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Prefabricated building, as a convenient and burgeoning building form, is worth popularizing. The research is aimed at comprehensively evaluating and analyzing the indoor thermal environment, air quality, and energy consumption of prefabricated buildings. Therefore, indoor temperature distribution, airflow distribution, and energy system of prefabricated buildings are considered. Different building envelopes proposed accord with average temperature standard (18°C) of indoor thermal environment in different climate regions based on simulation results of DeST software. Take the hot-summer and cold-winter region as an example, the distribution of the indoor temperature, air velocity, and the emission characteristics of indoor polluted particles are explored via ANSYS Fluent software. And the energy supply system for the prefabricated auxiliary building, as well as system operation performances and cost components, is studied. Results show the average indoor temperature on typical days in winter (4.1°C) and summer (31.1°C); air-source heat pump needs to activate for the needs of human thermal comfort. Moreover, the indoor air quality can meet the cleanliness standard after polluted particles release 40 s. And the annual cost of system 1 (photovoltaic system, air-source heat pump, and the state grid) is 839.53 $, 269.83 $ lower than system 2 (photovoltaic system, electrical storage, air-source heat pump, and the state grid), whereas the increase of electricity price can significantly reduce the payback period and thus improve the economy of system 2. These findings provide suggestions in terms of indoor thermal environment, cleanliness, and suitable energy supply system to evaluate and facilitate the widespread application of buildings.

1. Introduction

Prefabricated buildings, as a major change in the way of construction and an important measure to rapidly promote the reform at the supply side and the development of new urbanization, has the characteristics of high efficiency, high quality, and high precision [1, 2]. However, with the continuous increase of the total number of buildings and the demand for indoor environment comfort, related problems that show how to improve the indoor thermal environment and reduce energy consumption of buildings have been severe challenges for relevant researchers. Operation personnel spends more than 70% of their time performing daily guard duty and having rest indoors, so improving the indoor environment of prefabricated buildings has attracted attention widely [3].

The prefabricated auxiliary building of substation is an important place for employees to work and live in the station. Therefore, indoor temperature of each room will affect the comfort of staff. As an important channel of heat exchange inside and outside of the building, the building envelope has an important influence on indoor temperature. The building envelope is also a critical factor in thermal comfort performance [4]. Yun et al. [5] carried out experimental studies and verified that adding phase change materials (PCM) into building envelopes can control heat load and adjust the room temperature to maintain acceptable thermal comfort. Van Helden et al. [6] noted that PCM played an important role in improving building efficiency and thermal comfort. And the usage of PCM in building products can provide appreciable temperature control in...
buildings, especially in those buildings that suffer from overheating in summer. Al-Yasiri and Szabo [7] mentioned that Trombe wall and thermally activated building technologies have improved the thermal performance of building envelope. In addition, Rabani et al. [8] considered the importance of building envelope and heating, ventilation, and air conditioning system parameters for indoor environment to meet the thermal comfort of occupants. Zhao et al. [9] presented the distribution of indoor-related parameters of the terminal and showed the wall and surface temperature at different locations by an infrared thermal imager. Kim et al. [10] used Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) software to simulate the thermal environment of the theatre and analyzed the simulation results of the airflow and temperature distribution in the space. Ali and Al-Hashlamun [11] compared the effect of building envelopes in old and new schools and evaluated the indoor thermal environment in classroom. Although earlier studies found in the literature have considered the effect of building envelopes on thermal comfort, inadequate efforts are found focusing on the investigation of building envelopes design under different climate zones for prefabricated building application. And the indoor temperature can be maintained within the appropriate range with the help of these schemes throughout the year.

In addition to the thermal comfort of personnel, indoor air quality or cleanliness is also closely related to employees’ health. Especially in recent years, the occurrence of various public health problems makes indoor environmental safety more important. Previously, several researches have been carried out for the indoor air clean problem of the public buildings. Gabriel et al. [12] studied the new air volume influence on indoor pollutant control. The results showed that the increase in air volume improved air pollution in the rooms. He et al. [13] conducted field tests on 15 houses in Australia. Results show that indoor human activities on indoor particulate matter concentration mainly depend on the type of pollution sources and the layout characteristics of residential buildings. Yassin et al. [14] measured particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 μm (PM2.5) in different residential environments to assess indoor air quality. Agbo et al. [15] studied the methods of controlling indoor pollutant concentration and found that strengthening ventilation is the best and simplest way to improve the indoor air environment. Li et al. [16] studied the control strategy of indoor PM2.5 pollution in school classrooms. Zhang et al. [17] found that the new air control strategy with PM2.5 filters worked. In addition, introducing fresh air for air exchange can improve the indoor thermal environment and remove indoor pollutants without consuming mechanical energy. Hong et al. [18] found the removal efficiency of formaldehyde is the highest when the natural ventilation rate is 2 m/s in decorated houses. Ncube and Rifat [19] proposed Indoor Environment Quality Assessment Tool to evaluate building thermal comfort, indoor air quality, acoustics, and lighting in an acceptable range. Madureira et al. [20] studied airborne bacterial and fungal concentrations in different indoor environments, i.e., homes, child day-care centers, primary schools, and elderly care centers to assess the risk of living environment.

Although the study considered various ways to improve indoor air quality, it was not investigated on indoor pollutant diffusion control on the basis of indoor ventilation conditions, especially in prefabricated auxiliary buildings.

A reasonable energy system can not only meet the users’ loads but also reduce the external energy supply of buildings besides thermal comfort and air quantity of indoor environment. In recent years, many scholars have proposed different energy supply systems and applied them to a single building. Zabnienska-Gora et al. [21] proposed a photovoltaic-thermal (PV/T) system that meets the annual thermal load requirements of two single-family buildings with low energy consumption. Isa et al. [22] demonstrated that a cogeneration system consisting of PV system, fuel cells, and batteries are an alternative to traditional power systems and achieves both thermal load and electrical load supply in hospital buildings. Marini et al. [23] proposed air source heat pump systems and thermal energy storage systems and dynamically simulated the space heating needs and hot water supply of residential buildings with 2 or 4 occupants. Zhang et al. [24] developed an alternative integrated electric energy system and considered different electrical-heating modes such as an electric boiler or electric heat pump. Liu et al. [25] proposed series connection between PV/T and solar thermal collector, PV, and ground source heat pump to meet the needs of users’ cold, heat, and electricity loads and apply it to nearly zero energy residential building, office building, and commercial building. Alayi et al. [26] investigated energy and economic performance of a solar cogeneration system in a building. The results showed that a solar collector with an area of about 7 m² can provide all the heat load required for the building. And it saved natural gas consumption and reduced carbon dioxide emissions. Alessandro et al. [27] proposed an integrated “PV-thermal solar-assisted heat pump” system to meet all building heat load with a high proportion of renewable energy. Solar energy utilization and heat pump efficiency also increased in the system. Wang et al. [28] developed an integrated ground source heat pump-PVT to achieve the combined clean heating/cooling, reducing irreversible heat transfer losses and enhancing solar energy utilization efficiency. Although the previous studies considered indoor environment and pollutant control in buildings, the payback period and energy efficiency of the energy system varying with electricity price are rarely considered.

Based on the above considerations, research objects in current studies are not representative enough. Insufficient efforts are found, especially for the prefabricated auxiliary building with unique characteristics of small space residential function and of simple office function. Further, inadequate efforts are found focusing comprehensively from different perspectives to design and evaluate a prefabricated building, such as indoor thermal comfort, indoor cleanliness, energy supply system, and energy consumption.

The research is aimed at providing a comprehensive evaluation and analysis for a prefabricated auxiliary building, in terms of indoor environment and energy consumption. Therefore, the main contributions and innovation points of the study can be introduced as follows: (1) The indoor thermal comfort of prefabricated auxiliary buildings
in different climate regions is investigated. And a variety of building envelope design under different climate zones is developed. (2) As a case study of the hot-summer and cold-winter region, the indoor temperature of prefabricated auxiliary building is studied from the spatial and temporal levels. (3) The airflow distribution in prefabricated auxiliary buildings is investigated. And the emission characteristics of indoor polluted particles from toilets are explored. (4) The energy supply system for the prefabricated auxiliary building, as well as system operation performances and cost components, is studied. And the impact of electricity price changes on the payback period is explored.

2. System Model

2.1. Mathematical Model. For the prefabricated auxiliary building, the photovoltaic system, air-source heat pump, and electrical storage are equipped to satisfy the electrical, heat, and cold loads for users. Related thermodynamic models are as follows.

2.1.1. Photovoltaic System (PV). The amount of electricity generated by PV system ($P_{pv}, \text{kW}$) can be calculated by Equations (1)–(7) [29, 30].

$$P_{pv}(t) = P_0(t) \times F_i(t) \times F_i \times F_j \times F_o,$$  

(1)

$$P_0(t) = I(t) \times V(t),$$  

(2)

$$I(t) = I_{sc0} \left( \frac{\varphi_{rad}(t)}{\varphi_{rad0}} - 1 \right) + I_{pm0}.$$  

(3)

$$V(t) = V_{pm0} \times \left( 1 + 0.0593 \times \varphi_{rad}(t) \times \varphi_{rad0} \right),$$  

(4)

$$F_i(t) = 1 - (t_{pv,real}(t) - 25) \times 0.5\%,$$  

(5)

$$t_{pv,real}(t) = t_{amb}(t) + 30 \times \frac{\varphi_{rad}(t)}{1000},$$  

(6)

$$f_{pv} = \frac{P_{pv}(t)}{\varphi_{rad}(t) \times S}.$$  

(7)

Herein, $P_0$ is the theoretical generating power of PV system, kW; $F_i$ is the dust deposition factor; the ratio of the electric energy emitted by the photovoltaic panel during dust deposition to the initial electric energy emitted; $F_j$ is the performance mismatch factor of the system, which is caused by the failure of components to maintain the maximum power point output; $F_o$ is the influence of other factors, circuit aging, material aging caused by the reduction of power generation; $F_i$ is the temperature correction factor; $I$ and $V$ are the working current and voltage of the photovoltaic panel, A; $V_{pm0}$ is the peak voltage of PV system whose area is $S \text{m}^2$, V; and $f_{pv}$ is power generation efficiency of the PV system.

2.1.2. Air-Source Heat Pump (AHP). The cold energy or heat energy generated by air-source heat pump can be calculated by Equations (8)–(11) [31].

$$PLR_{AHP}(t) = \frac{Q_{AHP}(t)}{Q_{AHP,\text{norm}}},$$  

(8)

$$\text{COP}_{AHP} = \text{COP}_{AHP,\text{norm}} \times \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{3} (a_i \times PLR_{AHP}^i)}{3},$$  

(9)

$$Q_{AHP}(t) = \text{COP}_{AHP} \times E_{AHP}(t).$$  

(10)

$$0 \leq Q_{AHP}(t) \leq U_{0/1} \cdot Q_{AHP,\text{max}},$$  

(11)

$$Q_{AHP}(t) = U_{0/1} \cdot Q_{AHP,\text{max}} \text{ (if } Q_{AHP}(t) \geq U_{0/1} \cdot Q_{AHP,\text{max})}.$$

Herein, $Q_{AHP}$ is the required cold energy or heating energy, kW; $Q_{AHP,\text{norm}}$ is the rated cold energy or heating energy generated by AHP, kW; $PLR_{AHP}$ is the load ratio; $\text{COP}_{AHP}$ is the coefficient of performance (COP) of AHP; $\text{COP}_{AHP,\text{norm}}$ is the rated coefficient of performance of AHP; $a_i$ is the polynomial fitting coefficient; $E_{AHP}$ is power consumption, kW; $U_{0/1}$ is the on/off variable of AHP, which can only be 0 or 1; and $Q_{AHP,\text{max}}$ is the maximum heat production or cold production of AHP, kW.

2.1.3. Electrical Storage (ES). The electricity stored in the battery at time $t$ ($E_{ES}(t), \text{kWh}$) is determined by Equation (12). The capacity constraint is determined by Equation (13), and the charge and discharge power are determined by Equations (14)–(17) [32].

$$E_{ES}(t) = E_{ES}(t-1) + P_{ES,\text{in}}(t) \cdot \Delta t \cdot \eta_{ES,\text{in}} - P_{ES,\text{out}}(t) \cdot \frac{\Delta t}{\eta_{ES,\text{out}}},$$  

(12)

$$E_{ES,\text{min}} \leq E_{ES}(t) \leq E_{ES,\text{max}},$$  

(13)

$$P_{ES,\text{in}}(t) \cdot P_{ES,\text{out}}(t) = 0,$$  

(14)

$$P_{ES,\text{in}}(t) \geq 0,$$  

(15)

$$P_{ES,\text{out}}(t) \geq 0,$$  

(16)

$$\text{SOC}_{ES}(t) = \frac{E_{ES}(t)}{E_{ES,\text{max}}},$$  

(17)

Herein, $E_{ES}(t-1)$ is the stored electricity of the battery at time $t-1$, kWh; $P_{ES,\text{in}}(t)$ and $P_{ES,\text{out}}(t)$ are the charge and
discharge powers of the battery at time \( t \), kW; \( \eta_{\text{ES, in}} \) and \( \eta_{\text{ES, out}} \) are the charge and discharge efficiency of the battery, respectively; \( E_{\text{ES, min}} \) and \( E_{\text{ES, max}} \) are the minimum and maximum storage capacity of the battery, kWh; \( \text{SOC}_{\text{ES}}(t) \) is the state of charge of the battery at time \( t \); \( P_{\text{ES, in, max}} \) and \( P_{\text{ES, out, max}} \) are the maximum charge and discharge powers of the battery, kW.

2.2. Payback Period (PBP). The payback period refers to the ratio of the difference between the total cost (except investment cost) of the reference system and that of the new system to the investment cost of the new system (\( C_{1,\text{new}} \), $). A separated system is composed of the state grid and heat pump. The separated system is selected as a reference system. The PV system is considered in the new system, and more electricity can be sold to the state grid. The total cost of the reference system includes operation cost (\( C_{2,\text{ref}} \), $), cost for purchase power (\( C_{3,\text{ref}} \), $), and investment cost (\( C_{1,\text{ref}} \), $). And the total cost of the new system includes operation cost (\( C_{2,\text{new}} \), $), cost for purchase power (\( C_{3,\text{new}} \), $), benefit from grid (\( C_{4,\text{new}} \), $), and investment cost (\( C_{1,\text{new}} \), $).

\[
PBP = \frac{(C_{\text{ref}} - C_{1,\text{ref}}) - (C_{\text{new}} - C_{1,\text{new}})}{C_{1,\text{new}}} = \frac{(C_{2,\text{ref}} + C_{3,\text{ref}}) - (C_{2,\text{new}} + C_{3,\text{new}} + C_{4,\text{new}})}{C_{1,\text{new}}},
\]

where \( C_{1} \) is the investment cost, $; \( C_{2} \) is the operation cost, $; \( C_{3} \) is the cost for purchase power, $; and \( C_{4} \) is the benefit from grid, $. The added footnote 'ref' and 'new' indicate reference system and new system.

2.3. Operation Strategy of the System. In order to satisfy the user’s loads of the prefabricated auxiliary building, two feasible energy supply systems are proposed. Herein, system 1 is composed of PV system and AHP. The electricity load of users is preferentially provided by PV system, and the deficiency is supplemented by grid. According to the solar radiation in Jinan and Equations (1)–(7), power generation of PV system is calculated. If PV system meets the electricity load and there is a surplus, this part will give priority to driving the AHP for refrigeration in summer and heating in winter. According to Equations (8)–(11), the electricity required by AHP for heating and cooling can be calculated. The surplus electricity is sold to the grid, and the insufficient load is supplemented by power purchase. In order to reduce the impact to power grid and improve the comprehensive performance indexes of the system, the battery is added in system 2 based on system 1. In system 2, the excess electricity generated by PV system is stored in the battery and discharged to supplement when the load is insufficient. The AHP can be driven by the electricity from the PV system, battery, and grid to supply heat energy and cold energy to users.

3. Case Study

3.1. Meteorological Parameter Information. Jinan is classified as the hot-summer and cold-winter regions. The optimal
The inclined angle of PV panels is 32°, according to the geographical location of Jinan city, Shandong province. Outdoor meteorological parameters and users’ loads of typical day in summer and winter in Jinan are shown in Figure 1 and 2. The typical day in summer (15 Jul) represents relatively ample cold load in summer, and electricity load exists meanwhile. And the typical day (15 Jan) is the representative in winter that there is electricity load and considerable heat load [33]. As seen in Figure 1, the average temperature on July 15th is nearly 30°C. Also, the maximum solar radiation in the day is approximately 800 kWh/m². There are massive cold load and electricity load in summer. Electricity load covers the whole day. In addition to users’ rest time at night, electricity load remains at a relatively high level, about 0.86 kW. Throughout the day, the electricity load of users is larger than the heat load. As seen in Figure 2, the minimum temperature on typical day in winter is -8°C and the maximum temperature is 9°C. The average temperature on January 15th is around 0°C. Also, the available solar irradiance ranges from 9:00 to 18:00, and the solar irradiance reaches the maximum of 391 W/m² at 14:00. There is only electricity load and heat load on January 15th, and it is covered all day. Obviously, the heat load is in higher demand.

3.2. Building Information. Jian et al. [34] studied prefabricated buildings with PCM in different climates. The results show that only the building envelopes of prefabricated buildings are distinct in different climatic zones, but all of them can meet the indoor environmental temperature requirements of different climate zones. Therefore, based on that, a hot-summer and cold-winter region, Jinan, is selected as a representative case study to carry out the case study. It can reflect the situation of other climate regions. As shown in Figure 3, the studied object, a prefabricated auxiliary building of the substation located in Jinan city, Shandong province, is used to provide a place for substation staff to rest and be on guard. The prefabricated building has a minimum height of 2.4 m and a maximum height of 3.6 m. The roof is inclined to facilitate the placement of photovoltaic panels and improve the photoelectric conversion efficiency. The inclined angle is determined according to the local solar irradiation angle. For different climate regions, the enclosure
Figure 4: Interior plan of the prefabricated auxiliary building.

Table 1: The main building envelope information for different climatic regions.

| Climatic regions                  | Roof Materials | $k$ (W/m²·K) | Exterior wall Materials | $k$ (W/m²·K) | Ground Materials | $k$ (W/m²·K) |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| Severe cold region                | Cement mortar 20 mm | | Polystyrene foamed plastics 200 mm | 0.10 | Cement mortar 30 mm | | Polyurethane foam 100 mm | 0.10 |
|                                   | Lime mortar 15 mm | 0.10 | Polystyrene ceramsite concrete 100 mm | | Guangdong ceramsite concrete 200 mm | | Stone masonry 200 mm | 0.10 |
|                                   | Polyurethane foam 100 mm | | Expanded perlite 160 mm | | Expanded perlite 200 mm | | Perlite 180 mm | 0.10 |
| Cold region                       | Cement mortar 15 mm | 0.15 | Guangdong ceramsite concrete 200 mm | | Lime mortar 30 mm | | Polyvinyl chloride foamed plastics 180 mm | 0.10 |
|                                   | Polyurethane foam 90 mm | | Ceramsite concrete 210 mm | | Expanded perlite 100 mm | | |
| Hot-summer and cold-winter region | Cement mortar 20 mm | 0.20 | Guangdong ceramsite concrete 180 mm | | Lime mortar 15 mm | | Polyurethane foam 53 mm | 0.20 |
|                                   | Urea moulding material 160 mm | | Expanded perlite 250 mm | | Expanded perlite 100 mm | | Ceramsite concrete 200 mm | 0.20 |
| Hot-summer and warm-winter region | Polystyrene foamed plastics 110 mm | 0.40 | Guangdong mortar malm brick 180 mm | | Lime mortar 15 mm | | Polyurethane foam | 0.80 |
|                                   | Polyurethane foam 2 mm | | Calcium plastic 60 mm | | Sandstone and quartzite | 20 mm | |
| Warm region                       | Cement mortar 20 mm | 0.20 | Polyurethane 2 mm | 0.50 | Cement mortar 35 mm | | Calcium plastic 20 mm | 0.50 |
|                                   | Urea moulding material 160 mm | | Calcium plastic 97 mm | | Sandstone and quartzite | 20 mm | |
|                                   | Expanded perlite 250 mm | | Sandstone and quartzite | | | | |
structure is different. Figure 4 shows the interior plan of the prefabricated auxiliary building. It has a total length of about 12.5 m and a total width of about 3.4 m, which covers an area of about 42.8 m². The prefabricated building mainly includes the equipment duty room, the guard room, the bathroom, the kitchen, and three toilets.

3.3. Parameter Settings. There are five climate regions in China, which include severe cold region, cold region, hot-summer and cold-winter region, hot-summer and warm-winter region, and warm region, respectively. Correspondingly, the prefabricated auxiliary buildings have different materials and sizes of the building envelope, and the roof inclination angles are changed accordingly. In the severe cold region and cold region, insulation is a main factor of the building envelope. Materials with small thermal conductivity are selected, and the thickness of materials is relatively thick, which leads to a smaller overall heat transfer coefficient. In hot-summer and cold-winter region, the massive energy consumption of heating in winter and cooling in summer induces the selection of suitable insulation and thermal insulation performance. The heat transfer coefficient of building envelope is small, and the material thickness is relatively thin. In hot-summer and warm-winter region and warm region, materials with smaller thermal conductivity are selected, so that heat transfer coefficients of the roof and floor are relatively small. The indoor ambient temperatures building loads of the above conditions are simulated in DeST software. The indoor temperature in different climate zones follows industry standards [35]. So far, a large number of case studies and theoretical verifications have been carried out on DeST [36–38]. And DeST software with accurate and reliable performance has been widely used to develop building models and simulate cold, heat, and electricity loads. Shi et al. [39] calculated the coefficient of variation of the root-mean square error (RMSE) to compare the error between simulated and measured values. The results show that the value of RMSE is 0.63, indicating that the simulation data using DeST is consistent with the measured data, and the error is acceptable in the field of building simulation. The accuracy of DeST simulation method is further verified. Therefore, only simulation experiments are carried out; it can also accurately reflect the real situation. Among them, the relevant parameter settings in the simulation process are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Building envelopes are suitable for five different climate regions. The thermal conduction coefficients of building envelop (i.e., roof, exterior wall, and ground) listed in Table 1 are referenced to Chinese criterion of “Design Standard for Energy Efficiency of Public Buildings (GB 50189-2005)” [40]. The heat transfer coefficient standard of the roof, exterior wall, and the ground of the passive building is within a range in different climate

### Table 2: Parameter settings in DeST simulation [41].

| Rooms         | Number of personnel | Average caloric value of indoor persons (W) | Light thermal disturbance (W/m²) | Thermal disturbance of equipment (W/m²) | Air conditioning system |
|---------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Bathroom      | 1                   | 66                                         | 18                              | 13                                      | 6:00-21:00 On           |
| Kitchen       | 4                   | 66                                         | 13                              | 20                                      | Fully closed            |
| Equipment duty room | 2             | 62                                         | 18                              | 11                                      | Fully opened            |
| Lounge        | 4                   | 61                                         | 11                              | 11                                      | Fully opened            |

### Table 3: Technical parameters of energy supply system [47–49].

| Items                  | Values                  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|
| $S (m^2)$              | $8 \times 2.17$         |
| $I_{sc0} (A)$          | 7.91                    |
| $I_{pm0} (A)$          | 7.45                    |
| $V_{pm0} (V)$          | 36.1                    |
| $q_{rad0} (W/m^2)$     | 1000                    |
| $F_s$                  | 0.98                    |
| $F_{\mu}$              | 0.95                    |
| $F_o$                  | 0.98                    |
| $Q_{AHP,norm} (kW)$    | 4/2                     |
| COP$_{AHP,norm}$       | 3.30/2.80               |
| $a_0$                  | 1.65                    |
| $a_1$                  | 1.30                    |
| $a_2$                  | 1.14                    |
| $Q_{AHP,max} (kW)$     | 4/2                     |
| $\eta_{ES,in}$         | 0.945                   |
| $\eta_{ES,out}$        | 0.945                   |
| $E_{ES,min} (kWh)$     | 2                       |
| $E_{ES,max} (kWh)$     | 8                       |
| $P_{ES,in,max} (kW)$   | 2                       |
| $P_{ES,out,max} (kW)$  | 2                       |

### Table 4: Economic parameters and capacity of equipment in energy supply system [50].

| Equipment | Investment cost | Operation cost | Capacity |
|-----------|-----------------|----------------|----------|
|           | Value | Unit | Value | Unit | Value | Unit |
| PV        | 315   | $/m^2 | 25.26 | $/m^2 | 8*2.17 | m² |
| ES        | 345   | $/kWh| 27.67 | $/kWh| 8     | kWh |
| AHP       | 240   | $/kWh| 19.25 | $/kWh| 3.96  | kWh |
Figure 5: Continued.
zones and is not a definite value. For example, in a severe cold region, the heat transfer coefficient of exterior wall and roof is in the range from 0.10 to 0.20. And the heat transfer coefficient of the ground is in the range of 0.10-0.25. In our study, the heat transfer coefficients of the roof, exterior wall, and floor in severe cold regions are 0.10 W/m² K. These values are selected based on “Design Standard for Energy Efficiency of Public Buildings (GB 50189-2005)” [40]. And on the basis of reasonable indoor thermal comfort and relatively low energy consumption of the prefabricated building, these heat transfer coefficients are obtained.

For the energy supply system of the prefabricated auxiliary building, related technical parameters, economic parameters, and equipment capacity of the system are set as Tables 3 and 4, according to the local indexes in Jinan city, Shandong province.

The area of PV system, the capacity of AHP, and ES are not optimized in detail. However, the area of PV system is designed on the basis of the orientation of the building and the structure of the roof. PV panels are all at optimal angle and arranged in the southern orientation. The capacity of AHP is set according to the electricity generated by PV system and users’ heat load and cold load. ES is introduced into the energy system to investigate the effect on the overall economy and energy consumption of the system via the comparison between system 1 and system 2. And the capacity of ES is designed on the basis of consideration of users’ loads. Especially, models with constant COP were used in Ref. [42–45] and achieved good results; it was proved that this model can be studied and the accuracy meets the engineering requirements. And COP is a model that changed with partial load rate (according to Ref. [46]). But when the load level exceeds 0.4, COP is close to the constant, so the constant model adopted in this paper is also acceptable.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Monthly Average Indoor Temperatures of Different Climate Regions. For five climate regions, the monthly average indoor ambient temperatures of each room are presented in Figure 5. As seen in Figures 5(a) and 5(b), the average indoor temperatures are in the range of 19°C-25°C for the severe cold region and 18°C-26°C for the cold region. Especially in winter, the overall temperature level in the severe cold region is higher than that in the cold region, which is due to the better performance of the envelope of the severe cold region. Also in winter, there is a great temperature difference among different rooms. The lowest temperature occurs in the bathroom, which is 19.5°C in the severe cold region and 18°C in the cold region. Therefore, the monthly average indoor ambient temperatures meet the Code for Design of Heating Ventilation and Air Conditioning (reach to 18°C) [52]. Figures 5(c) and 5(d) show the monthly average indoor ambient temperatures of the rooms in the hot-summer and cold-winter region and the hot-summer and warm-winter region. For different rooms, the temperature difference is very significant in winter but not in summer. Because of the existed the air conditioners, the ambient temperature is not particularly low in the hot-summer and warm-winter region and even the indoor temperature raised in November and December in the hot-summer and cold-winter region. The lowest indoor temperature occurs in bathroom, which is about 16°C in the hot-summer and cold-winter region, and about 17.5°C in the hot-summer and warm-winter region. Especially, the average indoor temperature in all rooms except the bathroom was up to the heating standard in the hot-summer and cold-winter region. For the hot-summer and cold-winter region, the average indoor temperature in all rooms
except the bathroom and kitchen was basically meet the heating standard. The average indoor temperatures of the rooms in the warm region are shown in Figure 5(e). The temperature difference of different rooms mainly occurs in winter. There is the lowest temperature of 18°C in the bathroom. The temperature level of each room can meet the relevant temperature requirements of the heating standard. Therefore, the average indoor temperatures of the prefabricated building can basically reach the heating standard of each climate region with building envelopes with different performance.

4.2. Indoor Thermal Environment and Airflow Distribution of Typical Days. The indoor temperature and air velocity distribution in the prefabricated building are simulated through ANSYS Fluent software. It is assumed that the building’s indoor air is incompressible and conforms to the Boussinesq hypothesis; the thermal radiation between walls is ignored to facilitate the calculation. It is believed that indoor air tightness is good without the influence of air leakage. On the background of meteorological conditions in Jinan City, Shandong Province (the hot-summer and cold-winter region), 15 Jan and 15 Jul are selected as typical days in winter and summer. The corresponding outdoor average temperature is 4.5°C and 32°C, respectively. The average air velocity is 1 m/s and 1.5 m/s, respectively. The air velocity and temperature distribution at the height of human activity (1.5 m from the ground) are selected for analysis.

Figure 6(a) shows the daily average indoor temperature distribution on 15 Jan. The average indoor temperature is 4.1°C of the prefabricated auxiliary building, and the overall temperature level of the equipment duty room is the highest. The highest average temperature is 4.4°C occurred in the guard room. Due to the temperature attenuation characteristics in the process of gas flow, the average temperature in the bathroom and kitchen is about 4.2°C, slightly lower than that of equipment duty room. Figure 6(b) shows the daily average indoor airflow distribution on 15 Jan. It can be seen that the maximum indoor air velocity is 1.8 m/s, which occurs in the corridor of the toilets and kitchen. The reason is that the
multiple air flows converge here driven by exhaust fan in toilets, resulting in the maximum air velocity. The overall average indoor air velocity is 0.5 m/s, and there is no obvious airflow dead corner in the rooms. Moreover, the standard of air velocity in the area where people stay for a long time is 0.8 m/s [53]. Therefore, people have no apparent draught sensation in the prefabricated auxiliary room in winter.

Figure 7(a) shows the daily average indoor temperature distribution on 15 Jul. The overall average indoor temperature is 31.1 °C. The highest indoor temperature is 31.9 °C, which also occurs in the guard room. On the whole, the building meets the thermal comfort requirements. However, natural ventilation alone at midday is not enough, and it is necessary to turn on air conditioners in the guard room and duty room for cooling. Figure 7(b) shows the daily average indoor airflow distribution on 15 Jan. It can be seen that indoor air velocity is basically uniform, with an average air velocity of 0.7 m/s. The maximum air velocity also occurs in the corridor of the bathroom and kitchen (2 m/s). On the whole, the air velocity in the room is suitable, and there is no apparent airflow dead corner in the working area. Also, people have no apparent draught sensation in the prefabricated auxiliary room in summer.

On 15 Jan. and 15 Jul., the average indoor temperature of each functional room is shown in Figure 8. It can be seen that the overall average indoor temperature of guard room is the highest among the rooms, whether in summer or winter, while the equipment duty room has the lowest temperature level in winter and the moderate temperature level in summer among the rooms. Therefore, AHP needs to be turned on to provide cold energy in summer and heat energy in winter for the rooms to maintain indoor thermal comfort.

4.3. Emission Characteristics of Indoor Polluted Particles. In the prefabricated auxiliary building, three toilets are the site of produced polluted particles. To study whether the particles emitted from three toilets can be discharged by the ventilation system in time, ANSYS Fluent software is adopted to simulate the emission characteristics of particles from toilets. There is an exhaust fan on the wall of each toilet to remove polluted particles. In the simulation, the RNG K-epsilon model is adopted to simulate the indoor airflow field. In
extreme cases, when all doors are open, the air entering the room can flow into any space in the room. In other words, the polluted particles can be emitted into any space in the room. The air velocity at the air inlet of the room is set as 1.5 m/s. The pressure difference between the front and rear of the exhaust fan is set as 20 Pa. The air outlet is set as the pressure type of air outlet, and the pressure is equal to standard atmospheric pressure. Pedestal pans in the three toilets are, respectively, set as polluted particle emission sources. Each emission source is 0.5 m above the ground. The emission face area of polluted particles is set as 0.064 m². Each emission face emits 400 polluted particles at a speed of 0.2 m/s for five seconds.

The results show that the emitted polluted particles will not be ejected from the toilets and pollute other rooms obviously, even if the toilet door is not closed. Polluted particles from the toilets can be quickly discharged from the building under the action of exhaust fans. Figure 9 shows the variation of the number of indoor polluted particles and the change curve of the discharge rate of polluted particles from indoor to outdoor. At 25 s, nearly half of polluted particles are discharged outdoors. At 40 s, the concentration of polluted particles is lower than 2,500 CFU/m³, which meets the standard requirements [54]. At this time, there are only a few polluted particles in the toilets. At 64 s, polluted particles in the toilets are entirely discharged. Moreover, the
discharge rate of polluted particles gradually increases between 5 s and 15 s and reaches the highest at 15 s. After that, there is an overall decreasing trend of the discharge rate. After 45 s, because of the small number of polluted particles, the discharge rate of polluted particles is relatively low. Therefore, the prefabricated auxiliary building can ensure a good indoor cleanliness environment.

4.4. Operation Performances and Cost Components of the Energy Supply System. Figure 10 is the electricity and heat balance diagram of system 1 and system 2 on a typical winter day (15 Jan). According to the solar radiation in Jinan and Equations (1)–(7), power generation of the PV system is calculated. The electricity generated by the PV system first is provided for users’ electricity load. As seen in Figure 10(a)
of system 1, there exists sufficient solar irradiance from 10:00 to 18:00. The PV system can produce enough electricity to meet users’ electricity load within this time range, and there is still electricity remaining. This part will give priority to driving the AHP for refrigeration in summer and heating in winter. According to Equations (8)–(11), the electricity required by AHP for heating can be calculated. The surplus electricity is sold to the grid in the range of 11:00-17:00, and the insufficient load is supplemented by power purchase. During the rest of the time, there is no PV power generation, so electricity needs to be purchased from the grid. As seen in Figure 10(c) of system 2, between 10:00 and 18:00, PV power generation meets the users’ electricity load and drives AHP to operate. The redundant power is stored in ES as Equations (12)–(16). The discharge stored in ES as Equations (12)–(15) and (17) satisfies users’ electricity load in this period, and the insufficient can be purchased from the state grid.
System 1 and system 2 all can meet the load demands. As seen in Figure 10(a), the system needs to purchase electricity from grid from 18:00 to 9:00 the next day. At noon, part of the excess electricity from PV system is used to drive AHP, and the other part is sold to grid for benefits. It is found in Figure 10(c) that the electricity purchased from grid in system 2 is less on the whole day, only 0.49 kWh because the battery effectively plays the role of peak shaving and valley filling by absorbing the excess PV electricity. In addition, concerning the supply of heat load, system 1 needs to purchase electricity from grid to drive AHP to supplement the lack of heat load most of the time (Figure 10(b)). While system 2 can drive AHP through battery discharge to meet the heat load most of the time, meanwhile, less electricity is bought from grid (Figure 10(d)).

Figure 11 is the electricity and cold supply balance diagram of system 1 and system 2 on a typical summer day (15 Jul.). Compared with winter, the PV electricity generation has increased significantly, and the maximum power generation reaches 1.83 kW. It can be seen in Figure 11(a) that the electricity sales of system 1 increases greatly, and most of the cold load can be provided by AHP driven by the electricity of PV at 9:00-18:00 (Figure 11(b)). However, it is still necessary to purchase electricity from the power grid at night to meet the shortage of electricity load and cold load. The battery of system 2 can store more electricity to meet part of the electrical load, and only a small amount of electricity from grid is required (Figure 11(c)). In addition, the AHP driven by battery discharge supplements part of the cold load from 19:00 to 21:00, meeting the maximum cold load of 0.25 kWh at 19:00.

Figure 12 shows the annual cost composition of system 1 and system 2. According to the capacity and per unit capacity investment cost of PV, ES, and AHP in Table 4, the investment cost \( C_1 \) of system 1 and system 2 can be obtained. The operation cost \( C_2 \) of system 1 and system 2 can also be acquired as shown in Table 4. The cost for purchase power \( C_3 \) of system 1 and system 2 can be acquired based on the price of purchasing electricity in Table 5 and electricity quantity purchased \( E_{\text{grid,buy}} \) as seen in Figures 10(a) and 10(c) and Figures 11(a) and 11(c). The benefit from grid \( C_4 \) of system 1 can be obtained in the same way.

As seen, the annual cost of system 1 is 839.53 $, and the annual cost of system 2 is 1109.36 $. Luerssen et al. [55] adopted 15kW PV panels and 50kW ground source heat

**Table 5: Price of purchasing and selling electricity [51].**

| Price of electricity purchased from grid ($/kWh) | Values       |
|-----------------------------------------------|-------------|
| 10:00-15:00; 18:00-21:00                       | 0.22 0.13   |
| 15:00-18:00; 21:00-23:00                       | 0.05 0.04   |

**Table** 5: Price of purchasing and selling electricity [51].

System 1 and system 2 all can meet the load demands. As seen in Figure 10(a), the system needs to purchase electricity from grid from 18:00 to 9:00 the next day. At noon, part of the excess electricity from PV system is used to drive AHP, and the other part is sold to grid for benefits. It is found in Figure 10(c) that the electricity purchased from grid in system 2 is less on the whole day, only 0.49 kWh because the battery effectively plays the role of peak shaving and valley filling by absorbing the excess PV electricity. In addition, concerning the supply of heat load, system 1 needs to purchase electricity from grid to drive AHP to supplement the lack of heat load most of the time (Figure 10(b)). While system 2 can drive AHP through battery discharge to meet the heat load most of the time, meanwhile, less electricity is bought from grid (Figure 10(d)).
pump and calculated life cycle costs of 30 years (48842.36 $). Compared with the results obtained by Luersen et al. [55], annual cost reduced by 788.54 $, 518.71 $ in system 1 and system 2, respectively. The high cost of system 2 (269.83 $) is due to the increase of battery, and its investment cost and operation cost have increased by 276.83 $ and 86.25 $, respectively. In addition, system 2 has significantly reduced the electricity charge from 164.01 $ to 70.76 $. This is because of the prominent role of battery making full use of solar energy. The surplus electricity of system 1 is sold to grid, and the benefit is 88.59 $. Since system 2 cannot sell electricity to grid, there is no economic benefit.

In order to explore the payback period of system 1 and 2, a separated system is selected as a reference. In this reference system, the electrical load is met by grid; the heat and cold loads are met by AHP driven by grid. There is no battery and PV system. Based on the reference system, the payback period of system 1 and 2 is obtained, according to different electricity price. Intuitively, Figure 13 shows the sensitivity variation of the price of the electricity sold to grid and the price of the electricity purchased from the grid, in which the price variation range is -60% to 60% based on Table 4. The payback period of system 1 is 15 years and that of system 2 is 30 years, when the electricity price is shown in Table 4. The difference occurs because of the presence of battery and the economic benefit from grid. It can be seen that the payback period decreases with the increase of electricity prices. It indicates that the higher sold and purchased electricity prices induce the economic difference increased between the system 1/2 and the reference system, which further reduces the payback period of system 1/2 based on the reference system. In addition, with the increase of electricity price purchased from grid, the payback period of system 1 is reduced from 21.6 years to 11.3 years, and that of system 2 is reduced from 51 years to 19 years. Therefore, system 2 is greatly affected by the electricity price purchased from grid. Moreover, for every 20% increase in electricity selling price, the payback period of system 2 is reduced by an average of 1.7 years.

5. Conclusion
For a prefabricated auxiliary building, this paper performs a comprehensive evaluation and analysis, in terms of indoor environment and energy consumption. The following conclusions are obtained:

(1) The average indoor temperature in some rooms ranges between 16°C and 18°C in winter. Moreover, the temperature difference of different rooms mainly occurs in winter, and the bathroom has the lowest temperature (more than 16°C). The average indoor temperatures of the prefabricated building can basically reach the heating standard (18°C) in each climate region with the design of building envelope.

(2) The average indoor temperature is 4.1°C and 31.1°C on typical days in winter and summer, respectively. The overall temperature level of the equipment duty room is the highest. The overall average indoor air velocity is 0.5 m/s and 0.7 m/s on typical days in winter and summer, respectively, and there is no obvious airflow dead corner in the rooms. The maximum indoor air velocity is 1.8 m/s and 2 m/s. On the whole, there exist good thermal comfort and airflow distribution in prefabricated buildings.

(3) Under the combined effects of natural and mechanical ventilation, indoor polluted particles from the toilets will not pollute other rooms. It takes 64 s for polluted particles to be discharged entirely outdoors.
from the beginning of emission, and the indoor air quality can meet the standard requirements after 40 s. Pollution can be well controlled, and the prefabricated auxiliary building can provide a good indoor cleanliness environment.

(4) In system 1 and system 2, the PV subsystem provides a large amount of electricity to meet user electrical load and drive the AHP. The battery of system 2 can absorb the excess PV electricity, which obviously reduces the amount electricity purchased from grid. Moreover, the annual cost of system 1 is 839.53 $, and the annual cost of system 2 is 1109.36 $ (269.83 $ difference), and the benefit of system 1 is 88.59 $. In addition, the payback period of system 1 and 2 decreases with the increase of electricity prices, especially system 2. Annual cost reduced sharply in system 1 and system 2 comparing to the previous study, respectively. The payback period of system 2 is affected more significantly by the electricity price.

Indoor thermal comfort, cleanliness, energy supply system, and energy consumption are investigated in a prefabricated auxiliary building. These findings can provide a comprehensive evaluation of the promotion feasibility of prefabricated auxiliary buildings.

**Abbreviations**

AHP: Air-source heat pump  
CFD: Computational fluid dynamics  
ES: Electrical storage  
k: Thermal conductivity  
PBP: Payback period  
PCM: Phase change materials  
PLR: Partial load ratio  
PM<sub>2.5</sub>: Particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 μm  
PV: Photovoltaic  
PV/T: Photovoltaic-thermal  
RMSE: Root-mean square error.

**Variables**

A: Polynomial fitting coefficient  
C: Cost  
COP: Coefficient of performance  
E: Power consumption  
F: Factor  
F: Efficiency  
I: Current  
k: Thermal conductivity  
P: Power generation  
PBP: Payback period  
Q: Cold energy or heating energy  
S: Area  
SOC: State of charge  
T: Temperature  
V: Voltage  
Φ: Solar radiation.
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