New Developments and Insights in the Improvement of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Vaccines and Diagnostics Within the End TB Strategy
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Abstract

**Purpose of review** The alignment of sustainable development goals (SDGs) with the End Tuberculosis (TB) strategy provides an integrated roadmap to implement key approaches towards TB elimination. This review summarizes current social challenges for TB control, and yet, recent developments in TB diagnosis and vaccines in the context of the End TB strategy and SDGs to transform global health.

**Recent findings** Advances in non-sputum based TB biomarkers and whole genome sequencing technologies could revolutionize TB diagnostics. Moreover, synergistic novel technologies such as mRNA vaccination, nanovaccines and promising TB vaccine models are key promising developments for TB prevention and control.

**Summary** The End TB strategy depends on novel developments in point-of-care TB diagnostics and effective vaccines. However, despite outstanding technological developments in these fields, TB elimination will be unlikely achieved if TB social determinants are not fully addressed. Indeed, the End TB strategy and SDGs emphasize the importance of implementing sustainable universal health coverage and social protection.

**Keywords** End TB strategy · Sustainable development goals · TB diagnosis · Vaccines

Introduction

The tuberculosis (TB) pandemic, caused by the airborne pathogen *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (*M.tbc*), killed more than 1.2 million people in 2019 with 75% of the TB cases occurring in South-East Asia and Africa [1]. TB strongly impacts the daily life of infected individuals and their household contacts, and results in catastrophic health and economic costs [2, 3]. TB burden affects mostly countries with fragile and overwhelmed health care systems that need to be strengthened accordingly in order to improve linkage and retention in TB treatment and care, while reducing direct and indirect TB treatment costs [4, 5].

The emergence of drug-resistant TB (DR-TB) and the synergy between TB and other communicable and non-communicable chronic diseases such as HIV/AIDS and diabetes or malnutrition, respectively, are also posing an increasing challenge to TB prevention and control strategies, as globalization, demographics, and lifestyle changes, including alcohol and tobacco consumption, are fuelling TB expansion worldwide [1].

Current public health efforts and resources are shifting towards controlling the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic. This deviation of resources is undermining TB prevention and control, and is estimated to worsen TB...
transmission dynamics, treatment outcomes, and diagnosis. The implicated factors include reduced health care access, deviation of economic and human resources, fear, stigma, and the overlap between COVID-19 and TB clinical symptoms, among others [6, 7]. A modeling study from The STOP TB Partnership and collaborators evaluating the effects of COVID-19 lockdowns, estimates an additional 6.3 million TB cases and 1.4 million TB deaths globally during the 2020–2025 period, bringing TB incidence and TB deaths “to levels last seen in 2013 and 2016, respectively” [8].

Nevertheless, the End TB strategy adopted by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2015 aims to end the global TB pandemic under ambitious milestones and targets to be achieved by the end of 2035 [9]. The End TB strategy was built in parallel to the sustainable development goals (SDGs) [10], which expands upon the successes of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) [11]. The mission of the seventeen SDGs is to transform our world by 2030 by focusing on sustainable development to eradicate poverty and achieve human rights for all while incorporating improved health outcomes [12].

In this review, we discuss major challenges to TB control and prevention as well as recent advances in TB vaccines and diagnostics within the context of the End TB strategy (specifically focusing on Pillar 1 key components ‘A’ and ‘D’) and the SDGs.

Methods

We performed a comprehensive literature review within the period 2015–2020. Key review papers and outstanding original research papers older than this period were also included when its scientific relevance was salient to the scope of this review. Searches were performed in May 2020 in the PubMed database for articles containing in the title and/or abstract the following terms: “tuberculosis” and (“vaccines,” or “diagnosis,” or “communicable diseases,” or “syndemics,” or “non communicable diseases,” or “sustainable development goals,” or “End TB strategy”). This search resulted in 217 papers that were further evaluated for their relevance. Of these, we included 13 articles in the section of major drivers of TB expansion, 27 articles in the section describing the End TB strategy and SDGs, 36 articles to discuss TB diagnostics, and 41 articles to discuss TB vaccines.

Major Drivers of TB Expansion

TB elimination efforts face three major challenges: First, the increasing prevalence of DR-TB including isoniazid resistant (Hr-), rifampicin resistant (RR-), multidrug-resistant (MDR-), extensive drug resistant (XDR-), and extreme drug resistant (XXDR-) TB [13, 14]. In 2017, there were an estimated 558,000 new cases of MDR/RR-TB but only 29% were reported. Low MDR/RR-TB detection rates together with lower rates of treatment success (55%), and importantly, mismanaged TB treatment, play a key role in DR-TB emergence [1]. Recent TB modeling studies suggest that the contribution of primary DR-TB is higher than previously thought when compared to secondary or acquired resistance, and that fitness, e.g. ability to transmit, might be similar between DR-TB and drug susceptible Mycobacterium tuberculosis (M.tbc) strains [15, 16]. Moreover, implementation of molecular diagnostic tests for DR-TB is challenging in resource-constrained settings due to high costs, need for laboratory infrastructure and maintenance, and low availability of trained/specialized personnel [17, 18]. Although the End TB strategy recommends drug susceptibility testing (DST) in all TB cases, routine access to molecular or phenotypic DST in high TB burden areas remains limited [19]. Current evidences suggest that DR-TB could be uncontrollable without applying effective measures, such as the widespread introduction of point-of-care (POC) DR-TB diagnosis testing methods, affordable second-line drugs, treatment of latent MDR-TB, and active monitoring of drug susceptible- and DR-TB cases in high-risk groups and crowded settings [20].

Second, the impact of HIV and other TB comorbidities has undermined TB control and prevention strategies for the past three decades. The TB/HIV syndemic accounts for increased morbidity and hidden TB transmission with its devastating effects mainly in Asia and Sub-Saharan Africa [21]. TB is the leading cause of death among people living with HIV (PLWH); in 2018, there were 251,000 deaths due to HIV-associated TB, and 85% of them occurred in Africa. In addition, TB diagnosis in PLWH is a major challenge for prevention and control strategies, as almost half of TB/HIV cases do not get proper care [22]. In this context, effective TB/HIV collaborative programs and activities are a cost-effective strategy to improve both TB and HIV treatment outcomes [23, 24].

The third major driver of TB morbidity and/or mortality is an increased life expectancy that has magnified the occurrence of non-communicable diseases (NCDs) and their shared risk factors, including indoor pollution, smoking, alcohol and drug abuse, diabetes and malnutrition, among others. For example, diabetes mellitus triples the risk of TB development, is associated with DR-TB, and constitutes a risk factor for poor TB treatment outcomes, including death [25, 26]. Thus, the recommendation for a coordinated and joint service delivery model integrating TB and NCDs (Fig. 1) [27].

Synergy Between the SDGs and the End TB Strategy Scenarios

In 2015, the WHO End TB strategy was developed in parallel with the SDGs, establishing a new TB control and prevention era [28]. The post-2015 era of the SDGs, created by the United
Nations (UN) to address the global challenges faced worldwide “in order to achieve a more sustainable life”, has committed world leaders to “end poverty, ensuring healthy lifes and people’s well-being while protecting the enviroment by 2030”. Specifically, the SDG’s 3.3 goal aims to “End the epidemics of AIDS, TB, malaria and neglected tropical diseases by 2030” and SDG’s 3.8 goal aims to “achieve universal health coverage, including financial risk protection, access to quality essential health-care services and access to safe, effective, quality and affordable essential medicines and vaccines for all” [10]. These health targets are deeply interconnected with goals to improve sustainability, education, food security, and planetary and global health. Thus, the overlap between global TB prevention and control efforts and global sustainable development is well captured in the SDGs, which effectively addresses three major TB structural determinants, such as poverty, malnourishment and poor hygiene conditions (Table 1) [29].

The End TB strategy is built on previous achievements from past global TB programs, specifically, the direct observed therapy short course strategy of 1994 [30], and the Stop TB strategy of 2006 [31]. The End TB strategy aims to end the global TB epidemic by 2035 and is based on 3 pillars and 4 underlying principles for its implementation. There are three main indicators: Reduction in TB deaths and incidence when compared to the 2015 baseline, and reduction in the percentage of TB patients and their household members who experience catastrophic costs due to TB. Monitoring and evaluating these 3 indicators, and their associated milestones and targets, are set up for two phases, 2016–2025 and 2026–2035, respectively.

Despite outstanding achievements in science and technological innovations in global public health outcomes since World War II [32], the growing disparities in health and wealth among countries make the current world situation more inequitable than it was prior to World War I [33]. Major advances in science and global health in the past decades have not correlated with the reduction of social inequalities and poverty, which are major structural determinants of TB and infectious diseases worldwide [34, 35]. There is increasing evidence that the implementation of the End TB strategy alongside the SDGs may require a change in the interaction between health financing and healthcare [36], where current profit models of investment should be evaluated for transparency and effectiveness, and current oriented market and private health governance should shift to a more ecological and sustainable health-for-all model. Specifically, there are major concerns that the current model of global governance, which is based on neoliberal and capitalist values, while attempting to improve economic, social, and public health-related outcomes, has in fact accelerated biosphere degradation and human social inequalities [37]. Thus, the current implementation of SDGs through a global public health perspective [38] confronts its own roots, which are defined by capitalism structural pathogenesis [39], neocolonialism [40, 41], philanthrocapitalism [42], profit-driven commercialization of biomedical sciences [43], and the global health aid allocation status quo [44].

A new understanding of global health is needed to address global public health issues based on a world rooted in social justice [45, 46]. Although improving diagnostics and vaccines are critical for the management of TB, a world free of TB will not be possible if social determinants of TB disease are not addressed in addition to technology advances, including a healthcare system consolidation with universal health coverage, ending poverty via social and financial protection, and ensuring nutritious food [47].

End TB Strategy Pillar 1 Key Component “A”: Early Diagnosis of TB Including Universal DST, and Systematic Screening of Contacts and High Risk Groups

The first pillar to achieve the goal set by the End TB Strategy of reducing the TB incidence rate (90%) and the number of TB deaths (95%) by the year 2035, is the development of an integrated, patient-centered TB care and prevention program that can “bring together critical interventions to ensure that all people with TB have equitable access to high-quality diagnosis, treatment, care and prevention, without facing...
Table 1  Interconnections between SDGs and the End TB strategy

| SDGs | End TB strategy | Pillar 1: Integrated, patient-centered TB care and prevention | Pillar 2: Bold policies and supportive systems | Pillar 3: Intensified research and innovation |
|------|-----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| Goal 1: End poverty in all its forms everywhere | Component A: Early diagnosis of TB including DST* and systematic screening of contacts and high risk groups | 1.1 By 2030, eradicate extreme poverty* | | |
| | Component B: Treatment of all people with TB including DR-TB* and patient support. | 1.3 Implement nationally appropriate social protection systems* | | |
| Goal 2: End hunger, achieve food security and improved nutrition and promote sustainable agriculture | Component C: Universal health coverage | 2.1 By 2030, end hunger and ensure access to all people to safe, nutritious and sufficient food all year around | | |
| Goal 3: Ensure healthy lives and promote well-being for all at all ages | Component D: Social protection, poverty alleviation and actions on other determinants of TB | | | |
| Component A: Discovery, development and rapid uptake of new tools, interventions and strategies | |

*Unidirectional and bidirectional arrows represent directions of effect

**catastrophic expenditure or social repercussion** [48]. Key aims of this pillar consist of an early TB diagnosis, including systematic DST and routine screening of high-risk groups, affordable treatment for all TB patients (including those with DR-TB), the clinical management of TB comorbidities such as HIV, and preventive treatment and TB vaccination for those individuals at high risk of developing active TB. In this regard, the 2014 WHO and the Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics (FIND) meeting report identified four major target product profiles (TPPs), defining the targets and
specifications that new diagnostic TB tests should meet [49]: (1) a POC non-sputum test capable of detecting all forms of TB (biomarker test); (2) a simple, low-cost POC test performed in clinical/rural settings (e.g. health post) to screen and identify those who need further TB testing (triage test) [49]; (3) a POC sputum test to detect pulmonary TB to replace the widely used smear microscopy (the smear-replacement test); and (4) a rapid and efficient DST that can identify those in need of first-line drug treatment (a rapid DST test). In summary, the future of early TB diagnosis needs affordable and high-sensitivity point-of-care (POC) tests able to diagnose pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB in adults, children, and PLWH.

Several TB diagnostic tests and technologies have been developed over these past few years and summarized in Table 2. Until recently, conventional TB diagnostics have strongly relied on medical history, tuberculin skin test, chest X-rays, microbiological culture, and acid fast staining/smear microscopy. Novel culture-based approaches have been developed to reduce time to positivity and simultaneously screen for drug susceptibility during culture, meeting the need for a rapid DST test (one of the four TPPs identified by the WHO/FIND). These recent developments include the fully automated liquid-based Mycobacteria Growth Indicator Tube (MGIT) [58], and the color plate agar-based culture test [1st Generation (1G) TB-CX], among others [59]. Although commercial liquid culture-based DST platforms such as BD BACTEC MGIT can provide reliable results within a few days, liquid culture is prone to contamination and requires extensive laboratory infrastructure, specialized personnel, instrument calibration and maintenance, specialized reagents, etc., limiting wide implementation in low-income settings. In contrast, the 1G TB-CX test is based on the rapid, simple and inexpensive thin-layer agar plate, and includes DST testing using a four quadrant agar plate, allowing for the early detection of MDR and pre-XDR strains (average of 13 days when compared to the 50 days required for a standard DST), with a 94% sensitivity [59, 60]. Despite a limited number of

Table 2  Advantages and limitations of some of the newest TB diagnostic strategies

| TB diagnostic strategy | Sample type | Advantages | Limitations | References |
|------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Loop-mediated isothermal amplification or LAMPb (NAAT) | Sputum | • No need for a thermocycler <br> • High amplification efficiency <br> • High sensitivity and specificity <br> • Low cost; commercial kits available <br> • Easy visualization of results <br> • Simple and rapid, potential POC test | • Needs extraction of nucleic acids <br> • Specificity relies on good primer design <br> • In some cases, enrichment methods before PCR amplification are needed | Sahoo et al. [50]; WHO et al. [51] |
| Lateral-flow urine LAM test (LF-LAM) and relatedb | Urine | • Appropriate when sputum sample is difficult to obtain or in extrapulmonary TB (such as in PLWH) <br> • Fast, POC test <br> • Needs very little amount of sample | • Low sensitivity <br> • Requires confirmation by other methods <br> • Needs previous clinical suspicion of TB to perform the test <br> • Only detected in people with active TB | Singhroy et al. [52]; WHO et al. [53] |
| Host-response-based diagnostics: transcriptomics and/or proteomics signature | Blood | • Prognostic value: prevent TB disease progression, allowing for targeted treatment and/or case investigation <br> • Fast <br> • Potential to be used as POC test | • Host responses influenced by other TB co-morbidities and host genetic background: one transcriptomic/proteomic signature does not fit all <br> • Needs standardization <br> • Sensitivity and specificity differ among cohorts and between different proposed signatures | Warsinske et al. [54]; Penn-Nicholson et al. [55•] |
| Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) | Sputum | • Whole bacterial genome information <br> • Highly accurate <br> • No need for culture, advances in the detection of M. tb directly from clinical samples <br> • Short turnaround time <br> • Multiple applications: diagnostics, epidemiology, DR profiling, M. tb evolution <br> • Identification of new DR mutations <br> • Identification of mixed infections <br> • Portable platforms (e.g. MinION) | • Need for high sequencing depth to detect mutations (increased cost) <br> • Difficult to implement in low income countries with few resources <br> • Need of well-defined genotypic-phenotypic associations <br> • Complex data analysis: requires bioinformatics expertise | Soundararajan et al. [56]; Cohen et al. [57] |

*There are other strategies and related tests such as the Lionex test and the new FujiLAM test not mentioned in the table but included in the main text

b Endorsed by the World Health Organization (WHO)
studies in very specific settings, the 1G TB-CX test, at the cost of US$1.35, has the potential to be a good alternative method for the fast and low-cost diagnosis of DS and MDR TB in countries with poor health infrastructure [59]. A drawback for the 1G TB-CX is that only classifies the extent of drug resistance (susceptible, MDR, and pre-XDR TB), but it can only be used to provide schematic of treatment for drug susceptible patients. Thus, a 2G TB-CX test has been developed (US$8.5) and is currently being tested in the lab setting, with the advantage of being able to test for up to 11 TB drugs, including bedaquiline and delamanid, both currently being used to treat DR-TB [61].

Despite these latest advancements, culture-based methods strongly rely on obtaining a good quality sputum sample and enriching for 

M.tbb to increase bacterial load above the detection limit, which might prove difficult in PLWH and children [62, 63]. Thus, new POC developments in TB diagnostics are moving towards rapid molecular tests and/or indirect methods that look into the specific host responses against TB infection (e.g., biomarker test), which can serve as rapid and inexpensive POC tests to detect pulmonary and extrapulmonary TB in clinical settings, fulfilling the needs determined by the WHO/FIND TTPs [49]. Nucleic acid amplification tests, such as Xpert MTB/RIF [64] and loop-mediated isothermal amplification (TB-LAMP) [65], have been endorsed by the WHO for the diagnosis of pulmonary TB, and are currently playing a critical role in TB diagnostics [66].

Non-sputum-based biomarker assays are also being developed as alternative low-cost POC tests to rapidly identify those that require further TB testing (triage test) and to detect all forms of TB. In this regard, in 2015, the WHO first recommended the broad use of lateral-flow urine mycobacterial cell wall component lipoarabinomannan (LAM) detection for the screening of active TB in PLWH (both pulmonary and extrapulmonary) [53]. Thus, the Alere Determine TB LAM-Ag test (Abbott, Chicago, IL, USA, US$3.5/cost) is based on polyclonal antibodies of unknown specificity recognizing the mycobacterial LAM antigen in urine, and has shown a pooled sensitivity of 53% in PLWH (with <100 CD4 T cells/mm3) with TB clinical symptoms [67]. Recent efforts have been made to improve the efficacy and sensitivity of this test, including a simple α-mannosidase sample pre-treatment (for 15 min at room temperature) that structurally modifies LAM in urine, increasing the affinity of the Alere Determine LAM-Ag test polyclonal antibodies and consequently, the test detection levels by 10-fold [68], adding only a US$0.50 cost to the Alere Determine LAM-Ag test. A new LAM test using known antibodies (FujiLAM) has also been reported with an increase in sensitivity of 28.1% over the Alere Determine LAM-Ag test, while maintaining specificity [69••]. Another new LAM test is the Lionex-test. This is a serological assay detecting IgA/IgG/IgM antibodies against LAM and a mixture of recombinant M.tbb antigens in whole blood, serum, plasma and milk (the latter for Bovine TB diagnostics). The cost of this test is US$6.25, and results are obtained in 20 min with 85–90% sensitivity and 97% specificity [70]. Further studies are needed to increase diagnostic sensitivity of LAM tests in PLWH, and expand its use as a POC test to both non-HIV infected and PLWH at high risk of TB morbidity and mortality.

Indirect blood PCR-based biomarker tests looking at the host immune response to TB infection are being developed and validated as diagnostic tools, targeting all forms of TB and patient populations [71]. The main advantage of these assays compared to other tests is their prognostic value, with the potential to predict individuals with high-risk of TB progression or even recent exposure, in order to determine those in need of treatment and/or monitor prolonged therapy. In this regard, a systematic comparison study of 16 host-derived gene expression signatures [54] found that 7 out of 16 signatures predicted progression from latent to active TB disease 6 months prior to sputum conversion (e.g. incipient TB), and 2 of the proposed gene profiles satisfied the WHO criteria for a non-sputum triage test across heterogeneous datasets (achieving a 74% specificity at 90% sensitivity), and indicating that some host-response-based diagnostics could be generalizable across diverse patient populations and thus, considered for clinical implementation [55••]. Moreover, RISK-6 is also described as a robust blood 6-gene transcriptomic signature, not significantly affected by underlying HIV-infection, showing promising potential as a universal biomarker test [72]. A new study also suggests that a proteomic signature may accurately predict TB disease progression, relapse or re-infection, although more work is needed to increase the performance of such tests before these can be widely implemented [73].

In recent years, whole-genome sequencing (WGS)-based diagnostics are gaining popularity in the TB field [74]. Since the first M.tbb genome was sequenced in 1998 [75•] (H37Rv strain) next generation sequencing technologies have greatly improved, and are now able to provide rapid and accurate sequencing of whole bacterial genomes in a short timeframe of hours to days at a relatively reduced cost. Some of the advantages that WGS-based strategies have when compared to other TB diagnostic methods, are the short turnaround time for results, as well as the vast amount of information provided, which can be translated into multiple applications: species/strain identification and characterization, to identify drug resistance and generate susceptibility profiles to inform treatment, to identify mixed infections, and/or to conduct outbreak investigations/epidemiology. The depth of information provided in a single WGS test has the potential to improve the speed and accuracy of MDR-TB diagnosis at or near the point-of-care and be simultaneously used as an epidemiological tool, revolutionizing the TB field [76].

Indeed, as most of the M.tbb complex species and strains share more than 99% nucleotide similarity, WGS has already
played a critical role in deciphering key genomic variance thanks to its nucleotide-level resolution, allowing not only strain identification but the identification of small genetic variances that can cause clinically relevant phenotypic differences. This is the case of mutations that confer drug resistance, largely associated to single nucleotide polymorphisms. Several studies have demonstrated the potential value of WGS in predicting drug resistance phenotypes from the mycobacterial genome as a surveillance and screening tool which would serve as rapid DST test at POC settings and help taking informed decisions about extended TB treatments [57]. However, further research is needed in this area as the genetic basis for drug resistance is not fully understood yet and some unknown DR-conferring mutations could be missed if solely looking at the genome [77].

Perhaps the greatest benefit of WGS is the potential ability to detect and sequence *M. tb* directly from clinical samples without the need for culture, which would be transformative and greatly improve TB management, serving as a fast POC test in clinical settings [78, 79]. Although promising, it also represents the biggest challenge, as direct patient samples contain variable amounts of *M. tb* mixed with other microorganisms and human DNA, where *M. tb* usually represents less than 1% of the total extracted DNA. Several advances have been done to obtain sufficient proportion of pathogen DNA in order to capture the pathogen genome at sufficient coverage, including targeted capturing of *M. tb* cells or DNA (mycobacterial enrichment), or host DNA depletion strategies [80].

Due to higher costs compared to traditional microbiological techniques, and required computing and bioinformatics capabilities, implementation of WGS in resource-constraint settings is still a challenge nowadays. Although WGS technologies are advanced enough to inform clinical decisions and have rapidly evolved from research to diagnostics/epidemiological tools, the development of standardized and validated protocols and international guidelines is critical in order to translate sequencing data into actionable information that can be applicable for TB diagnostics and public health surveillance worldwide [81]. Nevertheless, WGS strategies, due to the numerous advantages compared to traditional TB tests and the power to combine numerous applications in a single test, holds the potential to be the future of TB diagnostics, if adequate resources for TB care and prevention policies can be implemented worldwide.

**End TB Strategy Pillar 1 Key Component “D”: Preventive Treatment of Persons at High Risk, and Vaccination Against TB**

In-depth evaluation of the *M. bovis* Bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) against TB disease has been recently reviewed elsewhere by us and others [82, 83]. Despite more than 100 years of vaccine research in the TB field, there is still a lack of an effective vaccine against TB [84]. The BCG vaccine provides protection in infants and adolescents against lethal forms of TB such as meningeal and miliary TB, but it is not considered effective in preventing pulmonary TB in adults due to previous infection with *M. tb*, and sensitization with environmental mycobacteria, among other factors [83]. However, recent studies have provided proof-of-concept that efficacy of TB vaccination is achievable. A study by Nemes et al., showed that revaccination with BCG reduced quantiferon conversion in South African children by 45.4% [85••]. Further, the subunit vaccine M72/AS01E provided a 49.7% efficacy in preventing pulmonary TB in adults 36 months post-vaccination [86••].

Currently, the TB vaccination strategy relies on three main approaches: prophylactic vaccination, post-exposure vaccination, and therapeutic vaccines [87]. In addition, WHO launched a document with preferred vaccine characteristics which is guiding research and industry towards a future TB vaccine; these characteristics are measured under three different endpoints: (i) Prevention of pulmonary TB disease (PoD), (ii) Prevention of recurrent TB disease due to relapse or reinfection in already infected people (PoR), and (iii) Prevention of *M. tb* infection in uninfected people (PoI) [87]. In this regard, modeling studies suggest that a major impact on TB vaccination would be achieved by a vaccine, assuming a 60% vaccine efficacy with a 10 years duration of protection, targeting adolescents and adults in low income countries under the PoD endpoint [88, 89].

There are currently several promising TB vaccines under phase 2b-3 clinical trials: VPM 1002 and its derivatives, the subunit vaccine M72/AS01E to boost BCG, the recombinant protein vaccine H56:IC31, and BCG revaccination strategies reviewed elsewhere [90]. However, there are two important caveats in TB vaccine development approaches: (1) the narrow focus in generating CD4+ Th1 cells; and (2) the lack of validated immune correlates of protection [91]. Apart from CD4+ T cells, B cells, and unconventional T cells may have an important role in generating immune protection against TB. Exploring the ability of trained immunity ([innate immune mechanisms driving a degree of resistance to reinfection [92]]) to control TB in the lung parenchyma and pulmonary mucosa is critical [93, 94]. Antibodies, apart of physically blocking *M. tb*, might enhance macrophage phagocytic activity and play a crucial role in stimulating cytokine secretion and recruitment of natural killer cells, monocytes and phagocytes [95–97]. In this regard, an IgG monoclonal antibody against *M. tb* H37Rv strain LAM in a mice model of *M. tb* infection showed a dose dependent *M. tb* burden reduction in spleen and lungs and increased long-term survival of mice [98].

TB vaccine development would be improved by widening TB vaccine research through expanding our current knowledge of immune correlates of protection conferred by Human Leukocyte Antigen (HLA) type I and II restricted antigen
presentation to unconventional T cells. In this regard, donor unrestricted T-cells (DURST) are activated by *M. tb* antigens presented by dendritic cells and macrophages through restriction molecule ligands such as CD1 molecules [99], major histocompatibility complex class-I related protein 1 (MR1) [100], and HLA-E [101, 102]. Exploring DURST for TB vaccines may contribute to protective immunity, and offer a complementary path to explore alternatives to classical T-cell immunity, although the duration and stability of such protective responses needs further investigation [103].

Other lines of research to improve TB vaccination are directed towards addressing mucosal immunization and alternative routes of TB vaccination. In this context, inhalable TB vaccines offer the potential to mimic the natural route of *M. tb* infection and provide durable mucosal immune responses which may be critical for *M. tb* control [104, 105]. In this regard, intranasal administration of whole cell inactivated MTBVAC HK vaccine in mice, improved the protective efficacy of mice previously vaccinated with subcutaneous BCG, by triggering mucosal secretory immunoglobulins [106]. In addition, pre-exposure of BCG with human lung mucosa followed by subcutaneous vaccination increased BCG protection against *M. tb* infection, indicating that early events happening in the alveolar space may contribute to BCG efficacy [107]. Indeed, optimizing the design of polymeric nanocapsules for intranasal vaccination have the potential to increase immune responses against *M. tb* infection [108]. Mucosal delivery and BCG delipidation strategies are also currently being investigated [109]. In this regard, a selective chemical treatment of BCG to remove inflammatory lipids from the BCG bacterial cell surface increased protection, relative to conventional BCG, against *M. tb* challenge in mice after intranasal vaccination [107].

Additionally, the fields of nanovaccines and mRNA vaccination have emerged as powerful tools to improve TB vaccine efficacy and delivery. Nanovaccines refer to the science of vaccination based on nanoparticles, which include several types of materials within the range of 10 to 1000 nm such as liposomes, dendrimers, micelles, carbon nanotubes, and metallic NPs that can be used for vaccine delivery. Several advantages of nanovaccines vs. traditional vaccines are improved stability in blood, no need to maintain the cold chain, and the ability to create active targeting to specific cells or tissues (e.g. using monoclonal antibody coated nanoparticles) [110]. Indeed, a study involving a fusion protein of *M. tb* ESAT-6 (6 kDa early secretory antigenic target) and CFP-10 (10 kDa culture filtrate protein) absorbed into a polymeric nanocapsule using a Toll-like receptor-7 (TLR-7) agonist as a coadjuvant, showed mucosal immunization in mice after intranasal challenge [108]. Other strategies used with other pathogens have the potential to be extrapolated for immunization against TB. For example, a polyanhydride-based nanovaccine encapsulating mycobacterial antigens of *M. paratuberculosis* showed development of protective immune responses against Johnne’s disease in a mice model [111].

Another potential strategy is mRNA vaccination, which is an emerging tool with the potential to replace traditional vaccinology approaches and is currently on the front edge of vaccine development strategies for the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection [112]. Early studies in the 90s showed that direct DNA and RNA injection in animal models led to in vivo expression of proteins encoded by the injected nucleic acids. Since then, increased mRNA stability, improved delivery, and protein expression, have fuelled this dynamic research field into recent RNA vaccine delivery approaches such as self-amplifying RNA vaccines [113]. Indeed, a single dose of naked mRNA vaccine with Hsp65 protein from *M. leprae* delivered intranasally in mice showed specific correlates of immune protection through IL-10 and TNF production [114]. An investigational subunit vaccine (ID93 in phase 2b clinical trials) against TB has also been recently adapted into a self replicating mRNA molecule formulated into a nanostructured lipid carrier, with the finality to assess the magnitude of the immune response generated compared to its protein based formulation [115].

Finally, controlled human infection models (CHIMs) are used in infectious disease vaccinology studies for pathogens such as malaria, influenza and dengue virus, and these may also be useful for TB vaccine development. Even though *M. tb* cannot be used to challenge humans, alternative CHIMs using BCG might provide a better understanding on host immunopathogenesis responses and can be applied to complement preclinical animal studies for vaccine selection and development [116]. In this regard, intradermal BCG challenge studies, (as a surrogate for human *M. tb* challenge), in healthy individuals have shown that those previously BCG-vaccinated had some degree of protective immunity to BCG [117]. This model has been optimized showing that the CHIM BCG challenge dose affects the sensitivity of this model [118]. Moreover, bronchoschopic instillation of live BCG and *M. tb* purified protein derivative (PPD) in a CHIM study in South Africa with uninfected participants allowed to assess the feasibility and safety of this model providing the foundation for future advances in TB immunopathogenesis, biosignatures of TB risk and better models to evaluate vaccine efficacy [119].

The current COVID-19 pandemic has brought attention to the potential use of BCG in protecting from SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 disease due to BCG protection against viral pathogens through trained immunity, including heterologus lymphocyte activation
innate immune memory, and enhanced cytokine production [120, 121]. Nowadays, the effectiveness of BCG against COVID-19 is unknown and results from ongoing randomised control trials will bring clarity to this key question [122].

Concluding Remarks

The End TB strategy depends on current developments in POC TB diagnostics to achieve enhanced sensitivity and specificity and a timely and expanded use. As we target a TB elimination phase, we envision a full array of complementary TB screening assays and algorithms to be implemented depending on the type of health care setting [9]. Conversely, recent technological advances and achievements provide optimism towards the goal of developing effective TB vaccines, even though, one vaccine approach might not fit to all, and different approaches with different types of vaccines may be a feasible solution to achieve a sustainable mass scale vaccination. A critical issue will be how to engage multisectoral partnerships, from national to districtal governancies, in order to strenghen human resources to deliver and properly implement TB vaccines and diagnostics strategies in an affordable manner with no costs for TB patients, and little for health systems, on the way to achieve social protection and universal health coverage.

In this context, the achievement of the SDGs may not be possible under the current models of governance. While SDGs recognize that contribution of structural factors such as poverty, malnutrition, and lack of access to education are critical to health outcomes, they stop short of addressing policies and trade agreements that have contributed to widening economic and social inequities inter- and intra-nationally. To truly close this gap, SDGs may need to address issues such as the neo-colonial monopolization of south equatorial natural resources by the North for their own profit; enforcement of intellectual property laws not only to protect financial interests of inventors/investors, but also allowing competitive costs to avoid limiting access to life-saving technologies to the general public; and implement trade and advertising policies that place downward pressure on the prices of fast food, alcohol, and tobacco globally, that precipitate the double burden of malnutrition and explosion of non-communicable diseases in low-income populations. Though the development of improved diagnostics and an effective vaccine are critical in the fight to eradicate TB, it is also important to understand the political and economic frameworks under which social inequalities, and thus health inequalities, are allowed to thrive.
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