Blood culture contamination in the departments of paediatrics and child health at two tertiary training hospitals in central South Africa
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Background. Bloodstream infections are an important cause of mortality in children. Blood cultures (BCs) remain the primary means of identifying organisms and their antibiotic susceptibility profiles. A shortcoming of BCs is that up to 56% of positive cultures will represent contaminants. Poor adherence to standard practices applicable to BC sampling could explain an unacceptable contamination rate.

Objectives. To determine: (i) the BC contamination rate in the departments of paediatrics and child health at two tertiary hospitals in central South Africa; and (ii) BC sampling practices among paediatric clinicians.

Methods. The author determined the prevalence of BC contamination by analysis of laboratory data for the period 1 May - 27 August 2019, and assessed possible factors contributing to BC contamination by surveying paediatric medical staff with a self-administered BC practices questionnaire.

Results. Of the 244 BCs reviewed, 25.4% were positive. The most commonly isolated pathogens were coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) (33.3%), Escherichia coli (22.2%), Enterococcus faecium (16.7%) and Acinetobacter baumannii (11.1%). In total, 15.2% of the BCs yielded contaminants and 2.9% had polymicrobial growth. The most common contaminant was CoNS. Approximately 68% of clinicians were not aware of BC sampling guidelines, and even among those who were aware of the guidelines, non-compliance was reported.

Conclusions. The BC contamination rate was higher than internationally accepted rates. Educating clinicians on specific BC sampling guidelines is strongly recommended to decrease the high rate of contamination observed in this study.

Globally, severe sepsis and septic shock is one of the most important risk factors for mortality in children. Statistics South Africa reported that the global trend was also relevant to South Africa (SA), with an estimated 20% of child deaths attributable to sepsis in 2013 - 2015. Despite advances in molecular techniques and biomarkers, blood cultures (BCs) remain the primary means of identifying causative organisms of sepsis and determining the antimicrobial susceptibility of these organisms. BCs guide physicians to use appropriate antibiotics and de-escalate from broad-spectrum antibiotics to specific antibiotic therapy, which forms the cornerstone of antibiotic stewardship. For this reason, BCs are essential in the high-quality management of sepsis. The World Health Organization currently recognises BC as a priority specimen for the surveillance of antimicrobial resistance because of its clinical importance and accurate and uncomplicated methods of detection.

BC is a common investigation performed in medical microbiology laboratories. A culture medium that supports the growth of most medically important micro-organisms is inoculated with peripheral blood obtained from the patient with clinically suspected sepsis. BC bottles that flag positive during incubation have fluid aspirated for Gram staining, microscopy and inoculation of appropriate media for incubation. Specific standards related to blood sampling techniques have been developed by various international infectious diseases societies and are endorsed by the South African Society of Clinical Microbiology (SASCM). These standards are outlined in Table 1.

Despite the fact that one in five children in SA die as a result of sepsis, data on the causative organisms and prevalence of sepsis in children in SA are limited. To the author’s knowledge, no previous studies on the BC contamination rate and BC sampling methods have been conducted in the departments of paediatrics and child health at two tertiary training hospitals (Pelonomi and Universitas hospitals) in central SA. Reports in the literature on SA research regarding paediatric sepsis, BC sampling techniques and contamination rates are scarce.

Objectives

To assess the BC contamination rate and determine BC sampling practices among clinicians in the departments of paediatrics and child health at two tertiary training hospitals associated with the University of the Free State in Bloemfontein, SA.

Methods

A descriptive study was conducted in the departments of paediatrics and child health at Pelonomi and Universitas hospitals. Pelonomi Tertiary Hospital is a tertiary-level hospital in Free State Province with two general paediatric wards, an acute diarrhoea and severe acute malnutrition ward, and a paediatric intensive care unit (PICU). Universitas Academic Hospital is a tertiary hospital that receives referrals from secondary hospitals in the Free State and Northern Cape provinces of SA and the neighbouring country of Lesotho. Universitas Academic Hospital has a general paediatric ward, a paediatric cardiology high-care unit, a paediatric oncology ward and a PICU. Neonatal units at both hospitals and BCs performed on neonatal patients (<28 days after birth) in the paediatric wards were excluded from the study. Paediatric surgery and orthopaedic patients were also excluded.

The BC contamination rate for the period 1 May - 27 August 2019 was determined by accessing laboratory data of the National Health
Laboratory Service (NHLS). All BCs submitted for every patient who was admitted to paediatric wards were included in the study. The clinical relevance of any organism isolated from a BC should be interpreted in the context of the patient's presenting illness, underlying conditions and recent procedures and/or devices present. In cases where organisms traditionally labelled as contaminants (coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS), Corynebacterium species, Bacillus species, Clostridium perfringens, Micrococcus species and viridans streptococci) were cultured, these cultures were only regarded as clinically relevant if the organism was isolated from two different specimens. This approach was based on the fact that the odds of having contaminated both cultures with the same organism are low.10

Clinicians’ BC sampling practices were determined with an anonymous questionnaire completed by doctors working in the departments of paediatrics and child health during the study period. The questionnaire was broadly based on the guideline on BC sampling standards endorsed by the SASCM, as summarised in Table 1. Information obtained with the questionnaire included the participants’ level of employment, and whether they had been aware of the SASCM guideline pertaining to BCs and had received previous teaching/training on BC sampling techniques. Regarding technique-specific practices, they were asked to indicate the frequency at which the standards had been applied, ranging from always to never.

At Pelonomi and Universitas hospitals, BCs are collected by clinicians and not nursing staff. Only clinicians who had taken BCs during the study period were eligible to complete an anonymous questionnaire. The clinicians referred to in this study were consultants, registrars, medical officers and intern doctors. The specific time frame 1 May - 27 August 2019 was selected to coincide with the intern registrars, medical officers and intern doctors. The specific time frame 1 May - 27 August 2019 was selected to coincide with the intern registrars, medical officers and intern doctors. The specific time frame 1 May - 27 August 2019 was selected to coincide with the intern registrars, medical officers and intern doctors.

Data were analysed using Excel 2010 spreadsheets (Microsoft Corp., USA) and QI Macros 2020 (KnowWare International Inc., USA) add-in for Excel.

Table 1. BC standards endorsed by the South African Society of Clinical Microbiology

| No. | Standard                                                                                                             |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1   | BCs should be collected when there is clinical suspicion of a bloodstream infection                                   |
| 2   | Informed consent should be obtained prior to performing a BC                                                         |
| 3   | BCs should be obtained prior to the administration of antibiotics                                                   |
| 4   | Hand-washing should be performed prior to performing a BC                                                            |
| 5   | Hands should be disinfected prior to performing a BC                                                                |
| 6   | Sterile gloves should be used when performing a BC                                                                  |
| 7   | BCs should be drawn from peripheral sites                                                                            |
| 8   | BCs should be collected from a separate venepuncture site when an IV line is in place                               |
| 9   | The puncture site should be sterilised using an appropriate disinfectant                                             |
| 10  | Skin disinfectant should be allowed time to dry before inserting the needle                                           |
| 11  | BC bottle tops should be disinfected prior to inoculation                                                           |
| 12  | Bottle-top disinfectant should be allowed to dry prior to inoculation                                                |
| 13  | Needles should not be exchanged between BC collection and inoculation of BC bottles                                  |
| 14  | The BC should be inoculated first if blood is also collected for other tests                                         |
| 15  | A minimum of two BCs should be drawn within 24 hours                                                                 |
| 16  | Aerobic BC bottles should be used in a resource-limited area                                                         |
| 17  | A minimum of 20 mL blood should be obtained for each BC*                                                             |
| 18  | BCs should be correctly labelled                                                                                     |
| 19  | Laboratory request forms should be correctly completed                                                               |
| 20  | BC should be documented in the clinical notes                                                                        |
| 21  | BC bottles should be left at room temperature if there is a delay in transporting them to the laboratory             |
| 22  | BC bottles should be delivered to the laboratory as soon as possible                                                 |

BC = blood culture; IV = intravenous.
*Applicable to adult patients.

Prior to data collection, approval for the research was obtained from the Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Free State (ref. no. UFS-HSD2019/0377/0110). Permission for the research was also obtained from the Free State Province Department of Health and the NHLS. No patient consent was required, as only laboratory data were accessed. Written informed consent was obtained from the participating clinicians before completion of the questionnaire. Participation was anonymous and no personal information was recorded on the questionnaire.

Results

A total of 350 BCs were performed over a period of 4 months in the departments of paediatrics and child health of Pelonomi and Universitas hospitals, of which 244 (69.7%) were included in this study. Of the 106 BCs that were excluded, 2 lacked information on the patient’s age, 76 were obtained from patients <28 days old, and 28 were performed on paediatric patients admitted to wards other than the wards specified in this study (e.g. paediatric surgery wards). Only one BC bottle per patient was submitted to the laboratory in all 244 cases. Fifty-seven (23.4%) of the BCs were sampled at Pelonomi Hospital and the remaining 187 (76.6%) at Universitas Hospital. Table 2 lists the organisms isolated from the BCs, excluding the organisms present in the polymicrobial cultures. Table 3 summarises the BC findings. The rate of positive BCs was 25.4% (n=62), and of these isolates, 18 were pathogenic organisms. The results differed notably between the two hospitals, with 11 (19.3%) of the BCs performed at Pelonomi Hospital yielding positive growth compared with 27.3% (n=51) of those at Universitas Hospital. Contaminants were isolated from 14.0% (n=8) of the BCs performed at Pelonomi Hospital and 15.5% (n=29) of those at Universitas Hospital. The overall contamination rate was 15.2%.

In total, 135 BCs in this study (55.3%) were from children aged ≥28 days to <24 months, of which 27 specimens (20.0%) were
contaminated. Of all the contaminated BCs (n=37), 27 (73.0%) originated from this age group.

Of the 42 questionnaires handed out, 34 were completed, giving a response rate of 81.0%. Six (17.6%) of the participants were consultants, 17 (50.0%) were registrars, 2 (5.9%) were medical officers and 9 (26.5%) were interns. As illustrated in Fig. 1, BC sampling practices varied widely between the participants, with 15 (44.1%) indicating that they never obtained verbal consent before collecting the specimen. Three participants (8.8%) either sometimes or never washed their hands before performing the procedure, while 9 (26.5) rarely or never left the disinfectant on the patient's skin or the BC bottle tops to dry before drawing blood and inoculating the culture medium.

Table 4 summarises the participants' knowledge and practices regarding BCs and sampling techniques. Only 11 (32.4%) of the participants indicated that they were aware of the SASC guideline for BC specimen collection. Of the 8 participants (23.5%) who reported having received a lecture on the correct collection technique for BC specimen collection. Of the 8 participants (23.5%) who reported having received a lecture on the correct collection technique for BC specimen collection.

Discussion

An alarming finding from this study was the BC contamination rate of 15%, approximately three times the contamination rate at other SA public sector hospitals. Internationally, acceptable BC contamination rates are ≤5%. Factors contributing to this high contamination rate could include poor compliance with BC sampling standards, such as hand hygiene practices and inadequate skin and BC bottle-top preparation, lack of use of sterile gloves (as shown in Fig. 1), and unavailability of sterile gloves. Adverse events related purely to a high BC contamination rate include (but are not limited to): (i) an increased hospitalisation time by 1 - 5 days; (ii) an associated 20 - 39% increase in hospital fees; (iii) an 80% increase in microbiology laboratory charges; and (iv) a 40% increase in unnecessary antibiotic use with a resultant increase in antibiotic resistance, and antibiotic-associated side-effects, including allergic drug reactions.

The contamination rate of 20% found among BCs from children aged <24 months, which represented 73.0% of all the contaminated specimens, could be attributed to the technical difficulty of drawing blood from a child. Children this young are unable to co-operate, because of a lack of understanding and the pain and trauma experienced from the procedure. It is clear that this BC contamination rate needs to be addressed, not only to avoid unnecessary expenses in a resource-limited setting, but also to prevent adverse patient events. El Feghaly et al. reported that 'blood culture contamination is a safety and quality concern in children's hospitals.'

The 7 (2.9%) polymicrobial BC results were excluded from the data analysis, as obtaining clinical information regarding patients was beyond the scope of the study. In a setting where the BC contamination rate is five times greater than the international norm, it is almost impossible to differentiate between overt BC contamination and true polymicrobial sepsicaemia without clinical information. Polymicrobial sepsicaemia most commonly occurs in patients who were admitted to hospital within the preceding 90 days, patients with malignancies, patients who previously had central venous lines, and immunocompromised individuals. In a systematic review, Reddy et al. reported that 1.2% of bloodstream infections in African countries were due to polymicrobial organisms. At both the study hospitals this rate was found to be higher at ~3%, which might have been secondary to the high BC contamination rate. However, this argument may be purely speculative.

CoNS were classified as pathogenic when isolated from two different BCs from the same patient. CoNS were regarded as pathogenic (i.e. 3 CoNS bloodstream infection episodes) in 6 (2.4%) of the 244 BCs that were analysed and classified as contaminants in 31 (12.7%). In all 6 cases where CoNS were pathogenic, the patients were <24 months of age. In a district hospital in Western Cape Province, South Africa, Abrahams et al. found that the contamination rate due to CoNS was only 3%. This difference may be due to dissimilarities between the two study populations, or to variations in sampling practices.
The SA standards on BC sampling propose using alcohol or povidone iodine to disinfect the skin at the venepuncture site.²⁰ At Pelonomi and Universitas hospitals, chlorhexidine with alcohol or alcohol swabs are readily available and have therefore been used by the participants in the questionnaire survey. A recent meta-analysis reported no significant difference between chlorhexidine-alcohol and povidone iodine solutions for skin antisepsis.²⁰²⁰ A major problem with the use of alcohol swabs is that most are far too small to clean the skin surface area adequately, and the swabs dry out very quickly. For this reason, the proposed BC packs with cotton wool swabs and 70% alcohol or chlorhexidine gluconate in alcohol are preferable. Ombelet et al.⁵ described a technique where alcohol swabs were used multiple times until dirt was removed from a patient’s skin, and only then was a different antiseptic agent applied to the venepuncture site. Sixteen (47.1%) of the 34 participants in this study used alcohol swabs for skin antisepsis.

Although 30 (88.2%) of the participants indicated that they used 1 - 2 mL of blood when inoculating BC bottles, <50% (n=13; 43.3%) of this subgroup indicated that they always used this blood volume. The remaining 14 (46.7%) frequently inoculated the BC bottles with 1 - 2 mL of blood, and 3 (10.0%) sometimes. In a quality improvement initiative study by El Feghaly et al.,¹⁵ it was noted that nursing staff routinely sampled 1 - 3 mL of blood, irrespective of a patient’s body weight. They reported that this specific volume of blood was used because no optimised blood volume charts were available in their setting.¹⁵ This situation also applies in our setting and possibly explains the varying frequency at which clinicians sampled different blood volumes for paediatric BCs. Multiple authors agree that by optimising the blood volume inoculated into BC bottles, the BC yield is significantly improved.¹⁴,¹⁵,¹⁶,¹⁷

Despite roughly a third of participants indicating that they were aware of the SASCM guideline on BC sampling, only one participant completed the questionnaire in a manner reflecting compliance with this guideline. This finding emphasises the importance of improving clinicians’ knowledge and skills pertaining to the correct sampling techniques required when performing BCs on paediatric patients.

In this study, we attempted to evaluate BC sampling practices and not only the BC contamination rate. We differentiated between results regarding contaminants and pathogens and identified errors in BC sampling practices. The limitations of our study were that we did not evaluate: (i) the availability of proper equipment for performing BCs; (ii) the clinical indications for BC sampling; (iii) whether contaminant organisms were treated as pathogens on clinical grounds or not; (iv) BC request forms; (v) sites from which BCs were sampled; (vi) the volume of blood inoculated in each BC bottle; and (vii) the timing of antibiotic administration and BC sampling; while (viii) BC practices were self-reported and not directly observed.

**Recommendations**

The following recommendations are proposed to improve specimen collection techniques when performing BCs on paediatric patients:

- Pictorial wall charts should be put up in procedure rooms in paediatric wards at Pelonomi and Universitas hospitals, stressing the importance of correct BC sampling techniques.
- In younger children, assistance with restraining the child while taking the specimen may help to decrease the BC contamination rate.
- Weight-based BC volume charts should also be put up in the procedure rooms in paediatric wards.
- Weekly antibiotic stewardship rounds should serve as an opportunity to educate clinicians on the best practice when sampling BC specimens.
- Quarterly refreshing of BC sampling guidelines and techniques for all clinicians working in the paediatric departments of Pelonomi and Universitas hospitals should be considered.
- Prepacked BC kits and procedural checklists should be used.
- Standard-sized small alcohol swabs in prepacked BC kits should preferably be replaced with cotton wool swabs and 70% alcohol
or chlorhexidine gluconate in alcohol to ascertain adequate skin antisepsis.

- Quarterly review of the BC contamination rate at these institutions should be monitored following the implementation of these recommendations, and adherence to set targets (e.g. <5% or <3%) should be achieved.
- Future studies need to be conducted in these institutions to assess blood volume and BC yield and the clinical indications for BC sampling.

**Conclusions**

We found a BC contamination rate that was substantially higher than internationally accepted rates. We also demonstrated that clinicians were not aware of BC sampling guidelines. Among the 32.4% of clinicians who were aware of these guidelines, compliance was not observed, with only one participant’s questionnaire results indicating adherence to the guidelines.
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**Table 4. Participants’ knowledge and practices regarding BCs**

| Questionnaire item                                                                 | n (%) |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------|
| Are you aware of the South African Society of Clinical Microbiology guideline for BC specimen collection? | 11 (32.4) |
| Yes                                                                               | 11 (32.4) |
| No                                                                                | 23 (67.6) |
| Since starting to work in the Department of Paediatrics and Child Health, have you ever had a lecture about the importance of BCs? | 8 (23.5) |
| Yes                                                                               | 8 (23.5) |
| No                                                                                | 26 (76.5) |
| Since starting to work in the Department of Paediatrics, have you ever had a lecture about the correct collection technique of BCs? | 3 (8.8) |
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| When there is a delay in BC transport to laboratory, do you leave the BC bottle at room temperature? | 1 (2.9) |
| Yes                                                                               | 1 (2.9) |
| No                                                                                | 30 (88.2) |
| What volume of blood do you inoculate into paediatric BC bottles?                 | 88.2% |
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BC/s = blood culture/s.
3. Dien Bard J, McElvania TeKippe E. Diagnosis of bloodstream infections in children. J Clin Microbiol 2016;54(6):1418-1424. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02919-15
4. Buttery JP. Blood cultures in newborns and children: Optimising an everyday test. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2002;87(1):F25-F28. https://doi.org/10.1136/fn.87.1.F25
5. Ombotet S, Barbi R, Afflalot D, et al. Best practices of blood cultures in low- and middle-income countries. Front Med 2019;6:531. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2019.00531
6. Rhodes A, Evans LE, Alhannan W, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign: International guidelines for management of sepsis and septic shock. 2018. Intensive Care Med 2017;43(5):804-845. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-017-4836-6
7. Ahmed MS, Whitelaw AC, Orth H. Time for a culture change? Suboptimal compliance with blood culture standards at a district hospital in Cape Town. S Afr Med J 2015;105(12):1039-1043. https://doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.2015.v105i12.9442
8. World Health Organization. Global Antimicrobial Resistance Surveillance System: Manual for early implementation. Geneva: WHO, 2015. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/203865/9789241549400_eng.pdf?ua=1 (accessed 5 August 2021).
9. Ntusi N, Aubin L, Oliver S, et al. Guideline for the optimal use of blood cultures. S Afr Med J 2010;100(12):1075-1076. https://doi.org/10.7196/SAMJ.4217
10. Lamy B, Dargère S, Arendrup MC, et al. How to optimise the use of blood cultures for the diagnosis of bloodstream infections? A state-of-the-art. Front Microbiol 2016;7:697. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00697

Accepted 22 October 2021.