Independent Influence of Blood Pressure on QTc Interval: Results from a General Chinese Population
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Aims. We performed the current study primarily to characterize the independent association of blood pressure with heart rate-corrected QT (QTc) interval after adjusting for cardiovascular confounding factors and left ventricular mass (LVM) in a large general population in China.

Methods. All enrolled 10,553 permanent residents with age ≥35 years from Liaoning Province were investigated by a questionnaire and then subjected to physical examinations, laboratory analyses, and electrocardiogram (ECG) as well as echocardiogram at the same visit. Multivariate linear and logistic regression analyses were conducted to assess the independent association of blood pressure with QTc interval.

Results. Hypertensive subjects had significantly longer QTc interval and higher prevalence of prolonged QTc interval compared with normotensive ones in all subgroups stratified by gender and left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH) (all \( P \)s ≤ 0.001). Multiple relevant clinical confounding factors and LVM were all adjusted in the multivariate linear and logistic regression analyses. As a result, both systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) were independently associated with QTc interval (\( \beta = 0.12 \) and 0.16, respectively; \( P \)s < 0.001). Furthermore, as categorical variables, hypertension was independently associated with prolonged QTc interval (OR = 1.71; \( P \) < 0.001). Sex-specific analyses revealed that the independent associations were detected in both males and females (all \( P \)s < 0.001).

Conclusions. These key findings of the current study highlighted the fact that hypertension was significantly associated with prolonged QTc interval and the correlations were independent of confounding factors and LVM.

1. Introduction

The prolongation of the heart rate-corrected QT (QTc) interval on electrocardiogram (ECG) may cause a number of adverse outcomes, including ventricular arrhythmias, sudden cardiac death, and all-cause mortality [1–4]. To help make population-based intervention strategies for this serious problem, great efforts have been made to identify the risk factors for QTc interval prolongation. Left ventricular hypertrophy (LVH), which can be assessed by echocardiography, has been considered as an independent risk factor for incident ventricular arrhythmias and sudden cardiac death [5, 6], possibly due to its influence on the prolonged QTc interval and increased QT dispersion [7, 8].

Elevated blood pressure is a well-known key factor for LVH. Previous population-based studies proposed a significant positive relationship between blood pressure and QTc interval [9, 10]. However, no data to date has showed whether the prolongation of QTc interval in hypertension is independent of the increase of left ventricular mass (LVM) or not. More interesting is that one recent research argued that prolonged QT interval is associated with blood pressure rather than LVM in spontaneously hypertensive rats [11]. Therefore, the present study was performed to explore the independent association of blood pressure with QTc interval and to determine whether this relationship depends on LVM in a large general population in China.

2. Materials and Methods

A multistage, random, stratified, cluster-sampling scheme was performed in this study. The details about research design, data collection, and measurements have been described previously [12]. This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of China Medical University, and all the procedures were performed in accordance with the ethical standards. Written consent was obtained from all
participants or their proxies after they had been informed of the objectives, benefits, medical items, and confidentiality agreement of personal information. All of the eligible permanent residents aged ≥ 35 years from each village were invited to attend the study, with a response rate of 85.3% (11,956/14,016). Participants with pregnancy, malignant tumor, and severe mental disorders were excluded from the present study. Then a total of 1,403 subjects with missing data, poor echocardiogram or ECG quality, complete left or right bundle branch block, atrial fibrillation, or ventricular paced rhythm were excluded from the study, leaving 10,553 subjects for the final analyses (4,775 males and 5,778 females).

Weight and height were measured to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively, with the participants in lightweight clothing without shoes. The body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight in kilograms divided by the square of height in meters. Blood pressure was measured three times at two-minute intervals after at least five minutes of rest using a standardized automatic electronic sphygmomanometer (HEM-907; Omron, Kyoto, Japan) according to the American Heart Association. Two doctors calibrated the Omron device every month using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer according to the British Hypertension Society protocol [13]. The mean of three measurements was calculated and used in all analyses. In accordance with the JNC 7 Guidelines [14], hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg and/or a diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg and/or the use of antihypertensive medications.

Fasting blood samples were collected in the morning after at least 8 h of fasting for all participants. Blood samples were obtained from an antecubital vein using BD Vacutainer tubes containing EDTA (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Serum was subsequently isolated from tubes containing EDTA (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA). Serum was subsequently isolated from tubes containing EDTA (Becton, Dickinson and Co., Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA), and was excluded from the analysis, leaving a total of 10,553 participants (4,775 males and 5,778 females) for the final analyses. Table 1 presents the baseline characteristics of the study population according to QTc interval. The subjects with prolonged QTc interval were older with a lower percentage of men compared to those with normal QTc interval (Ps < 0.001). Participants with prolonged QTc interval had significantly higher levels of BMI, SBP, DBP, FBG, TC, TG, LDL-C, SUA, calcium, LVMI, and heart rate and lower levels of potassium (all Ps < 0.05). In addition, they had a lower percentage of alcohol drinkers and had higher proportions of heart disease history and self-reported medication use (all Ps < 0.05). Furthermore, participants with prolonged QTc interval exhibited a higher prevalence of LVH than those with normal QTc interval (P < 0.001).

Table 2 presents the multivariate linear regression analyses for the association between SBP, DBP, and QTc interval. Three models were adopted to explore the linear relationships. Among the total population, a significant correlation was identified between SBP, DBP, and QTc interval following adjustments for age, gender, race, BMI, FBG, TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, SUA, current smoking and drinking status, serum calcium, potassium and magnesium, heart rate, history of heart disease, any medication used, and LVMI (β = 0.12 and 0.16 for SBP and DBP, respectively; Ps < 0.001). Similar trends were found among both males and females (all Ps < 0.001).

3. Results

Of the original 11,956 participants, 1,403 subjects were excluded from the analysis, leaving a total of 10,553 participants (4,775 males and 5,778 females) for the final analyses. The mean QTc interval and prevalence of prolonged QTc interval by hypertension and LVH were calculated and presented. Multivariate linear and logistic regression analyses were conducted for the independent associations of elevated blood pressure with QTc interval prolongation. Data were expressed as odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI), β and 95% CI, mean ± standard deviation, or percentage; a P < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.
Table 1: Characteristics of the study population.

| Variable       | Normal QTC (n = 9,182) | Prolonged QTC (n = 1,371) | P value |
|----------------|------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Age, years     | 53.2 ± 10.3            | 56.8 ± 10.8               | < 0.001 |
| Male           | 4,239 (46.2)           | 536 (39.1)                | < 0.001 |
| Race of Han    | 8,708 (94.8)           | 1,303 (95.0)              | 0.751   |
| BMI, kg/m²     | 24.7 ± 3.6             | 25.3 ± 3.9                | < 0.001 |
| SBP, mmHg      | 139.9 ± 22.5           | 152.6 ± 25.5              | < 0.001 |
| DBP, mmHg      | 81.3 ± 11.3            | 86.5 ± 13.0               | < 0.001 |
| Hypertension   | 4,359 (47.5)           | 982 (71.6)                | < 0.001 |
| FBG, mmol/L    | 5.84 ± 1.50            | 6.31 ± 2.27               | < 0.001 |
| TC, mmol/L     | 5.21 ± 1.07            | 5.40 ± 1.16               | < 0.001 |
| TG, mmol/L     | 1.59 ± 1.39            | 1.97 ± 1.96               | < 0.001 |
| LDL-C, mmol/L  | 2.90 ± 0.81            | 3.05 ± 0.85               | < 0.001 |
| HDL-C, mmol/L  | 1.41 ± 0.38            | 1.40 ± 0.40               | 0.441   |
| SUA, mg/dL     | 4.87 ± 1.40            | 4.99 ± 1.53               | 0.007   |
| Calcium, mmol/L| 2.32 ± 0.13            | 2.34 ± 0.12               | < 0.001 |
| Potassium, mmol/L | 4.21 ± 0.34 | 4.09 ± 0.38 | < 0.001 |
| Magnesium, mmol/L | 0.85 ± 0.11 | 0.85 ± 0.08 | 0.117   |
| Current smoker | 3,243 (35.3)           | 474 (34.6)                | 0.590   |
| Current drinker| 2,073 (22.6)           | 264 (19.3)                | 0.006   |
| LVIDd, cm      | 4.70 ± 0.41            | 4.74 ± 0.45               | 0.001   |
| IVS, cm        | 0.87 ± 0.12            | 0.91 ± 0.14               | < 0.001 |
| PWT, cm        | 0.85 ± 0.10            | 0.88 ± 0.12               | < 0.001 |
| LVMI, g/m²     | 811 ± 18.0             | 86.5 ± 21.7               | < 0.001 |
| LVH            | 837 (9.1)              | 251 (18.3)                | < 0.001 |
| Heart rate, bpm| 70.3 ± 11.6            | 79.9 ± 13.1               | < 0.001 |
| QTc interval, ms | 423.3 ± 19.2         | 469.5 ± 18.9              | < 0.001 |
| History of heart disease† | 830 (9.0) | 210 (15.3) | < 0.001 |
| Medication used‡ | 4,745 (51.7)          | 870 (63.5)                | < 0.001 |

Abbreviations. BMI: body mass index; DBP: diastolic blood pressure; FBG: fasting blood glucose; HDL-C: high density lipid cholesterol; IVS: interventricular septum; LDL-C: low density lipid cholesterol; LVH: left ventricular hypertrophy; LVIDd: left ventricular internal diameter at end-diastole; LVMI: left ventricular mass index; PWT: posterior wall thickness; QTc: QT interval corrected for heart rate with Bazett’s formula; SBP: systolic blood pressure; TC: total cholesterol; TG: triglycerides.

Note. Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation or n (%).
†Including coronary heart disease, arrhythmia, and heart failure.
‡Indicating any self-reported medication used in the past 2 weeks.

4. Discussion

In the current large sample of general permanent residents in China, we firstly evaluated the effects of hypertension on prolonged QTc interval. As a result, the mean level of QTc interval increased with elevating blood pressure in both sexes, which was in line with previous studies [10,17]. Hypertension was a pandemic clinical issue and our results identified a higher prevalence of prolonged QTc interval in hypertensive subjects no matter whether they have LVH or not. After adjusting for multiple clinical covariates, hypertension was found significantly and independently associated with prolonged QTc interval. Along with the adding of LVMI/LVH in the multivariate regression models, the results remained significant although the positive associations were attenuated. In addition, fairly slight decreases in β and OR caused by the adjusting of LVMI/LVH demonstrated that the main effects of blood pressure on QTc interval were not mediated by LVM.

Our findings were consistent with but not the same as previous ones. One study with large sample from Japan detected a positive relationship between blood pressure and QTc interval [9], but it was conducted only in 80-year-old subjects and the association was statistically significant only for SBP. Another study from China demonstrated the significant correlations of SBP and DBP with QTc interval...
in both sexes [10]. However, the sample size was quite limited and all study subjects were hypertensive patients. Furthermore, these studies drew their conclusions without proper regard to echocardiographic LVH, which could be caused by high level of blood pressure and often coexisted with hypertension. Considerable studies have proved that QT interval was positively associated with LVM and explored as a potential supplementary measure for the diagnosis of echocardiographic LVH [18, 19]. Thus, LVM should be considered during exploring the independent correlation. Therefore, a large sample of general residents older than 35 years with the data of clinical factors, ECG, and echocardiogram all collected at the same visit is the major strength of our study.

Previous studies speculated that one of the underlying mechanisms for the influence of blood pressure on QTc interval might be the chronic changes in the myocardium, such as increased LVM due to continuous high afterload [20, 21]. However, it is a controversial topic. The recent animal experiments showed that prolonged QT interval is associated with blood pressure rather than LVM in spontaneously hypertensive rats [11]. Accordingly, we additionally adjusted LVMI/LVH as well as multiple relevant clinical confounding factors in the final model of regression analyses. As a result, the associations between blood pressure and QTc interval were attenuated only a little and remained significant. Therefore, we summarized a novel viewpoint that the effect of blood pressure on QTc interval was not mainly dependent on the increase of LVM. In addition, autonomic nervous system might be another factor modulating QTc interval [22, 23]. Sympathetic activation could lead to QTc interval prolongation, whereas parasympathetic activation might decrease the QTc interval [24, 25]. It has also been proved that high sympathetic nervous activity usually existed in hypertension [26]. The individual autonomic activity and hemodynamic adaptation may be another potential mechanism linking QTc and blood pressure. Heart rate has been considered as a fine index reflecting the balance between the sympathetic and parasympathetic limbs of the autonomic nervous system [27]. For this reason, we also adjusted the heart rate in
Table 2: Multivariate linear regression for association between blood pressure and QTc interval (ms).

| Group | Model 1 | | | Model 2 | | | Model 3 | |
|-------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
|       | β (95% CI) | P value | β (95% CI) | P value | β (95% CI) | P value | β (95% CI) | P value |
| Total  |         | | |         | | |         | | |
| SBP, mmHg | 0.23 (0.21–0.25) | < 0.001 | 0.14 (0.12–0.16) | < 0.001 | 0.12 (0.10–0.14) | < 0.001 |         |       |
| DBP, mmHg | 0.46 (0.42–0.50) | < 0.001 | 0.20 (0.16–0.24) | < 0.001 | 0.16 (0.12–0.20) | < 0.001 |         |       |
| Male   |         | | |         | | |         | | |
| SBP, mmHg | 0.28 (0.25–0.31) | < 0.001 | 0.19 (0.16–0.21) | < 0.001 | 0.16 (0.13–0.19) | < 0.001 |         |       |
| DBP, mmHg | 0.56 (0.51–0.62) | < 0.001 | 0.25 (0.20–0.30) | < 0.001 | 0.20 (0.14–0.25) | < 0.001 |         |       |
| Female |         | | |         | | |         | | |
| SBP, mmHg | 0.19 (0.16–0.22) | < 0.001 | 0.11 (0.09–0.14) | < 0.001 | 0.09 (0.07–0.12) | < 0.001 |         |       |
| DBP, mmHg | 0.37 (0.32–0.42) | < 0.001 | 0.15 (0.10–0.20) | < 0.001 | 0.12 (0.07–0.17) | < 0.001 |         |       |

Abbreviations as in Table 1.
Note. Model 1: adjusted for age, gender, race, SBP, and DBP; Model 2: additional adjustments for BMI, FBG, TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, SUA, current smoking, drinking status, serum calcium, potassium and magnesium, heart rate, history of heart disease, and any medication used; Model 3: additional adjustments for LV ML.
Table 3: Logistic regression analyses for association between hypertension and prolonged QTc interval.

| Group   | Prolonged QTc n (%) | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 |
|---------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
|         | OR (95% CI)          | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value | OR (95% CI) | P value |
| Total   |                      |         |         |         |
| Normotension | 389 (7.5)          | 1       |         |         |
| Hypertension | 982 (18.4)          | 2.48 (2.17–2.82) | < 0.001 | 1.79 (1.55–2.07) | < 0.001 | 1.71 (1.48–1.98) | < 0.001 |
| Male    |                      |         |         |         |
| Normotension | 120 (5.4)           | 1       |         |         |
| Hypertension | 416 (16.3)          | 2.99 (2.40–3.72) | < 0.001 | 2.14 (1.69–2.72) | < 0.001 | 2.03 (1.60–2.59) | < 0.001 |
| Female  |                      |         |         |         |
| Normotension | 269 (9.0)           | 1       |         |         |
| Hypertension | 566 (20.3)          | 2.23 (1.89–2.63) | < 0.001 | 1.63 (1.36–1.95) | < 0.001 | 1.56 (1.30–1.88) | < 0.001 |

Abbreviations. CI: confidence interval; OR: odds ratio; QTc: QT interval corrected for heart rate with Bazett’s formula.
Note. Model 1: adjusted for age, gender, and race; Model 2: additional adjustments for BMI, FBG, TC, TG, LDL-C, HDL-C, SUA, current smoking, drinking status, serum calcium, potassium and magnesium, heart rate, history of heart disease, and any medication used; Model 3: additional adjustments for LVH.
the multivariate regression analyses to alleviate its potential mediating effect and to verify the independent relationship between blood pressure and QTc interval.

However, some limitations exist in our study. First, this was a cross-sectional design without examining the longitudinal associations of hypertension with QTc interval. Second, all the enrolled participants were from the same province, so the results could not apply to all of China or other populations elsewhere. Third, only subjects ≥ 35 years of age were included in the current study. Fourth, since the digital ECGs were analyzed by the MUSE system automatically, there might be some systematic errors in measurement that could not be ruled out. In addition, only the Bazett formula was used for the calculation of QTc interval, which might bring some bias even though heart rate was adjusted.

5. Conclusions
In this study, subjects with hypertension had relatively longer QTc interval and higher prevalence of prolonged QTc interval. After multiple relevant clinical confounding factors and LVM were all adjusted, we found that hypertension was independently and significantly associated with prolonged QTc interval.
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