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Abstract

Any electron eigenstate \(|\Psi\rangle\) of the paramagnetic ion open-shell is characterized by the three independent multipole asphericities \(A_k = \langle \Psi | C^{(k)} | \Psi \rangle\) for \(k = 2, 4, 6\) related to the second moments of the relevant crystal-field splittings by \(\sigma_k^2 = 1/(2J+1) A_k^2 S_k^2\), where \(S_k^2 = 1/(2k+1) \sum_B |B_{kq}|^2\). The \(A_k\) can serve as a reliable measure of the state \(|\Psi\rangle\) capability for the splitting produced by the \(k\)-rank component of the crystal-field Hamiltonian and allow one to verify any fitted crystal-field parameter set directly comparing the calculated and the experimental second moments of the relevant crystal-fieldsplittings. We present the multipole characteristics \(A_k\) for the extensive set of eigenstates from the lower parts of energy spectra of the triplositive \(4f^N\) ions applying in the calculations the advanced eigenfunctions of the free lanthanide ions obtained based on the M. Reid \(f\)-shell programs. Such amended asphericities are compared with those achieved for the simplified Russell-Saunders states. Next, they are classified with respect to the absolute or relative weight of the \(A_k\) in the multipole structure of the considered states. For the majority of the analyzed states (about 80\%) the \(A_k\) variation is of order of only a few percent. Some essential changes are found primarily for several states of \(\text{Tm}^{3+}\), \(\text{Er}^{3+}\), \(\text{Nd}^{3+}\), and \(\text{Pr}^{3+}\) ions. The detailed mechanisms of such \(A_k\) changes are unveiled. Particularly, certain noteworthy cancellations as well as enhancements of their magnitudes are explained.

PACS: 71.15.-m, 71.23.An, 71.70.Ch, 75.10.Dg

1. Introduction

The spherical harmonic operators \(C_q^{(k)}\) in the one-electron crystal-field (CF) Hamiltonian \(\mathcal{H}_{\text{CF}} = \sum_i \sum_{k,q} B_{kq} C_q^{(k)}(\vartheta_i, \varphi_i)\) [1], written shortly as \(\mathcal{H}_{\text{CF}} = \sum_{k,q} B_{kq} C_q^{(k)}\), act on the angle coordinates \(\vartheta_i, \varphi_i\) of individual unpaired electrons \((i)\) of the central ion in its initial eigenstates \(|\Psi\rangle\) that are superpositions of the Russel-Saunders (RS) states \(|^N S L J M_J\rangle\). The \(B_{kq}\) stand for the crystal-field parameters (CFP) for the above specified operators. For complex many-electron states the one-electron character of the \(C_q^{(k)}\) operators manifests itself by the 6-\(j\) symbols in their developed matrix elements [1-5] and the
doubly reduced matrix elements of the unit tensor operator $U^{(k)}$ [1-5] (Section 2, Eq.(2)). They both reveal a decomposition of the coupled many-electron state into its one-electron spinorbitals. Thus, any matrix element $\langle \Psi | C^{(k)}_q | \Psi \rangle$ is considered exclusively with the intrinsic properties of the central ion electron eigenstate $| \Psi \rangle$. The reduced (double bar) matrix elements is defined by [1-5]

$$\langle l^N SLJ || C^{(k)} || l^N SL' J' \rangle = (-1)^{J - M_J} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} J & k & J' \\ -M_J & q & M_{J'} \end{array} \right)^{-1} \langle l^N SLJM_J | C^{(k)}_q | l^N SL' J'M_{J'} \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where the factor preceding the matrix element is the reciprocal of the $3-j$ symbol [1-5]. The reduced matrix element is independent of the reference frame orientation and hence also of $M_J$. The diagonal reduced elements $\langle \Psi || C^{(k)} || \Psi \rangle$ represent the $2^k$-pole asphericities $A_k$ (for $k = 2, 4$ and 6) of the considered electron state $| \Psi \rangle$ [6] and these dimensionless values can serve as a reliable measure of the state capability for CF splitting by the $k$-rank CF Hamiltonian (Section 3). The electron density distribution of the $f$-electron states is fully described by the first three multipoles with even $k = 2, 4$ and 6. The asphericities $A_k$ for 105 lower lying electron eigenstates of all the trivalent lanthanide ions are compiled in Table 1 (Section 2). They have been calculated for the corrected eigenstates including $J$-mixing effect [7] and the outstanding set of the free-ion data [8] and subsequently compared with those corresponding to the one-component RS states [6]. The $A_k$ magnitudes and their possible variations due to the $J$-mixing of the RS states are thoroughly discussed. An inseparable entanglement of the asphericities $A_k$ and the $k$-components of the CF strength $S_k$ [9-12] seen in the expression for the second moment of the splitting $\sigma_k$ [10, 13, 14] (Section 3) justifies the $A_k$ as a reliable capability of the relevant state for the $2^k$-pole partial CF splitting. By the fundamental law of additivity $\sigma^2 = \sum_k \sigma_k^2$ [10, 13, 14], resulting from the orthogonality of the 3-j symbols [3, 4] (Eq.(1)), the global $\sigma^2$ can be expressed by means of the $A_k$ and $S_k$ components. Tables 2-6 show the classification of the examined eigenstates with respect to their multipole structure (Section 4). The states distinguished by the strongest and the weakest $A = (A_2^2 + A_4^2 + A_6^2)^{1/2}$, by the strongest and the weakest $|A_k|/A$, and finally those with the largest and the smallest $|A_k|/A$ for $k = 2, 4$ and 6 have been selected respectively. In turn, Section 5 gives a few instructive examples unveiling the mechanisms of the $A_k$ changes induced by the $J$-mixing of the RS states. A special attention has been paid to the strong enhancements and cancelations among the asphericities $A_k$.

2. Multipole characteristics of the $4 f^N$ tripositive free-ion eigenstates including $J$-mixing effects

The $k$-rank multipole moment of an electron eigenstate $| \Psi \rangle$ which is a superposition of the RS states with various $L$ and $S$ but the same $J$ can be evaluated based on the reduced matrix element $\langle \Psi || C^{(k)} || \Psi \rangle$ of the respective $k$-rank spherical harmonic operator. According to the Wigner-Eckart theorem [5,15] such quantity is independent of the reference frame orientation and adequately expresses the $2^k$-pole type asphericity of the given eigenstate $| \Psi \rangle$. For the spherical electron density distribution the matrix element identically vanishes for $k = 2, 4$ and 6. It plays also a crucial role as a scaling factor in the CF Hamiltonian interaction matrices and hence participates in both the calculational and fitting CFP procedures. In the case of $J$-mixing approach, i.e. for fixed $J$, the reduced matrix element can be expressed by the sum of all diagonal and off-diagonal matrix elements occurring in the $\langle \Psi || C^{(k)} || \Psi \rangle$ expansion [1,5,16]

$$\langle l^N SLJ || C^{(k)} || l^N SL' J \rangle = (-1)^{S + L' + J + k} (2J + 1) \binom{J}{L'} \binom{J}{L} \binom{k}{S} \langle l^N SL || U^{(k)} || l^N SL' \rangle \langle l || C^{(k)} || l \rangle,$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the first factor on the right side defining the sign of the reduced element depends on the parity of the sum of four numbers, in principle autonomous, what leads to the sign randomness. The second
factor stands for the degeneracy of the state, the third one is the 6-j symbol revealing what part of the final $|SLJ⟩$ function belongs to the orbital part $|SL'⟩$ [17]. Finally, the double-bar matrix element of the unit tensor operator $U^{(k)}$ depends how $N$ one-electron angular momenta $\vec{l}$ of the $l^N$ configuration couple into the resultant $\vec{L}$ [18]. The one-electron reduced matrix element $⟨l||C^{(k)}||l⟩$ for $l = 3$ is equal to $-1.3663$, 1.1282 and $-1.2774$ for $k = 2$, 4 and 6, respectively.

The $M_J$ quantum numbers and the $q$ index do not appear in Eq.(2) (compare with Eq.(1)). It clearly shows that the reduced matrix elements and in consequence the $A_k$ are independent of the reference frame choice. Any element of the $⟨Ψ||C^{(k)}||Ψ⟩$ expansion encloses additionally the product of amplitudes of the two involved components in the $|Ψ⟩$ superposition together with their signs. The reduced matrix element (Eq.(2)) differs from zero only for the same $S$ quantum number (in the bra and ket) since the $C^{(k)}$ acts exclusively on the configurational coordinates of the electrons, and for the same parity of $L$ and $L'$. These requirements reduce the number of the non-zero off-diagonal matrix elements between various components of the $J$-mixed eigenfunctions.

Such multipole characteristics have been evaluated earlier for the pure (one-component) RS open-shell electron eigenstates [6]. In Table 1 we compare them with the corrected characteristics for the $4f^N$ tripositive ion eigenstates obtained in the more accurate $J$-mixing approach based on the M. Reid $f$-shell programs [7] and the free-ion data reported by Carnall at al [8]. In the considered $J$-mixed superpositions the average number of RS components amounts to 7, whereas the average number of the constituent matrix elements 13. In turn, the maximal number of the components reaches 22, whereas the maximal number of the matrix elements amounts to 64 (including 42 off-diagonal ones) what occurs for the ninth eigenstate of Dy$^{3+}$ ion (No.70 in Table 1) with $^5F^2_{7/2}$ state as the upper component.

In total, we have taken into account 105 lower lying eigenstates of the three-valent RE ions from Ce$^{3+}$ ($4f^1$) up to Yb$^{3+}$ ($4f^{13}$). Table 1 covers also the basic attributes of the considered eigenstates: the upper RS component, its amplitude in the normalized superposition, the consecutive number in the ion’s spectrum [7], the eigenenergy in $cm^{-1}$, and the number of components with the amplitude exceeding 0.01. The number in the first column of Table 1 identifies any quoted state or its parameters consistently throughout the whole paper. It is instructive to compare the asphericities of the pure RS states [6] with those of the corrected $J$-mixed eigenstates. It turns out that from among the 105 analysed states only about 20% of them differ markedly in the asphericities from their RS counterparts, i.e. their upper states. Primarily, these are the states of the following ions: Tm$^{3+}$ ($4f^{12}$), Er$^{3+}$ ($4f^{11}$), No$^{3+}$ ($4f^3$), and Pr$^{3+}$ ($4f^2$) (Table 1). By sheer coincidence two various states of Tm$^{3+}$ ion: No.99 and No.103 are characterized by the same dominating component $^3P_2$, but it does not lead to any misunderstanding because we do not use this ambiguous state description.

There exist the following $J$-mixing mechanisms that produce the observed changes in the asphericity of the states. Firstly, the normalization of any superposition of states reduces naturally the upper state asphericity, whereas its square determines the upper state asphericity input. Secondly, additional diagonal and off-diagonal terms in the the matrix element $⟨Ψ||C^{(k)}||Ψ⟩$ expansion differ in magnitudes and signs. The sign of each individual diagonal term is specified exclusively by the sign of the respective $A_k$ on the involved component. Its magnitude, however, comes from the product of the $|A_k|$ and the square of the component amplitude in the superposition. In turn, any off-diagonal term is a product of 6 factors including two involved amplitudes (Eq.(2)). Its sign results from the product of 6 signs, in principle autonomous. To cope with this matter effectively one should consider all the additional diagonal and off-diagonal contributions along with their various possible magnitudes and signs. Based on these investigations four types of the resultant $A_k$ modifications can be noticed in Table 1:

(i) Due to insignificant $J$-mixing admixtures to the upper state only small changes (within a few percent) arise in the pertinent $|A_k|$, which are the algebraic sum of the normalization effect and the additional diagonal and off-diagonal corrections. Such effect occurs for about 80% of the states presented in Table 1. However, the proximity of the $A_k$ for the RS and the corrected $J$-mixed
states can be also accidental. By way of example, for eigenstate No.28 of Nd$^{3+}$ ion the upper state $|3P_{3/2}\rangle$ amplitude reaches merely 0.7205 and its contribution to the $A_2$ of the superposition only $(0.7205)^2(0.2981) = 0.1548$. Nevertheless, the remaining diagonal $(0.2128)$ and off-diagonal $(-0.0858)$ inputs, relatively large, lead in sum to the $A_2 = 0.2818$ that accidentally is close to 0.2981, which is the value for the $|3P_{3/2}\rangle$ state.

(ii) The sum of the corrections is substantial with respect to the upper state $A_k$ and has the same sign as the $A_k$. Here an enhancement of the $|A_k|$ occurs. Such resultant effect is observed for the states No.: 27, 88, 97, 98, and 103 in the case of $A_2$, for the states No.: 8, 64, 65, and 93 in the case of $A_4$, and for the state No.95 in the case of $A_6$.

(iii) The sum of the corrections is substantial but with the opposite sign than that of the upper state $A_k$. In this case a partial compensation of the $|A_k|$ (including the complete one) or even the sign conversion of $A_k$ takes place. Such result has been found in the case of $A_2$ for the states No.: 8, 9, 21, 24, 25, 79, 84, 85, 86, 88, 95, 97, 98, and in the case of $A_6$ for the states No.: 3, 8, 9, 21, 24, 25, 27, 64, 65, 79, 84, 85, 86, 88, 93, 98.

(iv) The corrections generate the only contribution to $A_k$ that for the initial state is equal to zero. It takes place for the states No.14 ($A_4$), No.23 ($A_2$), No.80 ($A_2, A_4$), No.87 ($A_2$), No.99 ($A_4$), No.103 ($A_4$).

The detailed mechanisms of the asphericity modifications induced by the $J$-mixing effect will be thoroughly analyzed for some representative examples in Section 5.

3. The asphericity of an electron eigenstate and its crystal-field splitting

The asphericity $A_k$ for $k = 2, 4$ and 6 of any electron state may serve as a reliable measure of its capability for CF splitting produced by the $H_{CF}^{(k)}$ - the $k$-th component of the $H_{CF}$. It stems from the fundamental relationship between the CF splitting second moment $\sigma_k$ and the $A_k$ [10,13,14]

$$\sigma_k^2 = [1/(2J + 1)] A_k^2 S_k^2, \quad (3)$$

where $S_k^2 = [1/(2k + 1)] \sum_q |B_kq|^2$ is the square of the CF strength of the $2^k$-pole $H_{CF}$ component [9-12], and $(2J + 1)$ is the degeneracy of the given state with a good quantum number $J$. In fact, the above relationship (Eq.(3)) implies from the spherical harmonic addition theorem [19] concerning the expansion of $1/r_{ij}$ into the series of $C_{q i}^{(k)}(\theta_i, \phi_i) \cdot C_{q j}^{(k)*}(\theta_j, \phi_j)$ components. They are the products of the conjugated spherical harmonics defined for the separated indices $i$ and $j$. In the CF context the first factor refers to the electron density angle distribution of the central ion unperturbed eigenstate, whereas the second refers to the surrounding charges. In fact, this separation lies in the background of the whole formalism.

As is seen from Eq.(3) the asphericity $A_k$ can be treated as a potential capability of the considered state for the $2^k$-pole CF splitting since the second factor $S_k$ represents a separate and unrelated external impact. The $A_k$ can be either positive or negative (Section 2) what symbolically may be imagined as asphericities of convex or concave type. The $A_k$ sign is irrelevant for the $\sigma_k$, but is crucial calculating the resultant asphericities of the superposition of states.

The question arises how the global second moment $\sigma$ can be expressed by means of the asphericities of the involved electron eigenstate. As is known, the square of the global second moment $\sigma^2$ is a simple sum of the second moment squares of the individual components.

$$\sigma^2 = [1/(2J + 1)] (A_2^2 S_2^2 + A_4^2 S_4^2 + A_6^2 S_6^2). \quad (4)$$
To describe $\sigma^2$ it is convenient to introduce two auxiliary and figurative vectors: $A(A_2^2, A_4^2, A_6^2)$ and $S(S_2^2, S_4^2, S_6^2)$ within the three-dimensional orthogonal reference frame based on the $A_k^2$ (or $S_k^2$) axes. Then, $\sigma^2 = |1/(2J+1)| A \cdot S$ is defined by their scalar product. All the components of the $A$ and $S$ vectors are positive by definition and can be expressed by the spherical angle coordinates only within the ranges of $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$. Eq.(4) shows that the CF splitting is determined by the two inseparable independent quantities $A_k$ and $S_k$ mutually entangled. The figurative vectors $A$ and $S$ may be orthogonal, what happens when both the vectors lie either on the two frame axes or one of them lies along an axis whereas the second belongs to the perpendicular plane. Then, always $\sigma^2 = 0$ in spite of some non-zero $A_k$ and $S_k$. Simultaneously, Eq.(4) enables us to critically verify the meaning of such quantities like $S = (\sum_k S_k^2)^{1/2}$ and $A = (\sum_k A_k^2)^{1/2}$ [6]. In general, no apparent physical sense can be assigned to them.

4. The range of capability of the $4f^N$ tripositive free ion eigenstates for crystal-field splitting

Similarly to the approximated RS states $|^{2S+1}L_J\rangle$ of triply ionized lanthanides [6], the eigenstates amended by the $J$-mixing [7] are characterized by an exceedingly diversified multipole structure both in qualitative and quantitative way (Table 1). Such random to a large extent diversity stems from a stochastic character with respect to the magnitude and sign of the multifactorial expression for the $C^{(k)}$ operator reduced matrix element (Eq.(2)). The chaotic dispersion of the $A_k$ magnitudes and signs is well exhibited in Tables 2-6 by the eigenstates chosen from among all the 105 studied ones: the top ten states of the strongest or weakest $A = (A_2^2 + A_4^2 + A_6^2)^{1/2}$ (Table 2), the ten ones of the strongest $|A_k|$ (Tables 3), the ten of the weakest $|A_k|$ (Table 4), and finally the ten states of the highest $|A_k|/A$ (Table 5), as well as the ten ones of the lowest $A_k^2/A$ (Table 6). The $|A_k|/A$, which is a cosine of the angle between the $(A_2, A_4, A_6)$ vector and the distinguished axis representing the $A_k$, gives the relative weight of the chosen $2^k$-pole in the eigenstate multipole structure. It is enough to notice that $A$ takes values from 0 to 3.3784, whereas the entirely independent one of another $|A_k|$ change within the ranges: $0 < |A_2| \leq 3.0273$, $0 < |A_4| \leq 1.4303$, and $0 < |A_6| \leq 1.7765$. In consequence, the multipole structure of the considered states is widely differentiated and can assume also the forms with only one prevailing multipole. For example, No.14 eigenstate $|^3P_2\rangle$ of Pr$^{3+}$ ion is characterized by the predominating role of the $2^2$-pole component ($|A_2|/A = 0.9917$), No.43 eigenstate $|^6F_{5/2}\rangle$ of Sm$^{3+}$ ion by the $2^4$-pole component ($|A_4|/A \simeq 1.0000$), and No.18 eigenstate $|^4J_{15/2}\rangle$ of Nd$^{3+}$ ion by the prevailing $2^6$-pole component ($|A_6|/A = 0.8812$), however not so distinctly as in the two previous cases.

The highest total asphericities (the top $A$ values), it means the strongest total capabilities for the CF splitting, are found in the states with large $L$ (and $J$) quantum numbers (Table 2). Such states are weakly disturbed by the $J$-mixing interaction due to a small number of the partner RS states of the same $J$ and large energy gaps between them. Their calculated asphericities are close to those for the relevant upper states. On the contrary, the eigenstates with the weakest asphericities have quite often their $A_k$ significantly changed with respect to those for their RS counterparts. In general, it results from a similar level of the $J$-mixing corrections in both the cases, and a substantial difference in their initial magnitudes.

Tables 1-6 enable to note an evident correspondence between the calculated $A_k$ for the pairs of the lanthanide ions with the complementary electron configurations $4f^N$ and $4f^{14-N}$: ($Ce^{3+}$, Yb$^{3+}$), ($Pr^{3+}$, Tm$^{3+}$), ($Nd^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$), ($Pm^{3+}$, Ho$^{3+}$), ($Sm^{3+}$, Dy$^{3+}$) and ($Eu^{3+}$, Tb$^{3+}$). The opposite $A_k$ sign of the pair-partners results from the opposite sign of the related matrix elements of the $U^{(k)}$ operators [18], and is mainly a repercussion of the Hund’s rules governing the eigenstates sequence, it means their location in the free-ion energy spectrum. As a good example of such case may serve the difference between the bottom parts of the energy diagrams of Pr$^{3+}$ and Tm$^{3+}$ ions. In the energy spectrum of
Pr$^{3+}$ ion the RS states $|^3H_4\rangle$ and $|^3F_4\rangle$ interacting via $J$-mixing are located one to another as far as possible: the $|^3H_4\rangle$ is the lowest state of the term $^3H$, whereas the $|^3F_4\rangle$ the highest one of the term $^3F$. In Tm$^{3+}$ ion, in the reverse order, the $|^3H_4\rangle$ is the highest state of the $^3H$ term, whereas the $|^3F_4\rangle$ the lowest state of the term $^3F$. In fact, the $|^3F_4\rangle$ state lies below the state $|^3H_4\rangle$ [16]. The energy gap between the states $|^3H_4\rangle$ and $|^3F_4\rangle$, their so-called energy denominator, determines the efficiency of the $J$-mixing interaction.

5. Discussion

The calculated asphericities $A_k = \langle \Psi | |C^{(k)}| |\Psi \rangle$ of the trivalent $4f^N$ ions are not the actual ones due to approximate nature of the applied eigenfunctions $|\Psi\rangle$, but their reliability can be improved replacing the initial functions (e.g. those of the RS type) by their various superpositions. In the case of simultaneous diagonalisation of the interaction matrix including the Coulomb repulsion and the spin-orbit coupling these are the superpositions of the RS functions with the same $J$ but different $L$ and $S$ quantum numbers [7]. The $A_k$ variations seen in Table 1 are limited mainly by the scale of the component admixtures. Additional role play the magnitudes of the relevant diagonal and off-diagonal matrix elements of the $C^{(k)}$ operator within the superposition, as well as the mutual competition between the corrections. In most cases the amplitudes of the admixtures are rather small. Therefore, for the majority of the lower lying eigenstates (about 80%) of the trivalent lanthanide ions there appear only inconsiderable differences between the $A_k$ calculated for the model RS states [6] and those including their $J$-mixing (Table 1). Nevertheless, for certain part of the eigenstates, particularly the exited ones, the observed changes become essential, indeed. They illustrate well the types of the resultant $J$-mixing effects mentioned in Section 2. Some instructive mechanisms leading to such variations are analyzed in details below for several chosen examples.

Let us consider No.8 eigenstate in Table 1. It is the sixth state of Pr$^{3+}$ ion of the composition: 0.8087$|^{3}F_4\rangle$ + 0.1225$|^{3}H_4\rangle$ + 0.5753$|^{1}G_4\rangle$ with the dominating $|^{3}F_4\rangle$ component. The diagonal contributions to the $A_2$ amount to: (0.8087)$^2$(0.4672) = 0.3778, (0.1225)$^2$(−1.2367) = −0.0186, (−0.5753)$^2$−(−0.3058) = −0.1012, and the only off-diagonal input $\langle(0.8087)^3F_4\rangle|C^{(2)}\rangle|(0.1225)^2|^{3}H_4\rangle$ = −0.0141. The accumulation of the three negative corrections reduces the $A_2$ from 0.4672 down to 0.2439. The diagonal contributions to the $A_4$ are negative and reach: (0.8087)$^2$−(0.2906) = −0.1901, (0.1225)$^2$−(−0.7395) = −0.0111, (−0.5753)$^2$−(−1.2150) = −0.4021, and the off-diagonal element $\langle(0.8087)^3F_4\rangle|C^{(4)}\rangle|(0.1225)^2|^{3}H_4\rangle$ = 0.0549. Here, the strong diagonal input of the $|^{1}G_4\rangle$ governs the magnitude and sign of the $A_4 = −0.2906$. In turn, the diagonal contributions to the $A_6$ are equal to: (0.8087)$^2$(0.1558) = 0.1019, (0.1225)$^2$(0.7706) = 0.0116, (−0.5753)$^2$−(−1.5299) = −0.5064, and the off-diagonal one $\langle(0.8087)^3F_4\rangle|C^{(6)}\rangle|(0.1225)^2|^{3}H_4\rangle$ = 0.1649. Again, as above, the diagonal negative input of the $|^{3}G_4\rangle$ dominates and the ultimate $A_6 = −0.2280$ results from a partial compensation of all the contributions.

Eigenvstate No.21, the seventh state of Nd$^{3+}$ ion, is composed of −0.3700$|^{4}F_{9/2}\rangle$ − 0.1458$|^{4}G_{9/2}\rangle$ + 0.1525$|^{4}I_{9/2}\rangle$ + 0.3831$|^{2}G_{1/2}\rangle$ − 0.2799$|^{2}G_{3/2}\rangle$ − 0.2805$|^{2}H_{1/2}\rangle$ + 0.7398$|^{2}H_{3/2}\rangle$ + 0.7398$|^{2}H_{5/2}\rangle$ + 0.7398$|^{2}H_{7/2}\rangle$ with the prevailing $|^{2}H_{5/2}\rangle$ state. All the weak diagonal contributions to the $A_2$ are almost compensated achieving in $0.0092$ with respect to the dominating state input (0.7398)$^2$−(−0.0069) = −0.0038. The decisive are the positive off-diagonal terms $\langle(0.3831)^2|^{2}G_{1/2}\rangle|C^{(2)}\rangle|^{−0.2799}|^{2}G_{3/2}\rangle|^{2}G_{9/2}\rangle$ = 0.1655, and $\langle(0.2805)^2|^{2}H_{1/2}\rangle|C^{(2)}\rangle|(0.7398)^2|^{2}H_{3/2}\rangle|^{2}H_{9/2}\rangle$ = 0.1225, giving finally the $A_2 = 0.2920$. Here, the dominating state input to the $A_4$ amounts to (0.7398)$^2$(0.4816) = 0.2636 and the sum of all the seven diagonal elements 0.1675 is somewhat less. In this situation the relatively large and negative off-diagonal element $\langle(0.2805)^2|^{2}H_{1/2}\rangle|C^{(4)}\rangle|(0.7398)^2|^{2}H_{9/2}\rangle$ = −0.2508 decides both on the magnitude and sign of the $A_4 = −0.0638$. Similarly, for the very small positive sum of the partial diagonal elements (0.0055), the final $A_6 = −0.0732$ is determined by the prevailing, as for the modulus, negative off-diagonal element $\langle(0.2805)^2|^{2}H_{1/2}\rangle|C^{(6)}\rangle|(0.7398)^2|^{2}H_{9/2}\rangle$ = −0.1010.

Eigenvstate No.25, the eleventh state of the Nd$^{3+}$, is given by −0.2407$|^{4}G_{11/2}\rangle$ + 0.0994$|^{4}I_{11/2}\rangle$ −
The resultant $A_2 = 0.1289$ is the outcome of mutual competition of the positive off-diagonal term $\langle (−0.3573) |H(1)_{11/2}||C(2)|| (0.8955) |H(2)_{11/2}\rangle = 0.2080$ and the negative diagonal contribution coming mainly from the state $|H(1)_{11/2}\rangle$. The sum of the diagonal elements combining to the $A_2$ amounts to 0.4454 and is close to the contribution of the dominating $|H(2)_{11/2}\rangle$ state, i.e. $0.8955^2(0.5373) = 0.4309$. However, it is practically entirely compensated ($A_4 = 0.0001$) by the sum of two negative off-diagonal elements: $\langle (−0.3573) |H(1)_{11/2}||C(4)|| (0.8955) |H(2)_{11/2}\rangle = −0.4314$ and $\langle (−0.2407) |G_{11/2}||C(4)|| (0.0994) |I_{11/2}\rangle = −0.0139$. The resultant $A_6 = −0.2027$ is determined by relatively strong off-diagonal input $\langle (−0.3573) |H(1)_{11/2}||C(6)|| (0.8955) |H(2)_{11/2}\rangle = −0.1791$. All the diagonal elements contribute only −0.0081.

The $J$-mixing of the RS states can activate some idle states making them susceptible to CF splittings. In other words, they lose their initial effective spherical symmetry. As an example let us examine eigenstate No.87, the sixth state of Er ions consisting of 0.8293$|4S_{3/2}\rangle + 0.0443|4D_{3/2}\rangle + 0.2390|4F_{3/2}\rangle - 0.4174|2P_{3/2}\rangle - 0.2797|2D(1)_{3/2}\rangle - 0.0274|2D(2)_{3/2}\rangle$. The prevailing element $|4S_{3/2}\rangle$ is characterized by zero asphericities $A_2$, $A_4$ and $A_6$. However, the corrected eigenstate acquires the asphericity $A_2 = −0.1689$ by accumulation of the negative diagonal contributions: $0.2390^2(−0.3578) = −0.0204, (−0.4174)^2(−0.2981) = −0.0519, (−0.2797)^2(−0.5707) = −0.0446$, and the off-diagonal ones: $\langle (0.0443) |D_{3/2}||C(2)|| (0.8293) |S_{3/2}\rangle = −0.0480, (−0.2797)^2|D(1)_{3/2}||C(2)|| (−0.0274) |D(2)_{3/2}\rangle = −0.0045$. The states $|4S_{3/2}\rangle$ and $|4D_{3/2}\rangle$ do not bring any diagonal inputs, and the state $|2D(2)_{3/2}\rangle$ gives only 0.0005.

In the case of eigenstate No.3 the ground state of Pr$^{3+}$ ion is given by 0.9856$|3H_{4}\rangle + 0.1662|1G_{4}\rangle + 0.0311|3F_{4}\rangle$ and its $A_2$ and $A_4$ asphericities change only slightly with respect to the parameters for the pure $|3H_{4}\rangle$ state. However, the $|A_6|$ asphericity is noticeably reduced. The diagonal contribution of the $|1G_{4}\rangle$ state (0.1662)$^2(−1.5299) = −0.0423$, and the off-diagonal term which is equal to $\langle (0.9856) |3H_{4}||C(6)|| (−0.0311) |3F_{4}\rangle = −0.0510$ weaken the positive input of the $|3H_{4}\rangle$ upper state (0.9856)$^2(0.7706) = 0.7486$ down to the value of 0.6555. It corresponds to attenuation of the state capability for the CF splitting by $H_{CF}^{(6)}$. An increase in both the $|3F_{4}\rangle$ and $|1G_{4}\rangle$ admixtures deepen the tendency. It is worth to remember analyzing the CF splitting of the U$^{4+}(5f^2)$ ion ground state.

As is seen in Eq.(3) the multipole characteristics of the electron eigenstates along with their CF splitting diagrams sheds a new light on the crystal matrix multipole structure and vice versa. Based on the CF splitting diagrams for several electron eigenstates of known multipole characteristics in a specified crystal matrix (with a definite $H_{CF}$), as well as the CF splitting diagrams of a specified eigenstate in various CF matrices, we can reconcile the actual $A_k$ for the considered electron states and the $S_k$ for the $H_{CF}$s, respectively. A great facilitation in such estimations is an incomplete multipolar structure of the analyzed eigenstates. Such incompleteness may result either from the triangle rule for $J, J, k$ numbers (e.g. for $J = 1, 3/2$ and $k = 4, 6$ or $J = 5/2$ and $k = 6$) or from accidental cancelation of some multipoles due to the $J$-mixing effect, as it is observed for eigenstates No.25 and No.85 in Table 1. Furthermore, in some CF Hamiltonians the three-component multipole structure is not always complete, like e.g. in the cubic $H_{CF}$ which is deprived of quadrupolar moment.

The reliable classification of the multipolar structure of both the electron eigenstates and the actual CF Hamiltonians need such kind of comprehensive investigations. The electron eigenstates asphericities $A_k$ allows us to verify the fitted CFP sets comparing the calculated (Eq.(3)) and experimental second moments of the splitting [20]. The fitted CFP sets that well reproduce the experimental spectrum of energy levels for intentionally approximated initial eigenfunctions have by definition an effective character. Therefore, applying the same approximation for all eigenfunctions coming from different energy ranges will undoubtedly lead to errors. Presumably, this is the main reason for difficulties associated with minimization of rms deviations in fitted CFP sets. There are some phenomenological attempts to improve the fitting accuracy. In one of them the two-electron correlation CF is introduced.
which may be simple expressed by an effective one-electron CF Hamiltonian being dependent on the 
electron term. In another one the mean $k$ powers of the unpaired electron radii $\langle r^k \rangle$, is made variable 
with respect to the electron term [5,21,22]. Both the above approaches are formally admissible, but 
they can be physically ungrounded.

Yet another reflection arises. The dichotomic structure of the CF Hamiltonian [6] and random 
diversity of the asphericities do not entitle us by no means to exploit the concept of the $\mathcal{H}_{CF}$ multipole 
series convergence, as the multipole series of an external potential only. The $\mathcal{H}_{CF}$ approximation 
reducing its multipole structure only to first quadrupolar term is groundless. An exception could be 
perhaps a unique case when $A_2 = A_4 = A_6$. Obviously, the $\mathcal{H}_{CF}$ three-multipole ($k = 2, 4, 6$) series is a 
finite one, and not truncated. The higher multipoles do not contribute at all. The second independent 
factor that controls to a similar extent as the external multipoles the resultant hierarchy of the three 
CF Hamiltonian terms is the capability $A_k$ of the state for the CF splitting.
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Table 1: Multipole character of the J-mixed electron eigenstates $|\Psi\rangle$ in RE free ions. The eigenfunctions and eigenvalues are calculated using M. Reid f-shell programs [7] and free-ion data reported by Carnall et al [8]. The electron eigenstate data cover respectively: the upper component (Up.Comp.), its amplitude (Ampl.), consecutive no. in the spectrum [7] (no.), energy [cm$^{-1}$] (E), number of components of amplitude $>0.01$ (n). The multipolar asphericities for the upper component of the state are given in the parentheses.

| No. | RE ion | Electron eigenstate data | Multipolar asphericity |
|-----|--------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| Ion | conf.  | Up.Comp. | Ampl. | no. | E  | n | $A_2(\Psi)$ | $A_4(\Psi)$ | $A_6(\Psi)$ |
|-----|--------|----------|-------|-----|-----|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 1   | Ce$^{3+}$ | $f^1$ | $|^2F_{5/2}\rangle$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | -1.1711 | 0.7560 | 0 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-1.1711) | (0.7560) | (0)         |
| 2   | Ce$^{3+}$ | $f^1$ | $|^2F_{7/2}\rangle$ | 1 | 2 | 2750 | 1 | -1.3801 | 0.9760 | -0.3054 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-1.3801) | (0.9760) | (-0.3054) |
| 3   | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3H_4\rangle$ | 0.9856 | 1 | 0 | 3 | -1.2048 | -0.7693 | 0.6555 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-1.2048) | (-0.7693) | (0.6555)   |
| 4   | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3H_5\rangle$ | 1 | 2 | 2086 | 1 | -1.3100 | -0.6833 | 0.4451 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-1.3100) | (-0.6833) | (0.4451)   |
| 5   | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3H_6\rangle$ | 0.9985 | 3 | 4258 | 2 | -1.5204 | -0.9511 | 1.1338 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-1.5158) | (-0.9583) | (1.1386)   |
| 6   | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3F_2\rangle$ | 0.9880 | 4 | 4895 | 3 | 0.3400 | -0.0909 | 0 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (0.3187) | (-0.1328) | (0)         |
| 7   | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3F_3\rangle$ | 1 | 5 | 6284 | 1 | 0.3416 | -0.0627 | -0.3193 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (0.3416) | (-0.0627) | (-0.3193) |
| 8   | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3F_4\rangle$ | 0.8087 | 6 | 6718 | 3 | 0.2439 | -0.5484 | -0.2280 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (0.4672) | (-0.2906) | (0.1558)   |
| 9   | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^1G_4\rangle$ | 0.8099 | 7 | 9732 | 3 | -0.0421 | -0.9275 | -1.0310 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-0.3058) | (-1.2150) | (-1.5299) |
| 10  | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^1D_2\rangle$ | -0.9430 | 8 | 16813 | 3 | 0.8354 | 0.6203 | 0 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (0.8765) | (0.7968) | (0)         |
| 11  | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3P_0\rangle$ | 0.9947 | 9 | 20654 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (0) | (0) | (0)         |
| 12  | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3P_1\rangle$ | 1 | 10 | 21274 | 1 | -0.5477 | 0 | 0 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-0.5477) | (0) | (0)         |
| 13  | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^1I_6\rangle$ | 0.9985 | 11 | 21299 | 2 | -3.0273 | 1.4303 | -0.4506 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-3.0318) | (1.4375) | (-0.4554) |
| 14  | Pr$^{3+}$ | $f^2$ | $|^3P_2\rangle$ | -0.9549 | 12 | 22467 | 3 | 0.8563 | 0.1112 | 0 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (0.8366) | (0) | (0)         |
| 15  | Nd$^{3+}$ | $f^3$ | $|^4I_{9/2}\rangle$ | 0.9844 | 1 | 0 | 5 | -0.4758 | -0.4742 | -1.0773 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-0.4954) | (-0.4904) | (-1.1085) |
| 16  | Nd$^{3+}$ | $f^3$ | $|^4I_{11/2}\rangle$ | 0.9947 | 2 | 1862 | 4 | -0.4978 | -0.3894 | -0.3374 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-0.5045) | (-0.3935) | (-0.3399) |
| 17  | Nd$^{3+}$ | $f^3$ | $|^4I_{13/2}\rangle$ | 0.9979 | 3 | 3845 | 3 | -0.5615 | -0.4700 | -0.6186 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-0.5569) | (-0.4691) | (-0.6217) |
| 18  | Nd$^{3+}$ | $f^3$ | $|^4I_{15/2}\rangle$ | 0.9938 | 4 | 5907 | 2 | -0.6587 | -0.6886 | -1.7765 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (-0.6438) | (-0.6850) | (-1.7999) |
| 19  | Nd$^{3+}$ | $f^3$ | $|^4F_{3/2}\rangle$ | 0.9698 | 5 | 11381 | 6 | 0.3561 | 0 | 0 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (0.3578) | (0) | (0)         |
| 20  | Nd$^{3+}$ | $f^3$ | $|^4F_{5/2}\rangle$ | 0.9879 | 6 | 12420 | 6 | 0.3277 | 0.1843 | 0 |
|     |         |          |       |     |    |   | (0.3220) | (0.1890) | (0)         |
| No. | RE ion | Ion conf. | Electron eigenstate data | Multipolar asphericity |
|-----|--------|-----------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| 21  | Nd³⁺   | f³       | |                         |                        |
|     |        |          | |                         |                        |
| 22  | Nd³⁺   | f³       | |                         |                        |
| 23  | Nd³⁺   | f³       | |                         |                        |
| 24  | Nd³⁺   | f³       | |                         |                        |
| 25  | Nd³⁺   | f³       | |                         |                        |
| 26  | Nd³⁺   | f³       | |                         |                        |
| 27  | Nd³⁺   | f³       | |                         |                        |
| 28  | Nd³⁺   | f³       | |                         |                        |
| 29  | Pm³⁺   | f¹       | |                         |                        |
| 30  | Pm³⁺   | f¹       | |                         |                        |
| 31  | Pm³⁺   | f¹       | |                         |                        |
| 32  | Pm³⁺   | f¹       | |                         |                        |
| 33  | Pm³⁺   | f¹       | |                         |                        |
| 34  | Pm³⁺   | f¹       | |                         |                        |
| 35  | Sm³⁺   | f⁵       | |                         |                        |
| 36  | Sm³⁺   | f⁵       | |                         |                        |
| 37  | Sm³⁺   | f⁵       | |                         |                        |
| 38  | Sm³⁺   | f⁵       | |                         |                        |
| 39  | Sm³⁺   | f⁵       | |                         |                        |
| 40  | Sm³⁺   | f⁵       | |                         |                        |
| No. | RE ion | Electron eigenstate data | Multipolar asphericity |
|-----|--------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|     | Ion conf. | Up.Comp. | Ampl. no. | E n | $A_2(\Psi)$ | $A_4(\Psi)$ | $A_6(\Psi)$ |
| 41  | $^{3+}\text{Sm}$ | f$^6$ | $[^9H_{15/2}]$ | -0.9782 | 7 6431 | 8 1.5794 | 0.9086 | -0.8624 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (1.6095) | (0.9134) | (-0.9000) |
| 42  | $^{3+}\text{Sm}$ | f$^5$ | $[^9F_{3/2}]$ | 0.9779 | 8 6548 | 10 0.0565 | 0 | 0 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0.0596) | (0) | (0) |
| 43  | $^{3+}\text{Sm}$ | f$^5$ | $[^9F_{5/2}]$ | -0.9719 | 9 7052 | 20 0.0033 | -0.1068 | 0 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0.0130) | (-0.1260) | (0) |
| 44  | $^{3+}\text{Sm}$ | f$^5$ | $[^9F_{7/2}]$ | -0.9752 | 10 7922 | 16 -0.1085 | -0.1612 | -0.2209 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-0.0920) | (-0.2030) | (-0.2276) |
| 45  | $^{3+}\text{Sm}$ | f$^5$ | $[^9F_{9/2}]$ | 0.9824 | 11 9105 | 13 -0.2631 | 0.1020 | 0 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-0.2595) | (-0.1391) | (0.2617) |
| 46  | $^{3+}\text{Sm}$ | f$^5$ | $[^9F_{11/2}]$ | -0.9873 | 12 10503 | 6 -0.4884 | -0.1020 | -0.2209 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-0.4951) | (-0.1391) | (-0.2276) |
| 47  | $^{3+}\text{Eu}$ | f$^6$ | $[^7F_0]$ | -0.9663 | 1 0 6 | 0 0 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| 48  | $^{3+}\text{Eu}$ | f$^6$ | $[^7F_1]$ | -0.9729 | 2 382 | 8 -0.5317 | 0 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-0.5477) | (0) | (0) |
| 49  | $^{3+}\text{Eu}$ | f$^6$ | $[^7F_2]$ | -0.9809 | 3 1052 | 9 -0.4240 | -0.3961 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-0.4382) | (-0.3984) | (0) |
| 50  | $^{3+}\text{Eu}$ | f$^6$ | $[^7F_3]$ | 0.9867 | 4 1914 | 9 -0.2150 | 0.1805 | -0.2154 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-0.2277) | (0.1880) | (-0.2129) |
| 51  | $^{3+}\text{Eu}$ | f$^6$ | $[^7F_4]$ | -0.9890 | 5 2898 | 9 0.1621 | 0.5883 | 0.7592 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0.1528) | (0.6075) | (0.7650) |
| 52  | $^{3+}\text{Eu}$ | f$^6$ | $[^7F_5]$ | -0.9873 | 6 3957 | 6 0.7301 | 0.4986 | -0.7222 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0.7277) | (0.5125) | (-0.7419) |
| 53  | $^{3+}\text{Eu}$ | f$^6$ | $[^7F_6]$ | 0.9814 | 7 5055 | 8 1.4991 | -0.7080 | 0.2161 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (1.5159) | (-0.7188) | (0.2277) |
| 54  | $^{3+}\text{Gd}$ | f$^7$ | $[^8S_{7/2}]$ | 0.9879 | 1 0 5 | 0 0 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| 55  | $^{3+}\text{Tb}$ | f$^8$ | $[^7F_6]$ | -0.9793 | 1 0 9 | -1.5028 | 0.7060 | -0.2170 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-1.5159) | (0.7188) | (-0.2277) |
| 56  | $^{3+}\text{Tb}$ | f$^8$ | $[^7F_5]$ | -0.9852 | 2 2036 | 7 -0.7299 | -0.4962 | 0.7184 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-0.7277) | (-0.5125) | (0.7419) |
| 57  | $^{3+}\text{Tb}$ | f$^8$ | $[^7F_4]$ | 0.9781 | 3 3323 | 12 -0.1703 | -0.5725 | -0.7560 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (-0.1528) | (-0.6075) | (-0.7650) |
| 58  | $^{3+}\text{Tb}$ | f$^8$ | $[^7F_3]$ | -0.9763 | 4 4317 | 12 0.2068 | -0.1773 | 0.2150 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0.2277) | (-0.1880) | (0.2129) |
| 59  | $^{3+}\text{Tb}$ | f$^8$ | $[^7F_2]$ | -0.9745 | 5 5021 | 14 0.4186 | 0.3839 | 0 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0.4382) | (0.3984) | (0) |
| 60  | $^{3+}\text{Tb}$ | f$^8$ | $[^7F_1]$ | 0.9739 | 6 5487 | 10 0.5298 | 0 |
|     |          |          |          |      |            |            | (0.5477) | (0) | (0) |
| No. | RE ion | Electron eigenstate data | Multipolar asphericity |
|-----|--------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|     |        | Ion conf.    | Up. Comp. | Ampl. no. | E n | A2(Ψ) | A4(Ψ) | A6(Ψ) |
| 61  | Tb\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{8}\) | | | | 0.9736 | 7 | 5717 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|     |        | \( | F_0 \rangle | | | | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| 62  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | H_{15/2} \rangle | | | -0.9708 | 1 | 0 | 8 | -1.5745 | -0.9047 | 0.8503 |
|     |        | | | | | (-1.6095) | (-0.9134) | (0.9000) |
| 63  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | H_{13/2} \rangle | | | -0.9830 | 2 | 3432 | 7 | -1.2254 | -0.3172 | -0.3992 |
|     |        | | | | | (-1.2375) | (-0.3128) | (-0.4146) |
| 64  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | H_{11/2} \rangle | | | -0.9577 | 3 | 5776 | 14 | -0.9743 | 0.1970 | -0.2401 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.9587) | (0.0108) | (-0.7152) |
| 65  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | F_{11/2} \rangle | | | 0.9624 | 4 | 7377 | 11 | 0.4928 | -0.4364 | -0.3583 |
|     |        | | | | | (0.4951) | (-0.2465) | (0.0853) |
| 66  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | H_{9/2} \rangle | | | -0.9685 | 5 | 7649 | 18 | -0.7764 | 0.1267 | -0.6407 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.7786) | (0.1423) | (-0.6845) |
| 67  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | F_{9/2} \rangle | | | 0.9440 | 6 | 8731 | 16 | 0.2334 | 0.1231 | -0.2286 |
|     |        | | | | | (0.2595) | (0.1391) | (-0.2617) |
| 68  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | H_{7/2} \rangle | | | 0.9653 | 7 | 9083 | 15 | -0.7167 | 0.1037 | -0.6715 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.7176) | (0.1129) | (-0.7035) |
| 69  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | H_{5/2} \rangle | | | 0.9625 | 8 | 10149 | 12 | -0.8418 | -0.2803 | 0 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.8458) | (-0.2978) | (0) |
| 70  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | F_{7/2} \rangle | | | -0.9603 | 9 | 10626 | 22 | 0.0595 | 0.1840 | 0.2116 |
|     |        | | | | | (0.0920) | (0.2030) | (0.2276) |
| 71  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | F_{5/2} \rangle | | | 0.9653 | 10 | 12019 | 18 | -0.0340 | 0.1464 | 0 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.0130) | (0.1260) | (0) |
| 72  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | F_{3/2} \rangle | | | 0.9561 | 11 | 12818 | 17 | -0.0502 | 0 | 0 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.0596) | (0) | (0) |
| 73  | Dy\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{9}\) | \( | F_{1/2} \rangle | | | 0.9570 | 12 | 13358 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|     |        | | | | | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| 74  | Ho\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{10}\) | \( | I_{8} \rangle | | | 0.9681 | 1 | 0 | 6 | -0.6021 | -0.6350 | -1.6074 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.6562) | (-0.6797) | (-1.7060) |
| 75  | Ho\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{10}\) | \( | I_{7} \rangle | | | 0.9857 | 2 | 5066 | 6 | -0.5324 | -0.3942 | -0.2371 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.5524) | (-0.4042) | (-0.2398) |
| 76  | Ho\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{10}\) | \( | I_{6} \rangle | | | -0.9756 | 3 | 8613 | 11 | -0.4943 | -0.3011 | 0.2350 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.4796) | (-0.2613) | (0.3105) |
| 77  | Ho\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{10}\) | \( | I_{5} \rangle | | | 0.9492 | 4 | 11205 | 13 | -0.4517 | -0.2619 | 0.2542 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.4428) | (-0.2437) | (0.2958) |
| 78  | Ho\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{10}\) | \( | I_{4} \rangle | | | -0.9472 | 5 | 13307 | 12 | -0.4854 | -0.4136 | -0.7128 |
|     |        | | | | | (-0.4540) | (-0.4103) | (-0.7679) |
| 79  | Ho\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{10}\) | \( | F_{5} \rangle | | | 0.9044 | 6 | 14747 | 12 | 0.5502 | -0.3680 | 0.0816 |
|     |        | | | | | (0.7278) | (-0.3843) | (0.1484) |
| 80  | Ho\(^{3+}\) | f\(^{10}\) | \( | S_{2} \rangle | | | 0.8429 | 7 | 17660 | 15 | 0.0188 | 0.0463 | 0 |
Table 1 - cont.

| No. | RE ion | Electron eigenstate data | Multipolar asphericity | $A_2(\Psi)$ | $A_4(\Psi)$ | $A_6(\Psi)$ |
|-----|--------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| 81  | Ho$^{3+}$ | $^4L_9$ | 0.9462 | 21 | 28805 | 2 | 1.2573 | 1.0060 | -0.6106 | (1.1349) | (1.1236) | (-0.8368) |
| 82  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4I_{15/2}$ | 0.9852 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.6798 | 0.6933 | 1.7442 | (0.6438) | (0.6850) | (1.7999) |
| 83  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4I_{13/2}$ | 0.9955 | 2 | 6514 | 3 | 0.5670 | 0.4715 | 0.6150 | (0.5569) | (0.4691) | (0.6217) |
| 84  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4I_{11/2}$ | 0.9094 | 3 | 10170 | 5 | 0.3778 | 0.2375 | 0.2376 | (0.5045) | (0.3935) | (0.3399) |
| 85  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4I_{9/2}$ | 0.6985 | 4 | 12286 | 7 | 0.0067 | 0.3294 | 0.5419 | (0.4954) | (0.4904) | (1.1085) |
| 86  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4F_{9/2}$ | 0.7512 | 5 | 15038 | 7 | -0.3412 | 0.3340 | 0.1925 | (-0.7136) | (0.4051) | (-0.1799) |
| 87  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4S_{3/2}$ | 0.8293 | 6 | 18546 | 6 | -0.1689 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| 88  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^2H_{(2)11/2}$ | 0.6715 | 7 | 19185 | 5 | 0.0534 | -0.2887 | 0.4731 | (0.0076) | (-0.5373) | (-0.0066) |
| 89  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4F_{7/2}$ | -0.9610 | 8 | 20192 | 7 | -0.4633 | -0.0505 | 0.4215 | (-0.4601) | (-0.0537) | (0.4552) |
| 90  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4F_{5/2}$ | -0.9254 | 9 | 21953 | 7 | -0.3151 | -0.1413 | 0 | (-0.3220) | (-0.1890) | (0) |
| 91  | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4F_{3/2}$ | -0.7931 | 10 | 22316 | 4 | -0.3403 | 0 | 0 | (-0.3578) | (0) | (0) |
| 92  | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3H_6$ | 0.9956 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1.5291 | 0.9373 | -1.1246 | (1.5158) | (0.9583) | (-1.1386) |
| 93  | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3F_4$ | 0.8028 | 2 | 5308 | 3 | -0.1561 | 0.7045 | 0.6365 | (-0.4672) | (0.2906) | (-0.1558) |
| 94  | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3H_5$ | 1 | 3 | 8192 | 1 | 1.3100 | 0.6833 | -0.4451 | (1.3100) | (0.6833) | (-0.4451) |
| 95  | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3H_4$ | 0.7688 | 4 | 12390 | 3 | 0.7100 | 0.4743 | -0.9252 | (1.2367) | (0.7395) | (-0.7706) |
| 96  | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3F_3$ | 1 | 5 | 13961 | 1 | -0.3416 | 0.0627 | 0.3193 | (-0.3416) | (0.0627) | (0.3193) |
| 97  | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3F_2$ | 0.8805 | 6 | 14659 | 3 | -0.5151 | -0.2386 | 0 | (-0.3187) | (0.1328) | (0) |
| 98  | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3G_4$ | 0.7543 | 7 | 20957 | 3 | 0.5216 | 1.0655 | 0.8922 | (0.3058) | (1.2150) | (1.5299) |
| 99  | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3P_2$ | 0.6938 | 8 | 27041 | 3 | -0.6780 | 0.1478 | 0 | (-0.8366) | (0) | (0) |
| 100 | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3P_0$ | 0.9714 | 9 | 33755 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | (0) | (0) | (0) |
| No. | RE ion | Electron eigenstate data | Multipolar asphericity |
|-----|--------|--------------------------|------------------------|
|     | Ion conf. | Up. Comp. | Ampl. no. | E | n | $A_2(\Psi)$ | $A_4(\Psi)$ | $A_6(\Psi)$ |
| 101 | Tm$^{3+}$ | $f^{12}$ | $|^{1}I_6\rangle$ | 0.9956 | 10 | 34201 | 2 | 3.0185 | -1.4165 | 0.4414 |
|     |         |           |           |       |      |       |   | (3.0318) | (-1.4375) | (0.4554) |
| 102 | Tm$^{3+}$ | $f^{12}$ | $|^{3}P_1\rangle$ | 1 | 11 | 34636 | 1 | 0.5477 | 0 | 0 |
|     |         |           |           |       |      |       |   | (0.5477) | (0) | (0) |
| 103 | Tm$^{3+}$ | $f^{12}$ | $|^{3}P_2\rangle$ | -0.7047 | 12 | 37213 | 3 | -0.9160 | -0.5731 | 0 |
|     |         |           |           |       |      |       |   | (-0.8366) | (0) | (0) |
| 104 | Yb$^{3+}$ | $f^{13}$ | $|^{2}F_{7/2}\rangle$ | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1.3801 | -0.9670 | 0.3054 |
|     |         |           |           |       |      |       |   | (1.3801) | (-0.9670) | (0.3054) |
| 105 | Yb$^{3+}$ | $f^{13}$ | $|^{2}F_{5/2}\rangle$ | 1 | 2 | 10450 | 1 | 1.1711 | -0.7560 | 0 |
|     |         |           |           |       |      |       |   | (1.1711) | (-0.7560) | (0) |
Table 2: Multipole characteristics of the RE$^{3+}$ ion eigenstates (selected from Table 1) distinguished by the strongest (the upper half) and the weakest (the lower half) $A = (A_2^2 + A_4^2 + A_6^2)^{1/2}$

| No. in Table 1 | RE ion | The upper state $^{2S+1}L_J$ | $A$   |
|---------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------|
| 13            | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^{1}I_6$                  | 3.3784|
| 101           | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^{1}I_6$                  | 3.3634|
| 5             | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^{3}H_6$                  | 2.1217|
| 92            | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^{3}H_6$                  | 2.1169|
| 18            | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{4}I_{15/2}$             | 2.0160|
| 41            | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^{6}H_{15/2}$             | 2.0159|
| 62            | Dy$^{3+}$ | $^{6}H_{15/2}$             | 2.0051|
| 82            | Er$^{3+}$ | $^{4}I_{15/2}$             | 1.9962|
| 33            | Pm$^{3+}$ | $^{5}I_8$                  | 1.8909|
| 74            | Ho$^{3+}$ | $^{5}I_8$                  | 1.8301|
| 23            | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{4}S_{3/2}$              | 0.0361|
| 80            | Ho$^{3+}$ | $^{5}S_2$                  | 0.0500|
| 72            | Dy$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{3/2}$              | 0.0502|
| 42            | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{3/2}$              | 0.0565|
| 43            | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{5/2}$              | 0.1068|
| 71            | Dy$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{5/2}$              | 0.1503|
| 87            | Er$^{3+}$ | $^{4}S_{3/2}$              | 0.1688|
| 34            | Pm$^{3+}$ | $^{5}F_1$                  | 0.2180|
| 25            | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{2}H(2)_{11/2}$          | 0.2402|
| 28            | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{2}P_{3/2}$              | 0.2818|
Table 3: Multipole characteristics of the RE$^{+3}$ ion eigenstates (selected from Table 1) distinguished by the strongest $|A_k|$.

| No. in Table 1 | RE ion | The upper state $^{2S+1}L_J$ | $A_2$ |
|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|------|
| 13             | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^1I_6$                     | -3.0273 |
| 101            | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^1I_6$                     | 3.0185 |
| 41             | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^6H_{15/2}$                | 1.5794 |
| 62             | Dy$^{3+}$ | $^6H_{15/2}$                | -1.5745 |
| 92             | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3H_6$                     | 1.5291 |
| 5              | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^3H_6$                     | -1.5204 |
| 55             | Tb$^{3+}$ | $^7F_6$                     | -1.5028 |
| 53             | Eu$^{3+}$ | $^7F_6$                     | 1.4991 |
| 2              | Ce$^{3+}$ | $^2F_{7/2}$                 | -1.3801 |
| 104            | Yb$^{3+}$ | $^2F_{7/2}$                 | 1.3801 |

| No. in Table 1 | RE ion | The upper state $^{2S+1}L_J$ | $A_4$ |
|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|------|
| 13             | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^1I_6$                     | 1.4303 |
| 101            | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^1I_6$                     | -1.4165 |
| 98             | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^1G_4$                     | 1.0665 |
| 2              | Ce$^{3+}$ | $^2F_{7/2}$                 | 0.9670 |
| 104            | Yb$^{3+}$ | $^2F_{7/2}$                 | -0.9670 |
| 5              | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^3H_6$                     | -0.9511 |
| 92             | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3H_6$                     | 0.9373 |
| 9              | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^1G_4$                     | -0.9275 |
| 41             | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^6H_{15/2}$                | 0.9086 |
| 62             | Dy$^{3+}$ | $^6H_{15/2}$                | -0.9047 |

| No. in Table 1 | RE ion | The upper state $^{2S+1}L_J$ | $A_6$ |
|----------------|--------|-----------------------------|------|
| 18             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^4I_{15/2}$                | -1.7765 |
| 82             | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4I_{15/2}$                | 1.7442 |
| 33             | Pm$^{3+}$ | $^5I_8$                     | 1.6571 |
| 74             | Ho$^{3+}$ | $^5I_8$                     | -1.6074 |
| 5              | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^3H_6$                     | 1.1338 |
| 92             | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3H_6$                     | -1.1246 |
| 15             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^4I_{9/2}$                 | -1.0773 |
| 9              | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^1G_4$                     | -1.0310 |
| 95             | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^3H_4$                     | -0.9252 |
| 98             | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^1G_4$                     | 0.8922 |
Table 4: Multipole characteristics of the RE$^{+3}$ ion eigenstates (selected from Table 1) distinguished by the weakest $|A_k|$.

| No. in Table 1 | RE ion | The upper state $^{28+1}L_J$ | $A_2$ | $A_4$ | $A_6$ |
|----------------|--------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| 43             | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{5/2}$ | 0.0033 |       |       |
| 85             | Er$^{3+}$ | $^{4}I_{9/2}$ | 0.0067 |       |       |
| 80             | Ho$^{3+}$ | $^{5}S_{2}$ | 0.0188 |       |       |
| 26             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{4}G_{5/2}$ | 0.0321 |       |       |
| 71             | Dy$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{5/2}$ | -0.0340 |       |       |
| 23             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{4}S_{3/2}$ | 0.0362 |       |       |
| 9              | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^{1}G_{4}$ | -0.0421 |       |       |
| 72             | Dy$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{3/2}$ | -0.0502 |       |       |
| 88             | Er$^{3+}$ | $^{2}H(2)_{11/2}$ | 0.0534 |       |       |
| 42             | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{3/2}$ | 0.0565 |       |       |
| 25             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{2}H(2)_{11/2}$ | 0.0001 |       |       |
| 38             | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^{6}H_{11/2}$ | -0.0042 |       |       |
| 80             | Ho$^{3+}$ | $^{5}S_{2}$ | 0.0463 |       |       |
| 89             | Er$^{3+}$ | $^{4}F_{7/2}$ | -0.0505 |       |       |
| 22             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{4}F_{7/2}$ | 0.0533 |       |       |
| 7              | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^{3}F_{3}$ | -0.0627 |       |       |
| 96             | Tm$^{3+}$ | $^{3}F_{3}$ | 0.0627 |       |       |
| 21             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{2}H(2)_{9/2}$ | -0.0638 |       |       |
| 6              | Pr$^{3+}$ | $^{3}F_{2}$ | -0.0909 |       |       |
| 45             | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{9/2}$ | -0.1020 |       |       |
| 46             | Sm$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{11/2}$ | -0.0652 |       |       |
| 21             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{2}H(2)_{9/2}$ | -0.0732 |       |       |
| 79             | Ho$^{3+}$ | $^{5}F_{0}$ | 0.0816 |       |       |
| 24             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{4}F_{9/2}$ | 0.1040 |       |       |
| 86             | Er$^{3+}$ | $^{4}F_{9/2}$ | 0.1925 |       |       |
| 27             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{4}G_{7/2}$ | 0.1939 |       |       |
| 25             | Nd$^{3+}$ | $^{2}H(2)_{11/2}$ | -0.2027 |       |       |
| 70             | Dy$^{3+}$ | $^{6}F_{7/2}$ | 0.2116 |       |       |
| 50             | Eu$^{3+}$ | $^{7}F_{3}$ | -0.2154 |       |       |
| 53             | Eu$^{3+}$ | $^{7}F_{6}$ | 0.2161 |       |       |
Table 5: Multipole characteristics of the RE$^{+3}$ ion eigenstates (selected from Table 1) distinguished by the largest $|A_k|/A$

| No. in Table 1 | RE ion $^{28+1}L_J$ | A$_2$/A | A$_4$/A | A$_6}$/A |
|----------------|---------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 14             | Pr$^{3+}$ $^3P_2$   | 0.9917  |         |         |
| 99             | Tm$^{3+}$ $^3P_2$   | -0.9771 |         |         |
| 6              | Pr$^{3+}$ $^3F_2$   | 0.9659  |         |         |
| 35             | Sm$^{3+}$ $^6H_{5/2}$ | 0.9538  |         |         |
| 64             | Dy$^{3+}$ $^6H_{11/2}$ | -0.9528 |         |         |
| 69             | Dy$^{3+}$ $^6H_{5/2}$ | -0.9488 |         |         |
| 21             | Nd$^{3+}$ $^2H(2)_{9/2}$ | 0.9486  |         |         |
| 63             | Dy$^{3+}$ $^6H_{13/2}$ | -0.9233 |         |         |
| 39             | Sm$^{3+}$ $^6H_{13/2}$ | 0.9227  |         |         |
| 90             | Er$^{3+}$ $^4F_{5/2}$ | -0.9124 |         |         |
| 43             | Sm$^{3+}$ $^6F_{5/2}$ | -1.0000 |         |         |
| 26             | Nd$^{3+}$ $^4G_{5/2}$ | 0.9977  |         |         |
| 71             | Dy$^{3+}$ $^6F_{5/2}$ | 0.9731  |         |         |
| 80             | Ho$^{3+}$ $^5S_2$   | 0.9165  |         |         |
| 8              | Pr$^{3+}$ $^3F_4$   | -0.8541 |         |         |
| 93             | Tm$^{3+}$ $^3F_4$   | 0.7322  |         |         |
| 98             | Tm$^{3+}$ $^1G_4$   | 0.7181  |         |         |
| 49             | Eu$^{3+}$ $^7F_2$   | -0.6826 |         |         |
| 59             | Tb$^{3+}$ $^7F_2$   | 0.6759  |         |         |
| 9              | Pr$^{3+}$ $^1G_4$   | -0.6655 |         |         |
| 18             | Nd$^{3+}$ $^4I_{15/2}$ | -0.8812 |         |         |
| 74             | Ho$^{3+}$ $^5I_8$   | -0.8783 |         |         |
| 33             | Pm$^{3+}$ $^5I_8$   | 0.8764  |         |         |
| 82             | Er$^{3+}$ $^4I_{15/2}$ | 0.8737  |         |         |
| 85             | Er$^{3+}$ $^4I_{9/2}$ | 0.8545  |         |         |
| 88             | Er$^{3+}$ $^2H(2)_{11/2}$ | 0.8496  |         |         |
| 15             | Nd$^{3+}$ $^4I_{9/2}$ | -0.8485 |         |         |
| 25             | Nd$^{3+}$ $^2H(2)_{11/2}$ | -0.8440 |         |         |
| 57             | Tb$^{3+}$ $^7F_4$   | -0.7846 |         |         |
| 51             | Eu$^{3+}$ $^7F_4$   | 0.7794  |         |         |
Table 6: Multipole characteristics of the RE$^{+3}$ ion eigenstates (selected from Table 1) distinguished by the smallest $|A_k|/A$

| No. in Table 1 | RE ion | The upper state $^{28+1}L_J$ | $A_2/A$ |
|---------------|--------|-------------------------------|----------|
| 85            | Er$^{3+}$ | $^4I_{9/2}$                  | 0.0000   |
| 9             | Pr$^{3+}$  | $^3G_4$                      | -0.0300  |
| 26            | Nd$^{3+}$  | $^4G_{5/2}$                  | 0.0671   |
| 88            | Er$^{3+}$  | $^2H(2)_{11/2}$              | 0.0970   |
| 93            | Tm$^{3+}$  | $^3F_4$                      | -0.1625  |
| 51            | Eu$^{3+}$  | $^7F_4$                      | 0.1664   |
| 57            | Tb$^{3+}$  | $^7F_4$                      | -0.1766  |
| 70            | Dy$^{3+}$  | $^6F_{7/2}$                  | 0.2064   |
| 71            | Dy$^{3+}$  | $^6F_{5/2}$                  | -0.2304  |
| 18            | Nd$^{3+}$  | $^4I_{9/2}$                  | -0.3268  |
|               |         | $A_4/A$                      |          |
| 89            | Er$^{3+}$  | $^4F_{7/2}$                  | -0.0812  |
| 22            | Nd$^{3+}$  | $^4F_{7/2}$                  | -0.0837  |
| 68            | Dy$^{3+}$  | $^6H_{7/2}$                  | 0.1054   |
| 66            | Dy$^{3+}$  | $^6H_{9/2}$                  | 0.1249   |
| 14            | Pr$^{3+}$  | $^3P_2$                      | 0.1288   |
| 7             | Pr$^{3+}$  | $^3F_3$                      | -0.1323  |
| 96            | Tm$^{3+}$  | $^3F_3$                      | 0.1323   |
| 37            | Sm$^{3+}$  | $^6H_{9/2}$                  | -0.1503  |
| 64            | Dy$^{3+}$  | $^6H_{11/2}$                 | 0.1926   |
| 21            | Nd$^{3+}$  | $^2H(2)_{9/2}$               | -0.2078  |
|               |         | $A_6/A$                      |          |
| 46            | Sm$^{3+}$  | $^6F_{11/2}$                 | -0.1187  |
| 79            | Ho$^{3+}$  | $^5F_5$                      | 0.1229   |
| 53            | Eu$^{3+}$  | $^7F_6$                      | 0.1292   |
| 55            | Tb$^{3+}$  | $^7F_6$                      | -0.1296  |
| 101           | Tm$^{3+}$  | $^1I_6$                      | 0.1311   |
| 13            | Pr$^{3+}$  | $^1I_6$                      | -0.1334  |
| 24            | Nd$^{3+}$  | $^4F_{9/2}$                  | 0.1578   |
| 2             | Ce$^{3+}$  | $^2F_{7/2}$                  | -0.1783  |
| 104           | Yb$^{3+}$  | $^2F_{7/2}$                  | 0.1783   |
| 64            | Dy$^{3+}$  | $^6H_{11/2}$                 | -0.2347  |