Automatic high-frequency measurements of full soil greenhouse gas fluxes in a tropical forest
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Abstract. Measuring in situ soil fluxes of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), methane (CH$_4$), and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) continuously at high frequency requires appropriate technology. We tested the combination of a commercial automated soil CO$_2$ flux chamber system (LI-8100A) with a CH$_4$ and N$_2$O analyzer (Picarro G2308) in a tropical rainforest for 4 months. A chamber closure time of 2 min was sufficient for a reliable estimation of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ fluxes (100 % and 98.5 % of fluxes were above minimum detectable flux – MDF, respectively). This closure time was generally not suitable for a reliable estimation of the low N$_2$O fluxes in this ecosystem but was sufficient for detecting rare major peak events. A closure time of 25 min was more appropriate for reliable estimation of most N$_2$O fluxes (85.6 % of measured fluxes are above MDF ± 0.002 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$). Our study highlights the importance of adjusted closure time for each gas.

1 Introduction

After water vapor, carbon dioxide (CO$_2$), methane (CH$_4$), and nitrous oxide (N$_2$O) are the three main greenhouse gases (GHGs) in terms of radiative forcing. Increases in these GHG concentrations in the atmosphere are driving anthropogenic global warming. Understanding the magnitude of GHG fluxes in natural ecosystems has recently become a priority in the study of GHG balances (Merbold et al., 2015). Tropical intact forests cover 1392 Mha globally and represent about 70 % of the total tropical forest area (1949 Mha), which accounts for the largest area of global forest biomes (~50 %). Very few reliable long-term datasets on full GHG balances are available from tropical ecosystems, despite their known importance for the global cycles of these three GHGs (Dutaur and Verchot, 2007). This is in part due to the challenges of designing and operating continuous, multi-gas flux analysis systems in tropical forests. Soil processes in particular are responsible for an important part of GHGs that are produced or consumed in tropical ecosystems (Oertel et al., 2016). Soil physical, chemical, and biological characteristics are linked to variation in GHG emissions from soils, which in turn can display very high spatial and temporal variability (Arias-Navarro et al., 2017; Silver et al., 1999).

Historically, soil GHG fluxes (emission or consumption) have been measured using the static chamber method. This involves closing chambers manually for a known period of time, usually 30–60 min, and repeated collection of air samples for further analysis via gas chromatography (Verchot et al., 1999, 2000). Fluxes are then computed from the change in...
in gas concentration per unit of time, per surface area enclosed by the chamber, and corrected by the volume of the chamber. While these labor-intensive and time-consuming manual measurements are well-adapted to capture high spatial flux variability (Arias-Navarro et al., 2017; Pumpanen et al., 2004), they do not capture high temporal variation, which is necessary for the accurate estimation of annual GHG budgets. Moreover, short-term transient spikes in the emission or consumption of these GHGs likely remains undetected with static chamber methods, imposing a lost opportunity to fully understand the production or consumption processes of GHGs and their response to rapidly changing environmental conditions. One of the key challenges of contemporary GHG flux research is to close these knowledge gaps in order to improve the quantitative prediction of GHG fluxes (Merbold et al., 2015).

The use of automatic chambers is one approach to obtain continuous estimation of soil GHG flux data at high temporal frequency (several measurements per days) at various sampling points. Since the 1970s (Denmead, 1979), a variety of technical solutions for automated flux sampling have been developed (Ambus et al., 2010; Breuer et al., 2000; Görres et al., 2016; Kostyanovsky et al., 2018; O’Connell et al., 2018; Petrakis et al., 2017a; Savage et al., 2014), particularly for soil CO$_2$ fluxes. However, accurate detection of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes from soils via flow through systems is more difficult than CO$_2$ due to significantly lower background concentrations and lower flux rates (Kostyanovsky et al., 2018). The budgetary requirements for large infrastructure and intensive maintenance compared to manual chamber measurements have prevented the widespread application of automated systems. The use of automated and continuous methods to estimate full GHG budgets in situ remains scarce, especially in complex biomes with extreme climate such as tropical forests. Therefore, only a few studies actually address the difficulties and challenges associated with operating these systems under field conditions (Görres et al., 2016; Koskinen et al., 2014).

Recent technological advances have now made more automated chamber systems commercially available, and an increasing number of custom-made systems are being designed and deployed for soil GHG flux measurements (De Klein and Harvey, 2012). Here, we present a detailed field deployment of a custom-built automated soil GHG flux system – the LI-8100A Soil CO$_2$ Flux System (LI-COR Biosciences Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) running in line with a Picarro G2308 (Picarro Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA). Using a 4-month dataset of continuous measurements of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and N$_2$O fluxes simultaneously under tropical forest conditions, we present an optimized sampling protocol for the estimation of the full GHG budget in this ecosystem.

2 Methods

2.1 Measurement site

This study was conducted at the Paracou research station (5°15’N, 52°55’W), located in the coastal area of French Guiana, South America. The automated soil GHG flux system was deployed in the footprint of the Guyaflux site, which holds a 55 m tall tower upon which canopy CO$_2$, H$_2$O, and energy fluxes have been monitored since 2004 using the eddy covariance technique (Aguilos et al., 2018; Bonal et al., 2008). The site is covered with pristine tropical forest and located in the northernmost part of the Guiana shield. It is characterized by a succession of small elliptical hills rising to 10–40 m a.s.l., sometimes associated with plateaus of similar altitude.

The soils are mostly nutrient-poor Acrisols (FAO/ISRIC/ISSS, 1998) with pockets of sandy Ultisols developed over a Precambrian metamorphic formation called the “Bonidoro series” and composed of schist and sandstone, sporadically traversed by veins of pegmatite, aplite, and quartz (Bonal et al., 2008). The forest around the tower is characteristic of a pristine tropical forest with both high tree density (~620 trees with a dbh > 10 cm ha$^{-1}$) and species richness (~140 species ha$^{-1}$). The climate is highly seasonal due to the north–south movement of the Inter-Tropical Convergence Zone. The wet season, characterized by heavy rain events, lasts for 8 months (December–July) and alternates with a 4-month dry period (August–November) during which precipitation is typically lower than 100 mm month$^{-1}$. For the period 2004–2015, annual rainfall quantities were on average 3103 mm yr$^{-1}$, relative extractable water (an index of soil water availability; Wagner et al., 2011) varied from 0.93 in the wet season to 0.46 in the dry season, and soil temperature was on average 25.1°C with little seasonal nor diurnal variation (Aguilos et al., 2018).

2.2 Automated sampling system

A schematic view of the automatic sampling system is shown in Fig. 1a. The system consisted of four main components: 16 automated long-term chambers (8100-104, LI-COR Biosciences), a multiplexer to link one chamber at a time to the gas analyzers (LI-8150, LI-COR Biosciences), an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) to measure CO$_2$ concentrations (LI-8100A, LI-COR Biosciences), and a cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) instrument to measure CH$_4$ and N$_2$O concentrations (G2308, Picarro) that was fitted with an external recirculation pump (A0702, Picarro). Both the IRGA and CRDS systems were necessary to measure all three GHG concentrations due to the different abundances and flux rates of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and N$_2$O. The IRGA methodology is accurate and precise enough to detect small CO$_2$ concentration changes at high background concentrations (approximately...
400 ppmv; parts per million in volume units). However, the detection of small changes in CH$_4$ and N$_2$O concentrations, even at their low background atmospheric concentrations on the order of 2000 ppbv (ppbv: parts per billion in volume units) and 300 ppbv, respectively, requires higher accuracy and precision levels that can be detected with the CRDS.

Power supply was delivered through a 12 kVA generator (Perkins STORM15) fitted with batteries located 400 m away from the instruments. Both the CO$_2$ analyzer control unit and the multiplexer (LI-COR) had their own weather-proof casing, requiring no additional protection in the field. Nonetheless, in consideration of the high precipitation at the site, these devices were placed under a wooden shelter for added protection. The CH$_4$ and N$_2$O analyzer (Picarro), its external pump, and a computer monitor were housed in a waterproof shelter that was specifically designed to host them (Fig. 1c). The LI-8100 and the G2308 computers were connected through an ethernet connection to ensure time synchronization. The 16 automated soil chambers (8100-104, LI-COR Biosciences) were installed in a grid in the forest (Fig. 1b) covering in total an area of approximately 300 m$^2$ (15 m $\times$ 20 m). Each chamber was only closed during individual chamber measurement periods and was fully open when not sampling. The PVC collars that were provided with the 8100-104 automatic chambers were inserted in the soil 1 month prior to the first measurement (20.3 cm inner diameter and 21.3 cm outer diameter; enclosed soil area $\sim$ 318 cm$^2$; insertion depth $\sim$ 7 cm; offset $\sim$ 4 cm; green PVC). When the chambers close, they are automatically lowered so that they cover each soil collar and ensure a fully sealed chamber. The chamber lid does not directly rest on the collar rim, but on a metal plate surrounding the collar, leaving the collar undisturbed and minimizing lateral leaks (Hupp et al., 2009).

The 16 chambers were connected via 15 m Bev-A-Line tubing (8 mm inner diameter) with the multiplexer (LI-8150), which allows for switching among each of the 16 chambers in any given sequence. Soil temperature (0–10 cm) was monitored with 8100-201 $\Omega$ thermistor probes (Omega Engineering Inc., Stamford, CT, USA), and soil volumetric water content (0–10 cm) was monitored with 8100-202 ECH$_2$O model EC-5 soil moisture sensors (Decagon Devices Inc., Pullman, WA, USA). Soil temperature and soil volumetric water content sensors were directly connected to the chambers and recorded by the LI-COR system using the same time step.

Each chamber was purged for 15 s prior to each measurement and 45 s after each measurement in order to flush the lines and restore background gas levels in the system. The flow rate during the purging and the measurements was $\sim$ 2.8 L min$^{-1}$ between the LI-8150 and the chambers, which ensures sufficient air mixing in the chamber headspace during the measurements (Görres et al., 2016). Flow rates in the subsampling lines (LI-8100 and Picarro) were lower and set between 1.5 and 1.7 L min$^{-1}$ as recommended by the manufacturers. The LI-8100 software provided the rate of CO$_2$ concentration increase in the chamber, which was used to quantify the flux of CO$_2$ from the soil surface into the atmosphere (taking into account the enclosed soil surface area and the total system volume). A subsampling loop was inserted after the analyzer (LI-8100A) and before the multiplexer (LI-8150) to pull the air sample through the Picarro G2308 CRDS analyzer for the determination of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O concentrations and flux estimations, before going back to the chamber (Fig. 1a). All three gas concentrations were recorded every second over the sampling periods.

### 2.3 Flux calculations

All flux estimations were carried out using commercially available SoilFluxPro software (LI-COR Biosciences). An R script (Supplement) was created to merge all the Picarro files from a given week in order to import them into the SoilFluxPro software. The Picarro creates one file per hour and when Picarro files are not merged, SoilFluxPro software is not able to deal with measurements overlapping between two distinct Picarro files (e.g., when a single measurement is performed from 09:50 to 10:15 UTC−3), leading to incorrect estimation of CH$_4$ and N$_2$O fluxes. To avoid underestimation of fluxes (Fig. S1 in the Supplement), CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and N$_2$O fluxes were measured as exponential fit of gas concentration with time using SoilFluxPro software and include a 60 s dead band to account for soil surface pressure disturbances due to the closing of the chamber.

### 2.4 Minimum detectable fluxes

The minimum detectable flux (MDF) for each gas was estimated by using a metric originally developed by Christiansen et al. (2015), which was modified by Nickerson (2016) to make it more suitable for high-frequency measurements (Christiansen et al., 2015; Nickerson, 2016):

$$
\text{MDF} = \left( \frac{A_a}{t_c \sqrt{n}} \right) \left( \frac{VP}{SRT} \right),
$$

where $A_a$ is the analytical accuracy of the analyzer (25 ppb for N$_2$O and 10 ppb for CH$_4$ with the Picarro G2308 and 600 ppb for CO$_2$ with the LI-8100, recorded from the technical data sheets of the analyzers), $t_c$ is the closure time of the chamber in seconds, $n$ is the number of points that are available to compute the flux (i.e., $t_c$ divided by the sampling periodicity, every 1 s in this study), $V$ is the chamber volume (0.0040761 m$^3$), $P$ is the atmospheric pressure (101 325 Pa), $S$ is the chamber surface area (0.03178 m$^2$), $R$ is the ideal gas constant (8.314 m$^3$ Pa K$^{-1}$ mol$^{-1}$), and $T$ is the ambient temperature (298.15 K). We computed the MDF of each gas for closure times from 2 to 30 min in order to select the optimal chamber closure time for each gas in our integrated system (Table 1).
Figure 1. Experimental design. (a) Schematic view of the installation composed of four main components: 16 automated long-term chambers (8100-104, LI-COR Biosciences), a multiplexer to link one of these chambers to the gas analyzers (LI-8150, LI-COR Biosciences), an infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) to measure CO$_2$ concentrations (LI-8100A, LI-COR Biosciences), and a cavity ring-down spectroscopy (CRDS) instrument to measure CH$_4$ and N$_2$O concentrations (G2308, Picarro) that was fitted with an external pump. (b) Schematic representation of the grid with the shelter housing the equipment in the middle and the 16 chambers (grey dots) linked to the LI-8150 multiplexer with 15 m cables (black lines). (c) Picture of the instruments in the field.

Table 1. Minimum detectable fluxes (MDFs) for each gas and for closure times from 2 to 30 min. The two closure times that were used in this study (2 and 25 min) are highlighted in bold.

| Closure time (minutes) | N$_2$O (nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | CH$_4$ (nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | CO$_2$ (nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 2                      | 0.100                         | 0.040                         | 2.393                         |
| 5                      | 0.025                         | 0.010                         | 0.605                         |
| 10                     | 0.009                         | 0.004                         | 0.214                         |
| 15                     | 0.005                         | 0.002                         | 0.117                         |
| 20                     | 0.003                         | 0.001                         | 0.076                         |
| **25**                 | **0.002**                     | **0.001**                     | **0.054**                     |
| 30                     | 0.002                         | 0.001                         | 0.041                         |

2.5 Closure time

Selecting the best length of time for soil GHG measurements and accurate flux calculation in an integrated CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and N$_2$O automated measurement system requires careful consideration. At low fluxes, longer measurement periods are needed to reach reliable measurements of real concentration changes, while at high fluxes possible storage and saturation effects in the chamber headspace might result in nonlinear concentration increases and thereby underestimated fluxes if fluxes are calculated linearly. In order to maximize the detectable percentage of fluxes for N$_2$O and CH$_4$ without impeding spatial coverage and temporal resolution, we built a combined program with two different closure times. Each week, 4 out of 16 chambers were programmed to stay closed.
for a longer measurement period to ensure a reliable estimation of low fluxes while the other 12 chambers were programmed to stay closed for a shorter period to capture diel variation and detect high fluxes. For the short closure time (SHORT hereafter), we used a 2 min measurement period because (1) this is a standard closure time for soil CO\(_2\) flux calculations (Janssens et al., 2000), (2) MDF for CO\(_2\) flux is typically low (Epron et al., 2006; Bonal et al., 2008; Bréchet et al., 2009; Courtois et al., 2018), and (3) corresponding MDFs of CH\(_4\) (0.04 nmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and N\(_2\)O (0.1 nmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\)) are compatible with the detection of emission or consumption peaks of these two gases in this region (Courtois et al., 2018; Petitjean et al., 2015). For the long closure time (LONG hereafter), we decided to use a 25 min measurement period in order to optimize the trade-off between a reliable estimation of low N\(_2\)O fluxes (Table 1) and a program length that allows for a sufficient number of flux measurements per chamber and per day.

We therefore programmed the multiplexer for 2.5 h cycles (9–10 measurements per chamber per day), which included four chambers with LONG measurements and 12 chambers with SHORT measurements. Each week, the program was modified manually so that the four LONG measurements were rotated across the chambers. Each chamber was therefore measured with the LONG closure time for one 7-consecutive-day period per month (4 weeks).

### 2.6 System maintenance and data processing

The automated sampling system was installed on 1 June 2016 and operated until 29 September 2016 (4 months), totaling 17 592 individual measurements for each gas (4098 with LONG closure time and 13 494 with SHORT closure time). Coarse wood debris were removed weekly but small litter, such as leaves, fruits, and twigs, was left in the collar area. Every week, living plants growing inside the collars, and the dead leaves on the chambers, were carefully removed by hand. The \(R^2\) value of the exponential increase in CO\(_2\) over 2 min was used as an indicator that the system was functioning correctly and not impeded by debris (Görres et al., 2016; Savage et al., 2014). When the \(R^2\) of the regression between time and CO\(_2\) concentration was lower than 0.9, we considered this to be an indication that there may have been an issue with the chamber closing and sealing correctly and removed the flux measurement for all three gases from our analysis.

For CO\(_2\), we observed a strong concentration saturation effect when using the LONG closure time (25 min), leading to an underestimation of fluxes (Fig. 2). All CO\(_2\) flux estimates were therefore based on 2 min regressions only, using either full concentration measurements of the SHORT closure time or the first 2 min of the LONG closure time. Following recommendations (Rubio and Detto, 2017), we removed anomalous values, i.e., CO\(_2\) fluxes estimation with a difference greater than 5 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) with adjacent measurements or lower than 0 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\). For CH\(_4\), we observed only a slight saturation effect when using the LONG closure time (Fig. 2). Variation in the flux calculations did not differ between the SHORT and LONG chamber closure measurements. N\(_2\)O flux calculations were much more variable when measuring with the SHORT closure time compared to the LONG closure time (Fig. 2). Even if fluxes were above the detection limit, the low fluxes estimated with the SHORT closure time were not reliable as shown by the low correlation in Fig. 2. For both CH\(_4\) and N\(_2\)O, we therefore decided to apply the following quality check procedure and to discard (1) all fluxes that were not complying with MDF criterion, (2) all fluxes estimated with the SHORT closure time with a \(R^2\) lower than 0.8 (Savage et al., 2014), and (3) all anomalous values (difference greater than 5 nmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) with adjacent measurements).

### 3 Results and discussions

A cleaning frequency of once a week was necessary and sufficient to remove falling leaves and branches from the automatic chamber system, prevent leaks, and generate a continuous dataset of soil GHG fluxes from this tropical forest. Temperature variations are typically small below the canopy due to the shadowing by dense canopy crown and microclimatic conditions. During the study period, temperature at 2 m in height varied from 22 °C in the night to 28 °C during the day. The presence of water condensation inside the tubing lines was carefully checked every week and never occurred during the study period. The automatic chamber system worked well most of the time, but some data gaps did exist. Over the 17 592 individual flux estimations, 343 (1.9 %) had to be discarded because of (1) problems in the connection between the chamber and the multiplexer (154 measurements, 0.9 % of data points) and (2) imperfect chamber closing, which was detected by an insufficient increase in CO\(_2\) (189 measurements, 1 % of data points).

#### 3.1 CO\(_2\) fluxes

In addition to the 343 fluxes that were removed after the first steps of the quality check procedure, 758 CO\(_2\) flux estimations were also considered to be anomalous because the difference with adjacent measurements were either greater than 5 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (758 measurements, i.e., 4.3 %) or lower than 0 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (14 measurements). In total, 16 477 CO\(_2\) fluxes over 17 592 (93.6 %) could be used over the 4-month period. CO\(_2\) fluxes were on average 8.1 ± 1.6 µmol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (Table 2), which would correspond to a mean annual soil CO\(_2\) efflux of 3050 gC m\(^{-2}\) yr\(^{-1}\), which falls into the upper range of the extensive review of mean annual soil CO\(_2\) efflux estimations in tropical forest provided recently by Rubio and Detto (2017). Nonetheless, our study period (June–September) only covered the end of the wet season and more data are needed to make this
Figure 2. Comparison of 2 and 25 min estimations for (a) CO$_2$, (b) CH$_4$, and (c) N$_2$O fluxes. For this, we used measurements made over 25 min and recomputed the flux with the first 2 min for 2 weeks (from 2 to 9 August in black and from 16 to 25 August in grey) covering the whole range of fluxes during the study period. All fluxes were computed using exponential fit. The dashed line represents the 1 : 1 line while the solid grey line represents the linear regression between 2 and 25 min estimations ($R^2$ values of these regressions are indicated in each panel).

Table 2. Mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum (Min), and maximum (Max) values of each gas and each chamber over the study period. These values are computed using all flux estimations (with either SHORT or LONG closure times) remaining after quality check. The number ($N$) of fluxes that were used is also indicated for each chamber. The last line of the table is the mean of all fluxes by chamber by gas and the min and max for all chambers by gas.

| Chamber   | CO$_2$ (µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | CH$_4$ (nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) | N$_2$O (nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
|           | Mean   | SD    | Min   | Max   | Mean   | SD    | Min   | Max   | Mean   | SD    | Min   | Max   | N   |
| Chamber 1 | 7.19   | 0.93  | 2.14  | 10.81 | 940    | 10.97 | 7.73  | -2.08 | 28.79 | 840    | 0.10  | 0.12  | -0.48 | 0.70 | 284 |
| Chamber 2 | 7.60   | 1.11  | 4.00  | 12.21 | 1166   | -1.62 | 1.75  | -4.09 | 11.68 | 899    | 0.00  | 0.14  | -1.03 | 0.75 | 285 |
| Chamber 3 | 5.58   | 0.99  | 2.11  | 11.12 | 1135   | 0.35  | 2.95  | -2.48 | 22.94 | 745    | 0.03  | 0.23  | -0.61 | 2.85 | 208 |
| Chamber 4 | 7.94   | 1.37  | 4.36  | 12.13 | 1154   | -1.85 | 1.23  | -3.63 | 6.09  | 1105   | 0.04  | 0.10  | -0.66 | 0.60 | 224 |
| Chamber 5 | 4.14   | 0.92  | 0.53  | 10.05 | 1139   | 1.37  | 3.26  | -2.20 | 12.61 | 752    | 0.15  | 0.33  | -1.04 | 3.23 | 382 |
| Chamber 6 | 8.87   | 1.70  | 3.36  | 17.68 | 1070   | -1.38 | 1.78  | -3.20 | 8.04  | 801    | -0.02 | 0.12  | -1.04 | 0.63 | 272 |
| Chamber 7 | 13.47  | 2.78  | 0.89  | 22.12 | 988    | 1.37  | 3.60  | -2.63 | 19.56 | 749    | 0.64  | 1.37  | -0.85 | 7.93 | 216 |
| Chamber 8 | 7.44   | 1.19  | 2.03  | 11.02 | 1099   | 0.03  | 2.96  | -3.37 | 18.47 | 785    | 0.02  | 0.15  | -1.36 | 0.84 | 202 |
| Chamber 9 | 4.25   | 1.20  | 0.44  | 11.37 | 1002   | 2.06  | 3.13  | -2.14 | 11.53 | 879    | 0.02  | 0.11  | -0.62 | 0.58 | 332 |
| Chamber 10| 5.60   | 1.30  | 0.69  | 13.13 | 1037   | 1.21  | 2.46  | -1.91 | 10.34 | 657    | 0.04  | 0.13  | -0.64 | 0.77 | 252 |
| Chamber 11| 11.97  | 2.19  | 6.84  | 18.78 | 1004   | 6.72  | 7.61  | -1.06 | 41.49 | 855    | 0.03  | 0.17  | -1.01 | 1.04 | 199 |
| Chamber 12| 9.42   | 2.70  | 3.45  | 21.54 | 968    | 1.40  | 6.68  | -3.29 | 41.94 | 891    | 0.02  | 0.09  | -0.75 | 0.30 | 204 |
| Chamber 13| 5.85   | 1.34  | 0.42  | 8.49  | 944    | 5.29  | 5.92  | -4.60 | 26.64 | 654    | 0.10  | 0.19  | -0.84 | 1.71 | 335 |
| Chamber 14| 5.66   | 1.15  | 0.72  | 10.72 | 987    | 2.78  | 6.22  | -2.48 | 35.15 | 691    | 0.09  | 0.17  | -0.63 | 0.93 | 231 |
| Chamber 15| 16.63  | 3.27  | 9.42  | 29.64 | 850    | -0.46 | 2.05  | -3.25 | 8.26  | 839    | -0.02 | 0.16  | -0.96 | 0.72 | 185 |
| Chamber 16| 7.35   | 1.13  | 3.98  | 11.37 | 994    | -1.34 | 1.48  | -3.60 | 6.11  | 843    | 0.00  | 0.11  | -1.00 | 0.83 | 187 |

8.06  1.58  0.42  29.64  16477 | 1.68  3.80  -4.60  41.94  12985 | 0.08  0.23  -1.36  7.93  3998
estimation more precise. All 2 min measurements of CO$_2$ fluxes from the 4-month study period were above the MDF of 2.39 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for the LI-8100 analyzer (Table 1). No saturation effect was detected using the SHORT closure time, and estimation of CO$_2$ over a shorter time period is not recommended (Davidson et al., 2002). CO$_2$ fluxes using the LONG closure time would be underestimated due to the buildup of high CO$_2$ concentrations due to large fluxes over this long time period (Fig. 2), and are not recommended. For small chambers like the one used in this study, we therefore conclude that a 2 min sampling time including a dead band of 60 s should be used for CO$_2$ flux calculations since the MDF of this short measurement period allowed for the retention of 100% of the data. When the chambers stay closed longer for accurate detection of N$_2$O and CH$_4$ fluxes, only the first 2 min of data should be used for CO$_2$ flux calculations.

The use of 16 automated flux chambers allowed for the capture of spatial and temporal variability in soil respiration. Over this 4-month period, corresponding to the end of the wet season in French Guiana, temporal variability remained low (Fig. 4). This dataset is therefore not long enough to detect the seasonal variation in soil respiration that was highlighted in previous study (Rowland et al., 2014; Rubio and Detto, 2017). We did find that soil respiration tended to decrease in very humid soils (Fig. S2 in the Supplement) as highlighted previously at the same site (Rowland et al., 2014) but more data are needed to precisely disentangle the importance of seasonal and diurnal variability from the responses to environmental triggers of soil respiration. Nonetheless, even during this relatively short period, our data clearly demonstrated a strong spatial variability in soil respiration, even at a low spatial scale (Fig. 5, Table 2), with some local spots clearly displaying stronger values of soil respiration during the study period.

### 3.2 CH$_4$ fluxes

In addition to the 343 fluxes that were removed after the first steps of the quality check procedure, CH$_4$ flux estimations were also discarded because of (1) problems with Picarro files (12 measurements), (2) application of the MDF criterion (137 measurements), (3) application of the $R^2$ criterion for SHORT closure time (3751 measurements, i.e., 28 % of the SHORT measurements), and (4) detection of anomalous values (364 measurements). In total, 12,985 CH$_4$ fluxes over 17,592 (73.8 %) could be used over the 4-month period. No saturation effect was detected using the LONG closure time and fluxes estimated with the SHORT closure time were very well-correlated to fluxes using the LONG closure time, even for small fluxes (Fig. 2). Totals of 68.4 % and 98.2 % of fluxes measured with the SHORT and LONG closure times, respectively, were retained in our quality control data processing over the 4-month study period. These measurement periods, therefore, allowed for the retention of a large majority of CH$_4$ emission or consumption fluxes in our data analysis.

CH$_4$ fluxes were on average $1.7 \pm 3.8$ nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ with a high variability among chambers (Table 2) but the frequency of negative CH$_4$ fluxes (consumption, 59 % of fluxes) was greater than for positive fluxes (emission, 41 % of fluxes).
Figure 4. CO$_2$ fluxes through time: CO$_2$ fluxes for each chamber (1 to 16) over the study period with fluxes estimated with a SHORT (2 min) closure time in black and fluxes estimated with the first 2 min of the LONG (25 min) closure time in grey. All panels have the same limits on the y axis (from 0 to 25 µmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$).

during this period (Fig. 3). Most of the time, soils were either consuming or emitting small amounts of CH$_4$, but large transient emission peaks were periodically detected at individual chamber locations during the study period (Fig. 6). Tropical soils are generally considered to be a sink at a yearly basis (Dutaur and Verchot, 2007) but it is known that these soils can shift from a source in the wet season to a sink in the dry season (Courtois et al., 2018; Davidson et al., 2008; Teh et al., 2014). No clear temporal trend could be detected during the study period and there was a slight correlation of CH$_4$ fluxes with surface soil humidity (higher fluxes at intermediate soil humidity, Fig. S2). Longer time series covering at least a full year are needed to explore the seasonal and diurnal variability in fluxes. As highlighted previously in French Guiana (Courtois et al., 2018), spatial variability in CH$_4$ emission was high, even at a small spatial scale (Figs. 5, 6). Interestingly, some spots clearly displayed high CH$_4$ emissions during the whole study period (Figs. 5, 6).

3.3 N$_2$O fluxes

In addition to the 343 fluxes that were removed after the first steps of the quality check procedure, N$_2$O flux estimations were also discarded because of (1) problems with Picarro files (12 measurements), (2) application of the MDF criterion (1594 measurements), (3) application of the $R^2$ criterion for SHORT closure time (11 643 measurements, i.e., 28 % of the SHORT measurements), and (4) detection of anomalous values (364 measurements). In total, 3998 N$_2$O fluxes over 17 592 measurements (22.7 %, 140 measurements with
Figure 6. CH$_4$ fluxes through time: CH$_4$ fluxes for each chamber (1 to 16) over the study period with fluxes estimated with a SHORT (2 min) closure time in black and fluxes estimated with a LONG (25 min) closure time in grey. The dotted line displays the zero flux line. All panels have the same limits on the y axis (from −5 to 30 nmol m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$).

Figure 7. N$_2$O fluxes through time: N$_2$O fluxes for each chamber (1 to 16) over the study period with fluxes estimated with the SHORT (2 min) closure time in black and fluxes estimated with the LONG (25 min) closure time in grey. The dotted line displays the zero flux line. Due to the high differences among chambers, each panel has a specific limit on the y axis.
the SHORT and 3858 measurements with the LONG closure time) could be used over the 4-month period. A total of 94.1% of fluxes measured with the LONG closure times were retained after our quality control data processing over the 4-month study period. When measured over 25 min, N₂O fluxes at our site could therefore be considered reliable. Using the SHORT closure time, most flux estimations had to be discarded because they led to unreliable flux estimations (Fig. 2). Nonetheless, the SHORT closure time still allowed the detection of high N₂O emission or consumption events than were detected during the study period (Figs. 5 and 7).

N₂O fluxes were on average 0.1 ± 0.2 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ with a high variability among chambers (Table 2). At the same chamber, N₂O flux can shift from consumption to emission with 28% of fluxes indicating a sink and 72% a source for N₂O (Fig. 3). The high variability in N₂O fluxes that we detected over 4 months with our automated system is in agreement with the typical high variability in N₂O fluxes measured from tropical soils over space and time using static chambers (Arias-Navarro et al., 2017; Courtois et al., 2018). Moreover, N₂O fluxes did not show any relationship with surface soil humidity (Fig. S2), which underlines the complexity of the biological process underlying these fluxes. In a previous study in the same environment (Courtois et al., 2018), we estimated that the minimum detectable flux using gas chromatography analysis of four discrete gas samples over 30 min for N₂O was ±8.3 µg N m⁻² h⁻¹. MDF estimated in the present study using high-frequency measurement was 0.002 nmol m⁻² s⁻¹ or 0.2 µg N m⁻² h⁻¹ for N₂O which is therefore ~40 times lower. Such result indicates that this long-term system is well-adapted to capture and estimate the low N₂O fluxes occurring in this ecosystem.

4 Conclusions

We demonstrated here that the combination of a commercial soil GHG chamber system – the LI-8100A Automated Soil CO₂ Flux System – running in parallel with a Picarro G2308, enables the continuous long-term measurement of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O simultaneously under tropical conditions. Similar configurations have been recently implemented in temperate climate (Petrikis et al., 2017a, b), but to our knowledge, this is the first time that this experimental setup is fully described and tested under tropical field conditions for the measurement of the three soil GHG fluxes simultaneously. Additionally, our study determined the optimal chamber closure time for each GHG. The sampling system of SHORT and LONG closure times with a weekly rotation presented here has three major advantages, which ultimately can provide high confidence in the annual estimation of the full GHG budgets of tropical soils: (1) the LONG closure time allows a reliable estimation of the low N₂O fluxes in this ecosystem, which was clearly not achieved using a shorter closure time; (2) the number of data points per day are sufficiently high (9 to 10 measurements per day) to capture potential diurnal variation (Nicolini et al., 2013; Rubio and Detto, 2017) in the three gases with good spatial replication (16 chambers); (3) periodic extreme events of high N₂O fluxes can still be detected with the SHORT closure time period, which occurs at a higher frequency than the LONG closure measurements. Our study underlines the importance of appropriate closure time for each GHG gas for accurate estimation of GHG budgets. This information is crucial for the calculation of accurate soil fluxes at diurnal time steps and for the estimation of annual GHG budgets. This combination of automated closed dynamic chambers and advanced GHG analyzers makes it possible to (1) account for short-term variability in GHG fluxes while taking into account spatial variability, (2) estimate annual GHG budgets at these locations, (3) tracking the variability in GHG fluxes along hours, days, seasons, and years, and (4) study the impact of climatic change on soil GHG budgets.

Data availability. Data used in this study can be freely accessed through the Zenodo repository: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2555299 (Courtois and Stahl, 2019).
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