Bowel intussusception in adult: Prevalence, diagnostic tools and therapy
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Abstract

Intussusception is defined as invagination of one segment of the bowel into an immediately adjacent segment. The intussusception refers to the proximal segment that invaginates into the distal segment, or the intussusception (recipient segment). Intussusception, more common occur in the small bowel and rarely involve only the large bowel. In direct contrast to pediatric etiologies, adult intussusception is associated with an identifiable cause in almost all the symptomatic cases while the idiopathic causes are extremely rare. As there are many common causes of acute abdomen, intussusception should be considered when more frequent etiologies have been ruled out. In this review, we discuss the symptoms, location, etiology, characteristics, diagnostic methods and treatment strategies of this rare and enigmatic clinical entity in adult.
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INTRODUCTION

The term intussusception refers to the invagination of a segment of the gastrointestinal tract into the lumen of an adjacent segment[1]. This condition lead to a transient or permanent bowel obstruction that can evolve even to intestinal ischemia. Intussusception is much more prevalent in children rather than in adult with an overall incidence in the second group of around 2-3 cases per million of the general population per-year[2].

Adult intussusceptions often onsets as an intermittent cramping abdominal pain associated with signs of bowel obstruction[3]. Diagnosis of intussusception in adult is challenging since the acute abdominal pain is at the same time a non-specific symptom and one of the most frequent complaint reported in the setting of emergency medicine.

Past medical history, physical exam and laboratory test can aid to increase the level of suspicion, but imaging is almost always needed to make diagnosis of bowel intussusception. Although abdominal computed tomography (CT) scan is useful in this setting, it has low specificity in differentiate malignant, benign or idiopathic lead points[4-6].

The optimal management for adult intussusception is still controversial, nevertheless its definitive treatment consists in surgical intervention with appropriate approach depending on the underlying etiology and location.

ETIOLOGY

Any perturbation of the normal pattern of intestinal peristalsis increase the risk of intussusception[7]. As opposed to the pediatric population, adult intussusception is commonly caused by a pathologic lead point; it can be located in the lumen of the bowel, inside the wall or extramural[8], and its occurrence is associated to an identifiable cause in 80%-90% of symptomatic cases[7,9,10]. The causes of adult intussusception are summarized in Table 1.

Malignant and benign neoplasms account for 60% of cases with a lead point; the remaining non-idiopathic cases are usually caused by postoperative adherences, Crohn’s disease, infections, intestinal ulcers, and Meckel diverticulum[7,11].

In a recent systematic review and meta-analysis from Hong et al[12] 1229 adults with intussusception were identified from 40 retrospective case series: Pooled rates of malignant and benign tumors and idiopathic etiologies were 32.9%, 37.4% and 15.1%, respectively.

According to several reports[7-9,11], when dividing etiologies by enteric and colonic location, the small bowel intussusception is more often caused by benign lesions. In contrast, colonic intussusception is more likely to have an underlying malignant lead point (often a colonic adenocarcinoma). When the small bowel intussusception is induced by malignant lesions these are often metastatic disease (i.e., carcinomatosis). Notably the ileocolic location in adult intussusception is a variant in which almost the totality of cases has a malignant lead point involving the ileocecal valve[9] (Table 2).
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Table 1 Causes of adult intussusception

| Benign                                      | Malignant                                                                 |
|---------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Enteric                                    | Adenocarcinoma, carcinoid tumors, leiomyosarcoma, lymphoma, malignant gastrointestinal stromal tumor, metastatic carcinoma, neuroendocrine tumor |
| Colonic                                    | Adenocarcinoma, lymphoma, sarcoma                                         |
| Adherences, coeliac disease, Crohn's disease, endometriosis, hamartoma, infections, Kaposi sarcoma, lipoma, Meckel diverticulum, neurofibroma, polyps (inflammatory, adenomatous), stromal tumor, tuberculosis |
| Adherences, inflammatory pseudopolyp, lipoma, polyps (inflammatory, adenomatous) |                                                                            |

Table 2 Frequent causes of adult intussusception located to ileocolic site

| Ileocolic                                      |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Malignant                                | Adenocarcinoma, metastatic carcinoma, lymphoma, gastrointestinal stromal tumor |

PREVALENCE

As previously mentioned, bowel intussusception afflicts children more than adults with an approximate ratio of 20 to 1. In fact intussusception in adult account for < 5% of all cases of intussusception and is found in 1% of patients with bowel obstruction[7], with a surgical report of less than 1 in 1300 abdominal operations[13]. Usually it involves adults, after the fifth decade, with no difference among male and female[8].

The bowel intussusception is commonly classified in four types according to the landmarks of its origin and extension: (1) Enteric type: the intussusception is limited to the small intestine; (2) Ileocolic type: the ileum passes the ileocolic segment, but the appendix does not invaginate; (3) Ileocecal type: the ileocecal portion invaginates into the ascending colon; and (4) Colocolonic type: the intussusception is limited to the colon and rectum (no anal protrusion).

Small bowel is more often involved by intussusception rather than large bowel. Based on the systematic review of Hong et al[12] the pooled rates of enteric, ileocolic, and colonic location types account for 49.5%, 29.1%, and 19.9%, respectively.

This predominance of enteric intussusception has its exception in the populations of the central and western Africa in which is most common the cecocolic intussusception (tropical intussusception)[14] probably for the interaction of dietary habits (high-fiber diet), genetics and gut microbiome features.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND CLINICAL PRESENTATION

The most common locations involved in intussusception are at the junctions between mobile and fixed segments of the bowel, such as between the freely-moving ileum and the retroperitoneal cecum[8].

Part of a proximal segment of the bowel slides into the next distal section. This event can lead to bowel obstruction and intestinal ischemia. The compromised blood flow to the affected segment can cause necrosis of the intestinal wall with bacterial translocation, peritonitis, sepsis and even perforation. The clinical scenario can be variable but usually characterized by acute intermittent or constant crampy abdominal pain, vomiting and bloating[15,16].

Pain is the most common symptom reported at a rate of up to 80% in several series[11,12,17,18].

The patient present abdominal tenderness and signs of systemic inflammatory response syndrome (i.e., hypothermia or hyperthermia, hypotension, and tachycardia). Fever is usually a sign of the onset of intestinal necrosis. Decreased or absent bowel sounds can be present as well as signs of parietal peritoneal irritation, failure to gas passage, abdominal masses, and diarrhoea even with bloody stool. Laboratory tests usually document increase of leukocytes count and inflammatory markers such as polymerase chain reaction.
DIAGNOSTIC TOOLS

As already extensively presented in previous studies, the preoperative diagnosis of bowel intussusception raises several questions to the doctor and in this regard, a paper published by Reijnen et al[19] report a preoperative diagnostic rate of 50%.

Intestinal intussusception presents considerable variability in the patient’s clinical presentation (abdominal pain, vomiting, nausea) and shows signs of palpable abdominal masses on objective examination.

To make a correct differential diagnosis with other similar intestinal pathologies, it is therefore useful to use radiodiagnostic instruments: abdomen X-ray, small bowel series with barium, abdominal ultrasound, abdominal CT.

Intussusceptions are classified according to location (enteroenteric, ileocolic, ileocecal, or colo-colic) and cause (benign, malignant, or idiopathic).

The abdomen X-ray (Figure 1) may reveal signs of intestinal obstruction (hydro-air levels, distension of the intestinal tract upstream, unexplained masses) which can occur in different abdominal quadrants depending on the level of obstruction (high or low)[20].

An upper gastrointestinal contrast entero-X-ray may show a "stacked coin" or "coil-spring" appearance, while the lower gastrointestinal contrast entero-X-ray, useful in patients with colic or ileus-colic obstruction, may show a “cup-shaped” filling defect or “spiral” or "coil-spring" appearances[20].

Another useful tool is ultrasound, a methodical operator dependent, which can show signs such as the “target” or “doughnut” in the transverse scans (Figure 2), or the "pseudo-kidney" sign or "hay-fork" sign in the longitudinal view[21].

CT is currently considered the gold standard for the intussusception diagnosis. Very sensitive, it can highlight the position, the nature of the mass and the relationship with the surrounding tissues[20].

The CT scan may help to find a lead-point intussusception that can be localized in the all bowel tract. The CT scan can also demonstrate some pathognomonic radiological aspects as target-like and the sausage-shaped soft tissue mass. These specific findings can be clearly visible or they can remain undetected due to edema; in these cases the classic three-layer appearance and anatomic detail are often lost and so an irregularity mass can show the intussusception. Mesenteric fat and blood vessels are barely visible.

THERAPY

As previously reported, adult’s intussusception is frequently cause by a pathologic lead point. For those reasons, treatment of bowel intussusception causing obstruction has typically involved surgery, often with bowel resection, as opposed to the pediatric population. The attempt of hydrostatic reduction in the adult population is not indicated; on the contrary, in the pediatric population this is the treatment of choice in the majority of cases; in fact, in this latter group of age the percentage of surgical treatment is so far less the 10% of the reported cases[22].

In recent series and retrospective review articles[23-26], the evidence that the increased use of cross sectional imaging such as CT has resulted in increment of the radiological diagnosis of intussusception, with a successful nonoperative management in many cases, has led to some degree of controversy regarding optimal management of these patients.

The main issues in the management of adult intussusception are: (1) When proceed with surgical exploration; (2) Once the surgical approach is the treatment of choice, whether attempt intraoperatively reduction or proceed direct to resection of the affected segments; and (3) Once the surgical approach is the treatment of choice, it should be performed open or laparoscopically.

In the most recent review article is reported that surgical exploration is the treatment of choice in case of: (1) Patients with signs and symptoms of acute abdomen; in this scenario abdominal exploration is the gold standard when symptoms of clinical obstruction are reported in association with radiological signs of obstruction, dehydration and increase of white blood cells along with inflammatory markers at laboratory tests; emergency exploration is mandatory in presence of signs of septic shock and peritonism (conditions almost always suggestive of intestinal ischemia); (2) Patients with diagnosis of intussusception with a mass visible on CT scan, also in the absence of clear clinical signs of acute abdomen; and (3) Patients with diagnosis of colonic or ileocolic intussusception, usually associate with neoplasm, also in the
absence of clear clinical signs of acute abdomen. In these setting, preoperative endoscopy can be done in order to confirm the presence of pathology and/or cancer[8].

On the other side, many reports suggest a "wait and see" strategy, with serial clinic and imaging evaluation to ensure spontaneous resolution in entero-enteric intussusceptions without lead point mass and short affected segment (< 3.5 cm)[24-26]. Based on the systematic review of Hong et al[12], it is important to remark that the pooled rate of patients that received this type of conservative treatment is less of 5% and is limited to patient with entero-enteric locations.

Undoubtedly, other controversy remains as to whether reduction of the intussusception should be attempted intraoperatively[27,28].

This controversy is related to the consideration that reducing the intussusception before resection carries risks of perforation and the theoretical possibility of dissemination of malignant cells during the attempt. The other theoretical risks of preliminary manipulation and reduction of an intussuscepted bowel is related to the endangerment of anastomotic complications of the manipulated friable and edematous bowel tissue[16,20].

On the other hand, the reduction of bowel intussusception is useful both to preserve important lengths of small bowel and to prevent possible development of short bowel syndrome, especially when the small bowel is the only tract involved because of its lower rate of association to malignancy[29,30].
On this point, we suggest that simple reduction is acceptable in post-traumatic or idiopathic intussusceptions, where no pathological cause could be identified, obviously after the exclusion of bowel ischaemia or perforation, especially in case of small bowel intussusception. Considering the high rate of primary adenocarcinoma, colonic intussusception should be resected en bloc without reduction to avoid potential intraluminal seeding or venous tumor dissemination: a formal resection using appropriate oncologic techniques are recommended, with the construction of a primary anastomosis between healthy and viable tissue. Finally, a selective approach seems appropriate for ileo-colic adult intussusception because of its intermediate nature between enteric and colonic sites[11,12,31].

The choice of preforming laparoscopic rather than open procedure depends both on the clinical condition of the patient and on surgeon’s laparoscopic experience[8,27].

A standardized laparoscopic technique to approach intussusception is not available, due to the all different possible causes and locations, some tips and tricks are reported in literature[8,32,33]: the pneumoperitoneum establishment must be performed with open laparoscopy at the umbillicum because of the high risk of bowel lesions with the Verres technique. Due to the rarity of the left-side’s intussusception is recommend to place the two additional 5-mm ports one in the left lower quadrant and the other suprapublically. If needed, other ports can be placed depending on the location of the pathology. During laparoscopy all four quadrants of the abdomen and the pelvis must be thoroughly explored; once the pathologic segment is found, it can either be resected or eviscerated and dealt with extracorporeally using small incision, depending on surgeon skill and severity of the occlusive syndrome related to intussusception. It is recommended to sample suspected fluid collections for culture as well as to biopsy suspected lesions.

**CONCLUSION**

Bowel intussusception in adult is a rare condition with acute onset or seldom-elusive progress. Clinicians and surgeons are not supported by designated scoring systems in this challenging diagnosis because of non-specific symptoms, and its preoperative identification is often missed or delayed. On the other hand, intussusception is a surgical emergency associated to high rates of mortality in case of delayed treatment, therefore it is important to think about this less common diagnostic possibility when facing an acute abdominal pain with sign of bowel obstruction.

The management of bowel intussusception in adult remains mainly surgical. The timing and type of approach depends on several factors such as the underlying causes, the severity of clinical presentation, the site and the length and vitality of the bowel segment involved.

Anyway, the increased use of cross sectional imaging has increased the early-diagnosis of intussusception, in many cases with a successful nonoperative management; such findings led to some questioning about the optimal management of these conditions.
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