Diagnostic role of interleukin-6 and quantitative C-reactive protein in suspected early onset neonatal sepsis
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Abstract

Introduction: Neonatal sepsis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality most remarkable in the third world nations. Early diagnosis and subsequent therapy for the infected infants may play a vital role in lowering such mortality and morbidity rates. Aim: To study the clinical profile of neonatal sepsis in a tertiary care hospital and to correlate the findings with quantitative C-reactive protein (CRP) and Interleukin-6 (IL-6). Settings and Design: A total of 296 neonates admitted in neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) with clinical signs and symptoms suggestive of sepsis were studied. Based on their age the study population was divided into early onset sepsis (EOS): age group less 72 hours and late onset sepsis (LOS): age group more than 72 hours. Also healthy neonates who had no signs and symptoms of sepsis were taken as control for the study. Material and Methods: Blood culture was carried out using BacT/ Alert- 3D automated system. Quantitative CRP by nephelometry and IL-6 by ELISA was done in all culture positive cases and controls. Correlation of detection of cases of sepsis by quantitative CRP and IL-6 with blood culture was carried out. Statistical analysis: Statistical parameters such as sensitivity, specificity, predictive values, accuracy and significance levels were calculated. Results: In EOS the sensitivity and negative predictive value (NPV) of IL-6 was 62.32% and 33.33% respectively as compared to sensitivity of 27.5% and NPV of 26.47% of CRP. Conclusions: IL-6 is a good marker for early onset sepsis than CRP detecting a greater number of sepsis cases.
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1. Introduction

Neonatal sepsis is a major cause of morbidity and mortality most remarkable in the third world nations.[1] Neonatal sepsis presents with varied symptoms and signs. Early diagnosis and subsequent therapy for the infected infants or those with a higher risk may play a vital role in lowering such mortality and morbidity rates.[1]

Blood culture is the most common method used for the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. However, it has certain disadvantages, especially diagnosis often being retrospective. The outcome of sepsis in neonates is directly related to the early management of the disease, and hence prompt use of proper antibiotic therapy is absolutely essential.[2] However, in order to prevent microbial resistance induced by unnecessary administration of empiric therapies and avoiding overwhelmed hospital admissions, a presumptive diagnosis should be secured based on the laboratory tests with higher diagnostic value. Thus, there is a need for an alternative method of diagnosis of Neonatal sepsis which is rapid, less cumbersome and accurate. This has prompted us to carry out the present study with the aim to evaluate the role of quantitative C-reactive protein (CRP) and Interleukin -6 (IL-6) in cases of Neonatal sepsis.
2. Material and methods

A total of 296 clinically suspected cases of neonatal septicaemia admitted in neonatal intensive care unit (NICU) of a tertiary care hospital were studied over a period of one year. Neonates with clinical signs and symptoms suggestive of sepsis were included in study. Infants who were already on antibiotics were excluded from the study. Neonates were divided into two groups on the basis of their age. Early onset sepsis (EOS) i.e. neonates of age group less than 72 hours and late onset sepsis (LOS) i.e. neonates of age group more than 72 hours. The study was approved by the ethical committee of the institution, and written informed consent was obtained from the parents of the neonates before collection of clinical samples.

Blood samples were collected for blood culture and serology before starting treatment. The blood sample inoculated in the BacT/Alert-3D paediatric culture bottle was incubated in an automated microbial detection system (bioMerieux) for up to 5 days at 37°C. Any growth indicated by flagging was sub cultured on blood agar and MacConkeys agar plates [Hi-Media Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai]. The bacteria isolated were identified as per standard bacteriological technique.[3]

Samples were centrifuged within 30 minutes of collection for separation of serum for performing quantitative CRP by Nephelometry (NEPHSTAR, quantitative CRP Kit). Remaining serum was stored at -20°C before analysis for determination of IL-6. IL-6 measurement was performed by commercial ELISA kit (DIACLONE, ELISA), as per the instruction given in kit literature. We defined the cut off values for both CRP and IL-6. A C-reactive protein value of less than 5 mg/ L was taken as negative. [4, 5] We did literature search for optimum cut off value of IL-6. An IL-6 value of less than 20pg/ ml was taken as negative. [6-10]

We are comparing the sensitivities of two diagnostic procedures IL6 and CRP, where sensitivity is defined as "How often a test correctly diagnoses a positive result for the patients who have the disease i.e. true positives". A highly sensitive test will correctly exclude patients who do not have the disease (true negative) but will not generate many false positive results. Therefore, a test that has a higher sensitivity is preferred. Increased sensitivity will lead to decreased specificity (ability to correctly identify true negatives) and vice-versa.

In this study we are considering sensitivity as the characteristic of interest. Since both diagnostic tests are performed on same group of patients, it results in paired data and the appropriate statistical test in such a situation is McNemar’s Chi-square test. This will specify if the sensitivities of the two tests are statistically similar or not. The statistical software used here is SPSS version 16.0

3. Results and discussion

A total of 135/296 (45.5%) cases were blood culture positive. Pure growth of bacteria and pure growth of Candida species were isolated from 128/296 (43.24%) cases. Mixed growth of bacteria plus yeast was isolated from 6/296 (0.02 %) of cases, respectively. Neonatal sepsis was defined as the presence of a positive blood culture containing pure growth of bacteria or Candida with supportive clinical features. A total of 69/128 (53.90%) culture positive cases of sepsis, were in the age group of <72 hours, i.e. early onset sepsis (EOS) and 59/128 (46.09%) cases were in the age group >72 hours-28 days i.e. late onset sepsis (LOS).

3.1. Clinical presentation and risk factor

We retrospectively correlated our results of Neonatal sepsis with the clinical presentation of neonates and associated risk factors. It was observed that lethargy and feed intolerance were the most common clinical presentation present in almost 100% of cases of EOS and LOS, followed by respiratory distress in 78.4% and 57.7% of cases of EOS and LOS cases respectively. Bleeding tendency (9.8% & 17.9%), convulsions (15.6% & 32.05%) and poor perfusion (23.53 & 41%) were the other less common clinical presentations in EOS and LOS respectively.

Low birth weight (74.51% & 66.67%) and prematurity (74.51% & 66.67%) were the most common risk factors present in both EOS and LOS cases. Prolonged intravenous antibiotics (5.88% & 10.26%), ventilator support (9.80% & 15.39%), prolonged hyperalimentation (11.76% & 10.26%) and prolonged central venous line (5.88% & 10.26%) were other less common risk factors observed in EOS and LOS cases respectively.
3.2. Estimation of IL-6 and CRP

IL-6 and CRP estimation was done in 129 culture positive cases belonging to both EOS and LOS group. IL-6 and CRP estimation was also done in few randomly chosen healthy cases both from EOS and LOS groups whose blood culture was negative. Positive and negative values were determined according to cut off values defined earlier.

3.3. IL-6

In cases of EOS, IL6 was positive in 43/69 (62.31%) cases which were also positive by blood culture with a mean value of 173.34 pg/ml. IL6 was positive in 8/19 (42.10%) control cases which were negative on blood culture and had no signs and symptoms of sepsis (mean value of 66.17 pg/ml). However, in cases of LOS, IL6 was positive in 28/59 (47.45%) cases which were positive by blood culture (mean value of 74.49 pg/ml) and 7/26 (26.92%) healthy neonates which were negative on blood culture (mean value of 7.52 pg/ml).

3.4. Quantitative CRP

CRP was positive in 19/69 (27.53%) cases of EOS which were positive by blood culture (mean value 17.04 mg/l) and 01/19 (5.26%) healthy neonates which were negative on blood culture (mean value 04.86 mg/l). However, in cases of LOS, CRP was positive in 29/59 (49.15%) cases which were positive by blood culture (mean value 16.90 mg/l) and 7/26 (26.92%) healthy neonates which were negative on blood culture (mean value of 0.99 mg/l).

3.5. Comparison of IL-6 and quantitative CRP with blood culture

3.5.1. Comparison between IL6 and CRP with blood culture

Table 1 Comparison of IL-6 and CRP with blood culture positive and blood culture negative cases in EOS

| IL6    | CRP          |
|--------|--------------|
| CRP Positive | CRP Negative |
| IL6 Positive | 13           | 30          |
| IL6 Negative | 6            | 20          |

Considering the sensitivities of the two diagnostic tests as our statistic of interest. The estimates of sensitivities of IL6 and CRP are s1=62.32% and s2=27.5% respectively. IL6 and CRP are applied to a given set of individuals, some with the disease (by the gold standard) and some without the disease.

The table above gives a 2X2 table for the 69 blood culture positive patients. The appropriate statistical test in such a situation is McNemar's test. The patients with both tests positive and those with both tests negative do not distinguish between the two diagnostic procedures. The only information for distinguishing between IL6 and CRP comes from the patients who test positive by IL6 and negative by CRP or negative by IL6 and positive by CRP.

We test the following null hypothesis:

H0: The sensitivities of IL6 and CRP are equal, s1=s2.

Against the alternative H1: The sensitivity of IL6 is statistically higher than that of CRP (One tailed test)

Table 2 Profile of comparison of IL-6 and CRP with blood culture positive and blood culture negative cases in LOS

| IL6    | CRP          |
|--------|--------------|
| CRP Positive | CRP Negative |
| IL6 Positive | 13           | 15          |
| IL6 Negative | 16           | 15          |

On performing the McNemar's test with the above data, the value of test statistic is 14.7 and the exact p value for a one tailed test is 0.0001. On comparing this p value to a standard threshold of p=0.05, we find that pvalue<0.05 and hence
we reject the null hypothesis and conclude that sensitivity of IL6 is statistically higher than that of CRP. Hence, in early onset sepsis one should prefer IL6 to CRP in detecting sepsis cases.

From table 2 we see that sensitivities of IL6 and CRP are 47.46% and 49.15% respectively but the specificities are same. But we still need to check if the two sensitivities are statistically equal or not.

For this we set up the null hypothesis:

H0: The two sensitivities are statistically equal, s1=s2.

Against the alternative H1: The two sensitivities are not statistically equal, s1≠s2 (two tailed test).

On performing McNemar’s test for the above data, we find that the value of test statistic is 0.000 and the exact p value for a two tailed test is 1.000 which is greater than the standard threshold of p=0.05 and thus we fail to reject the null hypothesis at 5 % level of significance and conclude that the sensitivity of IL6 and CRP are statistically equal and there is no reason to prefer one to the other in case of late onset sepsis for diagnosis of sepsis cases.

3.6. Statistical parameters

Statistical Parameters showing the Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive and Negative predictive value and Accuracy of IL6 and CRP estimation in relation to Blood culture in both EOS and LOS was calculated [Table 2].

Table 3 Statistical Parameters showing the Sensitivity, Specificity, Positive and Negative predictive value and Accuracy of IL6 and CRP estimation in relation to Blood culture in both EOS and LOS.

| Parameter          | EOS                        | LOS                        |
|--------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|                    | IL6 95% CI                 | CRP 95% CI                 | IL6 95% CI                 | CRP 95% CI                 |
| Prevalence         | 78.41% (68.35%, 86.47%)    | 78.4% (68.35%, 86.47%)     | 69.41% (58.47%, 78.95%)    | 69.41% (58.47%, 78.95%)    |
| Sensitivity        | 62.32% (49.83%, 73.71%)    | 27.5% (17.46%, 39.62%)     | 47.46% (34.30%, 60.88%)    | 49.15% (35.89%, 62.50%)    |
| Specificity        | 68.42% (43.45%, 87.42%)    | 94.7% (73.97%, 99.87%)     | 73.08% (52.21%, 88.43%)    | 73.08% (52.21%, 88.43%)    |
| Positive Predictive Value | 87.76% (78.29%, 93.44%) | 95.00% (73.08%, 99.25%) | 80.0% (66.78%, 88.84%) | 80.56% (67.63%, 89.15%) |
| Negative Predictive Value | 33.33% (24.53%, 43.47%) | 26.47% (23.12%, 30.12%) | 38.00% (30.45%, 46.18%) | 38.78% (31.02%, 47.15%) |
| Accuracy           | 63.64% (52.69%, 73.63%)    | 42.05% (31.60%, 53.05%)    | 55.29% (44.11%, 66.09%)    | 56.47% (45.28%, 67.20%)    |

3.7. Clinical Outcome

On comparing the clinical outcome of sepsis cases not much difference was observed between the two groups (EOS and LOS). We observed that the survival rate was slightly higher in cases of LOS (93% survival) as compared to EOS (90% survival). However, the actual clinical outcome could not be followed up as many neonates were transferred out from the NICU, discharged or taken against medical advice.
4. Discussion

The blood culture positivity rate in cases of neonatal sepsis in the present study was 45.5%, which is comparable to other studies from India. Desai et al. [11] and Jain et al. [12] reported culture positivity rate of 46.20% and 56.6% respectively in their studies. Culture positivity rate varying from 25% to 60%; have been reported in different Indian studies. [13-16]. Pure growth of bacteria was isolated from 59.44% cases out of total culture positive samples in our study. Similarly, a high blood culture positivity rate in neonatal septicemia had been reported by various Indian authors. [12, 14]

In the present study lethargy and feed intolerance were the most common clinical presentation observed in almost all our cases in both the groups (EOS & LOS), followed by respiratory distress. Bleeding tendency, convulsions and poor perfusion were the other less common clinical presentations observed. Similarly, according to a recent study by De et al [17] the major symptoms of neonatal sepsis were lethargy and poor feeding. Christian et al [18] have reported that bacterial infection is another possible cause of neonatal respiratory distress.

Low birth weight (74%) and prematurity (66%) were the most common risk factor identified in both EOS and LOS patients. However, prolonged IV antibiotics, ventilator support, prolonged hyperalimentation and prolonged central venous line were other less common risk factors identified in this study and were associated more with LOS than EOS patients. Similarly, De et al. [17] also reported low birth weight and prematurity as major foetal risk factors. In another study Stoll et al. [19] published their results as rate of infection was inversely related to birth weight and gestational age. Complications of prematurity associated with an increased rate of late-onset sepsis included patent ductus arteriosus, prolonged ventilation, prolonged intravascular access, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, and necrotizing enterocolitis. Infants who developed LOS had a significantly prolonged hospital stay (mean length of stay: 79 Vs 60 days). The mortality rates were significantly higher than those who were not infected (18% Vs 7%), especially if they were infected with Gram-negative organisms (36%) or fungi (32%). Wai et al [20] also concluded that neonatal sepsis contributes to great mortality and morbidity among very-low-birth-weight (VLBW) infants. Unlike term infants, Gram-negative organism and *E. coli* were the leading pathogen of EOS among VLBW infants. Judicious and timely use of antibiotic therapy is crucial in the care of VLBW infants. Dankner et al. [21] concluded that major risk factor for neonatal sepsis includes prolonged hospitalization, the presence of central venous catheters, and the use of intravenous fat emulsions. Similar risk factors were identified in our study.

In the present study we calculated the statistical parameters that is the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and accuracy of IL6 and CRP estimation in relation to blood culture positivity in both the EOS and LOS patients. [Table 3]. Celik et al. [10] have reported the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV of IL-6, when compared to blood culture for neonatal sepsis to be 72%, 84%, 95%, and 42%, respectively when the cut-off value in the diagnosis of neonatal sepsis was taken as 24.65 pg/ml and for CRP the sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV was 67%, 97%, 99%, and 39%, respectively when compared to blood culture when the cut-off value was 4.82 mg/L. Different workers have worked with different cut off levels of IL6 for EOS. To refer to a few reported cases: Berner et al. [22] reported sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV as 87%, 93%, 76% and 97% at cut off level at 100 pg/ml. Dollner et al. [23] had cut off level 33 pg/ml and got sensitivity and specificity as 84% and 70% respectively. In the same year Krueger et al. [24] had cut off level as 80 pg/ml and reported the sensitivity and specificity as 96% and 95% respectively. Santana et al. [25] also had cut off level of 100.8 pg/ml but reported sensitivity of 50% and specificity, PPV and NPV of 87%, 31% and 66% respectively. In another study Tasci et al. [26] reported sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of IL6 as 100%, 81%, 37% and 100% with cut off level at 39 pg/ml.

In the present study the sensitivity of CRP when compared to blood culture positivity was 27.5% in cases of EOS. Similar finding has been reported by Bhat et al. [27] in their study. In another study the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of CRP as compared to blood culture positivity has been reported as 50%, 97%, 67% and 94% respectively with the cut-off value of 5 mg/L. [28] Døllner et al. [23] found that CRP levels were undetectable in nearly all of the neonates, both in infectious and control groups. Santana et al. [25] using a more sensitive method allowing a detection limit of 1 mg/dL, found that the cord blood CRP levels were low (0.076-0.63 mg/dL) and of no significant difference among infectious, non-infectious and healthy groups.

The present study therefore highlights that on comparative evaluation of biomarkers it was found that IL-6 was a more sensitive biomarker and rises early in Neonatal sepsis compared to CRP. CRP has been proved as a "specific" but "late" marker of neonatal infection [23]. Both biomarkers showed comparable results in cases of LOS. However, to exactly pinpoint the biomarkers, a greater number of patients of neonatal sepsis including various groups (fungal, anaerobic etc.) needs to be studied in future. Moreover, as IL-6 is an early indicator its level needs to be monitored on hourly basis to come to a conclusion. These are the few limitations of our study.
On calculation of the mean value of IL-6 and CRP, the present study highlighted that, the mean positive value of IL-6 was higher in patients with positive blood culture (173.34 pg/ml) in EOS as compared to LOS where the mean value of IL-6 in culture positive cases was 74.49 pg/ml.

In the present study we could see that the mean value of both IL-6 and CRP were definitely higher in blood culture positive cases of Neonatal sepsis as compared to blood culture negative cases.

Evidences confirm that IL-6 levels increase rapidly after exposure to bacterial components. Kuster et al.[8] reported median value of IL-6, 5.6 pg/ml in no sepsis group and 89.7 pg/ml in proven sepsis group. In a recent study Arani et al. [28] reported the average level of IL-6 in the group admitted with signs and symptoms of sepsis and a positive blood culture was 1545.65 pg/ml and in the group admitted with signs and symptoms of sepsis and a negative blood culture was 14.79 pg/ml, while in the control group, it was 11.04 pg/ml. In cases of EOS, the mean value of CRP in culture positive and negative cases was 17.04 mg/l and 04.86mg/l respectively. Similarly in LOS, the mean value of CRP was 16.90 mg/l and 0.99 mg/l. in culture positive & negative cases respectively. Chiesa et al. [7] in their study found geometric mean CRP values were significantly higher in the infected than in the uninfected neonates at birth [12.0 Vs 3.3 mg/l], at 24 h [37.0 Vs 4.7 mg/l], and at 48 h of age [33.5 Vs 4.5 mg/l].

IL-6 is a cytokine of the early host response to infection, preceding the increase of CRP and after the release of TNF-α. It is synthesized by mononuclear phagocytes, endothelial cells, fibroblasts, decidua, chorion, amnion and trophoblastic cells shortly after stimulus of microbial products.[26] In vivo experiments have shown that the release, peak response and declination to baseline of IL-6 was observed at 1-2 hours, 3 hours and 8 hours, respectively, after lipopolysaccharide (LPS) injection. The half-life time is considered to be around 100 minutes and its circulating concentration can drop precipitously following antimicrobial treatment [5].

Due to lack of adequate facility of virology laboratory, we could not include viral infection sepsis cases in our study. This was the limitation of our study.

5. Conclusion
The present study highlights that IL-6 is a good marker for early onset neonatal sepsis detecting a greater number of cases than CRP. So, Il 6 should be introduced in routine diagnosis of neonatal sepsis. It will prevent the delay in treatment while waiting for blood culture report. In this way it will reduce the mortality and morbidity due to early onset neonatal sepsis.
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