Electrocoagulation of Palm Oil Mill Effluent
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Abstract: Electrocoagulation (EC) is an electrochemical technique which has been employed in the treatment of various kinds of wastewater. In this work the potential use of EC for the treatment of palm oil mill effluent (POME) was investigated. In a laboratory scale, POME from a factory site in Chumporn Province (Thailand) was subjected to EC using aluminum as electrodes and sodium chloride as supporting electrolyte. Results show that EC can reduce the turbidity, acidity, COD, and BOD of the POME as well as some of its heavy metal contents. Phenolic compounds are also removed from the effluent. Recovery techniques were employed in the coagulated fraction and the recovered compounds was analysed for antioxidant activity by DPPH method. The isolate was found to have a moderate antioxidant activity. From this investigation, it can be concluded that EC is an efficient method for the treatment of POME.
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Introduction

Palm oil mill effluent (POME) is an important source of inland water pollution when released without treatment into local rivers or lakes. POME has generally been treated by anaerobic digestion, resulting in methane as a value-added product [1,2]. Many methods have been reported in the literature regarding the treatment of POME such as treatment using a pond system [3] and aerobic digestion of POME to decrease carbon content and inorganic nitrogen with consequent change of pH from the acidic range to an alkaline one [4]. Other treatments include one that increases the ratio of organic nitrogen, leading to the production of a better fertilizer [4], a pretreatment using Moringa oleifera seeds as an environmentally friendly coagulant [5], a treatment in an up-flow anaerobic sludge fixed film bioreactor [6], an up-flow anaerobic sludge fixed film bioreactor using response surface methodology (RSM) [7], methane emission from anaerobic ponds [8], semi-commercial closed anaerobic digester [9] and by synthetic polyelectrolytes [10]. As far as we know, electrocoagulation (EC) has not yet been reported as a treatment for palm oil mill effluent. In this study, EC, a distinctly economical and an environmentally-friendly choice for meeting wastewater discharge standards is reported as an alternative method for POME treatment. Moreover, in this investigation, the coagulum was recovered and the antioxidant activity of the isolate was determined.

Materials and Methods

Materials

The palm oil mill effluent used in this study was collected at Chumporn Palm Industries, Chumporn, Thailand.

Electrocoagulation of POME and Isolation of Potential Antioxidant

Prior to EC, residual oil in POME was rid of by extracting with hexane in a separatory funnel. One litre of the de-oiled POME was then transferred to a glass
cylindrical vessel (inner diameter: 12 cm, height: 23 cm) with a special cover to support a pair of aluminium electrodes having a dimension of 30cm x 10cm x 1mm. Sodium chloride (2.0g) was added to the solution as supporting electrolyte. The electrodes were immersed 4 cm apart and 8 cm deep into the solution which was kept stirred throughout the experiment. Crotech (Model ZT3202) was used to supply the solution with a direct current (1.4-2.0 A, 3.50-12V). Electrocoagulation was continued for a total of 6 hrs excluding the time consumed for replacing the electrodes every hour. After the electrolytic process, the solution was filtered using vacuum filtration. The clear filtrate (370 ml) obtained from the effluent after EC was kept in a glass bottle and stored in the refrigerator for analysis and the coagulum was collected and dried in an oven at 40°C. The dried coagulum was pulverised and dissolved with 7% HCl in the ratio of 1 g coagulum: 5ml acid. The acid-coagulum mixture was kept stirred to ensure complete dissolution and was then transferred to a separatory funnel. An equal volume of 1-butanol (n-BuOH) was added and the mixture was shaken vigorously and allowed to separate overnight. The n-BuOH extract was collected and the remaining aqueous solution was further extracted with n-BuOH twice. All the n-BuOH extracts were combined and washed with water three times to remove any trace of inorganic compounds from the aqueous layer. The n-BuOH was removed using vacuum rota-evaporation which enabled the recovery of the solvent. The residual compounds (presumably containing mainly the phenolic compounds from POME) were further dried at 40°C in an oven.

**COD and BOD₅ Determination**

COD and BOD₅ of the palm oil mill effluent before and after EC were determined according to the Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater [11]. COD was analyzed using the closed reflux titrimetric method. Briefly, the method involves refluxing a known volume of sample with an oxidizing agent (K₂Cr₂O₇/H₂SO₄) in a closed ampule at 150°C for two hours, and titrating the excess oxidizing agent with standard ferrous ammonium sulfate using ferroin as indicator. BOD₅ determination involves filling with sample, to overflow, a BOD bottle of the specified size and incubating it at 20°C for 5 days. Dissolved oxygen (DO) is measured initially and after incubation using titrimetric method and the BOD is computed from the difference between the initial and final DO. All determinations were done in duplicate.

**Metal Analysis**

The concentrations of the following metals: Cu, Cr, Mn, Fe and Pb in the POME before and after EC were analyzed in the filtered samples using Flame-Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometry (Perkin Elmer Analyst 100). The detection limit was estimated as the standard deviation of the concentrations of blank samples multiplied by three.

**DPPH Free Radical Scavenging Assay of the POME Isolate**

Solutions of the POME isolate containing mainly the recovered phenolic compounds were prepared at varying concentrations (1000, 500, 250, 100, 50 ppm) in methanol. 0.20 μM 1,1-Diphenyl-2-picyrylhydrazyl (DPPH) methanolic solution (3 mL) were added to the POME isolate solution (1 mL). For blank analysis, MeOH (1 mL) was used. The decrease in concentration of DPPH as a measure of antioxidant activity was measured via UV-Vis spectrophotometer (ThermoSpectronic) at 517 nm. The antioxidant activity was compared with a standard solution of Vitamin E.

**Results and Discussion**

**Electrocoagulation of POME and Isolation of Possible Phenolic Antioxidant**

Table 1 shows the comparison of POME before and after EC. Electrocoagulation of the POME afforded a clear solution from a dark brown, opaque effluent (Figure 1).

| Properties/ Test | Before EC | After EC |
|------------------|----------|---------|
| Color            | Dark brown | Pale yellow |
| Turbidity        | Opaque   | Transparent (clear) |
| pH               | 4.30     | 7.63    |
| FeCl₃ test       | Positive | Negative |
| COD              | 36,800 ± 283 mgL⁻¹ | 25,600 ± 354mgL⁻¹ |
| BOD₅             | 23,400 ± 848 mgL⁻¹ | 14,400 ± 1272 mgL⁻¹ |

The pH of the solution also increased from 4.30 to 7.63 after EC. This could be explained by the formation of aluminium hydroxyl ions according to the following reactions.

Anode reaction: \( \text{Al} \rightarrow \text{Al}^{3+} + 3e^- \)

Cathode reaction: \( 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow 2\text{OH}^- + \text{H}_2 \)

Overall reaction: \( 2\text{Al} + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{Al(OH)}_3 + 3\text{H}_2 \)

The aluminium can either react directly to an organic compound that contains negatively charged atoms, or form polymeric \( \text{Al}^{3+} \) hydroxo complexes, eg. aluminium hydroxide \([\text{Al(OH)}_3]\), that can remove pollutants by adsorption to produce charge neutralization and by enmeshment in a precipitate or coagulum [12].
Before EC, the presence of phenolic groups in the POME was confirmed by the formation of a black-blue solution after the FeCl₃ test. After EC, the reaction of the POME solution with the FeCl₃ test gave a negative result (clear solution). With this simple test, it was demonstrated that the phenolic substances present in the effluent were virtually removed after EC, presumably mainly by complexation with aluminium ion and subsequent precipitation [13].

The partial removal of dissolved organic substances from POME was also confirmed by the decrease in chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biochemical oxygen demand in a 5-d test period (BOD₅) after EC. The mean values for COD and BOD₅ before and after EC were significantly different at 95% confidence level. The mean COD level in POME before EC was 36,800 mgL⁻¹ which was reduced to 25,600 mgL⁻¹, corresponding to a 30% removal. BOD₅ reduced from 23,400 mgL⁻¹ to 14,400 mgL⁻¹ (38% removal). The observed percentages of removal for COD and BOD₅ from this study were lower than that determined by the study of Ugurlu et al. [14] in the electrocoagulation of paper mill effluent which registered 75 and 70% removal of COD and BOD, respectively. However, it has to be noted that in that study the initial COD and BOD levels of the paper mill effluent were approximately 86 and 900 times lower, respectively, than the initial COD and BOD of POME. It is also interesting to note that the averaged COD and BOD of POME before and after EC were significantly different at 95% confidence level. The removal of COD and BOD by electrocoagulation could be attributed to the removal of suspended solids and to precipitation of dissolved organic molecules as organometallic compounds [14]. Dissolution of the coagulum from a liter of POME followed by extraction afforded 17.1 grams of a black, flaky isolate that was tested for its antioxidant activity.

Presented in Table 2 is the change in the concentration of some representative common heavy metals analyzed in POME before and after EC. From this result, it is evident that EC can remove not only the organic constituents in the effluent, but also the inorganic contents like heavy metals, in agreement with many previous findings relating to EC [15].

| Metals | Before EC (ppm) | After EC (ppm) | Detection Limit (ppm) |
|--------|----------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Cu     | nd             | nd            | 0.027                |
| Cr     | 0.059 ± 0.01   | nd            | 0.036                |
| Fe     | 40.57 ± 0.06   | 0.008 ± 0.001 | -                    |
| Mn     | 10.44 ± 0.02   | nd            | 0.042                |
| Pb     | nd             | nd            | 0.366                |

nd – not detected

**DPPH Antioxidant Test**

As reported in the prior discussion, some organic compounds including phenolic substances were removed during EC. With the assumption that these phenolic substances were successfully recovered from the coagulum through the isolation techniques employed in this study and in light of the known fact that phenolic compounds are good antioxidants, the POME isolate was tested for its antioxidant activity. The antioxidant activity of the POME isolate was determined by its ability to reduce the activity of the stable free radical diphenylpicrylhydrazyl (DPPH) and was compared with Vitamin E, a known antioxidant. It can be seen from Figure 2 that the absorbance of the DPPH radical solution decreased with increasing concentration of the POME isolate. However, the decrease in absorbance for the POME isolate did not match that of the Vitamin E. From the absorbance values, the percentage reduction was calculated using the equation:

\[
\% \text{ reduction} = \frac{A_b - A_s}{A_b} \times 100
\]

where \(A_b\) is the absorbance of the blank solution and \(A_s\) is the absorbance of the sample.

![Figure 2](image-url)
Shown in Figure 3 is the plot of the percentage reduction in DPPH radical at various concentrations of antioxidant. The POME isolate gave only 60.34 % reduction at 1000 ppm concentration, while Vitamin E gave 94.68% at the same concentration. Calculation of the equation of the line for each curve enabled the determination of the EC\(_{50}\), the effective concentration of the antioxidant at which 50% of the activity of the DPPH radical has been reduced. For Vitamin E, the EC\(_{50}\) was determined to be 106 ppm while that of the POME isolate was 723ppm. The antioxidant activity of the POME isolate could possibly be increased by further purification.

![Figure 3](image)

**Figure 3:** The percentage reduction in the activity of DPPH radical against the concentration of antioxidant.

**Conclusions**

It can be concluded from this study that EC can be a useful method for the treatment of palm oil mill effluent. EC enabled the removal of suspended solids, dissolved organic substances and some heavy metals in, and reduced the acidity, COD and BOD of the effluent. However, to obtain a higher percentage removal for COD and BOD, further study on optimization of the method is encouraged. The isolated organic compounds after recovering from the coagulum exhibited antioxidant activity which is lower than that of Vitamin E. The possibility of using the isolate as antioxidant for natural rubber could be of interest for future studies.
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