ISW for the Treatment of Adult Skeletal Class III with Facial Asymmetry: A Case Report Crowding
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Abstract

The objective of this case report was to discuss LH wire (Improved Super-elastic Ti-Ni alloy Wire) for the treatment of adult skeletal class III with facial asymmetry. An adult female aged 27 years 10 months who came to our clinic with a chief complaint of uneven occlusal plane and an obvious asymmetrical face. Clinical examination by functional wax bite reveals a possibility of functional interference around the right upper canine. P-A view check finds slight discrepancy between the right and the left ramus heights. Orthognathic surgery was suggested to the patient but was refused. After midline assumption, the patient was pleased with the outcome and thus orthodontic treatment was performed. After one year of active treatment, a stable occlusion was achieved, yet the asymmetrical appearance was not much improved immediately after the treatment. After a retention period of 6 months by a functional appliance to maintain the intermaxillary relationship and to adjust the musculature tension, a new balanced facial appearance was observed.

Introduction

The treatment of adult skeletal class III with facial asymmetry is usually a challenge to the orthodontist. It's important to differentially diagnose a facial asymmetry case by dental, functional, or skeletal problems. Clinical examination by functional wax bite reveals a possibility of functional interference around the right upper canine. P-A view check finds slight discrepancy between the right and the left ramus heights. Orthognathic surgery was suggested to the patient but was refused. After midline assumption, the patient was pleased with the outcome and thus orthodontic treatment was performed.

History and Diagnosis

An adult female who was aged 27 years 10 months who came to our clinic with a chief complaint of uneven occlusal plane and an obvious asymmetrical face. Her lateral profile was concave and the frontal view showed facial asymmetry (Figure 1). Her occlusion showed Class III molar and Class I canine relationships over right side and Class I canine relationship over left side. Her oral hygiene and gingival conditions were poor. #36 missing, #37 large decay, #46 proximal caries were noted (Figures 2 & 3). Clinical examination by functional wax bite reveals a possibility of functional interference around the right upper canine. The cephalometric analysis showed a skeletal class III jaw relationships (SNA:81.4o, SNB:87.3o, ANB:-5.9o) and dental compensation (U-1 to FH plane : 131.8o, L-1 to mandibular plane: 84.5o). P-A (postero-anterior) cephalometric radiograph showed slight discrepancy between the right and the left ramus heights (Figures 4-6) (Table 1).
Figure 2: Intraoral photos before active treatment.

Figure 3: Pretreatment lateral and PA (postero-anterior) cephalometric radiographs.

Figure 4: Pretreatment panoramic radiographs.

Figure 5: Facial photos after active treatment.

Figure 6: Intraoral photos after active treatment.
Table 1: Polygon before active treatment.

| Value       | Mean | SD  |
|-------------|------|-----|
| Facial angle| 96.3 | 5.05|
| Occlusal plane| 2.0 | 2.06|
| Maxillary plane| 2.6 | 3.03|
| Hard palate| 32.9 | 4.02|
| Soft palate| 34.6 | 4.47|
| V-line| 81.1 | 6.39|
| IMPA| 86.6 | 4.35|
| U1 to U3 angle| 13.8 | 3.03|
| U1 to U3 plane| 133.7 | 2.53|
| IMPA| 82.9 | 4.61|
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Treatment Objectives

Our treatment objectives were
i. To correct uneven occlusal plane and remove functional interference
ii. To improve facial profile
iii. To establish proper overbite, overjet and arch coordination
iv. To establish individualized occlusion

Treatment Progress

Treatment was started from the maxillary arch. 0.018-inch readjusted edgewise appliances was bonded, and 0.016 X 0.022-inch L&H Titan Wire was used for initial leveling. L&H Titan Wire expansion arch (Figure 7) was added over #12 to #15 area to resolve lateral cross bite and functional interference over right upper canine. The open coiled spring was used between #25 and #27 to create space for #26 alignment. Shortly after lateral cross bite was corrected, mandibular arch was bonded. Intermaxillary elastics were used to adjust the jaw relationships and midline correction. After 10 months of active treatment, LH MEAW technique (Figure 8) was added to correct canted occlusion. After 13 months of active treatment, a functional appliance (FKO) was adopted as the retainer (Figure 9).

Discussion

The patient had skeletal class III malocclusion and facial asymmetry, orthognathic surgery LH MEAW was used to correct canted occlusion. LH wire with tip-back bends was added from canine to 2nd molar at upper left and lower right quadrants to correct canted occlusion. When we used LH wire with tip-back
bends, we could expect upright and intrusion effect over posterior teeth and to correct uneven occlusion. Concerning retention strategy for a facial asymmetry case, in order to maintain a fixed intermaxillary relationship and to successfully relieve musculature tension, we adopted a functional appliance to keep a rigid and unchanged upper and lower jaw position. Although camouflage treatment may be available over some patients, we have to pay attention to their musculature tension to prevent the relapse.