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ABSTRACT

Video-based analysis of facial expressions has been increasingly applied to infer health states of individuals, such as depression and pain. Among the existing approaches, deep learning models composed of structures for multiscale spatiotemporal processing have shown strong potential for encoding facial dynamics. However, such models have high computational complexity, making for a difficult deployment of these solutions. To address this issue, we introduce a new technique to decompose the extraction of multiscale spatiotemporal features. Particularly, a building block structure called Decomposed Multiscale Spatiotemporal Network (DMSN) is presented along with three variants: DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C blocks. The DMSN-A block generates multiscale representations by analyzing spatiotemporal features at multiple temporal ranges, while the DMSN-B block analyzes spatiotemporal features at multiple ranges, and the DMSN-C block analyzes spatiotemporal features at multiple spatial sizes. Using these variants, we design our DMSN architecture which has the ability to explore a variety of multiscale spatiotemporal features, favoring the adaptation to different facial behaviors. Our extensive experiments on challenging datasets show that the DMSN-C block is effective for depression detection, whereas the DMSN-A block is efficient for pain estimation. Results also indicate that our DMSN architecture provides a cost-effective solution for expressions that range from fewer facial variations over time, as in depression detection, to greater variations, as in pain estimation.

1. Introduction

Given the population growth, and global shortage of doctors, among others, healthcare applications have been driving the development of automatic systems for medical diagnosis. Such technology can be beneficial to improve the quality of clinical outcomes, and the access to healthcare services. Since face can provide information concerning medical conditions [1], there has been a growing interest in developing contact-free, objective, and accurate systems for automatic assistive medical diagnosis from facial videos [1, 2, 3]. These video-based methods encode the correlations between appearance and dynamics of facial expressions and health states of an individual. For instance, Jaiswal et al. [4] proposed a method that explores facial expressions, and head pose and movement to predict Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD), and Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD).

Two emerging applications for automatic facial expression analysis are depression detection and pain estimation. Depression is defined as a negative state of mind which remains for a long period of time. Such a mental health disorder can affect an individual’s emotions, behavior, mind, and physical health [5]. In severe conditions, depression conducts to substance abuse and suicidal behavior [6]. Despite the existence of effective treatment, it is estimated that, in Europe, about 56% of patients suffering from depression receive no treatment [7]. The reasons for this high number include client fees, and restricted or lack of accessibility to mental healthcare. Studies also show that clinicians have difficulties to diagnose depression [8, 9]. Indeed, the assessment of depression has a subjective nature since it relies on doctor’s perception of patient reports. Inaccurate diagnosis of depression has produced an alarming number of false-positives that present grave consequences for the patients [9].

Pain is an important physical sign associated with the health conditions of an individual. It can be considered as a highly disturbing sensation caused by injury, illness or mental distress, and it is related to depression [10]. The clinical evaluation of pain is mainly determined by patient self-reports (e.g., by using Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) [11] or Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) [12]). However, the assessment provided by a patient may not be reliable since patients may have restricted communication potential (e.g., neonates), cognitive impairments or are under the influence of medication. An alternative is the medical staff (e.g., doctors and nurses) perform the assessment. However, observers may overestimate or underestimate pain intensity which impair the treatment [13], and the continuous monitoring is impracticable.

Automatic analysis of facial variations for objective recognition of expressions associated with health states like depression and pain can assist in the reliability and improvement of clinical assessment and monitoring, as well as mitigate issues regarding accessibility and costs. Studies have found facial cues related to depression, such as limited facial expressiveness [14], reduced eye contact [15], smiles
with a shorter duration and less intensity [16], and a small number of mouth movements [17]. In contrast, facial expressions [18, 3] involving, e.g., closed eyes, raised cheeks, and a wrinkled nose are relevant indicators of pain. With that, we can claim that a pain event may produce expressions with greater facial variations over time, and a depressive state is linked to expressions with fewer variations over time. Therefore, systems for facial expression analysis based on videos can explore these cues to predict depressive or painful states.

Recently, the emergence of state-of-the-art deep learning (DL) architectures has contributed to significant progress in diverse visual recognition tasks, such as action recognition [19], image classification [20], and activity understanding [21]. DL models have also been shown to provide a high level of predictive accuracy for automatic facial expression analysis from videos [3, 22, 23, 24, 25]. Given the availability of pre-trained models for still images, DL models commonly employ 2D Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) to leverage spatial correlations, along with an aggregation scheme or a recurrent technique to capture temporal dependencies [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33]. Such an approach has limited capacity in encoding important dynamic information [23, 24, 25]. Conversely, 3D CNNs can directly model spatiotemporal variations in facial information from input video clips [34, 35, 36]. However, in addition to high computational complexity, these architectures use basic building blocks that explore a fixed spatiotemporal range, which limits the ability to learn discriminative features since facial expression variations comprise various ranges, and the difference of these variations along distinct levels of a health condition can be small.

In other application domains, efficient architectures have been developed for the modeling of spatiotemporal information [37, 38, 39, 40]. However, these methods also rely on structures with the ability to explore fixed spatiotemporal range. To address this problem, some works [23, 24, 25] present effective architectures to model facial expression variations in videos. Such methods explore multiscale spatiotemporal features by using either parallel 3D convolutions with different kernels [23, 25] or multiple structures that explore different spatiotemporal ranges [24]. Although these approaches achieve a high level of performance, the models have a high number of parameters and computations, even when compared to 3D CNNs.

In this paper, we propose an efficient alternative for the modeling of facial expression variations captured in videos. The method decomposes the exploration of multiscale spatiotemporal information to reduce computational costs. Specifically, we introduce a building block called Decomposed Multiscale Spatiotemporal Network (DMSN). The structure consists of a sequence of convolutions to produce multiscale features, where every element operates on a domain, and the branches of this sequence operate on a complementary domain of these elements, allowing to generate multiscale spatiotemporal representations. This design allows the development of three different blocks:

- DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C. The DMSN-A block learns spatiotemporal features with distinct temporal ranges at a fixed spatial size (see Fig. 1). The DMSN-B block explores diverse spatiotemporal features at distinct ranges. Lastly, the DMSN-C block analyzes spatiotemporal features with different spatial sizes at a fixed temporal range. Our proposed blocks employ residual connections, and are implemented using only 1D and 2D convolutions. Using these three blocks, we design our DMSN architecture which has the potential to adapt to different facial behaviors thanks to the different multiscale spatiotemporal representation abilities of the proposed blocks.

The key contributions of this paper are as follows.

- A new building block structure is proposed with three variants – DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C blocks – to improve the extraction of multiscale spatiotemporal features. Such variants are employed in our DMSN architecture to provide discriminative representations for different facial behaviors.

- We show empirically that our DMSN-C block is effective for exploring the spatiotemporal dependencies for depression detection whereas DMSN-A block is efficient to capture facial dynamics for pain estimation.

- An extensive set of experiments on the challenging AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 depression datasets, and UNBC-McMaster and BioVid pain datasets, allowing to validate that our DMSN architecture can provide a level of performance that is comparable to state-of-the-art DL models, while significantly reducing the computational costs.

- An analysis of depression and pain features showing that depression features are more useful for pain estimation than pain features are for depression detection.
The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some background on methods for depression detection, pain estimation, and spatiotemporal modeling. Our DMSN architecture is introduced in Section 3. Then, Section 4 describes the experimental methodology, and Section 5 discusses the results for validation of our approach. Finally, Section 6 draws the conclusions of the present work.

2. Related Work

The growing interest in analyzing facial expressions captured in videos can be attributed to the psychological studies that indicate the correlation of a health condition and face, and the recent progress in deep learning and computer vision methods. The existing works try to explore non-verbal facial cues in order to infer health conditions. A key challenge is to obtain a robust representation in a scenario of subjective variability in the encoding and capture conditions.

2.1. Models for depression detection

Various authors proposed hand-engineered representations for depression detection. Some examples are the method proposed by Cohn et al. [41], that employs Active Appearance Model (AAM) features and uses Support Vector Machine (SVM) as classifier, and the one proposed by Gupta et al. [42], that uses Local Binary Pattern (LBP) features and Support Vector Regressor (SVR). DL models have demonstrated more potential to extract discriminant features from spatiotemporal expressions correlated with depressive states. A common approach is to employ a 2D CNN and some aggregation technique to explore facial features that are extracted from videos [26, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33]. For instance, Zhou et al. [32] used ResNet-50 to explore the appearance information, and attention mechanism to fuse the static facial features. However, such methods have limited ability for the encoding of rich spatiotemporal variations in faces. Two-stream networks [43, 44] and 3D CNNs [34, 35] have also been presented for depression detection. However, these methods are composed of structures that analyze a fixed spatiotemporal range, which reduces the ability to produce discriminative features. Indeed, it has been shown that a better multiscalar capacity is favorable for depression detection which is characterized by small facial expression variations along different levels [23, 24, 45]. In this context, Song et al. [45] used spectral representations of behavior signals to analyze multiscalar depression patterns. Two recent state-of-the-art methods–Multiscale Spatiotemporal Network (MSN) [23], and Maximization and Differentiation Network (MDN) [24]–have shown effectiveness in modeling multiscalar spatiotemporal information. The structure of MSN is composed of 3D convolutions with different kernel sizes, whereas the one of MDN is formed using multiple maximization and difference blocks which explore features in diverse ranges. Although these methods achieve a high level of performance, their computational costs are expensive.

2.2. Models for pain estimation

Early methods for pain intensity estimation employed hand-engineered features such as LBP [46], Gabor [47], Local Binary Patterns from Three Orthogonal Planes (LBP-TOP) [48], Histograms of Topographical (HoT) [49], Pyramid Histogram of Orientation Gradients (PHOG) [50] and Pyramid Local Binary Pattern (PLBP) [50]. In recent years, DL models have been used to encode facial expression variations for pain estimation. Some methods generate deep representations by using frame-wise feature extraction [30, 51, 31]. For instance, Rodriguez et al. [30] employed VGG-16 architecture to learn spatial features and Long-Short Term Memory (LSTM) for the capturing of temporal relationships. Other works proposed to model spatiotemporal information within video sequences by employing 3D CNNs [36, 52]. Using this approach, Wang et al. [52] applied Convolutional 3D (C3D) network, that has as basic structure one $3 \times 3 \times 3$ convolutional layer, to recognize pain expressions. However, these two approaches are frequently ineffective to capture extensive range of facial expression variations. In [25], the authors presented evidence that a multiscale approach is more effective for the modeling of spatial and temporal dependencies related to pain status. They introduced the Spatiotemporal Convolutional Network (SCN) which employs as basic structure parallel 3D convolutions with different temporal depths. SCN obtains high performance for pain estimation, but it requires more than 500M trainable parameters, making its deployment costly.

2.3. Spatiotemporal networks

Since 3D CNNs have an ability to directly model spatial and temporal information, these methods are an intuitive choice for video analysis. Tran et al. [53] proposed an architecture with 8 convolutional layers, called C3D, to learn spatiotemporal features. Carreira et al. [19] proposed to inflate all the filters and pooling kernels of 2D Inception model into 3D CNN generating Inflated 3D-ConvNet (I3D) model. Hara et al. [54] proposed 3D CNNs based on residual connections called 3D ResNet. In [55], the authors introduced the SlowFast network which consists of a slow path to model spatial semantics and a fast path to capture motion at fine temporal resolution. The principal drawbacks of employing 3D CNNs are the high computational complexity, and the lack of pre-trained backbone models. Recently, diverse architectures have been developed for efficient spatiotemporal modeling. In [39], Temporal Segment Network (TSN) is introduced to model long-term temporal information employing 2D CNNs. Qiu et al. [38] proposed Pseudo-3D residual network (P3D) which factorizes 3D convolution into 2D and 1D convolution. Xie et al. studied I3D, I2D, as well as the combination of 2D and 3D methods by using either bottom-heavy (lower layers use 3D convolutions and upper layers use 2D convolutions) or top-heavy (lower layers use 2D convolutions and upper layers use 3D convolutions) networks. Lin et al. [37] proposed the Temporal Shift Module (TSM) to enable 2D CNNs to explore spatiotemporal dependencies by shifting channels.
3. Decomposed Multiscale Spatiotemporal Network

The dynamics of facial expressions provide rich information for the recognition of facial patterns related to a health condition. Such facial expression variations can be explored, e.g., velocity or intensity, in order to model different levels of a health state. This work aims to develop a deep architecture to capture an extensive range of facial dynamics to produce efficient representations for automatic facial expression analysis. Specifically, we design the Decomposed Multiscale Spatiotemporal Network (DMSN) by introducing three multiscale convolution blocks that employ different strategies to generate multiscale spatiotemporal representations.

We design our DMSN blocks considering that the facial behavior can considerably differ in two distinct health diagnosis applications. As illustrated in Fig. 2, the level of pain can change over time, and the correlated facial expressions can be modified considerably over a short period. On the other hand, the depression level lasts for a longer period and the resulting facial expressions tend to have more gradual variations. Consequently, an effective architecture for facial expression analysis has the capability of adaptation to distinct facial behaviors. This fact motivates us to build our architecture using blocks with different abilities.

To develop our proposed blocks, we employ a sequence of convolutions to increase the range of the region under analysis. This sequence is called Main Stage sub-block (see Fig. 3). The output of each convolution in the Main Stage is connected as the input to another convolution that operates in a complementary domain to encode spatiotemporal information. The output feature maps of these branches are at different scales, and a 1×1×1 convolution fuses these features to generate multiscale spatiotemporal representations.

The architectural design of our DMSN block allows the investigation of different strategies to extract multiscale spatiotemporal features. Since the Main Stage sub-block is responsible for the multiscale ability, it is able to employ convolutions on either the same or different domains, which can be beneficial in the elaboration of more efficient multiscale representations. In this context, we derive three variants of our proposed block (see Fig. 3). In the sequence, we present a detailed description of these variants.

### 3.1. DMSN-A block

Considering that the pain level can vary more rapidly over time, its level can last for different periods, and it can produce sudden facial expression variations, we define the Main Stage of the DMSN-A block as a sequence of 3×1×1 temporal convolutions. This sub-block is formed using four 1D temporal convolutions in order to explore the short, medium, and long temporal ranges. The output $T_i$ of each 1D temporal convolution ($M^i_j$) is given by:

$$
T_i = \begin{cases} 
M^i_j(x) & i = 1 \\
M^i_j(T_{i-1}) & 2 \leq i \leq 4 
\end{cases}
$$

Each 1D convolution increases the temporal range explored by this sub-block. Branches of the Main Stage employ 1×3×3 spatial convolutions which generate spatiotemporal features at multiple temporal ranges. The output $ST_j$ of each 2D spatial convolution ($M^j_j$) is defined by:

$$
ST_j = M^j_j(T_j) \quad 1 \leq j \leq 4
$$

### 3.2. DMSN-B block

This block employs the Main Stage sub-block to increase the explored regions in both domains by using 1×3×3 spatial...
convolutions and 3 x 1 x 1 temporal convolutions. With the purpose of maintaining a similar computational complexity in comparison with DMSN-A block, the DMSN-B block employs four convolutions in the Main Stage. The output $Y_i$ of each element in this sub-block is calculated by:

$$Y_i = \begin{cases} M_s^i(x) & i = 1 \\ M_s^{i+1}(Y_{i-1}) & i = 3 \\ M_s^{i+2}(Y_{i-1}) & i = 2, 4 \end{cases}$$  

(3)

Each element of this sub-block increases the spatiotemporal receptive field size in analysis. Branches of the Main Stage use complementary convolution (in relation to domain) to generate spatiotemporal features at multiple ranges. Specifically, the output $ST_j$ of each branch is given by:

$$ST_j = \begin{cases} M_t^{j+3-(j+1)/2}(Y_j) & j = 1, 3 \\ M_t^{j+2-j/2}(Y_j) & j = 2, 4 \end{cases}$$  

(4)

### 3.3. DMSN-C block

Given that depressive states can present less facial expression variations over time, and the depression level of a subject in a video tends to be constant, the DMSN-C block employs the Main Stage to produce multiscale spatial features. The sub-block is constituted by a sequence of 1 x 3 x 3 spatial convolutions where each element increases the spatial receptive field size. The output $S_i$ of each 2D spatial convolution ($M_t^i$) is defined by:

$$S_i = \begin{cases} M_t^i(x) & i = 1 \\ M_t^i(S_{i-1}) & 2 \leq i \leq 4 \end{cases}$$  

(5)

Branches of the Main Stage use 3x1x1 temporal convolution to produce spatiotemporal features at multiple spatial sizes. The output $ST_j$ of each 1D temporal convolution ($M_t^j$) can be given by

$$ST_j = M_t^j(S_j) \quad 1 \leq j \leq 4$$  

(6)

Furthermore, for DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C blocks, the first element of the Main Stage reduces the number of channels by half in comparison with the number of output channels of the first 1 x 1 x 1 convolution whereas the convolutions in the branches reduces this number by one quarter (i.e., 1 divided by the number of branches).

### 3.4. DMSN architecture

We construct the DMSN architecture using our three blocks. In this way, our model has structures with diverse capacities favoring the creation of a model that can perform well in different applications. In Table 1, we provide the details of our proposed model. The output feature map is defined as a tensor $X \in \mathbb{R}^{T \times H \times W \times C}$, where $T$, $H$, $W$, and $C$ are the temporal depth, height, width, and number of channels, respectively. The model size and the number of blocks in each layer are defined similarly to ResNet-50. The DMSN blocks are employed in the residual layers (res) and the regression layer outputs a value related to pain or depression score. Moreover, we develop three models which are named according to the DMSN block they employ, e.g., DMSN-A model uses only DMSN-A blocks. With that, we can understand the contributions of each DMSN block for a given application.
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Table 1
Properties of the proposed DMSN architecture. DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C models are built using only an instance of DMSN block. For example, DMSN-A only uses the DMSN-A block.

| Layer | Output Size | Number of Channels | Structure       | Number of Layers |
|-------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| input | 16 × 112 × 112 | 3 |                | ×1               |
| conv1 | 16 × 56 × 56  | 64 | 7 × 7 × 7 ×1    |                 |
| MaxPool | 8 × 28 × 28 | 64 | 3 × 3 × 3 ×1    |                 |
| res2  | 8 × 28 × 28  | 128 | DMSN-A          | ×1               |
|       |             |   | DMSN-B          |                  |
|       |             |   | DMSN-C          |                  |
| res3  | 8 × 14 × 14  | 256 | DMSN-A          | ×1               |
|       |             |   | DMSN-B          |                  |
|       |             |   | DMSN-C          |                  |
| res4  | 8 × 7 × 7    | 512 | DMSN-A          | ×2               |
|       |             |   | DMSN-B          |                  |
|       |             |   | DMSN-C          |                  |
| res5  | 8 × 4 × 4    | 1024 | DMSN-A          | ×1              |
|       |             |   | DMSN-B          |                  |
|       |             |   | DMSN-C          |                  |
| regression | 1 × 1 | spatial AvgPool, FC, AvgPool |

4. Experimental Methodology

4.1. Depression datasets
We conduct experiments on two public benchmarking datasets for depression, called the Audio-Visual Emotion Challenge 2013 and 2014 (AVEC2013 [56] and AVEC2014 [57] depression sub-challenge datasets). This AVEC sub-challenge consisted of predicting the depression severity of subjects on Beck Depression Inventory (BDI-II). The severity of depression can be determined in accordance to BDI-II score as follows: minimal (0 – 13), mild (14 – 19), moderate (20 – 28), and severe (29 – 63). Although there exist other depression datasets such as AVEC2016 [58], to the best of our knowledge, AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 are the only datasets that provide raw video data.

The AVEC2013 dataset is composed of 150 videos from a group of individuals which the average age is 31.5 years. The individuals were recorded during an interaction with a computer carrying out 14 tasks, including counting from 1 to 10. The dataset is divided into three partitions: training, development, and test subsets. Every subset is comprised of 50 videos, where each video has a BDI-II score as a discrete-value label which indicates the level of depression of an individual. The maximum duration of videos is 50 minutes, the minimum is 20 minutes, and the average length is 25 minutes.

The AVEC2014 dataset contains videos of individuals performing two tasks: Freeform and Northwind. In the first one, the individuals answer questions like discussing a sad childhood memory. In the second one, individuals read audibly an excerpt from a fable. In total, there are 150 videos of each task with a ground truth label (BDI-II score) for each video. For both tasks, the videos are distributed in three partitions: training, development, and test subsets. The videos have length between 6 and 248 seconds. Samples from both datasets are exhibited in Fig. 4. Due to privacy concerns, all samples of depression shown in this work are blurred.

4.2. Pain datasets
To evaluate the performance of our proposed approach on pain estimation, we conduct experiments on two publicly available datasets: UNBC-McMaster Shoulder Pain Expression Archive [59], and BioVid Heat Pain [60].
The UNBC-McMaster dataset has been largely employed for pain estimation from facial information. It consists of 200 face videos of 25 individuals with a total number of 48,398 frames. Fig. 5 presents some facial frames from this dataset. Each video is labeled using Prkachin and Solomon Pain Intensity (PSPI) scores in a frame-level fashion on a range of 16 discrete levels ranging from 0 (no pain) to 15 (maximum pain). Since the input of our proposed model is a clip, we follow the works in [24, 25, 36, 61, 62, 63], which define a label for each clip. Specifically, we use the average of the pain intensity of each frame inside the clip as a label. Moreover, since the dataset is highly imbalanced (82.7% of frames have pain score of 0), we adopted the common quantization strategy, which maps the pain levels to 6 ordinal levels as: 0:0, 1:1, 2:2, 3:3, 4-5:4, 6-15:5.

The BioVid Heat Pain dataset contains videos and bio-signals that were acquired during acute heat-induced pain experiments in healthy adults. Pain was induced in four distinct intensities in the right arm of each individual. Although the dataset includes bio-signals such as Skin Conductance Level (SCL), electrocardiogram (ECG), electromyography (EMG), and electroencephalogram (EEG), our experiments only consider Biovid part A which has 8,700 videos of 87 individuals. Each video is labeled with a pain stimulus level which ranges from 0 (no pain) to 4 (severe pain). A sample from this dataset is shown in Fig. 4.

4.3. Training of the model

The model analyzes faces that are detected and extracted from video frames of datasets employing MTCNN [64]. Each facial image is resized to form a bounding-box sample from video frames of datasets employing MTCNN [64]. The RGB input images are normalized by using the mean of parameters (memory complexity), and the number of Floating Point Operations (FLOPs) for the processing of a clip (time complexity).

5. Results and Discussion

5.1. Analysis of the DMSN blocks

In order to investigate the potential of the proposed DMSN blocks, we generate results for the three models that are named according to the DMSN block they employ, e.g., DMSN-A uses DMSN-A blocks. We also compare these models with our proposed DMSN architecture to show the benefits of using all DMSN blocks. Finally, we compare our architecture in terms of performance and computational complexity with 3D ResNet [54] and three other efficient spatiotemporal models: TSN [39], TSM [37], and P3D [38]. For fair comparison, all these models follow the same training process that our proposed architecture, i.e., first pre-train on VGGFace2 dataset, then fine-tune on depression or pain datasets.

5.1.1. Depression detection

Table 2 reports the results for our three models on AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 datasets. When compared with DMSN-A, DMSN-B achieves better performance, except for AVEC2013 in terms of MAE. As can be seen, the best performance is obtained by DMSN-C. Regarding the computational complexity, it is possible to observe that DMSN-A employs fewer parameters and requires fewer FLOPs, whereas DMSN-C is more computationally expensive in comparison with DMSN-A, and DMSN-B. Among our three models, DMSN-C provides the best trade-off between performance and computational complexity since this model improves the results with slightly more resources. From these results, we can claim that the DMSN-C block is effective to explore facial expression variations for depression detection.

Table 2 also shows the performance of our proposed DMSN architecture which employs DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C blocks. The use of our three blocks in our architecture provides an improvement of results over DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C models (except for AVEC2013 in terms of MAE where DMSN-C achieves the same result). Observe that DMSN architecture has lower computational costs than the DMSN-C model. Although our architecture has higher FLOPs than DMSN-B and is more expensive...
Table 2

Performance of the proposed methods against spatiotemporal models for estimating of depression scores on AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 datasets.

| Architecture       | AVEC2013 | AVEC2014 | Parameters ↓ | FLOPs ↓ |
|--------------------|----------|----------|--------------|---------|
|                    | RMSE     | MAE      | RMSE         | MAE     |          |          |
| 3D-ResNet [54]     | 8.81     | 6.92     | 8.40         | 6.79    | 63.0M    | 12.22G   |
| TSN [39]           | 8.89     | 6.21     | 8.72         | 6.45    | 23.5M    | 16.45G   |
| TSM [37]           | 8.89     | 6.41     | 8.53         | 6.29    | 23.5M    | 16.45G   |
| P3D [38]           | 8.50     | 6.24     | 8.63         | 6.80    | 24.9M    | 8.56G    |
| DMSN-A (Ours)      | 7.98     | 6.32     | 8.13         | 6.48    | 19.0M    | 10.26G   |
| DMSN-B (Ours)      | 7.92     | 6.59     | 7.86         | 6.24    | 23.6M    | 10.83G   |
| DMSN-C (Ours)      | 7.77     | 6.14     | 7.66         | 6.10    | 25.9M    | 11.53G   |
| DMSN (Ours)        | 7.66     | 6.14     | 7.50         | 5.69    | 22.1M    | 11.29G   |

Table 3

Performance of our proposed approach against spatiotemporal models on UNBC-McMaster and BioVid datasets.

| Architecture       | UNBC-McMaster | BioVid |
|--------------------|---------------|-------|
|                    | MSE          | MAE   | MSE          | MAE   |
| 3D-ResNet [54]     | 0.75         | 0.56  | 2.28         | 1.30  |
| TSN [39]           | 0.58         | 0.53  | 2.07         | 1.21  |
| TSM [37]           | 0.46         | 0.49  | 1.94         | 1.20  |
| P3D [38]           | 0.67         | 0.50  | 2.04         | 1.23  |
| DMSN-A (Ours)      | 0.43         | 0.39  | 1.68         | 1.08  |
| DMSN-B (Ours)      | 0.41         | 0.37  | 1.70         | 1.09  |
| DMSN-C (Ours)      | 0.44         | 0.38  | 1.71         | 1.09  |
| DMSN (Ours)        | **0.38**     | **0.35** | **1.54**     | **1.04** |

than DMSN-A, DMSN significantly improves the performance on depression detection when compared with these two models. These results demonstrate that the diversity of multiscale spatiotemporal features explored by our DMSN architecture enhances the representation for recognition of depressive states.

We also compare our DMSN architecture with the 3D ResNet, TSN, TSM, and P3D models in Table 2. DMSN improves the results by more than 1.0 in terms of RMSE on AVEC2013 and in terms of MAE on AVEC2014 when compared with 3D ResNet. DMSN also outperforms TSN, TSM, and P3D where the difference in results on AVEC2014 is significant. DMSN employs fewer parameters than these models and has fewer FLOPs, except for P3D. As indicated by the results, DMSN has the potential to generate efficient spatiotemporal representations for depression detection.

5.1.2. Pain Estimation

Table 3 presents the performance of our DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C models on UNBC-McMaster and BioVid datasets. As can be seen, the three DMSN models achieve comparable results on UNBC-McMaster pain dataset. On the other hand, DMSN-A exhibits a better performance on BioVid pain dataset when compared to DMSN-B and DMSN-C. Given that the DMSN-A model requires fewer parameters and FLOPs, the DMSN-A block, which has the capacity to explore diverse spatiotemporal features at different temporal ranges, can be considered an efficient strategy to capture spatiotemporal variations for pain estimation.

In Table 3, we also show the results of our DMSN architecture for pain estimation. The employment of the three DMSN blocks in our architecture produces better results in comparison with DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C models. Consequently, the construction of our architecture using different strategies to learn multiscale spatiotemporal features favors a performance improvement for an application with greater facial expression variations as in pain estimation, and one with fewer facial variations as in depression detection.

A comparison between our DMSN architecture and 3D ResNet, TSN, TSM, and P3D models is also presented in Table 3. Compared with P3D, DMSN improves the results by 0.5 and 0.29 in terms of MSE on BioVid and UNBC-McMaster datasets, respectively. In summary, DMSN outperforms these methods and the difference in results is higher on BioVid dataset, indicating that DMSN has good ability to encode facial dynamics for pain estimation.

5.2. Analysis of temporal depth of input

Our DMSN architecture is designed to explore a wide range of facial expression variations. Consequently, the temporal depth of input is an important factor in the performance of the model. In Table 4, we perform evaluations considering inputs with 8, 16, 24, 32 frames. Since the pain and depression datasets are composed of similar face videos, and the evaluations involve a long training process, we carry out this analysis on AVEC2014 and UNBC-McMaster datasets. For depression detection, using sequences with 8 frames
Table 5
Evaluation of our DMSN architecture considering different number of branches in the Main Stage sub-block on AVEC2014 and UNBC-McMaster datasets.

| Number of branches | AVEC2014 | UNBC-McMaster | Parameters ↓ | FLOPs ↓ |
|--------------------|----------|---------------|--------------|---------|
|                    | RMSE     | MAE           | MSE          | MAE     |
| 2                  | 8.45     | 6.64          | 0.63         | 0.52    |
| 3                  | 7.71     | 6.08          | 0.45         | 0.42    |
| 4                  | 7.50     | 5.69          | **0.38**     | **0.35**|

Table 6
Performance of proposed and state-of-the-art methods for estimating of depression scores on AVEC datasets.

| Architecture                  | AVEC2013 | AVEC2014 | Parameters ↓ |
|-------------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|
|                               | RMSE     | MAE      | RMSE         | MAE      | Parameters ↓ |
| Baseline-AVEC2013 [56]        | 13.61    | 10.88    | -            | -        | -            |
| Baseline-AVEC2014 [57]        | -        | -        | 10.86        | 8.86     | -            |
| MHH + LBP [66]                | 11.19    | 9.14     | -            | -        | -            |
| LPQ + Geo + CCA [67]          | 9.72     | 7.86     | -            | -        | -            |
| Two-stream GoogLeNet [44]     | 9.82     | 7.58     | 9.55         | 7.47     | -            |
| Two C3D [35]                  | 9.28     | 7.37     | 9.20         | 7.22     | ≈64.2M       |
| Two C3D [34]                  | 8.26     | 6.40     | 8.31         | 6.59     | ≈64.2M       |
| VGG-16 + FDHH [28]            | -        | -        | 8.04         | 6.68     | ≈138.0M      |
| DTL [29]                      | -        | -        | 9.43         | 7.74     | -            |
| ResNet-50 + pooling [32]      | -        | -        | 8.43         | 6.37     | ≈23.5M       |
| Four ResNet-50 [33]           | 8.28     | 6.20     | 8.39         | 6.21     | ≈94.0M       |
| ResNet-50 [26]                | 8.25     | 6.30     | 8.23         | 6.15     | ≈23.5M       |
| Behavior signals [45]         | 8.10     | 6.16     | 8.30*        | 6.78*    | -            |
| DLGA-CNN [27]                 | 8.39     | 6.59     | 8.30         | 6.51     | -            |
| Two-stream ResNet-50 [43]     | 7.97     | 5.96     | 7.94         | 6.20     | ≈47.0M       |
| MSN [23]                      | 7.90     | 5.98     | 7.61         | 5.82     | ≈77.7M       |
| MDN [24]                      | 7.55     | 6.24     | 7.65         | 6.06     | ≈52M         |
| DMSN (Ours)                   | 7.66     | 6.14     | 7.50         | 5.69     | 22.1M        |

* Results of the method for Freeform task.

significantly degrades the performance of our model. In fact, very short sequences increase the level of ambiguity along the depression levels, making harder to generate effective representations. The model sustains the highest levels of performance for a clip size of 16 and 24 frames, and worsens for 32 frames. For pain estimation, the model maintains a comparable level of performance for all sequences employed, but the worst results are obtained using clips with 8 frames. Furthermore, as the clip size increases, the model requires more FLOPs to generate an output.

5.3. Analysis of multiscale spatiotemporal ability

Given that facial dynamics comprise different spatiotemporal variations, it is essential that our architecture has a multiscale spatiotemporal representation ability to encode such variations. We evaluate this ability in our architecture by changing the number of branches in the Main Stage sub-block. To maintain a comparable computational complexity, when the number of branches is reduced, we increase the number of channels in the branches of the Main Stage sub-block. As seen in Table 5, for depression detection and pain estimation, when more spatiotemporal ranges are explored (i.e., increasing the number of branches), the performance of DMSN improves, indicating a boost in the ability of encoding facial variations. It is worth noting that by increasing the number of branches in the Main Stage sub-block, the architecture not only enhances the capacity of exploring spatiotemporal features in different ranges, but it also increases the diversity of this exploration, since DMSN employs DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C blocks, which use different strategies to learn multiscale spatiotemporal features.

5.4. Comparison with state-of-the-art

In this section, the performance of our DMSN architecture is compared with state-of-the-art methods for depression detection and pain estimation.

5.4.1. Depression detection

Table 6 compares the performance of our proposed architecture with state-of-the-art methods on AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 depression datasets. DMSN outperforms the method based on LPQ features [67] and other related descriptors [56, 57, 66]. The methods in [28, 29, 32, 33, 26, 27] are based on 2D CNNs followed by an aggregation technique. The methods in [35, 34] employ 3D CNNs to explore spatiotemporal information. The authors in [44, 43] infer depressive states by using two-stream networks. Our DMSN outperforms these methods (except for the method...
in [43] in terms of MAE on AVEC2013, but this approach employs 24.9M more parameters). These results confirm findings in [23, 24, 45], which underscore the importance of a multiscale approach for facial depression recognition. We also observe that DMSN achieves better results than the method in [45], which explores behavioral primitives (facial action units, head pose, and gaze directions). When compared with MSN [23] and MDN [24], DMSN outperforms both models on AVEC2014, and achieves competitive results on AVEC2013, while requiring 3.51× and 2.35× fewer parameters than MSN and MDN, respectively. These results show that our DMSN architecture can provide a cost-effective solution for depression detection.

5.4.2. Pain estimation

Table 7 compares the performance of our proposed architecture with state-of-the-art methods on UNBC-McMaster dataset. As can be seen, DMSN outperforms different schemes for pain expression recognition. For instance, DMSN achieves better results than the method in [30], which uses VGG-16 architecture and LSTM, while requiring around 6.2 times fewer parameters. The comparison with the SCN method [25] is interesting because the basic block of this architecture is composed of parallel 3D convolutions with diverse temporal depths to explore multi-scale spatiotemporal information. DMSN presents similar performance as this method with significant reduction of parameters (DMSN has around 26.5x fewer parameters). These results indicate that our DMSN architecture is also an efficient option for pain estimation.

Table 8 compares our DMSN architecture with state-of-the-art methods on BioVid dataset. DMSN outperforms the method in [61] which also explores facial expressions variations from videos. In [68], the authors explore diverse features from ECG, EMG, and SCL as well as face videos. As we can see, DMSN obtains comparable results, demonstrating that facial expression analysis can provide essential information for the estimation of pain intensities.

5.5. Cross-database analysis

In order to assess the generalization capabilities of our DMSN architecture, we perform cross-database experiments. In this procedure, the source and target databases can belong to different tasks (e.g., AVEC2013 is the source database, and UNBC-McMaster is the target database). In this case, since the labels of pain and depression datasets are different, we replace the regression layer of DMSN to properly evaluate the representations generated by the model. In Table 9, we present the results of this experiment. When the evaluations are performed in the same task (e.g., depression detection), the model achieves reasonable results, indicating a robust representation for facial videos. The analysis between tasks is interesting because it allows an investigation about the aplicability of depression/pain features to the pain/depression recognition task. We can observe that the representations learned on depression datasets allow DMSN to achieve good results on pain datasets. On the other hand, when DMSN is trained on pain datasets and then evaluated on depression detection task, there is a higher degradation in performance. One reason for this result is the high level of ambiguity in depressive states which makes it difficult to directly apply the features of other applications.

5.6. Qualitative results

To interpret the performance differences for depression detection between our DMSN architecture and DMSN-A, DMSN-B, DMSN-C models as well as P3D, we present the class activation maps (CAMs) employing the Grad-CAM method [69]. In the visualizations of Fig. 5, lighter colors represent those regions that are most relevant for a model’s predictions. Considering the most activated regions, the models appear to explore the eyes and mouth regions.
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Figure 5: Example of the CAMs showing the facial regions activated by our proposed DMSN models and P3D on a facial image from the AVEC2014 dataset.

Figure 6: Performance analysis of our proposed DMSN architecture and P3D on samples of two individuals from UNBC-McMaster dataset.

In fact, these regions convey important information about depressive states. As we can see, our approach is more effective in exploring such areas than P3D. In comparison with DMSN-A, DMSN-B, and DMSN-C, DMSN seems to be more successful in capturing face expression variations from these areas. We understand that this capacity of DMSN is a decisive factor for the good performance in depression detection.

Fig. 6 shows the effectiveness of our approach for pain estimation by comparing the predictions of our architecture with that of P3D and ground truth. It can be observed that our architecture can satisfactorily identify the occurrence of pain, which is an important characteristic for clinical application, whereas P3D has lower accuracy. DMSN presents a better performance than P3D in recognizing changes of pain levels, which is due to a better multiscale spatiotemporal ability of DMSN. In general, our architecture has a good ability to follow the variations of pain levels, meaning that DMSN is effectively modeling transitions in facial pain expressions.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a structure called Decomposed Multiscale Spatiotemporal Network (DMSN) to learn multiscale spatiotemporal features from facial expressions in videos. Three variants of the DMSN block are introduced, which employ different strategies to effectively and efficiently capture facial dynamics. We design our DMSN architecture using these blocks to explore a variety of multiscale spatiotemporal features, which favors the adaptation to different facial behaviors. In our extensive experiments on AVEC2013 and AVEC2014 depression datasets, and UNBC-McMaster and BioVid pain datasets, we show that exploring the spatiotemporal information at multiple spatial sizes (DMSN-C block) is effective for depression detection, whereas capturing spatiotemporal features at multiple temporal ranges (DMSN-A block) is efficient for pain estimation. We also show that our architecture achieves competitive performance against state-of-the-art approaches for depression and pain expression detection, yet requires significantly fewer model parameters. Moreover, we demonstrate that depression features are more useful for pain estimation than pain features are for depression detection. In future work, we plan to investigate the performance of our DMSN architecture in other healthcare applications such as stress detection.
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