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Abstract

Objectives: The study was to examine the effect of rhamnolipid biosurfactant on Streptococcus mutans biofilm formation on orthodontic appliances. Methods/Statistical Analysis: Several properties of S. mutans cells such as formation of biofilm, detachment ability, the surface properties were changed after three different concentrations of biosurfactant treatment which were 10 mg/ml, 5 mg/ml and 2.5 mg/ml. S. mutans biofilms were disrupted by rhamnolipids produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC10145 at different concentrations and chlorhexidine digluconate 0.12% (v/v) was used as positive control. Findings: The biosurfactant showed some antimicrobial activities against S. mutans with Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) varied from 10 mg/ml to 0.01 mg/ml. MIC were observed at 1.25 mg/ml by microdilution 96-well plate method. The Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) was detected at a higher concentration of 10 mg/ml by plating onto agar. The best treatment of dental biofilm formation was determined at 10 mg/ml showing the highest percentage of biofilm detachment which was 89.53%. The biofilm development is commonly known as strongly dependent on hydrophobicity/hydrophilicity substrate properties using contact angle measurement. Higher percentage (89.53%) of biofilm detachment determined at polystyrene surface compared to stainless steel surface with the aid of rhamnolipid. Rhamnolipid displayed a significant potential as disrupting agents against established biofilms produced by several bacterial and fungal species. Application/Improvements: P. aeruginosa were successfully extracted their crude biosurfactant to treat the growth of biofilm. Future studies can be performed on specific mechanisms on how biosurfactant inhibit and disrupt biofilm growth.
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1. Introduction

Biosurfactants, a structurally diverse group of surface active molecules utilized by microorganisms such as bacteria and fungi, had been studied and reported in recent years. In fact, they had several advantages over synthetic surfactants, such as non-toxic, biodegradable and ecological acceptability. Biosurfactants derived from their complex structures which include unique amphipathic properties, having a hydrophilic moiety and a hydrophobic portion. S. mutans, harbouring the dental biofilm, is one of the etiological factors of dental caries. The ability of this biofilm to adhere to the teeth surface is vital for the initiation and progression towards dental caries. Several studies had reported the role of biosurfactant production in biofilm formation by different microor-
ganisms\textsuperscript{6,9}. The production of lipopeptide biosurfactants (known as putisolvins) secreted by Pseudomonas putida, involved in development of its biofilm\textsuperscript{14}. In fact, biosurfactants have potential in altering cell surface properties of different microorganisms and also in interfering the initial adhesion to solid surfaces and formation of biofilm is widely investigated\textsuperscript{11–13}.

This report demonstrated that S. mutans biofilms can be effectively disrupted by treatment with rhamnolipids secreted by P. aeruginosa ATCC10145 in vitro.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions

Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC10145 and Streptococcus mutans ATCC25175 stock culture were maintained at 70\% (v/v) glycerol stock.

2.2 Biosurfactants

Rhamnolipid from P. aeruginosa was obtained as previously described\textsuperscript{14}. Rhamnolipid biosurfactant was characterized based on previous study on production of biosurfactants by B. subtilis ATCC6633 and P. aeruginosa ATCC10145 under the influence of carbon and nitrogen sources and temperature (in publication).

2.3 Microplate Biofilm Formation Assay

Biofilm formation was tested in sterile 96-well microtiter flat-bottomed plastic tissue culture plates with a lid (Jet Bio-Fil)\textsuperscript{15}. The wells were filled with 100 µl of S. mutans suspension each. Negative control wells contained only culture suspension. Positive control wells contain culture suspension treated with 50 µL of 0.12\% (v/v) chlorhexidine. For post treatment method, the preformed biofilms of S. mutans were treated with 50 µl of different concentration of biosurfactant: 10 mg/ml, 5 mg/ml, 2.5 mg/ml and incubated for 24 hours at 37°C anaerobically. Each well was later washed three times with 200 µl of sterile phosphate buffer saline. Biofilm cells were finally detached by pipetting action and suspended in the 100 µl of PBS. The biofilm suspension was then used for Colony Forming Unit (CFU) counting.

2.4 Viable Cell Counting

The biofilm suspension was obtained from the microtiter plate biofilm formation assay. Dilution of biofilm fraction was made at a ratio of 1:10\textsuperscript{4}. A volume of 100 µL of the biofilm suspension was spread on the sterile Tryptic Soy agar. The plates were then incubated anaerobically for 24 hours at 37°C. The viable cells were counted to obtain CFU mL\textsuperscript{−1}\textsuperscript{15}.

2.5 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MBC)

A volume of 100 µl of the rhamnolipid solution and Tryptic Soy broth (TSB) was dispensed in every well of Column 1, while Columns 2-12 contained 50 µl of TSB broth only. Column 1 contained 100 µl of the Tryptic Soy broth (as a control to monitor sterility). A pipette was used to transfer and mix biosurfactants solution from column 2-12, resulting in 50 µl biosurfactants per well. The tested concentrations of the different biosurfactants achieved through two-fold serial dilutions from columns 2-12 were as follows; 10–0.01 mg/ml. A volume of 50 µl of the adjusted OD\textsubscript{600} nm S. mutans suspension was added to all well containing biosurfactant, according to MacFarland 0.5. After incubation for 24 hours at 37°C, resazurin which act as indicator was added to all wells (30 µl per well), and then further incubated for 1 hour, 100 rpm at 37°C for the observation of colour changes\textsuperscript{17}.

2.6 Minimum Biocidal Concentration (MBC)

The bacterial suspension of three test concentrations greater than or equal MIC were streaked on Tryptic Soy Agar (TSA) plates using sterile wire loop and incubated overnight at 37°C. The lowest concentration of the biosurfactant extracts which showed no growth of organism on plates after 24 hours of incubation was considered as MBC\textsuperscript{26}.

2.7 Dental Material Preparation

Thirty permanent bovine permanent incisors (Faculty of Dentistry, UiTM Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia) were collected for this study. All teeth were thoroughly examined to be free of caries and no obvious defects. The teeth were prepared initially by cutting the root and washed using distilled water and stored in a dry container.

2.8 Biofilm Development on Orthodontic Bracket

The lower incisor brackets (uniform size) of 3M Unitek (USA) were chosen for the biofilm study. S. mutans bio-
film developed on bracket as described by with slight modification. Briefly, the sterilized brackets which were transferred into 12-well tissue culture plate and incubated with 300 μL culture suspension at a concentration of 10⁵–10⁶ CFU/mL (0.5 McFarland standard at 600 nm) and the plates were incubated anaerobically at 37°C as a static culture for 24 hours.

2.9 Adherence of Biosurfactants (Biosurfactant Precoating)

The effect of biosurfactant on biofilm attachment was tested by using 24-wells tissue culture plate. Initially, the biosurfactant is dissolved in the medium and later utilized at one selected concentration. The tested groups were labelled as sample (biosurfactant), positive control and negative control. The bovine teeth bonded with stainless steel orthodontic bracket were placed into each well using a tweezer. A volume of 300 μL culture suspension was added to each well and later incubated. After 24 hours anaerobically incubated, the preformed biofilm was treated with 150 μL biosurfactant. For positive control and negative control, 150 μL of 0.12% (v/v) chlorohexidine and distilled water respectively was added to their wells that were not precoated with any biosurfactant and incubated together with the inoculum for 24 hours. Each bovine teeth bonded with bracket was later transferred into sterile test tube. Planktonic cells were removed by gently washing three times with 200 μL of sterile PBS. Biofilm cells were detached by vortexing them for several minutes in 300 μL of PBS. The biofilm suspension was then used for Colony Forming Unit (CFU) counting. For obtaining the best results, the experiment was performed in triplicates.

2.10 Qualitative Observation of the Biofilm

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was used to observe the biofilm already formed on the surfaces. Briefly, the 12-well tissue culture plate after 24 hours of biofilm treatment fixed with 100% (v/v) methanol for 30 minutes, dehydrated for 10 minutes at concentration of 50, 70, 80, 90, 95 and 100% (v/v) graded ethanol and finally treated under UV light. Specimens were fixed on aluminium stubs using a conductive carbon tape, covered with a 10-nm gold layer (Coating Unit 5100; Polaron) and observed with a scanning electron microscope (Jeol 840 A) at various magnifications, using secondary electrons at 15 kV.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Disruption of Preformed Biofilms of S. mutans

S. mutans biofilms were treated with biosurfactants at three different concentrations of 10, 5 and 2.5 mg/ml. The detachment percentage of the biofilm revealed that S. mutans showed affinity to the polystyrene microtitre plate's surface. The attached bacterial cells were collected from the wells of microtiter plates (Figure 1) and used for colony forming unit. Based on Figure 2 it can be proven that increasing biosurfactant concentration leading to higher disruption of biofilm under observation of naked eyes. The growth of S. mutans biofilm was compared before and after rhamnolipid treatment. Based on Figure 3, biofilms of S. mutans in microtiter plate wells were efficiently disrupted by rhamnolipid when the percentage of biofilm disrupted increased with increasing biosurfactant concentration. The treatment with rhamnolipid at 2.5 mg/ml concentration removed about 70.07% of the biofilm after 24 hours contact and the increase in concentration of rhamnolipid applied from 5 mg/ml and 10 mg/ml increased the biofilm disruption at 84.68% and 89.53% respectively after 24 hours incubation.

The results may be associated with the increase in the number of biosurfactants adsorbed to the surface. In studied that rhamnolipids at high concentration was required to coat the silicone rubber in order to reduce the detachment of the Staphylococcus aureus, Staphylococcus epidermidis, Streptococcus salivarius and Candida tropicalis. They reported that the reason could be due to the washing out of rhamnolipids layer adsorbed, since rhamnolipids are bonded in the surface by weak forces. The disruption of biofilms was affected by biosurfactant concentration which was also investigated by who reported that 100 mM rhamnolipids has the ability to disrupt 93% of the biofilm Bacillus pumilus while at concentrations below 0.4 mM biofilm removal was not observed. Van der Waals interactions involved during initial attachment of bacteria to the surface, which also involves electrostatic and hydrophobic interactions. The biosurfactant layer in the surface might modify these interactions and then interrupt the ability of the bacteria to adhere. Seeding dispersion is an active mechanism, where cells detachment occurred during final stages of biofilm formation and actively mediated by rhamnolipids. In reported that rhamnolipid-mediated detachment mechanism...
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involved the development of cavities within the centre of biofilm structures. The surfactants showing effectiveness in removing biofilms, as they penetrated into the interface between the solid substrate and the biofilm so they could adsorb at the interface and then reduced the interfacial tension. Therefore, the attractive interactions between the solid surface and the bacterial surfaces may be reduced, which would be easier for the removal of the film.

A                         B                                  C

Figure 1. Attached cells (biofilm) after 24 hours treatment with rhamnolipid at three different concentrations a) 10 mg/ml, b) 5 mg/ml and c) 2.5 mg/ml in 96 well microtiter plate. Arrow indication of the attached S. mutans biofilm after rhamnolipid treatment.

3.2 Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)

The Kirby-Bauer antibacterial activity of crude biosurfactant was analysed by a microdilution method in 96-well microtiter plate. Based on results in Table 1, the MIC of rhamnolipid against S. mutans biofilm was at concentration 1.25 mg/ml. Changes in colour of broth culture into blue or purple after treatment with resazurin dye indicated positive result. Whilst changes in the colour of broth into pink after treatment with resazurin dye indicated negative result. The values for MIC obtained in this study were lower than the values for MBC where the value of MBC was 10 mg/ml as shown in Table 2. The MBC was determined as the lowest concentration of antibacterial agent that reduced the viability of the initial bacterial inoculum by a pre-determined reduction such as ≥99.9%. These results suggested that the rhamnolipid was categorized as bacteriostatic at lower concentration and bactericidal at higher concentration. The MIC values for the rhamnolipid against the S. mutans were found to be lower than antibiotic amoxicillin (3.75 mg/ml) which acted as positive control. In revealed that for an antimicrobial substance, if the ratio of MIC/MBC ≤4, the antibacterial substance can be considered as bactericidal. Thus, based on the ratio of MIC/MBC of this study proved that rhamnolipid can be considered as bactericidal.

Figure 3. Disruption of S. mutans biofilm by rhamnolipid using 96-well microtiter plate. After 24 hours of incubation, resazurin dye was added. Column 1: The broth indication no contamination. Column 2 to 12: The highest concentration, 10 mg/ml of rhamnolipid was incorporated into column 2 and the lowest rhamnolipid was achieved through two-fold serial dilution, 0.01 mg/ml at column 12. Row H: The positive control which showed change in colour of resazurin (culture with treatment) to red-colourless (reduced form).

3.3 Biofilm Detachment from Stainless Steel Bracket

3.4 Magnification of Biofilm before and after Treatment with Rhamnolipid using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

Figure 4 showed the SEM images of gold-coated biofilms under original magnification 7000x. Treatment
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Table 1. Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of rhamnolipid (triplicate data) against S. mutans biofilm

| Bacteria     | Biosurfactant concentration in each well (mg/ml) | Negative control |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|
|              | 10        | 5       | 2.5     | 1.25    | 0.625 | 0.313 | 0.156 | 0.078 | 0.039 | 0.02  | 0.01  |       |
| S. mutans    | -         | -       | -       | +       | +     | +     | +     | +     | +     | +     | +     | +     |

+ --- Presence of growth
- --- Absence of growth

Negative control: Broth only

of S. mutans biofilms with rhamnolipids resulted in the removal of the biofilm.

Further studies on the action of glycolipid alone or synergistically combined with other compounds such as antibiotics can give great importance in the study of biosurfactant in dental applications.

Table 2. Summarization of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimal Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) for rhamnolipid against S. mutans biofilm

| Bacterial strain | Antimicrobial agent | Rhamnolipid | MIC (mg/ml) | MBC (mg/ml) |
|------------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| S. mutans biofilm |                    |             | 1.25        | 10          |

Table 3. Contact Angle Measurements

The water Contact Angle (CA) formed on both solid surfaces before and after treatment with rhamnolipid was shown in Table 3. Water contact angles can be used as a qualitative indication of the surface material hydrophobicity, with higher values indicating a more hydrophobic surface. According to when water contact angle of a surface is more than 65°, it was considered as hydrophobic, therefore, polystyrene is hydrophobic and stainless steel is a hydrophilic surface. Before treatment, the materials presented a contact angle of 86° for polystyrene and 42° for stainless steel bracket, demonstrating the hydrophobic nature of polystyrene and the hydrophilic nature of stainless steel bracket. Both stainless steel and polystyrene surface after rhamnolipid treatment, showed reduction in CA (Table 4) indicating biosurfactant conditioning causes a change in the contact angle. Rhamnolipid treatment increases CA rendering the surface to more hydrophobic characteristics on stainless steel. The CA measurement showed that the conditioning treatment with rhamnolipid

Figure 4. SEM images of 24 h biofilms of S. mutans formed on polystyrene surface (a) without biosurfactant addition and (b) after 24 hour treatment with rhamnolipid at concentration of 10 mg/ml solution under 7000x magnification. Bar scale 10µm. *Arrow indicated the burst part of biofilm cells caused by rhamnolipid treatment.
reduces the hydrophobicity of the polystyrene surface down to 69°. The biosurfactant conditioning causes a change in the contact angle. These results showed the importance of the hydrophobic effect of the biomaterial surface in initial adhesion. Rhamnolipid treatment gives higher hydrophobic effect to the biomaterial surface that makes the biofilm easily dispersed.

**Table 3.** Inhibition of attachment of S. mutans biofilm to stainless steel braces conditioned with 10 mg/ml rhamnolipid and 0.12% (v/v) chlorhexidine

| Conditioning treatment | Percentage biofilm detachment (%) |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Rhamnolipid at 10 mg/ml | 9.88                              |
| Positive control (Chlorhexidine at 0.12%) |                                |

**Table 4.** Contact angle readings of orthodontic bracket and polystyrene surfaces before and after conditioning with rhamnolipid

| Conditioning treatment | Contact angle (%) |
|------------------------|-------------------|
|                        | Polystyrene       | Stainless steel bracket |
| Broth only (control)   | 86.50, ± 2.18     | 42.20, ± 5.2             |
| Rhamnolipid           | 69.40, ± 4.0      | 54.00, ± 0.9             |

4. Conclusions

This study demonstrated that the rhamnolipid was an effective antibiofilm agent that was able to disrupt the attachment ability of pathogen S. mutans biofilm and the percentage between commercial antibiofilm (chlorhexidine at 0.12% (v/v)) was not too much difference. The disruption effect shows to be dependent on biosurfactant concentration. However, the best result was obtained by the pre-conditioning with 10 mg/ml rhamnolipid biosurfactant on 96-well microtiter plate assay when the percentage of biofilm disruption showed 89.53%. However, there is a need for optimization in production of biosurfactants in large quantities to fulfill the market requirement. It was suggested that 10 mg/ml of biosurfactant concentration that need to be applied to the orthodontic appliances.
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