We propose to use cavity optomechanical systems in the regime of optical bistability for the detection of weak harmonic forces. Due to the optomechanical coupling an external force on the mechanical oscillator modulates the resonance frequency of the cavity and consequently the switching rates between the two bistable branches. A large difference in the cavity output fields then leads to a strongly amplified homodyne signal. We determine the switching rates as a function of the cavity detuning from extensive numerical simulations of the stochastic master equation as appropriate for continuous homodyne detection. We develop a two-state rate equation model that quantitatively describes the slow switching dynamics. This model is solved analytically in the presence of a weak harmonic force to obtain approximate expressions for the power gain and signal-to-noise ratio that we then compare to force detection with an optomechanical system in the linear regime.
drive frequency $\omega_d$ the Hamiltonian reads ($\hbar = 1$)

$$\hat{H} = -\Delta_0 \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} - i \epsilon (\hat{a} - \hat{a}^\dagger) + \omega_m \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b} - g_0 \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a} (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger),$$  

(1)

where $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are bosonic annihilation operators for the optical and mechanical mode, $\Delta_0 = \omega_d - \omega_c$, is the detuning between driving and cavity frequency, and $g_0$ is the optomechanical coupling. We also add an external periodic force on the mechanical resonator with amplitude $g_1$ and frequency $\Omega$

$$\hat{H}_F = -g_1 \sin(\Omega t) (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger).$$  

(2)

A complete description of the system additionally requires the optical damping rate $\kappa$, the mechanical energy dissipation rate $\gamma_m$, and the mean phonon number in thermal equilibrium $n_{th} = 0$ corresponding to a zero-temperature reservoir.

The dissipative dynamics of the OMS undergoing continuous homodyne measurement of the cavity output can be described with the Itô stochastic master equation (SME) [37, 38]

$$d\hat{\rho}_c = \mathcal{L}[\hat{\rho}_c]dt + \mathcal{H}[\hat{\rho}_c]dW,$$  

(3)

$$\mathcal{L}[\hat{\rho}_c] = -i \left[ \hat{H} + \hat{H}_F, \hat{\rho}_c \right] + \kappa D_a[\hat{\rho}_c] + (n_{th} + 1) \gamma_m D_b[\hat{\rho}_c] + n_{th} \gamma_m D_{b^\dagger}[\hat{\rho}_c],$$  

(4)

$$\mathcal{H}[\hat{\rho}_c] = \sqrt{\kappa} \left( \hat{a} \hat{\rho}_c + \hat{\rho}_c \hat{a}^\dagger - \langle \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger \rangle_c \hat{\rho}_c \right),$$  

(5)

where $d\hat{\rho}_c = \hat{\rho}_c(t+dt) - \hat{\rho}_c(t)$, $\langle \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger \rangle_c = \text{Tr}[(\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger) \hat{\rho}_c]$, and $dW$ is a Wiener increment with $E[dW^2] = dt$. $E[-] = \text{ensemble average}$ and the Lindblad terms have the usual form, $D_a[\hat{\rho}] = \hat{a} \rho \hat{a}^\dagger - (\hat{a}^\dagger \rho + \rho \hat{a}^\dagger \hat{a})/2$. The first term in Eq. (3) is the Liouvillian describing the coherent evolution due to the Hamiltonian and the decoherence originating from the coupling to the environment. The second term called innovation describes the effect of a measurement of the amplitude quadrature, $\hat{X} = \hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger$, with homodyne detection of the cavity output field. The innovation term conditions the evolution of the quantum state $\hat{\rho}_c(t)$ on the homodyne photocurrent

$$I_c(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} \langle \hat{X}(t) \rangle_c + \frac{dW}{dt},$$  

(6)

which is the sum of a conditioned expectation value of $\hat{X}$ and a fluctuating term originating from the shot noise of the local oscillator (here we have assumed unit detection efficiency).

We will refer to the result for a particular noise realization of $\hat{\rho}_c(t)$ and $I_c(t)$ as a quantum trajectory. Taking the ensemble average of Eq. (3) we recover the unconditional quantum state $\hat{\rho}(t) = E[\hat{\rho}_c(t)]$ which is a solution to the quantum master equation

$$\dot{\hat{\rho}} = \mathcal{L}[\hat{\rho}].$$  

(7)

In the following we calculate the evolution of the quantum state $\hat{\rho}_c(t)$ by numerically integrating Eq. (3) [39] and use the time traces of the homodyne photocurrent $I_c(t)$ to investigate the switching dynamics in the regime of optical bistability.

To quantify the influence of the external mechanical force on the cavity output we use the time-averaged spectral density

$$S_{II}^{\text{cond}}(\omega) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \int dt \, e^{i\omega t} E[I_c(t + \tau) I_c(t)].$$  

(8)

For finite, but sufficiently long sampling times $T$ the spectral density can be obtained using the Wiener-Khintchin theorem from a quantum trajectory as $S_{II}^{\text{cond}}(\omega) = |I_T(\omega)|^2$ where

$$I_T(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \int_0^T dt \, e^{i\omega t} I_c(t)$$  

(9)

is the windowed Fourier transform of the homodyne photocurrent $I_c(t)$. In this way we replace the ensemble average by a time average. In the following we will numerically simulate a single, sufficiently long quantum trajectory instead of calculating averages over an ensemble of quantum trajectories.

III. NOISE-ACTIVATED SWITCHING IN BISTABLE OMS

We investigate the dynamics of an OMS in a regime where the mechanical resonator acts like an effective Kerr nonlinearity for the optical mode [27]. As a consequence the system...
can exhibit optical bistability, a phenomenon characterized by
the presence of two stable mean-field states. In a semiclassical
approximation the steady-state amplitudes of the optical \( \hat{a} \) and
mechanical modes \( \hat{b} \) are obtained by solving the coupled
mean-field equations (MFEs)
\[
0 = \left(i \Delta_0 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \hat{a} + ig_0 \hat{a} (\hat{b} + \hat{b}^*) + \epsilon,
\]
\[
0 = - \left(i \omega_m + \frac{\gamma_m}{2}\right) \hat{b} + ig_0 |\hat{a}|^2 .
\] (10)

An analysis of the nonlinear MFEs (10) shows that the OMS
undergoes a bifurcation when the driving amplitude exceeds
the threshold value \( \epsilon_{\text{th}} = 3^{1/4} (\kappa \omega_m / 18)^{1/2} / g_0 \). As a
consequence three solutions for \( \hat{a} \) exist in a certain range of nega-
tive detuning \( \Delta_0 \). The two solutions \( \hat{a}_\pm \) with the smallest and
largest amplitude \( |\hat{a}| \) are stable and referred to as the upper
and lower branches of the bistable system.

Shot-noise fluctuations in the cavity drive will cause transi-
tions between the stable branches. This effect dubbed noise-
activated switching has been investigated e.g. in the case of a
Kerr medium theoretically [40-44] and experimentally [45].

In Fig. 1(a) we show the homodyne photocurrent \( I_c(t) \) for
a representative quantum trajectory. We observe that the OMS
switches between two bistable states characterized by two
different values of \( I_c(t) \) and corresponding approximately to
\( \sqrt{\kappa} X_{\pm} \) where \( X_{\pm} = \hat{a}_\pm + \hat{a}_\ast \pm \). After applying a low-pass
filter to the raw quantum trajectory data we can extract the
residence times \( \tau_{\pm} \) from the time trace \( I_c(t) \). From a suffi-
ciently long trajectory we obtain the probability distribution
\( p(I_c) \) for the homodyne photocurrent, shown in Fig. 1(b).
It features a double peak, a signature of the bistable behavior.

In Fig. 1(c) we show the mean-field amplitude quadrature,
\( \bar{X} = \hat{a} + \hat{a}^* \), as function of the detuning \( \Delta_0 \) obtained from
the solutions to the nonlinear MFEs (10). We also calculate
the steady-state expectation value \( \langle \bar{X} \rangle_{\text{ss}} \) from the QME (7)
which interpolates between the two bistable solutions \( X_{\pm} \).

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show histograms \( R(\tau_{\pm}) \) of residence
times in the upper and lower branches, respectively, which we
extracted from the quantum trajectory shown in Fig. 1(a) in-
cluding statistical error bars. We fit the data with exponential
distribution functions \( R_0(\tau_{\pm}) = W_{\pm} \exp(-W_{\pm} \tau_{\pm}) \) and
determine the switching rates \( W_{\pm} \) from the upper to the lower
branch and vice versa [46]. In Fig. 2(c) we plot the switching
rates \( W_{\pm} \) as a function of cavity detuning \( \Delta_0 \).

In steady state the probability to find the OMS in the upper
or lower branch, \( p_{\text{ss}}^{\pm} \), is related to the switching rates via
\[
p_{\text{ss}}^{\pm} = \frac{W_{\pm}}{W_{\pm} + W_{\mp}} .
\] (11)

The probability \( p_{\text{ss}}^{\pm} \) is the fraction of time spent by the system
in the upper and lower branch, respectively. It can be written as
\( T_{\pm} / (T_+ + T_-) \), where \( T_{\pm} \) is the average residence time and
is given by \( T_{\pm} = \int \tau_{\pm} R(\tau_{\pm}) d\tau_{\pm} = W_{\mp}^{-1} \).

If the fluctuations in each branch \( \hat{a}_\pm \) are small compared to
their phase-space separation \( \langle |\hat{a}_\pm| - |\hat{a}_-| \rangle \), the average homodyne
photocurrent \( I_{\text{ss}} = \langle I_c(t) \rangle \), or equivalently the steady-state
expectation value \( \langle \bar{X} \rangle_{\text{ss}} = \bar{X}_{\text{ss}} / \sqrt{\kappa} \), is well approximated by
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In Fig. 1(d) we show a blow up of Fig. 1(c) for detunings in
the bistable regime. Additionally, we also plot \( p_{\text{ss}}^{\pm} \bar{X}_{\pm} + p_{\text{ss}}^{\pm} \bar{X}_{\pm} \)
where the probabilities \( p_{\text{ss}}^{\pm} \) are given by Eq. (11). We see that
the switching dynamics of bistable OMS in this regime can be
accurately captured by a two-state model.

**IV. TWO-STATE MODEL WITH SLOWLY AND PERIODICALLY MODULATED SWITCHING RATES**

The influence of the periodic force (2) on the switching dy-
namics can be described with a two-state rate equation model
\[
\dot{p}_{\pm}(t) = \pm W_{\pm}(t) p_{\pm}(t) \mp W_{\pm}(t) p_{\mp}(t)
\]
\[
\dot{p}_{\pm}(t) = \mp W(t) p_{\pm}(t) \mp W(t) p_{\mp}(t)
\] (13)

where \( p_{\pm}(t) \) is the probability for the system to be in the vicinity
of the branch \( \hat{a}_\pm \) satisfying \( p_+ + p_- = 1 \), \( W_{\pm}(t) \) are the
time-dependent switching rates, and \( W(t) = W_{\pm}(t) + W_{\pm}(t) \).

For a mechanical forcing that is slow on the time scale of
intra-branch fluctuations, i.e. \( \Omega \ll \kappa, \omega_m \), the influence of \( \hat{H}_F \)
can be reduced to an adiabatic change of the resonator equi-
librium position that is given by \( 2 (g_1 / \omega_m) \sin(\Omega t) \) in units
of its zero-point amplitude. This leads to a slow variation of the
cavity detuning \( \Delta_0 + 2 (g_0 g_1 / \omega_m) \sin(\Omega t) \) and will only affect
the long-time dynamics of the optical mode, i.e. the switching
behavior, by modulating the switching rates
\[ W_{\pm}(t) = W^0_{\pm} + W^1_{\pm} \sin(\Omega t) . \] (14)

Here, \( W^0_{\pm} \) denote the switching rates in absence of the external force \( g_1 = 0 \) and, assuming that for a weak force the switching rates depend linearly on the detuning, we have
\[ W^1_\pm = \frac{2g_0g_1}{\omega_m} \frac{\partial W^0_{\pm}}{\partial \Delta_0} . \] (15)

The steady-state solution to the rate equation (13) for periodic switching rates \( W_{\pm}(t) \) with period \( T_\Omega = 2\pi/\Omega \) is itself periodic and given by [47]
\[ p_{\pm}(t) = \frac{1}{1 - e^{-\mathcal{W}T_\Omega}} \int_0^{T_\Omega} dt' W_{\pm}(t - t') \times e^{-\mathcal{W}t'} \exp \left[ - \int_{t-t'}^t \delta W(t'') dt'' \right] \] (16)

with \( \mathcal{W} = \int_0^{T_\Omega} W(t) dt / T_\Omega \) and \( \delta W(t) = W(t) - \mathcal{W} \). For the transitions rates \( W_{\pm}(t) \) in Eq. (14), \( \mathcal{W} = W^0_{\pm} + W^1_{\pm} \) and \( \delta W(t) = (W^1_{\pm} + W^1_{\mp}) \sin(\Omega t) \). Expanding the exponential in Eq. (16) and neglecting higher harmonics, we obtain in the limit \( |W^1_{\pm} + W^1_{\mp}| \ll \Omega \) the long-time solution
\[ p_{\pm}(t) \approx \frac{W^0_{\pm}}{\mathcal{W}} \pm \frac{W^1_{\pm} + W^1_{\mp}}{\mathcal{W}} \sin(\Omega t - \phi) \] (17)

where \( \phi = \arctan(\Omega/\mathcal{W}) \). The first term in Eq. (17) corresponds to \( p_{ss}^\pm \), the steady-state probability to find the system in the upper or lower branch in absence of the external force. The second term is a slow periodic modulation of these probabilities and we will use them to characterize the influence of an external force on the homodyne photocurrent \( I_c(t) \).

V. DETECTION OF WEAK PERIODIC FORCES WITH A BISTABLE OPTOMECHANICAL SYSTEM

We will now analyze our force detection scheme by examining the output spectral density of the homodyne photocurrent \( S_{II}^{\text{out}}(\omega) \). In brief, the spectral density is the sum of two contributions, a noise background and a signal contribution,
\[ S_{II}^{\text{out}}(\omega) = S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\omega) + S_{II}^{\text{signal}}(\omega) . \] (18)

The noise background \( S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\omega) \) quantifies the power per unit bandwidth of the noise interfering with detection at frequency \( \omega \). As we will show, in our detection scheme, the main contribution to \( S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\omega) \) at low frequencies originates from the incoherent switching of \( I_c(t) \) between the two stable branches. A weak harmonic force with frequency \( \Omega \) produces a coherent modulation of the homodyne photocurrent with amplitude \( I(\Omega) \) and thus contributes a delta peak to the spectral density
\[ S_{II}^{\text{signal}}(\omega) = \frac{\pi}{2} I(\Omega)^2/\Delta_\omega \] (19)

For a finite sampling time \( T \) one expects the signal peak height to be \( S_{II}^{\text{signal}}(\Omega) = \pi I(\Omega)^2/(2\Delta_\omega) \) where \( \Delta_\omega = 2\pi/T \) is the finite frequency resolution of the spectral density.

We will use two quantities to quantify the amplification and the sensitivity of our proposed detector scheme. The first one is the ratio \( I(\Omega)/g_1 \) which relates the modulation amplitude of the homodyne photocurrent \( I(\Omega) \) (output signal amplitude) to the forcing amplitude \( g_1 \) (input signal amplitude). This ratio characterizes amplification with a dimensionless power gain
\[ G(\Omega) = \kappa \left( \frac{I(\Omega)}{g_1} \right)^2 \] (20)

expressing the ratio of the signal output power \( \propto I(\Omega)^2 \) to the signal input power \( \propto g_1^2 \). To quantify the sensitivity of our scheme we will use the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) defined as
\[ \text{SNR} = \frac{1}{\Delta_\omega \int_{-\Delta_\omega/2}^{\Delta_\omega/2} S_{II}^{\text{out}}(\omega) d\omega} . \] (21)

For a sufficiently long sampling time \( T \), the noise background \( S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\omega) \) is approximately constant over the frequency window \( \Delta_\omega = 2\pi/T \). Thus, \( \text{SNR} = S_{II}^{\text{signal}}(\Omega)/S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\Omega) + 1 \), i.e. the SNR depends only on the ratio of the output signal and the noise background power at the signal frequency \( \Omega \).

Our two-state rate equation model allows us to find approximate expressions for the noise spectral density \( S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\omega) \) and signal amplitude \( I(\Omega) \). We will compare these analytical results to quantum trajectory simulations below. Using the gain \( G(\Omega) \) and SNR to characterize our detection scheme we will be able to compare its performance to force detection with an OMS in the linear regime. We will derive analytical expressions for the modulation amplitude \( I_{\text{lin}}(\Omega) \), the power gain \( G_{\text{lin}} \), and the noise background \( S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\omega) \). We then express \( S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\omega) \) as a function of the power gain \( G_{\text{lin}} \) and the OMS parameters \( \omega_m \), \( \kappa \), and \( \gamma_m \) so we can compare the sensitivity of the two different schemes, bistable OMS and linear OMS, at fixed power gain.

A. Two-state approximation for the output spectral density

Describing the switching dynamics within the two-state rate equation model allows us to find analytic expressions for the low-frequency part of the output spectral density \( S_{II}^{\text{out}}(\omega) \). As stated above, Eq. (18), \( S_{II}^{\text{out}}(\omega) \) can be separated into a noise background \( S_{II}^{\text{noise}}(\omega) \) and the signal part \( S_{II}^{\text{signal}}(\omega) \).

In absence of the external force incoherent switching causes autocorrelations of the homodyne photocurrent to decay exponentially on a time scale \( \mathcal{W}^{-1} \). We find the autocorrelation function (up to an irrelevant constant \( I_2^2 \)) is given by
\[ E [I_c(t + \tau)I_c(t)] = e^{-\mathcal{W}|\tau|} \kappa p_{ss}^\pm (\hat{X}_+ - \hat{X}_-)^2 + \delta(\tau) . \] (22)

The second term stems from the shot noise of the local oscillator. The first term is proportional to the steady-state variance \( \text{Var}(\hat{X})_{ss} = \langle \hat{X}^2 \rangle_{ss} - \langle \hat{X} \rangle_{ss}^2 \simeq p_{ss}^\pm p_{ss}^\mp (\hat{X}_+ - \hat{X}_-)^2 \). Calculating \( \text{Var}(\hat{X})_{ss} \) from the QME (7), we find that this two-state
The noise spectrum consists of a shot noise contribution and a direct interpretation of the zero-frequency response of the mechanical oscillator, i.e. the change in the mechanical equilibrium position (in units of its zero-point amplitude) caused by a static force with amplitude $g_1$. This displacement leads to a change of the cavity detuning $\Delta_0$ by $g_0(2g_1/\omega_m)$. Relaxation of a bistable OMS at rate $W$ causes an attenuation of this response at finite frequencies $\Omega$.

$$I(\Omega) = \frac{2g_0g_1}{\omega_m} \sqrt{\kappa} \frac{\partial \langle \hat{X}_s \rangle_{ss}}{\partial \Delta_0} \frac{W}{\sqrt{W^2 + \Omega^2}}. \quad (26)$$

As stated in Eq. (19), the signal contributes a delta peak to the spectral density since the autocorrelation function of the homodyne photocurrent is dominated by periodic modulation in the limit $\tau \gg W^{-1}$, and hence factorizes, $E[I_c(t+\tau)I_c(t)] = E[I_c(t+\tau)]E[I_c(t)]$. For a finite frequency resolution $\Delta\omega$, 

$$S_{II}(\Omega) = \frac{\pi \kappa}{2\Delta\omega} \left( \frac{2g_0g_1}{\omega_m} \frac{\partial \langle \hat{X}_s \rangle_{ss}}{\partial \Delta_0} \right)^2 \frac{W^2}{W^2 + \Omega^2}. \quad (27)$$

In Fig. 3(a) we plot the spectral density for the homodyne photocurrent $S_{II}(\Omega)$ in the presence of a weak external force. An average over hundred spectra is shown. The spectral density features a low-frequency Lorentzian noise background whose frequency dependence agrees very well with our two-state approximation $S_{II}(\Omega)$, Eq. (23). The height of the signal peak relative to the noise level, $S_{II}(\Omega) = S_{II}(\Omega) - S_{II}(\Omega)$, is obtained for a range of forcing amplitudes $g_1$ and forcing frequencies $\Omega$. Comparing these quantum trajectory simulations to Eq. (27), we find that $S_{II}(\Omega)$ exhibits the correct quadratic dependence on the forcing amplitude $g_1$ and Lorentzian dependence on the forcing frequency $\Omega$. The modulation amplitude $I(\Omega)$ is about 20% smaller than expected. We suspect that this quantitative disagreement is due to the large amplitude of intra-brach fluctuations reaching a considerable fraction of the inter-brach separation and the fact that the linear approximation to the modulation of switching rates (15) is only satisfied for the smaller values of $g_1$ in Fig. 3.

The expected power gain of a bistable OMS is 

$$G(\Omega) = \left( \frac{2g_0\kappa}{\omega_m} \frac{\partial \langle \hat{X}_s \rangle_{ss}}{\partial \Delta_0} \right)^2 \frac{W^2}{W^2 + \Omega^2}. \quad (28)$$

We notice that amplification occurs over a bandwidth given by the switching rate $W$. As can be seen in Fig. 1(c), the slope $\partial \langle \hat{X}_s \rangle_{ss}/\partial \Delta_0$ in the center of the bistable region is approximately proportional to the difference between the two
mean-field solutions $\bar{X}_+ - \bar{X}_-$. As a consequence, a large difference in the cavity output fields leads to a strongly amplified homodyne signal. If the cavity is driven further away from bifurcation, the slope increases, but the switching rate $W$ decreases. Thus, the gain can be made larger at the expense of reducing the bandwidth. For low signal frequency, $\Omega \lesssim W$, for which the shot-noise contribution to the noise background $S_{\text{shot}}^s$ is negligible, the SNR is independent of $\Omega$,

$$\text{SNR} \approx \frac{\pi W}{\Delta \omega} \left( \frac{g_1 g_0}{\omega_m} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial \langle \hat{X} \rangle_{ss}/\partial \Delta_0}{\text{Var}(\hat{X}_s)} \right)^2 + 1,$$

with $\text{Var}(\hat{X}_s)$ and $(\partial \langle \hat{X} \rangle_{ss}/\partial \Delta_0)^2$ obtained from Eq. (7).

These two quantities have a similar dependence on the detuning $\Delta_0$ and reach their maximum at an optimal value of $\Delta_0$ in the center of the bistable region. As a consequence, both the SNR and the gain $G$ are maximal.

Figure 4 shows the dimensionless output power $I(\Omega)/\kappa$ (a,b) and SNR (c,d) as a function of the signal input power $(g_1/\kappa)^2$ (a,c) and signal frequency $\Omega$ (b,d). We compare results from quantum trajectory simulations and from our two-state rate equation model. In panel (a) we see that the bistable OMS exhibits nearly constant power gain for small forcing amplitudes $g_1$. In panel (b) we observe that its detection bandwidth is in good agreement with predictions of the two-state model and given by the switching rate $W$. As expected, the SNR is approximately constant over the detection bandwidth as can be seen in panel (c).

**B. Force detection with an OMS in the linear regime**

In the linear regime the dissipative dynamics of an OMS, including the noise and signal spectral densities of its output field quadratures, can be obtained exactly from the input-output formalism [48, 49]. The linear regime is characterized by a small optomechanical coupling rate, $g_0 \ll \kappa, \omega_m$, and a cavity driven to a coherent state with large amplitude $|\bar{a}| \gg 1$. Under these conditions, the radiation-pressure interaction can be approximated by a bilinear interaction, with an enhanced coupling rate $g = g_0|\bar{a}|$, between the resonator position, $\hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger$, and the amplitude quadrature, $\hat{a} + \hat{a}^\dagger$. The static shift of the resonator position results in an effective cavity detuning $\Delta = \Delta_0 + g_0(\bar{b} + \bar{b}^*)$. A displacement of the mechanical resonator imprints a phase shift on the output light field, which is best probed by driving the cavity on resonance, $\Delta = 0$, and by measuring the phase quadrature at the output [30].

Analogous to Eq. (26) we find an expression for the amplitude modulation $I_{\text{lin}}$ and the spectral density $S_{TT,\text{lin}}$ of the phase quadrature in homodyne detection due to the force

$$I_{\text{lin}}(\Omega) = \sqrt{\text{G}_{\text{lin}}(\Omega)} \frac{g_1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$$

$$S_{TT,\text{lin}}(\omega) = \frac{\pi^2 I_{\text{lin}}^2(\Omega)}{2} \left| \delta(\omega - \Omega) + \delta(\omega + \Omega) \right|^2.$$

Here, the equivalent power gain at frequency $\omega$ for an OMS in

![FIG. 4. (Color online) Power gain and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Signal input power $(a,b)$ and SNR $(c,d)$ as a function of the signal input power $(g_1/\kappa)^2$ and signal frequency $\Omega$ (b,d). The expected values of $I(\Omega)$ and the SNR according to the two-state model discussed in the main text (black line) are compared to quantum trajectory results shown in Fig. 3 (black squares). Grey lines are a fit to the data indicating the power gain $G(\Omega)$ and the SNR have the correct dependence on the signal input power and signal frequency. The observed power gain has a value about 40% smaller than expected. In panel (b), the dotted blue line indicates the result for the largest possible power gain of an OMS operating in the linear regime $G_{\text{lin}}^{\text{max}}$. Eq. (33). In panels (c) and (d), the dashed red line indicates the SNR for an OMS in the linear regime operating at the same power gain (extracted from the quantum trajectory results) and obtained from Eq. (36). The parameters are identical to Fig. 3, with an external forcing frequency $\Omega/\kappa = 0.1$ (a,c) and amplitude $g_1/\kappa = 0.2$ (b,d).](image)
The spectral density of the noise interfering with the detection of a force signal far from the mechanical resonance, \( |\omega - \omega_m| \gg \gamma_m \), referred back to the input signal is \([30, 49]\)

\[
\frac{S_{II,lin}^{\text{noise}}(\omega)}{G_{\text{lin}}(\omega)} = \frac{1}{G_{\text{lin}}(\omega)} + \frac{\omega_m^2 - \omega^2}{16\kappa^2\omega_m^2} + \left( n_{\text{th}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{\gamma_m}{\kappa} \frac{\omega_m^2 + \omega_m^2}{2\omega_m^2}.
\]  

Equation (34) expresses the total measurement noise as fluctuations in the forcing amplitude and has three contributions. The first term is the imprecision noise due to the shot noise of the local oscillator. The second term is the back-action noise or radiation-pressure shot noise. The last term originates from thermal and quantum fluctuations of the resonator position.

At each frequency \( \omega \), there is an optimal gain \( G_{\text{lin}}^{(\text{opt})}(\omega) = 2\kappa|\chi_m(\omega) - \chi_m(-\omega)| \) for which the measurement noise is minimal and the SNR maximal. In the limit of small frequencies, the optimal gain is then

\[
G_{\text{lin}}^{(\text{opt})} \approx \frac{4\kappa}{\omega_m}.
\]  

The low-frequency noise level for the optimal gain and a mechanical resonator coupled to a zero-temperature bath \((n_{\text{th}} = 0)\), \( S_{II,lin}^{\text{noise}} \approx 2 + \gamma_m/\omega_m \), is minimal. This is commonly referred to as the standard quantum limit (SQL) of force (or position) detection. At the SQL the back-action noise and the imprecision noise are both equal to the shot-noise term.

### C. Comparison of bistable and linear detection

An OMS in the regime of optical bistability exhibits a power gain \( G \) much larger than the gain \( G_{\text{lin}} \) of a linear OMS. The low-frequency expressions for the power gain of a bistable or linear OMS, Eqs. (28) and (32), depend on the coefficients \( \partial(\hat{X})_s/\partial\Delta_0 \) and \( \partial I/\partial\Delta \), respectively. These coefficients characterize the response of the steady-state value of the optical amplitude and phase quadratures, respectively, to a change in the detuning. The second coefficient is proportional to the average cavity occupation, which is limited by \( \bar{n} < n_{\text{bat}} \). For a bistable OMS, \( \partial(\hat{X})_s/\partial\Delta_0 \) is proportional to the difference between the mean-field solutions \( \hat{X}_+ - \hat{X}_- \) and can exceed \( \partial I/\partial\Delta \) far from the bifurcation. For small signal frequency \( \Omega < \bar{\Omega} \), the gain \( G(\Omega) \) is much larger than the optimal gain \( G_{\text{lin}}^{(\text{opt})}(\Omega) \) at which the SQL applies, and can even be larger than \( G_{\text{lin}}^{(\text{max})}(\Omega) \), i.e. the maximal gain for a linear OMS below bifurcation.

Figure 4(b) shows the dimensionless signal output power \( I(\Omega)/\kappa \) as a function of the signal frequency \( \Omega \) obtained from quantum trajectory simulations and from the two-state model. In addition, we indicate the results corresponding to a linear OMS operating at its maximal power gain \( G_{\text{lin}}^{(\text{max})} \). Note that \( G(\Omega) > G_{\text{lin}}^{(\text{max})}(\Omega) \) within the detection bandwidth, i.e. for signal frequencies \( \Omega \ll \bar{\Omega} \).

As a consequence of the large gain \( G \gg G_{\text{lin}}^{(\text{opt})} \), the measurement noise \( S_{II,lin}^{\text{noise}} \) unavoidably exceeds the SQL value that applies to an OMS in the linear regime, \( S_{II,lin}^{\text{noise}} \sim 2 + \gamma_m/\omega_m \). Thus, instead of comparing the sensitivity of our scheme to a linear OMS operating at the SQL, we compare it to the sensitivity of a linear OMS with identical gain. The SNR of a linear OMS can be expressed as a function of its power gain \( G_{\text{lin}} \) to compare it to results of quantum trajectory simulations. From Eqs. (30) and (34), we obtain, for small signal frequencies \( \Omega \ll \kappa, \omega_m \) and \( n_{\text{th}} = 0 \),

\[
\text{SNR} = \frac{\pi g_1^2}{2\Delta \omega \kappa} \left[ \frac{1}{G_{\text{lin}}(\Omega)} + G_{\text{lin}}(\Omega) \frac{\omega_m^2}{16\kappa^2} + \frac{\gamma_m}{4\kappa} \right]^{-1}.
\]  

In Fig. 4, we plot the SNR of a bistable OMS as function of the signal input power \( (g_1/\kappa)^2 \) (c) and signal frequency \( \Omega \) (d). In addition, we plot the SNR of a linear OMS with identical parameters \( \omega_m, \gamma_m \), and \( \kappa \) and operating at the same gain \( G_{\text{lin}}(\Omega) = G(\Omega) \), where \( G(\Omega) \) is extracted from quantum trajectory simulations. An important feature can be observed in panels (b) and (d) at signal frequencies in the detection bandwidth, \( \Omega \ll \bar{\Omega} \). The power gain of the bistable OMS exceeds \( G_{\text{lin}}^{(\text{max})} \), while the SNR is still comparable to what is expected for a linear OMS with equal gain. Our results therefore indicate that large-gain force detection with an OMS can be realized beyond bifurcation, while preserving a sensitivity that is comparable to an equivalent linear OMS.

### VI. CONCLUSION

We have proposed bistable optomechanical systems as detectors of weak harmonic forces. An external mechanical force modulates the cavity frequency and thus the switching rates between the stable branches. A large difference in the respective optical output fields will thus lead to a strong amplification of the weak signal. The noise-induced switching dynamics in the presence of a harmonic force is described by a two-state rate equation model with periodically modulated switching rates. Using this model, we have calculated the output signal and noise spectral density relevant to homodyne detection of the optical field and compared them to quantum trajectory simulations. Finally, we have also compared the power gain and signal-to-noise ratio of our detection scheme to those of an optomechanical system in the linear regime. We find that a potentially larger gain can be achieved for low-frequency force signals while preserving comparable force detection sensitivity. These results point out a new direction for the use of optomechanical devices exhibiting an appreciable single-photon coupling rate for sensing applications requiring strong amplification.
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