Population structure and geographical segregation of Cryptosporidium parvum IId subtypes in cattle in China
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Abstract

Background: *Cryptosporidium parvum* is a zoonotic pathogen worldwide. Extensive genetic diversity and complex population structures exist in *C. parvum* in different geographical regions and hosts. Unlike the IIa subtype family, which is responsible for most zoonotic *C. parvum* infections in industrialized countries, IId is identified as the dominant subtype family in farm animals, rodents and humans in China. Thus far, the population genetic characteristics of IId subtypes in calves in China are not clear.

Methods: In the present study, 46 *C. parvum* isolates from dairy and beef cattle in six provinces and regions in China were characterized using sequence analysis of eight genetic loci, including *msc6-7, rpgr, msc6-5, dz-hrgp, chom3t, hsp70, mucin1* and *gp60*. They belonged to three IId subtypes in the *gp60* gene, including IIdA20G1 (*n* = 17), IIdA19G1 (*n* = 24) and IIdA15G1 (*n* = 5). The data generated were analyzed for population genetic structures of *C. parvum* using DnaSP and LIAN and subpopulation structures using STRUCTURE, RAxML, Arlequin, GENALEX and Network.

Results: Seventeen multilocus genotypes were identified. The results of linkage disequilibrium analysis indicated the presence of an epidemic genetic structure in the *C. parvum* IId population. When isolates of various geographical areas were treated as individual subpopulations, maximum likelihood inference of phylogeny, pairwise genetic distance analysis, substructure analysis, principal components analysis and network analysis all provided evidence for geographical segregation of subpopulations in Heilongjiang, Hebei and Xinjiang. In contrast, isolates from Guangdong, Shanghai and Jiangsu were genetically similar to each other.

Conclusions: Data from the multilocus analysis have revealed a much higher genetic diversity of *C. parvum* than *gp60* sequence analysis. Despite an epidemic population structure, there is an apparent geographical segregation in *C. parvum* subpopulations within China.

Background

*Cryptosporidium* spp. are apicomplexan pathogens that can cause debilitating gastrointestinal illness in animals and humans with the main clinical symptom as diarrhea [1]. There is extensive genetic variation within the genus *Cryptosporidium*. Among the nearly 40 *Cryptosporidium* species identified, *C. parvum* is the most important species causing zoonotic cryptosporidiosis [2]. It has a wide host range, with over 20 subtype families based on sequence analysis of the 60 kDa glycoprotein (*gp60*) locus [3]. Among the most common subtype families, IIa and IId are zoonotic, while IIc and IIe are anthroponotic [2, 4].

Cattle are among the most common hosts of *C. parvum*, with pre-weaned calves being considered the most important reservoir for zoonotic *C. parvum* infection [5]. Differences in virulence and transmission dynamics of *C. parvum* have been observed among geographical regions [6]. Subtyping of *C. parvum* in bovine studies identified an exclusive occurrence of IId subtypes in calves in China, mostly IIdA15G1 and IIdA19G1 [7]. Moreover, these IId subtypes have caused outbreaks of cryptosporidiosis in calves in several
areas in China, leading to the occurrence of significant mortality [8, 9]. In contrast, pre-weaned calves in industrialized countries are mostly infected with *C. parvum* Ila subtypes, especially IlaA15G2R1 [6, 7].

Population genetic studies based on highly polymorphic loci can shed light on the true genetic diversity of *C. parvum* in disease endemic areas and compensate for the relatively low resolution of the single *gp60* locus because of the likely occurrence of genetic recombination among loci and the existence of genetic determinants of other phenotypic traits [3, 10]. Multilocus typing tools based on genetic loci with simple tandem repeats have been used in studies of the population genetic characteristics of *C. parvum*, leading to the discovery of high genetic diversity, significant geographical segregation and complex population structure [11, 12]. Thus far, a range of genetic structures of *C. parvum* have been identified, including panmictic (unrestricted gene flow and linkage equilibrium among loci), clonal (largely restricted gene flow and linkage disequilibrium among loci), and epidemic (underlying panmictic structure masked by an abundance of genetically identical clones) [2].

Most previous studies of the population genetics of *C. parvum* had focused on the Ila subtype family. A mostly panmictic population structure for *C. parvum* Ila subtype family has been found in humans and calves in many industrialized nations [12–21]. This could be related to the transmission intensity and reproductive characteristics of the Ila subtype family. Indeed, Ila subtypes, especially the hyper-transmissible IlaA15G2R1, are the dominant ones in cattle and humans in these countries [2]. In addition, one study of IlaA15G2R1 has also shown an epidemic population structure and common occurrence of genetic recombination within the subtype [16]. Several analyses of the Ild subtype family have demonstrated potential differences in population structure between Ild and Ila subtype families. For example, Ila subtypes in cattle in Spain has a panmictic structure while Ild subtypes in sheep has a clonal structure [20, 22]. This was supported by a population genetic study of the *C. parvum* Ild subtype family in China, Egypt and Sweden, which mostly has a clonal population structure.

The aim of this study was to explore the population genetic characteristics of Ild subtypes of *C. parvum* in cattle in China using multilocus sequence typing (MLST) of isolates.

**Methods**

**Sample sources**

Forty-six isolates of *C. parvum* Ild subtypes including IldA20G1 (*n* = 17), IldA19G1 (*n* = 24), IldA15G1 (*n* = 5) from beef and dairy cattle in Xinjiang, Heilongjiang, Hebei, Shanghai, Jiangsu and Guangzhou, China, were selected for the population genetics analysis. They were from previous and ongoing studies of molecular epidemiology of cryptosporidiosis in cattle [8, 23, 24]. The geographical distribution of isolates and their *gp60* subtype designations are shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1. The six provinces and autonomous regions are representative ones in China, including the south (Guangdong), east (Shanghai and Jiangsu), center (Hebei), northeast (Heilongjiang) and northwest (Xinjiang). These areas have some of the largest dairy farms in China. The three *C. parvum* subtypes examined in the study are the most common
subtypes in China, responsible for over 90% C. parvum infections in cattle. They were diagnosed by DNA sequence analysis of the gp60 gene [23].

**PCR and sequence analyses**

Eight polymorphic loci including gp60 with simple tandem repeats were used in the characterization of C. parvum isolates in the present study. In addition to gp60, they included msc6-7 (serine repeat antigen), rpgr (retinitis pigmentosa GTPase regulator), msc6-5 (hypothetical trans-membrane protein), dz-hrgp (hydroxyproline-rich glycoprotein), chom3t (T-rich gene fragment), hsp70 (70 kDa heat shock protein), mucin1 (mucin-like protein). Nested PCR was used in the analysis of these genetic loci as previously described [25]. Each isolate was analyzed twice by PCR at each genetic locus. Reagent-grade water was used as a negative control, whereas DNA of C. parvum IOWA isolate (IlaA15G2R1 subtype) was used as a positive control. Positive PCR products were sequenced on an ABI 3730 Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems, CA, USA). The sequences generated were assembled using ChromasPro v.2.1.8 (http://technelysium.com.au/ChromasPro.html) and aligned with reference sequences from each locus using the program Clustal X v.2.1 (http://www.clustal.org/).

**Population genetic analyses**

The sequences from the eight loci were tandemly concatenated for each isolate. The multilocus genotypes (MLGs) with the same sequences were analyzed for gene diversity (Hd), linkage disequilibrium (LD) and recombination events (Rms) using software DnaSP version 6.12.03 (http://www.ub.edu/dnasp/) with consideration of both sequence length polymorphism and nucleotide substitutions [26]. The genetic structure of C. parvum IId subtypes was assessed by measuring the association of standard correlation index (F_A) and the relationship between V_D and L using the online software LInkage ANalysis, v.3.7 (http://guanine.evolbio.mpg.de/cgi-bin/lian/lian.cgi.pl/query) [27].

**Substructure analyses**

Maximum likelihood analysis implemented in the software RAxML v.8.0.0 (http://epa.h-its.org/raxml/submit_single_gene) was used in clustering nucleotide sequences of all isolates using the General Time Reversible (GTR) model [28]. Subpopulations within the 46 isolates of the C. parvum IId subtype family were identified using STRUCTURE v.2.3.4 (http://web.stanford.edu/group/pritchardlab/structure.html) [29]. Several analyses of allelic data were performed by using K (likely populations) ranging from 2 to 10 and 50,000 iterations after a “burn-in” of 50,000 iterations. Output at K = 3–5 provided the best fit to MLST data and was used in further analyses. Pairwise genetic distance (F_st) was calculated using Arlequin v.3.5 (http://cmpg.unibe.ch/software/arlequin3/) in the evaluation of the genetic differentiation between MLGs of C. parvum. Principal coordinates analysis (PCoA) via covariance matrix with data standardization was performed on the generated matrices with the software GENALEX v.6.501 (http://biology-assets.anu.edu.au/GenAlEx) [30]. A median-joining phylogeny was generated using Network software.
v.5.0 (www.fluxus-engineering.com/sharenet.htm) to estimate the genetic segregation and evolutionary trend of *C. parvum* [31].

**Results**

**MLST subtypes and sequence polymorphism**

Forty-one of the 46 isolates were successfully amplified at all eight loci. Among them, *dz-hrgp, rpgr* and *mucin1* had relatively higher sequence polymorphism, with 5, 4 and 4 subtypes being identified, respectively. In contrast, the 44 isolates generated the same sequence at the normally polymorphic *chom3t* locus (Additional file 1: Table S1). Altogether, 17 MLGs were obtained from these isolates of *C. parvum*. Among them, the IldA19G1 isolates from Guangdong, Jiangsu and Shanghai consisted of 12 MLGs. In addition, the IldA20G1 isolates from Hebei and Heilongjiang had two geographically segregated MLGs. The IldA15G1 isolates from Xinjiang had 3 different MLGs (Additional file 1: Table S1).

Sequence data of all eight loci were concatenated to make a multilocus contig of 4740 bp in length. There was a high genetic diversity (*Hd* = 0.89) within *C. parvum* Ild population in China (Table 2). Among the IldA19G1 isolates, the genetic diversity of isolates from Shanghai (*Hd* = 0.94) was greater than isolates from Guangdong (*Hd* = 0.78) or Jiangsu (*Hd* = 0.67) (Table 2). This could be attributed to the difference in the number of farms examined in different regions. In contrast, IldA20G1 isolates had relatively low genetic diversity (*Hd* = 0.48). Among them, isolates from Hebei and Heilongjiang showed high genetic homogeneity (*Hd* = 0.00) within each population. In contrast, IldA15G1 isolates from Xinjiang were highly heterogeneous (*Hd* = 1.00) (Table 2).

**Population structure of Ild subtypes of *C. parvum***

In the analysis of the genetic structure of Ild subtypes with *V* and *L* measurements, an epidemic genetic structure was obtained in the overall population (*P* = -0.0421, *P* = 0.889 and *V*: 1.1307 < *L*: 2.3307) (Table 3). In further analyses, most of the subpopulations by region or gp60 subtype also had the epidemic genetic structure, except for the subpopulations of Heilongjiang, Hebei and Xinjiang which could not be determined due to the small sample size (Table 3).

**Subpopulations of Ild subtypes of *C. parvum***

Maximum likelihood analysis of the sequences grouped the 41 isolates into several evolutionary clusters (Fig. 2). Among them, IldA20G1 isolates from Heilongjiang formed one cluster separated from other isolates including IldA20G1 isolates from Hebei. Another cluster was formed by IldA15G1 isolates from Xinjiang. In contrast, there was no significant geographical clustering among IldA19G1 isolates from Jiangsu, Shanghai and Guangdong (Fig. 2).
A similar result was obtained in STRUCTURE analysis of allelic data. At all K-values used in the analysis, the IIdA20G1 isolates from Heilongjiang were clearly separated from isolates of other regions, including those from Hebei that had the same gp60 subtype. The best separation of subpopulations by gp60 subtype was seen at a K-value of 3; all three C. parvum subtypes formed their own clusters (Fig. 3). In addition, regardless the K-values (3–5) used in the analyses, IIdA19G1 isolates from Guangdong, Shanghai and Jiangsu clustered together (Fig. 3). This was supported by the results of PCoA and median-joining network analyses, in which isolates from Heilongjiang, Hebei and Xinjiang formed their own clusters while those from Shanghai, Jiangsu and Guangdong clustered together (Figs. 4, 5).

The results of \(F_{st}\) analysis supported the occurrence of geographically associated subpopulations of C. parvum IId subtypes. By gp60 subtype, isolates of IIdA15G1, IIdA19G1 and IIdA20G1 were genetically segregated from each other with high statistical significance (Table 4). Within the IIdA20G1 subtype, there was a significant differentiation between isolates from Hebei and Heilongjiang \((c^2 = 15.0, df = 1, P < 0.0001)\). In contrast, the differentiation among IIdA19G1 isolates from Guangdong, Shanghai and Jiangsu was low. Compared with IIdA20G1 isolates from Heilongjiang, there was also reduced differentiation of between IIdA20G1 isolates from Hebei and IIdA19G1 isolates from Jiangsu and Shanghai (Table 5).

**Discussion**

The population genetic analysis of eight polymorphic loci has unravelled a high genetic diversity among isolates of C. parvum IId subtypes from different geographical areas in China. Although they were identical at the gp60 locus, the IIdA19G1 isolates differed at most other genetic loci including dz-hrgp, msc6-5, msc6-7, mucin1 and rpgr. Similarly, IIdA20G1 isolates from Hebei and Heilongjiang differed from each other at the hsp70 locus, while IIdA15G1 isolates from Xinjiang differed from each other at the dz-hrgp, hsp70, msc6-5 and msc6-7 loci.

Results of the LD analysis indicate the presence of an epidemic genetic structure of C. parvum IId subtypes in the present study. This could be attributed to the high prevalence of C. parvum in calves as the result of concentrated animal feeding operations and limited number of IId subtypes in China [2]. Indeed, IIdA19G1 and IIdA15G1 are dominant subtypes in cattle in China [7, 32]. Previously, isolates of C. parvum IId subtypes from China, Egypt and Sweden were shown to have a clonal population structure with limited genetic recombination [25]. The discrepancy in the inference of population genetic structure between these two studies was largely due to whether the analysis has taken the over-representation of the same MLG in the study population into consideration. If this had taken into consideration, the previously reported clonal population of C. parvum IId subtypes could be in fact an epidemic population.

Significant geographical segregation was observed in the IIdA15G1 isolates from Xinjiang and the IIdA20G1 isolates from Heilongjiang based on phylogenetic, substructure, PCoA and \(F_{st}\) analyses. Previous reports indicated that most IIa isolates of C. parvum form country-specific populations. For example, an eBURST-based analysis revealed geographical differences among isolates from Uganda,
Israel, Serbia, Turkey and New Zealand [33]. Similarly, a significant geographical segregation was also identified among 692 *C. parvum* isolates from Italy, Ireland and Scotland [13]. The same situation was also observed in IId isolates of *C. parvum* between China and Sweden in a previous MLST study [25]. Other studies, however, have failed to identify geographical segregation in *C. parvum* populations, but they were conducted over smaller geographical areas within a country [17, 18]. In the present study, diverse isolates were obtained from the southern, north-eastern and north-western regions of China, leading to the identification of unique subpopulations of *C. parvum* in the more geographically isolated Xinjiang and Harbin. In contrast, isolates from Shanghai, Jiangsu and Guangdong had frequent genetic exchanges with no significant geographical barriers among them. These regions were chosen with the consideration of both geographical representation and the intensity of cattle production. The three *C. parvum* subtypes examined in the study are the most common ones in China, responsible for over 90% *C. parvum* infections in cattle. Additional population genetic analysis of more *C. parvum* isolates from other areas and other subtypes is needed to support the observations in this study.

The presence of multiple MLGs on almost all farms in Guangdong, Shanghai and Jiangsu suggests the presence of a significant intra-farm genetic diversity in *C. parvum*. This was not revealed by gp60-based subtyping, as all isolates belonged to IIdA19G1. Nevertheless, this is in agreement with previous population genetic studies of *C. parvum* in European countries [12, 15, 19, 22, 25, 34]. This intra-farm genetic diversity of *C. parvum* in cattle may be attributed to frequent animal trade among farms, which is known to increase the heterogeneity of *C. parvum* and the complexity of infections [14, 19, 21].

**Conclusions**

Despite the presence of only a limited number of gp60 subtypes of *C. parvum* in cattle in China, a much higher genetic diversity is evident in MLST characterization of isolates at both farm and region levels. Nevertheless, biological selection has led to the dominance of limited numbers of geographically segregated MLGs of *C. parvum* in calves in China, with an apparent epidemic population structure. Currently, the veterinary and public health significance of this biological selection of *C. parvum* subpopulations is not entirely clear. Efforts should be made to monitor the genetic evolution of this unique zoonotic pathogen in China.
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Tables

**Table 1** Subtypes and regional origins of Cryptosporidium parvum isolates used in the present study

| Location     | Farm | Host        | Subtypes     | No. of isolates | Reference       |
|--------------|------|-------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Guangdong    | D    | Dairy cattle| IIdA19G1     | 5               | [23]            |
|              | E    | Dairy cattle| IIdA19G1     | 5               | Present study   |
| Hebei        | G    | Dairy cattle| IIdA20G1     | 5               | Present study   |
|              | H    | Beef cattle | IIdA20G1     | 7               | Present study   |
| Heilongjiang | F    | Dairy cattle| IIdA20G1     | 5               | Present study   |
| Jiangsu      | A    | Dairy cattle| IIdA19G1     | 4               | [8]             |
| Shanghai     | B    | Dairy cattle| IIdA19G1     | 5               | [24]            |
|              | C    | Dairy cattle| IIdA19G1     | 5               | [24]            |
| Xinjiang     | I    | Dairy cattle| IIdA15G1     | 5               | Present study   |
| Total        |      | Dairy cattle, Beef cattle | IIdA19G1, IIdA20G1, IIdA15G1 | 46 |                  |

**Table 2** Genetic diversity within Cryptosporidium parvum populations based on analysis of the concatenated sequences from eight genetic loci
| Location       | n  | H  | Hd  | LD (|D'|)                                | Rms  |
|---------------|----|----|-----|--------------------------------------|------|
| Guangdong     | 10 | 6  | 0.78| Y = 1.0000 + 0.0000X                 | 0    |
| Hebei         | 10 | 1  | 0.00| -                                    | -    |
| Heilongjiang  | 5  | 1  | 0.00| -                                    | -    |
| Jiangsu       | 4  | 2  | 0.67| -                                    | 0    |
| Shanghai      | 9  | 7  | 0.94| Y = 0.9295 + 0.0350X                 | 1    |
| Xinjiang      | 3  | 3  | 1.00| Y = 1.0000 + 0.0000X                 | 0    |
| *gp60* subtypes | |    |     |                                       |      |
| IIdA19G1      | 23 | 12 | 0.85| Y = 0.8811 + 0.0177X                 | 1    |
| IIdA20G1      | 15 | 2  | 0.48| -                                    | 0    |
| IIdA15G1      | 3  | 3  | 1.00| Y = 1.0000 + 0.0000X                 | 0    |
| Total         | 41 | 17 | 0.89| Y = 0.9994 - 0.0041X                 | 1    |

*Abbreviations:* n, number of multilocus genotypes; H, number of haplotypes (types based on SNPs alone); Hd, gene diversity; LD (|D'|), linkage disequilibrium between sites, where X is the nucleotide distance (measured in kilobases); Rms, minimum number of recombination events.

**Table 3** Results of linkage disequilibrium analysis of allelic profile data from *Cryptosporidium parvum* at eight genetic loci.
## Table 4 Pairwise genetic distance ($F_{ST}$, below the diagonal) and $P$-values based on Chi-square test (above the diagonal) between subpopulations of *Cryptosporidium parvum* by *gp60* subtype

| Subtype | IIdA19G1 | IIdA20G1 ($c^2$, df, $P$) | IIdA15G1 ($c^2$, df, $P$) |
|---------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| IIdA19G1 | (18.2, 12, < 0.0001) | (26.0, 14, < 0.0001) | |
| IIdA20G1 | 0.14262 | (18.0, 4, < 0.0001) | |
| IIdA15G1 | 0.34028 | 0.32851 | |

Abbreviations: $n$: number of isolates; $I^2_A$, standardized index of association calculated using the program LIAN 3.5; $P_{MC}$, significance for obtaining this value in 1000 simulations using the Monte Carlo method; $V_D$, variance of pairwise difference; $L$, 95% critical value for $V_D$; $V_D > L$, presence of linkage disequilibrium

Considering isolates with the same MLG as one individual
Table 5 Pairwise genetic distance ($F_{ST}$, below the diagonal) and $P$-values based on Chi-square test (above the diagonal) between subpopulations of *Cryptosporidium parvum* by geographical origin

| Location   | Guangdong | Jiangsu ($c^2, df, P$) | Shanghai ($c^2, df, P$) | Hebei ($c^2, df, P$) | Heilongjiang ($c^2, df, P$) | Xinjiang ($c^2, df, P$) |
|------------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Guangdong  |           | (8.3, 7, 0.00901 ± 0.0091) | (11.3, 10, 0.02703 ± 0.0194) | (6.7, 5, 0.23423 ± 0.0411) | (3.8, 5, < 0.0001) | (13.0, 8, < 0.0001) |
| Jiangsu    | 0.14401   | (8.3, 7, 0.04505 ± 0.0244) | (5.8, 1, 0.01802 ± 0.0121) | (3.2, 1, < 0.0001) | (7.0, 4, < 0.0001) |
| Shanghai   | 0.05817   | 0.09422                | (12.3, 6, 0.01802 ± 0.0121) | (7.8, 6, < 0.0001) | (12.0, 9, < 0.0001) |
| Hebei      | 0.02455   | 0.32678                | 0.08043                 | (15.0, 1, < 0.0001) | (13.0, 3, < 0.0001) |
| Heilongjiang | 0.67935  | 0.85765                | 0.5247                  | 0.87905              | (8.0, 3, < 0.0001) |
| Xinjiang   | 0.36149   | 0.29526                | 0.28499                 | 0.36733              | 0.47694                  |

Figures
Figure 1

Geographical locations of Cryptosporidium parvum samples from dairy and beef cattle in this study in China. Note: The designations employed and the presentation of the material on this map do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of Research Square concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. This map has been provided by the authors.

Figure 2

Phylogenetic relationships among 41 Cryptosporidium parvum isolates. The phylogeny was inferred through maximum likelihood (ML) analysis of the concatenated nucleotide sequences based on substitution rates using the General Time Reversible model.
Figure 3

Substructure analysis of 46 Cryptosporidium parvum isolates inferred by Bayesian clustering of allelic data. K = 3, 4 and 5 were used in the analysis. gp60 subtypes and geographical origins of the isolates are shown above and below the bars, respectively. Abbreviations: GD, Guangdong; JS, Jiangsu; SH, Shanghai; HB, Hebei; HLJ, Heilongjiang; XJ, Xinjiang; DC, dairy cattle; BC, beef cattle

Figure 4

Results of the principal coordinate analysis of 41 Cryptosporidium parvum isolates based on pairwise distances (a 2D, b 3D). Each solid sphere represents an MLG. The color of the spheres indicates geographical origin of the isolates, while the size of the spheres represents the number of isolates
Figure 5

Phylogeny of 41 Cryptosporidium parvum isolates inferred by median-joining network analysis. The size of each circle is proportional to the number of isolates with the MLG, while the color of the circles indicates the geographical origin of the MLG.
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