Fate of fractional quantum Hall states in open quantum systems: Characterization of correlated topological states for the full Liouvillian
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Despite previous extensive analysis of open quantum systems described by the Lindblad equation, it is unclear whether correlated topological states, such as fractional quantum Hall states, are maintained even in the presence of the jump term. In this paper, we introduce the pseudospin Chern number of the Liouvillian which is computed by twisting the boundary conditions only for one of the subspaces of the doubled Hilbert space. The existence of such a topological invariant elucidates that the topological properties remain unchanged even in the presence of the jump term, which does not close the gap of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian obtained by neglecting the jump term. In other words, the topological properties are encoded into an effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian rather than the full Liouvillian. This is particularly useful when the jump term can be written as a strictly block-upper (-lower) triangular matrix in the doubled Hilbert space, in which case the presence or absence of the jump term does not affect the spectrum of the Liouvillian. With the pseudospin Chern number, we address the characterization of fractional quantum Hall states with two-body loss but without gain, elucidating that the topology of the non-Hermitian fractional quantum Hall states is preserved even in the presence of the jump term. This numerical result also supports the use of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian which significantly reduces the numerical cost. Similar topological invariants can be extended to treat correlated topological states for other spatial dimensions and symmetry (e.g., one-dimensional open quantum systems with inversion symmetry), indicating the high versatility of our approach.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recent extensive studies of non-Hermitian systems discovered a variety of novel topological phenomena for noninteracting cases [1–4]. For instance, non-Hermiticity enriches topological properties [5]; it increases the number of symmetry classes and results in two types of the gap, the point-gap [6] and the line-gap [7]. Furthermore, non-Hermiticity may break down the diagonalizability of the Hamiltonian, which results in non-Hermitian band touching [7–15], such as exceptional points [7,8], symmetry-protected exceptional rings [9–13], and so on. In addition, non-Hermitian systems can also show the intriguing bulk-boundary correspondence [16–24]; certain topological properties result in the non-Hermitian skin effect which results in extreme sensitivity to the boundary conditions [25–28]. So far, the above non-Hermitian phenomena for the noninteracting case were reported in various platforms [8,29–50].

Among them, open quantum systems [51–58] also provide a unique platform of the following intriguing issue: the interplay between correlations and non-Hermitian topology [59–65]. Such systems interact with the environment and may lose energy or particles. Correspondingly, the time evolution of the density matrix is governed by the Lindblad equation where the coupling between the system and the environment is described by the Lindblad operators \( L_\alpha \) (\( \alpha = 1, 2, \ldots \)). In the previous works [59–65], by focusing on the special time evolution, the correlated topological states were analyzed for the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} := H_0 - i \sum_\alpha L_\alpha L_\alpha^\dagger \), where \( H_0 \) is the Hermitian Hamiltonian of the system; for the short-time dynamics before the occurrence of a jump of the states by Lindblad operators, one can see that the dynamics of the density matrix is described by the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} \). Recently, it was pointed out that, for noninteracting fermions, the topological properties can survive even beyond the above special dynamics [66]. This is because the gap of the Liouvillian is maintained even when the quantum jump is taken into account.

In spite of the above significant progress in topological perspective on open quantum systems, it is still unclear whether the topological properties for correlated states survive even in the presence of quantum jumps. To clarify the stability of correlated topological phases described by \( H_{\text{eff}} \) against the jump...
term, topological invariants having the following properties should be introduced: (i) they are quantized as long as the gap of the Liouvillian opens; (ii) in the absence of the jump term, they are reduced to the invariants characterizing the topology of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$.

In this paper, to characterize the correlated states, we introduce a topological invariant having the above two properties by doubling the Hilbert space. Specifically, we define the pseudospin Chern number characterizing the correlated topological states for two-dimensional systems without symmetry [67]. This topological invariant can be computed by twisting the boundary conditions for one of the subspaces of the doubled Hilbert space, which is reminiscent of the spin Chern number [68–70]. By computing the pseudospin Chern number, we demonstrate that, even in the presence of the jump term, the topological properties of non-Hermitian fractional quantum Hall (FQH) states survive for an open quantum system with two-body loss but without gain. Our results justify the use of the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian to topologically characterize the full Liouvillian whose gap does not close even in the presence of the jump term. This is particularly useful for systems where the jump term can be written as a block-upper-triangular matrix in the doubled Hilbert space; in such cases, both the spectral and topological properties are encoded into the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian which significantly reduces the numerical cost. We also note that our approach can be extended to characterize correlated topological states for other cases of spatial dimensions and symmetry, indicating the high versatility of our approach.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we briefly review how the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ is obtained and provide a detailed description of topological properties which we will discuss in this paper. In Sec. III, we introduce the pseudospin Chern number of the Liouvillian. As an application, we demonstrate that for the system with two-body loss but without gain, the topological properties of non-Hermitian FQH states are not affected by the jump term in Sec. IV, which is followed by a short summary. The Appendices are devoted to the topological characterization of one-dimensional open quantum systems with inversion symmetry, topological degeneracy for open quantum systems conserving the number of particles, and technical details.

II. EFFECTIVE NON-HERMITIAN HAMILTONIAN FOR OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEMS

A. Lindblad equation and the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

In this section, we briefly review the time-evolution of open quantum systems and concretely explain topological properties on which we will focus in this paper.

First, we note that for open quantum systems, the dynamics is governed by the Lindblad equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \rho = \mathcal{L} [\rho] := \mathcal{L}_0 [\rho] + \mathcal{L}_1 [\rho],$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_0 [\rho] := [H_0, \rho] - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \{\rho, L^\dagger_{\alpha} L_{\alpha}\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_1 [\rho] := i \sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha} \rho L^\dagger_{\alpha}.$$  

Here the Lindblad operators are denoted by a set of $L_{\alpha} (\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots)$ which describes the dissipation arising from coupling to the environment. The density matrix of the system is denoted by $\rho(t)$. The superoperator $\mathcal{L} [\cdot] \quad (\mathcal{L}_1 [\cdot])$ is referred to as the Liouvillian (the jump term). For the details of the superoperators, see Appendix A. The operator $H_0$ denotes the Hamiltonian for the system ($H_0 = H^\dagger_0$). For arbitrary operators $A$ and $B$, the commutator (anticommutator) is written as $[A, B] \quad ((A, B))$.

In some previous works [6,56,59–64] on open quantum systems, topological phenomena were studied for the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{eff}} = H_0 - \frac{i}{2} \sum_{\alpha} L^\dagger_{\alpha} L_{\alpha},$$

by focusing on the dynamics before occurrence of a jump of the state by $\mathcal{L}_1$, which is described by $i \hbar \partial_t \rho(t) = H_{\text{eff}} \rho(t) - \rho(t) H^\dagger_{\text{eff}}$. For instance, the Chern number $C_{\text{eff}}$ is computed with the right and left eigenvectors of the non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ for a two-dimensional system without symmetry [59].

Here, to elucidate effects of the jump term, let us consider the operator $\mathcal{L} (\lambda)$ interpolating between $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_1$: $\mathcal{L} (\lambda) := \mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_1 (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$. With a slight abuse of terminology, we also call $\mathcal{L} (\lambda)$ “Liouvillian” [71]. When the gap-closing of the “Liouvillian” $\mathcal{L} (\lambda)$ does not occur for an arbitrary value of $\lambda$, the topological properties are expected to be maintained. (The gap is defined in Eq. (6)). However, it remains unclear whether there exists a topological invariant that characterizes the topological properties even in the presence of the jump term.

Previous works [51–55] addressed how the presence of the jump term affects the topological characterization of open quantum systems in noninteracting cases. We note, however, that topological invariants introduced in these previous works can change without the gap closing in the spectrum of the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1$. For instance, the topological characterizations proposed in Refs. [51,52,54] require the gap in the spectrum of the density matrix, which is not necessary in our framework.

B. Vectorized density matrices in the doubled Hilbert space

For later use, we define “eigenvalues” and “eigenvectors” of the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}$ which can be thought of as a non-Hermitian matrix in a doubled Hilbert space, Ket $\otimes$ Bra. With the following isomorphism, the density matrix is mapped to a vector in the doubled Hilbert space [72–84]:

$$\rho = \sum_{ij} \rho_{ij} |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_j| \leftrightarrow |\rho\rangle = \sum_{ij} \rho_{ij} |\phi_i\rangle_K \otimes |\phi_j\rangle_B.$$  
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where $|\phi\rangle$’s are states in the original Hilbert space (or Ket space) generated by acting on the vacuum with creation operators in the real space. The coefficient $\rho_{ij}$ is a complex number. Here, to distinguish elements of the doubled Hilbert space from those of the original Hilbert space, we denote a vector in the subspace Ket (Bra) as $|\phi\rangle_{K(B)}$.

The inner product of the vectorized matrices, called the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product, is defined as

$$
\langle A|B \rangle = \text{tr}(A^\dagger B) := \sum_{ij} A^\dagger_{ij} B_{ji}.
$$

With the above isomorphism, $L_\alpha \rho L_\lambda^\dagger$ is represented as $L_\alpha \otimes L_\lambda^\dagger |\rho\rangle$. Therefore, the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}$ can be represented as a non-Hermitian matrix $\mathcal{L}$ whose left and right eigenvectors $L\langle |\rho_\alpha\rangle$ and $|\rho_\lambda\rangle$ are defined as

$$
\mathcal{L}|\rho_\alpha\rangle = |\rho_\alpha\rangle R \Lambda_\alpha, \quad \mathcal{L}|\rho_\lambda\rangle = \Lambda_\alpha L \langle |\rho_\lambda\rangle,
$$

with the eigenvalues $\Lambda_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2, \ldots$ (for more details, see Appendix A). The gap between eigenstates $|\rho_\alpha\rangle_R$ and $|\rho_\lambda\rangle_R$ can be defined as [85]

$$
\Delta = \text{Im}(\Lambda_\alpha - \Lambda_\lambda).
$$

By $\mathcal{L}(\lambda) := \mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_1$, we denote the “Liouvillian” in the doubled Hilbert space which interpolates between the two cases, $\mathcal{L}(0) = \mathcal{L}_0 = H_{\text{eff}} \otimes \mathbf{1} - \mathbf{1} \otimes H_{\text{eff}}$ and $\mathcal{L}(1) = \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1$ with $\mathcal{L}_1 = \sum_\alpha \mathcal{L}_\alpha \otimes \mathcal{L}_\alpha^\dagger$.

### III. Pseudospin Chern number for the Liouvillian

To clarify whether the topological properties for $H_{\text{eff}}$ are maintained even in the presence of the jump term, we introduce the pseudospin Chern number for two-dimensional systems without symmetry.

We note that our approach can be extended to characterize correlated topological states for other spatial dimensions and symmetry [e.g., one-dimensional systems with inversion symmetry, (see Appendix B)], although we limit our discussion to the Chern number for the sake of concreteness.

#### A. Definition

Suppose that the gap of the “Liouvillian” $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ is maintained for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ (in the case of topological ordered states [86]), also suppose that the topological degeneracy is maintained, i.e., the above gap separates the topologically degenerate states from the others), the topological properties are considered to be maintained which are characterized by the topological invariant computed from the eigenvectors of $H_{\text{eff}}$ for $\lambda = 0$.

The above topological properties can be characterized by the pseudospin Chern number $C_{ps} = (C^e_{KK} - C^e_{BB})/2$ where $C_{ps}$ (with $\sigma = K, B$) is defined as

$$
C_{\sigma \sigma} := \int \frac{d\theta_1 d\theta_2}{2\pi} \text{Im} F_{\sigma \sigma}(\theta_1, \theta_2),
$$

$$
F_{\sigma \sigma} := \epsilon_{\mu \nu} \sum_n \mathbf{L} \langle (\partial_{\mu} \rho_n) (\partial_{\nu} \rho_n) \rangle_R.
$$

Here the summation $\sum_n$ is taken over degenerate states; we supposed that the eigenvectors of the “Liouvillian” $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ show $N_{2 \lambda}^\lambda$-fold degeneracy for arbitrary $\lambda$, which means that the eigenstates of $H_{\text{eff}}$ show the $N_{2 \lambda}^\lambda$-fold degeneracy [such degeneracy is indeed observed for FQH states with two-body loss (Sec. IV B 2)]. The symbol $\epsilon_{\mu \nu}$ denotes the antisymmetric tensor with $\epsilon_{xy} = -\epsilon_{yx} = 1$. The summation is taken for repeated indices $\mu$ and $v = (\mu, v)$. Vectors $|\rho_\mu\rangle_R$ and $L\langle |\rho_\nu\rangle$ are right and left eigenvectors of $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ (see Eq. (5)) which satisfy the biorthogonal normalization condition: $|\rho_\mu\rangle_R$ and $L\langle |\rho_\nu\rangle$ satisfy $\mathcal{L} \langle |\rho_\mu\rangle |\rho_\nu\rangle = \delta_{\mu \nu} \rho_n$ for arbitrary integers, $n$ and $n'$. In addition, we imposed the twisted boundary conditions with $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$ only for the space specified by $\sigma$. The periodic boundary conditions are imposed on the other space.

### B. Properties of the pseudospin Chern number

The pseudospin Chern number elucidates that even in the presence of the jump term, the topological properties of $H_{\text{eff}}$ are maintained even in the presence of the jump term. We note that when the pseudospin Chern number changes, the gap-closing should occur in the parameter space of $(\theta_1, \theta_2)$.

The effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ is particularly useful when $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ and $\mathcal{L}_\lambda$ can be written in block-upper-triangular and block-diagonal forms, respectively. This is because in such cases, the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian governs not only topological properties but also the spectrum of the full Liouvillian [89,90] (see Appendix C), which significantly reduces the numerical cost.

Equation (8) is proven in Sec. III B 1. We note that $C_{ps}$, defined in Eq. (9), is nothing but the Chern number of $H_{\text{eff}}$ [59].

(iii) In the absence of the jump term, the Chern number obtained by twisting the boundary conditions only for the...
subspace $\text{Bra} (C_{BB})$ satisfies
\[ C_{BB} = -C_{KK}, \tag{10} \]
which is proven in Sec. III B 2. This relation also indicates that for $\lambda = 0$, the total Chern number computed by twisting the boundary conditions both for the subspaces Bra and Ket (i.e., $C_{\text{tot}} = C_{KK} + C_{BB}$) vanishes even when the eigenstates of $H_{\text{eff}}$ show topologically nontrivial properties.

Based on the fact (i), we can see that the pseudospin Chern number is quantized as long as the gap opens. In addition, (ii) and (iii) indicate that the pseudospin Chern number $C_{\text{ps}} = (C_{KK} - C_{BB})/2$ characterizes the topological properties described by the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ for $\lambda = 0$. Therefore, $C_{\text{ps}}$ elucidates that as long as the gap opens, the topology of FQH states survives for the following open quantum system with two-body loss.

In the rest of this section, we prove Eqs. (8) and (10).

1. Proof of Eq. (8)

First, we make the identification [92]
\[ |\rho_n\rangle_R \leftrightarrow |\Phi_n\rangle_{RR} , \quad L|\rho_n\rangle_l \leftrightarrow |\Phi_n\rangle_{LL}|\Phi_n\rangle_l , \tag{11} \]
where $|\rho_n\rangle_R$ and $L|\rho_n\rangle_l$ are right and left eigenvectors of $L_0$
\[ L_0 |\rho_n\rangle_R = (E_n - E_{n^+}) |\rho_n\rangle_R , \tag{12} \]
\[ L|\rho_n\rangle_l = L|\rho_n\rangle = (E_n - E_{n^+}) , \tag{13} \]
respectively. Vectors $|\Phi_n\rangle_R$ and $L|\Phi_n\rangle_l$ denote the right and left eigenstates of $H_{\text{eff}}$ which satisfy $L|\Phi_n\rangle_R = \delta_{n,n_0}$. The subscript $n$ denotes the set of integers, $n_1$ and $n_2$, labeling the eigenstates, $|\Phi_n\rangle_R$ and $L|\Phi_n\rangle_l$.

We recall that for the computation of the Chern number $C_{KK}$, the twisted boundary conditions are imposed only on the subspace Ket. In this case, the derivative $\partial_\nu$ acts only on the states in the subspace Ket. Keeping this fact in mind, we obtain the Berry connection $A_{KK}$ and the Berry curvature $F_{KK}$ as
\[ A_{KK} := \sum_n L\langle\rho_n| \partial_\mu |\Phi_n\rangle_l |\Phi_n\rangle_R \]
\[ = \sum_{n,n_1,n_2} \text{tr} [ |\Phi_n\rangle_{LL} |\Phi_n\rangle_R |\partial_\mu |\Phi_{n_1}\rangle_{RR} |\Phi_{n_2}\rangle_l ] \]
\[ = \sum_{n_1,n_2} R |\Phi_{n_1}\rangle_{LL} |\Phi_{n_2}\rangle_{RR} |\partial_\mu |\Phi_n\rangle_l |\Phi_n\rangle_R \]
\[ = N_d \sum_n L |\Phi_n\rangle_{LL} |\partial_\mu |\Phi_n\rangle_l |\Phi_n\rangle_R . \tag{14a} \]

and
\[ F_{KK} := \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu A_{KK} = N_d \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \sum_n L |\partial_\mu |\Phi_n\rangle_l |\partial_\nu |\Phi_n\rangle_R . \tag{14b} \]

Thus, we end up with Eq. (8).

2. Proof of Eq. (10)

For the computation of the Chern number $C_{BB}$, we impose the twisted boundary conditions only on the subspace Bra, meaning that the derivative $\partial_\mu$ acts only on the states in the subspace Bra. Keeping this in mind, we can see that the Berry connection $A_{BB}$ is equal to $A_{KK}$.
\[ A_{BB} := \sum_n L\langle\rho_n| \partial_\mu |\rho_n\rangle_R = N_d \sum_n R |\partial_\mu |\Phi_n\rangle_l |\Phi_n\rangle_R = A_{KK}^* , \tag{15} \]
which yields $F_{BB} := \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu A_{BB} = F_{KK}^* . \]

Because the Chern number $C_{BB}$ is an integral of $\text{Im}[F_{BB}]$, we obtain Eq. (10).

Equation (15) also indicates that the total Chern number computed by twisting the boundary conditions both for the subspaces Bra and Ket (i.e., $C_{\text{tot}} = C_{KK} + C_{BB}$) vanishes; the Berry connection $A_{\text{tot}}$ obtained by twisting the boundary conditions both for the subspace satisfies $\text{Im} A_{\text{tot}} = 0$, meaning that the relation of $\text{Im} F := \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \text{Im} A_{\text{tot}}$ vanishes.

IV. APPLICATION TO THE FQH STATES FOR AN OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEM WITH TWO-BODY LOSS

By numerically computing the pseudospin Chern number, we elucidate that even in the presence of the jump term, the topology of FQH states survives for the following open quantum system with two-body loss.

Let us consider an open quantum system of spinless fermions on a square lattice. We denote by $c_i^\dagger$ and $c_i$ the creation and the annihilation operators of a spinless fermion at site $i$, respectively. The number operator at $i$ is defined as $n_i := c_i^\dagger c_i$. The system is described by the following Hamiltonian and the Lindblad operators:
\[ H_0 = \sum_{ij} h_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + V_R \sum_{i} n_i \varphi_{i} , \tag{16a} \]
\[ L_{ij} = \sqrt{\gamma} c_i^\dagger c_j + \varphi_{i} \tag{16b} \]
where $\varphi_{i}$ denotes the unit vector in the $\mu$-direction ($\mu = x, y$). The Lindblad operators $L_i$’s describe two-body loss ($\gamma > 0$). The strength of the nearest-neighbor interaction $V_R$ is a real number. The summation $\sum_{i,j}$ is taken over pairs of neighboring sites $i$ and $j$. The matrix element $h_{ij} = t_{0d} e^{2\pi i \varphi_{ij}}$ with real numbers $\varphi_{ij}$ and $t_{0d}$ describes hopping between neighboring sites $i$ and $j$ under the gauge field. For the definition of the phase factor $\varphi_{ij}$, see Fig. 1 where the string gauge is taken [93]. The number of the flux quanta penetrating the entire system is written as $N_{\varphi} := \varphi L_x L_y$, where $L_x$ and $L_y$ denote the number of sites along the $x$- and the $y$-directions, respectively. This model is considered to be relevant to cold atoms. The Abelian gauge field can be introduced by rotating the system [94–98] or by optically synthesized gauge fields [99–112]. The Feshbach resonance [113,114] induces inelastic scattering of two-body loss [115–119].

We address the characterization of non-Hermitian FQH states by the following steps. First, we rewrite the fermionic open quantum system as a closed fermionic system by identifying the Liouvilian as a non-Hermitian Hamiltonian via the
respectively. When to the Landau gauge. Green arrows illustrate the phase \( \phi_{ij} \). For hopping parallel to an arrow, \( \phi_{ij} \) takes the value shown in the figure. When the fermion hops in the opposite direction, \( \phi_{ij} \) takes the values so that \( \phi_{ij} = -\phi_{ji} \) is satisfied. The number of the flux quanta penetrating the entire system is written as \( N_\phi = \phi L_x L_y \), where \( L_x \) and \( L_y \) denote the number of sites along the \( x \)- and the \( y \)-directions, respectively. When \( \phi \) is multiple of \( 1/L_x \), the string gauge is reduced to the Landau gauge.

isomorphism [see Eq. (3)]. Second, by numerically diagonalizing the mapped fermionic model (18), we elucidate that the topological properties are maintained; the topological degeneracy and the pseudospin Chern number are independent of the jump term.

A. Mapping the fermionic open quantum system to a closed bilayer system

First, based on the isomorphism [see Eq. (3)], we show that the systems of spinless fermions with two-body loss can be written as a closed bilayer fermionic system with interlayer couplings.

With the isomorphism, an annihilation operator \( c_i \) is mapped to a creation operator \( \tilde{c}_i \) for the subspace \( \text{Bra} \); \( \rho c_i \leftrightarrow \tilde{c}_i |\rho \rangle \rangle \) with \( \{\tilde{c}_i, \tilde{c}_j \} = \delta_{ij} \) for an arbitrary \( \rho \). Here a subtlety arises; commutation relations \( [c_i, \tilde{c}_j] = [c_i, \tilde{c}_j] = 0 \) should hold because the relation \( \tilde{c}_i |\phi_{ii}\rangle_k \otimes |\phi_{jj}\rangle_b = |\phi_{ji}\rangle_k \otimes (\tilde{c}_i |\phi_{jj}\rangle_b) \) [120] holds for arbitrary states \( |\phi_{ii}\rangle_k \otimes |\phi_{jj}\rangle_b \).

We note, however, that the above commutation relations can be rewritten as the anticommutation relations by introducing the following operators [121],[122]:

\[
d_{ia} = c_i, \quad d_{ib} = \tilde{c}_i P_{fa}, \quad \text{(17)}
\]

where \( P_{fa} := (-1)^{a-\theta} d_{ia}^\ast d_{ia} \). Namely, with the operators \( d_{ia}^\dagger (\sigma = a, b) \), we have \( [d_{ia}, d_{ja}^\ast] = 0 \) and \( [d_{ia}, d_{ja}^\dagger] = \delta_{ia}, \delta_{ja} \). Here, the operators with \( \sigma = a (\sigma = b) \) act on the subspace \( \text{Ket (Bra)} \).

![FIG. 1. Sketch of the model under the periodic boundary conditions. Gray and black circles denote the sites; each site illustrated with a gray circle is identified with the corresponding site illustrated with a black circle on the opposite side. To describe the Abelian gauge field, we took the string gauge [93]. Green arrows illustrate the phase \( \phi_{ij} \). For hopping parallel to an arrow, \( \phi_{ij} \) takes the value shown in the figure. When the fermion hops in the opposite direction, \( \phi_{ij} \) takes the values so that \( \phi_{ij} = -\phi_{ji} \) is satisfied. The number of the flux quanta penetrating the entire system is written as \( N_\phi = \phi L_x L_y \), where \( L_x \) and \( L_y \) denote the number of sites along the \( x \)- and the \( y \)-directions, respectively. When \( \phi \) is multiple of \( 1/L_x \), the string gauge is reduced to the Landau gauge.](image)

B. Numerical results

1. Overview

We analyze the above bilayer system (18) by introducing a parameter \( \lambda (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1) \), \( \mathcal{L}(\lambda) := \mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_1 \). Employing the pseudopotential approach (see Sec. IV B 2 and Appendix E), we obtain the spectrum and the pseudospin Chern number which are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. As discussed in Sec. IV B 3, these figures indicate that the topological properties of the
non-Hermitian FQH states remain unchanged even in the presence of the jump term; the topological degeneracy and the pseudospin Chern number are not affected by the jump term.

Because the open quantum system loses but does not gain particles, the vacuum ($|\rho\rangle = |0\rangle_a \otimes |0\rangle_b$ with $|0\rangle$ the state annihilated by all $a_\sigma$) has an infinite lifetime, which is consistent with Fig. 2. Namely, the Laughlin states, which are indicated by dots marked with the arrow, are no longer the states with the longest lifetime. We note, however, that the topology of the Laughlin states is maintained even in the presence of the jump term. Such topological states are considered to be experimentally accessible by observing the transient dynamics of cold atoms. The realization of Laughlin states in cold atoms was theoretically proposed [96,99,100]. Following these proposals, one can prepare the Laughlin state as the initial state for a sufficiently deep trap potential. Suddenly making the trap potential shallower results in two-body loss. Furthermore, the non-Hermitian FQH states become the first decay modes by tuning the gauge field so that $N_\sigma = \phi L_\sigma L_\nu = 6$ is satisfied.

As we see below, our numerical results demonstrate that both the spectral and the topological properties are encoded into the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian if $L_1$ and $L_2$ are written in block-upper-triangular and block-diagonal forms, respectively. The analysis of $H_{\text{eff}}$ is numerically less demanding than that of the full Liouvillean $L = L_0 + L_1$.

2. Results in the absence of the jump term

First, we discuss the case of $L(0) = L_0$ which can be understood from the previous work [59] for the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$.

Let $E_{n\sigma}$ ($n_1 = 1, 2, \ldots$) be eigenvalues of $H_{\text{eff}}$. Because the state with the minimum real-part of the energy $\text{Re}E_{n\sigma}$ also shows the longest lifetime, $1/\text{Im}E_{n\sigma}$, the pseudopotential approach is employed where the creation operator $c^+_i$ is replaced to $f_i^\dagger = \sum_{n_1} \varphi_{in_1}^* a_{n_1}^\dagger$ (for more details, see Appendix E). Here $\varphi_{in_1}$ denotes a state in the lowest Landau level; $\sum_{n_1} h_i^\dagger \varphi_{jn_1} = \varphi_{in_1} \epsilon_{n_1}$ with the energy $\epsilon_{n_1} \in \mathbb{R}$. The operator $a_{n_1}^\dagger$ creates a fermion with a state in the lowest Landau level. The summation $\sum_{n_1}$ is taken over states in the lowest Landau level. Diagonalizing $H_{\text{eff}}$ for the filling factor $\nu = 1/3$ for the lowest Landau level, we can observe the 3-fold degeneracy for the states with the longest lifetime [59,124], which is the topological degeneracy of the Laughlin states for $\nu = 1/3$. We note that the number of fermions is conserved in the absence of the jump term. For these 3-fold-degenerate states, the Chern number defined in Eq. (9) takes one ($\mathcal{C}_{\text{eff}} = 1$) [59,87,125], which indicates the robustness of the topology against the non-Hermiticity.

With the above facts, we can understand the results of $L_0$ which can be block-diagonalized into each subspace labeled by $(N_a, N_b)$ with $N_\sigma$ denoting the total number of fermions in layer $\sigma = a, b$. In Fig. 2, the colored dots represent the spectrum of $L_0$ which is given by $\Lambda_\sigma = E_{m_1} - E_{n_\sigma}^*$ with $E_{m(\sigma)}$, denoting the eigenvalues of $H_{\text{eff}}$. The states indicated by dots marked with the arrow correspond to the Laughlin states at the filling factor $\nu = 1/3$. Here we note that these states show 9-fold degeneracy ($N_7^3)$ because there is topologically protected 3-fold degeneracy ($N_3^3)$ for each of the two layers. We also note that the data for $N_\sigma = 4$ are similar to those of $N_\sigma = 2$, which is attributed to the pseudopotential approach projecting creation operators onto the states in the lowest Landau level [126]. Figure 3 shows that the pseudospin Chern number for these 9-fold degenerate states takes three at $\lambda = 0$, which is consistent with $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ps}} = N_2 \mathcal{C}_{\text{rad}} = 3$ holds with $N_d = 3$ [see Eq. (8)].

3. Results in the presence of the jump term

Let us now analyze the case for a finite value of $\lambda (0 < \lambda \leq 1)$. We show the following: (i) the topological degeneracy is maintained; (ii) the pseudospin Chern number remains one for the non-Hermitian FQH states.

The topological degeneracy (9-fold degeneracy) survives even in the presence of the jump term. This is because the spectrum is not affected by the jump term $L_1$ when $L_1$ and $L_0$ can be written in block-upper-triangular and block-diagonal forms, respectively [89,90] (see Appendix C); for the open quantum system with two-body loss but without gain, the jump term $L_1$ maps states in the subspace labeled by $(N_a + 2, N_b + 2)$ to those in subspaces labeled by $(N_a, N_b)$, while $L_0$ is block-diagonalized for subspaces labeled by $(N_a, N_b)$. The numerical data for two-body loss also support the above independence of the spectrum. In Fig. 2, we can see that the eigenvalues of $L_0$ (colored dots) and those of $L = L_0 + L_1$ (black dots) are exactly on top of each other. We note that the spectrum of $L$ is obtained for the subssector labeled by $N_a - N_b$ and $(-1)^{N_b}$ where the “Liouvillean” $L(\lambda)$ is block-diagonalized. The above numerical data show that the topological degeneracy survives even in the presence of the jump term, which is expected on general grounds.

The pseudospin Chern number should not be affected by the jump term, as the gap-closing does not occur. Indeed, Fig. 3 indicates that the pseudospin Chern number takes three for an arbitrary value of $\lambda (0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)$. Noting the relation $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ps}} = 3 \mathcal{C}_{\text{rad}}$ [see Eq. (8)], we conclude that topological properties of $H_{\text{eff}}$ remain unchanged even in the presence of the jump term. Figure 3 is obtained by employing the method proposed in Ref. [123].
In the above, we confirmed that the topological properties of the Laughlin state are maintained even in the presence of the jump term. Furthermore, the above results elucidate that both the spectral and the topological properties are encoded into the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian if $L_j$ and $L_0$ are written in block-upper-triangular and block-diagonal forms, respectively.

We close this section with a remark on the topological degeneracy; for another type of Lindblad operator preserving the charge $U(1)$ symmetry, e.g., the Lindblad operators describing dephasing noise [78,79,81,127,128], 3-fold topological degeneracy can be observed (for more details, see Appendix F).

V. SUMMARY

Despite the previous extensive analysis of open quantum systems, it is unclear whether correlated topological states, such as FQH states, are maintained even in the presence of the jump term.

In this paper, we introduced the pseudo-spin Chern number computed from the vectorized density matrices in the doubled Hilbert space $\text{Ket} \otimes \text{Bra}$ which is akin to the spin-Chern number. The presence of such a topological invariant elucidates that, as long as the gap of “Liouvillian” $L(\lambda) = L_0 + \lambda L_1$ opens for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, the topology of the full Liouvillian $L(1)$ is encoded into $H_{\text{eff}}$. The effective Hamiltonian is particularly useful for systems where $L_3$ and $L_0$ can be written in block-upper-triangular and block-diagonal forms, respectively. This is because in such systems both the spectral and topological properties are encoded into the effective Hamiltonian.

As an application, we addressed the topological characterization of the non-Hermitian FQH states in open quantum systems with two-body loss but without gain. Our numerical results have elucidated that even in the presence of the jump term, topological properties (i.e., the pseudospin Chern number and 9-fold topological degeneracy) of the non-Hermitian FQH states are not affected by the jump term. This fact also reduces the numerical cost because the analysis of $H_{\text{eff}}$ is numerically less demanding than that of the full Liouvillian $L = L_0 + L_1$.

We note that similar topological invariants can be introduced to characterize correlated topological states for other spatial dimensions and symmetry [e.g., a one-dimensional open quantum systems with inversion symmetry (see Appendix B)], indicating the high versatility of our approach.
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APPENDIX A: DETAILS OF THE ISOMORPHISM DEFINED IN Eq. (3)

With the isomorphism [see Eq. (3)], the action of the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L} \cdot \cdot$ on a density matrix is mapped to a vector as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}[\rho(t)] \leftrightarrow L[\rho(t)]$$, \hspace{1cm} (A1a)

with

$$L = L_0 + L_1$$, \hspace{1cm} (A1b)

$$L_0 = (H_{\text{eff}} \otimes \mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1} \otimes H_{\text{eff}}^*) \cdot$$, \hspace{1cm} (A1c)

$$L_j = \sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha j} \otimes L_{\alpha j}^*$$ \hspace{1cm} (A1d)

Here $\mathbb{1}$ denotes the identity operator.

To see this, we first note that the isomorphism [see Eq. (3)] maps the density matrix $\rho \in \text{End}_C(H)$, which act on the Hilbert space $H$, to the vector in the doubled Hilbert space $|\rho\rangle \in \text{Ket} \otimes \text{Bra}$. Correspondingly, the superoperator $\mathcal{L} \in \text{End}_C[\text{End}_C(H)]$ is mapped to a non-Hermitian matrix $L$. In particular, we have

$$A \rho B = \sum_{ijj'} A_{ij} (\rho_{ij} B_{jj'}) |\phi_i\rangle \langle \phi_j'\rangle$$

$$\leftrightarrow \sum_{ijj'} (A_{ij} \otimes B_{jj'}^T) \rho_{ij} |\phi_i\rangle \otimes |\phi_j'\rangle = A \otimes B^T [\rho]$$, \hspace{1cm} (A2)

where $A_{ij} := \langle \phi_i | A |\phi_j\rangle$, $B_{ij} := \langle \phi_i | B |\phi_j\rangle$ with $|\phi_i\rangle$ being the set of states generated by acting on the vacuum with creation operators in the real space (e.g., for spinless fermions, $|\phi_i\rangle$ is generated by acting with the creation operators $c_j^\dagger$ ($j = 1, 2, \ldots$) on the vacuum). By making use of the above relation, we have

$$\rho H_{\text{eff}} \leftrightarrow \mathbb{1} \otimes (H_{\text{eff}}^T)^* [\rho]$$, \hspace{1cm} (A3a)

$$L_\alpha \rho L_{\alpha j}^* \leftrightarrow L_\alpha \otimes (L_{\alpha j}^T)^* [\rho]$$, \hspace{1cm} (A3b)

Therefore, we can see that the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}[\rho(t)]$ is mapped to a non-Hermitian matrix $L$ as shown in Eq. (A1).

APPENDIX B: CHARACTERIZATION OF ONE-DIMENSIONAL OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEMS WITH INVERSION SYMMETRY

In Sec. III, we introduced the pseudospin Chern number to characterize topological properties maintained even in the presence of the jump term for two-dimensional open quantum systems without symmetry. The pseudospin Chern number can be computed by twisting the boundary condition either Ket or Bra space. We show that this approach can be straightforwardly applied to one-dimensional open quantum systems with inversion symmetry, in which case the Berry phase is quantized to $0$ or $\pi$. The presence of such a quantized topological invariant elucidates that the topology of the full Liouvillian is encoded into $H_{\text{eff}}$ when $L_1$ and $L_0$ can be written in block-upper-triangular and block-diagonal forms, respectively. This fact is particularly useful for systems with loss but without gain as demonstrated in Sec. IV.
As an application to one-dimensional open quantum systems with dissipation, we analyze the Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model with dephasing noise whose topology has not been characterized so far.

1. Berry phase for open quantum systems

a. Definition

Let $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$ be a one-parameter family of Liouvillian depending smoothly on $\theta$ and periodic in $\theta$, i.e., $\mathcal{L}(\theta + 2\pi) = \mathcal{L}(\theta)$. Here, $\theta$ dependence is introduced only for the subspace Ket. We assume that there exists a $\theta$-independent operator $I$ such that $I^2 = 1$ and $\mathcal{L}(\theta)I = I(-\theta)$. The Berry phase introduced in this section is available regardless of whether the particles are fermions or bosons.

Suppose that the right and left vectors of the Liouvillian, $|\rho_n(\theta)\rangle_R$ and $\mathcal{L}(\rho_n(\theta))$, are nondegenerate. In this case, choosing the gauge so that $\mathcal{L}(\rho_n(\theta + 2\pi)) = |\rho_n(\theta)\rangle_R$ and $\mathcal{L}(\rho_n(\theta + 2\pi)) = |\rho_n(\theta)\rangle_R$ are satisfied, we can define the following Berry phase:

$$X_{Kn} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im}A_{Kn}(\theta),$$

where $\frac{\partial \Phi^K}{\partial \theta}$ denotes the derivative with respect to $\theta$ which acts only on the subspace Ket; for instance, the action of $\frac{\partial \Phi^K}{\partial \theta}$ on a state $|\Phi\rangle_R \otimes |\Psi\rangle_B$ reads $\frac{\partial \Phi^K}{\partial \theta} |\Phi\rangle_R \otimes |\Psi\rangle_B$. We imposed the biorthogonal normalization condition on the right and left eigenvectors of $\mathcal{L}(\theta)$, $|\rho_n(\theta)\rangle_R$ and $\mathcal{L}(\rho_n(\theta))$, satisfy $\mathcal{L}(\rho_n(\theta))\rho_n(\theta)) = \delta_{nn}$ for arbitrary integers, $n$ and $n'$.

b. Properties of the Berry phase $X_{Kn}$

The Berry phase $X_{Kn}$ elucidates that as long as the gap of the “Liouvillian” $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ opens for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, the topological properties of $H_{\text{eff}}$ are maintained even in the presence of the jump term, which follows from the following two facts.

(i) The Berry phase is quantized,

$$e^{iX_{Kn}} = \prod_{\theta_0 = 0, \pi} \mathcal{L}(|\rho_n(\theta_0)\rangle_R |\rho_n(\theta_0)\rangle_R) \in \{-1, 1\},$$

where the right eigenvector $|\rho_n(\theta_0)\rangle_R$ is a right eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}$ with an eigenvalue $\pm 1$ for $\theta_0 = 0$ or $\pi$. Equation (B2) is proven in Appendix B1c.

(ii) In the absence of the jump term, $X_{Kn}$ is written as

$$X_{Kn} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im} \mathcal{L} \left[ |\Phi_n\rangle_R \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} |\Phi_n\rangle_R \right\} \right] R,$$

with the eigenvalue $E_n(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}$. Equation (B4) is proven in Appendix B1c.

Equation (B3) indicates that $X_{Kn}$ is reduced to the Berry phase for $H_{\text{eff}}$ in the absence of the jump term. In addition, Eq. (B2) indicates that as long as the gap opens, $X_{Kn}$ does not change its value even when the jump term is introduced.

Therefore, the Berry phase $X_{Kn}$ elucidates that as long as the gap of the “Liouvillian” $\mathcal{L}(\lambda)$ opens for $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$, the topological properties of $H_{\text{eff}}$ are maintained even in the presence of the jump term.

In particular, this fact indicates that the topology of the full Liouvillian is encoded into $H_{\text{eff}}$ when $\mathcal{L}_1$ and $\mathcal{L}_0$ can be written in block-upper-triangular and block-diagonal forms, respectively. An example of such systems is an open quantum system with loss but without gain, as we have seen in Sec. IV where the two-dimensional system is analyzed.

We note that Berry phases for non-Hermitian systems are defined in several contexts [129,130]. However, it remains unsolved whether there exists a topological invariant that characterizes the topological properties even in the presence of the jump term.

In the rest of this section, we prove Eqs. (B2) and (B3).

c. Proof of Eqs. (B2) and (B3)

Proof of Eq. (B2). For the inversion symmetric system satisfying $\mathcal{L}(\theta)I = I(-\theta)$, the following relation holds:

$$\int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} d\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \text{Im} A_{Kn}(\theta) = -\text{Im}[\log c_n(\pi) - \log c_n(0)].$$

This relation simplifies the integral in Eq. (B1a).

$$X_{Kn} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im} A_{Kn}(\theta) + \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im} A_{Kn}(\theta)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im} [A_{Kn}(-\theta) + A_{Kn}(\theta)]$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im} A_{Kn}(\theta)$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im} A_{Kn}(\theta)$$

Equation (B5) indicates that $|\rho_n(\theta)\rangle_R$ is a right eigenvector of $\mathcal{L}$ with eigenvalue $c_n(\pi)$, namely, $c_n(0)$ and $c_n(\pi)$ take 1 or -1. Therefore, combining this fact and Eq. (B7), we obtain Eq. (B2) which indicates the quantization of the Berry phase $X_{Kn}$.

Proof of Eq. (B3). In the absence of the jump term, we can see the following correspondence:

$$|\rho_n\rangle_R \leftrightarrow |\Phi_n\rangle_R \mathcal{L}(|\Phi_n\rangle), \quad \mathcal{L}(|\rho_n\rangle) \leftrightarrow |\Phi_n\rangle_L \mathcal{L}(|\Phi_n\rangle).$$

Here we recall the assumption that the states are nondegenerate. By using the above correspondence, $X_{Kn}$ is written
as

\[ \chi_{Kn} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im} \langle \Phi_{nL} \rangle L \langle \Phi_{nL} \rangle R \langle \Phi_{nR} \rangle \]

\[ = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \text{Im} L \langle \Phi_{nL} \rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \langle \Phi_{nR} \rangle, \]

(B9)

which is the desired Eq. (B3).

2. SSH model with dephasing noise

In the above, we introduced the Berry phase for the doubled Hilbert space [see Eq. (B1)]. In particular, the Berry phase elucidates that both the spectral and topological properties of the Liouvillian are encoded into the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} \) for open quantum systems whose jump term can be written in a block-upper-triangular form. This is because such a jump term does not affect the spectrum.

In this section, instead of the detailed analysis of such an open quantum system, we address the topological characterization of a one-dimensional system with dephasing noise [78, 79, 81, 127, 128], demonstrating that our topological invariant works even when the jump term affects the spectrum of the Liouvillian. Specifically, we analyze the SSH model with dephasing noise whose topological properties have not been analyzed so far. Our analysis elucidates that a nonequilibrium steady state is characterized by the Berry phase taking \( \pi \) in the presence of the jump term although the gap is closed in the absence of the jump term.

a. Mapping the open quantum system to a closed system

Consider the SSH model with dephasing noise described by the Lindblad equation (1a) with

\[ H_0 = \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} tc_{j+1A}c_{jB} + t' c_{jA}^c c_{jB} + \text{H.c.}, \]

(B10a)

\[ L_{ja} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \left( c_{ja}^c c_{ja} - c_{ja} c_{ja}^c \right) = \sqrt{\gamma} \left( c_{ja}^c c_{ja} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \]  

(B10b)

Here, \( c_{ja}^c \) (\( c_{ja} \)) creates (annihilates) a spinless fermion at sublattice \( \alpha = A, B \) of site \( j \). Hopping integrals \( t \) and \( t' \) take real values, and \( \gamma \) is a positive number. The number of unit cells is \( L \). We imposed the periodic boundary condition \( c_{LA}^c = c_{1A}^c \).

The above open quantum system is mapped to the closed system, which has been discussed for the specific choice of \( t' = t \) [79, 83]. The Liouvillian reads

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1, \]

(B11a)

\[ \mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{a=\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left( td_{j+1A}^d d_{jB} + t' d_{jA}^d d_{jB} + \text{H.c.} \right) - \frac{iyL}{2}, \]

(B11b)

\[ \mathcal{L}_1 = -iy \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left( n_{ja\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{ja\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \]

(B11c)

Here we used \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \) to specify the subspace Ket (Bra).

Now, we derive Eq. (B11). With the isomorphism [see Eq. (3)], the following relations hold for an arbitrary density matrix \( \rho \):

\[ \rho \left( c_{ia}^c c_{ia} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( c_{ia}^c c_{ia} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \]

\[ \left( c_{ia}^c c_{ia} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \rho \left( c_{ia}^c c_{ia} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \]

(B12a)

\[ \left( c_{ia}^c c_{ia} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( c_{ia}^c c_{ia} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \rho \left( c_{ia}^c c_{ia} - \frac{1}{2} \right), \]

(B12b)

where \( c_{ia}^c \) acts on the vectors in the subspace Ket (Bra). Thus, introducing the following operators:

\[ d_{ia\uparrow} = c_{ia}, \]

(B13a)

\[ d_{ia\downarrow} = c_{ia} (-1)^{\sum_{\lambda} n_{\lambda\uparrow} n_{\lambda\downarrow}}, \]

(B13b)

the Liouvillian can be written as

\[ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 + \mathcal{L}_1, \]

(B14a)

\[ \mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{a=\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (td_{j+1A}^d d_{jB} + t' d_{jA}^d d_{jB} + \text{H.c.}) - \frac{iyL}{2}, \]

(B14b)

\[ \mathcal{L}_1 = iy \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left( n_{ja\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{ja\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right). \]

(B14c)

with \( \text{sgn}(\sigma) \) taking 1 (-1) for \( \sigma = \uparrow (\downarrow) \).

Further applying the particle-hole transformation only for down-spin states

\[ d_{ia\downarrow}^\dagger \rightarrow d_{ia\uparrow}, \]

(B15)

we end up with Eq. (B11).

Here we define the Liouvillian \( \mathcal{L}(\theta) \) for the SSH model which is necessary to compute the Berry phase. Twisting the hopping between sites \( j = 0 \) and \( j = 1 \) only for the subspace specified with \( \sigma = \uparrow \), the Liouvillian \( \mathcal{L}(\theta) \) is written as

\[ \mathcal{L}(\theta) = \mathcal{L}_0(\theta) + \mathcal{L}_1, \]

(B16a)

\[ \mathcal{L}_0(\theta) = \sum_{a=\sigma} \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left( td_{j+1A}^d d_{jB} + t' e^{i\theta_j} d_{jA}^d d_{jB} + \text{H.c.} \right) + t' \sum_{j=\sigma} \left( d_{jA}^d d_{jB} + \text{H.c.} \right) - \frac{iyL}{2}, \]

(B16b)

with \( \theta_j = \theta [1 + \text{sgn}(\sigma)]/2. \)

b. Results for \( t' = 0 \)

By analyzing a simple case for \( t' = 0 \), we show that in the bulk, the nonequilibrium steady state (i.e., the states with an infinite lifetime) is characterized by the Berry phase \( \pi \). Correspondingly, for the open boundary condition, the edge states result in the charge polarization only at edges. We note that the gap is closed in the absence of the jump term.

(i) Bulk properties. Let us consider the “Liouvillian” \( \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_1 \) under the periodic boundary condition. Here \( \mathcal{L}_0 \) and \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) are defined in Eq. (B11). This model preserves the total number of particles for each spin.
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For \( t' = 0 \), the problem is reduced to a two-site Hubbard model with the pure-imaginary interaction

\[
\mathcal{L}_{2\text{site}}(\lambda) = t \sum_{\sigma} d_{1\lambda \sigma}^d d_{0\bar{\sigma}} + \text{H.c.}
\]

\[
- \frac{i\gamma}{2} \left( n_{1\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{1\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{i\gamma}{2} \left( n_{0\uparrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \left( n_{0\downarrow} - \frac{1}{2} \right).
\]

(B17)

Here let us focus on the half-filled case where the dynamics can be understood by diagonalizing \( \mathcal{L}_{2\text{site}}(\lambda) \) for the subsector labeled by \((N_\uparrow, N_\downarrow) = (1, 1)\). Here \(|\pm\rangle\) and \(|\pm\rangle\) are defined as

\[
|\pm\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ d_{1\uparrow}^d d_{1\downarrow}^\dagger \pm d_{1\downarrow}^d d_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |0\rangle \right],
\]

(B19a)

\[
|\pm\rangle := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ d_{1\uparrow}^d d_{1\downarrow}^\dagger \pm d_{1\downarrow}^d d_{1\uparrow}^\dagger |0\rangle \right],
\]

(B19b)

with the vacuum \(|0\rangle\) satisfying \( d_{1\lambda \sigma} |0\rangle = 0 \) and \( d_{0\bar{\sigma}} |0\rangle = 0 \) for \( \sigma = \uparrow, \downarrow \).

In this basis, \( \mathcal{L}_{2\text{site}}(\lambda) \) is represented as

\[
\mathcal{L}_{2\text{site}}(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
\mathcal{L}_+(\lambda) & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{L}_-(-\lambda)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(B20a)

\[
\mathcal{L}_+(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{i\gamma}{2} (1 + \lambda) & 2t \\
2t & -\frac{i\gamma}{2} (1 - \lambda)
\end{pmatrix},
\]

(B20b)

\[
\mathcal{L}_-(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{i\gamma}{2} (1 + \lambda) & 0 \\
0 & -\frac{i\gamma}{2} (1 - \lambda)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

(B20c)

Diagonalizing the matrix \( \mathcal{L}_{2\text{site}}(\lambda) \), we can see that the eigenvalues are written as

\[
\Lambda_{\sigma_a} = -\frac{i\gamma}{2} + \sqrt{16t^2 - \lambda^2 \gamma^2}/2, \quad \Lambda_{\sigma_b} = -\frac{i\gamma}{2} - \sqrt{16t^2 - \lambda^2 \gamma^2}/2,
\]

(B21a)

\[
\Lambda_{\bar{\sigma}_a} = -\frac{i\gamma}{2} (\lambda + 1)/2, \quad \Lambda_{\bar{\sigma}_b} = -\frac{i\gamma}{2} (\lambda - 1)/2.
\]

(B21b)

In Fig. 4, the spectrum of “Liouvillian” \( \mathcal{L}_{2\text{site}}(\lambda) \) is plotted for \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \). For \( 4t \leq \gamma \), an exceptional point appears with increasing \( \gamma \). However, regardless of the value of \( \gamma \), the eigenstate with eigenvalue \( \Lambda_{\sigma_b} \) is the longest lifetime. In particular, for \( \lambda = 1 \), it is a nonequilibrium steady state, i.e., the lifetime becomes infinite. From Eq. (B20), we can see that the corresponding left and right eigenstates are \( \langle \rho_{2\text{site}, g} | = \langle -2 | \) and \( \langle \rho_{2\text{site}, g} | R = |-2 \rangle \).

For the state \( \langle \rho_{2\text{site}, g} |), \) the Berry phase takes \( \pi \). To see this, first, we note that twisting the hopping \( t \) only for the subsector with \( \sigma = \uparrow \) (see Eq. (B16)) can be accomplished by applying the operator \( e^{i\theta (1\downarrow)} \) [131];

\[
\mathcal{L}_{2\text{site}}(\theta, \lambda) = e^{i\theta (1\downarrow)} \mathcal{L}_{2\text{site}}(\lambda) e^{-i\theta (1\downarrow)},
\]

(B22)

with \(-\pi \leq \theta \leq \pi \). Here we note that Eq. (B22) holds only for \( t' = 0 \). Equation (B22) indicates that the eigenstates of

\[
\langle \rho_{2\text{site}, g} | \right) \rightarrow \rho_{2\text{site}, g} = \frac{1}{2} (c_{1\uparrow}^d |0\rangle \langle 0| c_{1\downarrow} + c_{0\uparrow}^d |0\rangle \langle 0| c_{0\downarrow}),
\]

(B25)
which we see below. Here we normalized the density matrix so that \( \text{tr} \rho_{2\text{site},g} = 1 \) holds. Thus we obtain

\[
\text{tr}(n_{1A} \rho_{2\text{site},g}) = \frac{2}{3}, \quad \text{tr}(n_{0B} \rho_{2\text{site},g}) = \frac{1}{3}.
\]  

(B26)

Equation (B25) can be seen by a straightforward calculation. As we applied the particle-hole transformation [see Eq. (B15)], \( |\rho_{2\text{site},g}\rangle = | -2 \rangle \) is mapped as

\[
| -2 \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (d_{1A}^{\dagger} d_{0B} - d_{0A} d_{1A}) d_{1A}^{\dagger} d_{0B} |0\rangle
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (d_{1A}^{\dagger} d_{1A}^{\dagger} + d_{0B} d_{0B}) |0\rangle,
\]  

(B27)

which can be rewritten in terms of \( c_{ia} \) and \( \bar{c}_{ia} \) as follows:

\[
| -2 \rangle \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} P_{f_{c}} (c_{1A}^{\dagger} c_{1A} + c_{1B}^{\dagger} c_{1B}) |0\rangle,
\]  

(B28)

where \( P_{f_{c}} := \frac{1}{2} e^{i \chi_{c_{1A}^{\dagger} c_{1A} + c_{1B}^{\dagger} c_{1B}}} \). By normalizing the density matrix so that \( \text{tr}(\rho_{2\text{site},g}) = 1 \) holds, we obtain Eq. (B25).

In the above, we saw that Eq. (B26) holds for the periodic boundary condition.

(ii) Edge properties. Now, let us analyze the system with edges. We impose the open boundary condition; sites \( i = 0 \) and \( i = L - 1 \) are decoupled. We again restrict ourselves to the half-filled case. For \( \tau' = 0 \), each boundary site is isolated from the bulk. The “Liouvillian” at the edge \( j = 0 \) is written as

\[ L_{\text{edge}}(\lambda) = -i \lambda \gamma (n_{0A} \downarrow - \frac{1}{2}) (n_{0A} \uparrow - \frac{1}{2}) - i \lambda \gamma c_{1A}^{\dagger} c_{1A} - i \lambda \gamma \bar{c}_{1B}^{\dagger} \bar{c}_{1B}. \]

The right eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvalues are easily obtained and written as

\[
|0\rangle, \quad \Lambda = -\frac{i \lambda}{4} (1 + \lambda).
\]  

(B29a)

\[
d_{0A}^{\dagger} |0\rangle, \quad \Lambda = -\frac{i \lambda}{4} (1 - \lambda).
\]  

(B29b)

\[
d_{0A} |0\rangle, \quad \Lambda = -\frac{i \lambda}{4} (1 - \lambda).
\]  

(B29c)

\[
d_{0A}^{\dagger} d_{0A} |0\rangle, \quad \Lambda = -\frac{i \lambda}{4} (1 + \lambda).
\]  

(B29d)

Here we note that the states with the longest lifetime are doubly degenerate. Taking into account two edges, we obtain the edge state with an infinite lifetime,

\[
|\rho_{\text{edge},g}\rangle = (a d_{0A}^{\dagger} d_{L-1B} + b d_{L-1B}^{\dagger} d_{0A} |0\rangle),
\]

(B30)

with real numbers \( a \) and \( b \) satisfying \( a^2 + b^2 = 1 \). We note that \( d_{0A}^{\dagger} d_{L-1B} |0\rangle \) is also an eigenstate with the zero eigenvalue. However, we discard this states because we restrict ourselves to the half-filled case, \( (N_{1}, N_{c}) = (1, 1) \) with \( N_{c} = n_{0A} + n_{L-1B} \).

As shown below, \( |\rho_{\text{edge},g}\rangle \) can be rewritten as

\[
|\rho_{\text{edge},g}\rangle \rightarrow \rho_{\text{edge},g} = (a c_{1A}^{\dagger} |0\rangle |0\rangle c_{0A} - b c_{1B}^{\dagger} |0\rangle |0\rangle c_{L-1B}),
\]  

(B31)

with \( a' \) and \( b' \) are real numbers satisfying \( a' - b' = 1 \). Here we renormalized the states so that \( \text{tr}(\rho_{\text{edge},g}) = 1 \) holds. Therefore, we obtain

\[
\text{tr}(n_{0A} \rho_{\text{edge},g}) = a', \quad \text{tr}(n_{L-1B} \rho_{\text{edge},g}) = -b'.
\]  

(B32)

This result means that the polarization is observed only at each edge. Namely, we have

\[
\text{tr}((n_{0A} - n_{0B}) \rho_{\text{edge},g}) = a' - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2},
\]

(B33a)

at \( j = 0 \), while we have

\[
\text{tr}((n_{jA} - n_{jB}) \rho_{\text{edge},g}) = 0,
\]  

(B33b)

for the bulk \( (j = 1, \ldots, L - 2) \) [see Eq. (B26)].

Equation (B31) can be obtained in a similar way to the bulk [see Eq. (B25)]. As we applied the particle-hole transformation [see Eq. (B15)] the state \( |\rho_{\text{edge},g}\rangle \) is mapped as

\[
|\rho_{\text{edge},g}\rangle \rightarrow (a d_{0A}^{\dagger} d_{L-1B} + b d_{L-1B}^{\dagger} d_{0A} |0\rangle)
\]

\[
= (-a d_{0A}^{\dagger} d_{0A}^{\dagger} + b d_{L-1B}^{\dagger} d_{L-1B}^{\dagger}) |0\rangle),
\]

(B34)

which can be rewritten in terms of \( c_{ia} \) and \( \bar{c}_{ia} \) as follows:

\[
|\rho_{\text{edge},g}\rangle \rightarrow P_{f_{c}} (a c_{0A}^{\dagger} c_{0A} - b c_{L-1B}^{\dagger} c_{L-1B}) |0\rangle),
\]

(B35)

where \( P_{f_{c}} := (-1)^{\frac{1}{2} c_{1A}^{\dagger} c_{1A} + c_{1B}^{\dagger} c_{1B}} \). By normalizing the density matrix so that \( \text{tr}(\rho_{\text{edge},g}) = 1 \) holds, we obtain Eq. (B31).

In the above, for \( \tau' = 0 \), the Berry phase \( \chi_{c_{1A}^{\dagger} c_{1A} + c_{1B}^{\dagger} c_{1B}} \) of the nonequilibrium steady states takes \( \pi \). Correspondingly, while the charge distribution of the bulk is uniform, each edge shows the charge polarization.

We recall that the topological properties remain unchanged as long as the gap does not close. This fact means that for small but finite \( \tau' \), the Berry phase should take \( \pi \) inducing the edge polarization.

APPENDIX C: SPECTRUM OF A BLOCK-UPPER-TRIANGULAR MATRIX

The spectrum of the “Liouvillian” \( L(\lambda) = L_{0} + \lambda L_{1} \) is independent of \( \lambda \) \((0 \leq \lambda \leq 1)\) when \( L_{1} \) \((L_{0})\) is a block-upper-triangular (block-diagonal) matrix [89,90].

To see this, let us consider the following square matrix of a block-upper-triangular form

\[
L(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}
L_{01,0} & \lambda L_{01,2} & 0 \\
0 & L_{22} & \lambda L_{24} \\
0 & 0 & L_{44}
\end{pmatrix},
\]  

(C1)

where \( L_{01,0} \), \( L_{22} \), and \( L_{44} \) are non-Hermitian square matrices. Matrices \( L_{01,2} \) and \( L_{24} \) are non-Hermitian and not necessarily square matrices. The spectrum of \( L(\lambda) \) is independent of \( \lambda \), which can be seen as follows.

First, we note that an arbitrary eigenvalue \( \Lambda \) of \( L(\lambda) \) in Eq. (C1) is determined by the characteristic equation

\[
det \left[ \begin{pmatrix}
L_{01,0} & \lambda L_{01,2} & 0 \\
0 & L_{22} & \lambda L_{24} \\
0 & 0 & L_{44}
\end{pmatrix} - \Lambda I \right] = 0.
\]

(C2)

Regardless of the value of \( \lambda \), the above equation is rewritten as \( \det(L_{01,0} - \Lambda I) \det(L_{22} - \Lambda I) \det(L_{44} - \Lambda I) = 0 \), which indicates that the spectrum of the matrix \( L(\lambda) \) is independent of \( \lambda \).

The above argument can be straightforwardly extended to a generic case. Thus, we can conclude that the spectrum of the
“Liouvillian” \( \mathcal{L}(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_0 + \lambda \mathcal{L}_1 \) is independent of \( \lambda \) when \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) (\( \mathcal{L}_0 \)) is a block-upper-triangular (block-diagonal) matrix.

**APPENDIX D: QUANTIZATION OF THE PSEUDOSPIN CHERN NUMBER**

The pseudospin Chern number is quantized even in the presence of the jump term. To see this, we show that \( C_{\sigma \sigma} (\alpha = K, B) \) defined in Eq. (7) is quantized. We note that the quantization of a many-body Chern number for non-Hermitian systems is proven \([59]\) by extending the proof in the Hermitian case \([87, 91]\). We note, however, that, quantization of the non-Hermitian many-body Chern numbers \( (\mathcal{C}_{KK} \text{ and } \mathcal{C}_{BB}) \), which are computed by twisting the boundary condition only for a subsector of the Hilbert space, has not been proven yet. Thus this section is devoted to its proof.

Consider “Liouvillian” \( \mathcal{L}(\theta, \vartheta, \lambda) \) with \( 0 \leq \theta(i) < 2\pi \) and \( 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1 \), which is obtained by twisting the boundary condition only for the subspace specified by \( \sigma \). Because taking the unique gauge may not be allowed, we divide the two-dimensional space \( (\theta, \vartheta) \) into two regions, I and II, so that the eigenstates are single-valued and are smoothly defined in each region. We note in passing that one can treat the case, where the space \( (\theta, \vartheta) \) needs to be divided into more than three regions, on an equal footing.

In each region, the Berry curvature is rewritten as

\[
F_{\sigma \sigma} = \partial_{\sigma} A_{\mu}^x - \partial_{x} A_{\sigma \mu}^x, \quad (D1a)
\]

\[
A_{\sigma \mu}^x := \sum_{n} \left( \rho_n^{\sigma} \partial_{\mu}^{x} \rho_n^{\sigma} \right), \quad (D1b)
\]

with \( \mu = x, y \). Here, \( |\rho_n^{\sigma} \rangle \) and \( L \langle \rho_n^{\sigma} | \) are right and left eigenstates of \( \mathcal{L}(\theta, \vartheta, \lambda) \) for region \( \sigma = 1, \text{II} \). The summation \( \sum_{n} \) is taken over degenerate states. By making use of Stokes’ theorem, \( C_{\sigma \sigma} \) defined in Eq. (7) can be written as

\[
C_{\sigma \sigma} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\theta_{\mu} \text{Im} (A_{\sigma \mu}^x - A_{\sigma \mu}^y) \]

\[
= \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\vartheta_{\mu} \text{Im} \rho_{\mu} \log(\det M) \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (D2)
\]

Here the integral is taken over the boundary of two regions, I and II, and \( M \) is an invertible matrix. From the first to the second line, we used the following relation:

\[
A_{\sigma \mu}^y = A_{\sigma \mu}^y + \sum_{mn} M_{nm}^{-1} \partial_{\mu}^{x} \rho_{mn}. \quad (D3)
\]

This relation is obtained by noting that relations \( |\rho_n^{\sigma} \rangle \rangle = \sum_m |\rho_n^{\sigma} \rangle \langle \rho_n^{\sigma} | \) hold because both of the gauges are available on the boundary of two regions I and II. We recall that the biorthogonal normalization condition is imposed on the right and left eigenvectors.

Equation (D2) indicates the quantization of \( C_{\sigma \sigma} \). We note that Eq. (D2) holds as long as the gap-closing does not occur in the parameter space \( (\theta, \vartheta) \).

We note that introducing a perturbation does not change \( C_{\sigma \sigma} \) as long as the gap is open \([136]\). This is because \( C_{\sigma \sigma} \) is continuous as a function of the strength of the perturbation maintaining the gap, while \( C_{\sigma \sigma} \) is quantized [see Eq. (D2)].

We close this section by noting that Eq. (7) is written as \( C_{\sigma \sigma} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \oint d\theta_{\mu} d\vartheta_{\mu} F_{\sigma \sigma} \). This is because the integral of the real part of the Berry curvature vanishes; the real part of a complex function \( \log z \) with \( z \in \mathbb{C} \) is single-valued.

**APPENDIX E: LIOUVILLIAN WITH THE PSEUDOPOTENTIAL APPROXIMATION**

Here, with the pseudopotential approximation, we see that the Liouvillian (18) can be written as

\[
\mathcal{L} \simeq \sum_{ij} h_{ij} f_{ia} f_{ja} + \sum_{ij} V_{i} f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ia} f_{ja} \]

\[
- i\gamma \sum_{ij} f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ja} f_{ib}, \quad (E1a)
\]

where

\[
f_{ia} := \sum_{n} \varphi_{in} a_{in}^{\sigma}, \quad f_{ib} := \sum_{n} \varphi_{in} a_{in}^{\sigma}. \quad (E1b)
\]

Here \( h_{ij} \) and \( V_{i} \) are defined just below Eq. (18). The operator \( a_{in}^{\sigma} \) creates the fermion in state \( \varphi_{in} \), of the lowest Landau level for layer \( \sigma (\alpha = a, b) \). The creation and the annihilation operators satisfy \( [a_{n_{a} \sigma}, a_{n_{b} \sigma}^\dagger] = \delta_{n_{a} n_{b}} \delta_{\sigma \sigma'} \) and \( [a_{n_{a} \sigma}, a_{n_{b} \sigma'}] = 0 \). The summation \( \sum_{n} \) is taken over the states in the lowest Landau level.

In the following, we derive Eq. (E1a). First, we note that the anticommutation relation between \( a_{in}^{\sigma} \) and \( a_{in}^{\sigma} \) is due to the introduction of the operator for the fermion number parity. Namely, we can see that \( \rho_{i} a_{in}^{\sigma} \) is mapped as \( \rho_{i} a_{in}^{\sigma} \). The annihilation operator \( a_{in}^{\sigma} \) acts on a state in subspace \( \alpha \). The operators \( a_{\alpha}^{s} \) commute with the operators \( a_{\beta}^{s} \) and \( a_{\beta}^{s} \), \( [a_{n_{a} \sigma}, a_{n_{b} \sigma}^\dagger] \). Thus, introducing operators \( a_{in}^{\sigma} := a_{in}^{\sigma} \) and \( a_{in}^{\sigma} := a_{in}^{\sigma} \), we have the anticommutation relation between \( a_{in}^{\sigma} \) and \( a_{in}^{\sigma} \).

Second, we note that with the pseudopotential approximation, the operators can be written as follows:

\[
H_{0} \simeq \sum_{ij} h_{ij} f_{ia} f_{ja} + V_{i} \sum_{ij} f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ia} f_{ja} \]

\[
\sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha} L_{\alpha} = \gamma \sum_{ij} c_{i} c_{j}^{\alpha} c_{i} c_{j} \simeq \gamma \sum_{ij} f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ia} f_{ja} \]

\[
\sum_{\alpha} L_{\alpha} \rho L_{\alpha} = \gamma \sum_{ij} c_{i} c_{j}^{\sigma} c_{i} c_{j}^{\sigma} \simeq \gamma \sum_{i} f_{ia} \rho f_{ia} f_{ia} \]

With the isomorphism [see Eq. (3)], these terms can be identified as follows:

\[
\rho h_{ij} (f_{ia} f_{ja}) \leftrightarrow h_{ij} f_{ia} f_{ja} \|ho\rangle = (h_{ij} f_{ia} f_{ja}) \rho \|ho\rangle, \]

\[
\rho f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ia} f_{ja} \langle f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ja} f_{ia} | \rho \rangle \]

\[
(f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ia} f_{ja} \langle f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ja} f_{ia} | \rho \rangle = - f_{ia} f_{ja} f_{ja} f_{ia} | \rho \rangle. \]

Here we assumed \( i \neq j \). By taking into account the above relations, we get Eq. (E1a).

**APPENDIX F: TOPOLOGICAL DEGENERACY FOR ANOTHER TYPE OF DISSIPATION**

By a topological argument, we show that the system with the filling factor \( \nu (\nu^{-1} = 1, 3, 5, \ldots) \) shows at least \( \nu^{-1} \)-fold topological degeneracy in the spectrum of the Liouvillian
when the Lindblad operators preserve charge $U(1)$ symmetry. We consider fermions in the square lattice (see Fig. 1) with $L_x = L_y = L$ and $\phi = 1/L$. In this case, the number of states in the lowest Landau level is $N_\phi = L = \phi^{-1}$ (i.e., the filling factor is $\nu := N_\phi/N_\phi = \phi N_\phi$).

First, let us consider the eigenvectors of the kinetic terms under the Landau gauge

$$
\sum_j h_{ij} \varphi_{jn}(k_y) = \varphi_{in}(k_y) \epsilon_{n}, \quad (F1)
$$

with $n_1 = 1, \ldots, \dim h$. Here we note that the Hamiltonian $h_{ij}$ is invariant under the translation along the $y$-direction, meaning that the Landau state $\varphi_{jn}$ can also be labeled by momentum along the $y$-direction $k_y$:

$$
T_{y} \varphi_{jn}(k_y) = e^{-ik_y} \varphi_{jn}(k_y), \quad (F2)
$$

with $T_y$ being the translation operator along the $y$-direction. In addition, for $L_x = L_y = L$ and $\phi = 1/L$, the following relation holds [137]:

$$
U \varphi_{jn}(k_y) = \varphi_{jn}(k_y - 2\pi \phi), \quad (F3a)
$$

with

$$
U_{\sigma} = e^{-2\pi i \phi (j_y - j_y')} \epsilon^{\sigma}_{j_y j_y'}, \quad (F3b)
$$

With the isomorphism [see Eq. (3)], we obtain the following relations corresponding to Eqs. (F2) and (F3):

$$
T_{\sigma} \varphi_{jn}(k_y) = e^{-i\delta_{\sigma j_y}} \varphi_{jn}(k_y), \quad (F4)
$$

and

$$
U_{\sigma} \varphi_{jn}(k_y) = \varphi_{jn}(k_y - 2\pi \phi), \quad (F5a)
$$

$$
U_{\sigma} \epsilon^{\sigma}_{j_y j_y'} U_{\sigma}^\dagger = e^{-2\pi i \phi \delta_{\sigma j_y}} \epsilon^{\sigma}_{j_y j_y'}, \quad (F5b)
$$

Here $\sigma = a$ ($\sigma = b$) specifies the subspace $K_e$ (bra). The operator $\epsilon^{\sigma}_{j_y j_y'}$ is the creation operator defined in Eq. (17) where the set of the subscripts $j_x$ and $j_y$ is denoted by $j$. Here $T_{\sigma}$ and $U_{\sigma}$ are defined as

$$
T_{\sigma} = T_{\tau} \otimes \mathbb{I}, \quad T_{\sigma} \otimes T_{\tau}^*, \quad (F6)
$$

$$
U_{\sigma} = U \otimes \mathbb{I}, \quad U_{\sigma} \otimes U^*. \quad (F7)
$$

With the above relation, we can see that the system shows robust topological degeneracy when the following conditions are satisfied:

$$
U_{\sigma} L_{\sigma a} U_{\sigma}^\dagger = L_{\sigma a}, \quad (F8)
$$

$$
T_{\sigma a} T_{\tau b} \mathcal{L} (T_{\sigma a} T_{\tau b})^\dagger = \mathcal{L}, \quad (F9)
$$

with $L_{\sigma a} = L_{\sigma} \otimes \mathbb{I}$ and $L_{\sigma b} = \mathbb{I} \otimes L_{\sigma}^T$.

To see the robust topological degeneracy, first, we note that the Liouvillian can be block-diagonalized into sectors each of which is labeled by the momentum $K_y$ and the number of fermions. By making use of Eq. (F5a), we can see the relation between the matrices for each sector

$$
\langle \langle \Phi_{\alpha}(K_y) \rangle \mathcal{L} \\Phi_{\alpha}(K_y^*) \rangle \mathcal{L} = \langle \langle \Phi_{\alpha}(K_y + \Delta K) \rangle \mathcal{L} \\Phi_{\alpha}(K_y^* + \Delta K) \rangle. \quad (F10)
$$

Here $|\Psi_{\alpha}(K_y)\rangle_\sigma$ is defined as

$$
|\Phi_{\alpha}(K_y)\rangle_\sigma = |\Phi_{\alpha}(K_y)\rangle_\alpha \otimes |\Psi_{\alpha}(K_y)\rangle_\beta, \quad (F11)
$$

$$
|\Phi_{\alpha}(K_y)\rangle_\sigma = \epsilon^{\sigma}_{j_y j_y'} \epsilon^\dagger_{j_y j_y'} \cdots \epsilon^\dagger_{j_y j_y'} |0\rangle_\sigma, \quad (F12)
$$

with $K_y = K_y^a - K_y^b$ and $K_y = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} K_{j,b}$. The operator $a^{\dagger}_{\alpha j_{b}, \sigma}$ with $l, l' = 1, 2, \ldots$ creates a fermion in state $|\varphi_{jn}(k_{y,b})\rangle_\sigma$ at layer $\sigma = a, b$ (see Ref. [138]), and $|0\rangle_\sigma$ is the vacuum being the state annihilated by all $a_{\alpha j_{b}, \sigma}$.

By noting the relation $\Delta K = -2\pi i \phi N_{\phi} = -2\pi \nu$ for $L_x = L_y = L$ and $\phi = 1/L$, we see that for $\nu = 1, 3, 5, \ldots$, the Liouvillian $\mathcal{L}$ can be block-diagonalized into $\nu^{-1}$ subsectors labeled by momentum $K_y$ [see Eq. (F9)]; these block-diagonalized matrices are identical to each other [see Eq. (F10)].

Therefore, we can conclude that regardless of details of the dissipation, the open quantum system shows at least $\nu^{-1}$-fold degeneracy as long as both $U(1)$ symmetry [Eq. (F8)] and translational symmetry [Eq. (F9)] are preserved. Namely, in the absence of accidental degeneracy, we have $\nu^{-1}$-fold degeneracy which is topologically protected.
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