Prevalence and risk factors analysis for low back pain among occupational groups in key industries of China
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Abstract
Background: With the acceleration of industrialization and population aging, low back pain (LBP) has become the leading cause of life loss years caused by disability. Thus, it places a huge economic burden on society and is a global public health problem that needs urgent solution. This study aimed to conduct an epidemiological investigation and research on a large sample of workers in key industries in different regions of China, determine the incidence and distribution characteristics of LBP, explore the epidemic law, and provide a reference basis for alleviating global public health problems caused by LBP.

Methods: We adopted a modified epidemiological cross-sectional survey method and a stratified cluster sampling method. All on-duty workers who fulfill the inclusion criteria are taken as the research participants from the representative enterprises in key industries across seven regions: north, east, central, south, southwest, northwest, and northeast China. The Chinese version of the musculoskeletal disease questionnaire, modified by a standardized Nordic questionnaire, was used to collect information, and 57,501 valid questionnaires were received. Descriptive statistics were used, and multivariate logistic regression analysis ($p < 0.05$) was performed to explore the association between musculoskeletal disorders and potential risk factors.

Results: LBP annual incidence among workers in China's key industries is 16.4%. There was a significant difference in LBP incidence among occupational groups across different industries ($p < 0.05$). The multivariate regression model showed the following as risk factors for LBP: frequent repetitive movements with the trunk, working in the same positions at a high pace, trunk position, frequently turning around with your trunk, often working overtime, lifting heavy loads (i.e., more than 20 kg), education level, staff shortage, working age (years), cigarette smoking, use of vibration tools at work, body mass index, lifting heavy loads (i.e., more than 5 kg), and age (years). Physical exercise, often standing at work, and absolute resting time were protective factors.

*Correspondence: sumxin@niohp.chinacdc.cn; wangzx@niohp.chinacdc.cn

1 National Institute of Occupational Health and Poison Control, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s) 2022. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.
**Background**

With the development of science and technology and the acceleration of industrialization, significant changes have taken place in the working modes of workers. Workers generally suffer from work-related musculoskeletal diseases (WMSDs) caused by adverse ergonomic factors, such as repetitive operation, bad working posture, excessive force load, continuous muscle tension, and vibration contact. The World Health Organization defines this as “the health problems of muscles, tendons, bones, cartilage, ligaments, nerves, and other motor systems caused or aggravated by work activities, including all forms of health disease states from minor and short-term injuries to irreversible and incapacitated injuries.” Particularly, low back pain (LBP) is the most common condition.

Research shows that [1] about 80% of people globally have experienced LBP. It brings great pain to people, high medical costs, and has a significant impact on the social economy; particularly, the loss of working hours brings a huge medical and economic burden to society [2]. The number of years of disability caused by LBP is estimated to have increased by 54% globally from 1990 to 2015, thus becoming the leading cause of global disability [3]. In March 2018, Lancet published three consecutive reports calling for prompt measures to be taken against the global LBP problem [3–5]. In 2002, the International Labor Organization (ILO) explicitly added musculoskeletal diseases to the International List of Occupational Diseases (recommendation No. 194). Musculoskeletal diseases were further refined in the latest version of the list of occupational diseases approved by the ILO in 2010 [6]. Moreover, LBP has a high incidence in China, causing great harm and severe economic losses. The disease burden report of China and provincial administrative regions from 1990 to 2016 [7] ranked LBP as the first disease causing the loss of life years caused by disability from 2005 to 2016. Therefore, LBP is a global public health problem that needs urgent solution.

Therefore, this study explored the incidence and distribution characteristics of LBP by conducting a large-sample epidemiological investigation and study of key industries in different regions of China, and providing a reference for reducing global public health problems caused by LBP incidence.

**Methods**

**Source and study population**

This study covers seven regions: north, east, central, south, southwest, northwest, and northeast China. The selection of key industries is based on the representative industries closely related to WMSDs and mentioned in the previous literature, including automobile manufacturing, shoemaking, biopharmaceutical manufacturing, electronic equipment manufacturing, ship and related equipment manufacturing, petrochemical industry, construction, furniture manufacturing, coal mining and washing industry, animal husbandry, medical personnel, automobile 4S shops, vegetable greenhouses, civil aviation crews, and toy manufacturing; a total of 15 industries or working groups. On the one hand, inclusion criteria of the study population were based on workers who are over 18 years old, have worked for more than 1 year, have certain reading and writing abilities, and can understand the meaning of the questionnaire in Chinese. On the other hand, exclusion criteria consisted of people with congenital spinal malformations and patients without WMSDs, such as trauma, infectious diseases, and malignancy. This study has passed the ethical review of the Ethics Review Committee of The Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, and the informed consent was obtained from the participants. Moreover, all methods were performed per relevant guidelines and regulations. Data handling and storage are compatible with this law. All protocols were performed under the Declaration of Helsinki.

**Sample size determination and sampling procedures**

This study adopted a stratified cluster sampling method to select all on-duty workers meeting the inclusion criteria from representative enterprises in key industries in north, east, central, south, southwest, northwest, and northeast China. A total of 64,052 people were surveyed and 61,034 questionnaires were received, with a response rate of 94.6% (95% CI: 0.951, 0.955); a total of 57,501 valid questionnaires were collected, with an effective rate of 94.2% (95% CI: 0.940, 0.944).

**Data collection tool and procedure**

The incidence of WMSDs among occupational groups in key industries in different regions of China was investigated using the ergonomic evaluation and analysis system.
developed by the National Institute for Occupational Health and Poison Control, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The system includes four functions: electronic remote operation site ergonomics survey and evaluation tool, real-time data monitoring system, data transmission network, and background data terminal. The survey tool used in this survey is a built-in questionnaire in the system, namely the electronic questionnaire system of the Chinese version of the musculoskeletal disorders questionnaire, which is based on the Nordic Musculoskeletal Disorders Questionnaire (NMQ) [8]. After appropriate modification, it has been proven to have good reliability and validity, and can be used in the Chinese occupational population. The survey contents include:

1. General information such as age and years of service;
2. Occurrence of musculoskeletal symptoms;
3. Work type, organized form of work, and working posture.

The survey was conducted in an N. One investigator conducted a face-to-face survey with N respondents. The respondents scanned the QR code of the electronic questionnaire and answered questions online. After submitting the questionnaires, they were uploaded directly to the cloud database. Figure 1 shows the implementation process of the study.

Criteria for LBP
The NIOSH criteria for musculoskeletal injury [9] were adopted: pain, stiffness, burning, numbness or tingling, and other uncomfortable symptoms, which were consistent with:

1. Discomfort in the past year;
2. Discomfort after accepting the current job;
3. No previous accidents or sudden injuries (local effects and discomfort);
4. If discomfort occurred every month or lasted for more than 1 week, it was judged as a musculoskeletal disease in this part.

Data quality control
Quality control is conducted throughout the entire research process, including design, implementation, data collection, and data collation, to ensure the scientific nature of the research conclusions and the authenticity, validity, and reliability of the data.

Research design
Referring to the relevant literature, clarifying the research purpose, investigation methods, and other vital aspects, and taking appropriate measures to control the possible bias in research design.
II On-site investigation and measurement
Before the investigation, the investigators were strictly trained to fully understand the purpose and significance of the research and master the investigation and monitoring methods. During the survey, the investigators explained the purpose, significance, and requirements and conducted a face-to-face survey. The participants filled in the questionnaire and submitted it on the spot to ensure the authenticity, integrity, and high retrieval rate of information sources.

III Data collection
Investigators monitored the completion of the questionnaires to ensure that all surveyed information was from the participants themselves. The electronic questionnaire had a logical error correction to avoid unreasonable information. If there were blank items, the questionnaire could not be submitted. Thus, the investigator assisted the participants to fill in the blanks to ensure that the information is complete.

Data management and analysis
After the survey data were exported from the backend database, they were statistically processed using SPSS 20.0 statistical software. The measurement data adopted $\bar{X} \pm s$ indicators, and the single factor analysis of WMSDs adopts the $\chi^2$ test method, multivariate analysis was performed using an unconditional logistic regression model.

Results
Socio-demographic characteristics of the study population
This study covers seven regions in north, east, central, south, southwest, northwest, and northeast China. It covers 15 industries or operating groups: automobile manufacturing, shoemaking, biopharmaceutical manufacturing, electronic equipment manufacturing, ship and related equipment manufacturing, petrochemical industry, construction, furniture manufacturing, coal mining and washing industry, animal husbandry, medical personnel, medical personnel, automobile 4S stores, vegetable greenhouses, civil aviation crews, and toy manufacturing. The respondents were 57,501, including 37,240 men and 20,261 women. Of the 57,501 respondents, 7376 (12.8%) were in North China; 18,457 (32.1%) in South China; 3565 (6.2%) in Southwest China; 4391 (7.6%) in the Northwest; and 2011 (3.5%) in the Northeast. Among them 37,240 (64.8%) were men and 20,261 (35.2%) were women; male height: $171.10 \pm 10.34$ cm, weight: $67.83 \pm 15.98$ kg; female height: $159.57 \pm 9.74$ cm, weight: $57.24 \pm 13.72$ kg. The age of the total population was $32.32 \pm 9.16$ years, and the length of service was $7.51 \pm 1.19$ years. The educational level, marital status, body mass index (BMI), and smoking status of the total population are shown in Table 1.

Prevalence of LBP in key industries in China
The annual LBP incidence in key industries and workers in China is 16.4%. There was a statistically significant difference in LBP incidence among workers across different industries ($p < 0.05$). The LBP incidence in various industries from high to low were vegetable greenhouses (32.5%), toy manufacturing (27.3%), animal husbandry (26.0%), medical personnel (25.3%), biopharmaceutical manufacturing (21.8%), civil aviation crews (20.3%), ship and related equipment manufacturing (18.9%), coal

| Variables                  | Frequency(n) | Percentage (%) |
|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| Gender                     |              |                |
| Men                        | 37,240       | 64.8           |
| Women                      | 20,261       | 35.2           |
| Age (years)                |              |                |
| <25                        | 12,085       | 21.0           |
| 25-                         | 26,139       | 45.5           |
| 35-                         | 12,301       | 21.4           |
| 45-                         | 5802         | 10.1           |
| 55-                         | 1174         | 2.0            |
| Working age (years)        |              |                |
| <2                         | 16,061       | 27.9           |
| 2-                          | 12,072       | 21.0           |
| 4-                          | 7299         | 12.7           |
| 6-                          | 9717         | 16.9           |
| 8-                          | 12,352       | 21.5           |
| Education level            |              |                |
| Junior high school         | 15,369       | 26.7           |
| Senior high school         | 21,901       | 38.1           |
| University degree          | 19,231       | 33             |
| Graduate degree            | 1000         | 1.7            |
| Marital status             |              |                |
| Single                     | 20,997       | 36.5           |
| Married                    | 35,343       | 61.5           |
| Divorced/Widowed           | 1161         | 2.0            |
| Body mass index (BMI)      |              |                |
| <18.5                      | 6006         | 10.4           |
| 18.5-                      | 39,328       | 68.4           |
| 25-                        | 12,167       | 21.2           |
| Cigarette smoking          |              |                |
| No                         | 36,527       | 63.5           |
| Occasionally               | 10,111       | 17.6           |
| Frequently                 | 10,863       | 18.9           |
Factors associated with LBP

Univariate analysis showed that among the single factors, women, age, length of service, educational level, BMI, smoking status, and exercise were all related to the occurrence of LBP ($p < 0.05$). LBP is more common in women than in men. In the control group aged < 25 years, the risk of LBP increased with age before 45 years of age, decreased after 45 years, and slightly increased after 55 years of age. The risk of LBP increases with age, education level, and BMI. Occasional smoking and occasional or regular physical exercise may be protective factors for LBP. Among the workplace factors, frequently standing or kneeling at work, lifting heavy objects more than 5 kg to 20 kg, lifting heavy objects more than 20 kg, using vibration tools at work, working in the same postures at a high pace, bending slightly with your trunk, bending heavily with your trunk, frequently turning around with your trunk, always bending and twisting with your trunk, frequent repetitive movements with your trunk, and working in a bent posture for a prolonged time were associated with the occurrence of LBP ($p < 0.05$). Among psychosocial factors, frequent overtime work, staff shortage, and doing the same job almost daily, were associated with LBP ($p < 0.05$). Abundant resting time, deciding on the rest time independently, and working on rotation may be protective factors for LBP ($p < 0.05$). The results are presented in Table 2.

The multivariate logistic regression model showed that the influencing factors entered in the model are: frequent repetitive movements with the trunk, working in the same situations at a high pace, trunk position, frequently turning around with your trunk, often working overtime, lifting heavy loads (more than 20 kg), education level, staff shortage and working age (years), cigarette smoking, use of vibration tools at work, BMI, lifting heavy loads (more than 5 kg), age (years), physical exercise, often standing at work, and abundant resting time. The last three are protective factors. The results are presented in Table 3.

Discussion

This study investigated the epidemiological characteristics of LBP among occupational populations in key industries in China from January 2018 to December 2020, which is the largest population survey on LBP in China so far. It was found that LBP incidence in key industries or workers in China was 16.4%, which was slightly higher than that reported in other studies. According to the 2010 Global LBP disease burden study report [10], the global LBP incidence was estimated to be 9.4%, with the highest in Western Europe (15.0%), followed by North Africa/Middle East (14.8%), and Central and Latin America (6.6%). This study found that LBP incidence in greenhouse vegetable farmers was higher than that in other industries. Field investigations have shown that greenhouse planting is a challenging task. Greenhouse vegetable farmers work in greenhouses for at least three-quarters of a year. Owing to the greenhouse’s narrow working space, farmers are predominantly in a bad working posture, such as large forward tilt and bending of the back, and kneeling or squatting for a long time. In addition, owing to the low degree of mechanization of greenhouse operations, there are almost no power tools and auxiliary tools to use, resulting in more repetitive operations and heavy physical labor of greenhouse vegetable farmers. These operational characteristics increase the risk of LBP among greenhouse vegetable farmers. It is worth mentioning that the medical personnel in this survey were also found to have high LBP incidence. An increasing number of domestic and international reports have shown that the incidence rate of WMSDs among medical staff is generally high. This finding is consistent with the results of this study. A survey on WMSDs of dentists in Western countries from 2005 to 2017 showed that the incidence of WMSDs was between 10.8–97.9% and the prevalence in most studies was more than 60% [11], which is higher than the survey results of this study. This may be related to the LBP determination method used in this study. The NIOSH judgment method was adopted in this study. The four judgment criteria are stricter than those of the Nordic Questionnaire [8]. Therefore, the prevalence in this survey was slightly lower than that reported in other surveys.

In terms of individual factors, results showed that age, BMI, smoking status, sports, and other factors are closely related to the occurrence of LBP. The incidence of WMSDs increased linearly with age under 45 years. The cumulative effect can explain this result; with increasing age, the musculoskeletal system of the body shows a trend of degradation. The longer the length of service, the longer the exposure to risk factors. Therefore, acute or chronic loads act on the musculoskeletal tissue, resulting in injury accumulation and increased musculoskeletal diseases [12]. After the age of 45, the incidence of WMSDs showed a downward trend. The field survey found that the management of many enterprises will adjust the operation positions of front-line workers according to the age of workers; that is, front-line workers will be adjusted to auxiliary positions with
### Table 2: Univariate analysis of low back pain among occupational groups in key industries in China, 2018–2020

| Variables                        | Number of workers | Low Back Pain   | Percentage (%) | COR (95% CI)   |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Individual risk factors**      |                   |                 |                |                |
| Gender                           |                   |                 |                |                |
| Men                              | 37,240            | 5514            | 14.8           | 1              |
| Women                            | 20,261            | 3935            | 19.4           | 1.387 (1.326–1.451) * |
| Age (years)                      |                   |                 |                |                |
| <25                              | 12,085            | 1462            | 12.1           | 1              |
| 25-                              | 26,139            | 4577            | 17.5           | 1.542 (1.448–1.643) * |
| 35-                              | 12,301            | 2238            | 18.2           | 1.616 (1.505–1.735) * |
| 45-                              | 5802              | 964             | 16.6           | 1.448 (1.326–1.581) * |
| 55-                              | 1174              | 208             | 17.7           | 1.565 (1.334–1.835) * |
| Working age (years)              |                   |                 |                |                |
| <2                              | 16,061            | 1886            | 11.7           | 1              |
| 2-                               | 12,072            | 1857            | 15.4           | 1.366 (1.275–1.464) * |
| 4-                               | 7299              | 1292            | 17.7           | 1.617 (1.497–1.746) * |
| 6-                               | 9717              | 1853            | 19.1           | 1.771 (1.652–1.899) * |
| 8-                               | 12,352            | 2561            | 20.7           | 1.966 (1.843–2.098) * |
| Education level                  |                   |                 |                |                |
| Junior high school               | 15,369            | 2225            | 14.5           | 1              |
| Senior high school               | 21,901            | 3399            | 15.5           | 1.085 (1.024–1.150) * |
| University degree                | 19,231            | 3626            | 18.9           | 1.373 (1.296–1.454) * |
| Graduate degree                  | 1000              | 199             | 19.9           | 1.468 (1.249–1.725) * |
| Body mass index (BMI)            |                   |                 |                |                |
| <18.5                            | 6006              | 908             | 15.1           | 1              |
| 18.5-                            | 39,328            | 6414            | 16.3           | 1.094 (1.015–1.180) * |
| 25-                              | 12,167            | 2127            | 17.5           | 1.189 (1.093–1.295) * |
| Smoking                          |                   |                 |                |                |
| No                               | 36,527            | 6074            | 16.6           | 1              |
| Occasionally                     | 10,111            | 1453            | 14.4           | 0.841 (0.791–0.895) * |
| Frequently                       | 10,863            | 1922            | 17.7           | 1.078 (1.019–1.140) * |
| Physical exercise                |                   |                 |                |                |
| No                               | 17,947            | 3375            | 18.8           | 1              |
| Occasionally                     | 32,797            | 5116            | 15.6           | 0.798 (0.761–0.837) * |
| Frequently                       | 6757              | 958             | 14.2           | 0.713 (0.660–0.771) * |
| **Workplace risk factor**        |                   |                 |                |                |
| Standing often at work           |                   |                 |                |                |
| No                               | 8758              | 1284            | 14.7           | 1              |
| Yes                              | 48,743            | 8165            | 16.8           | 1.171 (1.099–1.248) * |
| Sitting often at work            |                   |                 |                |                |
| No                               | 25,385            | 4134            | 16.3           | 1              |
| Yes                              | 32,116            | 5315            | 16.5           | 1.019 (0.975–1.066) |
| Squatting or kneeling often at work |             |                 |                |                |
| No                               | 33,942            | 4750            | 14.0           | 1              |
| Yes                              | 23,559            | 4699            | 19.9           | 1.531 (1.465–1.601) * |
| Lift heavy loads (more than 5 kg) |                 |                 |                |                |
| No                               | 21,719            | 2787            | 12.8           | 1              |
| Yes                              | 35,782            | 6662            | 18.6           | 1.554 (1.481–1.630) * |
| Lift heavy loads (more than 20 kg) |             |                 |                |                |
| No                               | 33,670            | 4669            | 13.9           | 1              |
a light load or promoted to management positions such as team leaders. This may also explain the decline in the incidence of WMSDs. This survey found that the risk of LBP increased with increasing BMI. Houda Ben et al. [13] also found that BMI > 25 kg/m² was closely related to LBP occurrence. Dianat et al. [14] have also found that light BMI is a protective factor for LBP. Further, the survey found that occasional smoking and occasional

**Table 2 (continued)**

| Variables                                      | Number of workers | Low Back Pain | Percentage (%) | COR(95% CI) |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------|-------------|
| Use vibration tools at work                   |                   |               |                |             |
| Yes                                           | 23,831            | 4780          | 20.1           | 1.558(1.491–1.629)* |
| No                                            | 35,673            | 5267          | 14.8           | 1           |
| Working in the same postures at a high pace   |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 11,044            | 970           | 8.8            | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 46,457            | 8479          | 18.3           | 2.319(2.162–2.487)* |
| Trunk posture                                  |                   |               |                |             |
| Trunk straight                                 | 18,566            | 2001          | 10.8           | 1           |
| Bend slightly with your trunk                 | 31,361            | 5364          | 17.1           | 1.708(1.617–1.805)* |
| Bend heavily with your trunk                  | 7574              | 2084          | 27.5           | 3.142(2.934–3.365)* |
| Always turn round with your trunk             |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 20,584            | 2592          | 12.6           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 36,917            | 6857          | 18.6           | 1.583(1.508–1.663)* |
| Always bend and twist with your trunk         |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 33,046            | 4069          | 12.3           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 24,455            | 5380          | 22.0           | 2.009(1.921–2.100)* |
| Always make the same movements with your trunk|                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 27,365            | 2882          | 10.5           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 30,136            | 6567          | 21.8           | 2.367(2.258–2.482)* |
| Work in bent posture for a prolonged time     |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 17,966            | 2777          | 15.5           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 39,535            | 6672          | 16.9           | 1.110(1.058–1.165)* |
| Work organization factors                     |                   |               |                |             |
| Often work overtime                           |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 24,830            | 3385          | 13.6           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 32,671            | 6064          | 18.6           | 1.444(1.379–1.511)* |
| Abundant resting time                         |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 31,483            | 6766          | 21.5           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 26,018            | 2683          | 10.3           | 0.420(0.400–0.441)* |
| Decide the rest time independently            |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 47,308            | 8015          | 16.9           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 10,193            | 1434          | 14.1           | 0.803(0.755–0.853)* |
| Staff shortage                                |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 31,477            | 4123          | 13.1           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 26,024            | 5326          | 20.5           | 1.707(1.633–1.785)* |
| Do the same job almost every day              |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 7009              | 716           | 10.2           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 50,492            | 8733          | 17.3           | 1.838(1.696–1.992)* |
| Take turns with colleagues to finish the work |                   |               |                |             |
| No                                            | 28,980            | 4944          | 17.1           | 1           |
| Yes                                           | 28,521            | 4505          | 15.8           | 0.912(0.873–0.953)* |

LBP: Low back pain; Crude odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; *: \( p < 0.05 \)
or regular physical exercise were protective factors against LBP. This finding is consistent with the results of previous studies. Regular smoking aggravates LBP. Abdugad et al. [15] found that smoking is a risk factor for LBP. Smoking causes intervertebral disc degeneration by interfering with intervertebral disc metabolism, proteoglycan, and collagen synthesis, which may lead to LBP [16]. Previous studies have shown that [13, 17], a weekly regular physical activity can reduce LBP risk. According to the American Physical Therapy Association guidelines [18], moderate- to high-intensity exercises are recommended for LBP without pain, and low-intensity exercises for LBP with generalized pain. Research shows that [19] moderate physical exercise can enhance muscle strength and endurance, improve cardiovascular function, promote the diffusion of tissue fluid, ensure the absorption of nutrition by bone and muscle tissue, and alleviate muscle fatigue. Therefore, appropriate physical exercise may reduce the risk of LBP.

In terms of workplace factors, adverse posture operation, frequent repetitive movements as the trunk, working in the same posture at a high pace, bending slightly with the trunk, bending heavily with the trunk, frequently turning around with the trunk, and lifting heavy loads are risk factors for LBP, while often standing at work is a protective factor. Moreover, previous studies have shown that [20], stretching/overstretching and repeated bending at work may be risk factors for LBP. Studies have shown that [21] workers who need to repetitively bend at work are 97% more likely to develop LBP than those who do not.

Research shows that [22], long-term continuous poor posture while working can easily cause blood circulation disorder, serious insufficient blood supply in the spine area, and the inability of the muscles and bones to absorb nutrition, which can easily cause muscle tissue ligation strain. LBP can occur when there is a continuous low-load or short-term strong-load impact. Laboratory research shows that [23, 24], there is a positive correlation between heavy physical load and physical exertion. Coenen et al. [25] found that handling more than 25 kg per day could cause the annual incidence rate of LBP to increase by 4.3%.

In terms of psychosocial factors, this study shows that staff shortages and doing the same job almost daily can increase the risk of LBP, and abundant resting time and deciding the rest time independently can reduce the occurrence of LBP, which is consistent with previous research results. Research shows that [26] psychosocial factors play an important role in the development of LBP. High job requirements are closely related to the occurrence of LBP. Frequently working overtime, a fast work pace, and insufficient time to complete work can lead to WMSDs [27]. According to the 2010 National Health Interview Survey [28], female workers work 41–45 hours a week, and male workers work more than 60 hours a week, increasing the risk of LBP. Therefore, ensuring

| Variable                                      | Coefficient | Waldχ² | AOR  | 95% CI          | P value  |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|--------|------|-----------------|----------|
| Always make the same movements with your trunk | 0.451       | 254.409| 1.57 | 1.486–1.66      | 0.000    |
| Working in the same postures at a high pace   | 0.338       | 76.079 | 1.401| 1.299–1.512     | 0.000    |
| Trunk posture                                 | 0.285       | 208.993| 1.33 | 1.28–1.383      | 0.000    |
| Always turn round with your trunk             | 0.247       | 76.57  | 1.28 | 1.211–1.353     | 0.000    |
| Often work overtime                           | 0.173       | 45.938 | 1.189| 1.131–1.25      | 0.000    |
| Lift heavy loads (more than 20 kg)            | 0.154       | 26.14  | 1.166| 1.099–1.237     | 0.000    |
| Education level                               | 0.14        | 80.491 | 1.15 | 1.115–1.186     | 0.000    |
| Staff shortage                                | 0.138       | 30.886 | 1.147| 1.093–1.205     | 0.000    |
| Working age (years)                           | 0.116       | 162.307| 1.123| 1.103–1.143     | 0.000    |
| Cigarette smoking                             | 0.113       | 41.701 | 1.119| 1.082–1.158     | 0.000    |
| Use vibration tools at work                   | 0.087       | 11.129 | 1.091| 1.037–1.149     | 0.001    |
| Body mass index (BMI)                         | 0.082       | 14.221 | 1.086| 1.04–1.133      | 0.000    |
| Lift heavy loads (more than 5 kg)             | 0.075       | 5.345  | 1.078| 1.011–1.149     | 0.021    |
| Age (years)                                   | 0.032       | 4.576  | 1.033| 1.003–1.064     | 0.032    |
| Physical exercise                             | −0.125      | 43.315 | 0.882| 0.85–0.916      | 0.000    |
| Standing often at work                        | −0.178      | 22.741 | 0.837| 0.778–0.89      | 0.000    |
| Abundant resting time                         | −0.532      | 390.399| 0.587| 0.557–0.619     | 0.000    |

AOR adjusted odds ratio, CI confidence interval
adequate rest time can relax muscle tissue, reduce the pressure on the lumbar intervertebral disc, and prevent the occurrence of LBP. This study shows that autonomous work progress control is a protective factor against LBP. Domestic and international scholars have reported similar results. Werner et al. [29] found that a lower perceived decision authority (i.e., lack of rules, decision-making, and participation) is related to wrist WMSDs. If workers can decide the pace of their activities, theoretically, they can avoid activities that aggravate their symptoms and thereby allow healing to occur.

Limitations

Although this study is a large-sample population survey, it clarifies the epidemic characteristics of LBP in key industries in China and the associations between LBP and its risk factors, which provide essential data for the formulation of LBP prevention and control measures. However, this study has some limitations. First, the research participants are from 15 industries or working groups in China, and some key industries related to LBP have not been investigated; therefore, the deduction is limited. Second, due to this study’s cross-sectional design, it is impossible to make causal inferences between risk factors and LBP. Finally, because this study used a questionnaire survey and the time limit of the questionnaire survey was the past year, the resulting reporting bias and recall bias affected the results.

Conclusion

In summary, the incidence of LBP among occupational groups in key industries in China was slightly higher than that reported in other countries and regions. The risk factors that may lead to LBP mainly include individual factors, such as age, BMI, smoking status, and sports; workplace factors, such as poor posture while working and lifting heavy loads; and psychosocial factors, that is, staff shortages and monotonous repetitive work almost daily. Because of this, when making the global public health strategy for prevention, treatment, management, and research of LBP, decision makers and employers should consider the individual, workplace, and psychosocial factors mentioned above to make comprehensive ergonomic preventions and interventions.
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