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Abstract

This review focuses on mechanical ventilation strategies that allow unsupported spontaneous breathing activity in any phase of the ventilatory cycle. By allowing patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome to breathe spontaneously, one can expect improvements in gas exchange and systemic blood flow, based on findings from both experimental and clinical trials. In addition, by increasing end-expiratory lung volume, as occurs when using biphasic positive airway pressure or airway pressure release ventilation, recruitment of collapsed or consolidated lung is likely to occur, especially in juxtadiaphragmatic lung legions. Traditional approaches to mechanical ventilatory support of patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome require adaption of the patient to the mechanical ventilator using heavy sedation and even muscle relaxation. Recent investigations have questioned the utility of sedation, muscle paralysis and mechanical control of ventilation. Furthermore, evidence exists that lowering sedation levels will decrease the duration of mechanical ventilatory support, length of stay in the intensive care unit, and overall costs of hospitalization. Based on currently available data, we suggest considering the use of techniques of mechanical ventilatory support that maintain, rather than suppress, spontaneous ventilatory effort, especially in patients with severe pulmonary dysfunction.
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Introduction

Partial ventilatory support is commonly used, not only to wean patients from mechanical ventilation but also to provide stable ventilatory assistance to a desired degree. Conventional partial ventilatory support modalities either provide ventilatory assistance to every inspiratory effort and modulate the tidal volume (VT) of the patient (e.g. pressure support ventilation [PSV] [1] and pressure assisted ventilation [2]) or modulate minute ventilation by periodically adding mechanical insufflations to unsupported spontaneous breathing (e.g. intermittent mandatory ventilation [IMV] [3]). In contrast, biphasic positive airway pressure (BiPAP) [4] and airway pressure release ventilation (APRV) [5] allow unrestricted spontaneous breathing in any phase of the mechanical cycle.

The principles of airway pressure release ventilation and biphasic positive airway pressure

APRV and BiPAP ventilate by time-cycled switching between two pressure levels in a high flow or demand valve continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) circuit, and therefore they allow unrestricted spontaneous breathing in any phase of the mechanical ventilator cycle [4,5]. The degree of ventilatory support is determined by the duration of

APRV = airway pressure release ventilation; ARDS = acute respiratory distress syndrome; ATC = automatic tube compensation; BiPAP = biphasic positive airway pressure; CMV = controlled mechanical ventilation; CPAP = continuous positive airway pressure; CT = computed tomography; Do₂ = oxygen delivery; IMV = intermittent mandatory ventilation; PSV = pressure support ventilation; V₁/Q = ventilation/perfusion; VT = tidal volume.
both CPAP levels and $V_T$ during APRV/BiPAP [4,5]. $V_T$ depends mainly on respiratory compliance and the difference between the CPAP levels. BiPAP is identical to APRV except that no restrictions are imposed on the duration of the low CPAP level (release pressure) [5]. Based on the initial description, APRV uses a duration of low CPAP (release time) that is equal to or less than 1.5 s.

Asynchronous interference between spontaneous and mechanical ventilation may increase the work of breathing and reduce effective ventilatory support during APRV/BiPAP [6]. Synchronization of switching between the two CPAP levels to spontaneous inspiration or expiration has been incorporated into commercially available demand valve APRV/BiPAP circuits in order to avoid asynchronous interference between spontaneous and mechanical breaths. Because patient triggered mechanical cycles during IMV have not been demonstrated to be advantageous for the patient, there is no reason why this should be different for APRV/BiPAP [6]. When spontaneous breathing is absent, APRV/BiPAP is not different from conventional pressure controlled, time cycled mechanical ventilation (PCV) [4,5].

Commercially available ventilators frequently offer combinations of APRV/BiPAP with PSV or automatic tube compensation (ATC). Only the combination of APRV/BiPAP with ATC in order to compensate for the resistance of the endotracheal tube, at least partly, has been shown to confer benefit in treatment of selected patients [7]. However, the observed decrease in inspiratory muscle load was associated with higher pressure support levels when adding ATC during APRV/BiPAP. In contrast, it remains doubtful whether the positive effects of different modalities of ventilation are additive when they are simply combined [8]. Thus, it cannot be ruled out that proven physiological effects of unassisted spontaneous breathing during APRV/BiPAP may be attenuated or even eliminated when each detected spontaneous breathing effort is assisted with PSV during APRV/BiPAP.

**Setting ventilation pressures and tidal volumes during airway pressure release ventilation/biphasic positive airway pressure**

Mechanical ventilation with positive end-expiratory airway pressure titrated above the lower inflection pressure of a static pressure–volume curve and low $V_T$ has been suggested to prevent tidal alveolar collapse at end-expiration and overdistension of lung units at end-inspiration during acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [9]. This lung protective ventilatory strategy has been found to improve lung compliance, venous admixture, and arterial oxygen tension without causing cardiovascular impairment in ARDS [9]. Mechanical ventilation using a $V_T$ of not more than 6 ml/kg ideal body weight has been shown to improve outcome in patients with ARDS [9,10]. Based on these results, CPAP levels during APRV/BiPAP should be titrated to prevent end-expiratory alveolar collapse and tidal alveolar overdistension [9,10]. When CPAP levels during APRV/BiPAP were adjusted according to a lung protective ventilatory strategy, the occurrence of spontaneous breathing improved cardiorespiratory function without affecting total oxygen consumption because of the work of breathing in patients with ARDS [11].

Moreover, pulmonary compliance in this range of airway pressures should be greatest, thus reducing the transpulmonary pressure required for normal tidal breathing and hence reducing the elastic work of breathing [12]. Because APRV and BiPAP do not provide ventilatory assistance to every inspiratory effort, the use of proper CPAP levels is required to allow efficient ventilation with minimal work of breathing during unsupported spontaneous breaths.

**Analgesia and sedation during airway pressure release ventilation/biphasic positive airway pressure**

Apart from ensuring sufficient pain relief and anxiolysis, analgesia and sedation are used to adapt the patient to mechanical ventilation [13,14]. The level of analgesia and sedation required during controlled mechanical ventilation (CMV) is equivalent to a Ramsay score of between 4 and 5 (i.e. a deeply sedated patient who is unable to respond when spoken to and has no sensation of pain). During partial ventilatory support a Ramsay score between 2 and 3 can be targeted (i.e. an awake, responsive and cooperative patient). In a study conducted in about 600 post-cardiac-surgery patients [15] and in another study of patients with multiple injuries [16], maintaining spontaneous breathing with APRV/BiPAP led to significantly lower consumption of analgesics and sedatives as compared with the initial use of CMV followed by weaning with partial ventilatory support. Clearly, the higher doses of analgesics and sedatives used exclusively to adapt patients to CMV required higher doses of vasopressors and positive inotropes to maintain stability of cardiovascular function [16].

**Benefits of maintained spontaneous breathing during airway pressure release ventilation/biphasic positive airway pressure**

**Pulmonary gas exchange**

Computed tomography (CT) of patients with ARDS has been used to identify radiographic densities corresponding to alveolar collapse that is localized primarily in the dependent lung regions, correlating with intrapulmonary shunting [17]. Formation of radiographic densities has been attributed to alveolar collapse caused by superimposed pressure on the lung and a cephalad shift of the diaphragm that is most evident in dependent lung areas during mechanical ventilation [18]. Persisting spontaneous breathing has been considered to improve the distribution of ventilation to dependent lung areas and thereby ventilation/perfusion ($V_{A}/Q$) matching, presumably by diaphragmatic contraction opposing alveolar...
This concept is supported by CT observations in anaesthetized patients demonstrating that contractions in the diaphragm induced by phrenic nerve stimulation favour distribution of ventilation to dependent, well perfused lung areas and decrease atelectasis formation [20]. Spontaneous breathing with APRV/BiPAP in experimentally induced lung injury was associated with less atelectasis formation [21]. Although other inspiratory muscles may also contribute to improvement in aeration during spontaneous breathing, the craniocaudal gradient in aeration, aeration differences, and the marked differences in aeration in regions close to the diaphragm between APRV/BiPAP with and without spontaneous breathing suggest a predominant role played by diaphragmatic contractions in the observed aeration differences [21]. These experimental findings are supported by observations using electro-impedance tomography to estimate regional ventilation in patients with ARDS, which demonstrated better ventilation in dependent regions during spontaneous breathing with APRV/BiPAP (Fig. 2). Experimental data suggest that recruitment of dependent lung areas may be caused essentially by an increase in transpulmonary pressure due to the decrease in pleural pressure with spontaneous breathing during APRV/BiPAP [22].

In patients with ARDS, APRV/BiPAP with spontaneous breathing of 10–30% of the total minute ventilation accounted for an improvement in $V_{A}/Q$ matching and arterial oxygenation.
(Fig. 3) [11]. Increase in arterial oxygenation in conjunction with greater pulmonary compliance indicates recruitment of previously nonventilated lung areas. Clinical studies in patients with ARDS show that spontaneous breathing during APRV/BiPAP does not necessarily lead to instant improvement in gas exchange, but rather to a continuous improvement in oxygenation over 24 hours after the start of spontaneous breathing [23].

Assisted inspiration with PSV did not produce significant improvement in intrapulmonary shunt, $V_A/Q$ matching, or gas exchange when compared with CMV in a previous study [11]. This is in agreement with observations demonstrating comparable gas exchange in patients with acute lung injury during CMV and PSV [24]. Apparently, spontaneous contribution on a mechanically assisted breath was not sufficient to counteract $V_A/Q$ maldistribution of positive pressure lung insufflations. One possible explanation might be that inspiration is terminated by the decrease in gas flow at the end of inspiration during PSV [1], which may reduce ventilation in areas of the lung with a slow time constant.

In patients at risk for developing ARDS, maintained spontaneous breathing with APRV/BiPAP resulted in lower venous admixture and better arterial blood oxygenation over an observation period of more than 10 days as compared with CMV with subsequent weaning [16]. These findings show that, even in patients requiring ventilatory support, maintained spontaneous breathing can counteract progressive deterioration in pulmonary gas exchange.

Cardiovascular effects
Positive pressure ventilation increases intrathoracic pressure, which in turn reduces venous return to the heart [25]. In normovolaemic and hypovolaemic patients, this produces reduction in right and left ventricular filling and results in decreased stroke volume, cardiac output and oxygen delivery (DO$_2$). Reducing mechanical ventilation to a level that provides adequate support for existing spontaneous breathing should help to reduce the cardiovascular side effects of ventilatory support [26]. This concept is supported by studies of anaesthetized animals with haemorrhagic shock, which demonstrated that contractions of the diaphragm induced by phrenic nerve stimulation favour preload and cardiac output [27].

A transient decrease in intrathoracic pressure resulting from maintained spontaneous breathing of 10–40% of total minute ventilation during APRV/BiPAP promotes venous return to the heart and right and left ventricular filling, thereby increasing cardiac output and DO$_2$ [11]. Simultaneous elevations in right ventricular end-diastolic volume and cardiac index occurred during spontaneous breathing with APRV/BiPAP, which indicates improved venous return to the heart [11]. In addition, outflow from the right ventricle, which depends mainly on lung volume, may benefit from a decrease in intrathoracic pressure during APRV/BiPAP. Ventilatory support of each individual inspiration with PSV at identical airway pressures produces no or a small increase in cardiac index [11]. The increase in cardiac index observed during PSV as compared with CMV was primarily a function of the pressure support level. This indicates that during assisted inspiration with PSV spontaneous respiratory activity may not decrease intrathoracic pressures sufficiently to counteract the cardiovascular depression of positive airway pressure.

Räsänen and coworkers [28] observed no decrease in cardiac output and tissue DO$_2$ by switching from CPAP to spontaneous breathing with APRV/BiPAP. In contrast, similar ventilatory support with CMV reduced the stroke volume and DO$_2$. Theoretically, augmentation of the venous return to the heart and increased left ventricular afterload as a result of an intermittent decrease in intrathoracic pressure during APRV/BiPAP should have a negative impact on cardiovascular function in patients with left ventricular dysfunction. Provided that spontaneous breathing receives adequate support and sufficient CPAP levels are applied, maintenance of spontaneous breathing during APRV/BiPAP should not prove disadvantageous and is not per se contraindicated in patients with ventricular dysfunction [29–31].

Oxygen supply and demand balance
The concomitant increase in cardiac index and arterial oxygen tension during APRV/BiPAP improved the relationship between tissue oxygen supply and demand because oxygen consumption remained unchanged despite the work of spontaneous breathing (Fig. 4). In accordance with previous
experimental [32] and clinical findings [11,33], total oxygen consumption is not measurably altered by adequately supported spontaneous breathing in patients with low lung compliance during APRV/BiPAP.

Organ perfusion
By reducing cardiac index and venous return to the heart, mechanical ventilation can have a negative effect on the perfusion and functioning of extrathoracic organ systems. Increase in venous return and cardiac index, caused by the periodic fall in intrathoracic pressure during spontaneous inspiration, should significantly improve organ perfusion and function during partial ventilatory support. In patients with ARDS, spontaneous breathing with IMV leads to an increase in glomerular filtration rate and sodium excretion [34]. This has also been documented during spontaneous breathing with APRV/BiPAP [35] (Fig. 5). Thus, maintained spontaneous breathing may be favourable with respect to the perfusion and function of the kidney in patients requiring ventilatory support because of severe pulmonary dysfunction.

Preliminary data in patients requiring ventilatory support for acute lung injury suggest that maintained spontaneous breathing may be beneficial for liver function. These clinical data are supported by experiments in which coloured microspheres were used in pigs with oleic acid induced lung injury [36]; improved perfusion of the splanchnic area was demonstrated.

Conclusion
Development in mechanical ventilatory support has produced techniques that allow unrestricted breathing throughout mechanical ventilation. Investigations demonstrate that uncoupling of even minimal spontaneous and mechanical breaths during BiPAP/APRV contributes to improved pulmonary gas exchange, systemic blood flow and oxygen supply to the tissue. This is reflected by clinical improvement in the patient’s condition, which is associated with significantly fewer days on ventilatory support, earlier extubation and a shorter stay in the intensive care unit [16].
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