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Abstract: TiO$_2$ has many advantages, such as UV resistance, thermal stability, and antibacterial; the attention toward TiO$_2$ composite materials (TCMs) is rapidly increasing in the protection of stone culture relics. An innovative rod-shaped TCM was synthesized in this study. The structure and morphology of TCM were studied by X-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscopy. The acid resistance, weather resistance, hydrophilicity, and photocatalytic performance of TCM had been investigated. The experimental results indicated that TCM has good protection effects. The stone sample treated with TCM has stronger acid resistance and weather resistance, better hydrophilicity, and more excellent photocatalytic activity compared with the untreated stone. More importantly, the stone treated with TCM has better acid resistance and weather resistance than that treated with normal shaped TiO$_2$ materials of the previous study. This work describes an effective way to protect stone cultural relics.
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1 Introduction

China has a long history, and the information recorded in stone cultural relics promotes Chinese historical research, such as statues, stone carvings, and buildings [1]. Most stone cultural relics are often exposed outdoors, and they are easily damaged by acid rain, wind erosion, salt crystallization, and other factors [2].

The damage of the stone cultural relics can be effectively reduced by coating protective materials [3–5]. Traditional coating materials have some disadvantages [6–8], such as acrylic polymers and silicone have the poor compatibility with the surface of stone [9], although they have good water resistance and reinforcement performance [10,11]. Many researchers pay attention to the research of new protective materials [12].

Due to their excellent stability [13,14], chemical resistance [15], antibacterial activity [16], non-toxicity, and low price [17,18], TiO$_2$ has potential application in the protection of stone cultural relics. Graziani et al. found that TiO$_2$ nanoparticles aqueous solution can well prevent the growth of microalgae on the surface of clay brick [16]. Quagliarini et al. also proved that the water suspension based on TiO$_2$ nanoparticles can protect limestone from the damage caused by microalgae [19]. Pinho et al. proved that the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles can be easily removed from TiO$_2$ nanoparticles aqueous materials coated on the surface of clay brick or limestone [20]. Dispersion of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles into organic materials can prevent the loss of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, but it has one drawback that only a fraction of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles are exposed to the surface of the organic materials, which results in a decrease in the protection performance [21]. In addition, the high viscosity of TiO$_2$ nanoparticles organic material leads to uneven coating [22]. Pinho and Mosquera proposed to disperse the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles into the SiO$_2$ sol, and TiO$_2$–SiO$_2$ nanocomposites can overcome the above shortcomings and has good protection performance [23]. The research of Pinho aimed at the protection of buildings, and we also have studied the TiO$_2$-modified sol coating material in the protection of stone-built cultural heritage. However, to the best of our knowledge, the research on compositing TiO$_2$ nanoparticles into TiO$_2$ sol in stone cultural relics is very limited.
Moreover, it is worth to study the protective properties of rod-shaped TiO₂ nanocomposite materials.

The main purpose of this work was to prepare an innovative rod-shaped TiO₂ composite material (TCM) through compounding rod-shaped TiO₂ nanoparticles into TiO₂ sol. The composition and microscopic morphology of TCM were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The protection performance of TCM, such as acid resistance, weather resistance, hydrophilicity, and photocatalytic activity, was evaluated. The results show that TCM has excellent protection performance in stone cultural relics.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Synthesis of TCM

The TiO₂ sol solution (TSS) was prepared by the sol–gel method at low temperature, and the prepared sol solution was transparent with good stability. The specific operation is as follows: 5 mL of tetrabutyl titanate was added to the ethanol solution and stirred for 10 min (named solution A). Then, 4 mL of acetic acid and 10 mL of hydrolysis inhibitor were added to the ethanol solution and stirred for 10 min (named solution B). After that, solution A was transferred to solution B at a fixed flow rate of 3 mL/min, followed by stirring for 30 min, and the mixture was placed in a water bath at 40°C, with stirring for 2 h. Next, the mixture was aged at room temperature for 2 days. The transparent pure TSS was prepared.

Normal shaped TiO₂ nanoparticles with an average particle size of 1 µm were purchased from Ze Chang Titanium Industry (Yunnan Province), and rod-shaped TiO₂ nanoparticles were prepared by the hydrothermal method. The TCM was synthesized as follows: the rod-shaped TiO₂ nanoparticles were added to the TSS at the optimal ratio of 0.05 g/100 mL (the value of optimal ratio was obtained through multiple experiments [24]), followed by stirring with a magnetic stirrer for 2 h and high-power ultrasonic agitation for 1 h. Last, TCM was prepared after allowed to stand for 1 h.

2.2 Preparation of stone samples

Most stone cultural relics are made up of marble or sandstone, so natural marble and sandstone are used to evaluate the protective properties of TCM. Sandstone can well evaluate the weather resistance of the TCM, while marble can characterize the acid resistance. The components of the stone were analyzed by XRD; sandstone is mainly composed of silica (98.5%), and marble is mainly composed of calcium carbonate (99.5%). All the stone samples were cut into the dimension of 5 cm × 5 cm × 3 cm. After all stone were washed and dried to constant weight, TCM and TSS were applied to the stone surface with a small brush.

The amount of TCM depends on the coating number of layers. The layer numbers are determined by two parameters: the total color difference (ΔE*) of the stone samples and the amount of TiO₂ nanoparticles added. The total color difference (ΔE*) should be less than 5, and the optimal layer number is 4 [24]. The classification and name of the stone samples are shown in Table 1.

| Stone classification | Sample name | Coatings |
|----------------------|-------------|----------|
| Sandstone            | A           | Untreated|
|                      | B           | Treated with TSS |
|                      | C           | Treated with TCM |
| Marble               | D           | Untreated|
|                      | E           | Treated with TSS |
|                      | F           | Treated with TCM |

2.3 Characterization of stone samples

The TCM, TSS, and rod-shaped TiO₂ nanoparticles were characterized by XRD, a D/max-2300 diffractometer (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) with Cu Kα1 radiation (λ = 1.54056 Å), operating at 35 kV and at angles ranging from 10° to 90° (2θ). The surface morphology of the stone samples was characterized by SEM (FEI, Hillsboro, America) with an FEI Sirion instrument, which has a field emission filament working at 5 kV. The instrument has a resolution of 1.5 nm and is equipped with a through lens detector operating in an ultra-high resolution mode.

2.4 Protection performance of TCM

The hydrophilicity of the stone samples was elevated by contact angle, while the JC2000A surface tension/contact angle meter (Leao, Shanghai, China) was used to measure the contact angle of the sandstone sample surface [25,26].

Table 1: Classification and name of stone samples
The photocatalytic performance of the stone samples was reflected by total color difference ($\Delta E^*$). The specific operation is as follows: 100 µmol/L methylene blue (MB) ethanol solution was prepared. Then, the MB ethanol solution was sprayed evenly on the surface of the sandstone samples (0.5 mL MB ethanol solution was evenly applied to the 2,200 mm$^2$ of the sandstone surface), then the ultraviolet light of 365 nm was used to irradiate the stone samples in an airtight box [27]. The CIE L* a* b* color space was used [28,29], and the value of $L^*$ a* b* was measured by the fluorescent whiteness meter (Xinrui, Shanghai, China). Finally, the $\Delta E^*$ is calculated according to the following equation:

$$
\Delta E^* = \sqrt{(L^* - L_{0}^*)^2 + (a^* - a_{0}^*)^2 + (b^* - b_{0}^*)^2},
$$

where $L_{0}^*$, $a_{0}^*$, and $b_{0}^*$ are the CIE L* a* b* coordinates of the sample before irradiation and $L^*$, $a^*$, and $b^*$ are the coordinates of the sample after irradiation.

The initial weight of the marble samples were recorded as $M_0$ (g). First, the marble samples were immersed in 1% v/v H$_2$SO$_4$ solution for 24 h. Second, the marble samples were dried at 60°C for 6 h. Finally, the weight of the dried marble samples was recorded as $M_1$ (g). The acid resistance of the marble samples was calculated as follows:

$$
\Delta M = \frac{M_0 - M_1}{M_0} \times 100%.
$$

The above experimental process is named as one cycle time.

The initial weight of the sandstone samples was recorded as $M_0$ (g). First, the sandstone samples were immersed in 0.5 mol/L Na$_2$SO$_4$ solution for 24 h, then dried for 6 h at 60°C. Second, the samples were frozen for 4 h at $-30^\circ$C. Finally, the sandstone samples were dried at 60°C for 4 h. The weight of the dried samples was recorded as $M_1$ (g). The weather resistance of the sandstone samples was calculated by Eq. 2 [30], and the above experimental process is named as one cycle time.

### 3 Results and discussion

#### 3.1 XRD analysis

The XRD of TCM, TSS, and rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles are reported in Figure 1, which demonstrates that three samples show the diffraction patterns of anatase TiO$_2$. The pattern of TSS indicated that it is amorphous from curve c, and rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles is anatase from curve b. With the addition of anatase rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles, the characteristic peak of TCM is strengthened from curve a, which verifies that the added rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles are embedded in the TiO$_2$ sol, and similar results were reported in a previous study [31].

#### 3.2 SEM analysis

Figure 2 shows the SEM images of the rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles and samples A, B, and C. Figure 2a shows that the TiO$_2$ nanoparticles is rod-shaped. Figure 2b shows that sample A is untreated sandstone and has a rough surface [32,33]. Figure 2c presents that sample B has a smoother surface compared with sample A (Figure 2b) [23]. Figure 2d shows that sample C also has smooth surface, and the rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles perfectly exposed on the surface of the TCM. Compared with Figure 2c, there are fewer cracks on the surface of TCM. Figure 2e and f shows TCM at 40 and 5 µm scales. It can be seen that rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles are embedded in the TiO$_2$ sol, and it can be inferred that the rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles increase the wear resistance and tensile strength of TCM.

#### 3.3 Hydrophilicity

As shown in Figure 3, the contact angle of stone samples A, B, and C was 110.5° (Figures 3a), 42.5° (Figure 3b),
and 0° (Figure 3c), respectively. The contact angle does not change with 2 min, indicating that the external light source does not affect the test. The smaller the contact angle, the stronger the hydrophilicity. Superhydrophilicity indicates that water diffuses rapidly. The blank stone sample is hydrophobic. After treatment with TCM, the stone samples change into superhydrophilic, because of the addition of the rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. Similar reports can be find in the literature [34]. Hence, we can draw a conclusion that dust can be easily removed from the surface of the stone samples treated with TCM.

### 3.4 Acid resistance

The acid resistance of the samples can be judged by the formation of CO$_2$ bubbles when H$_2$SO$_4$ solutions of different pH values were dropped on the surface of the stone samples [1]. The results were as follows: pH = 1.5 for sample D [15], pH = 0.5–0.8 for sample E, and pH less than −0.6 for sample F. Hence, the TCM has stronger acid resistance than TSS.

To further evaluate the acid resistance of stone samples, a more detailed acid corrosion experiment was carried out. Figure 4 shows the acid resistance of stone samples D, E, and F. The acid resistance of sample F is the highest, and sample D is the lowest. It can also be seen that the acid resistance of the treated samples was greater than that of the untreated sample. Acid resistance refers to the weight loss of the stone samples in the acid corrosion process. According to the study of Liu et al., the higher the value of acid resistance, the stronger the acid corrosion resistance [35]. In comparison, the acid resistance of sample C was more significant, indicating that TCM has a strong corrosion resistance,
that is because rod-shaped TiO2 nanoparticles compounded in TiO2-sol can improve acid resistance of TCM. Moreover, the acid resistance of TCM is stronger than that of normal shaped TiO2 nanoparticles composite material previously studied [24]. The acid resistance of TCM meets protective requirements of stone cultural relics.

### 3.5 Weather resistance

The experimental results of weather resistance are presented in Figure 5. Figure 5a–c represents the appearance photograph of samples A, B, and C after eight cycle times, respectively. There was hard shell on the surface of sample A, while the samples B and C did not change significantly.

Figure 5d–f represents the appearance photograph of samples A, B, and C after 14 cycle times, respectively. Sample A was seriously damaged on multiple sides, and sample B was slightly damaged, but sample C was obviously undamaged. In brief, the untreated stone samples would be easily damaged (Figure 5a and d), and the stone samples treated with TSS would be moderately damaged (Figure 5b and e), while TCM has the best protection effect on the stone samples (Figure 5c and f).

The relationship of weight change rate of stone samples with cycle times is presented in Figure 6. In the first six cycle times, the weight of the stone samples increased with the number of cycle times, because the stone sample was immersed in the salt solution and salt seeps into the stone samples after the water evaporates. The weight of the stone samples decreases after the seventh cycle time, showing that the stone samples were obviously corroded. It is necessary to emphasize that the weight of the stone samples treated with TCM changed with increase in cycle time, indicating that the stone samples treated with TCM were slight damaged, which is difficult to be observed in Figure 5c and f. In short, the weight change rate of sample C was least, indicating that TCM can protect the stone samples from the damage caused by environmental factors. And the weather resistance of TCM is more excellent than that of normal shaped TiO2 nanoparticle composite material previously studied [24].

### 3.6 Photocatalytic degradation of pollutants

Photocatalytic degradation of pollutants represents the self-cleaning ability of coating material, and it can be
judged by the value of $\Delta E^\ast$. MB ethanol solution was sprayed on the stone surface, the whiteness of the stone samples decrease, and the value of their $\Delta E^\ast < 0$. When these samples were irradiated under UV light, the value of their $\Delta E^\ast$ increased, showing the photocatalytic degradation of MB [23,29]. Figure 7 shows the relationship of the $\Delta E^\ast$ with time under UV light. It can be seen that there are almost no degradation of MB on the surface of sample A with increase in the irradiation time. MB on the surface of samples B and sample C rapidly degrades with increase in the irradiation time from the first 5 h of irradiation, followed by a stable value with irradiation time increasing from 6 to 12 h. In addition, degradation rate and degradation amount of TCM are greater than those of TSS. That is because rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles can enhance photocatalytic degradation of MB. Therefore, TCM has the significant ability of photocatalytic degradation of pollutants.

4 Conclusions

TCM was synthesized by compounding rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles into TiO$_2$ sol. The XRD proved that the TCM system is anatase phase, and the characteristic peaks of TCM are strengthened due to the addition of rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles. The SEM showed that the rod-shaped TiO$_2$ nanoparticles are perfectly embedded in the TCM and can increase the wear resistance and tensile strength of TCM. The stone sample treated with TCM has superhydrophilicity, showing that TCM help to clean the stains on the stone surface. The acid resistance of the stone sample treated with TCM was strongest compared with that of the untreated stone and the stone treated with TSS. The weather resistance experiment shows that TCM can effectively prevent stone samples from being damaged by the external environment. In addition, TCM has significant ability of photocatalytic degradation of pollutants. TCM can effectively protect stone cultural relics and has potential application value in the field of cultural relic protection.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank Dr. Zhang Yumin from the School of Materials Science and Engineering, Yunnan University, for her help in the experimental analysis. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. K1020624) and the Humanities and Social Sciences Planning Project of the Ministry of Education of China (Grant No. 18YJA870009). Hui Shu conceived, designed, and performed the experiments, analyzed the data, and wrote the paper under the supervision and guidance of Qiang Liu and Maobin Luo; Qiang Liu gave many useful suggestions and revised the grammar of the manuscript. Yujian Song analyzed the data.

References

[1] Liu Q, Zhang BJ. Syntheses of a novel nanomaterial for conservation of historic stones inspired by nature. Mater Lett. 2007;61:4976–9.

[2] Graziani G, Sassoni E, Scherer GW, Franzoni E. Resistance to simulated rain of hydroxyapatite- and calcium oxalate-based coatings for protection of marble against corrosion. Corros Sci. 2017;127:168–74.
[3] Quagliarini E, Graziani L, Diso D, Licciulli A, D’Orazio M. Is nano-TiO₂ alone an effective strategy for the maintenance of stones in Cultural Heritage? J Cult Herit. 2018;30:81–91.

[4] Zhang X, Wen W, Yu H, Qiu F, Chen Q, Yang D. Preparation, characterization of nano-silica/fluoroacrylate nanocomposite and the application in stone surface conservation. J Polym Res. 2016;23:0965–77.

[5] Frigione M, Lettieri M. Novel attribute of organic–inorganic hybrid coatings for protection and preservation of materials (stone and wood) belonging to cultural heritage. Coatings. 2018;8:319–44.

[6] Tesser E, Lazzarini L, Bracci S. Investigation on the chemical structure and ageing transformations of the cycloaliphatic epoxy resin EP2101 used as stone consolidant. J Cult Herit. 2018;31:72–82.

[7] Xu F, Zeng W, Li D. Recent advance in alkoxysilane-based consolidants for stone. Prog Org Coat. 2019;127:45–54.

[8] Price C, Ross K, White G. A further appraisal of the ‘limestone technique’ for limestone consolidation, using a radioactive tracer. Stud Conserv. 1988;33:178–86.

[9] Yuewen H, WeiQ L, Xuesong Z. Silicone/silica nanocomposites as culture-stone protective materials. J Appl Polym Sci. 2012;125:282–91.

[10] El-Midany AA, Khallaf MK, El-Mofty SE. Characterization of silicone coating for archeological stone conservation. Surf Interface Anal. 2011;43:1182–8.

[11] Khallaf MK, El-Midany AA, El-Mofty SE. Influence of acrylic coatings on the interfacial, physical, and mechanical properties of stone-based monuments. Prog Org Coat. 2011;72:592–8.

[12] Bautista-Gutiérrez KP, Herrera-May AL, Santamaría-Lopez JM, Honorato-Moreno A, Zamora-Castro SA. Recent progress in nanomaterials for modern concrete infrastructure: advantages and challenges. Materials. 2019;12:3548–88.

[13] Rosales A, Maury-Ramírez A, Gutiérrez RM-D, Guzmán C, Esquivel K. SiO₂@TiO₂ coating: synthesis, physical characterization and photocatalytic evaluation. Coatings. 2018;8:120–33.

[14] Saeed M, Munee M, Khosa MKK, Akram N, Khalid S, Adeel M, et al. Azadirachta indica leaf extract assisted green synthesis of Ag–TiO₂ for degradation of Methylene blue and Rhodamine B dyes in aqueous medium. Green Process Synth. 2019;8:659–66.

[15] Liu Q, Liu Q, Zhu Z, Zhang J, Zhang B. Application of TiO₂ photocatalyst to the stone conservation. Mater Res Innov. 2015;19:51–54.

[16] Graziani L, Quagliarini E, Osismani A, Aquilanti L, Clementi F, D’Orazio M. The influence of clay brick substratum on the inhibitory efficiency of TiO₂ nanocoating against biofouling. Build Environ. 2014;82:128–34.

[17] Lv T, Zhao J, Chen M, Shen K, Zhang D, Zhang J, et al. Boosted visible-light photodegradation of Methylene blue by V and Co co-doped TiO₂. Materials. 2018;11:1946–58.

[18] Zhang W. Preparation of the anatase phase TiO₂ nanocrystals using subcritical water as the solvent and evaluation of their photocatalytic properties under visible light irradiation. Green Process Synth. 2017;7:506–14.

[19] Quagliarini E, Bondioli F, Goffredo GB, Cordoni C, Munafò P. Self-cleaning and de-polluting stone surfaces: TiO₂ nanoparticles for limestone. Constr Build Mater. 2012;37:51–57.

[20] Pinho L, Elhaddad F, Facio DS, Mosquera MJ. A novel TiO₂–SiO₂ nanocomposite converts a very friable stone into a self-cleaning building material. Appl Surf Sci. 2013;275:389–96.

[21] Petronella F, Truppi A, Ingrosso C, Placido T, Striccoli M, Curri ML, et al. Nanocomposite materials for photocatalytic degradation of pollutants. Catal Today. 2017;281:85–100.

[22] Kapridaki C, Pinho L, Mosquera MJ, Maravelaki-Kalaitzaki P. Producing photoactive, transparent and hydrophobic SiO₂-crystalline TiO₂ nanocomposites at ambient conditions with application as self-cleaning coatings. Appl Catal B. 2014;156–157:416–27.

[23] Pinho L, Mosquera MJ. Photocatalytic activity of TiO₂–SiO₂ nanocomposites applied to buildings: influence of particle size and loading. Appl Catal B. 2013;134–5:205–21.

[24] Shu H, Yang M, Liu Q, Luo M. Study of TiO₂-modified sol coating material in the protection of stone-built cultural heritage. Coatings. 2020;10:179–90.

[25] Conradi M, Sever T, Gregorič P, Kocijan A. Short- and long-term wettability evolution and corrosion resistance of uncoated and polymer-coated laser-textured steel surface. Coatings. 2019;9:592–607.

[26] Quagliarini E, Bondioli F, Goffredo GB, Licciulli A, Munafò P. Self-cleaning materials on Architectural Heritage: compatibility of photo-induced hydrophilicity of TiO₂ coatings on stone surfaces. J Cult Herit. 2013;14:1–7.

[27] La Russa MF, Ruffolo SA, Roverella N, Belfiore CM, Palermo AM, Guzzi MT, et al. Multifunctional TiO₂ coatings for Cultural Heritage. Prog Org Coat. 2012;74:186–91.

[28] Berns RS, Reiman DM. Color managing the third edition of Billmeyer and Saltzman’s Principles of Color Technology. Color Res Appl. 2002;27:360–73.

[29] Petronella F, Pagliarulo A, Truppi A, Lettieri M, Masieri M, Calia A, et al. TiO₂ nanocrystal based coatings for the protection of architectural stone: the effect of solvents in the spray-coating application for a self-cleaning surfaces. Coatings. 2018;8:356–79.

[30] Zhang H, Liu Q, Liu T, Zhang B. The preservation damage of hydrophobic polymer coating materials in conservation of stone relics. Prog Org Coat. 2013;76:1227–34.

[31] Yang HG, Li CZ, Gu HC, Fang TN. Rheological behavior of TiO₂ suspensions. J Colloid Interface Sci. 2001;236:96–103.

[32] Hou P, Gao F, Gao Y, Yang Y, Cai C. Changes in breakdown pressure and fracture morphology of sandstone induced by nitrogen gas fracturing with different pore pressure distributions. Int J Rock Mech Min. 2018;109:84–90.

[33] Tokarský J, Martinez P, Mamulová Kutlaková K, Ovačíková H, Študentová S, Šučjak Ľ. Photoactive and hydrophobic nano-ZnO/poly(alkyl siloxane) coating for the protection of sandstone. Constr Build Mater. 2019;199:549–59.

[34] Peruzzi R, Poli T, Tonio L. The experimental test for the evaluation of protective treatments: a critical survey of the “capillary absorption index”. J Cult Herit. 2003;4:251–4.

[35] Liu Q, Zhang B, Shen Z, Lu H. A crude protective film on historic stones and its artificial preparation through biomimetic synthesis. Appl Surf Sci. 2006;253:2625–32.