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ABSTRACT

We study the feasibility of producing the graviton of the novel Kaluza-Klein theory in which there are \( d \) large compact dimensions in addition to the 4 dimensions of Minkowski spacetime. We calculate the cross section for producing such a graviton in nucleus-nucleus collisions via t-channel \( \gamma \gamma \) fusion using the semiclassical Weizsäcker-Williams method and show that it can exceed the cross section for graviton production in electron-positron scattering by several orders of magnitude.
I. INTRODUCTION

Until recently, it has been believed that the role of gravity in particle interactions only becomes important at the experimentally inaccessible energy scale of the Planck mass, \( M_P = 1.2 \times 10^{19} \text{ GeV} \). Recent advances in M-Theory, a Kaluza-Klein (KK) theory in which there are 11 spacetime dimensions, suggest that there might be an effective Planck mass \( M_D \) much lower than \( M_P \) (perhaps as low as \( O(1 \text{ TeV}) \)) at which gravitational effects become important (cf. [1, 2, 3] and references therein). Traditional KK theories contain the usual four dimensions of space and time, plus additional compact dimensions which form an unobservably small (perhaps Planck length sized) manifold. These recent models propose that there are \( d \) extra compact dimensions, all of roughly the same size \( R \), but \( R \) might possibly be much larger than the Planck length — perhaps as large as a millimeter. The size \( R \) of these \( d \) dimensions is related to \( M_P \) and \( M_D \) via

\[
R^d \sim \frac{M_P^2}{M_D^{d+2}}. \tag{1}
\]

\( R \) also represents the scale at which the Newtonian inverse-square force law is expected to fail. If \( d = 1 \) and \( M_D \) is taken to be 1 TeV then \( R \sim 10^{10} \text{ km} \), which implies there should be deviations from Newtonian gravity over solar system distances. This case is clearly ruled out, but if \( d \geq 2 \) then \( R < 1 \text{ mm} \) — a possibility that is not in conflict with any current experimental data [1, 3]. While gravity has not been tested at distances smaller than a millimeter, Standard Model (SM) interactions have been accurately tested down to distances of about \( 10^{-16} \text{ cm} \) [4]. Hence the \( 4 + d \) dimensional graviton is conjectured to propagate in the \( 4 + d \) dimensional spacetime bulk, while the SM particles are confined to a 4 dimensional submanifold (Minkowski spacetime).

Recently it has been shown (cf. [3]) that such a graviton might be detected as missing energy in electron-positron scattering. We propose here a new way that the graviton might be discovered, namely in a peripheral (near-miss) collision of two heavy nuclei. In Section II the basics of this novel KK scenario are summarized. In Section III, the calculation of the cross section for graviton production in electron-positron scattering is summarized using the Feynman rules in the Weizsäcker-Williams leading log approximation, as outlined in [3]. Then, in Section IV, the process is generalized in the semiclassical Weizsäcker-Williams method to graviton production via nucleus-nucleus collisions.

II. SUMMARY OF THE NEW KALUZA-KLEIN SCENARIO

The starting point of the novel KK scheme is a \( 4 + d \) dimensional spacetime action that describes the \( 4 + d \) dimensional graviton fields. The action is extremized and the theory is expanded around a vacuum metric that is the product of Minkowski spacetime with a \( d \) dimensional torus. The dependence on the compact dimensions \( x^d \) of the \( 4 + d \) dimensional graviton is Fourier expanded in a complete set of plane waves. Due to the topology of the torus, all \( d \) compact dimensions are periodic and consequently the wave numbers \( k_n \) of the modes on the torus are all quantized:
\( k_n = 2\pi n/R \), where \( n \) is an integer that labels the modes and the sizes of the \( d \) compact dimensions are assumed to all be \( \sim R \). The \( n = 0 \) modes, which are identically the coefficients of the normal modes, are confined to Minkowski spacetime and naturally divide into a massless spin-2 graviton (that gives rise to Newtonian gravity), \( d \) massless \( U(1) \) gauge bosons and \( d(d + 1)/2 \) massless scalar bosons. The \( n \neq 0 \) modes reorganize themselves at each KK level \( n \) into a massive spin-2 boson, \((d - 1)\) massive vector bosons and \( d(d - 1)/2 \) massive scalar bosons, all of which have the same mass-squared, \( m_n^2 = 4\pi^2 n^2/R^2 \). The reorganization of these modes is associated with spontaneous symmetry breaking where, like in the Higgs mechanism, the massless spin-2 graviton fields absorb the spin-1 and spin-0 fields and become massive. This KK formalism is to be regarded as an effective theory, and an ultraviolet cutoff \( \Lambda \sim M_D \) is imposed on the tower of KK modes, so that \( m_n^2 < \Lambda^2 \) for all \( n \) \([1, 2]\). In practical calculations, such as the one in this study, a density \( \rho \) of modes (i.e., the differential number \( dN \) per unit mass-squared \( dm^2_n \)) is used. This function is derived in \([2]\) and is given by

\[
\rho(m_n^2) \equiv \frac{dN}{dm^2_n} = \frac{P d m_n^{d-2}}{(4\pi)^{d/2} \Gamma(d/2)},
\]

where \( \Gamma \) is the Gamma function. As pointed out in \([2]\), it is this function that is to be convoluted with a physical amplitude or cross section for a mode with mass \( m_n \). While the coupling of any individual mode to SM matter is Planck-mass suppressed (viz., \( \propto M_F^{-2} \)), the “end-of-the-day” coupling is only \( M_D \) suppressed (viz., \( \propto M_D^{-(d+2)} \)) due to the factor of \( M_D^2 \) in \( \rho \) (plug (1) into (2)) that multiplies each individual mode coupling term in the overall sum. This enhancement is simply the result of the high multiplicity of graviton states, as described by \( \rho \), within the same mass interval.

III. GRAVITON PRODUCTION IN ELECTRON-POSITRON SCATTERING

The process considered in this section is graviton G production in electron-positron scattering via t-channel \( \gamma\gamma \) fusion (i.e., \( e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-G \)), which, according to \([3]\), is a promising mechanism by which the particle might be produced and detected. The t-channel process is more significant than the s-channel one, wherein the initial electron and positron mutually annihilate, because the photons are produced almost collinearly with the electrons, and are more likely to directly interact with one another than are the electron and positron with each other. One way to determine the cross section for this process is to use the Feynman rules with the Weizsäcker-Williams leading log approximation. The calculation is performed in \([3]\) and reduced to the following one dimensional integral:

\[
\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-G) = \frac{\alpha^2}{8s} \frac{\pi^{d/2-1}}{\Gamma(d/2)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{s}}{M_D} \right)^{d+2} \frac{F_{d/2}^2}{\ln^2 \left[ \frac{s}{4m_e^2} \right]}. \tag{3}
\]

Here \( \alpha \approx 1/137 \) is the fine structure constant, \( \sqrt{s} \) is the center-of-mass energy of the collision and \( F_k \equiv \int_0^1 d\omega f(\omega)\omega^k \), where \( f(\omega) = -[(2 + \omega)^2 \log(\omega) + 2(1 - \omega)(3 + \omega)]/\omega. \) \( \sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-G) \) is
a function of input parameters $\sqrt{s}$ and $d$. A plot of this function vs. $\sqrt{s}$ at three different values of $d$ (2, 4 and 6) is shown in Fig. [4] along with an indication of the operational energy of LEP2 (200 GeV).

IV. GRAVITON PRODUCTION IN NUCLEUS-NUCLEUS COLLISIONS

We now consider the possibility of producing a graviton in the peripheral collision of two relativistic heavy ions, via t-channel $\gamma\gamma$ fusion (i.e., $A_1A_2 \rightarrow A_1A_2\gamma\gamma \rightarrow A_1A_2G$). The colliding nuclei are taken to be identical and are described by the atomic number $Z$ (the number of protons) and the mass number $A$ (the number of protons and neutrons). Our interest is motivated by the fact that cross sections for such reactions scale as $Z^4$, where (for heavy ions) $Z$ can be on the order of 10–100. The Feynman rules are of course an extremely accurate way of determining cross sections for simple processes, but are impractical for processes such as nucleus-nucleus collisions, where many particles are interacting with each other simultaneously. For these types of interactions, certain approximations must be made in order to simplify the calculations. For this study, we use one such approximation scheme — the semiclassical Weizs"acker-Williams method, wherein it is assumed that the colliding particles are ultrarelativistic and follow straight-line classical trajectories, and the photons mediating the interactions are real (“on-shell”). In using this approach, the $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow G_n$ subprocess cross section for one KK mode is folded with the two separate Weizs"acker-Williams photon spectra $N(\omega_1)$ and $N(\omega_2)$, and the resulting quantity is summed over all contributing modes. The $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow G_n$ subprocess cross section, denoted $\sigma_{\gamma_1\gamma_2 \rightarrow G_n}(\omega_1, \omega_2)$, is the cross section for the production of one mode of mass $m_n$ via the fusion of two photons of angular frequencies $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$. This function, which is easily derived from the Feynman rules, is found to be [5]:

$$\sigma_{\gamma_1\gamma_2 \rightarrow G_n}(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \frac{10\pi^2}{m_n^2} \Gamma_{G_n \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} \delta(\sqrt{s} - m_n).$$

(4)

$\Gamma_{G_n \rightarrow \gamma\gamma}$ is the partial decay width for one graviton mode to decay into two photons, $\delta$ is the Dirac delta function and $\sqrt{s}$ is the center of mass energy of the two-photon system. $\Gamma_{G_n \rightarrow \gamma\gamma}$ is given in [2], as:

$$\Gamma_{G_n \rightarrow \gamma\gamma} = \frac{1}{20} \frac{m_n^3}{M_P^2}.$$  

(5)

The function $N(\omega)$ gives the number of virtual photons per unit photon frequency outside the nucleus and can be derived from the Feynman rules or via a classical analysis [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Here we use a classical formula, derived for example in [6] and [10]:

$$N(\omega) = \frac{2}{\pi \omega \beta^2} \left\{ \xi K_0(\xi) K_1(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \xi^2 \left[ K_1^2(\xi) - K_0^2(\xi) \right] \right\}.$$  

(6)

The functions $K_0$ and $K_1$ are modified Bessel functions of the second kind, of order zero and one, respectively. The argument $\xi$ of these functions is defined as $\xi \equiv \frac{2\sqrt{\omega \beta^2}}{\sqrt{s}}$, where $\beta$ is the speed of either
\[
\gamma \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\beta^2}} \quad \text{and} \quad b_{\text{min}} \quad \text{is the minimum impact parameter of the collision, which is the distance of closest approach between the center of either nucleus and the point of G production. We take} \quad b_{\text{min}} \quad \text{to be the nuclear radius so as to trigger against the strong interaction effects which completely dominate electromagnetic effects when the nuclei overlap} \ [11]. \quad \text{For a nucleus of mass number} \quad A, \quad \text{the nuclear radius is} \quad r \approx 1.2A^{1/3} \quad \text{fm} \ [12]. \quad \text{For our applications, we consider lead (}Z = 82 \quad \text{and} \quad A = 208\) \quad \text{nuclei; thus} \quad b_{\text{min}} \approx 7.11 \quad \text{fm} \quad \text{for the former and} \quad b_{\text{min}} \approx 4.10 \quad \text{fm} \quad \text{for the latter. Lead is interesting because it is one of the more common stable nuclei with a high} \quad Z \quad \text{value, and calcium is interesting because it has a relatively high luminosity when used as a heavy ion beam} \ [13]. \quad \text{The total cross section for the production of one mode of mass} \quad m_n \quad \text{is:}
\]

\[
\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(A_1A_2 \to A_1A_2G_n) = \int d\omega_1 \int d\omega_2 \ N(\omega_1)N(\omega_2)\sigma_{\gamma_1\gamma_2 \to G_n}(\omega_1, \omega_2).
\]

(7)

The limits of integration can be derived from conservation of 4-momentum; an elegant version of such a calculation is presented in [14]. As used here, \(m_n^2/2\sqrt{s} \leq \omega_1 \leq \sqrt{s}/2\) and \(m_n^2/4\omega_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq \sqrt{s}/2 + m_n^2/2\sqrt{s} - \omega_1\), but because of the delta function in (4), only the limits on \(\omega_1\) are needed. Finally, the total cross section for graviton production in this process is found by summing (7) over all contributing modes:

\[
\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(A_1A_2 \to A_1A_2G) = \int dm_n^2 \rho(m_n^2)\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(A_1A_2 \to A_1A_2G_n).
\]

(8)

\(\rho(m_n^2)\) was given in (4) and \(m_n^2\) ranges from 0 to the smaller of \(s\), as demanded by conservation of energy and momentum, and \(\Lambda^2\), which is the absolute upper limit on \(m_n^2\). In its simplest form, the cross section is given by:

\[
\sigma_{\gamma\gamma}(A_1A_2 \to A_1A_2G) = \text{const.} \int dm_n \int d\omega_1 \frac{m_n^{d-1}}{\omega_1} f(\omega_1) f \left( \frac{m_n^2}{4\omega_1} \right),
\]

(9)

where

\[
\text{const.} \equiv \frac{8}{(4\pi)^{d/2}\Gamma(d/2)} \left( \frac{Z^2\alpha}{\beta^2} \right)^2 \frac{1}{M_D^{d+2}}
\]

(10)

and

\[
f(\xi) \equiv \xi K_0(\xi)K_1(\xi) - \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \xi^2 \left[ K_1^2(\xi) - K_0^2(\xi) \right],
\]

(11)

and the limits of integration and values of parameters are as specified above.

The cross section curves are shown in Fig. 2 (for \(PbPb \to PbPbG\)) and Fig. 3 (for \(CaCa \to CaCaG\)) for values of \(d = 2, 4\) and 6, along with indications of the machine energies of the planned RHIC (1 TeV/A/beam) and LHC (2.76 TeV/A/beam). There is clearly an enhancement of several orders of magnitude compared to the cross section for the same process via electron-positron
scattering, at least for certain ranges of parameters. However, it must be pointed out that the work presented in this paper neglected to take into account various complicating factors that might potentially be of great significance. The most obvious is mentioned in [3] — that we do not have a proper quantum theory of gravity to work with, so there are necessarily uncertainties in this regard, particularly in utilizing the density of modes function (Eq. (2)). Another one is that a definitive identification of the graviton signature is precluded by the oversimplified nature of the formulation we used. We assumed the usual Weizsäcker-Williams scenario, wherein the scattering angles of the interacting particles are always negligibly small, which means that the nuclei contributing to graviton production cannot be distinguished from the other nuclei in the accelerator beams. Since the graviton couples only very weakly to ordinary matter, the signature for the overall reaction would be missing mass-energy, and therefore a definitive experimental signature cannot be predicted. This problem can be possibly remedied by relaxing the assumption that the photons are on-shell. Presumably, though, the resulting calculations (which would involve such concepts as nuclear form factors, partons within quarks, and the hadronic structure of photons) would yield much smaller signal cross sections. Furthermore, for nucleus-nucleus collisions, one must also take into account limitations due to other effects such as luminosity and background. The $\gamma\gamma$ luminosity $\mathcal{L}$ in a heavy ion collider is generally suppressed by several orders of magnitude compared to that in an electron-positron collider; compare $\mathcal{L} \sim 10^{32} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ for $e^+e^-$ scattering at LEP2 to $\mathcal{L} \sim 5 \times 10^{36} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ for Pb-Pb collisions at LHC and $\mathcal{L} \sim 5 \times 10^{30} \text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ for Ca-Ca collisions at LHC \cite{15,8}. In addition, electron-positron collisions are expected to be much cleaner experimentally compared to nucleus-nucleus collisions because of the hadronic debris accompanying processes of the latter type \cite{8}. Although, one could always trigger against multiplicity to reject this background.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we investigated graviton production via two different processes. The first production mechanism was through $\gamma\gamma$ fusion in electron-positron scattering, and we summarized a calculation that used the Feynman rules in the Weizsäcker-Williams leading log approximation. The second process was graviton production via $\gamma\gamma$ fusion in peripheral nucleus-nucleus collisions, where we considered both $^{208}\text{Pb}$ and $^{40}\text{Ca}$ ions. We calculated the cross sections for these reactions using the semiclassical Weizsäcker-Williams method and found them to be comparable to, and in some cases substantially greater than, that for the previous process. But, we note that because of the oversimplified nature of our analysis, there are potentially great uncertainties in our results.
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FIG. 1: Cross section for graviton production in $e^+e^-$ scattering ($e^+e^- \rightarrow e^+e^-\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-G$) at three different values of $d$, the number of large compact dimensions.
FIG. 2: Cross section for graviton production in Pb-Pb collisions \((PbPb \rightarrow PbPb\gamma\gamma \rightarrow PbPbG)\) at three different values of \(d\), the number of large compact dimensions.
FIG. 3: Cross section for graviton production in Ca-Ca collisions ($CaCa \rightarrow CaCa\gamma\gamma \rightarrow CaCaG$) at three different values of $d$, the number of large compact dimensions.