Ecm29-Dependent Proteasome Localization Regulates Cytoskeleton Remodeling at the Immune Synapse
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The formation of an immune synapse (IS) enables B cells to capture membrane-tethered antigens, where cortical actin cytoskeleton remodeling regulates cell spreading and depletion of F-actin at the centrosome promotes the recruitment of lysosomes to facilitate antigen extraction. How B cells regulate both pools of actin, remains poorly understood. We report here that decreased F-actin at the centrosome and IS relies on the distribution of the proteasome, regulated by Ecm29. Silencing Ecm29 decreases the proteasome pool associated to the centrosome of B cells and shifts its accumulation to the cell cortex and IS. Accordingly, Ecm29-silenced B cells display increased F-actin at the centrosome, impaired centrosome and lysosome repositioning to the IS and defective antigen extraction and presentation. Ecm29-silenced B cells, which accumulate higher levels of proteasome at the cell cortex, display decreased actin retrograde flow in lamellipodia and enhanced spreading responses. Our findings support a model where B the asymmetric distribution of the proteasome, mediated by Ecm29, coordinates actin dynamics at the centrosome and the IS, promoting lysosome recruitment and cell spreading.
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INTRODUCTION

The interaction of the B cell receptor (BCR) with membrane-tethered antigens (mAg) initiates the formation of an immune synapse (IS), characterized by rapid cortical actin cytoskeleton rearrangements and the formation of BCR-microclusters containing signaling molecules that elicit B cell activation (Yuseff et al., 2013; Kwak et al., 2019). In resting conditions, the lateral diffusion of the BCR is restricted by the cortical actin network, which becomes disassembled upon antigen engagement, enabling BCR lateral diffusion and subsequent clustering to promote downstream signaling (Mattila et al., 2016; Tolar, 2017; Freeman et al., 2018). During this phase, B cells exert a rapid spreading response by forming lamellipodia to expand the contact area with the antigen-presenting surface, thereby increasing the number of BCRs coupled to mAg (Fleire et al., 2006). Cell expansion is followed by a contraction phase, which serves to gather BCR microclusters at the center of the B cell synapse, which also relies on dynein-microtubule mediated transport...
Actin retrograde flow at the synaptic interface also promote the gathering of signaling molecules to the center of the synapse, as observed in T lymphocytes where coalescence of PLCy1 molecules, which enables sustained T cell signaling, relies on the inward flow of actin (Babich et al., 2012). Whether a similar mechanism contributes to the coalescence of signaling microclusters at the IS of B cells, remained to be proven (Wang and Hammer, 2020). In addition to dynamic changes exerted at the cell cortex, ΙS formation also involves the mobilization of the centrosome together with lysosomes toward the Ag-contact site, where secretion of their content into the synaptic space facilitates antigen extraction from stiffer surfaces (Bellaïche et al., 2011; Ritter et al., 2015; del Valle Batalla et al., 2018). Exocytic events that occur at the synaptic membrane also rely on cortical actin cytoskeleton remodeling, where local actin depletion at the synaptic membrane is required for secretion of lytic granules in NK and cytotoxic T cells (Ritter et al., 2015; Gil-Krzewska et al., 2017).

Mobilization of the centrosome to the IS of T lymphocytes relies on dynein (Martin-Côfreces et al., 2008) where microtubule shrinkage, at the synaptic region, has been proposed to act as the pulling force needed for centrosome repositioning (Yi et al., 2013). In addition to the role of microtubules, studies in B cells, revealed that translocation of the centrosome from the perinuclear region to the IS requires the depletion of the actin pool surrounding the centrosome. This step is critical to allow its uncoupling from the nucleus, thereby promoting the establishment of a polarized phenotype (Obino et al., 2016). Thus, the capacity of B cells to organize an IS and execute their antigen-presenting function is strongly dependent on actin remodeling both at the cortical and perinuclear regions. How B cells orchestrate actin dynamics at both these levels remains to be resolved.

The ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) has emerged as a critical regulator of cell signaling, polarization, cell division, and migration by selective proteolysis of ubiquitin-tagged proteins (Coux, 2002; Schaefer et al., 2012; Collins and Goldberg, 2017). This system comprises ubiquitin ligases that targets proteins for degradation by covalently conjugating them with ubiquitin, enabling recognition by the proteasome to drive their proteolysis (Collins and Goldberg, 2017). The proteasome is responsible for the degradation of most cytosolic proteins in mammalian cells. This protein complex is formed by the 20S core particle (CP) and the 19S regulatory particle (RP), that caps the 20S CP on one (26S proteasome) or both ends (30S proteasome) in an ATP-dependent manner and can dissociate reversibly (Collins and Goldberg, 2017). Proteasome assembly, activity, localization, and half-life is regulated by transcriptional and post-translational modifications of proteasomal subunits (Dahlmann, 2016; Collins and Goldberg, 2017; Dikic, 2017).

Among proteasome regulators, a 200 kDa protein, termed Ecm29, first characterized in yeast, binds the proteasome to motor proteins and vesicles, suggesting that it could play a role in the intracellular localization of the proteasome. Ecm29 has been shown to couple the proteasome to the Endoplasmic Reticulum (ER), microtubules, and centrosome (Gorbea et al., 2010), however, the mechanisms by which Ecm29 recruits the proteasome to specific cellular compartments are not fully understood. In neurons, Ecm29 controls proteasome localization and mobilization across the axon by modulating its association with microtubules, where its activity and localization influences neuronal development and synaptic signaling (Otero et al., 2014; Hsu et al., 2015; Pinto et al., 2016; Lee et al., 2020).

In lymphocytes, proteasome activity and localization also play a crucial role in their function. Indeed, the inhibition of proteasome activity leads to defective actin remodeling and reduced ERK signaling, impairing efficient B and T lymphocyte activation (Schmidt et al., 2018; Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). Moreover, during asymmetric T cell division, the unequal segregation of the proteasome between the two daughter cells enables the selective degradation of the transcriptional factor Tbet, which ultimately leads to the acquisition of different phenotypes (Dennis et al., 2012). Thus, the localization of the proteasome is regulated in lymphocytes during asymmetric cell division, where control of cell polarity is critical. Analogously, in neurons, where cell polarity is also crucial, the localization of the proteasome targets the degradation of ubiquitylated proteins, required for axon development (Otero et al., 2014; Hsu et al., 2015) and presynaptic differentiation (Pinto et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2019). Here, a polarized phenotype is achieved by the selective degradation of polarity proteins, such as PAR-2, PAR-3, PAR-6 (Laumonerie and Solecki, 2018), and actin polymerizing factors, such as VASP by the UPS (Boyer et al., 2020).

Interestingly, upon activation, B cells upregulate the ubiquitylation of proteins, including BCR downstream signaling molecules, polarity proteins, and actin polymerizing factors (Satpathy et al., 2015), highlighting a role for the UPS in regulating actin dynamics and B cell activation. We have previously shown that B cells contain an active proteasome pool at the centrosome, which is required for efficient actin clearance at this level, which enables centrosome repositioning to the immune synapse (Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). However, the underlying mechanisms of proteasome localization remain to be addressed in lymphocytes.

In this study, we explored whether Ecm29 controls the localization of the proteasome in B cells during the formation of an immune synapse and how this specific localization coordinates actin remodeling responses between the synaptic interface and the centrosome. Our results show that Ecm29 mediates the association of the proteasome with the microtubule network and regulates the distribution of proteasome pools at the centrosome and the immune synapse of B cells. As a consequence of Ecm29 silencing, B cells redistribute the proteasome to the synaptic membrane, which results in defective actin dynamics at this level, evidenced by slower actin retrograde flow at lamellipodia formed at the synaptic membrane and increased spreading responses. Ecm29-silenced B cells also displayed deficient actin depolymerization at the centrosome, which impair centrosome and lysosome repositioning at the immune synapse, resulting in reduced antigen extraction and presentation. Overall, our results contribute to the understanding of how B lymphocytes efficiently coordinate actin dynamics at the centrosome and the synaptic interface by controlling the
localization of the proteasome. We propose that the distribution of the proteasome depends on Ecm29, which enables: (1) the accumulation of the proteasome at the centrosome, used to promote actin depolymerization required for centrosome re-positioning and lysosome recruitment at the IS and (2) the recruitment of proteasome to the synaptic membrane, promoting actin depolymerization at this level to enhance cell spreading and signaling.

Thus, Ecm29 emerges as a key regulator of proteasome distribution used to orchestrate key synaptic functions to facilitate antigen extraction and activation of B cells.

RESULTS

Ecm29 Regulates the Localization of the Proteasome in B Cells

Intracellular compartmentalization of proteasome activity controls actin cytoskeleton remodeling in B cells during immune synapse formation (Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). We sought for potential regulators involved in proteasome distribution and focused on the molecule Ecm29, a proteasome adaptor, and scaffold protein, which binds to the 26S proteasome and links it to motor proteins, vesicles, centrosome, and microtubules (Gorbea et al., 2010). To this end, we first analyzed the localization of Ecm29 in B cells activated with antigens immobilized on 3 µM beads, which triggers the formation of an IS and compared them with non-activated B cells (Yuseff et al., 2013; Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). We found that upon activation with antigen-coated beads, Ecm29 progressively accumulated at the synaptic interface, which was quantified as the fluorescence ratio between the bead and the whole cell (Figures 1A,B), similarly to the proteasome (Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). To further characterize the distribution of Ecm29 at the synaptic membrane, we activated B cells on antigen-coated coverslips and labeled Ecm29 together with microtubules (α-tubulin) and the centrosome, labeled with centrin-GFP, which was validated as a centrosome marker (Supplementary Figure 1B). We found that Ecm29 was distributed in a central and peripheral pool, associated with the centrosome and cortical microtubules, respectively (Figure 1C). The accumulation of Ecm29 at the centrosome of B cells was verified by immunoblot of centrosome-rich fractions, where we found that Ecm29 cofractionated with γ-tubulin, a centrosome marker (Supplementary Figure 1A). Imaging analysis also revealed that Ecm29 colocalized with microtubules, displaying a Pearson’s mean coefficient over 0.67 in resting and activating conditions (Figures 1C,D).

Importantly, colocalization was significantly higher compared to measurements performed after rotating a channel in 90°, used as a negative control (Pearson’s mean coefficient values of 0.27 and 0.18 for resting and activating conditions, respectively). Also, we found a minor colocalization with the Golgi apparatus (Supplementary Figure 1C). Association of Ecm29 with the microtubule network is supported by our observations showing that treatment with Nocodazole, a microtubule destabilizing drug, changed the distribution of Ecm29 and proteasome (19S), which both displayed a more cortical localization and loss their pericentral localization under these conditions (Supplementary Figures 1D,E). Indeed, the association of Ecm29 with microtubules was previously described in neurons, where it was shown to mediate proteasome retrograde transport and axon development (Otero et al., 2014; Hsu et al., 2015). Interestingly, we found that upon activation, Ecm29 slightly reduced its colocalization with microtubules and accumulated at the cell periphery (Figures 1C–E), suggesting that Ecm29 also changes its distribution in response to BCR stimulation.

Next, we evaluated whether Ecm29 regulates the localization of the proteasome in B lymphocytes. For this purpose, we reduced the expression of Ecm29 in B cells by siRNA (Supplementary Figures 2A,B) and evaluated the distribution of the 26S proteasome in resting and activated B cells by immunofluorescence staining using an antibody that recognizes the 19S regulatory particle (RP). Upon Ecm29 silencing, we observed a reduction in the amount of proteasome at the centrosome of B cells under resting conditions, but not in activating conditions (Figures 2A,C). Moreover, upon activation both control and Ecm29-silenced B cells mobilized their proteasome away from the centrosome, suggesting that this event does not rely on Ecm29. Thus, Ecm29 is responsible for retaining the proteasome at the perinuclear region but is not required for its mobilization toward the cortex. Indeed, proteasome depletion from the centrosome of Ecm29-silenced B cells was confirmed by the detection of 19S RP by immunoblot in centrosome-rich fractions obtained from control and Ecm29-silenced cells. Quantification of the 19S RP, normalized by γ-tubulin levels, indicated there was a reduction in the amount of the proteasome at the centrosome of Ecm29-silenced B cells (Supplementary Figures 2E,F). Furthermore, quantification of proteasome activity in centrosome-rich fractions by using a fluorescent peptide as a substrate revealed a reduction in 50% of proteasome activity (Supplementary Figure 2G), which correlated with the decreased proteasome mass. When Ecm29-silenced cells were activated with antigen-coated beads, we observed enhanced accumulation of the proteasome at the antigen-bead contact site compared to control cells (blue area in line-scan) (Figures 2A,B). This result prompted us to further explore the distribution of the proteasome at the synaptic membrane of Ecm29-silenced B cells. To this end, we activated B cells by seeding them onto antigen-coated coverslips and labeled the 19S RP to visualize the proteasome as well as microtubules (α-tubulin), and the centrosome (Centrin-GFP). We found that the 19S RP significantly changed its distribution at the IS of Ecm29-silenced cells, exhibiting a more dispersed pattern, instead of colocalizing with microtubules (Figures 2D,E), suggesting that Ecm29 mediates the association of the proteasome with microtubules, analogously to what has been described in neurons (Hsu et al., 2015). Despite being more dispersed, the proteasome displayed slightly higher levels at the center of IS in Ecm29-silenced B cells (Figures 2D,F), suggesting that Ecm29 could be controlling proteasome distribution to the IS center, and potentially have an impact on the local degradation of protein targets within this region.

To characterize the dynamic recruitment of the proteasome in B cells, we labeled...
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FIGURE 1 | Ecm29 co-localizes with microtubules and the centrosome and is recruited to the IS of B cells: (A) Scheme depicting activation of B cells with antigen-coated beads and representative Airyscan images of B cells activated for different time points (0, 30, and 60 min), labeled for actin (phalloidin) and Ecm29. Dashed white circles represent the bead. (B) Quantification scheme and quantification of Ecm29 recruitment at the immune synapse (Bead) after different time points of activation. N = 4. Cells > 54. (C) Scheme depicting activation of B cells onto antigen-coated coverslips. Representative Airyscan images of centrin-GFP expressing B cells in resting (0 min) and activating (60 min) conditions, labeled for Ecm29 (magenta), microtubules (α-tubulin, cyan). White arrows indicate the centrosome. Magnifications of centrosome areas (9 µm²) are shown. 90° channel rotation control is shown for both time points (Means: 0.27 and 0.18 for 0 and 60 min of activation, respectively). (D,E) Quantification of the colocalization of Ecm29 with microtubules and its accumulation at the IS center (see scheme) from experiment shown in C. N = 2. Cells > 61. **** p < 0.0001. Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn's test, and Mann–Whitney test was performed for all statistical analyses. Mean with SEM bars are shown. Scale bar = 10 µm. ns, non-significant.

The proteasome in live cells by using a specific fluorescent probe that binds to the catalytic β5 subunit (Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy). We used this probe at 5 nM in our assays, previously described not to significantly inhibit proteasome activity (Mlynarczuk-Bialy et al., 2014). Additionally, we verified that this dose did not generate an accumulation of ubiquitylated proteins (Supplementary Figure 3A), suggesting no significant effects over proteasome activity. Using this approach, we confirmed that the proteasome co-distributed with microtubules, displaying a central and peripheral localization at the synaptic...
Ecm29 regulates proteasome localization at the centrosome and microtubules: (A) Top: Schematic representation of B cells activated with antigen-coated beads. Representative confocal images of centrin-GFP expressing control (siCTL) and Ecm29-silenced (siECM29) B cells in resting (0 min) or activating (60 min) conditions, labeled for 19S[S4] (red). Magnifications of the centrosome area (∼3 µm²) are shown. Fluorescence intensity distributions of centrin-GFP (green) and S4(19S) (red) across the cell (dashed white lines) are shown on the right. White dashed circles and shaded areas represent the bead and the centrosome area, respectively. (B,C) Scheme and quantification of proteasome enrichment at the centrosome and the bead in (A), respectively. N = 4. Cells > 97.

(D) Schematic representation of B cells activated onto antigen-coated coverslips. Representative confocal images of centrin-GFP expressing control and Ecm29-silenced B cells after 60 min of activation, labeled for 19S[S4] and α-tubulin. The fluorescence intensity distributions of α-tubulin (blue) and S4(19S) (red) across the cell (dashed white lines) are shown. White arrows indicate the centrosome. (E,F) Quantification of proteasome colocalization with microtubules and proteasome recruitment at the IS center, respectively. N = 2. Cells > 53 and > 48, respectively. *p < 0.05. **p < 0.01. ***p < 0.001. Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s test, and Mann–Whitney test was performed for all statistical analyses. Mean with SEM bars are shown. Scale bar = 10 µm.

membrane (Supplementary Figure 3B), with limited diffusion rates (median: 0.074 µm²/s) (Supplementary Figures 3C,D), comparable to those described in neurons (Otero et al., 2014). Using live cell imaging and Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy labeling, we also verified that in Ecm29-silenced cells, the 20S proteasome became more enriched at the IS than control counterparts, which was evidenced by a higher number and duration of proteasome tracks (Supplementary Figures 4A,C,E). When measuring proteasome diffusion rates, we observed that these were slightly higher in Ecm29-silenced cells without affecting their overall displacement at the IS (Supplementary Figures 4A,B,D). Altogether, these results suggest that Ecm29 regulates the recruitment of the 20S proteasome to the IS, controlling its diffusion rate, most likely in a microtubule-dependent manner.

Ecm29 has been shown to negatively regulate the proteasome by inhibiting its ATPase activity, which is crucial to unfold and translocate target proteins into the catalytic core for degradation (De La Mota-Peynado et al., 2013). To determine whether Ecm29 silencing affected the activity of the proteasome, we measured the amount of ubiquitylated proteins and proteasome subunits in Ecm29-silenced and control B cells. Quantification by immunoblot of proteasome subunits: S4 for the regulatory
lamellipodia, measured as the velocity of actin-retrograde flow, which could result from defects in actin polymerization, required to generate actin retrograde flow (Wang and Hammer, 2020). Thus, we sought to determine whether actin polymerization at the IS symmetry during the spreading response in control and Ecm29-silenced B cells, by immunoblot. We found reduced actin polymerizing factors, which are targets of proteasome degradation (Schaefer et al., 2012), changed in Ecm29-silenced cells. The levels of actin polymerizing factors, Arp2, WASp, and HS1, which have been shown to play a role in B cells (Bolger-Munro et al., 2019; Roper et al., 2019) were quantified in control and Ecm29-silenced B cells, by immunoblot. We found reduced particle (19S), α-β for the catalytic core (20S), and LMP7 for the induced catalytic core (immune proteasome), showed no differences between control and Ecm29-silenced cells (Supplementary Figures 2C,D). These results indicate that in B cells, Ecm29 regulates the localization of the proteasome without significantly affecting its total mass or activity.

Ecm29 Regulates Actin Remodeling at the Synaptic Membrane and B Cell Spreading Responses

Having shown that Ecm29 regulates the distribution of the proteasome in B cells and considering that proteasome activity is crucial for actin remodeling at the centrosome and IS of B cells (Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a), we sought to determine whether actin levels at these two regions were affected by Ecm29 depletion. To this end, we seeded control and Ecm29-silenced B cells onto antigen-coated coverslips and imaged them by confocal microscopy, measuring the spreading area, delimited by actin labeling. Unexpectedly and contrary to the effect of inhibiting proteasome activity (Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a), we found that, after 30 and 60 min of activation, Ecm29-silenced cells displayed an increased spreading area, which was at least two-fold higher than control cells (Figures 3A,B). We next characterized actin dynamics at the synaptic interface of these cells by performing live imaging using TIRFM. For this purpose, control and Ecm29-silenced B cells expressing the actin fluorescent reporter LifeAct-mCherry were activated onto antigen-coated coverslips. Similar to our observations in fixed cells, Ecm29-silenced cells displayed an increased spreading response during activation, which was also approximately two-fold higher than control cells (Figures 3C,D). Under these conditions, we noticed that the spreading rate was also higher in Ecm29-silenced B cells (Figure 3D). Interestingly, these cells underwent continuous spreading, which was not followed by a stationary phase, generally observed in control cells after 10 min of activation, where spreading starts to slow down (Figure 3D). This observation suggests that Ecm29-silenced B cells sustain an uncontrolled spreading response without reaching a stationary phase or further contraction, as was previously shown by B cells (Ibañez-Vega et al., 2013). Altogether these results highlight a role for Ecm29 in forming lamellipodia at the IS of B cells, and suggests that the proteasome close to the IS membrane could be regulating actin polymerization, probably, by the degradation of actin polymerizing factors, as was previously suggested (Hao et al., 2013; Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a).

To further evaluate the impact of Ecm29 on actin polymerization at the IS, we seeded actin-GFP-expressing B cells, silenced or not for Ecm29, onto antigen-coated coverslips for 30 min and performed a Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching (FRAP) assay using TIRFM. This assay allowed us to quantify actin turnover at the synaptic interface, as an indirect measurement of actin polymerization, previously reported in B cells (Pauls et al., 2020). Indeed, Ecm29-silenced cells showed a reduction in fluorescence recovery velocity, expressed as the rate constant (k) (Figures 4C–E), suggesting that actin polymerization at the synapse is reduced under these conditions. To determine whether reduced actin polymerization at the IS in Ecm29-silenced cells translated into less F-actin accumulation at the synaptic interface, we activated B cells with antigen-coated beads and measured its accumulation at the antigen contact site. As expected, after different time points of activation, we observed reduced F-actin levels at the antigen contact site (bead) in Ecm29-silenced cells compared to control cells (Figures 4F,G). Considering that Ecm29-silenced cells accumulate more proteasome at the IS, this highlights its negative correlation with F-actin accumulation at the IS.

To further explore a functional link between proteasome and actin polymerization at the IS, we co-labeled F-actin (LifeAct-mCherry) and the 20S proteasome (5 nM Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy) in live cells activated on antigen-coated coverslips for 30 min and analyzed the synaptic interface by TIRFM. We found that structures labeled for the proteasome negatively correlated with F-actin fluorescence (Supplementary Figures 5A–F). This negative correlation was dependent on proteasome activity because pre-treatment with 5 µM MG-132 or high concentrations of Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy (100 nM), which inhibits proteasome activity, abolished this negative correlation. On the other hand, the silencing of Ecm29, did not affect this negative correlation (Supplementary Figures 5G–I). Altogether, these results suggest that the proteasome at the synaptic interface is associated with F-actin depletion, with functional repercussions in actin turnover and lamellipodia formation.

To determine how the proteasome negatively regulates actin accumulation at the IS of B cells, we evaluated whether the levels of actin polymerizing factors, which are targets of proteasome degradation (Schaefer et al., 2012), changed in Ecm29-silenced cells. The levels of actin polymerizing factors, Arp2, WASp, and HS1, which have been shown to play a role in B cells (Bolger-Munro et al., 2019; Roper et al., 2019) were quantified in control and Ecm29-silenced B cells, by immunoblot. We found reduced...
levels of HS1 in Ecm29-silenced cells, whereas Arp2 or WASp remained unchanged (Figures 4H,I). Considering that HS1 is localized at the synaptic interface and the centrosome in B cells (Obino et al., 2016), our results suggest that, HS1 could be the main target of proteasome degradation at the synaptic interface during B cell activation, however, further studies are required to confirm this.

**Ecm29 Silencing Enhances BCR Clustering and Signaling**

Having shown that Ecm29 regulates actin polymerization at the IS, we next explored the role of Ecm29 in BCR signaling. F-actin depolymerization facilitates BCR diffusion at the IS, promoting receptor clustering, and signaling (Freeman et al., 2015; Tolar, 2017). Given that Ecm29 silencing reduced actin accumulation and turnover at the synapse, we evaluated whether the distribution of the BCR was affected under these conditions. Our results show that Ecm29-silenced cells displayed enhanced BCR accumulation at the center of the IS, especially after 30 min of activation, shown by the higher mean fluorescence (MFI) this region (the first quartile) (Figures 5A,B). This also translated into higher BCR downstream signaling where Erk phosphorylation levels, were higher in Ecm29-silenced cells compared to controls (Figure 5C). Thus, enhanced BCR clustering and signaling could result from unstable actin structures at the IS, increasing BCR mobility, as previously described (ref , Tolar, 2017). These observations suggest that the reduced actin polymerization at the IS in Ecm29-silenced B cells results in enhanced B cell activation. Considering that actin cytoskeleton remodeling is also important at the centrosome for antigen extraction and presentation (Obino et al., 2016; Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a), we next evaluated whether Ecm29 silencing could affect centrosome repositioning upon BCR activation.

**Ecm29 Regulates Centrosome Positioning at the IS**

A hallmark of the B cell IS is the repositioning of the centrosome to the synaptic interface, which orchestrates the recruitment
of lysosomes to the IS, where they undergo local secretion to facilitate antigen extraction (Bellaiche et al., 2011). Centrosome repositioning to the synaptic interface requires depolymerization of perinuclear actin that maintains the centrosome linked to the nucleus (Obino et al., 2016). We previously described that proteasome activity is crucial for actin depletion at the centrosome to enable centrosome repositioning (Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). Thus, we asked whether silencing Ecm29 in B cells had a similar effect, given that it results in the mislocalization of the proteasome. For this purpose, we activated control and Ecm29-silenced B cells expressing Centrin-GFP on antigen-coated coverslips and measured the recruitment of the centrosome to the synapse after different time points of activation. As previously described, we found that the centrosome reached the proximity of the antigen-coated surface in control conditions, which we detected at the first and second Z-axis section of the fluorescence distribution graph (Figures 6A,B). Conversely, in Ecm29-silenced cells, the centrosome was not recruited to the IS, where the mean fluorescence of Centrin-GFP remained between the third and sixth Z fraction of the fluorescence distribution graph (Figures 6A,C). Next, we quantified the amount of actin at the centrosome in control and Ecm29-silenced cells. Our imaging analysis showed that Ecm29-silenced B cells exhibit defective actin clearance at the centrosome after 30 min of activation (Figures 6D,E), which most likely results from a decrease in proteasome activity associated with the centrosome of these cells (Figures 2A,C and Supplementary Figure 2). However, after later time points of activation (60 min), Ecm29-silenced B cells were able to deplete centrosome-associated actin to the levels of control cells, suggesting that complementary mechanisms involved in actin depletion could be taking place after longer periods of activation.

To confirm our results, we measured the distance between the centrosome and the nucleus in control and Ecm29 silenced B cells activated with antigen-coated beads. As expected, in Ecm29-silenced cells the centrosome remained opposed to the nucleus, confirming their deficiency to translocate their centrosome to the synaptic membrane (Supplementary Figures 6A–C).

**FIGURE 4** Ecm29 silencing reduces actin dynamics and accumulation at the IS: (A) Representative TIRFM images of control (siCTL) and Ecm29-silenced (siECM29) B cells after 30 min of activation and their respective kymographs of lamellipodia (white dashed line). Red lines represent the actin retrograde flow angle. (B) Quantification of actin retrograde flow in (A). N = 17. (C) Time-lapse FRAP-TIRFM images of control and Ecm29-silenced B cells expressing Actin-GFP (grayscale) after 30 min of activation. Red and blue circles represent the bleached and non-bleached zone, respectively. (D,E) Quantification of Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching of Actin-GFP, and associated parameters (plateau, k, and half-life). N = 15. (F) Representative epifluorescence images of control and Ecm29-silenced B cells activated with antigen-coated beads for different time points, labeled for F-actin (Phalloidin) are shown. (G) Quantification of F-actin accumulation at the bead in F. N > 3. Cells > 80. (H) Representative immunoblot of control and Ecm29-silenced B cells. Arp2, WASp, HS1, and α-Tub are shown. (I) Quantification of protein levels in (E). N > 4. **p < 0.01. ***p < 0.0001. A Mann–Whitney was performed. Mean with SEMs and individual experiments (points) (A,G), and boxes with SEM (I) are shown. Scale bar = 10 μm.
FIGURE 5 | Ecm29 silencing enhances BCR clustering and Erk phosphorylation: (A) Representative Epifluorescence images of control (siCTL) and Ecm29-silenced (siECM29) B cells, activated onto antigen-coated coverslips for different time points (0, 10, and 30 min), labeled for the BCR and F-actin (phalloidin). (B) Schematic representation of BCR distribution quantification, and the respective quantification of BCR accumulation in each quartile of the immune synapse in B cells shown in (A). N = 2. Cells > 30. (C) Immunoblot of protein of control and Ecm29-silenced B cells activated for different time points (0, 10, 30, and 60 min). Phosphorylated Erk (pErk) and total Erk (Erk) are shown. F.C., Fold of change respect time 0 min. ***p < 0.05. Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s test was performed for all statistical analyses. Scale Bar = 10 µm.

Ecm29 Silencing Impairs Antigen Extraction and Presentation in B Cells
Defective centrosome repositioning to the IS impairs the recruitment and local secretion of lysosomes at the synaptic membrane, which can facilitate the extraction and processing of immobilized antigens (Bellaiche et al., 2011; Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). Therefore, we investigated the functional consequences of Ecm29 silencing on antigen extraction and presentation by B cells. To this end, we performed an antigen presentation assay using control or Ecm29-silenced B cells (Figures 7A,B). Our results show that B cells silenced for Ecm29 display defective antigen presentation and reduced cell surface expression of MHC-II, as evidenced by lower levels of loaded peptide presentation by Ecm29-silenced cells to T cells (Figure 7B). The defects in antigen presentation could result from impaired antigen extraction and possibly MHC-II trafficking to the cell membrane. To evaluate this possibility, we activated control or Ecm29 silenced B cells with OVA-antigen-coated beads for different time points and measured the amount of OVA fluorescence remaining on the beads as an indicator of antigen extraction. We found that Ecm29 silenced cells showed higher OVA-antigen levels on beads after activation compared to control cells, confirming that Ecm29-silenced B cells could not efficiently extract antigen (Figures 7C,D). Considering that antigen extraction relies on lysosome recruitment and secretion (Bellaiche et al., 2011), we also followed the distribution of lysosomes labeled for Lamp1 in activated B cells and noticed that Ecm29 displayed delayed recruitment of lysosomes to the IS (Figures 7D,E). Overall, these results suggest that lysosome trafficking to the IS depends on Ecm29, which promotes centrosome repositioning by depolymerizing actin within this region.

In summary, we put forward a novel mechanism involved in regulating the B cell immune synapse, where Ecm-29 dependent proteasome distribution orchestrates actin remodeling at the synaptic interface and the centrosome, thereby controlling key cellular functions such as lysosome trafficking and antigen extraction and presentation.

DISCUSSION
A functional immune synapse relies on actin remodeling at the synaptic membrane and the centrosome: The former promotes BCR clustering and downstream signaling (Freeman et al., 2015; Tolar, 2017; Spillane and Tolar, 2018), and the latter enables centrosome repositioning to the IS together with lysosomes, which, upon secretion, facilitate antigen extraction (Bellaiche et al., 2011; Obino et al., 2016; Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). Our work reveals that the localization of the proteasome at the synaptic interface and centrosome relies on Ecm29, which in turn regulates actin remodeling in both compartments; and, therefore, plays a pivotal role in immune synapse formation, antigen extraction, and presentation (Figure 8).
A role of Ecm29 in regulating the localization of the proteasome has been previously reported in neurons (Otero et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2020), but has remained relatively unexplored in lymphocytes. The underlying mechanisms by which Ecm29 regulates the intracellular localization of the proteasome include: (1) promoting the interaction of the proteasome with motor proteins, such as kinesins and dyneins, which directly anchors the proteasome to microtubules, and is responsible for proteasome retrograde and anterograde movement (Hsu et al., 2015) (2) mediating the association of the proteasome to vesicles and favors its fast movement by hitch-hiking (Otero et al., 2014) and (3) promoting the association of the proteasome with Rab11 + recycling vesicles and organelles, such as Endoplasmic Reticulum and the centrosome (Gorbea et al., 2004, 2010).

Our work suggests that Ecm29 mediates the association of the proteasome to microtubules and the centrosome in B cells; however, the molecular mechanisms involved in mobilizing the proteasome remain to be explored.

Interestingly, Ecm29 has also been described to act as a proteasome inhibitor and quality control protein, where its association to the proteasome inhibits the ATPase activity of the regulatory particle and stabilizes its interaction with the catalytic
core (Lehmann et al., 2010; De La Mota-Peynado et al., 2013; Haratake et al., 2016). However, we found that Ecm29 silencing did not affect overall levels of proteasome activity, measured as the accumulation of ubiquitylated proteins, which suggest that in B cells, Ecm29 regulates proteasome localization rather its activity. Despite of this, further analysis of how Ecm29 affects specific proteasome functions, such as degradation rate, ATPase activity, or specific protease activity, are needed to completely discard whether or not Ecm29 affects proteasome activity.

B cells silenced for Ecm29 displayed lower levels of proteasome at the centrosome, which correlated to increased F-actin at this level, suggesting that centrosome-associated proteasome could act as a negative regulator of actin polymerization within this region. This observation is consistent with our previous findings, where inhibition of proteasome activity also induced an accumulation of F-actin at the centrosome and defective actin clearance upon B cell activation, disabling centrosome repositioning to the synapse (Obino et al., 2016; Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019a). Accordingly, our results show that decreasing the proteasome at the centrosome, by silencing Ecm29, also leads to defective centrosome repositioning at the IS. As anticipated, defective centrosome polarization in Ecm29 silenced B cells correlated with poor lysosome recruitment to the IS and impaired antigen extraction and presentation. Thus, our results show that the proteasome pool associated to the centrosome regulates local proteostasis at this level, which is in the same line with previous observations suggesting that the centrosome acts as a platform for local UPS-mediated degradation (Vora and Phillips, 2016). Noticeably, at later time-points of activation, Ecm29-silenced B cells displayed reduced actin levels at the centrosome, which were comparable to control cells, suggesting other mechanisms might regulate actin at this stage. Such mechanisms could involve the local activation of actin-severing proteins, such as cofilin, which was previously associated to actin depletion in B cells (Freeman et al., 2015, 2018).

A striking observation reported here is that upon activation, Ecm29-silenced cells accumulated higher proteasome levels at the cortical and synaptic regions. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that Ecm29 favors the centrosomal localization of the proteasome, excluding it from the cell cortex and the IS. The molecular mechanisms underlying the cortical localization of the proteasome are not understood in lymphocytes. However, in neurons, adaptor proteins, such as GPM6A/B, were shown to mediate the interaction of the proteasome with the plasma membrane (Ramachandran and Margolis, 2017). Thus, it would

---

**FIGURE 7** Efficient antigen extraction and presentation requires Ecm29: (A) Antigen presentation assay for control (siCTL) and Ecm29 silenced (siECM9) B cells. Levels of IL-2 by T cells were quantified by ELISA. *N* = 3. (B) Representative graph of peptide controls for cells used in antigen presentation assays. (C) Representative images of control and Ecm29 silenced B cells activated with beads coated with anti-IgG + OVA in resting (0 min) and activating conditions (60 min). Lysosomes (Lamp1) and antigen (OVA) are shown. The white dashed circles delimit cell boundaries and bead. (D,E) Quantification of antigen extraction, measured as the amount of OVA extracted from the bead, and lysosome recruitment to the bead in (C). *N* = 4. Cells > 82. **p < 0.01. ***p < 0.001. Kruskal–Wallis test with Dunn’s test, and Mann–Whitney test was performed for all statistical analyses performed for all statistical analyses. Mean with SEM bars (A,D), individual cells (points) (E) are shown. Scale bar = 10 µm.
be interesting to address whether the mechanisms involved in the localization of cortical proteasome in neurons are shared by lymphocytes.

In addition to enhanced proteasome levels at the IS, Ecm29 silenced cells displayed reduced actin turnover and slower actin-retrograde flow at the synaptic interface, suggesting that actin polymerization at the IS was reduced within this zone. These observations are consistent with the general view of the proteasome as a negative regulator of actin polymerization (Schaefer et al., 2012; Hsu et al., 2015), where actin nucleating factors are selectively downregulated by the UPS (de la Fuente et al., 2007), thereby turning down actin polymerization. This idea is further supported by our observations, where we found that HS1 was decreased upon Ecm29 silencing, indicating that this protein could be targeted for proteasome degradation. Indeed, HS1 has five ubiquitin sites (K34, K60, K123, K192, and K239) (Hornbeck et al., 2012). In B cells, BCR activation triggers HS1 phosphorylation by Syk, and its subsequent recruitment to the IS, being crucial in the promotion of actin assembly at the IS (Hao et al., 2004; Obino et al., 2016). Thus, it is possible that silencing Ecm29, which results in increased levels of proteasome at the IS and enhanced HS1 degradation, could be responsible for poor actin accumulation at the synaptic interface, upon BCR activation. On the other hand, Ecm29 silencing did not alter WASp levels, which could be a consequence of its interaction with WASp interacting protein (WIP), which protects WASp from degradation and is also recruited to the IS of B cells (Keppler et al., 2018). Alternatively, the exacerbated spreading response and defective antigen extraction observed in Ecm29-silenced B cells, could also result from impaired Myosin II activity. Myosin II promotes contractile forces, which restrict cell spreading (Wakatsuki et al., 2003) and was also shown to exert pulling forces at the synaptic membrane of B lymphocytes to promote antigen extraction (Natkanski et al., 2013).

The actin cytoskeleton plays a critical role in BCR signaling by restricting its lateral diffusion and association with co-receptors (Tolar, 2017). Indeed, B cells treated with drugs that disrupt actin organization induce BCR signaling in the absence of ligand (Batista et al., 2010). Additionally, proteins belonging to the Ezrin-Radixin-Moesin Family (ERM family), which link the actin cytoskeleton to the plasma membrane, negatively regulate BCR lateral diffusion (Treanor et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2013; Tolar, 2017) and can be degraded by the proteasome (Grune et al., 2002). Interestingly, it has been reported that upon BCR activation, an early ubiquitylation response affects BCR downstream kinases, signaling components, such as LAT2, RAC1, CDC42, VAV1, and Ezrin (Satpathy et al., 2015). Thus, in addition to actin polymerizing factors, the proteasome at the IS could degrade proteins involved in BCR activation, as well as other factors that couple actin to the cell cortex, such as ezrin, and control membrane tension (Schaefer et al., 2012; Kelkar et al., 2020). Consequently, the larger spreading area displayed by Ecm29 silenced B cells, which accumulate more proteasome at the synaptic membrane, could result from a relaxed cortex or enhanced BCR signaling. Thus, the timely recruitment of the proteasome to the immune synapse is crucial to determine where and which proteins would be degraded.

Interestingly, microtubules drive the spreading response in fibroblasts, where the rapid growth of microtubules toward the cell borders is essential for isotropic spreading.
In our study, we found that Ecm29-silenced B cells displayed a highly symmetric IS, which resembles an isotropic spreading response. Thus, it is tempting to speculate that the increased spreading response and the slow actin-retrograde flow in Ecm29-silenced B could allow microtubule growth toward the cell margins, which would lead to a sustained spreading and reduced actin-retrograde flow. Indeed, an analogous negative correlation between the actin cytoskeleton and microtubules was previously described at the centrosome, where the reduction of polymerized actin at the centrosome triggered an increased microtubule growth (Inoue et al., 2019). The role of the proteasome selectively degrading molecules that tune the microtubule-actin crosstalk at the synaptic membrane shall provide insights on how antigen extraction and processing are regulated at the B cell synapse.

In conclusion, our work reveals that the distribution of the proteasome, mediated by Ecm29, controls the formation of the IS by regulating actin dynamics at the centrosome and synaptic membrane. These new findings contribute to understanding how B lymphocytes efficiently manage to orchestrate complex actin cytoskeleton remodeling at these two levels and control the establishment of a polarized phenotype during IS formation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell Lines and Culture

The mouse lymphoma cell line IIA1.6, which is a FcyR-defective B cell line with the phenotype of quiescent mature B-cells (Lankar et al., 2002) and the LMR7.5 Lack T-cell hybridoma, which recognizes I-Ad-LACK156−173 complexes, were cultured as previously described (Vascotto et al., 2007) in CLICK medium (RPMI 1640, 10% fetal bovine serum, 100 U/mL penicillin-streptomycin, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol, and 2% sodium pyruvate. For proteasome inhibition, 5 × 10−6 B cells/mL were incubated with 5 μM MG-132 for 1 h at 37°C before functional analysis.

Antibodies and Reagents

We used rat anti-mouse LAMP1 (BD Bioscience, #553792, 1:200), rabbit anti-mouse α-Tubulin (Abcam, ab#6160, 1:200), rabbit anti-acetyl-α-Tubulin (Lys40; cell signaling, #5335, 1:200), rabbit anti-mouse γ-Tubulin (Abcam, #Ab11317, 1:1000), rabbit anti-mouse S4/19S RP (Abcam, #Ab223765, 1:100), rabbit anti-mouse αβ/20S proteasome (Abcam, #Ab22673, 1:200), rabbit anti-mouse Ecm29 (Abcam, #Ab28666, 1:100), mouse anti-mouse Ubiquitin P4D1 (Santa Cruz, #Sc-8017, 1:1000), anti-mouse-anti-actin (cloneC4, ImmunO, #691001), rabbit anti-mouse Arp2 (Cellsignal, #5614, 1:500), goat anti-mouse IgGFab2 (Jackson ImmunoResearch), rabbit anti-OVA (Sigma-Aldrich, #C6534, 1:500). For secondary antibodies: donkey anti-rabbit IgG-Alexa488 (LifeTech, 1:200), goat anti-rabbit IgG-Alexa546 (ThermoScientific, 1:200), Donkey anti-rat IgG-Alexa546 (ThermoScientific, 1:200), Donkey anti-rat Alexa647 (ThermoScientific, 1:200), Phalloidin-Alexa-647 (Life Technology, #22287, 1:200), DAPI (Abcam), Ovalbumin and Nocodazole were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, MG-132, and Epoxomicin were purchased from Merk (Millipore).

Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy was kindly provided by Ulrike Kuckelkorn (Mlynarczuk-Bialy et al., 2014).

Cell Transfection

LifeAct-mCherry and αTubulin-mCherry plasmids were kindly provided by Ana Maria Lennon. For Ecm29, a silencing siRNA kit was purchased from Qiagen (1027416) and used as the combination of four different siRNA at 2.5 nM each one. As a control, we used a scrambled siRNA (Qiagen) at 10 nM. Nucleofector R T16 (Lonza, Gaithersburg, MD, United States) was used to electroporate 5 × 106 IIA1.6 B Lymphoma cells with 2 μg of plasmid DNA. After transfection, cells were cultured for 16 hrs before functional analysis.

Preparation of Ag-Coated Beads and AG-Coated Coverslips

Antigen-coated beads were prepared as previously described (Bellaïche et al., 2011). Briefly, ~2 × 107 3-μm latex NH2-beads (Polyscience, Eppelheim, Germany) were activated with 8% glutaraldehyde for 4 h at room temperature. Beads were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and incubated overnight at 4°C with different ligands: using 100 μg/mL of either F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG), referred to as BCR-Ligand+ or F(ab')2 goat anti-mouse IgM, referred to as BCR-Ligand− (MP Biomedical, Santa Ana, CA, United States). For antigen extraction assays, beads were coated with BCR-Ligand+ or BCR-ligands− plus OVA 100 μg/mL. For antigen presentation assays, beads were coated with BCR+ or BCR− ligands plus 100 μg/mL Lack protein. Antigen coverslips used to analyze the synaptic interface were coated with BCR-Ligand+ overnight at 4°C in PBS.

Activation of B Cells With Ag-Coated Beads or Coverslips

Cells were plated on poly-L-Lysine-coated glass coverslips and activated with Ag-coated beads (1:1 ratio) for different time points in a cell culture incubator (37°C/5% CO2) and then fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for 10 min at room temperature as previously described (Bellaïche et al., 2011). Fixed cells were incubated with antibodies in PBS-0.2% BSA-0.05% Saponin. In order to measure cell spreading, the B cell line was plated onto B220/anti-IgG, or anti-IgM coated glass coverslips, respectively, for different time points at 37°C in a cell culture incubator as previously described (Reversat et al., 2015).

Antigen Presentation Assay

Ag presentation assays were performed as previously described (Bellaïche et al., 2011). Briefly, IIA 1.6 (I-Aβ) B cells were incubated with either Lack-BCR-Ligand+ or BCR-Ligand− coated beads or different Lack peptide concentrations (Lack156−173) for 1 h. Then Cells were washed with PBS, fixed in ice-cold PBS/0.01% glutaraldehyde for 1 min, and quenched with PBS/100 mM glycine. B cells were then incubated with Lack-specific LMR 7.5 T Cells in a 1:1 ratio for 4 h. Supernatants were collected, and interleukin-2 cytokine production was
measured using BD optiEA Mouse IL-2 ELISA set following the manufacturer’s instructions (BD Biosciences).

**Ag Extraction Assay**

For antigen extraction assays, B cells incubated in a 1:1 ratio with BCR ligand⁴-OVA-coated beads were plated on poly-Lys cover-slides at 37°C, fixed and stained for OVA. The amount of OVA remaining on the beads was calculated by establishing a fixed area around beads in contact with cells and measuring fluorescence on three-dimensional (3D) projections obtained from the sum of each plane (Details in “Image Analysis” section). The percentage of antigen extracted was estimated by the percentage of fluorescence intensity lost by the beads after 1 h.

**Centrosome Isolation**

Centrosome from B cells was isolated as previously described (Obino et al., 2016) with slight modifications. Briefly, B cells in resting conditions (CLICK-2% FBS) at 37°C/5%CO₂, were treated with adding 2 μM cytochalasin D (Merck Millipore) and 0.2 μM Nocodazole (Merck Millipore). Cells were washed in TBS (10 mM Tris-HCl 15 mM NaCl pH 7.5), then in 0.1X TBS supplemented with 8% sucrose and lysed in lysis buffer (1mM HEPES, 0.5% NP-40, 0.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol pH 7.2) supplemented with protease inhibitors for 15 min. Centrosomes were isolated from post-nuclear-supernatants by consecutive centrifugations at (1) 10,000 g for 30 min at 4°C on top of a 60% w/v sucrose cushion in gradient buffer (10 mM PIPES, 0.1% Triton X-100, 0.1% β-mercaptoethanol pH 7.2) and (2) 40,000 g for 60 min at 4°C on top of a discontinuous sucrose gradient (40–50–70% w/w). Finally, 12 fractions were recovered from the top to the bottom of the tube, and centrosome-containing fractions were detected by immunoblot γ-tubulin labeling.

**Proteasome Activity**

Protein extracts obtained from B cells were quantified and loaded onto black MaxiSorp 96 well plate (Nunc, Denmark) with proteasome substrate III fluorogenic (Calbiochem, Merck Millipore) diluted in Assay buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH: 7.2, 0.05 mM EDTA, 1 mM DTT). The plate was incubated for 1 h at 37°C, and then fluorescence was measured at 360/420 nm. All measurements were performed in triplicate.

**Cell Imaging**

For epifluorescence imaging, all Z-stack images were obtained with 0.5 microns between slices. Images were acquired in an epifluorescence microscope (Nikon Ti2Eclipse) with an X60/1.25NA and X100/1.3NA oil immersion objectives for bead and spreading assays, respectively. For confocal microscopy, images were acquired in a Nikon Ti2Eclipse inverted microscope with 60X/1.45NA oil immersion for bead and spreading assays, with a Z-stack of 0.5 microns. For Total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy (TIRFM), images were acquired in Nikon Ti2Eclipse inverted microscope with a 100x/1.50 NA oil immersion lens and an iXON Ultra EMCCD camera at 37°C. B-cells expressing LifeAct-mCherry were plated on Ag-coated glass chambers (Nunc™ Lab-Tek™ II). Images were acquired for 30 min at 15 s per frame for spreading assay and for 1 min at 0.75 s per frame for lysosome, proteasome, and actin retrograde flow tracking. For Ayriscan acquisition, images were obtained in the Zeiss LSM880 Airyscan Confocal microscope with a 63X/1.4NA oil immersion lens, with a Z-stack of 0.2 μm. The images were processed using Zeiss Black Zen software and analyzed with FIJI.

**Fluorescence Recovery After Photobleaching in TIRFM (FRAP-TIRFM)**

IIA1.6 cells were transfected with Actin-GFP together with scramble siRNA (siCTRL) or ECM29-targeting siRNA (siECM29), and then allowed to spread onto antigen-coated coverslips for 30–40 min at 37°C in HEPES supplemented CLICK. Cells were then mounted on a stage-top incubator, and one central region was manually selected for photobleaching using a 405 nm laser (70% intensity, 100 ms, ND Stimulation unit), concurrent with Nikon TIRFM imaging. GFP signal intensity within the bleached zone was normalized to intensity values from an unbleached control region in the same cell. The curves were also y-transformed to (0,0) at t = 0 (bleaching event) so that individual recovery curves begin at the intensity minimum. Each recovery curve was then fit to the following equation, with the constraint that Y₀ = 0: Y = Y₀ + (plateau-Y₀) (1-e⁻ᵏ⋅⁻ₓ). The rate constant (k) was derived by non-linear regression analysis using GraphPad Prism software.

**Image Analysis**

Image processing and analysis were performed with FIJI (ImageJ) software (Schindelin et al., 2012), as we previously described (Ibañez-Vega et al., 2019b). The centrosome was labeled with Centrin-GFP or α-Tubulin and determined by the brightest point where microtubules converged. Single-cell images shown in the figures were cropped from a larger field. Image brightness and contrast were manually adjusted. Centrosome polarity index was determined as previously described (Bellaiche et al., 2011). Briefly, we manually selected the location of the centrosome (Cent) and delimited the cell and bead borders to obtain the center of mass of both CMC (Cell mass center) and BMC (Bead mass center), respectively. The position of the centrosome was projected (CentProj) on the vector defined by the CMC-BMC axis. The centrosome polarity index was calculated by dividing the distance between the CMC and CentProj and the distance between CMC-BMC. The index ranges from −1 (anti-polarized) and +1 (fully polarized).

Proteasome recruitment to the IS in bead assays was quantified by dividing the fluorescence at the bead by the whole cell’s fluorescence and then multiplying it by a factor of 100. For spreading assays, we manually delimited the border of the cell using a phalloidin label as a template (CellTemp); then, an ellipse was automatically determined (CenterTemp) at the center of CellTemp, which had a third of the CellTemp area. Next, the center’s recruitment was calculated by dividing the fluorescence normalized by its area from CenterTemp and CellTemp, subtracting 1. Therefore, positive values mean
that the fluorescence is enriched at the center, and negative values, the opposite.

For actin quantification at the centrosome, we traced a circle with a 1\(\mu\)m radius with its center as the centrosome. The fluorescence at the centrosome (FCent) and its Area (ACent) were measured. The corresponding ratio gives the fluorescence density index (DCent = FCent/ACent). This value is divided by the density of the fluorescence of the entire cell (DCell). Values above 1 indicate an accumulation of the label at the centrosome compared to the whole cell. Whereas values below 1 indicate that there is a depletion at the centrosome compared to the whole cell.

For lysosome and proteasome tracking, we used the Trackmate plugin from FIJI (Schindelin et al., 2012), considering each spot with areas of 1 \(\mu\)m\(^2\) and manually thresholded by the quality index.

The proteasome (Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy) fluorescence correlation with F-actin (LifeAct-mCherry) fluorescence of B cells seeded for 30 min in antigen-coated coverslips was automatically measured by a FIJI macros function. Briefly, the proteasome label was automatically detected by Analyze particle, and the proteasome and F-actin fluorescence were measured in each proteasome-spot (1 \(\mu\)m diameter circle). Next, each fluorescence signal was normalized by the total cell fluorescence in each frame to normalize fluorescence variation by LifeAct expression or Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy dosage. Then, the proteasome fluorescence and the related LifeAct fluorescence were arranged into discrete groups and graphed.

The spreading area of LifeAct-mCherry expressing B cells activated onto antigen-coated coverslips recorded by TIRFM was assessed by FIJI. Briefly, images were thresholded and binarized to detect cell boundaries automatically, and then cell areas were detected in each frame by Analyze particle plugin (FIJI). The spreading velocity was calculated by linear regression of area per time data. The asymmetry of the immune synapse was measured by the aspect ratio of each spreading area per frame, as previously described (Kumari et al., 2020).

Quantification of actin retrograde flow was performed as previously described (Jankowska and Burkhardt, 2017). Briefly, TIRFM recorded LifeAct-mCherry expressing B cells after 30 min of activation onto antigen-coated coverslips, were analyzed by FIJI, by reslicing two different lamellipodia structures per each cell, and manually drawing an angle at the edge of the lamellipodium. Each angle was transformed in microns per second, by converting the angle to radians (Rad-angle) and applying the following formula: V(\(\mu\)m/s) = tan(Rad_angle).

Quantification of BCR clustering at the IS center was performed by using an adaptation of the clock scan analysis plugin for Fiji (Dobretsov et al., 2017) implemented in a personalized macro with machine learning correction with the advanced Weka segmentation tool (Arganda-Carreras et al., 2017). Data obtained from the images was then curated and filtered using Rstudio. Briefly, outliers were eliminated using the IQR correction and the distribution of fluorescence (MFI) was divided into four quartiles, considering the distance from the center of mass of each cell to their correspondent periphery. Data was assessed for its normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test and multiple comparison tests were performed using ANOVA and post hoc tests (Tukey).

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with Prism (GraphPad Software) and RStudio. The \(p\)-values were calculated using different tests, as indicated in figure legends.
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**Supplementary Figure 1** Ecm29 cofractionates with the centrosome in B cells. (A) Representative immunoblot of centrosome fractions isolated from B cells in resting conditions, where \(\gamma\)-tubulin (centrosome) and Ecm29 were detected. (B) Representative confocal images of B cells in resting conditions, showing Centrin-GFP, \(\gamma\)-tubulin, and Golgi, and co-localization analysis. (C) Representative
confocal images of B cells in resting or activating conditions, showing Golgi, Centrin, and Ecm29, and line-scan analysis of each label across the cell. (D) Representative images of B cells in resting or activating conditions (60 min of activation) with antigen-coated beads, pretreated with DMSO as a control, 30 nM Nocodazole (Noc2) for 30 min. For activated B cells, drug treatment was performed after 30 min of activation. Two sets of staining are shown: (1) S4(19S RP), α-Tubulin, F-actin, merge, and (2) Ecm29, α-Tubulin, F-actin, and merge, are shown. Scale Bar = 10 μm.

Supplementary Figure 2 | Ecm29 silencing does not affect levels of ubiquitinated proteins, but reduces proteasome levels at the centrosome in resting B cells. (A) Representative immunoblot of protein extracts obtained from resting B cells transfected with scrambled siRNA (siCTL) and Ecm29 targeted siRNA (siEcm29). Ecm29 and BCR are shown. (B) Quantification Ecm29 levels in (A). N = 7. (C) Representative immunoblot of control and Ecm29-silenced B cells in resting conditions, stained for Ubiquitin, Ecm29, S4 (19S), α-Tub, LMP7, and actin. (D) Quantification of protein levels in (C). N > 3. (E) Representative immunoblot of centrosome isolated fractions isolated from control and Ecm29-silenced B cells. S4 (19S) and γ-Tubulin are shown. Red rectangle indicates the centrosome-rich fractions. (F,G) Quantification of S4 (19S) protein levels (N = 3) and proteasome activity (N = 2) in centrosome-rich fractions in (E), respectively. ∗∗∗∗ p < 0.01. Mann–Whitney test was performed for all statistical analyses. Mean with SEM bars are shown.

Supplementary Figure 3 | Live tracking of the proteasome shows it colocalizes with microtubules and is distributed across the IS. (A) Immunoblot of B cells treated with increasing concentrations of the specific proteasome probe (Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy) for 2 h, ubiquitin and actin labeling are shown. F.C., Fold of Change respect to the control (0 nM of Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy). (B) TIRFM Time-lapse and kymograph of α-Tubulin-mCherry (grayscale) expressing B cells probed with 5 nM Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy (Red). Black arrowheads indicate proteasome positive spots. Below: Table summarizing the colocalization of the proteasome (Bsc2118-FL-Bodipy) and α-Tubulin-mCherry. Overlap coefficient, k1, k2, Manders 1, and Manders 2, are shown. (C) Time-lapse images obtained by TIRFM of B cells labeled for proteasome (grayscale). The accumulation of proteasome tracks (right) is shown. The coldest colors represent the fastest tracks—Kymograph (below). Black arrowheads indicate proteasome positive spots at center and periphery. (D) Histogram of proteasome diffusion coefficient measured in (C). N = 2, Cells > 30. Scale Bar = 10 μm.
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