UVC radiation intensity dependence of pathogen decontamination rate: semiclassical theory and experiment

Nicolae A. Enaki¹,², Tatiana Paslari¹, Sergiu Bazgan¹, Elena Starodub¹, Ion Munteanu¹, Marina Turcan¹, Vitalie Eremeev¹,², Aurelia Profir¹,³, Ion N. Mihailescu⁴

¹ Quantum Optics and Kinetic Processes Lab of Institute of Applied Physics of Moldova, Chisinau, MD 2028, Republic of Moldova
² Instituto de Ciencias Básicas, Facultad de Ingeniería y Ciencias, Universidad Diego Portales, Av. Ejercito 441, Santiago, Chile
³ Moldova State University Department of Computer Science, 60 Alexei Mateevici str., Chisinau MD-2009, Republic of Moldova
⁴ National Institute for Lasers, Plasma and Radiation Physics, P.O. Box MG 36, 77125 Bucharest-Magurele, Romania

Received: 17 June 2022 / Accepted: 1 September 2022
© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Società Italiana di Fisica and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2022

Abstract A semiclassical (light classical and molecule quantum) model describing the dependence of DNA/RNA dimerization rate as function of the ultraviolet C (UVC) radiation’s intensity is proposed. Particularly, a nonlinear model is developed based on the Raman-like processes in quantum optics. The main result of the theory shows that the process of dimerization in the DNA/RNA depends strongly on the UVC light’s intensity, thus proving a possible quantum microscopical mechanism of the interaction of UV light with the DNA. To corroborate the theoretical findings, we realize some experiments, by which want to investigate how the inactivation rate of the yeast colonies depends on the intensity of the UVC irradiation. The experimental results evidence a nonlinear decreasing of the residual yeast colonies as a function of the intensity in the irradiation process. The possibilities to optimize the intensity of UVC radiation in the considered decontamination equipment by using metamaterials are studied. The application of such equipment in disinfection of fluids (air, water, droplets, etc.), as well for the SARS-CoV-2-infected aerosols, is discussed.

1 Introduction

Ultraviolet radiation has been used for decades to disinfect unoccupied medical and other facilities and reduce the spread of airborne infectious diseases. Then, it is not surprising that ultraviolet C (known as UVC, 200-280 nm) light has recently gained particular attention as a promising control measure to reduce the transmission of SARS-CoV-2, the virus that caused the COVID-19 pandemic. Several investigations have shown that UVC is very effective in inactivating SARS-CoV-2 [1–6]. Therefore, this type of radiation can be used as an efficient disinfectant, but needs to be handled correctly to avoid skin and eye damage [7].

The growing need for decontamination of fluids, especially translucent ones as liquids, including water, gas, aerosols, air droplets, etc., opens up opportunities for innovative research in this direction [8–13]. Application of UV radiation for decontamination of fluids and surfaces from viruses and bacteria requires an effective method of inactivation of microorganisms by radiation. Open surfaces of translucent fluids cannot give us the expected result in this area due to the reduced penetration depth of UVC radiation into the fluid. For example, in [9, 10], we have analyzed the total contact surface with the contaminated fluid, formed due to the quasiperiodic optical system that contains quartz metamaterials, such as close-packed optical fibers or microspheres connected to each other through an evanescent UVC field. In this situation, an additional decontamination volume is obtained, which is proportional to the contact surface multiplied by the depth of transmission of UVC radiation inside the fluid. We can repack these periodic optical structures [11–13] to obtain a good evanescent zone necessary for decontamination of gas or liquids, which will flow near the total contact surface. In this case, we must estimate the adherence of the liquid (or infected aerosols and airborne droplets) to this surface and the penetration distance of the evanescent field in the translucent liquid. Based on this effect, we have elaborated two types of equipments for the decontamination of infected liquids and gases, see [8, 9]. These experiments have conclusively demonstrated that both, quartz spheres and optical fiber metamaterials, can effectively annihilate Coliform (including Escherichia coli), or Enterococcus bacteria, as well as yeast and kombucha cultures as described in [8]. The investigation of UVC radiation intensity dependence of decontamination in such metamaterial structures remains an open problem, and this work proposes to find connections between microscopic theory and experimental results.

For example, the work [8] was focused on estimating the critical intensity of the UVC field for which DNA/RNA pyrimidine dimerization becomes significant. Motivated by this idea, here we develop the disinfection model proposed in [10], using the quantum-theoretical approach to pyrimidine dimerization in DNA. In the normal state of DNA, it is known that the guanine (G) is
paired with cytosine (C) through three hydrogen bonds, and adenine (A) is paired with thymine (T) through two hydrogen bonds. In the present work, we discuss the possible modifications and formation of new covalent bonds within neighboring pyrimidine bases of the same DNA strand under the action of UVC radiation [14–16].

As a matter of fact, in the last two decades, the interest to apply the quantum mechanical approach to the biological systems was particularly accentuated and many investigations were developed in this area, e.g., some of these [17–30]. The concept of quantum biology emerges mainly from the fact that at biological molecular level, the laws of quantum mechanics dominate. However, it is still far from being clear where lies the border that marks the domain of classical and quantum biological laws. For example, there are ideas to consider genes as quantum systems and describe them by a wave function based on the fact that in hydrogen bonds protons are shared between purines and pyrimidines and, respectively, are in a quantum superposition [18, 30]. On the other hand, there is strong skepticism about the true “quantum effects” in biological systems, mainly because the latter are highly decoherent and far from thermal equilibrium, so any coherent or superposition state is quickly lost. But, there can be an optimistic horizon, at least conceptually, one can think that such situations can be fixed, for example, loss of coherence and entanglement in quantum computation are considered “errors” and fortunately these can sometimes be corrected, procedure known as quantum error correction.

Of course, to identify and test quantum features of biological processes it is necessary to apply adequate empirical verification of theoretical approaches and predictions. There is already significant progress in this direction; for example, experimental findings in [19] show relatively long-time electronic quantum coherence in a photosynthetic protein under low-temperature conditions, around 77K. Furthermore, a little later it was shown that quantum coherence in similar proteins can survive even at room temperature [20, 21]. Such experimental results are very promising and strengthen the theoretical views on quantum properties in biology. Our work is a step towards this strategy with the main objective of experimentally testing our theoretical approach, where UVC radiation interacts with quantized molecules in the DNA dimerization process and showing how the inactivation rate of pathogens depends on UVC intensity.

This work is organized as follows: In Sect. 2, we propose a semiclassical model of Raman-scattered UVC radiation in DNA structure. This conceptual model applied for DNA/RNA dimerization process shows how the pathogen inactivation rate depends on the intensity of applied UVC field. The possible correlation of this theoretical approach with the experimental results is investigated in Sect. 3, where some realized experiments of decontamination of fluids are described. Particularly, we study the inactivation of yeast colonies applying the UVC radiation. As a result, by increasing the intensity of the applied UVC radiation in the decontamination core of the equipment, filled with metamaterials, we look on the effect of intensity dependence of the inactivation rate. Finally, in Sect. 4, we discuss the main approaches and results, and in Sect. 5, respectively, the work is concluded.

2 Theory: DNA/RNA dimerization as function of the intensity of UVC radiation through ramans process

For the description of the intensity dependence of the modification of DNA structure under the action of the UVC radiation in this Section, we propose the Born-Oppenheimer (BO) approximation [31] used in chemistry and microbiology. In this approach, the nuclei subsystem is characterized by the vectors \( \mathbf{R} = \{ R_1, R_2, ..., R_n \} \) and it is considered a slow subsystem as compared to the electronic subsystem in molecule, \( \mathbf{r} = \{ r_1, r_2, ..., r_n \} \). Here, \( R_1, R_2, ..., R_n \) and \( r_1, r_2, ..., r_n \) are the generalized coordinates of nuclei and bond electrons in a molecule. In this approximation, the molecular wave function is represented by the product \( |\Psi^{(e)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})\rangle \otimes |\chi(\mathbf{R})\rangle \), where \( |\Psi^{(e)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})\rangle \) and \( |\chi(\mathbf{R})\rangle \) are the wave functions of electronic and nuclei subsystems of the molecule, respectively. The modification of the DNA and RNA structures takes place under the action of UVC radiation, as it is represented schematically in Fig. 1. Considering the nuclei positions fixed for the electronic subsystem, the Schrödinger equation can be represented in the form

\[
H^{(e)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}_s)|\Psi^{(e)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}_s)\rangle = E^{(e)}(\mathbf{R}_s)|\Psi^{(e)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R}_s)\rangle.
\]

Obviously, the electronic energy eigenvalue \( E^{(e)} \) depends on the chosen nuclei positions, \( \mathbf{R}_s \). Varying these positions in small steps and repeatedly solving the Schrödinger equation of the electronic subsystem, one obtains \( E^{(e)} \) as a function of \( \mathbf{R}_s \). We start with DNA's dimer modification of the adjacent nucleotide bonds, \( A = T \), under the action of the electromagnetic field. The interaction energy of molecular electric multipoles may be approximated with the work of the electrical field during the displacements of electron at distance \( r_j \), i.e., \( H_{int} = -\sum eV(r_j) \), with the electric potential \( V(r_j) = \int_0^{r_j} Edt \) and neglecting the magnetic part of the field [32].

For the construction of the probable molecular states before and after the action of UVC radiation, we propose to represent the covalent bonds of the two adjacent nucleobases in DNA [34–36]. For example, the notations \{1 = 2\} and \{3 = 4\} represent two double covalent bonds between two adenine and thymine nucleotides. In the formation of the covalent bonds, electron orbitals overlap in order to form molecular orbitals, which give us the simplest prototype of molecule with covalent bonds observed in the \( \text{H}_2 \) molecule in the archetypal Lewis pair

\[
|\Psi_{\text{H}_2}(\zeta, \mathbf{R})\rangle = [2(1 + S_{ab})]^{-1/2}(|\psi_a(1)\rangle \otimes |\psi_b(2)\rangle + |\psi_b(1)\rangle \otimes |\psi_a(2)\rangle),
\]

\( \odot \) Springer
Three-level description of the dimer bond generation by UVC radiation in DNA according to the literature. Let us discuss the simple dimerization of DNA or RNA, in which thymine is replaced by uracil. The DNA strand breaks down to the non-damaged ground state of the DNA strand by the wave function of two covalent bonds in the $y$-direction (see Fig. 1) as follows

$$|G_u\rangle = |\Psi(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{I_y})\rangle \otimes |\Psi(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{J_y})\rangle,$$

where $|\Psi(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{I_y})\rangle = |(1 + \delta_{\zeta, 1})/2\rangle (|\varphi(1)\rangle \otimes |\varphi(2)\rangle + |\varphi(2)\rangle \otimes |\varphi(1)\rangle)$, and $|\Psi(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{J_y})\rangle = |(1 + \delta_{\zeta, 1})/2\rangle (|\varphi(3)\rangle \otimes |\varphi(4)\rangle + |\varphi(4)\rangle \otimes |\varphi(3)\rangle)$.

are the two overlap bond states of adjacent nucleotides of DNA strands, $\mathbf{R}_{I_y}$ and $\mathbf{R}_{J_y}$, respectively, which are represented by $A : T(1, 2)$ and $A : T(3, 4)$ in the $y$-direction of Fig. 1. For simplicity, we have represented in Eq. (3) only one covalent bond between each pair of nucleotides, but the nature of this ground state must be such that the precise recognition between $C$ and $G$ via triple H-bond, and between $T(U)$ and $A$ via a double H-bond, can guarantee the preservation of the genetic information encoded in the DNA (RNA) molecule [38, 39].

After the UVC action, the DNA steps chain pass into new states, where according to Fig. 1, the damaged “steps” passed into the new covalent bond state along the $x$-direction as follows

$$|G_d\rangle = |\Psi(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{I_x})\rangle \otimes |\Psi(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{J_x})\rangle,$$

where $|\Psi(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{I_x})\rangle = |(1 + \delta_{\zeta, 1})/2\rangle (|\varphi(1)\rangle \otimes |\varphi(3)\rangle + |\varphi(3)\rangle \otimes |\varphi(1)\rangle)$, and $|\Psi(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{J_x})\rangle = |(1 + \delta_{\zeta, 1})/2\rangle (|\varphi(2)\rangle \otimes |\varphi(4)\rangle + |\varphi(4)\rangle \otimes |\varphi(2)\rangle)$.

are the pyrimidine dimer and purine uncoupled nucleobases formed after modifications of step bonds between the DNA strands. We mention here that the purines (adenine and guanine) may remain uncoupled in covalent bonds (see Fig. 1). These two states are constructed in the process of absorption and emission of the two UV photons from the applied flux of UVC radiation.

The excited state contains the $p$-type wave function in which one of each of the four covalent electrons from the bonds $a, b, c$ and $d$ may be excited to

$$|E\rangle = |\Psi^{ex}(\zeta, \mathbf{R}_{I_x}, \mathbf{R}_{J_x})\rangle$$
are the free and interaction parts of the Hamiltonian, which describe the oscillation process between the three states of bimolecular potential and bounds between them is given in Appendix A.

\[ H_{0}^{(c)} = -\hbar \omega_{0} |G_{a}\rangle \langle G_{a}| - \hbar \omega_{d} |G_{d}\rangle \langle G_{d}| + \hbar \omega_{d} \hat{a}_{d}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{d} + \hbar \omega_{d} \hat{a}_{d}^{\dagger} \hat{a}_{d}, \]

\[ H_{\text{int}}^{(c)} = - P_{d}^{e}_{k} \hat{a}_{k}^{\dagger} |E\rangle \langle G_{u}| + P_{d}^{e}_{k} \hat{a}_{k} |E\rangle \langle G_{d}| + H.c., \]

where \( N \) is the normalization constant. Commonly, the energy \( E_{2s} \) of \( |2s\rangle \) state is lower than the energy \( E_{2p} \) of \( |2p\rangle \) state, and it is considered one or other excited state depending on the process that occurs. The optical transition between the same atomic states of hydrogen-like bonds with opposite parity, \(|E_{2s}\rangle = |\psi_{2s}^{\pm}(i)\rangle \) and \(|E_{2p}\rangle = |\psi_{2p}^{\pm}(i)\rangle \) with energies, \( E_{2s} \) and \( E_{2p} \), respectively, may be larger than optical transition matrix element between the electron localization on different nuclei. Indeed, considering that in molecule, the overlapping between the states of different nuclei, \(|\psi_{2s}^{\pm}(i)\rangle \) and \(|\psi_{2p}^{\pm}(i)\rangle \), may be less probable than the intrinsic transitions between the two ground states, \(|E_{2s}\rangle \) and two excited states, \(|E_{2p}\rangle \), of the same atom due to the small value of the ratio between the Bohr radius and mean distance between two atoms which participate in the covalent bond [34, 36].

We point out that due to delocalization of the excited electron, the dipole forbidden state nearby the nuclei may be excited by the shift of the states \(|s\rangle_{1} \) to \(|s\rangle_{2} \) of the nearby situated nuclei, labeled by 1 and 2. A brief review of such types of hybridization of the excited state, like \( \pi - \pi \), stacking can be found in [38, 39] and a revised aspect of this concept is proposed in [40].

For simplicity, we neglect this type of transition \(|G_{d}\rangle \leftrightarrow |E_{2s}\rangle \), so obtaining a three-level system with the electronic Hamiltonian, \( \hat{H}^{(c)} = \hat{H}_{0}^{(c)} + \hat{H}_{\text{int}}^{(c)} \), where

\[ ( - \hbar^{2} \Delta Q / (2 M_{a} + M_{d} \Omega_{d}^{2} Q^{2} / 2) |n\rangle_{u} = \hbar \Omega_{a} (n + 0.5) |n\rangle_{u} \]

and the second corresponds to possible pyrimidine dimerization of DNA/RNA after the action of the electromagnetic field.

\[ Fig. 2 \text{ Illustrative sketch of the two minima of the same potential, one situated on the x axes corresponding to the pyrimidine state, } T = T, \text{ and the second minimum is situated on the y axes corresponding to the normal state of DNA/RNA. The periodical excitation of the bond electrons by the radiation from normal state by the Raman-induced process moves nuclei positions of the pyrimidine dimer and normal states in opposite directions along the separation distance } D_{0}, \text{ so that these two minima may overlap. This corresponds to the situation when the excited electrons may form the dimer (see overlap of the two paraboloids). When the radiation is turned off, these two minima of the potential energy return to their initial states on the x and y directions, but the large number of nucleotides remains in the dimer state.} \]
from the two nuclei coordinates vibrations [41]. The position of the dimer along the direction represented in Fig. 3, when the effective mass of the dimer and normal states coincides. In this case, \( \partial \Pi(D)/(\partial D) \), and have the opposite signs. The magnitudes of these displacements, \( Q_0 \) and \( Q_n \), corresponds to maximal and minimal value of the polarization derivative in these minimum. The transition from double-well potential (B) to single-well potential (C) when UVC field’s intensity increases up to a critical value \( I_c \).

\[
( - \hbar^2 \Delta_\phi/(2M_d) + M_d \Omega_d^2 \Theta^2/2)|n\rangle_d = \hbar \Omega_d(n + 0.5)|n\rangle_d.
\]

Here \( \Delta_Q \) and \( \Delta_\phi \) are the Laplace operators relative to \( Q = \mathbf{R}_t - \mathbf{R}_{t0} \), and \( 2 \mathbf{R}_t - \mathbf{R}_{t0} \) displacements of the equilibrium positions of the normal, \( T = A \), and dimer \( T = T \) states, described in Appendix A.

Now, there could appear a question like: For which intensity of the applied field, these two states may become mixed? Looking to the Hamiltonian (A9), we observe that in the harmonic approximation, two oscillation points may coincide when \( Q_1 - Q_{0_d} - D_0 = \Theta - \Theta_0 \). If the nuclei in the both states have same mass, i.e., \( M_d = M_n = M_n \), and oscillate in the opposite directions along the \( D_0 \), the projection of the above expression on this direction becomes \( Q - \Theta = D_0 - \Theta_0 + Q_0 \). From the definition of \( \Pi(D) \) in Appendix A follows that this function is even relative to the center of mass as represented in Fig. 3, when the effective mass of the dimer and normal states coincides. In this case, \( -Q_0 = \Theta_0 > 0 \), so we have \( Q - \Theta = D_0 - 2\Theta_0(\beta) \). If the UVC field achieves a critical value, \( I_c = M_d \Omega_d^2 D_0/(2\beta) \), we may switch to the molecular description of the connected two localized states, \( Q = \Theta \).

In this situation, the Raman-induced scattering process may correlate the dimer and normal DNA states, describing this complex as a new molecular formation for which we can introduce the common generalized coordinate along the direction \( D_0 \) as this is represented in Fig. 2.

In order to find the dependence of the number of dimmers as a function of the applied intensity of radiation, it is better to switch from the two nuclei coordinates \( Q \) and \( \Theta \) to a common one for both double-well potentials as this is proposed in diatomic molecular vibrations [41]. The position of the dimer along the direction \( D_0 \) is \( \Theta \), and the position of the normal state is \( Q = D + \Theta \), where \( D \) is the distance between the dimer and normal states. If initially, both states (normal and dimer) of same mass are situation at distance \( D_0 \), the center of mass in the symmetrical vibrations is situated at distance \( D_0/2 = (\Theta M_n + M_d(D + \Theta))/2M_n = (2\Theta + D)/2 \), and so follows that \( \Theta = (D_0 - D)/2 \).

The equilibrium position of the state is represented now through the difference between \( D_0 \) and the new localized state \( \Theta = (D_0 - D)/2 \) (see Fig. 2), so \( D_0/2 \) is the position of the center of mass between two thymine/uracil nucleotides in DNA/RNA, respectively, which may be less than 10 Å, and hydrogen bond length in Watson–Crick base pairs is about 1 eV according to [42, 43]. In this case, according to Appendix A, the Hamiltonian of the nuclei (A9) has the aspect of the double-well potential oscillating in anti-phase with the vibration coordinates \( \Theta = (D_0 - D)/2 \) and \( Q = (D + D_0)/2 \), see Fig. 3B. If we switch to the center of mass coordinate, \( D_0/2 \), of the quasimolecular system, one obtains the common vibration coordinate, \( -\Theta = Q = D/2 \) as is represented in Fig. 3C.

Now it is better to decompose the quasienergetic spectrum of the two sheet potentials relative to the center of the mass \( \Pi(D/2) \approx \Pi(0) + D \delta \Pi(0)/(\partial D) + (D^2/2) \delta^2 \Pi(0)/(\partial D)^2 + \ldots \). As \( \Pi(D/2) \) is even function, the first derivative in this point is equal to zero, \( \delta \Pi(0)/(\partial D) = 0 \). The second derivative is negative in the maximum, \( \delta^2 \Pi(0)/(\partial D)^2 < 0 \), so that we have the renormalization of the vibration frequencies of the single-well potential on the intensity action as \( -D \delta_n(D/2)^2 \), where \( \delta_n = \delta^2 \Pi(0)/(\partial D)^2 \). In the low quasienergy sheet, the frequency increases after the renormalization, and in the upper one the frequency of the common mode of dimer and normal state decreases, and the Hamiltonian reads

\[
\hat{H}^{(n)} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \Delta_n + \left[ \mu \Omega_n^2 \Delta_n^2 - \delta_n I \delta_n/2 \right] D^2/2.
\]
Here according to Appendix A the displacements of the dimer and normal states depend on the intensity of the applied field with $\Omega_n = \sqrt{\left(\Omega_n^2 + \Omega_n^2\right)/2}$ and $\mu = M_n/2$ is the reduced mass. Introducing the renormalization frequency for each sheet, i.e., $\Omega_{n1} = \sqrt{\Omega_n^2 + I\delta_n/(2\mu)}$ for the lower, $\Omega_{n2} = \sqrt{\Omega_n^2 - I\delta_n/(2\mu)}$ for the upper one, and by neglecting the higher-order expansion term in the Hamiltonian (9), one obtains two solutions for harmonic oscillators with renormalized frequencies, i.e., $\Phi_n^0(D) \otimes |i\rangle$, $i = 1, 2$. We observe that this molecular oscillation model works well when $D_0/2 = \Theta_0(I)$. If the intensity of the applied field is less than the critical value, i.e., $I < I_c$, we can use the perturbation theory switching from one degree of freedom to double-well potential using the small parameter, $I_c - I$. Considering that in this case the molecular decoupling takes place, we represent the solution as a superposition described by Exps. (A10), in which one of the terms depends on displacement variables as $F_n^0(Q, \Theta) = F_n^0(Q + |Q_0(I)|, \Theta - \Theta_0(I))$. For $I = I_c$, this function coincides with the solution of the harmonic oscillator, $\Phi_n^0(D)$. In this situation, it is better to represent the argument of the new function through the critical point, $F_n^0(Q, \Theta) = \Phi_n^0(Q + |Q_0(I)|, \Theta - \Theta_0(I))$ so that the probability of the distortion the quasimolecular complex with the decreasing of the intensity depends on the matrix element $|\langle \Phi_n^0 | \delta F_n^0 \rangle|^2$ as reads

$$W_{n, n1} = \frac{4(I_c - I)^2 \beta^2}{\mu^2 \Omega_n^2} \int dD |\Phi_n^0(D) \delta \Phi_n^0(D')/\delta D|^2.$$

Taking into consideration that the derivative operator $\delta / \delta D$ may be expressed through annihilation $\hat{\nu}$ and creation $\hat{\nu}^\dagger$, vibron operators, i.e., $\delta / \delta D = \sqrt{\mu \Omega_n/(2\hbar)}(\hat{\nu} - \hat{\nu}^\dagger)$. Therefore, one may easily calculate the matrix element, $\langle \Phi_n^0 | (\hat{\nu} - \hat{\nu}^\dagger) | \Phi_n^0 \rangle = \sqrt{\mu \Omega_n/(2\hbar)}(\sqrt{n_1} \delta_{n, n1} - \sqrt{n_0} \delta_{n, n0})$, and so finally the probability becomes

$$W_{n, n1} = \frac{4(I_c - I)^2 \beta^2}{\mu^2 \Omega_n^2} (\sqrt{n_1} \delta_{n, n1} - \sqrt{n_0} \delta_{n, n0})^2.$$

As a result, we obtain the quadratic decreasing of dimerization rate with decreasing of the UVC intensity. To carefully study this effect, it is plausible to develop the master equation approach to the induced Raman excitation of the dimerization process described by interaction Hamiltonian (A4) and taking into consideration the thermal bath of the local vibrations of nuclei in normal and dimer bounds. In this situation, the combination between coherent dimer generation in the Raman process and the dephasing process may be described by the phase transition as pointed out by the phenomenological model in Ref. [9]. In the next section, we experimentally estimate this quadratic dependence on the intensity of the inactivation rate of fungal colonies.

### 3 Experimental results: UVC intensity dependence of the decontamination rate

The main part of our equipment described in Refs. [8, 9] consisted of the decontamination core, which is upgraded to a new method by repacking the metamaterial structure with the small quartz balls/fibers, introducing these between the big elements of the metamaterial, as represented in Fig. 4 (A, B, C) and described in the recent Refs. [12, 13]. In this section, we propose to study experimentally the decontamination rate as a function of the intensity of the applied UVC radiation. Therefore, we realized several experiments as represented by the rows in Fig. 5. Each experiment has one more germicidal lamp (18 W of power and wavelength of 254 nm) turn on at the decontamination core, as observed in Fig. 4 (D). In this setup, we use an aluminum cylinder, which reflects the radiation of the UVC lamps around the decontamination core, and so maximally focuses the radiation on the central axis of the core. The role of this cylinder is to protect people from radiation generated by UVC lamps and to make maximum use of the UVC radiation emitted by lamps during the decontamination of fluids flowing through the equipment’s core. This core may be filled up by some metamaterials, and we use granulated quartz (transparent to UVC radiation), through which the UVC radiation is propagated inside the infected fluids.

It is known that many pathogens (viruses and bacteria) are more sensible to UVC radiation than eukaryotic cells due to the double protection of DNA by cellular and nucleus membrane of the last. As this, for the proposed experiments we use yeast solutions instead of dangerous contaminated fluids. In fact, the yeast has stronger resistance to UVC radiation in comparison with many viruses or bacteria. The yeast solutions used by us belong to Saccharomyces cerevisiae species with the property to form colonies. According to existing investigations, e.g., [47–53], the unicellular organisms have the ability to be arranged in strings of connected budding; the yeast cells are known as pseudohyphae or false hyphae. The cellular life within these populations is a prevalent form of microbial
existence in natural conditions, so providing the cells with the capabilities to effectively defend against the environmental attacks, as well as efficiently adapt and survive long periods of starvation and other kind of stresses.

As in our previous experiments [12, 13], we prepared samples of yeast solutions by considering the yeast colonies of different size and density (columns a, b, c in Fig. 5) before passing via the decontamination equipment. The experimental design of laboratory tests was constructed to investigate the UVC dose dependence of the inactivation rate for yeast colonies. For each experimental condition, yeast inactivation experiments were conducted randomly and independently to guarantee statistical significance of results. A normal distribution was estimated considering a big number of probe droplets (20 - 30), but in Fig. 5 only three of these samples are considered. Some statistical dependencies between the geometrical size and number of colonies were established from the experimental observations performed with a microscope with 400x magnification.

The yeast solution samples represented in Fig. 5 evidence an aleatory distribution of colony size in each sample (see columns a, b, c of the first line). Yeast colonies could achieve the dimension of 1 − 30 μm in diameter, and we monitored the evolution of the number of yeast colonies as a function of intensity of the UVC radiation during the decontamination procedure.

So, the metamaterials consisting of quartz fibers or microspheres in optical contact with the yeast samples (Fig. 4) were used. As a result of decontamination procedure, we have obtained the microscopic images shown in the lines of Fig. 5, where the inactivation process of the yeast colonies can be observed. An important part of liquid samples is decontaminated during the time of about 3 min by exposing on the irradiation of six UVC lamps. We emphasize here that this effect is observed in the simple static regime, i.e., when the flow of liquid is stationary between the elements of metamaterial, see also [12, 13]. For the experimental situation represented in Fig. 5, studied in about of 20 − 30 samples of the decontaminated fluids, one obtains that the mean number of colonies, $n_0(I)$, decreases nonlinearly (see Fig. 6A) if turning ON from one to six UVC lamps, so increasing the intensity with the same magnitude up with metamaterials, using the equipment as in (A−C).

\[
W(n, d) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2_n}} \exp\left[-\frac{(n-n_0)^2}{2\sigma^2_n}\right] \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2_{d_n}}} \exp\left[-\frac{(d_n-d_0)^2}{2\sigma^2_{d_n}}\right].
\]  

(11)

Here $\sigma_n$ is the size variance, and $\sigma_n$ - the number variance of yeast colonies for the same diameters $d_n$. To analyze the experimental results, we estimate the average values of the number and size (diameter) of yeast colonies present in samples prepared for decontamination. When no lamp is activated (first row in Fig. 5), we have $n_0 \approx 50$ and the other parameters are: $\sigma_n = 2, d_0/d_{ip} = 0.05/n_0$ and $\sigma_{d_n} = 0.1/d_{ip}$, where $d_{ip}$ is visualized diameter of the microscope image, as shown in Fig. 5.

The distribution function of the yeast colonies based on the size parameters depends on the intensity of the UVC applied radiation. The characteristics of the colonies size, like mean number, $n_0(I)$, and diameter, $d_0(I)$, de facto will depend on the number of the irradiating UVC lamps. The consecutive addition of the UVC lamps in the system means that the intensity of the UVC radiation increases proportional to their number, $I_N \propto N$, where $N = 1, 2, ..., 6$. In this case, in each experiment, we have the same distribution function as defined in Eq. 11, but with the parameters dependent on the intensity, i.e., $n(I)$ and $d(I)$. From our estimations, for the case of six UVC lamps one has $n_0(I_6) \approx 0.08n_0(I = 0)$, and $d_0(I_6)/d_{ip} \approx 0.1d_0(I = 0)/d_{ip}$. Hence, these size parameters, as e.g., $n_0$ in Fig. 6, decrease with the increasing of the intensity. If considering there exists a critical intensity, $I_c$, for which the mean number of yeast colonies and their diameters are zero, i.e., $n_0(I_c) = 0$ and $d_0(I_c) = 0$, it follows that the increasing of the mean number (diameter) is $\propto (I_c - I)^2$, where $I < I_c$. In other words

\[
n_0(I_N) = \xi(I_c - I_N)^2
\]  

(12)

where $N$ means the number of lamps with $N < N_c$, and $\xi$ is a constant parameter.
Fig. 5 Here, each row corresponds consecutively to an experiment with: 0 Lamp, 1 Lamp, 2 Lamps, 3 Lamps, 4 Lamps, 5 Lamps and 6 Lamps, turning on, respectively. In all these experiments, a large number of fluid samples (about 20 – 30) were studied, but one shows only 3 samples of them. It is observed that in such experiments the statistical studies in decontamination rate are necessary in order to establish the inactivation rate (decontamination rate in the case of pathogens).
In the experiments described above, for the increasing of penetration depth of UVC radiation into translucent fluids the quartz metamaterials are used. In consequence, this effect optimizes the inactivation rate of contaminator, i.e., the pyrimidine dimerization process of its DNA. These experimental results confirm the good performance of the studied UVC decontamination equipment and serve as a premise that the proposed methodology could be applied with a similar success in case for killing pathogens (bacteria, viruses, fungi, etc.) that may be present in the contaminated fluids.

4 Discussion

As follows from the experimental results, by increasing the intensity of the UVC radiation the inactivation of yeast colonies increases in a strongly nonlinear manner when three radiating lamps are turned on (see Fig. 6A). Afterwards, if consecutively increasing the number of lamps, then a weakly nonlinear law is observed. In our experiments, we used up to six lumps to reach a critical intensity, when almost complete inactivation of the yeast colonies is detected.

Therefore, from these observations, we conclude that by increasing the intensity of the applied radiation in a fixed time interval, the number of yeast colonies decreases nonlinearly up to a given intensity and after, a smooth decreasing prevails. This result confirms the theoretical prediction explained in Sect. 2. Particularly, by taking into consideration the conclusions of the perturbation theory, the intensity dependence of the overlapping of the wave functions in the double-well potential can be applied to this experiment. We may consider the efficiency of the tunneling effect between normal and dimerized DNA states proportional to the shifts defined in the theory as $\delta Q_0(I) = 2\{I_c - I\}\alpha/(M\Omega_2^2)$ and $\delta \Theta_0(I) = 2(I_c - I)\beta/(M\Omega_2^2)$, so this effect correlates well with the experimental result as observed in Fig. 6A, where a fitting function based on the theoretical result in Eq. 10 is considered. Therefore, one finds a phenomenological correlation between the experimental observations of yeast inactivation dependent on the intensity of irradiation and the theoretical framework explaining the process of dimerization of yeast’s DNA by the UVC irradiation. The decontamination parameter of the system becomes proportional to the compression of the double-well potential and the tunneling of the electrons between two stationary states increases as a function of the intensity of the irradiation.

To analyze how the interaction with the UV photons occurs regarding the potential barrier between the normal and dimer states, in the following we make some estimations. For example, in Ref. [56] one finds for haploid yeast cells the mean nuclear volume is $\sim 3 \mu m^3$ and considering the DNA takes up roughly 0.3% of the nuclear volume, so its dimension can be approximated to about $0.01 \mu m^3$. Taking the DNA nuclei as a spherical resonator with the diameter about $2.15 mm$, one observes that the UVC radiation can be accumulated in such electromagnetic cavity if the optical density of DNA is larger than this value for the rest of the cell cytoplasm. Finding in [57] that the radiative lifetime may be less than 1 $\mu$ps for $\pi \pi^*$ excited states from which we may estimate the dipole transitions, $P_u$ and $P_d$ between the excited and two ground states represented in Fig. 1A and, respectively, estimate the displacement of $Q_0$ and $\Theta_0$ as explained in Appendix. Indeed, taking into consideration that $Q_0 = 2I/(M\Omega_2^2)$, where the barrier of oscillators is described by the maximal amplitudes, $Q_m \sim 5 \AA$ and $\Theta_m \sim 5 \AA$ of normal and dimer states, we estimate $M u \Omega_2^2 Q_m^2/2 \approx M u \Omega_2^2 Q_d^2/2 \sim (0.8 - 1)eV$, which corresponds to the intersection of two parabolas in Fig. 2. The shift parameter depends on the work obtained during the action of the generalized force, $2I\alpha$, on the nuclei during the displacement, $Q < Q_m$, and may be estimated as follows: $2I\alpha Q \sim 2g_0^2 h P_u P_d Q/(\alpha_c(h\nu_0 - h\nu_d))$. Here, the mean number of photons can be obtained in the
excitation zone as
\[ g_{21}^2 = 2\pi\hbar\omega_0 / V, \]
where \( V \sim 0.01\mu m^3, \alpha = \partial \Pi(D_0) / \partial R_{e0} \sim \Pi(D_0) / a_e a_g \sim (1 - 2)\hat{A} \]
is the localization radius of normal state, \( n \) is the mean number of photons. Therefore, if the fluorescence lifetime of the excited state is \( \tau_f \sim \hbar c^3 / P_d^2 \omega_0^3 < 1 \, \text{ps} \)
at wavelength 330 nm (see [57]), we may then calculate not only \( P_g \) and \( P_u \), but also the Raman detuning from the resonance with the excited state, i.e., \( h(o_{g0} - \omega_a) = 2\pi \hbar c(1/260nm - 1/330nm) \sim 1 \, \text{eV} \). Finally, the estimation gives the shift energy \( 2I\alpha Q_m \sim 1 \, \text{eV} \),
which converges well to \( M_u^2 \Omega_m^2 Q_m^2 / 2 \sim (0.8 - 1) \text{eV} \), as mentioned above.

It is well known that the UV radiation must be used with maximal precaution and security protocols. Nevertheless, to increase the protection of the experimenters from hazardous UV radiation, a practical recommendation is to close UV lamps into an aluminum container, moreover that the intensity of the applied UV radiation in this case can be optimized and then the decontamination process as well. So, multiple reflections of UV radiation from the aluminum cylinder of decontamination core of the equipment can improve the interaction of pathogens with UV radiation focused to the center of this cylinder. In the proposed experiments, we have studied this effect as a function of the number of applied UV lamps inside such a cylinder, through which can be pumped infected fluids (water, air, droplets, aerosols, etc.).

Additional effect to stimulate the decontamination is obtained by introducing quartz fibers/spheres into the translucent contaminated fluids inside the cylinder, so one gets a larger contact surface of the radiation with contaminated fluids. The contact surface consists of the surface of each element multiplied by the number of these. As a result, we end up with a supplementary surface for infection fluids (water, air, droplets, aerosols, etc.).

5 Conclusions

In summary, in this work we have studied theoretically and experimentally the dependence of the inactivation rate of yeast colonies on the intensity of the applied UV field. From Fig. 6, it follows that the decontamination process achieves kind of threshold between the strong nonlinear and weak nonlinear dependence on intensity that occurs for about three UV lamps in our setup. The experimental result is well fitted by the quadratic law obtained from the theoretical model as can be observed in Fig. 6A by the blue line. The critical intensity to inactivate totally the yeast cells is obtained for about six UV lamps, when these irradiate during 3 minutes.

Finally, we conclude that the results, practical suggestions and methods, discussed throughout this work can be exported to pathogens (viruses and bacteria) to find the correlations between the applied intensity of UV radiation, exposure time and the type of metaspheres for the dispersion of radiation in translucent fluids (or non-transparent droplets and aerosols). Of course, similar experimental studies can be exported as well as the case of SARS-CoV-2 virus; however, this type of experiment in our laboratory is impossible to carry out due to the absence of the infrastructure and protocols for the manipulation of biological viruses.
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Appendix A Elimination of electronic subsystem dressed by scattered UV field

As we are interested on the structure modification of DNA/RNA by the electronic covalent bonds migrations during the Raman scattering, in the following we consecutively eliminate the virtual states of electron subsystem. We also diagonalize the semiclassical Hamiltonian of atomic and electronic subsystems passing to the system of coordinates rotating with frequency \( (E_{G_u} - E_{G_d})/\hbar \).

In the Born-Markov approximation, the excited state can be represented through the ground states as follows

\[ |E(t)\rangle = |E(t)\rangle_0 - \frac{P^{k\varepsilon}}{h(o_{g0} - o_{d0})}\hat{h}_{k}^\dagger(t)|G_u(t)\rangle - \frac{P^{k\varepsilon}_{d}}{h(o_{d0} - o_{d0}^2)}\hat{a}_{k}^\dagger(t)|G_d(t)\rangle \]  

(A1)

Here \( |E(t)\rangle_0 \) is the eigenvector of the free Hamiltonian. After the elimination of the excited state from the interaction Hamiltonian, Eq. 8, one obtains new free and interaction parts of the full Hamiltonian due to the applied field

\[ H^\text{eff}_{0} = -\hbar\omega_u |G_u\rangle\langle G_u| - \hbar\omega_u |G_d\rangle\langle G_d| + \hbar\omega_u |\hat{b}_{k}\rangle\langle \hat{b}_{k}| + \hbar\omega_d |\hat{a}_{k}\rangle\langle \hat{a}_{k}| \]
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where $M_u \omega_u^2 = \hbar^2 V(0)/\partial Q_u^2$ and $M_d \omega_d^2 = \hbar^2 V(0)/\partial \Theta_d^2$, here $M_u$ ($M_d$) and $\Omega_u$ ($\Omega_d$) are the nucleus effective mass and oscillation frequency, respectively, in the normal (dimer) localization. The influence of the applied UVC field on the vibrational nuclear excitation permits us to describe the wave function of the nuclei as a spinor state

$$-i \hbar \frac{d}{dt} \chi(R) = \left( \hat{H}^{(n)}(R) + \hat{H}^{(r)}(R, t) \right) |\chi(R)\rangle,$$

where the 'ket' vector, $|\chi(R)\rangle$, is a Hermitian conjugate of the 'bra' one, which is defined as a line vector with two components of the electronic states, i.e., $|\chi(R)\rangle = (\chi_1(R), \chi_2(R))$.

In Eq. (A7), $\hat{H}^{(e)}$ is the electron effective Hamiltonian (A4), which can be reduced to the stationary states of the quasienergies in the coordinate system rotating with the frequency $\Omega_0$ by splitting two states corresponding to dimer and normal DNA/RNA, i.e., $\hat{H}^{(e)} = \hbar \Omega_0 \omega_z$. Here, the dynamical Stark splitting frequency is defined as $\Omega = \sqrt{(\Omega_0 - \Omega_0)^2 + 4(\Pi I)/\hbar^2}$. Therefore, one observes that the external field improves the ’tunneling’ from normal state, $|G_{u}\rangle$ to the pyrimidine dimer one, $|G_{d}\rangle$, due to the fact that the Rabi frequency increases proportionally to the intensity of the applied UVC field. This effect will be notable if considering that the energy $\hbar \omega_0$ and $\hbar \omega_d$ are small as compared to the normalized energies, so that the second-order polarizations can be approximated as follows: $\Pi_{0}^u = 2P_{0}^u/|\hat{E}_0 + h\Omega_0/2 - \hbar \omega_0| \approx 2P_{0}^u/|\hat{E}_0 - \hbar \omega_0|$, $\Pi_{0}^d = 2P_{0}^d/|\hat{E}_0(R) - h\Omega_0/2 - \hbar \omega_0| \approx 2P_{0}^d/|\hat{E}_0(R) - \hbar \omega_0| + h\Omega_0/(\hat{E}_0(R) - \hbar \omega_0)^2$. In this situation, the splitting energy represented by the first term of the Hamiltonian (A4) is proportional to the Stark shift, $\hbar \omega_0 P_{0}^I/(\hat{E}_0(R) - \hbar \omega_0)^2$. As the polarization matrix element, $P_{0}(D)$, describes the transition between the shifted normal, $T = A$ and dimer, $T = T$, states and excited one, the last expression points out that the increase of the intensity, $I$, of the applied radiation, will amplify the splitting energy between normal and dimer states, so shifting them. The last dependence demonstrates the modification of the potential barrier in the adiabatic process under the action of UVC radiation, so improving electron tunneling from the normal state to a dimer one.

Considering that the splitting energy related to the Raman transition is larger than the local energy of each normal or dimer oscillator, i.e., $\Omega_0 \gg \Omega_u, \Omega_d$, so we can go to the coordinate system rotating with $\Omega_0$ the Hamiltonian in Eq. (A7), i.e., $\hat{H}^{(e)}(R) + \hat{H}^{(r)}(R, t) \approx -i \hbar \Omega_0 \omega_z$. In this situation, the time-independent electron interaction part of the Hamiltonian, $-\Pi_0(M_T^+ - M_T^-$), is obtained applying the BO approximation, which concludes that during the rotation with rapid frequency, $\Omega_0$, the nuclear part of the Hamiltonian, $\hat{H}^{(e)}(R)$, is not affected. For simplicity, we may consider that the frequencies and nuclear mass of both oscillators have the same magnitudes, i.e., using the approximation $\Omega_u \approx \Omega_d$ and $M_u \approx M_d$ in the representation of the stationary Schrödinger equation (A7), which reduces to

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{H}_0(R) + h(\partial \hat{\Omega}_0 - \Omega_0)/2 - \epsilon & \Pi I \\ \Pi I & \hat{H}_0(R) - h(\partial \hat{\Omega}_0 - \Omega_0)/2 - \epsilon \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \chi_1(R) \\ \chi_2(R) \end{bmatrix}$$

and from which follows the energy of the two splitting states

$$E_{1,2}(I) = \frac{P_{0}^u \Omega_u^2 Q^2}{2M_u} + \frac{P_{0}^d \Omega_d^2 \Theta^2}{2M_d} + \frac{M_u \Omega_u^2}{2} + \frac{M_d \Omega_d^2}{2} + \frac{\hbar}{2} \sqrt{(\hat{\Omega}_0 - \Omega_0)^2 + 4(\Pi I)/\hbar^2}. \tag{A8}$$

For simplicity, we consider that $M_n = M_u = M_d$, and $\Omega_u = \Omega_d$. As a result, under the approach $\hat{\Omega}_0 - \Omega_0 = \Omega_0 P_{0}^I/(\hat{E}_0 - \hbar \omega_0)^2$, and for small detuning, $\Omega_0 - \Omega_0 \ll 2\Pi I$, the energy of the double-well oscillator is reduced to the expression, $E_{1,2}(I) \approx \hat{H}_0(R) + \Pi I$. Here, it was considered that the transition energy satisfies the condition: $\Omega_0 - \langle \hat{E}_0 - \hbar \omega_0 \rangle$. In this situation, the susceptibility depends on the oscillator coordinate, $\Pi(Q)$, and can be decomposed in Taylor series, $\Pi(Q, 2) \approx \Pi(R_0, R_0) + (Q, \partial \Pi(R_0, R_0)/\partial R_0) + (2, \partial \Pi(R_0, R_0)/\partial R_0) + \ldots$. Taking into consideration that in our simplified model, the polarization $\Pi(R_0, R_0) = \Pi(R_0)$ contains the oscillatory modes in two quantum wells with normal modes, $Q$ for normal state and $2$ for DNA or RNA dimer, and separated by the distance $D_0 = R_0 - R_0$, so one observes that $\partial \Pi(D_0)/\partial R_0 = -\partial \Pi(D_0)/\partial R_0$, for the same mass in the dimer and normal states. In this situation, we obtain that the external field shifts the oscillation point of each covalent sheet state quasienergies, $V_i = [M_u \Omega_u^2 Q^2 + M_d \Omega_d^2 \Theta^2]/2 - 2I \alpha_i Q_i - 2I \beta_i \Theta_i - 2I \Pi(D_0) + E_0(Q)$, $V_2 = [M_u \Omega_u^2 Q^2 + M_d \Omega_d^2 \Theta^2]/2 + 2I \beta_i \Theta_i + 2I \Pi(D_0) + E_0(Q)$, here $i = \{x, y, z\}$. The parameters $\alpha_i = \partial \Pi(D_0)/\partial R_0$ and $\beta_i = \partial \Pi(D_0)/\partial R_0$ are the gradient components. Now, transforming the energy of the potential well to a quadratic form, one gets

$$\hat{H} = \frac{\hat{P}_x^2}{2M_u} + \frac{\hat{P}_y^2}{2M_d} + M_u \Omega_u^2 \hat{Q} + Q_0 \hat{Q}^2/2 - M_d \Omega_d^2 \hat{\Theta}^2/2 - 2I \Pi(D_0) \hat{\Theta}^2 + \epsilon \hat{Q}^2/V(Q, \Theta)$

$$= -2I \hat{Q}^2 \left( \frac{\hat{\Theta}}{M_u \Omega_u^2} + \frac{\hat{\Theta}}{M_d \Omega_d^2} \right) - 2I \Pi(D_0) \hat{\Theta}^2 + \epsilon \hat{Q}^2/V(Q, \Theta)$$. \tag{A9}$$

where $Q_0 = 2I/(M_u \Omega_u^2)$, $\Theta_0 = 2I \beta_0/(M_d \Omega_d^2)$; $\hat{\sigma}_i = |2\rangle \langle 2| - |1\rangle \langle 1|$ and $\hat{\Theta} = |2\rangle \langle 2| + |1\rangle \langle 1|$ are the common sigma-z Pauli and identity matrices, respectively, taking into consideration the two sheets numbered by “1” the lower, and by “2” the upper one.
Neglecting the higher-order potential decomposition, i.e., $\delta \hat{V} = 0$, we obtain the solutions for the shifted oscillators, for the lower and upper sheets, respectively:

$$|\chi^+_1(\mathbf{R})\rangle \sim \Phi^+_n(Q - Q_0)\Phi^+_n(\Theta - \Theta_0) \otimes |1\rangle, \quad (A10)$$
$$|\chi^-_2(\mathbf{R})\rangle \sim \Phi^-_n(Q + Q_0)\Phi^-_n(\Theta + \Theta_0) \otimes |2\rangle.$$

Here $\Phi^+_n(Z - Q_0)$ is the eigenfunction of the traditional harmonic oscillator shifted by the field, $Z_{\Theta_0}$ relative to the equilibrium position in the absence of radiation, $Z_i = \{Q_i, \Theta_i\}, i = \{x, y, z\}$. Considering that initially the system is prepared in a normal state, $|\chi^+_1(\mathbf{R})\rangle = |1\rangle$, the transition from normal to dimer states is described by the operator $\hat{\sigma}^+ = |2\rangle \langle 1|$.

In conclusion, we observe that the intensity of the applied UVC field shifts the position of nuclei during the scattering process stimulating the tunneling of an electron from one potential well to another. This effect corresponds to coherent excitation of the nuclei vibrations relative to the stationary localized states, and so resulting in the transformation and modification of DNA under the UVC radiation.
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