Long non-coding RNA FENDRR inhibits cell proliferation and is associated with good prognosis in breast cancer
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Introduction: FENDRR is a long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) that mediates the modification of the epigenetic landscape of target promoters by binding to polycomb repressive complex 2. However, the role of FENDRR in breast cancer remains unknown.

Materials and methods: We detected the expression of FENDRR in 52 breast cancer patients’ tissues and five breast cancer cell lines. The association between FENDRR expression and clinicopathological features and prognosis of breast cancer patients was also analyzed. Moreover, cell proliferation assays, flow cytometry analysis, wound-healing assays, and transwell migration assays were performed to detect the biological effects of FENDRR in the breast cancer cells. A xenograft model was used to explore the role of FENDRR expression on tumor growth.

Results: We found that FENDRR expression was lower in breast cancer cell lines and cancerous tissues than in the adjacent normal tissues. Low expression of FENDRR was associated with a shorter overall survival and a shorter progression-free survival in breast cancer patients (p<0.001, p<0.001, respectively). We found that FENDRR inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation and migration and promotes cell apoptosis, while FENDRR knockdown promotes breast cancer cell proliferation and migration and suppresses cell apoptosis. Finally, we also detected that FENDRR overexpression could inhibit tumor growth in a xenograft model.

Conclusion: Our data suggested that FENDRR inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation, promotes cell apoptosis, and is associated with good prognosis in breast cancer. Thus, FENDRR plays an important role in the growth and progression of breast cancer.
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Introduction

The vast majority of the human genome is made up of non-coding RNA (ncRNA), apart from about 2% protein-coding genes.¹ Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a class of ncRNAs, which have a length of more than 200 nucleotides. So far, a large number of lncRNAs have been recognized and found to play functional regulatory roles in the expression of nearby genes, the activation and localization of proteins, and the organization of small RNAs.² Accumulated findings implicate that the dysregulated expression of lncRNAs plays an important role in the cancer development process, including proliferation, apoptosis, and metastasis.³⁴ In addition, recent reports have reported that lncRNAs exhibit distinct gene-expression patterns and play functional roles in various types of carcinomas, including colon cancer, prostate cancer, leukaemias, hepatocellular cancer, and breast cancer.⁵⁻⁹ Therefore, functional lncRNAs could be used for clinical cancer diagnosis, and serve as potential therapeutic targets.¹⁰
FENDRR located at chr3q13.31 has a length of 3,099 nucleotides and consists of four exons. It was reported that FENDRR is a lateral mesoderm-specific lncRNA and is essential for heart and body wall development in a mouse model.11 FENDRR was confirmed to bind to both Trithorax group/MLL protein complexes (TrxG/MLL) and polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2), which play significant roles in the regulation of chromatin structure and gene activity.12,13 Grote et al reported that embryos lacking FENDRR displayed increased expression of several transcription factors regulating differentiation, accompanied by a reduction in PRC2 occupancy along with decreased H3K27 trimethylation and/or an increase in H3K4 trimethylation at their promoters.11 Xu et al reported that low expression of FENDRR occurs in gastric cancer and is associated with poor prognosis.14 FENDRR overexpression could suppress migration and invasion in gastric cancer cells by downregulating fibronectin1 (FN1) and matrix metalloprotease 2/9 (MMP2/MMP9) expression.14 However, the role of FENDRR in breast cancer remains yet to be found.

In the present study, we found that FENDRR expression was lower in breast cancer cell lines and cancerous tissues than in the adjacent normal tissues. Low expression of FENDRR was associated with a shorter overall survival (OS) and a shorter progression-free survival (PFS) in breast cancer patients. Ectopic expression of FENDRR in breast cancer cells significantly inhibited cell proliferation and migration and promoted cell apoptosis, while FENDRR knockdown promoted cell proliferation and migration and suppressed cell apoptosis. Moreover, we also detected that FENDRR overexpression could inhibit tumor growth in a xenograft model. These results suggested that FENDRR plays an important role in the growth and progression of breast cancer and could be used as a new therapeutic target for breast cancer patients.

Materials and methods

Clinical samples

Breast cancer tissues were collected from The First Affiliated Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (Nanjing, People’s Republic of China) between July 2011 and March 2012. None of the patients received chemotherapy or radiotherapy prior to surgery. All patients whose tissue samples were used in this research provided written informed consent. Experiments were approved by the Ethics Committee of Jinling Hospital and were conducted in compliance with the Helsinki Declaration. Histological parameters were determined in accordance with the criteria of the World Health Organization. Pathological staging was performed in accordance with the current International Union against Cancer tumor-lymph node metastasis classification.

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of 52 breast tumor tissue samples was performed as previously described.15,16

Cell lines and mice

Cell lines MCF-7, BT-474, SK-BR-3, HCC1937, and MDA-MB-231 were purchased in 2015–2016 from the Chinese Academy of Science Committee Type Culture Collection Cell Bank (Shanghai, People’s Republic of China). Cells were cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 or DMEM medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO2. BALB/c athymic nude mice (female, 4–6 weeks) were purchased from the Department of Comparative Medicine, Jinling Hospital (Nanjing, People’s Republic of China), and maintained in a pathogen-free facility. Animal studies were performed in accordance with institutional guidelines.

Plasmids and transient transfection

Plasmids pcDNA-FENDRR and shRNA-FENDRR were all chemically synthesized by Realgene (Nanjing, People’s Republic of China). Cells (1×10⁵ cells/well) were plated in six-well plates 24 h prior to transfection. Plasmids were then transfected into cells using TurboFect Transfection Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Following incubation at 37°C for 24 h, cells were collected. Transient transfection was performed as previously described.15–18

Cell survival (MTT) assay

A total of 1×10⁴ cells per well were seeded into 96-well plates and transfected with required plasmids for 48 h. Following addition of 20 µL of 0.5 mg/mL MTT solution (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO, USA) to each well, the medium was replaced with 200 µL dimethyl sulfoxide after 4 h and vortexed for 10 min. Absorbance was measured at 490 nm with a microplate reader (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., Hercules, CA, USA) to determine the relative numbers of viable cells. Assays were performed independently three times.

Cell apoptosis analysis

Cells were treated with plasmids for 48 h, then harvested by trypsinization (no EDTA), and washed with phosphate-buffered saline. Analysis of the cell apoptosis was performed as previously described.15–18 Each sample was tested in triplicate, and untreated cells were used as controls.

Quantitative real-time PCR

Total cellular RNA was extracted from different cell types using TRIzol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and reversely transcribed
according to the manufacturer’s instruction using the Step One System (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA). Relative gene expression was determined by the ΔΔCt method based on glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) levels, and results were expressed as fold change over different conditions. Primer sequences (forward and reverse, respectively) were as follows: FENDRR, 5′-TAAAGTGCAGATCCCCTCGG-3′ and 5′-AACGGTTCGACATTTAGC-3′; GAPDH, 5′-GGGACGCAAAAGGGTCAT-3′ and 5′-GAGTCTTCCACGATACCAA-3′. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed as previously described.15–18

**Colony formation assay**

Cells were seeded in six-well plates at a density of 500 cells per well. After 14 days, the colonies were fixed with 70% ethanol and stained with 0.1% crystal violet. Then, the number of colonies larger than 1 mm was manually counted. These experiments were repeated at least three times.

**Wound-healing assay**

Cell migration was measured using a wound-healing assay. In brief, cells were seeded in 12-well plates and cultured to confluence. Wounds of 1.0 mm width were created with a plastic scriber, and cells were washed and incubated in a serum-free medium. After 48 h of wounding, cultures were fixed and observed under a microscope. A minimum of five randomly chosen areas was measured and the distance of cell migration to the wound area was determined.

**Transwell migration assays**

Cell migration was assessed with modified Boyden chamber (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, NJ, USA) assays. Briefly, approximately 1.0x10^5 cells were plated into the upper chamber of a polycarbonate Transwell filter chamber (Corning Inc., Corning, NY, USA). After 24 h, cells that did not migrate were removed from the top side of the inserts with a cotton swab. Migrated cells were stained with crystal violet and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde followed by counting under a microscope. Cells were counted in five random fields per insert. Three independent experiments were carried out.

**Xenograft transplantation**

Approximately 5.0x10^6 MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with pcDNA-FENDRR vector or control vector were subcutaneously transplanted into the right side of the posterior flank of nude mice (n=4 mice/group). After 4 weeks, mice were euthanized and tumors were harvested.

**Statistical analysis**

SPSS Statistics 21.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) or Student’s t-test. Data are presented as means ± SD of three independent experiments. The log-rank test was used to assess statistical significance of Kaplan–Meier plots. The chi-square test was used for IHC data. A p-value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**FENDRR had low expression in breast cancer tissues and cell lines, and was associated with good prognosis in breast cancer patients**

To investigate the role of FENDRR in breast cancer, we detected the expression levels of FENDRR in 52 breast cancer samples using quantitative polymerase chain reaction assays. As shown in Figure 1A, FENDRR expression was significantly lower in tumor tissues than in the adjacent normal tissues (p<0.05). The patients were divided into a low FENDRR expression group (16) and a high FENDRR expression group (36) according to the mean value of relative FENDRR expression (15-fold, adjacent normal/tumor). Relationship between expression of FENDRR and clinicopathological features of the 52 breast cancer patients is shown in Table 1. We found that the expression of FENDRR was significantly associated with the lymph nodes status, and progesterone receptor (PR), and HER-2 expression (p=0.006, 0.016, 0.008, respectively). The low expression levels of FENDRR were associated with more lymph nodes metastasis, PR negative expression, and HER-2 positive expression. However, there was no significant correlation between FENDRR expression and other clinicopathological features such as age, tumor size, histological grade, and estrogen receptor (ER) expression status (p>0.005, Table 1). We also analyzed the association between FENDRR expression levels and the prognosis of the 52 breast cancer patients. As shown in Figure 1B and C, FENDRR expression and the clinicopathological characteristics on PFS and OS were evaluated. The results showed that patients with a low FENDRR expression had a shorter OS and a shorter PFS (p<0.001, Figure 1B; p<0.001, Figure 1C, respectively). Moreover, univariate and multivariate analyses of clinical variables considered as potential predictors of survival are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Analysis in both univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards model showed that FENDRR expression was strongly associated with OS (p=0.001, p=0.047, respectively;
Furthermore, the expression of FENDRR was an independent prognostic indicator of PFS (hazard ratio [HR] = 0.578; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.454–0.735; \( p = 0.001 \)) and OS (HR = 0.001; 95% CI, 0.001–0.675; \( p = 0.047 \)) in patients with breast cancer (Tables 2 and 3).

Next, we detected the expression of FENDRR in five breast cancer cell lines; SK-BR-3, MCF-7, HCC-1937, BT-474, and MDA-MB-231. We found significantly lower expression of FENDRR in triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC) cell lines HCC-1937 and MDA-MB-231, while the expression levels of FENDRR in the non-TNBC cell lines SK-BR-3, BT-474, and MCF-7 were high (Figure 1D). Taken together, these results clearly showed that FENDRR had low expression in breast cancer tissues and cell lines, and was associated with good prognosis in breast cancer patients.

FenDrr inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation and promotes cell apoptosis

As shown in Figure 1D, the expression of FENDRR was high in the MCF-7 cell line and was low in MDA-MB-231 cell line. Thus, we transfected MDA-MB-231 cells with pcDNA-FENDRR vector to induce the expression of FENDRR, and MCF-7 cells with shRNA-FENDRR vector to inhibit FENDRR expression (Figure 2A and B). Next, using MTT assay and

Table 1 Relationship between expression of FENDRR and clinico-pathological features of breast cancer patients

| Variables | Total (n=52) | FENDRR low (n=16) | FENDRR high (n=36) | p-value |
|-----------|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------|
| Age       |              |                   |                    |         |
| <45 years | 43           | 82.7              | 14                 | 87.5    | 29     | 80.6   | 0.704 |
| ≥45 years | 9            | 17.3              | 2                  | 12.5    | 7      | 19.4   |       |
| Tumor size, cm |            |                   |                    |         |
| <2        | 16           | 30.8              | 2                  | 12.5    | 14     | 87.5   | 0.071 |
| 2–5       | 33           | 63.5              | 12                 | 75.0    | 21     | 58.3   |       |
| ≥5        | 3            | 5.8               | 2                  | 12.5    | 1      | 2.8    |       |
| Histological grade | |                   |                    |         |
| I–II      | 21           | 40.4              | 3                  | 18.8    | 18     | 50.0   | 0.064 |
| III       | 31           | 59.6              | 13                 | 81.3    | 18     | 50.0   |       |
| Lymph nodes |            |                   |                    |         |
| 0         | 27           | 51.9              | 4                  | 25.0    | 23     | 63.9   | 0.006*|
| 1–3       | 11           | 21.2              | 3                  | 18.8    | 8      | 22.2   |       |
| ≥4        | 14           | 26.9              | 9                  | 56.3    | 5      | 13.9   |       |
| ER        |              |                   |                    |         |
| Positive  | 33           | 63.5              | 8                  | 50.0    | 25     | 69.4   | 0.179 |
| Negative  | 19           | 36.5              | 8                  | 50.0    | 11     | 30.6   |       |
| PR        |              |                   |                    |         |
| Positive  | 27           | 51.9              | 4                  | 25.0    | 23     | 63.9   | 0.016*|
| Negative  | 25           | 48.1              | 12                 | 75.0    | 13     | 36.1   |       |
| HER-2     |              |                   |                    |         |
| Positive  | 14           | 26.9              | 9                  | 56.3    | 7      | 19.4   | 0.008*|
| Negative  | 38           | 73.1              | 7                 | 43.8    | 29     | 80.6   |       |

Note: \( p<0.05 \) shown in bold.

Abbreviations: HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.
cell clone formation assay, we detected cell proliferation in the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell lines after being transfected with FENDRR or shRNA-FENDRR vector. As shown in Figure 2C and D, FENDRR overexpression inhibited cell viability, while suppression of FENDRR expression promoted cell viability in the breast cancer cell lines. We also detected that FENDRR overexpression inhibited cell clone formation, and inhibition of FENDRR expression promoted cell clone formation (Figure 2E). Next, flow cytometry analysis was used to detect the effect of FENDRR on cell apoptosis in the breast cancer cell lines. As shown in Figure 2F, FENDRR promoted MDA-MB-231 cells apoptosis and knockdown of FENDRR suppressed MCF-7 cell apoptosis. Taken together, our observation indicated that FENDRR inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation and promotes cell apoptosis.

**FENDRR inhibits breast cancer cell migration**

To investigate the effect of FENDRR on the motility of breast cancer cells, we detected cell migration in the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell lines after being transfected with FENDRR or shRNA-FENDRR vector using the wound-healing assay. As shown in Figure 3A–C, FENDRR overexpression inhibited cell migration, while suppression of FENDRR expression promoted cell migration in the breast cancer cell lines. Transwell migration assay was also used to detect the effect of FENDRR on cell motility in the breast cancer cell lines. As shown in Figure 3D–F, FENDRR suppressed MDA-MB-231 cells migration and knockdown of FENDRR promoted MCF-7 cell migration. Taken together, our observation indicated that FENDRR inhibits breast cancer cell migration.

**FENDRR inhibits tumor growth in a xenograft model**

In order to explore the effect of FENDRR in the breast cancer cells in vivo, we used BALB/c mice to establish a breast cancer subcutaneous transplantation tumor model with MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with pcDNA-FENDRR vector or control vector. After 4 weeks of transplantation, FENDRR dramatically inhibited the growth of MDA-MB-231 tumor in vivo, in comparison with the control treatment (Figure 4A and B). Moreover, we also found that FENDRR transfection could result in a significantly reduced tumor size and weight (Figure 4C and D). Tumor sections from each treatment were analyzed by IHC of proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and proliferation index Ki-67. As shown in Figure 4E, the expression levels of

**Table 2 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of overall survival in breast cancer patients**

| Variables | Univariate analysis | HR (95% CI) | p-value | Multivariate analysis | HR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age       |                     |             |         |                       |             |         |
|           | 1.020 (1.005–1.035) | 0.009*      |         | 9.438 (3.081–28.91)   | 0.001*      |         |
| Histological grade (I + II vs III) | 0.021 (0.004–0.115) | 0.001*      |         | 0.001 (0.000–1.8E4)   | 0.185       |         |
| Tumor size (<2 cm vs 2–5 cm vs ≥5 cm) | 1.493 (1.388–1.606) | 0.001*      |         | 0.008 (0.000–0.363)   | 0.013*      |         |
| Lymph nodes (0 vs 1–3 vs ≥4) | 2.387 (1.863–3.059) | 0.001*      |         | 131.2 (4.625–3.720)   | 0.004*      |         |
| ER (negative vs positive) | 2.045 (1.256–3.311) | 0.004*      |         | 1.269 (4.79–2.8E17)   | 0.034*      |         |
| PR (negative vs positive) | 0.014 (0.003–0.074) | 0.001*      |         | 0.001 (0.000–2.367)   | 0.053       |         |
| HER-2 (negative vs positive) | 14.13 (7.854–25.42) | 0.001*      |         | 2.269 (225–2.2E16)    | 0.009*      |         |
| FENDRR (negative vs positive) | 0.003 (0.001–0.028) | 0.001*      |         | 0.001 (0.001–0.675)   | 0.047*      |         |

**Note:** *p<0.05 shown in bold.

**Abbreviations:** HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.

**Table 3 Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis of progress free survival in breast cancer patients**

| Variables | Univariate analysis | HR (95% CI) | p-value | Multivariate analysis | HR (95% CI) | p-value |
|-----------|---------------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------|-------------|---------|
| Age       |                     |             |         |                       |             |         |
|           | 0.983 (0.976–0.989) | 0.001*      |         | 1.003 (0.995–1.012)   | 0.411       |         |
| Histological grade (I + II vs III) | 0.503 (0.416–0.608) | 0.001*      |         | 0.569 (0.452–0.716)   | 0.001*      |         |
| Tumor size (<2 cm vs 2–5 cm vs ≥5 cm) | 1.744 (1.650–1.843) | 0.001*      |         | 1.638 (1.527–1.757)   | 0.001*      |         |
| Lymph nodes (0 vs 1–3 vs ≥4) | 1.871 (1.697–2.063) | 0.001*      |         | 1.526 (1.332–1.749)   | 0.001*      |         |
| ER (negative vs positive) | 0.426 (0.360–0.506) | 0.001*      |         | 1.001 (0.756–1.326)   | 0.993       |         |
| PR (negative vs positive) | 0.366 (0.305–0.439) | 0.001*      |         | 0.536 (0.396–0.727)   | 0.001*      |         |
| HER-2 (negative vs positive) | 3.186 (2.687–3.776) | 0.001*      |         | 2.481 (2.027–3.037)   | 0.001*      |         |
| FENDRR (negative vs positive) | 0.486 (0.408–0.578) | 0.001*      |         | 0.578 (0.454–0.735)   | 0.001*      |         |

**Note:** *p<0.05 shown in bold.

**Abbreviations:** HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.
PCNA and Ki-67 were low in the FENDRR transfection group, in comparison with the control group (Figure 4E). Collectively, FENDRR inhibits tumor growth in a xenograft model.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we investigated the role of FENDRR in breast cancer. We detected the expression of FENDRR in 52 breast cancer patients’ tissues and five breast cancer cell lines. We found significantly lower expression of FENDRR in TNBC cell lines HCC-1937 and MDA-MB-231, while the expression levels of FENDRR in the non-TNBC cell lines SK-BR-3, BT-474, and MCF-7 were high. We also detected that FENDRR levels were lower in breast cancer cell lines and cancerous tissues than in the adjacent normal tissues.
The expression of FENDRR was significantly associated with the lymph nodes status and PR and HER-2 expression. Low expression of FENDRR was associated with more lymph nodes metastasis, PR negative expression, and HER-2 positive expression. However, there was no significant correlation between FENDRR expression and other clinicopathological features such as age, tumor size, histological grade, and ER expression status. Moreover, low expression of FENDRR was significantly associated with a shorter OS and a shorter PFS in breast cancer patients. Univariate and multivariate analyses of clinical variables also showed that FENDRR expression was strongly associated with OS and PFS in our study cohort suggesting that FENDRR expression is an independent prognostic indicator of PFS and OS in patients with breast cancer.

We also detected the biological effects of FENDRR in the breast cancer cells using cell proliferation assays, flow cytometry analysis, wound-healing assays, and transwell migration assays. We found that ectopic expression of FENDRR inhibited breast cancer cell proliferation and migration and promoted cell apoptosis, whereas knockdown of endogenous FENDRR expression significantly enhanced breast cancer cells proliferation and migration and suppressed cell apoptosis. We also observed that FENDRR overexpression could inhibit tumor growth in a xenograft model. These results suggested that IncRNA FENDRR inhibits breast cancer cell proliferation, promotes cell apoptosis, and is associated with good prognosis in breast cancer. Thus, FENDRR plays an important role in the growth and progression of breast cancer.

FENDRR is a lateral mesoderm-specific IncRNA and is essential for proper development of tissues derived from lateral mesoderm, specifically the heart and the body wall. It was reported to act by modifying the chromatin signatures of genes involved in the formation and differentiation of the lateral mesoderm lineage through binding to both the PRC2
Figure 3 FENDRR inhibits breast cancer cell migration.
Notes: (A) Wound-healing assay of MCF-7 cells transfected with a control or shRNA-FENDRR vector. (B) Wound-healing assay of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with a control or pcDNA-FENDRR vector. (C) Quantified analysis of wound healing of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. The data represent the mean ± SD. (D) Migration assay of MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with a control or pcDNA-FENDRR vector. (E) Migration assay of MCF-7 cells transfected with a control or shRNA-FENDRR vector. (F) Quantified analysis of cell migration of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 cells. The data represent the mean ± standard deviation.
Abbreviation: NC, normal control.

and TrxG/MLL complexes. Embryos lacking FENDRR displayed increased expression of several transcription factors regulating lateral plate or cardiac mesoderm differentiation, accompanied by a drastic reduction in PRC2 occupancy along with decreased H3K27 trimethylation and/or an increase in H3K4 trimethylation at their promoters. Recently, it was also reported that FENDRR contributes to invasion and metastasis in gastric cancer by downregulating FN1 and MMP2/MMP9 expression. Sauvageau et al reported that FENDRR was expressed at high levels in adult lungs, and lower levels are detectable in colon, liver, spleen, and brain. In the present study, we found that FENDRR has low expression in breast cancer cell lines and cancerous tissues, and could inhibit cell proliferation, promote cell apoptosis, and is associated with good prognosis in breast cancer. These results highlight the role of FENDRR in the regulation of growth and progression of solid cancer. However, the underlying mechanism of FENDRR in cell proliferation should be investigated in further study.

In conclusion, our results suggested that FENDRR has low expression in breast cancer cell lines and cancerous tissues, and inhibits cell proliferation, promotes cell apoptosis, and is associated with good prognosis in breast cancer. Thus, FENDRR plays an important role in the growth and progression of breast cancer. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report revealing the role of IncRNA FENDRR in breast cancer patients and cell lines. Our results would
certainly be helpful for studying FENDRR expression and its effect on the growth and progression of breast cancer and support its use as a marker in personalized therapy for breast cancer. However, large studies on underlying mechanisms involving FENDRR expression, associated with the prognosis of breast cancer patients, are needed to validate our findings.
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