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Abstract. We classify all seven-dimensional spaces which admit a homogeneous cosymplectic $G_2$-structure. The motivation for this classification is that each of these spaces is a possible principal orbit of a parallel Spin(7)-manifold of cohomogeneity one.

1. Introduction

The aim of this article is to classify all spaces which admit a homogeneous cosymplectic $G_2$-structure. Moreover, we not only classify the spaces themselves, but also the transitive group actions which preserve at least one cosymplectic $G_2$-structure.

In the literature, many of such spaces are known. Friedrich, Kath, Moroianu, and Semmelmann [11] classify all simply connected, compact spaces which admit a homogeneous nearly parallel $G_2$-structure. The product of a space with a homogeneous $SU(3)$-structure and a circle carries a canonical homogeneous $G_2$-structure. The spaces from the article of Cleyton and Swann [7] which admit a homogeneous $SU(3)$-structure should therefore be mentioned in this context, too.

One reason for our interest in this kind of spaces is that any principal orbit of a parallel Spin(7)-manifold of cohomogeneity one carries a homogeneous cosymplectic $G_2$-structure. Conversely, any homogeneous cosymplectic $G_2$-structure can be extended to a parallel Spin(7)-manifold of cohomogeneity one. A discussion of these facts can be found in Hitchin [12]. The aim of this article is to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1. (1) Let $G/H$ be a seven-dimensional, compact, connected, $G$-homogeneous space which admits a $G$-invariant $G_2$-structure. We assume that $G/H$ is a product of a circle and another homogeneous space and that $G$ acts almost effectively on $G/H$. Furthermore, we assume that $G$ and $H$ are both connected. In this situation, $G$, $H$, and $G/H$ are up to a covering one of the spaces from the table below:
Conversely, any of the above spaces admits a $G$-invariant $G_2$-structure.

(2) Let $G$, $H$, and $G/H$ satisfy the same conditions as in (1) with the single exception that $G/H$ is not a product of a circle and another homogeneous space. In this situation, $G$, $H$, and $G/H$ are up to a covering one of the spaces from the table below:

| $G$        | $H$        | $G/H$        |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| $SU(3)$   | $U(1)$    | $N^{k,l}$, $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ |
| $SO(5)$   | $SO(3)$   | $V^{5,2}$   |
| $Sp(2)$   | $Sp(1)$   | $S^7$       |
| $SO(5)$   | $SO(3)$   | $B^7$       |
| $SU(2)^3$ | $U(1)^2$  | $Q^{1,1,1}$ |
| $SU(3) \times U(1)$ | $SU(2) \times U(1)$ | $M^{1,1,0}$ |
| $SU(3) \times SU(2)$ | $SU(2) \times U(1)$ | $N^{1,1}$   |
| $Sp(2) \times U(1)$ | $Sp(1) \times U(1)$ | $S^7$       |
| $Sp(2) \times Sp(1)$ | $Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$ | $S^7$       |
| $SU(4)$   | $SU(3)$   | $S^7$       |
| $Spin(7)$ | $G_2$     | $S^7$       |

Conversely, any of the above spaces admits a $G$-invariant $G_2$-structure.

(3) Any of the spaces $G/H$ from (1) or (2) even admits a $G$-invariant cosymplectic $G_2$-structure.

In table (2), $N^{k,l}$ denotes an Aloff-Wallach space, $V^{5,2}$ denotes the Stiefel manifold of all orthonormal pairs in $\mathbb{R}^5$, and $B^7$ is the seven-dimensional Berger space. In the fourth, fifth, and sixth row of table (1) and in the fifth and seventh row of table (2), the embedding of $H$ into $G$ has to be special in order to make $G/H$ a space which admits a $G$-invariant $G_2$-structure.
The details of those embeddings are described in Section 5 and 6. In the other cases, the information in the above tables is sufficient to determine the embedding of $H$ into $G$.

From the theorem it follows that either $G/H$ is a product of a circle and a space which admits a homogeneous $SU(3)$-structure or that it cannot be decomposed into factors of lower dimension. We remark that we not only prove the existence of a homogeneous cosymplectic $G_2$-structure on each of the spaces but also the existence of cosymplectic $G_2$-structures which are invariant under any of the transitive group actions. The space $(SU(2) \times SU(2))/U(1) \times T^2$ admits a homogeneous $G_2$-structure but seems not to be mentioned in the literature before.

The proof of Theorem 1 consists of three steps: After two introductory sections, we classify all connected Lie subgroups of $G_2$. This is necessary, since in the situation of the theorem $H$ can be embedded into $G_2$. In Section 5 and 6 we determine all $G/H$ which admit a $G$-invariant, but not necessarily cosymplectic $G_2$-structure. Finally, we have to prove the existence of a $G$-invariant cosymplectic $G_2$-structure on all of the spaces which we have found. This will be done in Section 7.

2. The group $G_2$

Before we classify the connected subgroups of $G_2$, we collect some facts on this group. For a more comprehensive introduction into this issue, see Baez [2] or Bryant [3].

The group $G_2$ can be defined with help of the octonions: We recall that a normed division algebra is a pair $(A, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ of a real, not necessarily associative algebra with a unit element and a scalar product which satisfies $\langle x \cdot y, x \cdot y \rangle = \langle x, x \rangle \langle y, y \rangle$ for all $x, y \in A$. There exists up to isomorphisms exactly one eight-dimensional normed division algebra, namely the octonions $\mathbb{O}$.

The quaternions $\mathbb{H}$ are a subalgebra of $\mathbb{O}$. We fix an octonion $\epsilon$ in the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{H}$ such that $\|\epsilon\| = 1$. We call $(x_0, \ldots, x_7) := (1, i, j, k, \epsilon, i\epsilon, j\epsilon, k\epsilon)$ the standard basis of $\mathbb{O}$. Let $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) := \text{span}(1)^\perp$ be the imaginary space of $\mathbb{O}$. The map

$$\omega : \text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \times \text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \times \text{Im}(\mathbb{O}) \to \mathbb{R}$$

$$\omega(x, y, z) := \langle x \cdot y, z \rangle$$

(1)

is a three-form. From now on, we denote $dx^{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{i_k}$ shortly by $dx^{i_1 \ldots i_k}$. With this notation, we have:

$$\omega = dx^{123} + dx^{145} - dx^{167} + dx^{246} + dx^{257} + dx^{347} - dx^{356}.$$
Remark 2.1. The multiplication table of \( O \) is uniquely determined by the coefficients of \( \omega \). Let \( \epsilon' \) be an octonion with the same properties as \( \epsilon \). Since there exists an automorphism of \( O \) which is the identity on \( \mathbb{H} \) and maps \( \epsilon \) to \( \epsilon' \), \( \omega \) is independent of the choice of \( \epsilon \).

We are now able to define the Lie group \( G_2 \):

**Definition and Lemma 2.2.**

1. Any automorphism \( \varphi \) of \( O \) satisfies \( \varphi(\text{Im}(O)) \subseteq \text{Im}(O) \) and thus can be identified with a map from \( \text{Im}(O) \) onto itself. \( G_2 \) is defined as the stabilizer group of \( \omega \) or equivalently as the automorphism group of \( O \).
2. The Lie algebra of \( G_2 \) we denote by \( g_2 \).
3. The seven-dimensional representation which is induced by the action of \( G_2 \) on \( \text{Im}(O) \) by automorphisms we call the **standard representation** of \( G_2 \).

A proof of the fact that the stabilizer of \( \omega \) is the same as the automorphism group of \( O \) can be found in Bryant [3]. Later on, we work with the Hodge dual \( \ast \omega \in \wedge^4 \text{Im}(O)^* \) of \( \omega \) which is taken with respect to \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) and the orientation which makes \((x_1, \ldots, x_7)\) positive:

\[
\ast \omega = -dx^{1247} + dx^{1256} + dx^{1346} + dx^{1357} - dx^{2345} + dx^{2367} + dx^{4567}.
\]

Finally, we fix a Cartan subalgebra \( t \) of \( g_2 \), which we will need for our explicit calculations. With respect to the standard basis of \( \text{Im}(O) \), \( t \) is the following set of matrices:

\[
t := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
0 & \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\
-\lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 \\
-\lambda_1 - \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}
\]

**3. Some remarks on \( G_2 \)-structures**

In this section, we introduce the different types of \( G_2 \)-structures which we consider in this article. We refer the reader to Bryant [3] or the books of Joyce [13] and Salamon [15] for further facts on these structures. A \( G_2 \)-structure can be defined as a three-form which is at each point stabilized by \( G_2 \):
Definition 3.1. Let $M$ be a seven-dimensional manifold and $\omega$ be a three-form on $M$ with the following property: For any $p \in M$ there exists a neighborhood $U$ of $p$ and vector fields $X_1, \ldots, X_7$ on $U$ such that

\begin{equation}
\omega_q(X_i, X_j, X_k) = \omega(x_i, x_j, x_k) \quad \forall q \in U, \ i, j, k \in \{1, \ldots, 7\}.
\end{equation}

The $\omega$ on the right hand side of the above formula is the three-form $\omega$ and $x_i, x_j, x_k$ are elements of the standard basis of $\mathbb{O}$. In this situation, $\omega$ is called a $G_2$-structure on $M$ and the pair $(M, \omega)$ is called a $G_2$-manifold.

On any $G_2$-manifold $(M, \omega)$ there exist a metric $g$ and a volume form $\text{vol}$ which are defined by:

\begin{equation}
g(X, Y) \text{vol} := -\frac{1}{6} (X \cdot \omega) \wedge (Y \cdot \omega) \wedge \omega.
\end{equation}

We call $g$ the associated metric and $\text{vol}$ the associated volume form. $g$ and $\text{vol}$ induce a Hodge star operator $\ast : \bigwedge^* T^*M \to \bigwedge^* T^*M$ and we therefore have a four-form $\ast \omega$ on $M$, which is invariant under the stabilizer $G_2$ of $\omega$. On the flat $G_2$-manifold $(\mathbb{R}^7, \omega)$ this four-form coincides with $\omega$.

For our considerations, we need the following types of $G_2$-structures:

Definition 3.2. A $G_2$-manifold $(M, \omega)$ is called

1. parallel if $d\omega = 0$ and $d \ast \omega = 0$,
2. nearly parallel if there exists a $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $d\omega = \lambda \ast \omega$ and thus $d \ast \omega = 0$,
3. cosymplectic if $d \ast \omega = 0$.

Further information on the different types of $G_2$-structures can be found in the article by Fernández and Gray [10]. We will deal first of all with homogeneous $G_2$-manifolds:

Definition 3.3. A $G_2$-manifold $(M, \omega)$ is called (G-)homogeneous if there exists a transitive smooth action by a Lie group $G$ which leaves $\omega$ invariant.

In the above situation, $M$ is $G$-equivariantly diffeomorphic to a quotient $G/H$. $G$ can be chosen in such a way that it acts effectively on $G/H$ and preserves $\omega$. $H$ acts on the tangent space of $G/H$ by its isotropy representation. Since $G_2$ acts on the tangent space as the stabilizer of $\omega$ and $\omega$ is $G$-invariant, we have proven the following lemma:

Lemma 3.4. Let $G/H$ be a seven-dimensional $G$-homogeneous space which admits a $G$-invariant $G_2$-structure. We assume that $G$ acts effectively on $G/H$. In this situation, there exists a vector space isomorphism $\varphi : T_p G/H \to \mathbb{R}^7$ such that $\varphi H \varphi^{-1} \subseteq G_2$, where $H$ is identified with its isotropy representation and $G_2$ with its seven-dimensional irreducible representation.
The converse of the above lemma is also true:

**Lemma 3.5.** Let $G/H$ be a seven-dimensional $G$-homogeneous space such that $G$ acts effectively and there exists a vector space isomorphism $\varphi : T_pG/H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^7$ with $\varphi H \varphi^{-1} \subseteq G_2$. In this situation, there exists a $G$-invariant $G_2$-structure on $G/H$.

**Proof:** The action of $G$ on the tangent bundle determines a $G$-invariant $H$-structure on $G/H$. Its extension to a principal bundle with structure group $G_2$ is a $G$-invariant $G_2$-structure.

4. **Subgroups of $G_2$**

In this section, we classify all connected subgroups of $G_2$. First, we describe all of these subgroups explicitly. After that, we prove that the list which we have found is complete.

On page 4, we have described a Cartan subalgebra $t$ of $g_2$. $t$ is generated by the two elements which satisfy $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (1, 0)$ and $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (0, 1)$. $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$ splits with respect to the action of $t$ into $V_{1,0}^\mathbb{C} \oplus V_{0,1}^\mathbb{C} \oplus V_{1,1}^\mathbb{C} \oplus V_{0,0}^\mathbb{R}$. The subscripts denote the weights with which the two generators of $t$ act and the superscript indicates if the submodule is complex or real. Since any abelian subalgebra of $g_2$ is conjugate to a subalgebra of $t$, we have finished the abelian case.

Next, we describe the subgroups of $G_2$ whose Lie algebra has an ideal of type $\text{su}(2)$. In an article by Cacciatori et al. [5], the authors introduce the following Lie group homomorphism:

$$
\varphi : Sp(1) \times Sp(1) \rightarrow G_2
$$

$$
\varphi(h, k)(x + ye) := hxh^{-1} + (kyh^{-1})e,
$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{H}$ and $Sp(1)$, which is isomorphic to $SU(2)$, is identified with the unit quaternions. The kernel of $\varphi$ is $\{(1, 1), (-1, -1)\}$ and its image thus is isomorphic to $SO(4)$. The first factor of $Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$ acts irreducibly on $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\mathbb{H}e$ and the second factor acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{H}e$ and trivially on its orthogonal complement. The splitting of $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$ into irreducible $2\text{su}(2)$-modules therefore is $V_{2,0}^\mathbb{R} \oplus V_{1,1}^\mathbb{C}$. Analogously to above, the subscripts of the modules denote the weights of the $2\text{su}(2)$-action with respect to the first and second summand. By a straightforward calculation, we can prove that the group $Sp(1)$ which is diagonally embedded into $Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$ acts irreducibly on $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})e$ and trivially on $\text{span}(e)$. $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$ thus splits into $2V_{2}^\mathbb{R} \oplus V_{0}^\mathbb{R}$ with respect to that subgroup.
In his article "Semisimple subalgebras of semisimple Lie algebras" [9], Dynkin proves the existence of another subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ and acts irreducibly on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ with weight 6. Since we do not need an explicit description of that subalgebra, we simply state its existence.

According to the non zero weights of their action on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$, we denote the four subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ which are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ by $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{1,2}$, $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1$, $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{2,2}$, and $\mathfrak{su}(2)_6$.

By a short calculation, we see that the element of $\mathfrak{t}$ with $\lambda_1 = 2$ and $\lambda_2 = -1$ commutes with $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1$ and the element with $\lambda_1 = 0$ and $\lambda_2 = 1$ commutes with $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{1,2}$. $\mathfrak{g}_2$ therefore contains a subalgebra of type $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ and a subalgebra of type $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{1,2} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$. Both of them are a direct sum of an ideal of $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_2$ and a one-dimensional subalgebra of the other ideal.

The group of all automorphisms of $\mathbb{O}$ which fix $i$ is a compact, connected, eight-dimensional Lie group. Its action on $\mathbb{C}$ is trivial and it acts irreducibly on the orthogonal complement of $\mathbb{C} \subseteq \mathbb{O}$. These conditions force the group to be isomorphic to $SU(3)$.

Our next step is to prove that there are up to conjugation by an element of $G_2$ no further connected subgroups. $\mathfrak{g}_2$ is a Lie algebra of rank 2 and two maximal tori of a Lie group are always conjugate to each other. Therefore, further connected, abelian subgroups of $G_2$ cannot exist.

According to Dynkin [9], all semisimple subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}_2$ are isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $2\mathfrak{su}(2)$, $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, or $\mathfrak{g}_2$. Any of these algebras acts by the restriction of the adjoint representation on $\mathfrak{g}_2$. The weights of this action are computed in [9], too. The list Dynkin obtains is the same as our list of semisimple Lie subalgebras. Moreover, Dynkin [9] proves that his list is complete up to conjugation by elements of $G_2$.

It remains to prove that there are no further subalgebras of type $\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$. Let $x$ be a generator of the center. Since $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ commutes with $\mathfrak{u}(1)$, the action of $x$ on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ has to be $\mathfrak{su}(2)$-equivariant. With help of the real version of Schur’s Lemma, we are able to classify all $\mathfrak{su}(2)$-equivariant endomorphisms of $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ for any embedding of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ into $\mathfrak{g}_2$. Since the action of $x$ on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ has to be a restricted automorphism of $\mathbb{O}$, we can reduce the number of those endomorphisms even further. After that, we see that all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_2$ which commute with $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1$ ($\mathfrak{su}(2)_{1,2}$) are conjugate to the two matrices which we have already found. The conjugation is with respect to an element of the Lie subgroup of $G_2$ which is associated to $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{1,2}$ ($\mathfrak{su}(2)_1$). By the same method, we are able to prove that no subalgebras of type $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{2,2} \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ or $\mathfrak{su}(2)_6 \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$ do exist. We finally have proven the following theorem:

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $H$ be a connected Lie subgroup of $G_2$. We denote the Lie algebra of $H$ by $\mathfrak{h}$. The irreducible action of $G_2$ on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ induces an action of $H$ on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$. In this situation, $\mathfrak{h}$, $H$, and the action of $H$ on
$\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$ are contained in the table below. Moreover, any two connected Lie subgroups of $G_2$ whose action on $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$ is equivalent are conjugate not only by an element of $GL(7)$ but even by an element of $G_2$.

| $h$  | $H$             | Splitting of $\text{Im}(\mathcal{O})$ into irreducible summands |
|------|-----------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| $\{0\}$ | $\{e\}$           | $V^c_0 \oplus V^c_6 \oplus V^c_{-2-b} \oplus V^R_0$            |
| $u(1)$ | $U(1)$         | $V^R_{1,0} \oplus V^c_{0,1} \oplus V^c_{1,1} \oplus V^R_{0,0}$ |
| $2u(2)$ | $U(1)^2$       | $2V^R_2 \oplus V^R_0$                                       |
| $su(2)$ | $SU(2)$       | $V^R_1 \oplus 3V^R_0$                                       |
| $su(2)$ | $SO(3)$       | $V^R_0 \oplus V^R_0$                                       |
| $su(2) \oplus u(1)$ | $U(2)$       | $V^R_1 \oplus 3V^R_0 \text{ w.r.t. } su(2)$                  |
| $su(2) \oplus u(1)$ | $U(2)$       | $V^R_2 \oplus V^R_1 \text{ w.r.t } su(2)$                   |
| $2su(2)$ | $SO(4)$       | $V^R_{2,0} \oplus V^R_{1,1}$                                |
| $su(3)$ | $SU(3)$       | $V^R_{1,0} \oplus V^R_{0,0}$                                |
| $g_2$  | $G_2$          | $V^R_{1,0}$                                                   |

The subscripts of the modules in the above table denote the weights of the $H$-action and the superscript indicates if the module is complex or real. Further details of the embeddings, in particular of those of $U(2)$ and $SO(4)$ into $G_2$, we have described on the preceding pages.

Remark 4.2. Most statements of Theorem 4.1 can also be proven by elementary calculations which make use of the octonions. In order to keep our presentation of this issue short, we often made use of the results of Dynkin [9].

5. The reducible case

We divide the spaces which admit a homogeneous $G_2$-structure into two classes:

**Definition 5.1.** Let $G/H$ be a $G$-homogeneous space. We call $G/H$ $S^1$-reducible if it is $G$-equivariantly covered by a product of a circle and another homogeneous space. Otherwise, $G/H$ is called $S^1$-irreducible.

In this section, we classify all $S^1$-reducible spaces which admit a homogeneous $G_2$-structure, and in the next section, we classify the $S^1$-irreducible ones. We will see that none of the $S^1$-irreducible spaces is covered by a product of lower-dimensional homogeneous spaces. The $S^1$-irreducible spaces which we will find are thus irreducible in the classical sense, too.
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Throughout this article we denote the Lie algebra of $G$ by $\mathfrak{g}$ and the Lie algebra of $H$ by $\mathfrak{h}$. In order to simplify our considerations, we assume that $G/H$ is compact and that $G$ is connected and acts almost effectively on $G/H$, i.e. the subgroup of $G$ which acts as the identity map is finite. Moreover, we classify the possible $G/H$ and $G$ only up to coverings. Before we start our classification, we collect some helpful facts:

1. We have $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{h} + 7$. This fact reduces the number of possible $\mathfrak{g}$ which we have to consider.
2. Since $G/H$ is compact and $G$ is a subgroup of the isometry group of the metric on $G/H$, $G$ has to be compact, too. We thus can assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is the direct sum of a semisimple and an abelian Lie algebra.
3. Since the roots of a semisimple Lie algebra are paired, we have $\dim \mathfrak{k} \equiv \text{rank } \mathfrak{k} \pmod{2}$ for any Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}$ of a compact Lie group. It follows from $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{h} + 7$ that $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g} \not\equiv \text{rank } \mathfrak{h} \pmod{2}$.
   a. If $\mathfrak{h}$ is of rank 1, $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ has to be even. The Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{h}$ has to act on the tangent space in the same way as a one-dimensional subalgebra of $\mathfrak{t}$ on $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{O})$. The maximal trivial $\mathfrak{h}$-submodule of the tangent space therefore is at most three-dimensional. It follows that the center $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ is at most three-dimensional, too.
   b. If $\text{rank } \mathfrak{h} = 2$, its Cartan subalgebra has to act as $\mathfrak{t}$ on $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{O})$. The maximal trivial $\mathfrak{h}$-submodule therefore is at most one-dimensional and we have $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq 1$. Moreover, $\text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ has to be odd.
4. Let $G = G' \times U(1)$ and $H = H' \times U(1)$. If the second factors of both groups coincide, $U(1)$ acts trivially on $G/H$. If the second factor of $H$ is transversely embedded into $G' \times U(1)$, $G/H$ is covered by $G'/H'$. Since the group which acts on $G'/H'$ is $G' \times U(1)$ instead of $G'$, we consider this case as a new one. The only other case which we have to consider is where $H' \times U(1) \subsetneq G'$.
5. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to a biinvariant metric on $\mathfrak{g}$. The restriction of the adjoint action to a map $\mathfrak{h} \to \text{gl}(\mathfrak{m})$ is equivalent to the action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on the tangent space of $G/H$. This identification helps us to compute the action of $\mathfrak{h}$ explicitly. In general, we omit that computation and give the reader a description of the isotropy action instead.

In this section, we assume that $G = G' \times U(1)$ and $G/H = G'/H \times S^1$. $G'/H$ admits a $G'$-invariant $SU(3)$-structure. We can prove by similar arguments as in Lemma 3.4 and 3.5 that our task reduces to classifying all six-dimensional $G'$-homogeneous spaces $G'/H$ with $H \subseteq SU(3)$. The possibilities for $\mathfrak{h}$ are thus fewer than in the general situation. We prove our classification result, by considering each possible $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{su}(3)$ separately. For
reasons of brevity, we mostly mention only those $\mathfrak{g}$ which cannot be excluded by the above techniques.

$h = \{0\}$: In this case, $G/H$ simply is a seven-dimensional, compact, connected Lie group. Up to coverings, the only groups of this kind are $U(1)^7$, $SU(2) \times U(1)^4$, and $SU(2)^2 \times U(1)$.

$h = \mathfrak{u}(1)$: Since $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 8$ and spaces of type $SU(3)/U(1)$ are irreducible, the only remaining possibilities for $G$ are $SU(2) \times U(1)^5$ and $SU(2)^2 \times U(1)^2$. The first case can be excluded, since the center of $G$ is too large. If $G = SU(2)^2 \times U(1)^2$, $H$ is embedded into $G$ by a map of type:

$$e^{i\varphi} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik_1\varphi} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-ik_1\varphi} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-ik_2\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} e^{ik_3\varphi} \\ e^{ik_4\varphi} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $k_1, \ldots, k_4 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We repeat the argument from page 9 twice and see that $G/H$ is covered by $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$ or that $H \subseteq SU(2)^2$. The action of $H$ on the tangent space has at most two non-zero weights. We compare the weights of that action with the weights with which the one-dimensional subgroups of $G_2$ act on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$. After that, we see that we can assume $|k_1| = |k_2| = 1$. Since we obtain the same space for different choices of the signs of $k_1$ and $k_2$, we can even assume that $k_1 = k_2 = 1$. If $(k_3, k_4) = (1, 0)$, $G/H$ is diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$, and if $(k_3, k_4) = (0, 0)$, we obtain the only space $G/H$ which is not covered by $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$.

$h = \mathfrak{su}(2)$: In this situation, $G$ has to be a ten-dimensional compact Lie group. On the one hand, $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ has to be positive, since $G/H$ is $S^1$-reducible. On the other hand, we have $\dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \leq 3$. The only remaining possibilities for $G$ therefore are $SU(2)^3 \times U(1)$ and $SU(3) \times U(1)^2$.

In the first case, we can embed $H$ diagonally, i.e. by the map $g \mapsto (g, g, g, 1)$. The action of $H$ on the tangent space is the same as of $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{2,2}$ on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ and $G/H$ is diffeomorphic to $S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1$. If we had embedded $H$ differently, it would act as the identity on a four-dimensional subspace, which is impossible.

In the second case, there are two possible embeddings of $H$ into $SU(3)$: The first embedding is induced by the standard representation of $SO(3)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \subseteq \mathbb{C}^3$. The only elements of $SU(3)$ which commute with all of $SO(3)$ are the multiples of the identity. Since those elements are a discrete set, the action of $H$ splits the tangent space into a trivial and a five-dimensional irreducible submodule. There is no connected subgroup of $G_2$ which acts in this way on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ and we thus can exclude this case. The second embedding is given by the following map from $SU(2)$ to $SU(3)$:

$$A \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} A \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
In this situation, $H$ acts as $\mathbb{V}_1^C \oplus 3\mathbb{V}^R_0$ on the tangent space. Since $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1$ acts in the same way, we have to put the space $SU(3)/SU(2) \times U(1)^2 = S^5 \times T^2$ on our list. There are no further embeddings of $H$ into $SU(3)$. This can be seen by considering the splitting of $C^3$ into $\mathfrak{su}(2)$-submodules which is induced by the embedding of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ into $\mathfrak{su}(3)$.

$h = 2u(1)$: Since rank $h = 2$ and $G/H$ is $S^1$-reducible, we have $\dim_\mathbb{C}(g) = 1$. The group $G$ has to be nine-dimensional. Therefore, we can assume that $G = SU(3) \times U(1)$. Since $\mathfrak{su}(3) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_2$ and rank $\mathfrak{su}(3) = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}_2$, any Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{su}(3)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}^3$ in the same way as $t$ on $\text{span}(j,k,\ldots,ke)$. We thus have to put the space $G/H = SU(3)/U(1)^2 \times U(1)$ on our list.

$h = \mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus u(1)$: For similar reasons as in the previous case, $g$ has to be the direct sum of a semisimple Lie algebra and $u(1)$. With help of the classification of the semisimple Lie algebras, we see that $g = \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus u(1)$. We describe a possible $G/H$ in detail. $Sp(2)$ has a subgroup of type $Sp(1) \times U(1)$ which is given by:

$$H = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} h_1 & 0 \\ 0 & h_2 \end{pmatrix} \right| h_1 \in \mathbb{H}, h_2 \in \mathbb{C}, |h_1| = |h_2| = 1 \right\}.$$  

The Lie algebra of $H$ acts in the same way on the tangent space of $G/H$, which is diffeomorphic to $\mathbb{CP}^3 \times S^1$, as $\mathfrak{su}(2)_1 \oplus u(1)$ on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$. The kernel of the isotropy representation of $H$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$. Therefore, we have an effective action by $(Sp(1) \times U(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2$ on the tangent space. Since that group is isomorphic to $U(2)$, our example does not contradict the fact that $G_2$ contains no subgroup of type $Sp(1) \times U(1)$.

We exclude the existence of further spaces of the above kind. If $g = \mathfrak{sp}(2) \oplus u(1)$ and $h = \mathfrak{sp}(1) \oplus u(1)$, either $G/H$ is covered by the sphere $Sp(2)/Sp(1)$, which is not reducible, or $h \subseteq \mathfrak{sp}(2)$. There are three embeddings of $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ into $\mathfrak{sp}(2)$, which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(5)$. In the first case, $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ acts as $\mathfrak{so}(3)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$, in the second case, it acts as $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ on $\mathbb{C}^2 \cong \mathbb{R}^4 \subset \mathbb{R}^5$, and in the last case, it acts irreducibly on $\mathbb{R}^5$. The second embedding yields the homogeneous space $\mathbb{CP}^3 \times S^1$, which we have described above. If the semisimple part of $h$ was embedded by the first map, it would act as $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{2,2}$ on the tangent space. Since $\mathfrak{g}_2$ has no subalgebra of type $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{2,2} \oplus u(1)$, this is not possible. It follows from Schur’s Lemma that there is no non zero element of $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ which commutes with the third possible embedding of the semisimple part. This case can therefore be excluded, too.

$h = \mathfrak{su}(3)$: As in the previous cases, $G$ has to be a product of a 14-dimensional semisimple Lie group $G'$ and $U(1)$. With help of the classification of the semisimple Lie algebras, we conclude that $G'$ is $SU(3) \times SU(2)^2$ or $G_2$. In the first case, $SU(3)$ acts trivially on $G/H$ and in the second case we obtain $G_2/SU(3) \times U(1)$, which is diffeomorphic to $S^6 \times S^1$. We can verify that $H$ acts in the same way as the subgroup $SU(3)$ of $G_2$ on $\text{Im}(\mathbb{O})$. Therefore,
we have to put this space on our list and have finally proven the first part of Theorem 1.

Remark 5.2. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the spaces from Theorem 1.1 and the six-dimensional spaces which admit a homogeneous $SU(3)$-structure. These spaces are considered by Cleyton and Swann [7], too. They obtain a list of homogeneous spaces which coincides with our list with the single exception of $SU(2)^2/U(1) \times T^2$, which seems to be missing in [7].

6. The irreducible case

In this section, we classify the $S^1$-irreducible spaces which admit a homogeneous $G_2$-structure. As in the previous section, we consider each possible $\mathfrak{h}$ separately.

$\mathfrak{h} = \{0\}$: Since any seven-dimensional compact Lie group is covered by a product of a semisimple Lie group and a torus of positive dimension, we can exclude this case.

$\mathfrak{h} = u(1)$: In the previous section, we have already proven that if $\mathfrak{h} = u(1)$ and $G/H$ is $S^1$-irreducible, we necessarily have $G = SU(3)$. $G/H$ therefore is an Aloff-Wallach space, i.e. a quotient $N^{k,l} := SU(3)/U(1)_{k,l}$ with $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$U(1)_{k,l} := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e^{ikt} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{ilt} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-i(k+l)t} \end{pmatrix} \right\} \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By an explicit calculation, we see that there exists a one-dimensional Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{t}$ which acts in the same way on $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{g})$ as the Lie algebra of $U(1)_{k,l}$ on the tangent space of $N^{k,l}$.

$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(2)$: Since $\mathfrak{h}$ has to be embedded into the semisimple part of $\mathfrak{g}$, $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g})$ has to be trivial. Otherwise, $G/H$ would not be $S^1$-irreducible. The only remaining possibility for $\mathfrak{g}$ therefore is $\mathfrak{so}(5)$. As we have mentioned before, there are three embeddings of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ into $\mathfrak{so}(5)$, which are distinguished by the splitting of $\mathbb{R}^5$ with respect to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$:

1. $\mathbb{R}^5 = \mathbb{V}_2^R \oplus 2\mathbb{V}_0^R$: In this situation, $G/H$ is the Stiefel manifold $V^{5,2} = SO(5)/SO(3)$ of all orthonormal pairs in $\mathbb{R}^5$. The action of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ splits the tangent space into $2\mathbb{V}_2^R \oplus \mathbb{V}_0^R$. Since $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{2,2}$ splits $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{g})$ in the same way, $V^{5,2}$ admits an $SO(5)$-invariant $G_2$-structure.

2. $\mathbb{R}^5 = \mathbb{V}_1^C \oplus \mathbb{V}_0^R$: If this is the case, $G/H$ is covered by the seven-sphere $Sp(2)/Sp(1)$. The action of $Sp(1)$ splits the tangent space into $\mathbb{V}_1^C \oplus 3\mathbb{V}_0^R$. $\mathfrak{su}(2)_{1} \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)_2$ acts in the same way on $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S^7$ thus admits an $Sp(2)$-invariant $G_2$-structure.
(3) \( \mathbb{R}^5 = V^R_4 \). If \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \) acts irreducibly on \( \mathbb{R}^5 \), it also acts irreducibly on the tangent space of \( G/H \). Since the action of \( \mathfrak{su}(2)_h \) on \( \text{Im}(\mathfrak{d}) \) is irreducible, too, we have found another space which admits a homogeneous \( G_2 \)-structure, namely the seven-dimensional Berger space \( B^7 \).

\( \mathfrak{h} = 2\mathfrak{u}(1) \): Since \( \mathfrak{h} \) is of rank 2, \( \dim \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{g}) \) is either 0 or 1. If the center is one-dimensional, we have \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{su}(3) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \) and \( \mathfrak{h} \) is transversely embedded into that direct sum. In this situation, \( G/H \) is covered by an Aloff-Wallach space \( N^{k,l} \), on which \( SU(3) \times U(1) \) acts transitively. The group \( SU(3) \) acts as usual by left multiplication on \( N_{k,l} \). Moreover, a certain one-dimensional subgroup of the normalizer \( \text{Norm}_{SU(3)} U(1)_{k,l} \) acts on \( N^{k,l} \) by right multiplication. This subgroup can be identified with the second factor of \( SU(3) \times U(1) \).

If \( \mathfrak{g} \) is semisimple, we can assume that \( \mathfrak{g} = 3\mathfrak{su}(2) \). We describe the possible embeddings of \( 2\mathfrak{u}(1) \) into \( 3\mathfrak{su}(2) \). A Cartan subalgebra of \( 3\mathfrak{su}(2) \) is given by:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
ix & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -ix & iy \\
0 & 0 & iz
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(12) 
\[ x, y, z \in \mathbb{R} \]

We fix the biinvariant metric \( q(X,Y) := -\text{tr}(XY) \) on \( 3\mathfrak{su}(2) \). Let \( \mathfrak{t}_{k,l,m} \), where \( k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z} \), be the one-dimensional subalgebra of \( 3\mathfrak{su}(2) \) which is generated by the matrix with \( x = k, y = l, \) and \( z = m \). Furthermore, let \( 2\mathfrak{u}(1)_{k,l,m} \) be the \( q \)-orthogonal complement of \( \mathfrak{t}_{k,l,m} \) in the above Cartan subalgebra. Any connected two-dimensional Lie subgroup of \( SU(2)^3 \) is conjugate to a connected subgroup with a Lie algebra of type \( 2\mathfrak{u}(1)_{k,l,m} \). We denote the quotient of \( SU(2)^3 \) by that subgroup by \( Q^{k,l,m} \).

By the action of the group \( (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3 \times S_3 \) of outer automorphisms of \( 3\mathfrak{su}(2) \), we can change the signs and the order of \( (k,l,m) \) arbitrarily. We may therefore assume without loss of generality that \( k \geq l \geq m \geq 0 \). The isotropy representation of \( 2\mathfrak{u}(1)_{k,l,m} \) on the tangent space of \( Q^{k,l,m} \) is with respect to a suitable basis given by:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & x & 0 \\
x & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(13) 
\[ xk + yl + zm = 0 \]
By comparing (13) with the Cartan subalgebra (4) of \( g_2 \), we see that only \( Q^{1,1,1} \) admits an \( SU(2)^3 \)-invariant \( G_2 \)-structure.

\[ h = su(2) \oplus u(1) \]: Since \( \text{rank} \ su(2) \oplus u(1) = 2 \), the center of \( g \) is at most one-dimensional. \( g \) has to be an eleven-dimensional Lie algebra and therefore is either \( su(3) \oplus su(2) \) or \( so(5) \oplus u(1) \).

We start with the first of the two cases. The semisimple part of \( h \) we denote by \( su(2)' \). In order to classify the homogeneous spaces which we can obtain in this situation, we have to describe the possible embeddings of \( su(2)' \) into \( su(3) \oplus su(2) \). \( su(2)' \cap su(3) \) has to be nontrivial. Otherwise, \( G \) would not act almost effectively on \( G/H \). The projection of \( su(2)' \) onto \( su(3) \) therefore has to be one of the two maps which we have described on page 10. If \( su(2)' \) acted irreducibly on \( C^3 \), the tangent space of \( G/H \) would contain a five-dimensional \( su(2)' \)-submodule. This follows by the same arguments as on page 10. Since no subalgebra of \( g_2 \) acts in this way on \( \text{Im}(O) \), \( su(2)' \) has to split \( C^3 \) into \( V_1^C \oplus V_0^C \). Next, we consider the projection of \( su(2)' \) onto the second summand of \( su(3) \oplus su(2) \). We first assume that \( su(2)' \subseteq su(3) \).

In this situation, the center of \( h \) is without loss of generality generated by a matrix of type

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  ki & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & ki & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & -2ki \\
  li & 0 \\
  0 & -li
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where \( k \) and \( l \) are integers. \( su(2)' \) acts as \( su(2)_1 \) on the tangent space of \( G/H \). There is up to conjugation only one one-dimensional subalgebra of \( g_2 \) which commutes with \( su(2)_1 \). Therefore, the weights with which the center of \( h \) acts on the tangent space are uniquely determined. By computing the action of the above matrix on the tangent space, we see that we necessarily have \( l = \pm 3k \). The quotient \( G/H \) is in both cases up to an \( SU(3) \times SU(2) \)-equivariant diffeomorphism the same and admits an \( SU(3) \times SU(2) \)-invariant \( G_2 \)-structure. We use the same notation as Castellani [6] and call our space \( M_{1,1,0} \).

If the projection of \( su(2)' \) onto the second summand of \( su(3) \oplus su(2) \) is bijective, there is up to conjugation only one one-dimensional subalgebra of \( su(3) \oplus su(2) \) which commutes with \( su(2)' \). In this situation, \( G/H \) is diffeomorphic to the exceptional Aloff-Wallach space \( N_{1,1} \). \( SU(3) \) acts on a \( gU(1)_{1,1} \) by matrix multiplication from the left. Since \( U(1)_{1,1} \) commutes with \( SU(3) \times U(1) \) which is isomorphic to \( SU(2) \), \( gU(1)_{1,1} \) defines a left action by \( SU(2) \) on \( N_{1,1} \) which commutes with the action of \( SU(3) \). The isotropy group of the \( SU(3) \times SU(2) \)-action which we have defined is \( SU(2) \times U(1) \). The embedding of its Lie algebra into \( su(3) \oplus su(2) \)
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is the same as we have described above. We thus have found another group action on $N^{1,1}$ which we have to include in our list.

In both of the above two cases, there exists an element of $G$ which is of order two and acts trivially on $G/H$. For the same reasons as on page 11, the fact that $G_2$ contains no subgroup of type $SU(2) \times U(1)$ therefore does not contradict the statement of our theorem.

Next, we assume that $g = \mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1)$. The embedding of $\mathfrak{su}(2)'$ into $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ has to be one of the three subalgebras which we have described on pages 11 and 12. Furthermore, the projection of $\mathfrak{z}(h)$ onto $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ should not be trivial. If $\mathfrak{su}(2)'$ was embedded by its five-dimensional representation into $\mathfrak{so}(5)$, there would be no element of $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ left which commutes with $\mathfrak{so}(2)'$. Since this contradicts our statement on $\mathfrak{z}(h)$, we can exclude this case.

If $\mathfrak{su}(2)'$ was embedded by its three-dimensional representation, it would decompose its complement in $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ into $\mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^0$. Since this contradicts our statement on $\mathfrak{z}(h)$, we can exclude this case, too. The only remaining case is where $\mathfrak{su}(2)'$ is embedded by its two-dimensional complex representation. Since $\mathfrak{z}(h)$ has to commute with $\mathfrak{su}(2)'$, its projection onto $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ has to be an element of the second summand of $\mathfrak{so}(2)' \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$, which we identify with the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ of $\mathfrak{so}(5)$. If $h \subseteq \mathfrak{so}(5)$, we obtain the space $\mathbb{C}P^3 \times S^1$, which we already have considered in the previous section. If this is not the case, $G/H$ is covered by $S^7$, which is equipped with an action of $Sp(2) \times U(1)$.

$h = 2\mathfrak{su}(2)$: Since $\dim h = 6$, the dimension of $g$ has to be 13. There is no non-zero element of $\mathfrak{Im}(\mathfrak{O})$ on which the subalgebra $2\mathfrak{su}(2)$ of $g_2$ acts trivially. Therefore, $\mathfrak{z}(g)$ has to be trivial. The only remaining possibility for $g$ is $\mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$.

It follows from Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 4.1 that $h$ has to decompose the tangent space into $\mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^0$. Let $\iota : 2\mathfrak{su}(2) \to \mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2)$ be the embedding of $h$ into $g$, $\pi_1 : \mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \to \mathfrak{so}(5)$ be the projection on the first summand, and $\pi_2 : \mathfrak{so}(5) \oplus \mathfrak{su}(2) \to \mathfrak{su}(2)$ be the projection on the second one. The tangent space contains a submodule of type $\mathbb{R}^2 \oplus \mathbb{R}^0$ only if $(\pi_1 \circ \iota)(2\mathfrak{su}(2))$ is the standard embedding of $\mathfrak{so}(4)$ into $\mathfrak{so}(5)$. The first summand of $2\mathfrak{su}(2)$ has to act irreducibly on a three-dimensional submodule of the tangent space and we therefore can assume that

$$ (\pi_2 \circ \iota)(x, y) = x \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{su}(2). $$

We are now able to describe $G/H$ explicitly. Let $S^7 \subseteq \mathbb{H}^2$ be the seven-sphere. $Sp(2)$ acts on $S^7$ from the left by matrix multiplication. We identify $Sp(1)$ with the group of all unit quaternions. Since the scalar multiplication on a quaternionic vector space acts from the right, scalar multiplication with $h^{-1}$ where $h \in Sp(1)$ defines a left action of $Sp(1)$ on $S^7$. We thus have constructed a transitive $Sp(2) \times Sp(1)$-action on $S^7$. The isotropy group of
this action is $Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$ and the isotropy action has the properties which we have demanded above. Analogously to the case where $H = SU(2) \times U(1)$, the kernel of the isotropy representation of $Sp(1) \times Sp(1)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and the group which acts effectively on the tangent space is in fact $(Sp(1) \times Sp(1))/\mathbb{Z}_2$, which is isomorphic to $SO(4)$.

$h = su(3)$: $G$ has to be a Lie group of dimension 15 which contains $SU(3)$. With help of the classification of the compact Lie groups, we see that $G$ is covered either by a product of $SU(3)$ and a seven-dimensional Lie group or by $SU(4)$. In the first case, $G$ would not act almost effectively on $G/H$. In the second case, $G/H$ is covered by $S^7$.

$h = g_2$: For similar reasons as above, we have $g = so(7)$. Therefore, $G/H$ is covered by the seven-dimensional sphere $Spin(7)/G_2$ and we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Remark 6.1. Friedrich, Kath, Moroianu, and Semmelmann [11] have classified all spaces which admit a homogeneous nearly parallel $G_2$-structure. In particular, the authors prove that all spaces from Theorem 1.2 admit such a $G_2$-structure. In the table of our theorem, we also have listed all transitive group actions on those spaces which preserve a $G_2$-structure. On the sphere $S^7$, for example, there are $G_2$-structures which are invariant under $Spin(7)$, $SU(4)$, $Sp(2) \times Sp(1)$, $Sp(2) \times U(1)$, or $Sp(2)$. We remark that some of the Aloff-Wallach spaces are diffeomorphic or homeomorphic to each other, although they are not $SU(3)$-equivariantly diffeomorphic. This phenomenon is discussed by Kreck and Stolz [14]. Their results prove that on the same space there can exist $G_2$-structures which are preserved by different transitive Lie group actions.

7. Existence of the cosymplectic $G_2$-structures

In the previous two sections, we have classified all spaces which admit a homogeneous $G_2$-structure. The aim of this section is to prove that a transitive group action which leaves at least one $G_2$-structure invariant also leaves a cosymplectic $G_2$-structure invariant. We prove this fact by a case-by-case analysis. Although most of this work has already been done by other authors, there are still some cases left open.

Since any nearly parallel $G_2$-structure is also cosymplectic, the article of Friedrich et al. [11] answers our question for many subcases of the irreducible case. More precisely, we only have to consider those irreducible spaces on which we have more than one transitive group action.

Let $S^7 \subseteq \mathbb{O}$ be the unit sphere. We equip the tangent space $\mathrm{Im}(\mathbb{O})$ of $1 \in \mathbb{O}$ with the canonical $G_2$-structure $\omega$ from page 3. By the action of $Spin(7)$, we can extend $\omega$ to a nearly parallel $G_2$-structure on all of $S^7$. Since we have $Sp(2) \subseteq SU(4) \subseteq Spin(7)$, $\omega$ is invariant with respect to the action of the three groups. In [11], the authors describe a homogeneous nearly parallel
$G_2$-structure on $S^7$. The associated metric on $S^7$ is the squashed one and its isometry group is $Sp(2) \times Sp(1)$. Since the $G_2$-structure is homogeneous, it has to be at least $Sp(2)$-invariant. We assume that the second factor of $Sp(2) \times Sp(1)$ does not preserve the $G_2$-structure. In that situation, there exists a one-dimensional subgroup of $Sp(1)$ which generates a continuous family of nearly parallel $G_2$-structures but preserves the associated metric. Any nearly parallel $G_2$-structure induces a Killing spinor and the dimension of the space of all Killing spinors thus is at least two. Since it is known (cf. [11]) that this dimension is in fact one, the $G_2$-structure is $Sp(2) \times Sp(1)$-invariant. All in all, we have found for each transitive action on $S^7$ an invariant cosymplectic $G_2$-structure.

Next, we consider the Aloff-Wallach spaces. Cvetič et al. [8] have proven that any Aloff-Wallach space admits two $SU(3)$-invariant nearly parallel $G_2$-structures, which coincide for $k = -l$. It is known (cf. [11]) that the isometry group of the associated metric is $SU(3) \times U(1)$. Since the space of all Killing spinors is one-dimensional (cf. [8], [11]), we can conclude by the same arguments as above that both $G_2$-structures are not only $SU(3)$- but also $SU(3) \times U(1)$-invariant.

The nearly parallel $G_2$-structure on $N^{1,1}$ which is considered in [11] is preserved by $SU(3) \times SU(2)$. Since $SU(3) \subseteq SU(3) \times U(1) \subseteq SU(3) \times SU(2)$, that $G_2$-structure is invariant with respect to all of the three group actions from Theorem 1.2.

We proceed to the reducible case. Butruille [4] has proven that the only six-dimensional manifolds which admit a homogeneous nearly Kähler structure are $S^6$, $CP^3$, $SU(3)/U(1)^2$, and $S^3 \times S^3$. These four manifolds have also been considered by Bär [1], since they carry a real Killing spinor. The groups which preserve the nearly Kähler structure on the first three spaces are $G_2$, $Sp(2)$, and $SU(3)$. In [1] it is also proven that $S^3 \times S^3$ admits a nearly Kähler structure which is invariant under an $SU(2)^3$-action. The isotropy group of this action is $SU(2)$, which is embedded as the diagonal subgroup by

\begin{equation}
(16) \quad g \mapsto (g, g, g).
\end{equation}

We denote the metric, the real two-form, and the complex $(3,0)$-form which determine the $SU(3)$-structure by $g$, $\alpha$, and $\theta$. Furthermore, we denote the real (imaginary) part of $\theta$ by $\theta^{Re}$ ($\theta^{Im}$). We have $d\alpha = 3\lambda \theta^{Re}$ and $d\theta^{Im} = -2\lambda \alpha \wedge \alpha$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, since the four spaces are nearly Kähler. These equations are discussed in more detail by Hitchin [12]. On a product of a circle and a nearly Kähler manifold of real dimension six, we can define a $G_2$-structure by $\omega := \alpha \wedge dt + \theta^{Im}$. Here, "$t$" denotes the coordinate of the circle. By a straightforward calculation, it follows that $d \ast \omega = 0$. All in
all, we have proven our statement for the last three spaces from Theorem 1.1 and for all three actions on \( S^3 \times S^3 \times S^1 \).

On the torus \( T^7 \), we have the flat \( G_2 \)-structure, which is of course cosymplectic. On \( \mathbb{C}^2 \times T^4 (\mathbb{C}^3 \times T^2) \), there exists a flat Spin(7)-structure \( \Omega \). It is preserved by the action of \( SU(2) \times U(1)^4 (SU(3) \times U(1)^2) \), where the first factor acts on \( \mathbb{C}^2 (\mathbb{C}^3) \) and the second one by translations on the torus. The principal orbits of this action, which is of cohomogeneity one, are \( S^3 \times T^4 (S^5 \times T^2) \). \( \Omega \) induces a \( SU(2) \times U(1)^4 (SU(3) \times U(1)^2) \)-invariant \( G_2 \)-structure on any principal orbit. This \( G_2 \)-structure is cosymplectic, since \( d\Omega = 0 \).

The only remaining space is \( SU(2)^2/U(1) \times T^2 \). The issue of homogeneous \( G_2 \)-structures on this space is not yet discussed in the literature. In the following, we construct an explicit \( SU(2)^2 \times U(1)^2 \)-invariant cosymplectic \( G_2 \)-structure on \( SU(2)^2/U(1) \times T^2 \). First, we choose the following basis of \( \mathfrak{su}(2) \):

\[
\sigma_1 := \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

The above basis obeys the following commutator relations:

\[
[\sigma_1, \sigma_2] := -2\sigma_3, \quad [\sigma_2, \sigma_3] := -2\sigma_1, \quad [\sigma_3, \sigma_1] := -2\sigma_2.
\]

As usual, the tangent space of \( SU(2)^2/U(1) \times T^2 \) can be identified with the complement \( \mathfrak{m} \) of the isotropy algebra in \( 2\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \). We construct a basis \( \{e_1, \ldots, e_7\} \) of \( \mathfrak{m} \) and supplement it with a generator \( e_8 \) of \( \mathfrak{h} \) to a basis of \( 2\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \). Let \( e_1 \) and \( e_2 \) be generators of the center of \( 2\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \).

Furthermore, let

\[
e_3 := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ -\sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_4 := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_5 := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_6 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_7 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_8 := \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1 \\ \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

We define by \( e^i(e_j) := \delta^i_j \) a basis of left invariant one-forms on \( SU(2)^2 \times U(1)^2 \). With help of the formula \( d e^i(e_j, e_k) = -e^i([e_j, e_k]) \) and the commutator relations on \( 2\mathfrak{su}(2) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \) it follows that:
In order to construct a homogeneous cosymplectic $G_2$-structure, we introduce a further basis $(f_1, \ldots, f_7)$ of $\mathfrak{m}$:

\begin{align*}
  f_1 &:= e_1, \quad f_2 := e_2, \quad f_3 := e_3, \\
  f_4 &:= e_5 + e_7, \quad f_5 := -e_4 - e_6, \\
  f_6 &:= e_5 - e_7, \quad f_7 := -e_4 + e_6.
\end{align*}

(21)

With respect to this basis, the action of $e_8$ on $\mathfrak{m}$ is represented by a matrix which is contained in the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Therefore, we can identify $(f_1, \ldots, f_7)$ with the standard basis of $\text{Im}(\mathfrak{g})$. This identification yields an $SU(2)^2 \times U(1)^2$-invariant $G_2$-structure $\omega$ on $SU(2)^2/U(1) \times T^2$, which satisfies:

\begin{equation}
  *\omega = -2e^{1245} + 2e^{1267} - 2e^{1346} - 2e^{1357} - 2e^{2347} + 2e^{2356} + 4e^{4567}.
\end{equation}

(22)

As in Section 2, $e^{ijkl}$ is an abbreviation of $e^i \wedge e^j \wedge e^k \wedge e^l$. With help of the equations (20) and the fact that the projection of $e_8$ onto $SU(2)^2/U(1) \times T^2$ vanishes, we are able to compute $d \ast \omega$ and see that our $G_2$-structure is indeed cosymplectic. This calculation finishes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Remark 7.1.** Our proof that any $G$-homogeneous space $G/H$ which admits an arbitrary $G$-invariant $G_2$-structure also admits a cosymplectic one is done by a case-by-case analysis. If $G/H$ is irreducible, there even exists a $G$-invariant nearly parallel $G_2$-structure on $G/H$. The author suspects that it is possible to prove these facts more directly.
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