Mechano-Signaling Aspects of Hepatocellular Carcinoma

Mehak Passi, Stefan Zahler

Center for Drug Research, Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Butenandstr. 5-13, 81377 Munich, Germany.

© The author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Received: 2021.03.04; Accepted: 2021.08.11; Published: 2021.09.03

Abstract

HCC is one of the leading causes of cancer related death worldwide and comprises about 90% of the cases of primary liver cancer. It is generally accompanied by chronic liver fibrosis characterised by deposition of collagen fibres, which, in turn, causes enhanced stiffness of the liver tissue. Changes of tissue stiffness give rise to alterations of signalling pathways that are associated to mechanical properties of the cells and the extracellular matrix, and that can be subsumed as “mechano-signaling pathways”, like, e.g., the YAP/TAZ pathway, or the SRF pathway. Stiffness of the liver tissue modulates mechanical regulation of many genes involved in HCC progression. However, mechano-signaling is still rather underrepresented in our concepts of cancer in comparison to “classical” biochemical signalling pathways. This review aims to give an overview of various stiffness induced mechano-biological aspects of HCC.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) accounts for 90% of all cases of primary liver cancer and is the third leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide [1]. Every year the incidence of occurrence of HCC in Europe and the United States increases, and currently accounts for more than 626,000 cases worldwide. Many factors such as hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), alcohol and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH) contribute to the development and progression of HCC. Further, several point mutations, such as copy number aberrations (CNAs), insertions and deletions, virus integrations and gene fusions have been reported in the context of HCC [2]. Their role as drivers, however, is still unclear.

More than eighty per cent of HCC cases are associated with fibrosis induced by chronic liver injury and develop in fibrotic or cirrhotic liver. HCC is associated with the differentiation of hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) into myofibroblasts, which produce extracellular matrix (ECM). Fibrosis is generally considered as a protective response to acute liver injury and results in the replacement of injured liver parenchyma with scar tissue. Fibrosis becomes chronic and recurring if the hepatocellular injury persists. However, the role of fibrosis in promoting HCC has not been clearly established to date [3].

Generally, fibrosis is characterized by deposition of collagen fibrils of varying degree (mostly type 1 collagen) in the fibrotic liver, resulting in up to 5-fold increase of total collagen content. Fibrosis can vary from mild to bridging fibrosis and cirrhosis depending on the degree of collagen deposition. Moreover, hepatic ECM consists of many other proteins, such as non-collagenous glycoproteins (elastin, fibronectin and laminin), matricellular proteins (thrombospondins, osteopontin, tenascins, and members of the clathrin coated vesicle protein family) and connective tissue growth factor (CTGF). During liver fibrosis, many of these proteins undergo biochemical alterations [3, 4].

All these changes result in overall modulation of the hepatic extracellular matrix (ECM). They are a result of various mechanical and biochemical feedback loops that play an important role in modifying the hepatic ECM. Moreover, receptor independent mechanosensitive signal transduction pathways, such as YAP/TAZ, are involved in controlling cell survival and differentiation, and are activated by stiff ECM. The activation of the YAP/TAZ is caused via an upstream inhibition of Hippo pathway kinases on stiff substrates. Apart from the Hippo pathway, other pathways, such as...
Wnt/β-catenin, PTEN and the Notch signaling pathway also contribute to HCC progression [5]. Therefore, studying the role of mechanics is an important aspect of HCC when it comes to its pathogenesis.

This review focuses on discussing and highlighting various mechano-sensing processes and signaling pathways involved in the occurrence and progression of HCC. It also highlights the importance of ECM stiffness in HCC and into how it is linked to mechanosensing in HCC.

Extracellular Matrix stiffness and Hepatocellular carcinoma

During HCC there is a transition from the premalignant environment (PME) to the tumor microenvironment (TME) and the ECM undergoes a variety of changes – many of which are related to an alteration of mechanical properties. ECM not only provides mechanical strength but also regulates a variety of signaling cascades through its ability to bind to a wide variety of specific receptors such as integrins, growth factors, as well as to regulate their expression, distribution and activation [6]. The changes in the ECM components such as collagens, glycosaminoglycans, laminins, proteoglycans, and fibronectin, result in overall behavioral and phenotypic changes in the epithelial, tumor and stromal cells [6, 7]. With the help of transmembrane receptors (integrins, DDRs) surrounding cells sense these changes and regulate specific signaling pathways in response to the external stimuli [8, 9]. Increased expression of integrins and DDR2 triggers a wide variety of signaling cascades, such as phosphoinositide 3 kinase (PI3K), mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) and regulates EMT of HCC [10].

Reorganization and enhanced overproduction of ECM by myofibroblasts result in the mechanically stiff microenvironment that promotes tumor cell proliferation, invasion and changes in gene expression of stromal cells by enhancing tumor progression [11, 12]. Tumor cells regulate matrix stiffness by not only influencing the degree of fibrosis but also by controlling cross-linking and expression of ECM proteins and certain enzymes such as lysyl oxidases [13, 14]. Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) also play a major role in remodeling and maintaining ECM through secretion of major ECM proteins such as collagen, and ECM degrading enzymes called matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) [15]. In the healthy liver, HSCs are in the quiescent state with cytoplasmic vitamin A droplets while following liver injury they lose their vitamin A droplets, and become highly activated and proliferative [16]. They cause increased production of ECM components (collagen) and other ECM proteins. They are also associated with the increased inflammation signaling and matrix degradation which all together contribute to fibrosis. In HCC, increased stiffness leads to activation of HSCs followed by the activation of MMPs and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinases (TIMP) [17, 18].

Quiescent HSCs balance the production of ECM proteins and MMPs proteolytic activity and maintain the ECM. As a consequence of liver injury HSCs get activated causing excess collagen production and matrix degradation leading to a stiff fibrotic state [19]. In normal liver where resolution of fibrosis occurs, increased activity of MMPs leads to collagen degradation and ECM softening following the reversal of activated HSCs to their quiescent phenotype. Whereas, conversely, in the stiff state of a fibrotic liver altered characteristics of the environment such as stiffness amplify the activated HSCs phenotype further contributing to fibrosis, and later HCC development [20, 21]. Many signaling pathways in HSCs are highly mechanosensitive such as the HNF4α transcriptional network or integrin-mediated YAP activation [22, 23]. Rigidity occurring from fibrosis may affect the ECM remodeling at the protein expression and secretion level that is mediated by HSCs. Two key MMPs secreted from HSCs are MMP-2 and MMP-9 that degrade collagen [24]. Composition of ECM in fibrosis is also dependent on the sensitivity of the secretion of MMPs and TIMP (Tissue inhibitors of matrix metalloproteinase) by HSCs towards rigidity. It is known that fibrotic stiffness downregulates MMP-9 expression and secretion, and upregulate the secretion of TIMP-1 [25].

Liver stiffness to some degree correlates with increased risk of HCC development. The Young’s elastic modulus E for a healthy liver ranges between 300 and 600 Pa whereas in case of fibrosis and cirrhosis development it can be 20 kPa and higher [26]. In some studies, it was found that patients with liver stiffness values of >12.5-13 kPa had a 4 to 13 fold increased risk of developing HCC [27]. Changes in matrix stiffness modulate the behavior of epithelial cells through various mechanosensitive oncogenic pathways. They also contribute to the transformation and dedifferentiation of hepatocytes, and, in addition, lead to ductular and progenitor expansion in the liver, which in turn leads to HCC. Matrix stiffness provides a niche for tumor-initiating cells (TICs) and contributes to their proliferation by a variety of mechanosensing pathways. HSCs seem to differentiate into cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) under mechanical stimuli, thereby providing a permanent feed-forward loop that continues to establish a stiff tumor niche [28, 29]. This process of
creation of premalignant microenvironments (PME) and the tumor microenvironment (TME) by CAFs has been reviewed very recently elsewhere [30]. Intriguingly, CAFs have recently been proposed as therapeutic target for nanocarriers [31].

Expression of LOXL (Lysyl oxidase) has been identified as an important factor controlling ECM stiffness [32]. It is upregulated by TGFβ [32], hypoxia-inducible growth factor 1α (HIF-1α) [33], and increases stiffness by crosslinking ECM proteins. In turn, its expression increases stiffness [34], causing a positive feedback loop. In some studies, it has been shown that the inhibition of LOXL2 with a monoclonal antibody reduces stiffness, collagen deposition and tumor size by reducing fibroblast activation and fibrosis in the liver [34]. However, NASH-induced fibrosis was not reduced in large scale clinical trials [35]. Apart from the overproduction or biochemical alteration of ECM several other factors, such as high interstitial pressure as a result of hypervascularization, hyperproliferation, or cell swelling induced injury and inflammation contribute to increase stiffness of the tumors [36].

Increased stiffness promotes proliferation of cells, increases EMT (Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition) and resistance to apoptosis, as well as the stemness of HCC cell lines [37]. At the molecular level, increasing stiffness activates multiple signaling pathways such as YAP/TAZ, β-catenin pathway, PI3K/AKT, JNK, ERK, focal adhesion kinase pathway, finally resulting in enhanced HCC proliferation and chemotherapeutic resistance [38-40]. The mechanical signaling pathways unfortunately are in part redundant and deeply interwoven, making their understanding and elucidation quite complex.

**Mechanotransduction in HCC induced by ECM-stiffness**

The fibrotic program in HCC is generally accomplished by fibroblast mechanosensing of the stiffened ECM. Like in other cells, mechanosensing primarily is accomplished by the activation of integrins. Integrins get activated by binding of specific ligands leading to conformational changes and the formation of focal adhesion complexes. Several adaptor proteins such as paxillin, vinculin and talin facilitate the connection of integrin to the actin cytoskeleton, translating the mechanosensitive information into changes in cell contractility and mechanoresponsive signals. Strain stiffening driven by cell contractility of ECM causes elevated levels of integrin activation, leading, e.g., to phosphorylation of the kinases Src and FAK, and thus their activation [41-43]. Increased stiffness stimulates HCC proliferation by inducing resistance towards Sorafenib through activation of β1 integrin/FAK signaling and enhancing nuclear translocation of YAP1. All these events result in the maturation of focal adhesion complexes and further activate a multitude of pathways such as MAPK, PI3K/AKT and YAP/TAZ [44, 45]. The levels of total and phosphorylated FAK are increased in HCC and are generally related to vascular invasion, tumor stage and intrahepatic metastasis [46]. Several compounds targeting FAK are under clinical and preclinical trials as specific deletion of FAK has been shown to reduce HCC proliferation and tumor-induced overexpression of cMET and β-catenin [47]. By RNA sequencing it was found that increased stiffness activates hepatic stellate cells (HSCs), leading to the release of a set of paracrine factors, including CXCL12, IL6, IL11, PDGFA and B and VEGFA [48], which all promote colorectal liver metastasis in mice by paracrine mechanisms. However, it still remains unclear, whether liver fibrosis and stiffness promote malignant transformation of hepatocytes primarily through the effect on HSCs and the hepatic tumor microenvironment [49].

Agrin a heparin sulfate proteoglycan has recently been discovered to modulate the activation of YAP, and acts as a mechanotransduction signal in HCC. It is produced by endothelial, myofibroblast, and tumor cells, and is highly expressed in human cirrhotic liver and HCC [50]. Knockdown of Agrin reduces proliferation, migration and invasion of tumor cells, and reduces the levels of EMT markers, while it induces apoptosis in vitro, as well as oncogenic signals and tumor growth in mice [51, 52]. It has been shown that Agrin activates FAK-ILK-PAK1 pathways and transduces matrix rigidity through an integrin-Lrp4/MuSK pathway, resulting in activation of YAP in HCC [53]. Agrin acts as a contributor to ECM sensing in HCC cells.

Serum Response Factor (SRF) is part of another well characterized mechanotransduction pathway. It is mediated by myocardial-like proteins (MRTF), induced by F-actin polymerization. Interestingly, SRF is not abundantly expressed by normal healthy hepatocytes and non-tumoral tissues. In contrast, in cells from high-grade human HCC and human HCC cell lines, it exhibits a strong nuclear expression [54]. SRF target gene signatures partially overlap with the mechanical stress-induced signatures from YAP/TAZ target genes. Activation of SRF in hyperproliferative nodules of hepatocytes results in HCC development [55]. On a molecular level overexpression of SRF promotes HCC cell invasion and migration by increasing expression of β-catenin and EMT genes [56, 57].
Figure 1. Schematic representing mechanical signaling in HCC. Mechanical forces are communicated by the ECM via integrins of hepatocytes and HSCs, and between cells via E-cadherin of hepatocytes. In liver sinusoidal endothelial cells, VE-cadherin and PECAM-1 communicate shear stress between cells, causing activation of integrins. In addition, cation channels are activated by mechanical stretch on LSECs [42]. Thus, a multicellular network of force induced signaling is established.

Interestingly, not only HSCs, but also liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs) have been shown to respond to stiffness and mechanosensation [58]. In a study by Liu et al, LSECs were embedded on 2D substrates underlying 3D collagen type 1 with integrated HSCs on the top. This was done to mimic the interaction of LSEC/HSC LSECs on substrates with stiffness values ranging from 140 Pa to 610 Pa. The LSECs formed capillary like structures under this condition. During the early phase of liver fibrosis angiogenesis is stimulated by the condensation of collagen fibers and generated forces by collagen remodeling that result in activation of collagen-DDR2-JAK2/P13K/AKT signaling promoting HSC activation [59]. Thus, mechanical forces in liver generated due to fibrosis indeed influence the phenotype of LSECs, and in turn contribute to the development and progression of HCC [60, 61].

The Hippo/YAP/TAZ pathway

The Hippo signalling pathway plays a major role in the progression and pathogenesis of HCC, linking stiffness and mechanotransduction to cancer progression. The YAP/TAZ axis seems to be a hub, where all kinds of mechanosensitive processes converge. Therefore, we will occupy ourselves with this specific pathway in greater detail. Its major components and downstream effectors are YAP and TAZ. These are involved in many autonomous functions, such as cell proliferation, differentiation, survival, development, metabolism, and cross-talk with the immune system. The role of Hippo signalling in regulation and biological functions varies from organ to organ, and between specific cell types, making it even more complex to understand. Overexpression of activated YAP results in rapid development of HCC, confirming its potential oncogenic role [62, 63].

Table 1. A list of genes mechanically regulated in liver disease

| Genes mechanically regulated in liver disease | Reference |
|---------------------------------------------|-----------|
| **Mechanosensing receptors**                |           |
| Integrins and focal adhesions such as fibronectin, vitronectin [42, 117, 118] and collagens. | [119-121] |
| Adhesion receptors and cell-cell junctions such as cadherins, selectins, and CAMs |          |
| Ion channels such as TREK-1, K+ channel. | [122-124] |
| **Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha HNF4α target genes** |           |
| Baat, F7, Gys2 | [125, 126] |
| ARF3 actin related protein 3 homolog C (ACTR3C) | [127] |
| Tubulin tyrosine ligase like family member 3 (TTL3) | [127] |
| Actin related protein 2/3 | [42] |
| ARPC3 | [42] |
| **Genes related to ECM receptor interaction** |           |
| ITGA1, Fibronectin1, Sorting nexin 15, Laminin, Alpha 4 | [42, 127] |
| **Epithelial cell related genes** |           |
| CLAUDIN 12, RhoA, βc, B1 Integrin, Phosphorylated FAK | [127] |
| **Other expression of HCC genes** |           |
| CXCL12, IL13, IL6, PDGFA, PDGFβ, VEGFA | [5, 22, 41, 128] |
| YAP1 | [90, 91] |
| Megakaryoblastic leukemia factor-1 (MKL-1) (called as myocardium related transcription factor) | [94] |
| **Genes involved in mechanotransduction of LSECs** |           |
| B1-Integrin, Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGFR3), CXCL1 | [53, 99, 100] |
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The activity of YAP and TAZ is controlled by MST1/2 kinases. These are upstream regulators of the Hippo pathway that restrict tissue overgrowth, size and carcinogenesis by regulating YAP and TAZ activation [64]. YAP was the first protein identified with a WW domain (a motif comprising of 2 tryptophan residues), and is the key transcriptional regulator of the Hippo pathway, while TAZ is a YAP paralog (44% identity to YAP) [65, 66]. In general, MST1/MST2 kinases activate the kinases LATS1 and LATS2 by phosphorylating them at Thr1079 and Thr1041, respectively. They also phosphorylate MOB1A and MOB1B kinases at Thr35 and Thr12. MOB1A/MOB1B when activated interact with LATS1 and LATS2 and lead to the autophosphorylation of LATS1 and LATS2 [67]. Both of these phosphorylation events lead to the activation of the LATS1 and LATS2 kinases. LATS kinases - when activated further - phosphorylate YAP, leading to the cytoplasmic sequestration of YAP/TAZ or ubiquitin mediated protein degradation [68, 69].

When LATS kinases are inactive, YAP/TAZ is not phosphorylated and gets translocated in the nucleus where it binds to members of the TEAD family of transcription factors, and mediates expression of target genes, which are involved in cell growth, proliferation, migration and survival, such as cysteine-rich angiogenic inducer 61 (CYR61), connective tissue growth factor (CTGF), and others [70-74] (Fig. 2). RUNX3 physically interacts with the N-terminal region of TEAD through its Runt domain. This interaction markedly reduces the DNA-binding ability of TEAD that attenuates the downstream signaling of the TEAD-YAP complex. This transcription complex also interacts with Runt-related transcription factor 3. DNA-binding ability of TEAD is reduced markedly through this interaction, which attenuates the downstream signaling of TEAD-YAP [75, 76]. In addition, YAP/TAZ also interacts with SMAD1, SMAD2/3 forming protein complexes suggesting a cross talk between the Hippo signaling pathway and the TGF-β pathway [77-79]. YAP directly interacts with the p53 promoter to enhance its expression which results in p53- dependent cycle arrest and apoptosis. It furthermore induces p21, TBox and Caspase 3 expression and inhibits the expression of anti-apoptotic factors, such as Bcl-2 and Bcl-xl. It has recently been shown that LATS1/LATS2 contributed to the tumor suppressive feature of p53 under basal conditions [80, 81]. YAP/TAZ also interact with the intracellular growth domain (ICD) of ErbB4 (one of the members of epidermal growth factor receptors in the nucleus) [82]. All these events modulate the expression of genes involved in proliferation, differentiation, development and growth. Loss of any of the core components of the Hippo pathway such as MST1/MST2, LATS1/LATS2, MOB1A/MOB1B, etc., results in upregulation in target gene transcription of YAP/TAZ-TEAD further leading to uncontrolled cellular proliferation and tissue growth [83-85].
Due to fibrosis, mechanical strain occurs in the cirrhotic liver that activates YAP and TAZ, which in turn upregulate the expression of various target genes that control cellular proliferation and growth. YAP/TAZ are commonly overexpressed after deletion of Mst1/2 in hepatocytes and act as a transducer of liver tumor development and HCC [86, 87]. A major pathway of activation of YAP/TAZ, however, is a loss of phosphorylation, and, consequently, nuclear translocation of these proteins.

Role of YAP and TAZ in HSCs

Hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) are one of the major drivers of liver fibrosis, and are also involved in the process of liver repair after acute liver injury [91]. A fibrotic scar is formed during chronic liver injury after stellate cells are activated by excessive accumulation of ECM proteins, and trans-differentiation of quiescent HSCs into myofibroblasts. This process is generally controlled by the molecular drivers that regulate HSC activation. The Hippo signalling pathway has been recognized as one of the important pathways in stellate cell activation. During the acute liver regeneration process after hepatectomy, or ischemia-reperfusion injury and after chronic liver injury or CCl4 induced liver damage, YAP is activated in HSCs [92-94]. Sustained activation of YAP in liver fibrosis is generally due to an increase in ECM protein levels, as well as tissue stiffness [95]. In addition to HSCs, portal fibroblasts are also mechanosensitive. When seeded on polyacrylamide hydrogels both these cell types respond to increased stiffness [96-98]. Immunohistochemical analysis of murine and patient samples show that more YAP is translocated into the nucleus from cytoplasm of the activated HSCs [99]. Furthermore, YAP and its transcriptional targets are upregulated in HSCs after 15 minutes of murine partial hepatectomy, as a result of elevated blood flow and shear stress, exerting mechanical forces. When stretching forces are applied to HSCs in vitro, HSCs are stimulated to produce fibronectin and also to promote fibril assembly by a β1-integrin/actin dependent mechanism [100]. YAP...
phosphorylation and its cytoplasmic retention has been shown to be promoted by the loss of β1 integrin in HSCs [101, 102].

Megakaryoblastic leukaemia factor-1 (MKL1), also known as myocardia related transcription factor (MRTFA), is another transcriptional regulator that responds to force changes. It is generally bound to cytoplasmic G-actin and force mediated actin assembly leads to nuclear translocation [103, 104]. Interestingly, expression of MKL1 and YAP targets is mutually dependent. This could be due to the indirect interaction of MRTF mediated and YAP mediated transcription pathways for mechanotransduction of fibroblasts [105]. It was found that disruption of transcription coactivator p300 through shRNA mediated knockdown, or a p300 inhibitor abolishes stiffness-induced HSC activation [106]. Wang et al. demonstrated that under TGFβ1 stimulation p300 was bound to TAZ and transported it to the nucleus of HSCs. In HEK cells, YAP activity was promoted by overexpression of HA-tagged p300 and its related protein CREB-binding protein (CBP) [107, 108]. Manneart and co-workers transfected HSCs with YAP siRNA in 3D aggregates and then transferred them into plastic dishes after 4 days, resulting in their inhibition [109].

**LSECs and YAP**

Liver sinusoidal cells (LSECs) are another non-parenchymal cells that play an essential role in liver injury by influencing regeneration and fibrosis through angiocrine signalling to stellate cells in case of acute and chronic liver damage [110]. Mechanosignaling in LSECs is induced by shear stress (caused by blood flow), stimulating them to release angiocrine factors that contribute to the development and maintenance of liver function [111]. For instance, subjecting LSECs to shear stress results in activation of β1-integrin, as well as vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 3 (VEGFR3). This, in turn, causes release of hepatic growth factor and triggers proliferation and survival of hepatocytes [112, 113].

Under pathological conditions, and especially during fibrosis, mechanotransduction of LSECs thus further accelerates the progression of HCC due to angiocrine and phenotypic changes [114]. In case of chronic liver injury, a dysregulated crosstalk between hepatocytes, HSCs and LSECs contributes to liver fibrosis. Differentiated LSECs also maintain quiescence of HSCs, as revealed by co-culture experiments [115]. LSECs also maintain cell integrity in single hepatocytes after YAP activation. Angiogenesis in fibrosis is governed by YAP activation in LSECs by means of HIF-1α and VEGF-A expression [116, 117]. Moreover, apart from triggering neo-angiogenesis in the diseased liver, it is highly likely that YAP/TAZ play a major role in hepatic blood vessel formation during endothelial cell sprouting and junction maturation alike [118]. However, the role of YAP/TAZ signalling in endothelial cells during fibrosis and other liver diseases, remains to be further investigated.

**Interaction of other pathways with the Hippo signalling pathway**

Wnts are important proteins that play a major role in controlling many developmental and physiological processes. Their downstream effector is β-catenin, an adapter protein of cadherin type cell-cell adhesion molecules, which activates Wnt target gene expression [119]. Since exposure of cells to mechanical alterations obviously influences cell-cell contacts, Wnt-signalling has a mechano-sensitive component. Excessive activation of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway is associated with many types of cancer, including HCC and hepatoblastoma [120]. In distinct cell compartments, the Wnt and Hippo signalling pathways interact differently. In the nucleus, cooperation between YAP and β-catenin regulates gene expression e.g. in controlling the heart size, tumor transformation, and maintenance [121]. YAP/TAZ regulates phosphorylation of Dishevelled (Dvl), an important component of Wnt/β-catenin pathway, and the nuclear localization of Dvl or β-catenin, thereby inhibiting the Wnt/β-catenin activities [122]. However, it is still unknown, whether the mode of interaction between Wnt/β-catenin signalling is limited to and specific for a special cell type. The loss of activity of Mst1/2 in hepatocytes has previously been shown to lead to the activation of Wnt/β-catenin signalling in the liver and, consequently, to formation of liver tumors [123].

Notch signalling is a further pathway interacting with the Hippo pathway. Notch signalling in the liver promotes the formation of oval cells (liver stem cell) [124]. Notch signalling is highly activated in HCC patients and the expression of Notch receptor is highly regulated [125]. Direct cell-cell contact is needed for the activation of the Notch pathway, allowing the direct Notch receptor to interact with their membrane bound ligands (Jagged and Delta-like) [126]. Sequential proteolytic cleavage of notch receptors by the γ-secretase complex and a member of the ADAM family is induced by Notch ligand binding. As a result of this, NICD (Notch intracellular domain) is liberated from the membrane, and enters the nucleus. In the nucleus, it forms a ternary complex with the co-factor RBP-j and participates in the transcriptional regulation of the
respective target genes [127]. Constitutively expressed hepatic NICD causes liver tumor formation in mice.

Activation of Notch signalling due to loss of activity of Mst1/2 in hepatocytes forms a positive feedback loop with YAP/TAZ, leading to severe liver enlargement and rapid HCC formation [128]. There also is a surprising inhibitory role of Wnt/β-catenin signalling to YAP/TAZ activities: in Mst1/2 null mutants, genetic removal of β-catenin in the liver significantly increases the number of tumor nodules. Mechanistically, YAP/TAZ increases the generation of Notch intracellular domain (NICD) by YAP/TAZ [129]. Notch signalling inhibits the β-TrCP–mediated degradation of TAZ and stabilizes it by forming a positive feedback loop.

A further layer of complexity is added by the fact that Wnt signalling modulates the cross-talk between Notch and YAP/TAZ: Wnt/β-catenin signalling promotes nuclear localization of DP1 (the dimerization partner of E2F transcriptional factors) through suppressing the positive feedback loop between TAZ and notch, which subsequently inhibits Notch activity [130]. Notch inhibition in vivo breaks the YAP/TAZ-Notch positive feedback loop and reduces the activity of YAP/TAZ, hepatocyte proliferation and tumor formation [131]. Therefore, there is an unexpected function of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in restricting YAP/TAZ and Notch activities that are involved in HCC initiation.

Conclusion

HCC is generally caused by intensive liver fibrosis characterised by deposition of collagen fibres and a stiffer ECM. Fibrosis leads to activation of various mechanical feedback loops and signalling pathways. One of most prominent and most studied is Hippo signalling pathway or YAP/TAZ pathway. YAP not only contributes to transcription of genes that lead to cellular proliferation but also leads to the activation of HSC and LSECs. YAP is an important protein that plays a major role in mechano-transduction. Furthermore, the Hippo signalling pathway interacts with several other pathways such as Wnt/β-catenin pathway and Notch signalling pathway that further lead to the progression of HCC. Due to the complexity and mutual entanglement of the respective signalling pathways, more focused studies are needed to further establish the relevance of receptor-independent mechanosensing signaling pathways in the development of HCC [132, 133].

From a technical perspective, the role of mechano-signaling in HCC is extremely hard to study in a clinical or in vivo setting. Recently, the awareness has grown that 2D cell cultures cannot mimic the exact physiological conditions, especially when mechanical aspects of the ECM are concerned. There is still lack of reliable and easy to handle 3D models for the study of HCC. Consequently, development of valid 3D models, which allow for access to mechanical parameters (e.g. stiffness) as well as to functional analysis with high temporal and special resolution is an important prerequisite.
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