Structural insights into proteolytic activation of the human Dispatched1 transporter for Hedgehog morphogen release
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The membrane protein Dispatched (Disp), which belongs to the RND family of small molecule transporters, is essential for Hedgehog (Hh) signaling, by catalyzing the extracellular release of palmitate- and cholesterol-modified Hh ligands from producing cells. Disp function requires Furin-mediated proteolytic cleavage of its extracellular domain, but how this activates Disp remains obscure. Here, we employ cryo-electron microscopy to determine atomic structures of human Disp1 (hDisp1), before and after cleavage, and in complex with lipid-modified Sonic hedgehog (Shh) ligand. These structures, together with biochemical data, reveal that proteolytic cleavage opens the extracellular domain of hDisp1, removing steric hindrance to Shh binding. Structure-guided functional experiments demonstrate the role of hDisp1–Shh interactions in ligand release. Our results clarify the mechanisms of hDisp1 activation and Shh morphogen release, and highlight how a unique proteolytic cleavage event enabled acquisition of a protein substrate by a member of a family of small molecule transporters.
The Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway is involved in orchestrating embryonic development and tissue homeostasis, and its dysregulation leads to various human diseases, including cancer and congenital malformations\(^{3,5}\). The pathway is activated by the secreted Hh ligand, which is synthesized as a longer precursor that undergoes autoproteolytic cleavage catalyzed by its C-terminal intein domain (Hh-C), to generate an N-terminal domain (Hh-N) covalently attached to cholesterol at its C-terminus\(^6\). Hh-N is further palmitoylated on its N-terminus by the Hh acyltransferase, Hhat\(^6\), thus generating the mature Hh ligand. The hydrophobicity imparted by this unique dual lipidation causes Hh ligands to be firmly attached to the plasma membrane of producing cells; however, during development, Hh ligands are released and spread extracellularly, to signal to target cells located many cell diameters away. Release of lipid-modified vertebrate Hh ligands, such as Sonic hedgehog (Shh)\(^{10,11}\), relies on a dedicated transport system involving the transmembrane protein Dispatched (Disp) and a member of the Scube family of soluble extracellular chaperones\(^{12-14}\). Disp and Scube act cooperatively, with Disp catalyzing transfer of Shh from the membrane to the Scube acceptor\(^15\) through a postulated hand-off mechanism\(^14\), ensuring that the lipid appendages of Shh are shielded from the aqueous environment. Subsequently, the secreted Scube–Shh complex diffuses extracellularly and delivers Shh to the surface of target cells\(^16\), where it ultimately binds its membrane receptor, Patched (Ptc), initiating signal transduction\(^17-19\).

Disp belongs to the RND superfamily of small molecule transporters\(^20\), which includes prokaryotic members such as AcrB\(^21\) and HpnN\(^22\), and eukaryotic members such as Ptc1\(^3-28\) and the lysosomal Nieman-Pick type C disease protein 1 (NPC1)\(^29,30\). All members of the RND family except Disp transport small molecule substrates: AcrB transports antibiotics and other toxicants, HpnN transports hopanoids, while Ptc1 and NPC1 transport cholesterol. Disp is unique among RND proteins in that its substrate, the dually lipidated Hh ligand, is a protein. Thus, a critical unanswered question is how Disp acquired the ability to transport a macromolecule, rather than a small molecule.

Also uniquely among RND proteins, Disp activity requires Furin-mediated cleavage at a conserved site in its first large extracellular domain (ECD1)\(^31\), yielding a mature Disp protein consisting of two non-covalently associated fragments. Blocking cleavage alters Disp membrane distribution in polarized cells, and greatly reduces Hh ligand release, thus inhibiting Hh signaling\(^31\). It was proposed that cleavage regulates Disp maturation and function\(^31\), but the mechanism by which this proteolytic event leads to Disp activation remains unknown.

Recently, cryo-EM structures of Drosophila Disp (dDisp) and human Disp (hDisp1) were reported at 3.2 Å and 4.5 Å resolution, respectively\(^32,33\), as well as low-resolution cryo-EM structures of dDisp and hDisp1 in complex with Hh ligands \(4.8 \text{ Å and } 7.9 \text{ Å resolution, respectively}^{32,33}\). In all these structures, the proteolytic state of Disp is unclear, thus they do not answer how cleavage affects Disp function. Furthermore, the mechanism of Disp-mediated Hh ligand release, and especially our understanding of how Disp transports a macromolecular substrate, have remained unclear.

Here, we use cryo-EM and functional experiments, to ask several key questions in Hh signaling: how does cleavage control Disp, how did Disp acquire a protein substrate, and what is the mechanism involved in Hh ligand release? We first solve cryo-EM structures of hDisp1 in pre- and post-proteolytic cleavage states, at overall resolution of 3.61 Å and 3.68 Å, respectively. The structures reveal that cleavage causes opening of the two halves of the large extracellular domain of hDisp1 (ECD1 and ECD2) and removes a steric block posed by an unstructured loop, which allows cleaved hDisp1 to bind Shh with greatly increased affinity. We next solve the cryo-EM structure of hDisp1 in complex with the native, dually lipidated Shh ligand, at an overall resolution of 4.07 Å, and we use structure-guided experiments to demonstrate the role of hDisp1–Shh interactions in Shh release from producing cells. Our results elucidate how cleavage activates the ability of Disp to transport Hh ligands, and clarify the mechanism of ligand release, an essential step in the Hh signaling pathway.

**Results**

**Purified hDisp1 is partially processed by proteolytic cleavage.** To obtain protein suitable for structural studies, we first attempted to express full-length (FL) wild-type (WT) hDisp1 (Fig. 1a) in HEK293F cells. This protein was poorly expressed, so we surveyed a series of other hDisp1 constructs. Ultimately, a triple-point mutant (hereafter hDisp1\(^{NNN}\)) in which three conserved aspartate residues located in transmembrane helices TM4 and TM10 (Asp572, Asp573, and Asp1051) were mutated to asparagine, showed sufficient expression and was well behaved biochemically after purification in detergent (Supplementary Fig. 1a); this mutant is known to bind Shh stronger than WT Disp\(^14\). Consistent with reports of Disp1 oligomerization\(^31,34\), analysis of our hDisp1\(^{NNN}\) preparations by size-exclusion chromatography (SEC) showed that a portion of the protein exists as oligomers (Supplementary Fig. 1a). However, the oligomeric species appeared heterogeneous by cryo-EM, so we focused our analysis on the monomeric form of hDisp1\(^{NNN}\) (see below).

Purified hDisp1\(^{NNN}\) migrated on SDS-PAGE as two larger bands with apparent molecular weights of \(\sim 175 \text{ and } \sim 145 \text{ kDa}\), and two smaller bands with apparent molecular weights of \(\sim 35 \text{ and } \sim 30 \text{ kDa}\) (Fig. 1b, left panel). Western blotting indicated that the 175 kDa band corresponds to FL hDisp1\(^{NNN}\), as it contained both the N-terminal Flag tag and C-terminal His tag, the 145 kDa band corresponds to a cleaved product with only the C-terminal His tag (hDisp1\(^{NNN}-\text{C}_{45}\)), while the two smaller bands correspond to cleaved products with only the N-terminal Flag tag (hDisp1\(^{NNN}-\text{N}_{35}\) and hDisp1\(^{NNN}-\text{N}_{30}\)) (Fig. 1b, right panel). This cleavage pattern of hDisp1\(^{NNN}\) is consistent with the recently reported partial cleavage of mouse and Drosophila Disp proteins by Furin protease\(^31\). Moreover, hDisp1\(^{NNN}\) and WT hDisp1 displayed the same proteolytic processing pattern when expressed and purified from the HEK293F cells (Supplementary Fig. 1b), indicating that hDisp1\(^{NNN}\) is a suitable construct for structural investigations of how proteolytic processing affects hDisp1.

**Purification of hDisp1 in distinct proteolytic cleavage states.** Furin cleavage is critical for Disp1 function in vivo, but how this event activates Disp remains unknown. To determine the effect of Furin cleavage on hDisp1 structure, we wanted to compare the structure and properties of hDisp1 before and after proteolytic cleavage. We thus developed a strategy to obtain pure uncleaved and cleaved hDisp1 preparations. To this end, we replaced the region in hDisp1 recognized by Furin (residues 263–280) with a cleavage site for the highly specific 3C protease (Fig. 1c), and we purified to homogeneity the resulting hDisp1\(^{NNN}-\text{3C}\) protein (Supplementary Fig. 1c). Purified hDisp1\(^{NNN}-\text{3C}\) migrated as a single band on SDS-PAGE, of the same molecular weight as FL hDisp1\(^{NNN}\) (Fig. 1d). Notably, the hDisp1\(^{NNN}-\text{3C}\) preparation was devoid of the low molecular weight species found in purified hDisp1\(^{NNN}\) (Fig. 1d), indicating that Furin cleavage had been successfully abolished. Importantly, upon incubation with 3C protease, hDisp1\(^{NNN}-\text{3C}\) was quantitatively cleaved into a larger band and two smaller bands, similar to purified hDisp1\(^{NNN}\).
We asked if the introduction of the 3C protease cleavage site preserves hDisp1 activity and regulation by proteolytic processing, by assaying hDisp1-3C ability to rescue Scube-dependent Shh release from hDisp1-null cells. To this end, we used a fast and sensitive assay for measuring Shh release kinetics, based on NanoLuc luciferase (NL)-tagged Shh (Shh-NL). As shown in Fig. 1e, in the absence of 3C protease treatment, hDisp1-3C supported a slower Shh-NL release rate from cells compared to WT hDisp1, consistent with the importance of proteolytic cleavage for hDisp1 function. Importantly, when cells were briefly treated with recombinant 3C protease, Shh-NL release by hDisp1-3C was specifically enhanced (Fig. 1e), indicating that 3C protease-cleaved hDisp1-3C is functional in Shh release. We confirmed that 3C protease added to cells indeed caused specific cleavage of hDisp1-3C, by Western blot (Fig. 1f). We note that only a small fraction of hDisp1-3C was cleaved by brief treatment with exogenous 3C protease (Fig. 1f, lanes 5 and 6), in contrast to the efficient cleavage of WT hDisp1 by endogenous Furin (Fig. 1f, lanes 3 and 4); this is consistent with the modest enhancement of Shh release rate (Fig. 1e). Furthermore, in the continued presence of purified 3C protease, hDisp1-3C enhanced Shh release relative to mock-transfected hDisp1-null cells, confirming that cleaved hDisp1-3C is functional (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Thus, hDisp1-3C recapitulates cleavage-dependent activation in promoting Shh release from cells.

Finally, we asked how proteolytic cleavage might be required for hDisp1 activity. One possibility is that cleavage affects the interaction between hDisp1 and Shh. Although hDisp1NNN is inactive in Shh transport, it binds Shh stronger than WT hDisp1 (Fig. 1g, lanes 1 and 3). As shown in Fig. 1g, uncleaved hDisp1-3C and hDisp1NNN showed greatly reduced binding to Shh compared to hDisp1 and hDisp1NNN in an affinity pull-down assay, suggesting that the interaction between hDisp1 and
Shh is cleavage-dependent for both WT hDisp1 and hDisp1NNN. Thus, cleavage is important for hDisp1 activity, at least in part by controlling Shh binding (see below and Discussion).

Proteolytic cleavage causes conformational change in hDisp1.
To better understand the mechanism underlying cleavage-dependent activation of hDisp1, we set out to determine the structures of hDisp1NNN-3C before and after cleavage (referred to as hDisp1NNN-3C and hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved), using single particle cryo-EM. We solved the structure of uncleaved hDisp1NNN-3C at overall resolution of 3.61 Å (Fig. 2a, b and Supplementary Fig. 2), and the structure of hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved at 3.68 Å (Supplementary Figs. 3 and 4a, b). An N-terminal cytoplasmic segment consisting of 180 amino acid residues preceding TM1, and the C-terminal cytoplasmic segment consisting of 380 residues following TM12 were not resolved in either cryo-EM density map (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 4c), likely due to their intrinsic flexibility. However, these portions of the hDisp1 molecule are dispensable for function, as indicated by the fact that deletion mutants lacking either the N-terminal domain, or the C-terminal tail were able to efficiently rescue Scube-dependent Shh release in hDisp1-null cells (Fig. 2d). Most of the TMs and ECDs were well resolved in both the uncleaved and cleaved hDisp1 cryo-EM density maps, which permitted reliable model building with assignment of amino acid side chains (Supplementary Fig. 5). Four N-linked glycosylation sites were identified in both hDisp1 structures, Asn363 and Asn476 on ECD1, and Asn836 and Asn917 on ECD2, which, in turn, validated sequence assignment (Supplementary Fig. 6). Similar to the sterol-like molecules seen in the reported Ptch1 cryo-EM structures23,26,28, we observed several sterol-like molecules in the transmembrane domain (TMD) of both our hDisp1 structures; we assigned these molecules to the sterol detergent, cholesteryl hemisuccinate (CHS), which was used for protein purification (Supplementary Fig. 6).

Both hDisp1NNN-3C and hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved display a fold typical of the RND family of transporters, with internal two-fold pseudosymmetry of the twelve TMs and the two ECDs, around an axis perpendicular to the membrane (Fig. 2a, b and Supplementary Fig. 4a, b). In contrast to other RND proteins, such as Ptch123,25,26, in which the ECDs are close together, the two ECDs of hDisp1NNN-3C are splayed apart, exhibiting an open conformation (Fig. 2a, b and Supplementary Fig. 4a, b), as previously reported for dDisp and hDisp132,33. The two ECDs of hDisp1NNN-3C are held together through a helix-swapped configuration, with each ECD accepting secondary structure elements from the other ECD, involving helix α1 of ECD1 and helices α1 and α2 of ECD2 (Fig. 2e and Supplementary Fig. 7). Similar to Ptch136, co-expressing the two halves of Disp1 as separate proteins reconstitutes activity in cells (Supplementary Fig. 4d), indicating that these non-covalent interactions suffice to assemble a functional Disp1 protein. A connecting loop between residues 263–285 in ECD1 (named the C-loop, for protease cleavage) is not well-resolved in our reconstruction, indicating its flexibility; we speculate that this permits its accessibility to Furin or 3C protease cleavage (Fig. 2b). While the absence of density for the C-loop creates the appearance of a large cavity between the two ECDs (Fig. 2a, b), it is important to emphasize that the C-
loop, though unstructured, still occupies this space in uncleaved hDisp1NNN-3C (see below).

A comparison between the structures of hDisp1NNN-3C and hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved reveals conformational shifts of the two ECDs, whereas the TMDs remain largely unchanged (Fig. 3a–c). After proteolytic cleavage, the two ECDs turn outwardly, away from each other, by approximately 2–3 Å, leading to a more open conformation of the extracellular surface of hDisp1 (Fig. 3a, b). Additionally, density peaks for the C-loop in ECD1 were observed in the map of hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved, enabling us to build 6 residues (residues 279–284) in the C-loop (Fig. 3d). The resolved C-loop is moved away from ECD2 in hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved, suggesting that the uncleaved C-loop perhaps restricts the motions of the two ECDs, and that proteolytic cleavage allows them to move away from each other. Consistent with this model, extra density was observed at the C-loop position between the two ECDs in the EM map of uncleaved hDisp1NNN-3C at a low contour level, but no extra density was observed at the same position in the map of hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved at the same or even lower contour level (Fig. 3e). Perhaps more importantly, cleavage of the C-loop, which occurs close to the site of connection with ECD1, permits the flexible loop to move outside of the region between the two ECDs, affording access to Shh (see below).

A complex between hDisp1 and the native Shh ligand reveals requirements for Shh release. To further illuminate the mechanism of hDisp1-mediated Shh release, we generated a complex between hDisp1NNN and the native, dually lipidated Shh ligand, and subjected it to cryo-EM analysis. After the application of an adapted mask on ECDs and Shh for focused 3D classification, we solved the structure of the complex at an overall resolution of 4.07 Å (Fig. 4a, b and Supplementary Fig. 8). The secondary structure features of Shh could be clearly resolved, allowing us to dock the crystal structure of Shh (PDB 4C4M) into the density map with manual adjustment.

A comparison between the structures of hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved and that of the hDisp1NNN–Shh complex reveals conformational shifts of the two ECDs, whereas no substantial conformational change in the TMDs is observed (Supplementary Fig. 9a). Upon Shh binding to hDisp1, Shh acts as a “molecular glue” that pulls the two ECDs of hDisp1NNN, turning them inwardly towards each other by approximately 4–5 Å (Supplementary Fig. 9b). In the hDisp1NNN–Shh complex structure, the two ECDs of hDisp1 grasp Shh like a pincer, with the N-terminus of Shh facing upwards and close to ECD1 and the C-terminus of Shh facing downwards and close to the SSD (Fig. 4b). Importantly, the Shh-binding site in hDisp1 clashes with the density corresponding to the uncleaved C-loop in the
hDisp1NNN-3C structure (Supplementary Fig. 9c), which provides an explanation for the drastically reduced Shh binding that we observed for uncleaved hDisp1NNN-3C.

Although Shh was palmitate- and cholesterol-modified, we could not resolve the two lipid modifications, and thus any protein–lipid interactions between hDisp1 and Shh. However, our structure reveals an extensive protein–protein interaction between hDisp1 and Shh (Fig. 4a, b). This interaction involves two interfaces, one primarily between residues 336–343 of ECD1 and residues 50–58 of Shh (Fig. 4c), and the other primarily between residues 242–249/260–265 of ECD1 and residues 68–81 of Shh (Fig. 4d). Resolution of the cryo-EM map at the hDisp1–Shh interface is approximately 4.5 Å, precluding analysis of the specific interacting residues (Supplementary Fig. 8d). Nonetheless, several Shh variants bearing point mutations in ECD-adjacent residues exhibited reductions in their rate of

**Fig. 4** Structure of hDisp1 bound to native, dually lipidated Shh. a, b Corresponding views of the cryo-EM density map (a) and atomic model (b) of the hDisp1NNN-Shh complex. c, d The interaction interfaces between hDisp1 and Shh. Residues near the interfaces are shown as spheres. e WT and mutant Shh-NL constructs were transiently expressed in HEK293T cells together with WT Scube2 or the inactive Scube2 ty97 mutant (negative control). Cells were washed extensively with serum-free media and Shh-NL release was measured after 6 h, for three independent biological replicates. Shh-NL release was normalized to Shh-NL measured in cell lysates, to account for differences in expression level, and specific Scube2-dependent release was determined by subtracting background Shh-NL release for Scube2 ty97. Bars represent mean background-subtracted Shh-NL release, and are plotted as percentage of release for WT Shh-NL. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Ordinary one-way ANOVA, with Dunnett’s multiple comparisons test, was used to compare WT Shh-NL and each mutant: *, p < 0.05; ****, p < 0.0001; ns, not significant. Mutations are colored by their proximity to the Disp1-Shh interfaces, with yellow bars representing Shh residues close to ECD1 (N50A, V51E, L56A/K), green bars representing Shh residues close to ECD2 (E71A, K74A, Y80A, and N81A), and gray bars representing Shh residues at unrelated sites (P26A, R61A, Q100A, and Q100H). Mutations close to ECD1 and ECD2 show a significant defect (>2-fold reduction) in Shh release, while more distantly located mutations show modest or no reduction in Shh release. f Structural comparison between hDisp1-Shh and dDisp-Hh complexes shows that hDisp1 and dDisp have distinct ligand binding modes, consistent with divergent mechanisms of ligand release in vertebrates and invertebrates. Source data for (e) are provided as a Source Data file.
Disp1- and Scube2-dependent release from cells, in contrast to Shh point mutations removed from the interface with hDisp1 (Fig. 4e and Supplementary Fig. 9d). To ensure that the Shh variants are properly trafficked to the cell surface, we performed immunofluorescence staining with or without detergent permeabilization (Supplementary Fig. 10). This analysis indicated that all but one of the mutants displayed cell surface localization similar to wild-type Shh, suggesting that the defects observed in release from cells are not due to impaired folding or trafficking. For the remaining mutant (Shh-N81A), surface localization was reduced just below 50%, suggesting that impaired trafficking, perhaps due to ER retention, is responsible, at least partially, for the observed release defect. Together, these results demonstrate that, in addition to the known requirement for lipid modifications in Disp1-mediated Shh release, Shh recognition by hDisp1 via protein–protein interaction also plays a critical role in this process.

Evolutionarily divergent aspects of Disp-catalyzed Hh ligand release. Dual lipidation of the Hh ligand and the essential role of Disp are conserved between vertebrates and invertebrates; however, the latter lack a Scube homolog, suggesting that another, yet unidentified, factor may be involved in a similar release mechanism. Consistent with this difference, the mode of Shh binding to hDisp1 that we observe is significantly different from Drosophila Hh binding to dDisp [22], both in terms of ligand positioning relative to Disp and the ligand interaction interfaces (Fig. 4f); perhaps this explains why dDisp is only poorly able to promote Scube-mediated Shh release (Supplementary Fig. 9e). Further supporting evolutionary divergence between invertebrates and vertebrates, residues of Disp at the distinct ligand–Disp interfaces show a species-specific conservation pattern (Supplementary Fig. 11a, c). Together, these observations indicate that the mechanism of Hh release from producing cells is not strictly conserved across phyla.

Discussion

A longstanding question in Hh signaling has been how Hh morphogens spread to distant cells, in spite of dual lipidation with cholesterol and palmitate, which firmly anchors them to the surface of producing cells. In vertebrates, the Disp1 membrane transporter and the Scube family of secreted chaperones provide a biochemical solution to the problem of extracellular Shh release, with Disp1 extracting Shh from the membrane and catalyzing the formation of a soluble Scube–Shh complex [12–15]. However, Disp1 belongs to the RND family of small molecule transporters, and it has been unclear how Disp1 recognizes and transports a macromolecule such as Shh. Furthermore, Disp1 function requires a unique, conserved Furin-mediated proteolytic cleavage in its ECD, the role of which has also been unclear. Here, we use structural and functional experiments to address these open questions. By determining the structure of Disp1 before and after proteolytic cleavage, we discover a conformational change that opens the ECD and removes a steric impediment to Shh binding (Fig. 5); we thus speculate that proteolytic cleavage allows Disp1 to transport a protein, in contrast to all other RND protein family members which transport lipids and other small molecules. We also determine the structure of Disp1 bound to the native, dually lipidated Shh ligand, which reveals that the two halves of the Disp1 ECD move toward each other, to grasp Shh like a pincer, through two extensive protein–protein interfaces, which we demonstrate are critical for Shh release. Together, these results clarify how the Shh morphogen is secreted through the action of the Disp1 transporter, a critical step in the Hh pathway.

Genetic and biochemical evidence has suggested that Disp1 coordinates transfer of Shh lipid moieties to the Scube2 acceptor [12–15], though the manner in which Scube2 interfaces with Disp1 and Shh remains unknown. Notably, Scube2 does not bind stably to wild-type Disp1, Disp1NNN, or Disp1-3C expressed in cells, whether or not Shh is present (Supplementary Fig. 12a). This observation suggests that Scube may transiently recognize an intermediate Disp1–Shh complex in the process of lipid extraction. While Scube was not included in our present structural studies, the orientation of Shh relative to Disp1 permits some speculation with regard to possible Scube–Shh interfaces. As positioned in the Disp1 pincer-like grasp, Shh presents two large solvent-exposed surfaces: a “front” surface, containing the pseudo-active site, which faces away from Disp1, and a “back” surface, accessible between the splayed ECD1 and ECD2 of Disp1 (Supplementary Fig. 12b). Conceivably, a Scube molecule could engage Shh lipids from either approach. However, the palmitoylated N-terminal peptide of Shh, which will be transferred to Scube [13,16], projects from the “back” surface of Disp1-bound Shh. Additionally, insertion of NanoLuc luciferase into sites on the “front” surface of Shh does not impair Disp1- and Scube-dependent release [15], while insertions into sites on the “back” surface of Shh abolish release (Supplementary Fig. 11b, inset) [15]. Finally, Shh can simultaneously bind Scube and the co-receptors Cdon/Boc [16], the latter interaction involving the Shh pseudo-active site [37]. Together, these observations suggest that Scube likely engages Disp1-bound Shh from its “back” surface. Future structural studies of Scube–Shh, or of a potential ternary Disp1–Shh–Scube complex will be required to confirm this structure-guided speculation.

Previous work proposed that Disp1 transports the Shh cholesterol moiety from the membrane to Scube2 [14,15]. As in previous Disp/Disp1 structures [32,33,38], however, we were unable to resolve
the lipid-modified termini of Shh, perhaps because their binding to Disp1 was disrupted by detergent present during protein purification. Nonetheless, our structural and functional studies of Furin-mediated proteolytic cleavage, together with structural studies of other eukaryotic RND transporters, permit some speculation with regard to the path of substrate transport through Disp1. The Disp1 homologs Ptc1 and NPC1 are proposed to transport cholesterol through a hydrophobic conduit comprised of the ECD1 and ECD2 interfaces (Supplementary Fig. 12c, d). Although ECD1 and ECD2 are spliced apart in Disp1 compared to Ptc1 and NPC1, it is thus tempting to speculate that the Shh cholesterol moiety is transported along a similar path through Disp1 (Supplementary Fig. 12e), particularly if Scube2 engages Shh from its “back” surface. Strikingly, in the absence of Furin cleavage, the C-loop of Disp1 would then pose a topological barrier to Shh release to Scube2, catching the C-terminal Shh peptide and entangling Shh with Disp1 (Supplementary Fig. 12f, g). While we directly show that Furin cleavage removes a steric impediment to the protein–protein interaction between Shh and Disp1, we speculate that cleavage may be a common path for the release of the Shh cholesterol moiety from Disp1 to Scube2 (Supplementary Fig. 12h). It is noteworthy that both putative functions of Furin cleavage appear to be adaptations that allow Disp1 to transport a protein substrate, in a manner analogous to how small molecules are transported by other RND proteins.

The interaction between hDisp1 and Shh we observed is strikingly different from the interaction described between dDisp and the Hh ligand32. Invertebrates do not have Scube homologs, and it is currently unknown what factor fulfills its role; one candidate is the secreted Hh-binding protein Shifted (Shi)22,43. These observations indicate that Disp-mediated Hh release is significantly divergent between phyla, reminiscent of the distinct interaction modes seen in X-ray structures of homologous invertebrate Ihog–Hh and vertebrate Cdon–Shh complexes37. It is also noteworthy that the C-loop of dDisp, which is also cleaved31, is greatly expanded and contains predicted secondary structural elements (Supplementary Fig. 7), further consistent with divergent aspects of Hh release. A better understanding of the mechanism of Hh release in invertebrates will have to await the identification of the acceptor protein(s) to which Hh is transferred from Disp.

Aside from the interaction between Disp1 and the lipid moieties of Shh, structural studies show that Disp binding to the Hh ligand can be recapitulated in vitro with the unlipidated ligand, both in vertebrates38 and in invertebrates32. These results indicate that Disp recognizes the Hh ligand via both protein–lipid and protein–protein interactions, similar to how Ptc1 binds Shh24,27.

An interesting aspect concerns how this dual interaction mode occurs in vertebrates versus invertebrates, given the lack of conservation of the protein–protein component. We speculate that the protein–lipid component of the Disp–ligand interaction is conserved across phyla, and a significant degree of flexibility allowed the emergence of distinct protein–protein interaction modes, adapted to the unrelated proteins employed in different phyla as Hh ligand acceptors.

Methods

Protein expression and purification. Constructs encoding WT hDisp1, hDisp1NNN, and hDisp1NNN-3C were generated in the pCAG vector, with an N-terminal Flag tag and a C-terminal His10 tag. HEK293F suspension cells were cultured in SMM 293T-II medium (Sino Biological Inc.) at 37 °C, under an atmosphere of 5% CO2. The cells were transiently transfected with a density of 2×10⁶ cells per mL, using polyethyleneimine (PEI) (Polysciences). For a one-liter cell culture, 1 mg plasmid DNA was mixed with 3 μL PEI in 50 mL fresh medium, for 15–30 min at room temperature (RT), after which the mixture was added to the suspension culture. After 12 h, the cell culture was supplemented with 10 mM sodium butyrate, to boost protein expression. The transfected cells were cultured for an additional 48 h, before harvesting.

For protein purification, the HEK293F cell pellet was resuspended in buffer containing 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and protein inhibitor cocktails (Amresco). After sonication on ice, membranes were solubilized with 1% (w/v) DDM (Anatrace) and 0.2% CHS (Anatrace), for 2 h at 4 °C. After centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 1 h, the supernatant was applied to anti-Flag M1 affinity resin (Sigma). After rinsing with wash buffer with 250 mM imidazole, the protein was eluted with wash buffer supplemented with 0.2 mg/mL Flag peptide. The eluent was then applied to nickel affinity resin. The resin was rinsed with wash buffer, and bound protein was eluted from conditioned media, using beads coupled to anti-Flag-M1 antibody15.

Western blotting. Following separation by SDS-PAGE, proteins were transferred onto Immobilon-P PVDF or nitrocellulose transfer membranes (Millipore). The membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat dry milk in TBS-T (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20), for 1 h at RT, followed by incubation with primary antibody, for 1 h at RT. The primary antibodies were mouse anti-Flag monoclonal antibody (Sangon Biotech), mouse anti-His monoclonal antibody (Sangon Biotech), mouse anti-Strep monoclonal antibody (IBA Lifesciences), rabbit anti-Shh monoclonal antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), mouse anti-tubulin monoclonal antibody (Sigma), mouse anti-human protein C (HPC) monoclonal antibody (A. C. Kruse, Harvard Medical School), or affinity purified rabbit anti-m-Cherry polyclonal antibodies44. Primary antibodies were used at a final concentration of 1 μg/mL, in blocking solution. After three 5-minute washes in TBST, the membranes were incubated with goat anti-mouse or rabbit anti-rat HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch), or donkey anti-rabbit IgG–HRP conjugate (GE Healthcare). Secondary antibodies were used at a dilution of 1:5000, in blocking solution. Bound antibodies were visualized by chemiluminescence (UltraSignal hypersensitive ECL chemiluminescence substrate, 4A BioTech), on an Amersham Imager 600 (GE).

Shh-hDisp1 pull-down assay. hDisp1, hDisp1-3C, hDisp1NNN, or hDisp1NNN-3C, tagged with an N-terminal Flag tag and a C-terminal Twin-Strep tag, was coexpressed with full-length Shh in HEK293F cells. A 500-μL cell culture was transiently transfected with 0.375 μg hDisp1 or hDisp1-3C plasmid, and 0.375 μg hShh plasmid. A 200-μL cell culture was transiently transfected with 0.15 μg hDisp1NNN or hDisp1NNN-3C plasmid, and 0.15 μg hShh plasmid. After 12 h, the culture medium was supplemented with 10 mM sodium butyrate for 48 h. After 12 h, the cells were collected by centrifugation and were resuspended in buffer containing 25 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, and protein inhibitor cocktail.

Membranes were solubilized with 1% (w/v) LMNG (Anatrace), for 2 h at 4 °C. After centrifugation at 20,000 × g for 1 h, the supernatant was applied to anti-Flag M1 affinity resin (Sigma). After rinsing with wash buffer supplemented with 0.2 mg/mL Flag peptide. The eluent was then applied to Strep-Tactin resin (IBA Lifesciences). After rinsing with wash buffer, bound protein was eluted with BXT elution buffer (IBA Lifesciences) and was analyzed by Western blotting.

Cell-based Shh release assays. For assaying Shh release kinetics by NanoLuc (NL) luciferase assay, human Shh constructs (wild type and mutants) were tagged with NL, which was inserted between residues N91 and T92. ShhNL constructs were stably expressed in wild-type or hDisp1-null HEK293T cells15 by lentiviral transduction. For assaying Shh release at a fixed time by immunoblot, wild-type Shh was stably expressed in wild-type HEK293T cells. Where indicated, cells were also transduced with: wild-type hDisp1 (tagged with mCherry at the C-term); hDisp1NNN (triple mutant D572N, D573N, D1051N, tagged with mCherry at the C-term); hDisp1-3C (tagged with the HPC epitope at the N-terminus and mCherry at the C-term); hDisp1 lacking residues M1 to Q674 of hDisp1, corresponding to the first half of the protein (tagged with the HPC epitope at the N-terminus); residues G1141 to L1524 of hDisp1, corresponding to the second half of the protein (tagged with
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HA epitope at the C-terminus); or DmSp (tagged with mCherry at the C-terminus). Cells were washed extensively with DMEM, to remove serum, and were pre-incubated with cycloheximide (100 μg/mL) for 30 min, to block new Shh-NL synthesis. Cells were then incubated with 1 μM purified Scube2 or BSA (negative control), and NL luciferase activity released into the media was measured at 4-min intervals for a total of ~20 min, using a Wallac VICTOR3 microplate reader and associated acquisition software (Perkin-Elmer). Activity released by BSA was subtracted for each condition, and Scube2 NL luciferase activity released at each timepoint, and initial release rates were calculated by linear regression. To test the role of hDisp1-3C cleavage in Shh release, cells were treated or not with purified 3C protease (2 μM), prior to Scube2 addition. To compare release rates of Shh point mutants, released NL activity was normalized to total NL activity in lysates of Shh-NL-expressing stable cell lines, to account for any differences in Shh-NL expression level. Release rates were further normalized to wild-type Shh release rate (set to 100%). In experiments in which Shh-NL release was measured after 6 h, the indicated components were expressed by transfection, and cycloheximide was omitted. Cycloheximide was also omitted from Shh release experiments in which media and cells were collected after 24 h and were analyzed by immunoblotting. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed in Prism to assess whether apparent differences in the best-fit slopes of the release timecourse data were statistically significant. One-way ANOVA was performed in Prism to assess the statistical significance of differences measured in 6-h endpoint release assays, which were performed with three biological replicates. Where necessary, reported p-values are adjusted for multiple comparisons, as indicated in the figure legends.

Immunofluorescence. HEK293T cells expressing various Shh-NL mutants were grown on poly-lysine-coated glass coverslips and were fixed in PBS with 2% methanol-free formaldehyde (Thermo), for 30 min at room temperature. For cell surface staining (no permeabilization), all subsequent incubations were performed in the absence of detergent, while for total cell staining (with permeabilization), all incubations except actin staining were performed in Triton-X100. Mouse monoclonal antibodies against NanoLuc luciferase (Promega) was used at a final concentration of 1 μg/mL in TBS or TBST with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA). The secondary antibody, goat anti-mouse IgG–Alexa Fluor 594 conjugate (Thermo), was used at 1 μg/mL in TBS or TBST with 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA). After staining, the coverslips were mounted in Either glycerol and were imaged on a Nikon TE2000E epi-fluorescence microscope, equipped with an OrcaER camera (Hamamatsu) and 20x PlanApo 0.75NA objective (Nikon). Images were acquired using MetaMorph software (Molecular Devices), for two different fields of view per condition. For each fluorescence image, the corresponding transmitted light image was acquired by DIC. Condition-blinded manual segmentation in Fiji was used to quantify total and surface Shh-NL staining. For each condition, the average background-subtracted fluorescence intensity was measured for 40 circular regions of interest (20-pixel diameter), manually drawn over regions of the image containing cells, as assessed via the DIC channel image. Background fluorescence was corrected by staining HEK293T cells with an unrelated secreted protein (HaloTag). Staining for each Shh-NL variant is reported as the average of 40 images in parallel HEK293T cells expressing an unrelated secreted protein (20-pixel diameter), manually drawn over regions of the image containing cells. All 2D data collection under super-resolution mode with a nominal magnification of × 105,000 with defocus values from –1.6 to 2.0 were analyzed by immunoblotting. Samples were electrophoresed on NuPAGE Novex 4–12% Bis-Tris gels (Life Technologies) and transferred to PVDF membranes before being analyzed with the appropriate primary antibodies (anti-Flag M2, anti-HaloTag, anti-NanoLuc, anti-Rabbit, anti-Mouse and anti-Human, all from Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and the appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Jackson ImmunoResearch). Chemiluminescence was detected using SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Thermo Scientific). Each micrograph was dose-fractionated to 32 frames under a dose rate of 9.6 e-/Å²s⁻¹, applied to glow-discharged grids (Quantifoil, R1.2/1.3 Cu, 300 mesh), which were applied to glow-discharged carbon-coated copper grids (Ted Pella). After grid preparation, specimens were collected after 24 h and were analyzed by immunoblotting. Analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) was performed in Prism to assess whether apparent differences in the best-fit slopes of the release timecourse data were statistically significant. One-way ANOVA was performed in Prism to assess the statistical significance of differences measured in 6-h endpoint release assays, which were performed with three biological replicates. Where necessary, reported p-values are adjusted for multiple comparisons, as indicated in the figure legends.

Cryo-EM sample preparation and data collection. Aliquots (3.5 μL) of hDisp1NNN-3C, hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved, and the hDisp1NNN-ShhN complex were applied to glow-discharged grids (Quantifoil, R1.2/1.3 Cu, 300 mesh), which were then blotted for 30 s, and plunged into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot (Mark IV, FEI), then blotted for 3.5 s and plunged into liquid ethane using a Vitrobot (Mark IV, FEI). The cryo-EM maps of hDisp1NNN-3C and hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved were built in Coot14, guided mainly by bulky residues such as Phe, Tyr, Trp and Arg. Each residue was manually checked, and its chemical properties were taken into account during model building. For the hDisp1NNN-ShhN complex, the crystal structure of ShhN (PDB 4C4M) and the crystal structure of ShhN (PDB 4C4M) and the crystal structure of ShhN (PDB 4C4M) and the crystal structure of ShhN (PDB 4C4M) were fitted into the density map, followed by manual adjustment. The structural models were refined using Phenix10 in real space with secondary structure and geometry restraints. The final refinement statistics are summarized in Table S1.

Sequence conservation analysis. Sequence alignments were performed using Clustal Omega server20 and ESPript server21 and the conservation analysis was performed using the ConSurf Server22.

Cell-based Scube2 binding assay. Binding of purified Scube2 to mCherry-tagged membrane proteins was performed in HEK293T cells13. The cells were plated in 48-well plates coated with poly-D-lysine, and were then transiently transfected with mCherry-tagged constructs. Two days after transfection, cells were incubated with purified Flag-tagged Scube2 in DMEM at a final concentration of 1 μM, for 1.5 h at 37 °C. Cells were washed once with DMEM, fixed with 3.7% (w/v) formaldehyde in PBS and incubated for 3 min with methanol at –20 °C, and then stained with AlexaFluor488-labeled anti-Flag-M1 antibodies. Images were collected on a Nikon TE2000-E inverted microscope controlled by MetaMorph software, using a 10x PlanApo 0.45NA objective (Nikon), followed by image analysis in MATLAB. Briefly, mCherry-positive cells were segmented, and the corresponding background-subtracted anti-Flag fluorescence intensity was calculated for each cell object. The distribution of ratios of Scube2 intensity to area for mCherry-positive cells is represented as boxplots, as described in the figure legend.

Statistics and reproducibility. Unless otherwise indicated in the figure legends, the results presented in all the SDS-PAGE, Western blotting and cryo-EM micrographs are representative of at least three independent experiments. Numerical data were analyzed in MATLAB, Microsoft Excel, and Prism.

Reporting summary. Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability. The cryo-EM maps of hDisp1NNN-3C, hDisp1NNN-3C-cleaved and hDisp1NNN-ShhN have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) with accession codes EMDB-30956, EMDB-30957 and EMDB-30958, respectively. The corresponding atomic coordinates have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with accession codes 7E2G (https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb7E2G/pdb), 7E2H (https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb7E2H/pdb) and 7E2J [https://doi.org/10.2210/pdb7E2J/pdb], respectively. Source data are provided with this paper.
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