Outcomes of Pin and Plaster Versus Locking Plate in Distal Radius Intraarticular Fractures
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ABSTRACT

Background: Distal radius fractures are among the most prevalent fractures predictive of probable occurrence of other osteoporotic fractures. They are treated via a variety of methods, but the best treatment has not been defined yet.

Objectives: This study was performed to compare the results of open reduction and internal fixation with locking plates versus the pin and plaster method.

Materials and Methods: In this prospective study, 114 patients aged 40 to 60 years with Fernandez type III fracture referring to Imam-Reza and Mehr hospitals of Mashhad from 2009 to 2011, were selected randomly; after obtaining informed consent, they were treated with pin and plaster fixation (n = 57) or internal fixation with the volar locking plate (n = 57). They were compared at the one year follow up. Demographic features and standard radiographic indices were recorded and MAYO, DASH and SF-36 tests were performed. Data was analyzed by SPSS software version 13, with descriptive indices, Mann-Whitney and Chi-square tests.

Results: SF-36 test demonstrated a better general health (P < 0.001), mental health (P = 0.006), physical functioning (P < 0.001), social functioning (P < 0.001) and energy/fatigue (P < 0.001) in LCP group. However, pain (P = 0.647) was not significantly different between the groups. Physical limitation (P < 0.001) and emotional limitation (P < 0.001) were greater in the pin and plaster group. Also, in the LCP group mean MAYO score (P < 0.001) was more than pin and plaster group. Mean DASH score was not different between the groups (P = 0.218). The rate of acceptable results of radiographic indices (P < 0.001), grip strength (P < 0.001) and range of motion in supination-pronation (P < 0.001) in LCP method were better than the pin and plaster method.

Conclusions: In treatment of intra-articular distal radius fractures in middle-aged patients internal fixation with locking plates may be preferred to pin and plaster as the treatment of choice.
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1. Background
Distal radius fractures are the most prevalent osteoporotic fractures accounting for 16-47 percent of fractures (1, 2). These fractures, which mostly occur due to falling on open hand in elderly osteoporotic females, could predict the other probable osteoporotic fractures like pelvic fractures. There are several types of classifications. AO classification categorizes distal radius fractures into 3 groups, based on whether articular surface is involved or not (3). However, Fernandez categorizes distal radius fractures into five groups based on pathologic mechanism and the number of fractured pieces, and demonstrates the prognosis of the fracture perfectly. Types I, II, III and IV are due to bending, shearing, compressing, avulsion and high-velocity forces, respectively (3, 4). These fractures are accompanied with some complications such as median nerve damage (5), carpal tunnel syndrome (2), malunion (6), nonunion (2), strength loss, impaired forearm rotation, increase in transported force to the ulna and osteoarthritis (7, 8).

The best functional results, articular surface symmetry, and prevention of osteoarthritis in future, are the goals of treatment of distal radius intra-articular fractures (7). The first step in treatment is exact anatomic reduction (9, 10). We have to keep a balance between obtaining anatomic reduction, stable fixation, minimizing soft tissue damage and rapid movement for recovery in our selection of treatment options. Radiographic features for acceptable reduction of the distal radius consist of radial shortening less than 5mm, radial inclination more than 15mm, palmar tilt between 15 dorsal and 20 volar and articular surface step ≤ 2mm (2, 11). Treatment method must be determined by the fracture pattern, amount of displacement, stability of segments and articular surfaces, age and physical requirements of patients (12).

Different therapeutic methods have been proposed for these fractures; each have their own specific advantages and disadvantages. Pin and plaster is simple and common, but there are complications such as pin loosening, reduction failure, bone fracture at the site of the pin and infection (11). Green has shown acceptable results of pin and plaster treatment in 86% of distal radius intra-articular fractures in 75 patients (13). However, studies have demonstrated that plaster fixation often cannot preserve reduction and modify the length (14). In these cases, reduction will normally fail two weeks after plaster reduction (15). Spira reported unsuccessful results in 42% of intra-articular fractures treated with plaster as well (16).

Open reduction and internal fixation (ORIF) has some advantages such as increased stability and rapid return of movement in unstable and intra-articular distal radius fractures. ORIF with LCP has good to perfect radiographic and functional results in comminuted intra-articular distal radius fractures and minimizes the number of unacceptable results (17, 18). The complications are surgical trauma, devascularization of segments, wrist stiffness, tendon irritation or rupture and the need for plate removal. In addition, this invasive method cannot be performed everywhere (19-23). Regarding to common use of pin and plaster in distal radius fracture in our country and lack of research on comparison of results of closed reduction and the pin and plaster method with open reduction and fixation with LCP in Iran, we decided to assess the results of these two therapeutic methods in 40-60 year-old patients with intra-articular distal radius fractures.

2. Objectives
This study was undertaken to compare the results of open reduction and internal fixation with locking plates to the pin and plaster method.

3. Materials and Methods
In this prospective study, 114 patients aged 40 to 60 years with Fernandez type III fracture referring to Imam-Reza and Mehr hospitals of Mashhad from 2009 to 2011 were treated with either pin and plaster fixation (n = 57) or internal fixation with volar locking plates (n = 57); they were compared after one year. The selection was randomized after obtaining informed consent. Exclusion criteria included specific diseases (malignancy, upper limb vascular disorder, hyperparathyroidism, multiple trauma, osteoarthritis, and rheumatoid arthritis), pathologic fracture, open fracture, concomitant fracture of the carpal bones and distal of ulna and history of ipsilateral distal radius fracture.

Demographic features were recorded, patients were examined and radiographs were taken one year after treatment. Grip strength was measured by means of mercury barometer. When the cuff of the mercury barometer was inflated to a fixed number, the patient was asked to compress the cuff until mercury level rises. The numbers were recorded for both hands and grip strength was calculated in percentages. Results of treatment were evaluated by means of three tests, MAYO, DASH, and SF-36. MAYO test (MAYO wrist score) is answered on a scale from 0 to 100 and consists of 4 parts, including pain (0 - 25), range of motion (0 - 25), grip length (0 - 25), and function (0-25). A score of 100 shows normal function (24).

The DASH test includes 30 questions; 21 questions evaluate the ability of doing special functions and 9 questions evaluate the symptoms of patients with musculoskeletal problems of the upper limb. This test has a scale from 0 to 100 as well. Validity, reliability, internal consistency of the DASH test is high. Its Cronbach's alpha in English and Persian is 98 and 96, respectively (25, 26).

The SF-36 test is a 36-question test which measures physical and psychological health via 8 scales. They consist of physical function, physical limitation, pain, general health, energy/fatigue, social function, emotional function and mental health. This test is able to measure the...
quality of life, the load of disease and shows whether the
treatment is cost-benefiting or not. The scores are added
for each scale and converted to a 0-100 range. Reliability
of this test is 80 to 85 in the English version. The median
internal reliability of 8 items is 86 in the Persian version.
The correlation between results of these 8 parts is impor-
tant (27). Data was analyzed by SPSS software version 13.
Median and standard deviation indices, scales and algo-
rithms were used for descriptive statistics. The Mann-
Whitney test was used for comparison of quantitative
variables and Chi-square test was used for comparison of
qualitative variables.

4. Results

There were 21 women and 36 men in the pin and plas-
ter group and 17 women and 40 men in the locking plate
group; they were assessed and sex distribution did not
have significant difference in either group. The median
age in pin and plaster and locking plate group was 41.7
and 42.4 years respectively. The most frequent mechanism
of trauma was accidents in both groups. In all patients,
fracture was an acute presentation, and they were oper-
ated within the first 24 to 48 hours. General health, mental
health, physical functioning, social functioning and energy
were better in the locking plate group. Pain did not have
significant difference in either group, but physical and
emotional problems were more in pin and plate group.
Also, the median DASH score did not have significant dif-
ference in either group, but the median MAYO score was
significantly higher in the locking plate group.

Chi-square test demonstrated that the number of ac-
teptable cases of articular surface step, volar tilt, ulnar
variance and radial inclination in the locking plate group
was significantly greater. Also, the number of high-grade
osteoarthritis was less in the locking plate group. Other
complications include one case of infection at the ul-
nar pin site in the and plaster group, which responded
to debridement and antibiotic therapy. Also, two cases
of extensor tendon irritation due to exiting long screws
dorsally and one case of EPL tendon rupture in the volar
locking plate group.

5. Discussion

Distal radius fractures are the most common osteopo-
rotic fractures in the elderly (2). This fracture is more
common with predisposing factors such as osteoporosis,
loss of balance, and decrease in visual aquity. Several
studies have been performed to determine the best treat-
ment based on the articular surface involvement and the
number of frawgments. In this study, like some of previ-
sous studies (28), age and sex distribution did not have sig-
nificant difference in the groups and these two variables
have been controlled. The most frequent mechanism of
trauma in both groups was car accidents. It is obvious
that distal radius fracture in dominant hand will result in
more severe functional problems (29). We had the same
number of dominant hand involvement in both groups
and this variable had been controlled as well (Table 1).

| Table1: Demographic Information |
|---------------------------------|
|                                | Pin & Plaster | Locking Plate | P-value |
| Age                            | 41.7 ±1.7     | 42.4 ± 2.5    | 0.319   |
| Sex                            | Male 36 (%63.2) | 40 (%70.2)    | 0.551   |
|                                | Female 21 (%36.8) | 17 (%29.8)    |         |
| Trauma mechanism                | Falling 25 (%43.9) | 21 (%36.8)    | 0.001   |
|                                | Motorcycle Accident 24 (%42.1) | 28 (%49.1)    |         |
|                                | Occupational Accident 8 (%14) | 8 (%14)       |         |
| Hand involved                  | Dominant 33 (%57.9) | 28 (%49.1)    | 0.453   |
|                                | Non-Dominant 24 (%42.1) | 29 (%50.9)    |         |

In this study, SF-36 test showed better general and psy-
chological health in the locking plate group as was physi-
cal function and energy; but there was no significant
difference in pain between the groups. Physical and psy-
chological problems were seen more in the pin and plas-
ter group. Our results agreed with the results of previous
studies (Table 2). In studies of Phadnis (28), Kwan (29), and
Arora (30), all of which used ORIF, the median DASH score
was less than this study. Kilic used Q-DASH test and its me-
dian score was calculated 8.3; this is less than the median
DASH score of this study (31). Arora found no difference
in ORIF vs. Simple casting DASH score (32). Wright stated
that there was no significant difference in the DASH score
of ORIF and external fixator (33). But Rizzo found the
DASH score lower in ORIF vs. external fixator (34). This dif-
ference gradually decreases and there is no significant
difference after a year (35). In the study of Phandis, the
median MAYO score was greater than our study (36 ver-
In our study, radiographic results were significantly better in the locking plate group. In Kwan study 96-98% of patients had good to perfect results (29).

In another study, 88% of cases had good to perfect results (36). Arora reported that use of ORIF method in individuals aged more than 70 resulted in better radiographic results and less deformity (32). In a study by Lee, 40% of patients were completely pain free after ORIF treatment.
(37). Arora stated 71% were pain free (38). In our study, SF-36 test demonstrated that pain is the same in both methods of internal fixation with LCP and pin and plaster. In study of Arora, overall prevalence of complications was reported 27% and the most frequent complication was irritation and rupture of flexor and extensor tendons (39). In his study, prevalence of irritation and rupture of extensor tendons were 3.5% and 1.7% respectively. Several factors have role in selection of the therapeutic options in comminuted and intra-articular distal radius fractures. Daily requirements of patients have critical importance. In USA, selection of therapeutic option depends on age, location and insurance condition (38). Use of locking plates results in a perfect stable fracture reduction in osteoporotic bones. Although some studies have shown higher complications with locking plates it is the standard surgical treatment for intra-articular distal radius fractures in USA and Europe (30, 39). The use of locking plate in intra-articular distal radius fractures (Fernandez type III) in the elderly may be advantageous.
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