Creation and robustness of quantized vortices in a dipolar supersolid when crossing the superfluid-to-supersolid transition

Marija Šindik,1,2 Alessio Recati∗,1,3 Santo Maria Roccuzzo,4 Luis Santos1,5 and Sandro Stringari1,3

1INO-CNR BEC Center and Dipartimento di Fisica, Università degli Studi di Trento, 38123 Povo, Italy
2Institute of Physics Belgrade, University of Belgrade, Serbia
3Trento Institute for Fundamental Physics and Applications, INFN, 38123 Trento, Italy
4Kirchhoff-Institut für Physik, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany
5Institut für Theoretische Physik, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Germany

(Dated: October 17, 2022)

Experiments on dipolar Bose-Einstein condensates have recently reported the observation of supersolidity. Although quantized vortices constitute a key probe of superfluidity, their observability in dipolar supersolids is largely prevented by the strong density depletion caused by the formation of droplets. We present a novel approach to the nucleation of vortices and their observation, based on the quenching of the s-wave scattering length across the superfluid-supersolid transition. Starting from a slowly rotating, vortex-free, configuration in the superfluid phase, we predict vortex nucleation as the system enters the supersolid phase, due to the strong reduction of the critical angular velocity in the supersolid. Once a vortex is created, we show that it is robustly preserved when the condensate is brought back to the superfluid phase, where it may be readily observed.

PACS numbers:

Quantized vortices constitute a key hallmark of superfluidity. They are topological defects of the order parameter, and therefore robust with respect to perturbations in the U(1) broken symmetry phase. Ultra-cold gases are an ideal platform for the study of vortices. In these gases, vortices are typically created either by stirring the cloud with a laser, or by rotating a slightly deformed trap. Vortices are detected, after a condensate expansion, by the observation of the density holes corresponding to the vortex cores. Vortices have been observed both in Bose-Einstein condensates (BECs) and in superfluid spin-1/2 Fermi gases. The angular momentum and its quantization in presence of a vortex can be inferred by exploiting the lift in the degeneracy of quadrupole-mode frequencies due to broken time-reversal symmetry, as observed in condensates.

Supersolids constitute a particularly intriguing phase in which superfluidity coexists with a modulated density. Supersolidity has attracted in the last few years a major attention in ultra-cold gases. Experiments on BECs in optical cavities have revealed supersolid-like properties. Condensates with an imposed one-dimensional spin-orbit coupling have been shown to present a supersolid stripe phase. Recent experiments on BECs of magnetic atoms have revealed the creation of supersolids of ultra-dilute droplets maintained by the interplay between attractive mean-field interactions and the effective repulsion induced by quantum fluctuations. Dipolar supersolids have attracted a quickly growing interest, and successful experiments in droplet arrays have studied the phase coherence, the appearance of Goldstone modes in the excitation spectrum, and the peculiar dynamics related to scissors modes. Very recently two dimensional supersolid configurations have been also realised.

Recent theoretical works have investigated quantum vortices in dipolar supersolids. Quantum vortices in a supersolid were first discussed in Ref. in the context of an hypothetical supersolid phase of Helium. There it was shown, in the context of a mean-field Gross-Pitaevskii formalism employing a repulsive soft-core interaction, that vortices may be nucleated in the supersolid by an obstacle. It was suggested as well that vortices could be robust when crossing back and forth the superfluid-to-supersolid transition. A peculiar feature pointed out in Ref. in the case of supersolid dipolar gases is that vortices are, both energetically and dynamically, more favored in the supersolid phase than in the superfluid one. The low-density regions surrounding the droplets of the supersolid phase help in reducing the energetic barrier for a vortex to enter the system, and in pinning the vortices in the interstitials between droplets. Even a very slow rotation of the trapping potential can then trigger the dynamical instability that drives vortex nucleation. However, the direct detection of vortices formed in the interstitials is largely inhibited because, even in the absence of vortices, this region is characterized by a very low density.

In this Letter, we first explore in detail the robustness of vortices in dipolar BECs when crossing the superfluid-supersolid transition, showing that the conservation of angular momentum results in a peculiar dynamic behavior since the value of the angular momentum per particle
associated to a vortex is markedly different in the superfluid and in the supersolid phase. Using the difference in the vortex properties in both phases, we propose a novel dynamic protocol based on the quench of a slowly rotating dipolar condensate from the superfluid into the supersolid phase. A vortex is nucleated in the supersolid due to the strongly reduced critical angular velocity, and a subsequent quench back allows for straightforward vortex imaging in the superfluid phase. Our protocol could provide not only the experimental proof of vortex nucleation in a dipolar supersolid, but also allows for directly probing the modified vortex properties in that phase \[27\], as, e.g., the reduction of the critical angular velocity for vortex nucleation. It has the advantage of avoiding the nucleation of vortices starting from the equilibrium configuration in the supersolid phase, whose implementation is notoriously more difficult due to three-body collisions.

Model. We consider a BEC of atoms with mass \(m\) and magnetic dipole moment \(\mu\) aligned along the \(z\) axis, trapped in a harmonic potential of the form \(V_{\text{ext}}(r) = m \omega^2 z \left(1 - \epsilon \right) r^2 + \left(1 + \epsilon \right) \|r\|^2 + \lambda^2 z^2 \right) / 2\).

At zero temperature the physics of the system is well described by the extended Gross-Pitaevskii equation (eGPE) \[30\] \[31\]:

\[
\frac{i \hbar}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \Psi(r, t)}{\partial t} = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V_{\text{ext}}(r) + g |\Psi(r, t)|^2 \right] \Psi(r, t) + \int d^3r' V_{dd}(r - r') |\Psi(r', t)|^2 + \epsilon |\Psi(r, t)|^4 \Psi(r, t),
\]

where \(g = 4 \pi \hbar^2 a/m > 0\) is the coupling constant fixed by the s-wave scattering length \(a\), and \(V_{dd}(r) = \mu^2 \mu_0^2 \frac{1 - 3 \cos^2 \theta}{|r|^3}\) is the dipole-dipole interaction, with \(\theta\) the angle between \(r\) and the \(z\) axis. The last term in Eq. \[1\] is given by the repulsive Lee-Huang-Yang (LHY) correction induced by quantum fluctuations, with

\[
\gamma = \frac{32 g \hbar^3}{3 \sqrt{\pi}} Re \left[ \int_0^1 du \left( 1 + \epsilon_{dd} (3u^2 - 1) \right)^{5/2} \right],
\]

where \(\epsilon_{dd} = \frac{\mu^2}{3g}\) characterizes the relative strength of the dipolar interaction with respect to the contact one. The eGPE has been systematically employed in the last few years to investigate quantum droplets and supersolids in dipolar BECs \[13\].

For small-enough \(\epsilon_{dd}\), the system behaves as a standard condensate (superfluid phase). By decreasing the scattering length, and hence increasing the value of \(\epsilon_{dd}\), the role of the attractive part of the dipolar force becomes more important, and the LHY term starts playing a crucial role in determining the equilibrium solution. The LHY term ensures the stability of the system against collapse and eventually favors the formation of a periodic structure, which can be regarded as a series of dense droplets connected by a dilute superfluid gas (supersolid phase) \[14\]. A further increase of \(\epsilon_{dd}\) leads to a state where the droplets are independent and mutually incoherent, and the system does not show any extended superfluidity (independent droplet phase) \[28\].

The supersolid phase can host quantized vortices \[27\]. As already anticipated in the Introduction, vortex nucleation is significantly favored by the reduced density in the inter-droplet regions, but vortices nestle in those interstitials, making their experimental observation much more problematic than in the superfluid phase. Below, we first discuss the robustness of vortices when quenching the system across the superfluid-to-supersolid transition. We then exploit such a robustness to design a novel protocol that first allows for a new mechanism for the nucleation of vortices in the supersolid phase and, second, for probing their existence by imaging them in the superfluid phase, where they are more easily detectable, also thanks to the large increase of their core size as compared to condensates with only contact interactions. This second step resembles the procedure used in the pioneering work of Ref. \[3\], where the vortices created in a strongly interacting Fermi gas were imaged by quenching from the BCS to the BEC regime, where their visibility was better ensured after gas expansion. In the case of dipolar gases the procedure is more challenging because the two regimes, supersolid and superfluid, are separated by a first-order phase transition and not connected by a continuous crossover.

Crossing the superfluid-to-supersolid transition. We consider a BEC of \(4 \times 10^4\) \(^{164}\)Dy atoms, confined in an axially symmetrical trap \((\varepsilon = 0)\) with \(\omega_z = 2\pi \times 60\) Hz and \(\lambda = 2\). Under these conditions, the superfluid-to-supersolid transition occurs at the value \(a_{\text{crit}} = 94.6 a_0\) \((a_0\) is the Bohr radius) corresponding to \(\epsilon_{dd} = 1.395\).

Ground states of the system are calculated using imaginary time evolution in the rotating frame, obtained by adding the constraint \(-\Omega L_z\) to the eGPE \[1\], where \(\Omega\) is the angular velocity, and \(L_z\) the \(z\) component of the angular momentum operator \[24\]. Above some critical angular velocity \(\Omega_c\), vortical solutions become energetically favourable. It is important to notice that \(\Omega_c\) is significantly smaller than the one required for the dynamical vortex nucleation \[27\], associated with a quadrupolar instability, as we discuss later.

We first consider a vortex in the superfluid phase, obtained for \(a = 105 a_0 > a_{\text{crit}}\), and \(\Omega = 0.22 \omega_z > \Omega_c\), see Fig. \[1\] (a, i). In the superfluid phase the vortex is characterized by an angular momentum \(\hbar\) per particle. Starting from this ground state configuration \[33\], we ramp down in 100 ms the s-wave scattering length to a value \(a = 94 a_0 < a_{\text{crit}}\), which would correspond at equilibrium to the supersolid phase. Indeed, once the transition is crossed, a strong density modulation emerges on a very short timescale, leading to the formation of droplets. After a certain waiting time the system acquires a configuration close to the ground-state shape with a vortex in the supersolid phase (Fig. \[1\] (a, iii)). It is, however, in-
The angular velocity $\Omega$, for which the vortex becomes energetically favourable, is much smaller than the one in the superfluid phase. In Fig. 1(b,i), we consider a configuration with $a = 94 a_0$ and $\Omega = 0.16 \omega_z$, slightly higher than the critical value $\Omega_c$. The created vortex is characterized by an angular momentum per particle of $0.87 \hbar$. After ramping in 100 ms the scattering length up to $a = 105 a_0$ to reach the superfluid phase, we find that the vortex remains clearly visible (Figs. 1(b,ii) and (b,iii)). Note, however, that the density profile preserves some density modulations, which are the residue of the original droplets characterizing the supersolid phase. Moreover, since the overall angular momentum must be preserved, the larger angular momentum associated with the vortex in the superfluid phase ($\hbar$) is compensated by the rotational motion of the density modulations, and by the occurrence of anti-vortices located near the border of the atomic cloud, as well as, in some cases, by a slight displacement of the vortex core from the center of the trap.

**Protocol for vortex nucleation and detection.** We are now ready to discuss our protocol which combines the favorable nucleation mechanism of quantized vortices exhibited by the supersolid phase with their topological robustness when the supersolid-superfluid phase transition is crossed. Our starting point is a slowly rotating trapped dipolar gas in the superfluid phase ($\hbar$), obtained by a sudden introduction of rotation to the superfluid ground state in a slightly deformed trap in the $xy$-plane, and letting it equilibrate for 200 ms (see Fig. 2(a)). In the laboratory frame this corresponds to choosing a harmonic potential of the form

$$V_{\text{ext}}(t) = \frac{m}{2} \omega_z^2 \left[ (1 - \epsilon)(x \cos(\Omega t) + y \sin(\Omega t))^2 + (1 + \epsilon)(-x \sin(\Omega t) + y \cos(\Omega t))^2 + \lambda^2 z^2 \right]$$

We choose a slightly deformed trap ($\epsilon = 6.6\%$) and an angular velocity ($\Omega = 0.3 \omega_z$) such that the system is unable to nucleate vortices in the superfluid phase, as the quadrupole dynamical instability occurs at $0.45 \omega_z$. The parameters are instead large enough for vortex nucleation once the system enters the supersolid phase. Therefore we reduce the value of the scattering length with a linear ramp in 100 ms down to $a = 94 a_0$. After entering the supersolid phase first droplets are formed (Fig. 2(b)) and, after a while, a vortex is nucleated in the center (Fig. 2(c)). Notice that the time scale for this process is slow in the present simulation. We expect, however, that in a real experimental situation the time scale will be much faster, as a consequence of thermal noise, which is not accounted for in our calculations. When the vortex is formed (Fig. 2(c)) we restore the isotropy of the trap ($\epsilon = 0$) in order to ensure the robustness of the topological configuration associated with the vortex and the conservation of angular momentum. We ramp the scat-
scattering length back to its initial value (following a similar ramp) and after a while (Fig. 2 (d)) the system enters again the superfluid phase. We then recover a very similar configuration as that of Fig. 1 (b, iii).

The same protocol may be employed for the nucleation of more than one vortex when increasing the angular velocity $\Omega$ of the rotating trap. In Fig. 3 we show our results for different angular velocities in our protocol. The upper panel shows the atomic cloud in the supersolid phase right before inverting the ramping of the scattering length. The lower panel depicts the final density distribution after ramping back the scattering length. The case with $\Omega = 0$ is important, since it clearly shows that despite the strong density modulation in the supersolid regime, once moving back into the superfluid no core appears, the final density remains smooth and characterized by a maximum in the center, very similar to the initial equilibrium configuration. By increasing $\Omega$ we eventually observe one vortex nucleated in the center using $\Omega = 0.3 \omega_\perp$ (same as Figs. 2 (c) and (d)), two vortices using $\Omega = 0.35 \omega_\perp$ and three vortices using $\Omega = 0.4 \omega_\perp$. Note that in all cases the vortices are nucleated in the supersolid phase, since the angular velocity is not large enough to create vortices in the superfluid.

Conclusions.— We have studied vortices in a dipolar condensate when crossing the superfluid-to-supersolid transition. We have proposed in particular a novel protocol that should permit under realistic conditions to nucleate and detect quantized vortices in a dipolar supersolid, a major hallmark of superfluidity. The method is based on a controlled ramp of the scattering length across the superfluid-to-supersolid transition, employing the very nature of the supersolid to induce vortex nucleation. Although vortex detection is difficult in the supersolid since vortices gather in regions of very low density, a ramp back into the superfluid permits an easy imaging of the vortex core, even more so than in contact-interacting condensates due to the significantly larger vortex size in a dipolar BEC. Very recently quantized vortices have been actually observed in the superfluid phase of a dipolar gas [32].
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