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SUMMARY
The subsurface represents a largely unexplored frontier in microbiology. Here, coal seams present something of an oasis for microbial life, providing moisture, warmth, and abundant fossilized organic material. Microbes in coal seams are thought to syntrophically mobilize fossilized carbon from the geosphere to the biosphere. Despite the environmental and economic importance of this process, little is known about the microbial ecology of coal seams. In the current study, ecological succession and spatial niche partitioning are explored in three coal seam microbial communities. Scanning electron microscopic visualization and 16S rRNA sequencing track changes in microbial communities over time, revealing distinct attached and planktonic communities displaying patterns of ecological succession. Attachment to the coal surface is biofilm mediated on Surat coal, whereas microbes on Sydney and Gunnedah coal show different attachment processes. This study demonstrates that coal seam microbial communities undergo spatial niche partitioning during periods of succession as microbes colonize coal environments.

INTRODUCTION
Despite global efforts to reduce the dependence on fossil fuels, coal remains a key fuel for energy generation. Microbially produced coal seam gas (CSG) has been suggested as a way to aid transition from traditional fossil fuels to renewables. Improving our understanding of microbial communities responsible for the production of CSG, particularly with respect to how these communities function and the roles played by the individual taxa in this process, is critical for understanding this important geochemical process, as well as for developing methods for stimulating CSG production (Rice and Claypool, 1981; Ritter et al., 2015).

Coal seam microbial communities exist in the deep subsurface within water-filled cleats of coal seams. They have often been spatially isolated and adapted to survive on recalcitrant carbon sources under chemically reduced conditions with limited terminal electron acceptors (Strapoć et al., 2011). Studies of microbial communities inhabiting these environments have largely focused on surveying communities associated with coal seam formation waters (Ritter et al., 2015). These studies have mostly aimed to increase methane production rates in situ. These studies typically use either biostimulation, introducing nutrients (Ritter et al., 2015; Colosimo et al., 2016), or bioaugmentation, introducing exogenous microbes, to enhance gas yield (Jones et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016; Fuertez et al., 2017).

An important gap in our understanding of coal seam microbiology is the metabolic and ecological roles played by the majority of the bacterial taxa observed in coal seams. The archaea largely have well-defined roles in hydrogenotrophic, aceticlastic, and methylotrophic methanogenesis owing to their taxonomically constrained metabolisms, whereas the metabolic roles played by the majority of bacterial taxa remain poorly understood (Garcia et al., 2000; Colosimo et al., 2016). One way to investigate the metabolic contributions of specific microbes is through examining their patterns of succession when the microbial community is introduced to an environment. This approach has been used in fields of macroecology, where functional roles or niches are often inferred from an organism’s traits. For example, the competitive, stress-tolerant, and ruderal (CSR) classification is used in plant ecology to explain how plant traits will influence their patterns of succession (Grime, 2006). In microbial ecology, an organism’s traits are often difficult to elucidate if axenic cultures are not readily obtainable. In this
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case the succession patterns of organisms can be used to hypothesize on the traits possessed by the organisms.

One example of a system in which the succession patterns of individual microorganisms has been used to infer functional traits of microorganisms is leaf colonization and decomposition. This system provides a well-studied, analogous system to coal seams. In these systems when a limited heterogeneous resource (the fallen leaf) is introduced, a succession of fungal and bacterial species are observed, rising and falling in abundance as they compete, sequentially utilize, and exhaust the resource, starting with the most labile components and proceeding through increasingly recalcitrant ones (Frankland, 1992; Remacle, 1971). An examination of succession in coal seams, a similar endogenously heterotrophic environment, where the abundances of individual taxa are tracked over time may similarly provide insights into the ecological roles of individual bacterial taxa (Fierer et al., 2010).

Another ecological concept that warrants particular attention in the coal seam context is spatial niche partitioning. Microbes in coal seams are known to exist in water-filled cleats, and studies have shown that different microbial communities are observed on solid coal samples compared with associated formation waters (Klein et al., 2008; Guo et al., 2012; Wei et al., 2013; Lawson et al., 2015; Barnhart et al., 2013). The observation of a biofilm formed by a coal seam microbial community on a coal surface suggests that spatial partitioning between the coal surface and associated waters is an important split in coal seam microbial communities (Vick et al., 2016). Cell attachment and spatial partitioning may be driven by a requirement to access insoluble carbon sources in the coal. Spatial partitions may thus mirror a functional split wherein primary fermenters of the coal organic matter attach to the substrate to access insoluble compounds (Furrmann et al., 2013). This phenomenon has been documented on aerobically incubated oil sand’s bitumen (Wyndham and Costerton, 1981) and in the animal gut where anaerobic primary degraders attach to plant biomass, increasing the degradation rates of insoluble compounds such as cellulose (Costerton, 1992; Minato et al., 1966).

The current study examines succession and spatial partitioning to address previously unexplored questions about microbial ecology in coal seam environments. These include the following questions: What are the successional patterns of coal seam microbial taxa and can they be used to assign functional roles? How does studying the planktonic and attached communities separately inform us about the roles and niches of microbial taxa in coal seams? How universal are these patterns between different coal seam environments? Addressing these questions may provide important insights into the microbes inhabiting deep subsurface environments where microbial communities utilize complex fossilized resources for energy and biomass.

The patterns of succession in microbial communities growing on coal from three different coal basins, under physicochemical conditions mimicking each coal seam environment, are explored in the current study. Coal-degrading microcosms were used to study this succession with inoculation of crushed coal supplemented with nitrogen and phosphorus sources mimicking the introduction of meteoric water into a newly exposed coal seam or the liberation of nitrogen- or phosphorus-rich compounds from an exposed mineral source in the coal seam. Community profiles for the attached and planktonic communities were tracked over time from inoculation through to a more mature state with methane production, as a terminal metabolite, measured at each time point. Physical interactions of the attached communities and the coal surface were examined by scanning electron microscopy to investigate modes of attachment and biofilm formation.

**RESULTS**

**Coal Seam Microbial Communities Differ in Structure between Attached and Planktonic Samples for All Coal Types**

16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing performed on the attached and planktonic cells of the 189 microcosms, as well as inoculum, resulted in 379 community profiles after two samples failed PCR amplification. Operational taxonomic unit (OTU) tables with coal seam microBiome (CSMB, see Vick et al (2018)) assignments are available in Table S1.

At the first time point the communities were dominated by Proteobacteria and Firmicutes. Proteobacteria formed a larger proportion of attached communities (p < 0.0005), whereas Firmicutes made up a larger proportion of planktonic communities (p < 0.0001), a finding shared by other studies of coal seam microbial
The Bacteroidetes, Actinobacteria, and Euryarchaeota had significantly higher relative abundances in the planktonic fractions (p < 0.183, p < 0.011, and p < 0.0002, respectively), whereas the Deferribacteres were limited to Surat communities. The Gunnedah and Sydney communities showed more divergence at the phylum level between attached and planktonic communities than the Surat communities at this time point (Figure 1).

Linear discriminant analysis Effect Size (LEfSe) analysis was performed to determine the OTUs that drove the differences in planktonic and attached communities for each coal type and at each time point. Overall, 523 OTUs were identified as driving differences between planktonic and attached communities across all three coal basins. Of these, 182 drove differences in the Gunnedah microcosms, 270 drove differences in the Sydney microcosms, and 333 drove differences in the Surat microcosms (Table S2).

By the final time point Euryarchaeota were at higher relative abundance overall and showed higher relative abundances in the planktonic than attached communities (p < 0.0015). Firmicutes had become more abundant than Proteobacteria, and whereas the planktonic and attached split was still significant for the relative abundances of Proteobacteria (p < 0.0010), it was not for Firmicutes (p < 0.069). Bacteroidetes remained abundant members of the community, although no longer significantly different between the planktonic and attached fractions (p < 0.397). Actinobacteria in the Sydney communities had also changed, from a higher relative abundance in the attached to a higher relative abundance in the planktonic fractions (p < 0.0276) (Figure 1).

OTUs from the phylum Firmicutes, enriched in planktonic communities of all three coals, were largely from the Clostridiales, an order commonly known to form syntrophies with methanogenic archaea (Sobieraj and Boone, 2006; Bizukojc et al., 2010; Eichler and Schink, 1984). OTUs from the Proteobacteria observed in higher abundances in the attached communities were diverse in their phylogenetic distribution and included OTUs in high relative abundance from the alpha-, beta-, and gammaproteobacterial groups. The Gammaproteobacteria included Acinetobacter species, which have been associated with hydrocarbon degradation, often through induced adhesion to hydrocarbons via cell surface proteins.
The Betaproteobacteria include *Aquabacterium* species, involved in alkane degradation and commonly found in association with freshwater biofilms (Kalmbach et al., 1999; Masuda et al., 2014). Finally, the alphaproteobacterial *Sphingomonas* genus known to be important degraders of insoluble polyaromatic hydrocarbons in various environments was also observed (Bastiaens et al., 2000; Uyttebroek et al., 2006).

Microcosms also showed spatiotemporal variation in biodiversity. For Gunnedah microcosms, the least biodiverse of the three, the planktonic community was more biodiverse than the attached community (Figure 1B). Sydney and Surat microcosms had greater biodiversity than Gunnedah microcosms but did not show the same spatial variations, whereas Surat communities showed temporal changes, becoming more diverse as time increased (Figure 1). Beta diversity measures (Sorensen’s index) were highly similar for planktonic and attached communities from the same coal basin at all time points. Overall, Surat and Sydney coal basin communities increased in similarity between adjacent time points over time, whereas the Gunnedah coal basin communities had similar Sorensen’s index values over time until a decrease in similarity between days 59 and 113 (Figure 1).

**Microbial Communities Display Distinctive Patterns of Ecological Successional**

At the OTU level all three communities were significantly different from one another (*p* < 0.001) and had distinct attached and planktonic communities at almost all time points (*p* < 0.05). Planktonic communities showed larger basin-level divergence than attached (Figure 2). Surat showed a clearer temporal change in structure than Gunnedah and Sydney in both attached and planktonic communities, with replicates at early time points being more variable than at later time points. The attached and planktonic communities for all coals showed temporal shifts in community structure with these being greatest early and reducing over time, although Surat communities showed shifts until a later time point than Sydney and Gunnedah (Figure 2).

Examination of the most abundant OTUs showed that individual taxa responded both spatially and temporally. Of the three communities, OTUs in Surat showed the most obvious temporal changes, although trends could be observed in both the attached and planktonic communities on all coals. OTUs appeared to increase and decrease in both a linear, e.g., OTU_17 in the Surat attached community, and polynomial manner, e.g., OTU_8 in the Surat planktonic community. Other relative abundance changes were not continuous but appeared to reverse at intermediate time points, e.g., OTU_3 and OTU_13 in the Sydney and Surat planktonic communities, respectively. Many of these trends were spatially specific with trends in the planktonic communities not always mirrored in the attached communities, e.g., OTU_13 in the Surat communities. Also, where an OTU showed a distinct temporal trend on one coal, rarely was the same trend observed on other coals for the same OTU (Figures 3 and 4). LEfSe analysis of the OTU abundance data between communities at adjacent time points illustrated which OTUs where driving the changes in communities between these time points (Table S3). Of these OTUs identified by LEfSe, 425 drove differences between microbial communities from adjacent time points in the planktonic communities and 290 in the attached in one or more of the adjacent time point comparisons.

**Visualization of Succession in Attached Communities**

Visualization of coal surfaces after incubation with coal-associated microbial communities was performed to observe attachment strategies driving the split between attached and planktonic microbial communities in coal seams. Broad observations of the morphotypes, methods of attachment, and notable interactions between different microbes and particular features of the coal surface are outlined below at each time point.

By day 3, cells were observed on the coal surface in Sydney and Surat, but not in the Gunnedah microcosms. The cells on the Sydney coal were of a single morphotype: short (~2 μm) rods enlarged at either end, appearing singly on the coal surface with no obvious attachment. Cells on the Surat coal were also composed
## Figure 3. Relative Abundances of the Top 30 Most Abundant OTUs in the 16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Surveys of the Attached Communities

Average abundances are indicated by the size of the black circle with the standard error of the mean indicated by the inner and outer edges of the colored rings. Phylum-level assignment for OTUs is shown on the right. Fine-scale taxonomic assignment of OTUs is provided in Table S1.
Figure 4. Relative Abundances of the Top 30 Most Abundant OTUs in the 16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Surveys of the Planktonic Communities

Average abundances are indicated by a black circle with the standard error of the mean indicated by the inner and outer edges of the colored rings. Phylum-level assignment for OTUs is shown on the right. Fine-scale taxonomic assignment of OTUs is provided in Table S1.
of a single morphology but were longer (~3–4 μm) rods of even width appearing in micro-colonies (Figure 5).

By day 7, Sydney coal showed an increase in the same morphotype observed at day 3. Cells were still observed singly on the coal surface without obvious signs of attachment but in greater numbers and occasionally undergoing cell division. The Surat coal showed new morphotypes appearing in addition to those seen at day 3. Vibrioid cells ~3 μm long, occasionally with numerous polar flagella and long (≥5 μm) rod-shaped morphotypes, were occasionally observed (Figure 5). At this time point, adherent cells were infrequently observed for the Gunnedah coal.

At day 13, rare, single (~3 μm) rod-shaped cells were observed on Gunnedah coal. On Sydney coal, a further new morphotype was observed that was long (>10 μm) and filamentous. Cell density continued to increase for the Surat community with the first observations of a new (~0.65 μm) coccoid morphotype showing distinct short, string-like structures radially around the cell anchoring to the coal. These new attaching morphotypes were observed in high numbers and appeared to strongly localize around cracks in the coal. Another long, string-like morphotype similar to that seen on the Sydney coal was observed on the Surat coal, occasionally with a polar bulbous section (Figure 5).

Between days 20 and 59, cells were infrequently observed on Gunnedah coal surfaces with short rod morphotypes increasingly observed. Cells on Sydney coal increased in density, and the fine, string-like morphotypes increased in abundance. A new large, long, rod-shaped morphotype (~10 μm) was also observed occasionally on the coal surface during this period. The Surat community continued to increase in cell number, type, and extracellular material, with this biofilm nucleating from cracks in the coal and spreading outward. By day 59 a new square-ended rod-shaped morphotype with a wrinkled appearance also appeared on Surat coal disks (Figures 5 and 6).

By the final time point, string-like morphotypes were also present on Gunnedah coal, although the overall cell density remained low and no signs of biofilm or attachment were evident. Sydney coal showed the same range of morphotypes, although cell density had increased since day 59. Similar to Gunnedah coal, no evidence of biofilm or attachment was seen on Sydney coal. For the Surat community, coal showed further biofilm coverage. Various rod-shaped cells increased in abundance compared with the cocci and formed a mesh covering almost the complete surface of the coal (Figure 6).

**Methane Generation in Coal-Degrading Microcosms**

Methane production in Surat and Gunnedah microcosms was evident by day 7. By day 13, methane production was evident in all three communities plateauing at ~1.4% in Gunnedah microcosms. Methane production plateaued next in Sydney microcosms at day 20 at ~1.2%, whereas it continued till day 29 in Surat microcosms before it too plateaued at ~7.0%. In all microcosms a second “bump” of methane production was observed before ceasing. This second increase occurred between days 29 and 38 for Sydney and Gunnedah microcosms and between days 48 and 59 for Surat microcosms (Figure 7).

**Physicochemical Characteristics of Formation Waters and Petrological Characteristics of Coals**

All formation waters were alkaline (bicarbonate buffered) and moderately brackish with electrical conductivities ~2, 9, and 4 times less than seawater for Gunnedah, Surat, and Sydney, respectively. All waters were also lacking in meaningful concentrations of nitrogen and phosphorus (Table S4).

Sydney coal was the highest rank (1.41% mean, “maximum” vitrinite reflectance), whereas Surat (0.50%) and Gunnedah (0.64%) coals were of a similar lower rank. The major maceral in Sydney and Surat coal was vitrinite at 55.4% and 69.6% by volume, respectively. The vitrinite was composed mostly of telovitrinite in Sydney (44% of the sample) and Surat (44.3%) coals and dextrinovitrinite in Gunnedah coal (22.7%). Inertinite was the dominant maceral in Gunnedah coal where it made up 48.3% of the sample. Inertinite was also observed in high abundance in Sydney coal at 32.6% but was much less in Surat coal. The inertinite in all three coals was mostly composed of semifusinite. Liptinite was also variable between coals, making up 21.3% and 12% of Surat and Gunnedah coals, respectively, but was absent in Sydney coal (Table S5).

Proximate analysis of coals showed that, with the higher rank of Sydney coal, volatile matter was less (20.6 wt.%) and fixed carbon (69.6 wt.%) was more than for Surat and Gunnedah coals, which had
Figure 5. Representative Scanning Electron Micrographs of the Surface of Coal Disks Incubated with Coal Seam Microbial Communities during Early Stages of Incubation.

Coal disks are visualized for microcosms at days 3, 7, 13, 20, and 29 after inoculation.
Figure 6. Representative Scanning Electron Micrographs of the Surface of Coal Disks Incubated with Coal Seam Microbial Communities during Later Stages of Incubation.

Coal disks are visualized for microcosms at days 38, 48, and 59 and at a final time point 99, 111, and 113 days after inoculation for the Sydney, Surat, and Gunnedah Basins, respectively.
44.1 wt % and 36.2 wt % volatile matter and 44.7 wt % and 55.7 wt % fixed carbon, respectively. Elemental analyses show that Sydney Basin coal had larger amounts of carbon and less oxygen and hydrogen than the other coals (Table S5).

DISCUSSION

Despite its importance in global geochemical processes the microbial ecology of the terrestrial subsurface remains poorly understood because of physical isolation and extreme physicochemical characteristics. Within the terrestrial subsurface, coal seams present an interesting environment where fossilized carbon, containing organic molecules not typically encountered by most organisms, is degraded to methane. The current study, looking at three different microbial communities on their native coals, represents a comprehensive examination of the distinct differences in attached and planktonic communities living on coal and the successional patterns of these communities over time. This study also reports on the observation of community-specific attachment strategies, including biofilm formation and direct cell attachment to coal.

Attached and Planktonic Communities Are Distinct in Coal Seams

Previous studies of coal seams have shown that microbial communities associated with coal solids often differ from those in associated waters. Although these studies show differences in microbial communities associated with mine waters and coal blocks (Wei et al., 2013, 2014), coal cuttings and production waters (Guo et al., 2012; Lawson et al., 2015; Klein et al., 2008), and coal solids and culture media (Zhang et al., 2015), it is not always clear how much of this variation arises from true spatial partitioning. To explicitly examine spatial partitioning, three different coal seam communities were examined on their native coals and formation waters over a ~110-day incubation to determine how universal attachment was in different coal environments and whether it is a phenomenon seen in both colonizing and mature stages. Significantly different attached and planktonic communities were observed at both the OTU and phylum levels for all three coal communities and at all time points of incubation. Differences in these attached and planktonic communities at the phylum level included distinct differences in the relative abundances of the Euryarchaeota, Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria. Some of the differences in the attached and planktonic communities were universal to all three coals, such as the association of Firmicutes and euryarchaeotal species with the planktonic communities and the proteobacterial species with the attached communities, a finding supported by other studies (Guo et al., 2012; Barnhart et al., 2013). Other differences were coal type (e.g., Actinobacteria, Cloacimonetes) or temporally (e.g., Firmicutes, Bacteroidetes) influenced.
The statistically significant differences observed between the planktonic and attached communities in all three coal seam microcosms at all time points suggest that this spatial separation is important in these coal seam communities. This spatial separation mirrors a major functional division observed in communities from other anaerobic microbial environments, including the rumen and animal gut where attached microbes are commonly involved in the initial degradation of cellulose and other insoluble substrates (Minato et al., 1966; Costerton, 1992). As the organic matter in coal is primarily composed of insoluble components, the study of the attached and planktonic communities as discreet entities should be considered in future studies and may provide insights into the organisms responsible for the initial degradation of coal compounds, the identification of which remains a fundamental question in coal seam microbial ecology (Ritter et al., 2015).

**Patterns of Succession Observed in Coal Seam Microbial Communities**

Coal seam microbial communities have typically been studied at either a single time point or before and after the addition of a nutrient or additive (Ritter et al., 2015). One notable exception is a study by Susilawati and colleagues (2015), which observed temporal changes in one Indonesian microbial community grown on three different coals over a period of 20 days (Susilawati et al., 2015). Jones and colleagues (2010) also tracked the abundances of a select few organisms within a microbial community growing on coal over a period of 102 days; however, these microbial communities were derived from a wetland sediment rather than a native coal community (Jones et al., 2010). The current study has expanded upon this examination of temporal changes in coal seam microbial communities and examined three different Australian coal seam communities grown with their native coals and matched formation waters.

Generally these changes, across all coal communities, include a decrease in the relative abundance of the Proteobacteria over time with a concomitant increase in the relative abundances of the Euryarchaeota and Firmicutes, a finding supported by observations in Indonesian coals (Susilawati et al., 2015). This, along with the observation that proportions of Proteobacteria are higher in the attached communities, whereas the Euryarchaeota and Firmicutes appear in higher abundances planktonically, suggests that the Proteobacteria play a role in the breakdown of insoluble coal material for use by secondary fermenting Firmicutes taxa and methanogenic archaea.

Examining the communities at the OTU level reveals temporally driven changes to individual members of the community, most obvious in Surat Basin microcosms. Replicate microcosms were initially quite divergent but became much more tightly clustered at the final time points according to principal-component analysis. This suggests that the community composition during early colonization processes is variable, perhaps due to stochastic factors associated with inoculation rates of rapidly growing taxa. Communities become increasingly similar as time goes on, suggesting that as the microbial communities modify their environment through decomposition of the coal substrate and production of downstream metabolites, a subset of specialized taxa, including the methanogens, which are not as influenced by initial inoculation and growth rates, take over. This effect appears to be characteristic of succession in diverse microbial communities and suggests that the Surat communities may be reaching a point of climax or stability (Dini-Andreote et al., 2015; Kielak et al., 2016). However, it should be noted that these coal seam microcosms represent endogenous heterotrophic environments and as such this stability may change if the current pools of compounds supplying carbon and energy are depleted and metabolism turns to a different pool of resources (Fierer et al., 2010).

At the individual OTU level there were a number of successional patterns observed for the various taxa in the coal seams. Some, such as OTU_8, a *Citrobacter* sp., and OTU_5, an *Acetobacterium* sp., spiked in abundance at very early time points before quickly declining, particularly in the planktonic communities (Figure 4). This observation was also identified in the LEfSe analysis where these OTUs are involved in driving the changes between the day 3 and day 7 communities for the Sydney and Gunnedah communities, respectively (Table S3). These taxa may be analogous to ruderal species in plant communities, which are able to utilize a readily available niche and grow rapidly, but are then replaced by competitors or stress-tolerant species with somewhat slower growth rates. Ruderal life strategies have previously been associated with genomic features such as 16S rRNA gene copy number of diverse bacterial species, which may help explain these observed succession patterns in the coal seam (Klappenbach et al., 2000). Conversely, several taxa, such as OTU_31, a *Coriobacteriaceae* sp. and OTU_7, a *Thermoanaerobacteraceae* sp., gradually increase in abundance over time in the planktonic community of the Sydney microcosms and attached community of the Gunnedah microcosms, respectively, only reaching high abundances at later time points.
These taxa are likely more specialized in their metabolisms and are probably utilizing compounds slowly liberated from the coal. Another interesting successional pattern observed for OTU_3 (Methanocalculus sp.) in the planktonic community of the Sydney microcosms and OTU_13 (Firmicutes) in the planktonic community of the Surat microcosms was a rapid increase in abundance until an intermediate time point followed by an abrupt drop and continued low abundance. These taxa could be presumed to be utilizing a limited resource in the coal or formation water, or a downstream metabolite of it based upon the observation of these trends in the planktonic and not attached communities, which becomes depleted or for which they are outcompeted. Future examinations of succession in coal seam communities may benefit from tracking organic intermediates in the culture media to correlate changes in metabolite concentrations with organism abundances with the aim of elucidating the metabolisms of these particular taxa (Orem et al., 2010). Interestingly, for all these taxa showing distinct successional patterns, in none of the observed instances were the successional patterns the same across the multiple coal types examined despite the OTUs presence in all three communities. It is speculated that these coals may either have very distinctly different biodegradable components or that the communities have a high degree of functional redundancy, allowing for different taxa to fill metabolic niches depending on their competitive advantage at the various physicochemical parameters present in the coal environments. This hypothesis, however, would have to be tested in further studies and would require a greater understanding of the functional clades that bacteria form in coal seam environments. One question of interest would be whether coal seam communities converge in terms of the functional clades present and their relative abundances in the attached and planktonic coal seam communities as succession on a coal resource progresses.

Attached Communities from Different Coal Seams Differ in Their Attachment Strategies

Previously coal attachment via biofilms (Raudsepp et al., 2015; Hazrin-Chong and Manefield, 2012) and direct cell interactions (Hazrin-Chong et al., 2014; Bagdigan and Myerson, 1986; Chen and Skidmore, 1988) has been observed for microbes grown on coal aerobically, whereas little examination of this phenomena has been made for native anaerobic microbial consortia (Vick et al., 2016). Here, distinct attached communities of microbes were seen in all three coal communities, whereas scanning electron microscopic visualization of the coal surface identified biofilm formation in only Surat Basin microcosms. This suggests an alternative attachment strategy in the other communities, similar to that observed for Pseudomonas protegens on coal (Hazrin-Chong et al., 2014), which may involve adhesin, other protein-mediated attachment, or more general hydrophobic-cell-to-substrate interactions (Marshall and Cruickshank, 1973). Surat Basin microcosms showed the development of a biofilm that recruited successive morphotypes and increased in density over time. Surat coal was initially colonized by a rod-shaped, micro-colony-forming morphotype. This morphotype may be represented by OTU_8, a Citrobacter sp. based on the predominance of this OTU at early time points in attached Surat communities and morphological features of Citrobacter sp. isolated from related formation waters (unpublished data). Another morphotype that appeared in abundance at an early time point on Surat coals was a vibrioid cell with multiple polar flagella, which may appear similar to observations made by Costerton (1992) who noted that in rumen particles a primary fermenter to the upper layers of the biofilm removing inhibitory metabolic by-products, resulting in an increased substrate degradation rate (Costerton, 1992). This suggests that the coccosoid morphotype may be of particular interest to studies of primary fermenters as it appeared to be the first to form attachment to the coal through a biofilm-mediated process after which different morphotypes, presumably representing secondary fermenters, were recruited to the biofilm.

Interestingly, previous work has shown that a microbial community from the Sydney coal basin is capable of appreciable biofilm formation when grown on a Bowen Basin coal (Vick et al., 2016), indicating that coal seam communities hold the potential to form biofilm attachments but fail to do so when presented with unsuitable coals. This hypothesis is supported by observations of significantly higher methane production in Surat microcosms, suggesting that this coal is more amenable to microbial degradation. It remains to be shown, however, what physicochemical factors of this coal make it more amenable to biodegradation.
as the Surat microcosms differ to the other microcosms in multiple physicochemical parameters including
decreased salinity of the formation water and higher volatile organic matter in the coal. It was observed,
from LEfSe analysis, that more OTUs drove differences between the attached and planktonic communities
in the Surat microcosms than the Sydney and Gunnedah microcosms; it may be some of these OTUs,
observed as driving attachment in the Surat but not the other microcosms, which selectively form biofilms
when presented with a suitable coal. Exploration of the apparent directed nucleation of biofilms at certain
regions and types of coal could provide important insights into understanding the components of coal tar-
targeted by microbes for biodegradation. Future exploration using techniques including Nanoscale
Secondary Ion MassSpectrometry (nanoSIMS), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, or Attenuated total
reflectance Fourier-Transform infrared spectroscopy (ATR-FTIR) may help to identify the chemical charac-
teristics of the regions of coal that elicit attachment. Combining these chemical characterizations with
classical petrological and molecular ecological techniques may provide insights into whether particular
maceral groups or layers of bedding represent hotspots for microbial attachment and catabolism.

Conclusions
The current study demonstrates the presence of two distinct spatially separated microbial communities,
attached to the coal or living planktonically, across three different coal environments. Although attachment
of microbes to the coal surface was common to all the coals, the mode of attachment was variable with Syd-
ney and Gunnedah Basin communities showing direct cell attachment and the Surat Basin community
developing a biofilm. The current study also shows that distinct patterns of succession are observed for
these attached and planktonic communities during a period of over a hundred days. Taken together, these
results highlight the importance of spatial and temporal partitioning in driving microbial community struc-
tures in coal seam environments and suggest that future studies of coal should involve the design of exper-
iments with spatial and temporal variations in mind. Future work examining the functional characteristics of
microbes associated with attachment phenotypes at certain time points in succession also has the potential
to address fundamental questions about the primary fermenting bacteria in the coal seam, a key area of
investigation in coal seam microbial ecology (Ritter et al., 2015).

Limitations of the Study
Coal seam microbial communities in eastern Australia have been shown to differ in some aspects from
other seams in North America, particularly in the dominant classes of methanogens observed (Vick
et al., 2018). These differences may indicate microbial communities with different functional components,
and as such these communities may undergo different attachment and succession processes.

One question raised by the current study is whether different coal seam microbial communities undergo
convergent or divergent patterns of succession. Our current understanding of which taxa constitute the
various functional clades within coal seam communities was insufficient to address this question in the cur-
rent study. Developing an understanding of the functional roles of bacterial taxa in coal seam microbial
communities should be the priority of research in the field of coal seam microbiology and will be necessary
to address questions of convergence or divergence between coal seam microbial communities as they
colonize a coal environment.

In the current study we examine coal disks that were analyzed in a way that facilitates descriptive, but not
quantitative analysis. Images used in the current study come from duplicated coal disks, and images were
taken in a manner demonstrative of the processes observed across the disk surfaces. These images were
not taken at random positions across the disk surface and not in quantities sufficient for statistically sound
quantitative measurement. Future imaging studies would benefit from an approach that allows for statisti-
cally sound quantitative measurements of biofilm coverage and cell density, among other measures.

In addition, although many attempts to mimic the subsurface coal environment were made, including using
matched coals and formation waters, there are factors such as hydrostatic pressure that could not be
mimicked in a laboratory setting and may have effects of microbial communities and their succession
patterns and niche partitioning.

METHODS
All methods can be found in the accompanying Transparent Methods supplemental file.
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Supplementary Figure 1. Flow chart showing experimental design and microcosm setup. Related to figures 1 through 7.
Supplementary Table 4. Physicochemical characteristics of the coal seam formation waters. Related to figures 1 through 7.

| Parameter                                              | Gunnedah  | Surat    | Sydney  |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| pH                                                     | 8.37      | 8.26     | 8.56    |
| Electrical conductivity at 25 °C                       | 20100 µS/cm | 5230 µS/cm | 12800 µS/cm |
| Total alkalinity as CaCO₃ (mg/L)                        | 11000     | 1720     | 7600    |
| Silicon as SiO₂ (mg/L)                                 | 23.1      | 28.8     | 32.4    |
| Sulfur as S (mg/L)                                     | <10       | <1       | <11     |
| Sulfate as SO₄ (mg/L) (turbidimetric)                   | <1        | <25      | <10     |
| Chloride (mg/L)                                        | 1130      | 855      | 689     |
| Magnesium (mg/L)                                       | 6         | <1       | 6       |
| Sodium (mg/L)                                          | 5960      | 1250     | 3830    |
| Potassium (mg/L)                                       | 48        | 5        | 16      |
| Barium (mg/L)                                          | 16.2      | 1.04     | 21.7    |
| Lithium (mg/L)                                         | 2.48      | 0.057    | 7.73    |
| Strontium (mg/L)                                       | 1.46      | 1.18     | 5.68    |
| Ferrous Iron (mg/L)                                    | <0.05     | 0.06     | 0.85    |
| Fluoride (mg/L)                                        | 6.2       | 4.6      | 2       |
| Nitrate as N (mg/L)                                    | <0.01     | <0.01    | <0.1    |
| Nitrate as N (mg/L)                                    | 0.97      | <0.01    | <0.1    |
| Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen as N (mg/L)                    | 16.1      | 1        | 12.8    |
| Total Nitrogen as N (mg/L)                             | 17.1      | 1        | 12.8    |
| Reactive Phosphorus as P (mg/L)                         | <0.10     | 0.04     | 0.09    |
| Total Phosphorus as P (mg/L)                            | 0.13      | 0.04     | 0.13    |
Supplementary Table 5. Petrological and chemical characteristics of Coals. Related to figures 1 through 7.

| Measure                      | Gunnedah | Surat  | Sydney |
|------------------------------|----------|--------|--------|
| **Proximate (air dry basis)**|          |        |        |
| Inherent moisture wt.%       | 4        | 7.8    | 1.1    |
| Ash wt.%                     | 4.1      | 3.4    | 8.7    |
| Volatile matter wt.%         | 36.2     | 44.06  | 20.6   |
| Fixed Carbon wt.%            | 55.7     | 44.74  | 69.6   |
| **Elemental (dry basis)**    |          |        |        |
| Carbon wt.%                  | 79.17    | 74.84  | 79.5   |
| Hydrogen wt.%                | 5.11     | 5.51   | 4.39   |
| Nitrogen wt.%                | 1.9      | 1.07   | 1.59   |
| Sulphur wt.%                 | 0.29     | 0.37   | 0.38   |
| Oxygen wt.%                  | 9.2      | 14.52  | 5.34   |
| **Petrological measurements**|          |        |        |
| Vitritine reflectance        | 0.64     | 0.5    | 1.41   |
| **Maceral composition (%)**  |          |        |        |
| Vitritine                    | 34.4     | 69.6   | 55.4   |
| Telovitrinite                | 11.7     | 44.3   | 44     |
| Detrovitrinite               | 22.7     | 24.8   | 11.4   |
| Gelovitrinite                | <0.3     | 0.5    | 0      |
| Liptinite                    | 12       | 21.3   | 0      |
| Sporinite                    | 8.5      | 4.3    | 0      |
| Suberinite                   | 0.6      | 9.1    | 0      |
| Resinite                     | 0.3      | 1.3    | 0      |
| Cutinite                     | 0.9      | 1.3    | 0      |
| Alginite                     | <0.3     | 0      | 0      |
| Liptodetrinite               | 1.6      | 5.3    | 0      |
| Inertinite                   | 48.3     | 2.8    | 40     |
| Semifusinite                 | 31       | 0.5    | 32.6   |
| Fusinite                     | 3.8      | 0      | 0.6    |
| Macrinite                    | 0.3      | 0      | 0.8    |
| Micrinite                    | 2.5      | 0      | 0.4    |
| Funginite                    | 0        | 0      | 0      |
| Inertodetrinite              | 10.7     | 2.3    | 5.6    |
| **Minerals**                 |          |        |        |
| Minerals                     | 5.4      | 6.3    | 4.6    |
Transparent Methods

Sample collection and analysis of formation waters and coals

Sydney, Surat and Gunnedah coals were collected from mines, crushed (<1 mm) and gamma-sterilised (8 kGy). Polished coal disks were prepared by drilling a coal core parallel to bedding using a 10 mm diameter diamond coring drill. Cores were then ground perpendicular to bedding to produce a 2.5 mm thick disk with bedding layers exposed on the face. One face of the disk was the sanded and polished using silicon carbide abrasive papers on a rotating plate followed by polishing on rotating laps using aluminium oxide and colloidal silica polishing media with water used as the sole lubricant for all steps in coal disk production (Vick et al., 2016). Formation waters from the Sydney, Surat and Gunnedah coal basins were collected from CSG well heads into pre-sterilised 1 L glass bottles containing 250 mg/L Na₂S, 200 mg/L cysteine-HCl and resazurin to a final concentration of 0.0001%. Formation water was stored at reservoir temperature in the laboratory with matched gamma-sterile crushed coals (<1 mm), K₂HPO₄ (400 mg/L) and NH₄Cl (100 mg/L).

Proximate and ultimate analysis was performed by Australian Laboratory Services (ALS). Briefly, Proximate analysis includes weight measurements after drying, heating (in the absence of air) and combustion to determine the moisture, volatiles, ash and fixed carbon content of coals. Ultimate analysis involves the elemental analysis of coal to determine the carbon, oxygen, hydrogen, nitrogen and sulphur content of dry coals. Polished mounts were made for analysis of vitrinite reflectance and maceral composition, using standard organic petrological methods (Australian Standard 2856.3, 2000). ‘Maximum’ vitrinite reflectance, in polarised light, with stage rotation was measured rather than ‘random’ vitrinite reflectance (either in non-polarised light or polarised light with no stage rotation). Physico-chemical analysis of formation waters was performed by ALS Global (Sydney, Australia).
Setup and incubation of microcosms

Microcosms were established in 160 mL serum vials containing 20 mL filter-sterile formation water, 1.77 g crushed gamma sterilized coal, 400 mg/L K₂HPO₄, 100 mg/L NH₄Cl, 250 mg/L Na₂S, 200 mg/L cysteine-HCl and 0.0001% resazurin under an argon headspace. Replicated (n=7) microcosms were established for each time-point (n=9) with two replicates for each time point containing a polished coal disk for visualisations. This resulted in 189 microcosms. Enough to harvest seven replicates for each of the three coal types at nine time points. Coal disk setup involved securing the coal disk with 0.5 mm nickel wire so that the polished surface was held vertically (Vick et al., 2016). Formation waters used as microcosm media were matched to their respective coals for each microcosm. Microcosms were incubated anoxically at 40 °C, 30 °C and 50 °C for the Sydney, Surat and Gunnedah communities, respectively. Destructive harvesting was performed at nine time-points; 3, 7, 13, 20, 29, 38, 48 and 59 days after inoculation and at a final time-point at 99, 111 and 113 days for the Sydney, Surat and Gunnedah microcosms, respectively. At each harvest, headspace gas analysis, 16S rRNA gene community profiling and SEM visualisation was undertaken. A diagram of microcosm and experimental setup is provided in Supplementary figure 1.

Headspace gas analysis

Five mL of gas was collected from each microcosm using a gas tight syringe for analysis on an Agilent Technologies Micro-GC or an Agilent 6890N Natural Gas Analyser (Santa Clara, CA, USA). The composition of gas components measured by both instruments was determined against the same calibration standard.

Microcosm harvesting, DNA extraction, Amplification and Sequencing

Microcosms were filtered through a sterile 60 μm mesh to separate planktonic cells from coal particles. Filtrate was then filtered through 0.1 μm PVDF filters to concentrate planktonic cells. DNA was extracted using the PowerSoil® DNA Isolation Kit according to manufacturer’s instructions with
the following variation; Cell lysis was carried out on a MP FastPrep beadbeater at 6 ms⁻¹ for 30 s (MP Biomedicals, California, USA).

Extracted DNA was amplified using 515F & 806RB primers provided by the Earth Microbiome Project (http://www.earthmicrobiome.org/protocols-and-standards/16s/) with custom barcodes (Caporaso et al., 2012). PCRs were carried out with MyFi™ PCR Mix (Bioline) for 33 cycles, annealing at 50 °C. DNA was purified using the AMPure XP kit (Beckman Coulter, Australia) and quantified with the Quant-IT™ PicoGreen™ assay (Invitrogen, Australia) prior to equimolar pooling. Amplicons were sequenced using a HiSeq 250 bp PE from a TruSeq DNA PCR-Free library (Illumina) by Macrogen, South Korea.

Sequence processing and amplicon community analysis

Amplicon sequence data was processed using GHAP, an in-house amplicon clustering and classification pipeline built around tools from USEarch (Edgar, 2013) and RDP (Cole et al., 2013), combined with locally-written tools for demultiplexing and generating OTU (Operational Taxonomic Units) tables (http://doi.org/10.4225/08/59f98560eba25). This involved removal of poor quality tail regions of reads using an in house windowed qual-score based technique with a fastQC qual score cutoff of 20. After reads were merged, sequences less than 250bp were discarded while larger sequences were trimmed to 250bp. OTUs were then clustered at 97% similarity using the cluster_otus command in USEARCH V8.1 (Edgar, 2013). The number of amplicon reads, after quality control filtering, were checked to ensure a minimum read number of 25000. Representative OTU sequences were classified using the RDP Naïve Bayesian Classifier with a confidence threshold of 80% (Cole et al., 2013). OTU representative sequences were compared to the Coal Seam Microbiome (CSMB) dataset using usearch_global at 98% similarity and assigned a CSMB designation (Vick et al., 2018).

NumPy, SciPy, scikit-learn and Matplotlib were used for the calculation of principle component analyses (PCAs) and for plotting (Walt et al., 2011, Hunter, 2007, Pedregosa et al., 2011). Shannon’s
and Simpson’s diversity indices (Shannon and Weaver, 1998, Simpson, 1949) were calculated in Excel (Microsoft), while Sorensen’s indices were calculated using an in house python script. Mann-Whitney U tests were performed on phylum abundance data using SciPy-stats (Walt et al., 2011). Permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA; 999 permutations) was used to compare microbial communities using Primer-E v7 (Clarke and Warwick, 1994). LEfSe analysis was performed on the Huttenhower Galaxy instance (http://huttenhower.sph.harvard.edu/galaxy/) using default settings (Segata et al., 2011).

Data and Software Availability

OTU representative sequences were deposited in the GenBank database. Accession numbers for the sequences reported in this paper are GenBank: MF670484-MF671695 (bacterial sequences) and GenBank: MF667205-MF667240 (archaeal sequences).

Visualisation of attached coal seam microbial communities

Coal disks from microcosms were removed from microcosms, gently dipped in filter sterile formation water to remove planktonic cells and debris then treated with a 3% glutaraldehyde solution diluted in filter sterilized formation water, for 24 to 48 h at room temperature. Disks were then washed three times, each for 10 min, with filter sterilized formation water to remove the glutaraldehyde solution before being dehydrated by sequential 10 min incubations in 30%, 50%, 70%, 80% and 90% ethanol solutions, twice with 100% ethanol, once in a solution of 50% ethanol and 50% hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) and finally three times in 100% HMDS before air drying for 24 to 48 h. Disks were then gold sputter coated to 20 nm thickness and visualised with a JEOL JSM-6480 LV SEM, as previously described (Vick et al., 2016).
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