**Abstract:** Two Co\(^{II}\) \(L_4\) tetrahedral cages prepared from similar building blocks showed contrasting host-guest properties. One cage did not bind guests, whereas the second encapsulated a series of anions, due to electronic and geometric effects. When the building blocks of both cages were present during self-assembly, a library of five Co\(^{II}\)\(L_4\)\(L_{4+x}\) cages was formed in a statistical ratio in the absence of guests. Upon incorporation of anions able to interact preferentially with some library members, the products obtained were redistributed in favor of the best anion binders. To quantify the magnitudes of these templation effects, ESI-MS was used to gauge the effect of each template upon library redistribution.

**M**olecular recognition is a fundamental process within biological systems. The conformational restructuring which a system undergoes upon the introduction of additional components\(^{[8]}\) is a crucial aspect of processes such as drug–protein interactions.\(^{[2]}\) Synthetic supramolecular systems can be engineered to mimic their biological counterparts,\(^{[3]}\) where the reconfiguration of species is enabled by the dynamic nature of the interactions between building blocks.\(^{[4]}\) Coordination cages are capable of reorganization upon stimuli such as light,\(^{[5]}\) pH changes,\(^{[6]}\) or guest templation\(^{[7]}\) and thus represent attractive reorganizing systems to study.

The outcome of self-sorting\(^{[5]}\) in dynamic libraries can be influenced by the addition of new components to libraries,\(^{[9]}\) which interact preferentially with certain library members.\(^{[10]}\) Mass spectrometry has proven to be a useful technique to quantify templation effects on these systems,\(^{[11]}\) which could allow for better understanding of the binding processes that induce molecular reconfiguration.

The Fe\(^{III}\)\(L_4\) tetrahedral cages prepared from trianilines \(A\) or \(B\) were reported not to encapsulate guest molecules, due to their small cavity sizes.\(^{[12]}\) We anticipated that preparing analogous cages with Co\(^{II}\) instead of Fe\(^{III}\) might yield structures with slightly larger cavities, thus enabling guest uptake, as Co\(^{II}\) cages had previously been shown to encapsulate larger guests than their Fe\(^{III}\) analogs.\(^{[13]}\) Tetrahedral cages \(1\) and \(2\) (Figure 1) were thus synthesized by the reaction of the corresponding trianiline \(A\) or \(B\) (4 equiv), 2-formylpyridine (12 equiv) and Co\(\left(NTf_2\right)_2\) (4 equiv). The \(^1^H\) NMR spectra of \(1\) and \(2\) were consistent with species of overall \(T\) symmetry (Figures S1 and S4), while ESI-MS confirmed Co\(^{II}\)\(L_4\) stoichiometry (Figures S2 and S5). Single crystals of \(1\)(ClO\(_4\))\(_8\) and \(2\)(ClO\(_4\))\(_8\) suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow vapor diffusion of either Et\(_2\)O or EtOAc into solutions of \(1\) or \(2\) in CH\(_2\)CN. The crystal structures (Figures 1, S10, S11) confirm the formation of the tetrahedral cages. The average Co\(^{III}\)--Co\(^{III}\) distances for \(1\) and \(2\) were both found to be 12.0 Å, which is slightly larger than that of the Fe\(^{III}\) analogs (11.9 and 11.8 Å respectively).\(^{[12]}\) While cage \(1\) was found to crystallize without a guest bound inside its cavity, the crystal structure of \(2\) showed an encapsulated ClO\(_4\)\(^−\) anion.

Br\(^−\), I\(^−\), BF\(_4\)\(^−\), and ClO\(_4\)\(^−\) anions, as well as acetonitrile, were found to bind within \(2\) (see Supporting Information section 4.2). Internal guest binding was indicated by the appearance of a new set of \(^1^H\) NMR signals upon addition of these anions, consistent with the formation of a host–guest complex in slow exchange on the NMR time scale.\(^{[14]}\) The
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**Figure 1.** \(A\) and \(B\) self-assembled with 2-formylpyridine and Co\(^{II}\) to form \(1\) and \(2\), respectively. Three-dimensional views are constructed from the X-ray crystal structures of \(1\) and ClO\(_4\)\(^−\) \(2\) (Co\(^{II}\): orange, L\(^1\): blue, L\(^2\): red; orange lines indicate the closest metal–metal separations, to illustrate geometry). The insert gives the list of guests encapsulated by \(2\) but not \(1\) along with their volumes (Å\(^3\)).

---

\[^{[4]}\] Dr. M. Kieffer, Dr. R. A. Bilbeisi, Prof. J. K. Clegg, Prof. J. R. Nitschke

Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge

Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB2 1EW (UK)

E-mail: jm34@cam.ac.uk

Dr. R. A. Bilbeisi

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

American University of Beirut

Beirut (Lebanon)

Prof. J. D. Thoburn

Department of Chemistry, Randolph-Macon College

Ashland, VA 23005 (USA)

Prof. J. K. Clegg

School of Chemistry and Molecular Biosciences

The University of Queensland

St Lucia, QLD, 4072 (Australia)

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under:

https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202004627.

© 2020 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.
relative binding affinities depended on guest size, with smaller species binding more strongly within 2, in the order Br− > I− ≫ BF4− ≫ ClO4− ≫ CH3CN.15 As the amount of anion X− added increased, the concentration of host-guest complex (X−·2) reached a plateau at a concentration below 1:1 binding (Figures S31, S32). The analysis described in section 5 in the Supporting Information allowed us to conclude that anion encapsulation was competing with anion-TBA+ association (Figures S29, S30) and with the encapsulation of CH3CN, in geared equilibrium processes. These three association phenomena were considered together (Supporting Information section 5.3), producing the following association constants in CD3CN: KBF4− = 16000 ± 1000 m−1, KClO4− = 4800 ± 400 m−1, KBr− = 580 ± 50 m−1, KClO4− = 1100 ± 100 m−1, KCH3CN = 0.0072 ± 0.0004 m−1.

No binding was observed within 1 when the anions studied were added following cage formation (Figure S18) or when cage 1 was formed in the presence of the anions (Figures S19 and S20). Given that 2 encapsulates a variety of anions, we were surprised that host 1 did not encapsulate any of these guests. Calculations of the cages’ internal voids (Figure S15) indicated that the cavities of 1 and 2 were of nearly equal volumes (59 Å3 and 56 Å3, respectively). The cavity in 1 was expected to accommodate Br− and I−, with occupancies of 48% and 59%, respectively (Table S2).16 Although BF4−⊂1 and ClO4−⊂1 are predicted to have >95% occupancy, the X-ray structure of ClO4−⊂2 demonstrated that such high occupancy is possible. Guest binding may be hindered by the lesser degree of pyramidalization in nitrogen-centered L^A than in hydroxymethyl-centered L^B (Supporting Information Section 3.4). In addition, we hypothesize that the p orbitals on four facial nitrogen atoms contribute electron density to the microenvironment within the cavity, thus destabilizing the binding of anionic guests. This hypothesis is supported by molecular orbital and electrostatic potential calculations (Supporting Information section 3.6).

Given the similarities in size and geometry between tritopic amines A and B, and the product complexes 1 and 2, we investigated the formation of heteroleptic libraries of Co^{III}L^A·L^B·x, cages in the presence of guest molecules. The reaction of A and B (1 equiv each), 2-formylpyridine (6 equiv) and Co(NTf2)2 (2 equiv) in CH3CN led to the formation of a library of cages (LibNTf2) as confirmed by ESI-MS and 1H NMR (Figures 2a and b, S33 and S34). Slow diffusion of Et2O into the solution of LibNTf2 in the presence of ClO4− produced crystals suitable for diffraction studies (Supporting Information section 3.3). Single-crystal X-ray diffraction afforded a structure displaying disorder that was thus best modeled whereby each face of each cage had a 50% probability of incorporating a residue of A, and 50% B.

When the reaction was carried with sub-stoichiometric amounts of 2-formylpyridine (3 equiv instead of 6 equiv), a different library LibNTf2 was formed, where the structures of composition Co^{III}L^A·L^B·x with 2 ≤ x ≤ 4 were expressed predominantly (Figure S37). Three sets of imine signals, containing six, four, and one resonance, respectively, were observed in the 1H NMR spectrum of LibNTf2 (Figure S38). Based upon symmetry, these sets of signals were assigned to Co^{III}L^A·L^B·2, Co^{III}L^A·L^B·3, and Co^{III}L^A·L^B·4, respectively. Each of the 16 signals observed in the imine region of the 1H NMR spectrum of LibNTf2 were thus attributed to one of the Co^{III}L^A·L^B·x, cage congeners (Figures 2a and S39). Monitoring the formation of LibNTf2 over time, suggested that the final equilibrated library developed from a more complex mixture of species present upon mixing. Heating at 70°C for 18 h was required to approach equilibrium (Supporting Information section 6.3).

The distribution of species within LibNTf2 was examined with 1H NMR and ESI-MS (Supporting Information section 7.2). Since similar ESI response factors were observed for 1 and 2 (Figure S48), we hypothesized that the heteroleptic Co^{III}L^A·L^B·x, cages might have similar response factors as well. The integral of the signal for each species might thus

\[ K_{BF4^{-}} = 16000 \pm 1000 \text{ m}^{-1}, \]
\[ K_{ClO4^{-}} = 4800 \pm 400 \text{ m}^{-1}, \]
\[ K_{Br^{-}} = 580 \pm 50 \text{ m}^{-1}, \]
\[ K_{ClO4^{-}} = 1100 \pm 100 \text{ m}^{-1}, \]
\[ K_{CH3CN} = 0.0072 \pm 0.0004 \text{ m}^{-1}. \]

Figure 2. a) 1H NMR spectrum of LibNTf2, with each of the imine signals attributed to one of the cage species in the insert. b) Low-resolution ESI-MS of LibNTf2. The +3 region of the mass spectra is expanded, showing the peak clusters corresponding to cages in the absence of a template. c) Normalized integrals of LibNTf2, obtained by NMR and ESI-MS compared to the statistical binomial distribution.
reflect its concentration, relative to the others present in solution. No significant difference between the ratio of species in LibNTf2 was observed with either analytical method (NMR or ESI-MS), validating the use of ESI-MS spectra to determine the relative concentrations of cage species in solution. In addition, the collection of CoIII LB−x,x′,x′′−species was observed in an approximately 1:4:6:4:1 ratio both by NMR and ESI-MS, close to the expected binomial distribution (Figure 2c). The ratio of species was also found to be time-independent in the ESI-MS traces (Supporting Information Section 8).

Four libraries of mixed cages (LibX, where X = Br−, I−, BF4− or ClO4−) were prepared by the addition of the tetrabutylammonium salt of the corresponding anion X− (2 equiv) to LibNTf2 (Figure 5). The overlap between some signals and the low intensity of the 1H NMR signals corresponding to the host–guest complexes limited our ability to deconvolute them (Figure S42 and S45), therefore precluding quantification of the species present in solution. Thus, detailed ESI-MS studies were undertaken.

The ESI-MS spectra of the libraries in the presence of template anions (LibA) displayed clusters of peaks corresponding to individual CoIII LB−x,x′,x′′−cages associated with zero, one or more X− (Figures 3 and S43, S44, S46, S47), indicative of X− associating either externally or internally with the cages. The influence of encapsulating anion X− on the library constitution could be deciphered by analyzing the distribution of the library members X−⊂CoIII LB−x,x′,x′′−, which was obtained by subtracting the distributions of library members having externally associated X− (Supporting Information Section 7.3). The distributions thus observed deviated strongly from the near-binomial distribution observed for LibNTf2 with anion templation favoring the incorporation of LB− into the structures. The greatest deviations were observed for the smaller anions (Figure 4a), corresponding to the trends observed for anion binding affinity within 2: Br− > I− > BF4− > ClO4−.

Cage 2 was not observed to be the major species present in solution, with CoIII LB−1 species being favoured statistically (Figure 4a). We calculated the deviation of the proportions of the generated cages from the expected binomial distribution for each of the five libraries (Figure 4b and Supporting Information Section 7.3). While no significant changes were observed for LibNTf2, greater perturbations were observed for the libraries in the presence of templating anions. The amplitude of changes was observed to correlate with anion binding affinities. Cage 2 was amplified the most, with amplification factors of 160% for LibBr−, 370% for LibBF4−, 350% for LibI− and 390% for LibClO4− compared to LibNTf2. CoIII LB−2 and CoIII LB−3 complexes were clearly disfavoured, with decreases of up to 29-fold observed in the case of LibBr−. However, cage 1, which incorporates only A residues, also exhibited amplification as a result of the anion templation of other cages in solution. Cage 1 was not observed to encapsulate any of the anions present in the libraries tested (Figures S18–S20). Thus, we suggest the high proportion of 1 to be due to it serving as a ‘sink’ for residual A that were not incorporated into the anion binding cages (Figure 5).

The magnitudes of the deviations of the libraries of CoIII LB−x,x′,x′′−complexes (Figure 4a) from the statistically-expected values were used to calculate relative Gibbs free energies for each library member (Supporting Information section 7). This analysis was based on the observation that mass spectrometric response factors were similar for cages 1 and 2, and that as a consequence, the values of the integrals
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**Figure 3.** Low-resolution ESI-MS of LibBF4 obtained after addition of TBA BF4 (2 equiv) to LibNTf. The +3 region of the mass spectra is expanded, showing the peak clusters corresponding to cages with no BF4− (green circle) and cages with one BF4− associated (yellow triangle).
reflected the quantity of each species in solution. These energies were plotted as a function of the number of $L^A$ incorporated for each anion used (Figure 6). Cage 2 was the most stable structure in all libraries, as reflected in the greater degree of amplification of this species. This observation suggests the differences in relative energies of a few kJ mol$^{-1}$ not to be enough to lead to the exclusive formation of cage 2. The energetic cost was raised for each $L^A$ incorporated due to the poorer fit for anions within cavities surrounded by more $A$ residues, as discussed above. The library member $Co^2I^A_3L^B_4$, which should be affected by the presence of a template to a greater extent, had the least favorable relative energy in most cases. Anions which bound most strongly within 2 perturbed the libraries from a binomial distribution to a greater degree.

A fine-grained study of guest binding within complex heteroleptic supramolecular libraries was carried out. Cage 2, the strongest anion binder, was amplified to a greater degree than any other species, while $Co^2I^A_3L^B_4$ was the least favored structure. Large anions that fit most poorly within the cage cavities resulted in lower stabilization of library members, and thus less species redistribution. ESI-MS hence allowed quantification of the degree to which guest binding favored structures that incorporated more of the ligand that is best able to accommodate the guest. Related ESI-MS methods, used alongside other quantitative techniques such as NMR, may thus enable quantification of molecular interactions and reconfigurations in increasingly complex systems.
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