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ABSTRACT

Introduction: On the basis of reductions in diabetic kidney disease (DKD) progression and major adverse cardiovascular events observed in the landmark CREERENCE trial, canagliflozin 100 mg received an extension to its EU marketing authorisation in July 2020 to include the treatment of DKD in people with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) making it the first pharmacological therapy to receive regulatory authorisation for treatment of DKD since the RENAL and IDNT trials in nearly 20 years. Efficient allocation of limited healthcare resources requires evaluation not only of clinical safety and efficacy but also economic consequences. The study aim was to estimate the cost-effectiveness of canagliflozin when added to current standard of care (SoC) versus SoC alone from the perspective of the NHS in England.

Methods: A microsimulation model was developed using patient-level data from CREENCE, including risk equations for the key clinical outcomes of start of dialysis, hospitalisation for heart failure, nonfatal myocardial infarction, nonfatal stroke, and all-cause mortality. DKD progression was modelled using estimated glomerular filtration rate and urinary albumin-to-creatinine ratio evolution equations. Risk for kidney transplant was sourced from UK-specific sources given the near absence of events in CREENCE. Patient characteristics and treatment effects were sourced from CREENCE. Unit costs (£2019) and disutility weights were sourced from the literature and discounted at 3.5% annually. The time horizon was 10 years in the base case, and sensitivity analysis was performed.

Results: Canagliflozin was associated with sizable gains in life-years and quality-adjusted life-year (QALYs) over 10 years, with gains increasing with simulation duration. Cost offsets associated with reductions in cardiovascular and renal complications were sufficient to achieve overall net cost savings. The findings were generally confirmed in the sensitivity analyses.

Conclusion: Model results suggest that adding canagliflozin 100 mg to SoC can improve patient outcomes while reducing overall net costs from the NHS perspective in England.
**Trial Registration:** ClinicalTrials.gov identifier, NCT02065791.
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### Key Summary Points

#### Why carry out this study?

The CREDENCE study, which compared canagliflozin 100 mg + standard of care (SoC) treatment versus SoC treatment alone, was the first study to show improved patient outcomes in individuals with diabetic kidney disease (DKD) since current SoC was established almost 20 years ago.

While the observed improvements in renal and cardiovascular outcomes are likely to improve well-being in these patients, it remains to be seen whether the additional costs of adding canagliflozin 100 mg to SoC provides good value for money in England (and elsewhere).

This study addressed the question of value for money by leveraging a new microsimulation model (CREDEM-DKD) to estimate the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) for individuals with DKD from the NHS perspective in England.

#### What was learned from the study?

Extrapolating the renal and cardiovascular benefits demonstrated in the CREDENCE trial to a 10-year time horizon was associated with considerable gains in health and lower overall costs, a “win-win” situation versus SoC alone. Savings in dialysis and transplantation were the largest cost drivers.

The benefits, but also decision-making uncertainty, were found to increase with longer time horizons.

### Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) costs the National Health System (NHS) as much as £1.4 billion per year in the United Kingdom (UK) [1], and the most common cause is diabetes [2]. The impact of CKD on health-related quality of life is also substantial, especially for severe late stage complications requiring treatment with dialysis or kidney transplantation [3, 4]. Diabetic kidney disease (DKD) is a distinct subtype of CKD, defined by the comorbid presence of both diabetes mellitus and CKD, in the absence of any other apparent CKD aetiology [5]. In approximately half of patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) developing renal impairment, measurable levels of albuminuria can be detected prior to decline of estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) below 60 mL/min/1.73 m²; therefore albuminuria is used clinically as an early marker of nephropathy risk in T2DM [6]. In the UK, approximately 40% of patients with prevalent T2DM are estimated to have moderately-severely decreased eGFR and/or moderately-severely increased albuminuria [7]. Both elevated albuminuria and reduced GFR are independently associated with significantly increased risk of cardiovascular mortality, as well as other complications such as amputation [8, 9].

As such, only a small proportion of patients with CKD/DKD typically reach end-stage renal disease (ESRD), with the majority of patients dying from other causes before ESRD is reached [10]. However, the extremely high healthcare costs associated with ESRD management account for the majority of the CKD/DKD costs to the NHS, despite the relatively small number of patients that require dialysis or transplant.

The Canagliflozin and Renal Endpoints in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical
Evaluation (CREDENACE) study [11] was the first dedicated renal outcomes study to report results for a sodium–glucose co-transporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitor in individuals with DKD, and the first study to show improved patient outcomes in this patient population since current standard of care (SoC) was established almost 20 years ago [12, 13]. In addition to current SoC, including maximally tolerated dose of a renin–angiotensin–aldosterone system (RAAS) inhibitor, canagliflozin 100 mg reduced the risk of the primary composite endpoint (doubling of serum creatinine [DoSCr], ESKD, or renal or cardiovascular [CV] death) by 30% ($p = 0.00001$) versus SoC alone [11]. Substantial risk reductions were also observed for several secondary endpoints, including a composite of CV death or hospitalisation for heart failure (HHF) by 31% ($p < 0.001$), 3-point major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE: CV death, nonfatal myocardial infarction [MI], or nonfatal stroke) by 20% ($p = 0.01$), and HHF alone by 39% ($p < 0.001$) [11]. On the basis of these results, canagliflozin 100 mg received an indication extension for the treatment of DKD in patients with insufficiently controlled T2DM in Europe in July 2020 [14]. Management of renal and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality are now considered integral parts of the treatment of T2DM, and as such the label includes these treatment goals within the overall T2DM therapeutic indication of canagliflozin, rather than as distinct labelled indications.

Efficient allocation of scarce healthcare resources requires not only that treatments demonstrate clinical safety and efficacy but that they provide good “value for money” (i.e. are cost-effective) as well. For a good review of cost-effectiveness methodology used by economic stakeholders, see Drummond et al. [15]. For chronic and progressive diseases such as DKD, economic evidence is routinely generated using economic modeling methods that extrapolate trial outcomes to longer time horizons (thus, estimating the full long-term costs and benefits of intervention). When properly constructed and validated, economic models can provide a more complete accounting of the relevant cost and health trade-offs of alternative treatment approaches than economic analyses based on single clinical trials alone [16–18]. An economic model was developed using patient-level data from the CREDENACE trial to support estimation of the cost-effectiveness of canagliflozin in this new indication [19].

The objective of this study was to estimate the cost-effectiveness of canagliflozin 100 mg + SoC versus SoC alone in the treatment of individuals with T2DM and DKD from the perspective of the NHS in England.

**METHODS**

**Model Summary**

The CREDENACE Economic Model of DKD (CREDEM-DKD) model uses the microsimulation approach to estimate cost-effectiveness of treatment interventions. It is implemented with discrete event simulation (DES) methods, which are well suited to situations where there are competing clinical events and accelerating risks (e.g. of reaching ESRD), and relatively long-term “time-to-event” data are available to enable estimation of risk prediction equations [11, 20], as is the case with DKD and the CREDENACE trial. First- and second-order uncertainty are captured explicitly, and the time horizon and discount rates are user-definable. CREDEM-DKD is implemented in Microsoft® Excel (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, Washington, USA) and Visual Basic for Applications. CREDEM-DKD was designed, constructed, and populated with patient-level data from CREDENACE in accordance with the recommendations of the International Society of Pharmacoeconomic and Outcomes Research–Society of Medical Decision Making (ISPOR-SMDM) Modeling Good Research Practices Task Force-2 [21]. A pragmatic and parsimonious design was chosen to preserve the information in the CREDENACE trial without creating unnecessary complexity or introducing undue risk for overfitting of the model to the CREDENACE study. This approach included limiting time-varying covariates in the risk equations to cases where ignoring a temporal relationship could not reasonably be avoided (e.g. age) and omitting treatment...
intensification algorithms. The model structure is presented in Fig. 1.

The model is described in detail elsewhere [19]. Briefly, though, simulated patients are characterised at baseline, and at each time point thereafter by a DKD health state based on National Kidney Foundation–Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (NKF-KDOQI) stages (1, 2, 3A, 3B, 4, and 5 prior to dialysis), or a “receiving dialysis” or “post renal transplant” health state. Each of these eight renal health states are mutually exclusive [22, 23]. The renal health states are supplemented with additional health states representing history of MI, stroke, and/or heart failure (HF); and by age, smoking status, and projected eGFR and urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio (UACR) biomarker values. The hypothetical patients experience DKD progression in terms of eGFR decline and UACR increase, based on linear mixed model regression equations from the CREDENCE trial [11] or the occurrence of dialysis start or kidney transplant [24]. Start of maintenance dialysis and/or kidney transplantation can optionally be initiated automatically at a user-defined eGFR threshold. The patients also face risks of all-cause mortality (ACM), nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, and HHF, all based on parsimonious

Fig. 1 CREDEM-DKD model structure. *Optionally, doubling of serum creatinine can also be simulated. AE adverse event, CVD cardiovascular disease, CREDEM-DKD CREDENCE Economic Model of DKD, CRE- DENCE Canagliflozin and Renal Endpoints in Diabetes with Established Nephropathy Clinical Evaluation, DoScr doubling of serum creatinine, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, HF heart failure, HHF hospitalization for heart failure, Hx history, ICER incremental cost-effectiveness ratio, MI myocardial infarction, LY life-year, QALY quality-adjusted life-year, RRT renal replacement therapy, UACR urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio.
parametric time to event risk equations (see Willis et al. [19]). CV can be assigned as cause of death using a logistic regression equation estimated with patient-level CREDENCE data. Treatment can affect eGFR and UACR biomarkers as well as directly modify the risk for dialysis, CV events, and ACM. AEs are defined by an event rate, periodicity (e.g. one-time, repeated, or permanent), duration (e.g. 1 week), and a cost and quality-adjusted life-year (QALY) disutility weight. The user can optionally set a maximum duration after which treatment effects of canagliflozin versus SoC diminish, either immediately with reversion to SoC or linear waning over a user-defined time horizon until the hazard ratios (HRs) between treatment arms return to 1.0.

CREDEM-DKD was validated in accordance with the recommendations of the ISPOR-SMDM Modeling Good Research Practices Task Force-2 [21], which consisted of model verification (i.e. ‘stress testing’), internal validation (i.e. replicating the CREDENCE study results), and limited external validation based on replicating results of the subgroup of CANagliflozin cardioVascular Assessment Study (CANVAS) Program [25] subjects that met CREDENCE study recruitment criteria. External validation will be reassessed when other renal outcomes trials report out. Validation is summarised in an Assessment of the Validation Status of Health-Economic Decision Models evaluation (AdViSHE) [26] (see electronic supplementary material [ESM] 2).

**Input Data**

Baseline patient characteristics were sourced from CREDENCE [11, 20] and are presented in Table 1. Treatment effects for the canagliflozin 100 mg + SoC arm were also sourced from CREDENCE [11, 20] and the details are presented in ESM Table 1. Between-arm separation in the Kaplan–Meier plots for dialysis, stroke, and mortality first occurred visually after 1 year; so to ensure a conservative approach, treatment effects for these endpoints were not applied during the first year. SoC alone is associated with clinical progression defined by the risk prediction equations in CREDEM-DKD, which are based on the placebo arm in CREDENCE. AEs were included only for the canagliflozin arm (loaded as between-arm difference in CREDENCE) and consist of urinary tract infection, genital mycotic infection, diabetic ketoacidosis, and lower extremity amputation (LEA). The event rates are presented in ESM Table 1. Canagliflozin was discontinued when dialysis is started, kidney transplant occurs, or diabetic ketoacidosis or LEA is simulated to occur, and affected hypothetical patients were reverted to SoC treatment. The price of canagliflozin 100 mg was set at £476.93 annually, which corresponds to 100% patient compliance at UK list price [27]. The price of SoC was calculated on the basis of use of background therapy in CREDENCE, separately by arm (£259.40

| Table 1 Baseline patient characteristics | Mean | Standard deviation | Source |
|------------------------------------------|------|--------------------|--------|
| Age                                      | 63.0 | 9.2                | Perkovic et al. [11] |
| Diabetes duration                        | 15.8 | 8.6                | Perkovic et al. [11] |
| Female (%)                               | 33.9 | 0.5                | Perkovic et al. [11] |
| eGFR                                     | 56.2 | 18.2               | Perkovic et al. [11] |
| Ln(UACR)                                 | 6.8  | 1.0                | Perkovic et al. [11] |
| Smoking (%)                              | 14.5 | 0.4                | Perkovic et al. [11] |
| History of MI (%)                        | 10.0 | 0.3                | Mahaffey et al. [20] |
| History of stroke (%)                   | 10.4 | 0.3                | Mahaffey et al. [20] |
| History of heart failure (%)             | 14.8 | 0.4                | Perkovic et al. [11] |

eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, MI myocardial infarction, UACR urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio
annually for canagliflozin and £259.18 annually for the SoC alone arm). (See ESM Table 2 for description of SoC unit costs).

The default risk equations were used to model the risks of starting dialysis, CV events, and death. However, as a result of the short follow-up period in the CREDENCE trial, the event rates for initiation of dialysis or renal transplant were relatively low, with the majority of ESRD events defined via a persistent eGFR of below 15 mL/min/1.73 m². To enhance realism by overriding scenarios in which hypothetical patients could reach implausibly low eGFR without starting RRT, a minimum eGFR threshold of 6 mL/min/1.73 m² was set, at which all hypothetical patients would immediately be assigned to start dialysis. The threshold of 6 mL/min/1.73 m² was selected conservatively in line with both clinical guidance on initiation of dialysis [28] and actual retrospective UK data showing that the mean eGFR at start of RRT is 7.4 mL/min/1.73 m² [29]. For patients simulated to reach stage 5 DKD or to start dialysis, a 7.5% annual probability of receiving a kidney transplant was assumed [24].

Unit costs (inflated to £2019) and QALY weights were sourced from the literature (Table 2). The widely used UKPDS cost estimates [30] were used for individual CV complications. Kerr and colleagues [1] was used for cost of dialysis and kidney transplant. Costs for DKD stages were sourced from a previous National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Single Technology Appraisal [24]. Health-related quality of life data were not collected in CREDENCE, and utility values used to inform kidney disease stages in the aforementioned appraisal [24] were critiqued for their lack of generalisability to the UK. A targeted literature review was therefore conducted to identify utilities to inform the DKD stages 1–5 and RRT health states that would be reflective of UK patients (see ESM 1 for description of the search strategy). Jesky and colleagues [31] was selected as the most relevant and valid source of QALY weights from a UK perspective for DKD stages 1–5, whereas Lee and colleagues [32] was selected as the most relevant and valid source for dialysis and kidney transplant. Costs and QALYs were discounted at 3.5% annually. All analyses consisted of simulating 500 cohorts of 500 hypothetical patients and the time horizon in the base case was 10 years (capturing much of the economic and health consequences of treatment for this patient population but not engendering undue uncertainty by extrapolating too far beyond the duration of the CREDENCE trial).

Sensitivity Analysis

Eight carefully chosen sensitivity analyses were conducted to evaluate the robustness of model results to changes in essential model parameters and assumptions (see details in Table 3):

- Longer decision-making horizons of 20 years and 40 years (for this patient group, closely reflecting the NICE preferred lifetime horizon) and a shorter time horizon of 5 years
- Waning canagliflozin direct treatment effects (HRs) on renal, CV, and mortality outcomes starting at year 6 (consistent with the longest demonstrated duration of effects in the maximum follow-up of the CANVAS trial [25, 39]) and continuing linearly to end of simulation
- Including 1st year treatment effects (HRs) for stroke, dialysis, and mortality
- Removal of the assumed eGFR fail-safe floor of 6 mL/min/1.73 m², below which dialysis is started (if not already ongoing)
- Assuming same eGFR trajectory for canagliflozin 100 mg and SoC arms

Compliance with Ethics Guidelines

This article is based on previously conducted studies and does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

RESULTS

The base case results are presented in Table 3. The clinical benefits observed for canagliflozin in CREDENCE—including preservation of eGFR, reduction in UACR, and substantial reductions
in the risks of dialysis, CV events, and mortality—confer 0.27 more life-years, 0.28 more QALYs, and cost savings of £4706 over 10 years for each individual. The cost savings are driven primarily by cost offsets associated with reductions in dialysis (£2754) and progression to DKD stages 4 and 5 (£2372 and £2256, respectively). Canagliflozin was associated with modest cost savings for MI (£45), stroke (£19), and HF (£206), but the reduction in event rates was partially offset by increased survival and longer exposure to the risks. Net AE costs were low, given low event rates in CREDENCE and relative balance between study arms. QALY gains were driven primarily by the longer survival for canagliflozin with some benefits sourced from reduced progression of DKD. Progression through the different DKD stages over time are presented in Fig. 2 and cumulative incidence curves for renal and CV events are presented in Fig. 3. Evolution of eGFR over time is presented in ESM Fig. 1.

Model uncertainty was low. Nearly all of the cohort replications fell in quadrant IV, characterised by both lower costs and more QALYs for canagliflozin (Fig. 4), and the likelihood is 96% that the canagliflozin plus SoC arm costs less than the SoC alone arm (as indicated by the height of the cost-effectiveness acceptability curve at a willingness-to-pay threshold of £0 for a QALY in Fig. 5). The cost-effectiveness acceptability curve climbs to 99% at the widely used NICE willingness-to-pay for a QALY of £30,000.

### Table 2 Unit costs and disutility weights

|                          | Unit costs (£) | Utility weights |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Canagliflozin            | 476.93         | N/A             |
| SoC in canagliflozin arm | 259.40         | N/A             |
| SoC in placebo arm       | 259.18         | N/A             |
| Test costs               | 2.64           | N/A             |
| Intercept                | N/A            | 0.785 [33]      |

### Table 2 continued

|                          | Unit costs (£) | Utility weights |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| DKD stage 1              | 189 [24]       | 0.15 [31]       |
| DKD stage 2              | 189 [24]       | 0.15 [31]       |
| DKD stage 3a             | 1580 [24]      | 0.20 [31]       |
| DKD stage 3b             | 1580 [24]      | 0.20 [31]       |
| DKD stage 4              | 3694 [24]      | 0.26 [31]       |
| DKD stage 5              | 5764 [24]      | 0.27 [31]       |
| Dialysis                 | 27,270         | 0.53 [32]       |
| Transplant               | 8519 [1]       | 0.29 [32]       |
| CV                       |                |                 |
| Nonfatal MI              | 6069 [30]      | 0.06 [33]       |
| Nonfatal stroke          | 6618 [30]      | 0.16 [33]       |
| HHF                      | 1897 [30]      | 0.11 [33]       |
| Adverse events           |                |                 |
| GMI male                 | 56 [34]        | 0.0046 [35]     |
| GMI female               | 52 [34]        | 0.0046 [35]     |
| UTI                      | 99 [34]        | 0.0043 [35]     |

CV cardiovascular, DKA diabetic ketoacidosis, DKD diabetic kidney disease, GMI genital mycotic infections, HHF hospitalization for heart failure, LEA lower extremity amputation, MI myocardial infarction, SoC standard of care, UTI urinary tract infection.
Sensitivity Analyses

Results of the sensitivity analyses are summarised in Table 4 and as a tornado diagram in Fig. 6. Increasing the time horizons increased magnitudes of both clinical benefit and cost savings, though substantial mortality in this patient population sets a natural limit. Reducing the time horizon to 5 years was close to finding the break-even point, and associated with an incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of £5573 per QALY gained. Assuming waning of the direct canagliflozin treatment effects on renal, CV, and mortality outcomes starting at year 6 reduced the cost offsets from £4706 to £4379 and QALY gains from 0.28 to 0.26. Including 1st year treatment effects for stroke, dialysis, and mortality had limited impact, with an increase in life-years from 0.27 to 0.32 and an associated decrease in cost savings from £4706 to £4676. Removal of the assumed eGFR threshold of 6 mL/min/1.73 m² for initiation of dialysis reduced the cost offsets from £4706 to £3308 and QALY gains from 0.28 to 0.26. Assuming no improvement in eGFR for canagliflozin had the largest impact on the results and canagliflozin was associated with higher costs compared with SoC (£2892) with 0.14 QALY gained resulting in an ICER of £20,921, with decreased costs offsets for DKD stages, as well as dialysis and transplant.

DISCUSSION

Individuals with T2DM and DKD have substantial unmet medical need as current SoC...
treatments are generally unable to adequately address treatment goals. Furthermore, the impact of people with this condition on healthcare budgets in the UK is disproportional to their population numbers [1, 3, 4]. Economic simulation results extrapolating the CREDENCE study to relevant decision-making time horizons, but otherwise preserving the evidence base in CREDENCE, demonstrated that treatment with canagliflozin has important economic consequences for payer stakeholders in the UK. As an adjunct to current SoC including...
maximal tolerated dose of a RAAS inhibitor, canagliflozin 100 mg resulted in improved survival and QALYs at substantially lower total cost, even over the relatively short 10-year time horizons chosen to limit model uncertainty associated with extrapolating far into the future. Increasing the time horizon in sensitivity analysis increased the health gains and cost savings. Uncertainty analysis suggests the results are robust.

Naturally, the most impactful parameters concerned assumptions about treatment effects. While there is no evidence suggesting that the treatment effects of canagliflozin decline over time, neither is there evidence that the benefits extend beyond the longest trial evidence thus far collected, about 6 years (i.e. CANVAS [25, 39]). Sensitivity analysis in which CRE-DENCE HRs wane linearly from year 6 to year 10 had only a modest effect on the results, with canagliflozin remaining dominant.

Fig. 3 Cumulative incidence of renal and CV outcomes, by study arm. HHF hospitalization for heart failure, MI myocardial infarction
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but with slightly reduced cost-offsets. A considerably more conservative sensitivity analysis which ignored well-established canagliflozin benefits in eGFR evolution (see Fig. 2 in Neuen et al. [39]) found that cost savings acceded to an ICER, but that this intentionally cautious ICER of £20,921 remained below the upper limit of the willingness-to-pay threshold of £30,000 per QALY gained typically used by NICE in England.

Strengths of the analysis include use of the best available evidence to date, preserving the evidence generated in the CREDENCE renal outcomes trial, and using a parsimonious and internally validated model designed for this express purpose with intentional avoidance of overfitting. Unlike other DKD models, the whole spectrum of the disease (using granular NKF/KDOQI stages), dialysis, kidney transplant, and key CV events are modelled [40]. An extensive literature review was performed to inform the QALY disutility weights for DKD (by stage) and the weights used in this study may be an improvement over previous studies [24].

Like most modeling studies, a potential weakness is the extrapolation of short-term clinical trial results to longer time horizons, though we intentionally limited the base case analysis to 10 years to reduce the amount of uncertainty that it incurred for longer time horizons. Given high mortality in this patient population, however, the effects are self-limiting. CREDENCE was stopped early because pre-specified efficacy criteria had been met; had the
trial continued to full completion it is possible that risk equations for kidney transplant and 2nd CV events could have been developed, which would have further reduced decision-making uncertainty and their inclusion would have presumably increased between-arm separation (given that 2nd events are conditioned on 1st events). The data to externally validate CREDEM-DKD against other renal outcomes trial are not yet available. External validation of model predictions for the CV outcomes and ACM against the subgroup of 567 patients in the CANVAS Program that met CREDENCE eligibility criteria matched closely for nonfatal MI and HHF, and were reasonably close for the less stable nonfatal stroke and ACM outcomes, providing additional confidence that model predictive accuracy is acceptable [19]. It should also be noted that the risk equations in the model (except kidney transplant) were derived from the multinational CREDENCE trial. Accordingly, there may be differences between improvements in renal outcomes predicted by the model and those observed in clinical practice. Nevertheless, given that NHS patients are not always treated with ‘optimal’ SoC, unlike in the CREDENCE trial, model outcomes may be conservative. As this analysis has been performed from the English NHS perspective, on the other hand, findings may not be directly applicable to other treatment settings.

**CONCLUSION**

Model-based extrapolation of the renal and cardiovascular benefits demonstrated in the CREDENCE trial to 10 years suggests that canagliflozin, used as adjunct to SoC with maximal tolerated doses of a RAAS inhibitor, can substantially reduce rates of renal and cardiovascular outcomes, and increase longevity and QALYs versus SoC alone in this high unmet need population in England, while managing to reduce total costs in the process.
| Scenario                        | Total costs | Total life-years | Total QALYs | ICER (£/ QALY) |
|--------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|----------------|
|                                | Canagliflozin 100 mg + SoC | SoC | Difference | Canagliflozin 100 mg + SoC | SoC | Difference | |
| Base case                      | 32,950      | 37,656          | - 4706     | 7.154          | 6.881          | 0.273          | 3.730          | 3.451          | 0.279          | |
| Time horizon 5 years           | 16,962      | 16,613          | 349        | 4.252          | 4.200          | 0.052          | 2.274          | 2.212          | 0.063          | 5573          |
| Time horizon 20 years          | 54,938      | 72,214          | - 17,276   | 10.189         | 8.925          | 1.264          | 5.172          | 4.300          | 0.872          | |
| Time horizon 40 years          | 72,132      | 84,707          | - 12,574   | 11.419         | 9.428          | 1.991          | 5.709          | 4.521          | 1.188          | |
| Waning of canagliflozin HRs    | 33,089      | 37,468          | - 4379     | 7.129          | 6.882          | 0.248          | 3.715          | 3.454          | 0.260          | |
| HRs in 1st year                | 33,192      | 37,868          | - 4676     | 7.199          | 6.883          | 0.316          | 3.752          | 3.449          | 0.303          | Canagliflozin dominates |
| No dialysis fail-safe floor    | 32,772      | 36,080          | - 3308     | 7.155          | 6.905          | 0.250          | 3.732          | 3.477          | 0.255          | Canagliflozin dominates |
| Equal eGFR evolution           | 40,684      | 37,792          | 2892       | 7.117          | 6.886          | 0.231          | 3.591          | 3.453          | 0.138          | 20,921        |
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**Fig. 6** Estimated total costs, total QALYs, and NMBs, by scenario. *Willingness-to-pay of £30,000 per QALY. eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, HR hazard ratio, NMB net monetary benefit, QALY quality adjusted life-years.
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