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Abstract

A sequence $S$ is called anagram-free if it contains no consecutive symbols $r_1 r_2 \ldots r_k r_{k+1} \ldots r_{2k}$ such that $r_{k+1} \ldots r_{2k}$ is a permutation of the block $r_1 r_2 \ldots r_k$. Answering a question of Erdős and Brown, Keriänen constructed an infinite anagram-free sequence on four symbols. Motivated by the work of Alon, Grytczuk, Hałuszczak and Riordan [1], we consider a natural generalisation of anagram-free sequences for graph colorings. A coloring of the vertices of a given graph $G$ is called anagram-free if the sequence of colors on any path in $G$ is anagram-free. We call the minimal number of colors needed for such a coloring the anagram-chromatic number of $G$.

In this paper we study the anagram-chromatic number of several classes of graphs like trees, minor-free graphs and bounded-degree graphs. Surprisingly, we show that there are bounded-degree graphs (such as random regular graphs) in which anagrams cannot be avoided unless we basically give each vertex a separate color.

1 Introduction

The study of non-repetitive colorings was conceived by a famous result of Thue [18] from 1906. He showed that there exists an infinite sequence $S$ on an alphabet of three symbols in which no two adjacent blocks (of any length) are the same. In other words, $S$ contains no sequence of consecutive symbols $r_1 r_2 \ldots r_n$ with $r_i = r_{i+n}$ for all $i \leq n$. Note that it is not a priori obvious that the minimal size of the alphabet necessary for an infinite non-repetitive sequence is even finite. Thue’s result is interesting in its own right, but it also has influential and surprising applications, the most famous one probably occurring in a solution to the Burnside problem for groups by Novikov and Adjan [16]. Thue-type problems lead to the development of Combinatorics on Words, a new area of research with many interesting connections and applications.

Generalisations of Thue’s result occurred in two directions. Firstly, the setting has been changed from sequences to, e.g., the real line, the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n$, or graphs. Secondly, repetitions as a forbidden structure can be replaced by anagrams, sums, patterns etc. For a formal treatment and references to these problems, we refer the reader to the survey of Grytczuk [12]. Here we focus on graph colorings, and the structure we are avoiding are anagrams.

A sequence $r_1 r_2 \ldots r_n r_{n+1} \ldots r_{2n}$ is called an anagram if the second block, $r_{n+1} \ldots r_{2n}$, is a permutation of $r_1 r_2 \ldots r_n$. A long standing open question of Erdős [8] and Brown [5] was whether
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there exists a sequence on \{0, 1, 2, 3\} containing no anagrams. We call such sequences anagram-free. It is easy to check that no such sequence on three symbols exists. In 1968 Evdokimov [9] showed that the goal can be achieved with 25 symbols, which was the first finite upper bound. We remark that a finite bound can also be deduced from the Lovász Local Lemma which, of course, has not been known in the time Evdokimov proved his result. Later Pleasants [17] and Dekking [7] lowered this number to five. Finally, Keränen [14] constructed arbitrarily long anagram-free sequences on four symbols using Thue’s idea – given a non-repetitive finite sequence \( S \) on symbols \( \{0, 1, 2, 3\} \), we can replace each symbol by a longer word on the same alphabet in a way that yields a new, longer non-repetitive sequence \( \overline{S} \). This answered the question of Erdős and Brown, but at the same time opened new avenues for further studies; some of them can be found in [12].

Bean, Ehrenfeucht and McNulty [4] have studied the problem of non-repetitive colorings in a continuous setting. A coloring of the real line is called square-free if no two adjacent intervals of the same length are colored in the same way. More precisely, for any intervals \( I = [a, b] \) and \( J = [b, c] \) of the same length \( L > 0 \), there exists a point \( x \in I \) whose color is different from \( x + L \). In [4], they showed that there exist square-free two-colorings of the real line. Grytczuk [12] also describes a strong variant of square-free colorings, which basically defines anagrams in the continuous setting and asks for an anagram-free coloring.

Alon, Grytczuk, Haluszczak and Riordan [1] proposed another variation on the non-repetitive theme. Let \( G \) be a graph. A vertex coloring \( c : V(G) \to \mathcal{C} \) is called non-repetitive if any path in \( G \) induces a non-repetitive sequence. Define the Thue number \( \pi(G) \) as the minimal number of colors in a non-repetitive coloring of \( G \). It is easy to see that this number is a strengthening of the classical chromatic number, as well as the star-chromatic number. It turns out that the Thue number is bounded for several interesting classes of graphs, e.g. \( \pi(P_n) \leq 3 \) for a path \( P_n \) of length \( n \) (directly from Thue’s Theorem), and \( \pi(T) \leq 4 \) for any tree \( T \). Alon et al. [1] showed that \( \pi(G) \leq c\Delta(G)^2 \), where \( c \) is a constant and \( \Delta(G) \) denotes the maximum degree of \( G \). They also found a graph \( G \) with \( \pi(G) \geq \frac{c\Delta^2}{\log \Delta} \). Closing the above gap remains an intriguing open question. Another interesting problem is to decide if the Thue number of planar graphs is finite. A survey of Grytczuk [11] lays out some progress in this direction, as well as numerous related questions on non-repetitive graph colorings.

In the concluding remarks of their paper, Alon et al. [1] suggested investigating anagram-free colorings of graphs, which we do here. Let \( G \) be a graph and let \( c : V(G) \to \mathcal{C} \) be its vertex coloring. Two vertex sets \( V_1 \) and \( V_2 \) have the same coloring if they have the same number of occurrences of each color, i.e. \( |c^{-1}(a) \cap V_1| = |c^{-1}(a) \cap V_2| \) for each \( a \in \mathcal{C} \). An anagram is a path \( v_1v_2\ldots v_{2n} \) in \( G \) whose two segments \( v_1\ldots v_n \) and \( v_{n+1}\ldots v_{2n} \) have the same coloring. We denote the minimum number of colors in an anagram-free coloring of \( G \) by \( \pi_\alpha(G) \), and call it the anagram-chromatic number of \( G \). Clearly \( \pi_\alpha(G) \leq n \) for any \( n \)-vertex graph \( G \). The result of Keränen [14] states that \( \pi_\alpha(P_n) \leq 4 \) for a path \( P_n \) of length \( n \), so it is only natural to ask what is \( \pi_\alpha \) for other families of graphs. It turns out that as soon as we move on from paths, the situation gets very different. We first show that the anagram-chromatic number of a binary tree already increases with the number of vertices.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \( T_h \) be a perfect binary tree of depth \( h \), i.e. every non-leaf has two children and there are \( 2^h \) leaves, all at distance \( h \) from the root. Then

\[
\sqrt{\frac{h}{\log_2 h}} \leq \pi_\alpha(T_h) \leq h + 1.
\]

It follows that the anagram-chromatic number of planar graphs is also unbounded, but it is still interesting to determine how quickly it increases with the number of vertices. We observe that
in dealing with a family of graphs which admits small separators (such as $H$-minor-free graphs),
this fact can be used to bound $\pi_\alpha(G)$ from above.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let $h \geq 1$ be an integer, and let $H$ be a graph on $h$ vertices. Any $n$-vertex graph $G$ with no $H$-minor satisfies $\pi_\alpha(G) \leq 10h^{3/2}n^{1/2}$.

In this paper we are particularly interested in anagram-free colorings of graphs of bounded degree. We show that, surprisingly, there are graphs of bounded degree such that to avoid anagrams we essentially need to give every vertex a separate color. We show this by considering the random regular graph $G_{n,d}$, which is chosen uniformly at random from all $n$-vertex $d$-regular graphs. Here we write $G_{n,d}$ for the sampled graph as well as the underlying probability space, and we study $G_{n,d}$ for a constant $d$ and $n \to \infty$. We say that an event in this space holds with high probability (whp) if its probability tends to 1 as $n$ tends to infinity over the values of $n$ for which $nd$ is even (so that $G_{n,d}$ is non-empty). Then our main result can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.3.** There exists a constant $C$ such that for sufficiently large $d$, with high probability, the random regular graph $G_{n,d}$ satisfies

$$\left(1 - \frac{C\log d}{d}\right)n \leq \pi_{\text{per}}(G_{n,d}) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\log d}{d}\right)n.$$  

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We start with some observations on the anagram-chromatic number for trees and minor-free graphs. Then, we give the proof of Theorem 1.3. We conclude the article with some open questions and conjectures on anagram-free colorings.

We mostly omit floor and ceiling signs for the sake of clarity. The log will denote the base-$e$ logarithm. We will sometimes use standard $O$-notation for the asymptotic behaviour of the relative order of magnitude of two sequences, depending on a parameter $n \to \infty$.

## 2 Specific families of graphs

### 2.1 Bounds for trees

A binary tree is a tree in which every vertex has at most two children. Let $T_h$ be a perfect binary tree of depth $h$, that is to say that every non-leaf has two children and there are $2^h$ leaves, all at distance $h$ from the root. The root is taken to be at depth 0, so a tree consisting of one vertex has depth 0. Coloring each vertex of $T_h$ by its distance from the root shows that $\pi_\alpha(T_h) \leq h + 1$. In the following section, we will argue that actually any $n$-vertex tree can be colored with $2\log n$ colors. Proposition 1.1 asserts the lower bound $\pi_\alpha(T_h) \geq \sqrt{\frac{h}{\log_2 n}}$, which will be proven in this section.

Let $T$ be a vertex-colored binary tree and $U$ its subtree. The effective vertices of $U$ are its root (i.e., the vertex of $U$ of the smallest depth), leaves, and vertices of degree three. The effective depth of $U$ is set to $h_1$, where $h_1 + 1$ is the minimum number of effective vertices on any path from the root to a leaf (that is, the depth of the binary tree obtained by contracting all the internal degree-two vertices of $U$). Note that if $U$ has effective depth $h_1$, then it has at least $2^{h_1}$ leaves. We say that $U$ is essentially monochromatic if all its effective vertices carry the same color.

We will use a Ramsey-type argument to find a large essentially monochromatic subtree of a given tree. In the statement below $H(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d)$ denotes the minimal number $h$ for which any perfect binary tree $T$ of depth $h$ whose vertices are colored using colors $1, 2, \ldots, d$ contains an essentially $i$-colored subtree of effective depth $a_i$, for some $i \in [d]$.

**Lemma 2.1.** $H(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_d) \leq a_1 + \cdots + a_d$.  
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Proof. We use induction on \( \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i \). The base case is \( a_1 = \cdots = a_d = 0 \), for which the claim clearly holds.

Let \( T \) be a perfect binary tree of depth \( a_1 + \cdots + a_d \). Suppose that its root \( v \) has the color 1, and call its children \( v_L \) and \( v_R \). Consider the subtrees \( T_L \) and \( T_R \) of depth at least \( a_1 + \cdots + a_d - 1 \). Rooted at \( v_L \) and \( v_R \) respectively. If for some \( i \geq 2 \), \( T_L \) contains an essentially \( i \)-colored subtree of effective depth \( a_i \), we are done. The same holds for \( T_R \). Otherwise, using the induction hypothesis, \( T_L \) and \( T_R \) contain essentially 1-colored subtrees of effective depth \( a_1 - 1 \). Those two subtrees, together with the root \( v \), form an essentially 1-colored subtree of \( T \), as required.

Proof of Proposition 1.1. Let \( T_h \) be colored using \( d < \sqrt{\frac{h}{\log h}} \) colors. By Lemma 2.1, it contains an essentially monochromatic subtree \( U \) of depth \( h/d \).

Let \( u \) be the root of \( U \), and suppose \( U \) is essentially red. There are at least \( 2^{h/d} \) paths from \( u \) to the leaves, and the coloring of each path is a multiset of order at most \( h + 1 \). On the other hand, there are at most \( h^d \) such multisets. Since \( h^d < 2^{h/d} \) for our choice of \( d \), there is a multiset which occurs on two different paths, say \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \). Let \( v \) be the lowest common vertex of \( P_1 \) and \( P_2 \), and let \( \ell_1 \) and \( \ell_2 \) be their respective leaves. By construction of \( U \), the vertices \( v \), \( \ell_1 \) and \( \ell_2 \) are red. Hence the segments from \( \ell_1 \) to \( v \), excluding \( v \), and from \( v \) to \( \ell_2 \), excluding \( \ell_2 \), have the same coloring.

We conclude that the given coloring of \( T_h \), even restricted to \( U \), contains an anagram.

2.2 Graphs with an excluded minor

Planar graphs are of special interest when it comes to coloring problems. The Four color theorem is one of the most celebrated results in Graph Theory. Moreover, the question of whether the Thue-chromatic number of planar graphs is finite has attracted a lot of attention and is still open. We use separator sets to show that for a large class of minor-free graphs the anagram-chromatic number is of order \( O(\sqrt{n}) \). The crucial ingredient of our argument is the separator theorem, proved by Alon, Seymour and Thomas [3]. It states that for a given \( h \)-vertex graph \( H \), in any graph \( G \) with \( n \) vertices and no \( H \)-minor, one can find a set \( S \subset V(G) \) order \( |S| \leq h^2 n^{1/2} \), whose removal partitions \( G \) into disjoint subgraphs each of which has at most \( \frac{2n}{3} \) vertices. Such a set \( S \) is called a separator in \( G \).

Using this theorem, we construct a coloring of any proper minor-closed family of graphs. For convenience of the reader, we restate Proposition 1.2.

Proposition 1.2. Let \( h \geq 1 \) be an integer, and let \( H \) be a graph on \( h \) vertices. Any \( n \)-vertex graph \( G \) with no \( H \)-minor satisfies \( \pi_a(G) \leq 10h^{3/2}n^{1/2} \).

Proof. The coloring is inductive – suppose the claim holds for graphs on at most \( n - 1 \) vertices. Let \( G \) be as in the statement, and let \( S \) be a separating set of vertices in \( G \) of order at most \( h^{3/2}n^{1/2} \) given by the Separator Theorem. Then \( G - S \) consists of two vertex-disjoint subgraphs spanned by \( A_1 \subset V(G) \) and \( A_2 \subset V(G) \), with \( |A_i| \leq \frac{2n}{3} \).

The induced subgraphs \( G[A_i] \) do not contain \( H \) as a minor, so by the inductive hypothesis, we can color them using \( k = 10h^{3/2}\sqrt{2n/3} \) colors \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \). Note that the two subgraphs receive colors from the same set. This coloring guarantees that any path containing only vertices from \( A_1 \) or \( A_2 \) is anagram-free. Furthermore, we assign to each vertex \( v_i \in S \) a separate color \( b_i \), making any path passing through \( S \) anagram-free. Hence the coloring is indeed anagram free. As
intended, the number of colors used is at most
\[ h^{3/2}n^{1/2} \left( 10\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} + 1 \right) \leq 10h^{3/2}n^{1/2}. \]

Since planar graphs are characterized as graphs containing neither \( K_5 \) nor \( K_{3,3} \) as a minor, we arrive at the following consequence of the above result (note that the constant 150 can be replaced by 19 if we use the fact that each planar graph has a separator of order \( 1.84\sqrt{n} \)).

**Corollary 2.2.** Let \( G \) be an \( n \)-vertex planar graph. Then \( \pi_\alpha(G) \leq 150\sqrt{n} \).

In fact, any hereditary family of graphs with small separators can be colored using the argument from Proposition 1.2. For example, it is easy to see that an \( n \)-vertex forest \( F \) contains a single vertex which separates it into several forests on at most \( n/2 \) vertices. The same inductive argument implies \( \pi_\alpha(F) \leq \lceil \log_2 n \rceil \).

As for the lower bound for planar graphs, we only have the following modification of the argument we gave for trees.

**Proposition 2.3.** There is an \( n \)-vertex planar graph \( F_n \) with \( \pi_\alpha(F_n) \geq \sqrt{n}\log_2 n \).

**Proof.** Let \( F_n \) be a perfect binary tree with \( n \) leaves, plus extra edges between any two vertices on the same level having the same parent. Suppose it is colored in \( k = \lceil \frac{1}{4}\log_2 n \rceil \) colors. The number of shortest paths from the root to the vertices corresponding to leaves is \( n \), whereas the number of possible colorings of these paths is \( \binom{n+k}{k-1} < n \); hence some two paths have the same coloring. These two paths, minus the shared initial segment, can be made into an anagram.

### 3 Bounded-degree graphs

#### 3.1 A four-regular graph with a large anagram-chromatic number

In this section we study the number of colors needed to color a bounded-degree graph on \( n \) vertices so as to avoid all anagrams. The trivial upper bound is \( n \), so we will mainly be interested in lower bounds for the anagram-chromatic number. It is easy to use the Local Lemma to show that for every graph \( G \) with maximum degree at most two, we have \( \pi_\alpha(G) \leq C \) for a suitably chosen constant. It turns out that there are already 4-regular graphs \( G \) for which \( \pi_\alpha(G) \) grows rather quickly with the size of the graph.

**Proposition 3.1.** For infinitely many values of \( n \), there exists a 4-regular \( n \)-vertex graph \( H \) with \( \pi_\alpha(H) \geq \sqrt{n}\log_2 n \).

**Proof.** Note that for each even \( k \geq 4 \), there exists a 3-regular \( k \)-vertex graph \( G \) which is Hamilton-connected, which means that any two vertices of \( G \) are joined by a Hamilton path. Indeed, it can be easily checked that for any \( m \geq 1 \), the Cayley graph of \( C_2 \times C_{2m+1} \) with canonical generators is Hamilton-connected. For a self-contained proof, we refer the reader to [6]. Let \( n = (k+1)k \). Take \( k + 1 \) copies of such \( G \) on vertex sets \( V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_{k+1} \) with \( |V_i| = k \). Furthermore, take a perfect matching \( M \) on \( V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_{k+1} \) such that there exists exactly one edge between any two \( V_i \) and \( V_j \), for \( i \neq j \). To see that such a matching exists, denote \( V_i = \{ v_{ij} : j \in [k+1] \setminus \{i\} \} \), and take \( M = \{ \{v_{ij}, v_{ji} \} : 1 \leq i < j \leq k+1 \} \).
Call the resulting graph $H$. $H$ is 4-regular - any vertex has three adjacent edges belonging to its copy of $G$ and one edge belonging to $M$. Suppose that the vertices of $H$ are colored with $\left\lfloor \frac{r}{\log^2 n} \right\rfloor$ colors. Consider the subsets of form $\bigcup_{i \in S} V_i$ for any $S \subset [k + 1]$. There are $2^{k+1}$ such subsets. The coloring of each $\bigcup_{i \in S} V_i$ defines a multiset of order at most $n$. Given $\left\lfloor \frac{r}{\log^2 n} \right\rfloor$ colors, the number of such multisets is at most $n^{\frac{r}{\log^2 n}} = 2^{\frac{r}{\log^2 n}} < 2^{k+1}$. Thus, by the pigeonhole principle, there are two distinct sets $S, T \subset [k + 1]$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in S} V_i$ and $\bigcup_{i \in T} V_i$ have the same number of occurrences of each color. The same holds for sets $S' = S \setminus T$ and $T' = T \setminus S$, which are in addition disjoint. Without loss of generality assume $S' = \{V_1, \ldots, V_s\}$ and $T' = \{V_{s+1}, \ldots, V_{2s}\}$. By the choice of $M$, we can find vertices $v_1, u_1, v_2, u_2, \ldots, v_{2s}, u_{2s}$ such that $v_i, u_i \in V_i$ for $i \in [2s]$ and $u_{i+1}$ are edges in $M$ for $i \in [2s - 1]$. Moreover, we can find a Hamilton path in each $H[V_i]$ between $u_i$ and $v_i$, using Hamilton-connectedness of $G$. Concatenating these 2s paths gives us a path in $H$ which traverses $V_1 \cup V_2 \cdots \cup V_{2s}$ in order. This path forms an anagram in $H$, so $\pi_\alpha(H) > \left\lfloor \frac{r}{\log^2 n} \right\rfloor$. \hfill \Box

3.2 Random regular graphs

Let us start with a simple observation which slightly improves the trivial upper bound $n$ for the anagram-chromatic number of a graph.

Proposition 3.2. Let $G$ be an $n$-vertex graph with an independent set of order $m$. Then $\pi_\alpha(G) \leq n - m + 1$.

Proof. Let $S$ be an independent set inside $G$ of order $m$. Give each vertex of $S$ the same color, and each vertex of $V(G) \setminus S$ its own color. Any path in $G$ contains at least one vertex of $V(G) \setminus S$, so it cannot contain an anagram. This means that our coloring is indeed anagram-free. \hfill \Box

The above bound is essentially optimal for the random regular graph $G_{n,d}$. To recapitulate, Theorem 1.3 states that for sufficiently large $d$, with high probability, $G_{n,d}$ satisfies

$$(1 - \frac{2 \cdot 10^5 \log d}{d})n \leq \pi_\alpha(G_{n,d}) \leq \left(1 - \frac{\log d}{d}\right)n.$$ 

The upper bound is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.2, and the fact that with high probability, $G_{n,d}$ contains an independent set of order asymptotic to $\frac{2 \log d}{d} n > \frac{\log d}{d} n$ (see, for instance, Frieze and Luczak [10]). We will now outline the proof of the lower bound on $\pi_\alpha(G_{n,d})$, which comprises the remainder of the section. Instead of studying the random $d$-regular graph $G_{n,d}$, we will consider the union of two random graphs $G_{n,d_1}$ and $G_{n,d_2}$ with $d = d_1 + d_2$. The asymptotic properties of $G_{n,d}$ are contiguous with such a model (see Lemma 9.24 in [13]). Let $G_1 = G_{n,d_1}$, $G_2 = G_{n,d_2}$ and $c$ be a given vertex-coloring of $G = G_1 \cup G_2$. The first step is to find two vertex subsets $V_1$ and $V_2$ with the same coloring such that $G_1[V_1]$ and $G_1[V_2]$ have good expansion properties. Then we use the edges of $G_2$ to extend paths on $V_1$ and $V_2$, eventually building Hamilton cycles $C_1$ in $G[V_1]$ and $C_2$ in $G[V_2]$. Finally, we can find an edge $v_1v_2 \in G$ with $v_1 \in V_1$ and use it to build a single path $S$ which traverses first the vertices of $C_1$ and then the vertices of $C_2$. The segments $S[V_1]$ and $S[V_2]$ give an anagram in $c$.

Before proceeding, let us introduce some notation. For a graph $G$ and $v \in V(G)$, we denote the neighborhood of $v$ in $G$ by $N_G(v)$. For a vertex set $U \subset V(G)$, $N_G(U) = \bigcup_{v \in U} N_G(v) \setminus U$. The graph induced on $U$ is $G[U]$, and its edge set is denoted by $E_G(U) = E(G[U])$. For disjoint sets $U$ and $T$, $E_G(U,T)$ is the set of edges with one endpoint in $U$ and one in $T$. Finally, the corresponding counts are $e_G(U) = |E_G(U)|$ and $e_G(U,V) = |E_G(U,V)|$. We denote the uniform
probability measure on the space of random regular graphs \(G_{n,d}\) by \(\mathbb{P}\), suppressing the indices. All the inequalities below are supposed to hold only for \(n\) large enough.

### 3.2.1 Edge distribution in the configuration model

In analysing \(G_{n,d}\), we pass to the configuration model of random regular graphs. For \(nd\) even, we take a set of \(nd\) points partitioned into \(n\) cells \(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\), each cell containing \(d\) points. A perfect matching \(P\) on \([nd]\) induces a multigraph \(\mathcal{M}(P)\) in which the cells are regarded as vertices and pairs in \(P\) as edges. For a fixed degree \(d\) and \(P\) chosen uniformly from the set of perfect matchings \(\mathcal{P}_{n,d}\), the probability that \(\mathcal{M}(P)\) is a simple graph is bounded away from zero, and each simple graph occurs with equal probability. Therefore, if an event holds whp in \(\mathcal{M}(P)\), then it holds whp even when we condition on the event that \(\mathcal{M}(P)\) is a simple graph, and therefore it holds whp in \(G_{n,d}\) (for a formal description of the configuration model and its basic properties, see, for instance, Chapter 9 of [13]).

We use the configuration model to get a bound on the edge distribution in \(G_{n,d}\) analogous to the Erdős-Renyi model. The uniform probability measure on \(\mathcal{P}_{n,d}\) is denoted by \(\mathbb{P}_P\). Both indices \(n\) and \(d\) are kept so that each perfect matching \(P\) corresponds to a unique \(d\)-regular multigraph \(\mathcal{M}(P)\).

**Lemma 3.3.** Let \(V_1 \subset [n]\), and let \(B\) be a set of pairs of vertices from \(V_1\). Let \(E\) be another set of pairs of vertices from \([n]\) with \(|E| \leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{\beta n^2} |B|d, \frac{nd}{20}\right\}\). For a fixed positive integer \(d\) and \(P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d}\) chosen uniformly at random,

\[
\mathbb{P}_P \left[ \mathcal{M}(P) \supset E \text{ and } \mathcal{M}(P) \cap B = \emptyset \right] \leq \left(\frac{2d}{n}\right)^{|E|} e^{-\frac{|B|d}{8n}}.
\]

The lemma also holds for more general configurations of \(B\) and \(E\), but we state it in the form which is fit for our purpose. We will need a bound on the probability that \(G_{n,d}\) does not intersect a given set of edges. For this purpose, we use the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.4.** For each even number \(N\), let \(F = F(N)\) be a graph on \([N]\) consisting of at least \(\beta N^2\) edges. Let \(G_{N,1}\) denote a random matching on \([N]\). Then

\[
\mathbb{P} \left[ G_{N,1} \cap F = \emptyset \right] \leq e^{-\frac{\beta N}{2}} N.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(\mathcal{P}(F)\) be the set of perfect matchings on \([N]\) which do not intersect our graph \(F\). Since the number of perfect matchings on \([N]\) is exactly \(\frac{N!}{2^{N/2} \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)!}\), we need to show that

\[
|\mathcal{P}(F)| \leq e^{-\frac{\beta N}{2}} N! \frac{N!}{2^{N/2} \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)!}.
\]

Consider the complement \(\overline{F}\) of \(F\). The matchings in \(\mathcal{P}(F)\) are exactly perfect matchings in \(\overline{F}\). We use the following estimate of Alon and Friedland [2], which is a simple corollary of the Brégman bound on the permanent of a \((0,1)\)-matrix.

**Theorem 3.5 ([2]).** Let \(H\) be a graph on \([N]\). Let \(r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_N\) be the degrees of the vertices in \(H\). Furthermore, denote \(r = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i\). Then the number of perfect matchings in \(H\) is at most

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{N} (r_i!)^{\frac{1}{r_i}} \leq (r!)^{\frac{N}{r}}.
\]
We apply this bound directly to the graph $\overline{F}$ with $r = N - 2\beta N$, and use Stirling's formula to reach the final result. Indeed, we have

$$|\mathcal{P}(F)| \leq ((N - 2\beta N)!)^{1/2},$$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ G_{N,1} \cap F = \emptyset \right] \leq \left( (N - 2\beta N)! \right)^{1/2} \cdot \frac{\left( \frac{N}{2} \right)! \cdot 2^\frac{N}{2}}{N!}$$

$$= O \left( \sqrt{N} \right) \left( \frac{1 - 2\beta N}{e} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{e}{N} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} = O \left( \sqrt{N} \right) e^{-\beta N}.$$ 

Here we use the fact that $\frac{N!}{\left( \frac{N}{2} \right)! \cdot 2^\frac{N}{2}} = \Theta(1) \left( \frac{N}{2} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}$, as well as the inequality $e^{1-2\beta} \leq e^{-2\beta}$. Absorbing the error term into the constant, we get, for $N$ large enough,

$$\mathbb{P} \left[ G_{N,1} \cap F = \emptyset \right] \leq e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} N}.$$

\[\square\]

**Proof of Lemma 3.3.** We will restate the event $\{\mathcal{M}(P) \supset E \text{ and } \mathcal{M}(P) \cap B = \emptyset\}$ in terms of $P$, rather than $\mathcal{M}(P)$. For a matching $M \subset \binom{[n]}{2}$, we denote the induced multigraph on $V = \{v_i\}_{i \in [n]}$ by $\mathcal{M}(M)$. To save on notation, we write $\mathcal{M}(M)$ for both the graph and its edge set. Conversely, if $e = \{v_i, v_j\}$ is a pair of vertices from $V$, we denote its corresponding pairs in $\binom{[n]}{2}$ by $\tilde{e} = \{(x, y) : x \in v_i, y \in v_j\}$. Finally, for a set $E \subset \binom{V}{2}$, we put $\tilde{E} = \bigcup_{e \in E} \tilde{e}$.

Assume that $\mathcal{M}(P) \supset E$. Then we can find a matching $M \subset P$ such that $|M| = |E| = m$ and $\mathcal{M}(M) = E$. Conditioning over the possible choices of $M$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}_P \left[ \mathcal{M}(P) \supset E \cap \mathcal{M}(P) \cap B = \emptyset \right] \leq \sum_M \mathbb{P}_P [P \supset M] \mathbb{P}_P \left[ P \cap \tilde{B} = \emptyset \mid P \supset \tilde{M} \right].$$

We bound the two probabilities separately. Fix a choice $M = \{\{x_i, y_i\} : i \in [m]\}$, and let $W = [nd] \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_m, y_1, \ldots, y_m\}$.

**Claim 1.** $\mathbb{P}_P [P \supset M] \leq \frac{(nd - 2m)!}{(\frac{nd}{2} - m)!2^{\frac{nd}{2} - m}}$

To show this, we just count perfect matchings. The total number of perfect matchings $P$ is $\frac{(nd)!}{(\frac{nd}{2})!2^{\frac{nd}{2}}}$. The points from $W$ can be paired in $\frac{(nd - 2m)!}{(\frac{nd}{2} - m)!2^{\frac{nd}{2} - m}}$ ways. Altogether, using Stirling’s formula, we get

$$\mathbb{P}_P [M \subset P] \leq \frac{(nd - 2m)!}{(nd)!} \cdot \frac{(\frac{nd}{2} - m)!2^{\frac{nd}{2} - m}}{2^{\frac{nd}{2} - m}}$$

$$= (1 + o(1)) \frac{\left( \frac{nd - 2m}{nd} \right)^{nd}}{\left( \frac{nd}{2} - m \right)!2^{-m}} \leq \frac{1}{2 (nd - 2m)^m} \leq \frac{2}{(nd - 2m)^m}.$$

Here we used the fact that since $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$, we have $(1 - \frac{2m}{nd})^{\frac{nd}{2}} \leq e^{-m}$.

**Claim 2.** For $|B| = \beta n^2$, $\mathbb{P}_P \left[ P \cap \tilde{B} = \emptyset \mid P \supset M \right] \leq e^{-\frac{\beta}{2} n d}$.
Let $W$ be as before, and denote $N = |W|$. Using the assumption $m \leq \frac{nd}{20}$, we get $N = nd - 2m \geq \frac{2nd}{20}$. Let $B_W = \overline{B}[W]$, that is, the set of pairs contained in $W$ which would induce $B$. By putting the matching $M$ aside, we have lost some pairs from $\overline{B}$, namely those touching the vertices of $M$. Each vertex of $M$ is contained in at most $|V_1|d$ pairs from $\overline{B}$, so the hypothesis $m \leq \frac{1}{4|V_1|}d$ implies

$$|B_W| \geq |B|d^2 - 2m|V_1|d = \beta n^2d^2 \left(1 - \frac{2m|V_1|}{\beta n^2d}\right) \geq \frac{1}{2}\beta n^2d^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}N^2.$$ 

A random matching $P$ conditioned on $P \supset M$ corresponds to a random matching on $W$, i.e. an element of $G_{N,1}$. Hence we can apply Lemma 3.4 with $|B_W| \geq \frac{1}{2}\beta N^2$, and $N = |W| \geq \frac{2nd}{10}$.

$$\mathbb{P}_P [P \cap B_W = \emptyset \mid P \supset M] \leq \mathbb{P}[E(G_{N,1}) \cap B_W = \emptyset] \leq e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{d} \cdot \beta N} \leq e^{-\frac{\epsilon}{d} \beta nd}.$$ 

Claim 1 and 2 hold for any choice of the matching $M$ with $\mathcal{M}(M) = E$. Putting them together, and using the fact that there are at most $d^{2m}$ such matchings $M$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}_P [\mathcal{M}(P) \supset E \text{ and } \mathcal{M}(P) \cap B = \emptyset] \leq d^{2m} \cdot \frac{2}{(nd - 2m)m} e^{-\frac{2\epsilon}{d} \beta nd}.$$ 

Using $m \leq \frac{nd}{20}$,

$$\mathbb{P}_P [\mathcal{M}(P) \supset E \text{ and } \mathcal{M}(P) \cap B = \emptyset] \leq d^{2m} \cdot \left(\frac{2}{nd}\right)^m e^{-\frac{2\epsilon}{d} \beta nd} = \left(\frac{2d}{n}\right)^m e^{-\frac{2\epsilon}{d} \beta nd}.$$ 

\[ \square \]

3.2.2 Expansion properties and Pósa rotations

Recall that we will be working with the union of random graphs $G_{n,d_1}$ and $G_{n,d_2}$. First we focus on expansion properties of $G_1 = G_{n,d_1}$, which will allow us to do rotations in $G_1$. The aim is to identify large sets of vertex pairs, called boosters, which could increase the length of the longest path in $G_1$. Hence all the lemmas in this section will later be applied with $d$ replaced by $d_1$. The following lemma says that edges in $G_{n,d_1}$ are uniformly distributed.

**Lemma 3.6.** For sufficiently large $d$, with high probability $G_{n,d}$ has the following two properties:

(P1) any vertex set $U$ with $|U| \leq \frac{30 \log d}{d} n$ satisfies $e_G(U) \leq 100|U| \log d$;

(P2) for any two disjoint vertex subsets $T$ and $U$ with $|T| \geq \frac{10 \log d}{d} n$ and $|U| \geq \frac{100 \log d}{d} n$, we have

$$e_G(T,U) \geq |T||U| \frac{d}{20n}.$$ 

**Proof.** We prove Lemma 3.6 for $G$ sampled according to the configuration model, i.e. take $G = \mathcal{M}(P)$, where $P$ is a random element of $\mathcal{P}_{n,d}$. We start with (P2). Take vertex sets $T$ and $U$ in $G$ with $|T| = t$ and $|U| = u$. We need to bound the probability of the event

$$D_{T,U} = \left\{ e_G(T,U) < \frac{d}{20n} tu \right\}.$$ 

For a fixed set of $m$ edges $E$ with $m \leq d_{20n} tu$, the probability that $E_G(T,U) = E$ is at most

$$\left(\frac{2d}{n}\right)^m e^{-\frac{2\epsilon}{d} (tu - m)}.$$ 
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This bound is a direct application of Lemma 3.3 to the edge set $E$ and its bipartite complement $(T \times U) \setminus E$. Taking the union bound over all sets $E$, we get

$$
\Pr_p[D_{T,U}] \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{tu}{m} \left( \frac{2d}{n} \right)^m e^{-\frac{2d}{m}(tu-m)} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{tu}{m} \left( \frac{2d}{n} \right)^m e^{-\frac{2d}{m}(tu-m)}.
$$

The summand is increasing in $m$, so we bound it using the largest term, $m = M = \frac{d}{20n} tu$.

$$
\Pr_p[D_{T,U}] \leq M \left( \frac{etu \cdot 20n}{dtu} \cdot \frac{2d}{n} \right)^{\frac{tu}{dtu}} e^{-\frac{2d}{n}(tu-M)} = M \cdot (40e)^{\frac{2tu}{dtu}} e^{-\frac{2d}{n}(tu-M)}
$$

Finally, we take the union bound over all sets $T$ and $U$ of order at least $t_0 = \frac{100 \log d}{d} n$ and $u_0 = \frac{100 \log d}{d} n$ respectively.

$$
\Pr_p[G \text{ violates (P2)}] \leq \sum_{t=t_0 \text{ or } u=u_0}^{n} \cdot n \cdot \binom{n}{t} \cdot \binom{n}{u} e^{-\frac{dtu}{8n}}.
$$

We use the bound $\binom{n}{t} \leq t^d = e^{t \log d}$ valid for $t \geq t_0$ and large enough $d$.

$$
\Pr_p[G \text{ violates (P2)}] \leq \sum_{t=t_0 \text{ or } u=u_0}^{n} e^{t \log d + u \log d} e^{-\frac{dtu}{8n}}.
$$

For $t \geq \frac{100 \log d}{d} n$, we get $u \log d \leq \frac{dtu}{100n}$. Similarly, since $u \geq \frac{100 \log d}{d} n$, it holds that $t \log d \leq \frac{dtu}{100n}$.

$$
\Pr_p[G \text{ violates (P2)}] \leq O(n^2) e^{\left( \frac{1}{100} + \frac{1}{100} \right) \frac{100 \log d}{d}} = o(1).
$$

We deduce (P1) from the following more general statement.

**Claim 3.** Fix the constants $A_1$ and $A_2$ satisfying $\left( \frac{e A_1}{A_2} \right)^{A_2} \leq e^{-2}$. Then with high probability, any vertex set $U \subset V(G)$ with $|U| \leq \frac{A_1 \log d}{d} n$ satisfies $e_G(U) \leq A_2 |U| \log d$.

Introducing $A_1 = 30$ and $A_2 = 100$, which indeed satisfy $\left( \frac{30}{100} \right)^{100} \leq e^{-2}$, gives exactly (P2).

To prove the claim, we fix a set $U$ of order $u$, and use Lemma 3.3 to establish that the probability of some $A_2 u \log d$ edges occurring in $U$ is at most

$$
\left( \frac{u^2/2}{A_2 u \log d} \right) \left( \frac{2d}{n} \right)^{A_2 u \log d} \leq \left( \frac{e \log d}{2 A_2 \log d} \cdot \frac{2d}{n} \right)^{A_2 u \log d}.
$$

Let $D_u$ denote the event that some subset $U$ with $|U| = u$ spans more than $A_2 u \log d$ edges. We have

$$
\Pr_p[D_u] \leq \left( \frac{u}{u} \right) \left( \frac{e \log d}{A_2 n \log d} \right)^{A_2 u \log d} \leq \left[ \frac{ne}{u} \left( \frac{e \log d}{A_2 n \log d} \right) \right]^u. \quad (2)
$$

The term in square brackets is increasing in $u$, so for $u \leq \frac{A_1 \log d}{d} n$,

$$
\Pr_p[D_u] \leq \left[ \frac{e \log d}{A_1 \log d} \left( \frac{e A_1}{A_2} \right)^{A_2 \log d} \right]^u \leq \left[ \frac{e \log d}{A_2 \log d} e^{-2 \log d} \right]^u < d^{-u}.
$$
Here we used the condition \((\frac{A_1}{A_2})^{A_2} \leq e^{-2}\). For \(u \leq \sqrt{n}\) we use (2) to get a stronger bound
\[
P_P[D_u] \leq \left( O \left( n^{\frac{1}{2} (1-A_2 \log d)} \right) \right)^u < n^{-1},
\]
valid for large \(d\). Putting the two bounds together,
\[
P_P[G \text{ violates (P1)}] \leq \sum_{u=1}^{\sqrt{n}} P_P[D_u] + \sum_{u=\sqrt{n}}^{d \log n} P_P[D_u] \leq \sqrt{n} \cdot n^{-1} + \sum_{u=\sqrt{n}}^{d \log n} d^{-u} = o(1),
\]
completing the proof of Claim 3. To recapitulate, applying the claim for \(A_1 = 30\) and \(A_2 = 100\) gives that \(G = M(P)\) satisfies (P1) with high probability.

Since the random graph \(G_{n,d}\) is contiguous to \(G\), we conclude that for large enough \(d\), \(G_{n,d}\) satisfies (P1) and (P2).

\[\square\]

The next step is to build subsets of \([n]\) which will later give us the required anagram. In everything that follows, take \(\alpha = 10^5\). Given a \(d\)-regular graph \(G\), we say that a subset \(V_1 \subset [n]\) is \(G\)-\textit{dense} if \(\frac{\alpha \log d}{2d} n \geq |V_1| \geq \frac{\alpha \log d}{2d} n\), and any vertex \(v \in V_1\) has at least \(\frac{\alpha}{100} \log d\) neighbors in \(V_1\).

**Lemma 3.7.** Suppose we are given a \(d\)-regular graph \(G\) on \([n]\) with properties (P1) and (P2), and a vertex coloring \(c : [n] \to C\) with \(|C| = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \log d}{d}\right)n\) colors. For sufficiently large \(d\) and \(n\), there exist two disjoint \(G\)-dense sets of vertices \(V_1, V_2 \subset [n]\) which have the same coloring.

**Proof.** Let \(c\) be a coloring of the vertices of \(G\) into \(\left(1 - \frac{\alpha \log d}{d}\right)n\) colors.

**Claim 4.** There exists a subset \(Z \subset V(G)\) satisfying \(\frac{\alpha \log d}{2d} n \geq |Z| \geq \frac{\alpha \log d}{2d} n\) such that each color appears in \(Z\) an even number of times, and for all \(v \in Z\), \(|N_G(v) \cap Z| \geq \frac{\alpha}{20} \log d\).

We construct \(Z\) using the following algorithm. Let \(V(G) = [n]\), and denote \(\delta = \frac{\alpha}{20} \log d\). Let \(X\) contain one vertex from each color class with an odd number of colors, so \(|X| \leq \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \log d}{d}\right)n\). We assume \(|X| = \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \log d}{d}\right)n\), by discarding more pairs of vertices of the same color if necessary. Furthermore, set \(R_0 = \hat{R}_0 = \emptyset\). Note that from this step onwards, all color classes in \([n] \setminus (X \cup R_t \cup \hat{R}_t)\) will have even order. For \(i \geq 0\), we form \(R_{i+1} := R_i \cup \{v\}\), \(\hat{R}_{i+1} = \hat{R}_i \cup \{w\}\), where \(v\) is the smallest vertex with fewer than \(\delta\) neighbors in \([n] \setminus (X \cup R_i \cup \hat{R}_i)\), and \(w\) is the smallest vertex with \(c(v) = c(w)\). When there are no such vertices \(v\), set \(Z = [n] \setminus (X \cup R_t \cup \hat{R}_t)\) and terminate the algorithm. We claim that this occurs after at most \(\frac{10 \log d}{d} n\) steps.

Suppose that it is not the case and \(G\) satisfies (P1) and (P2), but the algorithm continues beyond \(t = \frac{10 \log d}{d} n\) steps. Look at the sets \(R_t\) and \(Z_t = [n] \setminus (X \cup R_t \cup \hat{R}_t)\). Each vertex from \(R_t\) has fewer than \(\delta\) neighbors in \(Z_t\), so
\[
e_G(R_t, Z_t) < \delta |R_t| = \frac{\alpha}{40} |R_t| \log d.
\]

On the other hand, since \(|R_t| = t = \frac{10 \log d}{d} n\) and \(|Z_t| = \frac{\alpha \log d}{d} n - 2t \geq \frac{\alpha \log d}{2d} n\), the property (P2) gives
\[
e_G(R_t, Z_t) \geq |R_t| |Z_t| \frac{d}{20n} \geq |R_t| \cdot \frac{\alpha \log d}{2d} \cdot \frac{d}{20n} = \frac{\alpha}{40} |R_t| \log d.
\]

We reached a contradiction, so indeed we have the desired set \(Z\) with \(|Z| \geq \frac{\alpha \log d}{2d} n\).
We show the existence of the required partition of $Z$ into sets $V_1$ and $V_2$ using a probabilistic argument. Partition each color class $c^{-1}(a)$ into ordered pairs arbitrarily, and denote the collection of pairs by $Q$. For each pair $(v, w) \in Q$, randomly and independently put $v$ into $V_1$ and $w$ into $V_2$ or vice versa, with probability $\frac{1}{2}$. This guarantees that $V_1$ and $V_2$ have the same coloring.

**Claim 5.** With positive probability, the partition satisfies $\deg_{G[V_1]}(v) \geq \frac{\alpha}{160} \log d$ for all $v \in V_1$ and $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

We use the Local Lemma. Fix a vertex $v$, wlog $v \in V_1$. Let $B_v$ be the event that fewer than $\frac{\alpha}{160} \log d$ neighbors of $v$ in $Z$ have ended up in $V_1$. Let $S$ be a set of $\frac{\alpha}{160} \log d$ neighbors of $v$ in $Z$, and let $T \subset S$ be the set of vertices whose match according to $Q$ does not lie in $S$. Note that $S$ contains exactly $y = \frac{1}{2}(|S| - |T|)$ pairs of $Q$. If $B_v$ occurs, then $|S \cap V_1| \leq \frac{\alpha}{160} \log d$, and therefore $\frac{1}{2}|S| - |S \cap V_1| \geq \frac{\alpha}{160} \log d$. But this implies

$$\frac{1}{2}|T| - |T \cap V_1| = \frac{1}{2}(|S| - 2y) - (|S \cap V_1| - y) \geq \frac{\alpha}{160} \log d.$$

$|T \cap V_1|$ is a random variable with distribution $B\left(|T|, \frac{1}{2}\right)$, so Chernoff bounds (as stated in [13, Remark 2.5]) give

$$\Pr[B_v] = Pr \left[ \frac{1}{2}|T| - |T \cap V_1| \geq \frac{\alpha}{160} \log d \right] \leq e^{-\frac{\alpha^2}{3200} \log^2 d} \leq e^{-3 \log d} = d^{-3}.$$

Here we used $|T| \leq \frac{\alpha}{4} \log d$ and $\alpha = 10^5$.

Two events $B_v$ and $B_w$ are dependent only if $v$ and $w$ share a neighbor, or if some two neighbors of $v$ and $w$ are paired. In such a dependency graph, the event $B_v$ has degree at most $2d^2$. Since for sufficiently large $d$,

$$e(2d^2 + 1)d^{-3} < 1,$$

the Lovász Local Lemma grants that there is a splitting avoiding all the bad events $B_v$. This is exactly the required splitting. It concludes the proof of Claim 5 and Lemma 3.7. \hfill \square

We say a graph $G$ is a $p$-expander if it is connected, and $|N_G(U)| \geq 2|U|$ for $|U| \leq p$.

**Lemma 3.8.** Let $G$ be a $d$-regular graph on vertex set $[n]$ satisfying (P1) and (P2), and let $V_1 \subset [n]$ be a $G$-dense subset of $[n]$. Then $G[V_1]$ is a $\left(\frac{|V_1|}{4}\right)$-expander.

**Proof.** Denote $H = G[V_1]$. To show expansion, suppose for the sake of contradiction that $|N_H(U)| < 2|U|$, and first assume that $|U| \leq \frac{10 \log d}{d} n$. We can apply (P1) to $T = U \cup N_H(U) \subset V_1$, using the assumption $|T| \leq \frac{10 \log d}{d} n$. This gives $e(G[T]) \leq 100|T| \log d$. Counting all the edges with an endpoint in $U$, which certainly lie inside $T$, we get $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{100} |U| \log d \leq e(G[T])$. The two inequalities imply $|T| \geq \frac{1}{100} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{320} |U| = \frac{10^5}{32000} > 3|U|$, which contradicts our assumption.

Secondly, in case $\frac{|V_1|}{4} \geq |U| \geq \frac{10 \log d}{d} n$ and $|N_G(U)| < 2|U|$, we have $|V_1 \setminus (U \cup N_H(U))| \geq \frac{|V_1|}{4} \geq \frac{10^5 \log d}{d} n$. This puts us in the position to apply (P2) and claim that $G$ contains edges between $U$ and $V_1 \setminus (U \cup N_H(U))$, contradicting the definition of $N_H(U)$. Hence sets of order up to $\frac{|V_1|}{4}$ indeed expand in $H$.

Finally, assume that $H$ is not connected. Let its smallest component be spanned by $S \subset V_1$, i.e. $|S| \leq \frac{|V_1|}{4}$ and $N_H(S) = \emptyset$. We already showed that certainly $|S| > \frac{|V_1|}{4}$. But then the fact that $E_G(S, V_1 \setminus S) = \emptyset$ contradicts (P2). \hfill \square
We will use these expansion properties to build long paths and ultimately a Hamilton cycle in $G$. Our approach is based on the rotation-extension technique originally developed by Pósa. Given a graph $G$, denote the length (number of edges) of the longest path in $G$ by $\ell(G)$. We say that a non-edge $\{u, v\} \notin E(G)$ is a booster with respect to $G$ if $G + \{u, v\}$ is Hamiltonian or $\ell(G + \{u, v\}) > \ell(G)$. We denote the set of boosters in $G$ by $B(G)$. Pósa’s rotation technique guarantees that there exist plenty of boosters in $G$ (see, for instance, Corollary 2.10 from [15]).

**Lemma 3.9.** Let $p$ be a positive integer. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a $p$-expander. Then $|B(G)| \geq \frac{p^2}{2}$.  

### 3.2.3 Using $G_2$ to hit boosters in $G_1$

Now we move on to $G_2 = G_{n,d_2}$. Recall that we would like to add its edges to $G_1[V_1]$ and complete a cycle on $V_1$. However, we have to argue carefully because the choice of a $G_1$-dense set $V_1$ will depend on the given vertex coloring.

**Lemma 3.10.** Let $G_1$ be a $d_1$-regular graph on $[n]$ with properties (P1) and (P2), for sufficiently large $d_1$, and let $\frac{d_1}{100} \leq d_2 \leq \frac{d_1}{100}$. With high probability, $G_2 = G_{n,d_2}$ has the property that for any $G_1$-dense subset $V_1 \subset [n]$, $(G_1 \cup G_2)[V_1]$ is Hamiltonian.

The proof of Lemma 3.10 consists of two parts. First we identify a deterministic property that is sufficient to make $(G_1 \cup G_2)[V_1]$ Hamiltonian, and then, using the configuration model, we show that $G_{n,d_2}$ possesses this property with high probability.

**Lemma 3.11.** Let $H_1$ and $H_2$ be graphs on vertex set $V_1$. Suppose that for any edge set $E' \subset E(H_2)$ with $|E'| \leq |V_1|$, 

$$ H_1 \cup E' \text{ is Hamiltonian, or } B(H_1 \cup E') \cap E(H_2) \neq \emptyset. $$

Then the graph $H_1 \cup H_2$ Hamiltonian.

**Proof.** We will build a subset of $E(H_2)$ such that its addition to $H_1$ creates a Hamiltonian graph. Start with $E_0 = \emptyset$. Assume that $E_i$ is a subset of $i$ edges in $E(H_2)$. If the graph $H_1 \cup E_i$ is Hamiltonian, we are done. Otherwise, by hypothesis, $E(H_2) \cap B(H_1 \cup E_i)$ contains an edge $e$, so we set $E_{i+1} = E_i \cup \{e\}$.

In each step $i$, we have $\ell(H_1 \cup E_{i+1}) > \ell(H_1 \cup E_i)$, so the process terminates after at most $|V_1|$ steps, with a Hamiltonian graph $H_1 \cup E_i$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 3.12.** Let $G_1$ be a $d_1$-regular graph on $V$ with properties (P1) and (P2), where $|V| = n$ and $d_1$ is sufficiently large. Let $G_2 = G_{n,d_2}$ for $\frac{d_1}{100} \leq d_2 \leq \frac{d_1}{100}$. We say that $G_2 \in A_{G_1}$ (or $A_{G_1}$ occurs) if there exists a $G_1$-dense subset $V_1 \subset V$, and an edge set $E \subset \binom{V_1}{2}$, $|E| \leq |V_1|$, such that $G_2$ contains $E$ and does not intersect $B((G_1 + E)[V_1])$. It holds that $P[A_{G_1}] = o(1)$.

**Proof.** We will prove the claim for $G_2$ sampled according to the configuration model, which is contiguous to the uniform model $G_{n,d_2}$. This allows us to apply Lemma 3.3, which gives us a precise estimate on the probability of (non-)occurrence of certain edge sets. Let $P \in \mathcal{P}_{n,d_2}$ be chosen uniformly at random. We will actually bound the probability that the induced multigraph $M(P)$ is in $A_{G_1}$, denoted by $\mathbb{P}_P[A_{G_1}]$, with a slight abuse of notation for not renaming the event $A_{G_1}$ itself.

Fix a $G_1$-dense subset $V_1 \subset V$ with $|V_1| = \xi n$, and $E \subset \binom{V_1}{2}$ with $|E| = m \leq |V_1|$. Recall that since $V_1$ is $G_1$-dense, $\xi n \geq \frac{\log d_1}{4d_1} n = \frac{10^7 \log d_1}{4d_1} n$. Note that the graph $G_1 + E$ is a $\binom{|V_1|}{2}$-expander,
so we apply Lemma 3.9, which says that the set of boosters $B = B((G_1 + E)[V_1])$ contains at least $2^{-5}\xi^2 n^2$ edges.

Applying Lemma 3.3 to $E$ and $B$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}_P[\mathcal{M}(P) \supset E \text{ and } \mathcal{M}(P) \cap B = \emptyset] \leq \left(\frac{2d_2}{n}\right)^m e^{-\frac{1}{25}e^{\xi^2 n d_2}}.$$ 

Now we can take the union bound over all choices of $E$ and $V_1$. We crudely bound the number of ways to choose $V_1$ by $n(n)$. 

$$\mathbb{P}_P[A_{G_1}] \leq n(n) \sum\frac{\xi^n}{m} \left(\frac{\xi^2 n^2}{m}\right) \left(\frac{2d_2}{n}\right)^m e^{-\frac{1}{25}e^{\xi^2 n d_2}}.$$ 

The term $\left(\frac{\xi^2 n^2}{m}\right) \left(\frac{2d_2}{n}\right)^m$ is increasing in $m$ in the given range, and hence

$$\mathbb{P}_P[A_{G_1}] \leq n(n) e^{\xi^{-1} \cdot e^{\xi d_2} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{25}e^{\xi d_2}}} \xi^n.$$ 

Introducing the value of $\xi$, the term in brackets is at most

$$e^{150} \mathbb{P}_P[A_{G_1}] \leq e^{-\xi n^2 e^{-\Omega(\xi n)}} = e^{-\xi n^2 e^{-\Omega(\xi n)}},$$

as claimed. 

Proof of Lemma 3.10. Since $G_1$ satisfies (P1) and (P2), for $G_2 = G_{n,d_2}$ it holds with high probability that $G_2 \notin A_{G_1}$. Hence, given a $G_1$-dense set $V_1 \subset V$ we can apply Lemma 3.11 to $G_1[V_1]$ and $G_2[V_1]$ to find a Hamilton cycle in $(G_1 \cup G_2)[V_1]$, as required.

We are now ready to put together the proof.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For a given $d$, set $d_2 = 2 \cdot \left[\frac{d}{300}\right]$ and $d_1 = d - d_2$. Let $d$ be large enough so that $d_2 \leq \frac{1}{100}d_1$, and for Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.10 to hold. Moreover, by choosing $d_2$ to be even, we ensured that whenever $nd$ is even (so that $G_{n,d}$ is non-empty), $nd_1$ and $nd_2$ are also even.

Generate $G_1 = G_{n,d_1}$ and $G_2 = G_{n,d_2}$ on vertex set $V$. Suppose that $G_1$ has properties (P1) and (P2), and $G_2$ satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.10. By Lemma 3.6 and Lemma 3.10, this holds with high probability. We claim that in this case $\alpha_i(G_1 \cup G_2) > \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \log d_1}{d_1}\right) n$, where $\alpha = 10^5$ as before. Let $c : V \rightarrow \left\lfloor\left(1 - \frac{\alpha \log d_1}{d_1}\right) n\right\rfloor$ be a given coloring.

We first use Lemma 3.7 to find $G_1$-dense sets $V_1, V_2 \subset V$ with the same coloring. Then by Lemma 3.10, we conclude that the graphs $(G_1 \cup G_2)[V_i]$ are Hamiltonian, for $i = 1, 2$. Let $C_1$ and $C_2$ be Hamilton cycles on $V_1$ and $V_2$. $G_1$ satisfies (P2), which implies that it contains an edge between some two vertices $v_1 \in V_1$ and $v_2 \in V_2$. We form the required path $S$ by going along $C_1$, using $v_1 v_2$ to skip to $V_2$ and then going along $C_2$. The segments $S[V_1]$ and $S[V_2]$ give an anagram in $c$, as required.

It remains to express the bound in terms of $d$. Note that $d_1$ lies between $\left(1 - \frac{1}{100}\right)d$ and $d$, so

$$\frac{\alpha \log d_1}{d_1} \leq 10^5 \log d_1 \leq \frac{2 \cdot 10^5 \log d}{d}.$$
Hence $\pi_\alpha(G_1 \cup G_2) > \left(1 - \frac{2 \cdot 10^5 \log d}{d}\right) n$, and by contiguity, the same holds for $G_{n,d}$ with high probability.

\[\square\]

4 Concluding Remarks

In this paper we studied anagram-free colorings of graphs, and showed that there are very sparse graphs in which anagrams cannot be avoided unless we basically give each vertex a separate color. Our research suggests several interesting questions, some of which we mention here.

The first question concerns the lower bound on the anagram-chromatic number for trees. Is there a family of trees $T(n)$ on $n$ vertices for which $\pi_\alpha(T(n)) \geq \varepsilon \log n$ for some positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$? We remark that this is the case for the analogous problem of finding the anagram-chromatic index of a tree. Indeed, a simple counting argument (cf. Proposition 2.3) shows that if instead of vertex colorings, we color edges of a graph, then to avoid anagrams in the complete binary tree of depth $h$, we need to use at least $\lceil \frac{1}{d} h \rceil$ colors.

In estimating the anagram-chromatic number of planar graphs we relied only on the fact that they have small separators. It would be interesting to know a better lower bound on $\pi_\alpha(G)$ for such graphs. In particular, we wonder if there exists a family $H_n$ of planar graphs on $n$ vertices such that $\pi_\alpha(H_n) \geq n^\epsilon$ for some absolute constant $\epsilon > 0$?

Let $G(n, d)$ denote the graph with the largest anagram-chromatic number among all graphs $G$ on $n$ vertices with $\Delta(G) \leq d$. Our main result shows that if $d$ is large enough then $\pi_\alpha(G(n, d)) \geq n \left(1 - C \frac{\log d}{d}\right)$, while for $d = 4$ we can only provide a construction which gives $\pi_\alpha(G(n, 4)) \geq \frac{\pi \sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{2} d}{\log n}$. We believe that there exist cubic graphs for which the anagram-chromatic number grows linearly with the order of the graph.

It would be nice to know how fast the function $f(d) = 1 - \limsup_{n \to \infty} \pi_\alpha(G(n, d))/n$ decreases with $d$. Let us recall that from Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 1.3 it follows that

$$\frac{1}{d} \leq f(d) = O\left(\frac{\log d}{d}\right).$$

We do not know the correct bound, but we have good reasons to believe that the upper bound can be improved. Indeed, consider a graph which is a union of $2n/d$ cliques of size $d/2$ and a random $n$-vertex $d/2$-regular graph. We think that using such a construction one can show that $f(d) \leq (\log d)^{1/2 + o(1)}/d$ but the proof looks quite involved and would probably not be worth the effort since it is by no means clear whether it would give the right order of $f(d)$.

Finally, Lemma 3.10 motivates questions on Hamiltonicity of small induced subgraphs of $G_{n,d}$. Pursuing our proof outline, we can prove the following.

Claim 6. There is a constant $C$ such that with high probability, $G = G_{n,d}$ has the following property. For any vertex set $V_1 \subset [n]$ of order at least $C \sqrt{\frac{\log d}{d}} n$, if the graph $H = G[V_1]$ has minimum degree at least $\frac{d}{100|V_1|}$, then $H$ is Hamiltonian.

To see this, take $G_2 = G_{n,d_2}$ for $d_2 = C \sqrt{\frac{d}{\log n}}$, and $G_1 = G_{n,d_1}$ for $d_1 = d - d_2$. Consider $G = G_{n,d_1} \cup G_{n,d_2}$. Let $V_1$ and $H = G[V_1]$ satisfy the hypothesis, and denote $|V_1| = \xi n$ with $\xi \geq C \sqrt{\frac{\log d}{d}}$. Since the graph $G[V_1]$ has minimum degree at least $\frac{d_1}{100|V_1|}$, and we ensured $d_2 \leq \frac{d}{100}$, $G_1[V_1]$ has minimum degree at least $\frac{d_1}{100}$. This guarantees that $G_1[V_1]$, as well as any graph on $V_1$ containing it, has $\Theta(\xi^2 n^2)$ boosters. On the other hand, the condition $d_2 e^{-\Omega(d_2)} < 1$ implies that $G_2$ hits those boosters with high probability (see the calculation in Lemma 3.12). Hence $G[V_1]$ is Hamiltonian for any such $V_1$, and by contiguity, $G_{n,d}$ satisfies the claim.
The above discussion leads to the natural question, what is the smallest possible lower bound on $|V_1|$ in Claim 6? Note that $|V_1| = C \sqrt{\frac{\log d}{d}} n$ is the best we can get from our approach. Namely, the above-mentioned conditions require $\Omega\left(\frac{1}{\xi} \log \left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right)\right) \leq \frac{6d}{d_0}$, i.e. $\xi = \Omega\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log d}{d_n}}\right)$.

We also give a lower bound on $|V_1|$. Using independent sets in $G_{n,d}$, one can find an induced unbalanced bipartite subgraph of order $\frac{\log d}{d_n}$ with high minimum degree, which is obviously non-Hamiltonian. This observation implies that we need at least $|V_1| \geq \frac{\log d}{d_n} n$. We wonder how tight this estimate is.

Acknowledgement. This work was carried out when the second author visited the Institute for Mathematical Research (FIM) of ETH Zürich. He would like to thank FIM for the hospitality and for creating a stimulating research environment.

References

[1] N. Alon, J. Grytczuk, M. Hałuszczak and O. Riordan, Non-repetitive colorings of graphs, Random Struct. Algor. 21 (2002), 336-346.
[2] N. Alon and S. Friedland, The maximum number of perfect matchings in graphs with a given degree sequence, Electron. J. Combin 15 (1) (2008).
[3] N. Alon, P. Seymour and R. Thomas, A separator theorem for graphs with an excluded minor and its applications, Proceedings of the twenty-second annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing, ACM (1990).
[4] D.R. Bean, A. Ehrenfeucht and G.F. McNulty, Avoidable patterns in strings of symbols, Pacific J. Math. 85 (1979), 261-294.
[5] T.C. Brown, Is there a sequence on four symbols in which no two adjacent segments are permutations of one another?, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971), 886-888.
[6] C.C. Chen and N. Quimpo, On strongly Hamiltonian abelian group graphs, Combinatorial Mathematics VIII, K. L. McAvaney (ed), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 884, Springer, Berlin, 1981, 23-34.
[7] F.M. Dekking, Strongly non-repetitive sequences and progression free sets, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 27 (1979), 181-185.
[8] P. Erdős, Some unsolved problems, Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutato. Int. Kozl. 6 (1961), 221-254.
[9] A.A. Evdokimov, Strongly asymmetric sequences generated by finite number of symbols, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR 179 (1968), 1268-1271. Soviet Math. Dokl. 9 (1968) 536-539.
[10] A. M. Frieze and T. Luczak, On the independence and chromatic numbers of random regular graphs., J. Comb. Theory, Ser. B 54.1 (1992), 123-132.
[11] J. Grytczuk, Nonrepetitive colorings of graphs – a survey, International journal of mathematics and mathematical sciences 2007 (2007) 74639.
[12] J. Grytczuk, Thue type problems for graphs, points, and numbers, Discrete Mathematics 308 (19) (2008), 4419-4429.
[13] S. Janson, T. Luczak and A. Ruciński, "Random Graphs", Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics and Optimization, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2000.
[14] V. Keränen, Abelian squares are avoidable on 4 letters, Automata, Languages and Programming: Lecture Notes in Computer science, vol. 623, Springer, Berlin, 1992, 41-52.

[15] M. Krivelevich, E. Lubetzky and B. Sudakov, Hamiltonicity thresholds in Achlioptas processes. Random Structures and Algorithms 37 (2010), 1-24.

[16] P.S. Novikov and S.I. Adjan, On infinite periodic groups I, II, III, Math. USSR Izv. 32 (1968), 212-244, 251-524, 709-731.

[17] P.A.B. Pleasants, Non-repetitive sequences, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 68 (1970) 267-274.

[18] A. Thue, Über unendliche Zeichenreichen, Norske Videnskabers Selskabs Skrifter, I Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftliche Klasse, Christiania, vol. 7 (1906), 1-22.