Surface patterning of carbon nanotubes can enhance their penetration through a phospholipid bilayer
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Nanotube patterning may occur naturally upon the spontaneous self-assembly of biomolecules onto the surface of single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs). It results in periodically alternating bands of surface properties, ranging from relatively hydrophilic to hydrophobic, along the axis of the nanotube. Single Chain Mean Field (SCMF) theory has been used to estimate the free energy of systems in which a surface patterned nanotube penetrates a phospholipid bilayer. In contrast to un-patterned nanotubes with uniform surface properties, certain patterned nanotubes have been identified that display a relatively low and approximately constant system free energy ($\leq \pm 10$ kT) as the nanotube traverses through the bilayer. These observations support the hypothesis that the spontaneous self-assembly of bio-molecules on the surface of SWNTs may facilitate nanotube transduction through cell membranes.

In a recent paper, Pogodin and Baulin13 considered the thermodynamics of a system in which an uncharged nanotube with uniform surface properties penetrates a phospholipid bilayer. A coarse grained model of the phospholipid molecule was adopted, which had been previously shown to adequately characterise the key thermodynamic properties of a phospholipid bilayer in a fluid phase.11–12 Estimates were made of the free energies of the equilibrium states for the system as the nanotube traversed through the bilayer using a numerical implementation of the Single Chain Mean Field (SCMF) theory14. The SCMF methodology and three models of the phospholipid bilayer have been discussed in details in Ref. 14. The simplest 3-beads model of phospholipids has been proved to be successful in describing the thermodynamic properties of the bilayer. In essence it involves a coarse grained description of the lipid molecule where the monomers are grouped into two types of beads, one hydrophilic representing the polar heads and two hydrophobic representing the tails of the lipid. The size and the interaction parameters of the beads we adjusted to reproduce the essential thermodynamic properties of a fluid phase of the phospholipid bilayer such as the thicknesses of the layer and the hydrophobic core, the equilibrium area per lipid and the compressibility constant.

The objective of these calculations was to determine whether nanotubes of various diameters and surface properties might penetrate a bilayer as a consequence of their thermal motion. Thus, nanotubes of 1, 2.43 and 4.86 nm diameter were considered, characterised by an energy per contact with the coarse grained phospholipid tail, $\varepsilon_T$, ranging from 0 kT (representing steric repulsion) to −6.3 kT, which corresponds to strong hydrophobic attraction. The perpendicular orientation was chosen since this represents the minimum contact area between nanotube and phospholipids per unit depth of penetration and hence the minimum free energy of interaction. An output of the SCMF calculations was the equilibrium free energies and the spatial mean field concentration distribution of the phospholipid heads and tails in the bilayer, which varied as a consequence of nanotube penetration. The model thus demonstrated the structural rearrangement of phospholipids at the molecular level that was induced by insertion of the nanotube, and the equilibrium free energy change of the system for each position of the nanotube.

In summary, the calculations showed that the free energy change of the systems for $\varepsilon_T = −2.1$ kT rose monotonically with increasing nanotube penetration and were substantial at full penetration (e.g. for the 2.43 nm diameter nanotube the free energy of the system at full penetration was about 100 kT). For $\varepsilon_T = −4.2$ kT, and particularly for $\varepsilon_T = −6.3$ kT, the initial penetration of the nanotube resulted in a significant fall in the system free energy (e.g. to ca. −80 kT for penetration of a 2.43 nm diameter nanotube with $\varepsilon_T = −6.3$ kT to the centre of the bilayer). Further penetration of these nanotubes then led to a steep rise in the system free energy. Overall,
the calculations showed that hydrophilic and weakly hydrophobic nanotubes face a substantial energy barrier to penetration, whilst intermediate and strongly hydrophobic nanotubes penetrate little or become entrapped in a free energy well within the bilayer.

Inferences can be drawn from these calculations for the transduction of cylindrical nano-objects such as single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) through the outer membrane of cells. Untreated SWNTs are significantly hydrophobic and their thermal motion might lead to their accumulation within the core of the cell membrane, but they are unlikely to translocate across the membrane in view of the steep energy barrier that they face to pass out of the membrane core. Hydrophilically functionalised SWNTs, for example pegylated SWNTs, face a substantial energy barrier in even penetrating the membrane to its core. These thermodynamic calculations create a conundrum since numerous experimental reports exist that show the accumulation of SWNTs within the cytoplasm of cells. How may this be?

Unlike the model system that is envisaged in Ref. the environment around a cell is complex and com-
FIG. 2. Free energy cost $\Delta F$ versus nanotube position of patterned SWNTs with diameter 1 nm S1 and S2 in comparison with uniform nanotubes with different interaction parameters with the hydrophobic core of the phospholipid bilayer, $\varepsilon_T$. The unperturbed phospholipid bilayer location is indicated by the translucent colouring.

prises of diverse biomolecular species that might interact with SWNTs. Indeed, a number of publications have reported the ordered self-assembly of polar lipids\textsuperscript{18,19}, single stranded DNA\textsuperscript{20–22}, polysaccharides\textsuperscript{23,24}, amphiphilic proteins\textsuperscript{25–27} and even vitamins\textsuperscript{28} onto nanotubes. The electrostatics also give rise to the most general patterns on the nanotubes\textsuperscript{29}. This self-assembled patterning occurs spontaneously upon mixing the nanotubes with the patterning agent in aqueous solution. The resulting molecular structures commonly take the form of discrete hydrophilic rings along the axis of the nanotube in the case of polar lipids, to helices for polysaccharides and DNA. Thus, in practice, in cell culture systems nanotubes may not have homogeneous surface properties but may display a distinct regular patterning. Furthermore, it is not evident that a nanotube that has been naturally patterned in this way interacts with phospholipid bilayers in a similar manner to a naked nanotube or to a nanotube with a homogeneous adsorption layer. Our point of view is supported by experimental evidence that ordered arrangements of hydrophilic and hydrophobic surface functional groups can alter the penetration of spherical nanoparticles through the cell membranes\textsuperscript{30}.

Consider then a patterned hydrophobic nanotube. Along the nanotube the self-assembly of polar lipids (or other biomolecular species) leads to equi-spaced rings of different relative hydrophobicity to the naked nanotube. Moving along the axis of the nanotube, the surface characteristics alternate periodically as indicated in Figure 1(A). The spatially segregated surface characteristics of such a nanotube is thus substantially different from the uniform surface character assumed in the previous SCMF calculations\textsuperscript{13}, and this may influence the free energy change of the system when the nanotube penetrates a phospholipid bilayer. Note, that the surface patterning has bigger effect on the translocation through a bilayer than the shape or geometry of nanoparticles\textsuperscript{31}, especially for small particles.

Comparison of the energy curves of uniform nanotubes at different positions\textsuperscript{13} suggest that alternation of stripes with certain interaction can, in principle, reduce considerably the energy barrier of translocation. For example, two stripes with opposite energies placed together may cancel the contribution of each other. Since the thickness of the hydrophobic core is about 2 nm, the width of alternating stripes in this case should be of order 1 nm so that the core is in contact simultaneously with two opposite stripes. Two distinct patterns were considered for a 1 nm diameter nanotube (Figure 1A), S1 and S2) that differ only in the relative widths of the two sets of rings (i.e. whereas B=1.00 nm for S1, D=0.90 nm and E=1.10 nm for S2). Both S1 and S2 are characterised by alternating rings of interaction energy $\varepsilon_T = -2.1$ and $-6.3$ kT respectively. A larger nanotube of diameter 2.43 nm was also considered. In this case five different patterns were considered (Figure 1A), L1, L2, L3, L4 and L5). The effect of the bottom of the nanotube was also investigated, L4 and L5 only differ in the interaction of the bottom part, while P1 has an edge with the same interaction parameter as L4. The corresponding concentration profiles of nanotubes with similar patterns, S2, P1, L4 and L5, are shown in Figure 1(B). We emphasise that these patterns are purely representative and their dimensions and energies are not those for any specific biomolecular system.

The concentration profiles for the patterns L1, L2, L3 look identical, while the energy of penetration of these patterns is quite different. This is because the same positions of the lipids around the nanotube may lead to different enthalpic contributions. Thus, the snapshots usually provided by MD simulations may not be sufficient to distinguish between different scenarios, while the equilibrium energy of insertion may be crucial for understanding the mechanisms of insertion into phospholipid bilayers.

The free energy of penetration of these variously patterned nanotubes into a coarse grained representation of a phospholipid bilayer was estimated using a numerical implementation of SCMF, in the manner described by Pogodin and Baulin\textsuperscript{13}. The resulting free energies for the small nanotubes are shown in Figure 2. For the nanotube pattern S1 with equi-sized rings (Figure 1A), S1) the system free energy always lies within the range 0 to $-20$ kT. Neglecting the end of the nanotube, the mean interaction energy along the length of S1 is $-4.2$ kT. Comparing S1 with the free energy for penetration of a nanotube with a uniform surface of interaction energy E4, $-4.2$ kT (Figure 2) E4) it is evident that no steep energy barrier is encountered by the patterned nanotube. Variation of the relative sizes of the rings (to give Figure 1A), S2) results in the free energy of the system being always within the range 0<±10 kT, allowing relatively
FIG. 3. Free energy cost $\Delta F$ versus nanotube position of SWNTs with diameters 2.43 nm with different pattern, L1, L2, L3 (A) and different end face L4, L5, P1 (B) in comparison with uniform nanotubes with different interaction parameters with the hydrophobic core of the phospholipid bilayer, $\tilde{\varepsilon}_T^{13}$. The unperturbed phospholipid bilayer location is indicated by the translucent colouring.

### TABLE I. Energy cost of full insertion, $\Delta F_{\text{full}}$, the maximal amplitude (positive or negative) of the insertion free energy, $\Delta F_{\text{max}}$, insertion distance corresponding to the minimum energy, $d_{\text{min}}$, maximal force and maximal pressure, for piercing the DMPC phospholipid bilayer.

|       | S1 | S2 | P1 | L1 | L2 | L3 | L4 | L5 |
|-------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| $\Delta F_{\text{full}}$ (kT) | $-15$ | $-2$ | $29$ | $36$ | $68$ | $-4$ | $22$ | $19$ |
| $\Delta F_{\text{max}}$ (kT) | $-17$ | $-9$ | $-93$ | $-77$ | $105$ | $-24$ | $-74$ | $37$ |
| $d_{\text{min}}$ (nm) | $1.88$ | $1.25$ | $-0.63$ | $-0.63$ | $-1.88$ | $-1.88$ | $-1.88$ | $-3.14$ |
| Max. force (pN) | $56$ | $51$ | $275$ | $286$ | $184$ | $68$ | $241$ | $78$ |
| Max. pressure (MPa) | $72$ | $64$ | $59$ | $62$ | $40$ | $15$ | $52$ | $17$ |

unimpeded passage of the nanotube through the bilayer. Note, that the oscillations of the energy curve is due to abrupt passage from one stripe to another. However, one can expect that a helical pattern would allow for smooth transition from one minimum to another similar to screw-driving. Since the error bar of these curves is about few kT, this pattern implies a zero energy cost of translocation.

A similar behaviour was observed for the larger diameter nanotube (Figure 3(A), L1, L2 and L3). Judicious choice of patterning (L3) resulted in free energies for penetration within the range $-20$ to $+10$ kT with no steep energy barrier. Injudicious patterning (L1 and L2) resulted in free energy profiles that varied significantly with depth of penetration and which both presented very significant energy barriers to penetration. The result for pattern L1 suggests a critical dependence upon the interaction energy of the end face of the nanotube since the pattern L1 differs from that of a smaller nanotube S1 only insofar as the end face has been changed to the highest hydrophobicity (c.f. $-6.3$ kT in L1 and $-2.1$ kT in S1). The free energy profile for L1 is thus similar in characteristic to the uniformly hydrophobic nanotube, though presents a significantly steeper energy barrier to full penetration. For L2 the effect is opposite, the lower hydrophobicity of the end face and rings causes the free energy profile to resemble that for a nanotube of uniformly low hydrophobicity and again presents a steep energy barrier at a relatively low extent of penetration.

Finally, the error bars on the point at 0 nm for curve L3 in Figure 3(A) are included to show the standard error of six separate SCMF calculations for this arrangement and extent of penetration (approximately 10 kT). The characteristic values of the energies, forces and pressures for different patterns are summarized in Table I.

The effect of the end face was investigated in Figure 3(B). The pattern of L4, L5 and P1 is similar to a successful patterning of the small nanotube S2, only the end face is different. The larger nanotube L5 has the same end face as S2 and shows no steep energy barrier for penetration, although the energy is slightly shifted to positive values. The same pattern, but different end face, L4, results in serious changes in the penetration energy in the beginning of insertion. In fact, the energy curve for L4 follows the curve for homogeneous nanotube E6 which has the same interaction parameter as the end face. In turn, when the nanotubes are fully inserted, the end face does not influence and the curves L4 and L5 coincide. Modification of the shape of the end of the nanotube does not lead to serious changes. Since our calculations
patterns were shown to result in a relatively uniform free energy change during bilayer penetration and some Nevertheless, for the systems studied the effect of nanotube patterning matters and the nanotube with sharp axes of the nanotubes that results in the most uniform system free energy throughout bilayer penetration that we leave for future work. Nor have we sought to determine the optimal sets of εT’s. Rather, calculations have been conducted for discrete patterns of combination of previously reported εT’s for homogeneous nanotubes. Nevertheless, for the systems studied the effect of nanotube patterning had a significant effect upon the system free energy change during bilayer penetration and some patterns were shown to result in a relatively uniform free energy throughout penetration. In the most preferable case, S2, any free energy barrier to penetration is < 10 kT, which is comparable in magnitude to the standard error in the SCMF free energy calculation. Extrapolating these observations to the practical case of cell membrane penetration by SWNTs, it is tempting to speculate that patterning of the tubes by one or other of the biomolecules that are commonly present in cell culture supernatants may significantly enhance the possibility of their transduction through cell membranes.
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