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Abstract

Co-digestion of organic biomass mixed with inorganic amendments could have an impact on composting dynamics. Various studies highlighted fertilizers' role as an additive to lessen the nitrogen loss, while some studies focused on the addition of fertilizers to enhance the efficiency. The changes in carbon, nitrogen components, and humic substances during the organic-inorganic co-compost process were seldom studied. Clarifying these changes might help improve the production process and compost nutrients contents. Thus, this study's purpose is to investigate the effects of inorganic amendments on compost characteristics, compost temperature, biochemical methane production (BMP), and nutritional contents. The inorganic phosphorous (P), sulfur (S), and sulfur solubilizing agent (SSA) were added to Farmyard manure (FYM) mixed with biodegradable waste (BW), including wheat straw, corn stalks, and green lawn waste. The P and S amended treatments were carried out into two sets, with and without SSA. The mixed feedstocks were added in the insulated RBC composting pit (15 x 15 x 10 feet). The compost material's moisture content was maintained 50–65% during the entire composting process for optimum waste digestion i.e., the moisture content (MC) of FYM was 82.7% and for BW ranged 8.8–10.2%, while the C/N ratio was found 10.5 for FYM, 74.5 for wheat straw, 83.5 for corn stalks, and 84.8 for lawn waste. At the condition of compost maturity, the inorganic amendments have no significant effect on composted material's moisture content. The maximum organic matter of 69.7% and C/N ratio of 44.6 was measured in T⁴. On the 6th day of composting, the temperature reached to thermophilic range (>45 °C) in all the treatments due to aeration of compost increased microbial activities and waste decomposition rate and decreased gradually to mesophilic range (35–45 °C) because the supply of high-energy compounds becomes exhausted. The highest temperature was reached in T⁴ (58 °C) and lowest in CT (47 °C). The significantly maximum methane of 8.95 m³ and biogas burning was 818 minutes in CT, followed by T₁ and T₄. The results of this study revealed that P enriched compost is a
feasible and sustainable way to overcome P deficiency in the soil as well as in plants and best way to use low-grade P and organic waste material.

Introduction

One of the severe issues facing agricultural soils of the world is organic matter deficiency. It can be triggered by many physical, chemical, biological, and ecological processes that lower the soil’s quality and potential productivity [1,2]. As a result, a deficiency of organic matter presents a challenge to ecological balance and environmental protection. It is a significant cause of lower agronomic production in Sub-Saharan Africa [3]. It is one of the major causes of low soil fertility in Pakistan due to the more excellent mineralization process. Soil organic matter (SOM) contents have been considered a significant indicator of soil quality because of their role in improving physical, chemical, and biological properties. Enhanced crop production requires a reduction in the problems. Different organic amendments are used to enhance soil fertility [4]. Soil productivity and quality can be improved by adopting organic farming as it reduced environmental problems such as pollution, global warming and protecting the soil from erosion damage [5,6]. In recent years, organic farming has become a common practice for crop production, and gradual development is about 20% per annum from the last decades [7].

Composting is an aerobic process of organic fertilizers formation with microbial activities that can be used as a soil amendment [8–10]. The end product of composting is humus-like substances that improve the soil’s physio-chemical and biological properties [11–13]. Composting is a lengthy decomposition process; to accelerate the composting process and reduce nitrogen loss, various additives like ash, fertilizers, phosphogypsum, jaggery, lime, biochar, FGD gypsum, polyethylene glycol, various bacterial strains are often added to it [14,15]. An enhancement in organic matter and vegetable production is observed in recent research at discrete levels (23, 56, and 112 t ha \(^{-1}\)) of compost application [16].

Compost, green manure, and farmyard manure is a well-established way to enhance OM content in the soil [17,18]. Nutrient availability is low from the applied organic waste, but it also has more variations and imbalance and deficit supply of nutrients to the crop [19]. There is a dire need to study the changes in carbon and nitrogen components throughout the composting process. The conversion of an element from organic form to inorganic form called “mineralization” occurs in composted soil and increases the supply of nutrients, and the plants get access to them. Many environmental scientists and others throughout the world acknowledge the significance of compost’s positive impact on soil, particularly in hot, dry, highly alkaline, and polluted [20,21]. Organic matter increases with manure application. Organic matter components undergo mineralization and release substantial quantities of N, P, S, and smaller micronutrients. Organic carbon components are essential to maintaining soil fertility and sustaining agro ecosystems’ productivity [22]. The changes in organic carbon components can reflect the humification degree of compost materials. Similarly, estimating the changes in nitrogen components helps improve the composting process that contributes to compost quality. Nitrogen mainly occurs in organic and inorganic nitrogen form: inorganic nitrogen mainly consists of ammonium nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen while organic nitrogen includes total acid-hydrolyzed nitrogen and unhydrolyzable nitrogen [17].

The organic carbon components in compost include water-soluble organic substances, cellulose, hemicelluloses, and lignin. Water-soluble organic substances include starch, sucrose, oligosaccharides, fructose, and amino acids. Water-soluble organic substances are the direct
materials and energy sources for microorganisms. The changes of water-soluble organic substances can reflect the transformation degree 4 of organic matter and materials’ stability during the composting process [23,24].

The importance of FYM in composting is that it can be used as a substitute for mineral fertilizers by enhancing soil composition, increasing soil organic carbon, and increasing microbial biomass [25]. They often have large amounts of major and micronutrients, as well as having a long-term impact on the soil [26].

Phosphorus (P) is an essential macronutrient that is unavailable and inaccessible in soil [27]. Many agricultural lands worldwide are suffering from P deficiency because of high rainfall and high P fixation in soil [27,28]. Many agricultural lands throughout the world are suffering from P deficiency due to high rainfall and high P fixation [28]. Phosphate (PO$_4^{3-}$) forms chelates to metal cations, clay particles, and organic soil material, rendering it unavailable for plant uptake. Soil P is also influenced by pH, ionic strength, adsorption, and dissolution from clay particles [27]. Total P in the soil is very high, but P fixation and chelation with other ions, available P is only 0.1% of the total. Out of total processed inorganic P, 85% is used in crops and animal feed [29].

The amendments used at the time of application increase the availability of P [30]. The amendments include sulfur and inoculation bacteria [31], with organic matter in compost prepared from different sources. Addition of phosphate (P), microorganisms [31], elemental S [32], with green manuring [33], enhances P solubilization [7]. Phosphorous solubilization by microorganisms is an important mechanism to enhance P release from insoluble phosphate in the soil [34]. Microbial processes such as ‘mineralization’ of P through which microorganisms changed the organic form of P into inorganic or plant available form and reduced the P precipitation with other ions through the solubilization process [35]. The addition of Sulphur in soil reduces pH and increases NH$_4$-N by inhibiting the volatilization of ammonia gas, resulting in reduced nitrogen loss. Reduction of pH can also increase the solubilization of P, thus improving P availability in composting [34,36].

Various studies highlighted fertilizers’ role as an additive in composting process to reduce nitrogen loss [37,38]. Few studies focused on the addition of fertilizers in composting process of increasing fertilizer utilization efficiency. The changes in carbon, nitrogen components, and humic substances during the organic-inorganic co-compost process were seldom studied. Clarifying these changes might help improve the production process, fertilizer biological effectiveness, and compost nutrients contents.

The study aimed to prepare sole organic compost (FYM, Crop residues, and green waste) and composite compost in combination with inorganic (P, S, and SSA) contents and to quantify and analyze the effects of co-composting organic-inorganic materials on enhanced nutritional quality, bio-methane production (BMP) and other physiochemical & biological characteristics.

**Materials and methods**

**Research site**

Most Pakistan sections are arid to semi-arid with remarkable spatiotemporal variability in climatic variables like precipitation, maximum, minimum temperature, etc. More significant than half (59%) of the annual rainfall is monsoon rains, a governing hydro-meteorological resource for Pakistan. The arid climate mostly dominates southern Punjab. This study was carried out on one of the stations of southern Punjab, Pakistan. This experiment was designed for co-composting organic–inorganic materials at Rana Agricultural Station, Southern Punjab, Pakistan.
Organic feedstock and inorganic amendments

The biomass feedstock used in the preparation of compost were corn stalks, wheat straw, and green lawn waste (BW) in equal proportion (1:1:1) and fresh animal manure (FYM). In contrast, phosphate (P), sulfur (S), and sulfur solubilizing agent (SSA) were used as inorganic amendments. Crop waste and animal dung were collected from Rana Agricultural Farm. Wheat straw acts as a bulking agent in co-composting of biomass and inorganic amendments to improve the compost material structure with high moisture and nitrogen contents [39,40]. Inorganic amendments used in this study were purchased from Agrochemical Industry, Industrial Estate Multan, Pakistan. Dry weights were taken into consideration in the mixing ratios. The sorted BW was dried (8–11% moisture content) and crushed to the size of 250 mm [41].

System for biomass composting

The composting system used in this study (Fig 1) consisted of an insulated RBC composting pit with a total volume of 2225 ft³ (15 x 15 x 10 feet) equipped with an aeration facility, biogas extraction system, temperature measuring probes, portable gas analyzer (O₂, CO₂, CO, CH₄, H₂S) and leachate collection and pumping mechanism [40,42,43].

Before composting, FYM was thoroughly mixed with BW and inorganic constituents according to the set treatments (Table 1). The mixed feedstocks were feed in the composting pit covered with nylon sheets. The compost material's moisture content was maintained 50–65% during the entire composting process for optimum waste decomposition [44–46]. The compost pile was mixed manually twice a month (every 15th day) to improve porosity of compost material for better aeration, thus facilitating the thermophilic phase of compost material. The concentration of the oxygen in the mixture was maintained at at least 5% (v/v) [47]. It has been determined that
approximately after 4.5 months since starting the composting process based on the C/N ratio, the maturing compost material was sieved through 8 mm and filled into the compost bags.

**Studied characteristics of composting**

**Physiochemical analysis.** Five subsamples were taken from the compost digester’s different locations due to non-uniform sample characteristics in the digester. All these subsamples were mixed thoroughly to get a representative sample from all these materials and dried at 65 ºC for 24 hours. Moisture content (MC) of feedstock and compost material was determined by drying oven at 105 ºC till constant weight. In the air-dried substrate and composting samples, the pH and EC of compost material samples were measured in water extracts (1:2, w/v) using HORIBA compact pH-33 meter and EC meter, respectively. The organic matter was assessed by determining the loss on ignition at 500 ºC for 24 h [48]. At the maturity stage, co-composting’s physical properties, including bulk density, porosity, shrinkage, air capacity, and water-retaining capacity, were determined [49]. The method of measuring soil BD was by collecting a known volume of soil using a metal ring pressed into the soil and determining the weight after drying [50], porosity was determined is by laboratory measurements of Core Samples [51], The groups, i.e., total salmonella coliforms and fecal coliforms, were measured and expressed in the number of colony-forming units per gram of compost (CFU/g compost) [52,53].

**Compost temperature**

Temperature variation during composting was measured by digital temperature probes installed inside the composting pit at each layer of compost material (2 feet layer) of compost profile and outside to measure the ambient temperature [54]. The compost material’s temperature readings were taken at an interval of 10 minutes, six times a day (0:00 to 24:00 O’clock), and recorded by a data logger [42]. The net degree hour temperature (NDH) was used to investigate the effect of inorganic amendments on the composting temperature and evaluate how these temperatures variate with the composting process’s progress [55].

\[
DH = \left(\frac{C \cdot h}{\text{day}}\right) = 4(T_{0-4h} + T_{4-8h} + T_{8-12h} + T_{12-16h} + T_{16-20h} + T_{20-24h}) - 4(T_{a0-4h} + T_{a4-8h} + T_{a8-12h} + T_{a12-16h}) + T_{a16-20h} + T_{a20-24h})
\]

NDH is net degree hour temperature in the composting pit adjusted with ambient

| Table 1. Fertilizer strategies, description, and their doses. |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|
| Compost material | Treatments | Description with Dose (w/w) |
| Farmyard manure (FYM) | CT | Control (100% FYM) |
| Crop residues (CR) | T1 | 70% FYM + 30% BW** |
| Green waste (GW) | T2 | (70% FYM + 30% BW) + 5% P |
| Phosphorous (P) | T3 | (70% FYM + 30% BW) + 5% P + 1% S |
| Sulfur (S) | T4 | T1 + SSA |
| Sulfur oxidation agent (SSA)* | T5 | T2 + SSA |
| | T6 | T3 + SSA |

* SSA was 50 times diluted, BW
** is crop residue and green lawn waste in the ratio (2:1), respectively.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253714.t001
temperature ($^\circ$C h day$^{-1}$), $T_{i-4h}$ and $T_{ai-4h}$ are mean compost temperature and mean ambient temperature respectively, measured after every 10 min in 4 h interval ($^\circ$C).

**Biochemical methane production**

The amount of biochemical methane production (BMP) was started at 9-11$^{th}$ day and finished at 17-21$^{st}$ of composting under different treatments. Total daily BMP and gaseous compositions (CH$_4$, CO$_2$, O$_2$, and H$_2$S) from each compost treatment pit were measured by a portable biogas analyzer (GA5000, Geotech). For the first month, the biogas composition was measured twice a day and later once a day until the composting process. The daily burning time (Bt) for collected gas was measured using a standard gas burner.

**Nutritional analysis**

Total phosphorus was measured by wet digestion method by using ammonium hepta-molybdate metavanadate [(NH$_4$)$_6$Mo$_7$O$_{24}$.4H$_2$O + NH$_4$VO$_3$] solution. Phosphorus concentration on spectrophotometer was measured at 410 nm wavelength and determined its concentration by fitting the reading in the calibration curve (Eq 1). Olsen Phosphorous (Olsen P) was measured as mentioned [56]. The blue color intensity method was used to measure water-soluble phosphorous and citric acid-soluble phosphorous using a spectrophotometer [57]. Potassium content was measured directly by a flame photometer [58]. Potassium readings obtained from the flame photometer were fitted into the calibration curve. Then final potassium value of digested filtrate was obtained using an equation.

$$P = \text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{P (from calibration curve) x Dilution factor} \quad (1)$$

$$K = \text{mg kg}^{-1} \text{k (from calibration curve) x Dilution factor} \quad (2)$$

**Statistical analysis**

The substrate’s physiochemical characteristics (feedstock) and compost material were measured in triplicate, and standard error values were measured. The effect of compost treatments on studied parameters was analyzed through statistical analysis (ANOVA) laid under complete randomized design (CRD) at ($p$<0.05) significance level using SPSS-24 [59].

**Results**

**Compost physical characteristics**

The characteristics of the substrate and composted material at maturity were evaluated as presented in Table 2. Examining compost substrate, FYM showed the highest moisture content and lowest C/N ratio, whereas wheat straw, corn stalks, and lawn waste have lower moisture content, i.e., 9.8%, 10.2%, and 8.8%, respectively, and a high C/N ratio of compost substrate. Inorganic amendments (P, S, SSA) used in this study were analyzed to check their impact on compost characteristics. The use of agricultural waste in composting was optimal for composting because it ensured porous structure and proper aeration for maximum degradation of substrate used. At compost maturity, the moisture content was measured from 49.7–54.6%, indicating desirable moisture content for successful compost maturity [44–46]. The total organic matter (TOM) was measured between 59.6% to 69.7%. The maximum OM and C/N ratio was measured in $T_1$, while the lowest figures were measured in controlled treatment (CT).
Table 2. Examining the characteristics of feedstock material.

| Characteristic | FYM pH | Wheat straw | Corn stalks | Green lawn waste |
|----------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|
| pH             | 7.24 (0.05)' | -           | -           | -               |
| MC, %          | 82.7 (0.3) | 9.8 (0.1)   | 10.2 (0.1)  | 8.8 (0.2)       |
| TOM, %         | 76.3 (1.8) | 97.6 (0.7)  | 82.5 (0.4)  | 76.4 (0.5)      |
| C, % of TS     | 41.3 (0.05) | 54.1 (0.1)  | 42.6 (0.05) | 44.1 (0.2)      |
| N, % of TS     | 3.92 (0.01) | 0.73 (0.01) | 0.51 (0.01) | 0.52 (0.05)     |
| C/N            | 10.5    | 74.5        | 83.5        | 84.8            |
| TN, %          | 1.48 (0.02) | 0.55 (0.02) | -           | -               |
| TP, %          | 0.51 (0.01) | 0.15 (0.01) | -           | -               |
| TK, %          | -       | 1.06 (0.01) | -           | -               |

Compost material

| CT             | 54.6 (0.2) | 59.6 (0.3) | 39.2 (0.1) | 1.06 (0.05) | 37.0 |
| T1             | 52.4 (0.2) | 69.7 (0.4) | 40.6 (0.2) | 0.91 (0.05) | 44.6 |
| T2             | 51.5 (0.1) | 67.3 (0.2) | 38.4 (0.1) | 0.87 (0.01) | 44.1 |
| T3             | 49.7 (0.3) | 64.1 (0.3) | 40.1 (0.3) | 0.96 (0.01) | 41.8 |
| T4             | 53.8 (0.2) | 67.5 (0.1) | 39.4 (0.1) | 1.02 (0.05) | 38.6 |
| T5             | 51.3 (0.1) | 66.2 (0.3) | 39.8 (0.1) | 0.92 (0.01) | 43.3 |
| T6             | 50.9 (0.1) | 63.5 (0.1) | 38.6 (0.2) | 0.95 (0.01) | 40.6 |

* Standard deviation (n = 3), MC–moisture content, TOM–total organic matter.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253714.t002

Compost pH

Fig 2 exhibited that the pH values varied throughout the composting process. Initially, pH ranges from 6.8 to 8.7 in all treatments. During the initial 30 days of composting, all the
treatments’ pH increased except T2 and T3 treatments, which decreased pH from the initial value. Treatments containing P and S have low values of pH than other treatments. After 30 days of composting, pH values gradually increased in all the treatments except T2 and T3, which showed a slight decrease in pH from 7.8 to 7.6 and 6.8 to 6.5, respectively. At the compost maturity stage, treatments T3 and T6 pH values were found 6.6 and 6.7 in the acidic range, respectively. Treatment with P content showed decreased pH from 7.8 to 7.3 in under T2 and 8.2 to 8.1 under T5 from 1st day to the compost maturity stage. On the 90th day, CT and T4 treatments have the highest pH of 8.2 and the lowest pH of 6.6 in T3. During the entire composting process, pH values were found low in the treatments containing P and S contents. This could be due to the oxidation of S content in the compost. Treatments without P and S content had no significant change in the pH values from the initial to compost maturity stage [60].

**Electrical conductivity (EC)**

The compost mixture’s electrical conductivity indicates the presence of soluble salts, either in high quantity or in low concentration (Fig 3). Initially, on the 1st day of composting, EC varies from 2.8–3.9 dS/m in composting treatments. For 30 days, the EC of all the treatments increased except CT and T3, which decreased from the initial value. After 30 days, EC was started declining in all the treatments except T2 and T3. EC of CT, sole organic compost (T1), and SSA amended treatments gradually increase or decrease or stagnant till the 60th day of composting. SSA inoculum treatments showed a continuous increase in EC till the stage of compost maturity. At the compost maturity stage, the highest EC of 4.95 dS/m was noted in T6. The lowest EC of 1.69 dS/m was measured in CT (control) treatments. At the compost maturity stage, all the treatments had EC in the acceptable range (< 4 dS/m) except T2 at 60th day and T6 from the 30th day to compost maturity, which was higher than the acceptable EC limit. It could be due to more soluble salt during P dissolution in the treatment [41].

![Fig 3. Electrical conductivity (EC) measured from different composting treatments.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253714.g003)
Compost temperature

Temperature is an essential parameter in composting both, therefore, and as a determinant of activity. Fig 4 showed that the compost achieved mesophilic (35–45 °C) and thermophilic (>45 °C) ranges of temperature. Thermophilic organisms are generally accepted to be more productive, and the thermophilic temperatures kill pathogens and weed seeds that may have been present in the initial mixture. In the beginning, the increase in temperature had been observed in the composting material. On the 4th day of composting, the temperature reached the thermophilic range in all the treatments, while T2 reached the thermophilic range on the 6th day of composting. The highest temperature was noted on day 6th in T4 (58 °C), T1 (54 °C), and T5 (52 °C). The lowest temperatures were measured in CT (47 °C) and T6 (48 °C). The temperature in all the treatments decreased gradually from thermophilic range to mesophilic range except in T1 and T4, which remained in the thermophilic range until the 28th day of composting. After every 15th day, a slight abrupt rise in compost temperature was noted because aeration of compost increased microbial activities and waste decomposition rate. The temperature rise retained the thermophilic range. All the treatments were remained in the thermophilic range more than three days after turning, while T3 was in the mesophilic range after the 6th day of composting. A continuous decline in temperature in all the treatments continued gradually until turning. At 72nd days of composting, all the treatments had temperatures more minor than the mesophilic range except T1 and T2, which were in the mesophilic range (37 & 38 °C). On the 75th day, compost material and moisture content's turning has no significance on compost material temperatures. It could be due to the complete decomposition of carbon-rich material in compost mixture.

Biochemical methane production

The mean daily production of bio-methane and their burning times calculated from different composting treatments are presented in Fig 5. The methane productions were started on the 9th day (T4 and T5), 10th day (T6), and 11th day (CT, T1, T2, T3). Early methane production was observed in the treatments mixed with P, S contents and amended with an oxidizing agent (SSA). Compared with amended compost treatments, sole organic compost treatments (T1 and T2) showed significantly higher daily biogas production and burning times. After the 22nd day, no gaseous production was observed. The daily biogas composition produced from different compost treatments was analyzed for CH4, CO2, H2S, and O2. The average biogas composition of total daily methane was calculated from each composting pit (Fig 6). The treatments amended with sulfur (S) content showed higher H2S values and produced the lowest CH4 contents.

The total methane productions and total burning times were calculated against each compost treatment (Table 3). The only organic biomass compost treatments (CT, T1, and T4) produced significantly maximum methane and biogas burning time (8.95 m³, 818 min.) (8.55 m³, 797 min.) and (8.38 m³, 796 min.), respectively, and the lowest values of (6.37 m³, 559 min) and of (6.47 m³, 555 min) were recorded in T3 and T6 respectively. Comparing compost treatments, the methane production and biogas burning time in T1 and T4 were >90% of the same in CT.

Nutritional contents

Total phosphorous (P). Total phosphorous (P) content increased during the composting process in all the treatments. Treatments with P contents have more total P values than the rest of the treatments (Fig 7). Initially, a significant difference of total P was observed in P amended and non-P treatments. Total P was ranged from 0.53 g kg⁻¹ (CT) to 2.1 g kg⁻¹ (T2). All the
Fig 4. A and B Measurement of ambient and compost material temperatures; 4A) Composting treatments without inoculum, 4B) Composting treatments amended with SSA inoculum.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253714.g004
treatments showed an increasing trend with compost development. Compost maturity treatments amended with P and S showed significantly higher values than control (CT) and only organic treatment (T1). At compost maturity, the highest total P content (4.55 g kg\(^{-1}\)) was noted in T2, followed by T3 (4.25 g kg\(^{-1}\)), T4 (4.2 g kg\(^{-1}\)), and T6 (4.1 g kg\(^{-1}\)). P amended treatments have a more excellent value of total P, and it could be due to the significant contribution of P application. Therefore, P enriched compost was prepared to increase P’s solubility so that inorganic P was solubilized into available form during the composting process.

**Water-soluble phosphorous (WSP)**

Water-soluble phosphorous content increased in all the treatments throughout the composting process. Initially, there was no significant difference in WSP observed among all the treatments.
At the start of composting, WSP content ranged from 40 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in CT and T\(_2\) to 45 mg kg\(^{-1}\) in T\(_4\). During 30 days of composting, WSP content was increased in maximum treatments. Treatment (T\(_3\)) showed the highest increase in WSP content from 43 to 64 (21 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) followed by CT (19 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and T\(_1\) (17 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) till 30\(^{th}\) day of composting while, T\(_6\) showed 23 mg kg\(^{-1}\) increase in WSP from 30\(^{th}\) to 60\(^{th}\) day. WSP continued to increase till compost maturity. At compost maturity, the highest WSP content (98 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) was noted in T\(_6\), followed by T\(_4\) (82 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) and T\(_1\) (78 mg kg\(^{-1}\)) (Fig 8). WSP content was higher in SSA inoculum treatments than organic treatments, while P amended treatment showed lower WSP content. It could be due to less solubilization of P by microbes or reactions of available P with the P components like CaCO3 or soluble P by microbes. Initially, an abrupt increase was noted in T\(_1\) treatment, and it might be due to the decomposition of sole organic waste material, where no inhibition effect of P was present.

Table 3. Quantification of total BMP and burning times of compost production under different treatments.

| Treatment | Total BMP (m\(^3\)) | Total burning time (min) | Measured as % of CT BMP | burning time |
|-----------|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| CT        | 8.95-a             | 818-a                    | -                       | -           |
| T1        | 8.55-a             | 797-a                    | 95.6                    | 97.4        |
| T2        | 7.29-b             | 648-b                    | 81.5                    | 79.2        |
| T3        | 6.37-c             | 559-d                    | 71.2                    | 68.3        |
| T4        | 8.38-a             | 796-a                    | 93.7                    | 97.3        |
| T5        | 7.22-b             | 624-c                    | 80.7                    | 76.3        |
| T6        | 6.47-c             | 555-d                    | 72.3                    | 67.8        |
| Mean      | 7.60-b             | 685-b                    | -                       | -           |

Values with the same alphabets in a column didn’t show a significant difference at p \(\leq 0.05\).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253714.t003

Fig 7. Total P content measured from different composting treatments.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253714.g007
Olsen phosphorous (Olsen P)

Olsen-P showed an increasing trend with the progress in composting process. Higher Olsen-P contents were measured in P, S, and SSA amended treatments (Fig 9). Initially, Olsen-P content ranged from 0.82 g kg\(^{-1}\) in CT to 0.99 g kg\(^{-1}\) in T\(_5\), and all the treatments didn't show any significant difference. On the 60\(^{th}\) day of composting, a significant difference was observed in RP amended treatments from an initial value of Olsen-P. The maximum increment was
shown in T₃ (1.1 g kg⁻¹) and T₆ (1.08 g kg⁻¹) during the first 30 days of composting. The increasing trend of Olsen-P was continued in all the treatments till compost maturity. At the compost maturity stage, T₆ had the highest Olsen-P value (2.9 g kg⁻¹), and the lowest (1.3 g kg⁻¹) was measured in control (CT) treatment. The treatments with S content showed the highest values, followed by P amended treatment, while organic compost treatments gave the lowest values.

**Citric acid-soluble phosphorous (CASP)**

Citric acid-soluble P is a vital P fraction regarding the availability of nutrients to plants. CASP content increased in all the treatments from initial to compost maturity (Fig 10). In the beginning, CASP content ranged from 0.40 to 0.43 g kg⁻¹; there was no significant difference among the CASP content in all the treatments. CASP content showed a significant increment in all the treatments during the first 30 days of composting. The highest increase in CASP content was observed in T₆ (0.33 g kg⁻¹) followed by T₃ (0.30 g kg⁻¹) and T₅ (0.27 g kg⁻¹), and the lowest increase in CASP content (0.15 g kg⁻¹) was found in T₁. At maturity, the highest CASP content (0.93 g kg⁻¹) was measured in T₆, followed by T₃ (0.90 g kg⁻¹) and T₅ (0.86 g kg⁻¹), while the lowest CASP was found in CT (0.74 g kg⁻¹). Higher CASP content was noted in P amended treatments during the whole process of composting. It could be due to the application of P, which has greater total P content. An increase in CSP content was continued till compost maturity [61].

**Total potassium (Total K)**

Total potassium (K) contents of all compost treatments were significantly increased with the composting duration’s progress (Fig 11). Total K contents varied from 0.60 g kg⁻¹ in T₂ (1st day) to 1.97 g kg⁻¹ in CT (90th day) composting treatments. During the first 30 days of composting, all the treatments showed significantly increased total K content. Maximum increment in total K content (0.43 g kg⁻¹) was measured in T₄ followed by T₁ (0.40 g kg⁻¹) and CT (0.37 g kg⁻¹), while the lowest increment (0.16 g kg⁻¹) was noted in T₃. Increment in the total K contents continued until the compost maturity. At maturity stage, all the treatments showed
increased total K contents with the highest total K (2.0 g kg$^{-1}$) in CT followed by T$_4$ (1.98 g kg$^{-1}$), T$_6$ (1.95 g kg$^{-1}$), and T$_1$ (1.90 g kg$^{-1}$) while, the lowest total K content (1.60 g kg$^{-1}$) was noted in T$_3$. Compared with P, S, and SSA inoculum amended treatments, only organic compost treatment (CT) had higher total K contents till the compost maturity stage (Fig 11). The sequence in total K contents of all the treatments at compost maturity stage was found as, CT > T$_6$ > T$_4$ > T$_1$ > T$_5$ > T$_2$ > T$_3$.

**Discussions**

**Changes of temperature, pH and EC during composting**

Physical properties play an essential role in every stage of compost production and the handling and utilization of the end product [44,62–65]. Moisture is required for microbial activity, as it is necessary to support the microbes' metabolic processes [66]. Moisture provides the medium for chemical reactions, transports nutrients, and allows the microorganisms to move about [44]. Microorganisms will not be active if the moisture content is too low and if the moisture content is too high.

During the first 30 days of composting pH of maximum treatments showed an increasing trend [53]. In composting other green waste, the initial increase in pH was due to organic acid degradation and the release of different NH$_4^+$ compounds during composting. Zhang stated that the microorganisms’ activities were significantly affected by pH and a suitable range of 7.5 to 8.5 pH for microbial activities considered satisfactory [67]. In this study, the pH of maximum treatments was in the optimum range of 7–9 for maximum microbial degradation throughout the composting process. Treatments T$_2$ and T$_3$ showed lower pH during composting. P increased the production of low molecular weight fatty acids and reduced pH [52]. P content adsorbs NH$_3^+$ and other cations, which reduced the compost substrate’s pH [68]. Treatments T$_3$ and T$_6$ showed low pH, which could be due to S oxidation into sulfuric acid (H$_2$SO$_4$). A similar effect was found in a study that the treatment (raw material + 0.5% S) had lower pH compared to treatment (raw material + 0.25% S) due to more S oxidation in treatment with 0.5% S and more production of acids reduced the compost pH [36]. Therefore, S has a direct effect on the pH of composting material.
EC indicated soluble salts’ availability in the form of carbonates, bicarbonates, and sulfates of sodium, potassium, calcium, and magnesium, and salinity content in compost material. EC also specifies the quality of compost for plant growth [69]. EC and pH showed inverse relation under all treatments. A similar effect was reported by [70]. Rashad reported that treatments with high EC showed low pH value and vice versa due to the release of acids and soluble salts in the same treatments with S and P contents [70]. All the treatments had EC in the acceptable range (4 dS m$^{-1}$) except P amended treatments [69]. Zhang reported the increased EC value in treatments P and fishpond sediment (FPS) presence of more soluble salt due to increased activity of microorganisms and increased rate of humification and decomposition of green waste [67]. Almiron-Roig found a similar effect: higher EC in P and S containing treatments was due to more release of SO$_4$-2 because of the S oxidation process, which reasonably increased the EC of compost and reduced its potential for agriculture purposes [71].

Temperature is a critical parameter to determines the different biochemical processes in compost [49]. A rise in compost temperature up to the thermophilic range is considered satisfactory in killing pathogens [70]. In this study, all the compost treatments were found in the thermophilic range for more than three days. A sudden temperature rise was also noted in green waste composting at the initial stage, as reported by [53]. This rapid increase in temperature is due to the quick decomposition of low molecular weight elements present in compost material. Treatments with S content (T$^3$ and T$^6$) maintained temperature in thermophilic range only for 2 days (4th to 6th day) because of S’s suppressive effect on the microbial activity. A similar effect was reported in a previous study treatment (raw material + 0.5% S) have a less thermophilic range as compared to treatment (raw material + S + T. thioparus) bacteria [72]). The addition of bacteria in the compost mixture increased S’s transformation and reduced the adverse effects of S [36]. Initially, during the 4th to 6th day, the high temperature was observed in treatment with P contents (52 °C). This is due to P’s ability to enhance air-filled spaces in compost material and providing more O$_2$ for aerobic microbial decomposition [73].

The increase in total P content was mainly due to P content in the compost mixture and the decomposition process of organic matter during the composting process. Similar results were concluded by [30,74]. It could be recognized as a concentration effect due to the decomposition of organic C material in the compost mixture, which reduced the heaping volume but maintained P content. Lu, X reported that, compost mixture with (4.6% RP + 0% S), (4.6% RP + 0.5% S), (2.3% RP + 0% S) and (2.3% RP + 0.5% S) showed significantly higher value of total P due to concentration effect as a result of organic matter degradation [75].

Water-soluble phosphorous (WSP) is one of P’s most important bio-available forms generally taken up by plants. WSP content was increased throughout the composting process. It could be due to the release of soluble P content due to the decomposition of organic material during the composting process [76]. Lu, X studied the reduction of WSP content in rock phosphate (RP) amended treatment, and he reported that reduction in RP Water-soluble phosphorous (WSP) is one of the essential bio-available forms of P generally taken up by plants [75]. Reduction of WSP content in rock phosphate (RP) amended treatment described by; they reported that reduction in RP amended treatments was due to different reactions during composting [75]. During these reactions, soluble P reacts with P like CaCO$_3$, due to which soluble P content was decreased in P amended treatments. Another reason, reported by reducing WSP content was noted in treatment with (2.1% of total P) than straw composting where (15.8%) was noted; it was due to its dilution effect due to comparatively greater mass of compost mixture. Increased WSP content in amended with P, S and SOB treatments was also noted in the present study. It could be due to the application of S and the inoculation of Thio- bacillus, which caused a more significant effect on P’s dissolution by reducing the pH and producing acids [77].
Olsen phosphorous (Olsen-P) determines the availability of P in organic waste compost materials. Treatment of P enriched compost showed higher Olsen-P content due to solubilization of P added and release of other soluble content of P during decomposition of organic compost mixture as reported by [30,74] that the presence of a larger quantity of total and available forms of P like citric acid-soluble and water-soluble P which showed the increased in Olsen-P content. Different types of organic acids like acetic, citric, tartaric, gluconic, oxalic, and a-ketogluconic acids are generated during the decomposition process. These organic acids can dissolve P into inorganic compounds’ organic minerals, which could be plant available [78]. Nishanth reported that, during the decomposition process, microorganisms secrete some enzymes like phytases and phosphatases, which can solubilize P into the available form [30]. In this study, higher Olsen-P content was noted in the P, S amended treatment. Evans reported that the addition of S and SSA inoculum in P amended treatment increased the available P content due to acidulation of compost mixture [79].

Phosphate has more acid soluble P content than other extraction methods like water-soluble P. Therefore, CSP content was noted high in RP amended treatments as stated by Busato [80]. Therefore, CSP content was noted high in RP amended treatments as stated by Busato that the HCl extraction fraction contains maximum P content (about 50%) considerably more significant than the rest of extracted fractions, due to this reason, P contains a more significant quantity of acid-soluble P, hence increased in CASP content were found in the composting process [80]. Nishanth described that the higher CASP content (0.72% P) was noted in P amended treatments compared to different straw compost, where it was 0.10% P [30]. This was due to different organic acids generated during the decomposition process of organic material because these organic acids increased the dissolution process of P. Additionally, the decomposition of organic material emits CO$_2$ and form a weak carbonic acid, which can solubilize P, and increased the availability of P in various organic waste composting and concluded that the P treated rice straw compost has high CASP content (1.53%) compared to tree leaves compost (0.89%) [78]. This higher content of CASP was due to P added prominent contribution during the composting process. It reported that the treatment with S and SSA inoculum has nine times more extractable P compared to only organic treatments [81]. It was due to the oxidation of S, which converts into H$_2$SO$_4$. Acids generation enhances the dissolution of P, due to which soluble P content was increased.

In the entire compost experiment, treatments with inorganic amendments (P, S & SSA) have shown reduced total K contents compared to only organic compost treatments. This reduction in total K content could be due to the suppressive effect of P content as reported by Nishanth that in the composting of rice straw in combination with waste mica, phosphorous solubilizing bacteria (PSB), and P, total K content was higher in simple straw compost and in treatments where waste mica had been added with specific proportion compared to P amended treatments, where total K content was lower [30]. Overall total K content was increased from initial to compost maturity. Bustamante reported that the compost prepared from distillery and winery industries waste showed increased K content from 32 g kg$^{-1}$ to 46 g kg$^{-1}$ from 0 days to compost maturity [49].

**Conclusion**

Compost was prepared with and without inorganic amendments (P, S, and SSA) to improve and compare the compost’s nutritional quality. Physiochemical characteristics including moisture content, OM, C/N, temperature, EC, and pH. Also, biomethane production, biogas composition, and nutritional contents (total P, CSP, Olsen P, WSP, and total K) were evaluated. Examining the substrate characteristics, the moisture content (MC) of FYM was 82.7% and for
BW ranged 8.8–10.2%, while the C/N ratio was 10.5 (FYM), 74.5 (wheat straw), 83.5 (corn stalks), and 84.8 (lawn waste). At compost maturity, the inorganic amendments have no significant effect on the moisture content of composted material. The maximum organic matter (69.7%) and C/N (44.6) ratio were measured in T_1_. On the 6th day of composting, the temperature reached to thermophilic range (>45 °C) in all the treatments and decreased gradually to the mesophilic range (35–45 °C). The highest temperature was reached in T_6_ (58 °C) and lowest in CT (47 °C). The significantly maximum methane (8.95 m³, biogas burning time 818 min.) in CT followed by T_1_ and T_4_. Higher total P content (4.55 g kg⁻¹), soluble P content (98 mg kg⁻¹) and total K content (2.0 g kg⁻¹) were measured in treatments having P content, (P + S + SSA) and (FYM + GW + CR + SSA), respectively. The lowest pH (6.6) was noted in (RP + S) treatments. Lowest EC (1.69 dSm⁻¹) was noted in control, while the highest EC (4.95 dSm⁻¹) in T_6_. The addition of S and inoculation of SSA along with P content proved to be very effective regarding a dissolution of P. The results of this study revealed that P enriched compost is a feasible and sustainable way to overcome P deficiency in the soil as well as in plants and best way to use low-grade P and organic waste material. Meanwhile, Inorganic amendments have significantly enhanced compost nutritional quality. Composite compost production would eliminate nutrient deficiency and would be economical and environmentally friendly.
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