Targeting EP4 downstream c-Jun through ERK1/2-mediated reduction of DNMT1 reveals novel mechanism of solamargine-inhibited growth of lung cancer cells
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Abstract

Lung cancer is the most common cancer and the leading cause of cancer deaths worldwide. We previously showed that solamargine, one natural phytochemicals from traditional plants, inhibited the growth of lung cancer cells through inhibition of prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) receptor EP4. However, the potential downstream effectors of EP4 involving in the anti-lung cancer effects of solamargine still remained to be determined. In this study, we further verified that solamargine inhibited growth of non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) cells in multiple cell lines. Mechanistically, solamargine increased phosphorylation of ERK1/2. Moreover, solamargine inhibited the protein expression of DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) and c-Jun, which were abrogated in cells treated with MEK/ERK1/2 inhibitor (PD98059) and transfected with exogenously expressed DNMT1 gene, respectively. Interestingly, overexpressed DNMT1 gene antagonized the effect of solamargine on c-Jun protein expression. Intriguingly, overexpressed c-Jun blocked solamargine-inhibited lung cancer cell growth, and feedback resisted the solamargine-induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2. A nude mouse xenograft model implanted with lung cancer cells in vivo confirmed the results in vitro. Collectively, our results show that solamargine inhibits the growth of human lung cancer cells through reduction of EP4 protein expression, followed by increasing ERK1/2 phosphorylation. This results in decrease in DNMT1 and c-Jun protein expressions. The inter-correlations between EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun and feedback regulation of ERK1/2 by c-Jun contribute to the overall responses of solamargine in this process. This study uncovers an additional novel mechanism by which solamargine inhibits growth of human lung cancer cells.
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Introduction

Lung cancer is the most common cancer and the leading cause of cancer mortality worldwide [1]. Most patients with lung cancer are diagnosed with an advanced, unresectable disease resulting in a poor prognosis. Among them, more than 80% of lung cancers are non-small-cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with little changes of low 5-year survival rate [1]. Although recent advances in understanding of the biological characteristics of this illness and multidisciplinary therapeutic approaches, such as individuated chemotherapy, targeted therapies, immune approaches and improved supportive care, have been reported [2, 3], the outcome remains dismal for patients with advanced disease. The choice of treatment for patients with advanced NSCLC still remains a significant challenge [4]. This largely affects the quality of life and patient survival. Therefore, searching for more effective adjuvant strategies with maximizing efficacy and minimizing adverse effects is highly desired.

Natural compounds, such as solamargine (SM), the component of solanum lycocarpum fruit glycoalkaloid extract, demonstrated antitumour properties in several cancer types [5–9]. Early study found that combination of low concentrations of SM with low-toxic topoisomerase II inhibitor epirubicin synergistically accelerated apoptotic cell death through up-regulation of Fas expression and down-regulated the expressions of HER2 and topoisomerase II alpha (TOP2A) in NSCLC A549 and H441 cells [10]. Recently, one study showed that solamargine effectively inhibited the growth of melanoma cells, while minimum effects were observed in normal and benign cells, through triggering and disrupting both extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic
pathways [11]. We previously demonstrated that solamargine inhibited the growth of NSCLC cells through inactivation of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase/Akt (PI3-K/Akt) signalling pathway, followed by reduction of transcription factors, such as SP1 and p65, expressions. In turn, this resulted in the inhibition of prostaglandin E2 receptor E-prostanoid receptor 4 (EP4) gene expression [12]. However, the detailed mechanisms underlying this, especially the role of potential downstream effectors of EP4 in this process, still have not been well elucidated.

DNA methylation is the most common epigenetic modification in the mammalian genome. DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1), the major epigenetic enzyme, maintains the epigenetic state of DNA and genome stability by replicating CpG methylation signatures and producing heritable methylation patterns through cell metabolism [13, 14]. Through the interactions with transcription factors, non-coding RNAs, oncogenes and tumour suppressors, DNMT1 influenced cell survival, cell cycle arrest, senescence and cell death via methylation-dependent and methylation-independent pathways, which resulted in aberrant activation of the multiple downstream signals and controlled expression of genes, leading to cancer growth, progression and metastasis [15–17]. Thus, approaches for inhibition of DNMT1 may become novel strategies for treating cancers [18, 19].

The transcription factor AP-1 (activating protein-1), a heterodimer of the c-Jun and c-Fos proteins, plays an important role in growth and metastasis of various tumours [20]. As a member of the AP-1 family of transcription activating complex and proto-oncogene, overexpressed c-Jun showed to significantly enhance cell growth and reduce apoptosis partly through regulation of AP-1 target genes and other pro-invasion genes associated with resistance to anti-cancer agents resulting in poor survival [21–24]. Thus, targeting c-Jun could be potential for the prevention and treatment of cancer [23, 25].

The E-prostanoid receptor 4 (EP4) subtype for prostaglandin E2 (PGE2), the family members of G protein-coupled receptors, involves in a variety of biological functions, such as inflammation, allergy, parturition, tumorigenesis, growth and metastasis [26]. Studies demonstrated that highly expression of EP4 has been found in several tumour types including lung and involved in development and progression of several cancer types [26–30]. Thus, that targeting EP4 signalling demonstrated the therapeutic potential in the prevention and treatment of cancer [26–32]. We previously demonstrated the critical role of EP4 expression in mediating the anti-lung cancer effects of solamargine [12]. As such, the functional role of EP4 and its downstream signalling in lung cancer onset and progression remain to be determined. While the information for the links of EP4 and c-Jun in lung cancer development and progression has been reported [33, 34], the association between EP4, c-Jun to DNMT1 remained largely unknown [35].

In this study, we further explored the potential mechanism by which solamargine inhibits growth of human lung cancer cells. Our results demonstrated that the DNMT1 and c-Jun acted as the potential downstream effectors of EP4 in mediating the anti-lung cancer responses of solamargine.

Materials and methods

Cell culture and chemicals

The human cancer lines H1650, H1975, PC9, A549 and H1299 were obtained from the Chinese Academy of Sciences Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection (Shanghai, China). All cell lines have been tested and authenticated for absence of Mycoplasma, genotypes, drug response and morphology. Cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (obtained from Gibco, Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA) with supplemented 10% foetal bovine serum. Lipofectamine 3000 reagent was purchased from Invitrogen (Shanghai, China). The polyclonal antibody against EP4 was obtained from Abcam (Cambridge, MA, USA). The antibodies against DNMT1, c-Jun, the phosphor-form (Thr202/204) of extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2 (ERK1/2), and MEK/ERK1/2 inhibitor PD98059 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology Inc (Beverly, MA, USA). Other chemicals unless indicated were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Cell viability assay

Cell viability was measured using the 3-(4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2, 5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay [36]. Briefly, lung cancer cells were harvested and seeded in a 96-well microtiter plate followed by treating with solamargine (6 μM) for up to 48 hrs. Afterwards, MTT solution (20 μl, 5 g/l) was added to each well, and cells were incubated at 37°C for an additional 4 hrs. Finally, the 200-μl solvent dimethyl sulfoxide was added to each well for 10 min. The ELISA reader (Perkin Elmer, Victor X5, USA) was used to detect the Absorbance at 490 nm. Cell viability (%) was calculated as follows: (absorbance of test sample/absorbance of control) × 100%.

Cell cycle analysis

This procedure was reported previously [12, 36]. Briefly, NSCLC cells were cultured in 6-well plates at 2 × 10⁵ cells/well and treated with increased doses of solamargine for 24 hrs. Afterwards, the cells were harvested, washed and resuspended in cold PBS and ethanol for 2 hrs at 4°C. The fixed cells were incubated in 1 ml of 0.1% sodium citrate containing propidium iodide (Pi) RNase for 30 min at room temperature. The cell cycle distribution was detected by flow cytometry (FC500; Beckman Coulter, FL, USA), and the percentage of cells within the G0/G1, S, and G2/M phases were analysed using the MultiCycle AV DNA Analysis software (Phoenix Flow Systems, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

Western blot analysis

The detailed procedure was reported previously [17, 36]. In brief, equal amounts of protein from cell lysates were solubilized and separated on SDS polyacrylamide gels. Membranes were incubated with antibodies against EP4, DNMT1, c-Jun, total and phosphor-form (Thr202/204) of ERK1/2, followed by incubating with a secondary antibody raised against rabbit IgG conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Cell Signaling
Technology, Inc., Beverly, MA, USA). Afterwards, the membranes were visualized and enhanced chemiluminescence (Immobilon Western; Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA), followed by observing the signals under the Molecular Imager ChemiDoc XRS Gel Imagine System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and documenting the results.

**Transient transfection assay**

This procedure was reported previously [12]. The control or EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun overexpression constructs (pCMV6-AC-DNMT1, pCMV6-AC-c-Jun, pCMV6-AC-EP4) were obtained from OriGene Technologies, Inc. (Rockville, MD, USA). Briefly, cells were seeded in 6-well dishes and grown to 50–60% confluence. For each well, 2 μg of control, DNMT1, c-Jun and EP4 plasmid DNA constructs were separately transfected into the cells using Lipofectamine 3000 reagent (Invitrogen, Shanghai, China) for up to 24 hrs based on the instruction from the provider, followed by treating with solamargine for an additional 24 or 48 hrs for other experiments.

**In vivo xenograft animal model**

Experiments were performed according to the guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine. A total of 33 eight-week-old female nude mice obtained from Guangdong Provincial Research Center for Laboratory Animal Medicine (Foshan, Guangdong, China) were maintained at the Animal Center of Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine in a specific pathogen-free environment with food and water provided. A549 cells carrying luciferase report gene (A549-Luc, obtained from the Guangzhou Land Technology Co., Guangzhou, China) (1 × 10⁶ cells) in 100 μl PBS were injected subcutaneously in nude mice and allowed to grow for over 1 week when the initial measurement was made with calipers. Mice were randomly divided into control, low (4 mg/kg) and high doses (8 mg/kg) of solamargine group, which given via gavages (once another day) for up to 30 days (n = 11/group).

For bioluminescence imaging (BLI) procedure, mice were anesthetized by inhalation of 2% isoflurane. The D-luciferin (150 mg/kg in approximately 100 μl, Caliper Life Sciences, Hopkinton, MA, USA) was injected into the peritoneal cavity of nude mice. The IVIS-200 Imaging System (Xenogen/Caliper, Alameda, CA, USA) was used to measure the intensity of BLI signal. Tumour volume measurements were calculated using the formula for an oblong sphere: volume = (width² × length). Quantification of bioluminescence was reported as photons/sec. The bodyweights of the mice were measured once a week. All mice were killed on day 30 in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

**Statistical analysis**

All experiments were repeated a minimum of three times. Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, CA, USA) with the use of an unpaired Student’s t-test (for comparison between two groups); a one-way ANOVA with Tukey’s multiple comparison tests. The results in graphs were presented as percentage of control. Asterisks shown in the figures indicate significant differences in experimental groups in comparison with the corresponding control condition. A value of P < 0.05 was regarded as statistically significant.

**Results**

**Solamargine inhibited growth in multiple lung cancer cell lines and induced cell growth arrest in H1299 NSCLC cells**

We previously showed that solamargine inhibited the growth of lung cancer cells [12]. Herein, we found that solamargine (6 μM) inhibited growth in multiple NSCLC cell lines (Fig. 1A). By performing the cell cycle experiment, we also observed that, compared with the untreated control cells, solamargine significantly increased the proportion of cells at G0/G1 phase, while the proportion of cells at S phase was reduced at the 6 μM solamargine in H1299 NSCLC cells (Fig. 1B). These results again indicated the inhibitory property of solamargine for lung cancer cells.

**Solamargine increased the phosphorylation of ERK1/2**

We next explored the signalling pathways that may be involved in the inhibitory effect by solamargine in lung cancer cells. We showed that solamargine increased the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in a time-dependent fashion with significant induction observed between 2 and 24 hrs in H1299 and A549 cells (Fig. 2A). Previously, we found that solamargine decreased the protein expression of EP4 in lung cancer cells [12]. Intriguingly, herein, we observed that exogenously expressed EP4 significantly resisted the solamargine-induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2 in H1299 and A549 cells (Fig. 2B). The above results indicated that solamargine reduced EP4 protein expression, followed by increasing the phosphorylation of ERK1/2 although more in-depth experiments underlying this are still required to better elucidating this.

**Solamargine inhibited protein expression of DNMT1 through activation of ERK1/2**

Next, we further searched for potential molecular targets that mediated cell growth inhibitory effect of solamargine. Several lines of evidence have demonstrated that high expression of DNMT1 was found in several cancer types including NSCLC and that targeting of DNMT1 suppressed cancer cell growth [36–38]. In this study, we showed that solamargine reduced the protein expression of DNMT1 in H1299 and A549 cells (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, the inhibitors of MEK/ERK1/2 (PD98059) significantly abrogated the effect of solamargine on DNMT1 protein expression suggesting that solamargine
Fig. 1 Solamargine inhibited growth in multiple lung cancer cell lines and induced cell growth arrest in H1299 cells. (A) Lung cancer cell lines (H1650, H1975, PC9, A549 and H1299) were treated with solamargine (6 μM) for up to 48 hrs. Afterwards, the cell viability was determined using the MTT assay as described in the Materials and Methods section. (B) H1299 cells were treated with increased concentrations of solamargine for up to 48 hrs. Afterwards, the cells were collected and processed for analysis of cell cycle distribution by flow cytometry after propidium iodide (PI) staining. And the percentages of the cell population in each phase (G0/G1, S and G2/M) of cell cycle were assessed by Multicycle AV DNA Analysis Software. Data are expressed as a percentage of total cells. Values are given as the mean ± SD from three independent experiments performed in triplicate. *Significant difference as compared with the untreated control group (P < 0.05).

© 2016 The Authors. Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Foundation for Cellular and Molecular Medicine.
inhibited protein expression of DNMT1 through activation of ERK1/2 (Fig. 3B).

**Solamargine inhibited c-Jun protein through inhibition of DNMT1 expression; exogenously expressed c-Jun resisted the solamargine-inhibited cell growth**

Moreover, to gain insight into the molecular mechanism by which solamargine-inhibited cell growth, we examined the potential downstream effectors of DNMT1. We showed that solamargine inhibited transcription factor c-Jun protein expression, which was abrogated in cells transfected with exogenously expressed DNMT1 (Fig. 4A–B). Interestingly, exogenous expression of c-Jun overcame the effect of solamargine on cell growth inhibition in H1299 and A549 cells (Fig. 4C). Note that overexpressed c-Jun had no effect on solamargine-reduced DNMT1 protein expression (Fig. 4D). Together, the above results suggested that c-Jun acted as one of downstream effectors of DNMT1 and that inhibition of c-Jun was involved in solamargine-inhibited lung cancer cell growth.

**Overexpression of EP4 blocked the solamargine inhibited c-Jun protein expression; exogenously expressed c-Jun feedback reversed solamargine-induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2**

To explore the possible functional relevance of EP4 expression changes following inhibition of c-Jun by solamargine, we determined the ability of EP4 to regulate the c-Jun expression. To this end, we showed that exogenously overexpressed EP4 blocked solamargine-inhibited c-Jun protein expression (Fig. 5A). Intriguingly, exogenously expressed c-Jun feedback antagonized in part solamargine-induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2 (Fig. 5B), while it had no effect on EP4 protein expression (Fig. 5C). These findings indicated that c-Jun could be one of downstream effectors of EP4 and that a negative feedback regulatory loop of ERK1/2 by c-Jun was occurred in this process.
**In vivo** anti-cancer activity in lung cancer cell is associated with reduction of EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun protein expressions and induction of phosphorylation of ERK1/2

We also tested the effect of solamargine in tumour growth and expressions of EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun in xenografted mouse model. We found that, compared with the control group, the high-dose solamargine-treated mice showed a significant delayed tumour growth, without any severe adverse events, as assessed by the Xenogen IVIS200 System (Fig. 6A). In addition, we noticed a significant reduction of the tumour weight and sizes in the high doses of solamargine treatment group as compared with the control group (Fig. 6B–D). By Western blot, fresh tumours harvested from the experiment showed that solamargine significantly decreased EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun protein expression and induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2 *in vivo* in the high-dose solamargine treatment group compared with the control group (Fig. 6E).

**Discussion**

Although improvements in early diagnosis and clinical treatment strategies have been made, the overall 5-year survival for NSCLC patients still remains low [39], and the need to elucidate in-depth mechanisms involved in the tumorigenesis of NSCLC and search for potential therapeutic targets are much required [1, 40]. Thus, while studying and developing novel and new therapeutics to augment currently available treatment regiments with less adverse effects are

---

Fig. 3 Solamargine inhibited protein expression of DNMT1 through activation of ERK1/2. (A) H1299 and A549 cells were exposed to increased concentration of solamargine for 24 hrs. Afterwards, the expression of DNMT1 proteins was detected by Western blot. (B) H1299 and A549 cells were treated with PD98059 (10 µM) for 2 hrs before exposing the cells to solamargine (6 µM) for an additional 24 hrs, followed by measuring the p-ERK1/2 and DNMT1 protein expressions by Western blot. Values in bar graphs were given as the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *Significant difference compared with the untreated control group (P < 0.05). **Significant difference from solamargine treated alone (P < 0.05). ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2.
important, further understanding of the molecular mechanisms of certain anti-cancer agents for the treatment of malignancies such as lung cancer is also highly warranted.

We previously showed that solamargine, a steroidal alkaloid glycoside extracted from the traditional Chinese herb *Solanum incanum*, inhibited the growth of lung cancer cells through inactivation of
PI3-K/Akt signalling pathway, followed by reducing SP1 and p65 expression. In turn, this resulted in inhibition of prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4 gene expression [12]. We previously found that EP4 overexpressing cells showed only a partial rescue from growth inhibition by solamargine [12], suggesting other additional players may be involved in this process. In this study, we provided an additional mechanistic evidence demonstrating that solamargine also affected the EP4 downstream effectors (DNMT1 and c-Jun), thereby suppressing lung cancer cell growth. Our results suggest that activation of ERK played a role in this process. We reasoned that activation of this signalling pathway could be part of the anti-tumour mechanism of solamargine although more studies are needed to confirm this.

Fig. 5 Overexpression of EP4 blocked the solamargine-inhibited c-Jun protein expression; exogenously expressed c-Jun feedback reversed solamargine-induced phosphorylation of ERK1/2. (A) A549 and H1299 cells were transfected with control and EP4 expression vectors for 24 hrs before exposing the cells to solamargine (6 μM) for an additional 24 hrs. Afterwards, the EP4 and c-Jun proteins were determined using Western blot. GAPDH was used as internal control. (B–C) A549 and H1299 cells were transfected with the control or expression construct of c-Jun for 24 hrs before exposing the cells to solamargine (6 μM) for an additional 24 hrs. Afterwards, the expressions of c-Jun (B–C), EP4 (C) and p-ERK1/2 (B) were determined by Western blot. GAPDH was used as internal control. Values in bar graphs were given as the mean ± SD from three independent experiments. *Significant difference compared with the untreated control group (P < 0.05). **Significant difference from solamargine treated alone (P < 0.01). ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2.
Fig. 6 In vivo anti-cancer activities in lung cancer cells are associated with reduction of EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun protein expressions and induction of phosphorylation of ERK1/2. Mice \( n = 11 \) (group) were divided to three groups [Con (saline), Low \( L, 4 \text{ mg/kg} \) and High \( H, 8 \text{ mg/kg} \) doses], and solamargine was given around the 7th day after tumour cells injection by gavages daily for up to 30 days. (A) The xenografts were assessed by in vivo bioluminescence imaging at the day 7 and the end of the experiments. The tumour growth was monitored by injecting luciferin in the mice followed by measuring bioluminescence using IVIS Imaging System. Quantification of signals was controlled by the acquisition and analysis software living image as described in Materials and Methods section. Representative images are shown. (B and C) The xenografts were harvested, and the volume and weight of tumours were measured. (D) The photographs of control and solamargine-treated xenografts and nude mice are shown. (E) At the end of the experiments, xenografted tumours were isolated from individual animals and the corresponding lysates were processed for detecting EP4, DNMT1, c-Jun proteins and phosphor-ERK1/2 by Western blot. GAPDH was used as loading control. Values in bar graphs were given as the mean \( \pm \) SD from three independent experiments. *Significant difference from untreated control \( (P < 0.05) \). (F) The diagram shows that solamargine inhibits the growth of human lung cancer cells through reduction of EP4 protein expression and induction of phosphorylation of ERK1/2. This results in decrease in DNMT1 and c-Jun protein expressions. The inter-correlations between EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun, and negative feedback regulation of ERK1/2 by c-Jun contribute to the overall responses of solamargine in this process. ERK1/2, extracellular signal-regulated kinases 1/2.
activation of ERK axis has been reported to be involved in the anti-tumour activities including lung cancer by different active compounds in several other studies although no studies showed the link of ERK signalling to the effects of solamargine [36, 41–43]. On the contrary, opposite findings regarding the role of ERK signalling have been reported in other studies, such as the overall survival was significantly reduced in NSCLC patients with higher pERK1/2 expression [44–48]. Thus, the possible dual roles of ERK in terms of tumour suppressor or tumour promoter have been depended on the activity of ERK signalling, feedback loops, interaction with other kinase signalling pathways, and different cell types studied [49].

Our results also implied that inhibition of EP4 expression was required in solamargine-induced activation of ERK signalling, implying a possible upstream molecule of ERK signalling in this process. Regulation of PGE2 receptor subtypes, such as EP4 gene expression, has been shown to be involved in the influencing activation of several kinases including ERK in other studies demonstrating the complicated signalling networks that affected the tumour growth and progression, and the indispensable role of EP4 prostanoïd receptor as a therapeutic target for the cancer treatment [50–52]. Whether the effect of solamargine-induced activation of ERK signalling was truly linked to EP4 expression or potential parallel signalling pathways (EP4 versus ERK) affected by solamargine existed still required to be determined.

Furthermore, our results suggested the critical role of DNMT1 in mediating the effect of solamargine on inhibition of lung cancer cell growth. Recent studies showed that, as critical epigenetic factors and tumour promoters, targeting of DNMT1 controlled the growth of several cancer cell types implying the important role of this molecule [15, 16, 53, 54]. We believed that, unfavourable tumour-promoting role, DNMT1 could be a novel target in mediating the inhibitory effect of solamargine in lung cancer intervention. Moreover, we demonstrated a causative role of transcription factor c-Jun that may involve in the effects of solamargine on lung cancer cell growth. Our findings suggested that c-Jun may be one of downstream effectors of EP4 and DNMT1 and that inhibition of c-Jun was required to mediate the effect of solamargine on lung cancer cell growth. The associations and links of EP4 and DNMT1 expressions to c-Jun signalling have been shown in other studies [33, 34, 55]. Expression of EP4 expression was involved in the PGE2-induced oncogenic gene, such as phosphoinositiode-dependent kinase-1 (PDK1) and α7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR), expression through inhibition of c-Jun in bronchial epithelial and lung cancer cells [33, 34, 55]. Nevertheless, more experiments are required to further elucidate the possible connections between EP4 and c-Jun in this process. Of note, as the rescue effect by ectopic c-Jun expression resistant to the growth inhibition by solamargine was only partial, we reasoned that potential molecules (e.g., STAT3, HER2, among others) other than c-Jun may also be involved in the effect of solamargine-inhibited cell growth [7, 56], which need to be determined.

In addition, we observed a novel feedback regulatory loop of ERK1/2 by c-Jun. While the data of a direct feedback loop of ERK1/2 by c-Jun are scarce, the negative feedback regulatory axis of ERK1/2 signalling pathway in influencing other gene expressions and subsequently cellular functions have been reported in other studies [57–59]. Our results have demonstrated complicated regulatory signalling cascades that may involve in the overall anti-cancer effects of solamargine in this process. Nevertheless, the detailed mechanism underlying this potential link in mediating the anti-lung cancer effects of solamargine, or alternatively whether some parallel pathways have also occurred, still required to be elucidated in the future study.

We also observed the involvement of c-Jun factor in mediating the response of solamargine-inhibited lung cancer cell growth. The roles of c-Jun signalling inter-correlated with or without EP4 pathway in regulation of other gene expression, thereby influencing differentiation, angiogenesis, metastasis and invasion, have been shown in several cancer cell types [34, 60–62]. Oncogenic transcription factor AP-1 is critical for the proliferation of cancer cells, one study showed that histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDACis), one of anticancer agents, targeted the AP-1 c-Jun/Fra-1 dimer through transcriptional inhibition of mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 7 (MKK7) and RAF1 proto-oncogene serine/threonine-protein kinase (RAF1), this resulted in inhibition of growth in neuroblastoma cells [63]. Another report showed that RNA-binding protein tristetraprolin (TTP) inhibited cell proliferation in vitro and suppressed tumour growth in vivo through inhibiting c-Jun expression and therefore increased Wee1 expression, a protein kinase and a key mammalian cell cycle regulator, and blocking NF-κB/p65 nuclear translocation in breast cancer cells [64].

More importantly, our in vivo data were consistent with the findings from that in vitro, confirming the effect of solamargine on lung cancer growth inhibition and regulation of EP4 expression [12]. The doses of solamargine used were based on our series of preliminary experiments in vivo and other study [65]. In fact, there was scarce information available for the use of solamargine in vivo. We reasoned that more studies are required to confirm this. Moreover, additional studies are needed to further determine the critical role of EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun in this process using cells stable transfected with shRNAs and exogenous expression vectors of EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun genes in nude mouse model.

In conclusion, our results show that solamargine inhibits the growth of human lung cancer cells through reduction of PGE2 receptor EP4 protein expression and induction of ERK1/2 signalling. This in turn results in decrease in DNMT1 and c-Jun protein expressions. The inter-correlations between EP4, DNMT1 and c-Jun, and novel feedback regulation of ERK1/2 by c-Jun contribute to the overall responses of solamargine in this process (Fig. 6F). This study uncovers an additional novel mechanism by which solamargine inhibits growth of NSCLC cells and suggests involvement of additional downstream signalling and targets of EP4 in lung cancer prevention and treatment.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported, in part, by the Specific Science and Technology Research Fund from Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Chinese Medicine (YK2013B2N13, YN2015MS19), the Science and Technology Program of Guangzhou (20150429090456547), the Special Science and Technology Join Fund from Guangdong Provincial Department of Science and Technology-Guangdong Academy of
Liang CH, Shiu LY, Chang LC, and a grant from the National Nature Scientific Foundation of China (81272614).  

References

1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2015; 65:5–29.
2. Feng L, Yao HP, Zhou YQ, et al. Biological evaluation of antibody–maytansinoid conjugates as a strategy of RON targeted drug delivery for treatment of non-small cell lung cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2016; 35: 70.
3. Takahashi K, Saito H. Is epidermal growth factor receptor tyrosine kinase inhibitor in combination with cytotoxic chemotherapy a better treatment option for patients with EGFR-mutated non-small-cell lung tumor? Trans Lung Cancer Res. 2016; 5: 98–101.
4. Di Maio M, De Marinis F, Hirsch FR, et al. Diagnostic and therapeutic issues for patients with advanced nonsmall cell lung cancer harboring anaplastic lymphoma kinase rearrangement: European vs. US perspective (review). Int J Oncol. 2014; 45: 509–15.
5. Martins GZ, Moreira RR, Planeta CS, et al. Effects of the extract and glycoalkaloids of Solanum lycocarpum St. Hill on Giardia lamblia trophozoites. Pharmacogn Mag 2015; 11: S161–5.
6. Sani IK, Marashi SH, Kalalinia F. Solamargine inhibits migration and invasion of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells through down-regulation of matrix metalloproteinases 2 and 9 expression and activity. Toxicol In Vitro. 2015; 29: 893–900.
7. Zhou Y, Tang Q, Zhao S, et al. Targeting signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 contributes to the solamargine-inhibited growth and -induced apoptosis of human lung cancer cells. Tumour Biol. 2014; 35: 8169–78.
8. Munari CC, de Oliveira PF, Campos JC, et al. Antiproliferative activity of Solanum lycocarpum alkaloid extract and its constituents, solamargine and solasonine, in tumor cell lines. J Nat Med. 2014; 68: 236–41.
9. Chou SC, Huang TJ, Lin EH, et al. Anthelatib B virus constituents of Solanum erianthum. Nat Prod Commun. 2012; 7: 153–6.
10. Liang CH, Shiu LY, Chang LC, et al. Solamargine upregulation of Fas, downregulation of HER2, and enhancement of cytotoxicity using epirubicin in NSCLC cells. Mol Nutr Food Res. 2007; 51: 999–1005.
11. Al Sinani SS, Eltayeb EA, Coomber BL, et al. Solamargine triggers cellular necrosis selectively in different types of human melanoma cancer cells through extrinsic lysosomal mitochondrial death pathway. Cancer Cell Int. 2016; 16: 11.
12. Chen Y, Tang Q, Wu J, et al. Inactivation of PI3-K/Akt and reduction of SP1 and p65 expression increase the effect of solamargine on suppressing EP4 expression in human lung cancer cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2015; 34: 154.
13. Haruta M, Shimada M, Nishiyama A, et al. Loss of maintenance DNA methylation results in abnormal DNA origin firing during DNA replication. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2016; 469: 960–6.
14. Nishiyama A, Yamaguchi L, Nakanishi M. Regulation of maintenance DNA methylation via histone ubiquitylation. J Biochem. 2016; 159; 9–15.
15. Zhao S, Wu J, Tang Q, et al. Chinese herbal medicine Xiaoji decoction EP4 expression in human lung cancer cells through AMPKalpha mediated inhibition of Sp1 and DNA methyltransferase 1. J Ethnopharmacol. 2016; 181: 172–81.
16. Huang L, Hu B, Ni J, et al. Transcriptional repression of SOCS3 mediated by IL-6/STAT3 signaling via DNMT1 promotes pancreatic cancer growth and metastasis. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2016; 35: 27.
17. Wu J, Zhao S, Tang Q, et al. Activation of SAPK/JNK mediated the inhibition and reciprocal interaction of DNA methyltransferase 1 and EZH2 by ursolic acid in human lung cancer cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2015; 34: 99.
18. Wu Y, Wei YN, Yue WY, et al. Influence of gossypol acetic acid on the growth of human adenoid cystic carcinoma ACC-M cells and the expression of DNA methyltransferase 1. Genet Mol Res. 2015; 14: 13466–60.
19. Cheng P, Wang YF, Li G, et al. Interplay between menin and Dnmt1 reversibly regulates pancreatic cancer cell growth downstream of the Hedgehog signaling pathway. Cancer Lett. 2016; 370: 136–44.
20. Ding X, Pan H, Li J, et al. Epigenetic activation of AP1 promotes squamous cell carcinoma metastasis. Sci Signal. 2013; 6: ra28.1–13, S0-5.
21. Yang CW, Lee YZ, Hsu HY, et al. c-Jun-mediated anticancer mechanisms of tylophorine. Carcinogenesis. 2013; 34: 1304–14.
22. Chen W, Xiao W, Zhang K, et al. Activation of c-Jun predicts a poor response to sorafenib in hepatocellular carcinoma: preliminary clinical evidence. Sci Rep. 2016; 6: 22976.
23. Trierweiler C, Hockenjos B, Zaltoukak K, et al. The transcription factor c-JUN/AP-1 promotes HBV-related liver tumorigenesis in mice. Cell Death Differ. 2016; 23: 576–82.
24. Ramsdale R, Jorissen RN, Li FZ, et al. The oncogenic transcription factor c-Jun mediates phenotype switching and BRAF inhibitor resistance in melanoma. Sci Signal. 2015; 8: ra82.
25. Lukey MJ, Greene KS, Erickson JW, et al. The oncogenic transcription factor c-Jun regulates glutaminase expression and sensitizes cells to glutaminase-targeted therapy. Nat Commun. 2016; 7: 11321.
26. Yokoyama U, Iwatsubo K, Umemura M, et al. The prostanoid EP4 receptor and its signaling pathway. Pharmacol Rev. 2013; 65: 1010–52.
27. Majumder M, Landman E, Liu L, et al. COX-2 elevates oncopgenic miR-256b in breast cancer by EP4 activation. Mol Cancer Res. 2015; 13: 1022–33.
28. Majumder M, Xin X, Liu L, et al. Prostaglandin E2 receptor EP4 as the common target on cancer cells and macrophages to abolish angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, metastasis, and stem-like cell functions. Cancer Sci. 2014; 105: 1142–51.
29. Hann SS, Chen J, Wang Z, et al. Targeting EP4 by curcumin through cross talks of AMP-dependent kinase alpha and p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling: the role of PGC1alpha and Sp1. Cell Signal. 2015; 25: 2566–74.
30. Tveteraas IH, Muller KM, Aasrum M, et al. Mechanisms involved in PGE2-induced transactivation of the epidermal growth factor receptor in MH1C1 hepatocarcinoma cells. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2012; 31: 72.
31. Fujino H. The roles of EP4 prostaglandin receptors in cancer malignancy signaling. Biol Pharm Bull. 2016; 39: 149–55.
32. Parida S, Pal I, Parekh A, et al. GW627368X inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis in cervical cancer by

Conflicts of interest

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.
interfering with EP4/EGFR interactive signaling. Cell Death Dis. 2016; 7: e2154.

33. Yen JH, Kocieda VP, Jing H, et al. Prostaglandin E2 induces matrix metalloproteinase 9 expression in dendritic cells through two independent signaling pathways leading to activator protein 1 (AP-1) activation. J Biol Chem. 2011; 286: 38913–23.

34. Fan Y, Wang Y, Wang K. Prostaglandin E2 stimulates normal bronchial epithelial cell growth through induction of c-Jun and PDK1, a kinase implicated in oncogenesis. Respir Res. 2015; 16: 149.

35. Arosh JA, Lee J, Starzinski-Powitz A, et al. Selective inhibition of prostaglandin E2 receptors EP2 and EP4 modulates DNA methylation and histone modification machinery proteins in human endometriotic cells. Mol Cell Endocrinol. 2015; 409: 51–8.

36. Zhao S, Wu J, Zheng F, et al. beta-elimine inhibited expression of DNA methyltransferase 1 through activation of ERK1/2 and AMPKalpha signalling pathways in human lung cancer cells: the role of Sp1. J Cell Mol Med. 2015; 19: 630–41.

37. Li M, Wang Y, Song Y, et al. aberrant DNA methyltransferase 1 expression in clear cell renal cell carcinoma development and progression. Chin J Cancer Res. 2014; 26: 371–81.

38. Gao J, Wang L, Xu J, et al. aberrant DNA methyltransferase expression in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma development and progression. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2013; 32: 86.

39. Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, et al. Cancer statistics in China. 2015. CA Cancer J Clin. 2016; 66: 115–32.

40. Milovanov A, Stojsic V, Zaric B, et al. EGFR-TKIs in adjuvant treatment of lung cancer: to give or not to give? Onco Targets Ther. 2015; 8: 2915–21.

41. Tang Q, Zhao S, Wu J, et al. Inhibition of integrin-linked kinase expression by emodin through crosstalk of AMPKalpha and ERK1/2 signaling and reciprocal interplay of Sp1 and c-Jun. Cell Signal. 2015; 27: 1469–77.

42. Wen J, Zhao Y, Guo L, Orexin A induces autophagy in HCT-116 colon cancer cells through the ERK signaling pathway. Int J Mol Med. 2016; 37: 126–32.

43. Kwon T, Youn H, Son B, et al. DANGER is involved in high glucose-induced radioresistance through inhibiting DAPK-mediated anoikis in non-small cell lung cancer. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 7193–206.

44. Ertao Z, Jianhui C, Chuanqi C, et al. Autocrine Sonic hedgehog signaling promotes gastric cancer proliferation through induction of phospholipase Cgammat and the ERK1/2 pathway. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2016; 35: 63.

45. Cao Y, Liang H, Zhang F, et al. Prohibition overexpression predicts poor prognosis and promotes cell proliferation and invasion through ERK pathway activation in gallbladder cancer. J Exp Clin Cancer Res. 2016; 35: 68.

46. Riquelme E, Behrens C, Lin HY, et al. Modulation of EZH2 expression by MEK-ERK or PI3K-AKT signaling in lung cancer is dictated by different kras oncogene mutations. Cancer Res. 2016; 76: 675–85.

47. Vicent S, Lopez-Picazo JM, Toledo G, et al. ERK1/2 is activated in non-small-cell lung cancer and associated with advanced tumours. Br J Cancer. 2004; 90: 1047–52.

48. Tsuino I, Nakashiyama Y, Hiranuma H, et al. Increased phosphorylation of ERK1/2 is associated with worse chemotherapeutic outcome and a poor prognosis in advanced lung adenocarcinoma. Med Mol Morphol. 2016; 49: 98–109.

49. Deschennes-Simard X, Kottakis F, Meloche S, et al. ERKs in cancer: friends or foes? Cell Death Dis. 2016; 7: e2154.

50. Riquelme E, Behrens C, Lin HY, et al. Inhibition of c-Jun. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 6727.

51. Zhang L, Yang W, Zhu X, et al. miR-124 and miR-506 inhibit colorectal cancer progression by targeting DNMT3B and DNMT1. Oncotarget. 2015; 6: 38139–50.

52. Bigey P, Ramchandani S, Theberge J, et al. Transcriptional regulation of the human DNA methyltransferase (dmt1) gene. Gene. 2000; 242: 407–18.

53. Liang CH, Shiu LY, Chang LC, et al. Solamargine enhances HER2 expression and increases the susceptibility of human lung cancer H661 and H69 cells to trastuzumab and eprubicin. Chem Res Toxicol. 2008; 21: 393–9.

54. Hernandez MA, Patel B, Hoy F, et al. Regulation of BRAF protein stability by a negative feedback loop involving the MEK-ERK pathway but not the FBXW7 tumour suppressor. Cell Signal. 2016; 28: 561–71.

55. Feng Y, Niu LL, Wei W, et al. A feedback circuit between miR-133 and the ERK1/2 pathway involving an exquisite mechanism for regulating myoblast proliferation and differentiation. Cell Death Dis. 2013; 4: e934.

56. Prickaerts P, Niessen HE, Mouchel-Viell E, et al. MK3 controls polycomb target gene expression via negative feedback on ERK. Epigenetics Chromatin. 2012; 5: 12.

57. Zhong X, Fan Y, Ritzenhaler JD, et al. Novel link between prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and cholinergic signaling in lung cancer: the role of c-Jun in PGF2-induced alpha7 nico- tine, acetylcholine receptor expression and tumor cell proliferation. Thorac Cancer. 2015; 6: 488–500.

58. Kim YR, Kang TW, To PK, et al. HOXB13-mediated suppression of p21WAF/CIP1 regulates JNK/c-Jun signaling in prostate cancer cells. Oncol Rep. 2016; 35: 2011–6.

59. Li Y, Yang Z, Li W, et al. TOPK promotes lung cancer resistance to EGFR tyrosine kinase inhibitors by phosphorylating and activating c-Jun. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 6748–64.

60. He W, Wu Y, Tang X, et al. HDAC inhibitors suppress c-Jun/Fra-1-mediated proliferation through transcriptionally downregulating MKK7 and Raf1 in neuroblastoma cells. Oncotarget. 2016; 7: 6727–47.

61. Xu L, Ning H, Gu L, et al. Tristetraprolin induces cell cycle arrest in breast tumor cells through targeting AP-1/c-Jun and NF-kappaB pathway. Oncotarget. 2015; 6: 41679–91.

62. Tang Z, Zhang Y, Li N, et al. [Extraction, purification technology and antineoplastic effects of solamargine]. Zhongguo Zhong Yao Za Zhi. 2011; 36: 2192–5.