Test timed up and go and its correlation with age and functional exercise capacity in asymptomatic women
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Abstract

**Introduction**: The Timed Up and Go test (TUG) is widely used and valid in chronic patients, but rarely addressed in asymptomatic individuals. **Objective**: To assess the reliability, the age-related changes and the correlation between TUG and the Functional Exercise Capacity (FEC) adjusted for non-institutionalized middle-aged and elderly women. **Methods**: Ninety-eight women (57 ± 10 years) were selected and stratified into age groups. We have performed the tests TUG, Berg Balance Scale (BBS) and evaluation of usual gait speed (UGS). Fifty-eight participants (57 ± 10 years) also performed incremental shuttle walk test (ISWT). **Results**: Worse performance in TUG (p < 0.05) for participants aged ≥ 70 years for age groups 40-49 and 50-59 years. The reliability of TUG was excellent between the first and second TUG (intraclass correlation coefficient, 0.933; confidence interval of 95%, from 0.901 to 0.955) and between the second and third TUG (0.958, 0.938 to 0.972). The group of 58 participants who underwent further the ISWT, TUG correlated significantly (p <0.05) with ISWT (r = -0.72), VUM (r = -0.54) and BBS (r= 0.58). A multiple linear regression analysis selected TUG (R\(^2\) = 0.517) and VUM (R\(^2\) = 0.083) as determinants of FEC. **Conclusion**: TUG adapted
Introduction

In clinical practice, the use of field tests is a quick and inexpensive alternative to evaluate the functionality and mobility trunk and lower limbs in performing daily activities, such as walking (1 – 6), and support the adoption of measures and preventive strategies in attention to health care (2, 7).

Regarding field walking tests (3), Timed up and go (TUG) test is a simple operational tool and features associated with a higher gait speed, balance, functional index, overall health decline, inability to activities of daily living and falls (8 – 10).

It is suggested that there is a consistent correlation between TUG and another index from of balance, for example, the distance covered in the incremental shuttle walk test (ISWT) and performance on Berg Balance Scale (BBS) in adult individuals and asymptomatic elderly (3). This is due to the incremental nature of ISWT, which demands agility to execute the changes of direction, as well the association already established between performance on tests of walking and balance (3, 11). Individuals with a history of falls have lower performance, compared to people who did not fall (11).

TUG is widely used and valid in the evaluation of patients with chronic diseases (2). However, its measurements properties were little addressed in asymptomatic individuals. Evaluate the capacity of TUG to assess such individuals can be critical to determine the role of this test in the early detection of changes due to aging, which is usually indenfified later, for example, through muscle function evaluations despite its impact on mobility and functionality (12). When comes to women, this research is shown even more relevant as compared to men, they have lower levels of functionality and mobility (13, 14). Furthermore, the decline of those specified levels starts quickly from midlife. Based on this assumption, we hypothesized that TUG modified to asymptomatic adults is reliable and valid, and it can identify the decline of physical mobility through the age and correlate with FEC reviewed by ISWT.
Timed up and go test (TUG)

TUG was performed using a standard armchair which has a support for the spine and arms, and a timer, according to that described by Podsiadlo & Richardson (10). The test was performed in a flat course to 3m walk in closed and covered place indoors. The volunteers were instructed to support the back and arms, in the armchair; stand up, walk 3m, turn around, go back and sit down in the armchair again as soon as possible. The volunteers wore their usual footwear to perform the test. Each participant performed three tests, with 30s interval between them. The best time obtained from the three tests was considered performance score for this assessment.

The original test was slightly modified in this study. The only modification of the original TUF was the instruction to perform it “as soon as possible”. We have chosen this procedure due to the presence of asymptomatic individuals in our sample.

Incremental shuttle walking test (ISWT)

ISWT (1) consists of walking path 10m, delimited by two cones, in progressive speed, which increases 0.17 m/s every minute using a sound signal recorded on a CD, consisting of 12 stages of 1 minute each (1020 m). The original test was developed for patients with respiratory diseases, so we used the modified ISWT (6) to healthy. This test has 15 stages of one minute each (1500m), to prevent the “ceiling effect” that can occur during the test.

We oriented volunteers to increase their walking speed every minute until they were exhausted. If they reached the cone before the sound signal, they should remain at the cone and wait for the next signal. The end of the test was determined by the interruption of the person in test, for any reason, or by the assessor when the participant could not keep the demanded speed to complete the course when the beep sounded (i.e., > 0.5 m to the closest cone).

During the test, we also observed the presence of low cardiac output symptoms such as dyspnea, severe pain, dizziness, cold sweats, cramps etc.

Before and after the test completion, we measured heart rate, blood pressure and psychophysiological sense of effort, through Borg scale. Each participant performed two tests, with a 30 minutes interval. Due to the incremental character, the distance in meters obtained in the second test was recorded and subjected to data analysis.

Usual gait speed (UGS)

We used the timed 10 meters walking test (5) to evaluate the spatial and temporal gait parameters we instruct the individuals to start walking. It was 1,2m
before the start of the route and end 1.2m after, in usual speed. Three consecutive tests were performed in an interval corridor. A stopwatch was used to measure performance. The average of three tests, in m/s, was subjected to data analysis.

Berg balance scale (EEB)

This test consists of performing 14 common tasks to everyday life. Each task can be scored from 0 to 4 and, in the total, the test reaches 56 in the score. The higher the score, the better the performance is, and consequently the greater the balance is. Each task is evaluated and scores through the examiner observation (18).

Statistical analysis

Participants were initially characterized by descriptive analysis. Data are presented as mean, standard deviation or median (interquartile range), according to the normal or non-normal distribution, respectively. As mentioned before, a subsample was created to verify the correlation between TUG and ISWT. Among the total participants, 58 (57 ± 10 years) performed the walking test.

We used one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), with post hoc Tukey test, to identify differences in the mean values of TUG between age groups. Then, we evaluate TUG reliability through intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), and its confidence interval of 95% (CI 95%). In 58 participants, we evaluated the correlations between TUG, UGS, BBS and ISWT through Pearson’s correlation coefficient. Finally, multiple stepwise linear regression was performed, to assess TUG’s ability to predict FEC (i.e., ISWT). The probability of alpha error was set to 5%.

The sample size was estimated based on the significant difference between groups (19). We considered 1s, as a significant difference in TUG runtime and 1.2s, as the variability. The effect magnitude standardized is 0.83, resulting in approximately 26 participants in each group.

Results

Age, height, body mass, BMI, TUG performance, UGS and BBS of participants were presented as average and standard deviation (Table 1) and the subgroup which additionally held ISWT performed (Table 2).

| Table 1 - Participants general features (n=98) |
| Variables | Mean ± Standard Deviation |
|-----------|--------------------------|
| Age (Years) | 57 ± 10 |
| Body Mass (kg) | 67 ± 12 |
| Height (cm) | 155.2 ± 7 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 27.1 ± 4 |
| BTUG (s) | 6.6 ± 1.1 |
| UGS (m/s) | 1.4 ± 0.2 |
| BBS | 54 ± 2 |

Note: IMC = Body mass index; BTUG = better timed up and go test; UGS = usual gait speed; BBS = Berg balance scale.

| Table 2 - Participants general feature who performed incremental shuttle walk test (n=58) |
| Variables | Mean ± Standard Deviation |
|-----------|--------------------------|
| Age (Years) | 57 ± 10 |
| Body Mass (kg) | 69 ± 13 |
| Height (cm) | 154.1 ± 6 |
| BMI (kg/m²) | 29.2 ± 5 |
| BTUG (s) | 6.6 ± 0.9 |
| UGS (m/s) | 1.4 ± 0.2 |
| BBS | 54 ± 2 |
| ISWT (m) | 412 ± 84 |

Note: IMC = Body mass index; BTUG = better timed up and go test; UGS = usual gait speed; BBS = Berg balance scale; ISWT = incremental shuttle walk test.

Participants with age ≥ 70 showed worse TUG performance when compared to subgroups participants 40-49 and 50-59 years old. There was no significant difference related to participants from 60 to 69 years old (Figure 1).
Test timed up and go and its correlation with age and functional exercise capacity in asymptomatic women

Table 3 - Results of multiple regression analysis for prediction to covered distance on Incremental Shuttle Walk Test

| Variable | Coefficient | Standard Error | ΔR² | R² | P |
|----------|-------------|----------------|------|----|---|
| Constant | 567.796     | 103.408        | -    | -  | - |
| TUG      | -56.607     | 9.507          | 0.517| 0.517| 0.05|
| UGS      | 143.013     | 44.257         | 0.083| 0.601| 0.05|

Note: TUG = timed up and go test; UGS = usual gait speed; ΔR² = partial determination coefficient; R² = total model determination coefficient.

Table 4 - Intraclass "timed up and go"

|      | Confidence Interval 95% |     |     |
|------|-------------------------|-----|-----|
|      | Lower Limit | Upper Limit |     |     |
| TUG1 X TUG 2 | 0.933 | 0.901 | 0.955 |
| TUG2 X TUG 3 | 0.958 | 0.938 | 0.972 |

Note: ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient; TUG1 = 1º timed up and go test; TUG2 = 2º timed up and go test; TUG3 = teste timed up and go.

Discussion

In the present study, we verified that modified TUG can be useful to identify physical mobility decline through the age. Additionally, TUG showed significant correlations with UGS and with the balance, being able to predict FEC in non-institutionalized middle-aged women.

The reliability obtained in TUG test was excellent for the age group investigated. Our results were consistent with those reported for patients with chronic diseases (20 – 23). In 278 elderly patients with chronic heart failure, the ICC between TUG tests performed on two consecutive days was 0.93 (23). Despite the difference in test instruction, the result corresponded with our findings (23). Poncumhak et al. (22), found, among other field tests, excellent reliability (ICC 0.99 for running auxiliary device users and 1 without using running auxiliary device) of TUG to measure the independence of middle-aged patients with incomplete spinal Cord injury with and/or without a use of running auxiliary device. Similar to TUG, get up and go test also presented excellent interests reliability (ICC 0.98) for people with osteoarthritis (105 individuals - 80 women around 62.9±9 years old) (20). TUG was considered a reliable and effective for different sort of people, patients with chronic disease or mobility limitations, including middle-aged women. Thus, the test showed measures considered reproducible and clinical relevance for the studied sample.

We observed that participants performance in TUG decreased through aging. Participants between 40-49 and 50-59 years old showed much better performance than the ones around ≥ 70. Similar results
were observed in elderly from 60 years old (7, 10, 19, 24, 25). Some factors, such as mobility and agility decline, as well as neuromuscular changes (26), expected with advancing age, may explain the increase in time older women in performing TUG. Our results were confirmed, the previous study showed that increasing the time taken to perform TUG starts at 50 years old, due to reduced muscle strength of hip extensors, quadriceps and ankle extenders (12). It would be related to the progression of decreased strength which has different Standards for such muscle groups as well as individual aspects, taking the level of physical activity as an example (12, 27).

A meta-analysis that included 21 studies provided reference values about to the regular TUG test duration according to age. Thus, Bohannon (19) showed that individuals who spend more than 9 seconds, to 60-69 years old, 10.2 seconds to 70-79 years old, and 12.7 seconds to subjects at 80-99 years old, need some type of action related to muscle strength, balance, and/or mobility. Our sample is below those values in the strata 60-69 years old and ≥ 70 years old, being out of classification suggested for intervention. This is probably due to the fact of being healthy women without difficulties. Nevertheless, the cited studies (7, 10, 19, 25, 27, 28) reinforce the relevance of TUG performance on TUG and the ability to perform physical exercise and activities of daily living (8, 27).

In literature, there are differences in methodology in performing TUG (19), in some studies was used the instruction to weak as quickly as provide and in others the recommendation was to perform safely test. The sample used in our study was also made up of middle-aged adults (40 a 64 years old), the instruction to walk as quickly as possible may justify the performance difference among individuals at the same age between our study and the other mentioned studies (7, 10, 19, 24, 25), once the performance of our participants was better. Despite the use of the modified test, we observed a decline in TUG performance with advancing age, as aforesaid. According to the previous study (28), TUG performed with such modification, may provide some additional information, as it is also related to executive function measures, which involves visuospatial and verbal information. According to the authors, the clinical relevance of this finding relates to the acceleration of functional decline and disability due to executive dysfunction (28), demonstrating one more utility that can be attributed to the use of this field test.

In our study, we also evaluated the correlation between TUG and FEC (i.e., ISWT) and obtained a significant negative correlation between the two of them, since the smaller the distance on the ISWT, the greater the time spent to perform TUG. In order to perform ISWT, the individual must more and change direction, requiring agility and balance to achieve it, as well as the TUG. Recent studies have shown ISWT correlation with balance (3, 4, 29) and occurrence of falls (4). Our results showed that the lower the score of BBS, the greater the TUG runtime. According to McGough et al. (28), to run slower performance can be a compensatory strategy in an attempt to maintain the accuracy in performing daily activities. Thus, our results support findings from previous studies.

Al Haddad et al. (30) point out that TUG runtime also correlates inversely with the 6-minute walk test (6WT) and it shows a sensitivity and specificity in relation to the history of falls in the previous year in patients with DPOC. Hwang et al. (23) suggest that 66% of the total variance of TUG in patients with chronic heart failure are due to the distance in 6WT and age. Thus, a better performance is associated with greater TUG distance in 6WT (23, 24) and also to the fastest time in 10m test (23), confirming our findings regarding the correlation between TUG and UGS.

Still relating to TUG and UGS correlation, Viccaro et al. (9) have suggested that both scores are useful especially when compared fastest performance of subjects with other considered intermediate and/or slower, so it was shown that the worsening of performance is associated with functional decline, even after adjusting for age and baseline function. Novaes et al. (5), have shown that there is a decline in UGS with increasing, which was also consistent with the increased time required to perform TUG in our study. Thus would be related to reduced muscle strength and speed of contraction resulting from the aging, resulting in loss of mobility and therefore independence to perform daily activities (26).

Furthermore, these studies (9, 23, 27, 30) contribute to strengthening our argument for the use of field tests and their clinical relevance to detect damage to the mobility and functionality of individuals. We also emphasize that while reductions in muscle strength and functionality are simultaneous, as a result of the aging process, reduced functional capacity may be detected before, as suggested by Akbari e Mousavikhatir (12), and tests, such as the 10m walking test, TUG
and ISWT may be used to screen for the purpose of discriminating individuals at risk (9).

The limitations of this study should be considered. Our sample is only composed of women. Due to the low interest of males in the study, we chose to present only the female results. According to Gomes et al. (31), the demand for health services and health promotion initiatives is lower among men compared to women. The authors suggest that this behavior related to care still refers to the female gender, which may explain the lack of male volunteers in our study (31). Although our results can not be exceeded to men in this age group, the incidence of falls is higher in women (4). Therefore, our results are useful for the design of preventive strategies for middle-aged women and asymptomatic elderly.

The variables assessed in this study, such as mobility, balance and FEC are of utmost importance regarding the aging process, since the appearance of possible muscular strength deficits, functional capacity, and postural control lead to loss of independence and increase the number of risk factor for falls (10, 32 - 34). Therefore, approaches to assist in the investigation of possible risk factors and changes resulting from the aging process, are clinically relevant for identifying functional impairment. As TUG, is a simple and quick test to perform, it may be useful to identify early mobility deficit in asymptomatic elderly and enables the development and implementation of preventive strategies of attention to health care of this population.

**Conclusion**

We conclude that the time of TUG is one of the determinants of FEC obtained in ISWT. In addition, TUG modified for middle-aged women and asymptomatic elderly is reliable and able to assess the decline of physical mobility with advancing age.
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