Differentially Expressed Circular RNAs in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells of Patients with Parkinson’s Disease
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ABSTRACT: Background: New noninvasive and affordable molecular approaches that will complement current practices and increase the accuracy of Parkinson’s disease (PD) diagnosis are urgently needed. Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are stable noncoding RNAs that accumulate with aging in neurons and are increasingly shown to regulate all aspects of neuronal development and function.

Objectives: The aims of this study were to identify differentially expressed circRNAs in blood mononuclear cells of patients with idiopathic PD and explore the competing endogenous RNA networks affected.

Methods: Eighty-seven circRNAs were initially selected based on relatively high gene expression in the human brain. More than half of these were readily detectable in blood mononuclear cells using real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction. Comparative expression analysis was then performed in blood mononuclear cells from 60 control subjects and 60 idiopathic subjects with PD.

Results: Six circRNAs were significantly down-regulated in patients with PD. The classifier that best distinguished PD consisted of four circRNAs with an area under the curve of 0.84. Cross-linking immunoprecipitation-sequencing data revealed that the RNA-binding proteins bound by most of the deregulated circRNAs include the neurodegeneration-associated FUS, TDP43, FMR1, and ATXN2. MicroRNAs predicted to be sequestered by most deregulated circRNAs have the Gene Ontology categories “protein modification” and “transcription factor activity” mostly enriched.

Conclusions: This is the first study that identifies specific circRNAs that may serve as diagnostic biomarkers for PD. Because they are highly expressed in the brain and are derived from genes with essential brain functions, they may also hint on the PD pathways affected. © 2021 Biomedical Research Foundation, Academy of Athens. Movement Disorders published by Wiley Periodicals LLC on behalf of International Parkinson and Movement Disorder Society.

Key Words: circRNAs; biomarkers; Parkinson’s disease; PBMCs; blood
The diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease (PD) is currently based on clinical diagnostic criteria and neuroimaging and is monitored by rating scales related to motor and nonmotor features. Rating scales are frequently subjective and influenced by periodic fluctuations in symptoms and effective symptomatic therapies, while neuroimaging techniques, such as dopamine transporter- single photon emission CT, offer a quantifiable measure of disease progression but are limited by practicality and costs. In addition, protein biomarkers, such as those based on alpha-synuclein (SNCA) and dopamine metabolic products, have yielded mixed results, do not reflect disease progression, and require an invasive lumbar puncture. Circular RNAs (circRNAs) are a newly recognized class of single-stranded regulatory RNAs that are formed by head-to-tail splicing in which a downstream 5’ splice site is covalently connected to an upstream 3’ splice site of an RNA molecule. The result is an enclosed nonpolyadenylated circular transcript. Due to the lack of free ends, which are normally targeted by 3’ and 5’ exoribonuclease, circRNAs are extremely stable with a half-life of more than 48 hours compared with approximately 6 hours for linear transcripts. There are different subtypes of circRNAs, including exonic, intronic, and exo-intronic. Exonic circRNAs are mostly localized in the cytoplasm, where they act as sponges for microRNAs (miRNAs) and RNA-binding proteins (RBPs), thus inhibiting their interaction with mRNA targets. In contrast, intronic or exo-intronic circRNAs are mostly localized in the nucleus and have few or no binding sites for miRNAs; instead, they function to control transcription. Interestingly, the cotranscriptional biogenesis of circRNAs has also been shown to reduce linear host mRNA levels and change downstream splice-site choice in some mRNAs.

circRNAs are widely conserved and more abundant in the brain than in any other tissue, with many being expressed in an organ-specific manner, along with their host genes, which are enriched with tissue-specific biological functions. For instance, brain circRNA host genes are enriched in neurotransmitter secretion, synaptic activities, and neuron maturation. Importantly, however, they are regulated independently from their linear counterparts, with 60% of central nervous system circRNAs being up-regulated throughout development, especially during synaptogenesis, whereas only 2% of their linear isofoms show this tendency. Recent studies revealed the deregulation of circRNAs in neurodegenerative diseases and neuropsychiatric disorders (reviewed in Mehta et al.). Furthermore, several brain-enriched circRNAs have been associated with pathogenetic processes of neurodegeneration. For instance, CDR1as (ciRS-7), a highly abundant circRNA in the brain, is down-regulated in the brain of patients with Alzheimer’s disease (AD). This circRNA contains 63 binding sites for miR-7; therefore, it is acting as an efficient sponge for it. Importantly, critical proteins for the neurodegeneration processes, such as the ubiquitin protein ligase A (UBE2A), which catalyzes the proteolytic clearing of toxic amyloid peptides in AD, and SNCA, which accumulates in PD/AD, are both targets of miR-7. More recently, another circRNA, circSLC8A1, was found to increase in the substantia nigra of individuals with PD and in cultured cells exposed to the oxidative stress-inducing agent paraquat. Importantly, circSLC8A1 carries seven binding sites for miR-128, an abundant and brain-restricted miRNA that governs neuronal excitability and motor behavior.

Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) inherit the same genetic information as brain cells and are armed with abundant signaling pathways that respond to pathological changes. Multiple studies have shown that genome-wide transcriptional and alternative splicing profiles in peripheral blood parallel changes in gene expression in the brain, reflecting broad molecular and cellular impairments. Therefore, PBMCs provide a powerful and minimally invasive tool for the identification of novel targets for neurodegeneration research. Considering that circRNAs: (1) are abundant in the brain modulating gene expression en masse, (2) are stable, (3) do not get modified like proteins and hence levels directly correlate with activity; and (4) can be accurately quantified by routine and fast laboratory methods, such as real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), suggests that they not only represent important constituents of the pathophysiologic processes implicated in neurological diseases but also excellent candidate biomarkers. The purpose of this study was to identify differentially expressed brain-enriched circRNAs in PBMCs from patients with idiopathic PD (iPD) and pinpoint competing endogenous RNA networks.

Subjects and Methods

Figure 1 provides a schematic representation of the workflow.

Study Population

This study included 60 patients with iPD and 60 healthy individuals in two separate cohorts. Patients were assessed with brain MRI or CT, and no relevant brain vascular lesions explaining the clinical phenotype were detected. The control group included spouses or unrelated companions of patients who had no known neurological disease, comorbidities, or PD family history. Individuals with concurrent malignant tumors, psychiatric disorders, collagen diseases, endocrine and...
cardiovascular diseases, or infections were excluded from this study, because these conditions are expected to alter the expression profile of transcripts. Patients affected by atypical parkinsonism were also excluded. All patients and control subjects were recruited from the National and Kapodistrian University of Athens’ First Department of Neurology at Eginition Hospital. PD was diagnosed by two neurologists according to the criteria of Postuma et al.¹ In all cases, essential demographic and clinical information, including the study questionnaire for motor and nonmotor manifestations of the disease, and rating scales (Hoehn & Yahr stage, Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE) cognitive impairment score < 26,³⁴ Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale part III (UPDRS III) in the on or off state) were collected and documented. The demographic and clinical features of patients and control subjects are summarized in Table 1. Levodopa equivalent daily dose (LEDD) was calculated for the patient group according to the criteria of Tomlinson et al.³⁵ The Eginition Hospital and Biomedical Research Foundation of the Academy of Athens ethics committees approved the study, and all participants provided written consent.

**Isolation of PBMCs**

PBMCs were isolated from whole blood by using density-gradient centrifugation using the Biocoll Separating Solution according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Biochrom, Cambridge, United Kingdom).

**Total RNA Extraction and RT-qPCR Analysis**

Total RNA extraction was performed using the RNAzol-RT reagent according to manufacturer’s instructions (Molecular Research Center, Cincinnati, OH). To improve the yield of the small RNA fraction, we added a polyacryl carrier (PC152; Molecular Research Center) during the extraction method. Reverse transcription reactions were performed in triplicate for every sample. Similarly, qPCR was performed in triplicate on the Roche Lightcycler 96 using the SYBR FAST Universal 2X qPCR Master Mix from Roche Sequencing and Life Science Kapa Biosystems (Wilmington, MA). For the differential expression analysis, we selected only those circRNAs that were detected in PBMCs with a crossing threshold (Ct) value below 30, for improved detection accuracy. All primers span the splice junction. Noncoding U6 small nuclear 1 (RNU6-1) and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) were used as endogenous controls.

**TABLE 1. Demographic and clinical profiles of healthy control subjects and patients with Parkinson’s disease**

| Variables                                      | Healthy Control Subjects | iPD                  | P Value |
|------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Subjects, n                                     | 60                       | 60                   | N/A     |
| Age (years), ±SD                                | 64.38 ± 1.335            | 64.73 ± 1.33         | 0.84    |
| Sex (M/F)                                       | 29/31                    | 29/31                | 1       |
| Age of onset (years), ±SD                       | N/A                      | 59.95 ± 10.97        | N/A     |
| Disease duration (years), ±SD                   | N/A                      | 4.78 ± 0.55          | N/A     |
| Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale part III (on/off state) | N/A                      | 25.77 ± 1.92 (42/18) | N/A     |
| Mini-Mental State Examination, ±SD              | N/A                      | 27.53 ± 0.56         | N/A     |
| Hoehn & Yahr, ±SD                               | N/A                      | 1.82 ± 0.10          | N/A     |
| Levodopa equivalent daily dose, ±SD             | N/A                      | 496.9 ± 59.71        | N/A     |

iPD, idiopathic Parkinson’s disease; SD, standard deviation; N/A, not applicable
The relative expression level of circRNAs was calculated using the $2^{-\Delta \Delta Ct}$ method between age- and sex-matched counterparts. Primer sequences can be found in Supporting Information Table S1.

**circRNA Selection Process**

Eighty-seven circRNAs were carefully selected by cross-examining the data from three genome-wide surveys.\(^4\,18\,36\) We chose circRNAs that had high expression in the brain (Rybak–Wolf score $>1,000$) and low or no expression in other tissues. The host gene expression was also taken into account in the selection process. Initially, based on genotype-tissue expression (GTEx) portal data, circRNAs for which host transcripts were specifically expressed in the brain were selected. However, we found that many circRNAs derived from these transcripts were not readily detectable in PBMCs. We therefore widened the analysis to host transcripts that are brain- or at least cerebellum-enriched (ie, not exclusively expressed in the brain). Last, we included six brain-abundant circRNAs deriving from host transcripts with low expression in the brain (UBXN7_circ_0001380, TMEM138_circ_0002058, ZNF292_circ_0001359, HAT1_circ_0008032, ZFAND6_circ_0000643, UIMC1_circ_0001558) and eight circRNAs that are hosted by brain-relevant transcripts that have been found to be deregulated in AD (CORO1C_circ_0000437, WDR78_circ_0006677, PHC3_circ_0001359, SLAIN2_circ_0126525)\(^37\) and autism (FAM120A_circ_0001875, CSNK1G3_circ_0001522, VMP1_circ_0006508, SMARCA5_circ_0001445).\(^38\) For the list of circRNAs analyzed, see Supporting Information Tables S1 and S2.

**circRNA Target Network**

The interactions of the differentially expressed circRNAs with miRNAs and RBPs were identified by obtaining data from the Circular RNA Interactome (CircInteractome) and Interactional Database of Cancer-Specific CircRNAs (IDCSC) databases, respectively.\(^39\,40\) CircInteractome uses the TargetScan algorithm to predict miRNA response elements (ie, miRNA-binding sites), while IDCSC hosts circRNA cross-linking immunoprecipitation sequencing (CLIP-seq) data for the different RBPs extracted from starBase database.\(^41\) The circRNA–miRNA and circRNA–RBP interactomes were then manually curated using the Cytoscape v.3.8.0 platform.

**miRNA Pathway Analysis**

The DIANA mirPath v.3 software suite was used to identify miRNA-regulated pathways. This software renders possible the functional annotation of miRNAs using standard hypergeometric distributions, unbiased empirical distributions, and meta-analysis statistics.\(^42\) Here, predicted targets from the DIANA microT-CDS algorithm with high-quality experimentally supported interactions were used to identify Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) molecular pathways, as well as Gene Ontology (GO) terms targeted by each miRNA. The combinatorial effect of deregulated miRNAs was identified by simultaneously selecting multiple miRNAs in the software. The default values ($P$ value threshold, 0.05; microT-CDS threshold, 0.8; false discovery rate correction option ticked) were used for the analysis.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad PRISM v5.0 and R v3.5.3. All data underwent a normality test (Shapiro–Wilk) and were found to be non-normally distributed. As a result, all circRNA data underwent a logarithmic transformation (with base 2), to better approximate the normal distribution. The parametric $t$ test was used to observe differences between healthy control subjects and patients with PD. We applied the Benjamini–Hochberg false discovery rate correction in the resulting $P$ values to account for the multiple numbers of tests. Spearman method with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was used to correlate circRNA expression levels with participants’ demographic and clinical characteristics ($P$ value threshold, 0.0005).

To assess the possibility that sex is a confounding factor, we applied the two-way ANOVA model to the log-transformed data (normally distributed) with sex as an additional factor. No difference in the circRNAs that were statistically significant was found.

Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were constructed, and the area under the curve (AUC) was calculated to evaluate the predictive sensitivity and specificity of PBMC circRNAs for PD diagnosis. The cutoff value for the ROC analysis was determined using the Youden Index. Data are presented as means ± standard error of the mean. circRNA selection was based on the stepwise removal approach. A logistic regression statistical model containing all available circRNAs as independent variables and PD status as the dependent variable was built. Then the circRNAs with the least contribution in the model (as determined by an $F$ test) were removed. This process continued until no further removals were possible.

**Data Availability**

The datasets analyzed during this study are all available from the corresponding author on request.
Results
circRNAs Are Differentially Expressed in PBMCs of Patients With iPD

The demographic and clinical characteristics of 60 healthy control subjects and 60 patients with iPD are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of 64.5 years and the sex ratio were the same for both groups. The disease duration for the PD group was 4.8 ± 0.55 years, and the MMSE score 27.5 ± 0.56. Initially, RT-qPCR was used to detect plasma levels of 32 circRNAs that are highly expressed by brain cells. It was anticipated that a sufficient quantity of brain-derived circRNAs would find its way into the plasma. However, only two circRNAs derived from RMST (at Ct 29) and PSD3 (at Ct 28) genes were detected. Using the same amount of RNA, this time extracted from human brain tissue, it was revealed that all circRNAs were readily detectable with an average Ct value of 26, demonstrating that all primer pairs were functional (data not shown). This indicated that brain-enriched circRNAs are not as abundant as brain-enriched miRNAs (the average Ct value for 21 brain-enriched miRNAs was 17.5 in the same human brain total RNA) and are not circulating in appreciable amounts in the blood (the average Ct value for the corresponding miRNAs in the plasma was 25).43

Based on previous studies showing that genome-wide transcriptional and alternative splicing profiles in peripheral blood cells parallel changes in gene expression in the brain, the levels of brain-enriched circRNAs were next assessed in PBMCs. We increased the number of primer sets to 87 and found that 48 were detected with a Ct value <30, safeguarding accurate and reproducible detection. These circRNAs were then analyzed for differential expression in healthy control and iPD patient samples (Supporting Information Table S3). After multiple comparison adjustment, six circRNAs were significantly altered in the PBMCs obtained from patients with PD compared with healthy control subjects. MAPK9_circ_0001566, HOMER1_circ_0006916, SLAIN1_circ_0000497, DOP1B_circ_0001187, REPS1_circ_0004368, and PSEN1_circ_0003848 were all down-regulated in the PD cohort (Fig. 2 and Supporting Information Table S3). The swarm plots for the 42 circRNAs whose relative expression was not significantly altered in the PBMCs of patients with iPD are shown in Supporting Information Figure S1.

Association Between circRNA Levels and Clinical Features, Age or Sex

Spearman correlation test was used to relate circRNA levels to iPD patients’ clinical features. We found no correlation between age at onset, disease duration, UPDRS III, MMSE, LEDD, Hoehn & Yahr, or patients’ on/off state and circRNA levels (Supporting Information Table S4; data not shown). Finally, correcting clinical scores with LEDD did not reveal any more associations (data not shown). In addition, there was no significant correlation between circRNA expression and age or sex in either healthy control subjects or patients with PD.

Discriminant Analysis
To evaluate the utility of PBMC circRNA levels in discriminating subjects with iPD from healthy control subjects, we performed ROC curve analysis. The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of a four-circRNA panel (SLAIN1_circ_0000497, SLAIN2_circ_0126525, ANKRD12_circ_0000826, and PSEN1_circ_0003848) were 75.3% (62.1%–85.2%) and 78% (65.8%–88%), respectively, and the AUC was 0.84 (Fig. 3).

Competing Endogenous RNA Networks
circRNAs can act as miRNA and RBP sponges for regulating gene expression. To explore the functional
role of the deregulated circRNAs, we identified all of their miRNA and RBP targets. Multiple miRNA binding sites are predicted for each circRNA, with SLAIN1_circ_0000497 and MAPK9_circ_0001566 having the most of the miRNA response elements (38 and 24, respectively) (Fig. 4A). Interestingly, five miRNAs were predicted to be sponged by half or more of the deregulated PD circRNAs. miR-526b and miR-659 are the top targets, sequestered by four deregulated PD circRNAs (Fig. 4A). Figure 4B shows the deregulated circRNA–RBP network. Like for miRNAs, CLIP-seq data obtained from starBase database revealed that the deregulated circRNAs have multiple RBP binding sites. MAPK9_circ_0001566 and HOMER1_circ_0006916 host the most of these sites with 60 and 49 sites, respectively. Interestingly, 29 RBPs were sequestered by four or more deregulated circRNAs.

circRNA–miRNA Pathway Analysis

To explore the biological pathways affected by the five miRNAs (miR-516b-5p, miR-526b-5p, miR-578, miR-659-3p, and miR-1197) sequestered by three or more of the deregulated circRNAs, we used the DIANA mirPath v3 tool to align miRNA predicted targets with KEGG pathways and GOslim categories. A priori gene union analysis of deregulated miRNA targets revealed 14 KEGG categories as significantly enriched; they included “thyroid hormone signaling pathway” \((P < 0.0015, 24 \text{ genes})\), “regulation of actin cytoskeleton” \((P < 0.015, 42 \text{ genes})\), “phosphatidylinositol signaling pathway” \((P < 0.016, 16 \text{ genes})\), “MAPK signaling pathway” \((P < 0.016, 46 \text{ genes})\), and “FoxO signaling pathway” \((P < 0.016, 26 \text{ genes})\) (Supporting Information Table S5A). Similar findings were obtained using a posteriori analysis (Supporting Information Fig. S2A). Thirty-nine GOslim categories that are controlled by the gene union of the deregulated miRNA targets were enriched following a priori analysis; these included “cellular protein modification” \((P < 3.93 \times 10^{-19}, 283 \text{ genes})\), “nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity” \((P < 7.74 \times 10^{-7}, 112 \text{ genes})\), “cytoskeletal protein binding” \((P < 3.91 \times 10^{-9}, 102 \text{ genes})\), “cell death” \((P < 7.91 \times 10^{-8}, 112 \text{ genes})\), “RNA binding” \((P < 6.93 \times 10^{-7}, 207 \text{ genes})\), and “response to stress” \((P < 3.72 \times 10^{-5}, 225 \text{ genes})\) (Supporting Information Table S5B). Similar findings were obtained using a posteriori analysis (Supporting Information Fig. S2B).

Discussion

We profiled brain-enriched circRNAs in peripheral blood from control subjects and patients with PD using a RT-qPCR-based approach for three reasons. First, primers could be designed to span the splicing junction, which guarantees that only a message from the circRNA is amplified. Second, RT-qPCR is the most sensitive method to accurately determine expression changes between cohorts; the alternative microarray approach is prone to errors at multiple levels and nearly always requires a follow-up RT-qPCR-based analysis to validate findings. Third, we probed circRNAs that are abundantly expressed in the brain; in this way, we could identify differentially expressed or spliced circRNAs that are more likely associated with the neurological processes in PD.

Insights Into the Differentially Expressed circRNA Genes

We initiated our study with 87 brain-enriched circRNAs from which more than half were confidently detected in PBMCs. From these circRNAs, six were differentially expressed in PD with a 17% decrease on average from healthy control subjects levels. These changes may appear subtle, but depending on the circRNA baseline expression levels and considering the relative importance of their multiple targets (transcription factors, RBPs, and miRNAs), as well as the added-up deregulation of the common targets, the biological outcome is expected to be significant.

It has been observed that the biological role of host transcripts reflects on the function of the circRNAs.17 We found that the host transcripts of the differentially expressed circRNAs are not exclusive to brain pathways; rather, they are housekeeping genes, whose functions are best characterized in the central nervous system because they are essential for neuronal homeostasis. A brief bibliographical overview of their properties follows.
Hsa_circ_0001566 is hosted by the mitogen-activated protein kinase 9 (MAPK9) gene. MAPK9/JNK2 is a member of the c-Jun n-terminal kinase 1–3 family robustly activated by environmental stresses, including the PD-related neurotoxins lipopolysaccharides, 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine, and 6-hydroxydopamine, to mediate neuronal degeneration. It is indispensable during brain development for neuronal migration, axonal sprouting, and guidance, as well as neuronal survival. Hsa_circ_0006916 is hosted by the homer scaffold protein 1 (HOMER1) gene. HOMER1 is a member of Homer 1–3 family constituting important scaffold proteins at the postsynaptic density that associate with a large number of Ca²⁺-handling proteins, including channels, receptors, and shank scaffolding proteins to regulate intracellular Ca²⁺ homeostasis. A single-nucleotide polymorphism in the promoter of HOMER1 has been associated with psychotic symptoms in PD. Hsa_circ_0000497 is hosted by the SLAIN motif family member 1 (SLAIN1) gene. SLAIN1 and SLAIN2 are microtubule-associated proteins that promote persistent microtubule growth by recruiting the microtubule polymerase cytoskeleton-associated protein 5 (CKAP5/ch-TOG) to microtubule plus-ends, and thus they are important for axon elongation in developing neurons. Recently, SLAIN1 was identified as a candidate gene for intellectual disability. Hsa_circ_0001187 is hosted by the DOP1 leucine zipper-like protein B (DOP1B) gene. DOP1B/DOPEY2/C21orf5 and its ortholog DOP1A interact with partner MON2 to retrograde transport endosomes from the trans-Golgi network to the Golgi. DOP1B is a candidate gene for mental retardation in Down syndrome, and copy number variations have been observed in AD. Hsa_circ_0004368 is hosted by the RALBP1-associated FIG. 4. Circular RNA (circRNA) target networks. Diagrams show (A) the predicted microRNAs and (B) the cross-linking immunoprecipitation (CLIP) sequencing that identified RNA-binding proteins that bind to differentially expressed circRNAs. [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]
The circRNA–miRNA network revealed five miRNAs that were predicted to be sponged by at least three down-regulated PD circRNAs. miR-659-3p is of particular interest because it targets progranulin, a neuroprotective and anti-inflammatory protein implicated in frontotemporal dementia.73-77 To explore the molecular pathways controlled by the five miRNAs, we performed in silico analysis of KEGG pathways and GOslim terms. KEGG categories revealed multiple signaling pathways (thyroid hormone, phosphatidylinositol, MAPK, FoxO) implicated in neuronal survival and plasticity and “Regulation of actin cytoskeleton,” which is central to presynaptic and postsynaptic assembly as overrepresented.78-81 GOslim analysis revealed “cellular protein modification,” “nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity,” “cytoskeletal protein binding,” “cell death,” and “response to stress” as overrepresented among the biological processes affected. “Cellular protein modifications,” such as phosphorylation, ubiquitination, truncation, acetylation, nitration, and sumoylation of PD-linked proteins, have emerged as important modulators of pathogenic mechanisms in PD.82,83 “Transcription factor” changes indicate that there is not only misexpression at the miRNA translation level by miRNA deregulation but also that there exists a second wave of en masse deregulation involving transcription-mediated changes. Finally, deregulation of fine cytoskeletal dynamics is expected to impair trafficking and intracellular signaling pathways and has been recognized as a key insult in the pathogenesis of multiple neurodegenerative diseases, including PD.84,85

Conclusions

We performed an RT-qPCR-based analysis on RNA extracted from PBMCs from a cohort of patients with PD and matched control subjects to identify deregulated circRNAs. The circRNAs investigated are highly expressed in the human brain. This is the first study of its kind in PD. The measurement of four out of six down-regulated circRNAs provided reasonable sensitivity and specificity for PD in this discovery cohort. The deregulated circRNAs form a robust set of brain-associated circRNAs that can now be further evaluated, along with other measures, as diagnostic and possible therapeutic targets for PD. In silico analysis provided a comprehensive guide of the pathways and processes they control, shedding light on their potential biological role. The impact of these findings will now await further exploration.
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Correlation Between circRNA Levels and Demographics

There was no significant correlation between the differential expression of a particular circRNA and clinical or demographic measures. Combined interactions with age and sex did not also appear to affect circRNA levels. These findings reinforce current knowledge that the etiology of PD is complex, involving a mix of genetic and environmental influences on aging brain. Similar findings have been observed in miRNA studies.62 Further, a pool of four circRNAs discriminated patients with PD from control subjects with an AUC of 0.84.

circRNA–RBP and circRNA–miR Interactions

In silico approaches were used to identify potential biological roles for the deregulated circRNAs by identifying the RBPs and miRNAs that are sequestered preferentially by them. Because the circRNAs were all down-regulated, it indicates that target RBP and miRNA functions will be enhanced in PD. The circRNA–RBP network, which is based on experimental CLIPS-seq data, revealed that 29 RBPs were bound by four or more deregulated circRNAs. Importantly, several of these RBPs are implicated in familial neurodegeneration, including Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein 1 (FMR1, fragile X syndrome and associated disorders), Ataxin 2 (ATXN2, spinocerebellar ataxia 2, late-onset PD), Fused in Sarcoma (FUS), and TAR DNA binding protein (TARDBP/TDP43) (amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, frontotemporal dementia).63-72
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