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Abstract

Background: Neonatal intestinal bacterial colony balance has correlations with positive and negative health situations. The understanding of how neonate colonization occurs is therefore extremely important in providing life-extending and holistic care for infants. Certain medical interventions can impede optimal intestinal colonization. However, with proper screening and identification, side effects can be limited and compensated for, and complications can be minimized in an already compromised population. This study aims to identify influences on neonate microbiomes to create best practices for increased health outcomes. Develop mitigations for factors leading to intestinal microbiome conditions linked to negative neonate outcomes and increase opportunities for healthy colonization.

Methods: The research team conducted a literature review via PubMed, Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature, and Academic Search Ultimate to collect data regarding neonatal bacterial colonization of the intestine.

Results: Normal colonization is affected by birth age, birthing method, time spent in direct skin to skin contact with mother and feeding type. Iatrogenic influences include the use of oral and topical antibiotics, proton blockers, and practices that limit direct contact.

Conclusion: The nursing process and policy adaptations can have a positive effect on developing a protective neonate intestinal microbiome. Awareness of risks and early clinical signs can improve positive interventions that may prevent life-threatening complications in susceptible neonates.
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Introduction

Intestinal microbial colonization is believed to occur after birth and has a lasting impact on health. With an average of 10¹¹–10¹² microbes/ml in the intestinal lumen, including an estimated 400 species, the intestinal microbiome makes a significant contribution to the health of an individual [1]. The importance of microbial colonies has been acknowledged by the National Institutes of Health in their Human Microbiome Project, a work to identify and categorize a comprehensive data set of bacteria found in the normal flora of human tissues. Neonatal colonization has been shown to be influential through adulthood [2,3]. Initial colonization balance and composition is strongly influenced by birthing factors, feeding method, and early environment.

Background

Microbial colonization occurs within days of birth, and due to rapid replication, bacterial cells quickly outnumber human cells [1,4]. The organs most affected by microbial colonization are the intestine, skin, mouth, vagina, and bladder [2,3]. Colonization of the intestine appears to be the first epithelium colonized after birth [5]. Initial immunocompetence begins when maternal lymphocytes cross the placental barrier during the last 6 weeks of pregnancy and colonize the fetal lymph nodes [5]. The remaining fetal immune tissues maintain “niches” that appear to be colonized by maternal microbes from vaginal delivery and ingestion of breastmilk [1]. In the absence of these opportunities, neonate tissues are colonized by environmental and skin microbes [6]. Recent research indicates differences in these sources creates alternative lifelong microbiome balances in the individual [1,6]. Bacterial species, and balance of those species, have shown to persist to six months of age in infants [7].
Initial neonate colonization influences the intestinal microbiome of children until seven years of age [2,7,8,9] and has a lasting impact on adult microbiomes [2].

The intestinal lining provides the largest surface area of a neonate, and its immunoreactive function is directly tied to the microbes living there [2]. Microbes perform local physiological functions, including fermentation of unused energy substances, production of biotin and Vitamin K, training the immune system, creating oral tolerance, and prevention of overgrowth of non-commensal bacteria such as Clostridium difficile [2,3]. Normal gut bacteria also stimulate angiogenesis and regulate host fat storage [1] There is evidence that intestinal microbes also establish gut associated lymphoid tissue (GALT), such as the creation of Peyer’s patches, which are not found in fetal intestines [2,5].

Each person has a specific balance of microbes, creating a distinct bacterial fingerprint, and a child’s gut microbiome balance does not correlate to their familial adults [1,10]. Differences in microbe balance exists between adults sharing domestic quarters and foods, so it is not surprising that child intestinal differences occur as well [1]. However, the persistence in balance and components indicates that the first year is the formative period for intestinal microbes.

**Methods**

**Study design**

This study used a systematic review of peer-reviewed articles found in indexed databases. The Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews (PRISMA) guidelines were used to ensure consistent and precise reporting of results. The initial search was conducted on January 4, 2018 and final search was completed on January 15, 2018 using PubMed (MEDLINE), the Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature (CINAHL), and Academic Search Ultimate. The Medical Subject Headings at the National Center for Biotechnology Information were used to discover keywords for the queries, as well as keywords discovered during searches. These discovered keywords were examined to determine the final tapered scope of the search. Understanding the most commonly revealed keywords led to the complex, seven-string Boolean search included in Figure 1. A review of articles found in the databases led to additional resources that met the inclusion criteria which were included in the final number of articles.

---

**Inclusion criteria**

Authors individually reviewed the articles from the search, determined germane literature, and summarized pertinent findings from each of the included articles. Inclusion criteria included English-language and peer-reviewed articles published by academic journals or universities between January 1, 2012 and December 31, 2017 (a five-year period). Articles had to explore neonatal intestinal bacterial colonization and some aspect of either vaginal or Cesarean delivery.

**Exclusion criteria**

Articles were only incorporated if deemed germane by all authors. Trade industry reports and poster presentations without clear, scientific format, and a peer-review process were excluded. Articles which dealt with any aspect of streptococcus B infection were not included. Similar examination outcomes from the articles demonstrated that the authors had a parallel understanding of the research subject and scope. Bias was not considered when reviewing the research involved in this study.
The final sample of articles after meeting exclusion criteria was then analyzed further for consensus among all authors for final inclusion. When analyzed, this sample yielded a kappa statistic (k=1), showing strong reliability among researchers.

**Results**

**Study Selection**

The article selection process is outlined in the PRISMA flow diagram in Figure 1. The initial search protocol identified 279 potential articles from the databases queried. Articles were then excluded from the search for not meeting predetermined inclusion/exclusion requirements, leaving 21 articles which were germane. The references included in these articles was then assessed for further potential literature, and an additional 14 articles were found and utilized. 6 articles not meeting the five-year search requirement were included in the article upon review of references due to their importance to the topic, and the lack of significant research in the area. A total of 35 articles were used for qualitative analysis.

**Discussion**

**Bacterial Balance Implications**

The impact of different bacterial balances of the neonate intestine are significant to short and long-term outcomes. In research focusing entirely on neonate balances, fecal samples are typically collected and compared to the health of the infant [6,11]. A summary of some of the differences with the highest impact are as follows.

*Clostridium coccoides* and leptum are normal components of the healthy infant and adult intestinal microbiome. *C. coccoides* plays a known role in defense against invading bacteria and is present in term breastfed infants by 1 month of age [12]. The closely related *C. leptum* has a known correlation to healthy brain development and production of free catecholamines including norepinephrine and dopamine [12]. It is currently unknown why *C. leptum* was found in term and preterm infants, while *C. coccoides* was not found in any of the tested preterm infants [13].

*Bacteroides* is an anaerobic bacterium, often used as a marker of diversity and health of the intestinal microbiome [12] and has been associated with decreased sensitivity to common digestive system allergens [1]. It is encouraging that all infants born vaginally have these bacteria in their intestine but concerning that it is decreased in cesarean delivered infants [13].

Preterm infants exhibit a delay of Bifidobacterium presence, which warrants more investigation. This genus of bacterium is found within a day of birth in term infants, but in preterm infants, it is not measurably present until 6 months of life [13,14]. The *Bifida* genus is medically associated with direct competition of several pathogenic bacteria, including pathogenic *Clostridium* and *Staphylococcus* strains [12]. The cause of the delay is unknown, as the mode of birth and feeding source did not have any noticeable effect on either the term or preterm group [13].

Another significant difference between term and preterm infant guts is the presence of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* in preterm infants. *S. epidermidis* is a pathogen associated with nosocomial sepsis, and it is not part of the normal intestinal flora at any age [13].

**Identification and source**

With the type of bacteria present in neonate intestines being so important to infant health, it is imperative to find ways to identify potential deleterious balances quickly and cost effectively. Techniques used for fecal bacterial identification are commonly available in acute care settings. Most commonly, microbes are genetically identified by real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), and gel electrophoresis or genetic sequencing. Several studies comparing these methods of bacterial strain identification have shown both methods to be consistent in correct identification of bacteria [6,10].

Databases from familial samples have demonstrated direct linkage between maternal samples and infant intestinal colonization, correlating birth method sources [5,7]. To trace sources of infant microbes, maternal samples are typically taken from vaginal and epidermal tissues, as well as feces and breast milk [1,5,7,15]. Sibling and parental skin and fecal swabs are typically collected when doing longitudinal work to associate familial commonalities [1]. Profiles of vaginally born infants maintained intestinal biomes very similar to their maternal vaginal colonies, at the age of 6 months [1,7]. Infants born by cesarean had balances of more aerobic bacteria and were similar to adult familial fecal and skin samples at 6 months [1,5]. While it is commonly discussed in that children convert to a balance more similar to adult fecal bacterium independent of birth mode, the age is not consistent. Rather, it ranges from 12 months [1,15] to 24 months of age [4]. The full impact of the delay is not currently known, but with the current knowledge of intestinal colonization impact on long term health, it is worth noting that improving colony balance has the potential to positively impact infant lives.

**Exposure in-utero**

The recent discovery of bacterial DNA in preterm meconium and amniotic fluid indicates the preterm infant gut may not be sterile, and bacterial components may play a role in preterm birth [2,4,11,16,17]. Several studies have focused on the origin of bacteria the infant first encounters.

The initial colonization of the infant gut is of a very high importance in determining future health. Ideally, the infant is initially exposed to the bacteria of the maternal vaginal canal [5,17,18]. Then, the bacteria of the mother’s skin, in combination with breast milk and its rich levels of antibodies, complete the early exposure to desirable bacterial balances [5]. Initially, the infant gut microbiome develops aerotolerant bacteria [4,10]. By the age of 18-24 months, strict anaerobic bacteria begin to colonize the gut, through exposure to breast milk and healthy adult skin [4]. This process is sensitive to interruption through mode of delivery, feeding types, antibiotic administration, and introduction of solid foods [4].

**Birthing factors**

Birthing method effects the timing and content of neonate gut colonization [1,17,19,20,21,22,23,24,25,26,27]. Vaginally delivered neonates have consistently demonstrated maternal vaginal bacteria in their fecal material and intestines directly after passing meconium [1,5,23,27]. Cesarean delivery does not allow for natural exposure to these maternal biotic elements, and the infant is generally colonized by the environment instead [7]. These findings are of specific importance with the
increasing level of maternally requested cesareans worldwide, and the decrease in vaginal births after Cesarean section (VBAC’s) being performed in the United States [2]. There is correlation evidence of cesarean rates and the increase in childhood asthma, Crohn’s, type I diabetes, allergy occurrence [2,10,29], stomach cancer, some lymphomas, inflammatory bowel diseases, and necrotizing enterocolitis (NEC) [1,20,21,29].

Vaginal birth exposes the infant to maternal microbe balances and establishes bacterial richness and diversity [4,14,27,28]. Bacteroides plays a role in immunity and complex molecular catabolism in the early infant gut [30]. There was also little difference in levels of Bacteroides in neonates that received antibiotics over those that did not, however, there is a significant difference in Bacteroides levels based on mode of birth [11]. Infants delivered vaginally, independent of gestational age, had higher levels of Bacteroides than those delivered by cesarean section [11]. This difference lasts at least 6 weeks post birth [15]. These colonies were still completely absent in infants delivered by cesarean section at 4 months and found at only half the rate of vaginally delivered infants by 6 months [4,7].

Gestational age at birth also appears to be significant in colony composition. Full term infants typically have intestinal microbiomes including more than 200 different microbes [10]. However, preterm infants typically have bacteria not native to a healthy gut, and far less diversity, usually closer to only 16 types of microbes [10,17,28,29].

Feeding method
Feeding method research has focused primarily on the source of the nutrition and not the equipment or process of feeding an infant. Differences in formula versus breastmilk fed infants appears to involve the types of bacteria found in the infant gut. Formula fed infants have consistently tested higher in aerobic bacteria, and lower in Bifidobacteria than breastfed infants [1,14]. Breastfeeding is associated with support of the vaginally acquired lactic-acid producing bacteria [5]. Combination feeding (both breastmilk and formula) has shown to create an intestinal bacterial balance that most closely resembles exclusively formula fed infants [15]. Cesarean birth alterations are often compounded by delayed lactation, and these factors appear to reinforce the difference in facultative aerobe balance in the neonate gut [7,10]. While feeding appears to influence intestinal flora, the influence is less than birth and environmental factors [2].

However, there is an additional association of skin to skin contact, which most commonly occurs during feeding.

Environmental factors
Skin is an important intermediate step of microbe colonization. Skin microbes have been found in higher concentrations in the intestines of environmentally colonized infants than those who were vaginally colonized [5,7,19,28]. Neonate skin has specific physiological and anatomical differences that influence colonization. The skin of a term infant takes 14 days to adapt to extraterine life and become keratinized sufficiently to affect a protective layer [31]. The skin of a preterm infant requires the same 14 days, plus the number of weeks to full gestation [31]. Infant skin microbiomes were not affected by bathing practice, indicating it is microbes that are inadvertently ingested by the neonate during and shortly after birth that establish bacterial gut colonization [31]. It is important to consider that the infant has been swallowing amniotic fluid for several months by term birth, and that this contributes significantly to their gut microbiota [7,31,32].

An example of environmental colonization impact is the commonly occurring, resistant bacteria meticillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA). This bacterium is so pervasive, it is present in many healthy people’s nostrils [1,33]. Yet, MRSA is highly pathogenic if found inside human tissues. In infants with colonized MRSA, normal skin bacterium colonization has been demonstrated in as little as half an hour after skin to skin contact with their mother [33]. Vaginal delivery also has some correlation to decreased infant MRSA infection rates overall [4].

Iatrogenic interference
While there is often a need for medical intervention to protect, or create neonate health, there are several interventions that compromise the normal development of neonate intestinal microbiomes. This interference begins before birth and continues throughout the life of the neonates.

The use of prophylactic ampicillin in cesarean sections has not been well studied. As ampicillin readily crosses the placental barrier, and dissolves within 1 hour from intravenous administration, it is possible that there is impact to the neonate’s ability to colonize gut bacterial competently and may play a part in selection of resistant bacteria [7]. It is also possible that the maternal bacterial colonies are negatively impacted by this type of antibiotic administration [7,9]. Such as in the case of several Staphylococcus bacteria, that are further promoted through use of maternal and neonate antibiotics [4].

Oral antibiotics are commonly cited, in scientific literature, as disrupters of intestinal microbiome balance. Intestinal flora was unable to rebound to the original balance after repeated treatments lasting more than 4 weeks in infants who received oral antibiotics before the age of 12 months [1]. In those cases, the infant gut was rendered bereft of samples to the point of no detectable bacteria [1]. When the gut bacteria of the affected infants were reestablished, the balance was prematurely shifted toward an adult balance, rather than age appropriate colonization [1,34].

Iatrogenic actions detrimental to bacterial diversity immediately preceded all the included cases of NEC [10]. Detrimental interactions identified include the frequent use of broad-spectrum antibiotics prophylactically [10]. Other detrimental interventions included the use of opioids, proton blockers, endotracheal, and feeding or suctioning tubes [10].

Practitioner considerations
Lack of intestinal microbiome diversity is a predisposing factor for NEC, systemic inflammatory response syndrome, and neonate sepsis [4,10]. Diversity is compromised by factors including prematurity, surgical interventions, enteral and formula feeding, and other invasive procedures [15]. Biodiversity is essential for proper health and training of immune structures in the neonate intestine [5,6,10,13,35].

Medical interventions are often essential for life saving and health promoting care of infants. Yet, they are not without
impact on the infant. Use of topical antibiotics in the NICU is a common practice to control non-commensal S. aureus [4,5]. However, this practice can lead to drug resistant colonies, specifically MRSA and related organisms [9,33]. Many interventions are unavoidable. The role of the nurse is to identify them as predisposing factors and be aware of early signs of complications [36]. Solid nursing assessment and critical thinking skills can be implemented to protect neonates from immediate and long-term complications of compromised microbiome development [36].

Conclusion

The current state of the literature points in several potential directions for research. Interventions that promote balanced colonization in cesarean and preterm delivered infants should be developed [12]. Further research into the impacts of maternal treatment for Group B Streptococcus organisms is significant, as approximately 20% of United States gravid women are testing positive for Group B Strep [2]. Impact of delivery mode and feeding methods have definite influence on bacterial colonization in neonates [4,11]. It is likely that the differences in bacterial colonies impart some of the lifelong health benefits known to be associated with vaginal birth and breastfeeding [10]. However, with the continued study of these balances and influential interactions, it is likely that we will soon have some insight into how to better manage the delicate neonate gut. To achieve this level of insight, further longitudinal studies will be needed, especially those that follow infants during the first year of life.

The implication that bacterial balance may be a reliable predictor of NEC is very significant. It could be used as a screening tool to base prophylactic measures that could prevent this life-threatening disorder [9]. Since diversity appears to have a stronger correlation than bacterial type beyond the proteobacteria presence, the cost-effective screening based on colony diversity may hold promise for early intervention [9]. This could allow NICU iatrogenic interventions to increase bacterial colony diversity or implement prophylactic measures in the progression towards necrotizing enterocolitis.

A potential limitation of this study is that some of the literature reviewed had a very small sample size associated with it. Whereas this sample size does not necessarily equate to these studies being valid or invalid, it is a consideration to consider when drawing conclusions from them. These small samples show validity, and most certainly should be followed up by more comprehensive research to draw more complete conclusions. Their inclusion in this study was to show them as viable contributions to the field and that their information could be correlated to this field of study.

Abbreviations

CINAHL: Cumulative Index of Nursing and Allied Health Literature
GALT: Gut Associated Lymphoid Tissue MRSA: Methicillin Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus NEC: Necrotizing Enterocolitis PCR: Polymerase Chain Reaction PRISMA: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews VBAC’s: Vaginal Birth After Cesarean section

Declarations

Acknowledgment

None

Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and or publication of this article.

Availability of data and materials

All data and materials used in this study are publicly available from the search engines used, as stated, in the study.

Authors’ contributions

All authors had equal contributions in the preparation of this manuscript.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Systematic review of literature, no approval necessary.

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Open Access

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated.

Author details

1School of Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math, American Public University System, Charles Town, WV, USA. 2School of Health Administration, Texas State University, San Marcos, TX, USA. 3College of Nursing, University of Texas Permian Basin, Odessa, TX, USA

Article Info

Received: 06 October 2019
Accepted: 09 November 2019
Published: 09 December 2019

References

1. Palmer C, Bik EM, DiGiulio DB, Relman DA, Brown PO. Development of the human infant intestinal microbiota. PLoS Biology. 2007 Jun 26;5(7): e177.
2. Neu J, Rushing J. Cesarean versus vaginal delivery: Long-term infant outcomes and the hygiene hypothesis. Clinics in Perinatology. 2011 Jun 30;38(2):321-31.
3. Mileski M, Lee K, Maung S, Nelson D, Palomares O, Paredes N. Prevention of Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus Aureus in Neonatal Intensive Care Units: A Systematic Review. ABNF Journal. 2018 Apr 1;29(2).
4. Unger S, Stintzi A, Shah P, Mack D, O’Connor DL. Gut microbiota of the very-low-birth-weight infant. Pediatric Research. 2014 Oct 13;77(1-2):205-13.
5. Dominguez-Bello MG, Costello EK, Contreras M, Magris M, Hidalgo G, Fierer N, Knight R. Delivery mode shapes the acquisition and structure of the initial microbiota across multiple body habitats in newborns. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2010 Jun 29;107(26):11971-5.
6. Ehrlich SD. The human gut microbiome impacts health and disease. Comptes Rendus Biologies. 2016 Aug 31;339(7): 319-23.
7. Grönlund MM, Lehtonen OP, Eerola E, Kero P. Fecal macroflora in healthy infants born by different methods of delivery: Permanent changes in intestinal flora after cesarean delivery. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. 1999 Jan 1;28(1):19-25.


8. Rautava S. Microbial composition of the initial colonization of newborns. In: Intestinal Microbiome: Functional Aspects in Health and Disease 2017 (Vol. 88, pp. 11-22).

9. Simioni J, Hutton EK, Gunn E, Holloway AC, Stearns JC, McDonald H, Mousseau A, Schertzer JD, Ratcliffe EM, Thabane L, Surette MG. A comparison of intestinal microbiota in a population of low-risk infants exposed and not exposed to intrapartum antibiotics: The baby & microbiota of the intestine cohort study protocol. BMC Pediatrics. 2016 Nov 10;16(1):183.

10. Wang Y, Hoening JD, Malin KJ, Qamar S, Petrof EO, Sun J, Antonopoulos DA, Chang EB, Claudi EC. 16S rRNA gene-based analysis of fecal microbiota from preterm infants with and without necrotizing enterocolitis. ISME Journal. 2009 Aug 1;3(8):944-54.

11. Chenikova DA, Koestler DC, Hoen AG, Housman ML, Hibberd PL, Moore JH, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Zain-ul-abideen M, Madan JC. Fetal exposures and perinatal influences on the stool microbiota of premature infants. The Journal of Maternal-Fetal & Neonatal Medicine. 2016 Jan 2;29(1):99-105.

12. Lopetuso LR, Scaletarri F, Pettino V, Gabarrini A. Commensal Clostridia: leading players in the maintenance of gut homeostasis. Gut Pathogens. 2013 Aug 13;5(1):23.

13. Hallab JC, Leach ST, Zhang L, Mitchell HM, Oei J, Liu K, Day AS. Molecular characterization of bacterial colonization in the preterm and term infant’s intestine. Indian Journal of Pediatrics. 2013 Jan 1;80(1):1-5.

14. Sirilun S, Takahashi H, Boonyarituchakhij S, Chaiyasut C, Lertwongpangya P, Koga Y, Mikami K. Impact of maternal bifidobacteria and the mode of delivery on Bifidobacterium microbiota in infants. Beneficial Microbes. 2015 Dec 1;6(6):767-74.

15. Madan JC, Hoen AG, Lundgren SN, Farzan SF, Cottingham KL, Morrison HG, Sogin ML, Li H, Moore JH, Karagas MR. Association of cesarean delivery and formula supplementation with the intestinal microbiome of 6-week-old infants. JAMA Pediatrics. 2016 Mar 1;170(3):212-9.

16. Collado MC, Rautava S, Akkooj J, Isolauri E, Salminen S. Human gut colonisation may be initiated by utero in distinct microbial communities in the placenta and amniotic fluid. Scientific Reports. 2016 Mar 22;6:23129.

17. Miniello VL, Colasanto A, Cristofori F, Diaferio L, Ficile L, Lieggi MS, Santoiemma V, Francavilla R. Gut microbiota biomodulators, where the stork comes by the scalpel. Clinica Chimica Acta. 2015 Dec 7;451: 88-96.

18. Meropol SB, Edwards A. Development of the infant intestinal microbiome: A bird’s eye view of a complex process. Birth Defects Research Part C: Embryo Today: Reviews. 2015 Dec 1;105(4):228-39.

19. Brumbaugh DE, Arruda J, Robbins K, Ir D, Santorico SA, Robertson CE, Frank DN. Mode of delivery determines neonatal pharyngeal bacterial composition and early intestinal colonization. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. 2016 Sep 1;63(3):320-8.

20. Dogra S, Sakwinska O, Soh SE, Ngom-Bru C, Bruick WM, Berger B, Brüssow H, Lee YS, Yap F, Chong YS, Godfrey KM. Dynamics of infant gut microbiota are influenced by delivery mode and gestational duration and are associated with subsequent adiposity. MBio. 2015 Feb 27(6): e02419-14.

21. Dogra S, Sakwinska O, Soh SE, Ngom-Bru C, Bruick WM, Berger B, Brüssow H, Karnani N, Lee YS, Yap F, Chong YS. Rate of establishing the gut microbiota in infancy has consequences for future health. Gut Microbes. 2015 Sep 3;6(5):321-5.

22. Liu D, Yu J, Li L, Ai Q, Feng J, Song C, Li H. Bacterial community structure associated with elective cesarean section versus vaginal delivery in Chinese newborns. Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition. 2015 Feb 1;60(2):240-6.

23. Makino H, Kushiro A, Ishikawa E, Kubota H, Gwad A, Sakai T, Oishi K, Martin R, Ben-Amor K, Knol J, Tanaka R. Mother-to-infant transmission of intestinal bifidobacterial strains has an impact on the early development of vaginally delivered infant’s microbiota. PloS One. 2013 Nov 14;8(11): e78331.

24. Moya-Pérez A, Luczynski P, Renes IB, Wang S, Borre Y, Anthony Ryan C, Knol J, Stanton C, Dinnan TG, Cryan JF. Intervention strategies for cesarean section-induced alterations in the microbiota-gut-brain axis. Nutrition Reviews. 2017 Apr 1;75(4):225-40.

25. Mueller NT, Shin H, Pizoni A, Werlang JC, Matte U, Goldani MZ, Goldani HA, Dominguez-Bello MG. Birth mode-dependent association between pre-pregnancy maternal weight status and the neonatal intestinal microbiome. Scientific Reports. 2016 Apr 1:6: 23133.

26. Maslihotra S, Rada V, Vikova E, Bunesova V, Nevolar J. Colonisation of the gut by bifidobacteria is much more common in vaginal deliveries than cesarean sections. Acta Paediatrica. 2015 Apr 1;104(4).

27. Pandey PK, Verma P, Kumar H, Badvlekar A, Patole MS, Shouche YS. Comparative analysis of fecal microflora of healthy full-term Indian infants born with different methods of delivery (vaginal vs cesarean): Acinetobacter sp. prevalence in vaginally born infants. Journal of Biosciences. 2012 Dec 1;37(1):989-98.

28. Prince AL, Chu DM, Seferovic MD, Antony KM, Ma J, Aagaard KM. The perinatal microbiome and pregnancy: Moving beyond the vaginal microbiome. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Medicine. 2015 Jun 1;5(6): a023051.

29. Yang I, Corwin EJ, Brennan PA, Jordan S, Murphy JR, Dunlop A. The infant microbiome: implications for infant health and neurocognitive development. Nursing Research. 2016 Jan;65(1):76.

30. Gregory KE, LaPlante RD, Shan G, Kumar DV, Greggs M. Mode of birth influences preterm infant intestinal colonization with bacteroides over the early neonatal period. Advances in Neonatal Care. 2015 Dec;15(6):386-93.

31. Medves JM, Obrien B. Does bathing newborns remove potentially harmful pathogens from the skin? Birth. 2001 Sep 1;28(3):161-5.

32. Forsgren M, Isolauri E, Salminen S, Rautava S. Late preterm birth has direct and indirect effects on infant gut microbiota development during the first six months of life. Acta Paediatrica. 2017 Mar 17.

33. Lamy Filho F, Sousa SH, Freitas II, Lamy ZC, Simões VM, Silva AA, Barbieri MA. Effect of maternal skin-to-skin contact on decolonization of Methicillin-Oxacillin-Resistant Staphylococcus in neonatal intensive care units: A randomized controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth. 2015 Mar 19;15(1):63.

34. Jakobsson HE, Abrahamsson TR, Jennmalm MC, Harris K, Quince C, Jernberg C, Björkstén B, Engstrand L, Andersson AF. Decreased gut microbiota diversity, delayed Bacteroidetes colonisation and reduced Th1 responses in infants delivered by caesarean section. Gut. 2014 Apr 1;63(4):559-66.

35. Hesla HM, Stenius F, Jüderlund L, Nelson R, Engstrand L, Alm J, Dicksved J. Impact of lifestyle on the gut microbiota of healthy infants and their mothers—the ALADDIN birth cohort. FEMS Microbiology Ecology. 2014 Dec 1;90(3):791-801.

36. Rodriguez J, Jordan S, Mutic A, Thul T. The neonatal microbiome: Implications for neonatal intensive care unit nurses. MCN: The American Journal of Maternal/Child Nursing. 2017 Nov 1;42(6):332-7.