Prevalence of chronic liver disease in patients with COVID-19 and their clinical outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis
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Abstract

Abnormal liver enzymes are seen in 20% of hospitalized patients with COVID-19. The etiology of elevated liver enzymes is thought to be multifactorial including medications and underlying liver disease. The true prevalence and clinical significance of underlying chronic liver diseases (CLD) in COVID-19 remains poorly defined. In this systematic review and meta-analysis, we included 74 clinical studies that were identified after a thorough literature search across three databases. The prevalence of CLD patients (73 studies, 24,299 patients) was 3% among all COVID-19 patients. The prevalence of CLD patients was similar in COVID-19 positive and negative population (pooled OR 0.79 [95% CI 0.60, 1.05], \( p = 0.10 \)). The presence of CLD was significantly associated with more severe COVID-19 infection (pooled OR 1.48 [95% CI 1.17, 1.87], \( p = 0.001 \)) and overall mortality (pooled OR 1.78 [95% CI 1.09, 2.93], \( p = 0.02 \)). Additionally, there was a non-significant trend noted for increased ICU admissions and need for invasive mechanical ventilation among COVID-19 patients with CLD. To date, the clinical importance of chronic liver diseases among COVID-19 infection has remained undefined. In this novel systematic review and meta-analysis, the presence of underlying chronic liver disease was significantly associated with more severe COVID-19 infections and mortality.
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Abbreviations

ALD  Alcoholic liver disease
CI  Confidence interval
CLD  Chronic liver disease
COVID-19  Novel coronavirus
FiO2  Inhaled oxygen concentration
HBV  Hepatitis B virus
HCC  Hepatocellular carcinoma
HCV  Hepatitis C virus
ICU  Intensive care unit
NAFLD  Nonalcoholic fatty liver disease
OR  Odds ratio
PaO2  Partial pressure arterial oxygen concentration
SpO2  Oxygen saturation
WHO  World Health Organization

Introduction

Abnormal liver enzymes (20%) and elevated bilirubin (16.7%) are common in hospitalized patients with COVID-19 [1–3]. The etiology of abnormal liver enzymes is thought to be multifactorial, and in majority of patients, it is thought to be due to medications or underlying liver diseases [3]. It is not known whether patients with chronic liver disease (CLD) are more likely to develop COVID-19 as there is a paucity of data on the prevalence of CLD among patients
with COVID-19. It has been suggested that chronic liver disease is an established risk factor for severe COVID-19 [4]. Although it is intuitive to believe that patients with cirrhosis, especially those with decompensated cirrhosis, are likely to have more severe COVID-19, there is no firm evidence to support this. In one study, only 19 of 5700 (0.4%) of patients with COVID-19 hospitalized in NY hospitals had cirrhosis and only 11 (0.2%) patients had either HCV or HBV [5]. Similarly, only 28 of 1591 (2%) ICU admissions in Italy due to COVID-19 had chronic liver diseases. [6] The experience from Italy also suggests that COVID-19 is not disproportionately more common in liver transplant recipients, and 3 of 200 liver transplant recipients from one center who were tested positive did not develop pulmonary disease. [7] In another study from Milan, only 8 of 640 liver transplant recipients were diagnosed with COVID-19 during this pandemic, and of these only 5 were hospitalized and none required mechanical ventilation. [8] Moreover, there are only limited data on the clinical outcomes of patients with CLD including ICU admission, mechanical intubation rates, or mortality.

The primary aim of this systematic review and meta-analysis is to determine the prevalence of CLD in patients with COVID-19 and their clinical outcomes.

Methods

Literature search

Three major databases, including MEDLINE/PubMed, EMBASE, and medRxiv, were searched for clinical studies dated from January 1, 2019 to May 16, 2020. In an effort to broadly identify studies detailing CLD and COVID-19, the following search criteria were utilized: “(coronavirus OR Cov2 OR (Cov AND 2) OR ncov2 OR (ncov AND 2) OR (sars AND cov AND 2) OR (sars AND cov2) OR 'Sars Cov 2' OR COVID OR (covid AND 19) OR 'COVID 19') AND (chronic AND liver AND disease*) OR cirrhosis OR (hepatitis AND b) OR (hepatitis AND c) OR HBV OR HCV OR (alcohol AND liver AND disease) OR (alcoholic AND liver AND disease) OR ALD OR (nonalcoholic AND fatty AND liver) OR NAFLD OR (nonalcoholic AND steatohepatitis) OR (liver AND cancer) OR (hepatocellular AND carcinoma) OR HCC.” This meta-analysis was conducted according to the Preferred Reporting Item for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses [PRISMA] [9] and meta-analysis of observational studies and epidemiology [MOOSE] [10].

Inclusion criteria

Articles and clinical trials that met the following inclusion criteria were eligible for this meta-analysis: (1) studies performed in adult, human subjects; (2) studies reporting CLD among patients with COVID-19. No preference was given upon study design on the initial search strategy, and all types of studies including randomized controlled trials, non-randomized studies, cohort studies, and case–control studies were considered.

Exclusion criteria

Studies with the following characteristics were excluded from this meta-analysis: (1) studies performed among non-human subjects; (2) studies that were not a clinical trial, such as a review paper or letter; (3) studies that were out of scope of the study question detailed above; (4) studies that lacked proper controls; (5) studies that did not provide raw data in order to perform quantitative meta-analysis; (6) studies that were duplicates.

Study definitions

As mentioned above, CLD was defined by patients having any of the following diagnoses: cirrhosis, hepatitis B virus (HBV), hepatitis C virus (HCV), alcoholic liver disease (ALD), nonalcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD), or hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC).

Severe and critical COVID-19 infection was defined by criteria set forth in the WHO situation report and interim guidance [11]. Severe cases defined as any one of the following: respiratory distress with RR > 30 breaths per minute; mean oxygen saturation [SpO2] < 93% on room air; or arterial blood oxygen [PaO2]/oxygen concentration [FiO2] ≤ 300 mmHg. Critical illness was defined by the presence of any one of the following: admission to ICU; respiratory failure requiring mechanical ventilation; shock; other multisystem organ failure requiring ICU level of care. Non-severe or mild cases of COVID-19 were defined as patients who tested positive for COVID-19, but did not meet criteria for severe or critical cases and were either asymptomatic or the symptoms from their infection were self-limiting.

Statistical analysis

This meta-analysis was performed using Review Manager [RevMan, software version 5.3, The Cochrane Collaboration, Denmark, 2014]. Odds Ratios [OR] were used as a summary measure for dichotomous data. 95% confidence intervals [CI] were reported for all measures. Data were considered statistically insignificant if OR includes 1.00 or \( p > 0.05 \). Statistical heterogeneity was assessed using the \( I^2 \) statistic. \( I^2 \) values of 0–25%, 25–50%, 50–75%, and > 75%
were awarded values of homogeneity, mild heterogeneity, moderate heterogeneity, and high heterogeneity, respectively. If significant heterogeneity was present \( I^2 \geq 50\% \), the random effects model was used to pool the effect sizes of included studies and subgroup analyses; if no significant heterogeneity was found \( I^2 < 50\% \), the fixed effects model was utilized. Publication bias was determined by visual inspection of funnel plots, which were calculated based on logarithmic ORs plotted against their standard errors [12]. Asymmetric funnel plots were deemed to represent studies with high risk of bias.

### Results

#### Study selection

Overall, 437 clinical studies were identified for inclusion based upon the predefined search criteria. After a thorough literature appraisal and implementation of the exclusion criteria, 74 studies were finally included in this meta-analysis (Fig. 1 and Supplemental Table 2).

#### Prevalence of CLD among patients with COVID-19

Three studies (4221 patients) had compared the prevalence of CLD among COVID-19 positive patients and negative controls (Fig. 2). The prevalence of CLD patients was similar in COVID-19 positive and negative population with
pooled OR 0.79 [95% CI 0.60, 1.05; \( p = 0.10; \ I^2 = 0\%\)]. In 73 studies (24,299 patients), the prevalence of CLD among patients positive for COVID-19 (regardless of the presence of negative controls) was 0.03 [95% CI 0.03, 0.04] (Table 1).

**Clinical outcomes of patients with CLD and COVID-19**

Significantly greater severe and/or critical illness events were present among COVID-19 patients with CLD when compared to those without CLD (pooled OR 1.48 [95% CI 1.17, 1.87]; \( p = 0.001; \ I^2 = 10\%\)) (Fig. 3). There were no significant differences in ICU admissions in COVID-19 patients with CLD when compared to patients without CLD (pooled OR 1.38 [95% CI 0.88, 2.17]; \( p = 0.17; \ I^2 = 0\%\)), but there was a trend, and not statistically significant, towards increased rate of invasive mechanical ventilation among COVID-19 patients with CLD (pooled OR 2.22 [95% CI 0.67, 7.42]; \( p = 0.19; \ I^2 = 36\%\)) (Fig. 4). The overall mortality was significantly higher in COVID-19 patients with CLD when compared to COVID-19 patients without CLD (pooled OR 1.78 [95% CI 1.09, 2.93]; \( p = 0.02; \ I^2 = 0\%\)) (Fig. 3).

**Publication bias**

Funnel plots were created for the outcomes of this meta-analysis and reported in Supplemental Figs. 1–3. No asymmetry was noted in the funnel plots to suggest a significant degree of publication bias.

**Discussion**

Our meta-analysis showed that there was no increased risk of COVID-19 in patients with CLD. However, patients with CLD were more likely to have severe or critical COVID-19 and they were also more likely to have a higher mortality when compared to those without CLD.

Recent recommendations made by the AASLD Expert Panel affirm an increased healthcare burden among COVID-19 positive patients with CLD [13]. Although it is intuitive to believe that patients with CLD are likely to have more severe COVID-19, the above assumptions were made in the absence of robust clinical data and were largely based on expert opinion.

Previous two large studies outside China also appear to suggest that the prevalence of CLD is not higher in hospitalized patients [5, 6]. Our meta-analysis supports those observations. Mild elevation of liver enzymes is common, especially in severe COVID-19, and it is thought to be multifactorial, and mostly medication related [1, 3]. Our study provides important epidemiological information on the comparative prevalence of CLD in patients with and without COVID-19. After meticulously identifying studies among the primary literature, no significant difference was identified among the studies comparing the prevalence of CLD among patients with or without COVID-19. The overall prevalence of CLD was only 3% across all studies characterizing COVID-19 positive patients, and this is similar to the reported prevalence of CLD in hospitalized patients in New York and ICU patients in Italy [5, 6] Some studies from China had reported a higher prevalence mostly because of chronic hepatitis B in that population. It is very true that retrospective nature of most studies and the general lack of predefined diagnoses for CLD among studies may have underestimated the true prevalence of CLD in COVID-19.

Our study, however, showed that those with CLD are more likely to have more severe or critical COVID-19 illness when compared to those without CLD, and moreover, those with CLD are more likely to have a higher mortality. An EMR based, propensity matched, study had recently reported higher mortality in 250 patients with CLD and our findings corroborate it [14]. Moreover, meta-analyses of early data, one based on 11 observational studies [15] and another one based on 22 studies [16], had also come to similar conclusions. We can only speculate that some of these observations could be related to decompensation precipitated by COVID-19 in those with advanced cirrhosis or perhaps related other comorbidities in the population with liver disease. We need more granular data to make any firm conclusions. Our data have also demonstrated a trend towards an increased frequency of ICU admissions and requirement for invasive mechanical ventilation. Previous studies had reported higher prevalence of liver injury in
Table 1 Prevalence of chronic liver diseases among COVID-19 positive patients

| Study             | Country | COVID-19 positive patients with CLD | Total COVID positive patients | CLD prevalence among COVID-19 positive patients [95% CI] |
|-------------------|---------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Ren et al. (2020) | China   | 1                                 | 5                             | 0.20 [−0.15, 0.55]                                     |
| Kujawski et al. (2020) | USA    | 2                                 | 12                            | 0.17 [−0.04, 0.38]                                     |
| Chen et al. (2020) | China   | 15                                | 123                           | 0.12 [0.06, 0.18]                                      |
| Chen et al. (2020) | China   | 3                                 | 25                            | 0.12 [−0.01, 0.25]                                     |
| Xu et al. (2020)  | China   | 7                                 | 62                            | 0.11 [0.03, 0.19]                                      |
| Rentsch et al. (2020) | USA    | 60                                | 585                           | 0.10 [0.08, 0.13]                                      |
| Yanover et al. (2020) | Israel | 404                               | 4353                          | 0.09 [0.09, 0.10]                                      |
| Shi et al. (2020)  | China   | 7                                 | 81                            | 0.09 [0.03, 0.15]                                      |
| Chen et al. (2020) | China   | 4                                 | 48                            | 0.08 [0.00, 0.16]                                      |
| Zhu et al. (2020)  | China   | 21                                | 325                           | 0.07 [0.04, 0.09]                                      |
| Li et al. (2020)   | China   | 6                                 | 85                            | 0.07 [0.02, 0.13]                                      |
| Yu et al. (2020)   | China   | 2                                 | 28                            | 0.07 [−0.03, 0.17]                                     |
| Zha et al. (2020)  | China   | 2                                 | 31                            | 0.07 [−0.02, 0.15]                                     |
| Luo et al. (2020)  | China   | 25                                | 403                           | 0.06 [0.04, 0.09]                                      |
| Zhang et al. (2020) | China  | 8                                 | 140                           | 0.06 [0.02, 0.10]                                      |
| Du et al. (2020)   | China   | 5                                 | 85                            | 0.06 [0.01, 0.11]                                      |
| Ji et al. (2020)   | China   | 6                                 | 101                           | 0.06 [0.01, 0.11]                                      |
| Liu et al. (2020)  | China   | 5                                 | 89                            | 0.06 [0.01, 0.10]                                      |
| Song et al. (2020) | China   | 4                                 | 73                            | 0.06 [0.00, 0.11]                                      |
| Li et al. (2020)   | China   | 1                                 | 17                            | 0.06 [−0.05, 0.17]                                     |
| Zhu et al. (2020)  | China   | 2                                 | 32                            | 0.06 [−0.02, 0.15]                                     |
| Sun et al. (2020)  | China   | 3                                 | 55                            | 0.06 [−0.01, 0.12]                                     |
| Chen et al. (2020) | China   | 15                                | 291                           | 0.05 [0.03, 0.08]                                      |
| Wang et al. (2020) | China   | 12                                | 242                           | 0.05 [0.02, 0.08]                                      |
| Zhao et al. (2020) | China   | 4                                 | 75                            | 0.05 [0.00, 0.10]                                      |
| Arentz et al. (2020) | USA  | 1                                 | 21                            | 0.05 [−0.04, 0.14]                                     |
| Liu et al. (2020)  | China   | 2                                 | 41                            | 0.05 [−0.02, 0.12]                                     |
| Fu et al. (2020)   | China   | 9                                 | 200                           | 0.04 [0.02, 0.07]                                      |
| Lian et al. (2020) | China   | 31                                | 819                           | 0.04 [0.02, 0.05]                                      |
| Lu et al. (2020)   | China   | 20                                | 577                           | 0.04 [0.02, 0.05]                                      |
| Mo et al. (2020)   | China   | 7                                 | 155                           | 0.04 [0.01, 0.08]                                      |
| Shi et al. (2020)  | China   | 5                                 | 134                           | 0.04 [0.01, 0.07]                                      |
| Wu et al. (2020)   | China   | 7                                 | 201                           | 0.04 [0.01, 0.06]                                      |
| Yan et al. (2020)  | China   | 6                                 | 168                           | 0.04 [0.01, 0.06]                                      |
| Feng et al. (2020) | China   | 4                                 | 114                           | 0.04 [0.00, 0.07]                                      |
| Wang et al. (2020) | China   | 3                                 | 69                            | 0.04 [−0.01, 0.09]                                     |
| Chen et al. (2020) | China   | 2                                 | 57                            | 0.04 [−0.01, 0.08]                                     |
| Zhang et al. (2020) | China  | 7                                 | 221                           | 0.03 [0.01, 0.06]                                      |
| Cao et al. (2020)  | China   | 6                                 | 198                           | 0.03 [0.01, 0.05]                                      |
| Huang et al. (2020) | China  | 6                                 | 221                           | 0.03 [0.01, 0.05]                                      |
| Regina et al. (2020) | Switzerland | 6 | 200                           | 0.03 [0.01, 0.05]                                      |
| Zhang et al. (2020) | China   | 9                                 | 315                           | 0.03 [0.01, 0.05]                                      |
| Cai et al. (2020)  | China   | 8                                 | 298                           | 0.03 [0.01, 0.04]                                      |
| Wang et al. (2020) | China   | 4                                 | 138                           | 0.03 [0.00, 0.06]                                      |
| Liu et al. (2020)  | China   | 1                                 | 32                            | 0.03 [−0.03, 0.09]                                     |
| Su et al. (2020)   | China   | 2                                 | 73                            | 0.03 [−0.01, 0.06]                                     |
| Guan et al. (2020) | China   | 23                                | 1099                          | 0.02 [0.01, 0.03]                                      |
| Bai et al. (2020)  | China   | 3                                 | 127                           | 0.02 [0.00, 0.05]                                      |
those with severe COVID-19 and this could be major con-
founder of mortality in patients with CLD [2].

Despite the novel findings presented, in addition to min-
imal heterogeneity across studies, this systematic review
and meta-analyses have some limitations. Most of the
included studies were retrospective and based on hospital-
ized patients. These studies also may have under-reported
CLD among comorbidities since CLD was not the primary
focus of their studies and moreover, there was no prede-

defined definition for CLD in these studies. In addition, the

| Study            | Country      | COVID-19 positive patients with CLD | Total COVID positive patients | CLD prevalence among COVID-19 positive patients [95% CI] |
|------------------|--------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Du et al. (2020) | China        | 4                                 | 179                           | 0.02 [0.00, 0.04]                                  |
| Xiao et al. (2020) | China   | 4                                 | 197                           | 0.02 [0.00, 0.04]                                  |
| Huang et al. (2020) | China  | 1                                 | 41                            | 0.02 [0.00, 0.07]                                  |
| Liu et al. (2020) | China       | 1                                 | 51                            | 0.02 [0.00, 0.06]                                  |
| Song et al. (2020) | China   | 1                                 | 51                            | 0.02 [0.00, 0.06]                                  |
| Yang et al. (2020) | China  | 1                                 | 55                            | 0.02 [0.00, 0.05]                                  |
| Cao et al. (2020) | China       | 2                                 | 102                           | 0.02 [0.00, 0.05]                                  |
| Duan et al. (2020) | China   | 2                                 | 116                           | 0.02 [0.00, 0.04]                                  |
| Liu et al. (2020) | China       | 2                                 | 112                           | 0.02 [0.00, 0.04]                                  |
| Yao et al. (2020) | China       | 2                                 | 108                           | 0.02 [0.00, 0.04]                                  |
| Wan et al. (2020) | China       | 2                                 | 135                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.03]                                  |
| Qin et al. (2020) | China       | 6                                 | 452                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]                                  |
| Almazeedi et al. (2020) | Kuwait | 6                                 | 1099                          | 0.01 [0.00, 0.01]                                  |
| Liu et al. (2020) | China       | 3                                 | 620                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.01]                                  |
| Richardson et al. (2020) | USA  | 30                                | 5700                          | 0.01 [0.00, 0.01]                                  |
| Wang et al. (2020) | China       | 2                                 | 339                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.01]                                  |
| Yan et al. (2020) | China       | 1                                 | 192                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.01]                                  |
| Liu et al. (2020) | China       | 1                                 | 80                            | 0.01 [0.00, 0.04]                                  |
| Wang et al. (2020) | China       | 1                                 | 69                            | 0.01 [0.00, 0.04]                                  |
| Wu et al. (2020) | China       | 1                                 | 80                            | 0.01 [0.00, 0.04]                                  |
| Wang et al. (2020) | China       | 2                                 | 165                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.03]                                  |
| Zhang et al. (2020) | China  | 1                                 | 111                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.03]                                  |
| Guo et al. (2020) | China       | 1                                 | 118                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]                                  |
| Shabrawishi et al. (2020) | Saudi Arabia | 1                           | 150                           | 0.01 [0.00, 0.02]                                  |
| Lu et al. (2020) | China       | 1                                 | 265                           | 0.00 [0.00, 0.01]                                  |
| Total            |              | 875                               | 23,424                        | 0.03 [0.03, 0.04]                                  |
Table 3.1.1 Severe/critical illness

| Study or Subgroup | CLD | Events | Total | Weight | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI | Odds Ratio | M-H, Fixed, 95% CI |
|-------------------|-----|--------|-------|--------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| Cao M et al., 2020 | 618 Hepatology International (2020) 14:612–620 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 192 | 0.4% | 2.21 [0.11, 43.49] |
| Chen X, Jiang G et al., 2020 | 7 | 15 | 24 | 108 | 3.2% | 2.76 [0.91, 8.34] |
| Chen X, Zhao B et al., 2020 | 1 | 4 | 26 | 44 | 3.1% | 0.23 [0.02, 2.40] |
| Chen X, Zheng F et al., 2020 | 2 | 15 | 48 | 276 | 4.1% | 0.73 [0.16, 3.34] |
| Du R et al., 2020 | 3 | 4 | 97 | 175 | 1.0% | 2.41 [0.25, 23.65] |
| Feng et al., 2020 | 1 | 4 | 19 | 110 | 1.0% | 1.60 [0.16, 16.19] |
| Guan et al., 2020 | 1 | 23 | 172 | 1076 | 6.5% | 0.24 [0.03, 1.87] |
| Huang C et al., 2020 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 40 | 0.9% | 0.68 [0.03, 17.80] |
| Huang R et al., 2020 | 0 | 6 | 25 | 215 | 1.4% | 0.57 [0.03, 10.51] |
| Ji et al., 2020 | 4 | 6 | 53 | 95 | 2.0% | 1.58 [0.28, 9.08] |
| Liu J et al., 2020 | 2 | 5 | 33 | 121 | 2.1% | 1.93 [0.16, 6.50] |
| Liu L et al., 2020 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 50 | 0.1% | 20.54 [0.75, 559.49] |
| Liu S et al., 2020 | 1 | 3 | 52 | 617 | 0.3% | 5.43 [0.48, 60.92] |
| Li T et al., 2020 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 58 | 0.8% | 0.50 [0.02, 13.13] |
| Li H et al., 2020 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 264 | 0.1% | 33.98 [1.34, 859.64] |
| Lu Y et al., 2020 | 6 | 20 | 94 | 557 | 4.3% | 2.12 [0.79, 5.63] |
| Qin et al., 2020 | 3 | 6 | 283 | 446 | 3.6% | 0.58 [0.11, 2.89] |
| Regina et al., 2020 | 0 | 6 | 37 | 194 | 2.3% | 0.32 [0.02, 5.86] |
| Rentsch et al., 2020 | 1 | 5 | 60 | 107 | 525 | 15.6% | 1.30 [0.70, 2.42] |
| Shabrawishi et al., 2020 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 149 | 0.1% | 26.03 [1.02, 667.03] |
| Shi P et al., 2020 | 0 | 5 | 46 | 129 | 3.6% | 0.16 [0.01, 3.02] |
| Song C et al., 2020 | 1 | 4 | 41 | 69 | 3.2% | 0.23 [0.02, 2.30] |
| Sun et al., 2020 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 52 | 0.4% | 6.00 [0.50, 71.73] |
| Wan et al., 2020 | 1 | 2 | 39 | 133 | 0.5% | 2.41 [0.15, 39.51] |
| Wang D et al., 2020 | 0 | 4 | 36 | 134 | 2.2% | 0.30 [0.02, 5.71] |
| Wang et al., 2020 | 3 | 12 | 34 | 230 | 2.4% | 1.92 [0.49, 7.46] |
| Wang H et al., 2020 | 0 | 2 | 54 | 163 | 1.6% | 0.40 [0.02, 8.52] |
| Wang J et al., 2020 | 0 | 3 | 18 | 66 | 1.7% | 0.37 [0.02, 7.61] |
| Wang Z et al., 2020 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 48 | 68 | 0.6% | 1.25 [0.05, 32.40] |
| Xiao et al., 2020 | 2 | 4 | 53 | 193 | 1.0% | 2.64 [0.36, 19.23] |
| Yan et al., 2020 | 3 | 6 | 33 | 162 | 1.3% | 3.91 [0.75, 20.26] |
| Yanover et al., 2020 | 28 | 404 | 145 | 3949 | 23.8% | 1.95 [1.29, 2.97] |
| Yao et al., 2020 | 1 | 2 | 25 | 106 | 0.4% | 3.24 [0.20, 53.70] |
| Zhang G et al., 2020 | 4 | 7 | 51 | 214 | 1.1% | 4.26 [0.92, 19.67] |
| Zhang J, Dong X et al., 2020 | 4 | 8 | 54 | 132 | 2.9% | 1.44 [0.35, 6.03] |
| Zhang J, Yu M et al., 2020 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 110 | 0.1% | 16.03 [0.63, 409.70] |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | 656 | 11206 | 100.0% | 1.48 [1.17, 1.87] |

Total events: 1374
Heterogeneity: Chi² = 38.72, df = 35 (P = 0.31); I² = 10%
Test for overall effect: Z = 5.24 (P = 0.001)

Fig. 3 Forest plot comparison of severity of illness [3.1.1] and mortality [3.1.2] among COVID-19 positive patients with versus without chronic liver diseases
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