Abstract

Objectives: To identify optimum sample conditions for human brains, we compared the clearing efficiency, antibody staining efficiency, and artifacts between fresh and cadaver samples.

Methods: Fresh and cadaver samples were cleared using X-CLARITY™. Clearing efficiency and artifact levels were calculated using ImageJ, and antibody staining efficiency was evaluated after confocal microscopy imaging. Three staining methods were compared: 4-day staining (4DS), 11-day staining (11DS), and 4-day staining with a commercial kit (4DS-C). The optimum staining method was then selected by evaluating staining time, depth, method complexity, contamination, and cost.

Results: Fresh samples outperformed cadaver samples in terms of the time and quality of clearing, artifacts, and 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining efficiency, but had a glial fibrillar acidic protein (GFAP) staining efficiency that was similar to that of cadaver samples. The penetration depth and DAPI staining improved in fresh samples as the incubation period lengthened. 4DS-C was the best method, with the deepest penetration. Human brain images containing blood vessels, cell nuclei, and astrocytes were visualized three-dimensionally. The chemical dye staining depth reached 800 μm and immunostaining depth exceeded 200 μm in 4 days.

Conclusions: We present optimized sample preparation and staining protocols for the visualization of three-dimensional macrostructure in the human brain.
Introduction

To improve the diagnosis and treatment of various degenerative diseases that are unique to humans, it is important to perform studies using human brains. However, studies using human brains have lagged behind those using rodents and non-human primates because of the ethical, legal, and accessibility challenges of obtaining human brains. In recent years, there have been substantial improvements in the techniques used to visualize rodent brains in three dimensions (3D) without the use of tissue sectioning.1–7 These techniques include various tissue-clearing technologies as well as advances in confocal microscopy that allow images to be taken from deep inside the brain.2,8–10 For example, iDISCO+ (immunolabeling-enabled three-dimensional imaging of solvent-cleared organs) has been used to image the vasculature of the adult mouse brain,11 FDISCO (three-dimensional imaging of solvent-cleared organs with superior fluorescence-preserving capability) has been used to visualize neurons with weak fluorescence labeling in the whole mouse brain,12 and MACS (m-xylylenediamine-based aqueous clearing system) has enabled the clearing of whole mouse brains with robust lipophilic dye compatibility.13 Among the tissue-clearing techniques, CLARITY (Clear, Lipid-exchanged, Acrylamide-hybridized, Rigid, Imaging/immunostaining compatible, Tissue hYdrogel) is a novel method in which formaldehyde-fixed tissues are infiltrated with hydrogel to form crosslinked biomolecules, thus forming a stable tissue structure. This is followed by the extraction of lipid molecules using electrophoretic tissue clearing.1,14 The tissue obtains a lower refractive index once lipids have been removed, and the structural damage is minimal, with protein loss of only about 10%.15 Although this process allows the relatively deep diffusion of antibodies and other labeling probes,16 challenges remain for the antibody staining of large tissue samples and/or human samples. Most of the research into CLARITY has been conducted using fixed rodent brain tissue.9 Despite these challenges, a few recent studies have applied tissue-clearing techniques to the human brain to investigate the human dysplastic brain17 and the pathogenesis of Alzheimer’s disease,8,18 Lewy body disease,19 and autism14 in 3D. Other studies have compared different tissue-clearing technologies for the human brain,20 and have optimized the CLARITY procedure and immunofluorescence labeling in formalin-fixed brain tissue.21,22 A new permeabilization method, SHANEL (small-micelle-mediated human organ efficient clearing and labeling), was used in another study to render the human brain transparent.23 Although most of these studies were conducted on cadaver samples, a few studies used both ‘recently autopsied’ (fresh) and cadaver samples.2,19 However, these studies did not quantitatively compare the clearing time, artifacts (including autofluorescence and scattered fluorescence), and staining efficiency between fresh and cadaver samples.2 In addition, only a few studies compared a range of methods of antibody
staining, which is often considered the major bottleneck in human brain tissue clearing research. Thus, to date, there is no established method for clearing and imaging macrostructures in human brain samples.

Our aim was to determine the optimal sample selection and staining strategy to visualize structures in cleared human brain samples. We therefore compared clearing efficiency, artifact levels, and antibody staining efficiency between donated cadaver samples and fresh samples. Furthermore, a range of staining methods were compared and evaluated according to staining time, staining depth, complexity of the method, tissue contamination, and cost. Our results provide an optimized protocol for 3D histological investigations in the human brain.

**Materials and methods**

**Acquisition and storage of human brain samples**

The collection and analysis of autopsied human specimens was approved by the Institutional Review Board for Human Subjects Research, and was carried out by a certified staff member of the Department of Forensic Medicine of Seoul National University (Seoul, South Korea) using the standard operating procedure for conducting autopsies. The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with national legislation and institutional requirements. No potentially identifiable human images or data are presented in this study.

All eight autopsied cortex samples were collected within 80 hours postmortem. The autopsied samples were stored at 4°C between death and sample collection. After the autopsies, the following information was collected from the Department of Forensic Medicine of Seoul National University: sex, age at death, height, weight, cause of death, and estimated postmortem interval (PMI) (Table 1). A portion around the central sulcus of the cortex from each individual was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) at 4°C for 1 week before being stored in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution with 0.02% sodium azide.

The cadaver brain tissue samples (Table 2) were donated by the Department of Anatomy at Seoul National University College of Medicine. Brain cortex tissue blocks from the cadavers were stored in 4% PFA at 4°C for more than 1 year. Tissue blocks were immersed in PBS

| Table 1. Clinical information from the fresh samples. |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Fresh sample ID | Age (approximate) | Height (cm) | Weight (kg) | Cause of death | Postmortem interval (hours) |
| F1 | 41–45 | 179 | 86 | CKD | 30 |
| F2 | 76–80 | 162 | 52 | MI | 48 |
| F3 | 56–60 | 171 | 66 | Hypothermia | 48 |
| F4 | – | – | – | – | – |
| F5 | 61–65 | 173 | 66 | MI | 80 |
| F6 | 66–70 | 166 | 70 | Drowning | 72 |
| F7 | 26–30 | 163 | 48 | Arrhythmia | 70 |
| F8 | 61–65 | 166 | 68 | Hypertension | 48 |

CKD, chronic kidney disease; MI, myocardial infarction.
solution with 0.02% sodium azide before undergoing any experimental procedures.

**Tissue clearing**

The tissue blocks were manually sectioned (approximately 1 mm thick) using razor blades and were incubated in hydrogel for 1 day. For the fresh samples, the initial samples (F4, F5, F8) were crudely sectioned with more than 1-mm thickness, whereas the latter samples (F1, F2, F3) were precisely sectioned at 1-mm thickness using a 1-mm lattice. The polymerization process was then performed for 3 hours using the X-CLARITY™ Polymerization System (−90 kPa; LOGOS Biosystems, Anyang, South Korea). The tissue blocks were then washed with PBS for 3 hours and were cleared using X-CLARITY™. The fresh and cadaver brain samples were cleared until the last intact sample was chemically disintegrated (30 hours for fresh, 50 hours for cadaver).

**Immunofluorescence and chemical dye staining**

Three different types of staining methods were applied. The first method was “4-day staining” (4DS). Pre-processed samples were incubated in primary antibody solution comprising anti-glial fibrillary acidic protein (GFAP) antibody (dilution 1:500, ab7260; Abcam, Cambridge, UK) in 0.02% sodium azide in PBS for 4 days. They were then washed in PBS for 2 days, incubated in secondary antibody solution comprising anti-rabbit 488 nm (dilution 1:250, A-11008; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI; dilution 1:1000, D1306, Invitrogen), and lectin (dilution 1:500, DL-1177; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA) in 0.02% sodium azide in PBS for 4 days, and washed in PBS for 2 days. The second method was “11-day staining” (11DS). Each step was the same as that described for the 4DS protocol, except the incubations with the primary and secondary antibodies were for 11 days each. The third method was “4-day staining with a commercial kit” (4DS-C), in which the DeepLabel Kit (C33001; LOGOS Biosystems) was used. Brain tissue samples were first immersed in permeabilization buffer for 2 days. Next, the samples were incubated in primary antibody solution containing anti-GFAP antibody (dilution 1:500, ab7260; Abcam) in DeepLabel Kit staining buffer for 4 days, and were then washed in PBS for 2 days. The samples were then incubated in secondary antibody solution containing anti-rabbit 488 nm (dilution 1:250, A-11008; Invitrogen), DAPI (dilution 1:1000, D1306; Invitrogen), and lectin (dilution 1:500, DL-1177; Vector Laboratories) in DeepLabel Kit staining buffer for 4 days, and were washed in PBS for 2 days.

**Table 2. Clinical information from the cadaver samples.**

| Cadaver number | Year of birth | Year of death | Cause of death               |
|---------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| C1            | 1932         | 2016          | Myelodysplastic syndrome     |
| C2            | 1951         | 2016          | Angina pectoris              |
| C3            | 1944         | 2016          | Colon cancer                 |
| C4            | 1940         | 2016          | Lung cancer                  |
| C5            | 1941         | 2016          | Cardiac arrest               |
| C6            | 1935         | 2016          | Acute cardiac death          |
| C7            | 1946         | 2016          | Multiple organ failure       |
| C8            | 1939         | 2016          | Myasthenia gravis            |
**Image acquisition and data analysis**

The imaging of stained tissue was performed using an LSM 800 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Oberkochen, Germany) at the Cancer Research Institute in Seoul National University, and a TCS ST8 confocal microscope (Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany). After staining, the samples were submerged in mounting solution for 4 hours for the homogenization of the refractive index. Images were then acquired with the confocal microscope using laser excitation wavelengths of 405 nm, 488 nm, and 594 nm. A 10× objective (working distance 200–500 μm, imaging interval 0.9–2.0 μm) and a 20× objective (working distance 200 μm, imaging interval 2.0 μm) were used. The staining depth was quantified as the z-axis depth of the shallowest xy-plane image that did not contain any signal except artifacts. The z-axis interval between the adjacent xy-plane image was 2 μm.

Image visualization was performed using Zen Blue (Carl Zeiss Meditec) and LAS X (Leica Microsystems) software. The 3D renderings were obtained using Zen Blue, LAS X, and Imaris (Bitplane, Belfast, UK) software.

**Calculating tissue opacity**

The opacity of the cleared samples was evaluated using ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, USA). The images of samples were trimmed to 1 cm × 0.8 cm rectangles before clearing and after clearing. The raw opacity of each rectangle was estimated as the closed area of the gel profile plot (with x-axis indicating the x coordinate and y-axis indicating the relative density of the contents per unit length) shown from the gel analyzer in ImageJ. The percentage opacity was then calculated as follows: Raw opacity of the sample after clearing/raw opacity of the sample before clearing. The percentage opacity of each sample was regarded as the clearing efficiency of the sample.

**Calculating artifacts, amount of stained antigens, and DAPI staining efficiency**

Artifacts caused by autofluorescence and laser scattering phenomena in individual samples were assumed to be proportional to the image brightness when projected with a 405 nm, 488 nm, and 594 nm laser. The brightness after laser projections was quantified as the integrated density (“IntDen”) value in ImageJ, which is the product of signal intensity (mean gray value) and the area of the signal. The integrated density values of the image under each laser wavelength were added as the final artifact level. The amount of stained antigen in each sample was assumed to be proportional to the brightness of the fluorescence emitted from the GFAP antibodies of each sample after 4DS. The brightness of the GFAP signal was also quantified as the integrated density value of each sample. The DAPI staining efficiency of individual samples was assumed to be proportional to the brightness of the DAPI signal. The brightness of the DAPI signal was also quantified as the integrated density value of each sample.

**Results**

**Clearing efficiency in fresh and cadaver samples**

Using X-CLARITY™, the clearing efficiency (i.e., transparency) steadily increased (i.e., the opacity decreased) in a non-linear trajectory as the clearing time increased (Figure 1a). Eighty-percent transparency (i.e., 20% opacity) was obtained after an average of 4 hours for the fresh samples and 40 hours for the cadaver samples.
This time difference between the two groups was statistically significant (two-tailed independent \( t \)-test, \( p = 0.006 \)).

The threshold opacity was set to 20% because of tissue dissociation and the fluctuating opacity values of fresh samples below 20% opacity (Figure 1b). Both the fresh and cadaver samples showed swelling after clearing. In addition, a yellowish stain in the cadaver samples remained even after clearing (Figure 1c). Taken together, these results indicate that fresh samples outperform cadaver samples in terms of the time and quality of clearing efficiency.

**Artifact levels in fresh and cadaver samples**

Artifacts caused by autofluorescence and laser scattering phenomena can interfere with fluorescence image acquisition. When the degree of artifacts was compared between cadaver and fresh samples that had been cleared for 14 hours, the cadaver samples had more apparent artifacts (Figure 2a). Moreover, the distribution of artifacts was more scattered in cadaver samples compared with fresh samples (Figure 2b) when the clearing efficiency was set to 20% opacity for both sample
types, which was achieved by setting different clearing times (Figure 2c). We then quantified the artifact levels in samples as the integrated density in ImageJ software. Artifacts were proportional to opacity in fresh samples only ($r = -0.38$ and $0.17$ for fresh and cadaver samples, respectively) (Figure 2d). Even within a similar opacity...
range, all cadaver samples had a higher number of artifacts compared with the fresh samples (Figure 2d). In addition, the overall number of artifacts in the cadaver samples was significantly higher than that of the fresh samples \((p < 0.05)\) (Figure 2e). Thus, our findings suggest that fresh samples have less artifacts than cadaver samples.

**Staining efficiency in fresh and cadaver samples**

Three staining methods were compared: 4DS, 11DS, and 4DS-C. To compare the staining efficiencies of fresh and cadaver samples, we first stained the cleared tissue samples according to the 4DS protocol, using DAPI and an anti-GFAP antibody.

The 1-mm slices of cadaver tissue samples showed no DAPI staining (only autofluorescence) after 4 days of staining (Figure 3a). Furthermore, no DAPI staining was observed in this tissue even when 30 \(\mu\)m sections were used. In contrast, fresh samples showed definite DAPI staining in the 3D cleared 30-\(\mu\)m sections (Figure 3b). GFAP staining was similarly good in both fresh and cadaver samples under the same clearing time of 20 hours (Figure 3c), or under the same clearing efficiency (Figure 3d).

We then measured the depth of GFAP staining to quantitatively evaluate these results. The difference in depth between the fresh (67.86 \(\mu\)m) and cadaver (65.85 \(\mu\)m) samples was not statistically significant (two-tailed independent \(t\)-test) (Figure 3e).

Together, these results suggest that DAPI staining is more efficient in fresh samples, whereas GFAP staining efficiency is similar between fresh and cadaver samples (Table 3).

**Relationship between the PMI and the amount of stained antigen in fresh human brain samples**

There was high heterogeneity in the amount of stained antigen among samples. We speculated that the PMI may be an important determinant of the amount of stained antigen. However, although there was a trend toward a negative correlation between the PMI and the amount of stained antigen \((r = r^2 \times 0.3557)\), this was not statistically significant \((t\)-test) (Figure 4).

**Penetration depth of DAPI staining in fresh human brain samples**

DAPI is a nanoscale chemical dye that efficiently penetrates tissue to stain nucleic acids. However, the exact depth of DAPI staining achieved with different incubation periods has not been studied precisely in human brain samples. We stained the human cerebral cortex with DAPI for varying lengths of time (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 hours) and revealed that when DAPI incubations lasted over 16 hours, DNA staining was achieved at a depth of over 500 \(\mu\)m (Figure 5a). Because the intensity of the laser is lower and the brightness of the fluorescence is not uniform when images are captured deeper within the tissue, the depth comparisons were achieved by post-processing the images for optimum brightness and contrast. We also confirmed that not only the depth of staining, but also the fluorescence brightness of the sample surface, were improved by a longer incubation time (Figure 5b). For a more quantitative comparison, the integrated density value was calculated for each depth \((z = 0, 10, 50, \text{and} 100 \mu\text{m})\) and each incubation period (1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 32 hours) using ImageJ. The cross-sectional images for each depth were captured using the confocal microscope \(z\)-stack function. The integrated density values by depth and incubation period were then visualized as a heatmap (Figure 5c).

**Comparison of staining methods in fresh human brain samples**

We compared the staining time, antibody penetration depth, method complexity,
level of tissue contamination, and cost of the 4DS, 11DS, and 4DS-C antibody staining methods using fresh brain samples (Table 4, Table 5, Figure 6a). The 4DS-C method achieved deeper antibody staining than the 4DS or 11DS methods (4DS-C: 161 μm vs. 4DS: 68 μm vs. 11DS: 126 μm, p = 0.007, analysis of variance), and the difference in depth between the 4DS and 4DS-C samples remained significant after a Bonferroni post-hoc analysis (p = 0.031) (Figure 6b–d). In contrast, the differences
Table 3. Comparison of the fresh and cadaver samples.

|                                  | Fresh         | Cadaver       |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Clearing time (average until 20% opacity) | 4 hours       | 40 hours      |
| Artifacts                        | Low           | High          |
| Antibody penetration depth (mean) | 67.86 µm      | 65.85 µm      |
| DAPI staining                    | Sufficient    | Insufficient  |

DAPI, 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole.

Figure 4. Amount of stained antigen (calculated as the “integrated density” score in ImageJ) plotted against the postmortem interval (PMI). (a) Linear plot ($r = r^20.3557$) and (b–f) three-dimensional images (view looking down the z-axis) of antigen-stained (b) F1, (c) F2, (d) F6, (e) F7, and (f) F8 after 4-day staining (green: glial fibrillary acidic protein).
in depth between 4DS-C and 11DS and between 4DS and 11DS were not significant.

**Visualizing the 3D macrostructure of the human brain**

Using our tissue clearing technique, 4DS as the staining method, and lectin as the staining dye, we were able to obtain an image of a blood vessel, including its intricate branching structure, up to a depth of approximately 800 μm (Figure 7a, https://photos.app.goo.gl/oMaLRxPuAWtg4nM7). Furthermore, using 4DS as the staining method and anti-GFAP antibody, we were able to image a
human brain astrocyte with an extremely complex structure (Figure 7b, https://photos.app.goo.gl/Vd3rWxaks9ua DMje8). Furthermore, using the 4DS method, a composite image of human brain astrocytes (from GFAP staining), nuclei (from DAPI staining), and blood vessels (from lectin staining) was created (Figure 7c, https://photos.app.goo.gl/f4zMV5hMPqSEgRQy6).

Discussion

In the current study, we presented a protocol for the optimal tissue clearing and staining of human brain samples. We found that fresh samples were more suitable than cadaver samples for use in tissue clearing experiments. We also determined that the DeepLabel Kit staining method was optimal in terms of staining time, antibody penetration depth, and level of method complexity.

To our knowledge, this is the first human brain clearing study to quantify and compare clearing and staining protocols. A recent study has reported on clearing methods for the human brain.19 Both our study and the previous study used fresh and cadaver samples. However, the previous
study investigated staining methods for fresh and cadaver samples without including any quantification or direct comparisons between the sample types. Our study took this approach one step further by rigorously quantifying the time, depth, and efficiency of both antibody and chemical staining. In addition, whereas the previous study used only traditional buffers, we investigated various staining methods (traditional buffers, a commercial buffer kit, and an electrophoresis device) and provided technical comparisons. 4DS was selected as a staining method because it produced satisfactory image quality at an affordable cost. However, there was no difference in tissue integrity between the 4DS- and 4DS-C-stained samples. Another difference is that we used X-CLARITY™ for tissue clearing, whereas the previous study used OPTIclear. Furthermore, an additional study has previously published methods on human tissue clearing, but did not quantify or compare these methods.² Our study had a much larger sample size compared with these previous studies.

Figure 6. Comparison of the staining methods. (a) Images of fresh human brain samples (F1, F2, F3, F4, F6, F8). (b) Fresh sample (F2) staining results from 4-day staining (4DS) and 11-day staining (11DS) (red: glial fibrillary acidic protein [GFAP], blue: 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole [DAPI]). (c) GFAP antibody penetration depth according to the staining method. **p < 0.01. (d) Fresh sample (F4) staining results after 4DS, 11DS, and 4-day staining with a commercial kit (4DS-C) (red: GFAP, blue: DAPI). Samples F1 and F2 are the same as the samples shown in Figure 4.
studies: 1–5 samples vs. our study: 15 samples). Additionally, whereas these previous studies used only biobank samples, we had access to fresh tissue samples and could therefore investigate the importance of fresh sample collection and preparation for the human clearing study. Last, considering the staining depth of 800 μm that was achieved, the present investigation constitutes a large-scale successful human brain clearing and chemical staining study, although it is not the largest reported staining depth. In previous studies, brain samples were stained up to 4500 and 1500 μm in depth using Multiscale Architectonic Staining of Human cortex (MASH) and OptiClear staining protocols, respectively.2,25 However, the aforementioned studies

Figure 7. Visualizing the three-dimensional structure of the human brain. (a) Human blood vessel, (b) human astrocyte, and (c) structure of the human brain (red: lectin, green: glial fibrillary acidic protein, blue: 4’,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole).
required a longer time period for the whole procedure (10 days and 3.5 months, respectively) compared with our study (about 6 days).

We speculate that the differences in clearing efficiency between fresh and cadaver samples are related to fixation time. Cadaver samples underwent prolonged fixation (approximately 2 years) before tissue clearing, whereas fresh samples were fixed for just 1 week. This prolonged fixation time may cause excess crosslinking of molecules with methylene bridges, which might delay lipid removal during clearing. In addition, the fresh postmortem samples were preserved at 4°C before sample collection, which may cause tissue decay. However, gross tissue decay was not observed during sample collection. The difference in the opacity curve between fresh samples (F1, F2, and F3 vs. F4, F5, and F8) can be explained by the difference in sample thickness, which was mentioned in the methods.

Cadaver samples had more artifacts compared with fresh samples. The artifacts may result from autofluorescence or laser scattering phenomena. Although autofluorescence is assumed to be similar in both sample types, laser scattering phenomena are likely to occur more intensely in cadaver samples because of the increased crosslinking caused by a prolonged fixation time. Furthermore, differences in clearing efficiency were also related to differences in artifacts. When the clearing time was fixed, the cadaver samples were less cleared, and there were more artifacts in the cadaver samples because of their opacity. However, even when the clearing efficiency was the same between the two sample types, the cadaver samples continued to have more artifacts, likely because of the remaining brown/yellow tint following tissue clearing.2,19

The greatest difference between the fresh and cadaver samples was in DAPI staining. When the tissue was frozen, sectioned, and stained, DAPI staining was not observed in cadaver samples. The loss of DAPI staining was likely a result of the DNA degradation caused by either a long fixation time or a long interval between the time of death and the tissue collection time. Because DNA is fairly stable, it is likely to be the former option. However, a previous study has reported the successful staining of cadaver samples with DAPI;7 therefore, the staining of cadaver samples with DAPI does not seem to be a universal problem, and may be related to tissue collection procedures that are unique to our university. It is difficult for researchers to participate in the fixation procedures of cadaver samples because samples are obtained according to the procedure stated by the donor body of research. Thus, the time period from death to fixation varies. Whereas fresh samples were harvested within 48–80 hours, cadaver samples may have been harvested more than 80 hours postmortem.

With regard to antibody staining, both fresh and cadaver samples had a similar pattern and depth of GFAP staining, with slight (but insignificant) differences in the amount of antigen. These may have been caused by formaldehyde protein crosslinks hindering antibody binding in cadaver samples.26 Staining quantity is determined by antigen quantity, antigen quality, antibody penetration, and antibody–antigen affinity, among other qualities. A longer PMI may result in protein degradation and bacterial decomposition, causing a decrease in antigen quantity and quality. Thus, based on our results, human brain samples with a PMI of over 60 hours are not recommended for immunohistochemical staining.

This study has some limitations. First, although we succeeded in imaging GFAP staining in 3D, anti-NeuN and anti-neurofilament antibodies did not work in cleared human brain samples. This may be because of antibody-specific heterogeneity
regarding staining protocols, and needs to be investigated in future studies. Second, all of our results were restricted to small samples of the cortex; thus, our protocol cannot be generalized to other parts of the human brain. Third, our sample number for some of the staining techniques was too small to test for statistical significance. Last, the images were mostly acquired in the 20× objective because of limited microscope resolution and astrocyte morphology. Although 10× objective images were not presented in this paper because of their low image quality, they showed staining penetration to a deeper extent compared with the 20× objective images.

In conclusion, we successfully visualized 3D macrostructure in the human brain using an optimized clearing and staining method. This protocol requires fresh human brain tissue and the use of the DeepLabel staining kit to produce the best results. This optimized method will open new avenues for disease diagnosis and human brain research.
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