Bayesian parameter estimation for the SWIFT model of eye-movement control during reading
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Abstract
Dynamical models are increasingly contributing to the development of cognitive theory. Here we discuss an example for eye-movement control during reading. The SWIFT model (Engbert et al., 2005) is a stochastic dynamical system that predicts spatial fixation positions in a given text as well as fixation durations. We exploit the sequential nature of the likelihood for dynamical models. The likelihood function is a combination of spatial and temporal likelihood. While the spatial part is a pseudo-marginal likelihood, the temporal likelihood is obtained by numerical approximation. We use a fully Bayesian framework for parameter inference using an adaptive Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) procedure. As a result, we obtain model parameter estimates and credibility intervals on the level of individual readers. Interindividual parameter variations capture key features of the behavioral variability of eye movements observed in reading experiments.
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Background
Reading is characterized by the successful coordination between key cognitive and motor subsystems, e.g., visual information processing, attention, word recognition, and saccade programming. Even during reading of simple texts, there is considerable stochastic variability in fixation positions and fixation durations (Fig. 1). One motivation for the development of mathematical models of eye-movement control during reading is to explain the observed variability.

The SWIFT Model
The SWIFT (saccade generation with inhibition by foveal targets, Engbert, Nuthmann, Richter, & Kliegl, 2005) is a spatially-extended dynamical system that seeks to explain saccadic selection by the temporal evolution of an activation field. The lexical processing of each word \(i\) in a given sentence is represented by an activation variable \(a_i(t)\). The target selection probability \(\pi_n(t)\) for word \(n\) at time \(t\) is computed from relative activation. As time evolves, relative activations change to produce a continuous-time process that predicts saccadic selection over time, i.e.,

\[
\pi_n(t) = \frac{[a_n(t)]^\gamma}{\sum_j [a_j(t)]^\gamma},
\]

where \(\gamma\) is a weighting exponent. Fixation durations can be approximated (at first order) by an uncorrelated random process. To introduce word difficulty effects, however, we modulate fixation duration by a process called foveal inhibition that delays upcoming saccades to prolong ongoing fixations. A simulated trajectory of the model is shown in Figure 2.

Parameter Estimation

The Likelihood Function
For parameter estimation, the likelihood of fixation locations (spatial contribution) and fixation durations (temporal contribution) must be calculated incrementally with respect to all previous events in the fixation sequence. We recently showed that
a combination of methods of pseudo-marginal likelihoods with approximate Bayesian computation (Toni, Welch, Strelkowa, Ipsen, & Stumpf, 2008) is a viable approach to likelihood computation for the SWIFT model (Seelig et al., 2019).

The contributions of the temporal and spatial parts of the likelihood function are shown in Figure 3, where one parameter was varied and the likelihood for simulated data with known parameters was evaluated.

Figure 3: **Temporal, spatial, and combined** likelihood profiles for a simulated dataset (true parameters indicated by vertical lines). While the saccade timer (left) only influences the temporal likelihood, the word length exponent (right) affects both components.

### Results

We implemented a fully Bayesian framework for parameter inference (Schütt et al., 2017) and used an adaptive MCMC procedure, the DREAM framework with improvements (ter Braak & Vrugt, 2008). For parameter estimation, we used eye tracking data of 36 participants who read 150 single sentences each. For every participant 70% of the data were used during the estimation. The remaining 30% were then compared with simulated data sets which were based on point estimates of the obtained posterior parameter distributions. We compared typical measures of fixation durations (contingent on saccade programming) and fixation probabilities (relating to oculomotor behavior and target selection). The comparisons indicate a remarkable agreement of artificial and experimental data.

### Conclusion

We studied Bayesian parameter inference for a dynamical cognitive model of eye-movement control during reading. Using an adaptive MCMC framework, we were able to estimate model parameters on the level of individual readers. Simulation on a test data set indicates that a high correlation between important measures for experimental and simulated data was obtained.
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