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Abstract

This data article is related to the recently published article ‘20.8% industrial PERC solar cell: ALD Al₂O₃ rear surface passivation, efficiency loss mechanisms analysis and roadmap to 24%’ (Huang et al., 2017) [1]. This paper is about passivated emitter and rear cell (PERC) structures and it describes the quality of the Al₂O₃ rear-surface passivation layer deposited by atomic layer deposition (ALD), in relation to the processing parameters (e.g. pre-clean treatment, deposition temperature, growth per cycle, and film thickness) and to the cell efficiency loss mechanisms. This dataset is made public in order to contribute to the limited available public data on industrial PERC cells, to be used by other researchers.

© 2017 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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| Specifications Table |
|-----------------------|
| **Subject area** | Physics |
| **More specific subject area** | Silicon solar cells, device simulations |
| **Type of data** | Figures, tables, graphs, spectra |
| **How data was acquired** | Effective minority carrier lifetime, implied V_{oc}, pseudo I-V and illumination-V_{oc} curves by Sinton WCT-120 Suns-V_{oc} tester [2]; I-V curves and cell performances of the Si solar cell by HALM I-V tester or at Fraunhofer ISE; Thickness and refractive index of dielectric films by Suntech ellipsometer; Fixed negative charges (Q_f) and interface defect density (D_{it}) by Semilab SDI PV2000 [3]; Cross-sectional EDS analysis with Vantage-100 EDS instrument from Thermo Electron Corporation; Silicon solar cell series resistance components calculated using Meier’s method [4]; Resistance in the sub-cell array measured by grid resistance tester; Contact resistance measured by grid resistance tester based on the transmission line model [5]. |
| **Data format** | Raw and analyzed |
| **Experimental factors** | The wafer samples for lifetime, film thickness, refractive index, Q_f, and D_{it} were treated in NaOH solution to remove saw damage and cleaned in HCl and HF before the ALD Al_2O_3 process. PERC cells were processed from B-doped, Czochralski-grown, pseudo-square, 6″ wafers with 190 μm thickness. The processing flow is: saw damage removal (NaOH) with random-pyramid texturing, POCl_3 diffusion (front n^+ emitter, 90 Ω/square sheet resistance), edge isolation with rear side polishing (HNO_3–HF–H_2SO_4 solution), ALD Al_2O_3 and PECVD SiNx rear side passivation stacks, local patterning with laser ablation (532 nm, ps-laser), screen printing and co-firing for front (Ag/n^+–Si ohmic contact) and rear side metallization (Al local back surface field and local Al/p^+–Si Ohmic contact). For cell measurements, the completed solar cells were tested before light-induced degradation after storage in a nitrogen gas cabinet. Cross-sectional SEM/EDS analysis on the local Al–Si rear contact areas was done on three cross sections along the (110) crystallographic orientation with an angle of 45° with respect to the local line contacts at the rear. The focus is on ozone (O_3) and Al(CH_3)_3 trimethylaluminium (TMA) based thermal ALD process, implemented by Beneq’s industrial P8000 batch ALD tool. Temperature is 200 °C, Al_2O_3/SiNx thickness ratio is 10 nm/100 nm, deposition pressure is 150–500 Pa, rapid thermal processing (RTP) firing is at 760 °C peak temperature in compressed air for 2–3 s. The effective minority carrier lifetime and implied V_{oc} measurements were carried out with the generalized mode, 5 · 10^{15} cm^{-3} injection level and optical constant of 0.7 for Si (100) surfaces and 0.85–0.95 for Al_2O_3/SiNx stack. ALD Al_2O_3 process pressure and post-ALD anneal temperature and time were monitored by the process tool. |
| **Data source location** | (1) China Sunergy, No.123. Focheng West Road, Jiangning Zone, Nanjing, Jiangsu, 211100, China (2) Aalto University, Department of Micro and Nanosciences, Tietotie 3, 02150 Espoo, Finland |
| **Data accessibility** | Data is within this article and in Ref. [1] |
Value of the data

- The data on the quality of the atomic layer deposition (ALD) Al₂O₃ rear surface passivation and on front Ag metallization pattern design provides a valuable dataset on the current industrial PERC structure and potentials, which can be used by researchers for the optimization of the current cell processes.
- The interplay between different parameters provides an overall description of the Al₂O₃ rear surface passivation process which leads to the optimal final passivation quality.
- The analysis on the loss mechanisms gives a relevant indication of the relative importance of different processing parameters via the calculation of the series resistance for the various components of the PERC cell.
- The data presented is collected from an extensive database from industrial PERC cells, and can thus be used by other researchers as a benchmark for industrial performances.

1. Data

The dataset of this paper provides additional information to Ref. [1]. The rear surface passivation quality in relation to the ALD Al₂O₃ processing parameters is reported in Figs. 1–5, the PERC cell properties are reported in Figs. 6–8 and Table 1, and the metal contact design pattern and parameters are reported in Table 2.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

The procedure prior to the minority carrier lifetime measurements on the ALD Al₂O₃ rear surface passivation is described in detail in Fig. 1 in Ref. [1] and the most relevant aspects are reported here. All samples underwent saw damage removal and pre-ALD clean, followed by ALD Al₂O₃ deposition with varying parameters. Post-ALD anneal was performed on most of the samples, and then followed by plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) of the SiNx capping layer. Afterwards, since lifetime cannot be measured after metallization, the samples were divided into two groups; one group directly underwent rapid thermal processing (RTP) firing, whereas a second group first underwent screen-printing of Al paste, then RTP firing followed by Al paste removal. All the samples were then measured under similar parameters.

The interface defect density (Dᵢₑ), the negative fixed charges (Qᵢ) and open circuit voltage (Vₜₗ) as a function of the pre-ALD clean parameters are reported in Fig. 1. SC1 and SC2 pre-clean can achieve the best passivation quality (both regarding Dᵢₑ and Vₜₗ). The pre-ALD clean does not affect the amount of Qᵢ. Note that in the industrial PERC cell process, edge isolation and rear side polishing prior to the Al₂O₃ process normally terminate with HCl–HF clean sub-step.

![Fig. 1. Dᵢₑ and Qᵢ (a) and Vₜₗ (b) as a function of pre-ALD clean for Al₂O₃ passivation.](attachment://image.png)
The effect of the ALD process temperature for Al₂O₃ passivation on effective minority carrier lifetime and PERC cell performance (as Voc and cell efficiency (ηcell)) is reported in Fig. 2, while the effect on growth rate and refractive index (n_k) of the dielectric layer is shown in Fig. 3. Our data show that the optimal temperature for passivation (in relation to both the effective lifetime and ηcell) is in the range of 200–240 °C, whereas the passivation level drops sharply at 275 °C. Note also that the process window between 160 and 240 °C is broad. In addition, the growth rate begins to decrease for temperatures above 200 °C. The refractive index is relatively low below 200 °C (n_k = 1.57), and it is stable (n_k = 1.63–1.65) between 200 and 400 °C. The optimal temperature for growth rate and refractive index is also around 200 °C.

Fig. 4 shows the passivation quality as effective minority carrier lifetime, PERC cell performance (Voc and ηcell), Diit and Qf as a function of the Al₂O₃ film thickness. Effective minority lifetime and cell performance gradually increase with thickness increasing from 3.5 to 16 nm, where the optimal thickness is ~10 nm. When the film thickness increases from 3.5 to 16 nm, cell performances and Diit gradually improve, while Qf is at the same level. Note that even a thin Al₂O₃ film of about 3.5 nm can work well on PERC cell (Voc ≈ 652 mV and ηcell ≈ 20.3%).

SEM/energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) analysis on the effect of voids on the local back surface field (LBSF) rear local contact was performed and is shown in Fig. 5. Note that the spectra in the figure are from the Al-Si eutectic, the Al LBSF and the Si substrate, respectively. The detailed interpretation of the differences among the spectra is given in Ref. [1]. The thickness of the Al LBSF layer is ~10 μm, thus revealing that the junction depth is deeper than 10 μm.

Representative examples of industrial PERC cell performances are reported in Figs. 6, 7. The certified current-voltage (I-V) curve and cell performance of one SiO₂-PERC cell was measured at
Fraunhofer ISE in July 2013 under standard test conditions (see Fig. 6). Note that the key process in this structure is rear surface passivation with thermal oxidation and dielectric opening with Merck’s chemical paste. Fig. 7 shows a typical example of the cell efficiency of an average

![Graph showing effective minority lifetime](image)

![Graph showing Voc and ηcell](image)

![Graph showing Dit and Qf](image)

**Fig. 4.** Effective minority carrier lifetime (a), Voc and ηcell (b), Dit and Qf (c) as a function of Al₂O₃ film thickness for Al₂O₃ passivation.

![Graph showing Al-Si contact areas](image)

**Fig. 5.** Cross-sectional EDS analysis of the Al-Si contact areas (Fig. 14-c and Table 4 in Ref. [1]). Here, point 1 (pt1): Al-Si alloy, point 2 (pt2): Al LBSF, and point 3 (pt3): Si substrate.

Fraunhofer ISE in July 2013 under standard test conditions (see Fig. 6). Note that the key process in this structure is rear surface passivation with thermal oxidation and dielectric opening with Merck’s chemical paste. Fig. 7 shows a typical example of the cell efficiency of an average
Fig. 6. Cell performance and I-V curve of one SiO₂-PERC cell example (before light induced degradation) tested by Fraunhofer ISE in July 2013 (under Standard Test Condition of global AM1.5, 1000 W m⁻², 25 °C).

- Mismatch factor: 0.9892
- Area (A): 239.62 cm²

IV-curve parameter under standard testing conditions (STC):
- \( V_{OC} \) (Ed.2 - 2008): 662.8 mV
- \( J_{SC} \): 9.40 A
- \( I_{SC} \): 39.74 mA/cm²
- \( I_{MPPT} \): 8.79 A
- \( V_{MPPT} \): 552.2 mV
- \( P_{MPPT} \): 4.855 W
- FF: 77.91%
- \( \eta \): 20.26%

For comparison purposes:
- Calculated value according to previous standard spectrum: \( I_{SC} \) (Ed.1 - 1989): 9.33 A

Fig. 7. The scatter diagram of the industrial Al₂O₃ PERC cell performance (≈2000 PERC cells/batch, before light induced degradation).

Fig. 8. Suns-V_{oc} measurement of the PERC cell sample A (Table 5 in Ref. [1]): pseudo I-V curve (left) and illumination-V_{oc} (right).
The industrial batch of China Sunergy’s Al2O3 PERC cell, tested in house under standard conditions (i.e., the same I-V test criteria used as Fraunhofer ISE).

An example of a representative Al2O3 PERC cell (sample A) measured by illumination-Voc is shown in Fig. 8, where the sample was tested before light-induced degradation (LID).

The series resistance (Rs) of the industrial Al2O3 PERC cell is shown in Table 1, calculated according to Meier’s method [4]. This calculation method is based on the measurement of Rs components in a sub-cell (the measurement array), shown as key measurement in Table 1. The resistance in the different regions of the sub-cell array was measured by the grid resistance tester, while the specific contact resistance was measured by the grid resistance tester based on the transmission line model (TLM) method [5]. In addition, Table 2 provides the basic information of the design pattern of the front Ag contact, which can support the calculation of Table 1. The detailed interpretation of the calculation results can be found in Ref. [1].

| Description            | Key measurement                   | Measured value | Specific resistance (Ω cm²) | resistance (mΩ) | Fraction   |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------|
| 1. Front Ag busbar     | Resistance length of busbar       | 0.098          | 0.0073                    | 0.0299          | 1.22%      |
| 2. Rear AgAl busbar    | Similar to front busbar           | estimated      | 0.0040                    | 0.0165          | 0.67%      |
| 3. Front Ag grid       | Busbar-to-busbar resistance       | 0.055 Ω        | 0.2197                    | 0.9070          | 36.82%     |
| 4. Rear Al metallization| $R_{\text{sheet,metal}}$               | 0.009 Ω/□      | 0.0419                    | 0.1730          | 7.02%      |
| 5. Front n⁺ emitter    | $R_{\text{sheet,emitter}}$                    | 90 Ω/□         | 0.1971                    | 0.8137          | 33.03%     |
| 6. Rear Si substrate lateral spreading resistance | $R_{\text{sheet,Si substrate}}$ | 121 Ω/□      | 0.0746                    | 0.3081          | 12.51%     |
| 7. Front Ag/n⁻Si contact | TLM measurement [5]                               | $R_{\text{spec,contact}}$: 3.1 mΩ cm² | 0.0161 | 0.0665          | 2.70%      |
| 8. Rear Al/p⁻Si contact | TLM measurement [5] | Specific contact resistance, estimated | 0.0030 | 0.0124          | 0.50%      |
| 9. p⁻Si substrate      | Resistivity, thickness of wafer    | 2 Ω cm, 165 μm | 0.0330 | 0.1362          | 5.53%      |
| $R_s$-Total            |                                   | 0.5967         | 2.4635                    | 100%            |            |

Table 2
The basic information of the design pattern of the front Ag contact of the Al2O3 industrial PERC cell in this data article.

| Key parameters | Description                        | Value |
|----------------|------------------------------------|-------|
| n-front Ag     | the number of front grid           | 96    |
| b-front Ag     | half of the length between each    | 0.081 cm |
|                | adjacent front Ag grid             |       |
| Front Ag finger| width/height                       | 50 μm/20 μm |
| n-rear Al      | 180, line array                    | ~30 μm |
| b-rear Al      | half of the length between each    | 0.043 cm |
|                | adjacent rear Al line              |       |
| Front busbar   | busbar width                       | 0.08 cm |
| (5 busbar)     |                                    |       |
| Front Ag metal |                                    | 5.65% |
| fraction       |                                    |       |
| Rear Al metal fraction |                                    | 3.25% |
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