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Abstract

The spectrum of a finite group is the set of its elements orders. Groups are said to be isospectral if their spectra coincide. For every finite simple exceptional group $L = E_7(q)$, we prove that each finite group $G$ isospectral to $L$ is squeezed between $L$ and its automorphism group, that is $L \leq G \leq \text{Aut} L$; in particular, there are only finitely many such groups. This assertion with a series of previously obtained results yields that the same is true for every finite simple exceptional group except the group $^3D_4(2)$.
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Given a finite group $G$, denote by $\omega(G)$ the spectrum of $G$, i.e., the set of its element orders. Since for every element order all its divisors are also some element orders, the spectrum is completely determined by the set $\mu(G)$ consisting of all maximal with respect to divisibility elements of $\omega(G)$. We call groups $G$ and $H$ isospectral if $\omega(G) = \omega(H)$. Let $h(G)$ be the number of pairwise nonisomorphic groups isospectral to $G$. A group $G$ is called recognizable (by spectrum) if $h(G) = 1$, almost recognizable if $h(G) < \infty$, and non-recognizable if $h(G) = \infty$. Since every finite group with a nontrivial normal soluble subgroup is non-recognizable (see [1 Corollary 4] and [2 Lemma 1]), of prime interest is the recognition problem for nonabelian simple groups. Following [3], we call a finite nonabelian simple group $L$ quasirecognizable if every finite group $G$ with $\omega(G) = \omega(L)$ has the unique nonabelian composition factor $S$ and $S \simeq L$. A finite group $G$ is called recognizable among covers if $\omega(G) \neq \omega(H)$ for any proper finite cover $H$ of $G$ ($H$ is a finite cover of $G$ if $G$ is a homomorphic image of $H$ and $H$ is finite). It is clear that if
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a finite nonabelian simple group $L$ is quasirecognizable and recognizable among covers simultaneously, then every finite group isospectral to $L$ is isomorphic to a group $G$ with $L \leq G \leq \text{Aut } L$; in particular, $L$ is almost recognizable.

It turned out that many of nonabelian finite simple groups are recognizable or at least almost recognizable. This paper concerns almost recognizability of finite simple exceptional groups of Lie type, and our main purpose is to complete the proof of the following general assertion.

**Theorem 1.** Let $L$ be a finite simple exceptional group of Lie type and $L \neq ^3D_4(2)$. Then every finite group isospectral to $L$ is isomorphic to a group $G$ with $L \leq G \leq \text{Aut } L$. In particular, $L$ is almost recognizable.

As shown in [4], the group $^3D_4(2)$ is a real exception: it is non-recognizable and quasirecognizable at the same time.

In fact, Theorem 1 will follow from a series of known results and the quasirecognizability of groups $E_7(q)$ with $q > 3$.

**Theorem 2.** Let $L = E_7(q)$ where $q > 3$. Then every finite group isospectral to $L$ is isomorphic to a group $G$ satisfying $L \leq G/K \leq \text{Aut}(L)$, where $K$ is the maximal normal soluble subgroup of $G$.

Indeed, the groups $^2B_2(q)$ [5], $^2G_2(q)$ [6], $^2F_4(q)$ [7], $G_2(q)$ [8,9], $E_6(q)$ [10], $F_4(2^m)$ [11], and $E_7(2)$, $E_7(3)$ [12] are proved to be recognizable. The recent result [13] shows that all finite simple exceptional groups besides $^3D_4(2)$ are recognizable among their covers. It follows that the quasirecognizability of groups $^3D_4(q)$ [14,15], $F_4(q)$ [14,16], $^2E_6(q)$, $E_6(q)$ [17], and Theorem 2 yield the conclusion of Theorem 1.

Observe that there are no known examples of proper automorphic extensions of simple exceptional groups $L$ isospectral to $L$. So the conjecture is that all finite simple exceptional groups, except $^3D_4(2)$, are recognizable by spectrum.

§ 1. Preliminaries

Let $\pi$ be a set of primes. Given nonzero integer $n$, $\pi(n)$ stands for the set of all prime divisors of $n$ and $n_\pi$ denotes the $\pi$-part of $n$, which is the largest positive divisor $d$ of $n$
with $\pi(d) \subseteq \pi$. The ratio $|n|/n_\pi$ is called the $\pi'$-part of $n$ and denoted by $n_{\pi'}$. For a finite group $G$, $\pi(G) = \pi(|G|)$ and $G$ is a $\pi$-group if $\pi(G) \subseteq \pi$.

For nonzero integers $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$ we denote by $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$ their greatest common divisor. The record $n_1 \mid n_2$ means that $n_1$ divides $n_2$, while $n_k \gg n_{k-1} \ldots n_2 \gg n_1$ implies the chain of divisibilities $n_1 \mid n_2, n_2 \mid n_3, \ldots, n_{k-1} \mid n_k$.

Let $a$ be an integer with $|a| > 1$. If a prime $r$ is odd and coprime to $a$, then $e(r, a)$ denotes the multiplicative order of $a$ modulo $r$. For an odd number $a$ we put $e(2, a) = 1$, if $a \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and $e(2, a) = 2$ if $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. A prime $r$ is called a primitive prime divisor of $a^i - 1$ if $e(r, a) = i$. The existence of primitive divisors for almost all pairs of $a$ and $i$ was established by Zsigmondy [18].

**Lemma 1.1** (Zsigmondy). Suppose that $a$ is an integer and $|a| > 1$. Then for every positive integer $i$, there is a prime $r$ with $e(r, a) = i$ except for the cases, where $(a, i) \in \{(2, 1), (2, 6), (-2, 2), (-2, 3), (3, 1), (-3, 2)\}$.

The set of all primitive divisors of $a^i - 1$ is denoted by $R_i(a)$, an element of this set is denoted by $r_i(a)$, moreover, if $a$ is fixed then the notation $r_i$ is used. For $i \neq 2$ the $R_i(a)$-part of $a^i - 1$ is called the greatest primitive divisor of $a^i - 1$ and denoted by $k_i(a)$. We set $k_2(a) = k_1(-a)$ and refer to it as the greatest prime divisor of $a^2 - 1$. It is easy to check that for a fixed $a$ the numbers $k_i(a)$ are pairwise coprime for different $i$. Moreover, for odd $i$ we have $k_1(a) = k_2(-a)$; in particular, $k_1(a) = k_2(-a) = |a - 1|/2$ if $a \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, and $k_1(a) = k_2(-a) = |a - 1|$ otherwise. The following general formula [19] expresses the greatest primitive divisor $k_i(a)$, $i > 2$, in terms of $i$th cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_i(x)$.

$$
k_i(a) = \frac{|\Phi_i(a)|}{(r, \Phi_{i(r)}(a))}, \quad (*)
$$

where $r$ is the greatest prime divisor of $i$. Observe that if $i_{(r)}$, does not divide $r - 1$ then $(r, \Phi_{i(r)}(a)) = 1$.

It is well-known that $\Phi_m(x) = \prod_{d|m} (x^d - 1)^{\mu(m/d)}$, where $\mu(k)$ is the Möbius function. The next well-known lemma collects helpful consequences of this formula (see, for example, [20, Theorems 3.3.1 and 3.3.5]).

**Lemma 1.2.** (1) Let $p$ be a prime. Then the following hold.

$$
\Phi_m(x) = \begin{cases} 
\Phi_m(x^p), & \text{if } (m, p) = p; \\
\Phi_m(x^p)/\Phi_n(x), & \text{if } (m, p) = 1.
\end{cases}
$$
If $m > 1$ is an odd then $\Phi_{2m}(x) = \Phi_m(-x)$.

(3) $\Phi_p(x) = (x^p - 1)/(x - 1)$ and $\Phi_{2k}(x) = x^{2^{k-1}} + 1$.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let $a$ and $m$ be integers greater than 1, and $\varepsilon \in \{+, -, \}$. 

(1) If an odd prime $r$ divides $\varepsilon a - 1$, then $((\varepsilon a)^m - 1)_{(r)} = m_{(r)}(\varepsilon a - 1)_{(r)}$.

(2) If an odd prime $r$ divides $(\varepsilon a)^m - 1$, then $r$ divides $(\varepsilon a)^m_{(r') - 1}$.

(3) If $\varepsilon a - 1$ is divisible by 4, then $((\varepsilon a)^m - 1)_{(2)} = m_{(2)}(\varepsilon a - 1)_{(2)}$.

**Proof.** See, for example, [21, Chapter IX, Lemma 8.1].

In notations of nonabelian simple groups we adhere to the following agreements. Classical groups are considered as groups of Lie type and denoted accordingly. Furthermore, we use the short form $A^\tau_n(q)$ where $\tau \in \{+, -, \}$, setting $A^+_n(q) = A_n(q)$ and $A^-_n(q) = 2A_n(q)$. Similarly, we use the short form $D^\tau_n(q)$ for orthogonal groups $D_n(q)$ and $2D_n(q)$, where $\tau = +$ and $\tau = -$ respectively. The alternating (symmetric) group of degree $n$ is denoted by $Alt_n$ ($Sym_n$ respectively). For convenience we consider the Tits group $^{2}F_4(2)'$ together with sporadic groups which are denoted according to [22].

Let $G$ be a finite group. The prime graph $GK(G)$ (Gruenberg — Kegel graph) of $G$ is defined as follows: its vertices are elements of $\pi(G)$, and two distinct vertices $r$ and $s$ are adjacent if and only if $rs \in \omega(G)$. Recall that a subset of vertices of a graph is called a coclique, if every two vertices of this subset are non-adjacent. Denote by $t(G)$ the greatest size of a coclique in $GK(G)$. We refer to a coclique containing $r$ as an $\{r\}$-coclique. If $r \in \pi(G)$ then $t(r, G)$ is the greatest size of $\{r\}$-cocliques and $\rho(r, G)$ is a set of vertices in some $\{r\}$-coclique of size $t(r, G)$.

**Lemma 1.4.** ( [23, Proposition 2], [24, Theorem 2]) Let $L$ be a finite nonabelain simple group with $t(L) \geq 3$ and $t(2, G) \geq 2$, and let $G$ be a finite group isospectral to $L$. Then the following hold.

(1) There exists a nonabelain simple group $S$ such that $S \leq G = G/K \leq Aut S$ for the maximal normal soluble subgroup $K$ in $G$.

(2) For every coclique $\rho$ of $GK(G)$ containing at least three elements, at most one prime from $\rho$ divides the product $|K| \cdot |G/S|$. In particular, $t(S) \geq t(L) - 1$.

(3) Every prime $r \in \pi(G)$ non-adjacent to 2 in $GK(G)$ does not divide $|K| \cdot |G/S|$. In particular, $t(2, S) \geq t(2, L)$.
| $S$         | Conditions                              | $t(2, S)$ | $\rho(2, S) \setminus \{2\}$ | $t(S)$ |
|------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|--------|
| $J_4$      | none                                    | 6         | $\{23, 29, 31, 37, 43\}$      | 7      |
| $F_1$      | none                                    | 5         | $\{29, 41, 59, 71\}$         | 11     |
| $F_2$      | none                                    | 3         | $\{31, 47\}$                | 8      |
| $\text{Alt}_n$ | $n, n - 2$ are prime                  | 3         | $\{n, n - 2\}$              |        |
| $n \geq 47$ | $n - 1, n - 3$ are prime                | 3         | $\{n - 1, n - 3\}$          |        |
| $A^+_{n-1}(u)$ | $2 < (u - \tau)_{(2)} = n_{(2)}$    | 3         | $\{r_{n-1}(\tau u), r_n(\tau u)\}$ | $\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor$ |
| $n \geq 13$ | $u \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$                  | 3         | $\{r_{n-1}(\tau u), r_n(\tau u)\}$ | $\left\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2}\right\rfloor$ |
| $B_n(u), n \geq 9$ | $u \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ | 3         | $\{r_n, r_{2n}\}$             | $\left\lceil \frac{3n+5}{4}\right\rceil$ |
| $D_n(u)$   | $u \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ | 3         | $\{r_n, r_{2n-2}\}$          |        |
| $n \geq 9$ | $u \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ | 3         | $\{r_{n-1}, r_{2n-2}\}$    | $\left\lceil \frac{3n+1}{4}\right\rceil$ |
| $2D_n(u)$  | $u \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ | 3         | $\{r_{2n-2}, r_{2n}\}$       | $\left\lceil \frac{3n+4}{4}\right\rceil$ |
| $n \geq 8$ | $u \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ | 4         | $\{r_{n-1}, r_{2n-2}, r_{2n}\}$ |        |
| $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ |            | 3         | $\{r_{2n-2}, r_{2n}\}$       |        |
| $E_7(u)$   | $u \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$                  | 3         | $\{r_{14}, r_{18}\}$         | 8      |
| $u \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ |              | 3         | $\{r_7, r_9\}$              |        |
| $u \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ |            | 5         | $\{r_7, r_9, r_{14}, r_{18}\}$ |        |
| $E_8(u)$   | none                                    | 5         | $\{r_{15}, r_{20}, r_{24}, r_{30}\}$ | 12     |

Table 1: Simple groups $S$ with $t(S) \geq 7$ and $t(2, S) \geq 3$

The values of $t(S)$ and $t(2, S)$ for all nonabelian simple group $S$ were obtained in [25, 26], in particular, $t(E_7(q)) = 8$ and $t(2, E_7(q)) \geq 3$. Lemma 1.4 shows that the nonabelian composition factor $S$ of a group isospectral to $E_7(q)$ must satisfy $t(S) \geq 7$ and $t(2, S) \geq 3$. Table 1 contains all simple groups $S$ that enjoy such properties. The information in this table is extracted from [25, 26].

Following [26], by the compact form for the prime graph of a finite simple group $G$ of Lie type over the field of order $q$ and characteristic $p$ we mean a graph whose vertices are labeled with marks $R_i$ and $p$. The vertex labeled $R_i$ represents the clique of $GK(G)$ such that every vertex in this clique labeled by a prime from $R_i(q)$. An edge joining $R_i$ and $R_j$ represents the set of edges of $GK(G)$ that join each vertex in $R_i(q)$ with each vertex in $R_j(q)$. Finally, an edge between $p$ and $R_i$ means that $p$ is adjacent to all primes.
from $R_i(q)$. Figure 1 presents the compact form of $GK(E_7(q))$ (see [26, Figure 4]).
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**Figure 1:** The compact form of $GK(E_7(q))$

It is known that the order of any semisimple element of a finite simple group of Lie type divides the order of some maximal torus of this group. The maximal tori of the universal groups $E_7(q)$ were described in [27]. We recall that $E_7(q) \simeq d.E_7(q)$ is a central extension of the group of order $d = (q - 1, 2)$ by $E_7(q)$. Table 2 gives a cyclic structure of the maximal tori of $E_7(q)$. In this table, given a nonzero integer $k$, $\mathbb{Z}_k$ stands for the cyclic group of order $|k|$, $(\mathbb{Z}_k)^m$ means the direct product of $m$ groups isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_k$, and $\epsilon \in \{+, -\}$.

**Lemma 1.5.** Let $S$ be a finite simple group of Lie type over the field of order $u$ from Table 1. Suppose that $\rho(2, S) = \{2, r_{i_1}(u), r_{i_2}(u), ..., r_{i_m}(u)\}$ is a $\{2\}$-coclique in $GK(S)$ of the greatest size and define $M = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_m\}$. If $r_j(u)$ is non-adjacent to 2 in $GK(S)$, then $j \in M$. Moreover, $k_j(u)$ is the maximal w.r.t. divisibility $R_j(u)$-number in $\omega(S)$.

**Proof.** If $u$ is even, then the first assertion follows from [25, Proposition 6.4]. In the odd case, it holds by [25, Proposition 6.7]. The cyclic structure of tori in groups of Lie type
| $(Z_{eq-1})^7$          | $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{q^5+(eq)^3+1}$ |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| $(Z_{eq-1})^5 \times Z_{q^2-1}$ | $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{q^6-eq+1} \times Z_{q^4+q^2+1}$ |
| $(Z_{eq-1})^3 \times (Z_{q^2-1})^2$ | $Z_{eq-1} \times (Z_{eq+1})^2 \times (Z_{q^2-1})^2$ |
| $(Z_{eq-1})^4 \times (Z_{(eq)^3-1})$ | $(Z_{eq-1}) \times (Z_{(eq)^3-1})^2$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times (Z_{eq^2-1})^3$ | $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{q^4-1}$ |
| $(Z_{eq-1})^2 \times Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{(eq)^3-1}$ | $Z_{(eq)^3-1} \times Z_{(eq+1)((eq)^3-1)}$ |
| $(Z_{eq-1})^3 \times Z_{q^4-1}$ | $Z_{eq-1} \times (Z_{eq+1})^2 \times Z_{q^4-1}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times (Z_{eq+1})^2 \times (Z_{q^2-1})^2$ | $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{(eq+1)((eq)^5-1)}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{(eq+1)((eq)^3-1)}$ | $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{q^6-1}$ |
| $(Z_{eq-1})^2 \times Z_{q^2+q+1} \times Z_{(eq)^3-1}$ | $(Z_{eq-1}) \times Z_{(q^2-1)(q^4+1)}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{q^4-1}$ | $(Z_{q^2+eq+1})^2 \times Z_{(eq)^3-1}$ |
| $(Z_{eq-1})^2 \times Z_{(eq)^5-1}$ | $Z_{(eq)^3+1} \times Z_{(eq)^3-1} \times Z_{eq+1}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{(eq-1)((eq)^3+1)}$ | $Z_{((eq)^3-1)(q^4-q^2+1)}$ |
| $(Z_{eq-1})^2 \times (Z_{(eq-1)(q^2+1)})^2$ | $Z_{(eq-1)(q^6+eq+1)}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{q^2+eq+1} \times Z_{(eq+1)(eq-1)}$ | $Z_{q^2-eq+1} \times Z_{(eq-1)(q^4+q^2+1)}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{(q^2-1)(q^4+1)}$ | $Z_{(eq)^3-1} \times Z_{q^4-1}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{(eq-1)(q^2+1)((eq)^3+1)}$ | $Z_{((eq)^5-1)(q^2+eq+1)}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times (Z_{q^2+eq+1})^3$ | $Z_{eq-1}(q^2+1) \times Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{q^2+1}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{eq+1} \times Z_{((eq)^5+q^4+(eq)^3+q^2+eq+1)}$ | $Z_{(eq)^7-1}$ |
| $Z_{eq-1} \times Z_{(q^2+eq+1)(q^4-q^2+1)}$ | $Z_{q^4+1} \times Z_{(eq-1)(q^2+1)}$ |

Table 2: Maximal tori of $(2, q-1).E_7(q)$
Lemma 1.6. Let $G$ be a finite group isospectral to $E_7(q)$, $q$ odd, and $S \leq \overline{G} = G/K \leq \text{Aut } S$, where $K$ is the soluble radical of $G$ and $S$ is a simple group of Lie type over the field of order $u$ and characteristic $\nu$. Choose $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ such that $q \equiv -\varepsilon 1 \pmod{4}$. Then there exist integers $i_1$, $i_2$ and $m_1(S), m_2(S) \in \mu(S)$ such that the following chains of divisibilities hold

$$
(q^7 - \varepsilon 1)/2 : m_1(S) : k_{i_1}(u) : k_7(\varepsilon q);
(q - \varepsilon 1) \cdot (q^6 + \varepsilon q^3 + 1)/2 : m_2(S) : k_{i_2}(u) : k_9(\varepsilon q).
$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 1.4 that $S$ is among the groups from Table 1. Since $q \equiv -\varepsilon 1 \pmod{4}$, a set $\{2, r_7(\varepsilon q), r_9(\varepsilon q)\}$ is a coclique in $GK(L)$ (see Table 1 and take into account that $k_{2n}(q) = k_n(-q)$ for odd $n$). Therefore, Lemma 1.4 yields that $r_i(\varepsilon q) \in \pi(S)$ and $(r_i(\varepsilon q), |\mathcal{G}/S||K|) = 1$ for $i = 7, 9$. Note that there exists a coclique of size 8 in $GK(L)$ which contains $r_7(\varepsilon q)$ and $r_9(\varepsilon q)$, hence $t(r_i(\varepsilon q), S) \geq 7$ for $i = 7, 9$ due to Lemma 1.4.

It follows from [25, Tables 4 and 5] that $t(v, S) \leq 5$. Therefore $v \not\in R_7(\varepsilon q) \cup R_9(\varepsilon q)$. Thus there exist indices $i_1$, $i_2$ such that $r_7(\varepsilon q) \in R_{i_1}(u)$, $r_9(\varepsilon q) \in R_{i_2}(u)$. Moreover, $R_7(\varepsilon q) \subseteq R_{i_1}(u)$ and $R_9(\varepsilon q) \subseteq R_{i_2}(u)$. Indeed, if $r$ and $s$ are two distinct primes from $R_7(\varepsilon q)$ (or $R_9(\varepsilon q)$), then they are adjacent in $GK(L)$, so they are adjacent in $GK(S)$ by preceding arguments. On the other hand, $r$ and $s$ are non-adjacent to 2 in $GK(S)$, so Lemma 1.5 implies that $e(r, u) = e(s, u)$.

It follows from Lemma 1.5 that $k_7(\varepsilon q) \in \omega(L)$ and $k_{i_1}(u) \in \omega(S)$. Moreover, $(k_7(\varepsilon q), |\mathcal{G}/S||K|) = 1$ and $k_{i_1}(u)$ is the maximal w.r.t. divisibility $R_{i_1}(u)$-number in $\omega(S)$, so $k_7(\varepsilon q) \mid k_{i_1}(u)$. Similarly, $k_9(\varepsilon q) \mid k_{i_2}(u)$. Obviously, there exist $m_1(S)$ and $m_2(S)$ in $\mu(S)$ such that $k_{i_1}(u) \mid m_1(S)$, $k_{i_2}(u) \mid m_2(S)$. Since $\omega(S) \subseteq \omega(G)$, the numbers $m_1(S)$, $m_2(S)$ lie in $\omega(G)$. Primes $r_7(\varepsilon q)$ and $r_9(\varepsilon q)$ are non-adjacent to $p$ in $GK(G)$ (see Figure 1), so elements of order $m_1(S)$ and $m_2(S)$ are semisimple in $L$. Hence $m_1(S)$ and $m_2(S)$ divide orders of some maximal tori of $L$. By Table 2, $k_7(\varepsilon q)$ divides only the integer $(q^7 - \varepsilon 1)/2$ among the orders of maximal tori, so $m_1(S) \mid (q^7 - \varepsilon 1)/2$. By the same reason, $m_2(S) \mid (q - \varepsilon 1)(q^6 + \varepsilon q^3 + 1)/2$.

Lemma 1.7. Suppose that $L$ is a finite simple group of Lie type over the field of characteristic $p$ and $\exp_p(L)$ is the exponent of a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $L$. Then $\exp_p(L) =$
\min\{p^\alpha \mid p^\alpha > \text{ht}(L)\}$, where $\text{ht}(L)$ is the height of the highest root in the root system of $L$. In particular, if $L$ is of type $E_7$ then $\exp_p(L) = \min\{p^\alpha \mid p^\alpha > 17\}$.

**Proof.** It follows from [29, Corollary 0.5].

**Lemma 1.8.** [13, Lemma 2.3] Let $A$ and $B$ be finite groups. The following are equivalent.
1. $\omega(H) \not\subseteq \omega(B)$ for any proper cover $H$ of $A$.
2. $\omega(H) \not\subseteq \omega(B)$ for any split extension $H = K : A$, where $K$ is a nontrivial elementary abelian group.

**Lemma 1.9.** [30, Lemma 1.5] Let $G$ be a finite group, $K$ be a normal subgroup of $G$, and $r \in \pi(K)$. Suppose that the factor group $G/K$ has a section isomorphic to a non-cyclic abelian $p$-group for some odd prime $p$ distinct from $r$. Then $rp \in \omega(G)$.

If $G$ is a group, $g$ is an element of $G$, and $V$ is a finite-dimensional $G$-module, then $\deg_V(g)$ stands for the minimal polynomial of $g$ on $V$. The next assertion is well-known.

**Lemma 1.10.** Suppose that $G$ is a finite group, $V$ is a finite-dimensional $G$-module over a field of positive characteristic $r$ and $H = V \rtimes G$ is a natural semidirect product. The orders of elements from a coset $Vg$ of $H$ coincide with the order of $g$ in $G$ if and only if the minimal polynomial of $g$ on $V$ divides $(x^{|g|} - 1)/(x - 1)$. In particular, if $\deg_V(g) = |g|$, then $Vg$ contains an element of order $r|g|$.

**Lemma 1.11.** Let $S = ^2D_n(u)$, $n \geq 8$, $u = v^m$ for a prime $v$. Then the following hold.
1. If $r \in \pi(S)$ and $r$ does not divide the order of any proper parabolic subgroup of $S$, then $e(r, u) = 2n$.
2. If $V$ is a finite-dimensional $S$-module over a field of characteristic $r \neq v$ and $g$ is an element of prime order $s$ which lies in some proper parabolic subgroup of $S$, then $\deg_V(g) = s$. In particular, the natural semidirect product $V \rtimes S$ contains an element of order $rs$.

**Proof.** (1) The orders and structure of parabolic subgroups of finite classical groups are well-known (see, e.g., [31, Proposition 4.20]).

(2) Easily, we may suppose that $V$ is absolutely irreducible. Then the first statement follows from the main theorem of [32]. The second assertion follows from the first one and Lemma 1.10.
§ 2. Proof of the theorem: a nonabelain composition factor

Let \( L = E_7(q) \), \( q = p^m \), \( p \) a prime, and \( G \) be a finite group with \( \omega(G) = \omega(L) \). Since the quasirecognizability of \( E_7(2) \), \( E_7(3) \) was proved in [16], further we assume that \( q \geq 4 \). Note that \( GK(G) = GK(L) \), so \( t(2,G) \geq 3 \), \( t(G) = 8 \). By Lemma 1.4 there is a nonabelain simple group \( S \) such that \( S \leq G/K \leq Aut S \) for maximal normal soluble subgroup \( K \) of \( G \), and \( t(S) \geq 7 \), \( t(2,S) \geq 3 \). Thus \( S \) is one of the groups from Table 1.

We consider every case separately and show that \( S \cong L \).

**Lemma 2.1.** \( S \) is not isomorphic to a sporadic group or the Tits group.

**Proof.** It is a direct consequence of [33, Lemma 7].

**Lemma 2.2.** If \( p = 2 \), then \( S \cong L \).

**Proof.** In this case \( t(2,L) = 5 \), so it follows from Lemma 1.4 that \( t(2,S) \geq 5 \). Using Table 1 we determine that \( S \) can be isomorphic to either \( E_7(2^k) \), or \( E_6(u) \), or sporadic groups \( J_4 \) and \( F_4 \). By Lemma 2.1 only the cases \( E_7(2^k) \) and \( E_6(u) \) are possible.

Let \( S \cong E_6(u) \) and \( u = v^k \). Applying Lemma 1.7 for \( L \) and its subsystem subgroups, we derive \( 32 \in \mu(L) \). On the other hand, in the group \( E_6(u) \) there is an element of order \( 32s \), where \( s \) is an odd prime. Indeed, if \( u \) is even then \( S \) contains elements of order \( 32(u \pm 1) \) [34], and if \( v \) is odd then \( u^8 - 1 \in \omega(S) \) [27]. Thus we have a contradiction.

Let \( S \cong E_7(u) \) and \( u = 2^k \). Note that if \( i = 7,9,14,18 \) then \( r_i(q) \) is non-adjacent to \( 2 \) in \( GK(L) \) (see for example Figure 1), so Lemma 1.4 implies that \( r_i(q) \in \pi(S) \) and \( (r_i(q),|K||C/K|) = 1 \). For \( i = 7,9,14,18 \) choose a primitive prime divisor \( r_i \in R_i(q) \) such that \( e(r_i,2) = im. \) Put \( e_i = e(r_i,u) \). Then \( r_i \) divides \( u^{e_i} - 1 = 2^{e_i k} - 1 \). Therefore \( im \) divides \( e_i k \). Suppose \( e_{18} k > 18m \). Since \( k_{e_{18}}(u) \) divides \( |S|, \) a prime \( r \) with \( e(r,2) = e_{18} k \) lies in \( \omega(S) \). However, \( e(r,q) > 18 \), so \( r \notin \omega(L) \); a contradiction. Thus \( e_{18} k = 18m \). If \( e_{14} k > 14m \) then \( e_{14} k \geq 2 \cdot 14m > 18m \) which is impossible by the same reason. Similarly, \( e_9 k = 9m \) or \( 18m \). However, \( e_9 k \neq e_{18} k = 18m \), so we have \( e_9 k = 9m \). Finally, we derive that \( e_7 k = 7m \). In particular, \( e_{18} > e_{14} > e_9 > e_7 \). On the other hand, \( e_{18},e_{14},e_9,e_7 \in \{18,14,9,7\} \). Thus, \( e_{18} = 18 \) and \( k = m \). The lemma is proved.

From this moment, we may suppose that \( q \) is odd. We fix \( \varepsilon \in \{+,−\} \) such that \( q \equiv −\varepsilon 1 \pmod{4} \), which provides that \( \{2, r_7(\varepsilon q), r_9(\varepsilon q)\} \) is a coclique in \( GK(G) \) (see Lemma 1.6).
Lemma 2.3. \( S \not\cong \text{Alt}_n \).

Proof. Assume that \( S \cong \text{Alt}_n \). Table 1 implies \( t(2, S) = 3 \). Let \( r, r+2 \) be primes from \( \{n-3, n-2, n-1, n\} \). Using Lemma 1.4 we obtain that \( k_3(\varepsilon q) \) divides \( r \) or \( r+2 \). Since \( q > 3 \), the inequality \( q^6 \geq 16q^4 \) holds. It follows that \( k_3(\varepsilon q) = \frac{q^6+\varepsilon q^4+1}{(q-\varepsilon)(q+1)} > q^4 + 2 \). Hence \( q^4 \in \omega(\text{Alt}_n) \). On the other hand, \( q^3 > 17 \) and, by Lemma 1.7, \( q^4 \not\in \omega(L) \); a contradiction.

Thus, we may assume that \( S \) is a group of Lie type. Suppose that \( S \) is defined over a field of order \( u \), where \( u = v^k \) for a prime \( v \) and a positive integer \( k \).

Lemma 2.4. \( S \not\cong A^r_{n-1}(u) \).

Proof. Assume the opposite. It follows from Table 3 that \( t(S) = \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right] \). Then \( t(S) \geq 7 \) provides \( n \geq 13 \). Moreover, Lemma 1.4 and Tables 4 and 6 imply that \( t(2, S) = 3 \) and \( \rho(2, S) = \{2, r_{n-1}(\tau u), r_n(\tau u)\} \). One of the numbers \( n-1 \) or \( n \) must be even. Let \( n-1 \) be even. By Lemma 1.6 there exists \( i \in \{7, 9\} \) such that \( r_i(\varepsilon q) \in R_{n-1}(\tau u) \).

Let \( m_i(L) = (q^7 - \varepsilon)/2, m_9(L) = (q^6 + \varepsilon q^3 + 1)(q - \varepsilon)/2 \). By Lemma 1.6, \( k_i(\varepsilon q) \) divides \( k_{n-1}(\tau u), k_{n-1}(\tau u) \) divides \( m_1(S) \), and \( m_1(S) \) divides \( m_i(L) \). Since \( n-1 \) is even, the equality \( (\tau u)^{n-1} - 1 = ((\tau u)^{(n-1)/2} - 1)((\tau u)^{(n-1)/2} + 1) \) holds, where \( (n-1)/2 \) is an integer. Now the definition of \( k_{n-1}(\tau u) \) implies that \( k_{n-1}(\tau u) \leq |(\tau u)^{(n-1)/2} + 1| \). On the other hand, \( m_1(S) = \frac{u^{n-1}-1}{(n, \tau u-1)} \) (Corollary 3). Furthermore, \( u^{n-1}-1 \leq \left| \frac{u^{n-1}-1}{\tau u-1} \right| = \left| \frac{(\tau u)^{(n-1)/2}-1}{((\tau u)^{(n-1)/2} + 1)} \right| > |u^{n-5/2}(\tau u+1)((\tau u)^{(n-1)/2}+1)|. However, \( |u^{n-5/2}(\tau u+1)| > |((\tau u)^{(n-1)/2} + 1)|^{1/2} \) due to \( n \geq 13 \). Therefore, \( m_1(S) > |((\tau u)^{(n-1)/2} + 1)|^{3/2} > k_{n-1}(\tau u)^{3/2} \). It follows that \( k_i(\varepsilon q)^{3/2} \leq k_{n-1}(\tau u) \leq m_1(S) \leq m_i(L) \). One may easily verify that it is impossible for \( i = 7, 9 \). If \( n \) is even, then \( k_n(\tau u) \leq |((\tau u)^{n/2} + 1)| \) and the same argument gives us a contradiction.

Lemma 2.5. \( S \not\cong B_n(u) \) and \( S \not\cong C_n(u) \).

Proof. Let \( S \cong B_n(u) \) or \( S \cong C_n(u) \). Then \( u \) is even and \( n \geq 9 \). Note that \( t(r, L) \geq 3 \) for every \( r \in \pi(L) \) (see Figure 1), in particular, \( t(3, L) \geq 3 \). In fact, if \( 3 \) divides \( q + \varepsilon \) then \( 3 \) is non-adjacent to \( r_7(\varepsilon q) \) and \( r_9(\varepsilon q) \); while if \( p = 3 \) then it is non-adjacent to every \( r_i(\pm q) \), where \( i = 7, 9 \). The criterion of adjacency in the prime graph of groups \( B_n(u) \) and \( C_n(u) \) provides \( t(3, S) = 2 \) (see Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 2.4). It follows that one of the primes from \( \rho(3, L) \setminus \{3\} \), say \( r \), should be coprime to \( |S| \). Observe that a Sylow
3-subgroup of $S$ is non-cyclic due to $n \geq 9$. So if $r \in \pi(K)$, we derive a contradiction by Lemma 1.11(1), Therefore, one of the numbers from $\{k_7(\pm q), k_9(\pm q)\}$ divides $|Out(S)|$.

Since $u$ is even, we have $|Out(S)| = k$. Therefore, $k \geq \min\{k_7(\pm q), k_9(\pm q)\}$. However, $k_7(\pm q) \geq (q^6 - q^5 + q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - q + 1)/7 \geq (5q^5 - q^5 + q^4 - q^3 + q^2 - q + 1)/7 > q^5/2$ and $k_9(\pm q) \geq (q^6 - q^3 + 1)/3 \geq (5q^5 - q^3 + 1)/3 > q^5$. So $2k > q^5$. The inequality $n \geq 9$ yields that $u^4 - 1, u^4 + 1 \in \omega(S) \subseteq \omega(L)$. At least one of these numbers is not divisible by $p$ and so it is the order of a semisimple element of $L$. On the other hand, $u^4 - 1 = 2^{4k} - 1 = (2^{2k})^2 - 1 \geq (2k)^2 - 1 > q^{10} - 1$. However, $q^{10} - 1$ is greater than every number in Table 2; a contradiction.

**Lemma 2.6.** $S \not\cong D_n^r(u)$, where $\tau \in \{+, -\}$.

**Proof.** (1) Assume the opposite. By [25, Proposition 3.1] and [26, Proposition 2.5], we have $t(3, S) < 3$ whenever $v \neq 3$. Therefore, if $v \neq 3$, then one of the numbers from $\{k_7(\pm q), k_9(\pm q)\}$ divides $|Out(S)|$, and we derive a contradiction as we did it in Lemma 2.5. It follows that $v = 3$. If $S \cong D_n(u)$, then $t(2, S) = 3$ implies $u \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$, which is obviously impossible for $v = 3$. Suppose that $S \not\cong D_n(u)$. Then $t(2, S) = 3$ if and only if $n$ is odd and $u \equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. It follows that $S \not\cong D_n(u)$, $n$ is odd, and $u = 3^k$, $k$ is odd. Note that in this case $Out(S)$ is a group of order $8k$ and $\rho(2, S) = \{2, r_{2n-2}(u), r_{2n}(u)\}$.

(2) Recall that we fix $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ such that $\rho(2, L) = \{2, r_7(\varepsilon q), r_9(\varepsilon q)\}$. Put $\sigma = \{r_7(q), r_9(q), r_7(-q), r_9(-q)\}$. Then $\sigma$ is a coclique in $GK(L)$, so at most one prime from $\sigma$ can divide the product $|K||G/S|$, furthermore, such a prime is adjacent to 2. Among the remaining three numbers only one, say $t$, belongs to $R_{2n}(u)$, moreover, $t$ is non-adjacent to 2. Since every $r \in \pi(L)$ is non-adjacent to either $r_7(\varepsilon q)$ and $r_9(\varepsilon q)$ or $r_7(-\varepsilon q)$ and $r_9(-\varepsilon q)$ (see Figure 1), it follows that for every $r \in \pi(L)$ there exists a prime $s$ from $\sigma$ such that $s$ and $r$ are non-adjacent in $GK(L)$, $s$ is coprime to $|K||G/S|$, and $s \not\in R_{2n}(u)$, in particular, by Lemma 1.11(1), $s$ divides the order of some proper parabolic subgroup of $S$.

(3) Assume that $u = 3^k > 3$. Since $k$ is odd, there exists an odd prime $r$ lying in $R_1(u)$. It follows from [26, Proposition 2.5] that $t(r, S) = 2$ and $r$ is non-adjacent to $t$ in $GK(S)$ if and only if $t \in R_{2n}(u)$. This contradicts (2).

(4) Thus, $u = 3$. Suppose that the soluble radical $K$ of $G$ is non-trivial. We claim
that $\omega(G) \not\subset \omega(L)$ in this case. If $H$ is the preimage of $S$ in $G$, then $H$ is a proper cover of $S$. By Lemma 1.8 in order to prove that $\omega(H) \not\subset \omega(L)$ it is sufficient to prove that $\omega(V : S) \not\subset \omega(L)$, where $V$ is a elementary abelian $r$-subgroup for some prime $r$. So we may assume that $K = V$ and $G = V : S$. If $C_G(K) \not\subset K$, then $G = C_G(K)$ due to simplicity of $S$, and $r$ is adjacent to every prime in $GK(G)$, which contradicts (2). So $S$ acts faithfully on $K$. Choose for the prime $r$ a prime $s$ as in (2). If $r \neq 3$, then $rs \in \omega(G)$ due to Lemma 1.11(2). By [36], the group $S = 2D_n(3)$ for odd $n$ is unisingular, that is every its semisimple element has a non-trivial fixed point on every abelian 3-subgroup $K$ with $S$-action, so if $r = 3$ then $rs \in \omega(G)$ as well. On the other hand, $rs \not\in \omega(L)$ by the choice of $s$. Thus, $K$ must be trivial. Since $u = 3$, the order of Out $S$ is equal to 8. So the inequality $t(L) = 8 > t(2, L) = t(2, S)$ imply that $t(S) = t(L) = 8$. However, $n$ is odd and $n \geq 9$, hence $t(S) = \left\lfloor \frac{3n+1}{4} \right\rfloor \geq 9$ for $n \geq 11$ and $t(S) = 7$ for $n = 9$; a contradiction. This completes the proof.

Thus, $S$ should be a finite simple exceptional group of Lie type.

§ 3. Completion of the proof

By preceding arguments, we have that $S \leq \overline{G} = G/K \leq \text{Aut } S$ and either $S \simeq E_7(u)$ or $S \simeq E_8(u)$, where $K$ is the soluble radical of $G$. It appears that the case of $S \simeq E_8(u)$ requires a careful study, so it is convenient to have a structure of $GK(E_8(u))$. Figure 2 presented below is taken from [26, Figure 5] and gives a compact form of the prime graph of $E_8(u)$ (the definition of the compact form is formulated before Figure 1). Observe that the vector from 5 to $R_4$ and the dotted edge $(5, R_{20})$ mean that $R_4$ and $R_{20}$ are not connected, but if $5 \in R_4$ (i.e., $q^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{5}$), then there exists an edge between 5 and $R_{20}$.

The maximal tori of the group $E_8(q)$ were described in [27]. Table 3 gives a cyclic structure for these tori. Here we use the same notation as in Table 2.

Lemma 3.1. Let $S \simeq E_7(u)$ or $S \simeq E_8(u)$. Suppose that $I$ and $J$ are subsets of positive integers such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i(u) \subseteq \pi(S)$, $\bigcup_{j \in J} R_j(q) \subseteq \pi(L)$, and $\bigcup_{i \in I} R_i(u) \subseteq \bigcup_{j \in J} R_j(q)$. Then $\prod_{i \in I} k_i(u)$ divides $d \cdot \prod_{j \in J} k_j(q)$, where $d = 35$ provided $I \cap \{3, 4, 6\} \neq \emptyset$, and $d = 1$ otherwise.
| $Z_{eq} \times \text{any torus of } (2, q - 1).E_7(q)$ (see Table 2) | $Z_{q^8-1}$  |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| $Z_{eq} \times Z_{((eq)^3-1)} \times Z_{q^4-1}$ | $Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{q^2+1} \times Z_{q^4+1}$ |
| $Z_{eq} \times Z_{(eq)^5-1}(q^2+eq+1)$ | $(Z_{q^2+1})^2 \times Z_{q^4-1}$ |
| $Z_{q^2-1} \times (Z_{(q^2+1)(eq)-1})$ | $Z_{((eq)+1)((eq)^3-1)(q^4+1)}$ |
| $Z_{eq} \times Z_{((eq)^7-1)}$ | $Z_{(q^2+1)(q^6-1)}$ |
| $Z_{(eq)^5-1}(q^2+1) \times Z_{(eq)-1}(q^2+1)$ | $Z_{(q^2-1)(q^2+eq+1)(q^4-q^2+1)}$ |
| $(Z_{q^2-1})^4$ | $Z_{(q^2-1)(q^6+(eq)^3+1)}$ |
| $(Z_{q^2-1})^2 \times Z_{(eq+1)((eq)^3-1)}$ | $(Z_{(q^2-(eq)+1)})^2 \times Z_{(eq+1)((eq)^3-1)}$ |
| $(Z_{q^2-1})^2 \times Z_{q^4-1}$ | $Z_{(q^2-1)(q^6+1)}$ |
| $(Z_{(q+1)(q^5-1)})^2$ | $(Z_{q^2+eq+1})^4$ |
| $Z_{(eq+1)((eq)^3-1) \times Z_{q^4-1}}$ | $(Z_{q^4+(eq)^3+q^2+eq+1})^2$ |
| $(Z_{q^4-1})^2$ | $Z_{q^2+eq+1} \times Z_{q^6+(eq)^3+1}$ |
| $(Z_{q^2-1})^2 \times (Z_{q^2+1})^2$ | $(Z_{q^2+1})^4$ |
| $Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{((eq+1)((eq)^5-1)}$ | $Z_{q^4+1} \times Z_{q^6+1}$ |
| $Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{q^6+1}$ | $(Z_{q^4+1})^2$ |
| $Z_{(eq-1)(q^2+1) \times Z_{(eq+1)((eq)^3-1)}$ | $Z_{(q^4-q^2+1)(q^2+eq+1) \times Z_{q^2+eq+1}}$ |
| $Z_{q^2-1} \times Z_{(q^2-1)(q^4+1)}$ | $Z_{q^4+q^2+1} \times Z_{q^2+eq+1} \times Z_{q^2-eq+1}$ |
| $(Z_{q^2+eq+1})^2 \times Z_{(q+1)(q^3-1)}$ | $Z_{q^4+(eq)^7-(eq)^5-\frac{q^4}{(eq)^3+eq+1}}$ |
| $Z_{(eq+1)(q^2+eq+1)((eq)^5-1)}$ | $Z_{q^6-q^4+1}$ |
| $Z_{((eq)+1)(eq)^5-1}$ | $Z_{q^6-q^4+q^4-q^2+1}$ |
| $Z_{((eq)+1)((eq)^7-1)}$ | $(Z_{q^4-q^2+1})^2$ |

**Table 3:** Maximal tori of $E_8(q)$
Proof. Let $r \in \bigcup_{i \in I} R_i(u)$. Then $r \in \bigcup_{j \in J} R_j(q)$. So there exist integers $a \in I$ and $b \in J$ such that $r \in R_a(u)$ and $r \in R_b(q)$. Set $r^\alpha = |k_a(u)|_{\{r\}}$ and $r^\beta = |k_b(q)|_{\{r\}}$. In order to prove the lemma it is sufficient to prove that $\alpha \leq \beta + 1$ if $a \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ and $r \in \{5, 7\}$, and $\alpha \leq \beta$ in all other cases. Assume that the lemma is wrong. Then $\alpha > \beta$, in particular, $\alpha \geq 2$. The cyclic structure of maximal tori in simple groups of types $E_7$ and $E_8$ (see Tables 2 and 3) implies that $k_a(u)$ and, consequently, $r^\alpha$ lie in $\omega(S)$, so $r^\alpha \in \omega(L)$. Let $c$ be a least positive integer such that $r^\alpha$ divides $q^c - 1$. Then $c \leq 18$ (see Table 2). On the other hand, since $e(r, q) = b$, it follows that $c = bf$, where $f$ is a positive integer, and $f$ is greater than 1 due to $\alpha > \beta$.

Suppose firstly that $r$ is odd. Observe that $a$ and $b$ divide $r - 1$ by Fermat’s little theorem. Lemma 1.3 yields that $(q^c - 1)_{\{r\}} = (q^b - 1)_{\{r\}} \cdot f_{\{r\}}$, so $r$ divides $f$. It is easy to verify using Table 2, that any prime divisor of $c$ does not exceed 7. Therefore, $r \leq 7$. Suppose that either $r = 5$ or $r = 7$. By Fermat’s little theorem $a \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}$. If $a = 1$ then $r^{\alpha+1} \mid u^r - 1$, while $a = 2$ implies $r^{\alpha+1} \mid u^r + 1$. Since $(u^r - 1)/(u - 1, 2) \in \omega(S)$, $(u^r + 1)/(u - 1, 2) \in \omega(S)$ (see Table 2), it follows that $r^{\alpha+1} \in \omega(L)$. However, if $g$ is the least positive integer such that $r^{\alpha+1}$ divides $q^g - 1$, then $g \geq \beta r^2$ by Lemma 1.3. Hence $g > 18$, which contradicts Table 2. Assume that $a \in \{3, 4, 6\}$ and suppose that $\alpha > \beta + 1$. 

Figure 2: The compact form for $GK(E_8(u))$
Similarly to the previous case, \((q^c - 1)_{\{r\}} = (q^b - 1)_{\{r\}} \cdot f_{\{r\}}\), so \(f_{\{r\}} \geq r^2\) and \(c > 18\); a contradiction.

Suppose that \(r = 3\). Then \(a, b \in \{1, 2\}\). If \(a = 1\) then \(3^{a+1} | (u - 1)(u^6 + u^3 + 1)/(u - 1, 2) \in \omega(S)\), and if \(a = 2\) then \(3^{a+1} | (u + 1)(u^6 - u^3 + 1)/(u - 1, 2) \in \omega(S)\) (see Tables 2 and 3). In both cases \(3^{a+1} \in \omega(L)\). Therefore, if \(g\) is the least positive integer such that \(3^{a+1}\) divides \(q^g - 1\), then \(g = by \leq 18\) for some positive integer \(y\). Since \(b = 1\) or \(b = 2\) and \((q^9 + 1, 3) = 1\) in the former case, an application of Lemma 1.3 gives \(y = 9\). So \(L\) should contain a semisimple element whose 3-part is equal to the 3-part of \((q^9 - 1)_{\{3\}}\) for \(b = 1\) and \((q^9 + 1)_{\{3\}}\) for \(b = 2\). Inspecting Table 2, we obtain that it is impossible.

Let now \(r = 2\). Then \(a, b \in \{1, 2\}\). Since \(u^4 - 1 \in \omega(S)\), Lemma 1.3 implies that \((u^4 - 1)_{\{2\}} = 2^{a+2}\), so \(2^{a+2} \in \omega(L)\). Let \(g\) be a least positive integer such that \(2^{a+2}\) divides \(q^g - 1\). If \(g\) is odd, then \((q^g - 1)_{\{2\}} = (q - 1)_{\{2\}} \leq 2^c < 2^a\), so \(c\) is even. Choose \(\tau \in \{+, -\}\) such that \(q \equiv \tau 1 (mod 4)\). Now Lemma 1.3 implies that \((q^g - 1)_{\{2\}} = g_{\{2\}} \cdot (\tau q - 1)_{\{2\}} \leq g_{\{2\}} \cdot 2^{a-1}\). Therefore, \(g\) is divisible by 8. Similarly to the case \(r = 3\), we conclude that \((q^8 - 1)_{\{2\}} \in \omega(L)\) and derive a contradiction using the information from Table 2.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let \(n\) be an integer and \(n \geq 2\). Then

1. \(k_1(n)k_2(n) = (n^2 - 1)/(2, n - 1)\) and \(n^2/4 \leq k_1(n)k_2(n) \leq n^2\);
2. \(k_3(n)k_6(n) = (n^4 + n^2 + 1)/(3, n^2 - 1)\) and \(n^4/3 \leq k_3(n)k_6(n) \leq (5/4)n^4\);
3. \(k_4(n) = (n^2 + 1)/(2, n - 1)\) and \(n^2/2 \leq k_4(n) \leq (5/4)n^2\);
4. \(k_5(n)k_{10}(n) = (n^8 + n^6 + n^4 + n^2 + 1)/(5, n^2 - 1)\) and \(n^8/5 \leq k_5(n)k_{10}(n) \leq (4/3)n^8\);
5. \(k_7(n) = (n^6 + n^5 + n^4 + n^3 + n^2 + n + 1)/(7, n - 1)\), \(k_4(n) = (n^6 - n^5 + n^4 - n^3 + n^2 - n + 1)/(7, n + 1)\), and \(n^{12}/7 \leq k_7(n)k_4(n) \leq (3/2)n^{12}\);
6. \(k_8(n) = (n^4 + 1)/(n - 1, 2)\) and \((n^4)/2 \leq k_8(n) \leq (17/16)n^4\);
7. \(k_9(n) = (n^6 + n^3 + 1)/(3, n - 1)\), \(k_18(n) = (n^6 - n^3 + 1)/(3, n + 1)\), and \(n^{12}/3 \leq k_9(n)k_{18}(n) \leq (65/64)n^{12}\);
8. \(k_{12}(n) = n^4 - n^2 + 1\) and \((3/4)n^4 \leq k_{12}(n) \leq n^4\);
9. \(k_{15}(n) = n^8 + n^7 - n^5 - n^4 - 3n + 1\), \(k_{30}(n) = n^8 - n^7 + n^5 - n^4 + n^3 - n + 1\) and \((3/4)n^{16} \leq k_{15}(n)k_{30}(n) \leq n^{16}\);
10. \(k_{20}(n) = (n^8 - n^6 + n^4 - n^2 + 1)/(5, n^2 + 1)\) and \((4/25)n^8 \leq k_{20}(n) \leq n^8\);
11. \(k_{24}(n) = n^8 - n^4 + 1\) and \((15/16)n^8 \leq k_{24}(n) \leq n^8\).
Proof. The lemma is a direct consequence of the formula (17), Lemma 1.2 and straightforward computations.

Lemma 3.3. $S \not\cong E_8(u)$.

Proof. Assume the contrary and let $S \simeq E_8(u)$. Since $t(v, S) = 5$ (see Figure 2), it follows that $t(v, L) = t(v, G) \leq 6$ by Lemma 1.4, so $v \in \{p\} \cup R_1(q) \cup R_2(q)$ (see Figure 1). Suppose that $q = 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, \text{or} 17$. Then $v = 2, 3, 5, 7, \text{or} p$. If $v = p$ then $r_30(p) \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L)$. Let $v = 2$. In this case $41 \in R_{20}(2)$ and $31 \in R_5(2)$ lie in $\pi(S)$, but $e(41, 5) = 20, e(41, 7) = e(41, 11) = e(41, 13) = e(41, 17) = 40$, and $e(31, 9) = 15$, so either $41 \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L)$, or $31 \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L)$; a contradiction. If $v = 3$ then $4561 \in R_{15}(3)$, however $e(4561, 5) = 190, e(4561, 7) = 2280, e(4561, 9) = 15$, and $e(4561, 11) = e(4561, 13) = e(4561, 17) = 4560$. Therefore $4561 \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L)$; a contradiction. Suppose $v = 5$, then either $q = 9$, or $q = 11$. Note that $1741 \in R_{15}(5)$ and $e(1741, 9) = e(1741, 11) = 435$, so $1741 \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L)$. If $v = 7$ then $q = 13$. Since $31 \in R_{15}(7)$ and $e(31, 13) = 30$, we get $31 \in \omega(G) \setminus \omega(L)$; a contradiction. Thus, we may assume that $q > 17$.

Lemma 1.6 yields that $k_0(\varepsilon q)$ divides $k_i(u)$ for some $i \in \{15, 20, 24, 30\}$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $k_0(\varepsilon q) \geq (q^6 - q^3 + 1)/3 \geq (99/300)q^6$ and $k_i(u) \leq u^8 + u^7 - u^5 - u^4 - u^3 + u + 1 \leq (4/3)u^8$. Therefore $q^6 \leq (400/99)u^8$.

Set $I = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18, 20, 24, 30\}$ and $J = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 14, 18\}$. Then $\bigcup R_i(u) \subseteq \pi(S) \subseteq \pi(L) = \{p\} \cup (\bigcup j \in J R_j(q))$. Put $a = \prod_{i \in I} k_i(u)$ and $b = \prod_{j \in J} k_j(q)$. If $p \in R_j(u)$ for some $j$ and $p^a$ divides $k_j(u)$, then $p^a \in \omega(L)$. Since $q > 17$ and $q$ is a $p$-power, Lemma 1.7 yields that $p^a \leq q$. Therefore, $a$ divides $35 \cdot b \cdot q$ due to Lemma 3.1. Lemma 3.2 implies that $b \leq q^2 \cdot 5q^4 \cdot 5q^2 \cdot 4q^8 \cdot 3q^{12} \cdot 17q^4 \cdot \frac{65q^{12}}{64} \cdot q^4 < \frac{5543.75}{34.216} \cdot \frac{5^4 3^4 4^8}{34.216} = 2280 < (7/2)q^{48}$ and $a \geq \frac{u^2}{4} \cdot \frac{u^4}{3} \cdot \frac{u^4}{2} \cdot \frac{u^8}{3} \cdot \frac{u^8}{2} \cdot \frac{u^{12}}{3} \cdot \frac{3u^{16}}{4} \cdot \frac{3u^{16}}{4} \cdot \frac{4u^{25}}{25} \cdot \frac{13u^8}{16} \geq \frac{334.15}{34.216} \cdot q^{48} < (1/59734)q^{208}$. It follows that $(1/59734)u^{208} < 35 \cdot (7/2)q^{49}$, hence $u^{208} < 7400000q^{49}$. On the other hand, $q^6 \leq (400/99)u^8$, so $q^{60} \leq (400/99)^{10}u^{80} < 1400000u^{80}$. Therefore $q^{60} < (1400000 \cdot 7400000)q^{49} < 17^5 \cdot 17^6q^{49}$ and so $q^{11} < 17^{11}$, whence $q < 17$; a contradiction.

Lemma 3.4. If $S \simeq E_7(u)$, then $u = q$.

Proof. Assume that $S \simeq E_7(u)$ and $u \neq q$. If $r \in R_1(u) \cup R_2(u)$, then $t(r, S) = 3$ and so
$t(r, L) = t(r, G) \leq t(S) + 1 = 4$. Therefore, $r \in R_1(q) \cup R_2(q)$. Lemma 3.1 implies that $k_1(u) \cdot k_2(u)$ divides $k_1(q) \cdot k_2(q) = (q^2 - 1)/2$.

Suppose that $v = 2$. Then $k_1(u) \cdot k_2(u) = u^2 - 1$ is odd. Since $8 \mid (q^2 - 1)$, we obtain $q^2 - 1 \geq 8(u^2 - 1) \geq (2u)^2$, so $q > 2u$.

Let now $v \neq 2$. Choose $\tau \in \{+, -\}$ such that $2$ is not adjacent with $r_\tau(\varepsilon u)$ and $r_\tau(\varepsilon u)$ in $GK(S)$ (see Table 1). Then $R_\tau(\varepsilon q) \subseteq R_i(\tau u)$ and $R_\tau(\varepsilon q) \subseteq R_j(\tau u)$, where $i$ and $j$ are distinct numbers from $\{7, 9\}$, due to Lemma 1.4 Lemma 1.5 and Table 1. Lemma 1.6 yields that one of the numbers $(u^7 - \tau 1)/2$ and $(u - \tau 1)(u^6 + \tau u + 1)/2$ divides $(q^7 - \varepsilon 1)/2$, while the other divides $(q - \varepsilon 1)(q^6 + \varepsilon q + 1)/2$. In particular, the greatest common divisor of these numbers divides the greatest common divisor of $(q^7 - \varepsilon 1)/2$ and $(q - \varepsilon 1)(q^6 + \varepsilon q + 1)/2$. Therefore, $u - \tau 1$ divides $q - \varepsilon 1$ and so $q - \varepsilon 1 = l(u - \tau 1)$ for some positive integer $l$. On the other hand, $k_1(u) \cdot k_2(u) = (u^2 - 1)/2$ divides $(q^2 - 1)/2$ and we take a positive integer $k$ such that $q^2 - 1 = k(u^2 - 1)$. Since $q \neq u$, we have $q > u$, so $k > 1$.

Suppose that $k < 4$. Then either $k = 2$ or $k = 3$. If $l > k$, then $q + 1 \geq q - \varepsilon 1 > 2(u - \tau 1) \geq 2u - 2$, so $(q + 2)/2 \geq u$. On the other hand, $q^2 - 1 = k(u^2 - 1) < (q - \varepsilon 1)(u + \tau 1)$.

It follows that $u + \tau 1 > q + \varepsilon 1$, hence $u + 1 > q - 1$. It implies that $(q + 2)/2 \geq u \geq q - 1$, which is impossible due to $q > 4$. Observe that $l = k$ implies that $q + \varepsilon 1 = u + \tau 1$, so the cases $l = k$ and $l = 1$ are the same (it is sufficient to replace $\tau$ on $-\tau$ and $\varepsilon$ on $-\varepsilon$).

Assume that $l = 1$ and $u - \tau 1 = q - \varepsilon 1$. Since $q > u$, it follows that $q - 1 = u + 1$. Then $k(u - 1) = q + 1$. Therefore, $2 = q + 1 - (q - 1) = k(u - 1) - (u + 1) = (k - 1)u - (k + 1)$.

Hence $u = (k + 3)/(k - 1) = 1 + 4/(k - 1)$. It follows that $(u, q) \in \{(3, 5), (5, 7)\}$. If $(u, q) = (5, 7)$, then $5^3 - 1 = 4 \cdot 31$ and $e(31, 7) = 15$, so $31 \in \pi(S) \setminus \pi(L)$; a contradiction.

If $(u, q) = (3, 5)$, then $3^4 + 1 = 2 \cdot 41$ and $e(41, 5) = 20$, and we derive a contradiction because $41 \in \pi(S) \setminus \pi(L)$. Thus, $1 < l < k < 4$, hence $l = 2$ and $k = 3$. This yields $2(q + \varepsilon 1) = 3(u + \tau 1)$, so $3(q - \varepsilon 1) = 6(u - \tau 1)$ and $4(q + \varepsilon 1) = 6(u + \tau 1)$. Therefore, $q + \varepsilon 7 = \tau 12$. Hence either $q = 5$ or $q = 19$. If $q = 5$ then $u = 3$ which is impossible as proved above. If $q = 19$, then $u = 11$ and $61 \in \pi(S) \setminus \pi(L)$; a contradiction. Thus we may assume that $k \geq 4$ and $q^2 - 1 \geq 4(u^2 - 1)$. Straightforward calculations show that $q > 3u/2$ in this case. Thus, we always have the inequality $q > 3u/2$.

The inequality $q > 3u/2$ yields that $3 \cdot k_0(\varepsilon q) \geq q^6 + \varepsilon q^3 = q^3(q^3 + \varepsilon 1) > 18$. 

$(3u/2)^3((3u/2)^3 + 1) > 11u^6 - 4u^3 > 3 \cdot (u^6 + u^5 + u^4 + u^3 + u^2 + u + 1) \geq 3 \cdot k_i(u)$, where $i \in \{7, 9, 14, 18\}$ due to Lemma 3.2. On the other hand, Lemma 1.6 implies that $k_9(\varepsilon q)$ divides one of $k_i(u)$, where $i \in \{7, 9, 14, 18\}$; a contradiction. Thus $u = q$, which completes the proof of the lemma and the theorem as well.
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