Genetic Evidence of an Isolation Barrier between Flea Subspecies of Citellophilus tesquorum (Wagner, 1898) (Siphonaptera: Ceratophyllidae)
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Abstract: This study investigated the relationship between two flea subspecies, Citellophilus tesquorum C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris, which are vectors of the bacterium Yersinia pestis that causes human plague across the vast territories of the Palearctic. Adult fleas were collected from 16 localities and 11 populations in 2019 and 2020. Specimens were morphologically verified for subspecies status and analysed for mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) DNA, nuclear ribosomal cluster internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) and ITS2, and Wolbachia-infection status. Our results demonstrated a genetic difference between C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris. According to mitochondrial data, the genetic distance between clades of C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris was comparable with the species divergence of the genus Callopsylla, which is closely related to Citellophilus. All studied populations of C. t. altaicus were Wolbachia-infected, whereas all studied populations of C. t. sungaris were symbiont-free. Data for ITS1 and ITS2 had much lower phylogenetic signals than mitochondrial data; however, diagnostic substitutions for C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris delimitation were also revealed. Analysis of a hardly accessible report on cross experiments allowed us to conclude the partial postzygotic isolation between these subspecies. Taken together, the molecular-genetic, geographical, morphological, and reproductive isolation findings verified that C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris subspecies could be considered as different species.
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1. Introduction

Siphonaptera, commonly known as fleas, comprise a relatively small order of secondarily wingless insects with complete metamorphosis. According to the latest taxonomic revision, this order includes 2005 species and 828 subspecies belonging to 242 genera and 97 subgenera [1].

Fleas are vectors for many pathogens, including Rickettsia typhi (which causes epidemic typhus), Rickettsia prowazekii (rural epidemic typhus) [2], Bartonella spp. (cat-scratch disease) [3], and Yersinia pestis (plague) [4]. Plague is a dangerous disease, and several hundred cases in humans are reported annually worldwide, predominantly in developing countries [4]. Developed countries have extensive systems for monitoring plague hot-spots that allow preventive actions to be taken to avoid outbreaks. Flea species differ in their
ability to transfer plague infection. In total, 257 species are known to be reservoirs of *Y. pestis* [5,6]. *Yersinia pestis* bacteria inhabit the foregut of adult fleas where they form a biofilm that interferes with feeding. While trying to satisfy their hunger, infected fleas actively attack animal or human hosts, and biofilm conglomerates enter the bloodstream transmitting the infection [7].

In previous studies, the focus of researchers has been on the diversity and molecular biology of the pathogenic bacteria, whereas the flea species have been studied much less comprehensively. In terms of population genetic data, the best studied flea species—*Ctenocephalides felis*, *Ctenocephalides canis*, *Pulex irritans*, *Tunga penetrans* and *Xenopsylla cheopis*—are those that are synanotropic. Investigating the diversity of this species has revealed intriguing details of their evolutionary history and candidate cryptic species [8–11].

Here, we studied populations of *C. tesquorum* (Wagner, 1898) [12], which are active vectors of *Y. pestis* in the vast Palearctic territories [5,13]. This species parasitizes various ground squirrels (*Spermophilus* spp.) in the steppe and mountain regions of Southern Europe, the Caucasus, the Volga region, Central Asia, and Southern Siberia, including Transbaikal and South of Yakutia, Mongolia and Northern regions of China [6]. Nine subspecies of *C. tesquorum* have been described; however, their taxonomic status is under question, because the diagnostic morphological traits vary greatly [14–16], and genetic differences between populations in different regions are unknown.

The aim of this study was to clarify the relationship between two broadly distributed subspecies, *C. t. altaicus* and *C. t. sungaris*, which are the main active vectors in several natural plague hot-spots. These subspecies are common in the Altai, Baikalia, Yakutia, Eastern Mongolia and Outer Manchuria territories. In general, *C. t. altaicus* is found in the West, and *C. t. sungaris* is found in the East, and sympatry is noted in some Mongolian regions. Some authors previously defined *C. t. sungaris* as an independent *C. sungaris* species [15,17,18]. This research estimated the isolation between subspecies in order to elucidate their taxonomic status. Adult fleas were collected from populations in the Tuva, Baikalia, Transbaikal and Yakutia territories. Analysis of the samples compared the mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) cytochrome *c* oxidase subunit I (*COI*) gene, the internal transcribed spacer 1 (ITS1) and ITS2 regions of the nuclear ribosomal gene, and the *Wolbachia*-infection status, which could be an additional indicator of reproductive isolation between *C. t. altaicus* and *C. t. sungaris* populations.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection

We sampled adult fleas from 16 localities in 2019 and 2020 (Table 1 and Figure 1). Two methods of flea sampling were employed, both of which were conducted according to methodological guidelines MU 3.1.3012-12 of the Federal Centre for State Sanitary and Epidemiological Supervision of Rospotrebnadzor. In method one, ground squirrel nests were excavated, and fleas were collected from the nest substrates. In method two, fleas were collected from the entrances of ground squirrel burrows using a rubber hose with a fleece fabric cover; the hose was pushed several times into the burrow entrance, after which it was examined for fleas. All fleas were stored in ethanol and sent to the Laboratory of Parasitology of the Zoological Institute Russian Academy of Science, Saint Petersburg, Russia, to verify the subspecies status. Details of the subspecies morphological identification are provided in Table 2, Figures 2 and S1. Briefly, three traits of the head and abdomen were analysed to discriminate between *C. t. sungaris* and *C. t. altaicus*, as well as *Citellophilus tesquorum mongolicus* and *Citellophilus tesquorum dzetysuensis*, which were neighbour subspecies to the South (Figure 1).
Table 1. Characteristics of data collection and Wolbachia infection.

| Subspecies of Citellophilus tesquorum | Population        | No of Localities | No of Samples | No of Wolbachia Infected Samples |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| sungaris                              | Goloustnenskaya   | 3                | 7             | 0                                |
| sungaris                              | Ust-Ordynskaya    | 2                | 7             | 0                                |
| sungaris                              | East Torean       | 2                | 19            | 0                                |
| sungaris                              | Kudinskaya        | 1                | 4             | 0                                |
| sungaris                              | Yakutskaya        | 1                | 5             | 0                                |
| altaicus                             | Ulug-Khenskaya    | 1                | 15            | 15                               |
| altaicus                             | Saglinskaya       | 1                | 9             | 7                                |
| altaicus                             | Karginskaya       | 2                | 20            | 9                                |
| altaicus                             | Despenskaya       | 1                | 6             | 3                                |
| altaicus                             | Boro-Shaiskaya    | 1                | 6             | 3                                |
| altaicus                             | Chozinskaya       | 1                | 5             | 3                                |

Figure 1. Ranges of four Citellophilus tesquorum subspecies. The map based on Tan, Shen [13], and Verzhutsky et al. [19].
Table 2. Morphological delimitation traits of four *Citellophilus tesquorum* subspecies.

| Trait                                                   | C. t. altaicus | C. t. sungaris | C. t. mongolicus | C. t. dzetysuensis |
|---------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Head: ratio of proboscis apex to coxa and trochanter   | reach apex of coxa, or middle of trochanter (Figure 2A) | reach apex of trochanter (Figure 2B) | reach middle or apex of trochanter | reach middle of trochanter |
| Abdomen: presence of membranous appendage of sternum VIII apical part | present (Figures 2C and S1A) | absent (Figures 2D and S1C) | absent (Figure S1D) | absent (Figure S1B) |
| Abdomen: presence of lateral sinus of posterior margin sternum VIII | absent (Figures 2E and S1E) | present or absent (Figures 2F and S1G) | present (Figure S1H) | no data (Figure S1F) |

Figure 2. Diagnostic characteristics of *Citellophilus tesquorum* subspecies. Head: ratio of proboscis (prbs) apex to coxa of (A) *C. t. altaicus* and (B) *C. t. sungaris*. Abdomen: clasper (cl) and sternum VIII (8st) of (C) *C. t. altaicus* and (D) *C. t. sungaris*. Abdomen: outline of sternum VII (7st) of (E) *C. t. altaicus* and (F) *C. t. sungaris*. Scale bars = 0.1 mm.
Before and after morphological verification, the samples were stored in 96% ethanol. The DNA analysis was carried out in the Laboratory of Molecular Genetics of Insects at the Institute of Cytology and Genetics, Novosibirsk, Russia. In total, 61 samples of *C. t. altaicus* and 42 samples of *C. t. sungaris* were used in the molecular study.

2.2. DNA Extraction, Amplification and Sequencing

Fleas were individually homogenized in 200 µL of extraction buffer (10 mM TRIS-HCl [pH 8.0], 25 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 0.5% sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS), 0.1 M NaCl, and 0.1 mg/mL proteinase K) and incubated for 2 h at 56 °C. The DNA extract was precipitated and diluted in 200 µL of deionised water. One microliter of DNA solution was used for amplification. Three genetic markers were investigated: the nuclear region including ITS1, ITS2, and 5.8S ribosomal RNA (rRNA); the mitochondrial locus *COI*; and the *Wolbachia*-infection status. The mitochondrial locus was amplified with the primer set LCO-1490/HCO-2198 [20]. The nuclear marker was amplified with primers that flanked the 18S and 28S regions as a whole product (2075 base pairs [bp]), or using two overlapping fragments with primers that flanked the 18S and 5.8S regions and the 5.8S and 28S regions; the fragments were sequenced with the primers listed in Table 3. All PCR reactions were performed using BioMaster HS-Taq PCR (2×) (BiolabMix, Novosibirsk, Russia) with a 20 µL volume. The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation 5 min at 95 °C; 35 cycles of denaturing at 95 °C 15 s, annealing at 55 °C for ribosomal DNA and 53 °C for mitochondrial DNA 30 s, elongation at 72 °C 30 s–1 min 30 s depending on the expected amplicon size; and final elongation at 72 °C—3 min. All specimens were examined for *Wolbachia* infection by the nested PCR with the primer set ftsZuniv1/2 for the first round and ftsZf1/r1 for the second round (for details, see [21]). DNA samples of *Drosophila melanogaster* stocks infected with *Wolbachia* and uninfected [22] were used as positive and negative controls. The PCR products were visualised on agarose gel (1.5%) electrophoresis. Amplicons were purified by exonuclease I of *Escherichia coli* (ExoI; New England Biolabs) and sequenced by the BrightDye Terminator Cycle Sequencing kit (Nimagen). Sequences were deposited in the GenBank under accession numbers OL484862–OL484880 for ITS1 and ITS2, and OL504533–OL504557 for *COI*.

| Primer | Target | 5'-3' Sequence | Reference |
|--------|--------|----------------|-----------|
| ITS5-f1 | ribosomal region | GGAAGTAAAAGTCGTAACAAGG | [23] |
| ITS2-r2 | ribosomal region | CAAGGGTTTCCGTTAGGTAACCTG | [24] |
| ITS1ctf2 | ribosomal region | CCCGGTACAGCCGAGATTACA | this study |
| ITS1ctr2 | ribosomal region | GCCCGCACTCAAACATTAA | this study |
| ITS1ctf | ribosomal region | GTGCTCTGGTTGTTGTGTTT | this study |
| ITS1ctr | ribosomal region | GGACAAATTCGCTCTCAGGC | this study |
| ITS2-f2 | ribosomal region | GGTCGATGAAGAAAGGACGC | this study |
| ITS1-r1 | ribosomal region | GCCTGTTTCTTCATCGACCC | this study |
| ITS2-f3 | ribosomal region | GCCACCTCTGGCCTGAGG | this study |
| ITS1-r2 | ribosomal region | CCAGGAGTTGGTCGCGAAGAACAGAT | this study |
| 28S-r2 | ribosomal region | TAGTTTTTCCTCTCGCCTAA | this study |
| 28S-r1 | ribosomal region | GCCGCTACTAAGGGAGAACTC | this study |
| HCO-2198 | COI, mitochondrial gene | TAAACTTCAGGGGTACCAAAAAATCA | [20] |
| LCO-1490 | COI, mitochondrial gene | GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG | [20] |
| ftsZuniv1 | *Wolbachia* symbiont | GG(CT)AA(AG)GGTGTC(AG)GCAGAAGA | [27] |
| ftsZuniv2 | *Wolbachia* symbiont | ATC(AG)AT(AG)CCGATTGCAAG | [27] |
| ftsZf1 | *Wolbachia* symbiont | ATYATGGARCATATAAARGATAG | [28] |
| ftsZr1 | *Wolbachia* symbiont | TCRAGYAATGGATTRGATAT | [28] |
2.3. Evolutionary Analysis

In addition to our data, we used sequences deposited in the GenBank database by other authors; in particular, we retrieved the following accession numbers: EU770311-14 for the analysis of ITS variation; and MG138174, 77, 78, 80 to 83, 91 and 92 (Callopsylla spp.), KM899071, and MF000642 (C. tesquorum) for the mtDNA variation. Alignments were generated by the MUSCLE algorithm [29]. DNA polymorphism comprising number of polymorphic sites (S), number of haplotypes (h), haplotype diversity (Hd), nucleotide diversity (Pi) and the fixation index (F<sub>ST</sub>) were calculated using DnaSP v5 [30]. The maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree for the mtDNA data was reconstructed in Mega6, and the coefficient of differentiation (G<sub>ST</sub>) was calculated [31]. A Templeton, Crandall, and Sing (TCS) gene network [32] was produced by PopArt [33] to represent the genealogical relationships among alleles of nuclear ribosomal genes and their frequencies. An allele of the Wolbachia ftsZ locus was checked in the Public Databases for Molecular Typing and Microbial Genome Diversity (PubMLST) [34].

3. Results

Fleas were collected in 16 localities from 11 populations. The geographical boundaries of the host and flea populations were considered to be the same [35]. Morphological identification of the subspecies status was in agreement with the expectation; five eastern populations were represented by <i>C. t. sungaris</i> and six western populations by <i>C. t. altaicus</i>. DNA was extracted from 103 fleas: in total, 42 samples were <i>C. t. sungaris</i> and 61 were <i>C. t. altaicus</i>. All DNA samples were of good quality for PCR analysis, which was checked by amplification with the universal primers LCO-1490/HCO-2190. The full dataset was examined for Wolbachia-infection status by nested PCR for bacterial locus ftsZ. All populations of <i>C. t. sungaris</i> were Wolbachia-negative, whereas all populations of <i>C. t. altaicus</i> were Wolbachia-positive, giving a total of 66% infected samples (Table 1). The analysed Wolbachia isolates were characterised by the ftsZ-56 allele clustered into the A-supergroup (Figure S2), which is common for insects [28].

We sequenced the mitochondrial locus COI with the universal primer set of at least one sample for each population. The analysis of alignment (25 samples, 587 bp) revealed values of S = 57 and h = 19; all replacements were synonymous. The values for the Hd and Pi diversity of the entire population were 0.967 and 0.041, respectively. The values of these indices for the subspecies were as follows: for <i>C. t. sungaris</i>, S = 18, h = 8, Hd = 0.885 and Pi = 0.013; and for <i>C. t. altaicus</i>, S = 25, h = 11, Hd = 0.985 and Pi = 0.014. The F<sub>ST</sub> and G<sub>ST</sub> were 0.794 and 0.668, respectively, indicating a high isolation level between subspecies. The p-distance between the populations of subspecies was 0.066.

The ML phylogenetic tree of the mtDNA data (Figure 3) had two clades: the first included all <i>C. t. altaicus</i> and <i>C. t. dzetysuensis</i> retrieved from the GenBank database (MF000642); and the second included only <i>C. t. sungaris</i> samples. Therefore, the components of maternal inheritance (mtDNA variation and Wolbachia infection) indicated isolation between <i>C. t. sungaris</i> and <i>C. t. altaicus</i>.

To estimate the differentiation between subspecies according to nuclear genes, we sequenced the ITS1 and ITS2 and located 5.8S rRNA locus between them. As with the mtDNA variation analysis, we aimed to obtain sequences from all populations. However, ITS1 and ITS2 variation was very low, so we sequenced only 19 samples. Despite the low variation, the observed polymorphism subdivided the <i>C. t. altaicus</i> and <i>C. t. sungaris</i> samples. The length of the nuclear sequences was in the range of 1999 to 2001 bp. Five sites were characterised by an ambiguous signal that could be explained by errors in sequencing or by heterozygosity, so they were excluded from the analysis. Three isolates of <i>C. t. altaicus</i> and three of <i>C. t. dzetysuensis</i> were retrieved from the GenBank and added to the analysis. The final alignment of 25 samples comprised 1968 bp (Figure 4) and was characterised by the following parameters: S = 12, h = 6, Hd = 0.700 and Pi = 0.00176. The F<sub>ST</sub> and G<sub>ST</sub> values between the samples of <i>C. t. altaicus</i> and <i>C. t. sungaris</i> were 1.0, demonstrating complete isolation between the subspecies (see the Supplementary Material).
Figure 3. ML-phylogenetic tree of *Citellophilus tesquorum altaicus*, *C. t. sungaris*, *C. t. dzetysuensis*, and *Callopsylla* spp. mtDNA based on 587 bp of COI sequences (isolates included in this study are shown in bold). GenBank accession numbers, name of flea populations, Wolbachia-infection status (*w*+ = infected; *w*− = uninfected) and bootstrap values (1000 iterations) greater than 70 are provided.
Our results clearly demonstrated genetic differences between C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris. The most evident divergence of these subspecies was observed in their mtDNA data. The genetic distance between the clades of C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris was comparable to the species divergence within the Callapsylla genus, which is closely related to Citellophilus. In addition, the sequences of C. t. dzetysuensis and C. t. mongolicus derived by other authors clustered into the C. t. altaicus clade (Figure S3). The data for ITS1 and ITS2 showed much weaker phylogenetic signals. Only three replacements and one indel were found per 2 Kbp; however, they were diagnostic for C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris divergence. Additional isolates of C. t. altaicus and C. t. dzetysuensis deposited in GenBank showed even greater divergence from C. t. sungaris (Figure 4). Finally, the populations of C. t. sungaris differed from C. t. altaicus in Wolbachia-infection status. The symbiont was found in all populations of C. t. altaicus, and Wolbachia infection was found in C. t. dzetysuensis (MF045776–MF045779 and MF04583–MF045786). Notably, Wolbachia infection is often associated with flea diversity as summarized by Yudina et al. [38]. The sequence

Figure 4. A—Nucleotide polymorphisms of ITS1 and ITS2 for Citellophilus tesquorum altaicus, C. t. sungaris and C. t. dzetysuensis (highlighted in accordance with Figure 1). Bold GenBank numbers indicate those included in this study. The number of polymorphic sites is indicated after excluding ambiguous positions from the alignment. B—Relationships (TCS network) of rRNA alleles reconstructed by PopArt.

4. Discussion

Traditional morphological analyses combined with molecular-genetic investigation is a powerful approach to clarify relationships at the species level. In previous studies of fleas, notable results were obtained for Ct. felis whereby the subspecies Ct. f. orientalis was reclassified as the species Ct. orientalis [8,9], and Ct. f. felis and Ct. f. strongylus were found to be synonymous [36]. Based on a conflict of genetics and phenotype variation, a wide morphological plasticity was found in females of the genus Ctenopthalmus [37]. Moreover, two cryptic lineages (species) were identified within Pulex irritans [11].
of the Wolbachia ftsZ gene isolated from C. t. altaicus in our isolates was clustered into the A-supergroup. The analysis of the wsp locus of Wolbachia isolated from C. t. dzetysuensis revealed two types of symbionts clustered also into the A-supergroup (Figure S2).

A crucial element of species discrimination is reproductive isolation. We analysed a hardly accessible report on reciprocal crosses of C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris [39] (see Supplementary Materials). In both cross directions, the number of F1 progeny per female was in the range of 1.2–6.4, whereas in the control crosses (within subspecies) it was 45.4–51.0. Low fertility (2.0–5.4) was also observed in the F2 progeny. This indicated incomplete postzygotic isolation between the subspecies. The authors noted that the hybrids were slightly larger than the parents, demonstrating higher rates of fluctuating asymmetry and morphoses. The hybrids were also tested to produce a biofilm of Y. pestis and to infect laboratory animals [40]. Both parameters were higher in the progeny than in the parent subspecies. These features could decrease hybrid fitness in the field via more effective infection of the host population and increased starvation levels due to Y. pestis infection.

Taken together, the molecular–genetic, geographical, morphological and reproductive isolation data indicate that the C. t. altaicus and C. t. sungaris are long-term isolated and could be considered different species. Even from a sceptical perspective, the subspecies features clearly indicate a case of incomplete speciation. Here, it is important to mention that Jordan [17], Cyprich et al. [18] and Lewis [15] provided C. t. sungaris as the independent species C. sungaris.

The next step for our research will be to clarify the relationships among the other subspecies of C. tesquorum. Preliminary results based on the limited sequences retrieved from the GenBank database indicate that C. t. mongolicus and C. t. dzetysuensis do not show significant differences from C. t. altaicus. However, genetic data on Eastern European and Caucasian populations are lacking to date.
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