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Aging is characterized by the progressive decline of physiological function and tissue homeostasis leading to increased vulnerability, degeneration, and death. Aging-related changes of the innate and adaptive immune system include decline in the preservation and enhancement of many immune functions, such as changes in the number of circulating mononuclear and dendritic cells, thymic involution, T cell polyfunctionality, or production of proinflammatory cytokines, and are defined as immunosenescence.

Inflammatory functions are increased with age, causing the chronic low-grade inflammation, referred to as inflamm-aging, that contribute, together with immunosenescence, to neurodegenerative diseases. In this review, we discuss the link between the immune and nervous systems and how the immunosenescence and inflamm-aging can contribute to neurodegenerative diseases.

1. Immunosenescence

In the last century, the human lifespan has increased and so has the number of elderly people in the world. Aging is a complex process that occurs in every organism and is induced by genetic, epigenetic, and environmental factors [1]. It is characterized by changes at the molecular, cellular, and tissue levels [2]. The immune system is responsible for defending against pathogens such as bacteria, viruses, and fungi to eliminate broken and harmful cells, like senescent cells and toxic or allergenic substances [3]. In the immune system, there is an innate compartment, consisting of neutrophils monocytes/macrophages, natural killer (NK) cells, and dendritic cells (DC), and an adaptive compartment, composed of B and T lymphocytes, which have a well-orchestrated interaction. Immunosenescence is a term that describes a different state of the immune system in aged people, in association with detrimental clinical outcome, due to reduced ability to respond to new antigens [4]. Although immunosenescence is a phenomenon present in the majority of individuals, factors like genetic, environment, lifestyle, and nutrition are responsible for their heterogeneity among individuals and cause a higher susceptibility to develop infections and progression of disease pathology [5].

Studies on immunosenescence have been performed in vitro in human-derived cell lines, and in vivo in animal models, to evaluate their response to different stimuli. Furthermore, the age-related dysregulation of immune responses impacts the resistance to infections, diminishes responses to vaccination, increases the susceptibility to autoimmunity and cancer [6], and promotes the development of an inflammatory phenotype [7]. Franceschi et al. [8] have introduced the term “inflamm-aging ”, related to the immunosenescence, to describe a low-grade, asymptomatic, chronic, and systemic inflammation, characterized by increased levels of circulating cytokines and other proinflammatory markers [6, 8, 9]. The relationship between aging and chronic disorders, including atherosclerosis, dementia, neurodegeneration, and many others, has its bases in senescent remodeling of immune system.

Although research is making significant progress, the impact of immunosenescence on the onset and progression of neurodegeneration remains incompletely clarified; in fact, not necessarily being able to favorably modulate the functions of immune cells, which results in a corresponding change in the clinical outcome. This will not be, by any means, a comprehensive review of the immunosenescence; in fact, this review is focused on changes...
in the immune system relevant to several neurodegenerative diseases.

2. Immunosenescence of Innate Immune Response Cells

The cells of the innate immune system form the first barrier against any pathogen. Neutrophils, monocytes/macrophages and DC, and NK are produced during fetal life and are continuously developed throughout the lifetime [10]. Microglia are tissue-resident macrophages in the CNS (central nervous system), derived from the yolk sac during embryogenesis, that colonize the developing brain where they stay during the individual’s lifetime and, like macrophages in the periphery, act as the first line of defense. Phenotype and function of cells involved in innate immune response cells are profoundly influenced by aging, as described by Solana et al. [11]. Immunosenescence of the innate immune system has great complexity and seems to reflect dysregulation, rather than only impaired function. In fact, several responses in the innate immune system are reduced with aging, but, in contrast, also an age-associated hyperreactivity of innate immunity may be evidenced.

2.1. Neutrophils. They represent the first cells recruited in the presence of damage and during acute inflammation. Neutrophils are able to produce many degradative enzymes, antimicrobial peptides, and reactive oxygen species (ROS) for antibacterial activity. Many studies demonstrated that the activation of neutrophils, the free radical production signals, and the chemotactic ability are reduced in elderly people [7, 11–13].

The literature reports controversial results about the effect of aging on the amount of neutrophils [14] and their altered functionality. Minet-Quinard et al. demonstrated the presence of immature neutrophils, the production of high levels of intracellular reactive oxygen species, and the expression of activation markers such as CD11b and HLA-DR in the whole blood of advanced-age frail elderly [15]. In contrast with these findings, Sauce reported, in 2016, that ROS production by neutrophils is strictly dependent on priming their presence by proinflammatory mediators. At basal conditions, there are no differences between young and older people, but in the presence of a TNF-α (tumor necrosis factor) agonist, like bacterial fMLP (formyl peptides) or PMA (phorbol 12-myristate 13-acetate), ROS (reactive oxygen species) production is impaired across the two groups, with reduction in elderly population [16].

Most recently, Bartlett et al. underlined that the alterations of neutrophil functionality may be different between people of the same age. Chemotaxis, for example, which is a detrimental aspect for response in infection, contributing to the increase in proinflammatory insults persistence, could be positively editable by physical activity in older adults [17].

2.2. Macrophages. Monocytes play an important role as starters of the inflammatory response, and they can differentiate into macrophages, antigen-presenting cells, and dendritic cells [6, 12], although more complex differentiation pathways and cell origins have been proposed recently [18]. Macrophages that respond to inflammation stimuli may show two different phenotypes, the classical (M1) and the alternative (M2), depending on the local microenvironment [11]. M1 and M2 are balanced in healthy people, but in the presence of chronic inflammation, as in the presence of inflamm-aging, there is an imbalance, contributing to comorbidities and age-related disease development [19]. Franceschi et al. proposed macrophages as key cells in the induction and maintenance of inflamm-aging and have defined “macroph-aging” as the chronic macrophage activation that characterizes aging [8]. Macroph-aging and inflamm-aging happen in association with immunosenescence, and they reduce efficacy of immune cell activity. Accumulation of senescent macrophages contributes to the acceleration of the aging processes, and conflicting results on macrophage phagocytic function during aging have been reported [20, 21].

Many reports underline how the M2 phenotype develops in the spleen, retina, lymph nodes, and bone marrow in old mice compared to young mice, with higher production of IL- (interleukin-) 10 and reduced production of TNF- (tumor necrosis factor-) α [22]. Furthermore, in aged people, the expression of macrophage receptors, such as MHC (major histocompatibility complex) II and TLR (toll-like receptor), is declined with alteration of related activation mechanisms. In both human [11] and murine [23] models, a reduction of MHC II molecules expression was demonstrated, with decline in the ability to kill bacteria, phagocytic ability, and macrophage-specific cytokine and chemokine production [5, 6]. Altered expression and function in the context of TLR are linked to the aging process. Some studies demonstrated a reduced TLR expression together with changes in cytokine release and macrophage polarization [22]. TLR stimulation, mediated by LPS (lipopolysaccharides), is usually responsible for IL-6 and TNF-α secretion, but in macrophages from old mice, a reduction in IL-6 and TNF-α and an increased production of IL-10 [22, 24] were observed. The aging effect on macrophages is the reduced expression of TLR1, TLR2, and TLR4, with reduction in proinflammatory cytokine production [25, 26]. Moreover, the overexpression of TLR3 may be involved in the establishment of viral infections in elderly individuals [12]. Actually, studies investigating the impact of aging on human monocyte cytokine production did not provide concordant results; indeed increased, unaltered, or decreased LPS-induced cytokine secretion has been reported [27, 28].

2.3. Microglia. Microglia are the resident immune cells of the CNS. They have the ability to detect molecules of injured CNS cells or invade pathogen infiltration by pattern recognition receptors expressed on their surface and on the surface of infiltrating monocytes. During aging, senescent microglia display a higher production of proinflammatory cytokines and proliferative capacity, and a reduction of chemotaxis and phagocytosis of Aβ (amyloid-β) fibrils [29]. The number and density of microglial cells were higher in several aged brain areas, maybe to maintain the overall function. Replication of microglial cells can be very low in steady-state conditions and can be reactivated after perturbation by
harmful stimulation [30], which can culminate in the shortening of telomeres and the realization of replicative senescence. In aged microglia, accumulation of mtDNA (mitochondrial DNA) damages leads to ROS overproduction [31] and accelerates the switch in the senescent microglial phenotypes. Aged microglia show morphological changes such as cytoplasmic hypertrophy, fragmentation, and loss of ramifications [32]. Several mechanisms are responsible for microglial aging phenotype, such as the loss of inhibitory ligand-receptor interactions [33], accumulation of misfolded proteins [34], and the chronic exposure to TGF-β (transforming growth factor-β) that reduces the capacity of microglia to secrete anti-inflammatory cytokines. Expression of TLR1, TLR2, TLR4, TLR5, TLR7, and CD14 is upregulated in microglial cells with increasing age [35], while in the signaling of CX3CL1 (chemokine (C-X3-C motif) ligand 1)-CX3CR1 (receptor 1), CD (cluster of differentiation) 200-CD200R, and CD200, CX3CR1 is decreased in aged microglia, driving activation and extension of proinflammatory responses [36, 37].

2.4. Dendritic Cells. Dendritic cells represent an important bridge between innate and adaptive immune response. The plasmacytoid and the myeloid DC are antigen-presenting cells that detect pathogens through the expression of PRRs (pattern recognition receptors) [38], composed of TLR, RLRs (RLR-like receptors), NLR (Nod-like receptors), and ALRs (AIM2-like receptors) [39]. TLRs are the most investigated receptors in the aged condition, and their expression changes at extracellular and intracellular levels [12]. On the other hand, Agrawal et al., in 2007, showed no altered expression of TLR in monocyte-derived DCs, from aged and young humans [40], in accord with Guo et al., who confirmed age-related changes in aged C57BL/6 mice [41]. Moreover, in aged people, the alterations of DC functionality may affect the immune regulation. Zacca et al. investigated DC’s ability to prime and activate naïve CD8+ T cells, showing a lower capacity, with negative impact on immune response, in aged people [42], against viral and bacterial infections. The numerical reduction of DC and the decreased IL-12 production cause the higher susceptibility to immunosenescence of the adaptive immune system [43].

2.5. Natural Killer. NK cells are defined as the innate cytotoxic lymphocytes [44], responsible for the early defense against pathogens and cancerous cells [12]. NK cells can be classified into two groups based on their CD56 surface expression. CD56bright cells are the immature subset that showed a high proliferative activity and ability to release IFNγ, TNF-α, IL-10, RANTES, and MIP-1α, while CD56dim cells, the mature subset, showed a high cytotoxic activity and lower ability to produce cytokines [7]. During the aging process, the composition of NK subsets may experience some alterations in number and function, and impairments of cytotoxicity and secretion of immune-regulatory cytokines and chemokines, a phenomenon referred to as NK cell immunosenescence. Many studies have demonstrated the presence of an increased number of total NK in old subjects, with raised CD56dim [45–47], and lowered CD56bright NK cells [45–48], with respect to young subjects. This change reflects the dysregulation of innate and adaptive immunity interaction, with reduction in chemokine production and in cytokine-induced proliferation [11]. IL-2-induced NK cell proliferation is decreased in old subjects [49], while induction of cytotoxicity by IL-2, IL-12, or IFN is maintained [50]. NK receptor expression and activation seem to be involved in the aging process, and several studies have shown an age-related decline in the percentage of NK cells expressing NKp30 or NKp46 [45, 46], while others have reported no age-dependent effect on the proportions of NK cells bearing these receptors [48]. NKG2D, CD16, and KIR expressions have been shown to be either maintained or increased with age, while a reduction of KLRG-1 and NKG2A is age-associated [47, 51]. Recent studies have shown that the presence of senescent cells may be related to a reduced clearance activity of NK cells [52].

3. Immunosenescence of Adaptive Immune Response Cells

The adaptive immune system is more recent, in evolutionary terms, than the innate immune system. It is able to adapt to new threats developing specific strategies against every challenge. It fails when the cells responsible for maintaining immune memory overcome the cells capable of taking action. Profound age-related changes occur in the adaptive immune system, contributing to decreased immune protection against infections and responses to vaccination. Changes in cells of the adaptive immune system appear to have an important impact on the ability to respond to the immune challenges [53].

3.1. B Cells. B cells follow a well-defined developmental process, starting from naïve cells, that does not produce a specific antibody isotype, to the establishment of the mature peripheral B cell pool, kept by self-renewal [10, 54]. B cell immunosenescence induces alterations starting from the generation during haematopoiesis to the reduction of cell diversity [55] and lower antibody specificity. Antibody specificity, affinity, and isotype switch are affected by aging, determining the increased susceptibility of the elderly to infectious diseases and reducing the protective effects of vaccination. As demonstrated by Frasca et al., there is a great impact on B cell surface Ig switch, in old than in young people [56]. During the aging process, in mouse models and in humans, the B cell switch in IgM to IgG, IgE, or IgA is decreased [57]. A possible mechanism could be the presence of defects in the EZA-encoded transcription factor E47, responsible for defining the antibody diversity and downregulating AID (activation-induced cytidine deaminase) and CSR (class switch recombination) in the B cells of aged people [58].

Loss of Ig diversity has been related to the reduced percentages and numbers of mature B cells during aging [58]. Some studies showed an increased expression of the activation markers CD27 and CD38 in mature B cell compartments [5, 58, 59]. This is confirmed by the lower levels of IgM and IgD in the elderly, underlining a shift from the naïve (CD27−) B cell subset towards the memory (CD27+)
compartment [60]. B cell population is substantially altered in old age, contributing significantly to immunosenescence. The most important impairments affecting B cells during aging are reduction of the naïve B cell number, impaired capacity for response to new antigens, reduction of clonal expansion capability of memory cells related to reduction in circulating antibodies levels, and weakened antibodies function such as lower affinity and opsonizing abilities.

3.2. T Cells. Among the regulatory cells of the adaptive immunity, T cells are largely investigated in relation to immunosenescence. T cells are developed in the thymus, and distinct subsets are well recognized including the CD4+, CD8+, γδ, and NKT and the nonconventional T cells. All subsets have a specific role in the immune system [10, 61]. The thymus gland undergoes deterioration with aging, which starts after puberty and stabilizes after 65 years [10]. Thymus involution and reduced functionality are responsible for the reduction of naïve T cell frequency and number and for the increase in terminally differentiated T lymphocytes, with reduction in TCR (T-cell receptor) expression [62]. The numerical reduction in naïve T cells and TCR-reduced repertoire cause a decline in their functionality. In vitro studies showed that CD4+ naïve T cells, derived from old human and mice [63], have a reduced proliferation activity, an altered cytokine profile secretion, and a reduced responsiveness to TCR stimulation [63]. Otherwise, an increased number of memory CD4+ T cells is related to aging. Cytokine homeostasis results in alteration, favoring proinflammatory condition in aging. IL-6 increases in aged people and is responsible for inducing Th17 stimulation and related proinflammatory cytokine production [7, 64]. The CD8+ T cell subset is most affected by aging [65] with their accumulation. In particular T cell immunosenescence is characterized by increased number of highly differentiated memory CD8+, after chronic stimulation by viruses, like CMV (cytomegalovirus) infection [63]. As reported by Yu and Rao, CD8+ cells are able to persist after CMV infection, to prevent a virus reactivation. CD8+ subset presence during the time negatively impacts the immune system also in healthy CMV-infected individuals [66]. These alterations result in the impaired cellular immune response in infections and vaccinations [67].

In association with thymus involution, age-related changes of T lymphocytes include the reduced expression of costimulatory molecules (CD28, CD27, CD40L), and a progressive accumulation of CD28− T cells mediate the TCR-induced proliferation and differentiation of naïve T cells. In CD28 gene knockout mouse, the involvement of CD28− T lymphocytes in age-dependent immune decline was demonstrated. CD28− cells are accumulated during life, due to their resistance to apoptosis. The loss of CD28 in CD4+ and CD8+ cells is followed by altered secretion of second messengers and altered signal pathway activation [64, 68] and lowering in immune response to vaccination in older people. Thus, CD28 loss in T cells can be defined as one of the aging hallmarks (Figure 1).

4. Immunosenescence and Inflamm-aging in Neurodegenerative Diseases

The interaction between the nervous and immune systems during aging is characterized by bidirectional dependency and reciprocal causality of alterations. In elderly people, the increased systemic inflammatory condition, the

![Figure 1: Schematic representation of immune cell age-related changes, leading to inflamm-aging, neurodegeneration, and neuroinflammation.](image-url)
inflamm-aging, and the peripheral immunosenescence can modulate neuronal immune cell activity and reactivity, leading to a chronic low-grade inflammation in the CNS, called neuro-inflamm-aging. Activation of glia by cytokines and glia proinflammatory productions are significantly involved in memory injury, and also in acute systemic inflammation, characterized by high levels of TNF-α and increase in the cognitive decline [69]. Autoreactive T cells, derived from the atrophied thymus, are a source of proinflammatory factors that strongly contribute to neurodegeneration. Immunosenescence and inflamm-aging induce brain aging, cognitive deficit, and memory loss; in fact, a bidirectional interconnection has been observed in neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer’s disease (AD) and Parkinson’s disease (PD) (Figure 2).

4.1. Alzheimer’s Disease. Alzheimer’s disease is the most common type of age-related neuronal disorder, affecting 44 million people in the world [70, 71]; with the improvement of life expectancy, this number is constantly growing. People that may develop AD in the late life, at the age of 65 and older [72], present deficit in memory, language, spatial vision, and physical equilibrium that together lead to cognitive impairment [70, 72].

Characteristics of AD are amyloid-beta (Aβ) deposition, NFTs (neurofibrillary tangles), NP (neuritic plaques), and the activation of immune cells of the CNS, microglia, and astrocytes [73]. Aβ deposition is the central event in AD pathogenesis and derived from larger and hyperactivation of the APP (amyloid precursor protein) [53]. APP is a transmembrane glycoprotein responsible for binding proteoglycans for regulating intracellular processes such as neuron-cell and cell-matrix interaction, cell growth, and synaptic plasticity [74]. The pathophysiological relation between Aβ and tau protein is still unclear [53, 70]. NFTs are composed of hyperphosphorylated tau protein, responsible for stabilizing microtubules in neurons, and their formation is secondary to neuronal damage and Aβ deposition. In regions of Aβ deposits and NFT, signs of oxidative stress and high levels of inflammatory mediators were observed. The inflammatory response is necessary and crucial to combat pathogen or dying cell, but dysregulated inflammatory responses are responsible for tissue damage such as in the CNS inflammation. To the inflammatory response in the CNS, cells of immune system, cells of the CNS, adhesion molecules, cytokines, and chemokines take part. Numerous studies have assessed the association between immune system activation and AD [71, 75]. This relation can be explained by the highly regulated communication between the brain and the immune system [76], and Sutherland et al., in 2015, explained the role of the immune cells in relation to the inflammatory condition inside and outside the CNS [77]. Aβ deposition-induced AD pathogenesis brings the activation of microglial and astrocytes cells, the phagocytosis and degradation of β-amyloid, and elimination of the debris of dead or dying cells, thereby reducing the likelihood of further cell loss through the release of toxic agents. Furthermore, reactive astrocytes isolate neurons from senile plaques and
release cytokines and growth factors that may help damaged neurons to survive and promote repair to inhibit Alzheimer disease [71]. The natural decline of CNS immune cells in adult and elderly people leads to a reduced state of health in the brain from peripheral circulation. Lee and collaborators showed an increased BBB permeability in aged mice, as the T cell infiltration in brain tissue reported by McGeer et al. [89], in a postmortem brain analysis [90].

In vitro studies demonstrate that Aβ stimulation of microglial cells and astrocytes is responsible for increasing the levels of TNF-α and TGF-β1 production [91], which are known to promote T cell transmigration [88]. The increased expression of MHC I and II represents the mechanism by which T cell numbers increase in the brain [53]. Moreover, there is a higher expression of CCR5 on B cell surface in the presence of Aβ that leads to inflammation and cytokine and chemokine production [92]. Marsh et al., in 2016, investigated the mechanism by which B cells are involved in AD progression using the immune-deficient transgenic model of AD, Rag-5xf AD mice, showing that Aβ plaque deposition is favored by nonamyloid-reactive IgG [93].

In mouse models, it has been observed that neurotoxicity could be mediated by activation of NLRP3 (NACHT, LRR, and PYD domains-containing protein 3), with release of classical proinflammatory molecules, such as IL-1β, IL-18, and IL-1α [94], and activation of macrophages. Monocytes/macrophages represent, not in pathological conditions, the responder cells to inflammatory stimuli and stressors by expressing protective molecules, such as IL-12 and IL-23, which contribute to homeostasis regulation, also in the perivascular space, through phagocytic activity [95]. Monocytes infiltrate the brain in AD, crossing the BBB and assuming macrophages or dendritic cell phenotype, with different states of activation [75]. In an Aβ-induced inflammatory microenvironment, macrophages change their protective phenotype M2 [96] to the proinflammatory M1, involved in the production of IL-1β and TNF-α and phagocytosis of dangerous molecules produced by stressors [75, 97]. These cells interact with microglia and Aβ plaques, considered as an inflammasome activator, producing cytokines and ROS, leading to neuronal loss and apoptosis [88]. To assess the immune system involvement, many authors evaluated the circulating levels of IL-6, TNF-α, and IFNγ production in AD patient serum/plasma [53, 98, 99], CSF (cerebrospinal fluid) [100], and derived peripheral blood mononuclear cells [101]. Circulating levels of proinflammatory cytokines are elevated and significantly associated with increased risk for AD cognitive decline. This increase was found also in triple-transgenic mice models of AD (3xTg-AD mice), considered as the most similar to human AD model [102]. Most recently in 3xTg-AD mice, an increased proinflammatory response with IL-6 and TNF-α increased production has
been observed, in association with immune cell infiltration in the brain [103].

4.2. Parkinson’s Disease. Parkinson’s disease is a neurodegenerative age-related disorder [104] affecting 1% of 60-aged human population and is considered the second most common neurodegenerative disease [105]. PD patient’s clinical signs are bradykinesia, rigidity, and tremor, usually manifesting unilaterally or at least asymmetrically, in addition to sensory and neuropsychiatric features [106, 107].

The pathogenesis of PD is related to people’s exposure to many environmental risk factors, such as pesticides, heavy metal, welding and chemical agent exposure [104, 108], and genetic and epigenetic factors [105], leading to oxidative stress, proteasomal system dysfunction, protein aggregation, and misfolding. These alterations are common to changes that occur during aging [108]. The effects of aging refer to the physiological changes of neuronal and nonneuronal cells [104], including progressive degeneration and loss of DA neurons in the midbrain substantia nigra, reduction of nigral pigmented neurons, accumulation of alpha-synuclein, and the inhibition of the UPS (ubiquitin proteasome system) [109]. The accumulation of α-synuclein leads to lamellated eosinophilic cytoplasmic inclusions, called “Lewy bodies” in the neuronal body, and to the insoluble polymers (Lewy neurites) in neuronal processes, astrocytes, and oligodendroglial cells [104, 67].

In the postmortem PD patients’ substantia nigra, the content of DA (dopamine) is reduced by 10% compared to normal values, associated with dopaminergic neurons loss of cellular bodies [104].

It has been demonstrated that neurodegeneration and immune system activation are increased with age and contribute to PD onset [105, 108].

The common features of immune cell involvement are represented by the establishment of inflammation in the brain, recruitment of peripheral immune cells, proinflammatory mediators production, and increased ROS concentration. All of these may increase the neurodegenerative process in aged people [105], with acceleration and increased prevalence of PD [108].

Peripheral immune system activation affects brain neuroinflammation, for example, exacerbating the microglial function [110] and defining changes in DA neurons. In aged brain, microglial cells increase the MHC II and TLR expressions and adopt a proinflammatory phenotype to stimulate the peripheral immune cell migration in the CNS [106]. In addition, astrocytes show an increased proinflammatory profile with higher MCP-1 secretion for priming peripheral monocytes [111]. Peripheral immune cells, like macrophages and monocytes, in healthy brain, are responsible for the immuno-surveillance and the production of proinflammatory cytokines, such as TNF-α and IL-6, to solve the injury. The immunosenescence of these cells, with reduction of phagocytic activity and proinflammatory cytokine and chemokine production, seems to influence the disease progression because of the lack of surveillance [67]. Most recently, Lindenau et al. showed the involvement of TNF-α, which appears to be significantly released by monocytes/macrophage with proinflammatory phenotype. In elderly individuals, immunosenescent cells seem to contribute to the increased expression of TNF-α. The hypothesized mechanism is the methylation of the gene responsible for TNF production, which can contribute to the progression of inflammation and to the aging process [112].

Together, these data support the hypothesis that altered innate immune system activation, such as macrophages and monocytes, directly contributes to the pathology and biology of PD.

In PD patients, the most relevant sign is the alteration of the lymphocyte subsets. A reduction in the total number has been observed for CD19+ B cells and CD3+ T cells. Among T cells, their activation is related to the DC infiltration in lymph nodes and cell stimulation [105]. In PD patients, in association with immunosenescence, the reduced count and functionality of DC are responsible for the reduction in T cell activation [113]. The BBB dysfunction in PD patients determines the CD4+ and CD8+ infiltration in the CNS [106, 114]. Circulating levels of CD4+ T cells decrease in PD, while CD8+ T cells are unchanged, promoting the immune aging [115]. Baba et al. have demonstrated the selective reduction of CD4+CD45RA+ phenotype, naïve cells and an increase or a nonalteration in the expression of CD4+CD45RA− memory cells. This is supported by studies in animal models and in humans, highlighting the involvement of Treg (regulatory T cell) lymphocytes in the promotion of immune-mediated diseases in aged people [116]. In animal models, such as 6-hydroxydopamine (6-OHDA) PD rats, the increased expression of CD4+ T cells is responsible for increasing the inflammatory cytokine expression and facilitates NM (neuromelanin) activation and B cell production of autoantibody [117]. Additionally, the progressively increased neuroinflammation drives a high cognitive decline linked to vulnerability to virus and bacterial infection in aged people [67]. The reduced diversity in the T cell repertoire also represents one of the causal factors of the deregulation of the immune response in the elderly, with a significant increase of cytokine levels. Several studies, in which CSF and serum of PD patients were analyzed and correlated to PD progression, support this hypothesis. Increased levels of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-4, and IL-6 were detected in CSF of PD patients compared to age-matched controls [117]. Additionally, the increased levels of inflammatory markers were detected in serum from PD patients [105, 118, 119]. Moreover, circulating levels of cytokines were correlated to the overactivation of T and B lymphocytes, and upregulation of microglial cells, which are able to induce in turn IFNγ and TNF-α expressions [113, 115, 120]. It is well established that pathological changes in the CNS can be evaluated the in periphery. New proposed targets are circulating microvesicles that are generated in response to intracellular stimuli, representing an interconnection between normal and pathological tissues. Peripheral systems analysis of PD patients has led to highlighting the presence of brain damage, and microvesicle biology can be important for pathogenesis uptake.

Many authors evaluate the α-synuclein secretion outside or within exosomes [121, 122]. All of these studies support the hypothesis that α-synuclein associated with exosomes
contributes to the progression of brain disorders, but the mechanism is currently unclear. In addition, it was demonstrated that the exosome release in pathogenic form is upregulated in association with PD mutated genes, like LRRK2 and ATP13A2, that acts in modulating microvesicle biogenesis and trafficking.

5. Conclusions

Over the decades, there is enormous progress in the neural-immune crosstalk and mutual regulation of aging and age-related diseases, and in describing the innate and adaptive immune age-related alterations; however, investigations are necessary. Several studies have shown that changes in cell number, activity, and receptor expression lead, as consequence, to an increased susceptibility to infectious and inflammatory age-related diseases, in elderly population. Evidences showed the association between immunosenescence with a low-grade chronic inflammation, called the inflammatory aging, although inflamm-aging is necessary but not sufficient to cause age-related neurodegenerative diseases. The increased proinflammatory environment could be the major contributing factor to the development of aging-associated diseases. Given the well-established communication between the immune system and brain, the age-related immune dysregulation may bring neurodegeneration. Several studies have demonstrated that immunosenescence and inflamm-aging can induce an overactivation of CNS immune cells, promoting neuroinflammation. In AD patients, the microglial aging and dysfunction lead to Aβ accumulation and loss of peripheral immune response, contributing to disease pathogenesis. Furthermore, in PD, the interaction between aging and over time decreased immune response suggests a disease predisposition for neurodegeneration. Recently, several studies have reported the relationship between delayed immunological aging and reduced expansion of senescent late-stage differentiated T cells and active lifestyle and has been suggested that aerobic exercise training might attenuate cognitive impairment and reduce dementia risk. Although it is unknown whether effects of exercise are direct, such as a targeted removal of dysfunctional T cells, or indirect, such as lower inflammatory activity, it may be hypothesized that these changes can provide benefits for the health, including mitigate cognitive impairment. To mitigate the decline in the immune function, a practical and economic approach is represented by the nutritional intervention, without forgetting that difference exists between nutritional interventions and their immune-modulating activity. The use of both probiotics and prebiotics may reduce immunosenescence, improving Treg homeostasis, reducing the colonization potential of pathogens, and counteracting chronic inflammation, and may positively affect cognitive function [123, 124].

Caloric restriction partially retards or restores age-associated immunosenescence by oxidative stress energy metabolism regulation, and reduction of proinflammatory cytokine production and neuroendocrine homeostasis [125]. A healthy lifestyle may help to retard immunosenescence; in fact good sleep duration improves immune functions, and poor sleep may affect the body’s ability to clear the amyloid-beta from the brain, while stress reduces the effectiveness of the immune system and can cause damage to the brain.

New strategies to combat immunosenescence and neurodegeneration are focused on cellular and genetic therapies, such as genetic reprogramming and bone marrow transplantation, but cell reprogramming has still poor efficiency, and clinical translation shows several ethical and safety questions that may be answered.

Thus, a better understanding of immunosenescence mechanisms will be necessary to develop new, unconventional, or pharmacological therapy strategies, for peripheral and CNS immunosenescence delay. Additional studies are required to determine the effectiveness and optimal conditions to improve the function of the aged immune system and undertake the challenges of immunosenescence. Immunosenescence reversion can prevent, in elderly individuals, chronic inflammation and associated neurodegenerative diseases and can provide new and additional target for improving healthy lifespan and slow down age-related diseases incidence (Figure 3).
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