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INTRODUCTION

With the aim to contribute to the understanding of the seismotectonics of SE Sicily, (Gambino et al., 2021) (GAMB hereafter) address the northern sector of the Malta Escarpment by studying a set of marine seismic profiles. They make use of both previously published profiles and some original data; the latter belong to a set of high resolution profiles that were acquired during a project not focussed on the Malta Escarpment. These new profiles are located in the northernmost sector of the Malta Escarpment, where its expression is poorly developed; they are very short and with little penetration and bring only a limited contribution to characterize the fault geometry along the Malta Escarpment. As a result, most of the work of GAMB seems based on a reanalysis of published data, in particular of one profile (CIR-01; (Gutscher et al., 2017)).

DISCUSSION

Stratigraphy: The Aftermath of Uncertainties

The seismic profiles analyzed by GAMB belong to different sets and have very different seismic characters and resolution, making seismic facies correlation pretty difficult, also because no tie lines are available. As a result, stratigraphic correlations are highly speculative and the ensuing uncertainties undermine the timing of the tectonic evolution envisaged by GAMB, as well as the age and rate of activity of tectonic structures.

GAMB claim that their PQ1 (Pliocene-early Pleistocene) and PQ2 (middle-late Pleistocene) units correlate with units PQb and PQa of (Camerlenghi et al., 2020), respectively. These units, however, cannot be traced physically from the deep Ionian basin, where they have been defined. The
assumption that the base of units PQ2 can be dated to 650 kyr by analogy with the age extrapolated by Camerlenghi et al. for the base of PQa is only speculative. Equally speculative is considering PQ2 as the distal equivalent of the shallow water calcarenites of the Panchina Fm. that crop out in the Hyblean Plateau. The strata of unit PQ2 are physically disconnected from the Hyblean Plateau and the seismic facies with sub-parallel, highly continuous and high amplitude reflections is indicative of a deep water turbidite system, that was likely fed from the north, along the turbidite valley of Gutscher et al., 2017.

The most critical issue, however, is the Messinian attribution to the unit MES which is taken as characterized by a high reflectivity of the top surface. GAMB describe the MES as composed of gypsum and carbonate sediments but over most of profile CIR-01 the seismic facies does not resemble the typical Messinian units (e.g., Camerlenghi et al., 2020), and often the top surface lacks a high reflectivity (GAMB, Figure 3A). In places the drawing of the top Messinian surface (S2) appears arbitrary as no clear discontinuity can be seen across it and both the seismic facies and the internal stratal geometry are the same as that of the
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overlying unit (GAMB, Figure 4G). The growth strata to the west of the fold (GAMB, Figure 4G; Figure 1A) could well be of Quaternary age and resting on the deforming accretionary wedge (Figure 1C). This last interpretation (Argnani and Bonazzi 2005) is still preferable as commented below.

**Fault Parameters and Seismotectonic Implications: To What Extent Can We Stretch The Data?**

The seismic profiles used by GAMB in their analysis are not uniformly covering the Malta Escarpment, with a 15 km long gap right in the middle of the fault system (GAMB, Figure 5H). The impression is that GAMB have not adopted a hierarchical approach when correlating the faults that they interpreted on seismic profiles, although only the interpretation of profile CIR-01 is shown in their paper. The fault F2 interpreted in this profile is poorly convincing, and it is not present in the adjacent profile MESC-09 (Argnani and Bonazzi 2005). Regrettably the original profiles that GAMB are supposed to use, described perhaps with overemphasis as data with unprecedented resolution, do not really contribute to define the fault pattern.

The 3D displays of the fault system (GAMB, Figures 7, 8) lack a vertical scale and it is not possible to appreciate to which depth the fault planes have been projected. The MESC profiles are the only ones that allow some inference on the deep geometry of the faults (GAMB, Supplementary Figure 2); these profiles suggest that there is only one main fault with a recent splay in its central sector (Figures 1A,B; Argnani and Bonazzi, 2005; Argnani et al., 2012).

GAMB conclude that active extension affects the northern sector of the Malta Escarpment, with fault dimension compatible with the magnitude inferred for the 1,693 earthquake. These conclusions were already stated by (Argnani and Bonazzi, 2005) and were also suggested by previous works based on less regular seismic coverage (e.g., Hirn et al., 1997). The throw analysis carried out by GAMB along the faults suffers from severe uncertainties in the stratigraphic framework and fault correlation, and therefore adds very little in term of seismotectonic implications. A single crustal fault along the Malta Escarpment bounding the half graben basin imaged by seismic data is a more realistic fault system with respect to three separate crustal faults spaced a few km from each other.

**Inferred Tectonic Evolution: Is Complication Really Necessary?**

GAMB propose a rather complicated tectonic evolution of the Malta Escarpment, with a first stage of gravity-driven tectonics during Messinian-Early Pleistocene, that affected the escarpment before the emplacement of the accretionary wedge, followed by a Middle Pleistocene-to-recent extensional tectonics. According to GAMB the same faults are active during both stages; how this could be accomplished is not explained. The concept of gravity-driven tectonics adopted by GAMB is not clearly developed in the text, and the citation of a paper describing a general evolution of the Atlantic margin of Brazil (Darros de Matos, 2000) is not helping in this respect, as there is no obvious analogy to the Malta Escarpment. The overall geometry observed in seismic profile CIR-01 (GAMB, Figure 4A) does not fit with a gravity sliding, as the stratal geometry depicts a basin over the translational sector, where there should be no subsidence (Figure 1C). Gravity spreading is also unlikely because of the lack of a large sedimentary load and of a basal mobile unit (salt or overpressured shale) in the Mesozoic succession. On the other hand, mobility of Messinian salt can be ruled out, as seismic facies recalling salt are not present in this part of the Malta Escarpment. Moreover, the wavelength of both the gravity-originated basin and the extensional basin is the same, and that is against the activity of two different fault systems.

In summary, GAMB conclusions are severely undermined by stratigraphic uncertainties and poor imaging of faults at depth, and the envisaged tectonic evolution seems unnecessarily complicated. A fault system composed of one main crustal fault is simpler and more realistic. In terms of seismic hazard, large earthquakes along an individual fault are expected to have shorter recurrence time with respect to those along each fault in a system composed of three crustal faults.
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