Abstract

Purpose: to investigate the dynamics of restoration of the amplitude of motion in the ankle joint, the quality of life and to assess the effects of the breakdown of the Achilles tendon.

Material: patients (n=59, of which n=30 – the main group and n=29 – the control group) were examined at 4, 8 and 16 weeks after surgery. Indicators registered with the help of: goniometry; the Achilles tendon Total Rupture Score; the scale of an assessment of consequences and results of Leppilahti implications.

Results: the decrease of the total amplitude of the motion in the ankle joint takes place due to the deficiency of the amplitude of the dorsal flexion. At the end of the study the dorsal flexion rates were significantly better among the patients of main group. In particular, its deficit was 3.2 ± 1.85° in the main group and 6.8 ± 2.06° in the control group. The final total score Me (25; 75) was also better according to the questionaire of the Achilles tendon Total Rupture Score: 82 (78; 84) points against 74 (72; 77) points (p <0.01). An assessment of consequences according to the Leppilahti score was 83.8 ± 8.58 points in the main group and 70.7 ± 10.58 points in the control group (p <0.01).

Conclusions: means of physical rehabilitation help recover the amplitude of movement in the ankle joint, improve the quality of life and the effects after the rupture of the Achilles tendon. The correct methodological approach and combination of tools further improves the results.
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Introduction

Injuries and damage of ankle joint are commonly belong to the injuries of the musculoskeletal system. The part of them makes from 6 to 21% of the total number of traumas of the musculoskeletal system or 40-60% of the number of the lower limb injuries [6, 15]. Damage of the tendon-ligament apparatus of the tibia is a major cause to consult a doctor [5]. Subcutaneous rupture of Achilles tendons occupy a leading position and make up 47% of tendons and muscles rupture [7, 8].

The rupture of the Achilles tendon is a sudden and severe trauma, which reduces the quality of life. In the biomechanics of the patient’s step there is no phase of rupture and shock. It is the result of a violation of the link of transmission of muscle contraction. Also, the rhythm of walking is disturbed, and motor activity is sharply reduced [10]. Hypokinesia and immobilization cause changes in skeletal muscle, lowering the amplitude of motion. They lead to negative morphological and physiological changes [25] and reduce the functional capabilities of the body systems.

The restoration of the normal function of the operated limb is a long process, which includes the adaptation of the ends of the tendon, the normalization of neurotrophic disturbances and the restoration of motor skills [2, 11]. Available knowledge of the biology of the tendon and regeneration regulation mechanisms are of great importance in surgery and rehabilitation [14].

Physical rehabilitation is an important moment for achieving optimal functional restoration of the entire limb [26]. Optimization of recovery and working capacity become even more important in sport [3]. However, it is noted that until recently attempts to optimize the postoperative regime after surgical treatment of the tendons’ rupture were quite empirical. The main reason: from the side of the time characteristics and the gradation of the mode of physical exercise lacked clear conceptual foundations [12].

The biggest difference between protocols of postoperative rehabilitation takes place in the early postoperative period. Such differences are observed in methods of influencing the tendon in the early stages of healing (during the first three-six weeks) [9, 24]. One note that early mobilization is the most common way of managing postoperative tendons [16, 20, 23, 24]. It is also preferred in studies: durations for immobilization (with the possibility of movement in the volume of 20° and walking the day after the operation) [13]; early axial loading [18, 19]; early loading of the tendon [23]. Sufficiently detailed protocols for functional management of patients are presented in the works of Nilsson-Helander K. [21] and Nicklas Olsson [22].

Aim of the study is to identify the features of recovery of the functional indexes of the ankle joint, the quality of life and to assess the consequences after physical rehabilitation at the rupture of the Achilles tendon.

Material and methods

Participants. The materials of the work were obtained during the research on the basis of the State Institution “Institute of Traumatology and Orthopedics of the
National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine” and the Consultative-Diagnostic Center of Shevchenko District (Kyiv). Patients (n=59) aged 34 to 57 years (including 67.8% men and 32.2% women) participated in the study. Patients were referred for surgery with a diagnosis of “Achilles tendon rupture” for years 2014-2016. Patients were divided into the main group (MG; n=30) and the control group (CG; n=29). The main group was engaged in the developed rehabilitation program, and the control group in the standard.

**Organization of research.** The developed rehabilitation program include renewed and expanded complexes such as: isometric and ideomotor exercises; exercises in the pool; varieties of walking; special active exercises for restoration of the amplitude of motion; for the restoration of the plantar flexion strength. in the addition to the developed program were include corrective exercises, exercise with fitball, exercise bicycle, platform BAPS, round-bottomed shoes, physiotherapy methods, massage. In the period after the operation, three periods are allocated: immobilization – 4 weeks (duration of the physical therapy from 15 minutes to 30 minutes 2-3 times a day); the period of partial immobilization – 4 weeks (up to 40 minutes twice a day); the period of restoration of strength endurance and strengthening of the musculoskeletal system of the shin – 8 weeks (up to 45 minutes, 1-2 times a day).

It was used: goniometry of plantar and dysplastic phlegm of the ankle joint (4, 8 and 16 weeks after surgery); assessment of quality of life according to Achilles tendon Total Rupture Score (8 and 16 weeks after surgery). It was conducted the evaluation of the results of patients’ treatment according to Leppilahti scale: consists of seven points, six of which have a maximum score of 15; one point is maximally estimated at 10 points [17] (16 weeks after the operation).

**Statistical analysis.** Materials of the study were worked out in the program of statistical analysis – IBM SPSS 21. Mathematical processing of numerical data was carried out using methods of variation statistics. The analysis of the correspondence of the type of distribution of the quantitative indices of the normal distribution law was checked by the criterion of Shapiro-Wilka (W). For quantitative indices with normal distribution, the average (x̄) and the mean square deviation (S) were determined. For quantitative indices with distinctions from normal distribution were additionally determined the median (Me) and the upper and lower quartiles (25%, 75%). The Wilcoxon criterion (for independent groups) was used to assess the significance of the difference between the baseline and control groups.

**Results**

The results of the statistical analysis of the obtained goniometric indices revealed the peculiarities of their dynamics and the existence of significant differences between the groups (Table 1). According to the results of the angle of planter flexion at the time of four weeks after surgery, the differences between the MG and CG were found (p<0.01) to be significant (Table 1). The average results were 29.1±1.19° in the MG and 28.1±1.13° in the CG. The subsequent examinations in eight and sixteen weeks did not reveal significant differences (p>0.05) in terms of the angle of planter flexion (Table 1). The average results in patients of the MG were 36.5±2.61° for eight weeks and 39.6±4.27° for sixteen weeks after surgery. In patients of the CG, these results were 35.8±3.08° and 39.1±4.12° respectively.

So, the dynamics of the deficiency of the amplitude of plantar flexion in the MG was as follow: in four weeks – 11.5±3.64°; in eight weeks – 4.2±2.58°; in the sixteen weeks 1,0±1,60°. Among the control group, the deficit of plantar flexion was: in four weeks 12.3±3.90°; in eight weeks – 4,5±2,06°; in the sixteen weeks 1,2±1,30°.

According to the results of the statistical analysis of the obtained indicators of the angle of the dorsal flexion,
at the time of four weeks after the operation between the groups was not found any significant differences (p>0.05) (Table 1). The average results of the MG were 15.7±1.16°. The average results of the CG were – 15.5±1.05°. In the eight weeks after the surgery the analysis of the results of the index of the dorsal flexion angle revealed a significant difference between the groups (p<0.01). At this stage, the average values were: in the MG and CG 6.0±1.10° and 4.1±1.34° respectively. A statistically significant difference was maintained at the time of the final survey (p<0.01). The average values are set at 11.9±2.15° in the MG and 8.4±1.97° in the CG.

So, the dynamics of the dorsal flexion amplitude deficiency in the MG was as follows: in four weeks – 30.8±2.64°; in eight weeks – 9.2±2.65°; at sixteen weeks 3.2±1.85°. Among the control group, the deficit of plantar flexion was as follows: in the four weeks 30.7±2.80°; in eight weeks – 11.0±2.81°; in sixteen weeks, 6.8±2.06°.

According to the results of the overall amplitude index, for all three examinations of the operated limb, it was found a statistically significant difference (p<0.05). In the main group, the overall amplitude was: in the period of four weeks 13.47±1.98°; in eight weeks – 42.5±3.12°; at sixteen – 51.6±5.98°. Among patients of the CG, the following values were recorded: 12.5±1.62°, 39.9±3.70° and 47.4±5.46° respectively.

In all three surveys the percentage of decrease in the total amplitude of the motion in the ankle (its deficiency) was statistically significantly different between the groups (Table 2). So at the time of the survey in four weeks after the surgery, the deficiency of the general amplitude was: in the MG 75.4±2.55%; in the CG – 76.9±2.39%. In eighth week after the surgery, this indicator improved and made up: in the MG 22.7±6.03%; in the CG 27.1±4.49%. At the final stage, the percentage reduction in the overall amplitude was: in the MG 6.8±4.28%; in the CG – 13.7±3.64%.

It should also be noted that the withdrawal of the ankle joint at 0° was observed on average: among the patients from the MG – by 11.7±1.12 days after the removal of gypsum immobilization [at Me (25; 75) – 12 (11; 13) days]; among the patients of the CG – 13.2±1.62 days (Me (25; 75) – 13 (12; 14)). The difference between this indicator was statistically significant (p<0.01).

The dynamics of quality of life are the main criterion for the effectiveness of physical rehabilitation [4]. The dynamics of quality of life were studied on the results of the questionnaire (Table 2), which is related to the symptoms and level of physical activity of patients.

The dynamics of Me (25; 75) indicators of the total quality of life score have the following features. The first application of the questionnaire in the eight weeks after the surgery has statistically better results in the MG. In the CG, the scores are lower (p<0.01), the value of Me (25; 75) is: in the MG – 41 (38; 44) points; in the CG – 36 (34; 39) points. The following and final questionnaires revealed that, at the sixteenth week after the surgery, the results of the general score increased in the groups of patients. The results remained significantly different (p<0.01) with the advantage in the main group (Table 5.6). Indicators of Me (25; 75) after the completion of the course of physical rehabilitation are as follow: in the MG – 82 (78; 84) points; in the CG – 74 (72; 77) points.

For evaluation of the results of treatment and consequences it was used the Leppilahi et al. Scale of assessment of the results of patients’ treatment [17].

According to the pain in the Scale of assessment both groups have high score (Table 3). The statistical difference in pain was not established (p>0.05). Note that the average score was: in the MG – 14.3±1.73 points; in the CG – 13.8±2.18 points.

The indicator of the MG and CG significantly differed from the point of the scale of “stiffness” (p<0.01). The statistical results were better in the MG (Table 3). The average value is set at the level: in the MG – 14.7±1.27 points; in the CG – 12.6±2.54 points.

The statistical analysis of the subjective characteristic of the scale of plantar flexors (the III point of the scale) established the existence of a significant difference between the results of the groups (p<0.01). Thus, the patients of the MG have significantly better results (Table 3). In addition, the average value was: in the MG – 8.7±2.92 points; in the CG – 6.7±2.42 points.

The statistical analysis of observations according to the “restriction in shoes” revealed a significant difference between the groups (p<0.01). This fact confirms the presence of better scores among the patients of the MG (Table 3). Also the higher was an average score of the patients of the MG – 8.7±2.25 points. The CG had 6.9±2.47 points.

The “amplitude” point reflects the degree of difference between the ranges of the ankle joints on the healthy and injured limbs of the patient. The “amplitude” point significantly differed (p<0.01) in the MG and CG (Table 3). Note that the average value was: in the MG – 13.2±2.45 points; in the CG – 10.0±3.27 points.

The point “subjective treatment result” indicated satisfaction with the result of treatment by the patient himself. This point had statistically significant difference between the average results of groups of patients (p<0.01). Note that the average score was: in the MG – 14.2±1.90 points; in the CG – 12.1±2.51 points.

According to the results of the “isokinetic strength” the statistical difference was also better in the MG (p<0.05). The average values of the indicator in the groups of patients were: the MG – 10.2±2.78 points; the CG – 8.6±2.27 points.

The total score by the Scale of assessment [17] was also significantly (p<0.01) better among the patients who have reducing treatment on the developed program. Note that the average values in the groups were: in the MG – 83.8±8.58; in the CG – 70.7±10.58 points.

In addition, in the distribution of the total score of the scale (according to Leppilahi et al. [17]) were revealed the peculiarities in the gradation (Figure 1): more than 90 points – excellent result; 89-75 points – good, 74-60 – satisfactory; less than 60 – unsatisfactory. Among the patients of the CG predominate the score as “excellent”
### Table 2. Statistical indicators of quality of life (Achilles tendon total Rupture Score) in the dynamics of rehabilitation, scores

| Index                          | Group | Time after surgery | 8 weeks | 16 weeks |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------------------|---------|----------|
|                                |       | Me (25; 75)        |         |          |
| Total score                    | MG    | 41 (38; 44)**      | 82 (78; 84)** |
|                                | CG    | 36 (34; 39)        | 74 (72; 77)  |
| Limitation at force            | MG    | 4 (3; 4)**         | 7 (7; 8)*  |
|                                | CG    | 3 (2; 3)           | 7 (6; 8)   |
| Limitation through tiredness   | MG    | 4 (4; 5)*          | 8 (7; 8)*  |
|                                | CG    | 4 (3; 4)           | 7 (7; 8)   |
| Limitation through immobility  | MG    | 5 (4; 6)**         | 8,5 (8; 9)** |
|                                | CG    | 4 (3; 5)           | 7 (6; 8)   |
| Limitation through pain        | MG    | 4 (3; 5)           | 7 (7; 8)** |
|                                | CG    | 7 (6; 7)**         | 9 (8; 9)** |
| Limitation in everyday activity| MG    | 6 (4; 7)           | 8 (7; 8)   |
|                                | CG    | 4 (3; 5)           | 7 (7; 8)   |
| Limitation in walking on uneven surface | MG | 5 (4; 6)* | 8 (8; 9)** |
|                                | CG    | 4 (3; 5)           | 7 (7; 8)   |
| Limitation in fast stair climbing | MG | 3 (3; 3) | 9 (8; 9)** |
|                                | CG    | 3 (3; 3)           | 8 (7; 8)   |
| Limitation in running          | MG    | 1 (0; 2)           | 8 (7; 8)** |
|                                | CG    | 1 (0; 2)           | 7 (7; 8)   |
| Limitation in jumping          | MG    | 1 (0; 2)           | 8 (7; 8)** |
|                                | CG    | 1 (0; 2)           | 7 (7; 8)   |
| Limitation in hard physical activity | MG | 6 (6; 7) | 8 (8; 9)   |
|                                | CG    | 6 (6; 7)           | 8 (8; 9)   |

Note. * - the difference between the indicator is statistically significant comparing to the control group at the level \( p<0,05 \); ** - \( p<0,01 \).

### Table 3. Statistical measures by the Scale of assessment (by Leppilahti et al. [17]) after rehabilitation, scores

| Index                                      | Group | Me (25%; 75%) |
|--------------------------------------------|-------|---------------|
| Pain                                       | MG    | 15 (15; 15)   |
|                                            | CG    | 15 (15; 15)   |
| Stiffness                                  | MG    | 15 (15; 15)** |
|                                            | CG    | 15 (10; 15)   |
| The strength of plantar flexors (subjectively) | MG | 10 (5; 10)**  |
|                                            | CG    | 5 (5; 10)     |
| The restriction in shoes                   | MG    | 10 (5; 10)**  |
|                                            | CG    | 5 (5; 10)     |
| Amplitude                                  | MG    | 15 (10; 15)** |
|                                            | CG    | 10 (10; 10)   |
| Subjective result                          | MG    | 15 (15; 15)** |
|                                            | CG    | 10 (10; 15)   |
| Isokinetic strength                        | MG    | 10 (10; 10)*  |
|                                            | CG    | 10 (5; 10)    |
| Total score                                | MG    | 85 (80; 90)** |
|                                            | CG    | 70 (65; 80)   |

Note. * - the difference between the indicator is statistically significant compared to the control group at the level \( p<0,05 \); ** - \( p<0,01 \).
and “good” (by 43.3%). Other patients (13.4%) had satisfactory treatment results. Among the patients of the CG, the distribution of indicators was worse. In the CG only a small percentage of patients (3.5%) were rated “excellent”. The largest proportion of patients in the CG was rated “good” (41.4%).

An essential proportion (31%) of patients of the CG received satisfactory result. In the CG, an unsatisfactory result was 24.1%. There was no satisfactory result in the MG.

Discussion
The obtained results point to the possibility of improving the effectiveness of physical rehabilitation in the application of a more intensive program. However, the algorithm for rehabilitation should never be transformed into a template. When creating a rehabilitation program, one should give preference to a method that can restore the performance of the patient with the lowest risk and in the shortest time with the best anatomical and functional result.

The dynamics of the indicators shows that the level of restoration of the functional state of the joint and modern methodological approaches to the construction of the rehabilitation program affect the quality of life of the patient.

The conducted research and the obtained results confirm statistical data on the dynamics of goniometric indices during restorative treatment. Thus, in the work of Ayub Hussein Mussa [1], it was reported that the athlete group did not differ from the control group of athletes after the surgical treatment of the Achilles tendon and the course of accelerated and intensive rehabilitation (the standard rehabilitation program was used). But according to the index of dorsal flexion there were significant differences between the groups of athletes. Thus, in this study the groups of athletes had similar dynamics in goniometry indices.

The research of Lantto I. [16] concerned the evaluation of long-term results (11.0±0.9 years) of the usage of various protocols of monitoring patients in the early postoperative period. The author found that the average score on Leppilahti scale was: in the group with early mobilization and use of the brace – 92.9±5.6; in the group with immobilization in a stretched state – 93.6±7.2 points. No statistical differences were found.

Willits K. et al. [27] investigated patients who had surgical intervention and conservative treatment. In two years after the acute rupture of Achilles tendon according to Leppilahti scale the indicators were as follow: 82 points – among patients who had surgical intervention; 83 points – among patients in the group of conservative treatment. These values are considered good, but not different.

Thus, the study of the effectiveness of surgical treatment, and rehabilitation measures should take into account biomechanical parameters and quality of life of patients.

Conclusions
The rupture of the Achilles tendon and immobilization after surgical treatment lead to a decrease in the amplitude of the dorsal and plantar flexion, quality of life.
A condition for improving the dynamics and results of the recovery process in patients after the Achilles tendon ruptures is modern methodological approaches in the organization of the rehabilitation process; taking into account the philosophy of the international classification of functioning and methods of determining the SMART goals; appropriate combination of means.

The restoration of the amplitude of the dorsal flexion is more slowly than planar. The dynamics of restoration of functional indicators of the lower limb and quality of life was significantly better among patients undergoing rehabilitation course on the developed program.
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