Gyrodactylus magadiensis n. sp. (Monogenea, Gyrodactylidae) parasitising the gills of Alcolapia grahami (Perciformes, Cichlidae), a fish inhabiting the extreme environment of Lake Magadi, Kenya
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Abstract – A new species of Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 is described from the gills of Alcolapia grahami, a tilapian fish endemic to Lake Magadi. This alkaline soda lake in the Rift Valley in Kenya is an extreme environment with pH as high as 11, temperatures up to 42 °C, and diurnal fluctuation between hyperoxia and virtual anoxia. Nevertheless, gyrodactylic monogeneans able to survive these hostile conditions were detected from the gills of the Magadi tilapia. The worms were studied using light microscopy, isolated sclerites observed using scanning electron microscopy, and molecular techniques used to genetically characterize the specimens. The gyrodactylid was described as Gyrodactylus magadiensis n. sp. and could be distinguished from other Gyrodactylus species infecting African cichlid fish based on the comparatively long and narrow hamuli, a ventral bar with small rounded anterolateral processes and a tongue-shaped posterior membrane, and marginal hooks with slender sickles which are angled forward, a trapezoid to square toe, rounded heel, a long bridge prior to reaching marginal sickle shaft, and a long lateral edge of the toe. The species is also distinct from all other Gyrodactylus taxa based on the ITS region of rDNA (ITS1–5.8s–ITS2), strongly supporting the designation of a new species. These findings represent the second record of Gyrodactylus from Kenya, with the description of G. magadiensis bringing the total number of Gyrodactylus species described from African cichlids to 18.

Key words: Lake Magadi, Alcolapia grahami, Gyrodactylus, Kenya, Soda Lake.

Research Article

Introduction

Fishes of the genus Alcolapia Thys van den Audenaerde, 1969 are African cichlids that occur in two of the most severe environments in the eastern African Rift Valley, namely the Soda Lakes Magadi and Natron, located in Kenya and Tanzania, respectively [53, 67]. These two lakes were once a continuous water body, separated ~10,000 years ago [7, 14, 22, 52]. Fish subsist in scattered lagoons and sites at the periphery of Lake Magadi where particularly extreme conditions
occur, which include pH up to 11, titration alkalinity >300 mM, osmolarity 525 mOsm, temperatures as high as 42 °C, and O₂ levels fluctuating diurnally between hyperoxia during the day and virtual anoxia at night [5, 28, 39, 41, 46, 53, 56, 61, 66, 68, 69]. Being a closed lake, the alkaline lagoons are recharged by hot geothermal springs where temperatures can reach as high as 86 °C. Despite these hostile conditions, a single species of cichlid fish, Alcolapia grahami (Boulenger, 1912), or the Magadi tilapia, inhabits the hot and highly alkaline waters of this lake [41, 62]. The Magadi tilapia has developed exceptional morphological and physiological adaptations, especially to cope with the high pH, extreme alkalinity, and the shifting oxygen availability. These include: (a) excretion of nitrogenous waste in the form of urea instead of ammonia through the ornithine-urea cycle [69], (b) an atypically thin blood–water barrier in the gills [38, 40], and (c) the use of the swim bladder as a primitive air-breathing organ [40].

Gills of African cichlids are often infected by monogenean parasites of the genera Gyrodactylus von Nordmann, 1832 and Cichlidogyrus Paperna, 1960. Using the conventional estimate of, on average, 1 monogenean species per fish host, the projected international diversity of the genus Gyrodactylus was proposed to be 20,000 species [4, 24]. Nevertheless, the number of Gyrodactylus spp. from African freshwater fish amounts to 35 [57], of which only 17 are from cichlids (Table 1). Thus, the species currently known denote only a fragment of the number of anticipated Gyrodactylus spp. in Africa, especially from cichlids. In contrast, even though Gyrodactylus is predicted to be more species, substantially more species of Cichlidogyrus have been described from African cichlids (122 according to Rehulková et al. [57]). Within Gyrodactylus, several morphological criteria are used to discriminate between species. These include metrics of haptoral sclerites, the form and shape of marginal hooks, the presence of additional haptoral elements (e.g., ventral bar processes), the number and arrangement of the MCO spines, and the morphology, and shape of the ventral bar membrane (e.g. [18, 24, 64]). The use of molecular approaches to support taxonomic studies of these parasites have also been implemented in the past few decades, but genetic data for several species are not yet available. Regarding the 17 species of Gyrodactylus from cichlids, for example, sequence data for only 13 are currently available. Only a single Gyrodactylus species has been described from Kenya, Gyrodactylus malalai Přikrylová, Blažek and Gelmar, 2012 from Oreochromis niloticus niloticus (L.) and Tilapia zillii (Gervais) in Lake Turkana.

Monogenean parasites have previously been recorded from systems with extreme physicochemical environments, such as Gyrodactylus salinae Paladini, Huyse and Shinn 2011 from the hypersaline Cervia Saline in Italy [45], and as such may occur alongside the highly adapted Magadi tilapia. Specimens of A. grahami collected at the Fish Springs Lagoon of Lake Magadi provided a unique opportunity to study this species for possible parasite infections. In doing so, an unidentified species of Gyrodactylus, adapted to, and thriving, in these extreme conditions, was detected. Thus, this paper represents the morphological and molecular description of a new species of Gyrodactylus, and the first record of a gyrodactylid parasite from the gills of the Lake Magadi tilapia, A. grahami from Kenya.

Materials and methods

Collection

Fish were collected (permit number NCST/RRI/12/1/MAS/99) from the Fish Spring Lagoon of Lake Magadi (Fig. 1B and C) in July 2013 and June 2018. Fish were specifically collected from the most peripheral pool (1 in Fig. 1C). Fish were euthanised on site by severing the spinal cord and the whole fish preserved in either formalin (July 2013) or absolute ethanol (June 2018). At the University of Johannesburg, gills were removed from the preserved fish and studied for the presence of parasites, with attached worms removed from the gills using fine dissecting needles and detached worms picked carefully from the fixative.

Morphometric analyses

Formalin fixed worms were washed in water, dehydrated in a series of ethanol (30%, 50%, and 70% ethanol), and subsequently mounted and cleared in glycerine ammonium picrate (GAP) [40] for examination of the haptoral sclerites and male copulatory organ (MCO). Some specimens were also stained with Horen’s trichrome [41] and cleared and mounted in lactophenol. Light microscopy, using both phase and differential interference contrast approaches, were used to study the shape and dimensions of sclerotized structures using a Zeiss Axiosplan 2 imaging light microscope with Axiosvision 4.7.2 software (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Switzerland). Micrographs were used to draw taxonomically important structures. The measurements of hamuli and other haptoral sclerites (28 point-to-point measurements) were taken according to Shinn et al. [60] and García-Vásquez et al. [15], and the measurements of the parasite’s whole body were taken according to Christison et al. [8]. All measurements are in micrometres unless stated otherwise, and presented as a mean and range in parentheses. Measurements of the haptoral sclerites are presented in full alongside the only other Gyrodactylus species described from Kenya (G. malalai) in Table 2. After analyses, worms were removed from the slides, dehydrated through a graded ethanol series, and mounted in Canada balsam for permanent storage [11].

Due to the fixation of samples in formalin and high concentration ethanol, SEM of whole worms did not produce viable results. To study the sclerites at higher magnification, worms were digested on a concavity slide using the digestion buffer from a DNA extraction kit, digested tissue removed, rinsed, and prepared for SEM [35, 42, 58–60]. Slides were gold sputter coated using an Emscope SC500 Sputter Coater (Quorum Technologies, Newhaven, UK) and studied with using a Vega 3 LMH scanning electron microscope (Tescan, Brno, Czech Republic) at 3.4 kV.

Molecular characterisation

For genomic identification, 10 ethanol fixed specimens were rehydrated, digested, and genomic DNA extracted using a NucleoSpin® Tissue kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany), following the manufacturer’s protocols. The region of rDNA spanning the 3’ end of 18S, ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2, to the 5’ end of 28S was targeted using primers BD1 (5’–GTC GTA ACA...
Table 1. Collection details, including author, host, and distribution, for all species of *Gyrodactylus* von Nordmann, 1832 described and recorded from African cichlids. Type hosts in bold.

| Species          | Authors                                      | Host                          | Country                          | Reference |
|------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|
| *G. aegypticus*  | El-Naggar and El-Tantawy, 2003              | *Coptodon zillii* (Gervais)   | Egypt                            | [10]      |
| *G. chitandiri*  | Zahradničková, Barson, Luus-Powell and Přikrylová, 2016 | *Coptodon rendalli* (Boulenger)  
*Ctenocrenilabrus philander* (Weber) | Chirundu, Zambezi River, and Lake Kariba, Zimbabwe | [70]      |
| *G. cichlidarum* | Paperna, 1968                                | *Sarotherodon galilaeus* (L.) | Accra Plain and Akuse Lagoon, Ghana | [47]      |
|                  |                                              | *Henichromis fasciatus* Peters | Accra Plain and Akuse Lagoon, Ghana | [47]      |
|                  |                                              | *Henichromis bimaculatus* Gill |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Coptodon zillii* (Gervais)   |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Sarotherodon galilaeus* (L.) | Accra Plain and Akuse Lagoon, Ghana | [49]      |
|                  |                                              | *Sarotherodon melanotheron* Rüppell |                               |           |
|                  |                                              | *Coptodon guineensis* ( Günther) |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Coptodon zillii* (Gervais)   |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Henichromis fasciatus* Peters | Mare Simenti, Niokolo Koba National Park, Senegal | [52]      |
|                  |                                              | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)  | Cultured stock, University of Stirling, UK | [13]      |
| *G. ergensi*     | Přikrylová, Matějusová, Musilová and Gelnar, 2009 | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)  | Vietnam Mekong River Delta       | [2]       |
| *G. hapekochromi*| Paperna, 1973                                | *Haplochromis angustifrons* Boulenger | Mare Simenti, Niokolo Koba National Park, Senegal | [52]      |
| *G. hildae*      | García-Vásquez, Hansen, Christison, Bron, and Shinn, 2011 | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)  | Tributary of the Baro River, Gambia, Ethiopia | [14]      |
| *G. malalai*     | Přikrylová, Blažek, and Gelnar, 2012         | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)  | Lake Turkana, Kenya              | [53]      |
|                  |                                              | *Coptodon zillii* (Gervais)   |                                   |           |
| *G. nyanzae*     | Paperna, 1973                                | *Oreochromis variabilis* (Boulenger) |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.) X  |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Oreochromis mwerensis* Trewavas |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Coptodon rendalli* (Boulenger) |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)  |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Oreochromis mwerensis* Trewavas |                                   |           |
|                  |                                              | *Coptodon rendalli* (Boulenger) |                                   |           |
| *G. occupatus*   | Zahradničková, Barson, Luus-Powell and Přikrylová, 2016 | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)  | Lake Chivero and Lake Kariba, Zimbabwe | [70]      |
|                  |                                              | *Pharyngochromis acuticeps* (Steindachner) |                               |           |
|                  |                                              | *Pseudocrenilabrus philander* (Weber) |                               |           |
| *G. parisellei*  | Zahradničková, Barson, Luus-Powell and Přikrylová, 2016 | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)  | Lake Chivero and Lake Kariba, Zimbabwe | [70]      |
|                  |                                              | *Pseudocrenilabrus philander* (Weber) |                               |           |
| *G. sharifi*     | Cone, Arthur and Bondad-Reantaso, 1995       | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)  | University of the Philippines, Visayas, nIloilo Province, Philippines | [9]       |
| *G. sturbaueri*  | Vanhove, Snoeks, Volckaert and Huys, 2011    | *Simochromis diagramma* ( Günther) | Kalambo Lodge, Lake Tanganyika, Zambia | [64]      |
|                  |                                              | *Pseudocrenilabrus philander* (Weber) | Chirundu, Zambezi River, Zimbabwe | [70]      |

(Continued on next page)
Table 1. (Continued)

| Species   | Authors                                | Host                              | Country                                      | Reference |
|-----------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------|
| *G. thlapi* | Christison, Shinn and van As, 2005     | *Pseudocrenilabrus philander* (Weber) | Shakawe, Sepopa and Seronga, Okavango Delta, Botswana | [8]       |
| *G. thysi*  | Vanhove, Snoeks, Volckaert and Huys, 2011 | *Pseudocrenilabrus philander* (Weber) | Baberspan Wetland, South Africa               | [63]      |
| *G. ulinganisus* | Garcia-Vásquez, Hansen, Christison, Bron and Shinn, 2011 | *Simochromis diagramma* (Günther) | Kalambo Lodge, Lake                          | [64]      |
| *G. yacatli* | Garcia-Vásquez, Hansen, Christison, Bron and Shinn, 2011 | *Oreochromis mossambicus* (Peters) | Welgevallen, Stellenbosch, South Africa      | [14]      |
| *G. zimbae* | Vanhove, Snoeks, Volckaert and Huys, 2011 | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)       | Gania de Pucté, Municipal de Chablé, Tabasco, Mexico | [14]      |
|            |                                        | *Oreochromis niloticus* (L.)       | Merida, Mexico                               |           |
|            |                                        | *Pseudocrenilabrus philander* (Weber) | Culiacan, Mexico                            |           |
|            |                                        | *Simochromis diagramma* (Günther) | Chirundu, Zambezi River, Zimbabwe            | [70]      |
|            |                                        | *Ctenochromis horei* (Günther)     | Kalambo Lodge, Lake                         | [64]      |

* Nomen nudum.

Figure 1. Collection sites from which *Alcolapia grahani* were collected. (A) Silhouette of Africa showing area of study; (B) map of study area indicating countries, water bodies, and relation of Lake Magadi to Nairobi; (C) fish spring lagoon of Lake Magadi from which the fish specimens were collected.
Table 2. Morphological measurements of *Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp. from the Magadi tilapia, *Alcolapia grahami* collected from Lake Magadi, Kenya. Data are presented alongside data for the only other previously described *Gyrodactylus* species from Kenya. Data compiled from previous study directly transposed as noted by author.

| Measurement                  | *G. magadiensis* n. sp.          | *G. malalai*                      |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
|                              | *n* = 24 (Present study)         | *n* = 18 (Přikrylová et al. [53])|
| Total body length            | 267 ± 53 (202–387)               | 792 ± 74.8 (666–876)             |
| Total body width             | 65 ± 17.6 (41.4–100)             | 118 ± 13.7 (98–136)              |
| Pharynx length               | 21.1 ± 4.6 (13.8–29.7)           | 42.5 ± 6.2 (34–49.5)             |
| Pharynx width                | 19.7 ± 4 (13.6–28.2)             | 37.2 ± 6.4 (26.5–44)             |
| Posterior pharynx length     | 15.6 ± 2 (13.5–18)               |                                  |
| Posterior pharynx width      | 13.2 ± 2.2 (11–15)               |                                  |
| MCO length                   | 11.3 ± 1.9 (9.6–14)              |                                  |
| MCO width                    | 8.5 ± 1.3 (6.9–10.2)             |                                  |
| Hamulus                      | 1L, 6S                           | IL, 4–5S                         |
| Total length                 | 62.8 ± 5.6 (53.6–73.7)           | 109 ± 3.9 (102–116.5)            |
| Aperture                     | 31 ± 2.2 (27.3–35.3)             |                                  |
| Point shaft width            | 6.9 ± 0.9 (5.3–8.7)              |                                  |
| Point length                 | 24.2 ± 2.4 (19.9–29.5)           | 40.2 ± 2.9 (36–49)               |
| Distal shaft width           | 3.5 ± 0.5 (2.6–4.6)              |                                  |
| Shaft length                 | 38.7 ± 2.2 (34.9–43.6)           | 74.5 ± 2.8 (68.5–78)             |
| Inner curve length           | 24.9 ± 2.3 (21.4–30.2)           |                                  |
| Aperture angle               | 60 ± 7.4 (50.1–78.1)             |                                  |
| Point curve angle            | 23.7 ± 5.4 (11.1–36.4)           |                                  |
| Inner aperture angle         | 66.8 ± 8.5 (55.9–85.5)           |                                  |
| Root length                  | 22.3 ± 3.4 (16.9–29.9)           | 45 ± 5.5 (32.5–54)               |
| Ventral bar                  |                                  |                                  |
| Length                       | 27.5 ± 2.7 (22.6–33.3)           |                                  |
| Width                        | 20.8 ± 3.5 (14.6–26.4)           | 16 ± 1.5 (23.5–28.5)             |
| Process to mid–length        | 3.5 ± 0.9 (2.1–5.1)              | 8.3 ± 0.6 (7.5–9.5)              |
| Mid–length                   | 5.2 ± 1 (3.5–7)                  |                                  |
| Process length               | 3 ± 0.5 (2–4)                    |                                  |
| Membrane length              | 18.7 ± 1.6 (15.9–22.8)           | 14.5 ± 1.4 (12.5–16.5)           |
| Dorsal bar                   |                                  |                                  |
| Length                       | 2.6 ± 0.5 (2.1–3.6)              | 2.3 ± 0.2 (2–2.5)                |
| Width                        | 13 ± 1.9 (9.8–17.8)              | 23.5 ± 1.1 (22.5–25)             |
| Marginal hook                |                                  |                                  |
| Total length                 | 27.7 ± 2.5 (23.4–31)             | 32 ± 0.6 (31.5–33)               |
| Shaft length                 | 23.1 ± 2.3 (18.9–26)             | 23.5 ± 0.7 (23–24.5)             |
| Sickle length                | 5.4 ± 0.4 (4.7–6.1)              | 8.5 ± 0.3 (8–9)                  |
| Sickle point width           | 4 ± 0.5 (3–4.7)                  | 6 ± 0.3 (5.5–6.5)                |
| Toe length                   | 1.8 ± 0.2 (1.5–2.2)              |                                  |
| Sickle distal width          | 3.4 ± 0.3 (2.9–4)                | 7.3 ± 0.5 (6.5–8)                |
| Aperture                     | 4.4 ± 0.5 (3.6–5.1)              | 8 ± 0.5 (7.5–9)                  |
| Instep/arch height           | 0.8 ± 0.1 (0.6–1)                |                                  |
| Filament loop                | 8 ± 0.8 (6.5–9.2)                |                                  |

AGG TTT CGG TA–3’) and BD2 (5’–TAT GCT TAA (G/A) TT CAG CGG GT–3’) [34]. PCR was performed under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94 °C for 5 min, 30 cycles of 94 °C for 30 s, 56 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 1 min, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min as per Luo et al. [33]. Successful amplification was verified using 1% agarose gel impregnated with GelRed (Biotium, Hayward, USA), and amplicons where sequenced using BigDye v3.1 chemistry (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA), following Avenant-Oldewage et al. [3]. Sequencing was performed on an ABI3730 automated sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA). Electropherograms were inspected and edited manually (if required) using Geneious R6 [30] and deposited in GenBank. Obtained sequences were aligned to sequence data for the 10 most closely related *Gyrodactylus* species as determined using BLASTn [1]. All sequences used (Table 3) were aligned using MAFFT [28, 29] via the EMBL-EBI portal. Aligned sequence data were analysed using MEGA 7 [32]. Genetic divergence among species of *Gyrodactylus* was estimated using uncorrected p-distances. Phylogenies were constructed with maximum likelihood (ML) methods, using the Tamura three-parameter model (5 categories +G, parameter = 0.3415) (determined by the Model Selection tool in MEGA 7) as implemented in MEGA 7 [32]. Analyses were subject to 1000 bootstrap replicate variance estimation. Bayesian inference (BI) analyses were performed with BEAST v2.5.0 [6], using
Table 3. List of *Gyrodactylus* species included in the phylogenetic analyses with their hosts, collection site, GenBank accession number, and reference.

| Species                  | Host                        | Locality                           | GenBank Accession | Reference |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| *Gyrodactylus branchicus* | *Gasterosteus aculeatus*    | Bothnian Bay, Oulu, Finland         | AY061977          | [71]      |
| *Gyrodactylus branchicus* | *Gasterosteus aculeatus*    | Schelde River, Doel, Belgium        | AF156669          | [72]      |
| *Gyrodactylus rarus*     | *Pungitius pungitius*       | Bothnian Bay, Oulu, Finland         | AY061976          | [71]      |
| *Gyrodactylus rarus*     | *Pungitius pungitius*       | Wilkojadal River, Baltic Sea basin, Poland | FJ435193         | [56]      |
| *Gyrodactylus rarus*     | *Spinachia spinachia*       | Trondheim Biological, Station, Norway | AY338445         | [25]      |
| *Gyrodactylus perlucidus*| *Zoarcus viviparbus*        | Mannalselva River, Barents Sea basin, Norway | FJ435202         | [56]      |
| *Gyrodactylus medaka*    | *Oryzias latipes*           | Midori River, Kumamoto, Japan       | LC368478          | [45]      |
| *Gyrodactylus medaka*    | *Oryzias latipes*           | Nuta River, Hiroshima, Japan        | LC368475          | [45]      |
| *Gyrodactylus medaka*    | *Oryzias latipes*           | AORI Laboratories, University of Tokyo, Tokyo | LC368479 | [45]      |
| *Gyrodactylus medaka*    | *Oryzias latipes*           | Sonose River, Tokushima, Japan      | LC368477          | [45]      |
| *Gyrodactylus alexangi*  | *Lotia lota*                | Oulu, Oulu, Finland                 | AY061979          | [71]      |
| *Gyrodactylus groenlandicus* | *Myoxocephalus scorpius* | Little Tancook Island, Nova Scotia, Canada | KJ095104          | [31]      |
| *Gyrodactylus alexangi*  | *Gasterosteus aculeatus*    | Lake Skagvatn, South Trondelag County, Norway | JN695633 | [21]      |
| *Gyrodactylus alexangi*  | *Gasterosteus aculeatus*    | Nanaimo, British Columbia, Canada   | JF386444          | [19]      |
| *Gyrodactylus alexangi*  | *Gasterosteus aculeatus*    | Home Lake, Strait of Georgia, Pacific Ocean basin, Canada | FJ435201 | [56]      |
| *Gyrodactylus wilkes*    | *Trematomus bernacchi*      | Prince Gustav Channel, Weddell Sea, Antarctica | LT719091 | [23]      |
| *Gyrodactylus lamothii*  | *Girardinichthys multiradiatus* | San Nicolas Peralta, Lerma river basin, Mexico | KX555668 | [57]      |
| *Gyrodactylus lamothii*  | *Girardinichthys multiradiatus* | San Nicolas Peralta, Lerma river basin, Mexico | KX555667 | [57]      |
| *Gyrodactylus lamothii*  | *Girardinichthys multiradiatus* | San Nicolas Peralta, Lerma river basin, Mexico | KX555666 | [57]      |
| *Gyrodactylus katamba*   | *Goodea atripinnis*         | Santiago Mezquitiitan, Queretaro, Mexico | KR815854 | [16]      |

10 million Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) generations. BI data was prepared using BEAUTi 2, BEAST results assessed using TRACER v1.7.1, and trees processed using TreeAnnotator v2.5.0 and edited using FigTree v1.4.3.

Results

*Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp.

urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:89E29993-EC14-4AA3-AAE2-C569D91B5FBD

Type host: *Alcolapia grahami* (Boulenger, 1912) (Perciformes, Cichilidae)

Type locality: Lake Magadi, Eastern Rift Valley, Kenya (1°53’28.4” S, 36°18’09.6” E)

Infection site: Gills

Type material: Holotype: Mounted in Canada Balsam and deposited in the Iziko South African Museum, Cape Town, South Africa (accession no. SAM – A091374). Paratypes: four specimens deposited in the Iziko South African Museum, Cape Town, South Africa (accession no. SAMC – A091375 to SAMC – A091378); four specimens deposited in the Natural History Museum, London, UK (accession nos. NHMUK 2019.12.6.1 to NHMUK 2019.12.6.4); and four specimens deposited in the Royal Museum for Central Africa in Tervuren, Belgium (accession nos. M.T.39080 to M.T.390803).

ITS rDNA sequences: Representative sequence submitted to GenBank (accession no. MN736899).

Etymology: The species is named after Lake Magadi from which the specimens were collected.

Morphological description (Figs. 2 and 3, Table 3)

Description based on 24 individuals. Specimens 267.1 (202–387.2) long, and 65 (41.4–100.1) wide at level of anterior beginning of uterus. Pharyngeal bulb 21.1 (13.8–29.7) long, with anterior bulb 19.7 (13.6–28.2) wide and posterior bulb 20.7 (14.7–32.3) wide. Intestinal crura not spreading further than anterior edge of testes. Male copulatory organ (MCO). Scale bars – (A) 20 μm; (B and C) 5 μm.

Figure 2. Line drawings of the haptoral sclerites and MCO of *Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp. from *Alcolapia grahami* in Lake Magadi, Kenya. (A) Haptoral sclerites with hamulus (ha), dorsal bar (db), and ventral bar (vb); (B) marginal hook; (C) male copulatory organ (MCO). Scale bars – (A) 20 μm; (B and C) 5 μm.
Hamuli (Figs. 2A, 3A and B) slender, 62.8 (53.6–73.7) long; shaft even more slender 38.6 (34.8–43.6) long; narrow point 24.2 (19.9–29.5) long ending in a sharp point. Hamulus not sharply curved, aperture angle 59.9° (50.1–78.1°); root straight, 22.3 (16.9–29.9) long. Dorsal bar simple, 13 (9.9–17.7) long and 2.6 (2.2–3.6) wide (Figs. 2A, 3A and B). Ventral bar 27.5 (22.6–33.3) long, 20.8 (14.6–26.4) wide; ventral bar processes small, anterolateral, rounded and slightly curved outward, 3 (2–4) long; ventral bar membrane ovoid, tongue-shaped with notch centrally at posterior, 18.7 (15.9–22.8) long (Figs. 2A, 3D–F). Marginal hooks 27.7 (23.4–30) long; hook shaft 23.1 (18.9–26) long with slight rounded swelling terminally (distally); marginal hook sickle slightly curved and tilted forward, 5.4 (4.7–6.1) long; point long and continuously curved from sickle, 4 (3–4.7) wide and distal width 3.4 (2.9–4) (Figs. 2B, 3C). Heel rounded strongly toward shaft; toe trapezoidal to square 1.8 (1.5–2.2) long, with sharp indentation on inferior edge between toe tip and shaft attachment point; long bridge prior to reaching marginal sickle shaft; long lateral edge of toe. Marginal hook aperture 4.4 (3.6–5.1); hook instep height 0.8 (0.62–1); filament loop 8 (6.5–9.2) long.

Molecular identity of *Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp.

All 10 specimens produced identical sequence data for the ITS fragment analysed. The amplified region was 882 bp in length, with the size of the 18S, ITS1, 5.8S, ITS2, and 28S rDNA fragments 24, 385, 158, 291, and 24 bp long, respectively. Alignment of the sequence to other data retrieved from GenBank produced a 1088 bp alignment, of which 636 positions were conserved, 446 variable, and 399 parsimony informative. *Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp. was only distantly related to most other *Gyrodactylus* species (Table 4), most closely to *Gyrodactylus branchicus* Malmberg, 1964 (23.2%) and most distantly to *Gyrodactylus katamba* García-Vásquez, Guzmán-Valdivieso, Razo-Mendivil, and Rubio-Godoy 2018 (25.4%). Distances of 0.45–25.4% were observed between species included in these analyses, while intraspecific distances of 0.00–1.14% were seen. The latter would suggest that taxa with more than 1.14% sequence divergence are distinct species, indicating that sequences for distinct species with less than that (in this case *G. katamba* and *Gyrodactylus lamothei*).
Table 4. Sequence divergence (%) based on average uncorrected $p$-distance separating *Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp. (values in bold) from other *Gyrodactylus* species. Intraspecific distances indicated by shaded cells.

| Species                  | Accession       | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| *G. magadiensis* n. sp.  | MN738699        | 1  | 2  | 3  | 4  | 5  | 6  | 7  | 8  | 9  | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 |
| *G. branchicus*          | AY061977        | 23.20 | 0.31 | 1.05 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| *G. rarus*               | AF156669        | 23.44 | 0.73 | 1.05 | 0.21 | 0.00 |
| *G. perlucidus*          | FJ435202        | 23.55 | 14.91 | 15.13 | 15.53 | 1 |
| *G. medaka*              | LC368478        | 23.54 | 12.18 | 12.08 | 12.08 | 12.39 | 16.96 |  |
| *G. medaka*              | LC368475        | 23.66 | 12.61 | 12.50 | 12.50 | 12.83 | 17.07 | 1.14 |
| *G. medaka*              | LC368477        | 23.78 | 12.71 | 12.61 | 12.61 | 12.93 | 17.18 | 1.14 | 0.21 |  |
| *G. alexgusevi*          | AY061979        | 23.78 | 12.71 | 12.61 | 12.61 | 12.93 | 17.18 | 1.04 | 0.10 | 0.10 |  |
| *G. groenlandicus*       | JF095104        | 24.03 | 15.77 | 15.88 | 15.88 | 16.25 | 8.67 | 17.26 | 17.26 | 17.26 | 17.36 | 18.58 |  |
| *G. alexanderi*          | JN695633        | 24.16 | 7.25 | 7.35 | 7.14 | 7.14 | 7.33 | 16.20 | 12.93 | 13.25 | 13.14 | 13.14 | 10.98 | 17.54 |  |
| *G. alexanderi*          | JF836144        | 24.16 | 7.14 | 7.25 | 7.04 | 7.04 | 7.22 | 16.09 | 13.04 | 13.35 | 13.25 | 13.25 | 10.88 | 17.36 | 0.10 |  |
| *G. alexanderi*          | FJ435201        | 24.27 | 7.15 | 7.26 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.23 | 16.11 | 13.07 | 13.39 | 13.28 | 13.28 | 10.89 | 17.65 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| *G. wilkisi*             | LT719091        | 24.97 | 15.59 | 15.71 | 15.71 | 15.71 | 8.99 | 18.57 | 18.80 | 18.80 | 18.91 | 18.66 | 5.98 | 17.13 | 17.13 | 17.04 |  |
| *G. lamothei*            | KX555668        | 25.23 | 22.98 | 23.09 | 22.86 | 23.09 | 22.70 | 23.22 | 22.99 | 22.99 | 22.44 | 23.76 | 22.06 | 22.17 | 22.08 | 23.22 |  |
| *G. lamothei*            | KX555666        | 25.30 | 23.05 | 23.17 | 22.94 | 23.17 | 22.79 | 23.29 | 23.06 | 23.06 | 23.06 | 23.06 | 23.06 | 22.51 | 23.74 | 22.13 | 22.25 | 22.16 | 23.20 | 0.00 |  |
| *G. lamothei*            | KX555667        | 25.33 | 23.08 | 23.19 | 22.96 | 23.19 | 22.82 | 23.32 | 23.09 | 23.09 | 22.54 | 23.77 | 22.16 | 22.27 | 22.18 | 23.23 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| *G. katamba*             | KR815854        | 25.36 | 23.00 | 23.11 | 22.88 | 23.11 | 23.14 | 22.97 | 23.12 | 22.89 | 22.89 | 22.46 | 24.03 | 22.08 | 22.20 | 22.11 | 23.29 | 0.45 | 0.56 | 0.56 |  |
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Mendoza-Palmero, Sereno-Uribe and Salgado-Maldonado, 2009, and *G. branchicus* and *G. rarus* Wagener, 1910) need to be revised to produce a robust criteria to identify species based on ITS rDNA. Topologies of phylogenetic analyses based on ML and BI methods produced similar results, thus a single combined tree is shown in Figure 4. In all cases, *G. magadiensis* n. sp. formed a distinct, well supported lineage from its congeners. *Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp. appeared to be most closely related to a clade of *G. katamba* and *G. lamothei* in all cases.

**Differential diagnosis**

In comparison to other *Gyrodactylus* species described from African cichlid fishes, the marginal hooks of *G. magadiensis* n. sp. are most similar to those of *Gyrodactylus cichlidarum* Paperna, 1968, *Gyrodactylus yacatli* García-Vásquez, Hansen, Christison, Bron and Shinn, 2011 and *Gyrodactylus ulinganisus* García-Vásquez, Hansen, Christison, Bron and Shinn, 2011 in that the sickle is smoothly curved (except *G. yacatli*) and the toe is almost square. The marginal hooks of the new species can be distinguished from these species in that the toe is more pronounced than in *G. cichlidarum* and *G. ulinganisus*; the indentation on inferior edge between the toe tip and shaft attachment point is more pronounced than in *G. cichlidarum* and *G. ulinganisus*; the bridge prior to reaching the marginal sickle shaft is longer than in *G. cichlidarum* and *G. ulinganisus*; the lateral edge of the toe is longer than in *G. yacatli*; and the sickle is angled forward (similar only to *G. yacatli*). The heel of *G. magadiensis* n. sp. is also notably rounded, only slightly similar to that of *Gyrodactylus thyi* Vanhove, Snoeks, Volckaert and Huyse, 2011, *Gyrodactylus thiapi* Christison, Shinn and van As, 2005 and the illustration of *Gyrodactylus niloticus* Cone, Arthur and Bondad-Reantaso, 1995 by Cone et al. [9] (junior synonym of *G. cichlidarum* [13]).

In terms of the ventral bar, *G. magadiensis* n. sp. can be differentiated from other *Gyrodactylus* species infecting African cichlids based on the distinct tongue shape of the membrane, medial notch in posterior of membrane, rounded lateral ends of the bar itself, and anterolateral processes rounded and slightly curved outward. These features are most similar to the ventral bar of *G. cichlidarum*, but specifically the shape of the membrane can easily distinguish these species. The long and narrow nature of the hamuli are reminiscent of those of *G. malalai*, *Gyrodactylus ergensi* Přikrylová, Matějůsová, Musilová and Gelnar, 2009 and *Gyrodactylus nyanzae* Paperna, 1973. However, the hamuli of *G. magadiensis* n. sp. can be distinguished by the lack of an indentation of the root above the attachment of the dorsal bar as in *G. malalai* and *G. ergensi*, and the more robust root in comparison to *G. nyanzae*. The MCO of *G. magadiensis* n. sp. has six spinelets, whereas most of the other species for African cichlids have 4, 5 or 7 (with the exception of *Gyrodactylus sharifii* Cone, Arthur and Bondad-Reantaso, 1995 and *G. cichlidarum* which can have six).

**Discussion**

*Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp. is the second record of a *Gyrodactylus* species infecting a cichlid from Kenya. However, this is the first gyroactyldiid to be described from the extreme conditions of Lake Magadi and from *Alcolapia grahami*. The ability of *G. magadiensis* n. sp. to persist and thrive in the extreme condition of Lake Magadi is truly impressive.

---

**Figure 4.** Evolutionary history of *Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp. based on Bayesian Inference approaches using ITS sequences for selected gyroactyldids. Statistical support for Bayesian inference (BI) and maximum likelihood (ML) methods indicated at branch nodes with posterior probabilities and bootstrap support indicated, respectively (ML/BI).
Although *G. salinae* survives in the extreme salinity and temperature of Cervia Saline in Italy [46], this species is genetically very distant from *G. magadiensis* n. sp. and the morphologies of the species do not share many similarities. It is thus unlikely that these species, which are both able to survive extreme conditions, originated from the same lineage, indicating that this adaptation has occurred at least twice convergently.

Based on both morphology and genetic data, the material studied here is markedly distinct from all other information available for African gyrodactylyid monogeneans. Regarding the genetic identity of *G. magadiensis* n. sp., a gyrodactylyid infecting a marine Gasterosteiformes host (Gasterosteiidae) collected in Finland and Belgium is the most closely related (23.2%) based on uncorrected p-distances, while none of the sequences for *Gyrodactylus* species from African cichlids were identified as close relatives using BLASTn, even though most of these African species have representative sequence data. In fact, it would appear that most of the species identified as close relatives to *G. magadiensis* n. sp. are marine species, which is puzzling. However, as can be seen from the topology of the phylogenetic analyses shown in Figure 4, *G. magadiensis* n. sp. groups with a clade of *G. lamothei* and *G. katamba*, both species from freshwater systems in Mexico. This association is only weakly supported by BI analyses (0.48), but more strongly by ML approaches (82%).

**Conclusion**

*Gyrodactylus magadiensis* n. sp. is described here on the basis of its morphology and genetic identity. The species can be distinguished from congeners parasitising other African cichlids based on the comparatively long and narrow hamuli, a ventral bar with small rounded anterolateral processes and a tongue-shaped posterior membrane, and marginal hooks with slender sickles which are angled forward, a trapezoid to square toe, rounded heel, a long bridge prior to reaching the marginal sickle shaft, and a long lateral edge of the toe. Genetically, this species is distinct from all other monogenean species, with more than 23.2% pairwise divergence between it and its closest relatives.
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