Alzheimer’s Disease Dementia, Amyloid Imaging and Underpinning Fibrillar Aβ Plaque Associated Pathology: Are They Aligned?

Abstract

The goal of this review is to summarize the literature about Alzheimer’s disease (AD) diagnosis at the level of clinical, histopathological examinations and amyloid PET imaging, which is important not only to clinicians, but also to scientists who develop and test new therapies and biomarkers for AD. AD is the most common form of dementia and is characterized by the presence of fibrillar amyloid β (Aβ) in the form of extracellular plaques and hyperphosphorylated tau protein in the form of intracellular neurofibrillary tangles in specific brain regions. The definitive diagnosis of AD requires neuropathological examination of brain tissue. There are several published histopathological criteria for the diagnosis of AD. These criteria are necessary to distinguish between pathologic changes associated with healthy aging versus those indicative of AD. The most commonly used criteria in clinical pathology practice and research are those developed by the Consortium to establish a registry for AD (CERAD) and by the National Institute on Aging (NIA)-Reagan criteria. Concordance between clinical diagnosis of AD and pathological findings is not exact. Previous clinical-postmortem studies have reported that ~10-15% of AD subjects diagnosed in expert centers show no signs of Aβ pathology. The diagnostic precision of community physicians is lower when rigorously assessed and compared to autopsy. For example, in a community-based brain bank study, only 77% of clinically diagnosed AD subjects received a pathological diagnosis of AD and 52% of those diagnosed with a non-AD dementia actually had pathological AD. It is critical to choose a right pathological assessment approach that can accurately and quantitatively assess the amount of amyloid in the brain. In this review we discussed how to dichotomize a postmortem measure of Aβ load using Aβ immunohistochemistry with the 4G8 antibody, and also assessed the role of amyloid imaging in diagnosis of AD and clinical development of new therapies. Amyloid imaging tests performance has been discussed from available clinical trials assessing diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy; this type of technology recognized as a sensitive outcome measure in testing future therapies. The future directions in which field should move forward would require to improve the clinical diagnosis of AD and to validate amyloid imaging probes to be used as regulatory-accepted endpoints in disease-modifying trials.
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Introduction

The goal of this review is to summarize the literature about Alzheimer’s disease (AD) at the level of clinical, histopathological examinations and amyloid PET imaging, which is important not only to clinicians, but also to scientists who develop and test new therapies and biomarkers for AD. Dementia is a clinical syndrome characterized by multiple cognitive deficits, including memory impairment and deficits in speech, motor ability, and ability to recognize or identify objects.

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is the most common form of dementia and is characterized by the presence of fibrillar amyloid β (Aβ) in the form of extracellular plaques and hyperphosphorylated tau protein in the form of intracellular neurofibrillary tangles in specific brain regions. The definitive diagnosis of AD requires neuropathological examination of brain tissue [1].

Fibrillar Aβ plaque associated pathology

Dementia syndromes can be categorized into those associated with fibrillar Aβ plaques and those not associated with fibrillar Aβ plaques. Alzheimer’s disease is the prototypic dementia associated with fibrillar Aβ plaques and their presence with neurofibrillary tangles is necessary for a confirmatory histopathological diagnosis [2]. Other, Aβ-associated syndromes are cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) [3] and Dementia with Lewy bodies (DLB) [4]. Dementia syndromes not associated with Aβ deposition include Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD) and dementia associated with...
Parkinson’s disease [5,6]. Amyloid deposition may be present in many cognitively normal elderly people [7,8] and more frequently in people with evidence of the AD precursor syndrome amnestic Mild Cognitive Impairment (aMCI) [9].

**Diagnostic criteria for Alzheimer’s disease**

There are several published histopathologic criteria for the diagnosis of AD. These criteria are necessary to distinguish between pathologic changes associated with healthy aging versus those indicative of AD [1]. The most commonly used criteria in clinical pathology practice and research are those developed by the Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer’s Disease (CERAD) [10] and by the National Institute on Aging (NIA)-Reagan criteria (National Institute on Aging and Reagan Institute) [11]. These criteria establish thresholds for the likelihood of an AD diagnosis. Many experts using the CERAD scoring criteria, which have been employed by Ikonomovic in his published work validating the amyloid detection properties of the PET tracer[1^C] PiB [12].

**Aβ and the pathophysiology and neuropathology of Alzheimer’s disease**

Definitive diagnosis of AD relies on the demonstration of amyloid plaques and neurofibrillary tangles at autopsy [10]. Amyloid plaques are composed of the 40-42 amino acid long Aβ peptides [13]. Neurofibrillary tangles are mainly composed of a hyperphosphorylated form of the microtubule-associated protein, tau [14]. Aβ also can be found deposited around small arterioles in the form of cerebrovascular amyloid [15]. Plaques occur earliest in the neocortex where they are relatively evenly distributed [16]. Tangles appear first in limbic areas such as the transentorhinal cortex and progress in a predictable topographic pattern to the neocortex [17].

A growing consensus points to deposition of Aβ plaques as a central event in the pathogenesis of AD. The single, most important piece of evidence for this “amyloid cascade hypothesis” of AD is the demonstration that several mutations in the Aβ precursor protein (APP) gene on chromosome 21 are associated with early onset AD [18]. While a very small number of families are affected with this form of AD, the disease is phenotypically very similar to the more common sporadic form of AD, save only its early age of onset [19]. Further genetic support for the amyloid cascade hypothesis comes from the finding that the most common form of autosomal dominant AD is associated with mutations in the presenilin-1 gene on chromosome 14 which codes for a protein strongly implicated to be an essential component of the “gamma-secretase” enzyme complex responsible for C-terminal cleavage of Aβ from its precursor, APP [20]. In contrast, no mutation in the tau protein has been shown to cause AD. Mutations in tau (chromosome 17) are linked to frontotemporal dementia with Parkinsonism [14].

A sizable body of work existed on the regional distribution of Aβ plaques in post-mortem tissue prior to the first attempts to image amyloid in vivo. Several investigators including Mann (1985), Arnold et al. [21] and Braak and Braak [22] performed extensive post-mortem studies to define the temporal progression and regional distribution of Aβ plaque deposition in AD. Arnold et al. [21] mapped the distribution of neurofibrillary tangles and amyloid plaques surrounded by dystrophic neuritis in the brains of patients with AD. Compared to neurofibrillary tangles, neuritic plaques were more evenly distributed throughout the cortex with fewer in limbic periallocortex and allocortex: the areas with greatest neurofibrillary tangle density. The cerebellum is notably free of neuritic plaques in AD, although diffuse amyloid deposits that do not label with fibrillar dyes such as Congo red or Thioflavin-S are commonly observed in the cerebellum [23,24].

Braak and colleagues are well known for their neurofibrillary tangle staging system, and they have also done extensive work on plaque pathology [16,22,25]. Their work can be separated into:

a) A study of Aβ deposition across the entire brain, midbrain, pons and medulla [16].

b) A study of the stages of Aβ deposition across the neocortex [22].

c) A detailed study of the stages of Aβ deposition in the temporal lobe [25].

Thal et al. [16] described five “phases” of amyloid plaque deposition across the entire brain. Of course, these post-mortem studies are cross-sectional and the temporal pattern of deposition is inferred from patterns of deposition observed in brains with different levels of involvement. For example, if Aβ deposition in region-X is always present when there is deposition in region-Y, but Aβ deposition in region-X can be found in the absence of plaques region-Y, then it is presumed that deposition in region-X temporally precedes that in region-Y.

Phase-1 is the neocortical phase in which Aβ deposits are found exclusively in the neocortex. Although plaques can be in the frontal, parietal, temporal or occipital neocortex in Phase-1, an earlier study by Braak and Braak [22] suggested plaques typically appear first in the basal neocortex, most frequently in poorly myelinated areas of the anterior temporal and inferior frontal cortex [22]. They referred to this as “Stage-A”. Thal et al. [16] also detailed the sequence of Aβ deposits in the temporal lobe (TL) [25]. In Phase-1, plaques are confined to the basal, lateral temporal neocortex (TL-1 stage).

In Phase-2, Aβ deposits can be found in allocortical brain regions including entorhinal cortex, CA1 and the insular cortex (corresponding to the TL-2 stage). Plaques are sometimes seen in the amygdala, the cingulate gyrus, the presubiculum region, the molecular layer of the fascia dentata, and small patches of subpial band-like amyloid appear in the frontal, parietal, temporal, and occipital neocortex (overlapping with the TL-3 stage). All other areas do not show Aβ in this phase.

In Phase-3, Aβ plaques are seen in subcortical regions including the caudate, putamen, claustrum, basal forebrain nuclei, substantia innominata, thalamus, hypothalamus, lateral habenular nucleus and white matter just beneath the cortex. In the TL-3 stage, Aβ deposits are found in the molecular layer of the fascia dentata, and band-like Aβ-deposits occur in the subpial portion of the molecular layer of both the entorhinal region and the temporal neocortex. In addition, confluent Aβ-deposits appear in the parvopyramidal layer of the presubicural region.
In Phase-4, Aβ deposits can be found in the inferior olivary nucleus, the reticular formation of the medulla oblongata, the substantia nigra, CA4, the central gray of the midbrain, the colliculi superiores and inferiores and the red nucleus. However, within the inferior olivary nucleus, the reticular formation of the pons and the medulla oblongata, and the red nucleus there are often only one to three plaques in the entire anatomic structure. The TL-4 stage parallels Phase-4 and plaques can be found in CA4 in addition to the other temporal areas listed above.

In Phase-5, Aβ deposits can be found in the reticular formation of the pons, the pontine nuclei, the central and dorsal raphe nuclei, the locus coeruleus, the parabrachial nucleus, the dorsal tegmental nucleus, the reticulotegmental nucleus of the pons, and the cerebellum. In the cerebellum, Aβ most frequently occurs in the molecular layer and Aβ deposits were never found in the dentate nucleus or other cerebellar nuclei. These cerebellar deposits are rarely fibrillar and accordingly do not stain with fibrillar dyes as previously mentioned.

Progression of neocortical amyloid deposits from Stage-A to Stage-B appears to occur in parallel to Phases-2 and -3. Further progression in the neocortex to Stage-C parallels Phases 3-5. It should be emphasized that the post-mortem models of amyloid deposition discussed above were completed before and therefore were not influenced by any in vivo amyloid imaging studies. The patterns described form a basis against which to judge the performance of amyloid imaging tracers. However, several important points that stem from this extensive body of neuropathological data often go unrecognized. First, Aβ deposition starts in the neocortex, not in the medial portions of the temporal lobe. Second, the hippocampus contains smaller amounts of fibrillar Aβ deposits than the surrounding cortical areas, even at later stages. Third, striatal Aβ deposition occurs in 80% of Phase-3 cases and 100% of Phase-4 and Phase-5 cases [16]. Since all of the AD cases included in the Thal et al. [16] study were in Phases 3-5, this means nearly all their AD cases had striatal amyloid deposition. Extensive amyloid deposition has been reported to occur in the striatum of virtually all AD patients in several prior studies as well [17,26-28]. Striatal plaque deposition appears to occur early in the progression of AD pathology and coincides with neocortical pathology and cognitive changes [28]. While neuritic elements have been described in ventral striatum [26], most striatal Aβ deposits are not associated with dystrophic neuritis [26,27]. Despite this poorly understood absence of neuritic changes in the striatum, striatal plaques appear to be fibrillar as evidenced by the fact that they are stained well by fibril-specific dyes such as the Congo red derivative, K-34 [29] and the Thioflavin-T derivative, 6-CN-BTA-1 [30].

Braak and colleagues proposed a sequential deposition of Aβ, but did not quantify the Aβ load in the various brain areas. Quantification is done biochemically with enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). Naslund et al. [31] have used Aβ ELISA analyses to show that frontal cortex contains significantly more Aβ than either the temporal or parietal cortex at Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) stages 0.5-2 (2000). Thus, the frontal cortex is one of the earliest sites of brain Aβ deposition and typically has the highest concentration of insoluble Aβ deposits of any brain area. The most medial portions of the temporal lobe, such as the hippocampus, typically show lower levels of Aβ deposition and these occur later than those observed in the neocortex [22].

Neuropathological studies of aging

Amyloid deposition is associated with aging and in autopsy studies, a substantial proportion of cognitively normal elderly individuals have been found to have both amyloid deposition and evidence of pathological features of AD [32]. Neuropathological studies have demonstrated cortical Aβ plaques decades before the usual onset of dementia that almost invariably develops in these individuals [33], suggesting that neuropathological changes precede the clinical expression of AD by at least a decade [34,35]. As a result, Jack et al. [36] have recently proposed that AD can be considered a biphasic disease: the first phase characterized by the development of fibrillar Aβ plaques which is then followed by a phase of neurodegeneration. Amyloid is not often found in individuals less than 55 years old, except in Down’s syndrome and some other genetic syndromes associated with AD.

Relationship of Alzheimer’s Disease Clinical Diagnosis to Pathology

Even in expert centers, concordance between clinical diagnosis of AD and pathological findings is not exact. Previous clinical-postmortem correlation studies, have reported that ~10-15% of AD subjects diagnosed in expert centers show no signs of Aβ pathology [37]. The diagnostic precision of community physicians is lower when rigorously assessed and compared to autopsy. For example, in a community-based brain bank program, only 77% of 234 clinically diagnosed AD subjects received a pathological diagnosis of AD and 52% of those diagnosed with a non-AD dementia actually had pathological AD [38].

It is critical to choose a pathological assessment approach that can accurately and quantitatively assess the amount of amyloid in the brain (Figure 1). For continuous comparisons with in vivo amyloid imaging probes that result in the determination of a Pearson’s or Spearman’s correlation coefficient, a continuous measure of brain Aβ load is needed. The most accurate and least biased approach for this purpose is the assessment of total Aβ load by an antibody specific to Aβ such as the widely employed 4G8 antibody directed at the mid-portion (residues 17-24) of the Aβ peptide. This approach will have little bias because it will detect almost all forms of deposited Aβ and has been shown to be as sensitive as the Bielschowsky technique at assessing neuritic plaque load [39] and will also detect non-neuritic plaques (i.e., diffuse, amorphous and fleecy Aβ deposits) and CAA as well. A recent consensus panel of the BrainNet Europe consortium chose 4G8 as the optimal antibody for all plaques including diffuse/fleecy plaques [40]. The latter fact is likely to result in some discrepancies between an in vivo measure of fibrillar Aβ and a postmortem measure of total Aβ because the 4G8 antibody will detect more Aβ deposits than are detectable by any measure specific for fibrillar Aβ.

Many investigators have reported methods for defining an abnormal or amyloid-positive PET scan. For [18F]flutemetamol or other amyloid probes, this can be done visually and/or from the quantitative SUVR values. It is important to note that the "Aβ-
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positive cutoff” is meant to distinguish between $\beta$-positive and $\beta$-negative subjects regardless of clinical diagnosis as opposed to what might be called an “AD cutoff” which is meant to distinguish between clinical AD and clinical normality.

First, one can compare the plaque count necessary for the Alafuzoff et al. [40] definition of “many” ($\sim$100) to the upper limit of percent $\beta$ plaques area observed in AD brains. Ikonomovic et al. [12] have found percent area of $\beta$ of up to ~15% in a typical AD patient [12] and others have reported up to 27.1±11.0% area in AD patients and 14.5±6.0% area in non-demented elderly controls with “high amyloid plaque density” [41]. Using a cutoff similar to Alafuzoff et al. [40] at approximately $1/10^3$ the maximum load would yield a cutoff of 1.5-3% plaque area to define the “presence” of significant $\beta$ load for the purposes of a dichotomization of the presence/absence of $\beta$ deposition by IHC. Second, one could estimate the percent area occupied by 10 average $\beta$ plaques in a 100x microscopic field. A typical 100x field of view covers ~2.5 mm$^2$. An average $\beta$ plaque is 50-100 µm in diameter or 0.002-0.008 mm$^2$ in area. This would equate to ~1-3% plaque area. Both of these extrapolation methods give similar results and a conservative cutoff can be set at 1.5% plaque area.

It is well recognized that postmortem immunohistochemical techniques using high-affinity monoclonal antibodies will, by their nature, always be more sensitive than any in vivo amyloid imaging technology. Thus, it is recognized that some level of amyloid deposition- detectable at autopsy by these sensitive in vitro techniques- will not be detectable in vivo.

### Histopathology (immunohistochemistry) threshold

In order to dichotomize a postmortem measure of $\beta$ load to determine a pathology threshold, many experts rely on the more representative measure of $\beta$ IHC with the 4G8 antibody. The use of this antibody has been shown to achieve a high level of concordance for assessment of the presence or absence of plaques and CAA on 2 mm diameter tissue core sections in microarrays in a large multicenter study [40]. This shows that good results can be achieved from very small tissue samples such as those obtained at brain biopsy. Alafuzoff et al. [40] defined the “presence” of plaques as ~10 plaques per 100x field. They also defined higher categories of “moderate” (~40 plaques per 100x field) and “many” (~100 plaques per 100x field). These categories should not be equated to the CERAD sparse, moderate and frequent mainly because the IHC method detects all forms of deposited $\beta$, not just neuritic plaques. Thus, Alafuzoff et al. [40] defined a threshold for the “presence” of plaques at a level ~1/10$^3$ the maximum plaque load. Although there was some disagreement among 21 raters in the Alafuzoff et al. [40] study as to whether there were some-or-moderate and moderate-or-many plaques in a tissue sample, there was complete agreement as to whether there was a “presence” or “absence” of plaques using these definitions [40]. This presence-absence distinction is most relevant for clinical research and this appears to be a good technique with which to generate a sensitive dichotomous postmortem measure of the presence of significant $\beta$ deposition which can be used for comparison to the dichotomized [$^{18}$F] flutemetamol or any other amyloid probe result (visual read or quantitative). Unfortunately, the Alafuzoff et al. [40] study did not define the cutoff for “presence” of plaques by percent area. However, one can reasonably extrapolate the Alafuzoff et al. [40] results to percent area by two methods.

### Histopathology versus in vivo amyloid PET imaging

There are three histopathological criteria that have been widely used in the diagnosis of AD. While it might seem that one of these criteria might be a good correlate for in vivo fibrillar $\beta$ imaging, each has serious weaknesses relative to IHC that make them inappropriate for a correlate with in vivo $\beta$ PET imaging.

The Khachaturian criteria [42] has some relationship to in vivo assessment of fibrillar $\beta$ pathology since a diagnosis of AD by these criteria relies solely on assessment of $\beta$ plaque numbers in multiple brain areas (i.e., neurofibrillary tangle counts that are not measured by fibrillar $\beta$ imaging agents are not considered in the assessment). However, the cutoff for a diagnosis of AD varies according to age (higher plaque counts are required with increasing age) and by the presence or absence of a clinical diagnosis of dementia (fewer plaques are necessary in the presence of a clinical diagnosis). Clearly, an in vivo measure of fibrillar $\beta$ pathology must produce the same quantitative result in the brains of any two subjects who have identical plaque loads regardless of the age or clinical history of the two subjects. The Khachaturian criteria have largely been supplanted in the US by one of the two criteria discussed below.

Derived from the Khachaturian criteria, the CERAD criteria [10] also have some appeal as a postmortem comparator to in vivo assessment of fibrillar $\beta$ since these criteria also rely solely on plaque counts. CERAD also has adjustments for age and clinical diagnosis, but these criteria have several other serious shortcomings for use as a comparator for in vivo fibrillar $\beta$ imaging. First, plaques are only semi-quantified into four categories: none, sparse, moderate or frequent. This does not provide a good continuous measure for comparison to a continuous measure such as that obtained from a PET measure of fibrillar $\beta$ burden. Second, plaques are only quantified in three cortical regions: the middle frontal gyrus, the inferior parietal...
lobule (IPL), and the superior and middle temporal gyri and the total area sampled in these three regions is relatively small since this is done on a microscopic scale. The microscopic field (typically only several mm² in area) with the highest plaque frequency gives the score to each of the three areas and the final CERAD score is derived from the highest score in any of these three regions. Thus, the CERAD score can be driven by a single microscopic field that may not be representative of the brain as a whole. That is, a section of tissue that is several mm² in area and only microns thick, representing less than 0.01% of the total neocortical volume can drive the CERAD score. This obviously opens the possibility of serious sampling bias problems. Third, CERAD plaque counts are exclusively from neuritic plaques only and are typically identified with a silver stain such as that of Bielschowsky [10,43,44]. Neuritic plaques are Aβ plaques surrounded by dystrophic neuritic processes containing tau proteins [45]. Although the Bielschowsky stain can detect all forms of plaques, its use here is “tuned” to the detection of neuritic plaques and the raters are trained to count only the neuritic plaques. Braak et al. [46] have stated that “a considerable proportion of the amyloid plaques do not correspond to and should carefully be distinguished from ‘primitive’, ‘mature’, and ‘burned out’ types of neuritic (senile) plaques” [46]. Non-neuritic plaques, even those appearing diffuse, can contain substantial fibrillary amyloid [47]. To quote the late neuropathologist, H. M. Wisniewski, CERAD scores reflect “a single microscopic field of maximal involvement, rather than on more generalized measures of regional neuropathology, and refer exclusively to neuritic rather than β-amyloid plaques” [48]. Thus, the final CERAD rating is likely to be a poor representation of the total fibrillar Aβ load of a brain and would thus be a poor comparator for an in vivo technique that was intended to be a quantitative measure of brain fibrillary Aβ.

The NIA/Reagan criteria (1997) differ most from the previous two sets of criteria by the inclusion of neurofibrillary tangle assessment in the determination of the likelihood that AD is present (Table 1). That is, the Braak and Braak staging system for neurofibrillary tangles plays a role in the diagnosis [17]. Assessment of plaques is made in exactly the same way as CERAD. Thus, the NIA/Reagan criteria essentially takes the CERAD criteria and appends a Braak tangle stage to provide a purely pathological diagnosis and an estimate about the probability that AD is present. The original NIA/Reagan criteria described three levels of likelihood: low (Braak I-II and sparse plaques), intermediate (Braak II-IV and moderate plaques) and high (Braak V-VI and frequent plaques). It is apparent that these three combinations represent only 3 of the 9 possible combinations of the 3 Braak stages with the 3 CERAD plaque frequencies. Others have proposed 3x3 tables to address all 9 possibilities [49].

Although the NIA/Reagan criteria is well-suited for the neuropathological diagnosis of AD and has been used frequently and effectively for this purpose [49], it appears to be the worst of the three criteria for the purpose of a comparator to a quantitative in vivo measure of fibrillary Aβ pathology. This is because it retains all of the semi-quantitative and sampling bias disadvantages of the CERAD criteria described above, and adds the additional disadvantage of mixing neurofibrillary tangle pathology into the final likelihood score. Thus, using the Table 1, a two brains could have exactly the same load of Aβ pathology (e.g., resulting in a moderate CERAD plaque score) yet one brain could get a “low likelihood” and another get a “high likelihood” and this difference would be driven solely by the neurofibrillary tangle pathology that has nothing at all to do with the accuracy of the in vivo PET tracer aimed at fibrillary Aβ pathology.

### Table 1: NIA-Reagan and CERAD criteria for Alzheimer’s disease diagnosis.

| Braak & Braak Stage | CERAD Neuritic Plaque Score | NIA-Reagan Criteria |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| I-II                | Low                         | Low-Intermediate    |
| III-IV              | Low-Intermediate            | Intermediate        |
| V-VI                | Intermediate                | High                |

*Note: Braak criteria include only neuropathology (neurofibrillary tangles [NFT], and does not account for Aβ plaques). CERAD criteria include both plaque neuropathology and clinical criteria (accounts for highest neuritic plaque count). NIA Reagan criteria include both CERAD and Braak neuropathology (accounts for both but didn’t correlation with the Aβ plaque load).

It also is important to note that another important component of brain Aβ load is completely ignored in all three of these diagnostic criteria, cerebrovascular amyloid or cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA). This important lesion is present in over two-thirds of patients with AD [50]. Amyloid imaging tracers have been shown to label CAA well in postmortem tissue [12,51] and binding to CAA likely contributes to the in vivo signal [52]. The lack of representation of this form of Aβ deposition in any of the above mentioned diagnostic criteria underscores the inappropriateness of these criteria as a comparator for a quantitative in vivo measure of fibrillar Aβ load. Proposed classification criteria for AD dementia from the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer’s Association workgroup [53] propose the following terminology for classifying individuals with dementia caused by AD: (a) Probable AD dementia, (b) Possible AD dementia, and (c) Probable or possible AD dementia with evidence of the AD pathophysiological process. This is more advanced classification coupled with a more comprehensive approach utilizing knowledge of biomarkers (including amyloid PET imaging and CSF) to the AD dementia diagnostic process.

However, the important question is how well does [18F] flutemetamol or [11C]PiB or any other PET diagnostic probe retention in the brain (expressed as a SUVR) represent the amount of amyloid deposition in the brain (Figure 2). Previous studies of [18F]flutemetamol in living subjects with normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) needing ventriculo-peritoneal shunting or intracranial pressure monitoring showed a good correlation between imaging via standard uptake value ratio (SUVR) and neuritic plaque burden assessed with Bielschowsky silver stain (Spearman’s r=0.61-0.74, p<0.0001). Overall sensitivity and specificity of the test by majority read were 93 and 100% [54-57]. Cortical uptake of [18F] flutemetamol was assessed visually by blinded reviewers, and also quantitatively via SUVR in specific
Figure 2: [18F]Flutemetamol (upper row) and [11C]PiB (lower row) images of the same AD patient. The images show similar enhanced signal in the grey matter cortical regions of the brain due to β-amyloid plaque loading, with the main differences being that the [18F] flutemetamol has a higher signal in the white matter (wm) and the pons (p) than the [11C]PiB images.

Clark et al. [59] evaluated associations between PET imaging of amyloid plaques and amyloid-β pathology measured at autopsy in 59 terminally ill subjects who had a life expectancy of less than 6 months. The sensitivity and specificity of florbetapir (Amyvid, Lilly USA, LLC, Indianapolis, IN) PET imaging for detection of moderate to frequent plaques were 92% and 100%, respectively, in people who had autopsy within 2 years of PET imaging, and 96% and 100%, respectively, for those who had autopsy within 1 year. Amyloid assessed semi-quantitatively with florbetapir PET was correlated with the post-mortem amyloid burden in the participants who had an autopsy within 2 years (Spearman\( p=0.76; p=0.0001 \)) and within 12 months between imaging and autopsy (0.79; \(p=0.0001 \)). Curtis et al. [60] determined the sensitivity and specificity of PET imaging with flutemetamol (Vizamyl; GE Healthcare, Princeton, NJ) in terminally ill patients with a life expectancy of less than 1 year. Images were classified as positive or negative for β-amyloid by 5 readers who were blind to patient information. From 176 patients who had evaluable images, 68 patients (38%) died during the study, were autopsied, and had neuritic plaque levels determined. Sensitivity without computed tomography was 81% to 93% (median, 88%). Median specificity was 88%, with 4 of 5 of the readers having specificity greater than 80%. These results were similar to observed above for [11C] florbetapir in terminally ill patients subjects with a life expectancy of less than 6 months [59], and were similar to results observed in NPH subjects when cortical tissues were taken during neurosurgery and analyzed using the same tracer [18F] flutemetamol [54-57].

In study by Yotter et al. [61], authors examined the spatial patterns of amyloid deposition throughout the brain using Pittsburgh Compound Blue (11C-PiB) PET data from the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of Aging. Results indicate that the spatial pattern of amyloid deposition is related to cognitive performance and may be more informative than a biomarker reflecting total amyloid burden, the use of which is the current practice. This finding has broad implications for our understanding of the relationship between cognitive decline/resilience and amyloid deposition, as well as for the use of amyloid imaging as a biomarker in research and clinical applications.

In two double-blind, randomized (Bapineuzumab or placebo), phase 3 trials involving patients with mild-to-moderate Alzheimer’s disease--one involving carriers of the apolipoprotein E (APOE) ε4 allele and the other involving noncarriers [62], between-group differences were observed with respect to 11C-PiB-PET and cerebrospinal fluid phospho-tau concentrations in APOE ε4 allele carriers but not in noncarriers. Interestingly enough, there were no significant between-group differences in the primary outcomes--change from baseline in the ADAS-cog11 and DAD scores (bapineuzumab group minus placebo group), suggesting that using amyloid imaging probes can be reasonable to improve sensitivity of outcome measures in testing future disease-modifying therapies. It would require pharmaceutical and diagnostic/healthcare companies, and academic centers to validate amyloid imaging probes to be used as a regulatory-accepted endpoints.

Amyloid imaging probes performance has been discussed from available clinical trials assessing diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy; this type of technology recognized as a sensitive outcome measure in testing future therapies. The future directions in which field should move forward would require to improve the clinical diagnosis of AD and to validate amyloid imaging probes to be used as regulatory-accepted endpoints in disease-modifying trials. These efforts are ongoing.
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