On the dynamics of resonant super-Earths in disks with turbulence driven by stochastic forcing
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

\textbf{Context.} A number of systems of multiple super-Earths have recently been discovered. Although the observed period ratios are generally far from strict commensurability, the radio pulsar PSR B1257+12 exhibits two near equal-mass planets of $\sim 4$ $M_\oplus$ close to being in a 3:2 mean motion resonance (MMR).

\textbf{Aims.} We investigate the evolution of a system of two super-Earths with masses $\leq 4$ $M_\oplus$ embedded in a turbulent protoplanetary disk. The aim is to examine whether or not resonant trapping can occur and be maintained in presence of turbulence and how this depends on the amplitude of the stochastic density fluctuations in the disk.

\textbf{Methods.} We have performed 2D numerical simulations using a grid-based hydrodynamical code in which turbulence is modelled as stochastic forcing. We assume that the outermost planet is initially located just outside the position of the 3:2 mean motion resonance with the inner one and we study the dependence of the resonance stability with the amplitude of the stochastic forcing.

\textbf{Results.} For systems of two equal-mass planets we find that in disk models with an effective viscous stress parameter $\alpha \sim 10^{-3}$, damping effects due to type I migration can counteract the effects of diffusion of the resonant angles, in such a way that the 3:2 resonance can possibly remain stable over the disk lifetime. For systems of super-Earths with mass ratio $q = m_1/m_2 \leq 1/2$, where $m_i(m_o)$ is the mass of the innermost (outermost) planet, the 3:2 resonance is broken in turbulent disks with effective viscous stresses $2 \times 10^{-4} \leq \alpha \leq 1 \times 10^{-3}$ but the planets become locked in stronger $p+1:p$ resonances, with $p$ increasing as the value for $\alpha$ increases. For $\alpha \geq 2 \times 10^{-3}$, the evolution can eventually involve temporary capture in a 8:7 commensurability but no stable MMR is formed.

\textbf{Conclusions.} Our results suggest that for values of the viscous stress parameter typical to those generated by MHD turbulence, MMRs between two super-Earths are likely to be disrupted by stochastic density fluctuations. For lower levels of turbulence however, as is the case in presence of a dead-zone, resonant trapping can be maintained in systems with moderate values of the planet mass ratio.
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1. Introduction

To date, about 25 extrasolar planets with masses less than 10 $M_\oplus$ and commonly referred to as super-Earths have been discovered (e.g. \url{http://exoplanet.eu}). Although two of them, Corot-7b (Léger et al. 2009; Queloz et al. 2009) and GJ 1214b (Charbonneau et al. 2009) were detected via the transit method, most of them were found by high-precision radial velocity surveys. It is expected that the number of observed super-Earths will considerably increase in the near future with the advent of space observatories Corot and Kepler.

Interestingly, Kepler team has recently announced the discovery of $\sim 170$ multi-planet systems candidates (Lissauer et al. 2011), although these need to be confirmed by follow-up programs. Previous to Kepler results, four multi-planet systems containing at least two super-Earths had been detected around PSR B1257+12, HD 69830, GJ 581 and HD 40307. For the sytems around main-sequence stars (HD 69830, GJ 581, HD 40307), the observed period ratios between two adjacent low-mass planets are quite far from strict commensurability. However, the planetary system that is orbiting the radio pulsar PSR B1257+12 exhibits two planets with masses $3.9$ $M_\oplus$ and $4.3$ $M_\oplus$ in a 3:2 mean motion resonance (Konacki & Wolszczan 2003). Papaloizou & Suszkiewicz (2005) showed that, for this system, the existence of such a resonance can be understood by a model in which two low-mass planets with mass ratio close to unity undergo convergent type I migration (e.g. Ward 1997; Tanaka et al. 2002) while still embedded in a gaseous laminar disk until capture in that resonance occurs. More generally, these authors found that, for more disparate mass ratios and provided that convergent migration occurs, the evolution of a
system of two planets in the $1 - 4 \ M_\oplus$ mass range is likely to result in the formation of high first-order commensurabilities $p + 1 : p$ with $p \geq 3$. Studies aimed at examining the interaction of many embryos within protoplanetary disks also suggest that capture in resonance between adjacent cores through type I migration appears as a natural outcome of such a system (McNeil et al. 2005; Cresswell & Nelson 2006). This, combined with the fact that the majority of super-Earths are found in multiplanet systems (Mayor et al. 2009), would suggest that systems of resonant super-Earths are common. The fact that most of the multiple systems of super-Earths observed so far do not exhibit mean motion resonances may be explained by a scenario in which strict commensurability is lost due to circularization through tidal interaction with the central star as the planets migrate inward and pass through the disk inner edge (Terquem & Papaloizou 2007).

Moreover, it is expected that in presence of strong disk turbulence, effects arising from stochastic density fluctuations will prevent super-Earths from staying in a resonant configuration. It is indeed now widely accepted that a source of anomalous viscosity due to turbulence is required to account for the estimated accretion rates for Class II T Tauri stars, which are typically $\sim 10^{-8} \ M_\odot \ yr^{-1}$ (Sicilia-Aguilar et al. 2004). The origin of turbulence is believed to be related to the magnetorotational instability (MRI, Balbus & Hawley 1991) for which a number of studies (Hawley et al. 1996; Brandenburg et al. 1996) have shown that the non-linear outcome of this instability is MHD turbulence with an effective viscous stress parameter $\alpha$ ranging between $\sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\sim 0.1$, depending on the magnetic field amplitude and topology. So far, the effects of stochastic density fluctuations in the disk on the evolution of two-planet systems has received little attention. Rein & Papaloizou (2009) developed an analytical model and performed $N$-body simulations of two-planet systems subject to external stochastic forcing and showed that turbulence can produce systems in mean motion resonance with broken apsidal corotation, explaining thereby the resonant configuration of the HD 128311 system. Adams et al. (2008) examined the effects of turbulent torques on the survival of resonances using a pendulum model with an additional stochastic forcing term. They found that mean motion resonances are generally disrupted by turbulence within disk lifetimes. Lecoeur et al. (2009) extended this latter work by considering disk-induced damping effects and planet-planet interactions. They found that systems with sufficiently large damping can maintain resonances and suggested that two-planet systems composed of a Jovian outer planet plus a smaller inner planet are likely to remain bound in resonance.

In this paper we present the results of hydrodynamical simulations of systems composed of two planets in the $1 - 4 \ M_\oplus$ mass range embedded in a protoplanetary disk in which turbulence is driven by stochastic forcing. Planets undergo convergent migration as a result of the underlying type I migration and we consider a scenario in which the initial separation between the planets is slightly larger than that corresponding to the 3:2 resonance. The aim of this work is to investigate whether or not resonant trapping can occur and be maintained in turbulent disks and how the stability of the 3:2 resonance depends on the amplitude of the turbulence-induced density fluctuations. We find that for systems of equal-mass planets the 3:2 resonance can be maintained provided that the level of turbulence is relatively weak, corresponding to a value for the effective viscous stress parameter of $\alpha \lesssim 10^{-3}$. In models with mass ratios $q = \frac{m_1}{m_2} \leq 1/2$ however, where $m_1$ ($m_2$) is the mass of the inner (outer) planet, the 3:2 resonance is disrupted in presence of weak turbulence but the planets can become eventually locked in higher first-order commensurabilities. For a level of turbulence corresponding to $\alpha \sim 5 \times 10^{-3}$ however, MMRs are likely to be disrupted by stochastic density fluctuations.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we describe the hydrodynamical model and the numerical setup. In Sect. 3, we use a simple model to estimate the critical level of turbulence above which the 3:2 resonance would be unstable. In Sect. 4 we present the results of our simulations. We finally summarize and draw our conclusions in Sect. 5.

2. The hydrodynamical model

2.1. Numerical method

In this paper, we adopt a 2D disk model for which all the physical quantities are vertically averaged. We work in a non-rotating frame, and adopt cylindrical polar coordinates $(R,\phi)$ with the origin located at the position of the central star. Indirect terms resulting from the fact that this frame is non-inertial are incorporated in the equations governing the disk evolution (Nelson et al. 2000). Simulations were performed with the FARGO and GENESIS numerical codes. Both codes employ an advection scheme based on the monotonic transport algorithm (Van Leer 1977) and include the FARGO algorithm (Masset 2000) to avoid timestep limitation due to the Keplerian orbital velocity at the inner edge of the grid. The evolution of each planetary orbit is computed using a fifth-order Runge-Kutta integrator (Press et al. 1992) and by calculating the torques exerted by the disk on each planet. We note that a softening parameter $b = 0.6H$, where $H$ is the disk scale height, is employed when calculating the planet potentials.

The computational units that we adopt are such that the unit of mass is the central mass $M_*$, the unit of distance is the initial semi-major axis $a_i$ of the innermost planet and the unit of time is $(GM_*/a_i^3)^{-1/2}$. In the simulations presented here, we use $N_R = 256$ radial grid cells uniformly distributed between $R_{in} = 0.4$ and $R_{out} = 2.5$ and $N_\theta = 768$ azimuthal grid cells. Wave-killing zones are employed for $R < 0.5$ and $R > 2.1$ in order to avoid wave reflections at the disk edges (de Val-Borro et al. 2006).

In this work, turbulence is modelled by applying at each time-step a turbulent potential $\Phi_{turb}$ to the disk (Laughlin & al.
2004, Baruteau & Lin 2010) and corresponding to the superposition of 50 wave-like modes. This reads:

$$\Phi_{turb}(R, \phi, t) = \gamma R^2 \Omega^2 \sum_{k=1}^{50} \Lambda_k(R, \phi, t),$$

with:

$$\Lambda_k = \xi_k e^{-\frac{(m_k \phi - \phi_k - \Omega_k t_k)^2}{\sigma_k^2}} \cos(m_k \phi - \phi_k - \Omega_k t_k) \sin(\pi \xi_k / \Delta k).$$

In Eq. \(2\), \(\xi_k\) is a dimensionless constant parameter randomly sorted with a Gaussian distribution of unit width. \(R_k\) and \(\phi_k\) are, respectively, the radial and azimuthal initial coordinates of the mode with wavenumber \(m_k\). \(\sigma_k = \pi R_k / 4 m_k\) is the radial extent of that mode and \(\Omega_k\) denotes the Keplerian angular velocity at \(R = R_k\). \(R_k\) and \(\phi_k\) are both randomly sorted with a uniform distribution whereas \(m_k\) is randomly sorted with a logarithmic distribution between \(\sigma\) and \(\Delta k\) correspond to a disk with constant aspect ratio \(\beta\) and for most of the simulations, we choose \(\beta = 0.5\). The initial surface density profile is chosen to be \(\Sigma_{init}(R) = \Sigma_0 R^{-\sigma}\) with \(\sigma = 0.5\) and we have performed simulations with \(\Sigma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(\Sigma_0 = 4 \times 10^{-4}\). Assuming that the radius \(R = 1\) in the computational domain corresponds to \(5.2\) AU, such values for \(\Sigma_0\) correspond to disks containing \(0.02\) \(M_\odot\) and \(0.04\) \(M_\odot\) respectively of gas material interior to \(40\) AU. No kinematic viscosity is employed in all the runs presented here.

### 2.2. Initial conditions

In this paper, we adopt a locally isothermal equation of state with a fixed temperature profile given by \(T = T_0 R^{-\theta}\) where \(\theta = 1\) and where \(T_0\) is the temperature at \(R = 1\). This corresponds to a disk with constant aspect ratio \(h\) and for most of the simulations, we choose \(h = 0.05\). The initial surface density profile is chosen to be \(\Sigma_{init}(R) = \Sigma_0 R^{-\sigma}\) with \(\sigma = 0.5\) and we have performed simulations with \(\Sigma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-4}\) and \(\Sigma_0 = 4 \times 10^{-4}\). Assuming that the radius \(R = 1\) in the computational domain corresponds to \(5.2\) AU, such values for \(\Sigma_0\) correspond to disks containing \(0.02\) \(M_\odot\) and \(0.04\) \(M_\odot\) respectively of gas material interior to \(40\) AU. No kinematic viscosity is employed in all the runs presented here.

### Table 1. Parameters used in the simulations

| Model | \(m_i (M_\oplus)\) | \(m_o (M_\oplus)\) | \(\Sigma_0\) | \(h\) |
|-------|------------------|------------------|-------------|------|
| G1    | 3.3              | 3.3              | 2 \times 10^{-4} | 0.05 |
| G2    | 3.3              | 3.3              | 4 \times 10^{-4} | 0.05 |
| G3    | 3.3              | 3.3              | 2 \times 10^{-4} | 0.04 |
| G4    | 1.6              | 1.6              | 2 \times 10^{-4} | 0.05 |
| G5    | 1.6              | 3.3              | 2 \times 10^{-4} | 0.05 |

### 2.3. Initial conditions

The inner and outer planets initially evolve on circular orbits at \(a_i = 1\) and \(a_o = 1.33\) respectively, which corresponds to a configuration for which the outermost planet is initially located just outside the 3:2 MMR with the inner one. For most models, we focus on equal-mass planets with \(m_i = m_o \leq 3.3\) \(M_\oplus\), where \(m_i (m_o)\) is the mass of innermost (outermost) planet. However, we have also considered one case in which the planet mass ratio \(q = m_i / m_o\) is \(q = 1/2\). The parameters for all models we conducted are summarized in Table 1. Given that the type I migration timescale \(\tau_{mig,p}\) of a planet with mass \(m_p\), semimajor axis \(a_p\), and on a circular orbit with angular frequency \(\Omega_p\) can be estimated in the locally isothermal limit by (Paardekooper et al. 2010):

$$\tau_{mig,p} = (1 + \beta + 0.7 \sigma)^{-1} M_p M_* \Sigma(a_p) \Omega_p^2 h^2 \Omega_p^{-1},$$

we expect that equal low-mass planets embedded in our disk model will undergo convergent migration and become eventually trapped in the 3:2 resonance. For a larger initial separation between the two planets, capture in 2:1 resonance may also occur. However, test simulations have shown that unless the disk mass is very low, differential migration is not slow enough for the planets to become trapped in that resonance. This justifies our assumption that the planets are initially located just outside the 3:2 resonance. We also comment that equal-mass planets migrating in the type I regime will undergo convergent migration provided that \(\sigma < 3/2\) whereas \(\sigma > 3/2\) will lead to divergent migration.

### 3. Theoretical expectations

In this section, we consider two low-mass planets embedded in a turbulent disk and locked in a \(p + 1:p\) mean motion resonance, and we derive the critical amplitude of the turbulent forcing
\[ \gamma_i \text{ below which the resonance would remain stable. Following Adams et al. (2008) and Rein \\& Papaloizou (2009), we assume that only the outermost planet experiences the torques arising from the disk. We also assume that the planets have near equal mass, in order to avoid the chaotic regime which comes into play for disparate masses (Papaloizou \\& Szuszkiewicz 2005). In the limit of large damping rate for the resonance and neglecting effects from planet-planet interaction, the asymptotic value } P \text{ of the probability for the resonance to be maintained is given by (Lecoanet et al. 2009):} \]

\[ P = 4 \left( \frac{1}{\pi \tau_d D_\delta} \right)^{1/2}, \]  

where \( D_\delta \) is the diffusion coefficient associated with the resonant angle diffusion and \( \tau_d \) is the damping timescale for the resonance angle. This equation is valid at late times \( t \gg \omega_0^{-1} \) where \( \omega_0 \) is the libration frequency of the resonant angles, as long as \( t \gg D_\delta^{-1} \) and \( D_\delta^{-1} \gg \tau_d \). From the previous equation, we can estimate the maximum value of the diffusion coefficient for the sytem to remain bound in resonance with probability \( P = 1 \). This reads:

\[ D_\delta = \frac{16}{\pi} \tau_d^{-1}. \]  

As shown in Adams et al. (2008), \( D_\delta \) can be related to the diffusion coefficient \( D_{H,0} \) associated with the diffusion of the outer planet’s angular momentum as:

\[ D_\delta = \frac{D_{H,0}}{9 m_0^2 \omega_0^3 a_i^3}. \]  

For moderate eccentricities, \( \omega_0 \) is given by:

\[ \omega_0^2 = -3 j_2^2 C \Omega_i e_i^{\alpha_i} \]  

with \( C = q_0 \Omega_i \alpha f_{\alpha}(\alpha) \),

where \( e_i \) is the eccentricity of the inner planet, \( q_0 = m_0/M_* \) and \( \Omega_i \) is the angular frequency of the innermost planet. In the previous equation, \( \alpha = \alpha_i/\alpha_o \), \( (j_2, j_3) \) are integers which depend on the resonance being considered and \( f_{\alpha}(\alpha) \) results from the expansion of the disturbing function. In the case of the 3:2 resonance, we have \( j_2 = -2, j_3 = -1 \) and \( f_{\alpha}(\alpha) \sim -1.54 \) (Murray \\& Dermott 1999).

In Eq. 7 \( D_{H,0} \) can be expressed in terms of both the correlation timescale \( \tau_c \) associated with the stochastic torques exerted on the outer planet and the standard deviation of the turbulent torque distribution \( \sigma_t \) as:

\[ D_{H,0} = \sigma_t^2 \tau_c. \]  

As discussed in Baruteau \\& Lin (2010), \( \sigma_t \) takes the following form when applied to the outermost planet:
The eccentricity damping rate is given by Eq. 4 with
\[ \tau_{\text{mig}} = \frac{2 C^2 a_d^2 \Omega_o^3}{9 \pi \sigma_o^2}, \]
with \( a_d = \pi \Sigma \omega_o^2 / M_\star \). Setting \( \delta \omega = \omega_0 / \Omega_o \), we can rewrite the previous expression as:
\[ \tau_{\text{mig}} = \frac{2 C^2 a_d^2 \delta \omega^2 \Omega_o}{9 \pi \delta \omega^2}. \]
We notice that in the case where \( p = 1 \), \( \delta \omega \) is comparable to the dimensionless width of the libration zone. Using the previous equation together with Eq. 6, we find that the critical value for the turbulent forcing above which the 3:2 resonance is disrupted is given by:
\[ \gamma_c \sim 5.3 \times 10^{-2} \frac{\delta \omega}{q_d} (\tau_{\text{mig}} \Omega_o)^{-1/2}. \]
\[ \gamma_c \sim 3.7 \times 10^{-4} \frac{\delta \omega}{q_d} (\tau_{\text{mig}} \Omega_o)^{-1/2}. \]
\[ \gamma_c \sim 3.5 \times 10^{-2} \frac{\delta \omega q_d^{1/2}}{q_d^{1/2} h^{-1}}. \]
In the limit where \( m_1 \sim 0 \), we would have \( \tau_{\text{mig}} = \tau_{\text{mig},o} \) where \( \tau_{\text{mig},o} \) is the migration timescale of the outer planet which is given by Eq. 4 with \( h = 0.05 \), \( \sigma = 0.5 \) and \( \beta = 1 \). In that case the expression for \( \gamma_c \) becomes for our disk model:
\[ \gamma_c \sim 3.5 \times 10^{-2} \frac{\delta \omega q_d^{1/2}}{q_d^{1/2} h^{-1}}. \]
In order to check the validity of the previous expression for \( \gamma_c \), we have performed a few N-body runs using a fifth-order Runge Kutta method. In these calculations, the forces arising from type I migration are not determined self-consistently but modelled using prescriptions for both the migration rate \( \tau_{\text{mig}} \) and eccentricity damping rate \( \tau_{e} \). For \( \tau_{\text{mig}} \), we used:
\[ \tau_{\text{mig}} = \frac{1 + (e_p/h)^5}{1 - (e_p/h)^5} \tau_{\text{mig},p}, \]
where \( e_p \) is the planet eccentricity and where \( \tau_{\text{mig},p} \) is given by Eq. 4 and where the numerical factor accounts for the modification of the migration rate at large eccentricities (Papaloizou & Lin 2010). For \( \tau_{e} \), we used (Tanaka & Ward 2004):
\[ \tau_{e} = \frac{K h^2}{0.78} (1 + 0.25(e_p/h)^2) \tau_{\text{mig},p}. \]

In the last equation, \( K \sim 1.7 \) is a constant which was chosen in such a way that the eccentricity damping rate obtained in N-body runs gives good agreement with that resulting from hydrodynamical simulations. Following Rein et al. (2010), we model effects of turbulence as an uncorrelated noise by perturbing at each time step \( \Delta t \) the velocity components \( v_i \) of each planet by \( \Delta v_i = \vec{\nabla} \Delta \xi \) where \( \xi \) is a random variable with gaussian distribution of unit width. \( D \) is the diffusion coefficient which should vary as the planets migrate but which was fixed here to a constant value of \( D = \sigma_v^2 \tau_v / a_c^3 \).

In Fig. 1 we show the time evolution of the orbital period ratio for N-body simulations with parameters corresponding to model G1 and for six different realizations with \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}, 1.9 \times 10^{-4}, 3 \times 10^{-4} \). For this model, we estimate \( \delta \omega = 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \) (see Sect. 4.1.2) which leads to \( \gamma_c \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \) using Eq. 15. From Fig. 1, it appears that capture in the 3:2 MMR occurs for most of the realizations with \( \gamma \leq 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \). For two specific realizations of each value for \( \gamma \) we considered, the time evolution of the resonant angles \( \phi_1 = 3 \lambda - 2 \lambda_i - \omega_i \) and \( \phi_2 = 3 \lambda_0 - 2 \lambda_i - \omega_i \) associated with the 3:2 resonance, where \( \lambda_i \) (\( \lambda_o \)) and \( \omega_i \) (\( \omega_o \)) are respectively the mean longitude and longitude of pericentre of the innermost (outermost) planet, is displayed in Fig. 2. Although the angles can eventually circulate for short periods of time, it is clear that the 3:2 MMR remains stable on average for \( \gamma \leq 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \). For \( \gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4} \), we find that the planets pass through the 3:2 resonance in two of the six realizations performed while the four other can eventually involve temporarily capture in the 3:2 MMR. In these cases however, the lifetime of the resonance does not exceed a few hundred orbits, as can be seen in the lower panel of Fig. 2 which displays for \( \gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4} \) the time evolution of \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) for two realizations in which the period ratio remains close to that corresponding to the 3:2 MMR. Therefore, the results of these N-body calculations suggest that the 3:2 MMR is only marginally stable for such a value of \( \gamma \), which is consistent with the aforementioned analytical estimation of \( \gamma_c \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \).

4. Results of hydrodynamical simulations

For equal mass planets \( (q = 1) \), results of hydrodynamical simulations suggest that capture in 3:2 resonance can occur in turbulent disks for which the level of turbulence is relatively weak. For systems with \( q \leq 1/2 \) however, it appears that trapping in the 3:2 resonance is maintained only provided that the disk is close to being inviscid.

4.1. Models with \( q = 1 \)

For inviscid simulations with equal low-mass planets, the ability for the two planets to become trapped in the 3:2 resonance depends mainly on the planets’ relative migration rate which scales as \( h^{-2} \). For model G3 \( (h = 0.04) \), we find that capture in 3:2 resonance does not occur in that case due to the relative migration timescale being shorter than the libration period corresponding to that resonance. For other models with \( h = 0.05 \) however, it appears that the system can enter in a 3:2 commensurability which remains stable for the duration of the simulation, which generally covers \( \sim 10^4 \) orbits at \( R = 1 \). This occurs...
not only for laminar disks, but also for turbulent disks provided that the value for the turbulent forcing is not too large. For example, we find that the 3:2 commensurability is maintained in most of the turbulent runs with $\gamma \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ in models G1 and G2 whereas in model G4 this occurs provided that $\gamma \leq 6 \times 10^{-5}$. Below we describe in more details the results of the simulations with $q = 1$ and we use model G1 to illustrate how the evolution depends on the value for the forcing parameter $\gamma$.

### 4.1.1. Orbital evolution

The time evolution of the planets’ semi-major axes, eccentricities and period ratio corresponding to model G1 and for one realization of the different values of $\gamma$ we considered are depicted in Fig. 3. In each case, the period ratio is observed to initially decrease, suggesting that early evolution involves convergent migration of the two planets. Not surprisingly, a tendency for the planets to undergo a monotonic inward migration is observed at the beginning of the simulations with the lowest values of $\gamma$ whereas these are more influenced by stochastic forcing for $\gamma \geq 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$. This is due to the fact that the amplitude of the turbulent density fluctuations is typically stronger than that of the planet’s wake for simulations with $\gamma \geq 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$, as shown in Fig. 4 which displays snapshots of the perturbed surface density of the disk for different values of $\gamma$.

The semimajor axis evolution also reveals a clear tendency for lower migration rates with increasing $\gamma$, which is an effect arising from the desaturation of the horseshoe drag by turbulence (Baruteau & Lin 2010). As $\gamma$ increases, the disk torques are indeed expected to increase from the differential Lindblad torque, obtained for $\gamma = 0$, up to the fully unsaturated torque, which is maintained for $\alpha \sim 0.16(m_i/M_*)^{3/2}h^{-4}$ (Baruteau & Lin 2010). For $h = 0.05$, such a value for $\alpha$ corresponds to $\gamma \sim 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$. For higher values of $\gamma$ however, we expect the torques to slightly decrease with increasing $\gamma$ due to a cut-off of the horseshoe drag arising when the diffusion timescale across the horseshoe region is smaller than the horseshoe U-turn time (Baruteau & Lin 2010). This can be confirmed by inspecting the evolution of the running-time averaged torques exerted on both planets and which are presented in Fig. 5. Up to a time of approximately $10^3$ orbits and for $\gamma \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$, the torques are observed to increase with increasing $\gamma$, while they decrease for higher values of $\gamma$. This is in very good agreement with the expectation that the fully unsaturated torque is reached for $\gamma = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$. At later times however, the torques obtained in simulations with $\gamma \geq 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ can eventually exceed those computed in runs with $\gamma \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$. We suggest that this is related to the fact that the disk surface density tends to be significantly modified at the planet positions at high turbulence level. This is illustrated in Fig. 6 which shows the disk surface density profile at $t = 2000$ orbits for the different values of $\gamma$ we considered. Here the inner and outer planets are located at $a_i \sim 0.98$ and $a_o \sim 1.25$ respectively. It is interesting to note that for $\gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4}$, the outer planet tends to evolve in a region of
Fig. 4. This figure shows, for model G1, snapshots of the perturbed surface density of the disk for $\gamma = 0$ (first panel), $\gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5}$ (second panel), $\gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ (third panel) and $\gamma = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (fourth panel).

Fig. 5. Upper panel: time evolution of the running-time averaged torques exerted on the inner planet for model G1 and for the different values of $\gamma$ we considered namely for $\gamma = 0$ (black line), $\gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5}$ (red line), $\gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ (blue), $\gamma = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (green) and $\gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ (orange). Lower panel: same but for the outer planet.

Disk surface density profile at $t = 2000$ orbits for model G1 and for the different values of $\gamma$ we considered namely for $\gamma = 0$ (black line), $\gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5}$ (red line), $\gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ (blue), $\gamma = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (green) and $\gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ (orange).

positive surface density gradient where the corotation torque is positive, in such a way that the torque exerted on that planet can become higher than that exerted on the inner one. This effect is responsible for the increase of period ratio observed at late times in simulations with $\gamma \geq 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$.
4.1.2. Eccentricity evolution

For model G1, examination of the early planets’ eccentricities evolution displayed in Fig. 3 shows a clear tendency of higher eccentricities with increasing the value for γ, which is in agreement with the expectation that turbulence is a source of eccentricity driving (Nelson 2005). This can be confirmed by inspecting the theoretical change of the inner planet eccentricity \( \frac{de_i}{dt} \) which can be computed using the following expression (Burns 1976):

\[
\frac{de_i}{dt} = \frac{e_i^2 - 1}{2e_i^2} \left( \frac{E}{E + 2\frac{H}{M}} \right), \tag{18}
\]

where \( E = -G(M_* + m_i)/2a_i \) is the specific energy of the inner planet, \( H = \sqrt{G(M_* + m_i)a_i(1 - e_i^2)} \) its specific angular momentum, \( \gamma \) the torque exerted by the disk and \( E \) the power of the force exerted by the disk on the planet. The early time evolution of \( \frac{de_i}{dt} \) is displayed, for this model and for the different values of \( \gamma \) which we considered in the upper panel of Fig. 7. It clearly demonstrates that, compared with the laminar run, the theoretical rate of change of \( e_i \) is higher in turbulent runs and that it increases with increasing the value for \( \gamma \).

Also, inspection of the lower panel of Fig. 7 reveals that, compared with model G1 in which \( m_i = m_o = 3.3M_\oplus \) and \( \Sigma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-4} \), the disk induced eccentricity damping is weaker in model G4 for which \( m_i = m_o = 1.6M_\oplus \). This is due to the damping of eccentricity at coorbital Lindblad resonances scaling linearly with planet mass (Nelson 2005). Given that this damping also scales with disk mass, this explains why the disk induced eccentricity damping is stronger in model G2 in which \( \Sigma_0 = 4 \times 10^{-4} \).

4.1.3. Time evolution of the resonant angles

As mentioned above, we find that for model G1 a stable 3:2 commensurability generally forms for \( \gamma \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \), which can be confirmed by inspecting the upper panel of Fig. 8 which displays the time evolution of the period ratio for four different realizations with this value of \( \gamma \). However, as one can see in the lower panel of Fig. 8, such a resonance is observed to break at late times for all the realizations performed with \( \gamma \geq 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \). Comparing Fig. 8 and the two upper panels in Fig. 11, we see that the results of these hydrodynamical simulations are at first sight in good agreement with those of N-body runs. Moreover, it is interesting to note that in some runs, planets which leave the 3:2 resonance can eventually pass through that resonance again at later times or others commensurabilities like the 2:1 resonance.

A similar outcome is observed in model G2 which had a value of \( \Sigma_0 = 4 \times 10^{-4} \). This arises because both the turbulent torque and the damping rate of the libration amplitude scale linearly with \( \Sigma_0 \). For model G4 however, which had \( \Sigma_0 = 2 \times 10^{-4} \) and \( m_i = m_o = 1.6 M_\oplus \), the 3:2 resonance is disrupted in the run with \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) due to the damping rate being smaller for this model. For a single realization for each value of \( \gamma \), the evolution of the period ratio for models G2 and G4 is displayed in Fig. 9.

In the two upper panels of Fig. 10 we show, for model G1 and for two realizations with \( \gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5} \) and \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \), the time evolution of the resonant angles associated with the 3:2...
resonance. For \( \gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5} \), the 3:2 resonance is established at \( t \sim 1800 \) orbits while it forms at \( t \sim 2000 \) orbits for the calculation with \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \). This is consistent with the fact that, for moderate values of \( \gamma \), migration rates tend to decrease with increasing \( \gamma \). As illustrated in the second and third panels of Fig. 3, resonant capture makes the eccentricities of both planets grow rapidly before they saturate at values of \( e_i \sim e_o \sim 0.01 \) in the run with \( \gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5} \). As discussed in Sect. 4.1.4, these tend to be larger in the case where \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \), with the eccentricities reaching peak values of \( e_i \sim 0.02 \) and \( e_o \sim 0.015 \). Not surprisingly, there is a clear trend for the amplitude of the resonant angles to increase with increasing the value for \( \gamma \) in model G1. For the run with \( \gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5} \), the angles slightly spread until \( t \sim 5 \times 10^3 \) orbits before their amplitude continuously decrease with time. This indicates that over long timescales damping of the resonant angles through migration tends to overcome diffusion effects. In the case where \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) however, periods of cyclic variations of the resonant angles can be seen with the angles librating with high amplitude before being subsequently damped. Given that in absence of turbulent forcing, the libration amplitude should decrease as \( \Omega^{-1/2} \) (Peale 1976), we would expect the 3:2 resonance to be maintained, for \( \gamma \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \), over timescales much longer than those covered by the simulations.

For two realizations with \( \gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5} \) and \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \), the evolution of both \( \phi_1 \) and \( \phi_2 \) for models G2 and G4 is displayed in the middle and lower panels of Fig. 10 respectively. In comparison with model G1, the resonant angles librate with slightly higher amplitudes in model G2 and can eventually start oscillations between periods of circulation and libration in the run with \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \). Again this arises because, compared with model G1, the turbulent density fluctuations are stronger in this model. In model G4 however, the 3:2 resonance is maintained for only \( \sim 3 \times 10^3 \) orbits in the case where \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \), which indicates that for this model the damping rate is too weak for this resonance to remain stable.

### 4.1.4 Comparison with analytics

We now examine how the results of the simulations described above compare with the expectations discussed in Sect. 4.2. For model G1, we can estimate the libration frequency \( \omega_j \) using Eq. (8) in conjunction with the results from this model shown in Fig. 3. Adopting the inviscid simulation as a fiducial case, we have \( a_i = 0.9, a_o = 1.17 \) and \( e_i = 0.01 \) at \( t \sim 4000 \) orbits, which leads to \( \delta \omega = \omega_j / \Omega_o \sim 1.9 \times 10^{-3} \). Moreover, the migration timescale of the system was estimated to \( \tau_{\text{mig}} \sim 3.3 \times 10^4 \) orbits from the results of this simulation. Using Eq. (14) which gives the value for \( \gamma_c \), as a function of \( \tau_{\text{mig}} \), we can then provide an analytical estimate \( \gamma_{\text{ana}} \) of the critical amplitude for the turbulent forcing above which the 3:2 resonance should be disrupted. For this model, this critical value is estimated to be \( \gamma_{\text{ana}} \sim 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \) while Eq. (15) predicts \( \gamma_{\text{ana}} \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-4} \). Returning to Fig. 3, we see that the results of the simulations
Fig. 11. Upper panel: time evolution of the period ratio for model G1 and for four runs with \( \gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5} \) and in which Eq. 3 is solved at each timestep. Lower panel: same but for \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \). Simulations were performed with FARGO.

performed with GENESIS suggest that \( 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \leq \gamma_c \leq 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \) for this model, which is clearly in broad agreement with the previous analytical estimate. We note however that both simulations performed with FARGO and additional runs in which a roughly constant surface density profile is maintained (see Sect. 4.1) produced slightly different results since we find \( 6 \times 10^{-5} \leq \gamma_c < 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) in these cases. The little difference exhibited by our two codes is apparently due to the fact that turbulence induces changes in the surface density profile which are slightly different. In FARGO, the disk density at the position of the inner planet is slightly higher compared with GENESIS while it is slightly lower at the position of the outer planet.

For calculations in which Eq. 3 is solved at each timestep, the time evolution of the period ratio for four realizations with \( \gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5} \) and \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) is displayed in Fig. 11. In that case, all the realizations performed with \( \gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5} \) resulted in the formation of the 3:2 resonance whereas for \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \), two of the four realizations resulted in capture in that resonance by the end of the run. For \( \gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \), the time evolution of the resonant angles associated with the 3:2 resonance is displayed in Fig. 12. Compared with previous runs in which the surface density profile was altered by turbulence, we see that capture in resonance tends to occur later in runs where a roughly constant surface density profile is maintained. This occurs because the disk density at the position of the inner planet tends to be higher in runs where the initial disk surface density profile is restored, leading to a slower differential migration between the two planets.

For other models, repeating the previously described procedure leads to analytical estimates of \( \gamma_{\text{ana}}^{\text{mu}} = 1.2 \times 10^{-4} \) for model G2 and \( \gamma_{\text{ana}}^{\text{mu}} \sim 9.3 \times 10^{-5} \) for model G4. Given that the simulations performed with GENESIS indicate that \( 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \leq \gamma_c \leq 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \) and \( 6 \times 10^{-5} \leq \gamma_c < 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) for models G2 and G4 respectively, we see that again the previous analytical estimates compare reasonably well with the results of our simulations.

4.2. Model with \( q = 1/2 \)

For systems with \( q = 1/2 \), the results of the simulations indicate that the 3:2 resonance can be maintained only in cases where the disk is close to being inviscid. Fig. 13 shows the results for model G5 in which \( m_1 = 1.6 M_\oplus \) and \( m_2 = 3.3 M_\oplus \) and for a single realization of the different values of \( \gamma \) we considered. Moving from left to right and from top to bottom the panels display the time evolution of the planets’ semi-major axes, eccentricity of the inner planet, eccentricity of the outer one, and period ratio. The evolution of semi-major axes shows strong similarities with that of model G1, with the migration rates of the planets observed to decrease with increasing the value for \( \gamma \), as discussed in Sect. 4.1.1.

Because of stochastic density fluctuations, the planets eccentricities are highly variable quantities and a clear trend of higher eccentricities for higher values of \( \gamma \) is again observed at the beginning of the simulations. For \( \gamma = 0 \), the time evolution of the period ratio shows that trapping in the 3:2 resonance occurs at \( t \sim 10^3 \) orbits. This resonant interaction causes eccentricity growth of both planets with the eccentricities of the inner and outer planets reaching peak values of \( e_i \sim 0.035 \) and \( e_o \sim 0.02 \) respectively, although convergence is not fully established at the end of the simulation. Comparing Figs 3 and
we see that the period ratio oscillates with greater amplitude in model G5, indicating thereby that resonant locking is weaker. This arises because, compared with model G1, the relative migration rate is higher for that model. Here, it is worthwhile to notice that over timescales longer than those covered by the simulations, it is not clear whether or not the planets will remain bound in the 3:2 resonance since for disparate planet masses as is the case for model G5, we expect the dynamics of the system to be close to the chaotic regime even for $\gamma = 0$ (Papaloizou & Szuszkiewicz 2005).

For turbulent runs, we find that the planets become temporarily trapped in the 3:2 resonance but in each case, the final outcome appears to be disruption of that resonance. Not surprisingly, the survival time of the 3:2 resonance tends to increase with decreasing the value for $\gamma$. For these realizations, the lifetimes of the resonance are estimated to be $\sim 1500$ orbits for $\gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5}$, $\sim 2 \times 10^3$ orbits for $\gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$, $\sim 10^4$ orbits for $\gamma = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$. The slightly longer lifetime of the resonance obtained in the run with $\gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ arises because of the stochastic nature of the problem.

In Fig. 14 is displayed for two runs with $\gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ the evolution of the resonant angles associated with the 3:2 resonance. In comparison with models in which $q = 1$, there is a clear tendency for these to librate with higher amplitude. Therefore, for models with disparate planet masses, the origin of the disruption of the 3:2 resonance in turbulent runs is likely to be related to the combined effect of diffusion of the resonant angles plus high libration amplitudes due to the resonance being weaker.

For $\gamma \geq 6 \times 10^{-5}$, we can not rule out the possibility that the planets would become locked in stronger $p + 1:p$ resonances with $p \geq 3$. To investigate this issue in more details, we have performed an additional set of simulations in which, for each value of $\gamma$ we considered, the outer planet was initially located just outside the 4:3 resonance with the inner one. In cases where the 4:3 resonance was found to be unstable, we performed an additional run but with an initial separation between the two planets slightly larger than that corresponding to the 5:4 resonance. If the 5:4 resonance is not maintained, we repeat the procedure described above until a stable $p + 1:p$ commensurability is eventually formed. Because performing several realizations for each value of $\gamma$ would require a large suite of simulations, we have considered here only one single realization for each value of $\gamma$.

Fig. 15 illustrates how the established resonance depends on the value for the turbulent forcing. Not surprisingly, a clear trend for forming stronger $p + 1:p$ resonances with increasing $\gamma$ is observed. For $\gamma = 6 \times 10^{-5}$, the system enters in a stable 4:3 resonance while for $\gamma = 1.3 \times 10^{-4}$, the planets become rather locked in the 5:4 resonance. For the runs with $\gamma = 1.9 \times 10^{-4}$ and $\gamma = 3 \times 10^{-4}$ respectively, the planets enter temporarily trapped in the 8:7 resonance but in each case this commensurability is subsequently lost with the planets undergoing divergent migration. In that case, it is interesting to note that the system is close to the stability limit since for planets in the Earth mass range as is the case here, we expect resonance
overlap to occur for \( p \geq 8 \) (Papaloizou & Szuszkiewicz 2005). Therefore, we can reasonably suggest that for such values of \( \gamma \), super-Earths with mass ratio \( q = m_i/m_o < 1/2 \) may not be able to become trapped in a stable mean motion resonance and may eventually suffer close encounters.

5. Discussion and conclusion

In this paper we have presented the results of hydrodynamic simulations aimed at studying the evolution of a system composed of two planets in the Earth mass range and embedded in a turbulent protoplanetary disk. We employed the turbulence model of Laughlin et al. (2004) and modified by Baruteau & Lin (2010) in which a turbulent potential corresponding to the superposition of multiple wave-like modes is applied to the disk. We focused on a scenario in which the outermost planet is initially located just outside the 3:2 resonance and investigated how the evolution depends on both the planet mass ratio \( q \) and the value for the turbulent forcing parameter \( \gamma \).

The results of the simulations indicate that for systems with equal mass planets, a 3:2 resonance can be maintained in presence of weak turbulence. For instance, in the case of two planets with equal mass \( 3.3 M_\oplus \), we find that the 3:2 resonance is stable in runs with \( \gamma \leq 1.9 \times 10^{-5} \), which corresponds to values for the effective viscous stress parameter of \( \alpha \leq 2 \times 10^{-3} \). Such a value was found to compare fairly well with that resulting from both analytical estimations and preliminary N-body runs. For systems with planet mass ratios \( q \leq 1/2 \) however, it appears that a 3:2 resonance can remain stable only provided that the disk is close to being inviscid. In turbulent disks however, the outcome depends strongly on the value for \( \gamma \):

i) For \( 6 \times 10^{-5} \leq \gamma \leq 1.3 \times 10^{-4} \) (equivalent to \( 2 \times 10^{-4} \leq \alpha \leq 10^{-3} \) ), the planets tend to become locked in stronger \( p + 1: p \) resonances, with \( p \) increasing as the value for \( \gamma \) increases.

ii) In the case where \( \gamma \geq 1.9 \times 10^{-4} \) (equivalent to \( \alpha \geq 2 \times 10^{-3} \) ), we find that the planets can become temporarily trapped in a 8:7 commensurability, but this resonance is disrupted at later times and no stable resonance is formed.

Given that the volume averaged stress parameter deduced from MHD simulations is typically \( \alpha \sim 5 \times 10^{-3} \) (Papaloizou & Nelson 2003; Nelson 2005), these results suggest that mean motion resonances between planets in the Earth mass range are likely to be disrupted in the active zones of protoplanetary disks. For relatively low levels of turbulence however, as is the case for a dead-zone (Gammie 1996), a resonance can be maintained for moderate values of the planet mass ratio.

Such a scenario is broadly consistent with the preliminary analysis of \( \sim 170 \) multi-planetary systems candidates recently detected by Kepler (Lissauer et al. 2011) and which suggests that only a few of the observed adjacent pairings are either in or near a MMR. However, examination of the slope of the cumulative distribution of period ratios (Fig. 7 of Lissauer et al. 2011) also reveals an excess of planets with period ratios corresponding to the 2:1 or 3:2 commensurabilities. In that case, it appears that the neighboring planet candidates have masses within 20% of each other. This clearly supports our findings that in disks with moderate levels of turbulence, MMRS are stable provided the mass ratio between the neighboring planets is close to unity.

Since turbulence has a significant impact on the capture of two planets in the Earth mass range, it will be of interest to examine this issue using three-dimensional MHD simulations, which eventually include the presence of a dead-zone. We will address this issue in a future paper.
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