Application of a MOGA Algorithm and ANN in the Optimization of Apple Drying and Rehydration Processes

Radosław Winiczenko, Agnieszka Kaleta and Krzysztof Górnicki *

Institute of Mechanical Engineering, Warsaw University of Life Sciences—SGGW, 164 Nowoursynowska Str., 02-787 Warsaw, Poland; radoslaw_winiczenko@sggw.edu.pl (R.W.); agnieszka_kaleda@sggw.edu.pl (A.K.) * Correspondence: krzysztof_gornicki@sggw.edu.pl

Abstract: The aim of the study was to estimate the optimal parameters of apple drying and the rehydration temperature of the obtained dried apple. Conducting both processes under such conditions is aimed at restoring the rehydrated apple to the raw material properties. The obtained drying parameters allow the drying process to be carried out in a short drying time (DT) and at low energy consumption (EC). The effect of air velocity (vd), drying temperature (Td), characteristic dimension (CD), and rehydration temperature (Tr) on rehydrated apple quality was studied. Quality parameters of the rehydrated apple as: color change (CC), mass gain ratio (MG), solid loss ratio (SL), volume gain ratio (VG) together with DT and EC were taken into consideration. The artificial neural network was used for modeling of rehydrated apple quality parameters, DT, and EC. A multi-objective genetic algorithm was developed in order to optimize parameters of the drying and rehydration processes. The simultaneous minimization of CC, SL, DT, EC, and the maximization of MG and VG were considered with the following drying and rehydration processes parameters: Td: 50–70 °C, vd: 0.01–2 m/s, Tr: 20–70 °C. The best solution has been found at drying temperature 56.1 °C, air velocity 1.3 m/s, characteristic dimension 2.0 mm, and rehydration temperature 59.2 °C. This apple drying and rehydration resulted in MG = 3.51, SL = 0.57, VG = 4.77, CC = 11.2, DT = 5.4 h, EC = 159.8 GJ/kg. The parameters of apple drying and rehydration processes can be recommended for the industry application.
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1. Introduction

Apples are one of the basic horticultural products and apple plantations are present all over the world. Poland is a significant global producer of these fruits. Apples are low caloric fruits and play a significant role in the human diet. They typically contain about 86% of H₂O, 12–14% of carbohydrate, 0.3% of protein, 0.2% of lipids, 2% of dietary fiber and many very important minerals (Ca, Mg, K). Apples may help in reducing the effect of asthma and cholesterol levels [1–3]. Dried apples (instead of fresh) may be used in many processed or ready-to-eat foods due to advantages such as the convenience of transportation, storage, preparation, and use. Dehydrated apples sometimes are rehydrated before consumption or further processing.

Drying is one of the most common and important unit operation applied in such industrial sectors producing solid products as food, pharmaceutical, and chemical. Drying generally is defined as removing of fluid or moisture from wet body by changing the moisture into a gaseous phase [4,5]. Drying belongs to the processes that need high energy because of the high value of the latent heat of water evaporation and low energy efficiency of dryers. Moreover, the energy consumption and drying efficiency depend highly on the drying methods and the materials being dried [6]. The dryers consume approximately 12% of the energy employed in manufacturing processes, whereas on average about 10% of the energy used in the food industry is allocated to the drying process [4,7,8]. About
50–70% of energy employed in wood process is used for drying [9]. It is stated that in such manufacturing processes where material dehydration is needed, the drying cost amounts to 60–70% of the total cost [4]. Therefore, increasing efficiency of drying systems for good quality of dried products has been an important research area of the drying industry.

Many papers related to the drying of apple cubes have been found in the literature. Dependence of temperature, relative humidity, velocity, and material size of thin-layer apple (var. Idared) cubes on their drying kinetics [10] as well as the effects of the drying variables on the quality characteristics such as shrinkage and color of dried apple were evaluated [11]. The kinetics of apple cubes drying with the convective method was described by Figiel [12]. According to the author, apple cubes dried with the combined method demonstrated better rehydrating properties manifested by absorption capacity, dry-mater-holding capacity, and rehydration ability as compared to the apples dried only with the use of the convective method.

Many methods of drying apples such as using heat pump, vacuum-microwave, or intermittent techniques can be used in commercial scale for modification of the texture attributes according to potential consumer preferences. However, heat pump vacuum-microwave method is the best drying technique to produce crispy and healthy apple snack with nice appearance, as reported by [13]. Pasławska et al. [14] investigated the possibility of using the vacuum impregnation as a pre-treatment before drying apple cubes. Apple cubes were dried by convective drying and microwave-vacuum drying. The above drying methods allow to obtain the dried apples characterized by the reduced compressive resistance, increased resistance to cutting, and less elasticity in relation to the raw material. Diamante and Yamaguchi [15] investigated the effects of temperature, air velocity, and loading density on the drying of infused apple. They optimized drying temperature, air velocities, and loading densities, and drying times for hot air drying using response surface method. Assis et al. [16] studied the effects of the osmotic pre-treatment with sucrose or sorbitol solutions and different drying methods on the rehydration kinetics of apple cubes. Samples were dried by hot air at 70 °C, by microwave at 500 W, and by freeze-drying. The rehydration rate and the rehydration capacity of the apple cubes dried by these methods were not affected by the solute used in the pre-treatment with sucrose and sorbitol. Product quality is becoming very important in the storage and drying processes [17,18]. The wide variety of dried products and the interesting concern for meeting energy conservation and product quality emphasize the necessity of dehydration optimization [19]. The rehydration capability is one of the quality attributes of some food materials whereas some dried products must be rehydrated before further processing or consumption [20].

Rehydration is a complicated process that indicated the degree of physical and chemical changes occurring during processing (treatments preceding drying, dehydration, and rehydration) [21,22]. It can be accepted that rehydration indicates the degree of structural and cellular damage caused to the product [23,24]. Rehydration can be described as a process of moistening dry material, mainly using water. Three simultaneous processes occur during rehydration, namely: the imbibition of moisture into dehydrated product, the swelling, and the leaching of solubles (sugars, acids, minerals, and vitamins) [21,25]. Generally speaking, rehydration is a complex mass-transfer process that consists of several physical mechanisms, including absorption of water, internal diffusion, convection inside the large open pores and at the surface of product, and relaxation of solid structure [26].

Conventional optimization algorithms using linear and non-linear programming sometimes have difficulty in finding the global optima or in case of multi-objective optimization, the Pareto front. A lot of research has now been directed toward genetic algorithms (GAs) to solve multi-objective optimization problems. Genetic algorithms are a biologically inspired highly parallel mathematical search algorithm pioneered by Holland. GAs generate entire population of points, each with associated fitness value, tests each point independently, and combines qualities from existing points to form a new population, containing improved points [27]. They compute the fitness value using the information concerning the quality of the solution produced by the members of the population (ob-
jective function values) [28]. This adaptive evolutionary learning process relates to the evolutionary selection procedure of genetic chromosomes. Genetic Algorithms rely on the Darwinian principle of reproduction and survival of the fittest and natural genetic operations like mutation [29,30]. Multi-objective genetic algorithm (MOGA) optimization involves minimizing or maximizing multiple objective functions subject to a set of constraints. The basic steps of the multi-objective genetic algorithm were described in detail by authors [31].

In literature the multi-objective optimization of apple drying was done [31,32]. The simultaneous minimization of color difference, maximization of the volume ratio, and water absorption capacity was successfully applied. Authors found optimal solution: drying air velocity and temperature [31]. The simultaneous minimization of color difference and volume ratio and the maximization of water absorption capacity were considered. The optimal solution: drying air temperature, air velocity, rehydration temperature and kind of rehydrating medium were conducted in [32].

Determining the optimal parameters of drying is important for solving the problems of product quality and optimizing the quantity of energy consumed during dehydration. However, to our knowledge, there are fewer or no items in the literature about this problem. Darvishi et al. [33] conducted the optimization of dehydration process of sawory leaves, taking into consideration criteria such as: drying variables (drying air temperature, drying air velocity, infrared power density), minimum drying time, energy consumption, color change, and maximum rehydration and moisture extraction ratios. There are no information in the literature about optimization of the quantity of energy consumed during apple dehydration.

The study aims to estimate the optimal parameters of apple drying and the rehydration temperature. Conducting both processes under such conditions ensures the restoration of the raw material properties of rehydrated apples. The obtained parameters allow the drying process to be carried out in a short drying time (DT) and at low energy consumption (EC).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Apples var. Ligol, bought from Warsaw market (Poland), were used for conducting the experiments. The initial moisture content of the apples was approximately 5.7 kg water/kg dry mass (0.85 kg water/kg wet mass). They were washed, peeled, and cut into slices (3 ± 1 and 10 ± 1 mm thickness) or cubes (10 ± 1 mm thickness).

The characteristic dimension (CD) of apples was calculated as [34]:
- For slices $CD = s$;
- For cubes $\frac{1}{CD^2} = \frac{3}{s^2}$;

where $s$ (mm) is the half of the slice thickness and cube thickness, respectively.

2.2. Drying

The apple samples were dried using forced convection in a laboratory dryer constructed in the Institute of Mechanical Engineering, Warsaw University of Life Sciences, Warsaw, Poland. The dryer consisted of an airflow control unit, an electrical fan, a heating and heating control unit, the drying chamber, and a measurement sensor. The airflow control unit regulated the velocity of the drying air flowing through the drying chamber. The desired air temperature was obtained by electrical resistance heating and controlled by the heating control unit. The drying air velocity and temperature were measured directly in the drying chamber. The samples were placed on a stainless steel wire tray of known weight. The weighing of samples was done manually outside the chamber. Prior to placing the apples in the dryer, the system was run for at least one hour to obtain stable conditions.

The drying air velocity ($v_d$) amounted to 0.5 m/s and 2.0 m/s. The drying air temperatures were following ($T_d$): 50, 60, and 70 °C. The final moisture content of the dried apples was approximately 0.1 kg water/kg dry mass (0.09 kg water/kg wet mass). The dehydration processes were conducted, in given conditions, in triplicate. The drying time
(DT) was measured using a stopwatch (1 s accuracy). The dried material (given drying conditions) was mixed and next stored in a container (no exposure to sunlight) for a week at the temperature amounted to 20 °C.

2.3. Rehydration

The process of dried apple rehydration was conducted in distilled water at following temperatures ($T_r$): 20, 45, and 70 °C. The experiments lasted from 3 ($T_r = 70$ °C) to 6 h ($T_r = 20$ °C) and was carried out in triplicate. The initial mass of the sample (dried apples) showing rehydration was approximately 10 g. The dried apples mass (at beginning of the process) to the mass of distilled water ratio amounted to 1:20. The water temperature was constant, and it was not stirred during rehydration.

2.4. Mass and Volume

The mass of the sample ($m$) (dried and rehydrated apple, dry matter) was measured using an electronic scale WPE 300 (RADWAG, Radom, Poland; ±0.001 g accuracy). The apple dry matter was determined before and after rehydration according to AOAC standards [30]. The mentioned measurements were conducted in three replicates. The maximum relative error in the determination of the mass amounted to 0.1%.

The volume of the sample ($V$) (dried and rehydrated apple) was measured using the buoyancy method in petroleum benzine [31]. The volume measurement was conducted in triplicate. The maximum relative error in the determination of the volume amounted to 5%.

2.5. Color

The scanner (CanoScan 5600F, Canon Inc., Tokyo, Japan, 4800 × 9600 dpi) was used to the color determination of fresh and rehydrated apple samples. The images were loaded into the sRGB color space, and then the mean brightness of pixels in each RGB channel of the image was taken to express color parameters. The mean RGB values were linearly transformed to CIE XYZ color space and then nonlinearly converted to CIELab coordinates. The values of reference for the color parameters XYZ, at the standard observer of 10° and illuminant D50, were 96.72, 100, 81.43, respectively [35]. According to [36], the Chroma (C) and the hue angle (h) specific for CIELCh color space were defined. Details of the color measurement can be found in [31].

2.6. Energy Consumption EC

The energy consumed during dehydration per kg of dried apples (EC) is defined as [37–39]:

$$EC = \frac{E_Q + E_W}{m_d}$$

(1)

where: $E_Q$ (GJ) is the energy needed for heating the drying air, $E_W$ (GJ) is the energy needed to drive the drying air through the dryer, and $m_d$ (kg) is the mass of the obtained dried apples,

$$E_Q = Av\rho C\Delta T \tau$$

(2)

$$E_W = \Delta P v A \tau$$

(3)

where: $A$ (m²) is the cross-section area, $v$ (m/s) is the air velocity, $\rho$ (kg/m³) is the air density, $C$ (GJ/(kg K)) is the air specific heat, $\Delta T$ (K) is the temperature difference, $\tau$ (s) is the drying time, and $\Delta P$ (GPa) is the pressure drop.

2.7. Quality Parameters QP

The color change (CC), mass gain ratio (MG), solid loss ratio (SL), and volume gain ratio (VG) were applied for the quality determination of rehydrated apple samples. The quality parameters (QP) are defined in Table 1.
Table 1. Equations for quality parameters calculating of rehydrated apple.

| Parameters | Equation No. |
|------------|--------------|
| CC = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\Delta L}{K_{L}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta C}{K_{C}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta H}{K_{H}}\right)^2} | (4) |
| MG = \frac{m_t - m_d}{m_{d}} | (5) |
| SL = \frac{m_{d} - m_{dm}}{m_{dm}} | (6) |
| VG = \frac{V_r}{V_d} | (7) |

where: \( S_L, S_C, S_H \)—the weight functions (adjusting internal non-uniform structure of CIE Lab \( S_L = 1, S_C = 1 + 0.045C, S_H = 1 + 0.015C \)), \( K_L, K_C, K_H \)—the variation from the reference conditions, \( \Delta L, \Delta C, \Delta H \)—the difference between tested (T) and standard (S) samples in luminance (L), chroma (C), and hue (H) \( \Delta L = L_T - L_S, \Delta C = C_T - C_S, \Delta H = 2\sqrt{C_T \cdot C_S \cdot \sin^2 \left(\frac{H}{2}\right)} \)), \( m \)—mass (kg), \( V \)—volume (m³), subscripts \( d, dm \), and \( r \) refer to dry, dry matter, and rehydrated, respectively.

2.8. QP, DT, and EC Modeling Using the Artificial Neural Network

Artificial neural networks (ANN) comprise of autonomous processing elements (neurons) with associated weights and activation functions. These neurons are simplified computational models based on our understanding of biological neurons. The weights represent the relationship between the neurons [40]. Various architectures including feed forward neural networks with associated learning schemes such as back-propagation have been proposed using networks of these neurons. Variants include recurrent networks and radial basis function networks. The learning schemes provide a means of modifying the weights [41]. Stone-Weierstrass and Kolmogorov theorems prove that feed forward neural networks can approximate continuous functions to the desired degree of accuracy [42]. Moreover, the ANN can be used to learn non-linear relationships in a given data set.

The artificial neural network was used to QP, DT, and EC modeling. The ANN task was to map input variables: \( T_d, v, CD \), and \( T_r \) (parameters of the drying and rehydration processes) on to six output variables: CC, MG, SL, VG (quality parameters), DT and EC to obtain the lowest mean squared error (MSE) and high: correlation coefficient (R) and adjusted R-square.

Values of input and output parameters were normalized in the range from 0 to 1 (division by using their maximum values: 70, 2, 5.8, 70, 42.02, 3.6, 0.67, 4.8, 9.25, 397 for \( T_d, v, CD, T_r, CC, MG, SL, VG, DT \) (in h) and EC (in GJ/kg), respectively). Data cases have been randomly divided into training (70%), testing (15%), and validation (15%) sample sets. A feed forward neural network with a backpropagation algorithm was employed. The MATLAB Neural Networks Toolbox R2018a software with Lavenberg-Marquardt algorithm for training was used.

2.9. Optimization Problem

A genetic algorithm (GA) was chosen to optimize the process parameters. GA is a powerful optimization tool especially in irregular experimental regions. In the process of drying and rehydration, complex and highly nonlinear phenomena take place [43]. Therefore, it is easy to estimate relationships between the input and the output of this complex system using ANN and GA techniques. The main characteristic of GA over the other optimization techniques is that they operate simultaneously with a huge set of searching points to find optimal architecture instead of a single point [28]. GA performs better than response surface methodology (RSM) when a large number of experiments are affordable.

The multi-objective optimization (MOO) task was aimed to define the set of optimal conditions of the dehydration and rehydration processes. The following functions: CC, DT, EC, and SL were minimized whereas MG and VG ones were maximized according to
constraints on the drying \((T_d, v, CD)\) and rehydration \((T_r)\) parameters. The Equation (8) presents the mentioned problem.

\[
\min (x) = \begin{cases} 
\min CC(T_d, v_d, CD, T_r) \\
\min DT(T_d, v_d, CD, T_r) \\
\min EC(T_d, v_d, CD, T_r) \\
\min SL(T_d, v_d, CD, T_r) \\
\max MG(T_d, v_d, CD, T_r) \\
\max VG(T_d, v_d, CD, T_r) 
\end{cases}
\]

50 °C ≤ \(T_d\) ≤ 70 °C
0.5 m/s ≤ \(v_d\) ≤ 2.0 m/s
1.5 mm ≤ CD ≤ 5.78 mm
20 °C ≤ \(T_r\) ≤ 70 °C

The Pareto front for the MOO has been formulated applying nondominated sorting genetic algorithm (NSGA II), implemented in MATLAB Global Optimization Toolbox R2018a. The mentioned algorithm was discussed in [25], whereas NSGA II parameters are following: the crossover function—intermediate, the crossover rate—85%, the migration—forward, the mutation function—uniform, the mutation rate—15%, the number of generations—300 number of variables, the Pareto front population fraction—0.8, the size of the population—20 number of variables, the selection function—Tournament size = 2.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. ANN

Different ANN structures (various transfer functions) for the approximation of the relationship between the variables of dehydration and rehydration \((T_d, v, CD, and T_r)\) and apple quality parameters QP (CC, MG, SL, VG), drying time DT, and energy consumption EC were tested (Table 2).

| ID. | Activate Function in the Hidden Layer | Number of Neurons in the Hidden Layer | Activate Function in the Output Layer | Statistical Analysis |
|-----|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|
| 1   | log-sigmoid                          | 4                                    | log-sigmoid                          | MSE 0.002020        |
| 2   | log-sigmoid                          | 6                                    | log-sigmoid                          | R 0.9914            |
| 3   | log-sigmoid                          | 8                                    | log-sigmoid                          | Adjusted R-Square 0.9820 |
| 4   | log-sigmoid                          | 4                                    | log-sigmoid                          | MSE 0.001664        |
| 5   | pureline                             | 6                                    | pureline                             | R 0.9886            |
| 6   | pureline                             | 8                                    | pureline                             | Adjusted R-Square 0.9761 |
| 7   | tansig                               | 4                                    | tansig                               | MSE 0.003444        |
| 8   | tansig                               | 6                                    | tansig                               | R 0.9853            |
| 9   | tansig                               | 8                                    | tansig                               | Adjusted R-Square 0.9693 |
| 10  | log-sigmoid                          | 4                                    | log-sigmoid                          | MSE 0.003110        |
| 11  | log-sigmoid                          | 6                                    | log-sigmoid                          | R 0.9706            |
| 12  | log-sigmoid                          | 8                                    | log-sigmoid                          | Adjusted R-Square 0.9390 |

On account of high adjusted R-square (0.9820), R-value (0.9914), and low MSE (0.0003), the ANN with four neurons in the input layer \((T_d, v, CD, and T_r)\), six neurons in the hidden layer, and six neurons in the output layer (CC, MG, SL, VG, DT and EC) with log-sigmoid transfer function for hidden and output layers was developed (ID 2 in Table 2). The best validation performance was after 114 iterations (Figure 1a). The values of Adjusted R-square and R were high enough, namely: 0.9841 and 0.9926 for training data, 0.9579 and 0.9885 for validation ones, 0.9685 and 0.9899 for test data, respectively (Figure 1b).
Figure 1. The best performance of the ANN (a) and the ANN fit goodness (b).

3.2. MOGA

Table 3 shows 19 design points of the Pareto optimal set. Figures 2–4 presents the non-dominated points of Pareto front.

| ID |  | Inputs | | Outputs |
|----|---|--------|---|--------|
| ID | $T_d$ (°C) | $v_d$ (m/s) | $CD$ (mm) | $T_r$ (°C) | $MG$ (-) | $SL$ (-) | $VG$ (-) | $CC$ (-) | $DT$ (h) | $EC$ (GJ/kg) |
| 1  | 62.44 | 1.2594 | 3.5581 | 56.41 | 2.60 | 0.63 | 4.42 | 7.67 | 4.44 | 283.84 |
| 2  | 66.99 | 1.6903 | 3.1241 | 37.41 | 3.07 | 0.62 | 4.61 | 8.47 | 4.54 | 294.00 |
| 3  | 60.48 | 1.2513 | 4.2814 | 47.66 | 2.07 | 0.57 | 3.85 | 9.74 | 4.57 | 396.82 |
| 4  | 66.64 | 1.4174 | 2.8837 | 50.61 | 3.35 | 0.60 | 4.71 | 9.54 | 4.61 | 248.83 |
| 5  | 64.98 | 1.2986 | 2.6938 | 56.03 | 3.46 | 0.58 | 4.75 | 10.39 | 4.67 | 216.07 |
| 6  | 60.28 | 1.3288 | 3.5348 | 44.76 | 2.53 | 0.63 | 4.38 | 7.60 | 4.68 | 308.62 |
| 7  | 62.11 | 1.6584 | 2.0158 | 47.86 | 3.59 | 0.43 | 4.79 | 14.91 | 4.88 | 342.51 |
| 8  | 55.33 | 1.7493 | 2.5717 | 42.51 | 2.97 | 0.63 | 4.57 | 8.00 | 5.10 | 281.57 |
| 9  | 55.75 | 1.5048 | 2.6483 | 57.37 | 3.04 | 0.63 | 4.60 | 7.96 | 5.36 | 262.38 |
| 10 | 56.10 | 1.2456 | 1.9942 | 59.16 | 3.51 | 0.57 | 4.77 | 11.16 | 5.43 | 159.80 |
| 11 | 53.83 | 1.5361 | 1.5146 | 48.42 | 3.55 | 0.53 | 4.78 | 13.96 | 6.00 | 235.15 |
| 12 | 63.85 | 1.3485 | 5.7152 | 55.26 | 1.83 | 0.43 | 3.19 | 12.40 | 6.24 | 396.98 |
| 13 | 60.81 | 0.7190 | 3.8393 | 45.81 | 2.74 | 0.63 | 4.50 | 7.31 | 6.51 | 261.63 |
| 14 | 64.24 | 1.2258 | 5.6621 | 51.41 | 1.82 | 0.43 | 3.20 | 12.33 | 6.73 | 396.98 |
| 15 | 58.02 | 1.1872 | 5.1563 | 46.69 | 2.43 | 0.43 | 3.84 | 11.77 | 7.06 | 396.98 |
| 16 | 64.45 | 1.1341 | 5.6831 | 47.77 | 1.93 | 0.24 | 3.37 | 12.17 | 7.77 | 396.98 |
| 17 | 59.07 | 0.6460 | 3.2791 | 54.20 | 2.98 | 0.63 | 4.60 | 7.03 | 8.04 | 235.70 |
| 18 | 55.53 | 1.1483 | 1.5125 | 31.98 | 3.26 | 0.61 | 4.57 | 16.41 | 8.08 | 145.40 |
| 19 | 53.81 | 0.9368 | 1.5520 | 41.26 | 2.91 | 0.63 | 4.18 | 17.86 | 8.80 | 138.71 |
Figure 2. Pareto fronts: (a) EC-MG, (b) EC-SL, (c) EC-VG, (d) EC-CC, (e) EC-DT; +—data, O—the best solutions, ●—EC_{\text{min}}, ——MG_{\text{max}}, ——DT_{\text{min}}, ——CC_{\text{min}}, ——VG_{\text{max}}, ——SL_{\text{min}}.
Figure 3. Pareto fronts: (a) DT-MG, (b) DT-SL, (c) DT-VG, (d) DT-CC; +—data, O—the best solutions, EC_{\text{min}}, MG_{\text{max}}, DT_{\text{min}}, CC_{\text{min}}, VG_{\text{max}}, SL_{\text{min}}.
Figure 4. Pareto fronts: (a) MG-CC, (b) MG-SL, (c) VG-CC, (d) SL-CC, (e) MG-VG, (f) SL-VG; +—data, O—the best solutions, O—ID 7 and ID 10.
ID10 (Figure 2) was the best solution for the faced optimization task (Equation (8)). It is important to obtain, with possibly low energy consumption, a rehydrated product with acceptable quality parameters from the dried material acquired by drying in a short time.

The solution features the following drying and rehydration parameters: \( T_d = 56.1 \, ^\circ C \), \( v_d = 1.25 \, m/s \), \( CD = 2.0 \, mm \), and \( T_r = 59.2 \, ^\circ C \). The rehydrated product obtained in such conditions shows \( CC = 11.2 \), \( DT = 5.4 \, h \), \( MG = 3.51 \), \( SL = 0.57 \), \( VG = 4.77 \), \( EC = 159.8 \, GJ/kg \) (CC 37.0% higher than \( CC_{\text{min}} \), DT 18.2% higher than \( DT_{\text{min}} \), MG 2.1% lower than \( MG_{\text{max}} \), SL 24.7% higher than \( SL_{\text{min}} \), VG 0.5% lower than \( VG_{\text{min}} \), and EC 13.2% higher than \( EC_{\text{min}} \)).

However, for the EC-SL relation (Figure 2b), we can notice that solution ID11 indicates lower SL values (compared to ID 10) but with a higher EC. Solution ID 11 indicates the following drying and rehydration parameters: \( T_d = 53.8 \, ^\circ C \), \( v_d = 1.54 \, m/s \), \( CD = 1.5 \, mm \), and \( T_r = 48.4 \, ^\circ C \), and the obtained rehydrated product shows \( CC = 13.96 \), \( DT = 6.0 \, h \), \( MG = 3.55 \), \( SL = 0.53 \), \( VG = 4.78 \), \( EC = 235.2 \, GJ/kg \) (CC 49.60% and 20.0% higher, DT 26.8% and 9.5% higher, MG 1.0% lower and 1.1% higher, SL 19.4% higher and 7.0% lower, VG 0.3% lower and 0.2% higher, and EC 41.0% and 32.1% higher than the minimum or maximum values, respectively, and the value for ID10).

For solutions ID4 and ID5 (which, however, this solution is not optimal for CC), the solution features the following drying and rehydration parameters: \( T_d = 59.1 \, ^\circ C \), \( v_d = 0.65 \, m/s \), \( CD = 3.3 \, mm \), and \( T_r = 54.2 \, ^\circ C \) shows a lower CC (7.0) with just a slightly higher EC (compared to ID 5) being 235.7 GJ/kg. For ID17, the CC is the lowest of all values and 58.8% lower than the CC value obtained for ID 10. The comparison of the values obtained for ID 17 to the minimum or maximum values, respectively, and the value for ID 10 is as follows: DT 44.8% and 32.5% higher, MG 16.9% and 15.1% lower, SL 32.5% and 33.9% lower, VG 4.1% and 3.7% lower, and EC 41.2% and 32.2% higher.

ID7 (Figure 3) is the best solution for the faced optimization task (Equation (8)), where it is important to obtain, with possibly short drying time, a rehydrated product with acceptable quality parameters and acceptable consumption of energy used for drying (however, this solution is not optimal for CC). The solution features the following drying and rehydration parameters: \( T_d = 62 \, ^\circ C \), \( v = 1.66 \, m/s \), \( CD = 2.0 \, mm \), and \( T_r = 47.9 \, ^\circ C \). The rehydrated product obtained in such conditions shows \( CC = 14.9 \), \( DT = 4.9 \, h \), \( MG = 3.59 \), \( SL = 0.53 \), \( VG = 4.79 \), \( EC = 342.5 \, GJ/kg \) (CC 52.8% higher than \( CC_{\text{min}} \), DT 9.0% higher than \( DT_{\text{min}} \), MG 0.2% higher than \( SL_{\text{min}} \), \( VG = VG_{\text{min}} \), but EC as much as 59.5% higher than \( EC_{\text{min}} \)).

Given the charts for DT-MG (Figure 3a) and DT-VG (Figure 3c), we can conclude that the optimum solutions also include ID4 and ID5 (\( T_d = 66.6 \, ^\circ C \), \( v = 1.42 \, m/s \), \( CD = 2.9 \, mm \), \( T_r = 50.6 \, ^\circ C \), and \( T_d = 65.0 \, ^\circ C \), \( v = 1.30 \, m/s \), \( CD = 2.7 \, mm \), \( T_r = 56.0 \, ^\circ C \), respectively), but with \( EC_{\text{ID4}} > EC_{\text{ID5}} \). When drying with the indicated parameters, we quickly (4.6 h and 4.7 h, respectively) obtain dried material, which then, in the rehydration process, intensively increases both its weight and volume (MG: 3.4 and 3.5; VG: 4.7 and 4.8, respectively). However, energy consumption is high (\( EC_{\text{ID4}} = 248.8 \, GJ/kg \); \( EC_{\text{ID5}} = 216.1 \, GJ/kg \)), being 44.3% and 35.8% higher, respectively, compared to \( EC_{\text{min}} \).

Nevertheless, if we consider the chart for DT-CC (Figure 3d), then solutions ID1 and ID6 show such drying and rehydration parameters where the color of the rehydrated product indicates the slightest change compared to the product taken for drying. Solution ID 1 indicates the following drying and rehydration parameters: \( T_d = 62.4 \, ^\circ C \), \( v_d = 1.26 \, m/s \), \( CD = 3.6 \, mm \), and \( T_r = 56.4 \, ^\circ C \), and the rehydrated product obtained in such conditions shows \( CC = 7.67 \), \( DT = 4.4 \, h \), \( MG = 2.6 \), \( SL = 0.63 \), \( VG = 4.42 \), \( EC = 283.8 \, GJ/kg \) (CC 9.1% higher, DT = \( DT_{\text{min}} \), MG 27.5% lower, SL 48.5% higher, VG 7.8% lower, and EC 104.6% higher than the minimum or maximum values, respectively). Solution ID6 (which,
when compared to ID1, shows a CC 1% lower with a DT being 5.5% higher) indicates the following drying and rehydration parameters: $T_d = 60.3 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, $v_d = 1.33 \, \text{m/s}$, $CD = 3.5 \, \text{mm}$, and $T_r = 44.8 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, and the rehydrated product obtained in such conditions shows CC = 7.60, DT = 4.7 h, MG = 2.5, SL = 0.63, VG = 4.38, EC = 308.6 GJ/kg (CC 8.1% higher, DT 5.5% higher, MG 29.5% lower, SL 48.3% higher, VG 8.6% lower, and EC 122.5% higher than the minimum or maximum values, respectively).

Considering only the quality parameters of rehydrated apples it could be stated that for the optimization problem expressed using Equation (8), ID 7 and ID 10 are the best solution (Figure 4). However, when comparing the energy consumption for both cases ($EC_{ID\ 7} = 342.5 \, \text{GJ/kg}$, $EC_{ID\ 10} = 159.8 \, \text{GJ/kg}$), solution ID 10 should be recommended. Experimental verification proved that maximum error of modeling was lower than 4.86%.

In the previous research about multi-objective normalization of apple drying [31] and drying and rehydration [32] the critical factors—drying time and energy consumption for drying were not considered. Taking these factors into account is very important from the point of view of material acquisition costs. The material should be of the best quality with the lowest possible expenditure for its processing.

The new experimental run ($T_d = 56 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, $v_d = 1.3 \, \text{m/s}$, CD = 2.0 mm, and $T_r = 59 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ was used to verify the developed model. The experimentally determined values (Table 4) were very close to the predicted values under the same drying and rehydration conditions. The maximum absolute error obtained in the validation process was 4.46%. It proved the consistency and accuracy of the obtained model.

### Table 4. Validation results.

| Validation                  | Quality of Rehydrated Apples |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|                             | MG  | SL  | VG  | CC  | DT  | EC  |
| Experimental values ($y_{ei}$) | 3.48| 0.59| 4.68| 11.7| 5.3 | 162 |
| Predicted values ($y_{pi}$)  | 3.51| 0.57| 4.77| 11.2| 5.4 | 159.8|
| Errors = $\frac{y_{ei} - y_{pi}}{y_{pi}} \times 100\%$ | 0.85| 3.5 | 1.88| 4.46| 1.85| 1.38|

### 4. Conclusions

The effect of drying (drying temperature $T_d$: 50–70 °C, air velocity $v_d$: 0.5–1 m/s, characteristic dimension $CD$: 1.5–5.78 mm) and rehydration (rehydration temperature $T_r$: 20–70 °C) parameters on the quality of rehydrated apples: color change (CC), mass gain ratio (MG), solid loss ratio (SL), and volume gain ratio (VG) and on the drying time (DT) and energy consumption (EC) required for drying of apple were investigated.

A multi-objective optimization algorithm, based on Pareto optimization, genetic algorithm and artificial neural network, was developed. Optimization objectives for simultaneous maximization, MG and VG, and for minimization, CC, SL, DT, EC, were used, whereas the objective functions were determined by application of an artificial neural network. The Pareto optimal set was formulated applying non-dominated sorting genetic algorithm II.

The parameters of apple drying and rehydration processes, derived from optimization of both processes, can be recommended for the industry application. Use of the following parameters: $T_d = 56.1 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, $v_d = 1.3 \, \text{m/s}$, $CD = 2.0 \, \text{mm}$, and $T_r = 59.2 \, ^\circ\text{C}$ results in 3.51 for MG, 0.57 for SL, 4.77 for VG, 11.2 for CC, 5.4 h for DT, and 159.8 GJ/kg for EC. Conducting both processes under received conditions allowed to obtain rehydrated apple with properties similar to the raw material properties with comparatively shorter drying time and lower energy consumption for drying.
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