This means that the real number $\theta$ is computable. Theorem is proved. △

Bayesian mixture of computable (with respect to $\theta$) probability measures $P_{\theta}$ using a computable prior on $\theta$ gives to $P_{\theta}$-random sequences “the empirical meaning”. Let $Q$ be a computable probability distribution on $\theta$ (i.e., in the set $\Omega$). Then the Bayesian mixture

$$P(x) = \int P_\theta(x) dQ(\theta)$$

is also computable.

Recall that $R_Q$ is the set of all infinite sequences Martin-Löf random with respect to a computable probability measure $Q$. Obviously, $P(\cup_{\theta \in R_Q} I_\theta) = 1$, and then $M(\cup_{\theta \in R_Q} I_\theta) > 0$. Moreover, it follows from Corollary 4 of Vovk and Vyugin [10]

**Theorem 2.** For any computable measure $Q$ a sequence $\omega$ is random with respect to the Bayesian mixture $P$ if and only if $\omega$ is random with respect to a measure $P_\theta$ for some $\theta$ random with respect to the measure $Q$; in other words,

$$R_P = \cup_{\theta \in R_Q} I_\theta.$$
Notice, that any computable \( \theta \) is Martin-Löf random with respect to the computable probability distribution concentrated on this sequence.

The following Theorem 3 shows that the Bayesian approach is insufficient to cover all possible “meaningful” cases: a probabilistic machine can be constructed, which with probability close to one outputs a random \( \theta \)-Bernoulli sequence, where the parameter \( \theta \) is not random with respect to each computable probability distribution.

Let \( \mathcal{P} \) be the set of all computable probability measures in \( \Omega \), and let

\[
\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{R}_P
\]

be the set of all sequences Martin-Löf random with respect to all computable probability measures. We call these sequences stochastic. Its complement \( \mathcal{N} \mathcal{S} = \Omega \setminus \mathcal{S} \) consists of all sequences nonrandom with respect to all computable probability measures. We call them nonstochastic.

We proved in V'yugin [11], [12] that \( M(\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}) > 0 \). Namely, the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 2.** For any \( \epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1 \), a lower semicomputable semimeasure \( Q \) can be constructed such that

\[
\bar{M}(\Omega) > 1 - \epsilon, \tag{9}
\]

\[
\mathcal{N} \mathcal{S} = \bigcup_{Q(\omega) > 0} \Gamma_x. \tag{10}
\]

We show that this result can be extended to parameters of the Bernoulli sequences.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( I_\theta \) be the set of all \( \theta \)-Bernoulli sequences. Then

\[
\bar{M}(\bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}} I_\theta) > 0.
\]

In terms of probabilistic computers, for any \( \epsilon, 0 < \epsilon < 1 \), a probabilistic machine \( (L,F) \) can be constructed, which with probability \( \geq 1 - \epsilon \) generates an \( \theta \)-Bernoulli sequence, where \( \theta \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{S} \) (i.e., \( \theta \) is nonstochastic).

**Proof.** For any \( \epsilon > 0, 0 < \epsilon < 1 \), we define a lower semicomputable semimeasure \( P \) such that

\[
P(\bigcup_{\theta \in \mathcal{N} \mathcal{S}} I_\theta) > 1 - \epsilon.
\]

The proof of the theorem is based on Proposition 2. The property (10) can be rewritten as: \( Q(\omega^n) = 0 \) for all sufficiently large \( n \) if and only if \( \omega \in \mathcal{S} \) (i.e., \( \omega \) is Martin-Löf random with respect to some computable probability measure).

For the measure

\[
R^-(x) = \int B_\theta(x) d\bar{Q}(\theta), \tag{11}
\]

1 We also prove in these papers that \( M(\Omega \setminus \mathcal{R}_L) > 0 \), where \( \mathcal{R}_L \) is the set of all sequences Turing reducible to sequences from \( \mathcal{R}_L \) random with respect to the uniform measure \( L \). By [13] it holds \( \mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_L \). The corresponding strengthening of the Theorem 3 is: \( M(\bigcup_{\theta \in \Omega \setminus \mathcal{R}_L} I_\theta) > 0. \)
where \( B_\theta \) is the Bernoulli measure, by (9) we have \( R^-(\Omega) > 1 - \epsilon \), and by (10) we have \( R^-(\cup_{\theta \in St} I_\theta) = 0 \).

Unfortunately, we cannot conclude that \( c\overline{M} \geq R^- \) for some constant \( c \), since the measure \( R^- \) is not represented in the form \( R^- = \overline{P} \) for some lower semicomputable semimeasure \( P \). To overcome this problem, we consider some semicomputable approximation of this measure.

For any finite binary sequences \( \alpha \) and \( x \), let \( B^-_\alpha(x) = (\theta--)^K (1 - \theta^+)^{N-K} \), where \( N \) is the length of \( x \) and \( K \) is the number of ones in it, \( \theta^- \) is the left side of the subinterval corresponding to the sequence \( \alpha \) and \( \theta^+ \) is its right side. By definition, \( B^-_\alpha(x) \leq B^-_\theta(x) \) for all \( \theta^- \leq \theta \leq \theta^+ \).

Suppose that \( \epsilon \) is a rational number. Let \( Q^+(x) \) be equal to the maximal rational number \( r < Q(x) \) computed in \( s \) steps of enumeration of \( Q(x) \) from below. Using (9), we can define for \( n = 1, 2, \ldots \) and for any \( x \) of length \( n \) a computable sequence of positive integer numbers \( s_x \geq n \) and a sequence of finite binary sequences \( \alpha_{x,1}, \alpha_{x,2}, \ldots \alpha_{x,k_x} \) of length \( \geq n \) such that the function \( P(x) \) defined by

\[
P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_x} B^-_{\alpha_{x,i}}(x)Q^{x*}(\alpha_{x,i})
\]

is a semimeasure, i.e., such that condition (11) holds for all \( x \), and such that

\[
\sum_{i(x)=n} P(x) > 1 - \epsilon
\]

holds for all \( n \). These sequences exist, since the limit function \( R^- \) defined by (11) is a measure satisfying \( R^-(\Omega) > 1 - \epsilon \).

By definition the semimeasure \( P(x) \) is lower semicomputable. Then \( cM(x) \geq P(x) \) holds for all \( x \in \Xi \), where \( c \) is a positive constant.

To prove that \( \overline{P}(\Omega \setminus \cup_{\theta \in St} I_\theta) = 0 \) we consider some probability measure \( Q^+ \geq Q \). Since (11) holds, it is possible to define some noncomputable measure \( Q^+ \) satisfying these properties in many different ways. Define the mixture of the Bernoulli measures with respect to \( Q^+ \)

\[
R^+(x) = \int B_\theta(x) dQ^+(\theta).
\]

By definition \( R^+(\Omega \setminus \cup_{\theta \in St} I_\theta) = 0 \). Using definitions (12) and (14), it can be easily proved that \( \overline{P} \leq R^+ \). Then \( \overline{P}(\Omega \setminus \cup_{\theta \in St} I_\theta) = 0 \). By (10) we have \( \overline{P}(\cup_{\theta \in St} I_\theta) = 0 \). By (13) we have \( \overline{P}(\Omega) > 1 - \epsilon \). Then \( \overline{P}(\cup_{\theta \in St} I_\theta) > 0 \). Therefore, \( M(\cup_{\theta \in St} I_\theta) > 0 \).

\[\triangle\]
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