Stereoscopic Display of the Peripheral Nerves at the Elbow Region Based on MR Diffusion Tensor Imaging with Multiple Post-Processing Methods
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Abstract

Background: Peripheral nerves at the elbow region are prone to entrapment neuropathies and injuries. To make accurate assessment, clinicians need stereoscopic display of the nerves to observe them at all angles.

Objectives: To obtain a stereoscopic display of the peripheral nerves at the elbow region based on magnetic resonance (MR) diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) data using three post-processing methods of volume rendering (VR), maximum intensity projection (MIP), and fiber tractography, and to evaluate the difference and correlation between them.

Subjects and Methods: Twenty-four elbows of 12 healthy young volunteers were assessed by 20 encoding diffusion direction MR DTI scans. Images belonging to a single direction (anterior-posterior direction, perpendicular to the nerve) were subjected to VR and MIP reconstruction. All raw DTI data were transferred to the Siemens MR workstation for fiber tractography post-processing. Imaging qualities of fiber tractography and VR/MIP were evaluated by two observers independently based on a custom evaluation scale.

Results: Stereoscopic displays of the nerves were obtained in all 24 elbows by VR, MIP, and fiber tractography post-processing methods. The VR/MIP post-processing methods were easier to perform compared to fiber tractography. There was no significant difference among the scores of fiber tracking and VR/MIP reconstruction for single direction. The imaging quality scores of fiber tractography and VR/MIP were significantly correlated based on intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) analysis (ICC ranged 0.709 - 0.901), which suggested that the scores based on fiber tractography and VR/MIP for the same sample were consistent. Inter- and intraobserver agreements were good to excellent.

Conclusion: Stereoscopic displays of the peripheral nerves at the elbow region can be achieved by using VR, MIP, and fiber tracking post-processing methods based on raw DTI images. VR and MIP reconstruction could be used as preview tools before fiber tracking to determine whether the raw images are satisfactory.

Keywords: Diffusion Tensor Imaging; Peripheral Nerves; Magnetic Resonance Imaging

1. Background

Peripheral nerves, especially those at the elbow region, such as the median nerve and ulnar nerve, are prone to entrapment neuropathies and injuries, such as cubital tunnel syndrome (1, 2), pronator teres syndrome (3), and nerve laceration (4). The traditional method used for evaluating these diseases with respect to preoperative diagnosis and postoperative rehabilitation is electrophysiological nerve conduction and electromyography; however, accurate evaluations using these methods largely depend on the operator’s experience, and false negative results are common (5). A magnetic resonance (MR) image can display the soft tissue, including nerves, in T1 or T2 images, and the most commonly used method of MR for the diagnosis of peripheral nerve entrapment is to measure the cross sectional area (CSA) and T2 signal intensity, which cannot distinguish axonal injury from demyelination (6). Moreover, the continuity and integrity of a nerve can hardly be detected based on two-dimensional (2D) images. Therefore, obtaining a three-dimensional (3D) display of a nerve that can be observed at any angle is essential for clinicians to make accurate assessments.

Currently, the methods and sequences of MR neurography are mostly represented through diffusion tensor imaging (DTI). DTI with fiber tracking was the first method used to obtain a 3D view of the nerve, which was originally applied to image the central nervous system. Fiber tracking relies on the diffusion of water molecules contained within nerve fibers. Diffusion is less restricted in the direction parallel to the nerve fiber than that perpendicular to the fiber (possibly due to the layers of myelin). Using special fiber-tracking software to reconstruct the DTI data, it is possible to visualize the complete neural structure. In June 2004, Skorpil et al. (7) demon-
2. Objectives

We predicted that the peripheral nerve at the elbow region could be three-dimensionally displayed based on the MR DTI data with multiple post-processing techniques. We aimed to determine whether the VR or MIP reconstruction for single direction would be more convenient than fiber tracking post-processing while acquiring approximate qualities of nerve imaging, and the consistency of VR/MIP and fiber tracking post-processing for the same sample.

3. Subjects and Methods

3.1. Study Subjects

The study had prior approval by our institutional review board and ethics committee, and all subjects provided informed consent prior to the study. The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki. A total of 24 elbows of 12 healthy, young volunteers were studied (six men, six women; age range 22-32 years). Nerves at the elbow region were analyzed, including the median nerve, ulnar nerve, and radial nerve. The exclusion criteria included general contraindications for MR imaging (MRI), a prior history of trauma or surgery of the elbow, presence of rheumatoid arthritis, and presence of space-occupying lesions at the elbow.

3.2. MR Imaging Protocol

The MR images were acquired with a Siemens Magnetom Verio 3T MRI scanner (Siemens Healthcare; Erlangen, Germany) using a 4-channel flex coil (flex small 4; Siemens). The MRI system’s maximum field gradient amplitude was 45 mT/m with a slew rate of 200 T m s⁻¹. During the scan session, the soft coil was placed around the elbow, and then a polymer brace was placed on the whole upper limb in order to restrain movement. The upper limb was moved away from the body. The subject’s upper extremity with the coil was immobilized with cushions, sandbags, and bandages. The elbow was then positioned to the center of the magnet bore. For imaging of the elbow, the subject was placed in the scanner in supine position (head first).

DTI was performed using an echo planar inversion recovery (EPIR) sequence (TR = 15,300 ms; TE = 92 ms; TI = 200 ms; FA = 90°; ETL = 1; FOV = 250 × 250 mm; scan matrix = 128 × 128; average = 2) with 38 transversal slices of 3.0-mm thickness with no gap between the slices. Diffusion weighting with a b value of 1200 s/mm² was applied in 20 encoding diffusion directions. In addition to the diffusion-weighted images, a single reference image without diffusion weighting (b = 0 s/mm²) was acquired. For anatomical reference, a TI-weighted image (TR = 700 ms; TE = 11 ms; FA = 150°; ETL = 3; thickness = 3 mm; gap = 0 mm; FOV = 140 × 140 mm; scan matrix = 320 × 240; average = 1) was acquired. The scanning times for DTI and Ti-weighted image were 11 min 29 s and 2 min 1 s, respectively, for a total scan time of approximately 15 min.

3.3. VR and MIP Post-Processing

The raw DTI images were transferred automatically to the Siemens MR workstation (Siemens Syngo 3D). Table 1 shows the gradient table of DTI gradient with 20 diffusion directions. The X-axis represents the anterior-posterior direction, the Y-axis represents the left-right di-
rectangular and the Z-axis represents the superior-inferior direction. Based on the gradient table of the DTI scan, direction 1 with coordinates (1, 0, 0) represents the anterior-posterior direction. Therefore, according to Skorpil et al. (15), the most suitable choice for single direction VR post-processing is direction 1, which is perpendicular to the nerves. The original DTI images usually contained a series of T2-weighted images (b = 0 s/mm²), which were generated as the first series in the result chart. The optimal choice (direction 1) was the second series generated in the result chart. During VR/MIP reconstruction of images of direction 1, any high-signal noises of the veins or skin present in the reconstructed stereoscopic view were cut off in order to make the nerves as conspicuous as possible. One of the authors (Y.Y) was responsible for this part of the work.

### Table 1. Gradient Table of DTI with Twenty Diffusion Directions

| Direction No. | X<sup>a</sup> | Y<sup>b</sup> | Z<sup>c</sup> |
|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| 0             | 0.000000     | 0.000000     | 0.000000     |
| 1<sup>d</sup> | 1.000000     | 0.000000     | 0.000000     |
| 2             | 0.000000     | 1.000000     | 0.000000     |
| 3             | -0.031984    | 0.799591     | 0.599603     |
| 4             | 0.856706     | 0.493831     | -0.148949    |
| 5             | 0.834429     | 0.309159     | 0.456234     |
| 6             | 0.834429     | -0.309159    | 0.456234     |
| 7             | 0.856706     | -0.493831    | -0.148949    |
| 8             | 0.822228     | 0.000000     | -0.569158    |
| 9             | 0.550834     | 0.425872     | -0.717784    |
| 10            | 0.468173     | 0.834308     | 0.281963     |
| 11            | 0.515933     | 0.808894     | 0.281963     |
| 12            | 0.391890     | 0.515855     | 0.761785     |
| 13            | 0.478151     | 0.000000     | 0.878278     |
| 14            | 0.391890     | -0.515855    | 0.761785     |
| 15            | 0.515933     | -0.808894    | 0.281963     |
| 16            | 0.468173     | -0.834308    | -0.291010    |
| 17            | 0.550834     | -0.425872    | -0.717784    |
| 18            | 0.110102     | -0.264029    | -0.958105    |
| 19            | 0.110102     | 0.264029     | -0.958105    |
| 20            | 0.039845     | 0.799591     | -0.599603    |

<sup>a</sup> X-axis represents the anterior-posterior direction.

<sup>b</sup> Y-axis represents the left-right direction.

<sup>c</sup> Z-axis represents the superior-inferior direction.

<sup>d</sup> Direction 1 with the coordinates (1, 0, 0) represents the anterior-posterior direction.

### 3.4. Fiber Tractography

The raw DTI images were transferred to the Siemens MR workstation (Siemens Syngo Neuro 3D). Fiber tractography was then performed using a multiple seed ROI technique, which employed fiber assignment by continuous tracking. Seed ROIs were drawn freehand at three anatomical locations (level to the supracondylar humerus, olecranon, and proximal radioulnar joint) on the raw DTI images and reference T1-weighted images. ROIs were confined precisely to the nerve border to avoid partial volume artefacts and to exclude any surrounding structure from the seed ROIs. In this study, the fractional anisotropy (FA) threshold value was 0.2 and the angulation tolerance was 30°. The software’s option “step length” was set to 0.47 mm. Another author (D.-S.J) was responsible for this part of the work.

### 3.5. Imaging Quality Evaluation

In order to compare the imaging quality among VR/MIP and fiber tractography, we created a custom evaluation scale to assess each nerve in VR/MIP and fiber tractography images. As to the scale setting, we read the study of Zhao et al. (16) for reference. We set the scale based on whether the target nerve could be shown and the length of the nerve was complete as follows: score of 2, the target nerve (the median, radial, or ulnar nerve) at the elbow region was clearly shown and the entire length of the nerve was visible throughout the entire scanning section; score of 1, the target nerve at the elbow region was clearly shown, but its length was incomplete; score of 0, the target nerve was invisible. Two authors (W.-Q.D and J.-H.G) were responsible for independently evaluating the imaging qualities according to this scale. One author (W.-Q.D) evaluated the imaging qualities again 4 weeks later.

### 3.6. Statistical Analysis

The imaging quality scores were expressed as medians with ranges and mean with SD. For each nerve, scores of VR/MIP reconstruction of the single direction and fiber tracking were compared using Friedman test. Differences were considered significant when P values were less than 0.05. Intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) analysis was used to compare the correlation of the imaging quality scores for each nerve among VR/MIP and fiber tractography. Inter- and intraobserver agreements for evaluation scores were calculated by using the kappa statistic. Kappa values of 0 - 0.20 were considered to indicate poor agreement; 0.21 - 0.40, fair agreement; 0.41 - 0.60, moderate agreement; 0.61 - 0.80, good agreement; and 0.81 - 1.00, excellent agreement. Statistical analysis was performed by one author (J.-H.G) using SPSS statistical software, ver. 21 (IBM Corp. Released 2012. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY).
4. Results

All 24 elbows of the 12 young volunteers were tested, and stereoscopic displays of the nerves were obtained by using VR, MIP, and fiber tractography post-processing methods (Figure 1). For most elbows of volunteers, three main nerves could be seen clearly (Figure 1), whereas only one nerve could be detected in other individual cases (Figure 2). Owing to the relatively simple and direct operations enabled without requiring ROIs selection, the VR and MIP post-processing methods were easier to perform compared to fiber tractography. VR/MIP reconstructions for single direction images and fiber tracking evaluation scores for each target nerve are listed in Table 2, which did not differ significantly ($P > 0.05$). The imaging quality scores of fiber tractography and VR/MIP were significantly correlated based on intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) analysis (ICC ranged 0.709 - 0.901), which suggested good consistency between the scores obtained based on the fiber tractography and VR/MIP for the same sample. The $\kappa$ values of inter- and intraserver agreements ranged 0.674 - 0.909, which showed good to excellent agreements.

---

**Figure 1.** Fiber tractography and VR/MIP reconstruction of nerves from DT. A, Fiber tractography. The median nerve (※) radial nerve (☆), and ulnar nerve (★) can be seen clearly. A, Fiber tractography. B, Fiber tractography of DTI with reference of T1-weighted images. C, VR reconstruction. D, MIP reconstruction. E, Reference picture of the three main nerves at the elbow region. The scanned section is located between the two parallel lines.

**Figure 2.** Fiber tractography and VR/MIP reconstruction of nerves from DTI. A, Fiber tractography. Only the ulnar nerve (★) can be seen clearly, whereas the median nerve and radial nerve cannot be tracked. B, VR reconstruction (without noise removal). Only the ulnar nerve (★) can be seen, which is located outside of the outline of the elbow. The median and radial nerve are covered by the outline of the elbow. C, Reference picture.
Table 2. Evaluation Scores for Each Target Nerve Based on VR/MIP Reconstructions for Single Direction Images and Fiber Tracking a

| Median Nerve | VR         | MIP         | FT          | P Value b | ICC | k1 | k2 |
|--------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-----|----|----|
| Ob1 Mean. (SD) | 1.75 (0.532) | 1.79 (0.509) | 1.83 (0.482) | 0.674     |     |    |    |
| Ob1 Median. (range) | 2 (0 - 2) | 2 (0 - 2) | 2 (0 - 2) | 0.368 | 0.838 |
| Ob2 Mean. (SD) | 1.75 (0.532) | 1.79 (0.509) | 1.79 (0.509) | 0.83     |     |    |    |
| Ob2 Median. (range) | 2 | 2 (0 - 2) | 2 (0 - 2) | 0.779 | 0.79 |
| Ob2 Sec. Mean. (SD) | 1.71 (0.550) | 1.75 (0.532) | 1.79 (0.509) | 0.223 | 0.901 |
| Ob2 Sec. Median. (range) | 2 (0 - 2) | 2 (0 - 2) | 2 (0 - 2) | 0.223 | 0.901 |
| Ob1 Mean. (SD) | 1.38 | 1.42 (0.584) | 1.46 (0.588) | 0.711     |     |    |    |
| Ob1 Median. (range) | 1 | 1 (0 - 2) | 1.50 (0 - 2) | 0.368 | 0.877 |
| Ob2 Mean. (SD) | 1.54 (0.509) | 1.54 (0.509) | 1.46 (0.588) | 0.733     |     |    |    |
| Ob2 Median. (range) | 2 (1 - 2) | 2 (1 - 2) | 1.5 (0 - 2) | 0.513 | 0.709 |
| Ob2 Sec. Mean. (SD) | 1.38 (0.576) | 1.54 (0.509) | 1.46 (0.588) | 0.135 | 0.736 |
| Ob2 Sec. Median. (range) | 1 (0 - 2) | 2 (1 - 2) | 1.5 (0 - 2) | 0.135 | 0.736 |
| Ob1 Mean. (SD) | 1.04 (0.690) | 1.04 (0.690) | 0.92 (0.654) | 0.909     |     |    |    |
| Ob1 Median. (range) | 1 (0 - 2) | 1 (0 - 2) | 1 (0 - 2) | 0.105 | 0.88 |
| Ob2 Mean. (SD) | 1.04 (0.690) | 1.17 (0.761) | 0.96 (0.690) | 0.845     |     |    |    |
| Ob2 Median. (range) | 1 (0 - 2) | 1 (0 - 2) | 1 (0 - 2) | 0.066 | 0.812 |
| Ob2 Sec. Mean. (SD) | 1.08 (0.717) | 1.21 (0.721) | 1.00 (0.722) | 0.066 | 0.815 |
| Ob2 Sec. Median. (range) | 1 (0 - 2) | 1 (0 - 2) | 1 (0 - 2) | 0.066 | 0.815 |

Abbreviations: FT, fiber tractography; ICC, intraclass correlation coefficient; k1, interobserver κ value; k2, intraobserver κ value; Ob1, observer 1; Ob2, observer 2; Ob2 Sec., observer 2 second time.

P Values were calculated by Friedman test.

5. Discussion

In this study, we found that some images belong to a single direction (perpendicular to the nerve) after DTI scan could be used for VR or MIP reconstruction to display the peripheral nerves clearly. This method is similar to unidirectional diffusion-weighted MR neurography (DW-MRN) (16, 17). The difference of applied diffusion directions between DTI and DW-MRN scans is that DTI scan can select many non-parallel diffusion directions, and the data can be used for fiber tracking and measuring the FA, apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value, while DW-MRN can choose fewer number of diffusion directions, increase the excitation frequency so the scan time is shorter, and signal-to-noise ratio increased for better MIP or VR display. Studies of Zhao et al. (16) and Takahara et al. (17) indicated when they applied diffusion direction which is perpendicular to the nerve axons, the water molecular diffusion in the nerve was restricted, so the nerve tissue could all be imaged and showed high signal. We speculated that in DTI scan, the data collected from the perpendicular direction may play an important role in calculating FA value, which is the key parameter for fiber tracking. Thus, VR and MIP reconstruction of a single direction (anterior-posterior direction, perpendicular to the nerve) could predict the imaging quality of fiber tractography.
The results of this study raise an important and essential question: under the same experimental conditions, why do the results show variation among different healthy volunteers? For some elbows of volunteers, three main nerves could be seen clearly (Figure 1), whereas only one nerve could be detected in other cases (Figure 2). Besides the reasons of anatomical characteristics at the elbow region and the limitations of the technique, one possible explanation for this variation is that slight movement of the limb is the key factor affecting imaging quality. In the image shown in Figure 2, only the ulnar nerve is visible from VR reconstruction. The ulnar nerve is located outside of the outline of the elbow. The median nerve and radial nerve are covered by the outline of the elbow, which suggests that the signal values of the nerve fibers were calculated incorrectly, thereby affecting fiber tracking.

VR and MIP post-processing are more convenient to obtain compared to fiber tracking. The selection of ROIs is not required, which prevents subjective factors from influencing the results. The ICC analysis result suggested that scores based on fiber tractography and VR/MIP for the same samples were highly consistent. Therefore, VR and MIP reconstruction can be used as a simple preview tool before performing complicated fiber tracking. During the DTI scanning process using Siemens Magnetom Verio 3T MRI scanner, the DTI original images are generated in the order of the gradient table (Table 1) from direction 1 to direction 20, and from average 1 to average 2. The images belonging to direction 1 of average 1 will be generated within the first few seconds, and then VR and MIP post-processing can be immediately performed to observe whether or not the nerve is displayed. If the nerves display, then, a scan with more directions and more averages should be continued for fiber tracking. If the nerves do not display, the scan should be terminated, and more measures for restricting movement of the elbow should be adopted. Using this method could help save a lot of time to the benefit of both the clinician and the patient.

Some potential applications of VR and MIP reconstruction include evaluation of the continuity and integrity of the nerve as well as detection of abnormal morphology, whereas the application of fiber tracking is specific to assessing the status of axons. According to Sunderland’s nerve injury classification (18), grade I, grade II, and some grade III injuries (axons or endoneurial tube disruptions) do not require surgical exploration, whereas grade IV-VI injuries (perineurium or epineurium damages, defects, or mixed injuries) do require surgical exploration as soon as possible. Therefore, VR and MIP reconstruction might be able to show more details of damaged nerves, which unlike fiber tracking, do not only focus on the axons. Background tissue also can be reconstructed as reference landmark to show the damage site of nerve more clearly.

Cubital tunnel syndrome is the second-most common nerve compression syndrome after carpal tunnel syndrome. Injury of the ulnar nerve at the elbow region is also common, because it is superficial and close to the bone surface. Stereoscopic display of the nerves at the elbow region can help the clinician understand the morphology of the target nerve. For example, in nerve entrapment patients, nerves can be detected as the features of increasing CSA and flatten ratio (FR), which can be observed more easily in stereoscopic displays compared with conventional 2D images. By using these methods with the addition of FA and ADC value measurements, DTI appears to be a promising tool for future evaluations of the severity and rehabilitation of ulnar neuropathy.

This study has considerable limitations that are worth noting. First, due to the limited choice of equipment and coil, the parameters and coil of the DTI scan at the elbow region did not reach adequate optimization. Second, the measures adopted for restricting slight movement of the limb were not highly efficient.

Stereoscopic displays of the peripheral nerve at the elbow region were achieved by using VR, MIP, and fiber tracking post-processing methods based on raw DTI images. The scores based on VR/MIP and fiber tracking post-processing had good consistency for the same samples indicating that VR and MIP reconstruction for single direction could be used as preview tools before fiber tracking to determine whether the raw images are satisfactory.
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