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Abstract
This study was conducted to determine the effect of NPF, FDR, deposits, and DER to Islamic banking financing in Indonesia. This study uses the annual financial statements population of the entire Islamic Banks (BUS) in Indonesia in 2010-2014. The samples in this study using purposive sampling, that the sampling method using specific criteria. The amount of data used by 30 the annual financial statements of six Islamic banks which fulfill the criteria as a sample. The results showed that the NPF, FDR, deposits, and DER simultaneously affect the murabaha financing. The magnitude of the effect of the four independent variables against murabaha financing amounted to 95.9% and the remaining 4.1% is influenced by other variables outside of this study. For partial results, variable DPK and DER positive effect on murabaha financing. As for the variable FDR and NPF no significant effect on the murabahafinancing.
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1. Introduction
The Bank is a financial institution that is very important in running the economy and trade. Society developed and developing countries desperately need a bank as a place to conduct financial transactions and is a safe place to store funds for companies, governmental bodies, private or individual. Sharia banking is everything concerning the Sharia Bank and Sharia Business Unit, covering institutional, business activities, as well as the manner and process of carrying out its business activities (Law No.21 of 2008 concerning islamic banking). The rapid development of the system of Islamic banking in Indonesia at this time, especially in financial institutions, marked by the establishment of Bank Muamalat Indonesia in 1992.

Islamic banks are the banks that undergo its business activities based on Islamic principles and by type consisting of Islamic banks (BUS), sharia business unit (UUS), and the people of sharia bank financing (SRB). Growth and rapid development in the field of Islamic financial is of course also open opportunities for Indonesia to participate more actively in it.
Table 1: Total BUS, UUS and SRB in Indonesia in 1998-2014

| Year | 1998 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| BUS  | 1    | 5    | 6    | 11   | 11   | 11   | 11   | 12   |
| UUS  | -    | 27   | 25   | 23   | 24   | 24   | 23   | 22   |
| BPRS | 76   | 131  | 138  | 150  | 155  | 158  | 163  | 163  |

Source: Sharia Banking Statistics (2014).

From the above table, the most widely distributed financing is murabaha by Islamic banks, proved from year to year murabaha financing continues to increase and more distributed than other financing. Murabaha financing assessed more easily and does not require sophisticated analysis as well as profitable. Karim (2004: 113) states that murabaha is a contract of sale of goods with stating that price acquisition and profit (margin) as agreed by the seller and the buyer. This contract is a natural form of certainty contracts, because in murabaha determined how much profit to be obtained.

Murabahah is a financing that positions the customer as a buyer and the bank as a seller, and this murabaha operational use pure harmony and terms of buying and selling, where there are several things that must be present in the sale and purchase transactions. There should be the perpetrators of the seller and the buyer, the object of purchase and the Islamic contract and agreement that accompanies the purchase agreement. Murabaha financing in Islamic banking is influenced by many factors, such as Non Performing Financing (NPF) Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR), Third Party Funds and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER). This study was conducted to determine how much influence these variables against murabaha financing.

2. Research Methodology

2.1. Type and source of data

This type of research is associative causal, i.e research that analyze the relationship between one variable to another variable. The data used in this research is secondary data. This study uses annual data from the years 2010-2014 that were obtained from the website of each bank. This study uses a quantitative approach.

2.2. Method of collecting data

Data collection methods used in this research is the method of documentation, the method of collecting data to investigate and study the documents accordingly.

2.3. Population and sample

The population in this study is the annual financial statements of all BUS (Islamic Banks) in Indonesia in 2010-2014. The sampling used purposive sampling method, with the sampling criteria as follows:

a. Bank Syariah listed in BI in 2010-2014
b. Bank Syariah which publishes financial statements for 2010-2014 and has been published on the BI website or the website of each bank.
c. The annual financial statements have the completeness of the data used in this study.

2.4. Research variable

Dependent variable in this research is the Murabaha financing. While the independent variables in this study are:

a. Non Performing Financing (NPF)
b. Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR)
c. Third Party Fund (DPK)
d. Debt to Equity Ratio (DER)

2.5. Data analysis method

Descriptive Statistics analysis is a simple analysis method that aims to facilitate the interpretation and explanation of the analysis tables, graphs or diagrams. Before testing multiple regression, first performed classical assumption test consisting of normality test, multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation. Multiple Regression Analysis. Multiple regression analysis was used to measure the effect of NPF (X1), FDR (X2), DPK (X3) DER (X4) against murabaha financing (Y) as the dependent variable.

3. Result and Discussion

Before performing the classic assumption test and multiple linear regression testing, first served descriptive statistics which can be seen in the following table:

| LN_MURABAHAH | DER | FDR | LN_DPK | NPF |
|---------------|-----|-----|--------|-----|
| Mean          | 29.26438 | 210.0483 | 87.37767 | 29.92906 | 2.923667 |
| Median        | 29.51454 | 223.0800 | 89.12500 | 29.99827 | 2.990000 |
| Maximum       | 31.14896 | 417.6000 | 102.7000 | 31.72238 | 6.840000 |
| Minimum       | 25.40125 | 36.82000 | 68.92000 | 27.04544 | 0.100000 |
| Std. Dev.     | 1.402349 | 101.3190 | 8.578692 | 1.269879 | 1.694117 |
| Observations  | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |

Source: Data processed

3.1. Classical assumption method test

Normality test

Normality test aims to test confounding or residual variable in the regression model that has a normal distribution or not. In this research, researchers used a statistic test analysis to determine whether the residual distributes normal or not. At the normality test this hypothesis is if the p-value <0.1 then H0 is accepted meaning of data distributed normally.

| Series: Residuals |
|-------------------|
| Sample 2010 2039 Observations 30 |
| Mean   | 1.25e-12 |
| Median | -6.260101 |
| Maximum| 193.1115 |
| Minimum| -115.0910 |
| Std. Dev.| 76.36518 |
| Skewness| 0.485845 |
| Kurtosis| 2.905015 |
| Jarque-Bera| 1.191505 |
| Probability| 0.551148 |

Source: Processed Data
From data on Table 4 can be said that the residual data are normally distributed. This is reflected by the p-value > 0.05 which is equal to 0.551.

**Multicollinearity test**

Multicollinearity test aims to test whether the regression model found a correlation between independent variables (independent). A good regression model is a regression model that does not correlate among the independent variables. To determine whether or not there is multicollinearity if the correlation coefficient between each independent variable is greater than 0.8 then there is multicollinearity in the regression model.

| Table 5: Multicolinearity test |
|-------------------------------|
| DER                           |
| FDR 0.359767552845944         |
| LN_DPK 0.500585892624728      |
| NPF                           |
| 0.404406657920405             |
| DER 1                         |
| 0.359767552845944             |
| 0.500585892624728             |
| 0.404406657920405             |
| FDR 1                         |
| 0.359767552845944             |
| 0.500585892624728             |
| 0.404406657920405             |
| LN_DPK 0.500585892624728      |
| 0.394578134552648             |
| 0.672371717005831             |
| NPF 0.404406657920405         |
| 0.141207782151958             |
| 0.672371717005831             |
| Source: Processed Data        |

From Table 5 shows that the calculation results showed no independent variables that has a correlation coefficient value over 0.8, so it can be concluded that multicollinearity does not occur in the regression model.

**Heteroskedasticity test**

Heteroskedasticity test used to see whether a regression model variance occurs inequality. A good regression model does not have heteroskedasticity. Heteroskedasticity test can be done with White heteroskedasticity test. If the p-value obs * r-squared > 0.01 then H0 accepted, which means it does not have heteroskedasticity.

| Table 6: Heteroscedasticity test |
|----------------------------------|
| F-statistic                     |
| 2.709549                        |
| Prob. F(4,25)                   |
| 0.0530                          |
| Obs*R-squared                   |
| 9.072606                        |
| Prob. Chi-Square(4)             |
| 0.0593                          |
| Scaled explained SS             |
| 6.001197                        |
| Prob. Chi-Square(4)             |
| 0.1991                          |

Source: Data processed

Based on Table 6 shows that the p-value of 0.0593 > 0.01 then H0 is accepted. This means with the 90% confidence level can be said that there no heteroscedasticity occurs in the regression model.

**Autocorrelation test**

Autocorrelation test aims whether in a linear regression model there is a correlation between intruder error in period t with intruder error in period t-1 (previous one). Autocorrelation problems arise because successive observation at all times in relation to each other. This condition is often found in the time series data (time series) for their "interference" in individual or group which is likely to affect "interference" in individual or group in the next period. If the p-value obs * r-squared > 0.01 then H0 is accepted which means no autocorrelation.
Table 7: Autocorrelation Test Results

| Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test: | F-statistic | Prob. F(2,23) | 0.133019 | 0.8761 |
| Obs*R-squared | 0.343038 | Prob. Chi-Square(2) | 0.8424 |

Source: Processed Data

Table 7 shows that the p-value obs * r-squared 0.8424 > 0.01 It is concluded that there is no autocorrelation in the data.

Hypothesis testing

This study uses multiple regression analysis in data processing. This analysis uses statistical test t and F statistical test using a significance level of 5% or 0.05. If the level of significance less than 0.05, the H1 is accepted, whereas the significance level is greater than 0.05 then H0 is accepted.

Simultaneous Significance Tests (Statistic F)

FTest shows all the independent variables in the regression model have a simultaneous effect on the dependent variable. If the significance value <0.05, H5 accepted. Effect of simultaneous Non Performing Financing (NPF), Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR), Third Party Fund (DPK and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) to Murabahah can be seen in Table 8

| Table 8: Simultaneous Significance Test (F Statistic Test) |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| R-squared | 0.965126 | Mean dependent var | 29.26438 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.959546 | S.D. dependent var | 1.402349 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.282058 | Akaike info criterion | 0.457606 |
| Sum squared resid | 1.988922 | Schwarz criterion | 0.691138 |
| Log likelihood | -1.864084 | Hannan-Quinn criter. | 0.532315 |
| F-statistic | 172.9642 | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.136509 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 |

Source: Processed Data

The results of data processing in Table 8 through prob (F-statistic) shows that the significance value of 0.000 <0.05. The probability test value is less than 0.05 indicates regression model can be used simultaneously to predict Murabahah. This proves that Non Performing Financing (NPF), Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR), Third Party Fund (DPK and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) simultaneously affect positively on murabaha. It is concluded that H5 is accepted in the model of this regression study.

Individual Parameter Significance Test (t Statistic Test)

T statistic test used to determine the effect of each independent variable to explain dependent variable with a significance level of 5% or 0.05. If the probability value <0.05, the regression coefficient is significant and H1, H2, H3, and H4 are accepted

| Table 9: Individual Parameter Significance Test (t Statistic Test) Dependent Variable: LN_MURABAHAH |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| LN_DPK | 0.973669 | 0.062799 | 15.50442 | 0.0000 |
| FDR | 0.006966 | 0.006941 | 1.003481 | 0.3252 |
| DER | 0.001500 | 0.000617 | 2.431014 | 0.0226 |
| NPF | 0.028589 | 0.042958 | 0.665507 | 0.5118 |
| C | -0.883813 | 1.661628 | -0.531896 | 0.5995 |

Source: Processed Data
Based on t test results in Table 9 it appears that:

- **NPF** has a significance number of 0.512, because the value of the significance t test is greater than 0.05, **H1** is not accepted so that no significant difference between the NPF and murabaha financing.
- **FDR** has a significance number of 0.325, because the value of the significance t test is greater than 0.05, the H2 is rejected so that no significant difference between FDR and murabaha financing.
- **DPK** has a significance figure of 0.000, due to the significant value of the t test is less than 0.05, the H3 is accepted so that there is a significant difference between the DPK and murabaha financing.
- **DER** has a number of significance of 0.023, t test for significance value is less than 0.05 then the H4 is accepted so that there is a significant influence between DER and murabaha financing.

**Coefficient of Determination**

The coefficient of determination Test (R$^2$) is used to measure how far the ability of the model to explain variations in the dependent variable. The coefficient of determination between 0 and 1. If the value of determination coefficient close to one, then the independent variables provide almost all the information required to predict the dependent variable. This study uses the coefficient of determination using the adjusted R-square value for evaluating the regression model. Adjusted R-square value in the study can be seen in Table 10 below.

| Table 10 : The coefficient of determination (Adjusted R2) |
|----------------------------------------------------------|
| R-squared | 0.965126 | Mean dependent var | 29.26438 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.959546 | S.D. dependent var | 1.402349 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.282058 | Akaike info criterion | 0.457606 |
| Sum squared resid | 1.988922 | Schwarz criterion | 0.691138 |
| Log likelihood | -1.864084 | Hannan-Quinn criterion | 0.532315 |
| F-statistic | 172.9642 | Durbin-Watson stat | 2.136509 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000000 | |

Source: Processed Data

From Table 10 it can be seen that the magnitude of the adjusted R-square of 0.959 or 95.9%. Halini means 95.9%. The dependent variable of murabahacan be significantly explained by variations in the independent variables. While the rest of 4.1% (100% - 95.9%) is explained by other variables outside the regression model in this study.

**Multiple Regression Analysis**

Murabahah = -0.884 + 0.029NPF + 0.007FDR + 0.974DPK + 0.002DER + Ė

Coefficients in the regression equation above can be interpreted as follows:

1) Based on t test results if everything on the independent variables is considered as constant, then the value of murabaha financing amounted to -0.884.
2) Variable NPF has a positive regression coefficient of 0.029.
3) Variable FDR has a positive regression coefficient of 0.007.
4) The DPK quality variable has a positive regression coefficient of 0.974.
5) The variable of Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) has a positive regression coefficient of 0.002.

**4. Discussion**

**Effect of Non Performing Financing (NPF) against Murabahah**

Hypothesis test results showed that the variables of Non Performing Financing (NPF) did not significantly affect the variable of Murabaha that is seen from the level of significance 0.512 <0.05. Variable of Non Performing Financing (NPF) has a positive regression coefficient of 0.029. Non Performing Financing (NPF) is the risk of non-payment of financing provided by Islamic banks. The high level NPF leads bank to experiencing difficulties and the downgrading of the bank, so the bank is expected to maintain a reasonable range of NPF in the rate set by the central
bank that is a minimum of 5%. If the NPF levels above 5% then the bank be more cautious and reduced funding channelled. The results are consistent with Pratimi research (2011) which states that the NPF did not have a significant effect on the financing murabaha that allegedly NPF Islamic banks are relatively small compared to conventional banks so it is not a major consideration in offering financing, because the previous Islamic banks to select customers with the precautionary principle. In addition, if the NPF increase indicates that the murabaha financing also increased because the financing that is already in the customer hands become its responsibility in terms of returns.

**Effect of Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR) against Murabahah**

Hypothesis test results showed that the variables of Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR) did not significantly affect the Murabahah variables that can be seen from a significance level of 0.325 > 0.05. The variable quality of the FDR has a positive regression coefficient of 0.007. This is in line with Nurbaya research (2013) which states that FDR did not affect the murabaha financing. In Rimadani and Erza Research Journal (2011) conducted on Sharia Bank Mandiri said that the Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR) had no significant effect on the growth of the bank's murabaha financing Syariah Mandiri. This indicates that the low effectiveness of intermediation function of BSM shown by the low of FDR did not affect the financing.

**Effect of Third Party Fund (DPK) against Murabahah**

Hypothesis test results indicate that the positive effect of DPK variable to variable Murabaha is seen from the level of significance 0.000 < 0.05. It is said to have a positive influence for DPK variable as it has a positive regression coefficient of 0.974. This means that the third party funding affects murabaha. If the DPK has increased the murabaha financing disbursed will also increase and vice versa, if DPK decreased the murabaha financing also decreased. DPK is one of the financial resources owned by a bank to carry out financing activities. By having a high DPK then the bank has a substantial financial resources to conduct the distribution of funds. This is in line with research conducted by Nurjaya (2011), namely DPK has a positive effect on murabaha financing, Nurbaya research (2013) states that DPK has a positive and significant effect on the financing murabaha.

Lifstin research journal (2014) which states that the DPK has a positive impact on the murabaha financing. If DPK has increased the murabaha financing disbursed will also increase and vice versa. Qolby Research Journal (2013) states that the relationship between the Third Party Funds (TPF), with Islamic banking financing is positive. The positive relationship is because the third party fund is the major funding sources in Islamic banking, the greater the number of third-party funds collected by the Islamic banking, then the greater the financing will be provided by the islamic banking to society. From several journals and these studies can be interpreted that the higher DPK value the higher Coefficients in the regression equation above can be interpreted as follows: murabaha financing which can be issued by a bank. This is because the higher the funds received from third-party bank, the more funds can also be processed and issued by the bank in terms of financing.

**Effect of Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) of the Murabahah**

Hypothesis test results showed that the variable of DER has a positive effect on the Murabahah variable which is seen from the level of significance 0.023 < 0.05. It is said to has a positive influence as the DER variable has a positive regression coefficient of 0.002. This means that the Debt to Equity Ratio affects murabaha. This is in line with the research conducted by Yanis (2013) which states that partially DER has a positive effect on the murabahafinancing. This may imply that the higher the DER value the higher murabaha financing which can be issued by a bank.

**Effect of Non Performing Financing (NPF), Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR), Third Party Fund (DPK and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) of the Murabahah.**

Hypothesis test results showed that all independent variables i.e Non Performing Financing (NPF), Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR), Third Party Fund (DPK and Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) simultaneously affect Murabahah. This can be seen from the probability value is less than 0.05 amounted to 0.000. This shows that if one of the independent variable does not exist, it can reduce Murabahah.
5. Conclusion

This study examines whether the Non Performing Financing (NPF), Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR), Third Party Funds, and Debt to Equity Ratio have significant effect on the Murabahafinancing in Islamic banking in Indonesia. The sample in this study amounted to 30 samples with 5 years of observations from 2010 to 2014. Based on the analysis and test research hypotheses in the previous chapter, the conclusion that can be drawn from this study is as follows:

1. After testing the hypothesis can be concluded that in partial Non Performing Financing (NPF) is not significant to murabaha financing. The results are consistent with Pratimi research (2011) which states that the NPF did not have a significant effect on the murabaha financing.

2. Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR) is not significant to murabaha financing, in accordance with the results of this study and Erza Rimadani Research Journal (2011) conducted on Sharia Mandiri Bank said that the Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR) had no significant effect on the growth of murabaha financing.

3. Third Party Fund (DPK) affects positively and significantly on the financing murabaha. The results are consistent with Lifstin research (2014) which states that the DPK positively influences murabaha financing. Qolby Research Journal (2013) states that the relationship between the Third Party Funds (TPF), and Islamic banking financing is positive. Nurjaya Research (2011) and Nurbaya (2013) states that DPK affects positively and significantly on murabaha financing.

4. Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) affects positively and significantly on the murabaha financing. This is in line with research conducted by Yanis (2013) which states that DER in partial affects positively on murabaha financing.

5. It can be concluded also that the variable of Non Performing Financing (NPF), Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR), Third Party Funds, and Debt to Equity Ratio simultaneously affects positively on murabaha financing.

6. The test results of coefficient of determination (R^2), the amount of R square (R^2) obtained was 0.959. Thus the magnitude influence exerted by Non Performing Financing (NPF), Financing to Deposit Ratio (FDR), Third Party Funds, and Debt to Equity Ratio variables on murabaha financing amounted to 95.9%, while the remaining 4.1% is affected by other variables not examined in this study.
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