Spontaneous perforation of jejunal gastrointestinal stromal tumour (gist). Case report and review of literature
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Abstract

GIST is the commonest mesenchymal tumour of the gastrointestinal tract. Jejunal GIST is rare and spontaneous perforation of asymptomatic jejunal GIST is unique. A review of the English literature reveals only fifteen cases of perforated GIST till date. Pre-operative diagnosis is difficult. Diagnosis is confirmed on histopathology and immunohistochemistry. Complete removal with postoperative imatinib therapy entails optimal treatment. Perforated GIST is associated with high recurrence.
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Background

Gastro-intestinal stromal tumour (GIST) is the most common mesenchymal tumour of the gastrointestinal tract (G.I) tract (80%) [1]. The incidence of GIST is 10–20 million people per year with a malignant potential of 20-30% [1,2]. Presentations include abdominal mass (5-50%), obstruction (5%), haemorrhage and rarely perforation (0.8%) [1,2]. Spontaneous perforation of jejunal GIST is rare (Table 1) and unique. This article is an illustration of a similar case.

Case presentation

Forty six year old male presented to the emergency department with pain epigastrium, constipation, nausea, vomiting, fever and abdominal distension since two days. He had a past history of acid peptic disorder for which he was treated conservatively. On physical examination, patient was conscious and of normal built. Pallor, cyanosis, icterus and edema were absent. He was normotensive (124/70 mmHg), had tachycardia (110/min), fever (102.4°F) and hurried respiration (25/min). Abdominal examination revealed distension, board like rigidity, marked rebound tenderness, absent liver dullness and inaudible bowel sounds. Hernia sites were normal. Rectal examination did not reveal any significant abnormality. Examinations of other systems were within normal limits. A provisional diagnosis of peptic perforation was made.

Exploratory laparotomy was planned. Hematological examination revealed mild anemic with neutrophilic leucocytosis [Hemoglobin – 9.8 g/dl, Total count-14,000/cu.mm (N85, L11, E10, B0, M0)]. Blood sugar (113 g/dl), liver function tests and serum electrolytes (Na-136 meq/lit, K- 4.2 meq/lit) were within normal limits. Viral markers were non-reactive. Abdominal roentgenogram showed free gas under both domes of diaphragm with diffuse ground glass opacity. Excessive gas in the abdomen with free fluid was noted in abdominal sonography.

The patient was resuscitated with intravenous fluids, ryles tube and antibiotics. Following adequate resuscitation, the patient was put up for operation. Midline laparotomy revealed purulent free fluid with flakes. On aspiration and removal of the flakes and fluid, a purplish coloured firm growth with everted margins, measuring 3×2 cm was found in the anti-mesenteric border of the jejunum, fifty cm from the duodeno-jejunal flexure. The growth had a central perforation with intestinal contents effusing through the rent (Figure 1). All other organs were normal. The growth was resected with five cm margin and an end to end, single layer, interrupted, anastomosis was performed using 2/0 polyglycolic
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suture. Thorough peritoneal lavage was done with warm normal saline and abdomen was closed in layers. A tube drain was placed in the hepatorenal pouch of Morrison. The specimen was sent for histopathological examination. Post operative period was uneventful and the patient was discharged on the tenth post-op day after stitch removal. Histopathology (Figure 2) of the resected specimen showed, a submucosal nodular tumour composed of interlacing fascicles of spindle shaped cells with elongated, plump nuclei. There was mild nuclear pleomorphism and more than five mitotic figures per fifty high power fields. No tumour necrosis found. Pathologically it was jejunal GIST of intermediate risk. Surgical lines of resection were free. Immuno-histochemistry study revealed diffuse immunoreactivity for CD-117 (Figure 3), focal CD-34 positivity, negative for desmin, S-100 and SMA; Ki 67 less than 5%.

The patient is on six months follow-up receiving oral imatinib 300 mg twice a day.

**Conclusion**

GIST was first described by Mazor and Clark (1983) [1]. It originates from the interstitial cells of Cajal (ICC), located in the muscularis propria (myenteric plexus) responsible for triggering smooth muscle contraction [2,3].

### Table 1

Table showing published case reports on jejunal perforation

| Serial number | Country | Journal                                      | Patient particulars | Date of publication |
|---------------|---------|----------------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| 1             | Greece  | Journal of gastro-intestinal and liver diseases | 66 yrs/ M          | 2006                |
| 2*            | China   | Journal of Chinese oncology                  | 69 yrs/ M          | 2008                |
| 3             | Ankara  | Turkish journal of gastroenterology          | 70 yrs/ M          | 2008                |
| 4             | Turkey  | Gastroenterology research                     | 52 yrs / F         | 2009                |
| 5             | Japan   | Journal of abdominal emergency medicine       | 56 yrs/ M          | 2009                |
| 6*            | China   | International journal of gastroenterology    | 69 yrs/ M          | 2009                |
| 7             | Greece  | World journal of surgical oncology            | 28 yrs/ F          | 2010                |
| 8             | Istanbul| Turkish journal of gastroenterology          | 65 yrs/ M          | 2010                |
| 9             | India   | International journal of biomedical research  | 68 yrs / M         | 2010                |
| 10            | India   | Bombay hospital journal                       | 55 yrs/ M          | 2011                |
| 11            | China   | Turkish journal of gastroenterology          | 45 yrs/ M          | 2011                |
| 12            | Greece  | journal of current surgery                    | 56 yrs/ M          | 2011                |
| 13            | Turkey  | Journal of clinical and analytical medicine   | 61 yrs/ F          | 2012                |
| 14            | India   | The internet journal of surgery               | 35 yrs/ M          | 2012                |
| 15            | India   | Indian journal of surgery                     | 22 yrs/ M          | 2012                |

* same case report published in different journal.

### Figure 1

Peroperative photograph showing jejunal gist with perforation.

### Figure 2

Histopathology of jejunal GIST.
The basic pathology is an activating mutation (gain in function) of chromosome 4 which codes for c-Kit resulting in uncontrolled proliferation of stem cells that differentiate towards ICC. GIST is sporadic [3]. Familial forms with autosomal dominant inheritance have also been documented [3,4].

Isolated reports of GIST occurring concomitantly with paraganglioma, pulmonary chondroma, neurofibromatosis, pancreatic neuro-endocrine tumours, Burkitt’s lymphoma, osteosarcoma, neuroblastoma and melanoma have been documented [3].

90% of GIST occurs in adults more than 40 years of age (median age 63 years). There is slight male preponderance [4]. No documented elements indicating any association with geographic location, ethnicity, race or occupation has been elucidated [4,5].

The commonest site of GIST is stomach (60-70%) [2,3]. Jejunum accounts for 10% of all GI tract GIST’s [1,3]. Sporadic reports of GISTs arising from the omentum, mesentery or retroperitoneum, have been documented but most of these are metastatic from gastric or intestinal primaries [4]. Extra-GIST has been reported in gall bladder, pancreas, liver and urinary bladder [4].

Presentation is erratic. Seventy percent are symptomatic at presentation, 20% are asymptomatic and 10% are detected at autopsy [5,6]. Common presentations include abdominal pain, palpable mass, gastro intestinal bleeding, fever, anaemia, weight loss and anaemia [7]. Isolated jejunal GIST associated with perforation and peritonitis is a rare and unique [1].

Perforation is usually attributed to replacement of bowel wall by tumour cells, tumour embolization leading to ischemia, necrosis together with raised intra-luminal pressure [4,5,7]. In view of the exophytic nature of the growth, intestinal obstruction occurs due to compression rather than luminal obstruction. As such intestinal obstruction is a rare occurrence until the tumour attains enormous size.

Clinical diagnosis of GIST is based on index of suspicion [6,7]. Specific diagnostic signs and symptoms are absent. Chronicity is a rule. Acute atypical presentation includes hemorrhage and perforative peritonitis [1-10]. Preoperative imaging modalities like contrast enhanced abdominal computerized tomography (CT) aids in diagnosis [8]. The extent of the tumor, metastases and involvement of other organs can be assessed. A dedicated magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) provides better information than CT in the preoperative staging workup [7,8]. Endoscopy can diagnose gastric GISTs. Endoscopy demonstrates smooth, mucosa-lined protrusion of the bowel wall which may or may not show signs of bleeding or ulceration. Endoscopic ultrasound with guided fine-needle aspiration is diagnostic for primary lesions in 89% of the cases [8-10]. However, the relative usefulness of images depends on the site, duration and suspicion of GIST in patients presenting with undiagnosed abdominal lumps. The decisive diagnosis rests on the pathological and immunohistochemical tests [2,5-10]. Histopathologically GISTs are composed of spindle (70%), epithelioid and round cell or an admixture [6,8]. Similarities with histological picture of gastrointestinal leiomysarcoma, leiomyoblastoma and poorly differentiated carcinomas may cause diagnostic dilemma, Immuno-histochemical assays for CD117 antigen (KIT) is the mainstay for diagnosis [9,10].

Diagnosis of asymptomatic GIST with acute presentation like perforation remains elusive. Accordingly, our provisional diagnosis was peptic perforation as free gas under diaphragm was noted in erect abdominal rhexentgenogram.

Optimal surgical treatment of GIST entails complete removal of the tumor with clear surgical margins including the adjacent involved organs [5-10]. Complete surgical resection entails 48-65% five-year survival [1]. Perforation of the tumor lowers the five-year survival to 24%, probably due to peritoneal dissemination [5]. Local and regional lymph node involvement is infrequent in GIST [6,8,10]. GIST’s presenting with perforation, attention needs to be paid, in view of possible recurrence of the tumor. Abundant peritoneal lavage should be performed with distilled water to reduce the risk of peritoneal tumour spillage. Distilled water is used because of its cytolytic activity on suspended cells [7,9,10].

GIST response to conventional chemotherapy is very poor (<10%), while radiotherapy is only used in cases of intraperitoneal hemorrhage, when the precise location of the tumor is known, or for analgesic purposes [7,8].

STI571 (Imatinib), acts as a powerful selective inhibitor of tyrosine-kinase, PDGFR (platelet derived growth factor receptor) and c-kit receptor [10]. Oral imatinib at doses >300 mg per day achieves curative results.
The prognostic factors of GIST include age at presentation, anatomic location, size (most important), histomorphology, immuno-histochemistry and molecular genetics [4,6-10].

Positron-emission tomography with 18F-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose is a very useful tool for the postoperative follow-up of patients receiving imatinib [4,5,9,10].

The 5-year survival rate is 35%. It increases to 54% after complete surgical excision [1-10]. However, 40% will recur within 18–24 months. Once recurrence has occurred, median survival is 9–16 months [3,5,7,8,10].
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