Co-ingestion of glutamine and leucine synergistically promotes mTORC1 activation
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Leucine (Leu) regulates protein synthesis and degradation via activation of mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1). Glutamine (Gln) synergistically promotes mTORC1 activation with Leu via glutaminolysis and Leu absorption via an antiporter. However, Gln has also been shown to inhibit mTORC1 activity. To resolve this paradox, we aimed to elucidate the effects of Gln on Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation. We administered Leu, Gln, tryptophan, Leu + Gln, or Leu + tryptophan to mice after 24-h fasting. The mice were then administered puromycin to evaluate protein synthesis and the gastrocnemius muscle was harvested 30 min later. Phosphorylated eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1, 70-kDa ribosomal protein S6 kinase 1, and Unc-51 like kinase 1 levels were the highest in the Leu + Gln group and significantly increased compared with those in the control group; however, Gln alone did not increase the levels of phosphorylated proteins. No difference in glutamate dehydrogenase activity was observed between the groups. Leu concentrations in the gastrocnemius muscle were similar in the Leu-intake groups. Our study highlights a novel mechanism underlying the promotive effect of Gln on Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation, providing insights into the pathway through which amino acids regulate muscle protein metabolism.

Skeletal muscle mass is integral for locomotion and is a regulator of whole-body metabolism. Skeletal muscle is a locomotive organ, and decline in skeletal muscle mass is associated with decreased body movement, i.e., activities of daily living, and quality of life. Moreover, a decline in the skeletal muscle mass adversely affects metabolism because the skeletal muscles serve as a reserve of energy in the fasting state for other tissues in the form of amino acids and also increase the basal metabolic rate. As these muscles are responsible for three-quarters of total glucose uptake, mass reduction decreases their blood glucose uptake, which in turn increases blood glucose levels, eventually leading to the development of diabetes. Thus, elucidating the mechanisms underlying the regulation of skeletal muscle mass is crucial.

Generally, skeletal muscle mass increases when protein synthesis exceeds protein degradation, and dietary proteins and amino acids promote protein synthesis and suppress protein degradation via autophagy. The effect of amino acids on protein synthesis has been demonstrated in studies in rodents, pigs, and humans. The effect is mediated, at least partly, by mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1), which is a serine/threonine kinase and phosphorylates eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding protein 1 (4EBP1) and 70-kDa ribosomal protein S6 kinase 1 (S6K1). 4EBP1 binds to eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E) and regulates translation initiation by inhibiting binding of eIF4E to eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4G (eIF4G), thereby preventing the formation of the active eIF4E complex, which is crucial for initiation of translation. However, phosphorylation of 4EBP1 by mTORC1 results in the release of eIF4E from the inactive eIF4E-4EBP1 complex, thereby promoting translation. In contrast, S6K1 is activated by phosphorylation and subsequently phosphorylates ribosomal protein S6, a component of the 40S ribosomal subunit, and eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (eIF4E). eIF4E promotes the helicase activity of eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A, which unwinds hairpin structures in the 5′-untranslated region.

The effect of amino acids on protein degradation is mediated by the mTORC1-regulated autophagy–lysosome pathway. Autophagy is known to be induced by amino acid starvation. During amino acid-sufficient conditions, unc-51 like kinase 1 (ULK1) is phosphorylated and inactivated by mTORC1, which negatively regulates autophagy. During amino acid starvation, mTORC1 dissociates from the ULK1 complex, and subsequently, autophagosome formation is initiated by the ULK1 complex. The cytosolic microtubule-associated protein light chain 3 (LC3-I) is converted to the membrane-associated phosphatidylethanolamine-conjugated LC3 (LC3-II), which is necessary for autophagosome formation.
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Researchers have proposed several mechanisms underlying mTORC1 activation by the amino acids leucine (Leu)\textsuperscript{26–30}, arginine\textsuperscript{31}, methionine\textsuperscript{32}, and glutamine (Gln)\textsuperscript{27,33}, especially Leu. Among the proposed mechanisms for Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation, one is glutaminolysis via glutamate (Glu) dehydrogenase (GDH), which is activated by direct binding of Leu, which in turn promotes mTORC1 activation. Glutaminolysis involves two deamination steps: (1) glutaminase-catalyzed deamination of Gln to Glu, and (2) GDH-catalyzed conversion of Glu to 2-oxoglutarate, which activates mTORC1. In addition to GDH and 2-oxoglutarate-mediated mTORC1 activation, intracellular Gln promotes extracellular Leu absorption via bidirectional transport by the solute carrier family 7 member 5/solute carrier family 3 member 2 (SLC7A5/SLC3A2) heterodimeric bidirectional antipporter, as demonstrated by Nicklin et al.\textsuperscript{34}; this is the rate-limiting step in mTORC1 activation. Thus, Gln may play an important role in the promotion of Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation.

In contrast, Gln synthetase was reported to inhibit mTORC1 activity and translocation of mTORC1 to the lysosomal membrane, the site of active mTORC1 localization\textsuperscript{34}. Additionally, the same study revealed that Gln can inhibit mTORC1 activity and subsequently induce autophagy, as assessed by LC3 lipification, i.e., LC3-II levels.

As described above, in vitro studies have reported contrasting findings about the role of Gln in mTORC1 activation. Thus, in the present study, we attempted to clarify whether co-ingestion of Gln promoted or suppressed Leu-induced mTORC1 activation in skeletal muscles. The findings of this study may help in the development of evidence-based nutritional approaches for preventing and treating muscle-related diseases, particularly sarcopenia, an aging-related disorder characterized by decreased skeletal muscle mass and function that is becoming a socially critical disease of the elderly.

**Results**

**Effect of oral co-ingestion of Leu and Gln on the mTORC1 pathway and protein synthesis.**

To determine the effect of oral co-ingestion of Leu and Gln on the mTORC1 pathway and protein synthesis, we analyzed the phosphorylation of 4EBP1 and S6K1 after administration of amino acids, followed by intraperitoneal injection of puromycin, which is a protein synthesis inhibitor that is incorporated into nascent peptide chains and causes premature termination\textsuperscript{35}. Therefore, during active protein synthesis, large amounts of puromycin-incorporated peptides can be detected by western blot analysis. The ratio of γ 4EBP1/total 4EBP1 was evaluated to analyze the effect of oral co-ingestion of Leu and Gln on the mTORC1 pathway. The γ form of 4EBP1 is the most phosphorylated form, exhibits the slowest mobility in SDS–PAGE, and cannot bind to eIF4E\textsuperscript{36}. Moreover, bound 4EBP1 tends to dissociate from the inactive eIF4E-4EBP1 complex upon phosphorylation of 4EBP1, resulting in promotion of translation. As shown in Fig. 1a, the γ 4EBP1/total 4EBP1 ratio in the three Leu-intake groups (Leu, Leu + Gln, and Leu + Trp) was markedly higher than that in the Cont group and was significantly higher in the Leu + Gln group than in the Cont group (p < 0.05). Although Gln has been reported to increase mTORC1 and promotes extracellular Leu absorption via phosphorylating autophagy-related protein 1325. Leu suppresses autophagy via activation of the level of phosphorylated S6K1 at Thr389, the mTORC1-dependent phosphorylation site\textsuperscript{37}, also increased in groups (Leu, Leu + Gln, and Leu + Trp) was markedly higher than that in the Cont group and was significantly resulting in promotion of translation. As shown in Fig. 1b, the γ 4EBP1/total 4EBP1 ratio in the three Leu-intake groups and a marked increase was noted in the Leu + Gln group (Fig. 1b). Consistent with the above observation in the γ 4EBP1/total 4EBP1 ratio, Gln alone had no effect on S6K1 phosphorylation. Although the level of puromycin incorporation showed a trend similar to the γ 4EBP1/total 4EBP1 ratio and S6K1 phosphorylation, it did not significantly differ in any of the groups when compared to that in the Cont group (Fig. 2).

**Effect of oral co-ingestion of Leu and Gln on the autophagy–lysosome pathway.**

ULK1 initiates autophagy by phosphorylating autophagy-related protein 1\textsuperscript{1325}. Leu suppresses autophagy via activation of mTORC1 and promotes the subsequent phosphorylation of ULK1 by mTORC1. In contrast, van der Vos et al.\textsuperscript{34} reported that Gln inhibits mTORC1 activity and activates the autophagy pathway. During autophagosome formation, LC3-I is lipidated with phosphatidylethanolamine to form LC3-II, and the conversion rate (LC3-II/LC3-I) is used as the indicator of autophagosome formation\textsuperscript{38}. In mammals, there are at least seven orthologs of LC3, of which LC3-B is well studied and widely accepted as a marker for assessment of autophagy\textsuperscript{39}. Therefore, to clarify the effect of co-ingestion of Leu and Gln on the autophagy–lysosome pathway, we measured the levels of phosphorylated ULK1 and LC3B-II/I. As shown in Fig. 3, there were no differences in the levels of phosphorylated ULK1 and LC3B-II/I between the groups. However, levels of phosphorylated ULK1 were similar to those of 4EBP1 and S6K1 (Fig. 1), suggesting that Gln and Leu synergistically promote mTORC1 activation. Moreover, although no difference was observed among the groups, the ratio of LC3B-II/I appeared to correspond to the change in phosphorylated ULK1 levels (Fig. 3b), suggesting that ingested Gln does not promote autophagy, which is in contrast to the findings of van der Vos et al.\textsuperscript{34}.

**Effect of amino acids on GDH activity.**

One of the proposed mechanisms of mTORC1 activation by Leu is GDH activation via direct binding of Leu\textsuperscript{40,41}. However, there were no significant differences in GDH activity among the groups (Fig. 4). This finding suggests that Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation observed in the present study was not related to GDH activation.

**Effect of amino acid administration on amino acid concentrations in the gastrocnemius muscle.**

It has been reported that intracellular Gln promotes extracellular Leu absorption via a bidirectional antipporter, which is the rate-limiting step in mTORC1 activation\textsuperscript{34}. Therefore, to clarify the effect of co-ingestion of Gln on Leu absorption, we measured amino acid concentrations in the gastrocnemius (Fig. 5). Contrary to our expectations, Leu concentration in the Leu + Gln group (2664 ± 606 nmol/g tissue) was not significantly higher than that in the Leu (3090 ± 841 nmol/g tissue) and Leu + Trp (3182 ± 1244 nmol/g tissue) groups, suggesting that the observed levels of phosphorylation of 4EBP1, S6K1, and ULK1 were not due to the effect of promotion...
of Leu absorption by Gln. In addition to the Leu concentration in the Leu, Leu + Gln, and Leu + Trp groups, the Gln concentration in the Gln and Leu + Gln groups, and Trp concentration in the Trp and Leu + Trp groups were elevated when compared to those in other groups in which the respective amino acid was not administered. These results indicated that the administration of Leu, Gln, and Trp increases their respective concentrations in

Figure 1. Changes in phosphorylation of 4EBP1 (a) and S6K1 at Thr389 (b) in the gastrocnemius muscle of mice administered 0.2% xanthan gum solution (Cont), leucine (L), glutamine (Q), tryptophan (W), leucine + glutamine (LQ), or leucine + tryptophan (LW) by gavage after 24 h fasting. On sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, 4EBP1 separated into multiple bands according to phosphorylation status. The highly phosphorylated form, γ form, exhibited the slowest mobility. Total 4EBP1 was calculated as the sum of the three bands. Densitometry results are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation (SD) (n = 5). a,b Mean values denoted by different letters represent significant differences between the groups (P < 0.05). The original blots are presented in Supplementary Figs. S1 and S2.
the gastrocnemius, suggesting that the observed differences in western blotting results among groups are not due to no changes in the concentrations of the administered amino acids.

**Discussion**

Leu promotes protein synthesis and suppresses protein degradation by activating mTORC1, which phosphorylates 4EBP1, S6K1, and ULK1. Some in vitro studies have reported that Gln is involved in Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation. In contrast, it has also been reported that Gln inhibits mTORC1 in vitro by suppressing the translocation of mTORC1 to lysosomes, which is the site of localization for active mTORC1. However, to the best of our knowledge, no studies had been conducted on the effect of oral intake of Gln on Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation in skeletal muscles in vivo. Therefore, in the present study, we attempted to elucidate the effect of oral intake of Gln on Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation in the skeletal muscles of mice. We observed a promotive effect of Gln on Leu-mediated upregulation of phosphorylation of 4EBP1 and S6K1, in mouse gastrocnemius muscle (Fig. 1). These results suggested that Gln promotes Leu-mediated mTORC1 activation. Additionally, it has previously been reported that Gln can activate mTORC1 via ADP ribosylation factor 1 (Arf1), which is different from the mTORC1 activation signal pathway of Leu. However, in the present study, we did not observe any effect of Gln alone on mTORC1 activation, which suggests that in the conditions of the present study Arf1 did not play a critical role in mTORC1 activation. In contrast to the changes in phosphorylation levels of 4EBP1 and S6K1, the level of protein synthesis did not significantly differ in any of the groups compared with that in the control group. This similarity of protein synthesis among groups is considered to be due to the lack of provision of other amino acids comprising the body’s proteins; however, further experimental validation is needed to support this hypothesis.

In addition to 4EBP1 and S6K1, ULK1 is an mTORC1 target; however, we did not observe an increase in the levels of phosphorylated ULK1 after administration of Leu + Gln or a decrease in the levels after administration of Gln alone. The LC3B-II/I ratio, a widely accepted marker for the assessment of autophagy, did not increase in the Leu + Gln and Gln-alone groups. Thus, these results do not support a negative regulatory role of Gln in mTORC1 activity or autophagy in the mouse skeletal muscle, which is in contrast with the results of van der Vos et al.34.
Next, we sought to elucidate the mechanism through which Gln promotes mTORC1 activation by Leu. It has been suggested that Leu activates mTORC1 via upregulation of deamination of Glu to alpha-ketoglutarate by the activation of GDH by direct binding of Leu to GDH. However, in the present study, no difference in GDH activity was noted between the groups (Fig. 4), which suggests that GDH activity was not involved in the observed high levels of phosphorylation of 4EBP1, S6K1, and ULK1 in the Leu + Gln group. Therefore, in the present study, glutaminolysis via activation of GDH by Leu did not contribute to mTORC1 activation in the gastrocnemius muscle. On the other hand, alpha-ketoglutarate produced by GDH also plays a critical role in mTORC1 activation. Thus, although there was no difference in GDH activity, the amount of alpha-ketoglutarate in the gastrocnemius muscle may have increased due to increased availability of Glu, which in turn could have affected mTORC1 activation. However, Glu concentrations in the Leu-intake groups were not significantly different (Fig. 5). Collectively, these results suggest that glutaminolysis does not contribute to the synergistic activation of mTORC1 by Leu and Gln.

It has been reported that intracellular Gln promotes extracellular Leu absorption via a bidirectional antiporter, which is the rate-limiting step that activates mTORC1. However, no difference was noted in Leu concentrations.
out with purified GDH or in cell lines27,41,44; hence, it is unclear whether GDH or glutaminolysis contribute to mTORC1 activation in skeletal muscle. Previous studies concerning the role of Leu and GDH, or glutaminolysis on mTORC1 activation were carried out with purified GDH or in cell lines27,41,44; hence, it is unclear whether amino acids other than Leu affect mTORC1 activation in skeletal muscle. Therefore, in addition to elucidating the mechanism underlying the synergistic effect of Leu and Gln on mTORC1 activation, further studies are needed to clarify whether GDH or glutaminolysis contribute to mTORC1 activation in vivo. Additionally, the amount of Leu administered in the present study corresponds to the amount consumed in a 24 h period by male Sprague–Dawley rats provided free access to AIN-93 powdered diet45. Moreover, the same amount of Gln was also administered. Therefore, further studies are needed to verify the observed effect of Leu and Gln on mTORC1 activation using lower and physiologically realizable amounts of Leu and Gln.

The mechanisms underlying amino acid-mediated mTORC1 activation are being investigated largely in vitro studies. Therefore, we evaluated the effect of co-ingestion of Leu and Gln on mTORC1 activation in skeletal muscle using mice and demonstrated the synergistic effect of Leu and Gln on mTORC1 activation. Furthermore, we found that the observed effect was not regulated by glutaminolysis via Leu-mediated activation of GDH or Gln-mediated promotion of Leu absorption via a bidirectional antiporter. To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to report the synergistic effect of Leu and Gln on mTORC1 activation in vivo. Furthermore, our results suggest that a novel mechanism underlies the observed effect.

**Methods**

**Animals and experimental design.** Thirty male C57BL/6j mice (seven weeks old) were purchased from Nihon S.L.C. (Hamamatsu, Japan). Mice were randomly allocated into the following groups (n = 5 per group): control (Cont), Leu-alone, Gln-alone, tryptophan (Trp)-alone, Leu + Gln, and Leu + Trp groups46. They were housed individually in plastic cages in an air-conditioned room at 22 ± 3 °C and 55 ± 7% humidity with a 12-h light/dark cycle (lights on from 07:00 to 19:00) under a conventional environment. Mice were acclimated for 7 days before the experiment to adapt to the environmental conditions. After the acclimation period, the animals were fasted for 24 h prior to the experiment and then given the test meal by gavage. The test meal consisted of AIN-93 powdered diet45. Moreover, the same amount of Gln was also administered. Therefore, further studies are needed to verify the observed effect of Leu and Gln on mTORC1 activation using lower and physiologically realizable amounts of Leu and Gln.

**Figure 4.** Glutamate dehydrogenase (GDH) activity in the gastrocnemius muscle of mice administered 0.2% xanthan gum solution (Cont), leucine (L), glutamine (Q), tryptophan (W), leucine + glutamine (LQ), or leucine + tryptophan (LW) by gavage after 24 h fasting. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n = 5). Mean values without letters are not significantly different among groups (P > 0.05).
six days before use in experiments and provided ad libitum access to water and an AIN-93G composition diet (Research Diets, New Brunswick, NJ, USA) for rodents. On days 7 and 8, mice were administered saline and a 0.2% xanthan gum/0.9% NaCl solution. On day 11, after 24 h fasting, mice were gastrointestinal administered 0.2% xanthan gum solution (Cont group), Leu, Gln, or Trp at 1.35 mg/10 µL of 0.2% xanthan gum solution/g body weight, or Leu + Gln or Leu + Trp at 1.35 mg + 1.35 mg/10 µL of 0.2% xanthan gum solution/g body weight by gavage. The procedure was performed as illustrated by Dey et al. Briefly, a blunt gavage needle
(KN-348-20G-50, Natsume Seisakusho, Bunkyo-ku, Japan) attached to a 1-mL syringe was inserted from the oral cavity, along the palate, through to the esophagus and stomach, followed by administration of the test materials. One mouse from each group was administered in turn to mitigate the effects of sampling order; however, the experimenter could not be blinded to the treatment. After 30 min of gastrointestinal administration of amino acids, the mice were administered puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) at 0.04 μmol/10 μL of 0.9% NaCl solution/g body weight by intraperitoneal injection<sup>35,50,51</sup>. Thirty minutes after intraperitoneal injection of puromycin, the mice were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and then bled by decapitation. The gastrocnemius muscle was harvested and snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. The tissues were stored at −80 °C until analysis.

The amount of Leu on protein synthesis<sup>4,5</sup> and was equivalent to the amount consumed in a 24 h period by male Sprague–Dawley rats provided free access to AIN-93 powdered diet<sup>45</sup>. Nitrogen is critical for amino acid biosynthesis; therefore, Trp was chosen as the amino acid to eliminate the effect of difference in nitrogen content, because, similar to Gln, it contains two nitrogen atoms. Additionally, the animals were monitored each day for normal appearance, and no unusual events were observed in any of the mice throughout the experiments.

**Western blot analysis.** The gastrocnemius muscle was homogenized in 7 volumes of a buffer containing 20 mM N-2-hydroxyethyl piperazine-N’-2-ethanesulfonic acid pH 7.4, 100 mM KCl, 0.2 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid, 2 mM ethylene glycol-bis (β-aminoethyl ether)-N,N,N9,N9-tetraacetic acid, 1 mM dithiothreitol, 50 mM sodium fluoride, 50 mM β-glycerophosphate, 0.1 mM phenylmethylsulphonyl fluoride, 1 mM benzamidine, 0.5 mM sodium vanadate, and 1% Nonidet P-40 using a bead homogenizer (TAITEC, Koshigaya, Japan). The insoluble material was removed by centrifugation at 10,000×g for 10 min at 4 °C, and the supernatant containing the extracted protein was harvested. Next, protein concentration was measured using the Bradford protein assay kit (Takara Bio, Shiga, Japan). Protein samples were mixed with 6× sample buffer (0.35 M Tris–HCl pH 6.8, 10% sodium dodecyl sulfate, 10% glycerol, 9.3% dithiothreitol, 0.012% bromphenol blue), heated for 5 min at 100 °C, and cooled on ice. Equal amounts of protein were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and transferred onto polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany). Membranes were stained with Ponceau S staining solution (0.5% Ponceau S, 1% acetic acid) to verify equal loading of proteins and then washed twice for 5 min with Tris-buffered saline (TBS) containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST). Membranes were blocked with blocking buffer (5% skim milk in TBST) for 1 h at room temperature (approximately 25 ± 2 °C). Subsequently, the membranes were washed three times for 5 min each with TBST and incubated with primary antibodies against 4EBP1 (#9441, 1:20,000, Cell Signaling Technology, Danvers, MA, USA), phospho-S6K1 at Thr389 (#9234, 1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology), S6K1 (#2708, 1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology), puromycin (MABE343, 1:25,000, Merck Millipore), phospho-ULK1 at Ser757 (#14202, 1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology), LC3B (#2775, 1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology), and GAPDH (#3683, 1:10,000, Cell Signaling Technology) diluted in 5% bovine serum albumin in TBST. The membranes were then washed three times for 5 min each with TBST and incubated with anti-rabbit IgG horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody (#7074, 1:2000, Cell Signaling Technology) or anti-mouse IgG horseradish peroxidase secondary antibody (#7076, 1:2000, Cell Signaling Technology) diluted in blocking buffer at room temperature (approximately 25 ± 2 °C). Next, the membranes were washed three times for 5 min each with TBST, and protein band densities were detected with an enhanced chemiluminescence reagent (Chemi-Lumi One Super, Nacalai Tesque, Kyoto, Japan) using a ChemiDoc XRC Plus system and quantified using Image Lab 5.2.1 (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). For detection of total protein levels, after the first detection, the membranes were washed twice (5 min each time) with TBST and incubated twice (5 min each time) with stripping buffer (6 M guanidine hydrochloride, 0.2% Nonidet P-40, 10 mM dithiothreitol, and 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5)<sup>50</sup>. The membranes were washed four times (3 min each time) with TBST, blocked, and incubated again with the appropriate primary antibody to detect the total target protein of the phosphorylated form.

**Analysis of GDH activity.** A quarter of the gastrocnemius muscle (approximately 60 mg) was processed and used for measurement of GDH activity using a GDH activity assay kit (MAK099, Sigma-Aldrich), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm using the MULTISKAN FC microplate reader (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA).

**Measurement of amino acid concentration.** The gastrocnemius muscle was homogenized in five volumes of ice-cold 5% S-sulfosalicylic acid using a bead homogenizer (TAITEC) and centrifuged at 10,000×g for 10 min at 4 °C<sup>35</sup>. Measurement of amino acid concentration in the supernatant was outsourced to Organization for Research Initiative and Promotion at Tottori University (Tottori, Japan) and measured using an automatic amino acid analyzer (JLC-500/V2, JEOL, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

**Statistical analysis.** Data are expressed as the mean ± standard deviation. One-way analysis of variance and Tukey–Kramer tests for multiple comparisons were performed to determine the significance of differences. Differences were considered statistically significant at P < 0.05. All analysis was performed using the statistical software Statcel4 (OMS, Tokyo, Japan).

**Approval for animal experiments.** All experimental protocols involving animals were approved by the Animal Experiment Ethics Committee of Nagasaki International University (No. 18A03). All experimental animals were handled according to institutional guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals. This manuscript was prepared according to the Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo Experiments guidelines (https://arriveguidelines.org).
Author contributions
R.Y. designed the study and performed the experiments. R.Y. and S.N. analyzed and interpreted the data and wrote the manuscript. Both authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding
This work was supported by a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science KAKENHI Grant (JP17K18306) and by Individual Research Grants from Nagasaki International University (Nagasaki, Japan).

Competing interests
The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information
Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-20251-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.Y.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022