Determining hydraulic connectivity of the coastal aquifer system of La Plata river estuary (Argentina) to the ocean by analysis of aquifer response to low-frequency tidal components

Mar Alcaraz 1,2 & Jesús Carrera 3 & Julián Cuello 2 & Luis Guarracino 2,4 & Luis Vives 1

Received: 27 July 2020 / Accepted: 3 March 2021 / Published online: 1 April 2021
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract
Seawater intrusion occurs in almost all coastal aquifers that are subject to human pressure. Its effects could be reduced by avoiding pumping in those wells better hydraulically connected to the sea. This paper presents a methodology to assess hydraulic connection to the sea of a well from its response to sea-level fluctuations. Head fluctuations in a well result from the superposition of hydraulic and hydro-mechanical responses. The hydraulic response requires a good hydraulic connection to the sea, whereas a hydro-mechanical response suggests a poor connection. Sea level fluctuates with a broad range of harmonics, which allows identification of the hydraulic and hydro-mechanical responses based on the harmonic frequency. It is shown that the response to low-frequency harmonics is essentially hydraulic and the response to high-frequency harmonics is mainly hydro-mechanical. The proposed methodology facilitates the discrimination of both responses with the aim to study qualitatively the hydraulic connection to the sea. This methodology is applied to the aquifer system of La Plata river estuary in western Argentina. Surprisingly, the hydraulic response of the deepest aquifer (Paraná) is less damped than the response of the overlaying aquifer (Puelches). This finding suggests that the Paraná aquifer is better connected to the sea than the Puelches.
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Introduction
Seawater intrusion is a common problem in coastal aquifers, often because of human activity. As a result, the groundwater resource is impacted qualitatively and quantitatively (Bear et al. 1999; He et al. 2020). Groundwater management policies should be devised in order to reduce, avoid and even reverse the negative effects of seawater intrusion (Renau-

Pruñonosa et al. 2016). While sustainable resource management policies are being designed and implemented, transition solutions are needed to delay seawater intrusion. One of these preventative measures would be to avoid pumping in those wells best connected to the ocean, which would tend to attract seawater when pumped, and focus the water extraction in poorly connected wells, which would tend to draw inland water. The problem is similar to pumping near a polluted river (Ahring et al. 2020). Thus, characterization of the hydraulic connection of existing wells to the ocean, or in general, prescribed head lines such as rivers, becomes a critical issue (Alvarez et al. 2019; Park et al. 2012).

There is no standard way to evaluate the hydraulic connection of a well to the sea because connectivity depends on the processes active at the site (Knudby and Carrera 2005; Renard and Allard 2013) and connectivity is generally viewed as having a qualitative character (Trinchero et al. 2008). In general, connectivity implies the existence of preferential flow paths and it is related to the heterogeneity of the geological materials, which may favor the existence of permeable pathways (Willmann et al. 2008; Slooten et al. 2010; Bianchi et al. 2011; Giudici et al. 2012; Tyukhova and Willmann 2016).
Permeable pathways tend to increase effective transmissivity (flow connectivity) and reduce first arrival time (transport connectivity) or the time the well takes to respond to fluctuations in the sea or river (hydraulic diffusion connectivity). Retarding the transport of pollutants from the sea or river requires a reduced transport connectivity, but this is hard to assess experimentally. Knudby and Carrera (2006) show that hydraulic diffusivity could be a good indicator of transport connectivity.

A feasible option to measure the hydraulic diffusivity in coastal aquifers could be through the aquifer response to sea tides. Ferris (1951) derived an analytical solution to show that tidal fluctuations are damped and delayed in the aquifer, which should facilitate deriving the hydraulic diffusivity of the aquifer. Since then, numerous analytical solutions have been developed to reproduce the behavior of aquifers subject to ocean tidal influences for different conditions (Li and Jiao 2003a, b; Asadi-Aghbolaghi et al. 2014; Chuang and Der Yeh 2011; Cuello et al. 2017; Hsieh et al. 2015; Jiao and Tang 1999; Knight and Rassam 2007; Monachesi and Guarracino 2011; Song et al. 2007; Teloglou and Bansal 2012; Teo et al. 2003; Wang et al. 2014; and references therein). These analytical solutions can be applied in order to obtain the hydraulic diffusivity ($D_h$) from the tidal response method as proposed by Ferris (1951).

According to this method, $D_h$ can be obtained from observations of amplitude damping or phase shift. Commonly, $D_h$ values obtained from damping are different from those obtained from the phase shift (Jha et al. 2008; Fadili et al. 2016; Shirahata et al. 2018). These differences may reflect that aquifers are heterogeneous (Trefry and Bekele 2004), or that the observed response is a superposition of several effects (Vallejos et al. 2014), such as atmospheric pressure variations, marine hydrodynamics, precipitation events, pumping regime or presence of confining layers. Among them, tidal loading could be one of the most systematic effects in aquifers that extend under the sea.

Tidal loading, which affects aquifers extending under the sea, could disturb groundwater observations in inland wells because it induces a mechanical perturbation in the submerged aquifer. The sea level variations imply a loading in the aquifer that is transferred to the offshore portion of the aquifer as pressure waves. The elastic behavior of the aquifer is responsible for mechanical perturbations under surface load variations because the aquifer behaves like a sponge (Narasimhan et al. 1984). When the ocean level is rising, the load over the aquifer is increased. Depending on elastic properties of the aquifer materials, this load could be completely absorbed by the solid structure of the aquifer if it was fully uncompressible or rigid. However, natural materials are never so stiff; in fact, in unconsolidated sediments, most of the load is transferred to groundwater (Meinzer 1928; Terzaghi 1925, 1954). This increase in water pressure causes groundwater to flow inland and head to drop. As head drops, the solid structure takes up the load and deforms by reducing the porosity. Effectively, water is squeezed inland from the submarine portion of the aquifer. The elastic response of aquifers is usually evaluated with specific parameters such as barometric efficiency, tidal efficiency or loading efficiency (Jacob 1940; Bredehoeft 1967; Rasmussen and Crawford 1997), which quantify the fraction of the load taken by water and by the solid structure.

The mechanical perturbation induced under the sea by tidal loading will diffuse hydraulically into the aquifer inland, and a hydro-mechanical response will be observed in inland wells. Several analytical solutions have been developed to reproduce the hydro-mechanical response under different geometrical configurations of coastal aquifer systems and boundary conditions (van der Kamp 1972; Li and Jiao 2001; Li et al. 2008; Geng et al. 2009; Wang et al. 2012; Guarracino et al. 2012, and references therein).

It is important to realize that a hydro-mechanical or elastic response under tidal loading does not imply hydraulic connectivity. A perfectly confined aquifer with a null hydraulic connection to the sea may still display a head response to sea level fluctuations, which would be purely mechanical. Conversely, an unconfined aquifer extending under the sea will display a negligible mechanical effect because squeezed water will tend to flow towards the sea rather than inland. This leads to a paradox—one would expect that a fast aquifer response to tides implies a good connection to the sea; however, in reality, if this response is hydro-mechanical, a fast response might indicate the opposite.

The question that arises is whether an observed response to sea level fluctuations is hydraulic, thus indicating a good hydraulic connection to the sea offshore, or hydro-mechanical, thus suggesting a poor hydraulic connection. The objective of this work is to discriminate the hydraulic from the hydro-mechanical response in order to analyze the hydraulic connection of the aquifer to the sea. This discrimination is based on the different behaviors of hydraulic and hydro-mechanical responses to low and high-frequency components of sea tides. The novelty of this work lies in the isolation of low-frequency components of aquifer heads, as this response contains information about the hydraulic connection of the aquifer to the sea offshore.

**Methodology**

**Basic Conjecture**

The proposal is to take advantage of the fact that tidal signal encompasses numerous harmonics of different frequencies, because of the different components of tides and other causes of sea level fluctuations (atmospheric pressure, winds…) (Pugh 1987). Every harmonic of this spectrum produces both
hydraulic and hydro-mechanical responses in the aquifer under the usual boundary conditions (aquifer open to the sea offshore and a leaky aquitard over the aquifer). But the amplitude damping and phase shift of these two responses is different and frequency dependent.

The goal is to test the conjecture that hydraulic connection can be determined from the response to low-frequency sea level fluctuations, whereas mechanical effects are more important for high-frequency fluctuations. In the following sections, this conjecture is first developed in mathematical terms and then analyzed to determine its implications.

**Principles of hydraulic and hydro-mechanical responses to tides**

**Governing equations**

Coupled hydro-mechanical behavior is defined by the conventional flow equation with an additional term to represent the mechanical effect as a distributed source term (Rice and Cleary 1976; Van Der Kamp and Gale 1983, although the concept was quite clearly expressed by Meinzer in 1928, see p. 277):

$$ S_S \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} = \nabla (K \nabla h) + S_S \frac{dh_s}{dt} $$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $h$ represents head fluctuations [L]; $S_S$ and $K$ are the specific storage [L$^{-1}$] and the hydraulic conductivity [LT$^{-1}$] of the aquifer, respectively; $h_s$ is the sea level fluctuation [L] and $dh_s/dt$ is its time derivative; and $L_e$ is the tidal loading efficiency [–], which quantifies the fraction of the load taken by water and by the solid structure. The last term only applies to the submerged portion of the domain. To honor Meinzer, $S_S L_e dh_s/dt$ is called the “squeezing term”, as it represents “water that is squeezed out of the sand” (Meinzer 1928).

Equation (1) is solved subject to appropriate boundary conditions. The standard option is to prescribe head, $h(x_o) = h_o$, where $x_o$ is the location of the sea boundary, which typically includes the sea bottom (top of the flow domain) and the aquifer edge (end of the flow domain), represented as a red line in Fig. 1.

This problem can be decomposed as the superposition of fluctuations due to the hydraulic response (boundary head fluctuations diffusing into the aquifer), $h_H$, plus those caused by the mechanical perturbation (driven by the squeezing term fluctuations), $h_M$:

$$ h = h_H + h_M $$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The hydraulic component represents head fluctuations driven by water flow from the sea boundary into the aquifer. It is governed by:

$$ S_S \frac{\partial h_H}{\partial t} = \nabla (K \nabla h_H); \text{subject to } h_H(x_o) = h_o(t) $$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Some insight into the nature of this equation can be gained from the traditional solution for a confined aquifer open at $x = 0$ to a sea that fluctuates as $h_s(t) = A_s \sin(\omega t)$ at $x = 0$. Note that $A_s = r_p A$, where $A$ is the amplitude of sea level fluctuations and $r_p$ is the ratio of seawater to freshwater, which ensures that sea level fluctuations are expressed as freshwater head fluctuations. The solution to this problem under the approximation of Dupuit is (Ferris 1951):

$$ h_H(x, t) = A_s e^{-x/L_e} \sin \left( \frac{\omega t - x}{L_e} \right); \text{with } L_e = \sqrt{2k/\omega S} $$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $A_s$ is the amplitude of sea level fluctuations, $\omega$ is their frequency or angular velocity (T$^{-1}$) and $L_e$ is the characteristic length. Note that the solution is written in terms of $S$, storage coefficient, and $T$, transmissivity of the aquifer. Actually, the solution depends solely on hydraulic diffusivity, $D_h = T/S$, which is equal to $K/S$, for a confined aquifer. Dependence of the response on hydraulic parameters becomes more complex in multiaquifer systems, separated by semiconfining layers. In these cases, head fluctuations in the aquifer are driven not only by the squeezing term in the aquifer, but also by flow from/to the aquitard. Guarracino et al. (2012) discuss the situation in detail.

The mechanical component represents head fluctuations driven by the squeezing of the medium caused by the load that the ocean exerts on the sea floor. It is governed by:

$$ S_S \frac{\partial h_M}{\partial t} = \nabla (K \nabla h_M) + S_S \frac{dh_s}{dt}; \text{subject to } h_M(x_o) = 0 $$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

Note that adding up Eqs. (3) and (5) yields Eq. (1), which demonstrates Eq. (2). Note also that the squeezing term is the sole source term in this equation. Therefore, the hydro-mechanical response, $h_M$, is proportional to the time derivative of the sea level fluctuations under the portion of the aquifer subject to tidal loading (from the coastline to the offshore end of the aquifer)—that is, $S_S L_e dh_s/dt = S_S L_e A_s \omega \cos (\omega t)$, which is proportional to the sea level frequency. Therefore, very low-frequency harmonics will tend to induce a very small mechanical effect in the aquifer, whereas high-frequency waves with higher derivatives should display a marked hydro-mechanical response; however, the effect is nontrivial. Far offshore in a homogeneous aquifer, where $h_M$ gradients are small, the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (5) can be neglected, which leads to $h_M \equiv L_e h_s$, regardless of $\omega$. In fact, the inland solution of Eq. (5) for a homogeneous perfectly confined aquifer reads (van der Kamp 1972).
\[ h_M(x,t) = \frac{A_s}{2} L_c e^{-x/L_c} \cos \left( \frac{\omega t - x}{L_c} \right); \text{with } L_c = \sqrt{2T/\omega S} \]

which is very similar to the hydraulic solution (Eq. 4), except that the amplitude is halved \((L_c\text{is close to 1 for unconsolidated sediments})\) and the fluctuations are advanced \(\pi/2\) (i.e., a quarter of the period).

The fact that the source term in Eq. (5) is proportional to the time derivative of sea level fluctuations (and thus, to their frequency), together with the fact that the mechanical effect is instantaneous under the sea (actually it is transferred at speed of sound as a pressure wave) leads to complex interactions in the case of heterogeneity. Guarracino et al. (2012) analyse in detail the behaviour of both \(h_H\) and \(h_M\) for a broad range of conditions such as negligible and infinite extent of the aquifer under the sea, and for asymptotic limits of aquitard and aquifer parameters (rigid or stiff aquitard, confined or semiconfined aquifer).

**Inland propagation of harmonics depending on their different frequencies**

Both \(h_H\) and \(h_M\) diffuse hydraulically inland. Aquifer fluctuations are damped and delayed. For a confined aquifer (Eqs. 4 and 6), amplitude is damped by the factors \(e^{-x/L_c}\) for \(h_H\) and \(0.5e^{-x/L_c}\) for \(h_M\), and the delay is \(x/L_c\) radians (\(x/\omega L_c\) in time units). These two effects are somewhat different for semiconfined aquifers, where propagation is further damped (see solutions and discussion of Guarracino et al. (2012) and Li and Jiao (2003b)), but still the same for hydraulic and hydro-mechanical responses. So, the inland propagation of sea level fluctuations might look identical for hydraulic and hydro-mechanical responses (Fig. 2).

However, the origin of propagation of both perturbations, hydraulic and mechanical, is different and represented by the \(x\) coordinate. The \(x\) coordinate represents the distance to the coastline for hydraulic response \((x = -D)\), as shown in Fig. 1. Since this distance can be a few kilometers, the aquifer response is likely to be damped and become negligible when \((x + D)/L_c > 3\) (Guarracino et al. 2012).

Both hydraulic and hydro-mechanical responses are delayed and their amplitudes damped depending on \(\omega\) through the characteristic damping length, \(L_c\) (recall Eqs. 4 and 6). For high-frequency fluctuations, \(\omega\) is large, so that the characteristic damping length is small (typically a few hundred meters), and fluctuations disappear close to the shore. The opposite occurs for low-frequency fluctuations, for which the characteristic distance can be a few kilometers. To support this fact, Table 1 contains the characteristic length for a range of frequencies and hydraulic diffusivities.

As a conclusion, when distance to the offshore connection to the sea \((D)\) is small, low-frequency harmonics of sea tides may produce a hydraulic response far inland in the aquifer, while high-frequency harmonics will not, which lends some hope to analyzing connectivity to the sea by considering response to diverse harmonics.

**Data processing for extracting low-frequency components**

Tidal response methods require records of the time evolution of aquifer heads and sea level. Data records should be long enough in time to capture very low-frequency fluctuations (around months) responsible for hydraulic response.
This study proposed to analyze the aquifer response to low-frequency components of sea tides. To decompose both the tidal and head fluctuation time series, the method described by Hsieh et al. (1987) is applied, who attribute it to Godin (1972). This method is more robust and less sensitive to the record length than the more traditional Fourier method. Godin’s method is based on the sequential application of moving averages with windows of specific length according to the period of interest, \( \tau \). Then, \( A_{\tau,n}/n \) is the average of \( n \) consecutive data points comprising a time length equal to \( \tau \) (e.g., for 10-min interval data, a period of 1 h requires averaging six sequential data points, \( n = 6 \)). The resulting average is applied to the mid-time of the averaged interval (i.e., measurement times are averaged as the measurements). It should be noted that the average window is reduced at the edges of the interval, so that the moving average is unreliable for an interval of half of the averaging window at the beginning and end of the record. Godin’s method consists of applying sequentially the aforementioned average according to:

\[
\zeta(\tau) = A_{\tau,n}^2 A_{\tau,n+1} / [n^2(n+1)]
\]  

This expression requires three sequential averaging operations, two with a window of \( n \) data points, and one with \( n + 1 \). Godin’s operator, \( \zeta(\tau) \), removes those components with periods shorter than \( \tau \). Then, the result of applying \( \zeta(\tau) \) is the smoothed time series containing those components with periods equal or greater than \( \tau \), \( \zeta(\tau) = h_{\tau,T}(t) \), where the subscript

| Table 1 Characteristic damping length (m) for ranges of periods/frequencies and hydraulic diffusivity |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Frequency (L/day) | Period (days) | Hydraulic diffusivity (m²/day) |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------------------------|
|                   |               | 1.00E+07 | 1.00E+06 | 1.00E+05 | 1.00E+04 | 1.00E+03 |
| 0.15              | 42            | 11.562 | 3.656 | 1.156 | 366 | 116 |
| 0.18              | 35            | 10.555 | 3.338 | 1.056 | 334 | 106 |
| 0.224             | 28            | 9.441 | 2.985 | 944 | 299 | 94 |
| 0.299             | 21            | 8.176 | 2.585 | 818 | 259 | 82 |
| 0.449             | 14            | 6.676 | 2.111 | 668 | 211 | 67 |
| 0.898             | 7             | 4.720 | 1.493 | 472 | 149 | 47 |
| 1.257             | 5             | 3.989 | 1.262 | 399 | 126 | 40 |
| 2.094             | 3             | 3.090 | 0.977 | 309 | 98 | 31 |
| 6.283             | 1             | 1.784 | 0.564 | 178 | 56 | 18 |
| 12.57             | 0.5           | 1.262 | 0.399 | 126 | 40 | 13 |
denotes the periods of the components. Specifically, if a signal consists of \( m \) harmonics of sequential periods, where \( \tau_1 > \tau_m \), this signal can be decomposed as:

\[
h(t) = h_{\tau_1}(t) + h_{\tau_2}(t) + h_{\tau_3}(t) + \ldots + h_{\tau_m}(t) \tag{8}
\]

After applying Godin’s operator to \( h(t) \) for \( \tau_2 \), the spectrum of components with periods equal or larger than \( \tau_2 \) is obtained:

\[
\dot{\zeta}(\tau_2) = h_{\geq \tau_2}(t) = h_{\tau_1}(t) + h_{\tau_2}(t) \tag{9}
\]

The spectrum of components with periods shorter than \( \tau_2 \) can be calculated by subtracting the smoothed records from the signal. So, the spectrum of components with periods shorter than \( \tau_2 \) can be obtained as:

\[
h_{< \tau_2}(t) = h(t) - \dot{\zeta}(\tau_2) = h_{\tau_3}(t) + \ldots + h_{\tau_m}(t) \tag{10}
\]

To obtain a specific interval of components from a signal (e.g. period interval between \( \tau_2 \) and \( \tau_{m-1} \), where \( \tau_2 > \tau_{m-1} \)), the Godin operator is applied first for \( \tau_2 \) over the signal, \( \dot{\zeta}(\tau_2) \) as in Eq. (9). Then, the spectrum of components with periods shorter than \( \tau_2 \) are obtained by subtracting \( \dot{\zeta}(\tau_2) \) from the signal as in Eq. (10). Finally, the Godin operator is applied again for \( \tau_{m-1} \) over \( h_{\geq \tau_2}(t) \), the spectrum of components with periods shorter than \( \tau_2 \). This last step yields records that contain the tidal components of periods between \( \tau_2 \) and \( \tau_{m-1} \).

\[
\dot{\zeta}(\tau_{m-1}) = h_{\geq \tau_{m-1}}(t) = h_{\tau_3}(t) + \ldots + h_{\tau_{m-1}}(t) \tag{11}
\]

**Practical recommendations**

Actual application of the proposed method is relatively simple; however, judgment is required to select the frequencies that indicate hydraulic connection. Also, head fluctuations driven by causes other than sea level fluctuations (e.g., rainfall, pumping, etc.) need to be discarded. To this end, the following recommendations are suggested:

1. The amplitude factors of hydraulic and hydro-mechanical response according to Eqs. (4) and (6) are \( e^{-x/L_c} \) and 0.5 \( e^{-x/L_c} \) for \( h_I \) and \( h_M \) respectively. These factors are close to 1 and 0.5 when \( x < L_c \). If the observed amplitude of a specific frequency component of aquifer heads is greater than half the tidal amplitude for the same frequency component, it can be assumed that this component represents a hydraulic response. According to Eq. (6), the hydro-mechanical response is cut in half from the coastline towards inland and the phase shift should be negative—cosine function in Eq. (6) advances the response a quarter of the period. Therefore, head records with amplitudes greater than half of sea tidal amplitudes and evident phase shifts, can be assumed as hydraulic responses, whereas head records with significant damping (i.e. amplitude less than half that of the sea), but small (or even negative) phase shift are likely to represent hydro-mechanical response.

2. Other external factors such as pumping or recharge fluctuations, have to be dismissed to ensure that observed aquifer head fluctuations are indeed induced by sea level fluctuations. This can be done by comparing the frequency components of aquifer heads and sea level. If these components are similar (i.e., the aquifer signal is damped and delayed with respect to the sea signal), it is likely that the aquifer signal is indeed driven by sea level fluctuations. Otherwise (e.g., higher amplitude of the aquifer fluctuation during some intervals of time), then those intervals or the whole component should be discarded for the analysis.

3. Finally, it should be noted that when applying Godin’s method, the first and last intervals of averages do not fully represent the trend. The length of these intervals is the same as the average window. Very low-frequency components imply longer average windows. Therefore, a compromise solution between the selected frequency and the length of data records should be adopted in order to ensure a trustworthy representation of trends.

**Application**

**Geological, hydrogeological and meteorological settings**

The proposed methodology was applied in the coastal aquifer system of La Plata River Estuary (LPRE) to qualitatively evaluate the hydraulic connection of its aquifers. The study site is located in the Matanza-Riachuelo river basin (MRB), south of Buenos Aires city in the municipality of Avellaneda (34.66°S, 58.31°W; Fig. 3a). The basin management authority (Autoridad de Cuenca Matanza-Riachuelo, ACUMAR) drilled several boreholes in this area next to the sea in order to monitor the aquifer system of this river basin.

It is a sedimentary basin filled with continental and marine sediments deposited over the basement. Overlapping the basement there is the Olivos Formation, a continental formation of aeolian and fluvial origin (Auge 1986, 2004). The Olivos Formation is covered by the marine Paraná formation. It is sandy to the bottom and clayey to the top. Over the Paraná formation there is the Puelches formation, mostly fluvial but with some aeolian components (Logan et al. 1999). Clay abundance increases toward the top. On top of the Puelches formation there are the Pampeano sediments (Fidalgo et al. 1975), mostly of eolian origin but with fluvial and lacustrine deposits. The aeolian fraction consists of sandy silts and loess with a volcanic (Andean) origin (González Bonorino 1965;
Tófalo et al. 2005). These sediments cover an extended area in NE Argentina, forming the large plain area called La Pampa.

Three aquifers have been described in the study site. They are related to the uppermost aforementioned geological layers: the Paraná aquifer, the Puelches aquifer (both confined aquifers) and the Pampeano aquifer, which is an unconfined aquifer (Fig. 3b). The aquitard between the Pampeano and Puelches aquifers appears at 21–28 m depth in the study area. The top of the Paraná Formation is some 44 m deep at the site (Mancino et al. 2013) as shown in Fig. 3b. The existing groundwater models agree that there is a regional flow that discharges to La Plata river estuary (Vives et al. 2013; Zabala et al. 2016).

Wind is one of the main stressors of low-frequency tides in LPRE (Santoro et al. 2011). The wind pattern in LPRE has been widely studied, showing a seasonal trend in its direction and velocity (Guerrero et al. 1997; Simionato et al. 2005). During summer time, onshore winds from NE, E, SE and S are prevalent, which act to pile up river water. In extreme cases, strong south-easterly winds, locally called sudestadas, induce high tides during 1–3 days. According to the statistical analysis in Escobar et al. (2004), sudestadas are more frequent in summer. During this phenomenon, the water level rises and floods Buenos Aires city and surroundings.

Data description

Two monitoring wells record hydraulic heads. The first is open in the Puelches aquifer, 55 m from the coast. The second one monitors the Paraná aquifer, 52 m from the coast (Fig. 3b). The sensors collected data every hour from 12 March 2015 to 23 June 2015. The data have been corrected according to the atmospheric pressure of a barometer. Sea level records from tide gauges in the San Fernando (34°26′03″ S 58°32′24″ W) and Puerto Buenos Aires (34°33′39″ S 58.23′56″ W) stations were obtained from the Naval Hydrography service of the Ministry of Defense of Argentina. The three sets of records (sea level, heads in piezometers 8A and 8B – see Fig. 3b) were transformed to fluctuations around the mean value of the interval.

The data used in this study are displayed in Fig. 4. The records in Puelches and Paraná aquifers show a clear hydro-mechanical response to semidiurnal fluctuations. Aquifer fluctuations are hardly delayed with respect to tidal fluctuations, but their amplitude is about one third of the tidal amplitude. The fact that Puelches and Paraná aquifers show a hydro-mechanical response suggests that both aquifers are poorly connected to the sea. However, it is not clear whether there is any connection at all, and if so, which one of them, Puelches or Paraná, could be better hydraulically connected to the sea.
No additional effects are thought to be influencing the groundwater level: recharge episodes are difficult to observe in confined aquifers and there are no human activities requiring groundwater pumping nearby, as the study site is located in a natural area without urbanization or farming.

**Data processing**

In order to select a representative low-frequency component, Godin’s method was applied to decompose sea level and head fluctuations (application of Godin’s methods is explained more in detail later for the selected frequency component). The decomposition into the spectrum of components is shown in Fig. 5.

The amplitudes of each component were analyzed and the amplitude coefficients (ratio between tidal and head amplitudes) were calculated and tabulated in Table 2. As can be seen in Fig. 5, the data series are not long enough to get representative harmonics for very low frequencies, so periods longer than 5 weeks are dismissed. Therefore, this analysis must be considered qualitative.

The high-frequency components (periods less than 2 weeks) are clearly hydro-mechanical: the piezometric amplitudes are less than half the tide amplitudes and the phase shift is negative at some cycles, although the average value is positive. Further support for this assessment can be gained from the fact that amplitude ratio, which is proportional to $e^{-\pi L_c}$, tends to increase with the period of the component, because $L_c$ also increases.

Among the low-frequency components, the most representative is the component with periods between 5 and 4 weeks, which captures a consecutive maximum and minimum and the piezometric responses reflect the tide behavior. It is worth noting that the amplitudes of Paraná aquifer are greater than amplitudes of Puelches aquifer for the whole spectrum of low-frequency components. This contrasts with the high-frequency components, which show similar amplitude coefficients; in some cases (for periods 7–5 days and 3–2 days), the amplitude is greater for Puelches aquifer, the rest being equal. This fact reveals the heterogeneity of the geological media offshore.

A description on how to obtain the component of period between 5 and 4 weeks is detailed next. For each of the three data sets, a total of three steps must be performed. The results of each step are shown in Fig. 6. First, Godin’s operator is applied for a period of 5 weeks, ζ(τ₅), over the raw data. As raw data are hourly spaced, there are 840 data records in a time length equal to 5 weeks:

$$\zeta(\tau_5) = h_{\geq 5} = A_{5,840}^{\text{A}_5,841}/[840^{2}(841)]$$

where $h_{\geq 5}$ is the portion of the original record containing periods equal to or greater than 5 weeks. Second, the spectrum of components with periods smaller than 5 weeks, $h_{<5}$, is obtained by removing $h_{\geq 5}$ from the raw data:

$$h_{<5} = h(t) - h_{\geq 5} = h(t) - \zeta(\tau_5)$$

Finally, Godin’s operator is applied again over $h_{<5}$ for a period of 4 weeks. As a result, the spectrum of components

![Graph](image_url)
Fig. 5 Decomposition of signals into the spectrum of components for several periods (6–5 weeks, 5–4 weeks, 4–3 weeks, 3–2 weeks, 2–1 weeks, 7–5 days, 5–3 days, 3–2 days, 2–1 days, 24–12 h, 12–6 h).

The lines are dashed for the initial and final intervals, which are uncertain because of the average window.
with periods between 5 and 4 weeks is obtained:
\[
\zeta(t_4) = h_{5>\tau>4} = A_{4.672}^2 A_{4.673} / \left[ 672^2 (673) \right] 
\]  

\textbf{Table 2} Average values of amplitude ratio and phase shifts between piezometric heads in Paraná (8A) and Puelches (8B) aquifer to sea tides

| Period   | Amplitude ratio | Phase shift (hours) |
|----------|-----------------|---------------------|
|          | Piez. 8A | Piez. 8B | Piez. 8A | Piez. 8B |
| 6–5 weeks | 0.76   | 0.54   | 253      | 238  |
| 5–4 weeks | 0.81   | 0.55   | 345      | 295  |
| 4–3 weeks | 0.96   | 0.61   | 370      | 313  |
| 3–2 weeks | 0.87   | 0.72   | 494      | 545  |
| 2–1 weeks | 0.46   | 0.50   | 10       | 6    |
| 7–5 days  | 0.37   | 0.38   | 8        | –1   |
| 5–3 days  | 0.29   | 0.34   | 0        | 5    |
| 3–2 days  | 0.37   | 0.40   | 6        | 8    |
| 2–1 days  | 0.39   | 0.39   | 2        | 2    |
| 24–12 h   | 0.36   | 0.35   | 2        | 1    |
| 12–6 h    | 0.33   | 0.33   | 1        | 1    |

\textbf{Results and discussion}

The responses of each aquifer were analyzed by comparing the head components in the 4–5-week-period interval. Amplitudes are assimilated to the head differences between a maximum and the next minimum. The amplitude of the deepest Paraná aquifer is greater (6.6 cm) than the amplitude of the hydraulic response in the overlying Puelches aquifer (4.5 cm) (Fig. 7). The fact that the amplitude observed in the Puelches is more damped than that in the Paraná reveals that the Paraná aquifer would be better connected to La Plata estuary than the Puelches.

This finding is somewhat surprising because one would expect that the Paraná aquifer, being deeper, would be less connected to the sea than the Puelches. Actually, a geological analysis shows that this is possible. The records of the Puelches aquifer thickness in inland boreholes indicate that the Puelches aquifer wedges towards the sea (Mancino et al. 2013). If the trend continues, the Puelches aquifer could disappear offshore, as illustrated in Fig. 8. Alternatively, the hydraulic diffusivity of Puelches aquifer could just decrease offshore (by a decrease of hydraulic conductivity or increase of storage coefficient offshore). This option could also be valid according to sequential stratigraphy principles, if it is assumed.
that coarse particles are deposited next to the coastline and the finest, offshore.

The phase shift for Puelches aquifer is slightly smaller (12.3 days) than for Paraná aquifer (14.4 days), which would contradict the amplitude finding if the response was purely hydraulic and the aquifers perfectly confined. In general, the interpretation of phase shifts is complicated by interference with the hydro-mechanical response and by leakage from/to aquitard. The quantitative joint interpretation of amplitudes and phase shift is very complex, because of the influence of both aquifer and aquitard properties. Instead, amplitudes typically display a more consistent response.

Conclusions

A methodology to isolate the hydraulic from the hydro-mechanical response in coastal aquifers was developed in order to characterize the hydraulic connection of different wells to the sea. It is based on the different aquifer responses to low and high-frequency components of sea tides. High-frequency components in semiconfined aquifers can be attributed to hydro-mechanical response, whereas low-frequency components contain information about hydraulic connection. Therefore, the harmonic decomposition of aquifer head and sea level fluctuations is useful to qualitatively analyze the hydraulic connection of coastal aquifers to the sea. This is the first attempt to apply the harmonic decomposition of aquifer heads to analyze the hydraulic connection of the aquifer to the sea.

When using tidal loading for characterizing coastal aquifers, the different frequencies of the tide signal test different extensions of the aquifer because the characteristic damping length depends on the frequency. High-frequency components would be equivalent to quick pumping tests where only a small portion of the aquifer is tested. Low-frequency waves give information on larger areas of the aquifer, because these waves are damped only at larger distances. As a consequence, the response to low-frequency components of sea-level fluctuations yields information about the hydraulic diffusivity offshore.

The methodology was applied to the aquifer system of La Plata River Estuary. The comparison of the aquifers’ responses to low-frequency tidal harmonics suggests that the deeper Paraná aquifer appears to be slightly better connected to the sea than the upper Puelches aquifer. While this result might look surprising, it can be explained on the basis of geological information.

The methodology is powerful and useful. Still, it must be clarified that groundwater observations in coastal aquifers can be affected by numerous factors. Aquifer heads reflect not only the response to tidal variations, but also the natural trend of the aquifer inland, such as recharge variations or pumping. The superposition of these effects often complicates the interpretation of coastal aquifer head records. An advantage of the proposed methodology is that it separates the various head components according to their frequency. This should facilitate identifying which components are shared by the sea level and aquifer records, but does not eliminate the need to identify the response to other phenomena apart from tides.

Fig. 7 Head components in the low-frequency interval, between 5 and 4-week period, of the sea tide and Paraná and Puelches aquifers

Fig. 8 Hypothesis for geological setup of aquifers under the sea in LPRE: a wedging of Puelches aquifer; b gradual reduction of Puelches aquifer granulometry
Ideally, the time series should be long enough to catch at least a complete wave cycle in sea tides and piezometric level. If available, a quantitative rather than the qualitative conclusion presented here about the hydraulic connectivity could be done.
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