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**ABSTRACT**

The purpose of this study is to evaluate tibial and femoral tunnel diameter following single bundle anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction and correlation between tunnel enlargement and clinical outcome. Twelve patients who underwent primary arthroscopic single bundle ACL reconstruction with hamstring graft were included in prospective case series. Preoperative clinical evaluation was performed using international knee documentation committee (IKDC) subjective score and grade, Tegner knee score and Lysholm knee score. Computed tomography (CT) evaluation of the femoral and tibial tunnels were done on post-operative day (POD) 1 and at a mean follow up of 9 months (range 7–12 months) and were compared with functional scores. Our study shows significant tibial and femoral tunnel enlargement on CT scan at 9 months (range 7-12 months) postoperatively. All the clinical evaluation scales showed improvement postoperatively. The mean average femoral tunnel diameter increased significantly (p<0.001) from 8.17±0.57 to 9.08±0.660 (10%) and tibial tunnel diameter increased significantly (p<0.001) from 8.08±0.669 to 9.07±0.601 (11%) postoperatively at a mean follow up of 9 months (range 7-12 months). No statistically significant difference between tunnel enlargement and clinical values were found. In our current prospective CT based study, we conclude use of extracortical fixation of femoral tunnel with stronger fixation of the tibial tunnel, tunnel orientation and anatomic fixation close to the joint line along with less aggressive rehabilitation protocol with use of extension knee brace may result in minimization of tunnel widening with quadrupled hamstring autograft.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) reconstruction is one of the commonly performed surgeries all over the world. The enlargement of tibial and femoral bone tunnels is commonly encountered postoperatively.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^7\) Computed tomography (CT) scan remains gold standard to X-ray and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) in measuring the cross-sectional area of bone tunnels.\(^8\)\(^-\)\(^9\) The enlargement of tunnels can occur anywhere along its cross sectional area. The reported incidence of tunnel widening (TW) inconsistently varies among different studies, ranging from 29 to 100% for tibia and 25 to 100% for femur.\(^10\) Etiopathogenesis of TW is still obscure and thought to be multifactorial, a complex interaction between mechanical and biological factors exists.\(^11\) Amongst possible biological cause include immune response to foreign material (allograft), cell necrosis due to heat generated from drill bit and toxic products released from it, also due to nonspecific immune mediated release of cytokines and other inflammatory cells from the synovial fluid which seeps between the graft and the bone.\(^11\) Mechanical factors like graft type, fixation method, excess graft motion within the tunnel, improper tunnel placement, original bone
diameter, inappropriate surgical planning, aggressive rehabilitation may also contribute.\textsuperscript{11-14} It has also been reported that farther the fixation points on the tibia or the femur more is the widening of the tunnel. Hence fixation type along with the tunnel placement should be focused on as these are surgeon dependent.\textsuperscript{4,15}

Tunnel widening is important for the following reasons: it is important to preserve the bone stock in revision ACL surgeries; and it acts as a stress riser resulting in bone fracture.\textsuperscript{16} Male sex, younger age and delayed ACL reconstruction (>1 year from the time of injury) is associated with significant TW.\textsuperscript{17}

The purpose of this study is to prospectively evaluate the TW in the femur and tibia following single bundle ACL reconstruction with hamstring autograft using anteromedial portal technique and to evaluate the association between TW and clinical scores.

**CASE SERIES**

This is a prospective observational study conducted at a tertiary level teaching hospital from May 2019 to September 2019 with an average follow up of 9 months (range 8-12 months). Our study included twelve patients of whom 11 are male and 1 female with a mean age of 28.25±7.875.

Inclusion criteria were complete ACL tear with or without meniscal injury with normal contralateral knee.

Exclusion criteria were multiligamentous injury, instability due to malunited fractures, outerbridge grade 3 or grade 4 arthritic changes or neuromuscular diseases.

All underwent arthroscopic ACL reconstruction using hamstring autograft which is quadrupled. Ten patients underwent anteromedial portal technique and the reminder underwent all inside technique. All femoral fixation was done using endobutton and tibial fixation with biointerference screw and endobutton.

Intraoperative femoral tunnel diameters of 8 patients were 8 mm, 3 patients had 9 mm and 1 patient had 7 mm with tibial tunnel of 7 patients were 8mm, 3 patients had 9 mm and 2 patients with 7 mm diameters. The graft tunnel mismatch were <1 mm as calculated by postoperative CT.

Preoperative clinical evaluation of knee function and stability was done using international knee documentation committee (IKDC) 2000 subjective knee evaluation score and IKDC grade, Lysholm knee score and Tegner activity level.

**Postoperative treatment and rehabilitation**

Postoperatively, every patient’s knee was placed in long extension knee brace with ice packs for control of pain and inflammation. From the 2\textsuperscript{nd} postoperative day (POD) isometric quadriceps with ankle pumping exercises were started. Patients were allowed to walk with partial weight bearing for the first two weeks and full weight bearing thereafter with walker. Closed chain range-of-motion (ROM) exercises were started from POD-2 to reach 90\textdegree{} by the end of 2 weeks and full ROM by the end of 4 weeks. Knee brace and walker were discarded at the end of 4 weeks. Muscle strengthening and open chain ROM exercises were started at the end of 6 weeks. At the 3\textsuperscript{rd} month onwards isotonic, isokinetic exercises and progressive functional activities (cycling, running) are started. Complete return to activity by 6-9 months.

**Radiographic evaluation**

CT of the femoral and tibial tunnels were evaluated at post op day 1 and during follow up period. The examinations were performed using Siemens 32 slice multislice CT (MSCT) with post-processing multislab reconstruction on the coronal, axial and sagittal planes. Bone tunnel measurements were made perpendicular to the long axis of the tunnel. MSCT scanning was performed at a level just above the outer femoral tunnel to a level below the outer hole of the tibial tunnel. The slice thickness is 1 mm, with retro-reconstruction of 0.5 mm made in all patients.

We measured the transosseous tibial and femoral tunnel diameters at 8 different levels, four each for tibial and femoral tunnels.

The reference tunnel diameters for tibial and femoral tunnels are taken as follows: F1 femoral tunnel axial at notch, F2 femoral tunnel axial at middle point, F3 femoral tunnel coronal at middle point, F4 femoral tunnel sagittal at middle point, T1 tibial tunnel, axial at plateau, T2 tibial tunnel, axial at middle point, T3 tibial tunnel, coronal at middle point, and T4 tibial tunnel, sagittal at middle point (Figures 1-4).
Repeat CT scanning were performed using the same criteria described in the immediate postoperative evaluation.

**Figure 2: Tibial and femoral tunnels 2.**

**Figure 3: Tibial and femoral tunnels 3.**

**Figure 4: Tibial and femoral tunnels 5.**

**Figure 5: Tunnel diameters.**

**Statistical analysis**

Based on the previous publication by Iorio et al, the mean±SD of tibial tunnel diameter values were (9.03±0.04 mm, 10±0.8 mm) with 95% confidence level and 80% statistical power, the minimum sample size was calculated to be 12.12

For calculation of statistical significance of continuous variables Student’s t-test was used and for categorical variables analysis of variance (ANOVA) test was used. P value <0.05 was taken as statistically significant. Of the 12 patients included in our study, 11 are male 1 female with mean age of 28.25±7.875. 5 patients had right sided tear and 7 patients had left sided tear. ACL reconstruction with medial meniscus repair done in 2 patients, with medial meniscal debridement done in 1 patient and rest of them underwent isolated single bundle ACL reconstruction. None of the patients had complications like deep vein thrombosis, nerve injuries or infection. Full range of motion was seen in all patients.

The mean IKDC 2000 subjective score increased from 45.92±10.604 preoperatively to 78.00±4.843 post operatively. The mean Lysholm knee score increased from 70.17±3.23 preoperatively to 90.33±5.033 postoperatively. The mean Tegner activity score improved from 3.25±0.754 preoperatively to 6.58±0.515 postoperatively. On the IKDC objective grade 8 patients (66%) were graded C and 4 patients (33%) were graded D preoperatively. Postoperatively 5 patients (41%) were graded A, and 7 patients (58%) were graded B (Table 1).

**Femoral tunnels**

CT scan obtained on POD 1 showed mean average increase in the femoral tunnel diameter from 8.17±0.57 to 9.08±0.660 during the follow up period with a p value <0.001 which is significant (Table 2).

Comparison of tunnel diameter of femur during the follow up period to the IKDC objective grade showed no statistical difference with a p value 0.408 (Table 3).

Comparison of tunnel diameter of femur during the follow up period to the Lysholm knee score showed no statistical difference with a p value 0.552 (Table 3).

**Tibial tunnels**

CT scan obtained on POD 1 showed mean average increase in the tibial tunnel diameter from 8.08±0.669 to 9.07±0.601 during the follow up period with a p value <0.001 which is significant (Table 2). Comparison of tunnel diameter of tibia during the follow up period to the IKDC objective grade showed no statistical difference with a p value 0.876 (Table 3). Comparison of tunnel diameter of tibia during the follow up period to the Lysholm knee score showed no statistical difference with a p value 0.552 (Table 3).
Table 1: Preoperative and follow up clinical scores (IKDC) (n=12).

| Parameters       | Pre-op (mean±SD) | Follow up (mean±SD) |
|------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| IKDC subjective score | 45.92±10.604     | 78.00±4.843         |
| IKDC grade       |                  |                     |
| A=0, B=0, C=8, D=4 |                  |                     |
| A=5, B=7, C=0, D=0 |                  |                     |
| Lysholm knee score | 70.17±5.323      | 90.33±5.033         |
| Tegner score     | 3.25±0.754       | 6.58±0.515          |

Table 2: Comparison of day 1 FT and TT and follow up FT and TT values.

| Variable            | D1 scores (mean±SD) | F/U score (mean±SD) | P value |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Femoral tunnel (FT) | 8.17±0.57            | 9.08±0.660          | <0.001  |
| Tibial tunnel (TT)  | 8.08±0.669           | 9.07±0.601          | <0.001  |

Table 3: Comparative analysis of clinical values with follow up femoral and tibial tunnel diameters (n=12).

| Parameters                      | Clinical assessment | Tunnel diameter (mean±SD) | P value |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| FT                              | IKDC grade A        | 9.40±0.548                | 0.408   |
|                                 | IKDC grade B        | 9.00±0.577                |         |
| TT                              | IKDC grade A        | 9.27±0.56                 | 0.876   |
|                                 | IKDC grade B        | 8.92±0.62                 |         |
| FT - LKS postop score           | >90 (n=6)           | 9.20±0.534                | 0.618   |
|                                 | 84-90 (n=4)         | 8.93±0.239                |         |
|                                 | 65-83 (n=2)         | 8.75±1.41                 |         |
| TT - LKS postop score           | >90 (n=6)           | 8.83±0.672                | 0.552   |
|                                 | 84-90 (n=4)         | 9.24±0.427                |         |
|                                 | 65-83 (n=2)         | 9.42±0.671                |         |

DISCUSSION

Femoral and tibial TW after single or double ACL reconstruction is a well proven fact documented in most of the literature. It is important factor for consideration during revision ACL surgeries as it requires the need for bone grafting of the enlarged tunnels as a single or 2 stage procedure.18 Previous studies indicate there is no correlation between tunnel enlargement and clinical scores by using digital calipers for the measurement of tunnel width in both anteroposterior and lateral radiographs for a follow up of 2 years.17 One study by Webster et al found 24% to 38% error in measuring the tunnel width on X-ray and advised caution while measuring small changes.19 X-ray measurement results in underestimation of tunnel size due to improper magnification and the presence of interference screw within the bone tunnels. In our study we used MSCT images for accurate depiction of transosseous femoral and tibial tunnels. CT is considered gold standard in evaluation of transosseous tunnels compared to X rays and MRI.8,9 Geometric factors such as position change of knee, distance between the source and the film surface are not affected by the CT scan when compared to X rays. POD 1 CT measurements closely resembled that of drill bit size used intraoperatively.

Tunnel widening following ACL reconstruction depends on the method of fixation used, type of graft used and position of fixation site. In a prospectively randomized CT based study by Sabat et al compared transfix and endobutton group with TW and found TW is significant in endobutton group compared to transfix during 1 year follow up period and TW was decreased at the aperture on the tibial side when the screw tip was 10 to 15 mm away from the aperture.4 Peyrache et al reported an increase in tunnel diameter at 3 months, no significant change from 3 months to 2 years and decrease at 3 years after surgery.20 Tunnel widening begins in the immediate postoperative period which increases significantly in the first 6 weeks and gradually progresses thereafter for a period of 2-3 years and then ceases.21,22 Recently Shimizu et al found tunnel enlargement starts as early as 2 weeks post ACL reconstruction.23

In accordance with the above authors tunnel widening is maximum during the 1st year and our investigation of tunnel widening during average follow up of 9 months will be ideal.

Jansson et al noted an average increase in femoral tunnel diameter by 33% and tibial tunnel diameter by 23% detected on anteroposterior radiograph during 2 year follow up period.31 Reconstruction was done using hamstring autograft (HA) and endobutton fixation. L’Insalata et al observed mean percentage increase in the tunnel size after ACL reconstruction with hamstring (HS) graft on posteroanterior view (PA); it was 20.9±13.4% for tibial tunnel and 30.2±17.2% for femoral tunnel.1 In the lateral view it was 25.5±16.7% for tibial tunnel and 28.1±14.7% for femoral tunnel for a mean follow up period of 9 months. Fules et al observed tibial tunnel cross sectional area enlargement by 33% after ACL reconstruction with HS graft on MRI at a mean follow up of 6.5 months.24

Our study results demonstrate tunnel enlargement of 11% for tibia and 10% for femur following ACL reconstruction with HA, which is much lower compared to the studies described above. TW is a major concern during revision ACL surgery and reconstruction of multiligamentous injuries. There has been a case report of tibial plateau fracture after ACL reconstruction due to marked osteolysis of bone around the interference screw which acts as a stress riser.16

Few studies compared bone tunnel enlargement between bone patellar tendon bone (BPTB) graft and HA. One
study by L’Insalata et al has shown greater enlargement of bone tunnels among HS compared to BPTB; point of fixation of HA are at a greater distance from the normal anatomic footprint compared to BPTB graft which creates a large amount of force while graft cycling leading to expansion of tunnel (windshield-wiper effect). Three studies have shown there is greater increase in the femoral tunnel in HS group compared to BPTB graft. Herselki et al found tibial tunnel enlargement were similar in both HA and BPTB graft while femoral tunnel was significantly larger in HA. Webster et al suggested biological healing of bone to bone compared to tendon to bone healing of HA reduces tunnel widening among BPTB graft. However Clatworthy et al considered tunnel widening as more than 50% increase in the width of femoral and tibial tunnels. Marked enlargement of tunnels were found in HA compared to subtle enlargement in BPTB graft probably due to marked variation in the placement of tunnels in both groups.

The aetiology of TW following ACL reconstruction is multifactorial and no one cause has been determined so far. Several potential mechanisms have been put forward by various authors. Some include biological factors such as foreign body reaction to allograft, nonspecific response to inflammatory cells, heat necrosis of bone arising from drilling, and release of cytokines such as IL-6, IL-8 and tumour necrosis factor (TNF-α) at the time of graft necrosis leading to osteolysis of bone. Mechanical factors include improper tunnel placement, excessive motion of graft within the tunnel, stress deprivation of bone within tunnel and aggressive rehabilitation. Two types of motion of graft occurs within the tunnel: longitudinal motion of graft within the tunnel (bungee cord effect) and transverse motion of graft within the tunnel (windshield wiper effect).

In our study we used extracortical fixation (endobutton) for femur and aperture fixation (bio interference screw) or endobutton for tibia. The fixation devices are strong, stiff and with higher load to failure, anatomical fixation of graft close to the joint line could contribute to lesser incidence of tunnel enlargement.

Silva et al conducted MRI evaluation of femoral tunnel at 3 months follow up following ACL reconstruction with HA and concluded biological factors contributed more to tunnel widening than mechanical factors. Some authors investigated relationship between tunnel widening and rehabilitation, in a review article by Bhullar et al, it took an average of around 9.5 months to diagnose tunnel osteolysis following ACL reconstruction on CT scan, double bundle HA demonstrated lower tibial and femoral TW than the single bundle HA and extension locked bracing following ACL reconstruction had the lowest TW of all the bracing protocols.

Murty et al suggested 2 weeks of immobilisation resulted in increased TW. Non aggressive rehabilitation not only improves the functional recovery but also better healing of the graft. Increase in the osteolytic cytokines in the synovial fluid which percolates through the gap between the graft and the bone resulting in widening of the tunnel.

Our study followed less aggressive rehabilitation protocol, more secure fixation of the graft with endobutton and bio interference screw and more anatomic fixation using anteromedial portal technique close to the joint line which might have resulted in decrease in TW during a follow up period of 9 months (range 7-12).

We compared tibial and femoral TW with the IKDC grade and lysholm knee scores and found no statistically significant difference between them as per other studies.

Several limitations are present in our current study, short follow up and sample size. Larger sample size with randomization and multicentric studies are needed. Further studies with longer follow up are needed to establish the role of different fixation techniques, graft used for reconstruction and rehabilitation on the magnitude of TW in both femur andibia. The exact etiopathogenesis of TW is still a mystery and multiple factors are to be considered in this regard.

CONCLUSION

In our prospective observational, clinical and CT based study; the rate of tunnel widening appears to be lower compared to previous studies. There is no statistically significant difference in clinical scores with tunnel widening. We conclude use of extracortical fixation of femoral tunnel with stronger fixation of the tibial tunnel, tunnel orientation and anatomic fixation close to the joint line along with less aggressive rehabilitation protocol with use of extension knee brace may result in minimization of TW with quadrupled HA.
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