Epidemiological Characterization of Dermatomycosis in Ethiopia

Background: Superficial mycosis is common worldwide and their epidemiological characteristics are different in different geographical areas and have shown variations in the last decades. The aim of this study was to analyze and characterize the epidemiology of dermatomycosis and their causative fungi species in Ethiopia between 2015 and 2019.

Methods: A laboratory-based cross-sectional study was conducted using the data of mycological examination and culture findings from all patients who visited the Dermatology Department of Arsho Advanced Medical Laboratory, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. The direct wet mount microscopy and culture data of the isolates were collected from the database of the dermatology unit from 2015 to 2019 after permission was obtained from the laboratory head. The data were double-entered into Microsoft Excel, exported and analyzed using SPSS version 20.

Results: The total prevalence of fungi causing dermatomycosis was 67.7% (760/1122 cases) using direct wet mount microscopy and from these 489/1122 (43.5%) were culture positive. Dermatomycosis was found to be higher among females 694/1122 (61.9%) than male participants. Age group 25–44 years was the most affected 442/1122 (39.4%) followed by 1–14 years old 291/1122 (25.94%). Tinea unguium (50.8%) is the most common type of dermatomycosis followed by tinea capitis (24.1%) and tinea corporis (13.9%). Trichophyton spp. (32%) was the most highly distributed causative agent, followed by Epidermophyton spp. (20.2%) and Aspergillus fumigatus (8.3%).

Conclusion: The retrospective analysis of epidemiological data collected at Arsho Advanced Medical Laboratory since 2015 showed a gradual increase in the frequency of tinea unguium and tinea pedis. However, during the past years, there was a gradual decline in the frequency of tinea corporis. In parallel with this variable pattern, the rate of isolation of non-dermatophytes especially Aspergillus fumigates and Candida species has shown a gradual increment during the past five years.
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Introduction

Superficial mycosis (dermatomycosis) is a disease of the nail, skin and hair caused by both dermatophytes and some non-dermatophyte fungal agents. Over the past years, the prevalence of superficial fungi infections has shown a gradual increase and they have affected 20–25% of the total world’s population.1–3 Dermatomycosis is common in most tropical countries due to elevated humidity, elevated temperature, and sweating. The most common examples of superficial mycoses include dermatomycosis, candidiasis, and pityriasis versicolor.4–7

Dermatophytosis is mainly confined to the keratinized layers because its fungal agents are not able to penetrate into the organ or deeper tissue of healthy
individuals. However, these kind’s infections are also dependent on the immune status of the host, fungal agent and site of infection. Superficial mycoses can easily spread through fomites or direct contact with the infected humans and animals. Although the infection is curable and non-invasive, its widespread nature and therapeutic costs are major worldwide public health problems. The clinical lesions of superficial mycosis are highly variable and closely resemble other skin diseases. Therefore, it is important to have a confirmed laboratory diagnosis of superficial mycosis due to fungal agents.

Currently, according to recent update on taxonomy of dermatophytes there are 52 identified species of dermatophytes in seven genera’s. From these, around 20 species belong to the three most prevalent and recognized worldwide genera’s; Trichophyton (16 species), Epidermophyton (1 spp.) and Microsporum (3 spp.) and these genera’s are currently known to infect humans.

Dermatomycosis affect more than 40% of the world’s population, and tinea unguium accounts for approximately 18%–40% of the onychomycosis representing a worldwide public health problem. Ethiopia is located in a tropical region and it has a wet humid climate which makes it fall among the regions affected with dermatomycosis. However, the frequency and distribution of pathogenic species and the clinical manifestation vary with time and geographical location. For all the above mentioned reasons and problems, the aim of this study was to determine changes in distribution of dermatophytes and non-dermatophytes, to compare species distribution according to demographic characteristics and body site of the patients in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

**Methods**

Retrospective study was used to include all cases of dermatophytosis visiting the mycology laboratory of Arsho Advanced Medical Laboratory from January 2015 to December 2019. Age, gender, clinical manifestations and site of infection were recorded for each subject from the laboratory database. For direct wet mount microscopy and culture investigation samples were collected from scalp, skin, nail clippings, plucked hairs, and sole scrapes. Samples used for direct wet mount microscopy were placed on glass slides and treated with a 10% w/v KOH. In Arsho Advanced Medical Laboratory mycology laboratory, the clinical sample was inoculated onto Sabouraud’s dextrose agar (SDA) (Oxoid, Basingstoke, England) containing chloramphenicol with cycloheximide, and without cycloheximide, prepared based on manufacturer instructions. For identification of dermatophytes incubation at 27°C was performed for at least three weeks, and identification of yeasts at 5–7 days. Inoculated samples in SDA were checked twice a week for any presence of fungal growth. Cultures without evident fungal growth were kept for six weeks before it was considered as negative for fungi. Identification of fungi was based on microscopic and macroscopic characteristics of the colonies on SDA. Dermatophytes suspected colonies were sub-cultured into potato dextrose agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, UK) for the presence of spores.

When a non-dermatophyte was found, it was considered as relevant only in the presence of spores, hyphae, or yeast cells on microscopic examination. In case of mold isolate; colony taken from SDA and PDA was confirmed by using lactophenol cotton blue stain.

Yeasts were identified using chromogenic medium, CHROMagar Candida (bioMérieux, France) and using routine conventional diagnostic methods as per the manufacturer instruction. The database of Arsho advanced diagnostic laboratory contains information concerning clinical aspects of dermatomycosis, age, sex, date of examination, direct microscopy and culture results. But some culture results were not to species level.

**Statistical Analysis**

Data were collected, double-entered, cleaned and analyzed using SPSS version 20 software according to the study objectives. Frequency and percentage were used for analysis of the outcome.

**Results**

A total of 1122 specimens were diagnosed for dermatomycosis from 2015–2019 in Arsho advanced diagnostic laboratory. Among these study participants, 694 (61.9%) were females. The total prevalence of positive KOH was 67.7% while positive cultures among the total specimens received were 43.5%.

Tinea unguium (50.8%) was the most dominant form of superficial mycosis followed by tinea capitis (24.1%) and tinea corporis (13.9%). Over all, clinical manifestations were prevalent in female (694 (61.9%) study participants with compared to male (Table 1).

Tinea unguium, tinea corporis and tinea pedis affects mostly adults 25–44 years old, (442/1122), while tinea capitis (160/271) were higher among children’s 160/271
(59.4%) and tinea cruris were more prevalent in elder patients age >65 year old 9/35 (25%) (Table 2).

Among the dermatophytosis annual distribution from 2015 to 2017, the prevalence of tinea unguium increased from 42.7% (88/206) to 63% (140/222) (131/571) while tinea capitis decreased from 28.1% (58/206) to 16.66% (37/222) respectively (Figure 1). The frequency of dermatophytes had increased from 21.4% in 2015 to 64.8% in 2017 and then declined in 2018 and 2019 respectively (Figure 1).

Tinea unguium was a predominant isolate for the past five years with a mean prevalence of 50.8% followed by tinea capitis (24.1%) and tinea corporis (13.9%). Tinea pedis shows a gradual increase starting from 2016–2019 (4%–9.4%). The highest frequency of tinea unguium was in the year 2017 (63.3%) followed by 2016 (50.8%) (Figure 2).

Trichophyton spp. and Epidermophyton spp. were associated with 52.4% of the culture positive specimens. Trichophyton spp. was the most dominant dermatophyte (32.1%) found during the study period and this was the most common fungi agent in tinea unguium, tinea pedis, tinea manuum and tinea cruris respectively. Epidermophyton spp. and Aspergillus fumigates was the second and third most frequently isolated species respectively (20.2%, 8.3%). Epidermophyton spp. was the commonest fungal agent in tinea corporis (29.5%) (Table 3).

### Discussion

Prevalence of dermatomycosis was found to be variable in various age groups and genders. Dermatomycosis can affect both genders. However, in this study we found a higher prevalence of dermatomycosis among female than males. This result has been reported by other authors in previous studies, although it is contradicted in other studies. Possible explanation for this could be due to females usage of costumes, which cause excessive sweating, frequent housework, inability to maintain good nail care and, cosmetic reasons could be a factor for the high occurrence of dermatomycosis among females.

Age was found to be a basic factor influencing the distribution of dermatophytosis on the studied population. There was high occurrence of dermatomycosis among study subjects with the of age 25–44 years old might be due to the fact that most adults on this age are active in working different jobs which might expose them to this infection. Some factors like smoking, diabetes and HIV/AIDS could also play an important role in contracting dermatomycosis and exposure to the dermatophyte and non-dermatophytes. In our findings, tinea unguium was the most common (32%) type of dermatomycosis infection relative to the other tinea types which is similar with other studies. This is similar to previous studies.

Table 1: Distributions of Superficial Mycosis in Relation to Sex Addis Ababa, Ethiopia (n=1122)

| Clinical Manifestation | Male n(%) | Female n(%) | Total n(%) |
|------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Tinea capitis (n %)    | 95 (35)   | 176 (65)    | 271 (24.1) |
| Tinea unguium (n %)    | 200 (35)  | 371 (65)    | 571 (50.8) |
| Tinea corporis (n %)   | 74 (47.1) | 83 (52.8)   | 157 (13.9) |
| Tinea pedis (n %)      | 44 (50)   | 44 (50)     | 88 (7.8)   |
| Tinea cruris (n %)     | 15 (42.9) | 20 (57.1)   | 35 (3.1)   |
| Total                  | 428 (38.1)| 694 (61.9)  | 1122 (100) |

Table 2: Frequency of Clinical Manifestation in Different Age Groups Addis Ababa, Ethiopia (n=1122)

| Site       | Age Group (Years) | 1–14 | 15–24 | 25–44 | 45–64 | ≥65 | Total | (%) |
|------------|------------------|------|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-----|
| T. capitis | 1–14             | 160  | 26    | 69    | 8     | 8   | 271   | 24.1|
|            | 15–24            | 33   | 23    | 61    | 30    | 10  | 157   | 13.9|
|            | 25–44            | 83   | 92    | 264   | 106   | 26  | 571   | 50.8|
|            | ≥65              | 8    | 42    | 18    | 18    | 9   | 88    | 7.8 |
| T. corporis| 1–14             | 33   | 23    | 61    | 30    | 10  | 157   | 13.9|
|            | 15–24            | 83   | 92    | 264   | 106   | 26  | 571   | 50.8|
|            | 25–44            | 8    | 42    | 18    | 18    | 9   | 88    | 7.8 |
|            | ≥65              | 7    | 6     | 8     | 9     | 35  | 35    | 3.1 |
| T. unguium | 1–14             | 8    | 10    | 42    | 18    | 10  | 88    | 7.8 |
|            | 15–24            | 83   | 92    | 264   | 106   | 26  | 571   | 50.8|
|            | 25–44            | 7    | 6     | 8     | 9     | 35  | 35    | 3.1 |
|            | ≥65              | 291  | 156   | 442   | 170   | 63  | 1122  | 100|
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site could be due to, lack of hygiene, use of shoes over long periods of time, lack of protection at work and poor circulation. Onychomycosis is usually considered of occupational nature, especially among activities that require frequent contact with water. In addition, the maceration of periungual tissue caused by the use of manicure tools can result cross-infection among people who use this service.27,28

However, this finding is in contrast to other studies who reported tinea corporis,21 tinea capitis29 and tinea cruris30 as the commonest clinical manifestations than tinea unguium. Tinea capitis is the second most common form of tinea and accounted 24.1% of all dermatomycosis. The frequency rate of tinea capitis was higher in females than males, the most common being the children under age 14 years old. And this might be due to the fact that children have the inadequacy of natural protective fatty acids synthesized in their scalp among prepubescent children. Different studies have also explained the above factor for the high occurrence of tinea capitis in children.21,31–33

In our study, Trichophyton spp. (31%), Epidermophyton spp. (16.6%) and Cladosporium spp. (13.3%) were the major fungi causing tinea capitis that is similar with studies conducted in Ethiopia.21,22,34 Tinea cruris was the least form of superficial mycosis and accounted for 3.1% of all tineas. Many people with tinea cruris have coincident tinea pedis, and it has been reported that tinea cruris is transmitted by hand from the tinea pedis.30,31,35 The high incidence of tinea cruris was in the age group greater than 45 years old and females were mostly affected than males. In this retrospective study, Trichophyton spp. 40% (6/15) followed by Cladosporium spp. 20% (3/15) were the dominant fungi resulting in tinea cruris which is in line with studies conducted in Iran, India, and Nigeria.25,30,31
In this study, tinea corporis is the third common manifestation in prevalence and accounted for 13.9% of all tinea. Similar results were found in Ethiopia,12,22 and in Egypt,36 India30,37, and Brazil.38,39 Whereas, Singh et al,30 Naseri et al,25 and Bhatia et al,40 found tinea corporis as the dominant form of dermatomycosis ranging from 33.1%–39.5%. The most common being the age group 25–44 years old. In the current study Epidermophyton spp. (29.5%), Trichophyton spp. (24.5%) and Candida albicans (9.8%) were the main fungi causing tinea corporis respectively and this is in agreement with similar studies in Ethiopia, Nigeria and India.21,23,30,41,42

Non-dermatophytes molds were isolated from 143/489 (29.2%) study subjects. With Aspergillus spp. as a major isolate accounting 41/143 (28.67%) of the total non-dermatophyte molds isolated followed by Cladosporium spp. 33/143 (23%) that is similar with studies done in Ethiopia.21,22 Non-dermatophytes were isolated from culture positive clinical samples, nail and hair being the most affected body sites. The significance of such non-dermatophyte molds in causing onychomycosis has been reported in other studies.13 Similarly, yeasts were isolated 63/489 (12.8%) from patients with C. albicans as a dominant isolate consisting 23/63 (37.7%) of the total yeasts isolated and this current finding is similar to a studies conducted in Ethiopia.21,43,44 Candida albicans has been isolated mainly from nail infection. Candida albicans as major cause of tinea unguium has been reported in other publications.43,44

**Table 3** Distribution of Dermatophytes and Non-Dermatophytes Fungi Isolates in Relation to Clinical Manifestation Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

| Isolates | Clinical Manifestation | Total (n) |
|----------|------------------------|-----------|
|          | T. capitis | T. unguium | T. corporis | T. pedis | T. cruris |
| Dermatophytes n=281 | | | | | |
| Trichophyton spp. | 28 | 95 | 15 | 13 | 6 |
| M. audouinii | 5 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Epidermophyton spp. | 15 | 59 | 18 | 5 | 2 |
| Molds n=147 | | | | | |
| Cladosporium spp. | 12 | 14 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Fusarium spp. | 3 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| Penicillium spp. | 2 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Scopulariopsis brevicaulis | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Colletotrichum spp. | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Acremonium spp. | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Alternaria spp. | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Paecilomyces spp. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Neoscytalidium dimidiatum | 1 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Aspergillus fumigatus | 12 | 24 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| Aspergillus niger | 0 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Aspergillus terreus | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Yeasts n=61 | | | | | |
| Candida albicans | 4 | 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Candida cruaseae | 4 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Candida glabrata | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rhodotorula mucilaginosa | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 90 | 292 | 61 | 31 | 15 |

Conclusion
Dermatophytes can affect all age groups and genders. Dermatophytes is higher among females than males except for fingernail infection. Adult age group (25–44) was more affected by dermatomycosis than the other age groups. The high prevalence of tinea corporis occurred in males rather than in females. Further large studies on the prevalence of dermatomycosis, fungal etiological agents, and changes in species distribution of the etiological agents of superficial infection in Ethiopia are important. Currently there are limited studies on antifungal resistance status and molecular identification of fungi; hence molecular characterization and understanding their antifungal susceptibility pattern of fungi is recommended.
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