PARABOLICITY OF THE REGULAR LOCUS OF COMPLEX VARIETIES

J. RUPPENTHAL

Abstract. The purpose of this note is to show that the regular locus of a complex variety is locally parabolic at the singular set. This yields that the regular locus of a compact complex variety, e.g., of a projective variety, is parabolic. We give also an application to the $L^2$-theory for the $\overline{\partial}$-operator on singular spaces.

1. Introduction

There are many equivalent ways to define parabolicity of a Riemannian manifold. Let us recall some of them:

Definition 1.1. A Riemannian manifold $M$ is called parabolic if the following equivalent conditions hold:

1. There exists a smooth exhaustion function $\psi \in C^\infty(M)$ with $\|d\psi\|_{L^2(M)} < \infty$.
2. For each compact subset $K \subset M$ and each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a smooth cut-off function $\phi \in C^\infty_{\text{cpt}}(M)$ with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, $\phi \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $K$ and $\|d\phi\|_{L^2(M)} < \epsilon$.
3. Every subharmonic function on $M$ that is bounded from above is constant.
4. There is no positive fundamental solution of the Laplacian on $M$, i.e., there is no positive Green function for the Laplacian on $M$.

Condition (2) means that compact subsets of $M$ have zero capacity. The equivalence of the conditions (1) – (4) in Definition 1.1 is standard and can be derived directly e.g. from the characterization in [GK] and [G1]. We refer to [G3], [G4] and [GM] for the discussion of more sufficient and necessary conditions (e.g. in terms of isoperimetric inequalities, Brownian motion, stochastic completeness, etc.) and historical remarks.

Note that complete Riemannian manifolds are not necessarily parabolic. By the Hopf-Rinow theorem, a Riemannian manifold is complete if and only if it carries an exhaustion function with bounded gradient. So, complete manifolds of finite volume are parabolic by condition (1) above. More generally, Cheng and Yau [CY] showed that complete Riemannian manifolds are parabolic if the volume of geodesic balls grows at most like a quadratic polynomial. A stronger sufficient condition is due to Grigor’yan [G2]: A complete manifold $M$ is parabolic if $\int_0^\infty \text{vol}(B_r(x_0))^{-1}rdr = \infty$, where $B_r$ denotes the geodesic ball of radius $r$ around a fixed point $x_0 \in M$.

For non-complete Riemannian manifolds, parabolicity can be characterized in terms of the "size" of the "boundary" of the manifold. Glasner [G1] showed that non-compact Riemannian manifolds are parabolic if and only if they have a "small boundary" in the sense that Stokes’ theorem holds:
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Theorem 1.2 (Glasner \[G1\]). A non-compact Riemannian manifold \(M\) of real dimension \(n\) is parabolic if and only if Stokes’ theorem is valid for every square integrable \((n - 1)\)-form with integrable derivative, i.e., if
\[
\int_M d\alpha = 0
\]
for every \(\alpha \in L^2_{n-1}(M)\) with \(d\alpha \in L^2_n(M)\).

A similar characterization in terms of Green’s formula in place of Stokes’ theorem is given by Grigor’yan and Masamune in \[GM\], Theorem 1.1.

Let us now consider a Hermitian complex space \((X,h)\). A Hermitian complex space \((X,h)\) is a paracompact reduced complex space \(X\) with a metric \(h\) on the regular locus such that the following holds: If \(x \in X\) is an arbitrary point, then there exists a neighborhood \(U = U(x)\) and a biholomorphic embedding of \(U\) into a domain \(G\) in \(\mathbb{C}^N\) and an ordinary smooth Hermitian metric in \(G\) whose restriction to \(U\) is \(h|_U\). Examples are projective varieties with the restriction of the Fubini-Study metric or affine varieties with the restriction of the Euclidean metric. The Hermitian metric gives also a Riemannian structure on the regular locus (which is clearly not complete). For a subset \(\Omega \subset X\) and a differential form \(\alpha\) on the regular part of \(\Omega\), we set
\[
\|\alpha\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega\setminus \text{Sing } X} |\alpha|^2_h dV_X,
\]
where \(dV_X\) is the volume form on the regular part of \(X\) with respect to the metric \(h\). We say that \(\alpha \in L^2,\text{loc}(\Omega)\) if \(\|\alpha\|_{L^2(K)} < \infty\) on each compact set \(K \subset \Omega\).

As the singular set of a complex variety \(X\) is of real codimension two, thus ”small” in a certain sense, it is reasonable to expect that the regular locus of \(X\) is locally parabolic at singular points. This idea is substantiated by Stokes’ theorem for analytic varieties (see \[GH\], Chapter 0.2):

Theorem 1.3. Let \(M\) be a complex manifold, \(V \subset M\) an analytic subvariety of dimension \(k\) and \(\varphi\) a smooth differential form of degree \(2k - 1\) with compact support in \(M\). Then
\[
\int_V d\varphi = 0.
\]

Here, \(\varphi\) and \(d\varphi\) are bounded. So, Theorem 1.3 is not strong enough to imply parabolicity directly by Grasner’s approach.

However, the principle behind the proof of Theorem 1.3 is to cut out the singular set and to show that the derivatives of a sequence of cut-off functions is uniformly bounded in a sense that allows for Stokes’ theorem to hold. Refining such a cut-off procedure (see Lemma 3.1), we were able to show that the regular locus of a Hermitian complex space is actually locally parabolic:

Theorem 1.4. Let \(X\) be a Hermitian complex space and \(\Omega \subset X\) an open subset.

1. Then there exists an exhaustion function \(\phi \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing } X)\) of \(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing } X\) such that \(d\phi \in L^2,\text{loc}(\Omega)\), i.e., \(\|d\phi\|_{L^2(K)} < \infty\) for any compact set \(K \subset \Omega\).

2. Let \(\Omega\) be relatively compact in \(X\), and let \(K \subset \Omega\setminus \text{Sing } X\) be a compact subset. Then there exists for each \(\epsilon > 0\) a smooth cut-off function \(\psi \in C^\infty(\Omega)\) with \(0 \leq \psi \leq 1\) such that \(\text{supp } \psi \cap \text{Sing } X = \emptyset\), \(\psi \equiv 1\) on a neighborhood of \(K\) and \(\|d\psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \epsilon\).
We approach the question about parabolicity by means of condition (1) and (2) in Definition 1.1 because such cut-off procedures can be obtained by complex geometric techniques, and because they play a crucial role in complex analysis on singular complex spaces (see e.g. Theorem 1.6 below).

We will prove Theorem 1.4 in Section 4. Of particular interest is the case when \( X \) is compact. Then \( X \) can be given any Hermitian metric as all such metrics on \( X \) are equivalent, and we obtain:

**Corollary 1.5.** Let \( X \) be a compact reduced complex space, e.g. a projective variety. Then the regular locus, \( X \setminus \text{Sing} X \), is parabolic.

As an interesting consequence, all subharmonic functions on \( X \setminus \text{Sing} X \) that are bounded from above must be constant.

Though Theorem 1.4 and Corollary 1.5 give nice examples of parabolic manifolds, we are not aware of this statement from the literature. So, these notes may turn out useful as a reference.

Theorem 1.4 has an interesting application to the \( L^2 \)-theory for the \( \bar{\partial} \)-operator on singular complex spaces. Here, one considers \( L^2 \)-forms on the regular locus of a Hermitian complex space \( X \). Due to the incompleteness of the metric on \( X \setminus \text{Sing} X \), there exist different closed \( L^2 \)-extensions of the \( \bar{\partial} \)-operator on smooth forms with compact support on \( X \setminus \text{Sing} X \). The two most important are the maximal and the minimal closed \( L^2 \)-extension.

The maximal closed extension is the \( \bar{\partial} \)-operator in the sense of distributions which we denote by \( \bar{\partial}_w \). A differential form \( f \in L^2,\text{loc}(\Omega) \) on an open set \( \Omega \subset X \) is in the domain of \( \bar{\partial}_w \) if there exists a form \( g \in L^2,\text{loc}(\Omega) \) such that \( \bar{\partial}f = g \) in the sense of distributions on \( \Omega \setminus \text{Sing} X \), and we write \( \bar{\partial}_w f = g \) for that.

The minimal closed extension, denoted by \( \bar{\partial}_s \), is defined as follows. Let \( f \in L^2,\text{loc}(\Omega) \) be in the domain of \( \bar{\partial}_w \). Then we say that \( f \) is in the domain of \( \bar{\partial}_s \) (and we set \( \bar{\partial}_s f := \bar{\partial}_w f \)) if there exists a sequence \( \{f_j\}_j \) in the domain of \( \bar{\partial}_w \) such that

\[
\text{supp } f_j \cap \text{Sing } X = \emptyset \quad \forall j,
\]
i.e., the \( f_j \) have support away from the singular set, and

\[
f_j \to f, \quad \bar{\partial}_w f_j \to \bar{\partial}_w f
\]
for \( j \to \infty \) in \( L^2(K) \) on each compact set \( K \subset \Omega \).

So, the \( \bar{\partial}_s \)-operator comes with a certain Dirichlet boundary condition (respectively growth condition) at the singular set of \( X \). It plays an important role in several studies of the \( \bar{\partial} \)-operator on singular complex spaces (see \([PS], [OV], [R1], [R2]\)), but it is very difficult to actually understand the domain of the \( \bar{\partial}_s \)-operator. Here now, we use the fact that the regular locus of \( X \) is locally parabolic at singular points to deduce:

**Theorem 1.6.** Bounded forms in the domain of the \( \bar{\partial}_w \)-operator are also in the domain of the \( \bar{\partial}_s \)-operator.

This is interesting e.g. in the following context. If \( X \) a \( q \)-complete Hermitian complex space of dimension \( n \), then \( H^{0,n-q}_{\bar{\partial}_s,\text{cpt}}(X) = 0 \) for \( 0 < q \leq n \), i.e. the \( \bar{\partial}_s \)-equation with compact support is solvable for \( (0,n-q) \)-forms. If \( X \) has only rational singularities, then also \( H^{0,q}_{\bar{\partial}_s}(X) = 0 \) for \( q > 0 \), i.e., the \( \bar{\partial}_s \)-equation is solvable for
(0, q)-forms (see [R2], Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.6). But Theorem 1.6 yields that bounded $\partial_w$-closed forms are also $\partial_s$-closed and so corresponding $\partial_s$-equations are solvable for bounded forms in the situations mentioned.
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2. Resolution of singularities

Let $X$ be a Hermitian complex space of pure dimension $n$ and $\pi : M \to X$ a resolution of singularities. Let $h$ be the Hermitian metric on $X$ and $\gamma := \pi^* h$ its pull-back to $M$. Then $\gamma$ is positive semidefinite (a pseudo-metric). Let $M$ carry any (positive definite) metric $\sigma$. It follows that $\sigma \gtrsim \gamma$.

Let $dV_X$ be the volume form with respect to $h$ on $X$. Then $\pi^* dV_X = dV_\gamma$, where $dV_\gamma$ is the volume form with respect to $\gamma$ on $M$.

We consider a local coordinate patch in $M$ where we have coordinates $z_1, ..., z_n$. Here, we can assume that $\sigma$ is just the Euclidean metric, i.e., $\langle \partial_{\bar z_j}, \partial_{\bar z_k} \rangle_\sigma = \delta_{jk}$.

Locally, $X$ has a holomorphic embedding $\iota : X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}^N$ into complex number space such that $h$ is the pull-back of a regular Hermitian metric from $\mathbb{C}^N$ to $X$. We can assume that $h$ is just the pull-back of the Euclidean metric, i.e., $h = \iota^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^N}$.

Choosing the coordinate patch on $M$, say $D = \{|z_j| \leq 1 : j = 1, ..., n\}$, small enough, we can assume that $\pi(D)$ is part of such an embedding and we can consider the resolution as a mapping

$$\pi = (\pi_1, ..., \pi_N) : \quad D \subset \mathbb{C}^n \longrightarrow X \subset \mathbb{C}^N.$$ 

So, $\gamma = \pi^* \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathbb{C}^N}$. Let us describe that in other words. The Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{C}^N$ can expressed as $\sum_{j=1}^N dw_j \otimes dw_j$, where $w_1, ..., w_N$ are the Euclidean coordinates. Then

$$\gamma = \pi^* \sum_{j=1}^N dw_j \otimes dw_j = \sum_{j=1}^N d\pi_j \otimes d\pi_j.$$ 

Let us express that in matrix notation. We denote by

$$\text{Jac } \pi = \left( \frac{\partial \pi_j}{\partial z_k} \right)_{j,k}$$

the Jacobian of $\pi$. Then

$$\langle v, w \rangle_\gamma = t^v \cdot t^\text{Jac } \pi \cdot \text{Jac } \pi \cdot w,$$

i.e., $\gamma$ is represented (in the coordinates $z_1, ..., z_n$) by the Hermitian matrix

$$A = t^\text{Jac } \pi \cdot \text{Jac } \pi \succeq 0.$$ 

Let

$$A = (A_{jk})_{j,k}, \quad A^{-1} = (A^{jk})_{j,k}.$$
We obtain
\[
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial z_\mu} \right|^2 = A_{\mu\mu}, \quad |dz_\mu|^2 = A^{\mu\mu}
\] (3)
and
\[
\pi^*dV_X = dV_\gamma = (\det A)dV_{\mathcal{C}^n},
\] (4)
\[
|dV_{\mathcal{C}^n}|_\gamma = (\det A)^{-1}.
\] (5)

From this we deduce easily a central lemma:

**Lemma 2.1.** We have
\[
|dz_\mu|^2 \lesssim |dV_{\mathcal{C}^n}|_\gamma
\]
on compact sets.

**Proof.** Let \(A^\#\) be the adjugate matrix of \(A\) such that \(A^{-1} = (\det A)^{-1}A^\#\). The entries of \(A^\#\) are smooth functions on \(M\). By (3) and (5), we obtain
\[
|dz_\mu|^2 = A^{\mu\mu} = (\det A)^{-1}A_{\mu\mu}^{\#} \lesssim (\det A)^{-1} = |dV_{\mathcal{C}^n}|_\gamma.
\]

\[\square\]

3. \(L^2\)-Estimates for Gradients of Cut-off Functions

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \((X, h)\) be a Hermitian complex space and \(f = (f_1, \ldots, f_m)\) a tuple of holomorphic functions on \(X\), \(|f|^2 = \sum |f_k|^2\). Let \(\chi : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}\) be a smooth cut-off function such that \(0 \leq \chi \leq 1\), \(\chi(x) = 0\) for \(0 \leq x \leq 1/2\) and \(\chi(x) = 1\) for \(x \geq 1\).

Then the \(L^2\)-norm of \(\overline{\partial} \chi(\frac{|f|^2}{\varepsilon})\) is bounded on compact subsets of \(X\), independent of \(\varepsilon > 0\). I.e., if \(K \subset X\) is a compact subset, then there exists a constant \(C_K > 0\), not depending on \(\varepsilon > 0\), such that
\[
\left\| \overline{\partial} \chi \left( \frac{|f|^2}{\varepsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^2(K)}^2 = \int_{K \setminus \text{Sing} X} \left| \overline{\partial} \chi \left( \frac{|f|^2}{\varepsilon} \right) \right|^2 dV_X < C_K.
\]

The same statement holds for \(\partial \chi(\frac{|f|^2}{\varepsilon})\) and \(d\chi(\frac{|f|^2}{\varepsilon})\), respectively.

**Proof.** Let \(\pi : M \to X\) be a resolution of singularities so that \(\pi^*|f|^2\) becomes monomial in the following sense: \(M\) can be covered by patches \(D = \{|z_j| \leq 1 : j = 1, \ldots, n\}\) as in Section 2 such that (3)–(5) and Lemma 2.1 hold and there is a non-vanishing smooth function \(g\) on \(D\) such that
\[
\pi^*|f|^2(z) = |z_1|^{2k_1} \cdots |z_d|^{2k_d} \cdot g(z)
\] (6)
with coefficients \(k_1, \ldots, k_d \geq 1\) (this is possible because \(|f|^2 = \sum |f_j|^2\), where the \(f_j\) are holomorphic).

As \(K\) is compact, it is enough to consider
\[
\int_{\pi(D) \setminus \text{Sing} X} \left| \overline{\partial} \chi \left( \frac{|f|^2}{\varepsilon} \right) \right|^2 dV_X = \int_D \left| \overline{\partial} \chi \left( \frac{|f|^2}{\varepsilon} \right) \right|^2 \pi^*dV_X
\]
\[
= \int_{D \cap \{|f|^2 < \varepsilon\}} \left| \overline{\partial} \chi \left( \frac{|f|^2}{\varepsilon} \right) \right|^2 (\det A)dV_{\mathcal{C}^n}
\]
for such a patch \(D\) (using (3)).
By (6) and Lemma 2.1 we have (shrinking $D$ if necessary):
\[
|\partial \chi (\frac{\pi^*|f|^2}{\epsilon^2})|_{\gamma}^2 \leq |\chi'|^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} |\partial \pi^*|f|^2|_{\gamma}^2 = |\chi'|^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\partial \pi^*|f|^2|_{\gamma}^2
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{|z|^{k_1 \cdots k_d}|^4}{|z_j|^2} |dz_j|^2 + \sum_{j=d+1}^{n} |z|^{k_1 \cdots k_d}|^4 |dz_j|^2 \right)
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{d} \frac{|z|^{k_1 \cdots k_d}|^4}{|z_j|^2} + \sum_{j=d+1}^{n} |z|^{k_1 \cdots k_d}|^4 \right) |dV_C^n|_{\gamma}
\]
Taking into account that it is enough to estimate one summand (say for $j = 1$), the integral under consideration reduces to
\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{D \cap \{\pi^*|f|^2<\epsilon\}} \frac{|z|^{k_1 \cdots k_d}|^4}{|z_1|^2} |dV_C^n|_{\gamma} (\det A) dV_C^n
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{D \cap \{\pi^*|f|^2<\epsilon\}} \frac{|z|^{k_1 \cdots k_d}|^4}{|z_1|^2} |dV_C^n|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{D \cap \{|z|^{k_1 \cdots k_d}|^2<\epsilon\}} |z|^{4k_1-2} |z_2 \cdots z_d|^4 |dV_C^n|
\]
by use of (5) in the first step. Let $D' = \{|z_k| \leq 1 : k = 2, \ldots, n\}$. The integral becomes
\[
= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{D'} |z_2 \cdots z_d|^4 \int_{\{|z_1| \leq 1\} \cap \{\{|z|^{k_1 \cdots k_d}|<\epsilon/|z_1|^{4k_1-2}\}\}} |z_1|^{4k_1-2}
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{D' \cap \{|z_1| \leq 1\}} |z_2 \cdots z_d|^4 \int_{\{|z_1| \leq 1\}} |z_1|^{4k_1-2}
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{D' \cap \{|z_1|^{k_2 \cdots k_d}|>\epsilon/|z_1|^{4k_1-2}\}} |z_2 \cdots z_d|^4 \int_{\{|z_1| \leq 1\}} |z_1|^{4k_1-2}
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{D'} \epsilon^2 \int_{\{|z_1| \leq 1\}} |z_1|^{4k_1-2} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_{D'} |z_2 \cdots z_d|^4 \frac{\epsilon^2}{|z_2 \cdots z_d|^4} \leq 1,
\]
and that proves the claim. The same argument holds for $\partial$ or $d$ in place of $\partial$. □

4. Proof of Theorem 1.3

Let $X$ be a Hermitian complex space and $\Omega \subset X$ an open subset. We first assume that there exists a tuple of holomorphic functions $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ cutting out the exceptional set, i.e.,
\[
\text{Sing } X \cap \Omega = \{ f_1 = \ldots = f_m = 0 \}.
\]
Let $\chi$ be a cut-off function as in Lemma 3.1 and let
\[
\phi_\epsilon := \chi \left( \frac{|f|^2}{\epsilon} \right).
\]
We can now define
\[
\phi_\Omega := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 - \phi_{\frac{\epsilon}{k}} \right).
\]
\[(7)\]
Then $\phi_\Omega \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing } X)$, $\phi_\Omega(z) \to +\infty$ for $|f(z)| \to 0$ and
\[ \|d\phi_\Omega\|_{L^2(K)}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k^2} \|d\phi_k\|_{L^2(K)}^2 \leq C_K \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k^2} < \infty \] on compact subsets $K \subset \Omega$ by Lemma [3.1] since the supports of the $d\phi_k$ are disjoint.

Let $\hat{\phi} \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ be a smooth exhaustion function of $\Omega$. Then
\[ \phi := \phi_\Omega + \hat{\phi} \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing } X) \]
is the desired exhaustion function because $|d\hat{\phi}|$ is locally bounded on $\Omega$.

For the general case, let $\Omega \subset X$ be an arbitrary open set. As $X$ is paracompact, we can cover $\Omega$ by a locally finite cover $\{\Omega_{\nu}\}_\nu$ of open sets $\Omega_{\nu}$ as above, and define exhaustion functions $\phi_{\Omega_{\nu}}$ as in [1]. Let $\psi_\nu$ be a partition of unity subordinate to $\{\Omega_{\nu}\}_\nu$. Then
\[ \phi_\Omega := \sum_\nu \chi_\nu \phi_{\Omega_{\nu}} \in C^\infty(\Omega \setminus \text{Sing } X) \] satisfies [5] and $\phi_\Omega(z) \to +\infty$ for $z \to z_0 \in \text{Sing } X$. As above, $\phi_\Omega + \hat{\phi}$ is the desired exhaustion function. That completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 1.4.

For the second part of the proof, let $\Omega$ be relatively compact and choose an open set $U \subset X$ such that $\Omega \subset U$. Let $\phi_U$ be as in [9]. Thus,
\[ \|d\phi_U\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \infty. \] (10)
For $k \geq 1$, let $f_k : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to [0, 1]$ be smooth functions such that $f_k(x) = 1$ for $x \leq k$, $f_k(x) = 0$ for $x \geq k + 1$ and $|f_k'| \leq 2$. Now consider
\[ \phi_k := f_k \circ \phi_U \in C^\infty(U) \subset C^\infty(\Omega). \]
Then $0 \leq \phi_k \leq 1$, $\supp \phi_k \cap \text{Sing } X = \emptyset$ and $\phi_k \equiv 1$ on a neighborhood of $K$ if $k$ is large enough. Moreover, we have
\[ \|d\phi_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|f_k(\phi_U)d\phi_U\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq 2\|d\phi_U\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \infty. \]
But the Lebesgue measure of $\Omega \cap \supp f_k(\phi_U)$ vanishes for $k \to \infty$ and so we obtain
\[ \|d\phi_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \|f_k(\phi_U)d\phi_U\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \epsilon \]
for $k$ large enough (see [A], A.1.16.2). That proves the second part of Theorem 1.4.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.6

Let $X$ be a Hermitian complex space, $\Omega \subset X$ an open set and $\alpha \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ in the domain of the $\overline{\partial}_w$-operator. The question is local (see [R1], Section 6.1). Hence, we can assume that $\Omega$ is relatively compact in $X$ and that the singular set of $X$ is cut out in $\Omega$ by a tuple of holomorphic functions $f_1, \ldots, f_m \in \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$,
\[ \text{Sing } X \cap \Omega = \{ f_1 = \ldots = f_m = 0 \}. \]
By locality of the problem, it is moreover enough to show that $\alpha$ is in the domain of $\overline{\partial}_s$ on small open sets $V \subset \subset \Omega$, relatively compact in $\Omega$.

Let
\[ K_j := V \setminus \{|f| < 1/j\} \]
and choose by use of Theorem 1.4 (2), appropriate cut-off functions \( \phi_j \in C^\infty(\Omega) \), \( 0 \leq \phi_j \leq 1 \), such that \( \text{supp}\ \phi_j \cap \text{Sing} X = \emptyset \), \( \phi_j \equiv 1 \) in a neighborhood of \( K_j \) and \( \| d\phi_j \|_{L^2(\Omega)} < 1/j \). Then
\[
\alpha_j := \phi_j \alpha
\]
is the required sequence (see (1) and (2)).

Note that 
\[
\partial w_{\alpha_j} = \phi_j \partial w_{\alpha} + \partial \phi_j \wedge \alpha,
\]
where we can use the usual \( \overline{\partial} \) for the smooth cut-off functions \( \phi_j \).

It is easy to see that \( \alpha_j \to \alpha \) and \( \phi_j \overline{\partial} w_{\alpha} \to \overline{\partial} w_{\alpha} \) in \( L^2(K) \) for any compact set \( K \subset V \subset \subset \Omega \) (by Lebesgue’s theorem on dominated convergence). Moreover, we have chosen the cut-off functions \( \phi_j \) so that
\[
\| \partial \phi_j \wedge \alpha \|_{L^2(K)} \leq \| \alpha \|_\infty \| d\phi_j \|_{L^2(K)} \leq \| \alpha \|_\infty / j \to 0
\]
for \( j \to \infty \). That shows that \( \alpha \) is actually in the domain of the \( \overline{\partial}_s \)-operator.
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