Efficacy of amaranth sorghum grains porridge in rehabilitating moderately acute malnourished children in a low-resource setting in Kenya: A randomized controlled trial
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Abstract

In Kenya, wasting levels among children under five years reduced between 2010 (7%) and 2014 (4%), but the number of wasted children is still high. Thin porridge, mainly from cereals and starchy tubers is a popular complementary food, though inadequate for children’s nutritional requirements. It is low in energy, nutrient density and high in antinutrients. Traditionally, fortified blended foods such as corn-soy blend has been used to treat moderately acutely malnourished (MAM) children with limited effectiveness due to its low nutritive profile and relatively high level of anti-nutrients. Therefore nutrient-dense complementary foods are needed. This study aimed to determine the efficacy of amaranth sorghum grains product in rehabilitating MAM children. Villages in Kiandutu slums, were randomly assigned to two study groups; Treatment (TG) and control (CG). Mothers and their moderately malnourished children (6-23 months old) were recruited at a health centre and assigned to groups depending on their village of residence. Children in TG received germinated amaranth sorghum grains flour, those in CG received a blend of sorghum and maize grains flour, for a maximum period of 6 months. Each month, children’s morbidity status and anthropometric measurements were taken. The primary outcome was nutritional status judged by wasting. At baseline the groups’ characteristics were similar. At month 5, 35.3% and 12.9% of children in CG and TG were wasted respectively (Relative Risk [RR] RR=1.35 (95% CI: 1.03-1.77; p=0.030) and at month 6, 62.1% and 15.6% of the children CG and TG were wasted respectively RR=2.23 (95% CI: 37-3.61; p<0.001). Germinated amaranth sorghum grains may offer an alternative to treatment of MAM children. Nonetheless, it took longer than WHO recommended 90 days for rehabilitation of MAM children.

Introduction

In Kenya 4%, 26% and 11% of children under five are wasted, stunted and underweight respectively [1]. Poor quality complementary foods with low nutrient density and inappropriate feeding practices are among the major causes of malnutrition in young children [2]. In sub-Saharan Africa moderately wasted children are treated with corn-soy blended flour (CSB) through targeted supplementary feeding programmes. However there have been doubts on the effectiveness of CSB in treating malnutrition [3,4].

Ready to use therapeutic food (RUTF) has also been used to treat moderate acute malnutrition (MAM) [3,5]. However, milk in RUTF (25-35% w/w) makes them too expensive for sustainable use in resource-poor settings. Also, the high nutrient concentration in RUTF may make them unsafe for MAM children. It has been suggested that lipid-based ready to use foods could prevent/treat MAM [4]. There is concern that MAM children may be susceptible to immunological reactions since their immune system is intact [4]. Therefore, there is a need for alternative nutrient dense foods that are affordable by most families that could prevent undernourishment.

Amaranth grain has a higher nutrient content compared to staple cereals [6,7]. It was complemented with sorghum in this study. Sorghum is drought tolerant and its nutritional profile is comparable to that of maize [8,9]. The study, aimed at evaluating the efficacy of amaranth sorghum grains product in improving the nutritional status of MAM children. It determined the study population’s demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, compared morbidity prevalence among the subjects during the study period and established the efficacy of amaranth sorghum grains product in rehabilitating MAM children.
Randomization

Seven sections in Kiandutu were randomized into either Treatment Group (TG) or Control groups (CG). Sections rather than children were randomized to prevent cross-contamination of information and experiences by placing neighbors in different groups. Randomization ensured that subjects on different treatments were comparable with respect to baseline characteristics, as well as known and unknown risk factors. This reduced the possibility of confounding which could occur in analytical studies.

Recruitment of study participants and blinding of the randomization process

MAM children were identified daily by the investigator assisted by the nursing officer and the nutrition officer in-charge of Kiandutu health centre (KHC), at Kiandutu slums. The study purpose was explained to the sister in-charge and the nutritionist at KHC, the research assistants, the nutrition educators and mothers of eligible children without revealing the study hypotheses. They were told the research aimed to provide food and nutrition education to mothers with MAM children. Mothers were then recruited upon their informed written consent and followed to their homes.

Target population

These were MAM children aged 6 to 23 months. At the time of the study, the Kenya Demographic Health Survey of 2008-2009 indicated that, the highest rates of malnutrition are experienced by children in this age category [12].

Inclusion criteria

- Children between the age of 6 to 23 months
- Children who were already on complementary feeding
- Children with moderate acute malnutrition (MAM) (weight -for –height Z scores less than -2 to greater than -3 based on WHO Child Growth Standards, 2006)
- Children with mothers/parents who were willing to participate in the study

Exclusion criteria

- Children with severe acute malnutrition (weight -for –height Z scores less than -3 Z scores and above -2 Z scores). The SAM children were referred to KHC
- Children who were well nourished (weight-for–height Z-scores greater than -2 and less than +2 Z scores for the well nourished)
- Children whose parents did not intend to live in Kiandutu slums for a period of at least 6 months at the time of recruitment
- Children whose parents or caretakers did not allow home visits
- Children who were obtaining nutrition support from elsewhere

Sampling techniques and sample size determination

According to KDHS (2008-2009), in urban areas in Kenya 12.6% of children under five years were underweight, 4.6% were wasted while 21.6% were stunted. So, an event rate of 20.0% was estimated in the controls; a 7.4% adjustment was made to cover the geographical and resource availability due to differences in the growth of towns. Then an estimate of 2.5% event rate in the TG was made based on absolute change (an absolute reduction of 17.5% in the rate of acute malnutrition) [13]. The sample size was calculated using a formula by Donner [14] where n per group was:

\[ n = \frac{2pq(z_\alpha + z_\beta)^2}{(p_1 - p_0)^2} \]

where, \( p = \frac{1}{2}(p_1 + p_0) \)
\( q = 1 - p \),
\( p_1 = \text{event rate in the controls} \) and \( p_0 = \text{event rate in the treatment group} \).

Corresponding to \( \alpha = 0.05 \) (two sided) and \( \beta = 0.20 \), \( z_\alpha = 1.96 \) and \( z_\beta = 0.84 \).

Therefore, the required sample size was 50 subjects per study group. To cater for attrition, the sample size was inflated by 46% so that 73 children were recruited per group.

Description of the intervention after baseline done for six months

Mothers of children in the CG received nutrition education and 2 kg of flour made from pre-cooked maize and sorghum grains (Figure 1). A composite of maize and sorghum flours porridge is a common complementary food in Kenya. The main focus of the nutrition education was; the importance of timely introduction of complementary feeding at the age of 6 months, diet diversity, frequency of feeding and appropriate hygiene practices in the preparation and storage of complementary foods [15,16]. The first nutrition education session was conducted after the baseline interview on individual basis (Figure 1) and included a demonstration on the preparation of porridge using maize sorghum flour. Subsequent nutrition education sessions were given on a monthly basis for emphasis. In addition, information on the quantity and frequency at which it should be given were re-emphasized. The mothers were advised to feed the children on 600 ml (2 cups, ratio of flour to water 1:8) of porridge made from maize sorghum flour on a daily basis so that the amounts given were similar to given to the TG. This would give the children 266.8 kcal of energy per day. During these sessions, mothers were encouraged to raise any concerns on infant feeding including any challenges related to the use of maize sorghum porridge for their children. The maize sorghum flour (2kg) was distributed bi-weekly every month for six months.

Mothers of children in TG group received extruded amaranth sorghum grains flour at the time of recruitment into the study and a demonstration on preparation of the porridge. The amaranth sorghum grains flour’s efficacy in rehabilitating MAM was being tested. Okoth et al. [17] reported that amaranth sorghum grains porridge is nutrient dense. It was accompanied with nutrition education; whose content was similar to that given to the mothers of children in the CG. Mothers with children aged 12 to 23 months were asked to feed their children 600 ml of porridge (2 cups of 300 ml with flour to water ratio of 1:2) on a daily basis. This would give the children 1000 kcal of energy and 28.8 g of protein per day. Mothers with children aged 6 to 12 months were asked to feed their children 700 ml of porridge (3 cups of 233.3 ml with flour to water ratio of 1:4) daily. This would give children 700 kcal of energy and 20.2 g of protein. The quantities of porridge to be given were based on the recommended daily allowance for energy based on age group. The food (2 kgs) was distributed bi-weekly (Figure 1) for six months.

Determination of study outcome (Nutrition status)

Anthropometric measurements were taken using mid upper arm circumference tapes (MUAC), Salter scale (weight) and length/height measuring board (Length/height).
Methods for collection of data

Interviews

A total of seven interviews were administered, at baseline and subsequent monthly interviews for 6 months, to mothers/caregivers in the study groups as shown in Figure 2. Interviews were conducted at the maternal home to provide uninterrupted privacy. The interviews lasted 20 to 30 minutes. Working mothers were visited over weekends. Five questionnaires were developed and validated to collect information from mothers/caregivers. They were designed to solicit information as follows:

- Baseline questionnaires were administered to collect children’s biodata, demo socio-economic characteristics of caregivers and households, morbidity status (sick or not in the previous two weeks) and dietary intake.
- Subsequent monthly interviews on children’s morbidity status and dietary intake were done.
- Monthly anthropometric measurements were also taken.

Anthropometry

Children’s MUAC, weight and length were taken as described by FSAU, 2003. Weight and length measurements were used to determine nutritional status based on weight-for-height index. Anthropometric measurements were taken at baseline and on a monthly basis for six months (Figures 1). Length/height and weight of the children under study were taken using length/height measuring board and a Salter scale respectively. Weight and length were each taken thrice to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively and the average taken as the final reading. Recumbent length was taken for children less than two years or those whose length was shorter than 85cm. For those whose length was greater than 85 cm, height was measured (FSAU, 2003).

Pretesting of the study instruments

The data collection instruments were pre-tested prior to the research to ascertain their feasibility, reliability, validity and accuracy using test retest method. This was done at Gachagi slums, in Makongeni, Kiambu County because it has similar characteristics to Kiandutu slums. Tests on the sensitivity, clarity and length of the questions were done. The duration of the interview and study procedures were also tested and modified accordingly. The weighing scales, length boards and MUAC tapes were also tested. The respondents used for the pretesting were 16, (10% of sample size).
Study variables

Dependent and independent variables: Nutritional status by wasting was a primary outcome. Nutritional status was measured by the proportion of children in each group who were wasted (weight-for-height Z scores and MUAC). Nutrition status was a dependent variable while the food given was the independent variable.

Data analysis: SPSS was used for statistical analysis. Chi-square and relative risk ratio were used to compare TG and CG. Weight-for-height and MUAC were used to give the children’s nutritional status. WHO Child Growth Standards (2006) were used to interpret the nutritional status of the children. In Kenya, it was the one in use at the time of the study. Children below -2Z scores and above -3Z scores weight-for-height indices were considered moderately wasted while those below -3Z scores were considered to be severely wasted and those above +2z scores were considered overweight while less +2 Z scores and greater than -2 Z scores were considered normal.

Logistical and ethical considerations: Authority to conduct the research was given by Kenyatta University’s Graduate school, National Commission of Science, Technology and Innovation (National Council of Science and Technology at the time the study), Thika district hospital and Kiandutu health centre. Ethical clearance was given by Kenya Medical Research Institute (KEMRI), National Ethical Review (SSC no 127). Participant’s parents’ written informed consent was solicited before enrolment into the study by written signature or thumb print. All participants’ identification and all conversation information were regarded as confidential and used only for study purposes.

Results and discussion

Recruitment process and trial profile of subjects

A total of 147 children out of the 498 screened during February 2011 to March 2011 who met the selection criteria were invited to participate in the study. Of these, 146 (99.3%) mothers consented to participate in the study (Figure 3). Out of the study population randomized, 38.3% of children in the TG and 56.2% in CG dropped out of the study as summarized in Figure 3.

Key baseline characteristics of the study population

Baseline characteristics of the two groups (TG and CG) were comparable: no significant differences found in age and sex distribution of children, their caregivers and household heads, socioeconomic characteristics of households (p>0.05 (Tables 1 and 2). There was also no significant difference between the groups (p>0.05) in morbidity status in the previous two weeks (Table 3). Diet intake data is not given here. However, there was no significant difference between the two groups (p>0.05).

Nutritional status of children by study groups at baseline

Majority (74%) of children were moderately underweight, more than half (53.4%) were moderately wasted and 52.1% were moderately stunted; with Z scores ranging from less than -2 and more than -3 (Table 4). The rest were mildly wasted, underweight and stunted (-2 to -1 Z scores; WHO, 2006). All the children in the study had MUAC ranging from 11.5 cm to 12.5 cm denoting moderate malnutrition. At baseline, there was no significant difference (p>0.05) in the nutritional status of children in the two study groups implying that the two groups could be compared.

Figure 2. Schedule for Interviews and Observations in the two study groups.

Figure 3. Schematic representation of the recruitment process of study participants.
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Table 1. Comparison of demographic characteristics of the study children and the study population.

| Characteristics                       | Total=146 | TG N=73 | CG N=73 | Chi-square and t test (p value) |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|--------------------------------|
| Child age (in months)                 |           |         |         |                                |
| Mean (SD)                             | 11.9 (4.6) | 12.1 (5.0) | 11.6 (4.2) | 0.761 (0.448)                |
| 6-11                                  | 85 (58.2)  | 43 (58.9)  | 42 (57.5)  |                                |
| 12-23                                 | 61 (41.8)  | 30 (41.1)  | 31 (42.5)  |                                |
| Sex of children                       |           |         |         |                                |
| Male                                  | 76 (52.1)  | 40 (54.8)  | 36 (49.3)  | 0.439 (0.508)                |
| Female                                | 70 (47.9)  | 33 (45.2)  | 37 (50.7)  |                                |
| Caretakers age (in years)             | Mean (SD)  | 25.5 (5.4)  | 25.7 (5.9)  | 25.3 (5.9)  | 0.456 (0.650)                |
| Household head age (in years)         | Mean (SD)  | 30.9 (7.3)  | 31.5 (8.6)  | 30.4 (5.8)  | 0.892 (0.374)                |
| Caregiver and child relationship      |           |         |         |                                |
| Mother                                | 143 (97.9) | 73 (100.0) | 70 (95.9)  | 3.063 (0.216)                |
| Aunt                                  | 2 (1.4)    | 0 (0.0)    | 2 (2.7)    |                                |
| Grandmother                           | 1 (0.7)    | 0 (0.0)    | 1 (1.4)    |                                |
| Sex of the household head             |           |         |         |                                |
| Male                                  | 114 (78.1) | 54 (74)    | 60 (82.2)  | 0.971 (0.324)                |
| Female                                | 32 (21.9)  | 19 (26.0)  | 13 (17.8)  |                                |
| Marital status of the caregiver       |           |         |         |                                |
| Married                               | 114 (78.1) | 57 (78.1)  | 58 (79.5)  | 1.228 (0.746)                |
| Separated                             | 9 (6.2)    | 6 (8.2)    | 2 (2.7)    |                                |
| Widowed                               | 3 (2.1)    | 3 (2.7)    | 1 (1.4)    |                                |
| Household size                        |           |         |         |                                |
| Mean (SD)                             | 4.4 (1.8)  | 4.5 (2.1)  | 4.3 (1.5)  |                                |
| 1-2                                   | 20 (13.7)  | 8 (11.0)   | 12 (16.4)  |                                |
| 3-4                                   | 83 (56.8)  | 40 (54.8)  | 43 (58.9)  | 0.500 (0.618)                |
| 5-6                                   | 44 (30.1)  | 21 (28.8)  | 23 (31.5)  |                                |
| 7 and more                            | 11 (7.6)   | 6 (8.2)    | 5 (6.8)    |                                |

Table 2. Key socioeconomic characteristics of caregivers and household heads by study groups.

| Socio-economic characteristics       | Total N=146 (%) | TG N=73 n (%) | CG N=73 n (%) | Chi-square (p-value) |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|
| Caregivers' highest educational level|                |               |               |                      |
| No formal education                  | 6(4.1)         | 6(8.2)        | 0(0.0)        | 7.229 (0.204)        |
| Primary education                    | 113 (77.4)     | 54 (73.9)     | 59 (80.8)     |                      |
| Secondary education                  | 26(17.8)       | 13(17.8)      | 13(17.8)      |                      |
| College/University                   | 1(0.69)        | 0(0.0)        | 1(1.4)        | 9.668(0.085)         |
| Househead education level            |                |               |               |                      |
| No formal education                  | 5(3.4)         | 5(6.8)        | 0(0)          |                      |
| Primary education                    | 103(70.5)      | 46(63)        | 57(79.4)      |                      |
| Secondary education                  | 3(2.4)         | 20(27.4)      | 14(19.2)      |                      |
| College/University                   | 3(2.1)         | 2(2.7)        | 1(1.4)        |                      |
| Occupation of caregiver              |                |               |               |                      |
| Casual Employment                    | 32(21.9)       | 17(23.3)      | 15(20.5)      | 3.233(0.520)         |
| Housewife                            | 69(41.1)       | 33(45.2)      | 27(37)        |                      |
| Business person                      | 25(17.1)       | 9(12.3)       | 16(21.9)      |                      |
| Other                                | 8(5.5)         | 3(4.1)        | 5(6.8)        |                      |
| Employment status of household head  |                |               |               |                      |
| Not Formally Employed                | 27(18.5)       | 15(11.5)      | 12(16.2)      | 6.587(0.086)         |
| Temporary Employed                   | 104(71.2)      | 50(68.5)      | 55(75.3)      |                      |
| Permanently Employed                 | 9(6.2)         | 8(11)         | 1(1.4)        |                      |
| Other                                | 6(4.1)         | 5(4.5)        | 1(1.4)        |                      |
| Occupation of household head         |                |               |               |                      |
| Herding                              | 2(1.3)         | 0             | 2(2.7)        |                      |
| Artisan (Juakali)                    | 28(17.8)       | 17(23.3)      | 11(15.1)      |                      |
| Teacher                              | 1(0.7)         | 0             | 1(1.3)        |                      |
| Business                             | 34(23.3)       | 14(19.2)      | 20(27.4)      |                      |
| Casual labourer                      | 59(37.7)       | 25(34.2)      | 34(41.1)      |                      |
| Watchman                             | 4(2.7)         | 3(4.1)        | 1(1.4)        |                      |
| Cyclist (Boda boda)                  | 11(7.5)        | 9(12.3)       | 2(2.7)        |                      |
| Unemployed                           | 5(3.4)         | 2(2.7)        | 3(4)          |                      |
| Others                               | 8(5.5)         | 4(5.5)        | 4(5.5)        |                      |

Results following the intervention

Trends in morbidity prevalence and nutrition status among children during the study period

There were no significant differences in some key demographic characteristics of the households whose children completed the study and those who dropped (Table 5). Such differences may reflect adversely on the representation of the study population. Also, there was no change in diet intake during the period of study. The number of children who had been sick in the previous 2 weeks was highest at baseline (Figure 4) for both groups. There was, however, a reduction in the morbidity prevalence over the study period for both groups. This agrees with other studies [18-20] that morbidity has a strong correlation with nutritional status because all these
Okoth JK (2017) Efficacy of amaranth sorghum grains porridge in rehabilitating moderately acute malnourished children in a low-resource setting in Kenya: A randomized controlled trial

The comparison of trends in nutritional status between the study groups

At 1 month, the proportion of children who were wasted were 38.9% and 32.6% in the CG and TG respectively. The proportion of wasted children in the TG reduced as given in Figure 5 from 37.7% at month 1 to 34.1% at month 6. The CG had a significantly higher reduction in the percentage of wasted children in the TG compared to the CG after month 5 (p=0.028) and month 6 (p=0.023).

Impact of the intervention on the study population

At Month 1, there was no significant difference in the percentage of children who were malnourished by weight for height Z scores in the CG and TG (Relative Risk (RR): 1.07 [95% CI: 0.83-1.38; p=0.606 (Table 6). The same trend was observed from months 2 to 4 despite the proportion of the malnourished children being higher in the CG. In contrast, at month 5 and 6, significantly higher percentages of children in the CG were wasted compared to those in the TG. At month 5, the children in the TG were 1.3 times (RR: 1.35 [95% CI: 1.03-1.77; p=0.030) more likely to be well nourished than their counterparts in the CG. Similarly, at month 6, children in the TG were 2 times more likely to be well nourished than those in the CG (RR: 2.23 [95% CI: 1.37-3.61]; p<0.001). At Month 1, there was no significant difference in the percentage of the children who were malnourished by MUAC in the CG and TG (Relative Risk (RR): 0.96 [95% CI: 0.56-1.63; p=0.884) (Table 7). The same trend was observed at months 2 to 4. On the other hand, at months 5 and 6, higher percentages of children in the CG were wasted compared to those in the TG. At month 5, the children in the TG were 2 times (RR: 2.06 [95% CI: 1.28-3.33; p<0.003) more likely to be well nourished than their counterparts in the CG. Also at month 6, children in the TG were 2 times more likely to be well nourished than those in the CG (RR: 2.32[95% CI: 1.37-3.91]; p=0.001).

At baseline, all the children were malnourished based on MUAC measurements. The amaranth-sorghum grains product made a significant impact by reducing the level of malnutrition in children. At the end of the study period, 32.7% of children in TG were 1.3 times more likely to be well nourished than their counterparts in the CG. Similarly, at month 6, children in the TG were 2 times more likely to be well nourished than those in the CG (RR: 2.23 [95% CI: 1.37-3.61]; p<0.001).

The comparison of trends in nutritional status between the study groups

At 1 month, the proportion of children who were wasted were 38.9% and 32.6% in the CG and TG respectively. The proportion of wasted children in the TG reduced as given in Figure 5 from 32.7% at month 1 to 13.3% at month 6. The CG had a significantly higher reduction in the percentage of wasted children in the TG compared to the CG after month 5 (p=0.028) and month 6 (p=0.023).

Impact of the intervention on the study population

At Month 1, there was no significant difference in the percentage of children who were malnourished by weight for height Z scores in the CG and TG (Relative Risk (RR): 1.07 [95% CI: 0.83-1.38; p=0.606 (Table 6). The same trend was observed from months 2 to 4 despite the proportion of the malnourished children being higher in the CG. In contrast, at month 5 and 6, significantly higher percentages of children in the CG were wasted compared to those in the TG. At month 5, the children in the TG were 1.3 times (RR: 1.35 [95% CI: 1.03-1.77; p=0.030) more likely to be well nourished than their counterparts in the CG. Similarly, at month 6, children in the TG were 2 times more likely to be well nourished than those in the CG (RR: 2.23 [95% CI: 1.37-3.61]; p<0.001). At Month 1, there was no significant difference in the percentage of the children who were malnourished by MUAC in the CG and TG (Relative Risk (RR): 0.96 [95% CI: 0.56-1.63; p=0.884) (Table 7). The same trend was observed at months 2 to 4. On the other hand, at months 5 and 6, higher percentages of children in the CG were wasted compared to those in the TG. At month 5, the children in the TG were 2 times (RR: 2.06 [95% CI: 1.28-3.33; p<0.003) more likely to be well nourished than their counterparts in the CG. Also at month 6, children in the TG were 2 times more likely to be well nourished than those in the CG (RR: 2.32[95% CI: 1.37-3.91]; p=0.001).

At baseline, all the children were malnourished based on MUAC measurements. The amaranth-sorghum grains product made a significant impact by reducing the level of malnutrition in children. At the end of the study period, 32.7% of children in TG were 1.3 times more likely to be well nourished than their counterparts in the CG. Similarly, at month 6, children in the TG were 2 times more likely to be well nourished than those in the CG (RR: 2.23 [95% CI: 1.37-3.61]; p<0.001).

The comparison of trends in nutritional status between the study groups

At 1 month, the proportion of children who were wasted were 38.9% and 32.6% in the CG and TG respectively. The proportion of wasted children in the TG reduced as given in Figure 5 from 32.7% at month 1 to 13.3% at month 6. In the CG the proportion of wasted children reduced from 39% at month 1 to 36.7% at month 6. There was a significantly higher reduction in the percentage of wasted children in the TG compared to the CG after month 5 (p=0.028) and month 6 (p=0.023).
Table 7. Impact of the intervention on the nutritional status (wasting-MUAC) of children by study group overtime.

| Time of study | CG Relative Risk | TG Relative Risk (RR) | p value RR |
|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|
| Month 1       | N=50            | N=52                  | 0.884      |
|               | 64.6%           | 65.3%; RR =0.96 (95% CI: 0.56 – 1.63) |            |
| Month 2       | N=42            | N=50                  | 0.156      |
|               | 59.5%           | 56.0%; RR =1.39 (95% CI:0.88-2.21) |            |
| Month 3       | N=41            | N=48                  | 0.073      |
|               | 58.5%           | 38.8%; RR=1.45 (95% CI:0.96-2.26) |            |
| Month 4       | N=38            | N=46                  | 0.185      |
|               | 51.3%           | 36.4%; RR=1.31 (95% CI:0.88-1.93) |            |
| Month 5       | N=34            | N=45                  | <0.003     |
|               | 63.6%           | 20.0%; RR=2.06 (95% CI:1.28-3.33) |            |
| Months6       | N=29            | N=45                  | 0.001**    |
|               | 65.5%           | 20.0%; RR=2.32 (95% CI:1.37-3.91) |            |

month 5 and 6, the proportion of children in the TG who were well nourished (not wasted) was significantly higher than those in the CG judged by both Z scores and MUAC. This implies that the amaranth–sorghum grains product was effective in rehabilitating childhood malnutrition. The study period was 90 days or less. This could be due to the use of the study site was food insecure at the time of the study necessitating WFP to give blanket supplementary feeding. Protection ratio was given to minimize this practice. However sharing of the product was not investigated.

At months 5 and 6 of the study, wasting levels by WHZ and MUAC were significantly lower in the TG compared to the CG. The wasting levels reduced by almost half in the TG while for the CG the levels of wasting did not change significantly. These results are in agreement with those of Maoga et al. [22]. Who reported that Children living with HIV and Aids virus consuming amaranth based porridge had a significant reduction (p<0.001) in wasting levels (pre-and-post intervention wasting levels were 34% and 21.2% respectively) [22]. Besides if adopted as a complementary food, amaranth sorghum grain porridge could contribute towards preventing wasting all together.

Conclusions

The demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the study population were similar at baseline. The morbidity prevalence was not different for the two study groups during the study period. The amaranth–sorghum grains product improved the nutritional status of the children albeit slowly.
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