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Synthesis and Experimental Investigations of Tribological and Corrosion Performance of AZ61 Magnesium Alloy Hybrid Composites
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Magnesium alloy is the light weight material compared to aluminium alloy, and it possesses high strength; these alloys are used to manufacturing of vehicle parts. Magnesium alloy has extreme mechanical and thermal properties, and it is applied to aerospace applications. This study planned to improve the tribological and corrosion resistance of AZ61 magnesium alloy with reinforcement of boron carbide (B₄C) and zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂). Magnesium alloy hybrid composites are fabricated through stir casting process. Tribological and corrosion performance are analyzed through Taguchi L27 Orthogonal Array. In the tribological analysis, four parameters are involved such as % of reinforcement (4%, 8%, and 12%), disc speed (1 m/s, 2 m/s, and 3 m/s), normal load (30 N, 40 N, and 50 N), and sliding distance (1300 m, 1500 m, and 1700 m). Similarly, in salt spry corrosion analysis, four parameters are influenced such as % of reinforcement (4%, 8%, and 12%), pH (7, 8, and 9), temperature (30°C, 35°C, and 40°C), and hanging time (30 hrs, 40 hrs, and 50 hrs). From this analysis, percentage of reinforcement is highly influenced in wear test, and in corrosion test, temperature is extremely influenced.

1. Introduction

Normally, the composite materials are offered excellent mechanical properties and thermal properties. In composite preparation, the matrix material and reinforced particle selections are highly toughest one due to obtain of the desired properties [1]. Naturally, the pure magnesium is the light weight material compared to aluminum; it has 33% lighter in similar manner 75% lighter than steel material [2]. Magnesium possesses low density, and particular properties are lifting the consuming level of magnesium. For recent trends, the magnesium and its alloys are used in the high end applications. Magnesium is having some good quality characters, namely, excellent manufacturability, easy to fusion, and higher machinability [3–5]. All these points are considered to selection of materials with omitting of aluminium and such other materials. In machinability point of view, the magnesium has 50% higher than aluminium and...
possesses more energy [6–8]. In automobile sectors, magnesium is one of the substitute materials for aluminium for making of steering shaft, pistons, and brake components [9]. In major consideration in the engine design, the magnesium reduces the weight of the engine compared to cast iron [10–12]. It is a suitable one for replacing of cast iron engine and improving the vehicle mileage as well as reducing the fuel consumption. In the magnesium material, the wear occurrence takes place in moderate range but addition of reinforced particles is influenced to reduce the wear rate of the magnesium [13–15]. Hybrid composites generally possess high wear resistance as well as corrosion resistance even influencing of high performance parameters [16]. Taguchi optimization is one of the statistical tools to improve the mechanical properties, increasing the corrosion resistance, reduced the wear rate by the way of parameter optimization [17–20]. The main objective of this experimental work is focusing on to prepare the magnesium hybrid composites with influencing of boron carbide and zirconium oxide-reinforced particles. Further study of wear and corrosion rate of the fabricated composites is conducted through Taguchi tool.

2. Materials and Methods

In this experimental investigation, 2 kg of AZ61 magnesium alloy is purchased from the Andavan Arul Alloys, Chennai. Reinforced particles of boron carbide and zirconium dioxide are procured from Ashoka Marketing Agencies, Chennai, by each 500 g. Stir casting methodology is considered for this investigation to make a high strength magnesium alloy hybrid composites [21]. Bottom pouring stir casting equipment is used for this experimental work. Agitation process is controlled by electric motor in the stir casting process.

3. Experimental Work

Stir casting process is one of the economical ways to produce the hybrid composites within a short period. Initially, the reinforced particles are preheated in the crucible; different weight percentages (4%, 8%, and 12%) of boron carbide and zirconium oxide are preheated at 450°C for 5 hours in the crucible [22]. The preheating process remove the impurities present in the reinforced particles. Pure magnesium alloy is heated by applying of 800°C in the bottom pouring furnace; further, the preheated reinforce particles are mixed to the pure magnesium alloy. Mixing of preheated reinforced particles and the pure magnesium alloy are mixed well by using stirring action, the stirring process is controlled by electric controller in the motor [23]. Stirring speed is maintained as 400 rpm with 1 hour. After stirring action, the molten material is pouring into the die and allowed to cool; further, the cooled material is separated from the die. The required dimensions of wear and corrosion samples are sliced out from the casted magnesium hybrid composites.

3.1. Wear Test. Wear analysis is conducted through DUCOM model dry sliding wear test apparatus as shown in Figure 1. Specimens are prepared as per the ASTM G99 standard under the dimensions of 12 mm diameter and 30 mm length. Initially, the disc and specimens are cleaned well by using, and then, each sample is weighted initially with the help of digital balance [24]. EN 32 steel disc with 65 HRC is used for conducting of dry sliding wear test; different process parameters are involved to conduct the wear test such as % of reinforcement, disc speed, normal load, and sliding distance.)
The sample is placed vertically against to rotating disc; time taken to conduct wear test per sample is 20 min. Finally, after conducting the wear test, the samples are weighted to calculate the mass loss. Figure 2(a) illustrates the 27 numbers of wear test specimens.

### 3.2. Salt Spray Test

Salt spray test is one of the faster methods to analyze the corrosion resistance of the materials. In this work, initially, the samples are prepared as per the ASM standard ax (ASTM B117) with the dimensions of 30 mm diameter and 10 mm thickness. Samples are cleaned well and weighted; the initial weights are noted carefully for evaluating the difference of mass loss and also find the corrosion rate. Figure 2(b) shows the salt spray test specimens; initially, the specimens are loaded in the salt spray chamber such as all the specimens are hung in the chamber [25]. The salt spray model is CARELAB with the dimensions of 650 mm × 450 mm × 4010 mm. After loading the samples, the chamber cabin door is closed; using an atomizer, the 5% of NaCl solution is continually sprayed on the specimen’s surfaces [26]. The salt spray is achieved by using of pump circulation with constant flow rate. After reaching the specified time period, the specimens are taken out from the chamber and cleaned well with using of running water;

| S. no. | Parameters       | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|--------|------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| 1      | % of reinforcement | 4       | 8       | 12      |
| 2      | Disc speed (m/s)  | 1       | 2       | 3       |
| 3      | Normal load (N)   | 20      | 30      | 40      |
| 4      | Sliding distance (m) | 1300    | 1500    | 1700    |

| Exp. runs | % of reinforcement | Disc speed (m/s) | Normal load (N) | Sliding distance (m) | Wear rate (mm³/m) |
|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| 1         | 4                 | 1               | 20              | 1300                 | 0.0038            |
| 2         | 4                 | 1               | 20              | 1300                 | 0.046             |
| 3         | 4                 | 1               | 20              | 1300                 | 0.0034            |
| 4         | 4                 | 2               | 30              | 1500                 | 0.0341            |
| 5         | 4                 | 2               | 30              | 1500                 | 0.0117            |
| 6         | 4                 | 2               | 30              | 1500                 | 0.034             |
| 7         | 4                 | 3               | 40              | 1700                 | 0.0062            |
| 8         | 4                 | 3               | 40              | 1700                 | 0.027             |
| 9         | 4                 | 3               | 40              | 1700                 | 0.0071            |
| 10        | 8                 | 1               | 30              | 1700                 | 0.0023            |
| 11        | 8                 | 1               | 30              | 1700                 | 0.051             |
| 12        | 8                 | 1               | 30              | 1700                 | 0.0086            |
| 13        | 8                 | 2               | 40              | 1300                 | 0.0073            |
| 14        | 8                 | 2               | 40              | 1300                 | 0.0128            |
| 15        | 8                 | 2               | 40              | 1300                 | 0.0148            |
| 16        | 8                 | 3               | 20              | 1500                 | 0.0039            |
| 17        | 8                 | 3               | 20              | 1500                 | 0.0048            |
| 18        | 8                 | 3               | 20              | 1500                 | 0.0156            |
| 19        | 12                | 1               | 40              | 1500                 | 0.0028            |
| 20        | 12                | 1               | 40              | 1500                 | 0.0126            |
| 21        | 12                | 1               | 40              | 1500                 | 0.0018            |
| 22        | 12                | 2               | 20              | 1700                 | 0.0107            |
| 23        | 12                | 2               | 20              | 1700                 | 0.0061            |
| 24        | 12                | 2               | 20              | 1700                 | 0.0037            |
| 25        | 12                | 3               | 30              | 1300                 | 0.0027            |
| 26        | 12                | 3               | 30              | 1300                 | 0.0147            |
| 27        | 12                | 3               | 30              | 1300                 | 0.0025            |
further, the specimens are dried. All specimens are weighed and calculate the difference of mass loss [27].

Table 1 presented the wear test parameters and their levels; in wear test, four parameters such as % of reinforcement, disc speed, normal load, and sliding distance were selected. All four parameters have three levels to satisfy L27 OA. The wear rate is obtained with

\[
Wearrate \; (W) = \frac{W1 - W2}{Time \times Density}.
\]

### 4. Results and Discussion

#### 4.1. Wear Analysis

Influencing of four parameters and three levels was extremely influenced to estimate the wear rate of specimens. Table 2 presented the wear input parameters and the response of wear rate. From this wear test analysis, the minimum wear rate was recorded as 0.0018 mm³/m. The minimum wear rates attained by the influence of parameters were 12% of reinforcement, 1 m/s of disc speed, 40 N of normal load, and 1500 m of sliding distance. On the contrary, the maximum wear rate was occurred as 0.051 mm³/m by influencing 8% of reinforcement, 1 m/s of disc speed, 30 N of normal load, and 1700 m of sliding distance.

Table 2 and Table 3 presented the response tables of wear rate; these tables presented the higher influence parameter in priority order. Higher priority was illustrated by the delta and rank order; the percentage of reinforcement was higher priority in the wear test. Normal load was the second priority, disc speed was the third priority, and sliding distance was fourth priority. Optimal parameters of the wear rate was found as 12% of reinforcement, 3 m/s of disc speed, 40 N of normal load, and 1500 m of sliding distance.

Figures 3 and 4 represented the main effects plot for means and S/N ratios of the wear rate; increasing of reinforcement percentage decreases the wear rate. Maximum reinforcement 12% offered minimum wear rate; further increasing of disc speed also reduces the wear rate. Maximum level 3 m/s of disc speed offered minimum wear rate. Influencing of normal load such as 20 N to 30 N wear rates was increased; further, 30 N to 40 N of normal load, the wear rate was decreased. Finally, the 40 N of normal load recorded minimum wear rate. Consideration of sliding distance, 1500 m of sliding distance offered minimum wear rate; further increasing of 1500 m and 1700 m of sliding distance, the wear rate was increased. Figure 5 highlights the residual plot for the wear rate.

Normal probability plot represents that all the points were lying on the mean line; it tell about the selected
parameters, and the levels are good one. In versus fits plot, all the points were distributed uniformly, and within the limits, similar trends were observed in the versus order plot. All these points demonstrate that chosen model is appropriate one. In histogram plot, all the rectangles were skewed in normal position. Figure 6 demonstrates the parallel set plot for wear rate; Figure 6(a) shows the correlation between % of reinforcement and disc speed. From this parameter connection, the minimum wear rate was recorded by 12% of reinforcement and 1 m/s of disc speed.

Figure 6(b) illustrates the relations between disc speed and normal load. In this analysis, 1 m/s of disc speed and 40 N of normal load produced minimum wear rate. Figure 6(c) presents the connection between normal load and sliding distance. Minimum wear rate was registered by 40 N of normal load and 1500 m sliding distance. Figure 6(d) shows the minimum wear rate by 20 N of normal load and 12% of reinforcement.

4.2. Corrosion Analysis. Table 4 illustrates the corrosion input parameters and the response of corrosion rate. From this corrosion test analysis, the minimum corrosion rate was registered at 0.112 mm/year. The minimum corrosion rates obtained by the influence of parameters were 8% of
Figure 6: Continued.
reinforcement, 7 pH value, 35°C of temperature, and 50 hrs of hanging time.

Table 5 and Table 6 presented the response tables of corrosion rate; these tables illustrated the higher influence parameter in priority order. Based on the delta and rank values, the higher priority was decided; from this analysis, chamber temperature was a higher priority in the corrosion test. Further, the parameters were followed by pH value, hanging time, and percentage of reinforcement. Optimal parameters of the corrosion rate was recorded as 8% of reinforcement, 8 pH value, 35°C of temperature, and 50 hrs of hanging time.

Figures 7 and 8 illustrated the main effects plot for means and S/N ratios of the corrosion rate; increasing of reinforcement percentage decreases the corrosion rate. Minimum corrosion rate was obtained by 8% of reinforcement; similarly, increasing of pH value the corrosion rate was decreased. Minimum corrosion rate was recorded by influencing of 8 pH value. Increasing of temperature from 30°C to 35°C decreases the corrosion rate, 35°C of temperature offered minimum corrosion rate. Higher hanging hours such as 50 hours recorded minimum corrosion rate.

Figure 9 presented the residual plots for corrosion rate; this figure comprises the four plots in a single plot. From
Table 4: Summary of corrosion test process parameters and corrosion rate.

| Exp. runs | % of reinforcement | pH | Temperature (°C) | Hanging time (hrs) | Corrosion rate ×10⁻³ (mm/year) |
|-----------|--------------------|----|------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1         | 4                  | 7  | 30               | 30                 | 0.135                         |
| 2         | 4                  | 7  | 30               | 30                 | 0.178                         |
| 3         | 4                  | 7  | 30               | 30                 | 0.142                         |
| 4         | 4                  | 8  | 35               | 40                 | 0.131                         |
| 5         | 4                  | 8  | 35               | 40                 | 0.118                         |
| 6         | 4                  | 8  | 35               | 40                 | 0.127                         |
| 7         | 4                  | 9  | 40               | 50                 | 0.153                         |
| 8         | 4                  | 9  | 40               | 50                 | 0.149                         |
| 9         | 4                  | 9  | 40               | 50                 | 0.176                         |
| 10        | 8                  | 7  | 35               | 50                 | 0.112                         |
| 11        | 8                  | 7  | 35               | 50                 | 0.119                         |
| 12        | 8                  | 7  | 35               | 50                 | 0.125                         |
| 13        | 8                  | 8  | 40               | 30                 | 0.134                         |
| 14        | 8                  | 8  | 40               | 30                 | 0.142                         |
| 15        | 8                  | 8  | 40               | 30                 | 0.155                         |
| 16        | 8                  | 9  | 30               | 40                 | 0.193                         |
| 17        | 8                  | 9  | 30               | 40                 | 0.143                         |
| 18        | 8                  | 9  | 30               | 40                 | 0.161                         |
| 19        | 12                 | 7  | 40               | 40                 | 0.152                         |
| 20        | 12                 | 7  | 40               | 40                 | 0.149                         |
| 21        | 12                 | 7  | 40               | 40                 | 0.172                         |
| 22        | 12                 | 8  | 30               | 50                 | 0.134                         |
| 23        | 12                 | 8  | 30               | 50                 | 0.121                         |
| 24        | 12                 | 8  | 30               | 50                 | 0.182                         |
| 25        | 12                 | 9  | 35               | 30                 | 0.139                         |
| 26        | 12                 | 9  | 35               | 30                 | 0.127                         |
| 27        | 12                 | 9  | 35               | 30                 | 0.116                         |

Table 5: Response table for means (corrosion rate).

| Level | % of reinforcement | pH | Temperature (°C) | Hanging time (hrs) |
|-------|--------------------|----|------------------|--------------------|
| 1     | 0.1454             | 0.1427 | 0.1543         | 0.1409             |
| 2     | 0.1427             | 0.1382 | 0.1238         | 0.1496             |
| 3     | 0.1436             | 0.1508 | 0.1536         | 0.1412             |
| Delta | 0.0028             | 0.0126 | 0.0306         | 0.0087             |
| Rank  | 4                  | 2    | 1               | 3                  |

Table 6: Response table for signal to noise ratios (corrosion rate); smaller is better.

| Level | % of reinforcement | pH | Temperature (°C) | Hanging time (hrs) |
|-------|--------------------|----|------------------|--------------------|
| 1     | 16.76              | 16.95 | 16.15         | 17.01              |
| 2     | **16.96**          | **17.15** | **18.14** | 16.54              |
| 3     | 16.83              | 16.45 | 16.26         | **17.01**          |
| Delta | 0.20               | 0.70  | 1.98          | 0.47               |
| Rank  | 4                  | 2    | 1             | 3                  |
all the four plots, the data points were distributed uniformly and within the limits; hence, the selected parameters and model were suitable one. In the normal probability plot, all the data points touch the mean line; it was denoted that the model was accurate one.

Figure 10 illustrates the contour plot for corrosion rate; Figure 10(a) represents the correlation between % of reinforcement and pH value. In both of these parameters’ correlation, the minimum corrosion rate was recorded by 8% of reinforcement and 8 pH value. Figure 10(b) presented the pH value and temperature relations; for that, these parameters offered a minimum corrosion rate by 8 pH value and 35°C of temperature. Figure 10(c) presented the correlation between temperature and hanging time; both were offered a minimum corrosion rate such as 35°C of temperature and 50 hours of hanging time. Figure 10(c) demonstrates the connection between hanging time and % of reinforcement; 50 hours of hanging and 8% of reinforcement offered minimum corrosion rate.

Normally, the magnesium alloy material was light weight material; adding of reinforcement particles improves the wear properties. In stir casting process, the reinforced particles, namely, boron carbide (B₄C) and zirconium dioxide (ZrO₂), were highly melted and blended into the magnesium alloy. The uniform blending increases the mechanical properties of the magnesium composites; the enhanced strength reduces the wear rate of the composite and also reduces the corrosion. The hybrid reinforced particles make superior
Figure 9: Residual plots for corrosion rate.

Figure 10: Contour plot: (a) % of reinforcement vs. pH value; (b) pH value vs. temperature; (c) temperature vs. hanging time; (d) hanging time vs. % of reinforcement.
strength and offered excellent corrosion resistant to the composite material; it was evidently shown in the numerical analysis.

5. Conclusion

In this experimental investigation, magnesium alloy hybrid composites were prepared through stirring casting process with reinforcement of boron carbide and zirconium oxide. Using Taguchi analysis, the optimal parameters of the wear and corrosion test were evaluated successfully; finally, the minimum wear rate and corrosion rate were obtained, and the results were described as follows:

(i) From the wear test analysis, the minimum wear rate was recorded as 0.0018 mm³/m due to homogeneous mixture of the reinforced particles into the magnesium alloy composites. Higher percentage of reinforced particles improved the wear properties. In contrary, the lower percentage of reinforced particles is not influenced in the wear behavior of the composites; hence, the maximum wear rate was obtained in the wear test as 0.051 mm³/m. Optimal of the wear rate was recorded as 12% of reinforcement, 3 m/s of disc speed, 40 N of normal load, and 1500 m of sliding distance.

(ii) In the salt spray corrosion test analysis, minimum corrosion rate was recorded as 0.112 mm/year owing to uniform distribution of reinforced particles into the magnesium alloy composites. From the corrosion rate analysis, the optimal parameters were registered as 12% of reinforcement, 3 m/s of disc speed, 40 N of normal load, and 1500 m of sliding distance. Percentage of reinforcement was highly influenced in the wear analysis, and the chamber temperature was extremely influenced in the salt spray corrosion analysis.

Data Availability

The data used to support the findings of this study are included in the article. Should further data or information be required, these are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the technical assistance to complete this experimental work from the Department of Mechanical Engineering, Faculty of Manufacturing, Institute of Technology, Hawassa University, Ethiopia, and also Taif University Researchers Supporting Project number TURSP-2020/28, Taif University, Taif, Saudi Arabia. It was performed as a part of the Employment Hawassa University, Ethiopia.

References

[1] J. Zhu, J. Qi, Q. Guan, L. Ma, and R. Joyce, “Tribological behaviour of self-lubricating Mg matrix composites reinforced with silicon carbide and tungsten disulphide,” Tribology International, vol. 146, article 106253, 2020.
[2] W. Yu, D. Chen, L. Tian, H. Zhao, and X. Wang, “Self-lubricating and anisotropic wear behavior of AZ91D magnesium alloy reinforced with ternary Ti1-AlC MAX phases,” Journal of Materials Science and Technology, vol. 35, no. 3, pp. 275–284, 2019.
[3] S. K. Chourasiya, G. Gautam, and D. Singh, “Mechanical and tribological behavior of warm rolled Al-6Si-3graphite self-lubricating composite synthesized by spray forming process,” Silicon, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 831–842, 2020.
[4] R. A. Prasad, K. P. Vamshi, and R. N. Rao, “Tribological behaviour of Al6061–2SiC-xGr hybrid metal matrix nanocomposites fabricated through ultrasonically assisted stir casting technique,” Silicon, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 2853–2871, 2019.
[5] A. Moharami, “High-temperature tribological properties of friction stir processed Al-30Mg2Si composite,” Mater at High Temp, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 351–356, 2020.
[6] A. Maamari, K. Iqbal, and D. Nuruzzaman, “Wear and mechanical characterization of Mg-Gr self-lubricating composite fabricated by mechanical alloying,” Journal of Magnesium and Alloys, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 283–290, 2019.
[7] A. Kumar and A. Kumar, “Mechanical and dry sliding wear behavior of B₄C and rice husk ash reinforced Al 7075 alloy hybrid composite for armors application by using Taguchi techniques,” Materials Today: Proceedings, vol. 27, pp. 2617–2625, 2020.
[8] T. Singh, P. Patnaik, G. Fekete, R. Chauhan, and B. Gangil, “Application of hybrid analytical hierarchy process and complex proportional assessment approach for optimal design of brake friction materials,” Polymer Composites, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 1602–1608, 2019.
[9] M. Kumar, “Mechanical and sliding wear performance of AA356-Al2O3/SiC/graphite alloy composite materials: parametric and ranking optimization using Taguchi DOE and hybrid AHP-GRA method,” Silicon, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 2461–2477, 2021.
[10] A. Kumar and V. Kukshal, “Assessment of mechanical and sliding wear performance of Ni particulate filled Al7075 aluminium alloy composite,” Materials Today: Proceedings, vol. 44, pp. 4349–4356, 2020.
[11] A. Lotfy, A. V. Pozdniakov, V. S. Zolotorevskiy, M. T. Abou El-khair, A. Daoud, and A. G. Mochugovskiy, “Novel preparation of Al-5%Cu / BN and Si₃N₄ composites with analyzing microstructure, thermal and mechanical properties,” Materials Characterization, vol. 136, pp. 144–151, 2018.
[12] Y. Pazhouhanfar and B. Eghbali, “Microstructural characterization and mechanical properties of TiB₂ reinforced Al6061 matrix composites produced using stir casting process,” Materials Science and Engineering A, vol. 710, pp. 172–180, 2018.
[13] P. S. Reddy, R. Kesavan, and B. V. Ramnath, “Investigation of mechanical properties of aluminium 6061-silicon carbide, boron carbide metal matrix composite,” Silicon, vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 495–502, 2018.
[14] D. Bandhu, A. Thakur, R. Purohit, R. K. Verma, and K. Abhishek, “Characterization & evaluation of Al7075 MMCs reinforced with ceramic particulates and influence of age hardening on their tensile behavior,” Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology, vol. 32, no. 7, pp. 3123–3128, 2018.
[15] P. K. Yadav and G. Dixit, “Erosive-corrosive wear of aluminium-silicon matrix (AA336) and SiCp/TiB2p ceramic composites,” SILICON, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 1649–1660, 2019.

[16] J. David Raja Selvam, I. Dinaharan, R. S. Rai, and P. M. Mashinini, “Dry sliding wear behaviour of in-situ fabricated TiC particulate reinforced AA6061 aluminium alloy,” Tribol-Mater Surfaces Interfaces, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 1–11, 2019.

[17] A. Bhowmik, D. Dey, and A. Biswas, “Comparative study of microstructure, physical and mechanical characterization of SiC/TiB2 reinforced Aluminium matrix composite,” Silicon, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 2003–2010, 2020.

[18] V. Erturun, S. Cetin, and O. Sahin, “Investigation of microstructure of aluminium based composite material obtained by mechanical alloying,” Metals and Materials International, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1662–1670, 2021.

[19] R. Singh, M. Shadab, A. Dash, and R. N. Rai, “Characterization of dry sliding wear mechanisms of AA5083/B4C metal matrix composite,” Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering, vol. 41, no. 2, 2019.

[20] R. Ambigai and S. Prabhu, “Fuzzy logic algorithm based optimization of the tribological behavior of Al-Gr-Si3N4 hybrid composite,” Measurement, vol. 146, pp. 736–748, 2019.

[21] A. Bhowmik, D. Chakraborty, D. Dey, and A. Biswas, “Investigation on wear behaviour of Al7075-SiC metal matrix composites prepared by stir casting,” Materials Today: Proceedings, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 2992–2995, 2020.

[22] T. Sathish, V. Mohanavel, K. Ansari et al., “Synthesis and characterization of mechanical properties and wire cut EDM process parameters analysis in AZ61 magnesium alloy+ B4C+ SiC,” Materials, vol. 14, no. 13, p. 3689, 2021.

[23] T. Satyanarayana, P. S. Rao, and M. G. Krishna, “Influence of wear parameters on friction performance of A356 aluminum + graphite/ granite particles reinforced metal matrix hybrid composites,” Heliyon, vol. 5, no. 6, article e01770, 2019.

[24] N. Ramadoss, K. Pazhanivel, and G. Anbuchezhiyan, “Synthesis of B4C and BN reinforced Al7075 hybrid composites using stir casting method,” Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 6297–6304, 2020.

[25] T. Sathish and N. Sabarirajan, “Nano-alumina reinforcement on AA 8079 acquired from waste aluminium food containers for altering microhardness and wear resistance,” Journal of Materials Research and Technology, vol. 14, pp. 1494–1503, 2021.

[26] Y. Otani and S. Sasaki, “Effects of the addition of silicon to 7075 aluminum alloy on microstructure, mechanical properties, and selective laser melting processability,” Materials Science and Engineering A, vol. 777, article 139079, 2020.

[27] Y. Liu, A. Laurino, T. Hashimoto et al., “Corrosion behaviour of mechanically polished AA7075-T6 aluminium alloy,” Surface and Interface Analysis: An International Journal devoted to the development and application of techniques for the analysis of surfaces, interfaces and thin films, vol. 42, no. 4, pp. 185–188, 2010.