An unusual cause of ankle pain: fracture of a talocalcaneal coalition as a differential diagnosis in an acute ankle sprain: a case report and literature review
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Abstract

**Background:** The acute ankle sprain is one of the most common injuries seen in trauma departments. Ankle sprains have an incidence of about one injury per 10,000 people a day. In contrast, tarsal coalition is a rare condition occurring in not more than one percent of the population.

**Case presentation:** We present the case of a 23 year old male patient with pain and local swelling after an acute ankle sprain. Initial clinical and radiological examination showed no pathologies. Due to prolonged pain, swelling and the inability of the patient to weight bear one week after trauma further diagnostics was performed. Imaging studies (MRI and CT) revealed a fracture of a talocalcaneal coalition. To the knowledge of the authors no fracture of a coalition was reported so far.

**Conclusion:** This report highlights the presentation of symptomatic coalitions following trauma and furthermore, it points out the difficulties in the diagnosis and treatment of a rare entity after a common injury. A diagnostic algorithm has been developed to ensure not to miss a severe injury.
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**Background**

The acute ankle sprain is one of the most common injuries seen in trauma departments. Ankle sprains have an incidence of about one injury per 10,000 people a day. In contrast, tarsal coalition is a rare condition occurring in not more than one percent of the population. The purpose of the presented case is to describe a 23 year old male patient with pain and local swelling after an acute ankle sprain. Initial clinical and radiological examination showed no pathologies. Due to prolonged pain, swelling and the inability to weight bear further diagnostics revealed a fracture of a talocalcaneal coalition.

**Case presentation**

A 23 year old male patient arrived at our emergency department after an acute ankle sprain with pain and swelling of the ankle. Injury mechanism was a supination and inversion ankle sprain when dismounting from his bike. The clinical examination showed a swollen right ankle with pressure pain over the lateral malleolus. Examination according to the Ottawa Ankle Rules demonstrated no other local pressure pain. The range of motion was significantly decreased due to the pain. Plain x-ray showed no bony lesions or fractures (Figure 1). The diagnosis of a fibula-calcaneal ligament lesion was posed and an ankle orthosis was applied. The patient was discharged mobilized with crutches under pain adapted weight bearing and heparin for thrombosis prophylaxis. Additionally, he got analgic drugs.

After one week the patient returned to our hospital. He reported to be unable to weight bear and to have severe pain. The clinical examination showed a hematoma around the lateral malleolus.

An MRI scan was performed. The scan showed a rupture of the fibulo-calcaneal and the tibio-calcaneal ligament as well as bone bruise between talus and calcaneus.
Additionally a line of the medial talar facet was found (Figure 2). Due to this finding we performed a CT scan of the right foot.

The CT scan showed a fracture of a taloclcaneal coalition. This bony coalition was located between the medial talar facet and the sustentaculum of the calcaneus (Figure 3).

After this diagnosis we changed the therapeutical strategy to an immobilising lower leg orthosis. The patient was admitted to full weight bear in respect to his

---

**Figure 1** Conventional x-rays antero-posterior (left) and lateral (right) of the patient after trauma. No fracture or osseous lesion was found.

**Figure 2** Several MRI slices showing the lateral ligament injury (left upper), the bone bruise in the calcaneus (right upper) and the coalition in the lower pictures.
pain. The pain medication was adapted and thrombosis prophylaxis continued.

**Conclusion**

**Epidemiology**

Tarsal coalition is an uncommon disorder with bony, cartilaginous or fibrous union between two or more bones of the hind- and midfoot.

The accepted theory for the etiology of tarsal coalitions is a failure of complete segmentation of the mesenchyme with the absence of normal joint formation during embryonic period [1,2]. An autosomal dominant inheritance pattern has been suggested [1]. Some reasons for acquired coalitions are e. g. clubfoot deformities, inflammatory arthritis, osteoarthritis, intra-articular fractures, osteonecrosis and malignancies [2].

The tarsal coalition is a rare condition occurring in not more than one percent of the population [1,3]. However, the true prevalence of tarsal coalitions is unknown. All clinical studies miss the asymptomatic coalitions. Leonard even found 76% of subjects with tarsal coalition as symptom free [1]. A recent prospective MRI study showed a 12% prevalence of tarsal coalition [4].

According to current data half of the patients with a tarsal coalition show bilateral appearance. There is a slight male predominance.

With together about 90° the most frequent observed coalitions are the calcaneonavicular and talocalcaneal. Due to the easy recognition of the calcaneonavicular coalition on plane radiographs, in the past, this coalition was thought to be the most common. However, CT and MRI have shown nearly equal incidences for this both coalitions.

In contrast the acute ankle sprain is one of the most common injuries in trauma departments. Ankle sprains have an incidence of about one injury per 10 000 people a day. With an incidence of 52.7 per 10 000 people per year lesions of the lateral ligament complex, due to an ankle sprain, are the most common injuries of the human body [5-8]. An investigation of Suhr et al. showed the source of injury in 416 acute ankle sprains. 37% of the sprains were caused during sports activities, 33%
happened in off time and 30% occurred at work. Sports with a high risk for ankle sprains are: football, volleyball, basketball, rugby, tennis and athletic [8].

**Biomechanics**

Depending on the location of the coalition the gait cycle is more or less affected. The triple complex responds to the rotatory motion of the tibia on the foot during gait cycle. The subtalar joint and transverse tarsal joints (talonaviculare and calcaneonaviculare) allow the foot to change from a flexible shock absorber to a rigid lever arm [2]. Therefore, increasing rigidity at the subtalar joint results in dysfunctional shock absorption during gait [9]. The talonaviculare joint is the most important for the mobility of the triple joint complex causing severe problems in patients with tarsal coalitions.

Coalitions involving two or more joints can lead to excessive stresses in the hindfoot causing pain, inflammation and premature joint degeneration.

The functional absent subtalar joint causes stress concentration at the ankle joint with leading symptoms such as ankle sprain and ankle pain.

The majority of ankle sprains (85%) are supination events (combined plantar flexion, adduction, and inversion), pronation (combined dorsiflexion, abduction, and eversion) accounts for 15% [10]. Up to 40% of the patients develop persisting disorders like chronic pain or chronic ligamentous instability with recurrent sprains even after minor trauma [8,10,11]. Krause et al. found inhomogeneous load distribution in the unstable hindfoot as well as intra-articular pressure elevation in the ankle and subtalar joint during hindfoot suspension sprains with intact ligaments or incompetent ligaments in a biomechanical investigation. They conclude, that these circumstances are substantial for the development of osteochondral lesions with all their follow up [10].

Fracture occurs in less than 15% of all ankle sprains [12].

**Clinic**

The patient in our case presented in our emergency department with the typical symptoms after acute ankle sprain: immobilizing pain (he was not able to weight bear at all), swelling around the ankle and lateral hematoma around the malleolus. He reported to have had no symptoms or limitations prior to the trauma.

The symptoms related to tarsal coalitions are variable. The onset of symptoms is related to the progression of the ossification [9]. This may be a result of repetitive biomechanical stress through physical activity. Repeated micro fractures and remodeling cause progression of the ossification in the coalition. This increases rigidity and clinical symptoms [9].

Generally symptoms appear in the second decade of life [13]. The majority of patients with tarsal coalitions reaching their 20s without symptoms will never develop symptoms [2]. Most patients are represented with hindfoot pain, a limited range of motion (unilateral coalition) or stiffness. The pain is often prominent and located around the ankle mainly on the lateral and antero-lateral aspect of the ankle. Symptoms often appear after recurrent trauma (ankle sprain) or increasing athletic activity [2,9,14].

There are only a few reports of fractures involving tarsal coalitions. Kim et al. reported the case of a 15 year old cross-country runner who fell and sustained an axial load to his heel. The x-rays showed an intraarticular calcaneus fracture; the talocalcaneal coalition was not clearly seen. CT-scan showed a middle facet coalition bilaterally. Prior to the accident the patient denied any pain or limitations. The calcaneus fracture was treated operatively by plate and screw osteosynthesis. The patient returned to full activity [15]. Moe et al. described the case of a 48 year old woman presenting with heel pain which persisted for 3 months. There was no accident in the history described. The diagnostic showed a posterior talocalcaneal coalition with an oblique calcaneal stress fracture [13].

**Diagnostic**

After clinical examination conventional radiographs in two planes (antero-posterior and lateral) of the ankle are a first line standard diagnostic tool after ankle injury (following the Ottawa ankle rules [16]) to exclude a fracture. After acute ankle sprain a second clinical examination few days after the injury is recommended to distinguish a ligament rupture from a simple sprain.

In the diagnosis of tarsal coalition conventional radiographs in two planes are often sufficient to diagnose most calcaneonaviculare and talonaviculare coalitions [17].

In contrast, talocalcaneal coalitions generally require cross-sectional imaging for confirmation and characterization. Talocalcaneal coalitions are difficult to visualize on standard radiographs due to the complex three-dimensional orientation of the subtalar joint. There have been a number of secondary radiographic signs described to diagnose talocalcaneal coalitions (Table 1). These findings develop secondary to the coalition because of the alteration in hindfoot biomechanics [17].

The *talar beak* (Figure 4A) can occur due to the decreased subtalar joint motion. This results in the navicular overriding the talus. The mechanism is a periosteal elevation at the insertion of the talonaviculare ligament with secondary osseous repair.

Another radiographic sign is the so called *C sign* (Figure 4B), which was first described by Lateur et al. in 1994 [14]: a c-shaped line formed by the outline of the medial talar dome and the inferior outline of the sustentaculum tali. This sign results from bony bridging between the talar bone and the calcaneal sustentaculum,
as well as the inferior outline of the sustentaculum. Lateur et al. reported a sensitivity and specificity of 87% and 93%, respectively [14,18]. Sakellariou et al. reported a sensitivity of the C sign of 98% [19]. Taniguchi et al. re-evaluated the C sign for the diagnostic of talocalcaneal coalitions on 110 lateral radiographs (55 with and 55 without coalition). Two observers assessed the presence of the C sign. They found an overall sensitivity and specificity of 49% and 91%, respectively. This group also found an age and coalition type related sensitivity. For patients younger than 12 years the sensitivity was 5%, between 13 and 20 years it was 80% and over 21 years sensitivity was 70%. For the medial type sensitivity was 66%, the posterior type showed no C sign (sensitivity 0%) and 100% of diffuse coalitions showed the C sign. These circumstances put the C sign as diagnostic radiographic sign into perspective and illustrate the advantage of cross-sectional imaging for subtalar coalitions.

Additional radiographic signs described in the literature are the narrowing of the posterior subtalar joint, rounding of the lateral talar process, lack of depiction of the middle facets on the lateral radiograph, a short talar neck and a dysmorphic sustentaculum tali.

Crim et al. retrospectively investigated the combination of the above described radiographic signs in the diagnosis of talar coalitions. They found a sensitivity and specificity of 100% and 88%, respectively, when combining the signs for diagnostic of a talocalcaneal coalition [20].

CT scan of the ankle and hindfoot should be performed in coronal and axial planes. Thus, coalitions of all types are usually easily detected. Computer tomography is essential

| coalition         | x-ray                      | CT                      | MRI                      |
|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| calcaneonavicular | osseous bridging           | not necessary           | not necessary            |
| talonavicular     | osseous bridging           | not necessary           | not necessary            |
| talocalcaneal     | - talar beak navicular     | coronal and axial planes| T1 and T2 sequences      |
|                   | - C sign bony bridging     | - osseous coalitions    | - bony coalition         |
|                   | between talus and          | - bony bridging         | - fibrous coalition      |
|                   | calcaneal sustentaculum    |                         | - cartilaginous coalition|
|                   | - narrowing of the posterior| - non-osseous coalitions| fat suppressed           |
|                   | subtalar joint             | - facet narrowing,      | sequence (STIR)          |
|                   | - rounding of the lateral  | reactive changes (e. g. | - bone marrow            |
|                   | talar process              | cysts, hypertrophy),   | edema (fracture)         |
|                   | - lack of depiction of the | downward slope or       |                          |
|                   | middle facets on the       | broadening of           |                          |
|                   | lateral radiograph         | sustentaculum           |                          |
|                   | - short talar neck         |                         |                          |
|                   | - dysmorphic sustentaculum tali |                  |                          |

Table 1 Radiological characteristics of talar coalitions

Figure 4 (A) lateral radiograph of a left ankle showing the talar beak (arrow), (B) lateral radiograph of the right ankle obtained of our patient with C sign (arrows) extending from the talar dome through the coalition component of the posterior talocalcaneal joint to the sustentaculum tali.
in the diagnosis of talocalcaneal coalition and also in fractures associated with coalitions. Talocalcaneal coalitions are best visualized on coronal planes. In osseous coalitions bony bridging can be found in the CT scan. In non-osseous coalitions facet narrowing, reactive changes of the underlying bone (e.g. cysts, hypertrophy), downward slope of the sustentaculum or broadening of the sustentaculum can be the only changes visible. An anatomical dissection and computer tomography study of Solomon et al. investigated the epidemiology and diagnostic power of CT scan for tarsal coalitions in 100 cadaver feet [21]. They found nine non-osseous talar coalitions (two talocalcanar, seven calcaneonavicular). The CT diagnosed one osseous talocalcanear coalition and was suspicious of eight non-osseous coalitions. The CT scan diagnosed 55.5% of the coalitions. However, CT did not diagnose four non-osseous coalitions and diagnosed four coalitions by mistake. Solomon et al. conclude that CT has a low sensitivity in the detection of non-osseous coalitions; they recommend not using CT routinely in the diagnoses of tarsal coalitions.

MR imaging of tarsal coalitions is another diagnostic method, which should be performed including T1 and

Figure 5 Classification of talocalcaneal coalitions based on 3D reconstruction of computer tomography by Rozansky et al. [25].

Type 1 – linear: fibrocartilaginous linear coalition parallel to the subtalar joint; Type 2 – linear with posterior hook: fibrocartilaginous coalition linear anteriorly, which curves into a posterior hook overlapping the sustentaculum tali medial and dorsal; Type 3 – shingled: coalition with an orientation that sloped down in an overlapping fashion, with the talar portion shingled over the calcaneal; Type 4 – complete osseous: complete osseous coalition of the medial facet; Type 5 – posterior: small, peripheral posterior coalition.
T2-weighted sequences, additionally a fat suppressed sequence (short-inversion-time inversion recovery – STIR) is recommended to identify bone marrow edema (fractures) and soft-tissue edema or inflammation. The MRI can determine the density of the bridging material and thus, can differentiate between bony and fibrous or cartilaginous coalitions. In complete osseous coalition bone marrow is visible across the fused articulation. In non-osseous coalition joint space is reduced, additionally in cartilaginous coalition a cartilage or fluid iso-intens area may be present. For fibrous coalition low-signal intensity in the affected joint can be characteristic. The T1- and T2-weighted fat saturated as well as the STIR sequence can show fractures in terms of bone marrow and periosseal edema. The STIR sequence also regularly shows bone marrow edema along the fused articulation.

CT or MRI? Wechsler et al. compared preoperative MRI and CT scans with intraoperative results (9 tarsal coalitions and one synovitis). CT depicted six coalitions of which four were characterized correctly, whilst fibrous coalitions were not characterized correctly. MRI depicted all coalitions (seven correctly characterized), but a proliferative synovitis was incorrectly characterized as a fibrous coalition [22]. Emery et al. compared CT and MRI scans

---

**Figure 6** Diagnostic algorithm of the acute ankle sprain with stepwise diagnostic escalation.
of twenty patients presenting with symptoms of tarsal coalitions. Both MRI and CT missed one coalition. They conclude that MRI can be performed and provide nearly equivalent diagnostic accuracy for detecting tarsal coalition compared to the gold standard CT [23].

Classification
Anatomically ankle sprains can be classified by the affected ligaments [24]:

Tarsal coalitions are first classified by the involved bones (e.g. talonavicular, talocalcaneal, calcaneonavicular). Additionally the morphology of bridging is classified as osseous or non-osseous. The non-osseous coalitions can be differentiated into fibrous or cartilaginous.

Rozansky et al. developed a classification of talocalcaneal coalitions based on 3D CT reconstructions (Figure 5). They used 54 coalitions to put them into five types [25].

Treatment
The treatment of the acute ankle sprain is conservative in first line. Options include the use of ice and compression, in combination with rest and elevation in the acute phase. Functional treatment for 4 to 6 weeks is preferable to immobilization. Nevertheless, a short period (10 days) of plaster immobilization facilitating a rapid decreases of pain and swelling and can therefore be helpful in the acute phase.

For stabilization the use of a semirigid brace is recommended. Additional exercise therapy should be used [11].

The surgical treatment can be considered after failed conservative treatment (e.g. persisting instability, pain) [11]. In an investigation of Suhr et al. 15.9% of patients underwent surgery after primary conservative treatment after an ankle sprain within one year after trauma [8].

The first step in the treatment of tarsal coalitions must be the conservative therapy. This includes hard soled shoes and foot and/or ankle stabilizing orthoses. Inflammation can be treated by oral non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Immobilizing for a period of 6 weeks is yet another possibility.

If the conservative treatment fails surgical intervention can be recommended. The two most prominent methods are the excision of the coalition and the arthrodesis of the involved joints.

For a fracture of the coalition no recommendation in the literature can be found. Kim et al. reported a case of a calcaneal fracture in a 15-year old cross-country runner. Due to the fracture displacement and the decreased Bohler’s angle they did an open reduction and internal fixation [15]. In contrast, Moe et al. treated a non-displaced calcaneal stress fracture conservatively with partial weight bearing.

In our case we decided to choose a conservative treatment, too. The fracture was not displaced. We treated the patient using an immobilizing orthosis allowing the patient pain adapted weight bearing.

Summary
Tarsal coalitions are rare entities in the daily routine of trauma and orthopedic surgeons. Even more uncommon is the fracture of a coalition. Nevertheless, the reported case should point out the advantage of an established stepwise diagnostic procedure. This ensures that no injuries will be missed and optimal therapy for the patient is given (Figure 6).
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