Case report: Dobutamine stress intracoronary physiology and imaging to examine the functional and dynamic properties of an apparent malignant intra-arterial right coronary artery
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Background
We present a case concerning a 64-year-old female with complaints of palpitations, chest pain, and an anomalous right coronary artery (RCA) from the opposite sinus (R-ACAOS) with a suspected malignant trajectory on computed tomography. She was referred to our clinic for a second opinion to re-assess the suggested treatment of coronary surgery.

Case summary
A coronary angiogram was performed demonstrating a RCA with a tapered ostium typical for an inter-arterial course. Dobutamine and adenosine stress test during simultaneous intracoronary flow, pressure, and ultrasound assessment, was performed to determine the functional significance. After 120 mcg adenosine, intracoronary baseline flow velocity increased from 14 cm/s to a peak flow velocity of 37 cm/s, demonstrating a sufficient coronary flow velocity reserve (CFVR) of 2.6. No intracoronary pressure drop during maximal hyperaemia was found. After maximum dobutamine stress, CFVR was measured 2.5. Fractional flow reserve measured 0.99. Cross-sectional area measurement through intravascular ultrasound demonstrated a diameter reduction from 14.6 mm² to 8.5 mm². Therefore, we concluded this aberrant trajectory was not of any functional relevance and should be considered non-malignant.

Discussion
There are several anatomic coronary anomalies which may contribute to coronary compression during exercise and are therefore correlated with sudden cardiac death. Right coronary artery from the opposite sinus is correlated with a low mortality rate of 0.2% in comparison to left-ACAOS at 6.3% over 20 years in participants of competitive sport. Therefore, strong evidence of ischaemia must be present before opting for surgery. Our pragmatic approach provided in our opinion enough evidence for a conservative treatment strategy.
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Learning points

(1) The combination of dobutamine stress testing in combination with intravascular ultrasound and fractional flow reserve/coronary flow reserve is a practical way to prove or disprove a malignant trajectory of a coronary anomaly.

(2) Careful evaluation of haemodynamic significance in coronary artery anomalies is essential for setting out a treatment strategy.

Introduction

Currently, there is no gold standard for the assessment of the hemodynamic significance of an anomalous coronary artery.1 In this case report, we describe the use of stenosis-specific intracoronary hemodynamic parameters; coronary flow velocity reserve (CFVR), fractional flow reserve (FFR), and intravascular imaging, to unravel the potential pathophysiological mechanism of an apparent malignant intra-arterial right coronary artery (RCA).

Timeline

| Date       | Location   | Intervention/examination |
|------------|------------|--------------------------|
| January 2019 | Regional hospital | General practitioner refers patient to cardiologist on outpatient basis with symptoms of palpitations and chest pain. Echocardiography, computed tomography, and coronary angiography are performed demonstrating an anomalous right coronary artery from the opposite sinus. |
| March 2019  | Regional hospital | Heart-team advice for coronary surgery. |
| July 2019  | Regional hospital | Referred to our clinic for a second opinion |
| July 2019  | Our clinic | Second opinion heart-team meeting. Advice for dobutamine stress examination in combination with fractional flow reserve, coronary flow velocity reserve and intravascular ultrasound. |
| August 2019 | Our clinic | Clinical examination by interventional cardiologist |
| September 2019 | Our clinic | Invasive coronary angiography with flow measurements. |

Case presentation

A 64-year-old female was referred to our clinic for a second opinion to re-assess the suggested treatment of an anomalous RCA from the opposite sinus (R-ACAOS). Her medical history included asthma, hypertension, hypercholesterolaemia, and nicotine use. Relevant medication included amiodipine for hypertension treatment. She consulted her local cardiologist on an outpatient basis with complaints of palpitations and chest pain. Echocardiography, computed tomography, and coronary angiography are performed demonstrating an anomalous right coronary artery from the opposite sinus. Furthermore, there were no signs of obstructive atherosclerotic coronary artery disease. Coronary artery bypass grafting was advised in a multidisciplinary team meeting with cardiothoracic surgeons in the referring hospital. She was referred to our heart team for a second opinion at the patient’s request. A dobutamine and adenosine stress test, during simultaneously intracoronary flow, pressure, and ultrasound assessment, was proposed to determine its functional significance.

Cardiac catheterization was performed following standard procedure through the radial approach. Coronary angiogram showed a slit like and tapered proximal course. After a bolus of nitroglycerine (200 mcg), intracoronary pressure and flow velocity were assessed during baseline and maximum hyperaemia induced by a bolus of 120 mcg adenosine intracoronary (iC). Baseline flow velocity increased from 14 cm/s to a maximum peak flow velocity of 37 cm/s. Moreover, normal flow waveforms were preserved during both diastole and systole. A sufficient coronary flow velocity reserve (CFVR) of 2.6 was observed, which was above the cut-off value of 2.0 for myocardial ischemia.2 There was no intracoronary pressure drop during maximal hyperaemia. Figure 2 demonstrates a coronary angiography of the RCA from a left anterior oblique view. The arrow indicates the tip of a ‘flipped’ Combowire (Combowire XT, Volcano/Philips Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA) stabilizing itself against the RCA intima to obtain stable retrograde Doppler flow velocity signal during dobutamine stress tests.

Thereafter, a dobutamine-atropine stress protocol was followed starting dobutamine 10 μg/kg/min continuous intravenous infusion of 3 min intervals before increasing the dose by 10 μg/kg/min. After a stepwise dosage increase up to 40 μg/kg/min 0.5 mg atropine was administered. Normal diastolic and systolic flow patterns were observed. CFVR after maximum dobutamine stress measured 2.5. Figure 3 demonstrates the flow measurements. The derived
fractional flow reserve (FFR) and CFVR were within their normal values used for discriminating for myocardial ischaemia; ie FFR was 0.99 (normal >0.80) and CFVR was 2.5 (normal >2.0). Cross-sectional area (CSA) measurement through intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) (Eagle eye, Volcano/Philips Corporation, San Diego, CA, USA) on the suspected malignant area showed a CSA of 14.6 mm² (Figure 4A). Cross-sectional area decreased to 8.5 mm² during maximum dobutamine stress (Figure 4B). During the test, the patient experienced no symptoms of angina. Fractional flow reserve, CFVR, and CSA remained within normal ranges, even after chemically induced myocardial stress using dobutamine. Therefore, we concluded this aberrant trajectory of the RCA was not of any functional relevance and should be considered as non-malignant. Cardiac surgery was waived and our patient was without complaints or adverse events after 1 year of follow-up.

Discussion

Single coronary artery anomaly is a rare condition with an estimated prevalence of less than 0.2% (left sided 0.03% and right sided 0.23%) in the general population.4,5 Usually, patients remain asymptomatic and its discovery will be incidental. However, some patients present with chest pain, syncope, or arrhythmic complaints like our patient. It’s hypothesized that compression of a coronary artery in between surrounding bigger arteries correlates with sudden cardiac death (SCD), mainly during strenuous sports. Other pathophysiological mechanisms may include compression of a slit-like orifice due to aortic distension during exercise, the coexistence of an ostial ridge, acute angulation of the aberrant coronary causing a kink during exercise, spasm due to mechanical stress, hypoplasia leading to lumen narrowing, or a combination of all.6 The calculated absolute risk of sudden cardiac death (SCD) in this adult, the non-athletic population is still low. A mortality rate of 6.3% over a period of 20 years was reported in patients with left-sided coronary anomalies which participated in competitive sport. However, a mortality rate of 0.2% was found in another case series of R-ACAOS.7 Left-ACAOS are reported to have a 20 times higher risk of SCD.6 Therefore, different treatment strategies are generally being followed for left-sided
anomalies. A conservative approach in right-sided anomalies is mainly followed in the absence of myocardial ischaemia.8

Coronary surgery using the ‘unroofing’ technique is the general and accepted interventional treatment. In this ‘unroofing’ technique the outer part of the wall of between the aorta and the anomalous coronary will be removed. However, randomized trials to prove the superiority of any surgical methods is absent.

An earlier study used dobutamine stress perfusion CT to assess possible haemodynamic significant coronary obstruction.9 They used a similar dobutamine stress protocol while performing CT perfusion measurements to assess the functional risk of a suspected malignant left coronary artery trajectory. Another study also added volume infusion to improve real-life exercise conditions.10 The impact of cardiac stress on both the inter-arterial route of the RCA and myocardial ischaemia was examined by using dobutamine stress IVUS, FFR, and CFVR. Intravascular ultrasound has already proven to be highly sensitive to measure cross-sectional area of a stenosis. In our patient, the intravascular area decreased from 14.6 mm² to 8.5 mm² showing a dobutamine-induced dynamic coronary vessel diameter. However, all functional measurements (FFR/CFVR) were indicative of the absence of myocardial ischaemia.

Figure 3 Resting: Measurements at rest. Adenosine: 120 mcg bolus. Dobu 10: dobutamine 10 µg/kg/min. Dobu 20: dobutamine 20 µg/kg/min. Dobu 30: dobutamine 30 µg/kg/min. Dobu 40: dobutamine 40 µg/kg/min. Atropine: 0.5 mg bolus. APV: average peak velocity.

Figure 4 (A) An intravascular ultrasound image on the suspected malignant area measuring a luminal area of 14.6 mm². (B) An intravascular ultrasound image on the same area during maximum dobutamine stress. The luminal area decreased to 8.5 mm².
Conclusion and recommendation

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of a case assessing both intracoronary physiology as well as imaging during resting conditions and during a dobutamine stress test to assess the functional properties of a potential malignant intra-arterial coronary artery. Earlier research has already proven the use of IVUS and FFR in risk stratification. We suggest the addition of simultaneous dobutamine stress and IVUS/FFR (and CFVR) might help in the decision-making in patients with coronary anomalies.
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