Waist circumference and waist-to-height ratio of Hong Kong Chinese children
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Abstract

Background: Central body fat is a better predictor than overall body fat for cardiovascular (CV) risk factors in both adults and children. Waist circumference (WC) has been used as a proxy measure of central body fat. Children at high CV risk may be identified by WC measurements. Waist-to-height ratio (WHTR) has been proposed as an alternative, conveniently age-independent measure of CV risk although WHTR percentiles have not been reported. We aim to provide age- and sex-specific reference values for WC and WHTR in Hong Kong Chinese children.

Methods: Cross sectional study in a large representative sample of 14,842 children aged 6 to 18 years in 2005/6. Sex-specific descriptive statistics for whole-year age groups and smoothed percentile curves of WC and WHTR were derived and presented.

Results: WC increased with age, although less after age 14 years in girls. WHTR decreased with age (particularly up to age 14). WHTR correlated less closely than WC with BMI (r = 0.65, 0.59 cf. 0.93, 0.91, for boys and girls respectively).

Conclusion: Reference values and percentile curves for WC and WHRT of Chinese children and adolescents are provided. Both WC and WHTR are age dependent. Since the use of WHRT does not obviate the need for age-related reference standards, simple WC measurement is a more convenient method for central fat estimation than WHRT.

Background

Central obesity in both adults [1-3] and children [4-6] is related to cardiovascular (CV) risk factors (hyperlipidemia, insulin resistance and hypertension). Waist circumference (WC) and WC-derived indices such as waist-to-hip ratio and waist-to-height ratio (WHTR) have been used as proxy measures of central obesity, the former being widely used in adults [7,8]. There is growing evidence however that waist circumference (WC) alone correlates more strongly than waist-to-height ratio (WHTR)
with CV risk, in adults [2] as well as in children [9-11]. Previous studies have shown that adults from a number of South and East Asian countries are predisposed to central obesity and insulin resistance [12,13]. This ethnic difference of increased body fat distribution in the trunk region has also been observed in adolescents [14] and prepubertal children [15] of mainly East Asian origin, highlighting the importance of ethnic-specific studies.

Standard WC cutoffs for high CV risk have been proposed for adults [16-18] and are widely used, but measurement of WC is not yet common practice in growing children. Age-related percentiles have been reported for children from a number of different countries: Italy [19]; Spain [20]; Cyprus [21]; United Kingdom [22]; Canada [23]; USA [24]; Holland [25]; Australia [26]; and Iran [27] (Table 1), but, to our knowledge, the only published WC data in Chinese children in the English literature is our relatively small study of 6 to 12 year old children [11], drawn from the same population as the present study.

WHTR has been proposed as a convenient alternative measure for assessing central fatness in children, on the basis that it is relatively age-independent and that in normalising for growth it might obviate the need for age-related reference charts [28-30]. "Keep your waist circumference to less than half your height" (i.e. WHTR 0.5) is a seductively simple target [31]. However WHTR percentiles have not been reported and the generality of its independence of ethnic and age differences is questionable.

In 1993 a growth survey of 25,000 Hong Kong children from birth to 18 y was undertaken and growth charts for weight, height, weight-for-height and body mass index were developed [32]. WC was not measured. We now report a further growth study of 14,842 ethnic Chinese children aged 6 to 18 y undertaken in 2005/2006, using similar methods but including WC measurement to provide reference values for WC and WHTR.

Table 1: A summary of population studies on children’s waist circumference

| Countries       | Sample size | Age range | Year of data | Authors/publication year |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------|
| Italya          | F 1418, M 1440 | 6–14     | 1993         | Zannolli et al., 1996 [19] |
| Spainb          | F 659, M 701     | 6–14.9   | 1996         | Moreno et al., 1999 [20]  |
| Cyprusa         | F 1214, M 1258   | 6–17     | 1999–2000    | Savva et al., 2001 [21]   |
| UKb             | F 3585, M 4770   | 5–16.9   | 1988         | McCarthy et al., 2001 [22]|
| Canadac         | F 1524, M 1540   | 10.5–18.5| 1981         | Katzmarzyk, 2004 [23]     |
| USAa            | F 4944, M 4769   | 2–18     | 1988–94      | Fernandez et al., 2004 [24]|
| Netherlandsb    | F 7018, M 7482   | 0–21     | 1996–1997    | Fredriks et al., 2005 [25]|
| Australiaa      | F 4162, M 4277   | 7–15     | 1985         | Eisenmann et al., 2005 [26]|
| Iranb           | F 10858, M 10253 | 6–18     | 2003–2004    | Kelishadi et al., 2007 [27]|
| Present studyb  | F 7370, M 7472   | 6–18     | 2005–2006    |                          |

WC measured at a at umbilical level, b midway between the lowest rib and the superior border of the iliac crest, c at the point of noticeable waist narrowing, d just above the uppermost lateral border of the right ilium.
twice by two staff before data entry and then checked for inconsistencies.

**Statistics**

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL). Inter-correlations between BMI and WC as well as WHTR were assessed using Pearson correlation coefficients. Smoothed percentile curves were constructed for WC and WHTR by LMS method [33]. To compare our data with that of children from different ethnic populations, age- and gender-specific 10th, 50th and 90th percentile curves from Britain and Iran were plotted with our data on the same chart. Mean WHTR of boys and girls were compared between the present study and the British survey using Student's t-test. All statistical tests were two-sided. A p-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

A total of 14,842 children participated in the study (7472 boys and 7370 girls). Sex and age-specific mean weight, height, BMI, WC and WHTR were obtained (Table 2) and then smoothed to develop sex- and age-specific WC and WHTR percentiles (Tables 3 and 4 and Figures 1 and 2). WC was relatively larger in boys than girls and increased with age, though to a smaller extent in girls after age 14 y. WHTR was slightly larger in boys than girls and in both sexes decreased with age but only up to age 14 y and changed little further. Over the age range 14 to 18 y WHTR 0.5 corresponded to the 95th percentile for boys and the 97th percentile for girls (Figure 2). WC correlated more closely than WHTR with BMI (r = 0.93, 0.91 cf. 0.65, 0.59, for boys and girls respectively).

Comparison of the 10th, 50th and 90th percentile curves of WC with the 1988 British and 2003/4 Iranian data showed that both Hong Kong boys and girls were closer to British children except that our 90th percentile curve for boys was higher. Our 50th and 90th percentiles were lower than the Iranian curves (Figure 3). Comparison of WHTR data of Hong Kong boys and girls was made with the British data (the only dataset which provided WHTR for each year group) showed they are very similar with the mean differences ranging from only zero to 0.02 (Table 5).

**Discussion**

Sex and age-specific WC and WHTR percentiles, developed from data collected during 2005/6 on a large and representative population of 14,842 Chinese children...

---

**Table 2: Sample sizes and mean and standard deviations (SD) for weight, height, BMI, waist circumference (WC) and waist-to-height ratio (WHTR) for Hong Kong Chinese children aged 6 to 18 y**

| Sex  | Age | n   | Weight (kg) | Height (cm) | BMI  | WC (cm) | WHTR  |
|------|-----|-----|-------------|-------------|------|---------|-------|
| Boys | 6   | 402 | 23.9 (4.7)  | 120.4 (5.5) | 16.2 (2.4) | 53.7 (5.4) | 0.45 (0.04) |
|      | 7   | 520 | 26.4 (6.1)  | 125.7 (5.9) | 16.6 (2.7) | 55.4 (6.6) | 0.44 (0.04) |
|      | 8   | 572 | 29.3 (6.7)  | 130.7 (5.9) | 17.0 (2.9) | 56.9 (6.8) | 0.43 (0.05) |
|      | 9   | 629 | 32.7 (7.8)  | 135.6 (6.0) | 17.6 (3.2) | 59.5 (7.8) | 0.44 (0.06) |
|      | 10  | 627 | 37.5 (9.6)  | 141.2 (6.9) | 18.7 (3.7) | 62.4 (9.1) | 0.44 (0.06) |
|      | 11  | 644 | 41.8 (10.6) | 147.3 (7.9) | 19.1 (3.7) | 64.9 (9.2) | 0.43 (0.05) |
|      | 12  | 729 | 46.6 (11.9) | 154.1 (8.6) | 19.4 (3.7) | 66.4 (9.1) | 0.42 (0.05) |
|      | 13  | 657 | 51.1 (11.3) | 161.2 (7.9) | 19.6 (3.5) | 65.2 (8.2) | 0.40 (0.05) |
|      | 14  | 632 | 54.9 (10.9) | 166.3 (6.9) | 19.8 (3.4) | 66.0 (7.7) | 0.40 (0.04) |
|      | 15  | 558 | 59.1 (12.0) | 169.8 (5.4) | 20.4 (3.8) | 68.1 (8.7) | 0.40 (0.05) |
|      | 16  | 579 | 60.6 (11.1) | 170.9 (5.8) | 20.7 (3.4) | 68.8 (8.2) | 0.40 (0.05) |
|      | 17  | 553 | 61.5 (11.0) | 171.9 (5.7) | 20.8 (3.4) | 69.4 (7.8) | 0.40 (0.05) |
|      | 18  | 370 | 62.3 (11.0) | 171.7 (5.6) | 21.1 (3.3) | 70.1 (7.6) | 0.41 (0.04) |

| Girls | (n = 7370) |
|-------|------------|
| 6     | 377        | 21.8 (3.8) | 118.7 (5.1) | 15.4 (1.9) | 51.3 (4.2) | 0.43 (0.03) |
| 7     | 479        | 24.9 (5.1) | 124.2 (5.5) | 16.0 (2.5) | 53.3 (5.4) | 0.43 (0.04) |
| 8     | 504        | 27.8 (6.0) | 129.9 (6.3) | 16.3 (2.5) | 54.6 (5.8) | 0.42 (0.04) |
| 9     | 590        | 30.8 (6.4) | 135.1 (6.3) | 16.8 (2.6) | 56.7 (6.0) | 0.42 (0.04) |
| 10    | 584        | 35.3 (8.5) | 141.7 (7.0) | 17.4 (3.1) | 58.5 (7.0) | 0.41 (0.04) |
| 11    | 599        | 40.1 (9.3) | 148.9 (7.0) | 19.0 (3.2) | 60.2 (6.9) | 0.40 (0.04) |
| 12    | 750        | 44.1 (9.4) | 153.1 (6.2) | 18.7 (3.3) | 61.2 (7.0) | 0.40 (0.04) |
| 13    | 637        | 47.8 (8.5) | 156.2 (5.4) | 19.6 (3.1) | 62.2 (6.5) | 0.40 (0.04) |
| 14    | 656        | 49.0 (8.6) | 157.6 (5.4) | 19.7 (3.0) | 62.3 (6.2) | 0.40 (0.04) |
| 15    | 600        | 50.5 (9.1) | 158.3 (5.3) | 20.1 (3.3) | 63.0 (6.7) | 0.40 (0.04) |
| 16    | 640        | 50.7 (8.9) | 158.3 (5.3) | 20.2 (3.3) | 63.2 (6.4) | 0.40 (0.04) |
| 17    | 568        | 51.4 (9.2) | 158.9 (6.7) | 20.3 (3.3) | 63.5 (6.3) | 0.40 (0.04) |
| 18    | 386        | 51.3 (8.1) | 158.6 (5.6) | 20.4 (2.9) | 63.8 (5.6) | 0.40 (0.04) |

Age: complete age, e.g. 6 y = 6.00–6.99 y
aged six to 18 y old, are presented. These charts are based on WC measured midway between lowest rib and superior iliac crest. Comparisons of WC data between different studies need to be undertaken with caution since WC may be measured at different sites and currently there is no agreement on which site is optimal [34]. In children, WC has been measured at five different sites: (i) midway between lowest rib and superior iliac crest [20,22,25,27]; (ii) at the umbilical level [19,21,28]; (iii) at the narrowest point of the torso [23]; (iv) at the level of the right upper iliac crest [24]; and (v) at the level of 2 cm above the umbilicus [35]. WC measurements at these different sites in children have not been formally compared. WC measurements in adults taken at four different sites have been compared and shown to provide slightly different, albeit highly reproducible data, that each correlate significantly with total and central body fat [34]. If WC is to become an important public health assessment tool of central obesity in both adults and children, international agreement about measurement site is required.

In the study, we compared Hong Kong children’s WC with data from Britain and Iran where the method of measuring WC was the same as our study. The Iranian children’s 50th and 90th percentile curves were much higher than those from Hong Kong and Britain. A previous report has shown that Iranian children have a high prevalence of high blood triglyceride (20%) and low HDL-C (28%) [6]. In contrast, our unpublished data has shown much lower prevalence of these risk factors in Hong Kong children. The Iranian paediatric population may provide an important opportunity to further study the relation between central obesity and dyslipidaemia.

Our study challenges the proposal that WHTR is a relatively age-independent measure that could obviate the need for age and growth-related reference standards in children. Our data show that WHTR is not independent of age in children under 14 y old. Kahn and colleagues reported that WHTR appears to be independent of age and sex [36]. Ashwell and colleagues have proposed the use of an age-independent universal cut-off for WHTR of 0.5 [29]. This is despite their finding that the overall mean WHTR (with WC measured at the same site as in the

| Sex    | Age | n  | 3rd | 5th | 10th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 90th | 95th | 97th |
|--------|-----|----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Boys   | 6   | 402| 43.8| 44.8| 46.2 | 49.0 | 52.5 | 57.1 | 63.4 | 67.3 | 73.0 |
|        | 7   | 520| 45.0| 46.2 | 47.4 | 50.3 | 53.9 | 58.5 | 65.0 | 69.1 | 74.8 |
|        | 8   | 572| 46.2| 47.5 | 48.7 | 51.6 | 55.3 | 60.0 | 66.6 | 70.9 | 76.6 |
|        | 9   | 629| 47.7| 49.0 | 50.2 | 53.2 | 57.0 | 61.8 | 68.5 | 72.8 | 78.7 |
|        | 10  | 627| 49.3| 50.6 | 51.9 | 55.0 | 58.8 | 63.8 | 70.6 | 74.9 | 81.1 |
|        | 11  | 644| 50.8| 52.0 | 53.4 | 56.5 | 60.4 | 65.5 | 72.5 | 76.7 | 83.1 |
|        | 12  | 729| 52.0| 53.3 | 54.6 | 57.8 | 61.8 | 66.9 | 74.0 | 78.3 | 84.7 |
|        | 13  | 657| 53.1| 54.5 | 55.8 | 59.0 | 63.0 | 68.2 | 75.3 | 79.8 | 86.1 |
|        | 14  | 632| 54.3| 55.7 | 57.0 | 60.3 | 64.3 | 69.6 | 76.8 | 81.3 | 87.7 |
|        | 15  | 558| 55.5| 56.9 | 58.3 | 61.6 | 65.7 | 71.0 | 78.3 | 82.8 | 89.3 |
|        | 16  | 579| 56.7| 58.1 | 59.4 | 62.8 | 66.9 | 72.3 | 79.6 | 84.1 | 90.7 |
|        | 17  | 553| 57.6| 59.1 | 60.4 | 63.8 | 68.0 | 73.4 | 80.7 | 85.3 | 91.8 |
|        | 18  | 370| 58.4| 60.0 | 61.2 | 64.6 | 68.8 | 74.2 | 81.6 | 86.3 | 92.7 |
| Girls  | 6   | 377| 43.3| 44.2 | 45.2 | 47.5 | 50.3 | 53.8 | 58.4 | 61.5 | 64.9 |
|        | 7   | 479| 44.6| 45.5 | 46.5 | 48.9 | 51.7 | 55.3 | 60.0 | 63.1 | 66.7 |
|        | 8   | 504| 45.9| 46.9 | 47.9 | 50.3 | 53.2 | 56.8 | 61.6 | 64.8 | 68.5 |
|        | 9   | 590| 47.2| 48.3 | 49.3 | 51.7 | 54.7 | 58.4 | 63.4 | 66.6 | 70.4 |
|        | 10  | 584| 48.6| 49.7 | 50.7 | 53.2 | 56.2 | 60.1 | 65.1 | 68.4 | 72.4 |
|        | 11  | 599| 50.0| 51.1 | 52.2 | 54.7 | 57.8 | 61.7 | 66.9 | 70.1 | 74.3 |
|        | 12  | 750| 51.3| 52.3 | 53.4 | 56.0 | 59.2 | 63.1 | 68.4 | 71.7 | 76.0 |
|        | 13  | 637| 52.3| 53.4 | 54.5 | 57.1 | 60.3 | 64.3 | 69.7 | 72.9 | 77.4 |
|        | 14  | 656| 53.0| 54.2 | 55.3 | 57.9 | 61.1 | 65.2 | 70.6 | 73.8 | 78.4 |
|        | 15  | 600| 53.6| 54.8 | 55.8 | 58.5 | 61.7 | 65.8 | 71.3 | 74.4 | 79.2 |
|        | 16  | 640| 54.0| 55.2 | 56.2 | 58.9 | 62.2 | 66.3 | 71.8 | 74.8 | 79.7 |
|        | 17  | 568| 54.3| 55.6 | 56.6 | 59.3 | 62.6 | 66.7 | 72.2 | 75.1 | 80.2 |
|        | 18  | 386| 54.6| 55.9 | 56.9 | 59.6 | 62.9 | 67.1 | 72.6 | 75.4 | 80.6 |

Age: completed age, e.g. 6 y = 6.00–6.99 y
present study) of 8135 British children aged 5 to 16 y decreased with age from 0.47 to 0.42 in boys and from 0.46 to 0.41 in girls [31,37]. WHTR measures obtained in our study were slightly less than the 1988 British study. Our WHTR decreased with age up to 14 y and then remained almost constant (Table 1, Figure 2). WHTR has now been correlated with CV risk markers in children in at least six studies [6,28,30,35,36,38] and different WHTR cut-offs for predicting high CV risks in children at different ages were reported in one of them [6]. Ashwell’s proposed 0.5 cut-off point for predicting CVS risk has yet to be tested by direct correlation with CV risk markers in children. Notably, few of our older children would be categorized as being at CV risk if this single 0.5 WHTR cut-off were used (Figure 2). This would conflict with our previous findings that a WC cut-off at the 85th percentile for children under the age of 12 y and at the 76th percentile for those aged 13 to 18 y would predict CV risk [11,39]. For a WHTR cut-off of 0.5 to become a universal predictor of CV risk in children, further direct confirmatory evidence from comparative correlations with CV risk factors in children of different age and ethnic origins will be required.

BMI is the most widely used index to define children’s weight status. Our finding that WC correlates considerably more closely than does WHTR with BMI in children is unsurprising given that WC and BMI increase, whereas WHTR decreases, with age. CV risk factors found in our previous study of around 2500 children drawn from the same population correlated in descending order of strength with WC, BMI and WHTR [11]. Others have reported that WC [28,39-41] and WHTR [28,30,36] correlate more strongly than does BMI with CV risk factors in children. No difference in the ability of WHTR and BMI-for-age to predict CV risk was found in one study [38]. These inconsistencies may reflect different methods of analysis, different ethnic populations and the relatively small differences between the related parameters.

A limitation of our study is that 7% of the primary and 10% of the secondary school students declined to participate. Reasons for non-participation were not recorded so it is not possible to determine whether non-participation resulted in any systematic bias.

Table 4: Age and sex specific percentiles of waist circumference/height ratio (WHTR) for Hong Kong Chinese children 6–18 y of age

| Percentiles | 3rd   | 5th   | 10th  | 25th  | 50th  | 75th  | 90th  | 95th  | 97th  |
|-------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Boys        |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 6           | 0.39  | 0.39  | 0.40  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.48  | 0.52  | 0.55  | 0.57  |
| 7           | 0.38  | 0.39  | 0.40  | 0.41  | 0.44  | 0.47  | 0.51  | 0.54  | 0.57  |
| 8           | 0.37  | 0.38  | 0.39  | 0.41  | 0.43  | 0.47  | 0.51  | 0.54  | 0.56  |
| 9           | 0.37  | 0.37  | 0.38  | 0.40  | 0.43  | 0.46  | 0.50  | 0.53  | 0.55  |
| 10          | 0.37  | 0.37  | 0.38  | 0.40  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.49  | 0.52  | 0.55  |
| 11          | 0.36  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.49  | 0.52  | 0.54  |
| 12          | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.41  | 0.44  | 0.48  | 0.51  | 0.53  |
| 13          | 0.35  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.38  | 0.40  | 0.44  | 0.47  | 0.50  | 0.53  |
| 14          | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.38  | 0.40  | 0.43  | 0.47  | 0.50  | 0.52  |
| 15          | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.35  | 0.37  | 0.40  | 0.43  | 0.47  | 0.50  | 0.52  |
| 16          | 0.34  | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.37  | 0.40  | 0.43  | 0.47  | 0.50  | 0.52  |
| 17          | 0.34  | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.43  | 0.46  | 0.50  | 0.52  |
| 18          | 0.34  | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.43  | 0.46  | 0.50  | 0.52  |
| Girls       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |       |
| 6           | 0.38  | 0.38  | 0.39  | 0.41  | 0.43  | 0.46  | 0.49  | 0.51  | 0.52  |
| 7           | 0.37  | 0.38  | 0.39  | 0.40  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.48  | 0.50  | 0.52  |
| 8           | 0.37  | 0.37  | 0.38  | 0.40  | 0.42  | 0.44  | 0.47  | 0.49  | 0.51  |
| 9           | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.38  | 0.39  | 0.41  | 0.44  | 0.47  | 0.49  | 0.50  |
| 10          | 0.36  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.41  | 0.43  | 0.46  | 0.48  | 0.50  |
| 11          | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.38  | 0.40  | 0.43  | 0.46  | 0.48  | 0.50  |
| 12          | 0.35  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.38  | 0.40  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.47  | 0.49  |
| 13          | 0.35  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.47  | 0.49  |
| 14          | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.47  | 0.49  |
| 15          | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.47  | 0.49  |
| 16          | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.47  | 0.49  |
| 17          | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.47  | 0.49  |
| 18          | 0.34  | 0.35  | 0.36  | 0.37  | 0.39  | 0.42  | 0.45  | 0.47  | 0.49  |

Age: completed age, e.g. 6 y = 6.00–6.99 y
Figure 1
Smoothed percentile curves of waist circumference for Hong Kong Chinese children aged 6 to 18 y.
Smoothed percentile curves of waist circumference to height ratio (WHTR) for Hong Kong Chinese children aged 6 to 18 y. Dashed line shows superimposed universal cut-off value of 0.5 for all children.
Table 5: Mean (SD) of waist height ratio at yearly intervals in Hong Kong and British children

| Age (y) | HKa | UKb | p-value | HKa | UKb | p-value |
|---------|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----|---------|
| 6       | 0.45(0.04) | 0.45(0.03) | 0.999 | 0.43(0.03) | 0.45(0.03) | < 0.0001 |
| 7       | 0.44(0.04) | 0.44(0.03) | 0.999 | 0.45(0.04) | 0.44(0.03) | < 0.0001 |
| 8       | 0.46(0.05) | 0.43(0.03) | 0.999 | 0.46(0.04) | 0.42(0.03) | 0.861 |
| 10      | 0.44(0.06) | 0.43(0.04) | 0.007 | 0.41(0.04) | 0.42(0.03) | 0.999 |
| 11      | 0.43(0.05) | 0.43(0.04) | 0.999 | 0.41(0.05) | 0.41(0.04) | < 0.0001 |
| 12      | 0.42(0.05) | 0.41(0.04) | 0.999 | 0.40(0.04) | 0.42(0.03) | < 0.0001 |
| 13      | 0.40(0.05) | 0.42(0.04) | < 0.0001 | 0.40(0.04) | 0.41(0.03) | < 0.0001 |
| 14      | 0.40(0.05) | 0.42(0.04) | < 0.0001 | 0.40(0.04) | 0.41(0.03) | 0.999 |
| 15      | 0.40(0.05) | 0.42(0.04) | < 0.0001 | 0.40(0.04) | 0.40(0.03) | 0.999 |
| 16      | 0.40(0.05) | 0.42(0.04) | < 0.0001 | 0.40(0.04) | 0.41(0.03) | < 0.0001 |

aHong Kong data collected in 2005–2006, bUK boys’ data collected in 1977, and c UK girls’ data collected in 1987.

Conclusion
Reference values and percentile curves for WC and WHTR of Chinese children and adolescents are provided in the study. Both WC and WHTR were age dependent. As a public health tool, WHTR does not on present evidence offer major advantages over WC for children. WC, measured at an agreed site and interpreted against appropriate established reference standards, remains the simplest clinical measure of central obesity for the prediction of CV risk in children. For standards based on WC and WC-derived parameters to be of general public health and research use for international comparisons, it will be important that a single defined site be agreed at which to measure WC.
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