Oxidative removal of stabilized landfill leachate by Fenton’s process: process modeling, optimization & analysis of degraded products
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In this study, the stabilized landfill leachate which has a BOD : COD ratio of 0.045 was treated using Fenton’s process. The effect of process parameters like reaction time, pH, dose of FeSO₄ and dose of H₂O₂ was estimated using One Factor At a Time (OFAT) and the linear, interactive and quadratic effects between the factors were studied using Face Centered Central Composite Design (CCF). In the OFAT approach, reaction time: 5 minutes, pH: 3.0, dose of FeSO₄: 30 mM, and dose of H₂O₂: 30 mM were optimized. In CCF, the statistically optimized model shows maximum removal of organic substances at an FeSO₄ concentration of 14.44 mM, pH 3.0 and 29.12 mM of H₂O₂. The regression co-efficient $R^2 = 0.9079$, adj $R^2 = 0.854$ and adequate precision = 14.676. The degradation of organic substances was assessed by measuring the Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD). Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy (GC-MS) were investigated for the sample corresponding to the maximum COD reduction.

1. Introduction

Leachate is a liquid extract which oozes out of solid waste, due to degradation of the solid waste and due to percolation of rain water through the waste. Due to percolation of leachate through the soil and migration of leachate by surface runoff contaminates the soil, groundwater and surface water bodies in and around the landfill site. The leachate contains various organic substances (both biodegradable and non-biodegradable), inorganic substances (chloride, magnesium, sodium, potassium, ammonia nitrogen, bicarbonates etc.), heavy metals, and toxic substances. The volume of leachate production and the concentration of the contaminants present in the leachate vary due to the composition of the constituents present in the solid waste, method of landfill, age of solid waste landfill, seasonal changes (change in temperature, rainfall pattern), and environmental conditions of the landfill (aerobic, anaerobic & facultative). The characteristics of the leachate determine a suitable method of treatment that can be adapted to the specific leachate. Young leachate (age of landfill 1–2 years) where the biochemical oxygen demand (BOD₅)/chemical oxygen demand (COD) is >0.6 is amenable for biological treatment. Stabilized leachate (age of landfill 5–10 years) and a BOD₅/COD ratio < 0.3 can be treated by physicochemical treatment methods.

Leachate contains trace organic contaminants (OC), which have high impact on environment and living beings and it cannot be removed completely by conventional treatment (biological treatment and physical/chemical treatment) or membrane treatment, while the membrane bioreactor is capable of removing these contaminants completely but it is expensive. Advanced Oxidation Process (AOP) was capable of destructing bio refractory compounds in wastewater. Common AOP was carried out by different combinations of oxidants (O₃, H₂O₂, and combination of both), catalyst (metal salt/electrodes) and irradiation methods (ultraviolet radiation, visible light, ultrasonic sound and microwave). In the Fenton process the catalyst ferrous ion combines with oxidizing agent hydrogen peroxide under acidic condition and produces OH radicals which oxidize the organic substance present in the wastewater as the reaction shown in eqn (1). The hydroxide radicals have higher oxidation potential ($E_{0} = 2.80$ V) than ozone ($E_{0} = 2.07$ V). Ferric ion generated in this process combines with hydrogen peroxide and regenerates the catalyst ferrous ion as the reaction shown in eqn (2).

$$Fe^{2+} + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Fe^{3+} + \cdotOH + OH^- \quad (1)$$
Among various AOP Fenton process was found to be a simple, cost effective and eco-friendly method since it doesn’t require electric energy/UV lamp/ultrasonic device.\textsuperscript{4,17} Fenton process can be used as pretreatment method to biological process or post treatment to reduce the organic content to the desired level.\textsuperscript{9} The maximum COD removal of 80% was achieved for leachate at Fe\textsuperscript{2+}/H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} molar ratio of 1/13.3 within 5 minutes.\textsuperscript{2} 82% of phenol was degraded by Fenton’s process under pH 3, Fe\textsuperscript{3+}/H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} molar ratio of 0.026 after 45 minutes.\textsuperscript{18} The efficiency of the process depends on the operating parameters such as reaction time, initial pH of the waste water, dosage of catalyst and dosage of oxidant.\textsuperscript{19} The correct combination of these parameters is required to attain the high treatment efficiency. The optimum condition of different operating parameters on oxidation and mineralization of various substances were greatly influenced by the nature (homogeneous/heterogeneous) and complexity (bond between the components) of the organic substance.\textsuperscript{8} Usually the optimization was carried out by keeping all other parameters as constant and varying only one variable. This method of optimization consumes more time and energy. But the results obtained by this approach may not be optimum because the interaction among the parameters was ignored.\textsuperscript{20} The above issue can be solved by optimizing the parameter by Response Surface Methodology (RSM).

While inferring the various research articles, very less work have reported about the trace organic substance, most of the researchers reported the efficiency of the treatment process in terms of reduction in COD, color and TOC. Moreover the trace organic substance has a significant impact on living beings, environment and affect the biological treatment process as these substance was complex, toxic and non-biodegradable. Fenton’s process was a technology successfully demonstrated to treat wide range of pollutants present in municipal and various industrial effluents.\textsuperscript{21} The objective of this research work is to investigate the removal of organic substance from leachate by Fenton process. The effect of the different operating parameters on removal of organic substance was carried out by one variable approach. The interaction among the operating parameters was optimized by response surface methodology. The reduction of organic substance after treatment of leachate was examined by measuring the residual COD. The Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrum (GC-MS) analysis and TOC analysis was carried out for the leachate sample before treatment and after treatment for the sample corresponding to maximum reduction of residual COD under optimized condition of Fenton’s process to evaluate the amount of reduction in trace organic substance, total carbon, organic carbon, inorganic carbon.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Leachate collection

Leachate samples were collected from Madurai corporation compost and landfill yard, Avaniyapuram Municipality, Madurai, Tamilnadu, India. It receives around 600 to 750 metric tons of solid waste every day. Samples were collected in polyethylene containers and stored in freezer at 4 °C. The characterization and treatment studies were carried out at room temperature.

2.2 Reagents

The reagents used for this study are phenolphthalein, methyl orange, manganous sulphate, sodium iodide, sodium azide, starch, sodium thio-sulphate, ferrous sulphate, hydrogen peroxide (30% v/v), sodium hydroxide, concentrated hydrochloric acid, silver sulphate, mercuric sulphate, potassium dichromate, concentrated sulphuric acid, ammonium ferrous sulphate (Merck, India).

2.3 Analytical method

The characteristics of leachate was analysed as per standard methods.\textsuperscript{22} The residual COD of all samples after Fenton process was performed by closed reflux method with titrimetric method (APHA, Method 5220 C). Total solids were measured by drying the sample at 103–105 °C (APHA, Method 2540 B). Fixed and volatile solids were determined by igniting the sample at 550 °C (APHA, Method 2540 E). Total suspended solids was measured by filtering and drying the residue deposited in the glass fibre filter paper at 103–105 °C (APHA, Method 2540 D), (APHA, Method 2540 B). Turbidity was determined by nephelometric method (APHA, Method 2130 B). The colour was visually determined. APHA, Method 5210 B was performed to determine BOD\textsubscript{5}. Alkalinity of the sample was evaluated by titration method (APHA, Method 2320B). TOC was analysed by combustion-infrared method (APHA, Method 5310 B) using TOC analyzer (Analetikjena/multi N/C 3100). In this study, the DOC is assumed to be equivalent to TOC since the sample was processed at 80 °C. GC-MS was carried out to identify the trace organic contaminants using the instrument PerkinElmer Clarus SQ8C. 30 mL sample was mixed with equal volume of diethyl ether and the layer of diethylether was separated and dried. 2 mL of ethanol was added to the dried sample, subjected to analysis. The equipment consists of standard capillary non-polar column (30 M length, 0.25 mm id). The flow rate in the GC was 1 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} with a carrier gas (helium).

2.4 Degradation of landfill leachate by Fenton’s process – OFAT

Fenton experiment was carried out by batch process at room temperature under atmospheric pressure. Initially the reaction time was optimized by adjusting the leachate samples to pH 3.0 and 100 mL of sample was taken in a beaker; 20 mM hydrogen peroxide (H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}) and 20 mM ferrous sulphate (FeSO\textsubscript{4}) granules were added and stirred. At different time interval (1, 3, 5, 10, and 15 minutes) the sample was drawn and sodium hydroxide solution was added immediately to increase the pH of the sample to 8, which stops the oxidation process, the residual H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} was determined by potassium permanganate titrimetric method and the unreacted residual H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} was removed by heating the sample at 50 °C for 30 minutes,\textsuperscript{9} then the sample was centrifuged at 10 000 rpm and residual COD of the

\[
\text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Fe}^{2+} + \cdot\text{HO}_2 + \text{H}^+ 
\]
centrifuged sample was measured. For the optimized reaction time, the effect of initial pH was studied at different pH ranging from 3.0 to 11.0. The pH of the sample was modified using 1 N hydrochloric acid and 1 N sodium hydroxide. The effect of dose of FeSO₄ and H₂O₂ was studied at variable range of FeSO₄ dosages (10 mM to 50 mM) and hydrogen peroxide dosages (10 mM to 30 mM).

2.5 Degradation of landfill leachate – experimental design and statistical model

The optimization of the process variables and the linear, interactive and quadratic effects on the process was studied through CCF. In this study three independent variables A: pH, B: hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) dose, C: ferrous sulphate (FeSO₄) dose and residual COD as response was evaluated by 20 experiments (2ⁿ + 2ⁿ + x₀, 2³ = 8 – factorial points; 2 × 3 = 6 – axial points; 6 – centre points). The Table 1 shows the summary of design parameters for degradation of landfill leachate by oxidative Fenton’s process with respect to actual and coded factors for CCF design. Design Expert 7.0.0., Stat-ease, USA was used to design the experiments and to analyze the data.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characteristics of leachate

The characteristics of the landfill leachate were shown in Table 2. While comparing the characteristics with the literatures, it is evident that the leachate is stabilized leachate. The pH of leachate is alkaline and the BOD₅ to COD ratio is 0.045 which indicates that the physico-chemical treatment is suitable for the treatment of leachate.

3.2 Treatment of landfill leachate by Fenton process

3.2.1 Effect of reaction time. Fig. 1 shows the amount of residual COD after the process at different reaction time Fenton process for a constant dose of hydrogen peroxide (20 mM), Fe²⁺ (20 mM) at pH 3. Residual COD decreases as the time increases from 1 to 5 minutes and remains constant after 5 minutes. It was observed that 60% of COD removal was observed in first minute; the initial rapid oxidation was due to generation of hydroxide radicals by the ferrous ion catalyst and only additional COD removal of 19% to 37% was observed at a time period of 3 minutes and 5 minutes respectively, gradual decrease of oxidation was due to less availability of ferrous ion catalyst to generate hydroxide radical. Maximum COD removal was achieved in 5 minute. The results were in accordance with several literatures that is the degradation efficiency is very rapid within 15 minutes of the reaction.

3.2.2 Effect of pH on residual COD. Fig. 2 shows the amount of residual COD remains after Fenton process at different initial pH of leachate for a constant dose of hydrogen peroxide (20 mM) and Fe²⁺ (20 mM). The residual COD increases from acidic to alkaline pH. At higher pH inactive iron oxohydroxides and ferric hydroxide precipitate was identified. The ferric hydroxide precipitate catalyzes the decomposition of H₂O₂ to O₂ and H₂O decreases the formation of hydroxide radicals reduces the oxidation process. The efficient pH was found to be pH 3. In acidic range the oxidation leading the
Fenton process but at alkali range the coagulation predominant the Fenton process it was due to the formation of ferric hydroxide precipitate.

3.2.3 Effect of hydrogen peroxide on residual COD. Fig. 3 shows the residual COD values for different dose of hydrogen peroxide at a constant pH: 3 and Fe$^{2+}$ dosage: 20 mM. The residual COD decreases with increase in hydrogen peroxide from 10 mM to 30 mM. The dosage of hydrogen peroxide was limited as the unused portion of hydrogen peroxide creates harmful effect on the organisms and scavenging of hydroxide radicals generated due to large amount of hydrogen peroxide.

3.2.4 Effect of ferrous ion on residual COD. Fig. 4 shows the residual COD values for different dose of Fe$^{2+}$ at a constant pH: 3 and hydrogen peroxide dose: 30 mM. The residual COD decreases for Fe$^{2+}$ dose of 10 mM to 30 mM and residual COD increases with further increase in dose of Fe$^{2+}$, due to scavenging of generated hydroxide radicals due to excess amount of ferrous ion with further increase in dose of Fe$^{2+}$. More reduction in residual COD was observed for Fe$^{2+}$ dose of 30 mM.

3.3 Process optimisation by face centred central composite design

The mathematical relationship between the process variables and response with respect to concentration of residual COD is shown in the eqn (3). Table 3 shows the adequacy of the model and it was evaluated using the analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance of the model terms were explained in Table 4.

Before transformation:

Equation based on real factors:

$$\text{COD} = 885.512 + 96.027 \times A - 16.913 \times B - 14.989 \times C$$  (3)

From the ratio of maximum and minimum experimental

Table 3 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the degradation of landfill leachate by oxidative Fenton’s process$^a$

| Source           | Sum of squares | Df | Mean square | F value | p-Value prob > F |
|------------------|----------------|----|-------------|---------|-----------------|
| Model            | 2.88           | 7  | 0.41        | 16.90   | <0.0001         |
| A – pH           | 1.22           | 1  | 1.22        | 50.03   | <0.0001         |
| B – dose of H$_2$O$_2$ | 0.48     | 1  | 0.48        | 19.88   | 0.0008          |
| C – dose of FeSO$_4$ | 0.13   | 1  | 0.13        | 5.28    | 0.0403          |
| AB               | 0.37           | 1  | 0.37        | 15.29   | 0.0021          |
| AC               | 0.19           | 1  | 0.19        | 7.91    | 0.0157          |
| A$^2$            | 0.47           | 1  | 0.47        | 19.18   | 0.0009          |
| C$^2$            | 0.091          | 1  | 0.091       | 3.75    | 0.0765          |
| Residual         | 0.29           | 12 | 0.024       | 387.12  | <0.0001         |
| Lack of fit      | 0.29           | 7  | 0.042       |         |                 |
| Pure error       | 0.00054        | 5  | 0.000107    |         |                 |
| Cor total        | 3.17           | 19 |             |         |                 |

$^a$ R$^2$: 0.9079; adj R$^2$: 0.8542; adeq precision: 14.676; CV%: 5.63.
values, the log10 transformation was carried out as proposed by BOX–COX plot. The plots were shown in the Fig. 5. The transformed equation is given in the eqn (4).

\[
\text{log10(COD)} = +2.07706 + 0.40426 \times A - 0.047816 \\
\times B - 0.017455 \times C + 0.00431592 \times A \\
\times B - 0.00193959 \times A \times C - 0.02388 \\
\times A^2 + 0.00042265 \times C^2
\]  

(4)

The diagnostic, normal probability and the residual plots which relates the experimental and predicted values are shown in the Fig. 6. The Table 4 and Fig. 6 explain the fitness of the model explaining the pattern of degradation of landfill leachate.

### Table 4 Significance of the model – parameter terms of RSM–CCF

| Parameters          | Terms & values                                                                 | Significance with respect to process                                          |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Positive effects    | Linear effect of A                                                             | Increase in the value of A, AB, C2 will improve the performance of the process |
|                     | Interactive effect of AB                                                     |                                                                              |
|                     | Quadratic effect of C                                                         |                                                                              |
| Negative effects    | Linear effect of B                                                            | Increase in the value of B, C, AC and A2 will decrease the performance of the process |
|                     | Linear effect of C                                                            |                                                                              |
|                     | Interactive effect of AC                                                     |                                                                              |
|                     | Quadratic effect of A                                                         |                                                                              |
| F-Value             | <0.0001                                                                        |                                                                              |
| F-Value             | 16.90                                                                          |                                                                              |
| Regression coefficient | \( R^2 = 0.9079 \)                                                                 | The closeness of adjusted \( R^2 \) with \( R^2 \) explains the new parameters improves the model more than the expected probability |
|                     | Adjusted \( R^2 = 0.8542 \)                                                  | The variability of data in sample with respect to the mean of the population is 5.63% and 94.37% of the model prediction is similar and replicable |
| Coefficient of variation | 5.63\%                                                                    | The predicted response by the mathematical equation is developed by the actual appropriate signals |
| Adequate precision  | 14.674                                                                        |                                                                              |

Fig. 5 BOX–COX Plots (a) before transformation (b) after log10 transformation.

values, the log10 transformation was carried out as proposed by BOX–COX plot. The plots were shown in the Fig. 5. The transformed equation is given in the eqn (4).

After transformation:

\[
\text{log10(COD)} = +2.07706 + 0.40426 \times A - 0.047816 \\
\times B - 0.017455 \times C + 0.00431592 \times A \\
\times B - 0.00193959 \times A \times C - 0.02388 \\
\times A^2 + 0.00042265 \times C^2
\]  

The diagnostic, normal probability and the residual plots which relates the experimental and predicted values are shown in the Fig. 6. The Table 4 and Fig. 6 explain the fitness of the model explaining the pattern of degradation of landfill leachate.

#### 3.3.1 Interactive effect between the process parameters.

The interactive and quadratic effect between the processes variables could be studied using the equation developed using the CCF modeling. The interaction between the parameters were better studied using 2D contour plots or 3D surface plots.28,30

The interactive effect of AB: pH vs. H2O2 dose: the 2D and 3D plots were shown in the Fig. 7. At lower dose of H2O2 in the range of 5 mM, as the pH increases from acidic range (3.0) to alkaline range (11.0), approximately 3.7 fold increases in residual COD was observed. Interestingly, at higher doses of H2O2 (30 mM), nearly 28 fold increase was observed in residual COD as the pH increases towards alkaline. It is inferred that at lower dose of H2O2, pH doesn’t show much significant difference, whereas at higher dose of H2O2, acidic pH will enhance the rate of reaction in several folds. This observation is also evident from the interactive effect of pH & dose of H2O2. At acidic pH: 3.0, residual COD decreases to 68.86 mg L⁻¹ (log10 = 1.858) from 512.80 mg L⁻¹ (log10 = 2.710). No much significant difference was observed at alkaline pH. In acidic range of pH 2.0
to 4.0, higher OH radicals were generated or the reaction rate increased by a reaction involving in the organometallic complex. At higher pH (>5) acceleration of auto decomposition of hydrogen peroxide takes place and oxidation potential of OH radicals decreases. The excess amount of hydrogen peroxide added reduces the oxidation of organic substance due to scavenging of hydroxide radicals by unused portion of hydrogen peroxide in the Fenton process.

The interactive effect of AC: pH vs. FeSO₄ dose: Fig. 8 explains the interactive effect. The residual COD increases when the initial concentration of FeSO₄ and increase of pH ranging from 3.0 to 11.0. At acidic pH, no significant change in residual COD was observed. Interestingly at alkaline pH 3.4 fold decreases was

Fig. 6 Degradation of the landfill leachate by oxidative Fenton’s process; plot of the experimental vs. predicted values and its relative residual plots.

Fig. 7 The contour plot and the 3D response surface plot of the degradation of landfill leachate by oxidative Fenton’s process as the function of pH and dose of H₂O₂. Dose of FeSO₄ = 10 mM.
observed as concentration of FeSO₄ increased. The maximum residual COD reduction was observed in the lower initial FeSO₄ concentration. At higher pH range the formation of relatively inactive iron oxo hydroxides and ferric hydroxide precipitate, the activity of Fenton reagent is reduced due to lesser hydroxide radicals are generated and due to less availability of free iron ions.

13.3.2 Optimization of the process variables and confirmation of reduction in residual COD concentration. From the results of OFAT and the interactive effect studies through CCF, the process factors for the degradation of leachate were optimized using numerical optimization method. To achieve maximum reduction in the concentration of residual COD the factors were taken within the limits of study range, at medium dose of H₂O₂ and at lower pH. The Table 5 shows optimum solutions. The maximum concentration of H₂O₂ is observed as 29.12 mM in the solution number 5 (i.e. pH: 3.0; dose of FeSO₄: 14.44; the experiments were conducted in the above predicted condition to validate the efficiency of the model and the results are shown in the Table 6. The predicted concentration of residual COD is 64.86 mg L⁻¹ (log₁₀ = 1.812) whereas the experimental concentration of residual COD is 64.56 mg L⁻¹ (log₁₀ = 1.810). The maximum reduction in the organic contaminants is achieved with increase in ferrous ion dose and

Table 5 Solutions for the degradation of landfill leachate by oxidative Fenton’s process – optimised through RSM CCD

| Number | pH   | Dose of H₂O₂ | Dose of FeSO₄ | log₁₀ (residual COD) transformed scale | Residual COD (mg L⁻¹) original scale |
|--------|------|--------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1      | 3.00 | 30.00        | 10.00         | 1.838                                 | 68.86                                |
| 2      | 3.00 | 30.00        | 10.21         | 1.835                                 | 68.39                                |
| 3      | 3.00 | 30.00        | 10.77         | 1.827                                 | 67.14                                |
| 4      | 3.00 | 29.77        | 12.52         | 1.812                                 | 64.86                                |
| 5      | 3.00 | 29.12        | 14.44         | 1.812                                 | 64.86                                |

Table 6 Comparison of predicted response from RSM at original and transformed scale with experimental response

| Response                  | log₁₀ (COD) transformed scale | COD (mg L⁻¹) original scale |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Predicted                 | 1.812                         | 64.86                      |
| Experimental confirmation | 1.810                         | 64.56                      |

Table 7 Reduction in organic, inorganic and total carbon: before and after treatment

| Parameter                  | Before treatment | After treatment | Removal efficiency% |
|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Total organic carbon (TOC) | 826              | 212.7           | 74.24%              |
| Inorganic carbon (IC)      | 1059             | 0.3349          | 99.96%              |
| Total carbon (TC)          | 1885             | 213             | 88.7%               |
hydrogen peroxide dose up to some extent, the optimum molar ratio of H$_2$O$_2$ to Fe$^{2+}$ was found to be in the range of 1.5 to 3.0.$^{18}$

3.4 Reduction in TC, TOC, IC

The reduction in Total Organic Carbon (TOC), Inorganic Carbon (IC) and Total Carbon (TC) was observed in the treated sample and is shown in the Table 7. Before the treatment, TOC of the sample was observed as 825 mg L$^{-1}$ and after treatment it was reduced to 212.7 mg L$^{-1}$. Interestingly, complete removal of inorganic carbon was observed after treatment. Before treatment, the ratio between the COD and TOC is 3.6 whereas the ratio decreased to 0.3 after treatment. In accordance with reported literature, TOC removal was less while comparing with the COD removal, it was due to formation of new species of organic acids after oxidation of the organic substance.$^{37}$

3.5 GC-MS analysis

The GC-MS spectrum result and the peak area of trace organic compounds present in landfill leachate before and after degradation were given in the Fig. 9(a), (b) and Table 8 respectively. Totally 34 different organic substance was identified in the leachate samples before treatment; out of this 24 compounds were not deducted in the samples after treatment as these compounds were completely mineralized by Fenton’s
process the bond energy of O–H is equal to 109 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) which oxidize the lower bond energy compounds such as C–H.\(^{31}\) The remaining 10 compounds were partly oxidized as the reduction in the peak area was observed. From the Table 8 most of the compounds of nonlinear ring structure were completely mineralized, it results in the formation of acidic components by breaking the aromatic ring. The formation of acids substantiates such as hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester was found in the degraded samples decreases the pH; other short chain structures were mineralized partly. Addition to oxidation by hydroxide radicals, COD reduction was due to increase in size of organic substance by shift of dissolved substance to colloidal substance through polymerization and precipitation takes place due to complexation of organic substance with ferric ion.\(^{8}\)

4. Conclusion

Fenton’s process is applicable for treating organic substance; the reaction kinetics is faster compared to biological treatment. The destruction of organic substance by degradation is possible due to oxidation rather than transfer of contaminants from one phase to other phase in coagulation or adsorption process. From this study it can be concluded that the Fenton process is suitable for mineralization of organic matter in stabilized leachate. The hydrogen peroxide dose, ferrous sulphate dose, pH was influenced on Fenton process. At optimum condition of Fenton process [pH 3, 29.12 mM H\(_2\)O\(_2\), 14.44 mM FeSO\(_4\)] COD removal was 97.83% and TOC removal was 74.24%. From GC-MS analysis around 24 number of organic substance was completely mineralized and reduction in peak area (23% to 95%) was observed in remaining 9 organic compounds.
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