Mechanochemical Palladium-Catalyzed Carbonylative Reactions Using Mo(CO)₆
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Abstract: Esters and amides were mechanochemically prepared by palladium-catalyzed carbonylative reactions of aryl iodides by using molybdenum hexacarbonyl as a convenient solid carbonyl source and avoiding a direct handling of gaseous carbon monoxide. Real-time monitoring of the mechanochemical reaction by in situ pressure sensing revealed that CO is rapidly transferred from Mo(CO)₆ to the active catalytic system without significant release of molecular carbon monoxide.

Ball milling techniques are largely used in academia and in industry for advanced comminution and mixing of solids.[1] Due to the operational simplicity and especially to the effectiveness of ball milling to transduce mechanical loads to the sample being milled, a significant growth in the use of ball mills to mechanically induce chemical reactions has recently been experienced.[2] Intuitively, mechanochemical activation by ball milling appears more appropriate for solid samples. However, mechanical treatment of soft organic materials, liquids and even gaseous reactants has proven equally effective.[3]

In the case of mechanochemical reactions involving gaseous components, gases such as H₂,[4] O₂,[5] CO,[6] CO₂,[7] HCN,[8] C₆H₆,[9] CH₄,[10] among others,[11] have been reported to undergo clean chemical transformations inside ball mills in various areas of synthetic chemistry. For example, in 2017 Hapiot and coworkers reported a rhodium-catalyzed mechanochemical hydroformylation of styrene derivatives in a planetary ball mill using a mixture of CO/H₂ (Scheme 1a).[12] Although the reactions led to high conversions of substrates such as 2-vinyl-naphthalene without the need for external heating, they required a 15 bar pressure of carbon monoxide and hydrogen inside a pressurized milling vessel.[12] Notably, such a high pressure is however not an intrinsic requirement of the mechanochemical approach. In general, most carbonylation reactions rely on the use of special high-pressure laboratory equipment and involve the handling of odorless, toxic, and flammable CO gas. To mitigate this problem, carbonylation procedures were developed that improved both reaction performance and overall safety. Recent examples include the introduction of flow chemistry protocols to react gaseous CO,[13a] and the use of reagents with the ability to release or to form carbon monoxide in situ during the reaction.[13b,c] Among the latter, metal carbonyls, such as Mo(CO)₆ (M=Cr, Mo, W) and Co₉(CO)₁₈, have received large attention as convenient carbonyl sources avoiding the need for directly handling gaseous carbon monoxide.[13b,c] Although, the toxicity of metal carbonyls always depends on their stability, volatility and on the harmfulness of the given metal.

These precedents made us wondering if solid metal carbonyl complexes such as Mo(CO)₆ (M=Cr, Mo, W) could be activated by ball milling allowing for mechanochemical carbonylations. Specifically, the use of metal carbonyls as one-carbon building blocks in palladium-catalyzed carbonylation reactions, such as alkoxycarbonylations and aminocarbonylations, was envisaged (Scheme 1b).[13] Herein, we report the findings on our investigation, which include the development of a solvent-free protocol for the palladium-catalyzed carbonylation by ball milling, the in situ pressure monitoring of the reaction, and the application of the mechanochemical approach to the synthesis of series of esters and amides.

Initially, the carbonylation reaction between iodosobenzene (1a), n-butanol (2a), Mo(CO)₆ (M=Cr, Mo, W), K₂PO₄ and a catalytic system composed by Pd(OAc)₂/triphenylphosphine in a mixer mill was tested.[16] After 90 min of milling the crude mixtures of these experiments were directly analyzed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. In all experiments, formation of butyl benzoate (3aa) was confirmed, and one of the three metal carbonyl...
Table 1. Screening of metal carbonyls M(CO)\textsubscript{6} (M=Cr, Mo, W) in the me- 
chanоchemical carbonylation of 1a with r-butanol 2a.\textsuperscript{[a]}

| Entry | M(CO)\textsubscript{6} | Yield 3aa [\%]\textsuperscript{[b]} | Yield 3aa [\%]\textsuperscript{[c]} |
|-------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| 1     | Cr(CO)\textsubscript{6} | 13              | 26              |
| 2     | Mo(CO)\textsubscript{6} | 99              | <1              |
| 3     | W(CO)\textsubscript{6}  | 22              | 26              |

[a] Reaction conditions: 1a (0.2 mmol), 2a (0.4 mmol), M(CO)\textsubscript{6} (0.2 mmol), Pd(OAc)\textsubscript{2} (0.02 mmol), PPh\textsubscript{3} (0.04 mmol), and K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (0.6 mmol) were milled in a 5 mL stainless steel milling jar with one 10 mm milling ball of the same material. [b] Determined by \textsuperscript{1}H NMR spectroscopy using ethylbenzene as the internal standard.

complexes tested molybdenum hexacarbonyl proved to be the most active one for the carbonylation reaction (Table 1, entry 2). In the reactions with Cr(CO)\textsubscript{6} and W(CO)\textsubscript{6}, a competitive oxidative homocoupling reaction of 2a led to the formation of butyl butyrate (3aa).

Having observed chemical reactivity towards the carbonylative pathway for all the group 6 hexacarbonyl complexes, we wondered if the mechanical treatment of these complexes had promoted the release of molecular carbon monoxide inside the ball mill. To test this hypothesis, M(CO)\textsubscript{6} (M=Cr, Mo, W) were milled under air by using a planetary ball mill suitable for sensing changes in pressure and temperature during milling (for details see Supporting Information). The experiments showed that, other than the calculated rise in pressure due to the expansion of the air inside the milling jar by frictional heating, the pressure inside the milling container stayed essentially constant (Figure 1a, inset). Alternatively, repeating the milling experiments with M(CO)\textsubscript{6} in the presence of K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (1 equiv) produced almost immediately gaseous carbon monoxide as indicated by the corresponding pressure increase (Figure 1a).\textsuperscript{[17]} This indicated that the K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} used in the carbonylation reac-

tions could have two roles: First, as base for the deprotonation of 2a, and second, as a promoter for the release of carbon monoxide from the metal hexacarbonyl sources. The mecha-
nism by which K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} facilitates the release of CO is not completely elucidated, but \textsuperscript{31}P NMR analysis of the milled samples revealed the presence of new peaks in the \textsuperscript{31}P NMR spectrum (Figure S5, Supporting Information), suggesting that K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} might have participated in a ligand displacement with M(CO)\textsubscript{6}. After 4 h of milling, Cr(CO)\textsubscript{6} had produced the highest CO pressure inside the milling vessel. However, as noted before, the carbonylation of 1a with Cr(CO)\textsubscript{6} and 2a had been sluggish (Table 1), and this result led us doubt that the carbonylation really involved gaseous carbon monoxide formed from the re-
action of M(CO)\textsubscript{6} and K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4}. Hence, subsequent experiments

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)

**Figure 1.** a) Pressure monitoring of milling experiments of M(CO)\textsubscript{6} and K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (1 equiv). The inset shows the control experiments in the absence of K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4}. For pressure and temperature monitoring profiles, see Figure S2 (Supporting Information). b) Pressure monitoring of milling experiments between Mo(CO)\textsubscript{6} and K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (1–3 equiv) and of the mecanоchemical palladium-catalyzed carbonylation reaction between 1a, 2a (2 equiv), Mo(CO)\textsubscript{6} (1 equiv), and K\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} (2 equiv). Milling parameters: planetary ball mill operated at 800 rpm using a ZrO\textsubscript{2} milling vessel charged with five milling balls (10 mm in diameter), for additional experimental details, see Supporting Information.
Mo\((\text{CO})_6\) and K\(_2\text{PO}_4\) (2 equiv), which did not reveal any significant buildup of pressure upon milling (Figure 1b). This result hinted at the possibility for the carbonylation reaction to involve a fast CO transfer from Mo\((\text{CO})_6\), to the active palladium species during the catalytic cycle, rather than the release of molecular CO followed by its consumption during the reaction. This hypothesis was further tested after carrying out the reaction by using 3 equiv of K\(_2\text{PO}_4\). This time, an increase in pressure was detected after the carbonylation had finished (after 90 min of milling), which can be interpreted as resulting from a reaction between the remaining Mo\((\text{CO})_6\) and K\(_2\text{PO}_4\) (see Figure S7 in the Supporting Information). Similar gas-free carbonylation reactions using metal carbonyls complexes have precedence. For instance, recent palladium-catalyzed carbonylative Suzuki reactions using Mo\((\text{CO})_6\)\(^{[20a]}\) and carbonylation reactions using Co\(_2\text{(CO)}_8\) under palladium catalysis\(^{[20b]}\) were proposed to involve cooperative rapid CO insertion into the catalytic cycle directly from the metal carbonyl source. Despite this likely scenario, it was important to evaluate if the mechanochemical carbonylation could also take place using gaseous carbon monoxide. Thus, the palladium catalysis was repeated by ball milling 1a, 2a and K\(_2\text{PO}_4\) (2 equiv) in the absence of Mo\((\text{CO})_6\) but under a CO atmosphere (1 atm), and experiments in both a mixer mill and a planetary ball mill confirmed the formation of buty1 benzoate (3aa) (Scheme 2).

These results reveal that in ball mills both mechanistic alternatives are feasible: First, a gas-free carbonylation pathway for which the CO is rapidly transferred from Mo\((\text{CO})_6\) to the active catalytic system as demonstrated by in situ pressure monitoring of the mechanochemical alkoxycarbonylation reaction and, second, a direct uptake of gaseous CO by the palladium catalyst during the ball milling reaction.

After having studied the mechanochemical alkoxycarbonylation by ball milling between 1a and 2a using Mo\((\text{CO})_6\)/K\(_2\text{PO}_4\), we set to evaluate the applicability of the protocol to other substrates. For this, the standard conditions were applied with the exception of using Xantphos [4,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene] instead of triphenylphosphine to facilitate the isolation by column chromatography. First, iodobenzene (1a) was reacted with solid biphenyl-4-methanol (2b) (m.p. 96–100 °C). After purification by column chromatography, ester 3ab was isolated in 70% yield (Scheme 3). Then, solid 4-iodoanisole (1b) (m.p. 50–53 °C) and solid biphenyl-4-methanol (2b) were milled under the optimized reaction conditions affording the corresponding product 3bb in 75% yield (Scheme 3). Also methanol (2c), isopropyl alcohol (2d), phenol (2e) and benzyl alcohol (2f) were tested as nucleophiles in the mechanochemical carbonylation reactions. The results with 4-iodoanisole (1b) as reaction partner showed that all alkoxycarbonylations leading to 3bc–3bf proceeded smoothly within 90 min of milling. However, the high volatility of some of the products during post-processing vacuum drying prevented the isolation of the esters in higher yields after separation by column chromatography (Scheme 3). Reacting iodobenzene (1a) and 4-iodotoluene (1c) with benzyl alcohol (2f) led to esters 3af and 3cf in 91% yield and 80% yield, respectively (Scheme 3). To our surprise, the reaction of p-iodonitrobenzene (1d) under the standard reaction conditions [i.e., Mo\((\text{CO})_6\) (1 equiv)] gave ester 3df in only 23% yield. Having realized that Mo\((\text{CO})_6\) has the capability of reducing nitro groups,\(^{[21]}\) the reaction was repeated with only 0.2 equiv of Mo\((\text{CO})_6\). This small change led to an increase in the yield of ester 3df to 42% yield after the same milling time. Using such substoichiometric amounts of Mo\((\text{CO})_6\) for other substrates produced lower yields, indicating that the initially applied quantity (1 equiv) was preferable.

Attempts to carry out the mechanochemical alkoxycarbonylation of benzyl alcohol (2f) with 4-bromoanisole or phenyl triﬂate instead of iodobenzene (1a) showed that the latter substrate (1a) was superior over the formed two. Thus, with 4-bromoanisole product 3bf was obtained in only 15% yield, and the use of phenyl triﬂate gave ester 3af in 86% yield.\(^{[22]}\) For comparison, with substrates 1b and 1a, 3bf had been obtained in 93% yield and 3af in 91% yield (Scheme 3). Then, it was examined if the mechanochemical carbonylation procedure using molybdenum hexacarbonyl could be extended to palladium-catalyzed aminocarbonylation reactions. For this, 4-iodoanisole (1b) was reacted with primary and secondary amines under the standard milling conditions (Scheme 4a). Among them, n-butylamine (4a), benzyl amine (4b), diethyl amine (4c) and aniline (4d) proved to be suitable as nucleophiles leading to amides 5ba–5bd in yields ranging from 58% to 86% (Scheme 4a). Finally, the mechanochemical carbonyl-
Scheme 4. (a) Mechanochemical aminocarbonylation reactions and (b) sulfoximincarbonylation of 1a using Mo(CO)₆ by ball milling. Yields after column chromatography.
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