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ABSTRACT. We study theoretical models of three coupled wave guides with a \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric distribution of gain and loss. A realistic matrix model is developed in terms of a three-mode expansion. By comparing with a previously postulated matrix model it is shown how parameter ranges with good prospects of finding a third-order exceptional point (EP3) in an experimentally feasible arrangement of semiconductors can be determined. In addition it is demonstrated that continuous distributions of exceptional points, which render the discovery of the EP3 difficult, are not only a feature of extended wave guides but appear also in an idealised model of infinitely thin guides shaped by delta functions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

It is a well-known fact that the spectra of non-Hermitian quantum systems can exhibit exceptional points of second order (EP2), i.e. branch point singularities at which two eigenstates coalesce \([1,3]\). They have been extensively studied theoretically \([4,17]\) and their physical relevance has been demonstrated in impressive experiments \([13,24]\).

In much rarer cases exceptional points of higher order (EPN) are discussed \([27,30]\). In a matrix representation they can be identified by the fact that the matrix is not diagonalisable. With a similarity transformation one can reduce the matrix to a Jordan normal form, where the EPN appears as an \(N\)-dimensional Jordan block \([31]\). In a third-order exceptional point (EP3) three states coalesce in a cubic-root branch point singularity, which already turned out to exhibit new effects beyond those of EP2s such as an unusual chiral behaviour \([23]\). The exchange behaviour of the eigenstates for circles around an EP3 shows a complicated structure. It does not in all cases uncover the typical cubic-root behaviour \([32]\).

Of special interest in the investigation of exceptional points are \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric systems, i.e. systems whose Hamiltonians are invariant under the combined action of the parity operator \(\mathcal{P}\) and the time reversal operator \(\mathcal{T}\) \([33]\). In these systems the exceptional point marks a quantum phase transition, in which real eigenvalues merge under variation of a parameter and become complex if the parameter is varied further in the same direction. The eigenstates of the complex eigenvalues are not \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetric, this is only the case for the eigenstates with real eigenvalues. One speaks of broken \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetry, and the EP marks the position of the \(\mathcal{PT}\) symmetry breaking. Since the occurrence of exceptional points is a generic feature of the \(\mathcal{PT}\) phase transition a large number of works exists for \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric quantum mechanics \([27,34,49]\), quantum field theories \([50,51]\), electromagnetic waves \([52,53]\), and electronic devices \([59]\). In these papers exceptional points of second order have been investigated in great detail. \(\mathcal{PT}\)-symmetric optical wave guides, in particular, with an appropriate coupling between them, are ideally suited to generate higher-order exceptional points \([24]\). Klaiman et al. \([60]\) showed that a detailed theoretical modelling of a setup of two wave guides predicts the occurrence of an EP2, and directly proved it via its signatures, among them an increasing beat length in the power distribution. Exactly this strategy has later been used experimentally \([61]\). Encouraged by these findings the model was extended by Heiss and Wunner, who added a third wave guide between those with gain and loss to allow for a third-order exceptional point. They studied a simplified model consisting of infinitely thin wave guides modelled by delta functions \([62]\). In a follow-up paper a detailed investigation of a spatially extended setup with experimentally accessible parameters was used \([62]\). It was shown that the system is well capable of manifesting a third-order exceptional point in an experimentally feasible procedure.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the essential properties of the system studied in \([62]\) can already be found in much simpler descriptions, allowing for deeper insight. The whole three wave-guide setup can be mapped to a matrix model. In such a matrix model an EP3 can be found in a simple manner. However, the largest benefit is in the predictability...
of matrix structures allowing for an easy access to an EP3. Since the influence of the physical parameters on the matrix elements is known from the mapping, the matrix can guide the search for appropriate physical parameter ranges.

In [62] it was demonstrated that the EP3 of interest is surrounded by continuous distributions of EP2s or EP3s in the space of the physical parameters. This effect in combination with the fact that an EP3 can show a square-root behaviour for parameter space results are summarised in Section 5. The central results are summarised in Section [30].

The remainder of the paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we provide a brief introduction into the system. The matrix model is developed in Section 3, where we introduce the mapping of the full system onto three modes, which can be used to search for the best parameter ranges. In Section 4 we show the appearance of continuously distributed exceptional points in the delta-functions model. The central results are summarised in Section 5.

2. THREE OPTICAL WAVE GUIDES WITH A COMPLEX $\mathcal{PT}$-SYMMETRIC REFRACTIVE INDEX PROFILE

The starting point of our investigation is the $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric optical wave guide system introduced in [62]. It consists of three coupled planar wave guides on a background material of GaAs, which has a refractive index of $n_0 = 3.3$ at the vacuum wavelength used in that study. The refractive index profile is supposed to be $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric, i.e. it possesses a symmetric real part representing the index guiding profile and an antisymmetric imaginary part describing the gain-loss structure, i.e. $n(x) = n^*(-x)$. It extends the idea Klaiman et al. pursued for two wave guides. The physical parameters consist of dimensionless scaling factors $s_m$ and $s_{1,2}$ used to define distances in units of a constant length $a = 2.5 \, \mu m$ (cf. Fig.1) and variations of the refractive index. The background index is shifted by a constant value of $\Delta n = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$, and an additional shift $n_m$ can be applied, to the middle wave guide. The gain-loss parameter is labelled $\gamma$. The vacuum wavelength is assumed to be $\lambda = 1.55 \, \mu m$.

For a wave propagation of transverse electric modes along the $z$ axis the ansatz

$$E_y(x, z, t) = E_y(x) e^{i(\omega t - \beta z)}$$

(1)

with $k = 2 \pi / \lambda$ and the propagation constant $\beta$ can be applied, and leads to the wave equation

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + k^2 n(x)^2 \right) E_y(x) = \beta^2 E_y(x),$$

(2)

which is formally equivalent to the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation

$$\left( -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + V(x) \right) \psi(x) = E\psi(x)$$

(3)

with the relations

$$V(x) = -\frac{1}{2} k^2 n(x)^2,$$

(4a)

$$E = -\frac{1}{2} \beta^2.$$  

(4b)

Thus, the formalism of $\mathcal{PT}$-symmetric quantum mechanics can be used for the setup.

3. MAPPING ONTO A MATRIX MODEL

In the first approximation we map the full Hamiltonian of the setup shown in Figure 1 to a three-mode matrix model. By this approach we check whether the system can give rise to a third-order branch point in a simple manner. This is clearly the case if the resulting Hamiltonian is of the form proposed in [29], viz

$$\hat{H}_{\text{math}} = \begin{pmatrix} a - 2i\gamma & \sqrt{2}v & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}v & 0 & \sqrt{2}v \\ 0 & \sqrt{2}v & b + 2i\gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

(5)

with $\gamma, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. The real and imaginary parts of the diagonal elements simulate the refractive index as well as the gain (loss) behaviour in the wave guides. The parameter $v$ represents a coupling between neighbouring wave guides via evanescent fields, and is thus related to the distance between them. All in all $\hat{H}_{\text{math}}$ reflects a situation in which each wave guide supports a single mode.
However, this matrix is merely an abstract mathematical model without direct connection to an experimental realisation. To establish such connection we use the formal analogy between the wave equation and the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation and calculate a matrix representation of our system in terms of

$$\hat{H}' = \langle \psi_i | H_{\text{sys}} | \psi_j \rangle .$$

(6)

For this purpose we assume the same real index difference $\Delta n = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ (and $n_m = 0$) as well as the same width $w = 2a = 50 \mu m$ for all three wave guides and use the ground state modes of each single potential well with corresponding basis functions $\psi_i, \psi_j$. This results in a matrix of the form

$$\hat{H}' = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + i\eta & \sigma' & \xi \\ \xi^* & \sigma & \alpha \sigma' \\ \sigma' & \alpha & \alpha - i\eta \end{pmatrix}$$

(7)

with $\alpha, \eta, \xi, \sigma' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma' \in \mathbb{C}$. The use of a common width is compatible only with $s_m = 1.0$ and $s_2 - s_1 = 2.0$, which implies that the matrix elements still depend on the doublet $(\gamma, s_1)$, and thus on the distance $s_1 - s_m$ between the wave guides.

Eq. (7) does not show the form predicted for the appearance of an EP3 from Eq. (5) as $\xi \neq 0$ and $\sigma' \in \mathbb{C}$. This corresponds to a situation in which also the two outer wave guides are connected by a coupling of their waves. This can happen due to a too small separation between the wave guides. However, for a sufficiently large separation $s_1 - s_m$ the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}'$ reduces to the form

$$\hat{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha + i\eta & \sigma & 0 \\ \sigma & \alpha & \sigma \\ 0 & \sigma & \alpha - i\eta \end{pmatrix}$$

(8)

with $\alpha, \eta, \sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, which resembles the desired form of Eq. (5) for $a = b = 0$ up to a constant shift $\alpha$. The transition $\hat{H}' \rightarrow \hat{H}$ can be observed in Figure 2 where the real eigenenergies of Eq. (7) are shown as a function of the wave guides’ distances. For comparatively small distances the energies are far apart from each other due to a stronger coupling between the modes. In this range only $\hat{H}'$ describes the full system correctly. For larger distances the energies approach each other, which means that we likewise obtain an accurate description of the system in terms of the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$. This is the regime, in which a search for an EP3 is most promising.

Knowing the shape of an appropriate wave guide we now focus on a large separation between the wave guides with $s_m = 1.0$, $s_1 = 28.0$ and $s_2 = 30.0$ and verify the existence of the EP3. We find it in the spectra by varying the gain-loss coefficient $\gamma$. The result is depicted in Figure 3(a). Obviously we obtain the coalescence of all three real eigenenergies for $\gamma_{\text{EP3}} = 0.0636 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in a cubic root branch point singularity. Beyond this point the spectrum becomes complex with two complex conjugate energies and one with vanishing imaginary part. Note that the middle state stays widely unaffected by an increase of the non-Hermiticity parameter, which is also found in the more realistic descriptions [50, 62] as well as flat band systems [63, 64].

To ascertain that this is indeed an EP3 we follow a standard procedure and perform a closed loop in a suitable parameter space around the supposed branch point singularity. To do so we have to introduce the complex parameters $a, b$ from Eq. (5) breaking the underlying $\mathcal{PT}$ symmetry. We restrict ourselves to the specific choice $a = b = a_i + i a_i$ and add this to $\hat{H}$, ending up with

$$\hat{H}_A = \hat{H} + a \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(9)

Using this form the circle is performed in the complex plane of $a$ along an ellipse with the parametrization

$$[0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2, \varphi \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 10^{-5} \cos \varphi \\ 10^{-6} \sin \varphi \end{pmatrix}$$

(10)

as illustrated in Figure 3(c). The corresponding state permutation is depicted in Figure 3(b) and clearly exhibits the threefold exchange behaviour of an EP3.

### 4. CONTINUOUSLY DISTRIBUTED EXCEPTIONAL POINTS AROUND THE EP3

The third-order exceptional point investigated in Section 3 was verified with a parameter space circle and its typical threefold permutation behaviour. As was found in [62] this can become a difficult task in a realistic setup. On the one hand, not every parameter space circle leads to the threefold permutation. A twofold state exchange misleadingly indicating an EP2 is also
where three delta-function potential wells are located and the same amount of gain is added to the right one via the parameter $\gamma$. The units are chosen in such a way that the strength of the real and imaginary parts of the two outer wells is normalised to unity, while in the middle well we allow for a different depth given by the real parameter $\Gamma > 0$, similarly to its spatially extended counterpart from Section 2. As the system is non-Hermitian the eigenvalues $k$ are complex in general with $\text{Re}(k) > 0$. We are interested in bound state solutions with real eigenvalues, and the bound

state wave functions have the form

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} A e^{kx} & : x < -b \\ 2(r \cosh(kx) + g_1 \sinh(kx)) & : -b < x < 0 \\ 2(r \cosh(kx) + g_2 \sinh(kx)) & : 0 < x < b \\ B e^{-kx} & : x > b \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

As the continuity conditions and the discontinuity conditions for the wave functions and their first derivatives have to be fulfilled at the delta functions we obtain a system of linear equations in the form

$$\mathcal{M} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \tag{13}$$

for which nontrivial solutions exist if the corresponding secular equation

$$\det(\mathcal{M}) = \Gamma(e^{-4kb}(1 + \gamma^2) - 2e^{-2kb}(\gamma^2 - 2k + 1) + \gamma^2 + (2k - 1)^2) + 2k(e^{-4kb}(1 + \gamma^2) - \gamma^2 - (2k - 1)^2) = 0 \tag{14}$$

vanishes. Hence we obtain the eigenvalues $k$ as roots of the determinant $\det[\mathcal{M}](k) \equiv f(k)$ depending on the distance $b$, the non-Hermiticity parameter $\gamma$, and the parameter $\Gamma$ of the middle well. Assuming $k$ to be purely real, the position of the third-order branch point singularity is fixed by

$$f(k) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial k} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial k^2} = 0 \tag{15}$$

With $\Gamma_{\text{EP3}} = 1.002$ the EP3 appears at

$$\gamma_{\text{EP3}} = 0.06527796794065678 \tag{16a}$$

$$b_{\text{EP3}} = 6.2012417361076206 \tag{16b}$$

$$k_{\text{EP3}} = 0.4958458490634327 \tag{16c}$$

This can be verified by circling this point in the complex plane of the distance $b$ (as it was done in [29]) or by introducing asymmetry parameters breaking the underlying $PT$ symmetry.

A verification without $PT$ symmetry breaking, i.e. a circle around the EP3 in the $b$-$\gamma$ space turns out to be impossible in this simplified model as it is always dominated by a signature belonging to an EP2. This suggests the conclusion that in analogy with the spatially extended model from [62] the EP3 may be accompanied by EP2s, which disturb the exchange.

Figure 3. Evidence of a third-order exceptional point in the matrix model for a large separation between the wave guides ($s_m = 1.0$, $s_1 = 28.0$, $s_2 = 30.0$). The real index difference to the background material ($\Delta n = 1.3 \times 10^{-3}$) was set to be equal for all three wave guides, i.e. $n_m = 0$. The coalescence of the three eigenenergies as a function of the non-Hermiticity parameter $\gamma$ is depicted in a) and appears at $\gamma_{\text{EP3}} = 0.0636 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The lower panel shows the corresponding direction.

The model is given by an effective Schrödinger equation of the form

$$-\psi''(x) - (1 + i\gamma)\delta(x + b) + \Gamma \delta(x) + (1 - i\gamma)\delta(x - b)\psi(x) = -k^2 \psi(x), \tag{11}$$

where three delta-function potential wells are located at $x = \pm b$ and $x = 0$. Loss is added to the left well and the same amount of gain is added to the right one possible for certain parameter choices [29, 32]. On the other hand, additional exceptional points, which are accidentally located within the area enclosed by the parameter space loop, can distort the signature of the EP3. In the spatially extended investigation of [62] it turned out that the EP3 is accompanied by continuous distributions of exceptional points in such a way that it is very hard to find a parameter plane, in which a circle reveals the pure cubic-root branch point signature of the EP3. Here we show that this is not only a property of the special shape of the three wave guides used in [62] but a generic feature of three coupled guiding profiles. To do so, we return to the delta-functions model from [30].

The model is given by an effective Schrödinger equation of the form

$$-\psi''(x) - [1 + \gamma i] \delta(x + b) + \Gamma \delta(x) + (1 - \gamma i) \delta(x - b) \psi(x) = -k^2 \psi(x), \tag{11}$$

where three delta-function potential wells are located at $x = \pm b$ and $x = 0$. Loss is added to the left well and the same amount of gain is added to the right one possible for certain parameter choices [29, 32]. On the other hand, additional exceptional points, which are accidentally located within the area enclosed by the parameter space loop, can distort the signature of the EP3. In the spatially extended investigation of [62] it turned out that the EP3 is accompanied by continuous distributions of exceptional points in such a way that it is very hard to find a parameter plane, in which a circle reveals the pure cubic-root branch point signature of the EP3. Here we show that this is not only a property of the special shape of the three wave guides used in [62] but a generic feature of three coupled guiding profiles. To do so, we return to the delta-functions model from [30].

The model is given by an effective Schrödinger equation of the form

$$-\psi''(x) - [1 + \gamma i] \delta(x + b) + \Gamma \delta(x) + (1 - \gamma i) \delta(x - b) \psi(x) = -k^2 \psi(x), \tag{11}$$

where three delta-function potential wells are located at $x = \pm b$ and $x = 0$. Loss is added to the left well and the same amount of gain is added to the right one possible for certain parameter choices [29, 32]. On the other hand, additional exceptional points, which are accidentally located within the area enclosed by the parameter space loop, can distort the signature of the EP3. In the spatially extended investigation of [62] it turned out that the EP3 is accompanied by continuous distributions of exceptional points in such a way that it is very hard to find a parameter plane, in which a circle reveals the pure cubic-root branch point signature of the EP3. Here we show that this is not only a property of the special shape of the three wave guides used in [62] but a generic feature of three coupled guiding profiles. To do so, we return to the delta-functions model from [30].

The model is given by an effective Schrödinger equation of the form

$$-\psi''(x) - [1 + \gamma i] \delta(x + b) + \Gamma \delta(x) + (1 - \gamma i) \delta(x - b) \psi(x) = -k^2 \psi(x), \tag{11}$$

where three delta-function potential wells are located at $x = \pm b$ and $x = 0$. Loss is added to the left well and the same amount of gain is added to the right one
The continuous distributions of EPs around the EP3 of interest in the space of the accessible physical parameters can be found in the much simpler delta-functions model from \cite{30}. Thus, it is possible to search for adequate physical parameters allowing for the identification of the EP3 via its characteristic threefold state permutation without the need of having to solve the full problem.

In principle the approach of extending the system with additional wave guides to allow for higher-order exceptional points can be continued. With four wave guides it should for example be possible to access a fourth-order exceptional point. The observations made in this work suggest that all further extensions should first be studied in simple approaches before a laborious modelling of a realistic physical setup is done. An $N$-mode matrix model can tell whether a promising search for an EP$N$ in a setup with $N$ wave guides is possible. If this is the case, it can provide rough estimates for suitable physical parameters.

The reduction of the full system to delta functions leads to much simpler equations but preserves the whole richness of effects. As such it can be used as a first access to the structure of the eigenstates. In particular, it can be used to evaluate whether an identification of the EP$N$ via the $N$-fold permutation of the eigenstates seems to be feasible. This can give valuable information before costly numerical calculations of the full system are done.
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