A High-Pressure Investigation of the Synthetic Analogue of Chalcomenite, CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O
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Abstract: Synthetic chalcomenite-type cupric selenite CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O has been studied at room temperature under compression up to pressures of 8 GPa by means of single-crystal X-ray diffraction, Raman spectroscopy, and density-functional theory. According to X-ray diffraction, the orthorhombic phase undergoes an isostructural phase transition at 4.0(5) GPa with the thermodynamic character being first-order. This conclusion is supported by Raman spectroscopy studies that have detected the phase transition at 4.5(2) GPa and by the first-principles computing simulations. The structure solution at different pressures has provided information on the change with pressure of unit–cell parameters as well as on the bond and polyhedral compressibility. A Birch–Murnaghan equation of state has been fitted to the unit–cell volume data. We found that chalcomenite is highly compressible with a bulk modulus of 42–49 GPa. The possible mechanism driving changes in the crystal structure is discussed, being the behavior of CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O mainly dominated by the large compressibility of the coordination polyhedron of Cu. On top of that, an assignation of Raman modes is proposed based upon density-functional theory and the pressure dependence of Raman modes discussed. Finally, the pressure dependence of phonon frequencies experimentally determined is also reported.

Keywords: Cu(II); selenite; chalcomenite; crystal structure; X-ray diffraction; Raman spectroscopy; high pressure; equation of state; density functional theory

1. Introduction

Compounds containing divalent copper (Cu$^{2+}$) have very interesting physical properties that are mainly related to the typical low-symmetry distortion around the Cu$^{2+}$ ion [1]. On the other hand, selenite compounds are fascinating materials because they contain cations with lone pairs of electrons that give them particular characteristics [2]. Chalcomenite-type cupric selenite CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O matches these two conditions, being therefore a quite attractive compound. On top of that, it has the additional interest of containing water molecules [3] that is known to influence the chemical and physical properties of materials [4].

CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O has an orthorhombic crystal structure (space group $P2_1_2_1_2_1$) [5] that is shown in Figure 1. It is formed by cross-linked chains of Cu and Se coordination polyhedra. The SeO$_3$ units...
alternate with CuO$_5$ group in square pyramidal coordination forming chains parallel to the $b$-axis. The adjacent chains are connected by H atoms weakly bonded to O atoms (not belonging to water) by a bridge contact, which is very weak in comparison with the strong (covalent) H-O bond within the water molecule. Another interesting feature of the crystal structure is the existence of empty cavities in the structure (see Figure 1c), which are related to presence of Se lone pair of electrons.

![Crystal structure diagram](image_url)
Figure 1. Different projections of the crystal structure of CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O at ambient conditions. (a) Along [100]; (b) along [010]; and (c) along [001]. The coordination polyhedra of Cu and Se and the water molecules are shown. Cu, Se, O, and H atoms are represented in blue, green, red, and pink color, respectively. The weak O-H bonds described in the text are shown with dashed lines. The presence of open channels can be seen in (c).

After the accurate determination of the crystal structure, most studies of chalcomenite have been focused on its lattice vibrations [6,7] and thermophysical and thermochemical properties [8,9]. All of these studies have been carried out at ambient pressure. However, it is known that high pressure (HP) could lead to interesting phenomena in minerals, including pressure-driven phase transitions [10]. In particular, HP studies have been performed in hydrated minerals related to chalcomenite, like FeSO$_4$·H$_2$O and MgSO$_4$·H$_2$O, leading to results relevant for Earth and Planetary Sciences [11,12]. However, nothing is known of the effects of HP in chalcomenite.

We report here a systematic study of the HP behavior of CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O up to 8 GPa. The effects of pressure on the crystal structure and phonons have been studied by a combination of single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SC-XRD) and Raman experiments with density-functional theory (DFT) calculations. Such approach has proven to be successful for understanding the HP behavior of oxides [13]. In the present case, we have found evidence of the existence of a first-order isostructural phase transition and determined the influence of pressure on crystal-structure parameters and phonon frequencies. The changes observed have been related to modifications induced by pressure in the different polyhedral units.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Preparation

A stock solution of Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$·3H$_2$O with a concentration of 1 mole per liter was prepared in water. A second stock solution of SeO$_2$ dissolved in water was prepared separately with also a 1 mole/liter concentration. An agar gel of 1.5% in weight was prepared containing Cu(NO$_3$)$_2$·3H$_2$O solution. Prior to the gel formation, the pH of the solution was adjusted to pH = 2 using concentrated HNO$_3$ acid. Once the gel was settled, 5 mL of the SeO$_2$ stock solution was poured on top of the gel for diffusion. After a few days, single crystals of CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O started to appear and were handpicked for a single crystal diffraction experiment. The nature and the purity of the as-grown was confirmed by the Rietveld refinement of a powder X-ray diffraction pattern acquired using a diffractometer from...
PANalytical (PaNalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands). The data were collected at room temperature (and pressure) on a fine powder obtained from crushed single crystals using a Cu Kα wavelength. The collected pattern of the as-synthesized sample and the corresponding Rietveld refinement are shown in Figure 2 together with the residuals. The refinement was carried out using the HighScore suite (HighScore Plus, PANalytical, Almelo, The Netherlands) [14]. All of the observed peaks can be accounted for by the known crystal structure [5], which leads to small residuals. The resulting cell parameters were $a = 6.6719(2) \, \text{Å}$, $b = 7.3710(2) \, \text{Å}$, and $c = 9.1718(2) \, \text{Å}$.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Powder X-ray diffraction pattern of synthetic chalcomenite collected at room conditions (black). The refinement is shown in red and the residuals in blue. Ticks indicate the positions of Bragg peaks.

### 2.2. Experimental Details

All SC-XRD measurements were made at room temperature using an Agilent SuperNOVA diffractometer (Agilent, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, United Kingdom) equipped with an EOS Charged Coupled Device (CCD) detector and Mo Kα radiation micro-source. All measurements were processed with the CrysAlisPro software (Rigaku Corporation, Oxford, United Kingdom) [15]. Numerical absorption correction based on Gaussian integration over a multifaceted crystal model was applied using the ABSORB program [16]. For high-pressure measurements, we have employed a Mini-Bragg diamond anvil cell (DAC) from Almax-EasyLab (Diksmuide, Belgium), with an opening angle of 90º and equipped with anvil culets of 500 µm diameter, fitted with a stainless gasket pre-indented to a 75 µm thickness, and containing a centered hole of 200 µm diameter. A methanol–ethanol mixture (4:1) was used as a pressure-transmitting medium, which remains hydrostatic in the range of pressure used in our experiments [17]. The sample was placed on one of the diamonds anvils (diffracted side) together with a small ruby sphere used as pressure sensor [18]. Pressure was determined with an accuracy of 0.04 GPa. Special attention was taken to avoid sample bridging between the two diamond anvils [19].

The crystal structure was refined, for each pressure, using previous results as a starting point, on $F^2$ by full-matrix least-squares refinement with the SHELXL program [20]. Due to limitations of the opening angle of our DAC, it is only possible to collect about 60–70% of the reflections present in a full dataset at ambient conditions. In general, the atoms were refined anisotropically, with the exception of some oxygen atoms because, in some cases, it was only possible to do it in isotropic thermal parameters. No restraints were used during this process.
Raman experiments were performed with the same DAC setup used in the SC-XRD experiments and the same pressure medium and scale. Raman spectra were acquired employing a commercial scanning confocal Raman instrument (Renishaw InVia, Wotton-under-Edge, Gloucestershire, United Kingdom) exciting with a continuous-wave operation diode laser at 532 nm and detecting with a thermo-electric cooled CCD detector achieving a spectral resolution of 0.5 cm\(^{-1}\) with an 1800 l/mm grating. A 20× long working distance objective was used to achieve on the sample a laser-spot diameter of less than 5 μm. The laser power on the sample was smaller than 20 mW.

### 2.3. Density-Functional Theory Calculations

We have performed DFT ab initio total-energy simulations of the properties of chalcomenite. The Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP) [21] was employed with the projector-augmented wave pseudopotential and the plane-wave method [22]. The exchange–correlation energy was described by the generalized-gradient approximation with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof for solids (PBEsol) prescription [23]. Van der Waals interactions were not included in these calculations. For comparison, we also carried out simulations using PBE pseudopotentials and including Van der Waals interactions [24]. In both types of computational simulations, plane wave kinetic energy cutoffs of 530 eV and dense meshes of special k-points generated with the Monkhorst–Pack scheme [25] were employed. For the considered structure, full optimizations of all the structural parameters were performed at different selected volumes. In the final optimized configurations, the atomic forces on the atoms were lower than 0.005 eV/Å, and the differences between diagonal components of the stress tensor less than 0.1 GPa. The equation of state was derived from the energy–pressure–volume (E, V, P) data obtained at each of the selected volumes [26]. Temperature and zero-point motion effects were not included in the present simulations.

Lattice vibrations were simulated to study the phonons at the zone center (Γ point) of the Brillouin zone with the direct force–constant approach [27]. The symmetry, polarization vectors, and phonon frequencies as well as the irreducible representation and the character of all the phonons of chalcomenite at Γ were provided by the diagonalization of the dynamical matrix. We have performed accurate calculations of the forces on the atoms when fixed small displacements from the equilibrium configuration are considered, in order to obtain this dynamical matrix. The crystal symmetry was used to reduce the number of independent displacements needed.

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. Structural Analysis

Our SC-XRD experiments at ambient conditions confirmed that CuSeO\(_3\)·2H\(_2\)O crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group P2\(_1\)2\(_1\)2\(_1\) (Number 19). The determined unit-cell parameters agree well with those we determined from powder XRD. As described by Robinson et al. [5] and shown in Figure 1, the structure is composed of chains containing polyhedra with Cu in square pyramidal coordination alternating with SeO\(_3\) units. Within each chain, all the Cu pyramids point in the same general direction. In addition, every chain is surrounded by four chains with Cu pyramids oriented in the opposite direction. The results of the experiment made at ambient conditions, which served as reference for HP experiments, are summarized in Table 1.

| Table 1. Crystal data and refinement structural parameters for CuSeO\(_3\)·2H\(_2\)O at ambient conditions. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Formula** | CuH\(_4\)O\(_5\)Se |
| \(D_{calc}\) (g cm\(^{-3}\)) | 3.341 |
| \(\mu\) (mm\(^{-1}\)) | 12.840 |
| Formula Weight | 226.53 |
| Size (mm\(^3\)) | 0.10 × 0.08 × 0.03 |
| Crystal System | orthorhombic |
Table 1. Cont.

| Formula       | CuH$_4$O$_5$Se |
|---------------|----------------|
| Space Group   | P212121        |
| $a$ (Å)       | 6.6720(2)      |
| $b$ (Å)       | 7.3669(2)      |
| $c$ (Å)       | 9.1613(3)      |
| $\alpha$ (º) | 90             |
| $\beta$ (º)  | 90             |
| $\gamma$ (º) | 90             |
| V (Å$^3$)     | 450.30(2)      |
| Z             | 4              |
| Wavelength (Å)| 0.71073        |
| $\Theta_{\text{min}}/\Theta_{\text{max}}$ (º) | 3.549/29.817 |
| Reflections (collected/unique)/$R_{\text{int}}$ | 1441/1058/0.0126 |
| Parameters/Restraints | 66/0          |
| Largest Peak/Deepest Hole (e/Å$^3$) | 0.747/−0.761 |
| GOF           | 1.132          |
| $wR^2/R^1$    | 0.0633/0.0247  |

The primary crystallographic data of the HP experiments have been deposited in the form of cif files at the Inorganic Crystal Structure Database (Deposition Numbers 1969406-1969418). From experiments under HP conditions, we have obtained the pressure dependence of unit-cell parameters. In Figure 3, we show our experimental results (from two independent experiments) together with the results from our computer simulations. From the calculations, we found that the inclusion of weak van der Waals interactions leads to a less accurate description of the unit-cell volume at ambient pressure. In particular, it is underestimated by 3%. In addition, calculations neglecting these interactions provide a better description of the effects of pressure in the crystal structure. This can be seen in Figure 3. The calculations not considering the van der Waals interactions are those shown in solid blue symbols (while those including these interactions are the empty blue symbols). They are the ones that better agree with our experiments. The differences between these calculations and experiments are comparable to those observed for other compounds [26]. All these facts suggest that van der Waals interactions are not accurately described in computing simulations [28] of the HP behavior of chalcomenite.

![Figure 3. Cont.](image-url)
The existence of a phase transition is confirmed by Raman experiments (to be discussed below) which found evidence of the transition at 4.5(2) GPa. The proposed transition is isostructural since, at all pressures, XRD diffraction patterns can be identified with the space group \( P2_12_12_1 \). The phase transition could be characterized as a second-order transition [30] because the pressure evolution of unit–cell parameters is continuous, but there is a discontinuity in the compressibility. However, as we will discuss next, discontinuous changes observed in bond distances indicate that the observed isostructural transition is a first-order transition. Interestingly, the change in the pressure dependency of unit–cell parameters does not affect the volume compressibility as can be seen in Figure 3. This behavior resembles the HP behavior of related hydrated minerals, like \( \text{FeSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{MgSO}_4 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \) [11,12], which also undergo phase transitions at similar pressures. A similar behavior has been recently observed in the minerals danburite and paracelsian [31,32].
In order to understand the changes that occur in the crystal structure at the phase transition, we have analyzed what happened with the different polyhedral units. Geometries dependences with pressure have been calculated from run 1. Results from the second run are similar. The results are shown in Figure 4. From these results, we can conclude some general aspects: (1) The triangular pyramid SeO$_3$ is more rigid than the squared pyramid CuO$_5$. This conclusion is consistent with the HP behavior of different selenites [33,34]. As a consequence, the HP behavior of CuO$_5$ will dominate the axial and volume compressibility of the studied compound. (2) The compression of the CuO$_5$ polyhedron undergoes a sudden decrease at 4 GPa, which is the transition pressure. This indicates that the transition is related to a reorganization of oxygen atoms around Cu. In fact, we have noticed that, under compression, there is a sixth oxygen atom, with a Cu-O distance of 3.15 Å at ambient pressure, which rapidly approaches Cu atoms under compression. This is shown in Figure 5 where we present the six shorter Cu-O distances obtained from run 1. Beyond the transition pressure, it can be considered that Cu is no longer five coordinated but six coordinated. In particular, at 4.8 GPa, the length of the sixth Cu-O bond is 2.80 Å: i.e., only 27% larger than the fifth Cu-O bond. This behavior of the Cu-O bonds is very similar to the behavior observed in danburite and parcelsian [31,32], which also undergo isosstructural phase transitions under compression. (3) Cu···Cu distances decreases around 8% (along c-axis) and most of the hydrogen bonds have directions parallel to a- and b-axes. We consider that H···H interactions could be in part responsible for the observed phase transition. Below 4 GPa, there are two H···H bond distances, which are mainly oriented along the b-axis, decreasing very rapidly with pressure. The distance is between hydrogen atoms, which belong to different H$_2$O molecules connected Cu atoms of different CuO$_5$ polyhedra. At ambient pressure, there is an empty cavity in between these two hydrogens (as we described before). However, as under compression, the H···H distance becomes considerably shorter, at some point (transition pressure), the electrostatic repulsion between hydrogens make the H···H distance stop decreasing, impeding the compression along the b-axis (in fact, the expansion of this axis is favored). In particular, we found that the average H···H
distance decreases from 2.45 Å at ambient pressure to 2.20 Å at 4 GPa to then start increasing, reaching a value of 2.25 Å at 8 GPa. Thus, the fact that the H···H contact becomes stiff with increasing pressure would make that the crystal prefers to compress along the $a$-axis instead of the $b$-axis (as it does below the transition pressure) changing the compressibility of both axes and favoring the structural reorganization associated with the phase transition observed in CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O. In summary, all the evidence described above points towards a purely displacive transition, where the SeO$_3$ groups remain unchanged in their topology, and the formation of an additional Cu-O bond and the changes in H···H interactions modify the mechanical properties of chalcomenite. This transformation mechanism should be confirmed by future studies. In particular, HP neutron studies in deuterated samples could be very useful for studying hydrogen bonds.

![Figure 5. Pressure dependence of Cu-O bond distances. The results have been obtained from run 1. Different colors have been used for different bonds. Error bars are comparable to size of the symbols.](image)

3.2. Equation of States

We have analyzed the results obtained for the pressure dependence of the volume employing a Birch–Murnaghan equation of state (EOS) [35] using the EoSFit software [36]. The results are shown in Figure 6. We have found that for run 2 our results can be properly described by a third-order EOS. We got $V_0 = 451.0(3)$ Å$^3$, $K_0 = 42(1)$ GPa, and $K_0' = 4.6(4)$. For run 1, we found that a fourth-order EOS is needed. We got $V_0 = 450.54(5)$ Å$^3$, $K_0 = 49(2)$ GPa, $K_0' = 5(2)$, and $K_0'' = -1.4(9)$. From DFT calculations, we got $V_0 = 436.7$ Å$^3$, $K_0 = 49.3$ GPa, and $K_0' = 2.6$ when van der Waals interactions are included and $V_0 = 450.4$ Å$^3$, $K_0 = 50.0$ GPa, and $K_0' = 2.2$ when they are not included. All the results for the bulk modulus agree within 10% showing that chalcomenite is highly compressible. Calculations tend to slightly overestimate $K_0$ and underestimate $K_0'$. 
While bending vibrations of SeO$_3^-$ are located at 400–500 cm$^{-1}$, the stretching vibrations of SeO$_3^-$ are mostly at frequencies larger than 600 cm$^{-1}$ in agreement with the literature [6,7]. In particular, the presence of H$_2$O could dramatically influence the properties of minerals related to chalcomenite [40]. Two examples of it are Gypsum (CaSO$_4$·2H$_2$O, K$_0$ = 44 GPa) [38], with a bulk modulus which is around 70% the bulk modulus of anhydrite (CaSO$_4$, K$_0$ = 64 GPa) [41], and MgSO$_4$·H$_2$O (K$_0$ = 50 GPa), with a bulk modulus, which is around 80% of the bulk modulus of MgSO$_4$ (K$_0$ = 62 GPa) [42].

Thus, our study on CuSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O is putting a constraint on the bulk modulus of CuSeO$_3$, which has not been experimentally studied yet. This parameter should be larger than 42 GPa (our lowest limit value). This suggests that the bulk modulus of 37 GPa obtained for CaSeO$_3$, via DFT calculations, and reported in the Materials Project Website [43], is probably underestimated. On the other hand, the comparison of the present results with the bulk modulus of other hydrated oxides suggests that related compounds to chalcomenite like CuTeO$_3$·2H$_2$O [44] and ZnSeO$_3$·2H$_2$O [45] are expected to have a similar bulk modulus in the range of 40–50 GPa.

### 3.3. Raman Spectroscopy

Group theory classifies the lattice vibrations of chalcomenite as follows: $\Gamma = 33A + 33B_1 + 33B_2 + 33B_3$. One $B_1$, one $B_2$, and one $B_3$ modes are the acoustic modes. The rest 129 modes are Raman active, with the $B$ modes also being infrared active. Out of these modes, a total of twenty-seven (twenty-one) Raman modes have been measured in different samples of synthetic (natural) chalcomenite [6,7] for frequencies smaller than 1000 cm$^{-1}$. In addition, four broad bands have been measured above 2870 cm$^{-1}$ [6,7] and two phonons are reported near 1600 cm$^{-1}$ [6] (see Table 2). The low-frequency modes can be assigned to internal vibrations of the SeO$_3$ and CuO$_5$ polyhedra. The high-frequency modes correspond to water vibrations [6,7]. Our calculations confirm this interpretation. They have been performed without including van der Waals interaction because this method gives a more accurate description of the pressure dependence of the unit-cell volume as discussed previously. In addition, the calculations show that stretching vibrations of SeO$_3$ are mostly at frequencies larger than 600 cm$^{-1}$, while bending vibrations of SeO$_3$ are located at 400–500 cm$^{-1}$ in agreement with the literature [6,7].

![Figure 6. EOS fit of the pressure–volume results of the two runs. Black: run 1. Red: run 2. The solid lines are the fits and the symbols are the experimental results.](image-url)
On the other hand, CuO$_5$ vibrations are at lower frequencies. This is because the force constant associated with Se-O vibrations is smaller than the force constant of Cu-O vibrations since Se-O bonds (1.703 Å in average) are shorter than Cu-O bonds (2.035 Å in average).

In our HP experiments, we have been focused on the low-frequency region that provides more information on the structural transition we have observed by XRD. In Figure 7, we show Raman spectra measured under compression up to approximately 6.1 GPa. At low pressure, we have observed twenty-two modes. They agree well with previous reported frequencies from different samples [6,7]. They also agree with our calculated frequencies, which are also in agreement with infrared experiments [46,47]. A comparison of experiments and theory can be seen in Table 2 where all measured and calculated mode frequencies are reported. Raman mode assignment has been done by correlating the measured frequencies with calculations, which give the symmetry of all modes. Calculations have been used for mode assignment. Notice that, according to calculations, sixteen modes are predicted to have frequencies higher than 2680 cm$^{-1}$ and another sixteen are predicted to be in the 1000–1625 cm$^{-1}$ frequency region. This region has never been experimentally explored; thus, our calculations provide information useful for future studies. Our study in addition to the previous study [6,7] only see part of the remaining ninety-seven modes predicted for frequencies smaller than 1000 cm$^{-1}$. This is because some modes are very weak and many of them overlap in frequency (see calculations in Table 2).

![Figure 7.](image)

**Figure 7.** (Left) Raman spectra of chalcomenite measured at different pressures. (Right) Zoom of the low-frequency region to facilitate the identification of pressure-induced changes above 4.37 GPa. Pressures are indicated in the figure.

If attention is paid to the low-frequency part of the spectra in Figure 6, it can be seen that there are changes in the Raman spectrum from 4.37 to 4.62 GPa, which clearly show the occurrence of the phase transition, with a transition pressure of 4.5(2) GPa. The main changes are the splitting of modes and changes in the intensity of some modes. The changes are more noticeable in the low-frequency region (<300 cm$^{-1}$), which is magnified in the right panel of Figure 6. The observed changes are consistent with the changes we observed in the CuO$_5$ polyhedron and its gradual transformation into a CuO$_6$...
polyhedron. Regarding the pressure evolution of modes, it is strongly nonlinear as a consequence of the changes of the compressibility already described. An evidence of it is the fact that the modes follow a quadratic pressure dependence and many of them have a negative quadratic coefficient (see Table 2). In addition, modes with similar frequencies have in many cases very different pressure dependences as can be seen in Table 2. This favors the splitting of modes we have observed in the experiments. Analytical expressions describing the pressure dependence of Raman frequencies are given in Table 2. We have found that all Raman modes with $\omega < 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ harden under compression. There is slight tendency of calculations for overestimating the pressure coefficient, but the agreement with experiments is still good. Regarding the high-frequency modes ($\omega > 1000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), calculations also predict a nonlinear behavior, which indicates changes in the hydrogen bonding as concluded from XRD experiments. In addition, there are many high-frequency modes which soften under compression. The presence of such modes is usually associated with the existence of an instability that tends to make the crystal structure unstable [48,49]. This fact is consistent with the finding of a displacive phase transition in our XRD experiments. The fact that there is no detectable volume change at the transition suggests that the transition can be second-order, but the fact that there are discontinuities in bond distances supports a first-order transition [50].

Table 2. Experimental and theoretical Raman frequencies at ambient pressure ($\omega_0$). The pressure dependence is described by a quadratic function: $\omega(P) = \omega_0 + \alpha_1 P + \alpha_2 P^2$, where $P$ is in GPa. The parameters $\alpha_1$ and $\alpha_2$ are included in the table. Results from the literature obtained from synthetic [6] and natural [7] samples.

| Mode | Literature $\omega_0$ [6] (cm$^{-1}$) | Literature $\omega_0$ [7] (cm$^{-1}$) | Present Experiment $\omega_0$ (cm$^{-1}$) | $\omega_1$ (cm$^{-1}$/GPa) | $\omega_2$ (cm$^{-1}$/GPa$^2$) | Calculations $\omega_1$ (cm$^{-1}$/GPa) | $\omega_2$ (cm$^{-1}$/GPa$^2$) |
|------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| A    | 67.9                          | 75.0                          | 102                            | 2.72            | 0.06            | 2.72            | 0.06            |
| B2   | 79.2                          | 88.4                          | 96.6                            | 0.13            | 0.10            | 0.13            | 0.10            |
| A    | 110.7                         | 111.1                         | 115.8                           | 0.44            | 0.01            | 0.44            | 0.01            |
| B1   | 118.5                         | 124.0                         | 115.8                           | 1.28            | 0.14            | 1.28            | 0.14            |
| B2   | 127                           | 127                           | 127                             | 2.01            | 0.03            | 2.01            | 0.03            |
| B3   | 134.2                         | 135.6                         | 136.5                           | 4.71            | 0.19            | 4.71            | 0.19            |
| A    | 146                           | 146                           | 146                             | 3.04            | -0.02           | 3.04            | -0.02           |
| A    | 150.0                         | 151.0                         | 152.0                           | 6.51            | -0.39           | 6.51            | -0.39           |
| B3   | 155                           | 155                           | 155                             | 6.30            | -0.15           | 6.30            | -0.15           |
| B2   | 165.3                         | 165.3                         | 165.3                           | 4.48            | -0.24           | 4.48            | -0.24           |
| B1   | 168                           | 168                           | 168                             | 2.05            | -0.05           | 2.05            | -0.05           |
| B2   | 169.0                         | 169.0                         | 169.0                           | 6.34            | 0.13            | 6.34            | 0.13            |
| A    | 172.3                         | 172.3                         | 172.3                           | 2.98            | 0.12            | 2.98            | 0.12            |
| B1   | 183                           | 180                           | 180                             | 4.78            | -0.05           | 4.78            | -0.05           |
| B3   | 190.0                         | 190.0                         | 190.0                           | 5.43            | -0.29           | 5.43            | -0.29           |
| B1   | 194.9                         | 194.9                         | 194.9                           | 1.39            | 0.17            | 1.39            | 0.17            |
| A    | 195.5                         | 195.5                         | 195.5                           | 2.57            | 0.03            | 2.57            | 0.03            |
| B3   | 200                           | 198                           | 5.50                            | 0.00            | 197.6           | 6.01            | -0.04           |
| Mode | Literature | Present Experiment | Calculations |
|------|------------|--------------------|--------------|
|      | $\omega_0$ [6] | $\omega_0$ [7] | $\alpha_1$ (cm$^{-1}$/GPa) | $\alpha_2$ (cm$^{-1}$/GPa$^2$) | $\omega_0$ | $\alpha_1$ | $\alpha_2$ |
|      | (cm$^{-1}$) | (cm$^{-1}$) | | | (cm$^{-1}$) | (cm$^{-1}$/GPa) | (cm$^{-1}$/GPa$^2$) |
| B$_1$ | 218 218–219 | 218 | 4.78 | −0.05 | 213.5 | 3.64 | −0.09 |
| A   | 220 | 2.09 | 0.26 |
| B$_1$ | 227.0 | 4.00 | −0.07 |
| A   | 229.5 | 1.03 | 0.37 |
| B$_3$ | 234.1 | 1.86 | 0.14 |
| B$_3$ | 235.5 | 12.7 | −0.37 |
| B$_2$ | 238 | 2.73 | 0.00 | 238.4 | 2.85 | −0.01 |
| B$_1$ | 241.1 | 13.4 | −0.56 |
| A   | 244.2 | 11.8 | −0.49 |
| B$_2$ | 247.6 | 12.7 | −0.71 |
| A   | 258 260 260 | 5.70 | −0.02 | 269.0 | 6.81 | −0.08 |
| B$_1$ | 281.2 | 3.92 | 0.09 |
| B$_2$ | 285.2 | 3.20 | 0.25 |
| B$_3$ | 291 | 3.75 | 0.00 | 293.7 | 4.25 | −0.06 |
| B$_2$ | 300.7 | 9.77 | −0.31 |
| A   | 305.3 | 5.88 | −0.16 |
| B$_3$ | 305.7 | 10.8 | −0.43 |
| B$_1$ | 306.4 | 10.1 | −0.29 |
| A   | 315.1 | 9.10 | −0.20 |
| B$_3$ | 337.3 | 7.11 | −0.17 |
| B$_2$ | 354 349 349 | 7.37 | −0.11 | 337.4 | 9.46 | −0.24 |
| B$_1$ | 348.2 | 7.91 | −0.15 |
| B$_3$ | 361 361 8.80 | −0.25 | 362.9 | 10.3 | −0.31 |
| A   | 365.0 | 8.60 | −0.19 |
| B$_2$ | 377 378 376 | 6.50 | −0.11 | 374.6 | 6.72 | −0.16 |
| B$_1$ | 396–400 400 400 | 6.45 | −0.13 | 398.4 | 6.84 | −0.17 |
| B$_1$ | 409.9 | 11.3 | −0.39 |
| B$_2$ | 423.7 | 10.1 | −0.26 |
| A   | 444.7 | 14.7 | −0.69 |
| B$_2$ | 454.7 | 11.9 | −0.49 |
| B$_1$ | 460.4 | 13.3 | −0.34 |
| B$_2$ | 465 | 8.75 | −0.17 | 465.8 | 10.5 | −0.24 |
| A   | 472 472–476 | 8.88 | −0.19 | 468.1 | 9.94 | −0.22 |
| B$_2$ | 485 489 | 6.42 | −0.02 |
| B$_1$ | 516.3 | 6.42 | −0.02 |
| B$_3$ | 516.5 | 4.68 | 0.11 |
| A   | 552 550–552 549 | 7.57 | 0.00 | 518.8 | 8.79 | −0.07 |
| B$_3$ | 604.5 | 12.5 | −0.74 |
| B$_1$ | 604.5 | 12.5 | −0.74 |
| B$_1$ | 608.7 | 5.92 | −0.12 |
| B$_2$ | 608.9 | 9.49 | −0.47 |
| B$_2$ | 624.8 | 5.70 | −0.33 |
| B$_2$ | 628.0 | 10.3 | −0.61 |
| B$_3$ | 632.5 | 11.8 | −0.71 |
| B$_1$ | 632.8 | 9.54 | −0.47 |
| A   | 634.5 | 4.50 | −0.06 |
| B$_2$ | 645.6 | 4.94 | 0.29 |
| B$_1$ | 650.8 | 5.03 | 0.25 |
| A   | 660.3 | 4.77 | 0.16 |
| B$_3$ | 688 685–690 | 679 | 1.33 | 0.37 | 664.6 | 1.65 | 0.44 |
| B$_1$ | 705 700–710 | 707 | 12.5 | −0.88 | 716.4 | 17.6 | −1.18 |
| A   | 720 | 14.4 | −0.94 |
we have explored the HP behavior of synthetic chalcomenite CuSeO \_Crystals. We also propose that H

found evidence for an isostructural phase transition at 4.0(5) GPa by XRD and at 4.5(2) GPa by Raman spectroscopy. We have also determined the polyhedral and volume compressibility. In particular, found that the HP behavior is governed by the compressibility and pressure induced changes in

Table 2. Cont.

| Mode | Literature | Present Experiment | Calculations |
|------|------------|--------------------|--------------|
|      | $\omega_0$ [6] | $\omega_0$ [7] | $\omega_0$ | $\alpha_1$ | $\alpha_2$ | $\omega_0$ | $\alpha_1$ | $\alpha_2$ |
| B3   | 725        | 727               | 729         | 11.9       | −0.55       | 727.6       | 13.5       | −0.84       |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 735.1       | 14.8       | −0.93       |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 740.5       | 16.5       | −0.78       |
| B1   | 745        | 749               | 748         | 15.5       | −0.43       | 745.2       | 16.6       | −0.56       |
| B3   |            |                    |             |            |             | 751.5       | 16.3       | −0.88       |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 753.8       | 18.8       | −0.86       |
| B3   |            | 792               |             |            |             | 809.7       | 10.6       | −0.07       |
| A    | 813        | 811–817           | 811         | 8.78       | −0.05       | 814         | 10.4       | −0.15       |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 816.9       | 12.8       | −0.38       |
| B1   |            |                    |             |            |             | 828.7       | 9.36       | −0.16       |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 832.6       | 17.6       | −0.10       |
| B3   |            |                    |             |            |             | 839.7       | 18.1       | −0.19       |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 845.9       | 12.5       | 0.26        |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 848.2       | 16.6       | −0.12       |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1023        | 11.3       | −0.65       |
| B1   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1026        | 11.7       | −0.66       |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 1030        | 14.6       | −0.79       |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 1031        | 13.8       | −0.73       |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 1051        | 18.9       | −0.34       |
| B1   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1054        | 20.3       | −0.47       |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1054        | 20.1       | −0.46       |
| B3   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1056        | 17.6       | −0.26       |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1560        | −0.95      | 0.01        |
| B1   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1564        | −0.69      | 0.00        |
| A    | 1574      |                   |             |            |             | 1580        | −0.68      | −0.03       |
| B3   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1581        | −0.27      | −0.03       |
| B3   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1601        | 1.64       | −0.18       |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 1604        | 2.55       | −0.21       |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 1624        | −1.78      | −0.07       |
| B1   | 1660      |                   |             |            |             | 1625        | −1.68      | −0.07       |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 2685        | −44.7      | 1.18        |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 2688        | −44.1      | 1.15        |
| B3   |            |                    |             |            |             | 2700        | −40.7      | 0.93        |
| B1   |            |                    |             |            |             | 2709        | −37.0      | 0.72        |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 2766        | −41.6      | 1.74        |
| B3   |            |                    |             |            |             | 2771        | −43.7      | 1.87        |
| B1   | 2879      |                   |             |            |             | 2773        | −45.5      | 2.03        |
| A    | 2980      | 2909              |             |            |             | 2779        | −40.4      | 1.63        |
| A    |            |                    |             |            |             | 3133        | −26.7      | −1.22       |
| B1   |            |                    |             |            |             | 3136        | −26.2      | −1.23       |
| B3   |            |                    |             |            |             | 3138        | −26.5      | −1.21       |
| B2   | 3185      | 3193              |             |            |             | 3141        | −26.6      | −1.19       |
| B1   |            |                    |             |            |             | 3357        | −40.0      | 0.96        |
| B2   |            |                    |             |            |             | 3360        | −39.5      | 1.00        |
| B3   | 3504      | 3507              |             |            |             | 3368        | −38.8      | 0.97        |

4. Conclusions

By means of HP single-crystal XRD and Raman spectroscopy combined with DFT calculations, we have explored the HP behavior of synthetic chalcomenite CuSeO\_2H\_2O up to 8 GPa. We have found evidence for an isostructural phase transition at 4.0(5) GPa by XRD and at 4.5(2) GPa by Raman spectroscopy. We have also determined the polyhedral and volume compressibility. In particular, the pressure-volume equation of state has been determined at room temperature. In addition, we have found that the HP behavior is governed by the compressibility and pressure induced changes in the coordination polyhedron of Cu. We also propose that H−H interactions could play a role in the
observed phase transition. The reported transition is related to changes in the coordination polyhedron of Cu. These alterations induce notable modifications in the low-frequency Raman active modes. DFT calculations have helped for the assignment of Raman modes and provide information on modes not yet observed experimentally.
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