Theoretical Study of SOF₂ Adsorption on Pd/Pt-Ni(111) Bimetallic Surfaces
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Abstract: Partial discharge has become a serious threat to the stable operation of gas-insulated switchgears. SOF₂ is a characteristic decomposition component of SF₆ decomposition components under partial discharge, which further deteriorate the severity of partial discharge. In order to find an excellent adsorbent for SOF₂, Pd/Pt-Ni(111) composite surface is raised as an adsorbent to investigate its adsorption ability of the SOF₂ molecule. The results of the study show that Pd or Pt composite layer on Ni(111) atoms can significantly enhance the adsorption capacity, the adsorption ability to SOF₂ is in the sequence of Pt-Ni(111) > Pd-Ni(111) > Ni(111) > Ni-Pd-Ni(111) > Ni-Pt-Ni(111). However, the adsorption of SOF₂ on Pt-Ni(111) and Pd-Ni(111) surfaces is strong chemisorption, which is an irreversible adsorption process. On the contrary, Ni-Pd-Ni(111) and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) show moderate physisorption of SOF₂. In addition, the density of electronic states, and electron density difference are further calculated to analyze the adsorption mechanism of SOF₂. This research provides important theoretical support for developing an ideal SOF₂ adsorbent.
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1. Introduction

Gas-insulated switchgears (GIS) are widely used in power systems for their small volume, high stability, and long detection period. As an insulating medium filled in GISs, sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) shows excellent insulating and arc extinguishing properties, which ensures the high insulation and stable operation of GISs [1,2]. However, the application of SF₆ is restricted due to its high contribution to global warming. Alternative insulating gases to SF₆ are currently sought due to their sustainable and environmental properties. Currently, SF₆ is the most used gas in GISs. There may be some inevitable insulation defects in GISs during the long-term operation process, which would cause partial discharges (PD) and partial overheating (POT). Under the action of PD and POT, SF₆ gas decomposes to low-fluorine sulfides (SFₓ, x = 1–5). Due to the high chemical activity of SFₓ, it further reacts with traces of H₂O and O₂ existing in the GIS to various decomposition products, such as the main components: H₂S, SO₂, SOF₂, and SO₂F₂ [3,4]. These decomposition products greatly reduce the insulation performance of GISs, and may even cause sudden malfunction of the equipment [5,6]. Therefore, it is particularly important to remove the SF₆ decomposition products in a timely manner. Under the conditions of PD and POT, the proportion of SOF₂ is far more than other decomposition gases. In addition, it is difficult for SOF₂ to produce secondary reactions [7,8]. Therefore, it is important to remove SOF₂ from SF₆. At present, two types of adsorbents are widely used in gas adsorption: Activated alumina and molecular sieves [9–11]. However, both of them have different limitations on
the adsorption of SF\(_6\) decomposition products. Therefore, it is important to explore a new adsorbent material that shows good adsorption properties of SOF\(_2\).

As a transition metal, nickel has good chemical stability and selectivity due to its unique d-electron structure, which makes it a suitable adsorbent for gas adsorption [12–14]. A large number of experiments with the purpose of studying various types of catalysts or sensors based on nickel have been carried out [15–18]. Moreover, other transition metal layers composited on nickel’s surface could improve the adsorption capacity of nickel [19]. Li et al. reported Pd composite layers on Ni(111) surface, verifying that the bimetallic composite surface structure of Pd-Ni(111) shows better adsorption properties than the monometallic surface of Ni(111) [20]. In our previous research, the adsorption performance of SF\(_6\) decomposition components on the surface of Ni(111) was studied. It is found that Ni(111) is more likely to adsorb SOF\(_2\), but it needs to cross a barrier of 0.54 eV [21,22].

In order to further study the adsorption capacity of Ni based materials for removing SF\(_6\) gas from SOF\(_2\), Pd and Pt layers were respectively composited to the surface of Ni(111) to improve the adsorption ability of SOF\(_2\), which was abbreviated as Pd/Pt-Ni(111). The calculations of adsorption structure, adsorption energy, charge transfer, density of states, and electron density difference of SOF\(_2\) adsorbed Ni(111) monometallic and Pd/Pt-Ni(111) bimetallic surfaces were performed based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations. This study provides a key foundation for developing a better adsorbent for SOF\(_2\) adsorption in GISs.

2. Methods and Models

All of the calculations in this paper were carried out based on DFT [23]. The electron exchange and correlation energy were calculated within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) and the Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) function [24,25]. Double numerical plus polarization (DNP) basis sets were used [26]. As spin polarization has major effects on the adsorption energies for a magnetic system, all the calculations were spin-polarized [27]. The convergence criterion for the total energy and maximum force were set at 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-5}\) Ha and 2 \(\times\) 10\(^{-3}\) Ha/Å, respectively. The self-consistent field (SCF) tolerance was 1 \(\times\) 10\(^{-6}\) Ha, and direct inversion of iterative subspace (DIIS) was made to accelerate convergence of SCF [28].

The Ni(111) monometallic and Pd/Pt-Ni(111) bimetallic surfaces were modeled by periodic slabs with a supercell of a 2 \(\times\) 2 unit cell. The vacuum area between the slabs is set to 20 Å in order to avoid interaction between the adjacent superlattices. The Brillouin zone was sampled by using a 5 \(\times\) 5 \(\times\) 1 array of \(k\)-points in the Monkhorst–Pack grid [29]. As shown in Figure 1, four surfaces were explored in this work, including two surface structures named Pd-Ni(111) and Pt-Ni(111) surfaces, and two subsurface structures named Ni-Pd-Ni(111) and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surfaces. Furthermore, both the Ni(111) monometallic and bimetallic Pd/Pt-Ni(111) surfaces provide four typical sites for the adsorption, including the t-top site, b-bridge site, fcc-face centered cubic site, and hcp-hexagonal close packed site.

The adsorption energy \(E_{\text{ads}}\) of the molecule adsorption on Pd/Pt-Ni(111) was defined as Equation (1):

\[
E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{slab/gas}} - E_{\text{slab}} - E_{\text{gas}}
\]

\(E_{\text{slab/gas}}\) was the total energy of the adsorbed system, \(E_{\text{slab}}\) and \(E_{\text{gas}}\) were the energies of the surface and the free gas molecule, respectively. Thus, negative \(E_{\text{ads}}\) means the process of the adsorption was exothermic and that adsorption can happen spontaneously. The more energy released, the stronger the adsorption was.

The electron density distribution was calculated by Mulliken population analysis. The charge transfer \(Q_T\) in the adsorption process was defined as Equation (2):

\[
Q_T = Q_a - Q_b
\]

\(Q_a\) and \(Q_b\) were the respective total charge of the gas molecules before and after adsorption. \(Q_T > 0\) means electrons transfer from the gas molecules to the surface.
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Adsorption of SOF$_2$ on Pd-Ni(111) and Ni-Pd-Ni(111) Surfaces

The Pd layer was used to replace the outermost layer and secondary outer layer of the Ni(111) surface to obtain stable Pd-Ni(111) and Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surfaces. Then, the SOF$_2$ molecule was placed in different initial positions and directions to approach these two surfaces respectively. After geometric optimization, several stable structures were obtained as shown in Figure 2. The adsorption energy and relevant structural parameters are listed in Table 1.

| Structure   | Adsorption Energy (eV) | Bond Length (Å) |
|-------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| M1          | 1.880                  | 2.183          |
| M2          | 1.550                  | 2.200          |
| M3          | 0.372                  | 3.793          |
| M4          | 0.068                  | 3.555          |

**Figure 1.** (a–e) Structures of the Ni(111) monometallic and Pd/Pt-Ni(111) bimetallic surfaces, (f) Structure of SOF$_2$.

**Figure 2.** The top and side views of adsorption structures. (a,b) SOF$_2$ adsorption on Pd-Ni(111) surface for M1 and M2 structures, (c,d) SOF$_2$ adsorption on Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surface for M3-M4 structures.
Table 1. The adsorption parameters of SOF$_2$ adsorbed by Ni(111), Pd-Ni(111), and Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surfaces.

| Surface     | Structure | $E_{ads}$ (eV) | $Q_T$ (e) | Distance (Å) | Comparison              |
|-------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|
| Ni(111)     | 3thf      | $-1.550$       | $-0.182$  | 1.820        | Li et al. [21]          |
| Pd-Ni(111)  | M1        | $-1.964$       | 0.068     | 2.183        | This Work               |
|             | M2        | $-1.880$       | 0.079     | 2.200        |                         |
| Ni-Pd-Ni(111)| M3       | $-0.413$       | $-0.016$  | 3.793        |                         |
|             | M4        | $-0.372$       | $-0.009$  | 3.555        |                         |

Figure 2 shows the different adsorption structures of SOF$_2$ on Pd-Ni(111) and Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surfaces. In the M1 structure, the SOF$_2$ molecule approaches the Pd-Ni(111) surface through S atom, forming a new chemical bond between the S atom and the Pd atom. The distance of the S-Pd bond is 2.183 Å, while the bond lengths in the SOF$_2$ molecule have almost not changed. In the M2 structure, three atoms interact with the Pd-Ni(111) surface, covering the hcp and fcc positions. Compared with the M1 structure, the deformation of M2 seems to be more serious. In the M3 and M4 structures, SOF$_2$ adsorption on the surface had an adsorption distance of 3.793 Å and 3.555 Å, indicating that the adsorption on Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surface was much more moderate.

As listed in Table 1, the adsorption energy of the four structures in Figure 2 was negative, indicating that the adsorption process is exothermic. The $E_{ads}$ of M1 and M2 are $-1.964$ eV and $-1.880$ eV respectively, which are higher than the $E_{ads}$ of SOF$_2$ adsorption on the Ni(111) surface ($-1.55$ eV). During the adsorption process, charge transferred from the SOF$_2$ molecule to the Pd-Ni(111) surface, forming a SOF$_2^+$ cation. Compared with the results on the Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surface, Pd-Ni(111) showed greater capability of adsorbing SOF$_2$ for its higher adsorption energy. In the M3 and M4 structures, charge transfer occurred from the Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surface to the SOF$_2$ molecule, which is the opposite of M1 and M2.

To further study the electronic behavior of SOF$_2$ adsorption, the total density of states (TDOS) and partial density of states (PDOS) were calculated. The structures of M1 and M3 were selected for comparison of SOF$_2$ adsorption on the Pd-Ni(111) and Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surfaces.

As shown in Figure 3a, there are some obvious changes in TDOS after SOF$_2$ adsorption on the Pd-Ni(111) surface. The TDOS increased from $-9.5$ eV to $-7.5$ eV and $-4$ eV to $-1$ eV, and a little decline occurred from $-5.5$ eV to $-4.5$ eV. However, there are some differences with SOF$_2$ adsorption on the Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surface in Figure 3c, the TDOS has a visible rise from $-10$ eV to $-3$ eV in the M3 structure. In viewing the PDOS in Figure 3b, the change of TDOS in M1 structure mainly consists of a 2p orbital of F atoms, a 3p orbital of S atom, a 3d of Ni atoms, and a 4d of Pd atoms. The large overlapped area between S 3p, Ni 3d, and Pd 4d signifies that their orbitals are highly hybridized during the adsorption process.

As for the M3 structure, the PDOS has a large overlapped area between F 2p and Pd 4d, indicating a strong interaction between them. Comparing the change of TDOS and PDOS, it is concluded that the interaction between the O atom, S atom and Pd atom in M1 is stronger than that in M3. The result is consistent with the analysis of adsorption energy in Table 1.
The red region represents an increase of the electron density, while the blue region represents a decrease of the electron density.

Figure 3. (a,c) Total density of states (TDOS), (b,d) partial density of states (PDOS) for the structure of M1 and M3.

Figure 4 shows the electron density difference of SOF₂ adsorption on Pd-Ni(111) and Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surfaces. Since the electron distribution of M4 is similar to M3, M3 was selected for comparison with M1 and M2. The red region represents an increase of the electron density, while the blue region represents a decrease of the electron density.

Figure 4. Electron density difference of SOF₂ adsorption on (a) M1:Pd-Ni(111), (b) M2:Pd-Ni(111), and (c) M3:Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surfaces.

For the M1 structure in Figure 4a, it is shown that electrons transfer from the bonding Pd atom to O atom and F atom. The density of electrons between the S atom and bonding Pd atom indicates the formation of chemical bonds. As shown in Figure 4b, the electron density near the O atom and S atom increased, while the Pd atoms had varying degrees of charge transfer. In Figure 4c, a small amount...
of electrons transferred from the Pd atoms to the O atom and F atom, indicating a weak chemical interaction between them.

According to the results from the analysis of adsorption energy, DOS, and electron density difference, it is concluded that the Pd-Ni(111) surface shows a stronger ability of adsorbing the SOF₂ molecule than the Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surface.

3.2. Adsorption of SOF₂ on Pt-Ni(111) and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) Surface

Similarly, Pt atoms were used to replace the supernatant and subsurface Ni atoms to obtain stable Pt-Ni(111) and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surfaces. After that, the SOF₂ molecule was placed in different initial positions and directions to get different adsorption structures. The results are shown in Figure 5, and the adsorption energy and relevant structural parameters are listed in Table 2.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
*Figure 5.* The top and side views of adsorption structures. (a,b) SOF₂ adsorption on Pt-Ni(111) surface for M5 and M6 structures, (c,d) SOF₂ adsorption on Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface for M7 and M8 structures.

**Table 2.** The adsorption parameters of SOF₂ adsorbed by Ni(111), Pt-Ni(111), and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surfaces.

| Surface        | Structure | $E_{\text{ads}}$ (eV) | $Q_T$ (e) | Distance (Å) | Comparison       |
|----------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------------|
| Ni(111)        | 3thf      | −1.550                 | −0.182    | 1.820        | Li et al. [21]   |
| Pt-Ni(111)     | M5        | −2.123                 | 0.14      | 2.168        |                  |
|                | M6        | −0.745                 | 0.018     | 3.282        |                  |
| Ni-Pt-Ni(111)  | M7        | −0.344                 | −0.015    | 3.173        | This work        |
|                | M8        | −0.361                 | −0.033    | 3.434        |                  |

As shown in Figure 5, M5 and M6 are structures of SOF₂ adsorption on the Pt-Ni(111) surface, while M7 and M8 are adsorption structures on the Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface. In the M5 structure, the SOF₂ molecule adsorbs on the top site, and forms a chemical bond between the S atom and Pt atom. After SOF₂ adsorption, the position of the supernatant Pt atoms changed a lot, demonstrating a strong interaction between them. In the M6 structure, one S atom and two F atoms interacted with the Pt-Ni(111) surface, but the adsorption distance was relatively far. Therefore, it can be roughly judged that the adsorption is weaker than M1. As for the Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface, its adsorption capacity seems to be weaker. In the M7 structure, the S-O bond occupies the bridge site, and the adsorption distance is...
3.173 Å. Similarly, the M8 structure also has a long adsorption distance, indicating a weak interaction between SOF$_2$ and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface.

Table 2 shows some adsorption parameters of the structures in Figure 5. The $E_{\text{ads}}$ of M5 and M6 are $-2.123$ eV and $-0.745$ eV respectively, which are larger than M7 ($-0.344$ eV) and M8 ($-0.361$ eV), indicating that the ability of Pt-Ni(111) to adsorb SOF$_2$ is stronger than the Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface. In addition, the $E_{\text{ads}}$ of M5 is larger than 3thf ($-1.550$ eV), but the adsorption distance of 3thf is shorter than M5. This is because the 3thf structure is a dissociative adsorption structure, which needs more energy to cross the energy barrier during the reaction process. As for charge transfer, M5 and M6 have a positive $Q_T$, which means electrons transfer from the SOF$_2$ molecule to the Pt-Ni(111) surface during the adsorption process. But the circumstances on the Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface is the opposite.

The most stable adsorption structures on the Pt-Ni(111) and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surfaces were selected to analyze the density of states. Figure 6 shows the TDOS and PDOS of M5 and M8. In Figure 6a, it is obvious that there was an increase from $-5.5$ eV to $-1$ eV after SOF$_2$ adsorption. According to the PDOS in Figure 6b, we found that the increase mainly consisted of F 2p, Ni 3d, and Pt 5d orbitals. The large overlapping area between Ni 3d and Pt 5d means there is a strong interaction between them. In Figure 6c, the TDOS rose in the range of $-10$ eV to $-7$ eV and $-6$ eV to $-4$ eV after SOF$_2$ adsorption. The increased area of TDOS mainly consists of 2p orbital of F atoms and a 5d orbital of Pt atoms. Moreover, the hybridization between F 2p and Pt 5d signifies a strong interaction between the F atoms and Pt atoms.

![Figure 6](https://example.com/f6.png)

**Figure 6.** TDOS and PDOS distribution of M5 and M8: (a) TDOS of M5 structure, (b) PDOS of M5 structure, (c) TDOS of M8 structure, (d) PDOS of M8 structure.

In view of the similarity between M7 and M8, only the M8 structure was selected for further analysis. Figure 7 shows the electron density difference of SOF$_2$ adsorption on the Pt-Ni(111) and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface. It is shown that S atoms lose certain electrons after adsorption in M5 structure.
According to the $Q_f$ shown in Table 2, we can judge that the lost charge transfers to the Pt-Ni(111) surface. In Figure 7b, the electron density around the Pt atom decreases slightly, and the charge transfers to the O atom during the adsorption process. In Figure 7c, it is apparent that a small amount of electrons transfer from the Pt atoms to the S atom and F atoms, signifying a weak chemical interaction between them.

![Figure 7. Electron density difference of SOF$_2$ adsorption on (a) M5:Pt-Ni(111), (b) M6:Pt-Ni(111), and (c) M8:Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surfaces.](image)

As a result, we conclude that the adsorption structure on Pd-Ni(111) surface is more stable than that on Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface, so Pt-Ni(111) surface shows a stronger ability on adsorbing SOF$_2$ molecule than Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surface.

4. Conclusions

In this paper, DFT calculations are used to investigate the adsorption ability of Pd/Pt-Ni(111) bimetallic surfaces for SOF$_2$ adsorption. In order to analyze the adsorption mechanism, the adsorption energy, charge transfer, density of electronic states, and electron density difference were calculated. The results show that the adsorption ability of SOF$_2$ are in the sequence of Pt-Ni(111) > Pd-Ni(111) > Ni(111) > Ni-Pd-Ni(111) > Ni-Pt-Ni(111). It is verified that Pd and Pt composite layers on Ni(111) can significantly enhance the adsorption capacity. The adsorptions of SOF$_2$ on Pt-Ni(111) and Pd-Ni(111) surfaces are strong chemisorption, which are irreversible adsorption processes. Although adsorption structures on Pt-Ni(111) surface are similar to those on Pd-Ni(111) surface, Pt-Ni(111) shows the most stable structure for its largest adsorption energy ($\sim$2.123 eV). The adsorption process on Ni-Pd-Ni(111) surface and Ni-Pt-Ni(111) surface belong to physical adsorption for its small adsorption energy, which is easy to desorb under external energy excitation. In summary, our research provides important theoretical support for developing an ideal SOF$_2$ adsorbent.
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