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Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to show how the diagrammatic expansion in fermion exchanges of scalar products of $N$-composite-boson (“coboson”) states can be obtained in a practical way. The hard algebra on which this expansion is based, will be given in an independent publication.

Due to the composite nature of the particles, the scalar products of $N$-coboson states do not reduce to a set of Kronecker symbols, as for elementary bosons, but contain subtle exchange terms between two or more cobosons. These terms originate from Pauli exclusion between the fermionic components of the particles. While our many-body theory for composite bosons leads to write these scalar products as complicated sums of products of “Pauli scatterings” between two cobosons, they in fact correspond to fermion exchanges between any number $P$ of quantum particles, with $2 \leq P \leq N$. These $P$-body exchanges are nicely represented by the so-called “Shiva diagrams”, which are topologically different from Feynman diagrams, due to the intrinsic many-body nature of Pauli exclusion from which they originate. These Shiva diagrams in fact constitute the novel part of our composite-exciton many-body theory which was up to now missing to get its full diagrammatic representation. Using them, we can now “see” through diagrams the physics of any quantity in which enters $N$ interacting excitons — or more generally $N$ composite bosons —, with fermion exchanges included in an exact — and transparent — way.
PACS:
71.35.-y Excitons and related phenomena
05.30.Ch Quantum ensemble theory
05.30.Jp Boson systems
1 Introduction

Over the last few years, we have developed a new many-body procedure [1-3] able to treat interactions between composite excitons, without, at any stage, replacing them by elementary bosons. The challenge was to find an exact but tractable way to take care of Pauli exclusion between the fermionic components of the excitons. This Pauli exclusion is usually included through carrier exchanges in the Coulomb scattering of two excitons. Beside the fact that the effective Coulomb scattering between two bosonized excitons used up to now should have been rejected long ago because it generates an unacceptable non-hermiticity in the effective Hamiltonian of boson-excitons [4,5], — this non-hermiticity being not the signature of any novel relaxation appearing in the problem, but the bare consequence of an inconsistent procedure, — it is fully unsatisfactory to include Pauli exclusion, which is \( N \)-body by essence, through effective Coulomb scatterings between \( \textit{two} \) excitons only: Terms in which the carriers of more than two excitons are mixed by exchange also exist, as well as terms in which the excitons see each other, \( i. \ e. \), “interact”, just by carrier exchange, \( \textit{without} \) any Coulomb process. Among its accomplishments, our new many-body theory for composite excitons allows us to produce these pure exchange terms in a natural way. It is of importance to note that the “scatterings” associated to fermion exchanges being dimensionless by construction, they cannot appear in an effective Hamiltonian with the interaction written as a potential between bosons, whatever the bosonization procedure which produces this potential is, due to a bare dimensional argument. As a main consequence, our many-body theory rules out all attempts to correctly describe many-body effects between composite excitons through an effective Hamiltonian, even at the lowest order in density, since the pure exchange processes are going to be systematically missed. Let us stress that these pure exchange terms are crucial for all semiconductor optical nonlinear effects, because they are responsible for processes which are dominant at large detuning (see the final results of references [6-9]).

Quite recently [10], we have formally extended this many-body theory for composite excitons to any type of composite bosons — “cobosons” in short — made of two different fermions \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), having in mind its possible extension to the many-body physics of ultracold atomic gases. This extension is conveniently done by introducing an (arbitrary)
orthogonal basis for free fermion pairs, with a closure relation given by

\[ I = \sum_{k_{\alpha}, k_{\beta}} \langle k_{\beta}, k_{\alpha} \rangle |k_{\alpha}, k_{\beta}\rangle. \]  

(1)

Any composite-boson state \(|i\rangle\) made of one fermion \(\alpha\) and one fermion \(\beta\) expands on this basis as

\[ |i\rangle = \sum_{k_{\alpha}, k_{\beta}} \langle k_{\beta}, k_{\alpha} \rangle |k_{\alpha}, k_{\beta}\rangle \]

(2)

So that the creation operator of this one-coboson state \(|i\rangle\) reads in terms of the creation operators for free fermions, \(|k_{\alpha}, k_{\beta}\rangle = a_{k_{\alpha}}^\dagger b_{k_{\beta}}^\dagger \rangle |v\rangle\) as

\[ B_i^\dagger = \sum_{k_{\alpha}, k_{\beta}} \langle k_{\beta}, k_{\alpha} \rangle a_{k_{\alpha}}^\dagger b_{k_{\beta}}^\dagger. \]  

(3)

If the composite bosons \(|i\rangle\) also form a complete orthogonal set [11] for one-fermion-pair states, as for \(|i\rangle\) being the one-pair eigenstates of the Hamiltonian, we also have

\[ I = \sum_i |i\rangle \langle i|, \]  

(4)

so that the free-fermion-pair creation operators can, in the same way, be written in terms of coboson operators as

\[ a_{k_{\alpha}}^\dagger b_{k_{\beta}}^\dagger = \sum_i \langle i|k_{\alpha}, k_{\beta}\rangle B_i^\dagger. \]  

(5)

This last equation allows to rewrite any physical quantity dealing with \(N\) pairs of fermions \((\alpha, \beta)\), in terms of matrix elements between \(N\)-coboson states like

\[ \langle v|B_{mN} \cdots B_{m1} f(H) B_{i1}^\dagger \cdots B_{iN}^\dagger |v\rangle, \]  

(6)

(with additional functions of the system Hamiltonian \(H\) possibly in other places than the middle). In order to calculate these matrix elements, we first push \(f(H)\) to the right, using the commutator \([f(H), B_i^\dagger]\) which can be deduced from

\[ [H, B_i^\dagger] = E_i B_i^\dagger + V_i^\dagger, \]  

(7)

the above equation being valid when the one-pair state \(|i\rangle\) is a one-pair eigenstate [12] of the Hamiltonian, \((H - E_i)|i\rangle = 0\). The “creation potential” \(V_i^\dagger\) is then eliminated from the matrix element through

\[ [V_i^\dagger, B_j^\dagger] = \sum_{mn} \xi^{n i}_{m j} B_m^\dagger B_n^\dagger, \]  

(8)
where $\xi^{(n \, j)}_{(m \, i)}$ is the direct interaction scattering between cobosons in states $(i, j)$ ending in states $(m, n)$. It comes from the interactions which exist between the fermions $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the cobosons $(i, j)$: This scattering is “direct” in the sense that the cobosons $m$ and $i$ are made with the same fermion pair, and similarly for $n$ and $j$.

The $f(H)$’s of physical interest are $1/(a - H)$ which appears in problems dealing with correlation effects or response functions and $e^{-iHt}$ in problems dealing with time evolution. The precise values of $[f(H), B^\dagger_i]$ in terms of $V^\dagger_j$, for these $f(H)$, can be found in eqs. (10-11) of reference [3].

By pushing $f(H)$ to the right, we generate a lot of scatterings $\xi$. Being direct scatterings between two cobosons by construction, the corresponding terms can be visualized through diagrams with scatterings between two coboson lines, as in Fig.1: The diagrams representing these direct processes between cobosons are very similar to Feynman diagrams for elementary quantum particles, like electrons interacting through Coulomb interaction.

The original – and difficult – part of problems dealing with interacting composite bosons is the calculation of the remaining terms, i.e., terms like the one of eq. (6) with $f(H)$ replaced by 1. The scalar products of these $N$-coboson states can a priori be calculated by pushing the $B$’s to the right, through the commutator

$$[B_m, B^\dagger_i] = \delta_{m,i} - D_{mi},$$

and by eliminating the deviation-from-boson operator $D_{mi}$ through [1,10]

$$[D_{mi}, B^\dagger_j] = \sum_n \left[ \lambda^{(n \, j)}_{(m \, i)} + \lambda^{(m \, j)}_{(n \, i)} \right] B^\dagger_n,$$

which follows from eqs. (3,5) and the fact that $\langle m|i \rangle = \delta_{m,i}$ for cobosons forming an orthonormal set. The 2-body Pauli scatterings $\lambda^{(n \, j)}_{(m \, i)}$ which appear in these manipulations, read in terms of the coboson wave functions $\phi_i(r_\alpha, r_\beta) = \langle r_\beta, r_\alpha|i \rangle$ as

$$\lambda^{(n \, j)}_{(m \, i)} = \int dr_{\alpha_1} \, dr_{\beta_1} \, dr_{\alpha_2} \, dr_{\beta_2} \phi^*_m(r_{\alpha_1}, r_{\beta_2}) \phi^*_n(r_{\alpha_2}, r_{\beta_1}) \phi_i(r_{\alpha_1}, r_{\beta_1}) \phi_j(r_{\alpha_2}, r_{\beta_2}),$$

the cobosons of the bottom line, $m$ and $i$, by construction having the same fermion $\alpha$, here located at $r_{\alpha_1}$.

The commutators (9,10) make the $N$-coboson scalar products reading as a sum of products of Pauli scatterings between two cobosons. For $N = 2$, these commutators
readily give [1,10]
\[ \langle v | B_m B_n B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger | v \rangle = \delta_{m,i} \delta_{n,j} + \delta_{m,j} \delta_{n,i} - \lambda \binom{n}{m} \binom{m}{i} , \] (12)
which is represented by the diagrams of Fig.2. For cobosons considered as elementary bosons, the Pauli scatterings \( \lambda \) reduce to zero, so that such a scalar product only contains the \( \delta \) terms, i.e., the two first diagrams of Fig.2.

\( N \)-coboson scalar products can still be calculated rather easily for \( N = 3 \) by using the commutators (9,10). We find
\[ \langle v | B_m B_n B_p B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_k^\dagger | v \rangle = \left[ \delta_{m,i} \delta_{n,j} \delta_{p,k} + \text{perm.}\right] - \left[ \delta_{m,i} \lambda \binom{p}{n} \binom{k}{j} + \text{perm.}\right] + \sum_s \left[ \lambda \binom{p}{n} \lambda \binom{s}{m} + \text{perm.}\right]. \] (13)
However, such a pedestrian procedure becomes totally hopeless when the number of cobosons \( N \) gets large. This is why it is highly necessary to find a better procedure to calculate these scalar products, if we want to handle many-body effects between cobosons really, i.e., not the ones involving just two or three composite bosons.

The purpose of this paper is to propose a direct procedure to construct the diagrammatic expansion in fermion exchanges of the scalar products of \( N \)-coboson states and to calculate the corresponding diagrams in a “visual” way, any physical effect involving \( N \) pairs of fermions ultimately reading in terms of these scalar products.

Before going further, let us make clear the fact that “excitons” are nothing but particular “cobosons”, the ones made with electron-hole pairs interacting by Coulomb potentials. Many-body effects in semiconductors are due to interactions between the \( N \) electron-hole pairs contained in the sample, these \( N \) pairs being usually called “\( N \) excitons”, improperly. Indeed, the creation operator of an exciton is a well defined mathematical object (see eq. (3)), so that the \( N \)-exciton state \( B_{i_1}^\dagger \cdots B_{i_N}^\dagger | v \rangle \) is mathematically defined without ambiguity. On the opposite, the excitons are not well defined physical objects for systems having more than one electron-hole pair, since there is no way to identify these objects properly due to the undistinguishability of the carriers from which they are made. In order to make specific this difference in vocabulary — which of course covers a difference in the understanding — let us consider the ground state of \( N \) electron-hole pairs. This \( N \)-pair state is close to the \( N \)-exciton state \( B_{i_1}^\dagger \cdots B_{i_N}^\dagger | v \rangle \), with \( B_{i_0}^\dagger | v \rangle \) being the one-pair ground state, which also is the exciton ground state. Due to Pauli and Coulomb scatterings between
excitons, the \( N \)-pair ground state also has contributions on other \( N \)-exciton states like
\[
B_{i\neq 0}^\dagger B_{j\neq 0}^\dagger B_0^{\dagger N-2}|v\rangle.
\]
Consequently, as already said in connection with eq. (6), the physics involving \( N \) electron-hole pairs — \( N \) fermion pairs in general — ultimately reads in terms of the \( N \)-coboson states considered here. Their scalar products thus constitute the keys to control all many-body effects involving \( N \) fermion pairs in the low density limit.

In usual problems dealing with \( N \) cobosons, most of them are in the same state 0 (often the ground state). A few years ago [13,14], we have calculated the simplest of these scalar products, namely
\[
\langle v|B_0^N B_0^{\dagger N}|v\rangle = N! F_N .
\]
(14)

Its calculation turned out to be rather tricky already. While \( F_N \) reduces to 1 if the cobosons are taken as elementary bosons, we have been surprised to find that, for composite bosons, \( F_N \) is not a corrective factor of the order of 1, but an underextensive quantity which decreases exponentially with \( N \). In the case of 3D excitons, \( F_N \) precisely reads [13,14]
\[
F_N \simeq \exp N \left[ -\frac{33\pi}{4} \eta + \frac{233\pi^2}{6} \eta^2 + \cdots \right] ,
\]
(15)
where \( \eta = Na_X^3/L^3 \) is the exciton density in Bohr radius unit, so that, although \( \eta \) is always small when excitons exist, \( N\eta \) can be much larger than 1 if the sample is very large. Actually, in physical quantities, this underextensive factor only enters through ratios like \( F_{N-p}/F_N \), so that, as possibly seen from to eq. (15), the correction these ratios induce [14] reduces to \( 1 + O(\eta) \).

In a work [15] dealing with the Hamiltonian expectation value in the \( N \)-ground-state-exciton state — which is a way to reach the part of the ground state energy of \( N \) electron-hole pairs coming from their interactions treated in the Born approximation, — we have been led to calculate two other scalar products of \( N \)-exciton states, with one or two excitons in a state different from 0 on the same side, namely \( \langle v|B_0^{N-1}B_mB_0^{\dagger N}|v\rangle \) and \( \langle v|B_0^{N-2}B_mB_nB_0^{\dagger N}|v\rangle \). In order to get them, we first derived recursion relations between these scalar products for \( N, (N-1) \ldots \) excitons in terms of the Pauli scatterings \( \lambda \) between two excitons, using eqs. (9,10). These recursion relations allow us to generate the expansion of these scalar products in “Pauli diagrams”, i.e., diagrams written with \( 2 \times 2 \) Pauli scatterings. These diagrams make appearing a lot of irrelevant intermediate exciton states over which sums are taken, the final result only depending on the exciton states
involved in the matrix element at hand.

We started to realize that the “Pauli diagrams” involving scatterings between two excitons only, were definitely not the good diagrammatic representation of the $N$-exciton state scalar products, when we tried to calculate $\langle v | B_0^{N-1} B_m B_i^{\dagger} B_0^{1N-1} | v \rangle$, with an exciton different from 0 on each side [16]. Indeed, depending on the way we perform the commutations of the $B$’s with the $B^{\dagger}$’s, we generate different recursion relations which give rise to topologically different Pauli diagrams, although all these diagrams, of course, represent the same quantity. In order to show the equivalence of all these Pauli diagrams, we were forced to formally perform the summation over the (irrelevant) intermediate exciton states generated by the $2 \times 2$ Pauli scatterings. This revealed to us that, although there is not a one-to-one correspondance, the sets of Pauli diagrams we had obtained, and which are topologically so different, in fact correspond to a unique set of new diagrams that we call “Shiva diagrams” [17], in which the intermediate irrelevant exciton states do not appear anymore. These Shiva diagrams make transparent the carrier exchanges which take place between two or more of the excitons appearing in the scalar product of interest. This is after all rather satisfactory because carrier exchanges can a priori exist between more than just two excitons. It is also clear that multiple carrier exchanges can be decomposed into a set of $2 \times 2$ exchanges, this decomposition being in most cases not unique; this is why the various representations of a given matrix element in Pauli diagrams with $2 \times 2$ scatterings end by appearing very differently.

These Shiva diagrams turn out to be quite convenient for a systematic expansion in fermion exchanges of $N$-coboson state scalar products. By adding to them the direct scatterings $\xi$ between two coboson lines, which come from the $H$ contributions to the matrix elements of interest, we end by having found the full diagrammatic representation of our new many-body theory for composite bosons, with all possible fermion exchanges included in an exact — and transparent — way.

It is of importance to note that, just from a bare counting of the number of events, we are led to associate the density expansion of any physical effect involving the interactions of $N$ identical composite bosons to Shiva diagrams with an increasing number of coboson lines: The first order term in density is made of diagrams having two coboson lines, the second order term is made of diagrams with three lines, and so on, these coboson lines...
being connected by direct interactions between the fermions making the cobosons and/or by fermion exchanges between these cobosons. This is why, in order to possibly generate the density expansion of many-body effects between composite bosons, it is necessary to master the expansion in fermion exchanges of all the possible scalar products of $N$-coboson states. The Shiva diagrams we here present appear as highly necessary to visualize them, due to the extreme complexity of these exchanges when a large number of cobosons are involved.

In this paper, we draw and show how to calculate the Shiva diagrams for six scalar products of increasing complexity. This appears to us the best way to make the reader grasping how these new diagrams really work for the calculations of coboson-state scalar products.

In the various physical effects we have up to now studied, we have had to use some of these scalar products. The ones of sections 3 and 4 enter the density expansion of the Hamiltonian expectation value in the state made with $N$ ground state excitons [15]. They also enter the detuning dominant term of the Faraday rotation produced in photoexcited semiconductors [9]. We have used the scalar products of section 5 to get the next order term in detuning of this Faraday rotation — which comes from processes in which one Coulomb interaction enters [9]. Finally, the knowledge of the scalar product calculated in section 6 is necessary to obtain the time evolution of $N$ ground state excitons, in order to get the transition rates and lifetime of this state as done in ref. [18]. In these previous works, the calculation of the scalar products was somehow hidden in appendices or just not given at all in the case of letter publications. Since their knowledges are necessary to calculate any other physical effect involving $N$ fermion pairs we are going to study in the future, it appears to us as necessary to collect all these results in a single paper, its coherent presentation making clear the structure of the various exchanges entering these scalar products.

The precise rules to calculate these Shiva diagrams are based on the recursion relations which exist between scalar products involving $N$, $N - 1$, $N - 2$, ... cobosons. The precise algebraic derivation of these rules turns out to be extremely heavy. This is why we found more appropriate to concentrate their derivations in a second (highly technical) paper and to, in this first paper, just leave the description of what has to be done in practice, if we
want to calculate a given \(N\)-coboson state scalar product. In reference [16], it is already possible to find the justification of these expansions in the case of the scalar products considered in sections 3 and 6.

2 Description of Shiva diagrams and rules to get their explicit values

Before explaining how the diagrammatic expansion in fermion exchanges of the scalar product of \(N\)-coboson states can be carried out in a practical way, let us first introduce the \(N\)-body Shiva diagrams which enter these expansions, in an intuitive way.

(i) In the case of \(N = 2\) cobosons, one of the possible fermion exchanges between cobosons \((i, j)\) shown in Fig.3(a), corresponds to have \((m, i)\) made with the same fermion \(\alpha\) and \((m, j)\) made with the same fermion \(\beta\). Actually, this fermion exchange is topologically equivalent to the diagram shown in Fig.3(b); so that we can note it in either way,

\[
\lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} n & j \\ m & i \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} m & i \\ n & j \end{pmatrix}.
\]

(16)

By convention, in all the \(\lambda_N\)'s that we are going to introduce, the cobosons of the lower lines, here \((m, i)\) or \((n, j)\), are made with the same fermion \(\alpha\). These two \(\lambda_2\)'s correspond to the integral given in eq. (11), i.e., the Pauli scattering appearing in the commutator between 2 cobosons given in eq. (10). This can be readily seen from Fig.4(a) and the rules to calculate Shiva diagrams that we now give.

(ii) Rules to calculate Shiva diagrams

- Take the wave functions of the “in” cobosons, i.e., \((i, j)\) in the case of \(\lambda_2\), and the complex conjugate of the wave functions of the “out” cobosons, i.e., \((m, n)\) in the case of \(\lambda_2\).

- Write the wave functions of the “in” cobosons 1,2,...,\(N\) with the variables \((r_{\alpha_1}, r_{\beta_1}), (r_{\alpha_2}, r_{\beta_2}), \ldots,(r_{\alpha_N}, r_{\beta_N})\) (see Fig.4).

- Write the wave functions of the “out” cobosons with the variables you read on the Shiva diagram: In the case of \(\lambda_2\), the wave function of the coboson \(m\) appears as
\[ \phi_m^*(r_{\alpha_1}, r_{\beta_2}), \text{ since the coboson } m \text{ has the same fermion } \alpha \text{ as } i \text{ and the same fermion } \beta \text{ as } j \text{ (see Fig.4).} \]

- Sum over all variables \((r_{\alpha_i}, r_{\beta_i})\).

(iii) In the case of \(N = 3\) cobosons, one of the possible fermion exchanges, shown in Fig.3c, corresponds to have the cobosons \((m, i)\) made with the same fermion \(\alpha\) — and similarly for \((p, j)\) and \((n, k)\) — while the cobosons \((m, j)\) have the same fermion \(\beta\) — and similarly for \((n, i)\) and \((p, k)\). This fermion exchange between three cobosons can be represented by one of the three topologically equivalent diagrams shown in Figs. 3(c), 3(d) and 3(e). So that it can be noted in either way,

\[
\lambda_3 \begin{pmatrix} p & k \\ n & j \\ m & i \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_3 \begin{pmatrix} n & i \\ m & k \\ p & j \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_3 \begin{pmatrix} m & j \\ p & i \\ n & k \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}
\]

the cobosons of the lower lines, namely, \((m, i)\), \((p, j)\) or \((n, k)\), again having the same fermion \(\alpha\), by convention. These three \(\lambda_3\)'s represent the same exchange process which, according to the rules to calculate Shiva diagrams given above, is associated to the integral

\[
\int dr_{\alpha_1} dr_{\alpha_2} dr_{\alpha_3} dr_{\beta_1} dr_{\beta_2} dr_{\beta_3} \phi_p^*(r_{\alpha_2}, r_{\beta_3}) \phi_n^*(r_{\alpha_3}, r_{\beta_1}) \phi_m^*(r_{\alpha_1}, r_{\beta_2}) \times \phi_i(r_{\alpha_1}, r_{\beta_1}) \phi_j(r_{\alpha_2}, r_{\beta_2}) \phi_k(r_{\alpha_3}, r_{\beta_3}), \tag{18}
\]

since \(m\) and \(i\) have the same fermion \(\alpha\) located at \(r_{\alpha_1}\), and so on (see Fig.4b).

(iv) In the case of \(N = 4\) cobosons, one of the possible fermion exchanges corresponds to have the cobosons \((m, i)\) (as well as \((p, j)\), \((n, k)\) and \((q, l)\)) made with the same fermion \(\alpha\) and \((m, j)\) (as well as \((n, i)\), \((p, l)\) and \((q, k)\)) made with the same fermion \(\beta\). This fermion exchange between four cobosons can be represented by one of the four topologically equivalent diagrams shown in Figs. 3(f), 3(g), 3(h) and 3(i). So that it can be noted in either way,

\[
\lambda_4 \begin{pmatrix} q & l \\ p & k \\ n & j \\ m & i \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_4 \begin{pmatrix} n & k \\ q & i \\ m & l \\ p & j \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_4 \begin{pmatrix} p & j \\ m & l \\ q & i \\ n & k \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_4 \begin{pmatrix} m & i \\ n & j \\ p & k \\ q & l \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}
\]
the cobosons of the lower line, \((m, i)\), \((p, j)\), \((n, k)\) or \((q, l)\), again having the same fermion \(\alpha\) by convention. These four \(\lambda_4\)'s represent the same exchange process which, according to Fig.4(c) and the rules to calculate Shiva diagrams, is associated to the integral,

\[
\int d\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_1} d\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_2} d\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_3} d\mathbf{r}_{\beta_1} d\mathbf{r}_{\beta_2} d\mathbf{r}_{\beta_3} d\mathbf{r}_{\beta_4} \phi^*_i(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_4}, \mathbf{r}_{\beta_3}) \phi^*_p(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbf{r}_{\beta_1}) \phi^*_n(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbf{r}_{\beta_1}) \phi^*_m(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbf{r}_{\beta_2}) \\
\times \phi_i(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_1}, \mathbf{r}_{\beta_1}) \phi_j(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_2}, \mathbf{r}_{\beta_2}) \phi_k(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_3}, \mathbf{r}_{\beta_3}) \phi_l(\mathbf{r}_{\alpha_4}, \mathbf{r}_{\beta_4}) . \quad (20)
\]

And so on...

In the following, it will be convenient to extend the concept of “fermion exchange” to \(N = 1\) coboson, with \(\lambda_1(m i)\) reducing to \(\delta_{mi}\).

We can note that, when the number of cobosons is even, the topologically equivalent Shiva diagrams are connected \(2 \times 2\), by an up/down symmetry (as seen from diagrams of Figs. 3.(a) and 3.(b), 3.(f) and 3.(i), 3.(g) and 3.(h)): This is \(a\ priori\) possible because the lower and upper lines then are fermion \(\alpha\) lines. In the case of an odd number of cobosons however, the upper line being a fermion \(\beta\) line, such an up/down symmetry does not exist.

We can also note that, in systems with translational invariance, due to momentum conservation in fermion exchanges, a precise calculation of these integrals must end by showing that the sum of coboson momenta on each side must be equal, \(Q_m + Q_n + Q_p + \cdots = Q_i + Q_j + Q_k + \cdots\), for these Shiva diagrams to differ from zero.

### 3 Diagrammatic expansion of \(\langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_0^{iN-1} | v \rangle\)

The simplest scalar product of \(N\)-coboson states is for sure the one in which all the cobosons are in the same state 0, \(i.e., i = 0\). It reduces to \(N!\) if the cobosons are taken as elementary bosons. For composite bosons, fermion exchanges between these composite particles introduce an additional factor, called \(F_N\) in our previous works [13,14]; so that

\[
\langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_0^{iN} | v \rangle = N! F_N . \quad (21)
\]

We have obtained \(F_N\) from the recursion relation between the \(F_N\)'s derived through the recursion relation between the scalar products of \(N\), \((N-1)\), \((N-2)\),... cobosons 0. Actually, this recursion relation is quite easy to recover from the expansion of \(\langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_0^{iN-1} | v \rangle\) by taking \(i = 0\) in the end.
It is rather obvious that the scalar product of $N$-coboson states with one coboson $i$ different from 0 must contain terms in which the coboson $i$ is involved in fermion exchanges with a certain amount $(P - 1)$ of the $(N - 1)$ cobosons 0 of the right, to produce $P$ of the $N$ cobosons 0 of the left, the other $(N - P)$ cobosons 0 of this matrix element being not involved in this exchange; so that they simply appear as $\langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_i^{N-P} | v \rangle$. This idea leads to the expansion shown in Fig.5(a), where the prefactor

$$A_P^N = N(N-1) \cdots (N-p+1) = \frac{(N)!}{(N-P)!}, \quad (22)$$

on the left, corresponds to the number of ways to choose the $P$ cobosons 0 among $N$ which are produced in fermion exchanges of the coboson $i$ with $(P - 1)$ cobosons 0, while the factor $A_{N-1}^{P-1}$ on the right comes from the number of ways to choose the $(P - 1)$ cobosons 0 among the $(N - 1)$ cobosons 0 of the right, which are involved in these fermion exchanges. Since the scalar product of $(N - P)$ cobosons 0 is $(N - P)! F_{N-P}$, this leads to [16]

$$\langle v | B_0^N B_i^N B_0^{N-1} | v \rangle = \sum_{P=1}^N [(N - P)! F_{N-P}] A_P^N S_i^{(P)} A_{N-1}^{P-1}$$

$$= N! \sum_{P=1}^N \frac{(N-1)!}{(N-P)!} F_{N-P} S_i^{(P)}, \quad (23)$$

which is shown in Fig.5(b).

The remaining diagrams $S_i^{(P)}$ of this figure correspond to all possible fermion exchanges between the $P$ cobosons appearing in these diagrams, namely $P$ cobosons 0 on the left and the coboson $i$ plus $(P - 1)$ cobosons 0 on the right, with the constraint that the cobosons 0 are “never alone”: Indeed, we have already counted exchange processes in which cobosons 0 are not involved in exchanges with $i$ when we have extracted $\langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_i^{N-P} | v \rangle$ from the scalar product, to produce the expansion of Fig.5(a). The number inside the circle of Fig.(5) is the total number $P$ of cobosons involved in these fermion exchanges. $S_i^{(P)}$ just corresponds to the Shiva diagram between $P$ cobosons shown in Fig.6, with an additional minus sign if the number of exchanges is odd, as usual. So that

$$S_i^{(P)} = (-1)^{(P-1)} X_i^{(P)} = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \end{array} \right) \lambda_P \quad (24)$$
Note that, when all the cobosons but one are in the same state 0, the value of the Shiva diagram does not depend on the position of the index $i$, as easy to see from Fig.3. Also note that, for problems with translational invariance, due to momentum conservation in fermion exchanges, these Shiva diagrams differ from zero for $Q_0 = Q_i$ only.

All this leads to the diagrammatic expansion in fermion exchanges of $\langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_0^{i+N-1} | v \rangle$ shown in Fig.7.

By setting $i = 0$, we readily recover the recursion relation between the $F_N$’s as

$$F_N = F_{N-1} - (N - 1)F_{N-2} \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + (N - 1)(N - 2)F_{N-3} \lambda_3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \cdots $$  

(25)

4. **Diagrammatic expansion of** $\langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_0^{i+N-2} | v \rangle$

We now consider the scalar product of $N$-coboson states, with two cobosons different from 0 on the same side. Following the ideas used in the preceding section, we are led to think that this matrix element contains terms in which the cobosons $(i, j)$ are involved in fermion exchanges with a certain amount $(P - 2)$ of the $(N - 2)$ cobosons 0 of the right, to produce $P$ of the $N$ cobosons 0 of the left, the remaining $(N - P)$ cobosons 0 of this matrix element staying “spectators” in this fermion exchange, so that they simply appear as $\langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_0^{i+N-P} | v \rangle = (N - P)! F_{N-P}$. This gives the equation shown in Fig.8(a), which leads to

$$\langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_0^{i+N-2} | v \rangle = N! \sum_{P=2}^{N} \frac{(N - 2)!}{(N - P)!} F_{N-P} S_{ij}^{(P)}$$  

(26)

as made clear in Fig.8(b). The remaining diagrams $S_{ij}^{(P)}$ correspond to all possible fermion exchanges between $(i, j)$ and $(P - 2)$ cobosons 0 which produce $P$ cobosons 0, with the constraint that the cobosons 0 are “never alone”.

The first set of exchanges we can think of, is made of connected processes, i.e., Shiva diagrams having $P$ cobosons 0 on the left and the cobosons $(i, j)$ plus $(P - 2)$ cobosons 0 on the right. Due to topological equivalence in Shiva diagrams, we can identify $(P - 1)$
different ones. By collecting them, we are led to introduce

\[ X_{ij}^{(P)} = \lambda_P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & i \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & j \end{pmatrix} + \cdots, \quad (27) \]

with \( i \) staying at the right bottom and \( j \) running to any other of the \( (P - 1) \) levels — or the reverse. This set of exchanges is shown in Fig.9. Note that the previous fermion exchange \( X_i^{(P)} \) is related to \( X_{ij}^{(P)} \) through \( X_{i0}^{(P)} = (P - 1)X_i^{(P)} \).

In \( X_{ij}^{(P)} \), the fermions of the cobosons \( (i, j) \) are connected, either directly or through the fermions of cobosons 0, so that they can have 1 or 0 common fermion. We can also think of exchange processes in which the fermions of cobosons 0, so that they can have 1 or 0 common fermion. We can also think of exchange processes in which the fermions of \( (i, j) \) are not connected at all, like in \( X_i^{(P_1)}X_j^{(P_2)} \) with \( P_1 + P_2 = P \): In these disconnected diagrams, the coboson \( i \) exchanges its fermions with \( (P_1 - 1) \) cobosons 0 to produce \( P_1 \) cobosons 0; and similarly for the coboson \( j \). Note that, while momentum conservation in the connected diagrams only imposes \( 2Q_0 = Q_i + Q_j \) for this diagram to differ from zero, we must have \( Q_0 = Q_i = Q_j \) in the disconnected diagrams \( X_i^{(P_1)}X_j^{(P_2)} \).

This leads to write \( S_{ij}^{(P)} \) as

\[ S_{ij}^{(P)} = (-1)^{P-1}X_{ij}^{(P)} + (-1)^{P-2} \sum_{P_1, P_2 \geq 1; P_1 + P_2 = P} X_i^{(P_1)}X_j^{(P_2)}. \quad (28) \]

The signs are again related to the parity of the number of fermion exchanges: As disconnected diagrams made of two parts have one less fermion exchange than the connected ones involving the same amount of cobosons, the signs of the two terms of \( S_{ij}^{(P)} \) are different.

In Fig.10, we have explicitly shown the exchange diagrams \( S_{ij}^{(P)} \) appearing in Fig.8 for \( P = (2, 3, 4) \) cobosons.
5 Diagrammatic expansion of \( \langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_k^\dagger B_0^{N-3} | v \rangle \)

From a pedagogical point of view, let us consider one more scalar product with all the cobosons on the left side in the same state 0. We first expand this scalar product as in Fig.11(a). Due to eq. (14) for the part with cobosons 0 alone, this leads to Fig.11(b).

The remaining diagrams correspond to all possible fermion exchanges between \((i, j, k)\) and \((P - 3)\) cobosons 0 which produce \(P\) cobosons 0, with the cobosons 0 “never alone”. We can think of connected exchange diagrams, \(X_{ijk}^{(P)}\), made of \((P - 1)(P - 2)\) Shiva diagrams \(\lambda_P\) with \(i\) at the bottom right and \((j, k)\) running to any other possible levels.

We can also think of disconnected exchange diagrams, like \(X_{i}^{(P)} X_{jk}^{(P)}\), with \(P_1 + P_2 = P\), or even like \(X_{i}^{(P)} X_{j}^{(P)} X_{k}^{(P)}\), with \(P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = P\). Note that, while the connected diagrams included in \(X_{ijk}^{(P)}\) differ from zero for \(3Q_0 = Q_i + Q_j + Q_k\), the disconnected diagrams made of two parts, in addition impose one of the three \((Q_i, Q_j, Q_k)\) to be equal to \(Q_0\), while those made of three parts impose \(Q_i = Q_j = Q_k = Q_0\).

As an example, we have drawn, in Fig.12, the set of diagrams for all possible fermion exchanges, in the case of \(P = 4\) cobosons.

6 Diagrammatic expansion of \( \langle v | B_0^{N-1} B_m B_i^\dagger B_0^{N-1} | v \rangle \)

We now turn to scalar products of \(N\)-coboson states with one coboson different from 0 on each side. We again extract the parts having cobosons 0 only, as shown in Fig.13(a). This leads to the expansion of Fig.13(b), which reads [16]

\[
\langle v | B_0^{N-1} B_m B_i^\dagger B_0^{N-1} | v \rangle = (N - 1)! \sum_{P=1}^{N} \frac{(N - 1)!}{(N - P)!} F_{N-P \ m S_i^{(P)}}. \tag{29}
\]

The remaining diagrams \(m S_i^{(P)}\) correspond to all possible exchange processes between the coboson \(i\) and \((P - 1)\) cobosons 0 which produce the coboson \(m\) and the \((P - 1)\) cobosons 0, with the cobosons 0 “never alone”. The first set of exchange processes we can think of, is again made of Shiva diagrams between \(P\) cobosons, now having the coboson \(i\) plus \((P - 1)\) cobosons 0 on the right, and the coboson \(m\) plus \((P - 1)\) cobosons 0 on the left. Due to the topological equivalence of these Shiva diagrams, we can identify \(P\) different
ones. By collecting them, we are led to introduce

\[ mX_i^{(P)} = \lambda P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ m & i \end{pmatrix} + \lambda P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ m & i \end{pmatrix} + \lambda P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ m & i \end{pmatrix} + \cdots , \]  

(30)

with \( i \) staying at the right bottom and \( m \) running to all possible levels on the left (or the reverse). (Note that \( 0X_i^{(P)} = P X_i^{(P)} \)). This set of exchanges is shown in Fig. 14(a).

We can also think of disconnected exchange processes, like \( mX^{(P_1)} X^{(P_2)} \), with \( P_1 + P_2 = P \) and \( mX^{(P_1)} = [X^{(P_1)}]_m \) : In this disconnected exchange, \( P_1 \) cobosons 0 exchange their fermions to produce the coboson \( m \) plus \( (P_1 - 1) \) cobosons 0, while the coboson \( i \) exchanges its fermions with \( (P_2 - 1) \) cobosons 0 to produce \( P_2 \) cobosons 0.

This leads to [16]

\[ mS_i^{(P)} = (-1)^{P-1} mX_i^{(P)} + (-1)^{P-2} \sum_{P_1, P_2 \geq 1}^{P_1 + P_2 = P} mX^{(P_1)} X^{(P_2)} . \]  

(31)

The sign difference is again due to the fact that disconnected processes made of two parts have one fermion exchange less than the connected ones involving the same amount of cobosons. Also note that, while the first term of \( mS_i^{(P)} \) imposes \( Q_m = Q_i \) to differ from zero, the second term, which needs two cobosons at least to take place, \( P \geq 2 \), imposes \( Q_m = Q_i = Q_0 \).

The possible fermion exchanges in the case of \( N = (1, 2, 3) \) cobosons are shown in Figs. 14(b), 14(c) and 14(d). They contain disconnected diagrams made of two parts if, not only \( Q_m = Q_i \), but also \( Q_m = Q_0 = Q_i \).

### 7 Diagrammatic expansion of \( \langle v | B_0^{N-1} B_m B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_0^{N-2} | v \rangle \)

In view of the above examples, the reader most probably starts to understand how the diagrams corresponding to \( N \)-coboson state scalar products have to be drawn and calculated. Let us however give two more examples, to secure this understanding.

In order to calculate \( \langle v | B_0^{N-1} B_m B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_0^{N-2} | v \rangle \), we again start by extracting the parts having cobosons 0 only: This gives Fig. 15(a). From it, we get the expansion shown in
where \( m_{ij}^{(p)} \) corresponds to all fermion exchanges between cobosons \((i, j)\) and \((P - 2)\) cobosons 0 which produce the coboson \( m \) plus \((P - 1)\) cobosons 0.

The connected processes associated to these fermion exchanges correspond to the Shiva diagrams between \( P \) cobosons, having the coboson \( m \) plus \((P - 1)\) cobosons 0 on the left and the cobosons \((i, j)\) plus \((P - 2)\) cobosons 0 on the right. This connected contribution is in fact made of \( P(P - 1) \) topologically different Shiva diagrams, with, for example, \( m \) at the left bottom and \((i, j)\) running to any possible levels on the right. By collecting them, we are led to introduce

\[
m_{ij}^{(P)} = \lambda_{ij}^{(P)} + \lambda_{ij}^{(P)} + \cdots + \lambda_{ij}^{(P)} + \cdots \ (i \leftrightarrow j).
\]

We can also think of disconnected diagrams, with still \( m \) on the left and \((i, j)\) on the right. These disconnected diagrams can be made of two parts, as in \( m_{ij}^{(P_1)}X_{ij}^{(P_2)} \) or \( m_{ij}^{(P_1)}X_{ij}^{(P_2)}X_{ij}^{(P_3)} \), or three parts as in \( m_{ij}^{(P_1)}X_{ij}^{(P_2)}X_{ij}^{(P_3)} \).

The possible fermion exchanges entering \( m_{ij}^{(P)} \) end by appearing as

\[
m_{ij}^{(P)} = (-1)^{P-1} m_{ij}^{(P)} + (-1)^{P-2} \sum_{P_1, P_2 \geq 1; P_1 + P_2 = P} m_{ij}^{(P_1)}X_{ij}^{(P_2)}X_{ij}^{(P_3)} + \cdots
\]

The signs are again linked to the parity of the number of exchanges involved.

While the first term of \( m_{ij}^{(P)} \) must have \( Q_m + Q_0 = Q_i + Q_j \) to differ from zero, the second term in addition imposes \( Q_i = Q_0 \) (or \( Q_j = Q_0 \)), the third term imposes \( Q_m = Q_0 \) and the last term imposes all the three \((Q_m, Q_i, Q_j)\) to be equal to \( Q_0 \). Also note that,
for the last two terms of \( mS_{ij}^{(P)} \) to exist, the total number \( P \) of cobosons involved in these
fermion exchanges has to be larger than 2.

The \( mS_{ij}^{(P)} \)'s for \( P = (2, 3) \) are shown in Fig.16.

8 Diagrammatic expansion of \( \langle v | B_0^{N-2} B_m B_n B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_0^\dagger | v \rangle \)

As a last example, we now consider scalar products in which two cobosons on each side
differ from 0. We have used the expression of this scalar product in our work on the
lifetime and scattering rates of \( N \) composite excitons [18].

In order to calculate this scalar product, we first again extract the parts only having
cobosons 0. This leads to the expansion of Figs. 17(a) and 17(b) which gives

\[
\langle v | B_0^{N-2} B_m B_n B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_0^\dagger | v \rangle = (N - 2)! \sum_{P=2}^{N} \frac{(N - 2)!}{(N - P)!} F_{N-P} \frac{m}{m} S_{ij}^{(P)} ,
\]

where \( mS_{ij}^{(P)} \) contains all possible fermion exchanges between \( P \) cobosons, connected
or not, in which the cobosons \((i, j)\) exchange their fermions with \((P - 2)\) cobosons 0
to produce the cobosons \((m, n)\) plus \((P - 2)\) cobosons 0, in all possible ways, with the
cobosons 0 “never alone”.

In \( mS_{ij}^{(P)} \) enter connected diagrams which correspond to the Shiva diagrams having
the cobosons \((m, n)\) plus \((P - 2)\) cobosons 0 on the left and the cobosons \((i, j)\) plus \((P - 2)\)
cobosons 0 on the right. There are \( P(P - 1)^2 \) topologically different Shiva diagrams
of this type. We collect them into

\[
mS_{ij}^{(P)} = \lambda_P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \lambda_P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots + \lambda_P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \cdots ,
\]

in which \( i \) stays at the right bottom while \((m, n, j)\) run to any other positions. Figure 18
shows the 12 diagrams making \( mS_{ij}^{(P)} \) for \( P = 3 \).

In addition to these connected diagrams, there are also disconnected diagrams which
correspond to all possible products of fermion exchanges with \((m, n)\) on the left and
(i, j) on the right. For disconnected diagrams made of two parts, we can think of terms like \( mnX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_j \), or \( mnX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_j \) with \( mnX^{(P_1)}_i = [X^{(P_1)}_{mn}]^* \), or even \( mX^{(P_1)}_nX^{(P_2)}_j \). For disconnected diagrams made of three parts, we can think of terms like \( mnX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_jX^{(P_3)}_k \) or \( mX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_jX^{(P_3)}_k \) etc... We can also have disconnected diagrams made of four parts which correspond to terms like \( mX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_jX^{(P_3)}_kX^{(P_4)}_l \).

All this leads to write \( mnS_{ij}^{(P)} \) as

\[
mnS_{ij}^{(P)} = (-1)^{P-1} mnX^{(P)}_{ij} \\
+ (-1)^{P-2} \sum_{P_1, P_2 \geq 1; P_1 + P_2 = P \geq 2} \left[ mX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_j + (i \leftrightarrow j) \right] \\
+ (-1)^{P-2} \sum_{P_1 \geq 2; P_2 \geq 1; P_1 + P_2 = P \geq 3} \left\{ \left[ mnX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_j + (i \leftrightarrow j) \right] + \left[ mX^{(P_2)}_jX^{(P_1)}_i + (m \leftrightarrow n) \right] \right\} \\
+ (-1)^{P-2} \sum_{P_1, P_2 \geq 1; P_1 + P_2 = P \geq 4} mnX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_j \\
+ (-1)^{P-3} \sum_{P_1, P_2, P_3 \geq 1; P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = P \geq 3} \left\{ \left[ mX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_jX^{(P_3)}_k + (i \leftrightarrow j) \right] + (m \leftrightarrow n) \right\} \\
+ (-1)^{P-3} \sum_{P_1 \geq 2; P_2 \geq 1; P_1 + P_2 + P_3 = P \geq 4} \left[ mnX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_jX^{(P_3)}_k + mX^{(P_2)}_jX^{(P_3)}_kX^{(P_4)}_l \right] \\
+ (-1)^{P-4} \sum_{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 \geq 1; P_1 + P_2 + P_3 + P_4 = P \geq 4} mX^{(P_1)}_iX^{(P_2)}_jX^{(P_3)}_kX^{(P_4)}_l.
\] (37)

The signs are again linked to the number of fermion exchanges. Note that, while \( P \geq 2 \) is imposed by the matrix element at hand, we must have \( P \geq 3 \) for the 3rd and 5th terms of \( mnS_{ij}^{(P)} \) to exist, and \( P \geq 4 \) for the 4th, 6th and 7th terms to exist.

The momentum conservation imposed by the Shiva diagrams appearing in the various \( X \)'s of \( mnS_{ij}^{(P)} \) show that we only need \( Q_m + Q_n = Q_i + Q_j \) for the first term to differ from zero. The second term imposes \( Q_m = Q_i \) and \( Q_n = Q_j \) with \( i \) possibly changed into \( j \). For the third term, we must have, in addition to \( Q_m + Q_n = Q_i + Q_j \), one of the four \( (Q_m, Q_n, Q_i, Q_j) \) equal to \( Q_0 \). The fourth term imposes \( Q_m + Q_n = 2Q_0 = Q_i + Q_j \). The fifth term imposes \( Q_m = Q_i \) and \( Q_n = Q_0 = Q_j \) or any of its permutations. In the sixth term, we must have \( Q_i = Q_j = Q_0 \) and \( Q_m + Q_n = 2Q_0 \) or \( Q_m = Q_n = Q_0 \) and \( Q_i + Q_j = 2Q_0 \), while the last term imposes the four \( (Q_m, Q_n, Q_i, Q_j) \) to be equal to \( Q_0 \).

Fortunately, in the cases of physical interest, only a few of these conditions on the \( Q \)'s are fulfilled, so that the number of terms entering \( mnS_{ij}^{(P)} \) is considerably reduced.
9 Rules to expand scalar products of coboson states in fermion exchanges through Shiva diagrams

In the previous sections, we have shown how to obtain the diagrammatic expansion in fermion exchanges of the scalar products of a few \( N \)-coboson states, with increasing complexity. In view of these examples, we are led to state the following rules to get the expansion of \( \langle v | B_{0}^{N-M} B_{m_{1} \cdots m_{M}} \cdots B_{i_{1} \cdots i_{M'}} B_{0}^{\dagger N-M'} | v \rangle \).

(i) We first extract the scalar products in which only enter cobosons 0, i.e., scalar products like \( \langle v | B_{0}^{N-P} B_{m_{1} \cdots m_{M}} \cdots B_{i_{1} \cdots i_{M'}} B_{0}^{\dagger N-P} | v \rangle \) with \( P \geq \text{sup}(M, M') \). These scalar products are equal to \( (N-P)! \), where the \( F_{N}'s \) can be expanded through Shiva diagrams according to the recursion relation (25) with \( F_{0} = F_{1} = 1 \).

(ii) We add a prefactor which corresponds to the number of ways the \( (N-P) \) cobosons 0 we have extracted can be chosen among the \( (N-M) \) cobosons 0 on the left and the \( (N-M') \) cobosons 0 on the right.

(iii) The remaining parts correspond to all possible fermion exchanges between \( P \) cobosons in which explicitly appear the cobosons \( (m_{1} \cdots m_{M}) \) and \( (i_{1} \cdots i_{M'}) \) which differ from 0, plus the cobosons 0 which have not been extracted to get \( \langle v | B_{0}^{N-P} B_{0}^{\dagger N-P} | v \rangle \). In these remaining parts, the cobosons 0 must stay “never alone” in the fermion exchanges, i.e., each of them has to be connected to at least one of the cobosons different from 0 by exchange processes.

(iv) These fermion exchanges contain processes associated to connected diagrams \( m_{1} \cdots m_{M} X_{i_{1} \cdots i_{M'}}^{(P)} \) which are made of all topologically different Shiva diagrams between \( P \) cobosons, with cobosons \( (m_{1}, \ldots, m_{M}) \) plus \( (P-M) \) cobosons 0 on the left and cobosons \( (i_{1}, \ldots, i_{M'}) \) plus \( (P-M') \) cobosons 0 on the right.

(v) These fermion exchanges also contain processes associated to disconnected diagrams made of products like \( \left( (m) X_{i}^{(P_{1})} \right) \left( (m') X_{i'}^{(P_{2})} \right) \cdots \) with \( P_{1} + P_{2} + \cdots = P \), where \( \{m\}, \{m'\} \ldots \) are taken among \( (m_{1}, \ldots, m_{M}) \) and \( \{i\}, \{i'\} \ldots \) are taken among \( (i_{1}, \ldots, i_{M'}) \), in all possible ways.

(vi) In order for these disconnected diagrams to differ from zero, in problems with translational invariance, we must, in addition to \( Q_{m_{1}} + \cdots + Q_{m_{M}} + (P-M)Q_{0} = Q_{i_{1}} + \cdots + Q_{i_{M'}} + (P-M')Q_{0} \), also have other constraints between \( Q_{0} \) and the various
Q_i’s imposed by the different X’s entering these disconnected diagrams. These additional constraints usually considerably reduce the number of terms appearing in a matrix element of physical interest.

(vii) Finally, we add a \((-1)^f\) prefactor in front of each term, where f is the number of fermion exchanges involved in the term.

(viii) The Shiva diagrams corresponding to the various \(\{m\}_X^{(P)}\) are then calculated along the rules given in section 2.

The quite heavy mathematical derivation of these rules, which are based on recursion relations between scalar products of \(N\), \((N-1)\), \((N-2)\), \ldots-coboson states will be given in an independent paper. Some of these scalar products can already be found in refs. [15,16] in the case of the scalar products of sections (3,4,6).

10 Conclusion

This paper deals with a quite formal, but very fundamental, aspect of our new many-body theory for composite bosons, namely how to calculate the scalar products of \(N\)-composite boson states with all fermion exchanges included in an exact way, when the coboson number \(N\) is large, so that there is no hope to do it in a pedestrian way, by simply using the \(2 \times 2\) Pauli scatterings appearing in our theory.

Through the very “visual” Shiva diagrams for fermion exchanges between \(N\) cobosons we describe here, we propose a systematic procedure to derive these scalar products, as summarized in the section 9 of this paper. Without these diagrams, it is impossible — or at least extremely difficult — to follow the physics associated to fermion exchanges in problems dealing with \(N\) interacting fermion pairs, when \(N\) gets larger than 2. Indeed, all physical quantities involved in fermion-pair many-body effects in the low density limit end by reading in terms of these scalar products, which concentrate all the subtleties coming from exchange processes. The contributions to the fermion-pair many-body effects coming from interactions between fermions appear rather simply through direct scatterings between two coboson lines. Being very similar to Coulomb scatterings between electrons, they are represented by diagrams very similar to the Feynman diagrams. The conceptual difference between the many-body physics of elementary and composite quantum parti-
cles in fact comes from fermion exchanges. These exchanges, which appear in the scalar products of $N$ cobosons, are nicely visualized through the novel Shiva diagrams we here describe.
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Figure 1: A possible direct interaction between three cobosons, starting in states \((i_1, i_2, i_3)\) and ending in states \((j_1, j_2, j_3)\). As in all the diagrams of this paper, the fermions \(\alpha\) are represented by solid lines and the fermions \(\beta\) by dashed lines.
Figure 2: Scalar product of two cobosons given in eq. (12). It contains two sets of $\delta$ terms which correspond to the two upper diagrams. These $\delta$ terms also exist for elementary bosons. This two-coboson scalar product also contains two exchange terms which are missed when cobosons are replaced by elementary bosons. Note that these two exchange diagrams are related by a $(m \leftrightarrow n)$ permutation which is equivalent to a $(i \leftrightarrow j)$ permutation.
Figure 3: (a,b): The two Shiva diagrams representing the fermion exchange between the two cobosons \((i, j)\), in which \(m\) and \(i\) have the same fermion \(\alpha\). (c,d,e): The Shiva diagrams representing the fermion exchanges between the three cobosons \((i, j, k)\), in which \((m, i)\), \((p, j)\) and \((n, k)\) have the same fermion \(\alpha\). (f,g,h,i): The four Shiva diagrams representing the fermion exchanges between the four cobosons \((i, j, k, l)\), in which \((m, i)\), \((p, j)\), \((n, k)\) and \((q, l)\) have the same fermion \(\alpha\).
Figure 4: Shiva diagrams between 2, 3 and 4 cobosons corresponding to the integrals given in eqs. (11, 18, 20).
\[ \sum_{P=1}^{N} \left( \begin{array}{c} N \vspace{1cm} \end{array} \right)_{P} A_{N-P}^P \times A_{N-1}^{P-1} \]

(a)

\[ N! \begin{array}{c} \vdots \vspace{1cm} \end{array} \left( \begin{array}{c} F_{N-1} \vspace{1cm} \end{array} \right)_{i} + (N-1)F_{N-2}^{2} \left( \begin{array}{c} \vdots \vspace{1cm} \end{array} \right)_{i} + (N-1)(N-2)F_{N-3}^{3} \left( \begin{array}{c} \vdots \vspace{1cm} \end{array} \right)_{i} + \ldots \]

(b)

Figure 5: Expansion of the scalar product \( \langle v | B_0^N B_i^0 B_0^{iN-1} | v \rangle \) in terms of \( \langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_0^{iN-P} | v \rangle \), represented by the diagram with 0 cobosons only, as given in (a), and in terms of \( F_{N-P} \) as given in (b), (see eq. (24)). To go from (a) to (b), we just use \( \langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_0^{iN-P} | v \rangle = (N - P)! F_{N-P} \) and the value of \( A_{N}^{P} \) given in eq. (22), which corresponds to the number of ways to choose the \( P \) cobosons 0 of the left, among \( N \).
Figure 6: (a,b,c): Fermion exchanges between coboson $i$ and $(P - 1)$ cobosons 0, for
$P = 1, 2, 3$ respectively. (d): The factor $X_i^{(P)}$ defined in eq. (24), which corresponds to
the Shiva diagram with $P$ cobosons 0 on the left and the coboson $i$ plus $(P - 1)$ cobosons
0 on the right.
Figure 7: Expansion of $\langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_0^{iN-1} | v \rangle$ in Shiva diagrams
Figure 8: Expansion of $\langle v|B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_0^{N-2}|v\rangle$ in terms of $\langle v|B_0^{N-P} B_0^{N-P}|v\rangle$ as given in (a), and in terms of $F_{N-P}$ as given in (b), (see eq. (26)). The way to go from (a) to (b) is the same as in Fig. 5.
Figure 9: Connected fermion exchanges between the cobosons $(i, j)$ and $P - 2$ cobosons 0 leading to $P$ cobosons 0.
Figure 10: Connected and disconnected fermion exchanges between the cobosons \((i, j)\) and \(P - 2\) cobosons 0 leading to \(P\) cobosons 0, for \(P = 2, 3, 4\). Note that in these diagrams, the cobosons 0 are “never alone”, \(i.e.,\) they are connected to \(i\) and/or \(j\). The various columns of indices, which correspond to topologically different diagrams, have to be taken alternatively. So that the first diagram with \(P = 4\) cobosons actually corresponds to 3 diagrams.
Figure 11: Expansion of $\langle v | B_0^N B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_k^\dagger B_0^{iN-3} | v \rangle$ in terms of $\langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_0^{iN-P} | v \rangle$ as given in (a), and in terms of $F_{N-P}$ as given in (b). The way to go from (a) to (b) is the same as in Fig.5.
Figure 12: Topologically different exchange processes between the cobosons \((i, j, k)\) and one coboson 0 leading to 4 cobosons 0. The first two diagrams appear 6 times with different index positions, while the last two diagrams appear 3 times.
Figure 13: Expansion of $\langle v | B_0^{N-1} B_m B_i^\dagger B_0^{N-1} | v \rangle$ in terms of $\langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_0^{N-P} | v \rangle$ as given in (a), and in terms of $F_{N-P}$ as given in (b), (see eq. (29)). The way to go from (a) to (b) is the same as in Fig. 5.
Figure 14: (a): The different connected exchange processes between the coboson $i$ and $(P-1)$ cobosons 0, leading to the coboson $m$ and $(P-1)$ cobosons 0. (b,c,d): Topologically different exchange processes, connected or not, between the coboson $i$ and $(P-1)$ cobosons 0 leading to the coboson $m$ and $(P-1)$ cobosons 0, with $P = 1, 2, 3$. The first Shiva diagram of fig.(d) appears 3 times.
Figure 15: Expansion of $\langle v | B_0^{N-1} B_m B_1 B_2^\dagger B_0^{N-2} | v \rangle$ in terms of $\langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_0^\dagger B_0^{N-P} | v \rangle$ as given in (a), and in terms of $F_{N-P}$ as given in (b), (see eq. (32)). The way to go from (a) to (b) is the same as in Fig.5.
Figure 16: Topologically different exchange processes, connected or not, between the cobosons \((i, j)\) and \((P - 2)\) cobosons 0, giving the coboson \(m\) and \((P - 1)\) cobsons 0, for \(P = 2, 3\). The first diagram of (b) appears 6 times, which corresponds to all the possible positions of \((i, j, 0)\) in this Shiva diagram. And so on.
Figure 17: Expansion of $\langle v | B_0^{N-2} B_m B_n B_i^\dagger B_j^\dagger B_0^{iN-2} | v \rangle$ in terms of $\langle v | B_0^{N-P} B_0^{iN-P} | v \rangle$ as given in (a), and in terms of $F_{N-P}$ as given in (b), (see eq. (35)). The way to go from (a) to (b) is the same as in Fig.5.
Figure 18: The connected exchange process between the cobosons \((i, j, 0)\) giving the cobosons \((m, n, 0)\). This Shiva diagram appears \((6 \times 2)\) times, due to the topologically different positions of the various indices.