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Background/Aims
Wireless motility capsule (WMC) detects the ileocolic junction (ICJ) in most non-operated patients. We find no data concerning this examination in patients where the ileocolic valve is replaced by a per definition incompetent, surgically created ICJ. We wanted to see if WMC could detect the ICJ after a right colectomy and assess the competency.

Methods
Prospective cohort study using a within-subject design to eliminate subject-subject variability. Selected patients operated with right colectomy underwent 3 WMC examinations (pre-operatively, 3 weeks, and 6 months after surgery).

Results
Twenty patients (8 men) included, 7 (4 men) excluded due to poor recordings (4) and unforeseen events (3). Thirteen patients (4 men), median age 63 years completed 3 tests. Median bowel lengths removed were 11 cm for ileum and 21 cm for colon. Thirty-nine examinations analyzed by 2 physicians who found all 13 ICJs at 3 examinations with high inter-rater reliability (intra-class correlation coefficient: 0.99, 0.91, and 0.99 respectively), whereas the computer found 9, 8, and 10 out of the 13 ICJs, respectively. Computed values significantly more often deviated from the 2 raters. Mean magnitude and duration of pH-drop at the ICJ (3 examinations) was 1.16-1.02-1.13 pH units and 3.15-4.78-3.75 minutes, respectively. pH-drop was smaller and duration longer at 3 weeks. We found no differences between the pre-operative (competent ICJ) and post-operative 6-month examinations (incompetent ICJ). Highest pressure immediately prior to ICJ was equal before and after surgery.

Conclusion
WMC can identify the non-physiological ICJ after right colectomy. Ileocolic competence cannot be assessed. (J Neurogastroenterol Motil 2017;23:585-591)
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Introduction

Dealing with patients suffering from motility disorders is challenging. Assessment of regional and whole gut transit times can give the information needed for a correct therapeutic approach. A body of evidence has been built in the literature on the validity of motility monitoring using the SmartPill wireless motility capsule (WMC). The pill measures both whole gut and segmental (stomach, small bowel, and colon) transit times. Segmental transit times are defined as time intervals between important landmarks (pylorus and ileocolic junction (ICJ)) when the capsule moves from one bowel segment to another. Up to now, the WMC has mostly been applied in studies dealing with patients with functional disturbances in an otherwise intact digestive tube. A few patients who had undergone bowel resections were included by Arora et al but the precise localization of the bowel segment removed was not defined. Surgeons remove segments of bowel and suture them together in order to maintain bowel continuity. It is, however, not the same if an intestinal landmark (eg, ICJ) or a segment of bowel between these landmarks is removed. Unfortunately, no data can be found in the literature on patients where one or more of these landmarks has been surgically removed and an anastomosis created. The surgically created ICJ is per definition incompetent. Through the years, many authors have described possible consequences of having an incompetent ileocolic valve without having a proper way to assess competence. Roland et al suggest that a low pressure prior to the ileocolic valve (defined as highest pressure over a 4-minute window prior to the characteristic ileocecal pH drop) indicates that the valve is incompetent.

The aim of this study is to demonstrate the capacity of the WMC to locate a surgically created (non-physiologic) ICJ after right colectomy for cancer. A secondary aim is to investigate if competence of the ICJ can be assessed by measuring the pressure prior to the ICJ.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Patients in this study were included simultaneously in 2 trials, the “Safe Radical D3 Right Hemicolectomy for Cancer through Pre-operative Biphasic Multi-detector Computed Tomography (MDCT)” (Regional ethics committee Sør-Øst no. 2010/3354), registered at ClinicalTrials.gov on May 9th 2011 (http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT01351714), and the “Comparison of bowel function between D2 and D3 operated patients for right-sided colon cancer” trial (Regional ethics committee Sør-Øst no. 2013/206). Participation was voluntary; informed consent forms were signed.

Inclusion Criteria

Patients under 75 years of age with right-sided colon cancer planned to be operated on with the intent to cure.

Exclusion Criteria

Patients excluded were those with denied participation, obstructing tumor, post-operative complications, inflammatory bowel disease, known motility disorders, previously performed bowel resections, extended bowel resection, conditions causing motility disorders (celiac disease, diabetes mellitus, scleroderma, Parkinson’s disease, and rheumatic conditions), and those using medication that can influence bowel motility.

Study Design

Data collection was prospective. To eliminate subject-subject variability, a within-subject design was used, rendering patients as their own controls. Selected patients planned for operation with right colectomy with extended D3 mesenterectomy (removal of 10-15 cm of the terminal ileum, the ascending and proximal transverse colon with an extended D3 mesenterectomy as previously described) underwent three WMC examinations (pre-operatively, 3 weeks after and 6 months after surgery).

Instruments

The WMC is an ambulatory non-invasive and non-radioactive diagnostic sensor that continuously measures pH, temperature, and pressure as it passes through the gastrointestinal (GI) tract. The sensor transfers data to a receiver that must be in the patient’s proximity.

Testing

Patients were required to ingest a standard meal (SmartBar a cereal bar, containing 260 kcal) after 8 hours of fasting and immediately afterward swallow the WMC. After swallowing the capsule, they were asked to refrain from eating for 6 hours. Patients were instructed to wear the receiver as close to the abdomen as possible except while bathing and showering. Furthermore, they were asked to record all bowel movements and meals by pressing the receiver’s event button as well as writing down the time displayed together with a brief description of the event/activity in a diary. The patients...
were instructed to wait 3 minutes in the bathroom before flushing the toilet after each bowel movement to ensure that the receiver captured the fall in temperature as the capsule fell into the toilet bowl. Patients returned the receiver after 4 days, and the data were downloaded to a computer.

Analysis
Data analysis was performed using the MotiliGI version 3.0.20 (Given Image, Yokneam Illit, Israel). The software presents a graph visualizing the pH and pressure, as well as the temperature throughout the GI tract. The physician is instructed to identify the following physiological landmarks according to predefined criteria: capsule ingestion, gastric emptying (GE), ICJ, and bowel exit. The software compares the physician identified markers to those identified by the computer. If not equivalent, the software allows users to choose between marks defined by the program or previously identified landmarks. The process provides 2 sets of markers: the physician confirmed and the computed. Based on these physiological landmarks the following values can be calculated: GE time, small bowel transit time, colonic transit time, whole gut transit time, and combined small and large bowel transit time. Furthermore, the software analyses the mean and maximum pressures as well as the contractions per minute through the segments.

Identification of the Ileocolic Junction

Computed ileocolic junction

The software selects the ICJ by finding the first and largest pH drop between 30 minutes and 6 hours from GE.

Physician-confirmed ileocolic junction

Physician-confirmed ICJ is based on the validated stereotypical changes in the pH profile through the GI tract. An abrupt sustained rise in pH of more than two units delineates the GE. After the GE, a steady rise in the pH followed by a plateau represents the passage through the small bowel. A drop of 0.5-2.0 pH units usually occurs when the capsule enters the colon (due to bacterial fermentation), thereby defining the ICJ. After the ICJ an erratic pH profile in the colon is characteristic. Inter-rater reliability was assessed for 2 of the authors (Y.T. and D.I.) independently from each other, and the computer for identification of the ICJ (minutes elapsed from activation of the capsule) on all graphs. The authors were blinded for the bowel length removed, operation, and examination.

The data on magnitude and duration of the pH drop within the ICJ were drawn from the graph produced by the software.

Pressure Prior to the Ileocolic Junction

In the Statistics mode in MotiliGI a window over the last 4 minutes prior to the ICJ was selected. MotiliGI generates a report for the selected window, including the highest pressure.

Histology

Data on the lengths of formol-fixed bowel resected were drawn from the histopathology report. Due to shrinkage the fixed specimen is shorter than the natural length.

Statistical Methods
To determine the inter-rater reliability the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC) was calculated using the R-package psych. Paired-Samples T-Test in SPSS version 22 (IBM Corp, Armonk, NY, USA) was used to estimate the change in magnitude and duration of the pH fall. The same test was used to compare the pressure prior to ICJ before and after surgery.

| Table 1. Independent Identification of the Ileocolic Junction by the Computer and the 2 Raters |
|---|---|---|---|
| ICJ (n) | PC | Y.T. | D.I. |
| Pre-operative ICJ* found: | 9 | 13 | 13 |
| ICJ* deviation: | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 wk after surgery ICJ* found: | 8 | 13 | 13 |
| ICJ* deviation: | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 6 mo after surgery ICJ* found: | 10 | 13 | 13 |
| ICJ* deviation: | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| All exams ICJ* found: | 27 | 39 | 39 |
| ICJ* deviation: | 6 | 1 | 1 |

*ICJ: ileocolic junction

Table 2. Correlation Between the Ileocolic Junctions Found by the 2 Raters

| ICC (Y.T.-D.I.) | Mean difference (min) |
|---|---|
| Pre-operative | 0.99 | 1.58 |
| 3 wk after surgery | 0.91 | 6.30 |
| 6 mo after surgery | 0.99 | -0.33 |

ICC, intra class correlation.
Results

Between July 2013 and December 2015 a total of 20 patients (8 men) were included in the study; 7 (4 men) were excluded: 4 due to poor recordings (major breaks around the expected location of the ICJ in 1 or more of the 3 recordings), 2 due to unforeseen surgical events, and 1 patient could not swallow the second WMC. Thirteen patients (4 men) with a median age of 63 years successfully completed all 3 tests. Median bowel lengths removed by the procedure were 11 (4-20) cm for the terminal ileum and 21 (14-34) cm for the colon. All 39 examinations were analyzed to identify the ICJ. The physicians found all ICJs before and after surgery while the computer did not; the results and equivalence between the raters are presented in Table 1.

The inter-rater reliability between the 2 physicians was very high with only a mean difference of 0.33 minutes after 6 months (Table 2).

Figure 1 graphically depicts the correlation between the ICJ
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Figure 1. Time (minutes) from pill activation to the ileocolic junction (ICJ) determined by Y.T. (x-axis) and the corresponding values determined by D.I. and the computer (y-axis). In both the first and the last graph one outlier (due to gastroparesis) with a very long time from activation to ICJ was excluded from the graph (but not from the results).
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Figure 2. Boxplot presenting the highest pressure (mmHg) over a 4-minute window prior to the characteristic pH-drop at the ileocolic junction.
The magnitude and duration of the pH drop for the 3 examinations shows a tendency toward longer times and smaller falls 3 weeks after surgery. Compared to the mean duration (3.15 minutes) before surgery, the duration 3 weeks and 6 months after surgery were 1.63 minutes ($P = 0.358$) and 0.60 minutes ($P = 0.584$) longer, respectively. Compared to the pre-operative mean pH drop (1.16 pH units), the pH drop 3 weeks and 6 months after surgery were 0.14 pH units ($P = 0.387$) and 0.03 pH units ($P = 0.683$) smaller, respectively.

The highest pressure during a 4-minute window prior to the ICJ did not change significantly after the surgery. Compared to the pre-operative pressure the mean pressure 3 weeks after surgery was 1.19 mmHg lower (-22.13, 19.75) $P = 0.901$, and the mean pressure 6 months after surgery was 0.62 mmHg higher (-18.31, 19.54) $P = 0.943$ (Fig. 2).

After the initial post-operative phase none of the patients had diarrhea. Figure 3 displays a comparison of the 3 consecutive tests.
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Discussion

This article documents the ability of the WMC to identify the surgically created (non-physiological) ICJ after a right colectomy. However, as already described by Wang et al. the automatic identification of the ICJ by the computer seems to be too simplified and therefore often fails to recognize the ICJ. The number of ICJs identified by the computer is not higher prior to surgery than it is 6 months after, indicating that this is independent of the procedure. The physician confirmed values, though, based on the stereotypical changes in the pH-profile seem to be much more reliable. Even after surgery, we find the same curve with the same pH-drop around the ileocolic region that Zarate et al. described in their validation of the WMC. This is clearly visible in Figure 3. In our study, the inter-rater reliability between the 2 independent physicians is very high, expressed by an ICC of 0.99 both before and 6 months after surgery. However, 3 weeks after surgery the stereotypical pH-profile (the graph displayed by the software) often is somewhat disturbed, making the identification of the ICJ more difficult. The duration of the pH-drop appears to be longer, and the magnitude smaller. Even though the differences were too small to be significant in our small sample, the trend is clear. The delicate mechanism of the ICJ seems to be disrupted leading to longer times for chyme transit through the non-physiological and surgically created ICJ, and in addition possible regurgitation. Nonetheless, the ICC between the physicians on the examination 3 weeks after the operation was still relatively high (0.91). The 6 month curve indicates similar function as before surgery implicating a possible compensatory mechanism that is not valve related.

It is known that up to 15% of subjects fail to show a characteristic sharp fall in pH at the ICJ. Zarate et al. wondered if this is related to ileocolic valve competence. Roland et al. found an association between a low pressure prior to the ICJ and small intestinal bacterial overgrowth. They suggested that a low pressure indicates lack of valve competence. These changes concerning the characteristic pH drop or the pressure prior to the ICJ when the ileocecal valve is surgically removed are not confirmed in our study. Our present data indicate that the valve competence cannot be assessed using the WMC. The slightly altered pH-profile in the early post-operative period might also be related to a disturbance of the bacterial colonization in the colon and possibly also to bacterial growth in the small intestine due to stagnation of the chyme in the first days after the operation.

Some authors emphasize that the anatomy and physiology of the most terminal part of the ileum differ from the rest, rendering it a sphincter. One can question if the “neoterminal” ileum created after surgery is functionally comparable to the physiological terminal ileum. Nevertheless, the limited small bowel resection (median length 11 cm) diminishes the importance of this question. One could also argue that the extended D3 mesenterectomy (which entails a more extensive mesenterectomy than at standard surgery) could affect the contractility. The lack of a significant change in mean and high pressure in the small bowel 6 months after surgery makes this explanation unlikely.

The strength of this study is in the prospective data collection and the fact that every patient represents his own control, such a paired design excludes personal variation, in this way providing a firm groundwork for the conclusions drawn. A potential weakness is the low number of patients, as well as the fact that one-third of the patients were excluded from the analysis.

In conclusion, the WMC can identify the ICJ after a right colectomy. The accuracy is, however, somewhat reduced in the initial post-operative phase, due to a slightly disturbed pH-profile. ICJ valve competence cannot be assessed through the WMC.
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