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Abstract: In this paper, mathematical models of immobilized enzyme system that follow the Michaelis-Menten mechanism for both reversible and irreversible reactions are discussed. This model is based on the diffusion equations containing the non-linear term related to Michaelis-Menten kinetics. An approximate analytical technique employing the modified Adomian decomposition method is used to solve the non-linear reaction diffusion equation in immobilized enzyme system. The concentration profile of the substrate is derived in terms of all parameters. A simple expression of the substrate concentration is obtained as a function of the Thiele modulus and the Michaelis constant. The numerical solutions are compared with our analytical solutions for slab, cylinder and spherical pellet shapes. Satisfactory agreement for all values of the Thiele modulus and the Michaelis constant is noted. Graphical results and tabulated data are presented and discussed quantitatively to illustrate the solution.
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1. Introduction

Many problems in theoretical and experimental biology involve reaction diffusion equations with nonlinear chemical kinetics. Such problems arise in the formulation of substrate and product material balances for enzymes immobilized within particles [1] in the description of substrate transport into microbial cells [2], in membrane transport, in the transfer of oxygen to respiring tissue and in the analysis of some artificial kidney systems [3]. For such cases, the problem is often well posed as a two-point nonlinear boundary-value problem because of the saturation, Michaelis-Menten, or Monod expressions which are used to describe the consumption of the substrate.

Mireshghi et al [4] provide a new approach for estimation of mass transfer parameters in immobilized enzymes systems. Benaiges et. al [5] studied the isomerization of glucose into fructose using a commercial immobilized glucose-isomerase. The Michaelis-Menten equation is the most common rate expression used for enzyme reactions. This equation can also be used for immobilized enzymes [6, 7]. Many authors discussed the application of immobilized enzyme reactors extensively, but immobilized enzyme engineering is still in its infancy. Several general categories
of immobilized enzyme reactors such as: batch reactors, continuous stirred reactors, fixed bed reactors and fluidized bed reactors exist. When the immobilized enzyme is in the form of spheres, chips, discs, sheets or pellets it can be packed readily into a column [8-10].

Many authors presented enzymatic kinetics of irreversible [11-15] and reversible [14-16] mechanism. Farhad et al. [15] solved the nonlinear differential equations in enzyme kinetic mechanism using finite difference method. To the best of our knowledge, till date, no rigorous analytical expressions for the steady-state concentration for immobilized mechanisms are derived. We have presented the analytical expressions for substrate concentrations for all the three cases of kinetic models and for all possible values of the parameters using modified Adomian decomposition method [16-21]. These results are compared with the numerical results and are found to be good in the agreement. A simple analytical expression of the concentration is obtained for various particle shapes and for external mass transfer resistance boundary condition. Also, the general expressions for the mean integrated effectiveness factor for all values of parameters are presented.

2. Formulation of the Problem and Analysis

The immobilized enzyme systems may be considered to be porous slab, cylinder and sphere shapes where enzymes are uniformly distributed on the surface and in the interior. Pictorial representation of the enzyme in the biocatalyst is provided in Figure. 1.

![Figure 1. Pictorial representation of the enzyme in the biocatalyst.](image)

It is further assumed that: (1) The kinetics of the free enzyme are described by the Michaelis-Menten equation for irreversible reactions and by the modified Michaelis-Menten equation for reversible reactions; (2) No partition effect exists between the particle surface and the interior; (3) The temperature, density, and effective diffusivity of reactants inside the particle are constant; (4) A quasi-steady-state condition is attained; (5) The partition effect between the support and bulk fluid phase is neglected; (6) Enzyme deactivation is neglected. Based on these above assumptions, the governing differential equations with boundary conditions for irreversible and reversible reactions are reported below.

### Nomenclature

| Symbols | Définitions | Units |
|---------|-------------|-------|
| a       | Volume of the fluid phase in the reactor | none |
| Bi      | Biot number reaction in the pellet | none |
| C       | Concentration for the reversible reaction in the pellet | Dimensionless substrate |
| C̅       | Concentration for the reversible reaction in the pellet | Dimensionless substrate |
| D_e     | Effective diffusivity of the substrate in the pellet | cm^2 / min |
| Ef      | Effective factor | none |
| g       | Pellet shape factor | none |
| k_i     | External mass-transfer co-efficient | cm / s |
| K_m     | Reversible reaction Michaelis constant | kg / m^3 |
| K_{m_f} | Reversible reaction Michaelis constant | M |
| K_{m_r} | Michaelis constant of the reverse reaction | M |
| v_0     | Initial reaction rates | none |
| V_m     | Irreversible maximum reaction rate | kg / s / m^3 cat |
| V_{m_f} | Reversible maximum reaction rate | kg / s / m^3 cat |
| V_{m_r} | Maximum velocity of the reverse reaction | mol / min / l cat |
| R       | Half-thickness of the pellet | m |
| S       | Irreversible substrate concentration inside the pellet | µ mol / cm^3 |
| S_{eq}  | Equilibrium substrate concentration | µ mol / cm^3 |
| S̅       | Reversible substrate concentration inside the pellet | µ mol / cm^3 |
| S_h     | Irreversible substrate concentration in the bulk fluid phase | µ mol / cm^3 |
| S_{b0}  | Irreversible initial substrate concentration in the bulk fluid phase | µ mol / cm^3 |
| S_{b_h} | Reversible substrate concentration in the bulk fluid phase | µ mol / cm^3 |
| S_{b_{h_f}} | Reversible substrate concentration in the bulk fluid phase | µ mol / cm^3 |
| t       | Time | min |
| X       | Dimensionless distance | none |
| x       | Distance to the center | none |
| Y       | Dimensionless reversible substrate concentration | none |
| α       | Dimensionless parameter in reversible reaction | none |
2.1. Irreversible Reactions

A differential mass balance equation for the substrate for irreversible reactions in dimensionless form can be represented as follows [15]:

\[
\frac{d^2C}{dX^2} + g - 1 \frac{dC}{dX} = \phi^2 \frac{C}{1 + \beta_b C} \quad (1)
\]

The boundary conditions are given by

\[X = 0, \frac{dC}{dX} = 0 \quad (2)\]

\[X = 1, C = 1 \quad (without \ external \ mass \ transfer \ resistance) \quad (3)\]

\[X = 1, \frac{dC}{dX} = Bi(1-C) \quad (with \ external \ mass \ transfer \ resistance) \quad (4)\]

where \(C\) represents the dimensionless substrate concentration, \(X\) represents the dimensionless distance to the center or the surface of symmetry of the pellet, \(\phi\), \(\beta_b\) and \(Bi\) represents the Thiele module, dimensionless parameter for bulk fluid phase and Biot number respectively. The \(g\) characterizes the shape of the immobilized catalyst with \(g = 1, 2, 3\) for a slab, cylindrical, and spherical pellets respectively. So it can be regarded as a ‘shape factor’ for the particle. The dimensionless variables are defined as follows:

\[
C = \frac{S}{S_b}, \quad X = \frac{x}{R}, \quad \beta_b = \frac{S_b}{K_m}, \quad \phi = R \sqrt[3]{\frac{V_m}{K_m D_e}} \quad (Theile \ modulus) \quad s), \quad Bi = R \frac{K_m}{D_e} \quad (Biot \ number) \quad (5)
\]

In the above expressions, the parameters \(x, R, K_1, D_e, S\) and \(S_b\) represent the distance to the center, the half-thickness of the pellet, the external mass transfer coefficient, the effective diffusivity of the substrate in the pellet, the substrate concentration inside the pellet and substrate concentration in the bulk fluid phase respectively. \(K_m\) and \(V_m\) are the kinetic parameters. The equation (1) also describes the temperature or concentration variation in many fields of physics, chemistry, biology, biochemistry, and many others [7–14]. The effectiveness factor, \(Ef\) is given by

\[
Ef = g \left(1 + \beta_b \right) \frac{1}{\left(1 + \beta_b C\right)} X^{\phi - 1} dX \quad (6)
\]

The initial substrate reaction rate \(v_0\) is given by

\[
v_0 = Ef \frac{V_m S_{b0}}{K_m + S_{b0}} \quad (7)
\]

where \(S_{b0}\) denotes the initial substrate concentration.

2.2. Reversible Reactions

For reversible reactions, the governing differential equation for the dimensionless substrate concentration in the pellets is the same as Eq. 1 except the following dimensionless parameters.

\[
\overset{\sim}{C} = \frac{S}{S_b}, \quad \overset{\sim}{\beta_b} = \frac{S_b}{K_m}, \quad \overset{\sim}{\phi} = R \sqrt[3]{\frac{V_m}{K_m D_e}}, \quad \overset{\sim}{S}_b = S - S_{eq} \quad (8)
\]

where \(\overset{\sim}{C}, \overset{\sim}{\phi}, \overset{\sim}{\beta_b}\) and \(\overset{\sim}{S}_b\) represents dimensionless substrate concentration, the Thiele module, dimensionless parameter for bulk fluid phase and substrate concentration in the bulk fluid phase for reversible reaction. \(\overset{\sim}{S}\) represents the substrate concentration inside the pellet, \(S_{eq}\) is the equilibrium substrate concentration, \(V_m^{'}\) represents the maximum reaction rate and \(K_m^{'}\) is the Michaelis constants. The rate of change of substrate concentration \(Y\) in batch reactor can be written as

\[
\frac{dY}{dt} = \alpha \frac{Y}{1 + \beta_{b0} Y} \quad (9)
\]

where

\[
Y = \frac{S}{S_{b0}}, \quad \alpha = -a \frac{\overset{\sim}{E} \overset{\sim}{f}}{K_m^{'}}, \quad \beta_{b0} = \frac{\overset{\sim}{S}_{b0}}{K_m^{'}}, \quad \overset{\sim}{S}_{b0} = S_{b0} - S_{eq} \quad (10)
\]

where \(\alpha\) represent the ratio of the catalyst volume to the volume of the fluid phase reactor, \(a = 0.0252\) is the ratio of the catalyst volume to the volume of fluid phase in the reactor. The Eqn. (9) is solved with the initial condition \(Y(t=0) = 1\).

3. Analytical Expression of the Concentration for Irreversible and Reversible Reactions Using MADM

In the recent years, much attention is devoted to the application of the modified Adomian decomposition method (MADM) to the solution of various non-linear problems in physical and chemical sciences. This method is used to find the approximate analytical solution in terms of a rapidly
convergent infinite power series with easily computable terms [17-18]. In other words, the zeroth component used in the standard ADM can be divided into the two functions [19-21]. The ADM is unique in its applicability, accuracy and efficiency and only a few iterations are needed to find the asymptotic solution. The basic concept of the method is given in Appendix A.

3.1. Irreversible Reaction Without External Mass Transfer Resistance

Solving equation (1) using this method (see Appendix B), we obtain the concentration of the immobilized catalyst with \( g = 1, 2, 3 \) for a slab, cylindrical, and spherical pellets as follows:

\[
C(X) = 1 + \frac{1}{2g} \left[ \frac{\phi^2}{(1 + \beta_b)} \left( X^2 - 1 \right) + \frac{\phi^4}{60 (1 + \beta_b)} \left( 3X^4 - 10X^2 + 7 \right) \right]
\]  

(11)

Effective factor \( Ef \) for slab, cylindrical and spherical is

\[
Ef = 1 - \frac{2\phi^2}{5(1 + \beta_b)^2}
\]  

(15)

Using Eqns. (7) and (15), the initial substrate reaction rate \( v_0 \) can be obtained as follows:

\[
v_0 = \left( 1 - \frac{\phi^2}{15(1 + \beta_b)^2} \right) \frac{V_m S_{b0}}{K_m + S_{b0}}
\]  

(13)

3.2. Irreversible Reaction with External Mass Transfer Resistance

The substrate concentration with external mass transfer resistance for the initial and boundary conditions (Eqns. (2) and (4)) is obtained (see Appendix C) from Eqn. (1) as follows:

\[
C(X) = 1 + \frac{1}{2g} \left[ \frac{\phi^2}{(1 + \beta_b)} \left( X^2 - \frac{2}{Bi} - 1 \right) + \frac{\phi^4}{(1 + \beta_b)} \left( \frac{1}{20} (X^4 - 1) - \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{Bi} + \frac{1}{2} \right) (X^2 - \frac{2}{Bi} - 1) - \frac{1}{5Bi} \right) \right]
\]  

(14)

The change in reaction rate can be expressed quantitatively by introducing the effectiveness factor, \( Ef \). Using Eqn. (6), effective factor for slab, cylindrical and spherical is

\[
Ef = 1 - \frac{\phi^2}{15(1 + \beta_b)^2}
\]  

(12)

Using Eqns. (7) and (12) the initial substrate reaction rate \( v_0 \) can be obtained as follows:

\[
v_0 = \left( 1 - \frac{\phi^2}{15(1 + \beta_b)^2} \right) \frac{V_m S_{b0}}{K_m + S_{b0}}
\]  

(16)

Summary of all the expression of substrate concentration and effectiveness factor for with and without external mass transfer resistance are also given in Table 1.

3.3. Reversible Reaction

By replacing the variables \( C, \phi \) and \( \beta_b \) by \( \overline{C}, \overline{\phi}, \overline{\beta_b} \) in the Eqns. (11 -14), we can obtain the concentration of substrate and effective factor of reversible reaction.

4. Analytical Expression of the Concentration of Substrate Using the New Approach of HPM

The advantage of the new homotopy perturbation method (HPM) is that it does not need a small parameter in the
system [32]. Recently, many authors have used HPM for various problems and reported the efficiency of the HPM to handling nonlinear engineering problems [33-35]. Recently, a new approach to HPM is introduced to solve the nonlinear problem, in which one will get better simple approximate solution in the zeroth iteration [19]. In this paper, a new approach to the Homotopy perturbation method is applied (Appendix D) to solve the nonlinear differential equation (9). Using this method, the analytical expressions of the substrate concentrations can be obtained as follows:

\[
Y(t) = \frac{S_b}{S_{b0}} = \exp \left( -\frac{\alpha}{1 + \beta_{b0}} t \right) = \exp \left( -\frac{\alpha Ef V^*}{S_{b0}} t \right)
\]

(17)

5. Numerical Simulation

The non-linear differential equations (1) and (7) for the given initial boundary conditions are solved numerically using the Matlab program [36]. The numerical values of parameters used in this work are given in Table 2 and Table 3. Its numerical solution is compared with our analytical results in Tables (3) – (5) and it gives satisfactory agreement. In all the case, the average relative error is less than 1.3%. The Matlab program is also given in Appendix-E and F.

| Enzyme                  | Support             | Reaction        | Substrate | Product  | Reactor                      |
|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|------------------------------|
| Amyloglucocidase        | Honey ceramic slab  | Irreversible    | Soluble  | Glucose  | Stirred batch reactor       |
| Amyloglucocidase        | Porous spherical    | Irreversible    | starch    | Fructose | Recycling differential batch reactor |
| Guloseisomerase         | Glass beads         |                 | Glass     | Fructose | Recycling differential batch reactor |
| Sweetzyme Q             | glass beads         |                 |           | Fructose | Stirred batch reactor       |

| Case no. | 1                  | 2                  | 3                  | 4                  |
|----------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Enzyme   | Amyloglucocidase   | Amyloglucocidase   | Guloseisomerase    | Sweetzyme Q        |
| Support  | Honey ceramic slab | Porous spherical   | Glass beads        | Glass beads        |
| reaction | irreversible       | Irreversible       | reversible         | reversible         |
| substrate| Soluble starch     | Dextrin            | Glucose            | Glucose            |
| Product  | Glucose            | Glucose            | Fructose           | Fructose           |
| Reactor  | Stirred batch reactor | Recycling differential batch reactor | Recycling differential batch reactor | Stirred batch reactor |

Table 2. Summarized description of the immobilized enzyme systems investigated [24].

| Parameter                  | Case 1    | Case 2    | Case 3    | Case 4    |
|----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Thiele Module              | \(\phi/R_h^{V^*}/(K_m D_e)\) | \(\phi=R_h^{V^*}/(K_m D_e)\) | \(\phi=R_h^{V^*}/(K_m D_e)\) | \(\phi=R_h^{V^*}/(K_m D_e)\) |
| Dimensionless parameter in reaction for bulk fluid phase | 0.2605 | 2.1092 | 0.0035 | 0.0035 |
| Effectiveness factor       | 337.054 | 56.65 | 412.132 | 223.54 |
|                         | 0.99     | 0.99     | 1         | 0.99      |

Table 3. Numerical values of the parameter using this work.
Table 4. Comparison of dimensionless substrate concentration $C$ (without mass transfer resistance) with numerical result for various values of $\phi$ and $\beta_b$.

### (a) Spherical particle

| $g$ | $X$ | $\phi = 5$ | $\phi = 10$ |
|-----|-----|------------|------------|
|     | $\beta_b = 1$ | Our Work Eqn. (11) | Numerical | % Error | $\beta_b = 1$ | Our Work Eqn. (11) | Numerical | % Error |
| 0.0 | 2   | 0.161 | 0.163 | 1.22 | 15 | 0.105 | 0.107 | 1.86 |
| 0.2 | 5   | 0.406 | 0.413 | 1.69 | 20 | 0.277 | 0.282 | 1.77 |
| 0.4 | 7   | 0.581 | 0.581 | 0 | 25 | 0.470 | 0.470 | 0 |
| 0.6 | 10  | 0.762 | 0.762 | 0 | 50 | 0.791 | 0.791 | 0 |
| 0.8 | 15  | 0.907 | 0.907 | 0 | 100 | 0.940 | 0.940 | 0 |
| 1.0 | 100 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0 | 500 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0 |

Average deviation 0.582

### (b) Cylinder particle

| $g$ | $X$ | $\phi = 5$ | $\phi = 10$ |
|-----|-----|------------|------------|
|     | $\beta_b = 1$ | Our Work Eqn. (11) | Numerical | % Error | $\beta_b = 1$ | Our Work Eqn. (11) | Numerical | % Error |
| 0.0 | 4   | 0.282 | 0.288 | 2.08 | 6 | 0.211 | 0.215 | 1.86 |
| 0.2 | 3   | 0.463 | 0.465 | 0.43 | 5 | 0.413 | 0.416 | 0.72 |
| 0.4 | 2.5 | 0.636 | 0.636 | 0 | 4 | 0.644 | 0.644 | 0 |
| 0.6 | 2   | 0.807 | 0.807 | 0 | 3 | 0.843 | 0.843 | 0 |
| 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.935 | 0.935 | 0 | 2 | 0.960 | 0.960 | 0 |
| 1.0 | 0.1 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0 | 1 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0 |

Average deviation 0.502

### (c) Slab particle

| $g$ | $X$ | $\phi = 5$ | $\phi = 10$ |
|-----|-----|------------|------------|
|     | $\beta_b = 1$ | Our Work Eqn. (11) | Numerical | % Error | $\beta_b = 1$ | Our Work Eqn. (11) | Numerical | % Error |
| 0.0 | 3   | 0.300 | 0.306 | 1.96 | 5 | 0.202 | 0.206 | 1.94 |
| 0.2 | 1   | 0.575 | 0.598 | 3.30 | 35 | 0.349 | 0.353 | 1.69 |
| 0.4 | 2   | 0.733 | 0.744 | 1.350 | 50 | 0.589 | 0.592 | 0 |
| 0.6 | 5   | 0.894 | 0.895 | 0 | 75 | 0.790 | 0.790 | 0 |
| 0.8 | 10  | 0.967 | 0.967 | 0 | 100 | 0.910 | 0.911 | 0 |
| 1.0 | 50  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0 | 300 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0 |

Average deviation 1.38

### (d) Slab particle

| $g$ | $X$ | $\phi = 1.5$ | $\phi = 5$ |
|-----|-----|------------|------------|
|     | $\beta_b = 1$ | Our Work Eqn. (11) | Numerical | % Error | $\beta_b = 1$ | Our Work Eqn. (11) | Numerical | % Error |
| 0.0 | 1   | 0.554 | 0.564 | 1.773 | 20 | 0.282 | 0.300 | 1.94 |
| 0.2 | 2   | 0.670 | 0.681 | 1.615 | 25 | 0.240 | 0.252 | 1.36 |
| 0.4 | 3   | 0.767 | 0.770 | 0.518 | 30 | 0.662 | 0.662 | 0 |
| 0.6 | 5   | 0.880 | 0.883 | 0 | 50 | 0.843 | 0.843 | 0 |
| 0.8 | 10  | 0.963 | 0.963 | 0 | 100 | 0.955 | 0.955 | 0 |
| 1.0 | 15  | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0 | 500 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 0 |

Average deviation 0.78
6. Result and Discussion

Eqs. (11-12) and (14-15) represent the analytical expression for the dimensionless substrate concentration $C(X)$ and effectiveness factor $Ef$ for both reversible and irreversible reactions without and with mass transfer resistance for slab, cylinder and spherical pellets respectively. The substrate concentration $C$ against the dimensionless radial distance $X$ for the both reversible and irreversible reactions is plotted in Figs. 2 – 7 for various values of the Thiele modulus $\phi$ and $\beta_0$ for the three shapes. When the Thiele modulus or Half – thickness of the pellet ($R$) increases, the substrate concentration inside catalyst will also decrease in all the cases.

Figs. 2 (a) - 4 (a) represents that the substrate concentration $C$ versus distance $X$ for various values of $\phi$ and $\beta_0$, without external mass transfer resistance. The substrate concentration increases with increasing $\beta_0$ or increasing irreversible substrate concentration in the bulk fluid phase ($S_b$) and decreasing the irreversible reaction Michaelis constant ($K_m$). From these figures, it is obvious that the substrate concentration reaches a uniform value when the Thiele modulus $\phi \leq 0.01$ and $\beta_0 > 200$. Figs. 2 (b) – 4 (b) shows that, the substrate concentration decreases with increasing the Thiele modulus $\phi$ or increasing Half – thickness of the pellet ($R$) and irreversible maximum reaction rate ($V_m$).
1.5 φ = and 1 to 50 β

(b). 5 β = 0 and 0.1 to 3 φ

Figure 2. Plot the dimensionless substrate concentration C versus dimensionless distance X in the slab pellet calculated using Eqn. (11).

(a). φ = 10 and β = 25 to 300 (b). β = 1 and φ = 0.1 to 3

Figure 3. Plot the dimensionless substrate concentration C versus dimensionless distance X in the cylinder pellet calculated using Eqn. (11).

(a). φ = 5 and β = 2 to 100 (b). β = 1 and φ = 0.1 to 4

Figure 4. Plot the dimensionless substrate concentration C versus dimensionless distance X in the spherical pellet calculated using Eqn. (11).
From Figs. (5-7) it is inferred that substrate concentration increases with the increasing Biot number \((B_i)\) and Michaelis-Menten constant \((\beta_\phi)\). The dimensionless substrate concentrations for the three pellets are plotted in Figs. (8). From these figures, it is concluded that the dimensionless substrate concentration for the spherical pellets is greater than slab and cylindrical pellets.

**Figure 5.** Plot the dimensionless substrate concentration \(C\) versus dimensionless distance \(X\), in the slab pellet calculated using Eqn. (14).

(a). \(\phi = 1, \beta_\phi = 1\) and \(B_i = 1\) to 15  
(b). \(\phi = 1, B_i = 5\) and \(\beta_\phi = 1\) to 10

**Figure 6.** Plot the dimensionless substrate concentration \(C\) versus dimensionless distance \(X\), in the cylinder pellet calculated using Eqn. (14).

(a). \(\phi = 1, \beta_\phi = 2\) and \(B_i = 0.5\) to 5  
(b). \(\phi = 1, B_i = 0.5\) and \(\beta_\phi = 2\) to 10

**Figure 7.** Plot the dimensionless substrate concentration \(C\) versus dimensionless distance \(X\), in the spherical pellet calculated using Eqn. (14).

(a). \(\phi = 1, \beta_\phi = 1\) and \(B_i = 0.5\) to 20  
(b). \(\phi = 1, B_i = 1\) and \(\beta_\phi = 0.1\) to 100.
Plot of effectiveness factor $E_f$ against Thiele modulus $\phi$ and Michaelis-Menten constant $\beta_0$ is shown in Fig. 9 (a - b). Effectiveness factor is a dimensionless pellet production rate that measures how effectively the catalyst is being used. For $\eta$ near unity, the entire volume of the pellet is reacting at the same high rate because the reactant is able to diffuse quickly through the pellet. For $\eta$ near zero, the pellet reacts at low rate. The reactant is unable to penetrate significantly into the interior of the pellet and the reaction rate is small in a large portion of the pellet volume. The effectiveness factor decreases from its initial value, when the diffusional restriction or $\beta_0$ increases. The effectiveness factor is maximum ($E_f = 1$) at lower values of $\phi$ and $\beta_0$. For all the cases, $E_f = 1$.

Figure 9. The general effectiveness factor $E_f$ against Thiele modulus $\phi$ and Michaelis-Menten constant $\beta_0$. 

(i) (a-b) Without mass transfer resistance using (Eqn. (12)), (ii) (a-b) With mass transfer resistance using (Eqn. (15)).
Now the Eqn. (7) can be written as follows: \( \frac{S_{b0}}{v_0} = (\frac{K_m}{V_m}) + (\frac{S_{b0}}{V_m}) \). The plot of Fig. 10 represents \( \frac{S_{b0}}{v_0} \) versus irreversible initial substrate concentration in the bulk fluid phase \( S_{b0} \) gives the slope = \( \frac{1}{V_m} \) and the intercept= \( \frac{K_m}{V_m} \). The parameters \( K_m \) and \( V_m \) are obtained from the above slope and intercept results. Good agreement between predicted and experimental data is observed at low initial substrate concentrations.

Our analytical expression (Eqn. (17)) for the concentration of substrate \( \bar{S}_b(t) = \bar{S}_{b0} \) is compared with the experimental results in Fig. (11). Good agreement with the experimental data is noted. From this figure, it is inferred that reversible substrate concentration \( \bar{S}_b \) is almost uniform when the initial bulk substrate concentration \( S_{b0} \) is constant. The substrate concentration in the fluid phase \( \overline{S_b} \) increases when the initial bulk substrate concentration \( S_{b0} \) increases.

7. Conclusions

In this paper an approximate analytical solutions of the nonlinear initial boundary value problem in Michaelis-Menten kinetics have been derived. The modified Adomian decomposition method (MADM) is used to obtain the solutions for the non-linear model of an immobilized biocatalyst enzyme. Approximate analytical expressions for the concentration of substrate and the effective factor in immobilized biocatalyst enzymes are derived. The analytical solutions agree with the experimental results and numerical solutions (Matlab program) with and without external mass resistance for a slab, cylindrical and spherical pellets. These
analytical results are more descriptive and easy to visualize and optimize the kinetic parameters of immobilized enzymes.

**Appendix A: Basic Concept of Modified Adomian Decomposition Method**

Consider the singular boundary value problem of $n + 1$ order nonlinear differential equation in the form

$$y^{(n+1)} + \frac{m}{x} y^{(n)} + N y = g(x),$$

$$y(0) = a_0, \quad y'(0) = a_1, \ldots, y^{(n-1)}(0) = a_{n-1}, \quad y(b) = c \quad (A.1)$$

Where $N$ is a non-linear differential operator of order less than $n$, $g(x)$ is given, function and $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, c, b$ are given constants. We propose the new differential operator, as below

$$L = x^{-1} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} x^{1+n-m} \frac{d}{dx} x^{m-n} (\ ) \quad (A.2)$$

Where $m \leq n$, $n \geq 1$, so, the problem can be written as

$$L^{-1} (\ ) = g(x) - Ny \quad (A.3)$$

The inverse operator $L^{-1}$ is therefore considered a $n+1$ fold integral operator, as below

$$L^{-1} (\ ) = x^{1-n} \int_0^x \int_0^{x-n+1} \int_0^{x-n+2} \cdots \int_0^{x-n+m} \phi (\ ) d\phi \cdots d\phi \quad (A.4)$$

By applying $L^{-1}$ on (A.3), we have

$$y(x) = \varphi(x) + L^{-1} g(x) L^{-1} Ny \quad (A.5)$$

Such that $L \varphi(x) = 0$

The Adomian decomposition method introduces the solution $y(x)$ and the nonlinear function $Ny$ by infinite series

$$y(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n(x) \quad (A.6)$$

and

$$Ny = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \quad (A.7)$$

where the components $y_n(x)$ of the solution $y(x)$ will be determined recurrently. Specific algorithms were seen in [8, 12] to formulate Adomian polynomials. The following algorithm:

$$A_0 = F(u),$$

$$A_1 = F(u_0) u_1,$$

$$A_2 = F(u_0) u_2 + \frac{1}{2} F'(u_0) u_1^2,$$

$$A_3 = F(u_0) u_3 + \frac{1}{2} F'(u_0) u_2^2 + \frac{1}{3!} F''(u_0) u_1^3, \quad (A.8)$$

can be used constant Adomian polynomials, when $F(u)$ is a nonlinear function. By substituting (A. 6) and (A. 7) into (A. 5)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n = \varphi(x) + L^{-1} g(x) - L^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \quad (A.9)$$

Through using the modified Adomian decomposition method, the components $y_n(x)$ can be determined as

$$y_0(x) = A + L^{-1} g(x)$$

$$y_{n+1}(x) = -L^{-1} (A_n), \quad n \geq 0 \quad (A.10)$$

which gives

$$y_0(x) = A + L^{-1} g(x)$$

$$y_1(x) = -L^{-1} (A_0)$$

$$y_2(x) = -L^{-1} (A_1) \quad (A.11)$$

$$y_3(x) = -L^{-1} (A_2)$$

$$\cdots$$

From (A.8) and (A.11), we can determine the components $y_n(x)$, and hence the series solution of $y(x)$ in (A.6) can be immediately obtained. For numerical purposes, the $n$- term approximate

$$\Psi_n = \sum_{n=0}^{n} y_k \quad (A.12)$$

can be used to approximate, the exact solution. The approach presented above can be validated by testing it on a variety of several linear and nonlinear initial value problems.

**Appendix B: Analytical Solution of Substrate Concentration Without External Mass Transfer Resistance**

The solutions of Eq. (1) for $g = 3$ allow us to predict the concentration profiles of dimensionless substrate concentration in immobilized enzymes. In order to solve Eq.
(1), using the modified Adomian decomposition method, Eq. (1) can be written with the operator form

\[ L_s = \left( \frac{\phi^2}{1 + \beta_0} C_0 \right) \]  

(B.1)

where \( L = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \). Applying the inverse operator \( L^{-1} \) on both sides of Eq. (B. 1) yields

\[ C(x) = Ax + B + \left( \frac{\phi^2 C_0}{1 + \beta_0 C_0} \right) \]  

(B.2)

Where A and B are the constants of integration. We let,

\[ C_0(x) = AX + B \]  

(B.7)

and the remaining components as the recurrence relation

\[ C_{n+1} = \phi^2 L^{-1} A_n, n \geq 0 \]  

(B.8)

where \( A_n \) are the Adomian polynomials of \( C_0, C_1, ..., C_n \).

We can find the first few \( A_n \) as follows:

Applying the boundary conditions in (B. 1) we get,

\[ C_0 = 1 \]  

(B.9)

Again to find \( C_1 \)

\[ C_1 = L^{-1} \left( \frac{\phi^2}{1 + \beta_0 C_0} \right) \]  

(B.10)

Using (B. 9) in (B. 10),

\[ C_1 = L^{-1} \left( \frac{\phi^2}{1 + \beta_0} \right) \]  

(B.11)

Again using this formula to find \( C_1 \),

\[ C_1 = X^{-1} \int_0^X \left( \frac{\phi^2}{1 + \beta_0} \right) dXdX \]  

(B.12)

Integrating Eqn. (B. 12),

\[ C_1 = \left( \frac{\phi^2}{6(1 + \beta_0)} \right) \left( X^2 - 1 \right) \]  

(B.13)

Where A and B are integrating constants. Again using boundary conditions Eqn. (B. 13) becomes,

\[ C_1 = \frac{\phi^2}{6(1 + \beta_0)} \left( X^2 - 1 \right) \]  

(B.14)

Now, consider

\[ C_2 = L^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1!}} dN(C_0 + C_1 \lambda) \right)_{\lambda=0} \]  

(B.15)

Solving \( \left( \frac{1}{\lambda^{1!}} dN(C_0 + C_1 \lambda) \right)_{\lambda=0} \), we get,

\[ C_2 = L^{-1} \left( \frac{\phi^4}{6(1 + \beta_0)} \left( X^2 - 1 \right) \right) \]  

(B.16)

Therefore,

\[ C_2 = X^{-1} \int_0^X \left( \frac{\phi^4}{6(1 + \beta_0)} \left( X^2 - 1 \right) \right) dXdX \]  

(B.17)

Integrating Eqn. (B. 17),

\[ C_2 = \left( \frac{\phi^4}{6(1 + \beta_0)} \right) \left( X^4 - \frac{X^3}{120} + C + DX^{-1} \right) \]  

(B.18)

Where C and D are integrating constants. Apply boundary conditions we get the value for C and D.

Therefore Eqn. (B. 17) in the form,

\[ C_2 = \left( \frac{\phi^4}{360(1 + \beta_0)^3} \right) \left( 3X^4 - 10X^2 + 7 \right) \]  

(B.19)

Adding the Eqns. (B. 9), (B. 14) and (B. 19) we get the solution Eqn. (7). Similarly, to apply the above method for \( g = 1 \), \( g = 2 \) to find the solution.

Appendix C: Analytical Solution of Substrate Concentration with External Mass Transfer Resistance

The solutions of Eq. (1) for \( g = 3 \) allow us to predict the concentration profiles of dimensionless substrate concentration in immobilized enzymes. In order to solve Eq.
(1), using the modified Adomian decomposition method, Eq. (1) can be written with the operator form

\[ L_s = \left( \frac{\phi^2 C_0}{1 + \beta_b C_0} \right) \]  

(C.1)

Where \( L = \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \), applying the inverse operator \( L^{-1} \) on both sides of Eq. (C.1) yields

\[ C(x) = Ax + B + \left( \frac{\phi^2 C_0}{1 + \beta_b C_0} \right) \]  

(C.2)

Where A and B are the constants of integration. We let,

\[ C(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \]  

(C.3)

\[ N[C(x)] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \]  

(C.4)

Where

\[ N[C(x)] = \left( \frac{\phi^2 C_0}{1 + \beta_b C_0} \right) \]  

(C.5)

From the eqns (C.3), (C.4) and (C.5), Eq. (C.2) gives

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(x) = Ax + B + \left( \frac{\phi^2 C_0}{1 + \beta_b C_0} \right) \]  

(C.6)

We identify the zeroth component as

\[ C_0(x) = AX + B \]  

(C.7)

And the remaining components as the recurrence relation

\[ C_{n+1} = \phi^2 L^{-1} A_n; n \geq 0 \]  

(C.8)

where \( A_n \) are the Adomian polynomials of \( C_0, C_1, ..., C_n \).

We can find the first few \( A_n \) as follows:

\[ C = AX + B \]  

(C.9)

Apply the boundary conditions in (C. 9) we get,

\[ C_0 = 1 \]  

(C.10)

Again to find \( C_1 \)

\[ C_1 = L^{-1} \left( \frac{\phi^2 C_0}{1 + \beta_b C_0} \right) \]  

(C.11)

Using (C.10) in (C.11),

\[ C_1 = L^{-1} \left( \frac{\phi^2}{1 + \beta_b} \right) \]  

(C.12)

Again using this formula to find \( C_1 \),

\[ C_1 = X^{-1} \int_{0}^{X} X \left( \frac{\phi^2}{1 + \beta_b} \right) dX \]  

(C.13)

Integrating Eqn. (C.13),

\[ C_1 = \left( \frac{\phi^2}{1 + \beta_b} \right) \left( \frac{X^2}{6} + A + BX^{-1} \right) \]  

(C.14)

Where A and B are the integrating constants. Again, using boundary conditions Eqn. (C. 14) becomes,

\[ C_1 = \frac{\phi^2}{1 + \beta_b} \left( \frac{X^2}{6} - \frac{1}{3Bi} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \]  

(C.15)

Now, consider

\[ C_2 = L^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{11} d^2 N(C_0 + C_1 \lambda) \right)_{\lambda=0} \]  

(C.16)

Solving \( \frac{1}{11} d^2 N(C_0 + C_1 \lambda) \) we get,

\[ C_2 = L^{-1} \left( \frac{\phi^2}{(1 + \beta_b)^3} X \left( \frac{X^2}{6} - \frac{1}{3Bi} - \frac{1}{6} \right) \right) \]  

(C.17)

Therefore,

\[ C_2 = X^{-1} \int_{0}^{X} \left( \frac{\phi^2}{(1 + \beta_b)^3} \right) X \left( \frac{X^2}{6} - \frac{1}{3Bi} - \frac{1}{6} \right) dX \]  

(C.18)

Integrating Eqn. (C.18),

\[ C_2 = \left( \frac{\phi^4}{(1 + \beta_b)^3} \right) \left( \frac{X^2}{120} - \frac{X^2}{6} + \frac{1}{3Bi} + \frac{1}{6} \right) + C + DX^{-1} \]  

(C.19)

Where C and D are the integrating constants. Apply boundary conditions we get the value for C and D.

Therefore Eqn. (C. 11) in the form,

\[ C_2 = \left( \frac{\phi^4}{(1 + \beta_b)^3} \right) \left( \frac{X^2}{120} - \frac{X^2}{6} + \frac{1}{3Bi} + \frac{1}{6} \right) + C + DX^{-1} \]  

(C.20)

Adding the Eqns. (C. 10), (C. 15) and (C. 20) we get the solution Eqn. (13). Similarly, to apply the above method for \( g = 1, g = 2 \) to find the solutions.
Appendix D1: Scilab Program for the Numerical Solution of Equation (11)

```scilab
function pdex4
    m = 0;
    x = linspace(0, 1);
    t = linspace(0, 1000000);
    sol = pdepe(m, @pdex4pde, @pdex4ic, @pdex4bc, x, t);
    u1 = sol(:, :, 1);

    figure
    plot(x, u1(end, :))
    title('u1(x, t)')
    xlabel('Distance x')
    ylabel('u1(x, 1)')

    function [c, f, s] = pdex4pde(x, t, u, DuDx);
        c = 1;
        f = 1.* DuDx;
        a = 6; b = 5;
        F = -((a^2*u(1))/((1+b*u(1))));
        s = F;

    function u0 = pdex4ic(x);
        u0 = [0];

    function [pl, ql, pr, qr] = pdex4bc(xl, ul, xr, ur, t);
        B = 1;
        pl = [0];
        ql = [1];
        pr = [ur(1)-1];
        qr = [0];
```

Appendix D2: Scilab Program for the Numerical Solution of Equation (13)

```scilab
function pdex4
    m = 0;
    x = linspace(0, 1);
    t = linspace(0, 1000000);
    sol = pdepe(m, @pdex4pde, @pdex4ic, @pdex4bc, x, t);
    u1 = sol(:, :, 1);

    figure
    plot(x, u1(end, :))
    title('u1(x, t)')
    xlabel('Distance x')
    ylabel('u1(x, 1)')

    function [c, f, s] = pdex4pde(x, t, u, DuDx);
        c = 1;
        f = 1.* DuDx;
        e = 1; alpha = 5;
        F = -(e*u(1))/((1+(alpha*u(1))));
        s = F;

    function u0 = pdex4ic(x);
        u0 = [0];

    function [pl, ql, pr, qr] = pdex4bc(xl, ul, xr, ur, t);
        B = 1;
        pl = [0];
        ql = [1];
        pr = [-B*(1-ur(1))];
        qr = [1];
```

Figure 12. Plot of the three-dimensional dimensionless concentration C against the dimensionless distance X for the three pellets calculated using Eqn. (11) (without mass-transfer resistance).
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(i). Michaelis-Menten constant $k_M$, (ii). Thiele modulus $\phi$

**Figure 13.** Plot of the three-dimensional dimensionless concentration $C$ against the dimensionless distance $X$ for the three pellets calculated using Eqn. (14) (with mass-transfer resistance).

**Figure 14.** Hanes-Woolf plot for case 2 in the absence of mass transfer limitations (dotted line), model predictions with constant diffusivity (dot-dashed line), model predictions with concentration-dependent diffusivity (dashed line), experimental data (symbols), and analytical result (solid line).

**Figure 15.** Line weaver-Burk plot for case 2: model predictions using the optimized parameters (dotted line), experimental data (symbols) and analytical result (solid line).
Figure 16. Line weaver-Burk plot for the forward reaction of case 3; model predictions using the optimized parameters (dotted line), experimental data (symbols) and analytical result (solid line).

Figure 17. Line weaver-Burk plot for the forward reaction of case 4: model predictions using the optimized parameters (dotted line), experimental data (symbols) and analytical result (solid line).
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