A Supplementary Protein Food for Pregnant Women with Chronic Energy Deficiency to Improve Fetal Growth
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ABSTRACT

The aim was to analyze the effect of protein-sourced supplementary foods for pregnant women with CED to improve fetal growth. The pre-post study test involved 44 pregnant women with a Mid Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) of <23.5 cm in Bogor City, West Java. The subjects were divided into two groups, first was the PG group, consist of pregnant women who received the protein-sourced supplementary foods. The second was the PCG group where the pregnant women received the standard government supplementary food (GSF) for eight weeks. Data regarding the fetal growth was obtained using USG, the indicator measured were the femur length and estimated fetal weight. The increase of femur length and estimated fetal weight were used as the fetal growth parameters. Analysis of Covariance was applied to obtain the effect of intervention by controlling other covariate variables. The result showed that the improvement of estimated fetal weight (EFW) and femur length (FL) in both groups were similar (p>0.05). Despite the fact that the PG group showed lower compliance compared to the PCG (p>0.05). The findings suggest that our protein-sourced supplementary foods had a similar effect with the GSF on fetal growth of pregnant women with CED.
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INTRODUCTION

The anabolic and catabolic processes during pregnancy leads to increase in maternal nutritional need to ensure optimal fetal growth (Brown 2005). Inadequate nutritional intake during pregnancy causes substantial implications and increases maternal and offspring risks of poor outcomes (Wu et al. 2012; Black & Heidkamp 2018). Chronic energy deficiency (CED) is a common nutrition problem for pregnant women in the developing countries. In Indonesia, women with a mid-upper arm circumference (MUAC) of below 23.5 cm are classified as having CED (Kemenkes RI 2013). In 2007, the prevalence of CED among pregnant women was 13.6% and increased to 24.2% in 2013, however it declined in 2018 to 17.3% (Kemenkes RI 2017; Kemenkes RI 2018a). Short birth length may result in stunting, a growth failure in children under 5 years. Stunting is considered as public health nutritional problem, since the prevalence of stunting in Indonesia was 30.8% (Kemenkes RI 2018a). Several health problems may occur as the result of stunting and lead to reduction of individual productivity in the future (TNP2K 2017). Stunting prevention starts from the first 1,000 days of life which include nutrition during pregnancy.

Maternal supplementary feeding during pregnancy can support the improvement of maternal nutritional status (Dewey 2016). Balanced energy-protein supplementation (protein <25% of total energy in supplement) which given in the second trimester of pregnancy can significantly increase fetal weight, and if given at late pregnancy can improve fetal growth, increase birth weight and birth length, and also reduce the percentage of LBW up to 6%. The
protein content in supplementary food should be at least 12.3% to be efficient on improving fetal growth (Liberato et al. 2013). According to Imdad dan Bhutta (2012), balanced energy-protein supplementation is more effective on increasing birth weight and reducing LBW in pregnant women with poor nutritional status than pregnant women with normal nutritional status. Indonesian national program to fulfill nutritional needs of CED pregnant women has been done since 2016. The Ministry of Health in Republic of Indonesia distributes biscuits as supplementary food for pregnant women with CED (Kemenkes RI 2017). Thus, accessibility to the intervention is still a problem. Several studies about the efficacy of supplementary food CED pregnant women had been conducted in Indonesia by measuring several indicators, such as hemoglobin levels (Sairuroh 2019), but none of it measure the fetal growth. This study aimed to determine the effect of protein-source supplementary foods given for pregnant women with CED on their fetal growth.

METHODS

Design, location, and time

This research was a pre-post test experimental study which was conducted from December 2018 to April 2019 and took place in 8 Community Health Centers in Bogor City. The research location was chosen based on the highest prevalence of maternal CED in Bogor according to Bogor City Public Health Office. Baseline and endline data collection were conducted in Klinik Medika Utama, Bogor. Ethical approval for this research was issued by the Ethics Committee for Research Involving Human Subjects, IPB University No:129/IT3.KEPMSM-IPB/SK/2018.

Sampling

The subjects of this research were pregnant women in the second trimester of pregnancy. The inclusion criteria were pregnant women aged 16–35 years old, Mid Upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) <23.5 cm, carried singleton pregnancy, and signed the informed consent. While the exclusion criteria were pregnant women whose allergic to eggs or nuts, had high blood pressure (diastolic blood pressure ≥90mmHg) (WHO 2011), had high random blood glucose (≥11.1 mmol/L) (WHO 2013), and suffered from chronic disease.

Screening process was performed before the baseline data collection. Screening process was conducted two times due to inadequate number of subjects for both groups. The first screening process was done to collect subjects for the intervention group (PG) group and 39 women were selected to follow the procedure, however 7 women did not come to baseline data collection, resulting 32 women to continue the procedure. 5 women were excluded from this study because 4 women were pregnant >7 months and 1 woman had anembryonic pregnancy.

Meanwhile, the second screening process was done to collect subjects for the positive control group (PCG) and 25 women were selected to follow the procedure with 22 women continued the procedure since 3 women did not come to baseline data collection. 1 woman was excluded because she was >7 months pregnant. 48 women, with 27 women in PG and 21 women in PCG, were selected to follow the intervention period. Four subjects were dropped-out during the intervention period. 3 women in PG and 1 woman in PCG were dropped-out because they did not consume the intervention products for a week, resulting in 24 women in PG and 20 women in PCG to continue the intervention. Pregnant women with CED belong to critical group, thus treatment should be given, and hence we need the PCG. The sample size calculation was conducted based on the study of Kaseb et al. (2002).

Procedure

Screening process was conducted in an eight predetermined community health center. The designated subjects who fulfill the inclusion criteria were asked to come to the location of baseline data collection. Maternal characteristics were collected using questionnaire. Maternal anthropometric data, namely weight, height, and MUAC were measured on digital weight scale, stature meter, and measuring tape respectively. Fetal growth, indicated by femur length (FL) and estimated fetal weight (EFW) was measured with an ultrasonography (USG) and was compared to a standard fetal growth scheme (Kiserud et al. 2017). Finger prick blood sample for haemoglobin (Hb) and random blood glucose level collection were performed using different lancets. The Hb was analyzed using HemoCue, while random blood glucose level was analyzed using a Glucometer. Maternal food intakes were
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estimated using 1x24 hours food recall which was performed every two weeks during the intervention period. Maternal food intake before the intervention was measured by food frequency questionnaire (FFQ).

Subjects were distributed into two groups, PG and PCG, and were obliged to consume the products, the high protein supplementary food and the government biscuits for 8 weeks. Subjects in PG were given three types of protein-sourced supplementary foods in the form of snackbar (SB), instant powdered-drink (IPD), and instant cream soup (ICS), whereas subjects in PCG were given government supplementary food (GSF) in the form of biscuit. The products were given alternately, with SB to be given solely for the 1st to 3rd week. And the addition of two other products were given as a combination with SB start from the 4th to 8th week. ICS and IPD were never given solely to the subjects, but always given as combination with SB. The average of protein content of the three products was 18.2% and this percentage meets the criteria of balanced energy-protein supplementary food according to Liberato et al. (2013). These products are also considered as protein-sourced food since the protein content is >20% of nutrition label recommendation per 100 g products. The nutrient content of each supplementary food are shown in Table 1.

The subjects received new products once a week which were delivered directly to them. PG subjects received 14 packages of products and were requested to consume 2 packages per day, that equal to 100 g of supplemented food. Whereas, PCG subjects received 7 packages of product with 3 pieces of biscuits in each package, and were asked to consume one package per day. Each package of biscuits weighed 60 g.

Table 1. Nutrient content of supplemented foods per 100 gram

| Nutrient content | GSF | SB | IPD | ICS |
|------------------|-----|----|-----|-----|
| Energy (kkal)    | 487 | 447| 423 | 432 |
| Protein (g)      | 10.1| 15.3| 14.3| 30.2|
| Fat (g)          | 20.9| 21.4| 9.9 | 14.2|
| Carbohydrate (g) | 66.7| 52.0| 70.5| 48.6|
ICS: Instant cream soup; IPD: Instant powdered-drink; SB: Snackbar; GSF: Government supplementary food

The products’ consumption was monitored using a compliance form to record daily products consumption. The compliance form, used package, and product-waste should be returned once a week. After eight weeks, endline data collection was performed.

Data analysis

The collected data was processed and analyzed by using Microsoft Excel 2013 and SPSS Version 16.0. Maternal characteristics were analyzed descriptively. Independent t-test or Mann-Whitney test was performed to analyze the difference between the two groups. Paired T-test was performed to analyze the difference of fetal growth after intervention. Adjusted ANCOVA analyses was performed to determine the effect of intervention on fetal growth by adjusting the covariate variables.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Maternal characteristics

Maternal characteristics, namely maternal age, age of gestation, gap between pregnancy, parity, history of stillbirth, pre-pregnancy body mass index (BMI), and MUAC were examined and none showed any significant difference (Table 2).

Most subjects in the PG and PCG were aged between 17–25 years with a mean maternal aged of 25.92±4.4 years and 23.95±3.6 years, respectively. The maternal age range with the lowest risk is 20–30 years (Bellieni 2016). Teen pregnancies increases the risk of pre-term labor, Low Birth Weight (LBW), post-partum hemorrhage, and maternal or infant mortality (Kemenkes RI 2015). The gestation age in both groups were ranged between 19–21 weeks. During this period, accelerated fetal growth, structural development, and the beginning of functional activity start to occur (Wikjnjosastro et al. 1992).

Most of the subjects had a gap between pregnancy of more than two years, this in line to the WHO recommendation (2006). Almost all of the subjects belonged to the nullipara and primipara. Mothers who have had >5 parity have higher risks of fetal macrosomia, diabetes mellitus, and pregnancy induced hypertension (Alsammani & Ahmed 2015). A few of subjects had experienced miscarriage. Teen pregnancies,
as well as advanced maternal age, and maternal malnutrition are the risk factors of miscarriage (Metwally et al. 2010). Mean maternal pre-pregnancy BMI in the PG and PCG groups were 18.82±2.2 kg/m² dan 18.7±2.1 kg/m², respectively. Despite all mothers involved in the study had a MUAC of below 23.5 cm, only around half were categorized as underweight based on their BMI in both groups. According to Fakier et al. (2017) MUAC can significantly define maternal nutritional status up to 30 weeks of pregnancy.

### Maternal nutrient intake (other than supplemented foods)

Mean nutrients intake were obtained using the 24 hours food recalls which were conducted four times through intervention period. All mean nutrients intake of subjects in both groups were below the dietary recommendation intake for pregnant women (AKG 2013) the subjects nutrient adequacy level was below 70%. The mean intake of all nutrients in both groups did not differ significantly (Table 3).

The mean energy intake in both groups were below the daily recommendation intake for pregnant women, which was 2,550 kkal per day. The subjects’ mean energy intake in both groups were approximately 1,600 kkal. The level of energy intake during the gestational period correlated significantly with the infant birth weight. Maternal energy intake of below 1,500 kkal will result in infant LBW (Durrani & Rani 2011). The subjects’ low energy intake was caused by low frequency in food consumption, which was less than 3 times a day. Low frequent food intake during pregnancy increases the risk of maternal CED (Engidaw et al. 2019).

The mean protein intake of the subjects in both groups were approximately 55 g per day, while the recommendation of protein intake for pregnant women is 76 g per day. According to Table 2.

#### Table 2. Maternal characteristics in intervention group and positive control group

| Indicators               | Group                        | p value |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|---------|
|                         | PG (n=24)                    | PCG (n=20) |   |
| Maternal age (years)    | 25.92±4.4                    | 23.95±3.6 | 0.118 |
| <17 years (n,%)         | 1 (4.2)                      | 0 (0.0)   |     |
| 17-25 years (n,%)       | 13 (54.2)                    | 14 (70.0) |     |
| >25 years (n,%)         | 10 (41.6)                    | 6 (30.0)  |     |
| Gestation age (weeks)   | 19.7±4.1                     | 20.9±3.8  | 0.313 |
| Pregnancy gap²          | 0.408                        |          |     |
| <24 months (n,%)        | 5 (20.8)                     | 3 (15.0)  |     |
| >24 months (n,%)        | 10 (41.6)                    | 7 (35.0)  |     |
| 1st pregnancy (n,%)     | 9 (37.6)                     | 10 (50.0) |     |
| Parity²                 | 0.550                        |          |     |
| Nullipara (n,% )        | 10 (41.6)                    | 9 (45.0)  |     |
| Primipara (n,% )        | 10 (41.6)                    | 10 (50.0) |     |
| Multipara (n,% )        | 4 (16.7)                     | 1 (5.0)   |     |
| Miscarriage²            | 0.526                        |          |     |
| Yes (n,% )              | 4 (16.7)                     | 2 (10.0)  |     |
| No (n,% )               | 20 (83.3)                    | 18 (90.0) |     |
| Pre-pregnancy BMI (kg/m²)| 18.82±2.2                    | 18.7±2.1  | 0.865 |
| Underweight (n, %)      | 11 (45.8)                    | 11 (55.0) |     |
| Normal (n, %)           | 13 (54.2)                    | 9 (45.0)  |     |
| MUAC (cm)               | 21.35±1.2                    | 21.28±1.3 | 0.848 |

Independent t-test: *Mann-Whitney; Significant at p<0.05; PG: Intervention Group; PCG: Positive Control Group; MUAC: Mid Upper Arm Circumference
to Kominiarek & Rajan (2016), the minimum amount of daily protein intake to assure optimal fetal growth during pregnancy is 60 g per day. The low protein intake happened because of low intake of protein-sourced foods consumption as obtained through FFQ. This low protein intake can lead to impaired fetal growth and development, cretinism, and IUGR (intrauterine growth restriction) (Wu et al. 2012).

According to Kemenkes RI (2014), the total fat intake should comprise 20–30% of the daily calories intake. The mean of maternal fat adequacy level for both groups were higher than the recommendation. On the other hand, the total carbohydrate intake should comprise 45–65% of daily calories intake (Kemenkes RI 2014). The mean maternal carbohydrate adequacy level of both groups were considered to be sufficient. This condition showed that all of the subjects had low energy intake, with fat and carbohydrate as the major macronutrient sources for their daily calories intake.

The recommended type of fatty acid during pregnancy are docosahexaenoic acid and eicosapentaenoic acid, which are beneficial for fetal brain development and proper functioning of the retina (Danielewicz et al. 2017). The major fat source of subjects were saturated fatty acid because most of the subjects consumed fried food in their daily food intake. Frequent high saturated fats consumption during pregnancy causes maternal and infant implications, such as anemia, impaired fetal growth and development, and LBW and/or excess weight (Santana et al. 2015). A study conducted using animal model showed that maternal high saturated fats consumption increased the plasma total cholesterol and low density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations in the offsprings (Chechi & Cheema 2006).

Complex carbohydrate is recommended for pregnant women to improve the function of gut microbiome (Danielewicz et al. 2017). Maternal carbohydrate source in this study were mostly simple carbohydrate in the form of white rice or flour-based food. According to Starling et al. (2017), pregnant women with high intake of eggs, starchy vegetables, and nonwhole grains was correlated with higher maternal fasting glucose and greater newborn adiposity, than the pregnant women with high intake of whole grains, poultry, nuts, and cheese.

### Compliance level of supplementary foods consumption

Maternal compliance level in PG was lower than PCG and the difference was statistically significant (Table 4). The low compliance level in PG group happened because there were three variances of products with diverse tastes and serving methods. Meanwhile the GSF which were given to subjects in PCG was more efficient and easy to be consumed, so the compliance level was higher.

The compliance level of each products in the PG were described in Figure 1. Low compliance level on ICS and IPD consumption might happened because of subjects’ unfamiliarity to consume those products as supplementary foods, especially ICS which was uncommon to be consumed in Indonesia. Cream soup should be consumed as an appetizer or main course (Setiawati et al. 2017). The subjects could not consumed the entire ICS since it was way too fulfilling if consumed as supplementary food.

| Nutrients | Intake and adequacy level | Group | p-value |
|-----------|--------------------------|-------|---------|
|           |                          | PG    | PCG     |
| Energy    | Intake (kkal)            |       |         | 0.631   |
|           | Adequacy (%)             | 63.5±18.8 | 66.1±17.1 | 0.629   |
| Protein²  | Intake (g)               | 56.3±17.7 | 55.5±13.8 | 0.962   |
|           | Adequacy (%)             | 74.1±23.3 | 73.0±18.2 | 0.953   |
| Fat       | Intake (g)               | 55.0±18.2 | 61.7±19.4 | 0.241   |
|           | Adequacy (%)             | 30.6±4.1 | 32.8±4.2 | 0.240   |
| Carbohydrate² | Intake (g)     | 224.6±70.0 | 234.4±64.8 | 0.580   |
|           | Adequacy (%)             | 55.4±4.5 | 55.5±3.4 | 0.580   |

Independent T-test: ²Mann-Whitney; Significant at p<0.05; PG: Intervention group; PCG: Positive control group

---

**Table 3. Mean nutrient intake and adequacy level of subjects in intervention group and positive control group**
IPD should be dissolved by hot water. However, although it had been already dissolved by hot water, it still had thick texture and not entirely dissolved, so it contained residual which stayed in the mouth and left certain after taste after being swallowed. Similar with the IPD, the ICS had the same problems and resulted in low compliance level. Moreover, ICS was uncommon for the subjects, so majority of the subjects disliked the product. The highest compliance of protein sourced supplementary foods was from the SB, since the product was more efficient to be served and the taste was also more preferable than the others. Figure 1 Compliance level of each supplemented foods in PG.

Effect of protein-sourced supplementary foods on fetal growth

Fetal growth was determined by using two indicators, femur length (FL) and estimated fetal weight (EFW). Table 5 indicated the average growth of fetuses in each gestation week. Low birthweight may happened due to intrauterine growth restriction, preterm delivery, or both. Birthweight is also a determinant risk of noncommunicable diseases occurence, with cardiovascular disease, type II diabetes mellitus, and obesity as the prominent evidences. Fetal growth observation should be done during pregnancy period as an early detection of imbalanced fetal growth. According to Kiserud et al. (2017) the fetal growth in both groups were already in accordance with the current gestation week, however there was no difference in both measurement of indicators in both groups.

The FL and EFW in both groups had improved significantly at the endline data collection (Table 6). However, the difference in both groups were not significant. Thus the PG had a similar effect with GSF in the PCG on fetal growth regardless of its lower compliance. After the covariate variables were adjusted using ANCOVA analysis, the result also showed no significant effect of the two supplementary foods on fetal growth.

The small impact of the supplementary food on fetal growth may occur because of the short intervention period and low compliance to the supplements. This result was similar to Nahar et al. (2009) who reported that small impact of supplementary food on maternal weight gain, and the difference could be explained by the time of supplementation starts, compliance, scheduled monitoring and supervision, also the nutrient content of the supplements. The compliance level in PG was significantly lower than the PCG and resulted on the products’ nutrient contents were partially consumed. However, it can be assumed that if the protein-sourced supplementary foods has a better compliance, it will give better result than the GSF. A better compliance of supplemented food showed an increase in infant mean birth weight up to 180 g (Tabrizi et al. 2019). Malnourished and anemic women tended to have higher proportions on LBW (Mahajan et al. 2009). However, intervention to improve their nutritional status such as administration of supplementary food showed more positive effects on lowering LBW and preterm births in this group (Tabrizi et al. 2019).

Based on the adjusted ANCOVA analysis, the covariate factors which were affecting the fetal growth were the maternal height for FL (p<0.05) and the gestational age, both for FL and

| Compliance | Group        | p value |
|------------|--------------|---------|
|            | PG (n=24)    | PCG (n=20) |
| High (≥70%)| 65.0±9.1     | 85.3±2.6 | 0.000* |
| Moderate (50-70%) | 14 (56.6) | 1 (5.0) |
| Low (<50%)  | 3 (17.0)     | 2 (10.0) |

Independent T-test: Significant at p<0.05; PG: Intervention group; PCG: Positive control group
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This study was started when the subjects were in the 2nd trimester of pregnancy and ended in the 3rd trimester of pregnancy. Maternal height gave the information on genetic potentials of the offsprings. Fetal FL was found to be positively related with maternal height on the last stage of pregnancy (29 weeks to birth) (Wills et al. 2010). Another study also showed similar effect and stated that positive relation between maternal height and fetal FL on singleton pregnancy at 25 weeks of pregnancy (Goldenberg et al. 1993).

Both of the fetal growth indicators in this study were positively correlated with gestational age. Women experienced hormone secretion changes during pregnancy which resulted on the alteration of carbohydrate, fat, and protein function. Adaptive responses were developed during pregnancy to ensure sufficient nutrient for fetus, regardless of the maternal nutritional status (Williamson 2006). Fetal growth increase rapidly at the last trimester of pregnancy, marked by the rapid growth and improvement of brain and organs functions (Santrock 2012). However, poor outcomes might still be present both for mothers and the offsprings, such as increase of maternal and infant mortality, preterm delivery, and infant LBW, if the maternal nutritional needs still not be fulfilled (Erick 2008; Medhin et al. 2010).

Table 5. Average growth of fetuses in each gestation week in both groups

| Group   | Fetal measurement       | Gestation week |          |          |          |
|---------|-------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
|         |                         | 21–25          | 26–30    | 31–35    | 36–38    |
| PG      | Estimated fetal weight (g) | 567.5±194.4    | 1,290,7±300.0 | 222.8±349.9 | 2,540±56.6 |
|         | Femur length (mm)       | 41.1±3.6       | 54.4±3.5  | 64.7±3.7  | 70.9±0   |
|         | Total                   | 4              | 6         | 12        | 2        |
| PCG     | Estimated fetal weight (g) | 388            | 1,187±302.7 | 1,956,4±330.1 | 2,974±185.3 |
|         | Femur length (mm)       | 32.9           | 52.9±4.6  | 62.6±3.6  | 73.9±1.6 |
|         | Total                   | 1              | 5         | 12        | 2        |

PG: Intervention group; PCG: Positive control group

Table 6. Effect of variation of protein-sourced supplementary foods on fetal growth

| Growth                      | PG            | PCG            | p-value  |
|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------|
| Femur length (mm)           |               |                |          |
| Baseline                    | 31.28±12.2    | 33.97±12.4     |          |
| Endline                     | 58.70±10.0    | 59.8±9.4       |          |
| p-value1                    | 0.000*        | 0.000*         |          |
| Δ2                          | 27.42±5.0     | 25.85±6.1      | 0.357    |
| Adjusted Ancova3            | (26.692)      | (26.722)       | 0.982    |
| Estimated fetal weight (g)  |               |                |          |
| Baseline                    | 408.08±297.46 | 474.65±270.89  |          |
| Endline                     | 1,740,29±743.09 | 1,787,4±669.6 |          |
| p-value1                    | 0.000*        | 0.000*         |          |
| Δ2                          | 1,332,21±515.9 | 1,312,75±487.0 | 0.899    |
| Adjusted Ancova3            | (1,250)       | (1,411)        | 0.293    |

1Paired T-test: Significant at p<0.05
2Independent T-test: Significant at p<0.05
3ANCOVA: Significant at p<0.05; Covariate: Maternal age, maternal height, maternal pre-pregnancy BMI, gestational age gain, maternal weight gain, parity
PG: Intervention Group; PCG: Positive control group
CONCLUSION

The protein-sourced supplementary foods had lower compliance level than GSF, however both intervention showed similar effects on fetal growth (adjusted ANCOVA) (p>0.05). The main factors that affected the fetal growth were gestational age and maternal height. Optimal nutritional intake and supplementary food consumption based on the recommendations for CED pregnant women can improve fetal growth. Improvement on the organoleptic aspects of the current product may lead to increase in the compliance level, hence it may show better result on fetal growth. In addition, longer intervention period and addition of micronutrients to the product may also support improvement on fetal growth indicators.
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