Atiprimod triggered apoptotic cell death via acting on PERK/EIF2α/ATF4/CHOP and STAT3/NF-KB axis in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells
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Abstract

Purpose The constitutive activation of STAT3 through receptor tyrosine kinases triggered breast cancer cell growth and invasion-metastasis. Atiprimod impacts anti-proliferative, anti-carcinogenic effects in hepatocellular carcinoma, lymphoma, multiple myeloma via hindering the biological activity of STAT3. Dose-dependent atiprimod evokes first autophagy as a survival mechanism and then apoptosis due to prolonged ER stress in pituitary adenoma cells. The therapeutic efficiency and mechanistic action of atiprimod in breast cancer cells have not been investigated yet. Thus, we aimed to modulate the pivotal role of ER stress in atiprimod-triggered apoptosis in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells.

Results Dose- and time-dependent atiprimod treatment inhibits cell viability and colony formation in MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. A moderate dose of atiprimod (2 μM) inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation at Tyr705 residue and also suppressed the total expression level of p65. In addition, nuclear localization of STAT1, 3, and NF-κB was prevented by atiprimod exposure in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells. Atiprimod evokes PERK, BiP, ATF-4, CHOP upregulation, and PERK (Thr980), eIF2α (Ser51) phosphorylation’s. However, atiprimod suppressed IRE1α-mediated Atg-3, 5, 7, 12 protein expressions and no alteration was observed on Beclin-1, p62 expression levels. PERK/eIF2α/ATF4/CHOP axis pivotal role in atiprimod-mediated G1/S arrest and apoptosis via Bak, Bax, Bim, and PUMA upregulation in MDA-MB-468 cells. Moreover, atiprimod renders MDA-MB-231 more vulnerable to type I programmed cell death by plasmid-mediated increased STAT3 expression.

Conclusion Atiprimod induced prolonged ER stress-mediated apoptosis via both activating PERK/eIF2α/ATF4/CHOP axis and suppressing STAT3/NF-κB transcription factors nuclear migration in TBNC cells.
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**Introduction**

Breast cancer (BC) is the second cause of cancer-related death among females worldwide. Age, gender, family history, BRCA1, and BRCA2 gene mutations are known as major risk factors for BCs [1]. The underlying molecular mechanism of BC development is associated with various hormones such as Epidermal growth factor (EGF), Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), progesterone, and estrogen. Due to growthtriggering signals, the extracellular receptor profile of BC is correlated with aggressiveness and determines the response of BC against drug regimens [2]. Among BCs approximately 65–70% cases have Estrogen receptor positive (ER +) expression profile and give significant response against hormonal or targeted therapy. However, 10–20% of BC cases are ER- and give no response against hormonal or targeted therapy. Although surgery, radiotherapy, and chemotherapy (olaparib, Talzenna, atezolizumab) combinations preferred for ER- BCs treatment, still new therapeutic agents needed to develop for triple-negative breast cancer (TNBCs) therapy [3].

Platelet-derived growth factors (PDGF) and EGF, and IL-6 family members induced cell proliferation, development, survival, angiogenesis, metastasis, and invasion through activation of the key transcription factor, signal transducer and activator of transcriptions-3 (STAT3) [4]. Upstream kinases such as Janus kinases (JAKs) and c-Src kinase activation lead phosphorylation of STAT3 at Tyr 705 residue. Following homodimerization, STAT3 nuclear localization leading transcription of target gene expressions. Various target genes related to cellular processes such as cell cycle (Cyclin D1), angiogenesis (VEGF and HIF-1α), cell migration (MMP-2/9), and anti-apoptotic proteins (survivin, Bcl-xL, Bcl-2) expressions are under the control of phosphorylated STAT3 homodimers nuclear localization [5]. Constitutively active STAT3 is demonstrated in an ample number of tumor types such as multiple myeloma, hepatocellular carcinoma, leukemia, lymphoma, ovarian, breast, and prostate cancers [6]. Moreover, STAT3/pSTAT3 expression was higher in breast cancer tissue samples compared to normal tissues [7]. Due to the essential role of STAT3 activation in carcinogenesis, different inhibitors targeting STAT3 are being designed, produced, and developed as cancer therapeutics [8].

Midostaurin, lestaurtinib, K252a, CIMO, azaspirane, and atiprimod are well-known azaspirane-based tyrosine kinase inhibitors and their therapeutic efficiency has been reported in various clinical trials [9]. Atiprimod, known as an effective JAK2/JAK3 inhibitor, has anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative, and anti-cancerogenic effects [10]. According to preclinical studies, dose-dependent atiprimod or CIMP inhibited cell proliferation, triggered apoptosis via activating caspase-3, -9 in various cancer cells such as myeloid lymphoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, respectively [11, 12]. The molecular mechanism underlying the atiprimod-induced apoptotic effect on hepatocellular carcinoma has been reported to have a suppressive effect on the STAT-3 molecule [13]. Although FDA approved atiprimod for the treatment of myeloid leukemia and mantle lymphoma, atiprimod therapeutic effect has been increased by combined treatment such as bortezomib [14, 15]. Recently, we reported that atiprimod triggered mild-ER stress and autophagy as an early response and finalized apoptosis via activating caspase-3 and -9 in GH3 cells [16].

One of the major obstacles in cancer therapy is the potential effect of drugs on endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress-mediated activation of survival mechanism before type II programmed cell death decision has been taken. Due to drug dose- and time-dependent manner, ER stress performed through mild or severe conditions and leads to apoptotic cell death decision or autophagy as a cell survival mechanism [17]. To overcome unfolded protein response (UPR), ER receptors; PERK, IRE1α, and ATF6 inhibit protein translation, activate UPR target genes expression and induced translation of ER chaperon (BiP) [18]. The cross-talk between ER stress and autophagy has been modulated in IRE1α deficient or chemically inhibited JNK activation within cells as ER-stress-mediated autophagy has been inhibited. However, autophagy induced following ER stress has been reported in knockdown of ATF6 or PERK deficient cells [19]. Autophagy is a conserved self-degradation process of cells against pathogen infection, serum deprivation, organelle damage and ER stress [20]. Although autophagy is assumed as a protective mechanism of cancer cells against cancer therapeutic agents’ apoptotic efficiency due to studies with autophagy inhibitors, hyperactivation of autophagy can lead to cell death [21]. However, the pivotal role of mild or severe ER stress embarks on apoptotic cell death through either Ca²⁺ mediated caspase-12 activation or triggering PERK/eIF2α/ATF4/CHOP axis. We aimed in this study to modulate the role of ER stress in atiprimod-triggered apoptosis in MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells.
Material and methods

Cell culture, and drug

MDA-MB-231 (ATCC HTB-26) and MDA-MB-468 (ATCC CVCL_0419) human breast cancer cells were incubated in DMEM F12 medium (GIBCO-Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) including Fetal bovine serum (15%), and penicillin/streptomycin (1%). The potential chemotherapeutic agent; N,N-diethyl-8,8-dipropyl-2-azaspiro[4.5]decane-2-propanamine dihydrochloride was obtained from Tocris (Bristol, UK).

Cell viability assay

The impact of atiprimod (0–5 µM) on BC cell lines viabilities were determined by MTT colorimetric assay. Each cell line was seeded at a density of 1 × 10^4 cells per well in 96-well plates and treated with drug exposure for 24 h. Then, 10 µl of MTT dye (5 mg/ml in PBS) was applied to each well for 4 h. Due to mitochondrial enzyme activation, formazan crystals converted from MTT dye were solubilized by 100 µl dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO). The absorbance of the suspension was measured at 570 nm by a Bio-Rad microplate reader.

Cell growth and proliferation assay

The time-dependent manner impact of atiprimod on cell growth and colony diameter was determined by trypan blue, hanging drop assays that were described previously [22].

DiOC6, PI, DAPI, AO staining

Atiprimod-mediated mitochondrial membrane potential loss, cell death, nuclear condensation, and autophagosome vacuole formation in MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231 cells were determined by DiOC6, PI, DAPI, and AO staining, respectively through protocol performed in our previous study [22].

GFP-LC-3II and CHOP activation via plasmid transfection and FACS flow analysis

BCs were seeded in 12 well-plate at a density of 5 × 10^4, 0.5 µg GFP-LC3 (addgene) or pmCherry-1 CHOP promoter (− 649/+ 136) (addgene #36,035) plasmids transfected by using Fugene HD reagent. Following 48 h transfection, 24 h drug (2 µM) applied to the culture medium. The fluorescence of green fluorescence and mCherry were detected by the BD Accuri cell flow cytometer via FL-1 and FL-3 channels, respectively. 1 × 10^4 cells/samples were analyzed for each condition.

Cell cycle analysis

5 × 10^4 cells/well were seeded in 12-well plates and treated with 0–2.5 µM atiprimod for 24 h. Following trypsinization, the cells were fixed with 70% Ethanol and incubated at -20 °C. Discarding ethanol by centrifugation, cells were stained by PI/RNase staining buffer for 30 min and 1 × 10^4 cells were analyzed by flow cytometer (BD Accuri, Becton Dickinson USA) via using C6 plus software.

Apoptotic death determination by annexin V/ PI staining

1 × 10^5 cells/Petri MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells were seeded into 60 mm Petri dishes and treated with a selected dose of atiprimod for 24 h. Before drug exposure, cells were trypsinized, pellets were applied with FITC Annexin V Apoptosis Detection Kit, and flow cytometric analysis was performed with cells were analyzed by flow cytometer (BD Accuri, Becton Dickinson USA) via using C6 plus software.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) and immunoblotting

The effect of atiprimod on STAT3, NF-κB DNA binding activity was determined by EMSA Assay that was previously described. In addition, alteration following atiprimod exposure on Atg-3, Atg-5, Atg-12, Atg-16, Beclin-1, LC-3, p62, STAT-1, pSTAT-1, PERK, Calnexin, STAT-3, pSTAT-3, PDI, CHOP, XBP, Ire-1α, ATF6, BiP, NF-κB, STAT-5, pSTAT-5, PARP, Bax, Bad, Bid, Bim, Bcl-2, Puma protein levels were determined by immunoblotting according to our previous study [22].

DCFDH2 staining

Cells were seeded in 96-well plates (1 × 10^4 cells/well) and then treated with atiprimod, the media was carefully discarded, and the cells were stained with DCFH-DA (0.5 µM). Drug-induced ROS generation in treated samples and untreated control samples was visualized using fluorescence microscopy Ex./Em.: 495 nm/529 nm (Magnification: 20×).

STAT3 plasmid transfection

Overexpression of STAT3 was performed by transfecting 1 µg STAT3 pcDNA3 (Addgene, #8706) for 48 h. After a 24 h transfection period, 2 µM atiprimod applied to the cell
medium for 24 h. The potential incline on atiprimod-mediated cell viability loss, growth inhibition, cell death induction was determined in MDA-MB-231 cells by appropriate assays, respectively.

Data analysis

Statistical analysis of the MTT, hanging drop, PI, Annexin V/PI assays were performed by using GraphPad Prism 8 (https://www.graphpad.com). Statistically significant results were performed by two-way ANOVA analysis (where indicated). Due to at least triple replications of each experiment, the mean difference was calculated and represented as standard deviation (SD). Statistical significance was assumed when the p-value was determined below 0.05. The alterations on protein expression profiles in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells following atiprimod exposure were determined by using the Image J program through analyzing band intensities [23].

Results

STAT3 and NF-κB molecule’s expression profile has a pivotal role in atiprimod-mediated TNBC cell proliferation

Increasing dose of atiprimod exposure (0–5 µM) declined the cell viability of MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 BC cells as compared to untreated cells. Although MDA-MB-468 cells were more sensitive against atiprimod exposure, prolonged drug treatment overcame atiprimod resistance in MDA-MB-231 BC cells (Fig. 1a). We selected 2 µM atiprimod exposure as its IC50 level for MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells. A moderate dose of atiprimod (2 μM) hinders the MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-231 cell growth, colony formation and cell migration (Fig. 1b, d, e, f). 2 µM atiprimod causes cytostatic effects on MDA-MB-231 cells but the cytotoxic effect was observed in MDA-MB-468 cells after 48 h atiprimod exposure by growth assay (Fig. 1b, e). Similarly, the evident impact of atiprimod on MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 mitochondrial membrane potential loss (Δψm), cell death and nuclear condensation was determined in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1c). Time-dependent atiprimod (2 µM) exposure decreased the colony formation and prevented cell migration in each BC cells by colony formation and wound healing assay, respectively (Fig. 1d-f). Due to the hanging drop assay, 2 µM atiprimod decreased colony diameter 352 µm to 280 µm in MDA-MB-231, and 366 µm to 259 µm in MDA-MB-468 cells after 4 days drug exposure (Fig. 1e). Following drug exposure, downregulation of STAT-3, p65 expression, dephosphorylation of STAT3 (Fig. 2a), and also nuclear localization of pSTAT3, p65 and p50 were inhibited in each estrogen receptor-negative BCs (Fig. 2b). According to the EMSA assay, atiprimod prevented DNA binding affinity of both STAT1/3 and p65 in each BC cell line (Fig. 2c, d).

Atiprimod induced PERK/eIF2α/ATF4/CHOP axis in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells

CHOP activation was determined following 2 µM atiprimod exposure in each BC cell line by fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 3a). Similarly, atiprimod triggered ER stress-mediated CHOP activation determined in both MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB468 cells by FACS flow analysis following the CHOP promoter with ATF4 binding mCherry plasmid transfection (Fig. 3b). A significant atiprimod-triggered PERK, BiP, ATF4 and CHOP expressions were detected following drug treatment in each BC cell line. Moreover, phosphorylation of PERK (Thr980) and eIF2α (Ser51) were upregulated by atiprimod exposure in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells. However, atiprimod significantly downregulates IRE1α expression in each BC cell line. Concomitantly, atiprimod induced a significant increase in PDI, Calnexin protein expressions. Although Ero1- expression slightly upregulated in MDA-MB-231 cells, no significant effect was observed in MDA-MB-468 cells following atiprimod treatment (Fig. 3c). Following drug exposure, CHOP and ATF6 nuclear localization were observed in each BC cell (Fig. 3d).

The autophagosome formation following atiprimod treatment was not altered

In our previous work, although we demonstrated atiprimod triggered autophagy in pituitary adenoma cells, we couldn’t visualize exact autophagy vacuole formation following drug exposure in each BC cell line (Fig. 4a). When we checked the expression profiles of autophagosome key players, atiprimod downregulated Atgs-3, 5, 12 expressions, and no significant alteration on Beclin-1 expression was detected (Fig. 4b). Although a slight degradation of p62 was determined following drug exposure in MDA-MB-231 cells, no significant effect on LC3-II cleavage was detected. However, the cleavage of LC3II was illustrated in MDA-MB-468 cells by immunoblotting and GFP FACS analysis (Fig. 4c).

Atiprimod triggered G1 arrest and apoptosis in MDA-MB-468 cells

A negative impact of atiprimod on cell viability was observed in each breast cancer cell and drug-triggered ROS-generation was determined by DCFH-DA staining (Fig. 5a). Due to cell cycle analysis, atiprimod induced 2.2
Fig. 1 Dose-dependent atiprimod exposure inhibits cell viability, growth in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells in a dose- and time-dependent manner. Dose-dependent atiprimod (0–5 µM) mediated cell viability loss determined in a time-dependent manner (24 and 48 h) by MTT assay in a MDA-MB-231 (left) and MDA-MB-468 (right). 2 µM atiprimod treatment inhibited the proliferation of MDA-MB-231 (left) and MDA-MB-468 (right) cells were demonstrated by the Trypan blue dye exclusion assay. The impact of atiprimod (0–3 μM) on cell death mechanism was demonstrated in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells by DiOC6, DAPI, PI staining, respectively. Atiprimod (0–2 µM) mediated MMP loss, nuclear condensation and cell death were determined by appropriate staining and cells were visualized by fluorescence microscopy (400× magnification). d The effect of atiprimod (2 µM) on colony formation was determined by colony formation assay in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells. E Inhibitive effect of atiprimod on MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells 3D spheroid formation was observed in a time-dependent manner by hanging drop assay. Three independent experiments were analyzed and presented as the Mean ± Std Dev. p values were determined by comparing 2 µM atiprimod treated MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells with untreated control cells within 4 days. F The suppressive effect of atiprimod (2 µM) exposure on MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells migration was checked by wound healing assay for 48 h. MDA-MB-231 cells; atiprimod treated vs untreated cells for 24 h; p: 0.00213, for 48 h; p: 0.00115 and MDA-MB-468 cells, atiprimod vs untreated cells for 24 h; p: 0.00157, for 48 h; p: 0.0289 (n=3; Magnification 40×. Scale bar 100 µm). Barr graphic represents the average measurement of three independent experiments.
Fig. 1 (continued)
and 4.3% subG1 population accumulation in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells, respectively. In addition, drug-induced cell cycle arrest at the S phase in MDA-MB-231 and G1 phase in MDA-MB-468 cells, respectively (Fig. 5b). Similar to PI analysis, 2 µM atiprimod treatment induced apoptotic cell death by 2.6% in MDA-MB-231, and 7.5% in MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 5b). Moreover, atiprimod-mediated upregulation in pro-apoptotic proteins (Bad, Bax, Bid, Bim, PUMA) and Bcl-2 downregulation was determined in each BC cell line. However, this effect was more sharply in BCs with high STAT3 expressing (MDA-MB-468) cells as compared to low STAT3 expressing BCs (MDA-MB-231) (Fig. 5c). Thus, we forced STAT3 expression via transfection of pcDNA3.1 plasmid with the STAT3 gene inserted in MDA-MB-231 BC cells and demonstrate the alteration of atiprimod (2 µM) effect on cell viability loss, cell growth, and death by MTT, trypan blue exclusion, colony assays and PI staining. Atiprimod-mediated cell viability loss and growth inhibition were increased in MDA-MB-231 parental cells as compared to STAT3 overexpressing BC cells. A similar effect was observed by PI staining (Fig. 6a-d).

**Discussion**

Atiprimod, an FDA-approved JAK2 inhibitor, has anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative, and anti-carcinogenic effects [11]. The apoptotic effect of atiprimod was demonstrated in various cancer cells such as multiple myeloma [24], hepatocellular carcinoma [13], myeloma [11], mantle lymphoma [8], acute myeloid leukemia [25], and pituitary adenoma [16]. Although the effect of one of the azaspirane derivatives, CIMO, on ER- and ER+ breast cancer...
was evaluated in a dose- and time-dependent manner [12], there is not any study exhibiting the effect of atiprimod on BC cells. By this study, we demonstrate the dose- and time-dependent atiprimod effect on MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 ER- breast cancer cells. First of all, we revealed atiprimod inhibited cell viability, proliferation and induce Δψm in each ER- breast cancer cells. However, MDA-MB-468 cells were shown more sensitive against atiprimod as compared to MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. Similar to our results, atiprimod inhibited cell proliferation and colony formation in HepG2 hepatocellular carcinoma [13], OPM1 and INA-6 myeloma cells [11]. In our previous study, we demonstrated that pituitary adenoma cell proliferation was prevented by atiprimod in a dose-dependent manner [16]. In addition, dose-dependent atiprimod (0–4 μM) inhibited colony formation in OCIM-2, K562, OCI-3, HL-60 and KG-1 acute myeloid leukemia cell lines were indicated [25].

Constitutively active STAT3 expression was displayed in an ample number of solid tumors such as leukemia, lymphoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, prostate, breast, and ovarian cancers [6]. The essential effect of active STAT3 on cancer metastasis due to its targeting genes’ role in cellular proliferation, invasion, metastasis, and angiogenesis [26]. As STAT3 has a major role in cancer progression, various inhibitors targeting STAT3 were designed, investigated for their therapeutic effect on different solid tumors [9]. Inhibition of JAK2 and STAT3, 5 expression profile and decline in dephosphorylation of STAT3, 5 molecules expression was

Fig. 2  Suppressive effect of atiprimod on STAT signaling axis and NF-κB activation in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells. a Alteration on STAT-1, STAT-3, pSTAT-3 (Tyr705), p65 expression levels following atiprimod application was detected by western blotting in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB468 cells. b The effect of atiprimod on STAT1, STAT3, p65 and p100 transcription factors expression profile was determined by nuclear and cytoplasmic lysates of MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells. c The inhibitive effect of atiprimod on the biological activity of nuclear-migrated STAT1/3 and p65 was confirmed by EMSA assay. H3 is used as a nuclear control, and β-tubulin was used as a cytoplasmic loading control. Each blot was repeated twice
revealed in a dose-dependent manner in K562 cells following atiprimod exposure [25]. In addition, dephosphorylation of STAT3 at Tyr705 residue inhibited STAT3 nuclear localization without any effect on Ser727 phosphorylation in hepatocellular carcinoma cells following CIMO treatment [9]. Similarly, CIMO treatment prevents the expression of pSTAT3 (Y705), and represses the expression of STAT-3 regulated genes such as CDK2, CDK4 in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells [12]. Similarly, in our previous study, we indicated that dose-dependent atiprimod exposure prevented STAT3 phosphorylation in pituitary adenoma cells [16]. Besides STAT3, NF-κB is also known as an important transcription factor for the regulation of tumors malignancy, angiogenesis, and invasiveness, resistance against various chemotherapeutic agents [27]. Dose- and time-dependent exposure of atiprimod prevented the DNA binding of NF-κB in U266-B1 myeloma cells [24]. Our recent work results illustrated that atiprimod prevented nuclear localization of p65, p50/52 and inhibited DNA binding of p65 in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells (Fig. 2b, d). Thus, atiprimod inhibited cell proliferation, colony formation, and invasion through negatively regulating pSTAT3, p65 nuclear localization and DNA binding in each ER-breast cancer cells. Due to MTT, growth assay, hanging drop results, MDA-MB-231 cells showed a resistant profile against atiprimod treatment as compared to MDA-MB-468 cells (Fig. 1a-e). This might be due to basal cytoplasmic STAT3 and nuclear pSTAT3 levels as its expression is higher in MDA-MB-468 cells than in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells.

The molecular machinery underlying drug-mediated apoptotic cell death in preclinical studies was essential to...
understand the therapeutic efficiency of drugs. The apoptotic effect of atiprimod has been reported in hepatocellular carcinoma, myeloid leukemia and pituitary adenoma cells [11, 13, 16]. However, molecular machinery underlying atiprimod-triggered apoptosis has not been investigated in breast cancer cells, yet. There was only our previous work demonstrating the dose-dependent effect of atiprimod on apoptotic cell death via ER stress activation in the GH3 rat pituitary adenoma cell line [16]. In our recent study, we tried to evaluate the apoptotic effect of atiprimod on two TNBC breast cancer cells regarding ER stress. Anti-carcinogenic agents trigger cellular stress that leads to chemo-resistance through one of the stress-response pathways; Unfolded Protein Response (UPR) [28]. Although protein synthesis alteration on p62 protein expression levels was determined in each breast cancer cells. β-actin was used as a loading control. c No alteration on LC-3II cleavage after atiprimod was measured by flow cytometry in the FL1 channel via GFP-LC3 plasmid transfection. Serum starvation (%0.5 FBS) was used as a positive control for autophagy induction.

Fig. 4 Inhibitive effect of atiprimod on autophagosome formation in each breast cancer. a No significant acidic vacuole formation was determined following atiprimod (2 μM) exposure in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB468 cells by AO staining. Cells were visualized by fluorescence microscopy (Magnification 40×, scale bar 100 μm). b Atiprimod inhibited autophagosome formation via downregulating Atg-3, Atg-5, Atg-12, Beclin-1 expression levels and noteworthy

is an essential process for cancer cell differentiation and, invasion-metastasis, translation of protein attenuation increased protein clearance and elevated ER-resident chaperone expression maintenance because of the accumulation of unfolded proteins [29]. Protein kinase RNA-activated-like ER kinase (PERK), Inositol requiring enzyme-α (IRE1-α), Activating transcription factor 6 α (ATF-6 α) are well-known three UPR sensors that activate during UPR stress [30]. During unstressed conditions, UPR sensors are inactivated by binding of ER chaperon GRP78/BiP. However, UPR-induced BiP activation triggers PERK, IRE1-α and ATF6-α activation. By our previous and recent study, we demonstrated atiprimod-induced ER stress via BiP upregulation in GH3 [16] and TBNC, respectively. Increased PERK,
ATF-6 and IRE-1α expression in the histochemical analysis of breast, brain, liver, pancreatic cancer cells are evidence for the essential role of UPR on tumor growth and chemoresistance [31]. In addition, UPR can be assumed as a touchstone in the cellular discussion through activation of pro-survival events or pro-death pathways. While acute UPR can activate cell survival pathways such as autophagy, chronic ER stress can trigger autophagic and/or apoptotic cell death [32]. PERK/eIF2α/ATF4 activation induces the expression of autophagy-related gene expression and also IRE1α/XBPs activate the expression of Beclin-1 to initiate autophagosome formation. In our study, although we demonstrated that atiprimod (2 μM) induced ER stress through increased protein expression and phosphorylation of PERK, a sharp downregulation of IRE-1α expression and no alteration of XBP expression levels was revealed following drug exposure in both MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells. Although we determined atiprimod-triggered PERK activation, the suppressive effect of drug exposure on autophagy key players expression profile such as Atg-3, Atg-5, Atg-12 was determined in each breast cancer cell. Autophagy, an evolutionary conserved process, is generally activated during nutrient deprivation, pathogen infection, accumulation of damaged proteins or organelles. Last decade, chemotherapeutic agents enabled to trigger autophagy as cell death or survival mechanism in various cancer cells [33]. Although the activation of Atg family members following dose-dependent atiprimod was displayed in GH3
cells [16], no significant activation was illustrated following 2 μM atiprimod in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 cells. Thus, we evaluate that 2 μM atiprimod might be an effective apoptotic dose for TNBC without activating autophagy as a survival mechanism via suppressing IRE-1α/XBP/Beclin-1 axis. The spliced form of XBP1 induced the ER-associated protein degradation (ERAD) that is activated under the accumulation of damaged or improperly folded proteins. Calnexin and calreticulin control the maturation of glycoproteins, protein desulphated isomerases (PDIs) catalysis the folding of proteins via desulphated bond formation. Each key molecule triggered during UPR activated conditions and activates the ERAD system to overwhelm unfolded/misfolded protein accumulation [34]. In our experimental system, we demonstrated atiprimod exposure triggered UPR mediated-ERAD in each breast cancer cell via Calnexin and PDIs upregulation. Another URP sensor receptor; ATF6, is activated through cleavage of S1P, S2P in Golgi and cATF6 nuclear localization acts as a transcription factor for XBP1s, ER chaperon’s expression [34]. Nuclear translocation of both cATF6 and CHOP was determined following atiprimod exposure in each breast cancer cells (Fig. 3c). However, this effect was detected especially in high STAT3 expressing TNBC cells. IRE-1α is demonstrated as an essential target molecule that cross-talk between UPR and inflammatory response. Although the exact mechanism of controlling of IRE-1α on IKK activity regulation has not been identified yet, NF-κB nuclear localization through IKK degradation subsequent activation of IRE-1α forms a complex with TRAF2 [35]. Thus, the preventive effect of atiprimod on IRE-1α expression might directly inhibit the pro-survival pathway; autophagy and also indirectly inhibited NF-κB activation in BCs especially MDA-MB-468 cells.

Prolonged and uncontrolled UPR activation in various cancers (lung, hepatocellular, pancreatic, and breast) triggers tumor development and resistance against chemotherapy. Generally, the UPR axis might be assumed as a protective process for cancer cells to escape from apoptotic cell death. However, moderate or severe UPR evokes apoptosis through PERK/eIF2α/ATF4/CHOP axis [36]. Activation of PERK via phosphorylation subsequently inactivates the global protein translation initiation key target; eukaryotic Initiation factor 2 alpha (eIF2α) by phosphorylation at Ser51 residue. Increased pIF2α Ser51 accumulation has a pivotal role in cancer cells through the attenuation of global gene translation, but increased in some selective gene expressions such as activating transcription factor 4 (ATF4) [37]. PERK-mediated eIF2α phosphorylation and ATF4 activation has an impact on the apoptotic pathway via binding on the CHOP promoter region. PERK/eIF2α/ATF4-dependent CHOP activation evokes the apoptotic pathway acting as a suppressor on anti-apoptotic proteins (Bcl2) and enhancer for the pro-apoptotic proteins that have BH3 domain (Bid, Bad, Bim, Noxa, Puma) [36]. Various studies demonstrated the pivotal role of dose- and time-period of ER stress determines the activation of cell survival or cell death in tumor cells. Consequently, severe or prolonged ER stress due to chemotherapeutic agents may evoke apoptotic cell death in tumor cells [38]. Moreover, ER stress-mediated G1/S arrest implicated in downregulation of cyclinD1 via ATF4-dependent CHOP activation through PERK-triggered eIF2α phosphorylation [39]. In our previous study, we demonstrated although the low dose of atiprimod (1 μM) induced mild ER stress via IRE1α-mediated autophagy induction as a survival mechanism, a higher dose of atiprimod (3 μM) triggered severe ER stress-mediated apoptotic cell death in pituitary adenoma cells [16]. In our recent study, IC50 dose of atiprimod (2 μM) evoke G1/S arrest and apoptosis in MDA-MB-468 cells through activation of PERK/eIF2α/ATF4/CHOP axis and upregulate pro-apoptotic proteins such as Bad, Bid, Bim (Fig. 5b-c). Although drug-induced apoptosis was revealed in each TNBC, MDA-MB-468 cells illustrated sensitivity against atiprimod exposure. This might be due to the different genomic expression profiles of each TNBC cell. As atiprimod act as a STAT3 inhibitor, we investigated the potential incline in atiprimod-mediated apoptosis when increasing STAT3 expression in MDA-MB231 cells. Concomitantly, the plasmid-derived STAT3 overexpression overcome resistance against atiprimod in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells (Fig. 5d–g). Besides STAT3, MDA-MB-468 cells have high Ki-67 levels. Similarly, the positive correlation between high pSTAT3, Ki-67, survivin levels, and high-risk breast cancer patients’ primary tissues was illustrated [40]. Thus, the sensitive profile of MDA-MB-468 cells against atiprimod might be also due to both high pSTAT3 and Ki-67 levels.

**Conclusion**

This study evaluates the apoptotic impact of atiprimod for the first time in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 TNBC cells via inhibiting STAT3 and NF-κB signaling pathways. Molecular mechanistic effect of atiprimod on the cell death decision of TNBC cells through inhibiting STAT3 Tyr705 phosphorylation and preventing the DNA binding affinity of STAT1/3 and NF-κB p65 in MDA-MB-231 and
MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells. Moreover, autophagy as a survival mechanism against chemotherapeutic drugs was not activated following atiprimod exposure in breast cancer cells. In contrast, drug-triggered ER stress via activated PERK/eIF2α/ATF4/CHOP axis in MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB468 breast cancer cells. Moreover, forced STAT3 expression overcomes atiprimod resistance in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells. Further in vitro and in vivo studies are needed to highlight the exact mechanism underlying the therapeutic impact of atiprimod in breast cancer cells, especially with aggressive types like TNBCs.
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