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Objectives The aims were to study the association between anabolic hormone testosterone in plasma and the presence of musculoskeletal disorders among female workers and to study the association between changes in testosterone and changes in musculoskeletal complaints.

Methods In a cross-sectional design 145 women from 2 different industries filled out questionnaires about current musculoskeletal complaints, participated in a clinical examination of the neck and upper extremities, and gave a blood sample for the analysis of free testosterone in plasma. Individual characteristics, psychosocial job factors, and stress reactions were evaluated by questionnaires. In a follow-up study a subgroup of 73 sewing machine operators from the cross-sectional study was reexamined after 1 year.

Results The group of women with clinically verified neck or shoulder disorders had significantly lower plasma testosterone than the women with no disorders. Furthermore, the testosterone level showed a negative association with age and a positive association with smoking and body mass index. Changes in pain status or clinically diagnosed musculoskeletal disorders were not associated with changes in testosterone levels. However, this finding may well be due to a strong plant influence in that marked changes in testosterone levels were observed for 2 of the 3 participating plants.

Conclusions There is some indication of an association between musculoskeletal disorders in the neck and shoulders and a low level of free plasma testosterone. The study failed to clarify the associations found between changes in testosterone and changes in musculoskeletal complaints over time.

Key terms anabolism, biomarker, body mass index, musculoskeletal disorders, pain, repetitive work, stress, smoking.

The extent to which individual physiological characteristics are associated with the risk of developing work-related musculoskeletal disorders has not been well described. The use of biomarkers may be a way of evaluating any predisposition among persons or populations, but no such markers of susceptibility for work-related musculoskeletal disorders have yet been identified (1). The initial occurrence of most work-related musculoskeletal disorders is intermittent, but, after a course of years some develop into more chronic pain syndromes. Therefore, an optimal biomarker would be able to detect a disorder at an early stage and therefore provide a possibility to discuss intervention against progression into a chronic disorder.

It is generally agreed that the risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders are multifactorial and evidently people respond differently to external exposures.

Researchers using a cascade model (exposure, dose, capacity, response) for the pathogenesis of work-related musculoskeletal disorders have been accused of focusing on associations between the top and bottom of the cascade (2). Indeed many epidemiologic studies have been concerned with the relationship between physical work load and musculoskeletal disorders (3—5). Meanwhile, an increasing number of studies have also investigated the possible associations between psychosocial job factors and the development of musculoskeletal pain and disorders (6—8). In these studies stress reactions are either considered to be effects of an external exposure or intermediates between perceived psychosocial strain and the development of musculoskeletal pain.

Several studies have tested the hypothesis that high job strain induces the mobilization of energy and the inhibition of anabolism (9—11). Anabolic hormones,
including testosterone, have been of interest as intermediary stress sensitive hormones that may be associated with the development of musculoskeletal problems. However, the findings have varied (8, 9, 12), and further investigation is needed.

No identified studies have tried to correlate the levels of free plasma testosterone with work-related musculoskeletal disorders diagnosed by a combination of self-reported complaints and a clinical examination. Nor do we know if free plasma testosterone can be used as a measure of individual susceptibility to the development of a work-related musculoskeletal disorder or if, perhaps, it reflects an already existing disorder. Using both a cross-sectional and a longitudinal design, the present study aimed at testing the following hypotheses: (i) work-related musculoskeletal disorders are associated with low levels of free plasma testosterone in women and (ii) changes in the levels of free plasma testosterone are negatively associated with changes in musculoskeletal complaints.

**Materials and methods**

**Design**

The study consisted of a baseline cross-sectional investigation and a follow-up study. For an overall sampling schedule of the study, see figure 1.

**Study group**

A random sample of 150 women from 4 different plants were asked to participate in the study. The involved plants and departments were already included in the Danish PRIM (project on research and intervention in non-occupational work) health-study cohort (13). Twenty-nine of the 150 women refused to participate and for each of these a new employee was invited to enter the study. A total of 145 women was finally included.

Ninety-seven women came from 3 different textile plants (Sew1, Sew2, Sew3) and were mainly sewing machine operators. Forty-eight women worked in a toy production factory (Toy1) and were either working on an assembly line or had more varied work, including process monitoring. The pay system was payment by the piece for the sewing machine operators and payment by the hour for the toy production workers. Both groups worked only the day shift. The mean age of the subjects (N=145) at the time of the baseline examination was 40.4 (SD 9.1) years. Only the 97 sewing machine operators were included in the follow-up part of the study (figure 1). Of the 97 sewing machine operators, 24 had dropped out at the time of the follow-up examination, mainly as a result of reduced production and redundancy in the textile industry during this period (10 were laid off owing to a reduction in production, 4 had found another job, 2 had withdrawn from the study, 5 were either fired or had quit for reasons of health, 3 were absent on the day of the blood sampling). The 73 sewing machine operators who participated in both the baseline and follow-up examinations were utilized in the statistical analysis of the follow-up data. Five women pregnant in the 1st trimester were accepted. Women using birth control pills or estrogen would be expected to have a low testosterone level due to their medication. Because we tested low testosterone as a risk factor for musculoskeletal problems in our study, they were included. The exclusion criteria were known rheumatic disorders and diabetes mellitus.

**Blood sampling**

All the participants provided blood samples at the worksite on Tuesday mornings between 0900 and 1100. The 1st sampling round (R1) took place a few days after the clinical examination and was followed by the 2nd sampling round (R2) 2 weeks later. After 1 year the sampling schedule was repeated, this time with 4 to 6 weeks between the blood sampling rounds (R3 and R4).

The choice of free versus total testosterone differs among studies and so does the gender composition of study groups. In women testosterone is produced in the adrenal cortex (25%) and ovaries (25%) and by transformation (50%) in the liver, kidneys, bowel, lungs, adipose tissue, and central nervous system, while in men it is primarily produced in the testicles, a small portion being produced in the adrenal cortex (14). Because of the low concentration of free testosterone in women, analytical measures have mainly been restricted to total testosterone. However, commercial methods for measuring free testosterone are now available. For the determination of free plasma testosterone, a competitive radioimmune assay (Coat-a-count kits), purchased from DPC (Los

---

**Figure 1.** Sampling schedule and data sources for 145 women in the baseline cross-sectional study and for 97 women in the follow-up study. (Toy = toy production workers, Sew = sewing machine operators)
Angeles, CA, USA), was used. The interassay coefficient of variation of the testosterone level was 17% at 3.9 pmol/l. To assure the quality of the analyses, commercial matrix reference materials for free testosterone were analyzed together with the samples.

**Questionnaires**

Immediately before the clinical examination each person returned a self-administered questionnaire about current musculoskeletal problems in several neck and upper extremity regions. The same set of 4 questions was asked for each region. The questions were answered by an indication on a 10-point scale ranging from 0 to 9. In this study we used a summation of scores from the following 3 regions: neck, right shoulder, left shoulder (maximum score 108) (Cronbach's alpha 0.93). The questionnaire was a modified form of the grading method used for chronic pain severity by Von Korff et al (15), the questions intending to combine pain intensity and restriction in daily activity over a 3-month period. The examiners were blinded as to the answers to the questionnaire.

At the time of the baseline examination the participants also completed a questionnaire including 23 items from the Karasek job-characteristic scale (16) and 8 items on job satisfaction. The answer to each item was dichotomized and given a raw score of 1 or 0, and 4 scales were constructed as raw score summations: job demand (0—3) (Cronbach's alpha 0.53), job control (0—14) (Cronbach's alpha 0.72), social support (0—6) (Cronbach’s alpha 0.53), and job satisfaction (0—8) (Cronbach’s alpha 0.80). After 1 year the job characteristic scales were reduced due to the construction of a less comprehensive PRIM questionnaire for repeated measurements in the follow-up studies. A job-strain score was constructed by multiplying the demand (2 items, high demand = high score) and control (5 items, low control = high score) scores, giving a possible score of 10 to 160.

On each day of the 4 blood sampling rounds all the participants answered a series of questions on personal characteristics and individual habits and also responded to 21 items from the stress profile questionnaire developed by Setterlind (17). The answer to each item was dichotomized and divided into the following 3 scales: behavioral stress reactions (0—9) (Cronbach’s alpha 0.69), emotional stress reactions (0—8) (Cronbach’s alpha 0.70), and cognitive stress reactions (0—4) (Cronbach's alpha=0.58). In the baseline examination a scale was added to the questionnaire on psychosomatic symptoms (0—6) (Cronbach's alpha 0.19). Because the scales showed a very low variation, changes in stress level were evaluated by a stress index, constructed as a summation of scores (0—4) from each of the 21 items (possible score 0—84). The questions concerning quality of sleep, smoking habits, and consumption of alcohol and coffee all inquired about use on the day before the blood sampling.

**Clinical examination**

The neck and shoulder examination focused on palpation tenderness, active range of motion in the neck and shoulders, and tests for impingement pain, and pain on resisted abduction in the shoulder. No diagnoses were made during the examination. The outcome variables were cervical syndrome (positive foram compression test or reduced range of movements in 2 of 4 directions), rotator cuff tendinitis (palpation tenderness at the tuberculum major humeri and sign of subacromial impingement), and myofascial pain syndrome (palpation tenderness graded 2 or 3 (0 to 3 scale) for either or both the upper-neck muscle (semispinalis capitis) and the upper trapezius muscle and for either or both the supraspinatus muscle and the infraspinatus muscle, the outcome being combined from results on self-reported pain and clinical findings. Detailed descriptions of the questionnaire, clinical examination, and diagnostic criteria have been submitted for publication.

From the clinical examination we have chosen to include only neck or shoulder disorders and self-reported complaints from the same regions. We know from earlier studies (18) that these disorders are highly prevalent among sewing machine operators and often have a pronounced effect on disability. In this study, neck or shoulder disorders comprised 88% of all the disorders in the neck and upper-extremity region.

**Analysis and statistics**

Associations between hormone levels were analyzed with a Pearson 2-tailed correlation. The means of the 2 groups were compared in independent t-tests, and the means of 2 variables for 1 group were compared using the paired sample t-test. Simple linear and multiple regressions were applied to study the relationship between testosterone and several explanatory variables. Statistical significance was defined as P<0.05. The statistical analyses were performed using the SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences).

**Results**

The mean level of free testosterone in the 4 sampling rounds is shown in table 1. The correlation coefficient (r) between testosterone in round 1 and round 2 was high (r=0.72, P<0.001). In spite of 5 weeks between sampling rounds 3 and 4 we still found a high correlation between testosterone in the 2 rounds (r=0.62, P<0.001) Thus, for each subject, we decided to operate with only 1 value for the baseline (mean of rounds 1 and 2) and 1 value for the 1-year follow-up (mean of rounds 3 and 4).

The group distribution is given in table 2 for several personal characteristics derived from the
Table 1. Mean free testosterone level in the baseline examination (R1 and R2) and after 4 year of follow-up (R3 and R4). (R1-R4 = sampling rounds 1 to 4; Sew = sewing machine operators, Toy = toy production workers)

| Round | Number of workers | Testosterone (pmol/l) |
|-------|-------------------|-----------------------|
|       | Mean | SD | Range | Median |
| R1    |       |     |       |       |
| Sew   | 92   | 3.4 | 2.1   | 0.1-9.0 | 2.9 |
| Toy   | 44   | 5.2 | 2.5   | 1.2-11.7 | 4.9 |
| R2    |       |     |       |       |
| Sew   | 86   | 3.5 | 2.6   | 0.2-15.2 | 3.1 |
| Toy   | 44   | 4.0 | 1.9   | 1.2-10.1 | 3.6 |
| R3    |       |     |       |       |
| Sew   | 77   | 2.7 | 1.9   | 0.1-10.3 | 2.3 |
| Toy   | 79   | 3.0 | 2.0   | 0.2-9.0  | 2.8 |

self-administered questionnaires and from the clinical examination, together with the unadjusted mean testosterone values. Data on hypertension, current infections, use of medication, alcohol and coffee consumption, and sleep quality are not reported because they showed no association with the level of testosterone.

Cross-sectional associations
In the bivariate analyses significant negative associations were found between testosterone and age, neck or shoulder disorders, use of oral contraceptives or estrogen, and work as a sewing machine operator. Significant positive associations were found between testosterone and body mass index (BMI) and smoking (table 3). In the multiple linear regression model the effect of workgroup disappeared. This effect was explained primarily by an unequal distribution of BMI and smoking habits in the 2 groups. All the other covariates contributed to the model with only small changes in estimates (table 3).

Table 4 shows the baseline distribution of self-reported job demand, job control, social support, and job satisfaction among sewing machine operators and toy production workers. Job strain, which implies a multiplicative effect of demand and control, was not found to correlate with the testosterone level when tested in a multiple linear regression model controlling for age, BMI, oral contraceptives or estrogen, smoking, and neck or shoulder disorders (B=0.006, t=0.101, P=0.919).

Scores from the Setterlind stress profile scales showed a very low variation with about 90% of all subjects scoring 0 or 1 on the respective scales. Neither the separate scales nor a combining stress index (mean 10.7, median 7.00, SD 12.24, range 0—67) were associated with the level of testosterone.

Follow-up results
In the 1-year follow-up the mean testosterone value showed a significant decrease for the whole group of 73 women who participated in both the baseline and follow-up examinations, but when separated by textile plant, this result was modified as shown in table 5.

The significant increase in the mean testosterone level of the workers in the Sew1 plant and the significant

Table 2. Baseline description of the study group (N=145), including the unadjusted mean values of free testosterone in defined subgroups. (BMI = body mass index, Sew = sewing machine operators, Toy = toy production workers)

| Characteristic | Workers | Testosterone (pmol/l) |
|---------------|---------|-----------------------|
|               | N | % | Mean | SD |
| Age (years)   |   |   |       |    |
| <35           | 39 | 26.8 | 4.3  | 2.7 |
| 35—44         | 53 | 36.6 | 4.0  | 2.1 |
| ≥45           | 53 | 25.6 | 3.2  | 1.9 |
| BMI (kg/m²)   |   |   |       |    |
| <20           | 14 | 9.7  | 2.6  | 0.9 |
| 20—25         | 66 | 45.6 | 3.4  | 2.1 |
| 25—30         | 43 | 29.7 | 3.8  | 2.2 |
| ≥30           | 21 | 14.5 | 5.6  | 2.4 |
| Workgroup     |   |   |       |    |
| Sew           | 97 | 66.9 | 3.4  | 2.2 |
| Toy           | 48 | 33.1 | 4.5  | 2.1 |
| Oral contraceptives or estrogen |   |   |       |    |
| Yes           | 25 | 17.2 | 2.4  | 2.1 |
| No            | 113 | 77.9 | 4.1  | 1.4 |
| Smoking       |   |   |       |    |
| No            | 70 | 48.3 | 3.2  | 1.9 |
| ≥15 cigarettes per day | 40 | 27.6 | 4.0  | 2.2 |
| ≥15 cigarettes per day | 35 | 24.1 | 4.8  | 2.6 |
| Pain          |   |   |       |    |
| Score 0       | 38 | 26.2 | 4.3  | 2.4 |
| Score 1—11    | 41 | 26.9 | 4.1  | 2.2 |
| Score 12—23   | 28 | 17.9 | 3.5  | 2.0 |
| Score ≥24     | 42 | 29.0 | 3.2  | 2.1 |
| Neck or shoulder disorder |   |   |       |    |
| Yes           | 32 | 22.1 | 2.8  | 1.5 |
| No            | 112 | 77.2 | 4.0  | 2.3 |

Table 3. Effect of individual characteristics, workgroup, and neck or shoulder disorder on the baseline plasma testosterone level in simple linear and multiple regression analyses. (B = regression coefficient)

| Covariates | Testosterone (unadjusted) | Testosterone (adjusteda) |
|------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
|            | B  t-Value    P-value   | B  t-Value    P-value   |
| Age        | -0.04 -2.09 0.038  | -0.06 -3.68 <0.001   |
| Body mass index | 0.17 4.30 <0.001  | 0.18 5.68 <0.001   |
| Workgroupb  | -1.04 -2.70 0.008  | -0.29 -0.95 0.346   |
| Oral contraceptives or estrogenc  | -1.70 -3.80 <0.001  | -2.03 -5.32 <0.001   |
| Smokingd  | 1.20 3.36 0.001  | 1.25 4.34 <0.001   |
| Neck or shoulder disordere  | -1.26 -2.95 0.004  | -1.00 -2.86 0.005   |

a Adjusted = all covariates were entered into the regression model at the same time.
b Workgroup = 1 if sewing machine operator, 0 if toy production worker.
c Oral contraceptives or estrogen = 1 if yes, 0 if no.
d Smoking = 1 if yes, 0 if no.
e Neck or shoulder disorder = 1 if yes, 0 if no.
Table 4. Baseline distribution of the work-related self-reported psychosocial factors for the sewing machine operators (Sew) (N=97) and the toy production workers (Toy) (N=48) and the crude mean testosterone values according to these factors.

| Scales          | Sew (%) | Toy (%) | Testosterone (pmol/l) Mean | SD  |
|-----------------|---------|---------|----------------------------|-----|
| Job demand      |         |         |                            |     |
| 0 (low)         | 1       | 39      | 3.5                        | 1.5 |
| 1               | 22      | 15      | 4.0                        | 2.1 |
| 2               | 39      | 17      | 3.9                        | 2.6 |
| 3 (high)        | 38      | 29      | 3.7                        | 2.2 |
| Job control     |         |         |                            |     |
| 0—4 (high)      | 11      | 31      | 4.5                        | 1.8 |
| 5—9             | 62      | 46      | 3.7                        | 2.3 |
| 10—14 (low)     | 27      | 23      | 3.8                        | 2.3 |
| Social support  |         |         |                            |     |
| 0—1 (high)      | 79      | 63      | 3.7                        | 2.2 |
| 2—4             | 30      | 31      | 3.8                        | 2.0 |
| 5—6 (low)       | 1       | 6       | 6.5                        | 3.0 |
| Job satisfaction|         |         |                            |     |
| 0—2 (high)      | 86      | 83      | 4.0                        | 2.0 |
| 3—5             | 8       | 15      | 4.2                        | 2.5 |
| 6—8 (low)       | 6       | 2       | 3.2                        | 2.8 |

* The job satisfaction scale was completed by 79 sewing machine operators only.

A decrease in the Sew2 plant was based on an increased testosterone value among 13 of the 15 subjects in the Sew1 plant and a decreased testosterone value among 33 of the 38 subjects in the Sew2 plant.

Changes in the testosterone level from the baseline to the 1-year follow-up revealed no significant correlation with changes in the pain score or changes in the stress index during the same period (table 5). Some indication of a negative association with changes in job strain was seen. Thirteen persons equally distributed between the 3 plants had either gained (N=6) or lost (N=7) a diagnosis at the time of the follow-up. Meanwhile, the general changes among the workers in the Sew1 and Sew2 plants appeared to overshadow any expected testosterone changes due to changes in pain status or neck or shoulder disorders.

At the 1-year follow-up we repeated the cross-sectional analyses (N=73) and no longer found testosterone level to be associated with the pain score or neck or shoulder disorders (16 cases). However, had the baseline analyses included these 73 subjects only, the adjusted association between neck or shoulder disorders and testosterone would not have been significant (B=-0.73, t-value=-1.55, P-value=0.125), as seen when all of the 145 subjects were analyzed (table 3). The associations of testosterone with BMI, oral contraceptives or estrogen, and smoking were found again, but the association with age had disappeared. There were no changes in the frequencies of smoking or the use of oral contraceptives or estrogen from the baseline to the time of follow-up. The stress index and job strain were still not associated with testosterone level.

**Discussion**

This baseline cross-sectional study showed a negative association between the free plasma testosterone and

Table 5. Mean values for testosterone, the pain score, job strain, and the stress index in the baseline examination and after 1 year of follow-up for the whole study group (N=73) and for subgroups by plant. (Sew1, Sew2, Sew3 = 3 different textile plants, r = correlation coefficient)

| Group             | Testosterone (pmol/l) Mean | SD  | Pain score Mean | SD  | Job strain Mean | SD  | Stress index Mean | SD  |
|-------------------|---------------------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-----------------|-----|-------------------|-----|
| Whole group (N=73)|                           |     |                 |     |                 |     |                   |     |
| Baseline          | 3.25                      | 2.04| 20.2            | 21.2| 72.6            | 21.4| 10.7              | 13.9|
| One-year follow up| 2.77                      | 1.76| 22.0            | 20.5| 72.9            | 21.1| 11.4              | 11.9|
| P-value           | 0.021                     | 0.310| 0.910           |     |                 |     |                   |     |
| Sew1 (N=15)       |                           |     |                 |     |                 |     |                   |     |
| Baseline          | 1.99                      | 1.57| 27.3            | 21.1| 78.2            | 24.6| 11.4              | 18.4|
| One-year follow up| 3.13                      | 1.87| 26.1            | 21.7| 83.1            | 22.8| 10.2              | 7.9 |
| P-value           | 0.019                     | 0.877| 0.348           |     |                 |     |                   |     |
| Sew2 (N=38)       |                           |     |                 |     |                 |     |                   |     |
| Baseline          | 3.32                      | 2.15| 26.2            | 21.6| 68.2            | 18.4| 6.8               | 7.6 |
| One-year follow up| 2.69                      | 1.76| 16.3            | 17.6| 73.8            | 21.3| 9.0               | 8.1 |
| P-value           | 0.000                     | 0.984| 0.107           |     |                 |     |                   |     |
| Sew3 (N=20)       |                           |     |                 |     |                 |     |                   |     |
| Baseline          | 2.92                      | 1.63| 22.3            | 19.8| 77.8            | 23.6| 18.9              | 17.6|
| One-year follow up| 2.65                      | 1.71| 28.5            | 22.4| 61.2            | 13.2| 17.8              | 18.3|
| P-value           | 0.311                     | 0.027| 0.008           |     |                 |     |                   |     |

* Pain score 0—108.  † Job strain 10—160.  ‡ Stress index 0—84.  § Correlation between changes in testosterone (follow-up value minus baseline value) and changes in the pain score (r = 0.074, P-value 0.537), changes in job strain (r = 0.219, P-value 0.008), and changes in the stress index (r = 0.031, P-value 0.811).
work-related neck or shoulder disorders in female workers. However, the cross-sectional analyses at the time of the follow-up failed to support the baseline results. The follow-up analysis showed no association between changes in testosterone level and changes in musculoskeletal complaints.

One of the main hypotheses behind our study was that a low testosterone level, being a psychophysiological stress response, may affect the musculoskeletal repair process and therefore be an indicator of early change in the process of chronic musculoskeletal disorders. In a suggestive case report from Belgium (19), a young sportsman with abnormally low testosterone suffered from chronic problems with muscle strain. After treatment with antiestrogen, his testosterone concentration normalized, his well-being improved, and there was a spectacular decrease in his muscle injury rate during the following 6 months. A recent study (8) of 116 workers who worked with visual display units supports the hypothesis of an association between a low concentration of the anabolic hormone testosterone and the intensity of musculoskeletal symptoms.

Our baseline cross-sectional study supports these results with a finding of a negative association between free plasma testosterone and verified work-related neck or shoulder disorders and musculoskeletal complaints after control for important confounders. A strong positive association between testosterone and BMI and smoking and these variables disappeared. The changes were not related to changes in the pain scores or the occurrence of musculoskeletal disorders. At the same time the cross-sectional association between testosterone and these variables disappeared. The changes were not due to changes in BMI, smoking habits, or the use of oral contraceptives or estrogen. Furthermore, the blood samples were drawn in the same month and on the same weekday to eliminate the possibility of seasonal variation and the effect of tiredness, which can vary according to the day of the week. Many other unrecorded factors outside the job may have differed between and within the subjects at different times. However, this possibility does not explain why the testosterone levels of almost all the subjects within the Sew1 and Sew2 plants changed in the same direction. An analytical error seems unlikely in that laboratory analyses were continuously evaluated by participation in an external quality assessment program, in which reference materials were analyzed together with the study samples. No deviations were found.

At the time of the follow-up we found significant changes in the free testosterone level (table 4), and these changes were not related to changes in the pain scores or the occurrence of musculoskeletal disorders. At the same time the cross-sectional association between testosterone and these variables disappeared. The changes were not due to changes in BMI, smoking habits, or the use of oral contraceptives or estrogen. Furthermore, the blood samples were drawn in the same month and on the same weekday to eliminate the possibility of seasonal variation and the effect of tiredness, which can vary according to the day of the week. Many other unrecorded factors outside the job may have differed between and within the subjects at different times. However, this possibility does not explain why the testosterone levels of almost all the subjects within the Sew1 and Sew2 plants changed in the same direction. An analytical error seems unlikely in that laboratory analyses were continuously evaluated by participation in an external quality assessment program, in which reference materials were analyzed together with the study samples. No deviations were found.

At the time of the follow-up we had indications in notes (written on the day of the blood sampling) that the employees in the Sew2 plant were working under very high pressure, compared with the circumstances of the baseline measurements. [Citation: “The department seems tremendously busy. Many employees work extra hours on Saturdays. The impression of the atmosphere...
in the department is different from the 2nd rounds. People rush and everybody talks about how busy they are."

At the time of the follow-up the following conclusions were reached after the interview at the Sew1 plant: “They seldom experience stress like they did when they started to work in the production groups”; “the group has come far when it comes to taking on responsibility in daily planning and coordination of the work”. Thus, in this situation, we had some incomplete qualitative data corresponding to our own impression of stress level among the sewing machine operators. If these observations reflected the actual stress level, the values would have corresponded with the observed changes in testosterone in the Sew1 and Sew2 plants. Together with a strongly reduced study group, the lack of association between testosterone and musculoskeletal complaints and disorders may be explained by some of the these conditions.

It must be highly emphasized that no systematic qualitative analysis was performed, and the aforementioned considerations could be purely speculative. However, they could support some new developments in research on how one should study stress and work organizational changes in the workplace. The questionnaire in this study, developed for between-plant and group comparisons, may be too general in nature and partly invalid as a measure of exposure.

In conclusion, our study partially confirms the hypothesis of an association between work-related musculoskeletal disorders and low levels of plasma testosterone. However, these findings suggest the need to perform additional studies on female workers.
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