INTRODUCTION

Sarcopenia, defined as a loss of skeletal muscle mass and strength [1], is a well-known prognostic factor associated with poor prognosis in patients with various diseases [2,3]. Myosteatosis and fat deposition in the muscle are considered biomarkers of poor muscle quality [4]. Although several studies have reported the influence of myosteatosis on muscle strength and physical activity [5,6], as well as poor survival, further evidence is needed to assess the prognostic implications of myosteatosis in various diseases [7,8].

Objective: The clinical relevance of myosteatosis has not been well evaluated in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), although sarcopenia has been extensively researched. Therefore, we evaluated the prognostic value of muscle quality, including myosteatosis, in patients with resectable PDAC treated surgically.

Materials and Methods: We retrospectively evaluated 347 patients with resectable PDAC who underwent curative surgery (mean age ± standard deviation, 63.6 ± 9.6 years; 202 male). Automatic muscle segmentation was performed on preoperative computed tomography (CT) images using an artificial intelligence program. A single axial image of the portal phase at the inferior endplate level of the L3 vertebra was used for analysis in each patient. Sarcopenia was evaluated using the skeletal muscle index, calculated as the skeletal muscle area (SMA) divided by the height squared. The mean SMA attenuation was used to evaluate myosteatosis. Diagnostic cutoff values for sarcopenia and myosteatosis were devised using the Contal and O’Quigley methods, and patients were classified according to normal (nMT), sarcopenic (sMT), myosteatotic (mMT), or combined (cMT) muscle quality types. Multivariable Cox regression analyses were conducted to assess the effects of muscle type on the overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) after surgery.

Results: Eighty-four (24.2%), 73 (21.0%), 75 (21.6%), and 115 (33.1%) patients were classified as having nMT, sMT, mMT, and cMT, respectively. Compared to nMT, mMT and cMT were significantly associated with poorer OS, with hazard ratios (HRs) of 1.49 (95% confidence interval, 1.00–2.22) and 1.68 (1.16–2.43), respectively, while sMT was not (HR of 1.40 [0.94–2.10]). Only mMT was significantly associated with poorer RFS, with an HR of 1.59 (1.07–2.35), while sMT and cMT were not.

Conclusion: Myosteatosis was associated with poor OS and RFS in patients with resectable PDAC who underwent curative surgery.
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Computed tomography (CT) is a common, noninvasive method of muscle assessment that measures differences in radiodensity between muscle and other tissues [9]. Sarcopenia can be diagnosed through the quantitative measurement of muscle mass, which can be segmented on CT. Muscle quality assessment for the diagnosis of myosteatosis can also be conducted by measuring the radiodensity of a segmented muscle area, given the inverse linear relationship between radiodensity and the degree of fat deposition [10].

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a dismal disease with a 5-year survival rate as low as 6% [11]. It causes body composition changes and many patients develop muscle loss with disease progression [12,13]. Along with other malignancies [4], loss of muscle quality and quantity is associated with poor survival in patients with PDAC. Previous studies have reported the effects of preoperative sarcopenia and myosteatosis on overall survival (OS) and recurrence-free survival (RFS) in patients with PDAC undergoing curative-intent surgery [14-19].

Currently, the use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy in patients with advanced PDAC is increasing. The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) proposes that non-metastatic PDAC be classified as resectable, borderline resectable, or locally advanced according to tumor-vascular contact on pretreatment imaging [20]. Curative surgery without neoadjuvant treatment remains the standard treatment only in patients with resectable PDAC. In contrast to previous studies that included all patients undergoing surgery, we believe that the selective inclusion of only those patients receiving curative surgery according to the standard treatment options would allow for a more accurate evaluation of the association between preoperative muscle status and prognosis.

Therefore, we investigated the prognostic value of muscle quality, including myosteatosis, in patients with resectable PDAC who underwent curative surgery, using quantitative muscle measurements on preoperative CT.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This retrospective observational study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Asan Medical Center, which waived the requirement for informed consent owing to the retrospective study design (IRB No. 2020-1924).

**Patients**

Patients with PDAC admitted to our institution between January 2014 and January 2017 were retrospectively and consecutively enrolled as part of the study population in a previous study [21] evaluating the prognosis of PDAC according to the CT characteristics of the tumor. Patients meeting the following criteria were included: 1) resectable PDAC according to the NCCN criteria [20] and 2) curative-intent surgery without neoadjuvant treatment. Briefly, resectable PDAC was defined as PDAC with no arterial (celiac axis, superior mesenteric artery, or common hepatic artery) or tumor contact with the superior mesenteric or portal veins at < 180° without contour irregularity or thrombus [22].

Patients meeting the following criteria were excluded: 1) no pancreatic CT protocol before surgery, 2) palliative surgery or macroscopic residual tumor (R2) after surgery, 3) other coexisting malignancies within 5 years before PDAC diagnosis, and 4) insufficient clinical data.

**CT Protocol**

Multiphasic CT was performed using multidetector CT scanners (Discovery CT 750HD, GE Medical Systems and Somatom Definition AS+ or Definition Edge, Siemens), and the pancreatic CT protocol was performed according to the NCCN guidelines [20]. Unenhanced and biphasic contrast-enhanced images included the arterial phase (10 seconds after descending aorta enhancement at 100 Hounsfield unit (HU)) and portal venous phase (72 seconds after contrast administration), which were obtained after the intravenous administration of 150 mL of ioversol (Optiray 320; Guerbet) at 3 mL/s. Unenhanced axial images were reconstructed at a 5-mm thickness and 2.5–3.0 mm for the arterial and portal venous phases in the axial and coronal planes, respectively. The other scan parameters included tube voltages of 100 or 120 kVp, tube current of 200–400 mA with automatic exposure control, pitches of 0.6 or 1, and a field of view to fit.

**Evaluation of Muscle Quantity and Quality on CT**

Muscle quantity and quality were measured in a single slice of an axial CT image of the portal venous phase following the automatic selection of the CT slice at the inferior endplate level of the L3 vertebra (Fig. 1) [23]. All skeletal muscles (psosas, paraspinal, transversus abdominis, rectus abdominis, quadratus lumborum, internal oblique, and external oblique muscles) in the selected image were automatically segmented using a convolutional...
neural network (AID-UTM, iAID Inc.) with a Dice similarity coefficient of 0.96–0.97 [24].

**Clinicopathologic Data Collection**

Demographic and laboratory data relevant to patient prognosis (i.e., patient age, sex, height, weight, and cancer antigen 19-9 level) were collected from the electronic medical records and measured within 1 month before surgery. Surgical and pathological data, including the type of surgery, cancer stage according to the 8th edition of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) staging system (Supplementary Table 1) [25], tumor differentiation, and resection margin status (R0, negative margin vs. R1, microscopically positive margin) [26], were acquired. Adjuvant treatment, typically initiated 3–10 weeks after surgery, was also confirmed.

Data on PDAC recurrence events were collected from radiologic reports of follow-up contrast-enhanced CT scans, routinely acquired every 3 months for the first year and every 3–6 months thereafter. Data on death events were collected from the electronic medical records.

**Determination of Patients with Sarcopenia and Myosteatosis**

To identify patients with sarcopenia, we used the skeletal muscle index (SMI), calculated as the skeletal muscle area (SMA) divided by the height squared (cm²/m²) [4,27]. To identify patients with myosteatosis, we used the mean attenuation of the SMA (HU) [4].

Before determining the cutoff values for sarcopenia and myosteatosis, the patients were categorized into one of eight subgroups dichotomized by age (< 65 vs. ≥ 65 years), sex (male vs. female), and body mass index (BMI) (underweight or normal [BMI < 23 kg/m²] vs. overweight or obese [BMI ≥ 23 kg/m²]). The optimal cutoff values for sarcopenia and myosteatosis in each subgroup were separately derived using the outcome-based Contal and O’Quigley method [28], which is used to obtain survival-related cutoffs by calculating the maximum value of log-rank statistics. In our study, the cutoff values were determined based on the time to death.

**Survival Analysis according to Muscle Type**

The cutoff values for sarcopenia and myosteatosis were used to classify the patients into the following four muscle types: 1) normal muscle type (nMT), patients with neither sarcopenia nor myosteatosis, 2) sarcopenic muscle type (sMT), patients with sarcopenia but no myosteatosis, 3) myosteatotic muscle type (mMT), patients with myosteatosis but no sarcopenia, and 4) combined muscle type (cMT), patients with both sarcopenia and myosteatosis.

The primary outcome was OS, defined as the survival time between surgery and death. The secondary outcome was RFS, defined as the survival time between surgery and recurrence or death [29]. Patients without death or recurrence were excluded at the last follow-up visit. Kaplan–Meier survival curves for OS and RFS were plotted according to muscle type and the presence of sarcopenia and myosteatosis and compared using log-rank tests. The univariable and multivariable Cox proportional hazard regression analyses included muscle types and clinicopathological characteristics (i.e., serum cancer antigen 19-9 level, AJCC cancer stage, tumor differentiation, resection margin status, and adjuvant treatment), which are considered to be potentially associated with patient survival.

The associations between muscle type and clinicopathological characteristics were analyzed using
Pearson’s $\chi^2$ tests. The intervals between the surgery date and the first date of adjuvant treatment were analyzed according to muscle type using one-way analysis of variance.

Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$. SAS (version 9.4; SAS Institute), SPSS (version 21.0; IBM Corp.), and R (version 3.6.0; R Foundation for Statistical Computing) were used to perform statistical analyses.

RESULTS

Patient Characteristics

Among the 456 patients with resectable PDAC, 410 underwent successful curative surgery (Fig. 2). Sixty-three patients were excluded because of the absence of a pancreatic CT protocol before surgery (n = 38), palliative surgery or macroscopic residual tumor (n = 5), coexisting malignancy within 5 years (n = 18), and insufficient clinical data (n = 2). Finally, 347 patients (mean age ± standard deviation, 63.6 ± 9.6 years; 202 male) were included. The patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The median interval between CT and surgery was 8 days (range: 1–35 days). The AJCC tumor stage was IA or IB in 124 (35.7%) patients, IIA or IIB in 164 (47.3%) patients, and III in 59 (17.0%) patients. The tumor was well differentiated in 40 (11.5%) patients, moderately differentiated in 269 (77.5%) patients, and poorly differentiated or undifferentiated in 38 (11.0%) patients. The resection margins were R0 in 259 (74.6%) patients and R1 in 88 (25.4%) patients. Adjuvant treatment was administered to 226 patients (65.1%).

Table 1. Patient Characteristics

| Characteristics       | Values       |
|-----------------------|--------------|
| Age, year             | 63.6 ± 9.6   |
| Male:female           | 202:145      |
| Height, m             | 162.0 ± 8.6  |
| Body mass index, kg/m²| 23.2 ± 2.9   |
| Cancer antigen 19-9 level, U/mL*| 81 (22.2–322.6) |
| Tumor location        |              |
| Head                  | 216 (62.3)   |
| Body                  | 65 (18.7)    |
| Tail                  | 66 (19.0)    |
| AJCC tumor stage      |              |
| IA                    | 36 (10.4)    |
| IB                    | 88 (25.3)    |
| IIA                   | 17 (4.9)     |
| IIB                   | 147 (42.4)   |
| III                   | 59 (17.0)    |
| Tumor differentiation |              |
| Well-differentiated   | 40 (11.5)    |
| Moderately differentiated | 269 (77.5) |
| Poorly differentiated or undifferentiated | 38 (11.0) |
| Resection margin      |              |
| R0                    | 259 (74.6)   |
| R1                    | 88 (25.4)    |
| Adjuvant treatment    | 226 (65.1)   |

Table 2. Cutoff Values for Sarcopenia (SMI) and Myosteatosis (Mean Attenuation of SMA) according to Using the Contal and O’Quigley Methods

| Body Mass Index         | Age (Year) | SMI (cm²/m²) | Mean Attenuation of SMA (HU) |
|------------------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
|                        |            | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| Underweight or normal  | < 65       | 45.25| 37.39  | 51.71| 49.05  |
|                        | ≥ 65       | 48.86| 38.85  | 44.73| 48.82  |
| Overweight or obese    | < 65       | 54.89| 44.90  | 49.72| 40.33  |
|                        | ≥ 65       | 49.66| 49.84  | 49.36| 43.89  |

HU = Hounsfield unit, SMA = skeletal muscle area, SMI = skeletal muscle index

Table 2. Cutoff Values for Sarcopenia (SMI) and Myosteatosis (Mean Attenuation of SMA) according to Using the Contal and O’Quigley Methods

Fig. 2. Flow diagram of the study patients.
Fig. 3. Kaplan–Meier curves of the OS according to the presence of sarcopenia (A) and myosteatosis (B) and according to the muscle types (C).

A-C. The Kaplan–Meier curves indicate a worse OS in patients with sarcopenia compared to the OS in patients without sarcopenia (median, 28.7 vs. 38.1 months; \( p = 0.010 \); A) and in patients with myosteatosis compared to the OS in patients without myosteatosis (median, 28.0 vs. 36.5 months; \( p = 0.003 \); B). The Kaplan–Meier curves differed significantly according to muscle type (\( p = 0.003 \); C). OS = overall survival.
Fig. 4. Kaplan–Meier curves of the RFS according to the presence of sarcopenia (A) and myosteatosis (B) and muscle types (C).

A-C. RFS and sarcopenia showed no significant association (median, 11.5 vs. 13.8 months; \( p = 0.110 \); A). In contrast, the Kaplan–Meier curves showed a worse RFS in patients with myosteatosis compared to that in patients without myosteatosis (median, 11.3 vs. 16.0 months; \( p = 0.038 \); B). Although the Kaplan–Meier curves did not differ significantly according to muscle type (\( p = 0.079 \); C), normal muscle type was associated with a better RFS compared to that in the other muscle types (median RFS: 17.6 months for normal muscle type vs. 14.0 months for sarcopenic muscle type, 11.3 months for myosteatotic muscle type, and 11.3 months for combined muscle type). RFS = recurrence-free survival.
Muscle Measurement and Determination of Sarcopenia and Myosteatosis

The mean SMA and SMI were 124.0 ± 27.2 cm² and 48.9 ± 7.6 cm²/m², respectively. The mean value of the mean attenuation of SMA was 46.3 ± 8.1 HU.

The cutoff values for sarcopenia and myosteatosis in each subgroup are summarized in Table 2. Based on the cutoff values, 188 (54.2%) patients were diagnosed with sarcopenia and 190 (54.8%) with myosteatosis. Regarding muscle types, 84 (24.2%), 73 (21.0%), 75 (21.6%), and 115 (33.1%) patients were classified as nMT, sMT, mMT, and cMT, respectively.

Univariable Survival Analysis

A total of 247 (71.2%) patients died during follow-up, with a median OS of 31.8 months (range, 1.4–84.8 months). The Kaplan–Meier curves showed a worse OS in patients with sarcopenia compared to that in patients without sarcopenia (median, 28.7 vs. 38.1 months; p = 0.010) (Fig. 3A) and in patients with myosteatosis compared to patients without myosteatosis (median, 28.0 vs. 36.5 months; p = 0.003) (Fig. 3B). The Kaplan–Meier curves also revealed a significant difference between muscle types (p = 0.003) (Fig. 3C).

Tumor recurrence occurred in 238 (68.6%) patients, with a median RFS of 12.3 months (range, 0.1–82.9 months). RFS and sarcopenia were not significantly associated (median, 11.5 vs. 13.8 months; p = 0.110) (Fig. 4A). In contrast, the Kaplan–Meier curves showed a worse RFS in patients with myosteatosis compared to patients without myosteatosis (median, 11.3 vs. 16.0 months; p = 0.038) (Fig. 4B). Although the Kaplan–Meier curves did not differ significantly according to muscle type (p = 0.079) (Fig. 4C), nMT tended to be associated with a better RFS compared to that in the other muscle types (median RFS: 17.6 months for nMT vs. 14.0 months for sMT, 11.3 months for mMT, and 11.3 months for cMT).

Multivariable Survival Analysis

Table 3 summarizes the results of the univariable and multivariable Cox proportional analyses of OS and RFS according to the muscle types and clinicopathologic characteristics (also see the representative cases in Supplementary Figs. 1, 2). In the univariable analysis, mMT (hazard ratio [HR], 1.49; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.01–2.21; p = 0.046) and cMT (HR, 1.92; 95% CI, 1.35–2.74; p < 0.001) were associated with a significantly
worse OS compared to nMT. In the multivariable analysis, mMT (HR, 1.49; 95% CI, 1.00–2.22; \( p = 0.0496 \)) and cMT (HR, 1.68; 95% CI, 1.16–2.43; \( p = 0.006 \)) were associated with significantly worse OS compared to nMT after adjusting for clinicopathologic characteristics. AJCC tumor stage (\( p < 0.001 \)), tumor differentiation (\( p < 0.001 \)), and adjuvant treatment (\( p < 0.001 \)) were significantly associated with OS.

Meanwhile, the univariable analysis indicated that mMT (HR, 1.49; 95% CI, 1.02–2.18; \( p = 0.040 \)) and cMT (HR, 1.56; 95% CI, 1.09–2.22; \( p = 0.014 \)) were related to a significantly worse RFS compared to nMT. In the multivariable analysis, mMT was associated with a significantly worse RFS compared to nMT (HR, 1.59; 95% CI, 1.07–2.35; \( p = 0.020 \)). However, sMT (HR, 1.43; 95% CI, 0.96–2.13; \( p = 0.076 \)) and cMT (HR, 1.35; 95% CI, 0.93–1.96; \( p = 0.116 \)) were not related to RFS. In addition, AJCC tumor stage (\( p < 0.001 \)), tumor differentiation (\( p < 0.001 \)), resection margins (\( p = 0.043 \)), and adjuvant treatment (\( p < 0.001 \)) were significantly associated with RFS.

**Association between Muscle Types and Clinicopathologic Characteristics**

Table 4 summarizes the associations between muscle type and clinicopathologic characteristics, including AJCC tumor stage (\( p = 0.971 \)), tumor differentiation (\( p = 0.694 \)), and resection margin (\( p = 0.131 \)), were not significantly associated with muscle type.

### DISCUSSION

The results of our study revealed that muscle types with myosteatosis, regardless of the presence of sarcopenia (i.e., mMT and cMT), were linked to poor OS in patients with resectable PDAC (HR vs. nMT, mMT = 1.49 [95% CI, 1.00–2.22] and cMT = 1.68 [95% CI, 1.16–2.43]). In addition, mMT and cMT were associated with RFS in the univariable analysis; however, only mMT was associated with a significantly higher tumor recurrence rate than nMT in the multivariable analysis (HR, 1.59; 95% CI, 1.07–2.35).

Our results indicated that myosteatosis is a disease entity distinct from sarcopenia and plays an independent prognostic role. This finding is supported by previous studies reporting that myosteatosis, but not sarcopenia, was associated with poor survival in patients with pancreatic or periampullary cancers [30,31]. This result could be attributed to the different mechanisms of sarcopenia and myosteatosis that contribute to nutritional and immunologic disturbances [32]. Unlike sarcopenia, myosteatosis (fat deposition within muscles) leads to the accumulation of lipid intermediates (i.e., diacylglycerol and ceramide) and insulin resistance [8]. It is also associated with increased systemic inflammation and oxidative stress [33,34]. Indeed, myosteatosis is associated with elevated levels of serum inflammatory markers (albumin, white blood cell count, neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio, and...
C-reactive protein) [30,31]. In addition, Stretch et al. [35] reported that myosteatosis and sarcopenia were associated with different body compositions, gene expression, and metabolites.

Our results showing a significant association between adjuvant treatment and muscle type suggested that patients with impaired muscle function were less likely to receive adjuvant treatment compared to patients with normal muscle function owing to their poor postoperative health status, which may have significantly contributed to their poor survival [36]. Consistent with our results, a previous study reported an association between myosteatosis and incomplete adjuvant chemotherapy [37]. Although we could not investigate this further in our retrospective study, a higher risk of postoperative complications and longer hospital stay may also contribute to poor outcomes in patients with sarcopenia and myosteatosis [8,38].

Several reports have shown the effect of preoperative sarcopenia or myosteatosis on the survival of patients with PDAC [14-19]; however, their findings were contradictory and contrary to our results. For example, some studies showed that sarcopenia and myosteatosis were associated with poor survival [14,17,19], while other studies reported that sarcopenia only was a prognostic factor for poor survival [15,16]. The variation in study results may be attributed to differences in study populations. Our study showed the effect of preoperative sarcopenia and myosteatosis in selected patients with resectable PDAC (according to the NCCN guidelines) requiring curative surgery without neoadjuvant treatment and, therefore, has clinical utility. Moreover, variations in the methods used for muscle measurement and the cutoff values for sarcopenia and myosteatosis may have contributed to the difference between our results and those of previous studies. Unlike previous studies, in which the cutoffs were arbitrarily determined using the lowest tertile [16,18] or survival outcomes at fixed time points [15,17], our results revealed the prognostic value of sarcopenia and myosteatosis when determining the optimal cutoffs in consideration of patient survival based on the Contal and O’Quigley methods.

Our results indicated that the preoperative diagnosis of myosteatosis may be crucial for risk stratification after surgery and for determining management requirements [14,39]. For example, resistance training is the most important element of exercise programs [40,41]. In addition, nutritional support, including vitamin D, β-hydroxy β-methyl butyrate, and omega-3 fatty acids, improves muscle mass and quality in cancer patients [41,42].

Our study has several limitations. First, the reason for the lack of any significant association between cMT and poor RFS in the multivariable analysis (HR 1.35, 95% CI 0.93–1.96, \( p = 0.116 \)) is unclear. Considering the low prevalence of adjuvant treatment in cMT, the presence of adjuvant treatment may have been a confounder in the statistical analysis, undermining the association between cMT and poor RFS. Second, we calculated the cutoff values for the diagnosis of sarcopenia and myosteatosis based on a relatively small number of patients from a single institution. Therefore, validation and generalization of these cutoff values require further investigation across various somatotypes and ethnicities. Third, we measured muscle quantity and quality at a single time point on preoperative CT images. The effect of longitudinal interval changes in the muscle after surgery may also be an important prognostic factor for survival; however, data on this were not available in our study because postoperative imaging was conducted at various time points and using various CT protocols. Finally, unlike the pooled results from previous meta-analyses [43,44], margin status was not identified as an independent factor for OS. However, our results are understandable because the prognostic value of margin status was largely affected by the study design, including the definition of R0, the anatomic location of the tumor, the location of the positive margin, and the presence of adjuvant treatment [43,45-47].

In conclusion, preoperative myosteatosis was associated with poor OS and RFS after curative surgery in patients with resectable PDAC. Preoperative assessment of muscle quality may be valuable for treatment planning and optimization of nutritional support and physical therapy.

**Supplement**

The Supplement is available with this article at https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2022.0277.

**Availability of Data and Material**

The datasets generated or analyzed during the study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

**Conflicts of Interest**

Seung Soo Lee who is on the editorial board of the *Korean Journal of Radiology* was not involved in the editorial
evaluation or decision to publish this article. All remaining authors have declared no conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions
Conceptualization: Dong Wook Kim, Kyung Won Kim, Seung Soo Lee. Data curation: Dong Wook Kim, Seung Soo Lee. Formal analysis: Dong Wook Kim, Hyemin Ahn, Hwa Jung Kim. Funding acquisition: Kyung Won Kim, Yousun Ko. Investigation: Dong Wook Kim, Hyemin Ahn. Methodology: Dong Wook Kim, Hyemin Ahn, Kyung Won Kim, Hwa Jung Kim. Project administration: Kyung Won Kim. Resources: Kyung Won Kim. Software: Taeyong Park, Jeongjin Lee. Supervision: Kyung Won Kim. Visualization: Dong Wook Kim, Hyemin Ahn. Writing—original draft: Dong Wook Kim, Hyemin Ahn. Writing—review & editing: Dong Wook Kim, Hyemin Ahn, Kyung Won Kim.

ORCID iDs
Dong Wook Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7887-657X
Hyemin Ahn https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8151-8949
Kyung Won Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1532-5970
Seung Soo Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5518-2249
Hwa Jung Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2227-6775
Yousun Ko https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2181-9555
Taeyong Park https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7523-8975
Jeongjin Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9676-271X

Funding Statement
This study was supported by a grant from the Korea Health Technology R&D Project through the Korea Health Industry Development Institute (KHIDI), funded by the Ministry of Health & Welfare, Republic of Korea (grant number: HI18C1216).

REFERENCES
1. Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Bahat G, Bauer J, Boirie Y, Bruyère O, Cederholm T, et al. Sarcopenia: revised European consensus on definition and diagnosis. Age Ageing 2019;48:16-31
2. Shachar SS, Williams GR, Muss HB, Nishijima TF. Prognostic value of sarcopenia in adults with solid tumours: a meta-analysis and systematic review. Eur J Cancer 2016;57:58-67
3. Beaudart C, Zaaria M, Pasleau F, Reginster JY, Bruyère O. Health outcomes of sarcopenia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One 2017;12:e0169548
4. Martin L, Birdsell L, Macdonald N, Reiman T, Clandinin MT, McCargar L, et al. Cancer cachexia in the age of obesity: skeletal muscle depletion is a powerful prognostic factor, independent of body mass index. J Clin Oncol 2013;31:1539-1547
5. Marcus RL, Addison O, Kidde JP, Dibble LE, Lastayo PC. Skeletal muscle fat infiltration: impact of age, inactivity, and exercise. J Nutr Health Aging 2010;14:362-366
6. Delmonico MJ, Harris TB, Visser M, Park SW, Conroy MB, Velasquez-Meyer P, et al. Longitudinal study of muscle strength, quality, and adipose tissue infiltration. Am J Clin Nutr 2009;90:1579-1585
7. Aubrey J, Esfandiari N, Baracos VE, Buteau FA, Frenette J, Putman CT, et al. Measurement of skeletal muscle radiation attenuation and basis of its biological variation. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 2014;210:489-497
8. Ahn H, Kim DW, Ko Y, Ha J, Shin YB, Lee J, et al. Updated systematic review and meta-analysis on diagnostic issues and the prognostic impact of myosteatosis: a new paradigm beyond sarcopenia. Ageing Res Rev 2021;70:101398
9. Tosato M, Marzetti E, Cesari M, Savera G, Miller RR, Bernabei R, et al. Measurement of muscle mass in sarcopenia: from imaging to biochemical markers. Aging Clin Exp Res 2017;29:19-27
10. Goodpaster BH, Kelley DE, Thaete FL, He J, Ross R. Skeletal muscle attenuation determined by computed tomography is associated with skeletal muscle lipid content. J Appl Physiol (1985) 2000;89:104-110
11. Khorana AA, Mangu PB, Berlin J, Engebretson A, Hong TS, Maitra A, et al. Potentially curable pancreatic cancer: American Society of Clinical Oncology clinical practice guideline. J Clin Oncol 2016;34:2541-2556
12. Wigmore SJ, Plester CE, Richardson RA, Fearon KC. Changes in nutritional status associated with unrespectable pancreatic cancer. Br J Cancer 1997;75:106-109
13. Fearon KC, Voss AC, Hustead DS; Cancer Cachexia Study Group. Definition of cancer cachexia: effect of weight loss, reduced food intake, and systemic inflammation on functional status and prognosis. Am J Clin Nutr 2006;83:1345-1350
14. Okumura S, Kaido T, Hamaguchi Y, Fujimoto Y, Masui T, Mizumoto M, et al. Impact of preoperative quality as well as quantity of skeletal muscle on survival after resection of pancreatic cancer. Surgery 2015;157:1088-1098
15. Peng YC, Wu CH, Tien YW, Lu TP, Wang YH, Chen BB. Preoperative sarcopenia is associated with poor overall survival in pancreatic cancer patients following pancreaticoduodenectomy. Eur Radiol 2021;31:2472-2481
16. Choi MH, Yoon SB, Lee K, Song M, Lee IS, Lee MA, et al.
Prognostic Value of Myosteatosis in Pancreatic Cancer

Preoperative sarcopenia and post-operative accelerated muscle loss negatively impact survival after resection of pancreatic cancer. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2018;9:326-334

17. Okumura S, Kaido T, Hamaguchi Y, Kobayashi A, Shirai H, Yao S, et al. Visceral adiposity and sarcopenic visceral obesity are associated with poor prognosis after resection of pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2017;24:3732-3740

18. Peng P, Hyder O, Firoozmand A, Kneuertz P, Schulick RD, Huang D, et al. Impact of sarcopenia on outcomes following resection of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2012;16:1478-1486

19. Sugimoto M, Farnell MB, Nagorney DM, Kendrick ML, Truty MJ, Smoot RL, et al. Decreased skeletal muscle volume is a predictive factor for poorer survival in patients undergoing surgical resection for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2018;22:831-839

20. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. Pancreatic adenocarcinoma, version 2. 2021, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. NCCN.org Web site. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/pancreatic.pdf. Accessed September 15, 2021

21. Kim DW, Lee SS, Kim SO, Kim JH, Kim HJ, Byun JH, et al. Estimating recurrence after upfront surgery in patients with resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma by using pancreatic CT: development and validation of a risk score. *Radiology* 2020;296:541-551

22. Al-Hawary MM, Francis IR, Chari ST, Fishman EK, Hough DM, Lu DS, et al. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma radiology reporting template: consensus statement of the Society of Abdominal Radiology and the American Pancreatic Association. *Radiology* 2014;270:248-260

23. Ha J, Park T, Kim HK, Shin Y, Ko Y, Kim DW, et al. Development of a fully automatic deep learning system for L3 selection and body composition assessment on computed tomography. *Sci Rep* 2021;11:21656

24. Park HJ, Shin Y, Park J, Kim H, Lee JS, Seo DW, et al. Development and validation of a deep learning system for segmentation of abdominal muscle and fat on computed tomography. *Korean J Radiol* 2020;21:88-100

25. Amin MB, Greene FL, Edge SB, Compton CC, Gershenson JE, Brokland RK, et al. The eighth edition AJCC cancer staging manual: continuing to build a bridge from a population-based to a more "personalized" approach to cancer staging. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2017;67:93-99

26. Campbell F, Foulis A, Verbeke C. Dataset for the histopathological reporting of carcinomas of the pancreas, ampulla of Vater and common bile duct. London: The Royal College of Pathologists, 2017

27. Kim EH, Kim KW, Shin Y, Lee J, Ko Y, Kim YJ, et al. Reference data and T-scores of lumbar skeletal muscle area and its skeletal muscle indices measured by CT scan in a healthy Korean population. *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2021;76:265-271

28. Contal C, O’Quigley J. An application of changepoint methods in studying the effect of age on survival in breast cancer. *Comput Stat Data Anal* 1999;30:253-270

29. Bonnetain F, Bonsing B, Conroy T, Dousseau A, Glimelius B, Haustermans K, et al. Guidelines for time-to-event endpoint definitions in trials for pancreatic cancer. Results of the DATECAN initiative (definition for the assessment of time-to-event endpoints in CANcer trials). *Eur J Cancer* 2014;50:2983-2993

30. Rollins KE, Tewari N, Ackner A, Awwad A, Madhusudan S, Macdonald IA, et al. The impact of sarcopenia and myosteatosis on outcomes of resectable pancreatic cancer or distal cholangiocarcinoma. *Clin Nutr* 2016;35:1103-1109

31. van Dijk DP, Bakens MJ, Coolsem MM, Rensen SS, van Dam RM, Bours MJ, et al. Low skeletal muscle radiation attenuation and visceral adiposity are associated with overall survival and surgical site infections in patients with pancreatic cancer. *J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle* 2017;8:317-326

32. Lutz CT, Quinn LS. Sarcopenia, obesity, and natural killer cell immune senescence in aging: altered cytokine levels as a common mechanism. *Aging (Albany NY)* 2012;4:535-546

33. Malietzis G, Johns N, Al-Hassi HO, Knight SC, Kennedy RH, Fearon KC, et al. Low muscularity and myosteatosis is related to the host systemic inflammatory response in patients undergoing surgery for colorectal cancer. *Ann Surg* 2016;263:320-325

34. Martin HL, Ohara K, Kiberu A, Van Hagen T, Davidson A, Khattak MA. Prognostic value of systemic inflammation-based markers in advanced pancreatic cancer. *Intern Med J* 2014;44:676-682

35. Stretch C, Aubin JM, Mickiewicz B, Leugner D, Al-Manasra T, Tobola E, et al. Sarcopenia and myosteatosis are accompanied by distinct biological profiles in patients with pancreatic and periampullary adenocarcinomas. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0196235

36. Conroy T, Hammel P, Hebbar M, Ben Abdelghani M, Wei AC, Raoul JL, et al. FOLFIRINOX or gemcitabine as adjuvant therapy for pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2018;379:2395-2406

37. Akahori T, Sho M, Kinoshita S, Nagai M, Nishiwada S, Tanaka T, et al. Prognostic significance of muscle attenuation or distal cholangiocarcinoma. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015;22:2975-2982

38. Joglekar S, Asghar A, Mott SL, Johnson BE, Button AM, Clark E, et al. Sarcopenia is an independent predictor of complications following pancreatectomy for adenocarcinoma. *J Surg Oncol* 2015;111:771-775

39. Mayo NE, Feldman L, Scott S, Zavorsky G, Kim DJ, Charlebois P, et al. Impact of preoperative change in physical function on postoperative recovery: argument supporting prehabilitation for colorectal surgery. *Surgery* 2011;150:505-514

40. Adams SC, Segal RJ, McKenzie DC, Vallerand JR, Morielli AR, Mackey JR, et al. Impact of resistance and aerobic exercise on sarcopenia and dynapenia in breast cancer patients receiving adjuvant chemotherapy: a multicenter randomized controlled...
41. Davis MP, Panikkar R. Sarcopenia associated with chemotherapy and targeted agents for cancer therapy. *Ann Palliat Med* 2019;8:86-101

42. Chen LK, Woo J, Assantachai P, Auyeung TW, Chou MY, Iijima K, et al. Asian Working Group for sarcopenia: 2019 consensus update on sarcopenia diagnosis and treatment. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2020;21:300-307.e2

43. Demir IE, Jäger C, Schlitter AM, Konukiewitz B, Stecher L, Schorn S, et al. R0 versus R1 resection matters after pancreaticoduodenectomy, and less after distal or total pancreatectomy for pancreatic cancer. *Ann Surg* 2018;268:1058-1068

44. Leonhardt CS, Niesen W, Kalkum E, Klotz R, Hank T, Büchler MW, et al. Prognostic relevance of the revised R status definition in pancreatic cancer: meta-analysis. *BJS Open* 2022;6:zrac010

45. Delpero JR, Jeune F, Bachellier P, Regenet N, Le Treut YP, Paye F, et al. Prognostic value of resection margin involvement after pancreaticoduodenectomy for ductal adenocarcinoma: updates from a French prospective multicenter study. *Ann Surg* 2017;266:787-796

46. Ghaneh P, Kleeff J, Halloran CM, Raraty M, Jackson R, Melling J, et al. The impact of positive resection margins on survival and recurrence following resection and adjuvant chemotherapy for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2019;269:520-529

47. Strobel O, Hank T, Hinz U, Bergmann F, Schneider L, Springfeld C, et al. Pancreatic cancer surgery: the new R-status counts. *Ann Surg* 2017;265:565-573