Free-standing liquid membranes as unusual particle separators
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Separation of substances is central to many industrial and medical processes ranging from wastewater treatment and purification to medical diagnostics. Conventional solid-based membranes allow particles below a critical size to pass through a membrane pore while inhibiting the passage of particles larger than that critical size; membranes that are capable of showing reversed behavior, that is, the passage of large particles and inhibition of small ones, are unusual in conventional engineering applications. Inspired by endocytosis and the self-healing properties of liquids, we show that free-standing membranes composed entirely of liquid can be designed to retain particles smaller than a critical size based on the particle inertial properties. Further, we have demonstrated that the unique properties of liquid membranes allow applications that were previously unachievable by conventional membrane technologies, including entrapment of microscopic entities while allowing the passage of macroscopic objects, and selective gas-solid separation where the membrane allows solids to pass through while inhibiting gas passage.

RESULTS

Design principles

Liquid membranes consist of a stabilized liquid material that can be as simple as a two-component system [for example, water and surfactant—a soap film (10–12)] or a complex multicomponent system designed for a specific application. The simplest stabilized liquid membrane can be prepared by mixing deionized water with varying concentrations of surfactant [for example, sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)]. Subsequently, a solid ring can be used to support a free-standing liquid membrane. By varying the concentration of SDS, we can tune the film surface tension from ~35 to ~72 mN/m. When two spheres with identical materials properties but of different sizes are released from a fixed height $H$ onto a liquid membrane with a surface tension $\gamma$, one will notice that, at certain values of $H$, the larger sphere will pass through the membrane and the smaller sphere will be retained within the membrane (Fig. 2A and movie S1) (11, 12). On the basis of this experimental observation, we can categorize the particle-membrane interactions in two different regimes: (i) the particle retention regime and (ii) the particle “pass-through” regime.

To experimentally determine the mechanisms that dictate whether a particle will pass through or remain in the film, we systematically dropped beads into a liquid membrane of a given surface tension from different heights and recorded whether the bead was retained in or passed through the membrane. Specifically, we dropped relatively smooth beads (root mean square roughness, $\xi < 2.5 \mu m$) into a stabilized liquid membrane of a given surface tension and radius from heights $H$ ranging from 0.5 to 15 cm (tables S1 to S3). Typical particle impact velocities $u_0$ were <2 m/s. We repeated this experiment using liquid membranes with different surface tensions (35 mN/m $< \gamma < 72$ mN/m). To test for the effect of particle geometry on retention/pass-through, we...
conducted the same set of experiments for beads and membranes of different radii (355 μm < R_b < 4.4 mm and 3 mm < R_f < 6 cm, respectively). Further testing was carried out using beads composed of different materials [that is, glass, polystyrene (PS), and polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE)] to investigate the effect of surface chemistry on the particle-membrane interaction.

To gain physical insights into the particle separation mechanism, we compared the magnitudes of the kinetic energy (E_kin) of the beads at impact to the other forms of energy, such as the maximum increase in film surface energy due to stretching (E_S) (12) and energy dissipation (E_diss) due to film pinning (E_p) at the bead boundary (section S2 and figs. S1 and S2)

\[
E^* = \frac{E_k + E_d}{E_{kin}}
\]  

(1)

Note that, in our particular experiments, the capillary number Ca was small (that is, Ca ~ 10^-5), indicating that the viscous effects were less significant than the surface tension effects and were therefore neglected. In addition, it has been shown that the films will form a catenoid shape (13) when slow-moving particles impact liquid films (that is, Weber number, We < 3200; our particles impacted the membranes at <2 m/s). Therefore, the maximum change in surface energy (E_S) due to the film stretching was approximated to be the difference between the maximum area the film can stretch and the area of the flat film of outer radius R_f (that is, the radius of the liquid membrane) and inner radius R_b (that is, the radius of the spherical impacting particle; section S2). This change in surface energy can be approximated as

\[
E_S = \pi \gamma \left( R_f^2 \sinh \phi + \phi - 2 \left( R_f^2 - R_b^2 \right) \right)
\]  

(2)

where \( \phi = 2 \cosh^{-1} \left( \frac{R_f}{R_b} \right) \). Furthermore, we estimated the energy loss due to pinning (E_p) based on our experimental parameters and found this term to be negligible in our experiments. Therefore, \( E^* \) can be reduced to the following equation for our experiments

\[
E^* \approx \frac{\gamma C}{E_{kin}}
\]  

(3)

Fig. 1. Concept of liquid membrane. (A) Schematics showing the materials architectural differences between solid membranes, liquid-infused porous materials [for example, slippery liquid-infused porous surfaces (SLIPS) (5)], and the liquid membrane presented in the current work. (B) Conventional solid membranes use porous geometries to allow small particles to pass through while mechanically inhibiting the passage of large particles. (C) Liquid membranes rely on entirely different mechanisms for particle separation and allow reversed separation behavior: Small particles can be retained, while large ones pass through the membrane.
where \( C = \pi \left\{ R_f^2 [\sinh(\theta) + \phi] - 2(R_f^2 - R_0^2) \right\} \) is a geometry term. Conceptually, Eq. 3 is the ratio of energy converted to surface energy and the kinetic energy at impact. It is important to note that \( E^* \) accounts for shape deformation of a liquid membrane that is not captured by the conventional Weber number—a dimensionless number typically representing the relative importance of the kinetic energy of an impacting liquid droplet and its surface energy (14). On the basis of 718 independent bead dropping experiments, we generally observe that particles are retained when \( E^* > \sim 1 \) and pass through when \( E^* < \sim 1 \) for all particle surface chemistries used here (Fig. 2B). Provided that the weight \( F_g \) of the bead does not exceed the capillary force the film can exert on the bead \( (F_g < F_g) \) (that is, \( F_g > F_g \)); we note that Eq. 3 may not be applicable when aggregation of smaller particles occurs, creating an effectively large particle, which could lead to \( F_g > F_g \). That is, there is an \( E^* \) value that separates the particle retention and particle pass-through regimes and that describes the conditions under which a particle will pass through or be blocked by the membrane. This further highlights the fact that the particle separation physics of a liquid membrane are different from those of a solid membrane. Therefore, \( E^* \) (that is, Eq. 3) can be used as a simple criterion to categorize the particle separation regimes of the liquid film for smooth beads with negligible dissipation effects.

**Potential applications I: Insect and particle barrier**

From a materials design perspective, the above criterion allows us to design a membrane (that is, \( \gamma \) and \( R_0 \)) that can retain particles smaller than a critical size given the inertial properties of the impacting particles (that is, \( \rho_b \) and \( u_b \); movie S2 and fig. S3). This capability can also be extended from simple particles to living organisms. For example, the typical speed of certain air particulates such as pollen or dust (with densities \(<2 \text{ g/cm}^3\) ) is \(<1 \text{ m/s}\); using these values, we predict that objects of size \(<1 \text{ mm}\) can be retained in membranes (35 mN/m) designed in our experiments \((R_f = 1.5 \text{ cm})\). In this example, micro-/nanoscopic particles and contaminates (for example, pollen), as well as certain slow-moving, disease-carrying insects (for example, mosquitoes and gnats) would not pass through the liquid membrane (Fig. 3A). We have further verified this prediction by dropping a number of relevant insects (that is, fruit fly, housefly, and mosquito) at their typical locomotion speeds at impact (Fig. 3B and movie S3). Note that dead insects were used in these experiments to ensure that the impact speed is near the cruising speed of their live counterparts (table S5). To further demonstrate the effectiveness of these liquid membranes in retaining live flying insects, we allowed live fruit flies (wild-type *Drosophila melanogaster* Canton Special) to interact with a liquid membrane. This demonstration showed that liquid membranes can effectively prevent the passage of flying fruit flies (Fig. 3C and movie S4).

**Potential applications II: Self-cleaning, nonfouling membrane for continuous particle separation**

In addition to the unique size selectivity of liquid membranes, their mobile liquid interface offers unique capabilities that cannot be readily
accomplished by any conventional synthetic membranes, including in- membrane object maneuverability (Fig. 4A and movie S5) and transport of retained particles by external forces (Fig. 4B and movie S6). These unique aspects of liquid membranes can be used to design separation membranes that resist fouling issues common for many solid-based membranes. Specifically, our liquid membranes can resolve the local membrane fouling issue in two separate ways. First, liquid membranes allow in-membrane object transport through external forces (for example, gravity), which allow contaminants to be transported away from the region of separation. Second, aggregates of the collected contaminants can be removed from the membrane once the weight of the aggregates exceeds the capillary force supported by the liquid membrane (Fig. 4C and movie S7). These unique mechanisms allow the liquid membranes to perform continuous separation without fouling.

**Potential applications III: Surgical film**

In addition, the simple yet unique capabilities of the free-standing liquid membranes could lend them the ability to provide out-of-the-box solutions to various problems, such as blocking contaminants for open surgery in regions where a dust-free space for safe surgical care is limited (15), or other applications involving blockage of small objects while allowing the passage of large devices. As a proof-of-concept demonstration of such an application, we showed that the liquid membrane can block contaminants during simulated surgical procedures without inhibiting visibility or maneuverability and can collect and remove contaminants (Fig. 4D and movie S8). In our demonstration, we were able to manipulate surgical tools within the membrane and pass bovine flesh from the simulated surgical opening through the membrane. Meanwhile, particles introduced to the membranes were trapped and diverted to the membrane edge due to the mobility of the liquid interface. In addition, we have shown quantitatively that these liquid membranes can successfully prevent contaminants from passing through them (Fig. 4E).

**Potential applications IV: Gas/odor barrier**

Another interesting aspect of the liquid membrane is that it can serve as a gas diffusion barrier (16, 17) while allowing macroscopic objects to pass through it. Here, a gas diffusion barrier refers to a material that decreases the diffusivity of a gas compared to its diffusivity in air. This capability could be useful as a simple solution for solid waste/odor management in odor-concentrated environments. For example, making waterless toilets attractive from an olfactory perspective is an important factor toward addressing open defecation (18) practiced by ~1.1 billion people (as of 2015) (19). If liquid membranes can significantly decrease the rate of diffusion of chemicals relevant in waterless toilets, then they would be an inexpensive solution toward addressing the open defecation problem. To demonstrate the use of liquid membranes for the sequestration of gas while allowing the passage of solids, we first used fog produced by a humidifier to simulate and visualize gas, and used a suspended liquid film to block the fog while allowing solid objects to pass through the membrane (Fig. 5A and movie S9). Humidity measurements taken above an intact liquid membrane show that a liquid membrane can be as effective as an impermeable glass substrate (control) in blocking the fog passage (Fig. 5, B and C). To further demonstrate that the liquid membrane can serve as a gas diffusion barrier, we performed a similar experiment with a combustible gas. In this test, a test tube filled with hexane was placed near a gas sensor, and the hexane vapor was blocked with either a parafilm cover (control) or a liquid membrane (consisting of deionized water, glycerol, and SDS). Our test results have shown that the liquid membrane can be as effective as the parafilm cover to block the gas (Fig. 5, D and E). We note that the ability for a gas to pass through a liquid membrane depends on its ability to dissolve into the liquid itself and its chemical affinity for the surfactant used in the liquid membrane (17). A surfactant may be chosen such that it does not have a chemical affinity with the gas to be sequestered. Depending on the environment of interest, the composition of the liquid membranes will need to be engineered to accommodate a specific application.

**DISCUSSION**

Most applications for free-standing liquid membranes could only be realized if the membranes remain intact in the presence of perturbations expected in the application of interest over desired time frames. For example, typical surgical time for knee replacements is on the order of 2 to 3 hours (20), whereas typical defecation durations for humans are on the orders of seconds (diarrhea) to an hour (constipation) (21). In cases where evaporation or fluid loss due to wetting on impacting solids limits membrane longevity, membrane lifetime can be enhanced by using reservoirs for fluid replenishment. We have shown that, with a liquid reservoir, the hydrated liquid film can sustain >3000 cycles of film perturbations (over 3 hours) without rupture (Fig. 6, A and B, and movie S10). One may also tailor the composition of the liquid film by incorporating a number of hygroscopic molecules and other additives (22) to maximize longevity without liquid replenishment. As a proof-of-concept demonstration, we used a genetic algorithm (23–25) to develop liquid membrane compositions. Specifically, we used differential evolution (23) on mixtures composed of deionized water, glycerol,
Fig. 4. Potential use of liquid membranes in nonfouling particle separation and surgery. (A) Dynamic reconfigurability: Unlike those in solid membranes, objects embedded in the liquid membranes can move freely in the plane of the membrane due to the mobility of the liquid molecules (see movie S5). (B) Particle transport: Particles retained in the film can also move within the plane of the liquid membrane, allowing them to be transported away if needed (see movie S6). Note also that these particular liquid membranes are transparent, allowing them to be used in applications requiring through-film visibility. (C) Self-cleaning of liquid membranes: Here, a tilted liquid membrane passively removes contaminates (that is, small sand particles) from the separation region by gravity, allowing the large particle to be collected in the left petri dish. The small particles are collected downstream, forming a growing aggregate that will later fall from the membrane into the petri dish on the right when the weight of the aggregates exceeds the capillary force exerted by the liquid membrane (“aggregate removal”; see movie S7). (D) Simulated surgery: We demonstrated that the liquid membrane can block contaminants during simulated surgical procedures without inhibiting visibility or in-film maneuverability and can passively and continuously collect and remove contaminants (see movie S8). (E) Plot showing the retention of various amounts of contaminants on a liquid membrane. Note that, in all the trials, no measurable amount of contaminant leaked through the membranes and, therefore, no data are shown for the red data bar representing “mass passed through.” The contaminant was different quantities of fluorescent powder [the same as that used in (D)] sprinkled from a drop height of ~1 cm. Scale bars, 1 cm.
SDS, tannic acid (PEO) and used the average longevity (that is, time between film formation and film rupture) for the selection phase of the genetic algorithm. Some of these components (glycerol, tannic acid, and PEO) are well-known hygroscopic agents. On the basis of these chemical components, we were able to generate a liquid membrane composition that lasted for an average of 64 min (25 measurements) with a maximum observed longevity of 6.5 hours under room conditions (temperature of 22.3°C, 49% relative humidity) without liquid replenishment. Therefore, it is possible to optimize the film compositions to create long-lasting membranes using advanced experimental design methods to meet various application requirements.

To further understand how liquid membranes may behave under mechanical perturbations, we investigated the robustness of these liquid membranes under periodic vibrations. In our tests, arrays of liquid membranes (~1 cm) were perturbed simultaneously at different frequencies through a mechanical vibration system (fig. S4). Our results showed that membrane lifetime highly depends on the frequency of external perturbations. For example, certain frequencies (for example, 55 Hz) promote ejection of liquid droplets from the membrane and are thus destructive, whereas other frequencies (for example, ~80 to 85 Hz) appear to have little effect on the membrane lifetime. Systematic study of the influence of mechanical perturbations on the liquid membrane longevity with respect to different liquid membrane compositions and dimensions will enable us to tailor liquid membrane compositions suitable for specific applications.

Note that our current study mainly focuses on the interaction of relatively smooth (that is, \( \xi < \sim 2.5 \mu m \)), spherical beads with liquid membranes in an effort to understand particle selectivity. Through our high-speed camera imaging on the bead-film interaction, we observed that the contact line dynamics of the liquid membrane play an important role in the film rupture and retention of residual liquid around the particle. For example, satellite droplets can always be observed on top of both hydrophobic and hydrophilic smooth beads as the liquid film detaches from the bead surface (fig. S5). Further investigations on the effect of surface roughness and particle shape on the membrane-solid interactions and...
the mechanics of membrane rupture may enable us to design physically sturdy membranes for highly demanding applications.

With the availability of a wide spectrum of soluble functional molecules, advanced liquid membranes could be designed by incorporating these molecules to tailor membrane gas permeability, rheological properties, conductivity, insect repellency, antiseptic properties, or any other desired functions for targeted applications. It is anticipated that the unique nature of free-standing liquid membranes may open up novel and creative technological applications in medicine, waste management, pest and disease control, and other applications beyond the reach of current membrane technologies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Surface tension and density of liquid membrane solution**

The surface tension $\gamma$ of the liquid membranes as a function of concentration of SDS is shown in table S1. These measurements were obtained using the pendant drop method with a ramé-hart goniometer and DROPimage software or DropSnake software (26). The density $\rho_f$ of each liquid membrane solution was determined by measuring the mass of a given volume of the solution. The results are also shown in table S1.

**Mass and thickness of liquid membrane**

In an effort to understand variation between individual liquid membranes, we measured the mass $m$ of 5 to 10 freshly formed liquid membranes. As a rough estimate of liquid membrane thickness, the membrane of volume $V$ and density $\rho_f$ was assumed to be a thin cylinder with a radius $R_f$ (the ring radius). With this in mind, the film thickness $t$ was calculated as follows

$$t = \frac{m}{\rho_f \pi R_f^2}$$

Estimated initial film thicknesses based on this equation are shown in table S2. This estimation represents an approximation of the initial film thickness here because some liquid volume may be collected near the film perimeter due to wetting of the liquid on the hydrophilic aluminum ring.

**Bead roughness**

Roughness measurements of the beads used in our experiments and of flat surfaces used for contact angle measurements were performed using an optical profilometer (ZYGO Nexview). Here, roughness $\xi$ is defined as the root mean square roughness. Using this instrument, we conducted roughness measurements on six different regions of both flat and spherical samples of PTFE, PS, and glass. The average and SD of these six values of $\xi$ are shown in table S3.

**Wetting characteristics of liquid membrane solution on bead material**

Contact angle measurements of liquid membrane films on flat surfaces composed of materials chemistry similar or identical to that of the beads used in our experiments were used to approximate the energy loss due to pinning (section S2 and figs. S1 and S2). To approximate the energy dissipation associated with pinning, both advancing and receding contact angle values were required. Because the surface roughnesses of our flat surface samples are smaller than those of the beads (table S3), this would lead to an underestimate of the energy dissipation due to liquid pinning. To calculate an upper estimate of the pinning energy for an order of magnitude comparison, we assumed the receding angles to be zero in all cases (that is, $\theta_R = 0^\circ$). The experimentally measured advancing angles are shown in table S4.

**Inertial characteristics of living organisms and particles**

In Fig. 3A, we calculated the value of $E^*$ based on the mass or density, characteristic size, and typical speed reported for each organism/particle (table S5). We assumed that the film had a radius of $R_f = 1.5$ cm, and the surface tension was 35.6 mN/m (that is, the smallest surface tension we tested). We conducted housefly size and mass measurements, which are included in table S5. Insects used for measurements and for the insect dropping tests shown in Fig. 3B were all purchased from DeadInsects.Net.

**Humidity sensing**

The relative humidity of the space ~3 cm above the humidifier (Crane Ultrasonic Cool Mist) outlet was measured over time (every 5 s) to compare the local relative humidity in the presence and absence of a liquid membrane or a glass dish (control). Because the humidifier causes a flow of air, a side-facing exit of the piping was needed to prevent the liquid membrane from developing curvature.

**Gas sensing**

The concentration of hexane vapor was measured in the space above a test tube filled with hexane (vapor pressure of ~17.6 kPa at 20°C; Sigma-Aldrich) using an MQ-135 gas sensor module (All Electronics). The test tube was covered with either parafilm (control) or a liquid membrane. The hexane vapor pressure increased gradually over time when covered, causing the radius of curvature of the liquid membrane to decrease over time, necessitating a pressure release port (a long tube with an outlet extending ~30 cm away from sensor) in this specific experiment.

**Mechanical vibration test**

In this experiment, a PASCO mechanical wave driver oscillating vertically at a amplitude of ~3 mm was connected to a metal plate with an
array of circular cutouts 2 cm in diameter. This setup was used to perturb liquid membranes at set frequencies. Liquid membranes consisting of water, glycerol, and SDS were applied to the holes. A video camera was used to assess the membrane longevity.

Genetic algorithm
A free-standing liquid membrane can be composed of various different components, and different formulations may have different properties. For example, one composition may typically have a longer lifetime than others. In an effort to create a long-lasting liquid membrane and to demonstrate how liquid membranes might be tailored to meet the needs of various applications, we used a genetic algorithm called differential evolution (23), an algorithm that has been successfully implemented in other experimental studies (25). For our experiments, we used deionized water, glycerol, tannic acid (22), PEO, and SDS as components of the liquid membranes and selected for average liquid membrane longevity. These additives were chosen because of their hygroscopic or stabilizing properties. Our component concentration search ranges (min/step/size/max) were as follows: deionized water (0 ml/5 ml/40 ml), SDS (0 mM/1.7 mM/15.6 mM), tannic acid (0 mM/0.3 mM/2.9 mM), PEO (0 g/0.9 g/1.0 g in 40 ml of solution), and glycerol (40 ml minus the volume of deionized water for the given formulation).

The search for the composition with the longest average longevity had five compositions per generation (NP = 5), with four components (D = 4; glycerol not considered, as its volume is dependent on the water volume). The first generation of compositions was determined by random number generation for each component. To determine the next generation, we used the equations outlined by Storn and Price (23), using a crossover constant of 0.5 (CR = 0.5) and a step size of 0.5 (F = 0.5) to generate a set of test compositions. We then compared the average longevity of each composition $X_{iG}$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, D$; $G$ denotes the generation) to that of the respective test composition $U_{iG}$. Composition $X_{iG} + 1$ was determined to be either composition $X_{iG}$ or composition $U_{iG}$. The composition with the higher average longevity was chosen to be $X_{iG} + 1$. Note that it is possible to select for other properties to achieve a liquid membrane of interest. For example, instead of selecting for average longevity, it is possible to select for maximum longevity, number of perturbations before rupture, bactericidal effectiveness, or other properties desired for the liquid membrane. We measured the longevity of 72 different compositions. For each of those compositions, we formed up to 59 membranes in parallel for statistical purposes and measured their longevity. The total volume of the solutions was kept constant (40 ml).
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