Analysis of the pulse signal propagation in a turn of a meander line of two segments based on lattice diagrams
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Abstract. The analysis of the propagation of a pulse signal in a turn of a meander line of two segments is carried out. For this purpose, the plotting of lattice diagrams for the even and odd modes is carried out, as well as their subsequent comparison with the time responses to the pulse excitation in each node of the line. A complete coincidence of the amplitudes and arrival times of pulses obtained by different methods was obtained.

1. Introduction
An important stage in the design of radio-electronic equipment (REE) is to ensure the requirements for their electromagnetic compatibility (EMC). One of the EMC aspects is to ensure the REE noise immunity of critical objects [1]. Because the packaging of PCBs is becoming increasingly dense, the operating voltages of internal elements are decreasing, and the frequency range of useful signals is increasing, the REE is becoming more and more sensitive to electromagnetic excitations [2, 3].

During the exploitation of critical REE (for example, communication systems), the danger is the intentional use of generators of powerful ultrashort pulses (USPs) to destabilize the operation of the object [4]. The specificity of the USP excitation is that its interference can be perceived as useful signals, however, disrupting the digital exchange. Meanwhile at higher amplitudes, they penetrate through traditional protection means and lead to REE failure [5], even over power circuits [6]. Traditional devices are not always able to provide protection against USPs because of a number of disadvantages (dielectric breakdown at high voltages, parasitic parameters, low speed) [7]. Therefore, it is necessary to search for new approaches to ensure REE protection against USPs and to improve the known protection means.

One of the principles of protection against USPs is their decomposition into a sequence of pulses of lower (relative to the original) amplitudes in meander lines of a special configuration [8]. Traditionally, meander lines are used to delay a signal on a PCB when it is clocked at the receiving point. Therefore, a significant part of the study of meander lines is aimed at analyzing signal distortions in such structures [9, 10]. At the heart of the protection devices is the phenomenon of modal decomposition of the interference signal (decomposition into components due to the difference in their propagation speeds) [11]. Thus, using numerical simulation, the USP decomposition in a meander line turn with an irregular cross-section has been studied, and the first analysis results for a
meander turn consisting of two segments with different cross-section parameters are presented [12]. As a result, additional pulses at the line end were identified, which arise because of the mismatch at the junction of the segments. Cross-section optimization that increases the amplitudes of these pulses can be a resource for additional attenuation of the USP. Since the results of the analysis were obtained using numerical simulation, then for a more complete understanding of the causes of signal distortions, it is advisable to evaluate it analytically. Such estimates are easy to obtain on the basis of lattice diagrams [13]. Meanwhile, a turn of a meander line is a rather complex structure. Therefore, first, we analyze a simpler structure (a one-conductor transmission line of two segments) using lattice diagrams, but taking into account the termination of the second segment represented as open circuit (OC) and short circuit (SC) [14]. This choice of terminations is caused by the fact that the intersection between the conductors at the end of the meander is characterized by an OC for an even mode and an SC for an odd one. The aim of this paper is to analyze the propagation of a pulse signal in a turn of a meander line using lattice diagrams.

2. Initial data for simulation

For investigation, a turn of a meander microstrip line of two segments was chosen. The cross-section view of each segment of the turn corresponds to a pair of coupled lines (Figure 1a) with the following parameters: the widths of the conductor of the first \( w_1 \) and second \( w_2 \) segments are 400 and 200 μm, respectively; the foil thickness \( t \) is 35 μm; the distance between the conductors of the first and second segments \( s \) is 100 μm; the thickness of the substrate \( h \) is 510 μm; the relative permittivity of the substrate \( \varepsilon_r \) is 10; the distance from the edge of the structure to the conductor \( d \) is 3\( w \). Figure 1b shows the circuit diagram of the line under investigation.

3. Expressions for calculating the amplitude of the signal at the line junctions

To plot lattice diagrams, we need to calculate the amplitude of the signal voltage at each point of the line under investigation. As the turn of a meander line is essentially a pair of coupled lines shorted at the far end, then according to modal analysis techniques, the incident wave voltage in the coupled line is a linear combination of modal voltages. This suggests that the processes involved in the propagation of each of the modes are isolated from each other, even at the terminations [15]. Then, a pair of coupled lines can be considered as two unconnected single lines. In one of the lines, an even mode propagates, and in the other an odd one. Before calculating the amplitudes of the transmitted and
reflected waves for each of the modes at each point of the line, we first introduce the matrix of modal voltages of the incident wave $U_m$, the coefficients of which determine the amplitude of the incident wave of the even and odd modes at the beginning of the line

$$U_m = \begin{bmatrix} U_{m}^e \\ U_{m}^o \end{bmatrix} = TV^{-1}V$$

where $T_v$ is the matrix of eigenvectors of the product of Matrices L and C (conversion matrix), which for the pair of coupled lines with symmetrical cross-section has the view

$$T_v = \begin{bmatrix} T_{v11} & T_{v12} \\ T_{v21} & T_{v22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.707 & 0.707 \\ -0.707 & 0.707 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the vector $V$ upon excitation of one conductor of a pair of coupled lines has the view

$$V = \begin{bmatrix} E \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

where $E$ is the e.m.f. source.

Then the amplitude of the incident wave of the even and odd modes at the junction between the source and the first line segment will be determined by the expressions

$$U_e = U_m^e \frac{Z_{d1}}{Z_{d1} + R_l} T_{v11}, \quad U_o = U_m^o \frac{Z_{o1}}{Z_{o1} + R_l} T_{v12}$$

where $Z_{d/o1}$ is the impedance of the first segment for even and odd modes.

The amplitudes of the transmitted ($U_T$) and reflected ($U_R$) waves for the even and odd modes are determined by the expressions

$$U_{Te,o} = U_{e,o} \Gamma_{e,o}, \quad U_{Re,o} = U_{e,o} \Gamma_{Re,o}$$

where $\Gamma_{e,o}$ and $T_{e,o}$ are the transmission and reflection coefficients for even and odd modes at the junction, which for the junction between the source and the first segment will be calculated by the expressions from [13]

$$\Gamma_{e} = \frac{R_l - Z_{d1}}{R_l + Z_{d1}}, \quad \Gamma_{o} = \frac{R_l - Z_{o1}}{R_l + Z_{o1}}, \quad T_{Se} = 1 + \Gamma_{Se}, \quad T_{So} = 1 + \Gamma_{So},$$

for the junction between the first and second segments, the coefficients will be calculated by the expressions

$$\Gamma_{e12} = -\Gamma_{e21} = \frac{Z_{o2} - Z_{d1}}{Z_{o2} + Z_{d1}}, \quad \Gamma_{o12} = -\Gamma_{o21} = \frac{Z_{o2} - Z_{o1}}{Z_{o2} + Z_{o1}},$$

$$T_{e12} = 1 + \Gamma_{e12}, \quad T_{o12} = 1 + \Gamma_{o12}, \quad T_{e21} = 1 + \Gamma_{e21}, \quad T_{o21} = 1 + \Gamma_{o21},$$

for the junction between the second segment and the received unit, the coefficients will be calculated by the expressions

$$\Gamma_{e} = \frac{R_l - Z_{d2}}{R_l + Z_{d2}}, \quad \Gamma_{o} = \frac{R_l - Z_{o2}}{R_l + Z_{o2}}, \quad T_{Le} = 1 + \Gamma_{Le}, \quad T_{Lo} = 1 + \Gamma_{Lo}$$
where $Z_{e, o}$ is the impedance of the second segment for even and odd modes, $R_L$ is the resistance at the far end. As we noted above, the junction between the conductors at the end of the turn (at the interconnection between half-turns) for the even mode is characterized by OC, and for the odd mode by SC. Therefore, expressions (9) after simple transformations as $R_L \to \infty$ for even and $R_L \to 0$ for odd modes take the view

$$\Gamma_e = 1, \quad \Gamma_o = -1, \quad T_e = 2, \quad T_o = 0. \quad (10)$$

4. Analysis of lattice diagrams and time responses

To analyze the pulse signal propagation in a meander line of two segments, we plotted lattice diagrams (Figure 2) using expressions (5)–(10) for even and odd modes. On the left of the diagrams, the moments when pulses arrive at the line nodes are marked, and on the right, their amplitudes. To plot diagrams in the TALGAT software [16], we calculated the $C$ and $L$ matrices for the first and second segments

$$C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 169.35 & -39.54 \\ -39.54 & 169.35 \end{bmatrix} \text{pF/m}, \quad L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 431.85 & 157.28 \\ 157.28 & 431.85 \end{bmatrix} \text{nH/m},$$

$$C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 126.96 & -35.25 \\ -35.25 & 126.96 \end{bmatrix} \text{pF/m}, \quad L_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 549.74 & 215.15 \\ 215.15 & 549.74 \end{bmatrix} \text{nH/m}.$$

Moreover, on the basis of the $C$ and $L$ matrices, we calculated impedances and per-unit-length delays of the even and odd modes of the first ($Z_1 = 67.36 \Omega$, $Z_0 = 36.25 \Omega$, $\tau_1 = 8.74 \text{ ns/m}$, $\tau_2 = 8.37 \text{ ns/m}$) and the second ($Z_{1b} = 91.35 \Omega$, $Z_{o} = 45.37 \Omega$, $\tau_{1b} = 7.57 \text{ ns/m}$, $\tau_{ob} = 7.36 \text{ ns/m}$) segments.

![Figure 2. Lattice diagrams for even (a) and odd (b) modes](image-url)
It can be seen from the diagram in Figure 2a that the first reflection of the transmitted wave arises from the junction between the segments with the reflection coefficient $\Gamma_{e12}=0.151$, and the reflected pulse arrives at node $V_1$ at time $t_3$ with a signal amplitude of 36 mV. Simultaneously, the transmitted pulse arrives at node $V_1$ with a delay $t_2$ and an amplitude of 658 mV because of the coefficient $T_e=2$, according to (10). The second reflected pulse arrives at node $V_2$ with a delay $t_4$ and an amplitude of 279 mV, because of the reflection coefficient $\Gamma_e=1$ from node $V_3$. Following the considered principle, it is possible to estimate the amplitude of the pulse signal at any node of the line, taking into account all reflections up to its complete attenuation. It can be seen from the diagram in Figure 2b, that the first reflection of the transmitted wave occurs from the junction between the first and second segments with a reflection coefficient $\Gamma_{a12}=0.111$. The reflected pulse arrives at node $V_1$ with a delay $t_3$ and an amplitude of 30 mV. The next reflection with the coefficient $\Gamma_a=-1$ in accordance with (10) arises from the junction between the half-turns (at node $V_3$). The pulse of the incident wave is completely reflected from this junction and arrives at node $V_2$ at time $t_4$ with an amplitude of −207 mV. Subsequent pulses are re-reflections from the junctions. Note that for an odd mode, in accordance with the coefficient $T_o=0$, similarly to the case of an SC in Figure 2a at times $t_2$, $t_6$, $t_8$, $t_{13}$, $t_{15}$, and $t_{17}$, the signal amplitude at node $V_3$ is 0. Finally, note that in Figure 2, pulses are observed whose amplitudes are close to 0 because they nearly completely attenuate as a result of reflections.

To assess the correctness of the obtained results in the TALGAT software, the voltage waveforms were calculated at all nodes of the line in Figure 1b for even and odd modes (Figure 3). For clarity and the possibility to compare them with diagrams (Figure 2), the voltage waveforms in all nodes of the circuit are summarized in one graph. The amplitudes of all pulses of the even and odd modes completely coincide with the amplitudes in the diagrams in Figure 2 calculated by expressions (5), as can be seen from the graphs.
Figure 3. Voltage waveforms at the nodes of the circuit for even (a) and odd (b) modes

For the even mode, 15 pulses are observed in Figure 3a. The first three pulses are the main ones. Thus, the first pulse with an amplitude $U_1=286$ mV is an incident pulse, the second one with an amplitude $U_{1e}=329$ mV is a reflected pulse from the junction between the segments (node $V_2$) and propagating into the second segment, and the third one with an amplitude $U_{2e}=658$ mV is a reflected pulse from the junction between the second segment and the receiving unit (node $V_3$) and arriving at the end of the line. In addition to the main pulses, the graph contains pulses of different polarity, which are the result of reflections from the line junctions. For the odd mode, 10 pulses are observed in Figure 3b (due to $T_{lo}=0$). The first pulse is an incident wave pulse and has an amplitude $U_0=210$ mV, and the second is a transmitted wave pulse that arrives at node $V_2$ and has an amplitude $U_{1o}=233$ mV. All subsequent different polarity pulses are the result of multiple reflections from the junctions. Also, note that in Figure 3b at times $t_2, t_6, t_8, t_{13}$, and $t_{15}$, the signal amplitude at the $V_3$ node is 0, which is consistent with the diagram in Figure 2b. The amplitudes of the 9 and 12 pulses in Figure 3 are close to 0 because of attenuation resulting from reflections. Therefore, they are not plotted in the graphs.

5. Conclusion

The paper presents the analysis results for the pulse signal propagation in a turn of a meander line of two segments. Lattice diagrams for the even and odd line modes are plotted and then subsequently compared with the time responses at the line nodes. As a result, we revealed a complete coincidence of the amplitudes and arrival times of pulses obtained by different methods. In the future, it is advisable to study in detail the reflected pulses arising from the mismatch at the junctions of the segments as a resource for additional attenuation of USP amplitude.
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