Exploration of Bimetallic Au@Ag Core–Shell Nanocubes Dimers Supports Plasmonic Fano Resonances
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Abstract
We report a theoretical simulation of optical spectra of Au@Ag core/shell nanocubes dimers. The influence of the core/shell size ratio and the dimers separation gap on these spectra have been studied using boundary element method (BEM). The scattering sections of various core/shell size ratio (= 10 to 80%) with different separation gap (2 to 16 nm) have been numerically determined via Maxwell equations. We have examined the scattering sections along a wide wavelengths range, 250–1500 nm, in visible and IR domain. The edge lengths of 60, 80, 100, and 120 nm of the shell nanocubes have been considered. We revealed the formation of Fano resonance line shape through the investigation of the scattering section variation within the entire wavelengths. The Fano resonance line shape emerges with the variation of the gap between the dimer as well as of the core/shell size ratio. The trace of the Fano interference dip is slightly blue shifted and it is lost above gap separation equal 4 nm. The core/shell dimer with varied core/shell size ratio manipulate and image easily the trace of the Fano resonance dip up to core/shell size ratio equal 50% for gap separation above 4 nm. We demonstrate the important duality of the gap separation and core/shell ratio variation in designing Fano resonance interface. This has a potential effect on applications in non-linear optics, metamaterial, and tunable nanophotonic devices such as filters, wave-guiding, subwavelength optical imaging, chemical, and biological sensing.
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Introduction
The plasmonic signature of gap cavity resonances modes has numerous applications in various disciplines, including non-linear optics [1], metamaterials [2], and tunable nanophotonic devices such as filters [3, 4] and plasmonic biosensors [5]. One of the promising gap cavity resonance mode is Fano resonance mode. Fano resonance mode appears when two resonances modes coupled together with noticeable difference of their linewidths [6]. Extensive attention is paid recently to explore Fano resonances, especially, those emerging due to a coupling between weak and large dipole moments [7]. Common research consider nanocavity made off noble metals to construct assemblies or units with various size, shape, and orientation [8]. However, limited complex assembly shows a Fano resonance. Recently, Fano resonance were located in an individual silver nanocube isolated with a thin aluminum oxide from the supporting thin silver film. These structure were grown using a bottom-up method with controlled nanogap [9]. Many aspects of coupled plasmonic structures have been studied so far. Indeed, Fano resonance was found important for Au nanoplates placed on silicon layer. This effect is assigned to formation of Au nanosphere/Au nanoplate heterodimers. On the other hand, Au nanosphere substitution with Au nanocube shows overwhelm of Fano resonance in the heterodimer. Such a resonance could emerge by modifying both of the nanoplate width and the nanosphere diameter [10]. Core/shell structure is one of the promising candidate in forming the gap cavity resonance mode. Plasmonic Fano resonances have been
widely experimentally and theoretically studied. Particularly, those arise by core/shell nanorods made of gold core and silver shell \text{Au@Ag} nanorod [11], where they found Fano resonance located at elevated energies (≈ 3.7 eV). Nanocavity can be established using nanocubes assembly. These structures enhance the field at their edges and importantly resonate due to the coupling with the placed metal substrates underneath [12]. Plasmonic oligomers, i.e., dimers, trimers and tetraters play a major role as in emerging Fano resonance. These latest act as nanoclusters with hybridized eigenmodes powerfully related to the formations of the fundamental nanobars [13]. Fano resonance has been experimentally demonstrated via spatial modulation spectroscopy for silver and gold nanocube homodimers. Furthermore, numerical study investigating plasmon mode succeed to determine the principal mode implicated in the silver/gold nanocubes homodimers. However, the inability of tracing the Fano resonance modes of higher order for a gap cavity below to 3 nm remains a hampering. Here, the Fano resonance mode either disappear or lost. On the other hand, the phase shifts of the main Fano resonance modes of an homodimers with increasing of gap cavity from 2 to 16 nm are calculated [6]. In order to overcome this limit, \text{Au@Ag} core/shell nanocubes dimer are considered, where the impact of increase Au core size expected to play enhanced role of the main Fano resonance mode.

In this work, the influences of the gap separation on core/shell size ratio and the optical properties of \text{Au@Ag} nanocube dimers have investigated. The theoretical study of such properties has been determined using Maxwell’s equations via boundary element method (BEM). This latest has a computational advantage in between of the two main types of numerical (deferential and integral) methods. Frequently presented deferential numerical methods are the finite deference time domain method (FDTD) [14], the finite elements method (FEM) [15], and the discontinuous Galerkin time-domain method [16]. Each deferential numerical method has its own limitation. For instance, FDTD discretizes in time domain with Yee’s grid in space and the so-called leapfrog scheme [17], presenting a second-order accuracy. Due to its relaxed implementation and small computational difficulty, however, it also has revelation drawbacks in terms of numerical errors caused by staircase approximation of asymmetrical geometries and the constraint of Courant-Friedrichs-Lewy condition (CFL) arising from the constancy problem in time domain [18]. Indeed, when modeling structures with curved boundaries, such as sphere and ellipse, FDTD employs controlled mesh to estimate the boundary. This results in a staircase-like estimation inducing errors to the original model. In contrast, the volume integral equations [19], the discrete dipole approximation (DDA) [20], the surface integral equations [21], and the boundary element method (BEM) [22] are types of popular integral numerical methods. The BEM method on electromagnetic field originated by charge distribution within a material and flow at its interface. It principally use solution of corresponding surface integrals by discretization with a number of representative points on the surfaces. This diminishes input as well as storage requirements for the final solution. Thus, a fast and operative determination of Maxwell’s equations for truly arbitrary structures are ensured. The DDA divides the material into a grid of individual dipoles, for which the local field could be concerned as the summation of the external field and the field generated by all other dipoles. Both methods, BEM and DDA, deliver the resulting electromagnetic field in the frequency domain, whereby the surface parameterized with the BEM and the volume with the DDA.

BEM is used today in numerous areas of photonics, materials science, in both industrial and academic areas due to its high ability to predict and plan the optical properties of well-defined structures. The optical characteristics of metallic

| A (nm) | B (nm) | C (nm) | D (nm) | E (%) | F (nm) | G (nm) | H (nm) | I (nm) |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| 12    | 10    | 8     | 6     | 10%   | 54    | 45    | 36    | 27    |
| 24    | 20    | 16    | 12    | 20%   | 48    | 40    | 32    | 24    |
| 36    | 30    | 24    | 18    | 30%   | 42    | 35    | 28    | 21    |
| 48    | 40    | 32    | 24    | 40%   | 36    | 30    | 24    | 18    |
| 60    | 50    | 40    | 30    | 50%   | 30    | 25    | 20    | 15    |
| 72    | 60    | 48    | 36    | 60%   | 24    | 20    | 16    | 12    |
| 84    | 70    | 56    | 42    | 70%   | 18    | 15    | 12    | 9     |
| 96    | 80    | 64    | 48    | 80%   | 12    | 10    | 8     | 6     |
structures with numerous shapes and sizes have computed via BEM. This gains BEM method unlimited attention from diverse fields [23–25]. Among the fascinating nanostructures, nanocube allows high charge scatterings due to its sharp boundaries. Therefore, the exploration of near and far field properties of individual core/shell nanocube and core/shell nanocube dimer remains indispensable to the initial phases of synthesis and assemblies.

Herein, in this work, comprehensive analysis of Au@Ag core/shell nanocube dimers optical spectra dependency to both core/shell size ratio and separation gap between the dimer using BEM are performed. The scattering sections (nm²) for different core/shell size ratio varying between 10% and 80% for numerous gap separation ranges (i.e., from 2 to 16 nm) have been established via Maxwell equations in the spectra domain [250–1500 nm]. The edge length of 60, 80, 100, and 120 nm of the shell nanocube are considered. The scattering section variation within the entire wavelengths as a function of the gap between the dimer and of the core/shell size ratio are calculated. We admitted the description of boundary element method as developed in Ref [22] to our study.

**Numerical Simulation**

Local and isotropic dielectric functions \( \varepsilon_j(\omega) \) are considered to describe dielectric nanoparticles of single or many bodies \( V_j \) with arbitrary shaped abrupt dielectric boundaries. The BEM ensures for structures of sharp edges owning dielectric sections of homogenous and isotropic dielectric function an appropriate Maxwell’s equations resolution [26].

The electric and magnetic fields \( E \) and \( H \), respectively, could be determined via Helmholtz theorem to both scalar \( \phi_j \) and vector \( A_j \) potentials according to the following:

\[
E_j = ikA_j - \nabla \phi_j, \quad (1)
\]

\[
H_j = \frac{1}{\mu_j} \nabla \times A_j, \quad (2)
\]

\( \phi_j \) and \( A_j \) are gathered through Lorenz gauge condition given by.

\[
\nabla \cdot A_j = ike_j \mu_j \phi_j, \quad (3)
\]

Maxwell equations could be expressed as

\[
\nabla^2 \phi_j + k^2 \varepsilon_j \mu_j \phi_j = -\frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon_j} (\rho_j + \sigma_j(s)) \quad (4)
\]

\[
\nabla^2 A_j + k^2 \varepsilon_j \mu_j A_j = -\frac{4\pi}{c} (\mu_j J + m_j) \quad (5)
\]

\( \sigma_j(s) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{s_j} \rho(s) dA \), \( \mu_j = \frac{1}{4\pi} [i\omega\varepsilon_j (\varepsilon_j + \mu_j) + c H_j \times \nabla \mu_j] \), \( k = \omega/c \) is the wavenumber in the medium, \( \varepsilon_j \) is the permitivity, \( \rho \) is the charge density, \( J \) is the current density, \( D_j = \varepsilon_j E_j \) represents the electric displacement, \( B_j = \mu_j H_j \) is the magnetic induction and \( c \) is the speed of light in vacuum. Both Eqs. 3 and 4 are verified for laterally reliant dielectric permitivity. Regarding on sharp interface constrained two different media (\( \varepsilon_1, \mu_1 \)) and (\( \varepsilon_2, \mu_2 \)), the quantities \( \sigma_j \) and \( m_j \) are non-vanished only at the interface. Finding the suitable boundary conditions for electromagnetic field in the media split by abrupt boundaries the equivalent charge \( \sigma_j \) and current \( m_j \) can be calculated. These last equations could be resolved using Green function from the different sides of the boundary via

\[
\left( \nabla^2 + k_j^2 \right) G_j (r, r') = -\frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon_j} \delta(r, r') \quad (6)
\]

where \( k_j = k \sqrt{\varepsilon_j \mu_j} \) is the wavenumber in the medium, \( r \in V_j \) and \( G_j(r, r') = \frac{\epsilon^{n_j}|r-r'|}{|r-r'|} \).

For an inhomogeneous dielectric situation, the solutions of Maxwell’s equations may be written in ad hoc form [22]:

\[
\left[ \frac{\phi_j(r)}{A_j(r)} \right] = \int d^{3}r' G_j \left( |r-r'| \right) \left[ \frac{\rho_j(r')}{\varepsilon_j(r')} + \frac{i\sigma_j(s)}{\varepsilon_j(c)} \right] + \oint ds G_j \left( |r-r'| \right) \frac{\sigma_j(s)}{h_j(s)} \quad (7)
\]

The scalar and vector potentials describing the external perturbation (e.g., dipole oscillation or plan wave) within a given medium \( j \) are represented in the first term of RHS of Eq. (6). At the boundaries, the discontinuity of Green function for the different existing permittivities are described by the second term of RHS. Herein, these functions are substituted with the effects of charges \( \sigma_j(s) \) and currents \( h_j(s) \). Instead of integral notation in Eq. (6), compact matrix notation is used to connect directly BEM for numerical implementation, where each spatial dependency is divided into discrete number of the illustrative figures. With \( j = 1, 2 \) where \( j = 1 \) denotes inside the particle and \( j = 2 \) denotes beyond the particle, the surface charges \( \sigma_j \) and the currents \( h_j \) are written as
The nanocube unit of rounded edge is considered where default MNPBEM toolbox values of round-off parameter equal to 0.25 and grid size of 12 are used. We admit the formulated dielectric functions of gold and silver are of [27] in our calculations.

### Results and Discussions

#### Optical Properties of Au@Ag Core–Shell Nanocubes Dimers

To investigate the core/shell size ratio and the gap separation influence on of Au@Ag nanocube dimers optical properties, sequences of cross sections are calculated. The dependency of the core/shell size ratio and shell thickness of the considered dimers are shown in Table 1 [28]. As an illustration, Fig. 1a shows the cross sections for individual Au@Ag nanocubes of shell edge lengths of 60 nm, 80 nm, 100 nm, 120 nm at 10% core/shell size ratio. For this minimum core/shell size ratio, four main resonances modes D1, Q1, D2, and Q2 are labelled. The main dipolar resonance (D1) is the widest and weak in energy one reflecting the radiative characteristics, and the resonance D2 has antisymmetric charge distribution comparing to the median plane normal to the incident electric field, also it has dipolar characteristics. In contrast, the quadrupole resonances Q1 and Q2 arise from non-symmetric charge distribution of the plane normal to the incident wave vector [6]. The resonances peaks of D1 and Q1 intersect and combine due to the inherent different symmetries. On the other hand, the dipolar resonances D1 and D2

Fig. 3 a calculated cross sections of small gap (d=2 nm) core–shell Au@Ag nanocube dimer of various shell sizes of A 60 nm, B 80 nm, C 100 nm, and D 120 nm at 10% core/shell size ratio. The four main hybridized resonances modes, 1 (D1–D1), 2 (Q1–Q1), 3 (D2–D2), and 4 (Q2–Q2) and the two new resonances labeled as G1 and G2 are assigned at the curves. b Related field enhancement (upper panels) and the norm of electric field (lower panels) of small gap (d=2 nm) core–shell Au@Ag nanocube dimer of various shell sizes of A 60 nm, B 80 nm, C 100 nm, and D 120 nm at 10% core/shell size ratio located in free space which is illuminated by a plane wave with x-polarization obtained by the boundary element method. For the simulation of the induced electric field, the resonance energy of 500 nm is used

\[
G_1 \sigma_1 - G_2 \sigma_2 = \phi_2^e - \phi_1^e \tag{8}
\]

\[
G_1 h_1 - G_2 h_2 = A_2^e - A_1^e \tag{9}
\]

where \(\phi_2^e\) and \(A_2^e\) image the external disturbance affecting first terms of RHS in Eq. (6). The continuity of the potentials in Eqs. (8, 9) originates from the tangential component continuity of the electric field. On the other hand, Lorentz gauge condition and the dielectric displacement continuity results the following:

\[
H_1 h_1 - H_2 h_2 - i k \hat{n} (\varepsilon_1 G_1 \sigma_1 - \varepsilon_2 G_2 \sigma_2) = \alpha, \tag{10}
\]

\[
\alpha = (\hat{n} \cdot \nabla) (A_2^e - A_1^e) + i k \hat{n} (\varepsilon_1 \phi_1^e - \varepsilon_2 \phi_2^e) \tag{11}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_1 H_1 \sigma_1 - \varepsilon_2 H_2 \sigma_2 - i k \hat{n} (\varepsilon_1 G_1 h_1 - \varepsilon_2 G_2 h_2) = D^e, \tag{12}
\]

\[
D^e = \hat{n} [\varepsilon_1 (i k A_1^e - \nabla \phi_1^e) - \varepsilon_2 (i k A_2^e - \nabla \phi_2^e)] \tag{13}
\]

Here \(H_{1,2} = (\hat{n} \cdot \nabla) G_{1,2} \pm 2\pi\) represents the surface derivative associated with the Green function surface. Both Eqs. (8, 9) and (10, 11) could be solved numerically using BEM to determine the surface charges and local currents. Here, the optical cross section per area element (\(da\)) could be established via the electromagnetic field through Poynting vector as

\[
P_{\text{scu}} = n_b \int \text{Re} (\hat{n} (E \times B^*)) da \tag{12}
\]

\[
P_{\text{ext}} = - \frac{1}{n_b} \int \text{Re}(\hat{n} (E \times B^*_\text{inc} + E^*_\text{inc} \times B)) da \tag{13}
\]

\[
P_{\text{abs}} = P_{\text{ext}} - P_{\text{scu}} \tag{14}
\]

where \(n_b\) denotes dielectric background refractive index, and \(\hat{n}\) denotes the outer surface vector at interface. The Poynting vector denoted by \((E \times B)\), \(E^*_\text{inc}\) and \(B^*_\text{inc}\) are the incident wave vector fields. The cross sections of Au@Ag nanocube dimer are computed using MNPBEM toolbox [26]. During computational procedures, the retardation effects have been considered.

![Fig. 4 Calculated scattering cross sections of Au@Ag core–shell nanocubes dimers.](image-url)
interface together results in such non-symmetric line-shape with scattering efficiency extinct in the short wavelength range. Such a behavior typically features Fano lineshape [29]. The optical spectra of Au@Ag core/shell nanocubes dimers of 60 nm, 80 nm, 100 nm, and 120 nm variation comparing to of the core/shell size ratio and the gap separating dimers are calculated. In order to discuss the differences between individual Au@Ag nanocube and Au@Ag nanocube dimer of various gap separation, the cross sections of core/shell nanocube dimers are calculated at different gap separations. For the large gap separation $d=20$ nm. The two resonances labeled as G1 and G2 and the new striking resonance peak labeled as G0 is assigned at the curves. Auxx represents the core size in nm see Table 1 for details except for noticeable red shift of the whole spectrum and rise of the main resonance mode linewidth. The main four resonances modes D1, Q1, D2, and Q2 of the individual Au@Ag nanocube are compared to the related four main hybridized resonances modes, 1 (D1–D1), 2 (Q1–Q1), 3 (D2–D2), and 4 (Q2–Q2) of the Au@Ag nanocube dimer, the hybridization effects and the overlaps of individual LSPR have weak effect for such large gap separation. Figure 1b illustrates the field distribution enrichment particularly of that close to Au@Ag nanocube, while the norm of electric field distribution (A E F) and Fig. 2b shows the corresponding of Au@Ag nanocubes dimers. The field enhancement distributions of Au@Ag nanocube dimer reflects the signature of the individual Au@Ag nanocube D1, Q1, and D2 modes.
without any complex alteration except for noticeable higher norm of electric field. For smaller gap separation \((d = 2\, \text{nm})\), stronger modes hybridizations are identified. Figure 3a shows clear red shift of the whole spectrum, mainly for the main resonance mode 1 for all dimers under consideration and decreasing of the linewidths of the main resonance mode is noticed; however, the remained three modes 2, 3, and 4 are slightly red shifted. The remarkable aspects are the two resonances modes G1 and G2 where, G1 appear as a peak in the extinction cross sections spectrum, while G2 appear as a dip which explains the transparency window observed in [30]. The coupling of dipolar modes with the G2 resonance dip is accountable for the creation of Fano interference shape, where the induced transparency as well as Fano interference is formed when two resonances modes coupled together with noticeable difference of their linewidths. Both the two resonances modes G1 and G2 are red shifted when the size of the dimer increased, also their intensities and the spectral width are slightly increased. Figure 3b shows the related field enhancement and the norm of electric field \((|E|)\) at small gap separation \((d = 2\, \text{nm})\) of Au@Ag nanocube dimer. In comparison of large gap separation, clear charge distributions change and noticeable high norm of electric fields are shown. In order to trace the Fano interface dip of Au@Ag nanocube dimer, the scattering cross sections of Ag shell equal 100 nm at minimum core/shell ratio of gap separation vary from 2 to 16 nm are presented. Figure 4 shows the tracing of G2 interface dip with the variation of the gap separation. The trace of the G2 interface dip is lost and slightly blue shifted above gap separation equal 4 nm.

**Fig. 6** Calculated scattering cross sections of Au@Ag nanocubes dimers of different shells sizes of 60 nm, 80 nm, 100 nm, and 120 nm at different core/shell size ratio ranging from 10 to 80% at gap separation \((d = 4\, \text{nm})\). The new remarkable resonance peak labeled as G0 is assigned at the curves. Auxx represents the core size in nm see Table 1 for details.
In order to overcome this limit, the core shell size ratio is increased from 10 to 80%. Figures 5, 6, and 7 present the calculated scattering cross sections of Au@Ag nanocube dimer of different shells sizes of 60 nm, 80 nm, 100 nm, 120 nm at different core/shell size ratio between 10 and 80% of gap separation equal 2, 4, and 6 nm, respectively. Tacking the advantages of such heterodimers with varied core/shell size ratio, it can easy trace and manipulate the Fano resonance dip up to core/shell size ratio equal 50% for any gap separation. In addition, a new remarkable resonance peak labeled as G0 is formed with the variation of core/shell size ratio. The G0 resonance peak is originated from dipolar coupling of Au/Au core/core inner dimer alone and it is blue shifted for higher of core/shell size ratio and it is red shifted when the outer dimer separation is increased. The effect of G0 resonance peak on G2 Fano resonance dip, Figures S1–S8 (supporting information) show scattering cross sections of Au@Ag nanocube dimer of different shells size of 60, 80, 100, and 120 nm variation with the core/shell size ratio. As shown in Figures S1–S8, The impact of increasing core/shell size ratio on the stability overall spectrum and tracing of Fano resonance dip up to 50% core/shell size ratio of all dimers under consideration. For elevated core/shell size ratio greater than 50% and the main resonance peak (1) is at maximum, the tracing of Fano resonance dip is lost again. Details on scattering cross section of Au@Ag nanocube dimer of different shells size of 60, 80, 100, and 120 nm as a function of dimer gap are shown in Figures S9–S16.

The columns (A–D) correspond to the edge length (nm) of Ag shell of edge lengths of 120, 100, 80, and 60 nm.

**Fig. 7** Calculated scattering cross sections of Au@Ag nanocubes dimers of different shells sizes of 60 nm, 80 nm, 100 nm, and 120 nm at different core/shell size ratio ranging from 10 to 80% at gap separation (d=6 nm). The new remarkable resonance peak labeled as G0 is assigned at the curves. Auxx represents the core size in nm see Table 1 for details.
respectively. Column (E) indicates the utilized core/shell size ratio, and columns (F–I) is assigned to the obtained shell thicknesses in (nm) of 120, 100, 80, and 60 nm correspondingly. The shell thickness \( t \) is obtained via \( t = (a - b)/2 \) where \( a \) and \( b \) designate to the edge length (nm) of the shell and core.

**Spectral Sensitivity and Figure of Merit**

In order to analyze the behaviors of Au@Ag core/shell nanocubes dimers with various gap separations and different core/shell size ratio, we consider Au@Ag core–shell nanocubes dimers with 100 nm shell edge size. Various core/shell size ratio with different separation gap (2 to 16 nm) are taken into account. Figures of merit (FOM) are plotted, and Fig. 8a shows the first Fano resonance peak (G1) as a function of the dimer gap with various core/shell size ratio. We use the figure of merit and the sensitivity of Fano resonances by changing the dimer gap \( (\Delta \text{gap nm}) \) where FOM is calculated according to the following equation.

\[
FOM = \frac{S}{FWHM \text{ nm}^{-1}}
\]

where \( S = \frac{\Delta i}{\Delta \text{gap}} \) is the sensitivity of Fano profile. Figure 8b shows the variation of FOM as a function of the gap difference of the dimer \( (\Delta \text{gap nm}) \).

Figure 9a shows the second Fano resonance peak (G0) as a function of the dimer gap with various core/shell size ratio. Figure 9b shows the variation of FOM of the second Fano resonance peak (G0) as a function of the gap difference of the dimer \( (\Delta \text{gap nm}) \).

It has been observed that increasing the gap among the dimers results in a significant blue shift of the first Fano resonance peak (G1) up to core/shell size ratio of 70%; however, only two Fano resonance peak (G1) are survived above 10 nm gap difference. Also, it is observed that the highest FOM for first Fano resonance peak (G1) occur at core/shell size ratio equal 30% at 6 nm gap differences.

For the second Fano resonance (G0), we observed that it perform at core/shell size ratio at 30% with dimer gap equal 4 nm. It has been observed that, increasing the gap among the dimers results in a slightly blue shift of the second Fano resonance peak (G0) up to core/shell size ratio ranging from 30 to 70%. Also, it is observed that the highest FOM for second Fano resonance peak (G0) occur at core/shell size ratio equal 30% at 4 nm gap differences.
Conclusion

In summary, plasmonic Au@Ag core/shell nanocubes dimers with varied separation gap and with different core/shell size ratio has been investigated. As the gap between the dimer is varied, Fano resonance interference is formed. The trace of the Fano interference dip is slightly blue shifted, and it is lost above gap separation equals 4 nm. Tacking the advantages of such core/shell dimers with varied core/shell size ratio, we found that it can easy trace and manipulate the Fano resonance dip up to core/shell size ratio equal 50% for gap separation up to 14 nm. Our findings are beneficial to clarify plasmon resonances of bimetallic structure that may address Fano resonance interface for several potential applications in non-linear optics, metamaterial, and tunable nanophotonic devices.
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