Recent developments in transcriptional and translational regulation underlying long-term synaptic plasticity and memory
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Formation of long-term synaptic plasticity that underlies long-term memory requires new protein synthesis. Years of research has elucidated some of the transcriptional and translational mechanisms that contribute to the production of new proteins. Early research on transcription focused on the transcription factor cAMP-responsive element binding protein. Since then, other transcription factors, such as the Nuclear Receptor 4 family of proteins that play a role in memory formation and maintenance, have been identified. In addition, studies have revealed details of epigenetic mechanisms consisting of new types of chemical alterations of DNA such as hydroxymethylation, and various histone modifications in long-term synaptic plasticity and memory. Our understanding of translational control critical for memory formation began with the identification of molecules that impinge on the 5’ and 3’ untranslated regions of mRNAs and continued with the appreciation for local translation near synaptic sites. Lately, a role for noncoding RNAs such as microRNAs in regulating translation factors and other molecules critical for memory has been found. This review describes the past research in brief and mainly focuses on the recent work on molecular mechanisms of transcriptional and translational regulation that form the underpinnings of long-term synaptic plasticity and memory.

Biochemical studies linking memory formation and consolidation began more than half a century ago (Flexner et al. 1965). The advent of molecular biology provided neuroscientists with many tools necessary to probe the molecular underpinnings of memory. As a result, substantial data have been accumulated on how protein synthesis plays a role in memory. This progress has paralleled advances in the knowledge of transcription and translation in nonneuronal systems. Beginning with the discovery of role of cAMP-responsive element binding protein (CREB), numerous studies have elucidated the role of transcription in memory formation (Yin and Tully 1996; Kandel 2012; Smolen et al. 2019). Similarly work on translation focused mainly on the molecules that regulate protein synthesis by interacting with the 5’ and 3’ untranslated regions (UTR) of mRNAs (Darnell and Richter 2012; Hinnebusch et al. 2016; Sossin and Costa-Mattioli 2018).

Reviewing a subject of this vast scope is a daunting task. Because many excellent reviews have been written on both transcriptional and translational mechanisms underlying memory, in this article we focus on relatively recent developments (research published mainly in the past two decades) in both of these fields and give a bird’s eye view.

Early research on transcription underlying long-term synaptic plasticity and memory: role of CREB

Evidence for the role of CREB in long-term synaptic plasticity came from investigations on long-term facilitation (LTF) in Aplysia. In this invertebrate animal, serotonin (5-HT) is the neurotransmitter that functions to strengthen the synapses. The 5-HT receptors in Aplysia produce the second messenger cAMP via a G-protein-coupled pathway. Previous work had also established a requirement for macromolecular synthesis for development of LTF (Montarolo et al. 1986). Therefore, it was logical for researchers to look for a possible role of CREB in gene expression in sensory neurons of Aplysia, which is where the molecular changes important for the presynaptic LTF occur. When the oligonucleotides with cAMP-responsive element were injected into sensory neurons, LTF was significantly blocked (Dash et al. 1990). Subsequently, evidence for the role of CREB in long-term memory was obtained in the Drosophila model (Yin et al. 1994) using expression of a dominant-negative CREB. In the same year, evidence was also published showing that a mutation in the CREB gene causes deficiency in memory in mice (Bourtchuladze et al. 1994).

After CREB: other transcription factors that play a role in long-term synaptic plasticity and memory

Following the studies on CREB, several transcription factors have been shown to play a role in long-term synaptic plasticity and memory. Some of them are described below (See Table 1; Fig. 1).

Nr4 (nuclear receptor 4)

This belongs to a family of three transcription factors (Nr4a1, Nr4a2, and Nr4a3) encoded by immediate-response genes. Nr4a1 is also known by the names Nurr77/NGIB/TR3. Nr4a protein was originally described as an orphan nuclear receptor because of the lack of a known ligand. Expression of Nr4a increases in response to inhibitors of histone deacetylases (Hawk et al. 2012). Nr4a
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It appears that the Nr4a isoform Nr4a2 is critical for both object location and object recognition (Table 1; Fig. 1; McNulty et al. 2012). It shows that Nr4a1 is necessary for memory for object location whereas Nr4a2 is necessary for long-term memory of both object location and object recognition (Table 1; Fig. 1; McNulty et al. 2012). It indicates that the Nr4a isoform Nr4a2 is critical for preserving cognitive abilities in old age because it has been shown that histone deacetylase 3 (HDAC3)-mediated repression of Nr4a2 contributes to cognitive decline. Other Nr4a isoforms seem to contribute to the prevention of cognitive decline as well. Overexpression of Nr4a1 and Nr4a2 transcripts individually or together in the dorsal hippocampus of male mice can mitigate age-related impairment in object location memory (Kwapis et al. 2019).

**Table 1. Function of transcription factors in memory**

| Transcription factor | Model | Findings | Reference(s) |
|----------------------|-------|----------|--------------|
| c-Rel                | -     | ↑ nuclear accumulation in CA1 after CFC | Ahn et al. 2008 |
| c-Rel KO             | ↑     | ↑ performance in CFC with mild training, but recovered with robust training |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ facilitation of L-LTP, but not E-LTP |               |
| CRTC1                | -     | ↑ nuclear translocation during neural activity in HC excitatory neurons dependent on NMDAR, LGVCCs, and cAMP-mediated dephosphorylation | Ch’ng et al. 2012; Uchida et al. 2017 |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ levels at fynlβ promotor after CFC causes ↑ expression independent of phospho-CREB |               |
| Crtc1 KD             | ↑ c-Fos, Arc, Egr4, Zif268, and Cyr61 expression in HC independent of phospho-CREB | Ch’ng et al. 2012 |
| Foxp1                | Foxp1 KO | ↓ expression in MWM and T-maze | Araujo et al. 2017 |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ facilitation of L-LTP, but not E-LTP |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ expression in MWM |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ spine density and performance in MWM via transcriptional regulation disruption (DNA binding domain is necessary) |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ Arc expression leads to ↑ AMPAR endocytosis |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ expression immediately after CFC in HC (especially CA3) | Lin et al. 2008; Ramamoorthi et al. 2011 |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ levels at Bdnf-PI and c-Fos E2 after depolarization |               |
| Npas4 KO             | ↑     | ↑ expression in MWM |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ excitatory presynaptic release probability in HC |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ performance in CFC |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ expression of ERGs (Bdnf-PI and PIV, Arc, c-Fos, Zif268) |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ RNA pol II colocalization at Bdnf-PI and c-Fos E2 |               |
| Npas4 OE             | ↑     | ↑ expression in MWM |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ excitatory presynaptic release probability in HC |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ CA1 mIPSP inter-event interval via interaction with BDNF |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ Bdnf-PI transcript expression |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ performance in CFC caused by global KO |               |
| Nr4a1/2/3            | -     | ↑ Nr4a2 expression in HC in young and cognitive intact aged, but ↓ expression in cognitive impaired aged rats after object recognition memory task | Kwapis et al. 2019 |
| Nr4a DN              | ↑     | ↑ facilitation of L-LTP, but not E-LTP in HC due to ↓ interaction with HDACs | Bridi and Abel 2013; Bridi et al. 2017 |
|                      | ↓     | ↓ Nr4a2 and Nr4a3 expression after CFC |               |
| CBP KI               | ↓     | ↓ H3 acetylation at Nr4a2 promoter |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ performance in CFC |               |
| Nr4a C-DIM activation| Srf oligonucleotides | ↑ LTP magnitude, but not in Nr4a DN or CBP KI mutants | Dashi et al. 2005 |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ performance in MWM |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ LTD magnitude |               |
| Srf KO               | ↓     | ↓ Bdnf, c-Fos, and Arc expression | Etkin et al. 2006 |
| XBP1                 | XBP1 KO | ↑ performance in CFC and memory flexibility paradigm | Martinez et al. 2016 |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ duration of LTP and enhance contextual fear memory (Bridi et al. 2017) |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ CA1 EPSP magnitude sustained into L-LTP |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ Bdnf expression in HC |               |
| XBP1 OE              | ↑     | ↑ performance in CFC and memory flexibility paradigm |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ CA1 EPSP magnitude sustained into L-LTP |               |
| Zif268               | Zif268 OE | ↑ performance in NOR | Penke et al. 2014 |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ DG LTP magnitude |               |
|                      | ↑     | ↑ synapsin II and PSM99 expression in DG |               |

CA1, cornu ammonis 1; CA3, cornu ammonis 3; CFC, contextual fear conditioning; DG, dentate gyrus; DN, dominant negative; E-LTP, early-phase LTP; EC, entorhinal cortex; ERG, early response genes; HC, hippocampus; KI, knockin; KO, knockout; L-LTP, late-phase LTP; LVGCC, L-type voltage-gated calcium channels; MWM, Morris water maze; NOR, novel object recognition task; OE, overexpression.

**Npas4 (neuronal PAS domain protein 4)**

The PAS domain in Npas4 (and other proteins) is named after the structural motifs found in the proteins Period, Aryl hydrocarbon receptor and Single-minded which assist in protein–protein
interactions. Npas4 is the protein product of an immediate-early gene whose transcriptional activity is required for inducing genes in the CA3 region of the hippocampus that play a role in contextual memory formation. Global knockout of Npas4 in CA3 but not CA1 of the hippocampus hinders CFC (Ramamoorthy et al. 2011). Npas4 was initially identified as a transcription factor required for inducing expression of activity-dependent genes during the development of inhibitory synapses (Lin et al. 2008). Therefore, the transcriptional program mediated by Npas4 might be important for tweaking the feedforward inhibition in the hippocampus in order to make the context of memory precise.

**Foxpl (forkhead box PI)**

This is a transcription factor that belongs to the forkhead family of DNA-binding proteins previously known to be important for development of the brain and other organs. There has been a resurgence of interest in Foxp1 because heterozygous mutations and deletions in the human FOXPI gene are linked to autism spectrum disorder and intellectual disability. Experiments using mice with conditional knockout of Foxp1 in pyramidal neurons of the neocortex and CA1 and CA2 subregions of the hippocampus show impairment in spatial memory but not generalized deficits in learning and memory. Moreover, in the hippocampal slices prepared from Foxp1 knockout mice maintenance of CA1 LTP is impaired (Araujo et al. 2017).

**Srf (serum response factor)**

Initial work on Srf showed that it is critical for expression of immediate-early genes such as c-Fos and Egr1 (a.k.a. Zif268) and for early-phase and late-phase LTP. This study suggested that the transcriptional program activated by Srf might run parallel to that of CREB (Ramanan et al. 2005). Later studies showed that Srf is also important for LTD and the formation of immediate memory in mice to a novel context (Etkin et al. 2006). A separate investigation showed that infusions of oligonucleotides containing binding sites for Srf into the rat hippocampus impaired spatial memory (Dash et al. 2005).

**Mef2 (myocyte enhancer factor 2)**

This is a transcription factor that restricts dendritic spine growth. Increasing Mef2 in the dentate gyrus and amygdala of mice impairs spatial and fear memory formation respectively. Conversely, decreasing the levels of Mef2 in the same brain regions enhances spatial and fear memory. Interfering with AMPA receptor (AMPAr) endocytosis rescue the adverse effect of Mef2 on memory formation. It is thought that Mef2 indirectly causes a decrease in surface expression of AMPARs through one of its target genes Arc (Cole et al. 2012). Based on the investigations conducted thus far, it appears that Mef2 does not have a connection to the CREB pathway of gene expression.

**CRTCI (CREB-regulated transcriptional coactivator I)**

This protein binds to the bZIP domain of CREB and works with it to regulate transcription. CRTCI has been shown to reside in silent synapses and translocate to the nucleus in an activity-dependent manner. Its persistence in the nucleus requires cAMP signaling (Ch’ng et al. 2012). Subsequent studies showed that CRTCI regulates the transcription of Fgf1, a gene that encodes fibroblast growth factor. With weak synaptic stimulation CRTCI complexes with CREB-binding protein (CBP), however, it makes a complex with KAT5 upon strong stimulation. KAT5 is also a histone acetyltransferase like CBP. Its recruitment to the promoter of the Fgf1 gene appears to be specifically associated with an increase in H4K12 acetylation (Uchida et al. 2017). It is tempting to speculate that flexibility of CRTCI in associating with more than one factor might be indicative of combinatorial regulation of transcription factor assemblies which greatly increases their power in controlling the specificity of gene expression.

Other transcription factors such as c-Rel, XBP1and Zif268 also have a role in long-term synaptic plasticity and memory and are briefly described in Table 1 (Ahn et al. 2008; Penke et al. 2014; Martínez et al. 2016).

**Transcriptional repressors: role in long-term synaptic plasticity and memory**

Repressors of transcription can reduce the expression of synaptic plasticity-related genes and thus have a negative impact on memory formation. A corollary is that the removal of transcriptional repression should enhance memory. This is precisely what was found in mice expressing an Atf4 transgene which showed an improvement in spatial memory (Chen et al. 2003). Atf4 (also called...
Creb2 is a CREB repressor and down-regulates transcription when bound to CREs. In Aplysia, two CREB repressors have been shown to play a role in long-term synaptic plasticity, namely Creb1b and Creb2 (Bartsch et al. 1995, 1998). In order for CREB-mediated gene expression to go forward, repression has to be removed. This is likely to be accomplished by targeted degradation by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway (UPP). Atf4 protein is degraded during long-lasting LTP in the mammalian hippocampus (Dong et al. 2008). Creb1b is subject to degradation by the UPP upon stimulation of sensory neurons by repeated application of the neurotransmitter 5-HT which induces long-term facilitation in Aplysia (Upadhyya et al. 2004).

Another transcriptional repressor known to repress CREB-mediated transcription is called DREAM (downstream regulatory element antagonist modulator). DREAM binds to the leucine-rich domains located within the kinase-inducible domain of CREB and interferes with recruitment of CBP by phosphorylated CREB. The Dream (−/−) mutant mice show enhanced object recognition memory (Fontán-Lozano et al. 2009) and knocking out of KChIP3, which has 99% homology with Dream, improves contextual fear memory (Alexander et al. 2009).

In addition, transcriptional corepressors are known to exist. These tend to be large complexes of proteins often incorporating histone-modifying enzymes such as HDACs (Schoch and Abel 2014). Much of the evidence on these corepressors came from cancer research. It is interesting to note that a transcription factor with a role in memory Mef2 (described above) forms a corepressor complex with HDAC and SIN3A and blocks the expression of Nur77 (Nr4a) another transcription factor that functions in memory formation. In Jurkat T cells, in response to Ca2+ signal, the repression is relieved and Mef2 associates with CBP to activate transcription of Nur77 (Youn and Liu 2000). Therefore, it is likely that the activity-dependent regulation of transcriptional corepressors plays a role in controlling transcription underlying long-term synaptic plasticity and memory although the molecular details are likely to be different from those observed in non-neuronal cells.

Epigenetic control of transcription: DNA methylation and histone modification

DNA methylation

Methylation of cytosine residues at the fifth position (5-methyl cytosine) as an epigenetic modification was previously known to silence gene expression in the context of development of organisms and differentiation of tissues. DNA methylation came under the radar of scientists researching synaptic plasticity and memory only about a decade or so ago (Miller and Sweat 2007). The 5-methyl cytosine modification of DNA occurs by the action of DNA methyltransferases of which several isoforms exist. The reaction can be reversed by DNA demethylases. A protein named Gadd45b (growth arrest DNA damage-inducible β) which promotes demethylation has been shown to play a role in memory formation. Gadd45b knockout mice showed impaired fear conditioning (Leach et al. 2012). These results make sense and conform to the previous notion that DNA methylation decreases gene expression and DNA demethylation promotes it. However, the situation may be more complex and depend on the pattern of DNA methylation (or demethylation) and may be modified by neuronal activity. Taken in that light, the memory-promoting activity of a DNA methyltransferase makes sense. For example, restoring age-related decline in a DNA methyltransferase Dnmt3a2 by adeno-associated virus-mediated expression improved fear memory as tested by contextual and trace fear conditioning paradigms (Oliveira et al. 2012).

Over the last few years, proteins that convert 5-methyl cytosine to 5-hydroxymethyl cytosine (5-hmc) have been discovered (Tahiliani et al. 2009). These proteins are called Ten-eleven translocation (Tet) methylcytosine dioxygenases. Based on high-throughput sequencing studies on embryonic stem cells as well as neurons from the fetal mouse hippocampus and cerebellum, 5-hmc as an epigenetic marker is thought to be associated with regulatory and intragenic regions of genes that are developmentally repressed but poised for activation (a.k.a. bivalent genes) (Szulwach et al. 2011; Gao et al. 2013). With regard to Tet enzymes, one study found that Tet1 was activity-regulated and was critical for expression of memory-associated genes and for contextual fear memory (Kaas et al. 2013). Another study, however, observed that Tet1 knockout mice exhibited normal spatial memory but had impaired fear extinction (Rudenko et al. 2013). Other Tet isoforms appear to be critical for memory as well. For example, researchers found that Tet2 decreased in the neurons of the dentate gyrus (DG) with age and overexpressing Tet2 in DG prevented the decline in adult neurogenesis and improved CFC (Gontier et al. 2018). Another protein called Uhrf2, which is thought to be a 5-hmc reader, appears to have some role in memory formation. Mice lacking Uhrf2 exhibit partial impairment of spatial memory (Chen et al. 2017).

Other DNA modifications

Recent studies indicate that DNA modification at nucleotides other than cytosine also play a role in certain types of memory. For example, N6-methyl-2′-deoxyadenosine accumulates at promoters and coding regions of genes in prefrontal cortical neurons of mice trained in fear extinction. Furthermore, the enzyme responsible for this DNA modification, m6A methyltransferase (N6amt1), binds to the genomic sequences and enhanced genome-wide occupancy of N6amt1 is associated with increased gene expression. Occupancy by N6amt1 also occurs at specific promoters of genes such as that of Bdnf exon 4, whose expression is correlated with extinction of conditioned fear (Li et al. 2019).

Epigenetic control by small noncoding RNAs

DNA methylation, especially of clusters of CpG sequences (CpG islands) in promoters of genes, can be brought about by small non-coding RNAs called piRNAs. Studies on Aplysia have shown that piRNAs have a role in regulating expression of genes important for long-term synaptic plasticity. The piRNAs are RNAs that were originally named because of their interaction with proteins called piwi (P-element Induced Wimpy testis) in Drosophila. The piRNAs were mainly known for their role in posttranscriptional silencing of transposons in germine cells through DNA methylation. An investigation of RNA library generated from Aplysia neurons revealed the presence of piRNAs (Rajasethupathy et al. 2012). Additional studies carried out by silencing or overexpressing piwi proteins in Aplysia neurons showed that some piRNAs might silence expression of a CREB repressor called Creb2. A specific piRNA called aca-piR-F was shown to be a transcriptional regulator of Creb2. In addition, aca-piR-F was found to be up-regulated by 5-HT, the neurotransmitter critical for inducing long-term synaptic plasticity in Aplysia. It has been suggested (although not demonstrated) that aca-piR-F regulates Creb2 promoter by methylation in response to 5-HT thus converting a transient stimulus into an enduring epigenetic change (Rajasethupathy et al. 2012). Later studies showed the presence of piRNAs in the mammalian brain (Nandi et al. 2016). Moreover, knockdown of piwi-like genes Piwi11 and Piwi12 in the dorsal hippocampus enhances contextual fear memory (Leighton et al. 2019).
Histone modification

Histone modification can be transcription-favoring or transcription-repressing type (Bach and Hegde 2016). Acetylation of histone on lysine residues opens the chromatin and facilitates transcription (Levenson et al. 2004). Conversely, the removal of acetyl groups by deacetylases inhibits transcription. Histone methylation on lysine residues can promote or block transcription depending on the number of methyl groups and the location of the lysine residue within the histone protein (Tables 2, 3; Gräff et al. 2012; Gupta-Agarwal et al. 2012; Bach et al. 2015).

With regard to the role of histone acetylation in memory formation, some of the early work indicated that histone acetyltransferase activity of CREB-binding protein (CBP), a transcriptional coactivator of CREB, plays a vital role in memory consolidation (Korzus et al. 2004). Subsequent evidence for a role of histone acetylation in memory came from the observations that the enzymes that remove the acetylation mark on chromatin, namely, histone deacetylases hinder long-term synaptic plasticity and impair memory (Guan et al. 2009).

Tri-methylation of histone 3 on lysine 4 (H3K4me3) is up-regulated in the hippocampus 1 h after CFC (Gupta et al. 2010). In addition, mice lacking Mll, a gene encoding a histone methyltransferase responsible for H3K4me3, exhibit impairment in CFC and performance in water maze (Kerimoglu et al. 2013). Recent studies indicate that specific histone methyltransferases control H3K4me3 in distinct genomic regions and are responsible for regulating distinct gene expression programs underlying memory consolidation (Kerimoglu et al. 2017). A transcription-repressing form of histone methylation (H3K27me3) has been found to have a role in memory as well. During reconsolidation of fear memory expression of Pten, a phosphatase that negatively regulates mTOR signaling, is reduced. This is achieved by an increase in H3K27me3 in the Pten promoter and coding regions (Jarome et al. 2018). The methyltransferase responsible for H3K27me3, Ezh2 has a role in adult neurogenesis and conditional knockout of the Ezh2 gene impairs spatial learning and memory (Zhang et al. 2014). Pharmacological inhibition of SUV39H1, a methyltransferase that works to add a repressive mark on histone H3 (H3K9me3), improves dendritic spine formation, increases surface GluR1 levels on spines, and improves object location memory, CFC and performance in other complex spatial learning tasks (Snigdha et al. 2016). Another histone modification, phosphorylation of histone H3 on Ser-10 (H3S10ph), has been linked to an increase in transcription-dependent LTP mediated by stimulation of β-adrenergic receptors and consequent activation of Aurora kinase-B (Maity et al. 2016). Histone marks such as H3S10ph occur transiently in the hippocampus but persist in cortical areas in order to facilitate memory consolidation (Gräff et al. 2012). Some histone modifications such as demethylation of certain lysine residues (H3K9me2) function in memory consolidation by activating some genes and silencing other genes depending on whether the histone mark is at the promoter or the coding region of the gene (Table 2; Gupta-Agarwal et al. 2012). Given the role for histone modification in memory, logically the enzymes that are responsible for epigenetic marking of histones should have a role in memory-related synaptic plasticity. Indeed, numerous studies have demonstrated a role for histone-modifying and unmodifying enzymes in long-term synaptic plasticity and memory (Table 3; McQuown et al. 2011; Jing et al. 2017; Tang et al. 2017; Yamakawa et al. 2017; Schoberleitner et al. 2019; Zhu et al. 2019).

Other molecules that are part of the regulatory system for epigenetic modification have been shown to have a role in synaptic plasticity as well. Three classes of molecules called readers, erasers, and writers of chromatin modification are known to exist. A molecule belonging to the class of readers called L3mbt1 (lethal 3 malignant brain tumor-like 1) has a role in homeostatic synaptic downscaling (Mao et al. 2018). A key target of L3mbt1 is the Ctnnb1 gene. In response to synaptic activity L3mbt1 positively regulates the expression of Ctnnb1. The protein product of the Ctnnb1 gene is called β-catenin. One of the functions of β-catenin is in synaptic scaffolding at excitatory synapses. β-catenin interacts with cadherin, which bridges the pre- and postsynaptic parts of a synapse, and together they regulate synaptic structure and function.

Recent studies indicate that the accessibility of chromatin increases during learning and multiple noncoding regulatory regions are subject to modification (Koberstein et al. 2018).

Table 2. Role of histone modifications in memory

| Histone modification | Effect on transcription | Findings | Reference(s) |
|----------------------|-------------------------|----------|--------------|
| H3S10ph             | Activate                | ↑ at Zif268 promoter in HC after NOR | Gräff et al. 2012; Maity et al. 2016 |
| H3K9ac              | Activate                | ↑ in CA1 immediately after cLTP and sustained until 30 min | Bach et al. 2015 |
| H3K14ac             | Activate                | ↑ in HC after CFC in an NMDAR- and ERK-dependent manner | Levenson et al. 2004 |
| H3K4me3             | Activate                | ↑ at Zil268 promoter in HC after NOR | Gräff et al. 2012 |
| H3K9me3             | Silence                 | ↑ after CFC and other spatial learning | Snigdha et al. 2016 |
| H3K27me3            | Silence                 | ↑ at select gene promoters (e.g. Pten) during memory reconsolidation | Jarome et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2014 |
| H3K36me3            | Activate                | ↑ at Zil268 promoter in HC after NOR | Gräff et al. 2012 |
| H3K9me2             | Silence                 | ↑ at Zil268, Dmnt3a, Bdnf-PIV, and c-fos promoters in CA1 and EC after CFC | Gupta-Agarwal et al. 2012 |
| H2BK120ub           | Activate                | ↑ in HC after CFC | Gupta et al. 2010 |

CA1, cornu ammonis 1; CFC, contextual fear conditioning; cLTP, chemically induced LTP; EC, entorhinal cortex; HC, hippocampus; HFS, high frequency stimulation; NOR, novel object recognition task.

Translation underlying long-term synaptic plasticity and memory: initial studies

Control of mRNA translation is one of the major ways by which the amount of protein product generated from a transcribed gene is...
Table 3. Role of histone modifying proteins in memory

| Molecule               | Function             | Model                  | Findings                                                                 | Reference(s) |
|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Aurora kinase B        | Histone deacetylase  | Chemical inhibition    | ↑ H3S10ph levels in CA1 associated with noradrenaline/                     | Maity et al. 2016 |
| Chd1                   | H3K4me regulation    | Chd1 deletion          | ↓ performance in NOR and Barnes maze                                     | Schoberleitner et al. 2019 |
| Ezh2                   | Histone methyltransferase | Ezh2 KO              | ↓ performance in MWM, cued fear learning, and CFC                        | Zhang et al. 2014 |
| G9a/GLP                | Histone methyltransferase | CA1 chemical inhibition | ↑ LTP facilitation and performance in CFC                                | Gupta-Agarwal et al. 2012 |
| HDAC1                  | Histone deacetylase  | HDAC2 KO               | ↑ performance in CFC, cued fear learning, MWM, and T-maze                 | Guan et al. 2009; Morris et al. 2013 |
| HDAC2 KO               | Histone deacetylase  | HDAC2 KO               | ↑ performance in object recognition memory task                           | McQuown et al. 2011 |
| HDAC3                  | Histone deacetylase  | -                      | ↑ H4K8ac levels in HC                                                       | Kwapis et al. 2019 |
| HDAC4/5                | Histone deacetylase  | -                      | ↑ H4K8ac levels in HC                                                       | Guan et al. 2009 |
| HDAC4/5-3SA mutation   | HDAC4/5-3SA mutation | HDAC4/5 DKO            | ↑ performance of ERGs (Nro41, Nro43, Arc, Npas4) due to impaired nuclear export | Zhe et al. 2019 |
| HDAC7                  | Histone deacetylase  | HDAC7 KO               | ↑ levels in HC after CFC via interaction with CBX4 E3 ligase              | Jing et al. 2017 |
| KMT2A                  | Histone methyltransferase | KMT2A KO             | ↑ expression of CFC and MWM                                                | Kerimoglu et al. 2013, 2017 |
| KMT2B                  | Histone methyltransferase | KMT2B KO            | ↑ performance in MWM, cued fear learning, and CFC                        | Kerimoglu et al. 2013 |
| L3MBTL1                | Regulator of methylated lysine histone residues | -                  | ↑ levels during neural activity (PTX-induced) in HC by means of proteasome degradation | Mao et al. 2018 |
| Sp3                    | HDAC2 regulation     | Sp3 KD                 | ↑ EPSP in cultured neurons                                                | Yamakawa et al. 2017 |
| SUV39H1                | Histone methyltransferase | Chemical inhibition   | ↑ performance in object location memory task and CFC associated with H3K9me3 in CA1 | Snigdha et al. 2016 |
| UTX                    | H3K27me3 demethylase  | UTX KO                 | ↑ H3K27me3 levels in HC leads to H3S10ph expression, which is involved in neural structural formation |

CA1, cornu ammonis 1; CFC, contextual fear conditioning; cLTP, chemically-induced LTP; EC, entorhinal cortex; ERG, early response genes; HC, hippocampus; HFS, high frequency stimulation; MWM, Morris water maze; NOR, novel object recognition task.

Translational control at the 5'-UTR

Eukaryotic mRNAs possess a 7-methyl-Guanosine (m7-G) cap. Translation of mRNAs can be controlled in a cap-dependent as well as cap-independent manner. Thus far, research on translational control underlying synaptic plasticity and memory has been mainly on the mechanisms that are cap-dependent.
A translation preinitiation complex consists of a tRNA that binds to the initiation codon for methionine (Met-tRNA) and a eukaryotic initiation factor eIF2 bound to guanosine triphosphate. The assembly of this complex is facilitated by other initiation factors. Attachment of this complex to the 5'-G cap is added by the eIF4F complex comprising eIF4E, eIF4G, and eIF4A (Hinnebusch et al. 2016).

eIF2 is phosphorylated by a protein kinase called Gcn2 which negatively regulates its function. As a result, general translation is inhibited but translation of specific miRNAs is facilitated. In the nervous system, this process facilitates the translation of Atf4 which is a CREB repressor. As expected in mice lacking Gcn2, L-LTP which is transcription-dependent, is induced with only one train of 100 Hz stimulation whereas in normal mice it takes four 100 Hz trains to induce L-LTP. The Gcn2 (−/−) mice show memory improvement with weak training and memory impairment with strong training. Therefore, an interpretation of these results is that translational control indirectly regulates transcription and Gcn2 (−/−) mice might have too much gene expression which has an adverse effect on memory (Costa-Mattioli et al. 2005).

A role for regulation by translational elongation factor has been described as well. In Aplysia sensory neurons, which make synapses with motor neurons, elongation factor eEF1A mRNA is transported along the axon to stimulated synapses. This kind of mRNA transport is thought to link transcription at the nucleus to local protein synthesis at the synapse to promote synaptic growth (Giustetto et al. 2003).

Translational control at the 3' UTR
Translation of eukaryotic miRNAs depends on the extent of polyadenylation at the 3' UTR. A key molecule that regulates lengthened Poly(A)-tail-dependent translation is Cytoplasmic Polyadenylation Element Binding Protein (CPEB). The miRNAs subject to regulation by CPEB contain Cytoplasmic Polyadenylation Element (CPE) which comprises a consensus sequence UUUUUAAU. When the RNA transcribed in the nucleus is exported to the cytoplasm, CPEB binds to CPE and a ribonucleoprotein (RNP) assembly containing CPEB and other proteins forms. This RNP can keep the Poly(A) short because of the presence of a deadenylating enzyme. Alternatively, when CPEB is phosphorylated by Aurora kinase, the deadenylating enzyme is pushed out of the RNP complex which now elongates the Poly(A)-tail and thus promotes translation (Darnell and Richter 2012).

A role for CPEB in translation required for long-term synaptic plasticity was found in the invertebrate Aplysia. In the sensory-motor neuron synapses reconstituted in culture, the researchers found that injection of antisense oligonucleotides against an isoform of CPEB called CPEB2 inhibited long-term facilitation (Si et al. 2003a). It was proposed that CPEB might sustain its function because of its prion-like properties (Si et al. 2003b). A mammalian CPEB isoform, CPEB3, regulates protein synthesis required for hippocampus-based memory. Subsequent work showed that attachment of small-ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) to CPEB negatively regulates its prion-like aggregation (Drisaldi et al. 2011). It remains to be seen whether prion-like aggregation is a peculiarity of some CPEB isoforms because no other molecule with a role in memory with prion-like properties has been reported.

Regulation of translation by microRNAs during synaptic plasticity and memory
MicroRNAs are small noncoding RNAs typically 21-nt long. They bind to complementary sequences and negatively regulate translation by causing degradation of miRNAs or suppressing their translation. For example, miR-26a and miR-384-5p generally suppress the expression of ribosomal S6 kinase 3 which is a translational regulator. These microRNAs are down-regulated during NMDAR-dependent LTP thereby increasing the translation of S6 kinase which in turn boosts the translation required for making LTP last long. A microRNA regulated by activity, miR-188, decreases the expression of a glycoprotein Neuropilin-2 which functions as a receptor for semaphorin 3F, a negative regulator of spine development (Lee et al. 2012). Another example is miR-22 in presynaptic sensory neurons of Aplysia which negatively regulates CPEB. Upon stimulation that induces LTF, the miR-22-imposed constraint on CPEB translation is relieved and its expression increases. Augmented levels of CPEB lead to other molecular events, such as an increase in a specific atypical protein kinase C isoform, causing synapses to strengthen (Finamore et al. 2015).

In terms of linking miRNAs to memory, global loss of miRNAs through deletion of Dicer1, which encodes a protein that is part of miRNA generation, causes enhancement of memory as measured by Morris water maze, trace fear conditioning and other tests of cognition (Konopka et al. 2010). Another microRNA is miR-132 which was originally found to control neuronal morphogenesis. Since then, a role of miR-132 in synaptic plasticity and memory has been shown. Transgenic mice expressing miR-132 at various levels showed that expression of this microRNA might be related to cognitive capacity (Hansen et al. 2013). Other studies showed a role for miR-132 in recognition memory that depends on synaptic plasticity in the perirhinal cortex (Scott et al. 2012)

Overexpression of miR-181c ameliorates cognitive impairment caused by chronic hypoperfusion in rats. This study found that miR-181c regulates the expression of TRIM2 which is a ubiquitin ligase that targets neurofilament light (NF-L) protein. Increased expression of miR-181c therefore ultimately led to enhanced expression of NF-L which in turn correlated with increased dendritic arborization and spine formation (Fang et al. 2017).

RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) appears to play a role in synaptic protein synthesis in the circuitry underlying olfactory memory in Drosophila. Normally in the second order synapses mRNA translation is silenced by Armitage, an RNA helicase and a component of the RISC complex (Ashraf et al. 2006). During long-term memory formation, Armitage in degraded in a proteasome-dependent manner thus relieving the repression of translation by RISC. This mechanism seems to be evolutionarily conserved. When a key component of the RISC complex called Argonaute is knocked down using a pool of siRNAs in the dorsal hippocampus of C57BL/6 mice, short- as well as long-term contextual memory is impaired (Batassa et al. 2010). From these studies, it is not clear how short-term memory is affected by reduction in translation. Additional investigation such as electrophysiological experiments to measure synaptic plasticity might help elucidate mechanistic links between RISC function and memory in mammals.

Regulation of miRNAs
miRNAs themselves are subject to transcriptional regulation. Previous studies showed that some miRNAs are subject to control by CREB. For example, miR-132 was identified as a target of CREB through a genome-wide screen (Vo et al. 2005). Expression of miR-132 has been shown to be regulated by neuronal activity in different brain regions and in the hippocampus by CFC (Nudelman et al. 2010). Although activation of microRNAs by specific transcription factors has not been studied widely, this type of activation might be important for expression of specific set of genes during memory formation.

miRNA expression might also be controlled more broadly as well. A gene implicated in schizophrenia Satb2 encodes a transcriptional regulator which appears to control the expression of a large set of miRNAs. Satb2 protein is expressed in the cerebral cortex and
the CA1 region of the hippocampus. Forebrain-specific deletion of Satb2 impairs maintenance of L-LTP and long-term contextual fear memory as well as object discrimination memory (Jaitner et al. 2016).

Role of long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) in translation

Recently, a nucleolus-specific long noncoding RNA (LoNA) has been identified by high-throughput sequencing. This LoNA is mainly expressed in neurons and plays a role in ribosomal RNA (rRNA) transcription and posttranscriptional modification. Normally, LoNA represses rRNA biosynthesis and in response to neuronal activity its levels decrease leading to an increase in rRNA and translation of mRNAs. LoNA deficiency leads to improved learning and memory and conversely when its levels are increased it impairs memory (Li et al. 2018).

Local mRNA translation: latest findings

A key aspect of synapse-specificity of long-term plasticity that underlies memory is local translation of preexisting mRNAs. The importance of local translation during LTP in Aplysia and LTP in the hippocampus was shown several years ago (Frey and Morris 1997; Martin et al. 1997). Evidence for local translation continues to be accumulated. For example, a recent study showed the importance of Fragile X Mental Retardation Protein (FMRP) in regulating translation through miRNAs can also be localized in dendrites as well. For example, Dicer and pre-miRNAs are localized at dendrites or in proximity to synapses. Investigation on miR-181a using a fluorescence upon processing by Dicer probe that increased its signaling the trans-replication of the α subunit of calcium-calmodulin-dependent protein kinase during an olfactory learning task that required the newborn neurons in the olfactory bulb (Daroles et al. 2016).

The mRNAs themselves are localized in dendrites which can provide spatial restriction of translation even within subregions of dendrites such as the shafts or spines (Van Driesche and Martin 2018). The recent data show that the mechanisms such as regulation through miRNAs can also be localized in dendrites as well. For example, Dicer and pre-miRNAs are localized at dendrites or in proximity to synapses. Investigation on miR-181a using a probe that increased its fluorescence upon processing by Dicer showed that miR-181a matured locally in dendrites in response to neuronal activity at individual synapses (Sambandan et al. 2017).

Translational control by protein degradation during formation of synaptic plasticity and memory

Apart from regulation by posttranslational modification the translation factors can be regulated by degradation by the UPP. During L-LTP, proteasome-mediated degradation controls the amounts of the initiation factor eIF4E and the elongation factor eEF1A. In addition, the proteasome also modulates the amount of translation repressors such as Paip2 and 4E-BP (Dong et al. 2014a).

Proteolysis also regulates translation by modulating the factors that interact with the 3′ UTR. Although CPEB is a substrate for the proteasome (Reverte et al. 2001), the effect of its degradation has not been studied so far. However, the proteasome has been shown to limit signaling in the cytoplasmic polyadenylation pathway during L-LTP (Dong et al. 2014b).

Other studies have shown that FMRF which acts as a translational repressor in the cytoplasm is a substrate for the ubiquitin ligase Cdhl-1-APC (anaphase promoting complex). In Cdhl1 knockout mice mGluR-dependent LTD is impaired (Huang et al. 2015).

Future prospects

Research on transcriptional and translational regulation is likely to advance on two fronts. One is the quest for additional proteins and regulatory mechanisms that are critical for transcription and translation during formation and maintenance of long-term synaptic plasticity and memory. The researchers might be aided by advances elsewhere. For example, analysis of public high-throughput data using machine learning led to the identification of a new gene called Grange/Atrophin important for social learning in Drosophila (Kacsoh et al. 2017). The big data approach is being applied to the study of RNAs which should help researchers to ask new questions about translational regulation as well. For example, subcellular sequencing from single mouse neurons revealed the presence of 2225 dendritic RNAs (Middleton et al. 2019). The second front of advancement should be in making sense of the data on transcription and translation. Computational approach combined with pharmacological intervention is helping make testable predictions with regard to LTD in Aplysia sensory-motor neuron synapses. For example, researchers knocked down Creb1 with siRNA and rescued the impaired LTD by using a training protocol predicted to be successful by computational approach (Zhou et al. 2015). Another example is a study using the Caenorhabditis elegans model in which investigators identified 757 CREB/memory-induced targets by combining memory-training and gene expression analysis (Lakhina et al. 2015). The challenge for the future is to devise such approaches to mammalian models and ultimately to humans to fully understand long-term synaptic plasticity and memory and possibly develop drugs to treat memory deficits.
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