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Introduction

Spontaneous mitotic homologous recombination (HR) plays an important role in securing the integrity of the genome [1–3]. On the other hand, this process may lead to loss of heterozygosity, which plays a major role in tumorigenesis in higher eukaryotes. For these reasons, it is important to understand how spontaneous mitotic HR is initiated. Much of our understanding of how HR is initiated comes from meiotic studies. Here, the high level of HR is due to the programmed formation of a large number of DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) [4]. These breaks are produced in early prophase by the coordinated action of a number of proteins including Spo11, which is believed to be directly responsible for strand cleavage [5–7]. Likewise, DSBs have been shown to promote HR in mitotic cells. For example, the well-characterized ability of haploid Saccharomyces cerevisiae cells to switch mating type is the result of an HR event that is initiated by a DSB produced by the HO-endonuclease [8]. More generally, γ-irradiation, which induces DSBs in the genome, increases the frequency of HR [3], and linear DNA molecules transformed into a cell may integrate into the genome via HR [9,10]. Importantly, both DSB repair and spontaneous HR are dependent on the activities encoded by the genes in the RAD52 epistasis group [3], which strongly indicates that the two processes have a common biochemistry. It has therefore generally been assumed that the source of spontaneous HR is a DSB that occurs accidentally during the cell cycle. However, this assumption remains speculative as spontaneous HR is rare and the triggering lesion has never been identified [1]. It is known that repair of other types of lesions may result in HR. For example, HR is stimulated by UV-irradiation that mostly produces pyrimidine dimers [11,12]. Moreover, in Escherichia coli it has been demonstrated that single-stranded gaps are potent substrates for HR [13–16]. Although many different lesions have been shown to trigger HR, the nature of the molecular event(s) that causes most spontaneous mitotic HR remains unclear.

Rad52 is important for DSB repair and all types of HR in S. cerevisiae, and to understand the role of Rad52 in these processes we have previously performed a comprehensive alanine scan mutation study. This plasmid-based screen identified a class of nine rad52 mutants (class C mutants) that are sensitive to γ-irradiation, yet maintain wild-type levels of mitotic HR [17]. This result suggests that the role of...
Rad52 in DSB repair and spontaneous HR can be separated. To investigate this possibility, we have introduced each of the nine separation-of-function rad52 mutations into the genome of *S. cerevisiae* for further characterization. By analyzing the repair of different types of defined DSBs we have shown that rad52 class C mutants indeed are defective in DSB repair and that repair is blocked at a stage after the recruitment of both Rad51 and mutant Rad52 to the break. In contrast, all class C mutants perform mitotic HR at wild-type or higher levels and this activity is independent of the presence of Rad59, a Rad52 paralog in *S. cerevisiae*. Interestingly, mitotic HR is efficiently induced by UV-irradiation in the rad52 class C mutant strains. Together our results are consistent with a view that DNA nicks and single-stranded gaps, rather than DSBs, are major sources of spontaneous HR in mitotic yeast cells.

**Results**

**Initial Characterization of rad52 Separation-of-Function Mutants**

Initially, we addressed the possibility that the *rad52* separation-of-function phenotype identified in our previous screen [17] was caused by the fact that all mutant Rad52 species were ectopically expressed from a plasmid. Therefore, we replaced the endogenous *RAD52* gene by each of the nine *rad52* class C alleles and the resulting strains were individually tested for their ability to repair γ-ray-induced DNA damage and to perform mitotic heteroallelic HR. First, we confirmed that all *rad52* class C mutant strains are γ-ray sensitive (Figure 1 and Table 1). In fact, most *rad52* class C mutant strains display sensitivities comparable to that measured for *rad52A* strains. Next, we confirmed that spontaneous HR occurs at a high rate in all *rad52* class C mutant strains. Hence, all diploid *rad52* class C mutant strains display high interchromosomal-heteroallelic HR rates (Table 2). In fact, four strains, *rad52*-Y66A, *rad52*-R70A, *rad52*-W84A, and *rad52*-R156A are significantly hyper-recombinogenic (3- to 4-fold). Moreover, we measured the rate of HR between two directly repeated *leu2* heteroalleles, *leu2-D* (oriK) and *leu2-D* (oriEII) in haploid strains (Table 2). In this assay, two *rad52* class C mutant strains, *rad52*-R85A and -R156A, produced HR rates identical to that obtained with wild-type strains. The remaining *rad52* class C mutant strains were slightly hypo-recombinogenic and the largest decrease, 3-fold, was observed for *rad52*-C180A. Importantly, even with *rad52*-C180A strains, the direct-repeat HR rate is 10-fold higher than that observed for *rad52A* strains. Taken together we have confirmed the separation of Rad52 functions in HR and γ-ray damage repair in strains where the *rad52* class C mutations are integrated at the *RAD52* locus.

**The rad52 Class C Mutant Phenotype Is Not Caused by Reduced Rad52 Protein Levels**

The separation-of-function phenotype of *rad52* class C mutants could potentially be related to instability of the mutant proteins. For example, it could be argued that repair of γ-ray-induced damage requires more Rad52 protein compared to the amount required to maintain rare mitotic HR events. However, protein blot analysis showed that the Rad52 levels are slightly reduced, but none of these reductions are statistically different from the protein level found for wild-type strains (Table 2). Moreover, we have previously shown that γ-ray survival is reduced only 2- to 3-fold and HR 4-fold in strains, which produce 25% of the wild-type level of Rad52 protein [18]. Thus, the separation-of-function phenotype of *rad52* class C mutations cannot be explained by slightly lowered Rad52 protein concentrations.

**Mitotic Recombination in rad52 Class C Mutants Does Not Depend on Rad59**

*S. cerevisiae* contains a truncated Rad52 paralog, Rad59. A mutation in *RAD52* (rad52-*R70K*) has previously been described to cause a synthetic defect with *rad59A* suggesting that the two proteins have overlapping functions [19,20]. All of the *rad52* class C mutations are located in a region of *Rad52* that is homologous to Rad59. Thus, we investigated whether the ability of the *rad52* class C mutants to perform HR was due to the ability of Rad59 to substitute for an impaired Rad52 function. However, the rates of interchromosomal heteroallelic HR obtained for wild-type and all the *rad52* class C mutant strains in the absence of Rad59 are mostly indistinguishable from the rates obtained in its presence (Table 2). In fact, three strains, *rad52*, *rad52*-Y66A, and *rad52*-W84A show small, 1.5- to 2-fold, but significant, increases of the HR rate in the absence of Rad59.

**rad52 Class C Mutant Strains Fail to Repair Defined DNA DSBs**

It is generally assumed that the lethality of *rad52A* strains after exposure to γ-rays is the consequence of unrepaired DSBs. However, besides DSBs, γ-irradiation causes a variety of DNA modifications such as clustered base-modifications, abasic sites, and nicks, as well as DNA-DNA and DNA-protein crosslinks [21–24]. Repair of such lesions may rely on Rad52 functions that differ from those required for efficient DSB repair. It is therefore possible that *rad52* class C mutant strains are capable of repairing DSBs, but die after γ-irradiation because of their inability to repair other types of lesions. Assuming that a DSB is required to initiate HR, this scenario would explain why *rad52* class C mutants can be γ-ray sensitive yet at the same time be HR-proficient. To explore this possibility, *rad52* class C mutant strains were investigated for their ability to repair and survive a single well-defined DSB. First, the ability of *rad52* class C mutants to
catalyze plasmid gap repair was determined (Figure 2A). In wild-type strains, all transformants tested (20 out of 20, see Table 3) contained a plasmid that was sealed by HR using the genomic trp1–1 allele as template (ten are shown in Figure 2B). In contrast, with rad52 class C and rad52Δ mutant strains, the repair frequencies are reduced 10–100- and 250-fold, respectively, compared to the efficiency obtained with wild-type strains, indicating that plasmid re-circularization occurs inefficiently in these strains. The low repair frequencies in rad52 class C and rad52Δ mutants are likely due to their inability to close a gapped plasmid by HR. Indeed, with the exception of rad52-R70A, the majority of the transformants tested contained plasmids that were generated by non-homologous end-joining (NHEJ) rather than by HR (Table 3). In addition, we obtained a few aberrant transformants with rad52Δ and rad52 class C mutant strains that were not formed as the result of plasmid repair by simple NHEJ or plasmid-gap repair (Table 3). For these events, the PCR analysis produced either a band of a size that is significantly different from that expected if the two plasmid ends simply joined; hence, indicating a larger deletion/rearrangement event had taken place, or no PCR fragment could be recovered. For one mutant strain, rad52-Y96Δ, this class constitutes the major type of events.

We next investigated the ability of the rad52 class C mutants to repair a single chromosomal DSB induced by the HO-endonuclease at the mating-type locus. To survive, a cell must repair the break by gene conversion using either HMLα or HMRα as template [25]. We measured the ability of all rad52 class C mutants to survive and switch mating type after transient induction of the HO-endonuclease (Table 3). After this treatment, essentially all wild-type cells survive and approximately half of them switch mating type. In contrast, significant amounts of all rad52Δ and rad52 class C mutant cells (20%–40%) die after HO-endonuclease induction indicating that they suffered a DNA DSB, which failed to be repaired. The surviving cells likely failed to produce a DSB at the MAT locus after induction as none of the rad52Δ survivors and only few 1% (rad52–Y96Δ and rad52–C180A) to 9% (rad52–W84A) of the rad52 class C mutant survivors switched mating type. Thus, even a single DSB is inefficiently repaired in rad52 class C mutant strains. We also compared the ability of wild-type, rad52Δ, and one of the rad52 class C mutant strains, rad52–C180A, to survive sustained expression of the HO-endonuclease in a spot assay. For wild-type strains, continuous expression of the HO-endonuclease caused a 10-fold reduction in viability. In contrast, the viability of rad52–C180A and rad52Δ strains was reduced an additional three orders of magnitude (Figure S1).

We also investigated the ability of rad52 class C mutants to repair a DSB induced by the HO-endonuclease between two directly repeated sequences (Figure 3A). In wild-type strains, such a DSB is preferentially and efficiently repaired via the single-strand-annealing (SSA) pathway where the break is sealed at the expense of the intervening sequence [26]. In agreement with this, most of the wild-type cells survive induction of the HO-endonuclease and 70% of the survivors lose the sequence between the two repeats indicating successful repair by SSA (Table 3). In contrast, the viability of all rad52 class C mutant strains was reduced to a level similar to that obtained for rad52Δ strains (Table 3) and among the survivors only a few of the cells contained a deletion event (6%–12%). This result suggests that rad52 class C mutants are defective in SSA. To investigate this possibility in more detail, the fate of the HO-induced break was determined at the DNA level for all rad52 class C mutant strains. Specifically, genomic blot analysis was used to measure three different DNA species: intact DNA, cut DNA, and the repair product. Consistent with an earlier study [27], we observed that the cut DNA is efficiently repaired in more than 80% of the wild-type cells within 5 h. In contrast, rad52Δ strains repaired less than 3% of the cleaved DNA in a similar time span. This explains the high lethality in the absence of Rad52. By performing the same analysis for the rad52 class C mutant strains, we find that they all fail to produce wild-type

Table 1. Effect of γ-Irradiation on rad52 Mutant Strains

| Allele | γ-Ray Sensitivity (LD37)* | % Cells Containing γ-Ray-Induced Rad52-Focib |
|--------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|        |                          | G1                                            | S/G2/M                                          |
| RAD52  | 52 ± 4                   | 69                                            | 85                                              |
| rad52Δ | 1.9 ± 0.07               | —                                             | —                                               |
| Y66A   | 4.9 ± 0.2                | 3                                             | 72                                              |
| R70A   | 3.3 ± 0.09               | 6                                             | 73                                              |
| W84A   | 2.3 ± 0.06               | 11                                            | 74                                              |
| R86A   | 2.4 ± 0.17               | 7                                             | 50                                              |
| Y96A   | 2.3 ± 0.19               | 11                                            | 76                                              |
| R156A  | 5.3 ± 0.07               | 7                                             | 56                                              |
| T163A  | 2.6 ± 0.19               | 8                                             | 60                                              |
| C180A  | 2.4 ± 0.11               | 11                                            | 49                                              |
| F186A  | 2.6 ± 0.14               | 2                                             | 67                                              |

*LD37 in krad, see Materials and Methods.

bCells were exposed to 80 krad prior to microscopy.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.t001
levels of repaired DNA (Figure 3B and Table 3). In strains rad52-Y66A, -R70A, -W84A, -R85A, -R156A, and -F186A approximately 25% of the breaks are sealed to form a product, whereas in rad52-Y96A, -T163A, and -C180A approximately 10% of the breaks are repaired. Accordingly, most of the rad52 class C mutant cells die after induction of the break, simply because they fail to join the resulting two ends. In addition, as is the case with rad52Δ strains, we note that cut DNA disappears as a function of time in rad52 class C mutant strains despite the fact that no corresponding amount of product is being formed (Figure 3 and unpublished data). In rad52Δ strains, this phenomenon is due to the continuous degradation of the 5' strand at the breaks. Eventually the single-stranded region expands to include the restriction enzyme, Spel, cut site, which is used to liberate the detectable fragment for the genomic blot analysis. Accordingly, this fragment is shifted in the gel [26–28].

**rad52 Class C Mutant Strains Are Sensitive to Camptothecin**

A lesion similar to a DSB may also be formed if a cell replicates a nicked template since a free DNA end is formed when a replication fork collapses at a nick. The replication fork can be restored if the free DNA end invades a replication fork that can be restored if the free DNA end invades a replication fork since a free DNA end is formed when a replication fork collapses at a nick [34]. Thus, in the presence of camptothecin, the viability of rad52 class C and rad52Δ mutant strains is reduced 10- to 1,000-fold and approximately 25% of the breaks are sealed to form a product, whereas in rad52-Y96A, -T163A, and -C180A approximately 10% of the breaks are repaired. Accordingly, most of the rad52 class C mutant cells die after induction of the break, simply because they fail to join the resulting two ends. In addition, as is the case with rad52Δ strains, we note that cut DNA disappears as a function of time in rad52 class C mutant strains despite the fact that no corresponding amount of product is being formed (Figure 3 and unpublished data). In rad52Δ strains, this phenomenon is due to the continuous degradation of the 5' strand at the breaks. Eventually the single-stranded region expands to include the restriction enzyme, Spel, cut site, which is used to liberate the detectable fragment for the genomic blot analysis. Accordingly, this fragment is shifted in the gel [26–28].

**rad52 Class C Mutant Strains Perform Break-Induced Replication with Reduced Efficiency**

We also investigated the possibility that a selected rad52 class C mutant, rad52-C180A, could rescue a linearized plasmid by a reaction that involves break-induced replication (BIR), by using a chromosome fragmentation assay. In this assay, one end of the plasmid contains TG1–3 repeats, which will be rescued by de novo telomere addition, the other end is rescued in a BIR event that involves one-ended invasion at the D8B region of Chromosome III [36,37]. Davis and Symington found that the efficiency of BIR in this assay is reduced at least 4,000-fold in rad52Δ strains, and in agreement with this we did not recover any transformants that result from BIR in the absence of Rad52. On the other hand,

### Table 2. Effects of rad52 Mutations on Rad52 Protein Levels, Inter- and Intrachromosomal Recombination, and Rad52 Focus Formation in Mitotic Cells

| Allele | Protein Levels (% of Wild-Type) | Heteroallelic Recombination (Rate × 10⁻⁸) | Direct Repeat Recombination (Rate × 10⁻⁶) | Spontaneous Rad52 Focus (% Cells) |
|--------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|        | RAD59                          | rad59                                    | G1                                       | S/G2/M                           |
| RAD52  | 100 ± 30                       | 110 ± 30b                                 | 220 ± 27d                                | 46 ± 12b                         |
| rad52Δ | —                              | 0.6 ± 0.3a                               | 1.8 ± 0.5                                | 1.5 ± 0.4a                       |
| Y66A   | 83 ± 15b                       | 290 ± 50b                                 | 350 ± 30b                                | 30 ± 9b                           |
| R70A   | 48 ± 14a                       | 370 ± 60b                                 | 340 ± 60b                                | 20 ± 6b                           |
| W84A   | 60 ± 11a                       | 330 ± 40b                                 | 410 ± 50b                                | 20 ± 5b                           |
| R85A   | 37 ± 13a                       | 130 ± 30b                                 | 130 ± 70                                 | 44 ± 12b                          |
| Y96A   | 42 ± 11a                       | 150 ± 40b                                 | 190 ± 60                                 | 23 ± 7b                           |
| R156A  | 62 ± 13a                       | 430 ± 110b                                | 410 ± 40                                 | 32 ± 8b                           |
| T163A  | 40 ± 6b                        | 120 ± 20b                                 | 140 ± 40                                 | 17 ± 3b                           |
| C180A  | 71 ± 1a                        | 190 ± 40b                                 | 150 ± 30                                 | 14 ± 3b                           |
| F186A  | 60 ± 22a                       | 240 ± 100b                                | 250 ± 50                                 | 19 ± 3b                           |

* p > 0.05 rad52 mutants versus wild-type.
* p < 0.05 wild-type or rad52 class C mutant versus rad52Δ.
* p < 0.05 rad52 mutants versus wild-type.
* p < 0.05 RAD52 allele RAD59 versus RAD52 allele rad59.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.t002
with rad52-C180A strains, we find that the BIR efficiency is only 2.7-fold reduced compared to wild-type indicating that the efficiency of a one-ended invasion is only mildly affected in class C mutants.

### Rad52 Class C Mutant Protein Forms Spontaneous Foci during the Mitotic Cell Cycle

We have previously used a biologically functional Rad52-YFP (yellow fluorescent protein) fusion protein to monitor DNA repair in individual wild-type cells. This is possible as Rad52-YFP accumulates to form a bright focus at a lesion during S/G2/M-phase [38,39]. Like wild-type strains, a subset of S/G2/M-phase cells expressing class C mutant Rad52-YFP contains a spontaneous focus. This is consistent with rad52 class C mutants being proficient for spontaneous HR (Table 2 and Figure 5A). In fact, the number of cells containing a repair focus is typically 5- to 6-fold higher than for wild-type strains. This phenomenon could be due to either more cells on average forming foci or to foci lasting longer in rad52 class C mutant strains. To distinguish between these possibilities, Table 3.

### Table 3. Effects of rad52 Mutations on the Repair Efficiency of Defined DNA DSBs

| Allele  | Repair Frequency* (%) | Mechanism† | Viability (%) | Efficiency (%) | HO-Induced SSA |
|---------|-----------------------|------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|
|         | HR:NHEJ:A / Total     |            |               |                |                |
| RAD52   | 100                   | 98 ± 4     | 45 ± 2        | 87 ± 13        | 70 ± 10        | 84 ± 4         |
| rad52Δ  | 0.4                   | 0 ± 16     | 6 ± 0         | 26 ± 8         | 5 ± 2          | 3 ± 1          |
| Y66A    | 10.4                  | 7 ± 9      | 4 ± 0.3       | 40 ± 5         | 10 ± 1         | 24 ± 8         |
| R76A    | 2.0                   | 16 ± 2     | 7 ± 2         | 34 ± 5         | 11 ± 4         | 25 ± 6         |
| W84A    | 3.5                   | 5 ± 14     | 9 ± 3         | 29 ± 4         | 8 ± 2          | 24 ± 6         |
| R85A    | 0.7                   | 6 ± 11     | 2 ± 1         | 17 ± 6         | 12 ± 5         | 28 ± 6         |
| Y96A    | 2.1                   | 0 ± 3      | 1 ± 1         | 32 ± 11        | 7 ± 2          | 11 ± 2         |
| R156A   | 10.4                  | 3 ± 15     | 9 ± 3         | 29 ± 11        | 10 ± 2         | 23 ± 6         |
| C180A   | 1.7                   | 8 ± 11     | 9 ± 0.4       | 20 ± 7         | 7 ± 2          | 12 ± 2         |
| F186A   | 5.0                   | 1 ± 17     | 3 ± 0.7       | 28 ± 8         | 6 ± 1          | 26 ± 12        |

*Transformation with gapped pRS413-TRP1.
†Transformation efficiency normalized to wild-type.
‡Number of independent transformants generated by HR, NHEJ, or an aberrant event A/Total number of transformants analyzed.
§A comparison of the number of Ura+ cells present 60 min after HO induction with the number of Trp+ cells present at the zero time point.

The repair % was determined five h after HO induction by genomic blot analyses like those presented in Figure 3.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.g002

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.t003
rad52-C180A-YFP was analyzed in more detail by time-lapse microscopy (Figure 5B). The results from this analysis suggest that repair in the rad52-C180A-YFP mutant strain is slower than in wild-type strains. Specifically, 50% of all repair foci are processed within 10 min in wild-type cells and 90% within 1 h. In comparison, rad52-C180A-YFP cells need approximately 1 and 6 h to process 50% and 90% of all repair foci, respectively. The longer duration of Rad52-C180A-YFP foci likely reflects slow repair. In most cells, Rad52-C180A-YFP foci eventually disappear and cell division proceeds as normal indicating that the spontaneous Rad52-C180A-CFP foci represent active repair of spontaneous DNA lesions and not inactive Rad52 aggregates. Overall, the percentage of cells forming foci per cell cycle is higher in rad52-C180A strains (76%) compared to wild-type strains (53%) indicating that the number of lesions is slightly increased in rad52-C180A strains or that some foci in wild-type cells are too short-lived to be registered in the analysis. Finally, yeast has been shown to adapt to the DNA damage checkpoint at G2/M [40,41] such foci are likely the result of long-lasting Rad52 foci being transmitted into G1 cells after adaptation to the G2/M checkpoint.

A Rad52 Class C Mutant Protein and Rad51 Co-Localize at a Defined DNA DSB

The failure of rad52 class C mutant strains to repair γ-ray-induced damage as well as defined DSBs could be explained if these lesions were never recognized by the mutant Rad52 species. To test this possibility, all class C rad52-YFP mutant strains were γ-irradiated with a dose of 80 krad to investigate whether this would induce class C Rad52-YFP focus formation (Table 1). As previously observed, un-irradiated wild-type G1 cells rarely, if ever, form repair foci. However, after irradiation, 60% of wild-type G1 cells display one or more bright foci [38]. This may represent an abnormal stress situation where the system that normally prevents Rad52-dependent DNA repair to occur in G1 is overwhelmed or bypassed. Interestingly, γ-irradiation did not increase the number of rad52 class C mutant G1 cells containing a class C Rad52-YFP focus. In S/G2/M cells, which represent the population of cells where Rad52 dependent repair normally occurs, the number of wild-type cells that contain at least one

---

**Figure 3.** Kinetics of the Repair of a DSB Produced between Directly Repeated *leu2* Heteroalleles

(A) Graphical representation of the assay used to follow HO-endonuclease-induced direct-repeat HR. The positions of the *leu2*-ΔBstEII and *leu2*-ΔEcoRI heteroalleles are indicated by black wedges. The position of the HO cut-site, HOcs, is indicated by an arrow. The product resulting from DSB repair by SSA is shown below the direct-repeat assay. Note that the product is given as a wild-type sequence, but it could also contain any combination of the *leu2*-ΔBstEII and *leu2*-ΔEcoRI alleles, as the analysis performed here does not discriminate between these possibilities. Arrows labeled SpeI indicate the positions of the SpeI cut-sites used to release the region from its chromosomal context for genomic blot analysis. Horizontal bars represent the location of the probe used for genomic blot analysis.

(B) The DSB was produced by induction of the HO-endonuclease and repair was analyzed in three different strain backgrounds, wild-type, rad52Δ, and rad52-C180A, as indicated. In each strain, the kinetics of three DNA species in the process was followed: uncut DNA, cut DNA, and product. The positions of these species are indicated by arrows. A DNA fragment serving as loading control (see Materials and Methods) is also visualized. The number above each lane indicates the time point after induction of the HO-endonuclease in hours.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.g003

---

rad52-C180A-YFP was analyzed in more detail by time-lapse microscopy (Figure 5B). The results from this analysis suggest that repair in the rad52-C180A-YFP mutant strain is slower than in wild-type strains. Specifically, 50% of all repair foci are processed within 10 min in wild-type cells and 90% within 1 h. In comparison, rad52-C180A-YFP cells need approximately 1 and 6 h to process 50% and 90% of all repair foci, respectively. The longer duration of Rad52-C180A-YFP foci likely reflects slow repair. In most cells, Rad52-C180A-YFP foci eventually disappear and cell division proceeds as normal indicating that the spontaneous Rad52-C180A-CFP foci represent active repair of spontaneous DNA lesions and not inactive Rad52 aggregates. Overall, the percentage of cells forming foci per cell cycle is higher in rad52-C180A strains (76%) compared to wild-type strains (53%) indicating that the number of lesions is slightly increased in rad52-C180A strains or that some foci in wild-type cells are too short-lived to be registered in the analysis. Finally, unlike wild-type strains most of the rad52 class C mutant strains (seven out of nine) contain Rad52-YFP foci at a low frequency in G1 cells. Since yeast has been shown to adapt to the DNA damage checkpoint at G2/M [40,41] such foci are likely the result of long-lasting Rad52 foci being transmitted into G1 cells after adaptation to the G2/M checkpoint.

A Rad52 Class C Mutant Protein and Rad51 Co-Localize at a Defined DNA DSB

The failure of rad52 class C mutant strains to repair γ-ray-induced damage as well as defined DSBs could be explained if these lesions were never recognized by the mutant Rad52 species. To test this possibility, all class C rad52-YFP mutant strains were γ-irradiated with a dose of 80 krad to investigate whether this would induce class C Rad52-YFP focus formation (Table 1). As previously observed, un-irradiated wild-type G1 cells rarely, if ever, form repair foci. However, after irradiation, 60% of wild-type G1 cells display one or more bright foci [38]. This may represent an abnormal stress situation where the system that normally prevents Rad52-dependent DNA repair to occur in G1 is overwhelmed or bypassed. Interestingly, γ-irradiation did not increase the number of rad52 class C mutant G1 cells containing a class C Rad52-YFP focus. In S/G2/M cells, which represent the population of cells where Rad52 dependent repair normally occurs, the number of wild-type cells that contain at least one...
Rad52-YFP focus increases 6-fold after γ-irradiation. In contrast, no significant increase is observed with rad52 class C mutant cells (compare Tables 1 and 2). These results suggest that class C mutant Rad52-YFP proteins are not recruited to γ-ray-induced DSBs. However, this conclusion may be flawed because the majority of rad52 class C mutant S/G2/M cells already contain a repair focus before irradiation making it hard to determine whether any additional foci were formed.

We therefore decided to investigate whether a selected Rad52 class C mutant protein, Rad52-C180A-CFP (cyan fluorescent protein), is recruited to an HO-inducible cut-site. In strains expressing the lac-repressor fused to YFP, this HO cut-site is marked by a yellow dot in the nucleus as it is adjacent to an array of 256 copies of lacO (Figure 6A). This allows a Rad52 focus formed at the induced DSB to be distinguished from a focus at a spontaneous lesion. With wild-type cells, approximately 60% of the cells contain a Rad52 focus. This number roughly represents the induction efficiency, i.e., the fraction of cells where a DNA DSB was induced, as spontaneous foci are rare in a population of wild-type cells. Of the cells that contained a Rad52 focus after induction, 90% contained a Rad52 focus that co-localized with the induced DNA DSB. This confirms our previous observation that Rad52 is efficiently recruited to this break [39]. With rad52-C180A-CFP strains, Rad52-C180A-CFP foci co-localized with the labeled DNA DSB in 55% of the cases, showing that Rad52-C180A is also recruited to a defined DNA DSB. At first glance, it may seem that the recruitment efficiency of Rad52-C180A-CFP is somewhat reduced compared to wild-type Rad52-CFP. However, it is important to note that, unlike in wild-type, many rad52-C180A-CFP cells already contain a spontaneous focus before induction. If the DSB induction efficiency in the mutant is similar to that in wild-type (60%), a significant number of rad52-C180A-CFP cells containing a spontaneous focus may in fact not have received a DSB during induction. We therefore believe that 55% is an underestimate of the recruitment efficiency as these cells contribute to increase the total number of cells containing a focus after induction. As previously shown for wild-type strains, fortuitous co-localization of Rad52-C180A-CFP and the HO-induced break is less than 5% in control cells that do not express the HOendonuclease and in cells where the HO-inducible DSB and the lacO array are placed on different chromosomes (Figure 6B and 6C).

Next, we investigated whether Rad51, which is required in the subsequent steps of the repair pathway, is also recruited to a specific DNA DSB in rad52-C180A strains. Specifically, co-localization of Rad52-C180A-YFP and Rad51-CFP was determined at a specific DNA DSB induced by the I-SceI endonuclease. In a strain expressing the tetI-repressor fused to RFP (red fluorescent protein), this I-SceI cut-site is marked by a red dot in the nucleus as it is adjacent to an array of 336 copies of tetO (Figure 7). Similar to above, approximately 55% of the wild-type cells contained a Rad52-YFP focus after induction. Of these cells, 95% contain a Rad52 focus that co-localized with the marked I-SceI cut-site. Previously, Rad51 and Rad52 have been shown to co-localize at an HO-induced DSB at the MAT locus [42]. In agreement with this, we observed that the Rad52 foci that co-localized with an I-SceI cut-site also co-localized with a Rad51-CFP focus in 95% of the cases (Figure 7), demonstrating the presence of both the repair proteins at the I-SceI-induced DSB. Of the 56% rad52-
The Role of DNA DSBs in Spontaneous HR

Figure 5. Duration of Spontaneous Rad52-YFP and Rad52-C180A-YFP Foci
(A) Rad52-YFP and Rad52-C180A-YFP foci are formed in small-budded cells in mitotically growing cultures. Arrowheads point to Rad52-YFP foci. Scale bar, 3 μm.
(b) The percentage of cells that do not develop a Rad52 focus during a cell cycle is shown in the left side of the histogram. The percentage of cells that do form a Rad52 focus is shown in the right side of the histogram as a distribution arranged according to the duration of the Rad52 focus observed in individual cells. Each column represents the percentage of cells that have turned the Rad52 focus over in the time frame indicated. Results from RAD52 and rad52-C180A strains are shown as indicated. Median duration of Rad52 foci is 8 min for the wild-type and 57 min for rad52-C180A.
doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.g005

C180A-YFP cells that contained a Rad52-C180A-YFP focus, 46% of these foci co-localized with the I-SceI cut-site. Similar to wild-type cells, 94% of the observed Rad52-C180A-YFP foci that co-localized with an I-SceI cut-site also co-localized with a Rad51-CFP focus. These results show that Rad51 is efficiently recruited to a repair focus at a defined DNA DSB in a rad52-C180A strain.

Homologous Recombination Is Efficiently Induced by UV-Irradiation in rad52 Class C Mutant Strains

The fact that rad52 class C mutant strains fail to repair DSBs, yet efficiently produce recombinants by HR, prompted us to look for another type of lesion that could induce HR in these mutants. It is well known that HR is stimulated by UV-irradiation [11], which produces DNA lesions that mostly consist of pyrimidine dimers and pyrimidine adducts [12]. To investigate whether UV-rays can induce HR in rad52 class C mutant strains, the mutants were irradiated by a dose that resulted in 91% viability for wild-type and 15% for rad52Δ strains (Table 4). Although rare, DSBs may form as the result of bi-stranded and clustered UV-ray-induced damage [43] and the higher lethality observed for rad52Δ strains compared to wild-type strains may be due to the failure of rad52Δ strains to repair these DSBs. In agreement with this view, the UV sensitivities measured for rad52 class C mutant strains, which also fail to repair DSBs, are similar to that obtained for rad52Δ cells. At this UV dose, the frequency of heteroallelic interchromosomal HR is increased 410-fold in wild-type strains compared to the spontaneous HR rate (Table 4). Similar stimulations of HR, 230-fold (rad52-R70A) to 800-fold (rad52-T163A), relative to the spontaneous rates of HR, were observed for all rad52 class C mutant strains. Importantly, the absolute HR frequencies obtained for most of the rad52 class C mutant strains after UV irradiation are higher (3.4-fold in the case of rad52-T66A) than the HR frequency obtained with wild-type strains (Table 4). We note that prototroph formation is also strongly stimulated in rad52Δ strains. Such prototrophs, which are formed independently of Rad52, do not contribute significantly to the number of prototrophs obtained in wild-type and in class C mutant strains, as they occur at a frequency that is more than 100-fold lower than in these strains (Table 4). Rad52 independent prototroph formation has previously been observed in rad52Δ strains designed to detect heteroallelic HR [44]. However, in that study they were accounted for as being generated by UV-induced mutation rather than by HR. Since rad52 is a known mutator [45], we investigated the ability of diploid wild-type, rad52-C180A, and rad52Δ strains, which are homozygous for either leu2-ΔBstEII or leu2-ΔEcoRI, for their ability to revert and form prototrophs after UV-irradiation. In all cases, no prototrophs were obtained when similar numbers of cells were plated. Hence, in the case of wild-type and rad52-C180A, reversion rates are at least three orders of magnitude lower than the rates of prototroph formation found for heteroalleles. For rad52Δ strains it is at least 6-fold reduced. Hence, prototrophs obtained from heterozygous leu2-ΔBstEII/leu2-ΔEcoRI strains after UV irradiation are likely true recombinants. Together, these results show that some UV-induced DNA lesions are substrates for Rad52 class C mutant species in a process that yields viable recombinants at wild-type levels.

Discussion

In this study we have thoroughly characterized rad52 class C mutants, which were originally identified in a plasmid-based screen as being γ-ray sensitive but proficient for HR [17]. First, we confirmed this separation-of-function phenotype in strains where the mutations were integrated into the RAD52 locus. Next, we examined the separation-of-function pheno-
type in more detail. With respect to HR, we showed that the mitotic HR observed in rad52 class C mutants is not due to a compensatory effect of Rad59. With respect to the inability of rad52 class C mutants to repair DNA DSBs, we analyzed them in three different types of DSB repair assays, one measuring repair by SSA (direct-repeat recombination assay) and two measuring repair by gene conversion (mating-type switching and plasmid gap-repair assays) and firmly established that these mutants are defective in DSB repair by HR. Indeed, the repair efficiencies of the three different types of DSBs in the rad52 class C mutants resemble that obtained in the absence of Rad52. Moreover, the results obtained with the plasmid gap-repair assay, where the individual contributions of HR and NHEJ to DSB repair can be evaluated, show that a gapped plasmid is repaired preferentially by NHEJ in rad52 class C mutant strains rather than by HR as in wild-type strains. Thus, we conclude that rad52 class C mutants fail to repair endonuclease-induced DSBs via mechanisms that require strand invasion of an intact homologous sequence as well as via a more simple mechanism where the ends can be joined by annealing.

Several of the Rad52 functions in DNA DSB repair could potentially be impaired by the rad52 class C mutations as Rad52-mediated DNA DSB repair is a multi-step reaction that involves many activities, including binding to Rad51, Rad59, RP-A, and DNA [46–50]. All nine rad52 class C mutations are situated in the evolutionarily conserved N-terminus of Rad52, which contains a DNA-binding domain, domains responsible for Rad52 self-association, and Rad59 binding [50–53]. However, an inspection of the three-dimen-

**Figure 6.** Rad52-C180A-CFP Is Recruited to a Specific DNA Double-Strand Break

(A) Assay in Chromosome III for visualizing an HO-endonuclease inducible DSB. Yellow boxes: lacO sites. Cyan triangle: HO cut-site (HOcs). Solid circle: centromere.

(B) Localization of a Rad52–CFP focus to an HO-endonuclease-induced DSB. The panels show YFP, CFP, CFP/YFP-merged, and DIC images of representative cells of a strain expressing Rad52-C180A-CFP in a strain with a lacO tandem array next to an HOcs on Chromosome III (left, strain W4021-20A) and in a strain with an HOcs on Chromosome III and a lacO tandem array on Chromosome IV (right, strain W4341-16A). The lacO tandem array is visualized by LacI-YFP as a yellow focus. The marked foci (arrowheads) in the left panels are examples of Rad52/LacI co-localization and the arrowheads in the example on the right indicate the absence of co-localization when the lacO elements and the HOcs are on different chromosomes. Scale bar, 3 μm.

(C) Quantitative analysis of co-localization between Rad52-CFP and YFP-LacI foci. Chromosomal locations of the HOcs and the lacO tandem array are given below the histogram columns. As a control, strain W4341-6D with no HOcs was analyzed. The wild-type dataset shown for comparison is from [39].

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.g006
sional crystal structure of an N-terminal fragment of human Rad52 [52,54] showed that eight of the corresponding amino acid residues in the human Rad52 structure are located in, or close to, the putative DNA-binding groove (see Figure S2). The remaining amino acid residue, HsRad52-Y81 (ScRad52-Y96A) is buried beneath this groove. Moreover, four of the corresponding human Rad52 mutant species: HsRad52-Y51A (ScY66A), HsRad52-R55A (ScR70A), HsRad52-R70A (ScR85A), and HsRad52-Y81A (ScY96A) have been purified, and the three latter species show decreased affinity for single-stranded DNA [52,55]. Hence, the failure of Rad52 class C mutants to perform efficient DSB repair may likely be a consequence of impaired DNA-binding activity.

Defective DNA-binding of Rad52 may affect several stages of the DNA repair process, e.g., resection, homology search, strand invasion, and second-strand capture. The genomic blot analyses indicate that the nucleolytic processing of DSB ends is intact in rad52 class C mutant strains. This is not surprising, as most models for DSB repair predict that the single-stranded DNA tails at the break are covered by RP-A before Rad52 is recruited [56–59]. In agreement with this view, we have previously shown that RP-A is recruited to a DSB in the absence of Rad52 [60]. In fact, if Rad52 class C mutants are defective in DNA binding, the inability to repair DNA DSBs could simply be explained by the failure of the mutant proteins to recognize and bind to these lesions. In contradiction to this view, we observed in two independent experiments that Rad52-C180A was recruited to defined DNA DSBs, one induced by the HO-endonuclease on Chromosome III and one induced by I-SceI on Chromosome V. These results indicate that the DNA-binding domain in the Rad52 N-terminus is not required for Rad52 recruitment to the DNA lesion. This recruitment is then most easily explained by a scenario where Rad52 is attracted to the DNA lesion via its

Figure 7. Rad51-CFP and Rad52-C180A-YFP Are Recruited to a Specific DNA DSB
(A) Assay in Chromosome V for visualizing an I-SceI-endonuclease inducible DSB. Red boxes: tetO sites. Cyan triangle: I-SceI cut-site (I-Scelcs). Solid circle: centromere.
(B) Localization of Rad51-CFP and Rad52-C180A-YFP foci to an I-SceI-endonuclease-induced DSB. The panels show YFP, CFP, RFP, YFP/RFP-merged, and CFP/YFP/RFP-merged, as well as a bright field image of representative cells containing Rad51-CFP and Rad52-C180A-YFP in a strain with a tetO tandem array next to an I-Scelcs on Chromosome V. The tetO tandem array is visualized by TetI-RFP as a red focus. The Rad51-CFP, Rad52-YFP, and TetI-RFP foci are marked by arrowheads. Scale bar, 3 μm.
(C) Quantitative analysis of co-localization between Rad52-C180A-YFP/RFP–TetI foci and Rad51-CFP foci. The wild-type dataset is shown for comparison. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.g007
ability to interact with RP-A when the latter has formed a complex with single-stranded DNA at the DSB. This view is supported by the observation that Rad52-C180A, like wild-type Rad52, physically interacts with Rfa1 in a two-hybrid assay (unpublished data) and that no wild-type Rad52 focus is formed at a lesion in the absence of RP-A [60]. Accordingly, rad52 class C mutations affect a function in Rad52 that is downstream of damage recognition. In fact, we have shown that the reaction is blocked after Rad51 has been recruited to the DNA DSB. However, our experiments do not show whether Rad51 or Rad52 are bound to the damaged DNA in the repair center. The possibility exists that they are just attracted to the lesion via protein-protein interactions. In this case, the failure of Rad52 class C mutants to efficiently bind DNA could result in its inability to mediate the replacement of RP-A by Rad51, thus impairing repair. If, on the other hand, a Rad51 filament is formed at the lesion in rad52 class C mutant cells, we speculate that the DSB remains unrepaird either because the defective Rad52 DNA-binding activity impairs a Rad52-catalyzed homology search important for strand invasion or an annealing step important for second-strand capture. The latter view may explain the observation that the BIR efficiency is reduced only 3-fold in rad52-C180A strains as second-strand capture is not required in BIR.

It is generally believed that DSBs are the lesions that initiate spontaneous HR. However, here we show that nine rad52 class C mutants are proficient for spontaneous inter- and intrachromosomal heteroallelic HR, but fail to repair different types of DSBs. Since Rad52 forms spontaneous repair foci during S-, but not during the G1-phase of the cell cycle [38], an alternative source of spontaneous HR could be recombinogenic DNA ends generated when a migrating replication fork converts a nick into a DSB. Such ends may be easier to repair than those induced by γ-irradiation and endonucleases as they require only a one-ended invasion of the intact strand to restore the replication fork. However, rad52 class C mutant strains are sensitive to camptothecin and Top1-T722A expression. If the replication-induced ends in these experiments are equivalent to the DNA end rescued in the BIR experiment, these results may appear surprising as BIR is reduced only 3-fold in rad52-C180A compared to wild-type. However, unlike in the BIR experiment, multiple lesions are likely produced when Top1-T722A is expressed and in the presence of camptothecin, and strains with a weakened, but not abolished, ability to repair such lesions may die. The possibility therefore still remains that one-ended DNA breaks contribute to spontaneous HR. However, if this contribution was large, one would expect that even a small, but significant, reduction of the efficiency of one-ended DNA break repair should be reflected as reduced HR levels. Since this is not the case in the rad52 class C mutants, replication-induced breaks are likely to initiate only a minor fraction of the spontaneous recombination events.

Based on the above, we find it unlikely that DSBs contribute substantially to the spontaneous HR observed for rad52 class C mutant strains, since mutant cells only rarely survive even a single DSB. This view is supported by work from Fabre and colleagues based on their studies of srs2 and sgs1 strains [61]. They argued that srs2 sgs1 synthetic lethality is due to a toxic recombination intermediate. If this intermediate were initiated by spontaneous DSBs, they must occur at a sufficiently high frequency to prevent propagation of srs2 sgs1 strains. However, since rad52 null lig4 as well as rad52 null lig4 srs2 sgs1 strains, which cannot repair DSBs (i.e., no HR and no NHEJ), are viable, they conclude that DSBs cannot be initiating the frequent recombination intermediates that kill srs2 sgs1 strains. In this context, it is important to note that around 75% of rad52-C180A cells spontaneously develop a Rad52-C180A focus during the cell cycle. If these foci solely represent attempts to repair DSBs in the genome, then rad52-C180A strains should be inviable due to their inability to repair DSBs. Moreover, we observe that Rad52-C180A foci are turned over before cell division, albeit at a slow rate, suggesting that repair of the spontaneous lesions is in fact completed.

We also note that some of the rad52 class C mutants are hyperrecombinogenic. This behavior is similar to mutations in proteins of the Mre11-Rad50-Xrs2 complex (MRX), which act at an early stage of both HR and NHEJ [3], and also produce a hyper-recombination phenotype [62]. The high HR rate in these MRX mutants is thought to be due to a shift in the preferred repair template from the sister chromatid to the homologous chromosome, hence increasing the number of scorable recombinants [63]. We find that the median

Table 4. UV-Ray Survival and Induction of Mitotic Heteroallelic Inter-Chromosomal Recombination in rad52 Mutant Strains

| Allele | Survival (%) | Heteroallelic Recombination |
|--------|--------------|----------------------------|
|        |              | Frequency × 10⁻⁵            | Relative Fold-Up* | Fold Inductionb |
| RAD52  | 91           | 41                         | 1                  | 410             |
| rad52A | 15           | 0.27                       | 0.0006             | 450             |
| Y66A   | 28           | 140                        | 3.4                | 483             |
| R70A   | 24           | 85                         | 2.1                | 230             |
| W84A   | 24           | 120                        | 2.9                | 364             |
| R85A   | 9            | 73                         | 1.8                | 563             |
| Y96A   | 37           | 40                         | 0.98               | 267             |
| R156A  | 28           | 100                        | 2.4                | 233             |
| T163A  | 12           | 96                         | 2.3                | 800             |
| C180A  | 11           | 100                        | 2.4                | 526             |
| F186A  | 14           | 110                        | 2.7                | 458             |

*Frequency of UV-induced HR in a given strain relative to the frequency of UV-induced HR in wild-type strains.

UV-induced recombination frequency relative to the corresponding rate of spontaneous recombination (see Table 2).
lifetime of a Rad52 class C mutant repair focus is seven times longer than a wild-type Rad52 focus. This longer time frame of repair may increase the frequency of genetic exchange with the homolog. Alternatively, more recombinational lesions may be formed in rad52 class C mutant strains. In fact, we observe that a larger number of cells spontaneously form Rad52 repair foci during the cell cycle in rad52 class C mutant cells than in wild-type cells.

If only a minor fraction of spontaneous HR is initiated by DSBs, alternative lesions need to be considered as triggers for HR. In many of the original models for HR, DNA nicks and single-stranded gaps were proposed to initiate HR [64–66]. In the present study, we find that UV-irradiation leads to a dramatic increase in interchromosomal heteroallelic HR in rad52 class C mutant strains. This is similar to what has been observed for wild-type strains. UV-rays mostly produce pyrimidine dimmers, and in the dark, the majority of these lesions are repaired by the nucleotide excision and base excision repair pathways. This type of repair produces nicks and single-stranded DNA gaps that could be recombinogenic. Moreover, un-repaired pyrimidine dimers may lead to stalled replication forks and expose regions of single-stranded DNA. Single-stranded gaps are potent substrates for recombination in E. coli via the RecFOR pathway [13–16], and it is interesting to note that both the RecFOR complex and Rad52 mediate replacement of a single-strand binding protein, SSB and RP-A, respectively, to allow access of a protein with a strand invasion activity, RecA and Rad51, respectively, during DNA repair [16,56,57,69–69]. In addition, a stalled replication fork may produce a DNA substrate suitable for HR, if the fork is regressed into a “chicken foot” structure and the resulting DNA end processed by nuclease to produce a stretch of single-stranded DNA [50]. Considering that Rad52 repair foci form during DNA replication, such lesions are attractive candidates as substrates that elicit spontaneous HR.

Recently, it was demonstrated that nicked intermediates produced by mutant RAG proteins during V(D)J recombination can be channeled into HR [70]. Furthermore, the spectrum of spontaneous recombinants obtained in an assay that measures direct-repeat gene conversion and unequal sister-chromatid exchange in a mammalian cell line is similar to the spectrum obtained after addition of camptothecin, but the spectrum of spontaneous recombinants obtained in an assay that measures direct-repeat gene conversion and unequal sister-chromatid exchange in a mammalian cell line is similar to the spectrum obtained after induction of camptothecin [70]. Furthermore, the production of direct-repeat HR can be channeled into HR [70]. The ability of selected strains to produce direct-repeat HR can be evaluated by a chromosomally integrated primer extension assay [36,37]. Specifically, 1 μg of either intact or SnaBI linearized CFV/D8B-tg plasmid was transformed into relevant ura3–1, ade2–1 strains. The primer extension efficiency for each strain was determined as the number of BIR transformants obtained by linearized CFV/D8B-tg divided by the number of transformants obtained by uncut CFV/D8B-tg. BIR transformants were identified by Ura+ transformants that had a very high rate of red sectoring, in contrast to transformants resulting from plasmids that had simply re-circularized, which were characterized by a very high rate of red sectoring. For further details, see [56].

**Materials and Methods**

**Genetic methods, strains, and plasmids.** All media were prepared as described previously [72] with minor modifications as the synthetic medium contains twice the amount of leucine (60 mg/L). Standard genetic techniques were used to manipulate yeast strains [73] and transformations were performed according to [74]. All strains are derivatives of W303 [75] except that they areRAD5 [76,77] and are listed in Table S1. Plasmids pH283 [78] and pJJ132 contain a GAL1:HO fusion in a CEN V-ARS1-based vector as well as a TRP1 and a URA3 marker for selection, respectively, and were kindly provided by J. Haber. For construction of pRS413-TRP1, a replicative ARSH4/CEN6-based plasmid, see Protocol S1. The plasmid CFVD8B-tg was a kind gift from Dr. L. Symington.

**Viability after γ- and UV-irradiation, HO-endonuclease induction, and exposure to camptothecin sensitivity.** HO-endonuclease induction and γ- and UV-irradiation was performed as previously described [27,79], except UV-irradiation was performed by using a Stratalinker 2400 UV Crosslinker from Stratagene (La Jolla, California, United States) and cells were exposed to a dose of 50 J/m² at 254 nm. The dose rate of the γ-irradiator was 2.1 krad/min. The slope (z) of the resulting straight line can be used to calculate an LD57 value -ln(0.37)/ln2. The LD57 value represents the dose in krad necessary to induce a mean of one lethal hit per cell and was used to quantitatively compare survival of different strains. For details see Protocol S1. Camptothecin stock was prepared by exposing cells overnight to mid-log phase. A 10-fold serial dilution for each culture was made and spotted on two individual YPD plates containing 0 and 0.5 μg camptothecin, respectively. The ability of each strain to form colonies on each plate was assessed after 3 d incubation at 30 °C.

**Determination of spontaneous and induced mitotic recombination rates.** Spontaneous mitotic HR between len2-ΔEroR and len2-ΔAbtEII heteroalleles was measured in diploid strains (intrachromosomal HR) or in haploid strains (intrachromosomal HR) as previously described [27,79]. The intrachromosomal HR assay used the len2-ΔEb1 mutations in the plus configuration. HR induced intrachromosomal direct-repeat HR was performed as previously described [27,79]. UV-induced interchromosomal len2-ΔEroR/len2-ΔAbtEII heteroallelic HR experiments were made in triplicates for each strain analyzed. The HR frequency after UV-irradiation was determined by dividing the total number of recombinants in the culture by the total number of surviving cells following irradiation.

**Determination of BIR efficiency.** The ability of selected strains to produce direct-repeat HR was evaluated by a chromosomal primer extension assay [36,37]. Specifically, 1 μg of either intact or SnaBI linearized CFV/D8B-tg plasmid was transformed into relevant ura3–1, ade2–1 strains. The BIR efficiency for each strain was determined as the number of BIR transformants obtained by linearized CFV/D8B-tg divided by the number of transformants obtained by uncut CFV/D8B-tg. BIR transformants were identified by Ura+ transformants that had a very high rate of red sectoring, in contrast to transformants resulting from plasmids that had simply re-circularized, which were characterized by a very high rate of red sectoring. For further details, see [56].

**Plasmid production.** Details of the plasmid production protocol for transferring plasmids into haploid strains by mating-competent strains is previously described [27,79]. UV-induced interchromosomal heteroalleles was measured in diploid strains (interchromosomal HR) that had simply re-circularized, which were characterized by a very high rate of red sectoring. For further details, see [56].

**Gap-repair assay.** To evaluate the gap-repair frequency, strains were transformed with circular and linear pRS413-TRP1. The linear substrate was made by cutting the TRP1 marker in pRS413-TRP1 with BglI and MfeI. The resulting gap spans the region that harbors the tripeptide repeat stop-codon expansion [80] in the transformants containing a plasmid scaled by HR or by NHEJ is therefore His+ Trp-. The repair frequency was calculated by dividing the number of His+ Trp– transformants with the number of transformants obtained in a parallel experiment using circular pRS413-TRP1. To determine whether the plasmid was scaled by NHEJ or by HR, a PCR assay using plasmid specific primers, T3 and T7 (5’-AATTACCTCCTACTAAAGGG-3’ and 5’-TTATACCTCCTACTA- TAGGG-5’) was employed. Events generated by NHEJ and HR produces PCR fragments of approximately 902 bp and 1,175 bp, respectively. If the 1,175-bp fragment is generated by HR, the inflammatory response is applied to the donor nuclei using 5-FOA and galactose. The selection plates were photographed after 5 d incubation at 30 °C to measure the growth of the recipient MATα strains containing the plasmids.

**Determination of Rad52 concentrations in RAD52 and rad52 strains.** Western blot analysis and subsequent quantification of band intensities were performed as previously described [50] except for minor modifications (see Protocol S1)

**Yeast live cell imaging and fluorescence microscopy.** Cells from liquid cultures were imaged by fluorescence microscopy as described previously [39,60]. Image acquisition times for Rad52-CFP and Rad52-YFP were adjusted as needed to obtain evenly spaced images. Images were accessed from the middle of the cell by imaging 10 μm above and below the middle plane of the colony. The dose rate of the γ-irradiator was 2.1 krad/min. The slope of the resulting straight line can be used to calculate an LD57 value -ln(0.37)/ln2. The LD57 value represents the dose in krad necessary to induce a mean of one lethal hit per cell and was used to quantitatively compare survival of different strains. For details see Protocol S1. Camptothecin stock was prepared by exposing cells overnight to mid-log phase. A 10-fold serial dilution for each culture was made and spotted on two individual YPD plates containing 0 and 0.5 μg camptothecin, respectively. The ability of each strain to form colonies on each plate was assessed after 3 d incubation at 30 °C.
GFP gene and the DNA sequence encoding the monomeric version of DsRed (mRFP1) were generous gifts from R. Tsien (University of California, San Diego, California, United States).

**Statistical methods.** A Student’s t-test was used to determine the significance of differences among the mutants versus wild-type and rad52A strains when comparing protein levels and mitotic and direct-repeat HR rates. For replacement events, the test of significance was determined using a chi-square analysis.

**Supporting Information**

**Figure S1.** Induced Mating-Type Switching Is Lethal in rad52 Class C Mutant Strains

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.sg001 (392 KB DOC).

**Figure S2.** rad52 Class C Mutations Are Located at the DNA-Binding Site of Rad52

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.sg002 (4.2 MB DOC).

**Protocol S1.** Supporting Protocol

Found at doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020194.sd001 (48 KB DOC).

**References**

1. Paques F, Haber JE (1999) Multiple pathways of recombination induced by double-strand breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 63: 349–404.

2. Thompson LH, Schild D (2002) Recombinational DNA repair and human disease. Mutat Res 509: 49–78.

3. Symington LS (2002) Role of RAD52 epistasis group genes in homologous recombination and double-strand break repair. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 66: 630–670.

4. Sun H, Treco D, Schultes NP, Szostak JW (1989) DNA double-strand breaks at an initiation site for gene conversion. Cell 58: 663–775.

5. Keeny SJ, Giroux CN, Kleckner N (1997) Meiosis-specific DNA double-strand breaks are catalyzed by Spo11, a member of a widely conserved protein family. Cell 88: 375–384.

6. Bergerat A, de Massy B, Guedel D, Varousis PC, Nicolas A, et al. (1997) An atypical topoisomerase H from *Archea* with implications for meiotic recombination. Nature 386: 414–417.

7. Keeney S (2001) Mechanism and control of meiotic recombination initiation. Curr Top Dev Biol 52: 1–53.

8. Haber JE (1992) Mating-type gene switching in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Trends Gene 8: 446–452.

9. Rothstein R (1983) One-step gene disruption in yeast. In: Wu R, Grossman A, editors. Methods in enzymology. New York: Academic Press. pp. 292–311.

10. Orr-Weaver TL, Szostak JW, Rothstein R (1981) Yeast transformation: A one-step gene conversion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 78: 6354–6358.

11. Friedberg EC, Walker GC, Siele W (1995) DNA repair and mutagenesis. Washington (D.C.) American Society for Microbiology.

12. Sinha RP, Hader DP (2002) UV-induced DNA damage and repair: A review. Photochem Photobiol Sci 1: 225–236.

13. Grompone G, Sanchez N, Dusko ES, Michel B (2004) Requirement for RecFOR-mediated recombination in *pi*A mutant. Mol Microbiol 52: 551–562.

14. Kuzminov A (1999) Recombinational repair of DNA damage in *Eucheria botryoides* and bacteriophage lambda. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 65: 879–813.

15. Tseng YC, Hung JL, Wang TC (1994) Involvement of RecF pathway in double-strand break repair in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 58: 549–562.

16. Moriarty KS, Kowalczykowski SC. (2005) RecFOR proteins load RecA protein onto gapped DNA to accelerate DNA strand exchange: A universal step of recombinational repair. Mol Cell 11: 1337–1347.

17. Mortensen UH, Erdeniz N, Feng Q, Rothstein R (2002) A molecular dissection of the evolutionarily conserved N terminus of yeast Rad52. Genetics 161: 549–562.

18. Antunez de MA, Lisby M, Erdeniz N, Thybo T, Mortensen UH, et al. (2006) Multiple start codons and phosphorylation result in discrete Rad52 protein species. *Nuc Acids Res* 34: 2587–2597.

19. Bai Y, Davis AP, Symington LS (1999) A novel allele of RAD52 that causes severe DNA repair and recombination deficiencies only in the absence of RAD51 or RAD59. Genetics 153: 1171–1180.

20. Bai Y, Symington LS (1996) A Rad52 homolog is required for RAD51-independent mitotic recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics Dev 10: 2025–2037.

21. Bjelland S, Seeberg E (2003) Mutagenicity, toxicity, and repair of DNA base damage induced by oxidation. Mutat Res 531: 27–80.

22. Crochet J, Bellon S, Duvai T, Frelon S, Gasparutto D, et al. (2004) Radiation-induced DNA damage: Formation, measurement, and biochemical features. J Environ Pathol Toxicol Oncol 23: 33–43.

23. Breen AP, Murphy JA (1995) Reactions of oxyl radicals with DNA. Free Radic Biol Med 18: 1053–1077.

24. Jenauer TJ, Fujikawa J, Roth P (2001) Contribution of base lesions to radiation-induced clustered DNA damage: Implication for models of radiation response. Radiat Res 156: 500–505.

25. Moore JK, Haber JE (1996) Cell cycle and genetic requirements of two pathways of nonhomologous end-joining repair of double-strand breaks in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Cell Biol 16: 2164–2173.

26. Sugawara N, Haber JE (1992) Characterization of double-strand break-induced recombination: Homology requirements and single-stranded DNA formation. Mol Cell Biol 12: 563–575.

27. Smith J, Rothstein R (1999) An allele of RFA1 suppresses RAD52-dependent double-strand break repair in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 151: 447–458.

28. White CI, Haber JE (1990) Intermediates of recombination during mating type switching in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. EMBO J 9: 665–673.

29. Michel B, Grompone G, Flores MJ, Bidnenko V (2004) Multiple pathways process stalled replication forks. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 12783–12788.

30. McGlynn P, Lloyd RG (2002) Recombinational repair and restart of damaged replication forks. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 3: 859–870.

31. Hsiang YH, Hertzberg R, Hecht S, Liu LF (1985) Camptothecin induces protein-linked DNA breaks via mammalian DNA topoisomerase I. J Biol Chem 260: 14873–14878.

32. Hsiang YH, Liu LF (1988) Identification of mammalian DNA topoisomerase I as an intracellular target of the anticancer drug camptothecin. Cancer Res 48: 1722–1726.

33. Hsiang YH, Lihou MG, Liu LF (1989) Arrest of replication forks by drug-stabilized topoisomerase I-DNA cleavable complexes as a mechanism of cell killing by camptothecin. Cancer Res 49: 5077–5082.

34. Megonetal MD, Fertala J, Bjornstame MA (1997) Alterations in the catalytic activity of yeast DNA topoisomerase I result in cell cycle arrest and cell death. J Biol Chem 272: 12803–12808.

35. Reid RJ, Fiorani P, Sugawara M, Bjornstame MA (1999) CDC45 and DPB11 are required for processive DNA replication and resistance to DNA topoisomerase I-mediated DNA damage. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96: 11440–11445.

36. Davis AP, Symington LS (2004) RAD51-dependent break-induced replication in yeast. Mol Cell Biol 24: 2341–2351.

37. Morrow DM, Comely C, Pieter P (1997) “Break copy” duplication: A model for chromosome fragment formation in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 147: 371–382.

38. Lisby M, Rothstein R, Mortensen UH (2001) Rad52 forms DNA repair and recombination centers during S phase. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 98: 8276–8282.

39. Lisby M, Mortensen UH, Rothstein R (2003) Colocalization of multiple DNA double-strand breaks at a single Rad52 repair center. Nat Cell Biol 5: 572–577.

40. Sandell LL, Zakian VA (1993) Loss of a yeast telomere: Arrest, recovery, and chromosome loss. Cell 75: 729–739.

41. Lee SE, Moore JK, Holmes A, Umezki K, Kolodner RD, et al. (1998) *Saccharomyces* Ku70, mre11rad50, and RPA proteins regulate adaptation to 62Gm arrest after DNA damage. Cell 94: 399–409.

42. Mizuyama T, Bressan DA, Shinohara M, Haber JE, Shinohara A (2004) In vivo assembly and disassembly of Rad51 and Rad52 complexes during double-strand break repair. EMBO J 23: 939–949.

43. Rapp A, Greulich KO (2004) After double-strand break induction by UV-A, homologous recombination and nonhomologous end joining cooperate at the same sites in mammalian cells. J Cell Sci 117: 3955–3945.

44. Prakash S, Prakash L, Burke W, Montelone BA (1989) Effects of the RAD52 gene on recombination in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Genetics 129: 35–50.
The Role of DNA DSBs in Spontaneous HR

45. von Borstel RC, Cain KT, Steinberg CM (1971) Inheritance of spontaneous mutability in yeast. Genetics 69: 17–27.
46. Davis AP, Symington LS (2001) The yeast recombinational repair protein Rad59 interacts with Rad52 and stimulates single-strand annealing. Genetics 150: 515–525.
47. Milne GT, Weaver DT (1993) Dominant-negative alleles of RAD52 reveal a DNA repair/recombination complex including Rad51 and Rad52. Genes Dev 7: 1755–1765.
48. Shinohara A, Ogawa H, Ogawa T (1992) Rad51 protein involved in repair and recombination in S. cerevisiae is a RecA-like protein. Cell 69: 457–470.
49. Hays SL, Firmenich AA, Massey P, Banerjee R, Berg P (1998) Studies of the interaction between Rad52 protein and the yeast single-stranded DNA binding protein RPA. Mol Cell Biol 18: 4400–4406.
50. Mottersen UM, Bendixen C, Sunjevaric I, Rothstein R (1996) DNA strand annealing is promoted by the yeast Rad52 protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 93: 10729–10734.
51. Shen Z, Peterson CR, Comeau J, Zastrow D, Mozis RK, et al. (1996) Self-association of human RAD52 protein. Mutat Res 364: 81–89.
52. Kagawa W, Kurumizaka H, Ishitani R, Fukai S, Nureki O, et al. (2002) Crystal structure of the homologous-pairing domain from the human Rad52 recombinase in the undecameric form. Mol Cell 10: 359–371.
53. Cortes-Ledesma F, Malagon F, Aguilar A (2004) A novel yeast mutation, rad52-L89F, causes a specific defect in Rad51-independent recombination that correlates with a reduced ability of Rad52-L89F to interact with Rad59. Genetics 168: 553–557.
54. Singleton MR, Wentzell LM, Liu Y, West SC, Wigley DB (2002) Structure of the single-strand annealing domain of human RAD52 protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 13492–13497.
55. Lloyd JA, McGrew DA, Knight KL (2005) Identification of residues important for DNA binding in the full-length human Rad52 protein. J Mol Biol 345: 239–249.
56. Sung P (1997) Function of yeast Rad52 protein as a mediator between replication protein A and the Rad51 recombinase. J Biol Chem 272: 28194–28197.
57. New JH, Sugiyama T, Zaitseva E, Kowalczykowski SC (1998) Rad52 protein stimulates DNA strand exchange by Rad51 and replication protein A. Nature 391: 407–410.
58. Krogh BO, Symington LS (2004) Recombination proteins in yeast. Annu Rev Genet 38: 233–271.
59. Shinohara A, Ogawa T (1998) Stimulation by Rad52 of yeast Rad51-mediated recombination. Nature 391: 404–407.
60. Lisy M, Barlow JH, Burgess RC, Rothstein R (2004) Choreography of the DNA damage response: Spatiotemporal relationships among checkpoint and repair proteins. Cell 118: 699–713.
61. Fabre F, Chan A, Heyer WD, Gangloff S (2002) Alternate pathways involving Sgs1/Top3, Msh2/Msh4, and Swi2 prevent formation of toxic recombination intermediates from single-stranded gaps created by DNA replication. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 16887–16892.
62. Ajimura M, Leen SH, Ogawa H (1995) Identification of new genes required for meiotic recombination in S. cerevisiae. Genetics 135: 51–66.
63. Bressan DA, Baxter BK, Petrinji JH (1999) The Mei11-Rad50-Xrs2 protein complex facilitates homologous recombination-based double-strand break repair in S. cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol 19: 7681–7687.
64. Holliday R (1964) A mechanism for gene conversion in fungi. Genet Res 5: 292–304.
65. Meselson MJ, Radding CM (1975) A general model for genetic recombination. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 72: 359–361.
66. Radding C (1982) Homologous pairing and strand exchange in genetic recombination. Annu Rev Genet 16: 405–457.
67. Benson FE, Baumann P, West SC (1998) Synergistic actions of Rad51 and Rad52 in recombination and DNA repair. Nature 391: 401–404.
68. Shimohara A, Shimohara M, Ohta T, Matsuda S, Ogawa T (1998) Rad52 forms ring structures and co-operates with RPA in single-strand DNA annealing. Genes Cells 3: 145–156.
69. Song B, Sung P (2000) Functional interactions among yeast Rad51 recombinase, Rad52 mediator, and replication protein A in DNA strand exchange. J Biol Chem 275: 15895–15904.
70. Lee GS, Neiditch MB, Salas SS, Roth DB (2004) RAG proteins shepherd double-strand breaks to a specific pathway, suppressing error-prone repair, but RAG nicking initiates homologous recombination. Cell 117: 171–184.
71. Saleh-Gohari N, Bryant HE, Schultz N, Parker KM, Cassel TN, et al. (2005) Spontaneous homologous recombination is induced by collapsed replication forks that are caused by endogenous DNA single-breaks. Mol Cell Biol 25: 7158–7169.
72. Sherman F, Fink GR, Hicks JB (1986) Methods in yeast genetics. Cold Spring Harbor (New York): Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 205 p.
73. Gietz D, St Jean A, Woods RA, Schiestl RH (1992) Improved method for high efficiency transformation of intact yeast cells. Nucleic Acids Res 20: 1425.
74. Thomas BJ, Rothstein R (1989) Elevated recombination rates in transcriptionally active DNA. Cell 56: 619–630.
75. Fan HY, Cheng KK, Klein HL (1996) Mutations in the RNA polymerase II transcription machinery suppress the hyperrecombination mutant hpr1 delta of S. cerevisiae. Genetics 142: 749–759.
76. Zou H, Rothstein R (1997) Holliday junctions accumulate in replication mutants via a RecA homolog-independent mechanism. Cell 90: 87–96.
77. Jensen RE, Dierskowitz I (1984) Directionality and regulation of cassettesubstitution in yeast. Cold Spring Harbor Symposium on Quantitative Biology 49: 97–104.
78. Smith J, Rothstein R (1995) A mutation in the gene encoding the S. cerevisiae single-stranded DNA-binding protein Rfa1 stimulates a RAD52-independent pathway for direct-repeat recombination. Mol Cell Biol 15: 1652–1641.
79. McDonald JP, Levine AS, Woodgate R (1997) The S. cerevisiae RAD30 gene, a homolog of E. coli dinB and umuC, is DNA damage inducible and functions in a novel error-free postreplication repair mechanism. Genetics 147: 1557–1568.
80. Campbell RE, Tour O, Palmer AE, Steinbach PA, Baird GS, et al. (2002) A monomeric red fluorescent protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99: 7877–7882.