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Abstract: The main purpose of this paper is to study the oscillatory properties of solutions of the third-order quasi-linear delay differential equation

\[ Ly(t) + f(t)y^{\alpha(t)}(\sigma(t)) = 0 \]

where \( Ly(t) = (b(t)(a(t)(y(t))^\alpha))' \) is a semi-canonical differential operator. The main idea is to transform the semi-canonical operator into canonical form and then obtain new oscillation results for the studied equation. Examples are provided to illustrate the importance of the main results.
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1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the third-order quasi-linear delay differential equation

\[ Ly(t) + f(t)y^{\alpha(t)}(\sigma(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 > 0, \tag{1.1} \]

where \( L \) denote the differential operator \( Ly(t) = (b(t)(a(t)(y(t))^\alpha))' \). Throughout, we will assume that:

(H1) \( a \in C_1([t_0, \infty)), b \in C_1([t_0, \infty)), a(t) > 0 \) and \( b(t) > 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \);
(H2) \( f(t) \in C([t_0, \infty)) \) is a positive for all \( t \geq t_0 \);
(H3) \( \sigma(t) \in C_1([t_0, \infty)), \sigma(t) < t, \lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty \) and \( \sigma'(t) \geq 0 \) for all \( t \geq t_0 \);
(H4) \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are ratios of odd positive integers;
(H5) The operator \( L \) is in semi-canonical form, that is,

\[ II(t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)} dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\alpha z(t)} dt = \infty. \]

Under the solution of (1.1), we mean a nontrivial function \( y(t) \in C([t, \infty)), t \geq t_0 \) which has the properties \( y(t) \in C_1([t, \infty)), t \geq t_0, a(t)(y(t))^{\alpha(t)} \in C_1([t, \infty)), b(t)(a(t)(y(t))(\alpha))' \in C_1([t, \infty)), t \geq t_0 \) and satisfies (1.1) on \([t, \infty)\). Our attention is restricted to those solutions \( y(t) \) of (1.1) satisfying \( \sup\{|y(t)| : t \geq T\} > 0 \) for all.  
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Throughout the paper, we employ the following notations:

\[ II(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{b(s)} ds, \quad d(t) = b(t) II^2(t), \quad c(t) = \frac{a(t)}{II(t)}, \]

\[ F(t) = II(t) f(t), \quad G(t) = F(t) (\eta(\sigma(t)))^a, \]

\[ \mu(t) = \int_{t_1}^t \frac{1}{d(s)} ds \quad \text{and} \quad \eta(t) = \int_{t_1}^t \left( \frac{\mu(s)}{c(s)} \right)^{1/\alpha} ds \]

for all \( t \geq t_1 \), where \( t_1 \geq t_0 \).

**Lemma 1.1.** Assume that \( y(t) \) is an eventually positive solution of (1.1), then \( y(t) \) satisfies one of the following three options:

1. \( y(t) > 0, (y'(t))^\alpha > 0, (a(t)(y'(t))^\alpha)' > 0, (b(t)(a(t)(y'(t))^\alpha))'' < 0, \)
2. \( y'(t) > 0, (y'(t))^\alpha < 0, (a(t)(y'(t))^\alpha)' > 0, (b(t)(a(t)(y'(t))^\alpha))'' < 0, \)
3. \( y(t) > 0, (y'(t))^\alpha > 0, (a(t)(y'(t))^\alpha)' < 0, (b(t)(a(t)(y'(t))^\alpha))'' < 0, \)

eventually for all sufficiently large \( t \).

So, if we want to obtain oscillation criteria for semi-canonical equation (1.1), we have to eliminate three above mentioned cases, which may lead to three conditions. To overcome this, we assume a simple condition that yields to a canonical form and this essentially simplifies the examination of (1.1).

## 2 Main Results

Throughout the paper, we employ the following notations:

\[ \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{t_0} dt = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{t_0}^\infty \frac{1}{a(s)} ds = \infty, \]

**Theorem 2.1.** If

\[ \int_{t_0}^\infty \left( \frac{II(t)}{a(t)} \right)^{1/\alpha} dt = \infty, \tag{2.1} \]
then the semi-canonical operator $\mathcal{L}y$ has the following unique canonical representation

$$\mathcal{L}y(t) = \frac{1}{\Pi(t)} \left( b(t)\Pi^2(t) \left( \frac{a(t)}{\Pi(t)} (y'(t))^a \right) \right).$$

(2.2)

**Proof.** Direct calculation shows that

$$b(t)\Pi^2(t) \left( \frac{a(t)}{\Pi(t)} (y'(t))^a \right) = \Pi(t)b(t) \left( a(t) \left( y'(t) \right)^a \right) + a(t) \left( y'(t) \right)^a.$$  

So

$$\left( b(t)\Pi^2(t) \left( \frac{a(t)}{\Pi(t)} (y'(t))^a \right) \right) = \Pi(t) \left( b(t) \left( a(t)\Pi(t) \left( y'(t) \right)^a \right) \right)^{\prime},$$

or

$$\frac{1}{\Pi(t)} \left( b(t)\Pi^2(t) \left( \frac{a(t)}{\Pi(t)} (y'(t))^a \right) \right) = \left( b(t) \left( a(t)(y'(t))^a \right) \right)^{\prime}.$$  

This shows that (2.2) is in the canonical form, that is,

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b(t)\Pi^2(t)} dt = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} d\left( \frac{1}{\Pi(t)} \right) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{1}{\Pi(t)} = \frac{1}{\Pi(t_0)} = \infty,$$

and

$$\int_{t_0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\Pi(t)}{a(t)} \right)^{\prime/a} dt = \infty

by (2.1). However Trench proved in [32] that there exists the only one canonical representation of $\mathcal{L}$ (up to multiplicative constants with product 1) and so our canonical form is unique. This completes the proof. \qed

Now it follows from Theorem 2.1 that (1.1) can be written in the canonical form as

$$(d(t)(c(t)(y'(t))^a))^{\prime} + F(t)y^{\beta}(\sigma(t)) = 0,$$

(2.3)

and the following result is immediate.

**Theorem 2.2.** Assume (2.1) holds. Semi-canonical equation (1.1) possesses solution $y(t)$ if and only if the canonical equation (2.3) has the solution $y(t)$.

**Corollary 2.3.** Assume that (2.1) holds. Semi-canonical equation (1.1) has an eventually positive solution if and only if the canonical equation (2.3) has an eventually positive solution.

Corollary 2.3 significantly simplifies examination of (1.1) since for (2.3) we deal with only two classes of an eventually positive solutions (see, [[24], Lemma 2]), namely either

$$y(t) > 0, c(t)(y'(t))^a < 0, d(t)(c(t)(y'(t))^a)^{\prime} < 0, (d(t)(c(t)(y'(t))^a))^{\prime} < 0,$$

and in this case we say $y \in N_0$ or

$$y(t) > 0, c(t)(y'(t))^a > 0, d(t)(c(t)(y'(t))^a)^{\prime} > 0, (d(t)(c(t)(y'(t))^a))^{\prime} < 0,$$

and in this case we denote that $y \in N_2$.

**Lemma 2.4.** Assume that (2.3) possesses an eventually positive solution $y(t) \in N_2$. Then

$$y(t) = \eta(t) \left( d(t)(c(t)(y'(t))^a) \right)^{1/a},$$

(2.4)

and

$$\frac{c(t)(y'(t))^a}{\mu(t)} \text{ is decreasing.}$$

(2.5)
Lemma 2.5. Let \( f \in C((t_0, \infty) \times R, R) \), \( \sigma \in ((t_0, \infty), R) \), \( f(t, x) \text{sgn } x = \text{sgn } x, t \geq t_0 \), \( \lim_{t \to \infty} \sigma(t) = \infty \) and \( \sigma(t) < t \) for every \( t \geq t_0 \). If \( y \) is a positive bounded solution of the differential inequality
\[
y'(t) + f(t, y(\sigma(t))) \leq 0, t \geq t_0
\]
then there exists a positive solution \( x \) of the differential equation
\[
x'(t) + f(t, x(\sigma(t))) = 0
\]
such that \( x(t) \leq y(t) \) for all large \( t \), and \( \lim_{t \to \infty} x(t) = 0 \) monotonically.

In the remaining part of the paper, we always assume condition (2.1) holds without further mention.

Theorem 2.6. If \( \alpha = \beta \) and
\[
\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \left( c(s) \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{d(u)} \int_{u}^{t} F(v)dvdu \right)^{1/a} ds > 1,
\]
then every positive solution \( y(t) \) of (1.1) does not satisfy \( N_0 \).

Proof. Assume that \( y(t) \) is an eventually positive solution of (1.1). By Corollary 2.3, the function \( y(t) \) is a positive solution of (2.3). Now assume to the contrary that \( y(t) \in N_0 \). Integrating (2.3) from \( s \) to \( t \) yields
\[
d(s)(c(s)(y'(s))^\alpha) \geq \int_{s}^{t} F(v)y^\beta(\sigma(v))dv \geq y^\beta(\sigma(t)) \int_{s}^{t} F(v)dv.
\]
Again integrating twice from \( s \) to \( t \), one gets
\[
y(s) \geq \int_{s}^{t} \left( \frac{1}{c(j)} \int_{j}^{t} \frac{1}{d(u)} \int_{u}^{t} F(v)dvdu \right)^{1/a} dj.
\]
By setting \( s = \sigma(t) \) and \( \alpha = \beta \), we obtain a contradiction to (2.6). This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Theorem 2.7. If \( \alpha = \beta \) and
\[
\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} G(s)ds > 1/e,
\]
then every positive solution \( y(t) \) of (1.1) does not satisfy \( N_2 \).

Proof. Let \( y(t) \) be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then by Corollary 2.3, the function \( y(t) \) is also a positive solution of (2.3). Now assume to the contrary that \( y(t) \in N_2 \). Since \( d(t)(c(t)(y'(t))^\alpha) \) is positive and decreasing, we can verify that
\[
c(t)(y'(t))^\alpha \geq \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{d(s)c(s)(y'(s))^\alpha}{d(s)} ds = \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{d(s)} ds.
\]
Integrating again from $t_1$ to $t$ yields

$$y(t) \geq (d(t)c(t)(y'(t))^a)^{1/a} \int_{t_1}^{t} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right) \, ds.$$  

Using this in (2.3), we see that $w(t) = d(t)c(t)(y'(t))^a$ is a positive solution of the differential inequality

$$w'(t) + F(t) \left( \int_{t_1}^{\sigma(t)} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right) \, ds \right)^a w(\sigma(t)) \leq 0. \quad (2.8)$$

This is a contradiction since by Theorem 2.1.1 in [17], condition (2.7) guarantees that (2.8) has no positive solution. This completes the proof. \hfill \Box

Combining Theorems 2.6 and 2.7, one can immediately obtain the following oscillation result:

**Theorem 2.8.** Let $a = \beta$, (2.6) and (2.7) hold. Then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Next we consider the case $\alpha > \beta$.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let $\alpha > \beta$ holds. If

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} F(v) \, dv \, ds = \infty \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\int_{t_1}^{\infty} F(t)(\sigma(t))^\beta \, dt = \infty \quad (2.10)$$

for all $t_1 \geq t_0$, then (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Let $y(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). It follows from Corollary 2.3 that $y(t)$ is also a positive solution of (2.3) and either $y(t) \in N_0$ or $y(t) \in N_2$.

First assume that $y(t) \in N_0$. Then proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.6, we obtain

$$y(\sigma(t)) \geq y^{\beta/\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} F(v) \, dv \, ds$$

or

$$y^{1-\beta/\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \geq \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} F(v) \, dv \, ds.$$  

Since $y(t)$ is decreasing and $\alpha > \beta$, we see that $y^{1-\beta/\alpha}(\sigma(t)) \leq M$ for all $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$, using this, we obtain

$$M \geq \int_{\sigma(t)}^{t} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{s}^{t} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} F(v) \, dv \, ds,$$

which contradicts (2.9) as $t \to \infty$.

Next assume that $y(t) \in N_2$. Let $w(t) = d(t)c(t)(y(t))^a > 0$. Then using (2.4) in (2.3), we obtain

$$w' + \eta^\beta(\sigma(t))F(t)(w(\sigma(t)))^{\beta/\alpha} \leq 0, \quad t \geq t_1.$$
Since \( w(t) \) is a positive bounded solution of the last inequality then by Lemma 2.5, we see that the corresponding equation

\[
    w'(t) + \eta^\beta (\sigma(t)) F(t) (w(\sigma(t)))^{\beta/a} = 0, \ t \geq t_1,
\]

has also a positive solution. But by Theorem 3.9.3 of [17], the condition (2.10) implies that \( w(t) \) is oscillatory. This contradiction completes the proof of the theorem. \( \square \)

**Theorem 2.10.** Assume that there exists a function \( \zeta(t) \in \mathcal{C}([t_0, \infty)) \) such that

\[
    \zeta'(t) \geq 0, \ \zeta(t) > t, \ \tau(t) = \sigma(\zeta(t)) < t.
\]

If for all sufficiently large \( t_1 \geq t_0 \), the first order delay differential equations

\[
    w'(t) + Q_1(t) w^{\beta/a}(\sigma(t)) = 0 \tag{2.12}
\]

and

\[
    w'(t) + Q_2(t) w^{\beta/a}(\tau(t)) = 0 \tag{2.13}
\]

where

\[
    Q_1(t) = F(t) \left( \int_{t_1}^{\sigma(t)} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} \, ds \right)^{\beta}
\]

\[
    Q_2(t) = \left( \frac{1}{c(t)} \int_{t}^{\zeta(t)} \frac{1}{d(s)} \int_{s}^{\zeta(s)} F(u) \, du \right)^{1/a}
\]

are oscillatory, then (1.1) is oscillatory.

**Proof.** Let \( y(t) \) be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). It follows from Corollary 2.3 that \( y(t) \) is also a positive solution of (2.3) and either \( y(t) \in \mathcal{N}_0 \) or \( y(t) \in \mathcal{N}_2 \).

If \( y(t) \in \mathcal{N}_2 \), then by using the fact that

\[
    w(t) = d(t) (c(t) y'(t))^a \geq 0
\]

is decreasing, we have

\[
    c(t) y'(t)^a \geq \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{d(s)} (d(s) (c(s) y'(s)))^a \, ds \geq w(t) \int_{t_1}^{t} \frac{1}{d(s)} \, ds.
\]

Integrating from \( t_1 \) to \( t \), we are led to

\[
    y(t) \geq \int_{t_1}^{t} \left( \frac{w(s)}{c(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} \, ds
\]

\[
    \geq w_{1/a}(t) \int_{t_1}^{t} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} \, ds.
\]

Hence

\[
    y(\sigma(t)) \geq w_{1/a}(\sigma(t)) \int_{t_1}^{\sigma(t)} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} \, ds.
\]

Combining the last inequality together with (2.3), we obtain

\[
    -w'(t) \geq F(t) \left( \int_{t_1}^{\sigma(t)} \left( \frac{1}{c(s)} \int_{t_1}^{s} \frac{1}{d(u)} \, du \right)^{1/a} \, ds \right)^{\beta} w^{\beta/a}(\sigma(t)).
\]
Therefore, it is clear that \( w(t) \) is a positive solution of differential inequality

\[
 w'(t) + Q_1(t)w^{\beta/a}(\sigma(t)) \leq 0
\]

for \( t \geq t_1 \). Since \( w(t) \) is a positive bounded solution of the last inequality and therefore by Lemma 2.5, we conclude that there exists a positive solution \( w(t) \) of equation (2.12) with \( \lim_{t \to \infty} w(t) = 0 \), which contradicts the fact that equation (2.12) is oscillatory.

Next, we assume that \( y(t) \in N_0 \). An integration of (2.3) from \( t \) to \( \zeta(t) \) yields

\[
d(t) \left( c(t)(y'(t))^a \right) + \int_t^{\zeta(t)} F(s)y^\beta(\sigma(s))ds \geq y^\beta(\sigma(\zeta(t))) \int_t^{\zeta(t)} F(s)ds.
\]

Then

\[
\left( c(t)(y'(t))^a \right) \geq \frac{y^\beta(\sigma(\zeta(t)))}{d(t)} \int_t^{\zeta(t)} F(s)ds.
\]

Integrating the last inequality from \( t \) to \( \zeta(t) \), we have

\[
-c(t)(y'(t))^a \geq \int_t^{\zeta(t)} y^\beta(\sigma(s))/d(s) \int_s^{\zeta(t)} F(u)du \, ds
\]

\[
\quad \geq y^\beta(\tau(t)) \int_t^{\zeta(t)} \frac{1}{d(s)} \int_s^{\zeta(t)} F(u)du \, ds.
\]

Dividing the last inequality by \( c(\tau) \) and then integrating it from \( t \) to \( \infty \), one gets

\[
y(t) \geq \int_t^{\infty} \left( \frac{y^\beta(\tau(s))}{c(s)} \int_s^{\zeta(s)} \frac{1}{d(u)} \int_u^{\zeta(u)} F(v)dv \, du \right)^{1/a} ds.
\]

Set the right-hand side of the last inequality by \( w(t) \). Then \( y(t) \geq w(t) > 0 \) and it is easy to verify that

\[
0 = w'(t) + \left( \frac{1}{c(t)} \int_t^{\zeta(t)} \frac{1}{d(s)} \int_s^{\zeta(s)} F(u)du \, ds \right)^{1/a} dsy^{\beta/a}(\tau(t))
\]

\[
\geq w'(t) + Q_2(t)w^{\beta/a}(\tau(t)).
\]

Since \( w(t) \) is a positive bounded solution of the last inequality, then by Lemma 2.5 we see that the corresponding differential equation (2.13) has also a positive solution with \( \lim_{t \to \infty} w(t) = 0 \). This contradicts the assumption that (2.13) is oscillatory, and hence we conclude that (1.1) oscillates. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Employing criteria for oscillation of (2.12) and (2.13), we immediately obtain criteria for oscillation of (1.1).

**Corollary 2.11.** *Assume that there exists a function \( \zeta(t) \in C([t_0, \infty)) \) such that (2.11) holds. If \( \alpha = \beta \),*

\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \int_t^{\infty} Q_1(s)ds > \frac{1}{c}
\]

(2.14)
and
\[ \liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{t}^{\infty} Q_2(s) \, ds > \frac{1}{e}, \]  
(2.15)

then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 2.1.1 in [18] and Theorem 2.10. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.12. Assume that there exists a function \( \zeta(t) \in C([t_0, \infty)) \) such that (2.11) holds. If \( \alpha > \beta \),
\[ \int_{t_1}^{\infty} Q_1(s) \, ds = \infty \]  
(2.16)
and
\[ \int_{t_1}^{\infty} Q_2(s) \, ds = \infty \]  
(2.17)

for all \( t_1 \geq t_0 \), then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. The proof follows from Theorem 3.9.3 of [18] and Theorem 2.10. This completes the proof. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.13. Assume that there exists a function \( \zeta(t) \in C([t_0, \infty)) \) such that (2.11) holds. Suppose \( \beta > \alpha \), \( \theta_1 \in (0, 1) \), \( \theta_2(0, 1) \), \( \sigma(t) = \theta_1 t \), \( \tau(t) = \theta_2 t \). If there exist
\[ \lambda_1 > -\ln \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) / \ln(\theta_1), \quad \lambda_2 > -\ln \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) / \ln(\theta_2) \]
such that
\[ \liminf_{t \to \infty} (\theta_1(t) \exp(-t^{\lambda_1})) > 0 \]
and
\[ \liminf_{t \to \infty} (\theta_2(t) \exp(-t^{\lambda_2})) > 0 \]
hold, then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Corollary 2.14. Assume that there exists a function \( \zeta(t) \in C([t_0, \infty)) \) such that (2.11) holds. Suppose \( \beta > \alpha \), \( \theta_1 \in (0, 1) \), \( \theta_2(0, 1) \), \( \sigma(t) = t^{\theta_1} \), \( \tau(t) = t^{\theta_2} \). If there exist
\[ \lambda_1 > -\ln \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) / \ln(\theta_1), \quad \lambda_2 > -\ln \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right) / \ln(\theta_2) \]
such that
\[ \liminf_{t \to \infty} (\theta_1(t) \exp(-t \ln^{\lambda_1})) > 0 \]
and
\[ \liminf_{t \to \infty} (\theta_2(t) \exp(-t \ln^{\lambda_2})) > 0 \]
hold, then (1.1) is oscillatory.

The proof of the Corollaries 2.12 and 2.13 follow from Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 of [11] and Theorem 2.10 respectively.

Our final result is concerned with the case when
\[ \int_{t_0}^{\infty} F(t) \, dt < \infty, \]  
(2.18)
Theorem 2.15. Let $\alpha = \beta$ and (2.6) hold. If (2.18) and
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\eta^\alpha(\sigma(t))}{t} \int_t^\infty F(s)ds > 1,
\]
then (1.1) is oscillatory.

Proof. Let $y(t)$ be an eventually positive solution of (1.1). Then by Corollary 2.3, $y(t)$ is also a positive solution of (2.3) and so either $y(t) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ or $y(t) \in \mathbb{N}_2$ for all $t \geq t_1 \geq t_0$. First assume that $y(t) \in \mathbb{N}_2$. Define
\[
w(t) = \frac{d(t)(c(t)(y'(t)))^\alpha}{y^\alpha(\sigma(t))}, \quad t \geq t_1.
\]
Then $w(t) > 0$ and using (2.3) and $(H_3)$, we see that
\[
w'(t) = -F(t) - \frac{d(t)(c(t)(y'(t)))^\alpha}{y^\alpha(\sigma(t))} y'(\sigma(t)) \sigma'(t)
\]
\[\leq -F(t).
\]
Integrating the last inequality from $t$ to $\infty$, we obtain
\[
\int_t^\infty F(s)ds \leq \frac{d(t)(c(t)(y'(t)))^\alpha}{y^\alpha(\sigma(t))}.
\]
Using (2.4) in the above inequality yields
\[
\eta^\alpha(\sigma(t)) \int_t^\infty F(s)ds \leq 1
\]
which contradicts (2.19) as $t \to \infty$. The proof for the case $y(t) \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is similar to that of Theorem 2.6. The proof is now completed. \hfill $\Box$

3 Examples

In this section, we present two examples to illustrate our main results.

Example 3.1. Consider the third-order nonlinear delay differential equation
\[
(t^2 \left(\frac{1}{t} \left(y'(t)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)') + \lambda ty^{\frac{1}{2}}(t/2) = 0, \quad t \geq 1.
\]
(3.1)

Compared to (1.1), we see that $b(t) = t^2$, $a(t) = \frac{1}{t}$, $f(t) = \lambda t$, $\lambda > 0$, $\sigma(t) = \frac{t}{2}$, and $\alpha = \beta = \frac{1}{2}$. Clearly (3.1) is semi-canonical. A simple calculation shows that $II(t) = \frac{1}{4}$, $d(t) = c(t) = 1$, $F(t) = \lambda$. The transformed equation
\[
\left((y'(t))^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)' + \lambda y^{\frac{1}{2}}(t/2) = 0, \quad t \geq 1
\]
(3.2)
is clearly canonical since the condition (2.1) is satisfied. Now the conditions (2.6) and (2.7) become
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \sup_{t/2} \left( \int_{t/2}^t \int_s^t \lambda dv \ du \right) \left( \int_s^t du \right)^3 ds = \infty > 1
\]
and
\[
\lim_{t \to \infty} \inf_{t/2} \left( \int_{t/2}^t \lambda \left( \frac{u}{2} - 1 \right)^\frac{1}{2} ds = \infty > 1/e, \right.
\]
that is, (2.6) and (2.7) are satisfied. Hence by Theorem 2.8 we see that (3.1) is oscillatory.

Note that the existing results reported in [4, 10, 12, 19, 20, 25] cannot be applied to equation (3.1) since \( b(t) \neq 1 \) and \( a \neq 1 \).

**Example 3.2.** Consider the third-order linear delay differential equation

\[
\left( t^2 \left( \frac{1}{t} y(t) \right) \right) + \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{t}} y(t/2) = 0, \quad t \geq 1. \tag{3.3}
\]

**Conclusion**

In this paper, we have introduced a new technique that transform the semi-canonical equation (1.1) into canonical equation. In this way, one can require less number of conditions to establish oscillation criteria for (1.1) which are significantly different from those reported in [4,10,12,19,20,25]. Further examples are provided to illustrate the importance of the main results.
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