H$_2$ Cooling and Gravitational Collapse of Supersonically Induced Gas Objects
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Abstract

We study the formation and gravitational collapse of supersonically induced gas clouds (SIGOs) in the early universe. We run cosmological hydrodynamics simulations of SIGOs, including relative streaming motions between baryons and dark matter. Our simulations also follow nonequilibrium chemistry and molecular hydrogen cooling in primordial gas clouds. A number of SIGOs are formed in the run with fast-streaming motions of 2 times the rms of the cosmological velocity fluctuations. We identify a particular gas cloud that condenses by H$_2$ cooling without being hosted by a dark matter halo. The SIGO remains outside the virial radius of its closest halo, and it becomes Jeans unstable when the central gas-particle density reaches $\sim$100 cm$^{-3}$ with a temperature of $\sim$200 K. The corresponding Jeans mass is $\sim10^3 M_\odot$, and thus the formation of primordial stars or a star cluster is expected in the SIGO.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: Early universe (435); Cosmology (343); Hydrodynamical simulations (767); Population III stars (1285); High-redshift galaxy clusters (207)

1. Introduction

A broad range of observations, including the measurements of the cosmic microwave background radiation anisotropies and statistics of the large-scale galaxy distribution, has established the so-called standard cosmological model, in which the energy content of the universe is dominated by dark matter (DM) and dark energy. According to the model, cosmic structure develops hierarchically, with small subgalactic objects forming first in the early universe. It is thought that the first luminous objects were formed at $z \sim 30$–20, when the Dark Ages ended.

The first stars were born under peculiar physical conditions. There exist relative streaming motions between gas and dark matter, which originate from baryon acoustic oscillations in the photon–baryon fluid (Tseliakhovich & Hirata 2010). The typical value of the relative velocity is $v_{bcnc} \sim 30$ km s$^{-1}$ at the recombination epoch, which is about five times greater than the sound speed (here: supersonic). Also, the velocity field is coherent over a few megaparsec scales. The relative streaming velocity (SV) causes a significant impact on structure formation in the early universe. In order to examine the effect of the SV on early star formation, several hydrodynamics simulations have been performed incorporating SV. It has been shown that SV lowers the gas fraction in low-mass DM halos and prevents or delays the formation of star-forming gas clouds (Greif et al. 2011; Tseliakhovich et al. 2011; Fialkov et al. 2012; Naoz et al. 2012; Bovy & Dvorkin 2013; Schauer et al. 2019). The delay of star formation due to SV brings about different physical conditions than previously studied (Tanaka & Li 2014; Hirano et al. 2017, 2018; Kulkarni et al. 2020; Schauer et al. 2021b). For example, Hirano et al. (2017) find that SV generates strong turbulence in massive gas clouds and enhances the formation of supermassive stars. These previous studies focused on how SV affects the formation and evolution of gas clouds that are hosted by DM halos.

There is an interesting possibility that SV enables baryon density peaks to form outside of DM halos (Naoz & Narayan 2014). Such gaseous objects generated by the SV are called supersonically induced gas objects (SIGOs), which may be a new type of progenitor of primordial star clusters. SIGOs have already been identified in several recent simulations. For example, Popa et al. (2016) used hydrodynamic simulations with SV to show that gas-dominated objects are formed in the early universe. Later, Chiou et al. (2019, 2021) incorporated atomic hydrogen cooling in their hydrodynamics simulations and showed that a number of dense SIGOs are formed. However, it remains unclear whether or not, and how, stars are actually formed in SIGOs.

Molecular hydrogen cooling may play a vital role in the early universe. H$_2$ cooling can lower the temperature of primordial gas clouds to $\sim$200 K. Gas clouds with the corresponding Jeans mass of $\sim$1000 $M_\odot$ become gravitationally unstable to collapse, further forming stars (Yoshida et al. 2008). In the present paper, we perform cosmological simulations with SV in order to study the formation and evolution of SIGOs. We incorporate H$_2$ cooling and examine if SIGOs can cool and condense to form stars. The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we detail the simulation setup. In Section 3 we investigate how H$_2$ chemistry affects SIGOs’ formation under the gas-flowing environment and follow SIGOs’ collapse. We give our concluding remarks in Section 4.

Throughout the present paper, we adopt the standard ΛCDM cosmology with $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.73$, $\Omega_m = 0.27$, $\Omega_b = 0.044$, and $h = 0.71$.

2. Method

2.1. Cosmological Simulations

We use the cosmological simulation code AREPO (Springel 2010). We first run parent simulations employing 512$^3$ DM particles with a mass of $1.9 \times 10^3 M_\odot$ and 512$^3$
Voronoi mesh cells with a mass of $360 M_\odot$. The simulation box size is 1.4 comoving $h^{-1}$ Mpc on a side. We use a modified version of the CMBFAST code (Seljak & Zaldarriaga 1996) to generate the transfer functions for the initial conditions. The transfer function calculations incorporate the first-order scale-dependent temperature fluctuations (Naoz & Barkana 2005) and the effect of SV. As in Chiou et al. (2019, 2021), we generate the initial conditions by setting a large density fluctuation amplitude of $\sigma_8 = 1.7$. This choice is aimed at simulating a rare, overdense region in a large volume where structure forms early.

We run four simulations listed in Table 1. The naming convention is as follows: v2 or v0 represents with or without SV, and “H2” or “H” denotes whether H2 cooling is turned on. For Runs 2vH2 and 2vH, we add a coherent SV with $2\sigma = 11.8$ km s$^{-1}$ in the $x$ direction to the baryonic component at the initial redshift of $z_{ini} = 200$. We run the parent simulations to $z = 25$.

### 2.2. Chemistry and Cooling

We follow nonequilibrium chemical reactions and the associated radiative cooling in a primordial gas. We use the chemistry and cooling library GRACKLE (Smith et al. 2017; Chiaki & Wise 2019). The chemistry network includes 49 reactions for 15 primordial species: $e$, $H$, $H^+$, $He$, $He^+$, $He^{++}$, $H^-$, $H_2$, $H_2^+$, $D$, $D^+$, $HeH^+$, $D^-$, and $HD^+$. We include $H_2$ and HD molecular cooling. The radiative cooling rate by $H_2$ is calculated by following both rotational and vibrational transitions (Chiaki & Wise 2019).

### 2.3. Definition of SIGOs

We first identify nonlinear objects such as DM halos in essentially the same manner as in Popa et al. (2016) and Chiou et al. (2018). We run a friends-of-friends (FOF) group finder with a linking length of 0.2 times the mean particle separation (Dolag et al. 2009). The smallest DM halos contain typically $\sim 300$ DM particles. We also run the FOF finder to the gas components in order to identify “gas-only” objects that contain over 100 gas cells. The minimum mass of the gas halos and the DM halos are $3.68 \times 10^5 M_\odot$ and $6.04 \times 10^5 M_\odot$, respectively.

We calculate the gas mass fraction for the identified DM halos and gaseous clouds. Many of the detected gas clouds are filamentary, and thus it is not appropriate to measure the baryon fraction assuming spherical symmetry. We adopt an ellipsoid approximation introduced in Popa et al. (2016). We outline this procedure here for completion. First, for each gas halo/cloud identified by our FOF finder, we consider an ellipsoidal surface that surrounds all of the constituent gas cells. Then the major axis of the ellipsoid is reduced by a small amount of 0.5%. We repeat this procedure until the condition

$$\frac{a_{gas,n}}{a_{gas,0}} > \frac{N_{gas,n}}{N_{gas,0}},$$

or $N_{gas,n}/N_{gas,0} < 0.8$, is met, where $a_{gas,0}$ is the major axis of the original ellipsoid and $a_{gas,n}$ is that of the ellipsoid after the $n$th iteration. Similarly, $N_{gas,0}$ and $N_{gas,n}$ are the number of gas cells. This iterative procedure successively shrinks the long axis of a gas halo while retaining the high-density region. We then calculate the gas fraction of each ellipsoid as

$$f_{gas} = \frac{M_{gas}}{M_{gas} + M_{DM}},$$

where $M_{gas}$ and $M_{DM}$ are the masses of the gas cells and DM particles within the defined ellipsoid, respectively. We note that the mass center of a SIGO is taken as the center of its ellipsoid, whereas Schauer et al. (2021a) take the highest-density point as a center of a gas clump. We have checked both coordinates to find that the deviation is typically a small fraction (~0.1) of the size of the ellipsoid.

Finally, we identify SIGOs that satisfy the following two conditions: (1) The mass center of the gas cells is outside the virial radii of its closest DM halo(s), and (2) there are at least 32 gas cells and the gas mass fraction is greater than 0.6 in each defined ellipsoid. We note that the threshold value here is larger than the 0.4 adopted in Chiou et al. (2021). We have found that, when the critical value is set to 0.4, filamentary structures tend to be identified as SIGOs, especially in Run 0vH2, and many SIGOs are misidentified. We thus set $f_{gas, crit} = 0.6$.

#### 2.4. High-resolution Simulation with Smaller Box Size

We are not able to follow the evolution of SIGOs to $z < 25$ in our parent simulation. This is because the gravitational and hydrodynamical timescales become too short in other high-density star-forming regions in the simulated volume, and the calculations do not proceed.

We thus reconfigure and continue the simulation by ignoring the evolution of the other halos and gas clouds far from a target SIGO, but increasing the mass resolution in and around it. In practice, we cut out a cubic region of 10 physical kpc on a side centered at the SIGO. We then advance the “high-resolution” simulation by performing refinement of gas cells to ensure that the local Jeans length is always resolved with at least 64 cells. The simulation results are shown in Section 3.2.

In both our parent and high-resolution simulations, we do not include a Lyman–Werner radiation background because the background intensity is expected to be significant only at $z < 15$ according to the cosmological simulations of Agarwal et al. (2012).

### 3. Result

Figure 1 shows the projected DM distribution in Run 0vH2 and the gas distributions in Runs 0vH2, 2vH2, and 2vH at $z = 25$. Both Runs 2vH2 and 0vH2 include $H_2$ chemistry and cooling but Run 0vH2 does not include SV. Run 2vH includes SV but not $H_2$ chemistry. The effect of SV is clearly seen as coherent stream features from left to right in Runs 2vH2 and 2vH. Less small-scale structure is seen in Run 2vH2 and 2vH than in Run 0vH2 (Schauer et al. 2021a, 2021b). The comparison emphasizes the enhanced gas condensation by $H_2$.

#### Table 1

| Run    | $v_{bc}$ | H$_2$ Cooling |
|--------|----------|---------------|
| 0vH2   | 0        | Yes           |
| 0vH    | 0        | No            |
| 2vH2   | 2$\sigma$| Yes           |
| 2vH    | 2$\sigma$| No            |


At $z = 25$, we find the most abundant gas density peak in Run 0vH2, followed in order by 2vH2 and 2vH. SV with 2σ strongly suppresses gas clumping, but H$_2$ cooling enables gas condensation, as can be seen clearly by comparing Run 2vH2 and Run 2vH.

At $z = 25$, there are 831 isolated density peaks with $n > 10^3$ cm$^{-3}$ in Run 0vH2, and the corresponding number is 642, 2508, and 1758 in Run 2vH, 0vH2, and 0vH, respectively. There are significantly less SIGOs in each run. Without SV, only five SIGOs are found in Run 0vH2 at $z = 25$. This should be compared with 68 and 36 SIGOs in Run 2vH2 and 2vH. SV causes the gas density peaks to move fast with respect to the underlying DM, and some gas clouds start contracting while being outside of any DM halo. Note also that twice as many SIGOs are formed in Run 2vH2 than in Run 2vH at $z = 25$. This is due to enhanced gas condensation by H$_2$ cooling.

3.1. Gravitational Collapse of a SIGO

It is important to identify and study in detail SIGOs that can actually bear stars, if any exist. In this section, we discuss the evolution of a particular gas cloud “S1” that is identified in Run 2vH2. S1 is a self-gravitating cloud, which eventually collapses without being hosted by a DM halo. We select this cloud S1 because of its large mass and its distance from the nearby halo (s) so that it is less affected dynamically by the local structure than other SIGOs in Run 2vH2.

Figure 2 shows the large-scale environment around S1 and its time evolution from $z = 31$ to $z = 25$. S1 appears as a small gas clump in the center of the right panel ($z = 25$). When we fit S1 as an ellipsoid at $z = 25$, the length along the major axis is 1.15 kpc.

The large filamentary structure including S1 (progenitor) is formed by $z = 31$. The filamentary structure is shaped by the
underlying, but slightly displaced, DM filament shown by the contours in Figure 2. The gas density peak is shifted to the right from the peak of the DM owing to SV in the direction to the right. Interestingly, the gas filament starts moving back toward the gravitational potential of the DM structure from \(z = 31\) to \(25\), in the opposite direction to the SV. The mean velocity of the filament at \(z = 31\) is \(\sim 7\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}\), which is much larger than the mean SV of \(v_{\text{bc}} = 1.9\, \text{km\,s}^{-1}\).

At \(z = 25\), there is no obvious DM halo around the densest part where S1 is located. The distance between S1 and the closest DM halo is 1.1 physical kpc, which is over 4 times larger than the virial radius of the DM halo, which has a mass of \(6.16 \times 10^6\, M_\odot\). At that time, the local baryon fraction of S1 is \(f_{\text{gas}} = 0.67\). The central gas density of S1 is 8.0 cm\(^{-3}\), and the temperature is \(\sim 500\, \text{K}\). With these properties, S1 is still stable against gravitational collapse. To see this more quantitatively, we calculate the ratio of the enclosed gas mass to the Jeans mass,

\[
M_J = \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{c_s^3}{G^3/2 \rho^{1/2}},
\]

where \(\rho\) is the density, \(c_s\) is the speed of sound and \(G\) is the gravitational constant. We use mass-weighted mean values \(\rho\) (<\(r\)) and \(c_s\) (<\(r\)) within radius \(r\).

We find that the enclosed mass of S1 is less than the Jeans mass at all radii at \(z = 25\). This is consistent with the result of a recent study by Schauer et al. (2021a), who also find similar dense gas clumps located outside of its closest DM halos. However, Schauer et al. (2021a) argue that none of the gas clumps satisfies the Jeans instability condition for collapse because of the low gas number density of typically \(\sim 1-10\, \text{cm}^{-3}\). As we shall show in the next section, the particular gas cloud S1 in our simulation enters runaway collapse via Jeans instability.

It is worth noting here that there is another massive and dense gas clump, appearing in the bottom center of the panels in Figure 2. The gas clump is already forming at \(z = 31\); it is located inside the virial radii of its closest DM halo at \(z = 25\). Thus, it is similar to ordinary primordial gas clouds formed in DM mini halos. The DM halo is located about 30 comoving kpc away from S1 (Figure 2; there is also a \(\sim 20\, \text{kpc}\) separation in the \(z\) direction) and is unlikely to affect the formation of S1 either via feedback effects even if stars are formed there (Susa 2007) or via tidal effect, except that the whole filamentary structure surrounding S1 is slowly pulled by the gravity of the gas and DM halo.

We have checked whether a similar SIGO exists at or near the same position as S1 in Run 0vH2 and 2vH. In Run 2vH, there is neither clump nor density peak corresponding to S1. This is likely because H cooling is not efficient in diffuse, warm filaments. In 0vH2, \(\text{H}_2\) cooling is effective, and there is a gas cloud similar to S1, but it is hosted by a DM halo and thus has a low baryon fraction of \(f_{\text{gas}} = 0.18\); it is not a SIGO. We conclude that S1 in our Run 2vH2 is formed through the combined effects of the SV and \(\text{H}_2\) cooling.

### 3.2. High-resolution Simulation with Refinement

After we locate S1 at \(z = 25\) in the large-volume simulation (Section 2.1), we cut out the region around S1 and continue the high-resolution simulation (Section 2.4). Figure 3 shows the further evolution of S1 from \(z = 25\) to \(z = 21.4\), when it becomes Jeans unstable. The color map shows the gas number density around S1, and the color contours indicate DM mass overdensity. The distance between S1 and its closest DM halo is 0.9 kpc, which is four times larger than the halo’s virial radius of 0.24 kpc.

Figure 4 shows the physical properties of S1 at \(z = 21.4\). The top panel shows the gas-phase distribution in a density–temperature plane. It clearly indicates that \(\text{H}_2\) cooling is effective from 1 cm\(^{-3}\), which enables S1 to condense and to collapse gravitationally; the central gas density reaches \(\sim 100\, \text{cm}^{-3}\) and the temperature is close to 200 K. In order to examine the gravitational instability of S1 quantitatively, we calculate the ratio of the enclosed gas mass to the Jeans mass (bottom panel). At radius \(r = 50–100\) physical pc, the ratio is slightly above unity, suggesting that the cloud is Jeans unstable. We have followed further evolution of S1 until its density exceeds \(10^5\, \text{cm}^{-3}\) at \(z = 20.0\). Runaway collapse is triggered shortly after S1 becomes Jeans unstable.

We also calculate the ratio of contraction time to free-fall time for S1. During the evolution shown in Figure 3, the contraction time \((\rho/\bar{\rho})\) remains roughly the same as the free-fall time. The excess heat generated by the slow contraction of S1 is radiated away by \(\text{H}_2\) cooling. Because the molecular fraction is reaching \(f_{\text{H}_2} \sim 10^{-3}\) in S1, \(\text{H}_2\) cooling is effective, and S1 also contracts on the cooling timescale.
At the onset of gravitational collapse, the corresponding Jeans mass is \( M_{J,S1} \sim 10^5 M_\odot \), which is about 100 times larger than that of a typical primordial gas cloud hosted by a DM mini halo. This is because the density when S1 becomes Jeans unstable is low \((\sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-3})\) owing to slow contraction, the timescale of which roughly follows the H$_2$ cooling time. It is interesting that the large Jeans mass is consistent with the conclusion of Peebles & Dicke (1968), who studied the formation of primordial star clusters that are not hosted by dark halos.

4. Discussion

We have studied the formation and evolution of a cosmological SIGO. We have shown, for the first time, that a SIGO cools and condenses by H$_2$ cooling to a very high density, when it becomes unstable to gravitational runaway collapse. The massive SIGO is expected to form primordial stars.

The nature of SIGOs in cosmological simulations has been investigated in previous studies (e.g., Popa et al. 2016; Chiou et al. 2018, 2019, 2021; Lake et al. 2021). In a recent study by Schauer et al. (2021a), the formation and chemothermal evolution of SIGOs are studied in detail. They conclude that H$_2$ cooling alone is not sufficient for the gas clumps to condense down to low-enough temperatures for gravitational collapse.

In our simulations, we have found many SIGOs that end up being hosted by nearby DM halos and also SIGOs that do not condense by their own gravity and radiative cooling. We have run high-resolution simulations for several SIGOs and have found that some SIGOs eventually cool down to 200 K by H$_2$ cooling, reaching the gas density of \( \sim 100 \text{ cm}^{-3} \). We have found a SIGO (S1) that collapses to very high densities while being outside of DM halo(s).

In our future study, we will follow further evolution of the SIGO, which may even fragment and form a star cluster. Following the protostellar evolution of the member stars will
reveal the properties of the first star cluster. Because the SIGO we have studied here is DM deficient, it is a promising candidate progenitor of a globular cluster.

Further studies involving the statistics of star-forming SIGOs and their typical properties such as mass, baryon fraction, etc., will clarify the relationship between SIGOs and globular clusters.
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