THE COLD NEUTRON STAR IN THE SOFT X-RAY TRANSIENT 1H 1905+000
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ABSTRACT

We report on our analysis of 300 ks of Chandra observations of the neutron star soft X-ray transient 1H 1905+000 in quiescence. We do not detect the source down to a 95% confidence unabsorbed flux upper limit of \(2 \times 10^{-16}\) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) in the 0.5–10 keV energy range for an assumed \(\Gamma = 2\) power-law spectral model. A limit of \(1.4 \times 10^{-16}\) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) is derived if we assume that the spectrum of 1H 1905+000 in quiescence is described with a blackbody of temperature of 0.2 keV. For the upper limit to the source distance of 10 kpc this yields a 0.5–10 keV luminosity limit of \(2.4 \times 10^{37}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\) for the abovementioned power law or blackbody spectrum, respectively. This luminosity limit is lower than the luminosity of A0620−00, the weakest black hole soft X-ray transient in quiescence reported so far. Together with the uncertainties in relating the mass transfer and mass accretion rates we come to the conclusion that the claim that there is evidence for the presence of a black hole event horizon on the basis of a lower quiescent luminosity for black holes than for neutron stars is unproven. We also briefly discuss the implications of the low quiescent luminosity of 1H 1905+000 for the neutron star equation of state. Using deep Magellan images of the field of 1H 1905+000 obtained at excellent observing conditions, we do not detect the quiescent counterpart of 1H 1905+000 at the position of the outburst optical counterpart down to a magnitude limit of \(i' > 25.3\). This can be converted to a limit on the absolute magnitude of the counterpart of \(I > 9.6\), which implies that the counterpart can only be a brown or a white dwarf.
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Online material: color figure

1. INTRODUCTION

Low-mass X-ray binaries (LMXBs) are binary systems in which a compact object, either a neutron star or a black hole, accretes matter from a companion star that has a mass of typically less than 1 \(M_\odot\). Such systems are excellent test beds for a range of astrophysical questions and probe fundamental physics. Theories, such as Einstein’s theory of general relativity, can be tested in the strong field regime by comparing the observed black hole and neutron star properties. The presence of an event horizon in the case of a black hole is such a prediction. Observations of neutron star LMXBs can also help constrain the equation of state of matter at supranuclear densities encountered in the neutron star core.

Observationally, it was found that in general the luminosity of quiescent black hole LMXBs is lower than that of quiescent neutron star LMXBs (e.g., Garcia et al. 2001; Kong et al. 2002; Jonker et al. 2006). However, recent Chandra and XMM-Newton observations of several transient neutron star LMXBs in quiescence have shown that the luminosities of these sources span a much larger range extending to lower luminosities than previously thought (e.g., Tomsick et al. 2005; Wijnands et al. 2005).

The neutron star transient with the lowest quiescent X-ray luminosity is 1H 1905+000. Using a 25 ks long observation of 1H 1905+000 with the Chandra satellite, Jonker et al. (2006) did not detect the source in quiescence down to a luminosity of \(1.8 \times 10^{31}\) ergs s\(^{-1}\). This means that the neutron star luminosity in this source is comparable to that of several quiescent black hole LMXBs. For a recent introduction on 1H 1905+000, for a more detailed introduction on the difference in quiescent luminosity between neutron star and black hole LMXBs, and for an explanation why these transient neutron stars in quiescence can provide information on the neutron star equation of state we refer to Jonker et al. (2006; see also Yakovlev & Pethick 2004 and Heinke et al. 2007 for the latter subject).

In this Letter, we present our analysis of a long Chandra and a deep Magellan observation of 1H 1905+000 obtained with the aim of detecting the source or providing a stringent limit on the source flux and the source luminosity in quiescence.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND ANALYSIS

2.1. Chandra X-Ray Observations

We have observed 1H 1905+000 with the back-illuminated S3 CCD chip of the ACIS detector on board the Chandra satellite. A log of the observations is given in Table 1. The data telemetry mode was set to very faint to allow for a thorough background subtraction. A CCD frame time of 3.04104 s has been used. We have reprocessed and analyzed the data using the CIAO 3.4 software developed by
the Chandra X-Ray Center using CALDB version 3.3.0.1, to benefit from the latest calibrations available early 2007 and to take full advantage of the very faint data mode. In our analysis we have selected events only if their energy falls in the 0.3–7 keV range in order to reduce the background contamination that occurs at high energies. The lower cutoff was chosen to avoid calibration uncertainties below 0.3 keV. Since fluxes and luminosities are commonly provided in the 0.5–10 keV range, we extrapolate our 0.3–7 keV event rate to model fluxes over the 0.5–10 keV range in the remainder of this Letter. Data were excluded for which the 0.3–7 keV background count rate is higher than 0.4 counts s$^{-1}$. The net on-source exposure time is 300.8 ks.

In the observations presented in Table 1 one source (CXOU J190834.1+001139) is always detected (we will describe the properties of detected sources unrelated to 1H 1905+000 or the presented analysis in a forthcoming paper). The J2000.0 $\alpha$ and $\delta$ position of that source was determined in Jonker et al. (2006) to be $\alpha = 19^h08^m34.108^s \pm 0.033^s$, $\delta = +00^\circ11'39.01'' \pm 0.032''$ (J2000.0). We use this source to apply a boresight correction to each of the observations separately (see Table 1) before combining them. In addition, we applied a boresight correction of $(\alpha, \delta) = 0.090 \pm 0.013, -0.208 \pm 0.014$ to the final combined image such that the coordinates for CXOU J190834.1+001139 measured on the combined image are, within errors, consistent with the optical coordinates. The latter boresight correction accounts for the limited accuracy with which the source position can be determined in the individual observations.

The outburst position of 1H 1905+000 is $\alpha = 19^h08^m27.200^s \pm 0.084^s$, $\delta = +00^\circ10'09.10'' \pm 0.087''$ (J2000.0; Jonker et al. 2006). The largest off-axis angle that the source position was observed at was $\theta = 0.54^\circ$ (see Table 1). Using the analytical expression for the 90% encircled energy radius, $R_{90}$ (in arcseconds) $= 0.881 + 0.107\theta^2$ (R in arcminutes) as given in Murray et al. (2005) this leads to a 90% encircled energy radius of $R_{90} = 0.91^\circ$. Less than 2 photons have been detected in the circular area spanned by $R_{90}$ (see Fig. 1). From the number of photons detected in 300.8 ks we calculate a 95% confidence upper limit on the source count using both the method explained in Gehrels (1986), as well as the method explained in the appendix of Weisskopf et al. (2007). Even though the number of photons falling inside this area is less than 2, we take 2 as the number of detected photons in what follows, to account for the fact that we took the 90% encircled energy radius (not 100%), and to account for the slight smearing that will have occurred due to the alignment of the images introduced by the finite accuracy at which the boresight correction can be determined. Following Weisskopf et al. (2007), we calculate the probability that the background contributes fewer than the 2 photons inside the 90% encircled energy radius. This probability is effectively 0.

From the method of Gehrels (1986) we derive a 95% confidence upper limit on the source count of 6.3, which given the exposure time corresponds to an upper limit on the count rate of 2.1 $\times$ 10$^{-5}$ counts s$^{-1}$. In the method of Weisskopf et al. (2007) $m_f$ and $m_b$ are defined, corresponding to the number of X-ray photons detected in the target and reference apertures of measure $\Omega_f$ and $\Omega_b$, respectively. For $\Omega_f$ we have taken a circular region with radius of 1″ centered on the source position, as above this gives $\approx$2 counts in the detection region. For $\Omega_b$ we have taken an annulus with inner and outer radius of 4.92″ and 39.36″, respectively. We have excluded a weak source from this background area. The size of the areas $\Omega_f$ and $\Omega_b$ is 3.14 and 4790.94 arcsec$^2$, respectively ($m_f$ and $m_b$ are 2 and 2750, respectively). The expectation value on the number of source counts for a confidence level of 95% is $<5$ counts, which corresponds to an upper limit on the count rate of $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ counts s$^{-1}$. In the remainder of the Letter we conservatively use the slightly higher value from the Gehrels (1986) method.

We have used W3PIIMMS 4 to estimate 95% confidence limits on the source flux and luminosity in different X-ray bands employing the various models often found for neutron star soft X-ray transients (SXTs) in quiescence. The obtained limits are listed in Table 2. We have estimated the effective temperature at the surface of the neutron star, $T_{eff}$, and as measured at infinity, $T_{eff}$ as follows: we have used the flux limit obtained for a 0.2 keV blackbody model as limit for the neutron star atmosphere model in XSPEC version 11.3.2p (Arnaud 1996; Zavlin et al. 1996). Ideally, one would like to use a response and auxiliary response matrix specific for the location of the source on the CCD. However, for the combined observation this is not possible; hence, we have used the standard response files for on-axis ACIS-S observations.

$^4$ Available at http://heasarc.gsfc.nasa.gov/Tools/w3pimms.html.

![Fig. 1.—Zoom-in on the position of the neutron star SXT 1H 1905+000 in the 0.3–7 keV 300 ks Chandra ACIS image. The circle has a radius of 0.91″. [See the electronic edition of the Journal for a color version of this figure.]](http://www.journal.com/fig1.png)

| TABLE 1 |
| --- |
| **LOG OF THE CHANDRA OBSERVATIONS** |
| ID | $T_0$ (UTC) | Exposure* (ks) | Boresight Correction ($\alpha, \delta$) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5549 | 2005 Feb 25 | 24.84 | 0.128 ± 0.044, −0.074 ± 0.041 |
| 6649 | 2006 Sep 17 | 151.92 | 0.314 ± 0.018, 0.611 ± 0.019 |
| 6650 | 2006 Sep 20 | 40.63 | −1.07 ± 0.030, 0.047 ± 0.039 |
| 8261 | 2006 Sep 17 | 41.61 | −1.08 ± 0.029, 0.539 ± 0.033 |
| 8262 | 2006 Sep 22 | 38.65 | −0.789 ± 0.025, 0.392 ± 0.030 |
| 8283 | 2006 Sep 19 | 3.15 | −0.820 ± 0.066, 0.758 ± 0.087 |

* After taking into account the CCD read-out time and after filtering for flares.

Table 1

| TABLE 2 |
| --- |
| **UPPER LIMITS TO THE UNABSORBED SOURCE FLUX AND LUMINOSITY** |
| Model | $F_{0.5-10\text{keV}}$ Unabsorbed (ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $F_{0.01-10\text{keV}}$ Unabsorbed (ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | $L_{0.5-10\text{keV}}$ (ergs s$^{-1}$) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Power law, $T = 2.0$ | $2.0 \times 10^{-16}$ | $4.5 \times 10^{-16}$ | $2.4 \times 10^{30}$ |
| Blackbody, $T = 0.2$ keV | $1.4 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.9 \times 10^{-16}$ | $1.6 \times 10^{30}$ |
| Blackbody, $T = 0.1$ keV | $2.2 \times 10^{-16}$ | $8.8 \times 10^{-16}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{30}$ |

Notes: — Unabsorbed flux ($F$) is given in the 0.5–10 keV and 0.01–10 keV band. The used interstellar extinction is $2.1 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$. The luminosity ($L$) is given for 0.5–10 keV and a distance of 10 kpc ($d/10$ kpc$^2$).
Given the distance of 10 kpc, assuming a 1.4 $M_\odot$ neutron star with radius of 10 km, a pure hydrogen, nonmagnetic atmosphere, the limits on $T_{\text{eff}}$ and $T_{\text{eff}}^* \times$ are $4.6 \times 10^5$ and $3.5 \times 10^5$ K, respectively.

Using the stringent limit on the source luminosity together with detections and limits on the detection of the source obtained at other times, we have constructed the long-term X-ray light curve of 1H 1905+000 (see Fig. 2). From Figure 2 it is clear that the source underwent an outburst with a duration of at least 9.9 yr (the time between the first and last detection). Using the SAS 3 satellite several type I X-ray bursts were detected (Li et al. 1976 [multiple bursts]; Lewin et al. 1976b [five bursts], Lewin et al. 1976a [one burst]). However, since the persistent flux level was in most cases not quoted, only one SAS 3 point appears in Figure 2.

2.2. Magellan Optical Observations

We obtained Sloan $i$-band images using the Magellan Instant Camera (MagIC) instrument mounted on the 6.5 m Magellan-Clay telescope at Las Campanas Observatory. On 2006 June 25 with the 4 m Blanco telescope and its MOSAIC imager at CTIO. A 120 s Sloan $i$-band image of the field surrounding 1H 1905+000 was obtained under photometric conditions together with observations of the standard star fields PG 1323 and SA 107. These allowed us to perform an absolute magnitude calibration of stars in the proximity of our target. Since we only observed the field of 1H 1905+000 in one filter, no color terms have been calculated.

Despite the excellent observing conditions at Magellan, the counterpart of 1H 1905+000 in quiescence is not detected down to a 5 $\sigma$ magnitude limit of $i > 25.3$. A high-resolution finder chart is presented in Figure 3.

3. DISCUSSION

We have obtained a 300 ks long Chandra observation of the field of 1H 1905+000. Using this observation we do not detect the quiescent X-ray counterpart to this neutron star soft X-ray transient. The limit on the source flux depends on the assumed spectral model. For instance, a spectral model of an absorbed power law with index of 2 as observed for weak quiescent neutron star transients and quiescent black hole X-ray transients (Jonker et al. 2004; Kong et al. 2002) gives a 95% confidence upper limit of $0.5\sim10$ keV source flux of $2.0 \times 10^{-16}$ ergs cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. Given the upper limit on the source distance of 10 kpc (Chevalier & Ilovaisky 1990; Jonker & Nelemans 2004), this converts to a 0.5–10 keV luminosity limit of $2.4 \times 10^{36}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. This means that the luminosity of this neutron star SXT is lower that observed for the weakest black hole SXT A 0620−00, which has for a distance of 1 kpc an unabsorbed quiescent 0.5–10 keV luminosity of $3 \times 10^{36}$ ergs s$^{-1}$ (Garcia et al. 2001; Kong et al. 2002). Note that some black hole SXTs have not been detected in quiescence, and whereas the present upper limit to the luminosity in those cases is well above that derived for 1H 1905+000, one cannot exclude that deeper observations will reveal a lower luminosity than that derived for 1H 1905+000. Nevertheless, from...
present data the claim that there is evidence for a black hole event horizon from a lower quiescent luminosity in black holes than neutron stars (e.g., Garcia et al. 2001) is unproven and at least does not hold universally.

Scaling of the observed quiescent luminosity with the Eddington luminosity in order to try to normalize the neutron star and black hole systems to the same mass accretion rate is very uncertain. For instance, since an unknown amount of the transferred mass might be lost from the system in the form of a disk wind (e.g., Miller et al. 2006), the relation between the mass transfer and mass accretion rate is not well constrained. This might be especially important for neutron star systems in quiescence if a propeller regime exists (Illarionov & Sunyaev 1975). On the other hand, as shown for instance by Fender (2001), Fender et al. (2003), and Gallo et al. (2006), black holes are producing more powerful jets observable in radio. Matter could also be lost from these systems via these jets; the amount depends on the unknown composition of the jets. Finally, it is unclear whether accretion in low-transfer states proceeds via an ADAF (e.g., Narayan & Yi 1995) or via a disk (e.g., Livio et al. 2003).

In deep optical Sloan i-band images obtained with the 6.5 m Magellan telescope under excellent conditions (see 0.4″), we do not detect the optical counterpart to 1H 1905+000. For a distance of 10 kpc the magnitude upper limit of i > 25.3 converts into an upper limit on the absolute magnitude of I = 9.6 (as in Jonker et al. [2006], we converted the observed outburst N_H from Christian & Swank [1997] to a reddening A_i = 0.7 in the Sloan i band using the conversion factors given in Rieke & Lebofsky [1985] and Schlegel et al. [1998]). This limit implies that the companion star of 1H 1905+000 has a spectral type later than M5 or is a white dwarf as in ultracompact X-ray binaries. It strengthens the identification of 1H 1905+000 as an ultracompact X-ray binary (Jonker et al. 2006).

An absorbed thermal neutron star atmosphere spectral model for a distance of 10 kpc gives a limit to the effective temperature at the surface of the neutron star of 4.6 × 10^5 K (for a neutron star mass and radius of 1.4 M_{\odot} and 10 km, respectively, and assuming a pure hydrogen nonmagnetic atmosphere). For such a neutron star this implies an effective temperature of 3.5 × 10^5 K at infinity.

A factor in determining the limit on the source flux is the amount of interstellar extinction that is assumed. We have taken the conservative value for the hydrogen column density of N_H = 2.1 × 10^{22} cm^{-2} from the results of Christian & Swank (1997), who found N_H = (1.9 ± 0.2) × 10^{22} cm^{-2}. Those authors found that the hydrogen column densities derived for several LMXBs from their spectral fits to Einstein data agree with values found using ROSAT spectra. Besides and related to the N_H, the bolometric correction is important in the conversion of the 0.5–10 keV band limit to a bolometric luminosity limit. For example, from the upper limit on the effective temperature of a neutron star atmosphere an upper limit to the bolometric luminosity of 10^{31} erg s^{-1} is determined implying a bolometric correction of ∼4–5. For a power-law spectral model with index 2, the bolometric correction would be ≈3 (here we have taken the 0.01–100 keV luminosity as a good measure of the bolometric luminosity). In this we again have taken N_H = 2.1 × 10^{22} cm^{-2}.

The new deep limit on the quiescent thermal X-ray emission of 1H 1905+000 implies that the neutron star must cool faster than possible with modified URCA processes even for time-averaged mass accretion rates as low as 10^{-12} M_{\odot} yr^{-1} (Jonker et al. 2006), unless the neutron star core is not in a steady state. This would mean that 1H 1905+000 had been in quiescence prior to the ∼10 yr long outburst for ∼10,000 yr since the core reaches steady state on such timescales (Colpi et al. 2001; Yakovlev & Pethick 2004). On the other hand, here again one should keep in mind that the relation between the mass transfer and mass accretion rate is not well known especially for quiescent systems. So it is possible that the time-averaged mass transfer rate is larger than 10^{-13} M_{\odot} yr^{-1}, whereas the mass accretion rate onto the neutron star is lower than this.
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