Anogenital Distance and Penile Length in Infants with Hypospadias or Cryptorchidism: Comparison with Normative Data
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BACKGROUND: Anogenital distance (AGD) in animals is a sensitive biomarker of fetal endocrine disruption and the associated testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS). However, AGD in human infants with cryptorchidism and hypospadias, which are potential manifestations of TDS during childhood, is not clearly described.

OBJECTIVE: Our aim was to compare AGD in boys with cryptorchidism or hypospadias against normative data.

METHODS: Boys with isolated cryptorchidism (n = 71, age 13.4 ± 5.8 months) or hypospadias (n = 81, age 11.4 ± 6.2 months) were recruited from a tertiary center for measurement of AGD and penile length; they were compared with 487 healthy full-term boys from a birth cohort by deriving age-specific standard deviation scores (SDS).

RESULTS: Boys with cryptorchidism were older (p = 0.048) compared with boys with hypospadias. Boys with hypospadias had shorter mean AGD and penile length SDS than healthy boys (both p < 0.0001). Mean AGD and penile length SDS values in boys with cryptorchidism were longer than mean values in boys with hypospadias (both p < 0.01) and shorter than mean values in healthy boys (both p < 0.0001). Mean penile length SDS decreased as the severity of hypospadias increased (p trend = 0.078).

CONCLUSIONS: In the study population, AGD and penile length were reduced in boys with hypospadias or cryptorchidism relative to normative data derived from a longitudinal birth cohort. The findings support the use of AGD as a quantitative biomarker to examine the prenatal effects of exposure to endocrine disruptors on the development of the male reproductive tract.
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Introduction

Declining secular trends in male reproductive health with increasing incidence of cryptorchidism, hypospadias, testicular cancer, and reduced sperm quality have been reported by several epidemiological studies in many countries (Acerini and Hughes 2006; Diamanti-Kandarakis et al. 2009; Toppari et al. 2010; Wohlfahrt-Veje et al. 2009b). Geographical variation in the incidences of these conditions suggests exposure to environmental agents as a possible causative factor (Boisen et al. 2004). Furthermore, the four disorders are associated with each other and postulated to be the manifestation of an underlying entity known as testicular dysgenesis syndrome (TDS). Hypospadias and cryptorchidism are potential manifestations of TDS at birth. Exposure to environmental chemicals that act as endocrine disruptors has been proposed as one of the pathogenetic mechanisms underlying abnormal fetal testicular development that characterizes TDS (Skakkebaek et al. 2001; Wohlfahrt-Veje et al. 2009b). This is supported by several animal studies (Dean et al. 2012; van den Driesche et al. 2012). Measurement of anogenital distance (AGD) has been proposed as a quantitative biomarker of fetal endocrine disruptor exposure in humans (Arbuckle et al. 2008).

AGD is a marker of perinatal growth and caudal migration of the genital tubercle, and is androgen dependent in male rodents (Bowman et al. 2003). In animal studies, AGD measured from the genital tubercle to the anus is a sensitive marker of in utero exposure to androgens and anti-androgens, and is used extensively in animal reproductive toxicology studies (McIntyre et al. 2001). Shorter AGD in human infants has been associated with prenatal exposure to a variety of environmental chemicals (Miao et al. 2011; Swan et al. 2005; Torres-Sanchez et al. 2008). Reduced AGD has also been proposed as a marker of testicular dysfunction in adult men (Eisenberg et al. 2011; Mendiola et al. 2011). Establishing the alterations in AGD in cryptorchidism and hypospadias—the most common genital anomalies at birth in boys—is important in determining the role of AGD as a biomarker of fetal endocrine disruption and TDS in humans.

Some cross-sectional studies have reported shorter weight-adjusted AGD in boys with cryptorchidism, and shorter AGD in boys with hypospadias (Hsieh et al. 2008, 2012; Swan et al. 2005). However, the studies relied on derivatives of AGD to adjust for age-related changes in AGD in the absence of normative data (Swan 2006) and used measurements performed under anesthesia (Hsieh et al. 2008, 2012). Recently we and others have published normative data for AGD during infancy based on large population studies (Papadopoulou et al. 2013; Thankamony et al. 2009). Both studies reported a characteristic nonlinear pattern of rapid growth in the first year and little change thereafter. Therefore, applying normative data is useful in further characterizing AGD in these disorders, as highlighted in a recent review (Dean and Sharpe 2013).

In the present study, we compared age-specific standard deviation scores (SDS) for AGD and penile length in boys with isolated cryptorchidism or cryptorchidism to normative data from a cohort of healthy boys.

Methods

Study population. Boys < 2 years of age with isolated hypospadias or cryptorchidism were recruited from pediatric surgery outpatient and pre-operative assessment clinics at Cambridge University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Cambridge, United Kingdom, between 2010 and 2012. Children with anogenital malformations, which prevented identification of
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anatomical landmarks for measuring AGD, and in whom genital anomalies were a part of a malformation syndrome, were excluded. Controls were healthy full-term-born boys (born > 37 weeks, birth weight > 2,500 g) from the Cambridge Baby Growth Study (CBGS) who had normal genitalia. In brief, CBGS is a longitudinal study established in 2001 to characterize hormonal, genetic, and environmental influences on infant growth and early male reproductive development (Thankamony et al. 2009). Measurement of AGD was included in the CBGS study protocol from 2006 onward. Mothers gave written informed consent for their infants to participate in the study. The research protocol was approved by the Cambridge Local Research Ethics Committee, and the study was conducted in accordance with the International Conference on Harmonization standards for Good Clinical Practice.

**Measurements.** Infants in the CBGS were measured at birth and at 3, 12, 18, and 24 months of age by trained pediatric nurses (Thankamony et al. 2009). Hypospadias and cryptorchidism cases had one set of AGD, weight, body length, and penile length measurements taken before age 2 years when they attended outpatient clinics. The same trained nurses performed the measurements in both cases and controls using the same protocols, which have been reported previously (Thankamony et al. 2009). Briefly, AGD was measured from the center of the anus to the junction between smooth perineal skin and rugated skin of the scrotum using Vernier calipers (DiaMax; Wiha Premium Tools, Schonach, Germany). Penile length was measured from the lower edge of the pubic bone to the tip of the flaccid penis using Vernier calipers. Three consecutive measurements were taken at each assessment, and the average was used for analysis.

**Statistics.** Because the AGD and penile length increase substantially with age during early infancy, we generated normative data for AGD and penile length from the CBGS and calculated age-adjusted SDS. Reference centile curves were computed with the LMS (lambda-mu-sigma) method by Cole (Cole et al. 2010), using the software LMSchartmaker Light (Cole et al. 2011; Pan and Cole 2011). The LMS method is based on the use of Box–Cox transformations to normality through the calculation of a skewness parameter. The LMS parameters are the power in the Box–Cox transformation (L), the median (M), and the generalized coefficient of variation (S). Given these parameters and the assumption that the residuals follow a normal distribution, any desired percentile can be calculated. The LMS values for AGD and penile length derived from CBGS were used to calculate age-specific SDS employing the LMSgrowth software (Cole et al. 2011; Pan and Cole 2012). Age- and gender-specific SDS for weight and body length measurements were calculated by comparison to UK normative data (Freeman et al. 1995). All SDS calculations were adjusted for gestational age at birth. In CBGS boys who underwent longitudinal assessments, an average of the age and SDS of the measurements across multiple visits was used for analysis. Paired outcomes between the groups were compared using Student’s t-test. AGD is associated with weight (Swan et al. 2005); hence, weight SDS was used as a covariate in multivariable linear regression analyses using freely available LMSgrowth software. Penile length SDS was associated with current weight SDS did not alter the results (unadjusted data not shown). Increasing severity of hypospadias was similar to the age of healthy boys averaged across multiple visits (Table 1). The age distribution suggested two distinct time points for assessment: early infancy (< 6 months) and around 1 year (Figure 1). Boys with hypospadias had lower mean birth weight SDS (p = 0.001). They also had reduced current weight SDS compared with the weight SDS of healthy boys averaged across multiple visits, although the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.087). The AGD SDS and penile length SDS of boys with hypospadias were significantly reduced compared with healthy boys (both p < 0.0001) (Table 1, Figures 1 and 2). AGD SDS was associated with current weight SDS in the entire cohort of subjects (r = 0.18, p < 0.0001); however, adjusting for weight SDS did not alter the results (unadjusted data not shown). Increasing severity of hypospadias was associated with a nonsignificant trend for reductions in

**Table 1. Characteristics of healthy controls and patients with cryptorchidism and hypospadias (mean ± SD or p-value).**

| Characteristic          | Healthy boysa | Cryptorchidism | Hypospadias | Cryptorchidism vs. healthy boys | Hypospadias vs. healthy boys | Cryptorchidism vs. hypospadias |
|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Observations (n)        | 487           | 71            | 81          |                                |                             |                               |
| Gestational age (weeks) | 40.05 ± 1.20  | 38.95 ± 2.60  | 38.26 ± 3.27| < 0.0001                       | < 0.0001                    | 0.21                          |
| Birth weight SDS        | 0.03 ± 0.68   | -0.07 ± 1.12  | -0.39 ± 1.37| 0.47                           | 0.001                       | 0.26                          |
| Age (months)            | 11.46 ± 0.23  | 13.43 ± 0.79  | 11.46 ± 0.15| 0.012                          | 0.98                        | 0.048                         |
| Weight (kg)             | 8.81 ± 2.70   | 10.30 ± 2.02  | 9.15 ± 2.71 |                                |                             |                               |
| Body length (cm)        | 71.77 ± 10.21 | 76.18 ± 8.47  | 73.42 ± 10.16|                                |                             |                               |
| AGD (mm)                | 29.75 ± 6.97  | 29.09 ± 6.78  | 24.65 ± 6.27|                                |                             |                               |
| Penile length (mm)      | 36.09 ± 5.17  | 35.30 ± 8.59  | 28.70 ± 7.48|                                |                             |                               |
| Weight SDS              | 0.01 ± 0.96   | 0.15 ± 1.26   | -0.21 ± 1.46| 0.24                           | 0.087                       | 0.037                         |
| Body Length SDS         | 0.29 ± 0.93   | 0.21 ± 1.49   | 0.10 ± 1.43 | 0.52                           | 0.12                        | 0.66                          |
| AGD SDS                 | 0.03 ± 0.77   | -0.48 ± 0.93  | -0.90 ± 0.89| < 0.0001                       | < 0.0001                    | 0.005                         |
| Penile length SDS       | -0.02 ± 0.82  | -0.35 ± 1.03  | -1.34 ± 1.28| 0.002                          | < 0.0001                    | < 0.0001                       |

--- comparison not performed.

aThe values of healthy boys were derived from the average of measurements/SDS values across multiple visits. Because the measurements vary with age, only the SDS values of the measurements were analyzed. bAdjusted for weight SDS.
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Cryptorchidism. Bilateral undescended testes were present in 13 boys (18.3%) with cryptorchidism. Boys with cryptorchidism (bilateral and unilateral) were assessed over a wider age range than boys with hypospadias, with a peak at 1 year (Figure 1). The mean age of the boys with cryptorchidism was higher than that of healthy boys \( (p = 0.012) \), the latter derived from the average across multiple visits. Mean values for birth weight, weight at delivery, and body length were similar between the cryptorchid boys and healthy controls. Mean AGD and penile length SDS were more strongly correlated among boys with cryptorchidism \( (r = 0.31, p = 0.008) \) and hypospadias \( (r = 0.33, p = 0.003) \).

**Discussion**

In this study, mean AGD and penile length parameters were significantly lower in boys with hypospadias or cryptorchidism than in healthy controls \( (p = 0.005) \) and penile length SDS \( (p < 0.0001) \) values also were lower for boys with hypospadias than for cryptorchid boys (Table 1, Figures 1 and 2).

**Correlations between AGD and penile length.** In healthy controls, AGD SDS was weakly correlated with penile length SDS \( (r = 0.09, p = 0.661) \). In contrast, AGD SDS and penile length SDS were more strongly correlated among boys with cryptorchidism \( (r = 0.31, p = 0.008) \) and hypospadias \( (r = 0.33, p = 0.003) \).

**Figure 1.** Distribution of AGD and penile length in boys with hypospadias (A,C) or cryptorchidism (B,D) against centile lines (3rd, 10th, 25th, 50th, 75th, 90th, and 97th centiles) from normative data.

Isolated hypospadias and cryptorchidism are the most common congenital urogenital abnormalities, with an incidence of 0.2–1% and 2–9% respectively \( (\text{Toppari et al. 2010}) \). Although their etiology remains poorly understood, they share similar risk factors and are postulated to be manifestations of birth of an underlying TDS \( (\text{Sakkakbaek et al. 2001}) \). Large population studies showing higher incidence of hypospadias and cryptorchidism associated with parental exposures to pesticides and other environmental chemicals suggest a role for environmental endocrine disruptors in the pathogenetic mechanisms \( (\text{Damgaard et al. 2006; Gaspari et al. 2011; Hosie et al. 2000; Toppari et al. 2010; Weidner et al. 1998}) \). Epidemiological links of hypospadias and cryptorchidism with reduced fertility \( (\text{Sakkakbaek et al. 2001}) \), and the observations of reduced insulin-like factor 3 \( (\text{INSL3}) \) \( (\text{Bay et al. 2007}) \) and increased gonadotropin levels \( (\text{Suomi et al. 2006}) \) in cryptorchid boys compared with healthy boys supports an associated testicular dysfunction in these disorders. Furthermore, both conditions are common manifestations of androgen receptor mutations in humans, and can be induced in animals by chemicals that affect testsis development or function \( (\text{Kalfa et al. 2009; Toppari 2008; Toppari et al. 2010}) \).

Based on animal model studies conducted in rats, the reduction in AGD is androgen dose dependent and is associated with outcomes such as reduced penile length, hypospadias, cryptorchidism, and low sperm production \( (\text{Dean and Sharpe 2013; Gray et al. 1999}) \). A “male programming window” during fetal development \( (\text{E15.5–E19.5}) \) has also been identified in rats, during which formation of the external genitalia appears to be particularly susceptible to the effects of chemicals acting as endocrine disruptors \( (\text{Welsh et al. 2008}) \). In contrast to rodents, the genital tubercle in the human is already differentiated as a penis or clitoris at birth. In the present...
In our study population, AGD and penile length were significantly lower in boys with hypospadias or cryptorchidism than in healthy boys. Our observations are based on the study of a relatively large group of subjects, using comparative normative data derived from a contemporary birth cohort study. The findings support the utility of AGD as a biomarker in determining the effects of disruption to androgen function during fetal development (Dean and Sharpe 2013). The supposition that exposure to endocrine disrupters underlies changing trends in common genital birth anomalies can now be tested more reliably in population studies with validated measurements of AGD.
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