Differential evolutionary patterns and expression levels between sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes in peanut
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The patterns of evolution and expression of tissue-specific genes are poorly understood beyond sex-specific genes. Accordingly, we identified 3,191 tissue-specific genes and 38,745 common genes using 22 RNA-seq datasets from cultivated peanut. The expression levels of tissue-specific genes were significantly lower than those of common genes. Further, the expression levels of sex-specific genes were significantly higher than those of somatic tissue-specific genes. Among sex-specific genes, the expression levels of gynoecium-specific genes were significantly higher than those of androecium-specific genes. Function-specific genes were lacking among tissue-specific genes, and tissue-specific gene annotations overlapped among different tissues. Duplicate gene pairs were classified as homogeneous pairs expressed within the same tissue or heterogeneous pairs expressed in different tissues. Heterogeneous gene pairs evolved more rapidly than homogeneous gene pairs. In addition, somatic tissue-specific genes evolved faster than sex-specific genes. Molecular signatures of selection indicated that somatic tissue-specific genes have mainly experienced relaxed selection, while sex-specific genes have been under stronger selective constraint. Somatic tissue-specific genes had higher codon usage bias than sex-specific genes. These contrasting patterns between somatic tissue-specific and sex-specific genes provide new insights into the basic biology and evolution of peanut, an important crop.

Since the publication of the *Arabidopsis thaliana* genome, many genomic sequences and RNA-seq datasets of other plants have been released. Subsequently, researchers have used these datasets to gain insight into plant growth and development as well as other biological processes. In recent studies, sex-biased genes that are more highly expressed in sexual tissue, including female-biased and male-biased genes, have attracted the interest of researchers because sex-biased expression is fundamentally linked to gene regulation, epigenetics, developmental biology, and sexual antagonism. Sex-biased studies have been reported in humans and animals such as mammals, birds, fish, and fruit flies. Similar studies have been lacking in plants. However, studies examining the evolutionary patterns and expression levels of sex-biased genes have been reported for *A. thaliana*, *Capsella grandiflora*, *Collinsia heterophylla*, *Ectocarpus spp.*, and *Silene latifolia*. Some consistent conclusions have arisen from these analyses of sex-biased genes in plants. First, sex-biased genes tend to evolve more rapidly than unbiased genes. Second, sex-biased genes have mainly experienced adaptive evolution. Third, female-biased gene expression is higher than male-biased gene expression. In addition, female-biased genes tend to be highly expressed in specific tissues, while male-biased genes tend to be expressed at low levels in the same tissues. These findings support the hypothesis that differential expression of female-biased and male-biased genes may resolve sexual antagonism under natural selection and sexual selection. Fourth, a greater bias in codon usage has been observed among female tissues relative to male tissues in *Zea mays* and *Triticum aestivum*.

However, little is known about the evolutionary patterns and expression levels of tissue-specific genes in plants. There has been a particular lack in comparisons of these two aspects between sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes. These comparisons could not be made without RNA-seq datasets of both somatic tissue-specific and sex-specific tissue, especially datasets that focus on male-specific and female-specific tissues.
To date, RNA-seq datasets based on cultivated peanut have examined 22 different tissues, including both sexual and somatic tissues. These datasets are a powerful resource for research into the evolutionary patterns and gene expression levels exhibited in sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes. We found that different evolutionary patterns and gene expression levels are observed between sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes based on these RNA-seq datasets. Overall sex-specific genes were expressed at higher levels than somatic tissue-specific genes. Furthermore, somatic tissue-specific genes experienced relaxed selection, while sex-specific genes have been subject to stronger selective constraint.

**Results**

**Tissue-specific genes in cultivated peanut.** A total of 3,191 tissue-specific genes were identified from 22 RNA-seq datasets for cultivated peanut (Table S1). The largest number of tissue-specific genes were expressed in gynoecium tissue, while the fewest tissue-specific genes were expressed in seedling leaf tissue (Fig. 1). The descending order of tissues ranked by number of tissue-specific genes expressed in them was gynoecium, root, nodule, Pattee 5 seed, reproductive shoot, Pattee 6 seed, main stem leaf, later leaf, Pattee 8 seed, perianth, stalk, Pattee 7 seed, Pattee 3 pod, aerial gynophores, Pattee 5 pericarp, vegetative shoot, androecium, subterranean gynophore, Pattee 6 pericarp, Pattee 1 pod, and seedling leaf tissue (Fig. 1). RNA-seq data for the leaf, shoot, gynophore, pod, pericarp, and seed can be classified into three, two, two, three, two, and five developmental stages, respectively (Fig. 1 and Table S1). If different developmental stages were considered as individual tissues, we could obtain nine leaf-specific, seventeen shoot-specific, two gynophore-specific, four pod-specific, three pericarp-specific, and twenty-five seed-specific genes, respectively (Table S2). In this study, we used different developmental stages of tissues as the level of analysis because genes can vary in spatial and temporal expression. Sex-specific genes can be expressed at a particular developmental stage without being expressed in later stages (These genes are available as supplemental material in Table S2 and may be helpful for research on spatial and temporal gene expression patterns in cultivated peanut).

In contrast, we found 38,745 genes expressed simultaneously among 22 tissues, considered common genes hereafter. The cultivated peanut has about 78,574 coding sequences (CDSs) based on the number of genes of its two ancestors, *Arachis duranensis* (36,734 genes) and *Arachis ipaënsis* (41,840 genes). Therefore, tissue-specific genes account for 4.06% of the total number of genes (3,191 out of 78,574), and commonly expressed genes account for 49.31% of the total number of genes (38,745 out of 78,574) in cultivated peanut. Further, 1,357 tissue-specific genes and 18,627 common genes were derived from *A. duranensis*, accounting for 1.73% (1,357 out of 78,574) and 23.71% (18,627 out of 78,574) of cultivated peanut genes. Similarly, 1,834 tissue-specific genes and 20,117 common genes were derived from *A. ipaënsis*, accounting for 2.32% (1,834 out of 78,574) and 25.60% (20,117 out of 78,574) of cultivated peanut genes. The tissue-specific and common genes from *A. ipaënsis* outnumbered those from *A. duranensis*. This is consistent with more gene duplication events having occurred in *A. ipaënsis* than in *A. duranensis*. Tissue-specific genes were further classified into sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes. In this study, sex-specific genes were expressed specifically in gynoecium and androecium tissues, while somatic tissue-specific genes were expressed specifically in one of the other 20 tissues. Sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes accounted for 0.66% (522 out of 78,574) and 3.40% (2,669 out of 78,574) of cultivated peanut genes. The sex-specific and somatic-specific genes from *A. duranensis* accounted for 0.28%
(218 out of 78,574) and 1.45% (1,139 out of 78,574) of cultivated peanut genes, respectively. The sex-specific and somatic-specific genes from *A. ipaënsis* accounted for 0.39% (304 out of 78,574) and 1.95% (1,530 out of 78,574) of cultivated peanut genes, respectively.

Gene expression levels of tissue-specific genes were significantly lower than those of common genes (Mann–Whitney U test, *P* < 0.01). The gene expression levels differed significantly among the 22 tissues (Kruskal–Wallis test, Chi-square = 486.63, *P* < 0.05). It should also be noted that the sex-specific gene expression levels were significantly higher than those of somatic tissue-specific genes (Mann–Whitney U test, *P* < 0.01). Gynoecium-specific gene expression levels were higher than those of androecium-specific genes (Mann–Whitney U test, *P* < 0.01). Tissue-specific genes also overlapped among annotations for different tissues (Fig. S1). These analyses revealed a lack of function-specific genes among tissue-specific genes. Further, gene ontology (GO) analyses revealed that although one tissue may exhibit gene expression across different developmental stages for genes involved in different biological processes, the same biological processes may be shared among different tissues (Fig. S1). We found the most common GO categories to be 0008270 (zinc ion binding), 0006355 (regulation of transcription), 0016021 (transmembrane), 0003676 (nucleic acid binding), 0005524 (ATP binding), 0055114 (oxidation-reduction process), 0005515 (protein binding), and 006508 (proteolysis; Fig. 2). The detailed GO annotation listed in Table S3.
Evolutionary divergence between tissue-specific duplicated genes. A total of 274 full-length duplicated gene pairs were detected from the cultivated peanut RNA-seq data. $K_a^s$, $K_a$, and $K_a/K_s$ values were calculated between 232 duplicated gene pairs, and 42 duplicated gene pairs were removed because their $K_a$ values were less than 0.01 or larger than 0.30. The average values of $K_a$, $K_s$, and $K_a/K_s$ were 0.08, 0.21, and 0.56, respectively. Purifying selection predominated the molecular evolution of 207 duplicate gene pairs with $K_a/K_s$ values less than 1. In contrast, positive selection played a crucial force in 25 duplicate gene pairs with $K_a/K_s$ values larger than 1. It should be noted that these duplicate gene pairs possibly underwent adaptive evolution as suggested by their higher average $K_a/K_s$ values. Similarly, adaptive evolution was detected among sex-biased genes in Ectocarpus spp. because their corresponding average $K_a/K_s$ value exceeded 0.5<sup>a,b</sup>.

Among duplicate gene pairs that underwent purifying selection, 167 and 40 were heterogeneous gene pairs and homogeneous gene pairs, respectively. Among duplicate gene pairs predominantly shaped by positive selection, 16 and 9 were heterogeneous gene pairs and homogeneous gene pairs, respectively. The average $K_a$ and $K_s$ values for homogeneous gene pairs were lower than those for heterogeneous gene pairs (Mann–Whitney U test, $P < 0.05$), indicating the heterogeneous gene pairs evolved more rapidly than homogeneous gene pairs. The average $K_a/K_s$ values of heterogeneous gene pairs were larger than those of heterogeneous gene pairs, but this difference was not statistically significant (Mann–Whitney U test, $P > 0.05$). Further, 176 and 19 duplicate gene pairs consisted of somatic tissue-specific genes and sex-specific genes, respectively, while 37 duplicate gene pairs consisted of one somatic tissue-specific gene and one sex-specific gene (somatic-sex-specific gene pair). The $K_a$ and $K_s$ values of somatic-sex-specific duplicate genes exceeded those of both somatic tissue-specific genes and sex-specific genes (Fig. 3). This again indicates that heterogeneous gene pairs appear to evolve more rapidly than homogeneous gene pairs. In addition, the average $K_a$ value was similar between sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes, but the average $K_s$ value of somatic tissue-specific genes exceeded that of sex-specific genes (Fig. 3). The synonymous substitution rate was similar between sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes, while the nonsynonymous evolutionary rate of somatic tissue-specific genes was more rapid than that of sex-specific genes. However, the average $K_a/K_s$ value of somatic tissue-specific genes and sex-specific genes exceeded that of sex-specific genes, but this difference was not statistically significant (Fig. 3; Mann–Whitney U test, $P > 0.05$). Nevertheless, the average $K_a/K_s$ values of somatic tissue-specific genes, somatic-sex-specific genes, and sex-specific genes were 0.59, 0.50, and 0.46, respectively. Overall, somatic tissue-specific genes and somatic-sex-specific genes mainly underwent relaxed selection, while sex-specific genes experienced stronger selective constraint.

Codon usage bias in tissue-specific genes. After filtering criteria were applied, a total of 2,756 sequences were used to analyze codon usage bias. Although frequency of optimal codons (Fop) was not significantly different among different tissue types (Kruskal–Wallis test, $Chi$-square = 22.68, $P > 0.05$), the Fop value of somatic tissue-specific genes was significantly higher than that of sex-specific genes (Mann–Whitney U test, $P < 0.05$). Moreover, Fop values of gynoecium-specific genes were slightly but not significantly higher than those of androecium-specific genes (Mann–Whitney U test, $P > 0.05$). These results indicated that codon usage bias in somatic tissue-specific genes was higher than that in sex-specific genes. In addition, amino acid sequence length was significantly different across the various tissues (Kruskal–Wallis test, $Chi$-square = 36.62, $P < 0.05$). The amino acid sequences of sex-specific genes were longer than those of somatic tissue-specific genes (Mann–Whitney U test, $P < 0.05$), while the amino acid sequences of gynoecium-specific genes were non-significantly longer than those of androecium-specific genes (Mann–Whitney U test, $P > 0.05$).

Discussion
Cultivated peanut is one of the most important oil and protein crops. To elucidate the developmental processes and physiological changes involved in stress responses, many cultivated peanut RNA-seq datasets have been produced. Comparisons of genes differentially expressed between aerial gynophores and subterranean gynophores using RNA-seq data have revealed the involvement of genes that respond to hormones, the ubiquitin proteasome system, oxidative stress, and light signalling<sup>12–14</sup>. The cultivated peanut has been shown to have 842 potential defense-related genes involved in resistance to Aspergillus flavus based on RNA-seq data<sup>15</sup>. However, research has
not been focused on patterns in the evolution and expression of tissue-specific genes in peanut, especially comparisons between sex-specific and somatic tissue-specific genes. The genomes of the cultivated peanut progenitor species, *A. duranensis* and *A. ipaënsis*, have recently been completed. Additionally, 22 RNA-seq datasets distributed across different tissues of cultivated peanut have been assembled based on the *A. duranensis* and *A. ipaënsis* genomes. We used these resources to identify tissue-specific genes and then analyzed the evolutionary pattern and gene expression level of these genes. There were five key findings. (1) The expression levels of sex-specific genes were significantly higher than those of somatic tissue-specific genes. Among sex-specific genes, the expression levels of gynoecium-specific genes were significantly higher than those of androecium-specific genes. (2) The functions of tissue-specific genes overlapped among the different tissues. (3) Heterogeneous gene pairs evolved more rapidly than homogeneous gene pairs. (4) The evolutionary rate of somatic tissue-specific genes exceeded that of sex-specific genes. Molecular signatures of selective pressure indicated that somatic tissue-specific genes mainly experienced relaxed selection, while sex-specific genes were subject to stronger selective constraint. (5) Somatic tissue-specific genes had higher codon usage bias than sex-specific genes.

There are at least two possible explanations for the difference in gene expression level among tissue-specific genes. First, these results are supported by the gene expression and rate of sequence evolution anticorrelation model (E-R anticorrelation model). Under this model, the most highly expressed genes are also subject to the strongest selective constraint. In this study, sex-specific genes experienced stronger selective constraint than somatic tissue-specific genes, and the expression levels of sex-specific genes were higher than those of somatic tissue-specific genes. However, we cannot use E-R anticorrelation to explain the different gene expression levels exhibited between gynoecium-specific genes and androecium-specific genes because we were unable to estimate the selective pressures on androecium-specific genes (there was only one duplicated gene pair). Nevertheless, previous studies have demonstrated that more selective constraint acted on male-specific genes relative to female-specific genes. If the same pattern is found in cultivated peanut, the E-R anticorrelation model may explain why the significant difference in expression level between gynoecium-specific genes and androecium-specific genes. Second, different tissue-specific genes had different ages. Gossmann, et al. found that female genes were younger than male genes and that the gene expression levels of female genes were higher than those of male genes in *A. thaliana*. A significant shift in gene expression occurs during gametogenesis in *A. thaliana*, *Oryza sativa*, and *Glycine max*, in which younger genes than those typically expressed in sporophytes have been identified. We therefore suggest that the sex-specific genes may be younger than somatic tissue-specific genes.

The biological function of various tissue-specific genes lacked function-specificity. This contrasts with a previous study focused on tissue-biased genes. Shi, et al. found that root-biased, leaf-biased, and pistil-biased genes mainly participated in stress responses, photosynthesis, and meiotic cell cycles in barley. Though we cannot explain the non-specificity of the functions of tissue-specific genes, we suggest that the origin of tissue-specific genes precedes those of other genes in evolutionary time. Thus, tissue-specific genes have had longer to diverge in function. Accordingly, newer genes were likely progeny of tissue-specific genes. However, there is a lack of research on the relationship between tissue-specific and constitutively expressed genes in cultivated peanut.

Male-biased genes have evolved more rapidly than female-biased genes in many species. However, little is known about the difference between the evolutionary rates of somatic tissue-specific and sex-specific genes. A single published study about barley has demonstrated that pistil-biased orthologous pairs evolve more quickly than stem-, leaf-, and root-biased genes. However, these results were inconsistent with our finding that somatic tissue-specific genes evolved more rapidly than sex-specific genes. This discrepancy may be explained by our use of tissue-specific genes, while this previous study used tissue-biased genes. The evolutionary rates and gene expression levels of tissue-specific and tissue-biased genes may of course differ. We have demonstrated that the expression levels of tissue-specific genes were lower than those of common genes in this study. Recently, Cheng and Kirkpatrick demonstrated that sex-biased expression is consistent with ongoing sex-specific selection in humans and files. Similarly, the evolutionary patterns and expression levels of these genes may have recently changed. In addition, amino acid sequence length has likely affected the evolutionary rate. Previous studies have shown that amino acid sequence length was negatively correlated with evolutionary rate. In the present study, amino acid sequences of sex-specific genes were longer than those of somatic tissue-specific genes.

Overall, somatic tissue-specific genes exhibited higher codon usage bias than sex-specific genes. We found that natural selection acted on codon usage bias of orthologous pairs between *A. duranensis* and *A. ipaënsis* (unpublished data). These previous results indicate that somatic tissue-specific genes were more highly expressed than sex-specific genes. However, sex-specific genes were expressed at a higher level than somatic-specific genes in the present study. This discrepancy in conclusions between the two studies may be explained by differences in the abundances of tRNAs in different tissues. Thus, selection on codon bias may differ based on how tRNA abundance affects the efficiency and accuracy of translation processes in various tissues and cell types.

Materials and Methods

**Sequence data and gene ontology.** The data used in the present study include *A. duranensis* and *A. ipaënsis* genomic CDSSs and RNA-seq data from various tissues in cultivated peanut, which are available from PeanutBase (http://peanutbase.org/download). The 22 RNA-seq datasets were generated from leaf, shoot, peg, fruit, pericarp, seed, stamen, and pistil tissues. All details of the sequencing, de novo transcriptome assembly, and generation of expression values were previously described by Cleverger, et al. Briefly, first, cultivated peanuts (‘Tifrunner’) were grown in a greenhouse (maintained at 24–30 °C). All tissues were harvested at 14:00 except for flower samples, which were collected at 8:30. Three biological replicates of each tissue were sampled from three different plants. The total RNA of each pooled tissue sample was extracted. TruSeq RNA Sample Preparation v2 kits were used for library construction and paired-end 2 × 100 bp sequencing was conducted using an Illumina HiSeq. 2500 instrument with a total of 209 cycles of TruSeq Rapid SBS Kit v1 (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) chemistry. Second, an *in silico* amphidiploid genome was created by simply disregarding scaffolds and
concatenating the A. duranensis genome assembly with the A. ipaënsis genome assembly and labeling each simply the “A” and “B” genomes, respectively. Once the reads were mapped, the SAM file was run through the genome-guided pipeline. Third, total reads were mapped to the transcript assembly from 58 libraries (consisting of samples from 22 distinct tissue types and developmental stages including vegetative and seed stages) using Bowtie, allowing 2 mismatches within a particular 25bp seed. Fragments per kilobase per million reads mapped (FPKM) were estimated using RSEM for each library. When reads map to multiple transcripts, RSEM fractionates the read count among the transcripts so read counts are not integers. Transcripts that had less than 1 FPKM for all 58 libraries were filtered out using the Trinity package, because they were deemed to lack sufficient minimum read coverage. The FPKM values for each gene were distinguished for both the A (A. duranensis) and B (A. ipaënsis) genomes from cultivated peanut. In this study, the log2-transformed FPKM values were considered as normalised gene expression levels in these various tissues. The tissue-specific gene was considered as a gene with a FPKM value in a given tissue but not found in other tissues. Common genes were considered to be genes expressed in all 22 tissues.

GO analysis was conducted using Blast2Go, and the presented figures describing this GO analysis were conducted in WEGO and using R scripts.

**Measurement of synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates.** We used CDSs as queries to themselves in a comparison using local BLAST. The following evaluation criteria were used as thresholds to identify gene duplicates: (1) length of aligned sequences > 80% of the longer sequence’s length, (2) identity > 80%, and (3) E-value < 10−10. To avoid matches to partial sequences, all query sequences contained full-length coding frames. If a duplicate gene pair was expressed in a single tissue, it was classified as a homogeneous gene pair. In turn, duplicate gene pairs expressed only in different tissues were labeled heterogeneous gene pairs.

MAFFT was then used to obtain alignments of duplicate gene pairs. PAL2NAL was used for conversion of protein sequences into their corresponding nucleotide sequences. PAML 4.0 was used to calculate the synonymous and nonsynonymous substitution rates.

**Codon usage bias and amino acid length.** Codon usage bias, measured as the frequency of optimal codons (Fop) and amino acid length were estimated by Codon W. Fop values can range from 0 to 1. Fop values approaching 1 indicate a given gene has extreme codon usage bias. To avoid partial sequences, the following evaluation criteria were adopted: (1) CDSs must start in ATG and end in TAA, TAG, or TGA and (2) CDSs must lack premature termination or ambiguous codons.

**Statistical analysis.** The Mann–Whitney U and Kruskal–Wallis tests were conducted in JMP 9.0. (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). P-values less than 0.05 were considered significant.
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