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The gastrointestinal tract harbors a highly complex microbial community, which is referred to as gut microbiota. With increasing evidence suggesting that the imbalance of gut microbiota plays a significant role in the pathogenesis of multiple diseases, interactions between the host immune system and the gut microbiota are now attracting emerging interest. Nucleotide-binding and leucine-rich repeat–containing receptors (NLRs) encompass a large number of innate immune sensors and receptors, which mediate the activation of Caspase-1 and the subsequent release of mature interleukin-1β and interleukin-18. Several family members have been found to restrain rather than activate inflammatory cytokines and immune signaling. NLR family members are central regulators of pathogen recognition, host immunity, and inflammation with utmost importance in human diseases. In this review, we focus on the potential roles played by NLRs in controlling and shaping the microbiota community and discuss how the functional axes interconnecting gut microbiota with NLRs impact the modulation of colitis, inflammatory bowel diseases, and colorectal cancer.

Introduction

Intestinal microbiome

Microorganisms cover almost all host mucosal surfaces, residing in different body niches including the gastrointestinal tract, skin, oral cavity, urogenital tract, and respiratory tract. These microorganisms, collectively referred to as microbiota, form an ecological community of commensal, symbiotic, and pathogenic microorganisms and have received increasing attention over the last decade for their immense impact on human health (Cho and Blaser, 2012; Ley et al., 2008). Microbial communities are complex and dynamic, composed of bacteria, fungi, viruses, protozoa, and archaea. The gastrointestinal tract is inhabited by ~1011 trillion microorganisms and over 1,000 different bacterial species, making it the greatest microbial compartment in the body (Gareau et al., 2010; Hooper and Macpherson, 2010). Most microbial analyses rely on fecal samples, which are non-invasively and readily available. However, it is worth noting that fecal profiling does not represent a complete picture of what is occurring within the host and cannot account for the spatial heterogeneity of gut microbiota (Donaldson et al., 2016; Tropini et al., 2017). Within the gastrointestinal system, bacterial species and communities are not uniformly distributed throughout the lumen, with the density and diversity of bacteria increasing along the gut longitudinal axis. Usually, the small intestine is dominated by fast-growing facultative anaerobes, while the cecum and colon favor saccharolytic anaerobes. Meanwhile, the mucous layer also provides habitat for a significant fraction of bacteria in mice and humans (Rogala et al., 2020). Increasing evidence suggests that a highly diverse microbiota helps maintain a healthy mucosal barrier and vice versa (Jarret et al., 2020; Okumura et al., 2016; Propheter et al., 2017; Vaishnava et al., 2011). The interactions between the mucosal-associated microbiome and the host innate immune system deserves much attention as a complement to fecal community studies.

Undeniably, the exciting advances in high-throughput sequencing methods and biological assays during the last 15 yr have accelerated knowledge about the genetic composition of gut microbiota under physiological and pathological conditions, shedding light on the various functions provided by this “forgotten organ” (Durack and Lynch, 2019). The entire genome sequence of a microbial community is referred to as the microbiome. By using metagenomics, metatranscriptomics, metaproteomics, and metametabolomics, combined with the use of various in vivo models (such as germ-free mice), the bacterial diversity, composition, function, and metabolic capacity of the gut microbiota have been widely studied (Schloss and Handelsman, 2005; Turnbaugh and Gordon, 2008; Verberkmoes et al., 2009). It has been shown that gut microbes have essential roles in regulating host metabolism and immunity. The imbalance or dysbiosis of a microbial community is associated with...
potential diseases, risks, and even to the clear onset of clinical symptoms (Cho and Blaser, 2012; Durack and Lynch, 2019; Gareau et al., 2010). As the study of the microbiota has matured, it has become apparent that the microbiota is affected by multiple complex factors, including the host’s genetics, age, sex, diet, lifestyle, living environment, disease status, infections, and metabolism (Rogers et al., 2014; Stappenbeck and Virgin, 2016; Zaneveld et al., 2017). Studies in mouse models suggest that in addition to the genetics background, non-genetic confounding factors such as maternal inheritance and vivarium differences should also be taken into account in order to draw meaningful conclusions and to increase reproducibility in this intense research area (Stappenbeck and Virgin, 2016). Littermate controls and fecal microbiota transplants in germ-free mice are highlighted by many researchers as rigorous and critical experimental designs in this field (Elinav et al., 2018; Mamantopoulos et al., 2018; Wullaert et al., 2018).

Nucleotide-binding domain and leucine-rich repeat–containing receptors (NLRs)

Communication between the host immune system and gut microbiota occurs through the action of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) expressed on or in immune cells. One family of PRRs which is essential for communication between commensal microbes and the host immune system is NLR proteins (Hooper and Macpherson, 2010). NLRs are highly conserved intracellular proteins composed of a central nucleotide-binding and oligomerization domain, a C-terminal leucine-rich repeat domain, and an N-terminal protein–protein interaction domain. There are over 20 identified NLR proteins in humans and over 30 in mice, divided into groups based on structures of the variable N-terminus. The NLRP group of NLRs, for example, contains a pyrin domain at the N-terminus, while the NLRC group contains a caspase activation and recruitment domain (Kanneganti et al., 2007; Ting and Davis, 2005).

As in other PRRs, the defining function of the NLR family is to sense pathogen- or damage-associated molecular patterns (Ting and Davis, 2005; Kanneganti et al., 2007). The NLR family is unique among PRRs in its immense diversity of ligands and downstream effector functions. NLRs act as signaling molecules and scaffolding proteins, and the earliest member, CIITA, is a master transcription factor (Gregory et al., 2011; Ting et al., 2010). A well-defined function of some NLRs, such as NLRP1, NLRP3, NLRC4, and NLRP6, is the activation of a multi-protein complex resulting in the release of mature IL-1 and IL-18, known as the inflammasome. Another major function of NLR proteins involves the modulation of inflammatory signaling pathways, including NF-κB and MAPK. While some NLR family members, such as NOD1 and NOD2, can cause activation of these pathways in response to stimuli, select NLRs, such as NLRX1, NLRC3, and NLRP12, act as negative regulators of inflammatory pathways, adding to the complexity of NLR biology (Allen et al., 2011; Lich et al., 2007; Schneider et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2005; Xia et al., 2011).

The NLR protein family is a diverse group of receptors with critical functions in the regulation of host immunity. In addition, it is becoming more and more evident that the microbiome plays a long-underappreciated role in shaping the host immune system. The current review highlights the growing body of literature characterizing the crosstalk between NLR proteins and the gut microbiome, as well as the immense impact of these complex interactions on intestinal homeostasis and inflammation.

NOD1/2 (NLRC1/2)

NOD1 and NOD2, which share similar structural compositions, are the first and among the best-studied members of the NLR family. NOD1/2 are expressed ubiquitously in a variety of cell types, such as epithelial cells, stromal cells, and endothelial cells (Bertin et al., 1999; Gutierrez et al., 2002; Inohara et al., 1999). These cytosolic proteins are composed of a C-terminal leucine-rich repeat region, a centrally located nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain, and an N-terminal caspase activation and recruitment domain (Chamaillard et al., 2003; Girardin et al., 2003b; Ogura et al., 2001b). As well-known PRRs, they can recognize cytosolic bacterial peptidoglycan fragments with high specificity. Upon ligand binding, NF-κB–ERK–MAPK signaling is activated, resulting in the expression of various pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, as well as production of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and reactive oxygen species (Moreira and Zamboni, 2012). As NOD1/2 contribute to cytosolic surveillance, it is not surprising that these proteins act as important regulators of host–microbe interactions, which also control susceptibility to abnormal intestinal inflammation (Girardin et al., 2003b).

Early studies provide supporting evidence for the antimicrobial role of NOD1 in multiple bacterial infections (Allison et al., 2009; Berrington et al., 2010; Boneca et al., 2007; Frutuoso et al., 2010; Girardin et al., 2003a; Hasegawa et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2004, 2010; Travassos et al., 2005, 2004; Zilbauer et al., 2007). However, the influence of NOD1 in the whole gut microbial community is less evident (Table 1). Bouskra et al. (2008) evaluated the whole bacterial kingdoms in Nod1-deficient mice by quantitative PCR of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) and showed an ~100-fold increase in total bacteria compared with WT mice. Moreover, there were different relative amounts of bacteria in Nod1-deficient mice, including Clostridiales, Bacteroides, and Enterobacteriaceae. However, as these earlier experiments used nonlittermate controls, more work needs to be done to further verify this conclusion. Recently, Yu et al. (2020) found that WT mice (WT2), which were generated by crossing C57BL/6 mice to Nod1-deficient mice, developed significantly more colon tumors in the azoxymethane (AOM)–dextran sodium sulfate (DSS) colitis-associated colon cancer (CAC) model than did the “pure” WT mice (WT1), which were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. Although both WT1 and WT2 colonies originated from C57BL/6 mice from the same vendor and were housed in the same mouse room, they had distinct microbiome compositions. This microbiome difference was directly associated with differential tumor susceptibilities as confirmed by fecal material transplant, cohousing, and cross-fostering experiments. This study not only emphasizes that the gut microbiome can be typically determined by maternal transmission but also indirectly suggests Nod1-deficient mice may harbor a dysbiotic microbiome, which contributes to increased tumor susceptibility.
It has been appreciated that NOD2 expression can be induced by bacterial components (lipopolysaccharides or metabolites (short-chain fatty acids, e.g., butyrate; Inohara and Nunez, 2003; Leung et al., 2009; Ogura et al., 2001a). Petnicki-Ocwieja et al. (2009) showed that Nod2-deficient mice harbored a larger load of bacteria in the ileum and feces than did littermate controls, with elevated abundances of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes (Table 1). Nod2-deficient mice also exhibited decreased antibacterial activity and increased susceptibility to colonization by opportunistic pathogens in the terminal ileum due to impaired crypts function. The advent of next-generation sequencing has made it possible to take an in-depth snapshot of the intestinal bacterial ecosystem and to delineate microbial community structures and composition. A more direct study of NOD2’s influence on the gut microbiome using 16S rRNA gene–based clone library sequencing and high throughput pyrosequencing (Rehman et al., 2011) confirmed that Nod2-deficient mice displayed an elevated bacterial load in fecal and terminal ileal samples compared with WT counterparts. Another study demonstrated that Nod2 deficiency resulted in an expansion of Bacteroides vulgatus, which mediated small intestinal abnormalities and inflammation by affecting interferon-γ-expressing intraepithelial lymphocytes (Ramanan et al., 2014).

NOD2 and its interaction with gut microbiota have been implicated in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), including both Crohn’s disease (CD) and ulcerative colitis (UC) in humans (Trindade and Chen, 2020). Mutations in Nod2 have been investigated as one of the strongest known genetic risk factors in the development of CD (Hugot et al., 2001; Ogura et al., 2001a). Three major single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) of the Nod2 gene (SNP8, SNP12, and SNP13) are reported to be associated with CD and to cause a loss-of-function phenotype toward muramyl dipeptide (Chen et al., 2017b). Rehman et al. (2011) investigated ileal biopsies and feces from CD patients with or without the Nod2 SNP13 mutation and found patients carrying Nod2 variants had increased loads of Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes. A recent work genotyped 178 intestinal samples collected from CD, UC, and control patients for Nod2 risk alleles and suggested that the Nod2 composite genotype was significantly associated with shifts in microbial compositions, especially with compositions of Faecalibacterium and Escherichia (Frank et al., 2011). Others indicated that NOD2 was associated with an increase of Enterobacteriaceae in subjects with higher Nod2 risk allele dosage (Knights et al., 2014).

Consistent with clinical findings, several mouse studies have also confirmed the interaction between NOD2 and microbiota (Table 1). In a 2,4,6-trinitrobenzene sulfonic acid (TNBS)–induced mice colitis model, the protective capacity of Lactobacillus salivarius Ls33 was shown to be NOD2 dependent and correlated with local IL-10 production (Macho Fernandez et al., 2011). Nod2-deficient mice also showed an increased sensitivity and susceptibility in a DSS–induced colitis model.
(Couturier-Maillard et al., 2013). Surprisingly, this effect was transmissible via a cohousing or cross-fostering strategy. The mortality and morbidity of WT mice were significantly enhanced after being cohoused with Nod2-deficient mice, concurrent with higher IL-6 production from dendritic cells (DCs). This effect was further confirmed by a fecal transplantation experiment showing germ-free WT mice suffered more severe disease after receiving feces from Nod2-deficient mice, while germ-free Nod2-deficient mice were rescued after being recolonized with fecal flora from WT mice. Although the majority of studies elucidated the interference of NOD2 with host-microbe interactions, it is worth noting that one group reported NOD2 was not associated with intestinal microbial composition and density (Robertson et al., 2016, 2013). This conflicting conclusion may be due to the use of nonlittermate controls.

**NLRP3**

NLRP3 is an inflammasome-forming NLR family member that plays a critical and well-defined role in the host innate immune response to a diverse array of stimuli (Davis et al., 2011). It is therefore not surprising that NLRP3 activity is implicated in diverse human diseases, including IBD (Davis et al., 2011; Menu and Vince, 2011). It is well documented that NLRP3 responds to various microbes, including commensals, as well as microbial products and metabolites (Camell et al., 2015; Macia et al., 2015; Seo et al., 2015; Singh et al., 2019). However, the consequences of NLRP3 activation in the context of IBD is controversial, as different laboratories have reported conflicting results when mice with genetic knockout or mutation of NLRP3 activation in the context of IBD is controversial, as different laboratories have reported conflicting results when mice with genetic knockout or mutation of NLRP3. While some studies support a protective role for NLRP3 in maintaining intestinal homeostasis through regulation of the microbiota (Seo et al., 2016, 2013). This conflicting conclusion may be due to the use of nonlittermate controls.

More support for a critical role of NLRP3 in maintaining intestinal homeostasis came from more recent studies in the context of hyperactive NLRP3. Croopyrin-associated periodic syndrome (CAPS) is an autoinflammatory disease in humans caused by hyperactive mutations in NLRP3 leading to inflammation in skin, joints, and eyes (Booshehri and Hoffman, 2019; Menu and Vince, 2011). Yao et al. (2017) used mice harboring the Nlrp3R258W mutation, a common mutation found in CAPS patients, to investigate the consequence of hyperactive NLRP3 in the intestine. They found that hyperactive NLRP3 led to decreased abundance of bacteria in the phyla of Actinobacteria, Verrucomicrobia, and Akkermansia, but increased abundance of Lactobacillus. Strikingly, mice with the mutation were highly resistant to both DSS-colitis and DSS-OM CAC. Mechanistically, NLRP3 promoted IL-1β secretion from immune cells in the lamina propria and downstream AMP secretion to remodel the microbiota and induce regulatory T cells as the CAC phenotype was restored in Nlrp3R258W × Il1b−/− mice or with depletion of regulatory T cells via anti-CD25 (Yao et al., 2017). This provides further evidence that NLRP3 functions to maintain intestinal homeostasis through regulation of the microbiota.

Contrary to the previously discussed reports, the Schnurr group reported a proinflammatory and pathogenic role for NLRP3 in the context of colitis (Bauer et al., 2010). The authors reported an ameliorated colitis phenotype, with less severe weight loss and histological scores, in Nlrp3−/− animals and implicated IL-1β production from macrophages in disease pathogenesis. In an attempt to reconcile their results from the conflicting reports, this group published a follow-up study to directly test the impact of the gut microbiome on the severity of DSS-colitis in their Nlrp3−/− mice (Bauer et al., 2012). They associated protection with an increase in tolerogenic CD103+ DCs in the lamina propria, a finding recently expanded upon in Make’Anyengo et al. (2018), which demonstrated NLRP3-dependent inhibition of CD103+ DCs via IL-1β. A later study reported a detrimental role for NLRP3 and its interaction with gut microbiota in the context of intestinal inflammation (Seo et al., 2015). The authors reported that during DSS-colitis, the commensal pathobiont Proteus mirabilis induced robust NLRP3-dependent IL-1β production from infiltrating monocytes but not from resident macrophages present in the lamina propria. NLRP3-dependent IL-1β production in this case was pathogenic, as Il1b−/− mice were significantly protected from weight loss and...
Table 2. Comparison of methodologies and results from studies examining relationships between NLRP3, NLRP6, NLRP12, and the gut microbiota

| Nlrp3 genotype | Disease model | NLRP3 function | Microbiome implicated | Germ-free (GF) strategy | Microbiome changes | Cellular mechanism | Littermates? | Reference |
|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|
| Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> | DSS, TNBS | Protective | Antibiotic treatment | N/A | N/A | ↑Commensal overgrowth and bacteremia ↓Non-hematopoietic cell IL-18 production | No | Zaki et al., 2010 |
| Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> | DSS, TNBS | Protective | TRFLP seq | N/A | ↑Enterobacteriaceae↑Mycobacterium↑Clostridium, etc. ↓Colonic IL-1β, IL-10, TGFβ, and antimicrobial secretions; ↓Neutrophil and macrophage responses | Yes | Hirota et al., 2011 |

| Nlrp3<sup>−/−</sup> | DSS, TNBS | Pathogenic | Antibiotic treatment, cohousing | N/A | N/A | ↑Lamina propria CD103+ tolerogenic DCs | No | Bauer et al., 2012 |

| Nlrp6<sup>−/−</sup> | Steady state, DSS | Protective | Antibiotic treatment, cohousing, 16S seq | N/A | ↑Prevotellaceae | ↓Non-hematopoietic IL-18 production; ↑CCL5 production; ↑Immune cell recruitment | No | Elinav et al., 2011 |
| Listeria, Salmonella, etc., infection | Pathogenic | Cohousing, 16S seq | N/A | ↑Bacteroidetes (Prevotellaceae family) | ↑Monocyte and neutrophil recruitment; ↑NF-kB and ERK activation | No | Anand et al., 2012 |
| AOM-DSS | Protective | Antibiotic treatment, cohousing | N/A | N/A | ↑Tumorigenesis mediated by IL-18, CCL5, and IL-6 | No | Hu et al., 2013 |
| Citrobacter rodentium infection | Protective | Cohousing | N/A | N/A | ↓Autophage in goblet cells; ↓Mucus secretion | No | Wlodarska et al., 2014 |
| Steady state, IL-18, LPS, DSS | Protective | Antibiotic treatment, cohousing, 16S seq | GF-WT and GF-Nlrp6<sup>−/−</sup>; cohousing in GF-WT | Different microbial compositions compared with WT | ↓IL-18 production; ↓AMPs | No | Levy et al., 2015 |
| II10<sup>−/−</sup>, Nlrp6<sup>−/−</sup>, II10<sup>−/−</sup> DKO | Protective | Cohousing, 16S seq | Fecal transplantation to GF-WT and GF-Nlrp6<sup>−/−</sup> recipients | Different microbial compositions compared with WT | ↓IL-18 production; ↑Significant colitis | Yes | Seregin et al., 2017 |
| Steady state, DSS | No impact on colitis | Cohousing, 16S seq | N/A | No impact on microbiota composition | N/A | Yes | Lemire et al., 2017 |
| Steady state, DSS | No impact on colitis | Cohousing, 16S seq | GF-Pycard<sup>−/−</sup> mice | No impact on microbiota composition | N/A | Yes | Mamantopoulos et al., 2017 |
| Steady state | N/A | Fecal transplantation, 16S seq | N/A | ↑Prevotellaceae; ↑Helicobacteraceae, etc. | N/A | No | Gálvez et al., 2017 |
pathology. Together, studies such as these support a detrimental role for NLRP3 in immune cells during intestinal inflammation.

In sum, these studies investigating the impact of NLRP3-gut microbiota interactions in the context of intestinal homeostasis and inflammation have provided a less-than-straightforward connection between commensal bacteria and the inflammasome pathway. Evidence supports that NLRP3 expression in resident immune cells and nonhematopoietic cells can promote barrier integrity via IL-18 and maintain a ‘healthy’ balance of commensal bacteria via secretion of IL-1β and AMPs. Alternatively, studies also support that certain commensals may provide the first signal to prime NLRP3 inflammasome activation in circulating immune cells to promote detrimental inflammatory responses. Ultimately, some of these conflicting findings may be dependent on the environment, such as the preexisting microbiota load and composition, the housing environment, the control mice that were used (bred in the same facility or not, littermates or not), and the animal chow, as well as the fine specifics of the disease-induction process.

**NLRP6**

NLRP6 is another well-studied, inflammasome-forming NLR family member (Elinav et al., 2011; Grenier et al., 2002). NLRP6 is predominantly expressed in epithelial cells from the small intestine, colon, kidney, liver, and lung (Chen et al., 2011; Elinav et al., 2011; Normand et al., 2011). In the intestinal tract, NLRP6 has been shown to have a preferential expression in enterocytes and goblet cells, where it plays a critical role in regulating intestinal homeostasis and defending against infection, autoimmune responses, and tumorigenesis (Birchenough et al., 2016; Wlodarska et al., 2014).

Over the past few years, there has been an explosive increase in interest in whether and how NLRP6 regulates intestinal microbiota and participates in the onset of intestinal inflammation and tumorigenesis (Fig. 1 and Table 2). It was first reported that NLRP6-ASC inflammasome signaling shapes the intestinal microbiota community and regulates host immunity against chemically induced colitis (Elinav et al., 2011). Their results showed that both Nlrp6-deficient and Asc-deficient mice were more susceptible to DSS-induced colitis and that the exacerbated phenotype could be transferred to cohoused WT mice. By using a bacterial 16S rRNA-based analysis, the authors demonstrated that NLRP6-ASC inflammasome signaling shapes the intestinal microbiota community and regulates host immunity against chemically induced colitis (Elinav et al., 2011). The same laboratory published another study on the influence of NLRP6-microbiota interactions in the pathogenesis of the AOM-DSS model of CRC (Hu et al., 2013). Enhanced tumorigenesis was observed in Nlrp6- and Asc-deficient mice and could be transmitted to cohoused WT. In another paper, Anand et al. (2012) studied the function of NLRP6 in regulating host defense against bacterial pathogens. Although they confirmed that the microbiome in Nlrp6-deficient mice was different from that in WT mice, the resistance mediated by NLRP6 against *Listeria monocytogenes* infection was independent of its microflora composition. Enteric pathogens trigger multiple impairments in gastrointestinal physiology, including motility reduction and intrinsic enteric-associated neuron loss. A recent paper unveiled that neuronal-specific NLRP6 is the main effector of infection-induced intrinsic enteric-associated neuron death, which could be reversed by manipulation of gut microbiota.
microbiota. This work provides another clue as to the correlation between NLRP6 and the gut microbiome (Matheis et al., 2020).

To obtain more insights into how the inflammasome participates in maintaining a healthy host-microbial mutualism, Levy et al. (2015) performed a metabolomics analysis of fecal samples from germ-free recipients cohoused with either WT or Asc-deficient mice. The authors found that the metabolites taurine, histamine, and spermine were involved in NLRP6 inflammasome modulation by inducing epithelial IL-18 secretion and downstream AMP production. As a result, these microbial metabolites modulated the microbial community, host physiology, and disease susceptibility (Levy et al., 2015). Recently, another work uncovered that a flavonoid metabolite, apigenin, also conferred protection against DSS-induced colitis through NLRP6-dependent signaling (Radulovic et al., 2018). Because the DSS-induced colitis model is more suitable for investigating host responses during epithelial injury and repair processes but not appropriate for examining the whole pathogenesis (Brown et al., 2007; Kaser et al., 2010), Seregin et al., (2017) further confirmed NLRP6-microbiome interactions in a spontaneous colitis model using Il10/Nlrp6 double knockout mice, which have increased pathogenic Th1 responses and develop chronic enterocolitis (Davidson et al., 1996; Seregin et al., 2017). They demonstrated that Il10/Nlrp6 double knockout mice were more prone to spontaneous colitis and harbored an altered microbiota with increased abundance of the pathobiont Akkermansia muciniphila. This work underscored a new mechanistic underpinning of how NLRP6 maintains intestinal homeostasis by limiting the colonization of specific colitogenic bacteria. A recent paper suggests that NLRP6 recognizes lipoteichoic acid derived from gram-positive bacteria to cause IL-18 release, which paradoxically leads to exacerbated infection. Whether this impacts the gut microbiota remains to be studied (Hara et al., 2018).

However, not all groups illustrated NLRP6 as a hallmark host factor shaping the gut microbiome and modulating intestinal inflammation. In 2017, two independent laboratories published results that revealed no direct effect of Nlrp6 genetic background on gut microbiota composition using littermate controls (Lemire et al., 2017; Mamantopoulos et al., 2017). These results also emphasized that Nlrp6 deficiency could not predispose mice to higher susceptibility to DSS-induced colitis. Interestingly, another work was published together with the work of Lemire et al. (2017) and further supported NLRP6’s function in regulating the gut microbiome in a fecal material transfer experiment (Gálvez et al., 2017). An additional paper found a
pathogenic role for Nlrp6 in gastrointestinal graft-versus-host disease after bone marrow transplant that is not affected by the microbiota (Toubai et al., 2019). Wlodarska et al. (2014) demonstrated that Nlrp6 deficiency caused an abrogation of autophagy in goblet cells, which directly affected their function by decreasing mucin granule exocytosis and resultant mucous layer formation. The impaired mucin production made Nlrp6-deficient mice more susceptible to infection by intestinal pathogens (e.g., Citrobacter rodentium) and less capable of maintaining microbial homeostasis. This work showed a mechanism by which the absence of NLRP6 inflammasome leads to changes in intestinal microbial community composition and biogeographical distribution. However, it is important to note that this finding is different from another work, which used littermate controlled Nlrp6-deficient mice (Volk et al., 2019). By performing a series of ex vivo and in vivo analyses that provided highly reproducible quantitative assessment of inner mucous layer function, Volk et al. (2019) showed that mice lacking Nlrp6 formed a mucous layer that was functionally indistinguishable from that of WT animals.

In response to these nonignorable contradictions, authors with opposite perspectives debated either for or against NLRP6-dependent regulation of gut microbiota and expressed their opinions regarding how to minimize experimental discrepancies and better investigate the cause and effect interplay between gut microbiota and the host immune system. Elinav et al. (2018) argued that the impact of NLRP6 on regulation of the microbiome community requires exposure to a sufficiently diversified bacterial group, and the effect of NLRP6 on eliminating intestinal inflammation and metabolic dysfunction is mainly mediated through its microbiome-modulatory activity. Wullaert et al. (2018), on the other hand, emphasized that NLRP6 does not perform a generalizable effect on the host microbiota based on several littermate-controlled studies (Mamantopoulos et al., 2018). Interestingly, both groups reached a consensus that to avoid partial and nongeneralizable conclusions, multiple complementary experimental modalities, not only littermate breeding strategies but also microbiota recolonization of germ-free mice, should be included in future microbiome research. Both approaches are needed to draw correct conclusions about host-microbiome correlations. A microbiome study should not solely rely on only one approach because different phenotypes may occur in littermate-controlled studies versus studies done in germ-free colonized mice for the following reasons. First, a large amount of bacterial influx during fecal transfer is not a physiological process and may cause colonization resistance or inflammatory reactions (Wullaert et al., 2018). Second, as we mentioned above, bacterial species and communities are not uniform within different organs. The microbiota in feces is distinct from that in the cecum or colon and may not represent the real microbial community in specific gastrointestinal regions. Besides, many anaerobes are likely to die immediately during fecal collection or processing time. In this case, although fecal microbiota transfers or recolonizations of germ-free mice are powerful approaches in microbiome studies, littermate breeding strategies should be used together to dissect the impact of host genetics.

**NLRP12**

The NLRP12 protein has been shown to cause caspase-1 processing and IL-1β release in response to Yersinia and Plasmodium chabaudi (Ataide et al., 2014; Vladimer et al., 2012); however, multiple evidence indicates that another dominant function of NLRP12 is independent of inflammasome formation and immunosuppressive in nature. Our group and others identified NLRP12, formerly known as Monarch-1, as a negative regulator of inflammatory immune activation in vitro using human monocytic cell lines (Lich et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2002; Williams et al., 2005). These studies showed that NLRP12 suppressed both noncanonical and canonical NF-κB signaling and subsequent inflammatory cytokine, chemokine, and surface protein expression. In humans, mutations in Nlrp12, which result in less efficient inhibition of NF-κB, are associated with periodic fever syndromes (Jéru et al., 2008). In mice, NLRP12 has been implicated in multiple disease models, including colitis and CAC, obesity, and multiple sclerosis (Allen et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2017a; Gharagozloo et al., 2015; Lukens et al., 2015; Truax et al., 2018; Zaki et al., 2011).

To define the relevance of NLRP12 in intestinal inflammation, two studies investigated the consequence of Nlrp12 deficiency on DDS-colitis and AOM-DSS CRC in mice. Both groups described a protective role for NLRP12 in colon inflammation and tumorigenesis, as Nlrp12−/− mice in both studies experienced exacerbated disease, increased NF-κB activation, and enhanced expression of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines (Allen et al., 2012; Zaki et al., 2011). However, the groups described different cellular mechanisms of protection. One group concluded from bone marrow chimera experiments that NLRP12 was required for the suppression of canonical NF-κB and ERK signaling in hematopoietic cells to attenuate tumorigenesis (Zaki et al., 2011). Alternatively, another group described functions for both hematopoietic cells and nonhematopoietic cells during initial inflammation and found that NLRP12 in nonhematopoietic cells was required for protection against tumor growth and implicated noncanonical NF-κB signaling in the exacerbated phenotype (Allen et al., 2012). Although both groups appreciated the impact of the commensal microbiota on colitis and CAC pathways, these studies did not include direct testing of its involvement in the exacerbated inflammatory phenotypes or its potential interaction with NLRP12.

A recent study connected the colitis phenotype in Nlrp12−/− mice to the microbiome (Fig. 2 and Table 2; Chen et al., 2017a), where 16S sequencing demonstrated that Nlrp12−/− harbored a significantly altered microbiota, with significantly less diversity, compared with that of WT littermates. Specifically, Nlrp12−/− mice contained a significantly lower abundance of bacteria in the orders of Bacteroidales and Clostridiales, including bacteria in the family Lachnospiraceae and a reciprocal increase in the abundance of the family Erysipelotrichaceae. Cohousing experiments, fecal transplant experiments performed in germ-free mice, and littermate studies strongly implicated the dysbiosis observed in Nlrp12−/− mice as a major factor contributing to exacerbated DSS-colitis. Further, reconstitution with Lachnospiraceae was sufficient to attenuate the exacerbated colitis phenotype, inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, TNFα, NF-κB,
and MAPK inflammatory signaling in Nlrp12−/− mice. Significantly, these same beneficial bacteria also are promoted by NLRP12 to mitigate obesity, and the short-chain fatty acids, known to be secreted by Lachnospiraceae, mimicked the effect of Lachnospiraceae (Truax et al., 2018). These studies ultimately provide insight into the delicate balance of immune responses and the commensal microbiota and identify NLRP12 as a key mediator between them.

**NLRC4**

NLRC4 (formerly known as ICE-protease-activating factor; Poyet et al., 2001) is an inflammasome-forming NLR that, when coupled with its partner NAIP proteins, can be activated by flagellin or the type III secretory system from gram-negative bacterial pathogens (Broz et al., 2010; Franchi et al., 2006; Mariathasan et al., 2004; Miao et al., 2006; Sutterwala et al., 2007; Suzuki et al., 2007). Activation of the NLRC4 inflammasome results in the production of IL-1β and IL-18 and can induce caspase-mediated cell death. Interestingly, activation of the NLRC4 inflammasome does not require ASC, although NLRC4-induced caspase-1 activation is enhanced in the presence of ASC (Duncan and Canna, 2018). Gain-of-function NLRC4 mutations have been associated with both enteric and systemic autoinflammatory diseases in humans (Romberg et al., 2017). Heterozygous gain-of-function mutations in NLRC4 are the cause of autoinflammation with infantile enterocolitis, which is a chronic inflammatory disease characterized by episodes of infantile diarrhea and other systemic presentations linked to macrophage activation in the skin, central nervous system, and liver (Canna et al., 2014). In addition, other NLRC4 mutations have been linked to syndromes that are phenotypically similar to NLRP3-associated autoinflammatory diseases (Romberg et al., 2017). The potential role of the gut microbiome in human NLRC4 inflammasomopathies, however, has not been described.

Studies in mouse models have revealed a protective role of NLRC4 in intestinal inflammation and inflammation-induced tumorigenesis. A study by Carvalho et al. (2012) found that Nlrc4−/− deficient animals exhibited more severe DSS-induced colitis than WT littermates. The authors also demonstrated that Nlrc4−/− deficient mice were more susceptible to intestinal Salmonella infection. Increased mortality in these mice correlated with decreased production of IL-1β (Carvalho et al., 2012). Another study demonstrated a similar protective role for NLRC4 in the AOM-DSS model of CRC. This study found significantly increased tumor numbers and tumor load in Nlr4−/− deficient mice compared with age- and sex-matched cohoused WT mice (Hu et al., 2010). However, it is worth noting that a previous work from our laboratory showed no significant difference in disease progression or outcome in Nlr4−/− mice compared with similarly treated WT animals (Allen et al., 2010). One possible explanation is the difference in the microbiota makeup at different institutions. Further studies with rigorous and critical experimental...
Future directions and challenges

The interaction between host immunity and the gut microbiota remains an exciting area of study and potential therapeutic discovery in the context of intestinal homeostasis and inflammation. However, conflicting conclusions and concerns of reproducibility between individual laboratories prove increasingly challenging in the microbiome field. Many previous studies underappreciated the effect of nongenetic factors (e.g., housing conditions, diet, mouse facilities, disease models, and sequencing methods) that are now verified to have strong influences on microbiome composition, which may lead to discordant results. Host-microbiota interaction is still a young and growing field for scientists to explore. With new collective knowledge, it is critical to conduct standardized littermate-controlled experimental design and to include germ-free mice and fecal microbiome transplantations when investigating host effects on microbiome community structure to minimize any confounding effects caused by nongenetic factors.
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