Impact of in vitro gastrointestinal digestion on peptide profile and bioactivity of cooked and non-cooked oat protein concentrates
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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

Oat (Avena sativa) is one of the most cultivated and consumed cereals worldwide. Recognized among cereals for its high protein content (12%–24%), it makes it an excellent source of bioactive peptides, which could be modified during processes such as heating and gastrointestinal digestion (GID). This work aims to evaluate the impact of heat treatment on the proteolysis of oat proteins and on the evolution of antioxidant peptide released during in vitro static GID, in terms of comparative analysis between cooked oat protein concentrate (COPC) and non-heated oat protein concentrate (OPC) samples. The protein extraction method and cooking procedure used showed no detrimental effects on protein quality. After GID, the proportion of free amino acids/dipeptides (<0.2 kDa) reached >40% for both samples (OPC and COPC), thus producing peptides with low molecular weight and enhanced bioactivity. Furthermore, during GID, the amino acid profile showed an increase in essential, positively-charged, hydrophobic and aromatic amino acids. At the end of GID, the reducing power of OPC and COPC increased >0.3 and 8-fold, respectively, in comparison to the non-digested samples; while ABTS\textsuperscript{+} and DPPH\textsuperscript{+} showed a >20-fold increase. Fe\textsuperscript{2+} chelating capacity of OPC and COPC was enhanced >4 times; similarly, Cu\textsuperscript{2+} chelation showed a >19-fold enhancement for OPC and >10 for COPC. β-carotene bleaching activity was improved 0.8 times in OPC and >9 times in COPC; the oxygen radical antioxidant capacity assay increased 2 times in OPC and >4.7 times in COPC, respectively. This study suggests that OPC after cooking and GID positively influenced the nutritional and bioactive properties of oat peptides. Thus, COPC could be used as a functional food ingredient with health-promoting effects, as hydrothermal treatment is frequently used for this type of cereals.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the interest in consuming healthy foods has risen. Current’s food market demands high-protein or protein enriched ingredients, and this trend has been increasing due to sustainability concerns related to animal proteins production. Thus, causing an increased demand for emerging foods that, in addition to their nutritional value, also exert preventive effects against various health conditions (Boukid et al., 2018). In this way, plant sources, have taken special interest among allergenic sources of protein (Løvastity, 1996; Klose, 2012; Mäkinen et al., 2020). Oat (Avena sativa L.) is the fifth most-cultivated cereal in the world (Guerrieri, 2018; FAO, 2019), and it is well-known as a source rich in bioactive compounds, including β-glucan, arabinoxylan, oligosaccharides, polyphenols, avenanthramides, indole-gramine alkaloids, flavonolignans, triterpenoid, saponins, sterols and tocols (Cui and Liu, 2014).

In addition to these bioactive compounds, the oat protein content has been reported at between 12.4% and 24.5%, composed mainly of globulins (12%–80%), glutelins (23%–54%), albumins (10%–20%), and avenins (12%–14%). Additionally, oats are considered one of the least allergenic sources of protein (Løvastity, 1996; Klose, 2012; Mäkinen et al., 2020).
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2017; Kosová et al., 2020). Oat peptides are valuable ingredients with industrial interest for novel food products (Mäkinen et al., 2017; Brücker-Güthmann et al., 2019).

Producing protein concentrates/isolates from oat grain requires methods such as alkaline extraction, isoelectric precipitation, enzymatic hydrolysis (carbohydrases/proteases) and ultrafiltration; thus, in this way starch, fiber and some other non-desirable compounds can be partially and/or completely removed (Mäkinen et al., 2017; Esfandiari et al., 2019). Thermal processes, such as extrusion, baking and cooking, are usually employed before the consumption of oat products and thus directly influence the physicochemical, sensory, nutritional and bioactivity of bioactive compounds, especially peptides (Chonak et al., 2004; Saldanha do Carmo et al., 2019). When heated, oat proteins undergo conformational changes, because the physical forces that favor unfolding increase above those that favor folding. In this way, non-polar amino acids (typically located in the hydrophobic interior of poly-peptides) may be exposed to the aqueous phase (Chonak et al., 2004). Heat treatments may also modify the soluble protein content, monomer-aggregate ratio and relative amino acid distribution of some oat proteins by unfolding, chemical modification and/or reduction of amino acids associated to prolamins and albumins, but also, heating has a selective impact on the different oat protein fractions, for example, increasing hydrophobic amino acid residues of globulins that influence negatively the protein solubility (Guerrier, 2018; Mäkinen et al., 2017; Gorinstein et al., 1996; Zhao et al., 2004; Runyon et al., 2015). Despite the possible protein denaturation, the high thermal stability of oat proteins suggests that functionality can be retained in heat processed oat products (Gorinstein et al., 1996; Runyon et al., 2015; R Harwalkar and Ma, 1987).

Studies using in vitro digestion of oat proteins to mimic gastrointestinal digestion (GID) are reported in the literature, but the heterogeneity of protocols developed has produced discrepancies or conflicting results between research groups on the bioavailability, bioaccessibility, and bioactivity of released peptides (Villemejane et al., 2016; AlHasawi et al., 2018; Feng et al., 2019; Zhong et al., 2019). The multidisciplinary project INFOGEST published a harmonized protocol for a static in vitro GID (Minekus et al., 2014; Brodkorb et al., 2019), the results of which have been very similar to those observed in vivo for some protein-rich sources under bioethical principles (Tagliazucchi et al., 2016; Hiole et al., 2020; Sousa et al., 2020). This model has been used to study oat-material macro-compounds, e.g. β-glucan, fiber or lipids (Mackie et al., 2016; Colantonto et al., 2017; Grundy et al., 2017; Koromposki et al., 2018; Rieder et al., 2019), minerals (de Souza et al., 2019), contaminants (Assuão et al., 2016) and phytochemicals, such as β-carotene (Zhong et al., 2019), but there is limited research about oat peptides using this model. Therefore, the aim of this work is to evaluate the impact of the heat treatment on the proteolysis of oat proteins and on the evolution of the profile of antioxidant active peptides generated at different stages of static GID under these conditions, in terms of comparative analysis between heated and non-heated oat protein concentrate (OPC) samples.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Biological material and reagents

Oat (Avena sativa L. var. Navarro) seeds provide by the Modern Research and Development Centre (AIFAC) were grown in Brandon, Manitoba, Canada, in 2016 and stored at ~20°C. The reagents 2,2’-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS, A9941), di(phenyl)-(2,4,6-trinitrophenyl)iminoazanio (DPPH, D9132), β-carotene (C9750), 2,2’-azobis(2-amidinopurine), dicyrrochloride (AAPH, 440914), potassium sulphate (K2S2O8, 216224), Trolox (C238813), Tween® 20 (P7950), linoelic acid (L2376), potassium hexacyanoferrate (III) (K3Fe(CN)6, P8131), hexahydrated iron(III) chloride (FeCl3, 236489), SDS (151-21-3, BioShop®), Tween® 80 (S151-21-3, BioShop®), Canada Inc., Burlington, ON, Canada), β-mercaptoethanol (M3148), calcium chloride (CaCl2, 449709), sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO3, S6297), D-tryptophan (T-9753), fluorescein (F46960) and protein/peptide standards mix composed of cytochrome c (12 kDa, C2436), aprotinin (6.5 kDa, A1250000), vitamin B12 (1.3 kDa, V2876) and L-carnosine dipeptide (0.2 kDa, C9625) were used for size-exclusion chromatography (H2016); enzymes α-amylase from porcine pancreas (EC 3.2.1.1, A3176), pepsin from porcine gastric mucosa (EC 3.4.24.3.1, P7012), trypsin from porcine pancreas (EC 3.4.21.4, T3030), α-chymotrypsin from bovine pancreas (EC 3.4.21.1, C1429), lipase from porcine pancreas Type II (EC 3.1.1.3, L3126) and bile salt (88631) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA), unless otherwise indicated. Laemmli buffer (161-0747), Tris-Tricine (161-0744) and Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 (161-0436) solution were supplied by Bio-Rad (BioRad Laboratories, Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada).
30% EtOH (v/v) solution. As a molecular marker, Precision Plus Protein™ standard (10–250 kDa, Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., CA, USA) was used.

2.5. In vitro gastrointestinal digestion

OPC and COPC were digested in three stages (oral, gastric and intestinal phases) according to the harmonized INFOGEST 2.0 protocol of static in vitro GI conditions, including enzymes, CaCl2 and the simulated oral (SOF), gastric (SGF) and intestinal (SIF) fluids described by Brodkorb et al. (2019), with slight modifications. Briefly, freeze-dried samples were stirred in water (1:2, w/v) and an aliquot of 5 mL was taken as a non-digested (ND) aliquot. Food-mix was dissolved in SOF (1:1, v/v) at pH 7.0 to add 75 U of α-amylase/mL and stirred at 37 °C for 2 min. Then, 5 mL was taken as an oral-phase aliquot. To simulate the gastric phase (GP), oral-phase bolus was mixed with SGF (1:1, v/v) at 37 °C and adjusted to pH 3 before adding 2000 U of porcine pepsin/mL. Gastric phase (GP) aliquots were taken each 30 min for 2 h. Afterwards, gastric digested food was dissolved in SIF (1:1, v/v), adjusted pH to 7.0, trypsin (100 U/mL), α-chymotrypsin (25 U/mL), α-amylase (200 U/mg of carbohydrates in sample), lipase (2000 U/mg of fat in sample) and bile salt (10 mM) were added to the intestinal phase (IP). Intestinal phase aliquots were taken every 30 min for 2 h. All aliquots were adjusted to pH 7.0 and heated at 75–80 °C for 15 min in order to inactivate enzymes.

2.6. Size-exclusion chromatography

Size-exclusion high-performance liquid chromatography (SEC-HPLC) was carried out in a 1200 Series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) and a Superdex® Peptide 10/300 GL column (30 × 10 cm, 13 μm avg. size particle) (GE Healthcare, Uppsala, Sweden). Samples were eluted under an isocratic flow of 0.4 mL/min of 100% phosphate buffered saline (0.138 M NaCl, 0.0027 M KCl, pH 7.4) (Neo-gen® Corporation, MI, USA) at a pressure of 400 bar. The sample volume was 15 μL (1 mg protein/mL) and the analysis was performed at 220 nm. All samples were performed in triplicate.

2.7. Amino acid analysis

The amino acid analysis of the different samples was conducted in accordance with the Agilent method (Long, 2015). Briefly, 4 mg of protein of undigested OF, OPC and COPC, and 1.0 mL of digestates were hydrolyzed with 6 N HCl containing 0.1% phenol and Norvaline (as internal standard) for 24 h at 110 °C in glass tubes sealed under vacuum. The hydrolyzed samples were cooled to room temperature and solutions evaporated with nitrogen until dryness. Once dry, the amino acids were dissolved by the addition of 10 mM sodium borate buffer (pH 8.2, containing 0.1% (w/v) HCl) and then filtered with 0.22 μm PVDF filters (low protein binding) (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Analysis was performed using an Agilent Poroshell HPH-C18 reversed-phase column monitored with Agilent 1200 series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies Canada Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada), utilizing an automatic post-column OPA (Agilent Technologies Inc., Mississauga, ON, Canada) and used for the construction of the calibration curves. The elution times of each amino acid in analyzed samples were compared to those of the standard, and the amount of each amino acid was calculated in mg/g based on the peak area.

2.8. Determination of nutritional parameters

2.8.1. In vitro protein digestibility-corrected amino acid score

An in vitro protein digestibility assay was also performed on each sample provided (Hsu et al., 1977; Tinus et al., 2012). Initially, the equivalent of 62.5 mg of protein of each test sample (OF, OPC and COPC) was added to 8 mL Milli-Q water and heated to 37 °C, and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 8.0. Simultaneously, a multi-enzyme cocktail including 3.1 mg/mL chymotrypsin (bovine pancreas > 40 U/mg protein), 1.6 mg/mL trypsin (porcine pancreas 13,000–20,000 BAEE U/mg protein) and 1.3 mg/mL protease (Streptomyces griseus > 15 U/mg solid) was prepared in 10 mL Milli-Q water, heated to 37 °C and adjusted to pH 8. A 1 mL portion of the enzyme cocktail was transferred to the sample solution, and the resultant pH drop was recorded every 30 s for 10 min. The in vitro protein digestibility (IVPD) was calculated as follows, where the ΔpH(amin) is the change in pH in 10 min from the initial pH of about 8.0:

\[
IVPD\% = 65.66 + 18.10 \times \Delta pH_{amin}
\]

Meanwhile the in vitro protein-digestibility corrected amino acid score (PDCAAS) was calculated as a product of the amino acid score and IVPD% (Nosworthy et al., 2017).

2.8.2. Determination of nutritional parameters

a) Amino acid score (AAS) was calculated using the FAO/WHO/UNU (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985) reference pattern and using the following equation:

\[
AAS = \frac{\text{mg of amino acids in 1 g total protein}}{\text{mg of amino acids in requirement pattern}} \times 100
\]

b) Essential amino acid index (EAAI) was calculated using the amino acid composition of a standard (whole egg protein) (Amza et al., 2013):

\[
\text{EAAI} = \sqrt{\frac{[\text{Lys} \times \text{Thr} \times \text{Val} \times \text{Met} \times \text{Ile} \times \text{Leu} \times \text{Phe} \times \text{His} \times \text{Trp}]}{[\text{Lys} \times \text{Thr} \times \text{Val} \times \text{Met} \times \text{Ile} \times \text{Leu} \times \text{Phe} \times \text{His} \times \text{Trp}]}^{a}}
\]

where “a” represents the content of amino acids in test sample and “b” the content of the same amino acids in the standard (%).

c) Predicted biological value (BV) was calculated according to Amza et al. (2013) using the following equation:

\[
BV = 1.09(\text{EAAI}) - 11.7
\]

d) Protein efficiency ratio (PER) values were obtained from the amino acid composition of oat samples based on the following five equations (Amza et al., 2013):

\[
\text{PER} = 1 - \frac{0.684 + 0.456(\text{Leu}) - 0.047(\text{Pro})}{\text{Pro}}
\]
PER 2 = −0.468 + 0.454(Leu) − 0.105(Tyr)
PER 3 = −1.816 + 0.435(Met) + 0.780(Leu) + 0.211(His) − 0.944(Tyr)
PER 4 = 0.08084(Thr + Val + Met + Ile + Leu + Phe + Lys) − 0.1094
PER 5 = 0.06320(Thr + Val + Met + Ile + Leu + Phe + Lys + His + Arg + Tyr) − 0.1539

2.9. Antioxidant in vitro bioactivity

2.9.1. Reducing power
Reducing power was determined according to Oyaizu (1988) with modifications. Samples (50 μL, 0.1 mg protein/mL) were added to 50 μL 0.2 M phosphate buffer (pH 6.6) and 50 μL 1% K3Fe(CN)6 and incubated with shaking at 50 °C for 20 min. Plates were incubated for another 10 min at 50 °C after addition of 50 μL 10% TCA and 10 μL 0.1% FeCl3, and absorbance was read at 700 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA). Results were expressed as absorbance at 700 nm.

2.9.2. Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity (TEAC) assay
The ABTS radical cation (ABTS\(^*\)) discoloration assay was performed according to Re et al. (1999) with some modifications. The ABTS\(^*\) was produced by reaction of ABTS (7 mM in water) with potassium persulfate (220 μM final concentration) in the dark at room temperature for more than 12 h. Prior to the assay, the solution was diluted in EtOH and equilibrated at room temperature to give an absorbance of 0.70 ± 0.02 at 734 nm. A Trolox standard curve (0–700 μM of Trolox/mL) was prepared in EtOH. Samples (20 μL, 0.1 mg protein/mL) were incubated for 6 min with 220 μL of ABTS\(^*\) radical cation solution before measuring absorbance at 734 nm. The TEAC was expressed as μM of Trolox equivalents/μg of protein.

2.9.3. DPPH radical scavenging activity
Antioxidant capacity was also measured using the free DPPH radical method according to Liao et al. (2012) with modifications. Samples of 125 μL (0.1 mg protein/mL) were placed with 125 μL of 0.1 mM of DPPH solution (0.0394 μg/μL of MeOH) per well, mixed for 30 min at room temperature and read at 517 nm. The DPPH scavenging activity was calculated into a Trolox curve (0–1000 μM of Trolox/mL), and results were expressed as μM of Trolox equivalents/μg of protein.

2.9.4. Iron chelating activity
Fe\(^{2+}\) chelating activity was determined by measuring the formation of the Fe\(^{2+}\)-ferrozine complex (Carter, 1971). Volumes of 25 μL of each sample (0.1 mg protein/mL) were mixed with 225 μL of buffer solution and 30 μL FeCl\(_2\) (0.01%, w/v). Ferrozine (12.5 μL, 40 mM) was added after incubation for 10 min at room temperature. Binding of Fe(II) ions to ferrozine generates a colored complex that was measured at 562 nm using a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA). Iron chelating activity was calculated as:

\[
\% \text{Chelating activity} = \frac{\text{ABS}_{\text{control}} - \text{ABS}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{ABS}_{\text{control}}} \times 100
\]

where ABS\(_{\text{control}}\) is the absorbance of the control and ABS\(_{\text{sample}}\) is the absorbance of the sample.

2.9.5. Copper chelating activity
Cu\(^{2+}\) chelating activity was determined according to Saiga et al. (2003). Sodium acetate buffer, pH 6 (290 μL, 50 mM), 6 μL 4 mM pyrocatechol violet prepared in the same buffer, and CuSO\(_4\cdot5\text{H}_2\text{O}\) (10 μg) were added to the hydrolysates (20.5 μL, 0.1 mg protein/mL). Absorbance at 632 nm was measured using a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments, VT, USA). Copper chelating activity was calculated as described above for iron.

2.9.6. β-carotene bleaching method
Antioxidant activity was determined by measuring inhibition of β-carotene bleaching as described by Braca et al. (2001), with modifications. The reagent consisted of 1 mL β-carotene (2 mg/mL in chloroform), 20 mg of linoleic acid and 100 mg of Tween 20, that were vigorously mixed by vortexing and flushed with nitrogen gas in order to remove chloroform before addition of oxygen-sparged distilled water (100 mL). Samples (50 μL, 0.5 mg/mL protein) were added to 200 μL of the β-carotene reagent and incubated in the dark at 40 °C for 60 min before determination of absorbance at 450 nm. Antioxidant activity (AA) was calculated according to the following equation (Al-Saikhan et al., 1995):

\[
\text{PER} = \frac{L_0 \text{ABS}_{\text{control}} - \text{ABS}_{\text{sample}}}{60}
\]

where DR is the degradation rate among the absorbance at the beginning (ABS\(_{\text{control}}\)) and the end of the reaction (ABS\(_{\text{sample}}\)) for blank, control and samples. Using the DR, the antioxidant activity (AOx) was calculated as % inhibition relative to the control as:

\[
\% \text{Antioxidant activity(AA)} = \left(\frac{\text{DR}_{\text{control}} - \text{DR}_{\text{sample}}}{\text{DR}_{\text{control}}}\right) \times 100
\]

where DR\(_{\text{control}}\) is the degradation rate of β-carotene in the absence of sample. Results were expressed as percentage (% antioxidant activity).

2.9.7. Scavenging activity by ORAC assay
The oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC) assay was performed as previously described (Huang et al., 2010). The decreasing fluorescein (0.08 μM) absorbance by AAPH\(^*\) (150 mM) at 37 °C was recorded at 5-min intervals over 60 min using a BioTek™ fluorescent multi-mode microplate reader (Sinergy HTX, BioTek Instruments, VT, USA) with fluorescence filters (excitation 485 nm, emission 528 nm). Potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4, 75 mM) was used to dissolve samples (0.1–0.2 mg protein/mL or Trolox standards (0–100 μM). Data analysis was done using Gen5™ software (Fisher Scientific, Nepean, ON, Canada). Results were expressed as μM Trolox equivalent/μg of protein.

2.10. Statistical analysis
Data were reported as mean ± standard deviation of triplicate assays. Results were subjected to one-way ANOVA using Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) with a p < 0.05 in SigmaPlot Version 13.0 software (Systat Software Inc., San Jose, CA, USA).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Proximate composition
The proximate composition of OF, OPC and COPC is shown in Table 1. The protein content of the OF increased from 15.85 to 87.24 g/100 g dw for the OPC. Also, the cooking treatment did not affect the protein content of 11 g/100 g compared to those reported for red oat (A. byzantina) and common oat (A. sativa) flours, the parental varieties of this hybrid genotype (Biel et al., 2009; Beloshapka et al., 2016; Youssef et al., 2016). The total CHO content in oat flour was 73.21%, but after A-APE (aqueous-alkaline protein extraction) followed by IEP (isoelectric precipitation) it decreased significantly (p < 0.05) to 3.92% and remained constant after cooking (4.44%).
**Table 1**
Proximate composition of OF (oat flour), OPC (oat protein concentrate) and COPC (cooked oat protein concentrate).

| SAMPLE | PROTEIN (%) | FAT (%) | MOISTURE (%) | ASH (%) | CHO* (%) |
|--------|-------------|---------|--------------|---------|----------|
| OF     | 15.83 ±     | 7.92 ±  | 0.93 ± 0.02b | 2.11 ±  | 73.21 ±  |
|        | 0.20a       | 0.22a   |              | 0.01a   | 0.11a    |
| OPC    | 87.24 ±     | 4.44 ±  | 1.00 ± 0.02a | 3.40 ±  | 7.92 ±   |
|        | 4.84a       | 0.00a   |              | 0.02b   | 0.53b    |
| COPC   | 86.59 ±     | 4.54 ±  | 0.97 ± 0.08ab | 3.46 ±  | 4.44 ±   |
|        | 0.93a       | 0.01a   |              | 0.08a   | 0.43b    |

CHO*, Carbohydrates calculated by difference. Results are reported in dry weight (dw) and presented as mean ± S.D. (n = 3). Different letters in same column indicate significant differences (p<0.05).

Fig. 1. SDS-PAGE analysis of OF (oat flour), OPC (oat protein concentrate), COPC (cooked protein concentrate), under reducing conditions. Lanes M = MW marker (kDa). Protein bands: (A) 98 kDa: α- and β-albumin subunits; (B) 89 kDa: α- and β-albumin subunits; (C) 70 kDa: albumins; (D) 65 kDa: 7S globulins; (E) 52 kDa: 7S globulins; (F) 45 kDa: albumin subunits; (G) 40 kDa: albumin subunits; (H) 35 kDa: β-globulin subunits/avenins; (I) 30 kDa: β-globulin subunits/avenins; (J) 27 kDa: avenins; (K) 25 kDa: α-globulin subunits; (L) 22 kDa: α-globulin subunits; (M) 18 kDa: prolamin subunits; (N) 14 kDa: prolamin subunits; (O) 12 kDa: albumin subunits.

**3.2. SDS-PAGE of proteins**

The electrophoresis of oat proteins under reducing conditions displayed a wide range profile of high-MW proteins between 12 and 98 kDa (Fig. 1). The protein profile of COPC showed no noticeable modifications after cooking conditions used in this study. A 70-kDa albumin band was detected with a visible high intensity, since this protein has been reported as one of the major protein groups, observed in several oat genotypes (Rossi and Luthe, 1983; Ercili-Cura et al., 2015; Sunilkumar et al., 2017). Oat globulins are bands above 50 kDa (Sunilkumar et al., 2017), two bands of 65 and 52 kDa were observed in the three oat samples, these are associated to 7S globulin fraction. Nieto-Nieto et al. (2014), reported that 7S globulins are polypeptides ranging around 55 kDa, and a small presence of a fraction with a MW of 65 kDa. Functional properties and physicochemical characteristics of oat proteins are strongly influenced by high-temperature processing (Mohamed et al., 2009). Furthermore, oat globulin has a thermal denaturation temperature of 110 °C, which is clearly higher than the temperature used in this study, thus not showing a greater impact on the major storage proteins (Harwalkar and Ma, 1987). Compared to other proteins, globulins generally exhibit high denaturation temperatures, this has been attributed to strong hydrophobic interactions between subunits that strengthen at higher temperatures (Gorinstein et al., 1996). Zhao et al. (2004), studied the heat-induced aggregation of oat globulin, as a result of subjecting oat globulins upon heating at 100 °C, partial denaturation, dissociation into subunits and formation of soluble aggregates followed by formation of large insoluble aggregates may occur (60 min/110 °C), depending on the extent of thermal treatment (Zhao et al., 2004).

The presence of 89–98 kDa bands are related to α- and β-albumin subunits which are linked by disulfide bonds (under non-reducing conditions) (Ercili-Cura et al., 2015). A set of bands about 40–45 kDa could be identified as a group of albumin subunits (Ercili-Cura et al., 2015; Sunilkumar et al., 2017), which were detected in a similar intensity for the three oat protein samples, as well as β-globulin subunits (30–35 kDa). Avenins and other prolamins, which represent an average of 4%–15% of total proteins in oat cultivars, were found at 25–39 kDa (Sunilkumar et al., 2017). The α-subunits of globulins at 22–25 kDa were observed, as well as a group of prolamin subunits (14–18 kDa). Finally, at 12 kDa, another albumin subunit set was also detected, as reported by Ercili-Cura et al. (2015) and Sunilkumar et al. (2017).

The SDS-PAGE of oat samples revealed that the quality of oat proteins was not negatively affected by extraction and/or cooking processing. This rich diversity of proteins and protein subunits could suggest the potential health benefits of oat peptides on human health. Globulins, as the major proteins in oat, possess the highest number of bioactive peptides encoded within their parent sequences, such as antihypertensive and antidiabetic properties (Zheng et al., 2020), but also antioxidant properties (Ma et al., 2020), as well as avenins, being the only allergenic proteins present in oat (Vanli and Tsoi, 2016).

**3.3. SEC-HPLC of OPC and COPC digestates**

Fig. 2 shows the MW distribution of OPC (Fig. 2A) and COPC (Fig. 2B) through GID. In ND OPC, the molecules >12 kDa represented the highest proportion with 43.70%, while in COPC it was just 15.94%; meanwhile, in ND COPC, most of the proteins (46.33%) ranged between 12 and 98 kDa, and increased up to 6.57% for COPC. Afterwards, free amino acids and/or dipeptides of <0.2 kDa represented >10% in both samples. During GP, the <6.5 to >1.3 kDa oligopeptides and peptides increased from 44.02% to 52.40% in OPC, but these were also >50% in COPC at this stage. At the IP, the >12 kDa represented <3.5% in both samples; the <6.5 to >1.3 kDa oligopeptides/peptides fractions were 40%–44% in OPC and 38%–41% in COPC. The <12.0 to >6.5 kDa fractions decreased to 5.18% and 4.93% in OPC and COPC, respectively. Meanwhile, the
1.3 to 0.2 kDa small peptides fractions ranged between 9.41% and 20.67% in OPC and 13.23% and 19.22% in COPC. From the beginning to the end of GID, peptides of <6.5 kDa went from 42.72% to 92% of total peptide content, in which <1.4 kDa peptides are mostly digested peptides (~50%). This evidenced the gradual hydrolysis of proteins by GID enzymes. However, some of these released peptides could remain intact by exo- and endoproteases present in the brush border membrane (Zhang et al., 2018). Small peptides of 4-16 amino acid units with molecular weight of 0.4–2 kDa have shown antioxidant properties (Görgüç et al., 2020). Several studies have found that short peptides are easily absorbed using Caco-2 cell models after GID and exert biological effects such as antioxidant and metal-chelating activities (Zhang et al., 2018).

3.4. Amino acid profile

The amino acid composition of OF, digested and non-digested OPC and COPC is summarized in Table 2. The relative amount of essential amino acids (EAA) in OF was 32.23%, but it statistically (p < 0.05) increased to 36.40% after A-APE and to 62.88% after GID (OPC-IP120min). The EAA of ND COPC was 42.77% and did not show statistical differences (p < 0.05) with COPC-IP120min (47.19%). Moreover, the proportion of Lys (first limiting amino acid) is also influenced by A-APE, cooking and digestion, since Lys showed a proportion of 3.96% in OF, 7.29% in OPC-IP120min and 6.39% in COPC-IP120min (Supplementary Table 1). Meanwhile Thr, being the second limiting amino acid in oat, represented 3.85% in OF, 3.92% in OPC-IP120min and 2.61% in COPC-IP120min. Thermal (87–110 °C) and enzymatic hydrolysis have a major impact on albumins (rich in limiting amino acids and EAA), but not on globulins (poor in EAA) (Klose, 2012; Makinen et al., 2017; Gorinstein et al., 1996). Outstanding enhancements in EAA of COPC-IP120min were also observed for His, Met and Cys contents with 381%, 510% and 266%, respectively, in comparison with the EAA values from OF.

Positively-charged amino acids (PCAA) represented 13.41%, 13.67% and 14.22% in OF, OPC and COPC, respectively. However, after IP120min, OPC and COPC showed significant (p < 0.05) increase of PCAA (19.53% in OPC and 14.22% in COPC). In this case, neither the protein extraction and/or cooking processes had an effect on the increase of PCAA in the protein concentrates. However, GID had a significant impact on PCAA due to proteolysis and thus an increased exposure of these amino acids (Udenigwe and Aluko, 2011). Structural modifications during enzymatic hydrolysis increased the accessibility to R-groups of PCAA, which have a negative influence in reducing antioxidant power and radical scavenging activity (Espinosa-Paez et al., 2017).

Aromatic amino acids did not show statistical (p > 0.05) changes due to A-APE and cooking, as the values for OF, OPC and COPC represented about 8.72%, 10.46% and 10.10%, respectively. Even in COPC-IP120min, this value was 10.65%. Phe and Trp decreased with protein extraction
and cooking, but Tyr contributed to keeping the aromatic amino acids proportion after GID. Aromatic amino acids are also non-polar and could play an important role in peptide polarity and bioactivity, particularly of oat glutelins (Ma et al., 2020).

Hydrophobic amino acids represented 26.17% in OF and 30.17% in OPC, while the hydrothermal process increased it statistically (p < 0.05) to 33.18% in COPC. OPC-IP120min and COPC-IP120min showed values of 47.34% and 40.78%, respectively. It could be mainly due to the increment of Gly content (31.4%). The hydrophobic amino acids play a major role in antioxidant activity of DPPH and H2O2 radicals (Udenigwe and Aluko, 2011; Espinosa-Paez et al., 2017).

Sulphur-containing amino acids in OF, OPC and COPC represented 2.34%, 2.19% and 4.82%, respectively. The GID positively influenced the proportion of sulphur amino acids, since in OPC-IP120min it was 3.80%, while in COPC-IP120min it significantly (p < 0.05) increased up to 7.72%. Thus, cooking followed by GID promoted an increase of Met and Cys. These amino acids have a central role in antioxidant activity (e.g. H2O2-scavenging activity and superoxide radical scavenging activity) (Ude- nigwe and Aluko, 2011).

The nutritional quality and bioactive properties of oat peptides depend on their amino acid profile (Mäkinen et al., 2017; Youssef et al., 2016). According to available data, Glu (25%) is the most abundant non-essential amino acids in oat food products, followed by Asp (>8%), and the EAA Leu (7%) (Mäkinen et al., 2017). This agrees with our results, but after GID, the highest values consist not only of these amino acids, but also of Arg, Phe and Lys with contents of about 6%-13% of total amino acids. These last EAAs were reported as limiting EAA in unprocessed flour from naked oat grains (Bielt et al., 2009), but our results indicate that Lys is increased after protein extraction and GID. Oat, like other cereals, is considered as a poor source of Lys and other EAA (Mäkinen et al., 2017; Bielt et al., 2009; Youssef et al., 2016), but we demonstrated that during GID its content is higher than other non-limiting amino acids (e.g., Asp, Ser or Tyr). However, more studies are required to understand the behavior of individual amino acids and peptides released during GID, before and after absorption. For example, there are concerns related to the reduced bioavailability of some amino acids, such as Lys, that may be chemically transformed during the processing of foods (FAO/WHO/UNU, 1985). During GID, the complexity of food matrix and the cleaving activity of proteolytic enzymes, i.e., pepsin (on aromatic or dicarboxylic L-amino acids at Phe and Leu residues) and Glu (on creatine) and trypsin (its C-terminal Arg and Lys residues), are the main responsible of the half-life of intake bioproducts (which is relatively slow), compromising their bioaccessibility, bioavailability and bioactivity at intestinal epithelium level (Yap and Gan, 2020; Sun et al., 2019).

3.5. Nutritional parameters

The nutritional parameters of OF, OPC and COPC are shown in Table 3. The in vitro protein digestion (IVPD%) of OF was 85.13%, which was statistically (p < 0.05) increased to 96.34% after protein extraction, but then decreased to 92.78% in COPC. Our results were higher than those for flours from Fabaceae genera seeds (80.71%–84.10%) (Pastor-Cavada et al., 2014). The highest PDCAAS obtained was 60.0 for OPC and showed no statistical difference (p>0.05) after cooking (0.57). The PDCAAS of OPC and COPC presented higher values than those reported for four oat cultivars (PDCAAS 0.41 to 0.52) (Pedó et al., 1999) and similar values to the recommended PDCAAS for children aged 6 months to 3 years (PDCAAS 0.58) (Abellía et al., 2018). This increase might be attributed to the high percentage of Lys that was increased during processing and GID.

Table 2
Amino acids groups in OF (oat flour), OPC (oat protein concentrate) and COPC (cooked oat protein concentrate).

| SAMPLES | AMINO ACIDS (g/100 g protein) |
|---------|-------------------------------|
| Oat flour (OF) | EAA | PCAA | Aromatic | Hydrophobic | Sulphur |
| ND 32.3 ± 1.21 | 11.53 ± 0.11 | 8.72 ± 0.71 | 26.17 ± 1.01 | 2.34 ± 0.20 |
| OP 35.10 ± 2.14 | 18.72 ± 0.79 | 10.99 ± 1.41 | 29.27 ± 3.02 | 2.45 ± 0.54 |
| GP120min 66.96 ± 3.55 | 26.76 ± 1.52 | 18.06 ± 1.11 | 50.52 ± 3.94 | 4.86 ± 0.22 |
| GP60min 70.74 ± 3.12 | 28.83 ± 1.58 | 18.72 ± 1.21 | 53.34 ± 2.41 | 5.31 ± 0.31 |
| GP30min 67.59 ± 4.34 | 27.36 ± 1.53 | 18.12 ± 0.52 | 50.76 ± 2.62 | 5.01 ± 0.30 |
| GP120min 68.28 ± 4.28 | 27.36 ± 1.67 | 18.18 ± 0.98 | 51.60 ± 2.09 | 5.04 ± 0.28 |
| IP120min 61.44 ± 2.10 | 24.87 ± 1.48 | 16.65 ± 0.71 | 46.41 ± 1.88 | 3.90 ± 0.17 |
| IP60min 58.17 ± 3.19 | 23.67 ± 1.44 | 15.54 ± 0.15 | 44.04 ± 1.90 | 3.87 ± 0.24 |
| IP30min 59.10 ± 2.16 | 23.73 ± 1.32 | 15.63 ± 1.01 | 44.76 ± 1.91 | 3.99 ± 0.38 |
| IP120min 62.88 ± 2.69 | 24.69 ± 1.01 | 17.25 ± 0.29 | 47.34 ± 1.90 | 4.80 ± 0.31 |
| IP30min 62.77 ± 1.32 | 14.22 ± 1.11 | 10.10 ± 0.99 | 33.18 ± 2.43 | 4.82 ± 0.44 |
| OP 45.27 ± 2.17 | 15.01 ± 1.51 | 11.31 ± 0.89 | 35.72 ± 2.31 | 5.03 ± 0.54 |
| GP120min 34.47 ± 1.33 | 21.00 ± 2.06 | 6.51 ± 0.51 | 29.64 ± 2.01 | 4.56 ± 0.31 |
| GP60min 39.48 ± 1.45 | 17.91 ± 2.06 | 9.24 ± 0.86 | 29.97 ± 2.06 | 3.39 ± 0.30 |
| GP30min 39.64 ± 1.64 | 17.82 ± 1.01 | 9.72 ± 0.73 | 29.55 ± 2.03 | 3.54 ± 0.31 |
| GP120min 41.28 ± 1.39 | 26.37 ± 1.96 | 8.85 ± 0.97 | 36.36 ± 2.31 | 4.68 ± 0.21 |
| IP120min 59.91 ± 2.31 | 26.22 ± 1.01 | 14.01 ± 1.02 | 47.25 ± 2.55 | 4.62 ± 0.22 |
| IP60min 55.77 ± 2.06 | 25.05 ± 1.13 | 14.61 ± 1.13 | 43.02 ± 3.01 | 3.84 ± 0.27 |
| IP30min 48.66 ± 1.64 | 29.97 ± 1.52 | 11.67 ± 1.08 | 40.05 ± 3.04 | 4.53 ± 0.33 |
| IP120min 47.19 ± 1.78 | 27.03 ± 1.00 | 10.65 ± 1.00 | 40.78 ± 3.21 | 7.80 ± 0.29 |

ND: non-digested sample; OP: oral phase; GP: gastric phase; IP: intestinal phase. EAA (Essential AA): Phe + His + Ile + Lys + Thr + Val + Leu + Trp + Met + Cys; PCAA (Positively charged AA): Arg + Lys + His; Aromatic: Phe + Tyr + Trp; Hydrophobic: Ala + Ile + Leu + Val + Gly + Pro + Phe; Sulphur (Sulphur-containing amino acids): Met + Cys. Different letters in same column indicate significant differences (p<0.05) under Fisher’s test.
due to protein extraction as through the concentration or isolation of the proteins. This will also impact the amino acid composition and digestibility of the protein and thus the protein quality.

The amino acid scores (AAS%) for OF, OPC, and COPC were 115.22%, 115.51% and 120.71%, respectively, with non-statistical differences between them ($p < 0.05$). Our AAS% values were, at least two times higher than those reported for other oat cultivars (50.0–60.2%) (Abelilla et al., 2018) but ranged between those reported for rich protein-rich Fabae seeds (100.15%–123.03%) (Pastor-Cavada et al., 2014; Parya Samaei et al., 2020). The essential amino acid index (EAAI%) for OF was 44.55% and 45.92% for OPC, showing no statistical differences ($p < 0.05$). However, for COPC it was statistically higher ($p < 0.05$) with 88.14%, and thus the heating step dramatically increased (>95%) the EAAI% of OPC. As mentioned previously, hydrothermal and enzymatic digestion have a drastic effect on albumins rich in EAA, but a poor effect on globulins with low contents of these amino acids (Klose, 2012; Mäkinen et al., 2017; Gorinstein et al., 1996).

Our results were higher than the values reported for several Fabae beans (39.90%–41.75%) (Pastor-Cavada et al., 2014), but only COPC was higher than alcalase-trypsin hydrolyzed *Vicia faba* protein hydrolysates (69.95%–87.11%) and lower than individual hydrolysates of trypsin, pepsin and alcalase of *Vicia faba* protein alcalase of *Vicia faba* protein (88.34%–94.92%) (Parya Samaei et al., 2020). According to Amza et al. (2013), EAAI above 90% are assumed to be of good nutritional quality. However, COPC is close to this value, but it is necessary to evaluate this parameter on digested COPC. The biological values (BV%) of OF were 36.86%, 38.35% for OPC and 84.37% for COPC, being statistically different ($p < 0.01$) between them. The values of OF and OPC fall into legume Fabae values (26.40%–38.41%) (Pastor-Cavada et al., 2014), while COPC results are comparable to some faba bean protein hydrolysates (64.54%–91.76%) (Parya Samaei et al., 2020).

The theoretical protein efficiency ratio (PER), calculated using five equations, considers different amino acid contents (Amza et al., 2013). The PER results of the five equations showed that OF is statistically lower ($p < 0.05$) than OPC and COPC. The PER values in OF ranged between 0.97 and 2.37, while OPC showed values between 1.72 and 2.89, and COPC showed 1.91–2.70. The PER is a quality index with values ranging from 0 (low protein quality) up to 2 and above (high protein quality) up to 2.70.

![Fig. 3. In vitro AOx bioactivity of OPC and COPC digestates. Light-green bars: oat protein concentrate; light-blue bars: cooked oat protein concentrate. ND: Non-digested sample; OP: Oral phase; GP: Gastric phase; IP: Intestinal phase. For Scavenging ABTS radical, Scavenging DPPH radical and ORAC assays: μMTE/μg protein, μM of Trolox equivalents/μg of protein, respectively. Same letters in the graphic indicate no statistical differences ($p < 0.05$). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)](image)
was statistically increased (\(p < 0.05\)) up to GID end. The radical scavenging activity of plant food sources has been receiving more and more attention from researchers in recent years (Zhong et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018; Carrasco-Castilla et al., 2012a). The antioxidant effect of peptides is based on free-radical or reactive species scavenging activity on hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) and single-electron transfer (SET) (Udenigwe and Aluko, 2011) and generally it is attributed to 4-16 amino acid units with molecular weights between 0.4 and 2 kDa (Göğüç et al., 2020). The in vitro antioxidant activity of digested samples is presented in Fig. 3.

3.6. Bioactivity of OPC and COPC digestates

In this study, we also evaluated the impact on bioactivity of released OPC and COPC peptides during GID. Functional properties of oat peptides have a strong dependence on protein solubility and digestibility (Esfandi et al., 2019). The radical scavenging activity of plant food sources has been receiving more and more attention from researchers in recent years (Zhong et al., 2019; Ma et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2018; Carrasco-Castilla et al., 2012a). The antioxidant effect of peptides is based on free-radical or reactive species scavenging activity on hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) and single-electron transfer (SET) (Udenigwe and Aluko, 2011) and generally it is attributed to 4-16 amino acid units with molecular weights between 0.4 and 2 kDa (Göğüç et al., 2020). The in vitro antioxidant activity of digested samples is presented in Fig. 3.

3.6.1. Reducing power

Reducing power assay (Fig. 3A) was used to measure the reduction of the Fe\(^{3+}\)-ferricyanide complex to the ferrous form by hydrolyzed peptides, related with formation of Perl’s Prussian blue. The reducing power of OPC is significantly enhanced with digestion: after oral phase, the reducing power increases from 0.06 to 0.07 (an increase in the absorbance indicates an increase in the antioxidant activity). After that, it was increased in GP and not modified until \(IP_{90}\)min. Finally, after \(IP_{90}\)min, the reducing power was significantly higher than previous stages, and constant up to GID end.

On the other hand, the reducing power of ND COPC was 0.01, which was statistically increased (\(p < 0.05\)) after \(GP_{90}\)min to 0.05. During IP, it was statistically stable (\(p < 0.05\)) between 0.09 and 0.11. According to previous reports, in this assay the SET mechanism of antioxidant peptides is attributed to Tyr, Met, Cys, His and Lys peptide residues (Carrasco-Castilla et al., 2012b; Zhong and Shahidi, 2015; Wang et al., 2016) and these particular amino acids increased with protein extraction and GID (Table 1). Enzymatic hydrolysis of native plant proteins favored the Fe\(^{3+}\)-ferricyanide complex synthesis (Parya Samaei et al., 2020). However, more studies on the peptide profile of oat digestates are required to better understand the possible interactions and/or mechanisms of oat peptides with ferrous compounds.

3.6.2. TEAC assay

The ABTS decolorization assay is a suitable method to determine the antioxidant capacity of substrates that have the capacity to scavenge the ABTS\(^{•+}\) radical by their HAT ability, but also SET ability can be measured (Zhong and Shahidi, 2015). The balance of these two mechanisms is determined by the chemical structure of antioxidants and pH of the matrix (Zhong and Shahidi, 2015). The ND OPC sample showed a scavenging activity of 0.01 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g of protein. This was significantly (\(p<0.05\)) increased to 0.04 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g of protein after oral phase. After 60 min of gastric digestion, the scavenging activity was duplicated and significantly (\(p<0.05\)) enhanced again at 90 min and 120 min, respectively (Fig. 3B). The AOX was further increased from 0.24 to 0.30 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g at 30–120 min at IP, showing no statistical differences (\(p>0.05\)) between GP and IP. The in vitro GP of OPC improves the scavenging activity on ABTS\(^{•+}\).

Moreover, the scavenged ABTS\(^{•+}\) in ND COPC showed values of 0.09 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g protein, being statistically higher (\(p<0.05\)) than ND OPC. At \(IP_{90}\)min the scavenged ABTS\(^{•+}\) of gastro-digested samples was higher, with 0.24 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g protein. However, during IP, the AOX of COPC showed exceptional values of 0.50 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g protein at \(IP_{90}\)min to 0.60 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g protein at \(IP_{120}\)min. These data are close to other protein hydrolysates from vegetal and animal sources (Tagliazucchi et al., 2016; Carrasco-Castilla et al., 2012a; Wang et al., 2016), leading to conclusion that AOX measured by Trolox equivalent antioxidant capacity assay is enhanced throughout GID. Aromatic amino acids (Tyr, Phe) have the ability to donate protons easily to electron deficient radicals (Göğüç et al., 2020) whose contents were increased particularly in digested COPC. Ethanolic extracts of defatted oat flour from nine oat genotypes showed ABTS\(^•\) values ranging from 0.825 to 3.528 mg of Trolox equivalents/mg (Brindzová et al., 2008), however, phenolic compounds, avenanthramides and \(\beta\)-glucan present in this extract may influence their AOX final values. Enzymatic hydrolysis of faba bean proteins showed an increase >1.5 fold of ABTS\(^•\) scavenging activity in trypsin-pepsin hydrolysates, compared to individual hydrolysates of trypsin, pepsin or alcalase (Parya Samaei et al., 2020). This means that the combination of specific enzymes could improve AOX of peptides in protein-rich plant sources.

3.6.3. DPPH scavenging activity

The DPPH\(^\ast\) scavenging activity of ND OPC was 15.98 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g protein. This was statistically (\(p<0.05\)) higher than in the oral and GP stages, except at \(GP_{90}\)min. After that, the scavenging activity of digestates continues increasing to 68.26 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g protein at the end of GID (Fig. 3C). However, although the ND COPC did not show a statistical difference (\(p>0.05\)) from ND OPC, it remained steady until \(GP_{90}\)min. At \(GP_{90}\)min the AOX of COPC was 23.11 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g, which statistically increased (\(p<0.05\)) until \(IP_{90}\)min to 62.42 \(\mu\)MTE/\(\mu\)g, with no modifications through the whole GID. Peptides of both samples (OPC and COPC) enhanced their DPPH\(^\ast\) scavenging activity about 400% after GID.

According to Ma et al. (2020), alcalase hydrolyzed peptides of >10 kDa from oat globulins exhibited an increase of 24.5% in DPPH scavenging activity. In alcalase and trypsin hydrolysates from oat flour, Tsopmo et al. (2010) found an increase of between 25% and 35% in DPPH AOXs, but they did not report statistical differences after 60 min of enzymatic digestion. Espinosa-Páez et al. (Espinosa-Páez et al., 2017) also observed an increase in DPPH\(^\ast\) scavenging activity in oat bio-processed samples after fungus fermentation followed by GID (INFOGEST method). However, it was enhanced by only 225%. In both cases, the enzymatic digestion increased the AOX, but this suggests that protein fractionation/purification steps could affect positively the DPPH\(^\ast\) scavenging activity of oat peptides. The DPPH scavenging activity could be associated with the increase of hydrophobic or aromatic amino acids (Udenigwe and Aluko, 2011).

3.6.4. Iron chelating activity

The chelation of ferrous iron form (Fe\(^{2+}\)) is an important antioxidant process, as Fe\(^{2+}\) can facilitate the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) within human systems. Thus, the synthesis of ROS and pro-oxidant states into the human body can be prevented (Walters et al., 2018). The iron-chelating activities of OPC and COPC samples were determined by measuring the formation of the Fe\(^{2+}\)-ferrozine complex (Fig. 3D). Oat protein content showed a Fe\(^{2+}\) of 3.16%, while it increased significantly (\(p<0.05\)) to 3.80% during OP. After that, the Fe\(^{2+}\) chelating activity was constant at 3.94% up to \(GP_{90}\)min. A 6.40% increase was observed at the end of GP. At \(IP_{90}\)min, the chelation was significantly decreased to 4.03% and then increased to 5.35% after another 30 min of intestinal digestion. At the end of GID, an increasement 22.17% of Fe\(^{2+}\) chelating activity was observed. The iron-chelating activity of COPC was statistically inferior (\(p<0.05\)) in all cases before \(IP_{90}\)min, with values between 1.97% and 4.65%. However, during IP these values were similar (\(p>0.05\)) between 9.24% and 11.22%, and at \(IP_{120}\)min, the chelated Fe\(^{2+}\) was the same as that observed in OPC.

Histidine-containing peptides are associated with the HAT and metal-iron-chelating ability of the imidazole group (Göğüç et al., 2020; Walters et al., 2018). Its content was increased in digested COPC, which could
influence the chelating properties of digestates. The iron-chelating activity of oat peptides may be modified depending on the nature of proteins, digestion conditions and extraction procedure (Esfandi et al., 2019). For example, Baakdah & Tsoomo (Baakdah & Tsoomo, 2016) reported a Fe2⁺ chelating activity of 39.7% in oat bran protein hydrolysates using Protamex. Meanwhile, Esfandi et al. (2019) reported Fe2⁺ chelating activity of 38%-58% when oat bran proteins were extracted with a cellulase-protease sequential system and increased to between 40% and 79% when viscozyme-protease was used. These enzymes (carbohydrases) are not specific for proteins/peptides, but they could break hydrogen links that may release peptides from complex peptide structures (Esfandi et al., 2019).

3.6.5. Copper chelating activity

Moreover, Cu²⁺, like Fe²⁺, is also a free-radical generator in biological systems promoting oxidative damage at different levels, capable of inducing the oxidation of lipids, proteins and DNA (Carrasco-Castilla et al., 2012a). The Cu²⁺ chelation ability increased constantly from 2.11% to 49.43% at IP₆₀ₐₘᵢₙ (Fig. 3E). Afterwards, it decreased significantly (p<0.05) to 45.26% to be constant until the end of GID. Before IP₆₀ₐₘᵢₙ, digestates showed statistical differences (p<0.05) among them. Similar Cu²⁺ chelating behavior was observed for COPC. In this case, the chelation capacity was 9.90% in ND COPC and statistically constant until GP₆₀ₐₘᵢₙ. At GP₁₂₀ₐₘᵢₙ, the chelated Cu²⁺ was 27.50%, without statistical difference (p>0.05) with OPC at this stage. Afterwards, during the IP, Cu²⁺ chelation was estimated at between 57.8% and 63% without statistical changes (p>0.05) from IP₃₀ₐₘᵢₙ to IP₇₂₀ₐₘᵢₙ. At the intestinal phase, the Cu²⁺ chelated 29.9% higher in cooked samples in comparison with the OPC. This essential trace element is vital as enzyme cofactor and it is capable of binding to His, Cys and Met to allow the absorption and transport of amino acids in the human body (Antolovich et al., 2002). Increases in His, Cys and Met contents in our experiments could have directly affected the Cu²⁺ chelating activity. However, specific analysis on this topic is required. More studies of the chelating activity of copper and other transition metals on the impact of oat peptides produced during GID are necessary to better understand this important bioactivity (Carrasco-Castilla et al., 2012a; Baakdah and Tsoomo, 2016).

3.6.6. β-carotene bleaching activity

The β-carotene antioxidant activity is a rapid colorimetric assay close to biological systems, able to lead the following antioxidant mechanisms: protecting oxidizable linolenic acid, neutralizing oxidation products and protecting β-carotene from oxidative interactions with ROS (Gaetke and Chow, 2003). These multiple pathways of action can possibly exert antioxidant values over 100%, but it is challenging to attribute the AOx to one group of compounds or a single antioxidant mechanism (Carrasco-Castilla et al., 2012a). In this way, the β-carotene-bleaching activity of ND OPC was 40.39%, which is statistically lower (p<0.05) than oral phase, with 55.25% and GP with a maximum value of 67.75% (Fig. 3F). During IP, no statistical differences (p>0.05) were found with GP digestates. In contrast, the ND COPC showed %AA values of 8.67%, lower with a cellulase-protease sequential system and increased to between 73.24 μMTE/μg protein at GP₁₂₀ₐₘᵢₙ, which was higher than the maximum value observed in OPC. Digestive conditions, like pH changes, redox potential variability, or isoelectric point of fluids, could have been affected by AOx of peptides from OPC samples, but previous treatments (as cooking) may also influence the resulting bioactivity of peptides (Ashaolu, 2020). Moreover, during the IP, ORAC values reached 83.09–88.02 μMTE/μg protein in COPC without statistical changes (p>0.05) between them. The results obtained showed OPC AOx was enhanced more than two times at the end of GID.

The ROO• seems to be favored by the increase of Cys, Phe, Leu and Ile amino acid residues, as well as hydrophobic amino acids (Udenigwe and Aluko, 2011), whose content was increased with A-APE and GID (Table 1). An enriched content of HAT amino acids, such as His and Tyr, also contributes to the enhancement of AOx values by ORAC assay conditions (Ashaulo, 2020). Wattanasitirath et al. (2016) also reported improved ORAC values in trypsin-hydrolyzed rice bran. However, Vanvi & Tsoomo (Vanvi and Tsoomo, 2016) found that, for oat pepsin hydrolysates, ORAC quenching ability was not related to the sample protein content, but rather corresponded to the oat proteins and peptides chemical structure and amino acid conformation. These last two studies and our results suggest that the ROO• quenching activity improved with enzymatic hydrolysis, meaning that ORAC values are enhanced with the release of small peptides, but contrary to these results, Tsoomo et al. (2010) reported that peptides about 2 kDa showed lower AOx in comparison to the whole hydrolysates.

Pepptide digestates/hydrolysates are a complex mixture of large, medium and small peptide fractions that could provide valuable information on possible antioxidant mechanisms, depending on the methods assessed. Nevertheless, the free-radical scavenger action of bioactive peptides might be influenced by the ionization potential of the reactive functional group and the pH of the matrix (Ashaulo, 2020). It is important to mention that some phenolic compounds (e.g. ferulic and p-coumaric acid) could be still complexed to proteins and carbohydrates after alkaline extraction, therefore, during OP and IP, α-amylase enzyme may release a small fraction of bound phenolics and chelating free amino acids that might contribute to the observed AOx in samples (Murtari et al., 2018). For all mentioned above, it is necessary to reach a consensus on the different in vitro antioxidant methods used to explain accurately the AOx mechanisms of bioactive peptides, before guaranteeing their effectiveness on human health.

4. Conclusions

To the best of our knowledge, the present work is the first report on the impact of cooking on oat protein nutritional parameters and antioxidant in vitro bioactivity using an in vitro simulated gastrointestinal digestion protocol (INFOGEST). The protein-extraction procedure and the cooking method showed no negative effects on protein composition and enhanced its nutritional quality (IVPD, PDCAAS, AAS, EAAI, BV and PERs), suggesting that these are high-protein-quality plant-food sources. Furthermore, A-APE followed by IEP allowed the elimination of potential interfering compounds and isolating/enriching protein in order to assess mainly the influence of bioactive peptides rather than other compounds present in the raw flour, as it is such a complex matrix. The GID of OPC and COPC progressively modified the peptide profile, increasing the <0.2 kDa of amino acids/dipeptides especially at the last stage of the intestinal phase, thus producing peptides with low MW and improved bioactivity. The amino acid profile showed an increase in essential, hydrophobic, positively-charged and aromatic amino acids, which directly
influenced the antioxidant properties of the peptides produced during digestion. Throughout GID, depending on the gut enzymes specificity, a diversity of smaller peptides and free amino acids is generated, and thus changes in the length, concentration and composition of free amino acids and/or small-medium sized peptides affected the antioxidant activity measured. The peptides produced may even have a synergistic activity, helping somewhat to explain the results obtained in this study. Further purification studies should be carried out to identify the sequence of the peptides present at the intestinal stage, which are mainly responsible for the high bioactivities found. Additionally, the relationship between the structure and function of the peptides to elucidate the specific antidi- messant mechanisms exerted should be taken into consideration. The results from this work could be useful for future research to develop food in- gredients with tailor-made functionalities based on oat peptides with specific potential health promoting benefits.
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