p53-dependent antiviral RNA-interference facilitates tumor-selective viral replication
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ABSTRACT

RNA-interference (RNAi) is a potent tool for specific gene silencing. In this study, we developed an adenovirus for conditional replication in p53-dysfunctional tumor cells that uses p53-selective expression of a microRNA-network directed against essential adenoviral genes. Compared to a control virus that expressed a scrambled microRNA-network, antiviral RNAi selectively attenuated viral replication in cells with transcriptionally active p53, but not in p53-dysfunctional tumor cells where both viruses replicated equivalently. Since these results were confirmed by an in vivo comparison of both viruses after infection of p53-knockout and normal mice, we could demonstrate that attenuated replication was indeed a result of p53-selective exhibition of antiviral RNAi. Addressing the therapeutic applicability, we could show that the application of RNAi-controlled virus efficiently lysed p53-dysfunctional tumors in vitro and in vivo but resulted in drastically reduced load of virus-DNA in the liver of treated mice. We have generated a broadly applicable adenovirus for selective destruction of p53-dysfunctional tumors and thereby demonstrate that tissue-specific expression of engineered, antiviral miRNAs can be used to manipulate the viral tropism.

INTRODUCTION

RNA-interference (RNAi) has been established as versatile tool for targeted gene repression during recent years. The potent and specific gene silencing effect of RNAi promises enormous potential for the treatment of disorders with defined genetic etiology. RNAi has been successfully applied to revert oncogenesis by downregulation of K-rasV12 or Bcr-Abl (1,2), or to prevent acute liver failure by silencing Fas or Caspase-8 (3,4).

Exogenous delivery of RNAi, directed against viral RNA, has been shown to reduce viral titers of HIV, poliovirus, HCV and Adenovirus (5–8), indicating that RNAi can provide eukaryotic cells with a specific antiviral defence mechanism. An endogenous human microRNA (miR-32) has been discovered that negatively interferes with replication of primate foamy virus-1 (9) and it has been suggested that cell type-specific patterns of microRNAs contribute to the cellular tropism of certain viruses (10). Recently, virus tropism has been successfully remodulated by incorporating target sites for natural, tissue-specific microRNAs into the 3'UTR of essential virus genes (11–13). These studies indicate the potential of RNAi-based mechanisms for the generation of tropism-modified viruses.

Some DNA-viruses encode for self-reactive miRNAs, that have been considered to play a pivotal role in the correct timing of the lytic life cycle (14,15). The use of microRNAs as a regulative tool to manipulate the viral tropism seems to be ideal since miRNAs are non-antigenic structures of short length in contrast to the high immunogenicity of viral proteins and with respect to the size constraints in viral genomes. However, it has not yet been investigated whether tissue-specific expression of engineered, antiviral miRNAs can be used to manipulate the viral tropism.

Tissue-specific control of replication is an important tool to remodulate the tropism of adenoviruses for the treatment of solid tumors and several concepts have successfully addressed prominent pan-cancer associated molecular targets such as telomerase, Rb or p53 (16).

In the majority of human cancers p53-dependent transcriptional activity is either suppressed or missing due to...
genetic loss or inactivating mutations, but also due to epigenetic inhibition. Loss of transcriptional functions of p53 (further referred to as p53-dysfunction) is a fundamental step towards malignant cell transformation and represents an excellent broad range target for molecular interventions. In this study we have redesigned an adenovirus for conditional replication in p53-dysfunctional tumors by p53-selective expression of an antiviral RNAi network. We could demonstrate that p53-dependent expression of such a network is able to selectively control viral replication due to efficient knockdown of essential viral genes and allows for efficient replication and lysis in p53-dysfunctional tumors in vitro and in vivo.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines and culturing

Hep3B, H1299, HepG2, Huh7, A549, 293, HCT-116 and primary human IMR90-fibroblasts were obtained from ATCC. HCT116 and HCT116-p53(−/−) were kindly provided by B. Vogelstein. All cells were maintained in DMEM + Glutamax (Life Technologies Inc.) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Life Technologies Inc.), 100 units/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml Streptomycin (Seromed) at 37°C in 5% CO2. For culturing of HCT116-p53(−/−) the medium was additionally supplemented with 0.4 mg/ml G 418-Sulfate (Calbiochem) and 0.1 mg/ml Hygromycin (Boehringer Mannheim).

RNAi target sequences

The following target sequences were chosen for construction of antiviral RNAi-networks. Firefly luciferase: GAUUUCGAGUCGUCCUAAGU [shRLuc-774, as described previously (17)]; E1A: GGAGGCGGTTCGGCAGATTTC (shRE1A-736), GAGGGATTGACCTAACTC (shRE1B-3630); E1B: GAGACGAAAAACGGTGTTAATA (shRE1A-785); PTP: CGGAGTACCTGAGCTCATAT (shRE1B-3630); p53: CCATGTTTAATCAGCTCACTTTT (shRE1A-785); E4: GACAGGAAACCGCAGATTTT (shRE1A-736), GAAGGGATTGACTTAACACAACAATT (shRE1B-3630), CCATGTTTAATCAGCTCACTTTT (shRE1A-785); E1B: GAGAGACGAAAAACGGTGTTAATA (shRE1A-785), shRE1B-3630 into the Hpa I site of pHM3–E1–3–UTR in pHM3-E1. p53-dependent expression cassettes for multiple miR30-shRNAs were inserted downstream of the E1 regions of pHM3–E1 and pHM3–E1–3–UTR to generate shuttle vectors for subsequent transfer into pAdHM4.

Recombinant adenovirus generation and preparation

Recombinant adenoviruses were constructed according to a previously described method (19). The viral vectors Ad-iREP-C1, Ad-iREP-1, Ad-iREP-C2 and Ad-iREP-2 were generated by ligating PI-Sce I/1-Ceu I fragments of shuttle pHM3-vectors described above into pAdHM4. For the production of infectious particles the resulting plasmids were Pac I-digested and transfected into 293 cells until a cytopathic effect became visible. Infectious particles were further amplified in 293 cells and then purified using Adpack20-Kit (Vivascience). Infectious titers were determined using the Rapid-Titer-Kit (Takara/Clontech).

Luciferase assay

Using the calcium phosphate precipitation method or LipofectAMINE™ (Life Technologies), cells were cotransfected with 1 μg of pCMV-Luc as reporter, 1 μg shRNA expression plasmids and 0.5 μg SV40-LacZ for normalization. Total DNA-amount was adjusted to 5 μg per 60 mm. Cellular extracts were prepared 24–48 h post transfection and analyzed for luciferase activity in a Berthold Lumat LB9501 and normalized by β-galactosidase activity measurements.
For evaluation of shRNA-sequences, psiCHECK™-2 derived vectors were cotransfected as reporter and the Dual-Luciferase® Reporter Assay System (Promega) was applied.

**Infection of IMR-90-fibroblasts**

To allow efficient infection of IMR-90-fibroblasts, adenoviral vectors were coated with the bifunctional adapter protein CARex-Tat as described previously (20). For this purpose, viruses were mixed with purified recombinant CARex-Tat protein (100 ng/10⁴ ifu) and incubated for 15 min. Total 5 x 10⁵ IMR-90-fibroblasts were then infected with pretreated viral particles at MOI 0.1. Using the QIAmp-DNA-Mini Kit (Qiagen) total DNA was isolated and subjected to qPCR to determine the viral DNA-content.

**Viral DNA-quantification**

Quantitative PCR (qPCR™ Mastermix Plus, Eurogentec) was performed with 100 ng of DNA using adenoviral hexon-specific primer probes as described before (21). As internal controls, the Ubiquitin-C-Control-Kit (Eurogentec) was used for human DNA, and the 18S-Genomic-Control-Kit (Eurogentec) was applied for murine DNA.

**Reverse transcription qPCR**

Total 1.5 x 10⁶ A549 and Huh7 cells were seeded in 60-mm-dishes and infected (MOI 0.05) with adenovirus as indicated in the figure legends. Total RNA was isolated using pGOLD-RNApure™ (peQLab), digested with DNase, and further purified using the RNaseasy-Mini-Kit (Qiagen). One hundred nanograms of RNA was subjected to reverse transcription using random hexamer primer (Qiagen). The reaction was performed using 100 nM QRev33056: CCCCGTCAGATGTCCGAGTAGAGG GGGAACGGCGCAAAAATCTGCGAAACGC or QRev33056: CCCCGTCAGATGTCCGAGTAGAGGGGGGGAACGGCGACTATTCCACACCGGTTCCTC and 300 nM of reverse and forward primers: TGTCAAGCCA CCCTTTACACCACACCGGTTCCTC and GGTAGG (Q-rev). The amplification was carried out by a first step at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 30 cycles with 15 s at 95°C and 60 s at 62°C. The fluorescence signal was acquired at 62°C. Amplification of GAPDH was used as internal control.

**Western blot analysis**

For western blot analysis cell extracts were prepared by treating the cell-layer with a lysis buffer containing 25 mM Tris-phosphate, 2 mM EDTA, 2 mM DTT, 10% glycerol and 1% Triton X-100. Ten micrometers of protein were separated by SDS–PAGE and transferred onto a PVDF-membrane (Immobilon, Millipore). E1A-proteins were detected using a polyclonal rabbit anti-E1A-antibody (Santa Cruz) and visualized with Western-Lightning™ chemiluminescent-reagent Plus (Perkin-Elmer).

**Cytolysis assays**

Target cells were seeded in 24-well plates at a density of 4 x 10⁴ cells/well and allowed to adhere overnight. Cells were then infected with adenoviruses (MOI of 5, 0.5, 0.05 and 0.005) and incubated for 8–10 days. Crystal-violet staining was then used to visualize the destruction of the cell layer. After a gentle rinse with PBS to remove dead cells, 10% formalin in PBS was added for fixation (30 min). The fixation solution was replaced and cells were then stained for 30 min using an aqueous solution of 0.1% crystal-violet in 10% ethanol. Finally, plates were rinsed with water and air dried.

**Animal experiments**

Six-week-old, homozygous p53-knockout mice (inbred from strain B6.129S2-Trp53 tm1Tyi/J, Jackson Laboratory, Maine, USA) were provided by the Central Animal Facility at the Helmholtz Centre of Infection Research (HZI, Braunschweig, Germany). Six weeks old C57BL/6 and NMRI nu/nu mice were obtained from the Animal Research Institute of the Hannover Medical School. All experiments were performed according to the German legal requirements (TSchG). Tumor xenografts were established by subcutaneous injection of 1 x 10⁷ Hep3B or H1299 cells into the left flank of mice. Tumor nodules were grown to a size of approximately 250 mm³ before initial treatment. Infection of tumors was performed by intratumoral injection of 1 x 10⁹ ifu in 150 μl and was repeated every five days. Tumor volume was calculated as follows: V(tumor) = (length x (width²))/2. For each group six animals were assessed.
For the analysis of intrahepatic viral DNA load, Hep3B cells were infected at MOI 25 to achieve almost complete infection. Twenty-four hours later, infected cells were collected and $5 \times 10^6$ cells were injected intravenously. DNA from liver tissue was purified using the QIAmp-DNA-Mini Kit (Qiagen) and viral DNA was quantified by qPCR as described above.

Statistics

For the comparison of two groups, the Student’s $t$-test was used to determine statistical significance. $P$-values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant.

RESULTS

RNA-polymerase II (pol II)-dependent expression of shRNA or microRNA has already been successfully established, suggesting that such RNAi mediators can be expressed by virtually any promoter and that RNAi can therefore be brought about in a tissue-specific manner (23,24). To investigate in a therapeutically relevant setting whether cell-type specific expression of virus-encoded antiviral shRNA restricts virus propagation to defined tissues, we aimed to generate a conditionally replicating, RNAi-controlled adenovirus for targeted therapy of p53-dysfunctional tumors. To redirect adenoviral replication to p53-dysfunctional tumor cells, our concept (Figure 1A) envisioned the expression of a multiple hairpin transcript (referred to as antiviral RNAi-network) that efficiently silences a set of essential viral genes under transcriptional control of a p53-responsive promoter. This strategy allows selective onset of antiviral RNAi and subsequent inhibition of viral replication in response to activated p53 in normal cells. In contrast, the antiviral RNAi-network is not expressed in p53-dysfunctional tumor cells thus enabling normal adenoviral replication.

p53-dependent gene silencing by a multiple shRNA-transcript consisting of concatenated, minimalized miR30-derived hairpins

The genetic information for shRNAs can be encoded by DNA of relatively short length. The concatenation of different shRNAs to construct an ‘RNAi-network’ should further allow synchronous silencing of different genes involved in viral replication. As single modules for such an RNAi-network, we considered a minimalized miR30-derived hairpin (termed miR30-shR, Figure 1B) according to designed hairpins described before (24).

To allow for p53-selective expression of shRNA we chose the artificial, p53-responsive promoter prMinRGC that we have described previously (18). As shown in Figure 1C, this promoter is highly active in p53-normal cells but silent in p53-dysfunctional cells. The prMinRGC-promoter therefore displays an outstanding signal/noise ratio with regard to the p53-status of the target cell. To investigate whether shRNA can be expressed in a p53-selective manner, the experiment was carried out in p53-positive HepG2 and p53-mutant Huh7 cells (Figure 1D). Gene silencing efficacy was then determined after shRNA-expression under control of the pol III-dependent U6-promoter and pol II-dependent CMV- and prMinRGC-promoters. We could observe efficient RNAi in HepG2 cells when shRNAs were expressed under control of the prMinRGC-promoter, whereas RNAi was absent under p53-dysfunctional conditions. Following CMV-promoter dependent expression of shRNA the observed extent of RNAi was almost equivalent in both cell lines. Though pol II-dependent RNAi did not reach the extent of pol III-dependent RNAi, prMinRGC-promoter-dependent expression of shRNA led to a similar extent of RNAi in response to p53 compared to the strong CMV-promoter. This promoter has already been successfully used for efficient exhibition of RNAi (23). The comparison of RNAi caused by prMinRGC-promoter-dependent expression of shRNA in cells with different p53-status indicates that RNAi was indeed p53-dependent. As these results were confirmed in other p53-active or p53-inactive cells (data not shown), efficient RNAi can be exhibited in a p53-selective manner.

Next, we were interested how gene silencing efficacy is influenced when miR30-shRs are concatenated to generate complex RNAi-networks (concatenation is illustrated in Figure 1B). For this purpose we tested several arrangements that either varied in length of the network or the position of a single miR30-shRILuc-motif within the network (Figure 2A). Since expression of all arrangements led to an almost equivalent repression of the cotransfected luciferase-reporter we could show that neither the length of the RNAi-network nor the position of an active hairpin significantly influence gene silencing efficacy. Together, these data suggest that p53-dependent expression of multiple hairpin-transcripts as RNAi-networks can be applied to achieve effective and synchronous gene silencing and demonstrate the versatility of the RNAi-network concept.

Antiviral RNAi-network silences essential viral genes following viral infection

We considered the mRNAs of the adenoviral E1A, E1B, E4, polymerase (AdPol) and terminal protein (pTp) as preferable targets for an antiviral RNAi-network. E1A and E4 proteins are most essential for replication and E1B-55k is decisively involved in the shutdown of the cellular p53-response. Terminal protein is bound to the viral DNA–termini and attracts AdPol for the initiation of replication. First, miR30–shR-derivatives of several potential hairpin-motifs per target were screened for their gene silencing efficacy. Complete test results are summarized in Figure 2B–D). To point out, we found two efficient hairpins against E1A that were also able to successfully silence full-length E1A as confirmed by western blot analysis (Figure 2B). Interestingly, we could observe that a tandem combination of the same miR30–shR-motifs against E1A did not increase knockdown efficacy whereas the combination of different motives resulted in additional gene silencing (Figure 2C).

Most effective hairpins were then concatenated for an antiviral RNAi-network that was subsequently introduced into an adenoviral backbone under the control of the prMinRGC-promoter (Ad-iREP-1 and
Ad-iREP-2, Figure 3A). To confer improved accessibility for RNAi mechanisms, we positioned additional RNAi target-sites in the 3′-UTRs of E1B (both viruses) and E1A (only Ad-iREP-2). In all vectors we used an N-terminally deleted E1A that reduces negative interference between E1A and p53/p300 and is known to allow wild-type-like viral replication (25). We additionally generated genetically identical control viruses (Ad-iREP-C1 for Ad-iREP-1, and Ad-iREP-C2 for Ad-iREP-2, respectively, Figure 3A) for expression of a nonfunctional shRNA-network containing scrambled hairpins, instead of the antiviral RNAi-network present in Ad-iREP-1/-2. Since we have characterized the p53-status of the used cell lines with regard to the on/off-response of the prMinRGC-promoter (see Figure 1C), the comparison of Ad-iREP-1/-2 and their corresponding control viruses can be directly used to determine the influence of the antiviral RNAi-network.

First, we analyzed E1A protein levels after transfection of the adenoviral shuttle plasmids into cells with different p53-status. As shown in the upper panel of Figure 3B, transfection of both Ad-iREP-1 and Ad-iREP-2 shuttle plasmids, but not the control vectors, led to downregulation of E1A under p53-positive conditions. In contrast, no difference in E1A-levels was observed in p53-dysfunctional cells suggesting that effective repression of E1A could be dependent of p53-selective activation of RNAi. Important to note that E1A-silencing after transfection of Ad-iREP-2 was more effective than Ad-iREP-1 suggesting that the additional target-site within the E1A-3′-UTR conferred an improved accessibility for RNAi. It has been shown that transient transfection of cells is associated with significant cell stress followed by a strong accumulation of p53 (26). It has to be considered that viral infections, in particular after low-dose application, may induce p53 to a lower extent compared to the transient transfection situation which could lead to an overestimation of transfection results as described above. Consequently, a less potent p53-response upon viral infection could compromise effective induction of antiviral RNAi. We therefore compared E1A-expression after cell infection with the corresponding viruses. Consistent with the transfection results, E1A-levels were significantly decreased in p53-positive cells after infection with Ad-iREP-2 (Figure 3B, lower panel). E1A was not silenced after infection with the control virus Ad-iREP-C2.
Similar E1A-levels after infection of p53-dysfunctional Huh7 cells with both viruses confirmed p53-selectivity of the E1A repression observed in the p53-positive cell lines. Interestingly, E1A-silencing could not be observed after infection with Ad-iREP-1. In the particular context of viral infection, Ad-iREP-1-dependent RNAi insufficiently suppressed E1A thereby confirming the beneficial influence of the manipulations performed in the 3′-UTR of E1A. This finding also suggests that a low E1A threshold is sufficient to allow the onset of replication. This observation also underlines the importance of stringent regulatory mechanisms for efficient suppression of E1A to allow targeted regulation of viral replication.

Next, we directly determined the expression of the antiviral RNAi-network by detection of mature miRNA using the PCR-based miR-Q method as described previously (22). Two hairpins against E1A and E4 were selected to exemplify successful RNAi-network processing. We could show a strong and equivalent increase of both investigated hairpins after infection of p53-positive cells with Ad-iREP-1 and Ad-iREP-2 (Figure 3C). Since we could not detect significant amounts of hairpins in p53-mutant Huh7 cells at early stages, our data clearly demonstrate that the RNAi-network was expressed in a p53-selective manner. In Huh7 cells only a small background could be detected at 48 h, a time-point that includes a full replication cycle. Interestingly, Ad-iREP-1 expressed comparable amounts of the RNAi-network as Ad-iREP-2 at early stages though this virus failed to repress E1A efficiently. Again, this observation confirms the relevance of the E1A-3′UTR manipulations to achieve better accessibility of the target messenger. We then monitored expression of several targeted genes (E1A, E1B and pTp) following infection of p53-positive A549 cells to investigate the activity of other RNAi-network components. As shown in Figure 3D (upper panel), the expression of target genes was effectively reduced. In contrast, this was not the case following infection of p53-mutant cells (Figure 3D, lower panel). However, it has to be considered in these experiments that levels of the corresponding mRNAs are influenced by the number of viral genomes if replication is indeed allowed or compromised. We therefore determined the expression of the viral fiber-mRNA as a non-target of the antiviral RNAi-network. We could measure a significant reduction of fiber suggesting that replication of viral DNA was actually alleviated. But,
Figure 3. p53-selective expression of the antiviral RNAi-network inhibits expression of adenoviral target genes in vitro. (A) Design of p53-selective, RNAi-controlled viruses containing either an inhibitory RNAi-network (Ad-iREP-1 and Ad-iREP-2) or a noninhibitory RNAi-network (consisting of scrambled hairpins, Ad-iREP-C1 and Ad-iREP-C2) under control of the prMinRGC-promoter. All viruses expressed an N-terminally deleted version of E1A under control of the E1A-wt promoter (prE1A). Ad-iREP-C2 and Ad-iREP-2 were provided with additional target sites by insertion into in the 3'-UTR of E1A-mRNA. (B) Shuttle plasmids were cotransfected with the luciferase reporter pGL2-Prom as internal standard into cells with different p53-status. E1A-silencing was investigated after 24 h posttransfection by western blot analyses. Transfection efficacy was controlled by luciferase measurements (upper panel). Similar analyses were performed 24 h after cell infection with corresponding adenoviral particles at MOI 0.05 (lower panel). (C) A549 and Huh7 cells were infected with Ad-iREP-1 and Ad-iREP-2 at MOI of 0.05. Total RNA was isolated and mature miRNAs of miR30-shRE1A-736 (left) and miR30-shRE4-33056 (right) were quantified using the miR-Q-RT-PCR method (mean ± SD) 12, 24 and 48 h later. The data confirm that the RNAi-network is efficiently expressed in p53-positive cells but not in p53-dysfunctional cells. (D) Adenoviral expression of different RNAi-targets (E1A, E1B and pTp) and fiber as nontarget was investigated in cells with different p53-status following infection with Ad-iREP-1, Ad-iREP-2 and corresponding controls (A549: upper panel; Huh7: lower panel). At time-points indicated mRNA levels were determined by RT-qPCR (mean ± SD). The data show that p53-selective expression of the antiviral RNAi-network by Ad-iREP-2 successfully silenced viral target genes.
the non-target fiber was less reduced than the true targets E1A, E1B and pTp suggesting that the hairpins directed against E1B and pTp actually exhibited RNAi. However, it is impossible to exactly calculate the contribution of the other network components since these genes are more or less downstream functions of genome amplification in the course of replication and thus of E1A expression.

Ad-iREP-2 mediates efficient lysis of p53-dysfunctional cells but is selectively attenuated in normal cells

Next, we investigated whether the achieved knockdown of adenoviral genes was sufficient to inhibit the generation of infectious progeny. We therefore monitored time-dependent progression of adenoviral titers with regard to the cellular p53-status (Figure 4A). For comparative purposes we also included the adenovirus wildtype and ONX-015, an adenovirus mutant that has been initially described to replicate preferentially in p53-dysfunctional cells and has already been tested in clinical trials. In p53-positive, A549 cells efficient attenuation of viral replication could be observed only after infection with Ad-iREP-2, when compared to the non-regulated Ad-iREP-C2 as standard. In line with the lower efficacy of E1A-silencing described above, attenuation of Ad-iREP-1 was modest. Since all RNAi-controlled viruses replicated to a similar extent compared to their control viruses in p53-dysfunctional Huh-7 and Hep3B cells we confirmed that the inhibition of viral replication was due to antiviral RNAi-activity in response to active p53. These results could be further confirmed in other cell lines such as p53-positive HepG2 and p53-deleted H1299 cells (data not shown).
shown), p53-selectivity of Ad-iREP-2 was improved compared to ONYX-015, since ONYX-015 replicated like Ad-iREP-1 in A549 cells. In contrast, ONYX-015 showed weaker replication than RNAi-controlled viruses in all p53-altered cell lines except Hep3B. However, Hep3B cells are known to intrinsically support adenoviral replication by expression of HBx (27). Most likely due to extensive genetic manipulations and the used N-terminally deletion mutant of E1A, all RNAi-viruses showed slightly reduced replication when compared to the wildtype adenovirus.

Effective oncolysis is a central requirement for conditionally replicating adenoviruses as extensive genetic manipulations can also affect lytic efficacy. Comparing both p53-selectivity and lytic efficacy of RNAi-viruses, ONYX-015 and Ad-wt in vitro, we found that only Ad-iREP-2-mediated oncolysis was significantly attenuated in p53-positive cells, since Ad-iREP-1/-2 and the non-regulated Ad-iREP-C1/-C2 lysed p53-dysfunctional Huh7 and H1299 cell to the same extent (Figure 4B). Furthermore, we could observe that Ad-iREP-2 oncolysis was attenuated in p53-positive HCT-116 cells but not in their isogenic counterpart HCT-116 p53−/−. Interestingly, Ad-iREP-1-mediated lysis was comparable to Ad-iREP-C1 showing that the observed differences in viral titers were insufficient to result in p53-selective cell lysis. With exception of Hep3B, wherein only small differences in oncology could be observed, and consistent with the titration results described above, Ad-iREP-2 was able to lyse p53-dysfunctional cells significantly more efficiently than ONYX-015. Though RNAi-viruses did not reach the lytic efficacy of the wildtype, our data demonstrate that DNA replication when compared to the rather ineffective ONYX-015. However, intravenous injections do not sufficiently reflect the constant release of adenoviral progeny that can be expected during virotherapy of tumors. The pulsed entry of high particle doses results in overinfection of peripheral regions in the portal fields of the liver and it has been shown that high multiplicities of infection can result in diminished selectivity of conditionally replicating adenoviruses (28). We therefore investigated adenoviral liver load in an improved murine model of viral oncolysis that simulates a more constant release of viral progeny into the blood flow. To this end we injected preinfected Hep3B cells into the tail vein of nude mice. Hep3B cells provide a comparable production and release of the investigated viruses (see Figure 4A and B). As shown in Figure 5C, we observed a strong DNA-replication of the control virus and to a slightly lesser extent of ONYX-015 in the livers of normal mice demonstrating that inhibited replication in the livers of normal mice can be clearly attributed to the p53-dependent function of the RNAi-network.

With exception of Hep3B, wherein only small differences in oncology could be observed, and consistent with the titration results described above, Ad-iREP-2 was able to lyse p53-dysfunctional cells significantly more efficient than ONYX-015. Though RNAi-viruses did not reach the lytic efficacy of the wildtype, our data demonstrate that DNA replication when compared to the rather ineffective ONYX-015. However, intravenous injections do not sufficiently reflect the constant release of adenoviral progeny that can be expected during virotherapy of tumors. The pulsed entry of high particle doses results in overinfection of peripheral regions in the portal fields of the liver and it has been shown that high multiplicities of infection can result in diminished selectivity of conditionally replicating adenoviruses (28). We therefore investigated adenoviral liver load in an improved murine model of viral oncolysis that simulates a more constant release of viral progeny into the blood flow. To this end we injected preinfected Hep3B cells into the tail vein of nude mice. Hep3B cells provide a comparable production and release of the investigated viruses (see Figure 4A and B). As shown in Figure 5C, we observed a strong DNA-replication of the control virus and to a slightly lesser extent of ONYX-015 in the livers of normal mice demonstrating that inhibited replication in the livers of normal mice can be clearly attributed to the p53-dependent function of the RNAi-network.

RNAi-controlled adenoviral replication allows for efficient oncolysis in mouse xenograft models and leads to reduced intrahepatic load of viral DNA

In the course of a tumor treatment with conditionally replicating adenoviruses, viral progeny is permanently released by infected malignant tissue. Due to the dominant hepatotropism of adenoviral particles in vivo, adenoviral hepatitis poses a considerable threat to the liver. Therefore, tight control of conditional replication is decisive to reduce the liver burden. We addressed this question by experiments in mice though we were aware that adenovirus does not produce infectious progeny in murine cells. However, murine cells almost normally amplify the adenoviral genomes which allows for an estimation of a possible liver threat. In a first experiment, we tested intrahepatic load of adenoviral DNA after systemic injection of virus in p53-normal mice (Figure 5A), and p53-knockout mice respectively (Figure 5B). We could observe that viral DNA-levels after application of replication-competent virus types increased in time. This was a result of DNA-replication since application of a replication-incompetent Ad-LacZ-virus did not lead to increasing levels of intrahepatic adenoviral DNA. Compared to the control Ad-iREP-C2, the amount of Ad-iREP-2-DNA was substantially reduced in the liver of normal mice but not of p53-knockout mice suggesting that p53-dependent expression of the antiviral RNAi-network inhibited viral replication in vivo. In contrast, Ad-iREP-2 and the control-virus replicated to a similar extent in p53-knockout mice demonstrating that inhibited replication in the livers of normal mice can be clearly attributed to the p53-dependent function of the RNAi-network.

In this experimental setup in normal mice, Ad-iREP-2 replication was only slightly lower than ONYX-015. However, intravenous injections do not efficiently reflect the constant release of adenoviral progeny that can be expected during virotherapy of tumors. The pulsed entry of high particle doses results in overinfection of peripheral regions in the portal fields of the liver and it has been shown that high multiplicities of infection can result in diminished selectivity of conditionally replicating adenoviruses (28). We therefore investigated adenoviral liver load in an improved murine model of viral oncolysis that simulates a more constant release of viral progeny into the blood flow. To this end we injected preinfected Hep3B cells into the tail vein of nude mice. Hep3B cells provide a comparable production and release of the investigated viruses (see Figure 4A and B). As shown in Figure 5C, we observed a strong DNA-replication of the control virus and to a slightly lesser extent of ONYX-015 in the livers of infected mice. In contrast, replication of Ad-iREP-2 was almost undetectable, confirming the tight control of viral replication due to p53-transcriptionally regulated RNAi-network expression in vivo.

To investigate the efficacy of Ad-iREP-2-virotherapy in vivo, subcutaneously grown H1299 and Hep3B tumors were treated with repeated intratumoral adenovirus injections (Figure 6A and B). Subsequent monitoring of tumor growth revealed that both Ad-iREP-2 and ONYX-015 comparably retarded the growth of Hep3B-tumors. In contrast, Ad-iREP-2 lysed H1299-tumors more effectively compared to the rather ineffective ONYX-015. However, intratumoral application of Ad-iREP-2 resulted in a strong inhibition of tumor growth in this aggressively growing tumor model. On the other hand, systemic delivery of oncolytic adenoviruses following a similar administration scheme did not result in a significant...
therapeutic benefit due to relatively poor tumor transduction (data not shown).

Together, our results indicate that Ad-iREP-2 regulates its own replication in response to p53-dependent onset of antiviral RNAi. Furthermore, Ad-iREP-2 is an effective oncolytic adenovirus for the oncolytic therapy of p53-altered tumors.

**DISCUSSION**

The special attractiveness of tumor-selective viral replication is the idea that oncolytic viruses reproduce themselves at the therapeutic locus functioning thereby like molecular surgery. Inactivation of the tumor suppressor p53 represents an excellent molecular target for the development of broadly applicable anti-neoplastic agents such as oncolytic viruses. In our study, we could demonstrate that the engineered oncolytic adenovirus Ad-iREP-2 selectively lyses cells with missing transcriptional activity of p53, a dysfunction that encompasses most tumor-associated p53 alterations including gene loss, inactivating mutations and expression of proteins that negatively interfere with p53-function and stability. Interdependence between Ad-iREP-2-replication and p53-transcriptional deficiency was achieved by p53-dependent onset of vector-encoded antiviral RNAi that suppresses essential adenoviral genes such as E1A, E1B, E4, pTP and AdPol. Since we could prove effective replication and potent lytic properties in p53-dysfunctional cells and effective inhibition of tumor growth in vivo, Ad-iREP-2 represents an oncolytic vector for the majority of human cancers. Regarding p53-selectivity and lytic potency, Ad-iREP-2 was significantly improved compared to ONYX-015, an E1B-55k-deleted adenovirus that has been initially proposed to selectively replicate in p53-deficient cells. Though ONYX-015 revealed favorable toxicity and therapeutic efficacy in clinical studies, at least in combination with chemotherapy, it has been reported that ONYX-015 replication does not correlate with the p53-status (29,30) but with other molecular characteristics of the target cell (31,32). These findings can explain the significant attenuation of ONYX-015 in many cancer cells and suggest that ONYX-015-replication is only effective in a limited subgroup of tumors that can intrinsically compensate for the E1B-55k-deficiency. Consistently, in our assays ONYX-015 replicated less efficiently than Ad-iREP-2 in most tumor cells except in Hep3B that intrinsically support adenoviral replication by expression of the HBV-derived X-protein (27). Therefore deletion of crucial viral genes such as E1B-55k can result in a severe loss of oncolytic potency. To ensure potent replication in all cancer cells Ad-iREP-2 provides expression of wildtype E1B-55k that exhibits several essential functions such as support of viral mRNA-export, host protein shut-off and enhancement of cyclin E-expression (33,34).

On the other hand, E1B-55k is a potent inactivator of p53-dependent transcriptional activity, either alone or in concert with E4orf6. Additionally, p53-dependent transcription appears to be generally inhibited by adenoviral replication, even in the presence of mutated E1B-55k and accumulated p53, suggesting that cellular p53-dependent transcription and adenoviral replication are mutually exclusive (35). Therefore, with regard to the presence of wild-type E1B-55k, stringent regulative tools are needed.
Figure 6. Ad-iREP-2 virotherapy efficiently inhibits growth of p53-inactive tumors in vivo. For the investigation of oncolytic efficacy in vivo, subcutaneously grown Hep3B (A) or H1299 (B) tumors on nude mice were treated by repeated intratumoral injection of 1 × 10⁹ pfu/injection. Virus administrations are marked by arrows. Tumor growth was monitored until the trial was aborted due to the tumor burden of controls. Ad-iREP-2 application resulted in efficient tumor growth inhibition in both xenograft models and was improved compared to ONYX-015 in H1299 [mean ± SD, statistical analyses for Hep3B tumor at Day 20: Ad-iREP-2 versus w/o virus (P = 0.035); Ad-iREP-2 versus ONYX-015 (not significant); ONYX-015 versus w/o virus (P = 0.047); for H1299 tumor at Day 20: Ad-iREP-2 versus w/o virus (P = 0.004); Ad-iREP-2 versus ONYX-015 (P = 0.005); ONYX-015 versus w/o virus (not significant)].

In conclusion we have shown that virus-encoded antiviral RNAi network to restrict adenoviral replication to tumor cells we were able to demonstrate that RNAi is powerful enough to interfere with viral replication and provides a mean to manipulate the virus tropism. We achieved a decisive improvement of the selective regulation by positioning additional miRNA target sites within the 3'-UTR of E1A to render it more susceptible to RNAi. It can therefore be assumed that the antiviral RNAi-network concept leaves enough space for further optimization as merely this single manipulation led to a visible advancement. RNAi-networks offer an outstanding versatility regarding the number of genes that can be synchronously targeted. As they need only little space compared to conventional protein-based gene regulation systems, they can be easily incorporated in viral vectors with limited capacity for the uptake of heterologous DNA.

In conclusion we have shown that multiple short-hairpin or microRNA-transcripts can be used as convenient tool for differential gene regulation (37). By application of a vector-encoded antiviral RNAi-network to restrict adenoviral replication to tumor cells we were able to demonstrate that RNAi is powerful enough to interfere with viral replication and provides a mean to manipulate the virus tropism. We achieved a decisive improvement of the selective regulation by positioning additional miRNA target sites within the 3'-UTR of E1A to render it more susceptible to RNAi. It can therefore be assumed that the antiviral RNAi-network concept leaves enough space for further optimization as merely this single manipulation led to a visible advancement. RNAi-networks offer an outstanding versatility regarding the number of genes that can be synchronously targeted. As they need only little space compared to conventional protein-based gene regulation systems, they can be easily incorporated in viral vectors with limited capacity for the uptake of heterologous DNA.

Finally, the p53-dependence of RNAi-controlled viral replication was also confirmed in vivo. Assessment of viral DNA-load in the livers of intravenously infected mice revealed a significant inhibition of Ad-iREP-2 replication compared to the control virus Ad-iREP-C2 that express a scrambled network in response to p53. This inhibition could not be observed after infection of p53-knockout mice confirming the p53-selective effect of the antiviral RNAi-network. However, both intravenous and intratumoral injection of oncolytic viruses result in a short term systemic viral burst constraining a reasonable evaluation of the specificity of viral replication. In an improved in vivo model that simulates constant, systemic release of viral progeny during oncolytic therapy, we could demonstrate an almost complete inhibition of Ad-iREP-2-replication, confirming the high specificity of RNAi-controlled viral replication in vivo.

Natural microRNAs frequently occur as long clustered transcripts that are expressed by RNA-polymerase II, and polycistronic plasmid vectors for expression of RNAi-network have already been established (36) suggesting that multiple short-hairpin or microRNA-transcripts can be used as convenient tool for differential gene regulation (37). By application of a vector-encoded antiviral RNAi-network to restrict adenoviral replication to tumor cells we were able to demonstrate that RNAi is powerful enough to interfere with viral replication and provides a mean to manipulate the virus tropism. We achieved a decisive improvement of the selective regulation by positioning additional miRNA target sites within the 3'-UTR of E1A to render it more susceptible to RNAi. It can therefore be assumed that the antiviral RNAi-network concept leaves enough space for further optimization as merely this single manipulation led to a visible advancement. RNAi-networks offer an outstanding versatility regarding the number of genes that can be synchronously targeted. As they need only little space compared to conventional protein-based gene regulation systems, they can be easily incorporated in viral vectors with limited capacity for the uptake of heterologous DNA.

In conclusion we have shown that virus-encoded antiviral RNAi can be used for the self-control of viral vector replication. The tumor selectivity of our virus was achieved by an RNAi-network directed against essential viral genes that is solely expressed in response to transcriptionally active p53. RNAi-controlled viral replication showed an improved specificity and antitumoral efficacy in vitro and in vivo compared to an isogenic control virus that expresses a nonfunctional RNAi-network. As our concept is principally applicable to other transcriptionally regulated DNA viruses, the strategy of antiviral RNAi-networks provides a general method for the generation of a broad spectrum of selective viruses.

**FUNDING**

EU-Commission [Contract No. 12948 ‘Netsensor’], the German Research Council [KFO119/1-1] and the Mildred-Scheel-Foundation. Funding for open access charge: EU-Commission and the German Research Council.
Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

1. Brummelkamp,T.R., Bernards,R. and Agami,R. (2002) Stable suppression of tumorigenicity by virus-mediated RNA interference. Cancer Cell, 2, 243–247.
2. Wilda,M., Fuchs,U., Wossmann,W. and Borkhardt,A. (2002) Killing of leukemic cells with a BCR-ABL fusion gene by RNA interference (RNAi). Oncogene, 21, 5716–5724.
3. Song,E., Lee,S.K., Wang,J., Ince,N., Ouyang,N., Min,J., Chen,J., Shankar,P. and Lieberman,J. (2003) RNA interference targeting Fas protects mice from fulminant hepatitis. Nat. Med., 9, 347–351.
4. Zender,L., Hutker,S., Liedtke,C., Tillmann,H.L., Zender,S., Mundt,B., Waltemathe,M., Gosling,T., Flemming,P., Malek,N.P., et al. (2003) Caspase 8 small interfering RNA prevents acute liver failure in mice. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 7797–7802.
5. Jacque,J.M., Triques,K. and Stevenson,M. (2002) Modulation of HIV-1 replication by RNA interference. Nat. Rev., 418, 435–438.
6. Gitlin,L., Karedsky,S. and Andino,R. (2002) Short interfering RNA confers intracellular antiviral immunity in human cells. Nat. Rev., 418, 430–434.
7. Raindall,G., Grakoui,A. and Rice,C.M. (2003) Clearance of replicating hepatitis C virus replicon RNAs in cell culture by small interfering RNAs. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 235–240.
8. Chung,Y.S., Kim,M.K., Lee,W.J. and Kang,C. (2007) Silencing E1A mRNA by RNA interference inhibits adenovirus replication. Archiv. Virol., 152, 1305–1314.
9. Lecellier,C.H., Dumoyer,P., Arar,K., Lehmann-Che,J., Eyquem,S., Himber,C., Saib,A. and Voinnet,O. (2005) A cellular microRNA mediates antiviral defense in human cells. Science, 308, 557–560.
10. Cullen,B.R. (2006) Viruses and microRNAs. Nat. Genet., 38(Suppl.), S25–S30.
11. Edge,R.E., Falls,T.J., Brown,C.W., Lichty,B.D., Atkins,H. and Bell,J.C. (2008) A let-7 MicroRNA-senstive vesicular stomatitis virus demonstrates tumor-specific replication. Mol. Ther., 16, 1437–1443.
12. Kelly,E.J., Hadae,E.M., Greiner,S. and Russell,S.J. (2008) Engineering microRNA responsiveness to decrease virus pathogenicity. Nat. Med., 14, 1278–1283.
13. Ylosmaki,E., Hakkarainen,T., Hemminki,A., Visakorpi,T., Andino,R. and Saksela,K. (2008) Generation of a conditionally replicating adenovirus based on targeted destruction of E1A mRNA by a cell type-specific MicroRNA. J. Virol., 82, 11009–11015.
14. Cai,X., Lu,S., Zhang,Z., Gonzalez,C.M., Damania,B. and Cullen,B.R. (2005) Kaposi’s sarcoma-associated herpesvirus expresses an array of viral microRNAs in latently infected cells. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 5570–5575.
15. Sullivan,C.S., Grundhoff,A.T., Tevethia,S., Pipas,J.M. and Ganem,D. (2005) SV40-encoded microRNAs regulate viral gene expression and reduce susceptibility to cyotoxic T cells. Nature, 435, 682–686.
16. Jouanidi,Y., Doloff,J.C. and Waxman,D.J. (2007) Conditionally replicating adenoviruses for cancer treatment. Curr. Cancer Drug Targets, 7, 285–301.
17. Ui-Tei,K., Naito,Y., Takahashi,F., Haraguchi,T., Okhi-Hamazaki,H., Junia,A., Ueda,R. and Saigo,K. (2004) Guidelines for the selection of highly effective siRNA sequences for mammalian and chick RNA interference. Nucleic Acids Res., 32, 936–948.
18. Kuhnelt,F., Zender,L., Wirth,T., Schulte,B., Trautwein,C., Manns,M. and Kubicka,S. (2004) Tumor-specific adenoviral gene therapy: transcriptional repression of gene expression by utilizing p53-signal transduction pathways. Cancer Gene Ther., 11, 28–40.
19. Mizuguchi,H. and Kay,M.A. (1998) Efficient construction of a recombinant adenovirus vector by an improved in vitro ligation method. Hum. Gene Ther., 9, 2577–2583.
20. Kuhnelt,F., Schulte,B., Wirth,T., Woller,N., Schafer,S., Zender,L., Manns,M. and Kubicka,S. (2004) Protein transduction domains fused to virus receptors improve cellular virus uptake and enhance oncolysis by tumor-specific replicating vectors. J. Virol., 78, 13743–13754.
21. Zhang,Y.A., Nemunaitis,J., Samuel,S.K., Chen,P., Shen,Y. and Tong,A.W. (2006) Antitumor activity of an oncolytic adenovirus-delivered oncogene small interfering RNA. Cancer Res., 66, 9736–9743.
22. Shabathi-Tehrani,S., Kutz-Lohrff,B., Bergbauer,R., Scholven,J. and Eispanier,R. (2008) miR-Q: a novel quantitative RT-PCR approach for the expression profiling of small RNA molecules such as miRNAs in a complex sample. BMC Mol. Biol., 9, 34.