Prebiotic effect of porang oligo-glucomannan using fecal batch culture fermentation
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Abstract
Porang glucomannan is a polysaccharide extracted from Amorphophallus oncophylos and its degradation product is porang oligo-glucomannan (POG). It contains glucomannan, which has been used as an emulsifier, thickener, or as a nutritional supplement to counter many diseases. While it is believed to have health benefits, there are only limited studies exploring this aspect of porang glucomannan (PGM). It was hydrolyzed using β-mannanase to obtain POG under optimal conditions: reaction temperature of 37 °C, reaction time of 4 h, pH 5.5 and E/S of 1:1,000 (w/w). The study aimed to evaluate the fermented POG, which might also generate short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) and to estimate the prebiotic activity score of POG fermented in vitro. The results show that SCFA profiles of POG fermentation gave a high acetic acid concentration (32.64 mM) and butyric acid (6.68 mM) at 12 h, having butyrogenic effects after a rapid fermentation. Mostly the beneficial bacteria grew faster on POG and KOG (konjac oligo-glucomannan) than on PGM or control at the beginning of incubation. Among the substrates screened, POG showed a highly positive prebiotic index of 10.29, increasing bifidobacteria and lactobacilli but reducing bacteroides population. So, POG is a candidate prebiotic since it has bifidogenic, butyrogenicity, and fermentability.
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Practical Application: POG ingredient has low viscosity compared to a native porang glucomannan (PGM) thus it is excellent supplemented in functional drinks and dairy products.

1 Introduction
Porang is native plant in Indonesia and grows in forest areas of East Java (Madiun, Bojonegoro, Nganjuk, and Ngawi), which is a region with high porang production potential in Indonesia (Budi et al., 2018). Porang tuber has been reported as a source of glucomannan, which can be extracted from porang flour by suspending in water at 55 °C and coagulating glucomannan using ethanol (Harmayani et al., 2014). They found that porang glucomannan supplementation can hinder the growth of E. coli by enhancing SCFA production thus decrease the pH to suppress pathogens. SCFA are mainly metabolites from carbohydrate fermentation by microbial metabolism. Acidity of colonic environment influences for manipulating microbial composition selectively by lowering pH of colonic content in the human colon resulted in suppression of harmful bacteria i.e., pathogens which affect to human health (Scott et al., 2012). The health properties of glucomannan have been investigated as having prebiotic potential, with applications in health foods and pharmaceuticals (Behera & Ray, 2016) by inhibiting pathogens, stimulating immunity, reducing blood lipid levels, reducing insulin resistance, influencing brain function, providing energy and mineral bioavailability (Gibson et al., 2017).

SCFA production to provide energy for epithelial cells and improve the colon health (Donohoe et al., 2011). As acetic acid is primarily SCFA that can be absorbed and transported directly into systemic circulation for use in lipogenesis, while propionate is transported to the liver for use in gluconeogenesis (Sossai, 2012). Although not only bifidobacteria is acetic acid producer, but most anaerobes in the colon produce acetic acid which against epithelial cell infection (Tedelind et al., 2007). An important SCFA for gut health is butyric acid that has been reported as a major energy source for colonocytes, and it is produced by Faecalibacterium sp. and Eubacterium sp. (Scott et al., 2008; Flint et al., 2012). Previous studies have demonstrated that glucomannan fermentation either in vitro or in vivo produces SCFA (acetic acid, propionic acid and butyric acid) (Chen et al., 2008; Connolly et al., 2010; Harmayani et al., 2014). But the hydrolyzed glucomannan with a lower molecular weight is more selective in enhanced butyrate production and increase of desirable bacteria (Ariestanti et al., 2019).

Prebiotic is a substrate that is selectively utilized by host microorganisms conferring a health benefit (Gibson et al., 2017). Based on this, it is possible to degrade porang glucomannan by enzymatic hydrolysis to small compounds i.e., oligo-glucomannan that might be prebiotics, with ability to selectively stimulate the growth of probiotic bacteria. Actually, PGM is a prebiotic candidate can resist digestion in the upper gut, so it can pass through into the colon and be fermented by beneficial bacteria such as Bifidobacterium or Lactobacillus (Connolly et al., 2010). So far, there is limited information on porang glucomannan potential as regards its health benefits from supporting selectively
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the growth of bacterial populations. Particularly stimulating on growth of bifidobacteria so it is claimed as bifidogenic effect and generating SCFA, especially butyric acid so it is claimed as butyrogenic effect. A colonic system using pH-controlled batch culture fermentation with human fecal slurries was employed to evaluate the bacterial growth modulation of mainly beneficial bacteria by fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), and SCFA production was investigated by gas chromatography. Moreover, to assess prebiotic effects of POG, KOG and PGM, microbial populations were determined to assess the prebiotic activity by calculating of prebiotic index (PI).

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Materials

Porang oligo-glucomannan (POG) was obtained from previous studied by Anggela et al. (2020). Their process was started by suspension of PGM flour in sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5). Addition of β-mannanase to obtain E/S of 1:1.000 (w/w), incubated for 4 h at 37 °C then boiled samples for inactivating enzyme, and separated the POG by centrifugation. The compositions of POG were 99.45% oligosaccharide content with the degree of polymerization (DP) of 3. Porang glucosamannan (PGM) was obtained from Agricultural Faculty, Universitas Gadjah Mada (Yogyakarta, Indonesia). The composition of PGM was 96.12% indigestible carbohydrates which mainly mannan polysaccharide (Harmayani et al., 2014). Konjac oligo-glucosamannan (KOG) was obtained from the previous study of Ariestanti et al. (2019) as a comparative sample. The composition of KOG was 62.80% oligosaccharide (average DP of 8) and 37.20% polysaccharide. The rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes including Bif164, Lab158, Chis150, Bac303, and Eub338 used for fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich.

2.2 Methods

Collection and preparation of fecal slurry

The fecal samples placed specific criteria on the volunteers. Inclusion criteria were healthy subjects with age range from 25 to 40 years old. They had been on a normal diet. Exclusion criteria were neither taking any antibiotics nor medicines 3 months before donating the feces, as well as having no surgery or a history of any gastrointestinal disorder. The fresh feces were collected from 4 volunteers to prepare fecal slurry for use in the batch culture system. Fecal slurry was prepared by diluting fresh feces with 1:10 (w/v) in sterile 0.1 M phosphate buffer saline (PBS; 8 g/l NaCl, 0.2 g/l KCl, 1.44 g/l NaHPO₄, and 0.24 g/l KH₂PO₄) at pH 7.4. Then, it was homogenized by using a blender (Seward, West Sussex, UK) for 2 min at 350 paddle-beats per min, and the slurry was filtered to a Stomacher bag with a strainer before inoculation into the vessel (Plongbunjong et al., 2017).

Fecal batch culture fermentation

The sterile vessels (100 mL maximum volume) were prepared and filled with 90 mL sterile basal medium by aseptic technique. The basal medium was sterilized at 121 °C for 15 min. The basal medium contained (per liter): peptone water 0.9 g, yeast extract 0.9 g, NaCl 0.045 g, K HPO₄ 0.018 g, KH₂PO₄ 0.018 g, MgSO₄ 7H₂O 0.0045 g, CaCl₂ 6H₂O 0.0045 g, NaHCO₃ 0.9 g, L-cysteine. HCl 0.225 g, bile salt 0.225 g, Tween 80 0.9 mL, vitamin K 4.5 μL, hemin 0.0225 g, and resazurin 0.025%. The fecal fermentation was run in a batch culture system consisting of glass vessels connected to a circulating water bath controlled at 37 °C. The basal media in the vessel was flushed with N₂ gas overnight to maintain anaerobic conditions before fecal slurry and sample addition. The pH of culture was controlled within the range 6.6-6.8 by pH controllers fed with either 0.1 M NaOH nor 0.1 M HCl. Each vessel was inoculated with 10 mL fecal slurry into 90 mL basal media and then one gram of sample (POG, KOG or PGM) was added into each vessel to reach a final concentration of 1% (w/w). The vessel contained basal media and fecal slurry without sample addition was used as a negative control. Samples (5 mL) were taken from each vessel at 0, 12, 24 and 48 h fermentation for enumeration of gut microbiota and fecal slurry.

Gut microbiota determination by Fluorescent In Situ Hybridization (FISH)

Determining bacterial populations was done by FISH analysis using 16S rRNA oligonucleotide probes, with sampling at four timepoints during fermentation. The probe entered the cells and it was hybridized in the ribosome at a conserved region of the bacterial 16S rRNA (Hugenholtz et al., 2002). Each sample of a batch culture (375 μL) was mixed and fixed overnight in cold 4% paraformaldehyde (pH 7.2) in the ratio 1:3 of sample to 4% paraformaldehyde (v/v). These samples were then centrifuged at 13,000 xg for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was washed and then resuspended in 1 mL phosphate buffered saline of 7.4 pH and centrifuged at 13,000 xg for 20 min at 4 °C. Adding PBS and centrifugation were done in triplicates and the remaining pellets were resuspended in 150 μL PBS, adding 150 μL of 96% (v/v) ethanol and stored at -20 °C for up to 3 months.

The oligonucleotide probes used were commercially synthesized and labeled with the fluorescent dye Cy3 (Sigma Aldrich Co. Ltd, UK). For hybridization, 20 μL of diluted sample was spread properly on a Teflon poly-L-lysine coated six-well microscope slide (Tekdon Inc, Myakka City, Florida, USA). The samples on the slide were dried at 46-50 °C for 15 min for each concentration. The hybridization buffer (mixed probe and hybridization buffer 1:9, v/v) was added onto slide samples. For Lab158 samples were mixed with 20 μL lysozyme at room temperature for 15 min before washing briefly for 30 s in water and dehydrating in an ethanol series. Then, slides were placed on hybridization oven for 4 h (Boekel Scientific InSlide Out Slide Hybridizer 241000, Pennsylvania, US). For the washing step, slides were placed in 50 mL of washing buffer containing 0.9 M NaCl, 0.02 M Tris/HCl (pH 8.0), 0.005 M ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) solution (pH 8.0), warmed to the appropriate temperature for each probe.

They were then briefly washed (30 s) in cold water and under a stream of compressed air. 10 μL antifade reagent (Invitrogen,
Thermo Fisher Scientific, Basingstoke, UK) was added to each well, and a cover was applied. Slides were stored in a dark room until cell counting under a Nikon E400 Eclipse microscope (Nikon, London, UK) for 15 randomized views from each well (Wichienchot et al., 2017) done by image analysis software NIS-Elements BR 3.00, SP6 (Nikon Instruments, Inc, New York, USA).

Prebiotic calculation

Prebiotic activity can be assessed from the prebiotic index (PI). Calculation of PI (Palframan et al., 2002) is as follows (Equation 1):

\[
PI = \frac{\text{Bif}}{\text{Total}} + \frac{\text{Lac}}{\text{Total}} - \frac{\text{Bac}}{\text{Total}} - \frac{\text{Clos}}{\text{Total}} \tag{1}
\]

where Bif is the number of bifidobacteria, Lac is the number of lactobacilli, Bac is the number of bacteroides, and Clos is the number of clostridia. The PI is calculated by counting the cell number of each group of gut microbiota at 0, 24 or 48 h fermentation divided by the cell number of eubacteria (total bacteria) at 0, 24 or 48 h fermentation.

Short chain fatty acids analysis by GC-FID

A sample (1125 μL) from each treatment was centrifuged at 13,000 x g, 4°C for 5 min. The supernatant was collected and filtered through a membrane nylon filter (0.22 mm). Aliquots of the filtered supernatant were added with acetone in the ratio 1:1. External standards used to produce the standard curves were acetate, propionate, and butyrate. SCFA production was measured by gas chromatography (GC) coupled with flame ionization detector (FID). A fused-silica capillary column (HP.INNOWAX 19091N-1131, J&W Scientific, Agilent Technologies Inc., USA) of 30 m x 0.32 mm LD coated with 0.25 μM thick film was used. The initial oven temperature was at 60°C and was increased to 100°C (2 min) at 4°C/min rate, and finally to 230°C (5 min) at 15°C/min rate.

Helium gas flow was constant at 1.5 mL/min. Glass wool was inserted into the glass liner of the splitless injection port. FID and injection port were heated to 250°C. Flow rates of hydrogen, air, and nitrogen as a make-up gas were 30, 300, and 25 mL/min, respectively. Total volume injected for GC analysis was 1 μL. Each analysis run took around 25.7 min. Data handling was carried out with Chemstation software. Calibration curves were constructed using a standard SCFA solution by comparing the peak areas (mAU*s) for samples at similar retention times. All samples were analyzed in duplicate, whereas SCFA standards of acetic acid, propionic acid and butyric acid were used to determine the concentration of SCFAs in the samples.

Statistical analysis

All experiments were carried out at least in duplicate and the results are expressed as mean and standard deviation. To determine if there were differences in the growth of microorganisms from fifteen randomized views an analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed using SPSS (Statistical Software, Inc., Chicago, IL), version 21.0, with \( p < 0.05 \) required for statistical significance and post hoc analysis with Duncan’s test.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Gut microbiota population

All the samples tested show selective fermentation with greater increases in bifidobacteria than in the other strains of non-beneficial bacteria. There was selective modulation of the bacterial growth on each substrate. The bacterial population of bifidobacteria is shown in Figure 1. Significant increase in bifidobacterial population was observed on POG (10.06 ± 0.06 log cell/mL) and KOG (9.99 ± 0.09 log/mL) after 12 h fermentation, and on PGM (9.12 ± 0.05 log cell/mL) after 24 h. However, the number of bifidobacteria stayed elevated until the end of incubation (48 h), particularly on POG and KOG. Thus, these might have bifidogenicity selectively stimulating the growth of bifidobacteria. According to Yang et al. (2017) KOG, an enzymatic hydrolys product, has prebiotic effect supporting the growth of desirable bacteria, such as bifidobacteria. In this current study POG and KOG addition led to bifidobacteria becoming the numerically predominant genus in batch culture fermentation. However, bifidobacteria hydrolyze actively degrading the polysaccharides in the later parts of fermentation (Wichienchot et al., 2006). So, in this study PGM successfully stimulated the growth of bifidobacteria, increasingly after 24 h. Actually, the substances with low molecular weight oligosaccharides can be more easily fermented by specific enteric microbiota, especially bifidobacteria and lactobacilli, which demonstrated the greatest bifidogenic effect (Wichienchot et al., 2006). Konjac oligo-glucomannan has been reported on bifidogenic effect and amelioration of gut dysbiosis and gastrointestinal motility in rats (Hayeeawaema et al., 2020).

The lactobacilli population (Figure 1b) increased significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) after 12 h (9.81 ± 0.05 log cell/mL) for POG and (9.71 ± 0.02 log cell/mL) for KOG. The PGM fermentation showed a similar high population of lactobacilli (9.68 ± 0.03 log cell/mL) still after 48 h. These results indicate that lactobacilli could be more stimulated by the short POG or KOG oligosaccharides. In addition, PGM could be metabolized by lactobacilli over an extended period. According to Harmayani et al. (2014) PGM has little impact on the growth of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli. This may due to PGM had high molecular weight and a strong hydrogen bonding formed during thermal processing to produce PGM flour. The substrate with high molecular weight and complex molecule may retard on fermentability by gut microbiota. However, actually glucomannan can be consumed by beneficial colonic bacteria to produce oligosaccharides or monosaccharides (Pokusaeva et al., 2011).

The bacteroides population (Figure 1c) decreased significantly \( (p < 0.05) \) after 12 h (9.17 ± 0.04 log cell/mL, KOG and 9.02 ± 0.04 log cell/mL, POG) and kept decreasing gradually with fecal fermentation. POG substrate reduced the growth of bacteroides. On the other hand, a significant \( (p < 0.05) \) decrease of bacteroides population on PGM was observed at 24 h of fermentation (9.26 ± 0.03 log cell/mL). Harmayani et al. (2014) reported that the prebiotic activity of PGM in vivo suppressed the growth of E. coli. These trends of bacteroides population were similar with clostridia population, whilst KOG had more potential to suppress clostridia than POG. The growth of clostridia is summarized in Figure 1d. A previous study on hydrolyzed glucomannan showed
more inhibition of clostridia population than with prebiotic inulin (Connolly et al., 2010).

In Figure 1e showing the effect of each substrate on eubacteria, the highest population was in POG fermentation (10.50 ± 0.02 log cell/mL) with significantly difference ($p < 0.05$) to other substrates. The increase in the population of beneficial bacteria is larger than the decrease in pathogen bacterial populations, so the total bacterial count increases. Connolly et al. (2010) reported that the total bacterial population of glucomannan hydrolysate and prebiotic inulin fermentation did not differ significantly ($p > 0.05$).

### 3.2 Prebiotic activity

Prebiotic index (PI) indicates the growth of microorganism with stimulation by the tested substrates when compared to control substrate (Palframan et al., 2002). It represents both growth stimulation of positive bacteria (bifidobacteria and lactobacilli) and of negative bacteria (bacteroides and clostridia). PI obtained for the different substrates is shown in Table 1, and in rank order POG is followed by KOG and PGM with PI values of 10.29, 8.53, and 4.25, respectively. Moreover, POG showed to be a more effective prebiotic than the other substrates, stimulating the growth of beneficial bacteria: particularly on bifidobacteria.

#### Table 1. Prebiotic index (PI) of porang oligo-glucomannan (POG).

| Sample | Prebiotic index (PI) |
|--------|----------------------|
| PGM    | 4.25                 |
| POG    | 10.29                |
| KOG    | 8.53                 |
| Control| 1.31                 |

Figure 1. Gut microbiota populations of (a) bifidobacterial; (b) lactobacilli; (c) bacteroides; (d) clostridia; and (e) eubacteria in batch culture fermentation of porang oligo-glucomannan (POG).
while suppressing the growth of pathogenic bacteria. For a long time, galactooligosaccharides (GOS) have been the best type of prebiotic with the high prebiotic index of 6.68, known as commercial prebiotic, followed by FOS and inulin that also have good prebiotic indexes (Wichienchot et al., 2017). In this current study, the POG would have been competitive with products on the market.

The previous study of Ariestanti et al. (2019) on prebiotic activity of PGM in fecal batch culture showed that it supports a number of beneficial bacteria, especially bifidobacteria. However, they reported that KOG with a lower molecular weight showed more prebiotic potency than either commercial inulin or its native glucomannan. The results in this study showed the ability of hydrolyzed products (POG and KOG) to selectively promote the growth of bifidobacteria.

3.3 Short chain fatty acid production

Short chain fatty acids are the major product of bacterial fermentation from carbohydrates in colon. Table 2 shows the concentrations of SCFAs in POG, KOG, PGM, and control. In this study, acetic acid was the most SCFA produced in all fermentations. According to Ariestanti et al. (2019) and Ríos-Covián et al. (2016) were found that acetic acid is the main SCFA generated during fermentation. However, acetic acid concentration in those studies are lower than this study.

POG showed the most acetic acid production among all of the substrates tested, and together with KOG maintained a high acetate concentration to the end of the fermentation at 48 h. PGM had a significantly higher level (p < 0.05) at 48 h, while the level was increased but no significant (p > 0.05) different during 0-24 h of fecal fermentation.

Regarding propionic production, POG shows an initial increase in fermentation and then constant trend. The increase was significant (p < 0.05) at 12 h for POG and at 24 h for KOG (9.20 mM, 8.72 mM, respectively). According to Wichienchot et al. (2017) propionic acid forms in the beginning of incubation and decreases later. Meanwhile, PGM showed significant increase (p < 0.05) at 48 h of fecal fermentation (7.56 mM). Propionic acid is only produced by specific combinations of substrates and bacterial strains (Morris & Preston, 2016).

On the other hand, butyric acid was produced with similar trend as propionic, with POG and KOG significantly (p < 0.05) produced during initial fecal fermentation (12 h). POG show a significant increase of butyric acid from 3.58 to 6.68 mM within 12 h and kept increase until 48 h. Meanwhile KOG show a significant increase of butyric acid from 6.44 to 7.04 mM at 24 h and kept increase until 48 h. PGM took longer fermentation time (48 h) to see the significant increase of butyric acid.

In addition, POG and KOG as hydrolyzed glucomannans might have potential butyrogenicity with a role for human health. According to Al-Sheraji et al. (2013) butyric acid is the main source of energy for colonocytes. These form a barrier maintaining the epithelial cells by stimulating mucin secretion, antimicrobial peptides, tight-junction protein, boosting immune system and reducing oxidative stress in the colon (Al-Sheraji et al., 2013; Rivière et al., 2016). According to Tester & Al-Ghazzewi (2017) butyrate can be considered most beneficial for colonic health. In addition, the specific role of butyrate in gut health

### Table 2. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) production in fecal batch culture fermentation of porang oligo-glucomannan (POG).

| Sample | SCFA concentration (mM) | Acetate | Propionate | Butyrate | Total SCFA |
|--------|------------------------|---------|------------|----------|------------|
| Control |                        |         |            |          |            |
| 0 h    | 5.65 ± 0.95<sup>a</sup> | 2.08 ± 0.20<sup>b</sup> | 1.61 ± 0.13<sup>b</sup> | 9.34 ± 1.27<sup>b</sup> |
| 12 h   | 7.06 ± 0.57<sup>b</sup> | 2.45 ± 0.07<sup>ab</sup> | 1.73 ± 0.04<sup>ab</sup> | 11.24 ± 0.68<sup>ab</sup> |
| 24 h   | 7.75 ± 0.55<sup>ab</sup> | 2.62 ± 0.17<sup>ab</sup> | 1.76 ± 0.08<sup>ab</sup> | 12.13 ± 0.81<sup>ab</sup> |
| 48 h   | 8.85 ± 0.13<sup>b</sup> | 2.88 ± 0.08<sup>b</sup> | 1.87 ± 0.04<sup>b</sup> | 13.60 ± 0.25<sup>b</sup> |
| POG    |                        |         |            |          |            |
| 0 h    | 32.64 ± 2.88<sup>a</sup> | 5.82 ± 0.42<sup>a</sup> | 3.58 ± 0.14<sup>a</sup> | 42.04 ± 3.45<sup>a</sup> |
| 12 h   | 31.48 ± 2.77<sup>a</sup> | 9.20 ± 0.11<sup>a</sup> | 6.68 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 47.36 ± 2.94<sup>a</sup> |
| 24 h   | 30.16 ± 0.11<sup>a</sup> | 9.16 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 6.92 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 46.24 ± 0.11<sup>a</sup> |
| 48 h   | 29.72 ± 7.64<sup>a</sup> | 9.44 ± 1.13<sup>a</sup> | 7.28 ± 0.68<sup>a</sup> | 46.44 ± 0.94<sup>a</sup> |
| KOG    |                        |         |            |          |            |
| 0 h    | 25.20 ± 0.91<sup>a</sup> | 8.08 ± 0.11<sup>a</sup> | 6.44 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 39.72 ± 0.74<sup>a</sup> |
| 12 h   | 24.28 ± 0.28<sup>a</sup> | 8.12 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 6.64 ± 0.11<sup>a</sup> | 39.04 ± 0.45<sup>a</sup> |
| 24 h   | 27.00 ± 2.09<sup>a</sup> | 8.72 ± 0.34<sup>a</sup> | 7.04 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 42.76 ± 2.66<sup>a</sup> |
| 48 h   | 31.52 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 9.56 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 7.72 ± 0.06<sup>a</sup> | 48.80 ± 0.34<sup>a</sup> |
| PGM    |                        |         |            |          |            |
| 0 h    | 8.80 ± 0.17<sup>a</sup> | 3.92 ± 0.40<sup>a</sup> | 3.04 ± 0.17<sup>a</sup> | 15.76 ± 0.40<sup>a</sup> |
| 12 h   | 13.00 ± 1.81<sup>a</sup> | 4.02 ± 0.37<sup>a</sup> | 2.98 ± 0.08<sup>a</sup> | 20.00 ± 2.26<sup>a</sup> |
| 24 h   | 13.74 ± 1.16<sup>a</sup> | 4.00 ± 0.23<sup>a</sup> | 2.98 ± 0.08<sup>a</sup> | 20.72 ± 1.47<sup>a</sup> |
| 48 h   | 27.08 ± 5.26<sup>a</sup> | 7.56 ± 1.47<sup>a</sup> | 5.30 ± 0.93<sup>a</sup> | 39.94 ± 7.67<sup>a</sup> |

Values are given as mean ± SD of duplicate fermentation; Different letters indicate significant differences (p<0.05) within a column) in the same sample.
Prebiotic oligo-glucomanann in batch culture

by preventing attack of pathogen bacteria to epithelial cell has been reported (Al-Ghazzewi & Tester, 2014).

4 Conclusions
Porang oligo-glucomanann (POG) showed butyrogenericity through elevating SCFA production, especially the butyric acid concentration, more so than the other tested substrates. Despite its bifidogenic effect mainly favoring bifidobacteria it had a tendency to suppress the pathogenic bacteria. The prebiotic index of POG was favorable at 10.29. The butyrogenericity and bifidogenicity of POG indicate it as a candidate functional ingredient to improve human health. POG stimulated the growth of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria. The unique properties of POG make it potentially valuable in many foods as a prebiotic, when it is useful to promote the growth of lactobacilli and bifidobacteria.
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