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This issue provides insights into clinical diagnosis and management of patients with conditions commonly seen in primary care. A cohort study and 2 clinical trials offer new ways of managing or preventing common conditions.

In a cohort study of 1,871 patients, preventive labor induction is associated with a lower rate of cesarean delivery. Editorials by Caughey and Klein present contrasting perspectives and considerable context for interpreting this study and for considering the application of its provocative findings in practice.

In this time of controversy surrounding the widespread administration of human papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination an ethnographic study by Sussman et al provides useful insights into how primary care clinicians think about providing anticipatory guidance about sexual health risks and HPV vaccination during office visits by adolescent patients. This study was conducted shortly before the HPV vaccine became available in the United States.

Herpes zoster infection commonly is diagnosed by clinical judgment. A study by Opstelten and colleagues finds that family physicians' clinical judgment and a rapid dried blood spot test both have high degrees of accuracy compared with serologic confirmation of infection.

In a randomized clinical trial among the challenging group of patients with medically unexplained physical symptoms, Escobar and colleagues find that a cognitive behavior therapy intervention reduces patients' symptoms at treatment completion. These effects persist but diminish with time.

In another clinical trial, Dietrich and colleagues evaluate a streamlined prevention care management intervention. They find that it improves screening rates for colorectal cancer, but not cervical and breast cancer. Delivery through a Medicaid managed care organization shows the feasibility of providing central telephone support for patients seen in diverse primary care practices.

HEALTH CARE SERVICES DELIVERY

Referrals are common in primary care, but how often patients actually go to the referred physician is not known. Forrest et al study a cohort of 776 patients referred from 133 physicians in 81 practices in 30 states. They find that about 80% of patients actually complete the specialty referral within 3 months. Factors associated with completion have implications for practice and health care system organization.

Both religious traditions and the practice of medicine at times use a sense of "calling" as motivation for caring for the underserved. A study by Curlin and colleagues finds that specific aspects of physicians' report of personal spirituality and background, but not general measures of religiosity, are associated with reported care of the underserved.

A study of 112 Spanish primary care physicians asks how frequently clinical questions occur and are answered during outpatient visits. In 3,511 videotaped consecutive visits that averaged 8 minutes, they find that physicians searched for answers to 23% of the questions. Only 10% of questions were answered during the patient visit. This work shows the need for efficient and effective ways of answering clinical questions in real time.

A Canadian study is relevant for initiatives to reform primary health care. Haggerty et al use a Delphi process to define care characteristics proposed for current or reformed primary health care. They develop operational definitions for 25 attributes, only 5 of which are rated as specific to primary care.

We encourage readers to participate in the Annals Journal Club and to share your insights by joining the Annals online discussion at http://www.AnnFamMed.org.

IMPACT

An essay and accompanying oral history by John Frey trace the origins of family medicine through the life of one of its founders—John Geyman, MD. We recom-
mand this essay to readers who are familiar with Dr. Geyman’s large impact on family medicine, and particularly to readers who may be less familiar with his seminal role in the development of the discipline and his more recent efforts to promote health care reform.

After 4 years of publication and 3 years of being indexed, the Annals has received its first impact factor in the 2006 Journal Citation Reports. The journal impact factor is the ratio of the number of citations in the current year to a journal’s articles published in the previous 2 years, divided by the number of a journal’s “substantive articles and reviews published in the same 2 years.”27,28 The Annals inaugural impact factor of 3.8 places it in the top 15 in the large category of general medical journals.29 This is gratifying, and we are appreciative of the many people who are making the Annals a forum for sharing new knowledge about primary care, health care, and health.

Nevertheless, the impact factor is an imperfect and controversial measure of a journal’s influence,30-34 and citations in the scientific literature are only one component of impact. We ask authors to provide us with their best work, and readers and online commenters to consider together the implications of this work for practice, policy, research, education, and other uses. The application of shared knowledge to understanding and improving health care and health is the real measure of impact for which we strive.

To read or post commentaries in response to this article, see it online at http://www.annfammed.org/cgi/current/full/5/4/290.
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