Genetic Similarities of Escherichia Coli Isolated from Different Substrates of the Broiler Production Chain

ABSTRACT

Brazil is the largest exporter of chicken meat and poultry farming is one of the most important productive segments, despite major losses due to the bacterium *Escherichia coli*, which is also a zoonotic microorganism. The objective of this study was to isolate *E. coli* and to evaluate its transmissibility potential from the field to chicken meat using the Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE) technique. Environmental samples (poultry litter, soil and water) were collected from broiler farms located in the South of Brazil where the majority of the Brazilian poultry production occurs. In addition, chicken meat (gizzard, heart, drumette and tulip) samples were collected from local supermarkets. As results, 47.36% of the samples were positives for *E. coli*. Furthermore, 10 pairs of clones of *E. coli* were found always in the same substrate (two water-water pairs; three soil-soil pairs and five meat-meat pairs) using PFGE. These findings suggest that certain strains of *E. coli* may have habitat preferences, making the transfer from one substrate type to another more difficult to occur. Moreover, since no clones were found between environmental samples and chicken meat, it is possible to imply a low risk of *E. coli* transmissibility throughout the chicken meat production chain.

INTRODUCTION

Poultry farming is one of the most important productive segments in Brazil, placing the country as the second largest producer and first exporter of chicken meat in the world. In 2017 alone, national production was 13.1 million tons of chicken meat, of which 4.32 million tons were exported (ABPA 2018).

*Escherichia coli* is a well-known studied microorganism frequently isolated in clinical microbiology laboratories (Silva & Neufeld 2006). It is responsible for invaluable economic losses in farm animals, including poultry, and represents a risk to human health (Camargo & Suffredini 2015). It may cause intestinal disorders (diarrhea), although most strains are not pathogenic (Smith 2010).

One of the molecular biology techniques considered the gold standard for epidemiological research in microbiology is the Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis (PFGE), in which, using a restriction enzyme, 100% of the genetic material is evaluated (Magalhães et al. 2005).

Rwego et al. (2008) claim that we will only have rational interventions to safeguard humans and animals when, in fact, we understand the ecological and behavioral factors that influence the transmission of pathogens between species. Thus, the objective of this study was to isolate *E. coli* and to evaluate the transmissibility potential from poultry farms to chicken meat using the PFGE technique.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Environmental sampling and bacterial isolation

Between March \textsuperscript{26} and May \textsuperscript{1} of 2018, 171 samples were collected from 57 poultry farms located in the West part of Santa Catarina State, Brazil, integrated to the same poultry company and under the same production regime. Samples were collected from the environment (poultry litter, water and soil) being one sample of each point from every property. Soil samples were collected five cm bellow surface and close to the biosecurity area in a pool of three different locations. Litter samples were collected in a pool of three places inside the poultry house containing fresh feces and litter. Water sampling was carried out three places inside the poultry house containing fresh feces and litter. Water sampling was carried out directly at the storage location or after three seconds of running (in case of tap water). All samples were collected using sterile bags (soil and litter) and flasks (water), being transported under refrigeration to the laboratory of the University of Santa Catarina State.

*Escherichia coli* isolation was performed according to the technique of Quinn \textit{et al.} (2005), where samples were incubated in lactose broth at 37 ± 10°C for 24 hours. They were then seeded in petri dishes containing Methyline Blue Eosin Agar (EMB LEVINE – KASVI-K25-610019) and incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 24 hours. When present, one green metallic colony from each sample was individually inoculated into Tryptone Soya Agar (TSA) incubated at 37 ± 1°C for 24 hours and subsequently stored at -20°C for further testing.

Meat sampling and bacterial isolation

Meat samples \((n=57)\) were collected in retail markets between May and June of 2018, including gizzard, heart, drumstick (drumette) and half of the wing (tulip) \textit{in natura}, frozen and already packed. All samples were purchased from the same slaughterhouse that processed birds originated of sampled farms of this study. These samples were refrigerated and transported to the laboratory for *E. coli* isolation at the University of Santa Catarina State as recommended by the protocol of Downes & Ito (2001).

Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis

The PFGE technique was performed according to Ribot \textit{et al.} (2006) and the Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC, Atlanta, GA) using the One-Day (24–28 h) Standardized Laboratory Protocol for Molecular Subtyping of *E. coli* O157:H7, non-typhoidal *Salmonella* serotypes, and *Shigella sonnei* by Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis (CDC 2017) with minor adaptations to one of the laboratories of the Brazilian Agricultural Research Corporation (Embrapa) in Concórdia, Santa Catarina State. Briefly, the bacterial suspension was soaked in agarose, lysed, washed and digested with restriction enzyme *XbaI* (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) between 12 and 16 hours at 37°C (overnight).

Electrophoresis was performed on 1% agarose gel using 0.5x Tris-borate-EDTA buffer with 50 μM of thiourea in a Chef MapperXA (BioRad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) at 6V/cm for 19h at 14°C with an exchange time initially of 2min and 16s and a final change time of 63.8s. The gels were stained for 30 min at room temperature with ethidium bromide (Invitrogen®, Carlsbad, CA), bleached and photographed. A strain of *Salmonella enterica* subspecies *enterica* serovar Braenderup (ATCC®BAA-664) was used as reference. Standard images were acquired using a Kodak Gel Logic 2200 system and analyzed using BioNumerics version 2.0 software (Applied Maths BVBA, Saint-Martens-Latem-Belgium).

All *E. coli* isolates from water, meat and soil were used. However, only poultry litter from the farms that also had positivity in more than one type of substrate (water, soil and/or meat) were selected for PFGE analyses.

Using a coefficient of correlation, it was possible to determine the similarity between the profiles obtained in the gel. According to the technique of Carriço \textit{et al.} (2005), for the analysis of PFGE patterns, a band position tolerance (divergence) of up to 1.7% was used. The dendrograms were generated by grouping of unweighted pairs with mathematical average (Unweighted Pairwise Grouping with Mathematical Averaging - UPGMA). In case the number and location of the bands were indistinguishable, the isolates were considered to belong to the same pulsotype.

RESULTS

Out of 228 samples collected (57 from each substrate), *E. coli* was isolated in 108 (47.4% of the total), being 16 from water (14.8%), 53 from litter (49.1%), 15 from soil (13.9%) and 24 from chicken meat (22.2%). Out of 24 positive samples of chicken meat, 4/17 were obtained in gizzards (23.5%), 3/15 in hearts (20%), 6/8 in tulips (75%) and 11/17 in drumettes (64.7%).

One *E. coli* isolated from soil (95) and six of chicken meat (2M, 18M, 26M, 27M, 35M and 37M) were discarded due to bacterial contamination. Thus, 69 *E.
coli isolates were tested (16 of water, 14 of soil, 21 of litter and 18 of chicken meat samples) using the PFGE technique. Out of these 69 samples, we were unable to obtain PFGE results from two litter samples (30C and 50C) and two meat samples (16M and 19M) since they did not show defined band profiles despite the use of thiurea in the PFGE protocol.

The dendogram (Figure 1) of the 65 E. coli isolates showed 10 pairs of clones, each pair belonging to the same substrate as described in Table 1. No clones were found in the same property, and of the isolates, the highest correlation was 70.9% in two situations: one between the water and soil samples from property number 24 and the other between the litter and soil samples of property number 26.

No clones were found in poultry litter. The samples that came closest were the 43C samples (latitude: 27º13'17"; longitude: 52º43'26"; 36-day-old flock; with eight consecutive flocks without total litter removal; collection date: 05/01/2018) and 48C (latitude: 27º07'47"; longitude: 52º35'08"; 17-day-old flock; third consecutive flock housed without full litter removal; collection date: 05/01/2018) with 97.1% similarity and approximately 17.1 km of distance.

**DISCUSSION**

The presence of E. coli in poultry facilities is very common since it is a commensal bacterium present in the intestinal microbiota of animals and humans in addition to the fact that broiler chickens are raised on the floor. Mo et al. (2017) report that in broiler production it is likely that bacteria are present in suspension, on surfaces and occasionally in biofilms. Therefore, a high positivity for E. coli was expected in litter samples (over 90%), but a little more positivity was expected in soil samples. This finding might be explained by the fact that not all soil samples were collected in places fertilized with animal waste or with animal transit.

**Table 1 – Epidemiological profile of Escherichia coli identified as clones isolated from poultry.**

| Clone pair | Sample ID | Features of samples |
|------------|-----------|---------------------|
| 1          | 28A       | Coordinates (latitude: 26º58'20"; longitude: 52º49'31"); cistern water; collection date: 04/16/2018. |
|            | 35A       | Coordinates (latitude: 26º59'21"; longitude: 52º47'33"); protected source water; collection date: 04/24/2018. |
|            | Distance between the two points: 3756.310 m (3.7 km) |
| 2          | 51A       | Coordinates (latitude: 27º01'23"; longitude: 52º32'01"); source water; collection date: 05/01/2018. |
|            | 52A       | Coordinates (latitude: 27º03'38"; longitude: 52º32'46"); source water; collection date: 05/01/2018. |
|            | Distance between the two points: 4336.196 m (4.3 km) |
| 3          | 5S        | Coordinates (latitude: 26º55'06"; longitude: 52º50'23"); plantation of manioc fertilized with silage discarded; collection date: 03/26/2018. |
|            | 22S       | Coordinates (latitude: 26º56'07"; longitude: 52º49'01"); fertilized orchard with litter for 1 year, slurry for 6 months and animal traffic; collection date: 04/03/2018. |
|            | Distance between the two points: 2939.615 m (2.9 km) |
| 4          | 25S       | Coordinates (latitude: 26º59'44"; longitude: 52º53'25"); corn fertilized with litter there are about 6 months; collection date: 04/16/2018. |
|            | 26S       | Coordinates (latitude: 26º59'47"; longitude: 52º52'34"); fertilized orchard with silage discarded, sawdust and litter; collection date: 04/16/2018. |
|            | Distance between the two points: 1409.184 m (1.4 km) |
| 5          | 36S       | Coordinates (latitude: 26º59'04"; longitude: 52º47'45"); fertilized vegetable garden with litter a month ago; collection date: 04/24/2018. |
|            | 49S       | Coordinates (latitude: 27º38'57"; longitude: 52º21'13"); unfertilized flowerbed; collection date: 05/01/2018. |
|            | Distance between the two points: 85547.050 m (85.5 km) |
| 6          | 9M        | Tulip; lot slaughtered on 05/21/2018. |
|            | 11M       | Drumette; lot slaughtered on 05/30/2018. |
| 7          | 29M       | Gizzard; lot slaughtered on 04/16/2018. |
|            | 34M       | Drumette; lot slaughtered on 06/04/2018. |
| 8          | 44M       | Drumette; lot slaughtered on 07/10/2018. |
|            | 45M       | Tulip; lot slaughtered on 07/10/2018. |
| 9          | 48M       | Heart; lot slaughtered on 06/20/2018. |
|            | 50M       | Tulip; lot slaughtered on 07/03/2018. |
| 10         | 51M       | Gizzard; lot slaughtered on 06/15/2018. |
|            | 57M       | Drumette; lot slaughtered on 07/19/2018. |

The table shows 10 pairs of clones of Escherichia coli isolated from different points of the poultry production chain in the Southern of Brazil where “A” means water samples, “S” for soil and “M” for chicken meat. In the third column, farm geographical location, sample characteristics and collection dates.
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The studied region is characterized by a large number of small rural properties, very close to each other and with high animal density, which could predispose bacterial contamination of water sources. The water provided by cisterns comes from gutters on the roof of the poultry house and according to Silva et al. (2012) this type of water might be contaminated by E. coli. All water samples collected in this study were chlorine free used for poultry desedentation and showed 28.1% positivity indicating a need of chlorination before bird consumption.

Soil and water resources are directly related, especially in locations with high rainfall, which predispose the leaching of nutrients and microorganisms. In order to reduce the risk of fecal contamination of water sources on farms, animal waste used as fertilizers should be managed wisely and its nutritional content should be determined prior to application taking into account, climate and soil conditions, in addition to some characteristics of the plant that will be fertilized (Palhares et al., 2014).

Chicken meat samples were found to be positives for E. coli which is allowed by the Brazilian regulation agencies and this type of contamination may occur during the slaughter process more likely in the chiller. Geornaras et al. (1996) confirmed this hypothesis by stating that in poultry slaughterhouses, bacteria can contaminate equipments, water sources, air and handlers, resulting in cross contamination between carcasses. Out of all samples collected (32 from heart and gizzard), 21.9% were positives for E. coli compared to 68% of chicken meat cuts (25 between drumette and tulip), this is probably due to the fact that the

Figure 1 - Dendrogram after PFGE analysis of 65 Escherichia coli isolates collected from the poultry production chain. The present dendrogram shows the correlation between 65 strains of Escherichia coli using the Pulsed Field Gel Electrophoresis technique with well-defined bands.
offal go through a washing process. Ferreira et al. (2018), when evaluating some epidemiological studies suggested that high contamination of broiler carcasses is related to high levels of E. coli contamination, and thus, a source of ExPEC for humans.

The PFGE technique showed two pairs of clones in water, three pairs in soil and five pairs in chicken meat samples. The fact that no clones were found in litter may be due to the fact that this substrate contains a high log of E. coli as it is one of the main commensal bacteria of the digestive tract and a single pool was collected (three points) with only one colony isolated by cultivation. Boratto et al. (2004) reported that in one gram of broiler feces there may be $10^6$ CFU of E. coli, reinforcing the hypothesis of the absence of clones.

Reeves et al. (2011) while studying a residence for three years, which also included a dog, reported that isolating a clone at a specific sampling point in successive events may not only be a clone persistence, but that members of the family are reinstanting it. This suggests that if the present work were repeated in the same locations, there could be a large number of clones between the first and second collection cycles.

There was no record of the purchasing day of the meat samples, so it is unknown the day they were handled in the laboratory, just the day they were packed by the slaughterhouse. It is important to highlight that the five clones identified in meat were of different cuts, and only one of them coincided with the same day of packaging, suggesting that there is a strong persistence of the bacteria at the slaughterhouse, even when strict hygiene procedures are followed.

The fact that only clones were found within the same substrate type indicates that each type of E. coli has specific needs for its growth. Reeves et al. (2011), comment that the population structures of bacteria are different from those of more complex organisms, so that the low frequency of genetic recombination in relation to reproduction allows the growth of multiple clones adapted to specific niches, as there are several E. coli O157:H7 clones causing hemolytic uremic syndrome.
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