Statistical model for the effects of phase and momentum randomization on electron transport
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Abstract. A simple statistical model for the effects of dephasing on electron transport in one-dimensional quantum systems is introduced, which allows to adjust the degree of phase and momentum randomization independently. Hence, the model is able to describe the transport in an intermediate regime between classical and quantum transport. The model is based on Büttiker’s approach using fictitious reservoirs for the dephasing effects. However, in contrast to other models, at the fictitious reservoirs complete phase randomization is assumed, which effectively divides the system into smaller coherent subsystems, and an ensemble average over randomly distributed dephasing reservoirs is calculated. This approach reduces not only the computation time but allows also to gain new insight into system properties. In this way, after deriving an efficient formula for the disorder-averaged resistance of a tight-binding chain, it is shown that the dephasing-driven transition from localized-exponential to ohmic-linear behavior is not affected by the degree of momentum randomizing dephasing.

1 Introduction

Electron transport through nanosized systems takes place in an intermediate regime between classic and quantum transport because the characteristic lengths, system size $L$, phase coherence length $l_\phi$, and mean free path $l_m$ have the same order of magnitude. By using these lengths, the transport can be classified roughly into the following regimes. A system with phase and momentum randomization $l_\phi \sim l_m < L$, for which the resistance is ohmic, i.e. it increases linearly with the system size. Coherent transport through a disordered system $l_m < l_\phi \sim L$, for which the resistance increases exponentially with the system size. A homogeneous system without momentum randomization $l_\phi \lesssim l_m \sim L$, for which the conductor is ballistic, i.e. its resistance is length independent. The interplay of these completely different transport regimes makes nanosized systems very promising for novel electronic devices. Hence the need for a theoretical description, which covers all these regimes, is obvious.

Basically, the nonequilibrium Green’s function (NEGF) approach for the transport through quantum systems [1–3] allows to include arbitrary interactions by self-energies, which cause phase and momentum randomization. By using the first order self-consistent Born approximation for the self-energies, Datta proposed a model [4], which allows to adjust the degree of phase and momentum randomization independently. However, its application to nanosized systems involves enormous computational efforts. Hence, simple models are required to include the effects of dephasing in nanosized systems.

Büttiker proposed to use fictitious reservoirs as a dephasing model, where the phase of the electrons is lost by absorption and reinjection [5, 6]. These fictitious reservoirs are used nowadays in several models [7–13]. Note, that this apparently phenomenological approach of fictitious reservoirs can be justified from a microscopic theory under appropriate approximations [14, 15].

Other models include the dephasing effects by stochastic absorption through an attenuating factor [16] or by random phase factors [17, 18]. However, these models are still controversially discussed [19] and most of them are defined only in the limit of completely momentum randomizing or completely momentum conserving dephasing.

In this paper we present a simple statistical model for the effects of dephasing on electron transport in one-dimensional quantum systems which allows to adjust the degree of phase and momentum randomization independently. The model is based on Büttiker’s approach but in contrast to other models, complete loss of the phase at each dephasing reservoir is assumed, and afterwards an ensemble average of the quantity of interest (e.g. resistance or conductance) over several spatial configurations of dephasing reservoirs is calculated. The model is discussed in detail in Section 2 and applied to linear tight-binding chains in Section 3. Concluding remarks can be found in Section 4.
The model is an extension of our previous work [20, 21], where the limit of completely momentum randomizing dephasing has been discussed. In this limit the model has been used successfully to explain several conductance measurements of DNA chains [22]. Moreover, by applying it to the 1D Anderson model with arbitrary uncorrelated disorder, the decoherence induced conductivity has been studied and even-order generalized Lyapunov exponents have been calculated, which were used to approximate the localization length [23].

2 Model

We consider a one-dimensional quantum system with the single-particle Hamiltonian $H$. The system is coupled to two reservoirs, which act as the source and the drain of electrons, see Fig. 1(a). The coherent transport from the source to the drain is characterized by a transmission function, which is calculated within the NEGF approach [3]

$$T_{SD} = 4\text{Tr}(\text{Im}(\Sigma_S)G\text{Im}(\Sigma_D)G^+),$$

where

$$G = (E - H - \Sigma_S - \Sigma_D)^{-1}$$

is the Green function of the system. The self-energies $\Sigma_{S/D}$ represent the influence of the source respectively the drain reservoir on the system. The current is calculated by the Landauer formula [3]

$$I = \frac{e}{h} \int dE T_{SD} (f_S - f_D)$$

where $f_{S/D} = f(E - \mu_{S/D})$ are the Fermi distributions of the reservoirs with the electrochemical potential $\mu_{S/D}$.

2.1 Momentum randomizing dephasing

At first, we briefly summarize our statistical model for the effects of momentum randomizing dephasing [20, 21].

Dephasing is introduced by a spatial configuration of fictitious dephasing reservoirs, where the phase of the electrons as well as their momentum are completely randomized, see Fig. 1(b). Thus, these dephasing reservoirs divide the one-dimensional system into smaller coherent subsystems with the transmission $T_{k,k+1}$. An energy distribution function $f_k$ is assigned to each of the $k = 1, 2 \ldots N_d$ dephasing reservoirs, which can be calculated by using the conservation of the energy resolved current at the fictitious reservoirs

$$T_{k-1,k} (f_k - f_{k-1}) - T_{k,k+1} (f_{k+1} - f_k) = 0.$$  (4)

The solution of this system of $N_d$ linear equations for the energy distribution function of the last dephasing reservoir is [20, 21]

$$f_{N_d} = \frac{1}{\tau_{N_d}} f_S + \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{N_d-1} 1}{\tau_{k,k+1}} f_D.$$

This allows the calculation of the current through the system

$$I = \frac{e}{h} \int dE T_{N_d,D} (f_{N_d} - f_D) = \frac{e}{h} \int dE \frac{f_S - f_D}{\sum_{k=0}^{N_d} \tau_{k,k+1}}.$$  (6)

Hence, at an infinitesimal bias voltage $\mu_S \rightarrow \mu_D$, the total resistance measured in multiples of $h/e^2$

$$R = \sum_{k=0}^{N_d} \frac{1}{\tau_{k,k+1}}$$

is given by the sum of the subsystem resistances, which are determined by the coherent quantum transport between neighboring reservoirs. Moreover, we do not only consider a single fixed spatial configuration of dephasing reservoirs but calculate the ensemble average of the total resistance $\langle R \rangle$ over the dephasing configurations. Note also that by assuming an infinitesimal bias voltage the above used conservation of the energy resolved current is equivalent to the conservation of the total current.
2.2 Momentum conserving dephasing

Momentum conserving dephasing is obtained in our model, if two energy distribution functions per dephasing reservoir are defined, see Fig. 1(c). The $f^<_k$ and $f^>_k$ allow to attribute to every electron a definite sign of the momentum. The absence of energy relaxation then ensures momentum conservation during the dephasing process. The current conservation constraint causes $2N_d$ linear equations

$$
T_{k-1,k}f^<_k - T_{k,k+1}f^>_k - R_{k,k+1}f^<_k + R_{k-1,k}f^>_k = 0,
$$
$$
T_{k,k+1}f^<_k + T_{k-1,k}f^>_k - R_{k,k+1}f^<_k + R_{k-1,k}f^>_k = 0,
$$

(8)

where $R_{i,j} = 1 - T_{i,j}$. The energy distribution function of the last dephasing reservoir reads

$$
N_d f^<_k = \sum_{i=0}^{N_d-1} \frac{1}{T_{k,k+1}} f^S_D - N_d f^D_D
$$

(9)

and the total resistance at an infinitesimal bias voltage

$$
R = \sum_{k=0}^{N_d} \frac{1}{T_{k,k+1}} - N_d.
$$

(10)

Hence, for momentum conserving dephasing, the sum of the subsystem resistances is reduced by a constant contact resistance $R_c = 1$ for each of the fictitious reservoirs.

If momentum randomizing as well as momentum conserving dephasing exist in the same system, (8) can still be used for the momentum conserving reservoirs, if one sets $f^<_k = f^>_k = f_k$ for the momentum randomizing dephasing reservoirs and uses for these reservoirs a modified version of (4) $T_{k-1,k} (f_k - f_{k-1}) - T_{k,k+1} (f_{k+1} - f_k) = 0.$

3 Application to linear tight-binding chains

In the following we consider linear tight-binding chains of $N$ sites with a single energy level $\varepsilon_k$ per site and coupling $t$ between nearest neighbors

$$
H = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \varepsilon_k c_k^+ c_k + t \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (c_k^+ c_{k+1} + c_{k+1}^+ c_k).
$$

(11)

To simplify the notation we take $t = 1$ as our energy unit and the lattice spacing $a = 1$ as our length unit. The reservoirs are modeled as semi-infinite chains which cause the self-energy (see for example [21])

$$
\Sigma = \begin{cases} 
\frac{E^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{E^2 - 4} & \text{for } E \leq -2, \\
\frac{E^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{E^2 - 4} & \text{for } |E| < 2, \\
\frac{E^2}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{E^2 - 4} & \text{for } E \geq +2
\end{cases}
$$

(12)

on the first and last site of the chain. In the following, only the energy band $|E| < 2$ is considered because for $|E| > 2$ the imaginary part of the self-energy vanishes and hence $T = 0$. The coherent transmission through a chain of length $N$ is calculated recursively by using the same method as in [23]

$$
\frac{1}{T_N(E)} = \frac{|r_N + \Sigma^2 s_{N-1} - \Sigma(r_{N-1} + s_N)|^2}{4\text{Im}(\Sigma)^2}
$$

(13)

with the polynomials

$$
\begin{align*}
    r_j &= (E - \varepsilon_j)r_{j-1} - r_{j-2}, & s_j &= (E - \varepsilon_j)s_{j-1} - s_{j-2}, \\
    r_0 &= 1, & s_1 &= 1, \\
    r_{-1} &= 0, & s_0 &= 0.
\end{align*}
$$

(14)

A dephasing configuration is generated by replacing each bond of the chain by a dephasing reservoir with probability $p$, see Fig. 2. These dephasing reservoirs are momentum randomizing with probability $p_r$ and hence momentum conserving with probability $1 - p_r$, see Fig. 3.

The phase coherence length $l_\phi$ is defined by the average length of the coherent subsystems

$$
l_\phi = \frac{N}{1 + (N - 1)p}.
$$

(15)

The average distance between momentum randomizing dephasing reservoirs reads

$$
l_m^{\text{ph}} = \frac{N}{1 + (N - 1)p-pr}.
$$

(16)

If only $N_d$ of the $N_d$ dephasing reservoirs are assumed as momentum conserving, the ensemble average of the resistance over all dephasing configurations is given by

$$
\{R\} = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{N_d} T_{k,k+1} \right\} - (N-1)p(1-p_r)
$$

(17)

because $\{N_d\} = (N-1)p(1-p_r)$.
The additional resistance due to momentum randomizing dephasing
\[
\{\Delta R\} \equiv \{R(p_r)\} - \{R(p_r = 0)\} = (N - 1)pp_r
\]  
(18)
increases linearly with the chain length and both dephasing probabilities.

Regarding a fixed dephasing configuration as a series of incoherently coupled tunneling barriers, its resistance in the limit of completely momentum randomizing dephasing (7) and completely momentum conserving dephasing (10) corresponds to the results in [6, 11, 19]. However, by ensemble averaging our statistical dephasing model provides a simple formula (18) for the additional resistance due to momentum randomizing dephasing, which is also valid for partial decoherence \(0 < p_r < 1\).

### 3.1 Homogeneous chains

Homogeneous chains \(\varepsilon_k = 0\) are considered, which show perfect transmission \(T = 1\) if \(|E| < 2\). The ensemble averaged resistance
\[
\{R\} = \{N_d + 1\} - (N - 1)p(1 - p_r)
= 1 + (N - 1)p p_r = N/l_m
\]  
(19)
is given by the ratio of the chain length and the mean free path since in homogeneous chains momentum randomization is caused only by the dephasing reservoirs and hence \(l_m = r_{dph}\). Obviously, momentum conserving dephasing \(p_r = 0\) does not cause an additional resistance and retains a ballistic conductor \(\{R\} = 1\), whereas momentum randomizing dephasing \(p_r > 0\) leads to an ohmic resistance \(\{R\} \propto N\).

### 3.2 Disordered chains

In this section the onsite energies are considered as distributed independently according to a probability density \(w(\varepsilon)\) with mean value 0 and variance \(\sigma^2\).

The onsite disorder causes momentum randomization, which for large \(N\) can be estimated by the exponential decrease of the coherent transmission \(T \propto \exp(-N/\lambda)\). The mean free path \(l_m\) is then given by summing up the spatial rates of the two momentum randomization processes
\[
\frac{1}{l_m} = \frac{1}{r_{dph}} + \frac{1}{\lambda}.
\]  
(20)
The energy resolved transmission of a fixed disorder configuration in Fig. 4 shows strong oscillations due to interference, if the transport is coherent. When dephasing is introduced, \(p > 0\), these oscillations vanish because the interference between the disordered sites is no longer possible. If the dephasing is momentum conserving \(p_r = 0\), only the oscillations are averaged out, whereas the transmission itself is reduced, when the dephasing is momentum randomizing \(p_r > 0\). This property of the transmission function, which is also reported in [4, 11, 18], clearly indicates the additional resistance due to momentum randomizing dephasing.

Now, disorder averages are studied. The disorder-averaged resistance of a coherent chain of \(j\) sites is calculated recursively in the same ways as in [23]
\[
\left\langle \frac{1}{T_j} \right\rangle \equiv \int d\varepsilon_1 \ldots d\varepsilon_j \frac{1}{T_j} \prod_{i=1}^{j} w(\varepsilon_i)
= P_j + 2P_{j-1}(1 - E^2) + P_{j-2} + E^2 R_j + 2
\]  
(21)
with the recursion relations
\[
P_j \equiv \int d\varepsilon_1 \ldots d\varepsilon_j r_i^2 \prod_{i=1}^{j} w(\varepsilon_i)
= (E^2 + \sigma^2) P_{j-1} - 2EQ_{j-1} + P_{j-2},
\]  
(22a)
\[
Q_j \equiv \int d\varepsilon_1 \ldots d\varepsilon_j r_i r_{j-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j} w(\varepsilon_i)
= EP_{j-1} - Q_{j-1},
\]  
(22b)
\[
R_j \equiv \int d\varepsilon_1 \ldots d\varepsilon_j r_i s_{j-1} \prod_{i=1}^{j} w(\varepsilon_i)
= EQ_{j-1} - R_{j-1} + 1
\]  
(22c)
and the initial conditions \(P_0 = 1, P_{-1} = Q_0 = R_1 = 0\). Note that the disorder-averaged resistance depends only on the variance of the probability density \(w\), higher moments do not enter.

If dephasing bonds are introduced with probability \(p\) in a chain of \(N\) sites, the coherent chain appears obviously with probability \((1-p)^{N-1}\). A subsystem with length \(j <
The averaged resistance reads

$$u_j = \begin{cases} (1-p)^{j-1}(2p+(N-1-j)p^2) & \text{for } j < N, \\ (1-p)^{N-1-j} & \text{for } j = N. \end{cases}$$

The averaged resistance reads

$$\langle \{R\} \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{N} u_j \left( \frac{1}{T_j} \right) - (N-1) p(1-p_\tau).$$

Fig. 5 shows the resistance in the band-center $E = 0$ as a function of the chain length $N$. Because of the disorder-induced ($\sigma = 0.5$) quantum localization, the coherent resistance $p = 0$ increases exponentially. However, if the subsystem length is sufficiently decreased by dephasing $p > p^* > 0$, the resistance transits from localized-exponential to ohmic-linear behavior. The critical dephasing probability $p^*$, which has been calculated analytically in our recent work [23], can be recognized approximately from Fig. 6 as the $p$-value, where the resistance per length diverges. Moreover, the critical dephasing probability is independent of the degree of momentum randomizing dephasing $p_\tau$ because the linear factor $(N-1)p(1-p_\tau)$ does not alter the fact that the resistance is exponentially increasing.

Fig. 5 and the energy resolved transmission in Fig. 7 show that the disorder-averaged resistance is always reduced by momentum conserving dephasing $p_\tau = 0$ because the fictitious dephasing reservoirs reduce the tunneling distance without causing additional resistance. Hence, the disorder-averaged resistance is minimal if every bond is replaced by a momentum conserving dephasing reservoir. If the dephasing is momentum randomizing, the resistance depends crucially on the chain length, the disorder strength, the dephasing probabilities, and the energy. Compared to the coherent resistance, the decoherent resistance may increase as well as decrease. Note that for a fixed disorder configuration, constructive interference increases the transmission at some energies and hence momentum conserving dephasing reduces the transmission, see Fig. 4.
4 Conclusions

This paper introduces a simple statistical model for the effects of dephasing on electron transport, which allows to adjust the degree of phase and momentum randomization independently by using the dephasing probabilities $p$ and $p_r$ as well as the onsite disorder $\sigma$. These parameters are related to physical quantities, namely the phase coherence length (15) and the mean free path (20).

The resistance of a tight-binding chain (17) indicates that momentum randomizing dephasing causes an additive resistance (18), which increases linearly with the chain length and both dephasing probabilities.

Studying a fixed disorder configuration, it has been shown in Fig. 4 that only the oscillations in the transmission are averaged out if the dephasing is momentum conserving, whereas the transmission itself is reduced if the dephasing is momentum randomizing.

The disorder-averaged resistance (24) is calculated very efficiently by considering the average number of coherent subsystems (23) for the dephasing average and a recursion formula (21) for the disorder average of the coherent subsystems, which depends only on the variance of the disorder distribution. Using this formula, it has been shown in Fig. 6 that the dephasing-driven transition from localized-exponential to ohmic-linear behavior is not affected by the degree of momentum randomizing dephasing because a linear factor does not alter the fact that the resistance is increasing exponentially. However, the disorder-averaged resistance is always minimal if the dephasing is momentum conserving because the tunneling distance is reduced without causing additional resistance.

Applying our model to homogeneous chains, it has been shown that the resistance is in between the ballistic and the ohmic regime, whereas for disordered chains, the resistance is in between the exponential and ohmic regime. Hence, this model covers all three regimes, which have been defined in the introduction.
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