Thrombocytosis as a Prognostic Marker of Survival in Patients with Head and Neck Tumors
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: The correlation between cancer-related thrombocytosis and worse survival has been described with a variety of solid neoplasms. However, only limited data are available on the prognostic significance of thrombocytosis in patients with head and neck tumors.

We aimed to investigate the association between the survival of patients with head and neck cancer and the elevated platelet count.

Methods: We conducted an analysis of the data from 339 patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma of various stages and locations. Preoperative platelet counts were analysed; thrombocytosis was defined as 300 G/L or higher. The influence of platelet count on survival was calculated with the Kaplan–Meier method, as well as with multivariate Cox regression.

Results: In patients with excessive thrombocytosis, survival was significantly worse even after adjusting the multivariate analysis for gender, age, as well as tumor stage, grade, and location. The magnitude of thrombocytosis differed among tumors of different anatomical location.

Conclusion: Thrombocytosis may be related to a worse survival in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma patients. The impact of elevated platelet count appears to vary with the anatomical location of the tumor – this feature may be worth further investigation.
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Introduction

Each year, 500,000 patients are diagnosed with head and neck tumors worldwide [1]. This condition, which is the 8th most common cause of death, contributes 6% of all malignancies [2]. Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinomas (HNSCC) require individualized therapy with three components—that is, surgery, irradiation, and chemotherapy. A prognostic marker for predicting therapeutic response or survival would be of great help in developing an appropriate treatment strategy. Possible candidates include conventional haematological indices such as Complete...
Epstein–Barr virus, etc.) were incomplete and hence, these were excluded patients with leukocytosis or reduced CBC. Taking platelet infarction), and concomitant therapy with corticosteroids. We excluded patients with another synchronous tumor, inflammatory conditions (pharyngeal-cutaneous fistula, pneumonia, wound infection, abscess, cholecystitis, cannule sepsis, endocarditis, urinary tract infection, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, etc.), thromboembolic events (deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction), and concomitant therapy with corticosteroids. We excluded patients with leukocytosis or reduced CBC. Taking platelet aggregation inhibitors was not allowed for at least a month before treatment. Based on these criteria, we had to exclude 237 of 549 patients. They were staged according to the 7th edition of the TNM classification of the American Joint Committee on Cancer. The data available on the factors predisposing to malignancy (smoking, alcohol consumption, infection by human papilloma virus or the Epstein–Barr virus, etc.) were incomplete and hence, these were disregarded in our study. OS was defined as the period from the start date of treatment to the date of death from any cause – or to the last date of observation, whereas DFS was calculated as the time from the start date of treatment and to the date of the detection of recurrence.

When describing the characteristics of the study population, we calculated means and Standard Deviation (SD) for normally distributed data, and medians with Interquartile Range (IQR) for data with non-normal distribution. We applied cross-tabulation and non-parametric tests (Wilcoxon rank-sum test, Kruskall-Wallis test) when comparing the characteristics of subgroups within the sample. We analysed survival data using the Kaplan-Meier method, and compared the survival estimates of the subgroups with high or low platelet counts using the log-rank test. We defined 300 G/L as the cut-off value for high platelet count. When determining the optimal cutoff value, we followed the following process: we run logistic regression models with 3-year survival status as the dependent variable, and cut-off-values from the entire range of platelet-count rounded to 10. We calculated the area under ROC curve for each cut-off-value and selected the optimal cut-off based on the largest area under ROC. With this method, we selected 300 G/l as the optimal cut-off-value, a level somewhat lower than the clinical threshold of 400 G/l for thrombocytosis. We analysed 5-year overall survival and disease-free survival using multivariable Cox-proportional hazard-regression models, treating platelets as continuous, as well as discrete categorical variables. We tested the effect of multiple-category covariates using the Wald-test. We tested the proportional hazard assumption for the Cox-regression models according to the method proposed by Grambsch and Terneau [24] and tested goodness of fit on 4 quantiles of risk as proposed by May and Hosmer [4]. All statistical analyses were performed with the Stata 14.2 statistical software package [25].

Materials and Methods

The study conforms with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki), printed in the British Medical Journal (18 July 1964). The study was approved by the Scientific and Research Ethics Committee of the Hungarian Medical Research Council (Nr. 8951-3/2015/EKU (0444/15). We conducted a retrospective analysis on the clinical data of patients managed for primary malignancies of the oral cavity, the pharynx, and the larynx at two ear-nose-throat and oncology centres in Hungary (Uzsoki Street Hospital, and Medical Centre, Hungarian Defence Forces), between 2000 and 2014. The criteria for inclusion comprised the diagnosis of Squamous Cell Carcinoma (SCC) confirmed by histology, and the availability of laboratory findings obtained at least one month before treatment. The exclusion criteria were as follows: residual tumor or distant metastasis after surgery, the presence of another synchronous tumor, inflammatory conditions (pharyngeal-cutaneous fistula, pneumonia, wound infection, abscess, cholecystitis, cannule sepsis, endocarditis, urinary tract infection, Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis, etc.), thromboembolic events (deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, myocardial infarction), and concomitant therapy with corticosteroids. We excluded patients with leukocytosis or reduced CBC. Taking platelet aggregation inhibitors was not allowed for at least a month before treatment. Based on these criteria, we had to exclude 237 of 549 patients. They were staged according to the 7th edition of the TNM classification of the American Joint Committee on Cancer. The data available on the factors predisposing to malignancy (smoking, alcohol consumption, infection by human papilloma virus or the Epstein–Barr virus, etc.) were incomplete and hence, these were disregarded in our study. OS was defined as the period from the
and chemotherapy in 46 patients (14.7%), and radiotherapy in 40 patients (12.8%). Altogether 266 (85.3%), 125 (40.1%) and 111 (35.6%) patients underwent surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy at any time during the follow-up, respectively.

**Table 1:** Patient characteristics by tumor location.

| Hypopharynx | Mesopharynx | Oral cavity | Sub/Supraglottis | Multiple | Vocal Cord | Total | p |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|----------|------------|-------|---|
| No. (%)     | No. (%)     | No. (%)     | No. (%)         | No. (%)  | No. (%)    | No. (%)|   |
| Total       |             |             |                 |          |            |       |   |
| Gender      |             |             |                 |          |            |       |   |
| Female      | 19 (17.3)   | 13 (31)     | 4 (28.6)        | 20 (29.9)| 2 (25)     | 10 (14.1) | 68 (21.8)| 0.116 |
| Male        | 91 (82.7)   | 29 (69)     | 10 (71.4)       | 47 (70.1)| 6 (75)     | 61 (85.9) | 244 (78.2)|       |
| Age group   |             |             |                 |          |            |       | <0.001|
| <65 years   | 102 (92.7)  | 34 (81)     | 11 (78.6)       | 52 (77.6)| 7 (87.5)   | 46 (64.8) | 252 (80.8)|       |
| >=65 years  | 8 (7.3)     | 8 (19)      | 3 (21.4)        | 15 (22.4)| 1 (12.5)   | 25 (35.2) | 60 (19.2) |       |
| Primary tumor (T) | | | | | | | | |
| 1           | 11 (10)     | 11 (26.2)   | 7 (50)          | 8 (11.9)| 1 (12.5)   | 26 (36.6) | 64 (20.5)| <0.001|
| 2           | 24 (21.8)   | 17 (40.5)   | 5 (35.7)        | 32 (47.8)| 0 (0)      | 18 (25.4) | 96 (30.8)|       |
| 3           | 57 (51.8)   | 14 (33.3)   | 1 (7.1)         | 15 (22.4)| 4 (50)     | 13 (18.3) | 104 (33.3)|       |
| 4           | 18 (16.4)   | 0 (0)       | 1 (7.1)         | 12 (17.9)| 3 (37.5)   | 14 (19.7) | 48 (15.4) |       |
| Lymph node (N) |           |             |                 |          |            |       |     |
| 0           | 32 (29.1)   | 10 (23.8)   | 11 (78.6)       | 33 (49.3)| 3 (37.5)   | 66 (93)  | 155 (49.7)|       |
| 1           | 30 (27.3)   | 15 (35.7)   | 1 (7.1)         | 15 (22.4)| 3 (37.5)   | 1 (1.4)  | 110 (35.6)| <0.001|
| 2           | 43 (39.1)   | 16 (38.1)   | 2 (14.3)        | 19 (28.4)| 1 (12.5)   | 4 (5.6)  | 85 (27.2)|       |
| 3           | 5 (4.5)     | 1 (2.4)     | 0 (0)           | 0 (0)    | 1 (12.5)   | 0 (0)    | 7 (2.2)  |       |
| Metastasis (M) |           |             |                 |          |            |       | NA    |
| 0           | 110 (100)   | 42 (100)    | 14 (100)        | 67 (100) | 8 (100)    | 71 (100) | 312 (100)|       |
| 1           | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)     | 0 (0.0)         | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)    | 0 (0.0)  | 0 (0.0)  |       |
| Stage       |             |             |                 |          |            |       | <0.001|
| 1           | 3 (2.7)     | 4 (9.5)     | 5 (35.7)        | 6 (9)    | 0 (0)      | 27 (38)  | 45 (14.4)|       |
| 2           | 10 (9.1)    | 5 (11.9)    | 4 (28.6)        | 15 (22.4)| 0 (0)      | 18 (25.4) | 52 (16.7)|       |
| 3           | 41 (37.3)   | 16 (38.1)   | 2 (14.3)        | 19 (28.4)| 3 (37.5)   | 12 (16.9) | 93 (29.8)|       |
| 4           | 56 (50.9)   | 17 (40.5)   | 3 (21.4)        | 27 (40.3)| 5 (62.5)   | 14 (19.7) | 122 (39.1)|       |
| Grade       |             |             |                 |          |            |       | 0.443 |
| 1           | 6 (5.5)     | 1 (2.4)     | 2 (14.3)        | 5 (7.5)  | 0 (0)      | 12 (16.9) | 26 (8.3) |       |
| 2           | 35 (31.8)   | 10 (23.8)   | 6 (42.9)        | 24 (35.8)| 3 (37.5)   | 24 (33.8) | 102 (32.7)|       |
| 3           | 33 (30)     | 11 (26.2)   | 2 (14.3)        | 17 (25.4)| 2 (25)     | 17 (23.9) | 82 (26.3)|       |
| 4           | 0 (0)       | 1 (2.4)     | 0 (0)           | 1 (1.5)  | 0 (0)      | 1 (1.4)  | 3 (1)   |       |
| Unknown     | 36 (32.7)   | 19 (45.2)   | 4 (28.6)        | 20 (29.9)| 3 (37.5)   | 17 (23.9) | 99 (31.7)|       |
| Platelet count >300 | | | | | | | | |
| No          | 62 (56.4)   | 34 (81)     | 9 (64.3)        | 43 (64.2)| 4 (50)     | 55 (77.5) | 207 (66.3)| 0.016 |
| Yes         | 48 (43.6)   | 8 (19)      | 5 (35.7)        | 24 (35.8)| 4 (50)     | 16 (22.5) | 105 (33.7)|       |
| 5-year mortality |        |             |                 |          |            |       | 0.019*|
| Deceased    | 48 (43.6)   | 16 (38.1)   | 3 (21.4)        | 30 (44.8)| 4 (50)     | 14 (19.7) | 115 (36.9)|       |

Note: *log-rank test chi2 (df=1) = 13.47, p=0.0193.

Platelet counts showed a right-skewed distribution (skewness=0.652, p=0.001). Median platelet-count was 264 G/L, the inter-quartile range was 116 (25-75th percentiles: 206.5-322.5). Platelet count differed by the status, stage, and location of the primary tumor and the lymph-node spread (Kruskal-Wallis test p-values: p=0.015, p<0.001, p=0.031, p=0.025 respectively). More advanced primary tumor status, stage and lymph node spread were associated with higher median platelet-counts. The median platelet-count was 286 in hypopharyngeal, 251 in mesopharyngeal, 284 in oral, 273 in sub-supraglottic, 308 in multiple-site, and 245 in vocal cord tumors (Figures 1 & 2). Platelet counts over 300 G/L occurred in 43.6%, 19.1%, 35.7%, 35.8%, 50.0%, 22.5% of patients with tumors in these locations, respectively (Figure 1) and in 33.6% of the entire sample. The median survival of patients with platelet counts <300 G/L or >=300G/L was 98.7 months (95%CI: 80.7-124.4), and 35.4 months (95%CI: 30.9-∞), respectively. The difference was significant (Log-rank test chi2(df=1) = 7.20, p=0.007). The Kaplan-Meier curves for 5-year overall survival.
are shown in Figure 2. Median disease-free survival was 78.5 (46.8-94.4) and 35.5 (17.5-∞) months for patients with platelet counts <300 G/l or ≥300 G/l, respectively. The difference was not significant (Log-rank test chi2(df=1)=1.70, p=0.192). The Kaplan-Meier curves for 5-year disease-free survival are shown in Figure 3.

**Figure 1:** Box-plots of platelet count by tumor location.
Note: Thick lines in box-plots: medians, box borders: interquartile ranges, whiskers: the upper and lower fences, dots: outliers.

**Figure 2:** The relationship between platelet count and 5-year overall survival (Kaplan-Meier estimate).
Note: Vertical ticks on survival curves indicate censored cases.

**Figure 3:** The relationship between platelet count and 5-year disease-free survival (Kaplan-Meier estimate).
Note: Vertical ticks on survival curves indicate censored cases.
Platelet-count was a significant predictor of overall survival in the 5-year, multivariable Cox-proportional hazards regression analysis, after adjustment for the patients’ age, gender (Table 2), tumor stage and grade, as well as white and red blood cell counts. The Hazard Ratio (HR) was 1.003 (95% CI: 1.000-1.005, p=0.027). Among the control variables, male gender and advanced tumor status (stage 3-4) were associated with a significant increase of mortality risk. The effect of tumor stage on overall mortality was significant (Wald test p=0.011). The overall model was highly significant (LR test chi(17)2=49.40, p<0.0001). The proportional hazard assumption was not violated, and the model’s goodness of fit was acceptable. Using 300-G/L platelet-count as the cut-off-value, the results were similar to those of the analyses using platelets as a continuous variable. High platelet count was associated with a nearly two-fold increase in 5-year mortality risk. Male gender and advanced tumor stage were associated with an increased, while higher red blood cell count was associated with a reduced 5-year mortality risk. 5-year survival was not affected by tumor location in the multivariable analyses. Tumor recurrence was not affected significantly by platelet levels over 300 G/L (data not shown). Multivariable Cox regression showed no association between disease-free survival and platelet-count included as a continuous variable in the model. The proportional hazard assumption was not violated, while the significant goodness of fit test suggested poor model fit for both the binary and continuous Cox-regression analyses of disease-free survival.

Table 2: The results of the multivariable analysis of patient data (Cox regression analysis).

| Variable                  | Category        | Hazard-ratio (95% CI) | p     | Hazard-ratio (95% CI) | p     |
|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|
| Platelet count            |                 | 1.003* (1.000-1.005)  | 0.027 |                       |       |
| Platelet count ≥300 G/L   |                 | 1.933** (1.626-2.960) | 0.002 |                       |       |
| Age group b               | ≥65y old        | 0.876 (0.497-1.544)   | 0.648 | 0.862 (0.488-1.523)   | 0.609 |
| Gender c                  | Male            | 1.965* (1.156-3.342)  | 0.013 | 1.923* (1.134-3.261)  | 0.015 |
| Stage d                   | 2               | 2.057 (0.722-5.866)   | 0.177 | 1.998 (0.702-5.685)   | 0.195 |
|                           | 3               | 2.820* (1.046-7.605)  | 0.041 | 2.834* (1.053-7.628)  | 0.039 |
|                           | 4               | 4.241** (1.591-11.30) | 0.004 | 4.139** (1.551-11.04) | 0.005 |
| Grade e                   | 2               | 1.753 (0.670-4.590)   | 0.253 | 1.54 (0.592-4.006)    | 0.376 |
|                           | 3               | 2.229 (0.846-5.872)   | 0.105 | 1.864 (0.716-4.853)   | 0.202 |
|                           | 4               | 2.334 (0.257-21.16)   | 0.451 | 1.941 (0.217-17.35)   | 0.553 |
| Location f                | Sub/Supraglottis| 1.444 (0.894-2.332)   | 0.133 | 1.392 (0.862-2.248)   | 0.176 |
|                           | Multiple        | 1.307 (0.456-3.754)   | 0.619 | 1.309 (0.456-3.756)   | 0.617 |
|                           | Vocal cord      | 0.851 (0.440-1.644)   | 0.63  | 0.799 (0.413-1.547)   | 0.506 |
| White blood cell count    |                 | 0.931 (0.861-1.007)   | 0.076 | 0.937 (0.864-1.015)   | 0.112 |
| Red blood cell count      |                 | 0.664* (0.443-0.995)  | 0.047 | 0.689 (0.465-1.022)   | 0.064 |
| Observations              |                 | 311                   |       | 311                   |       |
| Proportional hazard test – global (p) | | 0.125 | | 0.125 |
| Proportional hazard test – platelet count (p) | | | 0.962 |
blood cell counts, showed that platelet count was a significant hazard in regression analysis, after adjustment for the patients' red blood cell count. Besides this, multivariable Cox-proportional hazards regression analysis confirmed this finding. Using 300-G/L platelet count as the cut-off, higher red blood cell count was associated with a reduced 5-year mortality risk. In our study population of patients with head and neck tumors, we observed a significant correlation between thrombocytosis and survival. Male gender was associated with a higher platelet count and poor survival. The head and neck region is regarded as an integrated system (consisting of the nasal cavity, the paranasal sinuses, the oral cavity, the salivary glands, the pharynx and the larynx) and the predominant malignancy in this region is squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).

Notwithstanding this, the behaviour of tumor-associated thrombocytosis appears to be different in specific parts of this organ system. According to some observations, platelet counts are lower in patients with tumors of the oral cavity, or of the larynx (sub/supraglottic or vocal cord lesions) than in those with meso- or hypopharyngeal tumors. In the latter (hypopharyngeal) location, the correlation between platelet count and disease-specific survival has been found to be particularly strong. By contrast, other authors reported worse survival in patients with oral [39], or nasopharyngeal tumors [40]. Our study also found different platelet counts and 5-year survival rates depending on the anatomical location of the tumor. However, the multivariate Cox regression analysis did not confirm a significant relationship between anatomical location and survival. In view of the small number of cases, we did not perform a location-specific analysis of the relationship between thrombocytosis and survival. Male gender

### Discussion

The relationship between thrombocytosis and a worse survival has been observed in several types of solid tumors [26,27]. The underlying pathomechanism is still unknown yet, but several hypotheses have been proposed, based on the following observations. On one hand, platelets can enhance tumor growth and stimulate angiogenesis by secreting proangiogenic cytokines [28]. On the other hand, platelets may be involved in the formation of metastases by cloaking tumor cells and thereby protecting them both from mechanical damage [29,30], and from the immune defences of the body [31,32]. By expressing immunoregulatory proteins on their surface, the platelets attached to the tumor cells can defend the latter against Natural Killer (NK) cells [33,34]. Moreover, platelets also express Major Histocompatibility Complex Class I (MHC-I) in abundant quantities. That is, adherent platelets confer a false phenotype to the tumor cells, and thereby interfere with the recognition of the malignant cells by the immune system [33]. This process is based on a paraneoplastic pathway, the starting point of which is the elevation of serum IL-6 level [23]. Because the pathomechanism has not yet been fully clarified, the possibility of reactive thrombocytosis cannot be excluded either. In order to eliminate this option, we excluded patients with leukocytosis or reduced CBC from our study. We confirmed the known correlation of reduced CBC and survival in patients with HNSCC. In general, a certain degree of anaemia develops before treatment in 30 to 50 per cent of cancer patients, and this proportion may increase to 50-70% during anti-tumor therapy. Anaemia impairs the patients’ quality of life on one hand, and reduces therapeutic efficacy on the other – thus, it may lessen the chances for cure and survival [35,36].

However, it is still controversial whether anaemia might be the underlying cause of thrombocytosis and poor survival in cancer patients. According to CHEN et al. [37], anaemia, monocytosis, and thrombocytosis were independent risk factors in their study population of patients with head and neck tumors – our study also confirmed this finding. Using 300-G/L platelet-count as the cut-off-value, higher red blood cell count was associated with a reduced 5-year mortality risk. Besides this, multivariable Cox-proportional hazards regression analysis, after adjustment for the patients’ red blood cell counts, showed that platelet-count was a significant predictor of 5-year overall survival. Our study population comprised cancer patients undergoing therapy according to international standards for malignancies of diverse stages, grades, and locations. The analysis of our findings obtained in HNSCC patients with Kaplan–Meier’s log-rank test showed a significantly worse survival, if the platelet count exceeded 300 G/L. The multivariate analysis also detected – in contrast with results from other studies – a significant correlation between thrombocytosis and 5-year survival [38]. The previous studies investigating the relationship of thrombocytosis and HNSCC yielded results similar to ours. However, in a proportion of these studies, the number of eligible patients was reduced by limitations on the anatomical location of the tumor or on the use of a specific therapeutic modality, and the majority of these trials applied univariate analysis without performing a multivariate analysis in addition. An even bigger problem may be that the cut-off value for establishing thrombocytosis varied between 250 and 400 G/L [39-45] – this makes it impossible to compare the results and to draw an overall conclusion [46]. Remarkably, RACHIDI et al. [41], as well as CHEN et al. [37] found a correlation between both lower and higher platelet counts and poor survival. The head and neck region is regarded as an integrated system (consisting of the nasal cavity, the paranasal sinuses, the oral cavity, the salivary glands, the pharynx and the larynx) and the predominant malignancy in this region is squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC).

### Table

| Proportional hazard test – platelet count³300 G/L (p) | 0.813 |
| Goodness of fit score test (p) | 0.34 | 0.65 |

Note: Exponentiated coefficients

*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001.
was associated with an increased 5-year mortality, though the association was not significant. LIN et al found similar difference in prognosis between males and females. High platelet count was associated with decreased OS in males but not in females. They performed gene expression analyses as well, which showed that females have higher immune cell infiltration in the tumor microenvironment [47]. Other studies have suggested greater activity in male platelets, which may lead to worse cancer prognosis [48-50]. In summary of our findings, we can conclude that – in a patient cohort with tumors with a variety of possible anatomical locations, stages, and treatment modalities – we found significant correlation between platelet count and worse survival in patients with head and neck tumors. However, the variable, anatomical location-dependent influence of platelet count may be a possible clue worth for further investigation, as this might bring us closer to understanding the relationship of thrombocytosis, solid tumors, and poor survival.
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