Measuring System Success In New Context By Adapting DM 2003 Framework With The External Factor Management Support
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\textbf{Abstract}. Rate of failure of software’s and information systems are high among the world and there is a dire need for engineering a systems quality framework works a success measure tool in the context of the study domain. Success measure of systems and developing a framework helps in solving the problem of higher rate of systems failure. Success measure is also, an important part of systems development to improve systems performance. However, studies showed a lack of research done on systems success in world universities Arab region universities and Yemeni universities and limited adequate frameworks. Systems especially the web-based faces high rate of failure in world and Arab region, it was observed that many funded system projects failed, it was also, noted that in Yemen systems were completed and implemented without evaluation and success measuring. The Yemen higher education management information system (YHEMIS), is a large-scale application developed and implemented without evaluation. Hence, this research measures the YHEMIS application by investigating into the factors that influence user satisfaction and system use which will further show the benefit of the system. The research findings showed that information quality, system
quality and management support influenced the use and users’ satisfaction and played a vital role in the success of YHEMIS. The findings showed that students’ satisfaction have the strongest effect on the perceived net benefits YHEMIS brought for the students. This study provides the first empirical data on the evaluation of web-based systems success conducted on YHEMIS in Yemen. Based on the results, stakeholders can get the feedback to improve the systems and provide input to develop other software projects. The research findings can provide the support to the management of Hadhramout University to other online system projects. Hence, YHEMIS can be said to be successful and users (students) satisfaction can be considered as the indicator of systems success and benefit.

1. Introduction
Organization undergo changes due to external or internal environmental pressures that, in turn, will make new demand on the IS which has been unavoidable. The field of systems is rich with literature in evaluation that effectively measure systems success and there are many theories that can help such as DeLone and McLean and ISO 25010. Yemen is one of the poorest countries, based on the report of Human Development Index (HDI) and report of United Nation. Yemen is also counted among the poorest countries in the Arab world and it is ranked 154. Being placed medium among human development countries, negatively affect all aspect of life in Yemen, especially the field of education and scientific research [1,2].

There has been rapid development in higher education in Yemen in the last years [3]. Unfortunately, this expansion comes with a variety of problems, such as haphazard and risking waste of investments, duplication of efforts, and other levels of inefficiencies lack of resources and technology infrastructure, fail of systems and higher numbers of dissatisfying systems users etc. [1,2,3,4,5]. In fact, administrators and stakeholders don’t pay much attention to the value of systems success evaluation especially after the systems implementation. Therefore, per researches, there is lack of studies related to IS success evaluation conducted in the Arab world especially Yemeni context, right now, many institutional organization in Hadhramout governorate such as Hadhramout university started the implementation of ISs and are making efforts for the success of these systems [2]. Supporting the deployment of IS/IT in education organization in Yemen needs more academic research [1]. There is a funded project (Introduce IT based Services to the Yemeni Public Universities) for supporting the universities in Yemen with information systems. Such a project is organized by the Yemeni Center for Information Technology, which is related to The Ministry of Higher Education and is purposed for enhancing the level of Yemen post studies through utilizing the different services of the Information and Communication Technology (ICT) [2].

One of these systems is Yemen Higher Education Management Information System (YHEMIS) that is implemented in 2013. This system is compulsory for students in five different universities of the country to be registered. This web systems project has inspired the researcher to conduct an exploratory research on the evaluation the YHEMIS success and examine some of these important factors for information systems success in Yemen [2].

The students’ perspective in Hadhramout University will encourage further studies in this field in Yemen and Arab region. Indeed, DeLone and McLean strongly call for systems success measure and this study aims to be in pursuit of this call [6].

2. Problem Statement
The evolution of software's (SWs) and information systems (ISs) is rooted in many interdisciplinary [1]. Filed of software engineering and information systems becomes more complex, in general facing a challenge in defining and measuring success. Measuring the success of systems based on the context is a prime importance [6].

Organization need a well-defined engineered framework and tools to assist in the assessment of complex web-based systems quality requirements [7,8,9,10]. Information and systems engineering methodology is an engineering approach that aims at providing a framework for planning, evaluating,
developing, and implementing applications within an organization. Its primary goal is to enable an organization to improve the administration of its systems. It is seen as a framework outlining a variety of techniques that are used to assess systems effectively [11].

Systems success in Arab countries not well known for the system success is perceived so targeting this gap is the right track [5]. With the high rate of systems failure in the world, Arab and Yemen as large and with the large numbers of dissatisfying system users, knowing the level of users' satisfaction on the university web-based system is a recurrent challenge. Omitting the success measure of the systems based on the satisfaction of the users, loyalty, systems benefit and based on the other factors such as quality factors, IT anxiety, management support and etc... is today dilemma and one of the reasons behind the low level of success of the systems [2]. Data and information, which are saved in the IS, could be used to improve decision-making. The failure of information system remains a problem for the organization and thus, there is a need to identify this lack of success. The factor that leads to ISs success is failure identification for the maintenance of the systems. The assessment of Information systems success is necessary as a chief condition to make the IS success better in the future [1]. Indeed, systems evaluation is important for organization despite the concept of success measure and performing systems evaluation has not been subjected to extensive research [12,13].

Providing competitive edge to the business is the role of ISs and IS success evaluation is the recently subject of much debate [14]. Organizations consider the failure of ISs a major concern and to target this failure, information system assessment is a main step and condition to make the rate of success increase. The initiatives of the future of the information systems, opinions of users are deemed as success determinant for any Information system enterprise [15]. The Yemen Higher Education Management Information System (YHEMIS) project is developed during the development process program to support the universities with ICT [2]. Evaluating the success in ISs is a critical issue and it is a key concern by the executives of organization throughout the world to manage carefully and successfully [12]. Many universities, now a day’s, deploy and improve their ISs to optimize and maintain the academic performance and to meet the changes occurred in different systems [16].

There is a need for ISs success measure based on student’s perspective, because there is a lack of research related to the field ISs success measure. It is urgent to know whether (YHEMIS) is a success or not based on student’s perspective. It is necessary to find out whether YHEMIS benefited the students or not. The result can help us in the future to send it to the stakeholders in the organization [2]. The main problem is that the large-scale application Yemen Higher Education Management System was developed and implemented without evaluation. This IS is categorized as large and important system applied in the public Yemeni organizations (Universities). YHEMIS, has not been evaluated before and it is not known either it is success or failure based on the student’s perspective. Obviously, it is very important to do an IS evaluation based on student’s perspective for the YHEMIS [2].

3. Systems Success Measure in General

Knowing and measuring the level of systems success evaluation identified in IS area as critical issue, many studies such as [15], have been performed to search and explore this value issue. However, proper group of variables that may be used to identify the users understanding of information systems success as a main determinant for the success as ISs are chiefly running to serve the end user. Plethora of IS used in organization such as student information system, human resource management system, e-commerce and many others, so doing IS success evaluation which categorized as complex and illusive is necessary [17]. Based on CHAOS report that eight thousand three hundred eighty, information system applications under development, information system projects deemed as successful in the case of complete on time and budget with satisfaction of all specified function, “16.2%” of projects fell in this category. Information system project deemed as partial failure in case of completed the project but with over cost and time and/or features and functions that already specified are lack, “52.7%” of all studied projects fell into this category [15]. Software’s and information systems deemed as full failure in the case of at the same point the project cancelled or abandoned, “31.1%” of all studied projects fell
into this category. Project success is little worse than the year 2006 but better than year 1994. He also confirmed that CHAOS report is still to be an important measure for the IT industry in general and IS [18]. Systems evaluation is so important because it is assessing the benefits and values to the organization and it is providing feedback to the stakeholders and peoples in responsible position in the organization [19].

4. Related Work
An empirical and theoretical test on the model proposed by DeLone and McLean and the model by Seddon. One characteristic common to the two models is that they both relate to IS success, although the model by Seddon does not consider the use of IS as a process but rather as a behaviour. Whereas, the initial model by DeLone and McLean views it as a process that leads to organizational or individual impact. The model by Seddon concentrates on the fundamental areas of the taxonomic category’s interrelationship [20]. The work by [20] stated that the major thing that makes these two models differ exists in the IS use placement and definition. However, Seddon states that usage precedes benefits and impact, although it is not responsible for the cause. The author further considered the use of IS to be a resultant behaviour reflecting the expected net benefits gained from adopting the information system. Additional information regarding the empirical test by [20], conducted is further explained later. In addition, different researches who have adopted these models is presented next [20].

DeLone and McLean adopted their upgraded model to compose the success figures of e-commerce mentioned in previously existing studies and exhibited how the model can be adopted by considering two example cases. These two cases described usability as a vital measurement for system quality prompting expanded times for access in websites (use) and purchases repeated (user satisfaction). To add to their work, the authors further recommended that e-commerce studies ought to incorporate net benefits measures (such as, market valuation, incremental deals) and not be limited to the collection of surrogate measures, for example, hits on website (use). Then again, to comprehend the results of net benefits, they contended that the users experience quality and the usage by customers of and being satisfied with, the system ought to be measured [21].

An empirical test in quasi-voluntary use of IS with application in student information system (SIS). The SIS gives online access to a database of the academic and personal data of students. The adoption of SIS was not compulsory. The discoveries support DeLone and McLean's perception that IS success models must be deliberately determined in each area. They additionally propose that future study ought to inspect how "performance of IS success models in distinctive areas, including settings that differ from strictly voluntary to strictly involuntary use and prescribe refinements as fitting" [20]. (System quality, information quality, use, user satisfaction, net benefits, service quality and intention to use) [22], tried the IS success model by utilizing field investigation of a compulsory data system. The test was led with Oulu City Council. The council was working on the selection of a new data system and attempting to perform its acknowledgement organizationally. Information gathered by adopting questionnaire approach, which were offered to new data system's basic users. The questionnaire administered considered standard measures. System quality was measured by six factors: Language, system flexibility, time for response, system integration, recovery of error and access convenience [22]. Information quality was likewise measured by six factors: Precision, completeness, accuracy, currency, reliability and output format. The outcomes demonstrated that apparent system quality and information quality perceived were noteworthy indicators of user fulfilment or satisfaction with the system, however they did not matter to the use of the system. User fulfilment was a solid indicator of individual effect [23]. A contribution to IS success research provided form [23], by developing and empirically testing of a procedure arranged framework of IS success that considered the framework of DeLone and McLean.

They analysed the impact of low-level immaterial IS and Information Technology (IT) advantages on high-level monetary measures. They likewise presented IS quality plan as a predecessor to the model's variables inputted. The outcomes of the test supported a procedure arranged perspective of the
advantages from the IS and demonstrated how the impacts of IS along a way can prompt better performance organization-ally, for their situation, lower general expenses. Standard measures are presented in this study’s appendix. Their study used seven-point Likert scale secured by "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree" or scale from "Not Much" to "Extensively" [23]. A knowledge management systems (KMS) success framework is stated and experimentally evaluated by [24]. Construct with respect to an investigation of current approach of knowledge management and in addition the DeLone and McLean's framework where five subordinate variables were used (quality of the system, perceived KMS benefits, quality of knowledge or information, use of system and satisfaction of user) in assessing KMS success. The meanings and success measures are introduced [24].

5. Hypothesis and Framework
To examine this framework these hypotheses are suggested:

- H1, Information Quality significantly affect use of (YHEMIS)
- H2, System Quality significantly affect use of (YHEMIS)
- H3, Services Quality significantly affect use of (YHEMIS)
- H4, Management Support significantly affect use of (YHEMIS)
- H5, Information Quality significantly affect students’ satisfaction of (YHEMIS)
- H6, System Quality significantly affect students’ satisfaction of (YHEMIS)
- H7, Services Quality significantly affect students’ satisfaction of (YHEMIS)
- H8, Management Support significantly affect students’ satisfaction of (YHEMIS)
- H9, Use significantly affect students’ satisfaction of (YHEMIS)
- H10, Students’ Satisfaction significantly affect on use of (YHEMIS)
- H11, Use significantly affect Net benefits of (YHEMIS)
- H12, Students’ Satisfaction significantly affect Net benefits of (YHEMIS)

6. Methodology
This study used the quantitative approach where a cross sectional study of the YHEMIS will be evaluated. The quantitative approach enable data to be collected from different users to provide more information. The evaluation of an information system like YHEMIS involve various users (students), thus of data collection must be done depending on the number of respondents and type of data to be collected. Quantitative is suitable because in this study, data collected be in a form of survey using an instrument that adapted from other studies. This study collected opinions of the students, through
The YHEMIS is used by most of the level one students. In this study, stratified random sampling is used. Data analysis using descriptive and inferential statistics were used. The aim of this study was to evaluate (YHEMIS) net benefits based on students’ perspective. The study based on survey method due to the huge number of students who use the system, to collect the data for analysis. Thus, proper data collection sampling and analysis done. In this study, Statistical Package for the Social Science (SPSS) tool was used to convert the multiple-regression results and population data to be presents in graph, pie chart, table and to provide descriptive statistics. This gives a clear picture of the result. The Partial Least Squares (PLS) tool is used to manage and convert the correlation results to be presents in good manner.

7. Data Collection
Data collected through, questionnaire. Random sampling method was adapted to collect the samples where two hundred seventy-eight respondents were used for the data analysis. The questionnaire adapted from other researches gives the ability to compare the result and provides reliability [25]. An instrument that used in this study is a questionnaire. The researcher communicated with several professors to validate the instrument. After validation process has been done a certified translator translated the instrument to Arabic language by hand. The reliability of the questionnaire tested in a pilot study.

8. Pilot Study
A total of 33 students participated in the pilot study. The test of reliability consistency is Cronbach’s coefficient alpha which is used for multipoint scale items [26,27]. The higher amount of coefficient indicates the better measures. Ideally, the Cronbach’s coefficient alpha should be greater than 0.70 [28]. Table I indicates the results of reliability for pilot study.

| Construct                  | No. Items | Cronbach’s alpha |
|----------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| Information Quality        | 8         | 0.961            |
| System Quality             | 8         | 0.865            |
| Services Quality           | 6         | 0.735            |
| Management Support         | 4         | 0.966            |
| Use                        | 6         | 0.725            |
| Student Satisfaction       | 4         | 0.963            |
| Net Benefit                | 3         | 0.953            |

9. Population
Unit of analysis for this research is the individual end users, students of level one who use the system. The undergraduate degrees in Yemen consist of four Levels. End users who used the YHEMIS will be selected to answer the questioner.

10. Sampling
The unit of analysis in this study involves the main users of YHEMIS of Hadhramout university that are the students who are in level one. Researcher selected random students to answer the questionnaire that’s used the YHEMIS to meet the research aims. Determining the sample size is important to estimate the characteristics of the population [29]. The sample size is larger than thirty and less than five hundred is proper and adequate for analysis, preferably 10 times or more than the number of the variables in the study [30,31].
11. Data Analysis and Findings
A total of 278 questionnaires were distributed to the students in Hadhramout University in Mukalla, Yemen. Out of 278 distributed questionnaires, 266 were filled and returned by the respondents showing a response rate of 96 percent. In data cleaning process, it was found that five questionnaires were not usable for analysis. Therefore, the number of usable questionnaires are 261 showing 94 percent response rate available for data analysis. Moreover, appropriate sample size was obtained for the study as the rules of thumb that a sample size between 30 and 500 is appropriate for data analysis (preferably 10 times or more than that of number of variables involved in the study) [30,31].

Of the 261 respondents in this research 179 or 68.6% were male and 82 or 31.4% were female. Hadhramout University in Yemen-Mukalla has many males as compared to females who are students in the university.

In terms of age, 51 respondents or (19.5%) of the total less than 18 years, whereas 173 respondents (66.3%) were within the age of 18-20 years and 37 respondents (14.2%) were within the age of greater than 20 years.

In terms of colleges, 67 respondents or (25.7%) from Administrative Science college, Whereas 90 respondents (34.5%) from Science college and 104 respondents (39.8%) from Education college.

Table 2. Cross Tabulation for the Sample.

| Colleges       | A  | B  | C  | Total |
|----------------|----|----|----|-------|
| Population Size| 256| 327| 395| 978   |
| 26%            | 34%| 40%|    | 100%  |
| Sample Size    | 72 | 95 | 111| 278   |

Table 3. Respondent’s Frequency of Gender.

| Gender      | Frequency | %   |
|-------------|-----------|-----|
| Male        | 179       | 68.6%|
| Female      | 82        | 31.4 |
| Total       | 261       | 100% |

Table 4. Respondent’s Frequency of Age.

| Age            | Frequency | %   |
|----------------|-----------|-----|
| Less than 18   | 51        | 19.5|
| 18-20          | 173       | 66.3|
| More than 20   | 37        | 14.2|
| Total          | 261       | 100%|

Table 5. Respondent’s Frequency of colleges.

| Gender                               | Frequency | %   |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----|
| Administrative Science (A)           | 67        | 25.7|
| Science (B)                          | 90        | 34.5|
| Education (C)                        | 104       | 39.8|
| Total                                | 261       | 100 |
12. Hypothesis Testing
To test the hypotheses suggested for this research, a Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to see the connection between the variables. If the value of correlation is equal to 1.0, it indicates that there is perfect positive or negative relationship. If it is equal to zero it means that there is no relationship [32]. The coefficient of correlation shows the direction and strength of linear association of variables in the study and the significance level of all coefficients is also given [30]. Positive sign with coefficient of correlation indicated that both variables move in same direction while negative sign designates the opposite direction of two variables [33].

Table 6. Reliability Analysis of the Variables.

| Variables                        | No. items | Cronbach’s alpha |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| YHEMIS Information Quality       | 8         | 0.965            |
| YHEMIS System Quality            | 8         | 0.956            |
| YHEMIS Services Quality          | 6         | 0.817            |
| Management Support               | 4         | 0.957            |
| Use                              | 6         | 0.987            |
| User Satisfaction                | 4         | 0.702            |
| YHEMIS Net Benefit               | 3         | 0.761            |

Table 7. Hypothesis Summery.

| H    | B     | T     | P     | Status   |
|------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| H1   | 0.312 | 3.380 | 0.001 | Support  |
| H2   | 0.313 | 3.849 | 0.000 | Support  |
| H3   | 0.051 | 1.157 | 0.248 | Not Support |
| H4   | 0.259 | 3.067 | 0.002 | Support  |
| H5   | 0.133 | 2.037 | 0.042 | Support  |
| H6   | 0.309 | 4.690 | 0.000 | Support  |
| H7   | 0.029 | 0.620 | 0.535 | Not Support |
| H8   | 0.227 | 4.687 | 0.000 | Support  |
| H9   | 0.311 | 5.338 | 0.000 | Support  |
| H10  | 0.421 | 5.122 | 0.000 | Support  |
| H11  | 0.052 | 0.732 | 0.465 | Not Support |
| H12  | 0.732 | 11.503| 0.000 | Support  |

The correlation of the variables of YHEMIS. It can be noted that there is positive correlation between the YHEMIS variables and all are accepted. For this study, simple regressions were carried out to predict net benefit based on use and students’ satisfaction considering information quality, system quality, service quality and management support. The PLS procedure was applied to estimate the dependent variable of the research framework. PLS algorithm used two times to mutual influence between use and user satisfaction we estimated two frameworks: framework 1 testing the whole framework with relation use to students’ satisfaction, framework 2 analysing the whole framework with reversibly students’ satisfaction to use [22,34]. Additionally, we used a bootstrapping procedure and generated 500 bootstrap samples to test the significance of the path estimates [35,36].

13. Discussion and Implications
The idea of this study is to determine what factors influence use and students’ satisfaction of YHEMIS and to know whether students perceived benefit or not. After measuring the six dimensions and net
benefit allowed researcher to understand the relationship between the six dimensions and net benefit. The results of this study are positively related to students’ perceived net benefit. With the recognition that information quality, system quality and management support suggest positive significant affect on use and students’ satisfaction of YHEMIS while service quality has not significantly affect the use and students’ satisfaction of YHEMIS. Use and Students’ satisfaction of YHEMIS have a high positive significant effect on each other. On the other hand, students’ satisfactions have a high positive significant affect toward net benefit while use has not significantly affect the net benefit.

Hypothesis (H3 service quality significant affect the YHEMIS use, H7 service quality significant affect the students’ satisfaction and H11 use significant affect net benefit) not supported and rejected due to being insignificant. The other hypothesis (H1, H2, H4, H5, H6, H8, H9, H10 and H12) are accepted due to being significant. Further, squared multiple correlations R² that represent the explanatory power of the structured framework should be greater than 0.33 [35]. H9 and H11 comprise a mutual influence between use and user satisfaction we estimated two frameworks: framework 1 testing H9 from use to user satisfaction, framework 2 analysing H10 reversibly [22,34].

Regarding to our framework the R² for use (0.639), students’ satisfaction (0.767) and R² net benefit (0.598) are high and same value in both frameworks 1 and 2. In summary nine out of twelve hypotheses were supported. This framework accounted for 60% of the variance in perceived net benefit, with students’ satisfaction exerting a stronger direct effect than use on perceived net benefit. 69% of the variance in use was explained by information quality, system quality, service quality, management support and students’ satisfaction, while 77% of the variance in students’ satisfaction was explained by information quality, system quality, service quality, management support and use. The direct and total effect of students’ satisfaction on perceived net benefit was 0.732, while the direct and total effect of use on perceived net benefit was 0.053. That means students’ satisfaction exhibited stronger direct and total effects on perceived net benefit than use. Among information quality, system quality, service quality and management support the direct and total effect of system quality was the strongest effect on use 0.313 and on the students’ satisfaction 0.310. Per the proposed framework, students’ satisfaction is a closer measure of YHEMIS success than the other success measures.

Perceived net benefit should develop if the formation of perceived quality, system use, management support and user satisfaction is appropriately managed. Thus, management attention might more fruitfully focus on the development of these psychological and behavioral processes. To increase students-perceived net benefit, YHEMIS administrators need to develop it more with a good service quality, information quality, system quality and provide more management support which, in turn, will influence student’s system usage behaviour and satisfaction evaluation and the corresponding perceived net benefit. In this framework, system students’ satisfaction was found to have the strongest direct and total effect on perceived net benefit, indicating the importance of system satisfaction in promoting students-perceived net benefit.

14. Future Work
The higher numbers of dissatisfying systems users and fail of software’s, information systems, mobile application and web based systems in the World, Arab countries and in Yemen as large clear the way and make it necessary to conduct a comprehensive research and developing a framework that can help the organization and the world. The success measure of web applications based on the satisfaction of the users is rather a recent and frequently neglected issue. This study which is the first study on the evaluation of information system website success conducted for Yemen universities has opened the path for other Yemeni researchers to conduct future researches on evaluation of information success system generally. Researchers call for further investigation for the framework in different context. The studies in Arab in general are lack and in the Yemeni context is almost non-existent. Researchers open the way for the Yemeni researchers to conduct studies in the information system websites success evaluation in Yemeni context. Researchers recommended investigating the factor management support in other frameworks.
Researchers recommended investigating the relationship between user’s satisfaction with loyalty and Benefit (success) and investigate the significant affect between the factors that related to the framework ISO 20510 and their effect on users’ satisfaction. Also, investigate the significant affect between the quality factors that related to the DM and their effect on users’ satisfaction in the domain of universities web-based systems is highly recommended. Yemeni universities web-based systems remain without success evaluation and strongly need for framework that can help and solve the problem. Henceforth, the web portal of Arab countries universities and Yemeni universities in an overall manner, especially of the Hadhramout universities require a robust assessment to outline how the customers are experiencing them and what is their overall level of satisfaction with these websites, what is the Net Impact of these systems towards the students and it is highly recommended to investigate the relationship between students’ satisfaction and loyalty of the students towards the university web site. Sadly, there have been no effective studies in this prospect. Moreover, inconsistent results pertaining to the influence of quality components like service, information systems with satisfaction demands for further empirical attention.

Likewise, the literature also suggests that software engineering frameworks are also inconsistent in connection to satisfaction. On parallel, there lies a dearth of empirical results pertaining to ISO 25010 framework and how it can help in evaluating and measuring success of a system. Thus, the influence of student satisfaction on the loyalty towards university info-share systems is unclear. In simple, there is lack of evidence pertaining to the impact of students’ satisfaction on their loyalty with the respective university web portals. Likewise, there are inconsistent results pertaining to how the information system assessment can be an important prospect for enterprises to sustain and remain competitive. Investigation this link is becoming tougher and challenging due to heavy intervention of internet and advanced technologies. Since internet comes with the facility of uninterrupted and seamless communication, the assessment of the effectiveness of information systems has become a critical task as now it requires a lot of features and components to be considered simultaneously. The assessment of effectiveness of web-based is essential to remain competitive and offer greater service features to predict student satisfaction.

This importance coupled with complexity of assessment of effective information systems has raised several factors to consider. Precisely, it demands for a more comprehensive framework to help assist corporations as well as educational institutions to understand and outline the effective design and development of robust information systems for profound services to end users. At present, information systems have transformed into web-based information systems (WIS) due to advancements in internet and technology. Therein, it provides a holistic one stop platform to cater for all information need pertaining to the organization and its offerings. Henceforth, it essential for businesses to have strong hold WIS that could enable them to reach up to the expectations of the end users and help enterprise achieve its planned goals. Nevertheless, the assessment of information systems especially of the ones that are web enabled is essential for making sure that the end user requirements are fulfilled. Such assessment will also be very healthy in helping resolve critical issues pertaining to the existing information system and/or website through highlighting its strengths and areas that it requires further improvement.

15. Conclusion
This study planned to fill the gap of lack of studies and empirical data of IS success evaluation and to open the way to do more studies in other universities in Arab region. Furthermore, aimed to enhance our understanding of how IS success evaluation is an important for an organization and it is an integral part of IS’s investment. Research in information systems success in public universities is relatively few if compared to study done in the private organizations. Last the findings of this study confirmed that students of Hadhramout University are satisfied with Yemen Higher Education Management Information System. In general, the system is success and benefited the Hadhramout University students. The factors information quality, system quality and the external factor management support were playing a vital role in the success of YHEMIS whereas service quality not.
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