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A B S T R A C T

In this paper, the separation of phosphorus from metallurgical-grade silicon was investigated based on an Mg alloying and HCl leaching approach. Experimental results show that P concentration was reduced from initial 15.1 ppmw to 0.2 ppmw with also large extent removal of metallic impurities by two times Mg alloying-leaching purification. The mechanism of enhanced P separation is clarified owing to the strong affinity between Mg and P, which is validated by SIMS elemental mapping. A two-parameter analytical model was developed to predict the P removal degree based on the variables of alloying metal concentration and interaction coefficient between alloying metal and P. The model is validated with experimental results and the interaction coefficient $\alpha_{\text{Mg in Si}}$ was obtained as $-10.8$. This approach can be applied to model the removal of impurity which follows Gulliver-Scheil solidification from other binary alloying systems. Furthermore, in order to study the effect of applied alloying-leaching operation times, a model was proposed which establishes the mathematical relationships among key processing variables like initial and target P concentrations, the amount of the alloying metal, and the process operation times.

1. Introduction

The rapid growth of the photovoltaic industry worldwide has given rise to large demands of solar grade silicon (SoG-Si, purity 99.9999%) feedstock production. The metallurgical route for SoG-Si production has, therefore, become an emerging technology due to its large production capacity, low-cost, and environment-friendly features [1,2]. However, the main challenge of the metallurgical route for SoG-Si production is the removal of large concentration of impurities from the raw material metallurgical grade silicon (MG-Si, 99% Si), especially the removal B and P, which are the major important impurities for the solar cells. In the commercialized Elkem Solar metallurgical process operated by REC Solar Norway, MG-Si is purified through the combination of slag refining, acid leaching, and directional solidification [2]. As one of the crucial steps, the task of acid leaching is aiming at removing large extent metallic impurities, and, more importantly, to reduce the P concentration down to 0.1–0.3 ppmw to meet the restricted high-purity requirement of SoG-Si.

The research of silicon purification by acid leaching has been carried out over decades in respect of determining optimum leaching conditions [3–7], precipitated phase reactivity [8–10], and leaching kinetics [11,12]. However, it is generally accepted that only parts of P and metallic impurities can be removed due to the weak segregation and low chemical reactivity of the Fe-bearing precipitates. In order to further improve the impurity removal efficiency, new method has emerged to purify Si by means of introducing new alloying element to modify the impurity segregation behavior during solidification. One typical example is the widely known solvent refining [13,14], which mainly relies on a large amount of alloying metal addition like Al [15–24], Sn [24–28], Cu [29–31], and Fe [32] and so on. In this way, low operation temperature can be achieved for lower process energy consumption, but the large amount of the alloying metal addition causes high cost of the process. Unlike solvent refining, the addition of only small amount of more reactive alloying elements into Si melt brings more economic benefits and also reaches high impurity removal degree. Schei et al. [33] investigated the effect of Ca addition on impurities removal and found that the impurities embedded inside a leachable CaSi$_2$ phase. Shimpo et al. [34] investigated the thermodynamic interaction between Ca and P, and confirmed Ca has a strong affinity to P in molten Si. Other researchers also reported high P removal degree after Ca alloying [35–39], but the difficulty of separating viscous byproduct siloxene still remains challenging [1]. Alternate
alloying elements such as Ti [35], Hf [40], Zr [41], have been also studied for different purposes and with their own features, but they are not feasible to be used in practice due to the high prices of these metals and the difficulties in their further removal for solar silicon production.

In this work, a comprehensive study of novel Mg alloyed MG-Si acid leaching was conducted according to the attractive features exhibited by the Mg alloying in our recent research works [42–44]. Various parameters such as acid concentration, leaching time, and Mg addition amount are studied to validate the applicability of Mg alloying on impurities removal. Moreover, two theoretical models were proposed to better understand the P removal via the Si alloying-leaching process.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials

A commercial MG-Si was selected as the starting material, the detailed composition can be seen in Table 1. High purity (99.8%, Alfa Aesar) Mg rod with low P concentration was cut into pieces used for MG-Si alloying. Analytical grade reagents hydrochloric acid (HCl) was supplied by VWR International. The concentrated HCl aqueous solution was diluted by deionized water to obtain different concentration for MG-Si alloying. Analytical grade reagents hydrochloric acid (HCl) was supplied by VWR International. The concentrated HCl aqueous solution was diluted by deionized water to obtain different concentration for MG-Si alloying.

2.2. Silicon alloying by Mg and leaching

The alloying and leaching process of MG-Si purification can be seen in Fig. 1. MG-Si lumps were first carefully put into a graphite crucible in a vacuum tube furnace. Before heating, the furnace chamber was evacuated to high vacuum and then re-filled by pure Argon gas to 1 atm followed by continuous Ar flow. MG-Si lumps were subsequently heated up to 1500 °C as molten state. Specific amount of Mg piece was then added into the melt for targeting alloy composition. After Mg addition, the molten alloy was slowly cooled down and solidified under the same condition inside the furnace chamber. It is worth noting that even though P has a high vapor pressure, but the P loss caused by evaporation can be ignored due to the ultra-low activity and short melting time.[45,46] The obtained Si-Mg alloy was then crushed and sieved to specific particle size (0.2–1 mm) for the following acid leaching. The chemical compositions of MG-Si and Mg-doped samples were measured by high-resolution Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS, Thermo Scientific Element 2, US) are listed in Table 1.

A number of leaching trials were designed for different research purposes as shown in Table 2. The obtained Si-Mg alloy was then crushed and sieved to specific particle size (0.2–1 mm) for the following acid leaching. The chemical compositions of MG-Si and Mg-doped samples were measured by high-resolution Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectroscopy (ICP-MS, Thermo Scientific Element 2, US) are listed in Table 1.

In this work, a comprehensive study of novel Mg alloyed MG-Si acid leaching was conducted according to the attractive features exhibited by the Mg alloying in our recent research works [42–44]. Various parameters such as acid concentration, leaching time, and Mg addition amount are studied to validate the applicability of Mg alloying on impurities removal. Moreover, two theoretical models were proposed to better understand the P removal via the Si alloying-leaching process.

2.3. Characterization

The MG-Si material and produced Si-Mg alloys were analyzed by a Secondary Electron Microscope (SEM, Hitachi SU6600, Japan) with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry (EDS) detector to study the microstructure variation. The chemical composition of all the samples after leaching was measured by the high-resolution ICP-MS. A magnetic sector Secondary Ion Mass Spectrometry (SIMS) instrument (CAMECA IMS 7F) was employed to analyze the P distribution in Mg alloyed samples. The SIMS measurements were performed in the imaging mode using 15 keV Cs⁺ ions as a primary beam rastered over the area of 500 × 500 μm². The beam current was kept at 1 nA during the measurements.

![Fig. 1. Schematic of the Mg alloying and acid leaching process.](image-url)
3. Results

3.1. Microstructure

Before acid leaching, the microstructure of sample S1, S2, S3, and Re-alloyed S3 was analyzed by SEM and shown in Fig. 2. A number of precipitated phases are observed, and the chemical composition was further analyzed by EDS.

In Fig. 2(a and b) of the raw MG-Si sample Si, the identified precipitates are mainly as Fe-bearing silicide, respectively, CaAl₆Fe₄Si₈, Al₃FeSi₂, TiFeSi₂, and FeSi₂₄.

The effect of Mg alloying on the microstructure of MG-Si can be observed in Fig. 2(c–f). A large amount of eutectic Mg₂Si phase can be distinctly observed in both of the Mg-alloyed samples. In sample S3, there is also some eutectic silicon phase observed in Mg₂Si, while in the sample S2 much less eutectic silicon was found. In addition, the Fe-bearing silicide phases were found embedded inside the Mg₂Si phase. Meanwhile, the TiFeSi₂ phase was also found in the Mg₂Si phase rather than in the CaAl₆Fe₄Si₈ phase as in MG-Si. The CaAl₂Si₂ phase was found in the Mg-alloyed samples as well.

For the leached and re-alloyed S3 sample, it can be seen from Fig. 2(g–h) that the eutectic Mg₂Si is still the main precipitate, but the content of the other impurities is much lower than that in sample S3. It can be also seen that Ca-bearing phase barely exists, and only a very
small amount of Al-bearing was found. The main impurity phases embedded inside Mg$_2$Si were determined as TiFeSi$_2$ and FeSi$_{2.4}$.

**3.2. Phosphorus distribution**

In order to detect the P distribution in Si-Mg alloy system, SIMS mapping analysis was performed as normal EDX analysis results for very low concentrations at ppm level are not reliable. The mapping images in Fig. 3 show a distinct enrichment of P signal in the Mg-alloyed Si sample S2. Moreover, the P signal is found partially overlapped with the signal of “Mg + Si” and close to the Mg$_2$Si/Si interface, which confirms the attraction of Mg to P and the enhanced P segregation in the Mg-alloyed Si subsequently.

**3.3. Phase sensitivity to acid**

A metallographic sample of S2 was leached three times in 10% HCl solution at 60 °C within total time period 0.2 h, 0.5 h, and 1 h to study the precipitated phase sensitivity to the acid solution. The microstructure evolution at each leaching trial was captured by SEM and the results are shown in Fig. 4.

It can be seen in Fig. 4 that the removal of the precipitated phase increases visibly with increasing leaching time. After 0.2 h leaching, most of the CaAl$_2$Si$_2$ phase was leached away and some of the CaAl$_{10}$Fe$_4$Si$_6$ phase was also removed in the short period. In addition, most of the Mg$_2$Si phase was dissolved into to aqueous acid solution and a distinct cracking effect was observed as well. After 0.5 h leaching, more of the Al$_3$FeSi$_2$ and FeSi$_{2.4}$ phases were dissolved. After 1 h leaching, a majority of precipitated phases were significantly removed except the insoluble TiFeSi$_2$ phase. A reactivity sequence of precipitates in Mg-alloyed MG-Si is subsequently obtained according to the metallographic sample leaching results:

\[
\text{CaAl}_2\text{Si}_2, \text{CaAl}_{10}\text{Fe}_4\text{Si}_6 > \text{Mg}_2\text{Si} \gg \text{Al}_3\text{FeSi}_2, \text{FeSi}_{2.4} > \text{TiFeSi}_2
\]

**3.4. Variation of acid concentration**

The impurity removal efficiency is expected to depend on the acid leaching conditions. As shown in Fig. 5, by plotting the leaching results as a function of acid concentration, a well-defined maximum can be observed at around 10% HCl that neither higher concentration nor lower HCl concentration improves the impurity removal degree. Here the removal degree of the impurities is calculated as:

\[
\text{Impurity removal degree} = \frac{C_{\text{initial}} - C_{\text{purified}}}{C_{\text{initial}}} \times 100\% \quad (1)
\]

where $C_{\text{initial}}$ and $C_{\text{purified}}$ are the overall impurity concentration of samples before and after leaching in weight percent, respectively.

Since all the leaching trials were performed within the same time period, the different results thus indicate the difference of reaction kinetics with respect to different acid concentrations. More details are discussed in Section 4.1.

**3.5. Re-alloying and re-leaching**

Two sets of acid leaching trials (L6-L9 and L10-L13) were performed under constant conditions (60 °C, 10%HCl, magnetic stirring) to compare the effect of alloying-leaching times on impurities removal. The sample of the first leaching set is Mg-alloyed MG-Si sample S3. In the second leaching set, the sample is from the former 3 h leached S3 sample and re-alloyed by 4 wt% Mg once again. Samples used for ICP-MS measurement were subsequently taken at different leaching time of 0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h, and 3 h, respectively. Results can be seen in Fig. 6.

In the first leaching set (L6-L9), it is obvious that the concentration of the metallic impurities (Mg, Ca, Al, Fe, Ti, Mn, Zr) are decreases with increasing leaching time, especially in the first 1 h of acid leaching. P amount is also effectively reduced from 15.1 ppmw to 5.4 ppmw within 1 h leaching and further reduced to 5.1 ppmw after the first 3 h acid leaching for the sample S3. The exception is the removal of B, which has a high segregation coefficient ($k_B = 0.8$ [47]) in Si, and is generally considered to be not removed by the leaching method.

In the second leaching set of the Mg re-alloyed sample (L10-L13), a similar trend was observed again that most impurities were removed as the leaching process continues. More importantly, the concentration of impurities significantly reduced compared to the first leaching set. Furthermore, it was detected that P concentration after leaching successfully reduced to 0.2 ppmw, which meets the restricted requirements of the SoG-Si standard (P < 0.3 ppmw). Hence, the Mg alloying and re-alloying method exhibits a promising potential for the practical applications of MG-Si purification.

In order to further compare the leaching performance of the different alloying-leaching methods, the purification degree is calculated as below:

\[
Purification \ \text{degree} = \frac{C_{\text{MG-Si}} - C_{\text{purified}}}{C_{\text{MG-Si}}} \times 100\% \quad (2)
\]

where $C_{\text{MG-Si}}$ and $C_{\text{purified}}$ are impurity concentration of raw material MG-Si and after purification in weight percent.

The purification degree of studied three samples can be seen in Fig. 7. It can be observed that the purification efficiency follows the trend: direct MG-Si leaching < 1-time Mg alloying-leaching < 2-time Mg alloying. For sample S1, direct leaching of MG-Si, only limited P
purification is achieved. The P purification degree is significantly improved from 31.1% to 69.5% after Mg alloying, and especially for the leached and re-alloyed S3 sample, nearly complete P removal is achieved. Moreover, for the purification of metallic impurities such as Ca, Al, Fe, Ti, Mn, and Zr, distinguished improvement can be observed as well by the re-alloying method.

4. Discussion

4.1. Mechanism of impurities removal

Based on the microscopic examinations and the leaching behavior of the phases, the mechanism of impurity removal for both MG-Si and Mg-doped Si samples by acid leaching can be explained as schematically illustrated in Fig. 8.

In MG-Si, it is known that the precipitates are mainly Fe-bearing silicide phases with poor leaching ability. In addition, these Fe-bearing silicides dispersed unevenly and usually with fine microstructure at the grain boundaries. When the leaching process starts, only a portion of these particles can be exposed to acid, and only small parts of them can be dissolved due to the limited reactivity, which is depending on process characteristics. Consequently, impurity removal efficiency is limited.

In the MG-Si with Mg alloying sample, the dominant precipitate becomes Mg$_2$Si, and it is widely spread at the grain boundaries, or in other words, as a secondary phase between the primary silicon grains. Meanwhile, many other insoluble phases, e.g., Fe-bearing silicide, are found embedded inside the Mg$_2$Si precipitate matrix. Subsequently, when the acid leaching process starts, the highly reactive Mg$_2$Si phase is dissolved into the acid solution. At the same time, the poorly leachable silicide, which is embedded in Mg$_2$Si precipitates, could also be carried away physically. In addition, the observed cracking effect due to the intensive exothermic reaction between Mg$_2$Si and aqueous HCl solution is also favorable to promote the kinetics of further impurity removal. Consequently, after sufficient leaching time, impurities can be effectively removed.

4.2. Effect of acid concentration

Although the entire acid leaching process of Mg-alloyed Si is a heterogeneous solid-state reaction, the governed reaction is expected to be the decomposition of Mg$_2$Si due to its principal amount. However, since a distinct maximum of impurity removal degree was observed, it
implies that different factors govern the leaching process.

From the viewpoint of reaction kinetic factors, high concentration HCl solution is usually with high surface tension, which may suppress the chemical reaction located at micro pores. Consequently, with very high HCl concentration, the impurity removal degree in a given time decreased. On the other hand, from the viewpoint of the chemical reaction itself, the Mg$_2$Si decomposition in aqueous HCl is controlled by more complicated steps rather than HCl in the anhydrous condition which can be simply considered as [48]

$$\text{Mg}_2\text{Si(s)} + \text{HCl(aq.)} \rightarrow \text{MgCl}_2\text{(aq.)} + \text{SiH}_4(g)$$

The detailed reaction mechanism of Mg$_2$Si decomposition in aqueous HCl has been comprehensively investigated by Schwarz et al. [49]. It was found that the total reaction can be separated into several steps. Firstly, a primary hydrolysis reaction takes place and leads to the formation of an intermediate hydrolysis product dihydroxymagensesilane (\((\text{HOMg})_2\text{SiH}_2\)):

$$\text{Mg}_2\text{Si} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HCl} \left(\text{H}_2\text{O}\right) \text{Mg}\_{2\text{HCl}}\text{SiH}_2$$

This intermediate is then further acidic hydrolyzed by breaking the two Mg-Si bonds and form silane gas:

$$\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{Mg}\_{2\text{HCl}}\text{SiH}_2 + 4\text{HCl} \rightarrow 2\text{MgCl}_2 + \text{SiH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$$

And also may result in the formation of some hydrogen gas:

\[2\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{Mg}\_{2\text{HCl}}\text{SiH}_2 + 10\text{HCl} \rightarrow 2\text{MgCl}_2 + 2\text{SiH}_4 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \cdot \text{Mg}\_{2\text{HCl}}\text{SiH}_2\]
It can be seen that the total reaction of Mg$_2$Si decomposition is governed by both hydrolysis and reactive HCl acid interaction. On one hand, the primary hydrolysis reaction rate is determined by the amount of available H$_2$O in solution, which decreases with increasing HCl concentration. The total leaching efficiency is therefore limited under concentrated HCl condition. On the other hand, if the concentration of reactive HCl molecules becomes too low, the subsequent reactions will be suppressed as well and result in a lower leaching efficiency accordingly. While at the concentration of HCl around 10%, the optimum condition of the process is achieved, the acid leaching results thus exhibit a well-defined maximum observed in Fig. 5.

4.3. Enhancement of phosphorus segregation

In Section 3.2, it was confirmed by the SIMS mapping that the P segregation is evidently enhanced in the Si-Mg alloy system. Hence, the possible reasons for Mg alloying effects on P segregation enhancement are discussed below, respectively, from the liquidus variation based physical perspective and elements interaction based chemical perspective.

- **Physical perspective**

P segregation coefficient in Si is defined as the ratio of P concentration in the solid phase and in the liquid phase during solidification, which is equivalent to the ratio of solidus line and liquidus line and can be calculated as:

$$k_P^{Si} = \frac{X_{Solidus, Si-P}}{X_{Liquidus, Si-P}}$$

where $X_{Solidus, Si-P}$ and $X_{Liquidus, Si-P}$ represent the molar concentrations of P in solid state and in liquid state of Si, respectively. The P segregation coefficient $k_P^{Si}$ is well-known to be 0.35 [47] for low P-containing Si-P alloys.

If one assumes there is no significant variation on the solidus line of P in Si after Mg addition (the interaction between Mg and P is ignored in solidified primary silicon due to the low concentration), and the very low concentration of P in Si-Mg alloys has no effect on the liquidus curve, the segregation coefficient of P in Si-Mg system then only relies on the change of liquidus line from Si-P system to Si-Mg system. Therefore, Eq. (7) can be written for the dilute solutions of P in Si-Mg-P system as:

$$k_P^{Si-Mg} = \frac{X_{Solidus, Si-P}}{X_{Liquidus, Si-Mg}} = k_P^{Si} X_{Liquidus, Si-Mg}$$

where $X_{Liquidus, Si-Mg}$ represents the liquid composition of Si-Mg system, and the term $\frac{X_{Liquidus, Si-P}}{X_{Liquidus, Si-Mg}}$ is regarded as the correction factor of $k_P^{Si}$. If $X_{Liquidus, Si-P} < X_{Liquidus, Si-Mg}$, then the actual segregation coefficient of P is subsequently reduced from the theoretical 0.35 in Eq. (7).

The liquidus of binary Si-P and Si-Mg systems are plotted and compared at the Si-rich portion shown in Fig. 9. The Si-Mg liquidus line is obtained from the former work of Safarian et al.[50], and the Si-P liquidus is calculated by the same method based on the data in the Si-rich portion[51,52].

- **Chemical perspective**

From the chemical perspective, the segregation coefficient of P in Mg-alloyed Si can be obtained from the chemical potential equilibrium between the solid and liquid as the following equation:

$$\mu_P^{Si} = \mu_P^{Si-Mg}$$

where $\mu_P^{Si}$ and $\mu_P^{Si-Mg}$ are the chemical potential of P in primary solid Si front and in the liquid front.
Therefore,

\[ RT \ln a_P^{Si} = RT \ln a_P^{Si-Mg} \]  \hspace{1cm} (10)

where \( a_P^{Si} \) and \( a_P^{Si-Mg} \) are the activity of P in primary solid Si front and in the liquid front.

Based on the above equilibrium condition, it then gives

\[ X_P^{\alpha} = \frac{a_P^{Si}}{a_P^{Si-Mg}} X_P^{\beta} \]  \hspace{1cm} (11)

The segregation coefficient is then can be calculated as:

\[ k_P^{Si-Mg} = \frac{X_P}{X_P^{\alpha}} = \frac{X_P^{\beta}}{X_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\beta}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where \( X_P^{\alpha} \) and \( X_P^{\beta} \) represent the activity coefficient of P in solid and liquid Si, \( X_P^{\alpha} \) and \( X_P^{\beta} \) represent the activity coefficient of P in Si-Mg melt. The above equation is obtained based on the fact that the two solid and liquid phases are at equilibrium and necessarily the chemical potential, or the chemical activity, of P in the two phases are equal. In principle, the activity coefficient of P in Si-Mg-P melt can be expressed as:

\[ \ln \frac{X_P^{\alpha}}{X_P^{\beta}} = \ln \frac{a_P^{Si}}{a_P^{Si-Mg}} + \frac{\gamma_P^{\alpha}}{X_P^{\alpha}} - \frac{\gamma_P^{\beta}}{X_P^{\beta}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where \( \gamma_P^{\alpha} \) and \( \gamma_P^{\beta} \) represent the interaction coefficient of Mg and P in Si melt on each other. Parameters \( \gamma_P^{\alpha} \) and \( \gamma_P^{\beta} \) are the self-interaction coefficient of P, and the term \( \frac{\gamma_P^{\alpha}}{X_P^{\alpha}} - \frac{\gamma_P^{\beta}}{X_P^{\beta}} \) can be ignored due to the ultra-low P concentration at ppmw level in the melt. Therefore, we have:

\[ \ln \frac{X_P^{\alpha}}{X_P^{\beta}} = \frac{\gamma_P^{\alpha}}{X_P^{\alpha}} - \frac{\gamma_P^{\beta}}{X_P^{\beta}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Combining the above Eqs. (12) and (14), \( k_P^{Si-Mg} \) can be rewritten as:

\[ k_P^{Si-Mg} = k_P^{Si-Mg} \exp(\gamma_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\beta} \gamma_P^{\beta}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (15)

Since \( X_P^{\alpha} \) is always larger than 0 in Si-Mg alloy system, and the sign of term \( \gamma_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\beta} \gamma_P^{\beta} \) is negative due to the widely known strong attraction of alkaline-earth element to P to form stable phosphide \([53-55]\), it is expected that the segregation coefficient of P continuously decreases with increasing Mg addition into Si. The value of \( \gamma_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\beta} \gamma_P^{\beta} \) is later estimated to be as negative as \(-10.8\) in present work in Section 4.4 and it confirms this explanation. Indeed, the enhancement of P segregation is thought due to the interaction and formation of some stable P-bearing clusters in the melt, suggested by the following two paths:

\[ 2P + 1.5Mg_Si \rightarrow Mg_2P_2 + 1.5Si \]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

\[ 2SiP + Mg_Si \rightarrow MgSiP_2 + Si \]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Although P is known favorable to segregate at several specific sites in pure Si \([56]\), it is expected that Mg addition attracts more P into the Mg-Si precipitates, which are further easily removed in acid leaching and yielding higher P removal degree. The chemical interaction between Mg and P is considered the main reason for the successful P removal. Moreover, this interaction governs the P segregation enhancement during the whole solidification temperature-composition range.

### 4.4. Phosphorus removal modeling for Mg-doped silicon

The modeling method applied here refers to the methodology employed by Shimo et al.\([34]\). The assumptions are made here as follows:

A1. The behavior of solute element during growth of primary silicon grains from the Mg-alloyed Si melts follows the Gulliver-Scheil equation, the diffusion of solute in solid Si can be ignored and the remaining liquid alloy is homogeneous.

A2. The secondary precipitates (eutectic phases) are completely removed in acid leaching step. Thus, the value of P content that is measured by ICP-MS after leaching is equivalent to the P in primary Si (solid solution) before the eutectic reaction starts.

A3. Thermodynamic properties of ultra-low P concentration at ppmw level are independent of temperature. Thus, the interaction parameter \( \gamma_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\alpha} X_P^{\beta} \gamma_P^{\beta} \) remains constant during the whole solidification range.

As illustrated in Fig. 10, the above assumptions yield P redistribution during solidification in solidified primary silicon grains and in the remained melt. It is seen that for a given initial P concentration \( X_P^{initial} \) in Si(\( \alpha \)), the segregation starts from the beginning of primary Si grains formation and ends up to the beginning of the eutectic reaction. In addition, the concentration of P in solidified Si grains increases as the solid fraction increases. The trend becomes more rapidly at the final stage that is close to the eutectic point. The dotted lines plotted in the eutectic region are theoretically and experimentally approved concentration profile trend assuming no eutectic reaction (low P-containing Si-P melt solidification).

The theoretical P removal degree for the ternary system is defined here as Eq. (18):

\[ N_P^{initial} = \frac{N_P^{Si-P}}{N_P^{Si-P} + N_P^{Si-Mg}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)
The following mass balance is further applied to determine the value of $X_\text{F}_i$ in Si(i).

$$
X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} = \int f_i^{\text{elu}} X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} df_i
$$

where $f_i$ is the solid fraction of primary Si, $f_i^{\text{elu}}$ is the primary Si solid fraction at the starting point of eutectic reaction, and $X_\text{F}_i$ in Si(i) is the concentration of P at solid front which can be calculated as:

$$
X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} = k_p^\text{Si-Mg} X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} (1 - f_i^{\text{elu}})^{k_p^\text{Si-Mg} - 1}
$$

By rearranging and integrating Eq. (20), the following relationship can be derived:

$$
X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} \cong \frac{X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}}{f_i^{\text{elu}}} \left(1 - (1 - f_i^{\text{elu}}) X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} \right) \times 100$

Hence, combining Eqs. (18) and (21), we obtain:

$$
\eta = 1 - \frac{1}{f_i} \frac{X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}}{f_i^{\text{elu}}} \left(1 - (1 - f_i^{\text{elu}}) X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} \right) \times 100
$$

The term $\frac{X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}}{f_i^{\text{elu}}}$ can be further obtained from Gulliver-Scheil equation that:

$$
(1 - f_i) dX_\text{F}(i) = \left( X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} - X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} \right) df_i
$$

Considering the relationship $X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)} = k_p^\text{Si-Mg} X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}$, it yields:

$$
\frac{dX_\text{F}(i)}{X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}} = \frac{1 - k_p^\text{Si-Mg}}{(1 - f_i)} df_i
$$

Integrating Eq. (24) from solidification start point to the eutectic solidification starting point gives:

$$
\ln \frac{X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}}{X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}} = \int_{0}^{f_i^{\text{elu}}} \frac{1 - k_p^\text{Si-Mg}}{(1 - f_i)} df_i
$$

Since the relationship between segregation coefficient and $f_i$ has been derived by Eq. (20), and the segregation coefficient of Mg in Si is very low, so that we can approximate $k_p^\text{Si-Mg} \approx 0$, for the liquid concentration $X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}$, it can be calculated as:

$$
X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)} = \frac{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}}{f_i^{\text{elu}}}
$$

Combining Eqs. (15) and (26) we obtain:

$$
k_p^\text{Si-Mg} = k_p^p \exp \left( \frac{\Delta H_{\text{Mg}}}{R} \frac{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}}{f_i^{\text{elu}}} \right)
$$

And therefore, we can re-write Eq. (25) as:

$$
\ln \frac{X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}}{X_\text{F}_i \text{ in Si(i)}} = \int_{0}^{f_i^{\text{elu}}} \frac{1 - k_p^p \exp \left( \frac{\Delta H_{\text{Mg}}}{R} \frac{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}}{f_i^{\text{elu}}} \right)}{(1 - f_i)} df_i
$$

Thus, integrating Eq. (28) over the whole primary Si solidification range gives the result:

$$
\eta = \exp \left( k_p^p E_{\text{F}} \left( \frac{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}}{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}} - \frac{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}}{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}} \right) \right)
$$

where $E_{\text{F}}$ function is defined as the integral $E_{\text{F}} = \int \frac{df_i}{f_i^{\text{elu}}}$. Hence, a formula of the theoretical P removal degree from a P-containing Si-Mg melt is obtained as:

$$
\eta = 1 - \exp \left( \frac{\exp \left( k_p^p E_{\text{F}} \left( \frac{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}}{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}} - \frac{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}}{X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}} \right) \right)}{0.4629} \right) \times 100
$$

The obtained model here reveals clearly that there are only two independent variables that control the final P removal degree: the initial Mg content $X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)}$, and the interaction coefficient $\Delta H_{\text{Mg}}$. Thus, for this two-parameter dependent relationship, if one variable is known, the other can be determined based on experimental results. In the present work, the Mg-P interaction coefficient $\Delta H_{\text{Mg}}$ is determined by fitting the experimental results from the leaching set (L14-L17) where the ultrasonic condition applied. This is because the acoustic cavitation effects and more dispersed fine particles make the ultrasonic leaching generally more efficient than magnetic stirring leaching. Thus, a near complete removal of the eutectic secondary precipitates can be achieved to better meet the assumption A2. The plotted $\eta$ against the amount of added Mg in Fig. 11 shows that the proposed P removal model tends to give predictions, which are in good agreement with existing results. Furthermore, $\Delta H_{\text{Mg}}$ in Si is fitted to be $-10.8$. This negative value also confirms the strong chemical attraction of Mg to P in Si melt as discussed before in Section 4.3. Moreover, by employing Eq. (15), the relationship between Mg addition and P segregation coefficient variation can be further determined as Eq. (33) and it is shown in Fig. 12. Seeing that the segregation coefficient of P continuously decreases with Mg addition, the Mg addition is therefore expected to enhance the P removal in the applied process.

$$
k_p^p = 0.35 \exp \left( -10.8X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si(i)} \right)
$$

It is worth to note that the obtained P removal model here can be extended to more general scenarios for the leaching of other alloying systems in which silicon is doped by metal Me to obtain Si-Me alloy system in the Si-rich port. Hence, a more general model concentrates on the theoretical analysis of P removal degree by acid leaching of an arbitrary binary Si-Me eutectic alloy system can be written as:

$$
\eta = \frac{X_{\text{P initial}} \text{ in Si}}{X_{\text{P initial}} \text{ in Si}} \times 100
$$

where $X_{\text{P initial}}$ and $X_{\text{P final}}$ are the alloying metal concentrations at initial and at the eutectic point. It is worth noting that for a specific alloy system, the $X_{\text{P initial}}$ is a constant. $X_{\text{Mg}} \text{ in Si}$ indicates the Me-P interaction...
is further defined as:

\[ X_p^{\text{initial}} = (1 - (1 - f_{L}^{\text{Eu}})^{k_{\text{Si-Me}}^p}) \]

(36)

Considering the relation \( f_{L}^{\text{Eu}} = 1 - f_{L}^{\text{Eu}} \), it yields:

\[ \frac{X_p^{\text{in}}}{X_p^{\text{initial}}} = \frac{1 - f_{L}^{\text{Eu}}}{1 - f_{L}^{\text{Eu}},} \]

(37)

So that,

\[ \eta = \left( \frac{X_p^{\text{initial}}}{X_p^{\text{in}}(s)} - X_p^{\text{initial}}/X_p^{\text{in}}(s) \right) \times 100\% = \frac{1 - f_{L}^{\text{Eu}}}{1 - f_{L}^{\text{Eu}}} \times 100\% \]

(38)

Thus, by considering the effect of multiple operation times into Eq. (38), the final P concentration after conducting the alloying-leaching process for \( n \) times can be written as:

\[ \frac{X_p^{\text{in}}}{X_p^{\text{initial}}} = \left( \frac{1 - f_{L}^{\text{Eu}}}{1 - f_{L}^{\text{Eu}}} \right)^n \]

(39)

where \( X_p^{\text{in}} \) in \( (s) \) is the final P concentration in Si after \( n \) times alloying-leaching process.

The above model offers another method to calculate the P removal degree and to calculate required process operation times based on the averaged P segregation coefficient \( k_{p}^\text{Si-Me} \) and liquid fraction at eutectic point \( f_{L}^{\text{Eu}} \). Here, the \( k_{p}^\text{Si-Me} \) can be calculated as Eq. (40), by integrating the \( k_{p}^\text{Si-Me} \) from the initial alloying metal concentration to the eutectic temperature and divided by the composition range.

\[ k_{p}^\text{Si-Me} = \frac{k_p^\text{Si} \exp(p^{\text{Si}}_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(})) \exp(p^{\text{Si}}_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(})) \exp(p^{\text{Si}}_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(})) \exp(p^{\text{Si}}_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si()))} dX_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}}}{(X_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}) - X_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}))} \]

(40)

After solving Eq. (40), we have:

\[ k_{p}^\text{Si-Me} = \frac{k_p^\text{Si} \exp(p^{\text{Si}}_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(})) \exp(p^{\text{Si}}_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}) - X_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}))}{(X_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}) - X_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}))} \]

(41)

In present work, the averaged P segregation coefficient is calculated as:

\[ k_{p}^\text{Si-Me} = \frac{k_p^\text{Si} \exp(-10.8X_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}))}{-10.8(X_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}) - X_{\text{Me}} \text{ in Si(}))} \]

(42)

If one assumes \( X_p^{\text{in}} \) in \( (s) = 0.3, 0.5, \) and 1.0 ppmw are the target P purification level, the relationship among Mg alloying concentration, initial P concentration, and the process operation times can be subsequently obtained, as shown in Fig. 13.

It is seen in Fig. 13 that the required purification process times can be adjusted based on by varying the initial P concentration and Mg alloying concentration. For the most often scenarios, MG-Si can be purified to a target purification level by 1-time or 2-time Mg alloying-leaching process. With more restrict purification reequipment (lowering the target P concentration), this process window gets narrower. Higher process operation time \( (n > 2) \) is then required with small Mg addition and high initial P concentration.
was estimated to be $-10.8$.

5. Conclusions

In the present work, Mg-Si purification by a combined process of Mg-alloying followed by HCl acid leaching was comprehensively investigated. The main conclusions can be summarized as:

(1) After Mg alloying, impurity-bearing phases were observed embedded inside the Mg-Si eutectic precipitates and further removed to a large extent after acid leaching. The leaching efficiency of Mg alloyed Mg-Si depends on acid concentration with an optimum as 10% HCl.

(2) A two times Mg alloying-leaching process was found able to effectively reduce P concentration from 15.1 ppmw to 0.2 ppmw, which meets the requirement of SoG-Si feedstock production.

(3) Enhancement of P segregation after Mg alloying was confirmed by SIMS mapping and thermodynamic analysis. The interaction coefficient $d_{SiP} = \frac{d}{Si}$ was estimated to be $-10.8$.

(4) A theoretical model was developed for the P segregation of arbitrary low P-containing Si-Me-P alloy systems subjected to acid leaching process. The Si purification degree is dependent on the alloying metal concentration and the interaction coefficient between alloying metal Me to P.

(5) A process model was proposed by establishing the mathematical relationships among initial P concentration, target P concentration after purification, the amount of the alloying metal addition, and alloying-leaching operation times.
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