Anodic oxidation is an easy and cheap surface treatment to form nanostructures on the surface of titanium items for improving the interaction between metallic implants and the biological environment. The long-term success of the devices is related to their stability. In this work, titanium nanotubes were formed on a dental screw, made of titanium CP2, through an anodization process using an “organic” solution based on ethylene glycol containing ammonium fluoride and water. Then, the electrochemical stability in the Hank’s solution of these “organic” nanotubes has been investigated for 15 days and compared to that of titanium nanotubes on a similar type of sample grown in an inorganic solution, containing phosphoric and hydrofluoridric acids. Morphological and crystallographic analysis were performed by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and X-Ray diffractometry (XRD) tests. Electrochemical measurements were carried out to study the stability of the nanotubes when are in contact with the biological environment. The morphological measurements revealed long nanotubes, small diameters, smooth side walls, and a high density of “organic” nanotubes if compared to the “inorganic” ones. XRD analysis demonstrated the presence of rutile form. An appreciable electrochemical stability has been revealed by Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) analysis, suggesting that the “organic” nanotubes are more suitable for biomedical devices.
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1. Introduction

The natural and amorphous oxide layer (2–5 nm) present on a titanium surface provides its excellent corrosion resistance. This is one of the reasons why titanium is widely used as implant material [1,2]. In order to improve the interaction between a titanium implant and a biological environment, the mechanical, physical, chemical, electrochemical or biochemical surface modifications of medical devices are needed [3–6]. Among the electrochemical treatments, the anodic oxidation is a cost-effective, versatile, and simple process widely used in the industrial field. The anodic oxide film grown on titanium substrate may exhibit a compact, porous or nanotubular structure by changing the anodizing process parameters, such as the voltage applied, the duration of the anodizing, the electrolyte composition, and so on [7–14]. With the coming of nanomaterials, it has been observed that nanostructured surfaces tend to improve cell-implant interaction, stimulating the cell-adhesion processes [15,16] as the osseointegration mechanism [17–21]. Tsuchiya et al. showed a significant growth of hydroxyapatite (bone-like calcium phosphate) on TiO$_2$ nanotube layers compared to flat compact titanium oxide layers [22]. Hilario et al. [17] investigated the influence of the morphology and the crystalline structure of the titanium nanotubes on the apatite-forming ability, suggesting the
best compromise between the nanotubes size and their crystalline phase. In addition, these kinds of nanostructures could be used as a reservoir for drug delivery applications [23–25]. To date, depending on the anodizing process parameters, several types of nanotubes are possible to obtain on the metallic substrate [26]. They are different basically in: (i) pore diameters, (ii) wall roughness and thickness as well as (iii) in length. In previous works [27,28], an anodizing process on a dental implant screw was presented. In particular, the use of an aqueous solution based on hydrofluoridric acid was allowed to obtain titanium nanotubes of about 500 nm in length and about 100 nm in diameter on a dental implant. An increased corrosion resistance and a more active role, to promote the formation of chemical compounds containing Ca and P in comparison to the untreated samples, were observed on the anodized specimens. In this paper, titanium nanotubes with different morphologies, in terms of diameter, length, and side wall roughness, were obtained by anodizing a titanium dental screw in electrolytic solutions containing organic (ethylene glycol) and inorganic (ammonium fluoride) compounds as well as water. Little research has focused on the electrochemical characterization in Hank’s solution of the titanium nanotubes produced through anodizing in different electrolytes [29–31]. However, the corrosion resistance of metals, used as biomaterials, should be evaluated for a long time frame to ensure their long-term success in the human body [32]. To the authors’ best knowledge, there is so far no report that describes the electrochemical behavior for a long immersion time in the physiological media (i.e., Hank’s solution) of the titanium nanotubes grown by using different electrolytes that compare their stability. Therefore, the aims of this work were: (i) to realize titanium oxide nanotubes in an organic electrolytic solution, (ii) to investigate their morphological aspect and their electrochemical behavior in Hank’s solution for 15 days and (iii) to compare the corrosion resistance properties of the “organic” nanotubes to that of the “inorganic” nanotubes previously grown and characterized. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) and polarization potentiodynamic (PD) measurements were conducted to test the samples steadiness. The experimental analysis was supported by morphological and crystallographic measurements, conducted using scanning electron microscope (SEM) and X-ray diffraction (XRD).

2. Materials and Methods

Titanium dental screws, provided by Deltal Medics (Milano, Italy), made of titanium CP2 with the following geometric features; 3 mm in diameter and 8 mm in length—were used as a samples to be coated. Ammonium fluoride (NH₄F), ethylene glycol (EG) and Hank’s solution were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used as received. The composition of the Hank’s solution was 0.185 g/L CaCl₂·2H₂O, 0.09767 g/L MgSO₄, 0.4 KCl g/L, 0.06 KH₂PO₄ g/L, 0.35 g/L NaHCO₃, 8.0 g/L NaCl, 0.04788 g/L Na₃HPO₄, 1.0 g/L D-Glucose, without Phenol Red and sodium bicarbonate. The electrolytic solution was prepared by mixing 2.5 wt % of NH₄F and 12.5 wt % of water in ethylene glycol.

**TiO₂ Nanotube Film Preparation**

Prior to the anodizing process, titanium screws were sequentially cleaned for 10 min in acetone, ethanol, and deionized water using an ultrasonic bath. Then they were dried in air. A number of five screws were used. The anodic oxidation treatment was performed by using the DC power supply Gen 600-5.5 (TDK, Lambda, Milano, Italy) connected to a two-electrode system, with a platinum rod as cathode and the titanium screws as anode. The nanotubes formation, conducted in the organic solution, involves the formation of a layer on the top tubes, named “nano-grass” [33], due to the split off of the tube walls. The literature offers different methods to remove, the “nano-grass” layer, or to impede its formation, as the sonication, or supercritical drying, etc. [34–40]. The technique used in this work involves three subsequent steps: (i) sample anodic oxidation in acid electrolyte to obtain a porous titanium oxide, (ii) thermal treatment of sample at 700 °C for 1 h, (iii) anodizing in the organic media and (iv) washing in deionized water [35]. The samples were firstly anodized in a 1 M H₂SO₄ (Sigma Aldrich, Milano, Italy) aqueous solution at 20 V for 20 min, then they were annealed in an
oven at 700 °C for 1 h. Finally, the anodizing process to form the nanotubes array was carried out in the organic solution aforementioned at 30 V for 1080 min. At the end of the process, the samples were washed in deionized water to remove the sacrificial porous oxide titanium layer, under which “organic” nanotubes have grown.

The sample surface was studied using a SEM (SEM, Hitachi TM3000, Hitachi, Japan). The crystallographic structure was investigated by XRD (XRD, Pananalytical, X-Pert PRO, Cambridge, UK), using a CuKx radiation (λ = 1.5404 Å) and a Bregg–Brentano geometry, in the range from 5° to 80° with a step size of 0.013°. The X-ray generator operated at 40 kV and 40 mA. The apparatus used to perform the electrochemical tests was a potentiostat (Solartron 1287, Photoanalytical, Milano, Italy) linked to an Impedance/Gain-phase Analyser (Solartron 1260, Photoanalytical, Milano, Italy) and connected to a three-electrode electrochemical cell consisting of a platinum rod as counter-electrode, a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as reference electrode, and titanium screws as the working electrode. The analysis was performed at room temperature, exposing a surface of about 3 cm², in the Hank’s solution with the following composition (g/L): 0.185 CaCl₂ · 2H₂O, 0.098 MgSO₄, 0.4 KCl, 0.06 KH₂PO₄, 0.35 NaHCO₃, 8.0 NaCl, 0.048 Na₂HPO₄, 1.0 D-glucose, pH = 7.4. A potentiodynamic polarization test was performed in a potential window from −30 mV to +1500 mV vs. the open circuit potential (OCP) at a scan rate of 0.166 mV/s. The electrochemical impedance spectra were recorded at the OCP in a frequency range of 10⁴ Hz–0.02 Hz, applying an AC amplitude signal of ±5 mV. The EIS data were plotted as Bode plots and interpreted on the basis of the electrical equivalent circuits by using the Zview software (Scribner Associates Inc., Southern Pines, NC, USA) for fitting the experimental results. The quality of the fit was judged by the χ² parameter. Each measurement was repeated three times in order to evaluate the reproducibility.

In order to investigate the influence of the nanotubes morphology on the electrochemical stability of the titanium implant, all measurements carried out on the nano-structures formed in the “organic” solution, named Ti_NT орг, were compared to the results previously [27,28] obtained from the nanotubes grown on the same type of dental screw (named as Ti_NT вент), in an “inorganic” solution and from the untreated sample, named as Ti pristine. For the sake of clarity, the parameters of the anodic oxidation process carried out in the “inorganic” or “organic” electrolyte are summarized in Table 1. Five samples of each type of nanotubes were used to carry out the comparison.

| Samples       | Solution                        | Voltage (V) | Time (min) |
|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Ti_NT вент    | H₂O + 1 M H₃PO₄ + 0.5 wt % HF  | 30          | 90         |
| Ti_NT орг     | EG + 2.5 wt % NH₄F + 12.5 wt % H₂O | 30          | 1080       |

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Morphological Analysis

The top view SEM image, reported in Figure 1a, clearly shows the formation of nanotubes in the “organic” electrolytic solution by following the procedure adopted in this work. This procedure obtains an open top porous network of high density, self-organized nanotubes with a mean diameter of about 70 nm. The cross-sectional SEM image, Figure 1b, reveals the regular alignment of the nanotubes, about 8 µm in length, the smoothness of the side walls, and the closed backside of the nanotubes, detached from the titanium substrate.
The anodization conducted in the “organic” electrolyte obtains long nanotubes (several micrometers) and a smooth tube wall. In fact, the oxide dissolution at the top side of the nanotubes is inhibited, and the fluctuations of the current transient accompanied by a pH burst at the pore tip are damped by decreasing the diffusion constant of the F\(^-\) in the organic electrolyte [40].

In comparison, the SEM images of the nanostructure obtained by using an inorganic solution are reported in Figure 2. As shown in the top view micrograph (Figure 2a), the surface was characterized by ordered nanotubes well separated from each other. The shape and the side walls displayed significant irregularities. The latter presented a “bamboo type” form, that, according to Kontos [39], introduced a small scale surface roughness component. The mean diameter and the length of the nanotubes were about 100 nm and 0.5 \(\mu\)m, respectively. In the “inorganic” solution, the limited thickness of the nanotubes layer is due to the achievement of a steady state regime between the oxide-growth rate and the dissolution reaction rate [41]. The ripples at the tube walls are ascribed to a higher quantity of water and are responsible for the continuous etching and passivation of the cell boundary regions [42].

Table 2 summarizes the dimension of the nanotubes obtained by using inorganic or organic electrolytic solution.

**Figure 1.** Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of the anodized sample in the “organic” solution at 30 V for 1080 min: (a) top view and (b) cross sectional view.

**Figure 2.** SEM images of the anodized sample in the “inorganic” solution at 30 V for 90 min: (a) top view and (b) cross sectional view. X-Ray diffractometry.

**Table 2.** Geometric features of titanium nanotubes formed in the inorganic, Ti_NT\(_{\text{inorg}}\), or in the organic electrolyte, Ti_NT\(_{\text{org}}\).

| Samples     | Solution                          | Diameter (nm) | Length (µm) |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------|
| Ti_NT\(_{\text{inorg}}\) | H\(_2\)O + 1 M H\(_3\)PO\(_4\) + 0.5 wt % HF | 100           | 0.5          |
| Ti_NT\(_{\text{org}}\) | EG + 2.5 wt % NH\(_4\)F + 12.5 wt % H\(_2\)O | 70            | 8            |
3.2. XRD Analysis

The XRD patterns of the anodized samples in the “organic” electrolytic solution (Ti_NTorg), anodized in “inorganic” media, (Ti_NTinorg), and of the un-treated sample (Ti pristine), are reported in Figure 3. The graph reveals the characteristics of the diffraction peaks of titanium at 2θ values of 35.09°, 38.42°, 40.17°, 53.05°, 62.9°, 70.66°, and 76.19° corresponding to (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103) and (112) planes, respectively, for all the tested samples. Although, in this research the annealing process carried out at 700 °C to avoid the formation of the “nano-grass” layer during the anodization in the “organic” electrolyte.

![Figure 3. Comparison of X-ray diffraction pattern of Ti pristine sample (curve black), Ti_NTinorg sample (curve orange), and Ti_NTorg (curve green).](image-url)

As can see from Figure 3, the peaks intensity changed with the morphology of the sample. Indeed, the Ti_NTorg sample presented higher peaks intensity if compared to the Ti_NTinorg sample, probably due to the roughness of the tube walls, which are smooth for the former and rippled for the latter and strongly affect the X-ray signal.

The use of an “organic” electrolyte induced an increase of the nanotubes length and also involved a crystalline phase of the titanium oxide, in rutile form, due to a necessary heat treatment. Zywitzki et al. [35] measured the nanoindentation and tested the mechanical properties, in term of hardness and Young’s modulus, of amorphous, anatase, and rutile, and rutile forms of titanium oxide layers grown by reactive pulse magnetron sputtering. They have verified that the rutile form presented higher mechanical properties in comparison to the amorphous titanium oxide. Indeed, the hardness value and a low Young’s modulus are the “desiderata” requirements for a dental implant, since the former enhances the wear resistance while the latter reduces the stress shielding phenomena. In addition, the rutile phase seems to improve the apatite formation in comparison to the amorphous titanium oxide [22].

3.3. Electrochemical Analysis

The potentiodynamic polarization curve of the Ti_NTorg sample is sketched in Figure 4 and it is compared to the un-treated sample, Ti pristine, and to the specimen anodized in “inorganic” electrolyte, Ti_NTinorg. From a brief glimpse at the obtained curves, it was possible to see that the...
presence of the nanotubes layer severely influenced the sample's electrochemical behavior. It was possible to note a change of the corrosion potential values, $E_{corr}$, and a variation of the passive current values, $i_p$. Indeed, the Ti_NT$_{inorg}$ specimen showed a more noble corrosion potential ($E_{corr} = -0.12$ V) in comparison to the titanium pristine sample ($E_{corr} = -0.30$ V), which was due to the formation of the anodic oxide layer. The passive film protects the metal from the environment, retarding the electrons passage across its self. Consequently, a lower passive current ($i_p \approx 1.12 \times 10^{-7}$ A/cm$^2$) for the Ti_NT$_{inorg}$ sample was recorded. The sample with longer nanotubes, (Ti_NT$_{org}$), obtained in the “organic” electrolyte, exhibited the highest corrosion potential ($E_{corr} = -0.065$ V), due to the greater thickness of the anodic oxide formed on its surface. At the same time, the sample showed the highest passive current ($i_p \approx 7.35 \times 10^{-6}$ A/cm$^2$). On the other hand, since the Ti_NT$_{org}$ sample is expected to have a very large area per unit geometric area, if compared to the untreated and Ti_NT$_{inorg}$ samples, it was able to expose a wider reactive surface [45], exhibiting an “apparent” higher passive current. In addition, $i_p$, for the sample Ti_NT$_{org}$ remained constant during the experiment, suggesting a larger passivity range of the anodic oxide grown on its surface. In comparison, the Ti_NT$_{inorg}$ sample presented a slight variation of the $i_p$ through the test. Regarding the accurate evaluation of the passive current, a detailed study is needed to verify the previous hypothesis.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Comparison between the potentiodynamic polarization curves obtained in Hank’s’ solution for the anodized samples in the “inorganic” (Ti_NT$_{inorg}$ sample), the “organic” (Ti_NT$_{org}$ sample) electrolytic solution, and un-treated (Ti pristine sample).

The results of the EIS analysis carried out in Hank’s’ solution for 360 h on the Ti_NT$_{org}$ samples are reported in the form of Bode plots in Figure 5. The impedance modulus curves recorded for the entire duration of the experiment were almost overlapping, excluding the curve recorded at $t = 0$. Indeed, it is possible to imagine that, at the beginning of the test, chemical reactions started to develop on the surface, reaching equilibrium after a certain period of time. The overlapping of the remaining curves indicated the stability of these kinds of nanotubes in the test solution. They present a morphological aspect more defined than those nanotubes obtained in the acidic solutions. A slight decrease of the impedance modulus stability was exhibited only in the low frequency range. In the phase angle plot (see Figure 5b) at the beginning of the test ($t = 0$) a rapid rise of the phase angle, from $-10^\circ$ to $-60^\circ$ in the frequency range from $20$ kHz to $1$ Hz, was observed. In addition, a decrease of the phase angle to a value of about $45^\circ$ in the lowest frequency range was recorded. The trend of the curves suggested the presence of a second constant time due to the structure of the double-layer oxide. It was made of a porous outer layer of lower impedance and a dense inner layer, usually defined as a “barrier layer”, associated to high impedance and responsible for corrosion protection [46]. As the immersion time elapsed, the maximum of the phase angle, recorded in the middle frequency range at the beginning of
the test, slightly decreased until 216 h. Afterwards, it gradually moved toward the high frequency range, indicating a very slow decrease of corrosion protection ability.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 5.** Impedance (a) and phase angle (b) plots of the Ti_NT<sub>org</sub> samples immersed in Hanks’ solution for 15 days.

The electrochemical behavior of the Ti_NT<sub>org</sub> sample was evaluated by using a simple electrical equivalent circuit model (see Figure 6), \( R_s(R_tQPE_t)(R_bQPE_b) \), widely reported in literature [47,48], in order to simulate the structure of the nanotubes composed by a double-layer oxide, as aforementioned.

The model adopted was made of three modules connected in series. A resistor \( R_s \), to indicate the electrolytic solution resistance, was connected in series to a parallel combination of a constant phase element QPE<sub>t</sub> and a resistance \( R_t \). They represent the non-ideal behavior of the double layer capacitance of the nanotubes porous outer layer and its resistance, respectively. This mesh was in turn linked in series to another parallel combination of a constant phase element QPE<sub>b</sub> and a resistance \( R_b \). The latter represent the non-ideal behavior of the double layer capacitance of the nanotubes barrier layer and its resistance, respectively. The impedance of a constant phase element QPE is defined as \( Z_{CPE} = [Q(j\omega^n)]^{-1} \), with \( n = 1 \) for an ideal capacitor, \( n = -1 \) for ideal inductor and \( n = 0 \) for ideal resistor [49].

![Circuit Diagram](image)

**Figure 6.** Electrical equivalent circuit model used for fitting the impedance spectra of the Ti_NT<sub>org</sub> samples.

A good agreement between the experimental and fitting data was obtained, as confirmed by the \( \chi^2 \) values reported in Table 3, where also the electrical equivalent circuit parameters are reported.

At the onset of immersion in the Hanks’ solution, the resistance of the porous outer layer, \( R_t \), was \( 1.17 \times 10^4 \text{ }\Omega\cdot\text{cm}^2 \) and the resistance of the barrier layer, \( R_b \), was \( 1.73 \times 10^5 \text{ }\Omega\cdot\text{cm}^2 \), in agreement with the results in literature [50]. This data indicated that the porous layer showed a smaller resistance than the barrier layer, which clearly dominates the corrosion protection. In the following immersion days, \( R_t \) was essentially constant throughout the measurement, revealing a stability of the outer porous layer. The resistance values of \( R_b \) increased by about one order of magnitude and remained constant for the duration of the test. Generally, a high value of the barrier layer resistance, \( R_b \), suggests higher corrosion protection ability. The capacitance of the outer porous layer value, \( QPE_t \), and that of the inner layer, \( QPE_b \), changed very little throughout the duration of the experiment, suggesting
the long-term stability of the system under study. The value “\(n\)“ of the constant phase element representing the outer porous and the inner layers, which changed from 0.7 to 0.86, was indicative of a near-capacitive behavior.

**Table 3.** Electrical equivalent circuit parameters of the Ti\(_{\text{NT\_org}}\) sample.

| Immersion Time (h) | \(E_{\text{corr}}\) (mV) | \(R_s\) (Ω·cm\(^2\)) | \(R_t\) (Ω·cm\(^2\)) | \(QPE_t\) (F·cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(n_t\) | \(R_b\) (Ω·cm\(^2\)) | \(QPE_b\) (F·cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(n_b\) | \(\chi^2\) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|------|------|
| 0                 | −136            | 340.0           | 1.17 × 10\(^4\) | 5.30 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.86 | 1.73 × 10\(^6\) | 1.36 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.80 | 0.0056 |
| 24                | −240            | 306.6           | 9.01 × 10\(^3\) | 8.40 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.74 | 1.49 × 10\(^6\) | 2.00 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.75 | 0.0036 |
| 29                | −257            | 303.0           | 8.80 × 10\(^3\) | 8.72 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.72 | 1.49 × 10\(^6\) | 2.72 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.76 | 0.0027 |
| 216               | −249            | 261.0           | 8.55 × 10\(^3\) | 9.35 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.70 | 1.35 × 10\(^6\) | 2.49 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.77 | 0.0046 |
| 360               | −242            | 205.4           | 9.26 × 10\(^3\) | 5.78 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.71 | 1.44 × 10\(^6\) | 3.30 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.84 | 0.0098 |

It is noteworthy that the nanotubes porous outer layer values, \(R_t\), estimated by fitting the EIS data, presented a similar trend as the \(E_{\text{corr}}\) values that were recorded in the early stage of immersion of each test, as well as verified in earlier work [28]. As a matter of fact, they showed a decrease after the first 24 h of immersion in the test solution, up to a value that remained essentially constant throughout the duration of the test (Figure 7). The \(E_{\text{corr}}\) values, variation, from −136 mV to an almost constant value of about −250 mV, confirmed the lack of an EIS curve overlapping those recorded at the beginning of the test.

![Figure 7](image-url)

**Figure 7.** Trend of the \(E_{\text{corr}}\) and \(R_t\) values versus the immersion time in the Hank’s solution exhibited by the Ti\(_{\text{NT\_org}}\) sample.

The trend of the \(E_{\text{corr}}\) values indicated that, after 24 h of immersion in the test solution, the equilibrium between the formation and the dissolution of the surface oxide on the nanotubes was already achieved.

To evaluate the influence of the electrolyte solution used to grow the titanium nanotubes on the electrochemical stability of the implant, a comparison between samples anodized in an inorganic or organic media was considered. In Table 4 the equivalent circuit parameters of the Ti\(_{\text{NT\_inorg}}\) previously obtained, are reported.

**Table 4.** Electrical equivalent circuit parameters of the Ti\(_{\text{NT\_inorg}}\) sample.

| Immersion Time (h) | \(E_{\text{corr}}\) (mV) | \(R_s\) (Ω·cm\(^2\)) | \(R_t\) (Ω·cm\(^2\)) | \(QPE_t\) (F·cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(n_t\) | \(R_b\) (Ω·cm\(^2\)) | \(QPE_b\) (F·cm\(^{-2}\)) | \(n_b\) | \(\chi^2\) |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|------|------|
| 0                 | −254            | 226.8           | 1.03 × 10\(^4\) | 9.87 × 10\(^{-6}\) | 0.65 | 1.40 × 10\(^6\) | 1.10 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.83 | 0.0031 |
| 24                | −176            | 310.1           | 2.84 × 10\(^4\) | 2.13 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.73 | 2.17 × 10\(^6\) | 8.02 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.90 | 0.0040 |
| 29                | −268            | 353.3           | 7.32 × 10\(^3\) | 2.52 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.74 | 3.32 × 10\(^6\) | 1.39 × 10\(^{-3}\) | 0.92 | 0.0019 |
| 216               | −234            | 336.4           | 1.92 × 10\(^3\) | 2.14 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.84 | 1.88 × 10\(^6\) | 1.17 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.92 | 0.0004 |
| 360               | −258            | 273.4           | 8.72 × 10\(^3\) | 2.51 × 10\(^{-5}\) | 0.81 | 3.15 × 10\(^5\) | 1.24 × 10\(^{-4}\) | 0.91 | 0.0009 |

By comparing the two sets of the equivalent circuits parameters, it was possible to verify that the \(R_t\) parameter for the Ti\(_{\text{NT\_inorg}}\) sample shifted in the range from 7.32 × 10\(^3\) Ω·cm\(^2\) to 2.84 × 10\(^4\) Ω·cm\(^2\).
The $R_t$ parameter for the Ti_NT$_{org}$ specimen remained essentially constant. On the other hand, the $R_b$ values for the “inorganic” nanotubes shifted in the range from $1.40 \times 10^5 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$ to $2.17 \times 10^6 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$. While the $R_b$ values for the “organic” nanotubes improved by one order of magnitude, reaching a constant value and highlighting the instability of the nanotubes grown in the inorganic solution, which presented variable $R_t$ and $R_b$ values. The $R_b$ value for the organic sample was higher than the inorganic sample. It suggested that the barrier layer formed in the organic nanotubes had better resistance to corrosion, which is most likely due to these reasons: (i) the presence of a rutile phase observed in these kinds of nanotubes, which are the most dense titanium oxide (4.25 g·cm$^{-3}$) and the most thermodynamically stable [51], (ii) the morphology of the “organic” nanotubes. The latter allowed a much slower replacement of the electrolytic solution within longer and narrower “organic” nanotubes than that showed by the “inorganic” nanotubes, characterized by greater accessibility. Looking at the parameters obtained at the beginning of the test, both samples, Ti_NT$_{inorg}$ and Ti_NT$_{org}$ seemed to present similar values of $R_t$ and $R_b$; however, in this case, as in the potentiodynamic polarization curves, the contribution of the “real” area should be taken into account.

4. Conclusions

The anodic oxidation performed on a dental screw in an “organic” solution obtained nanotubes about 70 nm in diameters, about 8 in length µm, and smooth side walls. The XRD pattern has revealed the presence of a rutile structure, as the results of the heat treatment were performed to prevent the formation of an unavoidable nanograss layer. On the other hand, the rutile form could improve the bone-bonding ability and could provide better mechanical properties compared to amorphous titanium oxide. The potentiodynamic polarization test showed a high corrosion potential, due to the anodic oxide formed and its thickness, but also a high passive current for the greater area exposed by the “organic” nanotubes, which were longer than the “inorganic” nanotubes and displayed a higher density. The EIS tests conducted for 15 days in Hank’s solution demonstrated a higher stabilized surface of the “organic” nanostructures, in comparison to the “inorganic” nanotubes, which evinces their use for long-term medical devices.
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