Case Report

Multimodal imaging of fibrosing mesenteric tuberculosis
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Abstract

This article presents fibrosing mesenteric tuberculosis in a 19-year-old Arab boy who presented with weight loss, fever, abdominal pain, and distension. Abdominal contrast enhanced computed tomography (CECT) was performed which showed large infiltrative ill-defined mesenteric-based enhancing soft tissue phlegmonous mass with surrounding desmoplastic reaction causing retraction-kinking of small bowel loops associated with central necrotic mesenteric lymph nodes, multifocal small bowel wall thickening, and ascites. Abdominal tuberculosis is a diagnostic challenge particularly if pulmonary tuberculosis is absent as in this case. CT appears to be the modality of choice if clinical and epidemiological suspicion is high in order to ensure early treatment for a favorable outcome.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of University of Washington. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

Tuberculosis (TB) is a prevalent communicable disease worldwide with a prevalence rate of 9 million. Southeast Asia and the western Pacific region represent 56% of the global TB burden. Extrapulmonary TB is seen in 15% of tubercular cases among which 11% due to abdominal tuberculosis. Abdomen tuberculosis is uncommon in developed western countries however it remains prominent in developing countries. Abdominal tuberculosis is the most common form of extrapulmonary TB and accounts for 11%. Coexisting pulmonary tuberculosis is seen in only 15%. Risk factors for abdominal tuberculosis are immune compromised status, HIV, diabetes mellitus, peritoneal dialysis, and immigrants from high prevalence areas of tuberculosis. Abdominal TB is more common between 25- and 45-year-old age groups and rare in the pediatric population and under the age of 20 as less as 1% [1–9]. Abdominal TB specifically peritoneal TB is often masquerading as inflammatory bowel disease, malignancy, or other infective conditions. In pediatric and adolescent patients, it is hard to diagnose at an early period due to the nonspecific clinical and radiological findings [8–16]. For this reason, it is necessary to be suspicious of TB based on an
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epidemiological location of the patient. In patients having no primary pulmonary focus, a delay can occur in the diagnosis of abdominal tuberculosis. The chest radiographs may be normal in 50%-60% of the patients with abdominal TB.

**Case presentation**

A 19-year-old Arab boy without a known medical condition admitted for evaluation of significant weight loss and low-grade chronic fever of 4 months’ duration. His other symptoms are fatigue, anorexia, and abdominal pain. A week prior to hospital admission, the patient had developed increasing abdomen pain and mild abdomen distention. Patient has no complaints of a chronic cough, cervical swelling, diarrhea, or constipation. On admission, the patient was febrile and cachexia. On physical examination, he had abdomen tenderness and rigidity. Laboratory findings on admission was hemoglobin 9 gm/L, platelet count 3 lac/mL, ESR 70, and CRP 20mg/L. Blood and urine culture were sterile. HIV antibodies were negative. Montex test Tuberculin skin test was performed which turned out to be negative. Urine and blood show no serotinin or other abnormal metabolites. Patient underwent plain X-ray (Fig. 1), abdominal ultrasound (Fig. 2), contrast CT scan (Fig. 3), and abdominal MR imaging (Fig. 4). Patient had exploratory laparotomy which showed infiltrative mesentery mass, multifocal bowel wall thickening, bowel loops clumping – kinking, small bowel fistula, and extensive adhesions formation with multiple mesenteric lymph nodes. The patient underwent extensive adhesiolysis, mesenteric mass removal, and segmental bowel resection-anastomosis followed by antitubercular treatment for 6-month duration. Postoperative surgical specimen was sent for histopathology which showed caseating granulomas, lymphocyte infiltrates. PCR of the specimen was positive for mycobacterium tuberculosis.

**Discussion**

Extrapulmonary TB is seen in 15% of tubercular patients among which 11% due to abdominal tuberculosis. Gastrointestinal tuberculosis is a most common form of extrapulmonary and accounts for 11%. Coexisting pulmonary tuberculosis is seen in only 15%. Gastrointestinal tuberculosis occurs via the following route: aspiration of infected sputum, ingestion of infected milk with mycobacterium tuberculosis or mycobacterium bovis, hematogenous spread, local spread from infected abdominal or pelvic organs [4,14].

Gastrointestinal tuberculosis is categorized into following 4 parts.

**Intestinal tuberculosis**

Ileocecal junction is the most common site affected followed by ileum and jejunum. Most commonly thickening of the terminal ileum and medial cecal wall is commonly associated with thickening and gapping of ilio-cecal valve. In chronic case terminal ileal stenosis, shrunken, and pulled up cecum seen. Isolated multifocal ileal and jejunal loops involvement can be seen but rare and most commonly seen in association with TB peritonitis [4,6,7]. In our case, multifocal wall thickening of jejunum and ileal loops noted with no proximal small bowel dilatation.

**Peritoneal tuberculosis**

Peritoneal tuberculosis is classified into 3 types; (a) wet type: gross ascites with or without smoothly thickened peritoneum, (b) dry type: thickening of peritoneum with dense adhesions
Fig. 3 – CT abdomen: (a) late arterial phase showing multiple discrete and conglomerate mesenteric lymph nodal masses (block white arrow) and perihepatic free fluid (white star). (b) Portal phase showing central low attenuating discrete lymph node (block white arrow). (c) Showing conglomerate mesenteric lymph node mass causing tethering, retraction, kinking, and matting of small intestinal loops (white arrowhead). (d) Showing jejunal loop wall thickening (black arrowhead). (e) Coronal reformation showing multiple central low attenuating discrete (thin black arrow) and conglomerate mesenteric lymph nodal mass (block white arrow). (f) Coronal reformation showing mesenteric based lymph nodal mass with surrounding desmoplastic reaction causing tethering, inking, and retraction of small bowel loops (curved white arrow).
and micronodule formation seen, and (c) fibrosing/fixed type: a rare form of peritonitis where necrotic matted lymph node mass in mesentery can cause surrounding desmoplastic reaction giving stellate mesentery appearance resulting in clumping, tethering of bowel loops, bowel wall thickening, bowel obstruction, fistula formation, cold abscess, and loculated ascites [4,6,11]. In our case, CT and MRI showed mesenteric based soft tissue mass causing multifocal jejunal and ileal wall thickening, tethering, retraction, and kinking of small bowel loops associated with central necrotic mesenteric lymph nodes and mild ascites. Exploratory laparotomy confirmed CT and MRI findings.
Tubercular lymphadenopathy

Most commonly enlarged lymph nodes are seen in the mesentry and the retroperitoneum. Most of the lymph nodes show central liquefactive necrosis. Lymph nodes can be discrete or matted forming a mass within the mesentery or in the retroperitoneum. In a chronic case, lymph nodes can show calcification [1,11,17]. In our case, there were both central causative necrotic and noncaseating lymph nodes.

Tubercular ascites

Tubercular ascites is most commonly seen in association with TB peritonitis. Ascites can be free, localized, or loculated. CT shows low to high attenuation fluid. High attenuation can be due to high protein and cellular contents. Combination of the fat fluid level in ascites along with necrotic lymph node is pathognomonic of tubercular ascites. Ascites can show delayed enhancement on contrast enhancement MRI [6,17]. Tuberculous ascites show lower apparent diffusion coefficient than other fluid due to presence of inflammatory cells with restricted diffusion [18]. In our case, there was mild low attenuating free fluid in the pelvic cavity.

Role of CT and MRI in abdominal tuberculosis

MRI helps in early detection of peritoneal thickening, well delineation of central necrosis in lymph nodes based on T1/T2 signal intensity. MR imaging can detect caseation or liquefactive necrosis of the lymph nodes [4,14]. In our case, there was T1 hypointense, T2 hypointense signal intensity of the mesenteric lymph node suggesting caseation necrosis than liquefactive. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging can used for evaluation of gastrointestinal and peritoneal tuberculosis. Diffusion-weighted MR imaging shows restricted diffusion with low apparent diffusion coefficient of tuberous lesion due to excess fibrous tissue, presence of calcification, and associated granulation tissues that decrease intracellular space [19–24]. MR enterography has an advantage in the characterization of bowel wall thickening, dynamic assessment of bowel function, and for follow-up with no worry of radiation, unlike CT. Thus, in patients with contraindication for intravenous contrast, noncontrast MRI is far better than plain CT. Also, CT gives no information regarding the functional assessment of the bowel loops which can be assessed by dynamic cine MRI. Both CT and MRI can diagnose abdominal tuberculosis with high sensitivity and specificity. CT has an advantage over MRI in being a faster, less expensive investigation with better spatial resolution, lesser artifacts and can simultaneously evaluate chest, abdomen, and pelvis in a single examination. Virtual CT endoscopy may have a role in detection of changes of the intestinal wall in tuberculous lesion [25].

Differentials

Fibrosing mesenteric tuberculosis can often masquerade as inflammatory bowel disease and malignancy. Conditions which can mimic are mesenteric carcinoid, sclerosing mesenteritis, peritoneal carcinomatosis, and atypical desmoid tumor. However, in our case the presence of mesenteric mass, necrotic lymph nodes, smoothly thickened enhancing peritoneum, multifocal small intestinal wall thickening, and ascites were highly suggestive of tubercular etiology than neoplastic or other inflammatory cause.

Conclusion

Abdominal tuberculosis with predominant mesenteric involvement is rare with fatal complication if undiagnosed and left untreated. Peritoneal TB often masquerades as inflammatory bowel disease, malignancy, and other infective conditions. CT appears to be the modality of choice if clinical and epidemiological suspicion is high in order to ensure early treatment for a favorable outcome.

Teaching point

Presence of mesenteric mass, multisegment small bowel wall thickening, necrotic lymph nodes, and ascites in appropriate clinical settings and epidemiology, highly suggest mesenteric tuberculosis. CT abdomen remains investigation of choice for mesenteric tuberculosis as it can evaluate chest, abdomen, and pelvis simultaneously.
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