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Abstract

For any strong smash product algebra $A \#_R B$ of two algebras $A$ and $B$ with a bijective morphism $R$ mapping from $B \otimes A$ to $A \otimes B$, we construct a cylindrical module $A \#_R B$ whose diagonal cyclic module $\Delta_*(A \#_R B)$ is graphically proven to be isomorphic to $C_*(A \#_R B)$ the cyclic module of the algebra. A spectral sequence is established to converge to the cyclic homology of $A \#_R B$. Examples are provided to show how our results work. Particularly, the cyclic homology of the Pareigis’ Hopf algebra is obtained in the way.
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Introduction

Calculating cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra is an attractive problem studied extensively in cyclic homology theory. When $G$ is a discrete group or a compact Lie group and $A$ is an algebra or a $C^\infty$ manifold acted by $G$, the cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra $A \rtimes G$ is considered by B.L. Feigin and B.L. Tsygan [8], J.L. Brylinski [6], V. Nistor [20], and E. Getzler and J.D.S. Jones [9]. When $A$ is an $H$-module algebra, where $H$ is a Hopf algebra with an invertible antipode, R. Akbarpour and M. Khalkhali [1] investigated the cyclic homology of the crossed product algebra $A \rtimes H$. Their results generalize the work of Getzler and Jones in [9].

In recent decades there have appeared many kinds of products of different types of algebras in the research of Hopf algebras, for instance, the crossed product, or called (classical) smash product, of a Hopf algebra and its module algebra, Takeuchi’s smash product [25] of a left comodule algebra and a left module algebra where the action and the coaction are taken over one Hopf algebra, the tensor product of two algebras in the natural sense or in a braided tensor category, the (generalized) Drinfeld double, double crossproduct of Hopf algebras, etc. These concepts are closely related with the factorization of an algebra into two subalgebras. The algebra factorization is described by S. Majid [19], the generalized factorization problem is stated by S. Caenepeel et al. [7]. A ‘generalized braiding’, which is quasitriangular and normal, is associated closely with the algebra factorization. When it is a bijection, we call the product algebra a strong smash product algebra.

In this paper, we generalize both works of Getzler and Jones [9], and of Akbarpour and Khalkhali [1] to strong smash product algebras. Indeed, the crossed product algebras discussed in [9] and [1] are special examples of the strong smash product algebras. We organize this paper as follows. In Section 1 we give the explicit definition of the strong smash product algebra $A \#_R B$. In Section 2 we construct a cylindrical module $A \#_R B$. Using diagrammatical presentations we prove that $\Delta_*(A \#_R B)$ the cyclic module related to the diagonal of $A \#_R B$ is isomorphic to the cyclic module of $A \#_R B$. In Section 3 we recall some notations and apply the generalized Eilenberg-Zilber theorem for cylindrical modules due to Getzler and Jones [9].
In Section 4, we construct a spectral sequence converging to the cyclic homology of $A \#_R B$. In Section 5, we apply our theorems to Majid’s double crossproduct of Hopf algebras after showing that they pertain to the class of strong smash product algebras. As any Drinfeld’s quantum double has a double crossproduct structure (see [19]), the notion of strong smash product algebras does cover a wide range of the recent interesting examples, for instance, the two-parameter or multiparameter quantum groups, and the pointed Hopf algebras arising from Nichols algebras of diagonal type (see [2, 3, 4, 5, 10, 12, 13, 14, 22] and references therein). Besides these, another concrete example for the computation of the cyclic homology of the Pareigis’ Hopf algebra $P$ is given to illuminate our results.

We assume that $k$ is a field containing $\mathbb{Q}$ in the whole paper unless otherwise stated. Every algebra in this paper is assumed to be a unital associative $k$-algebra.

1 Strong smash product algebra

Majid defined in his book [19] an algebra factorization. A unital and associative algebra $X$ factorizes through its subalgebras $A$ and $B$, if the product map defines a linear isomorphism $A \otimes B \cong X$. The necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an algebra factorization is the existence of a linear map $R$ from $B \otimes A$ to $A \otimes B$, which is quasitriangular and normal.

In [7], the algebra which can be factorized is called a smash product and denoted by $A \#_R B$. In addition, if $R$ is also an isomorphism of vector spaces, we call $A \#_R B$ a strong smash product algebra. The explicit definitions are as follows:

**Definition 1.1.** Let $A$ and $B$ be two algebras, and $R : B \otimes A \to A \otimes B$ be a linear map. $R$ is called quasitriangular if it obeys

\[
R \circ (m \otimes id) = (id \otimes m)R_{12}R_{23},
\]

\[
R \circ (id \otimes m) = (m \otimes id)R_{23}R_{12},
\]

where $m$ is the product map, $R_{12} = R \otimes id$ and $R_{23} = id \otimes R$.

$R$ is called normal if it obeys

\[
R(1 \otimes a) = a \otimes 1, \quad \forall a \in A,
\]

\[
R(b \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes b, \quad \forall b \in B.
\]

The smash product algebra of $A$ and $B$ with a quasitriangular and normal $R$, denoted by $A \#_R B$, is defined to be $A \otimes B$ as a vector space equipped with product

\[
(a \otimes b)(a' \otimes b') = aR(b \otimes a')b', \quad \forall a, a' \in A, \ b, b' \in B.
\]

The smash product algebra $A \#_R B$ defined above is a unital associative algebra with the unit $1_A \otimes 1_B$. The product of $A \#_R B$ appeared first in [27], where a sufficient condition is given for the product to be associative.

**Definition 1.2.** The smash product algebra $A \#_R B$ is said to be strong, if $R$ is invertible.

**Proposition 1.3.** $A \#_R B$ is a strong smash product algebra if and only if $B \#_{R^{-1}} A$ is a strong smash product algebra.

Indeed, $R$ is quasitriangular (resp. normal) if and only if $R^{-1}$ is quasitriangular (resp. normal).
Proof. Since $R$ is invertible with $R^{-1} : A \otimes B \rightarrow B \otimes A$, we have
\[
R(m_B \otimes id) = (id \otimes m_B)R_{12}R_{23}, \iff (m_B \otimes id)(R_{12}R_{23})^{-1} = R^{-1}(id \otimes m_B),
\]
\[
\iff R^{-1}(id \otimes m_B) = (m_B \otimes id)R_{23}^{-1}R_{12}^{-1},
\]
\[
R(id \otimes m_A) = (m_A \otimes id)R_{23}R_{12}, \iff (id \otimes m_A)(R_{23}R_{12})^{-1} = R^{-1}(m_A \otimes id),
\]
\[
\iff R^{-1}(m_A \otimes id) = (id \otimes m_A)R_{12}^{-1}R_{23}^{-1}.
\]
The normalization conditions are clear.

It proves very convenient to do computations using diagrammatic presentations. Present the multiplication of an algebra by \(\bigotimes\) and \(R\) from \(B \otimes A\) to \(A \otimes B\) by \(\begin{array}{ccc} B & A \\ \otimes & & \end{array}\). Thus \(R^{-1}\) can be presented by \(\begin{array}{ccc} A & B \\ \otimes & & \end{array}\). The quasitriangular conditions can be diagrammatically expressed as follows:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc} B & A \\ \otimes & & \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{ccc} A & B \\ \otimes & & \end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc} B & A \\ \otimes & & \end{array} \cong \begin{array}{ccc} A & B \\ \otimes & & \end{array}
\]

The concept of smash product algebra \(A \#_R B\) recovers the crossed product algebra (or called classical smash product algebra) \(A \rtimes H\) and Takeuchi’s smash product algebra \(A \# B\) (defined in \([25]\)) where \(H\) is a Hopf algebra, \(A\) is an \(H\)-module algebra and \(B\) is an \(H\)-comodule algebra. The two subalgebras play different roles in \(A \rtimes H\) and \(A \# B\). One algebra produces action on the other. However, in the strong smash product algebra \(A \#_R B\), the status of \(A\) and \(B\) is equal. They act on each other. The strong smash product algebra \(A \#_R B\) is a more natural concept, as in physics the general principle is that every action has a ‘reaction’.

Many smash product algebras are strong smash product algebras.

Example 1.4. The tensor product of two algebras in a braided tensor category is a strong smash product algebra. Here \(R\) is deduced directly from the braiding in that category, so \(R\) is invertible.

Example 1.5. Let \(H\) be a Hopf algebra with an invertible antipode \(S\). \(A\) is a left \(H\)-module algebra and \(B\) is a left \(H\)-comodule algebra. Takeuchi’s smash product \(A \# B\) is an algebra with the multiplication \((a \# b)(a' \# b') = a(b[-1]\cdot a') \# b[0]\cdot b'\) and the unit \(1_A \otimes 1_B\), where \(b \mapsto b[-1] \otimes b[0]\) is the left \(H\)-comodule structure map for \(a, a' \in A\) and \(b, b' \in B\). When \(B = H\), \(A \# B = A \rtimes H\) is the crossed product algebra. Define \(R : B \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes B\) by
\[
R(b \otimes a) = b[-1]\cdot a \otimes b[0].
\]
One can check that $R$ is quasitriangular and normal through the definition of the module algebra and the comodule algebra. $R$ has the inverse defined by

$$R^{-1}(a \otimes b) = b_{[0]} \otimes S^{-1}(b_{[-1]})a,$$

for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

Hence, the crossed product algebras discussed in [9] and [1] are special examples of our strong smash product algebras.

## 2 Paracyclic modules and cylindrical modules

2.1 From Getzler and Jones’ point of view, all the operators of a cyclic module can be generated by only two operators, i.e., the last face map and the extra degeneracy map. Hence we can give an equivalent definition for cyclic modules. In this subsection, $k$ can be a commutative ring.

**Definition 2.1.** A cyclic module is a sequence of $k$-modules $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ which is endowed for each $n$ with two $k$-linear maps $d_n : C_n \to C_{n-1}$ and $s_{-1} : C_n \to C_{n+1}$, such that $d_ns_{-1}$ is invertible, and by setting

\[
\begin{align*}
t_n &:= d_n s_{-1} : C_n \to C_n, \\
d_i &:= t_{n-i}^{-n-i} d_n t_i^{n-i} : C_n \to C_{n-1}, \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n, \\
s_i &:= t_i^{n+1} s_{-1} t_i^{-(i+1)} : C_n \to C_{n+1}, \text{ for } 0 \leq i \leq n,
\end{align*}
\]

for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, the following relations hold

\[
\begin{align*}
d_i d_j &= d_{j-1} d_i \text{ for } i < j, \\
s_i s_j &= s_{j+1} s_i \text{ for } i \leq j, \\
d_i s_j &= \begin{cases} 
    s_{j-1} d_i & \text{for } i < j, \\
    id & \text{for } i = j, i = j + 1, \\
    s_j d_{i-1} & \text{for } i > j + 1.
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

$\{d_n\}$ are called face maps and $\{s_i\}$ are called degeneracy maps for $i \geq 0$, $t$ is called the cyclic operator. $s_{-1}$ is called the extra degeneracy map and $d_n : C_n \to C_{n-1}$ is called the last face map for $C_n$.

Therefore, a cyclic module can be regarded as an underlying simplicial module $\{C_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, whose face maps, degeneracy maps and cyclic operators are generated by the last face map $d_n$ and the extra degeneracy map $s_{-1}$ for each $C_n$ in the way expressed in (1) and (2) satisfying (3) and (4).

If the condition (4) is replaced by

\[
d_0 t_n = d_n, \quad s_0 t_n = t_{n+1}^2 s_n,
\]

then that sequence of $k$-modules is called a paracyclic module. In fact, the equalities in (5) are consequences of the cyclicity of the invertible operator $t$, that is, from (4), one can get (5).
For all $n$, set the following operators

\begin{align*}
    b &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i d_i : C_n \to C_{n-1}, \\
    T &= t^{n+1} : C_n \to C_n, \\
    N &= \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i t^i : C_n \to C_n, \\
    B &= (1 + (-1)^n) s_{-1} N : C_n \to C_{n+1}.
\end{align*}

(6)

Lemma 2.2 ([9]). We have the equalities

$$bT = Tb, \quad bB + Bb = 1 - T.$$ 

Getzler and Jones first introduced in [9] the concepts of the bi-paracyclic module and the cylindrical module. We recall their definitions here.

Definition 2.3 ([9], [1]). A bi-paracyclic module is a sequence of $k$-modules

\{(C_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 0}, d^{m,n}_i, s^{m,n}_i, t_{m,n}, \bar{d}^{m,n}_j, \bar{s}^{m,n}_j, \bar{t}_{m,n}\}

such that \{(C_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 0}, d^{m,n}_i, s^{m,n}_i, t_{m,n}\} and \{(C_{m,n})_{m,n \geq 0}, \bar{d}^{m,n}_j, \bar{s}^{m,n}_j, \bar{t}_{m,n}\} are two paracyclic modules and the operators $d^{m,n}_i, s^{m,n}_i, t_{m,n}$ commute with the operators $\bar{d}^{m,n}_j, \bar{s}^{m,n}_j, \bar{t}_{m,n}$. Moreover, if in addition, $t^{m+1} t^{n+1} = id_{m,n}$ for all $m,n \geq 0$, then this bi-paracyclic module is called a cylindrical module.

Another interesting concept named parachain complex was also given by Getzler and Jones [9]. The mixed complex defined by Kassel [15] is a special case of parachain complexes. Here we need only the mixed complex. The mixed complex is, by definition, a graded $k$-module $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ endowed with two graded commutative differentials, one decreasing the degree and the other increasing the degree. That is, $(M_n, b, B)$ with $b : M_n \to M_{n-1}$ and $B : M_n \to M_{n+1}$ satisfies $b^2 = B^2 = bB + Bb = 0$. A morphism of mixed complexes $(M_n, b, B)$ to $(M'_n, b, B)$ is a sequence of morphisms $f_k : M_n \to M'_{n+2k}$ for $k \geq 0$ such that $f = \sum_{k \geq 0} u^k f_k$ commutes with $b + uB$. For a cyclic module $(C_n, d_n, s_n, t_n)$ associated with the operators $b$ and $B$ defined in (6), $(C_n, b, B)$ is a mixed complex.

It is usually simpler to consider the complex with one differential than to consider the mixed complex with two differentials. Actually, a mixed complex can be converted into a complex. Let $V_\bullet$ be a non-negative graded $k$-module. Denote by $V_\bullet[[u]]$ the graded $k$-modules of formal power series in a variable $u$ with coefficients in $V_\bullet$. Set the degree of $u$ be $-2$. If $V_\bullet$ is endowed with a degree $-1$ endomorphism $b$ and a degree 1 endomorphism $B$, then $(V_\bullet, b, B)$ is a mixed complex if and only if $(V_\bullet[[u]], b + uB)$ is a complex with the differential $b + uB$. Here set $V_n[[u]] = \sum_{i \geq 0} V_{n+2i} u^i$.

2.2 Now we return to our strong smash product algebra.

The cyclic module $C_\bullet(A\#_n B)$ of an algebra $A\#_n B$ is defined as usual (see [17] etc). That is, $C_n(A\#_n B) = (A\#_n B)^{\otimes (n+1)}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with

\begin{align*}
    d_i(x_0, \ldots, x_n) &= (x_0, \ldots, x_i x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n), \quad 0 \leq i < n, \\
    d_n(x_0, \ldots, x_n) &= (x_n x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}), \\
    t(x_0, \ldots, x_n) &= (x_n, x_0, \ldots, x_{n-1}).
\end{align*}
where \( x_0, \ldots, x_n \in A \#_B B \).

For \( A \) and \( B \) the subalgebras of \( A \#_B B \), we introduce a cylindrical module denoted by \( A \#_B B \) which generalizes the cylindrical module constructed in the paper \cite{HI} by Getzler and Jones where \( B \) is a group algebra and \( A \) is a \( B \)-module algebra, also generalizes the cylindrical module constructed in the paper \cite{AK} by Akbarpour and Khalkhali where \( B \) is a Hopf algebra with an invertible antipode and \( A \) is a \( B \)-module algebra.

For \( p, q \in \mathbb{N} \), set \( A \#_B B(p, q) = B^{\otimes (p+1)} \otimes A^{\otimes (q+1)} \) endowed with the following operators which are mainly defined on \( B \)'s side:

\[
\begin{align*}
t_{p, q}(b_0, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_q) &= f^{p+q+1, 1}(b_0, \ldots, b_{p-1}, \Theta_q(b_p, a_0, \ldots, a_q)), \\
d_i^{p, q}(b_0, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_q) &= (b_0, \ldots, b_i b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_q), \quad 0 \leq i < p, \\
s_i^{p, q}(b_0, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_q) &= (b_0, b_i, 1, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_q), \quad 0 \leq i \leq p;
\end{align*}
\]

and the following operators which are mainly defined on \( A \)'s side:

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{t}_{p, q}(b_0, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_q) &= (\Gamma_p(a_q, b_0, \ldots, b_p), a_0, \ldots, a_{q-1}), \\
\tilde{d}_j^{p, q}(b_0, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_q) &= (b_0, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_j a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_q), \quad 0 \leq j < q, \\
\tilde{s}_j^{p, q}(b_0, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_q) &= (b_0, \ldots, b_p | a_0, \ldots, a_j, 1, a_{j+1}, \ldots, a_q), \quad 0 \leq j \leq q,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( f^{\cdot, \cdot} \) is the flip map defined by

\[
\begin{align*}
f^{m,n}(c_1, \ldots, c_m, c'_1, \ldots, c'_n) := (c'_1, \ldots, c'_n, c_1, \ldots, c_m),
\end{align*}
\]

\( \Theta_q \) is a composition of \( R \)'s defined by

\[
\Theta_q := R_{q+1,q+2} \cdots R_{23} R_{12} : B \otimes A^{\otimes (q+1)} \to A^{\otimes (q+1)} \otimes B,
\]

and \( \Gamma_p \) is a composition of \( R^{-1} \)'s defined by

\[
\Gamma_p := R_{p+1,p+2}^{-1} \cdots R_{23}^{-1} R_{12}^{-1} : A \otimes B^{\otimes (p+1)} \to B^{\otimes (p+1)} \otimes A,
\]

for \( a_i \in A \) and \( b_i \in B \). Define the last face maps by \( d_i^{p, q} = d_0^{p, q} t_{p, q} \) and \( \tilde{d}_j^{p, q} = \tilde{d}_0^{p, q} \tilde{t}_{p, q} \).

We can simply write \( t_{p, q} = f^{p+q+1, 1} \circ (id^{\otimes p} \otimes \Theta_q) \) and \( \tilde{t}_{p, q} = (\Gamma_p \otimes id^{\otimes q}) \circ f^{p+q+1, 1} \). Graphically, present the flip map between \( A \otimes B \) and \( B \otimes A \) by \( \begin{array}{cc} B & A \\ A & B \end{array} \), its inverse is \( \begin{array}{cc} B & A \\ A & B \end{array} \). The identity is denoted by \( \begin{array}{cc} B & A \\ A & B \end{array} \). Then \( t_{p, q} \) and \( \tilde{t}_{p, q} \) can be presented by

\[
\begin{align*}
t_{p, q} &= \begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & p & \cdots & q+1 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & p^+ & \cdots & q^+ \\
\end{array}, \\
\tilde{t}_{p, q} &= \begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 1 & \cdots & p & \cdots & q+1 \\
0 & 1 & \cdots & p & \cdots & q^+ \\
\end{array}.
\end{align*}
\]

The elements in \( A \) are drawn with thick lines and the elements in \( B \) are drawn with thin lines in order to show differences.
Since $RR^{-1} = R^{-1}R = id$, we have

\[(I)\]

Although $R$ does not satisfy the braid relations, the flip maps always satisfy them and are involutions. When the three crosses in one side of the braid relations consist of two flip maps and one $R$ or $R^{-1}$, we still have the “braid” relations.

**Lemma 2.4.** $f_{12}f_{23}R_{12} = R_{23}f_{12}f_{23}$, $f_{12}R_{23}f_{12} = f_{23}R_{12}f_{23}$, $R_{12}f_{23}f_{12} = f_{23}f_{12}R_{23}$, where $f$ denotes $f^{1,1}$, i.e., the flip map of two elements. The graphical notations are

\[(II)\]

For $R^{-1}$, we have the same relations.

**Proposition 2.5.** $(A^c_B, d_i, s_i, t, d_j, s_j, f)$ is a cylindrical module.

**Proof.** We check the commutativity of the barred operators and unbarred operators first. We would like to use the graphical proof.
the flip maps are involutions and obey braid relations

(ii) For $0 \leq j < q$,

$\partial_j^{p,q} t_{p,q} = \bar{t}_{p,q} \bar{t}_{p,q}.$

$R$ is quasi-triangular
Similar proof holds for $d_i^{p,q}t_{p,q} = t_{p,q}d_i^{p,q}$, for $0 \leq i < p$.

The flip map and $R^{\pm 1}$ are quasitriangular and normal, $d_p^{p,q} = d_0^{p,q}t_{p,q}$ and $d_q^{p,q} = d_0^{p,q}\bar{t}_{p,q}$, so the other commutative equalities can be proved easily.

For the cylindrical condition, we use inductions on $p$ and $q$. For $p = q = 1$, using the fourth picture in the process of turning $t_{p,q}t_{p,q}$ to $t_{p,q}t_{p,q}$, we get

\[(t_{1,1}t_{1,1})^2 = \quad \text{(I)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{(I)}\]

Suppose that $t_{m,n}^{n+1}t_{m,n}^{n+1} = id_{m,n}$ for $\forall m < p$ and $\forall n < q$, we need to prove $t_{p,q}^{p+1}t_{p,q}^{q+1} = id_{p,q}$.

We have

\[
t_{p,q}^{p+1} = \quad \text{and} \quad t_{p,q}^{q+1} = \]


We can use bands in graphs to stand for parallel lines, that is, lines without any intersections or crosses between themselves.

If we can draw the elements $b_0, \ldots, b_{p-1}$ of $B$ together by a grey band, and draw the elements $a_0, \ldots, a_{q-1}$ of $A$ together by a black band, then using the movements for the case $m = n = 1$, we will get the proposition. We just give the equivalent moves for turning the lines $b_0$ and $b_1$ in the graph of $t_{p,q}^{p+1}$ to parallel lines, others can be done by similar moves. The only intersections between $b_0$ and $b_1$ occur while doing the $p$-th and $p + 1$-th powers of $t_{p,q}$. So we concentrate on that part of graph.

Let $\Delta_\bullet(A \sharp B)$ be the diagonal of the cylindrical module $A \sharp B$, i.e.,

$$\Delta_n(A \sharp B) = A \sharp B(n,n).$$

It is a cyclic module with face maps $d_i = d_i^n d_i^{n,n}$, degeneracy maps $s_i = s_i^n s_i^{n,n}$ and the cyclic operator $t_n = t_{n,n} t_{n,n}$.

**Proposition 2.6.** $\Delta_\bullet(A \sharp B)$ is isomorphic to $C_\bullet(A \#_R B)$ as cyclic modules.

**Proof.** Define morphisms $\Phi_n : A \sharp B(n,n) \to C_n(A \#_R B)$ by

$$\Phi = R_{2n+1,2n+2} R_{2n-1,2n} R_{2n,2n+1} \cdots (R_{12} R_{23} \cdots R_{n+1,n+2}),$$

and $\Psi_n : C_n(A \#_R B) \to A \sharp B(n,n)$ by

$$\Psi = R_{n+1,n+2}^{-1} R_{n,n+1}^{-1} R_{n+2,n+3}^{-1} \cdots (R_{12} R_{34}^{-1} \cdots R_{2n+1,2n+2}^{-1}).$$
Note that $R_{i,i+1}^{\pm 1} R_{j,j+1}^{\pm 1} = R_{j,j+1}^{\pm 1} R_{i,i+1}^{\pm 1}$ for $|i - j| > 1$. So $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are inverses to each other.

We need to prove that $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ are morphisms of cyclic modules. We only show that $\Phi$ commutates with the cyclic operator and the face maps. It is similar for $\Psi$.

Again using the fourth picture in the process of turning $t_{p,q} f_{p,q}$ to $t_{p,q} f_{p,q}$, we get

$$\Phi t_{n,n} t_{n,n} =$$

$$\Phi t_{n,n} t_{n,n} \sim = t \Phi.$$
Since $R$ and $R^{-1}$ are quasi-triangular, for $0 \leq i < n$,

$$d_i \Phi = \Phi d_i^{m,n} d_i^{n,m}.$$

3 Application of the generalized Eilenberg-Zilber theorem

Let $(\{C_{m,n}\}_{m,n \geq 0}, d^{m,n}_i, s^{m,n}_i, t_{m,n}, \bar{d}^{m,n}_j, \bar{s}^{m,n}_j, \bar{t}_{m,n})$ be a cylindrical module. We can set as in (5) the degree $-1$ endomorphism $b$ (resp. $\bar{b}$), the degree 1 endomorphism $B$ (resp. $\bar{B}$) and the degree 0 endomorphism $T$ (resp. $\bar{T}$) associated with $d_i, s_i, t$ (resp. $\bar{d}_j, \bar{s}_j, \bar{t}$). The total parachain complex is a mixed complex. Explicitly, let $C_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} C_{i,j}$, $b = b + \bar{b}$ and $B = B + T \bar{B}$. Since $C_{\bullet, \bullet}$ is a cylindrical module, $TT = 1$, $bB = -B\bar{b}$ and $Bb = -\bar{b}B$. Then by Lemma 2.2

$$bB + Bb = (b + \bar{b})(B + \bar{T}B) + (B + T\bar{B})(b + \bar{b}) = bB + Bb + \bar{b}B + B\bar{b} + T(B\bar{b} + bB) + T(bB + \bar{b}B) = 1 - T + T(1 - T) = 0,$$

$(C_{\bullet, \bullet}, b, B)$ is a mixed complex.

The generalized Eilenberg-Zilber theorem for paracyclic modules was proved by Getzler and Jones [9] using topological method, later it was reproved by Khalkhali and Rangipour [10] using an algebraic method. The theorem tells us that, for a cylindrical module there exists a quasi-isomorphism from its total mixed complex to its diagonal mixed complex. Due to Proposition 2.6 we have:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $A\#_RB$ be a strong smash product algebra, $A\sharp B$ a cylindrical module defined in (7) and (8). Then there exists a quasi-isomorphism of mixed complexes $\text{Tot}_*(A\sharp B)$ and $C_*(A\#_RB)$.

It was discovered by Getzler and Jones [9] that the Hochschild homology, the cyclic homology, the negative cyclic homology and the periodic cyclic homology can be unified to be cyclic homologies of a mixed complex with coefficients. Specifically, let $M_\bullet$ be a mixed complex and $W$ be a graded $k[u]$-module, denote $M_\bullet \otimes_{k[u]} W$ by $M_\bullet \boxtimes W$. Note that this tensor product is a graded tensor product. Let $(C_\bullet, b, B)$ be the mixed complex associated to its cyclic module structure. $C_\bullet \boxtimes W$ is a complex with the differential $(b + uB) \otimes_{k[u]} id_W$. Call the homology of the complex $C_\bullet \boxtimes W$ the cyclic homology of the mixed complex of $C_\bullet$ with coefficients in $W$ and denote it by $HC_\bullet(C_\bullet; W)$. Then for $W = k[u]$ (resp. $k[u, u^{-1}], k[u, u^{-1}]/uk[u]$ and $k[u]/uk[u]$) $HC_\bullet(C_\bullet; W) = HC_\bullet(C_\bullet)$ (resp. $HP_\bullet(C_\bullet), HC_\bullet(C_\bullet)$ and $H^\bullet_\bullet(C_\bullet)$). If $C$ is the usual cyclic module associated with an algebra $A$, then we simply denote $HC_\bullet(C_\bullet(A); W)$ by $HC_\bullet(A; W)$.

The first author would like to thank Professor Getzler for pointing out the flatness condition concealed here, which turns out useful in the consequent arguments.
Lemma 3.2. Let $k$ be a field, $V$ a $k$-vector space and $u$ a variable. Then $V[[u]]$ is a flat $k[u]$-module.

Proof. Since $k[u]$ is a principal ideal domain, a $k[u]$-module is flat if and only if it is torsion-free. Clearly, $V[[u]]$ is a torsion-free $k[u]$-module. \hfill \Box

Lemma 3.3. Let $R$ be a ring, $M$ a left $R$-module and $P_\bullet, Q_\bullet$ bounded below complexes of flat right $R$-modules. If $P_\bullet$ and $Q_\bullet$ are quasi-isomorphic, then

$$H_n(P_\bullet \otimes_R M) \cong H_n(Q_\bullet \otimes_R M).$$

Proof. We know from [28] that, for bounded below complexes of flat right $R$-modules $P_\bullet$ and $Q_\bullet$,

$$H_n(P_\bullet \otimes_R M) \cong \text{Tor}_n^R(P_\bullet, M) \text{ and } H_n(Q_\bullet \otimes_R M) \cong \text{Tor}_n^R(Q_\bullet, M)$$

for each $n$, where Tor is the hypertor. And we have spectral sequences converging to them, that is,

$$E_2^{p,q}(P) = \text{Tor}_p^R(H_q(P_\bullet), M) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^R(P_\bullet, M),$$

$$E_2^{p,q}(Q) = \text{Tor}_p^R(H_q(Q_\bullet), M) \Rightarrow \text{Tor}_{p+q}^R(Q_\bullet, M).$$

$E_2^{p,q}(P) \cong E_2^{p,q}(Q)$ for all $p,q$, as $H_q(P_\bullet) \cong H_q(Q_\bullet)$. It yields that $\text{Tor}_n^R(P_\bullet, M) \cong \text{Tor}_n^R(Q_\bullet, M)$ by using the mapping lemma for $E^\infty$ (see [28]). \hfill \Box

The above two lemmas still hold in the graded module category.

Corollary 3.4 ([9]). If there exists $f : C \to C'$ is a quasi-isomorphic of mixed complexes, then for any graded $k[u]$-module $W$, we have an isomorphism of cyclic homology groups

$$HC_\bullet(C; W) \cong HC_\bullet(C'; W).$$

Using the generalized Eilenberg-Zilber theorem for paracyclic modules, we have

Corollary 3.5. Let $A#_r B$ be a strong smash product algebra, $A\sharp B$ be the cylindrical module defined in [7] and [5]. Then

$$HC_\bullet(A#_r B; W) \cong HC_\bullet(\Delta(A\sharp B); W) \cong HC_\bullet(\text{Tot}(A\sharp B); W).$$

The following corollary will be used in the next section.

Corollary 3.6. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{V})$ be a complex of $k$-modules and $W$ a graded $k[u]$-module. Then for each $n$,

$$H_n(\mathcal{C}[[u]] \otimes_{k[u]} W) = H_n(\mathcal{C})[[u]] \otimes_{k[u]} W.$$

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}[[u]]$ is a complex of flat $k[u]$-modules,

$$H_n(\mathcal{C}[[u]] \otimes_{k[u]} W) = \text{Tor}_n(\mathcal{C}[[u]], W).$$

Note that the differential of the complex $\mathcal{C}[[u]]$ does not depend on $u$. We have a spectral sequence converging to the hypertor whose $E^2$-term is

$$\text{Tor}_p^k(\mathcal{C}[[u]], W).$$

Because $H_q(\mathcal{C})[[u]]$ is also a flat $k[u]$-module, the spectral sequence collapses. We get

$$H_n(\mathcal{C}[[u]] \otimes_{k[u]} W) = \text{Tor}_n(\mathcal{C}[[u]], W) = H_n(\mathcal{C})[[u]] \otimes_{k[u]} W.$$

This completes the proof. \hfill \Box
4 Cyclic homology of a strong smash product algebra

We can also construct a spectral sequence to calculate the cyclic homology of a strong smash product algebra $A\#_{\mu}B$. This is the same as calculating the cyclic homology of $\text{Tot}(A\sharp B)$. The first column of the cylindrical module $A\# B$ plays an important role. Denote by $C^\bullet(A\# B)$ this paracyclic module $A\sharp B(\bullet,0)$.

**Lemma 4.1.** For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_n(A\#_0 B)$ is an $A$-bimodule via the left $A$-module action
\[
a. (b_0, \ldots , b_n | a_0) = (id^{\otimes (n+1)} \otimes m_A) (\Gamma_n(a, b_0, \ldots , b_n) | a_0)\]
and the right $A$-module action
\[(b_0, \ldots , b_n | a_0). a = (b_0, \ldots , b_n | a_0 a)\]
where $\Gamma_n$ is defined in Section 2 and $a_0, a \in A, b_j \in B$.

**Proof.** The right action is trivial. By Proposition 1.3, $R^{-1}$ is also quasitriangular and normal, then the left $A$-module action is well-defined. And both actions are compatible. \hfill \Box

For each $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define a Hochschild complex $(C^\bullet(A, C_p(A\#_0 B)), d)$, whose homology is the Hochschild homology of the algebra $A$ with coefficients in $C_p(A\# B)$ (see [17]). The Hochschild complex is defined explicitly as follows: for any $q \in \mathbb{N}$,
\[C_q(A, C_p(A\#_0 B)) = C_p(A\#_0 B) \otimes A^\otimes q = B^\otimes (p+1) \otimes A \otimes A^\otimes q,\]
the differential $d : C_q(A, C_p(A\#_0 B)) \to C_{q-1}(A, C_p(A\#_0 B))$ is
\[
d(b_0, \ldots , b_p | a_0 | a_1, \ldots , a_q) = ((b_0, \ldots , b_p | a_0). a_1 | a_2, \ldots , a_q) \\
+ \sum_{i=1}^{q-1} (-1)^i(b_0, \ldots , b_p | a_0 | a_1, \ldots , a_i a_{i+1}, \ldots , a_q) \\
+ (-1)^q(a_q(b_0, \ldots , b_p | a_0) | a_1, \ldots , a_{q-1}). \tag{9}\]
Denote this Hochschild homology by $H_\bullet(A, C_p(A\#_0 B))$.

**Corollary 4.2.** $C^\bullet(A, C_p(A\#_0 B))$ is a cylindrical module with the same operators defined for $A\# B$ in (7) and (5).

Indeed, for each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$,
\[C_q(A, C_p(A\#_0 B)) = C_p(A\#_0 B) \otimes A^\otimes q = B^\otimes (p+1) \otimes A \otimes A^\otimes q = A\# B(p, q).\]
Note that $\tilde{b}$ of $A\# B$ is exactly the differential $d$ defined in (9).

Define $C^\bullet(A\#_0 B)$ as the co-invariant space of $C^\bullet(A\#_0 B)$ under the left and right actions of $A$ constructed in Lemma 4.1 i.e.,
\[C^A_\bullet(A\#_0 B) = C^\bullet(A\#_0 B)/\text{span}\{a.x - x.a \mid a \in A, x \in C^\bullet(A\#_0 B)\}.
\]
And we define the following operators on \( C^A_\bullet(A,B) \):

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau_n(b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a) &= f^{n+1,1}(b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1}, R(b_n \otimes a)), \\
\partial_i(b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a) &= (b_0, \ldots, b_{i+1}, b_n \mid a), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < n, \\
\delta_n(b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a) &= \delta_0 \tau_n(b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a), \\
\sigma_j(b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a) &= (b_0, \ldots, b_j, 1, \ldots, b_n \mid a), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n.
\end{align*}
\]

(10)

Use the following notations as in [7], for \( R \),

\[
R(b \otimes a) = a^R \otimes b^R, \quad R_{23}R_{12}(b \otimes a \otimes b) = a_{1}^{R_1} \otimes a_{2}^{R_2} \otimes b^{R_1 R_2}, \quad \text{etc},
\]

and for \( R^{-1} \),

\[
R^{-1}(a \otimes b) = b^r \otimes a^r, \quad R_{23}^{-1}R_{12}^{-1}(a \otimes b) = b_1^{r_1} \otimes b_2^{r_2} \otimes a^{r_1 r_2}, \quad \text{etc},
\]

where \( a, a_1, a_2 \in A \) and \( b, b_1, b_2 \in B \). Then one can check that these operators in (10) are well defined on the co-invariant space. For example,

\[
\tau(a.(b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a_0)) = \tau(b_0^{r_0}, b_1^{r_1}, \ldots, b_n^{r_n} \mid a^{r_1 \cdots r_n} a_0) \\
= (b_0^{r_0}, b_1^{r_1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} \mid a^{r_1 \cdots r_{n-1}} a_0^R) \\
= (b_0^{r_0}, b_1^{r_1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}^{r_{n-1}} \mid a^{r_1 \cdots r_{n-1}} a_0^R) \\
= a^{R_2} \cdot (b_1^{R_1 R_2 b_1^{R_1}, \ldots, b_{n-1}^{R_1} \mid a_0^R), a^{R_2}).
\]

\[
\tau((b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a_0).a) = \tau(b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a_0 a) \\
= (b_0^{R}, b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1} \mid (a_0 a)^R) \\
= (b_0^{R_1 R_2}, b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1} \mid a_0^{R_1} a_{R_2}) \\
= (b_0^{R_1 R_2}, b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1} \mid a_0^{R_1} a^{R_2}).
\]

Proposition 4.3. \( C^A_\bullet(A,B) \) is a cyclic module with operators defined in (10).

**Proof.** We only check that \( \tau_{n+1} = id \). The other identities are similar to check. In the coinvariant subspace, we have

\[
\tau^{n+1}(b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a) = \tau^n(b_0^R, b_0, \ldots, b_{n-1} \mid a^R) \\
= \tau^{n-1}(b_0^{R_2}, b_0^{R_1}, b_0, \ldots, b_{n-2} \mid a_{R_1 R_2}) = \cdots \\
= (b_0^{R_{n+1}}, \ldots, b_{n-1}^{R_2}, b_n^{R_1} \mid a_{R_1 R_2} \cdots R_{n+1}) \\
= (b_0^{R_{n+1}}, \ldots, b_{n-1}^{R_2}, b_n^{R_1} \mid 1) a_{R_1 R_2} \cdots R_{n+1} \\
= a_{R_1 R_2} \cdots R_{n+1} \cdot (b_0^{R_{n+1}}, \ldots, b_{n-1}^{R_2}, b_n^{R_1} \mid 1) \\
= (b_0, \ldots, b_n \mid a).
\]

\[\square\]
In fact, the above proposition is a special case of the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.4.** For any \( q \in \mathbb{N} \), \( H_q(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B)) \) is a cyclic module with \((d_i, s_j, t)\) induced from operators of \( A^\wedge B \) defined in (7). Especially, we have

\[
H_0(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B)) = C_\bullet(A^\wedge B).
\]

**Proof.** We need to check that, \( t_{n,q}^{n+1} \) inducing on \( H_q(A, C_n(A^\wedge B)) \) turns out to be identity. For any \( x \in H_q(A, C_n(A^\wedge B)) \), \( d(x) = 0 \), or equivalently, \( b(x) = 0 \),

\[
(t_{n,q}^{n+1} - id)(x) = (t_{n,q}^{n+1} - t_{n,q}^{n+1} \bar{q}^{n+1})(x) = t_{n,q}^{n+1}(1 - \bar{q}^{n+1})(x) = 0 \in H_q(A, C_n(A^\wedge B)).
\]

Since the barred operators commute with the unbarred operators, all unbarred operators \((d_i, s_j, t)\) are well-defined on \( H_q(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B)) \) preserving the relations (9) and (10). \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.5.** The homology group of the complex

\[
\cdots \to C_q(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B))[\{u\}] \xrightarrow{d} C_{q-1}(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B))[\{u\}] \to \cdots
\]

is \( H_q(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B))[\{u\}] \), for each \( q \).

By Corollary 3.3 in order to calculate the cyclic homology of the strong smash algebra \( A^\wedge B \) with coefficients in \( W \), we can compute the cyclic homology of \( \text{Tot}(A^\wedge B) \) with coefficients in \( W \), that is, the homology of the complex

\[
(Tot(A^\wedge B) \boxtimes W, (b + \bar{b} + uB + uT \bar{B}) \otimes id).
\]

We define a filtration on \( \text{Tot}(A^\wedge B) \boxtimes W \) by rows. Set

\[
F^p_n(\text{Tot}(A^\wedge B) \boxtimes W) = \sum_{i+j=n+2l, i \leq p+2l, \ell \geq 0} (B^{\otimes(i+1)} \otimes A^{\otimes(j+1)})u^l \otimes k[u] W, \text{ for } p \geq 0;
\]

and \( F^p_n(\text{Tot}(A^\wedge B) \boxtimes W) = 0 \), for \( p < 0 \).

The spectral sequence \( E^r_{p,q} \) of this filtration with \( d^r : E^r_{p,q} \to E^r_{p-r,q+r-1} \) starts from

\[
E^0_{p,q} = \sum_{l \geq 0} (B^{\otimes(p+2l+1)} \otimes A^{\otimes(q+1)})u^l \otimes k[u] W,
\]
equipped with \( d^0 = \bar{b} \otimes id : E^0_{p,q} \to E^0_{p,q-1} \).

Recall that \( C_q(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B))[\{u\}] = \sum_{p,l \geq 0} (B^{\otimes(p+2l+1)} \otimes A^{\otimes(q+1)})u^l \),

\[
E^0_{\bullet,q} = C_q(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B)) \boxtimes W.
\]

So from Lemma 4.5 and Corollary 3.6, we get:

**Lemma 4.6.** The \( E^1 \)-term of the spectral sequence is

\[
E^1_{\bullet,q} = H_q(A, C_\bullet(A^\wedge B)) \boxtimes W,
\]
equipped with \( d^1 : E^1_{p,q} \to E^1_{p-1,q} \) that is induced by \((b + uB) \otimes id\).
Theorem 4.7. The $E^2$-term of the spectral sequence is identified with the cyclic homology of the cyclic module $H_n(A, C_\bullet(A^\# B))$ with coefficients in $W$. It converges to the cyclic homology of the strong smash product algebra $A \#_B B$ with coefficients in $W$. That is,

$$E^2_{p,q} = HC_p\left(H_q(A, C_\bullet(A^\# B)); W\right) \Rightarrow HC_{p+q}(A \#_B B; W).$$

In parallel, one can also consider the bottom row of the cylindrical module $A^\#_B B$. We just state the process and indicate the differences here. We skip proofs which are similar as in previous discussions.

Denote the paracyclic module $A^\#_B B(0, \bullet)$ by $C_\bullet(A^\#_B B)$.

Lemma 4.8. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C_n(A^\#_B B)$ is a $B$-bimodule via the left $B$-module action

$$b.(b_0 | a_0, \ldots, a_n) = (bb_0 | a_0, \ldots, a_n)$$

and the right $B$-module action

$$(b_0 | a_0, \ldots, a_n).b = (m_B \otimes id^{\otimes(n+1)}) (b_0 | \Theta_n^{-1}(a_0, \ldots, a_n, b)),
$$

where $\Theta_n$ is defined in Section 2 and $a_i \in A, b_0, b \in B$.

For each $q \in \mathbb{N}$, a Hochschild complex $(C_\bullet(B, C_q(A^\#_B B)), \delta)$ can be defined, its homology is the Hochschild homology of the algebra $B$ with coefficients in $C_q(A^\#_B B)$. The Hochschild complex is defined explicitly as follows: For any $p \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C_p(B, C_q(A^\#_B B)) = C_q(A^\#_B B) \otimes B^{\otimes p} = B \otimes A^{\otimes(q+1)} \otimes B^{\otimes p},$$

the differential $\delta : C_p(B, C_q(A^\#_B B)) \rightarrow C_{p-1}(B, C_q(A^\#_B B))$ is

$$\delta(b_0 | a_0, \ldots, a_q | b_1, \ldots, b_p) = ((b_0 | a_0, \ldots, a_q).b_1 | b_2, \ldots, b_p)$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} (-1)^i (b_0 | a_0, \ldots, a_q | b_1, \ldots, b_i b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_p)$$

$$+ (-1)^p (b_p | b_0 | a_0, \ldots, a_q | b_1, \ldots, b_{p-1}).$$

Denote this Hochschild homology by $H_\bullet(B, C_q(A^\#_B B))$.

A difference occurs here, as the positions of $A$’s and $B$’s are changed.

Corollary 4.9. $C_\bullet(B, C_\bullet(A^\#_B B))$ is a cylindrical module, which is isomorphic to $A^\#_B B$.

Proof. We give the isomorphisms between $C_\bullet(B, C_\bullet(A^\#_B B))$ and $A^\#_B B$, then the bi-paracyclic operators on $C_\bullet(B, C_\bullet(A^\#_B B))$ are constructed from the operators on $A^\#_B B$ through the isomorphisms. In this way, we get the corollary. We give the isomorphisms and the operators on $C_\bullet(B, C_\bullet(A^\#_B B))$ explicitly. For each $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\phi_{p,q} : C_p(B, C_q(A^\#_B B)) \rightarrow A^\#_B B(p, q)$$

$$\phi_{p,q} = (id^{\otimes p} \otimes \Theta_q^{-1}) (id^{\otimes (p-1)} \otimes \Theta_q^{-1} \otimes id) \cdots (id \otimes \Theta_q^{-1} \otimes id^{\otimes (p-1)}),$$

and

$$\psi_{p,q} : A^\#_B B(p, q) \rightarrow C_p(B, C_q(A^\#_B B))$$
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\[\psi_{p,q} = \phi^{-1}_{p,q} = (id \otimes \Theta_q \otimes id^{\otimes(p-1)}(id^{\otimes2} \otimes \Theta_q \otimes id^{\otimes(p-2)}) \cdots (id^{\otimes p} \otimes \Theta_q).\]

We can see that \(\phi \delta = b \phi, \ \psi b = \delta \psi.\)

Hence, the operators \((d_i, s_j, t, \tilde{d}_i, \tilde{s}_j, \tilde{t})\) on \(C_p(B, C_q(A^1_B))\) are defined as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{d}_i^{p,q} &= \psi_{p-1, q} d_i^{p,q} \phi_{p,q}, \\
\bar{d}_i^{p,q} &= \psi_{p,q-1} d_i^{p,q} \phi_{p,q}, \\
\bar{s}_j^{p,q} &= \psi_{p+1, q} s_j^{p,q} \phi_{p,q}, \\
\bar{s}_j^{p,q} &= \psi_{p,q+1} s_j^{p,q} \phi_{p,q}, \\
\bar{t}_{p,q} &= \psi_{p,q} t_{p,q} \phi_{p,q}, \\
\bar{t}_{p,q} &= \psi_{p,q} t_{p,q} \phi_{p,q}.
\end{align*}
\]

Define \(C^B_\bullet(A^1_B)\) as the co-invariant space of \(C_\bullet(A^1_B)\) under the left and right actions given in Lemma \ref{lem:co-invariant-space}, i.e.,

\[
C^B_\bullet(A^1_B) = C_\bullet(A^1_B)/\text{span}\{b.x - x.b \mid b \in B, x \in C_\bullet(A^1_B)\}.
\]

And we define the following operators on \(C^B_\bullet(A^1_B)\):

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau'_n(b \mid a_0, \ldots, a_n) &= (R^{-1}(a_n \otimes b), a_0, \ldots, a_{n-1}), \\
\delta'_n(b \mid a_0, \ldots, a_n) &= (b \mid a_0, \ldots, a_i a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i < n, \\
\sigma'_n(b \mid a_0, \ldots, a_n) &= \delta'_n, \\
\sigma'_j(b \mid a_0, \ldots, a_n) &= (b \mid a_0, \ldots, a_j, 1, \ldots, a_n), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq n.
\end{align*}
\]

Indeed, \(\tau'_n\) is induced by \(\tilde{t}_{0,n}\), as \(\psi_{p,q} = id\) and \(\phi_{p,q} = id\) when \(p\) is 0. One can check that these operators are well defined on the co-invariant space.

**Theorem 4.10.** For any \(p \in \mathbb{N}\), \(H_p(B, C_\bullet(A^1_B))\) is a cyclic module with \((\tilde{a}_i, \tilde{s}_j, \tilde{t})\) induced from operators of \(C_\bullet(B, C_\bullet(A^1_B))\) defined in \((12)\). Especially, we have

\[
H_0(B, C_\bullet(A^1_B)) = C^B_\bullet(A^1_B)
\]

is a cyclic module with operators defined in \((13)\).

We define a filtration on \(\text{Tot}(A^2_B) \boxtimes W\) by columns. Set

\[
\tilde{F}^\circ_n(\text{Tot}(A^2_B) \boxtimes W) = \sum_{\substack{i+j = n+2l, \ \ j \leq q+2l, \ \ l \geq 0}} (B \otimes (i+1) \otimes A \otimes (j+1)) u^l \otimes k[u] W, \text{ for } q \geq 0;
\]

and \(\tilde{F}^\circ_n(\text{Tot}(A^2_B) \boxtimes W) = 0\) for \(q < 0\).

The spectral sequence \(\tilde{E}^r\) of this filtration with \(\tilde{d}^r : \tilde{E}^r_{q,p} \to \tilde{E}^r_{q-r,p+r-1}\) starts from

\[
\tilde{E}^0_{q,p} = \sum_{l \geq 0} (B \otimes (p+1) \otimes A \otimes (q+2l+1)) u^l \otimes k[u] W,
\]

equipped with \(\tilde{d}^0 = b \otimes id : \tilde{E}^0_{q,p} \to \tilde{E}^0_{q,p-1}\).
Lemma 4.11. The $E^1$-term of the spectral sequence is
\[ \tilde{E}^1_{q,p} = H_p(B, C_\bullet(A^2_B)) \boxtimes W, \]
equipped with $d^1 : \tilde{E}^1_{q,p} \rightarrow \tilde{E}^1_{q-1,p}$ that is induced by $(\tilde{b} + u \bar{B}) \otimes id$.

Theorem 4.12. The $E^2$-term of the spectral sequence is identified with the cyclic homology of the cyclic module $H\bullet(B, C_\bullet(A^2_B))$ with coefficients in $W$. It converges to the cyclic homology of the strong smash product algebra $A \#_R B$ with coefficients in $W$. That is,
\[ \tilde{E}^2_{q,p} \cong HC_q\left(H_p(B, C_\bullet(A^2_B)); W\right) \Rightarrow HC_{p+q}(A \#_R B; W). \]

By Proposition 1.1.13 of [17], we can use the derived functor $\text{Tor}$ to express the Hochschild homology of an algebra $A$ with coefficients in $M$ which is an $A$-bimodule, that is,
\[ H_n(A, M) \cong \text{Tor}^A_n(M, A), \]
where $A^e = A \otimes A^{op}$. For a separable algebra that is projective over its enveloping algebra, its homology with coefficients in any module is zero. Hence, the spectral sequence collapses at $E^2$, that is, $E^2_{p,q} = 0$ for all $p, q$ unless $q = 0$. So we have

Corollary 4.13. If the algebra $A$ (resp. $B$) is separable, then there is a natural isomorphism of cyclic homology groups
\[ HC_n(A \#_R B; W) \cong HC_n(C^A_\bullet(A_B^2); W), \]
(resp., $HC_n(A \#_R B; W) \cong HC_n(C^B_\bullet(A_B^2); W)$).

From the above results, one can observe that our theorems take advantage of good homological property of either of two subalgebras. Even in the case of the crossed product algebra $A \rtimes H$, where $H$ is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode and $A$ is an $H$-module algebra, the “nice” homological property of $A$ sometimes will play a key role in computing the cyclic homology of the crossed product, by comparison with the homological property of $H$ being weak. We will illustrate this point by examples in the next section.

5 Examples

5.1 In this subsection, we apply our theorems to Majid’s double crossproduct of Hopf algebras which is inspired by bismash product of groups defined by Takeuchi [20]. Bismash product of groups is a generalization of semiproduct of groups. In order to define this product, he provided the notion of a matched pair of groups. Given a matched pair of groups $(G,K)$, the bismash product of $G$ and $K$ denoted by $G \bowtie K$ is still a group.

The theory is developed by Majid [18]. He defined a matched pair of Hopf algebras and constructed a product Hopf algebra which he called a double crossproduct of Hopf algebras. Using this new definition he provided another way to construct Drinfeld’s quantum double. We start by recalling the definition due to Majid [18].

Definition 5.1 ([18]). A pair $(B,H)$ of Hopf algebras is said to be matched if $B$ is a left $H$-module coalgebra via $\alpha$, and $H$ is a right $B$-module coalgebra via $\beta$,
\[ \alpha : H \otimes B \rightarrow B, \quad \alpha(h \otimes b) = h \rhd b, \quad \beta : H \otimes B \rightarrow H, \quad \beta(h \otimes b) = h \triangleleft b, \]
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such that the following equalities hold for $\forall b,c \in B,h,g \in H$.

\begin{align}
(14) \quad & h \triangleright 1_B = \varepsilon_B(h) 1_B, \quad h \triangleright (bc) = \sum \left( h(1) \triangleright b(1) \right) \left( (h(2) \triangleleft b(2)) \triangleright c \right) \\
(15) \quad & 1_B \triangleleft b = 1_B \varepsilon_B(b), \quad (hg) \triangleleft b = \sum \left( h \triangleleft (g(1) \triangleright b(1)) \right) \left( g(2) \triangleleft b(2) \right) \\
(16) \quad & \sum h(1) \triangleleft b(1) \otimes h(2) \triangleright b(2) = h(2) \triangleleft b(2) \otimes h(1) \triangleright b(1).
\end{align}

The double crossproduct $B \bowtie H$ is a Hopf algebra equipped with

\begin{align*}
(b \otimes h)(c \otimes g) &= b(h(1) \triangleright c(1)) \otimes (h(2) \triangleleft c(2))g \\
\Delta(b \otimes h) &= b(1) \otimes h(1) \otimes b(2) \otimes h(2) \\
\varepsilon(b \otimes h) &= \varepsilon_B(b) \varepsilon_H(h) \\
S(b \otimes h) &= (1 \otimes S_H h)(S_B b \otimes 1).
\end{align*}

We need the following lemma in the proof of Proposition 5.2.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let $(B, H)$ be a matched pair of Hopf algebras. If $H$ and $B$ have invertible antipodes, then the double crossproduct of $B$ and $H$ denoted by $B \bowtie H$ is a strong smash product algebra. In particular, the group algebra of the bismash product of a matched pair of groups is a strong smash product algebra.

We need the following lemma in the proof of Proposition 5.2.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let $(B, H)$ be a matched pair of Hopf algebras. If both $H$ and $B$ have invertible antipodes, then we have the following identities:

\begin{align}
(17) \quad & S_B^{-1}(h \triangleright b) = (h \triangleleft b(2)) \triangleright S_B^{-1}(b(1)), \\
(18) \quad & S_H^{-1}(h \triangleleft b) = S_H^{-1}(h(2)) \triangleleft (h(1) \triangleright b).
\end{align}

**Proof.** Since $(B, \eta, m, \Delta, \varepsilon_B, S_B)$ is a Hopf algebra, then $(B^{op}, \eta^{op}, m^{op}, \Delta^{op}, \varepsilon_B, S_B^{op})$ is also a Hopf algebra. Denote the convolution map on $\text{Hom}(B^{op}, B^{op})$ by $\ast'$. Define the operator $T \in \text{End}_k(\triangleright_B)$ by

$$T(h \triangleright b) := (h \triangleleft b(2)) \triangleright S_B^{-1}(b(1)).$$

We should check that

$$(id \ast' T)(h \triangleright b) = \varepsilon_B(h \triangleright b) 1_B \quad \text{and} \quad (T \ast' id)(h \triangleright b) = \varepsilon_B(h \triangleright b) 1_B.$$

Actually, we only need to check the first equality. Indeed, if it holds, then

\begin{align*}
T(h \triangleright b) &= (\varepsilon_B \ast' T)(h \triangleright b) = ((S_B^{-1} \ast' id) \ast' T)(h \triangleright b) \\
&= (S_B^{-1} \ast' (id \ast' T))(h \triangleright b) = (S_B^{-1} \ast' \varepsilon_B)(h \triangleright b) = S_B^{-1}(h \triangleright b).
\end{align*}

From (14) and (15), we have

\begin{align*}
(id \ast' T)(h \triangleright b) &= \left( h(2) \triangleright b(2) \right) T(h(1) \triangleright b(1)) \\
&= \left( h(2) \triangleright b(2) \right) ((h(1) \triangleleft b(2)) \triangleright S_B^{-1}(b(1))) \\
&= \left( h(1) \triangleright b(1) \right) ((h(2) \triangleleft b(3)) \triangleright S_B^{-1}(b(1))) \\
&= h \triangleright (b(2) S_B^{-1}(b(1))) = \varepsilon_B(b) h \triangleright 1_B = \varepsilon_H(h) \varepsilon_B(b) 1_B \\
&= \varepsilon_B(h \triangleright b) 1_B.
\end{align*}

Using the same method, we can prove (18).
**Proof of Proposition 5.2.** We need to construct an isomorphism \( R \) from \( H \otimes B \) to \( B \otimes H \), which is quasitriangular and normal. For \( b \in B, h \in H \), set

\[
R(h \otimes b) = \sum h_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \triangleleft b_{(2)}.
\]

1) \( R \) is quasitriangular: \( \forall h, g \in H, b \in B, \)

\[
(id \otimes m)R_{12}R_{23}(h \otimes g \otimes b) = \sum (id \otimes m)R_{12}(h \otimes g_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)} \otimes g_{(2)} \triangleleft b_{(2)})
\]

\[
= h_{(1)} \triangleright (g_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)})_{(1)} \otimes \left( h_{(2)} \triangleleft (g_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)})_{(2)} \right) \left( g_{(2)} \triangleleft b_{(2)} \right)
\]

\[
= h_{(1)} \triangleright (g_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)}) \otimes \left( h_{(2)} \triangleleft (g_{(2)} \triangleright b_{(2)}) \right) \left( g_{(3)} \triangleleft b_{(3)} \right)
\]

\[
= \sum (h_{(1)}g_{(1)}) \triangleright b_{(1)} \otimes (h_{(2)}g_{(2)}) \triangleleft b_{(2)} = R(hg \otimes b).
\]

The third equality holds due to the \( H \)-module coalgebra structure of \( B \), and the forth equality holds because of (11). Similarly, one can prove that \( R \circ (id \otimes m) = (m \otimes id)R_{23}R_{12} \).

2) \( R \) is normal: \( \forall h \in H, \)

\[
R(h \otimes 1_b) = \sum h_{(1)} \triangleright 1_b \otimes h_{(2)} \triangleleft 1_b = \varepsilon(h_{(1)})1_b \otimes h_{(2)} = 1_b \otimes h.
\]

Similarly, one can prove that \( R(1_H \otimes b) = b \otimes 1_H \).

3) \( R \) is invertible: For \( \forall b \in B, h \in H \), set \( r : B \otimes H \rightarrow H \otimes B \)

\[
r(b \otimes h) := h_{(3)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(3)}) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(1)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(2)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(1)}.
\]

We claim that \( r \) is the inverse of \( R \).

\[
R \circ r(b \otimes h) = R(h_{(3)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(3)}) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(1)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(2)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(1)})
\]

\[
= \left( h_{(3)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(3)}) \right) \right) \otimes \left( \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(1)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(2)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(1)} \right)
\]

\[
= \left( h_{(5)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(4)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(6)}) \right) \right) \otimes \left( \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(4)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(1)} \right)
\]

\[
= \left( h_{(5)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(4)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(6)}) \right) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(4)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(1)}
\]

\[
= \left( h_{(5)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(4)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(6)}) \right) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(4)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(1)}
\]

\[
\otimes h_{(6)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(3)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(5)}) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(1)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(3)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(2)}
\]

\[
= \left( h_{(5)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(4)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(6)}) \right) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(4)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(1)}
\]

\[
\otimes h_{(6)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(3)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(5)}) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(1)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(3)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(2)}
\]

\[
= \left( h_{(5)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(4)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(6)}) \right) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(4)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(1)}
\]

\[
\otimes h_{(6)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(3)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(5)}) \right) \otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(1)}) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(3)}) \right) \triangleright b_{(2)}
\]

\[
= \left( h_{(3)}S^{-1}_H(h_{(2)}) \right) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(b_{(4)}) \triangleright b_{(1)} \otimes h_{(4)} \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H(h_{(1)}) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b_{(3)}) \right) \triangleright 1_B
\]

\[
= b \otimes h.
\]

The third and the forth equalities above are due to the \( B \)-module coalgebra structure of \( H \) and the \( H \)-module coalgebra structure of \( B \). The fifth equality is due to (11). The sixth and the last equalities hold because of (14) and (14).

\[
r \circ R(h \otimes b) = r(\sum h_{(1)} \triangleright b_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)} \triangleleft b_{(2)})
\]
The fifth equality above holds because of (17) and (18).

Given a matched pair of Hopf algebra \((B,H)\), if each of \(B\) and \(H\) has an invertible antipode, then

\[
= (h(2) \triangleleft b(2))(3) \triangleleft \left( S^{-1}_H((h(2) \triangleleft b(2))(2)) \triangleright S^{-1}_B((h(1) \triangleright b(1))(3)) \right)
\]

\[
\otimes \left( S^{-1}_H((h(2) \triangleleft b(2))(3)) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B((h(1) \triangleright b(1))(2)) \right) \triangleright (h(1) \triangleright b(1))(1)
\]

\[
= h(6) \triangleleft b(6) \left( S^{-1}_H(h(5) \triangleleft b(5)) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(h(3) \triangleright b(3)) \right)
\]

\[
\otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h(4) \triangleleft b(4)) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(h(2) \triangleright b(2)) \right) h(1) \triangleright b(1)
\]

\[
= h(6) \triangleleft b(6) \left( S^{-1}_H(h(5) \triangleleft b(5)) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(h(4) \triangleright b(4)) \right)
\]

\[
\otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h(3) \triangleleft b(3)) \triangleleft S^{-1}_B(h(2) \triangleright b(2)) \right) h(1) \triangleright b(1)
\]

\[
= h(7) \triangleleft b(7) \left( S^{-1}_H(h(6) \triangleleft b(6))(h(5) \triangleleft b(5)) \triangleright S^{-1}_B(b(4)) \right)
\]

\[
\otimes \left( S^{-1}_H(h(3) \triangleleft b(3)) \triangleleft (h(2) \triangleright b(2))S^{-1}_B(h(2) \triangleright b(2)) \right) h(1) \triangleright b(1)
\]

\[
= h(3) \triangleleft b(3) S^{-1}_B(b(2)) \otimes S^{-1}_H(h(2)) h(1) \triangleright b(1)
\]

\[
= h \otimes b.
\]

The fifth equality above holds because of (17) and (18).

For \((G,K)\) a matched pair of groups, since \(k[G \rtimes K] = k[G] \bowtie k[K]\), it is a strong smash product algebra.

Therefore, thanks to Theorems 4.7 and 4.12 we have

**Corollary 5.4.** Given a matched pair of Hopf algebra \((B,H)\), if each of \(B\) and \(H\) has an invertible antipode, then

\[
\text{HC}_p \left( \text{H}_q(B, C^\bullet(B_H)); W \right) \Rightarrow \text{HC}_{p+q}(B \bowtie H; W),
\]

\[
\text{HC}_q \left( \text{H}_p(H, C^\bullet(B^2_H)); W \right) \Rightarrow \text{HC}_{p+q}(B \bowtie H; W).
\]

For a finite group \(G\) and an arbitrary \(G\)-bimodule \(M\), since \(k[G]\) is semisimple, \(\text{H}_n(k[G], M)\) is 0 for all \(n\) except for \(n = 0\). Then by the above corollary, Theorems 4.3 and 4.10 we have

**Corollary 5.5.** Given a matched pair of finite groups \((G,K)\), then

\[
\text{HC}_n(k[G \bowtie K]; W) \cong \text{HC}_n(C^G(\hat{G}^K); W),
\]

\[
\text{HC}_n(k[G \bowtie K]; W) \cong \text{HC}_n(C^K(\hat{G}^G_K); W).
\]

If \(H\) is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then the antipode of \(H\) is always invertible (see, Corollary 5.6.1 of [23]). Using the adjoint action of \(H\) on itself, Majid in Example 4.6 of [19] constructed a matched pair \((H,H^{\text{cop}})\) and deduced the Drinfeld’s quantum double \(D(H) = H^{\text{cop}} \bowtie H\). By Corollaries 5.4 and 5.5 we have

**Corollary 5.6.** If \(H\) is a finite dimensional Hopf algebra, then

\[
\text{HC}_q \left( \text{H}_p(H, C^\bullet(H^{\text{cop}}_H)); W \right) \Rightarrow \text{HC}_{p+q}(D(H); W).
\]

If moreover, \(H\) is semisimple (equivalently, there is an integral \(t \in H\) with \(\varepsilon(t) = 1\), then

\[
\text{HC}_n(D(H); W) \cong \text{HC}_n(C^H(\hat{H}^{\text{cop}}_H); W).
\]
Remark 5.7. Actually, any Drinfeld’s quantum double turns out to be of Majid’s double crossproduct structure (see [19]), while the recently appeared attractive objects, such as the two-parameter or the multiparameter (restricted) quantum (affine) groups, the pointed Hopf algebras arising from Nichols algebras of diagonal type (cf. [4, 5, 12, 13, 14, 22, 2, 3, 10] and references therein), are of Drinfeld’s double structures (under certain conditions for the root of unity cases). Thereby, our machinery established for the strong smash product algebras is indeed suitable to a large class of many interesting Hopf algebras.

5.2 The following first example comes from the rank 1 case (modified) of the smash product algebra $\mathcal{A}_q \# \mathcal{D}_q$ introduced in [11] (p.525, subsection 3.5), which was used to define intrinsically and construct a quantum Weyl algebra $W_q(2n)$. Although our example here is still a crossed product algebra, Proposition 5.3 in [1], under the assumption of the Hopf algebra $H$ being semisimple (so automatically finite dimensional), does not work for our example.

Example 5.8. Let $q \in k$ be an $N$-th primitive root of unity. Define $\mathcal{A}$ to be $k[x]/(x^N-1)$ which is isomorphic to the group algebra $k[\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}]$. Define $\mathcal{D}$ to be the associative $k$-algebra generated by $\partial, \sigma^\pm$, subject to relation $\sigma^{-1}\partial\sigma = q\partial$. $\mathcal{D}$ is a Hopf algebra with the coproduct, counit, and antipode defined as follows:

\[
\Delta(\partial) = \partial \otimes 1 + \sigma \otimes \partial, \quad \Delta(\sigma) = \sigma \otimes \sigma, \quad \varepsilon(\partial) = 0, \quad \varepsilon(\sigma) = 1,
\]

\[
S(\partial) = -\sigma^{-1}\partial, \quad S(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}.
\]

The antipode of $\mathcal{D}$ is invertible, as $S^{2N} = id$. One can calculate that $S^{-1}(\partial) = -\partial\sigma^{-1}$ and $S^{-1}(\sigma) = \sigma^{-1}$. Let $(n)_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$ for $0 < n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $(N)_q = 0$.

Lemma 5.9. ([11]) $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-module algebra via $\partial.1 = 0$, $\sigma.1 = 1$, and for $n > 0$,

\[
\partial.x^n = (n)_q x^{n-1}, \quad \sigma.x^n = q^n x^n.
\]

Proof. We should first check that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-module. Indeed, if $n > 0$,

\[
(\sigma^{-1}\partial\sigma).x^n = q(n)_q x^{n-1} = q\partial.x^n,
\]

\[
\partial.x^N = (N)_q x^{N-1} = 0 = \partial.1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma.x^N = q^N x^N = 1.
\]

From direct calculation, we get

\[
(\partial.x^i)x^j + (\sigma.x^i)(\partial.x^j) = (i+j)_q x^{i+j-1} = \partial.(x^ix^j).
\]

This completes the proof.

As we stated in Example 1.5, the crossed product $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D}$ is a strong smash product algebra, since $\mathcal{D}$ is a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode. For example, $R(\partial \otimes x^n) = (n)_qx^{n-1} \otimes 1 + q^n x^n \otimes \partial$, $R(\sigma \otimes x^n) = q^n x^n \otimes \sigma$ and $R^{-1}(x^n \otimes \partial) = q^{-n} \partial \otimes x^n - q^{-n}(n)_q \otimes x^{n-1}$ for $n > 0$.

Since $\mathcal{A}$ is a group algebra of a finite group, then it is a semisimple Hopf algebra, so the spectral sequence collapses and we have

Corollary 5.10.

\[
HC_n(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{D}; W) \cong HC_n\big(C^\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{D}; W\big).
\]
Example 5.11. Let $\mathcal{D}_N$ be the quotient algebra of $\mathcal{D}$ by the ideal $\langle \partial^N \rangle$. As $\langle \partial^N \rangle$ is a Hopf ideal (owing to $\Delta(\partial^N) = \sum_{s=0}^{n} \binom{n}{s} \sigma^s \partial^{n-s} \otimes \partial$ and $\Delta(\partial^N) = \partial^N \otimes 1 + \sigma^N \otimes \partial^N$), $\mathcal{D}_N$ is a Hopf algebra. In particular, when $N = 2$, $\mathcal{D}_2$ is nothing but the Pareigis’ Hopf algebra $\mathcal{P}$ (see [21] or the next subsection for definition).

Furthermore, consider the quotient Hopf algebra $\mathcal{D}_N$ of $\mathcal{D}_N$ by the Hopf ideal $\langle \sigma^N - 1 \rangle$. $\mathcal{D}_N$ is just the Taft algebra. Cyclic homology of the Taft algebra as a special truncated quiver algebra is computed by Taillefer [24].

5.3 This subsection is devoted to effectively computing the cyclic homology of the Pareigis’ Hopf algebra $\mathcal{P}$ using our theory.

In [21], Pareigis defined a noncommutative and noncocommutative Hopf algebra $\mathcal{P}$, which links closely the category of complexes and the category of comodules over $\mathcal{P}$. That is, the category of complexes is equivalent as a tensor category to the category of comodules over $\mathcal{P}$. Explicitly, $\mathcal{P}$ is defined to be the quotient algebra of the free algebra $k\langle s, t, t^{-1} \rangle$ by the two sided ideal that is generated by

$$tt^{-1} - 1, \ t^{-1}t - 1, \ s^2, \ st + ts.$$ 

Then $\mathcal{P}$ turns out to be a Hopf algebra with the following coproduct, counit and antipode,

$$\Delta(t) = t \otimes t, \ \varepsilon(t) = 1, \ S(t) = t^{-1};$$
$$\Delta(s) = s \otimes 1 + t^{-1} \otimes s, \ \varepsilon(s) = 0, \ S(s) = st.$$ 

$\mathcal{P}$ can be regarded as the crossed product algebra of $k[s]/s^2$ and $k[t, t^{-1}]$, where $k[s]/s^2$ is a module algebra over $k[t, t^{-1}]$ with the conjugate action $t.s = ts^{-1} = -s$. Denote by $D$ the algebra of dual number $k[s]/s^2$, and by $T$ the Laurent polynomial ring $k[t, t^{-1}]$.

$$\mathcal{P} \cong D \rtimes T.$$ 

$\mathcal{P}$ is a strong smash product algebra $D \# kT$ with the invertible $R : T \otimes D \to D \otimes T$ defined to be

$$R(t^r \otimes s) = (-1)^r (s \otimes t^r).$$

Let $W = k[u]/uk[u]$. We would like to compute the Hochschild homology of $\mathcal{P}$ first. Consider the cyclic module $E_{\bullet, q}^1 = H_q(D, C_{\bullet}(D \# T)) \cong \text{Tor}_q^{D^e}(D, C_{\bullet}(D \# T))$. Its face maps, degeneracy maps, and cyclic operators are induced by the corresponding operators defined in [7] for the cylindrical module $D_2T(\bullet, q)$.

Using the following resolution of $D$ by projective $D^e$-modules (see e.g., [28])

$$R_{\bullet} : \cdots \xrightarrow{\nu} D^e \xrightarrow{\mu} D^e \xrightarrow{\nu} D^e \xrightarrow{\mu} D^e \xrightarrow{m} D \to 0,$$

where $\mu = 1 \otimes s - s \otimes 1, \nu = 1 \otimes s + s \otimes 1, \ m$ is the product of $D$, we get

$$H_q(D, C_p(D \# T)) \cong \begin{cases} T_{\otimes(p+1)} \otimes 1 \oplus T_{\otimes(p+1)}^o \otimes s & \text{for } q = 0 \\ T_{\otimes(p+1)} \otimes 1 \oplus T_{\otimes(p+1)}^o \otimes s & \text{for } q = 2n - 1 > 0, \\ T_{\otimes(p+1)} \otimes 1 \oplus T_{\otimes(p+1)}^o \otimes s & \text{for } q = 2n > 0 \end{cases},$$

where

$$T_{\otimes(p+1)}^o := k\{(t^{r_0}, \ldots, t^{r_p}) | r_0 + \cdots + r_p \text{ is even}\},$$
$$T_{\otimes(p+1)} : = k\{(t^{r_0}, \ldots, t^{r_p}) | r_0 + \cdots + r_p \text{ is odd}\}.$$
In order to specify the operators of the cyclic module \( H_q(D, C_\bullet(D^\mathbb{Z}T)) \), we should represent the elements of \( H_q(D, C_\bullet(D^\mathbb{Z}T)) \) by elements of \( D^\mathbb{Z}T(\bullet,q) \). According to the Comparison Theorem, there is a unique chain map lifting \( \text{id}_D \) from the resolution \( R_\bullet \) to the bar resolution of \( D \) up to chain homotopy equivalence. This required chain map \( \zeta_\bullet \) is defined as follows,

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
\cdots & \nu & D^e & \mu & D^e & \nu & D^e & m & D \\
\cdots & b' & \sigma_2 & \zeta_1 & \zeta_0 & id & D \\
& b'' \circ \gamma & b' & \sigma_1 & b' & \sigma_0 & b' & D \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( \zeta_n : D^e \to D^\otimes(n+2) \) and

\[
\begin{align*}
\zeta_0 &= \text{id}, \\
\zeta_n(s \otimes s) &= s^\otimes(n+2), \\
\zeta_n(1 \otimes 1) &= -1 \otimes s^\otimes n \otimes 1,
\end{align*}
\]

Hence,

\[
E^1_{p,q} = H_q(D, C_p(D^\mathbb{Z}T)) \cong \begin{cases} T^\otimes(p+1) \otimes 1 \oplus T^\otimes(p+1) \otimes s & \text{for } q = 0 \\
T^\otimes(p+1) \otimes 1 \oplus \oplus T^\otimes(p+1) \otimes s^\otimes 2n & \text{for } q = 2n - 1 > 0 \\
T^\otimes(p+1) \otimes 1 \oplus \oplus T^\otimes(p+1) \otimes s^\otimes(2n+1) & \text{for } q = 2n > 0
\end{cases}
\]

The cyclic operator \( \tau \) on \( H_q(D, C_p(D^\mathbb{Z}T)) \) is defined via

\[
\tau(t^{r_0}, \ldots, t^{r_p} \mid s^l, \ldots, s^l) = (-1)^{(l+p)r_p}(t^{r_p}, t^{r_0}, \ldots, t^{r_p-1} \mid s^l, \ldots, s^l), \text{ where } l = 0, 1.
\]

We can describe the cyclic modules \( E^1_{p,q} \) simply. Let \( C_\bullet(T) \) be the cyclic module of the algebra \( T \). Since the face maps, degeneracy maps, and the cyclic operators of \( C_\bullet(T) \) do not change the total degree of \( t \), \( C_\bullet(T) \) can be decomposed into the direct sum of two sub-cyclic modules \( C_\bullet(T)^{ev} \) and \( C_\bullet(T)^{od} \) with \( C_p(T)^{ev} = T_p^\otimes(p+1) \) and \( C_p(T)^{od} = T_{p+1}^\otimes(p+1) \). Let \( C_\bullet(T)^{ev} \) be the cyclic module with \( C_p(T)^{ev} = T_p^\otimes(p+1) \) and the operators

\[
\begin{align*}
\tau(t^{r_0}, t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_n}) &= (-1)^n (t^{r_n}, t^{r_0}, \ldots, t^{r_{n-1}}), \\
\partial_i(t^{r_0}, t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_n}) &= (t^{r_0}, \ldots, t^{r_i}, t^{r_{i+1}}, \ldots, t^{r_n}), & 0 \leq i < n, \\
\partial_l(t^{r_0}, t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_n}) &= (-1)^n (t^{r_{n-1}}, t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_0}), & 0 \leq j \leq n, \\
\sigma_j(t^{r_0}, t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_n}) &= (t^{r_0}, \ldots, t^{r_j}, 1, t^{r_{j+1}}, \ldots, t^{r_n}), & 0 \leq j \leq n,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r_0 + \cdots + r_n \) is an even integer.

**Corollary 5.12.** \( E^1_{0,0} \) is identified with \( C_\bullet(T) \oplus C_\bullet(T)^{ev} \) as cyclic modules; for \( n > 0 \), \( E^1_{n,n} \) is identified with \( C_\bullet(T)^{od} \oplus C_\bullet(T)^{ev} \) as cyclic modules.

**Lemma 5.13.** The Hochschild homology of \( \langle C_\bullet(T)^{ev}, \partial \rangle \) is 0.

**Proof.** Indeed, we can construct a chain contraction \( \{ h_n : C_n(T)^{ev} \to C_{n+1}(T)^{ev} \} \) of the identity chain map. That is,

\[
h_n(t^{r_0}, t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_n}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i (t^{r_0-1}, t^{r_1}, \ldots, t^{r_i}, t^{r_{i+1}}, \ldots, t^{r_n}).
\]

We can check directly that \( \partial h_n + h_{n-1} \partial = \text{id} \). Hence \( H_n(C_\bullet(T)^{ev}, \partial) = 0 \), for all \( n \geq 0 \). \( \square \)
Since $\mathrm{HH}_n(T) \cong \begin{cases} T & \text{for } n = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$ and $\mathrm{HH}_n(T)_{\text{od}} \cong \begin{cases} T_{\text{od}} & \text{for } n = 0, 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } n \geq 2 \end{cases}$, we obtain that

$$E^2_{p,q} = 0, \forall \ p \neq 0, 1;$$

$$E^2_{0,0} = E^2_{1,0} = T \quad \text{and} \quad E^2_{0,q} = E^2_{1,q} = T_{\text{od}}, \text{ for } q > 0.$$ 

So the spectral sequence collapses at $E^2$, and $\mathrm{HH}_n(\mathcal{P}) = \bigoplus_{p+q=n} E^2_{p,q}$.

**Corollary 5.14.** The Hochschild homology of $\mathcal{P}$ is

$$\mathrm{HH}_n(\mathcal{P}) \cong \begin{cases} T & \text{for } n = 0 \\ T \oplus T_{\text{od}} & \text{for } n = 1 \\ T_{\text{od}} \oplus T_{\text{od}} & \text{for } n > 1 \end{cases}.$$ 

The cyclic homology of $T$ is well-known (see e.g., p.337 in [28])

$$\mathrm{HC}_n(T) \cong \begin{cases} T & \text{for } n = 0 \\ k & \text{for } n > 0 \end{cases}.$$ 

Thanks to the short exact sequences $0 \to \mathrm{HC}_{n-1}(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathrm{HH}_n(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathrm{HC}_n(\mathcal{P}) \to 0$ (see e.g., [17] Theorem 4.1.13), where $\mathrm{HC}_n(\mathcal{P}) := \mathrm{HH}_n(\mathcal{P})/\mathrm{HH}_n(T)$ and $\mathrm{HC}_n(\mathcal{P}) := \mathrm{HC}_n(\mathcal{P})/\mathrm{HC}_n(T)$, we get the cyclic homology of $\mathcal{P}$.

**Proposition 5.15.**

$$\mathrm{HC}_n(\mathcal{P}) \cong \begin{cases} T & \text{for } n = 0 \\ T_{\text{od}} \oplus k & \text{for } n > 0 \end{cases}.$$ 

**Remark 5.16.** The Laurent polynomial ring $T$ is isomorphic to the group algebra $k[\mathbb{Z}]$. If making use of the results of [9], one can construct another spectral sequence $E'_p, q$ with $E'_p, q = 0$ for $\forall q \neq 0, 1$, converging to the cyclic homology of $\mathcal{P}$. In this way, it remains to determine $d^2 : E^2_{p+2,0} \to E^2_{p,1}$ to achieve $E^3$. Since this spectral sequence collapses at $E^3$, one then does more.
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