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**Abstract:** Consumers are interested in consuming clean label foods. Replacing synthetic additives with natural alternatives (especially sources rich in polyphenols) is a valid solution to produce and also preserve foods, especially meat and meat products. *Olea europaea* leaves and olive pomace and wastewater contain polyphenols that can be explored in this context. In this review, we summarize the main aspects related to the phenolic composition, extraction conditions, antimicrobial potential, and antioxidant activity (in vitro and in vivo) of *Olea europaea* leaves, olive pomace and wastewater as well as their applications in the production of meat and meat products. This review found evidence that extracts and isolated polyphenols from the *Olea europaea* tree and olive processing by-products can be explored as natural antioxidant and antimicrobial additives to improve the preservation of meat and meat products. The polyphenols found in these residues (especially oleuropein, hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol) increased the redox state in the main meat-producing animals and, consequently, the oxidative stability of fresh meat obtained from these animals. Moreover, the extracts and isolated polyphenols also improved the shelf life of fresh meat and meat products (as additive and as active component in film) by delaying the growth of microorganisms and the progression of oxidative reactions during storage. The accumulated evidence supports further investigation as a natural additive to improve the preservation of reformulated muscle products and in the production of edible and sustainable films and coatings for fresh meat and meat products.
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**1. Introduction**

The cultivated olive tree (*Olea europaea*) belongs to the genus *Olea*, which is currently cultivated around the globe due to its capacity to thrive under unfavorable conditions such as semi-arid environment, limited water supply and high temperatures during summers [1]. These characteristics were of great importance for the extensive cultivation and the production of olive fruits in the Mediterranean basin, which was the region with the most products in the world [2]. In terms of the global market, the production of olive fruits is destined to be consumed in the form of table olives or is processed to obtain olive oil that accounted for more than 3284 and 3379 million tons in 2018, respectively [2,3].
The large production of olive fruits is motivated by the highly appreciated odor, flavor, and taste as well as nutritional quality associated with consumption of table olives and oil [3–5]. Moreover, olive oil is one of the pillars of the Mediterranean diet, which is associated with an increased healthy status, reduced risk of developing cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, weight management and other illness, and also decreased all-cause mortality [6,7].

Because of the importance of olive oil, several standard regulations have been defined by both national and international organizations, such as the European Commission standards [8], United States Department of Agriculture [9], and International Olive Council [10], that indicate the limits for fatty acids, sterols, and wax content and composition, organoleptic descriptors, absorbance in specific UV lengths, and polyphenols. Although the sector of olive oil is well regulated to reduce the occurrences of intentional adulteration for financial gains, this product ranks in the top of the most reported fraudulent products in the European Union in 2019 (in the “oils and fats” category) [11]. In order to improve the identification of frauds related to olive oil, advanced analytical techniques (such as mass, NMR and vibrational spectroscopy) with posterior data analysis applying chemometrics is a strategy of great value. The importance of this approach is due to the high-throughput, reproducibility, robustness, and higher sensitivity in relation to conventional analytical methods [12,13].

The high production, nutritional importance, health benefits, and commercial value are also followed by higher amounts of residues such as leaves, pomace, and wastewater [14,15]. For example, by-products of olive processing around 10% of the weight of raw material (leaves and waste) that arrives at the olive processing industries are discarded. Studies into finding applications for the by-products produced from the olive oil industry are of great interest not only from an environmental point of view but also from an economic and the human health perspective, because the production of functional foods elaborated with natural extracts from Olea europaea can be an excellent strategy in the food industry [16].

In the processing of virgin olive oil, the malaxation step and crushing of the olive are the main steps to obtain the paste for separation of the oil. Subsequently, the separation of the oil phase is produced through centrifugation or pressure. The three-phase centrifugation system is the main extraction method used in Mediterranean countries [17]. With this method, three phases are separated: the pomace (solid), the olive oil and the wastewater. Regarding quantities after the olive oil extraction, an effluent (olive mill wastewater) containing the combination of water (from processing and washing the olives) and the water contained in the olive fruit is produced. Between 10 and 30 million m³ of wastewater are produced every year [18].

These three phases are rich sources of a polyphenols with a large spectrum of biological activities. Therefore, valuable compounds could be obtained from those materials for the preparation of functional food ingredients and nutraceuticals. The phenolic content in the olive oil accounts for only 2% while the remaining content (98%) is lost in the pomace and olive mill wastewater because hydrolyzed polyphenols are liberated into the brines [19]. Polyphenols from olives may have significant health benefits such as antiatherogenic, antimicrobial, antitumoral, anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective and cytoprotective properties [20,21]. In addition, phenolic compounds of oil wastewater have been demonstrated to have antimicrobial activity [22]. Therefore, there is a growing interest in using by-products from the olive industry in several applications, such as food supplements, functional foods, nutraceuticals and pharmaceutical products [23,24].

In addition to these aspects, it is also relevant to consider the concerns among consumers about the presence of synthetic additives widely applied in meat products processing, such as nitrites, sulphites, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) or butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), and their willingness to buy healthier and safer meat alternatives (clean label products) [25–30]. Based on this health concern, the reduction and/or replacement of these synthetic preservatives by natural extracts from plants have been receiving great attention from researchers and professionals of the meat industry [26,31–40]. One of the research fields is to study the different strategies to produce and select natural extracts from olive industry by-products that do not modify sensory parameters and improve the preservation of
meat and meat products. Some possibilities exist in the development of functional meat products in order to facilitate the incorporation of bioactive compounds and/or limit those that can produce harmful effects on the consumer health. There are several strategies to develop functional products using food of animal origin, firstly focused on animal production (endogenous enrichment) and, secondly, on technological systems (exogenous enrichment). Endogenous enrichment of animal origin products can be commonly carried out throughout genetic or nutritional modifications in animal feeding. Alternatively, the exogenous enrichment can be carried out with the direct transformation of the raw material or the formulation of processed animal origin products by incorporating potential functional ingredients or by introducing the active component into a film (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Schematic representation of the strategies to use polyphenol-rich extracts from Olea europaea to improve the quality and preservation of meat and meat products.

This review aims to discuss the phenolic composition, antioxidant potential and antimicrobial activity of phenolic compounds found in Olea europaea leaves, olive pomace and wastewater as well as their application in animal feeding for meat production and the use in meat products. In addition, a
second objective is to find a variety of studies that feature designed food products of animal origin free of artificial preservatives and using natural extracts obtained from olive oil industry by-products.

2. Phenolic Profile of *Olea europaea* Leaves, Olive Pomace and Wastewater

2.1. Phenolic Profile of Olive Mill Wastewater

Olive mill wastewater is a malodorous acidic liquid (pH 5–5.5) with a strong smell of olive oil and a colour ranging from violet to dark brown [41]. This olive processing by-product is a source of natural antioxidants (especially polyphenols) and other compounds (organic acids, potassium, protein, sugars, phosphatic salts, and other component in stable emulsion state) that end up in the wastewaters [42,43]. Table 1 shows the methods applied to separate, identify and quantify the phenolic compounds found in olive mill wastewater as well as leaves and pomace.

Phenolic components of olive mill wastewater include oleuropein aglycon derivatives, quercetin, luteolin 7-glucoside, and phenolic alcohols [44]. The phenolic compounds identified in this residue include hydroxytyrosol as the major component (66.5%), together with tyrosol, caffeic acid, p-coumaric acid, homovanillic acid, protocatechuic acid, 3,4-dihydroxymandelic acid, vanillic acid, and ferulic acid [45]. In addition, β-hydroxyverbascoside, isoverbascoside and verbascoside have been described in olive mill wastewater [46].

2.2. Phenolic Profile of *Olea europaea* Leaves

Olive leaf is a potential renewable, abundant, and inexpensive source of biophenols [47]. The phenolic profile of olive leaves is affected by several agronomical factors, such as geographical origin, degree of ripeness, leaf age and moisture content; and by technological parameters employed for extraction such as solvent type, preliminary preparations, solvent composition, particle size, extraction temperature, extraction time, pH and pressure [48].

Oleuropein is the major phenolic compound in olive leaves, representing 9% of total leaf weight (dry matter) [49]. In addition, Pereria et al. [50] reported that luteolin 7-O-glucoside, apigenin 7-O-glucoside, and luteolin 4′-O-glucoside are among the main polyphenols in olive leaves. A similar study indicated the presence of glucoside derivatives of luteolin, hydroxytyrosol, verbascoside and apigenin [51]. Among the phenolic acids found in olive leaves, caffeic, p-coumaric, chlorogenic, vanillic and homovanillic acid were also detected [52]. Flavonoids were also detected in olive leaves, such as diosmetin, rutin, quercetin, hesperidin, apigenin 7-O-rutinoside, apigenin 7-O-glucoside, luteolin 7-O-glucoside, luteolin 7-O-rutinoside and luteolin 4-O-glucoside [53].

2.3. Phenolic Profile of Olive Pomace

Olive pomace is the major residue of oil processing and a rich source of polyphenols [54]. Among the main polyphenols present in olive pomace are hydroxytyrosol and comseloside that represent ≈79% and in a lower proportion by tyrosol (3.4 mg/100 g) [55]. However, due to its high phenolic content, olive pomace is also considered phytotoxic [56]. Therefore, the use of environmentally friendly solvents and the development of eco-friendly technologies are mandatory to maximize the extraction of bioactive compounds in olive pomace [57].

Olive pomace contains the majority (98%) of phenolics found in olive fruit [58]. Due to chemical transformations that occur during olive pomace storage, the free forms of tyrosol, oleuropein or hydroxytyrosol can be found in olive pomace together with different analogues [56]. Nunes et al. [54] reported that the major compounds identified in olive pomace are distributed as follows: hydroxytyrosol, comseloside, tyrosol, oleoside riboside. They are distributed as lignans, phenolic alcohols, secoiridoids and derivatives groups [56,59].
Table 1. Main phenolic compounds found in *Olea europaea* leaves, olive pomace and wastewater.

| Source                      | Extraction and Filtration                                                                 | Chromatographic Conditions                                                                 | Identification and Quantification                  | Main Compounds                                                                 | Ref. |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Wastewater Spain and Italy (mg/100 mL of wastewater) | 12 mL with 15 mL of acid water; Extrelut 20 mL cartridge | LiChrosorb RP18 column; 26 °C; eluent A and B: water and acetonitrile; flow rate: 1 mL/min | DAD 1 and monitoring at 240, 254, 280, 330, and 350 nm; Mass analyser with gas temperature: 350 °C; flow rate: 10.0 L/min; nebulizer pressure 30 psi; quadrupole temperature: 30 °C; and capillary voltage: 350 V | Hydroxytyrosol (3.6–13.1), tyrosol (2.9–4.1), caffeic acid (0.4), dAcO1ag 4 (132.4), luteolin 7-O-glucoside (0.2–36.6), cinnamic acid derivate (0.4–11.8), and luteolin (0.5–62.3) | [60] |
| Wastewater (g TYE 2/L)      | PES 3 membrane microfiltration (0.05 µm pore), 250 rpm, acidification, defatted and ethyl acetate extraction | Lichrosphere C18 column; mobile phase: acetonitrile/water acidified with acetic acid; flow rate: 0.8 mL/min; injection volume: 20 µL | Standard compounds and retention time | Hydroxytyrosol (2.1–3.8), tyrosol (0.2–2.5), p-coumaric acid (0.5–0.8), gallic acid (0.3–0.6), hydroxytyrosol-4-β-glucoside (0.17–0.23), caffeic acid (0.1), and oleuropein aglycone (0.1) | [45] |
| Leaves                      | 1.25 g with 25 mL of methanol; 0.22 µm pore syringe filters | Zorbax SB C18 column; 37 °C; eluent A and B: acetic acid in 2 mM sodium acetate and acetonitrile; flow rate: 0.8 mL/min; injection volume: 10 µL | DAD with standard compounds and monitoring at 280, 320, 360, and 520 nm | Oleuropein (40.33%), verbascoside (5.68%), luteolin 7-O-glucoside (5.05%), apigenin 7-O-glucoside (3.13%), hydroxytyrosol (1.82%), and tyrosol (1.76%) | [59] |
| Leaves (mg/kg)              | MAE 4; 1 g with 8 mL of 80% hydroethanolic solution, 8 min, 200 W | Zorbax Eclipse XDB C18; 10 °C; eluent A and B: 0.1% formic acid and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile–water; flow rate: 0.8 mL/min; injection volume: 50 µL | Mass analyser with standard compounds; flow rate: 11 L/min; 300 °C; nebulizer pressure: 35 psi; and capillary voltage: 4000 V | Oleuropein (17,000–25,000), verbascoside (1000–2000), apigenin-7-glucoside (137–260), and luteolin-7-glucoside (127–191) | [53] |
| Leaves (mg/kg)              | 5 g with 150 mL of boiling water, 30 min | Spherisorb ODS2; eluent A and B: water/formic acid (19:1) and methanol; flow rate: 0.9 mL/min; injection volume: 20 µL | DAD with standard compounds and monitoring at 280, 320, and 350 nm | Oleuropein (26,471), luteolin 7-O-glucoside (4209), apigenin 7-O-glucoside (2333), luteolin 4′-O-glucoside (1356), verbascoside (966), rutin (496), and caffeic acid (220) | [50] |
| Pomace                      | 1 g with 50% hydroethanolic solution; 0.22 µm pore syringe filters | Zorbax SB C18 column; 20 °C; eluent A and B: 1% acetic acid and methanol; flow rate: 1 mL/min | FLD 5 with wavelength excitation at 280 nm and emission at 330 nm; DAD and monitoring at 280, 320 and 335 nm; Mass analyser with capillary voltage: 15 V, 325 °C; mass analyser with capillary voltage: 15 V, 325 °C; Mass analyser with capillary voltage: 15 V, 325 °C | Hydroxytyrosol (53.78%), conselgoside (25.36%), tyrosol (3.03%), oleside riboside (1.96%), oleuropein derivate (1.65%), and verbascoside derivate (1.61%) | [55] |
| Source       | Extraction and Filtration                                      | Chromatographic Conditions                                      | Identification and Quantification                             | Main Compounds                                                                 | Ref. |
|-------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Pomace (mg/kg) | Static–dynamic method: 80% hydroethanolic solution; 200 °C; flow rate: 1 mL/min | Zorbax Eclipse XDB C 18; 10 °C; eluent A and B: 0.1% formic acid and 0.1% formic acid in acetonitrile–water; flow rate: 0.8 mL/min; injection volume: 50 µL | Mass analyser with standard compounds; flow rate: 11 L/min; 300 °C; nebuliser pressure: 35 psi; and capillary voltage: 4000 V | Hydroxytyrosol (332–1631), oleuropein (10–660), verbascoside (10–20), apigenin (8–22), luteolin 7-glucoside (4–14), luteolin (3–22), and apigenin 7-glucoside (0.5–6.3) | [53] |
| Pomace (mg/L)  | Pressing and filtration: celite and 0.2 µm pore syringe filters | Acquity C18 BEH column; 35 °C; eluent A and B: water/formic acid (99.5/0.5) and acetonitrile; flow rate: 0.3–0.4 mL/min; injection volume: 1 µL | DAD with standard compounds and monitoring at 280, 330, 360, and 520 nm | Hydroxytyrosol (371), hydroxytyrosol glucoside 1 (165), tyrosol (148), hydroxytyrosol glucoside 2 (88), caffeic acid (68), and p-coumaric acid (18) | [56] |

1 Diode array detector; 2 Tyrosol equivalents; 3 Polyethersulfone; 4 Microwave-assisted extraction, and 5 Fluorescence detector.
3. Antioxidant and Antimicrobial Activity of *Olea europaea* Polyphenols

3.1. Antioxidant Activity in Vitro

Characterizing the antioxidant activity in extracts is a challenging task that involves more than one method [61]. This condition is derived from the multiple mechanisms associated with antioxidant effect. In food samples, the main mechanisms that take place to explain the delaying of the progression of oxidative reactions are the hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) and single electron transfer (SET) in free radicals [61,62].

In this sense, several methods have been proposed to characterize the mechanisms involved in the antioxidant activity of natural extracts. In the case of SET assays, some of the most widely applied tests are cupric reducing antioxidant capacity (CUPRAC), ferric reducing ability of plasma (FRAP), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), and 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS). Particularly for HAT assays, oxygen radical absorbance capacity (ORAC), β-carotene bleach assay, and inhibition of lipoperoxidation can be cited as tests commonly applied [61,62].

The different parts of *Olea europaea* plant contain antioxidant compounds, especially the by-products generated from the processing of its fruits as indicated by screening methods (Table 2). The capacity to scavenge radicals, evaluated by DPPH and ABTS radical assays, have been applied by several researchers to characterize the antioxidant activity of olive processing by-products [15,63–67]. It is also relevant mentioning that the characterization of antioxidant activity of *Olea europaea* extracts by CUPRAC [66] and ORAC [68] assays were also reported in literature. These results support the hypothesis that the antioxidant found in *Olea europaea* plant can scavenge free radicals by different mechanisms (SET and HAT) and delay oxidative reactions.

### Table 2. Antioxidant activity in vitro of different parts of *Olea europaea* and olive oil by-products.

| Source and Cultivar (Origin of Samples) | Extraction Conditions | Antioxidant Activity of Extract | Ref. |
|----------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| Leaves, Gemlik cultivar (Marmara, Turkey) | MW¹ power (300, 400, and 500 W), solid mass (1.5, 2.0, and 2.5), and drying time (4, 5, and 6 min), solvent (50% methanol), solid/solvent ratio (1 g:64 mL), time (83 s), and filtration | DPPH²: 25.216 mg TE⁵/g; optimum conditions: 459.257 W with 2.085 g sample for 6 min | 15 |
| Alpeorujo (Sevilla, Spain) | Steam treatment (80 °C or 120 °C for 60 min or 160 °C for 30 min), precipitation (70% ethanol), bleaching (acetic acid and sodium chlorite), and freeze-drying | ORAC⁴: 387 µmol TE/g; optimum conditions: 80 °C for 60 min without bleaching | 68 |
| Pomace, Arbequina cultivar (California, USA) | Drying: freeze-drying, hot-air drying (80 °C for 130 min), and high- and low-speed drum drying (62 and 105 and s/revolution), solid/solvent ratio (1 g:26.6 mL), solvent (methanol), and time (20 h) | DPPH: all drying methods reduced antioxidant activity; low-speed drum drying was the most efficient to preserve antioxidant activity | 65 |
| Pomace, Carolea and Ottobratica cultivar (Florence, Italy) | Defatting (n-hexane), solvent (80 and 100% ethanol), solid/solvent ratio (1 g:2–5 mL), and time (30, 60, and 120 min) | DPPH: >80% inhibition, optimum conditions: 30 min, 80% ethanol, and 1 g:4 mL (Carolea cultivar); 55–70% inhibition, optimum conditions: 120 min, 80% ethanol, and 1 g:2 mL (Ottobratica cultivar) | 63 |

¹ MW: microwave; ² DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; ³ mg TE/g; ⁴ ORAC: oxygen radical absorbance capacity; ⁵ µmol TE/g; ⁶ Ref.: reference number.
Another important aspect related to the antioxidant activity of *Olea europaea* extract is the influence of sample preparation (prior to extraction stage) and extracting conditions. For instance, the study performed by Şahin et al. [15] explored the influence of microwave (MW) drying conditions on the antioxidant activity of *Olea europaea* leaves extracts. According to these authors, a significant effect was obtained by using different levels of MW power and solid mass as well as drying time [15]. A related study reported the effect of drying method (freeze vs. hot air vs. drum drying) and conditions (high- vs. low-speed drum drying) on the antioxidant activity of olive oil pomace [65]. Although all drying methods reduced the antioxidant activity in comparison to extract obtained from fresh pomace, the use of drum drying at low speed caused the lowest reduction in antioxidant activity of extract in comparison to other drying methods.

Once the olives are collected and properly prepared for oil extraction, the processing is carried out and generates residues rich in antioxidant compounds. In the case of alpeorujo, a recent study evaluated the influence of steam treatment (80 °C or 120 °C for 60 min or 160 °C for 30 min) and bleaching in the antioxidant capacity of alpeorujo ethanolic extract [68]. According to the authors, the highest antioxidant activity was obtained using steam treatment at 80 °C for 60 min without bleaching the alpeorujo (around 400 µmol TE/g).

In the case of solvent effect on the antioxidant activity of olive oil by-products extract, it was indicated that hydroethanolic [63], hydromethanolic [69] and acidified water (5% HCl) [64] are interesting solvents to obtain extracts with high antioxidant activity from pomace. In the case of peels, acidified water (5% HCl) was the most efficient solvent to extract antioxidant compounds. Particularly for seeds, the use of boiling water, acidified water (1 and 5% HCl) and 50% hydroethanolic solution were more efficient to extract antioxidants than cold water [64].

The proportion of solid and solvent also plays an important role in the recovery of antioxidant from olive oil pomace. This outcome was reported by Goldsmith et al. [66], who indicated that 2 g:100 mL (water) was the optimum proportion to improve the extraction of antioxidants from olive oil pomace. A similar experiment carried out by De Bruno et al. [63] with the same olive oil by-product indicated that using a ratio of 1 g:4 mL for *Carolea* cultivar and 1 g:2 mL for *Ottobratica* cultivar produced extracts with high antioxidant capacity.

Another relevant variable that affects the antioxidant activity of olive oil by-products extracts is extraction time. This variable was studied by Goldsmith et al. [66] in olive oil pomace. According to the authors, increasing extraction time from 45 or 60 to 75 min was associated with a higher antioxidant activity. Accordingly, De Bruno et al. [63] indicated that increasing extraction from 30 or 60 to 120 min

| Source and Cultivar (Origin of Samples) | Extraction Conditions | Antioxidant Activity of Extract | Ref. |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|------|
| Pomace, peels, and seeds (Diyala, Iraq) | Solvent (cold and hot water; 1 and 5% HCl, 30% hydroethanolic solution), time (2 days), temperature (RT) \(^6\), and centrifugation (3000 rpm) | DPPH: IC \(_{50}\) \(^7\) 72.6 (pomace; 5% HCl), 71.3 (peels, 5% HCl), and 68.79.3 (seeds; all solvents except cold water) \(\mu g/mL\) | [64] |
| Pomace (Sharkia, Egypt)                | Drying (50 °C), defatting (n-hexane), solvent (acetone and 70% methanol), solid/solvent ratio (1:10), time (48 h), centrifugation (2000 rpm for 15 min), and freeze-drying | DPPH: 83% inhibition (methylene extract) | [69] |
| Pomace, Manzanilla cultivar (Hunter Valley, Australia) | Defatting (hexane), US \(^8\) power (100, 150, and 250 W), time (45, 60, and 75 min), solid/solvent ratio (1, 2, and 3 g:100 mL) | CUPRAC \(^9\): 73.5 mg TE/g; DPPH: 31.2 mg TE/g; optimum conditions: 250 W, 75 min, and 2 g:100 mL for both antioxidant assays | [66] |
| Wastewater (Tizi Ouzou, Algeria)       | Centrifugation (15000 g for 1 h at 4 °C) | DPPH: increasing antioxidant activity in the range 0.2–2 g/L (>60–80%) | [67] |

---

1 MW: microwave; 2 DPPH: 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl; 3 TE: trolox equivalent; 4 ORAC: Oxygen radical capacity; 5 ABTS: 2,2’-Azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid); 6 RT: room temperature; 7 IC \(_{50}\): concentration required to clear 50% DPPH free radicals; 8 US: ultrasound; and 9 CUPRAC: cupric reducing antioxidant capacity.
improved the antioxidant activity of olive oil pomace extracts. In the case of leaves, a study reported a shorter extraction time (83 s) [15] in comparison to these aforementioned studies using pomace. A study exploring the influence of ultrasound (US) power on the extraction of antioxidants from olive oil pomace indicated that the highest values were obtained by 250 W in comparison to less intense treatments (100 and 150 W) [66].

It is worth mentioning that wastewater also contains compounds with antioxidant activity. According to Akretche et al. [67], this residue is rich in polyphenols (especially hydroxytyrosol and tyrosol) and can scavenge free radicals after a simple stage preparation (centrifugation at 15,000 g for 1 h at 4 °C). Collectively, the antioxidant activity of compounds found in *Olea europaea* has free radical scavenging activity, a capacity to reduce transition metals (CUPRAC assay). Moreover, the extracting conditions (drying of raw material, solvent composition, solid/solvent ratio, extraction time as well as the number of extractions) can influence the extraction of phenolic compounds.

3.2. Antioxidant Activity in Vivo in Meat-Producing Animals

The aerobic respiration, oxidative metabolism, and biochemical processes generate low levels of reactive species in aerobic organisms. In normal conditions, reactive species are converted into less reactive molecules by the action of both endogenous and exogenous antioxidants [70–72]. In terms of enzymatic antioxidants, three enzymes are involved: superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (GPX). Particularly for SOD, this enzyme catalyzes the decomposition of superoxide anion to hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$) and oxygen (O$_2$). CAT is an enzyme that forms water and oxygen from H$_2$O$_2$ as well as reducing lipid hydroperoxides (ROOH) into lipid alcohol (ROH), water and a deprotonated H donor. In the case of GPX, ROOH are reduced to ROH consuming glutathione (GSH) [70].

In the case of non-enzymatic antioxidants, many molecules have been included in this group such as bilirubin, glutathione (GSH), melatonin, metal binding proteins, polyamines, reduced coenzyme Q, thiols, and uric acid (UA) [71,72]. These molecules are directly involved with inactivation of oxidizing compounds as well as intermediate products of oxidative reactions with potential impact on redox balance [72].

Although the endogenous antioxidant defenses are constantly balancing the redox status towards the homeostasis, additional antioxidant protection (by means of exogenous antioxidants) is of great value to assist the natural defense against reactive species, such as polyphenols, vitamin E, and alpha-tocopherol [72,73].

Another relevant approach to characterize the redox status is the evaluation of formation of oxidation products, such as those from lipids (thiobarbituric acid reactive substances—TBARS) and proteins (carbonyl formation) [72]. Collectively, the levels of endogenous and exogenous antioxidants as well as the accumulation of oxidation products provide a comprehensive view of redox status in an aerobic organism [72]. In this line of thought, studies have been carried out on the influence of natural antioxidants from *Olea europaea* parts in the redox status of animals used for meat products (Table 3).

A relevant example of the protective effect of *Olea europaea* parts against oxidative stress is the study carried out by Gerasopoulos et al. [74] with pigs. According to the authors, a 2% addition of either retentate or permeate mill wastewater (obtained using a ceramic microfiltration membrane and a resin column, respectively) improved the total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and also reduced the levels of carbonyl and TBARS in the blood of animals fed with the enriched diet. Similarly, Rey et al. [75] indicated that the blood total antioxidant capacity (TAC) and GSH level increased in pigs fed with oleuropein (one of the phenolic compounds found in the leaves of *Olea europaea*).
Table 3. Antioxidant activity in vivo of meat-producing animals fed with *Olea europaea* polyphenols.

| Animal                                  | Source                      | Supplementation Proportion and Time | Antioxidant Effect                                                                 |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Landrace × Large White Duroc Pietrain pigs | Mill wastewater retentate and permeate | 2% of feeding; 50 days             | Increased TAC \(^1\); reduced carbonyl and MDA \(^2\) levels (plasma); increased GSH \(^3\), CAT \(^4\), and TAC (muscles and other tissues); reduced carbonyl and MDA levels after 15 and 30 days (muscles and other tissues) |
| Large White × Landrace pigs             | Oleuropein                  | 96 mg/kg feeding; 35 days          | Increased TAC and GSH; no effect in MDA levels (plasma)                             |
| Brown Swiss × Baladi calves             | Pomace                      | 15% of feeding; 2 months           | Increased TAC and catalase activity; reduced MDA levels; no effect in GPx \(^5\) (plasma); increased UA \(^6\) (liver)                        |
| Mahabadi goat                           | Leaves                      | 7.5 and 15% of feeding; 84 days    | Increased TAC and catalase activity; reduced MDA levels; no effect in GPx \(^5\) (plasma); increased UA \(^6\) (liver)                        |
| Bandarah chickens                       | Leaves extract              | 50.0, 100.0, and 150 mg oleuropein/kg feeding; 24 weeks | Increased TAC and SOD \(^7\) activity; reduced MDA levels (plasma)                   |
| Hubbard broiler chickens                | Mill wastewater retentate and permeate | 2% of feeding; 17, 27, and 37 days | Increased TAC; reduced carbonyl and MDA levels (plasma)                             |
| Broiler chickens                        | Cake meal                   | 2 and 4% of feeding; 35 days       | No effect in UA level; slight reduction in MDA levels (plasma)                      |
| Japanese quail                          | Pulp                        | 50 and 100 g/kg feeding; 6 weeks   | Increased GSH and GSR \(^8\); no effect in UA on MDA level (plasma)                |
| Japanese quail                          | Oleuropein                  | 200 mg/kg feeding; 2 weeks         | Increased total antioxidant status; reduced total oxidative stress (liver)           |

\(^1\) TAC: total antioxidant capacity; \(^2\) MDA: malondialdehyde; \(^3\) GSH: glutathione; \(^4\) CAT: catalase; \(^5\) GPx: glutathione peroxidase; \(^6\) UA: uric acid; \(^7\) SOD: superoxide dismutase; and \(^8\) GSR: glutathione reductase.

In the case of cattle, a study indicated that calves consuming a feed with 15% olive oil pomace had higher levels in terms of antioxidant capacity, uric acid and catalase activity than animals in the control diet. The oxidation markers in the blood of animals fed with the pomace diet were reduced and no effect on GPx was reported by the authors [76]. The in vivo antioxidant effect of *Olea europaea* polyphenols was also studied in goats, such as those reported by Hukerdi et al. [77]. According to the authors, a concentration-dependent effect of olive leaves (7.5 and 15% of feed) in the redox status increased TAC and reduced TBARS level in plasma of Mahabadi goats.

Likewise, some recent studies reported that poultry redox status can be improved by the use of *Olea europaea* polyphenols. For instance, Ahmed et al. [78] indicated that using 50.0, 100.0, or 150 mg oleuropein/kg feed increased the total antioxidant capacity and SOD activity as well as reduced the TBARS levels in the blood of Bandarah chickens. A related study indicated that higher antioxidant activity and reduced levels of carbonyls and TBARS in the plasma of Hubbard chickens were obtained from animals fed with retentate and permeate (2%) of olive mill wastewater than with chicken feed with control diet [79]. Additionally, the authors indicated that the improvement of redox status was observed throughout the supplementation period. Similarly, Saleh et al. [80] reported a significant reduction in TBARS levels in broiler chickens fed with olive cake meal (2 and 4%) for 35 days.

Two recent studies with Japanese quail (*Coturnix coturnix japonica*) fed with olive pulp from oil extraction and oleuropein indicated a significant increase in the antioxidant status. In the case of olive pulp (50 and 100 g/kg), both GSH and GSR levels were improved but no significant effect was reported for UA and TBARS levels in the blood after 6 weeks of supplemented diet [81]. Likewise,
the addition of oleuropein (200 mg/kg) was also associated with increased antioxidant capacity and reduced oxidative stress in the liver of Japanese quail [82].

In terms of assessment, most of the studies reported using blood samples. A large body of evidence supports the importance of measuring the molecules from blood samples (such as CAT, GPx, GSH, SOD, and TBARS) due to their correlation with the redox status in tissues [83]. In the case of the present review, the studies performed by Gerasopoulos et al. [74] with pigs, Hukerdi et al. [77] with goats, and Gerasopoulos et al. [79] with chicken indicated a simultaneous increase in antioxidant potential in the plasma and muscle.

Taking into account the antioxidant defenses against oxidative stress, the use of natural sources in animal feeding is an important strategy to improve the antioxidant status in pigs, cattle, goat, chicken, and quail used for meat production and to strengthen the functional role (in animals) of the Olea europaea plant as source of bioactive polyphenols.

### 3.3. Antimicrobial Activity in Vitro

The addition of natural preservatives in meat and meat products is part of the actions carried out to develop foods in accordance with consumers’ trends [84]. Among the several candidates, phenolic compounds stand out as a relevant group due to the diversity of compounds and effectiveness against several spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms [85]. In the case of Olea europaea polyphenols, recent studies indicated that the pomace, leaves, and commercial extracts rich in polyphenols have antimicrobial activity against both Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria (Table 4). This antimicrobial activity is dependent on the composition of the extract, as indicated by Wahdan and Taha [69], who reported significant differences in the inhibition zone of both *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus aureus* exposed to pomace extracts obtained using acetone or 70% methanol solution. Larger inhibition zones were obtained using the hydromethanolic extract.

In this line of thought, Friedman et al. [86] compared the antimicrobial effect of Hidrox-12 (a freeze-dried extract from olive juice) with hydroxytyrosol and indicated that a greater antimicrobial effect was obtained using the isolated compounds rather than the extract against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Furthermore, the comparison of antimicrobial activity (*Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica*) between hydroxytyrosol and oleuropein was recently explored by Peng et al. [87]. According to the authors, the lower minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values were obtained against both bacteria using hydroxytyrosol rather than oleuropein.

In the case of oleuropein, contrasting results have been reported in terms of the effect against Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria. For instance, the study carried out by Dominicano et al. [90] evaluated the antimicrobial effect of oleuropein and reported that the inhibition zone obtained against *E. coli* was smaller than that obtained for *S. aureus*. Similarly, Yuan et al. [92] obtained the same result using an oleuropein extract against the same bacteria. Conversely, another experiment carried out by Dominicano et al. [91] indicated no significant difference between inhibition zones among *Listeria monocytogenes*, *S. aureus* and *E. coli*.

Although differences in the antimicrobial activity have been reported, both hydroxytyrosol [86–89] and oleuropein [90–92] play a central role in the antimicrobial activity of Olea europaea polyphenols. Particularly for hydroxytyrosol, the antimicrobial effect in different bacteria was tested against the growth of both Gram-negative (*Aeromonas hydrophila*, *E. coli*, *Erwinia carotovora*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Shigella sonnei*, *S. aureus*, and *Yersinia enterocolitica*) and Gram-positive bacteria (*Kocuria rhizophila*, *L. monocytogenes*, and *Pediococcus acidilactici*). The authors indicated that MIC values ≥1000 µg/mL were obtained for the majority of tested microorganisms for both groups. A similar outcome was reported by Techathuvanan et al. [89] using a commercial extract (Hidrox 10, 46% of hydroxytyrosol) against *E. coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis, *Enterobacter aerogenes*, *Bacillus cereus*, *S. aureus*, and *L. monocytogenes*. The MIC values obtained for the tested microorganisms ranged between 1400 and 5200 mg/L. Moreover, lower MIC values were
obtained for Gram-positive (*Bacillus cereus*, *S. aureus*, and *L. monocytogenes*) then for Gram-negative (*E. coli* O157:H7, *S. enterica* serovar Enteritidis, *Enterobacter aerogenes*) bacteria.

Table 4. Antimicrobial activity in vitro of polyphenol-rich extracts and isolated compounds from *Olea europaea*.

| Source                                                                 | Tested Microorganisms                                                                 | Antimicrobial Effect                                                                 | Ref. |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------|
| Pomace extract (acetonic and hydromethanolic extracts)                | *Escherichia coli*, and *Staphylococcus aureus*                                         | Inhibition zone: 10 mm for acetone extract for *E. coli* and *S. aureus* 14 and 15 mm for hydromethanolic extract for *E. coli* and *S. aureus* | [69] |
| Hydroxytyrosol (commercial isolate) and Hidrox-12 (commercial extract) | *S. aureus* (ATCC6538; non-MRSA strain)                                                 | Dose-dependent effect for both commercial products                                   | [86] |
| Hydroxytyrosol and oleuropein (fruit or leaves extract)               | *E. coli* (EHEC) (ATCC 700927) and *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium (ATCC 19588) |                                                                                                                                               |      |
|                                                                        | *Aeromonas hydrophila* CECT 389, *E. coli* CECT 4972, *Erwinia carotovora* CECT 225, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* CECT 143, *Kocuria rhizophila* CECT 4070, *Listeria monocytogenes* CECT 940, *Pediococcus acidilactici* CECT 98, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* CECT 110, *Shigella sonneti* CECT 457, *S. aureus* CECT 784, and *Yersinia enterocolitica* CECT 4315 | MIC >1000 µg/mL for *P. aeruginosa*, *Y. enterocolitica*, and *S. typhimurium*, *A. hydrophila*, and *L. monocytogenes*; 1000 µg/mL for *E. carotovora*, *K. pneumoniae*, *S. sonneti*, *P. acidilactici*, and *K. rhizophila*; 400 µg/mL for *E. coli* and *S. aureus* | [88] |
| Hydroxytyrosol (commercial isolate)                                   | *E. coli* O157:H7 ATCC BAA-1882, *S. enterica* serovar Enteritidis ATCC BAA-1045, *Enterobacter aerogenes* ATCC 13048, *Bacillus cereus* F4433/73, *S. aureus* ATCC 25923, and *L. monocytogenes* ATCC 19111 |                                                                                                                                               |      |
| Hidrox 10X (commercial extract)                                        | *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) and *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923); count 10⁸                          | Inhibition zone: 7.3 mm for *E. coli* and 10.0 mm for *S. aureus*                     | [90] |
| Oleuropein (commercial isolate)                                       | *L. monocytogenes* (ATCC 7644), *S. aureus* (ATCC 25923) and *E. coli* (ATCC 25922) |                                                                                                                                               | [91] |
| Oleuropein (commercial isolate)                                       | *E. coli* (CMCC 44102) and *S. aureus* (CMCC 26003)                                    | Inhibition zone: 10.2–17.9 mm against *E. coli* and 10.5–24.8 mm against *S. aureus* | [92] |

**1** MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration.

The higher effectiveness of *Olea europaea* polyphenols against bacteria has been attributed to multiple mechanisms. The study performed with a polyphenol-rich extract (mainly composed of hydroxytyrosol) from olive oil processing in *B. cereus* indicated that the bacteria exposed to this extract displayed reduced intracellular ATP and bacterial protein content, depolarized cell membrane and also poor capacity to retain intracellular components [93].

Particularly for the effect of *Olea europaea* polyphenols on the intracellular ATP content of bacteria, Amini et al. [94] explored in detail the relation between DHPG, hydroxytyrosol, tyrosol, and oleuropein with ATP synthase (an enzyme directly involved in the generation of energy in the form of ATP) in...
E. coli. The authors observed that after polyphenols bind to the polyphenol binding pocket of ATP synthase, the activity of this enzyme is reduced, which affects microbial metabolism and eventually leads to death. Collectively, the studies carried out to characterize and understand the impact of Olea europaea polyphenol-rich extracts and the major compounds (especially hydroxytyrosol and oleuropein) on bacteria strengthened their use as antimicrobial agents with potential application as preservatives in food products.

4. Use of Phenolic Compounds Obtained from Olea europaea in Meat Production and Products

4.1. Animal Feeding and Meat Quality

The consumption of a polyphenol-rich diet by meat-producing animals can influence the oxidative status and stability of fresh meat during storage (Table 5). Regarding the effect on the fresh meat of lambs, Hamdi et al. [95] indicated that on the oxidative status (measured by the lipid oxidation level and the activity of SOD, GPx, and CAT) of the longissimus lumborum obtained from animals fed with olive oil cake no significant effect was observed in comparison to animals in the control group.

| Animal (Muscle) | Source | Animal Treatments | Point(s) of Assay | Effect on Meat Quality | Ref. |
|----------------|--------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|------|
| Barbarine lambs (longissimus lumborum) | Cake | 280 g/day; feed until achieve 33 kg live weight; 7.5 and 15% feeding; 84 days of feeding | In fresh meat | No effect on lipid oxidation, CAT \(^1\), GSH \(^2\), and SOD \(^3\) | [95] |
| Mahabadi goats (longissimus lumborum) | Leaves | 3.2 mg/day; 78 days | During 10 days at 4 °C | Slowed the increase of MDA \(^4\) levels up to 10 days | [77] |
| Saanen goat (longissimus thoracis et lumborum) | Mill wastewater | 10% feeding; 35 days | During 7 days at 4 °C | Reduced lipid oxidation during storage | [96] |
| New Zealand White rabbits (longissimus thoracis et lumborum) | Leaves | 10% feeding; 35 days | In fresh meat | No effect on lipid oxidation | [98] |
| New Zealand White rabbits (longissimus dorsi) | Leaves | 10% feeding; 35 days | In fresh meat | No effect on lipid oxidation and thiol; slight increase in carbonyl content | [99] |
| PIC Landrace × PIC Large white pigs (longissimus thoracis) | Dried pulp | 50 g/kg feeding; 30 days | During 8 days at 4 °C | Significant antioxidant effect in the first day of storage | [97] |
| Ross 308 chickens (pectoralis major) | Cake | 8.25 and 16.5 g/100 g feeding; 20 days | In fresh meat | Increased the antioxidant capacity and reduced lipid oxidation using 16.5 g/100 g | [100] |
| Cobb chickens (pectoralis major) | Leaves | 5 and 10 g/kg feeding; 41 days | In fresh meat | Reduced primary lipid oxidation products | [101] |
| Cobb 500 chickens (pectoralis major) | Dried pulp | 8 g/kg feeding in grower and finisher diets; 31 days | In fresh meat | Slight prooxidant effect | [102] |
| Ross 308 (pectoralis major) | Mill wastewater | 4.8 and 9.9%; 20 days | During 7 days at 4 °C | Slowed both lipid and protein oxidation | [103] |

\(^1\) CAT: catalase; \(^2\) GSH: glutathione; \(^3\) SOD: superoxide dismutase; and \(^4\) MDA: malondialdehyde.

Conversely, studies carried out during the shelf life of goat meat indicate the protection of Olea europaea polyphenols against oxidative reactions. This outcome was obtained by Hukerdi et al. [77]
using the leaves of *Olea europaea* in the diet of Mahabadi goats. According to the authors, significantly lower values were obtained from the meat of animals fed the supplemented diet in comparison to goats given the control diet during storage (10 days at 4 °C). Similarly, Cimmino et al. [96] noticed that the olive mill wastewater improved the oxidative stability of the meat obtained from Saanen goats (7 days at 4 °C).

In the same line of thought, a recent study carried out on the shelf life of fresh pig meat obtained from animals fed with olive dried pulp displayed higher oxidative stability than the meat from animals in the control diet group during 8 days at 4 °C [97]. Conversely, two studies carried out by the same research group with New Zealand White rabbits indicated that the leaves of *Olea europaea* did not influence the lipid oxidation or the formation of thiols in fresh rabbit meat of [98,99].

Particularly for chicken meat, two recent studies indicated contrasting results in terms of the oxidative status of fresh meat. On one side, Branciari et al. [100] reported a significant increase in the oxidative status in the pectoralis major of chickens fed with olive cake (16.5 g/100 g feeding) in comparison to lower concentrations of this residue in the control diet. Similarly, da Silva et al. [101] observed that feeding chickens olive leaves reduced the peroxide and conjugated dienes levels and did not affect the TBARS and carbonyl levels of fresh pectoralis major. On the other side, Papadomichelakis et al. [102] noticed a slightly pro-oxidant effect in the meat obtained from chickens in the olive dried pulp-enriched diet in comparison to animals in the control diet.

This difference may be explained by auto-oxidation of polyphenols. It is worth mentioning that the values obtained by these authors (below 0.6 mg MDA/kg [102]) are under the threshold range for sensory perception of oxidation in meat (between 0.6 and 2.0 mg MDA/kg) [104–106]. In terms of oxidative stability during storage, a recent study carried out by Roila et al. [103] indicated that lipid and protein oxidation in chicken breast were delayed due to inclusion of olive mill wastewater in the diet of chickens.

It is worth mentioning that the meat obtained from animals fed with *Olea europaea* polyphenols was also used to produce patties [101] and sausages [107]. In the case of patties, the inclusion of olive leaves in the diet of chickens (5 and 10 g/kg feeding) led to an inhibition in the accumulation of lipid oxidation and protein oxidation in raw frozen burger for up to 60 days of storage [101]. Additionally, the patties displayed significantly lower levels of mesophilic aerobic bacteria, psychrophilic aerobic bacteria, *Staphylococcus* spp., and Enterobacteriaceae throughout the storage period. A similar outcome was noted in the lipid oxidation of fresh pork sausages produced from the meat of animals fed with olive pomace (25% feeding) [107].

Additionally, a large body of evidence indicated that the use of *Olea europaea* polyphenols in animal feed does not negatively affect other quality indicators (pH, cooking loss, and shear force, for instance) of meat obtained from lambs [95,108–111], goats [77,96], rabbits [98,99], pigs [97,112], cattle [113], and chicken [100–102], in terms of chemical composition, pH, color, shear force, drip loss, cooking loss, or sensory properties. Collectively, *Olea europaea* polyphenols can improve the redox status of fresh meat during storage. Additionally, a minimal or not meaningful impact in the quality and oxidative status of fresh meat were also reported, which supports the use of the *Olea europaea* polyphenols in the production of meat.

4.2. Meat Products Quality and Shelf Life

In order to prevent the progression of oxidative reactions and the microbial degradation, two strategies have been explored by researchers: the use of natural extracts/isolated compounds as a food additive [29,114,115] and as active components in coatings and films [116–118]. In this line of thought, recent studies have explored the effect of *Olea europaea* polyphenols on fresh and minced meat as well as on meat products such as patties, frankfurters, deep fried cuts, and dry-fermented sausages (Table 6).
Table 6. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activity of polyphenol-rich extracts from *Olea europaea* and isolated compounds in meat and meat products.

| Meat or Meat Product                  | Source/Isolated Compound and Treatments | Point(s) of Assay | Effect in Quality and Shelf Life | Ref. |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|------|
| Raw minced beef                       | Leaves; 1 and 5% (v/w)                  | Stored for 7 days at 7 °C | 5% extract prevented psychrotrophic growth and slight inhibition of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella enterica* ser. Enteritidis; slowed lipid oxidation; better scores in sensory analysis | [119] |
| Raw and cooked minced beef            | Leaves; 100 and 150 µg phenols/g meat  | Stored for 12 days at 4 °C | Reduced the formation of metmyoglobin and lipid oxidation; no effect on sensory attributes | [120] |
| Raw lamb patties                      | Wet cake; 100, 200, and 400 mg GAE/kg meat (modified atmosphere) | Stored for 9 days at 4 °C | Inhibited lipid and protein oxidation (carbonyl); no effect on thiol; reduced lamb odor, fish odor, lamb flavor, fish flavor; increased odd odor and flavor | [121] |
| Raw beef patties                      | Oleuropein; 0.25, 0.5, and 0.75%        | Stored for 6 months at −12 °C | Slight inhibition in lipid oxidation | [122] |
| Cooked beef patties                   | Cake; 2, 4 or 6% (w/w)                  | Stored for 7 days at 4 °C | Slight increase in antioxidant activity of patties; no effect on lipid oxidation and redness; inhibited microbial growth; better preservation of sensory properties during storage | [123] |
| Chicken frankfurters                  | Wastewater or leaves; 50 ppm            | Stored for 21 days at 4 °C | Reduced lipid and protein oxidation, rancid odor and flavor | [124] |
| Chicken frankfurters                  | Wastewater; 50 ppm                      | Stored for 21 days at 4 °C | Better preservation of sausage flavor and color; negative correlations between fatty acids and oxidation markers | [31] |
| Dry-fermented sausage                 | Leaves; 125, 250 and 500 ppm            | Ripening: 60 days at 4 °C | 500 ppm reduced lipid oxidation; no effect on color; slight antimicrobial activity | [125] |
| Fresh beef                            | Hydroxytyrosol and DHPG 1; 0.1 and 0.5% (w/w) in pectin-fish gelatin film | Stored for 6 days at 4 °C | Reduced the progression of lipid oxidation products, DHPG was more efficient than hydroxytyrosol | [126] |
| Fresh pork meat                       | Leaves; 2, 5, 10, and 15% in polyethylene film Oleuropein; 0.3, 0.6, and 0.9% (w/v) in coating solution with glycerite | Stored for 16 days at 4 °C | Reduced lipid oxidation and the loss of redness | [127] |
| Fried mutton ribs                     |                                          | Stored for 21 days at 4 °C | No significant effect on MDA level, microbial growth, and sensory properties | [128] |

1 DHPG: 3,4-dihydroxyphenylglycol.

Regarding the *Olea europaea* polyphenols as a food additive, a recent study explored the use of leave extract concentration in the oxidative stability of raw minced meat [119]. According to the authors, the highest concentration was the most efficient to delay lipid oxidation and also inhibit the growth of psychrotrophic, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and *Salmonella enterica* ser. Enteritidis during the refrigerated storage. Moreover, the samples prepared with the natural extracts also received higher scores than
those prepared with the control formulation. A related experiment carried out by Aouidi et al. [120] indicated that the formation of metmyoglobin and the lipid oxidation products during storage was reduced in comparison to the control formulation (without antioxidants) in raw and cooked minced beef. The sensory attributes (color, odor, texture, juiciness, taste, and overall appearance) of both raw and cooked minced beef were not affected by the addition of the extract.

Similar outcomes were obtained from experiments with patties. For instance, the use of wet cake extract improved the antioxidant status of raw lamb patties and also delayed the lipid and protein oxidation (particularly for carbonyl with 200 and 400 mg GAE/kg meat) in a modified atmosphere (70% O₂/30% CO₂) and refrigerated storage [121]. The authors also indicated that sensory attributes were affected, particularly lamb odor and flavor, but not the overall liking.

In another related experiment with raw beef patties, a slight inhibition of lipid oxidation was reported during frozen storage [122]. However, a different effect was observed in an experiment with cooked beef patties [123]. Although a slight increase in the antioxidant capacity of the patties was obtained by the authors, no significant effect was observed in lipid oxidation and redness in samples produced with olive cake powder. Conversely, antimicrobial activity during storage was observed in all patties with added olive cake powder during storage.

The protective and preservative effect of *Olea europaea* polyphenols was also reported on sausages. The experiment carried out by Nieto et al. [124] with three different extracts obtained from olive wastewater or leaves indicated that lipid and protein oxidation were delayed in chicken frankfurters. Moreover, the authors also observed that sensory attributes related to oxidation (rancid odor and flavor) were less intense in samples produced with natural extracts than in control sausages. In a further experiment, the same research group observed that color and flavor of chicken frankfurters were better preserved in relation to samples produced without antioxidants [31]. Additionally, the authors also obtained negative correlations between unsaturated fatty acids with both lipid oxidation and rancid odor. Particularly for dry-fermented sausages, a recent experiment showed that lipid oxidation was reduced in samples produced with 500 ppm of olive leaf extract and a slight antimicrobial activity was observed during the ripening period (60 days at 4 °C) [125].

In the case of coatings and films incorporated with the polyphenols, they are used to wrap the meat product or are dispersed in a coating solution (where the meat products are immersed). A relevant example of the capacity of active films produced with *Olea europaea* polyphenols to improve the preservation of fresh meat was reported by Bermúdez-Oria et al. [126]. The pectin-fish gelatin active films produced with two concentrations (0.1 and 0.5%) of hydroxytyrosol and 3,4-dihydroxyphenylglycol (DHPG) inhibited lipid oxidation during the refrigerated storage of fresh beef. According to the authors, DHPG displayed more intense antioxidant activity than hydroxytyrosol in two concentrations tested. A similar outcome was reported for Moudache et al. [127] using a polyethylene film with olive leaves extract. All tested concentrations reduced the loss of redness and the accumulation of lipid oxidation products during the refrigerated storage of fresh pork meat. In contrast, the use of oleuropein as an active component of a coating of fried mutton ribs did not affect the oxidative stability, microbial growth or the sensory attributes during the refrigerated storage [128].

Collectively, the antioxidant and antimicrobial potentials characterized in the previous discussions of *Olea europaea* polyphenols was observed in the preservation of meat and meat products, which supports the use of these compounds. Additionally, none of the studies indicated pro-oxidants or microbial stimulatory effects in meat and meat products, and no significant effects on total and free fatty acids, cooking loss, pH, or chemical composition were reported for minced meat, sausages and deep fried ribs [31,120,124,125,128]. The use of *Olea europaea* polyphenols as a food additive can protect meat products from oxidative reactions and microbial growth during processing [125] and storage [31,119–124]. In the case of active coatings and films, the incorporation of polyphenols into films [126,127] can be seen as a relevant approach to extend the shelf life of fresh meat. Conversely, more studies of coating solutions and different meat products are necessary to clarify the use of this strategy.
5. Conclusions

*Olea europaea* tree and olive processing by-products are relevant sources of polyphenols that can improve the preservation of meat and meat products. The protection against oxidative reactions; inhibitory activity on spoilage and pathogenic microorganisms; preservation of sensory properties; and minor influences on other quality-related indicators in the meat of different species, meat products and storage conditions are important outcomes that support their use. Further research can aim to improve the information about the bioaccessibility of *Olea europaea* polyphenols in meat-producing animals to obtain cuts with enhanced antioxidant potential, promote their use as food additives to improve the stability of reformulated and functional meat products with a higher proportion of unsaturated fatty acids, and also explore the incorporation into edible and sustainable films and coatings to improve the shelf life of meat products.
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