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Abstract

Violence comes first by which human lives are being destroyed. Violence poses serious challenges to law enforcement agencies and policy makers as well as it threatens the writ of the Government. Violence condition of a country can be visualized through an accurate violence risk map. This paper presents the methodology to develop an incidence of violence (IOV) risk map using GIS. IOV data for year 2010 was collected from Pakistan Institute for Peace Studies (PIPS) that have 3104 records and cover 16 categories of attack types. IOV risk maps were developed through two available methods: kernel density and getis-ord-gi* by giving single parameter “frequency”, and one new indexing method by giving two additional parameters as well “severity” and “probability”. For each district, IOV frequency and IOV severity values were calculated from PIPS data whereas IOV probability value was derived from the Benazir Income Support Program—Poverty Scorecard Survey (BISP—PSS). A value ranging from 1 to 5 was assigned to each of three parameters against each district and then all three parameters were multiplied with each other to generate IOV risk index that had values ranging from 1 to 125. IOV risk index was further classified through natural breaks into three categories: low risk (1 - 40), moderate risk (41 - 70) and high risk (71 - 125). For validation purpose, spatial overlay analysis was conducted between year 2011 IOV data (classified through natural breaks) and year 2010 IOV risk maps (developed through kernel density, getis-ord-gi* and indexing method). The indexing method has proved as a reliable method to develop an IOV risk map with an accuracy of 93 percent then getis-ord-gi* and kernel density having accuracy of 58 percent and 89 percent respectively. Furthermore, indexing method predicted IOV risk areas more efficiently in terms of spatial distribution. Indexing method highlighted Khuzdar, Zhob, Upper Dir, Khyber Agency, Orakzai Agency, Peshawar and Karachi Districts under high-risk category where actions are needed from the law enforce-
ment agencies and stakeholders to minimize the violent incidents. This study has showed that GIS has the incredible capabilities that facilitate us in capturing, analyzing, and visualizing the IOV data.
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1. Introduction

Nelson Mandela stated that twentieth century will be remembered as a century marked by violence. Violence is a leading factor to cause death; around one million people aged from fifteen to forty-four years die every year due to violence and many others are injured [1]. World Health Organization (WHO) defines violence as a harmful act that is purposefully practiced for affecting an individual or a group health, wealth, or peace [2]. There are three wide types of violence: self-directed violence, interpersonal violence, and collective violence [3] out of which only collective violence is studied for this research. Collective violence takes place by a bigger group and it can be split up into three categories: social, political, and economic violence [1].

Violence risk mapping is a dire need of Pakistan with respect to accomplish and maintain security risks or threats. Pakistan ranked among the countries worst hit by plethora of crises in 2009; the total number of violence incidence happened in the year 2009 are 3816 claiming the lives of 12,632 persons and injuring 12,815 [4].

Pakistan Institute for Peace Studies (PIPS) has a comprehensive database on violent incidents. PIPS security reports are source of internal security in Pakistan as no official database is available in the country. The development of incidents database is the outcome of a meticulous monitoring process on every relevant incident in the country on a daily basis. PIPS compiles data from sources including newspapers, magazines, journals, field sources and screening of official records. More than thirty English and Urdu dailies, magazines, and journals, and various television news channels are monitored to update the database. Regional daily newspapers and weeklies from Peshawar, Quetta, Gilgit and Karachi are also monitored for details on incidents reported in the local media. Correspondents in provincial capitals are the primary source for PIPS to verify the media reports. In case of a major incident, PIPS teams consult the local administration and journalists for further detail. In case where PIPS finds it difficult to verify facts of a particular incident, it gives preference to the official statements in that regard [5].

Though, a huge database on violent incident is available at PIPS but this database is not geospatial. Geospatial database store each record with locational in-
formation with reference to earth. Without geospatial context, we cannot understand where and how violent events are occurring, nor can we rationalize why they are occurring. Geospatial database improves the ability of information and provide more effective situational awareness. Geographic Information System (GIS) can be used as an effective tool to manage and monitor violence incidents and related activities [6]. GIS is potentially powerful resource for many reasons including their ability to integrate data from various sources to produce new information, and their inherent visualization functions, which can promote creative problem solving and sound decisions with lasting, position impacts on people’s live [7] [8]. Mostly researchers consume GIS to pinpoint violence high risk areas [9].

In this study, the prime objective is to develop an IOV risk map at national level. In this regards, two available method; kernel density and getis-ord-gi*, and one new indexing method were used. Kernel density calculates a magnitude per unit area from point or polyline features by using a kernel function to fit a smoothly tapered surface to each point or polyline. Larger values of the radius parameter produce a more generalized density whereas smaller values show more detail [10] [11] [12] [13]. Getis-ord-gi* identifies statistically significant spatial clusters of high values (hot spots) and low values (cold spots) [14]. Indexing method describes that IOV risk is a function of three parameters, i.e. IOV frequency, IOV probability and IOV severity. “IOV frequency” tells that how many numbers of IOV occurred during specified time interval. “IOV severity” states about the consequences in term of mortality rate that how much loss would be in case if the IOV occurred. “IOV probability” describes about the chances of IOV occurrences. Many scholars believe that poor people more frequently engage in acts of violence [15] [16] [17] [18].

2. Research Methods
2.1. Study Area
The Selected study area for this research is located between 60 degrees east to 78 degrees east and 23 degrees north to 38 degrees north on the globe (Figure 1).

Islamabad is its capital since 1960, before it Karachi was the capital. Neighboring countries of Pakistan are India in East, China in North, Afghanistan and Iran in West and is bounded by 1046 km coastline along the Arabian Sea in the South. Disputed territory is excluded from the study area. According to 2017 census total population of Pakistan is approximately 20.77 crores [19]. Currently Pakistan has 8 major administrative divisions, i.e. Balochistan, Punjab, Sindh, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Gilgit Baltistan, Federally Administered Tribal Areas, Azad and Jammu Kashmir, and Islamabad Capital Territory.

2.2. Data Collection
2.2.1. IOV Data
PIPS IOV dataset was selected to carry out this study (Figure 2). Attributes of
The study area location.

PIPS IOV statistics (2005-2015).

The dataset were date, province, district, city, type, target, damage, injured, killed, responsibility, attack tactic, detail, and reference. In year 2010, total numbers of IOV were 3104 covering 16 categories of attack types (Figure 3). Where minimum number of IOV in a district was 0, maximum number of IOV in a district were 262, average number of IOV in a district were 21, while standard deviation was 45. There were 99 districts where IOV were occurred out of 151 districts in total. Spread of IOV 2010 is shown (Figure 4).
Figure 3. IOV categories (2010).

Figure 4. IOV spread map (2010).
2.2.2. Poverty Data

Poverty data was acquired from the Pakistan Institute of Development Economics (PIDE). The district wide poverty data was generated in 2013, which is based on Benazir Income Support Program-Poverty Scorecard Survey (BISP-PSS) that conducted in 2010 [20]. Poverty data of Pakistan have five classes of poverty; least poor, vulnerable, poor, very poor and extremely poor (Figure 5). There were 21 districts that have no data of poverty out of 151 districts of Pakistan. Whereas, 25 districts were in the category of least poor, 24 districts were in the category of vulnerable, 28 districts were in the category of poor, 31 districts were in the category of very poor and 22 districts were in the category of extremely poor.

2.2.3. Collateral Data

Administrative dataset including International, Provincial and District Boundaries shape-files of Pakistan were downloaded from the humanitarian community web portal (Figure 6).

2.3. Methodology

The development of IOV risk map has several key steps (Figure 7). For this study, adequate hardware with sufficient processing capacity is used.

Figure 5. Poverty classification map.
**Figure 6.** Administrative boundaries map.

**Figure 7.** Workflow diagram.
2.3.1. Data Processing

Poverty data was acquired in PDF format and then it was converted into MS Excel format. Whereas, IOV data was acquired in MS Excel format. To make both dataset importable in ArcGIS Environment, few actions were performed, i.e. removing of extra spaces and special characters, assigning column name length to 10 characters, formatting of date column as “Month, Year” and all numeric columns as number data type with 0 decimal places. Plus, all missing values were identified and filled.

Once data was processed in MS Excel, it was uploaded on Google sheet through personal Google account to geocode it. Google provide us geocoding service, which requires places names and returns us with latitude and longitude of each place. Furthermore, geocoded data was thoroughly checked to verify that each record is marked at right place.

All those locations which were wrongly geocoded were identified and then correct latitude and longitude of these records were found using contextual information and replaced accordingly.

2.3.2. IOV Risk Mapping

Each of three methods (Kernel density, Getis-Ord-Gi* and Indexing method) takes weighted points as an input rather than individual IOV events. For this purpose, IOV data was aggregated based on same location by using “collect event tool” in ESRI ArcMap. “Collect Event”, combines coincidence points and then adds a field to store the sum of all incidences at unique location.

After that, first IOV risk map was produced through kernel density method by using “radius of 40,000 meters”, “cell size of 1000 square meters” and “nearest neighbour as resampling process” in ESRI ArcMap. Second IOV risk map was produced through getis-ord-gi* by using “contiguity-edge-only” as “conceptualization of spatial relationship” in ESRI ArcMap.

Third IOV risk map was produced through indexing method. For this purpose; IOV frequency value against each district was generated through Table 1, and similarly IOV severity was generated through Table 2, and IOV probability was generated through Table 3. After that all three values of IOV frequency, IOV severity and IOV probability against each district were multiplied with each other

| Category     | Number of IOV per district | Value |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------|
| Improbable   | 0 to 1                     | 1     |
| Remote       | 2 to 12                    | 2     |
| Occasionally | 13 to 48                   | 3     |
| Frequently   | 49 to 105                  | 4     |
| Continuously | 106 to 262                 | 5     |
Table 2. Severity value for each district, calculated by classifying number of casualties happened in a district into 5 classes and assigning them a value from 1 to 5. Insignificant casualties happened in a district assigned a smaller value and substantial casualties happened in a district assigned a bigger value.

| Category   | Numbers of Causalities per District (Killed + Injured) | Value |
|------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Insignificant | 0 to 10                                                  | 1     |
| Minor    | 11 to 50                                                  | 2     |
| Moderate | 51 to 500                                                  | 3     |
| Major | 501 to 5000                                                  | 4     |
| Catastrophic | 5001 to 20,286                                              | 5     |

Table 3. Probability value for each district, derived from the five poverty classes of PSS-BISP. Each class assigned a value from 1 to 5. Insignificant poor class assigned a smaller value and substantial poor class assigned a bigger value.

| Category        | Poverty Classes | Value |
|-----------------|-----------------|-------|
| Rare            | Least Poor      | 1     |
| Unlikely        | Vulnerable      | 2     |
| Possible        | Poor            | 3     |
| Likely          | Very Poor       | 4     |
| Almost Certain  | Extremely Poor  | 5     |

and created an IOV risk index. IOV risk index was further classified into three categories through natural breaks; low risk (1 - 40), moderate risk (41 - 70), and high risk (71 - 125).

2.3.3. Validation

For this purpose, spatial overlay analysis was conducted between 2010 IOV risk maps and 2011 IOV map classified through natural breaks (Figure 8). Natural breaks identified 6 districts under high risk, 15 districts under medium risk and 125 districts under low risk areas.

3. Data Analysis and Results

IOV risk maps developed through getis-ord-gi* method, kernel density method and indexing method are shown in (Figures 9-11) respectively.

Comparison between 2010 IOV risk map developed through getis-ord-gi* method and 2011 IOV classified through natural breaks, revealed a lot of discriminations.

Getis-ord-gi* method did not identify Zhob, Mastung and Karachi as high-risk areas whereas wrongly identify Mianwali and Attock of Punjab Province as high risk areas, just because of the limitations of this method. This method considers the situation of adjacent areas also during processing of the target areas. Therefore, it missed Zhob, Mastung and Karachi because the adjacent areas of these were not at high risk. Similarly, it included Attock and Mianwali Districts because...
Figure 8. 2011 IOV classified through natural breaks.

Figure 9. 2010 IOV risk map through getis-ord-gi*.
Figure 10. 2010 IOV risk map through kernel density.

Figure 11. 2010 IOV risk map through indexing.
the adjacent areas were at high risk. This method identified 27 districts under high risk, 81 districts under medium risk and 38 districts under low risk areas.

Comparison between 2010 IOV risk map developed through kernel density method and 2011 IOV classified through natural breaks, showed resemblance between each other because this method considers the risk of neighboring areas during identifying the risk of target area, and then assign an average risk value to target area. This method identified 6 districts under high risk, 9 districts under medium risk and 131 districts under low risk areas.

Comparison between 2010 IOV risk map developed through indexing method and 2011 IOV classified through natural breaks, showed extra ordinary resemblance between each other, than kernel density method because this method considers more parameters. Getis-ord-gi* and kernel density methods only works with the frequency data of IOV to determine risk while indexing method also considers severity and probability data of IOV. Indexing method identified 7 districts under high risk, 11 districts under medium risk and 128 districts under low risk areas.

Furthermore, each district of 146 districts were checked to see the similarity of risk level identified for year 2010 through getis-ord-gi*, kernel density and indexing method with the risk level classified through natural breaks for year 2010 (Table 4). Getis-ord-gi* method predicted identical risk level in 85 districts, kernel density method predicted identical risk level in 130 districts, and indexing method predicted identical risk level in 137 districts with the accuracy of 58 percent, 89 percent and 93 percent respectively.

4. Conclusions

Indexing method is more appropriate to develop an IOV risk map because it considers more parameters of IOV. If severity and probability data of IOV is not available, then kernel density is most reliable method after indexing method. Getis-ord-gi* method is good for identifying clusters of low and high values, but not for the development of risk map.

GIS has the incredible capabilities to capture, analyze, and visualize the IOV data. So, key personnel from the security and law enforcement agencies should have been trained on the usage of GIS.

Table 4. Percentage of accuracy, derived through spatial overlay analysis between 2010 IOV risk maps developed through different methods and 2011 IOV classified through natural breaks map.

| Method            | Same Districts under | Total | Percentage of Accuracy |
|-------------------|----------------------|-------|------------------------|
|                   | High     | Medium | Low    |                       |
| Getis-ord-gi*     | 3         | 4      | 78     | 85                    | 58%       |
| Kernel density    | 4         | 5      | 121    | 130                   | 89%       |
| Indexing          | 4         | 9      | 124    | 137                   | 93%       |
Though, indexing method has identified IOV risk areas very efficiently by considering three parameters (frequency, severity and probability), there are many other socio-economic parameters that contribute to violence. So, in future by adding more contributing parameters results would be enhanced.
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