Single-Step Synthesis of Manganese Ferrite Nanoparticles with Enhanced Magnetization via Chemical Co-precipitation Route
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Abstract

Single-domain manganese ferrite nanoparticles were synthesized through one-step chemical co-precipitation technique using diethanolamine which acted simultaneously as precipitating and capping agent. The synthesized nanoparticles were characterized by XRD, FTIR, TGA, EDX, FESEM and VSM. XRD pattern showed the presence of peaks corresponding to the single-phase inverse spinel structure with an average crystallite size of 59.6 nm. The average particle size determined by FESEM was 46.8 nm. In addition, the magnetic properties of the nanoparticles analyzed by VSM exhibited nearly superparamagnetic property with a high saturation magnetization of 77.31 emu/g with little coercivity (10.53 emu/g) and remanence (9.32 emu/g) at 300 K temperature. TGA and FTIR results confirmed the binding of diethanolamine onto the surface of manganese ferrite nanoparticles. The synthesized nanoparticles exhibited single crystalline phase with improved magnetic properties.
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1. Introduction

Typical spinel-type ferrite nanoparticles possess some outstanding properties such as the large surface area to volume ratio derived from nanometer size, superparamagnetic behaviour and high saturation magnetization [1,2]. Such unique properties of ferrite nanoparticles make them a suitable candidate for various technological and biological applications [3-5]. The properties of ferrite nanoparticles are highly dependent on the composition, morphology and size which are strongly connected with the preparation conditions. This implies that such properties of ferrite nanoparticles can be tuned or engineered during the synthesis process. Many preparation routes such as hydrothermal,
co-precipitation, thermal decomposition, sol-gel and reverse micelle have been developed to prepare the single-domain ferrite nanoparticles [5,6].

Focusing on cost-effectiveness, synthetic time scale and easy scalability aqueous co-precipitation technique is one of the preferred choices for producing water-dispersible ferrite nanoparticles. The particles’ morphology, composition, and magnetic properties synthesized by co-precipitation route are greatly affected by various factors like the type of salts used (e.g. chlorides, sulfates, nitrates), the precursors’ ratio, the reaction temperature, the pH value and ionic strength of the media [2]. The control of the particle size distribution, crystallinity, and magnetic properties through this route is still challenging [2,6,7]. However, at the nanoscale, a reduction in the particle size often implies a decrease in the saturation magnetization due to the surface spin-canting effect [8,9]. This is especially noticed in ferrite nanoparticles prepared by co-precipitation since they exhibit greater structural disorder due to the increased contribution of the magnetic “dead” layer [10]. Furthermore, diamagnetic organic capping agents reduce the magnetic property of the synthesized nanoparticles significantly [11,12]. As the magnetic properties can be tuned by selecting appropriate synthetic methodology, it is inevitable to quest a suitable synthetic route for the preparation of spherical ferrite nanoparticles with high saturation magnetization, low coercivity, and low remanent magnetization for various applications. In this context, the quest for aqueous co-precipitation approaches that allow tuning of the particle size and magnetic properties remains an ongoing challenge.

It is worthwhile to mention that the use of suitable organic bases alternative to traditional inorganic bases such as NaOH, KOH and so on offers multifold advantages. Those include the truncation of synthesis steps, reducing the synthesis time, avoiding the use of additional capping agent, and cost-effectiveness. In this view, C. Freire et al. [13] used first-time isopropanolamine and diisopropanolamine, homologues of alkanolamine series, to get the dual functions of co-precipitating and capping agent. They found improved magnetic properties of several ferrites through single-step synthesis. Diethanolamine (DEA) possesses excellent chelating properties due to having one or more hydroxyl groups and amines [14]. It can play an important role as both co-precipitating and capping agent which can prevent the agglomeration tendencies of synthesized particles and tunes the magnetic property (saturation magnetization) of manganese ferrite (MnFe$_2$O$_4$) nanoparticles. To our best knowledge, there is no report on the use of DEA for the synthesis of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles in homogeneous aqueous solution. In this paper, the synthesis of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles in high yields through one-step co-precipitation route based on the use of DEA as co-precipitating together with capping agent and the characterization of synthesized material is reported.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and reagents

Iron (III) chloride hexahydrate (≥99.9%), manganese (II) chloride tetrahydrate (≥99.9%), diethanolamine (or 2,2′-Iminodiethanol) (≥99.5%) were obtained from Merck, Germany.
Ultrapure water (Millipore, specific resistivity 18.2 MΩ cm) was used throughout the experiments. All reagents were used without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of MnFe₂O₄ nanoparticles

The MnFe₂O₄ magnetic nanoparticles were prepared by the aqueous co-precipitation of Mn (II) and Fe (III) chlorides (Mn²⁺/Fe³⁺ ratio of 0.5) under alkaline conditions using DEA (or 2,2'-Iminodiethanol) as precipitating agent. Briefly, 50 mL of 0.1 M (5 mmol) MnCl₂·4H₂O solution and 100 mL of 0.1M (10 mmol) FeCl₃·6H₂O solution were mixed at a pH 3 and heated at 50 °C, afterwards quickly added to 100 mL of 3.0 M DEA solution (pH 11−12) under magnetic stirring. A black precipitate formed immediately and exhibited a strong magnetic response. The stirring was continued for 2 h at 100 °C. The reaction was carried out at inert atmosphere of N₂ gas. After that time, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the precipitate was magnetically separated and washed with de-ionized water several times to remove the residual electrolyte. Finally, the sample was dried at 70 °C.

2.3. Physicochemical characterization

Different analytical tools were employed to characterize the synthesized MnFe₂O₄ magnetic nanoparticles (MNP). Powder X-ray Diffraction (XRD) measurements were performed at room temperature over the angle from 10 to 70° (2θ°) with monochromatic CuKα radiation (λ=1.5406 Å) using Philips X’Pert Pro diffractometer (PW 3040/60, Netherlands) under the Bragg–Brentano θ/2θ configuration.

Fourier Transform IR (FTIR) spectra of MnFe₂O₄ magnetite nanoparticles were taken in KBr pellets (Merck, spectroscopic grade) containing 1 wt% MNPs. The measurements were made using a Perkin-Elmer FTIR spectrometer (1760X, USA). High signal-to-noise spectra were acquired at a nominal resolution of 4 cm⁻¹ over the full spectral range (400−4000 cm⁻¹) with an acquisition number of 32.

Thermogravimetric (TG) Analysis of MnFe₂O₄ magnetic nanoparticles was used to determine the amount of adsorbed ligand mass on the nanoparticles. The experiments were performed using a Perkin-Elmer (STA 8000, USA) under a nitrogen atmosphere at a gas flow rate of 20 mL/min. The powder samples were heated at a constant rate of 20 °C/min from 100 to 500 °C and held at 500 °C for 30 min. The loss in mass after heating is accounted for the removal of water and organic moieties from solid nanoparticles.

The surface morphology of MnFe₂O₄ magnetic nanoparticles was observed with JEOL Field Emission Scanning Electron Microscope (FESEM) (JSM-7600F, Japan) operating at an accelerating voltage of 50 kV. The average particle sizes and size distributions were calculated from the diameters of at least 200 distinct particles randomly selected from the FESEM micrographs. The size of the nanoparticles was measured from the image using ImageJ software.

The magnetic properties of the dried MnFe₂O₄ magnetic nanoparticles were studied using a commercial MicroSense Vibration Sample Magnetometer (VSM), (EV9, USA).
The isothermal magnetization (M) versus applied magnetic field (H) was performed over the temperature range 300 K with H up to 1000 Oe.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Structure, composition and morphology

Crystal structure of MnFe₂O₄ magnetic nanoparticles was analyzed by XRD technique. XRD pattern was shown in Fig. 1, from which it is observed that MnFe₂O₄ particles synthesized in the presence of DEA showed characteristics peaks at 2θ = 18.71°, 30.18°, 35.43°, 37.51°, 43.11°, 52.15°, 56.90°, and 62.59° that can be assigned to (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511) and (440) lattice planes of cubic unit cell of ferrite. The excellent matching of the observed peaks with JCPDS no. 10-0319 for MnFe₂O₄ without invisibility of any peaks in the diffraction patterns supported the formation of single-phase ferrite with cubic spinel crystallite structure. Furthermore, peak broadening and slight small value, 8.493 Å of lattice parameter estimated from diffraction pattern compared to bulk counterparts (8.499 Å for MnFe₂O₄, JCPDS no. 10-0319) evidenced the particles of fine crystallite size [15]. The average crystallite size was calculated using the Debye–Scherrer approximation (Eq.1),

\[ D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \]  

where β is the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the strongest (311) XRD peak in radians in the 2θ scale, K is the Debye–Scherrer constant (~0.94 for spherical nanoparticles), λ is the incident X-ray wavelength (1.5406 Å), θ is the diffraction angle. Using Eq. 1, the average size of crystallite was estimated to be 59.6 nm.
Fig. 2 shows the FTIR spectrum of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles. The FTIR spectrum (4000 - 400 cm$^{-1}$) of magnetic nanoparticles dispersed in KBr revealed that a broad band of MNPs at 548 cm$^{-1}$ could be ascribed to Mn–O stretching vibration which is a direct indication about the formation of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles with inverse spinel structure [16]. A broadband around 3451 cm$^{-1}$ and 1631 cm$^{-1}$ can be ascribed due to the O–H stretching and bending vibration frequencies, respectively, of adsorbed water [17,18] and DEA molecules. Since DEA is a secondary amine and a broadband band due to its N–H stretching vibration mode expected to be appeared in the range of 3500-3300 cm$^{-1}$ is vanishingly weak [19], the coincident of the expected weak N–H stretching band with that of O–H at 3451 cm$^{-1}$ makes it difficult for distinct detection. However, several bands in the fingerprint region of range 1000–1510 cm$^{-1}$ are detected. The peak mainly appeared at 1072 cm$^{-1}$ is assigned due to the C–N stretching vibration [19] indicating the adsorption of DEA on the surface of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles.

The amount of adsorbed water and DEA on the nanoparticles surface is further quantified by TGA. TGA results (Fig. 3 and Table 1) show two-step weight loss for MnFe$_2$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles. An initial weight loss in the temperature range of 30–120 °C may be attributed to the removal of physically adsorbed water in the sample [20]. The second significant weight loss within the range of 120–400 °C can be attributed to the decomposition of DEA bounded on the surface, as indicated by FTIR spectra.
Manganese Ferrite Nanoparticles

Fig. 3. TGA curves of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles.

Table 1. Chemical composition and partial weight loss.

| Nanomaterial | EDX | Partial weight loss % |
|--------------|-----|------------------------|
|              | wt % Mn | wt % Fe | Fe : Mn molar ratio | 30-150 °C | 150-450 °C |
| MnFe$_2$O$_4$ | 24.79    | 50.05   | 1.98                | ~3        | ~5         |

*Partial weight loss was determined by TGA.

The total metal content and thereby chemical composition of the synthesized MnFe$_2$O$_4$ samples was determined from Energy Dispersive X-ray (EDX) Spectroscopy (Fig. 4). The metal (Mn:Fe) in the prepared sample was calculated to be 1:1.98 (Table 1), which is a little lower than the theoretical stoichiometry and the chemical composition. This is likely due to partial surface oxidation or adsorption of alkanolamine on the nanomaterial surface [21] as confirmed by TGA.

Fig. 4. EDX spectra of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles.
The surface morphology of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles was studied with field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM). The surface morphology and size distribution are shown in Fig. 5. From the histogram represented by Fig. 5(d) corresponding to FESEM image of Fig. 5(c), the average particle size of synthesized nanomaterial is estimated to 46.8 nm. The size of grains was also determined from the XRD pattern using the Scherrer equation and found to be around 59.6 nm which is comparable to the value obtained from FESEM image. It is observed that the nanoparticles tend to somewhat agglomerate. This is likely due to the absence of an effective stabilizing agent. Being a small neutral molecule, DEA is not capable of providing steric or electrostatic stabilization to a greater extent.

![FESEM images of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles at different magnifications](image)

**Fig. 5.** FESEM images of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles at different magnifications; (a) low, (b) medium, and (c) high magnifications. The size distribution of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles calculated from FESEM image (c) is shown in (d).

### 3.2. Magnetic property

M-H data of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ magnetic nanoparticles measured at 300K are schematically illustrated in Fig. 6. Coercivity ($H_c$), remanence ($M_r$) and saturation magnetization ($M_s$) obtained from measured M-H data are presented in Table 2. It is discernible that the
values $H_c$ and $M_r$ are quite small indicating the sample of superparamagnetic nature at 300K temperature. The observed $M_s$ value of 77.31 emu/g is comparable to the reported [22] value of 78.3 emu/g for 47.3 nm MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles synthesized through complicated hydrothermal method followed by calcination process. It is also noted that $M_s$ value is slightly less than the bulk value of 80 emu/g at 300 K [23]. This is probably due to the imperfect cations distribution between different crystallographic tetrahedral and octahedral sublattices in the inverse spinel structure [24]. The critical diameter, assuming spherical particles, below which the particles possess superparamagnetic behavior for manganese ferrite is 42.9 nm [25,26]. Since the diameter (46.8 nm measured by FESEM) of the synthesized nanoparticles is closed to the critical diameter with little coercivity (10.53 emu/g) and remanence (9.32 emu/g), the synthesized nanoparticles show nearly superparamagnetic behavior.

Table 2. Morphology and magnetic property.

| Nanomaterial | $<d_{XRD}>$ nm$^a$ | $<d_{SEM}>$ nm$^b$ | $M_s$(emu/g)$^c$ | $H_C$(Oe)$^d$ | $M_r$(emu/g)$^e$ |
|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|------------|----------------|
| MnFe$_2$O$_4$_DEA | 59.6 | 46.8 | 77.31 | 10.53 | 9.32 |

$^a$Average crystallite size as estimated by XRD. $^b$Average particle size as estimated by SEM assuming a log-normal particle size distribution. $^c$Saturation magnetization (the maximum possible magnetization). $^d$Coercivity (the intensity of the applied magnetic field required to reduce the magnetization of nanoparticles to zero). $^e$Remanent magnetization (the magnetization left in nanoparticles when the external magnetic field is removed).

![Magnetization curve of MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles measured at 300 K.](image)

4. Conclusion

In summary, MnFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles were synthesized by one-step aqueous co-precipitation route using DEA organic base. The use of DEA base furnished a simple, versatile, and cost-effective co-precipitation route for the high-yield synthesis of MnFe$_2$O$_4$.
MNPs featuring improved magnetic properties. DEA functioned as both of the precipitating and capping agent. The synthesized nanoparticles exhibited high saturation magnetization with little coercivity and remanence. It is believed that the use of organic base in co-precipitation synthetic route is highly desired for a step forward design and high yields of nanomaterials with the potential interest of various applications.
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