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Abstract

Recent studies have shown that a hybrid of self-attention networks (SANS) and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) outperforms both individual architectures, while not much is known about why the hybrid models work. With the belief that modeling hierarchical structure is an essential complementary between SANS and RNNs, we propose to further enhance the strength of hybrid models with an advanced variant of RNNs – Ordered Neurons LSTM (ON-LSTM, Shen et al., 2019), which introduces a syntax-oriented inductive bias to perform tree-like composition. Experimental results on the benchmark machine translation task show that the proposed approach outperforms both individual architectures and a standard hybrid model. Further analyses on targeted linguistic evaluation and logical inference tasks demonstrate that the proposed approach indeed benefits from a better modeling of hierarchical structure.

1 Introduction

Self-attention networks (SANS, Lin et al., 2017) have advanced the state of the art on a variety of natural language processing (NLP) tasks, such as machine translation (Vaswani et al., 2017), semantic role labelling (Tan et al., 2018), and language representations (Devlin et al., 2018). However, a previous study empirically reveals that the hierarchical structure of the input sentence, which is essential for language understanding, is not well modeled by SANS (Tran et al., 2018). Recently, hybrid models which combine the strengths of SANS and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) have outperformed both individual architectures on a machine translation task (Chen et al., 2018). We attribute the improvement to that RNNs complement SANS on the representation limitation of hierarchical structure, which is exactly the strength of RNNs (Tran et al., 2018).

Starting with this intuition, we propose to further enhance the representational power of hybrid models with an advanced RNNs variant – Ordered Neurons LSTM (ON-LSTM, Shen et al., 2019). ON-LSTM is better at modeling hierarchical structure by introducing a syntax-oriented inductive bias, which enables RNNs to perform tree-like composition by controlling the update frequency of neurons. Specifically, we stack SANS encoder on top of ON-LSTM encoder (cascaded encoder). SANS encoder is able to extract richer representations from the input augmented with structure context. To reinforce the strength of modeling hierarchical structure, we propose to simultaneously expose both types of signals by explicitly combining outputs of the SANS and ON-LSTM encoders.

We validate our hypothesis across a range of tasks, including machine translation, targeted linguistic evaluation, and logical inference. While machine translation is a benchmark task for deep learning models, the last two tasks focus on evaluating how much structure information is encoded in the learned representations. Experimental results show that the proposed approach consistently improves performances in all tasks, and modeling hierarchical structure is indeed an essential complementary between SANS and RNNs.

The contributions of this paper are:

• We empirically demonstrate that a better modeling of hierarchical structure is an essential strength of hybrid models over the vanilla SANS.

• Our study proves that the idea of augmenting RNNs with ordered neurons (Shen et al., 2019) produces promising improvement on machine translation, which is one potential criticism of ON-LSTM.
2 Approach

Partially motivated by Wang et al. (2016) and Chen et al. (2018), we stack a SANs encoder on top of a RNNs encoder to form a cascaded encoder. In the cascaded encoder, hierarchical structure modeling is enhanced in the bottom RNNs encoder, based on which SANs encoder is able to extract representations with richer hierarchical information. Let $X = \{x_1, \ldots, x_N\}$ be the input sequence, the representation of the cascaded encoder is calculated by

$$
H^K_{\text{RNNs}} = \text{ENC}_{\text{RNNs}}(X),
$$

$$
H^L_{\text{SANs}} = \text{ENC}_{\text{SANs}}(H^K_{\text{RNNs}}),
$$

where $\text{ENC}_{\text{RNNs}}(\cdot)$ is a $K$-layer RNNs encoder that reads the input sequence, and $\text{ENC}_{\text{SANs}}(\cdot)$ is a $L$-layer SANs encoder that takes the output of RNNs encoder as input.

In this work, we replace the standard RNNs with recently proposed ON-LSTM for better modeling of hierarchical structure, and directly combine the two encoder outputs to build even richer representations, as described below.

Modeling Hierarchical Structure with Ordered Neurons  ON-LSTM introduces a new syntax-oriented inductive bias – Ordered Neurons, which enables LSTM models to perform tree-like composition without breaking its sequential form (Shen et al., 2019). Ordered neurons enables dynamic allocation of neurons to represent different time-scale dependencies by controlling the update frequency of neurons. The assumption behind ordered neurons is that some neurons always update more (or less) frequently than the others, and that order is pre-determined as part of the model architecture. Formally, ON-LSTM introduces novel ordered neuron rules to update cell state:

$$
w_t = f_t \circ \hat{c}_t,
$$

$$
\hat{f}_t = f_t \circ w_t + (\hat{f}_t - w_t),
$$

$$
\hat{i}_t = i_t \circ w_t + (\hat{i}_t - w_t),
$$

$$
\hat{c}_t = f_t \circ c_{t-1} + \hat{i}_t \circ \hat{c}_t,
$$

where forget gate $f_t$, input gate $i_t$ and state $\hat{c}_t$ are same as that in the standard LSTM (Hochreiter and Schmidhuber, 1997). The master forget gate $\hat{f}_t$ and the master input gate $\hat{i}_t$ are newly introduced to control the erasing and the writing behaviors respectively. $w_t$ indicates the overlap, and when the overlap exists ($\exists k, w_{tk} > 0$), the corresponding neurons are further controlled by the standard gates $f_t$ and $i_t$.

An ideal master gate is in binary format such as $(0, 0, 1, 1, 1)$, which splits the cell state into two continuous parts: 0-part and 1-part. The neurons corresponding to 0-part and 1-part are updated with more and less frequencies separately, so that the information in 0-part neurons will only keep a few time steps, while the information in 1-part neurons will last for more time steps. Since such binary gates are not differentiable, the goal turns to find the splitting point $d$ (the index of the first 1 in the ideal master gate). To this end, Shen et al. (2019) introduced a new activation function:

$$
\text{CU}(\cdot) = \text{CUMSUM}(\text{softmax}(\cdot)),
$$

where $\text{softmax}(\cdot)$ produces a probability distribution (e.g. (0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.2, 0.1)) to indicate the probability of each position being the splitting point $d$. CUMSUM is the cumulative probability distribution, in which the $k$-th probability refers to the probability that $d$ falls within the first $k$ positions. The output for the above example is (0.1, 0.3, 0.7, 0.9, 1.0), in which different values denotes different update frequencies. It also equals to the probability of each position's value being 1 in the ideal master gate. Since this ideal master gate is binary, $\text{CU}(\cdot)$ is the expectation of the ideal master gate.

Based on this activation function, the master gates are defined as

$$
\hat{f}_t = \text{CU}_f(x_t, h_{t-1}),
$$

$$
\hat{i}_t = 1 - \text{CU}_i(x_t, h_{t-1}),
$$

where $x_t$ is the current input and $h_{t-1}$ is the hidden state of previous step. $\text{CU}_f$ and $\text{CU}_i$ are two individual activation functions with their own trainable parameters.

Short-Cut Connection  Inspired by previous work on exploiting deep representations (Peters et al., 2018; Dou et al., 2018), we propose to simultaneously expose both types of signals by explicitly combining them with a simple short-cut connection (He et al., 2016).

Similar to positional encoding injection in Transformer (Vaswani et al., 2017), we add the output of the ON-LSTM encoder to the output of SANs encoder:

$$
\hat{H} = H^K_{\text{ON-LSTM}} + H^L_{\text{SANs}},
$$
A state-of-the-art SAN on top of T2016. We implemented the proposed approaches with 32K word-piece vocabulary (Sennrich et al., 2016). We encoded using byte-pair encoding (BPE) on both the Base and reproduced their reported results. We tested work (Vaswani et al., 2017) to train the models, followed the setting in previous translation, and maintained the performance (Row 6). We attribute these to the strength of ON-LSTM in modeling hierarchical structure, which we believe is an essential complementarity between SANs and RNNs. In addition, the Short-Cut connection combination strategy improves translation performances by providing richer representations (Row 7).

Hybrid Models (Rows 4-7) We first followed Chen et al. (2018) to stack 6 RNNs layers and 4 SANs layers subsequently (Row 4), which consistently outperforms the individual models. This is consistent with results reported by Chen et al. (2018). In this setting, the ON-LSTM model significantly outperforms its LSTM counterpart (Row 5), and reducing the encoder depth can still maintain the performance (Row 6). We attribute these to the strength of ON-LSTM in modeling hierarchical structure, which we believe is an essential complementarity between SANs and RNNs. In addition, the Short-Cut connection combination strategy improves translation performances by providing richer representations (Row 7).

Stronger Baseline (Rows 8-9) We finally conducted experiments on a stronger baseline – the TRANSFORMER-Big model (Row 8), which outperforms its TRANSFORMER-BASE counterpart (Row 1) by 1.27 BLEU points. As seen, our model consistently improves performance over the stronger baseline by 0.72 BLEU points, demonstrating the effectiveness and universality of the proposed approach.

Assessing Encoder Strategies We first investigate the encoder stack strategies on different stack orders. From Table 2, to compare with the proposed hybrid model, we stack 3-layers ON-LSTM on the top of 3-layers SANs (Row 2). It performs worse than the strategy in the proposed hybrid model. The result support the viewpoint that the
Table 3: Performance on the linguistic probing tasks of evaluating linguistics embedded in the learned representations. “S” and “O” denote the SAN and ON-LSTM baseline models. “H₀” and “Hₛ” are respectively the outputs of the ON-LSTM encoder and the SAN encoder in the hybrid model, and “Final” denotes the final output exposed to decoder.

| Task       | S Final | O Final | Hybrid + Short-Cut |
|------------|---------|---------|--------------------|
| Surface Tasks |         |         |                    |
| SeLen      | 92.77   | 90.70   | 91.94              |
| WC         | 81.79   | 76.42   | 90.38              |
| Avg        | 87.25   | 83.56   | 91.16              |

| Syntactic Tasks |         |         |                    |
| TrDep         | 44.78   | 52.58   | 51.19              |
| ToCo          | 84.53   | 86.32   | 86.29              |
| BShif         | 52.66   | 82.68   | 81.79              |
| Avg           | 60.66   | 73.86   | 73.09              |

| Semantic Tasks |         |         |                    |
| Tense         | 84.76   | 86.00   | 83.88              |
| SubN          | 85.18   | 85.44   | 85.56              |
| ObjN          | 81.45   | 86.78   | 85.72              |
| SoMo          | 49.87   | 49.54   | 49.23              |
| CoIn          | 68.97   | 72.03   | 72.06              |
| Avg           | 74.05   | 75.96   | 75.29              |

Table 3: Performance on the linguistic probing tasks of evaluating linguistics embedded in the learned representations. “S” and “O” denote the SAN and ON-LSTM baseline models. “H₀” and “Hₛ” are respectively the outputs of the ON-LSTM encoder and the SAN encoder in the hybrid model, and “Final” denotes the final output exposed to decoder.

SANS encoder is able to extract richer representations if the input is augmented with sequential context (Chen et al., 2018).

Moreover, to dispel the doubt that whether the improvement of hybrid model comes from the increase of parameters. We investigate the 8-layers LSTM and 10-layers SANS encoders (Rows 3-4) which have more parameters compared with the proposed hybrid model. The results show that the hybrid model consistently outperforms these model variants with less parameters and the improvement should not be due to more parameters.

3.2 Targeted Linguistic Evaluation

To gain linguistic insights into the learned representations, we conducted probing tasks (Conneau et al., 2018) to evaluate linguistics knowledge embedded in the final encoding representation learned by model, as shown in Table 3. We evaluated SANS and proposed hybrid model with Short-Cut connection on these 10 targeted linguistic evaluation tasks. The tasks and model details are described in Appendix A.2.

Experimental results are presented in Table 3. Several observations can be made here. The proposed hybrid model with short-cut produces more informative representation in most tasks (“Final” in “S” vs. in “Hybrid+Short-Cut”), indicating that the effectiveness of the model. The only exception are surface tasks, which is consistent with the conclusion in Conneau et al. (2018): as a model captures deeper linguistic properties, it will tend to forget about these superficial features. Short-cut further improves the performance by providing richer representations (“Hₛ” vs. “Final” in “Hybrid+Short-Cut”). Especially on syntactic tasks, our proposed model surpasses the baseline more than 13 points (74.36 vs. 60.66) on average, which again verifies that ON-LSTM enhance the strength of modeling hierarchical structure for self-attention.

3.3 Logical Inference

We also verified the model’s performance in the logical inference task proposed by Bowman et al. (2015). This task is well suited to evaluate the ability of modeling hierarchical structure. Models need to learn the hierarchical and nested structures of language in order to predict accurate logical relations between sentences (Bowman et al., 2015; Tran et al., 2018; Shen et al., 2019). The artificial language of the task has six types of words \{a, b, c, d, e, f\} in the vocabulary and three logical operators \{or, and, not\}. The goal of the task is to predict one of seven logical relations between two given sentences. These seven relations are: two entailment types (\(\subseteq, \supseteq\)), equivalence (\(=\)), exhaustive and non-exhaustive contradiction (\(\land, \lor\)), and semantic independence (\(#, \sim\)).

We evaluated the SANS, LSTM, ON-LSTM and
proposed model. We followed Tran et al. (2018) to use two hidden layers with Short-Cut connection in all models. The model details and hyperparameters are described in Appendix A.3.

Figure 1 shows the results. The proposed hybrid model outperforms both the LSTM-based and the SANS-based baselines on all cases. Consistent with Shen et al. (2019), on the longer sequences ($\geq 7$) that were not included during training, the proposed model also obtains the best performance and has a larger gap compared with other models than on the shorter sequences ($\leq 6$), which verifies the proposed model is better at modeling more complex hierarchical structure in sequence. It also indicates that the hybrid model has a stronger generalization ability.

4 Related Work

Improved Self-Attention Networks Recently, there is a large body of work on improving SANS in various NLP tasks (Yang et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018; Yang et al., 2019a,b; Guo et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2019a; Sukhbaatar et al., 2019), as well as image classification (Bello et al., 2019) and automatic speech recognition (Mohamed et al., 2019) tasks. In these works, several strategies are proposed to improve the utilization of SANS with the enhancement of local and global information. In this work, we enhance the SANS with the On-LSTM to form a hybrid model (Chen et al., 2018), and thoroughly evaluate the performance on machine translation, targeted linguistic evaluation, and logical inference tasks.

Structure Modeling for Neural Networks in NLP Structure modeling in NLP has been studied for a long time as the natural language sentences inherently have hierarchical structures (Chomsky, 1965; Bever, 1970). With the emergence of deep learning, tree-based models have been proposed to integrate syntactic tree structure into Recursive Neural Networks (Socher et al., 2013), LSTMs (Tai et al., 2015), CNNs (Mou et al., 2016). As for SANS, Hao et al. (2019a), Ma et al. (2019) and Wang et al. (2019b) enhance the SANS with neural syntactic distance, multi-granularity attention scope and structural position representations, which are generated from the syntactic tree structures.

Closely related to our work, Hao et al. (2019b) find that the integration of the recurrence in SANS encoder can provide more syntactic structure features to the encoder representations. Our work follows this direction and empirically evaluates the structure modelling on the related tasks.

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we adopt the ON-LSTM, which models tree structure with a novel activation function and structured gating mechanism, as the RNNs counterpart to boost the hybrid model. We also propose a modification of the cascaded encoder by explicitly combining the outputs of individual components, to enhance the ability of hierarchical structure modeling in a hybrid model. Experimental results on machine translation, targeted linguistic evaluation and logical inference tasks show that the proposed models achieve better performances by modeling hierarchical structure of sequence.
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