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**ABSTRACT**

Forced vital capacity (FVC) and slow vital capacity (SVC) are non-invasive tests of respiratory function. Although FVC has been extensively studied and is used in most PFT (pulmonary function test) labs, SVC can also be used in clinical practice as it is a more comfortable and convenient test to perform. SVC-based diagnostic criteria can lead to earlier detection of obstructive lung disease. In contrast to FVC, SVC is less affected by respiratory muscle fatigue, airflow patency, expiratory muscle weakness and air leakage making it an appropriate test of respiratory function in patients of amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) and other neuromuscular disorders. As respiratory insufficiency is the major cause of mortality in ALS patients, regular SVC measurement provides the respiratory functional status, so that early treatment can be started which improves the survival and quality of life in these patients. The purpose of this article is to highlight the importance of considering SVC in clinical practice.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Pulmonary function tests (PFTs) is a series of non-invasive tests to assess the lung function.¹ Spirometry and lung volume measurements help the clinicians to diagnose the underlying obstructive or restrictive process, estimate the severity of lung diseases and help plan a treatment response.¹² Interpretation of PFTs is done by comparisons of data obtained in patients with the reference values from healthy subjects with the same anthropometric variables like age, height, gender and ethnicity.¹

Vital capacity can be measured as FVC, or as SVC both of which are important components of PFTs. FVC is defined as the expiratory volume delivered during an expiration cycle made as forcefully and as completely as possible starting from full inspiration. The SVC can either be measured during a slow, gentle, maximal expiration after a maximal inspiration or alternatively, during a maximal inspiration following a slow, gentle, maximal expiration.²³ While performing a PFT both the FVC and SVC maneuvers are repeated for a minimum of three times and not more than eight times. In healthy individuals if performed correctly, the SVC should typically be equal to FVC due to the lack of dynamic compression on the airways.³⁶⁸ However, it is well established that in obstructive airways disease there may be a difference between FVC and SVC in the presence of increased collapsibility/compressibility of the airways.³⁹¹⁰

In patients with obstructive lung disease, SVC is greater than FVC because airway closure occurs earlier at a higher volume than the true residual volume (RV). This is due to the inflammatory narrowing of the peripheral airways and also during the forced maneuver of FVC, the dynamic compression of the airways further worsens the airway closure, resulting in air trapping with a higher RV and hence lower FVC. On the other hand, during the relaxed
Some principles of pulmonary function testing. Obstruction is defined as the ratio of FEV1 to vital capacity below the lower limit of normal. A potential issue complicating this diagnostic approach is that vital capacity can be measured in various ways as FVC or SVC. In the event of a significant difference between SVC and FVC as seen in obstructed patients, one can potentially encounter a clinical scenario of a ‘pseudo-normal’ FEV1/FVC ratio while the FEV1/SVC ratio may be below the lower limit of normal. For these reasons, the American thoracic society and the European respiratory society (ATS/ERS) recommends the use of the largest VC to calculate the FEV1/VC ratio (Tiffineau index) to define the obstructive airways disease.1

The differences between FVC and SVC are affected by variables like body mass index (BMI), age and gender.16 Fortis et al studied the effect of BMI on the difference between FVC and SVC by retrospectively reviewing the PFTs of 1805 subjects. The results showed that in the patients with a normal BMI (BMI<25 kg/m²) with no obstruction, FVC was larger than SVC whereas in overweight and obese patients, the SVC was significantly larger than FVC and the SVC-FVC difference positively correlated with the BMI. Hence, the use of FEV1/FVC ratio would underdiagnose obstructive airway disease in obese patients.10

It is also suggested the FVC decreases with age at a faster rate than SVC and that a low FEV1/SVC ratio might simply reflect the physiological changes of aging.13 Mathieu Saint-Pierre et al. have shown that FEV1/SVC should be used with caution in elderly subjects [age >70 years] with preserved FEV1/FVC because a low value may represent a false positive finding for air flow obstruction.9 The FVC decreases with age due to the reduced chest wall compliance and expiratory muscle strength and a greater tendency of smaller airways to close during the forced maneuver.17 During SVC maneuver the smaller airways remain patent longer during the expiratory maneuver and hence the noticed difference between SVC and FVC increases with aging.

Although both FVC and SVC decay in a similar manner, both are non-invasive and interchangeable but SVC is a more comfortable and convenient test to perform in clinical practice because it is not largely affected by the respiratory muscle fatigue, airflow patency, expiratory muscle weakness and air leakage, in contrast to FVC measurement making SVC an appropriate measure of respiratory function.18-20 It is particularly easier to perform in patients with upper motor neuron disease or severe respiratory insufficiency like amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS).20 FVC is particularly challenging to perform in ALS patients as it requires greater effort and coordination than SVC measurement leading to air volume leakage between the mouthpiece and the weak lips.20,21 FVC measurement is difficult in patients with severe bulbar disease as the upper airway collapses when maximal force is exerted during FVC.20 SVC can be used for measurements over a long period of time to evaluate ALS disease progression.20 In addition, SVC is also convenient to perform in patients with severe airways obstruction who cannot generate a prolonged expiratory effort as it may lead to coughing and syncope.5

Due to the time and operational constraints, most pulmonary function testing laboratories still perform only the FVC maneuver. Also, most clinicians only use FVC while interpreting the pulmonary function tests. The purpose of this review is to highlight the clinical scenarios where considering and using SVC may be helpful in practical management of patients.

SLOW VITAL CAPACITY IN NEUROMUSCULAR DISORDERS LIKE AMYOTROPHIC LATERAL SCLEROSIS

Patients with progressive neuromuscular disorders particularly with bulbar involvement may not be able to perform FVC maneuver and in that setting, SVC may serve as an alternative measure of vital capacity. Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS) is a neuromuscular disease that causes progressive irreversible weakness of all skeletal muscles including respiratory muscles.22 Respiratory complications are a major determinant of disease progression and survival in the patients of ALS. Progressive weakness of respiratory muscles in ALS contributes to the dyspnea, orthopnea, sleep abnormalities, weak cough reflex and frequent respiratory infections.23,24 This respiratory insufficiency (RI) is the major cause of mortality in patients of ALS.5,18,23,25 Respiratory insufficiency usually develops late in ALS but occasionally can be the presenting feature.26 Hence regular assessment of the respiratory function is required in these patients so that early treatment can be started in the form of non-invasive ventilation.27-29 Measuring FVC and SVC using spirometry are frequently used to predict prognosis, survival, functional decline and hypercapnia in ALS patients.30-33

Forced vital capacity has been well studied in ALS and can help detect hypventilation in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis and diaphragmatic weakness in supine position. It can also be used to monitor the progression of disease.23,30,34-36 It has been suggested that the respiratory evaluation should be performed at the first visit and then every three months thereafter.29
Table 1: Studies of the role of SVC and FVC in ALS.

| Author/year/study type/dataset | Results | Conclusions |
|-------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| - **Jackson et al/2018**<sup>5</sup> -453 placebo treated ALS patients | % predicted SVC showed significant correlations with dyspnea, orthopnea, respiratory insufficiency, respiratory subdomain score, and total ALSFRS-R score (all p<0.0001) | Correlation between % predicted SVC and specific ALSFRS-R symptom scores in ALS patients with rapidly versus more slowly progressing disease reinforces the importance of continually monitoring respiratory function. |
| - **Pinto et al/2017**<sup>18</sup> Retrospective study -469 ALS patients, 270 males, with 329 spinal-onset and 140 bulbar-onset | FVC and SVC were strongly correlated (p=0.001) | FVC and SVC are interchangeable in predicting survival in ALS. |
| - **Czaplinski et al/2006**<sup>31</sup> -1034 patients with definite or probable ALS | The median survival of ALS patients with baseline FVC<75% was 2.91 years, compared with 4.08 years for patients with baseline FVC>75% (p<0.001). | FVC value obtained at an initial visit may serve as a clinically meaningful predictor of survival and disease progression in ALS. |
| - **Lechtzin et al/2002**<sup>35</sup> -25 ALS patients | Upright FVC, FEV<sub>1</sub>, and MEP were all significantly correlated with transdiaphragmatic pressure (Pdi), while MIP and PaCO<sub>2</sub> were not, Supine FVC was the most highly correlated predictor of Pdi, supine FVC of<5% predicted was 100% sensitive and specific for predicting an abnormally low Pdi. Accessory muscle use and abdominal paradox were both significantly associated with Pdi. | Supine FVC is an excellent and simple test of diaphragmatic weakness in ALS patients. |
| - **Pinto et al/2019**<sup>19</sup> -232 ALS patients, 134 men 166 spinal and 66 bulbar on set | All variables declined significantly between the two evaluations (p<0.001), FVC and SVC were strongly correlated (p<0.001) and FVC and SVC decays between first evaluation and 6 months after were the only significant prognostic variables | FVC and SVC decay are interchangeable in predicting functional decay in ALS. |
| - **Andrews et al/2018**<sup>37</sup> Retrospective study -893 placebo treated ALS patients, 585 males, 183 with bulbar-onset ALS | Rate of decline of SVC was slower by 1.5 percentage points per month in the first 6 months, risk reductions for events after 6 months were 19% for decline in the ALSFRS-R respiratory subdomain or death after 6 months, 22% for first onset of respiratory insufficiency or death after 6 months, 23% for first occurrence of tracheostomy or death after 6 months, and 23% for death at any time after 6 months (p<0.001 for all) | The rate of decline in SVC is associated with meaningful clinical events in ALS, including respiratory failure, tracheostomy, or death, showing that it is an important indicator of clinical progression. |

ALS-Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, ALSFRS-R-ALS functional rating scale revised, SVC-Slow vital capacity, FVC-Functional vital capacity, MIP-Mean inspiratory pressure, MEP-Mean expiratory pressure.

Similarly, SVC has also been studied in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis patients and Jackson et al showed that there exists a significant correlation between percentage predicted SVC and the symptoms of dyspnea, orthopnea, respiratory insufficiency, respiratory subdomain score and total ALSFRS-R score (ALS functional rating scale).<sup>5</sup> It has been suggested that, SVC measurement can be a good...
predictor of disease progression and survival in ALS.18,37–39

Pinto et al. also found that FVC and SVC are independent predictors of survival in ALS and bear a strong correlation. They stated that there is a 1.02 times increased probability of death for each 1% decline in the percentage of predicted value of FVC and SVC.18,19 Studies showing the role of SVC and FVC in ALS are summarized in Table 1. SVC has also been studied in other progressive neuromuscular disorders like RYR1-RM and has been found to be helpful in gauging patients’ respiratory function particularly in conditions where respiratory muscle weakness results in respiratory insufficiency which places individuals at risk for early mortality.40

SLOW VITAL CAPACITY IN OBSTRUCTIVE LUNG DISEASE

Spirometry remains the cornerstone for the diagnosis of airways disorder and improving its diagnostic yield is important. According to the ATS/ERS, the ratio between FEV₁ and maximal vital capacity (either FVC or SVC), as measured by spirometry, can be used to determine the presence of airway obstruction.4 As mentioned above in healthy individuals, the difference between SVC and FVC (SVC-FVC) is negligible. However, in the presence of airflow obstruction these differences can be apparent and are mostly related to the presence of underlying hyperinflation. Thus, using FEV₁/SVC ratio can help detect the presence of airway obstruction in more individuals than the FEV₁/FVC ratio, given that the vital capacity volume obtained by an SVC maneuver is greater than that obtained by an FVC maneuver.

Huprikar et al performed a retrospective analysis of 2710 PFTs of 2244 subjects from 2011 to 2015. Using the FEV₁/SVC criteria, the prevalence of obstruction increased from 26.1 to 45% and 566 additional cases of obstruction were diagnosed who otherwise had a preserved FEV₁/FVC showing that SVC-based diagnostic criteria can lead to earlier detection of disease with improved clinical outcomes.16

Saint-Pierre et al studied the PFTs of 13,893 adult patients with a FEV₁/FVC and TLC at or above the lower limit of normal. It showed that performing the slow maneuver of SVC was able to uncover the airflow limitation which was missed by FEV₁/FVC. This was particularly true for younger obese patients with a normal FEV₁/FVC. The authors do emphasize that FEV₁/SVC as a marker of airflow obstruction and it should be used with caution in elderly individuals (age >70 years) as it can give false positive results of airflow obstruction in those subjects with preserved FEV₁/FVC.9 This outcome is probably a consequence of aging. As with increasing age there is an increase in the SVC-FVC difference due to the increased airways collapsibility and senile emphysema of the aged lung leading to greater underestimation of vital capacity by FVC maneuver.41 Thus clinicians should consider the fact that in elderly population low, FEV₁/SVC but preserved FEV₁/FVC may just reflect the physiological effects of aging. Hence in elderly subjects the utility of using FEV₁/SVC as a marker of obstruction is limited and using it to diagnose airways obstruction in that population carries the risk of over diagnosing airflow limitation.

In a study by Chhabra, 60 asthmatics and 20 normal patients performed spirometry maneuvers for measurement of FVC and SVC. These values were similar in normal patients but SVC was found to be significantly greater than FVC in moderate to severe asthmatics. The difference increased with increase in the degree of obstruction and the authors suggested that it is an indicator of air trapping.1

Likewise, Constan et al showed that there is difference between the SVC and FVC values in patients with obstructive disease due to the dynamic compression of the airway during the forced maneuver that causes early closure of the airway, increasing the residual volume and decreasing the FVC. Again, reinforcing the fact that using FEV₁/FVC rather than FEV₁/SVC may lead to under diagnosis of obstruction. The greater the difference between SVC and FVC, the greater is the severity of obstruction, air trapping and hyperinflation.12,42,43

In addition, to the SVC-FVC difference, the FVC/SVC ratio is also a sign of small airways collapse and air trapping in obstructive lung disease.5,44,45 Cohen et al studied the role of FVC/SVC ratio in the diagnosis of small airways obstruction in lung transplant patients with bronchiolitis obliterans (BOS). The study included 39 patients with BOS and 36 patients without BOS. The FVC/SVC ratio decreased significantly in BOS stages 1 and 2 by 2.2% and 4.4%, respectively thereby suggesting that FVC/SVC could be used as a marker of small airways obstruction. It was concluded that decrease in FVC/SVC ratio reflects the small airways obstruction.6

Yuan et al studied the PFTs and cardiopulmonary exercise responses in 97 COPD patients to study the effect of SVC and FVC difference on the exercise capacity of these patients. It was observed that there was a significant difference between SVC and FVC and the larger this difference, the greater was the severity of airflow limitation and higher was the impairment of exercise performance in these patients.64

Barros et al performed a retrospective cross-sectional study on 1084 subjects to determine the importance of SVC in the detection of obstructive airway disease. The patients underwent spirometry and plethysmography to determine the FEV₁/FVC and FEV₁/SVC measurements. FEV₁/FVC detected airway obstruction in 52.2% of the sample as compared to 43.9% cases by the FEV₁/SVC ratio. Hence, FEV₁/SVC were found to be more reliable than FEV₁/FVC ratios in the early detection of obstructive disease.7 Similarly, in a study by Berton et al on 13,893 adult middle-aged females complaining of shortness of...
breath with FEV₁ >70%, BMI >30 kg/m² and preserved FEV₁/FVC ratios, it was found that FEV₁/SVC ratio was smaller in patients with obstructive disease thereby diagnosing airflow limitation missed by the preserved FEV₁/FVC ratio. The positive response of these patients towards inhaled albuterol treatment further proved the presence of obstructive ventilatory defect. Similar results have been reported by others as well. Studies showing the role of SVC and FVC in obstructive lung disease are summarized in Table 2.

| Author/year/study type/dataset | Results | Conclusions |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------------|
| **Huprikar et al/2019**<sup>16</sup> Retrospective study | Obstruction was identified by spirometry in 26.1% of the studies. With FEV₁/SVC, the prevalence of obstruction increased from 26.1 to 45.0% and identified 566 additional obstructive lung disease patients. | Use of FVC-based criteria alone did not identify patients with obstructive lung disease. SVC-FVC measurements in these subjects will improve testing and diagnosis of airflow limitation |
| - 2,710 studies with 2,244 obstructive lung disease subjects were reviewed | 54% of the subjects with newly identified obstructive lung disease had smoking histories, and 67.4% received medications for obstructive lung disease. | |
| **Saint-Pierre et al/2019**<sup>18</sup> | Prevalence of “discordance” (preserved FEV₁/FVC but low FEV₁/SVC) was 20.4%. Discordant subjects with preserved FEV₁/FVC but low FEV₁/SVC were four times more likely to be diagnosed with an obstructive airway disease compared with those with preserved FEV₁/SVC and FEV₁/FVC | Using SVC instead of FVC in the FEV₁/VC ratio enhances the yield of spirometry in detecting obstructive lung disease in younger and obese subjects. But FEV₁/ SVC ratio, should be used with caution in elderly subjects |
| - 13,893 Obstructive lung disease patients | The values were similar in normal patients but SVC and IVC were significantly greater than FVC in moderate to severe asthmatics. FEV₁/FVC ratio was significantly different than FEV₁/SVC and FEV₁/IVC measurements in moderate to severe asthmatics | The difference between SVC and FVC increases with increase in the degree of obstruction and is an indicator of variable amount of air trapping showing that FEV₁/SVC is a truer index of airflow obstruction than FEV₁/FVC. FVC underestimates the actual vital capacity in these patients |
| **Chhabra/1998**<sup>3</sup> | The analysis of the FEV₁/FVC ratio revealed the presence of airway obstruction in 476 individuals (43.9%), compared with 566 individuals (52.2%) for the analysis of the FEV₁/SVC ratio. In the airway obstruction, airway obstruction plus lung hyperinflation, and mixed pattern groups, the SVC-FVC was statistically superior to that in the normal pulmonary function, reduced FEF, and restrictive lung disease groups | The FEV₁/SVC ratio detected the presence of airway obstruction in more individuals than the FEV₁/FVC ratio. FEV₁/SVC ratio is more reliable than is the FEV₁/FVC ratio in the detection of obstructive pulmonary disease |
| **Barros et al/2013**<sup>7</sup> Retrospective cross-sectional study | The FVC/SVC ratio detected significantly during follow-up in patients with BOS stages 1 and 2, by 2.2% and 4.4%, respectively, from baseline (p<0.001). This decrease was not significantly associated with the decrease in FEV₁. The FVC/SVC ratio increased in the group without BOS by 1.1% | The difference between the FVC and the EVC increases with the degree of obstruction. Hyperinflation in patients with airway obstruction is determined by the degree of obstruction (FEV₁) and by SVC-FVC |
| - 1,084 Obstructive lung disease patients | 38 patients were non-obstructive, 53 presented with mild obstruction, 39 moderate and 32 severe obstruction. The SVC was a 3.1% lower than the EVC in non-obstructive individuals, a 5.1% lower in those with mild obstruction, 10% lower in the moderate obstructive and a 16.8% lower in the severe obstructive groups | |
| **Constan et al/2005**<sup>42</sup> | The FVC/SVC ratio decreased significantly and preserved FEV₁/FVC ratios, it was found that FEV₁/SVC ratio was smaller in patients with obstructive disease thereby diagnosing airflow limitation missed by the preserved FEV₁/FVC ratio. The positive response of these patients towards inhaled albuterol treatment further proved the presence of obstructive ventilatory defect. Similar results have been reported by others as well. Studies showing the role of SVC and FVC in obstructive lung disease are summarized in Table 2. | |
| - 162 individuals with suspected airflow obstruction | 38 patients were non-obstructive, 53 presented with mild obstruction, 39 moderate and 32 severe obstruction. The SVC was a 3.1% lower than the EVC in non-obstructive individuals, a 5.1% lower in those with mild obstruction, 10% lower in the moderate obstructive and a 16.8% lower in the severe obstructive groups | |
| **Cohen et al/2007**<sup>6</sup> | The FVC/SVC ratio decreased significantly during follow-up in patients with BOS stages 1 and 2, by 2.2% and 4.4%, respectively, from baseline (p<0.001). This decrease was not significantly associated with the decrease in FEV₁. The FVC/SVC ratio increased in the group without BOS by 1.1% | Decrease in FVC/SVC ratio is able to detect small airway changes and quantify small airways dysfunction like BOS |
| - 39 patients (26 men) with BOS after lung transplantation and 36 (14 men) bilateral lung transplant recipients without BOS | | |

Table 2: Studies of the role of SVC and FVC in obstructive lung disease.
### CONCLUSION

Slow vital capacity is a comfortable and convenient maneuver and it should be routinely performed as part of pulmonary function test in clinical practice. It is most helpful in symptomatic subjects particularly with preserved FEV₁/FVC who are young and obese. As in this set of patients FEV₁/SVC criteria can uncover cases of obstructive lung disease that are missed by FEV₁/FVC measurement leading to earlier diagnosis and improved clinical outcomes. The difference between SVC and FVC (SVC-FVC) are greater in patients with airway obstruction. This is possibly because FVC maneuvers are forced maneuvers and can cause small airways collapse therefore leading to underestimation of the vital capacity. However, caution must be exercised in using FEV₁/SVC criteria as indicator of underlying obstructive lung condition in elderly population. The FVC decreases with age at a faster rate than SVC and hence a low FEV₁/SVC ratio might simply reflect the physiological changes of aging in the elderly population. Thus FEV₁/SVC should be used with caution in elderly subjects (age >70 years) with preserved FEV₁/FVC as a criteria of airway obstruction because a low value may represent a false positive finding for air flow obstruction. The measurement of SVC is also important in the patients with neuromuscular diseases like ALS as it is easy to measure the SVC in these patients and it also, predicts prognosis, survival and functional decline in ALS patients. It is generally seen that most laboratories perform only the FVC maneuver due to time and operational constraints. But if both SVC and FVC maneuvers are performed it will provide better information about the underlying obstructive process to the clinicians.
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