Introduction

The primary goals of tendon transfer procedures for ulnar nerve palsy are restoration of small and ring finger DIPJ flexion restoration of key pinch, correction of clawing, integration of MCPJ and IPJ flexion, and improvement in grip strength [1]. Many different tendon transfer procedures for restoration of key pinch have been described, including the use of wrist and finger extensors, finger flexors, and the brachioradialis to power adductor pollicis function. Both the ECRB (Smith) [2] and brachioradialis (Boyes) [3] are strong donor that can be used to restore key pinch, The ring or middle finger FDS can also be used to restore adductor pollicis function (Littler) [4]. The use of finger extensors such as the EDC, the index EDC, and the EIP has also been described.

Correction of clawing requires correction of MCPJ hyperextension, the problem that initiates clawing. Procedures can be categorized as static or dynamic. If Bouvier’s test is positive, static procedures may be successful. Osseous blocks on the dorsum of the metacarpal head have been described [5]. Zancolli described an MCPJ capsulodesis, in which a distally based flap of the volar plate was advanced proximally and sutured to the metacarpal neck, effectively limiting MCPJ extension [6]. Bunnell described a partial release of the proximal phalanx instead of the lateral band, thereby preventing PIPJ hyperextension [13]. Zancolli described a “lasso” insertion, wherein the FDS is passed through the A1 pulley, then sutured back onto itself, resulting in improved MCPJ flexion while avoiding PIPJ hyperextension [6].

An insertion into the lateral band may be preferred if Bouvier’s test is negative (clawing is complex), but it should be remembered that PIPJ hyperextension may occur.

Brand, Riordan, and others described the use of wrist-level motors to treat clawing and integrate finger flexion as well as augment grip strength [11,14,15]. The FCR, ECRL, ECRB, or brachioradialis may be used. The insertion can be into the lateral band, the proximal phalanx, or the A1 or A2 pulley. The main advantage of these tendon transfer procedures over the superficialis transfers is that they improve rather than worsen grip strength. In addition, there is no great loss of function at the level of the wrist. Also, because the superficialis tendon is preserved, the transfer can be inserted on the lateral band with less chance of developing PIPJ hyperextension.
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Abstract

Normal hand function depends on the balance between the extrinsic-intrinsic and extensor-flexor muscle groups. Despite their small size, the intrinsic muscles, contribute about 50% of grip strength. Clawing, partial or total due to ulnar nerve palsy affects greatly, hand’s ability for fine movements, grip and skilful use along with loss of tactile sensation of hand, which usually precedes the motor weakness. We evaluated the functional results of Modified Bunnel’s procedure in management of 5 patients of claw hand deformity. After a mean follow up of 16 months (range 12-24 months) results were excellent in 2 (40%) cases, good in 3 (60%). All the patients had improvement in the grip. No patient had Swan-neck deformity, flexion contracture, check-rein deformity, insufficient finger flexion, or superficial minus deformity. Despite being simple procedure, Modified Bunnel’s procedure results in less adhesion, straight course for the tendons, good grip and leverage. The patients were satisfied with their hand function and cosmetic appearance.
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Materials and Methods

Five patients underwent reconstructive surgery via modified Bunnell’s procedure, were included in the study. Patients were initially clinical examined and classified as per Dharmendra’s classification [16]. Preoperatively, grasp was subjectively assessed by dynamometer and muscle strength charting as well as sensory function examination were done and pre-operative photograph of the hand was taken (Figure 1).

All patients were operated under general anesthesia in supine position under a pneumatic tourniquet. In cases of total clawing, an incision of 3 cm was made mid-laterally on radial aspect of ring finger extending from mid-shaft of proximal phalanx to mid-shaft of distal phalanx and tendon strip of Flexor Digitorum Superficialis (FDS) was detached, near to insertion as possible without injuring the Flexor Digitorum Profundus (FDP) tendon, whereas only ring finger’s FDS was detached in case of isolated ulnar claw hand. Further, a 4 cm transverse incision was made at proximal palmar crease deep to the palmar fascia, and the slips of FDS tendons pulled out through this incision gently. In cases of the total claw hand each of the two slips were further divided to make four equal strips, whereas in ulnar clawing, the ring finger’s FDS was split into two and were kept wet with saline (Figure 2).

A 2.5 cm longitudinal incision just lateral to mid-lateral line was made along the proximal segment of fingers; it was on the ulnar side whereas for middle, ring, and little it was on the radial side to secure adduction of the index finger. In each finger, extensor aponeurotic expansion was cleaned from lateral band to the edge of the middle band to avoid damage to the expansion and periosteum (Figure 2).

The tunneller was then passed through the lumbrical canal, anterior to transverse metacarpal ligament, and each slip was gently pulled into the distal incision by the tunneller. In this way, all the four tendons were pulled distally for each finger Hand was then kept on the positioning splint with 80° flexion at Metacarpophalangeal Joints (MCP) joint, extension at IP joint, and 30° flexion at the wrist and was tied with a sterile bandage to avoiding any displacement. The slips were then sutured with 5/0 silk, a minimum of three sutures to the edge of the dorsal expansion along the axis of lumbricals, taking the slack and putting it under no tension. After burying the suture ends in all the four fingers, the tourniquet was released and bleeders caught and ligated. Layered closure was done without overlap and tension (Figure 3).

Postoperatively, below elbow slab was given as described, keeping hand in lumbrical position. The finger was left open dorsally, but slab extended right to the tip of fingers ventrally. Intravenous antibiotics were given for 3 days followed by oral antibiotics for 5 days.

Suture removal was done after 2 weeks and slab was removed after 3 weeks. In 1st week after slab removal, elbow, wrist and MCP joint, flexion and extension exercise began without flexing the IP joint and a finger extension splint for each finger with IP joint in full extension.

Figure 1: Pre-operative photograph of the hand.

Figure 2: The ring finger’s FDS was split into two and were kept wet with saline.

Figure 3: Sutures.

Figure 4: Follow up (Early follow up after two weeks early movement is very important).
Next week patient was encouraged lumbral action, by contracting the transplanted muscle. After 3rd week, patient encouraged to flex PIP joint along with wax bath and exercises. The grasp were assessed postoperatively. Results were graded as per scoring system by brand [17].

**Results**

Five claw hands were included in the study of which there were 4 males and one female. Average age was 25.3 years (range 19-40 years). Left hand involvement was seen in 3 cases whereas 2 had right hand involvement and no bilateral involvement. All the patients had partial claw hand (ulnar nerve). Mean follow-up was 16 months (range 12-24 months). (Figure 4-7).

Duration of affection was 2 years in 3 cases, whereas 2 had more than 2 years duration. Mean duration of affection was 3.3 years (range 2-5 years).

As per brand scoring system [18] the results were excellent 2 (40%) cases, good in 3 (60%). No fair or poor results.

The preoperative weak grasp had improved to firm grasp after surgery. Also, the hand grip improved in all patients postoperatively (Figure 8).

All the patients had improvement in the grip. No patient had Swan-neck deformity, flexion contracture, check-rein deformity, insufficient finger flexion, or superficial minus deformity. Despite being simple procedure, Modified Bunnel’s procedure results in less adhesion, straight course for the tendons, good grip and leverage.

The patients were satisfied with their hand function and cosmetic appearance.

**Discussion**

Clawing of hand, which affects the grip, the appearance of hand and ability to hold objects, are very common in ulnar nerve injury. Higher the grade, the remote were the results. Most commonly little finger had some degree of contracture probably because it was most neglected during physiotherapy and while using hand, lateral three fingers are more frequently and forcibly used than the little finger. Other causes of contracture leading to poor results are capsule contracture, disuse, dryness of non-sweating skin or skin maceration.

Surgeries to correct claw hand are based on the principle that the long finger extensors can extend IP joints, provided that hyperextension of the MCP is prevented. This stabilization of MCP can be done by capsuloplasty [15] tenodesis [11], bone block [5], arthrodesis, or tendon transfers that actively extend the IP joints and flex the MCP. The typical indication for the bone block,
capsule plication or tenodesis is long-standing lesions with fibrosis and in muscular paralysis of central origin rather than peripheral nerve lesions. Though these are simpler procedures with success in correcting claw deformity and increasing synchronous movement between fingers when no muscle is available for transfer, they do not improve the grip strength and needs active and timely physiotherapy and exercises. Further, these are passive procedures that can never produce the active phase of intrinsic action in grasp and pinch, so they do not provide a prime flexor of MCP. Strong un-paralyzed muscles, which can be easily used for intrinsic muscle replacement by tendon transfer adding a positive voluntary action. So, if suitable muscles are available, tendon transfers should be the choice for restoration of grip strength. Littlers, Fowlers, and Brands procedures are indicated when patient is cooperative, seek earlier advice, and joints are quite supple, but are met with unsatisfactory results because of tendency for reversal of metacarpal arch due to dorsal pull and they need very cooperative patients, who are ready for extensive physiotherapy, else potential power is dissipated resulting in useless hand. Hence, these procedures are complicated by the difficulty in rehabilitation and reports of median nerve compression due to crowding of the carpal tunnel [16].

In our patients, with low economic status, presenting deformity for a longer duration, seeking advice late with stiff joints, we agree with [17] in that, modified Bunnell’s procedure is more suitable operation which has the advantage of retaining flexor superficialis tendons of the other fingers for better power grip and the avoiding swan neck deformity. Also, modified Bunnell’s procedure removes the powerful flexor of the PIP joints and converted it, into an extensor of the same joints as finger flexors and the wrist flexors and extensors are strong.

Many studies Bauer B, et al. [18] reported near 80 % excellent to good results, while in our study, all the 5 patients got excellent to good results. Ozkan T, et al. [19] reports Bunnell’s procedure more effective in correcting claw hand deformity in comparison to zancolli lasso’s procedure. Poor results were due to less cooperative patients, extensor tendon laxity, joint stiffness, and patients returning to work earlier.

Reported complications due to Bunnell’s transfer are: Swan-neck deformity, flexion posture of the distal interphalangeal joint, check-rein deformity or flexion contracture, intrinsic plus deformity, and insufficient finger flexion. None of them were encountered in our cases. None of our patients developed intrinsic plus, swan-neck deformity, check-rein deformity or flexion contracture hand because as our follow-up is short and transposition was not done in mobile joints. A longer follow-up of these cases is needed to rule out these complications. Also, no case got infection or recurrence of deformity.

Proper tensioning of the tendon slips sutured at the new insertion sites is critical for a better outcome [19] among many methods suggested for equal and balanced tensioning of four tendon slip when the hand is in neutral position with respect to addition or abduction of the wrist. It is the experience of the operating surgeon that helps to decide as to the tension that is to be kept on each slip so that maximum deformity correction is obtained without compromising the functional capabilities of the hand.

The disadvantage of taking the flexor digitorum sublimis tendon is weakening in the grasp and contributing to the position of the acute tip flexion position. Reason being that superficialis is the flexor of PIP joint and it is converted to act as extensor, thus the two muscles (superficialis and profundus) instead of acting synergically, act in opposite direction to each other.

Overall modified Bunnell’s procedure is simple to perform and gives superior results as it gives least possible adhesions, provides a straight course for the tendon, and good leverage with a normal angle of approach. After surgery it is easy to re-educate the patient with better cosmetic results. The patient gets much more satisfaction as far as the functional improvement is concerned after this operation and mentally more satisfied. As all the intrinsic actions can be achieved by using FDS for all four fingers, which are attached on radial as well ulnar side to adduct and abduct as well. The only prerequisites for the Bunnell’s procedure include availability of FDS along with working FDP.

The mean limitation of this study was the small number of patients and the short follow up period (Figure 4-8).

Conclusions

Patient selection, preoperative and postoperative physiotherapy, and following the principles laid by Bunnell’s procedure along with proper tensioning and surgeon’s experience are essential for successful treatment of clawing and obtaining better functional and cosmetic results.
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