Modulating substrate choice: the SspB adaptor delivers a regulator of the extracytoplasmic-stress response to the AAA+ protease ClpXP for degradation
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Adaptor proteins help proteases modulate substrate choice, ensuring that appropriate proteins are degraded at the proper time and place. SspB is an adaptor that delivers ssrA-tagged proteins to the AAA+ protease ClpXP for degradation. To identify new SspB-regulated substrates, we examined proteins captured by ClpXPtrap in sspB+ but not sspB− strains. RseA1–108, a fragment of a transmembrane protein that regulates the extracytoplasmic-stress response, fits this criterion. In response to stress, RseA is cleaved on each side of the membrane and is released as a cytoplasmic fragment that remains bound in an inhibitory complex with the σE transcription factor. Trapping experiments together with biochemical studies show that ClpXP functions in concert with SspB to efficiently recognize and degrade RseA1–108, and thereby releases σE. Genetic studies confirm that ClpX and SspB participate in induction of the σE regulon in vivo, acting at the final step of an activating proteolytic cascade. Surprisingly, the SspB-recognition sequence in RseA1–108 is unrelated to its binding sequence in the ssrA tag. Thus, these experiments elucidate the final steps in induction of the extracytoplasmic stress response and reveal that SspB delivers a broader spectrum of substrates to ClpXP than has been recognized.
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The AAA+ protease ClpXP performs a diverse array of cellular tasks, including degrading incomplete polypeptides, adjusting the activity of metabolic enzymes, and altering the levels of regulatory proteins in response to stress [Gottesman et al. 1998; Wang et al. 1999; Maurizi and Rasulova 2002; Flynn et al. 2003; Gottesman 2003]. As a result, many substrates compete for degradation by a relatively small number of ClpXP protease molecules [Ortega et al. 2004]. The priority of substrate recognition and degradation can also be controlled by adaptor proteins, which enhance or inhibit interactions between specific substrates and ClpXP or other AAA+ proteases [Dougan et al. 2002a]. How widely adaptor proteins are used to control substrate choice is not currently understood.

In the ClpXP protease, ClpX—a hexameric-ring ATPase—binds native substrate proteins, denatures these molecules, and translocates the unfolded polypeptides into an internal degradation chamber of the ClpP peptidase [Maurizi et al. 1990, 1994; Wojtkowiak et al. 1993; Wang et al. 1997; Weber-Ban et al. 1999; Kim et al. 2000; Kim and Kim 2003]. ClpX binds to short unstructured peptides called recognition signals or degradation tags, usually located near the amino or C terminus of substrates [Levchenko et al. 1997; Gottesman et al. 1998; Gonciarz-Swiatek et al. 1999; Flynn et al. 2003]. The ssrA degradation tag is a well-characterized 11-residue peptide [AANDENYALAA], which is added cotranslationally to nascent polypeptides when ribosomes stall [Keiler et al. 1996]. SsrA tagging frees these distressed ribosomes for new rounds of translation and targets the incomplete polypeptides for degradation by ClpXP and other proteases [Gottesman et al. 1998; Withey and Friedman 2003].

The SspB adaptor was originally identified by its ability to enhance ClpXP degradation of ssrA-tagged proteins [Levchenko et al. 2000] and is one of the best-characterized proteins that functions in substrate delivery [Wah et al. 2002, 2003; Dougan et al. 2003; Levchenko et al. 2003; Song and Eck 2003; Bolon et al. 2004]. SspB enhances recognition of ssrA-tagged proteins by mediating the assembly of ternary complexes in which the substrate, adaptor, and protease are tethered by the following three
sets of protein–peptide interactions: (1) the AAA+ domain of ClpX binds to the C-terminal LAA sequence of the ssrA tag, (2) the substrate-binding domain of SspB interacts with a sequence spanning the N-terminal seven residues of the ssrA tag, and (3) a short peptide sequence at the end of a flexible SspB tail binds directly to the N-terminal domain of ClpX [Levchenko et al. 2000; 2003; Flynn et al. 2001; Wah et al. 2003; Bolon et al. 2004]. Whether SspB delivers any substrates without ssrA tags for ClpXP degradation has not been addressed.

Here, we show that SspB directs ClpXP recognition of *Escherichia coli* proteins, which are not ssrA tagged. One of these substrates, RseA, functions as a master regulator of the extracytoplasmic-stress response by inhibiting the transcription factor (σE) that activates expression of stress genes [De Las Penas et al. 1997b; Missiakas et al. 1997]. In response to the stress-induced accumulation of unfolded or unassembled outer-membrane proteins in the periplasm, RseA is processed via multiple cleavage events in a sequential cascade. DegS protease initially cleaves RseA within its periplasmic domain, activating a second cleavage on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane by YaeL protease [Alba et al. 2001, 2002; Kaneko et al. 2002]. These cleavage events release the cytoplasmic domain of RseA from the membrane, but this inhibitory domain remains bound to σE, and thus, additional steps are required before σE can activate gene expression [Missiakas et al. 1997; Campbell et al. 2003].

Our experiments demonstrate that ClpXP and SspB play a role in the final step of the proteolytic cascade that activates σE. Cleavage of RseA on the cytoplasmic side of the membrane generates a fragment ending in a ClpX recognition signal, similar to the LAA sequence at the end of the ssrA tag. By binding simultaneously to this RseA1–108 fragment and ClpX, SspB brings the σE–RseA1–108–ClpX complex together. The RseA fragment is, however, the only component of this complex that is degraded. Surprisingly, the peptide sequences bound by SspB in RseA1–108 and the ssrA tag are not similar, suggesting the SspB has different modes of protein recognition. These results establish that the SspB adaptor recognizes and delivers different classes of cellular proteins for degradation by ClpXP.

**Results**

**SspB influences recognition of a set of ClpXP substrates in vivo**

To investigate whether SspB controls ClpXP degradation of proteins without ssrA tags, we compared intracellular substrates captured in an inactive variant of ClpP [ClpP<sup>trap</sup>] in the presence and absence of SspB [Flynn et al. 2003]. Trapping strains were *sspB<sup>+</sup>*<sup>−</sup>, which inactivates ssrA tagging [Karzai et al. 1999], and *clpA<sup>−</sup>*<sup>−</sup>, which removes another ATPase capable of choosing substrates for ClpP. These mutations eliminate trapping of ssrA-tagged and ClpAP substrates. Following capture in *sspB<sup>+</sup>* or *sspB<sup>−</sup>* strains, ClpXP substrates were visualized by staining following two-dimensional gel electrophoresis [Fig. 1]. This experiment revealed that the majority of cellular substrates do not require SspB to interact with ClpXP. However, a handful of proteins were clearly more abundant in ClpP<sup>trap</sup> when SspB was present. This differential trapping indicates that SspB influences the recognition of a subset of ClpXP substrates. Interestingly, a few proteins were more efficiently trapped when SspB was absent, suggesting that SspB may also inhibit ClpXP degradation of certain proteins.

One SspB-dependent substrate is an N-terminal fragment of RseA

Tandem-mass spectrometry identified one of the most prominent SspB-dependent ClpXP trapped proteins as an N-terminal fragment of RseA. Tryptic digestion of the RseA spot followed by mass spectrometry identified peptides covering the N-terminal 108 amino acids of RseA (Fig. 2), including a peptide with a molecular weight corresponding to residues 94–108: VWPAAQLTQMG VAA<sup>108</sup>. The fact that this peptide did not terminate with lysine or arginine [as expected for an internal tryptic fragment] indicated that alanine was the natural C terminus of the trapped protein. Thus, this analysis demonstrates that the trapped RseA fragment [RseA<sup>1–108</sup>] terminates with the sequence VAA-COOH (Fig. 2). This C-terminal sequence is a member of the well-characterized C-motif I class of ClpX recognition signals [Flynn et al.

---

**Figure 1.** Proteins captured by ClpP<sup>trap</sup> with and without SspB. Two-dimensional gel analysis of proteins captured by ClpP<sup>trap</sup> in *E. coli* strains JF162 (*sspB<sup>+</sup>*clpA<sup>−</sup>; top) and JF259 (*sspB<sup>−</sup>*clpA<sup>−</sup>; bottom). Representative proteins trapped preferentially in the *sspB<sup>+</sup>* strain are circled, whereas proteins trapped preferentially in the *sspB<sup>−</sup>* strain are marked by squares.
al. 2003), and thus, it makes sense that it would target the RseA fragment to ClpXP.

Recognition of RseA by the cytoplasmic protease ClpXP must occur after YaeL cleavage releases the N-terminal fragment from the membrane [Alba et al. 2001; Kanehara et al. 2002]. On the basis of the cleavage specificity of the homologous SP2 protease, Alba et al. (2002) proposed that YaeL might cleave RseA between A\textsuperscript{108} and C\textsuperscript{109}, to generate the N-terminal fragment that we trapped and characterized.

RseA\textsubscript{1–108} is a substrate for SspB and ClpXP in vitro

A fragment corresponding to RseA\textsubscript{1–108} was cloned, overexpressed, and purified to investigate its susceptibility to ClpXP degradation in vitro. ClpXP degraded RseA\textsubscript{1–108} in a reaction that required ATP (Fig. 3A; data not shown). A mutant variant in which the C-terminal sequence was VDD\textsuperscript{108} (RseA-DD\textsubscript{1–108}) was also purified and was found to be degraded 25–30 times more slowly than RseA\textsubscript{1–108} (Fig. 3A, inset). Thus, we conclude that the C-terminal sequence of RseA\textsubscript{1–108} is a critical signal that targets this protein for degradation by ClpXP.

As expected from the trapping results, SspB also stimulated degradation of RseA\textsubscript{1–108} by ClpXP in vitro [Fig. 3]. SspB reduced the Michaelis constant (K\textsubscript{m}) for ClpXP degradation of RseA\textsubscript{1–108} approximately sevenfold from 1.3 to ~0.2 µM and stimulated V\textsubscript{max} by ~50% [Fig. 3A]. Thus, SspB enhances productive interactions between RseA\textsubscript{1–108} and ClpX, in a manner analogous to its role in delivering ssrA-tagged proteins for ClpXP degradation [Levchenko et al. 2000]. A truncated SspB variant lacking the tails that bind ClpX did not enhance ClpXP degradation of RseA\textsubscript{1–108}, demonstrating that tethering interactions between SspB and ClpXP are important for delivery of this substrate (data not shown).

YaeL cleavage releases the N-terminal fragment of RseA from the membrane, but does not disrupt its binding to σE (Alba et al. 2002, Kanehara et al. 2002). We asked, therefore, whether SspB could deliver the σE·RseA\textsubscript{1–108} complex to ClpXP for disassembly and degradation. As shown in Figure 3B, ClpXP degraded RseA\textsubscript{1–108} bound to σE, and SspB stimulated this degradation. At the concentrations tested, the rate of degradation of free RseA\textsubscript{1–108} was similar to that of complexed RseA\textsubscript{1–108}. This result indicates that binding of σE to RseA\textsubscript{1–108} does not inhibit degradation or provide any critical contacts that enhance recognition of RseA\textsubscript{1–108} by ClpX. Importantly, σE in the σE·RseA\textsubscript{1–108} complex was not degraded. In addition, as expected, SspB remained undegraded throughout the reaction (data not shown).

On the basis of this analysis, we conclude that SspB...
can deliver the $\sigma^E \cdot \text{RseA}^{1-108}$ complex to ClpXP, leading to the targeted degradation of RseA$^{1-108}$. These results are integrated into a model for $\sigma^E$ activation shown in Figure 4. Following DegS and YaeL cleavage of RseA, SspB delivers the $\sigma^E \cdot \text{RseA}^{1-108}$ complex to ClpX, which selectively denatures RseA$^{1-108}$ and translocates it into ClpP for degradation. This processing of the RseA fragment by ClpXP releases SspB and $\sigma^E$ from the enzyme complex. As a consequence, $\sigma^E$ is liberated to bind to core RNA polymerase and activate transcription.

SspB and ClpX enhance activation of the $\sigma^E$ regulon in vivo

Taken together, the results presented so far suggest that degradation mediated by ClpXP and SspB controls the intracellular levels of RseA$^{1-108}$ and should therefore influence $\sigma^E$ activity. To test for roles for ClpX and SspB in the extracytoplasmic stress response, we monitored induction of a $\sigma^E \cdot \text{RseA}^{1-108}$-controlled $\lambda\text{-galactosidase}$ synthesis in $\text{sspB}^{-}$ or $\text{clpX}^{-}$ cells. Extracytoplasmic stress was induced using a plasmid-encoded fusion protein, ending with a YYF sequence, which is targeted to the periplasm and activates DegS degradation of RseA (Walsh et al. 2003). Following induction, $\sigma^E$-dependent $\beta$-galactosidase synthesis was delayed in both the clpX$^{-}$ and sspB$^{-}$ cells (Fig. 5). These data show that ClpX and SspB participate in activation of $\sigma^E$ during the stress response. The clpX$^{-}$ cells had a larger defect than the sspB$^{-}$ cells, in accordance with the observation that SspB is not essential for ClpXP degradation of RseA$^{1-108}$ in vitro. Although clearly reduced, the $\sigma^E$-reporter gene was still induced in the absence of ClpX, suggesting that proteases in addition to ClpXP also participate in the activation of $\sigma^E$ by degrading RseA$^{1-108}$ (see Discussion).

SspB forms stable delivery complexes with RseA$^{1-108}$ and with $\sigma^E \cdot \text{RseA}^{1-108}$

Mutagenic and crystallographic studies have identified detailed interactions between the ssrA tag and SspB, and peptide-binding studies have established a strong consensus sequence for SspB recognition of the tag (Levchenko et al. 2000, 2003; Flynn et al. 2001; Song and Eck 2003). Inspection of the RseA$^{1-108}$ sequence, however, failed to identify any sequences with significant homology to the SspB-recognition sequence in the ssrA tag. Thus, we sought to determine whether SspB forms a specific complex with RseA$^{1-108}$ as it does with the ssrA tag using gel filtration as a binding assay. SspB and RseA$^{1-108}$ coeluted on a Superose 12 column at a position distinct from free RseA$^{1-108}$ (Fig. 6A). Moreover, a larger ternary complex was formed when SspB, $\sigma^E$, and RseA$^{1-108}$ were mixed (Fig. 6B). The presence of SspB, $\sigma^E$, and RseA$^{1-108}$ in this complex was confirmed by SDS-PAGE (data not shown). Stable formation of this ternary complex provides further support for the model that SspB binds the $\sigma^E \cdot \text{RseA}^{1-108}$ complex and delivers this complex to ClpXP.

Truncation experiments established that a sequence near the C terminus of RseA$^{1-108}$ was required for stable complex formation with SspB. A truncated variant ending at residue 89 [RseA$^{1-89}$] failed to coelute with SspB during gel filtration, whereas a slightly longer variant, RseA$^{1-99}$, retained the ability to bind SspB stably (Fig. 6A).
To determine which portion of RseA\(^{1–108}\) bound SspB, we looked for sites protected from tryptic cleavage in the complex. Incubation of RseA\(^{1–99}\) with trypsin resulted in two major stable fragments; the larger fragment resulted from digestion after K\(^{93}\), whereas the smaller fragment was generated by trypsin digestion after both R\(^{58}\) and K\(^{93}\) (Fig. 7B). In the presence of SspB, two larger fragments were also observed as a result of partial suppression of the cleavage following K\(^{93}\). These data, like the truncation experiments, implicate the sequence surrounding residue 93 in SspB · RseA complex formation. Peptide-binding studies confirm that the C-terminal region of RseA\(^{1–108}\) mediates its interaction with SspB. A synthetic fluorescein-labeled peptide containing RseA residues 77–108 bound SspB with a \(K_d\) of 0.35 µM as determined by changes in fluorescence polarization (Fig. 7C). This binding was competed both by excess RseA\(^{1–108}\) and by a ssrA peptide [data not shown]. Furthermore, a mutation in the peptide-binding cleft of SspB (Bolon et al. 2004) prevented binding of both molecules. These experiments suggest that the C-terminal region of RseA\(^{1–108}\) and the ssrA peptide bind to at least some common sites within the peptide-binding cleft on SspB, despite the lack of significant sequence homology.

Discussion

**ClpXP and SspB regulate \(\sigma^E\) activity via RseA destruction**

The activity of \(\sigma^E\), the transcription factor for the extracytoplasmic-stress response, is tightly controlled by its binding to and inhibition by the transmembrane regulator, RseA [Fig. 4; De Las Penas et al. 1997b, Missiakas et al. 1997]. Stress induces sequential cleavages of RseA on each side of the membrane by the DegS and YaeL proteases, respectively, releasing the \(\sigma^E\) · RseA\(^{1–108}\) complex into the cytoplasm [Ades 2004]. Our results show that ClpXP, with the assistance of SspB, recognizes the inhibited \(\sigma^E\) · RseA\(^{1–108}\) complex and catalyzes release of active \(\sigma^E\) through selective proteolytic destruction of RseA\(^{1–108}\). Thus, SspB and ClpXP participate in the final stage of a proteolytic cascade, which begins in the periplasm and, ultimately, releases an active transcription factor in the cytoplasm.

ClpXP is especially well suited to recognize and degrade proteins with C-terminal signals generated by prior proteolytic cleavage. Cleavage of RseA from the membrane generates a fragment that terminates with VAA-COOH, a sequence that belongs to the C-motif 1 class of ClpXP recognition signals (Flynn et al. 2003). For this class of peptide sequences, which includes the ssrA tag, the nonpolar side chains and the free \(\alpha\)-carboxyl group are both important for ClpX recognition [Kim et al. 2000; Flynn et al. 2001]. Thus, a VAA or LAA sequence is recognized poorly, if at all, at an internal position in a protein. Degradation of the SOS response repressor, LexA, also illustrates this type of regulation [Neher et al. 2003a]. Full-length LexA is not a ClpXP substrate, but damage-induced auto-cleavage creates an N-terminal LexA fragment, ending with VAA-COOH, which is degraded efficiently by ClpXP [Neher et al. 2003a]. Thus, certain internal peptide sequences function as cryptic
degradation signals, which remain hidden until revealed by protein cleavage. Cryptic signals permit coordinated protein destruction, allowing a single protein processing event—such as cleavage in response to an environmental cue—to trigger recognition by ClpXP.

Structural and biochemical studies demonstrate that complexes of $\sigma^E$ with RseA are very stable and incompatible with transcriptional activation. The cocrystal structure of RseA1–99 bound to $\sigma^E$ reveals extensive contacts in which the first 66 amino acids of RseA are sandwiched between the two domains of $\sigma^E$ in a manner that would directly block $\sigma^E$-RNA polymerase interaction (Campbell et al. 2003). We found that the $\sigma^E$–RseA1–108 complex copurified over several columns without detectable dissociation during a period of days (J. Flynn and I. Levchenko, unpubl.), and direct experiments estimate the half-life of the complex in vitro to be well in excess of 2 h (I. Grigorova and C. Gross, pers. comm.). Response to extracytoplasmic stress, in contrast, occurs in minutes, a time-scale similar to the rate of ClpXP degradation of RseA1–108 in a $\sigma^E$–RseA1–108 complex. Therefore, ClpX must actively pull the two proteins in the $\sigma^E$–RseA1–108 complex apart to release $\sigma^E$ and allow degradation of RseA1–108. The proteolytic activity of ClpP in the ClpXP complex may assist in activation of $\sigma^E$ by destroying RseA1–108 to prevent reformation of the RseA1–108–$\sigma^E$ complex. Thus, a key feature of $\sigma^E$ activation is the mechanical disassembly of the $\sigma^E$–RseA1–108 complex by ClpXP.

In the cocrystal structure of $\sigma^E$–RseA1–99, the first 66 residues of RseA form a stable domain that binds $\sigma^E$, whereas the last 24 residues are not visible and are presumably unstructured (Campbell et al. 2003). Although previously there was no known function for this unstructured extension of N-RseA, our data indicates that this region functions to interact with both SspB and ClpX during the final step of activation of $\sigma^E$. SspB, $\sigma^E$ and N-RseA form a stable delivery complex, in which $\sigma^E$ interacts with the first 66 residues of RseA, and SspB binds to the C-terminal unstructured tail. Why is RseA1–108 the only member of this stable complex degraded? Both SspB and $\sigma^E$ probably lack degradation signals that would allow ClpX to engage these proteins to initiate protein degradation. Alternatively, the geometry of the complex might place RseA1–108 but not the other proteins in a position that allows engagement by the enzyme.

$\sigma^E$ function is essential in E. coli (De Las Penas et al. 1997a) but ClpX, ClpP, and SspB are nonessential proteins, suggesting that other proteases also degrade RseA1–108 and release active $\sigma^E$. ClpX and SspB cells show reduced induction of an $\sigma^E$-regulated promoter, rather than no induction. In fact, recent experiments demonstrate that several different proteases participate in degradation of RseA1–108, although ClpXP plays the single largest role [R. Chaba and C. Gross, pers. comm.]. Hence, RseA1–108 must contain targeting signals for several proteases, emphasizing the critical nature of its destruction.

Adaptors like SspB expand and regulate the substrate repertoire of proteases

Prior to this study, ssrA-tagged proteins were the only known substrate partners for SspB (Levchenko et al. 2000). Identification of RseA1–108 as a new SspB partner provides the opportunity to compare mechanisms of substrate delivery. There are many similarities. Both RseA1–108 and ssrA-tagged proteins contain a C-motif 1 degradation tag at the extreme C terminus, and SspB
bands to a nearby region within 10–30 residues. For both classes of substrates, SspB enhances ClpXP degradation principally by decreasing $K_{nr}$ and therefore serves to stabilize enzyme–substrate interactions. Finally, RseA$^{1–108}$ and the ssrA tag appear to occupy overlapping binding sites in the peptide-binding cleft on SspB.

Despite these similarities, the sequences within RseA$^{1–108}$ and the ssrA tag that bind SspB are not similar. Experiments presented here reveal that the SspB-binding site in RseA$^{1–108}$ lies between residues 77 and 99 (see Fig. 7). This region, as well as the rest of RseA$^{1–108}$, is devoid of sequences resembling the ssrA tag consensus for SspB binding $^1[[AGPSV]^1–[ASV]^2–[NH]^3–[DCE]^4–[X]^5–[X]^6–[FWY]^7]$, Flynn et al. 2001). Studies are currently in progress to define more clearly how RseA$^{1–108}$ binds to SspB and how the peptide-binding cleft of SspB can interact strongly and specifically with two, seemingly unrelated, sequences.

The studies reported here revealed several different proteins that were trapped in sspB$^+$ but not sspB$^+$ strains. In addition to RseA$^{1–108}$, trapping of both AceA (isocitrate lyase) and Cdd (deoxyuridine deaminase) was also monitored by the presence of SspB [data not shown]. Delivery of ssrA-tagged substrates or RseA$^{1–108}$ for ClpXP degradation is clearly a direct consequence of SspB function, and we suspect that additional proteins will also be directly delivered by SspB. However, adaptors also can have indirect effects on substrate selection by AAA+ proteases. For example, by mediating efficient degradation of specific substrates, an adaptor may serve to free the protease to degrade other substrates more efficiently. In addition, targeted degradation of transcription factors, translation regulators, chaperones, and proteases has the potential to cause large changes in protein levels, leading to indirect changes in the repertoire of substrates available for degradation.

Although SspB is a positive regulator of RseA$^{1–108}$ recognition, it also has the potential to act as an inhibitor. In our experiments, ClpXP trapped a few substrates more efficiently when SspB was absent [see Fig. 1]. SspB binding could prevent ClpXP degradation of certain proteins by masking their degradation tags. In fact, both SspB and the ClpS adaptor protein inhibit ClpAP recognition of ssrA-tagged proteins (Flynn et al. 2001; Dougan et al. 2002b). Alternatively, absence of competition could lead to improved degradation of substrates or substrate–adaptor complexes that compete with SspB for tethering to ClpX.

It is becoming increasingly clear that many proteins are targeted for disassembly and destruction by AAA+ ATPases both by intrinsic recognition tags and by extrinsic tethering mediated by adaptor proteins. How many adaptors exist for each enzyme, and their overall impact on recognition is not yet known. In addition to SspB, E. coli ClpXP uses the RssB adaptor that delivers the stationary $\sigma^+$ factor $\sigma^+$ to ClpXP for degradation during non-starvation conditions [Muffler et al. 1996; Zhou and Gottesman 1998]. Furthermore, the UmuD UmuD’ heterodimer functions as an SspB-like adaptor for UmuD’ degradation by ClpXP during recovery from DNA damage [Neher et al. 2003b].

Why do certain substrates use adaptors? One answer is that adaptor proteins can increase the efficiency of recognition at low substrate concentrations. For example, SspB improves ClpXP recognition of RseA$^{1–108}$ in vivo, as shown both by trapping and $\sigma^+$-induction experiments, even though RseA$^{1–108}$ is a good ClpXP substrate in the absence of SspB in vitro. Furthermore, the use of adaptors can lead to the degradation of a group of proteins, allowing coregulation. The results of our trapping experiments indicate that up-regulation or down-regulation of SspB would be likely to change the efficiency of degradation of a group of substrate proteins in a coordinated manner. In fact, we have observed that overproduction of SspB improves activation of $\sigma^+$ during stress [data not shown]. We suspect that additional adaptors remain to be discovered. These proteins, like SspB, will probably also bind a spectrum of substrates, thereby controlling the breadth and efficiency of recognition by their partner AAA+ enzymes.

Materials and methods

Strains and plasmids

Genes encoding RseA$^{1–108}$ and RseA$^{1–99}$ were amplified by PCR from E. coli genomic DNA using primers encoding NdeI and BamHI restriction sites. The amplified DNA was cleaved with both restriction enzymes and cloned between the NdeI and BamHI sites of pET3a to generate pET3a-rseA$^{1–108}$ and pET3a-rseA$^{1–99}$. A plasmid expressing RseA-DD$^{1–108}$ was constructed by site-directed mutagenesis of the rseA$^{1–108}$ gene. The gene encoding $\sigma^+$ (rpoE) was PCR amplified from E. coli chromosomal DNA and cloned into the NdeI and BclI sites of the pT7LysS plasmid [I. Levchenko, unpubl.] to generate pT7LysS-rpoE.

The chromosomally encoded sspB gene was replaced by a FRT-flanked kanamycin resistance cassette following the method of Datsenko and Wanner (2000). The sspB$^-$ kan cassette was then transferred into W3110 clpP$^+$ cat $\Delta$spnB$^+$ cells by P1 transduction. Km$^O$ mutants were transformed with pCP20 encoding the Flipase enzyme, and resulting transformants were tested for loss of the kanamycin resistance as described in Dat-senko and Wanner (2000). The deletion was confirmed by PCR analysis. A clpA$^-$ kan cassette was then introduced by P1 transduction and finally pF105 [Flynn et al. 2003] encoding the ClpP$^{E. coli}$ was transformed into the strain [JF259]. CAG43583 [Walsh et al. 2003] was a gift from Carol Gross (University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, CA). The sspB$^-$ kan and clpX$^-$ kan cassettes were introduced into the strain by P1 transduction.

Solutions

Buffer A is 50 mM Tris-HCl ($pH$ 7.0), 50 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 5% glycerol. GF buffer is 50 mM Tris-HCl ($pH$ 7.0), 150 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, and 5% glycerol. PD buffer is as described [Kim et al. 2000].

Proteins

ClpX [Levchenko et al. 1997] and ClpP [Kim et al. 2000] were purified as described, SspB was a gift from David Wah (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA).

RseA$^{1–108}$ was purified from E. coli ER2556 pLysS/pET3a-RseA$^{1–108}$ cells grown in LB broth with 100 µg/mL ampicillin and 30 µg/mL chloramphenicol. Cells were grown at 37°C to an
ClpX, ClpP, ATP (4 mM), and an ATP regeneration system (50 µg/mL creatine kinase and 2.5 mM creatine phosphate) were mixed in PD buffer and incubated for 2 min at 30°C. For gel analysis, RseA1–108 or RseA-DD1–108 (2 µM) was added, and samples were removed at different times and analyzed by SDS-PAGE. Bands were visualized using Sypro Orange protein stain [Molecular Probes] on a Fluorimagr 595 [Molecular Dynamics]. Degradation of 35S-labeled proteins were assayed by changes in TCA-soluble radioactivity as described in Burton et al. [2001]. When present, the ClpB concentration was 0.2 µM (monomer equivalents).

**Gel filtration of protein complexes**

Gel filtration was performed on a SMART system [Amersham Biosciences] using a Superose 12 column equilibrated in GF buffer at 4°C. RseA1–108, RseA1–99, or the RseA1–108–SspB complex [8 µM] was incubated with or without SspB [8 µM monomer equivalents] in GF buffer for 5 min at 30°C prior to chromatography.

**Limited trypsin proteolysis**

A total of 5 µM RseA1–99 was incubated with or without 15 µM SspB in 100 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.9) for 5 min at 30°C. Trypsin and RseA1–108 were mixed in a 1:93 ratio and samples were taken at different times and analyzed by 18% Tris-Tricine SDS-PAGE. To identify the resulting RseA fragments, a portion of each time point was analyzed by electrospray mass spectrometry and another portion was separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto PVDF membrane [Millipore], stained by Ponceau red stain, and subjected to N-terminal sequencing at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology Biopolymers Facility.

**Peptide-binding assays**

Binding of SspB to the fluorescein-labeled RseA75–108 peptide (0.1 µM) was assayed by fluorescence polarization (excitation 467 nm; emission 511 nm) at 30°C in PD buffer lacking NP-40 using a Fluoromax-2 instrument [ISA, John-Yvon]. Binding curves were fit using Kaleidagraph [Synergy Software].

**β-Galactosidase assays**

Overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 to an O.D.600 of ~0.025 and grown at 30°C in LB broth with appropriate antibiotics. The cultures were then grown at 30°C to an O.D.600 of 0.15 and overexpression of the OmpC fusion protein was induced by 0.2% L-[-]-arabinose. β-Galactosidase activities were measured as described [Miller 1972; Mecsas et al. 1993; Ades et al. 1999].

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Carol Gross for strains and advice, and Rachna Chaba, Irena Grigorova, and Carol Gross for sharing unpublished re-

---

**Protein trapping**

Trapped proteins were isolated from an ssbB strain [JF162, W3110 clpP::cat clpA::kan ΔsmpB-1/pFI05] or an ssbB strain [JF259, see above] and analyzed by two-dimensional gels as described [Flynn et al. 2003]. Protein spots from the gel were excised, digested with trypsin, and analyzed by microcapillary reverse-phase HPLC nano-electrospray tandem mass spectrometry using a Finnigan LCQ DECA quadropole ion trap mass spectrometer [Harvard Microchemistry Facility]. The two-dimensional spot corresponding to RseA1–108 was subjected to in-gel tryptic digestion as described [Rosenfeld et al. 1992, Hellman et al. 1995] and peptides were analyzed by MALDI mass spectrometry at the MIT Biopolymers Facility.
sults. We thank the Harvard Microchemistry Facility for mass spectrometry and members of the Baker and Sauer labs for help, advice, and comments on the manuscript. Supported by NIH grant AI-16892 and HHMI. T.A.B. is an employee of HHMI.

References

Ades, S.E. 2004. Control of the alternative σ factor σ[E] in Escherichia coli. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 7: 157–162.

Ades, S.E., Connolly, L.E., Alba, B.M., and Gross, C.A. 1999. The Escherichia coli σ[E]-dependent extracytoplasmic stress response is controlled by the regulated proteolysis of an anti-σ factor. Genes & Dev. 13: 2449–2461.

Alba, B.M., Zhong, H.J., Pelayo, J.C., and Gross, C.A. 2001. degS [hhoB] is an essential Escherichia coli gene whose indispensable function is to provide σ(E) activity. Mol. Microbiol. 40: 1323–1333.

Burton, R.E., Siddiqui, S.M., Kim, Y.I., Baker, T.A., and Sauer, R.T. 2001. Effects of protein stability and structure on substrate processing by the ClpXP unfolding and degradation machine. EMBO J. 20: 3092–3100.

Campbell, E.A., Tupy, J.L., Gruber, T.M., Wang, S., Sharp, M.M., Gross, C.A., and Darst, S.A. 2003. Crystal structure of Escherichia coli σE with the cytoplasmic domain of its anti-sigma RseA. Mol. Cell 11: 1067–1078.

Datsenko, K.A. and Wanner, B.L. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 97: 6640–6645.

Del Peñas, A., Connolly, L., and Gross, C.A. 1997a. σE is an essential σ factor in Escherichia coli. J. Bacteriol. 179: 6862–6864.

Datsenko, K.A. and Wanner, B.L. 1997b. The σE-mediated response to extracytoplasmic stress in Escherichia coli is transduced by RseA and RseB, two negative regulators of σE. Mol. Microbiol. 24: 373–385.

Dougan, D.A., Mozg, A., Zeth, K., Turgay, K., and Bukau, B. 2002a. AAA+ proteins and substrate recognition, it all depends on their partner in crime. FEBS Lett. 529: 6–10.

Dougan, D.A., Reid, B.G., Horwich, A.L., and Bukau, B. 2002b. ClpS, a substrate modulator of the ClpAP machine. Mol. Cell 9: 673–683.

Dougan, D.A., Weber-Ban, E., and Bukau, B. 2003. Targeted delivery of an ssrA-tagged substrate by the adaptor protein SspB to its cognate AAA+ protein ClpX. Mol. Cell 12: 373–380.

Flynn, J.M., Levchenko, I., Seidel, M., Wickner, S.H., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. 2001. Overlapping recognition determinants within the ssrA degradation tag allow modulation of proteolysis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 98: 10584–10589.

Flynn, J.M., Neher, S.B., Kim, Y.I., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. 2003. Proteomic discovery of cellular substrates of the ClpXP protease reveals five classes of ClpX-recognition signals. Mol. Cell 11: 671–683.

Gonzalez-Swiatlak, M., Wawrzynow, A., Um, S.J., Lern, B.A., McMacken, R., Kelley, W.L., Georgopoulos, C., Slickers, O., and Zylicz, M. 1999. Recognition, targeting, and hydrolysis of the λ O replication protein by the ClpP/ClpX protease. J. Biol. Chem. 274: 13999–14005.

Gottesman, S. 2003. Proteolysis in bacterial regulatory circuits. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 19: 565–587.

Gottesman, S., Roche, E., Zhou, Y., and Sauer, R.T. 1998. The ClpXP and ClpAP proteases degrade proteins with carboxy-terminal peptide tails added by the SsrA-tagging system. Genes & Dev. 12: 1338–1347.

Hillman, U., Wernstedt, C., Genez, J., and Heldin, C.H. 1995. Improvement of an ‘In-Gel’ digestion procedure for the micropreparation of internal protein fragments for amino acid sequencing. Anal. Biochem. 224: 451–455.

Kanekara, K., Ito, K., and Akiyama, Y. 2002. YaeL (EefE) activates the σ^h pathwy of stress response through a site-2 cleavage of anti-E, RseA. Genes & Dev. 16: 2147–2155.

Karzai, A.W., Susskind, M.M., and Sauer, R.T. 1999. SmpB, a unique RNA-binding protein essential for the peptide-tagging activity of SsrA [tmRNA]. EMBO J. 18: 3793–3799.

Keiler, K.C., Waller, P.R., and Sauer, R.T. 1996. Role of a peptide tagging system in degradation of proteins synthesized from damaged messenger RNA. Science 271: 990–993.

Kim, D.Y. and Kim, K.K. 2003. Crystal structure of ClpX molecular chaperone from Helicobacter pylori. J. Biol. Chem. 28: 50664–50670.

Kim, Y.I., Burton, R.E., Burton, B.M., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. 2000. Dynamics of substrate denaturation and translocation by the ClpXP degradation machine. Mol. Cell 5: 639–648.

Levchenko, I., Smith, C.K., Walsh, N.P., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. 1997. PDZ-like domains mediate binding specificity in the Clp/Hsp100 family of chaperones and protease regulatory subunits. Cell 91: 939–947.

Levchenko, I., Seidel, M., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. 2000. A specificity-enhancing factor for the ClpXP degradation machine. Science 289: 2354–2356.

Levchenko, I., Grant, R.A., Wah, D.A., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. 2003. Structure of a delivery protein for an AAA+ protease in complex with a peptide degradation tag. Mol. Cell 12: 365–372.

Maurizi, M.R. and Rasulova, F. 2002. Degradation of L-glutamate dehydrogenase from Escherichia coli: Allosteric regulation of enzyme stability. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 397: 206–216.

Maurizi, M.R., Clark, W.P., Katayama, Y., Rudikoff, S., Pumphrey, J., Bowers, B., and Gottesman, S. 1990. Sequence and structure of Clp P, the proteolytic component of the ATP-dependent Clp protease of Escherichia coli. J. Biol. Chem. 265: 12536–12545.

Maurizi, M.R., Thompson, M.W., Singh, S.K., and Kim, S.H. 1994. Endopeptidase Clp: ATP-dependent Clp protease from Escherichia coli. Methods Enzymol. 244: 314–331.

Mecsas, J., Rouviere, P.E., Erickson, J.W., Donohue, T.J., and Gross, C.A. 1993. The activity of σ E, an Escherichia coli heat-inducible σ-factor, is modulated by expression of outer membrane proteins. Genes & Dev. 7: 2618–2628.

Miller, J.H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.

Missiakas, D., Mayer, M.P., Lemaire, M., Georgopoulos, C., and Raina, S. 1997. Modulation of the Escherichia coli σE coregulator, RseC proteins. Mol. Microbiol. 24: 355–371.

Muffler, A., Fischer, D., Altuvia, S., Storz, G., and Hengge-Aronis, R. 1996. The response regulator RssB controls stability of the σ(S) subunit of RNA polymerase in Escherichia coli. EMBO J. 15: 1333–1339.

Neher, S.B., Flynn, J.M., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. 2003a.
Latent ClpX-recognition signals ensure LexA destruction after DNA damage. *Genes & Dev.* 17: 1084–1089.

Neher, S.B., Sauer, R.T., and Baker, T.A. 2003b. Distinct peptide signals in the UmuD and UmuD’ subunits of UmuD/D’ mediate tethering and substrate processing by the ClpXP protease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 100: 13219–13224.

Ortega, J., Lee, H.S., Maurizi, M.R., and Steven, A.C. 2004. ClpA and ClpX ATPases bind simultaneously to opposite ends of ClpP peptidase to form active hybrid complexes. *J. Struct. Biol.* 146: 217–226.

Rezuchova, B., Miticka, H., Homerova, D., Roberts, M., and Kormanec, J. 2003. New members of the *Escherichia coli* σE regulon identified by a two-plasmid system. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 225: 1–7.

Rosenfeld, J., Capdevielle, J., Guilleminot, J.C., and Ferrara, P. 1992. In-gel digestion of proteins for internal sequence analysis after one- or two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. *Anal. Biochem.* 203: 173–179.

Song, H.K. and Eck, M.J. 2003. Structural basis of degradation signal recognition by SspB, a specificity-enhancing factor for the ClpXP proteolytic machine. *Mol. Cell* 12: 75–86.

Wah, D.A., Levchenko, I., Baker, T.A., and Sauer, R.T. 2002. Characterization of a specificity factor for an AAA+ ATPase: Assembly of SspB dimers with ssrA-tagged proteins and the ClpX hexamer. *Chem. Biol.* 9: 1237–1245.

Wah, D.A., Levchenko, I., Rieckhof, G.E., Bolon, D.N., Baker, T.A., and Sauer, R.T. 2003. Flexible linkers leash the substrate binding domain of SspB to a peptide module that stabilizes delivery complexes with the AAA+ ClpXP protease. *Mol. Cell* 12: 355–363.

Walsh, N.P., Alba, B.M., Bosc, B., Gross, C.A., and Sauer, R.T. 2003. OMP peptide signals initiate the envelope-stress response by activating DegS protease via relief of inhibition mediated by its PDZ domain. *Cell* 113: 61–71.

Wang, J., Hartling, J.A., and Flanagan, J.M. 1997. The structure of ClpP at 2.3 Å resolution suggests a model for ATP-dependent proteolysis. *Cell* 91: 447–456.

Wang, L., Elliott, M., and Elliott, T. 1999. Conditional stability of the HemA protein [glutamyl-tRNA reductase] regulates heme biosynthesis in *Salmonella typhimurium*. *J. Bacteriol.* 181: 1211–1219.

Weber-Ban, E.U., Reid, B.G., Miranker, A.D., and Horwich, A.L. 1999. Global unfolding of a substrate protein by the Hsp100 chaperone ClpA. *Nature* 401: 90–93.

Withey, J.H. and Friedman, D.I. 2003. A salvage pathway for protein structures: tmRNA and trans-translation. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 57: 101–123.

Wojtukowiak, D., Georgopoulos, C., and Zylicz, M. 1993. Isolation and characterization of ClpX, a new ATP-dependent specificity component of the Clp protease of *Escherichia coli*. *J. Biol. Chem.* 268: 22609–22617.

Zhou, Y. and Gottesman, S. 1998. Regulation of proteolysis of the stationary-phase σ factor RpoS. *J. Bacteriol.* 180: 1154–1158.
Modulating substrate choice: the SspB adaptor delivers a regulator of the extracytoplasmic-stress response to the AAA+ protease ClpXP for degradation

Julia M. Flynn, Igor Levchenko, Robert T. Sauer, et al.

*Genes Dev.* 2004, 18:
Access the most recent version at doi:10.1101/gad.1240104

References

This article cites 48 articles, 21 of which can be accessed free at: [http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/18/18/2292.full.html#ref-list-1](http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/18/18/2292.full.html#ref-list-1)

License

Email Alerting Service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article - sign up in the box at the top right corner of the article or [click here](http://genesdev.cshlp.org/content/18/18/2292.full.html#ref-list-1).