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Against the backdrop of the COVID-19 pandemic, the study explores the hedging and safe-haven potential of green bonds for conventional equity, fixed income, commodity, and forex investments. We employ the cross-quantilogram approach to understand better the dynamic relationship between two assets under different market conditions. Our full sample results reveal that the green bond index could serve as a diversifier asset for medium- and long-term equity investors. Besides, it can serve as a hedging and safe-haven instrument for currency and commodity investments. Moreover, the sub-sample analysis of the pandemic period shows a heightened short- and medium-term lead-lag association between the green bond index and conventional investment returns. However, the green bond index emerges as a significant hedging and safe-haven asset for long-term investors of conventional financial assets. Our findings offer valuable insights for long-term investors when their portfolios are comprised of conventional assets such as equities, commodities, forex, and fixed income securities. Further, our findings reveal the potential role of green bond investments in global financial recovery efforts without compromising the low-carbon transition targets.

1. Introduction

COVID-19 pandemic represents a global case of the fragility of the financial markets and the vulnerability of global financial markets to natural disasters and exceptional risks. For instance, during the pandemic, the equity markets in the US hit the circuit breaker four times in two weeks and the markets in Europe and Asia touched rock bottom. Crude oil prices plunged below 20 USD per barrel, a historical low in the new century and the US benchmark for Oil, crude oil futures for West Texas Intermediate (WTI), on April 20, 2020 closed at (−37.67) USD.

The cited examples reveal the impact of the pandemic on financial markets. Overall, financial markets around the globe tumbled as panic selling across the markets drove uncertainty and contagion.

Unlike the previous pandemics, the much larger impact of COVID-19 on the global economy and financial markets has already been felt globally. World Bank forecast shows that global GDP will contract by 5.2% in 2020 due to the pandemic (World Bank, 2020). Most countries will likely experience a recession, and advanced economies are expected to shrink by 7%. Roubini (2019) argues that the financial crisis that originated from the pandemic is expected to be deeper but short-lived compared to the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) of 2009? On the other hand, the driving factors behind this financial slowdown are much more convoluted than before. Historically, the influence of such pandemics on financial markets has been modest and temporary (Selmi and and Bouoiyour, 2020). For example, the same year the SARS outbreak took place Chinese equity market grew by 20%.

On the contrary, in the early stages of the COVID-19 outbreak, stock markets worldwide lost 30% of their market value within a few weeks, and the speed of sell-off exceeded GFC. While considering the significant losses in financial markets in the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic,
which countries around the world agreed to ensure the transition to
markets worldwide have introduced specific green bond segments.
the publication of the Green Bond Principles (GBP) by the International
1991 ), which asserts that investors are more concerned about
rooted in the notion of investor loss aversion ( Tversky and Kahneman,
for conventional assets (e.g., stocks, bonds, and commodities), espe-
also realize the potential financial benefits of the green instrument.
also, implying that green bonds can serve as a diversifier
allocation and risk management ( Clements and Liao, 2017 ; Oliva
approach allows estimating the directional dependence between green
bonds and other financial assets across different quantiles. As suggested
by Liu et al. (2016) , the technique is more effective than traditional
methods (e.g., quantile regression with dummy variables) in measuring
directional dependence between two assets, particularly when the
bivariate normality assumption for joint distribution does not hold. Also,
the predictive nature of the results assists investors in understanding
better the dynamic relationship between green bonds and other finan-
cial markets under different market conditions. Second, we also gauge
the volatility dynamics of different financial markets by estimating the
jump activity. Many studies suggest that jumps can depict the crash risk
of a financial time series, and they hold vital information for asset
allocation and risk management ( Clements and Liao, 2017 ; Oliva
andReboni, 2018). Thus, the findings unveil the volatility dynamics of
different financial markets, especially the green bond market during the
pandemic.

The findings of the study show that the green bond index serves as a
diversifier asset for medium and long-term equity investors. Moreover, it
can be a hedging and safe haven instrument for currency and commodity
investments. Moreover, the sub-sample analysis of the pandemic crisis
period shows a heightened short- and medium-term lead-lag association
between the green bond index and conventional investment returns.
However, the green bond index emerges as a significant hedging and
safe-haven asset for long-horizon investors. Further, the rolling sample
analysis exhibits a dynamic lead-lag association in quantile returns that
decreases over time for the parallel lower return quantiles of the green
bond index and equity investment. Moreover, an increasing trend in the
diversification profit quantiles indicates the decoupling of green bond index
returns from the convention asset returns. These findings offer several
implications for investors and decision-makers to minimize investment
risk and enhance the investment in low-carbon assets.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews
the literature. Section 3 describes the methodology utilized. Section 4
presents the data used in the study. Section 5 presents the results of the
analysis. Section 6 is conclusions and policy implications.
2. Literature review

The present paper relates to the literature that discusses the emergence and performance of green finance in financial markets and its role in shaping sustainable and environmentally friendly investments. Many studies have explored the effectiveness of green bonds for funding the cost of climate change (Flaherty et al., 2017; Banga, 2019; Semmler et al., 2019). Tang and Zhang (2020) assert that stock prices respond positively to the issuance of green bonds, and consequently, stock liquidity and institutional ownership also improve. Also, Flammer (2020) finds a positive impact of green bonds on the environmental and financial performance of the issuer firms and argues that it leads to green innovation in the long term for issuers. In addition, previous studies reveal mixed results about the performance of environmental investments. Ortas and Moneva (2013) show higher returns of clean-technology indices than conventional indexes but with higher risk. On the contrary, Climent and Soriano (2011) and Reboredo et al. (2017) report lower or similar returns and low-risk protection of green mutual funds parallel to conventional funds. Several studies show that green bonds trade at a premium (Barclays, 2015; Zerbib, 2019; Nanayakkara and Colombage, 2019), while others showcase that green bonds tend to experience lower returns and higher volatility (Pham, 2016; Hachenberg and Schierer, 2018; Baker et al., 2018; Kapraunand-Scheins, 2019; Bachelet et al., 2019). Another strand in the literature documents the influence of energy price movements, in particular, oil prices on the stock prices of renewable energy companies (Henriques and Sadorsky, 2012; Broadstock et al., 2012; Kumar et al., 2012; Managi and Okimoto, 2013; Inchauspe et al., 2015). Similarly, others have documented spillovers, causality, and tail dependence between oil prices and clean-energy stocks (Sadorsky, 2012; Wen et al., 2014; Reboredo, 2015; Reboredo et al., 2017).

A more related strand of literature to our study investigates the relationship between the green bond market and other financial markets. These studies explore the inter-dependencies between green bonds and other financial assets and related implications concerning price spillovers and diversification benefits. For instance, Reboredo (2018) found that the green bond market closely moves with treasury and corporate bond markets but still provides diversification chances for investors in the energy and stock market. While analyzing volatility dynamics between different bond markets, Pham (2016) discloses evidence of volatility clustering in the green bond market and spillover transmission from the conventional bond market. Broadstock and Cheng (2019) argue that the relationship between green bonds and the black bond market is subject to financial conditions such as news-based sentiments concerning green bonds, volatility, energy prices, economic activity, and economic policy uncertainty.

Similarly, Febi et al. (2018) show a significant and time decreasing influence of liquidity on the yield spread of green bonds. Reboredo and Ugolini (2020) investigate the price connectedness between the green bond and other financial markets. Their findings indicate that green bonds are closely associated with currency and fixed-income markets, where the green bond market is a net receiver of volatility shocks from both the underlying markets. On the contrary, the bond market has weak linkages with stock, energy, and high-yield corporate bond markets. In the same way, Reboredo et al. (2020) found strong connectedness between the green bond market and treasury and corporate bonds in the US and EU countries. Once again, the evidence supports that green bonds receive sizeable volatility spillovers from other bond markets.

Furthermore, Nguyen et al. (2020) study the time-varying association between green bonds and other financial markets. The findings suggest strong co-movement between green bonds, commodities, and clean energy stocks, while the high diversification benefit of green bonds is revealed against commodities and stocks. Finally, few studies have examined the potential of green bonds as diversifiers and hedges. For example, Saeed et al. (2020) investigate the potential of green stocks and bonds for hedging dirty energy assets such as crude oil. The findings of the study reinforce that investors should utilize a dynamic hedging strategy and further stress that clean energy stocks are better hedged than green bonds for the crude oil market. Huyhn et al. (2020) examine the diversifier function of green bonds against other financial assets. The results uncover high tail-dependence among green bonds and other financial markets, especially during periods of economic meltdown which implies a higher possibility of joint losses. Also, the volatility transmission among the underlying markets soars in the short-run, whereas a decrease in connectedness is noted during the long-run. In the same way, a few other studies have also attempted to uncover asymmetric and extreme spillovers among green bonds and other financial markets (e.g., Saeed et al., 2021; Naeem et al., 2021a; Naeem et al. 2021b; Naeem et al., 2022; Mensi et al., 2022; Karim and Naeem, 2022). Nevertheless, the literature is largely silent on the potential of green bonds for hedging and safe-haven purposes against other financial assets, especially in the COVID-19 context. Our paper is one of the limited studies to do so.

3. Methodology

We employ two estimation methods pertinent to the objectives of this study. We start our analysis by estimating the jump activity of sample financial markets, this helps us better understand volatility dynamics in the underlying financial markets. A line of research has shown that jump activity reflects a crucial dimension of asset pricing (Bardorff-Nielsen and Shephard, 2006; Lee and Mykland, 2008). In this vein, a thread of literature documents the role of jump activity in explaining market crashes, modeling volatility and evaluating empirical features of financial assets (Bates, 2000; Eraker et al., 2003; Driessen and Maenhout, 2013; Ma et al., 2019). Many studies have also revealed the significance of estimating jump activity for asset allocation, derivative pricing and risk management (e.g., Clements and Liao, 2017; Oliva & René, 2018; Yang et al., 2019; Cao et al., 2020). In this spirit, a growing body of literature has investigated the jump activity and co-jump behavior of different conventional financial markets, while little attention has been devoted to examining the jump dynamics of the green bond market. In addition, in the backdrop of the COVID-19 pandemic, when financial markets experienced extreme volatility and enormous price swings in the form of jumps, it is even more crucial now to explore jump behavior in the green bond market and other samples financial markets during the outbreak. In this regard, we utilize the semi-parametric method of Laurent et al. (2016) to identify jumps in the under-study markets. The approach assumes that the return series follows a Gaussian ARMA-GARCH type model with an additive jump component. The model uses standardized returns, where the first two conditional moments of non-contaminated series are estimated in a robust manner.

Secondly, the study seeks to investigate green bonds’ hedging and safe-haven potential during the COVID-19 virus spread period. For this purpose, we employ the cross-quantilogram method of Han et al. (2016). The underlying method holds various advantages over traditional models to estimate quantile dependence among financial assets. Han et al. (2016) argue that conventional approaches are not effective to capture quantile dependence when the bivariate normality assumption does not hold for underlying joint distribution. More importantly, the results from traditional methods do not effectively capture dependence among financial markets during extreme events such as the recent COVID-19 pandemic. In contrast, the utilized method assists us to understand the dependence structure and directional predictability by illustrating correlations in different market conditions such as lower-normal-higher quantiles of the returns distributions. In addition, the approach presents time-varying features under different market conditions and also captures asymmetric spillovers among the under-study markets.
3.1. Testing for jumps

Using the semi-parametric approach of Laurent et al. (2016), we can detect the existence of jumps. The approach allows checking for additive jumps in AR-GJR-GARCH models. The AR (1)-GJR-GARCH (1, 1) for random returns \( R_t \) is described as follows:

\[
R_t = \lambda_t + \xi_{t,1} + \varepsilon_t \tag{1}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_t = \varepsilon_{\lambda_t}, \text{ with } X_t \text{i.i.d. N (0, 1)} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\xi_{t,1} = \omega + \rho \xi_{t-1} + \theta_t G_{t-1,1} \varepsilon_{t-1} + \beta \varepsilon_{t-2} \tag{3}
\]

The error term and white noise process are denoted by \( \varepsilon_t \) and \( X_t \) respectively. The conditional variance of \( R_t \) is represented by \( \varepsilon_{\lambda_t} \), where \( G_{t-1,1} = 1 \) only if \( \varepsilon_{t-1} < 0 \). Further adding an independent jump component \( (b_{1,t}) \) to \( (b_j) \), it can be described as follows:

\[
* R_t = R_t + b_{1,t} \tag{4}
\]

In equation (4), observed returns are represented by \( * R_t \) and dichotomous variable taking denoted by \( b_{1,t} \), which has the value of 1 if there is a jump and otherwise 0. Finally, the jump size is described by \( b_{1,t} \).

Next, following the Muler et al. (2009) and Muler and Yohai (2008), we derive the estimates for \( \lambda_t \) and \( R_t \) which are robust to potential jumps \( (b_{1,t}) \) and denoted by \( \lambda_t \) and \( \xi_{t,1} \). Now considering the standardized returns on a particular day (1) as:

\[
\sim \xi_t = \frac{* R_t - \sim \lambda_t}{\sim \varepsilon_t} \tag{5}
\]

The null hypothesis \( H_0: b_{1,t} = 0 \) is used to trace the existence of jumps against the research hypothesis \( H_1: b_{1,t} \neq 0 \). Here null hypothesis is rejected if \( \max_{|t| \leq T} \sim \xi_t > J_{x,\alpha} \), where max is the maximum of \( \sim \xi_t \) for \( t = 1, \ldots, T \) and critical value are represented by \( J_{x,\alpha} \). In the case of the rejection of the null hypothesis following dummy variable is generated:

\[
\sim I_t = \begin{cases} 
1 & (\sim \xi_t > 0) \\
0 & (\sim \xi_t \leq 0)
\end{cases} \tag{6}
\]

In the above equation, the indicator function \( I(\cdot) \) with \( \sim I_t \) is regarded as 1, if there is a jump on that particular day.

3.2. Directional predictability through cross-quantilogram

In order to examine the safe-haven function of green bonds against other financial markets, we apply the cross-quantilogram approach introduced by Han et al. (2016). Building on the work of Linton and Whang (2007), they propose a bivariate measure of predictability across quantiles of the distribution of a stationary time series. The extended quantilogram estimates the lead/lag dependence between quantiles of two given financial time series and tests the directional predictability from one series to another. In this study, we utilize the unconditional version of the technique, employed by Jiang et al. (2016), Baumohl and andLyosasca (2017), and Liu et al. (2020) to estimate the spillovers between the assets.

Let us assume for an explanation; that we represent the continuous returns of two series as \( y_{1,t}, i = 1,2 \) and \( T = 1,2, \ldots, t \), where index 1 denotes returns of green bonds or a corresponding asset. Both series are strictly assumed to be stationary with unconditional function \( f_1(\cdot) \) and unconditional density function \( f_2(\cdot) \). The corresponding unconditional quantile function is given as \( q_i(t) = \inf \{ \nu : F_2(\nu) \geq t \} \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \). Also, for arbitrary pair \( \tau = (\tau_1, \tau_2) \), we measure the dependence between two events \( \{ y_{1,t}, t \leq q_1, \tau \} \) and \( \{ y_{2,t}, t \leq q_2, \tau \} \) with integer \( k = \pm 2 \). The resulting quantilogram is shown in (7):

\[
\rho_t(\tau) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \psi_i^2 \{ y_{1,i} - q_{1,i}(\tau_1) \} \psi_i^2 \{ y_{2,i} - q_{1,i}(\tau_2) \}}{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \psi_i^2 \{ y_{1,i} - q_{1,i}(\tau_1) \} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \psi_i^2 \{ y_{2,i} - q_{1,i}(\tau_2) \}}} \tag{7}
\]

The description of the cross-quantilogram in equation (7) can be understood as a cross-correlation of a quantile-hist process. In case when two series are identical, the above-proposed cross-quantilogram corresponds to the quantilogram of Linton and Whang (2007). Further, provided the unconditional measure of \( q_i(t) \), the cross-quantilogram of the sample can be presented as in (8):

\[
\rho_t(\tau) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \psi_i^2 \{ y_{1,i} - q_{1,i}(\tau_1) \} \psi_i^2 \{ y_{2,i} - q_{1,i}(\tau_2) \}}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{T} \psi_i^2 \{ y_{1,i} - q_{1,i}(\tau_1) \} \sum_{i=1}^{T} \psi_i^2 \{ y_{2,i} - q_{1,i}(\tau_2) \}}} \tag{8}
\]

Equation (8) is effective to measure the magnitude of directional dependence between two time series. By definition, the value of the equation is limited by construction to \( p^* \tau (\cdot) \in [-1, 1] \). For instance, if we consider the \( y_1, t \) be the continuous returns of green bonds and \( y_2,t \) the continuous returns of any other specific asset, the value of \( p^* \tau (\cdot) = 0 \) means that if the returns on the other asset is above (below) at a given percentile \( q_2(\tau_2) \) at time \( t \), then it does assist in predicting the return on the green bonds is below (above) a given percentile \( q_1(\tau_1) \) at time \( t \).

In this case, lead/lag parameter \( k \) controls the delay in predictability in terms of days from one series to another. Consequently, the resultant statistical test is obtained \( H_0: \rho^*(\tau) = \cdots = \rho(\tau) = 0 \), \( H_1: \exists \tau, \rho(\tau) 
eq 0, k = 1,2, \ldots \). Here we detect directional spillovers from one event \( y_{2,t} \leq q_2(\tau_2); k = 1,2, \ldots, p \) to another event \( y_{1,t} \leq q_1(\tau_1) \). Also, following Han et al. (2016) we define the Ljung-Box type of test statistic as follows:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{p} \rho_t^2(k) = T (T+1) \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{\rho_t^2(k)}{T-k} \tag{9}
\]

According to Politis and Romano (1994), the critical values are obtained using a stationary bootstrap procedure, where pseudo samples are extracted from blocks of data with random block lengths. Four further, in this study, we examine the dependence between all quantiles pairs given by \( 0.05, 0.10, \ldots, 0.95 \). Hence, for each pair of given time series with associated \( p \) values, we estimate 361 dependence measures. In order to resolve the problem of multiple hypotheses, the study utilizes Bonferroni correction for adjusting the significance level, thus leading to a significance level of 0.00113 (0.05/361 = 0.000385). We illustrate our results in the form of heat maps.

4. Data and descriptive analysis

We use S&P green bond index as a proxy for green bond investments. S&P green bond index is an aggregate index that includes green bonds issued from any country and in any currency. However, for inclusion in the S&P green bond index a bond must be labeled as green by its issuers and flagged as a green bond by Climate Bond Initiative (CBI). CBI uses credible company sources such as company websites, public filings, sustainability reports, legal disclosures, or independent second opinions to verify the green labeling of a bond. Due to its strict inclusion criteria and wide coverage, S&P green bond index serves as a representative green bond investment against other global green bond indices such as Bloomberg Barclays MSCI USD Green Bond Index and Solactive Green Bond Index. Bloomberg Barclays MSCI USD Green Bond Index allows green bonds issued in selected currencies to limit its scope whereas the Solactive Green Bond Index only considers bond issues of more than 100 USD, resulting in restricted coverage. Moreover, S&P green bond index

---

1 See Politis and Romano (1994) and Patton et al. (2009) for details of expected block size.
2 https://www.spglobal.com/spdji/en/documents/methodologies/methodology-sp-green-bond-indices.pdf.
3 https://www.msci.com/documents/10199/242721/Barclays_MSCI_Green_Bond_Index.pdf.
4 https://www.solactive.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/Guideline_Solactive-Green-Bonds-Index_Update_20220207-1.pdf.
is frequently used as a representative green bond investment in the literature. For instance, see, Pham and Huynh (2020); Kanamura (2020); and Arif et al. (2021). We use representative equity, bond, commodity, and currency indices and investments to explore the diversification and hedging potential of the S&P green bond index. Specifically, we choose three regional equity indices: Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI) World, MSCI Europe, and MSCI Pacific, and four country-specific equity indices, namely, S&P 500 composite, FTSE 100, DAX 30 performance, and Shanghai A-share equity indices. Besides, the S&P GSCI commodity index, Crude oil Brent and gold represent commodity investments. Further, we pick US dollar and conventional Bond indices from the currency and fixed income markets as representative conventional financial investments. We use the Bloomberg database to extract daily data for all the indices under consideration.

### 4.1. Descriptive statistics

Table 1 presents the results of the descriptive statistics that show equity indices such as S&P 500 (0.042), DAX 30 (0.026), and MSCI world (0.027) provide the highest mean returns. In contrast, commodity investments such as the S&P GSCI commodity index (−0.035) and crude oil (−0.023) produce the lowest average returns. These commodity investments also exhibit the highest variability in the mean returns.

Table 1 presents the skewness and kurtosis estimates that all the data series except for the US dollar index are negatively skewed and possess heavy tails. Further, highly significant test statistic values of the Augmented Dicky-Fuller confirm the stationarity of data. Lastly, we check the non-linearity assumption using the Jarque-Bera test that confirms the non-linearity of all the data variables, indicating that the quantile-based analysis methods are most appropriate.

### 4.2. Return jumps

Fig. 1 displays the return jumps in the selected variables series that indicate a significant change in the return of an asset at a given time. BOND exhibits the highest jump activity (172 jumps), and the lowest jumps occurrence (16 jumps) is observed in the USDXY. Moreover, we observe lower jump activity (22 jumps) in green bond index return other than investments except for FTSE100, USDXY, DAXINDEX, and MSEROP, which show 15, 16, 19, and 20 jumps, respectively. Nevertheless, the negative jumps activity is less prevalent (63.63% of total jumps) in the green bond index compared to other selected assets except for BOND, USDXY, and GOLD as these assets show negative jumps activity of 36.6%, 50.0%, and 60.7%, respectively. This observation indicates that extreme negative returns are less likely in the green bond investments compared to most of the equity and commodity investments under investigation.

Further, one can see the co-occurrence of jumps in all the returns series during the year 2011 which coincides with the deepening of the European debt crisis. Similarly, the presence of co-jumps in the 2015–2016 period exhibits the effects of the Chinese financial crisis, and these jumps are more pronounced for the equity markets than other financial markets, i.e., bond and commodity markets. Lastly, the pandemic period that starts from early 2020 shows the incidence of extreme negative jumps in all the returns series, signifying the significant impact of the pandemic crisis on selected financial assets.

### 5. Cross Quantilogram analysis

The Jarque-Bera test and the occurrence of extreme jumps in our selected sample reveal the non-linear nature of variables under investigation, endorsing the use of quantile-based methodology to explore the diversification and hedging properties of green bond investment against conventional equity, bond, forex, and commodity investments. To this end, we apply the cross-quantilogram approach to ascertain the lead-lag relationship between green bond and other investments and presents the results in heat map setting where x- and y-axis denote green bonds other investments returns, respectively. The graphical presentation of results in heat maps offers a complete and concise representation of the association between two investments at different quantiles. Besides, a convenient color scheme classifies the strength and direction of association where red, green, and blue color symbolizes a positive, neutral, and negative association. Moreover, in the heat map environment, the green bond is categorized as a safe-haven investment in two cases; (1) if the entire heat map shows the complete disconnection between the green bond and other assets, i.e., only green and blue color is present in the heat map (2) if the bottom left corner of the heat map shows a negative association between the green bond and other assets, i.e., presence of blue color in the bottom left corner of the heat map. Further, we classify green bonds as a diversifier when their middle return quantiles show a disconnection with other assets under examination.

Fig. 2 presents the full sample cross-quantilogram results that show the lead-lag association between green bond investment and other investments under different time horizons, i.e., short-, medium-, and long-term. Specifically, column 1 presents the one-day lead-lag association between the green bond and other investments that reveal the asymmetric relationship in different quantiles. Notably, the red color is omnipresent in the lower-left quantiles of all the heat maps except for CHSASHR and USDXY, where we observe the widespread presence of green and blue colors. This observation indicates that except for CHSASHR and USDXY, green bond investments do not serve as a safe-haven asset for conventional investments in bearish market conditions under a short-term investment horizon. Additionally, in middle return quantiles (0.4–0.6), green bond shows a relatively less pronounced association with conventional investments, indicating green bond investments’ diversifier potential under a short investment horizon.

### Table 1

| ABB | Mean (%) | SD (%) | Skew | Kurt | ADF | JB |
|-----|----------|--------|------|------|-----|----|
| S&P GREEN BOND INDEX | SPGBND | -0.002 | 0.399 | -0.363 | 9.124 | -28.667*** | 4090.21*** |
| S&P 500 COMPOSITE INDEX | SPCOMP | 0.042 | 1.075 | -0.950 | 22.537 | -29.278*** | 4143.64*** |
| FTSE 100 | FTSE100 | 0.003 | 1.019 | -0.814 | 14.739 | -29.860*** | 15105.59*** |
| DAX 30 PERFORMANCE | DAXINDEX | 0.028 | 1.278 | -0.593 | 11.718 | -28.657*** | 8324.11*** |
| SHANGHAI SE A SHARE | CHSASHR | 0.009 | 1.323 | -0.980 | 10.104 | -28.975*** | 5840.64*** |
| MSCI WORLD | MWSWLD | 0.027 | 0.940 | -1.208 | 21.804 | -28.215*** | 3865.48*** |
| MSCI EUROPE | MSEROP | 0.006 | 1.199 | -0.989 | 15.146 | -29.575*** | 16286.74*** |
| MSCI PACIFIC | MSPACF | 0.010 | 0.989 | -0.309 | 7.276 | -28.026*** | 2007.07*** |
| BOND INDEX | BOND | 0.005 | 0.396 | -0.437 | 71.846 | -29.237*** | 509809.10*** |
| S&P GSCI Commodity | SPGSCI | -0.035 | 1.297 | -0.865 | 12.987 | -28.532*** | 11047.53*** |
| US DOLLAR INDEX | USDXY | 0.007 | 0.437 | 0.077 | 4.792 | -28.880*** | 347.68*** |
| CRUDE OIL BRENT | OIL | -0.023 | 2.862 | -3.382 | 132.457 | -30.851*** | 1807229.00*** |
| GOLD | GOLD | 0.016 | 0.977 | -0.731 | 11.111 | -29.112*** | 7304.72*** |

ABB represents the abbreviation for the financial markets, SD represents the standard deviation, skewness represents Skewness, Kurt represents Kurtosis, JB represents the Jarque-Bera test of normality, and ADF represents the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test of stationarity. *** indicates significance at 1%.
Nevertheless, leading equity indices like SPCOMP, FTSE100, DAXINDEX, and MSWRLD still positively co-move with green bond investments, signifying that green bond offers very limited diversification avenues for short-term equity investors.

Further, the second and third column of Fig. 2 shows the medium- and long-horizon results that also exhibit a positive association in the lower-left quantiles between the green bond index and all the considered equity investments, signifying that green bond investments do not possess safe-haven or hedging potential for equity investments except for CHSASHR. However, excluding the SPCOMP index, green color is omnipresent in the middle returns quantiles for medium and long-horizon heatmaps, indicating that green bond could serve as a diversifier asset for medium and long-term equity investors. Moreover, we observe that the green bond index maintains a neutral association with all commodity and currency investments in the lower-left and middle return quantiles, revealing the safe-haven and diversification potential of green investments for sample commodity and currency investments.

Overall, the full sample analysis reveals that the green bonds could serve as a diversifier asset for medium and long-term equity investors. Moreover, it is a potential hedging and safe-haven asset for currency and commodity investments. These findings complement the existing literature that shows weak or negative connectedness of green bond
investments with commodity securities (Nguyen et al., 2020; Reboreda, 2018; Reboreda and Ugolini, 2020). More importantly, our analysis reveals that the substitutive nature of the green bond makes it a prime instrument for the transition into climate-friendly investments.

5.1. Cross quantilogram analysis - pandemic crisis period

Next, we estimate the cross-quantilogram results for the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic period. The pandemic period is the first global market downturns events since establishing the green bond market, hence providing the opportunity to ascertain the potential of the green bond to serve as an alternate investment during market-wide turbulence. Recent studies show that a safe-haven generally propagated; cryptocurrencies failed to provide any substantial safe-haven possibilities during the pandemic crisis (Dutta et al., 2020; Kristoufek, 2020).

On the contrary, gold’s traditional safe-haven asset offered safe-haven prospects during this time (Ji et al., 2020; Kristoufek, 2020). Therefore, we attempt to explore how the market contagion will affect green bond investments’ diversifier and safe-haven potential.

Column 1 in Fig. 3 presents the short-term relationship between the green bond index and conventional investments that shows an enhanced lead-lag association in the lower-left returns quantiles, indicating that green bonds failed to provide any safe-haven opportunities during the market contagion. Moreover, we also observe an increased lead-lag association between the green bond index and conventional investment in the middle return quantiles, suggesting that, during the pandemic, the green bond loses its diversification potential for short-term investors. Nevertheless, we observe the complete absence of red color in the heat map that shows the association between the green bond and USDXY which signifies the availability of potential safe-haven avenues for the short-term currency investors in the green bond investments.

Further, column 2 presents the medium-term cross-quantilogram heat maps that exhibit an analogous lower quantiles lead-lag association between the green bond index and conventional investments as of short-term results. However, we observe a decline in lead-lag association for middle return quantiles, indicating that conventional investors with medium-term investment horizons have limited diversification opportunities in green bond investments. Furthermore, the last column of Fig. 3 shows that the green bond index return is completely disassociated from all the conventional investments. This finding indicates a significant change in the long-term lead-lag association between the green bond and conventional investments, especially the conventional equity investments, signifying that green bond investments offered substantial hedging and diversification avenues for the long-term investors in the recent pandemic crisis.

Altogether, the pandemic crisis results reveal a heightened short and medium-term lead-lag association between the green bond index and conventional investment returns, indicating the ineffectiveness of green bond investments in providing shelter to short and medium-term investors. However, the green bond index emerges as a significant hedging and diversification instrument for long-term investors during the market-wide turbulence caused by the pandemic crisis. This is a significant finding given the severity of recent financial turbulence and concerns about energy transition goals (Kuzemko et al., 2020).

5.2. Rolling window cross-quantilogram analysis

The cross-quantilogram heat maps present a static lead-lag association between the green bond index and conventional investments. However, existing studies report a time-varying dependence structure between green bond investments and conventional asset classes (e.g., Reboreda, 2018; Reboreda and Ugolini, 2020). Accordingly, we estimate a rolling window lead-lag association between the green bond index and conventional investments and present the results in graphical format. We estimate the time-varying lead-lag association by applying a recursive sampling approach that uses a 22-day rolling window. The estimation process starts from the first 22 days window and continues until the last day of the sample period. Fig. 4 presents the rolling window cross-quantilogram results wherein the left, middle and right columns contain the lower (0.05), middle (0.5), and upper (0.95) quantiles of green bond index and red, blue and green lines show the lower, middle and upper quantiles of conventional investments under investigation. The horizontal and vertical axis represents the time and quantile hits of the green bond index, respectively.

Fig. 4 confirms the time-varying lead-lag association between the green bond index and conventional investments, as all the graphs depict a dynamic pattern of association. Column 1 (Fig. 4) shows the dynamic correlation between lower quantiles of the green bond index and conventional investment return quantiles. For example, the parallel lower quantiles of all equity investments and green bond index show a
dynamic association that decreases over time. This observation indicates that green bond returns maintain a weak association with conventional equity investments during market-wide turbulence; hence, providing hedging avenues for equity investors. Additionally, a closer look at the pandemic shows a noticeable decline in the lead-lag association, suggesting that green bonds could be an alternate investment during a financial crisis. The decline in the association between the green bond index and conventional investments, such as BOND, USDXY, and commodity investments, is less pronounced for the pandemic period. Moreover, we observe an increasing association between opposing quantiles of green bond index and conventional equity investments, which shows a decoupling effect between green bond returns and conventional investments.

Furthermore, the green bonds index’s middle and upper return

Fig. 2. Heat maps of CQ between daily S&P Green Bond and Financial Markets – Full sample. Note: These figures show the CQ in the form of heat maps. The quantile levels with no significant directional predictability are set to zero. The colored rectangles are the predictable regions where the Box–Ljung test statistic is statistically significant. In each heat map, the horizontal axis represents Financial market return quantiles, while the vertical axis represents S&P Green Bond return quantiles. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
quantiles also show a declining association with the parallel return quantiles of conventional investments except for USDXY and SPGSCI. The decline in the lead-lag association is more pronounced for sample equity investments and OIL in the parallel middle returns quantiles, making green bond investments prime diversification choices for investors of these securities.

6. Conclusions and policy implications

In the wake of the pandemic crisis, worldwide financial markets are experiencing unprecedented downturns, forcing investors to look for alternate investments that provide diversification and hedging opportunities. Besides, countries worldwide are implementing stimulus packages to reboot economies that raise concerns regarding the transition to a low-carbon economy. During these uncertain times, assessing the possible diversification and hedging potential of green financial assets becomes a topic of peculiar interest for investors of conventional assets and economic decision-makers. Such analysis promises to provide essential insights that could help design climate-friendly economic policies and portfolio investments.

Against the backdrop of the pandemic crisis, this study explores the diversification and hedging potential of green bond investments for the
Fig. 2. (continued).
conventional financial asset belonging to equity, fixed income, forex, and commodity markets. To this end, we employ the cross-quantilogram approach that benefits in estimating the lead-lag association between two assets at different return quantiles. Besides, the predictive nature of the cross-quantilogram estimates can provide a better understanding of the dynamic relationship between green bonds and other financial investments under different market conditions.

Our full sample results reveal that the green bond index could serve as a diversifier asset for medium and long-term equity investors. Moreover, it can be a hedging and safe-haven instrument for currency and commodity investments. The sub-sample analysis of the pandemic crisis period shows a heightened short and medium-term lead-lag

Fig. 3. Heat maps of CQ between daily S&P Green Bond and Financial Markets – COVID sub-sample. Note: These figures show the CQ in the form of heat maps. The quantile levels with no significant directional predictability are set to zero. The colored rectangles are the predictable regions where the Box-Ljung test statistic is statistically significant. In each heat map, the horizontal axis represents Financial market return quantiles, while the vertical axis represents S&P Green Bond return quantiles. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
association between the green bond index and conventional investment returns. However, the green bond index emerges as a significant diversifier for long-term investors. Further, the rolling sample analysis exhibits a dynamic lead-lag association in quantile returns that decrease over time for the parallel lower return quantiles of the green bond index and equity investment. Moreover, an increasing trend in the divergent return quantiles indicates the decoupling of green bond index returns from the convention asset returns.

Our findings offer several implications for investors and economic decision-makers to minimize investment risk in conventional financial assets and enhance the investment in low-carbon assets. From investors’ viewpoint, our findings suggest that equity investors operating in medium and long-term horizons can use green bond investments to diversify their portfolios. Similarly, commodity and currency investors may hedge downside risk by including green bond investments in their portfolios. From issuers’ standpoint, our results suggest that amid their diversification potential green bonds will have in higher demand, providing issuers with opportunities to generate capital at favorable rates. Lastly, from the financial market regulators’ perspective, green bonds offer avenues to minimize the impacts of extreme financial market

![Fig. 3. (continued).](image-url)
Fig. 3. (continued).
Fig. 4. Recursive CQ between daily S&P Green Bond and Financial market returns. Note: The vertical (horizontal) axis represents the quantile hits for the financial markets (time). The starting year of the rolling window is marked on the horizontal axis. The left, middle, and right columns, respectively, show the 5%, 50%, and 95% quantiles for S&P Green Bond while, the red, blue, and green lines represent the 5%, 50%, and 95% quantiles for the financial market returns. Lag p = 1. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the Web version of this article.)
turbulence and could serve as a potential vehicle for financial stability during a market-wide crisis such as the COVID-19 pandemic.

On the other hand, at a time when the economies around the world are employing unprecedented stimulus packages to overcome the negative impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, concerns are being raised about their ability to support long-term sustainable development goals and carbon neutrality targets. See, for example, Allam (2020); Perera (2020); UNCTAD (2020) and Tan et al. (2022). Our findings regarding the resilience of green bond investments during the pandemic crisis suggest that it could serve as a sustainable alternate instrument to reboot the global economy in the aftermath of the pandemic crisis. Thus, governments worldwide may allocate resources from their stimulus...
packages to green label investments that will help them reboot their economies without sacrificing the low-carbon transition targets set in different initiatives such as the Paris agreement and sustainable development goals.
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