A sudden change of the global magnetic field of the active M dwarf AD Leo revealed by full Stokes spectropolarimetric observations
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ABSTRACT

In this paper we present an analysis of the first high-resolution full Stokes vector spectropolarimetric observations of the active M dwarf AD Leo. Based on observations collected in 2016 with the ESPaDOnS instrument at CFHT, we derived the least-squares deconvolved Stokes profiles and detected linear polarization signatures in spectral lines. At the same time, we discovered that the circular polarisation profiles corresponding to our data set are significantly weaker compared to all archival spectra of AD Leo, which exhibited approximately constant profiles over the time-scale of at least 6 yr until 2012. Magnetic maps obtained using Zeeman Doppler imaging confirm the sudden change in the surface magnetic field. Although the total magnetic field energy decreased by about 20 per cent between 2012 and 2016, the field component responsible for the observed circular polarization signatures corresponds to a stronger field occupying a smaller fraction of the stellar surface in the more recent map. These results represent the first evidence that active M dwarfs with dipole-dominated axisymmetric field topologies can undergo a long-term global magnetic variation.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Magnetic fields play a key role at all stages of stellar evolution. While magnetic fields are believed to influence and even rule physical processes inside and around stars – such as convection, accretion, rotation rates, flares, and winds among others – the origin and mechanism behind the generation of magnetic fields is not thoroughly understood. In the Sun and solar-like stars, it has been proposed that the magnetic field is generated and maintained by a so-called α/Ω dynamo (Parker 1955), representing an interplay of differential rotation and turbulence due to convection around the tachocline region. Fully convective stars do not harbour a tachocline but they have been detected to host strong magnetic fields (Donati et al. 2006a; Morin et al. 2008; Shulyak et al. 2017) that can be reasonably reproduced with ‘α2 dynamo’ – solely driven by turbulence (Yadav et al. 2015).

AD Leo (GJ 388, BD +20 2465) is an extensively studied active M3Ve dwarf at the threshold of the fully convective regime. It emits strong (Hawley & Pettersen 1991) and frequent flares (Crespo-Chacón et al. 2006; Hunt-Walker et al. 2012, and references therein).

The magnetic field of AD Leo has been repeatedly studied through the analysis of intensity (Johns-Krull & Valenti 1996, 2000; Reiners & Basri 2007; Shulyak et al. 2010) and circularly polarized spectra (Morin et al. 2008). The latter study suggests that the large-scale magnetic field of AD Leo is relatively simple – predominantly a dipole aligned with the rotation axis and seen close to the pole – and with a very limited intrinsic variability during the period spanned by their observations (2007–2008).

We present here an analysis of spectropolarimetric observations of AD Leo in all four Stokes parameters that resulted in the detection of linear polarisation signatures in spectral lines, and the discovery of a significant change in the shape of the circular polarisation profiles, which we attribute to an important change in the surface magnetic field of the star. We describe our observations in Section 2. The comparison with previous observations and surface magnetic field mapping is presented in Section 3, and we finally discuss our results in Section 4.

2 OBSERVATIONS

We acquired spectropolarimetric observations of AD Leo with the ESPaDOnS spectropolarimeter at the 3.6 m Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope. ESPaDOnS is a fibre-fed cross-dispersed spectrograph mounted at the Cassegrain focus of the telescope, with the ability to record circularly and linearly polarized spectra from about 370 to 1000 nm in a single exposure with a resolving power of $R \sim 65 000$.
(Donati 2003; Donati et al. 2006b). The ESPaDOnS polarimeter module consists of a set of Fresnel rhombs – one fixed quarter-wave rhomb located between two rotating half-wave rhombs – and of a Wollaston prism splitting the incoming beam into two orthogonal polarisation states. The rotation of the two half-wave rhombs with respect to the other elements allows to switch between the analysis of linear or circular polarisation, but also to swap the location of the two polarized beams inside the instrument, hence mitigating instrumental polarization signatures. Each Stokes \( V, Q, \) or \( U \) observation consists of a set of four consecutive sub-exposures taken with two different polarimeter configurations, allowing for a first-order correction of spurious signals using the ratio method (Donati et al. 1997). The polarized spectra were reduced at the telescope by the UPENA pipeline\(^1\) running the LIBRE-ESPRIT software (Donati et al. 1997).

Our observations were obtained between 2016 February and April. Data were acquired over three periods. The first data acquired were four full Stokes epochs awarded to our original observing proposal. After the discovery of an unexpected change in the amplitude and shape of the Stokes \( V \) profiles compared to archival data, we requested and were awarded four additional epochs limited to circular polarization observations through director’s discretionary time (DDT) immediately after the initial observations. Finally, we obtained two full Stokes epochs one month after the end of the DDT observations through an unplanned ESPaDOnS observing run, while another instrument at the telescope was not operational. In total, we collected 10 Stokes \( V \), 6 Stokes \( Q \), and 6 Stokes \( U \) observations.

The log of our observations is presented in Table 1. The signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) per spectral pixel of the polarized spectra, measured at 666 nm, typically range between roughly 220 and 450, with a median SNR of 390. The rotational cycle \( E \) for each observation was computed using the formula

\[
E = (HJD - HJD_0)/P_{rot},
\]

where \( HJD \) and \( HJD_0 \) are the heliocentric Julian dates of the given observation and of the first observation, respectively, as listed in the second column of Table 1, and \( P_{rot} = 2.2399 \) d is the rotation period of AD Leo determined by Morin et al. (2008).

We used the PolarBase database (Petit et al. 2014) to download additional archival Stokes \( V \) observations of AD Leo. In total, we retrieved 7 observations acquired with ESPaDOnS in 2006, and 32 observations with Narval – its twin instrument mounted on the 2 m Telescope Bernard Lyot – from 2007, 2008, and 2012.

3 ANALYSIS

3.1 Multiline methods

The least-squares deconvolution (LSD, Donati et al. 1997; Kochukhov, Makaganiuk & Piskunov 2010) technique was applied consistently to both archival data and our own observations. LSD effectively combines a subset of photospheric atomic lines into an average profile yielding a substantially higher SNR. We extracted the LSD line list from the VALD3 database (Ryabchikova et al. 2015), for the stellar parameters \( T_{\text{eff}} = 3300 \) K and \( \log g = 5.0 \), using a solar metallicity model atmosphere from the MARCS grid (Gustafsson et al. 2008). The line list contains around 1400 atomic lines, between 450 and 985 nm, selected to be stronger than 20 per cent of the continuum level. No molecular lines were included in the LSD mask because, with a few exceptions, these lines are very weakly sensitive to the field and generally do not exhibit simple linear Zeeman effect.

The LSD profiles were calculated adopting a normalization wavelength \( \lambda_0 = 670 \) nm and an effective Landé factor \( g_{\text{eff}} = 1.2 \), which are close to the weighted average over the corresponding parameters of the lines included in the mask. We have also used a second more restrictive line list, excluding several regions in the spectra which were contaminated by telluric lines, which contains around 1150 spectral lines. The LSD polarization profiles computed with the first line list have an SNR ranging between 11 300 and 31 700 (and listed in column 6 of Table 1), which is 38 per cent greater on average than for the line list excluding telluric regions. The LSD profiles generated from the first line list were subsequently used for calculation of the false alarm probability (FAP) and detection diagnostics, reported in columns 9 and 10 of Table 1, as they have the best SNR and improve the chances of polarization signature detection. However, as they were computed including wavelength regions that are contaminated by tellurics, no corresponding LSD Stokes profile can be derived, rendering quantitative interpretation of these polarization profiles impossible. Therefore, we used the lower SNR LSD profiles generated from the second, more restrictive line list to compute the mean longitudinal magnetic field (Section 3.3) and as input to the Zeeman Doppler Imaging inversions (Section 3.4).

The time series of our full-Stokes LSD profiles are shown in Fig. 1. The Stokes \( I \) LSD profiles exhibited a pseudo-continuum level below unity – attributed to the contribution of molecular spectral lines not included in the line list – and have been scaled to bring the pseudo-continuum level to unity. The Stokes \( V \), \( Q \), and \( U \) LSD profiles have been scaled by the same factor.

False alarm probabilities (FAP, Donati et al. 1992) have been computed for every LSD polarization profile. The FAP assessment is based on \( \chi^2 \) statistics and is commonly used to determine how robustly a signal is detected over the noise level in LSD profiles. Detections are usually considered to be definite for FAP values below \( 10^{-5} \). The detection is marginal for FAP values between \( 10^{-5} \) and \( 10^{-3} \). Finally, we consider that there is no detection when FAP values are above \( 10^{-3} \).

Here, we report definite detections for all ten Stokes \( V \) LSD profiles, three out of six Stokes \( Q \) profiles, and two out of six Stokes \( U \) profiles. This is the first ever report of a definite detection of linear Zeeman polarization signal in spectral lines of an active M dwarf. In addition, one Stokes \( Q \) profile and three Stokes \( U \) profiles are marginally detected, while there is no detection in the rest of the profiles. Our Stokes \( V \) LSD profiles have a median amplitude of \( 1.7 \times 10^{-3} I_c \). The median LSD Stokes \( Q \) and \( U \) profile amplitudes are around 13 times weaker. In other active cool stars where linear polarization signal was detected, the Stokes \( Q \) and \( U \) LSD profiles were found to be 5–10 times weaker (Kochukhov et al. 2011; Rosén, Kochukhov & Wade 2013) than the Stokes \( V \) profiles.

3.2 Secular change of the Stokes \( V \) spectrum

We show in Fig. 2 a major change of the circular polarization spectrum between the archival observations obtained in 2006–2012 and our 2016 observing run. The Stokes \( V \) LSD profiles from 2016 have systematically smaller amplitude and broader wings than the corresponding profiles derived from the archival data, as can be seen in the top panel of Fig. 2. We reiterate that all data have

\(^1\)http://www.cfht.hawaii.edu/Instruments/Upena/
Table 1. Log of the AD Leo spectropolarimetric observations. Columns 1–6 list, respectively, the UTC date of observation, the heliocentric Julian date, the Stokes parameter observed, the exposure time, the SNR of polarized spectra, and the SNR of LSD Stokes profiles. Column 7 gives the rotation cycle starting from the first observation, column 8 catalogues mean longitudinal magnetic field \( \langle B_z \rangle \) values, column 9 lists the LSD false alarm probability (FAP), and column 10 indicates if the detection of polarization signature in the LSD profiles is definite (DD), marginal (MD), or if there is no detection (ND).

| Date (UTC) | HJD (2453000 +) | Stokes | \( \tau_{\text{exp}} \) (s) | S/N spectra | S/N LSD | Rotational cycle | \( \langle B_z \rangle \) (G) | FAP Detection |
|-----------|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|
| 2016-02-17 | 4435.7957       | V      | 4 × 600         | 386         | 19.0 × 10^3 | 0.000           | −182 ± 8        | 0 DD         |
| 2016-02-17 | 4435.8269       | Q      | 4 × 600         | 377         | 27.2 × 10^3 | 0.014           | −3 × 10^{-3}    | ND           |
| 2016-02-17 | 4435.8580       | U      | 4 × 600         | 393         | 28.3 × 10^3 | 0.028           | −8 × 10^{-1}    | ND           |
| 2016-02-18 | 4436.8831       | V      | 4 × 600         | 380         | 18.6 × 10^3 | 0.0485          | −176 ± 8        | 0 DD         |
| 2016-02-18 | 4436.9141       | Q      | 4 × 600         | 432         | 31.3 × 10^3 | 0.0499          | −4 × 10^{-3}    | ND           |
| 2016-02-18 | 4436.9452       | U      | 4 × 600         | 424         | 31.1 × 10^3 | 0.0513          | −2 × 10^{-4}    | MD           |
| 2016-02-23 | 4441.8954       | V      | 4 × 600         | 384         | 18.6 × 10^3 | 0.0273          | −201 ± 8        | 0 DD         |
| 2016-02-23 | 4441.9259       | Q      | 4 × 600         | 442         | 31.2 × 10^3 | 0.0273          | −4 × 10^{-6}    | DD           |
| 2016-02-23 | 4441.9562       | U      | 4 × 600         | 450         | 31.7 × 10^3 | 0.0275          | −1 × 10^{-15}   | DD           |
| 2016-02-24 | 4443.0074       | V      | 4 × 600         | 410         | 19.3 × 10^3 | 0.0320          | −178 ± 7        | 0 DD         |
| 2016-02-24 | 4443.0377       | Q      | 4 × 600         | 433         | 31.1 × 10^3 | 0.0323          | −6 × 10^{-5}    | MD           |
| 2016-02-24 | 4443.0723       | U      | 4 × 600         | 405         | 29.4 × 10^3 | 0.0324          | −1 × 10^{-5}    | MD           |
| 2016-02-29 | 4447.9103       | V      | 4 × 300         | 298         | 14.5 × 10^3 | 0.0540          | −172 ± 10       | 0 DD         |
| 2016-03-01 | 4449.0011       | V      | 4 × 300         | 266         | 12.8 × 10^3 | 0.0589          | −200 ± 11       | 0 DD         |
| 2016-03-02 | 4449.9154       | V      | 4 × 300         | 311         | 14.4 × 10^3 | 0.0630          | −159 ± 10       | 0 DD         |
| 2016-03-03 | 4450.8328       | V      | 4 × 300         | 278         | 13.9 × 10^3 | 0.0671          | −215 ± 10       | 0 DD         |
| 2016-04-17 | 4495.8253       | V      | 4 × 600         | 226         | 11.3 × 10^3 | 0.0689          | −211 ± 12       | 0 DD         |
| 2016-04-17 | 4495.8564       | Q      | 4 × 600         | 366         | 26.9 × 10^3 | 0.0681          | −         | 0 DD         |
| 2016-04-17 | 4495.9021       | U      | 8 × 600         | 289         | 20.4 × 10^3 | 0.0684          | −9 × 10^{-4}    | MD           |
| 2016-04-20 | 4498.7442       | V      | 4 × 600         | 343         | 16.9 × 10^3 | 0.0283          | −188 ± 9        | 0 DD         |
| 2016-04-20 | 4498.7754       | Q      | 4 × 600         | 411         | 29.7 × 10^3 | 0.0281          | −         | 0 DD         |
| 2016-04-20 | 4498.8058       | U      | 4 × 600         | 438         | 30.7 × 10^3 | 0.0281          | −3 × 10^{-8}    | DD           |

been analysed using the same procedures. As a consequence, these differences cannot be attributed to different details of the analysis.

To investigate the corresponding change in individual spectral lines, we selected five Stokes I and V spectra from 2016 with the highest SNR (343 ≤ SNR ≤ 410) and compared them against the mean Stokes I and V spectra obtained by averaging all archival observations with SNR ≥ 200. This comparison is shown in the bottom panel of Fig. 2. Only a small wavelength interval of the ESPaDOnS/Narval spectra is displayed in this figure; the picture is qualitatively the same for all other intervals. It is evident that individual spectral lines exhibit the exact same change as seen for the LSD profiles, which confirms that these changes are intrinsic to the stellar spectrum and not an artefact originating from the LSD procedure.

It is worthwhile to note that the intensity spectra of AD Leo does not show any significant changes.

3.3 Mean longitudinal magnetic field

The mean longitudinal magnetic field \( \langle B_z \rangle \) was computed for each rotational phase from the LSD Stokes I and V profiles according to the formula

\[
\langle B_z \rangle = \frac{7.145 \times 10^6 \int v V(v) dv}{\lambda g_{\text{eff}}} \int [1 - I(v)] dv, \tag{2}
\]

where \( B_z \) is measured in G, \( v \) is the radial velocity in km s\(^{-1}\), \( \lambda \) in Å, and \( g_{\text{eff}} \) are, respectively, the mean LSD profile wavelength and mean effective Landé factor used for normalization of the LSD weights (Kochukhov et al. 2010).

We obtained \( \langle B_z \rangle \) values ranging between −215 and −159 G with typical uncertainties of 9 G. These measurements are listed for each epoch in column 8 of Table 1. Additionally, we consistently computed \( \langle B_z \rangle \) values for all archival LSD profiles, using the same velocity range from −30 to +30 km s\(^{-1}\) for all epochs.

The Stokes I LSD profiles exhibit a slightly different pseudo-continuum level, depending on small variations in normalization of the spectra, requiring us to scale both the Stokes I and V profiles by a different factor for each year. \( \langle B_z \rangle \) values calculated without rescaling the LSD profiles are on average 130 G higher than \( \langle B_z \rangle \) calculated with the rescaled profiles.

The evolution of the longitudinal magnetic field of AD Leo from 2006 to 2016 is presented in Fig. 3. This figure also shows that there is a systematic difference – of 10 G on average – between the \( \langle B_z \rangle \) measurements obtained in our work for 2007–2008 archival spectra and those reported by Morin et al. (2008). This discrepancy likely stems from different renormalization of the Stokes I profiles.

A long-term evolution of the mean longitudinal magnetic field can also be distinguished from Fig. 3, showing a steady increase of \( \langle B_z \rangle \) during the 2006–2008 period, followed with comparable values in 2008 and 2012, and a new increase in 2016.

3.4 Zeeman Doppler imaging

We carried out a Zeeman Doppler imaging (ZDI) reconstruction of the surface magnetic field of AD Leo using the Stokes I and V profiles to obtain further insight into the field topology and to compare our 2016 data with the most recent archival data set from 2012. The two last epochs of the 2016 data set were discarded as they were acquired more than 20 rotation cycles after the bulk of our observations, which comprise eight observations spanning seven rotation cycles. The 2012 map was reconstructed from nine observations spanning 3.6 rotation cycles.

The ZDI mapping was performed with the InversLSD code developed by Kochukhov et al. (2014) and subsequently used for
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Figure 1. Time series of the full Stokes vector LSD profiles derived from our observations of AD Leo. The LSD profiles are shifted vertically by a constant offset, according to their rotational cycle increasing upwards. The mean rotational cycle for each observing epoch is indicated in red above the Stokes LSD profiles, with the reference time being the middle of the first Stokes V exposure. The Stokes I LSD profiles have been renormalized as discussed in the text. The median error bars for the Stokes Q and U LSD profiles are shown in purple at the bottom right of their respective plot.

Following Morin et al. (2008), we used two additional free parameters, the filling factors \( f_I \) and \( f_V \), to improve the fit to the observed Stokes I and Stokes V profiles. The physical meaning of these filling factors is that a fraction \( f_I \) of each surface element is magnetic, and a fraction \( f_V \) of each surface element gives a contribution to the net circular polarization signature. The definition of the \( f_V \) filling factor according to Morin et al. (2008) is such that the local field strength of the magnetized fraction of a surface element is given by \( B/f_V \). The same value of each filling factor is applied to all surface elements across the stellar surface. Following the practice of previous ZDI studies of M dwarfs (Morin et al. 2008, 2010), we use only Stokes V LSD profiles in the ZDI analysis and do not attempt to simultaneously fit the details of Stokes I profiles. The rationale is that it is impossible to simultaneously model satisfactorily the Stokes I and V profiles of M dwarfs using the current framework since no quantitative models incorporating both the global and much stronger local fields have been proposed. Additionally, the Stokes I LSD profiles exhibit a pseudo-continuum level which is several per cent below unity, which is attributed to the contribution of molecular lines which are not accounted for in our LSD atomic line mask, meaning that the observed LSD intensity profiles cannot be reproduced as well as circular polarization profiles. For this reason, we only use the Stokes I LSD profiles to confirm the filling factor \( f_I \) and determine the line parameters used in the Unno–Rachkovsky equations. In addition, in the case of AD Leo, the Stokes I profile shows little rotational variability (see Fig. 4), justifying the assumption of uniform brightness.

Following the practice of previous studies of sharp-line active M dwarfs (Morin et al. 2008, 2010), we used a wider velocity range for the ZDI modelling of the former profiles, as can be seen in Fig. 5. The magnetic field was represented using a spherical harmonic expansion, with a maximum angular degree \( \ell_{\text{max}} = 10 \), which we consider to be sufficient given the simple topology of the global magnetic field of AD Leo. The surface magnetic field inversion was regularized by penalizing high \( \ell \)-modes, essentially favouring simpler field topologies, as described by Kochukhov et al. (2014).

We adopted \( v_s \sin i = 3 \text{ km s}^{-1} \), an inclination angle \( i = 20^\circ \), and

cool active stars by Hackman et al. (2016), Rosén et al. (2016), and Kochukhov and Lavail (2017). This code divides the stellar surface into surface elements of roughly equal area, and for each surface element, the local line profiles are computed using the Unno–Rachkovsky analytical solution of the polarized radiative transfer equations (Landi Degl’Innocenti & Landolfi 2004). The average central wavelength and effective Landé factors (670 and 1.2 nm, respectively) were adopted according to the LSD line list, and the fiducial line was assumed to split as a Zeeman triplet. The equivalent width of the local profile was adjusted to reproduce the Stokes I LSD profiles.

Since the Stokes V LSD profiles are broader in 2016 than in 2012, we used a wider velocity range for the ZDI modelling of the former profiles, as can be seen in Fig. 5.
Figure 2. Comparison of archival observations of AD Leo with our new 2016 observations. The top plot shows the Stokes V LSD profiles for all epochs between 2006 and 2016 (blue solid lines). Epochs are indicated at the top of each subplot. The bottom plot displays: (i) individual Stokes I spectra for our 2016 observations overplotted with the average Stokes I spectrum from the 2006–2012 period at the bottom, (ii) individual Stokes V spectra for our 2016 observations shifted to 1.15, and (iii) the average archival Stokes V spectrum (dark line) compared to the average of our 2016 Stokes V spectra (bright orange line) shifted to 1.4. All Stokes V profiles are magnified by a factor of 10.

The resulting surface magnetic field maps and fits to the observed Stokes V LSD profiles are presented in Fig. 5. We observe a quantitative transformation of the surface magnetic field between 2012 and 2016, with a general decrease of the mean magnetic field strength. However, it is interesting to note that a significant reduction of the Stokes V filling factor \(f_V\), from 13 percent in 2012 to \(\leq 7\) percent in 2016, was required in order to fit the observed LSD profiles. This means that while the average strength of the global field component is decreasing, the actual local magnetic field values (\(B/\sqrt{f_V}\)) are higher in our 2016 inversion. This hints that the field component dominating the circular polarization profiles of AD Leo became concentrated in smaller areas in 2016 compared to all previous epochs when this star was monitored with high-resolution spectropolarimetry.

Despite this evolution, the topology of the global magnetic field is mostly unchanged and is still predominantly dipolar (89 percent of the total magnetic field energy is in the \(\ell = 1\) mode in 2012 compared to 94 percent in 2016), poloidal (94 percent of the field energy is in the poloidal component in 2012 against 91 percent in 2016), and axisymmetric (93 percent and 97 percent of the total energy is in \(m < \ell/2\) modes for the 2012 and 2016 magnetic maps, respectively). Table 2 lists the change of the field parameters inferred from the ZDI inversions of the 2012 and 2016 data sets.

Additionally, we compared the observed and synthetic total linear polarization \(P = \sqrt{Q^2 + U^2}\) for the first four full Stokes epochs. The synthetic \(P_{\text{syn}}\) was obtained by a forward computation of the Stokes \(Q\) and \(U\) profiles corresponding to our recovered 2016 ZDI map. The observed \(P_{\text{obs}}\) was calculated from the observed Stokes \(Q\) and \(U\) LSD profiles. We find that the ratio \(P_{\text{syn}}/P_{\text{obs}}\) ranges from 2 to 5.5, indicating that our ZDI inversion – run without constraining linear polarization – overestimates linear polarization signatures compared to observations. This behaviour is not unexpected, and would hint at the presence of a magnetic field topology with many small-scale structures. Indeed, it was observed for magnetic Ap stars that the amplitude of linear polarization profiles decreased if small-scale structures were present on the stellar surface (Kochukhov et al. 2004; Rusomarov, Kochukhov & Lundin 2018). Interestingly, we note that the stronger Stokes \(Q\) and \(U\) profiles observed at the rotational cycles 27–28 give rise to an observed total linear polarization with similar amplitude than \(P_{\text{syn}}\) computed for the earlier epochs.

4 DISCUSSION

In this article we analysed new spectropolarimetric observations of the active M dwarf star AD Leo. For the first time, circular
more massive active stars. In general, the linear polarization proved to be too weak and noisy to be used for constraining the ZDI inversions.

While AD Leo is a bright and active M dwarf with some of the strongest circular polarization signatures, we recorded only relatively weak signals in linear polarization, even with long exposure times (2400 seconds) at a 4-m class telescope equipped with an excellent optical spectropolarimeter. As a consequence, acquiring spectropolarimetric time series observations of the complete Stokes vector of AD Leo (and other M dwarfs) suitable for Zeeman Doppler imaging may not be possible with the current generation of instrumentation.

Unexpectedly, we discovered a secular evolution of the amplitude and shape of the circular polarization profiles – both in individual spectral lines and in LSD profiles. This change, occurring between 2012 and 2016, indicates a sudden (judging by the stability of the Stokes $V$ signatures during the 2006–2012 period covered by the archival data) transformation of the surface magnetic field of AD Leo. This transformation is reflected in the surface magnetic field maps reconstructed by applying ZDI to the 2012 and 2016 Stokes $V$ profile sets. Magnetic maps show a decrease of the average and maximum magnetic field strength, but do not indicate a significant change of the magnetic field topology, which remains predominantly an axisymmetric dipole. However, the modelling also indicates that magnetic features have become more concentrated between 2012 to 2016, leading to the observed Stokes $V$ profiles arising from a smaller fraction of the surface.

These results show that long-term spectropolarimetric monitoring of active M dwarfs – even those with purportedly stable and well-understood global field topologies – is necessary and can be valuable for bringing observational constraints for dynamo processes in these stars and discriminating between alternative theoretical models.

Recent direct numerical simulations (DNS) of dynamos in fully convective, stratified spheres have been relatively successful in reproducing some of the observed characteristics of M dwarf magnetic fields. Gastine et al. (2013) presented models which developed both dipolar and multipolar magnetic field configuration, with a so-called bistable dynamo regime for fully convective stars, where both simple and complex magnetic fields are found for the same stellar parameters. More recently, Yadav et al. (2015) presented updated dynamo models that were claimed to reproduce well both the typical dipole-like, global magnetic field topology found with ZDI for many M dwarfs and their much stronger local tangled fields diagnosed by the Zeeman broadening studies. At the same time, they provide no interpretation of the extremely small global field filling factors required by the observational ZDI studies.

The DNS results by Gastine et al. (2013) and Yadav et al. (2015), however, do not predict the occurrence of magnetic cycles. So, finding a systematic long-term evolution of the dipole-dominated field topology of the kind we report here for AD Leo represents a puzzle for that theory. On the other hand, based on the mean field dynamo calculations, Kitchatinov, Moss, and Sokoloff (2014) suggested that the dipolar and multipolar magnetic field configurations would not need to arise from a bistable dynamo, but could instead result from an oscillatory dynamo mechanism that generates magnetic cycles as in the Sun. Thus, M dwarfs would exhibit observable magnetic cycles – with periods up to a few decades – resulting from this oscillatory dynamo. During these magnetic cycles, the star would display either a strong axisymmetric field or a weak non-axisymmetric field, if observed close to the magnetic reversal.

Figure 3. Top panel: mean longitudinal magnetic field ($B_z$) values (dark blue filled circles) as a function of observing date. The mean date for each observing epoch (2006, 2007, 2008, 2012, and 2016) is indicated with a vertical grey dashed line, and the phase-averaged ($B_z$) value for each epoch is plotted with large yellow diamonds. Bottom panel: box plots showing the difference of the mean longitudinal magnetic field ($B_z$) values between this work and Morin et al. (2008) for the two overlapping epochs (2007 and 2008). The horizontal dashed orange line indicates a difference of 10 G.

Figure 4. The observed Stokes $I$ LSD profiles for each phase are plotted in light grey, with the median Stokes $I$ LSD profile is overplotted in dark blue. The median Stokes $I$ LSD profile from the ZDI inversion is shown in red. To illustrate the lack of significant rotational variability of the observed profiles, the difference between individual observed Stokes $I$ LSD profiles and the median observed profile is shown in light blue below the line profiles.

Polarimetry is complemented with linear polarization observations. We reported definite detections of the linear polarization signatures in LSD profiles. These are the first detections of a Zeeman linear polarization signal in the spectral lines of an M dwarf. However, its amplitude turned out to be not as strong relative to the circular polarization signature as was expected from previous studies of
Figure 5. Global surface magnetic field of AD Leo reconstructed in (a) 2012 and (b) 2016. For each epoch, the flattened polar projections show the radial (top), meridional (middle), and azimuthal (bottom) magnetic field components. In each projection, the stellar surface is shown down to $-30^\circ$ latitude, the thick black circle shows the equator, and the dotted circles correspond to $+30^\circ$ and $+60^\circ$ latitudes. The colour bar to the right of each map links the colour palette to the magnetic field strength expressed in kG. To the right of the magnetic field maps we show the observed (black histogram) and model (red solid line) LSD Stokes V profiles. These profiles are shifted vertically by a constant offset according to the rotational phase increasing downwards.

Table 2. Magnetic field characteristics obtained from the ZDI inversions of the 2012 and 2016 data sets.

| Distribution of the magnetic field energy | 2012  | 2016  |
|------------------------------------------|------|-------|
| $\ell = 1$                               | 89.0%| 94.1% |
| $\ell = 2$                               | 4.4% | 2.0%  |
| $\ell = 3$                               | 1.3% | 1.1%  |
| $\ell = 4$                               | 1.4% | 1.1%  |
| $\ell = 5$                               | 1.3% | 0.7%  |
| $\ell = 6$                               | 0.9% | 0.4%  |
| $\ell = 7$                               | 0.7% | 0.2%  |
| $\ell = 8$                               | 0.4% | 0.2%  |
| $\ell = 9$                               | 0.3% | 0.2%  |
| $\ell = 10$                              | 0.2% | 0.2%  |
| Poloidal                                 | 94.0%| 90.6% |
| Axisymmetric ($m < l/2$)                 | 92.6%| 97.2% |
| Magnetic field characteristics           | 2012 | 2016  |
| $f_i$                                    | 50%  | 50%   |
| $f_v$                                    | 13$^{+10}_{-6}$%| 7$^{+5}_{-3}$%|
| Average magnetic field $\langle B \rangle$ | 0.33 kG | 0.30 kG |
| $\langle B \rangle / f_v$                | 2.54 kG | 4.29 kG |
| Maximum magnetic field $B_{\text{max}}$   | 1.18 kG | 0.95 kG |
| $B_{\text{max}} / f_v$                   | 9.08 kG | 13.57 kG |

In the case of AD Leo, we do not observe a fundamental topological transformation of the global field structure. The field seems to become more dipolar and axisymmetric, and weaker on the global scale. But this geometry is, apparently, being produced by increasingly stronger local magnetic features which concentrate in smaller surface areas. According to our knowledge, this behaviour was previously not considered by any global stellar dynamo models.

This is the first spectropolarimetric study of an M dwarf over a period spanning a decade. Previously, Morin et al. (2008, 2010) performed spectropolarimetric studies of late- and mid-M dwarfs over a period of a few years. They observed substantial magnetic variability for one of their fully-convective targets, GJ 1245 B, which exhibited a variable multipolar field which changed significantly every year in the 2006–2008 period. Our results for AD Leo are different in the sense that we have identified a secular change of the field structure in an M dwarf with predominantly dipolar global field topology.

If occurring, magnetic cycles on M dwarfs are expected to have longer periods than in the Sun, perhaps more than a few decades. To observe these cycles, or the lack thereof, and thus refine our understanding of M dwarf magnetism, monitoring of magnetically active M dwarfs over a long period will be essential.
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