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We report a search for the flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) decay of the top quark $t \rightarrow Zq$ ($q = u, c$) in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV using a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 1.9 fb$^{-1}$ collected by the CDF II detector. This decay is strongly suppressed in the standard model (SM) and an observation of a signal at the Tevatron would be an indication of physics beyond the SM. Using $Z\geq 4$ jet final state candidate events, both with and without an identified bottom quark jet, we discriminate signal from background by exploiting kinematic constraints present in FCNC events and obtain an upper limit of $B(t \rightarrow Zq) < 3.7\%$ at 95% C.L.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Qk 12.60.Jv 13.85.Rm 14.80.Ly 13.85-t
Flavor changing neutral current (FCNC) interactions, which mediate transitions from one type of quark to another with the same electric charge, are suppressed in the standard model of particle physics (SM). FCNC processes are therefore sensitive indicators of physics beyond the SM (BSM). Presently there are only loose experimental bounds on FCNC decays of the $t$ (top) quark [1], the heaviest known quark. While the SM branching fraction for $t \rightarrow Zq$ ($q = u, c$) is predicted to be $O(10^{-14})$ [2], BSM models such as supersymmetry and quark compositeness allow branching fractions as high as $O(10^{-1})$ [2, 3]. An observation of the top FCNC decay $t \rightarrow Zq$ with present-size data samples would be a strong indication of BSM physics.

In Tevatron Run I, a CDF search for the $t \rightarrow Zq$ decay yielded the branching fraction upper limit $B(t \rightarrow Zq) < 33\%$ (95% C.L.) [4]. The current best 95% C.L. upper limit on $B(t \rightarrow Zq)$, 13.7%, was set by the L3 experiment [5] from the non-observation of FCNC single $t$ quark production. These analyses assumed a $t$ quark mass of $m_t = 175$ GeV/$c^2$, close to the current world average of $m_t = 172.4 \pm 1.2$ GeV/$c^2$ [6]. In this Letter we also assume $m_t = 175$ GeV/$c^2$ and evaluate the small effect of different $m_t$ on the result.

We present the first Tevatron Run II search for the top FCNC decay $t \rightarrow Zq$ in $t\bar{t}$ pairs. The data sample analyzed for this Letter corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 1.9 fb$^{-1}$ of $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, collected by the CDF II detector from March 2002 to May 2007. Our primary signal signature consists of the FCNC decay $t \rightarrow Zq$ ($q = u, c$) together with the dominant SM decay $t \rightarrow W^-b$ (charge conjugate modes are implied). We search for the $Z$ boson via its decays $Z \rightarrow e^+e^-$ and $Z \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ and for the decay of the $W$ boson into a quark-antiquark pair. Two leptons and at least four jets of hadrons, coming from the four quarks from secondary decays, can be observed in the detector. We also allow for events in which both $t$ quarks undergo FCNC decays. We consider several SM background processes that also result in final states with a reconstructed $Z$ boson and four or more jets. The dominant background process is the production of $Z$ bosons with associated jets ($Z+jets$). Smaller contributions come from SM $t\bar{t}$ production and the production of pairs of gauge bosons (dibosons), $WZ$ and $ZZ$. The contributions from $WW$ diboson production and from $W$ bosons produced in association with jets are negligible.

The components of the CDF II detector relevant to this analysis are briefly described here; a more complete description can be found elsewhere [7]. The transverse momenta $p_T$ and pseudorapidities $\eta$ of charged particles are measured by a silicon strip detector [8] and a 96-layer drift chamber (COT) [10] inside a 1.4 T solenoidal magnetic field. The silicon detector and the COT provide good combined reconstruction efficiency for $|\eta| < 1.2$. The precise position resolution of the silicon detector is also crucial for identifying displaced secondary vertices from from long-lived $B$ hadrons ($b$-tagging), Electromagnetic (EM) and hadronic calorimeters measure energies of charged and neutral particles in the central ($|\eta| < 1.1$) and end-plug ($1.1 < |\eta| < 3.6$) regions. Drift chambers and scintillation counters located on the rear of the calorimeters and behind an additional steel absorber detect muons with $|\eta| < 1.0$. A three level trigger selects events that contain electrons (muons) with $E_T > 18$ GeV ($p_T > 18$ GeV/$c$).

We use the PYTHIA v6.216 Monte Carlo (MC) generator [11] to simulate the FCNC signal in $t\bar{t}$ events and all sources of SM background, except $Z+jets$ production, whose kinematic distributions we simulate with the ALPGEN MC generator [12], v2.10 interfaced to PYTHIA v6.325. Acceptance, efficiency, and kinematic distributions of signal and background are determined from the above MC simulations. We add a $t \rightarrow Zq$ decay channel to PYTHIA by forcing the $Z$ helicity to be consistent with the expectation from an SM-like Higgs mechanism of 65% longitudinally polarized and 35% left-handed $Z$ bosons. The main signal MC sample contains events that decay according to our primary signature, $t\bar{t} \rightarrow ZqWb$ with $q = c$. If the $t \rightarrow Zc$ decay is replaced by $t \rightarrow Zu$, the probability to $b$-tag an FCNC signal event is reduced from 50% to 45%, where we apply the SecVtx algorithm [7] for the $b$-tagging. We have also generated an MC event sample in which both $t$ quarks decay via the FCNC mode and the $Z$ is allowed to decay into the $e^+e^-$, $\mu^+\mu^-$, and $q\bar{q}$ decay modes. The amount of $t\bar{t} \rightarrow ZcZc$ relative to $t\bar{t} \rightarrow WbZc$ is adjusted according to the branching fraction $B(t \rightarrow Zq)$ during the limit calculation.

We apply corrections for trigger efficiencies and for different efficiencies in data and simulation for lepton identification and reconstruction, $b$-tagging, and misidentification of secondary vertices (mistags).

The analysis proceeds in three steps. Our base selection requires a $Z$ boson and four or more jets. An optimized event selection separates the FCNC signal from the SM backgrounds based on kinematic properties of the event. All events that pass the optimized selection are further divided into two signal regions based on whether any of the four leading jets has an identified $b$-jet (“$b$-tagged region”) or not (“non-$b$-tagged region”); those events that pass the base selection but not the optimized selection are placed in a “control” region. While only 12% of FCNC signal acceptance falls in the control region, two-thirds of the SM backgrounds are located there. We finally fit the data to one-dimensional probability density histograms (“templates”) of one of the kinematic distributions (mass $\chi^2$, see below) to derive a limit on $B(t \rightarrow Zq)$. This is illustrated in Fig. 1. To reduce systematic uncertainties, the measurement is normalized to the measured event yield in SM $t\bar{t}$ production.

Our base selection chooses events with two oppositely-charged leptons of the same flavor ($e$ or $\mu$) and four or more jets. One lepton is required to be a central electron or muon, the other can be a forward electron or a track, as described below. The leptons must be compatible with originating from a $Z$ boson in the mass window from 76 GeV/$c^2$ to 106 GeV/$c^2$ (> $3\sigma$ in units of CDF’s $Z$
mass resolution).

All leptons used in this analysis are required to be well isolated in a cone of \( \Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta \eta^2 + \Delta \phi^2} < 0.4 \) and to have transverse energies \( E_T \) (momenta \( p_T \)) greater than 20 GeV (20 GeV/c). Electrons are identified by requiring an energy cluster in the EM calorimeter with a single track pointing to it. Central electrons are required to have a high quality COT-based track, calorimeter cluster \( E_T \) consistent with the track \( p_T \), a high fraction of the total energy deposition in the EM calorimeter, and a lateral shower profile consistent with electron showers. Forward electrons are reconstructed in the end-plug calorimeter and have similar constraints except that the tracks are reconstructed only in the silicon detector and the calorimeter cluster \( E_T \) and track \( p_T \) are not compared. Muons are identified by matching tracks reconstructed in the COT to track segments reconstructed in the muon chambers and by requiring energy depositions in the calorimeters consistent with minimum ionizing particles. The muons in this analysis are required to have energy calorimeter clusters with the help of further selection criteria in addition to the above base selection that will form the optimized selection, a mass \( \chi^2 \), the transverse mass of the system, and the \( E_T \) of the four leading (highest \( E_T \)) jets.

Jets are identified by energy deposited in the calorimeters within a cone of \( \Delta R < 0.4 \). To improve the parton energy estimate, jets are corrected for instrumental effects \( 12 \). We select events with at least four jets with corrected \( E_T > 15 \text{ GeV} \) and \( |\eta| < 2.4 \).

We separate the FCNC signal from the MC background with the help of further selection criteria in addition to the above base selection that will form the optimized selection, a mass \( \chi^2 \), the transverse mass of the system, and the \( E_T \) of the four leading (highest \( E_T \)) jets.

The decay \( \ell t \to Wb Zq \to q\bar{q} b \ell \ell q' \) contains no high-energy neutrinos; therefore we can fully reconstruct the event kinematics. The four jets in signal events result from the \( b \) quark and the decay products of the \( W \) in the \( t \to Wb \) decay, and the \( c \) or \( u \) quark from the \( t \to Zq \) decay. We form all permutations of the four leading jets in the events to compare the reconstructed masses \( (m_{\text{rec}}) \) of the \( W \), top quark decaying to \( Wb \), and top quark decaying to \( Zq \). We define a mass \( \chi^2 \) as

\[
\chi^2 = \left( \frac{m_{W,\text{rec}} - m_{W}}{\sigma_W} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m_{t-Wb,\text{rec}} - m_{t}}{\sigma_{t-Wb}} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{m_{t-Zq,\text{rec}} - m_{t}}{\sigma_{t-Zq}} \right)^2,
\]

and select the permutation with the smallest \( \chi^2 \). We scale the measured four-momenta of the \( W \) and \( Z \) boson daughter particles such that the boson masses are fixed to the world average values \( 14 \) and use the scaled four-momenta to calculate the two top quark masses. The widths used are given by the standard deviations of the reconstructed masses measured in the MC simulation of FCNC events. Using the correct pairing of jets to partons, we extract \( \sigma_{lWb} = 15 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \), \( \sigma_{t-Wb} = 24 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \), and \( \sigma_{t-Zq} = 21 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \). We expect FCNC signal events to populate the low \( \chi^2 \) region and background events to result in higher \( \chi^2 \), see Fig. 4. We have verified that the components of Eq. 1 describe the data well in events with a \( Z \) boson and three jets.

Since the FCNC signal events originate from \( t\bar{t} \) decays, they contain more central \( Z \) bosons and jets than background events. To exploit this, we use the transverse mass of the \( Z \) and the four leading jets, defined as \( m_T = \sqrt{\sum (E_T)^2 - (\sum p_T)^2} \), as a selection criterion. We also apply a tiered cut on the \( E_T \) of the four leading jets, as FCNC signal events contain jets with higher transverse momenta than SM background events.

We optimized these additional selection criteria for the best expected limit on \( B(t \to Zq) \) in the absence of a signal, using the MC simulation and a signal-depleted control region in the data \( \sqrt{\chi^2} > 3 \). The optimization was performed in the blind phase of a counting experiment analysis using the first 1.1 fb\(^{-1} \) of integrated luminosity \( 13 \). We leave the optimized selection unchanged for the full 1.9 fb\(^{-1} \) result; it requires transverse mass \( m_T \geq 200 \text{ GeV}/c^2 \), leading jet \( E_T \geq 40 \text{ GeV} \), second jet \( E_T \geq 30 \text{ GeV} \), third jet \( E_T \geq 20 \text{ GeV} \), and fourth jet \( E_T \geq 15 \text{ GeV} \). After optimization, 88% of the FCNC signal events from the base selection fall into the two signal regions, compared to 33% of the background events. The inclusive signal acceptances for the decay \( t\bar{t} \to Wb Zc \) \( (t\bar{t} \to Zc Zc) \) are 0.43% (0.58%) for the \( b \)-tagged selection, 0.34% (0.86%) for the non-\( b \)-tagged selection, and 0.10% (0.16%) for the control region.

To determine the FCNC branching fraction, we take into account single or double FCNC decays of \( t\bar{t} \) pairs and normalize to the event yield of a selection for the SM decay \( t\bar{t} \to Wb Wb \to \ell b \ell b q\bar{q}' \) ("lepton+jets") requiring at least two jets to be secondary vertex \( b \)-tagged \( 16 \). In 1.9 fb\(^{-1} \) we observe 277 \( t\bar{t} \) candidate events, consistent with a production cross section of \( 8.8 \pm 0.7 \) (stat.) pb assuming \( B(t \to Wb) = 100\% \). If \( t \to Zq \) decays were present, these additional \( t\bar{t} \) decays are less likely to be reconstructed in the lepton+jets mode, resulting in a measured \( t\bar{t} \) production cross section smaller than the actual cross section. We correct for this effect by modifying the measured cross section based on the limit we set on \( B(t \to Zq) \).

We extract a limit on the branching fraction \( B(t \to Zq) \) from a fit to the mass \( \chi^2 \) distribution using templates constructed from the MC simulated mass \( \chi^2 \) distributions of the FCNC signal and the SM backgrounds (\( Z+\text{jets} \), \( SM t\bar{t} \), and dibosons). The normalization of the dominant \( Z+\text{jets} \) background is the most difficult to estimate from data and MC simulations; therefore it is extracted from the fit. The SM \( t\bar{t} \) background is normalized to the observed event yield in the lepton+jets decay mode; back-
TABLE I: Results of the fit to data. From the ratio of the number of Z+jets events in the signal and the control regions and the tagging fraction $f_{\text{tag}}$, we obtain $Z_{\text{tagged}} = 13.5$ events and $Z_{\text{non-tagged}} = 53.9$ events.

| Fit Parameter | Value |
|---------------|-------|
| Branching Fraction, $B(t \rightarrow Zq)$ (\%) | $-1.49 \pm 1.52$ |
| Z+jets Events in Control Region, $Z_{\text{control}}$ | $120.0 \pm 11.1$ |
| Ratio Signal/Control Region, $R_{\text{sig}}$ | $0.52 \pm 0.07$ |
| Tagging Fraction, $f_{\text{tag}}$ | $0.20 \pm 0.06$ |
| Jet Energy Scale Shift, $\sigma_{\text{JES}}$ | $-0.74 \pm 0.43$ |

next paragraphs). We expect a limit of $(5.0 \pm 2.2)\%$ in the absence of signal. From the FC construction we find $B(t \rightarrow Zq) < 3.7\%$ at 95\% C.L.

We have studied systematic uncertainties due to shape uncertainties of the fitted templates, rate uncertainties (signal acceptance, background rate), and due to the normalization to the lepton+jets event yield. We treat the dominant source of template shape uncertainties, the experimental JES, as a free fit parameter. To account for further shape uncertainties, we measure the bias on the fitted branching fraction $B(t \rightarrow Zq)$ in simulated experiments generated assuming the second largest source of shape uncertainties, the MC generator used for the Z+jets background. We simulate Z+jets events for which the vertex energy scale is varied by factors of two and assign the measured relative branching fraction bias at $B(t \rightarrow Zq) = 3.7\%$ as a systematic uncertainty (5.0\%).

The rate uncertainties, summarized in Table I, are divided into anti-correlated uncertainties, those which cause migration of events between b-tagged and non-b-tagged selections, and correlated uncertainties, those which simultaneously increase or decrease both selections. The signal acceptance systematic uncertainties are evaluated for the ratio of the FCNC signal acceptance to the acceptance for the event selection used in the lepton+jets normalization mode. Background rate uncertainties affect only the smaller SM $t\bar{t}$ and diboson backgrounds. The rate of the dominant Z+jets background in the control region is a free parameter in the template fit; therefore we do not assign systematic uncertainties for this.

Normalization to the lepton+jets event yields in the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section analysis removes nearly all uncertainties depending directly on luminosity. Many other systematic uncertainties also partially cancel. We absorb the statistical and systematic uncertainties of the $t\bar{t}$ production cross section measurement as part of the systematic uncertainty (7.8\%).

In conclusion, we have searched for the top quark flavor changing neutral current decay $t \rightarrow Zq$ in events with
TABLE II: Systematic uncertainties of the FCNC signal acceptance relative to the acceptance of the lepton+jets normalization mode and the background rate for the \(b\)-tagged and non-\(b\)-tagged selections.

| Systematic Uncertainty | Acceptance | Background |
|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| ID, Trig, and PDF       | 1.0        | 1.0        |
| Initial/Final State Rad.| 4.8        | 5.5        |
| Z Helicity              | 3.4        | 3.6        |
| Total Correlated        | 6.2        | 6.1        |
| \(b\)-Tagging           | 5.6        | 16.1       |
| \(B(t \to Zc)\) vs. \(B(t \to Zu)\) | 4.5        | 4.5        |
| Total Anti-Correlated   | 7.2        | 18.7       |

A \(Z\) boson and four or more jets using CDF Run II data corresponding to 1.9 fb\(^{-1}\) of integrated luminosity. The data are consistent with the SM background prediction, and we set an upper limit on the branching fraction \(B(t \to Zq)\) of 3.7% at 95% C.L. at a top quark mass of 175 GeV/c\(^2\). Assuming a top quark mass of 170 GeV/c\(^2\), the 95% C.L. upper limit is 4.1%. Compared with the previous world's best limit of 13.7% by the L3 experiment at LEP2, and the previous Tevatron upper limit of 33%, as reported by CDF Run I, our reported limit of 3.7% represents a substantial improvement.
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