Highly photosensitive graphene field-effect transistor with optical memory function
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Graphene is a promising material for use in photodetectors for the ultrawide wavelength region: from ultraviolet to terahertz. Nevertheless, only the 2.3% light absorption of monolayer graphene and fast recombination time of photo-excited charge restrict its sensitivity. To enhance the photosensitivity, hybridization of photosensitive material and graphene has been widely studied, where the accumulated photo-excited charge adjacent to the graphene channel modifies the Fermi level of graphene. However, the charge accumulation process slows the response to around a few tens of seconds to minutes. In contrast, a charge accumulation at the contact would induce the efficient light-induced modification of the contact resistance, which would enhance its photosensitivity. Herein, we demonstrate a highly photosensitive graphene field-effect transistor with noise-equivalent power of $\sim 3 \times 10^{-15} \text{ W/Hz}^{1/2}$ and with response time within milliseconds at room temperature, where the Au oxide on Au electrodes modulates the contact resistance because of the light-assisted relaxation of the trapped charge at the contact. Additionally, this light-induced relaxation imparts an optical memory function with retention time of ~5 s. These findings are expected to open avenues to realization of graphene photodetectors with high sensitivity toward single photon detection with optical memory function.

Image-sensing devices such as charge-coupled devices (CCDs) have become indispensable not only for daily life but also for industrial applications. The charge accumulation induced by incident photons to the device is a crucially important aspect of imaging devices with sufficiently high sensitivity for single-photon detection. Monolayer graphene has been predicted theoretically to have uniform light absorption at ultra-wideband wavelengths1. Consequently, graphene is anticipated for use in optical sensors2 with an ultra-wide wavelength region extending from ultraviolet to terahertz with high-speed photosponse3–6. That high sensitivity is attributable to graphene's zero-gap band structure and unusually high mobility7. Even given these benefits, the photogenerated electron–hole pairs in graphene normally recombine within a few tens of picoseconds6,7, which results in the poor intrinsic photosresponse of the graphene photodetectors of $\sim 1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ A/W}$. One strategy to improve photosensitivity is “preventing recombination” or “enhancing the charge separation of photo-excited hole-electron pairs”. The photocurrent is expected to be enhanced if an additional external field is applied to separate the photogenerated holes and electrons. A strong electric field at regions adjacent to the metal electrodes to graphene channel engenders efficient photocurrent generation3,8–10 resulting in $> 30\%$ efficiency for electron–hole separation, as revealed using scanning optical microscopy.

Further enhancement of photosensitivity was achieved by introducing a charge accumulation element such as a trapping site adjacent to the channel of a graphene field-effect-transistor (G-FET). The photogenerated carriers in a graphene modulate the distribution or density of accumulated charges,
field effect mobility was ~600 cm²/Vs. At the characteristics measured at both the dark and illumination conditions were almost identical, where the peak of the graphene channel was transferred immediately after the fabrication of electrodes without oxidation in Fig. 1a exhibits high photosensitivity of ~6.1 A/W (~25 pA/photon) at room temperature and optical memory function, where the Au oxide layer on the Au electrodes acts as photoinduced variable contact resistance.

This report describes that G-FET with a thin barrier layer of AuOₓ layer at the contact presented in Fig. 1a exhibits high photosensitivity of ~6.1 × 10⁴ A/W (~25 pA/photon) at room temperature and optical memory function, where the Au oxide layer on the Au electrodes acts as photoinduced variable contact resistance.

Figure 1a presents a schematic illustration of photosensitive G-FET with a thin barrier at the source and drain electrodes, where the graphene was on the Au electrodes. Generally, graphene is transferred before the fabrication of source and drain electrodes to ensure good contact between them. Here, we transfer the graphene on the source and drain Au electrodes after the formation of a thin barrier on the electrodes. Source and drain electrodes consisting of Cr/Au (5 nm/30 nm) were fabricated on a highly doped Si substrate with a 300 nm-thick SiO₂ layer. Subsequently, the Au electrodes were oxidized by O₂ plasma with RF (100 kHz) power of 100 W for 60 s to form the Au oxide layer on the electrodes. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was performed using Mg-Kα radiation (1253.6 eV), where the binding energy was corrected using the C1s peak at 284.6 eV. After the Au-electrode oxidation, a monolayer graphene was transferred onto the substrate and was trimmed using oxygen plasma etching to form an FET channel (width x length: 5 × 2 and 2 × 4 μm), where the graphene was synthesized using low-pressure chemical vapor deposition at 1000°C using Cu foil as catalyst (see Fig. S1 for Raman spectrum of grown graphene). All electrical measurements were taken in vacuum at ~10⁻⁵ Pa.

Figure 1b,c show X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra for Au 4f before and after the plasma oxidation processes. Chemical shifts of Au 4f peaks induced by the oxidation process are observed clearly to be ~1.2 eV, which are determined to be oxidized Au₂O₅. Figure 1d presents transfer characteristics of G-FET with plasma oxidized electrodes measured under dark and illuminated (510 nm, ~228 μW/cm²) conditions at room temperature, where collimated light with a beam diameter larger than ~20 nm through a color filter was used as the light source. At gate voltage (V_GS) lower than 20 V, transfer characteristics measured at both the dark and illumination conditions were almost identical, where the peak field effect mobility was ~600 cm²/Vs. At V_GS > 20 V with a V_GS sweep rate of 14 V/s, the photoresponse of the source–drain current ΔI_DS appeared as presented in Fig. 1d. It is noteworthy that the G-FET of which the graphene channel was transferred immediately after the fabrication of electrodes without oxidation showed no remarkable photosensitivity (see Fig. S2). Figure 1e exhibits the difference of I_DS measured in dark and illumination conditions (ΔI_DS). The ΔI_DS, either negative or positive, depends on the sweep rate of V_GS; it increases to 1.5 μA (6.5 × 10⁴ A/W) with increase of the sweep rate (see also Figs 3S and S4). A possible scenario to explain this photoresponse is that the certain charge accumulation (trapping) sites, which contribute to the photoresponse of the G-FET, were created by the O₂ plasma treatment. Despite the high photosensitivity of 6.5 × 10⁴ A/W, the continuous shift of V_D nec was observed for the repeated cycle of the measurements because of the pileup of the trapped charge and was returned slightly to the initial V_D nec. Consequently, the plasma oxidation induces the strong charge trapping site on SiO₂ in addition to formation of the Au oxide layer on the source and drain electrodes.

To reduce the damage to the SiO₂ layer induced by the oxidation process, we performed a more moderate oxidation process as natural oxidation of the Au electrodes. The substrate with the as-deposited Au electrodes was left intentionally in air for a few months to form a native oxide layer with less damage to the SiO₂ layer. Figure 2a shows that the Au oxide related peak shifts in XPS spectra are visible after natural oxidation. The peak shifts are much smaller than those for the plasma oxidation, although the intensities are higher than those for the plasma oxidation, which indicates that the oxidized Au formed by the natural oxidation process has smaller valence of Au than that formed by the plasma oxidation. Additional analyses such as transmission electron microscopy should be conducted to clarify the detailed structure of AuOₓ layer on Au.
The suppression of \( V_{\text{Dirac}} \) shifts between dark and illuminated conditions presented in Fig. 2b implies that the formation of trapping sites on \( \text{SiO}_2 \) is well eliminated by the natural oxidation process. Even with reduction of the \( V_{\text{Dirac}} \) shift, photosensitivity appears at \( V_{\text{GS}} > V_{\text{Dirac}} \). Figure 2c shows that the magnitude of photoresponse at \( V_{\text{GS}} > V_{\text{Dirac}} \) increases concomitantly with increase of the sweep rate. No significant photoresponse was observed (Fig. S5) in the case of 4-wire measurements, which indicates that the photoinduced modification of contact resistance serves an important role in the development of the photoresponse. Figure S6a and S6b respectively show transfer characteristics of G-FET (another device) measured at various wavelengths and \( V_{\text{DS}} \). No significant wavelength dependence is apparent in the visible region. Additionally, photoresponse \( \Delta I_{\text{DS}} \) is proportional to \( V_{\text{DS}} \), with no significant nonlinear dependence for \( V_{\text{DS}} \) of 10–100 mV. Consequently, the observed photoresponses are not modified by the \( V_{\text{DS}} \), but are governed by the contact resistance at the graphene–electrode interface under the measurement conditions.

To clarify the photoinduced modulation of contact resistance, the transient photoresponse immediately after the light illumination was investigated. As portrayed in Fig. 3a, we applied \( V_{\text{GS}} \) pulse of 0 V
(<V_{Dirac}) for 2 ms, which corresponds to the gate bias for hole doping. Subsequently, the light pulse (light emitting diode (LED) with center wavelength of 623 nm, see Fig. S7 for more detail including experimental setup) was exposed at 4 ms after the application of \( V_{GS} = 50 \text{ V} \) (> \( V_{Dirac} \)), where \( V_{DS} = 100 \text{ mV} \). Figure 3a shows that \( I_{DS} \) responds quickly depending on the \( V_{GS} \) pulse within the time constant for our measurement setup (cutoff frequency: \( \sim 100 \text{ kHz} \)). The noise floor of the measurement setup for the transient current is \( \sim 1 \text{ nA/Hz}^{1/2} \), which is determined mainly by a high voltage amplifier for gate switching. The photocurrent still flows continuously after the light exposure is halted. This phenomenon is applicable to the optical memory, where the \( V_{GS} \) pulse of 0 V acts as the reset function. Furthermore, repeated application of this time sequence with 100 Hz provides a stable photoresponse with no remarkable degradation in vacuum.

Figure 3b shows the transient photocurrent \( \Delta I_{DS} \) immediately after light exposure with various light intensities of 2.9–24 pW (29–240 \( \mu \text{W/cm}^2 \)) determined by the channel size (5 \( \times \) 2 \( \mu \text{m} \)) of G-FET, where \( \Delta I_{DS} \) was defined as the difference between the transient photoresponse of \( I_{DS} \) and the dark transient current immediately before the light exposure. As shown in the figure, a two-step increase of the photocurrent is apparent. The width of the plateau for the first step decreases concomitantly with increasing
light intensity. For the second step, the photocurrent increases gradually with a certain time constant after light exposure. It saturates to certain values depending on the light intensity. With light exposure higher than 8 pW, the saturated $\Delta I_{DS}$ becomes almost equal to 2.5 $\mu$A.

Figure 3c portrays the pulse width dependence of the transient response. The value of $\Delta I_{DS}$ at the plateau for the second step increases concomitantly with increase of the light pulse width and saturates. Results also show that the time constant for the increase of $\Delta I_{DS}$ at the second step is independent of the light pulse width. Figure 3d shows the total number of irradiated photons and $N_{ph}$ dependence of the $\Delta I_{DS}$ at plateau for the second step. It is readily apparent that the $\Delta I_{DS}$ at plateau for the second step is controlled by $N_{ph}$ with the relation of $\Delta I_{DS} \propto N_{ph}^{2}$ at $N_{ph} < ~10^5$. Further increase of $N_{ph}$ induces the saturation of $\Delta I_{DS}$. It is noteworthy that the maximum photoreponse defined by the ratio of $\Delta I_{DS}$ and light intensity reaches $3.1 \times 10^5$ A/W ($\text{noise equivalent power (NEP)}: \sim 3 \times 10^{-15}$ W/Hz$^{1/2}$) with the optical memory function. As shown in an inset of Fig. 3d, the $\Delta I_{DS}$ for the first step also depends on the number of irradiated photons. From the perspective of a charge accumulation type device such as a charge-coupled device for single-photon detection, we estimate the maximum photosensitivities for single-photon sensing to be $60$ pA/photon for the first step and $25$ pA/photon for the second step at room temperature. We believe that single-photon level detection at room temperature can be realized by the G-FET with the Au oxide on the electrodes using a commercially available low-noise and high-speed amplifier because of the high photosensitivity of NEP $3 \times 10^{-15}$ W/Hz$^{1/2}$, which is comparable to the commercially available photomultiplier tube.

To elucidate the retention property of the memory function of the photosensitivity, transient photoresponses on the order of seconds were investigated. Figure 4a shows that we first applied $V_{GS}$ with a step function of 0–50 V through $V_{0}$ which corresponds to the gate bias for hole doping to electron doping. Subsequently, the light was irradiated for 2 s. The bottom of Fig. 4a shows a stepwise increase of photocurrent initiated by the illumination. It is noteworthy that the photocurrent still flows continuously with a gradual decrease even after the removal of the illumination, which closely resembles “persistent photocurrent”, which is the memory action of the photocurrent. In contrast, the dark current increases gradually with time and coincides finally with the photocurrent at ~14 s. Figure 4b shows that the retention property of $\Delta I_{DS}$ exhibits a single exponential decay with a retention time constant $\tau$ of ~5.2 s, which is sufficient for the conventional image sensor application at room temperature. This temporal variation

---

**Figure 3.** Transient responses of $\Delta I_{DS}$. (a) Schematic timing chart of $V_{GS}$ and light irradiation. The bottom panel shows repeated transient responses for $I_{DS}$. (b) Temporal variation of $\Delta I_{DS}$ with various light intensities. (c) Temporal variation of $\Delta I_{DS}$ with various light pulse-widths. Arrows indicate the pulse widths. (d) Number of irradiated photons dependence of the photoresponse for the second step. Inset shows the number of irradiated photons dependence of the photoresponse for the first step.
of $\Delta I_{DS}$ results in the sweep rate dependences observed in Figs 1 and 2. Figure 4c shows that the activation energy of $\tau$ estimated from an Arrhenius plot is quite small, $\sim 18$ meV, which is much less than that for the thermal energy at room temperature. This low temperature dependence implies the presence of a small barrier or shallow trap of the Au oxide at the interface to the dark currents.

Reportedly, graphene-MoS$_2$ hybrid structures show gate-tunable persistent photoconductivity with a retention time longer than hours even at room temperature, which is induced by the trapped charge at MoS$_2$ layer adjacent to the graphene channel$^{15}$. In this case, the energy depth of the trapping site is $\sim 0.8$ eV, which is much deeper than that of our device, which results in the longer retention time for persistent states. The combination of organic photosensitive material of poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT) has also reported for the generation of photogenerated charge, where a piezoelectric Pb(Zr$_{0.2}$Ti$_{0.8}$)O$_3$ (PZT) substrate was used to facilitate the accumulation of photogenerated charge by polarization of PZT$^{16}$. The time constant for the photoresponse is on the order of seconds. One can expect that the polarization of

Figure 4. Retention property of photoinduced $I_{DS}$. (a) Schematic timing chart of $V_{GS}$ and light irradiation. The bottom panel shows retention property induced by relaxations of dark and photocurrents. (b) Semi-log plot of retention property of $\Delta I_{DS}$. (c) Arrhenius plot of the retention time constant obtained from the temporal variation of $\Delta I_{DS}$, where a solid line is a guide for eyes.
PZT would be changed by the gate voltage similar to the G-FET with ferroelectric gate insulator\textsuperscript{30–32}, so that this slow response might be applicable to the memory function. These photoresponses are induced by the gating effect of the trapped charge adjacent to the graphene channel, different from our proposed device. Consequently, the time constant for photoresponses immediately after the irradiation of light is on the order of seconds, which is much longer than our device.

Based on experimentally obtained results, we consider that the contact resistance modification stimulated by the light irradiation derives mainly from the relaxation of the trapped charge at the Au oxide as follows. First, we consider the band diagram of our device. The work function of gold covered with an atomically thin AuO\textsubscript{x} layer is \(\sim 5.5\) eV, which is larger than that of the bulk gold (\(\sim 5.1\) eV)\textsuperscript{33}. The bulk Au\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, which is the most stable state of the Au oxide, is the semiconductor with the bandgap of 0.83 eV, whereas Au\textsubscript{2}O, which has lower valency, is metallic (no bandgap)\textsuperscript{34}. Based on the XPS spectra, as discussed in Fig. 2a, the Au oxide at the interface is expected to be a narrower bandgap semiconductor or metallic layer. The work function of graphene is \(\sim 4.6\) eV\textsuperscript{35}. The resultant schematic potential profile based on the band diagram (Fig. 5a) at \(V_{GS} = V_{Dirac}\) is presented in Fig. 5b. It is noteworthy that electron–hole asymmetric transfer characteristics attributed to the charge transfer at the metal/graphene have been reported\textsuperscript{10,17}. In this case, the graphene near the contact is expected to behave as p-type because of the donation of electrons from graphene to Au through the atomically thin AuO\textsubscript{x} layer. Assuming that the AuO\textsubscript{x} layer acts as the electron trapping site, a part of AuO\textsubscript{x} layer would be negatively charged. The

**Figure 5. Schematic potential profile.** (a) Schematic band diagram of Au/atomically thin AuO\textsubscript{x}/Graphene contact. (b–d) Schematic charge distributions and potential profiles of \(V_{GS} = V_{Dirac}\), \(V_{GS} \leq V_{Dirac}\), and \(V_{GS} > V_{Dirac}\) with light irradiation, respectively. The excess trapped electrons are denoted by a circle in (d). Red solid and green dotted lines in (d) of the right respectively show immediately after \(V_{GS}\) changed to \(V_{GS} > V_{Dirac}\) and for equilibrium state. (e) Schematic charge distribution and potential profile after light illumination and (f) fully relaxed states at \(V_{GS} > V_{Dirac}\).
assumption might be made that the hydrated form of Au$_2$O$_3$ is weakly acidic$^{36}$. Therefore, we can expect that the Au$_2$O$_3$ tends to accept the negative charge.

At $V_{GS}<V_{Dirac}$ corresponding to the hole-doping regime, the entire graphene region between the source and drain electrodes is p-type (p-p-p junction), providing the lower contact resistance at translation region indicated in Fig. 5c. Further electron donation from the graphene to the Au oxide (hole injection from Au to graphene through the Au$_2$O$_3$ layer) occurs at $V_{GS}<V_{Dirac}$, so that the excess electrons would be trapped at the Au$_2$O$_3$ layer.

Subsequent change of $V_{GS}<V_{Dirac}$ to $V_{GS}>V_{Dirac}$ induces electron doping on the graphene channel (n-type at channel), whereas the graphene near the contact remains p-type because of the work function difference, as presented in Fig. 5c. Consequently, the p-n-p type junction is formed through the channel. The formation of a p-n junction near the contact at $V_{GS}>V_{Dirac}$ causes a higher contact resistance$^{35}$. Based on this model, the trapped electron at the Au oxide on the electrode interfaces can be expected to contribute to the modulation of the contact resistance as follows. The excess trapped electrons induce the excess holes at the interface and screens; alternatively, they terminate the electric field from the back gate as presented schematically in Fig. 5d. At the translation region from p-type to n-type, the excess trapped electrons cause a wider p-type region, because of the pinned work function difference and lower electron concentration at graphene.

Under light illumination, the trapped electrons at the Au oxide are relaxed quickly, leading to lower contact resistance than that in a dark condition. Reportedly, the efficient charge transfer is induced by light irradiation at the interface between the graphene and Au$_2$O$_3$ layer because of the strong electric field between them$^{36}$. In our case, the additional electric field (p-n junction) at the translation region enhances the charge separation of photo-generated electron-hole pair, as presented in Fig. 5d. The generated holes drifted toward electrode would compensate the trapped excess electrons. Additionally, direct photoexcitation of trapped excess electrons near the channel can be expected because of the narrow-gap semiconducting characteristics of the Au$_2$O$_3$ layer, which might also decrease the work function difference between the graphene and Au electrodes. The electrons trapped distant from the electrode edge give no contribution to the contact resistance modification. This is because the back gate induced electric field is fully screened by the bulk Au layer underneath the atomically thin Au$_2$O$_3$ layer, which results in no gate polarity dependence. Therefore, we can expect that the active region for the photosensitivity is the translation region at the p-n junction near the electrodes. Additional experiments using methods such as scanning photocurrent microscopy are expected to be necessary to clarify the mechanism.

After removal of the light at $V_{GS}>V_{Dirac}$, the trapping sites for the excess electrons are still empty states, so that the photo-modulated translation region remains in the light irradiation states. Therefore, the optical memory function is visible in our device. No hole exists in the channel region because of the n-type graphene channel. Therefore, the residual trapped excess electrons are expected to be released gradually from the Au$_2$O$_3$ layer toward the thermal equilibrium state. Consequently, the gradual increase of $I_{DS}$ under the dark condition observed in this experiment is likely to be the result of the decrease of the contact resistance induced by the trapped charge relaxation. The retention time for the optical memory operation is determined by the release rate of the trapped excess electrons at Au$_2$O$_3$ layer. Finally, the full relaxation of trapped excess electron (thermal equilibrium state at $V_{GS}>V_{Dirac}$) is expected to induce the narrower translation region as shown in Fig. 5f, which gives lower contact resistance. The repeated cycle from Fig. 5c–e results in the optical memory operation of the G-FET with the Au$_2$O$_3$ layer.

The two-step process presented in Fig. 3 suggests the presence of relaxation processes of two kinds for trapping sites at the Au oxide. The transient response of $\Delta I_{DS}$ of the first step shows linear dependence of $N_{ph}$ while $N_{eh}$ for the second step. The order difference of $N_{eh}$ dependences of photoresponses implies the presence of two mechanisms for the photosensitivity observed in this study as discussed above. Although a direct thermal effect induced by the light absorption might induce the thermal excitation of trapped charge, the temperature rise induced by the light irradiation ($30\mu W/cm^2$ for 3 pW) is negligibly small. Whereas the photo-excited excess carrier at the graphene channel except for the p-n region should also be regarded as this relaxation mechanism, this effect is also negligible because of the fast relaxation time of the photo-excited charge$^{67}$ in graphene. Direct contribution of the photothermoelectric effect on the observed photoresponse may also be negligible because the light intensity is in the order of pW which is quite small for sufficient photothermal effect and no memory effect should be observed. However, the Fermi levels near source and drain electrode are different because of the charge accumulation at the interface traps, which results in the different photothermoelectric voltages at the electrodes. Thus, the photothermoelectric effect is one of candidates for the origin of the relaxation of the accumulated charge at the trap. Consequently, the possible mechanism for the observed photosensitivity is the contact resistance modification induced not only by the direct excitation of trapped electrons at Au$_2$O$_3$ but also the photo-excited excess carrier at the p-n region of graphene or photothermoelectric effect near the electrode. The photocurrents for the first and second step were saturated respectively by irradiation of the limited number of photons of $\sim5 \times 10^7$ and $\sim1 \times 10^8$ photons/(2 $\times 10^6$ s), as portrayed in Fig. 3d. It can be expected that the number of photons for the saturation is related to the density of traps that contribute to the photoresponse. Assuming the translation region to be 0.2 $\mu m$ for each contact, the densities of traps for photoresponse for first and second steps are estimated as roughly $10^{14}$ and $10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively, where the number of photons absorbed in the translation region of graphene is estimated from
the light absorption coefficient of graphene of 2.3%. Further study must be undertaken to ascertain the detailed mechanisms of the photoresponse observed here.

In summary, we fabricated a highly photosensitive G-FET (NEP of $3 \times 10^{-15}$ W/Hz$^{0.5}$) with optical memory function with a retention time of $\sim$5s at room temperature without a complicated process. It acts as the functional device for photoinduced charge sensing. High photosensitivity was realized by modification of effective contact resistance because of the light-assisted relaxation of the trapped charge at the AuO$_x$ layer. Relaxation processes of two kinds were identified with a direct excitation of the trapped charge at AuO$_x$ layer and/or the photo-excited excess carrier at the p-n region of graphene near the electrode. These findings are expected to open the way to realization of graphene photodetectors with high sensitivity up to the single-photon detection level.
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