CASE REPORT

Respiratory failure after lung transplantation: extracorporeal membrane oxygenation as a rescue treatment
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INTRODUCTION

Hypoxemia is a frequent finding after lung transplantation (LTx) (1-2). The underlying mechanisms include alveoli collapse, diffuse alveolar damage, ventilation-perfusion mismatch, and alveolar-capillary membrane damage (3-5).

Primary graft dysfunction (PGD) represents a multifactorial injury to the transplanted lung that develops in 15-25% of patients during the first days after transplantation; it is variously referred to as "ischemia-reperfusion injury" and "early graft dysfunction" (6). PGD is characterized by severe hypoxemia, lung edema, and the radiographic appearance of diffuse pulmonary opacities in the absence of another identifiable cause (7). Despite significant advances in organ preservation, surgical technique, and perioperative care, PGD is responsible for significant morbidity and mortality after lung transplantation (8-9).

Most patients recover with intensive care unit (ICU) support that includes non-invasive and invasive ventilation, negative fluid balance, and nitric oxide. However, some patients with severe PGD develop refractory hypoxemia, resulting in shock, multiorgan failure, and mortality in 60% of cases (10-12). During the past few years, highlighted by the influenza-A H1N1 epidemic, gas exchange support using an extracorporeal membrane oxygenator (ECMO) has been used as life-saving therapy in severe cases of respiratory failure (13-15). We report the case of a patient with a severe form of PGD after lung transplantation who was successfully supported using veno-venous ECMO until respiratory recovery.

CASE DESCRIPTION

A 20-year-old female patient with cystic fibrosis underwent bilateral lung transplantation without cardiopulmonary bypass at InCor of Hospital das Clínicas do University of São Paulo. During the previous six months, the patient was hospitalized four times due to worsening dyspnea and hypoxemia. Just before lung transplantation, the patient presented with pneumonia and right lung atelectasis, with an increased need for oxygen and non-invasive ventilation (Figure 1).

Bilateral lung transplantation (LTx) was performed without complications. The ischemic time of the left graft was 660 minutes and that of the right graft was 415 minutes. The patient was not exposed to allogeneic blood transfusion, and fluid resuscitation was carried out with lactated Ringer’s solution and albumin. At the end of the surgery, the patient had a lactate level of 6 mmol/L and a mixed venous saturation (ScVO₂) of 75%, and the cardiac output was 4.8 L/min. After a 16-hour procedure, the patient was brought to the ICU using mechanical ventilation (MV), intubated and received norepinephrine (0.15 µg/Kg/min).

The patient presented no complications during the immediate postoperative period and was weaned from MV 18 hours after ICU arrival. However, on the third day after surgery, the patient developed respiratory failure due to severe hypoxemia (PaO₂/FiO₂ of 130 mmHg), with normal filling pressures (a central venous pressure of 7 mmHg and a wedge pressure of 12 mmHg). A chest X-ray revealed diffuse bilateral patchy opacities (Figure 2).

After approximately three hours of non-invasive mechanical ventilation and forced diuresis, respiratory function and gas exchange worsened (PO₂/FiO₂ of 100 mmHg and PaCO₂ of 124 mmHg), and the hemodynamics of the patient progressively deteriorated. She presented a mean blood pressure of 50 mmHg, profuse sweating, and delayed peripheral perfusion. The patient was then placed under assisted pressure-controlled mechanical ventilation with an inspired oxygen fraction (FiO₂) of 1.0, a positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 14 cmH₂O, an inspiratory pressure of 26 cmH₂O (12 cmH₂O driving pressure), an inspiratory time of 0.80 seconds and a respiratory rate of 30. Applying these parameters, the arterial blood gas presented a PaO₂ of 54 mmHg, a PaCO₂ of 118 mmHg, a pH of 7.12 and an oxygen saturation of 80%. Subsequent tests revealed a progressive worsening of the physiological parameters, with a ScVO₂ of 48% and lactate of 8 mmol/L. Hypoxemia and hypercapnia were persistent and refractory to recruitment.
maneuvers, with PEEP values of 20-40 cmH₂O. A transesophageal echocardiogram was performed and showed no abnormality at the pulmonary vein anastomoses. Given the imminent risk of death from refractory hypoxemia, six hours after invasive mechanical ventilation, the ECMO team at our institution began veno-venous ECMO support as a rescue procedure. Using the Seldinger technique, 20-Fr draining cannulae were inserted into the left common femoral vein, and a return cannula was placed into the right jugular vein. The location was guided using ultrasound. A centrifuge magnetic pump with a polymethylpentene oxygenation membrane (Rotaflow/Jostra Quadrox, Maquet Cardiopulmonary AG, Hirrlingen, Germany) was used. Initially, the blood flow was maintained at 500 mL per minute until the system was filled with blood. The blood flow and sweeper (gas) flow were subsequently increased to 2,000 mL per minute. The blood flow and sweeper flow were then manipulated to target a peripheral oxygen saturation of at least 90%. Anticoagulation with heparin was started with 15 U/Kg of heparin per hour, with the aim of reaching an activated partial thromboplastin time ratio of 1.5–2.0. After two hours of veno-venous ECMO support, gas analysis revealed increasing of PO₂/FiO₂ to 220, decreased levels of PaCO₂ to 45 mmHg, and improvement of the physiologic parameters (lactate 2.5 mmol/L, ScVO₂ of 75%) and weaning of norepinephrine.

Mechanical ventilation was adjusted to achieve a positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) of 10 cmH₂O, an inspired fraction of oxygen (FiO₂) of 0.4, a driving pressure lower than 10 cmH₂O, and a respiratory rate of 10 breaths per minute (5). The parameters that were checked daily included arterial blood gases, clots in the system that were visible through transillumination, pump cannula auscultation, and flowmeter lubrification to maintain a good signal quality.

The ECMO blood flow was adjusted to maintain the PaO₂ above 55 mmHg, and the sweeper flow was adjusted to maintain the pH=7.3 (through PaCO₂ modulation). Fentanyl was used as an analgesic and sedative to reach a Richmond agitation sedation scale (RASS) score of zero and no pain. The body temperature was kept between 36 and 37 degrees Celsius using an external apparatus adapted to the ECMO system (Figure 3).

A weaning (autonomy) test from ECMO support was carried out daily. Five days after treatment, the patient presented a PO₂/FiO₂ of 230 mmHg, and the FiO₂ set was adjusted to 0.6 in the ECMO. The sedation was interrupted, and as patient maintained adequate arterial saturation and a respiratory rate of 20 breaths per minute while in spontaneous mode in mechanical ventilator with FiO₂ of 0.30, she was successfully weaned from invasive ventilation. The patient stayed in ECMO during the next two days in an awake and cooperative state with no pain, at which point she was considered able to have the ECMO support removed. The decannulation was performed at the bedside without complications. The patient was discharged from the ICU after recovering lung function without complications.
DISCUSSION

Respiratory support with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) has been used since 1971, with varied results (16-19). In 2009, the influenza-A epidemic renewed the interest in this therapy, which had shown efficacy in treating refractory hypoxemia in many patients worldwide (20-21). The CESAR trial revealed a reduction in mortality at six months with ECMO compared with conventional protective mechanical ventilation in severe ARDS patients (22).

In conjunction with lung transplantation, ECMO may be useful as a temporary support for respiratory failure while patients are waiting for the organ and after transplantation in cases of refractory hypoxemia (23-25). Most cases of severe hypoxemia after LTx are due to PGD and result in high rates of mortality. A few single-center experiences have been reported, with relatively few cases of ECMO after LTx (26-27). The Extracorporeal Life Support Organization (ELSO) registry, which was established to improve the quality and outcome of extracorporeal life support (ECLS) in patients treated with ECMO, currently includes 151 post-LTx patients with PGD (28). The mean age is 35 ± 18 years. Indications for LTx included acute respiratory distress syndrome, (15%), cystic fibrosis (15%), idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (8%), primary pulmonary hypertension, (10%), emphysema (15%), acute lung failure (11%), other (23%), and unknown (3%). The ECMO run time was 140 ± 212 hours. Veno-venous ECMO was used in 25 patients, venoarterial in 89 patients, and other modes in 15 patients (unknown in 22 patients). ECMO was discontinued in 93 patients because of lung recovery. It was also discontinued in 29 patients with multiorgan failure, 22 patients who died with no further specification, and seven patients for other reasons. In total, 63 (42%) of the patients survived the hospital stay. The major complications during ECMO included hemorrhage (52%), hemodialysis (42%), neurologic complications (12%), cardiac complications (28%), inotropic support (77%), and sepsis (15%) (28).

In our patient, ECMO was placed on the third day after LTx due to refractory hypoxemia and hypercapnic acidosis. The patient presented no complications of the treatment, and the duration spent in ECMO was 168 hours. The V-V ECMO allowed adequate ventilatory support and resulted in a reversion of the acidosis and shock. The choice of ECMO modality (veno-venous or veno-arterial) depends on the hemodynamic stability and need for cardiac support (29). The veno-venous system is usually preferred in stable patients because it involves an easier implant technique and fewer bleeding and thrombotic complications. In our patient, veno-venous ECMO was used once the patient presented a normal ejection fraction without right ventricle dysfunction, and the shock was interpreted as a consequence of hypoxemia and acidosis. After a few hours of treatment and the recovery of oxygenation, the shock reversed, highlighting the right indication of the system.

Although the ELSO registry was not primarily established to study ECMO in LTx, it provides valuable insights and evidence that there is indeed an appreciable salvage rate with the use of ECMO for PGD after LTx (28). Clearly, this is a high-risk patient population, and no single center can accumulate a large volume of ECMO experience for this specific indication.

The case under discussion underscores the importance of ECMO as a rescue therapy in patients undergoing lung transplantation who develop severe hypoxemia. The main challenges of this treatment are addressing the clear indications, costs, system availability, and team training.

The Hospital das Clinicas ECMO team was innovative in Brazil and has become a referral center with physicians, nurses, and physiotherapists to assist patients and train individuals to administer ECMO. In a recent paper from this team, a 40% survival rate was described when using ECMO as a respiratory and/or cardiovascular support (30).

The importance of this discussion is to call attention to the need to develop experience and perform more studies in patients treated with ECMO to better study the outcomes, determine the optimum treatment strategies, and optimize the patient and device selection, thus improving the outcomes of patients who require this unique therapy.
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