Evaluation of the upregulation and surface expression of hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase in acute lymphoblastic leukemia and Burkitt’s B cell lymphoma
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study is to determine whether Hypoxanthine Guanine Phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) could be used as a biomarker for the diagnosis and treatment of B cell malignancies. With 4.3% of all new cancers diagnosed as Non-Hodgkin lymphoma, finding new biomarkers for the treatment of B cell cancers is an ongoing pursuit. HPRT is a nucleotide salvage pathway enzyme responsible for the synthesis of guanine and inosine throughout the cell cycle.

Methods: Raji cells were used for this analysis due to their high HPRT internal expression. Internal expression was evaluated utilizing western blotting and RNA sequencing. Surface localization was analyzed using flow cytometry, confocal microscopy, and membrane biotinylation. To determine the source of HPRT surface expression, a CRISPR knockdown of HPRT was generated and confirmed using western blotting. To determine clinical significance, patient blood samples were collected and analyzed for HPRT surface localization.

Results: We found surface localization of HPRT on both Raji cancer cells and in 77% of the malignant ALL samples analyzed and observed no significant expression in healthy cells. Surface expression was confirmed in Raji cells with confocal microscopy, where a direct overlap between HPRT specific antibodies and a membrane-specific dye was observed. HPRT was also detected in biotinylated membranes of Raji cells. Upon HPRT knockdown in Raji cells, we found a significant reduction in surface expression, which shows that the HPRT found on the surface originates from the cells themselves. Finally, we found that cells that had elevated levels of HPRT had a direct correlation to XRCC2, BRCA1, PIK3CA, MSH2, MSH6, WTYHV1, AK7, and BLMH expression and an inverse correlation to PRKD2, PTGS2, TCF7L2, CDH1, IL6R, MC1R, AMPD1, TLR6, and BAK1 expression. Of the 17 genes with significant correlation, 9 are involved in cellular proliferation and DNA synthesis, regulation, and repair.
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Background
Non-Hodgkin lymphomas (NHL) and lymphocytic leukemia (Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia and Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia) are hematological cancers that include more than 30 different cancers of B and T lymphocytes [1]. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma diagnoses made up 4.3% of all new cancer cases in 2017, demonstrating the prevalence of the disease in the United States [2]. In addition, leukemia is the most common malignancy in children, with ALL comprising approximately 26% of all childhood cancers [3, 4].

Cancer biomarkers are typically categorized as diagnostic, prognostic, or predictive. While diagnostic biomarkers identify the onset or presence of cancer, prognostic biomarkers inform physicians of clinical outcomes for their patients throughout treatment, and predictive biomarkers suggest how patients will respond to various treatment regimens[5]. A new category of surface biomarkers has emerged; these biomarkers function as targets for immunotherapy [6–10]. Currently, the most prominent immunotherapy biomarker for B cell malignancies is CD19 [11–15]. CD19 is a type I transmembrane protein expressed in normal and neoplastic B cells, and follicular dendritic cells [16]. CD19 has been used as a direct target for chimeric antigen receptors (CARs) as well as an antibody in bi-specific T-cell that directs cytotoxic T-cells to CD19 expressing B cells [16]. Currently, the only FDA approved CAR therapy targets are against CD19; these include Yescarta (axicabtagene ciloleucel) and Kymriah (Tisagenlecleucel) [17, 18]. A disadvantage of utilizing this biomarker target is that patients’ healthy B cell populations decrease because CD19 is not specific to cancer cells. Another disadvantage of targeting CD19 is that some tumors experience antigen loss which confers resistance to CD-19-targeted immunotherapy, and approximately 10–20% of patients relapse following treatment with CD19-CAR therapy [19, 20]. To aid in reducing antigen loss, researchers seek to identify new immunotherapy biomarkers that can be targeted to eliminate B cell malignancies. New targets such as CD22, CD20, and ROR1 have all shown promise in eliminating certain B cell malignancies, but further research is needed to expand targetable antigens on the surface of malignant cells [21–24].

Previous studies have found that there is variability in regards to hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase (HPRT) expression within malignant tissue [25], and as such it has been suggested that HPRT could be used as targetable biomarker for some solid malignancies [26]. We have designed this study to determine whether HPRT could be used as a targetable biomarker in the treatment of B cell malignancies [25, 27]. In doing this, we hope to identify additional biomarkers options to lessen the growing concern of antigen loss.

Methods
Chemicals
Anti-HPRT mouse monoclonal antibody (MA5-15274) used for flow cytometry was aliquoted and stored at $-20\, ^\circ\mathrm{C}$ (Thermo Fischer Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Anti-HPRT rabbit polyclonal antibody (ab10479) used for Western blot analysis were purchased from Abcam (Cambridge, United Kingdom) and stored at 4 °C. Anti-Mouse-FITC and anti-Rabbit-FITC antibodies (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were stored at 4 °C and were used in dark conditions. Goat-anti-rabbit-HRP secondary antibody was purchased from Abcam and stored at 4 °C.

Cell culture conditions
The Raji (CCL-86- human Burkitt’s B cell lymphoma) cell line was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (Rockville, MD, USA). NALM-6 cells were gifted by the University of Utah. Raji and NALM-6 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and 2 mM L-Glutamine (all from Hyclone, Logan, UT, USA). Cell media was replaced, and cells were passaged to maintain exponential conditions throughout experimentation. Cell viability was evaluated using trypan blue staining, and cells were utilized for all applications when viability exceeded 98%. All cells were grown at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. Raji cells were authenticated in May of 2016 by the University of Arizona Genetics Core.

Flow cytometry
The surface presence of HPRT was evaluated by measuring the fluorescence intensity of anti-HPRT antibodies as previously described [25]. Briefly, all samples were
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analyzed on a Blue/Red Attune (Applied Biosystems). Using unstained and isotype controls as guides, the positive population was determined by the overall shift in the fluorescent intensity. Each cell line was independently analyzed and the data was plotted using FlowJo Software (FlowJo Enterprise).

**Mononuclear cell separation**

Whole blood was collected from healthy volunteers under IRB approval (BYU X090281) with written informed consent. Blood was further diluted with PBS at a 1:1 ratio and layered on top of Lymphocyte Separation Medium (LSM) (Corning Incorporated, Corning, NY, USA) before being centrifuged for 30 min at 400×g. The buffy layer was collected and treated with a red blood cell lysis buffer (Biolegend, San Diego, California) before used immediately for experimentation.

**ALL patient samples**

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) samples were collected at diagnosis or relapse from patients after informed consent utilizing a biobank protocol at the Huntsman Cancer Institute in Salt Lake City, UT. Samples were frozen with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and albumin and further aliquoted for analysis. Following sufficient thawing at 37 °C, samples were washed with Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline (DPBS). After careful washing, cells were used for flow cytometry analysis and stained with similar procedures as previously described.

**Surface biotinylation and western blot analysis**

Cells were analyzed for surface presence of HPRT along with general expression within the cell using the Pierce Cell Surface Protein Isolation Kit (Thermo Scientific, Waltam, MA, USA). Briefly, 3 flasks of Raji cells were grown to 95% confluency and normal lymphocytes were obtained from healthy donors under IRB approval (#090281). Cells were washed and treated with sulfo NHS-SS-biotin. Following rocking on a shaker for 30 min at 4 °C, cells were quenched and treated with a lysis solution for 30 min at 4 °C. Cell lysate was added to a neutravidin gel and incubated for 60 min at room temperature. This solution was run through a filter and washed 4 times. The flow through, containing all unlabeled proteins not found in the plasma membrane, was collected and labeled “unlabeled cellular protein”. The biotin-labelled protein was then eluted from the column utilizing a 50 mM DTT solution and labelled “membrane fraction”. Samples were stored at −80 °C prior to evaluation with Western Blotting.

Both membrane and unlabeled cellular protein fractions were evaluated for HPRT presence using standard Western Blotting techniques described in Sewda et al. with slight modifications [22]. Briefly, protein was run on a 12% polyacrylamide gel under reducing conditions. Following membrane transfer, membranes were blocked with 5% milk and incubated with HPRT antibodies (1:1000 dilution) and subsequently treated with a Western Bright (Advanta, California, USA) HRP substrate and the image was captured on X-ray film. The film was then analyzed using ImageJ [28] software that calculated the protein expression within each band in reference to the GAPDH control. Samples were run in technical replicates and further confirmed with 3 biological replicates.

**Confocal microscopy**

Cells were evaluated qualitatively for HPRT surface localization using procedures previously described [26]. Briefly, cells were labeled with fluorescent antibodies against HPRT and the controls and imaged on a 15 mW Krypton/Argon laser (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Cells were not fixed to ensure minimal background fluorescence due to auto-fluorescence. Images were captured and processed using Laser Sharp Computer Software (Bio Rad Laboratories).

**HPRT knockdown**

The pSpCas9(BB)-2a- GFP CRISPR vector with an ‘NGG’ protospacer sequence was designed by the Zhang lab [29] and obtained from Addgene (Cambridge, MA, USA). Guide RNA design was conducted using the CRISPR Design tool created by MIT [30] with a sequence of “GCTTCATGCGGGCGTAAAAC”. Briefly, Raji cells were grown to a concentration of 4×10⁵ cells per mL and seeded in a 6-well plate. Following 24 h of growth, cells were transfected with a lipofectamine LTX reagent (Invitrogen Waltam, MA, USA). Briefly, 150 µl of OptiMEM (Gibco, Gaithersburg, MD) was incubated with 5–7 µl of lipofectamine LTX reagent while 250 µl of OptiMEM was incubated with approximately 2×10⁵ng of the CRISPR vector. The solutions were mixed together and incubated at room temperature for 30 min. The lipofectamine-DNA solution was then added to the Raji cells in a drop-wise fashion. Cells were grown for 3 days and then treated with media containing 6-thioguanine (6-TG) at a final concentration of 10 µg/µL. 6-TG is a nucleoside analog that is toxic to cells with a functional HPRT gene. Cells that survived the 6-TG treatment were grown to sufficient quantities to produce cell extract. This extract was analyzed by Western blotting using similar techniques described previously to confirm surviving cells were HPRT−/−. The final cell population was labeled “knockdown” to account for the incomplete knockout of HPRT in all cells. As the cell population did not result from a single clone, there were some HPRT expressing cells within the population after selection.
Gene expression analysis of malignant B cell lines and patient samples

We evaluated gene-expression levels for 105 genes across 79 malignant human B cell lines from the Broad Institute's Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia[31]. The genes chosen for this analysis were based on their association with cancer development and progression. Several sources were used to determine optimal genes of interest [32–43], and genes chosen were not strictly limited to blood cancers. Of the genes associated with cancer development, selections were made to include proteins involved in immunity, tumor suppression, metastasis, and general development. We used RNA-Sequencing data for protein-coding transcripts that had been generated using Illumina-based, short-read sequencing. These data had been processed using the kallisto software [44], then log-transformed and converted to transcripts-per-million values [45]. This data can be found at https://osf.io/gqrz9/files/ (matrices/CCLE/CCLE_tpm.csv.gz). We summed the transcript-level values to gene-level values and sorted the cell lines according to HPRT expression level, from high to low expression per sample. We parsed and prepared the data using Python (https://python.org, v.3.6.1) scripts. In making the heat map, we used the R (v.3.4.3) statistical package [46] and the Superheat package (v.0.1.0) [47].

Gene-expression analysis of adult B-acute lymphoblastic leukemia

We obtained gene-expression data for 191 patients who had been diagnosed with adult B-lineage.

Acute lymphoblastic leukemia. These data had been generated using NimbleGen Human Expression Arrays (which use 60mer probes). We obtained these data from Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE34861) in preprocessed form. We used the R statistical package (v.3.4.3) to plot these data.

Statistical analysis

ANOVA statistical analysis with the Tukey-Kramer multiple comparison method were used to analyze the flow cytometry data from all cell lines, representing the differential surface expression of HPRT for the various treatments. In addition, two-way ANOVA tests were performed to compare the mean expression of HPRT between RajiWT and knockdown cells. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 7 software. Differences were considered significant when a p-value was < 0.05.

When assessing relationships between HPRT expression and other genes, we used a Spearman correlation test to calculate correlation coefficients and two-sided p-values. In performing these calculations, we used the cor.test function in the stats package of the R (v.3.4.3) statistical software.

Results

Raji cells show a significant increase in HPRT localization on the plasma membrane while healthy cells have insignificant expression

Raji cells labeled with antibodies against HPRT had an average fluorescent population shift of 81.39% which was significantly different (p-value < 0.0001) from the isotype controls, which only experienced a 1.50% shift in the fluorescent population (Fig. 1a, c). Lymphocytes from healthy donors labeled with antibodies against HPRT had insignificant fluorescent shifts in the population (1.53%) when compared to isotype controls (p-value = 0.98) (Fig. 1b, d). These results indicate that HPRT has substantial presence on the surface of Raji cells and has insignificant presence on the surface of their normal counterparts.

To confirm surface localization, malignant and normal cells were analyzed using confocal microscopy to visualize direct overlap between the plasma membrane and HPRT binding. Raji cells had a direct overlap between the membrane specific dye and the FITC conjugated HPRT antibody resulting in a yellow merged image (Fig. 2b). This same overlap was not observed in normal lymphocytes as the HPRT binding was similar to that of the isotype control, showing that these cells had minimal HPRT expression (Fig. 2a). This analysis shows that HPRT associates strongly with the plasma membrane and has a significant surface presence on malignant Raji cells.

Biotinylated surface proteins show HPRT bound to the plasma membrane of Raji cells

To further confirm whether HPRT was bound to the plasma membrane of Raji cells, we biotinylated the surface proteins of Raji cells and normal cells, and probed for HPRT presence. This analysis revealed a band in the Raji membrane biotin sample that was absent from the normal lymphocyte membrane biotin sample and all other membrane controls. As expected, the band observed in the membrane fraction was smaller than that of the unlated cellular protein fraction as the amount of HPRT on the cell surface would be significantly less than the internal levels of the protein (Fig. 3). This analysis further confirmed the localization of HPRT on the cell surface of Raji cells and the absence of the enzyme on normal cells.

HPRT knockdown cells exhibited reduced levels of surface HPRT expression

To help confirm that the surface HPRT originated from the cells themselves, we created a knockdown of HPRT in Raji cells using a CRISPR system. Following adequate
selection, we determined that there was sufficient reduction of HPRT within the cells for analysis (Fig. 4). The average relative expression of the enzyme went from 47,628 in wild type Raji cells to 2254 in knockdown cells ($p$-value $= 0.0002$).

When evaluating HPRT knockdown cells for surface expression we found a significant ($p$-value $= 0.039$) decrease in the presence of the protein on the surface compared to the WT Raji counterparts (Fig. 5). We observed a shoulder in the population that we hypothesize are a result of the sample not being a true knockout, but a knockdown. While the knockdown cells did show slight significance in expression when compared to isotype controls ($p$-value $= 0.029$), this was far less
than the surface expression of HPRT in WT Raji cells \( (p\text{-value} = 0.0001) \). The overall average reduction in HPRT expression upon protein knockdown was approximately 20%. Further analysis with a true knockdown cell line will need to be evaluated to confirm these initial findings, but these data indicate that surface HPRT is directly produced within the cells.

### Analysis of patient samples shows that HPRT surface expression has clinical relevance

To determine whether the presence of HPRT was an artifact of cell culturing conditions or cell immortalization, we analyzed samples from patients with ALL to determine whether the phenomenon was also found within these patients. We found that 7 out of the 9 patient samples were positive for elevated HPRT on the cell’s surface and we saw an overall increase in fluorescence \( (p\text{-value} < 0.0001) \) upon anti-HPRT staining when compared to isotype controls. Samples with the highest fraction of HPRT positive cells observed had approximately 34% positives, while the lowest had 6.7%, with the average positive fraction around 25% for ALL patients (Fig. 6). This analysis showed that HPRT has relevance within a proportion of patients. This analysis also confirmed that HPRT surface localization is not a universal characteristic of malignant cells and patients should be evaluated on an individual basis.

### Differential gene expression between HPRT low and HPRT high expressing cancer cells

As we found variability between normal cells and malignant cells in regard to their relative HPRT expression, we further evaluated changes in gene expression between high-expressing and low-expressing cells to determine whether HPRT could have any potential influence on other cancer-associated genes. We assessed 79 different malignant B cell lines and ranked them according to their

---

**Fig. 2** HPRT expressed highly on the plasma membrane of Raji cells. Fluorescent HPRT antibodies were compared against a membrane specific dye to highlight overlap in binding. CD19 and CD44 were used as positive controls and isotype controls were used as negative controls to highlight nonspecific antibody binding. **a** Healthy lymphocytes did not have a significant presence of HPRT on the cell surface and levels were similar to isotype controls. **b** Raji cells showed a clear increase in fluorescence when analyzed for HPRT and there was a direct overlap between the membrane dye and the antibody treatment, indicating that HPRT is co-localized with the plasma membrane of Raji cells.
relative HPRT expression. Raji cells had the third highest expression of all cell lines evaluated, which we predicted, as there is significant surface presentation of the enzyme in Raji cells (Fig. 7).

Many other genes experienced a significant trend correlating to HPRT expression (Table 1). Genes that showed a direct positive correlation to HPRT were XRCC2 (p-value = 0.0045), BRCA1 (p-value = 0.0032), PIK3CA (p-value = 0.0034), MSH2 (p-value = 0.0445), MSH6 (p-value = 0.019), WDYHV1 (p-value = 0.0066), AK7 (p-value = 0.0452), and BLMH (p-value = 0.0498).

Genes that showed an inverse correlative relationship to HPRT were PRKD2 (p-value = 0.0109), PTGS2 (p-value = 0.0046), TCF7L2 (p-value = 0.0032), CDH1 (p-value = 0.0201), IL6R (p-value = 0.0054), MC1R (p-value = 0.0487), AMPD1 (p-value = 0.0227), TLR6 (p-value = 0.0401), and BAK1 (p-value = 0.0052). Although HPRT is not the sole contributor to these changes in gene expression, there may be a cascading relationship between HPRT levels and these genes as there are general trends either towards higher expression or lower expression when HPRT is elevated within the cells.
Flow analysis of HPRT knockdown Raji cells reveal a reduction in surface binding. Following knockdown of the HPRT gene in Raji cells, we analyzed surface HPRT expression in both knockdown Raji and wild type Raji cells. We found that there was a significant decrease in HPRT surface localization in the knockdown when compared to the wild type Raji cells.

**Fig. 5** Flow analysis of HPRT knockdown Raji cells reveal a reduction in surface binding. Following knockdown of the HPRT gene in Raji cells, we analyzed surface HPRT expression in both knockdown Raji and wild type Raji cells. We found that there was a significant decrease in HPRT surface localization in the knockdown when compared to the wild type Raji cells.
Low expressing B cell line shows no significant surface localization of HPRT

In order to determine whether the elevation of HPRT within the cell lines was contributing to the observed surface expression, we evaluated the surface localization of HPRT in Nalm-6 cells which have relatively low overall expression. In these cells we found that there was insignificant surface localization of HPRT (p-value = 0.995) when compared to isotype controls (Fig. 8). This would indicate that the surface expression of the protein is most likely linked to the elevated internal expression of the protein.

Fig. 6  ALL patients show elevated surface HPRT. Patient samples were stained with PI to discriminate against dead cells. APC conjugated secondary antibodies were used in conjunction with protein-specific primary antibodies to stain proteins of interest. Upon evaluation of 9 ALL patient samples, we found that 7 of them had elevated HPRT surface localization with an average fluorescent population shift of 25%. This indicates that the surface localization observed in Raji cells is also found within patients

ALL patients experience a similar diversity of HPRT expression as cell lines

As we saw a variety of different surface expression profiles in patient samples, we evaluated the distribution of overall HPRT expression within patients. We found that there was a similar spread of HPRT elevation within ALL patients with the highest expressing patients showing levels above 10x of the lower expressing patients according to mRNA levels (Fig. 9). This data along with previous data supports the hypothesis that HPRT surface expression is impacted by elevated overall internal mRNA and protein expression and
we hypothesize that the patients who experienced an insignificant surface localization of the protein had a lower overall expression profile compared to those that had higher levels.

Discussion
HPRT is an enzyme that plays a critical role in the cell cycle by providing essential nucleotides that support cell division and DNA replication. We have shown that
Table 1  HPRT gene correlations to 109 genes in 79 human malignant B cell lines in the CCLE dataset

| Gene name | Gene          | General function                                                     | p-value |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|
| Direct correlation |               |                                                                     |         |
|            | XRCC2         | DNA repair protein involved in homologous recombination.            | 0.0045  |
|            | BRCA1         | Tumor suppressor gene that maintains genomic stability via DNA damage repair, chromatin remodeling, transcriptional regulation and apoptosis. | 0.0032  |
|            | PIK3CA        | Involved in cell growth, survival, proliferation, motility and morphology. Also participates in cellular signaling in response to growth factors. | 0.0034  |
|            | MSH2          | Involved in mismatch repair system.                                  | 0.0445  |
|            | MSH6          | Involved in mismatch repair system.                                  | 0.019   |
|            | PIK3CA        | Involved in cell growth, survival, proliferation, motility and morphology. Also participates in cellular signaling in response to growth factors. | 0.0034  |
|            | WDYHV1        | Involved in the N-end rule pathway in protein degradation.          | 0.0066  |
|            | AK7           | Nucleoside monophosphate kinase that transfers phosphate groups between nucleoside triphosphates and monophosphates. | 0.0452  |
|            | BLMH          | Cysteine peptidase, hydrolyzes homocysteine thiolactone            | 0.0598  |
| Inverse correlation |               |                                                                     |         |
|            | PRKD2         | Regulation of cell proliferation via MAP1/3 signaling.              | 0.0109  |
|            | PTGS2         | Production of inflammatory prostaglandins                           | 0.0046  |
|            | TCF7L2        | Involved in the Wnt signaling pathway and modulates MYC expression.  | 0.0032  |
|            | CDH1          | Involved in mechanisms regulating cell-cell adhesion, mobility, and proliferation. | 0.0201  |
|            | IL6R          | Potent pleiotropic pro-inflammatory cytokine that regulates cell growth and differentiation. | 0.0054  |
|            | MC1R          | Produces melanin pigment                                             | 0.0487  |
|            | AMPD1         | Energy metabolism                                                   | 0.0227  |
|            | TLR6          | Innate immune response to Gram-positive bacteria and fungi         | 0.0401  |
|            | BAK1          | Controls the expression of genes associated with innate immunity in the absence of pathogens or elicitors. | 0.0052  |

**Fig. 8** Expression of HPRT in NALM-6 cells. NALM-6 cells expressed lower amounts of HPRT internally and we evaluated whether that impacted the overall surface expression of the protein. HLA antibodies were utilized as positive controls while p53 and isotype antibodies were used as negative controls for statistical analysis. We found that there was no significant surface localization of HPRT in NALM-6 cells.
HPRT is significantly elevated in some patient malignancies. This elevation appears to manifest via co-localization to the plasma membrane of the cell. Yet, this surface expression is not found on all malignant cells and we have shown that many cell lines have significant variation in regard to their expression of HPRT. As cell cycle regulation is a common target for mutation in malignant cells, we hypothesize that enzymes controlling the cell cycle are the most likely contributing factor to the differential HPRT expression within these cells [48, 49]. Additionally, we hypothesize that surface presence of the enzyme is related to an overabundance of the protein internally. This suggests, that cell lines with an unusually high level of HPRT will have significant surface expression of the protein. HPRT has been known to be found in vesicles transporting nucleosides to the plasma membrane and functions to take up hypoxanthine. In cells deficient in HPRT, hypoxanthine accumulates in the extracellular medium while the ribose moiety of inosine remains in the cell. Therefore, HPRT plays a critical role in nucleoside transport. Due to this role, HPRT has shown a relationship in mammalian cells to the plasma membrane, and has a 10-fold greater tendency to remain associated with the plasma membrane in mouse L929 cells when compared to adenosine kinase [50]. Because nucleoside transporters are required for translocation of nucleosides between intracellular compartments, they are found in the plasma membrane of most cell types. Therefore, as cancer cells are known to abundantly secrete extracellular vesicles [51], it is possible that the increase in vesicle transportation and nucleoside uptake could result in HPRT membrane localization in these cells. Additionally, as it has been shown that other human cancer cell lines have shown no apparent upregulation of the protein on the surface, we do not believe HPRT is associating with the membrane due to its release from dying cells [52] otherwise it would be a universal phenomenon.

HPRT could be used as a cancer-associated epitope for immunotherapy targeting as its expression is limited to malignant cells. New epitopes are required as cancer is an evolving disease and adapts to avoid immune detection [9]. There has been unprecedented success using CD19 Chimeric Antigen Receptors to target and kill malignant B cells [11–13, 16, 53]. Yet, this therapy is not cancer-specific and targets healthy cells as well. As a protein that appears to be found only on malignant cells, HPRT could serve as a safer target for patients with B cell malignancies, as they may maintain their healthy supply of B cells. HPRT could also serve as a novel biomarker to aid in increasing numbers of CD19-resistant cancers [19, 54]. Targeting HPRT could serve as an additional companion target to possibly treat cells that become resistant to current treatment regimes.

While HPRT is present on the surface of Raji cells, we hypothesize that only cells with significantly elevated HPRT production express the enzyme on the plasma membrane. Screening patients for surface HPRT would be feasible; a simple blood test would confirm whether
a patient was positive or negative. Our data indicates that HPRT surface localization is a relatively common occurrence in these B cell malignancies and could be a valuable biomarker in future therapeutic treatments or as a companion biomarker for cancer cell identification and classification. HPRT would not be suitable for use as a single diagnostic due to the variable nature of its expression between patients, but if a symptomatic patient presented with elevated HPRT it might suggest the presence of an underlying B cell malignancy, warranting further evaluation. Thus, HPRT might be a useful screening biomarker to identify patients who might have an underlying B-cell malignancy. Future work will need to be conducted using a larger number of patient samples to determine whether targeting HPRT would be technically feasible and beneficial from a therapeutic standpoint.

While the surface expression of HPRT may be useful as a biomarker for diagnosis and treatment, novel correlations between HPRT and other genes may highlight possible regulatory roles that HPRT plays within the cell. Of the 17 genes that had a significant correlation to HPRT expression, 9 are involved in cellular proliferation and DNA synthesis/repair. With this in mind, HPRT may be responsible for additional regulation of cellular proliferation outside of nucleotide synthesis and may interact or direct other genes. Another possibility is that the same genes that are regulating cellular proliferation in these genes may also influence HPRT expression. On an interesting note, of the 9 genes with an inverse correlation relationship with HPRT expression, 4 genes (PTGS2, IL-6R, TLR6, and BAK1) are involved in the regulation and activation of the immune system. This may suggest that the upregulation of HPRT could have a side effect of downregulating the immune system. Of special interest is the inverse correlation HPRT expression has with IL-6R, which is known to play an important role in B cell growth. IL-6 induces B cell proliferation by binding to receptor complexes and activates the Jak/STAT signaling. Within cancerous cells IL-6 is often upregulated in the serum of patients and can contribute to disease stage and shorter survival rates [55]. The exact role between HPRT and IL-6 is not known and we speculate that the relationship between the two is found in the role HPRT plays in GTP production as Jak/STAT signaling relies on GTPases [56]. Yet, this relationship needs further investigation to determine how the two proteins are related.

In addition, we also noted some interesting cell lines that have gene profiles significantly different from any other cell line. SUDHL4, AMO1, and L428 cells appear to have inverse gene expression to the average B cell line. This highlights that any observed correlations between gene expression are the result of several different contributing factors, and not just HPRT expression within these cells.

Conclusions
Because HPRT is localized to the surface of malignant lymphocytes, it has the potential to be used as a targetable biomarker for immunotherapy. As antigen escape is emerging as a significant concern with targeted immunotherapy, the need to find and use new biomarkers is always increasing. In addition, the genes that are correlated with HPRT expression may elucidate a new role of HPRT in cancer proliferation.

Abbreviations
HPRT: Hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase, ALL: Acute lymphoblastic leukemia, CLL: Chronic lymphoblastic leukemia, BRCA1: Breast cancer type 1 susceptibility protein, PKD3CA: Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate 3-kinase catalytic subunit alpha, WDDYV/H1: Protein N-terminal glutamine amidohydrolase; AK7: Adenylate kinase 7; BLMH: Bleomycin hydrolase; PRKD2: Serine/threonine-protein kinase D2; PTGS2: Prostaglandin G/H synthase 2; CDH1: Cadherin-1; IL6R: Interleukin-6 receptor subunit alpha; MC1R: Melanocyte-stimulating hormone receptor; AMPD1: AMP deaminase 1; TLR6: Toll-like receptor 6; BAK1: Brassinosteroid insensitive 1-associated receptor kinase 1.

Acknowledgements
We acknowledge McKay Reese for aiding in lab procedures. We also thank the Huntsman Cancer Institute for providing ALL patient samples for analysis and for providing the NALM-6 cell line.

Authors’ contributions
MT created the concepts and designs of experiments, carried out the acquiring and analysis of a majority of the data, performed all the statistical analysis, and drafted the manuscript. ZE performed all the bioinformatic analysis. TC performed the staining/imaging of the confocal. WB performed the biotinylation and result of western blot. MB provided the ALL human samples for evaluation within the study and the revision of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding
This work was supported by the Simmons Center for Cancer Research at Brigham Young University.

Availability of data and materials
The datasets supporting the conclusions of this study and are included in this article. Any request for data or material can be sent to the corresponding author.

Ethics approval and consent to participate
All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Consent to publish
Not applicable.

Competing interests
The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details
1 Department of Microbiology and Molecular Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo, UT, USA. 2 Department of Biology, Brigham Young University, Provo,
1. Alegre MM, Robison RA, Neill KLO. Thymidine kinase 1: a universal marker. Cancer Cell Int 2020;20:375.

2. Davila ML, Brentjens RJ. CD19-directed CAR T cells as novel cancer immunotherapy. Exp Hematol Oncol 2012;1:36. http://journal.imedpub.com/articles/PMC4233150/?report=abstract. Accessed 17 July 2020.

3. Rodriguez Vida A, Strijbos M, Hutson T. Predictive and prognostic biomarkers of targeted agents and modern immunotherapy in renal cell carcinoma. ESMO open. 2016;1:e00013.

4. Guillery JL, Berzofsky JA. Cancer mucosa antigens as a novel immunotherapeutic class of tumor-associated antigen. Birkhauser Verlag AG; 2014;4577–87. http://journal.imedpub.com/articles/PMC3322233/?report=abstract. Accessed 17 July 2020.

5. Isonia A, Flattat LL, Bischoff JR, O’Neill KL. Anti-CD22–chimeric antigen receptors targeting B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2013;121:1165–74.

6. Till G, Jensen MC, Wang J, Qian X, Gopal AK, Maloney DG, et al. CD20-specific adoptive immunotherapy for lymphoma using a chimeric antigen receptor with both CD20 and 4–1BB domains: pilot clinical trial results. Blood. 2012;119:9940–50.

7. Rodriguez-Vida A, Gardner RC. CD19-directed chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells: a promising immunotherapy for children and adults with B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL). Therapeutic Adv Hematol. 2015;6:228–41.

8. Lightman S, Byfield K, Feughelman M, Gnarra J, et al. DNA repair and susceptibility to cancer. Genes Cancer 2010;21:381–90.

9. Due H, Svendsen P, Bødker JS, Schmitz A, Bøgsted M, Johnsen HE, et al. Rare mutations in XRCC2 increase the risk of breast cancer. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2007;82:734–9.

10. Schumacher TN, Kesmir C, van Buuren MM. Biomarkers in cancer therapy of chronic lymphocytic leukemia and acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Scand J Immunol. 2015. https://doi.org/10.1111/sji.12331.

11. Schumacher TN, Felsted AM, Ence ZE, Piccolo SR, Robison RA, O’Neill KL. Elevated expression of hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase within malignant tissue. Cancer Clin Oncol (Internet). 2017;6:19. http://ccsonet.org/journal/index.php/coco/article/view/70536.

12. Townsend MH, Anderson MD, Weagel EG, Velaquez EJ, Weber KS, Robison RA, et al. Non-small-cell lung cancer cell lines A549 and NCI-H460 express hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase on the plasma membrane. Onco Targets Ther. 2017;10:1921–32.

13. Townsend MH, Anderson MD, Weagel EG, Velaquez EJ, Weber KS, Robison RA, et al. Non-small-cell lung cancer cell lines A549 and NCI-H460 express hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyltransferase on the plasma membrane. Onco Targets Ther. 2017;10:1921–32.

14. Delongchamp RB, Ferron M, Nelder JA, Vail TH, Lutke JV, et al. The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. Nature. 2012;483:603–7.

15. Waters GE, Sakiivardi JC, Hosseini SA, Huebner K. The FHT gene product: tumor suppressor and genome “caretaker” [Internet]. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. Birkhäuser Verlag AG; 2014;4577–87. http://journal.imedpub.com/articles/PMC233150/?report=abstract. Accessed 17 July 2020.

16. Snook AE, Eisenlohr LC, Rothstein JL, Waldman SA. Cancer mucosa antigens as a novel immunotherapeutic class of tumor-associated antigen. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2007;82:734–9.

17. Rodigues Vida A, Strijbos M, Hutson T. Predictive and prognostic biomarkers of targeted agents and modern immunotherapy in renal cell carcinoma. ESMO open. 2016;1:e00013.

18. Guillery JL, Berzofsky JA. Cancer mucosa antigens as a novel immunotherapeutic class of tumor-associated antigen. Birkhauser Verlag AG; 2014;4577–87. http://journal.imedpub.com/articles/PMC3322233/?report=abstract. Accessed 17 July 2020.

19. Schindelin J, Rueden CT, Hiner MC, Elwir KEW. The ImageJ ecosystem: An open platform for biomedical image analysis. Mol Reprod Dev. 2015;82:518–29. https://doi.org/10.1002/mrd.22489.

20. Ran FA, Hsu PD, Wright J, Agarwalla V, Scott DA, Zhang F. Genome engineering technologies and emerging biomarkers for personalized cancer immunotherapy. J Immunother Cancer [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2012;1:36. http://ehoonline.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40425-017-0299-1. Accessed 10 Jan 2018.

21. Fry TJ, Shah NN, Orentas RJ, Stetler-Stevenson M, Yuan CM, Ramakrishna S, et al. CD22-targeted CAR T cells induce remission in B-ALL that is naive or resistant to CD19-targeted CAR immunotherapy. Nat Med. 2017;24:20–8. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.4441.

22. Haso W, Lee DW, Shah NN, Stetler-Stevenson M, Yuan CM, Pasani IL, et al. Anti-CD22–chimeric antigen receptors targeting B-cell precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Blood. 2013;121:1165–74.
40. Tang A, Gao K, Chu L, Zhang R, Yang J, Zheng J. Aurora kinases: novel therapy targets in cancers [Internet]. Oncotarget. Impact Journals LLC; 2017;23937–54. /pmc/articles/PMC5410356/?report=abstract. Accessed 17 July 2020.

41. Liu XS, Haines JE, Mehanna EK, Genet MD, Ben-Sahra I, Asara JM, et al. ZBTB7A acts as a tumor suppressor through the transcriptional repression of glycolysis. Genes Dev [Internet]. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 2014;28:1917–28. /pmc/articles/PMC4197949/?report=abstract. Accessed 17 July 2020.

42. Hou H, Sun D, Zhang X. The role of MDM2 amplification and overexpression in therapeutic resistance of malignant tumors [Internet]. Cancer Cell Int. BioMed Central Ltd.; 2019;216. https://cancer.cell.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12935-019-0937-4. Accessed 17 July 2020.

43. Ni Z, Tao K, Chen G, Chen Q, Tang J, Luo X, et al. CLPTM1L is overexpressed in lung cancer and associated with apoptosis. PLoS ONE [Internet]. Public Library of Science; 2012;7:52598. /pmc/articles/PMC3530437/?report=abstract. Accessed 17 July 2020.

44. Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L. Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nat Biotechnol. 2016;34:525–7.

45. Tatlow P, Piccolo SR. A cloud-based workflow to quantify transcript-expression levels in public cancer compendia. Sci Rep [Internet]. Nature Publishing Group; 2016;6:39259. http://www.nature.com/articles/srep39259.

46. TEAM RDC. Statutes of “The R Foundation for Statistical Computing” means to meet the objectives. 2005;1–5.

47. Barter RL, Yu B. Superheat: An R package for creating beautiful and extendable heatmaps for visualizing complex data. 2015. http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.01524.

48. Maddika S, Ande SR, Panigrahi S, Paranjothy T, Wiegartczyk Y, Zuse A, et al. Cell survival, cell death and cell cycle pathways are interconnected: implications for cancer therapy. Drug Resist Updat. 2007;10:113–20.

49. Evan GI, Vousden KH. Proliferation, cell cycle and apoptosis in cancer. Nature. 2001;411.

50. Li C-C, Hochstadt J. Membrane-associated enzymes involved in nucleoside processing by plasma membrane vesicles isolated from L cells grown in defined medium* [Internet]. http://www.jbc.org/.

51. Rahbarghazi R, Jabbari N, Sani NA, Aqshari R, Salimi L, Kalashani SA, et al. Tumor-derived extracellular vesicles: reliable tools for cancer diagnosis and clinical applications. Cell Commun. Signal. BioMed Central Ltd.; 2019.

52. Townsend MH, Felsted AM, Cox TP, Ence ZE, Piccolo SR, Robison RA, et al. Abstract S62: HPRT surface localization on prostate cancer cells as a biomarker for immunotherapy. Cancer Res. American Association for Cancer Research (AACR); 2018. p. 562–562.

53. Lorentzen CI, Straten PT, Ctx PEN. CD19-chimeric antigen receptor T cells for treatment of chronic lymphocytic leukaemia and acute lymphoblastic leukaemia. 2015.

54. Ruella M, Mams MV. Catch me if you can: leukemia escape after CD19-directed T cell immunotherapies. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2016;14:357–62. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2016.09.003.

55. Burger R. Impact of interleukin-6 in hematological malignancies. Transfus Med Hemotherapy 2013;40:336.

56. Pelletier S, Duhamel F, Coulombe P, Popoff M, Meloch S, Rho family GTPases are required for activation of Jak/STAT signaling by G protein-coupled receptors—PubMed—NCBI [Internet]. Mol Cell Biol. 2003. p. 1316–33. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12556491. Accessed 4 Oct 2018.

Publisher’s Note
Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.