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ABSTRACT

Photometry of moving sources typically suffers from a reduced signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) or flux measurements biased to incorrect low values through the use of circular apertures. To address this issue, we present the software package, TRIPPy: TRAiled Image Photometry in Python. TRIPPy introduces the pill aperture, which is the natural extension of the circular aperture appropriate for linearly trailed sources. The pill shape is a rectangle with two semicircular end-caps and is described by three parameters, the trail length and angle, and the radius. The TRIPPy software package also includes a new technique to generate accurate model point-spread functions (PSFs) and trailed PSFs (TSFs) from stationary background sources in sidereally tracked images. The TSF is merely the convolution of the model PSF, which consists of a moffat profile, and super-sampled lookup table. From the TSF, accurate pill aperture corrections can be estimated as a function of pill radius with an accuracy of 10 mmag for highly trailed sources. Analogous to the use of small circular apertures and associated aperture corrections, small radius pill apertures can be used to preserve S/Ns of low flux sources, with appropriate aperture correction applied to provide an accurate, unbiased flux measurement at all S/Ns.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The brightness of the solar system’s moving bodies is one of the few directly measurable properties of those objects, and can provide insight into a body’s size, shape, and surface properties. The primary challenge of accurate photometry of moving targets is a result of the apparent motion of the targets themselves. Typically, sources are observed with the telescope tracked either sidereally or at the apparent motion of the target of interest. Either case results in the obsfuscation of the target’s trailed point-spread function (hereafter TSF), and consequently, a reduction in the overall photometric signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) compared to equivalently bright stationary sources.

Historically, photometry of faint trailed sources is done in the same way as for stationary sources, through the use of a small circular aperture, and associated aperture correction. This procedure suffers from two separate issues. The first issue is the obvious—but usually neglected—loss of flux due to trailing in sidereal images, which is not accounted for by the aperture correction measured from stationary sources. This results in an under-reporting of the source’s true flux. This issue can be avoided with the use of an aperture large enough to encompass the entire source image, but results in the second issue with the use of circular apertures—a large penalty in S/N as a result of inclusion of a larger background region. The scale of both effects is presented in Figure 1.

Recently, Vereš et al. (2012) presented a technique for trail fitting of moving sources. Aimed primarily at accurate astrometry, their method achieved this by fitting an axisymmetric Gaussian profile convolved with a line to the trailed source. The apparent rate and angle of motion of a source was solved for, thereby providing extremely accurate astrometry. As we will discuss, however, without the use of an associated lookup table, photometry determined from this point-spread function (PSF) fitting method can be inaccurate.

Here we present a new pill-shaped aperture and software package, TRAiled Image Photometry in Python (TRIPPy), designed specifically for precision photometry of both moving and stationary sources in sidereally tracked images. Through the use of an elongated pill-shaped aperture (a rectangle with two semicircular end-caps described by three parameters, the trail length and angle, and the radius), and an estimate of the TSF through convolution of the stationary source PSF, associated aperture corrections can be accurately estimated from the TSF, largely mitigating the issues associated with circular aperture photometry. The routines for both TSF generation, as well as pill and circular aperture photometry are available as a python package (Fraser 2016). TRIPPy is a complete photometry package, which includes facilities for generation of the PSF and TSF, and mechanisms for photometry using PSF and TSF source fitting. As well, TRIPPy includes facilities for pill and circular aperture photometry, and background estimation through various techniques, including a new modal estimation technique we present here for measuring the background in crowded fields. We present the aperture shape in Section 2, the recipe for PSF and TSF generation and resultant aperture correction quality in Section 3, and finish with concluding remarks in Section 4.

2. APERTURE COMPARISON

To understand the issues associated with circular aperture photometry of trailed sources, in Figure 1, we present the
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fractional flux excluded from circular apertures of 1 and 2 FWHM versus source trail length. In addition, we present the effective background-limited decrease in photometric precision of a trailed source compared to the stationary equivalent when an aperture large enough to include >99% of the source flux is utilized. The curves in Figure 1 were measured from ideal trailed sources of various lengths, generated from a moffat profile with FWHM = 5.5 pixels.

As can be seen, as long as the aperture radius is at least as large as the trailing length in the image, the flux excluded by the use of a circular aperture is no more than ~5%, a loss that can be effectively ignored for low S/N (≤15) measurements. Higher precision photometry, however, is possible with knowledge of the TSF, and a reasonable choice in aperture shape.

To that end, we introduce the pill aperture. An example of this aperture is presented in Figure 2. The pill can be described as a rectangle with two semicircular end-caps, and is characterized by three parameters, which are depicted in Figure 2. These parameters are the trail length or how far the target has moved during an exposure, \(l\), the “radius,” \(r\), which describes the half-width of the rectangle and the radius of each end-cap, and the angle of trailing on the image, \(\alpha\).

Compared with the use of a large circular aperture, the pill aperture avoids both the decrease in S/N and flux underestimation. For comparison with Figure 1, in the background-limited case, a pill aperture with \(r = 2.5\) FWHM gathers ~99% of the source flux, and compared to a large circular aperture, results in 20% and 100% increases in S/N for trail lengths of \(l = 1\) FWHM and \(l = 4\) FWHM, respectively. These S/N improvements jump to 100% and 320% for a small pill with \(r = 1.5\) FWHM.

Accurate photometry clearly depends on knowledge of the trail length, \(l\), and angle, \(\alpha\), in an image. The effects of using incorrect values are presented in Figure 3 where we plot the fraction of flux contained within an aperture with an incorrect \(l\) or \(\alpha\), compared to what it would be with the correct choice in \(l\)
3. PSF GENERATION

The pill aperture is a natural extension of the circular aperture. Once the PSF and the TSF of a source with given trail length are calculated, effective aperture corrections, or the fraction of flux inside the pill aperture as a function of radius, \( r \), can be calculated directly from the TSF. Sufficiently accurate knowledge of the TSF can be found from convolution of the PSF to allow precise aperture corrections to be estimated. Even for highly trailed asteroids, aperture corrections with errors \( \leq 0.5\% \) can be calculated using the PSF and TSF generation procedure we describe here.

Starting from a list of well isolated, unsaturated point sources, the PSF and TSF are found by the following.

1. Super-sampling each source by some sampling factor, \( 5 \leq \text{ssf} \leq 10 \).
2. Fitting a Moffat profile to each super-sampled source and determining the mean profile for the full image.
3. Subtracting the mean Moffat profile from the super-sampled image.
4. Using piece-wise constant interpolation, shifting each super-sampled residual image to account for sub-pixel centroid differences.
5. Generating a two-dimensional mean lookup table as the mean of all shifted, Moffat-subtracted, super-sampled images.
6. Creating a normalized, super-sampled image of the mean Moffat profile and adding the lookup table.
7. Convolving both the super-sampled Moffat image and mean lookup table with an image of a line with trail length, \( l \), and angle, \( \alpha \), equal to that of the trailed source in question.

The PSF then consists of the mean Moffat profile (found in step 2) summed with the lookup table (generated in step 5), down-sampled to the pixel scale of the original image. The estimated TSF for a source with given \( \alpha \) and \( l \) is then just the down-sampled, convolved image generated in step 7. Step 7 can then be repeated for different combinations of \( l \) and \( \alpha \) to generate TSFs for each moving source. Finally, aperture corrections can be calculated directly from the TSF.

This procedure is reminiscent of the reference standard daophot–iraf procedure with notable differences being that daophot utilizes linear interpolation to account for sub-pixel centroid differences (our step 4), and utilizes an S/N weighting scheme and \( \text{ssf} = 2 \) during lookup table generation (our step 5; Stetson et al. 1990). We have experimented with all of these changes and note only minor differences in PSF and TSF quality. As noted below, however, our standard procedure with \( \text{ssf} = 10 \) slightly out performs the daophot package when the “worst residual” pixel is used as the performance metric. Alternatively, in a chi-squared sense, daophot slightly out performs our routine achieving a few percent smaller chi-squared values.

3.1. Test of the Procedure

We test the precision of this process using two archival images from the Mosaiic2 camera on the Blanco telescope of asteroid 105217 (Elliot et al. 2005) and four archival images from MegaCam on the Canada–France–Hawaii Telescope that serendipitously imaged the bright asteroid (2006) Polonskaya (Gwyn et al. 2012). Two images of Polonskaya were available in each of the r’ and g’ filters, with exposure times of 480 and 240 s, respectively. During the observations, the seeing was \( \sim 1.5 \)′ (nearly 6 pixels), and Polonskaya was moving at \( 30^\circ - 31^\circ \text{hr}^{-1} \). During the 240 s images of 105217, which were acquired in the VR filter, the asteroid had a rate of motion of \( \sim 58^\circ 7 \text{hr}^{-1} \) and the seeing was \( \sim 1.7 \)′ (2.6 pixels). The images of Polonskaya resulted in an \( \text{S/N} \sim 800 \) while those of 105217 resulted in an \( \text{S/N} \sim 350 \) when measured in a pill aperture with \( r = 1.4 \) FWHM. The long trails and extremely high \( \text{S/N} \) present both a strong challenge and excellent test case for our TSF generation procedure. Three of the six images are shown in Figure 4, and a pill aperture using Polonskaya’s rate of motion can be found in Figure 2.

For all six images, the PSF and the TSF were generated using the above procedure with a super-sampling factor, \( \text{ssf} = 10 \). On-sky rates of motion for each image were provided by the JPL horizons ephemeris service.\(^9\) Point sources were visually confirmed to be isolated stars, and only those with \( \text{S/N} > 200 \) (measured in a circular aperture) were used. We note, however, that very little difference in performance was found when considering reference star \( \text{S/N} \) thresholds in the 100–300 range. That is, the worst residual value did not change by more than a few percent.

Source centroids and \( \text{S/N} \) estimates were measured with SExtractor (Bertin & Arnouts 1996). We tested possible improvement with measuring centroids during the Moffat profile fitting (step 2). No noticeable improvement was found, and the centroids provided by SExtractor were deemed sufficient for our purposes.

The quality of the PSF and TSF generated with \( \text{ssf} = 10 \) are presented in Figures 4 and 5. The stellar residuals of those stars used to generate the PSF are particularly good, with no consistent residual structure between different sources, and peak residuals <1% of the peak pixel amplitudes of each source.\(^10\) This performance slightly surpasses that of the daophot–iraf package, which produces peak residuals of \( \sim 2\% \) using identical PSF reference stars, albeit with slightly worse chi-squared. Our residuals increase by a factor of \( \sim 2 \) if \( 5 \times \) super sampling is used, and by \( \sim 5 \) if \( 3 \times \) is used.

For the asteroids, the residuals do not fare as well; the pixel residuals are <5%–10% the peak. Furthermore, each image shows a structure that shares an axis with the trailing direction. These structures dominate the residuals at all super-sampling factors between 3 and 30, and are simply structures in the Polonskaya image that are not accounted for by our TSF.

The most likely cause of the residual structure is the inherent assumption by our routine of perfectly stable conditions during an exposure. That is, for our method to work perfectly, there can be zero variation in the PSF during the entire exposure, a

\( ^9 \) http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/?horizons

\( ^{10} \) We choose to discuss the quality of our model with pixel residuals rather than the usual chi-squared approach, as the latter implicitly assumes that one is using the correct model to describe the sources. Since we make use of a lookup table, this assumption is violated, and the use of a chi-squared metric is misguided.
condition that is impossible to meet under even the best observing circumstances. We provide basic tests of this scenario, by planting artificial trailed sources in our images containing Polonskaya, and then subtracting a source with the same flux, but slightly adjusted FWHM. These experiments revealed that \( \sim 8\% \) of peak residuals are found with FWHM variations as little as 5\%. With this result in mind, residual structures in trailed image subtractions are an inevitable consequence of the fact that point sources do not preserve a record of on-image seeing variations during an exposure. It should be noted, however, that trailed subtraction residuals will be lower for less trailed sources; the 240 s exposures of Polonskaya have a peak residual that is nearly half the 8\% residual of the 480 s exposures, and the longer trailed image 105217 has higher peak residual still at 10\% of peak pixel value.

Despite the modest subtraction residuals, accurate photometry for all trailed sources is still afforded by the TSF. We demonstrate this with the extremely high S/N images of Polonskaya and 105217. Specifically, we measured the aperture corrections as a function of \( r \) in the standard way, using the TSF, and then directly from the image of the asteroids themselves. The difference is presented in Figure 6 for one of the 480 s, \( r' \) images of Polonskaya and for one image of 105217. For completeness, we also include an estimate of the aperture correction when the lookup table is not utilized. As can be seen, for nearly all \( r \), the difference between the aperture corrections measured from the TSF, and that measured directly from the asteroid’s image is less than 10 mmag. In an \( r = 1.4 \) FWHM pill aperture, the S/N = 889 corresponds to a source photon noise uncertainty of \( \sim 1 \) mmag. Clearly, even for highly elongated sources, accurate aperture corrections can be measured from the TSF alone. It should be noted that with a pill radii of \( r < 2.5 \), for the extremely high S/N images of Polonskaya, the aperture correction uncertainty is the dominant source of error, and a pill aperture with larger \( r \) should be used. For images with S/N < 200 (or still in the flux limited regime), however, the uncertainty is dominated by source photon noise, and apertures of smaller \( r \) will provide the lowest final uncertainty. The value of \( r \) that provides maximal S/N depends on the trail length, but we have found in practice that for background images with trail lengths < 2 FWHM, 1.2 < \( r < 1.6 \) typically provides maximal S/N.

In Figure 6, we also present the results when a lookup table is not used. This facilitates a comparison with the trail-fitting method of Vereš et al. (2012) which utilizes a Gaussian profile without a lookup table, but otherwise, uses essentially the same technique to generate TSFs as we present here. Without the lookup table, the error in the aperture correction estimate is a factor of four worse. Therefore, for the most accurate photometry, the use of a lookup table is required. For sources with S/N \( \geq 50 \), the lack of a lookup table will cause a bias in the flux measurement larger than the amplitude of the photon noise uncertainty.

Recently, Sonnett et al. (2013) presented a comparison of the relative performances of various photometry techniques in the scenario of moderate S/N observations (\( \geq 20 \)), of a Kuiper Belt Object, moving at \( 2''/\text{hr}^{-1} \). At the expense of knowledge of the PSF and any aperture corrections, the telescope was tracked at a non-sidereal rate to produce a (nearly) untrailed image of
the KBO, allowing circular apertures to be used, facilitating comparison of a wide range of photometry packages.

In a lowest rms sense, one of the highest performing techniques is the SExtractor MAG_ISO COR photometry technique. This technique attempts to provide full flux measurements of a source by tracing an isophotal curve around the source and measuring photometry within that aperture. A correction is then applied to account for the flux excluded by that aperture based on a two-dimensional Gaussian profile fit to the source. In this way, source elongation can be at least roughly accounted for, with the rms uncertainty increasing by modest amounts—approximately 50% in the case discussed by Sonnett et al. (2013).

One large difficulty arises in the use of MAG_ISO COR when tracing isophotal apertures around low S/N sources. While the S/N of this technique is generally as good or better than other techniques, a bias toward measuring a flux lower than the true value exists. This is presented in Figure 7 where we compare simulations of photometry reported by pill aperture photometry and that from MAG_ISO COR. This comparison was made by planting 50 synthetically elongated Moffat profiles at various flux levels in an image with a background of 1000 ADU, and appropriate Gaussian noise. The Moffat profile extracted from the first i’ image of asteroid Polonskaya was used. The rate, and one-third the rate of motion of the asteroid in that image, were considered. The pill aperture photometry was corrected for the aperture correction measured on frame to provide comparison with MAG_ISO COR, which includes their own corrections. All measurements at each flux level are normalized by the true flux, and are presented in Figure 7, along with the mean measurement at each flux level.

As can be seen, both produce similar S/Ns at all flux levels. Similarly, at high S/N levels, both techniques reproduce the correct flux with no appreciable biases. At low S/N, however, on average, MAG_ISO COR produces a mean measurement that is biased faintward while the pill aperture produces an unbiased measurement. For example, the MAG_ISO CORR bias rapidly degrades to ∼3% at S/N~50. The reason pill photometry avoids this bias is simple; measurement of the PSF, and estimation of the TSF afforded by sidereally tracked images allows accurate aperture corrections to be calculated, at least to the precision demonstrated in Figure 6.

3.2. Background Estimation

In addition to the pill aperture, we also introduce a new technique to estimate the background modal value in an image. A common technique used to estimate the mode is to take three times the median value minus two times the mean value of a
sourceless region of an image, or small variations on this equation (see, for example, Bertin & Arnouts 1996). Our modal technique involves multiplying the pixel values within a region by some factor of $x < 1$ and rounding it to an integer to produce values $y_i$. The mode $m$ of all $y_i$ integers is then found. The background estimate is then the median value of all pixels with $n = xy m$ integer.

Our tests demonstrate that for values of $x \sim 0.1$, the background estimated by our modal technique is less sensitive to bright background sources than the more standard background estimation techniques. A comparison of techniques is demonstrated in Figure 8 where we have cut out a small $41 \times 41$ pixel region specifically chosen to contain two bright sources, which occupy roughly half of the cutout. We present a histogram of pixel values, and background estimations using various techniques, including mean, median, Gaussian fit (which produces the same value as the mean), $3 \times$ median $- 2 \times$ mean with initial $3\sigma$ standard deviation rejection away from the median; modal estimate with $x = 0.1$ and $x = 0.2$; median; and mean. 15% of the image (260 pixels) corresponding to the centers of the foreground galaxy and star have pixel values as high as 3260 ADU and are beyond the right limit of the plot. The modal technique clearly reports the most reasonable background estimate in face of bright foreground source contamination.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We introduce the pill aperture, a natural extension of the circular aperture for photometry of trailed sources. Described by a trail length, radius, and trail angle, the pill aperture allows increased precision photometry by minimizing the number

Figure 6. Difference in aperture correction measured from the asteroid images directly vs. that estimated from the TSF of 105217 (ssf = 10, dotted line) of Polonskaya (ssf = 5 solid thin line, and ssf = 10 solid thick line) and of Polonskaya without the use of the lookup table (dashed line). If the lookup table is utilized, accurate aperture corrections can be calculated directly from the TSF, even for highly trailed sources.

Figure 7. Observed: true flux ratio of simulated trailed sources with trail lengths of 0.9 (top) and 2.5 FWHM (bottom). Gray circles are aperture-corrected pill photometry of the source, white triangles are the photometry reported by SExtractor MAG ISO_COR. The mean photometric values at each flux level are displayed by the solid and dashed lines for the pill aperture and MAG ISO_COR techniques, respectively. Both techniques produce similar rms scatter at each flux level (for reference, 0.015 mag at $10^5$ flux level). MAG ISO_COR, however, clearly results in a mean flux level, which is biased to low values at low S/Ns.

Figure 8. Normalized pixel histogram of the $41 \times 41$ pixel image displayed in the inset. Vertical lines represent background estimates from different techniques. From left to right those techniques are: $3 \times$ median $- 2 \times$ mean with an initial $3\sigma$ standard deviation rejection away from the median; modal estimate with $x = 0.1$ and $x = 0.2$; median; and mean. 15% of the image (260 pixels) corresponding to the centers of the foreground galaxy and star have pixel values as high as 3260 ADU and are beyond the right limit of the plot. The modal technique clearly reports the most reasonable background estimate in face of bright foreground source contamination.
background pixels included in the aperture and allows the majority of source flux to be included in the aperture without the use of unnecessarily large circular apertures.

In this paper, we present a new method to generate both the PSF and the PSF of trailed sources (TSF) from the PSF. From the TSF, accurate aperture corrections can be estimated for a given trailed source, allowing for the use of small apertures, which maximize the S/N within the aperture. We found that for pill apertures with radii at least as large as the FWHM, the error in aperture correction is less than 10 mmag for a long 480 s exposure of fast moving asteroid, Polonskaya. The software for pill aperture photometry and PSF+TSF generation are available in the python package TRIPPy.

This research made use of Astropy, a community-developed core Python package for Astronomy (Astropy Collaboration, 2013). This research also used the facilities of the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre operated by the National Research Council of Canada with the support of the Canadian Space Agency.

This research made use of the Giorgini, JD and JPL Solar System Dynamics Group, NASA/JPL Horizons On-line Ephemeris System, http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/?horizons, from which data were retrieved in 2015 December.

This research made use of PyFits, a product of the Space Telescope Science Institute, which is operated by AURA for NASA.

Software: IRAF, SExtractor, SciPy (Oliphant 2007), Matplotlib (Hunter 2007), PyFits.
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