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Abstract. We present a very simple family of traveling salesman instances with \( n \) cities where the nearest neighbor rule may produce a tour that is \( \Theta(\log n) \) times longer than an optimum solution. Our family works for the graphic, the euclidean, and the rectilinear traveling salesman problem at the same time. It improves the so far best known lower bound in the euclidean case and proves for the first time a lower bound in the rectilinear case.
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1 Introduction

Given \( n \) cities with their pairwise distances \( d_{i,j} \) the traveling salesman problem (TSP) asks for a shortest tour that visits each city exactly once. This problem is known to be NP-hard \[4\] and therefore much effort has been spent to design efficient heuristics that are able to find good tours. A heuristic \( A \) for the traveling salesman problem is said to have approximation ratio \( c \) if for every TSP instance it finds a tour that is at most \( c \) times longer than a shortest tour. We will consider here only metric TSP instances, i.e., TSP instances where the distances between the \( n \) cities satisfy the triangle inequality \( d_{i,j} \leq d_{i,k} + d_{k,j} \) for all \( 1 \leq i, j, k \leq n \). Well studied special cases of the metric TSP are the euclidean and the rectilinear TSP. In these instances the cities are points in the plane and the distance between two cities is defined as the euclidean respectively rectilinear distance. A third example of metric TSP instances are the graphic TSP instances. Such an instance is obtained from an (unweighted, undirected) connected graph \( G \) which has as vertices all the cities. The distance between two cities is then defined as the length of a shortest path in \( G \) that connects the two cities.

One of the most natural heuristics for the TSP is the nearest neighbor rule (NNR) \[1\]. This rule grows partial tours of increasing size where a partial tour is an ordered subset of the cities. The nearest neighbor rule
starts with a partial tour consisting of a single city \( x_1 \). If the nearest neighbor rule has constructed a partial tour \((x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k)\) then it extends this partial tour by a city \( x_{k+1} \) that has smallest distance to \( x_k \) and is not yet contained in the partial tour. Ties are broken arbitrarily. A partial tour that contains all cities yields a TSP tour by going from the last city to the first city in the partial tour. Any tour that can be obtained this way is called an NNR tour.

### 1.1 Known Results

Rosenkrantz, Stearns, and Lewis [6] proved that on an \( n \) city metric TSP instance the nearest neighbor rule has approximation ratio at most \( \frac{1}{2} \left\lceil \log n \right\rceil + \frac{1}{2} \), where throughout the paper \( \log \) denotes the logarithm with base 2. They also constructed a family of metric TSP instances that show a lower bound of \( \frac{1}{2} \log n \) for the approximation ratio of the nearest neighbor rule. Johnson and Papadimitriou [3] presented a simplified construction that yields a lower bound of \( \frac{1}{5} \log n \). Hurkens and Woeginger [2] constructed a simple family of graphic TSP instances that proves a lower bound of \( \frac{1}{4} \log n \). Moreover they present in the same paper a simple construction of a family of euclidean TSP instances that proves that the nearest neighbor rule has approximation ratio at least \( \left( \sqrt{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) (\log n - 2) \) where \( \sqrt{3} - \frac{3}{2} \leq 0.232 \). For the graphic TSP Pritchard [5] presents a more complicated construction that shows that for each \( \epsilon > 0 \) the approximation ratio of the nearest neighbor rule on graphic TSP instances is at least \( \frac{1}{2^\epsilon} \log n \).

### 1.2 Our Contribution

We will present in the next section a very simple construction that proves a lower bound of \( \frac{1}{4} \log n \) for the graphic, euclidean, and rectilinear TSP at the same time. Our construction proves for the first time a lower bound on the approximation ratio of the nearest neighbor rule for rectilinear TSP instances. Moreover, we improve the so far best known lower bound of [2] for the approximation ratio of the nearest neighbor rule for euclidean TSP instances.

### 2 The Construction of Bad Instances

We will now describe our construction of a family of metric TSP instances \( G_k \) on which the nearest neighbor rule yields tours that are much longer than optimum tours. As the set of cities \( V_k \) we take the points of a \( 2 \times (8 \cdot 2^k - 3) \)
subgrid of $\mathbb{Z}^2$. Thus we have $|V_k| = 16 \cdot 2^k - 6$. Let $G_k$ be any TSP-instance defined on the cities $V_k$ that satisfies the following conditions:

(i) if two cities have the same x-coordinate or the same y-coordinate their distance is the euclidean distance between the two cities.
(ii) if two cities have different x-coordinate and different y-coordinate then their distance is at least as large as the absolute difference between their x-coordinates.

Note that if we choose as $G_k$ the euclidean or the rectilinear TSP instance on $V_k$ then conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied. We can define a graph on $V_k$ by adding an edge between each pair of cities at distance 1. The graphic TSP that is induced by this graph is exactly the rectilinear TSP. The graph for $G_0$ is shown in Figure 1. We label the lower left vertex in $V_k$ as $l_k$ and the top middle vertex in $V_k$ as $m_k$.

![Fig. 1. The graph defining the graphic TSP $G_0$ together with a partial NNR tour that connects $l_0$ with $m_0$.](image)

Our construction of an NNR tour in $G_k$ will only make use of the properties (i) and (ii) of $G_k$. Thus with the same proof we get a result for euclidean, rectilinear and graphic TSP instances. We will prove by induction on $k$ that the nearest neighbor rule can find a rather long tour in $G_k$. For this we need to prove the following slightly more general result which is similar to Lemma 1 in [2].

**Lemma 1.** Let the cities of $G_k$ be embedded into $G_m$ with $m > k$. Then there exists a partial NNR tour in $G_m$ that

(a) visits exactly the cities in $G_k$,
(b) starts in $l_k$ and ends in $m_k$, and
(c) has length exactly $(12 + 4k) \cdot 2^k - 3$.

**Proof.** We use induction on $k$ to prove the statement. For $k = 0$ a partial NNR tour of length $12 \cdot 2^0 - 3 = 9$ that satisfies (a), (b), and (c) is shown in Figure 1. Now assume we already have defined a partial NNR tour for $G_k$. Then we define a partial NNR tour for $G_{k+1}$ recursively as follows. As $|V_{k+1}| = 16 \cdot 2^{k+1} - 6 = 2 \cdot (16 \cdot 2^k - 6) + 6 = 2 \cdot |V_k| + 6$ we can think of...
Fig. 2. The recursive construction of a partial NNR tour for the instance $G_{k+1}$. The dashed lines indicate partial NNR tours in $G'_k$ and $G''_k$.

$G_{k+1}$ to be the disjoint union of two copies $G'_k$ and $G''_k$ of $G_k$ separated by a $2 \times 3$ grid. This is shown in Figure 2.

Now we can construct a partial NNR tour for $G_{k+1}$ as follows. Start in vertex $l'_k$ and follow the partial NNR tour in $G'_k$ that ends in vertex $m'_k$. The leftmost top vertex of the $2 \times 3$-grid is now a closest neighbor of $m'_k$ that has not been visited so far. Go to this vertex, visit some of the vertices of the $2 \times 3$-grid as indicated in Figure 2 and then go to vertex $l''_k$. From this vertex follow the partial NNR tour in $G''_k$ which ends in vertex $m''_k$. A nearest neighbor for this vertex now is the rightmost top vertex of the $2 \times 3$-grid. Continue with this vertex and go to the left to reach vertex $m_{k+1}$. The partial NNR tour constructed this way obviously satisfies conditions (a) and (b). Moreover, this partial NNR tour is also a partial NNR tour when $G_{k+1}$ is embedded into some $G_l$ for $l > k + 1$.

The length of this partial NNR tour is twice the length of the partial NNR tour in $G_k$ plus five edges of length 1 plus two edges of length $\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot |V_k| + 1 \right)$. Thus we get a total length of

$$2 \left( (12 + 4k) \cdot 2^k - 3 \right) + 5 + 8 \cdot 2^k - 2 = (12 + 4(k + 1)) \cdot 2^{k+1} - 3$$

for the partial NNR tour constructed in $G_{k+1}$. This proves condition (c).

**Theorem 1.** On graphic, euclidean, and rectilinear TSP instances with $n$ cities the approximation ratio of the nearest neighbor rule is no better than $\frac{1}{4} \cdot \log n - 1$.

**Proof.** The instance $G_k$ defined above has $n := 16 \cdot 2^k - 6$ cities and an optimum TSP tour in $G_k$ has length $n$. As shown in Lemma 1 there exists a partial NNR tour in $G_k$ of length at least $(12 + 4k) \cdot 2^k - 3$. Thus the approximation ratio of the nearest neighbor rule is no better than

$$\frac{(12 + 4k) \cdot 2^k - 3}{16 \cdot 2^k - 6} \geq \frac{12 + 4k}{16} = \frac{3 + k}{4} = \frac{3 + \log \left( \frac{n+6}{16} \right)}{4} \geq \frac{1}{4} (\log n - 1).$$
3 Comments

Conditions (i) and (ii) in Section 2 are satisfied whenever the distances in $G_k$ are defined by an $L^p$-norm. Thus Theorem 1 not only holds for the $L^2$- and the $L^1$-norm but for all $L^p$-norms. As already noted in [3] and [2] one can make the NNR tour unique in the euclidean and rectilinear case (and more generally in the $L^p$-case) by moving all cities by some small amount. The $\Theta(\log n)$ lower bound of our family is independent of the city in which the nearest neighbor rule starts.
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