Identification and structural characterization of three psychoactive substances, phenylpiperazines (pBPP and 3,4-CFPP) and a cocaine analogue (troparil), in collected samples
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Abstract

Purpose New psychoactive substances (NPSs) still appear on the market, mainly due to their legal status. This situation indicates and alarms that permanent recognition of the designer drug scene should be conducted. In this paper, we describe the detection of three psychoactive substances in samples collected from drug users.

Methods Qualitative characterization was performed using liquid chromatography–high-resolution tandem mass spectrometry with a quadrupole time-of-flight analyzer, gas chromatography with mass spectrometry and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy.

Results In this study, we reported the detection and structural elucidation of three psychoactive substances: 1-(4-bromophenyl)piperazine (pBPP), 1-(3-chloro-4-fluorophenyl)piperazine (3,4-CFPP) and methyl 8-methyl-3-phenyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-4-carboxylate (troparil).

Conclusions To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report that presents an identification methodology for these substances found in illegal products. Comprehensive characterization of the NPSs presented in this paper facilitates their detection and identification by forensic and clinical laboratories.
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Introduction

By the end of 2020, approximately 830 new psychoactive substances (NPSs) had been reported to the European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction (EMCDDA) through the Early Warning System (EWS). As many as 46 NPSs were detected for the first time in Europe in 2020. Piperazine derivatives rank far behind synthetic cannabinoids and cathinones on the recreational drug list in this classification. According to the EMCDDA, there were only 18 piperazines monitored by the end of 2020 [1, 2], with one being the subject of EU risk assessment and international control (1-benzylpiperazine, BZP) [3]. These piperazines were reported to the EMCDDA, mainly in 2005 and 2006. Then, their popularity waned in favor of other groups of NPSs. Surprisingly, pFPP (1-(4-fluorophenyl)piperazine) was detected recently in combination with a synthetic cannabinoid in seized plant material [4].

The chemical structures of piperazine derivatives can be divided into two classes: benzyl-substituted piperazines with BZP as the main representative and phenylpiperazines, such as TFMPP (1-(m-trifluoromethylphenyl)piperazine), MeOPP (1-(4-methoxyphenyl)piperazine), pFPP, mCPP (1-(3-chlorophenyl)piperazine) or pCPP (1-(4-chlorophenyl)piperazine).

Cocaine is the second most commonly consumed illicit drug in the EU, following cannabis [3]; however, the recreational use of cocaine derivatives has been proven to be extremely rare. In 2008, the first detection of 4-fluorotropacocaine (pFBT, 8-methyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]oct-3-yl 4-fluorobenzoate) was reported to the EWS-EMCDDA. Two other analogues, RTI-111 (dichloropane, methyl...
Materials and methods

Materials and reagents

Samples were collected from drug users by the National Bureau for Drug Prevention in Warsaw. One product was presented as a white round tablet in a packaging labeled ‘Hyper’, and two products were presented as white powders in small plastic bags with labels ‘4-BP’ and ‘3,4-CFP’.

Methanol and acetonitrile (LC–MS grade) were purchased from Merck Millipore (LiChrosolv; Darmstadt, Germany); formic acid (LC–MS grade) was purchased from Honeywell (Metropolis, IL, USA); dimethylsulfoxide–d$_6$ (DMSO–d$_6$, 100% D) was purchased from Euriso-top (Gif-Sur-Yvette, France); methanol (CD$_3$OD + 0.03% TMS, 99.80% D) was purchased from ARMAR ISOTOPES (Leipzig, Germany); and deuterium oxide (D$_2$O, 99.9% D) was purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Inc. (Andover, MA, USA). Doubly distilled water additionally purified in a Nanopure Diamond UV Deionization System from Barnstead (Dubuque, IA, USA) was used throughout.

GC–EI–MS

GC–MS analysis was performed using a gas chromatograph coupled to a GCMS-TQ8040 mass spectrometer (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) with a Zebron ZB-SemiVolatiles column (30 m × 0.25 mm, with a film thickness of 0.25 µm; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA, USA). The samples were injected in splitless mode. After injection, the split flow was stopped for 1 min and then raised to 50.7 mL/min. Helium was used as the carrier gas with a column flow rate of 1.0 mL/min and nitrogen as a collision gas. The initial temperature was set to 75 °C, held for 1 min, ramped up to 180 °C at a rate of 20 °C/min, held for 3 min, increased to 320 °C at 20 °C/min and held for 7 min (total time 26 min). Electron ionization (EI) was used with an ionization voltage of 70 eV and an ion source temperature of 230 °C. The injector was maintained at a temperature of 250 °C, and the GC–MS transfer line was maintained at 280 °C. The scan range was m/z 29–600, and the injection volume was 1 µL.

LC–ESI–QTOF–MS/MS

Analyses were performed using an Ultimate 3000 high-performance liquid chromatograph system from Dionex (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) coupled to a microTOF-QII high-resolution tandem mass spectrometer with time-of-flight analyzer from Bruker Daltonik (Bremen, Germany). Chromatographic separations were carried out at 25 °C on a Hypersil GOLD C18 analytical column (100 × 2.1 mm, 3 µm particle size; Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a Hypersil GOLD guard column (10 × 2.1 mm, 3 µm particle size; Thermo Fisher Scientific). Linear gradient elution was applied with solvent A consisting of water/acetonitrile/formic acid (90/10/0.1, v/v/v) and solvent B consisting of methanol/acetonitrile/formic acid (90/10/0.1, v/v/v), and the flow rate was set at 0.15 mL/min. The following programme was used: 0–2 min, 10% B; 2–7 min, 10–90% B; 7–10 min, 90% B; 10–12 min, 90–10% B; 12–14 min, 10% B. The diode array detector (DAD) was set with wavelengths ranging from 190 to 320 nm. The mass spectrometer provided a resolving power that can exceed 17 500 FWHM (full width at half maximum). MS conditions were as follows: ESI positive ion mode; capillary voltage, 4500 V; end plate offset, − 500 V; dry gas flow rate, 8.0 L/min; dry heater, 180 °C; MS data full scan mode (from m/z 50 to 1500). High mass accuracies were ensured by calibration of the TOF analyzer with a solution of sodium formate prior to each sample. An auto-MS/MS acquisition mode was used in fragmentation experiments. Collision-induced dissociation (CID) was performed with collision energy (CE) linearly ramped as a function of the m/z ratio. The CE gradient was as follows: for values from m/z 200 to 400, the CE increased from 20 to 25 eV for compounds 1 and 2 or from 35 to 40 eV for compound 3. All data were processed by Compass 1.3 (Bruker Daltonik).

NMR spectroscopy

The NMR spectra were recorded at 298 K on a Varian VNMRS-500 spectrometer (Varian, Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) operated at 499.8 and 125.7 MHz for $^1$H and $^{13}$C, respectively. The spectrometer was equipped with an inverse $^1$H($^{31}$P–$^{15}$N) 5 mm Z-SPEC Nalorac IDG 500-5HT probe with an actively shielded z-gradient coil. The high-power
The NMR experiments (1H and 13C NMR spectra, 1H–1H COSY, 1H–13C HSQC and 1H–13C HMBC) were run using the standard Varian pulse sequences (for detailed parameters see Electronic Supplementary Material 1—ESM_1).

Sample preparation

Samples were micronized (in the case of tablets) and homogenized before testing. For GC–EI-MS, approximately 1 mg of each powder was dissolved in acetonitrile. For LC–QTOF-MS/MS analysis, samples were dissolved in a 1:1:1 (v/v/v) mixture of water/methanol/acetonitrile. In both cases, samples were dissolved with the assistance of ultrasonication for 10 min and then filtered by Whatman 0.2 μm pore size polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) filter media (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL, USA). If necessary, the filtrates were further diluted to a suitable concentration.

For NMR analysis, several milligrams of powder were dissolved in 0.7 mL of DMSO-<sup>d6</sup> or CD<sub>3</sub>OD. The solutions were transferred to 5 mm NMR tube.

Results

Targeted compounds could not be easily identified by matching respective MS/MS spectra with reference standards or with those described in the databases and in scientific papers because, although they were not new compounds, they have not been known and used as psychoactive substances before. Hence, no analytical data were found during our identification. The unknown compounds were analyzed, and their structures were elucidated using complementary GC–EI-MS, LC–ESI-QTOF-MS and NMR methods.

Accurately measured masses, exact (theoretical) masses, errors, relative intensities and elemental compositions for precursor ions and product ions are displayed in Table 1.

In the GC–EI-mass spectra of these compounds, signals of molecular ions were intense enough to be detected, but the spectra did not correspond with any available analytical data or databases. LC–ESI-QTOF-MS/MS provides very accurate mass information, isotopic patterns and MS/MS fragmentation patterns that allow for unambiguous assessment of empirical formulas or even chemical structures. Therefore, this technique is extremely useful in the identification of unknown substances. The mass accuracy for MS and MS/MS scans for compounds described in this paper and their product ions was high (within 5 ppm), although there were some exceptions for which the error was larger. The electron configuration was always even (EE) for precursor ions but even or odd (OE) for product ions. The structural identifications of fragment ions were based on theoretical calculations and comparisons with assignments of analogous fragments arising from fragmentations of other compounds. This study did not investigate fragmentation mechanisms. In some cases, ion formation required hydrogen rearrangements, but for the simplicity of the description, this was not specified each time it occurred.

Nevertheless, one of the problems that could not be resolved by mass spectrometric experiments was the assignment of substituents to ortho, meta or para positions on a phenyl ring. This information could be obtained by NMR experiments. The structures of the investigated compounds were determined by the interpretation of 1D and 2D NMR spectra: 1H, 13C, COSY, HSQC and HMBC. The presence of oxygen, nitrogen, chlorine and bromine atoms in the investigated compounds was confirmed by their influence on the NMR proton and carbon resonances and by the MS method.

Identification of compound 1: pBPP

GC–EI-MS

On the GC–MS chromatogram, a peak for compound 1 was observed at 11.9 min (Fig. S19a in ESM_2). The molecular ion at m/z 240 had a bromine isotope pattern (Fig. 1a). A base peak (M-42)<sup>+</sup> (m/z 198) was formed by cleavage within the piperazine ring and the loss of the C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>N fragment. Another characteristic ion indicating the presence of a piperazine ring (C<sub>3</sub>H<sub>6</sub>N<sup>+</sup>) was observed at m/z 56. Ions containing a phenyl ring substituted with a bromine atom appeared as doublets and were observed at m/z 155/157 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Br<sup>+</sup>) and m/z 182/184 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NBr<sup>+</sup>) and m/z 183/185 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NBr<sup>+</sup>). An isotope pattern for the product ion at m/z 119 and a characteristic mass loss of 79 Da from the base peak confirmed the release of bromine.

LC–ESI-QTOF-MS/MS

The LC–ESI-QTOF-MS/MS spectrum of compound 1 at a retention time of 7.1 min, displayed two isotopic ion signals of similar intensity [M + H]<sup>+</sup> and [M + 2 + H]<sup>+</sup> at m/z 241.0329 and m/z 243.0317, respectively, which indicated the presence of a bromine substituent (Fig. 2a). The monoisotopic ion at m/z 241.0329 corresponded to the protonated molecule C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>14</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> (calculated: 241.0335, error 2.4 ppm). CID experiments with this precursor ion resulted in two main fragmentation pathways. The ions at m/z 224.0078 and 197.9908, with an isotopic pattern suggesting the presence of bromine in their structures, were produced via the loss of ammonia from the piperazine ring (Δ 17.0251, NH<sub>3</sub>) followed by the loss of C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>. Homolytic cleavage of a bromine group, which yielded the OE ion (C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>) at m/z 162.1148, initiated the second dissociation pathway. It included product ions at m/z 145.0892 corresponding to the loss of NH<sub>3</sub> and at m/z 119.0731 derived...
from further cleavage of the \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \) moiety (\( \Delta 26.0161 \text{ Da} \)). However, the most intense product ion in the MS/MS spectrum at \( m/z \) 120.0813 (\( \text{C}_8\text{H}_{10}\text{N}^+ \)) was formed by the loss of the \( \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{N} \) fragment from the piperazine ring and a bromine moiety from the phenyl ring.

### NMR spectroscopy

The \(^1\text{H}\) NMR spectrum of compound 1 consisted of four signals (12 H); signals at 3.39 and 3.36 ppm represented eight aliphatic protons belonging to the piperazine ring and four aromatic protons gave signals at 7.39 and 6.95 ppm.
In the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum of compound 1, four signals attributed to four pairs of equivalent carbon atoms were present: two signals from the CH$_2$ groups of the piperazine ring and two from the CH groups of aromatic carbon atoms. The other two signals belonged to quaternary carbon atoms of the aromatic ring (Table 2). The presence of the AA'BB' spin–spin coupling pattern in the aromatic part of the $^1$H NMR spectrum and the presence of four signals in the aromatic range of the $^{13}$C NMR spectrum (two signals of the CH and two signals of the quaternary carbon atoms) confirmed the para substitution of the phenyl ring. Bromine substitution was confirmed by the MS method. NMR spectra of compound 1 are presented in Figs S1–S6 in ESM_1.

Finally, compound 1 was determined to be 1-(4-bromophenyl)piperazine (pBPP).

![Fig. 1](image-url) Gas chromatography–electron ionization mass spectra of pBPP (compound 1) (a), 3,4-CFPP (compound 2) (b), and troparil (compound 3) (c) with proposed ion annotations.
Fig. 2  Product ion spectra of \( \rho \)BPP (compound 1) (a), 3,4-CFPP (compound 2) (b), and troparil (compound 3) (c) with assigned fragmentation patterns
Identification of compound 2: 3,4-CFPP

**GC–EI-MS**

Compound 2 appeared at 10.8 min on the GC–MS chromatogram (Fig. S19b in ESM_2), with the molecular ion at m/z 214 displaying a chlorine isotope pattern (Fig. 1b). A base peak at m/z 172 (C₈H₈ClFN) indicated C₂H₄N loss. Similar to compound 1, the signal of the C₃H₆N⁺ ion at m/z 56 suggested the presence of a piperazine moiety. The elimination of a chlorine moiety from the base peak generated the signal at m/z 137, while the neutral loss of CH₄ yielded the ion at m/z 156 (C₇H₄ClFN). A chlorofluorophenyl cation was most likely observed at m/z 129 (C₆H₃FCl⁺).

**LC–ESI-QTOF-MS/MS**

Compound 2 eluted at a retention time of 7.2 min with the LC method described above. On the mass spectrum of the chromatographic peak, a signal derived from protonated molecular ion [M+H]⁺ at m/z 215.0745 was observed with an isotopic pattern indicating the presence of one chlorine atom in the molecule. The predicted chemical formula was C₁₀H₁₃ClFN₂⁺ (calculated m/z 215.0746, error 0.5 ppm). The MS/MS spectrum of compound 2 is presented in Fig. 2b. As with pBPP, two fragmentation pathways involving EE and OE ion series were observed. The first product ion at m/z 198.0472 was generated by the loss of ammonia from the piperazine ring. Subsequent cleavage of C₂H₂ from the remaining nitrogen formed the most intense product ion at m/z 172.0326, as seen in the EI-MS spectrum.

### Table 2 Experimental 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopic data of compound 1 in CD₃OD, 25 °C

| Numbering of atom position see figure | ¹H NMR δ [ppm] (multiplicity, number of protons J_HH [Hz]) | ¹³C NMR δ [ppm] | ¹H–¹³C HMBC |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|
| 1 (C)                                | –                                                        | 150.8         | –           |
| 2/6 (CH)                             | 6.95 (m, 2H)                                             | 119.8         | C2/6, C4    |
| 3/5 (CH)                             | 7.39 (m, 2H)                                             | 133.1         | C1, C3/5, C4 |
| 4 (C)                                | –                                                        | 114.4         | –           |
| 8/12 (CH₂)                           | 3.39 (m, 4H)                                             | 47.6          | C1, C8/12, C9/11 |
| 9/11 (CH₂)                           | 3.36 (m, 4H)                                             | 44.7          | C8/12, C9/11 |

δ chemical shift [ppm], ¹H–¹³C HMBC heteronuclear multiple bond correlation, m multiplet

### Table 3 Experimental 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopic data of compound 2 in CD₃OD, 25 °C

| Numbering of atom position see figure | ¹H NMR δ [ppm] (multiplicity, number of protons J_HH, J_HF [Hz]) | ¹³C NMR δ [ppm] (J_CF [Hz]) | ¹H–¹³C HMBC |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| 1 (C)                                | –                                                            | 149.0 (J_CF = 2.9 Hz)       | –           |
| 2 (CH)                               | 7.13 (dd, 1H, J_HH = 3.6 Hz, J_HF = 6.1 Hz)                  | 120.1                       | C4, C6      |
| 3 (C)                                | –                                                            | 122.0 (J_CF = 18.3 Hz)      | –           |
| 4 (C)                                | –                                                            | 154.3 (J_CF = 242.0 Hz)     | –           |
| 5 (CH)                               | 7.14 (dd, 1H, J_HH = 9.0 Hz, J_HF = 9.0 Hz)                  | 117.9 (J_CF = 21.8 Hz)      | C1, C3, C4 |
| 6 (CH)                               | 6.90 (dddd, 1H, J_HH = 9.0, 3.8 Hz, J_HF = 2.8 Hz)           | 118.3 (J_CF = 6.9 Hz)       | C4, C2      |
| 8/12 (CH₂)                           | 3.37 (s, 8H)                                               | 48.1                        | C1, C8/12, C9/11 |
| 9/11 (CH₂)                           | –                                                            | 44.7                        |             |

δ chemical shift [ppm], dd doublet of doublets, ddd doublet of doublets of doublets, s singlet ¹H–¹³C HMBC heteronuclear multiple bond correlation, J_HH proton–proton coupling constant [Hz], J_HF proton–fluorine coupling constant [Hz], J_CF carbon–fluorine coupling constant [Hz]
CID pathway included odd electron product ions. It began with chlorine radical loss (Cl·) to yield a stable aromatic OE ion at \( m/z \) 180.1055. The next fragmentation steps were similar to those of the first CID pathway: they involved loss of ammonia followed by the loss of \( C_2H_2 \), which gave OE ions at \( m/z \) 163.0785 and 137.0639, respectively.

NMR spectroscopy

To determine the positions of halogen substituents (Cl and F) on the phenyl ring, NMR experiments were performed. The \( ^1H \) NMR spectrum of compound 2 consisted of four signals (11 H) representing eight aliphatic protons on the piperazine ring and three aromatic protons (Table 3). In the \( ^13C \) NMR spectrum of compound 2, eight signals were attributed to: two pairs of equivalent carbon atoms from the CH\(_2\) groups of the piperazine ring, three carbon atoms of the CH groups and three quaternary carbon atoms of the aromatic ring (Table 3). Five signals for aromatic carbon atoms in the \( ^13C \) NMR spectrum and three aromatic proton signals in \( ^1H \) NMR spectra were coupled with the fluorine atom, and the coupling constants are presented in Table 3. The ABX spin–spin coupling pattern in the \( ^1H \) NMR spectrum and the presence of six signals in the aromatic range of the \( ^13C \) NMR spectrum (three signals for CH and three signals for quaternary carbon atoms) meant that the phenyl ring in the structure of compound 2 was doubly substituted. The signal at 149.0 ppm was attributed to the carbon atom at position C1 based on the presence of a cross-peak at 3.37 ppm/149.0 ppm in the \(^1H\{^13C\}HMBC\) spectrum. Moreover, the coupling constant for carbon atom C1 with fluorine was equal to \( J_{CF} = 2.9 \text{ Hz} \), which suggested that the fluorine substituent is in the para-position (C4 at 154.3 ppm). The next quaternary carbon atom at 122.0 ppm was also coupled with a fluorine atom with a coupling constant equal to \( J_{CF} = 18.3 \text{ Hz} \), which is a typical value for carbon–fluorine coupling through two bonds. This suggested that the chlorine atom is substituted in the meta position (C3). The presence of chlorine and fluorine atoms was confirmed by the MS method. The NMR spectra of compound 2 are presented in Figs S7–S14 in ESM_1.

Finally, compound 2 was determined to be 1-(3-chloro-4-fluorophenyl)piperazine (3,4-CFPP).

Identification of compound 3: troparil

GC–EI-MS

Compound 3 appeared at 12.5 min on the GC–MS chromatogram (Fig. S19c in ESM_2) with a molecular ion at \( m/z \) 259 (Fig. 1c). The EI-mass spectrum of compound 3 and a brief description has already been published [7]; however, we add its GC–MS spectrum with proposed ion annotations in this paper to collect and present complete analytical data of all characterized compounds.

LC–ESI-QTOF-MS

The peak of compound 3 was detected at a retention time of 6.6 min under the experimental chromatographic conditions. The protonated molecular ion at \( m/z \) 260.1644 was displayed in the mass spectrum, corresponding to the suggested formula \( C_{16}H_{22}NO_2^+ \) (calculated: 260.1645, error 0.3 ppm). A collision energy gradient had to be optimized to obtain more product ions and of higher intensity (Fig. S20 in ESM_2). The product ion in the MS/MS spectrum of compound 3 at \( m/z \) 228.1383 (\( C_{15}H_{18}NO^+ \)) was most likely due to an acylium ion generated by ester bond cleavage with loss of the CH\(_2\)OH moiety. Elimination of the carbonyl group produced the product ion at \( m/z \) 200.1425 corresponding to the phenyltropane moiety. The subsequent loss of CH\(_2\) yielded the ion at \( m/z \) 184.1119. Other fragmentation pathways that started from the ion at \( m/z \) 228.1383 involved dehydration, which resulted in the ion at \( m/z \) 210.1279, or a neutral loss of methylamine (31 Da), which formed the product ion at \( m/z \) 197.0947. Further cleavage of a tropane ring generated the most abundant ions in the MS/MS spectrum derived from the phenyl moiety substituted with unsaturated hydrocarbon chains, which were the residues of a tropane ring. A signal at \( m/z \) 143.0855 corresponded to the \( C_{11}H_{11}^+ \) fragment. The loss of CH\(_2\) yielded the ion at \( m/z \) 129.0705 \( (C_{10}H_9^+) \). Low-mass product ions appearing at \( m/z \) 96.0808, \( m/z \) 84.0808 and \( m/z \) 82.0655 were fragments containing pyrrole or partially saturated pyrrole substructures derived from the pyrrolidine ring of tropane, while the ion appearing at \( m/z \) 91.0543 was the tropylium cation \( C_7H_7^+ \). Apart from the OE product ion at \( m/z \) 128.0620, which was formed by the elimination of \( CH_3\)• radical, all of the fragments generated via CID experiments were even-electron ions.

Some steps of CID fragmentation of compound 3 were analogous to those of other compounds with tropane groups, such as cocaine [8].

To confirm the structure proposed based on LC–QTOF-MS/MS analysis, NMR experiments were conducted.

NMR spectroscopy

The NMR data for compound 3 are collected in Table 4. The \( ^1H \) NMR spectrum of compound 3 consisted of signals belonging to 16 aliphatic and 5 aromatic protons (two singlets for CH\(_3\) groups, ten multiplets (10 H) forming a spin–spin coupling pattern in the range 1.9–4.3 ppm and signals for one aromatic system AA′BB′C (phenyl group)). Moreover, there was a broad singlet from one proton that could be assigned to an NH\(^+\) group based on its chemical shift (9.4 ppm).
The $^{13}$C NMR spectrum consisted of fourteen signals, twelve of which, based on the $^1$H-$^{13}$C HSQC spectrum, were assigned to carbon atoms bearing protons: CH$_3$ (two signals), CH$_2$ (three signals) and CH (four signals for aliphatics and three in the aromatic region of the spectrum) groups. The other two signals belonged to quaternary carbon atoms. The NMR spectra of compound 3 are presented in Figs S15–S18 in ESM_1.

Finally, compound 3 was identified as 3-phenyl-8-methyl-8-azabicyclo[3.2.1]octane-2-carboxylic acid methyl ester.

**Table 4** Experimental 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopic data of compound 3 (troparil HCl) in DMSO, 25 ºC

| Numbering of atom position (see figure) | $^1$H NMR $\delta$ [ppm] (multiplicity, number of protons $J_{HH}$ [Hz]) | $^1$H-$^1$H COSY | $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMBC |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| 1 (CH)                                  | 4.10 (d, 1H, $J_{HH}$=7.5 Hz) | 63.2          | H7$\beta$, H2    |
| 2 (CH)                                  | 3.26 (d, 1H, $J_{HH}$=9.0 Hz) | 52.0          | H3, H1           |
| 3 (CH)                                  | 3.34 (overlapped, 1H)       | 34.4          | H4$\alpha$, H4$\beta$, H2 |
| 4a ($\frac{1}{2}$ CH$_2$)               | 1.95 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH}$=9.4, 14.5 Hz) | 35.6          | H3, H4$\beta$    |
| 4b ($\frac{1}{2}$ CH$_2$)               | 2.45 (ddd, 1H, $J_{HH}$=7.4, 7.4, 14.8 Hz) |             | C14, C5, C2, C3, C6 |
| 5 (CH)                                  | 3.87 (dd, 1H, $J_{HH}$=7.2, 7.2 Hz) | 61.4          | H4$\beta$, H6$\beta$ |
| 6a ($\frac{1}{2}$ CH$_2$)               | 1.85 (ddd, 1H, $J_{HH}$=4.4, 10.7, 14.5 Hz) | 26.0          | H5, H7$\alpha$, H6$\beta$ |
| 6b ($\frac{1}{2}$ CH$_2$)               | 2.21 (m, 1H)                |             | C5, C4           |
| 7a ($\frac{1}{2}$ CH$_2$)               | 2.00 (ddd, 1H, $J_{HH}$=4.7, 10.1, 13.6 Hz) | 26.1          | H6$\alpha$, H6$\beta$, H7$\beta$ |
| 7b ($\frac{1}{2}$ CH$_2$)               | 2.31 (m, 1H)                |             | C1, C2           |
| 9 (N-CH$_3$)                            | 2.68 (s, 3H)                | 39.2          | C1, C5           |
| 10 (C=O)                                | -                           | 173.2         | -                |
| 13 (O-CH$_3$)                           | 3.55 (s, 3H)                | 52.7          | C10              |
| 14 (C)                                  | -                           | 142.0         | -                |
| 15/19 (CH)                              | 7.36 (ddd, 2H, $J_{HH}$=1.6, 7.4 Hz) | 127.8         | H3, C3, C15/19, C17 |
| 16/18 (CH)                              | 7.32 (ddd, 2H, $J_{HH}$=7.1, 7.4 Hz) | 129.0         | C14, C16/18, C15/19 |
| 17 (CH)                                 | 7.23 (td, 1H, $J_{HH}$=1.6, 7.1 Hz) | 127.3         | C15/19           |
| $^4$NH                                   | 9.42 (brs, 1H)              | -             | C15/19           |

$^1$H-$^1$H COSY correlation spectroscopy, $\delta$ chemical shift [ppm], d doublet, dd doublet of doublets, $ddd$ doublet of doublets of doublets, $^1$H-$^{13}$C HMBC heteronuclear multiple bond correlation, $J_{HH}$ proton-proton coupling constant [Hz], m multiplet, s singlet, td triplet of doublets

**Discussion**

Piperazine derivatives were originally synthesized and used as anthelmintic agents, primarily in veterinary settings. N-Phenylpiperazines have been investigated in a wide range of therapeutic applications. Due to the serotoninergic, dopaminergic and adrenergic activity of N-phenylpiperazines, the majority of these studies were focused on treatments of central nervous system disorders; however, patents are also describing the use of
N-phenylpiperazine derivatives to treat, i.a., chronic painful conditions, chronic inflammatory states or obesity [9].

The misuse of certain piperazine derivatives (often known as ‘party pills’) started in New Zealand in the early 2000s [10, 11] and then became common in Europe. Combinations of piperazine analogues, such as BZP and TFMPF, were mainly ingested by drug users to mimic the psychoactive effects of MDMA (4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine, ‘Ecstasy’) [12]. Since many European countries introduced control over abused at that time piperazines and a boom in new legal stimulants—cathinones—occurred, the development of new piperazine derivatives was abandoned for a long time. Possibly due to the enactment of the generics law in Poland in 2018 and control of four NPS groups i.e., 2-phenylethylamines, cathinones, synthetic cannabinoids and fentanyl derivatives (benzodiazepines were added in 2019 and tryptamines in 2021), users again turned to the forgotten piperazines.

All compounds presented in this paper were identified for the first time and reported to the EWS-EMCDDA by NMI—troparil in 2018 [13] and pBPP and 3,4-CFPP in 2019 [14, 15].

pBPP (street names: 4-bromo-piperein, 4-BP, brein) has been found in powder-labeled ‘4-BP’. It is structurally related to pFPP and to pCPP, both formally reported to the EWS-EMCDDA in 2006. The only difference is the type of halogen substituent attached at the para-position of the phenyl ring. This is the first bromine-substituted phenylpiperazine identified as a recreational drug. In the case of NPSs from methcathinone group, which are also neurotransmitter releasers, structure—activity studies demonstrated that replacement of a fluorine or chlorine atom with a bromine atom resulted in a compound with more potency for release of both dopamine and serotonin. The lowest dopamine selectivity, which was an additional consequence of these halogen replacements, results in lower abuse potential in favor of empathogenic properties [16].

The second powder, marked as ‘3,4-CFP’, contained 3,4-CFPP (street names: kleferein, 3-chloro-4-fluoro-piperein, 3,4-CFPP), which is the 4-fluorophenyl derivative of mCPP and the 3-chlorophenyl derivative of pFPP, which were both formally reported to the EWS-EMCDDA in 2005 and 2006, respectively. 3,4-CFPP is the second disubstituted phenylpiperazine detected in Europe after 1-(4-(4-bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethan-1-one. Therefore, it was decided to report, in this paper, the appearance of pBPP among the illegal samples and present NMR data obtained in CD3OD.

Since NMI notification of 3,4-CFPP through a National Focal Point, this compound was also identified in Slovenia in 2020 by the National Forensic Laboratory (NFL) [20]. Their GC–MS results were consistent with the data presented in this paper. The GC/MS spectrum of a kleferein isomer—2-Cl-3-FPP (recorded for reference material and shared by NFL)—indicated much weaker chlorine binding at the ortho position compared to the meta position, as the ion at m/z 179 (corresponding to chlorine elimination from a precursor ion) of moderate intensity in the spectrum of 2-Cl-3-FPP was not present in the spectrum of 3,4-CFPP [21].

Troparil (another name: WIN 35,065-2) was found in a sample of ‘Hyper’. Troparil is a synthetic derivative of cocaine and was synthesized during the 1970s to separate the stimulant and depressant actions of cocaine from its toxicity and dependence liability [22]. This compound was also used as a starting material or intermediate in the synthesis of several 3β-phenyltropane derivatives [7, 23, 24]. With a phenyl group directly attached to the tropane ring, troparil is a 3-phenyltropane. The absence of the carboxylate bridge between the two cyclic moieties, which is present in cocaine, was reported to be responsible for the lack of local anesthetic activity [25] and to produce strongly enhanced stimulant activity [22]. Troparil also shares structural similarities with compounds monitored by the EWS-EMCDDA: RTI-111 and WIN 35428.

As mentioned above, pBPP has not been previously described in the literature in the context of its use as a psychoactive compound, although its 1H and 13C NMR data in CDCl3 were published [26]. In the cited work, pBPP was synthesized and then used as a substrate for the synthesis of 1-(4-((4-bromophenyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethan-1-one. Therefore, it was decided to report, in this paper, the appearance of pBPP among the illegal samples and present NMR data obtained in CD3OD.

In addition, some 1H and 13C NMR data obtained for troparil in CDCl3 [27] and 1H NMR data obtained in benzene [28] are available in the literature. Nevertheless, the difficulties that may arise in preparing real samples for measurement, including the selection of an appropriate solvent, persuaded us to present complete NMR data for troparil hydrochloride in DMSO.

Access to NMR data for a given compound dissolved in different solvents facilitates its identification. Therefore, here, we present full NMR characterization of troparil and pBPP in common solvents used in pharmaceutical and forensic laboratories, data that have not been published in the literature. Thus, the presented data could help in the fast identification of these NPSs in future samples seized from illegal markets.
Conclusions

In summary, two phenylpiperazines (pBPP and 3,4-CFPP) and one cocaine analogue (troparil) were identified in this study as novel psychoactive substances. These NPSs were detected in samples collected from users. Their structures were elucidated by LC–ESI-QTOF-MS/MS and GC–EI-MS as well as one- and two-dimensional (1D and 2D) NMR spectral analysis. The information obtained from 1D and 2D NMR experiments allowed determination of proton and carbon connection schemes in the studied compounds, and by considering molecular formulas obtained using LC–ESI-QTOF-MS/MS, their structures were determined. Some of the analytical data regarding troparil and pBPP have been published; however, this is the first comprehensive report that could be used for detection and identification of the characterized NPSs in drug samples by forensic and clinical laboratories.

Information on the identification of new compounds used as recreational drugs was reported by the NMI to the corresponding National Focal Point, which in turn sent an official notification to the EMCDDA; the drugs were then included in the European Drug Network Database, a European information system and database on new drugs.
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