Capnometric feedback training decreases 24-h blood pressure in hypertensive postmenopausal women
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Abstract

Background: High normal resting pCO₂ is a risk factor for salt sensitivity of blood pressure (BP) in normotensive humans and has been associated with higher resting systolic BP in postmenopausal women. To date, however, no known studies have investigated the effects of regular practice of voluntary mild hypocapnic breathing on BP in hypertensive patients. The objective of the present research was to test the hypothesis that capnometric feedback training can decrease both resting pCO₂ and 24-h BP in a series of mildly hypertensive postmenopausal women.

Methods: A small portable end tidal CO₂ (etCO₂) monitor was constructed and equipped with software that determined the difference between the momentary etCO₂ and a pre-programmed criterion range. The monitor enabled auditory feedback for variations in CO₂ outside the criterion range. 16 mildly hypertensive postmenopausal women were individually trained to sustain small decreases in etCO₂ during six weekly sessions in the clinic and daily sessions at home. 24-h BP monitoring was conducted before and after the intervention, and in 16 prehypertensive postmenopausal women in a control group who did not engage in the capnometric training.

Results: Following the intervention, all 16 capnometric training participants showed decreases in resting etCO₂ (−4.3 ± 0.4 mmHg; p < .01) while 15 showed decreases in 24-h systolic BP (−7.6 ± 2.0 mmHg; p < .01). No significant changes in either measure was observed in the control group. In addition, nighttime (−9.5 ± 2.6; p < .01) and daytime (−6.7 ± 0.2 mmHg) systolic BP were both decreased following capnometric training, while no significant changes in nighttime (−2.8 ± 2.2 mmHg; p = .11) or daytime (−0.7 ± 1.0 mmHg; p ≤ .247) systolic BP were observed in the control group.

Conclusions: These findings support the hypothesis that regular practice of mild hypocapnic breathing that decreases resting etCO₂ reliably decreases 24-h blood pressure in hypertensive postmenopausal women. The extent to which these effects persist beyond the training period or can be observed in other hypertensive subgroups remains to be investigated.
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Introduction

Primary hypertension is chronically elevated blood pressure (BP) of unknown origin. It is the most common cardiovascular disorder, and a major risk factor for stroke and coronary heart disease [1]. Epidemiological research has shown hypertension to be a “disease of civilization” [2], a conclusion supported by studies showing that the
rate of rise in BP with age and the incidence of hypertension is higher in women living in urban settings than in cloistered environments, matched for demographic variables and diet [3]. The physiological mechanism by which chronic social stress can increase the set point for BP over time has long eluded clarification, however.

Ever since the discovery of the “fight or flight” response almost a century ago [4], investigators have been interested in the role of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) in the pathogenesis of primary hypertension. That the maintenance of hypertension involves increased SNS activity in some patients [5, 6] is consistent with this view. Subsequently, clinically oriented studies addressed the blood pressure (BP) effects of a slowed breathing rate that decreases SNS activity. Preliminary successes were reported in several studies which showed that breathing interventions could decrease resting BP (reviewed by Shi et al. [7] and Park et al. [8]). However, none of these studies reported decreases in 24-h BP, leading some to conclude that the era of breathing interventions in hypertension was over [9].

Others have observed, however, that the decreases in rate during breathing interventions are typically offset by proportional increases in tidal volume (breathing depth) that maintain pCO2 at or near pre-intervention baselines [10]. Breathing exercises that maintain stable pCO2 are not the only possible means by which the set point for BP might be modified. It has been known for many years that voluntary hypocapnic breathing that decreases pCO2 also decreases BP acutely [11]. Conversely, it has also been reported that voluntary increases in pCO2 within the normal range sustained for 30 min by capnometric feedback increased BP acutely in normotensive men [12]. In neither study was heart rate changed. In addition, previous research has found that high resting pCO2 is a risk factor for BP sensitivity to high sodium intake in older [13] and younger [14] normotensive individuals.

To date, no studies have examined the effects of breathing exercises that reliably decrease pCO2 on 24-h BP. In the present study, the effects of regular practice of a mild hypocapnic breathing task on BP were investigated in a series of postmenopausal women with hypertensive BP levels. (In this report, the terms hypocapnic (decreased) and hypercapnic (increased) refer to the direction of change in pCO2, not levels beyond the normal range.) The selection of women as participants was based on the results of previous research that found a significant positive correlation between resting pCO2 and resting BP in postmenopausal women, but not age-matched men [15]. The correlation was found to be greater in women who tended to inhibit the expression of anger [16]. The present study tested the hypothesis that regular practice of a mild hypocapnic breathing exercise by hypertensive postmenopausal women would over time result in decreases in resting etCO2 together with decreases in 24-h systolic BP.

Methods

Recruitment, screening, and pre-intervention monitoring in the clinic and natural environment

Participants were recruited via the Kaiser Permanente, Northern California (KPNC) health system using a computer algorithm that identified potential candidates via inclusion and exclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria included (a) English speaking females 50–75 years at the time of entry, at least 1 year without menstrual cycle, body mass index (BMI): 19–31, and 24-h systolic BP 140 > 150 mmHg. Exclusion criteria included a history of respiratory, cardiovascular, liver or kidney disease, or diabetes; current medication that influences respiratory function, including benzodiazepines, narcotics or tranquilizers; steroid use within the past 6 months, including hormone replacement therapy; and recent tobacco use. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of University of California, San Francisco (UCSF) and the Kaiser Foundation Research Institute (KFRI). Letters describing the study were mailed to potential candidates. Those who indicated interest were screened by telephone interview to confirm inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Twenty-six candidates were invited for in-person physiological screening. During a first pre-intervention screening session, study objectives and procedures were described, and informed consent was obtained. Monitoring of breath-to-breath respiratory variables occurred during 25 min of seated rest in a recliner chair in a quiet room. etCO2 and breathing rate were measured via a nasal cannula attached to a battery-powered respiratory gas monitor that had been customized for continuous recording. To avoid the influence of digestion on etCO2, candidates were asked to fast for 2 h before the session. The capnometer was calibrated before each clinic session using a known CO2 concentration. Measurements of systolic and diastolic BP and heart rate were recorded every 6 min, using an ambulatory monitor (Space Labs, Model 90207, Redmond, WA). The first BP measurement was discarded and means of the remaining measurements were averaged. After this 25 min session, the candidate wore the ambulatory BP monitor for the next 24 h in the natural environment, during which BP and heart rate were recorded once per hour.

Each candidate returned to the clinic setting within 72 h. If the 24-h SBP or DBP mean was outside the inclusion range, the candidate was excused and referred to her physician, as appropriate. For qualifying candidates, a second 25 min clinic baseline session was conducted,
with means of each resting measure calculated as in the first session. Of the 26 participating women, the first 10 were studied with a contingency that involved auditory feedback only when etCO2 decreased below the lower limit of the criterion range (three to seven mmHg below the individual resting baseline level). When this contingency proved inadequate to generate consistently effective decreases in etCO2 within that range, a more complex contingency was instated whereby auditory feedback was presented whenever etCO2 drifted above or below the criterion range. The auditory stimulus was terminated as soon as etCO2 returned within the criterion range. The data analyzed in the present study were obtained from the 16 women who were trained under this latter contingency.

Prior to the onset of capnometric feedback training, each participant was advised to maintain their normal daily exercise regimens and diet throughout the study.

Control group
Data from 16 prehypertensive postmenopausal women with 24 h mean systolic BP between 130 and 140 mmHg were selected from a larger group of women who were serving as control subjects in a different ongoing study. These participants had also been recruited from KPNC and were screened to establish eligibility for participation via review of medical records and a personal interview, as had been conducted for individuals in the capnometric training group. The same inclusion and exclusion criteria were employed. Their baseline and follow-up respiratory and cardiovascular measures were determined by the same methods as for the capnometric feedback group. The interval between these measurements was equivalent to those employed for the capnometric group. These participants were also advised to maintain their normal physical exercise and dietary habits.

Capnometric feedback training
Participants who qualified for the study completed six weekly clinic training sessions during which they attempted to sustain etCO2 five mmHg below individual resting mean levels. Each training session was preceded by a 10 min rest interval, followed by a 25-min period during which the feedback contingency was in effect. At the detection of each new breath, the capnometer calculated mean etCO2 for all breaths during the preceding 15 s. Each recorded value was compared with the criterion range that was 3–7 mmHg below the individual baseline mean etCO2. When the observed 15 s mean etCO2 drifted above or below the criterion range, a non-aversive auditory stimulus was onset that remained in effect until etCO2 re-entered the criterion range.

During the first training session, an instructor remained in the room with the participant, encouraging her to adjust breathing depth as needed to stay within the criterion range of etCO2 while maintaining a normal breathing rate. During all subsequent training sessions, the participant engaged in the feedback training task while alone. During each session, etCO2 and breathing rate were determined from inter-breath intervals, while systolic and diastolic BP, and heart rate, were recorded every 6 min.

Following each clinic session, the participant took the portable etCO2 monitor home for regular practice sessions, 5 days per week. As during clinic sessions, the participant was instructed to rest for 10 min preceding the 25 min training sessions at home. During the home sessions, etCO2 and breathing rate were monitored continuously, but BP and heart rate were not recorded.

Post-intervention monitoring in the natural environment
After completion of the capnometric training sessions, a post-intervention 25 min rest session was completed in the clinic, during which etCO2 and breathing rate were recorded, while systolic and diastolic BP and heart rate were monitored every 6 min. Following this session, ambulatory BP and heart rate were monitored once per hour for the next 24 h in the natural environment. During this 24-h post-intervention period, etCO2 and breathing rate were recorded during a 25 min rest session at home. The means of the clinic and home rest sessions were averaged, providing post-intervention outcome data.

Data analysis
The analysis of the data included calculation of the significance of the correlation coefficients for successive means for each measure across sessions for the capnometric group with training session number. The significance of the differences between baseline and post-intervention means for each measure was determined via two-tailed t-tests for independent samples for both the capnometric training and control group. The significance of the differences between groups in each measure was determined via two tailed t-tests (Tables 2 and 3) and chi square for consistency of direction of change (Table 2). STATA Version 12 was used to perform the statistical tests.

Results
Baseline demographics and physiological measures for the intervention and control groups
Table 1 shows that baseline means of age, body mass index, body surface area, resting etCO2, resting breathing rate, 24-h diastolic pressure and 24-h heart rate for the capnometric training group and the control group were not significantly different. Baseline mean 24-mmHg
systolic pressure was higher in the capnometric feedback group than in the control group. However, baseline daytime mean systolic BP in the capnometric feedback group was not significantly different from that in the control group (see Table 3).

**Performance**

Figure 1 shows that percent time breathing within the criterion range for the feedback group increased progressively from the first to the sixth clinic training session (r = 0.92; p < 0.01).

**EtCO2 and breathing rate in the capnometric training group**

The upper panel of Fig. 2 shows a significant downward trend in mean etCO2 over the course of the six clinic feedback sessions (r = −0.90; p < 0.01). It also shows significant differences in resting etCO2 between baseline and post-intervention monitoring in the feedback group, but not in the control group (Fig. 2 and Table 2). The decrease in etCO2 in the feedback group was significantly greater than in the control group (Table 2).

The lower panel of Fig. 2 shows no significant trend in mean breathing rate over the course of the six clinic feedback sessions (r = 0.53; p = 0.36). However, breathing rate following the intervention was decreased from baseline values (Table 2). Figure 2 and Table 2 show no significant differences between groups in breathing rate between baseline and follow-up monitoring (Table 2).
Table 2 Means and standard errors (S.E.) of each physiological measure before and after the capnometric training intervention (n = 16) and in the control group (n = 16), the significance of the differences in the post-intervention changes in each measure, and the significance of the differences between capnometric training and control groups

| Group             | Baseline mean ± S.E | After mean ± S.E | Δ [Mean ± S.E] | p       | Between group Δ | χ² | p       |
|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|----|---------|
| **Capnometric Group** |                     |                  |                |         |                 |    |         |
| End tidal CO₂     | 37.0±0.9            | 32.6±1.4         | −4.3±0.4       | p<.01   | 11.22           |    | p<.01   |
| Breathing rate    | 14.8±0.6            | 14.3±0.7         | −0.5±0.2       | p<.01   | 0.13            |    | p=.72   |
| Systolic BP       | 144.2±0.9           | 136.6±1.1        | −7.6±2.0       | p<.01   | 6.78            |    | p<.01   |
| Diastolic BP      | 78.4±1.9            | 76.8±1.7         | −1.6±1.3       | p=.42   | 0.58            |    | p=.44   |
| Heart rate        | 67.9±1.7            | 67.9±0.1         | +3.7±1.8       | p=.62   | 0.14            |    | p=.71   |
| **Control Group** |                     |                  |                |         |                 |    |         |
| End tidal CO₂     | 37.0±0.6            | 36.7±0.6         | −0.3±0.1       | p=.43   |                 |    |         |
| Breathing rate    | 14.4±0.8            | 15.4±0.8         | +1.0±0.9       | p=.14   |                 |    |         |
| Systolic BP       | 138.3±0.4           | 137.0±1.3        | −1.3±1.3       | p=.65   |                 |    |         |
| Diastolic BP      | 78.2±1.4            | 78.2±1.3         | 0.0±1.2        | p=.65   |                 |    |         |
| Heart rate        | 70.6±1.9            | 71.5±2.0         | +0.9±1.1       | p=.52   |                 |    |         |

End tidal CO₂ = mmHg, Breathing rate = breaths/minute, Systolic and Diastolic BP = mmHg, Heart rate = bpm, Δ = difference score, χ² = Chi square

Systolic and diastolic blood pressure and heart rate in the capnometric training group
The upper panel of Fig. 3 shows that group mean systolic BP decreased progressively across the six clinic training sessions (r = 0.91; p < .01). Table 2 also shows that 24-h mean systolic BP was lower during post-intervention monitoring than during baseline monitoring (Table 2). It also shows that the probability of a decrease in systolic BP in the intervention group was significantly greater than in the control group (X² = 5.7; p < .009).

The middle panel of Fig. 3 shows no significant trend in diastolic BP change across the six clinic training sessions (r = −0.73; p > 0.145), and that group 24-h diastolic mean BP was not significantly different during post-intervention monitoring than at baseline (Table 2).

The lower panel of Fig. 3 shows no significant trend in mean heart rate change across the six clinic training sessions (r = −0.53; p > 0.229), no significant change in breathing rate following the intervention, and no significant difference between groups (Table 2).

Respiratory and cardiovascular changes in the control group and between groups
Figure 2 and Table 2 show no significant mean changes in the control group in resting etCO₂ or breathing rate during follow-up clinic monitoring. They also show no significant changes in 24-h systolic or diastolic pressure, or 24-h heart rate in the control group. The differences between capnometric training and control groups in mean changes in etCO₂ and 24-h systolic BP were both significant (Table 2). The differences between groups in the changes in clinic breathing rate, 24-h diastolic BP, and heart rate were not significant.

Blood pressure and heart rate changes during the nighttime and daytime
The upper section of Table 3 shows means and standard errors of systolic and diastolic pressure, and heart rate, for the 8-h night and 16 h day in the capnometric feedback group following the intervention. Significant pre-post changes in systolic pressure were observed during the nighttime and daytime, and in diastolic pressure during the nighttime. Group differences in change in nighttime and daytime systolic pressure were significant, as were the changes for nighttime diastolic pressure. No significant nighttime or daytime changes in heart rate were observed.

The lower section of Table 3 shows means and standard errors of nighttime and daytime systolic and diastolic pressure and heart rate in the control group. No significant pre-post changes in 24-h systolic, diastolic pressure or heart rate were observed during the day or at night for either group.

Discussion
This study found that 6 weeks of regular practice of hypocapnic breathing reliably decreased 24-h systolic BP in postmenopausal hypertensive women. This is the first application of capnometric feedback applied to hypertensive patients, but far from the first to investigate the effects of instructed deep breathing on BP. Thirteen studies of regular deep breathing effects on resting BP have been reviewed recently [17]. In general, the procedures
in those studies involved instructions to breathe deeply at a normal rate. Most reported decreases in resting BP and heart rate and concluded that the effects must have been mediated by decreases in sympathetic nervous system (SNS) activity. Three measured 24-h BP but found no significant BP changes following the intervention. None measured pCO2. The present study differs from previous studies in that the sessions were longer in duration (25 min rather than 10 min), recorded etCO2 continuously, and employed contingencies for the maintenance of breathing depths within or near the normal range of etCO2. Since 24-h ambulatory heart rate was increased following this intervention, the findings are consistent with the conclusion that the changes in 24-h BP were mediated via decreases in peripheral vascular resistance.

24-h blood pressure monitoring is considered a better index of the set point than resting BP, because it is less susceptible to the confounding effects of “white coat hypertension” and “masked hypertension” and is more prognostic of heart and vascular disease [18]. A subnormal magnitude of nocturnal “dipping” in BP from daytime levels has been shown to be a risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, independently of BP level [19, 20]. In the present study, nocturnal “dipping” of BP before and after the capnometric feedback training was compared with that in the control group. Capnometric feedback training decreased the systolic BP during the day and at night in the feedback group, but no significant day or night changes were observed in the control group. No significant changes in “dipping” of nighttime blood pressure were observed.

The association between the changes in pCO2 and BP found in this study can also be seen in other disorders. For example, obstructive sleep apnea is associated with recurrent episodes of both hypoxia and hypercapnia [21]. Hypoxia increases SNS activity acutely [22], but repeated hypercapnic episodes can also over time increase daytime resting pCO2 [23]. In addition, respiratory failure in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease has been associated with increases in both pCO2 and BP, and successful treatment is accompanied by a return of both pCO2 and BP to pre-failure levels [24].

Individual differences in resting pCO2 are a function of several psychological factors. Lower levels have been associated with anxiety [25, 26], while higher levels have been associated with a tendency to worry [16]. Resting pCO2 is known to decrease with age, perhaps in response to age-associated decreases in plasma pH [27]. In addition, it tends to be higher in African Americans than Caucasian Americans [28]. The set point for pCO2 can change over time in a process known as allostasis, which can override the corrective action of homeostasis [29, 30]. For example, when resting etCO2 was measured 7 days and 6 months after an initial baseline session, no significant group mean changes were observed, but test–retest correlations were lower after 6 months than after 1 week [31]. Previous studies of capnometric feedback training to increase low normal resting etCO2 have shown it to be effective in ameliorating panic disorder [32, 33] and asthma [34].

In the natural environment, acute changes in pCO2 can occur under two behavioral conditions that might over time contribute to disease pathogenesis (reviewed by
Sikter et al. [35, 36]). First, acute increases in pCO2 occur when increases in parasympathetic activity decrease heart rate and ventilation during sustained attention to the external environment. Sustained vigilance serves as a brake on the motor system and prepares the individual for fight or flight [37]. It can be of brief duration or extended over time by experience and conditioning. Second, acute decreases in pCO2 occur in a context of SNS arousal which redistributes blood flow to the heart, lungs, and skeletal muscle to support fight or flight. For many years, fight or flight was believed by some to participate in the pathogenesis of hypertension [38], but less attention was paid to the role of the increased pCO2 during sustained vigilance as a possible mediating mechanism.

Regarding design, this study sought to determine the consistency with which behaviorally engineered changes in one physiological variable reliably resulted in changes in another physiological variable in individual patients. That all 16 participants showed decreases in resting etCO2 while 15 showed post-intervention decreases in 24-h systolic BP indicates a strong association between the two. The untreated control group of postmenopausal women was added to document that repeated measurements of 24-h BP separated in time by at least 4 weeks do not result in significant changes in 24-h BP in the absence of an intervention [39].

A limitation of the study is that the findings apply only to one subgroup of patients, namely, hypertensive postmenopausal women. They cannot necessarily be extended to men. When estrogen is diminished during menopause, the incidence of hypertension in women rises to become comparable to that of men [40]. In older persons, the association between resting pCO2 and resting BP is significant in women, but not in men [15]. Whether capnometric feedback of etCO2 might decrease 24-h BP in older hypertensive men needs to be investigated.

Another limitation of the study is that the intervention and control groups had different baseline systolic BP

---

### Table 3

Means and standard errors for systolic and diastolic blood pressure, and heart rate during nighttime and daytime ambulatory monitoring of 16 hypertensive and 16 control post-menopausal women

|                      | Baseline | After | Δ   | p     | Between group Δ |
|----------------------|----------|-------|-----|-------|-----------------|
| **Baseline**         |          |       |     |       |                 |
| **Capnometric training** |          |       |     |       |                 |
| **Systolic BP**      |          |       |     |       |                 |
| Night                | 137.0±3.3| 127.5±2.6| −9.5±2.6| p<.001| p<.03           |
| Day                  | 147.8±3.0| 141.1±3.2| −6.7±0.2| p<.001| p<.01           |
| Dipping              | 10.8±2.8 | 13.6±2.2 | 2.8±3.1 | p<.191| p<.19           |
| **Diastolic BP**     |          |       |     |       |                 |
| Night                | 75.1±2.1 | 70.6±2.0 | −4.5±1.1| p<.001| p<.03           |
| Day                  | 82.8±1.8 | 80.0±1.9 | −2.8±1.5| p<.784| p<.19           |
| Dipping              | 7.1±2.0  | 9.4±1.3  | 2.3±1.5 | p<.073| p<.01           |
| **Heart rate**       |          |       |     |       |                 |
| Night                | 63.3±1.1 | 65.2±0.9 | 1.8±1.2 | p<.077| p<.10           |
| Day                  | 68.9±1.8 | 69.3±1.4 | 0.4±1.2 | p<.372| p<.72           |
| Dipping              | 5.5±1.0  | 4.1±1.1  | −1.4±1.2| p<.132| p<.33           |
| **Control**          |          |       |     |       |                 |
| **Systolic BP**      |          |       |     |       |                 |
| Night                | 129.0±2.8| 126.2±2.7| −2.8±2.2| p<.112|                 |
| Day                  | 142.9±2.4| 142.4±3.3| −0.7±1.0| p<.247|                 |
| Dipping              | 14.5±2.8 | 17.4±1.8 | 2.8±2.8 | p<.166|                 |
| **Diastolic BP**     |          |       |     |       |                 |
| Night                | 72.0±2.1 | 71.0±2.0 | −1.0±1.2| p<.209|                 |
| Day                  | 81.2±2.1 | 81.8±2.5 | 0.6±1.6 | p<.354|                 |
| Dipping              | 10.0±1.9 | 7.2±1.8  | 2.8±2.2 | p<.002|                 |
| **Heart rate**       |          |       |     |       |                 |
| Night                | 65.9±1.3 | 66.7±1.2 | 0.8±1.3 | p<.275|                 |
| Day                  | 72.9±1.3 | 74.0±1.8 | 1.0±1.2 | p<.209|                 |
| Dipping              | 7.3±1.6  | 6.9±1.2  | 0.4±1.4 | p<.391|                 |

Systolic and Diastolic BP = mmHg, Heart rate = bpm

Δ = difference score
levels, but comparable (i.e., prehypertensive) levels following the intervention or control wait-list period. The development of the portable etCO₂ monitor and associated methodology required to document the effects of capnometric feedback training did not leave adequate time for recruitment of another group of unmedicated hypertensives fitting the inclusion and exclusion criteria within the grant funded study period. However, a group of unmedicated prehypertensive postmenopausal women with identical inclusion and exclusion criteria was available who had previously participated as controls in a different study. It should be noted that the daytime BP at baseline in the control group in the present study was not significantly different from that in the intervention group (Table 3). Other research on breathing interventions in hypertension have provided comparable findings involving hypertensive control groups that had shown no significant changes in 24-h mean BP following the wait list period [41, 42].

A third limitation of the study is that the findings apply only to the time period during which the scheduled practice of hypocapnic breathing was in effect. Follow up data will be needed to determine whether the decreases in 24-h BP persist beyond the termination of the intervention.

More generally, hypertension is thought to be a disorder of long-term sodium regulation involving an interaction between dietary sodium intake and factors that impair the ability of the kidneys to restore and maintain normal plasma volume and/or vascular tone [43–45]. The salt sensitive form of hypertension has been reported to occur more frequently in women than in men [46]. Previous studies have not examined the role of resting pCO₂ in the maintenance of BP in hypertensive patients, but a role for pCO₂ in the pathogenesis of a salt sensitive form of experimental hypertension has been documented in studies with large laboratory animals [47]. Together, these findings suggest that capnometric feedback training that decreases resting pCO₂ might be effective in the management of salt-sensitive forms of primary hypertension.

Conclusions
This study found that 6 weeks of daily sessions of capnometric feedback training that decreased resting etCO₂ in hypertensive postmenopausal women was accompanied by significant decreases in systolic 24-h blood pressure, a marker for long-term BP. The study was made possible by the development of a portable etCO₂ monitor equipped with software that determined whether current etCO₂ was within a pre-programed criterion range and provided contingent auditory feedback. This new technology has the potential to provide a non-pharmacological intervention for some groups of hypertensive patients that might be useful in the management of this most common cardiovascular disorder.
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