Abstract
Odonata is considered a “flagship” group of insects and its investigation is of primary importance especially for protected areas where freshwater ecosystems occur. In this study, we focused on Odonate fauna in the “Cansiglio Forest” (Veneto, Italy), a karst area where the only checklist available dates back more than 40 years ago. To update the Odonate adult distribution in the area, we selected 21 ponds that were sampled monthly, from May to August, during a 2-year survey. In total, 21 species (belonging to 14 genera and 5 families) have been recorded: we confirmed 15 species from the previous species list and we added to the whole species list 6 new species. Dominant families were represented by Libellulidae (33%) and Aeshnidae (23%), the most common genus was Sympetrum (19%), and the most frequent species was Coenagrion puella (63%). In terms of patterns of species richness, highly grazed and pastured ponds exhibited the lower number of species and individuals, as a probable response to the high level of animal disturbance on the vegetation and due to the eutrophication processes. Our results are important also in terms of conservation and management of freshwater sites belonging to Natura 2000 site.
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1 Introduction
Odonata (Insecta: Zygoptera, Anisoptera) represent focal organisms for conservation purposes (Samways 2008; Clausnitzer et al. 2009; Uboni et al. 2020) in freshwater ecosystems, where they can be considered as a “flagship” indicator group (Sharma et al. 2007; Balzan 2012; Hart et al. 2014).

One of the most important ecological roles of the Odonata is that they are among the major invertebrate predators in terrestrial (during the adult phase) and aquatic (during the larvae phase) ecosystems, occupying a high level along the trophic food chain (Askew 1988; Corbet 1993; Samways and Steytler 1996; Clark and Samways 1996; Reece and McIntyre 2009). Since they are also sensitive to environmental changes (Balzan 2012), they have been often used as bioindicator in both aquatic and terrestrial habitats (Corbet 1993; Sahlén and Ekestubbe 2001; Willigalla and Fartmann 2012; Jacob et al. 2017; Uboni et al. 2020).

The distribution of Odonata species in the environment is largely determined by the presence of suitable habitats able to maintain viable populations (McPeek 2008; Balzan 2012), and it is known that local abiotic and biotic factors (temperature, water chemistry, abundances of predators or parasites) can influence the survival, growth, and fecundity of individuals (Askew 2004). Nowadays, ecological requirements and autecological factors limiting species distributions in habitats are still unclear, and for these reasons, Odonata is a continually fascinating studying group (McPeek 2008; Balzan 2012).

The Cansiglio Forest is a protected site belonging to Natura 2000 Network (IT3230077), located in the northeastern part of Italy (it belongs to the provinces of Treviso, Belluno and Pordenone) (Buffa and Lasen 2010). It is mainly occupied by forest, pastures, hills and old ponds, and it represents a priority area for nature and biodiversity conservation. Furthermore, the scarce anthropization characterizing the area allowed the preservation of the Alpine traditional landscape, otherwise very rare in all the other Alps. The study site is a plateau in the Pre-Alps, with mean altitude of
1000 m and it is surrounded by reliefs ranging from 1400 to 1600 m a.s.l. Interestingly, the Cansiglio Forest lies in a karstic area, where ponds and temporary torrents are the only form of surface hydrography (there are no permanent waterways such as rivers, lakes or springs); these scarce water sources present the only natural watering places for local fauna. The climate is, on average, cool with fresh summers. Temperature extremes range from 30° to −30 °C (T lower than −25 °C have been recorded); the average annual rainfall is about 1800 mm and the atmospheric humidity is very high during the whole year; the area is often filled with thick mist formed by the daily heat excursion (http://old.ortarzo.it/aaoc2014/en/forest-cansiglio/).

The importance of this area lies in the contemporary presence of traditional alpine rural structures mixed to high naturalistic and cultural value elements, where extensive and dense forests intermix with meadow-pastures. This mosaic of habitats hosts a multitude of ecological niches fostering a high biodiversity of fauna and flora. These factors lead to a great value for natural balance and ecosystems dynamics (Tables 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6).

In this fascinating context, the only data about Odonate fauna (Insecta: Zygoptera, Anisoptera) dated back to 40 years ago (Bucciarelli 1978) while no recent information are available regarding the distribution of this ecologically important group on the site. For this reason, and considering the uniqueness of this area, we decided to update of the old Odonate checklist. Moreover, we explicitly evaluated grazed and ungrazed ponds aiming at investigating if and how species abundances and richness were influenced by cattle disturb.

With this study, we aim at increasing the knowledge on the ecology, diversity and distribution of this Insect group in the Pre-Alps and, at the same time, to provide an important reference for the habitat management in the Natura 2000 site.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Study area

The study area is almost completely located inside the "Cansiglio Forest-Veneto Region" SIC-ZPS (IT 3,230,077), an area of 5060 ha with an average altitude of 1189 m a.s.l. (Natura 2000, Standard form). It represents part of the Cansiglio-Cavallo massif, the westernmost portion of the Friulian Prealps (Cancian et al. 1985). The site falls entirely inside the Alpine biogeographical region bordering the Dinaric–Balkan area. The characteristic soils of the wetland habitats belong to the Cutanic Alisol (acidic pH) and Luvic Phaeozems (close to neutrality) types, and they are mainly developed on marly limestone type in the Cretaceous age, on which the karstic phenomenon is still

| Table 1 List of Odonate species recorded in the Cansiglio Forest and their presence in the sampling sites |
|---------------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Species                                      | Sampling sites      |
| Lestes barbarus (Fabricius, 1798)            | RV2                 |
| Sympecma fusca Vander Linden, 1820)          | G5                  |
| Ischnura pumilio (Charpentier, 1825)         | AF5, CC, BM         |
| Enallagma cyathigerum (Charpentier, 1840)    | AF5, AF7, AF11, G5, GB, CC, LP |
| Coenagrion puella (Linnaeus, 1758)           | AF5, AF6, AF7, AF11, G5, ML4, ML5, RV1, RV2, VA4, LM, GB, CC, BM, LP |
| Erythromma lindeni (Selys, 1840)             | GB                  |
| Ceriagrion tenellum (de Villers, 1789)       | CC                  |
| Aeshna affinis Vander Linden, 1820           | RV1                 |
| Aeshna cyanea (Muller, 1764)                 | AF5, G5, ML4, GB, CC, LP |
| Aeshna juncea (Linnaeus, 1758)               | AF6, AF7, RV2, LM, GB, CC, BM, LP |
| Anax imperator Leach, 1815                   | AF5, AF11, G5, ML4, RV1, RV2, VA4, GB, CC |
| Anax parthenope (Selys, 1839)                | AF7, G5, CC         |
| Cordulia aenea (Linnaeus, 1758)              | G5, GB              |
| Somatochlora flavomaculata (Vander Linden, 1825) | AF11          |
| Libellula quadrimaculata Linnaeus, 1758      | AF5, AF6, AF7, AF11, G5, ML4, RV1, RV2, VA4, GB, CC, BM, LP |
| Libellula depressa Linnaeus, 1758            | AF5, AF7, AF11, G5, ML4, RV1, RV2, VA4, LM, LCM, GB, CC, BM, LP |
| Sympetrum sanguineum (Muller, 1764)          | GB                  |
| Sympetrum fonscolombii (Selys, 1840)         | G5, RV1, RV2, VA4, LP |
| Sympetrum striolatum (Charpentier, 1840)     | AF11, RV1, RV2, GB, BM, LP |
| Sympetrum meridionale (Selys, 1841)          | RV2                 |
| Crocothemis erythraea (Brullé, 1832)         | RV1, VA4, GB, LP    |
active (Garlato and Borsato 2016). The plateau of the Cansiglio has the shape of a large basin, a “polje”, resulting from the fusion of minor karst units (“uvala”: Pian Cansiglio, Pian di Valmenera, Pian di Cornesega, Pian delle Code. In this limestone plateau are situated many dolines and ponors. The macroclimate of the study area is the same of the mountain regions, characterized by humid conditions and cold winter; the cold air descending from the internal slopes hangs in the basin causes the characteristic phenomenon of heat inversion: the temperature decreases as it passes from the surrounding hills to the lower central areas (ARPAV 2013). In this plateau the most common vegetation is the beech-wood (*Dentario pentaphylli-Fagetum sylvaticae*) (Del Favero 2004), but there are also many humid habitats represented by ponds, peat bogs and humid grasslands of secondary origin. The ponds, named “lame” or “lamarazzi”, are located inside the sinkholes whose bottom is naturally waterproofed with the residue of the dissolution of the marl limestone (De Nardi 1978) or with various materials used by humans to create water reserves essential for watering livestock and wild animals. The ponds are often of temporary character with a variable water level (they can completely dry up during summer); due to the wild and domestic animals watering activity, ponds usually present a high content of nutrients. The pond structure is mostly characterized by the water mirror in the center, surrounded by the riparian vegetation and a humid transitional edge, often dominated by *Deschampsia cespitosa*. If ponds dry up, they are incorporated into the surrounding grasslands and can happen that the evolution let them to become peat bogs. Sometimes, peat bogs become inactive bogs due to natural or anthropogenic changes.

| Table 2 | Values of the diversity indices for each sampling site. Sites without data are not included |
|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Species | Individuals | Dominance_D | Shannon_H | Evenness_e^H/S |
| AF5     | 7           | 240         | 0.35      | 1.25          | 0.50 |
| AF6     | 3           | 26          | 0.73      | 0.52          | 0.56 |
| AF7     | 6           | 76          | 0.57      | 0.91          | 0.41 |
| AF11    | 7           | 42          | 0.40      | 1.29          | 0.52 |
| G5      | 10          | 72          | 0.37      | 1.51          | 0.45 |
| ML4     | 5           | 393         | 0.94      | 0.18          | 0.24 |
| ML5     | 1           | 3           | 1         | 0             | 1   |
| RV1     | 8           | 173         | 0.51      | 1.00          | 0.34 |
| RV2     | 9           | 227         | 0.33      | 1.43          | 0.46 |
| VA4     | 6           | 52          | 0.61      | 0.85          | 0.39 |
| LM      | 3           | 4           | 0.38      | 1.04          | 0.94 |
| LCM     | 1           | 1           | 1         | 0             | 1   |
| GB      | 12          | 79          | 0.26      | 1.86          | 0.53 |
| CC      | 10          | 201         | 0.29      | 1.57          | 0.48 |
| BM      | 6           | 167         | 0.85      | 0.38          | 0.24 |
| LP      | 9           | 95          | 0.28      | 1.60          | 0.55 |
| Mean value | 6.44   | 115.69      | 0.55      | 0.96          | 0.54 |
| Min value  | 1       | 1           | 0.26      | 0             | 0.24 |
| Max value  | 12      | 393         | 1         | 1.86          | 1   |

| Table 3 | Number of species and individuals for each suborder collected in the three different groups of individuated ponds (1 = ML4, ML5, RV1, RV2, VA4, LM, LP; 2 = AF5, AF7, AF11, CC; 3 = G5, LCM, AF6, GB, BM) |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Group of ponds | Anisoptera | Zygoptera |
| | No. of species | No. of individuals | No. of species | No. of individuals |
| 1 | 10 (1.4) | 245 (35) | 3 (0.4) | 702 (100.3) |
| 2 | 8 (2) | 170 (42.5) | 4 (1) | 389 (97.2) |
| 3 | 11 (2.2) | 76 (15.2) | 5 (1) | 269 (53.8) |

In brackets is reported the mean number of both species/ponds, and individuals/ponds
2.2 Sampling design and data collection

In total, 21 ponds have been selected in the Cansiglio Forest for fieldwork activities (ML4, ML5, AF5, AF6, AF7, AF11, AF12, AF18, G5, RV1, RV2, AC2, AL2, VA4, LF14, LP, CC, LCM, GB, LM, BM, Fig. 1).

Samplings on Odonata were conducted monthly starting from the beginning of May 2013 and ending in August 2015. At each sampling site, adults were searched along a predetermined transect of 30–40 m in length (along the ponds’ banks) and 5 m of width situated at the ponds’ banks; adults were searched from 10 am to 6 pm during sunshine days when temperatures were higher than 20°C and with low wind speed (Buchwald 1994). Individuals were caught with an entomological net, identified following Dijkstra and Lewington (2006), photographed and then released. No individuals were collected. We followed the systematics according to Dijkstra and Schröter (2020).

2.3 Statistical analysis

In 5 out of the 21 explored ponds, we did not record any Odonata species. These ponds were thus excluded from the following analyses. Aiming at describing diversity patterns in our data, individual based rarefaction curves have been calculated; this method can be easily used to describe the efficiency of the sampling effort as well as the completeness of the species inventories (Bacaro et al. 2012, 2016).

To evaluate Odonata species diversity, the $H'$ Shannon–Wiener information index (Pielou 1975) was calculated as

$$H' = - \sum_{i=1}^{S} P_i \ln P_i,$$

where $P_i$ is the relative abundance of the $i$th species (for $i$ from 1 to $S$ where $S$ is the total number of species).

To examine the relationship between $S$ (species richness), $H$ (information – the Shannon–Wiener diversity index) and $E$ (evenness as measured using the Shannon–Wiener
evenness index, otherwise known as Pielou J), we used the SHE analysis. This method explores the contribution of species number and equitability to changes in diversity. SHE analysis can be easily used to determine how these parameters change by increasing the sampling effort (Seaby and Henderson 2007).

To test the effect of animal disturbance (measured by the presence/absence of grazing activities close to the studied ponds) on Odonata species richness and to describe variation in species composition based on the frequency of grazing, the following analyses have been performed:

- a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed considering species richness as the response variable and disturbance as the predictor (a factor with three levels: G = grazed all the year; Ga = grazed after mowing; UN = ungrazed).

- second, principal component analysis (PCA) was used to describe patterns in species composition; afterwards, the level of disturbance was overlaid on the PCA ordination biplot. Before running the PCA, the Hellinger transformation was applied to species abundance data (Legendre and Legendre 2012; Ricotta 2021).

Rarefaction analyses were performed using the Rarefy package (Thouverai et al. 2021), for the ANOVA the function “aov” in the R base package (R Core Team, 2021) was used while for the PCA, the FactoMineR package (Le et al. 2008) was used. For the SHE analysis, the program PAST was used (Palaeontological Statistics; Hammer 2012).

3 Results

In total, 1851 individuals belonging to 21 different species (Zygoptera: 7 spp., Anisoptera: 14 spp.) (Table 4 in Appendix) were recorded in 16 out of the 21 selected sampling sites (Table 5 in Appendix). Five sites (AF12, AF18, AL2, LF14, LM) dried up at the beginning of the sampling activity, and for this reason no individuals were recorded.

Sampled species represents the 22.1% of the whole Italian Odonata fauna, that counts 95 species in total (Dijkstra and Schröter 2020), and 14.6% of the 144 species recorded in Europe (Boudot and Kalkman 2015; Lopez et al. 2020).

Individual-based rarefaction curves approached the asymptote for almost all the sampled sites (Fig. 2), suggesting that the sampling effort was adequate to collect the whole species diversity at the pond level.

The updated checklist of the Odonata for the “Cansiglio Forest” is thus presented in Table 1. Sympetrum was the most abundant genus (19%) represented by 4 species, followed by Aeshna (14%) represented by 3 species, Anax (9%) and Libellula (9%) both represented by 2 species, as shown in Table 6 in Appendix. The two rarest species in this study area were Ceriagrion tenellum and Somatochlora flavomaculata, recorded only once in two different sites. The most common species was Coenagrion puella, with 1167 individuals recorded in 16 out of the 21 sampling sites.

Interestingly, we detected a notable difference in species diversity among the 16 ponds: the highest number of species (12) was found in GB, the lowest (1) in ML5 and LCM. The highest number of individuals (393) was found in ML4, whereas only 1 individual was detected in LCM. For the set of surveyed ponds, the Shannon–Weaver diversity index (H') ranged between 0 (LCM, ML5) and 1.86 (GB), with a mean value of 0.96. The corresponding evenness index (H_even) ranged between 0.24 and 1, with a mean value of 0.54 (Table 2).

Using the SHE analysis, it was possible to determine how species richness (S) and the information index (H) tend to increase by increasing the sampling effort, while the contrary was observed for the evenness (E) (Fig. 3).

Notably, no statistically significant differences in species richness was observed among ponds with different disturbance levels and grazing intensity (Fig. 4, ANOVA F(2,13) = 0.291, p value = 0.752).

Conversely, via principal component analysis (PCA), two main groups of ponds (Fig. 5) were separated along the first and second axis: along the first axis fell ponds characterized by pond banks often completely damaged; (2) four ponds (AF5, AF7, AF11, CC) where grazing activity started every year after grass mowing; (3) five ponds not grazed at all
Moreover, the number of species increased from (1) to (3) following the less disturbance gradient in both suborders. Furthermore, it is possible to observe that *C. aenea*, *E. lindenii*, *S. fusca* and *S. sanguineum* were strictly connected with ungrazed ponds, whereas *L. depressa* and *C. puella* were more concentrated in the disturbed habitats.

### 4 Discussion

The Cansiglio Forest is situated in Veneto Region, where an overall of 65 species of Odonata are reported (Pizzo 2009). However, only few studies were ever conducted in this Region to assess the diversity of Odonata. The most recent ones are: (1) 21 species (8 Zygoptera, 13 Anisoptera) detected in two sites belonging to the province of Treviso from 2005 to 2007; (2) 46 species detected in the Province of Belluno in 2009; (3) the “Atlas of the dragonflies of the eastern Veneto plain” published by Zanetti (2015) where 43 species are reported. More in detail, only one study regarding Odonate’s species (Bucciarelli 1978) was ever conduct in Cansiglio Forest, resulting in 24 species detected; although 22 sampling sites were investigated, it was impossible to understand their location since the names were not traceable and geographic coordinates were not available.

In our study, we found a relatively high number of species (21) in a small area (about 2 hectares), with most of the species (71%) that are widespread in whole Italy. Surprisingly, respect to the general results obtained by Bucciarelli (1978), Libellulidae remained the most common family detected, *Sympetrum* was confirmed the most common genus and *Coenagrion puella* the most common species. The predominant presence of the family Libellulidae (represented by 7 species) could be the result of many widespread generalists living in the mosaic of forest and anthropized areas (cattle livestock), where fast and agile flying dragonflies predominant, as already found by Renner et al. (2015).

In detail, we confirmed the following species: *Lestes barbarus*, *Sympecma fusca*, *Enallagma cyathigerum*, *Coenagrion scitulum*, *C. puella*, *Aeshna cyanea*, *Aeshna affinis*, *Anax imperator*, *Libellula depressa*, *Libellula quadrimaculata*, *Crocothemys erythraea*, *Sympetrum striolatum*, *Sympetrum meridionale*, *Sympetrum fonscolombei*, *Sympetrum sanguineum*. On the contrary, we did not confirm the following species: *Ischnura elegans*, *Aeshna mixta*, *Othetrum cancellatum*, *Orthetrum brunneum*, *Sympetrum vulgatum*, *Sympetrum flavoeolum*, *Leucorrhinia pectoralis*. Considering the last two species, their present lack in the study can be justified with the major threats connected with their ecology. It is known that *S. flavoeolum* populations are in decline due to intensive grazing activity that damage pond banks especially during the reproductive period, and for grazing
animal dejections that contribute to the phenomena of water eutrophication (Riservato et al. 2014); for this reason, the species is considered as Vulnerable in the Italian Red List (Riservato et al. 2014). The same decline is evident for *L. pectoralis*, a species that suffers from large-scale conversion of fenlands and peat systems for agricultural use and from eutrophication (Kalkman 2014). In the study area, we detected both grazing and eutrophication, that cause damaging on ponds and natural habitats.

On the other side, we implemented the species list with 6 species, *Anax parthenope, Ceriagrion tenellum, Erythromma lindenii, Ischnura pumilio,* and the family *Cordulidae,* with *Cordulia aenea* and *Somatochlora flavomaculata.* All these “new species” were found in a maximum of three sites respectively, mostly in common among the species. More, it must be underlined that these sites were characterized by none or at least slightly cattle grazing. *A. parthenope* is a common species in the Mediterranean countries and local in central Europe, with a range that is expanding since the 1990s (Askew 2004; Dijkstra and Lewington 2006). In our study area the species was found in the site CC, one of the less disturbed and grazed ponds among the area, at 1192 m a.s.l. In the same site, we also found *I. pumilio* and *C. tenellum.* The latter species is widespread mostly in southwest Europe, and it is typical of stagnant waterbodies, small streams and slowly flowing ditches, upland peat bogs, marshes, seepages (Askew 2004; Dijkstra and Lewington 2006; Ferreira and Samraoui 2010) and its main threats are effectively livestock impacts on the breeding areas, especially on vegetation, and water extraction (Ferreira and Samraoui 2010). Even if the species is considered as Least Concern both in Italy (Riservato et al. 2014) and in Europe (Kalkman et al. 2010), it is close to a Near Threatened status due to the degradation and the loss of its breeding habitats.

*E. lindenii* is another southern Europe species that is slowly expanding it range northwards (Dijkstra and Lewington 2006), and in the study area was found only once in GB, a well-managed pond. Considering the new sampled family *Cordulidae,* it is important to underline that probably both *C. aenea* and *S. flavomaculata* were already present in the study area also in the past, but simply had not been spotted. *C. aenea* is a northern Eurasia species, with strong populations mainly restricted to lowland and in the southern Europe mostly found in mountain lakes (Askew 2004; Dijkstra and Lewington 2006). This species is considered as Nearly Threatened (NT) in Italy, especially due to the to
the invasion of the alien species *Procambarus clarkii*, the deterioration and the eutrophication of its breeding place (mainly converted to fishing ponds) (Riservato et al. 2014). *S. flavomaculata* is a typical species of temperate valleys and lowlands at low altitude, often in rich, cultivated land (Askew 2004; Dijkstra and Lewington 2006) that in our study area was found at 1001 m a.s.l.

It must be noted that GB was the site with the highest species number and the highest value of Shannon–Weaver diversity index. This site is situated inside the “Giangio Lorenzoni” Alpine Botanical Garden and it is positively affected by the pond management conducted within the structure. The site with highest number of individuals is ML4 (1065 m a.s.l.), a pond characterized by a modest grazing activity that never dries up during the year. On the contrary, the sites with the smallest number of species and individuals were ML5 and LCM: the first has completely damaged pond banks because it is used as a watering pond for cattle; the second is situated in the forest, in a shaded area at 1286 m a.s.l.

Interestingly, in the site LP situated at the highest altitude (1535 m a.s.l.) we detected 9 species: *A. cyanea, A. juncea, C. puella, C. erythraea, E. cyathigerum, L. depressa, L. quadrimaculata, S. fonscolombii, S. striolatum*. These data confirmed the attitude of *A. juncea*, a typical species of medium–high altitude wetlands (Dijkstra and Lewington 2006), characterized by a Holartic distribution and confined to mountainous areas in the south of its areal distribution (Askew 2004). On the other side, the presence of *S. fonscolombii* and *C. erythraea* at such altitude is noteworthy and it confirms the moving forward behaviour of many species (Ott 2010), since the first is an Afro-asiatic species widespread largely the Mediterranean area (Askew 2004) and the second is an Afro-Mediterranean species typical of lowland areas (Askew 2004).

PCA analysis confirmed that grazing activity intensity influenced the species distribution in the study area, with a clear distinction between more tolerant species (e.g., *C. puella* and *L. depressa*) recorded in the most grazed ponds, and species strictly connected with ungrazed ponds (*C. aenea, E. lindenii, S. fusca, S. sanguineum*). In this context, *C. puella* was the only species that increased in terms of individual in the highly grazed sites, remaining the only Zygoptera species flying in those sites, and confirming its known ecological plasticity, as it can survive and breed also in small waterbodies with eutrophic character (Askew 2004). Even if *A. affinis, C. tenellum, L. barbarus, S. fonscolombii, S. meridionale* were observed in grazed ponds, these species were recorded only where grazing activity did not impact largely on the ponds’ banks. However, it must be noted that *A. affinis, L. barbarus, S. fonscolombii* were probably less correlated to the ponds’ banks condition and more to the ephemeral characteristics of the sites, that dried up partially or completely during the summer.

Overall, it is important to consider that adults can move from one pond to another and when grazed and ungrazed ponds are very close to each other, grazed ones could act as a trap for the most mobile species.

Finally, in ungrazed ponds more species were sampled for both Anisoptera and Zygoptera (Table 3), confirming that Odonate community diversities are reduced by livestock grazing (Foote and Hornung 2005; Mazzacano et al. 2014).

### 5 Conclusions

In conclusion, the first updated checklist of Odonata in the karst area of the Cansiglio Forest was realized, with the result of 1851 individuals belonging to 21 species detected. This result underlines the important role of wetland habitats at low and medium elevation in the Alps in preserving biodiversity, especially because these habitats are often menaced and degraded by human activities and infrastructures. Respect to previously published data, we confirmed the presence of 16 species, we added 6 species, and we observed the lack of other 6 species, probably due to different management in the area. With the aim of biodiversity conservation, our study has confirmed that grazing activity can negatively influence Odonate communities, in both Anisoptera and Zygoptera, reducing number of species and number of individuals. More, in the most grazed ponds, only generalist species were observed. These results are in line with the idea that especially in anthropized wetlands, it is important to create different habitats with different ecological niche to allow more species to breed and survive. Finally, in the light of the results obtained, it would be important to re-evaluate the intensity of grazing in the area, especially where it is too intensive and leads to complete damage ponds’ banks. It will be also important to plan a proper management of those ponds that are completely dried up on one side due to the natural succession and on the other site to their completely abandonment that let the shrubs invade the entire pond surface. These suggestions would be necessary to preserve and eventually increment the species richness of the area.

### Appendix

See Tables 4, 5 and 6.
| Species/sampling sites | Species abbreviation | AC2 | AF5 | AF6 | AF7 | AF11 | AF12 | AF18 | AL2 | G5 | ML4 | ML5 | RV1 | RV2 | VA4 | LM | LCM | LF14 | GB | CC | BM | LP |
|------------------------|----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|------|----|----|----|----|
| Aeshna affinis         | Aes_aff              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 4   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Aeshna cyanea          | Aes_cya              | 0   | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 1   | 1  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 4   | 4  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| Aeshna juncea          | Aes_jun              | 0   | 0   | 1   | 7   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0  | 1   | 0    | 0  | 4  | 1  | 43 |
| Anax imperator         | Ana_imp              | 0   | 4   | 0   | 0   | 1    | 0    | 0    | 4   | 2  | 0   | 6   | 3   | 2   | 0   | 0   | 3  | 3   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Anax parthenope        | Ana_par              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 2   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Ceriagrion tenellum    | Cer_ten              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 1   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Coenagrion puella      | Coe_pue              | 0   | 63  | 22  | 56  | 25   | 0    | 0    | 42  | 380| 3   | 117 | 116 | 40  | 2   | 0   | 38 | 90  | 154  | 19 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Cordulia aenea         | Cor_aen              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 8    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 2  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Crocothemis erythraea  | Cro_ery              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 1   | 0   | 2   | 0   | 0   | 4   | 0  | 0   | 1    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| Enallagma cyathigerum  | Ena_cya              | 0   | 121 | 2   | 4   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 3   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 4  | 20  | 0    | 15 | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Erythromma lindensii   | Ery_lin              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 3  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Ishnura punilio        | Ish_pum              | 0   | 3   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 4   | 1    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Lestes barbarus        | Les_bar              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 10  | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Libellula depressa     | Lib_dep              | 0   | 8   | 0   | 1   | 3    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 3  | 2   | 0   | 2   | 45  | 6   | 1   | 1  | 0   | 4    | 18 | 5  | 4  | 0  |
| Libellula quadrimaculata| Lib_qua              | 0   | 40  | 3   | 9   | 7    | 0    | 0    | 6   | 8  | 0   | 38  | 33  | 1   | 0   | 0   | 10 | 51  | 2    | 5  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Somatochlora flavomaculata| Som_flav          | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Sympetrum fusca        | Sym_fus              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 2   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Sympetrum fonscolombii | Sym_fons             | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 2  | 0   | 15  | 1   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 1  |
| Sympetrum meridionale  | Sym_mer              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 2   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Sympetrum sanguineum   | Sym_san              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 0  | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0  | 2   | 0    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
| Sympetrum striolatum   | Sym_str              | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1    | 0    | 0    | 0   | 4  | 2   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 0   | 1   | 0  | 4   | 3    | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
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Table 5

| Sampling site code | Elevation (m) a.s.l | Locality     | Latitude N          | Longitude E          | Size (m²) | Grazing | Mean pond depth (cm) |
|--------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------|---------|----------------------|
| AC2                | 1006                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°03.397'          | 012°24.25'           | 1105      | UN      | 30                   |
| G5                 | 1001                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°03.555'          | 012°24.458'          | 4462      | UN      | 700                  |
| AF5                | 1007                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.155'          | 012°24.337'          | 657       | Ga      | 40                   |
| AF6                | 1000                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.164'          | 012°24.415'          | 3414      | G       | 100                  |
| AF7                | 1007                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.169'          | 012°24.329'          | 1117      | Ga      | 70                   |
| AF11               | 1001                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.289'          | 012°24.453'          | 270       | Ga      | 37                   |
| AF12               | 1006                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.315'          | 012°24.48'           | 6420      | UN      | 40                   |
| AF18               | 1009                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.095'          | 012°24.339'          | 737       | Ga      | 10                   |
| ML4                | 1065                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.235'          | 012°23.426'          | 994       | G       | 150                  |
| ML5                | 1030                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.138'          | 012°23.568'          | 260       | G       | 20                   |
| VA4                | 965                 | Valmenera     | 46°05.176'          | 012°25.15'           | 1311      | G       | 30                   |
| RV1                | 909                 | Valmenera     | 46°05.134'          | 012°26.031'          | 5918      | G       | 40                   |
| RV2                | 911                 | Valmenera     | 46°05.114'          | 012°26.203'          | 2821      | G       | 50                   |
| AL2                | 1064                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°04.406'          | 012°23.580'          | /         | G       | 10                   |
| LF14               | 1027                | Palughetto    | 46°06.084'          | 012°24.025'          | 4926      | UN      | 100                  |
| LM                 | 1222                | Mezzomiglio   | 46°05.619'          | 012°21.969'          | 476       | G       | 40                   |
| LCM                | 1286                | Campo di mezzo| 46°02.387'          | 012°22.839'          | 100       | UN      | 150                  |
| LP                 | 1535                | M.Pizzoc      | 46°02.300'          | 012°21.193'          | 368       | G       | 20                   |
| CC                 | 1280                | Campo Cadolten| 46°1.799883333333333' | 12°22.81238333333333' | /         | G       | 100                  |
| BM                 | 1000                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°4.13766666666667' | 12°23.744933333333333' | /         | UN      | 30                   |
| GB                 | 1001                | Pian Cansiglio | 46°4.44425'         | 12°25.05901666666667' | /         | UN      | 80                   |

Table 6

| Genus     | No. of species | %  |
|-----------|----------------|----|
| Aeshna    | 3              | 14.29 |
| Anax      | 2              | 9.52  |
| Ceriagrion| 1              | 4.76  |
| Coenagrion| 1              | 4.76  |
| Cordulia  | 1              | 4.76  |
| Crocothemis| 1              | 4.76   |
| Enallagma | 1              | 4.76  |
| Erythromma| 1              | 4.76  |
| Ischnura  | 1              | 4.76  |
| Lestes    | 1              | 4.76  |
| Libellula | 2              | 9.52  |
| Somatochlora| 1              | 4.76     |
| Sympecma  | 1              | 4.76  |
| Sympetrum | 4              | 19.05  |
| Total     | 21             | 100.00  |
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