Putting PHDs to work: PHF11 clears the way for EXO1 in double-strand break repair
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In this issue of Genes & Development, Gong and colleagues (pp. 46–58) bring to light a functional role for plant homeodomain finger 11 (PHF11) in 5′ end resection at DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs). Using the proteomics of isolated chromatin segments (PICH) technique to purify deprotected telomeres, PHF11 was enriched as cells mounted a DNA damage response (DDR) against exposed chromosome ends. The study reveals interactions between PHF11 and multiple DNA repair proteins and suggests that PHF11 mediates 5′ end resection by negotiating RPA-coated DNA repair intermediates. This finding provides a novel context for mediator-catalyzed RPA exchanges during the multistep process of homologous recombination (HR).

Exposed free DNA ends trigger the DNA damage response (DDR), bringing the cell cycle to a halt and giving time to repair toxic DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs). DSBs are mended by two predominant pathways, known as nonhomologous end-joining [NHEJ] and homologous recombination [HR]. HR requires homologous regions of intact, undamaged DNA for template-directed repair. For this reason, HR provides a high-fidelity mechanism adapted to S phase and G2, during which replicated sister chromatids are present.

The choice to repair DSBs by NHEJ or HR is a tightly regulated process controlled accordingly by mechanisms sensitive to cell cycle status. 5′ resection at the DSB junction commits the repair process to HR or other related backup pathways requiring homology, such as single-strand annealing (SSA) or alternative end-joining. During S phase, the breast cancer suppressor protein BRCA1 facilitates initial 5′ end resection by allowing MRE11- and CtIP-dependent generation of a short 3′ overhang, generally >100 nucleotides [nt]. This provides the substrate for extensive resection by EXO1 and DNA2, which exposes the 3′ terminus destined for invasion into homologous regions of the sister chromatid, leading to error-free repair of the DSB junction by HR (Fig. 1).

The single-stranded binding protein RPA inhibits the formation of spurious hairpins and other forms of undesirable annealing by coating the nascent 3′ overhang. This may also create a topological obstruction able to resist processive 5′ resection machinery. Interestingly, RPA-coated ssDNA is also known to stimulate the protein-stripping activities of BLM helicase and exonuclease/helicase DNA2 to enact 5′ end resection (Symington and Gautier 2011), indicating a complex relationship that encompasses both inhibitory and stimulatory activities toward resection. However, the precise choreography by which the 5′ resection apparatus circumvents RPA-mediated blockades in vivo stands as an open question. In this issue of Genes & Development, Gong et al. (2017) identified plant homeodomain (PHD) finger 11 (PHF11) at uncapped telomeres by the proteomics of isolated chromatin segments [PICH] technique (Déjardin and Kingston 2009) and subsequently demonstrated an essential role for this new DDR factor in 5′ resection. PHF11 was described previously as a likely transcription factor gene adjacent to the IgE locus and associated with asthma and other inflammatory conditions (Zhang et al. 2003). PHF11 encodes a zinc-binding protein due to an extended PHD finger and is expressed as two alternatively spliced isoforms, with the shorter variant constructed as a 39-amino-acid N-terminal truncation. PHD fingers are known protein–protein interaction motifs, recognizing methylated lysine residues on histone tails (Wysocka et al. 2006), for example. The extended PHD finger consists of two parts: The pre-PHD region binds a single zinc, leading to a loop with some α-helical character. C-terminal to this is the PHD finger motif, where two additional zinc ions bind (Liu et al. 2014). Interestingly, of the DDR binding partners identified by Gong et al. (2017), only RPA required the PHD finger to interact with PHF11. EXO1, the MRE11–RAD50–NBS1 complex, BARD1, and DNA2 could be pulled down by a PHF11 deletion that lacked the PHD
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finger but retained the pre-PHD region. Importantly, PHF11 stimulated EXO1 resection activity by up to 10-fold in vitro only in the context of RPA-coated substrates. RPA and PHF11 localized in cells to foci of DNA damage with similar kinetics, and PHF11 knockout diminished the activity of ATR kinase on CHK1, presumably due to failed resection and reduced abundance of RPA-coated ssDNA. Collectively, all experiments indicated that PHF11 acts as a gatekeeper for extensive 5’ resection in DNA repair by mediating RPA-coated intermediates.

DSB repair is crucial to maintaining genomic integrity in all organisms and is therefore well conserved across species. Germline mutations in the BRCA1 or BRCA2 genes confer breast cancer with high penetrance, and knockouts of either in mice is embryonic-lethal. The BRCA1 protein consists of an N-terminal RING domain (harboring E3 ubiquitin ligase activity) and tandem BRCT repeats at the C terminus known to interact with phosphorylated DDR proteins. The paralog BARD1 forms a heterodimer with BRCA1. The Arabidopsis thaliana genome conserves HsBRCA1 and HsBARD1 homologs, which code for proteins with overall domain architectures comparable with their human equivalents. A notable exception exists in that protein products of AtBRCA1 and AtBARD1 each conserve a PHD finger between the RING fingers and BRCT repeats [Trapp et al. 2011], a domain not preserved in vertebrate homologs. Interestingly, no clear PHF11 homolog exists in plants. Might the PHD fingers of the AtBRCA1–AtBARD1 heterodimer function like PHF11 during 5’ resection in plants, thus hinting at a conserved reaction mechanism? Although the reported interaction between BRCA1–BARD1 and PHF11 will require further investigation to evaluate this insight, the study from Gong et al. [2017] demonstrates clearly that PHD fingers are not unique to the DSB repair complexes of plants. The necessity to mediate RPA during resection emerges from the current work, which was not altogether unexpected considering that RPA negotiation is fundamental at other steps of HR [Fig. 1]. The BRCA2 protein is a known mediator of RAD51 nucleofilament formation on RPA-coated ssDNA [Yang et al. 2005]. The small acidic protein DSS1 assists BRCA2 in catalyzing this transition from RPA- to Rad51-coated ssDNA [Zhao et al. 2015]. This activity is essential to seed the RAD51 filament, which can then assemble toward the 3’ terminus. In a separate example, RAD52 mediates RPA displacement during SSA [Grimme et al. 2010], an error-prone form of homology-directed DNA repair. Finally, recent studies suggest that RPA ubiquitylation provides another means with which to navigate this roadblock to ssDNA access [Elia et al. 2015], although it is unclear whether this involves disruption of RPA–ssDNA complexes.

The work of Gong et al. [2017] thus begins a new chapter in which the motif of RPA mediation is expounded, demonstrating the universality of dealing with RPA during each step of HR. As noted above, PHF11 also interacts with several other repair factors, and it is still an open question as to the relative importance of its RPA displacement activities in comparison with its interactions with these myriad DNA repair and resection factors. Given that PHF11 deficiency was not equivalent to knockdown of EXO1 or any other associated factors in the DDR, this is consistent with either pleiotropic roles in repair or that PHF11 acts at an early stage of DNA processing that affects the functions of multiple repair proteins. Finally, it is still unclear how PHF11 localizes to DSB sites, the answers to which might provide additional insights into its repair activities. We look forward to future studies that will probe deeper into these questions and define mechanisms by which PHF11 clears the way for DSB repair.
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