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ABSTRACT

There is great need for cost effective approaches to increase patient engagement and improve health and well-being. Health and wellness coaching has recently demonstrated great promise, but the majority of studies to date have focused on individual coaching (ie, one coach with one client). Newer initiatives are bringing a group coaching model from corporate leadership development and educational settings into the healthcare arena. A group approach potentially increases cost-effective access to a larger number of clients and brings the possible additional benefit of group support. This article highlights some of the group coaching approaches currently being conducted across the United States. The group coaching interventions included in this overview are offered by a variety of academic and private sector institutions, use both telephonic and in-person coaching, and are facilitated by professionally trained health and wellness coaches as well as trained peer coaches. Strengths and challenges experienced in these efforts are summarized, as are recommendations to address those challenges. A working definition of “Group Health and Wellness Coaching” is proposed, and important next steps for research and for the training of group coaches are presented.

SINOPSIS

Existe una gran necesidad de plant- eamientos económicamente renta- bles que incrementen el compromiso de los pacientes, y mejoren su salud y su bienestar. La formación de salud y bienestar ha demostrado recientemente ser muy prometedora, pero la mayoría de los estudios realizados hasta la fecha se han centrado en la formación individual (es decir, de un entrenador con un cliente). Existen iniciativas más recientes, procedentes del área de desarrollo del liderazgo empresarial y de entornos académicos, para trasladar modelos de formación en grupo al ámbito de la atención sanitaria. El abordaje en grupo podría proporcionar un acceso rentable a un mayor número de clientes, además de contar con la posible ventaja adicional del apoyo del grupo. En este artículo destacamos algunos de los planteamientos de formación en grupo que se están llevando a cabo en Estados Unidos. Las intervenciones de formación en grupo que incluimos en este resumen provienen de diversas instituciones académicas y privadas, utilizan la formación telefónica y presencial, y cuentan con el apoyo de monitores de salud y bienestar con formación profesional, así como de colegas entrenado- dores cualificados. Resumiremos los puntos fuertes y los retos a los que se enfrentan estas iniciativas, y se ofrecerán recomendaciones para abordar dichos desafíos. Se propon- drá una definición operativa de “formación de grupos de salud y bienestar” y se mostrarán los próxi- mos pasos importantes que deben seguir la investigación y la formación de monitores de grupos.
INTRODUCTION

There is an urgent need for new approaches to decrease patient suffering, disability, and premature death, as well as skyrocketing healthcare costs. The importance of improved patient engagement has become increasingly apparent, as lifestyle behaviors are a major factor in at least 80% of chronic disease. Health and wellness coaching has been proposed as one approach to empower individuals to make choices that will improve their health and well-being. Group models would likely increase the availability, access, and potential population impact of coaching.

The past decade has seen a dramatic increase in the amount of research conducted on health and wellness coaching (“health coaching” in this article). Early research on programs that incorporate health coaching has demonstrated impressive results in the prevention of cardiovascular disease, diabetes and stroke, obesity, weight-loss maintenance, and cancer. Peer coaching also has shown great promise in promoting health behavior change. Most of these trials, however, included coaching in an individual format: one coach with one client. The potential value of coaching in a group format requires further exploration.

Why Focus On Group Health Coaching?

Group health coaching (referred to as “group coaching”) is in its infancy, and well-designed studies are needed to determine the degree to which it is efficacious and cost effective. However, a glimpse of the potential contribution of group coaching comes not only from the studies presented in this article but also from the wealth of outcomes studies of group therapy. Multiple reviews have demonstrated that group therapy is a cost-effective and beneficial treatment.

High-quality group therapy offers benefits that may yet be demonstrated in group coaching. Such benefits (ie, “therapeutic factors”) include a sense of “universalism” as group members share their common struggles and a sense of altruism derived from group members helping each other. Members of a cohesive group often experience a sense of belongingness and esprit de corps, which may empower individual group members to make positive changes. Add to that the instillation of hope and boost to self-efficacy that can be gained from seeing similar individuals make positive changes. In addition, in situations in which assimilating new information is helpful, groups offer an avenue for didactic instruction to be interwoven into the process.

Finally, while not to deny the importance of individual health coaching, group coaching offers the potential for cost savings and greater reach. Group coaching may provide a more realistic and readily available option for coaching across the population, increasing the reach of coaching in a more sustainable way than would individual coaching alone.

The purpose of this paper is to spotlight a selection of group coaching interventions currently underway or very recently concluded. Many of the strengths of group coaching services are presented, as are some of the challenges faced in these interventions and suggestions for addressing those challenges. Finally, a working definition of group coaching is proposed, as are important next steps in terms of research and training of group coaches.

Descriptions of the Coaching Teams and Featured Group Coaching Projects

Faculty and staff members of eight coaching teams from institutions throughout the United States contributed to the formulation of this article. The contributing teams and the centers they represent are presented in Table 1. The diversity of the faculty and staff listed in this table highlights the field’s multidisciplinary nature. Seven of these teams contributed a description of an ongoing or recently completed group coaching project, and these descriptions are presented in the Appendix. The task of synthesizing this information was led by faculty on the eighth team: the health coaching program at Vanderbilt University Medical Center.

Table 2 summarizes the primary characteristics of the seven coaching interventions featured in this article. These interventions included group coaching provided in person, via telephone, a combination of the two, or client choice among those options. In the coaching program that allowed individuals a choice between in-person and telephonic coaching (Duke Integrative Medicine), clients strongly preferred in-person coaching, although previous programs have shown the opposite. Most of these interventions are facilitated by professionally trained health coaches, though trained peers act as coaching facilitators in one intervention (UMN/GAC) and a combination of professional and peer coaches is used in another (Take Courage Coaching). Finally, as shown in Table 2, there is a great deal of variability in the number of coaching hours provided in these interventions. The most time-intensive intervention (Take Courage Coaching) focuses on clients who are disabled by chronic pain and associated factors (eg, opioid abuse/dependence), a particularly challenging and costly condition to address.

DISCUSSION

Several of the coauthors of this article contributed their viewpoints with regard to the strengths of group coaching as well as the challenges they experienced providing these interventions. What follows is a summary of those strengths and challenges, as well as suggestions for addressing the challenges.

Strengths of Group Coaching

A “sense of community” afforded by group coaching was identified as a primary strength of this approach. When individuals make commitments toward their goals in front of the group, they feel a greater sense of responsibility to follow through. They also feel less alone and are often open to learning from...
other clients’ experiences. For example, a discussion of clients’ “reasons for change” may spark group members to identify additional reasons to make their own changes. Clients are able to observe the myriad ways that individuals try out new behaviors in the learning process, amend their behaviors, and retry. This variety of approaches can encourage creativity and provide the courage to try something new. Courage and willingness to try new things that inherently have a greater risk of failure are further augmented by a supportive “let’s explore this together” tone of a group.

As with all coaching, nonjudgmental communication and strength-based focus are two critical elements of group coaching. These elements allow clients to experience empowerment and try new things with less fear. Clients from the UMN/GAC project explicitly mentioned such issues in their program feedback, including the statements, “I loved to see a focus on strengths and positive types of change” and “The power of authentic communication [and] support without fixation is a good way to be.”

Coaching in a group format also allows for streamlined education. If appropriate, coaches can offer content education to enhance knowledge as well as teach skills in a more efficient manner than in individual coaching. Learning and practicing new things together further strengthens the group bond. The educational efficiency may provide a more realistic and sustainable way to increase the reach of coaching. Whether in person or by phone or via the Internet, a single well-trained health coach can potentially have a positive impact on numerous clients simultaneously. Training peer facilitators to lead groups could further increase the reach of such interventions. Group coaching, whether provided by professionals or by trained peers, may offer a method to reach larger numbers of clients with fewer staff members. It should be noted, however, that while randomized controlled trials of individual coaching have begun to show the value of such services, it is premature to say the same for group coaching (see “Next Steps”).

### Challenges Reported in Group Coaching

Two major types of challenges in providing group coaching were identified: challenges with logistics (eg, client recruitment and scheduling) and challenges associated with managing group dynamics.

**Logistical Challenges.** While group coaching can reach large numbers of individuals simultaneously, it can be undermined by recruitment and scheduling issues. The group models presented in this article require an adequate number of individuals need to be both ready to make changes in their lives and consistently available at the same day and time. One partial solution to this challenge currently being evaluated at UMN/GAC is to hold “open groups” in which rolling enrollment allows members to join as soon as they are ready. Open groups are common in healthcare. Support groups typically are conducted in open formats, and probably the most well-known open approach is that of 12-step programs such as Alcoholics Anonymous and Narcotics Anonymous.

However, there are two potential drawbacks to
Table 2 Characteristics of the Featured Group Coaching Interventions

| Coaching Program | Type of Institution | Health Issues Targeted | Coaching Route | Coaching Provider | Individual Coaching Hours (h) | Group Coaching Hours (h) |
|------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| Abbot Northwestern Hospital | Nonprofit hospital, medical fitness center | General health and well-being, stress | In person | Professional coaches | 0 | 4 |
| Duke Integrative Medicine | Academic medical center | Mixed chronic medical conditions | Choice of in person or telephonic | Professional coaches | 0 | 7.5 |
| Harvard Vanguard Medical Associates | Nonprofit medical group | Mixed chronic medical conditions | In person | Professional coaches | 0 | Group 1: 6 | Group 2: 12 |
| Medica Health and Wellness Coaching | Health plan | Mixed chronic medical conditions, stress | Telephonic | Professional coaches | 2 | 8 |
| Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital/Harvard | Academic medical center | Stroke survivors and caregivers | In person | Professional coaches | 0 | 6 |
| Take Courage Coaching | Private pain management coaching corporation | Chronic pain, mixed precipitating conditions | Telephonic | Professional and trained peer coaches | 27 | 52 |
| University of Minnesota and Gustavus Adolphus College | Collaboration between a state university and 4 y private liberal arts college | General health and well-being, stress | In person, with occasional phone meetings | Trained peer coaches | 0 | Faculty: unlimited | Student: 8 |

open groups. First, even with great care, “newcomers” may not feel on equal footing with those who have been attending the group for weeks or months. Some newcomers may be comforted to see longstanding group members greet each other with first names and hugs, while others may feel more like guests or outsiders. Second, since group membership changes over time, group dynamics can shift, making cohesion more difficult to maintain. Effective group facilitation in open formats requires skilled group leaders who can create an atmosphere in which newcomers feel welcome and returning members continue to feel supported and valued.

All but one of the interventions described in this paper are delivered through a “fixed start date” or “closed” group format, which also has pros and cons. Closed groups, in which all clients begin and end the group together, benefit from allowing members to gradually bond as they face challenges, learn together, and share in the common journey as the group progresses. One downside is that clients may have to wait some period of time for the start of the next group. One solution is to offer multiple groups with start dates staggered throughout the year so clients don’t have to wait as long to get started. Individual coaching also can be used to fill the gap between when a client expresses an interest in change and the start of the next group.

Challenges Associated With Managing Group Dynamics. As with any group, coaching groups typically involve a mixture of quieter, more introverted individuals and more extroverted individuals. Well-trained coaches can manage this mix by drawing out quieter clients and redirecting talkative ones. In addition, it is useful to clearly communicate group guidelines early on (eg, the importance of clients respecting each other’s time to talk and not trying to force solutions upon their fellow group members). One of the group programs described in this article had the members create their own guidelines during the first meeting, providing an initial experience that builds group cohesion and buy-in to the guidelines. In using that approach, coaches can shape and augment the guidelines generated by the group. If, for example, group members don’t bring forth the idea of “sharing the floor,” then the coach can propose that an important guideline would be to allow all individuals time to share.

Typically, group members do not respond well to their fellow clients telling them what they “should” do, “have to” do, or “need to” do. Clients almost always note that they don’t want to be given unsolicited advice. Again, such advice giving can be minimized by involving members in explicitly setting up group guidelines in the first session. Once guidelines are in place, it is seamless for the coach to refer to them if a client begins offering advice. This further ensures the primary tone of the group: that of nonjudgment and a focus on strengths.

Multiple contributors to this article noted that group coaching is not for everyone. Some individuals may not feel comfortable or empowered in a group setting, and others may be disruptive (eg, by monopolizing sessions or by repeatedly bringing up issues better addressed within individual or group therapy). Individual interviewing and prescreening of clients prior to the start of the group may help, although this adds to program costs. If prescreening of clients is conducted, inclusion and exclusion criteria should be identified in advance, with clear referral pathways in place for those who might be better served by individual coaching, a support group, the services of a mental health professional, or for a combination of those
options. And, even with prescreening, the coach should remain prepared for clients sharing issues during the course of group coaching that may require referral, as it is common for such issues to come up only after trust has been established or as clients develop new insights and/or experience setbacks.

Given the challenges seen in managing group dynamics, professional group coaches not only require training in health coaching skills but also in skills specific to group facilitation, such as how to: (1) encourage forward momentum in the group setting; (2) monitor group participation, ensuring adequate time for all; (3) draw out individuals who process issues internally before speaking; (4) encourage participation without making uncomfortable those individuals who may be more reserved; (5) quickly modulate potentially disruptive behavior before it harms group cohesion while at the same time effectively addressing the needs of the disruptive client; and (6) celebrate the forward progress of group members while remaining sensitive to those who may be “stuck.” A peer coaching facilitator needs some level of instruction and support with these same skill sets, although with different expectations around level of competency. All of this is to say that prescreening, inclusion and exclusion criteria, and clear referral pathways in no way negate the need for solid group facilitation skills.

**Next Steps for Group Coaching**

As group coaching is now in its infancy, work is needed in multiple areas. Below are recommendations in three areas: definition/delineation of group coaching, research, and training.

**Clear Definition of Group Coaching and Delineation From Related Services.** Although outcomes research is a high priority, group coaching interventions must first be consistently defined and well-described. For example, what are the factors that clearly delineate group coaching from group therapy, support, or education? Likely, these will not be mutually exclusive group types, as coaching groups may include some aspects of education, skills building, peer support, and so on. Given the need for clear definitions, we propose the working definition of “Group Health and Wellness Coaching” presented in the Sidebar. Readers who are interested in the general roles of peer coaches are encouraged to read the overview by Thorn et al.¹⁶

**Needed Research.** Research is needed on many aspects of group coaching to determine the degree to which it is effective and economical. First, there is a particular need for randomized control trials comparing participants in group coaching to those in four different conditions: waiting controls, those receiving individual coaching, those in group education, and those in support groups. The latter two are needed to demonstrate the extent to which coaching may be a key ingredient that leads to improvements over and above the impact of increased knowledge or social support. Second, studies are needed to compare in-person, phone, and video chat–based routes. Third, the economic argument for group coaching must be empirically evaluated with cost-effectiveness studies. Fourth, research is needed to determine which client characteristics and health issues are best suited for group coaching. Finally, outcome evaluations are needed to examine the impact of group size, session length, frequency, and intervention duration.

**Standards of Training.** As with the entire field of health coaching,¹⁷,¹⁸ much work is needed to determine the educational standards, key obligatory competencies, and best practices for group coaches. It is our belief that group coaches require specialized training that includes a knowledge base and skills specific to group facilitation. Training should include trainee opportunities to observe and then be observed by experienced...
Appendix: Descriptions of the Seven Group Coaching Programs as Submitted by the Health Coaching Teams

Abbott Northwestern Hospital
Contact person: Susan Masemer, MS
Email: susan.masemer@allina.com

The Penny George Institute for Health and Healing coaching team provides individual and group coaching services for the Allina Health corporate wellness program. The group coaching format has allowed for better scaling of the program to meet the needs of 23000 potential participants. A variety of group coaching tracks are offered and address a variety of topics. Each of these tracks consists of four sessions that are 1 hour in length and are held biweekly for 8 weeks. Quality of life, goal attainment, and satisfaction scores are evaluated following the program. The desire is to provide employees with effective and enjoyable opportunities to improve their health and well-being.

One of the most popular offerings is the “Change for Good” series that is aligned with the same philosophy as individual coaching. Becoming healthier, attaining life balance, and flourishing does not happen by providing more information; it requires transformation. Transformation happens when people get in touch with what being healthy and happy means to them and how it relates to their values, beliefs, and motivators. The four-part series begins with establishing the client’s vision for health and well-being and then moves into exercises designed to elicit values, motivators, strengths, obstacles, and strategies. Steps for successful goal setting are also discussed, and clients have the opportunity on off-weeks to try out the principles, experiment with goals, and learn more about themselves. A variety of tools are used, such as strength and motivation inventories. Topics are presented in the context of overall objectives, following which participants have the opportunity to personalize the experience through pairs work, group discussion, and experiential learning such as visioning and relaxation response exercises.

Employee participants are very positive about their health and wellness coaching experiences, and this has created the opportunity for expansion of the program into patient settings as well.

Duke Integrative Medicine Clinical Integrative Health Coach Team
Contact person: Ruth Q. Wolever, PhD
Email: ruth.wolever@duke.edu

As part of a new primary care practice opened 8 months ago at Duke Integrative Medicine, primary care patients are invited to join a health coaching group when they enroll in the practice. Group series are offered either in person or via telephone, biweekly for 5 group sessions of 90 minutes each and serve 4 to 9 people per group. The objective is to support individuals in achieving a goal of their choice that is part of their larger personalized health plan. Group members that have completed the program have reported multiple aspects as being “the most helpful aspect.” (1) receiving honest and forthright feedback; (2) chance to speak without being judged; (3) references back to earlier sessions and progress made; (4) hearing various approaches to similar goals; (5) learning how to break larger goals into smaller steps; and (6) the guidance and creativity of the coach. While the program is young, there have already been a number of challenges as well: (1) misunderstandings around expectations of coaching groups (eg, individuals expecting therapy or support groups), (2) short duration (for those who have never been coached, five sessions was too short for some people to gain enough traction in larger process-oriented goals), (3) a competing offer from the primary care practice that individuals who join the practice can receive either a $200 voucher for massage and other services or receive the five-session health coaching group, and (4) perhaps most significantly, the logistics of balancing new enrollment with accruing enough patients who are available at the offered group time. While the latter two challenges threaten the continuation of the program in this format, the 54% of those 26 participants who completed the group coaching experience and provided written feedback rated their satisfaction with the experience as 9 on a 10-point scale.

Harvard Vanguard Medical Associates Health Coaching Workshop
Contact person: Heidi Dusky, MA
Email: Heidi_Dusky@vmed.org

The Harvard Vanguard Medical Associates group coaching workshop used elements from the company’s one-on-one health coaching program to spread coaching to additional sites and expand the program’s scale. Targeted outcomes were to increase patients’ awareness of their self-care, enhance their ability to be creative about managing their health, and reduce their perception of stress. Outcomes were measured through changes on a validated stress scale, participant evaluations at the workshop’s conclusion, and clinical data captured through the company’s electronic medical record.

The pilot program design consisted of four 90-minute in-person sessions and was offered at two practices. Participants were recruited through fliers and clinician referrals. Coaches met with the sites’ internal medicine departments to familiarize staff with program content, basic coaching concepts, and expected outcomes. Seventeen patients participated in the pilots, and 15 completed their respective program. One participant left because of family obligations and the other because of a change in work schedule. Participants requested that the program continue; at one site it was possible to offer four additional classes at an interval of every other week, extending that workshop to 3 months.

Participants began by articulating wellness visions and, each week, identified SMART (specific, measurable, action-oriented, realistic, and time-bound) behavior goals to help them progress toward making their vision a reality. Key content included identifying individual character strengths, developing positivity and mindfulness, and practicing mind-body stress-reduction techniques.

On their program evaluations, participants stated that they enjoyed the group’s camaraderie, support, and feedback. We believe the group also fostered collective self-efficacy as patients developed greater self-awareness, became solution-focused, and changed behaviors. Based upon scores from a validated measure of stress, all participants who completed the program reported reductions in perceived stress.

Medica Health Plans
Contact person: Chelsea Anderson, MSW
Email: Chelsea.Anderson@medica.com

Group Coaching is available to Medica’s health plan members interested in making lifestyle changes. The program builds on Medica’s successful Health and Wellness Coaching program that was introduced in 2008, which offers a wide variety of personalized tools and resources to help participants make changes to improve their health. Medica offers three group coaching themes that run weekly for 8
weeks. These include Maintaining a Healthy Weight, Stress Management, and Managing Your Health Condition. Medica administers several groups simultaneously, with four to 10 participants per group. Prior to the start of the group sessions, each participant talks individually with a health coach to ensure the group setting is an appropriate fit for him or her. Each participant and his or her coach also has an individual check-in halfway through the group sessions and at its conclusion. Participants join in hour-long discussions via telephone to offer one another encouragement, suggestions, and support in partnership with a Medica Health Coach.

Medica’s Health and Wellness Coaching program improves health and generates health cost savings while delivering a high degree of satisfaction among program participants, according to a recent study by an independent third party that evaluated 1051 individual participants over 3.5 years. Outcomes are measured using before-and-after interviews as well as cost and utilization data obtained through health plan claims. Early results of group coaching program satisfaction demonstrate positive participant experience, and based on our experience with individual health coaching, we expect improved health and health cost savings to follow.

Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital Stroke Center  
Contact person: Elizabeth Pegg Frates, MD  
Email: efrates1@partners.org

This group coaching model was implemented to address the needs of stroke survivors and their caregivers with the aim of increasing not only their knowledge base about stroke risk factors, warning signs, and disease management and prevention but also their self-efficacy in leading a healthy lifestyle and reducing the risk of a recurrent stroke.

A physiatrist/certified health and wellness coach led the weekly sessions, which lasted 1.5 hours. The sessions started with introductions and weekly highlights. After that, there was a 15 to 20-minute informational/educational interactive session about stroke basics (week 1, warning signs of stroke; week 2, risk factors for stroke; week 3, prevention of stroke; week 4, review and goals moving forward) and then over an hour during which each participant had the opportunity to share part of his or her story, struggles, obstacles, motivators, strengths, and/or goals with the group. The leader used a motivational interviewing style calling upon health coaching techniques such as listening, reflecting, collaborating, asking open-ended questions, using problems as opportunities to learn, brainstorming, and SMART goal setting. All of the sessions were in a conference room and around a table, with all of participants face to face.

At the end of each session, the participants reported what they felt was the most helpful part of the session. Answers to this question varied each week and included knowing how to prevent a second stroke, hearing other people’s stories, and sharing one’s own story and having people actually listen to it. Outcomes included increased readiness to change in all participants in one or more aspects of a healthy lifestyle including exercising each day, eating a low-fat/low-cholesterol diet, reducing salt intake, increasing fruit and vegetable intake, and improving stress management. In addition to an improvement in readiness, most participants also moved into action in one or more areas including increasing minutes walking, adding more fruits and vegetables into daily meals, and quitting smoking.

Take Courage Coaching  
Contact person: Rebecca Curtis, ACC  
Email: becky@takecouragecoaching.com

Take Courage Coaching (TCC) was founded by Becky Curtis in 2008, following a near-fatal car accident. TCC uses group coaching to help individuals change from passive, isolated, and dependent into active participants in their own self-management. To bring at-home pain management tools to those living with chronic pain, in a 52-week program that includes group coaching by telephone, TCC coaches facilitate a discussion around a topic related to changing the relationship with pain.

Individuals suffering from chronic pain often live in isolation—an existence that heightens pain and lowers self-esteem. With the breakdown of these obstacles through peer validation and support, the client begins to question personal thought patterns and barriers to change. The concept of neuroplasticity is introduced as a means to relearn and reframe thoughts and experiences.

The coach facilitates the discussion while group members share experiences and solutions that contribute to development of a changed relationship with pain. Improvements are seen as group members form a bond with those who validate their losses and challenges and then support their visions and goals. Group coaching includes open-ended questions, reflective observations, and sharing in a manner that involves all members. A shift toward a more positive outlook is seen early in the process and soon transforms into development of acceptance and the building of self-efficacy. The skills learned allow group members to transition from helpless victims to resourceful, creative individuals who renew friendships and regain happiness and satisfaction.

Results are measured with a validated pain questionnaire given initially, at 6 months, and at 1 year. Outcomes include reduced healthcare costs, improved functionality, mitigation of opiate use, reduction in pain-related fear, return to work, and improved relationships.

University of Minnesota and Gustavus Adolphus College  
Contact person: Karen Lawson, MD  
Email: lawsonk@umn.edu

In 2012, Gustavus Adolphus College (GAC) committed “to purposefully foster the health and well-being of individual members of the college, creating a healthy organizational culture in which all members of the community can thrive personally and professionally.” While health coaching was considered a useful intervention, individual coaching for all students was not an affordable initial measure. Therefore, through collaboration with the University of Minnesota’s Center for Spirituality and Healing, a model of peer-based, group well-being coaching was developed.

In June 2012, a group of GAC faculty and staff members took part in a 3-day training developed by the blended team to work on deep listening and effective questioning skills, mindful presence, and goal setting. Some of these participants went on to facilitate ongoing small coaching groups for other GAC faculty and staff members, beginning in the summer term. Most groups met in person, though sometimes by phone, every 1 to 2 weeks.

In August 2012, 16 chosen student leaders participated in a 3-day training session, after which they met bi-weekly in groups of four to practice coaching with each other. In February 2013, 32 sophomore students and a matched set of control students were recruited to participate in weekly, hour-long small groups (4-6 participants), each led by a pair of the trained student peer coach facilitators. All students and facilitators took both before and after assessments of psychological well-being, personal growth, and mindfulness using validated instruments and also provided qualitative feedback during focus groups following the pilot’s completion in May 2013.

This group well-being coaching pilot has been well received by faculty, staff, and students. Student facilitators have already reported gains in deep-listening and goal-setting skills as a result of their participation. This pilot model hopefully will support both faculty and student development in regard to well-being, mindfulness, and personal growth.
group coaches using different routes (eg, in-person, telephonic, and video conferencing). Based upon accepted training standards, specialty training in the provision of group coaching should occur after and build upon appropriate training and experience in individual health coaching. In addition to it being an accepted standard that specialty training follow general training, it also is common sense: If a coach does not possess the skills to coach an individual in making progress, it seems unlikely he or she could do so with an entire group of individuals simultaneously. Finally, the development of successful models for group coaching should be informed by the decades of research available with other types of group intervention (eg, group therapy, group education, support groups, group work in organizational development).

CONCLUSION

Health coaching holds promise as a relatively new intervention that may help individuals improve their ability to prevent and manage chronic illness and optimize long-term well-being. Given the relatively limited number of professionally trained health coaches available in this new profession, group coaching may be a way to leverage both the services of coaches and the innate power of humans to help themselves and others. Many questions remain, but group coaching to support positive change and improve patient engagement may have the potential to diminish suffering, improve well-being, and save significant public and private resources.
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