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The surface intermediates formed on the Mg-La catalyst (molar ratio 1:1) were studied through temperature-programmed desorption (TPD) and diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy coupled to mass spectrometry (DRIFTS-MS) using methanol (MeOH) and ethyl acetate (EA) as probe molecules. TPD results showed that the products formed from adsorbed MeOH were hydrogen and formaldehyde, whereas EA produced hydrogen, ethanol, and acetone. DRIFTS-MS of a MeOH and EA mixture results showed that methanol adsorbs as methoxy species on the catalyst surface, and EA adsorbs through the oxygen of carbonyl groups forming ethoxy and acetate species. The reaction of the adsorbed intermediate species resulted in the formation of methane, CO\textsubscript{2} gas phase, ethanol, and methyl acetate. Also, methanol adsorbed preferentially on the basic site and EA in a dissociative form. Surface intermediates identification allowed to confirm that the Eley-Rideal type mechanism was predominant on the catalyst surface studied.

**INTRODUCTION**

Transesterification reactions play a significant role in many areas of the chemical industry, and in the last years, they have been received particular attention due to biodiesel production. These reactions can be promoted by acid or basic catalysts in both homogeneous and heterogeneous medium. In the conventional process of biodiesel production, the homogeneous basic catalysts (sodium or potassium hydroxides or alkoxides) are preferred because the reaction occurs at higher reaction rates under mild conditions.\textsuperscript{1,2} However, the homogeneous catalytic systems have some technical drawbacks, such as the difficulty for removal of the basic catalysts after the reaction, the large amount of wastewater produced to separate the catalyst and clean the products, and the occurrence of saponification reaction and emulsification. Thus, the replacement of the homogeneous catalysts for heterogeneous ones is a promising and cleaner alternative due to the reduction of the number of toxic wastes and corrosion risks, easy handling and separation, catalysts reuse, and possible use of fixed bed reactors.\textsuperscript{3,4}

A variety of catalysts is evaluated for applying on transesterification reactions, especially those with basic properties based on alkaline and alkaline-earth metal oxides, salts supported on alumina, zeolites, and hydrotalcite.\textsuperscript{5} According to Islam and coworkers,\textsuperscript{6} the performance is dependent on the physicochemical properties of the catalyst properties, such as strong acid/base sites, high surface area, and porosity.

MgO-based mixed oxides derived from the hydrotalcite-type structure have shown good results as catalysts for transesterification reactions. Among them, MgO/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{6,7} MgO/La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{8,9} and MgO/La\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{10} whose formulations should favor the presence of basic Brønsted sites,\textsuperscript{6,11,12} have stood out due to its high activity and improved yield and selectivity to fatty acid alkyl esters. Mg-La catalysts have received particular attention since lanthanum increases MgO activity, stability and provides a material easy to regenerate in the transesterification reaction of refined sunflower oil with methanol, with a variation of 5% in the conversion approximately.\textsuperscript{7} In a previous study, Mg-La based catalysts showed promising performance concerning the production of methyl esters via transesterification reaction.\textsuperscript{1} The evaluation of the influence of the chemical composition (Mg/La molar ratio) indicated that solids with Mg/La molar ratio equal to 9:1 and 1:1 produce the highest fatty acid methyl esters (FAME) yields. These results correlated well with the basic site density and the presence of super basic sites.\textsuperscript{3} The comparison of these catalysts (Mg/La molar ratio of 9:1 and 1:1), with Al/La catalysts with molar ratios 9:1 and 1:1 in the transesterification of soybean oil and methanol, showed that all catalysts had a similar performance at 200 °C. At 130 °C, both the Mg-La catalysts 9:1 and 1:1 had higher yields than the corresponding Al/La samples. However, the authors could not establish a relationship between the catalytic activity and the surface properties of the catalysts.

Thus, fundamental studies using probe molecules are essential to get a better understanding of the catalyst properties. In general, the literature presents studies using infrared spectroscopy analyses for MgO catalyst,\textsuperscript{1,11,13,14} which show that methanol adsorbs both in the molecular and the dissociative form on MgO. The dissociation occurs by breaking the OH bond of the alcohol and forms methoxy species linked to an Mg\textsuperscript{2+} ion (methoxy type I species), or two ions Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (methoxy type II species). The adsorption of methanol on Mg-Al hydrotalcite catalysts have shown that methanol adsorption occurs in the same manner as described for MgO.\textsuperscript{1,14,16}

Therefore, although the adsorption of methanol on basic surfaces has been well investigated using infrared spectroscopy,\textsuperscript{1,11,13,14} similar studies concerning an ester molecule are less common, particularly over Mg-La catalysts.

In this work, in situ diffuse reflectance infrared Fourier transform spectroscopy coupled to mass spectrometry DRIFTS-MS was used to investigate the interaction of methanol (MeOH) and ethyl acetate (EA, chosen as a model ester) with Mg-La catalyst with a molar ratio of 1:1 trying to provide some insights on the reaction route. The catalyst with a nominal metal proportion equal to 1:1 was chosen to avoid a majority influence of one of the metals (Mg or La).
**EXPERIMENTAL**

**Catalyst preparation**

The precursor material was synthesized by co-precipitation, at room temperature, using an aqueous solution of metallic cations (solution A) and a basic solution (solution B) under vigorous stirring. Solution A, containing Mg(NO$_3$)$_2$.4H$_2$O and La(NO$_3$)$_3$.9H$_2$O dissolved in distilled water with 1.4 mol L$^{-1}$ in (Mg + La) and Mg/La molar ratio equal to 1:1 was slowly dropped (60 mL h$^{-1}$) into solution B under air flowing (50 mL min$^{-1}$) from room temperature to 450 °C, means dry. Mg$_1$La, where 1La for 12 h. The sample was named 1Mg1LaD, where D means dry.

The catalyst was obtained by thermal treatment of the precursor under air flowing (50 mL min$^{-1}$) from room temperature to 450 °C, at a 5 °C min$^{-1}$ heating rate, and kept at this temperature for 3 h. After it was filtered and the solid was washed with hot deionized water (90 °C) until pH 7 and then dried at 100 °C for 12 h. The sample was named 1Mg1LaC, where C means calcined.

**Characterization of the catalyst**

The chemical composition of the catalyst was determined by X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (XRF), using a RIGAKU spectrometer, model RIX 3100, controlled by software Rix 3100, equipped with X-ray tube of Rhodium (Rh). The analysis was conducted without sample dilution. The specific area was measured in a Micromeritics ASAP2020 by N$_2$ adsorption at 196 ºC, applying the BET method. Before analysis, the samples were pretreated under vacuum (5x10$^{-3}$ torr) at 300 ºC overnight.

In order to determine the phase present in both dried and calcined samples, X-ray powder diffractograms were obtained in a Miniflex/Rigaku diffractometer equipped with a graphite monochromator using Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation, 30 kV and 15 mA. The diffractograms were registered in Bragg angles (2θ) in the range of 10º < 2θ < 80º with steps of 0.05º at 2s/step. The identification of crystalline phases (basis) was introduced into the chamber, which was maintained at 30 °C for 15 min. After removing the reversible adsorbed probe molecule using a flowing of He (40 mL min$^{-1}$) for 1 h, the catalyst was heated up to different temperatures (50 °C, 100 °C, 150 °C, 200 °C, 250 °C, 300 °C, 350 °C, 400 °C, 450 °C, 500 °C) under He flow continuously monitored by their characteristic mass fragments (m/z): 2 (H$_2$); 14 (methane); 17 (H$_2$O); 26 (ethylene); 30 (formaldehyde); 31 (methanol); 44 (CO$_2$); 46 (ethanol); 58 (acetone); 61 (ethyl acetate). The fragment (m/z) 28 was also included in some figure profiles to distinguish methanol and formaldehyde, once both substances produce this fragment in common, as shown in Table 1.

The intensities were corrected to account for the contributions of different compounds. In the experiment with the methanol and ethyl acetate mixture, the molar ratio of the reactants in the liquid mixture in the saturator at 0 °C was calculated using the software HYSYS Process v.2.2, which ensured the molar ratio of 1:1 in the gas fed. Thus, the liquid mixture contained 41% of methanol and 59% of ethyl acetate. The same procedure was applied for DRIFTS experiments.

**TPD analyses**

Temperature Programmed Desorption (TPD) was performed in a home-made instrument equipped with an online quadrupole mass spectrometer (Balzers QMS 422). The samples (~100 mg) were pretreated at 200 °C for 1 h under flowing He (60 mL min$^{-1}$) and then cooled down to 25 °C. The probe molecule (methanol, ethyl acetate, or a mixture of methanol and ethyl acetate 1:1 mol/mol) was chemisorbed at room temperature by flowing He (60 mL min$^{-1}$), for 30 min, through a saturator (kept at 0 °C) in which it was contained. Afterward, the sample was purged for 1 h in He flow (60 mL min$^{-1}$) to remove any physically adsorbed species, and then the temperature was increased at a heating rate of 10 °C min$^{-1}$ up to 500 °C, remaining at this temperature for 3 h. The desorbing species were continuously monitored by their characteristic mass fragments (m/z): 2 (H$_2$); 14 (methane); 17 (H$_2$O); 26 (ethylene); 30 (formaldehyde); 31 (methanol); 44 (CO$_2$); 46 (ethanol); 58 (acetone); 61 (ethyl acetate). The fragment (m/z) 28 was also included in some figure profiles to distinguish methanol and formaldehyde, once both substances produce this fragment in common, as shown in Table 1.

The intensities were corrected to account for the contributions of different compounds. In the experiment with the methanol and ethyl acetate mixture, the molar ratio of the reactants in the liquid mixture in the saturator at 0 °C was calculated using the software HYSYS Process v.2.2, which ensured the molar ratio of 1:1 in the gas fed. Thus, the liquid mixture contained 41% of methanol and 59% of ethyl acetate. The same procedure was applied for DRIFTS experiments.

**Table 1.** m/z fragments of the products formed during Methanol and Ethyl Acetate TPD-MS and DRIFTS-MS analyses

| Product      | m/z (%)                  |
|--------------|--------------------------|
| Hydrogen     | 2 (100%)                 |
| Methanol     | 13 (1%); 14 (1.3%); 18 (2.6%); 28 (26.8%); 29 (100%); 30 (78.6%); 31 (1.4%) |
| Ethanol      | 16 (100%); 15 (85.8%); 14 (15.6%) |
| Acetone      | 14 (2.9%); 15 (23.1%); 26 (3.5%); 27 (5.7%); 28 (1.2%); 29 (3.1%); 37 (1.8%); 38 (2.2%); 39 (4.2%); 40 (1%); 41 (2%); 42 (9.1%); 43 (100%); 44 (3.4%); 57 (1.7%); 58 (63.8%); 59 (3.1%) |
| H$_2$O       | 18 (100%); 17 (23%); 16 (1.6%) |
| CO$_2$       | 44 (100%); 28 (11%); 16 (8.5%) |
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characterization of the catalyst

The Mg/La molar ratio of the catalyst, measured by XRF, was equal to 0.63 (13.38% MgO and 86.62% La₂O₃), which is lower than the corresponding nominal values in the synthesis gel (Mg/La = 1.0). This fact indicates that the incorporation of Mg was lower than the expected, probably due to the higher solubility of Mg compounds at the pH of the synthesis. A similar trend was reported in the literature for Mg-La and La-Mg-Al catalysts prepared by coprecipitation. 3,8 However, only Simanjuntak et al. 17 tried to explain this trend suggesting the occurrence of the solubilization of Mg compounds during the precipitation process.

The BET specific area of the catalyst was 38 m² g⁻¹, which is in the range of those reported in the literature for Mg-La-based catalysts prepared using similar methodology with an equivalent composition. 3,9 The X-ray diffractogram of the catalyst precursor 1Mg₁LaD, shown in Figure 1, indicated that a low-crystallinity hydrated lanthanum oxy-carbonate, La₂O(CO₃)₂·H₂O (JCPDS 28-0512), was the single-phase identified. After calcination at 450 °C, the XRD pattern of the sample exhibited broad diffraction peaks, and lanthanum di-oxycarbonate phase, La₂CO₅, (JPCDS 23-0320) was identified for 1Mg₁LaC (Figure 1). The formation of the MgO phase was not evident, but it cannot be discarded, once broad peaks in the range of 2θ = 35-80° should contain the contribution of MgO phase (2θ = 36, 43, 62, 74 and 79°; JCPDS 75-1525) with low crystallinity.

![Figure 1. X-ray diffractograms of the samples 1Mg₁LaD and 1Mg₁LaC](image)

This result suggests that under the conditions employed for calcination, the decomposition of the carbonate did not occur. However, it is noteworthy that even in the presence of these carbonate species, Mg-La catalysts had shown activity for the transesterification reaction in a previous study. 3 Although there are authors that report the presence of brucite as one of the phases identified in the Mg-La precursors, 4 brucite was not identified in the 1Mg₁LD, probably due to the lower Mg-content in the synthesis gel and the methodology used for the synthesis. Besides, as to the nature of the sites present on the catalyst, the results of Santorio et al. 3 allowed us to infer the presence of both basic and acid sites. These authors evaluated a series of Mg-La catalyst (nominal Mg/La molar ratios 9/1; 1/1; 1/9), prepared by the same method used in the present work, in the catalytic conversion of 2-propanol. They observed the presence of propene along with acetone as reaction products. The mechanism involved in the formation of these compounds indicates the presence of both acid and basic sites with intermediate strength. 3

Temperature-programmed desorption

Temperature-programmed desorption of methanol and ethyl acetate (solely or in a mixture) was performed to evaluate the interaction of these reactants with the surface of Mg-La catalyst and to identify the products desorbed.

Figure 2 shows the TPD profiles after adsorption of methanol at room temperature. The desorption of molecularly adsorbed methanol (unreacted methanol, m/z = 31) was observed between 35 °C and 500 °C, with two peaks: at 110 °C, related to methanol weakly adsorbed, and at 270 °C, corresponding to methanol with strong interaction with catalysts surface. In the same range of temperature (35 °C - 500 °C), the signal of the fragment m/z = 30 is related to methanol contribution until approximately 390 °C. Above 370 °C, the formation of H₂ and formaldehyde was detected and associated with the fragment m/z = 2, m/z = 28, and 30, respectively.

![Figure 2. Temperature-programmed desorption profiles obtained after methanol adsorption at room temperature (m/z: hydrogen = 2; formaldehyde = 30, 28; methanol = 31)](image)

TPD results are following alcohol adsorption behavior, where primary alcohols adsorb on the surface of metal oxides without dissociation or through the scission of the OH bond as mono- (Type I) or bidentate (Type II) alkoxides. 3,11 These methoxy species can be desorbed as molecular methanol or can react at higher temperatures (T > 300 °C), via dehydrogenation reaction (equation 1), producing formaldehyde and hydrogen. 18

\[
\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2 \quad (1)
\]

TPD profiles after adsorption of ethyl acetate at room temperature (Figure 3) show a low-intensity signal associated with the desorption of molecular ethyl acetate at about 100 °C (m/z = 61), along with the desorption of other species. According to the literature, ethyl acetate adsorbs in a dissociative form on the catalyst surface and desorbs forming ethanol, acetone, ethylene, and diethyl ether; further, the ethanol adsorbed can produce acetone, methanol, methane, and hydrogen. 19,20 In our results, hydrogen (m/z = 2), ethanol (m/z = 46) and acetone (m/z = 58) were formed in the range of 50 – 500 °C, which confirm that ethyl acetate adsorbs in a dissociative form on the catalyst surface forming ethoxy species that desorbed as ethanol. The first peak at 130 °C is due to ethanol produced from weakly adsorbed ethoxy, while the second (500 °C) is related to ethoxy species with stronger interaction with the surface. Above 300 °C, the TPD profile
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Also showed the formation of acetone (m/z = 58), with a maximum at 380 °C. There are two hypotheses to acetone formation, the first deriving from the decomposition of ethyl acetate that also forms CO₂ and H₂O as by-products (Figure 1S, supplementary material), according to equation 2. 21

\[ 2 \text{H}_3\text{C-CH}_2\text{-OOC-CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \] (2)

Another possibility to form acetone is from acetate adsorbed on the surface: 20

\[ 2\text{CH}_3\text{COO}^{–}\text{ads} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{COCH}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{O}^{(s)} \] (3)

Figure 4 shows the TPD profiles after the adsorption of the methanol and ethyl acetate mixture (1:1 mol/mol) at room temperature. Methanol molecularly adsorbed (m/z = 31) was released in the range of 50 ºC - 400 ºC, similar to that was observed for methanol solely (Figure 2), with a maximum of temperature at 100 ºC (methanol weakly adsorbed) and at 240 ºC (methanol strongly adsorbed).

The desorption of hydrogen (m/z = 2) was detected between 200 ºC and 500 ºC, with a maximum at 320 ºC, followed by formaldehyde formation (m/z = 28), which was used to confirm its formation once the fragment m/z = 30 are also related to methanol contribution.

Methane formation appears between 380 ºC and 500 ºC, with a maximum at 430 ºC, and it could be due to the acetate species decomposition,21 as follows:

\[ \text{CH}_3\text{COO}^{\text{ads}} + \text{OH}^{(s)} \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{O}_2^{(s)} \] (4)

However, the TPD profile of the methanol and ethyl acetate mixture showed neither the desorption of ethyl acetate nor the formation of acetone. Thus, the results suggest that methanol adsorbs preferentially on the catalyst surface, as proposed by Hattori et al.2 for the transesterification reaction of ethyl acetate and methanol using MgO or La₂O₃ as catalysts, by Liu et al. using SrO,22 and by Liu et al. for Zn-Al hydroxide23 to produce biodiesel. According to the latter, strongly basic and highly active CH₃O methoxy species are formed on the catalyst surface, and they react with triglyceride molecules through the carbonyl carbon atom. This hypothesis was confirmed by Navajas et al.12 following methanol adsorption on Mg/Al catalysts by DRIFTS analyses.

The present results suggest that EA adsorbs dissociatively in a minor quantity compared with methanol, and the acetate formed decomposes as CH₄ and CO₂. Ethanol and acetone formation, not observed in TPD results, could occur at a low level to be detected.

**Diffuse Reflectance Infrared Fourier Transform Spectroscopy - Mass Spectrometry (DRIFTSMS)**

The interaction of the probe molecules with the catalyst surface was first performed using methanol or ethyl acetate solely, and after using the mixture of the reactants. The infrared spectra after adsorption of methanol, shown in Figure 5, present bands at 2750-3000 cm⁻¹ characteristics of the C-H stretching mode (νCH₃) related to methoxy species and methanol molecularly adsorbed. The band near 1660 cm⁻¹ is due to the O-H bending mode (δO-H),14 while the band at 1100 cm⁻¹ is attributed to type I monodentate methoxy species, which is formed from the breaking of the O-H bond of methanol. This interaction with the catalyst surface is through a bond between the oxygen atom and Mg²⁺ ions. According to Navajas et al.12, these species have a significant contribution to the transesterification reaction and are highly reactive.22 Recently, Hincapié and coworkers16 studied the transesterification of triacetin and methanol using DRIFTS analyses of adsorbed methanol. They have shown that methoxy type I species are correlated to the catalytic activity of mixed oxides derived from Mg/Al hydroxide catalysts.

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3.** Temperature-programmed desorption profiles obtained after ethyl acetate adsorption at room temperature (m/z: hydrogen = 2; ethanol = 46; acetone = 58; ethyl acetate = 61)

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Temperature-programmed desorption profiles obtained after adsorption of the mixture methanol/ethyl acetate (1/1 mol/mol) at room temperature. (m/z: hydrogen = 2; methane = 14; formaldehyde = 30, 28; methanol = 31; ethanol = 46)

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** DRIFT spectra of the catalyst 1Mg1LaC after adsorption of methanol at 30 °C for 1 min, 15 min, and after a flow of He, at 30 °C
The band observed at 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to methanol adsorbed through interaction with Mg\(^{2+}\) and O\(^{2-}\) ions on the surface without dissociation (Type H species).\(^{11,12,14,16}\) After the flow of He, the intensity of these bands decreased due to the removal of weakly adsorbed species, and Type II methoxy species became evident as a small shoulder at 1080 cm\(^{-1}\) (*). These species link to the surface in a bidentate form through the oxygen atom with two Mg\(^{2+}\) ions and can be stable even at temperatures up to 350 °C.\(^{11,16}\)

The spectra acquired at an increasing temperature under He flow after methanol adsorption is shown in Figure 2S, supplementary material. As the temperature increases, the spectra exhibit some modifications. At 100 °C, the band at 1660 cm\(^{-1}\) decreased due to the water desorption. The appearance of bands in the range 1150-1550 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 840 cm\(^{-1}\), related to bi- and monodentate carbonates species, respectively, is also detected.\(^{24}\) The intensity of these bands increases with increasing temperature. At the temperature higher than 200 °C, the intensity of the bands at 3000 to 2600 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1100-1000 cm\(^{-1}\) decreased due to the decomposition of the methoxy species, and the band at 2345 cm\(^{-1}\) is associated to CO\(_2\) gas phase. The CO\(_2\) formation, which increases with temperature, could be attributed to the decomposition of methoxy and the presence of carbonate on the structure of catalyst.\(^{25}\)

The methoxy decomposition also leads to the formation of formate species (HCOO\(^{-}\)), whose vibrational bands appeared around 1600 cm\(^{-1}\).\(^{16,24}\) and CO\(_2\) adsorption (2445 cm\(^{-1}\)). The increase in the intensity of the bands between 1150 - 1550 cm\(^{-1}\) and at 843 cm\(^{-1}\) is related to carbonate formation from the adsorption of the gaseous CO\(_2\) on the surface sample. These carbonate species are very stable at high temperatures (450 °C), as well as the formate species.

The gaseous phase composition during the stepwise desorption/ decomposition of methanol was determined by on-line mass spectrometry (Figure 3S, supplementary material). The results show that hydrogen formation begins at 100 °C, reaching a maximum at 250 °C and 300 °C, and could be associated with methanol dehydrogenation, which also forms formaldehyde.\(^{25}\) These results are following the TPD analysis for methanol adsorption (Figure 2).

The DRIFTS spectra of ethyl acetate adsorbed on IMgLaC are shown in Figure 6. Adsorption at 30 °C gives rise to bands associated with ethyl acetate weakly adsorbed. The bands are characteristic of the C-H stretching vibrational mode (2750 - 3000 cm\(^{-1}\)), stretching of the carbonyl group (1759 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1715 cm\(^{-1}\)), CH\(_3\) bending mode (1373 cm\(^{-1}\)), and C-O-C stretching mode of the ester group (1245 cm\(^{-1}\)). Some authors suggest that the bands at 1100 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1040 cm\(^{-1}\) could be due to the formation of adsorbed mono- and bidentate ethoxy species, respectively, which may be formed from the dissociative adsorption of the ethyl acetate.\(^{19}\)

The bands at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) and 940 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to the asymmetric stretching of the OCO bond of bidentate carbonate,\(^{20}\) which are formed even at low temperature. Acetate species are also formed in the region of 1590 cm\(^{-1}\). However, the position of the bands can vary according to the surface properties.\(^{21,26}\) After treatment under He flow, the bands at 1759 cm\(^{-1}\) (υ(C=O), 1373 cm\(^{-1}\) (δ(CO)), and 1245 cm\(^{-1}\) (υ(CO\(_2\))) decreased, and the intensity of the other decreased reflecting desorption of weakly adsorbed ethyl acetate.

The spectra acquired after increasing the temperature under flowing He (Figure 4S, supplementary material) showed that at temperatures higher than 100 °C, the bands at about 3000-2750 cm\(^{-1}\), 1700 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1100 cm\(^{-1}\) disappeared and bands at 2352 cm\(^{-1}\) (CO\(_2\) vapor phase), 2465 cm\(^{-1}\), 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), 1290 cm\(^{-1}\), 1040 cm\(^{-1}\) and 840 cm\(^{-1}\) (due to species of adsorbed CO\(_2\) and acetate) increased with the temperature. In the region between 1200-1300 cm\(^{-1}\), it is observed an increase of the bands characteristic of carbonates due to CO\(_2\) formation, while monodentate carbonate (840 cm\(^{-1}\)) appeared at 300 °C.

The band at 1040 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to ethoxy species and slightly increases until 450 °C, and the bidentate carbonate (1600 cm\(^{-1}\)) disappeared, whereas the other species remain adsorbed. At about 1600 cm\(^{-1}\), there is also the contribution of acetate species (1600 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1245 cm\(^{-1}\)).

Acetone formation detected at 300 °C, where a band at 1750 cm\(^{-1}\) appeared, attributed to the vibrational mode υ(C=O), while the stretching mode of the bond C-C-C (1216 cm\(^{-1}\)) was overlapped by the broadband at 1290 cm\(^{-1}\.\(^{21}\))

The stepwise of TPD of the ethyl acetate (Figure 3) and MS analyses (Figure 5S, supplementary material) confirm DRIFTS results since the desorption of molecular ethyl acetate (m/z = 61), and ethanol begins to occur (m/z = 46) at 100 °C. The disappearance of the band due to acetate (1600 cm\(^{-1}\)) together with the band at 1750 cm\(^{-1}\), can be attributed to acetone formation (m/z = 58), which agrees with the MS profile shown in Figure 5S (supplementary material) between 350 and 450 °C, and according to infrared bands.

The adsorption of methanol and ethyl acetate mixture in the molar ratio of 1/1 produced characteristic bands of both reactants, as shown in Figure 7, which are difficult to specify to which substance belongs. Noticeable are the bands at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) (bidentate carbonate), 1370 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1245 cm\(^{-1}\) (ethyl acetate), 1100 cm\(^{-1}\) (Type I methoxy species), and 1045 cm\(^{-1}\) (Type H methoxy and/or ethoxy species). The slight displacement observed can be due to an interaction between the reactants. After treatment under He flow (Figure 6S, supplementary material), bidentate carbonate, ethoxy species, and methoxy species (Type I and H) are still present on the catalyst surface. For the reaction mixture, the infrared bands of methoxy and ethoxy are not possible to distinguish one from another, but the desorption of these species can be identified through the mass profiles. As the temperature increased (Figure 6S), there was the formation of mono- and bidentate carbonates in the region of 1550-1150 cm\(^{-1}\). Then, at 300 °C occurred the formation of monodentate carbonate at 850 cm\(^{-1}\). At 400 °C, bidentate carbonate (1600 cm\(^{-1}\)) disappeared completely, and there was an increase in the intensity of the band at 1045 cm\(^{-1}\) due to ethoxy species from the dissociative adsorption of ethyl acetate. The existence of Type H methoxy species derived from dissociative adsorption of methanol cannot be discarded once it is in the same region of ethoxy species. However, the mass profiles indicate that from this temperature and above, there is no desorption of molecules derived from the ethoxy species but those formed from methoxy species. This fact is an indication that the ethoxy species

![Figure 6. DRIFT spectra after adsorption of ethyl acetate on IMgLaC at 30 °C for 1 min, 15 min, and after treatment of He flow](attachment:image.png)
remain adsorbed on the catalyst, while the methoxy species desorbed continuously until the end of the experiment.

Mass spectrometry profiles (Figure 7S, supplementary material) show that methanol and traces of ethyl acetate weakly adsorbed desorbs together with ethanol formed from the ethoxy species adsorbed. Hydrogen desorption below 400 °C was due to the decomposition of ethyl acetate and methanol. Further, methoxy and acetate species adsorbed also form CO₂, H₂, and methane at temperatures of 400 °C. A small quantity of acetone was formed via oxidation reaction of ethoxy species that remained adsorbed at 450 and 500 °C.

Therefore, DRIFT spectra confirmed that methanol adsorbs preferentially on the basic sites of the 1Mg-LaC catalyst since the adsorbed species detected are mainly those formed from methanol adsorption. However, ethoxy species were also detected in a minor intensity and are characteristics of EA adsorbed in a dissociative form.

Besides ethanol, traces of acetone and methane also occurred. Acetate formation also occurs and can produce CH₄ and CO₂, as discussed above, for TPD analyses and confirmed by DRIFT spectra.

According to the literature, alkoxydes species formed from alcohol adsorption play an essential role in the transesterification reaction catalyzed by basic solids. The Eley-Rideal mechanism is the most accepted model for the transesterification reaction. Accordingly to this mechanism, alcohol adsors on a surface basic site. It forms an adsorbed alkoxydes that attacks a carbonyl carbon of an ester in the liquid phase, forming a tetrahedral intermediate, which is then rearranged to produce another ester.²,¹²,²⁷⁻³¹ Besides, other mechanisms are suggested, as the alcohol and ester adsorbing on surface neighboring basic sites forming an intermediate,²²,²³ or the ester adsorption followed by the reaction with the alcohol in a liquid phase,³³ and yet, another mechanism in which the ester interacts with the alcohol adsorbed on the surface before its reaction with a molecule of the alcohol in the liquid phase. However, these mechanisms were proposed based on kinetic studies or product distribution observed in catalytic tests²²,²⁴ without the support of spectroscopic techniques.

On the other hand, Phung et al.²¹,²⁶ studied the nature of EA interaction with the catalyst surface by infrared spectroscopy. First, conversions of ethyl acetate and acetic acid on alumina-based catalysts as a model to triglyceride reactions, and infrared results showed that the ester was activated by adsorbing on the Lewis sites of alumina by carbonyl oxygen.²¹ In the second, the authors evaluated the conversion of EA over faujasite zeolites to obtain highly selective catalysts for liquid fuels from vegetable oils.²⁸ The EA adsorption occurs via the lone pair of the carbonyl oxygen on the electron-withdrawing site. The sample with the absence of Lewis sites (NaX) presented low conversion, which confirmed the way of EA adsorption.

These studies²¹,²²,²⁶,²⁷ were important to make a correspondence with our results and obtain an understanding of methanol and EA interaction with the 1Mg-LaC catalyst surface. Therefore, considering the TPD and DRIFTS-MS results, we summarize hereafter the intermediate species reactions and formation of the products, which could drive to propose a surface intermediate reactions for Mg-La based catalysts.

Thus, methanol adsors forming methoxy species (infrared bands at 1100 cm⁻¹ and 1045 cm⁻¹) on the catalyst surface as:

\[
CH₃OH (s) + H^+ (ads) → CH₃O^- (ads) + H⁺ (ads)
\]

EA also adsors on the surface through the oxygen of carbonyl groups forming ethoxy (1045 cm⁻¹) and acetate species (1600 cm⁻¹ and 1245 cm⁻¹):

\[
H₃C-CH₂-O^- (ads) + H^+ (ads) → CO₂ (g) + CH₄ (g)
\]

Also, the acetate species form acetone (IR bands at 1750 cm⁻¹ and 1216 cm⁻¹; MS fragment m/z = 58):

\[
2CH₂COO^- (ads) → CH₃COCH₃ (g) + CO₂ (g) + O^-(ads)
\]

Moreover, methanol and EA should form a tetrahedral intermediate, as suggested by Hatori et al.³⁴:

\[
H₃C-CH₂-O^- (ads) + CH₃O^- (ads) + H^+ (ads) + O^-(ads) → H₃C-CH₂-OH (g) + H₂C-OOC-C-H₃ (g)
\]

Notwithstanding, our results indicated that in the simultaneous feeding of MeOH and EA, the preferential adsorption of MeOH was observed. Thus, they confirm that the Eley-Rideal mechanism involving the adsorbed alcohol should be the principal reaction route for transesterification reactions as proposed by other studies²⁷,³⁰ however, the contribution of the reaction between the alcohol molecule and the ester adsorbed on neighbor sites cannot be discharged.

**CONCLUSIONS**

To better understand the transesterification reaction catalyzed by the Mg-La catalyst, surface studies using methanol and ethyl acetate as probe molecules were carried out employing TPD and DRIFTS-MS. TPD analyses showed that methanol adsorbed on 1Mg-LaC catalyst formed formaldehyde and hydrogen via dehydrogenation reaction, whereas adsorbed ethyl acetate produced ethanol and acetone. DRIFTS analyses confirmed that the adsorption of ethyl acetate produced acetate and ethoxy adsorbed species, which produced ethanol and acetone via oxidation reaction, whereas from adsorbed methanol, type II methoxy species, produced formate, and hydrogen.

DRIFTS-MS experiments allowed not only the identification of the intermediate species adsorbed on the catalyst surface but also the gas-phase products formed simultaneously. Thus, for the studied catalyst, it was possible to confirm the preferential adsorption of...
methanol and that EA adsorbs on Mg-La surface in a dissociative form. Also, the coupling of MS to DRIFTS allowed identifying small quantities of gas-phase formed during the heating step, like methane. Surface intermediate’s reactions were proposed, which confirmed that the Eley-Rideal type mechanism is the predominant on the studied catalyst surface.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Results concerning TPD profiles of CO₂ and H₂O after EA adsorption (Figure 1S), DRIFTS-MS after desorption of methanol (Figures 2S-3S), ethyl acetate (Figures 4S-5S), and the mixture of methanol with ethyl acetate (Figures 6S-7S), are available in http://quimicanova.sbq.org.br, as a PDF profile and free downloading.
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