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Abstract

Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) has recently developed as a feasible genomic approach for exploring genome-wide genetic variation for population and evolutionary genomic analyses of non-model species. To facilitate the acquisition of function-associated genetic variation data in natural populations, we present a GBS-based pipeline called paSNPg for protein-associated SNP (paSNP) discovery and genotyping in non-model organisms. The pipeline was developed through the expansion of the published npGeno utility to assemble nuclear contigs from raw GBS sequence data, separate protein-associated contigs from all assembled contigs based on published PEP (or Predictions on Entire Proteomes) sequence data sets, and call paSNPs across assayed samples based on protein-associated contigs. Testing the pipeline with two GBS sequence data sets, Arabidopsis thaliana and Oryza sativa, revealed its potential use in exploring protein-associated genetic variation from genomic DNAs of non-model species.
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Introduction

There is a growing interest in acquisition of function-associated genetic marker data from natural populations for population and evolutionary genomic analyses of non-model species [1-4]. The function-associated markers sample the coding regions of a genome and are more sensitive and informative to characterize adaptive genetic diversity patterns and investigate their associations with ecological factors than the commonly applied amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) markers that largely are selectively neutral [5,6]. Large efforts have been currently directed toward the development of function-associated single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) through transcriptomic analyses such as RNA-seq technology [4,7]. However, these expressed polymorphisms are dependent on the assayed materials and their developmental stages [1,3,4].

Genotyping-by-sequencing (GBS) has recently developed as a feasible genomic approach for exploring genome-wide genetic variation for population genomic analysis of non-model species [8-11], thanks to the advances in next generation sequencing (NGS) [12]. The GBS approach is a combined one-step process of SNP marker discovery and genotyping through genome reduction with restriction enzymes to reduce genome complexity, applies Illumina multiplexing indexes for sample barcoding, and has a custom bioinformatics pipeline called npGeno for SNP genotyping. The npGeno pipeline takes sample fastq input, constructs contigs from sequence reads from all samples, calls SNPs using the constructed contigs as a reference, filters resulting SNPs, and formats data outputs. However, the pipeline does not separate nuclear SNPs from exon regions of a nuclear genome, and the resulting nuclear SNP markers could be either selective (i.e., function-associated) or neutral.

Here we present a new GBS-based pipeline called paSNPg for the discovery and genotyping of protein-associated SNPs (paSNPs) from GBS sequence data. The pipeline was developed through the modification of the existing npGeno utility and the addition of new computational steps to identify protein-associated contigs based on published PEP (i.e., Predictions for Entire Proteomes) [19] sequence data for paSNP discovery and genotyping. Specifically here, we will describe the paSNPg pipeline, provide an empirical test on the performance of the pipeline using the GBS sequence data sets of two model plants, and discuss the advantages and limitations of paSNPg in its applications. It is our hope that this GBS-based pipeline will serve as a useful tool for generating paSNP data from genomic DNAs, rather than RNAs expressed in specific tissues of certain developmental stage, in natural populations for population and evolutionary genomic analyses of non-model organisms.

Pipeline description

Motivation: The paSNPg pipeline was initiated when an effort was...
made to identify and separate nuclear SNPs that are selective from those SNPs generated by the npGeno pipeline for a genetic diversity analysis [18]. The original thought was to identify function-associated contigs through a Blast2GO analysis [20] and to use those identified function-associated contigs as reference for function-associated SNP calls. This approach was found to be effective, but it requires a separate, lengthy analysis with Blast2GO, making the acquisition of function-associated SNPs less user-friendly. Thus, we adopted the Blast2GO approach using specific taxon’s PEP sequence data sets available to identify protein-associated contigs and expanded the existing npGeno utility to create a new independent pipeline paSNPg that allows for automatic acquisition of paSNPs from GBS sequence data.

Overview of the pipeline: The complete pipeline package is illustrated in supplementary Figure S1A and has five defined subdirectories (Input_data, Output_results, Pep_database, Scripts, Threshold_set), three shell scripts (paSNPg.sh, minpaSNPg.sh, remove_missingSNPs.sh) and a guide documentation (Getting Started with paSNPg.pdf; Figure S1B). The functional view of the pipeline is shown in Figure 1 with input, computational, and output components. Four input files are necessary for running paSNPg: Fastq input, Pep_database, Pident_Plength.txt and Missing_threshold.txt. GBS sequence data and PEP sequence data located in Input_data are the primary input, while two .txt files are provided to define the running parameters for contig alignment and missing data. All custom shell and Perl scripts are resided in the Scripts subdirectory.

The pipeline is automatically run with a shell script paSNPg.sh that controls two major pairs of computational shell scripts: generate_nuclear/exon_contigs.sh and call_nuclear/exon_SNP.sh. The first shell script pair generate_nuclear/exon_contigs.sh was developed to perform de novo assembly of nuclear (Nu) contigs using Minia [21] based on collapsed reads with Fastx_collapser [22] from fastq files of all samples and generates Nu_contigs.fasta. The Perl script select_exon_contigs.pl was written to (1) identify nuclear exon (NE) contigs NE_contigs.fasta from Nu_contigs.fasta by using the BLAST algorithm [23] to compare the sequences against available PEP data set(s) and using matching criteria Pident_Plength.txt and (2) output NE-contigs information associated with PEP into NE_contigs-information.txt. The second script pair call_nuclear/exon.SNP.sh was developed to identify and generate SNP genotype data for all samples using Bowtie2 [24] and SAMtools [25] and based on either Nu_contigs.fasta or NE_contigs.fasta. The Perl script screen_sampleSNP.pl analyzes outputs from Bowtie2 and SAMtools and obtains SNP genotypes of each sample. The identify_SNP.pl script identifies SNPs and generates all SNP genotype data from every sample for the whole nuclear genomes and for the exon regions only. This script generates four output files associated with Nu_SNPs or NE_SNPs. Note that all NE_SNPs files are the output for paSNPs. The fasta_format.pl script transforms _N*_SNP_hap.txt data to _N*_SNP_hap.fasta files. The formatted fasta files can be used by other software such as PGDSpider [26] for format conversions required for other specific population genomic analyses.

The optional remove_missingSNPs.sh script serves as cleaning GBS SNPs with a given level of missing observations across the assayed samples through the missing_level.pl script. Specifically, it removes SNPs with a missing level given in Missing_threshold.txt or higher across the samples and outputs three Clean_Nu_SNPs and three Clean_ Nu_SNPs text files into the Output_results subdirectory. The optional script minpaSNPg.sh performs a modified version of the npGeno utility for automatic SNP genotyping from GBS sequence data without acquisition of protein-associated contigs and paSNPs.

Availability and requirements: The complete pipeline package is placed on online supplementary file (Figure S1A) and is available for download. It was developed for use on a Linux operating system, as it is dependent on a number of freely available Unix-like programs. They are (1) Minia (http://minia.genouest.org/); (2) Bowtie2 (http://bowtie-bio.sourceforge.net/bowtie2/index.shtml); (3) SAMtools v0.1.18 (http://samtools.sourceforge.net/); (4) Perl in Linux (http://www.perl.org/get.html); (5) Fastx_collapser (http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/); and (6) Blast+ (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE_TYPE=BlastDocs&DOC_TYPE=Download). These programs need to be downloaded from the sources mentioned above and installed in a Linux server following their respective documented installation instructions, including the setting of their proper execution paths. Thus, the access to a computing facility such as Linux servers and basic operational skills in a Linux environment are also required for its use.

Another requirement is the search for and acquisition of published PEP sequence data for specific paSNPg application. The steps used to obtain the plant PEP data sets from public PEP databases are illustrated in Figure S2 and these steps can be applied to select and acquire PEP sequence data sets of various taxa close or related to the species of interest. The acquired individual pep.all.fa files should be compressed using the tar command as Pep_database.tar.bz2 and placed in the subdirectory Input_data before running the pipeline.

Application: The pipeline was mainly designed to analyze GBS sequence data with a de-multiplexed set of paired-end fastq files that were generated through Illumina MiSeq or HiSeq instruments from multiple samples of one or more populations. Such marker data acquisition is compatible with most marker data sets acquired in natural populations for population or evolutionary genomic analyses.

The steps required to copy the pipeline into a Linux server and install six dependent, free software and the procedures to operate the pipeline are described in the user guide Getting Started with paSNPg.pdf. Extra effort is needed to upload the GBS sequence data with a de-multiplexed set of paired-end fastq (or fastq.gz format) files into the subdirectory Input_data. To start the pipeline, run the shell script paSNPg.sh by typing ./paSNPg.sh at the command prompt and nine output files will be generated and placed in the subdirectory Output_results. It is recommended to run the remove_missingSNPs.sh script with a defined level of missing data to obtain related clean SNP data for
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Further analysis. The running time is largely dependent on the extent of GBS sequence data, and the major computational effort is placed on nuclear contig assembly and identification of protein-associated contigs.

The pipeline is run with several conservative default settings. First, Minia uses default options with kmer_size of 100 bp and min-abundance of 80% sample size to identify reliable contigs. Second, the default settings for the percentages of identical matches and alignment length defined in Pident-Plength.txt are 75% and 99%, respectively. Third, Missing_threshold.txt is used to remove the SNP loci having a level of missing observations or higher. A level of 10-20% is recommended, but the default setting is 0%.

### Pipeline testing

We performed an empirical evaluation on the pipeline using the GBS sequence data sets of two model plants *A. thaliana* and *O. sativa*, as paSNPs can be obtained from such data sets using PEP sequence data of its own species or those of other related taxa for an informative comparison. The test GBS data for these plant species were generated following exactly the gd-GBS protocol [18]. Briefly, 12 *Arabidopsis* races and 12 cultivated rice accessions were obtained from various sources (Table 1), their seeds were grown in a greenhouse and young leaf tissue was separately collected from a single seedling of each *Arabidopsis* race and rice accession, and total genomic DNA was extracted and quantified. Further steps were performed on DNA samples: preparation with digestion of two restriction enzymes *Pst*I and *Msp*I, library assembly for the barcoding and pooling of 24 DNA samples, and sequencing on a MiSeq instrument. The sequencing output and barcoding information for each sample are summarized in Table 1.

The paSNPg pipeline was run with default settings in a Linux server on each GBS sequence data set, considering the availability of different PEP sequence data sets. Searching public PEP databases revealed 38 plant species with released pep.all.fa files (Figure S2B). To assess the potential use of the pipeline for non-model species, three PEP sequence data sets were defined for each model species: Pep1 stands for the pep.all.fa data obtained from its own species, Pep2 for all pep.all.fa data available from its closely related species within a genus, and Pep3 for all pep.all.fa data available from distantly related genera, families, and taxa. Specifically for the test with *Arabidopsis* GBS data, Pep2 had only the *Arabidopsis* _lyrata_ v.1.0.23 pep.all.fa file and Pep3 had 36 other plant pep.all.fa files (Figure S2B). For the test with rice, Pep1 had both *Oryza_sativa* and *Oryza_indica* pep.all.fa files, Pep2 consisted of eight other *Oryza* pep.all.fa files and Pep3 had 28 other plant pep.all.fa files (Figure S2B). A total of six separate runs of paSNPg were made; each run for *Arabidopsis* or rice GBS data consumed about 10 or 13 hours, respectively; and 85-90 percent of the computational time was on Nu contig assembly and NE contig identification. The test outcomes of paSNPs with missing data are shown in Table 1 and Figure 2, and

| Sample | IT_index | IS_index | Raw reads | Filter reads | paSNPs * | Heterozygous paSNPs |
|--------|----------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------------------|
|        |          |          |           |             | Pep1 | Pep2 | Pep3 | Pep1 | Pep2 | Pep3 |
| **Arabidopsis** | | | | | | |
| Col0 | CAGATC | AGTCAA | 583575 | 514127 | 202 | 190 | 130 | 8 | 8 | 6 |
| Col1 | ACTGTA | AGTCAA | 686519 | 602112 | 207 | 191 | 134 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Col2 | GATCAG | AGTCAA | 588852 | 518482 | 202 | 187 | 129 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Col3 | CTTGTA | AGTCAA | 727682 | 641888 | 208 | 194 | 139 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Col4 | GGCTAC | AGTCAA | 420552 | 372465 | 196 | 180 | 127 | 8 | 8 | 5 |
| Col5 | TGACCA | GTAGAG | 759351 | 675564 | 211 | 196 | 131 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Col6 | AGCTAG | GTAGAG | 606352 | 539896 | 195 | 189 | 135 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Col7 | GCCAAT | GTAGAG | 647679 | 576263 | 211 | 196 | 140 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Bur0 | CAGATC | AGTCAA | 589549 | 525992 | 159 | 159 | 121 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| Tsu1 | ACTGTA | AGTCAA | 669306 | 593685 | 204 | 177 | 135 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| LER | GATCAG | GTAGAG | 637718 | 566801 | 204 | 178 | 133 | 0 | 4 | 4 |
| WS4 | GCTCTG | GTAGAG | 522965 | 464454 | 186 | 171 | 121 | 7 | 10 | 8 |
| **Rice** | | | | | | |
| R120 | GGCTAC | CTTGTA | 468561 | 406905 | 719 | 706 | 328 | 65 | 66 | 33 |
| R971 | TGACCA | AGTCAA | 581679 | 507890 | 692 | 682 | 331 | 78 | 79 | 42 |
| R226 | ACGTCC | GTAGAG | 471639 | 411051 | 661 | 652 | 324 | 44 | 40 | 20 |
| R242 | GGCTAC | CTTGTA | 552139 | 479092 | 689 | 663 | 320 | 59 | 51 | 25 |
| R237 | CAGATC | GTAGAG | 425615 | 370887 | 714 | 708 | 345 | 64 | 51 | 32 |
| R614 | ACTGTA | CTTGTA | 628504 | 543484 | 738 | 725 | 337 | 52 | 42 | 26 |
| R423 | GATCAG | GTAGAG | 420596 | 365303 | 700 | 703 | 339 | 62 | 55 | 28 |
| R162 | AGCTAG | GTAGAG | 482911 | 419658 | 672 | 662 | 334 | 56 | 57 | 30 |
| R1409 | GGCTAC | AGTCAA | 419864 | 366602 | 700 | 690 | 330 | 45 | 37 | 25 |
| R1570 | TGACCA | AGTCAA | 544887 | 474000 | 683 | 674 | 353 | 36 | 32 | 26 |
| R735 | ACGCTC | AGTCAA | 560799 | 486134 | 622 | 612 | 282 | 38 | 31 | 19 |
| R163 | GCCAAT | AGTCAA | 469506 | 490684 | 700 | 690 | 336 | 64 | 62 | 28 |

*Pep1 stands for the PEP sequence data set from its own species, Pep2 for the PEP sequence data set(s) from its closely related species within a genus, and Pep3 for the PEP sequence data sets from distantly related genera, families, and taxa. Total paSNPs obtained using Pep1, Pep2 and Pep3 for 12 Arabidopsis samples are 229, 203 and 146; for 12 rice samples 802,789 and 370, respectively.

Table 1: List of *Arabidopsis* _thaliana_ and *Oryza sativa* test samples, MiSeq sequencing barcodes and reads, and paSNP counts obtained using the paSNPg pipeline based on three different sets of published PEP data.
more results with 0, 10, and 20 percent of missing data are given in supplementary Table S1.

The pipeline testing with 12 *Arabidopsis* samples revealed a total of 8,307 nuclear contigs. Among those contigs, 1,391 (16.7%) were identified as NE contigs using Pep1, 1,093 (13.2%) using Pep2, and 825 (9.9%) using Pep3 (Figure 2A). Using Pep2 and/or Pep3 as for non-model species, one would find at least 697 (8.4%) NE contigs that were identified by using Pep1. For SNP discovery, a total of 1,126 nuclear SNPs with missing data were found, and 229 (20.3%) of them were identified as NE contigs obtained from Arabidopsis or rice MiSeq data using three different published PEP data sets. Pep1 stands for the PEP sequence data set from its own species, Pep2 for the PEP sequence data set(s) available from its closely related species within a genus, and Pep3 for the PEP sequence data sets available from distantly related genera, families, and taxa. Total nuclear contigs and SNPs with missing data obtained from Arabidopsis or rice GBS data were 8,307 and 1,126 or 20,738 and 5,438, respectively.

![Venn diagrams showing the counts of nuclear exon (NE) contigs and paSNPs obtained through the application of the paSNP pipeline to the Arabidopsis and rice MiSeq data using three different published PEP data sets.](image)

**Figure 2:** Venn diagrams showing the counts of nuclear exon (NE) contigs and paSNPs obtained through the application of the paSNP pipeline to the Arabidopsis and rice MiSeq data using three different published PEP data sets. Pep1 stands for the PEP sequence data set from its own species, Pep2 for the PEP sequence data set(s) available from its closely related species within a genus, and Pep3 for the PEP sequence data sets available from distantly related genera, families, and taxa. Total nuclear contigs and SNPs with missing data obtained from Arabidopsis or rice GBS data were 8,307 and 1,126 or 20,738 and 5,438, respectively.

The analysis of 12 rice samples revealed a total of 20,738 nuclear contigs. Among those contigs, 1,841 (8.9%) were identified as NE contigs using Pep1, 1,953 (9.4%) using Pep2, and 2,017 (4.9%) using Pep3 (Figure 2C). Using Pep2 and/or Pep3 as for non-model species would yield at least 923 (4.5%) NE contigs that were identified by using Pep1. For SNP discovery, a total of 5,438 nuclear SNPs with missing data were detected, and 802 (14.7%) of them were identified as paSNPs using Pep1, 789 (14.5%) using Pep2, and 370 (6.8%) using Pep3 (Figure 2D). Using Pep2 and/or Pep3 as for non-model species would yield more than 269 (4.9%) paSNPs that were discovered by using Pep1. The counts of sample-wise paSNPs and heterozygous SNPs for *Arabidopsis* GBS data are given in Table 1.

To assess the extent of NE contigs and their paSNP loci that may be genuine, we mapped all the NE contigs to their reference genome sequences [27,28] using Bowtie2 with default settings and found that more than 95% NE contigs were mapped to the reference genomes. For *Arabidopsis*, there were 1,376 (98.9%) mapped NE contigs obtained using Pep1, 1080 (98.8%) using Pep2, and 811 (98.3%) using Pep3. For rice, there were 1,770 (96.1%) mapped NE contigs obtained using Pep1, 1866 (95.6%) using Pep2, and 1084 (97.7%) using Pep3. The un-mapped NE contigs may be artificial from Minia assembly errors or genuine if the reference genome has gaps or errors. Further analysis of the un-mapped NE contigs revealed that 10 *Arabidopsis* and 13 rice un-mapped NE contigs were all identified by using Pep1, Pep2, or Pep3, implying these shared un-mapped NE contigs were also likely genuine. Together, we can reason that more than 99.5% *Arabidopsis* and 96.2% rice NE contigs identified by using Pep2 and/or Pep3 as for non-model species may be genuine. To determine if these NE contigs are real, however, requires further empirical assessments through Sanger sequencing.

These test outcomes demonstrate not only the effectiveness of the pipeline in acquiring paSNPs from model plant GBS sequence data, but also the potential utility in exploring paSNPs from a non-model organism by using published PEP sequence data of distant genus and taxon, as illustrated using Pep2 and Pep3. Clearly, having PEP sequence data from more closely related species or less distant taxa will increase the extent of paSNP acquisition. Also, the amount of paSNPs obtained is dependent on the species analyzed, as nearly three-fold more paSNPs were identified from rice, than *Arabidopsis*, GBS sequence data. The outcome of more paSNPs in rice may largely reflect its three-fold larger genome size and/or more efficient *PstI* and *MspI* digestions in monocot species.

**Discussion**

We made an effort to search the literature with the hope to acquire published GBS sequence data sets for further evaluation of paSNPs, but failed to obtain suitable GBS sequence data. Undoubtedly, the current version of the pipeline is preliminary without extensive real data tests and may carry some issues and/or limitations. First, the pipeline is not fully optimized for computational efficiency, particularly for larger GBS sequence data sets, as longer computation time is expected for contig assembly and separation. For a large GBS data set (more than 150 samples), one may consider to apply the npGeno utility [18] to assemble nuclear contigs by dividing the GBS sequence data set into subsets of smaller size (normally 10-15 GB/set) without a compromise of contig reliability. Second, the acquisition of paSNPs is highly dependent on the availability of published PEP sequence data and may not be optimal, as all of the paSNPs present in assayed samples may not be fully extracted from GBS SNP data. Currently, little is known about the efficiency of the pipeline in paSNP genotyping based on the variable nature of the PEP sequence data. Third, no efforts have been made yet to assess paSNP’s applicability with GBS sequence data generated from other NGS platforms and modifications may be needed for inputting data from other NGS platforms. Lastly, although the pipeline is technically effective for non-model diploid species, its application to other polyploidy species may generate bias in paSNP acquisition and needs to be evaluated further.

We are, however, confident that this pipeline will facilitate research efforts in acquiring protein-associated SNP data from genomic DNAs, rather than RNAs expressed in specific tissues of certain developmental stage, in natural populations for various population genomic analyses.
of non-model species for the following reasons. First, the pipeline is automatic and user-friendly, and it can generate paSNP data from GBS sequence data without resorting to a separate Blast2GO call for function-associated contigs. Second, its computational time for function-associated contigs using only PEP data from related taxa is much faster than the separate Blast2GO analysis. Third, although our pipeline was tested on plant species, it is also applicable to any other non-model diploid organisms such as animal species for paSNP acquisition by including published PEP data of its related species or taxa. Forth, this pipeline allows for the detection and quantification of function-associated genetic variability from genomic DNAs, not RNAs expressed in specific tissues of certain developmental stage, in natural populations through the GBS approach without performing more complicated transcriptomic or RNA-seq analyses. The major advantage of the former lies mainly in the independence of developmental stage and the avoidance of possible sampling bias in natural populations. Fifth, our pipeline generates both nuclear SNP and paSNP genotype data from GBS sequence data that will facilitate the investigations on the role of neutral versus adaptive genetic variations in the genomic inferences of evolutionary processes.

**Supplementary Information**

1. A compressed file paSNPg.zip for the complete paSNPg pipeline package.
2. A pdf file consisting of the supporting Table S1, Figure S1, and Figure S2.
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