Clinical anatomy of the nerve to the mylohyoid

Paul Choi¹, Joe Iwanaga¹,², Graham Dupont¹, Rod J. Oskouian¹, R. Shane Tubbs¹,³
¹Seattle Science Foundation, Seattle, WA, USA, ²Dental and Oral Medical Center, Kurume University School of Medicine, Kurume, Japan, ³Department of Anatomical Sciences, St. George’s University, St. George’s, Grenada, West Indies

Abstract: The nerve to the mylohyoid (NM) originates from the mandibular division of the trigeminal nerve. The NM provides motor control to the mylohyoid and the anterior belly of the digastric. Its sensory component, as a variation of this nerve, has scanty been described in the literature. We discuss the current clinical implications of the NM based on its anatomical variations of the with the hopes of benefiting patients who are undergoing invasive maxillofacial procedures.
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Introduction

The nerve to the mylohyoid (NM), which is also known as “nervus mylohyoideus,” originates from a branch of the mandibular division (V3) of the trigeminal nerve [1]. It branches from the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) before the IAN enters the mandibular foramen [2, 3]. The NM, then, courses in the mylohyoid groove (Figs. 1, 2) or canal situated on the medial aspect of the mandibular ramus [1-4]. The nerve then gives off motor branches, which penetrate the inferior aspect of the mylohyoid muscle and the anterior belly of the digastric muscle [1-5]. The NM contained sensory fibers per one histological study [6] and might provide sensory branches to the mandibular teeth [3, 4, 7-12]. One study suggested that the NM supplies sensation to the premolar, canine, and incisor teeth with a frequency of approximately 60% [13]. The NM might also provide cutaneous branches (with a mean number of 2.3 [14]) to the skin of the chin [15], which was first described by Valentin in 1843 [16]. Fujii et al. (2015) [17] evaluated the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) retrospectively and concluded that the NM was difficult to detect on MRI due to its small diameter. Heasman and Beynon [18] performed a histological observation of the NM and showed a mean fiber count of 1,251. Interestingly, the number of nerves in the edentulous mandible was significantly less than those in non-edentulous subjects [18]

Anatomic Variations of the NM and the Mylohyoid Groove

In 43%–50% of the population, the terminal branches of the NM penetrate the lingual aspect of the mandible via the lingual foramina or lateral lingual foramina (Figs. 3, 4), which is located in the midline of the mandible or just below the premolar region, to innervate the mandibular incisors or premolars and related gingivae [1, 19, 20]. Moreover, the branching point of the NM from the IAN is described to be 13.4 to 14.7 mm proximal to the mandibular foramen [1, 21]. Kumar et al. [22] observed a NM branching directly from the mandibular nerve trunk in 10% of specimens (5/50). In these five cases, all of the NMs ran posteromedial to the IAN [22]. Although most unusual connections between the NM and the lingual nerve (LN) have been reported as case reports [3, 23, 24], Sato et al. [25] investigated this anomaly and revealed that in 1.45% of cases (6/413), one or two large branches of the NM connected with the LN in the submandibular or submental triangles. This incidence was significantly different from that re-
ported by Kameda (1952) [26] who identified communicating branch of the LN and NM in the submental triangle in 46.3% of the cases (74/160). According to Potu et al. [3], an unusual communicating branch between the LN and NM was identified after the LN passed proximal to the lower third molar tooth. The NM often gives off several branches to the muscles and can also give a branch to the submandibular gland [27].

Other documented variations of this nerve include it originating from the glosopharyngeal nerve or the LN and communicating with a dental branch of the IAN [23]. The NM may also travel within the mandibular canal instead of un-derneath the mylohyoid groove and is often doubled through accessory foramina in the mandible [23].

The morphology of the mylohyoid groove is variable [28]. Endoscopy has used to visualize the mandibular foramen and related structures and demonstrated that the mylohyoid groove could be doubled, narrowed, or occasionally covered by a bony bridge [29]. The frequency of mylohyoid bridging has been reported as 10.99% (21/191) [30], 10.0% (4/40) [31], and 6.0% (3/50) [32]. Fabian concluded that the formation of the medial wall of the mandibular foramen and/or attachment of the sphenomandibular ligament onto the lingula leads to variations in location of the mylohyoid groove [33]. Iwanaga et al. [34] reported a case of mylohyoid canal that was found on computed tomography with multiple accessory foramina of the mandible. The data from Stein et al. [5] suggest that a mandible has an average number of 36 foramina.
Association of Failed IAN Blockade to the Variations of the NM

The IAN block is the most commonly used anesthetic technique for mandibular surgeries including dental treatments [19]. However, it carries a significant failure rate that ranges from 38% to 90% [5, 19]. Even experienced endodontists occasionally have difficulty with total IAN block due to the anatomical complexity and variability [1, 3, 8, 19]. The presence of accessory sensory branches of the NM to the mandibular incisors might contribute to a failed IAN block [1, 3, 8, 19].

In the presence of such fibers that travel through the lingual foramen with a higher-than-anticipated branching point of the NM from the IAN within the infratemporal fossa, the NM may avoid immersion by the anesthetic agent during the procedure [1, 5, 8]. Additionally, Stein et al. [5] reported that the sphenomandibular ligament may form a physical barrier, further contributing to a failed anesthesia. Therefore, patients may initially display the signs of successful anesthesia, such as diminished skin sensation in the V3 distribution, but complain of pain upon initiation of the procedure i.e. when the incisors and gingivae are stimulated [1, 8]. Furthermore, Potu et al. [3] claimed that a connection between the NM and the LN may also contribute to a failed mandibular nerve block. Stein et al. [5] further explain that the mandibular teeth receive sensory innervation from a plexus of nerve fibers.

The location of the mandibular foramen is a crucial landmark in IAN blockade [7]. However, many anatomical variations of the foramen also exist and, thus, may also contribute to a failed nerve block [7]. The insertion of a needle into the pterygomandibular space may not only lead to a transient or permanent paresthesia by severing sensory fibers of the NM, but it may also cause cardiovascular and visual complications due to IAN’s close proximity to other neurovascular structures [7]. Moreover, an infective abscess within the pterygomandibular space may also form postoperatively [7].

To avoid such complications, Altug et al. [7] suggested a simpler and easier alternative to an IAN block i.e., a NM block, which is given supra-periosteally, to produce an equally effective anesthesia as that of an IAN block that requires insertion of a needle into an anatomically complex space [5]. Stein et al. [5] attributed the effectiveness of a NM block to the diffusion of the anesthetic agent into the thin mandibular cortical bone and that this method is effective as a supplement to an IAN block. However, Clark et al. [19] reported that a NM block, with or without a concomitant IAN block, does not provide or enhance anesthesia to the mandibular incisors and adjacent gingivae. Tubbs et al. [23] recommended a “high mandibular nerve block”.

Blockade of the NM

According to Altug et al. [7], to prevent any IAN injury during mandibular implants, NM and buccal nerve blocks can be considered as an alternative method for an IAN block. However, the NM innervation to the lingual cortical bone might only be supplemental and the blockade of the NM itself might not anesthetize the lower molar teeth [7].

Injury to the NM during Maxillofacial Surgery

Potu et al. [3] described a case of a NM that was connected to the LN at a point close to the molar tooth, an anatomic variation that predisposes one to a nerve injury upon tooth extraction [23]. Injury to such a network of nerve fibers may result in a sensory loss of the anterior two-thirds of the tongue [3, 23].

A transient or permanent sensory deficit around the skin of the chin, without affecting the sensation of the lower lip [2, 35], has been reported following removal of the submandibular gland, during which the gland is physically separated from the NM [2, 23, 35, 36], a bilateral sagittal split osteotomy (BSSO) [4], and post-genioplasty [35].

Guyot et al. [35] reported a case of 20-year-old male who received a genioplasty after which he complained of transient bilateral hypoesthesia over the inferior surface of the chin and around the mental prominence even though the mental nerves were not damaged during the procedure and attributed the unusual presentation to a damage to cutaneous branches of the NM [27].

Relationship of Mandibular Fracture to the Mylohyoid Groove

Mensink et al. (2014) [31] performed a standardized BSSO on 40 cadaveric hemi-mandibles to investigate the relationship of a lingual fracture line and the mylohyoid groove. Thirty percent of the lingual fracture lines (12/40) ran through the mylohyoid groove, showing their significant relationship [31]. After leaving the inferior border, 37.5% of the lingual fracture lines (15/40) ran parallel and inferior to the mylohyoid groove.
toward the horizontal bur cut [31]. While 7.5% (3/40) ran parallel and superior to the mylohyoid groove, 17.5% (7/40) crossed the mylohyoid groove and ran toward the horizontal bur cut [31]. The hypothesis that the mylohyoid groove would function as the pathway of least resistance for the lingual fracture was only partially confirmed [31].

Other Clinical Considerations

Tubbs et al. [36] performed a feasibility study of using the NM as a donor for a facial nerve reanimation procedure. Their study found that the NM could easily be transposed to the facial nerve stem with limited morbidity.

Conclusion

As can be seen, variations of the NM can result in unusual clinical and thus has clinical relevance. According to He et al. [20], the variations are crucial to note for an implant over an edentulous mandible, tori removal, harvesting a graft, and screw placement post-trauma in addition to the clinical implications described in this review. Therefore, in order to minimize complications and improve the prognosis of invasive mandibular procedures, surgeons must always be knowledgeable of variations of the NM. Finally, it is also crucial to warn patients, prior to a surgery, of the possible clinical manifestations described in this review [37].

Acknowledgements

The authors thank all those who donate their bodies and tissues for the advancement of education and research.

References

1. Bennett S, Townsend G. Distribution of the mylohyoid nerve: anatomical variability and clinical implications. Aust Endod J 2001;27:109-11.
2. Adjei SS, Hammersley N. Mylohyoid nerve damage due to excision of the submandibular salivary gland. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1989;27:209-11.
3. Potu BK, D'Silva SS, Thejodhar P, Jattanna NC. An unusual communication between the mylohyoid and lingual nerves in man: its significance in lingual nerve injury. Indian J Dent Res 2010;21:141-2.
4. Oth O, Louryan S, Van Sint Jan S, Rooze M, Glineur R. Impact of the mandibular divergence on the position of the inferior alveolar nerve and mylohyoid nerve: a computed tomography study and its relevance to bilateral sagittal split osteotomy. Surg Radiol Anat 2013;35:241-7.
5. Stein P, Brueckner J, Milliner M. Sensory innervation of mandibular teeth by the nerve to the mylohyoid: implications in local anesthesia. Clin Anat 2007;20:591-5.
6. Frommer J, Mele FA, Monroe CW. The possible role of the mylohyoid nerve in mandibular posterior tooth sensation. J Am Dent Assoc 1982;85:113-7.
7. Altug HA, Sencimen M, Varol A, Kocabiyik N, Dogan N, Gulses A. The efficacy of mylohyoid nerve anesthesia in dental implant placement at the edentulous posterior mandibular ridge. J Oral Implantol 2012;38:141-7.
8. Madeira MC, Percinoto C, das Gracas MS. Clinical significance of supplementary innervation of the lower incisor teeth: a dissection study of the mylohyoid nerve. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1978;46:608-14.
9. Madhyastha S, Prabhu LV, Saralaya VV, Nayak SR, D’Costa S, Acharya A. Dual innervations of mylohyoid muscle: a case report. Rom J Morphol Embryol 2009;50:305-6.
10. Sutton RN. The practical significance of mandibular accessory foramina. Aust Dent J 1974;19:167-73.
11. Chapnick L. Nerve supply to the mandibular dentition: a review. J Can Dent Assoc 1980;46:446-8.
12. Heasman PA, Beynon AD. The role of the mylohyoid nerve in mandibular tooth innervation. J Dent 1986;14:80-1.
13. Blanton PL, Jeske AH; ADA Council on Scientific Affairs; ADA Division of Science. The key to profound local anesthesia: neuroanatomy. J Am Dent Assoc 2003;134:753-60.
14. Hwang K, Han JY, Chung IH, Hwang SH. Cutaneous sensory branch of the mylohyoid nerve. J Craniofac Surg 2005;16:343-5.
15. Standingr S. Gray’s anatomy: the anatomical basis of clinical practice. 41st ed. London: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2015.
16. Valentian G. Traité de neurologie. Paris: JB Bailliére; 1843.
17. Fuji H, Fujita A, Yang A, Kanazawa H, Buch K, Sakai O, Sugimoto H. Visualization of the peripheral branches of the mandibular division of the trigeminal nerve on 3D double-echo steady-state with water excitation sequence. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2015;36:1333-7.
18. Heasman PA, Beynon AD. Quantitative and spectrum analysis of human mylohyoid nerves. J Anat 1987;151:45-9.
19. Clark S, Reader A, Beck M, Meyers WJ. Anesthetic efficacy of the mylohyoid nerve block and combination inferior alveolar nerve block/mylohyoid nerve block. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1999;87:557-63.
20. He P, Truong MK, Adeeb N, Tubbs RS, Iwanaga J. Clinical anatomy and surgical significance of the lingual foramina and their canals. Clin Anat 2017;30:194-204.
21. Wilson S, Johns P, Fuller PM. The inferior alveolar and mylohyoid nerves: an anatomic study and relationship to local anesthesia of the anterior mandibular teeth. J Am Dent Assoc 1984;108:350-2.
22. Kumar S, Kumar CJ, Bhat S, Kumar A. Anatomical study of the unusual origin of a nerve to the mylohyoid muscle and its clinical relevance. Br J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2011;49:e14-5.
23. Tubbs RS, Shoja MM, Loukas M. Bergman’s comprehensive encyclopedia of human anatomic variation. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons; 2016.
24. Sinha P, Tamang BK, Sarda RK. Communication between mylohyoid and lingual nerve: an anatomical variation. J Clin Diagn Res 2014;8:AD01-2.
25. Sato I, Sunohara M, Ueno R, Yoshida S. Branch of mylohyoid and lingual nerves on submandibular and submental triangles. Okajimas Folia Anat Jpn 2004;81:45-8.
26. Kameda K. Über den N. mandibularis bei Japanern. Acta Inst Anat Niigataensis 1952;28:1-24.
27. Varol A, Sencimen M, Kocabiyik N, Gulse A, Ozan H. Clinical and anatomical aspects of possible mylohyoid nerve injury during genioplasties. Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2009;38:1084-7.
28. Narayana K, Narayan P, Ashwin K, Prabhu LV. Incidence, types and clinical implications of a non-metrical variant: mylohyoid bridging in human mandibles. Folia Morphol (Warsz) 2007;66:20-4.
29. Iwanaga J, Watanabe K, Saga T, Tubbs RS, Tanaka K, Kikuta S, Tabira Y, Fisahn C, Kamura Y, Kusukawa J, Yamanaka KI. A Novel method for observation of the mandibular foramen: application to a better understanding of dental anatomy. Anat Rec (Hoboken) 2017;300:1875-80.
30. Nikolova SY, Toneva DH, Yordanov YA, Lazarov NE. Morphometric study of the mylohyoid bridging in dry mandibles. Anthropol Anz 2017;74:113-22.
31. Mensink G, Gooris PJ, Bergsma EJ, Frank MH, van Gemert JT, van Merkesteyn JP. Is the lingual fracture line influenced by the mandibular canal or the mylohyoid groove during a bilateral sagittal split osteotomy? A human cadaveric study. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2014;72:973-9.
32. Hosapatna M, Ankolekar VH, D’Souza AS, Deepika C, D’Souza A. The study of antilinugla and its relation to the lingula and mandibular foramen, the presence of mylohyoid bridging in dry mandibles of South Indian population. J Maxillofac Oral Surg 2015;14:308-11.
33. Fabian FM. Observation of the position of the lingula in relation to the mandibular foramen and the mylohyoid groove. Ital J Anat Embryol 2006;111:151-8.
34. Iwanaga J, Nakamura Y, Abe Y, Kikuta S, Iwamoto O, Kusukawa J. Multiple accessory foramina of the mandibular ramus: risk factor for oral surgery. Surg Radiol Anat 2016;38:877-80.
35. Guyot L, Layoun W, Richard O, Cheynet F, Gola R. Alteration of chin sensibility due to damage of the cutaneous branch of the mylohyoid nerve during genioplasty. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 2002;60:1371-3.
36. Tubbs RS, Loukas M, Shoja MM, Acakpo-Satchivi L, Wellons JC 3rd, Blount JP, Oakes WJ. The nerve to the mylohyoid as a donor for facial nerve reanimation procedures: a cadaveric feasibility study. J Neurosurg 2007;106:677-9.
37. Marinho RO, Tennant CJ. Paresthesia of the cutaneous branch of the mylohyoid nerve after removal of a submandibular salivary gland. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1997;55:170-1.