Structural evidence for an essential Fe–S cluster in the catalytic core domain of DNA polymerase ε
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ABSTRACT

DNA polymerase ε (Pol ε), the major leading-strand DNA polymerase in eukaryotes, has a catalytic subunit (Pol2ε) and three non-catalytic subunits. The N-terminal half of Pol2 (Pol2CORE) exhibits both polymerase and exonuclease activity. It has been suggested that both the non-catalytic C-terminal domain of Pol2 (with the two cysteine motifs CysA and CysB) and Pol2CORE (with the CysX cysteine motif) are likely to coordinate an Fe–S cluster. Here, we present two new crystal structures of Pol2 CORE with an Fe–S cluster bound to the CysX motif, supported by an anomalous signal at that position. Furthermore, we show that purified four-subunit Pol ε, Pol ε CysAMUT (C2111S/C2133S), and Pol ε CysBMUT (C2167S/C2181S) all have an Fe–S cluster that is not present in Pol ε CysXMUT (C665S/C668S). Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT behave similarly to wild-type Pol ε in in vitro assays, but Pol ε CysXMUT has severely compromised DNA polymerase activity that is not the result of an excessive exonuclease activity. Tetrad analyses show that haploid yeast strains carrying CysXMUT are inviable. In conclusion, Pol ε has a single Fe–S cluster bound at the base of the P-domain, and this Fe–S cluster is essential for cell viability and polymerase activity.

INTRODUCTION

The duplication of DNA depends on numerous proteins that together replicate the genome. At the core of DNA replication are the DNA polymerases that establish a single-stranded template build a complementary DNA strand. In eukaryotes there are three replicative DNA polymerases – DNA polymerase α (Pol α), DNA polymerase δ (Pol δ), and DNA polymerase ε (Pol ε), each having different functions within the cell (1). Pol ε is the major contributor to leading-strand synthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae (1) and consists of a catalytic subunit (Pol2ε) and three non-catalytic subunits (Dpb2, Dpb3 and Dpb4) (2,3).

An increasing number of DNA replication and DNA repair enzymes have been shown to contain Fe–S clusters (4), and iron incorporation into the catalytic subunits of Pol α (Pol1), Pol δ (Pol3), Pol ε (Pol2), and the translesion polymerase Pol ξ (Rev3) of S. cerevisiae is dependent on the Fe–S assembly machinery in mitochondria (5). These eukaryotic family B DNA polymerases contain two conserved cysteine motifs, called CysA and CysB, in the C-terminal domain of the catalytic subunit (5) (Figure 1). CysB was found to bind an Fe–S cluster in the catalytic subunit, Pol3, of Pol δ. Mutations in CysB of Pol δ resulted in the loss of the Fe–S cluster along with the loss of accessory subunits, and as a result PCNA-dependent DNA synthesis was compromised. In contrast, the corresponding CysA mutant did not affect the iron content and the Pol δ complex remained intact, but PCNA-dependent DNA replication was sensitized to low levels of PCNA (5).

A sequence alignment of family B polymerases revealed that the non-catalytic C-terminal domains of Pol α and Pol ε are significantly larger in size and were predicted to have a very different structure compared to the C-terminal domains of Pol δ and Pol ξ (6). Complexes of a C-terminal fragment of the catalytic subunits and the B-subunits of the human family B DNA polymerases were over-expressed, purified, and characterized, and the results suggested the presence of an Fe–S cluster in the C-terminal domains of Pol3 and Rev3, but not in the C-terminal domains of Pol1 or Pol2 (6). In agreement with these observations, a crystal structure of human p261c–p59 (equivalent to the Pol2 C-terminal domain and Dpb2 in yeast) showed two Zn2+ ions bound to the CysA and the CysB motifs (7). However, the conclusion that human Pol2 CysA and CysB would not bind an Fe–S cluster was in conflict with the earlier report suggesting that the C-terminal domain of yeast Pol2 bound an Fe–S cluster (5).
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Interestingly, the N-terminal catalytic part of yeast Pol2 (Pol2CORE) contains another cysteine motif conserved in its orthologues (8), and this is referred to by us as ‘CysX’ (C665/C668/C677/C763, Figure 1). Pol2CORE (lacking CysA and CysB) was shown to bind an Fe–S cluster, and it was suggested that the cysteines in CysX bind the Fe–S cluster (8). By replacing three of the four cysteines in CysX with serine, it was shown that these cysteines were essential for the polymerase activity of Pol2CORE, but not for its exonuclease activity (8). However, high-resolution crystal structures of Pol2CORE did not show electron density for an Fe–S cluster bound to the CysX motif (9,10), and a Zn$^{2+}$ ion was modeled in that position (9).

Here we present two new crystal structures of Pol2CORE with an Fe–S cluster coordinated by the four cysteines in the CysX motif. To determine whether Fe–S clusters are specifically bound to CysX or also bound to CysA and/or CysB in yeast Pol ε, we systematically made cysteine to serine substitutions in the CysX, CysA and CysB motifs of yeast Pol2 and purified the variants in the form of four-subunit Pol ε and measured their iron contents. Our results suggest that there is only one Fe–S cluster associated with yeast Pol ε and that this cluster is not present in Pol ε CysXmut (C665S/C668S). In contrast to Pol δ, the subunit composition was not affected when the CysA, CysB or CysX motif was mutated by replacing two of the cysteines in each motif with serines. Haploid yeast cells expressing Pol ε CysAMut or Pol ε CysBMut were viable, in contrast to Pol ε CysXMut that does not support cell proliferation in haploid cells. Furthermore, the four-subunit Pol ε CysXMut exhibited a strong decrease in polymerase activity but only a minor decrease in processive exonuclease activity.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Purification, crystallization, and data collection of Pol2CORE**

The catalytic domain of Pol2 (Pol2CORE, residues 1–1228) was expressed in yeast and purified as previously described (9). To obtain crystals, the ternary complex of Pol2CORE was formed with 11dC/16 primer-template with dT as the templating base and dATP as the incoming nucleotide under the same crystallization conditions as described previously (9). The crystal was equilibrated in reservoir solution containing 15% glycerol and then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen. A complete dataset was collected at 100 K using the ID23 beamline at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF, Grenoble, France) and processed using Mosflm (11). An anomalous dataset was also collected at the Fe-edge (wavelength 1.7360 Å), which was processed using XDS (12) keeping the Friedel pairs separate.

A slightly shorter version of Pol2CORE (residues 1–1187) was expressed in *Escherichia coli* from a pET28a vector (a kind gift from Dr. Aneel K. Aggarwal (8)). The 6 × His-tagged Pol2CORE was bound to Ni$^{2+}$-NTA beads in 25 mM Tris–Ac pH 7.4, 10% glycerol and 300 mM NaAc, called buffer T300 (300 denotes the NaAc concentration), and the eluted fractions were incubated with PreScission protease to remove the His-tag. To obtain the untagged purified protein, the protein sample was passed a second time over the Ni$^{2+}$-NTA beads. The collected protein was loaded onto a Mono Q column and eluted with a linear salt gradient (T200–T1000). The peak fractions were collected, and the purified protein was adjusted to 25 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 800 mM NaAc, 10% glycerol, and 2 mM Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP) using a PD10 column. The ternary complex of Pol2CORE with the 11dC/16 primer-template and dATP was formed as previously described (9). The crystals were obtained in crystallization conditions containing 10 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 10 mM calcium chloride and 15% PEG8000, and were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen after equilibration with reservoir solution containing 15% glycerol. A complete dataset at 2.8 Å was collected using beamline ID30A-3 at the ESRF, and the data were processed with Mosflm (11). Data collection statistics are found in Supplementary Table S1.

**Structure determination and refinement**

Phaser (13) was used to solve the two structures of Pol2CORE by molecular replacement methods using PDB ID: 4m8o (9) as the model with a single ternary complex in the asymmetric unit. Coot (14) was used for model building, and the structures were refined using REFMAC (15) and Phenix refine (16). The final refined structures contained more than 95% of the residues in the most favored regions of the Ramachandran plot (Supplementary Table S1), and the model was validated using Coot (14) and MolProbity (17). PyMol (18) was used to create figures and to superimpose structures. The anomalous difference map was calculated using phases from the refined model (Figure 2A). The crystal structure of Pol2CORE (1–1228) was superimposed onto 4m8o (9) with a root mean square deviation (r.m.s.d.) of 0.4 Å for 975 Cα atoms.

**Expression and purification of four-subunit Pol ε**

*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Pol ε wild-type, CysXmut (Pol2C665S/C668S), CysAMut (Pol2C2111S/C2133S), CysBmut (Pol2C2167S/C2179S), Pol ε exo− (Pol2D290A,E292A) and Pol ε exo− CysXmut were over-expressed in the *S. cerevisiae* strain FY116 from the pJL1 (containing POL2) and pJL6 (containing DPB2, DPB3, and DPB4) plasmids under the control of the GAL1-10 promoter (2). A 1xFLAG-tag was inserted into the pJL1 plasmid by introducing the sequence.
5′-ATGGACTACAAAGACGATGACGAAAGGCC
GCCAATGGAGGT-3′, which encodes a start codon, the
FLAG-tag (DYKDDDDK), and a short flexible linker (GANGG) in front of the POL2 gene. Protein expression
was performed essentially as described in (2). The cells
were harvested by centrifugation for 10 min at 5000 × g at
4°C. Cell pellets were flash frozen in liquid nitrogen.

All purification steps were performed on ice or at 4°C,
and all buffers were flushed with argon before use. The
following buffers were used. 2 × Lysis buffer: 600 mM
NaAc, 20% glycerol, 2 μM leupeptin, 2 μM pepstatin A,
and 300 mM Tris–Ac, pH 7.8. Wash buffer: 300 mM NaAc,
10% glycerol, 1 μM leupeptin, 1 μM pepstatin A, and
50 mM Tris–Ac, pH 7.8. B700 buffer: 25 mM HEPES pH 7.6,
700 mM NaAc, 10% glycerol, 0.01% NP-40, 1 mM dithio-
theitol, 2 μM leupeptin, 2 μM pepstatin A, and 10 mM
NaHSO3. B1200 buffer: 25 mM HEPES pH 7.6, 1200 mM
NaAc, 10% glycerol, 0.01% NP-40, 1 mM dithio-
reitol, 2 μM leupeptin, 2 μM pepstatin A, and 10 mM
NaHSO3. Gel filtration buffer: 300 mM NaAc, 10% glycerol, 1 mM TCEP and
50 mM Tris–Ac pH 7.8.

Cells were disrupted in a SPEX SamplePrep freezer mill
6850 under liquid nitrogen in seven cycles with 2 min grind-
ing at an impact frequency rate of 12. The cell powder was
dissolved in an equal volume of 2 × lysis buffer. (NH4)2SO4
was added to a final concentration of 175 mM, followed by
centrifugation at 125,000 × g for 1 h. The supernatant
was retrieved and incubated for 1 h with M2 resin. The column
was washed with 50 mM NaAc, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, and 50 mM NaAc, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumine,
and with or without 0.2 mM dNTPs) and incubated for the
indicated time at 30°C. The reactions were stopped by
adding of 20 μl stop solution (96% formamide, 20 mM
EDTA, 0.1% bromophenol blue). The reactions were heated
to 85°C for 15 min before the products were separated on
a 10% denaturing polyacrylamide gel. The 50-mer primer strand used for all primer extension and exonuclease assays
was labeled at the 5′ end by tetrachlorofluorescein (TET)
to allow visualization of the DNA.

The primer extension in Figure 6 was performed simi-
larly with the modification that reaction mix B contained
0.2 or 2.0 mM dNTPs. For the primer extension assay in
Supplementary Figure S2, 10 μl reaction mix A (10 nM Pol
ε and 10 nM primer/template, 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.8, 40
mM NaAc, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumine, and 1 mM
dithiothreitol) was pre-incubated on ice and then mixed
with 10 μl reaction mix B (16 mM MgAc, 20 mM Tris–HCl
pH 7.8, 0.1 mg/ml bovine serum albumine, 1 mM dithio-
reitol, and with physiologically balanced dNTPs (21) at
2× the indicated concentration) and incubated for 10 min.
at 30°C, as also done in (22).

Holoenzyme assays were performed in a 15 μl reaction
that contained 40 mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 1 mM dithio-
reitol, 0.2 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 8 mM MgAc2,125
mM NaAc, 100 μM dGTP, 100 μM dATP and 100 μM
dTTP, 50 μM dCTP, 2.9 μCi [α-32P]dCTP (Perkin Elmer),
70 fmol single-primed pBluescript II SK(+) 237 fmol DNA
polymerase, 10.5 pmol RPA, 80 fmol RFC and 0–1.15 pmol
PCNA. The reactions were incubated at 30°C for 8 min and
terminated by adding 1 μl of 10% SDS. The DNA was puri-
ﬁed on illustra MicroSpin G-25 columns (GE Healthcare).
Samples were loaded on a 0.8% alkaline agarose gel that
contained 30 mM NaOH and 2 mM EDTA. The gel was run
at 40 V for 16 h and fixed in 5% TCA for 1 h. Afterward,
it was dried at 55°C, incubated with a phosphomager screen (Fujii) and scanned with a Typhoon 9400 phospho-
imagery (GE Healthcare).

In vivo analysis
A linearized integration plasmid (23) carrying mutations re-
sulting in a CysX (C665S, C668S), CysA (C2111S, C2133S)
or CysB (C2167S, C2181S) variant, was integrated into a
diploid E134 yeast strain (MATa/MATa ade5-1/ade5-
1 lys2::InsEA14/lys2::InsEA14 trp1-289/trp1-289 his7-
2/his7-2 leu2-3,112 leu2-3,112 ura3-52/ura3-52). URA3
was used for selection of integration. Four integrants from
each transformation were isolated and then patched on
YPD over night to allow for the looping out of the URA3
marker, leaving the speciﬁc POL2 mutation on the chro-
some. Patched clones were then replica-plated on 5-FOA
plates to select for clones that had lost URA3. Three 5-
FOA clones from each patch were picked and streaked for
single colonies on YPD. PCR was used to screen colonies
for the desired mutation and positive diploid clones were se-
sequenced across the POL2 gene to confirm that the selected mutation was correctly integrated in the heterozygote strain and to verify that there were no additional mutations. At least 8 tetrads from each strain were dissected as previously described (24).

The isogenic haploid cells with pol2 CysAMUT or Pol2 from one tetrad, or with pol2 CysBMUT or Pol2 from another tetrad, were grown to saturation overnight in liquid YPD media to a cell density of $A_{600\text{ nm}} = 10–12$. Differences in cell density were adjusted and then the cells were serially diluted in MilliQ water by two 10-fold dilutions followed by five 3-fold dilutions. 5 μl from the serial dilutions except the first, were spotted on YPD plates with or without 100 mM hydroxyurea. The plates were incubated at 30 or 37°C.

RESULTS

Crystal structures of Pol2CORE with an Fe–S cluster

It was earlier suggested that C665, C668, C677 and C763 (the CysX motif) in the catalytic core domain of Pol2 might bind a [4Fe-4S] cluster (8), even though the available crystal structures did not show any electron density for it (9,10). To clarify whether the reported Fe–S cluster in Pol ε was bound to the CysX motif in yeast Pol2CORE, we over-expressed wild-type Pol2CORE in yeast and crystallized the protein as previously described (9). Fe–S clusters are known to be sensitive to radiation damage (4), and to minimize such damage the crystals were not pre-screened on our home source. Instead, crystals were screened directly at the ESRF, and a complete dataset at 2.7˚A resolution was collected with the shortest possible exposure time to minimize radiation damage. After solving the new structure (PDB ID: 6h1v, Supplementary Table S1) using PDB ID: 4m8o (9) as a molecular replacement model, a region of positive density was observed at the CysX site. To confirm that the positive density corresponded to an Fe–S cluster, an anomalous dataset was collected at the Fe edge (Supplementary Table S1). The anomalous difference map clearly suggested that Fe was bound to the CysX motif (Figure 2A). Based on the shape of the omit map, a [4Fe–4S] cluster was modeled into the CysX site and refined with an occupancy of 70% (Figure 2B). The electron density allowed us to correctly position three cysteines (C665, C677 and C763) that coordinate three of the iron atoms in the Fe–S cluster, while the fourth cysteine, C668, could not be modeled because it was located in a disordered loop region 666–675 (Figure 2B).

In addition, we expressed Pol2CORE in *Escherichia coli*, and we crystallized a ternary complex under new crystallization conditions. A diffraction dataset was collected and processed to 2.8 Å resolution in the same C2 space group, but with slightly different unit cell dimensions (PDB ID 6qib, see Supplementary Table S1). This crystal structure also showed a region of positive electron density at the CysX site (Figure 2C), where a [4Fe–4S] cluster was modeled and refined with an occupancy of 80%. For this structure, electron density was observed for the entire loop region (amino acids 666–675) at the base of the P-domain. Residues 664–668, containing C665 and C668, were found to be folded into a short α-helix. In this structure, all four cysteines in CysX could be seen to coordinate the four iron atoms of the possible [4Fe–4S] cluster (Figure 2C). The observed electron density for the entire region (residues 665–675) at the base of the P-domain might be the consequence of a slightly different packing arrangement of macromolecules in this crystal when compared to previously reported structures.

Comparison of Pol2CORE structures

The two new crystal structures of Pol2CORE, purified from yeast and *E. coli*, superimposed with an r.m.s.d. of 0.4 Å (983 Cα atoms) suggesting almost identical structures. Superimposition of the two new structures (PDB IDs: 6h1v and 6qib) revealed that the P-domain, with Fe–S cluster bound to CysX, is almost identical.
Determined the number of Fe–S clusters in Pol ε

The reports on Fe–S clusters in the N-terminal (8) and C-terminal (5) domains of Pol2 suggested that there might be two Fe–S centers in Pol ε. However, the CysA and CysB motifs that are located in the C-terminal domain of Pol2 are not present in the Pol2CORE structures discussed above, because Pol2CORE only includes the N-terminal half of Pol2. To clarify the number of Fe–S clusters bound to Cys motifs in four-subunit Pol ε, each of the three conserved Cys motifs (CysX, CysA and CysB) in Pol2 were altered by site-directed mutagenesis to block any binding of a potential Fe–S cluster to each specific Cys motif. Two of the four cysteines in CysX (C665 and C668), CysA (C2111 and C2133) and CysB (C2167 and C2181) were substituted with serine (resulting in Pol ε CysXMUT, Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT, respectively). The Pol ε variants were overexpressed separately in yeast and purified. To minimize the loss of the Fe–S cluster due to oxidation, a new purification protocol was designed where FLAG-tagged Pol ε was purified in buffers that were flushed with argon. All three Pol ε variants, Pol ε CysXMUT, Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT gave a stable four-subunit complex (Figure 3).

We observed that the purified protein of Pol ε CysXMUT, was colorless and did not show any absorbance around 400 nm during the purification, while wild-type Pol2CORE and Pol ε fractions were yellow to brown at high concentrations. Furthermore, UV–Vis spectra of wild-type Pol ε, Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT showed a single broad peak around 400 nm with an extinction coefficient of ∼14 mM⁻¹ cm⁻¹ (Figure 4A) indicative of a single [4Fe–4S] or [3Fe–4S] cluster (5,25,26). In contrast, Pol ε CysXMUT showed only background absorption at wavelengths of 320–600 nm (even at 34 μM) (Figure 4A). It was therefore important to quantify the amount of iron in wild-type Pol ε and the Pol ε CysXMUT by an independent method. Iron quantification with the help of ferrozine gave 3.7 ± 1.1 Fe²⁺/Pol ε (mol/mol protein, n = 9, error is the standard deviation) for wild-type Pol ε, which correlated well with a single [4Fe–4S] or [3Fe–4S] cluster in Pol ε (Figure 4B). The measured iron level in Pol ε CysXMUT was 0.1 ± 0.3 Fe²⁺/Pol ε (mol/mol protein, n = 7, error is the standard deviation) (Figure 4B), indicating that an Fe–S cluster was indeed absent in this variant. The UV–Vis measurements and ferrozine assay thus both suggested that there is a single [4Fe–4S] or [3Fe–4S] cluster in four-subunit Pol ε and this cluster is bound by the CysX motif.

In vitro characterization of Pol ε cysteine motif variants

To determine the impact of the cysteine motifs on the function of Pol ε, we first measured the ability of Pol ε CysXMUT, Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT to extend or degrade a primer (Figure 5, Supplementary Figures S4 and S5). The polymerase activity of Pol ε CysXMUT (C665S/C668S) and Pol ε CysAMUT (C2111S/C2133S) was found to be severely compromised, with less than 1% of the primer extended after 8 minutes (Figure 5). Instead, the balance was shifted toward the exonuclease site resulting in degradation products of the complementary primer with no mismatches (Figure 5). In fact, >80% of the primer was degraded after 8 min even in the presence of 100 μM dNTPs. The Pol ε CysXMUT was equally efficient in degrading the primer in the absence or presence of 100 μM dNTPs. This could suggest that Pol ε CysXMUT either has an excessive exonuclease activity per se or has a lower affinity for dNTPs in the polymerase active site.

We therefore investigated whether a very high concentration of dNTPs could stabilize the 3’-end of the nascent strand in the polymerase site and as a result suppress the exonuclease activity. A series of primer extension reactions with increasing levels of dNTPs showed that Pol ε CysXMUT mainly degrades the DNA at dNTP concentrations up to 27x higher than the estimated physiological dNTP concentration (Supplementary Figure S2). Only at the highest tested dNTP concentration (with 891 μM dGTP, 3159 μM dCTP, 5346 μM dATP and 16038 μM dTTP), was the exonuclease activity suppressed in Pol ε CysXMUT. However, the amount of fully extended primer remained very low.
was due to an excessive exonuclease activity we purified CysXMUT to compare their polymerase activity (Figure 6). In contrast to exonuclease deficient Pol ε and exonuclease deficient Pol ε CysXMUT extended the primer with a very low efficiency at 100 μM dNTP up to 30% of the primer was extended by Pol ε exo– (Figure 6A). In the presence of 1 mM dNTP up to 30% of the primer was extended by Pol ε exo– CysXMUT compared to 78% for Pol ε exo– (Figure 6B). However, most elongation products were short, not extended beyond 10 nucleotides, while for Pol ε exo– most products were full length (Figure 6B). In conclusion, DNA has access to the polymerase active site in Pol ε CysXMUT and the polymerase activity is stimulated at high concentrations of dNTP suggesting that the affinity for dNTP is decreased in Pol ε CysXMUT.

Both wild-type Pol ε and the Pol ε CysXMUT variant were efficiently degrading the primer when it was annealed to a matched DNA template (Figure 5). The amount of full-length primer that was degraded was comparable for Pol ε and Pol ε CysXMUT, but Pol ε gave shorter products suggesting either a decreased rate or decreased processivity in Pol ε CysXMUT (Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure S4). The exonuclease activities of Pol ε CysXMUT and wild-type Pol ε on single-stranded DNA suggested that the catalytic exonuclease efficiency was unaltered in Pol ε CysXMUT and that the reduction in processive exonuclease activity on a primed template might be related to the capacity of the enzyme to present single-stranded DNA in the exonuclease active site without dissociating from the DNA.

The primer extension experiments were replicated with Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT (Supplementary Figures S4 and S5). Both Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT showed polymerase and exonuclease activity comparable to wild-type Pol ε. It was earlier shown that Pol δ depends on the CysA and CysB motifs for a fully functional interaction with the PCNA clamp (5). To determine whether the functional interaction between Pol ε and PCNA depends on the CysA or CysB motifs, holoenzyme assays were carried out with a single-primed circular single-stranded DNA (Blue-script). Both Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT could still fully extend the primer at PCNA concentrations ≥19 nM (Figure 7). The impact of substitutions in the CysB motif in Pol ε differed from the corresponding substitutions in Pol δ (5), as they neither affected protein complex formation (un-altered subunit composition in Pol ε CysBMUT (Figure 3) compared to a complete loss of subunits in Pol δ (5)), nor affected the enzyme activity in the holoenzyme assay (wild-type activity for Pol ε CysBMUT (Figure 7) compared to no observed activity of Pol δ (5)). The addition of PCNA could not rescue the low polymerase activity of Pol ε CysXMUT (Figure 7).

**Importance of CysA, CysB and CysX in vivo**

To determine the impact of each of the three cysteine motifs on cell fitness, heterozygote diploid strains of *S. cerevisiae* were established with mutations that result in CysAMUT, CysBMUT, or CysXMUT Pol ε variants. Tetrad analysis showed that spores expressing only Pol ε CysXMUT were unable to produce colonies (see Figure 8A). In contrast, spores that expressed Pol ε CysAMUT or Pol ε CysBMUT were viable and gave at 30°C colonies of similar size as those expressing wild-type Pol ε. Cells that only expressed Pol ε CysAMUT were, in contrast to cells expressing only Pol ε CysBMUT, temperature sensitive and showed slower growth at 37°C (Figure 8B). This growth defect at 37°C was enhanced when 100 mM hydroxyurea was added, but neither Pol ε CysAMUT nor Pol ε CysBMUT expressing strains showed hydroxyurea sensitivity when grown at 30°C (Figure 8B). In conclusion, a functional CysX motif is essential for the polymerase activity of Pol ε and for cell viability, whereas cysteine to serine substitutions in the CysA and CysB motifs do not affect cell growth at 30°C.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we have shown that there is a single Fe–S cluster in four-subunit Pol ε and that this cluster is bound to the Cys-
Figure 5. Impact of the substitutions in the CysX motif (C665S/C668S) on Pol ε polymerase and exonuclease activity. Primer-extension assays in the presence of dNTPs and a DNA substrate with a fluorescently labeled 50-mer primer annealed to a perfectly matched 80-mer template. Exonuclease activity was assayed in the absence of dNTPs using the same double-stranded DNA substrate or only the single-stranded 50-mer.
motif (CysX) at the base of the P-domain in the catalytic subunit, Pol2. Fe–S clusters have been reported to stabilize protein structures and subunit interactions, but also to regulate substrate binding and/or catalysis in a redox state-dependent manner (27–33). In the case of the two replicative eukaryotic polymerases, Pol/H9254 and Polε, there are clear differences when comparing the function of their Fe–S clusters.

The Fe–S cluster in Pol δ is bound to the CysB motif in Pol3 and was shown to be important for stabilizing the interaction with the B-subunit, Pol31 (5). Our analyses of Pol ε CysAMUT and Pol ε CysBMUT suggest that Pol ε is not dependent on an Fe–S cluster in the CysA or CysB motifs for subunit interactions. In support of that, our biochemical data suggests that Pol ε CysA and CysB do not coordinate an Fe–S cluster (Figure 4A). However, it should be noted that both cysteine motifs in a recent structure of the human B-subunit (Dpb2 in yeast) in complex with a C-terminal fragment of the catalytic subunit (amino acids 2142–2286) were coordinating zinc ions and this structure suggested that they are located near the dimer interface (7). There is neither a growth defect nor hydroxyurea sensitivity observed when cells expressing Pol ε CysAMUT or Pol ε CysBMUT are grown at 30°C (Figure 8). However, haploid cells expressing only Pol ε CysAMUT are temperature sensitive, showing a growth defect at 37°C (Figure 8B).
previous study using a plasmid shuffling approach did report hydroxyurea sensitivity when altering amino acids in the CysA-motif to alanines, but all experiments were done at 37°C (34). We also see a weak growth inhibition when adding 100 mM hydroxyurea at 37°C, but this might not be surprising since the cells already have a proliferation defect at this temperature, caused by the altered leading strand polymerase. A plausible explanation for the observed sensitivity to elevated temperature could be that the essential interaction between the Pol2 C-terminus and Dpb2 is weakened in Pol ε. Apol2 CysXMUT allele were not viable, as expected based on the model that Pol ε is responsible for the bulk synthesis on the leading strand during DNA replication. This observation that loss of DNA polymerase activity of Pol ε causes cell death is in agreement with an earlier study where it was shown that the growth of yeast was reduced as the balance was shifted from the polymerase to the exonuclease site in Pol ε (22). Thus, the previously presented model (35) where the primary role for Pol ε at the replication fork is to proofread errors made by Pol β is not supported by these results. If the role of Pol ε was primarily as an exonuclease, strains carrying the Pol ε CysXMUT allele were not viable, as expected based on the model that Pol ε is responsible for the bulk synthesis on the leading strand during DNA replication. This observation that loss of DNA polymerase activity of Pol ε causes cell death is in agreement with an earlier study where it was shown that the growth of yeast was reduced as the balance was shifted from the polymerase to the exonuclease site in Pol ε (22). Thus, the previously presented model (35) where the primary role for Pol ε at the replication fork is to proofread errors made by Pol β is not supported by these results. If the role of Pol ε was primarily as an exonuclease, strains carrying the Pol ε CysXMUT allele were not viable, as expected based on the model that Pol ε is responsible for the bulk synthesis on the leading strand during DNA replication. This observation that loss of DNA polymerase activity of Pol ε causes cell death is in agreement with an earlier study where it was shown that the growth of yeast was reduced as the balance was shifted from the polymerase to the exonuclease site in Pol ε (22). Thus, the previously presented model (35) where the primary role for Pol ε at the replication fork is to proofread errors made by Pol β is not supported by these results. If the role of Pol ε was primarily as an exonuclease, strains carrying the Pol ε CysXMUT allele were not viable, as expected based on the model that Pol ε is responsible for the bulk synthesis on the leading strand during DNA replication. This observation that loss of DNA polymerase activity of Pol ε causes cell death is in agreement with an earlier study where it was shown that the growth of yeast was reduced as the balance was shifted from the polymerase to the exonuclease site in Pol ε (22). Thus, the previously presented model (35) where the primary role for Pol ε at the replication fork is to proofread errors made by Pol β is not supported by these results. If the role of Pol ε was primarily as an exonuclease, strains carrying the Pol ε CysXMUT allele were not viable, as expected based on the model that Pol ε is responsible for the bulk synthesis on the leading strand during DNA replication. This observation that loss of DNA polymerase activity of Pol ε causes cell death is in agreement with an earlier study where it was shown that the growth of yeast was reduced as the balance was shifted from the polymerase to the exonuclease site in Pol ε (22). Thus, the previously presented model (35) where the primary role for Pol ε at the replication fork is to proofread errors made by Pol β is not supported by these results. If the role of Pol ε was primarily as an exonuclease, strains carrying the Pol ε CysXMUT allele were not viable, as expected based on the model that Pol ε is responsible for the bulk synthesis on the leading strand during DNA replication. This observation that loss of DNA polymerase activity of Pol ε causes cell death is in agreement with an earlier study where it was shown that the growth of yeast was reduced as the balance was shifted from the polymerase to the exonuclease site in Pol ε (22). Thus, the previously presented model (35) where the primary role for Pol ε at the replication fork is to proofread errors made by Pol β is not supported by these results. If the role of Pol ε was primarily as an exonuclease, strains carrying the Pol ε CysXMUT allele were not viable, as expected based on the model that Pol ε is responsible for the bulk synthesis on the leading strand during DNA replication. This observation that loss of DNA polymerase activity of Pol ε causes cell death is in agreement with an earlier study where it was shown that the growth of yeast was reduced as the balance was shifted from the polymerase to the exonuclease site in Pol ε (22). Thus, the previously presented model (35) where the primary role for Pol ε at the replication fork is to proofread errors made by Pol β is not supported by these results.

The CysX motif in Pol ε is conserved in Pol ε orthologues but is absent in all other known DNA polymerases. Interestingly, it is located at the base of the P-domain, which is also unique to Pol ε orthologues. The Fe–S cluster thus might function as an ‘iron-staple’ (42) because one of the cysteines in the motif is present at the C-terminal end of the P-domain while the other three are at the N-terminal end of the P-domain. However, only small differences were observed between the structures with or without the Fe–S cluster (P-domain r.m.s.d. < 1.39 Å for 84 Cα atoms). It is possible that the P-domain remains stable in the crystal after the Fe–S cluster was lost during data collection for PDB ID 4mbo, or the cluster might only play a role in the ab initio folding of the P-domain. Alternatively the small differences found in the loop that contains the CysX motif (Supplementary Figure S1) might be sufficient to inactivate the polymerase function. This loop is far away from the polymerase site (30 Å), but near the hinge region of the finger domain. Therefore it might be involved in controlling the finger movement as proposed earlier (43). The finger domain, consisting of two long α-helices, cycles between an ‘open’ state, which allows the nucleotide to enter the polymerase site, and a ‘closed’ state, in which the nucleotide is stabilized and incorporated into the growing DNA strand. A protein variant where the finger domain is more often in the ‘open’ state, would be expected to have reduced affin-

![Figure 8](https://academic.oup.com/nar/article/47/11/5712/5436772) Impact of each of the three cystein motifs on cell fitness. (A) Tetrad analysis of diploid yeast strains heterozygous for POL2/pol2 CYSXMUT, POL2/pol2 CYSAMUT, or POL2/pol2 CYSB MUT alleles. The results from two independent tetrads are presented from each heterozygous strain (c = 8 were analyzed see material and methods). (B) Haploid cells with pol2 CYSAMUT (CysA) or POL2 (WT) from one tetrad, and with pol2 CYSB MUT (CysB) or POL2 (WT) from another tetrad were analyzed for temperature and hydroxyurea sensitivity by comparing their growth at 30°C and 37°C on YPD plates with or without 100 mM hydroxyurea. Images of the plates without hydroxyurea are taken after 24 h, while the shown image from the 30°C 100 mM HU plate is from 40 h and the 37°C 100 mM HU plate is from 72 h after plating.
ity for dNTPs. The observed polymerase activity of Pol \( \varepsilon \) CysX\textsubscript{MUT} at very high dNTP concentrations (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S2) may therefore suggest a functional link between the Fe–S cluster and the movement of the finger domain. It can be speculated that the geometry or oxidation state of the bound metal might modulate the predisposition of Pol \( \varepsilon \) for exonuclease proofreading by destabilizing the closed conformation of the finger domain (43). It should, however, be considered that amino acid substitutions leading to a loss of the Fe–S cluster may have a greater effect than an altered redox-state of the Fe–S cluster.

The Fe–S cluster in Pol \( \varepsilon \) could play a direct role in DNA replication as more and more DNA repair and polymerase enzymes have been shown to contain such a redox-active cluster. It has been proposed that the redox states of these clusters can be regulated by electron transport through the DNA (30,44). This could provide a way to block DNA replication under oxidative stress and/or when too many breaks or mismatches are present in the DNA. The progression of the cell cycle in yeast can indeed be directly reduced or accelerated by the addition of oxidizing or reducing agents, respectively (45). Furthermore, under nutrient-limiting conditions, which mimic yeast growth in the wild, cell growth and DNA replication are confined to the reductive phase of the yeast metabolic cycle. This is regulated by a gene that also regulates the cell cycle and DNA damage response in mammalian cells (45). It was indeed observed that replication forks are slowed in cancer cells with elevated levels of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and in human cells in which ROS are artificially increased (46).

We conclude that the cysteine motif CysX, positioned at the base of the P-domain in Pol \( \varepsilon \) is important for cell function and for polymerase activity in vitro. Furthermore, Pol \( \varepsilon \) binds a single Fe–S cluster, most likely a [4Fe–4S] cluster, and this cluster is coordinated by the CysX motif. More experiments are currently under way to determine if the Fe–S cluster in Pol \( \varepsilon \) has a redox-active role.
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