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ABSTRACT

Introduction: This study investigated the long-term visual and optical quality changes after small incision lenticule extraction (SMILE) for the correction of moderate to high myopia.

Methods: Forty-one eyes of 41 patients with a mean spherical equivalent (SE) of $-6.74 \pm 1.45$ D undergoing SMILE were enrolled. Preoperative and 1-month, 3-month, 1-year and 5-year postoperative follow-up examinations were performed including visual acuity, manifest refraction, corneal aberrations and contrast sensitivity. An optical quality analysis system was used to measure objective scatter index (OSI), Strehl ratio (SR) and modulation transfer function cutoff frequency (MTF_cutoff).

Results: The safety and efficacy indices were $1.09 \pm 0.12$ and $1.03 \pm 0.17$, respectively, at the 5-year follow-up. No significant changes in SE from 1 month to 5 years postoperatively were found. The OSI significantly increased at 1 and 3 months postoperatively ($p < 0.01$ and $p < 0.01$) and declined at 1 and 5 years ($p = 0.81$ and $p = 0.87$). MTF_cutoff and SR showed similar trends. Coma, spherical aberration and total higher-order aberration significantly increased after SMILE ($p < 0.01$) and remained stable from 1 month to 5 years after surgery ($p > 0.05$). Contrast sensitivity in the photopic and mesopic condition showed no significant changes during 5-year follow-up ($p > 0.05$).

Conclusion: The SMILE procedure is satisfactory in terms of optical quality for correcting moderate and high myopia in the long-term period.

Trial Registration: ChiCTR-ONRC-13003114.
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Key Summary Points

Why carry out this study?
SMILE (small incision lenticule extraction) for the correction of myopia and myopic astigmatism has provided good visual and refractive results in many patients in the last decade.

It was previously reported that intraocular scattering shows a tendency to increase temporarily, then gradually declines to preoperative levels in 3 months and remains stable at 18 months after surgery.

However, longer-term optical quality outcomes have not been well investigated.

What was learned from the study?
This study was the first to provide new information about the long-term (5 years) optical quality changes after SMILE.

SMILE showed stable visual and refractive outcomes with unaffected contrast sensitivity for moderate and high myopia in this 5-year follow-up study.

Intraocular scattering and retinal image quality temporarily changed and then recovered to preoperative levels within the 5 years of follow-up.

INTRODUCTION

Small incision lenticule extraction (SMILE) was first reported by Sekundo et al. [1] and Shah et al. [2] for the correction of myopia and myopic astigmatism in 2011. As a flapless minimally invasive procedure that allows the relative preservation of the anterior stromal lamellae, SMILE could in theory maintain the strength of the cornea postoperatively [3, 4]. This procedure has provided good results to many satisfied patients in the past decade [5–9].

Blum et al. [10] were the first to report that SMILE is a safe, effective and stable procedure for the treatment of myopia and myopic astigmatism in a 10-year follow-up. Similarly, our previous studies [11, 12] investigated the 7-year outcomes after SMILE, including corneal wavefront aberrations and posterior elevation. Our team has long been focusing on optical quality and intraocular scattering after SMILE. In our previous studies, it was found that intraocular scattering first showed a tendency to increase temporarily and then gradually declined to preoperative levels in 3 months and remained stable at 18-month follow-up, while retinal image quality was barely influenced and remained stable at 18 months after surgery [13–15].

The purpose of this study was to evaluate visual and refractive outcomes within 5 years after SMILE for treating moderate to high myopia and to analyze the long-term changes in corneal aberrations, contrast sensitivity, intraocular scattering and retinal image quality of the procedure. To our knowledge, this prospective study is the first to provide detailed information about long-term visual and optical quality changes, including intraocular scattering and contrast sensitivity after SMILE.

METHODS

Subjects

This study adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of our Hospital. Written informed consent was obtained from each subject after giving them an explanation of the nature and possible consequences of the study, and all the procedures followed the HIPAA (Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act) regulations for patients.

All subjects in the present study were recruited from a pool of patients who underwent SMILE for myopia correction at our hospital. Criteria for inclusion were as follows: ages from 18 to 40 years, spherical error of \(-3.00\) to \(-9.00\) D and cylindrical error of 0 to \(-3.00\) D. Exclusion criteria were as follows: suspicious of
keratoconus, a history of other ocular diseases besides myopia and astigmatism, or previous ocular surgeries. Contact lens wearers were asked to discontinue lens wear for at least 2 weeks.

In total, 41 eyes of 41 patients (13 men and 28 women) with a mean age of 26.49 ± 4.68 years were included in this prospective longitudinal study. The baseline characteristics of the study population are summarized in Table 1.

Measurements

The preoperative and 1-month, 3-month, 1-year and 5-year postoperative ophthalmic examinations included slit-lamp biomicroscopy, uncorrected distance visual acuity (UDVA), corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA), manifest refraction and optical quality assessment.

Wavefront aberrations were calculated by Zernike analysis using Pentacam HR (Oculus Optikgerate GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany). Coma, trefoil, spherical aberration (SA) and the root mean square (RMS) of total lower order aberration and higher order aberration (HOA) were observed for the 6-mm pupil diameter. A double-pass optical quality analysis system (OQAS, Visiometrics, Terrassa, Spain) was used to measure optical quality parameters, such as objective scatter index (OSI), modulated transfer function cutoff frequency (MTF_cutoff) and Strehl ratio (SR) in two dimensions. The testing was conducted in a mesopic condition with a 4-mm artificial pupil. Contrast sensitivity (CS) was assessed with the CSV-1000 test chart (Vector Vision, Greenville, OH) in the mesopic (85 cd/m²) and photopic (3 cd/m²) conditions in four different spatial frequencies: 3, 6, 12 and 18 cycles per degree (cpd).

Surgical Procedure

All SMILE procedures were conducted in accordance with previous studies [12, 13] and performed by the same experienced surgeon (XZ) using the VisuMax femtosecond laser system (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Jena, Germany). Laser scanning was set to a pulse energy of 130 nJ and a repetition rate of 500 kHz. The intended thickness of the cap was 110 μm, and the diameter of the cap was 7.5 mm. The refractive lenticule was 6.5–6.9 mm based on refractive errors. The intrastromal lenticule was extracted through a 2-mm incision at the 12 o’clock position.

Prescriptions were given for levofloxacin eye drops, four times a day for 3 days; artificial tears, four times a day for 1 month; 0.1% fluorometholone eye drops, seven times daily initially, followed by a gradual reduction over 3 weeks.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical tests were performed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 25.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD). Normal distribution was evaluated by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Comparisons of the pre- and postoperative data were performed using the repeated measurement analysis of variance for normally distributed data and Friedman rank sum test for non-normally distributed data. A Bonferroni-based adjustment was made for multiple comparisons to control the family type I error at a level of 0.05. Significance was set at p < 0.05.

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the patients

|                | Mean ± SD  | Range     |
|----------------|------------|-----------|
| Age (years)    | 26.49 ± 4.68 | 19–40     |
| Sphere (D)     | -6.74 ± 1.45 | -4.25 to -9.00 |
| Cylinder (D)   | -0.84 ± 0.70 | 0 to -2.25 |
| Spherical equivalent (D) | -7.16 ± 1.51 | -4.25 to -10.00 |
| IOP (mmHg)     | 15.35      | 11.5–20.1 |

*D diopters, *SE spherical equivalent, *IOP intraocular pressure*
RESULTS

Safety and Efficacy

All surgical procedures were completed successfully, without any intra- or postoperative adverse effects such as interface debris, diffuse lamellar keratitis, subconjunctival hemorrhage, epithelial ingrowth or corneal ectasia during the follow-up period. The safety index (ratio between postoperative CDVA and preoperative CDVA) was 1.09 ± 0.12 at 5 years after SMILE. A total of 41.46% eyes gained one line in CDVA after 5 years, 2.44% eyes gained two lines or more and no eye lost two or more lines.

The efficacy index (ratio between postoperative UDVA and preoperative CDVA) was 1.03 ± 0.17 at 5 years postoperatively. As shown in Fig. 1, 90.24% of the eyes achieved a UDVA of 20/20 or better, 95.12% eyes achieved an UDVA of 16/20 or better, 95.12% eyes achieved a CDVA of 20/20 or better, and all eyes achieved a CDVA of 16/20 or better.

Predictability and Stability

Spherical equivalent (SE) was −0.12 ± 0.32, −0.13 ± 0.48, −0.23 ± 0.50 and −0.28 ± 0.55 D at 1, 3, 12 and 60 months after SMILE, respectively. There was no significant difference in SE between postoperative time points spanning the 5-year follow-up (p > 0.05). The average refractive regression rate was −0.03 D per year. After 5 years, 87.80% of eyes were within ±0.50 D and 95.12% of eyes were within ±1.00 D of target refraction (Fig. 1).

Corneal Aberration

As shown in Table 2, an increase of HOA RMS was observed from a preoperative value of 0.38 ± 0.25 μm to 0.97 ± 0.29 μm at 3 months postoperatively and finally to 0.95 ± 0.31 μm at the last follow-up. Coma, SA and the RMS of low- and high-order aberrations showed significant increases at each point in time after SMILE compared with those measured before the

Fig. 1 Visual and refractive outcomes at 5 years postoperatively for 41 eyes treated with small incision lenticule extraction (UDVA uncorrected distance visual acuity, CDVA corrected distance visual acuity, Preop preoperatively, Postop postoperatively, D diopters)
surgery ($p < 0.01$), whereas no significant difference was found between 1 month and 5 years postoperatively ($p > 0.05$). Besides, no significant difference was found in pre- and postoperative trefoil at any point in time after surgery during the 5-year follow-up ($p > 0.05$).

**Optical Quality Analysis System**

Table 3 shows time courses of the OSI, the MTF$_{\text{cutoff}}$ and the Strehl2D ratio after SMILE during the 5-year follow-up. Postoperative OSI showed a temporary increasing trend within 1 and 3 months ($p < 0.01$, $p < 0.01$) and then returned to the preoperative level at 1 to 5 years ($p > 0.05$) after SMILE. In addition, MTF$_{\text{cutoff}}$ significantly decreased at 1 and 3 months postoperatively ($p < 0.01$, $p = 0.03$) and increased with time at 1 to 5 years ($p > 0.05$). The SR showed similar trends as MTF$_{\text{cutoff}}$ during the 5-year follow-up.

**Contrast Sensitivity**

Figure 2 shows the log CS value changes in four spatial frequencies over time. The log CS values in the photopic and mesopic condition were not significantly different from the preoperative values throughout the whole follow-up period ($p > 0.05$).

**DISCUSSION**

SMILE procedures have been performed globally, and multiple studies have proved their safety, efficacy, predictability and stability. It is apparent that a long-term optical quality assessment following SMILE, which correlates to patient satisfaction, would be of great value. No previous study to our knowledge has comprehensively evaluated the long-term changes of optical quality after SMILE. In the current study, we investigated the visual outcomes and optical quality (including corneal aberrations, intraocular scattering and contrast sensitivity) at 5 years after SMILE.

At the 5-year follow-up, the safety and efficacy indices were $1.09 \pm 0.12$ and $1.03 \pm 0.17$, respectively, indicating that SMILE had good safety and efficacy for the correction of moderate and high myopia. The visual outcomes of this study are superior to those previously
reported by Blum et al. [7] and Agca et al. [16] in 5-year follow-up studies, which might contribute to the differences of baseline age and SE of the study population.

In addition, 87.80% and 95.12% of the eyes were within ± 0.50 D and ± 1.00 D of target refraction after 5 years, and the average refractive regression rate was −0.03 D per year. Similar results were obtained by Xia et al. [11] and Chen et al. [12] in recent studies of 7-year refractive outcomes of SMILE for moderate to high myopia. Li et al. [9] compared the 5-year outcomes between SMILE and femtosecond laser-assisted laser in situ keratomileusis (FS-LASIK) and found that the mean regression of the above two groups from 6 months to 5 years postoperatively was −0.02 D and −0.12 D, suggesting that SMILE maintained the stability of refraction better than FS-LASIK.

In this study, the coma, SA and total HOAs of corneal aberration increased after SMILE, but no statistically significant difference was found.

### Table 3 Intraocular scattering and retinal image quality before and after SMILE (mean ± SD)

|                      | Preoperative | 1 month | 3 months | 1 year | 5 years | p value |
|----------------------|--------------|---------|----------|--------|---------|---------|
| OSI                  | 0.64 ± 0.40  | 1.33 ± 0.90* | 1.04 ± 0.51* | 0.84 ± 0.47 | 0.84 ± 0.44 | < 0.01* |
| (p < 0.01)           |              | (p < 0.01)       | (p = 0.81)       | (p = 0.87)       |         |
| MTF<sub>cutoff</sub> (cpd) | 38.60 ± 8.13 | 31.29 ± 9.17* | 33.72 ± 9.34* | 37.43 ± 8.06 | 37.15 ± 8.17 | < 0.01* |
| (p < 0.01)           |              | (p = 0.03)       | (p = 0.99)       | (p = 0.99)       |         |
| Strehl2D ratio       | 0.22 ± 0.05  | 0.18 ± 0.05* | 0.18 ± 0.05* | 0.19 ± 0.04* | 0.20 ± 0.04 | < 0.01* |
| (p < 0.01)           |              | (p < 0.01)       | (p = 0.01)       | (p = 0.21)       |         |

*OSI objective scatter index, MTF<sub>cutoff</sub> modulation transfer function cutoff frequency, cpd cycles per degree, Strehl2D ratio Strehl ratio in two dimensions

*Versus preoperative values of statistical significance (p < 0.05)

*Intra-group difference of statistical significance (p < 0.05)

### Fig. 2 Photopic (A) and mesopic (B) contrast sensitivities at four spatial frequencies at each time point before and after small incision lenticule extraction during 5-year follow-up (cpd cycles per degree). Horizontal axis corresponds to different spatial frequencies. Vertical axis corresponds to log<sub>10</sub> contrast sensitivity.
between 1 month and 5 years after surgery. Similar results were reported by Tulu et al. [17] on 5-year outcomes of SMILE. However, the literature is inconsistent regarding the corneal aberrations after SMILE. Li et al. [9] reported that the coma and HOA were higher at the 6-month follow-up than at the 3-month follow-up, and then remained stable from 6 months to 5 years postoperatively, while the SA remained stable from 3 months to 5 years after SMILE. In Pedersen et al.’s [18] study, the coma remained stable, but SA and HOA significantly decreased from 3 months to 3 years postoperatively. The possible reasons underlying these differences may be attributable to different preoperative SE of the target myopia population. Further investigations of aberrations with larger sample sizes and longer follow-up periods are needed to test these findings.

Aberration and scattering are two independent factors that affect retinal image quality. Intraocular scattering was quantitatively assessed using OSI by OQAS. In this study, postoperative OSI values increased significantly at 1 and 3 months and then attenuated to preoperative levels at 1 to 5 years after SMILE. Kamiya et al. [19] compared two femtosecond laser settings of SMILE and demonstrated that the OSI values were significantly increased with both settings within 3 months preoperatively. Our previous studies showed that the OSI value had a temporary increase at 20 and 40 days postoperatively [13] and then returned to normal from 3 to 18 months after SMILE [14]. The current 5-year outcomes further proved that intraocular scattering remained stable over long periods.

As described in previous studies, a two-dimensional MTF profile was calculated from the retinal image through Fourier transformation by OQAS [20–22]. MTF_\text{cutoff} and SR are both related to the MTF curve. Larger MTF_\text{cutoff} and SR values indicate higher optical quality. Our study demonstrated that MTF_\text{cutoff} values showed decrements at 1 and 3 months and then recovered to preoperative levels at 1 and 5 years. The decline in optical quality was possibly due to mild interface haze formation after SMILE, but these changes gradually recovered in the early postoperative period [23].

The contrast sensitivity is also a crucial parameter affecting patients’ satisfaction after the surgery. In our study, the CS values in the photopic and mesopic condition remained stable during 5-year follow-up. Numerous studies have demonstrated that CS function temporarily declines and generally recovers to preoperative levels within 3–12 months after LASIK [24–26]. A study by Sekundo et al. [27] of 1-year results after SMILE revealed that neither mesopic nor photopic CS showed any significant changes. However, Tan et al. [28] reported improvements in both photopic and mesopic CS in the pseudo SMILE group, which could persist through 1 year, while decreases were observed in photopic CS at 3 months postoperatively in the femtosecond lenticule extraction group. Currently, the literature concerning the long-term (> 3 years) evaluation of postoperative CS after SMILE is scarce. The CS reflects the subjective quality of vision from the patient’s perspective; therefore, it is worthwhile to observe the changes in CS over longer periods after SMILE.

The limitations of this study include the relatively small sample size and that patients with moderate and high myopia were not categorized into different groups. Furthermore, collecting information on the patients’ visual symptoms might have provided additional information on subjective optical quality; therefore, application of a standardized questionnaire should be considered in further studies.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, SMILE showed stable visual and refractive outcomes for moderate and high myopia with unaffected contrast sensitivity at the long-term follow-up. The intraocular scattering and retinal image quality temporarily changed and then returned to preoperative levels and could persist through 5 years.
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