Importance of The Medical History in The Diagnosis of Hypersensitivity Pneumonitis: A Case Report
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ABSTRACT

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis occurs in lungs as a result of type IV hypersensitivity reaction against various types of antigens sourced from animals, plants, fungi, bacteria, or low-molecular-weight chemical agents including isocyanates or metal fumes. Although more sophisticated tests may be required for definitive diagnosis, initial suspicion may develop only if the patient’s medical history is detailed in terms of environmental or occupational exposures. Herein, we report a 32-year old male patient presented with dyspnea, dry cough, fatigue, and weight loss. Previous treatments for respiratory infections and asthma suggested by another center had failed. He was consulted to our occupational disease’s unit for any possible occupational lung disease including pneumoconiosis, due to his employment in production of stainless-steel kitchen equipment. Detailed anamnesis revealed that the patient was also a pigeon breeder. Combining both detailed anamnesis of relevant exposures and supporting findings in high-resolution computed tomography of the chest and bronchoalveolar lavage cytology, the patient was diagnosed as hypersensitivity pneumonitis in collaboration with our hospital’s multidisciplinary team for pulmonary diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

Hypersensitivity pneumonitis (HP), formerly called extrinsic allergic alveolitis, occurs as a result of non-IgE related type IV (delayed-type) hypersensitivity reaction against various types of agents, namely antigens or chemicals, exposed environmentally or occupationally [1]. Diagnosis of HP is made by combining clinical features, physical examination, findings of laboratory, radiological and pathological tests. Those tests contain high-resolution computed tomography (HRCT) of the chest, precipitating antibodies in blood or bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL), BAL cytology, or lung biopsy [2]. Although
additional tests are required for diagnosis, the suspi-
cion for HP should develop initially when the med-
ical history of the patient suggests a relevant expo-
sure for HP in order to plan advanced tests. It may
be difficult to obtain information about exposures,
whether occupational or environmental, when the
physician only focuses on the patient’s symptoms,
physical examination findings, and test results [3].
Such a situation may cause a delay for a correct di-
agnosis and appropriate management. Herein we
present a case with HP in whom a diagnosis could
be made in our hospital, after taking a detailed
medical history of relevant exposures, whereas the
patient had a long history of seeking medical care.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A 32-year-old male non-smoker patient was consult-
ed to our unit of occupational diseases with a suspi-
cion of occupational lung disease including pneu-
moconiosis. His complaints at the time of applica-
tion were shortness of breath, limitation of physi-
cal capacity, dry cough, and weight loss of 15 kilo-
grams during last six months. The patient had de-
efined an episode with flu-like symptoms at the be-
inning and been prescribed antibiotics for respira-
atory infection and inhaled treatments for asthma
subsequently.

He had been working for approximately seven years
in the production of kitchen equipment made from
stainless steel by cutting, bending, cleaning with
sandpaper and sponge, and welding (electrode
arc welding and argon gas-shielded arc welding) of
stainless-steel sheets in different small companies.
He worked only on weekdays and his eight-hour
daily shift. He declared that he was using protec-
tive eyeglasses, but not any respiratory protectors.
No colleagues had similar symptoms. The patient
defined an increase in his symptoms after start-
ing work, particularly on Mondays. He told that his
last periodical was performed two years ago with a
chest X-ray and audiogram with normal results.
He was questioned for his environmental expo-
sures. It revealed that he bred up to ten pigeons
at the basement of his house and up to fifteen pi-
geons at a place next to his workplace where he
spent a substantial duration of time, especially on
Mondays after the weekend and during workdays
before starting work. When his symptoms initiated,
a physician suggested to remove the pigeons from
the house building, thus he tried to avoid long dura-
tions of feeding and cleaning when he was at home,
but he continued those activities at the workplace.
Patient’s medical and family history was otherwise
normal.

On physical examination, his general condition was
fine, and he was fully conscious. The vital findings
were within normal limits and there were not any
abnormal findings in physical examination. Patient’s
laboratory and lung function test findings are giv-
en in Table 1. Spirometry revealed a restrictive pat-
tern. His 6-minute-walking-test and carbon monox-
ide diffusion was normal.
Table 1. Histological subtypes of patients

| Test          | Result                  |
|---------------|-------------------------|
| Blood Tests   |                         |
| Hemoglobin    | 15.9 gr/dl              |
| White Blood Cells |                   |
| Neutrophils   | 7.8 x 10³/μL            |
| Eosinophils   | 4.7 x 10³/μL            |
| Basophils     | 0.1 x 10³/μL            |
| Lymphocytes   | 0.1 x 10³/μL            |
| Platelets     | 2.2 x 10³/μL            |
| Sodium        | 286 x 10³/μL            |
| Potassium     | 135 mEq/L               |
| Creatinine    | 4.32 mEq/L              |
| ALT           | 0.77 mg/dL              |
| AST           | 17 U/L                  |
| Total bilirubin| 0.66 mg/dL             |
| Direct bilirubin| 0.097 mg/dL            |
| Albumin       | 18 U/L                  |
| Total Protein | 3.84 g/dL               |
| Lead          | 7.53 g/dL               |
| Manganese     | 4.83 μg/dL (0-5)        |
| Cadmium       | 18.9 μg/L (4.2-16.5)    |
| Mercury       | 3.3 μg/L (0-5)          |
| Arsenic       | 4.7 μg/L (0-10)         |
| Cobalt        | 5.01 μg/L (0-12)        |
| QuantifierON  | 0 μg/L (0.5-3.9)        |
| Pulmonary Function Tests |                |
| Spirometry    |                         |
| FVC           | 3.22 (67%)              |
| FEV1          | 2.81 (70%)              |
| FEV1/FVC      | 87%                     |
| FEF25-75      | 4.11 (88%)              |
| FEV1 after bronchodilator inhalation | 2.54 (71%) |
| Carbon monoxide diffusion | 112%             |
| 6-minute walking test | Normal              |

In his chest X-ray, bilateral increased reticular densities were observed (Figure 1). HRCT revealed radiological findings supporting subacute hypersensitivity pneumonitis such as bilateral diffuse millimetric centrilobular ground-glass nodules which are coalescing in some areas, mosaic perfusion and air trapping in basal areas (Figure 2). Abdominal CT was normal except mild hepatomegaly and splenomegaly.
Figure 1. Patient’s chest X-ray. Bilateral increase in reticular densities was observed.

Figure 2. Computed tomography of thorax. Typical findings for subacute hypersensitivity pneumonitis such as bilateral diffuse millimetric centrilobular ground-glass nodules which are coalescing in some areas, mosaic perfusion and air trapping in basal areas were observed.
A fiberoptic bronchoscopy was performed to evaluate upper and lower airways and obtain BAL. BAL cytology revealed percentages of lymphocytes as 85%, macrophages as 10-12%, and neutrophils as 2-3%. Flow cytometry of BAL revealed a CD4+ to CD8+ T lymphocyte ratio of 0.41.

Combining both detailed medical history and supporting findings of HRCT and BAL, the patient was diagnosed as subacute HP in collaboration with our hospital's multidisciplinary team for pulmonary diseases. A corticosteroid treatment with methylprednisolone (1 mg per kg) was initiated with a tapering plan along with the recommendation of avoiding possible exposures. Symptomatic improvement was observed at his control visit one month later with this treatment.

**DISCUSSION**

A detailed medical history is an essential part of the evaluation a patient for differential diagnosis of HP. Important aspects of anamnesis include evaluation of occupational and environmental exposures [4]. Avian antigen is a well-known cause for HP and that form of HP is also called as bird fancier’s lung or pigeon breeder’s lung [5]. HP may also develop after occupational exposure to bacterial antigens in metal working fluids or low molecular weight chemicals including isocyanates and metal fumes [2], all of which may also be suspicious expositions of the patient during stainless steel cutting and welding. Thus, there was a probability of HP development related to pigeon antigens and/or other possible occupationally exposed agents in our case. Exposure history and typical HRCT findings along with lymphocyte predominant BAL cytology are accepted as sufficient for confident clinical diagnosis for HP [6]. Thus, the patient was diagnosed as HP in collaboration with our hospital’s multidisciplinary team for pulmonary diseases. Removal of all possible causative agents was suggested along with corticosteroid treatment.

HP is classified as acute, subacute, and chronic according to the course of the disease [7]. Recent publications suggest to use acute/subacute HP term instead, due to vague differences between acute and subacute HP compared to chronic HP [8]. Chronic HP presents with persistent symptoms and signs due to permanent fibrotic changes in lungs. In our case, respiratory symptoms, namely dyspnea and cough, were developed gradually along with fatigue, weight loss as compatible with subacute HP. Contrary to most of the lung diseases, 80-95% of cases with HP are non-smokers, as in our case [9]. The underlying mechanism is not fully understood, but anti-inflammatory effects of nicotine may play a role [10]. In terms of lung function tests, decreased carbon monoxide diffusion is often observed in HP patients and accepted as an early and sensitive finding. But diffusion tests may also be found as normal in a small group of patients [11]. Diffusion test of our patient was normal.

In terms of HRCT, primary finding of acute HP is ground glass opacities. Most frequent findings for subacute HP include centrilobular nodules and ground glass opacities along with air trapping and mosaic perfusion. Findings of chronic HP are irregular linear opacities, honeycombing and traction bronchiectasis suggesting fibrosis [12,13]. In our case diffuse ground glass nodules and basal low-density areas were observed. Those findings were typical for subacute HP.

Lymphocytic predominance in BALs of subacute and chronic HP cases mainly reflects T lymphocytes with increased subgroup of CD8+ cells, thus bronchoalveolar lavage CD4+ to CD8+ T lymphocyte ratio is decreased to less than one [14,15]. In our case, 85% of cells in BAL were lymphocytes and CD4+ to CD8+ T lymphocyte ratio was 0.41. Despite lacking availability in our center, tests for precipitating antibodies in blood or BAL can prove the causative agent for the diagnosis [16]. Currently limited number of centers can analyze a few types of precipitating antibodies in Turkey. Increased availability may be achieved by establishing specialized reference centers.

In conclusion, a detailed medical history revealing environmental and occupational inhalational exposures guides the physician in the diagnosis of HP. After initial suspicion, supporting laboratory and radiologic findings confirm the diagnosis.
to provide appropriate management. Physicians should be encouraged to question their patients regarding occupational and environmental exposures. Future increase in availability of precipitating antibody tests may provide better suggestions for patients about removal of exact causative agent exposed occupationally and/or environmentally.
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