Prevalence of Hepatitis B Reactivation Among Chinese Individuals With Chronic Hepatitis C Treated With Pan-Oral Direct-Acting Antivirals
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Abstract

Background: Clearance of hepatitis C virus (HCV) has been reported to induce the reactivation of hepatitis B virus (HBV). The aim of this study was to investigate the rate of HBV reactivation in HCV-infected Chinese patients who received treatment with pan-oral direct-acting antivirals (DAAs).

Methods: Data from HCV subjects receiving oral DAA therapy were retrospectively collected from October 2015 to May 2017. Patients who were seropositive for HBsAg or anti-HBc were enrolled. The efficacy of DAAs, including end-of-treatment virologic response (ETVR) and sustained virologic response (SVR) 12, was recorded. HBV virologic reactivation was defined as a reappearance of HBsAg, or increased HBV DNA by at least one log\textsubscript{10} IU/mL. HBV clinical reactivation was defined as virologic reactivation and serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) over two-fold of the upper limit of normal.

Results: There were 11 (7.2%) cases and 53 (34.6%) cases in the HBsAg group and the anti-HBc group among all 153 subjects. All individuals achieved ETVR and SVR12. There were no cases with reappearance of HBsAg during DAAs therapy. Among seven cases in the HBsAg group whose HBV DNA level was determined, HBV virological reactivation was detected in two subjects (28.6%). Among all 11 subjects in the HBsAg group, there was one (9.1%) case with HBV clinical reactivation, which was resolved following treatment with Entaclavir. The case with HBV clinical reactivation had a higher baseline HBV DNA viral load (1,380 IU/mL) compared with that of the other patients (20 - 296 IU/mL).

Conclusion: HBV virological and clinical reactivation occurred in 28.5% and 9.1% of subjects with HBsAg seropositivity. No HBV reactivation was observed in the cases with past HBV infection.
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Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a major worldwide disease. The current standard of care for subjects with HCV infection at all stages of the disease is interferon (IFN)-free combinations of direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) owing to their higher efficacy and low rate of adverse events. In areas with high-endemic hepatitis B virus (HBV), such as the Asia-Pacific region, it is vitally important to clarify the factors associated with HBV and HCV coinfection. According to past studies, the estimated prevalence of HBV/HCV coinfection ranges from 5% to 20% in HBsAg-positive patients and from 2% to 10% in HCV-positive cases [1, 2].

In HCV-infected patients who have undergone IFN and ribavirin (RBV) treatment, clearance of HCV was reported to induce reactivation of HBV and alanine aminotransferase (ALT) flares [3, 4]. Similarly, pan-oral DAAs treatment has been shown to be associated with HBV reactivation [5, 6]. The occurrence of these events recently prompted both the US Food and Drug Administration and the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases (AASLD)/European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) to confirm the risk of HBV reactivation after DAA therapy [7].

However, the incidence and risk factors in individuals who received DAAs treatment and subsequently suffered from HBV reactivation remain unclear. The aim of this study was to investigate the rate of HBV reactivation in HCV-infected patients who received pan-oral DAAs.

Patients and Methods

Data from subjects with chronic hepatitis C (CHC) who received pan-oral DAA therapy who visited the Medical Screening Center at Taichung Veterans General Hospital were ret-
Table 1. The General Characteristics of Enrolled Cases

|                        | HBsAg group (N = 11) | Anti-HBc group (N = 53) | P-value |
|------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|---------|
| Age                    | M ± SD 63.18 ± 9.35  | N (%) 65.66 ± 10.53     | 0.446*  |
| Gender (male)          | 5 (45.5%)            | 24 (45.3%)              | 0.992#  |
| Treatment experience   | 7 (63.9%)            | 32 (60.4%)              | 0.840∧  |
| Cirrhosis              | 5 (45.5%)            | 16 (30.2%)              | 0.326#  |
| HCC                    | 3 (27.3%)            | 18 (33.9%)              | 1.000∧  |

P-values were analyzed with Pearson’s Chi-square test#, Fisher’s exact test∧, t-test*. HCC: hepatocellular carcinoma; M: mean; N: number of patients; SD: standard derivation.

respectively collected from October 2015 to May 2017. The general data of enrolled patients, including age, gender, HCV genotype (Roche, Cobas Amplicor Analyser, USA), HCV RNA (Roche, Cobas TaqMan48, USA), cirrhosis status, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) status, and previous IFN treatment history, were recorded. Serum hepatitis B markers, including HBsAg, anti-HBc, and HBeAg, were collected.

The enrollment criteria were: 1) seropositivity for anti-HCV longer than 6 months; 2) seropositivity for HBsAg or anti-HBc; 3) a completed pan-DAA therapeutic period. The exclusion criteria were: 1) previous DAAAs treatment; 2) coinfection with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or other identified liver disease, such as autoimmune disease, Wilson’s disease, or primary biliary cirrhosis.

The enrolled subjects were assigned to the HBsAg group, i.e., seropositive for HBsAg, or the anti-HBc group, i.e., seropositive for serum anti-HBc Ab but seronegative for HBsAg.

Based on the current treatment guidelines and Taiwan’s national insurance, DAA regimens such as sofosbuvir (SOF) + ledipasvir (LDV), paritaprevir/ritonavir/ombitasvir + dasabuvir (Pro-D), and daclatasvir (DCV) + asunaprevir (ASV) were allowed to treat the subjects with HCV infection. The DAA regimens depended on the HCV genotype of each individual. In our study, the regimens for HCV genotype 1a-infected patients included SOF + LDV and Pro-D with RBV. For HCV genotype 1b-infected cases, the regimens were SOF + LDV, Pro-D, and DCV + ASV. For HCV genotype 2 or other infections, the regimens included SOF + RAB, SOF + DCV, or SOF + LDV.

The serologic data, including HBV DNA, HCV RNA, ALT, and bilirubin, were recorded before and during the therapeutic period. Virologic response (VR) 4 was defined as 4 weeks after starting DAAAs. End-of-treatment virologic response (ETVR) was defined as undetectable HCV RNA at the end of treatment. Sustained virologic response (SVR) 12 was defined as undetectable HCV RNA 12 weeks after completion of therapy [8]. HBV virologic reactivation was defined as a reappearance of HBsAg, or increased HBV DNA by at least one log10 IU/mL. HBV clinical reactivation was defined as virologic reactivation and serum ALT over two-fold of the upper limit of normal (male > 100 U/L, female > 70 U/L).

Data are expressed as standard deviation of the mean for each of the measured parameters. Gender, treatment experience, cirrhosis, HCC, and positive ratio of each stratified group are expressed as a percentage of the total patient number. Comparisons were made using Pearson’s Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test to evaluate the contributions of gender and positive ratio of each stratified group. Independent t-test was used to analyze age and HCV viral load. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Among all 153 subjects, there were 11 (7.2%) cases with positive HBsAg and 53 (34.6%) cases with anti-HBe but lack of HBsAg. They were labeled as the HBsAg group and the anti-HBe group, respectively. The patients’ general characteristics are shown in Table 1. The mean age of the two groups was similar (63.18 vs. 65.66 years), and female predominance (54.5% vs. 54.7%) was noted. Most individuals (60.4% vs. 63.3%) had past experience of IFN treatment. A portion of cases had cirrhosis (45.5% vs. 30.2%) or HCC (27.3% vs. 33.9%) in the HBsAg group and the anti-HBe group. All subjects that were seropositive for HBsAg were seronegative for HBeAg and seropositive for anti-HBe Ab.

HCV status and the therapeutic efficacy of DAAAs are displayed in Table 2. Most subjects had HCV genotype 1b-infection (90.9% vs. 90.6%). A high HCV viral load with elevated serum ALT was found before DAAs treatment was started (mean HCV RNA 1.85 - 2.21 × 10^6 IU/mL, mean ALT 67.55 - 108.45 U/L). The responses to DAAs in these two groups were optimal, with all individuals achieving ETVR and SVR12.

The serum ALT level of the anti-HBe group is shown in Figure 1. Most cases achieved normal ALT level after 1 month of DAAs treatment, and there was no biochemical breakthrough during the therapeutic period. Furthermore, among all 53 cases in the anti-HBe group, there was no biochemical breakthrough during the therapeutic period. Furthermore, among all 53 cases in the anti-HBe group, there was no case with reappearance of HBsAg during DAAs therapy.

Among all 11 cases in the HBsAg group, seven individuals had their HBV DNA level checked before and during DAAs treatment, and the HBV virus load is displayed in Figure 2. There were two subjects (28.6%) with HBV virological reactivation during the DAAs treatment. Both of these patients had HCV genotype 1b-infection and received treatment with Pro-D. One case had HBV viral load from baseline 20 IU/mL to 3,000 IU/mL at the third month after treatment started, and another case had baseline 1,380 IU/mL to 14,000,000 IU/mL.
at the second month after starting treatment.

The serum ALT of the HBsAg group is shown in Figure 3. Among all 11 subjects, there was only one (9.1%) case with HBV clinical reactivation. This was a 53-year-old woman with a history of treatment-naive chronic HBV and HCV coinfection. Abdominal ultrasound showed no evidence of cirrhosis. Laboratory workup before DAAs treatment demonstrated ALT of 58 U/L, HBV DNA of 1,380 IU/mL, HCV RNA of 670,000 IU/mL, and HCV genotyping 1b. Further evaluation disclosed that the patient was HBeAg-negative and anti-HBe Ab-positive. A combination of 3-month therapy with Pro-D was scheduled. Laboratory data at the first month revealed ALT of 15 U/L, and undetectable HCV RNA. Similarly, laboratory workup at the second month disclosed undetectable HCV RNA, but ALT of 102 U/L and HBV DNA of 14,000,000 IU/mL. Treatment with Entaclovir 0.5 mg per day for reactivated HBV was added. Laboratory data at the third month found undetectable HCV RNA, ALT of 68 U/L, and HBV DNA of 2,130 IU/mL. Thereafter, positive SVR12 to HCV was achieved, with simultaneous ALT of 20 U/L and HBV DNA of 155 IU/mL.

**Discussion**

Due to the shared modes of transmission, coinfection with both HBV and HCV is not uncommon. In China, where HBV
infection is endemic, HBV and HCV coinfection has been estimated to be as high as 8.4% [9]. In the United States, between 30% and 60% of patients with CHC have serological evidence of previous exposure to HBV, defined by the presence of anti-HBc in the absence of HBsAg [2, 10]. Our results found 7.2% and 34.6% of patients with HCV infection were seropositive for HBsAg and anti-HBc, respectively.

According to previous studies, HBsAg carriers with concurrent HCV infection have lower HBV viremia, lower levels of HBsAg in serum, and lower levels of intrahepatic HBsAg

---

**Figure 2.** Changes in HBV DNA level of the HBsAg group during direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) treatment.

**Figure 3.** Changes in alanine aminotransferase (ALT) level of the HBsAg group during direct-acting antivirals (DAAs) treatment.
studies [7, 15, 16], who presented with anti-HBc, did not have was not periodically monitored, which might have resulted in may occur and therefore physicians should be aware of this possibility in certain patient populations.

The risk factors of HBV reactivation during DAAs treatment have yet to be fully elucidated. Different DAAs regimens are available, including SOF-based therapy, DCV/ASV, and Pro-D [5, 16-18]. In our study, the regimen for cases with HBV reactivation was Pro-D in most of our enrolled cases because of genotype 1b-infection and the limitation of national health insurance coverage in Taiwan. The association of different DAA regimens and HBV reactivation warrants further investigation.

In our cases with HBV clinical reactivation, a higher baseline HBV DNA viral load (1,380 IU/mL) compared with that of the other cases (20 - 296 IU/mL) was noted. However, previous studies reported cases with HBV reactivation had baseline HBV DNA ranging from undetectable to a high level [5, 16-18]. Owing to the heterogenous nature of the results, it was not possible to make a definitive conclusion about the role of baseline HBV viral load in HBV reactivation [7].

There were several limitations in our study. First, this study used a retrospective design and was conducted in a single tertiary care center. Selection bias might have existed and therefore our sample of patients may not be representative of the entire population. Second, the small number of patients in our study might have led to unreliable estimations in the results. Third, the lack data on regular HBV viral load might have led to an underestimation of the rate of HBV virological reactivation. Finally, the quantitative HBsAg data during DAA treatment were not measured in our study. Further research with analysis of more variables is needed.

In conclusion, our study found HBV virological and clinical reactivation occurred in 28.5% and 9.1% of the subjects with seropositivity for HBsAg. No HBV reactivation was observed in the cases with past HBV infection.

The rate of HBV reactivation has been previously reported [3, 4]. Because IFN also exerts an inhibitory effect on HBV replication [13], the additional inhibitory effect of IFN on HBV probably ameliorates HBV reactivation and delays its occurrence, which mostly occurs after stopping therapy. To date, IFN-free DAA combination therapy has been recommended as the first line therapy for CHC. Thus, there is increasing concern regarding hepatitis flare related to HBV reactivation during DAA therapy in CHC patients with current or past HBV infections. According to a number of previous case reports and series, the severity of hepatitis after pan-oral DAA treatment ranged from HBV reactivation without hepatitis to fulminant hepatic failure requiring liver transplantation [5, 6, 14].

A cohort study in Hong Kong found three (30%) of the 10 HbsAg-positive patients experienced a hepatitis flare, and none of the 124 patients with occult HBV infection had a hepatitis flare related to HBV reactivation [15]. Another cohort study in Taiwan found four (57.1%) and one (14.2%) of the seven subjects had HBV virological and clinical reactivation, respectively. No episodes of HBV virological reactivation were observed among the cases with a past HBV infection [16].

Our results showed no HBsAg seroreversion occurred in the 53 cases with positive anti-HBc after DAA therapy. In the 11 individuals with HBsAg seropositivity, two (28.5%) of the seven patients and one (9.1%) of the 11 patients had virologic and clinical reactivation, respectively. HBV reactivation in the two cases occurred in the second and third months during DAAs treatment. HBV clinical reactivation was resolved following treatment with oral Entecavir.

In our cases with HBV clinical reactivation, a higher baseline HBV DNA viral load (1,380 IU/mL) compared with that of the other cases (20 - 296 IU/mL) was noted. However, previous studies reported cases with HBV reactivation had baseline HBV DNA ranging from undetectable to a high level [5, 16-18]. Owing to the heterogenous nature of the results, it was not possible to make a definitive conclusion about the role of baseline HBV viral load in HBV reactivation [7].

There were several limitations in our study. First, this study used a retrospective design and was conducted in a single tertiary care center. Selection bias might have existed and therefore our sample of patients may not be representative of the entire population. Second, the small number of patients in our study might have led to unreliable estimations in the results. Third, the lack data on regular HBV viral load might have led to an underestimation of the rate of HBV virological reactivation. Finally, the quantitative HBsAg data during DAA treatment were not measured in our study. Further research with analysis of more variables is needed.

In conclusion, our study found HBV virological and clinical reactivation occurred in 28.5% and 9.1% of the subjects with seropositivity for HBsAg. No HBV reactivation was observed in the cases with past HBV infection.
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