Biowaste compost effects on productive and qualitative characteristics of some field crops and on soil fertility
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Abstract

Biowaste compost exploitation is a way of recovering agricultural soil fertility that in these last decades decreased up to a desertification limit. In order to test compost efficacy on crop yield and soil fertility, in the period 2011-2013 at COTIR experimental farm, a trial comparing different amounts of compost on two crop rotations was carried out. Crop rotations tested were durum wheat-sunflower-durum wheat and tomato-durum wheat-pepper. Results showed that the use of 40 t ha\(^{-1}\) of compost increased wheat grain yield and protein content if compared to control and mineral fertilised treatment. Compost application at 40 t ha\(^{-1}\) increased also yield of pepper in the first two harvest times, while during the third harvest, which included green and red berries, (the) yield was statistically different only if compared to control treatment. Moreover, compost improved soil fertility both in terms of organic matter and main nutritional elements, while a negative aspect of its use was the increase of soil electric conductivity, although no negative effect on crops yield were observed.

Introduction

In the last decades industrialisation and urbanisation processes in the Western countries were quite intense. These processes, on one hand increased population wealth, on the other created serious problems concerning the management of disposal and wastewater from civil and industrial plants (Italian Regulation, 1982). Within year 2020 at least 50% of urban wastes will have to be recovered, in particular organic waste (Italian Regulation, 2006a; European Commission, 2008). The European Commission with COM 13 (2011) reports to the parliament the necessity to adopt a waste management strategy, in order to reduce considerably waste production and, at the same time, to increase recycling and composting.

Contextually intensive agriculture leads to a simplification of cropping system with consequent reduction of soil organic matter. In Central and Southern Italy crop systems based on continuous durum wheat were used in order to increase productivity. This cropping system, based on chemical fertilisation and stubble burning, decreased considerably soil organic matter. Studies concerning the Italian soils showed that over 50% of agricultural soils have low organic matter, and this percentage reaches 64% for Abruzzo region (Cappelli e Aureli, 1998). EU Climate Change Programme (ECCP, 2001) soil strategy pays a growing attention to the role of organic matter in soils, in order to ensure soil fertility, biodiversity and to prevent desertification.

The use of compost in agriculture is a way to improve soil organic matter, to guarantee a positive humic balance and to allow for fertility recovery (Fecondo et al., 2008). On this basis, at COTIR experimental farm in the years 2011-2013, an experimentation based on application of biowaste compost on field crops was carried out with the aim to test the effect of biowaste compost distribution on durum wheat, sunflowers, tomato and pepper arranged in two crop rotations: wheat-sunflower-wheat (WSW) and tomato-wheat-pepper (TWP) which are the main crop rotations adopted, respectively, in rainfeed and irrigated areas of Abruzzo region.

Materials and methods

Experimental design and crop management

Field experiments were carried out in Vasto (Chieti, Italy) (42° 10’ lat. N; 14° 38’ long. E, 30 m asl) in the growing seasons 2010/2011, 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 at the experimental farm of the CO.TIR. - Centre for Irrigation Techniques.

The soil is a vertisol of alluvial origin (Aquic Haploxerert), silty-clay-loam with the following average characteristics in the 0-40 cm depth: clay 37.7%, silt 56.7%, sand 5.6%, pH (water) 8.0, total nitrogen 1.4 g kg\(^{-1}\), organic matter 2.0%, NaHCO\(_3\)-extractable phosphorus (P) 12.0 mg kg\(^{-1}\), NH\(_4\)O Ac-extractable K\(_2\)O, 380 mg kg\(^{-1}\), bulk density 1.21 kg
dm⁻³, field capacity (Richard’s plate, -0.03 MPa) water content 0.40 m³ m⁻³; permanent wilting point (-1.5 MPa) water content 0.22 m³ m⁻³, available soil water 180 mm m⁻¹. The climate is *attenuate thermo-mediterranean* (FAO-UNESCO, 1963) with minimum temperatures below 0°C in the winter and about 34-36°C, as maximum temperatures, in the summer. Annual rainfall (mean 650 mm, considering 40-year long term period) is mostly concentrated during the autumn and spring months and class A pan evaporation fluctuates, during July and August, between 6 and 8 mm d⁻¹ in clear sky days. The effect of biowaste compost was tested on durum wheat, sunflowers, tomato and pepper arranged in two crop rotations: WSW and TWP. The varieties used were *Ignazio* for durum wheat, *Pavia* for tomato, *Rumbasol* for sunflower and *Altino sweet pepper* (a local variety of red pepper). As experimental design a randomised block with three replications was used with elementary plots of 40 m². For each rotation four treatments were compared: control (C) without any fertilisation, mineral fertilised (MF), C20 and C40, respectively 20 and 40 t ha⁻¹ of biowaste compost. Compost was distributed only during the first two years of experimentation before soil plowing. Certified biowaste compost used had chemical, physical and biological characteristics in agreement with the Decree of Law n. 217/2006 (Italian Regulation, 2006b). The main chemical characteristics, expressed on dry matter basis, were: dry matter 77.8%, humic acids 8.9%, organic carbon (C) 35.1%, total nitrogen (N) 2.1% of which 95% was organic form, total P 1.1%, total potassium (K) 5% and C/N 13.5.

Plots of all treatments were moldboard plowed to 30 cm depth followed by secondary tillage with a soil grubber and harrow for seedbed and soil transplanting preparation. Dates of main agronomic practices are reported in Table 1. Wheat and sunflower were sowing at a rate of 400 and 8 germinable seeds per m², while tomato and pepper were transplanted with a plant population of 3.3 plants per m². Weeds were controlled by mechanical weeding in tomato, sunflower and pepper and by herbicide application in wheat (0.01 kg ha⁻¹ tribenuron-metile and 0.45 kg ha⁻¹ cloquintocet-mexyl, pinoxaden). Tomato and pepper were irrigated with drip line with emitters of 0.4 L h⁻¹ flow, 0.3 m apart, distributing 200 and 140 mm water respectively for tomato and pepper. Specific fungicides and insecticides were used on tomato and pepper to control the main pests (douny mildew, oidium, aphid and acari).

Pre-sowing mineral fertiliser was applied only in the treatment MF with 36 kg ha⁻¹ of N and 92 kg ha⁻¹ of P, as ammonium phosphate, for durum wheat and sunflower, 25 kg ha⁻¹ of N, 45 kg ha⁻¹ of P and 40 kg ha⁻¹ of K for tomato and 36 kg ha⁻¹ of N, 36 kg ha⁻¹ of P and 72 kg ha⁻¹ of K for pepper. Top-dress N in the MF treatment was applied as ammonium nitrate at a rate of 104 kg ha⁻¹ N for wheat and 78 kg ha⁻¹ N for tomato and sunflower.

Treatments C20 and C40 were top-dress fertilised with ammonium nitrate, on the basis of a N balance, taking into account compost mineral N content and mineralisation rate of compost organic N. Organic N mineralisation rate was fixed at 10% on annual basis (Agtr trasfer-In Sud, 2012) and the amount of available N for crops was estimated multiplying the amount of mineralised N per 8/12 and 5/12, respectively, for wheat and summer crops. The doses of top dress N for each crop were calculated with respect to the total N applied to MF treatments considering negligible N losses. In the first year wheat (rotation WSW) was top dressed with 92 and 45 kg ha⁻¹ N, respectively for treatment C20 and C40, while tomato (rotation TWP) was fertilised with 66 and 29 kg ha⁻¹ N. In the second and third year only C20 treatments were top dressed, respectively sunflower with 48 kg ha⁻¹ N, tomato with 45 kg ha⁻¹ N, wheat with 50 kg ha⁻¹ N and pepper with 60 kg ha⁻¹ N.

### Data collection and data processing

At harvest, on durum wheat and sunflower, were determined yield, test weight, thousand-kernel weight, protein content (Kjeldahl N x 6.25) and oil content (Soxhlet extraction) were measured respectively for wheat and sunflower. On tomato and pepper were determined marketable yield, green berries, deteriorated yield, optic residue, acidity and pH (in water).

At the end of three years of experimentation, soil samples were taken at 0-0.2 and 0.2-0.4 m depth. Soil samples were oven dry at 65°C.

### Table 1. Crop management practices adopted during the experiment.

| Treatments | Crop rotation WSW | Wheat | Sunflower | Crop rotation TWP | Tomato | Pepper |
|------------|-------------------|-------|-----------|-------------------|--------|--------|
|            |                   |       |           |                   |        |        |
| Pre-sowing fertilisation | 2/12/10 | 28/3/12 | 6/11/12 |
| Sowing     | 6/12/10           | 12/04/12 | 6/11/12 |
| Top-dress fertilisation | 9/2/11 | 22/5/12 | 18/4/13 |
| Weed control | 8/4/11 | 22/5/12 | 22/3/12 |
| Pre-sowing fertilisation | 19/4/11 | 2/12/11 | 17/5/13 |
| Sowing     | -                 | 4/12/11 | -        |
| Transplantation | 5/5/11 | -       | 16/5/13 |
| Top-dress fertilisation | 24/5/11 | 13/3/12 | 24/06/13 |
| Irrigation N | 10 | -       | 7       |
| Weed control | 30/5-22/6/11 | 22/3/12 | 24/06/13 |
| Pest control | 19-24/5-13/4-20/29/07/11 | - | 15/6-2-23/7/13 |

**WSW: wheat-sunflower-durum wheat; TWP: tomato durum wheat-pepper; N, nitrogen.**

### Table 2. Crop yield obtained in the growing season 2010/2011, 2011/2012 and 2012/2013 for the two crop rotations, expressed in t ha⁻¹.

| Treatments | Wheat 2010/2011 | Sunflower 2011/2012 | Wheat 2012/2013 | Tomato 2010/2011 | Crop rotation TWP | Weed 2011/2012 | Pepper 2012/2013 |
|------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|
|            |                |                     |                |                 |                   |                |                |
| C          | 3.5            | 1.3                 | 3.8            | 73.2            | 5.3               | 7.8            |
| MF         | 4.2            | 1.3                 | 5.3            | 76.5            | 6.3               | 10.4           |
| C20        | 4.7            | 1.3                 | 5.1            | 77.9            | 6.5               | 10.1           |
| C40        | 5.9            | 1.2                 | 4.7            | 81.4            | 7                | 11.2           |
| F-ANOVA    | *              | n.s.                | *              | n.s.            | *                 | *              |
| CV (%)     | 14.1           | 38.5                | 12.5           | 15.1            | 8.7               | 10.3           |

*Significant at P = 0.05. *Values followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s test.

WSW, wheat-sunflower-durum wheat; TWP, tomato durum wheat-pepper; C, control without any fertilisation; MF, mineral fertilised; C20, C40, 20 and 40 t ha⁻¹ of biowaste compost, respectively; n.s., not significant.
for 24 h, finely ground by a specific mill and analysed in order to determine pH and conductivity (soil/water ratio 1:2), organic matter (Walkley-Black organic carbon multiplied by 0.172), total N (Kjeldahl), available P (Olsen), extractable K (ammonium acetate extraction). Experimental data were analysed using ANOVA and Duncan’s test for means separation (Statistica, StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA).

Results and discussion

Crop parameters

Table 2 reports crops yield of the two rotations. Treatment C40 has significantly increased yield of wheat in the WSW rotation during the first growing season, while in the third one yield was not significantly different from other treatments and the highest value was achieved by MF treatment. In the third year high percentage of plant lodging affected by experimental treatments. Correct interpretation of the results on sunflower was not possible in consequence of the high coefficient of variation (38.5%) owed to the plants damaging by wild animals (wild boar). Treatment C40 has slightly improved seed quality in terms of test weight (48.4 kg hl⁻¹ vs 45.2 kg hl⁻¹) and thousand-kernel weight (47.2 g vs 46.8 g) if compared to the C. No statistical differences were observed for the seed oil percentage content.

In the crop rotation TWP, treatment C40 increased wheat yield only if compared to the control, no differences occurred in comparison to MF and C20 treatments (Table 2), but it has significantly increased protein content and reduced Fusarium incidence (Table 3).

Tomato yield was not significantly affected by treatments although marketable yield of C40 treatment was the highest (Table 1). In Figure 1, component of yield were showed in terms of marketable, green and decayed barriers.

In pepper treatment C40 increased marketable yield (red barriers) during the first two harvests as compared to C and MF treatments (Figure 2), whereas in the third harvest (sum of red and green berries) no differences were observed. Total yield (sum of all harvest) of C20 and C40 did not differ from MF, but only in comparison to the control (Table 2). Dry matter content of pepper barriers was not affected by the treatments, but increased during the season from the first to the third harvest (12.9%, 17.9 and 22.4%). Compost did not affect significantly acidity, pH and optical residue of pepper and tomato.

Pedologic surveys

Data reported in Table 4 show that compost affected significantly all soil parameters, except pH, as observed by Eghball et al. (2004). No differences were observed between rotations and soil samples taken at 0-0.2 and 0.2-0.40 m depth. The homogeneity between soil depths is

| Treatment | Test weight (kg hl⁻¹) | Thousand kernel weight (g) | Protein content (% s.s.) | Spike yield (n. spikes m⁻²) | Fusarium (n. plants m⁻²) |
|-----------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|
| Growing season 2010-2011 - rotation WSW | | | | | |
| C | 78.7 | 47.3 | 13.1c | 228b | 119a |
| MF | 78.4 | 48.5 | 14.2bc | 331b | 69b |
| C20 | 79.4 | 47.3 | 15ab | 345a | 2c |
| C40 | 80.2 | 52.5 | 15.8a | 387a | 11a |
| F-ANOVA | n.s. | n.s. | * | * | ** |
| CV (%) | 1.8 | 5.9 | 12.7 | 13.8 | 16.3 |
| Growing season 2011-2012 - rotation TWP | | | | | |
| C | 75.3c | 47b | 14.2c | 400 | 22a |
| MF | 77.5b | 47.1b | 14.3bc | 381 | 14b |
| C20 | 78.8b | 47.3b | 15ab | 413 | 15ab |
| C40 | 82.7a | 48.3a | 17.1a | 472 | 7b |
| F-ANOVA | ** | * | * | n.s. | * |
| CV (%) | 1.5 | 5.8 | 6.2 | 11.6 | 33.1 |
| Growing season 2012-2013 - rotation WSW | | | | | |
| C | 75.8 | 49 | 12.1b | 322a | 87a |
| MF | 75.6 | 50.1 | 13.3bc | 356b | 36b |
| C20 | 76 | 49.4 | 14ab | 389b | 19 |
| C40 | 77 | 47.3 | 16a | 450a | 22c |
| F-ANOVA | n.s. | n.s. | * | ** | ** |
| CV (%) | 4.5 | 8.6 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 5.5 |

WSW, wheat-sunflower-durum wheat; TWP, tomato-durum wheat-pepper; C, control without any fertilisation; MF, mineral fertilised. C20, C40, 20 and 40 t ha⁻¹ of biowaste compost, respectively; n.s., not significant difference. *Significant at P≤0.05; **significant at P≤0.01. Values followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s test.
probably dewed to tillage operation that determined a uniform distribution of the compost along soil profile. Organic matter content, which represents one of the most important markers of soil fertility, reached 3% in C40 in comparison to 2% of control, which was not significantly different from MF and C20. With regard to the main plant nutrients, C40 increased total N of 21% (1.7 g kg⁻¹) ex 1.4 g kg⁻¹ of MF) and of 38% in comparison to MF and C treatments respectively. Treatment C40 increased available P of 46.6% (17.6 mg kg⁻¹ ex 12 mg kg⁻¹) and K of 18% (451 mg kg⁻¹ ex 380 mg kg⁻¹) in comparison to MF treatment. Similar results were observed by Casado-Vela et al. (2007). Electric conductivity increased significantly in both depths (Table 3) compared to MF treatment, with an average increment of 0.20 and 0.13 dS m⁻¹ respectively for C40 and C20. In this experiment, soil salinity did not affect the performance and productivity of crops.

**Figure 1.** Marketable yield (MY), green berries (GY) and decayed berries (DY) of tomato under compost and mineral fertilisation in comparison to the control not fertilised. C, control without any fertilisation; MF, mineral fertilised; C20, C40, 20 and 40 t ha⁻¹ of biowaste compost, respectively.

| Treatments | pH | E.C. (dS m⁻¹) | Organic matter (%) | Total N (g kg⁻¹) | Available P (mg kg⁻¹) | Extractable K (mg kg⁻¹) |
|------------|----|---------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| **Depth 0-20 cm** | | | | | | |
| C          | 7.9 | 0.24a         | 2.1b               | 1.3b             | 9.8b                  | 373b                   |
| MF         | 7.9 | 0.34bc        | 2.2b               | 1.4b             | 12.4b                 | 395.2b                 |
| C20        | 7.7 | 0.42ac        | 2.4b               | 1.5b             | 14.9b                 | 427b                   |
| C40        | 7.8 | 0.5b          | 2.7a               | 1.7a             | 18.5a                 | 451.4b                 |
| F-ANOVA    | n.s.| **          | *                 | *                | **                    | *                       |
| CV (%)     | 1.2 | 15.3          | 9.2                | 5.1              | 20.0                  | 3.8                    |
| **Depth 21-40 cm** | | | | | | |
| C          | 7.9 | 0.31b         | 1.9b               | 1.1b             | 10.1c                 | 388c                   |
| MF         | 7.9 | 0.33c         | 2b                 | 1.3c             | 11.5c                 | 374c                   |
| C20        | 7.8 | 0.51b         | 2.2b               | 1.4b             | 14.2b                 | 417.9b                 |
| C40        | 7.8 | 0.58c         | 3.3c               | 1.94.16.6c       | 450.6c                 |
| F-ANOVA    | n.s.| **          | *                 | **               | *                      |                       |
| CV (%)     | 0.8 | 16.6          | 22.9               | 10.5             | 9.3                   | 8.1                    |

C, control without any fertilisation; MF, mineral fertilised; C20, C40, 20 and 40 t ha⁻¹ of biowaste compost, respectively; N, nitrogen; P, phosphorus; K, potassium; n.s., not significant difference. *Significant at P≤0.05; **significant at P≤0.01. Values followed by different letters are significantly different according to Duncan’s test.

**Figure 2.** Yield of sweet pepper, under compost and mineral fertilisation in comparison to the control not fertilised, achieved during different harvest time (6 August - H1, 22 August - H2 and 6 September - H3). C, control without any fertilisation; MF, mineral fertilised; C20, C40, 20 and 40 t ha⁻¹ of biowaste compost, respectively.

**Conclusions**

Biowaste compost generally improved, in the examined crops, productive and qualitative characteristics both for wheat and sunflower, increased test weight, thousand kernel weight and protein content. Moreover reduced the incidence of important diseases such as *Fusarium* on wheat.

In particular, the use of compost at 40 t ha⁻¹ allowed to reduce mineral fertilisers use without consequence for the crop as demonstrated by durum wheat grain yield and protein content, both increased by compost.

In wheat crop, plants lodging risk increased with compost application...
especially with favourable climatic condition (abundant rainfall during spring and early summer) as occurred in the third year experiment.

Concerning soil fertility, application of compost increased organic matter and mineral elements content like N, available P and K in the root zone of both rotations. The electric conductivity though increased of 58% in C40 and of 38% in C20 with regard to MF treatment, did not affect negatively crops yield, including those susceptible to salinity such as pepper.
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