Effect of Media on Root Parameters in Marigold

J. Vijay1*, A.V.D. Dorajeerao2, K. Umajyothi3 and D.R. Salomi Suneetha4

1College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, V.R. Gudem-534101, Andhra Pradesh, India
2(Floriculture and Landscape Architecture), College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, V.R. Gudem-534101, Andhra Pradesh,
3(Horticulture), College of Horticulture, 4(Biochemistry), College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, V.R. Gudem-534101, Andhra Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author

A B S T R A C T

An experiment was carried in factorial concept with two factors i.e., varieties at two levels and rooting media at eight levels thus making 16 combinations which were replicated twice. The effect of varieties, rooting media and their interactions were found to be significant on different rooting parameters. Among the rooting media, the greatest percentage of rooted cuttings was recorded by M8 – soil:vermicompost : coco peat : saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza. At 90 days after shifting into polybags, the number of roots per cutting, length of the longest root per cutting, fresh weight of roots per cutting, maximum survival percentage of rooted cuttings were found to be superior with the media M8 – soil : vermicompost : coco peat : saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza whereas dry weight of roots per cutting were found to be superior with the media M6 -soil : vermicompost : coco peat : rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza.

I n t r o d u c t i o n

Marigold (Tagetes erecta L.) is one of the most important commercial flower crops grown in India. The crop is said to be native of Mexico. It belongs to the family Asteraceae and propagated by seed and terminal cuttings.

A higher number of roots could be seen in terminal cuttings of marigold than in seed propagation of marigold, helps to increase number of branches, maximum leaves per plant, maximum plant height, large flower bloom than those plants propagated sexually through seed. Rooting media are essential for improvement in rooting percentage and hence the selection and combination of media components assumes greater significance for success in vegetative propagation (Laubscher, 1990).

Moisture holding capacity and optimum aeration have been regarded as the most essential characteristics for rooting medium. The medium used for rooting of cuttings should be also firm so as to hold the plant or cutting in position.
Therefore an ideal rooting medium should be porous enough to allow good aeration and should possess high water holding ability.

The type of rooting medium determines to some extent, the nature of roots which are produced on the cutting and consequently its survival. Thus medium for rooting has gained lot of importance in propagation of marigold. The rooting media has been reported to influence the success of propagation in several crops through vegetative parts.

**Material and Methods**

Experiment was carried out at College farm, College of Horticulture, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Venkataramanna gudem, West Godavari District of Andhra Pradesh during rabi, 2017-2018. Experiment was laid out in completely randomised design with factorial concept. Factor one (V) consisted of two levels one being Bidhan Marigold- 1 (V1) and another Bidhan Marigold- 2(V2) and factor two consists of 8 levels of rooting media M1 – soil, M2 – soil + mycorrhiza, M3 – soil: vermicompost (1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza, M4 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza, M5 – soil: vermicompost: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza, M6– soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza, M7 – soil: vermicompost: saw dust (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza and M8– soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza. Thus, there were 16 treatment combinations and were repeated twice. Mycorrhiza was applied at the rate of 2 g per plant (Neelima et al., 2016). The following observations on root parameters were recorded at regular interval starting from the time of shifting and at 30, 60 and 90 days after shifting (DAS) of the cuttings. Percentage of rooted cuttings (%): This parameter was recorded at the time of shifting the rooted cuttings from shade house by using the following formula.

\[
\text{Percentage of rooted cuttings (\%) = \left[\frac{\text{No of cuttings rooted}}{\text{Total no of cuttings planted}}\right] \times 100}
\]

Number of roots per cutting, Length of longest root (cm), Fresh weight of roots/cutting, Dry weight of roots/cutting, Survival % of rooted cuttings. The experimental data pertaining to all the characters studied were subjected to statistical analysis of variance technique as described by Panse and Sukhatme (1997).

**Results and Discussion**

**Percentage of rooted cuttings (%) (Table 1)**

Among the rooting media, the greatest percentage of rooted cuttings (93.35%) was recorded by M8 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza which was on par with M6 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (92.95%) and M4 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (92.95%) but significantly superior to M3 – soil: vermicompost: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (92.13%). The lowest percentage of rooted cuttings (88.78%) was recorded on M1 – soil.

Among the rooting media, terminal cuttings planted in coco peat based media recorded the highest percentage of rooting which might be perhaps due to the release of phenolic compounds from the coir pith (Lokesha et al., 1988) and also can be attributed to the beneficial physical characteristics of coir pith (Smith, 1995) like aeration and water holding capacity. Presence of tender leaves on cuttings also could have played an important role in the initiation of roots in many plant species. Leaves considerably influence the rooting of cuttings because of their ability to produce endogenous auxins, carbohydrates by means of photosynthesis (Newton et al., 1992). In addition, vermicompost could have provided...
nutrients and stabilized the pH due to humus content in the rooting media. These characteristics of cocopeat and vermicompost might have played a synergist and important role in increasing percentage of rooted cuttings. Similarly, Shirol et al., (2001) also reported the highest percentage of rooted cuttings of dwarf poinsettia in media containing vermicompost + sand (1:1) medium (67%), followed by vermicompost alone. Ochoa et al., (2003) obtained highest rooting of oleander cuttings in cocopeat.

Mycorrhizal fungi are important to their hosts as they enhance the ability of plants to absorb phosphorus from soil, which is relatively unavailable to the plants (Mcgonigle and Miller, 1996; Miller, 2000). They secrete organic acids viz., formic, acetic, propionic, lactic, glycolic, fumaric and succinic acids, vitamins and growth promoting substances like IAA and gibberellins which helps in better plant growth (Reddy, 2008). This could be the reason as to why the mycorhizal combinations were better than non-mycorrhizal combinations of rooting media.

Number of roots per cutting (Table 2)

Among the rooting media, at 90 DAS the highest number of roots (157.85) was recorded by M8 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza followed by M6 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (135.15) and M4 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (126.30). The lowest number of roots (67.83) was recorded on M1 – soil. The interactions with Bidhan Marigold 1 were found to show superior values when compared to those with the variety Bidhan Marigold 2.

The terminal cuttings planted in coco peat medium gave more number of roots, which might be due to the presence of cytokinins in coco peat that encouraged the induction of adventitious roots (Ellyard and Ollerenshaw, 1984), along with auxin mediated cell division (Davies et al., 1987) resulting in more number of roots. Coco peat has a high water holding capacity which helps in the absorption of water and nutrients at higher level (Rubasinghe et al., 2009) from the medium thereby increasing the number of roots. The root formation process on the cuttings is a complicated one which is regulated by many different internal factors like the concentration of endogenous auxins, the rooting cofactors, carbohydrate substances stored in the cuttings as well as nitrogen content, these may interact to influence the rooting percentage, root length and diameter and root weight. Cocopeat + vermicompost combinations in the rooting media might had contributed to a better nitrogen content and thereby could have induced more number of roots per cutting. The formation of higher number of roots per cutting may be the fact that the cambial activity is involved in root induction (Rahman et al., 1991).

Such observations were also made by Wahab et al., (2001) in guava, Riaz et al., (2007) in hardwood cuttings of kiwi, Ismail and Asghar (2007) in Ficushawaii, Malik et al., (2013) and Abdul et al., (2013) in guava. Khelwale et al., (2005) reported that coco peat was significantly superior to remaining media treatments in rooting of carnation. Edwards and Neuhauser (1988) reported that vermicompost has maximum pore space, aeration and water holding capacity and has ability to supply nutrients in available form such as nitrate nitrogen and soluble phosphorus, which are necessary for root growth and therefore resulted in maximum number of roots per cutting.

Similar results were reported by Shirol et al., (2001) in dwarf poinsettia, where maximum root development was noticed with vermicompost + sand (1:1), followed by
vermicompost alone. These reports were in confirmation with Mahale et al., (2002) and Bharathy et al., (2003) in carnation. Vesicular arbuscular mycorrhiza is a fungi that colonize the plant root system and develop symbiotic association by forming a network of fine filaments that associate with root system and facilitate increase in absorption of nutrients such as N, K, Mg and Zn and water from the soil. Plant provides the fungus with photosynthetically derived carbohydrates, while the fungus supplies the plant roots with nutrients (Smith and Read, 2008).

**Length of longest root (cm) (Table 3)**

Among the rooting media, at 90 DAS the highest length of longest root (28.58 cm) was recorded by M8 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza followed by M6 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (27.50 cm) and M4 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (26.00 cm). The lowest length of longest root (16.45 cm) was recorded on M1 – soil.

The possible reason for the longest root per cutting may be due to amount of food reserves in cuttings (Jain et al., 1999).

The terminal cuttings planted in coco peat gave maximum length of root which might be due to better texture and porosity of coco peat, as it enabled the downward movement of water and nutrients (Singh et al., 2002) and lead to easy penetration of roots (Siddagangaiah et al., 1996) in the medium and also being a well-drained one promoting better rooting characters (Singh et al., 2002). Coir has a low particle density indicating its high specific surface that contributes to high adsorption of water and ions by the roots resulting in more root length (Rubasinghe et al., 2009). Norman and Edward (2005) studied the effect of vermicompost on plant growth and reported that vermicompost is a rich source of mineral nutrition and its addition to media increases quality of media by increasing microbial activity and microbial biomass which are key components in nutrient cycling and production of plant growth regulators which might result in superior length of longest root. Shirol et al., (2001) in dwarf poinsettia recorded maximum root development with vermicompost + sand (1:1), followed by vermicompost alone. Cuttings of dwarf poinsettia, highest primary root length was observed in vermicompost medium (Shirol et al., 2001). Further, the association may also increase the phytoavailability of micronutrients, *eg.*, iron, copper, zinc, manganese *etc.* as the hyphal structures permeate the soil and obtain scarce and relatively immobile elements, by releasing weak phytic acids which break the bonding between soil (positively charges ion) and cationic micro nutrients and makes it available to the plant more effectively than the root hairs of a normal non-mycorrhizal infected plant roots (Smith and Read, 2008). Thus in the present study the positive effect of cocopeat might had been supplemented by that of vermicompost in the media combinations wherever, both of them were used in 1:1 v/v proportion. However, the other components could not make significant difference by their existence in different media combinations so as to deviate from the merit of cocopeat + vermicompost mixtures.

**Fresh weight of roots per cutting (g) (Table 4)**

Among the rooting media, at 90 DAS the highest fresh weight of roots (39.43 g) was recorded by M8 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza followed by M6 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (35.38 g) and M4 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (31.73 g). The lowest fresh weight of roots (20.58 g) was recorded on M1 – soil.
Table 1: Percentage of rooted cuttings (%) influenced by rooting media among marigold varieties

| Variety Medium | Percentage of rooted cuttings (%) |
|----------------|----------------------------------|
|                | Bidhan Marigold 1 | Bidhan Marigold 2 | Mean |
| M<sub>1</sub> – soil | 90.85 | 86.71 | 88.78 |
| M<sub>2</sub> – soil + mycorrhiza | 88.35 | 93.35 | 90.85 |
| M<sub>3</sub> – soil: vermicompost (1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 92.55 | 91.72 | 92.13 |
| M<sub>4</sub> – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 95.05 | 90.85 | 92.95 |
| M<sub>5</sub> – soil: vermicompost: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 93.35 | 90.55 | 91.95 |
| M<sub>6</sub> – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 95.05 | 90.85 | 92.95 |
| M<sub>7</sub> – soil: vermicompost: saw dust (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 91.71 | 92.55 | 92.13 |
| M<sub>8</sub> – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 95.85 | 90.85 | 93.35 |
| Mean | **92.85** | **91.18** | **92.01** |

Factor: S Em± CD at 5%

 Variety (V) 0.39 1.15
 Medium (M) 0.30 0.89
 V x M 0.59 1.74
Table 2 Number of roots as influenced by rooting media among marigold varieties

| VarietyMedium | NUMBER OF ROOTS |
|---------------|----------------|
|               | at Shifting | at 30 DAS | at 60 DAS | at 90 DAS |
|               | BM 1 | BM 2 | Mean | BM 1 | BM 2 | Mean | BM 1 | BM 2 | Mean |
| M₁ – soil | 21.95 | 50.30 | **36.13** | 37.02 | 23.01 | **30.02** | 60.50 | 48.50 | **54.50** | 80.50 | 55.15 | **67.83** |
| M₂ – soil + mycorrhiza | 46.30 | 30.30 | **38.30** | 35.83 | 28.66 | **32.25** | 72.50 | 58.50 | **65.50** | 91.50 | 60.80 | **76.15** |
| M₃ – soil: vermicompost (1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 50.65 | 29.60 | **40.13** | 43.16 | 43.83 | **43.50** | 66.00 | 75.50 | **70.75** | 76.65 | 94.00 | **85.33** |
| M₄ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 48.30 | 40.00 | **44.15** | 45.33 | 47.66 | **46.50** | 132.00 | 79.00 | **105.50** | 167.30 | 85.30 | **126.30** |
| M₅ – soil: vermicompost: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 33.95 | 46.65 | **40.30** | 36.33 | 43.83 | **43.16** | 73.00 | 75.00 | **74.00** | 92.30 | 83.30 | **87.80** |
| M₆ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 59.95 | 34.50 | **47.23** | 47.49 | 51.83 | **49.66** | 146.00 | 85.00 | **115.50** | 178.00 | 92.30 | **135.15** |
| M₇ – soil: vermicompost: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 45.80 | 39.50 | **42.65** | 40.16 | 49.66 | **44.91** | 106.00 | 66.50 | **86.25** | 143.35 | 76.50 | **109.93** |
| M₈ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 68.00 | 35.10 | **51.55** | 43.66 | 56.66 | **50.16** | 145.00 | 125.00 | **135.00** | 166.50 | 149.20 | **157.85** |
| Mean | **46.86** | **38.24** | **42.55** | **43.00** | **42.21** | **42.60** | **100.13** | **76.63** | **88.38** | **124.51** | **87.07** | **105.79** |

Factor

| Factor | S Em+ | CD at 5% | S Em+ | CD at 5% | S Em+ | CD at 5% |
|--------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| Variety (V) | 2.03 | 5.95 | 0.18 | 0.54 | 5.53 | 16.24 |
| Medium (M) | 1.00 | 2.93 | 1.50 | 4.40 | 5.55 | 16.28 |
| V x M | 2.96 | 8.68 | 2.20 | 6.47 | 7.97 | 23.38 |
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**Table 3** Length of longest root as influenced by rooting media among marigold varieties

| Variety Medium                                                                 | LENGTH OF LONGEST ROOT | at Shifting | at 30 DAS | at 60 DAS | at 90 DAS |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
|                                                                                  |                        | B M 1       | B M 2     | Mean      | B M 1     | B M 2     | Mean      | B M 1     | B M 2     | Mean      | B M 1     | B M 2     | Mean      |
| M₁ – soil                                                                       |                        | 8.35        | 6.30      | **7.33**  | 14.89     | 11.51     | **13.20** | 14.90     | 12.00     | **13.45** | 18.30     | 14.60     | **16.45** |
| M₂ – soil + mycorrhiza                                                           |                        | 8.20        | 7.00      | **7.60**  | 18.11     | 13.49     | **15.80** | 12.75     | 14.25     | **13.50** | 16.94     | 23.60     | **20.27** |
| M₃ – soil: vermicompost (1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza                                   |                        | 7.60        | 9.25      | **8.43**  | 22.11     | 13.11     | **17.61** | 14.20     | 14.15     | **14.18** | 24.90     | 18.00     | **21.45** |
| M₄ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza                     |                        | 7.40        | 11.45     | **9.43**  | 31.08     | 27.99     | **29.54** | 19.85     | 14.00     | **16.93** | 34.70     | 17.30     | **26.00** |
| M₅ – soil: vermicompost: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza                     |                        | 9.25        | 7.90      | **8.58**  | 34.78     | 18.11     | **26.45** | 19.65     | 12.40     | **16.03** | 24.00     | 23.15     | **23.58** |
| M₆ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza          |                        | 10.00       | 9.15      | **9.58**  | 38.75     | 25.51     | **32.13** | 19.90     | 16.90     | **18.40** | 31.00     | 24.00     | **27.50** |
| M₇ – soil: vermicompost: saw dust (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza                      |                        | 10.30       | 7.50      | **8.90**  | 37.49     | 17.16     | **27.33** | 18.40     | 13.85     | **16.13** | 33.65     | 16.75     | **25.20** |
| M₈ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza           |                        | 13.00       | 8.30      | **10.65** | 33.01     | 33.11     | **33.06** | 20.50     | 24.25     | **22.38** | 25.00     | 32.15     | **28.58** |
| Mean                                                                            |                        | **9.26**    | **8.36**  | **8.81**  | **28.77** | **19.99** | **24.39** | **17.52** | **15.23** | **16.37** | **26.06** | **21.19** | **23.63** |

**Factor**

|                  | S Em⁺ CD at 5% | S Em⁺ CD at 5% | S Em⁺ CD at 5% | S Em⁺ CD at 5% |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Variety (V)      | 0.21           | 0.62           | 2.06           | 6.06           |
| Medium (M)       | 0.21           | 0.63           | 1.54           | 4.54           |
| V x M            | 0.43           | 1.27           | 2.35           | 6.91           |
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Table 4 Fresh weight of roots per cutting (g) as influenced by rooting media in marigold varieties

| Variety Medium | Fresh weight of roots per cutting (g) at Shifting | at 30 DAS | at 60 DAS | at 90 DAS |
|----------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
|                | B M 1 | B M 2 | Mean   | B M 1 | B M 2 | Mean | B M 1 | B M 2 | Mean | B M 1 | B M 2 | Mean |
| M₁ – soil      | 0.70  | 0.90  | 0.80   | 0.99  | 1.08  | 1.04 | 9.25  | 12.05 | 10.65 | 14.51 | 26.65 | 20.58 |
| M₂ – soil + mycorrhiza | 1.20  | 0.60  | 0.90   | 1.91  | 2.38  | 2.15 | 14.60 | 9.75  | 12.18 | 33.55 | 14.80 | 24.18 |
| M₃ – soil: vermicompost (1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 1.20  | 0.70  | 0.95   | 2.49  | 2.49  | 2.50 | 15.95 | 11.45 | 13.70 | 28.05 | 23.20 | 25.63 |
| M₄ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 1.30  | 0.90  | 1.10   | 7.41  | 7.75  | 7.58 | 28.55 | 8.80  | 18.68 | 23.05 | 40.40 | 31.73 |
| M₅ – soil: vermicompost: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 1.30  | 0.70  | 1.00   | 3.58  | 4.08  | 3.83 | 28.15 | 7.55  | 17.85 | 35.02 | 18.05 | 26.54 |
| M₆ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 1.40  | 0.90  | 1.15   | 8.24  | 7.41  | 7.83 | 51.05 | 9.15  | 30.10 | 45.25 | 25.50 | 35.38 |
| M₇ – soil: vermicompost: saw dust (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 1.50  | 0.60  | 1.05   | 4.74  | 4.81  | 4.78 | 29.90 | 7.40  | 18.65 | 37.55 | 21.00 | 29.28 |
| M₈ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 1.50  | 0.90  | 1.20   | 9.16  | 6.74  | 7.96 | 56.80 | 31.90 | 44.35 | 38.05 | 40.80 | 39.43 |
| Mean           | 1.26  | 0.77  | 1.02   | 4.81  | 4.59  | 4.71 | 29.28 | 12.26 | 20.77 | 31.87 | 26.30 | 29.09 |

Factor

| Factor | S Em+ | CD at 5% | S Em+ | CD at 5% | S Em+ | CD at 5% |
|--------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| Variety (V) | 0.06  | 0.17     | 0.052 | 0.15     | 4.01  | 11.76    |
| Medium (M)  | 0.10  | 0.30     | 0.556 | 1.63     | 2.25  | 6.60     |
| V x M       | 0.13  | 0.40     | 0.68  | 2.00     | 3.89  | 11.43    |
**Table 5** Dry weight of roots (g) per cutting as influenced by rooting media among marigold varieties

| Variety Medium | Dry weight of roots per cutting (g) |  |
|----------------|-----------------------------------|--|
|                | at Shifting | at 30 DAS | at 60 DAS | at 90 DAS |
|                | B M 1 | B M 2 | Mean | B M 1 | B M 2 | Mean | B M 1 | B M 2 | Mean |
| M1 – soil      | 0.17  | 0.15  | **0.16** | 0.25  | 0.29  | **0.28** | 5.25  | 3.70  | **4.48** | 11.31 | 7.25  | **9.28** |
| M2 – soil + mycorrhiza | 0.19  | 0.14  | **0.17** | 0.74  | 0.64  | **0.69** | 5.75  | 5.47  | **5.61** | 11.52 | 12.10 | **11.81** |
| M3 – soil: vermicompost (1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 0.21  | 0.15  | **0.18** | 0.79  | 0.62  | **0.71** | 9.00  | 4.30  | **6.65** | 14.64 | 11.00 | **12.82** |
| M4 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 0.24  | 0.21  | **0.23** | 1.82  | 0.85  | **1.34** | 12.00 | 3.30  | **7.65** | 19.54 | 12.60 | **16.07** |
| M5 – soil: vermicompost: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 0.21  | 0.16  | **0.19** | 1.68  | 0.54  | **1.11** | 7.75  | 6.75  | **7.25** | 14.64 | 16.50 | **15.57** |
| M6 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 0.26  | 0.25  | **0.26** | 1.73  | 1.73  | **1.73** | 13.75 | 6.55  | **10.15** | 23.54 | 12.00 | **17.77** |
| M7 – soil: vermicompost: saw dust (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 0.24  | 0.16  | **0.20** | 0.94  | 0.62  | **0.78** | 7.15  | 6.70  | **6.93** | 15.68 | 13.30 | **14.49** |
| M8 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza | 0.21  | 0.24  | **0.23** | 2.29  | 0.58  | **1.44** | 4.50  | 11.30 | **7.90** | 23.54 | 11.80 | **17.67** |
| Mean           | **0.21** | **0.18** | **0.20** | **1.28** | **0.73** | **1.01** | **8.02** | **5.78** | **6.90** | **16.80** | **12.27** | **14.44** |

| Factor | S Em+ | CD at 5% | S Em+ | CD at 5% | S Em+ | CD at 5% | S Em+ | CD at 5% |
|--------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|-------|----------|
| Variety (V) | - | NS | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.52 | 1.54 | 1.11 | 3.27 |
| Medium (M) | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 1.11 | 0.59 | 1.74 |
| V x M | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.45 | 0.79 | 2.32 | 1.12 | 3.29 |
### Table 6 Survival percentage of rooted cuttings (%) as influenced by rooting media among marigold varieties

| Variety Medium | Survival percentage of rooted cuttings (%) | B M 1 | B M 2 | Mean |
|----------------|------------------------------------------|-------|-------|------|
| M₁ – soil      |                                          | 92.55 | 72.54 | 82.54|
| M₂ – soil + mycorrhiza |                                      | 92.55 | 77.52 | 85.03|
| M₃ – soil: vermicompost (1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza |                                   | 86.72 | 85.41 | 86.06|
| M₄ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza |                                 | 90.85 | 90.25 | 90.55|
| M₅ – soil: vermicompost: rice husk (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza |                                | 93.35 | 82.54 | 87.94|
| M₆ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza |                           | 91.76 | 90.12 | 90.94|
| M₇ – soil: vermicompost: saw dust (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza |                               | 90.85 | 85.24 | 88.04|
| M₈ – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza |                             | 95.71 | 94.85 | 95.78|
| Mean           |                                          | 91.19 | 85.54 | 83.79|

Factor: S Em⁺ CD at 5%

| Variety (V) | Medium (M) | V X M |
|-------------|------------|-------|
| 0.73        | 1.02       | 1.34  |
| 2.12        | 2.98       | 3.91  |
The maximum fresh weight of roots was recorded by the terminal cuttings planted in coco peat based media which might be due to better aeration and drainage conditions and water maintenance capability (Khayyat et al., 2007).

Presence of vermicompost acted as synergistic factor along with coco peat, which might be due to the reason that vermicompost being a rich source of macro and micronutrients enhanced the availability of these nutrients in an easily available form.

Earthworm castings are known to be rich source of growth promoting substances viz., growth hormones, enzymes, antibiotics and vitamins (Bawalkar, 1992). The enriched food and nutrient status of the rooting media and consequent development of conducting tissues could have facilitated greater uptake of water and thus leading to a higher fresh weight of roots in mycorrhizal inoculated media combinations especially in coco peat + vermicompost based mixtures which could have complemented each other. Similar observations were made in gladiolus by Meenakshi et al., (2015).

Dry weight of roots per cutting (g) (Table 5)

Among the rooting media, at 90 DAS the highest dry weight of roots (17.77 g) was recorded by M6 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza which was on par with M8 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (17.67 g) and M4 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (16.07 g) but significantly superior to M3 – soil: vermicompost (1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (12.82 g). The lowest dry weight of roots (9.28 g) was recorded on M1 – soil. A high dry weight of roots might be due to a high value of corresponding fresh weight which might in turn due to a large number of sprouted roots that survived and grew longer up to 90 days after shifting.

Terminal cuttings planted in coco peat based media combinations recorded the maximum dry weight of roots which could be attributed to more number and length of roots. Bano et al., (1987) reported that vermicompost is a rich source of plant nutrients (N, P2O5, and K2O), secondary elements (Ca and Mg) and vital micronutrients like Fe, B, Zn and Mo, which directly or indirectly enhanced dry weight of roots (Meenakshi et al., 2015).

Survival percentage of rooted cuttings (%) (Table 6)

Among the rooting media, the maximum survival of rooted cuttings (95.78%) was recorded by M8 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: saw dust (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza on par with M6 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat: rice husk (1:1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (90.94%) and M4 – soil: vermicompost: coco peat (1:1:1) v/v + mycorrhiza (90.55%). The minimum percentage of rooted cuttings (82.54%) was recorded on M1 – soil.

The cuttings planted in coco peat media combinations gave the highest survival percentage which might be due to its corresponding merit in root and shoot growth and sustenance over a period of time. The advantages with coco peat might be due to incorporation of coarser material that would improve the aeration status of medium (Awang et al., 2009). Aeration is necessary for the gaseous exchange between the soil and atmosphere to remove CO2 released by roots and microorganisms in the soil to external atmosphere and supply of O2 from the external atmosphere to the growing roots leading to better respiration and survival of plants (Jeyaseeli and Paul, 2010). Existence of vermicompost in rooting media could have
enriched it with plant nutrients (N, P₂O₅, and K₂O), secondary elements (Ca and Mg) and vital micronutrients like Fe, B, Zn and Mo, having direct and indirect role in various metabolic activities. Further, the mycorhizal presence might have stimulated greater development of conducting tissues which facilitated more uptake of water and finally these facts might had led to a greater survival of rooted cuttings as also observed in gladiolus by Meenakshi et al., (2015). Existence of cocopeat + vermicompost was found to show synergistic and significant influence as compared to the other components like rice husk and saw dust because the treatments were found to be meritorious with the cocopeat + vermicompost only as compared to the other mixtures irrespective of whether the rice husk or saw dust were present or not.
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