The role of land-use history in driving successional pathways and its implications for the restoration of tropical forests

Catarina C. Jakovac1,2*, André B. Junqueira1,3, Renato Crouzeilles1,4,5, Marielos Peña-Claros2, Rita C. G. Mesquita6 and Frans Bongers2

1 International Institute for Sustainability, Estrada Dona Castorina, 124, Rio de Janeiro, 22460-320, Brazil
2 Forest Ecology and Management Group, Wageningen University & Research, Wageningen, 6700 AA, The Netherlands
3 Institut de Ciència i Tecnologia Ambientals, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Carrer de les Columnes s/n, Cerdanyola del Vallès, Barcelona, 08193, Spain
4 International Institute for Sustainability Australia, Canberra, ACT, 2602, Australia
5 Mestrado Profissional em Ciências do Meio Ambiente, Universidade Veiga de Almeida, Rio de Janeiro, 20271-901, Brazil
6 Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Av. André Araújo, 2936, Manaus, 69083-000, Brazil

ABSTRACT

Secondary forests are increasingly important components of human-modified landscapes in the tropics. Successional pathways, however, can vary enormously across and within landscapes, with divergent regrowth rates, vegetation structure and species composition. While climatic and edaphic conditions drive variations across regions, land-use history plays a central role in driving alternative successional pathways within human-modified landscapes. How land use affects succession depends on its intensity, spatial extent, frequency, duration and management practices, and is mediated by a complex combination of mechanisms acting on different ecosystem components and at different spatial and temporal scales. We review the literature aiming to provide a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms underlying the long-lasting effects of land use on tropical forest succession and to discuss its implications for forest restoration. We organize it following a framework based on the hierarchical model of succession and ecological filtering theory. This review shows that our knowledge is mostly derived from studies in Neotropical forests regenerating after abandonment of shifting cultivation or pasture systems. Vegetation is the ecological component assessed most often. Little is known regarding how the recovery of belowground processes and microbiota communities is affected by previous land-use history. In published studies, land-use history has been mostly characterized by type, without discrimination of intensity, extent, duration or frequency. We compile and discuss the metrics used to describe land-use history, aiming to facilitate future studies.

The literature shows that (i) species availability to succession is affected by transformations in the landscape that affect dispersal, and by management practices and seed predation, which affect the composition and diversity of propagules on site. Once a species successfully reaches an abandoned field, its establishment and performance are dependent on resistance to management practices, tolerance to (modified) soil conditions, herbivory, competition with weeds and invasive species, and facilitation by remnant trees. (ii) Structural and compositional divergences at early stages of succession remain for decades, suggesting that early communities play an important role in governing further ecosystem functioning and processes during succession. Management interventions at early stages could help enhance recovery rates and manipulate successional pathways. (iii) The combination of local and landscape conditions defines the limitations to succession and therefore the potential for natural regeneration to restore ecosystem properties effectively. The knowledge summarized here could enable the identification of conditions in which natural regeneration could efficiently promote forest restoration, and where specific management practices are required to foster succession. Finally, characterization of the landscape context and previous land-use history is essential to understand the limitations to succession and therefore to define cost-effective restoration strategies. Advancing knowledge on these two aspects is key for finding
generalizable relations that will increase the predictability of succession and the efficiency of forest restoration under different landscape contexts.
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I. **INTRODUCTION**

Across the tropics, large extents of forests have been converted to other land uses, mainly agriculture and pastures. Once agricultural and pastoral use cease and fields are abandoned, they can be covered by natural forest regeneration giving place to secondary forests (also called regrowth or successional forests). Successional forests are increasingly widespread in tropical regions, estimated to cover ca. 600 million hectares around the world, together with selectively logged forests (Brown & Lugo, 1990; Pan et al., 2011). Immersed in human-modified landscapes, secondary forests can maintain important ecosystem services including carbon sequestration (Chazdon et al., 2016; Poorter et al., 2016), nutrient cycling (Powers & Marín-Spiotta, 2017), hydrological regulation (Ziegler et al., 2009), biodiversity recovery (Strassburg et al., 2016; Rozendaal et al., 2019), and connectivity between forest fragments (Stouffer et al., 2006; Arroyo-Rodriguez et al., 2017). Secondary forests may also deliver direct resources to local populations such as timber, firewood, non-timber forest products (NTFPs) (Junqueira, Shepard & Clement, 2011), as well as sustaining crop productivity in swidden-fallow systems (Silva-Forsberg & Fearnside, 1997; Junqueira et al., 2011; Jakovac et al., 2016b). Regrowth is gaining importance also in restoration initiatives where natural forest regeneration is being considered as a low-cost alternative to tree planting and a promising means to foster large-scale restoration (e.g. Lamb, 2014; Chazdon & Guariguata, 2016; Crouzeilles et al., 2017; Chazdon et al., 2020). The success of natural regeneration as a strategy to restore ecosystems, however, is very variable (Crouzeilles et al., 2019) and depends on having favourable socio-economic and biophysical conditions for regrowth (Holl & Aide 2011, Crouzeilles et al., 2017, Meli et al., 2017, Chazdon et al., 2020). The rate at which different vegetation attributes recover and the capacity of successional forests to attain levels similar to old-growth forests is highly variable. Within a successional pathway, differential recovery of vegetation attributes is related to the complexity of underlying processes. For example, biomass recovers around three times faster than species richness (Poorter et al., 2016; Rozendaal et al., 2019), because the later depends on the re-establishment of more complex biotic interactions. Across successional pathways, differences in recovery rates of vegetation attributes can indicate differential resilience, i.e. capacity to recover to old-growth forest levels (Mesquita et al., 2001; Norden et al., 2015; Arroyo-Rodrigues et al., 2017). Some pathways can have extremely slow recovery of all vegetation attributes and be considered in an arrested successional stage (Mesquita et al., 2015). While part of this
variation is driven by idiosyncratic and stochastic processes, successional pathways are strongly influenced by biophysical conditions, landscape context and how the land was used prior to its abandonment for regrowth (hereafter called previous land-use history). Climatic and edaphic conditions best explain differences in successional pathways at regional and continental scales (Moran et al., 2000; Poorter et al., 2016), while landscape context and previous land-use history largely drive successional pathways at local and landscape scales (Mesquita et al., 2015; Martínez-Ramos et al., 2016; Arroyo-Rodriguez et al., 2017). At local scales, management practices affect the legacies from former vegetation such as seeds, roots and stumps, and modify soil conditions and biotic interactions (Hooper, Legendre & Condit, 2004; Styger et al., 2007). At regional scales, land use modifies landscape context, i.e. composition and configuration, affecting the seed rain and environmental conditions (e.g. temperature, moisture and wind) under which succession can proceed (Nepstad et al., 1996; Arroyo-Rodriguez et al., 2017). The effects of previous land-use history on succession will also depend on the intensity, spatial extent, frequency, and duration of land use (Turner, 2010; Chazdon, 2014). Therefore, what is broadly called ‘land-use effects’ is actually a complex combination of processes and mechanisms acting on different ecosystem components and at different spatial and temporal scales. Understanding the ecological processes and mechanisms underlying land-use effects on succession is crucial for predicting the potential for natural regeneration as a forest restoration strategy, and for designing practices to assist succession and enhance its ecological quality and economic benefits for landowners (Forero-Montaña et al., 2019).

In this review we synthesize knowledge on how land-use history affects tropical forest succession in human-modified landscapes. We focus on succession after clear cut, and therefore do not include studies evaluating regeneration after logging or in degraded forest fragments. The aim is to elucidate the mechanisms underlying the divergence of successional pathways in human-modified landscapes, and to discuss the implications for ecosystem functioning and forest restoration based on natural regeneration. This study complements previous reviews that focused on successional processes (Chazdon, 2014), on specific regions (e.g. Martínez-Ramos et al., 2016) and on landscape context (Arroyo-Rodriguez et al., 2017), by providing a comprehensive overview of descriptors of land-use history and their effects on different ecological components in secondary forests across the tropics.

II. A FRAMEWORK DESCRIBING SUCCESSIONAL PATHWAYS

This review is organized following a framework that summarizes the main drivers of successional pathways in human-modified landscapes (Fig. 1, solid grey boxes). The framework is founded on previous studies on the hierarchical basis of succession (Pickett, Collins & Armesto, 1987), community assembly rules (Grime, 1998; Lortie et al., 2004; Peterson & Carson, 2008) and on models describing succession in human-modified landscapes (e.g. Chazdon, 2014; Mesquita et al., 2015; Martínez-Ramos et al., 2016; Arroyo-Rodriguez et al., 2017).

This framework illustrates the idea that a combination of socio-economic and biophysical factors defines where and when regrowth will occur, i.e. site availability to succession (Fig. 1, arrow 1). These factors also define (and are partly defined by) land-use patterns and landscape context (Fig. 1, arrow 2). Ecological processes governed by characteristics of the landscape and by management practices associated with land-use history define filtering processes that act upon species availability (Fig. 1, arrow 3) and species establishment and performance (Fig. 1, arrow 4), finally shaping successional communities. In an interactive process, we use the outcomes of this review to complement the framework with the major processes underlying the effects of land-use history on succession (Fig. 1, white boxes).

In Section III we provide an overview of knowledge on the socio-economic drivers of regrowth, aiming to contextualize where, when and for how long regrowth is allowed in current human-modified landscapes. In Section IV.1, we provide a literature review on studies that explicitly investigated the role of land-use history on secondary succession. The aim was to: identify the descriptors used to characterize land-use history (Section IV.1); describe successional pathways associated with land-use history (Section IV.2); and present the mechanisms underlying the effects of land-use history on successional communities (Sections IV.3 and IV.4). We used the databases Web of Science and Scopus using the search strings “land use” AND “succession”“ AND “tropic” in the title, abstract and key words. We did not restrict the search by publication date, returning 565 published studies up to November 2020. We screened the title and abstract to select only studies that explicitly addressed the role of variation in previous land-use history on tropical secondary forests. Studies that addressed only temporal changes over succession or comparisons of secondary forests solely with old-growth forests were not included [see Chazdon (2014) for a comprehensive review]. After eliminating non-relevant articles and complementing with references cited therein, a total of 196 articles were assessed for full content. Results of this search are presented in Section IV and provided as online supporting information (Table S1). We discuss these results in the context of the implications for ecosystem functioning and for forest restoration based on natural regeneration in Section V.

III. THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC DRIVERS OF SITE AVAILABILITY TO SUCCESISON

The occurrence, distribution and permanence of secondary forests are driven by complex interactions between ecological, environmental, socio-cultural, economic and political factors operating at different spatial, temporal and organizational scales (Perz & Almeyda, 2010; Latawiec et al., 2016) (Fig. 1, arrow 1). Forest regrowth typically occurs when pressure on land diminishes, which may happen as a consequence of
rural–urban migration (e.g. Aide & Grau, 2004; López et al., 2006; Schmook & Radel, 2008; Rudel et al., 2009; Hecht et al., 2015), changes to other production systems and increased availability of off-farm labour (e.g. Rudel, 2010) or, most often, the (temporary) abandonment of agricultural lands and pastures (e.g. Arroyo-Mora et al., 2005; Wright & Muller-Landau, 2006; Chazdon et al., 2020). ‘Marginal’ areas for agriculture such as steep slopes (Helmer et al., 2008; Asner et al., 2009; Crk et al., 2009; Rudel et al., 2009), low-fertility soils (Chinea, 2002; Arroyo-Mora et al., 2005; Helmer et al., 2008) and/or poorly accessible areas are more likely to be permanently abandoned and to develop forest regrowth (Thomlinson et al., 1996; Daniels, 2010, but see Stoan, Goosem & Laurance, 2015). In other contexts, young secondary forests dominate the landscape, driven for example by the intensification of shifting cultivation agriculture (Metzger, 2002; Jakovac et al., 2017).

Besides their occurrence, the permanence of secondary forests in the landscape is also a product of socio-economic drivers. Recent studies have shown that most regrowth in human-modified landscapes is allowed to proceed for only two decades or less (Chazdon et al., 2016; Schwartz et al., 2017, 2020; Reid et al., 2019; Nunes et al., 2020; Schwartz et al., 2020). The permanence of regrowth is higher in landscapes with lower accessibility, population density and demand for agricultural production (Metzger, 2002; Jakovac et al., 2017). At the governance sphere, land-use regulations and subsidies for agriculture also influence the decision on allowing regrowth to proceed (Diaz et al., 2011; Chazdon et al., 2020). Although decisions on where and when to abandon land are essentially taken at the household level, these decisions are also triggered by drivers operating at larger scales, such as regional and national policies (Vieira et al., 2014), land opportunity cost (Crk et al., 2009; Hecht et al., 2015; Chazdon & Guariguata, 2016), land tenure (McClellan et al., 2018), market fluctuations (Aide & Grau, 2004; Walsh et al., 2008), conservation initiatives (Harvey et al., 2008), and economic and political changes (Rudel, 2012; Chazdon, 2014). Most often, the trigger is an interaction of socio-economic and biophysical factors operating at multiple spatial and temporal scales. The example of forest transitions in Puerto Rico in the last 50 years illustrates these interactions: rapid economic development after the Second World War led to a shift away from agricultural activities, leading to an overall increase in forest cover; at local scales, biophysical factors such as topography ultimately influenced the areas that were actually abandoned and where forest regeneration took place (Rudel, Perez-Lugo & Zichal, 2000; Brandeis, Helmer & Oswalt, 2007; Yackulic et al., 2011). Despite our growing understanding and recognition of the relevance of forest regrowth, the environmental and socioeconomic factors – and the complex interactions between them that influence the
likelihood of forest regeneration – are not yet fully understood (Aide et al., 2013). This is a promising and necessary pathway for future research to support the design of governance strategies for forest restoration (Guariguata & Brancalion, 2014).

IV. HOW LAND-USE HISTORY DEFINES SUCCESSIONAL PATHWAYS

The majority of studies evaluating the effects of previous land-use history on succession were conducted in Neotropical rainforests and evaluated vegetation attributes (Fig. 2A, B, E). The literature review shows that most of our knowledge comes from succession in abandoned pastures, shifting cultivation systems, and cropping fields (Fig. 2D). Most studies evaluated the effects of differential previous land-use history using same-age comparisons and chronosequences (Fig. 2F). Details regarding the 196 articles reviewed are provided in Table S1.

(1) Descriptors of land-use history

Evaluating the effects of land-use history on succession requires assessing the disturbance regimes, which refer to

---

Fig 2. Quantitative description of reviewed studies according to: Continent (A) and forest type (B) where the study was conducted, the descriptors of previous land-use (LU) history assessed (C), the type of previous land use (D), the response variables analysed (E), and the study approaches used (F; similar-age comparison refers to comparisons across land-use histories with control for age during sampling, chronosequence refers to sampling using space-for-time substitution, longitudinal refers to temporal monitoring of successional patch(es), review/meta-analyses refer to studies that used results from other studies, experimental refers to studies that explicitly manipulated factors, and others refers to modelling, remote sensing and assessment of multiple drivers.
the spatial and temporal dynamics of events that disrupt the structure of an ecosystem, community or population, and change the resource availability or the physical environment (Turner, 2010). In anthropogenic contexts, disturbance regimes are also defined by qualitative descriptors, such as management practices and crop type. Assessing previous land-use history, therefore, is challenging and ideally requires a combination of field data and remote-sensing approaches (e.g. Dias et al., 2016; Dutrieux et al., 2016).

Most studies on secondary succession only reported the type of previous land use (61%) but did not assess other descriptors (Fig. 2C, Table S1). The type of land use is easy to identify, usually correlates with one or more disturbance descriptors (Zermeño-Hernández et al., 2015), and is commonly used as a proxy for land-use intensity or the severity of the impact on succession (see Section IV.2). A few articles (10%) explicitly used land-use type as a proxy for land-use intensity (e.g. Aragón & Morales, 2003; Lakshmipathy, Balakrishna & Bagyari, 2012; Ding et al., 2012b; Prieto et al., 2015; Fig. 2C, Table S1). It is important to note that succession studies often refer to land-use intensity as a synonym for severity referring to the overall ecological effects on successional communities rather than to the actual amount of energy or input implied in the disturbance (Turner, 2010). This definition differs from those used in agronomic and land-use studies (see Erb et al., 2013).

When indicated, the main land-use descriptors were the number and frequency of burning events or cropping cycles (e.g. Lawrence, 2004; Zarin et al., 2005; Jakovac et al., 2015; Fig. 2C), the length of the previous fallow period (27 studies; e.g. Dalle & de Blois, 2006), and the duration of continuous land use (e.g. Moran et al., 2000; Erb et al., 2013; Table S1). Few studies (19%) assessed other management practices, such as weeding frequency, soil amendment, machinery use, and type of crop to describe previous land-use history (Fig. 2; Table S1). Three studies used vegetation attributes as proxies for previous land-use impact, such as the identity of dominant species, the abundance of remaining crops and canopy cover (Table S1). As indicated by the incomplete information provided by most studies, assessing the complete land-use history is not trivial and may not always be possible. Acquisition of such information depends on interviews with long-term local inhabitants or remote-sensing analysis of time series, both of which have limitations and can be time consuming. Therefore, identifying correlations among descriptors and finding proxies that are easy to acquire will allow more studies to incorporate the effects of previous land use in understanding regrowth patterns and in predicting the potential for natural regeneration as a restoration strategy.

Correlations among descriptors were often identified. The longer the duration of land use, the higher the frequency of fire, and the larger the extent of the transformed landscape (Rossi et al., 2010; Zermeño-Hernández et al., 2015). Land-use intensity has been described in different ways including fire intensity (e.g. de Rouw, 1993), grazing intensity (e.g. Carpenter et al., 2001; Marinho et al., 2016) and combinations of frequency, duration, and management practices [see Jakovac et al. (2015) and Zermeño-Hernández et al. (2015)]. The number of burning events has been used as a proxy for the frequency and intensity of previous land use (e.g. Nepstad et al., 1996; Zarin et al., 2005; Jakovac et al., 2015). Time since deforestation started is usually positively correlated with land-use intensity and duration (Zermeño-Hernández et al., 2015; Arroyo-Rodríguez et al., 2017; Jakovac et al., 2017). Duration of land use is often positively correlated with frequency of disturbance and distance to forest remnants (Zermeño-Hernández et al., 2015) and negatively correlated with the amount of forest in the landscape (e.g. Moran et al., 2000; Robiglio & Sinclair, 2011).

Because descriptors of landscape cover are easier to assess than land-use history itself, further research should investigate correlations among those in different contexts to establish proxies for land-use history. Such proxies could be retrieved from maps and satellite images in areas where information on land-use history is not available. Accounting for descriptors of landscape context and land-use history (Table 1) will increase our capacity to predict successional pathways (Norden et al., 2015; Arroyo-Rodríguez et al., 2017) and consequently contribute to the design of restoration practices to enhance natural regeneration (see Section V.2). Therefore, we encourage future studies to assess both local and landscape factors and to try to identify how they are linked and their relative roles in defining successional pathways and the potential for natural regeneration.

(2) Vegetation patterns defined by previous land-use history

Studies show that different types of previous land-use history lead to distinct rates of recovery of vegetation structure and biodiversity. The rates of recovery of biomass and species diversity in early secondary forests tend to be lower on fields previously used as pasturelands and subject to mechanized agriculture than on fields in shifting cultivation and low-input agriculture (e.g. Ding et al., 2012b; Martínez-Ramos et al., 2016). Biomass recovery rate in Amazonian rain forests, for instance, was 40–50% slower on abandoned pastures than on clear cuts (Zarin et al., 2005; Mesquita et al., 2015) and 70% slower on mechanized agriculture than on shifting cultivation systems (Moran et al., 2000). Succession in non-mechanized agricultural fields had a twofold higher diversity of native species and half the percentage of exotic species than bulldozed fields (Chinea, 2002). Species richness was lower or similar in forests regenerating on abandoned pastures compared to low-input agricultural fields, while species composition was consistently divergent (Mesquita et al., 2013; Martínez-Ramos et al., 2016).

Land-use types such as agroforestry systems can have opposing effects on the recovery of vegetation structure and diversity. Studies in Central America and the Caribbean found that the recovery of basal area and biomass is faster after the abandonment of agroforestry systems based on coffee or cacao than after pastures or palm plantations (Bactris gasipaes) (Fernandes & Sanford, 1995; Pascarella et al., 2000; Rivera, Zimmerman & Aide, 2000; Marcano-Vega, Aide &
Báez, 2002). The crop trees remaining from the agroforestry system contributed to the more rapid recovery of biomass and basal area. However, the shaded environment under their canopies constrained the regeneration of native species, leading to lower stem density and species richness of native species when compared to pastures (Pascarella et al., 2000; Rivera et al., 2000; Marcano-Vega et al., 2002).

Besides land-use type, variations in intensity, frequency and duration of previous land use play an important role in defining recovery rates and successional pathways. Historically, shifting cultivation was considered a low-intensity land-use system serving as a model to describe successional processes in tropical forests (e.g. Uhl & Jordan, 1984; Uhl, 1987; Guariguata & Ostertag, 2001). Recent studies, however, depicted that even slight increases in management intensity and cut-and-burn frequency result in strong changes in plant communities and recovery rates of tropical dry and rain forests, potentially leading to secondary forests with similar characteristics to those found in pasturelands (e.g. Gehring, Denich & Vlek, 2005; Lawrence et al., 2007; Styger et al., 2007; Ding et al., 2012a; Jakovac et al., 2016a). In systems managed with short fallow periods (<5 years), the basal area and canopy height of secondary-forest fallows are reduced by ca. 14% after each additional cropping cycle (Jakovac et al., 2015). Also, studies suggest that fields used for more than 4–6 cropping cycles may converge to arrested successional states where rates of change in structure, diversity and composition are extremely low (Lawrence, 2005a; Styger et al., 2007; Jakovac et al., 2016a).

Variations in land-use history within land-use types generate variation in the rates of recovery of vegetation structure. Increased intensity, frequency, spatial extension and duration of previous land use leads to reduced regrowth rates of tree biomass, basal area, canopy height and species richness (Hughes, Kauffman & Jaramillo, 1999; Lawrence, 2004; Gehring et al., 2005; Lawrence et al., 2007; Styger et al., 2007; Williams-Linera et al., 2011; Jakovac et al., 2015; Zermeño-Hernández et al., 2015; Villa et al., 2018; Cabral-Gomes et al., 2020). It also leads to lower plant density (Williams-Linera et al., 2011; Zermeño-Hernández et al., 2015; Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020) but higher density of stems (e.g. Pascarella et al., 2000; Gehring et al., 2005; Longworth et al., 2014; Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020). This apparent contradiction is probably a result of the impoverishment of the soil seed bank and increased dependency on resprouters, as suggested by the increased proportion of multi-stemmed trees and lianas (Gehring et al., 2005; Jakovac et al., 2015; Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020). The few studies that found no significant effects of land-use history on regrowth rate or species diversity (Zimmerman et al., 1995; Peña & Duque, 2013; Derroire et al., 2016b; Poorter et al., 2016; Wood, Rhemtulla & Coomes, 2017) attributed these results to the lack of detailed information on previous land-use history or to narrow variation in its descriptors.

Land-use history not only affects vegetation structure, but also regulates the variation of species composition among pathways. With increasing intensity, frequency or duration of previous land use, there is a slower species turnover (Peterson & Carson, 2008; Klanderud et al., 2009; Mwampamba & Schwartz, 2011; Ding et al., 2012b; Longworth et al., 2014) and differential species composition (e.g. Mesquita et al., 2001; Lawrence, 2005b; Styger et al., 2007; Jakovac et al., 2016a). Additionally, studies show an increase in the relative abundance of herbs, invasive ferns, shrubs and lianas at early stages of succession (e.g. Buschbacher, Uhl & Serrao, 1988; Rivera et al., 2000; Lawrence, 2005b; Dalle & de Blois, 2006; Styger et al., 2007; Vieira & Proctor, 2007; Klanderud et al., 2009; Hogan et al., 2016; Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020). Concomitantly, the species composition of understory herbs (Bergman et al., 2006; Styger et al., 2007; Ribeiro, Bruna & Mantovani, 2010) and the identity of the canopy-dominant tree species change with previous land-use intensity (Zimmerman et al., 1995; Pascarella et al., 2000; Rivera et al., 2000; Boucher et al., 2001; Mesquita et al., 2001; Thompson et al., 2002; Lawrence, 2005b; Franklin et al., 2006; Chai & Tanner, 2011; Wallenfang et al., 2015; Hogan et al., 2016).

Studies in rain forests found that after intensive land use, succession is initiated by long-living pioneers such as Visnea spp. in Central Amazon (e.g. Mesquita et al., 2015; Jakovac et al., 2016a), Byssonima spp. in Mexico (Martinez-Ramos et al., 2016), Moquiumastrum polymorphum in the Atlantic forest (Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020) and Psidia spp. shrubs in Madagascar (Styger et al., 2007) instead of the classical short-lived pioneers, like Cecropia spp., Tena spp. in the Americas and Harungana spp. and Musanja spp. in Africa. Indicator species associated with different levels of land-use impact have been reported (Chinea, 2002; Styger et al., 2007; Jakovac et al., 2016a), and could be used together with other metrics to classify the ecological integrity of successional pathways and identify the need for restoration actions to foster regrowth and species diversity.

Different frequencies of fire also lead to divergence in functional traits in secondary forests regenerating in pastures (Fernandes Neto et al., 2019; Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020; Sansereto et al., 2020). In Central Amazon, fire-managed pastures gave place to secondary forests with higher wood density, and lower leaf dry matter content and seed mass than those following clear cut (Fernandes Neto et al., 2019). In the Atlantic Forest, increased frequency of fire led to communities with lower wood density and leaf area index and higher bark thickness and seed mass (Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020). More studies are needed to verify how land-use history affects functional composition in other biogeographic and landscape contexts.

The reviewed studies show that previous land-use history is strongly associated with vegetation structure, diversity and composition of moist and dry secondary forests. Overall the studies support that longer and/or more intensive previous land-use history leads to secondary forests with reduced recovery rates, an impoverished plant community and a characteristic species taxonomic and functional composition.

(3) Land-use effects on species availability to succession

(a) Seed dispersal limitation

Dispersal limitation defines the quantity and quality of seed rain in successional fields (Fig. 1). According to reviewed
studies, dispersal limitation is one of the most important constraints to regeneration in pastures and agricultural fields (e.g. Holl et al., 2000; Hooper et al., 2004; Palomeque et al., 2017). The conversion of native vegetation into different types of land use inevitably modifies the sources of seed rain by transforming landscape configuration and composition through forest fragmentation, reduction of forest cover, expansion of young secondary forests and the presence of isolated trees in the agricultural matrix (Lawrence, Peart & Leighton, 1998; Metzger, 2002; Robiglio & Sinclair, 2011; Arroyo-Rodríguez et al., 2017; N’Guessan et al., 2019). The amount of forest cover in the landscape and the distance of forest remnants to successional patches influences the seed rain in successional fields.

Studies show that the density and diversity of regenerating trees decreases sharply with distance from the forest edge (e.g. Guariguata et al., 1997; Martínez-Garza & González-Montagut, 1999; Holl et al., 2000; Mesquita et al., 2001; Benítez-Malvido et al., 2003; Jakovac et al., 2015). Studies suggest that most seed dispersal in human-modified landscapes occurs within 300 m of the forest border towards open areas (e.g. Cubiña & Aide, 2001; Hooper et al., 2004; Lawrence, 2004). The rate of seed arrival and the composition of the seed rain at different distances from the forest will depend on dispersal modes and the niche of dispersers. Most animal-dispersed seeds reach shorter distances from the forest edge (e.g. average 4 m in Cubiña & Aide, 2001) than wind-dispersed seeds (e.g. average 250 m in Holl, 1998). Overall, generalist dispersers can reach longer distances than forest frugivorous vertebrates (Howe & Smallwood, 1982; Holl et al., 2000; Benítez-Malvido, Martínez-Ramos & Ceccon, 2001; Robiglio & Sinclair, 2011). As a consequence, the proportion of late-successional species and the density of seed rain declines with distance to the forest (Lawrence, 2004). Studies found that the seed rain in agricultural fields and pastures is mainly from early-successional species (>85%), which are mostly dispersed by wind or generalist birds and bats (Benítez-Malvido et al., 2001; Martínez-Garza et al., 2011; Wieland et al., 2011). In dry forests, the prevalence of wind dispersal over animal dispersal (Vieira & Scariot, 2006) makes the plant community less affected by dispersal limitations than in moist forests (Lebría-Trejos et al., 2010; Griscom & Ashton, 2011).

Dispersal limitation can be reduced by the management practice of allowing isolated trees in pastures and cropping fields. Remnant trees left in the fields increase the rate of biomass recovery (N’Guessan et al., 2019), and provide perches for dispersers, enhancing the seed rain dispersed by frugivorous bats and birds (e.g. Vieira, Uhl & Nepstad, 1994; Otero-Arnáiz et al., 1999; Benítez-Malvido et al., 2001; Guevara, Laborde & Sanchez-Rios, 2004), thus increasing the diversity and density of seeds from tree species (Guevara et al., 2005). The morphological and phenological characteristics of the remnant trees affect the abundance and species composition of the seed rain below their crowns (Sandor & Chazdon, 2014), due to differential attraction of dispersers (Guariguata, Rheingans & Montagnini, 1995; Duncan & Chapman, 1999; Slocum, 2001; Guevara et al., 2004; Hooper et al., 2004).

The landscape composition, i.e. the distribution of land-use types in the landscape, also affects the amount and composition of the seed rain in successional fields. Agricultural fields and pastures immersed in the landscape contribute with seeds from pioneer herbs, shrubs, climbers, potentially invasive species and from a few bat-dispersed species typical of disturbed areas (Dupuy & Chazdon, 1998; Duncan & Chapman, 1999). Secondary forest patches immersed in agricultural landscapes mostly contribute early-successional species to regenerating sites (Hooper et al., 2004; Nascimento et al., 2006). The age distribution of such patches in the landscape, however, will influence the composition of the seed rain as it is related to species turnover over time (Lawrence, 2004; van Breugel et al., 2013). The floristic composition across the landscape also defines the availability and species composition of animal dispersers (Bobrowiec & Gribel, 2010). Additionally, the dominant tree species in these secondary forests will influence the composition of dispersers and potentially feed back in the composition of the successional plant community (Bobrowiec & Gribel, 2010). Post-dispersal by cattle and insects play a minor role in redistributing seeds across open fields but may contribute to changes in the species composition of regrowth (Esquivel et al., 2008; L.C. Leal, Andersen & Leal, 2014b).

(b) Seed and sprout resistance to management practices

The seed and sprout bank in the soil is a result of the accumulated seed rain and of the legacies of the original forest left after disturbance, such as seeds, sprouts and seedlings, and is the other main source of species in tropical forest succession (Uhl et al., 1981; Cubiña & Aide, 2001; Klanderud et al., 2009; Sousa et al., 2017). The composition and density of soil seed banks, however, depends not only on legacies from the previous forest but also on the arrival of species dispersed from surrounding sources, either from forest fragments or from other types of land use. The viability of recalcitrant seeds from late-successional species, which are often legacies from the previous forest, is reduced with the duration of land use (Garwood, 1989; Cubiña & Aide, 2001), while the seed bank from herbs and shrubs is boosted (Guevara, Moreno-Casasola & Sanchez-Rios, 2005).

Management practices during land use also impact the legacies by reducing the viability of seeds and sprouts and by differentially favouring certain species (Fig. 1, arrow 4). In large-scale agriculture, bulldozing and repeated ploughing eliminate stumps and sprouting roots (Chinea, 2002). In small-scale low-input agriculture, field management usually relies on manual weeding, which has a lower impact on regenerating sources than machinery, but still eliminates species with a transient seed bank or low sprouting ability (e.g. Uhl et al., 1981; de Rouw, 1993; Jakovac et al., 2016b), and favours the persistence of native tree species that are useful for farmers (Junqueira, Shepard & Clement, 2010).
Recurrent cutting and burning is a common practice in tropical agricultural fields and pasturals that gradually selects for fire-resistant species (Vieira et al., 1994; Holl et al., 2000; Hooper et al., 2004; Kalaba et al., 2013; Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020), and along with grazing and weeding selects for strong sprouters and clonal species (Uhl et al., 1981; de Rouw, 1993; Aide & Cavelier, 1994; Pancarella et al., 2000; Hooper et al., 2004; Lawrence, 2005b; Dalle & de Blois, 2006; Klanderud et al., 2009; Williams-Linera et al., 2011; Massad et al., 2013; Jakovac et al., 2016a). The presence of sprouters has been shown to facilitate the recovery of species composition in dry forests (Williams-Linera et al., 2011).

(c) Seed predation

The environment transformed by land use favours seed predators and pathogens, reducing the rate of seed survival by 30–90% (Alvarez-Buylla & Martinez-Ramos, 1990; Martinez-Garza & Gonzalez-Montagut, 1999). This significantly constrains the accumulation of forest species in the soil seed banks of pastures and agricultural fields, reducing species availability for succession (Nepstad et al., 1996; Holl, 1998; Cubiña & Aide, 2001). A higher number of seeds tend to be lost to predation than to pathogens (Myster, 2003), but the role of pathogens has received less attention and remains unclear (Dalling et al., 2011). Studies in the Neotropics show that 42–80% of woody species from the seed bank of pastures and shifting cultivation fields are consumed by predators such as ants, grasshoppers and small rodents (Alvarez-Buylla & Martinez-Ramos, 1990; Aide & Cavelier, 1994; Nepstad et al., 1996; Wijdeven & Kazee, 2000). The rate of seed predation varies with plant and predator species (Uhl, 1987; Aide & Cavelier, 1994; Myster, 2003), possibly leading to differential success of successional plant species in abandoned fields. The magnitude of such impacts on successional pathways, however, remains unclear – and may be context-dependent – and should be investigated further.

(4) Land-use effects on species establishment and performance

Once a species has arrived at a site, its establishment success and performance will depend on the species’ life-history strategies combined with resource availability and biotic interactions (Pickett et al., 1987), which are both influenced by management practices and landscape context (Fig. 1, arrows 3 and 4).

(a) Modified soil chemical and physical properties

Conventional agriculture and cattle ranching gradually reduce soil fertility due to nutrient losses by different practices (Sommerv et al., 2004; Bruun, Mertz & Elberling, 2006; Styger et al., 2007; Wallenfang et al., 2015). Burning volatilizes organic matter, carbon, nitrogen (N) and sulfur (Holscher et al., 1997; Certini, 2005). Harvesting, leaching and erosion reduces the concentration mainly of N, potassium and phosphorus (P) in the topsoil (Arnason, 1982; Jordan et al., 1983; Holscher et al., 1997; Runyan, D’Odorico & Lawrence, 2012). Leaching and erosion become more important with extended cropping period (Kleinman, Pimentel & Bryant, 1995), in permanent cultivation systems and pastures (Buschbacher et al., 1988; Holscher et al., 1997), and in areas with steep slopes (Ramakrishnan & Toky, 1981). Bulldozing and repeated burning eliminate dead logs, which are important long-term sources of cations and soil carbon (Jordan et al., 1983; Uhl & Jordan, 1984; Buschbacher et al., 1988; Ribeiro-Filho, Adams & Murrieta, 2013). The longer the duration of continuous land use, the lower the levels of organic matter and nutrients in the soil, and the higher the degradation of soil structure, including reduced porosity, aeration, soil carbon content and water infiltration capacity. Studies suggest that pastures result in larger changes in the soil nutrients pool than small-scale agriculture or agroforestry systems (Moreira et al., 2009).

Land-use practices could potentially improve soils and enhance regrowth, but in general soil improvements during land use do not last for long (but see Glaser & Birke, 2012). Although fertilization increases nutrient availability in the short term (Buschbacher et al., 1988; MacDonald, Bennett & Taranu, 2012; Ribeiro-Filho, Adams & Murrieta, 2013), nutrients are rapidly taken up by the crops or regrowing vegetation (Abdum et al., 2002), adsorbed to clay particles and metal (hydr-)oxides, and gradually lost by leaching and erosion (Holscher et al., 1997; MacDonald et al., 2012).

Reduced soil fertility after different land-use histories has been associated with slower regrowth [Uhl & Jordan, 1984; Aide & Cavelier, 1994; Laurence et al., 1999; Kanowski et al., 2003; Lawrence, 2005a; Villa et al., 2018; but see Johnson et al. (2001) and Ding et al. (2012b)], lower species richness, altered species composition (Chinea, 2002; Styger et al., 2007; Ding et al., 2012b; Wallenfang et al., 2015; Jakovac et al., 2016a), and lower seedling survival and growth (Román-Dañoeytia et al., 2012; Woolf et al., 2017). Reduced P availability due to intensive land use has been specifically linked to a decrease in regrowth rate in Central America (Arnason, 1982), Asia (Lawrence et al., 2010; Runyan et al., 2012) and the Amazon (Jordan et al., 1983; Gehring et al., 1999; Davidson et al., 2004), as well as to reduced species richness and changes in species composition (Uhl, 1987; Lawrence, 2005b; Ding et al., 2012b; Jakovac et al., 2016a; Villa et al., 2018).

It is difficult to disentangle the role of soils in forest regrowth in observational studies, as soil properties often correlate with management intensity, distance from the forest and soil type (e.g. MacDonald et al., 2012). Fertilization experiments, on the other hand, provide direct evidence showing that regrowth in pastures is limited by N and P availability (Davidson et al., 2004) and that N and P fertilization increased the biomass dominance of a few pioneer species (Gehring et al., 1999) reducing community evenness and diversity (Siddique et al., 2010). Such results were related to differential growth responses to fertilization among tree
species, with P limiting the growth of *Inga macrophylla* but not of *Vismia guianensis*, for example (Gehring et al., 1999). Reduced soil P availability may also restrict the performance of nitrogen-fixing legumes (Gehring et al., 1999; Hedin et al., 2009) significantly affecting nitrogen availability and nutrient cycling during succession (Erickson, Keller & Davidson, 2001; Batterman et al., 2013).

The intensity of previous land use, therefore, affects the relationship between soil and regrowth: if regrowth is allowed just after burning or after low-intensity land use there may be no nutrient limitation to native tree species (Jordan et al., 1983; Uhl & Jordan, 1984; Johnson et al., 2001; Lawrence, 2005b), but when regrowth occurs on intensively used cropping fields or pasturelands, it will be slower and with lower species diversity mainly due to N and P limitation (Gehring et al., 1999; McGrath et al., 2001; Davidson et al., 2004). Current scientific knowledge supports the idea that soil conditions transformed by land use are important drivers of alternative successional pathways. More experimental studies carried out in different contexts are needed to separate the effects of nutrient limitation from management practices and landscape factors.

**(b) Modified soil biology**

During land use, intense tillage, continuous cropping, replacement of trees with annual species or exotic pasture grasses and lack of a litter layer all contribute to reduced soil organic matter content (McGrath et al., 2001; Moreira et al., 2009; Smith et al., 2014; Smith, Marin-Spiotta & Balser, 2015). Such loss along with the use of pesticides reduces the abundance and diversity of soil macro- and microbacteria and changes their community composition (Templer et al., 2005; Ayuke et al., 2011; Smith et al., 2014; Fragoso et al., 2016; Birhane et al., 2017; Zhou, Wang & Luo, 2018).

Changes in soil microbiota may imply a reduction in nutrient availability to plants, especially through organic P pools (Hamer et al., 2013) and reduced N fixation (Gavito et al., 2008; Batterman et al., 2013), which may negatively affect seedling survival and growth (Gavito et al., 2008; Menge & Chazdon, 2016). Moreover, infestation by arbuscular mycorrhiza can promote differential species survival during regeneration (Menge & Chazdon, 2016; Bachelot et al., 2018). The few studies available suggest that recovery of soil microbial communities happens relatively rapidly, taking between 5 and 15 years after succession starts to reach similar levels to old-growth forests (Guggenberger & Zech, 1999; Templer et al., 2003; Hamer et al., 2013; Cai et al., 2018). Higher intensity of land use can, however, reduce the recovery rates of arbuscular mycorrhizal communities [Carpenter et al., 2001; but see Carrillo-Saucedo, Gavito & Siddique (2018)]. Nevertheless, there are very few studies relating soil microbiota to succession (eight studies; Fig. 2E, Table S1), which together with high spatial and seasonal heterogeneity (Lakshmipathy et al., 2012; Cai et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2018) may hinder generalizable conclusions. The role of soil microbial communities in successional pathways remains unclear and deserves further investigation (for an extended discussion see Powers & Marin-Spiotta, 2017).

Among macrofauna, earthworms have received higher attention due to their importance as soil engineers that help sustain the soil microbiota and improve soil quality for plant growth (Fragoso et al., 2016). Across the tropics, agriculture and pasture lead to reductions in the abundance and diversity of native earthworms, to local loss of entire functional groups and to the spread of invasive exotic species (Fragoso et al., 2016; Rossi et al., 2010), with consequences such as long-lasting soil compaction (Chauvel et al., 1999) and reduced decomposition rate (Geissen & Guzman, 2006). Low diversity and slow recovery of native macrofauna are related to longer duration of land use, more intense soil transformation, more frequent fires, and larger extent of transformed landscape (Castañé-Meneses & Palacios-Vargas, 2003; Sánchez-de León & Zou, 2004; Rossi et al., 2010). The current knowledge suggests that land-use-induced changes in soil biology might contribute to alternative successional pathways, particularly at early stages, but that this effect may vanish within the first decades of succession.

**(c) Competition with weeds and invasive plants**

Previous land-use practices affect seed germination, seedling establishment and the performance of tree species by strengthening biotic interactions such as competition. Recurrent fire events and continuous cultivation favour colonization by weeds, lianas and invasive species (de Rouw, 1995; Jakovac et al., 2016b; Palomeque et al., 2017), which rapidly cover the ground and reduce light availability at ground level. This environment may restrict the germination of many pioneer species with germination triggered by light (Alvarez-Buylla & Martinez-Ramos, 1992; Benítez-Malvido et al., 2001; Bentos, Nascimento & Williamson, 2013), such as *Cecropia* and *Trema*, for example (Suazo-Ortúñio et al., 2015). It is important to note, though, that under extreme degradation, the presence of any vegetation cover may favour the germination of forest species as it ameliorates the harsh environmental conditions (Catterall, 2016).

After seed germination, competition for light and nutrients with climbers and invasive species reduce seedling performance of successional forest species. Climbers and bamboos (not all invasive) are usually resistant to disturbance and tolerant to high light availability, being favoured by repeated burning, and intensive and continuous land use (Gehring et al., 2005; Sovu et al., 2009; Jakovac et al., 2015). At early stages of succession climbers can spread over tree crowns and infest >80% of regenerating trees (Kuzee & Bongers, 2005; Lawrence, 2005b; Jakovac et al., 2015), reducing tree growth (Schnitzer & Bongers, 2002; Lai et al., 2017; Estrada-Villegas et al., 2020), and contributing to slower overall forest regrowth (Paul & Yavitt, 2011). Studies on management of secondary forests show that removing
climbers significantly increases tree growth (Villegas et al., 2009; César et al., 2016).

Invasive species can spread easily and densely across agricultural landscapes, competing for space, light and nutrients with native species and potentially reducing their establishment success and performance (Colón & Lugo, 2006; Catterall, 2016; Palomeque et al., 2017). For instance, *Leucaena leucocephala* is a pan-tropical invasive tree native to central America, in which high resistance to succession, such as the grass *Imperata* spp. (Styger et al., 2007), the shrub *Chromolaena odorata* in Africa (Robiglio & Sinclair, 2011; Norgrove & Hauser, 2015), the fern *Pteridium* spp. in the Neotropics (e.g. Silva & Matos, 2006; Schneider & Fernando, 2010; Suazo-Ortúño et al., 2013; Palomeque et al., 2017) and exotic pasture grasses across the tropics (e.g. Guariguata et al., 1995; Holl et al., 2000; Hooper et al., 2004; Palomeque et al., 2017). Differential density and species composition of competitors may, therefore, contribute to the divergence of successional pathways.

(d) Facilitation by remnant trees

Besides attracting dispersers and enhancing species availability (see Section IV.3a), remnant trees also affect species performance during succession in abandoned fields. Remnant trees shade out pasture grasses, reduce temperatures, increase humidity and improve soil nutrient availability under their crowns, consequently favouring seedling establishment and performance (Vieira et al., 1994; Guariguata et al., 1995; Hooper et al., 2004), particularly in tropical dry forests (Guevara et al., 2004; Griscom & Ashton, 2011; Mwampamba & Schwartz, 2011; Williams-Linera et al., 2011). The density of remnant trees is usually reduced with intensive land use, such as in mechanized agriculture (Chazdon, 2014). Therefore, through its effects on seed rain (Section IV.3a) and on species performance, the density and composition of remnant trees may affect the density and species composition of the regenerating community at the onset of succession.

(e) Herbivory

Herbivory is an important interaction structuring plant communities in tropical forests (Coley & Barone, 1996). Agricultural fields and early successional communities harbour higher abundances of herbivores compared to natural forests (Silva et al., 2009; Massad et al., 2013; Hahn, Orrock & Wardle, 2016), probably due to high resource availability provided by the nutritious and/or palatable leaves of crops and pioneer species (Poorter et al., 2004), facilitated host-searching in the simplified ecosystem, and high availability of suitable nesting sites (Silva et al., 2009; L.C. Leal et al., 2014b). Herbivory was shown to cause more damage to the plant community at earlier than later stages of succession (Silva et al., 2009; Villa-Galaviz, Boege & del-Val, 2012; Hahn et al., 2016), because of the higher digestibility of leaves from pioneer than late successional species (Poorter et al., 2004), and the greater impact of herbivory on the performance of seedlings than adult trees (Massad et al., 2013; Hahn et al., 2016). In the Neotropics, leaf-cutting ants from the genus *Atta*, for example, prefer regenerating tree seedlings over pasture grasses and can remove 8–15% of available leaf area, significantly reducing seedling survival of certain species (e.g. nutrient-rich leaves) (Nepstad et al., 1996; I.R. Leal, Wirth & Tabarelli, 2014a). The role of herbivory in seedling survival, however, will depend on plant investment in protection, which in some species may increase with physiological stress (Leal, Andersen & Leal, 2015), infestation by arbuscular mycorrhiza (Bachelot et al., 2018) and exposure to fire (Massad et al., 2013).

In pastures, grazing reduces the density of seedlings (Marinho et al., 2016) and favours the persistence of unpalatable species (Griscom, Griscom & Ashton, 2009). A modelling exercise indicated that grazing and fire had synergistic effects causing greater reduction in regrowth when combined than the sum of their individual effects, and consequently yielding large variation depending on the intensity, frequency and extent of fire (Cantarello et al., 2011). It is expected, therefore, that interactions between land-use history and herbivory will affect species performance and establishment during early colonization, altering species density, diversity and composition of successional plant communities.

V. DISCUSSION

(1) Land-use effects on ecosystem functioning

The reviewed studies show that land-use history defines successional pathways through impacts on multiple processes that define species availability to succession, species performance and local environmental conditions. Studies also show that the impacts of previous land-use history on regrowth can last for decades after land use has ceased (e.g. Zimmerman et al., 1995; Mesquita et al., 2015; Hogan et al., 2016; Estrada-Villegas et al., 2020). The integration of knowledge provided in this review suggests that land-use history alters ecosystem functioning and favours feedbacks that sustain alternative successional pathways for decades (Fig. 1, arrows 5 and 6). Initial site conditions left after land use define the early successional communities that interact with the transformed surrounding landscape to define environmental conditions and species turnover over time.

This review showed that land-use history strongly determines the assembly of early dominant species (e.g. Styger et al., 2007; Martínez-Ramos et al., 2016; Estrada-Villegas et al., 2020), possibly affecting ecosystem functioning during succession (Fig. 1). The identity and functional characteristics...
of these dominant species strongly drive ecosystem functioning (Lohbeck et al., 2016; Mora et al., 2017), microclimatic conditions in the understory (Kabakoff & Chazdon, 1996; Lebrija-Trejos et al., 2011), and biotic interactions (Bobrowiec & Gribel, 2010; van Breugel et al., 2011). Case studies showed that species dominating after intensive land-use history hold traits such as long-lived leaves with low nutrient content (Uhl, 1987; Gomes & Luizão, 2012), differential crown architecture (Vester & Cleef, 1998), tick barks (Sanchez-Tapia et al., 2020), high wood density (Fernandes Neto et al., 2019) and high spraying ability (e.g. Uhl et al., 1981; Dalle & de Blois, 2006; Williams-Linera et al., 2011). Traits like wood density and spraying ability trade off with regrowth rates (Bond & Midgley, 2001; Lohbeck et al., 2015; Pinho et al., 2018). As a consequence, these communities might show slow nutrient cycling (Erickson et al., 2002; Gomes & Luizão, 2012), as well as slower rates of change in vegetation structure, diversity and environmental conditions (Section IV.2). These successional communities and the associated environmental conditions interact with the surrounding landscape to define species turnover (Fig. 1, arrow 6); a crucial element for successional progress (Finegan, 1996; Chazdon, 2014). Therefore, cascading effects resulting from initial site conditions and landscape context lead to feedbacks that help sustain divergent communities over decades (Fig. 1, arrow 5).

The consequences of land-use history for ecosystem functioning, however, might differ between rain and dry forests because of intrinsic differences in community assembly throughout succession (Gei et al., 2018; Poorter et al., 2019). For instance, dry forests might be more resilient to management practices such as cutting and burning as most species are able to resprout repeatedly compared with only a few species with these traits in rainforests (Kaufman, 1991; Bond & Midgley, 2001). On the other hand, dry forests might be less resilient to bulldozing and ploughing, given their higher dependency on local legacies (sprouts) than on dispersal from surrounding sources (Griscom & Ashton, 2011; Pulla et al., 2015). Understanding of successional differences across forest types is recent and deserves additional attention in future studies.

Hence, advancing our knowledge of succession in human-modified landscapes requires a multidimensional approach that depicts ecosystem functioning in alternative successional pathways in multiple forest types. Additionally, we invite future research to evaluate the impacts of manipulating early successional communities on recovery rates (e.g. Brown & Ewel, 1987; Palomeque et al., 2017). Such knowledge will be needed to support the definition of management alternatives to enhance natural regeneration in forest restoration programs.

(2) Implications for forest restoration based on natural regeneration

In this review we provide an integrated understanding of the mechanisms driving succession (Fig. 1) and defining alternative successional pathways. Here, we apply this

Fig 3. The interaction between landscape integrity and previous land-use history defines the limitations to succession (A), and restoration requirements (B). Here landscape integrity represents the amount and quality of sources of propagules, meaning that higher landscape integrity involves higher forest cover, lower forest fragmentation and higher tree cover in agricultural fields. The axis of intensity of previous land use summarizes an increase in the spatial extent, frequency, duration and intensity of management practices. (A) Species availability for succession is strongly reduced by reduction in landscape integrity as well as by intensive, extensive and long-term land use. Limitations to species performance increases with land-use intensity due to reduced resource availability and increased competition with invaders, for example. As a response to these driving factors, succession follows different pathways with varying rates of biomass regrowth and species turnover. (B) Along the gradients of landscape integrity and intensity of previous land use within and across human-modified landscapes, different restoration strategies will be required: unassisted natural regeneration (NR), assisted natural regeneration (ANR) or tree planting (TP). In each quadrant we provide examples of management practices that can help to overcome the limitations to succession (A) for effective restoration of ecosystem functions.
understanding to identify in which contexts natural regeneration could lead to effective forest restoration and which management practices would be required to foster restoration. This review shows that the recovery of multiple ecological attributes, i.e. the potential for natural regeneration to take place and to restore ecosystems, is context dependent and is a product of interactions between local and landscape conditions (Fig. 3). Secondary succession will proceed at higher rates where limitations to species availability, establishment and performance are lower (Fig. 3). The few studies evaluating interactions between local and landscape factors suggest that dispersal limitation is a stronger driver of species richness and turnover while local-level filtering effects mainly drive regrowth rate and species composition (Table S1; e.g. Martínez-Garza & Howe, 2003; Robiglio & Sinclair, 2011; Jakovac et al., 2016a). With an increasing amount of forest in the landscape (landscape integrity), there is lower dispersal limitation and therefore higher species availability for naturally regenerating forests. With increasing intensity of previous land use, availability of species is narrowed down by filtering effects mediated by management practices, and species performance is reduced by limited soil nutrients and competition with invasive species (Fig. 3A). The limitations to succession imposed by local and landscape conditions can guide decisions on how to manage natural regeneration for effective forest restoration.

Unassisted natural regeneration will be an optimal restoration strategy in contexts of high landscape integrity and low intensity of previous land use (Fig. 3B, quadrant 1). In other contexts, assisted natural regeneration or tree planting are likely the way forward (Shono, Cadaweng & Durst, 2007; Chazdon et al., 2020). Natural regeneration can be assisted by management practices appropriate to each context (Fig. 3B, quadrants 2 and 3). Where seed sources are abundant but local conditions are deteriorated (Fig. 3B, quadrant 2), practices to amend soil conditions (Allen, Allen & Gómez-Pompa, 2005), enhance seed rain (Zanini & Ganade, 2005; Toh, Gillespie & Lamb, 1999) and/or stimulate seed germination (Dupuy & Chazdon, 2008) will be needed to assist natural regeneration (Fig. 3B, quadrant 2).

Where seed sources are scarce but local conditions are not deeply transformed (Fig. 3B, quadrant 3), enrichment planting (Lascurain-Rangel et al., 2019) could effectively enhance species diversity. Additional management practices might be required depending on specific biophysical conditions and on the restoration goal (Holl et al., 2000; Chazdon et al., 2020). Recovery rates and species turnover can be forested through the selective removal of invasive species (Uebel, Wilson & Shoo, 2017; Palomeque et al., 2017) and lianas (César et al., 2016; Palomeque et al., 2017) and through silvicultural treatments (Swinfield et al., 2016; dos Santos & Ferreira, 2020). When economic returns are desired, possible management practices include planting or favouring the regeneration of commercial species (Fantini et al., 2019; Forero-Montaña et al., 2019).

In the situation where seed sources are scarce and local conditions are deteriorated (Fig. 3B, quadrant 4), recovery of vegetation structure, diversity and composition is bound to be slow. In this context, speeding up succession would require the restoration of local and landscape conditions. An active restoration approach to improve local soil conditions and introduce species diversity (e.g. tree planting) will be required to kick-start successional processes. Additionally, improving landscape integrity will guarantee higher and more diverse seed dispersal and therefore higher restoration success (Martínez-Garza & Howe, 2003; Crouzeilles et al., 2019). A landscape restoration approach, therefore, is needed especially where landscape integrity is low (Fig. 3B, quadrants 3 and 4).

It is important to note that spatial auto-correlations between local and landscape factors often result in synergistic effects. Distance to the forest is often positively correlated with the intensity, frequency and duration of previous land use (see Section IV.1). As a consequence, landscapes with higher integrity and locations closer to the forest edge promote higher natural regeneration (Goosem et al., 2016), higher predictability of

| Descriptors of previous land-use history | Metrics |
|-----------------------------------------|---------|
| Type                                    | Pasture, shifting cultivation, conventional agriculture, agroforestry, etc. |
| Intensity                               | Number of slash and/or burning events |
|                                        | Livestock density |
|                                        | Use of machinery, pesticides or herbicides |
|                                        | Length of the fallow period |
|                                        | Weeding practice (clear or selective weeding) |
|                                        | Weeding frequency |
|                                        | Presence of remnant trees (% cover or density) |
|                                        | Indices combining frequency and duration |
| Frequency                               | Burning events frequency |
|                                        | Slash-and-burn frequency |
|                                        | Land cleaning/ploughing frequency |
|                                        | Cropping frequency |
| Duration                                | Amount of time since old-growth forest clear cut |
|                                        | Amount of time in continuous use (e.g. as agriculture or pasture) |
|                                        | Average length of the cropping period over the period of land use |
|                                        | Length of the last cropping phase previous to abandonment |
| Spatial extent                          | Size of the agricultural field or pastureland |
|                                        | Size of the agricultural mosaic |
succession (Arroyo-Rodriguez et al., 2017) and higher restoration success (Crouzeilles et al., 2017, 2020b). Therefore, the amount of surrounding forest and proximity to forest fragments could be easy-to-assess proxies for the potential of natural regeneration in human-modified landscapes (see Crouzeilles et al., 2019, 2020a). Further studies are needed to identify the main proxies better for the potential for natural regeneration across regions.

Finally, the success of restoration initiatives based on natural regeneration will also depend on the restoration goals and on socio-economic aspects that govern the decision to allow regrowth to proceed (Section III). Environmental policies play an important role in determining the fate of regrowth and in constraining management practices (see Guariguata & Brancalion, 2014; Chazdon et al., 2020). Therefore, natural regeneration can be an effective strategy to restore forest landscapes where there are lower limitations to succession (Fig. 3) and under appropriate governance systems.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

(1) In human-modified landscapes, successional pathways are largely defined by land-use history. Although random processes contribute to define successional assemblages, land use imposes constraints on species availability and performance during regrowth. This review highlights that the most important underlying processes include dispersal limitation, ecological filtering, competition, facilitation, herbivory and tolerance to disturbance and environmental conditions.

(2) The impact of previous land-use history on regrowth will depend on variations in the intensity, frequency, duration, extent and type of previous land use. Here we provide examples of how specific land-use practices in agricultural and pastoral fields constrain the availability of species and lead to differential species performance. Slight variations in land-use history can lead to different successional pathways within a landscape. Hence, descriptors of land-use history (Table 1) and landscape configuration and composition must be accessed in studies evaluating drivers of successional communities and predicting the potential for natural regeneration.

(3) Within the set of species available to succession, a narrower set will be able to overcome competition for light, nutrients and space with weeds, lianas and invasive species and to establish and grow successfully. More experimental studies are needed to understand how biotic interactions lead to differential species performance and drive community assembly. Specifically, studies should evaluate how experimental removal of invasive and dominant species can affect the establishment and growth of native species.

(4) The results of independent studies together suggest that cascading effects of land-use history on local conditions and species availability and performance may lead to differential ecosystem functioning. It remains unclear, however, how the early-successional assemblages favoured by previous land use foster or hold back further successional processes. The functional characteristics and biotic interactions of species that thrive after different land-use histories should be a research priority to advance knowledge on the impacts of land use on ecosystem functioning and to assist the design of management practices that enhance natural regeneration in forest restoration programs.

(5) Our knowledge on succession after land use is strongly biased towards Neotropical wet forests, and low- to mid-intensity land-use history. More studies on degraded landscapes, on dry forests and covering the Paleotropics must be pursued to identify idiosyncrasies and generalities of tropical forest succession and to help define restoration strategies suited to local conditions.

(6) Moving forward in the mechanistic understanding of succession in human-modified landscapes requires the assessment of land-use history (Table 1), long-term monitoring of successional patches, and careful experimental design to control for correlations between local and landscape factors. Special attention to variations in these factors is required when selecting secondary forest patches in chronosequence studies. The mechanistic framework proposed here on how land use drives successional pathways will help update successional theory to the current context of extensive anthropogenic influence and will support restoration science to identify key pathways to manage natural regeneration.

(7) Finally, based on the knowledge summarized herein, we describe the potential for natural regeneration in different contexts of previous land-use history and landscape integrity (Fig. 3). Natural regeneration will be more successful where there are lower barriers to succession. In other situations, assisted natural regeneration, tree planting and landscape restoration are required.

VII ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank the reviewers of previous versions of this manuscript. This study was supported by funding from Capq-SinBiose 442371/2019-5 (Be) to R.C.G.M. and C.C.J., PANTROP/ERC.834775 (NL) to C.C.J., NWO-NEVFOR.17410 (NL-Be) to F.B. and M.P.-C., NFP-PhD.11/ 606 (NL) to C.C.J., and INREF-FOREFRONT (WUR) to F.B., and FP7-771056-LICCI (ABJ).

VIII. REFERENCES

References identified with an asterisk (*) were used in the literature review. *Abad, J., González-Prieto, S., Sarmento, L., Villar, M. & Corbíllas, T. (2002). Successional dynamics of soil characteristics in a long fallow agricultural system of the high tropical Andes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry 34, 1739–1749.
Land-use effects on secondary succession

*Alvarez-Buylla, E. R.

Allen, M. F.

Aide, T. M.

Bachelot, B.

Bergman, E.

Barry, K. E.

Boekhout, V.

Bonilla-Moheno, M.

Brancalion, P. H.

Boukili, V.

Burel, F.

Cabrera, T.

Cabral-Gomes, E. P.

Cai, Z. Q.

Carreño-Ávila, H.

Carrillo-Saucedo, S. M.

Chardol, L.

Chazdon, R. L.

Chen, P. Z.

Chinea, J. D.

Chinea, J. D.

Chin, H. T.

Chin, H. T.

Chini, L.

Chinea, J. D.

Chinea, J. D.

Cirés, A.

Clark, J. S.

Clark, J. S.

Clay, L. A.

Clara, F.

Clayton, S. H.

Cleland, E. E.

Clements, N. A.

Clemente, V.

Clements, N. A.

Cowan, P. S.

Couvet, D.

Couture, C. A.

Couture, C. A.

Couture, C. A.

Couture, C. A.

Couvert, P.

Coyle, M. L.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.

Cox, D. A.
Coley, P. D. & Barone, J. (1996). Herbivory and plant defenses in tropical forests. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 27, 305–335.

*Colón, S. M. & Lugo, A. E. (2006). Recovery of a subtropical dry forest after abandonment of different land uses. *Biota tropica* 38, 354–364.

*Comita, L. S., Thompson, J., Uharti, M., Jonckheere, I., Cashan, C. D. & Zimmerman, J. K. (2010). Interactive effects of land use history and natural succession on seedling dynamics in a subtropical forest. *Ecological Applications* 20, 1270–1289.

Cree, A. & Uharti, M., Forsyth, F. & Flynn, D. (2009). Forest recovery in a tropical landscape: what is the relative importance of biophysical, socioeconomic, and landscape variables? *Landscape Ecology* 24, 629–642.

Crouzilles, R., Beyer, H. L., Monteiro, I. M., Feltran-Babieri, R., Pessia, A. C.,Barros, F. S., Lindemayer, D. B., Lino, E. D., Grell, C. C. & Caudill, R. L. (2020a). Achieving cost-effective landscape-scale forest restoration through targeted natural regeneration. *Conservation Letters* 13, e12709.

Crouzilles, R., Curran, M., Ferreira, M. S., Grell, C. E. & Benayas, J. M. R. (2016). A global meta-analysis on the impacts of shifting cultivation on tree species diversity. *Nature Communications* 7, 1–8.

Crouzilles, R., Ferreira, M. S., Chazdon, R. L., Lindemayer, D. B., Sansevero, J. B., Monteiro, L., Iriarribar, A. L., Latawick, A. E. & Strassburg, B. B. (2017). Ecological restoration success is higher for natural regeneration than for active restoration in tropical forests. *Science Advances* 3, e1701345.

Crouzilles, R., Maurena, D., Prieto, P. V., Barros, F. S., Jakovac, C., Ferreira, M. S., Chazdon, R. L. & Guariguata, M. R. (2020a). Associations between socio-environmental factors and landscape-scale biodiversity recovery in naturally regenerating tropical and subtropical forests. *Conservation Letters*, e17268.

Crouzilles, R., Barros, F. S., Mohn, P. G., Ferreira, M. S. & Jungueira, A. A., Chazdon, R. L. & Brancalion, P. H. (2019). A new approach to map landscape variation in forest restoration success in tropical and temperate forest biomes. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 56, 2673–2686.

Curea, A. & Aide, T. M. (2001). The effect of distance from forest edge on seed rain and soil seed bank in a tropical pasture. *Biota tropica* 33, 260–267.

Dalle, S. P. & de Bloois, S. (2006). Shorter fallow cycles affect the availability of noncrop plant resources in a shifting cultivation system. *Ecology and Society* 11, 2.

Dalling, J. & Dennislow, J. (1998). Soil seed bank composition along a forest chronosequence in seasonally moist tropical forest, Panama. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 9, 669–678.

Dalling, J. W., Davis, A. S., Schutt, B. J. & Arnold, A. E. (2011). Seed survival in soil: interacting effects of predation, dormancy and the soil microbial community. *Journal of Ecology* 99, 69–75.

Dalling, J. W., Davis, A. S., Schutt, B. J. & Arnold, A. E. (2011). Seed survival in soil: interacting effects of predation, dormancy and the soil microbial community. *Journal of Ecology* 99, 89–95.

*de la Riva, C. (2013). Forest expansion in Northwest Costa Rica: conjecture of the global market, land-use intensification, and forest protection. In *Reforesting Landscapes: Linking Pattern and Process* (eds H. Nagendra & J. Southworth), pp. 227–252. Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht.

*Davidson, E. A., Reis de Carlos, C. J., Vieira, I. C. G., Fiolho, F. M. O., Primo dos Santos, M. T., Benito Guerrero, J., Kalji, K. & Tuma Sará, R. (2004). Nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of biomass growth in a tropical secondary forest. *Ecological Applications* 14, 150–163.

*de Rouw, A. (1993). Regeneration by spraying in slash and burn rice culture. *Tropical rain forest, Côte d’Ivoire*. *Journal of Tropical Ecology* 9, 307–406.

*de Rouw, A. (1995). The fallow period as a weed-break in shifting cultivation (tropical wet forests). *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 54, 31–43.

*Derroire, G., Balvanera, P., Castellanos-Castro, C., Delecour, G., Kannard, D. K., Lebrija-Trejos, E., Liedo, J. A., Oëen, P., Powers, J. S., Rico-Gray, V., Tigaku, M. & Healey, J. R. (2016a). Resilience of tropical dry forests — a meta-analysis of changes in species diversity and composition during secondary succession. *Ecology* 125, 1386–1397.

*Derroire, G., Tigaku, M., Oëen, P., C. P. & Healey, J. R. (2016b). The effects of established trees on woody regeneration during secondary succession in tropical dry forests. *Biota tropica* 48, 290–310.

*Dias, L. C., Pimenta, F. M., Santos, A. B., Costa, M. H. & Ladle, R. J. (2016). Patterns of land use, extensification, and intensification of Brazilian agriculture. *Global Change Biology* 22, 2867–2893.

*Diaz, G. I., Natuhual, L. Echeverría, C. & Marín, S. (2011). Drivers of land abandonment in southern Chile and implications for landscape planning. *Landscape and Urban Planning* 99, 207–217.

*Ding, Y., Zang, R., Letcher, S. G., Liu, S. & He, F. (2012a). Disturbance regime changes the trait distribution, phylogenetic structure and community assembly of tropical rain forests. *Diversity* 12, 1263–1270.

*Ding, Y., Zang, R., Liu, S., He & Letcher, S. G. (2012b). Recovery of woody plant diversity in tropical rain forests in southern China after logging and shifting cultivation. *Biological Conservation* 145, 225–233.

dos Santos, V. A. H. F. & Ferreira, M. J. (2020). Initial establishment of commercial tree species under enrichment planting in a Central Amazon secondary forest: effects of silvicultural treatments. *Forest Ecology and Management* 460, 117622.

*Duncan, R. S. & Chapman, C. A. (1999). Seed dispersal and potential forest succession in abandoned agriculture in tropical Africa. *Ecological Applications* 9, 998–1008.

*Dupuy, J. M. & Chazdon, R. L. (1998). Long-term effects of forest regrowth and selective logging on the seed bank of tropical forests in NE Costa Rica. *Biota tropica* 30, 371–377.

*Dupuy, J. M. & Chazdon, R. L. (2000). Interacting effects of canopy gap, understory vegetation and leaf litter on tree seedling recruitment and composition in tropical secondary forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 255, 3716–3725.

Dutilh, L., Jakovac, C. C., Latiffah, S. H. & Koontza, L. (2016). Reconstructing land use history from Lithic thin-tot-ore: case study of a studied agriculture system in Brazil. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation* 47, 112–124.

Endress, B. A. & Chinea, J. D. (2001). Landscape patterns of tropical Forest recovery in the republic of Palau. *Biota tropica* 33, 355–365.

Erad, L. C. & Colonia, S. M. (2012). Reforesting land use history from Lithic thin-tot-ore: case study of a studied agriculture system in Brazil. *Forest Ecology and Management* 45, 371–380.

*Esquivel, M. J., Harvey, C. A., Finegan, B., Casanoves, F. & Skarpe, C. (2008). Effects of pasture management on the natural regeneration of neotropical trees. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 45, 371–380.

*Estrada-Vilegas, S., Balón, M., Hall, J. S., Schreiner, S. A., Turner, B. L., Caughlin, T. & van Breugel, M. (2020). Edaphic factors and initial conditions influence succession trajectories of early regenerating dry forests. *Journal of Ecology* 108, 160–174.

Fanti, A. C., Schuch, C., Siminski A., & Siddiqui, I. (2019). Small-scale Management of Secondary Forests in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Flora et Ambiente*, 26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/2179-0876.06917.

*Ferrandes, D. N. & Sansevero, J. B. (2018). Ecosystem functions, landscape variables? *Science Advances* 48, 10000 © 2021 The Authors. *Biological Reviews* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Cambridge Philosophical Society.
Land-use effects on secondary succession

Hahn, P. G., Griscom, H. P., Guevara, S., Helmer, E. H., Goosem, M., Hecht, S. B., Harvey, C. A., & Land-use effects on secondary succession 17 Biological Reviews

Holl, K. D., Hogan, J. A., & Hedin, L. O. (2011). Nutrient dynamics of secondary forests in a humid tropical region of Mexico. Ecology 80, 1892–1907.

Jakovac, A. C. C., Benton, T. V., Mesquita, R. C. G. & Williamson, G. B. (2014). Age and light effects on seedling growth in two alternative secondary forest successions in Central Amazonia. Ecology and Management 148, 183–206.

Kitchell, J. F., Vinyard, R. D., & Peña-Claros, M. (2016a). Land use as a catalyst. PLoS One 11, e0150092.

Jakovac, C. C., Bongers, F., Kuyper, T. W., Mesquita, R. C. G. & Peña-Claros, M. (2016a). Land use as a filter for species composition in Amazonian secondary forests. Journal of Vegetation Science 27, 1104–1116.

Jakovac, C. C., Dutrieux, L. P., Sitt, L., Peña-Claros, M. & Bongers, F. (2015). Species and temporal dynamics of shifting cultivation in the middle Amazonian river: expansion and intensification. PLoS One 10, e0181092.

Jakovac, C. C., Peña-Claros, M., Kuyper, T. W. & Bongers, F. (2015). Loss of secondary-forest resilience by land-use intensification in the Amazon. Journal of Ecology 103, 67–77.

Jakovac, C. C., Peña-Claros, M., Mesquita, R., Bongers, F. & Kuyper, T. W. (2016b). Swiddens under transition: consequences of agricultural intensification in the Amazon. Agriculture Ecosystems & Environment 218, 116–125.

Johnson, C. M., Vieira, I. C. G., Zarin, D. J. & Frizzano, J. (2001). Carbon and nutrient storage in primary and secondary forests in eastern Amazonia. Forest Ecology and Management 147, 245–252.

Jordan, C., Caskey, W., Escalante, G., Herrera, R., Montagnini, R. & Uhl, C. (1983). Nitrogen dynamics during conversion of primary amazonian rain forest to slash and burn agriculture. Ohio 40, 131–139.

Junqueira, A. B., Shepard, G. H. & Clement, C. R. (2010). Secondary forests on anthropogenic soils in Brazil: Amazonia conserves agrobiodiversity. Biodiversity and Conservation 19, 1933–1961.

Junqueira, A. B., Shepard, G. H. & Clement, C. R. (2011). Secondary forests on anthropogenic soils of the middle madeira river: valuation, local knowledge, and landscape restoration in central Amazonia. Biological Conservation 144, 345–359.

Kabat, F. P. & Hahn, R. L. (1996). Effects of canopy species dominance on understory light availability in low-elevation secondary forest stands in Costa Rica. Journal of Tropical Ecology 12, 779–788.

Kaiser, D., Crouel, A., Yeo, K., Kone, S. & Linsenmair, K. E. (2015). Species richness of termitaria (Blattoidea: Termitidae) in and (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) along disturbance gradients in semi-arid Burkina Faso (West Africa). Biotropica 47, 69–78.

Kanowski, J., Catterall, C. P., Wrerell-Johnson, G. W., Proctor, H. & Reis, T. (2005). Development of forest structure on cleared rainforest land in eastern Australia under different styles of reforestation. Forest Ecology and Management 183, 265–280.
Untangling a decline in tropical forest resilience: constraints on the sustainability of regeneration in a tropical dryland forest. Oikos 118, 127–138.

Oistin and Lathamaras, A. E., Lortie, C. J., Lohbeck, M., Lascurain-Rangel, M., Lopez, E. M., Andersen, A. N., Bongers, F., Lebrija-Trejos, E., Polee, A., Perez-Garcia, E. A., Mesquita, R. C. G., Bentos, T. V., Meave, J. A., Thomas-Durando, A. P., Delonge, M., Mertz, O. (2002). The relationship between length of fallow and crop yields in Amazon rain forest. Biotropica 34, 193–200.

Mora, F., Picasso, J. V., Bhakar, R., Gavito, M., Siddiqui, I., Byrne, J. E. K. & Balvanera, P. (2017). Carbon accumulation in neotropical dry forests in Costa Rica. Biotropica 49, 212–217.

Mora, F., Baggio, S., Busacca, C., Campana, S., Gadgil, M., Raffaelli, D., Rondinini, C., Spiegel, D., Steiner, M., Tavani, G., Tavecchia, G., Vellucci, M., Vertucci, C., Yang, J. H., Mora, F., Alarcon, A., Incardona, J. P., Ibarra, C. J., Meave, J. A., Ceballos, G., Di Biase, N., Matamala, C., Sandin, S. A., Rybczynski, Z., Rondinini, C., Spiegel, D., Stein, M., Tavares, C., Vellucci, M. (2016). Global carbon cycling of natural and managed forests. Science 353, 873–877.

Mora, F.,才知道, R., 傘, 海の雨林の気候収支を考慮に入れた、気候変動に応じた森林管理のための指針. Forest Ecology and Management 369, 150–156.

Mora, F., Bagavetti, G., Vellucci, M., Meave, J. A., Ceballos, G., Di Biase, N., Matamala, C., Sandin, S. A., Rybczynski, Z., Rondinini, C., Spiegel, D., Stein, M., Tavares, C., Vellucci, M. (2016). Global carbon cycling of natural and managed forests. Science 353, 873–877.
Schmook, B., Sandor, M. E., & Schwartz, N. B. (2020). Glass half-full or half-empty? A fire-resistant species triggers divergent regeneration in low-resilience pastures. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change* 3, 115.

Sandoor, M. E., & Chazdon, R. L. (2014). Remnant trees affect species composition but not structure of second-growth forests. *PLoS ONE* 9, e83294.

Sanchez-Tapia, A., Sanseverio, J. B. B., Garren, M. L., Braga, J. M. A., Figueredo, P. H. A. & Scarano, F. R. (2020). Reed fire: An untidy cover: Invasion of bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*) in Brazilian cerrado forests. *Pedobiologia* 63, 269–271.

Serrano, M. I., Aide, T. M., & Boege, K. (2012). Resilience in plant-herbivore interactions and above versus belowground competition for tree growth during early succession of a tropical moist forest. *Biotropica* 44, 105–112.

Serrano, M. I., Aide, T. M., & Boege, K. (2010). The role of the shrub *Cordia alliodora* in the landscape structure of secondary forests and their limited role for the conservation of floral diversity in a human-modified tropical landscape. *PLoS ONE* 5, e131204.

Serrano, M. I., Aide, T. M., & Boege, K. (2010). Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. *Biotropica* 42, 151–162.

Shifting maize cultivation and secondary vegetation in the southern Yucatan: successional forest impacts of temporal intensification. *Regional Development Frontier: Globalizing Households and an Incipient Forest Transition.*

*Biological Reviews* 20 Catarina C. Jakovac et al. (2010). Shifting maize cultivation and secondary vegetation in the southern Yucatan: successional forest impacts of temporal intensification. *Regional Development Frontier: Globalizing Households and an Incipient Forest Transition.*

Schmook, B., & Radel, C. (2008). International labor migration from a tropical development frontier: Globalizing households and an incipient forest transition. *Human Ecology* 36, 891–908.

Schneider, L. C., & Fernando, D. N. (2010). An untidy cover: invasion of bracken (*Pteridium aquilinum*) in Brazilian cerrado forests. *Pedobiologia* 63, 269–271.

Schnitzer, S. A., & Bongers, F. (2002). The ecology of lianas and their role in forests. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 17, 223–230.

Shino, K., Gadawen, E. A., & Durst, P. B. (2007). Application of natural regeneration to restore degraded tropical forestlands. *Restoration Ecology* 15, 620–626.

Schwartz, N. B., Ahid, T. M., Graesser, J., Grau, H. R., & Uriarte, M. (2020). Reversals of reforestation across Latin America limit climate mitigation potential of tropical forests. *Frontiers in Forests and Global Change* 3, 85.

Schwarte, N. B., Uriarte, M., Défres, R., Gutierrez-Velez, V., & Pinedo-Vasquez, M. (2017). Land-use dynamics influence estimates of carbon sequestration potential in tropical secondary forest. *Environmental Research Letters* 12, 074023.

Siddique, I., Vieira, I. C. G., Schmidt, S., Lamb, D., Carvalho, C. J. R., Oriol, A., & Toh, I. (2014). Ecosystem fertility and fallow period on maize yield. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 189, 253–267.

Sidle, R. C., & Andrus, R., Antoño, R. & Harrison, R. D. (2016). Accelerating tropical forest restoration through the selective removal of pioneer species. *Forest Ecology and Management* 381, 209–216.

Sotz, L. T., Palm, C. A., & Buresh, R. J. (1999). Ecosystem fertility and fallow function in the humid and subhumid tropics. *Agroforestry Systems* 47, 163–196.

STRASBURG, B. B., BARROS, F. S., CROUZELLES, R., IRIBARREN, A., SANTOS, J. S. D., SILVA, D., SANSEVERIO, J. B., ALVES-PINTO, H. N., FEITLAN-BAHERRI, R. & LATAYEVE, A. E. (2016). The role of natural regeneration to ecosystem services provision and habitat availability: a case study in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest. *Biota Neotropica* 16, 896–899.

Steyerling, E., RAKOTONDRAHAMY, H. M., PFIEFER, M. J., FERNANDES, E. C. M., & BATES, D. M. (2007). Influence of slash-and-burn farming practices on fallow succession and land degradation in the rainforest region of Madagascar. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 119, 257–269.

Suazo-Ortuño, I., Lopez-Toledo, L., Alvarado-Diaz, J. & Martinez-Ramos, M. (2015). Land-use change dynamics, soil type and species forming monodominant patches: the case of *Pandanus tectorius* in a neotropical rain forest region. *Biota Neotropica* 47, 18–26.

Swain, T. G., ARIANDO, R., ANTONI, R., & HARRISON, R. D. (2016). Accelerating tropical forest restoration through the selective removal of pioneer species. *Forest Ecology and Management* 381, 209–216.

Thomlinson, J. R., Serrano, M. I., Tanía del M. L., Aide, T. M. & Zimmerman, J. K. (1996). Land-use dynamics in a post-agricultural Puerto Rican land-occupied area. (1996–1988), *Biotropica* 28, 529–536.

Thompson, J., Brokaw, N., Zimmerman, J. K., Wade, R. B., Everham, E. M., Lodge, D. J., Taylor, C. M., Garcia-Montiel, D. & Fluet, M. (2002). Land use history, environment, and tree composition in a tropical forest. *Ecological Applications* 12, 1344–1363.

Toll, L., Gliessman, S. M. & Lamb, D. (1999). The role of isolated trees in facilitating tree seedling recruitment at a degraded sub-tropical rainforest site. *Restoration Ecology* 7, 288–297.

Turner, M. G. (2010). Disturbance and landscape dynamics in a changing world. *Ecology* 91, 2833–2849.

Ubel, K., Wilson, K. A. & Shoo, L. P. (2017). Assisted natural regeneration accelerates recovery of highly disturbed forest. *Ecological Management & Restoration* 18, 231–238.

Uhl, C. (1987). Factors controlling succession following slash-and-burn agriculture in Amazonia. *Journal of Ecology* 75, 377–407.

Uhl, C., Clarke, K. C. & Murphy, P. (1981). Early plant succession after cutting and burning in the upper Rio Negro region of the Amazon basin. *Journal of Ecology* 69, 631–649.

Uhl, C. & Jordan, C. F. (1984). Succession and nutrient dynamics following forest cutting and burning in Amazonia. *Ecology* 65, 1476–1490.

van Breugel, M., Hall, J. S., Craven, D., Balson, M. P., Hernandez, A., Abrene, M. & van Breugel, P. (2015). Succession of ephemeral secondary forests and their limited role for the conservation of floristic diversity in a human-modified tropical landscape. *PLoS ONE* 8, e82433.

van Breugel, M., van Breugel, P., Jansen, P. A., Martinez-Ramos, M. & Bonos, J. F. (2011). The relative importance of above- versus belowground competition for tree growth during early succession of a tropical moist forest. *Plant Ecology* 213, 23–54.

Venter, H. F. & Cleef, A. M. (1998). Tree architecture and secondary tropical rain forest development: a case study in Araucaçuca, Colombian Amazonia. *Flora* 193, 3–5.

Vieira, D. L. & Scarlott, A. (2006). Principles of natural regeneration of tropical dry forests for restoration. *Restoration Ecology* 14, 11–20.

Vieira, I. C. G., Gardiner, T., Ferreira, J., Lees, A. C. & Barlow, J. (2014). Challenges of governing second-growth forests: a case study from the Brazilian Amazonian state of Pará. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment* 183, 1737–1752.

Vieira, I. C. G., & Proctor, J. (2007). Mechanisms of plant regeneration during succession after shifting cultivation in eastern Amazonia. *Plant Ecology* 192, 303–315.

Vieira, I. C. G., Uhl, C. & Nepstad, D. (1994). The role of the shrub *Cordia multiplacetata* Charm. As a "succession facilitator" in an abandoned pasture, Paragominas, Amazonia. *Vegetatio* 115, 91–99.

Villa, P. M., Martins, S. V., de Oliveira Neto, S. N., Rodrigues, A. C., Martorano, L. G., Monsanto, L. D., Canio, N. M. & Gaxa, M. (2016). Intensification of shifting cultivation reduces forest resilience in the northern Amazon. *Forest Ecology and Management* 380, 312–320.

Villa-Galaviz, E., Borge, K. & del-Val, E. (2012). Resilience in plant-herbivore networks during secondary succession. *PLoS ONE* 7, e53009.

Vilegas, Z., Peña-Claro, M., Montacelo, B., Alarcon, A., Licena, J. C., Leano, C., Pariona, W. & Choque, U. (2009). Silvicultural treatments enhance growth rates of future crop trees in a tropical dry forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258, 971–977.

Walker, L. R. & Chapin, F. S. III (1987). Interactions among processes controlling successional change. *Oikos* 50, 131–135.
Land-use effects on secondary succession

Walsh, S. J., Shaq, Y., Mené, C. F. & McClearn, A. L. (2008). Integration of Hyperion satellite data and a household social survey to characterize the causes and consequences of reforestation patterns in the northern Ecuadorian Amazon. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing* **74**, 725–735.

Wieland, L. M., Mesquita, R. C. G., Borowicz, P. E. D., Bento, T. V. & Williamson, G. B. (2011). Seed rain and advance regeneration in secondary succession in the Brazilian Amazon. *Tropical Conservation Science* **4**, 300–316.

Wijdeven, S. M. J., Reilly, J. M. & Reijnders, M. E. (2000). Seed availability as a limiting factor in forest recovery processes in Costa Rica. *Reforestation Ecology* **8**, 414–424.

Williams-Linares, G., Alvarez-Aquino, C., Hernández-Ascención, E. & Toledo, M. (2011). Early successional sites and the recovery of vegetation structure and tree species of the tropical dry forest in Veracruz, Mexico. *New Forests* **42**, 131–148.

Williamson, G. B., Bento, T. V., Longworth, J. B. & Mesquita, R. C. G. (2014). Convergence and divergence in alternative successional pathways in Central Amazonia. *Plant Ecol. & Diversity* **7**, 341–348.

Wolfe, B. T. & van Bloem, S. J. (2012). Subtropical dry forest regeneration in grass-terminated areas of Puerto Rico: understanding why Leucaena leucocephala dominates and native species fail. *Forest Ecology and Management* **267**, 253–261.

Wood, S. L., Rhemtulla, J. M. & Coomes, O. T. (2017). Cropping history trumps fallow duration in long-term soil and vegetation dynamics of shifting cultivation systems. *Ecological Applications* **27**, 519–531.

Wright, N. J. & Muller-Landau, H. C. (2006). The future of tropical forest species. *Biota**tica** **38**, 297–301.

Yackulic, C. B., Fagan, M., Jain, M., Jina, A., Lin, Y., Muscarella, R., Adame, P., DeFries, R. & Uriarte, M. (2011). Biophysical and socioeconomic factors associated with forest transitions at multiple spatial and temporal scales. *Ecology and Society* **16**, 15.

Zanini, L. & Ganade, G. (2005). Restoration of Araucaria forest: the role of perches, pioneer vegetation, and soil fertility. *Restoration Ecology* **13**, 507–514.

Zarin, D., Davidson, E. A., Brondizio, E., Vieira, I. C. G., Sá, T., Feldpausch, T. R., Schuur, E. A. G., Mesquita, R. C. G., Moran, E., Delmonica, P., Duclay, G., Hurtt, G. C., Salim, J. & Denich, M. (2005). Legacy of fire slows carbon accumulation in Amazonian forest regrowth. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **3**, 365–369.

Zimmerman, J. K., Aide, T. M., Rosario, M., Serrano, M. & Herrera, L. (1995). Effects of land management and a recent hurricane on forest structure and composition in the Luquillo experimental Forest, Puerto Rico. *Forest Ecology and Management* **77**, 65–76.

Zimmerman, J. K., Comita, L. S., Thompson, J., Uriarte, M. & Brokaw, N. (2010). Patch dynamics and community metastability of a subtropical forest: compound effects of natural disturbance and human land use. *Landscape Ecology* **25**, 1099–1111.

IX. Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article. *Table S1*. List of articles compiled in the literature review on the effects of land-use history on secondary succession with classification of study region and study design.

(Received 15 April 2020; revised 3 February 2021; accepted 4 February 2021)