Effects of Reduction in Plant Height Induced by Chlormequat on Radiation Interception and Radiation-Use Efficiency in Wheat in Southwest Japan
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Abstract: The effects of reducing plant height by the growth retardant chlormequat on radiation interception and radiation-use efficiency in field-grown wheat were studied in southwest Japan. Chlormequat was applied to wheat cultivar Sanukinoyume 2000 at the beginning of jointing. The cumulative total solar radiation intercepted by the plant canopy (Si) was determined by continuous measurements of total solar radiation above the canopy with a dome pyranometer and below the canopy with tube solarimeters. Nondestructive measurement of leaf area index (LAI) and mean tip angle of the canopy (MTA) using a plant canopy analyzer was performed weekly. Chlormequat application shortened the culm length by 12.6% compared with the control, but did not affect the aboveground dry matter (AGDM), LAI, yield or yield components except for the harvest index (HI). The extinction coefficient in canopy (K) was not affected by chlormequat, so that Si at heading and anthesis were not significantly different. Radiation-use efficiency (RUE) of chlormequat treated plants estimated from the slope of a linear regression of Si vs AGDM was 1.34 g MJ⁻¹ for the period up to heading and 1.57 g MJ⁻¹ for the period up to anthesis. The RUE values were not significantly different between chlormequat-treated and control plants. Although the effect may be restricted to the duration from heading to the premature ripening stage, a higher MTA in chlormequat should provide a more even distribution of radiation within the canopy, which should increase the photosynthetic performance. These results suggested that shortening the culm length of this wheat cultivar by about 12% is beneficial in reducing the risk of lodging without affecting light interception characteristics or RUE.
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Reducing culm length in wheat prevents lodging and reduces intra-shoot (spike vs culm) competition for assimilates. Both impacts are beneficial for increasing grain yield, and reducing the competition for assimilates between spike and culm is of particular importance in wheat cultivar improvement. The dramatic improvement in wheat yield throughout the world in the second half of the 20th century is thought to be associated with improved partitioning of assimilates to the spike caused by introducing semi-dwarf genes (Silfer et al., 1994). Thus, plant growth retardants may be utilized to simulate the effect of reducing plant height on crop growth.

Chlormequat, an anti-gibberellin, is often applied to shorten the lengths of stem, culm and internodes of wheat, potato and hibiscus in Japan (NARO, 2006). Although the primary aim of chlormequat application is preventing lodging, an increase in grain yield of wheat has been reported in Japan (Fukushima et al., 1990; Wada et al., 1991). Ecophysiological causes of the yield increase in wheat induced by chlormequat application have been studied in the UK (Craufurd and Cartwright, 1989; Olumekun, 1996), but not yet in Japan. On the other
hand, excess shortening of plant height should lower the
ability of the canopy to produce biomass by deteriorating
leaf geometrical attributes. To evaluate the effect of
changing plant height on crop growth, it is essential to
know the light interception characteristics and the
radiation-use efficiency (RUE) of the canopy (Monteith,
1977; Gallagher and Biscoe, 1978). However, there is very
limited information on the effects of chlormequat-induced
culm length reduction on crop growth, light interception
characteristics and RUE in Japan.

This study aimed to clarify the light interception
characteristics and RUE in the leading wheat cultivar of
Kagawa Prefecture in southwest Japan as well as the effects
of reducing plant height induced by chlormequat on these
traits.

Materials and Methods
1. Site and crop management
A field experiment was conducted in the experimental
field of the Faculty of Agriculture, Kagawa University
(N34°16′17″, E134°7′39″), from the autumn of 2006 to
the spring of 2007. A spring-type wheat cultivar,
Samukinoyme 2000, which was developed by the Kagawa
Prefecture Agricultural Experiment Station, was used in
this study. The plants were grown in 6 plots of 7.2 m length
× 1.2 m in the east-to-west direction 0.8 m apart. Each plot
consisted of 36 rows of 1.2 m length in the north-to-south
direction with a row distance of 0.2 m. On 14 November,
2006, 40 seeds per row were hand-sown to establish wheat
stands with a plant density of approximately 150 plants m−2.
Plots were fertilized the day before sowing with 60 kg ha−1
of N, P2O5 and K2O using a compound fertilizer.
Supplemental nitrogen, 20 kg ha−1 as a reference RUE value, which is based on photosynthetically
active radiation (PAR), is converted to total solar radiation
by multiplying by 0.5 (Sinclair and Muchow, 1999).

At maturity, the aboveground biomass of a section (1.44
m2) in each plot was sampled. AGDM, grain yield,
1000-grain weight and the number of spikes were recorded
after the samples were dried for 4 wk in a glasshouse. The
numbers of grains per unit area and the grains per spike
were estimated from the grain yield, 1000 grain weight and
the number of spikes per unit area. The harvest index (HI)
was calculated as the ratio of grain yield to AGDM. In
addition, 15 main shoots per plot were randomly sampled
at anthesis and maturity, and the lengths of spike and culm
were recorded.

Results
1. Phenology and weather conditions
Seedling emergence started about 10 d after sowing,
and the jointing stage started about the end of February.
The dates of heading and anthesis in the control plants
were recorded.
were 2 April (139 DAS) and 18 April (155 DAS), respectively, whereas those in chlormequat-treated plants were 4 April (141 DAS) and 19 April (156 DAS), respectively. The control plants and chlormequat-treated plants reached maturity on 29 May (196 DAS). Thus, chlormequat treatment delayed heading by 2 d and anthesis by 1 d compared with the control. Weather data at the weather station during the experimental period is summarized in Fig. 1. Mean air temperature at sowing was 12.1ºC. It decreased to 5.5ºC in the middle of January, and then increased to 11.5, 13.0 and 20.0ºC at heading, anthesis and maturity, respectively. Mean of daily solar radiation at sowing, heading, anthesis and maturity was 8.6, 19.8, 20.0 and 26.2 MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$, respectively. The minimum of daily solar radiation (6.3 MJ m$^{-2}$ d$^{-1}$) was recorded in the first ten days of December. Cumulative daily solar radiation from sowing to maturity was 2917 MJ m$^{-2}$. Total rainfall during the experimental period was 199 mm. No symptoms of water stress were observed in the plants.

### 2. Plant height

Table 1 shows the spike length and culm length of the main stem sampled at anthesis and maturity. Chlormequat application significantly shortened the spike, culm and spike plus culm length by 4.5%, 12.6% and 11.9%, respectively, compared with the controls. Lodging did not occur in either the control or chlormequat-treated plants until harvest.
3. Crop growth

Fig. 2 shows AGDM, LAI and Si from the first sampling day to anthesis. AGDM, LAI, and K values at heading and anthesis, and Si and RUE values from the first sampling to heading and to anthesis are summarized in Table 2. AGDM of chlormequat-treated plants at the first sampling was about 35 g m$^{-2}$, and it increased to 1011 and 1527 g m$^{-2}$ at heading and anthesis, respectively. Chlormequat had no significant effect on AGDM at any sampling time (Fig. 2, Table 2). LAI increased from about 0.3 at the first sampling to 5.5 at heading and 5.6 at anthesis in chlormequat-treated plants. Si of chlormequat-treated plants at heading was 7.1% and at anthesis was 6.1% smaller than control values. LAI and Si of chlormequat-treated plants were consistently lower than those of controls but there were no significant differences between treatments at any date for both these traits (Fig. 2, Table 2).

4. Radiation interception, RUE and MTA

Fig. 3 illustrates the changes in extinction coefficient in canopy (K). In both chlormequat-treated and control plants, the mean K increased from 0.4 at 64 DAS to 5.5 at 90 DAS, then decreased to 0.37 a week before heading (133 DAS). Thereafter, it rose to about 0.5 at anthesis (Table 2). The standard error of K was large at the beginning, but it gradually decreased as time elapsed. The mean K during the entire period was the same (0.46) in both chlormequat-treated and control plants.

There was a close linear relationship between Si and AGDM in both treated and control plants (Fig. 4). The RUE estimated from the slope of the linear regression of Si vs AGDM was nearly the same in both treated and control plants. The RUE from the first sampling to heading was 1.44 and 1.34 g MJ m$^{-2}$ in the control and chlormequat-treated plants, respectively, and the RUE from the first sampling to the anthesis was 1.55 and 1.57 g MJ m$^{-2}$ in control and chlormequat-treated plants, respectively (Table 2).

MTA in controls was 75º at the first sampling day, decreased to 59º at 91 DAS, and again increased to 63º at 98 DAS, then gradually decreased to 46º at anthesis (Fig. 5). The change of MTA with time in the chlormequat-treated plants was basically the same as that in the controls, but MTA at anthesis was significantly higher in the chlormequat-treated plants than in the controls.

5. Yield

Table 3 summarizes the yield, yield components and HI.

---

### Table 2. Effects of chlormequat application on aboveground dry matter (AGDM), LAI, extinction coefficient in canopy (K), cumulative total solar radiation intercepted by wheat canopy (Si) and radiation use efficiency (RUE). AGDM, LAI and K values were obtained from samples taken at heading (H) and anthesis (A). Si and RUE were the values from the first sampling to heading (F-H) and to anthesis (F-A).

| Plot         | AGDM (g m$^{-2}$) | LAI | K | Si (MJ m$^{-2}$) | RUE (g MJ$^{-1}$) |
|--------------|-------------------|-----|---|----------------|------------------|
|              | H     | A   | H | A   | F-H  | F-A | F-H | F-A |
| Control      | 1197  | 1509| 5.9| 5.9 | 0.42 | 0.47 | 776 | 968 | 1.44 | 1.55 |
| Chlormequat  | 1011  | 1527| 5.5| 5.6 | 0.43 | 0.48 | 721 | 909 | 1.34 | 1.57 |

*ns, Not significant at P < 0.05; -, t-test was not performed.*

---

**Fig. 3.** Extinction coefficient (K) in wheat canopy. Vertical bars indicate the standard error of means. Arrow indicates the time of chlormequat application. The time of heading and that of anthesis in the controls are indicated by open triangles, and those in the chlormequat-treated plants by closed triangles.

**Fig. 4.** Relationship between cumulative aboveground dry matter (AGDM) and cumulative total solar radiation intercepted by the wheat canopy (Si) from the first sampling day (64 DAS) to anthesis (161 DAS). Fitted lines are estimated by the following linear regressions. Control: $y = 1.554 x - 71.3$ ($r = 0.986$, $P < 0.001$); Chlormequat: $y = 1.565 x - 35.5$ ($r = 0.986$, $P < 0.001$).
value at maturity (5 May, 194 DAS). Because very uniform wheat stands were established and there were no particular constraints during plant growth, grain yields were high for both control and chlormequat-treated plants, 863 and 911 g m\(^{-2}\), respectively. Although almost all traits of chlormequat-treated plants except 1000-grain weight and AGDM were higher than those of controls, the difference was significant only for HI, which was 41.1% in the chlormequat-treated plants and 38.7% in the control plants.

**Discussion**

Chlormequat application shortened the culm length by 12.6% relative to controls (Table 1), but did not affect AGDM, yield or yield components except for HI (Fig. 2, Tables 2 and 3). The high HI in chlormequat-treated plants could be attributable to a higher partitioning ratio of assimilate to spike due to reducing culm length. However, Crawford and Cartwright (1988) reported that the effect of chlormequat was similar to that of short days: flowering was delayed and tiller production increased. Thus, there is a possibility that a higher HI in chlormequat-treated plants was attributed to the slow plant development, which may increase productive tillers and survival rate of florets. This speculation is supported by the results of the present study, because chlormequat delayed the heading and anthesis time by two and one day, respectively, compared to the controls. Nevertheless, the results of the present study provide useful information on the effect of reducing plant height on crop growth, particularly in radiation interception and RUE.

According to the review by Sinclair and Muchow (1999), the RUE values in wheat ranged from 0.73 to 1.62 g MJ\(^{-1}\), and they considered that the value of 1.46 g MJ\(^{-1}\) estimated by Yunusa et al. (1993) reflects the potential RUE. In Japan, Takahashi and Nakaseko (1990) showed that RUE of spring wheat increased from about 3g MJ\(^{-1}\) at the double ridge stage to 5 g MJ\(^{-1}\) at flag leaf emergence. (These RUE values are based on PAR, and are equivalent to 1.5 and 2.5 g MJ\(^{-1}\) based on total radiation.) Kamoshita (2002) reported RUE values of 0.98 g MJ\(^{-1}\) in sparse planting and 1.07 g MJ\(^{-1}\) for dense planting of winter wheat. On the other hand, Green (1986) commented that information on the influence of chlormequat on RUE is limited, and today, the situation is the same. In the present study, the RUE value estimated based on data from the first sampling day to anthesis was 1.55 g MJ\(^{-1}\) in controls, and was not affected by chlormequat application (Table 2). This RUE value is higher than previously reported values, but the RUE values estimated based on the data from the first sampling to heading, i.e. 1.44 in the control and 1.34 g MJ\(^{-1}\) in the chlormequat treatment (Table 2), were not as high as those estimated based on the data up to anthesis.

Reduction of LAI by chlormequat application in wheat and barley have been reported (Robertson and Greenway, 1973; Bragg et al., 1984; Green at al., 1985). In the present study, although there were no significant differences between chlormequat-treated and control plants at any sampling date, the LAI values in the chlormequat-treated plants were always lower than those in the control (Fig. 2, Table 2). Information on the effect of chlormequat on light interception in the wheat canopy is limited, but in barley, Green et al. (1985) reported on the chlormequat-induced increase in K. In the present study, there was no clear difference in the time course of K between the treatments and the mean values of K for all dates of sampling were the same (0.46) (Fig. 3). Thus, the LAI and K values were not significantly affected by chlormequat.

![Fig. 5. Time course of mean tip angle (MTA) of the wheat canopy.](image)

**Table 3. Effects of chlormequat application on grain yield, yield components, aboveground dry matter (AGDM) and harvest index (HI) at harvest in wheat.**

| Plot  | Grain yield  | Grain no. | 1000 grain weight | Spike no. | Grain no. per spike | AGDM  | HI   |
|-------|--------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|---------------------|-------|------|
|       | (g m\(^{-2}\)) | (m\(^{-2}\)) | (g)          | (m\(^{-2}\)) |                     | (g m\(^{-2}\)) | (%)  |
| Control | 863 ± 23.3 | 2329 ± 1117 | 37.2 ± 0.8 | 763 ± 18 | 30.4 ± 0.8 | 2231 ± 40.6 | 38.7 ± 0.4 |
| Chlormequat | 911 ± 6.4 | 2478 ± 268 | 36.8 ± 0.2 | 770 ± 29 | 32.3 ± 0.9 | 2218 ± 53.2 | 41.1 ± 0.7 |

Means ± S.E. * Significant at P < 0.05; ns, not significant at P < 0.05.
Tables 1 and 3; Fig. 2). The traits related to light penetration to the ground level (I/L) and leaf area index (LAI) in wheat. Fitted lines are estimated by the following exponential regressions: Control: I/L = 0.0456+0.9587 exp (-LAI/1.8814) (R²=0.995); Chlormequat: I/L = 0.0582+0.9824 exp (-LAI/1.9565) (R²=0.994).

Fig. 6. Relationship between relative radiation intensity penetrating to the ground level (I/L) and leaf area index (LAI) in wheat. Fitted lines are estimated by the following exponential regressions: Control: I/L = 0.0456+0.9587 exp (-LAI/1.8814) (R²=0.995); Chlormequat: I/L = 0.0582+0.9824 exp (-LAI/1.9565) (R²=0.994).

application, so that SI was also not significantly affected (Fig. 2). This result, however, should be natural because the LAI at the time when the treatment (DAS 105) was as large (2.96 in the control and 2.77 in the chlormequat-treated plants), which intercepted almost all incident solar radiation. Accordingly, the relative radiation intensity penetrating to the ground level (I/L) at that time was 0.24 in the control and 0.30 in the chlormequat-treated plants (Fig. 6). A week later (DAS 112), I/L was decreased further to 0.17 in the control and 0.21 in the chlormequat-treated plants with increasing LAI (i.e. 4.39 in the control and 3.88 in the chlormequat-treated plants). These results suggested that the amount of intercepted radiation was high enough at the beginning of this experiment, which resulted in no significant difference in SI between the control and chlormequat treatment.

Although data was not presented, Wada et al. (1991) reported improvement of light interception by chlormequat application due to short, erect leaves. This observation is supported by the significantly higher MTA in chlormequat compared to the control (Table 1 and 3; Fig. 2). The traits related to light interception, namely, LAI and K, were not affected by chlormequat treatment either (Figs. 2, 3), which resulted in the same amount of SI (Fig. 2). Although MTA of chlormequat was significantly higher than those of control at anthesis (Fig. 5), it didn’t significantly affect SI. RUE was also not affected by chlormequat application (Fig. 4). These results suggest that shortening the culm length of the current leading wheat cultivar by about 12% is beneficial in reducing the risk of lodging without affecting the light interception characteristics or RUE.
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