Crystalline CO₂-based polycarbonates prepared from racemic catalyst through intramolecularly interlocked assembly
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The crystalline stereocomplexed polycarbonates can be prepared by mixing enantiopure polymers with opposite configuration, which derived from the asymmetric copolymerization with CO₂ using enantiopure catalyst or/and chiral epoxides. Herein, we develop a powerful strategy for producing crystalline intramolecular stereocomplexed polycarbonates from racemic catalysts, which possess similar thermal stability and crystalline behaviour in comparison with the stereocomplexes by mixing opposite enantiopure polymers. Living polymer chains shuttle between catalyst molecules with different configurations to produce diastereomeric active species which is suggested to be responsible for the formation of isotactic multiblock polycarbonates in racemic bimetallic cobalt catalyst-mediated stereo-selective copolymerization of CO₂ and meso-epoxides. Solid-state NMR spectroscopy study suggests that the interaction in the carbonyl and methine regions is responsible for the strong crystallization capacity and compact package structure in the crystalline polycarbonates.
The alternating copolymerization of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) with epoxides to provide degradable polycarbonates is widely regarded as a promising green process worthy of intense scrutiny since it utilizes CO$_2$ as C$_1$ feedstock, an abundant and renewable carbon resource$^{1-5}$. In the past decade, numerous homogeneous and heterogeneous catalyst systems were developed for this transformation for achieving enhanced activity and high molecular weight$^{7-21}$. Unfortunately, most of the previously reported CO$_2$-based polycarbonates are amorphous, with a low glass transition temperature ($T_g$) $<50^\circ$C, significantly confining their applications, especially as structural materials.

It is generally known that the physical properties of a polymer are determined not only by the monomer structure, its molecular weight and polydispersity, but also by the relative stereochemistry (the spatial arrangement of atoms or groups in a polymeric unit) of adjacent locations in the polymeric chains. A representive example is the widely studied polypropylene. The isotactic polypropylene is a typical semicrystalline material, possessing a melting temperature ($T_m$) of 130 – 175$^\circ$C, dependent on the isotacticity, while the amorphous polypropylene is a viscous polymer at ambient temperature with a $T_g$ of $\sim$0$^\circ$C (ref. 22). For CO$_2$-based polycarbonates, in comparison with their amorphous structure, the crystalline forms should show improved thermal and mechanical properties, due to the high stereoregularity. In the recent contributions, some crystalline polycarbonates were prepared by stereospecific copolymerization of CO$_2$ and epoxides using enantiopure metal-complex catalysts$^{23-25}$. Notably, isotactic polycarbonates from meso-epoxides showed high levels of crystallinity, possessing $T_m$s of 179 – 273$^\circ$C, dependent on the structure of the epoxides$^{26-30}$. Interestingly, the cocrystallization of amorphous isotactic polycarbonates having opposite configurations and identical structures was observed to form crystalline stereocomplexes$^{31,32}$, which show enhanced thermal stability and new crystalline behaviour, significantly distinct from the component enantiomers. These discoveries open up a new way to prepare various semicrystalline materials having a wide variety of physical properties. Nevertheless, these crystalline materials all originate from chiral isotactic polycarbonates prepared by the enantiopure metal-complex-mediated CO$_2$/epoxides copolymerization. As a consequence, these processes are far away from practical applications, due to the high cost of chiral catalysts. Therefore, the exploration of the synthesis of crystalline CO$_2$-based polycarbonates from racemic catalyst and rac- or meso-epoxides is highly desirable.

Herein, we report an approach for the synthesis of intramolecular stereocomplexed polycarbonates by stereoselective copolymerization of CO$_2$ with meso-epoxides using racemic dinuclear Co(III) complex as catalyst (Fig. 1), which possess similar thermal stability and crystalline behaviour in comparison with the stereocomplexes by mixing opposite enantiopure polymers.

**Results**

**Synthesis of crystalline polycarbonates from 3,5-dioxaepoxide.** For the alternating copolymerization of CO$_2$ with meso-epoxides mediated by racemic isotactic catalyst systems, three possible microstructures might be observed in the resultant copolymers, dependent on the copolymerization chain growth rate ($R_g$) and polymer chain-transfer rate ($R_t$) (Fig. 2). Only when $R_g$ is significantly higher than $R_t$, the racemic isotactic catalyst-mediated copolymerization reaction provides isotactic polycarbonates or isotactic multiblock polymers. The racemic-(SalBinap)-AlOPr complex has been demonstrated to be very effective for the ring-opening polymerization of racemic lactide, affording the crystalline, stereoblock polymers$^{33}$. Coates and co-workers presented the first report for synthesizing a broad range of highly isotactic polyesters via the enantioselective polymerization of racemic epoxides using racemic catalyst$^{34-36}$. In this system, (S)-binaphthol linked dinuclear cobalt complex predominantly catalysed the ring-opening polymerization of (S)-epoxides to afford (S)-polymers, while the polymerization of (R)-epoxides only concerned (R)-binaphthol linked catalyst to provide (R)-polymers. The resultant mixture of (S)- and (R)-polymers are highly isotactic, and most of them display high $T_m$ values. Nevertheless, no cocrystallization occurs in the resultant mixture, in comparison with (S)- or (R)-polymers.

![Figure 1 | Synthesis of stereocomplexed polycarbonates](image-url)

The difference in the synthetic routes of intramolecular and intermolecular stereocomplexes from copolymerization of CO$_2$ with meso-epoxides.
Initially, *racemic* dinuclear Co(III) catalyst 1 was first applied to the alternating copolymerization of CO\(_2\) with 4,4-dimethyl-3,5,8-trioxabicyclo[5.1.0]octane (CXO), a *meso*-epoxide with high reactivity. Previous study demonstrated that *isotactic* polycarbonates from CXO/CO\(_2\) enantioselective copolymerization (PCXC) exhibited a melting temperature of 242°C (ref. 28). Notably, when (R)-PCXC and (S)-PCXC are mixed in equivalent amounts, cocrystallization occurs, affording a stereocomplex with a new crystalline behaviour, significantly different from that of the sole configuration PCXC. As a consequence, it was expected to form crystalline stereocomplex from *racemic* dinuclear Co(III) catalyst 1-mediated CXO/CO\(_2\) copolymerization, if R,R,R,R-1 in the *racemic* catalyst system produces enantiopure (R)-PCXC, and (S,S,S,S)-1 mainly affords enantiopure (S)-PCXC. We delightedly found that the CO\(_2\)/CXO copolymerization using *racemic*-1 in conjunction with PPN–DNP (PPN, bis(triphenylphosphine)iminium; DNP, 2,4-dinitrophenoxide) at 25°C and 1.5 MPa CO\(_2\) pressure yielded highly crystalline polymer with a turnover frequency of 199 h\(^{-1}\) (Table 1, entry 1). On the basis of fast-scan chip-calorimeter measurement (Flash DSC), high-melting endothermic peak was found at 340°C, which is significantly different from the sole configuration (R)- or (S)-PCXC (Fig. 3, top, plots A and C). Also, the wide-angle X-ray diffraction study confirms its semicrystalline structure. Several diffraction peaks appearing at 2\(\theta\) equal to 6.4°, 13.3°, 16.0°, 17.9°, 20.5° and 23.0° (\(d = 13.80, 6.65, 5.53, 4.95, 4.33\) and 3.86, respectively) are consistent with the stereocomplexed PCXC by mixing enantiopure isotactic (R)- and (S)-PCXC in equivalent amount, but significantly distinct from that of the individual enantiomers (Fig. 3, bottom). Moreover, a previous study has demonstrated that no obvious difference was observed in the peaks corresponding to carbonyl and methine region between PCXCs prepared by mixing opposite enantiomers and by using rac-1, but significantly different from the atactic analogue (Fig. 4).

Previously, we have demonstrated that isotactic (R)-PCXC or (S)-PCXC were easily dissolved in various organic solvents, such as dimethylsulphoxide and tetrahydrofuran (THF), while stereocomplexed PCXC prepared from mixing equivalent (R)- and (S)-PCXC had no solubility in these solvents. It was found that the copolymer formed from the *racemic* catalyst system also had no solubility in both dimethylsulphoxide and THF, suggesting the formation of the stereocomplex. Furthermore, methanol was added as a chain-transfer reagent to the copolymerization mediated by rac-1/PPN–DNP catalyst system at the identical reaction conditions. We discovered that the resultant polymers were also crystallizable, although the melting temperature was decreased to a certain extent (Table 1, entries 1–4). For example, a \(T_m\) of 221°C was found in the copolymer produced from rac-1/PPN–DNP catalyst system in the presence of 100 equivalents of MeOH, which is 119°C lower than the PCXC resulted from the same catalyst in the absence of MeOH (Supplementary Fig. 1). The addition of methanol also resulted in the significant decrease in the copolymerization rate. In addition, a decrease in \(M_n\) is very obvious, and thereby causing

| Table 1 | Enantiopure Co(III)-complex-mediated asymmetric CO\(_2\)/meso-epoxides copolymerization*.

| Entry | *Meso*-epoxide | Cat./Co-cat./MeOH/epoxide | Temp (°C) | Time (h) | TOF (h\(^{-1}\)) | \(M_n\) (kg mol\(^{-1}\)) \(^{-1}\) | PDI | \(P_m\) | \(T_m/T_{DSC}\) (°C)* |
|-------|----------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-----|-----|------------------|
| 1     | O              | 1/1/0/1,000              | 25        | 2        | 199           | 3.86            | #   | --- | ---/340**         |
| 2     |                | 1/1/5/1,000              | 25        | 4        | 160           | 3.86            | #   | --- | ---/316**         |
| 3     |                | 1/1/50/1,000             | 25        | 6        | 91            | 3.31            | 1.12| --- | ---/280**         |
| 4     |                | 1/1/100/1,000            | 25        | 8        | 52            | 1.87            | 1.19| --- | ---/221**         |
| 5     | O              | 1/1/0/1,000              | 25        | 3        | 333           | 35.1            | 1.22| 0.66| 124/---           |
| 6     |                | 1/1/1,000                | 0         | 12       | 83            | 35.7            | 1.18| 0.69| 125/---           |
| 7     |                | 1/1/0/1,000              | 25        | 8        | 124           | 32.0            | 1.26| 0.82| 125/---           |
| 8     |                | 1/1/1/0,000              | 25        | 18       | 25            | 10.1            | 1.13| 0.79| 114/---           |

*The reaction was performed in neat *meso*-epoxides (3.0 ml), except entries 5–8 in toluene solution (epoxide/toluene = 1/2, volume ratio) in 20 ml autoclave and 1.5 MPa CO\(_2\) pressure. Racemic-1 was used as catalyst and PPN–DNP was used as cocatalyst. The polymer selectivity and carbonate linkage was >99% based on \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy.

†Turnover frequency (TOF) = mol of product (polycarbonates)/mol of catalyst per hour.

‡Molar ratio.

§Determined by gel permeation chromatography in THF, calibrated with polystyrene.

||*\(P_m\) was in-centred tetrad, determined by \(^{13}\)C NMR spectroscopy (CDCl\(_3\), 125 MHz).

*\(T_m\) and \(T_{DSC}\) were determined by DSC.

#The solubility of the polymer with high molecular weight and isotacticty was low in THF and DMF; so the \(M_n\) and PDI was not determined.

**\(M_n\) cannot be determined by \(^{13}\)C NMR because the assignation of the polymer’s microstructure has never been reported.

††Determined by FSC.

‡‡PPNP6 was used as the cocatalyst.
samples of B and C in DSC thermograms was determined by FSC. The copolymer caused by the polymer growth-chain transfer between (R,R,R,R)-1 and (S,S,S,S)-1 during the copolymerization. As a result, the intramolecular cocrystallization of the isotactic multiblock-PCXC from racemic-1 catalyst system predominantly contributes the formation of intramolecular stereocomplexed polycarbonates.

Solid state NMR spectroscopy is a powerful tool for studying the polymer segment, structure and dynamics. Macromolecular motions covering a wide range of time scales have long been considered to affect the physical and mechanical properties. Usually, polymers in amorphous state show high-amplitude motions, especially for the temperature above the Tgs. As previously mentioned, there are huge differences in physical properties for the amorphous, enantiopure and stereocomplexed-PCXCs in solid state, such as solubility, melting and crystalline behaviour. In the present study, solid state NMR spectroscopy was also employed for studying the difference in microstructure of various PCXCs (Fig. 5). Spin-lattice relaxation time (T1) was measured for each carbon atom in four representative PCXC samples under the cross-polarisation condition by application of the saturation recovery-based sequence (Table 2). We discovered that the T1 value of carbonyl region for the enantiopure PCXC was longer than the amorphous state. Especially, the T1 value of carbonyl region for the stereocomplexed PCXC is up to 223 s, which is 175 s longer than the amorphous state and 131 s longer than enantiopure-PCXCs, in accordance with its much stronger crystallization capacity and compact package structure. Notably, it was demonstrated that PCXC resulted from racemic-1 catalyst system predominantly contributes the formation of intramolecular stereocomplexed polycarbonates.
Nevertheless, the characterization of CHO also has relatively high reactivity in copolymerizing with CO$_2$ catalysed by both mono- and di-nuclear Co(III) complexes, since the microstructure of poly(cyclohexene carbonate) (PCHC) was well-characterized by syntheses of model poly(cyclohexene carbonate) oligomers or using Bernoullian statistical methods, all [mmm] and [mmr] tetrads were correlated to one central resonance at 153.7 p.p.m. and the remaining r-centred tetrads resided in the 153.3–153.0 p.p.m. range. The carbonyl region of the 13C NMR spectra of carbonyl and methine regions in various PCHCs are shown in Figure 5. The 13C NMR analysis confirmed the formation of the isotactic multiblock structure, PCXCs originated from the meso-cyclohexene oxide (CHO) was chosen as a model monomer of poly(cyclohexene carbonate) units (Supplementary Fig. 3). By synthesizing model poly(cyclohexene carbonate) oligomers or using Bernoullian statistical methods, all [mmm] and [mmr] tetrads were correlated to one central resonance at 153.7 p.p.m. and the remaining r-centred tetrads resided in the 153.3–153.0 p.p.m. range. The carbonyl region of the 13C NMR spectra of various PCHCs resulted from different catalysts or conditions is shown in Figure 6 (The relationship between the tetrad sequences and the polymer microstructures was described in Supplementary Fig. 3). On the basis of the peaks assigned to the appropriate tetrads in accordance with the literature, the PCHC with a P$_m$ of 0.84 obtained from (S,S,S)-1/PPN–DNP catalyst system revealed a 13C NMR spectrum with two distinct resonances at 153.70 and 153.04 p.p.m. Assigning to [mmm] and [mmr] tetrads, respectively (Fig. 6, plot B). Especially, the peak at 153.04 p.p.m. for PCHC with a P$_m$ of 0.96 decreased significantly (Fig. 6, plot A). The r-centred [mmr] tetrads were produced by the errors in the chain growth (mismatched monomer was incorporated) and then corrected by the chiral environment that is constructed by the ligand around the metal centre through an entaniomorphic site control. However, for polycarbonate resulted from racemic-1 (Fig. 6, plot C), a peak at 153.22 p.p.m. was discovered, corresponding to [mmr] tetrads, significantly distinct from PCHC resulted from (S,S,S)-1 with the same P$_m$ (Fig. 6, plot B). Moreover, because of the formation of [mmr] tetrads, the peak corresponding to m-centred tetrads of polycarbonates resulted from racemic-1 become broaden in comparison with the PCHC with the identical stereoregularity.

### Table 2 | 13C $T_1$ relaxation times for solid various PCXCs at ambient temperature

| Entry | PCXC structure | $T_1$ (s) | C | O | CH | CH$_2$ | CH$_3$ |
|-------|---------------|----------|---|---|-----|------|------|
| 1     | Amorphous     | 48       | 21 | 38 | 26  | 1.3  |
| 2     | (S)-enantiopure | 92       | 29 | 36 | 21  | 1.6  |
| 3     | Multiblock    | 156      | 40 | 110| 50  | 116  | 58   | 1.3  |
| 4     | Stereocomplexed | 223      | 42 | 165| 150 | 81   | 1.3  |

*Measured with CPMAS NMR using the saturation recovery-based sequence.

Mechanistic study for racemic-1 mediated copolymerization. Indeed, 13C NMR spectra of carbonyl and methine regions in Figure 4 did not give the accurate isotacticity of the multiblock-PCXCs originated from the racemic catalyst system. In order to confirm the formation of the isotactic multiblock structure, cyclohexene oxide (CHO) was chosen as a model monomer of meso-epoxides for testing the stereoregularity of its CO$_2$ copolymer produced by racemic Co(III) complexes, since the microstructure of poly(cyclohexene carbonate) (PCHC) was well-characterized. Indeed, CHO also has relatively high reactivity in copolymerizing with CO$_2$ catalysed by both mono- and di-nuclear Co(III) complexes in the presence of a nucleophile cocatalyst. In previous study, we have demonstrated that for the mono-nuclear Co(III) complex-mediated CO$_2$ epoxide copolymerization, the dissociation of the propagating carbonate from the metal centre is a much faster process than propagation, and the free propagating carbonate can also act as a nucleophile for attack at a cobalt-coordinated epoxide, so the binary catalyst system of racemic mono-nuclear Co(III)–Salen complex and PPN–DNP for CHO/CO$_2$ copolymerization provided atactic PCHC. On the contrary, the racemic dinuclear Co(III) complex gave isotactic-enriched PCHC, based on the 13C NMR analysis. However, the isotacticity is obviously lower than that obtained from enantiopure dinuclear Co(III) complex. This means the occurrence of the copolymer chain transfer between two kinds of catalyst molecules with different configurations.

Previously, various stereoregular PCHCs tetrad and triad sequences have been assigned in the 13C NMR spectrum. In keeping with the previously established conventions in this field, it is important to note that [m] and [r] assignments used herein represent the relative stereochemistry of the carbons of the cyclohexene carbonate units (Supplementary Fig. 3). By synthesizing model poly(cyclohexene carbonate) oligomers or using Bernoullian statistical methods, all [mmm] and [mmr] tetrads were correlated to one central resonance at 153.7 p.p.m. and the remaining r-centred tetrads resided in the 153.3–153.0 p.p.m. range. The carbonyl region of the 13C NMR spectra of various PCHCs resulted from different catalysts or conditions is shown in Figure 6 (The relationship between the tetrad sequences and the polymer microstructures was described in Supplementary Fig. 3). On the basis of the peaks assigned to the appropriate tetrads in accordance with the literature, the PCHC with a P$_m$ of 0.84 obtained from (S,S,S)-1/PPN–DNP catalyst system revealed a 13C NMR spectrum with two distinct resonances at 153.70 and 153.04 p.p.m. Assigning to [mmm] and [mmr] tetrads, respectively (Fig. 6, plot B). Especially, the peak at 153.04 p.p.m. for PCHC with a P$_m$ of 0.96 decreased significantly (Fig. 6, plot A). The r-centred [mmr] tetrads were produced by the errors in the chain growth (mismatched monomer was incorporated) and then corrected by the chiral environment that is constructed by the ligand around the metal centre through an entaniomorphic site control. However, for polycarbonate resulted from racemic-1 (Fig. 6, plot C), a peak at 153.22 p.p.m. was discovered, corresponding to [mmr] tetrads, significantly distinct from PCHC resulted from (S,S,S)-1 with the same P$_m$ (Fig. 6, plot B). Moreover, because of the formation of [mmr] tetrads, the peak corresponding to m-centred tetrads of polycarbonates resulted from racemic-1 become broaden in comparison with the PCHC with the identical stereoregularity.

Figure 5 | 13C CPMAS NMR spectra of various PCXCs. (a) amorphous-PCXC; (b) enantiopure (S)-PCXC; (c) isotactic multiblock-PCXC; (d) stereocomplexed-PCXC.

Figure 6 | Carbonyl region of the 13C NMR spectra (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of various PCHCs. (a) PCHC with 0.96 $P_m$ catalysed by enantiopure dinuclear Co(III) catalyst in toluene; (b) PCHC with 0.84 $P_m$ catalysed by enantiopure dinuclear Co(III) catalyst; (c) PCHC with 0.82 $P_m$ catalysed by catalyst rac-1/PPN–DNP (Table 1, entry 7).

Figure 2 | 13C $T_1$ relaxation times for solid various PCXCs at ambient temperature.*
The $^{13}$C NMR spectra of methylene region also confirmed the results (Supplementary Fig. 4). The presence of a small [mrr] peak also suggests that a minimal amount of the unpreferred enantiomer is incorporated into the chain at a level, consistent with the PCHCs described in plots A and B.

On the basis of [mrr] tetrad in $^{13}$C NMR analysis, we can conclude that the polymer should have -RRRRRRSSSSSS- or -SSSSSSRRRR- sequences in the main chain. In fact, the polycarbonates produced from racemic-I has a stereo multiblock structure with alternating blocks of (R)- and (S)-polymer segments, rather than a stereocomplex of two highly enantio-merically enriched chains. A statistical model was used to simulate the spectrum of the PCHC with stereochemical defects formed in the polymer growth-chain transfer between (R,R,R,R)-I and (S,S,S,S)-I, suggesting that a block in the stereo multiblock PCHC contain an average of five enantiomerically pure carbonate units.

In the recent contributions, we demonstrated that the enantiopure bipherata-linked dinuclear Co(III) complex I was a privileged chiral catalysts for asymmetric copolymerization of CO$_2$ with various $meso$-epoxides, showing high activity and excellent enantioselectivity$^{27-29}$. The mechanistic study revealed that chain-growth step predominantly involves an intramolecular bimetallic cooperation mechanism, wherein alternating chain growth and dissociation of propagating carboxylate species takes turn between two Co(III) ions from the inside cleft of dinuclear Co(III) catalysts by the nucleophilic attack of the growing carboxylate species at one metal centre towards the activated epoxide at the other$^{44}$. It was also found that the propagating polymer chain transfer could be caused by protic solvents such as water and methanol, rather than the excess cocatalyst. Although every effort has been made to keep the copolymerization reaction anhydrous, we were concerned that trace quantities of water might be present, and thereby cause the growing polymer-chain transfer. Furthermore, it was found that the addition of 10 equiv. of methanol resulted in the significant decrease in copolymer molecular weight from 32.0 to 10.1 kg mol$^{-1}$, and a slight loss in $P_m$ from 0.82 to 0.79 (Table 1, entry 8).

On the basis of the evidence and analysis mentioned above, the possible formation process for isotactic multiblock PCHC is proposed in the Fig. 7. Since the insertion of CO$_2$ into the growing polymer chain is a fast process, the predominant ring-opening event is the reactions of (S)-I with (R)-C = O and (R)-I with (S)-C = O bond of CHO, affording (S)-PCHC and (R)-PCHC, respectively (enantioemic active species A and B). However, the adventours water or the addition of protic solvent probably results in polymer-chain exchange between the (R)-PCHC anchored on (R)-I and the (S)-PCHC anchored on (S)-I, affording the (S)-PCHC anchored on (R)-I and the (R)-PCHC anchored on (S)-I (diasteroemic active species C and D). At this point, polymer chain propagation resumes with the favoured stereoisomer, creating a diblock structure. The polymer chain exchange and propagation take place repeatedly to provide the isotactic multiblock polycarbonates.

It is a pity that the isotactic multiblock PCHC with a $P_m$ of 0.82 was amorphous material with a $T_g$ of 125 °C, slightly higher than the atactic PCHC. Indeed, no crystallizability of the isotactically-enriched PCHC is not strange. In previous contribution, we have demonstrated that only PCHCs with more than 90% isotacticy were crystalizable$^{38}$. However, we expect with great passion the formation of the intramolecular stereocomplexed PCHC, since a stereocomplex formed by the polymer assembly of optically active PCHCs with opposite configurations was previously confirmed$^{38}$. In order to validate our supposition, solid state NMR spectroscopy was also employed for studying the difference of the microstructure of various PCHCs. (Supplementary Fig. 5 and Supplementary Table 1). As anticipated, the $T_i$ value of carbonyl region for the stereocomplexed PCHC is up to 271 s, which is 223 s longer than that for the amorphous PCHC. Similarly, the $T_i$ values of methylene (250 and 195 s) and methylene carbons (146 and 110 s for the stereocomplexed PCHC are significantly longer than that for the amorphous PCHC (35 s for methylene region, and 23 and 21 s for methylene carbons). However, for the isotactic multiblock PCHC, the $T_i$ values of carbonyl region and methylene carbons are 56 and 39 s, respectively, whereas that of methylene carbons in 26 and 24 s. These values are slightly higher than that for the amorphous state, but significantly lower than that for the stereocomplexed PCHC.

**Discussion**

In conclusion, novel intramolecular stereocomplexed polycarbonates were synthesized by the stereoselective copolymerization of CO$_2$ and $meso$-epoxides using racemic bimetallic cobalt catalyst system. Highly enantioselective chain growth in an enantiopure catalyst molecule and the copolymer-chain transfer between different configuration catalyst molecules results in the formation of the isotactic multiblock polycarbonates. Solid state NMR spectroscopy study suggests that the interaction in the carbonyl region is responsible for the strong crystallization capacity and compact package structure in the crystalline polycarbonates. This is the only example for the synthesis of crystalline CO$_2$ polymers from racemic catalyst. Due to the use of the inexpensive racemic or achiral ligand, the present synthesis strategy is of great importance for preparing various intramolecular stereocomplexed polycarbonates with enhanced thermal stability.

**Methods**

**General.** All manipulations involving air- and/or water-sensitive compounds were carried out in a glove box or with the standard Schlenk techniques under dry nitrogen. CO$_2$ (99.995%) was purchased from Dalian Institute of Special Gases and used as received. Methylene chloride and chloroform were distilled from calcium hydride under nitrogen. Tetrahydrofuran and toluene were distilled from sodium/
benzophenone under nitrogen. Epoxides were purchased from Acros and distilled over calcium hydride.

**Fast-scan chip calorimeter.** Fast-scan chip calorimeter (FSC) was performed with the commercialized FSC (Flash DSC, Mettler-Toledo, Switzerland). The empty chip sensor was calibrated according to the standard procedure before the experiment. The ready temperature of test module for all measurements was set as 30 °C. Purge nitrogen gas was used as the protection atmosphere with the constant flow rate 50 ml min⁻¹. First cycle: from 20 °C to 280 °C at a heating rate of 3,000 K s⁻¹, and holding at 280 °C for 5 min, and from 280 °C to 20 °C at a cooling rate of 3,000 K s⁻¹. Second cycle: from 20 °C to 400 °C at a heating rate of 3,000 K s⁻¹. For all FSC analysis, the result was given based on second cycle.

**Solid state NMR experiments.** Solid state NMR experiments were performed using an Agilent DD2-500 MHz NMR spectrometer in 4-mm ZrO₂ rotors at MAS flow rate 50 ml min⁻¹. The ready temperature of test module for all measurements was set as 45 °C to 280 °C at a heating rate of 3,000 K s⁻¹. Contact time of 3 ms and a recycle delay of 4 s. ¹³C spin-lattice relaxation experiment. The ready temperature of test module for all measurements was set as 45 °C to 280 °C at a heating rate of 3,000 K s⁻¹. The chemical shifts were referenced to the adamantane with the upfield methine peak at 29.5 p.p.m.

**Details of other experiments see Supplementary Methods.**
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