INTRODUCTION

The NADPH oxidase dual oxidase 1 (DUOX1) is highly expressed in differentiated airway and alveolar epithelia, and generates H₂O₂ in response to various environmental triggers as part of innate protective response against challenges to the airway.¹⁻³ Activation of airway DUOX1 mediates epithelial production of inflammatory mediators and mucus proteins, and promotes cell migration, as critical events in mucosal host defense and maintenance of airway epithelial integrity.⁴⁻⁶ events that largely depend on redox-dependent activation of cell signaling mechanisms involving Src family tyrosine kinases⁷⁻⁸ and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)-dependent pathways.⁹⁻¹¹ As increased expression and/or activation of Src and EGFR are well-known features of lung cancer,¹²⁻¹⁴ it is plausible that lung cancer may also be associated with increased expression or activation of DUOX1. Indeed, production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) from NADPH oxidases (NOX), including DUOX1, has been reported to promote genomic instability and alter signaling pathways involved in carcinogenesis,¹²⁻¹⁴ and cancer cells commonly produce elevated levels of ROS as potential mediators of mitogenic signaling and metastasis.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ Furthermore, expression of certain NOX isoforms is often increased in various cancers, although the distribution and expression levels are highly diverse across cancers, and the biological roles of different NOX isoforms in cancer development and progression are still poorly understood.¹²⁻¹⁴

In apparent contrast with these concepts, several recent studies demonstrated that DUOX1, as well as its maturation factor, DUOXA1, is frequently silenced in various epithelial cancers, including lung cancer, due to DNA hypermethylation within their promoter regions.¹⁸⁻¹⁹ The significance of DUOX1/DUOXA1 silencing in cancer is unclear, and might suggest a role for DUOX1 and/or DUOXA1 as a potential tumor suppressor, potentially by mediating ROS-dependent inhibition of cytokinesis.²² DUOX1 suppression may also be relevant for maintenance of cancer stem cells (CSCs), which typically contain reduced ROS levels as an essential feature for maintaining quiescence and self-renewal.²³⁻²⁴ Furthermore, as DUOX1 activation participates in epithelial Src/EGFR-mediated signaling,⁶⁻⁸ DUOX1 silencing might result in altered regulation of these kinases, with potential consequences for development of resistance against tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). In this regard, responsiveness of non-small-cell lung cancers to EGFR TKIs is strongly related to epithelial phenotype²⁵⁻²⁷ and acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs has been associated with epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), a critical feature of invasive and metastatic cancers that is associated with poor prognosis in lung cancer.²⁶⁻²⁸⁻³⁰

The present studies were conducted to address the potential association of DUOX1 silencing in lung cancer, with development of EMT and EGFR TKI resistance. Indeed, our results demonstrate that RNAi-mediated DUOX1 silencing in lung epithelial cells and the lung cancer cell line H292 induces loss of epithelial characteristics, increases features of EMT and promotes invasive properties. Conversely, DUOX1 overexpression in lung cancer cells was able to reverse EMT features and enhance epithelial characteristics. DUOX1 silencing was also found to promote EGFR

Dual oxidase 1 (DUOX1) is an oxidant-generating enzyme within the airway epithelium that participates in innate airway host defense and epithelial homeostasis. Recent studies indicate that DUOX1 is suppressed in lung cancers by epigenetic silencing, although the importance of DUOX1 silencing in lung cancer development or progression is unknown. Here we show that loss of DUOX1 expression in a panel of lung cancer cell lines is strongly associated with loss of the epithelial marker E-cadherin. Moreover, RNAi-mediated DUOX1 silencing in lung epithelial cells and the cancer cell line NCI-H292 was found to result in loss of epithelial characteristics/molecular features (altered morphology, reduced barrier function and loss of E-cadherin) and increased mesenchymal features (increased migration, anchorage-independent growth and gain of vimentin/collagen), suggesting a direct contribution of DUOX1 silencing to epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition (EMT), an important feature of metastatic cancer. Conversely, overexpression of DUOX1 in A549 cells was capable of reversing EMT features. DUOX1 silencing in H292 cells also led to enhanced resistance to epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitors such as erlotinib, and increased levels of cancer stem cell (CSC) markers CD133 and ALDH1. Furthermore, acquired resistance of H292 cells to erlotinib resulted in enhanced EMT and CSC features, as well as loss of DUOX1. Finally, compared with control H292 cells, H292-shDUOX1 cells displayed enhanced invasive features in vitro and in vivo. Collectively, our findings indicate that DUOX1 silencing in lung epithelial cancer cells promotes features of EMT, and may be strongly associated with invasive and metastatic lung cancer.
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TKI resistance and enhance features of CSCs, suggesting the significance of DUOX1 silencing in lung cancer as a possible indicator of lung cancers with poor prognosis or lack of responsiveness to common anticancer therapy.

RESULTS

DUOX1 silencing induces features of EMT

We evaluated a panel of non-cancer airway epithelial cells and various lung cancer cell lines for mRNA expression of DUOX1 and DUOX2, and observed a general loss of DUOX1 and DUOX2 in most lung cancer cell lines tested (Figure 1a; Supplementary Figure S1A), with the exception of the pulmonary mucoepidermoid cell line H292 and the lung adenocarcinoma cell line Calu-3, two cancer-derived cell lines that maintain many normal airway epithelial features and maintain DUOX1 expression. We therefore hypothesized that epithelial DUOX1 expression may associate with epithelial characteristics. Indeed, DUOX1 expression status in a panel of lung cancer cell lines strongly correlated with expression of the epithelial marker E-cadherin (CDH1, Figure 1a), suggesting that DUOX1 silencing in lung cancer is associated with loss of epithelial characteristics. To explore this further, we silenced DUOX1 in the DUOX1-expressing cell line H292, by stable transfection with DUOX1-targeted

Figure 1. DUOX1 silencing displays functional mesenchymal features. (a) Evaluation of DUOX1 and E-cadherin (CDH1) mRNA expression in normal non-cancer airway epithelial cells (black dots) and a panel of lung cancer cell lines (red dots). (b) Phase contrast brightfield microscope images taken of host cell line H292, H292 cells transfected with an empty vector (H292-shCTL) and H292 cells transfected with short hairpin RNA targeted against DUOX1 (H292-shDUOX1). (c) Trans-epithelial resistance (Ω cm$^{-2}$) characterization of each cell model. (d) Cell migration as measured by Donut cell migration assay. (e) Cells were seeded at various densities and allowed to grow in culture for 72 h. Post 72 h, cell viability via ATP production was determined in a luminescence assay. (f) Cells were grown on soft agar, and colonies counted to assess anchorage-independent growth. (g) Fluorescent images of EMT markers; SMA, smooth muscle actin. (h) Quantitative real-time PCR results of miR-200 family and transcriptional regulators of E-cadherin, ZEB1/2. *$P < 0.05$ and **$P < 0.01$ were calculated by Student’s $t$-test.
shRNA\textsuperscript{7} and selected three individual clones for use in subsequent studies. We observed that H292-shDUOX1 cells display altered morphological features compared with normal or control transfected H292 cells (Figure 1b), with loss of cobblestone appearance and intercellular junctions, and a more fibroblast-like cell morphology. Functional analysis also indicated a loss of epithelial characteristics of H292-shDUOX1 cells, with markedly reduced transepithelial electrical resistance (Figure 1c), reflecting loss of epithelial barrier function due to loss of epithelial adherens junctions that are maintained by E-cadherin. H292-shDUOX1 cells also display increased migratory ability, as measured using a recently developed ‘donut’ cell migration assay\textsuperscript{32} (Figure 1d; Supplementary Figure S1F), and using a scratch wound assay and a Transwell migration assay\textsuperscript{9} (Supplementary Figures S1C and D). In contrast, DUOX1 deletion did not significantly affect proliferation (Figure 1e; Supplementary Figure S1E). Moreover, H292-shDUOX1 cells also demonstrated markedly increased ability of anchorage-independent growth on soft agar (Figure 1f). These findings were consistent across the three separate H292-shDUOX1 clones. Together, these findings suggest that DUOX1 suppression can initiate the process of EMT.

Analysis of various molecular features characteristic of EMT\textsuperscript{33} revealed that H292-shDUOX1 cells showed markedly reduced expression of E-cadherin, and upregulation of the mesenchymal markers vimentin, collagen and smooth muscle actin, compared with corresponding controls (Figure 1g; Supplementary Figure S1B). Moreover, H292-shDUOX1 cells also displayed constitutive activation of Akt, an important common hallmark of EMT\textsuperscript{34,35} (Supplementary Figure S1B), as well as markedly reduced levels of miR-200b, miR-200c, and miR-205, and enhanced mRNA expression of EMT-promoting transcription factors ZEB1 and ZEB2 (Figure 1h), additional common molecular features of EMT.\textsuperscript{36,37} To confirm the association of DUOX1 silencing with EMT in multiple epithelial cell lines, we performed similar analyses after repetitive siRNA-mediated DUOX1 silencing over a period of 9 days in both H292 cells and the non-cancer bronchial epithelial cell line HBE1, which revealed a similar gradual loss of E-cadherin and induction of mesenchymal markers in both cell models (Supplementary Figures S2A and B). As DUOX1 silencing also resulted in reduced expression of the DUOX1 maturation factor DUOXA1, we determined whether silencing of DUOXA1 itself was able to cause features of EMT. However, similar siRNA targeted suppression of DUOXA1 did not induce loss of E-cadherin, although it did

---

**Figure 2.** DUOX1 silencing is associated with acquired resistance to the EGFR TKI, erlotinib. (a) Brightfield and fluorescent confocal imaging of host cell line H292 and erlotinib-resistant H292 cell model (H292-E90) displaying features of EMT. (b) Protein and RNA analysis of EMT markers. (c) High-performance liquid chromatography H₂O₂ measurements of ATP-stimulated H292 and H292-E90 cell lines. (d) Percentage of viable cells post treatment of chemotherapeutic, erlotinib. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 were calculated by one-way analysis of variance or Student’s t-test.
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DUOX1 silencing in H292 cells is associated with enhanced resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibition

The process of EMT has been associated with acquired resistance of lung cancers to chemotherapeutic drugs and to TKI of the EGFR. Because of the close relationship between DUOX1 and EGFR-dependent signaling, we explored the association between DUOX1 silencing, EMT, and sensitivity to the EGFR TKI erlotinib. First, we cultured H292 cells for prolonged periods of time in the presence of erlotinib to select sub-populations with acquired erlotinib resistance (H292-E90). Consistent with earlier reports, thus-cultured erlotinib-resistant cells displayed morphological and molecular features of EMT (Figures 2a and b), which were partly reversible by treatment with aza (Supplementary Figure S2). Importantly, compared with normal H292 cells, H292-E90 cells also displayed marked loss of DUOX1 protein and mRNA expression (Figure 2b), and loss of functional DUOX1 activity (Figure 2c), further supporting a close association between DUOX1 silencing and EMT. Also, while normal H292 cells showed impaired growth in the presence of erlotinib, both H292-E90 and H292-shDUOX1 cells displayed marked resistance to erlotinib up to 10 μM (Figure 2d), indicating that DUOX1 suppression may be an important determinant of acquired erlotinib resistance in lung cancers. Importantly, to rule out the possibility that enhanced erlotinib resistance may be related to acquired activating mutations in EGFR in our engineered cell lines, we verified by DNA sequencing that neither H292-E90 and H292-shDUOX1 cells contained the following detectable EGFR point mutations at exon 19 D761Y, V769L and N771T or exon 20 T790M or any insertion mutations on exon 19 (D770-N771) (Supplementary Figure S4).

DUOX1 silencing in H292 cells leads to enhanced CSC characteristics

One characteristic feature of EMT is altered expression of cell surface markers such as CD44 and CD24, typically involving increased CD44 expression and reduced CD24 expression. As established cancer cell lines are known to display heterogeneity with respect to CD44/CD24 expression, and contain CD44hi/CD24low sub-populations that are typically more mesenchymal in nature, we used fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) high-speed cell sorting to isolate CD44hi/CD24low sub-populations from H292 cells (Figure 3a; Supplementary Figure S5A) and confirmed that this sub-population demonstrated enhanced EMT characteristics compared with CD24low/CD44low cells. Moreover, CD44hi/CD24hi H292 sub-populations demonstrated marked suppression of DUOX1 mRNA and protein (Figures 3b and c; Supplementary Figure S5B), confirming the close relationship between loss of DUOX1 expression and EMT features. Conversely, analysis of H292-shDUOX1 cells as well as H292-E90 cells for mRNA levels and cell surface expression of CD24 and CD44 revealed that both H292-shDUOX1 and H292-E90 cells displayed reduced mRNA expression and cell surface levels of CD24, and enhanced mRNA and surface expression of CD44, compared with corresponding controls (Figures 4a and b; Supplementary Figure S5C), consistent with a shift toward a more mesenchymal phenotype in both cases.

The process of EMT is strongly associated with increased characteristics of CSCs, and CD44hi/CD44low sub-populations are thought to display increased CSC features, suggesting that DUOX1 silencing in lung cancer may also be associated with increased CSC characteristics. To address this, H292-E90 cells and H292-shDUOX1 cells were analyzed for the expression of two commonly accepted lung CSC markers, CD133 and ALDH1, using flow cytometry (Supplementary Figure S6). Indeed, both H292-shDUOX1 and H292-E90 cells were found to contain significantly increased populations of CD133+ cells compared with corresponding controls (6.61 ± 0.72% vs 3.25 ± 0.70% and 7.52 ± 0.85% vs 2.41 ± 0.16%, respectively) (Figure 4c). Similarly, H292-shDUOX1 cells also displayed an increased fraction of ALDH1+ cells compared with H292-shCTL cells (23.1 ± 5.2% vs 12.5 ± 0.6%), although no significant increase was observed in the corresponding counterparts (Supplementary Figure S7). Together, these findings demonstrate that DUOX1 silencing in lung cancer is associated with increased CSC properties.

DUOX1 overexpression reverses EMT features

Although our results indicate that DUOX1 silencing in lung cancer cells promotes EMT and CSC features, the question remains whether these features can also be reversed by DUOX1 overexpression. To address this, we used the alveolar
adenocarcinoma cell line A549, which displays low DUOX1 and E-cadherin expression and high levels of vimentin, and transfected them with DUOX1 cDNA (A549-pDUOX1; Supplementary Figure S8). As DUOX1 silencing was also associated with suppression of its maturation factor DUOXA1 (Supplementary Figure S2A), we similarly transfected A549 cells with DUOXA1 cDNA (A549-pDUOXA1) for comparison. Remarkably, compared with control A549 cells or A549-pDUOXA1 cells, A549-pDUOX1 cells displayed enhanced epithelial morphology with tight cell–cell contacts and increased cobblestone appearance (Figure 5a).

Accordingly, DUOX1 overexpression enhanced protein expression of E-cadherin and suppressed expression of the mesenchymal marker vimentin, as visualized by immunofluorescence and western blot analysis (Figures 5a and b). DUOX1 overexpression in A549 cells also enhanced E-cadherin and reduced vimentin mRNA expression (Supplementary Figure S9). Overexpression of DUOX1, but not DUOXA1, also inhibited migratory capacity of A549 cells in a donut migration assay (Figure 5c; Supplementary Figure S9E) and in a scratch wound assay (Supplementary Figure S8C). Finally, DUOX1 overexpression in A549 cells significantly suppressed their ability to form colonies in soft agar (Figure 5d). Total numbers of counted neoplasias are summarized in Figure 6d, and indicate that injection of H292-E90 or H292-shDUOX1 (average of all three H292-shDUOX1 clones) resulted in significantly greater numbers of neoplasias compared with their respective controls. Because our histological analysis does not identify the cellular origin of these lung lesions, invasion or engraftment of injected human tumor cells was also evaluated by quantitative PCR analysis of human ALU (hALU) repeat sequences, a DNA element not found in murine tissues. Indeed, mouse lung tissues with altered lung architecture and regions of hyperplasia also contained enhanced levels of hALU DNA (Supplementary Table S1). Although injection

DUOX silencing promotes tumor invasiveness

As the process of EMT is strongly linked with increased invasive or metastatic potential of lung cancer cells, we evaluated whether DUOX1 silencing was associated with increased invasive/metastatic behavior. First, H292-shDUOX1 cells and corresponding controls were evaluated in a spheroid invasion assay, which indeed demonstrated significantly increased invasiveness of H292-shDUOX1 cells into the surrounding extracellular matrix, compared with H292-shCTL cells or untransfected control H292 cells (Figure 6a). To address in vivo invasiveness, we injected three clones of H292-shDUOX1 cells, as well as H292-E90 and corresponding control cells, into the tail vein of male CD-1 nude mice and collected major organs after 12 weeks for analysis. Indeed, lung tissues of mice injected with H292-shDUOX1 cells or the EMT cell line H292-E90 displayed altered lung architecture with hypercellularity (Figure 6b) and regions of atypical hyperplasia or small localized neoplasias (Figure 6c; lower panels). No significant abnormalities were observed in livers or spleens (not shown). To evaluate quantitative differences in neoplasias or metastatic lesions within the lung, we sectioned the entire left lung lobe of each animal and examined series of sections separated by about 50 μm for the presence of neoplasias with diameter >120 μm (Supplementary Figure S11). Total numbers of counted neoplasias are summarized in Figure 6d, and indicate that injection of H292-E90 or H292-shDUOX1 (average of all three H292-shDUOX1 clones) resulted in significantly greater numbers of neoplasias compared with their respective controls. Because our histological analysis does not identify the cellular origin of these lung lesions, invasion or engraftment of injected human tumor cells was also evaluated by quantitative PCR analysis of human ALU (hALU) repeat sequences, a DNA element not found in murine tissues. Indeed, mouse lung tissues with altered lung architecture and regions of hyperplasia also contained enhanced levels of hALU DNA (Supplementary Table S1). Although injection...
of normal H292 or H292-shCTL cells did not induce significant increases in hALU DNA in mouse lungs compared with naive mice (n = 3), >5-fold increases in hALU DNA were detected in lung tissues after injection of H292-shDUOX1 cells in four out of seven cases, and after injection of H292-E90 (two out of three cases). Overall, these findings indicate that DUOX1 silencing in H292 lung cancer cells significantly enhances invasiveness and metastatic potential.

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of the present studies is that they establish the potential significance of frequent observations of epigenetic silencing of the NADPH oxidase DUOX1 in lung cancer and in other epithelial-derived cancers, and indicate that DUOX1 silencing is causally linked with the development or progression of EMT, and promotes invasive properties, as well as CSC features and resistance to EGFR TKIs. Our findings may be highly relevant for lung cancer, as the process of EMT is a critical determinant of tumor invasiveness and metastatic potential, and has been frequently linked with poor prognosis. Furthermore, associations of DUOX1 silencing with increased CSC properties and resistance to EGFR inhibitors have important implications for anticancer therapies and suggest that development of approaches aimed at preventing or reversing DUOX1 silencing may be clinically useful in targeting invasive or metastatic lung cancer. Moreover, although EGFR inhibitors are typically not recommended for use in treatment of lung cancers expressing wild-type EGFR, some patients with wild-type EGFR may also benefit, and identification of biomarkers to identify such patients would be desirable. In this regard, our studies suggest that lung cancers with wild-type EGFR and positive DUOX1 expression may benefit for EGFR inhibitor therapy. The clinical relevance of DUOX1 suppression in lung cancer is also supported by a recent study of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma, which indicated that higher DUOX1 expression strongly correlated with disease-free survival. However, as our various observations were based on experimental cell models, the clinical relevance of our findings...
with respect to, for example, tumor stage or progression is still unclear.

Our present findings appear to contrast previous findings by us and others,\textsuperscript{6,7} which indicated that inhibition of DUOX1 in epithelial cells attenuates cell migration in the context of epithelial wound injury responses, which involve the activation of DUOX1 by wound-derived signals such as ATP. The present findings, however, highlight a different scenario related to more gradual changes in epithelial biology and gene expression due to persistent loss of DUOX1, which leads to cell transformation with enhanced intrinsic cell motility, even though cells are less responsive to ATP-mediated wound responses.\textsuperscript{7}

In addition to silencing of DUOX1, lung cancers were also found to display silencing of DUOXA1,\textsuperscript{18} a maturation factor for DUOX1, which aids in its trafficking to the plasma membrane to function as a competent NADPH oxidase.\textsuperscript{2} Indeed, our findings indicate that RNAi-dependent silencing of DUOX1 also leads to suppression of DUOXA1 (Supplementary Figure S3A), which might contribute to observed EMT phenomena (for example, Figure 3).

Intriguingly, DUOXA1 was originally described as Numb interacting protein (NIP). Numb is a negative regulator of the Notch signaling pathway, which has an important role in airway basal cell differentiation.\textsuperscript{46} Uncontrolled Notch signaling has been associated with metastatic and aggressive epithelial-derived carcinomas, and nearly half of non-small-cell lung cancers display elevated Notch expression/signaling, often associated with suppressed Numb expression.\textsuperscript{47} DUOXA1/NIP expression often mimics the expression status of Numb and loss of Numb has been

Figure 6. DUOX1 silencing displays enhanced invasive properties. (a) H292-shDUOX1 cell spheroids display invasion into surrounding extracellular matrix (ECM)-like matrix after 4 days, arrows display cellular invasion into mock ECM gel matrix. Right panel shows average percent change in pixel area quantified over the course of multiple experiments. \*P < 0.05, \**P < 0.01. (b) H&E-stained lung sections of H292-shDUOX1- or H292-E90-injected mice present significantly altered lung architecture. (c) Localized areas of neoplasias or small engrafted tumors in H292-shDUOX1-injected mouse lungs. (d) Quantification of counted lung neoplasias >120 \(\mu\text{m}\) in diameter for animals injected with various cell models. \*P < 0.05 and \***P < 0.001 were calculated by Student’s t-test.
associated with disruption of the epithelial phenotype.\textsuperscript{58} In addition to regulating Numb, NIP/DUOXA1 also has an important role in, for example, myogenesis, with overexpression of NIP/DUOXA1 inhibiting differentiation and promoting apoptosis through enhanced DUOX1-dependent ROS production.\textsuperscript{49} To address the potential involvement of DUOX1, we used either direct siRNA silencing or overexpression of DUOX1, which did not significantly affect EMT (Supplementary Figure S2C; Figure 5). Therefore, although some molecular alterations associated with DUOX1 silencing may have resulted from indirect changes in, for example, Notch/Numb status due to suppression of DUOX1, the observed effects on EMT appear to be primarily due to loss of DUOX1 itself.

The mechanism(s) by which DUOX1 silencing results in increased EMT still remain to be determined. It is plausible that a loss of DUOX1 activation and H$_2$O$_2$-dependent redox signaling might impact on regulation of Src activation and EGFR-dependent signaling pathways, based on recent studies linking DUOX1 and Src/EGFR activation in epithelial wound responses.\textsuperscript{4,7,50} Indeed, expression and activation of Src and EGFR are often increased in lung cancer and form a common therapeutic target in many lung cancers,\textsuperscript{51,52} and acquired resistance to EGFR TKIs may be associated with increased nuclear localization of EGFR.\textsuperscript{51,52} However, our study did not indicate significant differences in overall ROS production in either DUOX1-deficient H292 cells\textsuperscript{7} or DUOX1-overexpressing A549 cells under basal conditions (Supplementary Figure S8C), unless DUOX1 was activated by external stimuli such as extracellular ATP. Although we cannot rule out a potential impact of basal DUOX1-derived H$_2$O$_2$ production in determining cellular outcomes, it is also possible that DUOX1 expression may control cell fate by redox-independent mechanisms, for example, by acting as a scaffold for EGFR or Src, similar to the recently described role of AKAP12.\textsuperscript{53} In addition, it is also plausible that altered DUOX1 status affects cellular redox-dependent mechanisms by more indirect effects, for example, by altered expression of other NOX isozymes. However, although DUOX1 loss or overexpression resulted in some modest alterations in mRNA expression of NOX1, 2 or 4 (Supplementary Figure S12), we did not observe consistent alterations that would point to a major role of other NOX isoforms in our observed outcomes. Future mechanistic studies will be required to address these various issues.

In summary, our findings indicate that DUOX1 silencing, a common finding in epithelial cancers, including lung cancer,\textsuperscript{2,20,21} may be a strong determinant of increased invasive or metastatic potential, as well as resistance to conventional anticancer therapies. At this stage, it is unknown how DUOX1 silencing relates to different types of lung cancer or with specific mutations in EGFR, KRAS and so on. Also, our findings appear to contrast with recent findings indicating a role for DUOX1-derived H$_2$O$_2$ as a cause of oxidative DNA damage and genomic instability in response to ionizing radiation of the thyroid, as a potential mechanism of development of thyroid cancer.\textsuperscript{13} However, it is plausible initiation of lung carcinogenesis by oxidative events related to DUOX1 may be followed by subsequent epigenetic DUOX1 silencing in established tumors, which in turn may serve to promote invasiveness or metastatic potential. Also, the observed association between DUOX1 expression and sensitivity to EGFR TKI may suggest that positive DUOX1 expression status in lung cancers may be suitable for therapeutic management with EGFR TKI, even if they express wild-type EGFR. Future studies are warranted to further decipher the mechanism(s) involved in epithelial DUOX1 expression and its relation to epithelial phenotype or development of EMT, which would allow for alternative approaches to therapeutically control DUOX1 expression as a potential treatment strategy in established lung cancer.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cell models and transfections**

NCI-H292 cells, a human pulmonary mucoepidermoid carcinoma cell line (American Type Culture Collection; ATCC), were propagated in RPMI 1640 medium with 10% fetal bovine serum/5% penicillin-streptomycin. DUOX1-deficient H292 cells (H292-shDUOX1) and corresponding vector control cells (H292-shCTRL) were generated and maintained as previously described.\textsuperscript{7} Three separate H292-shDUOX1 clones were expanded and utilized in these studies. Erlotinib-resistant sub-clones of H292 cells were generated essentially as described by Yao et al.\textsuperscript{38} by culture for 30 days in the presence of 5 μM erlotinib followed by 60 days in the presence of 10 μM erlotinib (LC Laboratories, Woburn, MA, USA). Selected resistant H292 cells (H292-E90) were maintained in the presence of 10 μM erlotinib and switched to regular growth media for assays. Alveolar adenocarcinoma cell line A549 cells (ATCC) were cultured according to ATCC guidelines, and grown to ~ 70% confluence in a 24-well dish (Costar, Corning, Corning, NY, USA) for transfection with 1 μg of cdNA clone of the following vectors: pCMV6-AC-GFP control vector (A549-pCCTL) (PS100010, Origene, Rockville, MD, USA); pCMV6-DUOX1-GFP (A549-pDUOX1) (RG206754, Origene); or pCMV6-DUOX1-GFP (A549-pDUOX1) (RG223832, Origene). Cells were transfected 2 × over the span of 6 days and then cultured in the presence of 250 μg/ml neomycin (G418 sulfate) (Calbiochem, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) for 14 days. Positive transfection of DUOX1 and/or DUOX1 in A549-pCCTL/A549-pDUOX1/A549-pDUOX1 cell lines was verified by western blot and qRT–PCR analysis.

Other cell lines used in this study include the following: NHBE (normal human bronchial epithelial cells) maintained as described previously;\textsuperscript{3,7} HBE1 (immortalized human bronchial epithelial cells) maintained as described previously;\textsuperscript{5,7} BEAS2B (human bronchial epithelial cells); Calu-3 (human lung adenocarcinoma cells); NCI-H1437 (human lung adenocarcinoma cells); NCI-H2170 (human lung squamous cell carcinoma cells); NCI-H82 (human lung carcinoma cells); NCI-H460 (human lung carcinoma cells); and NCI-H187 (human lung retinoblastoma cells). Unless indicated otherwise, all cell lines were obtained from ATCC and maintained according to established ATCC protocols, as well as surveyed for mycoplasma contamination.

**Trans-epithelial electrical resistance**

Cells were seeded in transwell culture inserts and grown to confluency. Trans-epithelial electrical resistance was measured using an EVOM epithelial voltohmmeter (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL, USA). Trans-epithelial electrical resistance values were corrected for background resistance provided by the insert and/or the media. Data are represented as mean ± s.d. **P** < 0.01 were calculated by two-tailed Student’s t-test. (n = 6; replicated 2 ×).

**Anchorage-independent growth**

Six-well culture plates were coated with 2 ml of a 50/50 mixture of 1% agarose and 2 × growth medium, and appropriate cells were collected, resuspended in 50/50 mixtures of 0.67% agarose and 2 × complete medium and seeded onto agarose-coated culture plates and placed in a 37 °C incubator. After 4 weeks, plates were stained with a 0.005% crystal violet and imaged, and colonies were counted. Data are represented as mean ± s.d. **P** < 0.01 were calculated by two-tailed Student’s t-test. (n = 6; replicated 2 ×).

**In vitro assays of cell migration and invasion**

**Scratch wound assay.** A linear scratch (~2 mm) was generated in confluent cell monolayers in 24-well culture plates, and detached cells were removed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and wound closure was monitored over a 24 h period. Wound areas were imaged and analyzed using NIH Image J software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA) to determine % wound closure as an indicator of cell migration. Data are represented as mean ± s.d. **P** < 0.01 were calculated by two-tailed Student’s t-test. (n = 6; replicated 2 ×).

**Transwell cell migration assay.** Cells were seeded in Boyden-like chambers containing 10 mm polycarbonate membrane inserts (8 μm pore size) (Nunc International, Penfield, NY, USA) that were pre-coated with 10 μg/ml fibronectin (Invitrogen). Following incubation for 24 h at 37 °C, non-migratory cells were removed from membrane with a cotton swab,
and migrated cells were stained with crystal violet for 30 min and extracted in 100 μl of 0.2% sodium acetate buffer (pH 4.5) for analysis of absorbance at 570 nm. Data are represented as mean ± s.d. *P < 0.05 were calculated by two-tailed Student’s t-test. (n = 6; replicated 2 ×).

**Donut cell migration assay.** Cells were seeded inside silicone circular gaskets at 10,000 cells per donut. Cells were maintained for ~24 h in complete culture medium to reach full confluence. Donuts were then removed and images were taken immediately following donut removal and 24 h later. Data were quantified using an ImageJ macro as described and provided previously.27 Data are represented as mean ± s.d. *P < 0.05 were calculated by two-tailed Student’s t-test. (n = 12; replicated 2 ×).

**In vitro spheroid invasion assay.** Invasive properties of the various cell lines were evaluated using a spheroid invasion assay (Cultrex Spheroid Invasion kit; Trevigen, Gaithersburg, MD, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Images were taken on an inverted Olympus IX70 brightfield microscope and subsequently the images were analyzed using NIH Image J software as defined in Cultrex protocol. Data are represented as mean ± s.d. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 were calculated by one-way analysis of variance (n = 18; replicated 2 ×).

**Western blot analysis.** Cells cultured in 24-well culture plates were lysed using 100 μl of 1× western solubilization lysis buffer (1% Triton, 50 mM HEPES, 250 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1.5 mM MgCl2, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 1 μg/ ml EGTA, 2 μM Na3VO4, 10 μg/ml aprotinin and 10 μg/ml leupeptin; pH 7.4) per well. Equal amounts of protein (20–25 μg; determined using bicinchoninic acid protein assay) were separated on Novex 10% Tris-Glycine gels (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA), transferred and electroblotted onto PVDF membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Membranes were incubated with different primary antibodies against E-cadherin (#3195; 1:1000; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA); Akt (1:1000; Cell Signaling); β-actin (#4970; 1:1000; Cell Signaling); vimentin (#5741; 1:500; Cell Signaling, Danvers, MA, USA); Collagen I (#3670; 1:1000; Cell Signaling); Collagen IV (#3671; 1:1000; Cell Signaling); Collagen III (#3760; 1:1000; Cell Signaling); DUOX1 (1:1000; kindly provided by F. Miot et al.); DUOX2 (1:500; Abcam, Cambridge, MA, USA); and E-cadherin (#3195; 1:1000; Cell Signaling). Membranes were washed three times in TBS-T buffer (25 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween 20; pH 7.4) and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies for 1 h. Membranes were washed three times again and subjected to chemiluminescent detection using SuperSignal West Femto Maximum Sensitivity Substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL, USA). Blots were imaged using Syngene G:Box system (Syngene, Frederick, MD, USA) and quantitated using NIH Image J software (NIH, Bethesda, MD, USA).

**RNA extraction, PCR and quantitative real-time PCR.** Total RNA was extracted with GeneJET RNA purification kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). 3T3 cells were cultured in 6- or 12-well plates, and treated with 5 μg/ml of anti-CD-24-Pacific Blue (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) or anti-CD44-Alexa Fluor 700 (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA). 3T3 cells were treated with 5 ng/ml of anti-human-CD133-AF700 (MACS, Auburn, CA, USA) or ALDEFLUOR reagent (0.5 μg per 100 μl; StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, BC, Canada). Data are represented as mean ± s.d. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 were calculated by one-way analysis of variance (n = 9; replicated 2 ×).

**Evaluation of CSC characteristics.** CSC markers were evaluated by multi-color flow cytometric analysis (FACS) on the FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA). Cells were stained with 0.5 μg per 100 μl of anti-CD-24-Pacific Blue (eBioscience, San Diego, CA, USA) and anti-CD44-Alexa Fluor 700 (Biolegend, San Diego, CA, USA). Data are represented as mean ± s.d. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 were calculated by one-way analysis of variance (n = 9; replicated 2 ×).

**In vivo tumor metastasis.** Charles River CD1 nude male mice (8 weeks) were injected into the tail vein with 1 × 106 of various cell lines (H292, H292-shCTL, H292-shDUOX1 and H292-E90) in 1 × sterile PBS, at random. Mice were weighed twice weekly and monitored for overall health up until 12 weeks post injection, after which mice were killed and tissues collected. Lung sections were cut at a thickness of 5 μm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) for visual observation of lung structure. To quantify total numbers of neoplasias, lung tissue blocks were cut at a thickness of 5 μm and stained for H&E, then tissue blocks were cut into 50 μm, and another 5 μm section was isolated for H&E staining. This process was repeated until the tissue block was exhausted. Each tissue section was examined and neoplasias > 120 μm were counted. Neoplasia size was determined by measurements taken on Olympus BX-50 imaging software (Olympus, Center Valley, PA, USA). Neoplasmi quantification was not performed under blinded conditions. Data are represented as mean ± s.d. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01 were calculated by two-tailed Student’s t-test (for H292, H292-E90 and H292-shCTL, n = 3 each; for H292-shDUOX1, n = 7). Genomic DNA from mouse lungs was isolated utilizing phenol–chloroform extraction method and 30 ng of DNA was further amplified by quantitative PCR for human ALU DNA sequences.58 All animal experiments were performed in compliance with IACUC regulations and guidelines.

**Analysis of DUOX1 activity.** DUOX1 activity was evaluated by extracellular H2O2 production in response to cell stimulation with 100 μM ATP (Sigma-Aldrich), as described previously.7 Data are represented as mean ± s.d. *P < 0.05 were calculated by one-way analysis of variance (n = 9; replicated 2 ×).
Analysis of EGFR mutations
To determine potential acquisition of EGFR mutations in various engineered cell lines, cDNA was PCR amplified for EGFR exon 18 (5'-GCT GAGTGACCCTTCTC-3' (F), 5'-ACAGCTGAAAGGACGCTG-3' (R)), EGFR exon 19 (5'-GCTGTTACATCCACCAACA-3' (F), 5'-GAGAAGAAGTTGGCCCT CAG-3' (R)), EGFR exon 20 (5'-CTCCTGCTGGCCCATCTG-3' (F), 5'-CATGT GAGATCCGTACCCTC-3' (R)) and EGFR exon 21 (5'-CGGATCGACAGCTC TTCCC-3' (F), 5'-AGGCAAGCTTGGCTCCT-3' (R)), purified and prepared for Sanger sequencing utilizing the forward (F) primers listed above. Samples were analyzed on an ABI Prism 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Thermo Scientific) at the University of Vermont DNA Analysis Core Facility.

Statistical analysis
All experimental procedures are reported with ≥4 individual replicates and replicated experimentally at a minimum of two times. All data are subjected to either students two-tailed t-test or a one way analysis of variance for the determination of statistical significance based on the set being analyzed. P-values < 0.05 (*), P-values < 0.01 (**). Correction for multiple comparisons were made using either Welch’s correction or the Holm–Sidak method depending on the data set. All data were calculated in Microsoft Excel 2010, Graphpad prism 6 or NIH ImageJ.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST
The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
We thank Dr Umadue Wesley (University of Wisconsin) for providing DUOX1 expression vectors, and Dr Jeffrey Speirs (UVM) for the hALU probe and primer. We thank Thomm Buttolph and Dr Sheryl White of the UVM Cellular and Molecular Biology Core for amplification and purification of DUOX1 overexpression vectors; Tim Hunter and Jessica Hoffman of the UVM Cancer Center DNA Analysis Facility; Dr Roxana del Rio in the UVM Flow Cytometry Core; and Dr Douglas Taatjes, Tim Hunter and Jessica Hoffman of the UVM Cancer Center DNA Analysis Facility; the authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES
1. Leto TL, Geisert M. Role of Nox family NADPH oxidases in host defense. Antioxid Redox Signal 2006; 8: 1549–1561.
2. Fischer H. Mechanisms and function of DUOX in epithelia of the lung. Antioxid Redox Signal 2009; 11: 2453–2465.
3. Hristova M, Habibovic A, Veith C, Janssen-Heininger YM, Dixon AE, Geiszt M. DUOX1-dependent oxidation of Src and ADAM17. J Cell Biol 2009; 183: 9455–9465.
4. Gorissen SH, Hristova M, Habibovic A, Sipsey PC, Janssen-Heininger YM et al. DUOX1 silencing promotes EMT in lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014; 111: 15535–15540.
5. Kalluri R, Weinberg RA. The basics of epithelial-mesenchymal transition. J Clin Invest 2011; 123: 225–234.
6. Sham D, Wesley UV, Hristova M, van der Vliet A. Airway epithelial cell migration and wound repair by ATP-mediated activation of dual oxidase 1. J Biol Chem 2007; 282: 3213–3220.
7. Yoo SK, Freisinger CM, LeBern DC, Huttenlocher A. Early redox, Sfr family kinase, and calcium signaling integrate response responses and tissue regeneration in zebrafish. J Cell Biol 2012; 199: 225–234.
8. Wang Z, Shao MX, Ueki IF, Nadej DA. Multiple TLRs activate EGFR via a signaling cascade to produce innate immune responses in airway epithelium. Am J Respir Cell Mol Physiol 2013; 49: 554–563.
9. Reungwetwattana T, Dy GK. Targeted therapies in development for non-small cell lung cancer. J Thorac Dis 2010; 2: 154–159.
10. Meitlitz J, Antony S, Wu Y, Juhasz A, Liu H, Jiang G et al. NADPH oxidases: a perspective on reactive oxygen species production in tumor biology. Antioxid Redox Signal 2014; 20: 2873–2889.
11. Ameziane-El-Hassani R, Talbot M, de Souza Dos Santos MC, Al Guzlan A, Hartial D, Bidart JM. NADPH oxidase DUOX1 promotes long-term persistence of oxidative stress after an exposure to irradiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015; 112: 5501–5506.
12. Block K, Gorin Y. Aiding and abetting roles of NOX oxidases in cellular transformation. Nat Rev Cancer 2012; 12: 627–637.
13. Kamata T. Roles of Nox1 and other Nox isoforms in cancer development. Cancer Sci 2010; 101: 1382–1388.
14. Gius D, Spitz DR. Redox signaling in cancer biology. Antioxid Redox Signal 2006; 8: 1249–1252.
15. Schreiber D, Alexandre J, Huang P. Targeting cancer cells by ROS-mediated mechanisms: a radical therapeutic approach? Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009; 8: 579–591.
16. Luxen S, Belinsky SA, Knaus UG. Silencing of DUOX NADPH oxidases by promoter hypermethylation in lung cancer. Cancer Res 2008; 68: 1037–1045.
17. Wu BK, Mei SC, Brenner C. RIF5-deleted DNMT1 enhances tumorigenesis with focal hypermethylation and global hypomethylation. Cell Cycle 2011; 14: 3222–3231.
18. Juhasz A, Ge Y, Markel S, Chiu A, Matsumoto L, van Balgooy J et al. Expression of NADPH oxidase homologues and accessory genes in human cancer cell lines, tumors and adjacent normal tissues. Free Radic Res 2009; 43: 523–532.
19. Ling Q, Shi W, Huang C, Zheng J, Cheng Q, Yu K et al. Epigenetic silencing of dual oxidase 1 by promoter hypermethylation in human hepatocellular carcinoma. Am J Cancer Res 2014; 4: 508–517.
20. Takahashi A, Ohtani N, Yamakoshi K, Iida S, Tahara H, Nakayama K et al. Mitogen signalling and the p16INK4a-Rb pathway cooperate to enforce irreversible cellular senescence. Nat Cell Biol 2006; 8: 1291–1297.
21. Ogasawara MA, Zhang H. Redox regulation and its emerging roles in stem cells and stem-like cancer cells. Antioxid Redox Signal 2009; 11: 1107–1122.
22. Zhou D, Shao L, Spitz DR. Reactive oxygen species in normal and tumor stem cells. Adv Cancer Res 2014; 122: 1–67.
23. Reddy SR, Chu W, Li S, Li L et al. Epithelial phenotype as a predictive marker for response to EGFR-TKIs in non-small cell lung cancer patients with wild-type EGFR. Int J Cancer 2014; 135: 2962–2971.
24. Thomson S, Buck E, Petiti F, Griffin G, Brown E, Rammarine N et al. Epithelial to mesenchymal transition is a determinant of sensitivity of non-small-cell lung carcinoma cell lines and xenografts to epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition. Cancer Res 2005; 65: 9455–9462.
25. Schreiber D, Alexandre J, Huang P. Targeting cancer cells by ROS-mediated mechanisms: a radical therapeutic approach? Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009; 8: 579–591.
26. Xiao D, He J. Epithelial mesenchymal transition and lung cancer. J Thorac Dis 2010; 2: 154–159.
27. Nayarwa M, Zhang H. Redox regulation and its emerging roles in stem cells and stem-like cancer cells. Antioxid Redox Signal 2009; 11: 1107–1122.
28. Zhou D, Shao L, Spitz DR. Reactive oxygen species in normal and tumor stem cells. Adv Cancer Res 2014; 122: 1–67.
29. Reddy SR, Chu W, Li S, Li L et al. Epithelial phenotype as a predictive marker for response to EGFR-TKIs in non-small cell lung cancer patients with wild-type EGFR. Int J Cancer 2014; 135: 2962–2971.
30. Thomson S, Buck E, Petiti F, Griffin G, Brown E, Rammarine N et al. Epithelial to mesenchymal transition is a determinant of sensitivity of non-small-cell lung carcinoma cell lines and xenografts to epidermal growth factor receptor inhibition. Cancer Res 2005; 65: 9455–9462.
31. Schreiber D, Alexandre J, Huang P. Targeting cancer cells by ROS-mediated mechanisms: a radical therapeutic approach? Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009; 8: 579–591.
32. Schreiber D, Alexandre J, Huang P. Targeting cancer cells by ROS-mediated mechanisms: a radical therapeutic approach? Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009; 8: 579–591.
33. Schreiber D, Alexandre J, Huang P. Targeting cancer cells by ROS-mediated mechanisms: a radical therapeutic approach? Nat Rev Drug Discov 2009; 8: 579–591.
39 Thomson S, Petti F, Sujka-Kwok I, Epstein D, Haley JD. Kinase switching in mesenchymal-like non-small cell lung cancer lines contributes to EGFR inhibitor resistance through pathway redundancy. Clin Exp Metastasis 2008; 25: 843–854.

40 Singh A, Settleman J. EMT, cancer stem cells and drug resistance: an emerging axis of evil in the war on cancer. Oncogene 2010; 29: 4741–4751.

41 Eramo A, Lotti F, Sette G, Pilozzi E, Biffoni M, Di Virgilio A et al. Identification and expansion of the tumorigenic lung cancer stem cell population. Cell Death Differ 2008; 15: 504–514.

42 Jiang F, Qiu Q, Khanna A, Todd NW, Deepak J, Xing L et al. Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 is a tumor stem cell-associated marker in lung cancer. Mol Cancer Res 2009; 7: 330–338.

43 Sarvi S, Mackinnon AC, Avlonitis N, Bradley M, Rintoul RC, Rassl DM et al. CD133+ cancer stem-like cells in small cell lung cancer are highly tumorigenic and chemoresistant but sensitive to a novel neuropeptide antagonist. Cancer Res 2014; 74: 1554–1565.

44 Chen SS, Yu KK, Ling QX, Huang C, Li N, Zheng JM et al. The combination of three molecular markers can be a valuable predictive tool for the prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma patients. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 24582.

45 Laurie SA, Goss GD. Role of epidermal growth factor receptor inhibitors in epidermal growth factor receptor wild-type non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2013; 31: 1061–1069.

46 Rock JR, Gao X, Xue Y, Randell SH, Kong YY, Hogan BL. Notch-dependent differentiation of adult airway basal stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2011; 8: 639–648.

47 Westhoff B, Calaluca IN, D’Ario G, Donzelli M, Tosoni D, Volorio S et al. Alterations of the Notch pathway in lung cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009; 106: 22293–22298.

48 Ostrakhovitch EA. Interplay between Numb and Notch in epithelial cancers: role for dual oxidase maturation factor. Eur J Cancer 2009; 45: 2071–2076.

49 Sandiford SD, Kennedy KA, Xie X, Pickering JG, Li SS. Dual oxidase maturation factor 1 (DUOX1) overexpression increases reactive oxygen species production and inhibits murine muscle satellite cell differentiation. Cell Commun Signal 2014; 12: 5.

50 Heppner DE, van der Vliet A. Redox-dependent regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor signaling. Redox Biol. 2016; 8: 24–27.

51 Li C, Iida M, Dunn EF, Ghia AJ, Wheeler DL. Nuclear EGFR contributes to acquired resistance to cetuximab. Oncogene 2009; 28: 3801–3813.

52 Brand TM, Iida M, Luthar N, Starr MM, Huppert EJ, Wheeler DL. Nuclear EGFR as a molecular target in cancer. Radiat Oncol 2013; 108: 370–377.

53 Su B, Gao L, Meng F, Guo LW, Rothschild J, Gelman IH. Adhesion-mediated cytoskeletal remodeling is controlled by the direct scaffolding of Src from FAK complexes to lipid rafts by SSeCKS/AKAP12. Oncogene 2013; 32: 2016–2026.

54 De Dekem X, Wang D, Many MC, Costagliola S, Libert F, Vassart G et al. Cloning of two human thyroid cDNAs encoding new members of the NADPH oxidase family. J Biol Chem 2000; 275: 23227–23233.

55 Munoz JR, Stoutenger BR, Robinson AP, Spees JL, Prockop DJ. Human stem/progenitor cells from bone marrow promote neurogenesis of endogenous neural stem cells in the hippocampus of mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2005; 102: 18171–18176.

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on the Oncogenesis website (http://www.nature.com/oncsis).