Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.
Blood shortages and changes to massive transfusion protocols: Survey of hospital practices during the COVID-19 pandemic

Jeremy W. Jacobs\textsuperscript{a,}* , Garrett S. Booth\textsuperscript{b}

\textsuperscript{a} Department of Laboratory Medicine, Yale School of Medicine, 330 Cedar Street, New Haven, CT, 06520, USA
\textsuperscript{b} Department of Pathology, Microbiology \& Immunology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

**ABSTRACT**

**Keywords:**
Massive transfusion protocols
Patient blood management
COVID-19
Pandemic
Blood shortage

**Introduction:** The COVID-19 pandemic has resulted in severe ongoing blood shortages across the US, despite employment of numerous blood-conservation measures. Massive transfusion protocols (MTP) are one resource-intensive practice that utilize significant amounts of blood products. Alterations to the composition of MTP parameters to conserve scarce biologic resources have hitherto not been examined during the pandemic.

**Methods:** An anonymous 18-question survey was administered to 115 hospitals with valid email contact information. Survey questions addressed whether institutions have altered their MTPs due to the COVID-19 pandemic and blood shortages, and if so, what adjustments they have made. Additional details concerning potential differences in the number and cycles of MTPs and blood product wastage during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to the year prior were assessed.

**Results:** 50 responses were received (43 \% response rate). 10 \% (5/50) of institutions altered their MTPs utilizing a variety of approaches in attempt to conserve blood during the COVID-19 pandemic. Four additional institutions intend to alter them if it becomes necessary. Following onset of the COVID-19 pandemic, 24 \% (12/50) reported an increase in monthly MTP activations, while 16 \% (8/50) reported decreased activations compared to prior to the pandemic. 22 \% (11/50) of institutions experienced increased blood wastage, whereas 16 \% (8/50) reported decreased waste compared to pre-pandemic.

**Discussion:** The results of this survey highlight a variety of mechanisms by which institutions have attempted to conserve blood via altering MTPs. Whether an institution adjusted their MTP does not correlate with changes in blood product wastage compared to pre-pandemic.

1. **Introduction**

The COVID-19 pandemic has become an unprecedented event in modern human history, disrupting all aspects of life over the previous 18 months. One consequence of the numerous infections, fear of the virus, and measures implemented to mitigate escalation of the pandemic is the ongoing disturbance in the blood supply. Soon after the first cases were confirmed in the United States (US), blood donations began to decrease secondary to canceled blood drives, lockdowns, social distancing measures, and a general reluctance of donors to gather in public [1\textsuperscript{-}3]. To avert the impending blood shortage, hospitals began altering their practices to minimize blood usage, primarily focusing on postponement of elective surgeries and procedures [2,3]. Initially, these temporizing measures were successful in preventing shortages; however, as hospitals have resumed normal operations, in conjunction with the addition of postponed procedures, the demand for blood products has approached pre-pandemic levels, while the blood supply has not recovered [4\textsuperscript{-}6].

To ameliorate the ongoing blood shortage, additional strategies have been implemented to reduce blood usage, including prospective auditing of transfusion requests [7], altering red blood cell (RBC) exchange programs for sickle cell patients [8], enforcing and strictly adhering to guidelines for anemia management [9], considering the lowering of transfusion thresholds [10], and various other patient blood management techniques [11].

One resource-intensive transfusion practice that has not been examined during the pandemic is the massive transfusion protocol (MTP). MTPs represent an important evidence-based strategy to prevent exsanguination and coagulopathy in patients with large-volume hemorrhage via constant provision of a pre-determined volume and composition of blood products [12,13]. As a result, large numbers of
blood components are often dispensed during MTPs, which can lead to a significant amount of blood wastage during these procedures [14–17]. MTPs therefore represent a crucial area to address during blood supply constraints, as a single MTP is capable of depleting the blood inventory for an entire hospital or region [18]. An AABB survey administered over a 4-week period in the early stages of the pandemic (March 23, 2020 – April 13, 2020) found that a minority of institutions had limited the number of blood components available during MTPs, but did not explore these changes further [10].

We sought to expand upon the AABB survey findings regarding MTP practices during the COVID-19 pandemic. We analyzed how institutions have utilized their MTPs throughout the pandemic, whether changes have been made to conserve blood, and if so, whether these changes are permanent. Additionally, we sought to understand if blood product wastage has changed in the context of MTPs since the beginning of the pandemic.

2. Methods

An anonymous 18-question survey (Table 1) was constructed utilizing Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) [19]. The questions were developed by the authors to assess whether institutions have altered their MTPs due to the COVID-19 pandemic and blood shortages, as well as whether institutions have observed differences in the number and cycles of MTPs and blood product wastage during the COVID-19 pandemic compared to the year before.

A Web-based browser search was performed to identify health systems with valid email contact information for a representative cross-section of transfusion medicine specialists. We utilized publicly available databases, including those by the AABB and the Society for the Advancement of Blood Management, and cross-referenced institutions with Level 1 trauma accreditation. We also employed a free language search using key search words including “blood bank,” “transfusion medicine,” “massive transfusion,” and “bloodless medicine” to identify healthcare facilities likely to have an MTP. Personalized links for accessing the survey in the REDCap system were sent from July – August 2021 via email to individuals within the blood bank, transfusion medicine, or clinical/laboratory medicine department at 115 institutions. These personalized links prevented duplicative responses. Survey responses were automatically uploaded and de-identified within the database.

3. Results

50 unique institutions responded to the survey (43 % response rate), and all have an MTP in place. The characteristics of the institutions that responded are represented in Table 2.

3.1. MTP composition

Among the institutions that serve all patient populations, 12 have developed MTPs that are unique to adult, pediatric, and obstetric patients, while 11 others have specific adult and pediatric MTPs.

All responding institutions utilize a fixed number and ratio of blood products in their MTP, while 8 of these institutions also use point-of-care coagulation testing to determine the composition of their MTP. One institution that incorporates a fixed number and ratio of products also employs threshold-based approaches to transfusion with concomitant point-of-care coagulation testing to guide their MTPs. 24 % (12/50) use low-titer group O whole blood in their MTP. Significant variation in MTP component ratios exists among the responding institutions. The most common ratios among respondents are 6 RBCs : 6 FFP : 1 apheresis platelet (17/50) and 4 RBCs : 4 FFP : 1 apheresis platelet (11/50), but 17 unique protocols were identified.

Table 1

| Question                                                                 | Options                                                                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. How would you describe your institution?                             | a) Academic medical center/university hospital                          |
|                                                                        | b) State, community, or city hospital                                    |
|                                                                        | c) Federal government/military facility                                  |
|                                                                        | d) Private, nonprofit                                                   |
|                                                                        | e) Private, profit                                                      |
|                                                                        | f) Other                                                                |
| 2. Is your institution a trauma center?                                  | a) Level 1                                                              |
|                                                                        | b) Level 2                                                              |
|                                                                        | c) Level 3                                                              |
|                                                                        | d) Not a trauma center                                                  |
| 3. Approximately how many beds does your institution have?              | a) >900                                                                 |
|                                                                        | b) 751–900                                                              |
|                                                                        | c) 601–750                                                              |
|                                                                        | d) 451–600                                                              |
|                                                                        | e) 301–450                                                              |
|                                                                        | f) 150–300                                                              |
|                                                                        | g) <150                                                                 |
| 4. What population does your institution serve?                         | a) Adults (≥ 18 years of age)                                            |
|                                                                        | b) Pediatrics (<18 years of age)                                        |
|                                                                        | c) Both                                                                 |
| 5. Does your blood bank currently have an approved Massive Transfusion Protocol (MTP) in place? | a) Yes                                                                 |
|                                                                        | b) No                                                                   |
|                                                                        | c) Not sure                                                             |
| 6. Do you have MTPs specific for (select all that apply):               | a) Adults (age or weight based)                                         |
|                                                                        | b) Pediatrics (age or weight based)                                     |
|                                                                        | c) Obstetrics                                                          |
|                                                                        | d) MTP is the same for all                                              |
|                                                                        | e) Other                                                                |
| 7. How do you determine the contents of your MTP? (select all that apply) | a) Fixed amount and ratio of products (for example: 6:6:0)              |
|                                                                        | b) Transfusion-threshold based (for example: platelet threshold of 50,000/uL) |
|                                                                        | c) Point-of-care coagulation testing (for example: TEG)                 |
|                                                                        | d) Low titer, group O whole blood                                       |
|                                                                        | e) Other                                                                |
| 8. What ratio of blood product components have you historically used in your MTP for adults? | a) 6 RBC / 6 FFP / 6 pooled platelet                                    |
|                                                                        | b) 6 RBC / 4 FFP / 6 pooled platelet                                     |
|                                                                        | c) 4 RBC / 4 FFP / 6 pooled platelet                                     |
|                                                                        | d) 6 RBC / 6 FFP / 1 apheresis platelet                                 |
|                                                                        | e) 6 RBC / 4 FFP / 1 apheresis platelet                                 |
|                                                                        | f) 4 RBC / 4 FFP / 1 apheresis platelet                                 |
|                                                                        | g) 6 RBC / 6 FFP / 0 platelet                                           |
|                                                                        | h) 6 RBC / 4 FFP / 0 platelet                                           |
|                                                                        | i) 4 RBC / 4 FFP / 0 platelet                                           |
|                                                                        | j) Other                                                                |
| 9. Have you changed the ratio of components in your MTP for adults since the COVID–19 pandemic began (March 2020) to conserve blood? | a) Yes (If changed, please describe new ratio)                         |
|                                                                        | b) No                                                                   |
|                                                                        | c) Not sure                                                             |
| 10. If you have changed the ratio of blood components in your MTP for adults due to the COVID–19 pandemic, do you intend to continue this ratio or revert back following the end of the pandemic and/or the end of blood inventory supply constraints? | a) Continue the new ratio                                              |
|                                                                        | b) Revert back to old ratio                                             |
|                                                                        | c) Not sure/undecided                                                   |
| 11. Have you changed the transfusion threshold for determining the contents of your MTP for adults since the COVID–19 pandemic began to conserve blood? | a) Yes                                                                  |
|                                                                        | b) No                                                                   |
|                                                                        | c) Not sure                                                             |
| 12. If the ratio of blood components or the transfusion threshold for your MTP for adults has changed since the COVID-19 pandemic began, what variables went into making this decision? | a) Blood product inventory availability                                |

(continued on next page)
Characteristics of survey respondents.

| Hospital category                        | Beds  | Trauma center | Patient population | MTP |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|---------------|--------------------|-----|
| Academic medical center/university hospital | 45 (90 %) | >900 | 13 (26 %) | Level 1 | 40 (80 %) | Adults | 11 (22 %) | Yes | 50 (100 %) |
| State, community, or city hospital       | 2 (4 %) | 751–900 | 10 (20 %) | Level 2 | 1 (2 %) | Pediatrics | 4 (8 %) | No | 0 (0 %) |
| Federal government/military facility     | 0 (0 %) | 601–750 | 10 (20 %) | Level 3 | 1 (2 %) | Both | 35 (70 %) |
| Private, nonprofit (1)                   | 3 (6 %) | 451–600 | 7 (14 %) | No | 8 (16 %) |
| Private, profit                          | 0 (0 %) | 301–450 | 9 (18 %) |       |       |
|                                         |       | 150–300 | 1 (2 %) |       |       |
|                                         |       | <150   | 0 (0 %) |       |       |

MTP, massive transfusion protocol.
trauma-related MTP activations, including the patterns and length of community responses and measures taken to mitigate spread of the virus such as lockdowns and “stay-at-home” orders, variations in traffic patterns and accidental traumas, and general societal and geopolitical factors [20,21].

Interestingly, while many hospitals have struggled with blood shortages at different time-points throughout the pandemic, several respondents have not experienced blood shortages, or are just now beginning to face shortages. These findings highlight the need for enhanced communication among blood donor centers and hospitals. This inter-institutional collaboration would ensure adequate blood product inventories in regions with more significant shortages and higher numbers of MTPs.

Hospitals have employed numerous approaches to mitigate wastage during periods of blood supply constraints. Almost one-fifth of institutions in our study altered or have plans in place to adjust their MTPs, particularly focusing on limiting the number of cycles per MTP if necessary. The institutions that did modify their MTPs made changes such as decreasing the number of RBCs and plasma in every cycle and decreasing the frequency with which platelets were provided. Data have shown that a 1:1:1 ratio of RBCs, plasma, and platelets is currently considered the optimal approach for stabilization of hemorrhaging patients [22,23]; thus, the consequences of intermittently adjusting the ratio of blood products in MTPs are unknown. However, it should be noted that despite this expert opinion considering a 1:1:1 product ratio as the “gold standard,” the randomized trials from which much of this data were derived failed to show significant differences in mortality at 24 h or 30 days in patients who received a 1:1:1 product ratio compared to a 1:1:2 ratio (FFP, platelets, RBCs) [22,23]. Furthermore, the significant variability in MTP practices that exists among institutions [24] serves to highlight the knowledge gaps that exist. Therefore, the MTP alterations made by the responding institutions appear to have occurred at an institutional level with little published data to support these implementations. Instead, these changes seem to predominantly reflect decisions made to ensure conservation of blood product inventories at the expense of altering established practices.

Several respondents remarked that they have encountered significant difficulty in altering the composition of their MTPs or instituting other blood-conservation measures in response to blood shortages. These decisions, including whether to alter MTPs, thereby undoing institutional experience and expert opinion, or alternatively risk depleting the blood product inventory, have been extremely challenging. Institutions report that oftentimes, disagreements have occurred among members of the blood bank/transfusion medicine service and clinical providers in various departments regarding resource allocation and transfusion priority. Furthermore, staffing issues secondary to COVID-19 infection and quarantine measures, both inside and external to the blood bank, have decreased compliance with standardized protocols and hampered blood conservation efforts at many institutions. Therefore, system-wide enhancements to collaborative measures and intra-hospital committees are critical to ensure that patient blood management and blood conservation strategies are implemented and adhered to during periods of supply constraints. Furthermore, hospital ethics committees are a valuable resource during these challenging decisions regarding the allocation of scarce resources.

The COVID-19 pandemic is an historical event that modern medicine has never confronted. Thus, it represents a challenging, but unique scenario in which transfusion medicine and blood banks can analyze blood usage during significant inventory fluctuations and devise methods to mitigate waste in real time. The results of this survey are unable to definitively conclude that altering MTP ratios, and the actual ratios themselves, affect blood wastage or mitigate blood shortages; however, the marked variability in MTP practice among institutions highlighted by this survey despite the generally accepted notion that the 1:1:1 approach is “optimal” begs the question as to why there are so many variations in practice. Given this significant variation and the 43% response rate, the results obtained in this study cannot be considered representative of the entire population enrolled. Nevertheless, this survey provides a foundation to analyze what effect potential changes to MTPs may have on blood product waste, optimal practice, and patient outcomes during times of blood shortages.
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