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\textbf{ABSTRACT}

The public has started to increasingly scrutinize the proper disposal and treatment of rapidly growing medical wastes, in particular, given the COVID-19 pandemic, raised awareness, and the advances in the health sector. This research aimed to characterize pyrolysis drivers, behaviors, products, reaction mechanisms, and pathways via TG-FTIR and Py-GC/MS analyses as a function of the two medical plastic wastes of syringes (SY) and medical bottles (MB), conversion degree, degradation stage, and the four heating rates (5, 10, 20, and 40 °C/min). SY and MB pyrolysis ranged from 394.4 to 501 and from 417.9 to 517 °C, respectively. The average activation energy was 246.5 and 268.51 kJ/mol for the SY and MB devolatilization, respectively. MB appeared to exhibit a better pyrolysis performance with a higher degradation rate and less residues. The most suitable reaction mechanisms belonged to a geometrical contraction model (R\textsubscript{2}) for the SY pyrolysis and to a nucleation growth model (A\textsubscript{1.2}) for the MB pyrolysis. The main evolved gases were C\textsubscript{6}-C\textsubscript{24} alkenes and dienes for SY and C\textsubscript{8}-C\textsubscript{41} alkanes and C\textsubscript{8}-C\textsubscript{41} alkenes for MB. The pyrolysis dynamics and reaction pathways of the medical plastic wastes have important implications for waste stream reduction, pollution control, and reactor optimization.
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1. Introduction

Globally, with the technological advances and service coverage of the health sector, a large quantity of medical plastic wastes have been generated (Hong et al., 2018; Duan et al., 2008). There is an urgent need for a cleaner and safer disposal and treatment of the rapidly growing medical plastic wastes, in particular, given the raised public concern about epidemics including severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), coronavirus (COVID-19), and acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) (Yang et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). In 2018, 200 large and medium-sized cities in China generated about 817,000 tons of medical wastes (MEPC, 2019). On average, Chinese medical wastes are composed mainly of 45% plastic, 20% moisture, 13% paper and cotton yarn, 10% glass, and 12% other materials (e.g., needle, surgical waste, and medicine) (Hong et al., 2018) and may have as high as 70% organic content (Fang et al., 2020).

The traditional incineration of medical wastes eliminates their infectivity and pathogenicity and reduces their waste stream (Windfeld and Brooks, 2015) but generates inorganic emissions, toxic, heavy metal-containing ashes, and persistent organic pollutants (POPs) including polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins (PCDDs), and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (PCDFs) (Zhang et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2013; Lijuan et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2009). The presence of plastic and other macromolecule organic compounds during the incineration of medical waste is the cause of PAHs release (Chen et al., 2013). Characterized by their higher heating value, high volatiles content, and low ash and water contents, the medical plastic wastes have potential to be thermochemically converted to energy (Bujak, 2015; Zhu et al., 2008). In particular, the pyrolysis technology has been demonstrated to be efficient in producing energy and value-added products as well as in reducing pollution levels compared to both incineration and the other thermochemical conversion technologies (Sharuddin et al., 2016).

The co-pyrolysis of bamboo stick, absorbent cotton, and medical respirator was found to evolve the volatiles of benzaldehyde, 2-butanone, formic acid, hydrocarbons, acetic acid, H₂O, CO₂, and CO according to thermogravimetric analyzer and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (TG-FTIR) analysis, while their kinetics were described with the distributed activation energy model (Zhu et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2009). The pyrolysis behaviors and degradation kinetics of 14 typical medical wastes were previously determined using TG analysis (Deng et al., 2016). In the pyrolysis of mixed medical solid wastes, hydrocarbons and lipids were reported to account for about 60% of bio-oils with a high volatiles content, and low ash and water contents, the medical plastic wastes have potential to be thermochemically converted to energy (Bujak, 2015; Zhu et al., 2008). In particular, the pyrolysis technology has been demonstrated to be efficient in producing energy and value-added products as well as in reducing pollution levels compared to both incineration and the other thermochemical conversion technologies (Sharuddin et al., 2016).

The co-pyrolysis of bamboo stick, absorbent cotton, and medical respirator was found to evolve the volatiles of benzaldehyde, 2-butanone, formic acid, hydrocarbons, acetic acid, H₂O, CO₂, and CO according to thermogravimetric analyzer and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (TG-FTIR) analysis, while their kinetics were described with the distributed activation energy model (Zhu et al., 2008; Yan et al., 2009). The pyrolysis behaviors and degradation kinetics of 14 typical medical wastes were previously determined using TG analysis (Deng et al., 2016). In the pyrolysis of mixed medical solid wastes, hydrocarbons and lipids were reported to account for about 60% of bio-oils with a high volatiles content, and low ash and water contents, the medical plastic wastes have potential to be thermochemically converted to energy (Bujak, 2015; Zhu et al., 2008). In particular, the pyrolysis technology has been demonstrated to be efficient in producing energy and value-added products as well as in reducing pollution levels compared to both incineration and the other thermochemical conversion technologies (Sharuddin et al., 2016).

The discarded syringes (SY) and medicine bottles (MB) samples can have pathogenic and infectious bacteria and viruses, and hence, need to be autoclaved under the high temperature for their complete inactivation. Instead, new SY and MB samples were acquired from Jiangsu Huada Medical Device Co., Ltd. and Hengshui Shenggang Medical Trading Co., Ltd, China, respectively. They were dried naturally in a good ventilation for 24 h, cut into small pieces, crushed using a pulverizer, sieved to 100 μm, and stored in a dryer. Proximate analysis was conducted according to the Chinese standard GB/T212-2008, while ultimate analysis of organic components was tested using an elemental analyzer (Vario EL cube by Elementar, Germany).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparation and characterization analyses of samples

The thermal degradation processes were detected using a TG analyzer (NETZSCH STA 409 PC, Germany) at the four heating rates of 5, 10, 20, and 40 °C/min. About 8 mg samples were put into alumina crucibles and heated from 25 to 900 °C in the N₂ atmosphere. The flow rates of the carrier and protective gases (N₂) were 50 ml/min and 20 ml/min, respectively. To avoid the systematic errors, a blank test was conducted for each heating rate before the start of the actual experiments. The mass loss was detected as a function of temperature and time using the analyzer software.

2.2. Thermogravimetric data

The thermal degradation processes were detected using a TG analyzer (NETZSCH STA 409 PC, Germany) at the four heating rates of 5, 10, 20, and 40 °C/min. About 8 mg samples were put into alumina crucibles and heated from 25 to 900 °C in the N₂ atmosphere. The flow rates of the carrier and protective gases (N₂) were 50 ml/min and 20 ml/min, respectively. To avoid the systematic errors, a blank test was conducted for each heating rate before the start of the actual experiments. The mass loss was detected as a function of temperature and time using the analyzer software.

2.3. Kinetic data

The pyrolysis kinetics were derived from the TG data thus:

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = k(T) \cdot f(\alpha)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $k(T)$ represents the reaction rate constant, while $f(\alpha)$ represents the reaction mechanism function. $T$, $t$, and $\alpha$ represent the absolute temperature, reaction time, and reaction conversion degree, respectively.

$\alpha$ and $k(T)$ can be defined as follows:

$$\alpha = \frac{m_0 - m_f}{m_0 - m_{\infty}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

$$k(T) = A \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $m_0$, $m_f$, and $m_{\infty}$ represent the original, actual, and final masses, respectively; $A$, $E$, and $R$ represent the pre-exponential factor (s⁻¹), the activation energy (kJ/mol), and the universal gas constant (8.314 J/mol/K). The heating rate ($\beta$) was expressed as follows:
Combining Eqs. (2) to (4) yields the following:

\[
\frac{d\alpha}{f(\alpha)} = A \frac{\exp \left( -\frac{E}{RT} \right)}{\beta} d\tau
\]

Integrating the Eq. (5) with the initial condition of \( \alpha = 0 \) at \( T = T_0 \) yields the following:

\[
G(\alpha) = \int_0^\beta \frac{d\alpha}{f(\alpha)} = A \frac{\exp \left( -\frac{E}{RT} \right)}{\beta} \int_0^{T_0} \exp \left( -\frac{E}{RT} \right) d\tau
\]

2.3.1. Iso-conversional methods

The three iso-conversional methods of FWO, KAS, and Starink were operated to estimate the apparent activation energy (\( E_0 \)). The FWO method was defined as follows according to the Doyle’s approximation:

\[
\ln \beta = \ln \left( \frac{AE}{RG(\alpha)} \right) - 5.331 - 1.052 \left( \frac{E}{RT} \right)
\]

Based on the Coats-Redfern approximation, the KAS method was expressed thus:

\[
\ln \beta = \ln \left( \frac{AR}{EG(\alpha)} \right) - \frac{E}{RT}
\]

The Starink method was expressed thus:

\[
\ln \left( \frac{\beta}{T_0^2} \right) = \ln \left( \frac{AR}{R(G)} \right) - 0.312 - 1.0008 \left( \frac{E}{RT} \right)
\]

The four thermodynamic parameters of \( \Delta H, \Delta G, \Delta S, \) and \( A \) can be estimated from Eq. (10) to Eq. (13):

\[
A = \left[ \beta \exp \left( \frac{E_0}{RT} \right) \right] / (RT)^2
\]

\[
\Delta H = E_0 - RT
\]

\[
\Delta G = E_0 + R \cdot T_0 \cdot \ln \left( \frac{K_b \cdot T_0}{h \cdot A} \right)
\]

\[
\Delta S = (\Delta G - \Delta H) / T_0
\]

where \( K_b \) and \( h \) were the Boltzmann constant (1.381 \times 10^{-23} \text{ J/K}) and the Plank constant (6.626 \times 10^{-34} \text{ J s}), respectively.

2.3.2. Integral master-plots method

Using the FWO, KAS, and Starink-based \( E_0 \) estimates and the master-plots method, the best-fit reaction mechanisms can be determined. Eq. (6) can be transformed thus:

\[
G(\alpha) = \frac{AE}{\beta R} P(u)
\]

where \( u = E/RT \) and \( P(u) \) are the integral of temperature. \( P(u) \) has no accurate solution. Applying the Doyle’s approximation, \( P(u) \) can be given thus (Zhang et al., 2019):

\[
P(u) = 0.00484 \exp (-1.0516u)
\]

For a single-step pyrolysis reaction with stationary g(\( \alpha \)), a suitable kinetic reaction model can be confirmed using the integral master-plots with the values of \( A, \ E_0 \), and \( T \), estimated from the iso-conversional methods. Using the reference point of \( \alpha = 0.5 \), Eq. (14) can be arranged thus:

\[
G(0.5) = \frac{AE}{\beta R} P(u0.5)
\]

where \( u_{0.5} = E/RT_{0.5} \). The master-plots method can be shown combining Eq. (14) with Eq. (16).

\[
G(\alpha) / G(0.5) \text{ was determined factoring } \alpha \text{ into the different integral forms of the kinetic models listed in Table 1 (Hu et al., 2019).}
\]

\[
G(\alpha) / G(0.5) \text{ versus } \alpha \text{ represents the theoretical master-plots.}
\]

2.4. TG-FTIR data

The TG-FTIR experiments were conducted using a TG analyzer (NETZSCH TG209F1) coupled with an FTIR spectrometer (NICOLET iS50 FTIR). The samples were about 8 mg. The analyzer was heated from 30 to 900 °C at 20 °C/min under the pure N2 atmosphere. In order to avoid the condensation of gases, the transfer tubes connecting TG analyzer and FTIR spectrometer were kept at 280 °C. The FTIR spectra were analyzed at a 4-cm⁻¹ resolution with 8 scans in the range of 4000–550 cm⁻¹. To eliminate the influence of background value, blank experiments were carried out before the start of the actual experiments.
2.5. Py-GC/MS data

Py-GC/MS experiments were carried out using a pyrolysis reactor (Frontier Lab PY-2020id, Japan) coupled with a GC/MS equipped with an HP-5MS capillary column (60 m × 0.32 mm × 0.25 μm) to detect the volatiles. The sample was degraded at 650 °C for 24 s using the purified He as the carrier gas at a flow rate of 1.2 ml/min. The initial temperature of the GC/MS oven was maintained at 45 °C for 2 min, heated to 300 °C at a rate of 4 °C/min, and held on until the end of the experiments. The mass spectrum was obtained in EI mode at 70 eV. The range of the full scan mode was m/z 50–650. The components of volatiles were determined characterizing the GC-MS spectra according to the related literature and NIST database.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Physicochemical drivers of pyrolysis

The moisture contents of SY and MB were close to zero, while their ash contents were 17.56 and 1.65 %, respectively. In other words, their pyrolysis generated only a small amount of ash residues, thus achieving a good volume reduction (Table 2). The volatiles content was significantly lower for SY (75.43 %) than MB (98.27 %), but their volatiles were higher than those of bamboo residues (64.55–72.95 %) (Hu et al., 2019), coal (32.37 %) (Chen et al., 2019), spent potlining (1.56 %), textile dyeing sludge (27.83 %) (Sun et al., 2019). The higher the volatiles matter is, the greater the gas production and the calorific value are, the more favorable the pyrolysis reaction is. The fixed carbon content was higher for SY (6.90 %) than MB (0.03 %). SY and MB were mainly composed of C and H. The difference in the C and H contents of SY and MB resulted in their different calorific values. Their S and N contents close to zero indicated that their thermal treatment would not produce nitrates and sulfides. The higher heating value of SY and MB (33.06 and 45.51 MJ/kg, respectively) were higher than that of coal (28.87 MJ/kg) (Chen et al., 2019), bamboo residues (17.67–18.23 MJ/kg) (Hu et al., 2019), spent potlining (22.21 MJ/kg), textile dyeing sludge (6.95 MJ/kg) (Sun et al., 2019), and coffee grounds (23.64 MJ/kg) (Chen et al., 2020). Their calorific values were higher than those of the other plastics such as polyvinyl chloride (19.02 MJ/kg) (Lee et al., 2018), polystyrene (42.3 MJ/kg) (Muneer et al., 2019), and polyethylene terephthalate (23.97 MJ/kg) (Singh et al., 2019). These results show that SY and MB have great prospects for application in the energy and by-product recoveries.

3.2. TG data-driven pyrolysis dynamics

3.2.1. Pyrolysis behaviors at 20 °C/min as a function of feedstock type, temperature, and degradation stage

The TG and derivative TG (DTG) curves of the SY and MB pyrolysis at 20 °C/min in Fig. 1 pointed to only two obvious peaks (Fig. 1a), namely, the two-stage pyrolysis process for SY. The first stage of the thermal degradation was the cracking reaction of polypropylene between 394.4 and 501 °C with a mass loss of 73.90 % and a maximum mass loss rate of 40.53 %/min at 467.3 °C (Table 3). The main component of SY was polypropylene (PP) whose pyrolysis was the free-radical irregular degradation reaction, during which chain scission occurred randomly on the main chain, generating products with a relatively low molecular weight (Singh and Sharma, 2008). Consistent with our findings, the PP pyrolysis was reported to occur between 391 and 551 °C (Xu et al., 2018b). The second stage involved the secondary cracking of the pyrolysis products, or the degradation of the recalcitrant and stable substances between 661.9 and 759.5 °C with a mass loss of 10.74 % and a maximum mass loss rate of 2.99 %/min at 738.3 °C (Table 3).

A single-stage thermal degradation process for MB occurred between 417.9 and 517 °C (Fig. 1b). A large mass loss of 97.60 % and a maximum mass loss rate of 62.03 %/min at 477.9 °C (Table 3) in this stage indicated the intense thermal degradation reaction. Our results were in close agreement with the temperature range of the polyethylene (PE) pyrolysis of 440–572 °C reported by (Xu et al., 2018b). As with PP, the pyrolysis of PE was a free-radical chain mechanism (Singh and Sharma, 2008), where irregular chain scission occurred generating a large number of free radicals as well as gradually synthesizing stable small molecule volatiles (Wu et al., 2014).

Table 2

| Sample | Proximate analysis (wt.% | Ultimate analysis (wt.% | HHV (MJ/kg) |
|--------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------|
|        | M  | V  | A  | FC | C  | H  | N  | S  |                |
| SY     | 0.11 | 75.43 | 17.56 | 6.90 | 66.35 | 10.48 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 33.06 |
| MB     | 0.05 | 98.27 | 1.65  | 0.03 | 84.71 | 13.81 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 45.51 |

M = moisture; V = volatiles; A = ash; FC = fixed carbon; and HHV = higher heating value.
Table 3
Pyrolysis characteristic parameters for SY and MB at 20 °C/min.

| Sample | Stage | \( T_0 \) (°C) | \( T_p \) (°C) | \( R_p \) (%/min) | \( T_f \) (°C) | Weight loss (%) | Residue (%) |
|--------|-------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|
| SY     | Stage I | 394.4          | 467.3          | 40.53          | 501.0          | 73.90          | 15.37       |
|        | Stage II | 661.9          | 738.3          | 2.99           | 759.5          | 10.74          |             |
| MB     | Stage I | 417.9          | 477.9          | 62.03          | 517.0          | 97.60          | 2.40        |

Fig. 2. (D)TG curves of (a) SY and (b) MB pyrolysis at the four heating rates.

3.2.2. Pyrolysis behaviors as a function of heating rate

The increased heating rate shifted the (D)TG curves backward (Fig. 2) due to the thermal hysteresis and the heat transfer limitation. The maximum mass loss rate of each stage grew faster with the increased heating rate. The narrowed temperature ranges of MB and SY in the first stage showed that the higher heating rate accelerated the reaction rate and reduced the reaction time. The reaction temperatures of MB and SY in the first stage at each heating rate varied between 394.4 and 517 °C during which the volatiles was most released. The DTG curve had almost the same trend which may be because PE and PP had similar chemical bonds in their molecular structures (Aboulkas et al., 2010). There existed more methyl side chains on the PP molecular chain with its higher degree of branching but its lower thermal stability than PE. Thus, the pyrolysis characteristic temperature was lower for SY than MB (Table 3). The similar residue and ash contents of both feedstocks indicated the reliability of the TG data. The devolatilization stages of the SY and MB pyrolysis occurred in the similar temperature range of 400—600 °C. However, MB appeared to have a better pyrolysis performance with a higher maximum weight loss rate and mass loss and less residues.

3.3. Pyrolysis kinetics as a function of feedstock type, conversion degree, and degradation stage

3.3.1. Apparent activation energy estimates according to FWO, KAS, and Starink

The higher \( E_a \) value indicated the more energy requirement to initiate the reactions due to the greater force among the sample molecules (Zou et al., 2019). The FWO, KAS, and Starink-based \( E_a \) estimates of the SY and MB pyrolysis and their best-fit lines as a function of conversion degree and degradation stage are showed in the supplementary online material (Fig. S1 and Table S1). All the coefficients of determination values \( (R^2) \) for the \( E_a \) values were in the range of 98.62—99.99 %. And a strong linear relationship was found indicating that the estimated results were reasonable. The \( E_a \) estimates did not significantly differ from one another among the methods (Table 4). The FWO-based \( E_a \) estimates of MB and SY (stages I and II) were 268.51, 246.50, and 216.98 kJ/mol, respectively. Their \( E_a \) values were higher than those of *Lentinula edodes* pileus (181.12 kJ/mol), rice husk (185.70 kJ/mol), and paper sludge (133.98 kJ/mol). In other words, they needed to break higher energy barriers (Zou et al., 2019; Minh Loy et al., 2018; Fang et al., 2015) since the higher the reaction temperature and the \( E_a \) value are, the more difficult the substance is to degrade (Chen et al., 2015). Also, the higher \( E_a \) value of MB than SY (stages I and II) showed that MB was more difficult to decompose than SY. Although MB and SY contained a large number of the C–H and C–C bonds, SY had more \(-\text{CH}_3\) branches and poorer thermal stability than did MB. Thus, the less \( E_a \) requirement for the SY than MB cleavage was reflected by the fact that the initial and final pyrolysis temperatures and the maximum mass loss peak temperature were slightly lower for SY than MB.

Among the FWO, KAS, and Starink methods, the \( E_a \) value ranged from 253.36 to 277.54 kJ/mol for the MB pyrolysis, from 231.27 to 254.76 kJ/mol for the first stage, and from 200.78 to 245.14 kJ/mol for the second stage of the SY pyrolysis (Fig. 3). The \( E_a \) curve was of M-shape for the first stage of SY and of a semi-ellipsoidal shape for MB. All the \( E_a \) curves exhibited a rapid, violent process that underwent a consecutively rising-steady-falling change. Their irregular variations pointed to the degradation of PP and PE as a complex reaction process including the interactions between the chemical chain breakage and the molecular recombination (Singh et al., 2019). These complex reactions were concentrated in a narrow temperature range. Although the \( E_a \) value of MB was higher than that of SY, not only did both MB and SY have similar pyrolysis temperature ranges but also had concentrated and fast reactions, thus pointing to their high pyrolysis potential. In the second stage of SY, the \( E_a \) showed a downward trend with the increased conversion degree. This may be attributed to the degradation of the recalcitrant residues, or fixed carbon.

Table 4
The mean \( E_a \) (kJ/mol) values of the SY (stages I and II) and MB pyrolysis according to the FWO, KAS, and Starink methods.

| Sample | FWO  | KAS  | Starink |
|--------|------|------|---------|
|        | \( E_a \) | \( R^2 \) | \( E_a \) | \( R^2 \) | \( E_a \) | \( R^2 \) |
| MB     | 268.51 | 0.9969 | 270.10 | 0.9966 | 270.38 | 0.9966 |
| SY stage I | 246.50 | 0.9983 | 247.16 | 0.9981 | 247.44 | 0.9981 |
| SY stage II | 216.98 | 0.9971 | 212.03 | 0.9967 | 212.51 | 0.9967 |
3.3.2. Thermodynamic parameters as a function of feedstock type, conversion degree, and degradation stage

The thermodynamic parameters ($\Delta G$, $\Delta S$, $\Delta H$, and $A$) were estimated using the FWO method at 20 °C/min (Fig. 4). The higher $\Delta H$ value reflects a faster reaction rate and higher reactivity in the heat exchange between reactants and products. The similar trends of $\Delta H$ and $E_a$ (Fig. 4a) suggested the feasibility of the reaction. The higher $\Delta H$ value of MB than SY indicated that MB needed higher energy to meet the response requirements. The smaller $\Delta H$ values than the $E_a$ values indicated the production of more favorable pyrolysis products (Ahmad et al., 2018). The higher $\Delta G$ value represents the lower reaction feasibility given the total system energy as more energy is required to maintain the reaction. The $\Delta G$ values of SY and MB gradually rose with the increased conversion degree (Fig. 4b). The higher $\Delta G$ value in the second stage of SY related to the recalcitrant refractory substance, or the degradation of fixed carbon during which the reaction system needed to absorb more energy.

$A$ as a measure of the collision frequency of the reactants is
determined by the intrinsic properties of the chemical reaction regardless of the reaction temperature, and the concentration of the substance in the system. The value of $A \geq 10^9 \text{s}^{-1}$ represents a simple complex reaction, and otherwise, a complex closed reaction, or an interface reaction (Maia and de Morais, 2016). In this study, all the $A$ values were greater than $10^9 \text{s}^{-1}$ indicating that the SY and MB pyrolysis involved a simple complex reaction (Fig. 4c). The higher $A$ value of MB than SY suggested a more complex MB than SY pyrolysis. Its pyrolysis complexity was mainly reflected in its diverse products, as was verified by the results of Py-GC/MS analysis in Section 3.5. $\Delta S$ reflects the disorder degree of a reaction system. The similar trends of the $\Delta S$ and logA curves, with MB having a higher $\Delta S$ value than did SY also confirmed that the MB pyrolysis was more complicated than SY and produced a wide array of products.

3.3.3. Reaction mechanisms as a function of feedstock type, conversion degree, heating rate, and degradation stage

As was discussed in Section 3.3.1, since the FWO, KAS, and Starink methods estimated the similar $E_a$ values, the integral master-plots method was performed using their average values in order to choose the most appropriate reaction mechanism for the decompositions of MB and SY. According to Eq. (15), the value of $P(u)$ can be calculated as a
function of conversion degree \((\alpha = 0.1 - 0.9)\) and the average \(E_a\) values. The plots of \(P(u)/P(u_{0.5})\) versus conversion degree \((\alpha)\) at the four heating rates (Fig. 5a–c) emphasized that the reaction mechanisms of each stage of the SY and MB pyrolysis can be described as a single model regardless of the heating rate (Irmak Aslan et al., 2017). Since the plots of \(P(u)/P(u_{0.5})\) under the four heating rates were basically identical, 20 °C/min was selected to preliminarily determine the kinetic mechanisms, and the predicted values were verified using the reverse calculations. The theoretical master-plots of \(G(\alpha)/G(0.5)\) (Table 1) were compared to the experimental master-plots \(P(u)/P(u_{0.5})\) at 20 °C/min in order to determine the appropriate reaction mechanisms (Fig. 5d–f). The comparisons of the plots at 20 °C/min showed that the R2, in-between R2 and R3, and A\(_n\) models best described the first and second stages of the SY pyrolysis and the entire MB pyrolysis in the following

![Fig. 6](image-url)
two general forms of $R_n$ and $A_n$: $G(n) = 1-(1-\alpha)^{1/\nu}$ and $G(n) = \beta \ln(1-\alpha)^{1/\nu}$, respectively.

3.3.4. Pre-exponential factor and order estimates of the reaction mechanisms

The optimal order ($n$) of the reaction mechanism models was found by factoring the integral function of the $R_n$ and $A_n$ models into Eq. (14) thus:

$$G(\alpha) = \frac{AE}{\beta R} P(n) = 1 - (1 - \alpha)^{1/n}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (18)

$$G(\alpha) = \frac{AE}{\beta R} P(n) = \beta \ln(1 - \alpha)^{1/n}$$  \hspace{0.5cm} (19)

In order to determine the optimal value of $n$, the plots of $1-(1-\alpha)^{1/n}$ versus $EP(u) / \beta R$ and $\beta \ln(1-\alpha)^{1/n}$ versus $EP(u) / \beta R$ were made factoring the various $n$ values (at an interval of 0.1). The best-fit regression lines with the highest $R^2$ values when their intercepts were closest to zero were used to select the optimal $n$ value. The optimal values of $n$ for the SY pyrolysis stages I and II, and the MB pyrolysis are shown in Fig. 5a–c. The kinetic triplets of $E_a$, $A$, and $f(\alpha)$ for the SY pyrolysis stages I and II, and the MB pyrolysis at the four heating rates were given in Table 5. As a result, $R_2$ and $A_{1,2}$ were determined as the most suitable models of the reaction mechanisms to explain the SY pyrolysis stages I and II and the MB pyrolysis, respectively. To verify the reliability of kinetic results, the experimental and predicted values of the SY and MB conversion degrees as a function of temperature at the four heating rates (Fig. 6d–f) were compared and found to be almost identical, thus pointing to the accuracy of their best-fit reaction mechanisms of $R_2$ and $A_{1,2}$ for the SY and MB pyrolysis, respectively.

3.4. TG-FTIR-detected pyrolytic functional groups and gases

Fig. 7a–c shows the FTIR spectrum at the DTG peak temperature for the SY and MB pyrolysis at 20 °C/min. According to the Lambert-Beer law (the positive correlation between the gaseous product concentration and the absorption peak intensity), the absorbance values of the main gases as a function of temperature are illustrated in Fig. 7d–e. The main functional groups and vibrational modes are provided in the supplementary online material (Table S2).

According to the FTIR spectrum of the SY pyrolysis stage I (Fig. 7a), the strong absorption peaks in the range of 2960–2850 cm$^{-1}$ proved the existence of methylene (−CH$_2$−) group. The characteristic absorption peaks in the ranges of 3080–3000 and 1700–1610 cm$^{-1}$ were associated with the −C=H and C=C stretching vibrations, respectively, indicative of the formation of alkenes. The appearance of the R−CH=CH$_2$ bending vibration in the range of 1440–1370 cm$^{-1}$ showed the existence of alkenes. The absorption peak in the range of 1455–1370 cm$^{-1}$ was associated with the -CH$_3$ bending vibration, indicative of the formation of alkanes, in particular, CH$_4$ (Kai et al., 2017). The weak peaks in the range of 1325–1000 cm$^{-1}$ can be ascribed to the stretching vibrations of C−O and O−H, indicative of the formations of phenols, alcohols, and ethers. The irregular chain break occurred with the pyrolysis of PP. Alkanes and alkenes were the main gas products of the PP pyrolysis (Qin et al., 2018). As for the SY pyrolysis stage II, CO$_2$ was the main volatiles product, and a small amount of –CH$_3$− group was observed (Fig. 7b). The obvious absorption peaks in the range of 2402–2240 cm$^{-1}$ and in 669 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to the C=O stretching vibration and the C=O bending vibration, respectively, as was evidenced by the formation of CO$_2$. The release of CO$_2$ may be due to the pyrolysis of the more stable O-containing functional groups (Zhang et al., 2019).

The FTIR spectra of the MB pyrolysis and the SY pyrolysis stage I were similar including the =C−H, −CH$_2$, C=C, −CH$_3$, and R−CH=CH$_2$ groups. A weak peak in the range of 716–724 cm$^{-1}$ related to the wagging vibration of −CH$_2$. As with the PP pyrolysis, the irregular chain scission occurred during the PE pyrolysis with its main products as alkanes and alkenes. The concentration curves of the main volatiles as a function of temperature are illustrated in Fig. 7d–e. The absorbance trends of the various functional groups were consistent with the DTG curves. The SY pyrolysis stage I and the MB pyrolysis had a rapid degradation producing a large amount of alkanes and alkenes in a short period of time. The highest content of methylene together with the fewer C=C bonds indicated that the products contained many long-chain hydrocarbons. However, the results of TG-FTIR analysis needs to be supplemented by PY-GC/MS analysis, as was performed in the next section.

3.5. PY-GC/MS-detected molecular structures of pyrolytic gases

The experiments of the SY and MB pyrolysis were carried out at 650 °C, and the detailed data of the main evolved gases are presented in the supplementary online material (Table S3). The compound types of the pyrolytic products were significantly more diverse for MB than SY. By comparing their peak areas (PA), the gas distributions of the SY and MB pyrolysis are illustrated in Fig. 8. The main products of the SY pyrolysis were C$_4$-C$_{24}$ alkenes (PA = 51.28 %) among which the highest content belonged to 1-heptene, 2,4-methyl- (PA = 17.66 %). 23.63 % diene and a few alkanes (PA = 1.04 %) such as pentacosane, hexacosane, and heptadecane were also detected. Similarly, alkenes as the main compounds together with the low concentrations of alkanes and dienes were previously reported as the main PP pyrolysis products (Moya et al., 2011). 2-alkenes were similarly detected as the PP pyrolysis products by (Luda and Dall’Anese, 2014). The main products of the MB pyrolysis were C$_{6}$-C$_{44}$ alkenes (PA = 31.91 %) and C$_{6}$-C$_{44}$ alkenes (PA = 49.94 %). The results of Py-GC/MS analysis verified that the main pyrolysis products were the longer-chain aliphatic hydrocarbons.
3.6. Possible pyrolysis pathways

The possible cracking pathways of the SY and MB pyrolysis were analyzed according to the volatiles identified from the Py-GC/MS experiments (Figs. 9 and 10). Mechanistically, the polymer pyrolysis involves a complex series of free-radical reactions, synergistic reactions, or ionic reactions that occur through a number of competing pathways (Zhou et al., 2016). The PP pyrolysis products as the main SY component can be explained by the mechanism of the intramolecular H transfer after random cleavage (Luda and Dall’Anese, 2014). The intramolecular transfer of the secondary radicals plays an important role in the degradation of PP (Ma et al., 2015). The PP pyrolysis is a random chain-breaking process that produces free radicals with a different degree of polymerization (Straka et al., 2017).

The variety of low molecular weight hydrocarbons detected was the consequence of the multiple intermolecular H transfers through which the initially formed free radicals were evolved before the β-scission occurred. Due to its molecular structure, the random and variable intermolecular H transfer may occur on the secondary or tertiary C atoms of the chain. The intermolecular H transfer usually occurs in the form of 1→3, 1→4, 1→5, 1→6 to the tertiary C atoms, while the β-scission leads to the formation of 1-alkenes. (Fig. 9; steps 2 and 3).

Fig. 7. The FTIR spectrum of the MB and SY pyrolysis products: (a) SY at 467.3 °C, (b) SY at 738.3 °C, and (c) MB at 477.9 °C, and the temperature-dependent absorbance for (d) SY and (e) MB.
evolution of the intermolecular H transfer and β-scission during the SY pyrolysis was simulated. Thus, the two terminal structures (−CH2CH2CH3 or −CH2CH(CH3)−CH3) and the four possible structures of products were identified (Fig. 9). The formation of saturated alkanes was due to the β-scission and the intermolecular H abstraction reaction (Qin et al., 2018) (Fig. 9; steps 4 and 8). When the intermolecular H transfer appeared on a secondary C on the chain, 2-alkenes were formed, as was presented in Fig. 9 and step 6. 1-alkenes, 2-alkenes, and alkanes identified via Py-GC/MS analysis demonstrated that the cracking process was involved in the SY pyrolysis.

As with the SY pyrolysis, the MB pyrolysis was a random chain scission reaction (Sharuddin et al., 2016). The mechanisms of the intermolecular H transfer, the β-scission, and the intermolecular H abstraction were also involved in the MB pyrolysis. MB was mainly composed of PE. Since PE had no branch chains, its reaction process was simpler than the PP reaction. Free radicals underwent the β-scission, the intermolecular H transfer, and the intermolecular H abstraction and formed the long-chain alkanes and alkenes with different C numbers (Fig. 10).

MB was cracked randomly and generated the long-chain free radicals in different lengths. Alkenes and alkyl radicals were generated by the intermolecular H transfer and the β-scission (Fig. 10; step 3). A part of the alkyl radicals involved an intermolecular abstraction to form chain alkanes (Fig. 10; step 4). The compounds that lost H underwent the β-scission to generate the smaller molecular weight alkenes (Fig. 10; step 5). The other part of the alkyl radical could be cycled through steps 2 and 3. Fig. 11 shows the additional pyrolysis mechanisms of 1-butene, 2-pentene, 2-methyl-1-pentene and 1,8-nonadiene, 2,4,6,8-tetramethyl-C13 diene. The disproportionation can lead to the formation of dienes (Luda and Dall’Anese, 2014), while the long-chain alkenes may undergo a series of reactions (random cracking, intermolecular H transfer, and β-scission) again (Fig. 11D).

Overall, alkanes and alkenes were the main products of the SY and MB pyrolysis. Considering the more diverse pyrolysis products, the higher degradation rate, and the less residues, the pyrolysis
The performance of MB appeared to be better than that of SY. However, the high proportion of alkenes and high-carbon-number long chains are a major obstacle for SY and MB to meet the fuel standard. Thus, the product selectivity of the SY, MB, or medical plastic waste pyrolysis needs to be improved through changing the reaction temperature and time and adding a catalyst, or co-pyrolysis in order to prepare fuel oils, or value-added chemical products (Anuar Sharuddin et al., 2016).

4. Conclusion

The pyrolytic behaviors, reaction mechanisms, pathways, and evolved gases of SY and MB were characterized in this study. The SY pyrolysis involved the devolatilization reaction in the range of 394.4 – 501 °C, while the MB pyrolysis mainly occurred at 417.9 – 517 °C. The most suitable reaction mechanism was determined as the...
geometrical contraction model for the two SY pyrolysis stages and as the nucleation growth model for the MB pyrolysis. Even though the MB pyrolysis required more energy than did the SY pyrolysis, MB exhibited a better pyrolysis performance given their comprehensive analysis. The main pyrolytic volatiles were alkenes and a few long-chain alkanes and dienes for SY and alkenes and alkane for MB. The possible pyrolysis pathways detected for SY and MB can provide the future research directions in terms of the thermochemical conversions of the medical (plastic) wastes. Overall, our findings can yield insights into the drivers, mechanisms, emissions, and energy and by-product recoveries as well as into waste stream reduction, pollution control, and reactor optimization associated with the pyrolysis of the medical plastic wastes.
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