INTRODUCTION

Brain arteriovenous malformation (AVM) is a congenital vascular lesion in which arterial blood flows directly into draining veins without capillary networking. The reduction in vascular resistance is generally due to the small diameter of the arterioles and capillaries, which causes direct flow from the arterial pressure to the venous structures resulting in increased blood flow accompanied by tortuous growth of the blood vessels. Apart from anatomical changes in the cerebral blood vessels, these processes cause significant hemodynamic changes in the brain. For example, they include reversed venous flow, venous hypertension, and hypoperfusion in the region around the lesion. Brain AVM is possibly a congenital disorder that occurs in the embryonic phase of fetal development. However, it is not an inherited lesion. Until now, the etiology is still uncertain. Although brain AVM has heterogeneity in vascular size and architecture, cases of multiple brain AVM in one patient are sporadic. The incidence rate is 1 in 100,000 population per year. Clinical presentations are headache, seizure, neurological deficit, and others that are caused by space-occupying lesions. The treatment of brain AVM consists of microsurgery resection, embolization, stereotactic radiosurgery to conservative treatment.

Methods: The design of the study was a descriptive non-analytical study. Data were taken from patients’ medical records, radiological records, and catheter lab data records at National Brain Center Hospital in 2015 – 2016.

Results: There were 32 patients with AVM. The characteristics of brain AVM in our study were mostly men (62.5%), productive age ranging from 20 – 29 years (31.25%), manifested as seizure (43.75%), presence with Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) score 15 (87.5%), and rupture of brain AVM nidus (59.38%).

Conclusion: Brain AVM predominantly occurred at a young age and the management varied on the Spetzler-Martin grading. In addition, the likelihood of rupture was influenced by the characteristics of the lesion, such as size, age, location of the nidus, and history of rupture of brain AVM nidus.
patients.

METHODS

This study was an epidemiological study using secondary data from medical records and radiology patients at the National Brain Center Hospital. The research design was descriptive non-analytic. The population in this study was all brain AVM patients at the National Brain Center Hospital who were handled and had complete medical records. Both identity, chief complaints, GCS, radiological data, and management were given in 2015 – 2016.

RESULTS

The total number of brain AVM patients was 32 people. Thirteen patients were treated in 2015, and nineteen patients were treated in 2016. Based on Table 1, 62.5% of the patients were male, the highest percentage in gender.

Based on Fig. 1A, The highest percentage of brain AVM by age was in productive (31.25%), ranging from 20 – 29 years. It was found that all brain AVM patients present with a GCS range between 14 – 15. Mostly, with full awareness of GCS 15 as much as 87.5% (Table 1). As can be seen in Fig. 1B, it was found that the highest percentage of rupture brain AVM by age was in ranges 10 – 19 years and 20 – 29 years, with 26.32% equally. The highest percentage of chief complaints was a seizure, which was about 43.75%.

The highest percentage of chief complaints was a seizure, which was about 43.75%.

The highest percentage of Spetzler–Martin grade in AVM rupture was grade I – II (52.94%). Meanwhile, the highest percentage of Spetzler-Martin grade in intact AVM grade III and grade IV – V with 38.46% equally. In rupture AVM, the most common nidus size was < 3 cm (58.82%) (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

Clinical consideration

The profiles of brain AVM patients at the National Brain Center Hospital in 2015–2016 comprised a total of 32 patients (Table 1). In 2015, there were 13 people, and in 2016, there were 19 people. The male gender occupied the most significant number (Table 1). In 2007, Yamada et al. reported that the highest number of brain AVM patients was men. Still, the incidence of recurrent rupture of the brain AVM nidus with a hazard ratio of 2.93 increased significantly in women (p < 0.05). In 1997, Mast et al. reported in their research that most brain AVM patients were female, and with a multivariate regression test, it was found that men had a risk of brain AVM rupture with a risk ratio of 9.2 times which increased significantly (P < 0.05).

The most extensive age range found in brain AVM patients is 20 – 29 years with 31.25%; this range is classified as the productive age (Fig. 1A). This statement is in line with research conducted by Yamada et al. in 2007 and Hernesniemi in 2008, where a productive period had a greater risk of brain AVM rupture. It was found that all brain AVM patients present with a GCS range of 14 – 15. Mostly with full awareness GCS 15 as much as 87.5% (Table 1). Based on the data obtained, in the table

Table 1. Prevalence of brain arteriovenous malformation with variable clusterization.

| Variable                | 2015 | 2016 | Total | %  |
|-------------------------|------|------|-------|----|
| Gender                  |      |      |       |    |
| Male                    | 6    | 14   | 20    | 62.5|
| Female                  | 7    | 5    | 12    | 37.5|
| GCS                     |      |      |       |    |
| 3 – 8                   | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0  |
| 9 – 13                  | 0    | 0    | 0     | 0  |
| 14                      | 0    | 4    | 4     | 12.5|
| 15                      | 13   | 15   | 28    | 87.5|
| Chief complaints        |      |      |       |    |
| Headache                | 2    | 8    | 10    | 31.25|
| Seizure                 | 6    | 8    | 14    | 43.75|
| Decrease of consciousness| 0   | 2    | 2     | 6.25|
| Hemiparesis             | 4    | 1    | 5     | 15.63|
| Cranial nerve lesion    | 1    | 0    | 1     | 3.13|
| Nidus condition         |      |      |       |    |
| Intact                  | 7    | 6    | 13    | 40.62|
| Rupture                 | 6    | 13   | 19    | 59.38|
| Management              |      |      |       |    |
| Conservative            | 4    | 3    | 7     | 23.33|
| Embolization            | 3    | 10   | 13    | 43.34|
| Microsurgery            | 0    | 4    | 4     | 13.33|
| Radiosurgery            | 4    | 0    | 4     | 13.33|
| Multimodality*          | 1    | 0    | 1     | 3.33|
| Unfinished              | 0    | 1    | 1     | 3.33|

* Combination of embolization and microsurgery

Figure 1. A. Prevalence of brain arteriovenous malformation with age clusterization; B. Prevalence of brain arteriovenous malformation rupture with age clusterization.
of the occurrence of bleeding in brain AVM patients based on age, it was found that the highest percentage in ruptured nidus conditions were in the productive age in the range of 10 – 19 years and 20 – 29 years, with 26.32% equally (Fig. 1B). 2,8

The most clinical symptom complained in brain AVM patients was seizures with 43.75% (Table 1). Seizures could be caused by mass effects with cortical irritation or flow characteristics causing the steal phenomenon, neural damage, bleeding, or gliosis. 9 Besides that, the headache was the second with 31.25%, and hemiparesis was the third with 15.63%, which could be caused by the mass effect to the motor cortex area or ischemia in the region. These complaints could be due to the mass effect of the brain AVM itself, brain AVM nidus rupture causing bleeding, hydrocephalus, or ischemia due to complications such as the steal phenomenon. 9

Radiological consideration

The nidus condition of brain AVM patients with a ruptured state was 59.38%. Usually, the complaint when they first came to the hospital was manifested as a hemorrhagic stroke (Table 1). In the table of brain AVM rupture based on Spetzler-Martin grading, it was found that the lower the grading, has the highest number of ruptured nidus (Table 2). In 2006, Stapf et al. reported that the size of the brain AVM nidus was a predictor of bleeding risk in untreated brain AVM patients. 8 Langer et al. in 1998, and Kader et al. in 1994 had a similar opinion that a smaller nidus size is more likely to come to the hospital with a hemorrhagic stroke manifestation. 10,11 Meanwhile, according to Mine et al., Stefani et al., Guidetti et al., and Laakso et al. stated in their cohort study that a large nidus size is a risk factor of AVM rupture. 12,13,14 In this study, the highest number of ruptures was found in patients with a nidus size < 3 cm (58.82%) (Table 2). This is probably due to the small size of the nidus; the manifestations have not yet resulted in complaints that are considered disturbing by the patient. When the nidus ruptures, it has significant implications as a result of the bleeding process itself. 1

Treatment consideration

Based on Spetzler-Martin's grading, brain AVM patients were divided into three grades. In this study, there were 40% of grade I – II, 20% of grade III, and 40% of grade IV – V (Fig. 2). Spetzler-Martin divided these grades based on the size of the nidus, the location of the nidus (eloquent), and the draining vein. This grading is related to the management that will be given to these patients. Grade I – II is managed with only one therapeutic modality, which is microsurgery or stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS). Grade III requires multimodality with adjuvant, which is endovascular embolization. It helps shrink the nidus, reduce the risk of bleeding and postoperative complications such as normal perfusion pressure breakthrough due to chronic low perfusion pressure in normal brain tissue surrounding the brain AVM nidus. 15 Grade IV – V do not have any definitive (conservative) management. In patients with brain AVM Spetzler-Martin grade IV – V, microsurgery can be taken in the case of recurrent bleeding or bleeding accompanied by a neurological deficit. In addition, if there is a high steal phenomenon or the presence of an aneurysm on the feeding artery, partial embolization can be viewed to reduce the risk of recurrent bleeding. 16 In our study, the most common treatment modality was embolization (43.34%) (Table 1).

CONCLUSION

Brain arteriovenous malformation (AVM) is a rare vascular lesion with an incidence rate of 1 case in every 100,000
population a year. However, the incidence of unruptured and asymptomatic brain AVM increase with the development of more advanced radiological support tools. The clinical manifestation of a brain AVM is mass effect that can be caused by bleeding due to brain AVM nidus rupture or mass effect caused by the brain AVM itself. Seizures and headaches are the most common clinical manifestation. Brain AVM predominantly occurs at a young age, and the management varies depending on the patient’s Spetzler-Martin grading. In addition, the likelihood of rupture is influenced by the characteristics of the lesion, such as size, age, location of the nidus, and history of brain AVM nidus rupture.
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