Smurf2 regulates stability and the autophagic–lysosomal turnover of lamin A and its disease-associated form progerin
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Summary
A-lamins, encoded by the LMNA gene, are major structural components of the nuclear lamina coordinating essential cellular processes. Mutations in the LMNA gene and/or alterations in its expression levels have been linked to a distinct subset of human disorders, collectively known as laminopathies, and to cancer. Mechanisms regulating A-lamins are mostly obscure. Here, we identified E3 ubiquitin ligase Smurf2 as a physiological regulator of lamin A and its disease-associated mutant form progerin (LAΔ50), whose expression underlies the development of Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS), a devastating premature aging syndrome. We show that Smurf2 directly binds, ubiquitinates, and negatively regulates the expression of lamin A and progerin in Smurf2 dose- and E3 ligase-dependent manners. Overexpression of catalytically active Smurf2 promotes the autophagic–lysosomal breakdown of lamin A and progerin, whereas Smurf2 depletion increases lamin A levels. Remarkably, acute overexpression of Smurf2 in progeria fibroblasts was able to significantly reduce the nuclear deformability. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the reciprocal relationship between Smurf2 and A-lamins is preserved in different types of mouse and human normal and cancer tissues. These findings establish Smurf2 as an essential regulator of lamin A and progerin and lay a foundation for evaluating the efficiency of progerin clearance by Smurf2 in HGPS, and targeting of the Smurf2–lamin A axis in age-related diseases such as cancer.
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The nuclear lamina (NL) is an essential component of metazoan cells. In addition to conferring to the nucleus its shape and mechanical stability, NL is implicated in key nuclear functions including chromatin organization, transcription, DNA replication, and repair (Burke & Stewart, 2013; Dechat et al., 2008; Dittmer & Misteli, 2011).

A-type lamins (lamin A, C, C2, and lamin AΔ10) are major structural components of the nuclear lamina. These lamins are encoded by a single gene—LMNA in humans (Lin & Worman, 1993; Machiels et al., 1996; Nakajima & Abe, 1995). Lamin A and lamin C (lamin A/C) are the major isoforms of A-lamins that are expressed in somatic cells, whereas lamin C2 is uniquely expressed in the testis (Furukawa, Inagaki & Hotta, 1994). The minor lamin AΔ10 is also found in...
somatic cells, although its overall expression profile remains unclear (Burke & Stewart, 2013). Lamin C is synthesized directly from the LMNA gene, whereas lamin A is first produced as a precursor—prelamin A. Subsequently, prelamin A undergoes a few posttranslational modifications to generate the mature protein (Dechat, Adam, Taimen, Shimi & Goldman, 2010).

Mutations in the LMNA gene and/or alterations in its expression levels have been linked to a variety of distinct degenerative diseases, collectively known as the laminopathies, and to cancer (Burke & Stewart, 2013; Sakthivel & Sehgal, 2016).

Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome (HGPS) is one of the most severe and devastating laminopathies linked to LMNA mutation. Patients with HGPS exhibit characteristics of premature aging and generally die in their teens, mostly due to cardiovascular complications. In most HGPS cases, there is a recurrent heterozygous silent mutation in exon 11 of LMNA (c.1824C>T, G608G). This mutation activates a cryptic mRNA splice site that results in the deletion of fifty amino acids near the C-terminus of prelamin A, yielding a permanently farnesylated and carboxymethylated dominant protein named progerin (LAΔ50) (Eriksson et al., 2003; Gonzalo, Kreienkamp & Askaer, 2017; Figure 1a).

**FIGURE 1** Smurf2 physically interacts with lamin A and its dominant mutant form progerin. (a) Schematic diagram of the structure of lamin A, lamin C, and progerin (LAΔ50). Progerin retains its C-terminal CAAX motif that is stably farnesylated. NLS—nuclear localization signal. (b) Immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis of Smurf2 expression and biodistribution in a panel of human normal tissues (FDA999m TMA). IHC staining of both Smurf2 and A-lamins in liver tissues is also shown. (c) Confocal images showing co-localization of GFP–Smurf2 with mCherry-lamin A and Flag–progerin expressed in human HEK-293T cells. Bars, 5 μm. (d) Co-localization of GFP–Smurf2 with lamin A/C and progerin in normal and HGPS HDF cells. Bars, 10 μm. (e) Co-IP analysis of endogenous Smurf2 and lamin A/C interaction in HEK-293T cells. WCL, whole cell lysate. (f) In vitro binding assay showing a direct interaction between purified GST–Smurf2 and Flag–lamin A/C and progerin in HEK-293T nuclei (red signal). Cells transfected with Flag–lamin A and empty Myc vector served as a control. Bars, 5 μm. (i) Quantification of lamin A–Smurf2 PLA analysis. ***P < .0001. Data are mean ± SEM of ten different fields (n = 87 cells for Flag–lamin A/Myc-empty; n = 88 cells for Flag–lamin A/Myc–Smurf2). (j) PLA of the protein–protein interaction of Flag–progerin and Myc–Smurf2 expressed in HEK-293T cells. Bars, 5 μm. (k) Quantification of Smurf2–progerin PLA. ****P < .0001. Data are mean ± SEM of ten different fields (n = 88 cells for Flag–Progerin/Myc-empty; n = 97 for Flag–Progerin/Myc–Smurf2)
Research aimed at understanding disease progression and treatment options of HGPS led to characterization of many cellular functions for A-type lamins, revealing their intrinsic role in pathways that are also known to contribute to tumor progression, including chromatin organization and DNA damage response, DNA replication, gene expression, proliferation, and genomic integrity regulation (Taddei, Hediger, Neumann & Gasser, 2004; Gruenbaum, Margalit, Goldman, Shumaker & Wilson, 2005; Lees-Miller, 2006; Singh et al., 2013; Bell & Lammerding, 2016). These studies also revealed that lamin A coordinates numerous cellular processes and signaling pathways by providing an intranuclear platform for protein-protein interactions (Dittmer & Misteli, 2011; Kubben, Voonken & Misteli, 2010; Prasad, Kandasamy & Pandey, 2009).

Despite the importance of A-lamins, the molecular mechanisms implicated in their regulation are elusive. In this study, we identified Smurf2, a HECT type E3 ubiquitin ligase and recently discovered tumor suppressor (Blank et al., 2012; Emanuelli et al., 2017; Zou, Levy-Cohen & Blank, 2015), as the essential regulator of stability and protein turnover of lamin A and its disease-associated mutant form progerin. We also determined that protein turnover of A-lamins is strictly dependent on unaltered catalytic activities of Smurf2 and is executed through the autophagic-lysosomal pathway. Furthermore, we demonstrated that the reciprocal relationship between Smurf2 and A-lamins is preserved in different types of mouse and human cells and tissues.

2 | RESULTS

2.1 | Smurf2 physically interacts with lamin A and progerin

A-lamins are primarily localized at the nuclear periphery (at the nuclear rim), although they can be also found in the nucleoplasm (Dittmer & Misteli, 2011). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) with IHC-specific anti-Smurf2 antibody conducted on a panel of different human tissues revealed that Smurf2, similar to A-lamins, exhibits nuclear localization, with a noticeable sequestration at the nuclear rim (Figure 1b). Immunofluorescence studies performed in different types of human cells, including primary dermal fibroblasts (HDFs), embryonic kidney HEK-293T cells, and breast adenocarcinoma MDA-MB-231 cells, revealed a co-localization between lamin A and GFP–Smurf2, in particular at the nuclear envelope (Figure 1c, d, upper panels, and Figure S1a,b). Co-localization between GFP–Smurf2 and progerin (LAΔ50) was monitored in both HEK-293T cells expressing recombinant Flag–progerin and in patient-derived HGPS dermal fibroblasts (HGPS HDFs) (Figure 1c,d, bottom panels).

Subsequent co-immunoprecipitation studies conducted on the endogenous Smurf2 and lamin A in HEK-293T cells provided further evidence that Smurf2 complexes with lamin A (Figure 1e and Figure S1c).

Next, we conducted in vitro binding assays using purified GST-Smurf2, Flag-lamin A, and Flag-progerin. The data obtained in these experiments suggested a direct interaction between Smurf2–lamin A and Smurf2–progerin (Figure 1f).

Finally, in situ proximity ligation assay (PLA), which enables determining the protein–protein interactions directly within the cell, provided further evidence that Smurf2 interacts with lamin A (Figure 1h,i) and progerin (Figure 1j,k) in cells. Collectively, these data established Smurf2 as a novel binding partner of A-lamins.

2.2 | Smurf2 ubiquitinates lamin A and progerin

Smurf2 is a HECT type E3 ubiquitin ligase that can directly ubiquitinate its binding partners/substrates. Active-site cysteine of Smurf2 (Cys716), which makes a transient thioester bond with ubiquitin, is crucial for Smurf2 catalytic activity (Kavsak et al., 2000; Zhang, Chang, Gehling, Hemmati-Brivanlou & Derynck, 2001). To examine whether lamin A and progerin are the substrates for Smurf2-mediated ubiquitination, we conducted several lines of experiments. First, we demonstrated that Smurf2 is capable of ubiquitinating lamin A in cells (in vivo ubiquitination assay), yielding oligo-ubiquitination of lamin A (Figure 2a,b and Figure S2a–c). Oligo-ubiquitinated lamin A was discernible as distinct bands of ubiquitin (Ub)-conjugated lamin A migrating in SDS-PAGE at ~90–115 kDa (Figure 2a,b, lanes 4 and 2, respectively; and Figure S2a, lane 2). This ubiquitination was strictly dependent on unaltered E3 ubiquitin ligase function of Smurf2, as Smurf2’s catalytically inactive mutant (Cys716Gly; Smurf2Mut) failed to produce this phenomenon (Figure 2a, lane 5 vs. 4; Figure S2a, lane 3 vs. 2; and Figure S2b). Of note, the Smurf2-mediated ubiquitination of lamin A, and its dependence on Smurf2 enzymatic activities, was also observed under very harsh lysis conditions: when cells instead of a RIPA buffer were lysed in 1% SDS followed by immediate sample boiling and sonication (not shown).

The oligo-ubiquitination of lamin A was further validated using ubiquitin mutant (HA-UbKO) vs. ubiquitin wild-type form (HA-UbWT). In HA-UbKO mutant form, all the lysines (seven in total) were mutated to arginines, abolishing the ability of ubiquitin molecules to form oligo/poly-ubiquitin chains. The data show that the substitution of wild-type ubiquitin with its mutant abolished Smurf2-mediated oligo-ubiquitination of lamin A (Figure 2b, lane 4 vs. 2; and Figure S2c).

Lamin A mutant form progerin (LAΔ50) was also found to be ubiquitinated by Smurf2 in E3 ligase-dependent manner (Figure 2c, lane 4; and Figure S2d). However, the data suggested that in contrast to lamin A, progerin was apparently multi-ubiquitylated by Smurf2: ubiquitinylated-progerin migrated in SDS-PAGE at multiple sites ranging from ~80 to 250 kDa. Subsequent studies incorporating wild-type vs. mutant form of ubiquitin provided further evidence that Smurf2 mediates multi-ubiquitination of progerin: Ubiquitination pattern of progerin remained unaltered in HA-UbKO-expressing cells as compared to HA-UbWT-expressing cells (Figure 2d, lane 4 vs. 2; and Figure S2e).

In a follow-up in vivo ubiquitination study, incorporating the affinity purification of lamin A and progerin coupled with mass spectrometry analysis, we validated the dependency of Smurf2-mediated
ubiquitination of lamin A and progerin on unaltered enzymatic activities of Smurf2. These findings will be published elsewhere.

Next, using an ubiquitination reconstitution assay (in vitro ubiquitination assay) incorporating purified ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1), ubiquitin conjugase (E2), HA-ubiquitin, GST-Smurf2 (either wild-type or E3 ligase-mutant form), and Flag-lamin A or Flag-progerin, we showed that Smurf2 is capable of directly ubiquitinating lamin A and progerin in an E3 ligase-dependent manner (Figure 2e,f and Figure S2g). Of note, to ensure that Smurf2-mediated ubiquitination of lamin A and progerin belongs to these proteins, and not to Smurf2 (e.g., due to its possible auto-ubiquitination) or any other components used in the ubiquitination reaction, we conducted a set of validation studies, which confirmed the specific formation of ubiquitin conjugates on A-lamins (Figure S2f,h).

2.3 | Smurf2 negatively regulates levels of lamin A and progerin

To investigate the consequences of Smurf2-mediated ubiquitination of lamin A and progerin, we performed the following sets of experiments. Firstly, we expressed these proteins in HEK-293T cells together with either Smurf2 wild-type (Smurf2WT) or its E3 ligase-deficient mutant (Smurf2Mut) and analyzed the steady-state levels of lamin A and progerin in these cells. The data (Figure 2g and Figure S2i) show reduced levels of lamin A and progerin when co-expressed with wild-type form of Smurf2. Consistent with the previous observations, Smurf2 catalytically inactive mutant failed to decrease levels of lamin A and progerin. Furthermore, the results indicated that effect of Smurf2 on A-lamins was...
proportional to the amount of Smurf2 transduced to the cells (Figure S2j).

Subsequently, we analyzed the effects of Smurf2 overexpression on cellular levels of lamin A and progerin in primary human dermal fibroblasts (HDFs) derived either from a healthy individual or from patient with HGPS, using a confocal microscopy analysis. The results revealed that similar to HEK-293T cells, overexpression of Smurf2 in HDF cells significantly decreased cellular levels of A-lamins, in particular of progerin (Figure 2h,i).

Furthermore, we measured the nuclear circularity in HDF normal and HGPS cells transduced with GFP–Smurf2 or an empty GFP vector (control). In accordance with the previously published studies (Goldman et al., 2004; Scaffidi & Misteli, 2005), the nuclear circularity in HGPS cells was significantly decreased as compared to normal cells (Figure 2). Remarkably, overexpression of Smurf2 in progeria fibroblasts was able to significantly reduce the nuclear deformability and improve the nuclear circularity in patient cells. Of note, in normal HDFs the nuclear circularity was decreased (Figure 2j).

2.4 Inactivation of Smurf2 either through RNAi or genomic ablation increased the steady-state levels of lamin A in cells and tissues

Next, we examined the effect of Smurf2 knockdown on the steady-state levels of A-lamins. To this end, we transfected human breast adenocarcinoma MDA-MB-231 cells with either nonspecific siRNA (siNS) or with siSmurf2 (siSF2), and examined protein levels of A-lamins 72 hr after transfection. The data (Figure 3a and Figure S3a) showed that the steady-state levels of lamin A were prominently increased after the Smurf2 knockdown. Similar results were also obtained when Smurf2 was knocked down with lentiviral-based shRNAs (Figure 3b). These effects were monitored through the use of two different shRNAs designed to target Smurf2 at either its coding sequence (shSF2#1) or 3′UTR (shSF2#2), and were proportional to the efficiency of Smurf2 knockdown (Figure 3b, lanes 7–9 vs. 4–6 and 1–3). Subsequent immunofluorescent studies conducted on shSF2 cells showed significantly increased levels of A-lamins also at a single-cell level (Figure 3c,d). Real-time qRT–PCR analyses of lamin A/C mRNA expression in these cells pointed to post-transcriptional level of regulation of A-lamins by Smurf2 (Figure S3b).

The increased levels of A-lamins after Smurf2 knockdown were also observed in other human cell models: in mammary epithelial MCF-10A cells, breast adenocarcinoma MCF-7 cells, and in prostate carcinoma DU-145 cells. In all these cells, decrease in Smurf2 expression through shRNA increased the steady-state levels of A-lamins (Figure 3e).

Immunohistochemistry and Western blot analyses conducted on the tissue samples of Smurf2-deficient vs. littermate control mice revealed that increased protein levels of lamin A were also a characteristic of Smurf2-ablated tissues (Figure 3f,g and Figure S3c,d). Altogether, these data indicated that Smurf2 is a key regulator of the steady-state levels of A-lamins.

2.5 Smurf2 regulates stability and protein turnover of A-lamins through the autophagic–lysosomal degradation pathway

To investigate how Smurf2 regulates protein levels of A-lamins, we conducted several sets of experiments. First, we analyzed the turnover rate of endogenous lamin A/C proteins in Smurf2-ablated MEF cells. We found that cellular levels of lamin A were significantly increased in Smurf2 knockout MEFs (Figure 4a, lane 7 vs. 1), and had a slower turnover after blocking protein synthesis with cycloheximide (Figure 4a, lanes 8–11 vs. 2–5). Consistently, overexpression of Smurf2 accelerated protein turnover of both endogenous and recombinant Flag–lamin A expressed in HEK-293T cells, in particular after the cycloheximide treatment (Figure 4b and Figure S3e).

Next, we analyzed the Smurf2-mediated degradation of lamin A following cell treatment with the proteasomal inhibitor MG-132 and/or autophagic/lysosomal inhibitor chloroquine (CQ). Consistent with our previous observations, adding Smurf2 to the cells led to a prominent reduction in cellular levels of lamin A (Figure 4c). The data also revealed that Smurf2-mediated proteolysis of lamin A could be rescued through the inhibition of lysosomal but not proteasomal protein degradation (Figure 4c, lane 6 vs. 4 and 2). To validate these observations, we conducted this protein degradation assay again, but at this time we expressed Smurf2 in gradually increasing concentrations. The data (Figure 4d) showed that Smurf2-mediated reduction in lamin A levels is proportional to the amount of Smurf2 transduced to the cells and that lamin A protein turnover occurs through the autophagic–lysosomal pathway. Similar results were also obtained with progerin (Figure 4e). Again, only the inhibition of the autophagic/lysosomal degradation pathway with chloroquine rescued progerin from the Smurf2-mediated degradation (Figure 4e, lane 6 vs. 4 and 2).

To corroborate our biochemical data on Smurf2-mediated breakdown of A-lamins via the autophagic–lysosomal pathway, we conducted the following experiments. First, using a direct immunofluorescent confocal analysis of HEK-293T cells expressing mCherry-lamin A together with the GFP–Smurf2 (or an empty GFP vector as a control), we demonstrated that in Smurf2 overexpressing cells the number of mCherry-lamin A’s cytoplasmic dots was significantly increased (Figure 4a,b). Remarkably, a similar phenomenon was also observed in primary HDFs derived either from a healthy individual or from patient with HGPS (Figure 4c,d). Second, we repeated these experiments again, but now incorporated into the experimental analysis also immunostaining with the anti-LC3B antibody. The analyses were conducted on chloroquine-treated and untreated cells. LC3B is a central protein in the autophagic–lysosomal protein degradation pathway and is used to monitor lysosomal activity (Barth, Glick & Macleod, 2010; Shintani & Klionsky, 2004). For the immunostaining, we used anti-LC3B antibody from Cell Signaling (Cat. #2775). This antibody has a much stronger reactivity for the endogenous type II form of LC3B (LC3B-II), which is specifically localized to autophagic structures throughout the process from phagophore to lysosomal degradation. LC3B-II has been documented to accumulate in the autophagic–lysosomal compartment of the cell following chloroquine treatment. Using this experimental setup, we confirmed
that Smurf2-mediated degradation of both lamin A and progerin occurs through autophagy, as evident by co-localization of lamin A and progerin cytoplasmic dots with LC3B (Figure 5e,f), and with the lysosomal-associated membrane protein LAMP1 (Figure S4).

2.6 The Smurf2–lamin A relationship is preserved in human normal and cancer tissues

To determine the relationship between Smurf2 and A-type lamins in human tissues, we obtained a collection of human normal and cancer tissues (tissue microarrays—TMAs) from US Biomax and stained these tissues with IHC-specific anti-Smurf2 and antilamin A/C antibodies. The following TMAs were stained and analyzed: (i) multi-organ normal TMA (FDA999 m; N = 96), containing 32 types of human normal organs/tissues taken from three normal individuals; (ii) breast cancer TMA with matched adjacent normal breast tissue (BR804a; N = 76); (iii) breast invasive ductal carcinoma with matched metastatic carcinoma tissue (BR10010c; N = 98); and (iv) prostate cancer TMA containing both tumor and normal prostate tissues (PR1921; N = 192). We chose breast and prostate tumor TMAs based on our results...
demonstrating that Smurf2 knockdown in these cells increased the expression levels of A-lamins (Figure 3a–e). Histopathological evaluation of TMAs was conducted by two independent board-certified pathologists. The staining intensity and percentages of positive cells were scored using the standard scoring system: 0 = <10%; 1 = 10%–24%; 2 = 25%–49%; 3 = 50%–74%; 4 = 75%–100%.

Analysis of normal tissue samples (Figure 6a) revealed that in more than 70% of samples Smurf2 and A-lamins were differentially scored: Samples with high expression levels of Smurf2 showed lower expression of A-lamins; and vice versa: Samples with low expression of Smurf2 showed higher expression levels of lamin A/C. The most prominent differences between the expression levels of Smurf2 and A-lamins were observed in: (i) ovary, spleen, thyroid gland, muscle, and endometrium tissues. In all these tissues, we detected high levels of lamin A/C and low levels of Smurf2 (Figure 6b and Figure S5a); (ii) in contrast, high levels of Smurf2 and lower levels of A-lamins were observed in lymph node, lungs, liver, small intestine, and pancreatic tissues (Figure 6c and Figure S5b). We did not detect consistent differences between expression of Smurf2 and lamin A/C in brain, colon, kidney, and skin. These findings suggest that Smurf2 affects A-lamins in a tissue-specific manner.

We have also observed the reciprocal relationship between decreased levels of A-lamins and increased levels of Smurf2, and vice versa, in cancer tissues. The percentage of samples with differential Smurf2–lamin A/C scores in cancer TMAs ranged between approximately 40% and 80%, dependent on the tumor type (Figures S6 and S7).

3 DISCUSSION

In this study, we identified a previously unknown guiding arm in the regulation of expression of A-lamins in mammalian cells and tissues.
We found that Smurf2, a HECT type E3 ubiquitin ligase and recently suggested tumor suppressor, functions as a physiological regulator of A-lamins, in particular of lamin A and its disease-associated mutant form progerin, fine-tuning their expression levels. We showed that Smurf2 operates as a bona fide E3 ubiquitin ligase that directly binds, ubiquitinates, and negatively regulates the expression levels of lamin A and progerin in Smurf2 dose- and E3 ligase-dependent manners. Consistently, we demonstrated that overexpression of catalytically active Smurf2 promoted the autophagic–lysosomal breakdown of A-lamins, whereas the depletion of Smurf2 significantly increased the lamin A levels. These results were observed in Smurf2 genetically ablated mouse cells and tissues, as well as in Smurf2 knockdown human cells. Our study also incorporated the usage of HDF cells derived from a healthy individual or from patient with HGPS. Analyses conducted in these cells provided further support for the role of Smurf2 in the regulation of A-lamins. Remarkably, the overexpression of Smurf2 in progeria patient fibroblasts significantly reduced the nuclear deformability in these cells. In normal HDFs, the nuclear circularity was decreased upon Smurf2 overexpression, consistent with our other data showing that Smurf2 is an essential negative regulator of both progerin and lamin A.

Finally, the data obtained in IHC studies of tissues from Smurf2-deficient and wild-type mice, as well as on tissue microarrays incorporating more than 460 human normal and cancer tissues, provided additional support for Smurf2 as a regulator of A-lamins. Taken as a whole, the evidence supports the model whereby Smurf2 acts as a physiological regulator of A-lamins.

A few recent studies reported that A-lamins undergo protein degradation under different conditions. AKT1-mediated phosphorylation and subsequent degradation of lamin A/C were observed in epidermal keratinocytes during terminal differentiation (Naeem, Zhu, Di, Marmiroli & O’Shaughnessy, 2015). In another study, overexpression of AIMP3/p18, which is normally associated with the macromolecular tRNA synthetase complex, was shown to accelerate cellular senescence and promote proteasome-mediated degradation of lamin A, but not of lamin C, prelamin A, or progerin (Oh et al., 2010). Our data showed that under normal growth conditions the turnover of both lamin A and progerin occurred through the autophagic–lysosomal pathway. (a) Confocal images showing that co-expression of GFP–Smurf2 and mCherry-lamin A in HEK-293T cells increases the number of mCherry-lamin A’s cytoplasmic dots. Cells co-expressing mCherry-lamin A and an empty GFP vector served as a control. Bars, 5 μm. (b) Quantification of the confocal data shown in (a). ****P < .0001 using Student’s t-test. Data are mean ± SEM of three independent experiments (n = 135 cells/group). (c, d) Confocal images showing that overexpression of GFP–Smurf2 in human normal and HGPS fibroblasts increases the lamin A and progerin cytoplasmic dots. Bars, 10 μm. Quantification of the confocal data is shown on the bottom. *P < .05. Data are mean ± SEM with an average of 100 cells/group for normal HDFs and 114 cells/group for HGPS cells. (e) Confocal images of untreated and chloroquine-treated HEK-293T cells co-expressing GFP–Smurf2 and mCherry-lamin A, and immunostained with anti-LC3B antibody (autophagosome marker). Bars, 10 μm. Quantification of the confocal data is shown on the bottom. *P < .05, **P < .01, ***P < .001. Data are mean ± SEM of two independent experiments (n = 89 cells/group). (f) Confocal images showing co-localization of LC3B with lamin A and progerin in normal and HGPS HDFs expressing GFP–Smurf2. Arrows point to co-localization sites of all three proteins in the cytoplasmic compartment of cells. Bars, 10 μm. Quantification of the data is shown on the bottom. At average 44–50, GFP-positive cells were analyzed and quantified in each group. Data are mean ± SEM.
lysosomal breakdown (Figures 4 and 5, Figure S4). Intriguingly, while cell treatment with the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 had no obvious effect on lamin A (Figure 4c, lane 3 vs. 1), this treatment decreased the progerin levels (Figure 4e, lane 3 vs. 1). In line with this finding, a recent study demonstrated that MG-132 could promote the degradation of progerin by activation of autophagy (Harhouri et al., 2017).

The current study established Smurf2 as an E3 ubiquitin ligase that regulates cellular levels of both progerin and lamin A through the autophagic–lysosomal degradation. Interestingly, the data also indicated that in mouse tissues and human HEK-293T cells, Smurf2 had a little, if any, the effect on lamin C or lamin B1 (Figure 3g and Figure S3c), implying the specificity of Smurf2 in the regulation of A-lamins.

A-lamins, in particular progerin, have been associated with a physiological aging, in addition to HGPS. There is evidence that progerin progressively accumulates in different tissues of normal individuals during their aging (Butin-Israeli, Adam, Goldman & Goldman, 2012). Similar to A-lamins, Smurf2 also appears to be associated with aging and age-related diseases, including cancer. Recently, we and others showed that under the stress of aging mice knockout for Smurf2 develop a wide spectrum of tumors in different organs and tissues (Blank et al., 2012; Ramkumar et al., 2012; Zou et al., 2015). Moreover, increased cycling and reduced quiescence of hematopoietic stem cells in Smurf2-deficient aging mice was also reported (Ramkumar, Kong, Trabucco, Gerstein & Zhang, 2014).

In another study, Wu et al. demonstrated that overexpression of Smurf2 led to accelerated cartilage degradation and that ectopic expression of Smurf2 also facilitated the degeneration of the lumbar intervertebral disk, both of which are age-related processes (Wu & Huang, 2017; Wu et al., 2008). Accordingly, Smurf2-deficient mice were less susceptible to the pathological development of osteoarthritis compared to wild-type animals (Huang, Veien, Zhang, Ayers & Song, 2016).

More intriguing results were recently published by Mayeux’s group. The authors analyzed the data from a cohort of families with exceptional longevity and healthy aging (4,289 individuals) and found that Smurf2 was significantly associated with the leukocyte telomere length at gene-wise level (Lee et al., 2014).

Taken together, these findings strongly suggest that Smurf2, similar to A-lamins, is intrinsically involved in aging and age-related diseases, including cancer. Interestingly, both the SMURF2 and LMNA genes are not frequently altered in human malignancies; however, changes in the expression of these genes are common in many cancers (Bell & Lammerding, 2016; Zou et al., 2015). Moreover, the expression pattern of these proteins in tumors could be highly...
heterogeneous (Figures S6 and S7). Despite the heterogenic expression of Smurf2 and A-lamins, our results pointed to the reciprocal relationship between these proteins also in human cancer tissues. This observation is particularly intriguing because identification of the role of the Smurf2–lamin A axis in cancer may lead to the development of potential screening techniques that can direct appropriate therapies to the patients based on molecular profiling of the biopsy tissue. Another ramification of this study is that our findings on Smurf2-mediated proteolysis of progerin lay a foundation for evaluating the efficiency of progerin clearance by Smurf2 as a possible therapeutic approach in progeria treatment.

4 | EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE

4.1 | Cell cultures

Human dermal fibroblasts (HGADFN167, HGDFDFN168) were obtained from the Progeria Research Foundation. Smurf2 knockout (Smurf2\(^{-/-}\)) and wild-type MEF cells were generously provided by Dr. Ying Zhang (NIH). Cells were maintained in high-glucose DMEM (GIBCO) supplemented with l-glutamine, fetal bovine serum (15% for HDFs, and 10% for MEFs and human cancer cell strains), and 1% (v/v) Pen-Strep (GIBCO). Human mammary epithelial MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 supplemented with L-glutamine, fetal bovine serum (15% (v/v)) Pen-Strep (GIBCO) supplemented with L-glutamine, fetal bovine serum (15% for HDFs, and 10% for MEFs and human cancer cell strains), and 1% (v/v) Pen-Strep (GIBCO). Human mammary epithelial MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 supplemented with l-glutamine, 5% donor horse serum, 20 ng/ml hydrocortisone, 100 ng/ml cholera toxin (Sigma), and 1% (v/v) Pen-Strep. All cell cultures were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

4.2 | Animals

C57BL/B6 Smurf2 knockout and control wild-type mice were housed at the Faculty SPF animal facility according to Federation of Laboratory Animal Science Associations (FELASA) guidelines. All experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Bar-Ilan University.

4.3 | Protein extraction and Western Blot

For the preparation of whole cell lysates (WCL), cells were re-suspended in RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 137 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 5% glycerol; 1% NP-40 buffer (1% NP-40 substitute, 25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 137 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 5% glycerol); 1%–NP-40 buffer (1% NP-40 substitute, 25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 137 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 5% glycerol); a freeze-thawing (FT) buffer (600 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.8], 20% glycerol, protease and phosphatase inhibitors), followed by re-suspension buffer (45 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.8], 2.25 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40 buffer); or RIPA buffer. Lysates derived from the same samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-Smurf2 antibody (sc-25511; Santa Cruz) or rabbit IgG as a control (I5006; Sigma). Protein G-Sepharose beads (4 Fast Flow; GE Healthcare) were then added, and the samples were incubated for additional 2 hr at 4°C under rotation. Subsequently, beads were washed four times with an ice-cold lysis buffer and boiled for 5 min in 5× SDS loading buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 5 mM EDTA, 5% SDS, 50% glycerol, 50 mM DTT, 0.05% p/v bromophenol blue, 6% mercaptoethanol).

4.4 | Immunoprecipitation

For co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) experiments, cells were lysed using different lysis conditions: 0.5% NP-40 buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 137 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 5% glycerol; 1%–NP-40 buffer (1% NP-40 substitute, 25 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.5], 137 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 5% glycerol); a freeze-thawing (FT) buffer (600 mM KCl, 20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.8], 20% glycerol, protease and phosphatase inhibitors), followed by re-suspension buffer (45 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.8], 2.25 mM EDTA, 0.1% NP-40 buffer); or RIPA buffer. Lysates derived from the same samples were incubated overnight at 4°C with anti-Smurf2 antibody (sc-25511; Santa Cruz) or rabbit IgG as a control (I5006; Sigma). Protein G-Sepharose beads (4 Fast Flow; GE Healthcare) were then added, and the samples were incubated for additional 2 hr at 4°C under rotation. Subsequently, beads were washed four times with an ice-cold lysis buffer and boiled for 5 min in 5× SDS loading buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8], 5 mM EDTA, 5% SDS, 50% glycerol, 50 mM DTT, 0.05% p/v bromophenol blue, 6% mercaptoethanol).

4.5 | Immunofluorescence and confocal analysis

Cells were cultured overnight on poly-D-lysine covered glass slides and fixed in 4% formaldehyde for 20 min. Then, cells were permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS, blocked in 3% BSA, and stained for 1 hr at room temperature with antibody against lamin A/C (Abcam). Subsequently, cells were washed and incubated for 1 hr with goat anti-rabbit or goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies conjugated with Alexa-Fluor 488 (711-546-152) or Rhodamine Red™-X (115-296-071), both from Jackson Laboratories. DNA was counterstained with Hoechst 33258 (B2883; Sigma), and cells were analyzed using a LSM780 Inverted Confocal Microscope (Zeiss) through a Plan-Apochromat 63×/1.40 Oil DIC M27 objective.

For LC3B and LAMP1 staining experiments, HEK-293T cells were co-transfected with mCherry-lamin A and GFP–Smurf2 or with mCherry-lamin A and GFP-empty vector, as a control. Sixteen hours later, cells were seeded on coverslips precoated with poly-D-lysine, allowed to adhere, and then treated with chloroquine overnight (50 μM for HEK-293T cells; and 20 μM for HDF cells).
Immunostaining was conducted using anti-LC3B antibody (#2775, 1:100; Cell Signaling) or anti-LAMP1 antibody (ab24170, 1:100, Abcam), and fluorophore-labeled secondary antibody (711-606-152; Jackson Laboratories). All comparative images were obtained under identical microscope and camera settings and quantified using ImageJ (NIH) software.

4.6 | Proximity ligation assay

For PLA assay, HEK-293T cells were transfected with Flag-lamin A (or Flag–progerin) and Myc-Smurf2. Cells co-transfected with Flag-lamin A (or progerin) together with empty Myc vector served as controls. PLA assays were performed using Duolink In Situ Red Starter Kit Mouse/Rabbit (DUO92101, Sigma) and anti-Flag (F3165, 1:1000; Sigma)/anti-Myc (#2278 1:100; Cell Signaling) antibodies, according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

4.7 | Tissue microarrays (TMAs) and Immunohistochemistry

Human tissue microarrays (TMAs) were purchased from US Biomax, Inc (Rockville, MD). Mice tissues were fixed in 4% PFA, and 5-μm tissue sections were prepared. IHC was conducted using anti-lamin A/C antibody (#2032, 1:100; Cell Signaling) and anti-Smurf2 (sc-25511 (H-50), 1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology), as previously described (Blank et al., 2012; Emanuelli et al., 2017). All comparable samples were sampled on the same slide, and all staining procedures were conducted on slides positioned horizontally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Ron Piran and Meir Shamay (BIU) for the helpful discussion during the manuscript preparation. Ying Zhang (NIH) for providing Smurf2-deficient cells and mice. This work was supported by Marie-Curie FP-7 CIG grant (#612816), and by the Dayan Family Foundation award to MB.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no potential conflict of interests.

AUTHORS’ CONTRIBUTION

APB performed the majority of the experiments and participated in data analysis. AE conducted IHC studies; PAS and NI performed PLA assays, as well as conducted a part of the immunofluorescent studies. In addition, AE, PAS, and NI performed all experiments and data analysis during the manuscript revision. LAP and BP analyzed and scored the TMAs. DMA and GLC performed mouse colony handling, genotyping, and protein extractions from mouse tissues. PK performed co-IP studies. MB conceived the study, supervised the project, and wrote the manuscript.

REFERENCES

Barth, S., Glick, D., & Macleod, K. F. (2010). Autophagy: Assays and artifacts. The Journal of Pathology, 221, 117–124. https://doi.org/10.1002/path.2694

Bell, E. S., & Lammertding, J. (2016). Causes and consequences of nuclear envelope alterations in tumour progression. European Journal of Cell Biology, 95, 449–464. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejcb.2016.06.007

Blank, M., Lerenthal, Y., Mittelman, L., & Shiloh, Y. (2006). Condensin I recruitment and uneven chromatin condensation precede mitotic cell death in response to DNA damage. Journal of Cell Biology, 174, 195–206. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200604022

Blank, M., Tang, Y., Yamashita, M., Burkett, S. S., Cheng, S. Y., & Zhang, Y. E. (2012). A tumor suppressor function of Smurf2 associated with controlling chromatid landscape and genome stability through RNF20. Nature Medicine, 18, 227–234. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.2596

Burke, B., & Stewart, C. L. (2013). The nuclear lamins: Flexibility in function. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 14, 13–24. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3488

Butin-Israeli, V., Adam, S. A., Goldman, A. E., & Goldman, R. D. (2012). Nuclear lamin functions and disease. Trends in Genetics, 28, 464–471. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2012.06.001

Dechat, T., Adam, S. A., Taimen, P., Shimi, T., & Goldman, R. D. (2010). Nuclear lamins. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 2, a000547.

Dechat, T., Pflegekar, K., Sengupta, K., Shimi, T., Shumaker, D. K., Solimando, L., & Goldman, R. D. (2008). Nuclear lamins: Major factors in the structural organization and function of the nucleus and chromatin. Genes & Development, 22, 832–853. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1652708

Dittmer, T. A., & Misteli, T. (2011). The lamin protein family. Genome Biology, 12, 222. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2011-12-5-222

Emanuelli, A., Borroni, A. P., Apel-Sarid, L., Shah, P. A., Manikoth Ayyathan, D., Koganti, P., ... Blank, M. (2017). Smurf2-mediated stabilization of DNA topoisomerase IIs controls genomic integrity. Cancer Research, 77, 4217–4227. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-16-2828

Eriksson, M., Brown, W. T., Gordon, L. B., Glynn, M. W., Singer, J., Scott, L., ... Berglund, P. (2003). Recurrent de novo point mutations in lamin A cause Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. Nature, 423, 293–298. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01629

Furukawa, K., Inagaki, H., & Hotta, Y. (1994). Identification and cloning of an mRNA coding for a germ cell-specific A-type lamin in mice. Experimental Cell Research, 212, 426–430. https://doi.org/10.1006/excr.1994.1164

Goldman, R. D., Shumaker, D. K., Erdos, M. R., Eriksson, M., Goldman, A. E., Gordon, L. B., ... Collins, F. S. (2004). Accumulation of mutant lamin A causes progressive changes in nuclear architecture in Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 101, 8963–8968. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0402943101

Gonzalo, S., Kreienkamp, R., & Askjaer, P. (2017). Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome: A premature aging disease caused by LMNA gene mutations. Ageing Research Reviews, 33, 18–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2016.06.007

Gruenbaum, Y., Margalit, A., Goldman, R. D., Shumaker, D. K., & Wilson, K. L. (2005). The nuclear lamina comes of age. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 6, 21–31. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm1550

Harhouri, K., Navarro, C., Depeuir, D., Mattei, M. G., Nissan, X., Cau, P., ... Lévy, N. (2017). MG132-induced progerin clearance is mediated by autophagy activation and splicing regulation. EMBO Molecular Medicine, 9, 1294–1313. https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201607315

Huang, H., Veien, E. S., Zhang, H., Ayers, D. C., & Song, J. (2016). Skeletal characterization of Smurf2-deficient mice and in vitro analysis of...
Smurf2-deficient chondrocytes. PLoS One, 11, e0148088. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148088
Kavsak, P., Rasmussen, R. K., Causing, C. G., Bonni, S., Zhu, H., Thomsen, G. H., & Wrana, J. L. (2000). Smad7 binds to Smurf2 to form an E3 ubiquitin ligase that targets the TGF beta receptor for degradation. Molecular Cell, 6, 1365–1375. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1097-2765(00)00134-9
Kubben, N., Voncken, J. W., & Misteli, T. (2010). Mapping of protein-chromatin interactions at the nuclear lamina. Nucleus, 1, 460–471. https://doi.org/10.4161/nuc1.1.6.13513
Lee J. H., Cheng R., Honig L. S., Feitosa M., Kammerer C. M., Kang M. S., Lees-Miller, S. P. (2006). Dysfunction of lamin A triggers a DNA damage
Kubben, N., Voncken, J. W., & Misteli, T. (2010). Mapping of protein-chromatin interactions at the nuclear lamina. Nucleus, 1, 460–471. https://doi.org/10.4161/nuc1.1.6.13513
Lee J. H., Cheng R., Honig L. S., Feitosa M., Kammerer C. M., Kang M. S.,... Bae H. (2014) Genome wide association and linkage analyses identified three loci-4q25, 17q23.2, and 10q11.21-associated with variation in leukocyte telomere length: The Long Life Family Study. Frontiers in Genetics 4, 310. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2013.00310
Lees-Miller, S. P. (2006). Dysfunction of lamin A triggers a DNA damage response and cellular senescence. DNA Repair, 5, 286–289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2005.10.007
Lin, F., & Worman, H. J. (1993). Structural organization of the human gene encoding nuclear lamin A and nuclear lamin C. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 268, 16321–16326.
Machiels, B. M., Zorenc, A. H., Endert, J. M., Kuijpers, H. J., van Eys, G. J., Ramaekers, F. C., & Broers, J. L. (1996). An alternative splicing product of the lamin A/C gene lacks exon 10.
Naeem, A. S., Zhu, Y., Di, W. L., Marmiroli, S., & O’Shaughnessy, R. F. (2015). AKT1-mediated Lamin A/C degradation is required for nuclear degradation and normal epidermal terminal differentiation. Cell Death and Differentiation, 22, 2123–2132. https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2015.62
Nakajima, N., & Abe, K. (1995). Genomic structure of the mouse A-type lamin gene locus encoding somatic and germ cell-specific laminas. FEBS Letters, 365, 108–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/0014-5793(95)00453-G
Oh, Y. S., Kim, D. G., Kim, G., Choi, E. C., Kennedy, B. K., Suh, Y.,... Kim, S. (2010). Downregulation of lamin A by tumor suppressor AIM3/p18 leads to a progeroid phenotype in mice. Aging Cell, 9, 810–822. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2010.00614.x
Prasad, T. S. K., Kandasamy, K., & Pandey, A. (2009). Human protein reference database and human proteinpedia as discovery tools for systems biology. Methods in Molecular Biology, 577, 67–79. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60761-232-2
Ramkumar, C., Kong, Y., Cui, H., Hao, S., Jones, S. N., Gerstein, R. M., & Zhang, H. (2012). Smurf2 regulates the senescence response and suppresses tumorigenesis in mice. Cancer Research, 72, 2714–2719. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-11-3773
Ramkumar, C., Kong, Y., Trabucco, S. E., Gerstein, R. M., & Zhang, H. (2014). Smurf2 regulates hematopoietic stem cell self-renewal and aging. Aging Cell, 13, 478–486. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12195
Sakthivel K. M., & Sehgal P. (2016) A novel role of lamins from genetic disease to cancer biomarkers. Oncology Reviews 10, 309. https://doi.org/10.4081/oncrol.2016.309
Scaffidi, P., & Misteli, T. (2005). Reversal of the cellular phenotype in the premature aging disease Hutchinson-Gilford progeria syndrome. Nature Medicine, 11, 440–445. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1204
Shintani, T., & Klionsky, D. J. (2004). Autophagy in health and disease: A double-edged sword. Science, 306, 990–995. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1099993
Singh, M., Hunt, C. R., Pandita, R. K., Kumar, R., Yang, C.-R., Horikoshi, N.,... Shay, J. W. (2013). Lamin A/C depletion enhances DNA damage-induced stalled replication fork arrest. Molecular and Cellular Biology, 33, 1210–1222. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.01676-12
Taddel, A., Hediger, F., Neumann, F. R., & Gasser, S. M. (2004). The function of nuclear architecture: A genetic approach. Annual Review of Genetics, 38, 305–345. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.38.110801.142705
Wu, Q., & Huang, J. H. (2017). Ectopic expression of Smurf2 and acceleration of age-related intervertebral disc degeneration in a mouse model. Journal of Neurosurgery: Spine, 27, 116–126. https://doi.org/10.2171/2016.11.SPINE16901
Wu, Q., Kim, K. O., Sampson, E. R., Chen, D., Awad, H., O’Brien, T.,... O’Keefe, R. J. (2008). Induction of an osteoarthritis-like phenotype and degradation of phosphorylated Smad3 by Smurf2 in transgenic mice. Arthritis and Rheumatism, 58, 3132–3144. https://doi.org/10.1002/art.23946
Zhang, Y., Chang, C., Gehling, D. J., Hemmati-Brivanlou, A., & Derynick, R. (2001). Regulation of Smad degradation and activity by Smurf2, an E3 ubiquitin ligase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 98, 974–979. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.98.3.974
Zou, X., Levy-Cohen, G., & Blank, M. (2015). Molecular functions of NEDD4 E3 ubiquitin ligases in cancer. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta, 1856, 91–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2015.06.005

SUPPORTING INFORMATION
Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Borroni AP, Emanuelli A, Shah PA, et al. Smurf2 regulates stability and the autophagic-lysosomal turnover of lamin A and its disease-associated form progerin. Aging Cell. 2018;17:e12732. https://doi.org/10.1111/acel.12732