Efficacy of Slow Rate Ventriculolumbar Perfusion Chemotherapy for Leptomeningeal Carcinomatosis: Interim Result of a Phase II Study
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Background

To evaluate the efficacy of modified ventriculolumbar perfusion (VLP) chemotherapy with methotrexate on leptomeningeal carcinomatosis in terms of symptomatic response and side effects.

Methods

Previous infusion rate of 20 mL/h was reduced to 15 mL/h for the purpose of decreasing constitutional side effects of VLP such as nausea/vomiting, insomnia and confusion. The primary outcome was the response rate of increased intracranial pressure (ICP), and the secondary outcome was the occurrence of side effects compared to previous 20 mL/h trial. This interim analysis to validate the reduced infusion rate is not to affect the original effect of VLP chemotherapy.

Results

All forty-seven patients were enrolled including 22 patients with increased ICP. Thirteen patients out of these (59%) got normalized ICP after VLP chemotherapy. Moderate to severe (grade 2–3) confusion was observed in 3 patients (6%) and it was significantly reduced compared to those (23%) in the VLP 20 mL/h (p=0.017). Grade 2–3 nausea/vomiting was also reduced from 64% to 45% but failed to reach statistical significance (p=0.08). Median overall survival (OS) was 5.3 months (95% confidence interval, 3.55–7.05) and patients OS, who received maintenance VLP was significantly prolonged compared to patients who underwent induction VLP only (5.8 vs. 3.4 months, p=0.025).

Conclusion

VLP of reduced perfusion rate (15 mL/h) showed compatible control rate of increased ICP at this interim analysis. Decreased moderate to severe side effects and prolonged OS in patients received maintenance VLP encourage us to evaluate the effectiveness of this trial further.
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INTRODUCTION

Leptomeningeal carcinomatosis (LMC) is one of the most formidable, incurable, terminal-stage, central nervous system (CNS) solid tumor diseases, and is devastating to patient function. Intra-cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) chemotherapy has resulted in marginal survival prolongation of 4–9 months [1–4].

However, the effectiveness of this therapeutic modality is greatly hindered by CSF flow disturbance, which has been known to prevalent up to 50% of patients with LMC [5–7]. This CSF flow disturbance, is manifested as headache, increased intracranial pressure (ICP), and hydrocephalus, resulting in uneven distribution of the intraventricularly injected drug, and might cause encephalopathy through a transepidermal drug penetration to brain parenchyma [8–12].

The potential benefit of intraventricular drug infusion has been proposed to achieve drug distribution throughout brain parenchyma and CSF space, even under conditions of disturbed CSF flow [13,14]. Nakagawa et al. [15] had tried ven-
triculolumbar perfusion (VLP) chemotherapy with methotrexate (MTX) and cytosine arabinoside (Ara-C) in 1990s for the purpose of improving lower limb function and decreasing neurotoxicity by enforced drug perfusion from the ventricle to thecal sac. They found 50% of regaining ambulatory function but profound side effects hindered further study. Previously, we conducted a phase I/II study of VLP chemotherapy with MTX for LMC and found that a perfusion rate of 20 mL/h and a total daily MTX dose of 24 mg was tolerable [16,17]. In the previous phase II study, ICP was normalized after VLP therapy in 20 patients (65%) among 31 patients who had increased ICP. However, constitutional side effects of VLP including nausea/vomiting, sleep disturbance, and confusion occurred up to 60% at grade 2 severity (CTCAE version 3.0) [18]. We reminded that the side effects had occurred after artificial CSF infusion before MTX injection and more severe side effects occurred at higher perfusion rate (40 mL/h) in the previous phase I study. Thus, we assume that reduced perfusion rate (15 mL/h) might decrease these constitutional side effects and provide more comfort treatment to patients with LMC. The primary outcome was the response rate of increased ICP, and the secondary outcome was the occurrence of moderate to severe (grade 2–3) side effects compared to those of perfusion rate of 20 mL/h.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

All patients provided written informed consent prior to enrollment in this phase II clinical trial. The protocol of this clinical trial was reviewed in accordance with the precepts established by the Helsinki Declaration and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Cancer Center of Korea (NCCCTS-10-511). This clinical trial was registered with the government-owned official site (http://cris.nih.go.kr) before patient enrollment (KCT0000082).

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criterion was patient: 1) LMC diagnosis by either positive CSF cytology or definitive MRI findings [19], 2) had at least one of the following LMC related symptoms of increased ICP (>20 cm H2O), altered mentality due to hydrocephalus, cauda equina symptoms or cranial neuropathy. Exclusion criteria were: 1) CSF pathway block on either whole spine MRI or radioisotope cisternography, 2) space-occupying brain lesion that might cause herniation, 3) hemorrhagic CNS metastases, 4) ≥grade-3 leucopenia (WBC <2,000 or ANC <1,000/mm³) or thrombocytopenia (<50k).

VLP procedure

The VLP procedures were basically the same as those used in the previous phase II study except for reduced perfusion rate [17]. Briefly, a ventricular catheter was installed stereotactically and connected to subgaleal reservoir of either Chemocath (Celsite®, ST205, B. Braun, Boulogne Cedex, France) [20] or V-port (INSUNG Medical Co., Ltd, Wonju, Korea) [21]. Artificial CSF that was premixed with MTX was perfused at 15 mL/h by an infusion pump with a warming kit (37.5°C) via designated 21-gauge hooked needle inserted and maintained in position with a sterile closed dressing. A lumbar drainage catheter was inserted into thecal sac at the time of VLP and CSF drainage was achieved by hydrostatic pressure. The lumbar drainage rate was checked on an hourly basis and the drainage set height was adjusted so that the drainage rate equaled the infusion rate.

Treatment protocol and drug level monitoring

Different from previous phase II study of two consecutive continuous infusion over 72 hours 3 days apart (so-called ‘induction’), we regulated VLP ‘maintenance’ cycle of a VLP over 72 hours at every 28 days until patients experienced progression or refused to undergo further chemotherapy. The schematic of treatment protocol is illustrated in Fig. 1.

CSF samples were taken every morning on day 1–3 to ensure the MTX plateau level was reached and to monitor possible CSF infection as early as possible. Serum MTX concentration was also checked daily. If the MTX serum concentration was above 0.15 µM, a 30 mg leucovorin rescue was administered i.v. every 6 hours.

Response evaluation

Pre-VLP ICP was defined as the highest ICP among related procedure of the reservoir installation, lumbar puncture or the needle insertion at reservoir on VLP. If ICP was equal or above 20 cm H2O, we consider the patients had increased ICP. Post-VLP ICP was measured on the last day of VLP induction (day 14) 6 hours after the last VLP and before lumbar drainage removal. Normalization (<20 cm H2O) of increased ICP was counted as the response.

Toxicity evaluation

The targeted VLP side effects included nausea/vomiting, sleep disturbance, and encephalopathy (confusion) were closely monitored and recorded daily. Side effects were assessed using the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE, version 3.0) [18]. The most severe grade during the VLP chemotherapy represents the grade of side effects of the patient.

Statistical analysis

The necessary patient number for this phase II study was
calculated using Simon’s minimax two-stage design [22]. The primary endpoint was the response rate of increased ICP, which was available for comparison from previous phase II VLP trial [17]. A review of historical data suggested a null response rate (p0) of 50% compared to interesting response rate (p1) of previous phase II. Thus, assuming uninteresting response rate for early termination 50% (p0), 20% of power and 0.1 of one-sided type-I error, the number of patients required at stage-one was 22. If 10 or fewer responses were obtained at stage I, the study will stop. Otherwise, 28 additional patients were to be included at stage-2, for total of 50 patients.

Comparison of moderate to severe side effects between this VLP of 15 mL/h and previous 20 mL/h was done using chi-square test with continuity correction. Overall survival data were defined as the time from LMC diagnosis to the end of the observation period or patient death, whichever occurred first. The survival rates were estimated using the Kaplan-Meier method and the differences of survival between the investigated factors were compared using the log-rank test. We considered a p value less than 0.05 to indicate a statistically significant difference. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 18.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

RESULTS

Patients and VLP chemotherapy

From May 2014 to November 2016, a consecutive 48 patients were analyzed until 22 patients with increased ICP for interim analysis was enrolled and 47 patients were evaluated as one patient withdrew the informed consent.

Table 1. Clinical characteristics of the patients who underwent ventriculolumbar perfusion chemotherapy

| Characteristics | No. of patient (n=47) |
|-----------------|-----------------------|
| Gender (male/female) | 25/22 |
| Age (yr) | 59 (38–82) |
| Primary cancer | |
| Non-small cell lung cancer | 35 (74) |
| Breast cancer | 7 (15) |
| Others | 5 (11) |
| Melanoma | 1 |
| Ovarian cancer | 1 |
| Small cell lung cancer | 1 |
| PXA | 1 |
| MUO | 1 |
| KPS score | |
| ≥70 | 30 (64) |
| <70 | 17 (36) |

Data are presented as median (range) or number (%). MUO, malignancy of unknown origin; PXA, pleomorphic xanthoastrocytoma; KPS: Karnofsky Performance Status

Twenty-five patients were female and 22 patients were male (Table 1). The median patient age was 59 years (range 38–82 years). Thirty-five patients (74%) had non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), and seven patients had breast cancer. The remaining five patients presented with melanoma, ovarian cancer, small cell lung cancer, glioma and adenocarcinoma of unknown primary origin, respectively. The median Karnofsky Performance Status (KPS) score at the time of enrollment was 70 (range 30–100), and 17 patients (36%) were below KPS 70 (KPS=60 in 4 patients, KPS=50 in 10 patients,
KPS=40 in 2 patients, and KPS=30 in 1 patient). Among these, 42 patients (89%) completed 2 consecutive infusion of VLP ‘induction’ chemotherapy while eight patients dropped out due to: progression of primary cancer and LMC each, patient’s intolerance to side effects, unexpected thrombocytopenia (≤50k), treatment related meningitis and Herpes zoster infection. Thirty-four patients (72%) received ‘maintenance’ VLP chemotherapy of median 3 rounds (range 1–10).

Response of increased ICP

In this interim analysis, 22 out of 47 patients (47%) had increased ICP before VLP (Fig. 2). The ICP was normalized in 13 out of 22 patients (59%), and the response rate was higher than uninteresting rate (p=0.50%). However, three patients with normal ICP before VLP induction showed increased ICP after VLP. The ICP before VLP was median 18 cm H2O (range 3–70) in all patients and the ICP after VLP was medi-

Fig. 2. Distribution of ICP. The distribution of individual patient’s ICP (cm H2O) is shown before (left) and after (right) VLP chemotherapy with methotrexate. Gray scales differentiate individual patients. ICP, intracranial pressure; VLP, ventriculolumbar perfusion.

Table 2. ‘Constitutional’ side effects related to ventriculolumbar perfusion chemotherapy (n=47)

| Grade* | Nausea/vomiting | Sleep disturbance | Confusion |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|----------|
| 0      | 13 (28)         | 29 (62)           | 39 (83)  |
| 1      | 13 (28)         | 13 (28)           | 5 (11)   |
| 2      | 17 (36)         | 5 (11)            | 1 (2)    |
| 3      | 4 (9)           | -                 | 2 (4)    |

Numbers in parenthesis are vertical proportion. *Grade is based on CTCAE version 3.0 [18] (see Methods section)

an 13 cm H2O (range 0–92), and the ICP was significantly reduced after VLP (p<0.01, Wilcoxon signed rank test).

Side effects of VLP therapy

‘Constitutional’ VLP side effects such as nausea/vomiting, sleep disturbance, and confusion were graded with the CTCAE scale [18] (Table 2). Thirteen patients (28%) described that they had no additional nausea/vomiting on VLP chemotherapy, but another 13 patients experienced mild grade 1 nausea/vomiting, for which treatment is not necessary. Seventeen patients (36%) suffered from grade 2 nausea/vomiting and the remaining 4 patients could not eat any meal during the VLP (grade 3). Sleep disturbance was observed in 18 patients (38%) but 13 of those patients did not want to have sleeping pills (grade 1) to fall asleep. Confusion as a manifestation of encephalopathy was observed in 8 patients (17%) and 2 of them had a difficulties in communication (grade 3) during the VLP. To compare the occurrence of side effects in VLP 15 mL/h to VLP 20 mL/h, we grouped side effects into nil to mild (grade 0–1) and moderate to severe (grade 2–3) side effects. In VLP 15 mL/h, nausea/vomiting occurred as grade 0–1 in 26 patients (56%) and as grade 2–3 in 21 patients (45%) (Fig. 3A). The occurrence of moderate to severe nausea/vomiting showed a tendency to decrease compared to 41 patients (64%) in VLP 20 mL/h (p=0.053). Grade 2–3 sleep disturbance was observed in 6 patients (11%) in VLP 15 mL/h and it was not significantly different from 6 patients (9%) in VLP 20 mL/h (Fig. 3B). Moderate to severe confusion was recorded in 3 patients (6%) in VLP 15 mL/h and those rate was significantly reduced compared to 15 patients (23%) in VLP 20 mL/h (Fig. 3C, p=0.017).

Seizure as another possible manifestation of encephalopathy was observed in 4 patients but the episode was controlled with administration of short-acting sedatives lorazepam (Ativan®) and anti-epileptics except for one patient who already had a seizure before VLP (grade 3).

CSF-infection occurred in 3 patients during and after VLP induction. All patients undergone removal of infected reservoir and catheter, and two of them had extraventricular drainage until clearance of infection, then new device was inserted. One patient refused to receive further treatment and received ventriculoperitoneal shunt insertion then transferred to hospice care. Another one patient after controlled infection received Ommaya reservoir re-insertion and done VLP induction. But because of systemic cancer progression, we interrupted futher VLP. Last one patient expired due to septic shock related CSF infection. As our protocol include hematologic abnormalities of equal or less than grade 2 (CTCAE version 3.0), grade 1 or 2 cytopenia was not automatically noticed by protocol per se. In detail,
One of them had a platelet transfusion. Overall patient survival
All patients had expired at the time of analysis. The median overall survival (OS) of 47 patients was 5.3 months (95% confidence interval, 3.55–7.05) (Fig. 4A). Thirteen patients who received ‘induction’ VLP chemotherapy only showed significantly shorter median OS compared to 34 patients who underwent ‘maintenance’ VLP chemotherapy (3.4 vs. 5.8 months, \( p = 0.025 \)) (Fig. 4B).

**DISCUSSION**

**ICP control rate in VLP chemotherapy**

The improvement of increased ICP was not scientifically described in previous studies from literature. In our previous study of patients with LMC from NSCLC treated by intraventricular chemotherapy, 20/69 patients (29%) with increased ICP (>15 cm H2O) achieved normal ICP [23], whereas in the previous phase II clinical trial, 31/41 patients (76%) with increased ICP at the start of VLP were normalized. The ICP control rate was one of advantages of VLP over conventional intraventricular chemotherapy and has encouraged us to perform VLP to patients having CSF flow disturbance from LMC. As a result, the primary end point of this reduced perfusion rate trial was not the decreased side effects but the ‘non-inferior’ response rate of increased ICP.

**Prolonged OS after VLP chemotherapy**

It is established that factors such as patient performance, presenting symptoms, systemic disease status, increased ICP, and primary cancer type affect survival of patients with LMC receiving intraventricular chemotherapy [1,3,23-26]. We had compared the survival between patients treated with VLP and those treated with conventional intraventricular chemotherapy for LMC from the same primary cancer of NSCLC in the previous study. In comparison, VLP treatment significantly doubled patient survival from a median 89 days with conventional intraventricular chemotherapy to 187 days with VLP. In this interim result of NSCLC as a major primary cancer (75%), we evaluated the patients OS of 5.3 months, which can be comparable to previous phase II study. To evaluate the effectiveness of continued VLP chemotherapy, we introduced ‘maintenance’ VLP chemotherapy every 4 weeks after ‘induction’ VLP chemotherapy, and it significantly prolonged OS compared to that of patients had ‘induction’ chemotherapy only. However, we should prove this effectiveness by prospective controlled study as generally patients in poor medical/general condition tend to quit further chemotherapy.
Decreased side effects of VLP chemotherapy in reduced perfusion rate

The improvement of VLP technique in our study was reduced perfusion rate while maintaining its effectiveness. During our phase I study, we noticed that patients complained of nausea/vomiting and sleep disturbance during artificial CSF perfusion before bolus MTX injection, and more severe side effect at 40 mL/h perfusion [16].

Side effects of confusion in reduced perfusion rate of 15 mL/h were decreased significantly in comparison with results from perfusion rate of 20 mL/h, and so the nausea/vomiting despite statistical insignificance. Sleep disturbance of VLP chemotherapy can be attributable to disruption of ‘melatonin surge’ for induction of sleep [27]. We could postulate reduced perfusion rate of 15 mL/h still disrupt melatonin-surge compared to perfusion rate of 20 mL/h. Future study measuring CSF melatonin level timely will answer our assumption of VLP-induced sleep disturbance.

Limitations

Due to difference of patient’s characteristics between perfusion rate of 20 mL/h VLP and reduced perfusion rate (15 mL/h), there are limitations in interpreting results of this study.

Conclusion

VLP of reduced perfusion rate (15 mL/h) showed compatible control rate of increased ICP at this interim analysis. Decreased moderate to severe side effects and prolonged OS in patients received maintenance VLP encourage us to evaluate the effectiveness of this trial further.

If both ICP control rate and OS in reduced perfusion in comparison to VLP (20 mL/h) is no statistically difference in future study, reduced perfusion rate VLP could be treatment choice for LMC.
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