Nestingspin-fluctuations, and odd-gap superconductivity in Na$_2$CoO$_2$·yH$_2$O
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We have calculated the one-electron susceptibility of hydrated Na$_2$CoO$_2$ and find strong nesting nearly commensurate with a 2×2 superstructure. The nesting involves about 70% of all electrons at the Fermi level and is robust with respect to doping. This nesting creates a tendency to a charge density wave compatible with the charge order often seen at $x \approx 0.5$, which is usually ascribed to electrostatic repulsion of Na ions. In the spin channel, it gives rise to strong spin-fluctuations, which should be important for superconductivity. The superconducting state most compatible with this nesting structure is an odd-gap triplet s-wave state.

Introduction. The recent discovery of superconductivity in the layered oxide Na$_{1/3}$CoO$_2$·1.4H$_2$O is the subject of intense experimental and theoretical research, despite its relatively low critical temperature, due to a combination of properties that suggest the possibility of a non-trivial superconducting state and/or a non-trivial pairing mechanism. These include unusual magnetic, thermodynamic, and transport properties, the apparent proximity to both structural and magnetic instabilities, and the frustrated triangular Co lattice.

A variety of possible pairing interactions may be relevant in this system. Structural instabilities have been reported in the non-hydrated compound, suggesting the possibility of a related soft mode and, correspondingly, strong electron-phonon coupling. A high polarizability of water molecules may be responsible for “sandwich” type superconductivity, where the paired electrons and the pairing bosons are spatially separated.

Band structure calculations yield a ferromagnetic ground state and favor an anti-ferromagnetic state over a non-magnetic one, presaging long-range spin fluctuations that are observed at some dopings. Finally, and this is the central point of the current Letter, nesting properties of the calculated Fermi surface suggest the existence of strong anti-ferromagnetic spin fluctuations, which are bound to play an important role in superconductivity (as well as in the normal transport). First and foremost, they make a conventional singlet s-wave state rather unlikely.

Experimental evidence for a pairing symmetry is still inconclusive. There are reports of a lack of reduction of the Knight shift through the superconducting transition, suggesting a triplet state with vector order parameter directed out of the plane. Density of states probes: µSR, NMR, and NQR have so far observed a non-exponential relaxation rate behavior below $T_c$, inconsistent with a fully gapped state: some reported a Hebel-Slichter maximum near $T_c$, suggesting a coherence peak in the DOS, while others did not find such a maximum, possibly because of impurity scattering.

Here, we calculate the one-electron susceptibility and show that it has strong structure in reciprocal space, which is not related to crystal symmetry, but is, accidentally, nearly commensurate with the lattice. This structure is robust with respect to doping and interlayer distance, and may even be responsible for the reported superstructures (as opposed to an intuitive picture relating them solely to Coulomb ordering of Na ions). The calculated spin fluctuations appear to be incompatible with either singlet or triplet BCS superconductivity, but they are fully compatible with so-called odd-gap superconductivity, with the most favorable symmetry being triplet s-wave. If this interaction is indeed responsible for the superconductivity, then, somewhat similarly to MgB$_2$, superconductivity is driven by the Fermi surface pockets which have relatively small volume (but large density of states). It is the two-dimensionality of the electronic structure that makes this possible.

Electronic structure, nesting and susceptibility. The strength of Coulomb correlations in Na$_{1/3}$CoO$_2$·1.4H$_2$O (subsequently called NCO) is yet unknown, but even in metals as strongly correlated as the high-$T_c$ cuprates, LDA calculations consistently provide accurate Fermi surfaces. The one-electron band structure of the parent compound, Na$_2$CoO$_2$, is by now well understood. The Co d-bands are split by the octahedral crystal field of the surrounding oxygens into three $t_{2g}$ bands per layer, well separated from the two $e_g$ bands. The former further split in the hexagonal symmetry into one $a_{1g}$ and two $e_g'$ bands. The $a_{1g}$ and one of the two $e_g'$ bands cross the Fermi level, forming, respectively, a large hexagonal hole pocket around the Γ point, and six small, elliptical hole pockets. The effect of hydration on the electronic structure has been shown to be, for all practical purposes, related solely to lattice expansion, and we will therefore use an unhydrated, but expanded compound for our calculations. The neglected effects of Na or H$_2$O disorder would, if anything, further exaggerate two-dimensionality.

We have performed a tight-binding (TB) fit to our paramagnetic full-potential LAPW band structure near $E_f$. The bond length dependence of the TB parameters was incorporated as described in Ref., and used to analyze Fermi surface dependence on the interlayer distance, c, with the apical O height set to its relaxed value in the hydrated compound. The fits (details to be published elsewhere) have an rms error...
of only 3 mRy in the relevant energy range. This level of accuracy and a dense mesh of k-points (over 30,000 throughout the BZ) were necessary for good resolution of the very small FS hole pockets. We use the TB Hamiltonian to calculate the one-electron susceptibility \( \chi_0(q, \omega) = \chi''_0(q, \omega) + i \chi''_0(q, \omega) \), defined as

\[
\chi_0(q, \omega) = \sum_k \left[ f(\epsilon_{k+q}) - f(\epsilon_k) \right]/(\epsilon_k - \epsilon_{k+q} - \omega - i\delta),
\]

where \( \epsilon_k \) is the one-electron energy, \( f \) is the Fermi function, and the matrix elements are neglected [24]. Fig. 3 exhibits the nesting structure in \( \chi''_0(q, \omega) / \omega \) at \( \omega \to 0 \), important for superconductivity, and also in \( \chi_0(q, 0) \).

With an increased \( c \), as expected, the bands are completely two dimensional within the accuracy of our calculations. The \( \epsilon'_{g'} \) holes get heavier, and comprise \( \sim 70\% \) of the DOS at the Fermi energy (Fig. 1), though the total volume of these pockets is half the volume of the central \( a_{1g} \) pocket. The latter, which had formed a hexagonal prism with moderately flat faces in the parent compound, becomes nearly circular and, hence, its contribution to the susceptibility is practically featureless. However, the six elliptical pockets exhibit very good nesting and because of two dimensionality, their small size can only enhance the susceptibility at the nesting vector, leaving the deviation from circular cylindrical shape as the only factor determining nesting strength. Indeed, if they were exactly circular, all three nesting vectors \( Q_1, Q_2, \) and \( Q_3 \), shown in Fig. 2 by solid, narrow dashed and wide dashed lines, respectively, would nest perfectly. No symmetry requirement forces these pockets to be circular, nor is there a restriction imposed on their distance from the \( \Gamma \) point. However, due to their small size they are nearly perfectly elliptical so that, for example, pockets \( A \) and \( D \) in Fig. 2 nest nearly exactly, and, accidentally, the distance between them is just slightly less than half of the reciprocal lattice vector \( G \). As a result, a strong double-humped peak appears in the calculated \( \chi''_0 \) (Fig. 3) at all half-integer reciprocal lattice vectors (the distance between the humps measures the deviation of the nesting vector from \( G/2 \)).

Since \( Q_1 \approx G/2, Q_2 \) and \( Q_3 \) are close to \( G/4 \), as seen in Fig. 3. The corresponding peaks are suppressed by the ellipticity of the \( \epsilon_{g'} \) pockets, which creates misorientation between the pockets \( A \) and \( B \) or \( A \) and \( C \). As long as the pockets are perfect ellipses, the peaks at \( Q_2 \) and \( Q_3 \) have the same amplitude. In the real part of \( \chi \) the peak at \( Q_1 \) is broadened but still prominent, but the peaks at \( Q_2, Q_3 \) are smeared out.

**Ramifications for structure and superconductivity.** We have now established that there is prominent nesting-related structure in both real and imaginary parts of the electronic susceptibility at all half-integer reciprocal lattice coordinates, and weaker, but noticeable structure at all quarter-integer coordinates in the imaginary part. Because of two-dimensionality [24], in the charge channel this structure can lead to a Peierls-type charge density wave instability, i.e., a structural transition. Indeed, various superstructures have been reported, especially at \( x = 1/2 \), and are often ascribed to charge ordering of Na ions. Our results suggest that the CoO\(_2\) planes themselves have a tendency toward superstructure formation, even without Na ordering. The observed superstructures, presumably, are affected by both factors. Finally, there are indications [3, 8] that an antiferromagnetic ordering may set in parallel to a structural instability, which in our picture would correspond to the condensation of a spin density wave at a nesting vector.

At the compositions where the structure in \( \chi' \) does not lead to an instability, one may expect soft modes associated with the corresponding wave vectors. However, from the point of view of superconductivity, even more interesting are the corresponding spin fluctuations, which take advantage not only of the structure in the real part of \( \chi_0 \), but also of the (much sharper) structure of \( \chi''_0 \). Let us first concentrate on the strongest peak in \( \chi''_0 \).
that is, in the triplet channel, and for antisymmetric or-
tional inversion, for inversion symmetric order parameters,
for singlet. Since the pockets
on the corresponding pockets (A and D in Fig.2).

\[ \Delta(k_A, i\omega_n) = T \sum_{\omega'_n} \frac{V(Q_1, i\omega_n - i\omega'_n)}{\xi_{k_D}^2 + |\omega'_n|^2} \Delta(k_A, i\omega'_n) \quad (1) \]

Here \( \Delta \) stands for the order parameter which is scalar
for singlet, and vector for triplet pairing. The summation
includes all Matsubara frequencies \( \omega_n \), the quasiparticle
energies are given by \( \xi_k \), and \( V(q) \) is the pairing po-
tential (positive for attraction). For pairing induced by
phonons, \( V(q) \) is always positive, while for spin fluctua-
tion exchange it is positive for triplet pairing and negative
for singlet. Since the pockets A and D are related by spa-
tial inversion, for inversion symmetric order parameters,
\( s, d, \) etc., a solution to Eq. 1 exists only if \( V(Q_1) > 0 \),
that is, in the triplet channel, and for antisymmetric or-
der parameters, \( p \) etc., only in the singlet channel. Note
that such strict selection rules are related to the small
size of the \( e'_s \) pockets. Nesting in a large Fermi surface
that can support line nodes can, in principle, induce a
singlet d-wave superconducting state, as discussed, for
instance, in Ref. [20].

The Pauli principle forbids both singlet-p (Sp) and
triplet-s (Ts) states, as well as Ts, unless the order pa-
rameter is odd with respect to Matsubara time, as dis-
cussed first by Berezinski [27] for He^3, and in the con-
text of solid state by Balatsky and collaborators [28].
In other words, spin fluctuations with \( q = Q_1 \) are pair-
ing for the odd-gap Sp state (oSp) and for the odd-gap
Ts state (oTs). The relative stability of these must be
decided by other interactions. Staying within the spin-
fluctuation scenario, we include now the two other nest-
ing vectors, \( Q_2 \) and \( Q_3 \). Let us first consider the oS
state. The order parameter is a scalar, and without
loss of generality we assume it to be constant within
each of the pockets, with a phase changing from pocket
to pocket as in Fig 3. The lowest angular momentum
solution allowed for a real order parameter corre-
sponds to an f-wave, changing among the pockets as\[ \Delta_A : \Delta_B : \Delta_C : \Delta_D = 1 : -1 : 1 : -1, \]which
implies several node lines in the induced gap on the pocket
E. A complex p-wave order parameter is also allowed, so
that \( \Delta_A : \Delta_B : \Delta_C : \Delta_D = 1 : e^{i\pi/3} : e^{2i\pi/3} : -1 \). In this
case, the induced order parameter on the pocket E varies
with angle as \( e^{i\phi} \), and is nodeless, and thus energetically
more favorable. Note that as long as the spin-fluctuations
with vectors \( Q_2 \) and \( Q_3 \) couple to electrons with equal
strength, they do not contribute to pairing at all in ei-
ther case, canceling each other completely (because of the
phase factor).

On the other hand, the oTs state can actually ben-
fit from the two “minor” nestings. In this case, there
are no symmetry-implied nodes, and the simplest so-
FIG. 3: (color online) top: \[ \lim_{\omega \to 0} \chi_0^2(q, \omega)/\omega \] The double-
humped peaks corresponding to \( Q_1 \) nesting appear along the
flat edges of the zone boundary. bottom: \( \chi_0^2(q, 0) \). A temper-
arture broadening of .001 mRy was used.

FIG. 4: The order parameter phase on the relevant sheets of
the Fermi surface of various odd-gap superconducting states
in NCO. Solid black lines indicate pairing interactions and
dashed lines pair-breaking. In the central panel, the phase
factors are \( e^{i\delta} \) where \( \delta \) is indicated in each pocket.
lution has constant gaps over both types of Fermi surfaces. The order parameters are now spinors, which can be translated in a standard way into real-space vectors. Several solutions are possible with pair spins either in or perpendicular to the hexagonal plane, for instance, \( \mathbf{d} = \text{const} \cdot \hat{z} \), where \( \hat{z} \) is the unit vector in c direction, or \( \mathbf{d} = \text{const} \cdot (\hat{x}+i\hat{y}) \). Importantly, for any of the \( oT \)s states, all spin fluctuations are pairing, including those with \( \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{Q}_2 \) and \( \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{Q}_3 \). Furthermore, an \( oT \)s state seems compatible with the limited experimental information available on the pairing symmetry. First, such a state would not exhibit exponential behavior in DOS-probing experiments (specific heat, NMR/NQR, penetration depth) [16, 17]. Second, a coherence peak in the NMR relaxation rate \( T_2 \), if it exists, should be suppressed compared to a conventional \( \varepsilon S \bar{S} \) state. In most experiments such a peak is not observed, but a rather weak coherence peak was seen in Ref. [14, 15]. Third, specifically the \( \mathbf{d} \parallel \hat{z} \) triplet \( s \)-state, similar to the chiral \( p \)-state in \( \text{Sr}_2\text{RuO}_4 \), implies no change in the in-plane susceptibility, as measured by the Knight shift. [12, 13, 14, 15]. Finally, non-magnetic impurities are not pair-breaking for an \( oT \)s state, in agreement with observation [29].

**Conclusion.** We calculated the bare susceptibility of \( \text{Na}_{1/3}\text{CoO}_2 \) in the expanded structure, corresponding to \( \text{Na}_{1/3}\text{CoO}_2 \cdot y\text{H}_2\text{O} \). We found that the main contribution to the density of states comes not from the large Fermi surface pocket at the zone center, but from small surrounding pockets. These pockets exhibit strong nesting features, accidentally commensurate with the lattice, surrounding pockets. These pockets exhibit strong nest-
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