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1. Introduction

The selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of nitrogen oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}) with ammonia as the reducing agent is important for minimizing harmful emissions from combustion and high temperature processes [1–3]. The process is currently being used extensively to reduce the NO\textsubscript{x} from stationary sources (especially power plants), and SCR technology has also obtained increasing attention for reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} from diesel vehicle emissions [4].

The most widely used SCR catalyst for reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} is vanadia supported on TiO\textsubscript{2} (anatase) promoted with WO\textsubscript{3} or MoO\textsubscript{3}. However, V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}/WO\textsubscript{3}(MoO\textsubscript{3})–TiO\textsubscript{2} has some shortcomings regarding high activity for oxidation of SO\textsubscript{2} to SO\textsubscript{3}, toxicity, and lack of high-temperature stability which highly limits its applicability in the automotive sector [4]. In addition, there is the problem of the transformation of the anatase to the rutile phase at high temperatures leading to catalyst deactivation. The first SCR systems were installed on power plants in the late 1970’s and early 1980’s. It was realized already at this time that metal-zeolite catalysts based upon a metal like copper possessed high activity for the decomposition of NO, even in the absence of a reducing agent [5]. More recently, especially the interest in automotive SCR has initiated a lot of research within the metal-zeolite systems [4, 6]. Initial studies have reported that lack of hydrothermal stability at high temperatures is one of the commercially limiting factors. However, recent development and demonstration of small pore Cu-SSZ-13 and Cu-SAPO-34 catalysts have received substantial attention due to their outstanding activity and hydrothermal stability [6–14]. Recent reports have shown that Cu-SAPO catalysts suffer
from poor hydrothermal stability at very low temperatures (70°C) caused by the breakage of the Si-O-Al bonds [15]. The hydrothermal stability at low temperatures can be somewhat increased by doping with Ce [16]. Furthermore, Cu-CHA has the advantage of generating N₂O only at low levels [6].

At low temperatures, metal-zeolite reaction mechanisms are considered to be Langmuir–Hinshelwood type [14, 17, 18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18]. Metal-zeolites contain metal ions which are very well dispersed to interact with NO to form NO₂ and zeolite [14,17,18].

In the present work, we report on the influence of Cu, Fe, and Mn metals supported on zeolite supports (SSZ-13, BEA, and ZSM-5) on the SCR activity and hydrothermal stability. The catalysts were characterized by means of nitrogen physisorption, NH₃-TPD, H₂-TPR, and XPS techniques. The characteristics were further related to the catalytic performance for SCR of NO with NH₃.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst Preparation and Characterization. Pure ZSM-5 and BEA supports were obtained from Zeolyst International. SSZ-13 support was supplied by Haldor Topsoe A/S, and the synthesis was reported recently [22]. 3 wt.% Cu, 3 wt.% Fe, and 3 wt.% Mn-zeolite catalysts were prepared by incipient wetness impregnation using appropriate amounts of copper nitrate trihydrate, iron nitrate nonahydrate, and manganese acetate tetrahydrate (Aldrich, 99.9%) as precursors, respectively, and SSZ-13 (Si/Al = 13), ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 15), or BEA (Si/Al = 12.5) as supports. The prepared catalysts were oven-dried at 120°C for 12 h and finally calcined at 500°C for 5 h before use.

A portion of the catalysts was also treated under mild hydrothermal conditions at a total flow rate of 1100 mL/min of a gas containing 11 vol% H₂O in air at 650°C for 3 h.

BET surface areas of the samples were determined from nitrogen physisorption measurements on about 100 mg sample at liquid nitrogen temperature with a Micromeritics ASAP 2010 instrument. The samples were kept at 200°C for 1 h before the measurement.

NH₃-TPD (temperature-programmed desorption) experiments were performed on a Micromeritics Autochem-II instrument. In an usual experiment, 100 mg of the dehumidified sample was inserted into a quartz reactor and treated in a flow of 50 cm³·min⁻¹ of He at 100°C for 1 h. Afterwards, the sample was treated with anhydrous NH₃ gas (Air Liquide, 5% NH₃ in He) for 2 h at 100°C. After ammonia adsorption, the sample was flushed with He (50 mL/min) for 100 min at 100°C. The NH₃ desorption operation was carried out by heating the sample from 100 to 700°C (10°C/min) under a flow of He (25 mL/min).

H₂-TPR (temperature-programmed reduction) measurements were performed on a Micromeritics Autochem-II instrument. 100 mg of the dried sample was placed in one arm of a U-shaped quartz tube on quartz wool. The gas composition was 1000 ppm NO, 3.5% O₂, 2.3% H₂O, and N₂ (remaining). The total flow rate was 300 cm³·min⁻¹ (ambient conditions). During the experiments, the temperature was raised in steps of 25°C from 200 to 600°C, while the NO and NH₃ concentrations were monitored by a Thermo Electron’s Model 17C chemiluminescence NH₃-NOx gas analyzer. N₂O concentration was measured by gas chromatography (Shimadzu-14B GC, TDC detection, Poraplot column). The activity was measured after reaching the steady state. Fresh and hydrothermally treated catalysts were compared by change in relative activity (%) of the corresponding catalysts.

Since the SCR reaction is known to be first-order with respect to NO under stoichiometric NH₃ conditions [24], the activity can be represented by the first-order rate constant \( k \) (cm³·g⁻¹·s⁻¹), which can be obtained from the conversion of NO by the following equation:

\[
k = -\frac{F}{w} \cdot \ln(1-x)
\]

where \( F \) denotes the flow rate (cm³/s), \( w \) denotes the catalyst mass, and \( X \) denotes the fractional conversion of NO.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Catalyst Characterization

3.1.1. Support Properties. The results of surface area (m²·g⁻¹), pore size (Å), Si/Al ratios, and acidity (µmol·g⁻¹) of the zeolite supports are summarized in Table 1. The surface
areas of the supports are decreasing in the order SSZ-13 (655) > BEA (640) > ZSM-5 (430). Based on the pore size of the supports, SSZ-13 (3.8 × 3.8 Å) is a small pore zeolite, ZSM-5 (5.3 × 5.6 Å) is a medium pore zeolite, and BEA (6.6 × 7.7 Å) is a large pore zeolite.

3.1.2. \( \text{NH}_3\)-TPD. Temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) of a basic molecule, especially ammonia, is a convenient method for quick determination of the acidic properties of a solid acid material [25, 26]. From \( \text{NH}_3\)-TPD, total acidity, strength, and distribution can be obtained from the peak area, position, and shape, respectively. Figure 1 shows \( \text{NH}_3\)-TPD profiles of the zeolite supports in the temperature range 100–700°C. Pure zeolite supports show two ammonia desorption regions: one due to weak acid strength and the other due to moderate acid strength. Weak acid sites were observed at lower temperatures, i.e., around 200°C, while the moderate acid sites were observed between 400 and 500°C [25]. The moderate acid strength of the zeolite supports is in the order SSZ-13 (473°C) > BEA (457°C) > ZSM-5 (412°C). The total acidity (\( \mu \text{mol g}^{-1} \)) of the zeolites follow the order SSZ-13 (1277) > ZSM-5 (1062) > BEA (1008). The amounts of acid sites are close to the respective number of Al atoms, namely, SSZ-13 (1200), ZSM-5 (1050), and BEA (1247).

The results of surface area and acidity measurements of the Cu-, Fe-, and Mn-zeolite catalysts are summarized in Table 2. Surface area of the metal-zeolite catalysts are in the range and order of SSZ-13 (585–549) > BEA (528–518) > ZSM-5 (369–345). The change in the surface area from metal to metal on a given zeolite support is very small.

Figure 2(a) shows \( \text{NH}_3\)-TPD profiles of the fresh and hydrothermally treated Cu-zeolite catalysts in the temperature range 100–700°C. After impregnating Cu on zeolites, extra desorption peaks were observed between weak and moderate acid sites. These extra desorption peaks are resulting from the decomposition of a copper ammonia complex (\( \text{NH}_3 \) bonded to Cu cationic species) [27]. The total acidity of the Cu-zeolites is Cu-SSZ-13 (1792) > Cu-BEA (1759) > Cu-ZSM-5 (1671). The difference between the amounts of \( \text{NH}_3 \) desorbed from the Cu-zeolite and the corresponding zeolite is ascribed to the formation of complexes by interaction between ammonia molecules and copper cations \([\text{Cu}^+(\text{NH}_3)_x]^+ (x \geq 2)\) [28]. The increase in acidity of the Cu-zeolite catalysts with the zeolite support is Cu-BEA (751) > Cu-ZSM-5 (609) > Cu-SSZ-13 (515), corresponding to molar \( \text{NH}_3/\text{Cu} \) ratios of Cu-BEA (1.59) > Cu-ZSM-5 (1.29) > Cu-SSZ-13 (1.09). The fact that these ratios are below 2 gives strong evidence for Cu not exclusively being present as isolated cationic species. The order of the number of added acid sites suggests that Cu-BEA is the catalyst with most copper cations located at the exchange sites of the zeolite, however, since \( x \) in \([\text{Cu}^+(\text{NH}_3)_x]^+\) is unknown, the differences are minor, and no clear conclusion can be drawn.

Hydrothermally treated Cu-zeolites showed a decrease in total acidity along with the shift of \( T_{\text{max}} \) of the \( \text{NH}_3 \) desorption peak to the lower region. Such a decrease in total acidity is probably due to dealumination of the zeolites but could also be due to migration of active, cationic copper species from the framework to form metal oxide particles [4, 8]. The extent of dealumination can be well monitored through \( ^{27} \text{Al} \) NMR analysis [8, 29]. Migration of copper active species can be monitored with \( \text{H}_2\)-TPR analysis and XPS surface composition, see the following discussion. The total acidity of the Cu-zeolites after hydrothermal treatment is in the order of Cu-SSZ-13-HT (1580) > Cu-ZSM-5-HT (1350) > Cu-BEA-HT (1186). The relative loss of acidity of the hydrothermally treated catalysts
with respect to fresh Cu-zeolite catalysts is in the order Cu-BEA-HT (-573) > Cu-ZSM-5-HT (-321) > Cu-SSZ-13-HT (-212). The loss of acidity is also a function of pore size, as already known that small pore zeolites are more resistant to dealumination, whereby more retained acidity can be expected [29].

Figure 2(b) shows NH$_3$-TPD profiles of fresh and hydrothermally treated Fe-zeolite catalysts in the temperature range 100–700°C. All Fe-zeolites catalysts showed two ammonia desorption regions: one due to weak acid strength at the lower temperature and the other due to moderate acid strength at the high temperature. The total acidity of the Fe-

---

**Table 2: Physical characterization of catalysts.**

| Catalyst    | Surface area (m$^2$·g$^{-1}$) | Total acidity (µmol of NH$_3$·g$^{-1}$) | Metal/Si ratio$^a$ (based on XPS) | Change (%) |
|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
|             |                                | Fresh/HT                              | Fresh/HT                          |            |
| Cu-SSZ-13   | 549                            | 1792/1580                             | 0.0280/0.0292                      | 4          |
| Cu-BEA      | 523                            | 1759/1186                             | 0.0187/0.0300                      | 38         |
| Cu-ZSM-5    | 345                            | 1671/1350                             | 0.0189/0.0253                      | 25         |
| Fe-SSZ-13   | 585                            | 1338/1098                             | 0.0454/0.0491                      | 8          |
| Fe-BEA      | 518                            | 1772/1195                             | 0.0219/0.0231                      | 5          |
| Fe-ZSM-5    | 369                            | 1519/1018                             | 0.0247/0.0276                      | 11         |
| Mn-SSZ-13   | 577                            | 1611/1358                             | 0.0335/0.0488                      | 31         |
| Mn-BEA      | 528                            | 1419/956                              | 0.0242/0.0263                      | 8          |
| Mn-ZSM-5    | 355                            | 1436/1065                             | 0.0251/0.0298                      | 16         |

$^a$Cu/Si bulk composition is 0.032, Fe/Si bulk composition is 0.036, and Mn/Si bulk composition is 0.037.

---

**Figure 2:** NH$_3$-TPD profiles of fresh and hydrothermally treated (dotted line) (a) Cu-, (b) Fe-, and (c) Mn-zeolite catalysts.
zeolites is Fe-BEA(1772) > Fe-ZSM-5(1519) > Fe-SSZ-13(1338). The increase in acidity of the Fe-zeolite catalysts with the zeolite support is Fe-BEA (764) > Fe-ZSM-5 (457) > Fe-SSZ-13 (61). Hydrothermally treated Fe-zeolite catalysts showed a less intense high temperature desorption peak along with loss in total acidity. The total acidity of the Fe-zeolites after hydrothermal treatment is Fe-BEA-HT(1195) > Fe-SSZ-13-HT(1098) > Fe-ZSM-5-HT(1018). The loss of acidity of the hydrothermally treated catalysts with respect to fresh Fe-zeolite catalysts is in the order Fe-BEA-HT (-577) > Fe-ZSM-5-HT (-501) > Fe-SSZ-13-HT (-240). As seen in Cu catalysts, the relative loss of acidity is a function of pore size with greater stability for small pores.

Figure 2(c) shows NH₃-TPD profiles of the fresh and hydrothermally treated Mn-zeolite catalysts in the temperature range 100–700°C. All Mn-zeolites catalysts showed two ammonia desorption regions: one due to weak acid strength at lower temperature and the other due to moderate acid strength at high temperature. The total acidity of the Mn-zeolites is Mn-SSZ-13(1611) > Mn-ZSM-5(1436) > Mn-BEA (1419). The difference between the amounts of NH₃ desorbed from the Mn-zeolite and the corresponding pure zeolite is Mn-BEA (411) > Mn-ZSM-5 (374) > Mn-SSZ-13 (334). Baran et al. [30] measured the number of acid sites of 2 wt.% Mn/Si-BEA prepared by impregnation. The authors used pyridine as the probe molecule at 150°C with FTIR detection and determined the number of Bronsted and Lewis acid sites to be 26 and 136 μmol/g, respectively. The corresponding number for SiBEA is 8 and 3 μmol/g. The increase of the number of Lewis acid sites was ascribed to the existence of framework mononuclear Mn species. The creation of Bronsted acid sites was explained by the presence of bridging hydroxyl groups in Si-O(H)-Mn³⁺. The difference between the number of acid sites due to Mn reported in the current study and by Baran et al. [30] can probably be explained by the differences in Mn loading (3 vs. 2 wt.%), characterization technique, and temperature range. The total acidity of the Mn-zeolites after hydrothermal treatment is Mn-SSZ-13-HT(1358) > Mn-ZSM-5-HT(1065) > Mn-BEA-5-HT(956). The loss of acidity of the hydrothermally treated catalysts with respect to fresh Mn-zeolite catalysts is in the order Mn-BEA-HT (-463) > Mn-ZSM-5 (-371)-HT > Mn-SSZ-13-HT (-253). Overall, all the catalysts exhibited an increase in acidity after metal impregnation (Cu, Fe, and Mn), and after hydrothermal treatment, most of the catalysts lost significant amount of acidic sites.

3.1.3. H₂-TPR. H₂-TPR is frequently used to study the redox property of the catalysts. Fresh and hydrothermally treated Cu-zeolite catalysts’ TPR patterns are shown in Figure 3(a). The fresh Cu-zeolite catalysts showed peaks between 160 and 230°C. According to the literature, bulk copper oxide exhibits only one TPR peak attributed to direct reduction of Cu²⁺ ions to Cu⁰ [31]. A large number of literature report on Cu-zeolites showing that Cu may belong to cations or nanosized polynuclear oxocations (n = 2, 3) located at exchange sites and supported CuO aggregates. The former are reduced into two steps: Cu²⁺ to Cu⁺ at low temperature (<230°C) and then Cu⁺ to Cu⁰ at above 830°C. Cu-SSZ-13 and Cu-ZSM-5 catalysts showed a two-stage reduction with a shoulder peak that could be due to reduction of cationic Cu species at various exchange sites [29, 32]. Combined with the NH₃-TPD results, we conclude that Cu is probably both present in the form of cationic framework species as well as CuO particles. Figure 3(a) also shows the H₂-TPR patterns of hydrothermally treated Cu-zeolite catalysts (dotted line). Fresh and hydrothermally treated catalysts looked very similar except a slight shift of the reduction peaks to higher temperatures. Similar observations are also made on Cu-SAPO-34 and Cu-SSZ13 catalysts [8, 29]. Such a shift in reduction peaks to higher temperatures could be due to migration of copper from exchange sites to the surface or to strong interaction of Cu with the support [9, 29, 33]. This could indicate that the redox properties of the Cu-zeolite catalysts are altered by hydrothermal treatment.

The TPR profiles of Fe-zeolite catalysts are shown in Figure 3(b). The reduction of Fe species on the Fe-zeolites started at 200°C and continued till 850°C with profiles broadly divided into two regions: low temperature reduction region between 200 and 400°C and medium temperature reduction region between 400 and 700°C. According to the literature, the low temperature reduction peak can be attributed to the reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ species as well as the reduction of Fe₂O₃ to Fe₃O₄ [34, 35]. The medium temperature reduction peak corresponds to reduction of small nanoclusters of Fe₂O₃ to FeO and further reduction to Fe occurs at above 1000°C along with zeolite framework collapse [35, 36]. As evidenced in Figure 3(b), iron oxide reduction profiles are different for each catalyst. Hydrothermally treated catalysts showed a shift of the reduction patterns to higher temperatures. Such a change in the reduction temperature could be due to reduction of iron oxide clusters particles which have clustered during hydrothermal treatment [37].

The TPR profiles of Mn-zeolite catalysts are presented in Figure 3(c). The reduction of manganese oxide species is influenced by the MnOₓ-support interaction. It can be seen that the reduction patterns are different for each support. The direct assignment of peaks is difficult. Three different reduction peaks are observed on all catalysts. These three different reduction peaks correspond to stepwise reduction of MnO₂ to MnO. The low temperature reduction peak below 250°C (MnO₂ to Mn₃O₄), medium temperature reduction peak around 300°C (Mn₃O₄ to Mn₅O₇), and a high temperature reduction peak between 350 and 450°C are observed (Mn₅O₇ to MnO) [38, 39]. Similar to Cu- and Fe-zeolites, hydrothermally treated Mn-zeolite catalysts also show a slight shift of reduction peaks to higher temperatures, which is regarded as an indication of strong interactions between oxide species and the support [39].

3.1.4. XPS. Surface composition (metal/Si ratio) of fresh and hydrothermally treated catalysts measured by XPS is summarized in Table 2. For all the fresh catalysts, except Fe-SSZ-
13, the metal/Si ratios measured by XPS are lower than those corresponding to the bulk composition of the zeolites, indicating that the metal concentration in the surface of the zeolite is lower than those in the interior.

The exceptional case of Fe-SSZ-13 could be due to Fe being not incorporated in the zeolite due to the preparation method. Steric hindrance of highly solvated iron cations was reported to limit their diffusion into small pore zeolites [40, 41]. After hydrothermal treatment, the metal/Si ratio increased, suggesting that the metal migrated towards the external surface of the zeolite [42]. Overall, Fe-containing catalysts exhibit the smallest change with M/Si ratios increasing by only 5 to 11%. Manganese-containing catalysts show increases between 9 and 45%, while the copper-containing catalysts are the most affected ones with M/Si ratio increase between 4 and 60%. These values suggest that the iron species are less affected by hydrothermal treatment at 650°C and less prone to migration to the outer surface than the manganese and copper species are. Notably, there is a minor increase of the metal/Si ratio in the Cu-SSZ-13, Fe-BEA, and Mn-BEA catalysts.

3.2. **NH$_3$-SCR Activity Results**

3.2.1. *Cu-Zeolites.* The catalytic activity of the fresh and hydrothermal treated Cu-zeolite catalysts was measured in the temperature range 250–550°C. In Figure 4, the catalytic activities obtained are shown as the first-order mass-based rate constant (cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$). All the catalysts showed increase in activity with increase in reaction temperature, reaching a maximum value and decreases thereafter due to the increased rate of ammonia oxidation. Cu-SSZ-13, Cu-BEA, and Cu-ZSM-5 catalysts showed maximum rate constants of 1443, 1688, and 1753 cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$ at their $T_{\text{max}}$ of 450, 550, and 425°C, respectively. The difference in $T_{\text{max}}$ exhibited during the SCR of NO could be due to a change in ease of reduction of copper oxide and ammonia adsorption strength [32]. Irrespective of the $T_{\text{max}}$, all the Cu-zeolites catalysts showed high SCR activity in the temperature window of 350–550°C. The Cu-SSZ-13 catalyst exhibits a different activity profile with two maximum rate constants of 832 and 1443 cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$ at low (325°C) and high reaction temperatures (450°C), respectively. The fact that the activity profile of Cu-SSZ-13 posses one global (at 450°C)
and one local maximum (at 325 °C) could be due to location of Cu active sites in two different sites of SSZ-13 as also observed by Kwak et al. [29, 43].

Hydrothermally treated Cu-zeolite SCR activity profiles are shown in Figure 4. All the catalysts showed similar activity profile patterns as the fresh catalysts. Cu-SSZ-13-HT, Cu-BEA-HT, and Cu-ZSM-5-HT catalysts exhibit maximum rate constants of 1393, 1241, and 1041 cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$ at their $T_{\text{max}}$ of 450, 550, and 425°C, respectively. Except for the Cu-SSZ-13, the catalysts lost significant SCR activity. The superior hydrothermal resistance of the small-pore Cu-SSZ-13 catalyst is better than medium and large pore zeolites [6, 7]. In the present investigation, along with the small-pore size effect, the superior hydrothermal stability of Cu-SSZ-13 may also be related to the retained total acidity and the similar redox pattern.

3.2.2. Fe-Zeolites. The catalytic activity of the fresh and the hydrothermally treated Fe-zeolite catalysts is shown in Figure 5. All the catalysts showed increase in activity with an increase in reaction temperature. Fe-zeolite catalysts are known to be performing well at high temperatures, e.g., in a temperature window of 400–600°C [37, 44]. Fe-SSZ-13, Fe-BEA, and Fe-ZSM-5 catalysts exhibit maximum rate constants of 102, 593, and 544 cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$, respectively, at their $T_{\text{max}}$ of 525°C. Fe-CHA (chabazite zeolite) catalysts activity was reported to be lower than Fe-MOR and Fe-BEA catalysts [45]. Compared to the Cu-zeolites, the SCR activity of the Fe-zeolites is lower and $T_{\text{max}}$ temperatures are higher. Especially, Fe-SSZ-13 exhibits much lower activity. Very poor activity of Fe-SSZ-13 shows that impregnation might not be the best method to incorporate Fe. Instead other catalyst preparation techniques like conventional ion exchange and chemical vapour ion exchange are recommended [4]. Hydrothermally treated Fe-zeolite SCR activity profiles are shown in Figure 5. Fe-SSZ-13-HT, Fe-BEA-HT, and Fe-ZSM-5-HT catalysts exhibit maximum rate constants of 57, 610, and 474 cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$ at their $T_{\text{max}}$ of 550, 550, and 525°C, respectively. Except for Fe-BEA, Fe-zeolite catalysts lost significant SCR activity. According to Balle et al. [48], the superior hydrothermal resistance of the Fe-BEA catalyst is due to the retained structure of the Fe sites, the slight decrease in surface area, and total acidity. The Fe-zeolite catalysts do not exhibit the small-pore size effect or the retained total acidity pattern to correlate the activity. Moderate hydrothermal resistance of Fe-BEA catalyst could be due to retained redox patterns under this milder hydrothermal condition. According to Shwan et al. [49], hydrothermal treatment is classified as milder and severe aging processes. Milder aging transforms isolated iron species to oligomeric iron clusters in the zeolite pores and further aging results in migration and formation of large iron oxide particles on the external surface of the zeolite. Oligomeric iron cluster formation inside the pores should not alter the surface Fe/Si ratio as measured by XPS. Migration of iron from the pores to the surface followed by the formation of large iron oxide particles does not necessarily increase the Fe/Si ratio as measured by XPS. This is because the FeO$_x$ particle might become bigger than the penetration depth of the X-rays of a few nanometers. The fact that the surface Fe/Si ratios did not change might either be a sign of no iron migrating to the surface as is expected under mild hydrothermal treatment or that a possible migration to the surface is accompanied by formation of clusters too big to be fully recorded by XPS.

3.2.3. Mn-Zeolites. The catalytic activity of the fresh and hydrothermally treated Mn-zeolite catalysts is shown in Figure 6. The Mn-SSZ-13, Mn-BEA, and Mn-ZSM-5 catalysts exhibit maximum rate constants of 386, 153, and 250 cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$.
313 cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$ at their $T_{\text{max}}$ of 450, 550, and 475°C, respectively. Compared to Cu-zeolites and Fe-zeolites, the SCR activity of Mn-zeolites is lower. Mn-SSZ-13-HT, Mn-BEA-HT, and Mn-ZSM-5-HT catalysts exhibit maximum rate constants of 90, 140, and 215 cm$^3$·g$^{-1}$·s$^{-1}$ at their $T_{\text{max}}$ of 550°C, respectively. All Mn-zeolite catalysts lost significant SCR activity by the hydrothermal treatment. SCR activities of the present catalysts (Cu, Fe, and Mn) are not compared with the open literature because each metal and support might have its own optimum regarding loading and choice of the preparation method.

### 3.3. Resistance to Hydrothermal Treatment

The relative retained catalytic activity at 300, 400, and 500°C of Cu-, Fe-, and Mn-zeolites after hydrothermal treatment is shown in Figure 7. Cu-zeolite catalysts exhibit a relative activity in the order Cu-SSZ-13 > Cu-ZSM-5 > Cu-BEA at all three temperatures. The relative activity of the Cu-zeolite catalysts can be correlated with small-pore size, retained total acidity, and less migration of Cu species. At 500°C, Fe-zeolite catalysts follow the order of Fe-BEA > Fe-ZSM-5 > Fe-SSZ-13. At 400°C, the order is very similar, being Fe-BEA = Fe-ZSM-5 > Fe-SSZ-13, and at 300°C, only Fe-BEA exhibits and retains a
relatively high degree of activity. Out of the manganese-containing catalysts, Mn-BEA is the most resistant one at all three temperatures, however, starting from a very low fresh activity. Its high resistance to hydrothermal treatment coincides with its $H_2$-TPR profile being the least affected one but is in contradiction to it showing the highest loss in acid sites. At 400 and 500°C, Mn-SSZ-13 which is the most active catalyst in the fresh state retains only about 20% of its activity, while Mn-ZSM-5 retains about 40 and 62%. Fe and Mn-zeolite catalysts do not follow the small-pore size effect and the trend of retained total acidity. Among all investigated catalysts, the Cu-SSZ-13 and Fe-BEA exhibit the most promising stabilities and activities after hydrothermal treatment.

3.4. $N_2O$ Formation. The catalytic selectivity in terms of $N_2O$ formation was observed at 450°C. Cu-SSZ-13, Cu-ZSM-5, and Cu-BEA catalysts displayed $N_2O$ concentration of 8 ppm, 15 ppm, and 25 ppm, respectively. Fe-SSZ-13, Fe-ZSM-5, and Fe-BEA catalysts did not display $N_2O$ formation. Mn-SSZ-13, Mn-ZSM-5, and Mn-BEA catalysts displayed $N_2O$ concentration of 12 ppm, 26 ppm, and 38 ppm, respectively. The highly active and hydrothermally resistant Cu-SSZ-13 catalyst performs also well regarding the level of $N_2O$ formation.

4. Conclusions
Cu-, Fe-, and Mn-zeolite catalysts are active for the SCR of NO with $NH_3$. Increased total acidity after metal
impregnation and loss of acidity due to hydrothermal treatment are observed. Cu-zeolites and Mn-zeolites showed medium temperature catalytic activity, and Fe-zeolites showed high temperature activity. The resistance against hydrothermal treatment is dependent on the type of metal and support. Among all the catalysts, Cu-SSZ-13 and Fe-BEA catalysts are the most promising hydrothermal-resistant catalysts. Hydrothermal resistance of Cu-SSZ-13 catalyst is a function of small pore size and retained acidic and redox properties, which in turn can be seen with respect to minor increase in migration of Cu species to the surface of the zeolite. Fe- and Mn-zeolite catalysts do not follow the small-pore size or retained acidic redox properties effect.
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