Patients’ perspectives on the impact of a new COPD diagnosis in the face of multimorbidity: a qualitative study
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BACKGROUND: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), a major cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, often occurs in the presence of comorbidities, which may influence experience and management of the disease. No prior research seems to have gained perspectives of newly diagnosed primary care COPD patients in the context of multimorbidity.

AIMS: This qualitative study aimed to explore the impact of a new diagnosis of COPD in the context of multimorbidity and also sought to gain a better understanding of how patients react to the diagnosis and incorporate it into their lives.

METHODS: Participants were identified from a cohort of primary care patients with multimorbidity recently diagnosed with COPD. Data was collected via semi-structured interviews from nine male and eight female participants. Thematic analysis was performed and the data interpreted from a constructivist perspective.

RESULTS: Five core themes regarding COPD were induced: (i) reaction to diagnosis, (ii) impact on function and health behaviour, (iii) factors influencing self-management capacity, (iv) healthcare utilisation and (v) interplay of comorbidities. Most participants had difficulty recognising the importance of COPD and its long-term implications. For many, the salience of another chronic condition outweighed COPD. Self-management capacity and utilisation of healthcare services were challenged by low prioritisation of COPD among other comorbidities.

CONCLUSIONS: This study provides an insight into how primary care patients feel about being diagnosed with COPD, as well as their prioritisation of the disease in the context of multimorbidity. It highlights the need for tailored education and personalised management incorporating patients’ perspectives in primary care.
as defined by GOLD (Global initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease)), level of education, employment, social support and smoking status. Of the 30 potential participants for the study, 4 were not reachable. Among the remaining 26 approached, 4 were reported to be deceased, 3 declined and 19 consented to participate. There was one participant who dropped out at the beginning of his interview citing personal reasons. Another participant had to be excluded as it was revealed during the interview that he had been diagnosed with COPD before the PELICAN trial.

The final study sample comprised nine male and eight female participants aged between 43 and 84 years. Their demographic characteristics are outlined in Table 1.

Data collection and analysis
Verbal and written consent was obtained from the participants and they were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any time. Due

Figure 1. Recruitment of participants.

| Code | Participant characteristics |
|------|-----------------------------|
| F1   | Female, 48 years, lives alone. Completed some high school, unemployed. COPD GOLD I, 14 months since diagnosis, 3 comorbidities, current smoker. |
| F2   | Female, 62 years, lives alone. Completed some high school, volunteer. COPD GOLD II, 8 months since diagnosis, 3 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| F3   | Female, 43 years, lives with spouse and children. Tertiary education, student. COPD GOLD I, 19 months since diagnosis, 5 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| F4   | Female, 80 years, lives with spouse. Completed some high school, retired. COPD GOLD II, 15 months since diagnosis, 7 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| M5   | Male, 77 years, lives with spouse and child. Vocational training, retired. COPD GOLD I, 20 months since diagnosis, 5 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| M6   | Male, 84 years, lives with grandchild. Tertiary education, retired. COPD GOLD II, 19 months since diagnosis, 4 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| F7   | Male, 60 years, lives with spouse. Tertiary education, retired. COPD GOLD II, 20 months since diagnosis, 5 comorbidities, quit smoking following COPD diagnosis. |
| F8   | Male, 59 years, lives alone. Completed some high school, unemployed. COPD GOLD I, 19 months since diagnosis, 6 comorbidities, quit smoking following COPD diagnosis. |
| F9   | Female, 52 years, lives alone. Completed high school, employed full-time. COPD GOLD I, 24 months since diagnosis, 2 comorbidities, quit smoking following COPD diagnosis and resumed after a year. |
| M10  | Male, 69 years, lives with spouse. Completed some high school, employed full-time. COPD GOLD I, 7 months since diagnosis, 1 comorbidity, current smoker. |
| M11  | Male, 82 years, lives alone. Completed some high school, retired. COPD GOLD I, 14 months since diagnosis, 4 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| M12  | Male, 82 years, lives with spouse. Vocational training, retired. COPD GOLD II, 5 months since diagnosis, 5 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| F13  | Male, 69 years, lives with spouse. Completed primary school, retired. COPD GOLD I, 20 months since diagnosis, 4 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| M14  | Male, 62 years, lives with spouse and children. Completed some high school, self-employed. COPD GOLD I, 24 months since diagnosis, 1 comorbidity, current smoker. |
| M15  | Male, 65 years, lives with spouse. Tertiary education, employed part-time. COPD GOLD I, 24 months since diagnosis, 2 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| M16  | Male, 78 years, lives with spouse. Tertiary education, retired. COPD GOLD I, 21 months since diagnosis, 4 comorbidities, ex-smoker. |
| M17  | Male, 56 years, lives with spouse. Tertiary education, employed full-time. COPD GOLD I, 13 months since diagnosis, 1 comorbidity, current smoker. |

Abbreviations: COPD, Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; GOLD, Global initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease.
The research team held regular meetings to analyse every stage of data collection and analysis. Preliminary thematic analysis and initial coding commenced alongside data collection by listening to the recorded interviews. As a result, the interview guide was slightly modified after the first six interviews by addition of two probing questions. Following transcription, the data was organised and axial coding performed with the aid of NVivo 10 software. Thorough thematic analysis of the coded interviews was done by repeated exposure to the fragmented data. Using a constructivist approach of data interpretation, the coded narratives of the participants were iteratively analysed by the research team to unravel deeper meaning until a consensus was reached regarding the main themes that made sense to the researchers. A thematic framework of 16 subthemes were induced and then categorised under five core themes. Each transcript was analysed and interpreted separately by at least one other team member to ensure inter-researcher reliability.

The study had ethics approval from the Human Research Ethics Committee of UNSW Australia (HREC 10015).

RESULTS

Following data analysis, five core themes encompassing participants' perspectives of the impact of COPD diagnosis were induced, namely (i) reaction to diagnosis, (ii) impact on function and health behaviour, (iii) factors influencing self-management capacity, (iv) healthcare utilisation and (v) interplay of comorbidities.

i) Reaction to diagnosis of COPD

The participants had mixed reactions to being diagnosed with COPD, from emotional to rational, including denial. 'It (COPD diagnosis) was a big shock because the last cigarette I had was back in 1979'—F13, 'It didn't surprise me because I'd smoked for a long time'—M15.

Female participants in their fifties and sixties seemed more concerned about the COPD diagnosis than their male counterparts. Participants in their seventies and eighties had a more positive attitude. They were more resigned towards accepting an addition to the number of preexisting chronic conditions and also seemed the most passive in terms of incorporating COPD management into their lives. 'Let's face it, I'm 80... a lot of it's just getting older. You can't stop old age... I've got what I've got, so that's it'—F4. The diagnosis increased the participants' awareness of their breathing and enhanced their general perception of health.

ii) Impact of COPD on function and health behaviour

COPD had a functional impact on 12 of the participants due to breathlessness, affecting domestic chores and outdoor mobility. 'I can't really walk fast anymore, I get puffed'—M15.

Social participation was occasionally restricted for the two youngest participants, both females with mild COPD. 'I can struggle doing anything that takes a lot of effort. I don't like big days out with the family'—F3.

The diagnosis seemed to motivate all the participants to modify their health behaviour in terms of trying to increase or keep up physical activity as well as monitoring diet. 'I'm trying to get a bit more active, walk a bit more, just try and do a little bit of exercise, a bit more than I used to... I'm doing something, I'm not just sitting around'—F1.

Of the seven participants who were smokers at the time of COPD diagnosis, three females quit smoking shortly after the diagnosis whereas the others started working towards smoking cessation. 'I've had a couple of goes and it (quitting smoking) is a difficult thing... I'll get there'—M17.

iii) Factors influencing self-management capacity of COPD

Only six participants knew what their lung condition was called. Those with other lung conditions at the time of diagnosis, such as...
asthma, seemed confused about the symptoms and nature of COPD. 'I didn’t realise that I had asthma...the two conditions make things very difficult'—M6.

Retired participants had better financial leverage compared with those employed or on other benefits like ‘Closing the Gap’ or ‘Newstart’. It (medicine) would be a lot dearer if I wasn’t on the concession sort of deal”—M11. For some, financial constraints impaired comprehensive management of COPD due to low affordability of healthcare. For instance, one participant had to wait more than a year following diagnosis to consult a respiratory physician.

Chronic depression hindered coping with COPD and so did work-related stress, which caused one participant to resume smoking. Some participants felt that their inherent motivational capacity helped in coping with the COPD diagnosis, whereas others relied on family, faith and/or philosophy. ‘I always try to look after myself because I know what I’ve actually got to do as an old bloke like me...I’ve got kids to look after so I’ve got to make sure I then look after myself”—M14.

iv) Healthcare utilisation for COPD

Typically, the participants consulted their family physicians twice a month, mainly to obtain scripts for COPD and other comorbidities. The two participants with asthma visited their healthcare providers more often for consultation regarding COPD. Among the 17 participants, 8 took a daily dose of prescribed inhalers and 3 used an inhaler whenever necessary, whereas 6 of them refrained from using COPD medication in spite of having been advised to do so. ‘I didn’t have any breathing problems...It (COPD) doesn’t affect any activities that I know of”—M5.

With the exception of one participant who switched general practitioners following COPD diagnosis, many others were satisfied with their healthcare providers. Some felt they could better manage their health themselves, whereas one participant voiced the need for personalised plans and support in terms of smoking cessation. ‘Maybe a better plan for the smoking...It’s individual, instead of lumping everyone in one group ‘cause everyone is different”—F9.

Though most participants relied heavily on their healthcare providers for advice and support, there was an apparent lack of communication between the participants and their physicians in terms of COPD. ‘I know more about my body than what a doctor does, I know what’s the matter with me. And sometimes you can tell the doctor and they just don’t listen”—F8. Few participants understood the salience of the disease enough to strike some sort of balance between COPD and other comorbidities during their general practitioner consultations.

v) Interplay of COPD and comorbidities

Comorbidity count of the participants ranged from 1 to 7, with most taking medication for all their health conditions. Of a total 32 comorbidities, as shown in Figure 2, hypercholesterolaemia was the most prevalent (31%), followed by hypertension and arthritis (25%), depression (12%) and diabetes (9%).

Among the 17 participants, only 29% gave the highest priority to COPD among all their health conditions. The importance of COPD mostly outweighed hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension and depression in this study, but was overshadowed by diabetes. For those most impacted by arthritis, COPD was given higher priority. ‘That (COPD) limits your whole life, doesn’t it really... because you need the air”—F2.

Overall, the experience of multiple health conditions was challenging in terms of consuming various medications and scheduling different healthcare appointments. ‘You try all these (treatments), they work for a little while but they don’t solve the problem”—F7.

DISCUSSION

Main findings

Analysis of our study results was driven by a constructivist interpretation of the participants’ experiences of a recent COPD diagnosis in the context of multimorbidity. Our findings indicated that though the participants accepted the diagnosis, they did not recognise the importance of COPD, mostly due to low awareness of the disease and its long-term implications. This may explain the participants’ suboptimal self-management capacity of COPD and underutilisation of relevant healthcare. Low prioritisation of COPD in view of other comorbidities could be explained by the fact that the participants were recently diagnosed and also because most of them had mild severity of the disease. The relationship between COPD and its comorbidities was also an important aspect of our study and has implications for COPD management in primary care.

Strengths and limitations of this study

We believe this study is the first to explore patients’ perspectives regarding the experience and impact of a new diagnosis of COPD in primary care patients with comorbidities. All the study participants had early-stage COPD, unlike other qualitative studies, which have focused on patients in its later stages. The interviews served as a platform for some of the participants to...
voice their health-related concerns. The elapsed time between COPD diagnosis and this study, which spanned 5–24 months, might have affected the participants’ recollections of their exact experience. However, this timespan probably resulted in obtaining richer perspectives about living with COPD. As most of the participants were of Caucasian origin, similar studies with diverse ethnic backgrounds are recommended.

Interpretation of findings in relation to previously published work Low knowledge has been reported even in later-stage COPD patients by Barr et al.,20 which is a concern for healthcare providers. As many participants gave COPD lower priority than their comorbidities, there is a need for promotion of better awareness among patients.22,23 As the participants had either mild or moderate COPD, limitation of functional capacity and impairment of quality of life were relatively less than that reported in other studies where patients had higher severity of COPD.21,22

In line with previous research, gender disparity was evident among the participants in terms of reporting functional limitation.32,33 The fact that our female participants seemed more open towards seeking professional help and had better success with smoking cessation than their male counterparts, is on a par with the findings of Watson et al.,32 who found that women are more likely to report dyspnoea and seek smoking cessation advice. On the other hand, work-related stress coupled with anxiety and/or depression, complicated smoking cessation for both genders in our study, which was also reported by Dinicolta et al.34

Misconception and confusion about the interrelated behaviour of COPD and asthma poses a concern for management of patients with an asthma-COPD overlap.35 Participants with discordant comorbidities, such as diabetes or cancer, were able to prioritise their conditions more clearly than those with concordant comorbidities like asthma or sleep apnoea. Such competing priorities add to the confusion and helplessness perceived from our interviews, which was also noted by Sheridan et al.36

In spite of limited understanding of COPD, all our participants understood cigarette smoking to be its main cause. This differs from the findings of Boecxstaens et al.,19 who reported a reluctance among their participants to accept the association between smoking and their COPD. Yet, some of our participants seemed very passive in seeking help for smoking cessation. This could be due to their sense of shame and self-blame24,36 for having contributed to the occurrence of COPD.

Socioeconomic status of the participants had an impact on their health perspectives. Level of education seemed to influence how participants experienced and managed their various health conditions. Those with tertiary education seemed to better understand the significance and implications of COPD and prioritise it more logically in view of their other comorbidities, as noted by Hudon et al.32 Participants living with family were more motivated and had a more positive outlook towards tackling their health conditions, with greater control over smoking and their overall health behaviour.19,38

A need for person-centredness, which has also been reported by McDonald et al.,33 is vital for the management of COPD with comorbidities and can improve patient adherence. In spite of multimorbidity and increased health burden following COPD diagnosis, there was a positive vein among our participants. This resulted in better adaptation and coping to the new diagnosis than expected, a finding that has also been noted in previous studies.19,39

Implications for future research and practice Low awareness and prioritisation of COPD, suboptimal self-management capacity and complications presented by conflicting comorbidities were the highlights of this study. Implications of these findings for healthcare providers in terms of managing COPD patients with comorbidities are a need for acceptance and incorporation of patient perspectives in primary care, and development of holistic care plans. In terms of future research, our study could be a base for interventions aimed at improving patient knowledge and self-management capacity. Also, the patient–provider relationship needs to be explored in future studies on COPD with multimorbidity.

Conclusions Our qualitative study explored the perspectives of primary care patients regarding the impact of a new diagnosis of COPD in the context of multimorbidity. The key findings were limited understanding and low prioritisation of COPD in view of other comorbidities. These findings suggest the need for tailored education to increase awareness about COPD and activation of these patients to improve their self-management capacity in the context of multimorbidity. As COPD is typically diagnosed and managed in primary care, this is the most suitable setting for personalised management that incorporates patient’s perspectives.
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