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Risk factors and outcomes of Clostridium difficile infection in hospitalized patients
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Abstract

Background: The aim of this study was to identify risk factors for Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) and its attributable mortality and to propose methods to prevent CDI and improve patients' outcomes.

Methods: CDI was defined as diarrheal patients with stool samples that were positive for C. difficile toxin. Clinical presentations of all patients with CDI and two times as many age- and sex-matched culture-negative controls at the Chang Gung Memorial Hospital in 2014 were identified and compared by multivariate, nonparametric, and Kaplan–Meier survival analysis.

Results: There were no significant differences in ages, sex, or Charlson comorbidity indexes between the CDI group (n = 42) and the control group (n = 86). The multivariate analysis indicated that underlying peptic ulcer disease and previous use of gastric acid inhibitors or third-generation cephalosporins for at least 3 days were significantly more common in patients with CDI than in the controls. Charlson scores were associated with mortality due to CDI. Recommended treatment using oral vancomycin to treat patients with Charlson score ≥ 5 and oral metronidazole or vancomycin to treat those with moderate underlying disease (Charlson score ≥ 2 and ≤ 5) significantly increased survival in these patients (p = 0.001).

Conclusions: Oral vancomycin given to patients with high Charlson scores and oral metronidazole or vancomycin to patients with moderate Charlson scores decreased mortality due to CDI. Restricting the use of third-generation cephalosporins and gastric acid inhibitors is recommended to prevent CDI in hospitalized patients.
At a glance of commentary

**Scientific background on the subject**

Patients with C. difficile infection (CDI), one of the leading causes of nosocomial infections globally, ranging from asymptomatic, mild diarrhea to life-threatening pseudomembranous colitis. Risk factors for CDI have been found to include previous broad-spectrum antibiotics use, and severe underlying disease, but rare such study has been surveyed in Taiwan.

What this study adds to the field

Restricting the use of third-generation cephalosporins and gastric acid inhibitors is recommended to prevent CDI in hospitalized patients. Oral vancomycin given to patients with high Charlson scores and oral metronidazole or vancomycin to patients with moderate Charlson scores decreased mortality due to CDI.

**Clostridium difficile** is an anaerobic, Gram-positive, spore-forming bacillus that infects or colonizes the colon [1–3]. C. difficile infection (CDI) is one of the leading causes of nosocomial infections globally [1–3]. A recent dramatic increase in the incidence of CDI was found in many countries, including the United States, United Kingdom, and Australia [4–7]. Some patients with C. difficile-associated disease (CDAD) are asymptomatic after exposure. However, others exhibit disease ranging from mild diarrhea to life-threatening pseudomembranous colitis [8–11]. In previous studies, risk factors for CDI have been found to include previous broad-spectrum antibiotics use, advanced age, severe underlying disease, and prior hospitalization [9–11], but rare such study has been surveyed in Taiwan.

Metronidazole is the drug of choice for mild CDI (500 mg by mouth, three times a day for 10–14 days), whereas vancomycin is recommended for more severe CDI cases (125 mg by mouth, four times a day for 10–14 days) [11–17]. Patients with severe/complicated disease should be treated with vancomycin (125 mg) orally four times daily, with or without metronidazole (500 mg), intravenously every 8 h [11–17]. Leukocytosis with a white blood cell (WBC) count of ≥15,000 cells/mL or a serum creatinine level ≥1.5 times the premorbid level has been used as the criterion to define severity of CDI [13]. However, this criterion was only based on expert opinion that leukocytosis seems to reflect the severity of intestinal inflammation [12], and an elevated serum creatinine level may indicate inadequate renal perfusion resulting from severe diarrhea with volume dehydration [12]. These criteria should be examined in additional clinical studies.

By comparing clinical presentations of patients with CDI and those of patients with hospital-acquired diarrhea with an etiology other than C. difficile, our aim was to identify risk factors for acquiring CDI and those associated with attributable mortality to recommend interventions for preventing and treating CDI to improve patient outcomes.

**Methods**

**Study design**

This was a retrospective case–control study to survey risk factors associated with CDI and its attributable mortality. For each CDI case, approximately two times as many age- and sex-matched culture-negative controls were selected. Clinical presentations of patients with first episodes of CDI and those of controls were determined at Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CGMH) between January 2014 and December 2014. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB code: 201700587B0), Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan.

CDI cases were defined based on the Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) and Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) guidelines, using both clinical and laboratory data: (1) the presence of diarrhea, defined as the passage of ≥3 unformed stools in ≤24 consecutive hours and (2) a stool test positive for the presence of toxigenic C. difficile or its toxins or histopathologic or colonoscopic findings indicating pseudomembranous colitis. We excluded patients who were infected by any other pathogenic microbes or who tested positive for norovirus, rotavirus, or Escherichia coli 0157.

The control patients were defined as inpatients that presented with diarrhea and were found negative for C. difficile by toxin assay and culture. Cases and controls were matched at a ratio of 1:2 by age (within 10 years) and sex.

**Clinical data collection**

The following clinical and demographic data were obtained from electronic medical records: age, sex, vital signs, frequency of diarrhea, underlying diseases, exposure to antimicrobials within the 14 days preceding CDI diagnosis, treatment for CDI, length of hospital stay, length of intensive care unit (ICU) stay, surgery related to CDI, and disease outcome.

**Definition**

Mortality was defined as CDI-attributable death, occurring before signs and symptoms of CDI were resolved [12]. Hypotension, shock, ileus, toxic megacolon, peritonitis, respiratory distress, and hemodynamic instability were considered complications [12–17]. Based on the time at risk for C. difficile to acquire resistance under antimicrobial selective pressure, prior exposure to antimicrobial agents was defined as ≥5 days of therapy during the 14 days prior to isolation of C. difficile [18–20]. Appropriate antimicrobial therapy was defined as treatment of patients with metronidazole or oral vancomycin within 2 days of CDI onset [18–20]. Recommended treatment based on Charlson score comprised metronidazole (500 mg) administered orally 3 times per day or oral vancomycin (125 mg) administered orally 4 times per day to patients with moderate underlying diseases (Charlson score ≥2 and ≤6), and oral vancomycin (125 mg) orally 4 times per day to patients with severe underlying diseases (Charlson score ≥6) within 2 days after CDI onset.
### Table 1 Logistic regression analysis of risk factors for *C. difficile* infection.

| Characteristics | CDI N = 42 | Non-CDI N = 86 | Univariate analysis | Multivariate analysis<sup>b</sup> | p<sup>b</sup> | p<sup>b</sup> |
|-----------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Demographic characteristics |            |                |                     |                               |       |       |
| Age, years | 66 (53.3–78.8) | 65 (55.0–80.8) | 1.01 (0.99–1.03) | 0.842 |       |       |
| Sex, male/female | 20/22 | 52/34 | 0.59 (0.28–1.25) | 0.171 |       |       |
| Underlying diseases |            |                |                     |                               |       |       |
| Charlson score | 3 (2–5) | 3 (1–6) | 1.07 (0.92–1.25) | 0.382 |       |       |
| Neoplastic disease<sup>c</sup> | 16 (38.1) | 29 (33.7) | 1.10 (0.75–1.61) | 0.627 |       |       |
| Metastatic malignancy<sup>c</sup> | 5 (14.2) | 15 (17.4) | 0.93 (0.77–1.11) | 0.421 |       |       |
| Cardiac disease | 7 (16.7) | 16 (18.6) | 0.88 (0.33–2.32) | 0.789 |       |       |
| Cerebrovascular disease | 14 (33.3) | 19 (22.1) | 1.16 (0.40–1.82) | 0.175 |       |       |
| Diabetes | 19 (45.2) | 33 (38.4) | 1.15 (0.51–1.48) | 0.598 |       |       |
| Pulmonary disease | 3 (7.1) | 4 (4.7) | 1.58 (0.34–7.39) | 0.563 |       |       |
| Hepatic disease | 6 (14.3) | 15 (17.4) | 0.93 (0.53–1.65) | 0.809 |       |       |
| Renal disease | 16 (39.0) | 23 (26.7) | 1.32 (0.83–1.96) | 0.163 |       |       |
| Peptic ulcer | 11 (26.2) | 6 (7.0) | 4.73 (1.61–13.90) | 0.005  | 4.77 (1.57–14.45) | 0.006 |
| Demence | 7 (16.7) | 7 (8.2) | 4.76 (0.74–6.92) | 0.155 |       |       |
| Previous gastric acid inhibitors for at least 3 days in 14 days before the infection |            |                |                     |                               |       |       |
| Esomeprazole | 8 (19.0) | 3 (3.5) | 6.51 (1.63–26.02) | 0.008 |       |       |
| Pantoprazole | 1 (2.4) | 1 (1.2) | 2.07 (0.13–33.98) | 0.609 |       |       |
| Lansoprazole | 3 (7.1) | 2 (2.3) | 3.23 (0.52–20.12) | 0.209 |       |       |
| Proton pump inhibitors<sup>d</sup> | 10 (23.8) | 5 (5.8) | 5.06 (1.61–15.97) | 0.006 |       |       |
| Misoprostol | 1 (2.4) | 1 (1.2) | 2.07 (0.13–33.98) | 0.609 |       |       |
| Histamine-2 blockers | 1 (2.4) | 1 (1.2) | 12.5 (0.00–115.52) | 0.489 |       |       |
| Gastric acid inhibitors | 11 (26.2) | 6 (7.0) | 4.73 (1.61–13.90) | 0.005  | 4.77 (1.57–14.45) | 0.006 |
| Psychological status | 7 (16.7) | 7 (8.2) | 4.76 (0.74–6.92) | 0.155 |       |       |
| Previous antibiotics usage for at least 3 days in 14 days before the infection |            |                |                     |                               |       |       |
| Cephalosporines |            |                |                     |                               |       |       |
| First generation | 3 (7.1) | 2 (2.3) | 3.23 (0.52–20.12) | 0.209 |       |       |
| Second generation | 1 (2.4) | 4 (4.7) | 0.50 (0.05–4.62) | 0.541 |       |       |
| Third generation | 21 (50.0) | 23 (26.7) | 2.74 (1.27–5.92) | 0.010  | 2.76 (1.24–6.14) | 0.013 |
| Fourth generation | 4 (9.5) | 9 (10.5) | 0.90 (0.26–3.11) | 0.869 |       |       |
| All generations<sup>e</sup> | 26 (61.9) | 34 (39.5) | 2.49 (1.17–5.30) | 0.019 |       |       |
| Penicillins<sup>f</sup> | 6 (14.3) | 5 (5.8) | 2.70 (0.77–9.43) | 0.119 |       |       |
| Piperacillin/tazobactam | 7 (16.7) | 34 (39.5) | 0.48 (0.19–1.17) | 0.107 |       |       |
| Glycopeptides | 10 (23.8) | 30 (34.9) | 0.58 (0.25–1.35) | 0.207 |       |       |
| Imipenem | 15 (35.7) | 23 (26.7) | 1.52 (0.69–3.36) | 0.298 |       |       |
| Fluoroquinolones | 11 (26.2) | 17 (19.8) | 1.44 (0.60–3.43) | 0.411 |       |       |
| Cotrimazole | 1 (2.4) | 15 (17.4) | 0.84 (0.47–1.49) | 0.545 |       |       |
| Aminoglycosides | 7 (16.7) | 5 (5.8) | 1.28 (0.70–2.34) | 0.420 |       |       |
| Colistin | 1 (2.4) | 5 (5.8) | 0.40 (0.05–3.49) | 0.404 |       |       |

Abbreviations: CDI: *Clostridium difficile* infection; ICU: intensive care unit.

<sup>a</sup> Data are presented as median value (interquartile range: Q1–Q3) for continuous variables and number of cases (%) for categorical variables.

<sup>b</sup> All variables with a p value < 0.20 in the univariable analysis were considered for inclusion in the logistic regression model in the multivariable analysis. A forward stepwise selection process was utilized. We found that only underlying peptic ulcer and previous usage of gastric acid inhibitors or third generation cephalosporines for at least 3 days were statistically significant risk factors for acquiring *Clostridium difficile* infection.

<sup>c</sup> Neoplastic disease included metastatic malignancy and other neoplastic disease.

<sup>d</sup> Because some patients used 2 or more proton pump inhibitors in 14 days before the infection, data here is less than the sum of the case number of using three PPI (esomeprazole, pantoprazole and lansoprazole).

<sup>e</sup> Because some patients used 2 or more generations of cephalosporines in 14 days before the infection, data here is less than the sum of the case number of using 4 generations of cephalosporines (first, second, third and fourth generation).

<sup>f</sup> Data here included all patients using penicillins but excluded those using piperacillin/tazobactam.

### Statistical analysis

Data analyses were performed using SPSS software v. 17.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The Chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test was used when appropriate to compare proportions. The logistic regression or Wilcoxon rank sum test was used when appropriate to compare continuous variable. Variables with a p value of less than 0.2 in the univariate analysis were added in a forward stepwise manner and selected to create the final model for the multivariate analysis. All statistical analyses were two-sided, and significance was set at p < 0.05.
Results

Clinical characteristics of patients with CDI

Among the 42 patients with CDI, the median age was 67 (interquartile range, 53.3–78.8) years, with the majority of these patients middle-aged or elderly. There were no significant differences in ages, sex, or median Charlson comorbidity indexes between the CDI and control groups (Table 1). The most common clinical manifestation of CDAD was fever (n = 27, 64.3%), followed by vomiting (n = 5, 11.9%), and then abdominal pain (n = 4, 9.5%) (Table 2).

| Characteristic                        | CDI N = 42 | Non-CDI N = 86 | Odds ratio (95% CI) | p    |
|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------------|------|
| Clinical manifestations               |            |                |                     |      |
| Fever                                 | 27 (64.3%) | 33 (38.4%)     | 2.89 (1.34–6.22)    | 0.007|
| Vomiting                              | 4 (9.5%)   | 6 (7.0%)       | 1.40 (0.37–5.27)    | 0.615|
| Abdominal pain                        | 5 (11.9%)  | 12 (14.0%)     | 0.83 (0.27–2.54)    | 0.749|
| Outcomes                              |            |                |                     |      |
| Mortality                             | 8 (19.0%)  | 15 (17.4%)     | 1.11 (0.43–2.88)    | 0.824|
| Length of stay in hospital            | 43 (24.25–65.25) | 32 (22–67) | 1.00 (0.99–1.01)    | 0.544|
| Length of stay in ICU                 | 0 (0–3.75) | 0 (0–10.75)    | 0.97 (0.94–1.01)    | 0.102|

* Data are presented as median value (interquartile range: Q1-Q3) for continuous variables and number of cases (%) for categorical variables.

Abbreviations: CDI: Clostridium difficile infection; ICU: intensive care unit.

Table 3 Logistic regression analysis of risk factors for 30-day mortality of patients with C. difficile infection.

| Characteristics                        | Non-survivors | Survivors | Univariate analysis | p    | Odds ratio (95% CI) | p    |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------|------|---------------------|------|
| Demographic characteristics           |               |           |                     |      |                     |      |
| Age, years                            | 62 (58.5–70)  | 69 (53.0–79.5) | 1.01 (0.99–1.03)  | 0.916|
| Sex, male/female                      | 4/4           | 16/18     | 0.60 (0.12–2.92)   | 0.527|
| Underlying diseases                   |               |           |                     |      |                     |      |
| Charlson score                        | 6 (3.5–6.25)  | 3 (2–5)   | 2.04 (1.17–3.54)   | 0.011|
| Neoplastic disease                   | 3 (37.5)      | 13 (38.0) | 0.14 (0.02–0.81)   | 0.028|
| Metastatic malignancy                | 3 (37.5)      | 2 (6)     | 1.46 (1.04–2.04)   | 0.028|
| Cardiac disease                      | 1 (12.5)      | 11 (32.4) | 0.52 (0.08–3.33)   | 0.487|
| Cerebrovascular disease               | 3 (37.5)      | 19 (22.1) | 4.33 (0.48–39.36)  | 0.193|
| Diabetes                              | 6 (75.0)      | 12 (35.3) | 2.70 (0.08–1.82)   | 0.222|
| Pulmonary disease                     | 0 (0)         | 3 (8.8)   | 0.44 (0.04–5.53)   | 0.523|
| Hepatic disease                       | 1 (12.5)      | 5 (14.7)  | 0.05 (0.00–47.20)  | 0.390|
| Renal disease                         | 3 (37.5)      | 13 (39.4) | 2.21 (0.39–12.63)  | 0.372|
| Peptic ulcer                          | 2 (25.0)      | 9 (26.5)  | 0.51 (0.10–2.63)   | 0.424|
| Dementia                              | 2 (25.0)      | 5 (14.7)  | 1.50 (0.15–14.57)  | 0.727|
| CDI Severity                          |               |           |                     |      |                     |      |
| White blood cell count, cells/mL      | 10.15 (7.93–13.03) | 10.05 (5.75–11.75) | 1.04 (0.94–1.15) | 0.503|
| White blood cell count > 15 cells/mL  | 1 (12.5)      | 4 (11.7)  | 1.35 (0.14–36.20)  | 0.390|
| Creatinine/baseline data              | 1.34 (0.96–2.35) | 1.03 (0.85–1.35) | 2.75 (0.85–8.90) | 0.091|
| Creatinine ≥ 1.5 × baseline           | 3 (37.5)      | 7 (20.5)  | 4.21 (0.47–38.36)  | 0.195|
| Complication                          | 1 (12.5)      | 1 (2.9)   | 4.71 (0.26–84.77)  | 0.293|
| Appropriate therapy                   | 3 (37.5)      | 15 (44.1) | 0.76 (0.16–3.70)   | 0.734|
| Recommended treatment based on Charlson score | 1 (12.5) | 23 (67.6) | 0.07 (0.01–0.63)   | 0.018|

Abbreviations: CDI: Clostridium difficile infection; ICU: intensive care unit.

* Data are presented as median value (interquartile range: Q1-Q3) for continuous variables and number of cases (%) for categorical variables.

b All variables with a p value < 0.20 in the univariable analysis were considered for inclusion in the logistic regression model in the multivariable analysis. A forward stepwise selection process was utilized. We found that only Charlson score were statistically significant risk factors for 30-day mortality of patients with Clostridium difficile infection.

c Neoplastic disease included metastatic malignancy and other neoplastic disease.
Risk factors for CDI

To analyze the risk factors for CDI, 42 patients with CDI were matched with 86 controls. Demographic data and clinical characteristics of the patients with CDI and controls are displayed in Table 1. The multivariate analysis indicated that underlying peptic ulcer disease and previous use of gastric acid inhibitors (proton pump inhibitors, histamine 2-blockers, or misoprostol) or third-generation cephalosporins for at least 3 days occurred significantly more frequently in patients with CDI than in the controls (Table 1). Table 2 shows a comparison of clinical manifestations of patients with CDI with those of the controls. The results indicated that patients with CDI were more likely to have fever compared to the controls.

Outcomes of patients with CDI

The outcomes of CDI are presented in Table 2. There were no significant differences in mortality, length of hospital stay, and length of ICU stay when patients with CDI were compared to controls (Table 2).

Risk factors for mortality

Risk factors for mortality at 30 days were analyzed by comparing the characteristics of survivors and non-survivors (Tables 3 and 4). Based on the multivariate analysis, only Charlson scores were an independent risk factor for mortality (Table 3). Based on the nonparametric analysis, Charlson scores, metastatic malignancy and recommended treatment based on Charlson score were independent risk factors for mortality (Table 4). Nearly 90% of the patients with Charlson scores < 5 survived through day 30, compared to only 60% of patients with Charlson scores ≥ 5 (p = 0.002 by log rank test, Fig. 1A). Nearly 85% of patients without metastatic solid tumors survived through day 30, compared with only 40% of patients with metastatic solid tumors (p = 0.018 by log rank test, Fig. 1B). The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis revealed that appropriate antimicrobial therapy did not affect the time to death in the 30 days of follow-up (p = 0.890 by log rank test, Fig. 1C). Nearly 95% of the patients who received the treatment based on Charlson score survived through day 30, compared with only 60% who did not (p = 0.001 by log rank test, Fig. 1D).

Discussion

The most important finding in this study was that patients treated with the treatment based on Charlson score had a greater probability of survival than those without such therapy. This recommended therapy was according to Charlson scores (≥ 5), rather than based on leukocytosis (> 1.5 cells/mL). The Kaplan–Meier survival analysis revealed that appropriate antimicrobial therapy did not affect the time to death in the 30 days of follow-up (p = 0.890 by log rank test, Fig. 1C). Nearly 95% of the patients who received the treatment based on Charlson score survived through day 30, compared with only 60% who did not (p = 0.001 by log rank test, Fig. 1D).
or elevated creatinine levels ($\geq 1.5 \times$ baseline) used in most studies [12–17]. In this study, patients with higher Charlson scores who were treated with oral metronidazole were more likely to die than those with severe comorbidities that were treated with oral vancomycin. Therefore, oral vancomycin given for 2 days is recommended for patients with higher Charlson scores, even if they did not have leukocytosis, elevated creatinine levels, or any complications. All patients with mild underlying disease (Charlson scores < 2) survived, even without treatment with oral metronidazole or vancomycin. Close outpatient monitoring without the administration of antibiotics has been recommended for outpatients with CDI [11]. A larger study to determine the value of oral antibiotics for the treatment of hospitalized patients with CDI and mild underlying diseases should be conducted.

The efficacy and safety of antibiotic therapy for CDI were reviewed in 2017, but the efficacy of antibiotic treatment for severe CDI is uncertain, as most studies have excluded patients with severe disease [15]. Whether antibiotics are necessary to treat mild CDI remains unknown because of the lack of “no treatment” control studies [12–17]; this was supported by the results of our study. Oral metronidazole was used to treat most of the patients with CDI in this study, because the relative effectiveness of these antibiotics was unknown and metronidazole is far less costly than vancomycin. In our study, the treatment based on Charlson score using oral vancomycin to treat patients with CDI with Charlson scores $\geq 5$ significantly increased the probability of their survival compared to treatment with oral metronidazole. This is because patients with severe underlying diseases, but without leukocytosis or increased creatinine levels, died if
they were treated with oral metronidazole according to the current guidelines.

Guidelines for CDI treatment are often stratified by the presence of leukocytosis or elevated serum creatinine levels [12–17]. However, in addition to elevated WBC counts of > 20 × 10^9/L [21], WBC count > 15 × 10^9/L, and creatinine concentrations > 133 μmol/L [23], there are many additional risk factors for CDI: associated mortality, such as malignancy [22,24], low albumin levels [22,23], ICU admission [22,24], response failure [22], ischemic heart disease [23], acute renal failure [24], liver disease [24], inflammatory bowel disease [24,25], cardiopulmonary disease [24], diabetes mellitus [26] and shock [26]. Most of these reported independent risk factors, including malignancy [22,24], ischemic heart disease [23], acute renal failure [24], liver disease [24], inflammatory bowel disease [24], cardiopulmonary disease [24], and diabetes mellitus [26] are comorbidities that can affect Charlson scores, an independent risk factor identified in our study. Results of previous studies indicate that underlying diseases are as important as leukocytosis or elevated creatinine levels in determining the outcomes of patients with CDI [21–26]. Therefore, it is reasonable to give oral vancomycin, which has been found to be superior for the treatment of patients with severe CDI [16], to patients with severe underlying diseases, which have been associated with higher mortality in our previous study and many others [21–26].

Many observational studies have shown that prior treatment with antimicrobials is the main risk factor for CDI [11–13,27,28]. CDI is known to be the cause of up to 25% of antibiotic-associated diarrheal cases [8]. Our study revealed that using a third-generation cephalosporin for ≥ 3 days (odds ratio: 2.74, 95% confidence interval, 1.27–5.92) was associated with an increased risk of CDI, whereas use for < 3 days did not significantly increase the risk of CDI. This result might imply that using broad-spectrum antibiotics for an extended period has negative effects on the normal flora, thus increasing the potential for CDI [29]. Previous studies have shown that the occurrence of CDI is associated with the administration of clindamycin [30,31], extended-spectrum penicillins [30,31], and cephalosporins [30,31]. Furthermore, three recent meta-analyses found that cephalosporins and clindamycin were the most strongly associated with hospital-associated CDI [32], whereas community-associated CDI was associated with use of clindamycin, cephalosporins, or quinolones [33,34]. A recent review indicated that prior use of almost all antibiotics is associated with CDI, with cephalosporins, ampicillin, amoxicillin, clindamycin, and fluoroquinolones being the antibiotics most commonly associated with these infections [9].

In some studies, the use of a proton pump inhibitor was found to be a risk factor for CDI, because gastric acid suppression elevates the gastrointestinal pH, allowing more vegetative C. difficile organisms to reach the colon [35,36]. However, other studies have shown that proton pump inhibitor therapy is not associated with CDI and have attributed CDI risk to C. difficile spores, the acid-resistant vectors for infection that remain viable in the low pH of the stomach [37,38]. In this study, we found that patients with underlying peptic ulcer disease were at greater risk for CDI. All patients with peptic ulcers in our study were given gastric acid inhibitors, which are also associated with risk of CDI.

In our study, outcomes, including mortality, length of hospital stay, and length of ICU stay, were not significantly different between patients with CDI and controls. In contrast, Vonberg et al. found that both increased length of hospital stay and length of ICU stay were associated with CDI [39]. The discrepancy might result from the relatively limited sample size in this study.

Conclusions

Patients with diarrhea, fever, peptic ulcers, and previous use of gastric acid inhibitors or third-generation cephalosporins were more likely to be diagnosed with CDI than patients without these conditions. High Charlson scores were associated with mortality due to CDI. Recommended treatment based on Charlson score using oral vancomycin to treat patients with Charlson scores ≥ 5 and oral metronidazole or vancomycin to treat those with moderate underlying disease (Charlson scores ≥ and ≤ 5) within 2 days of CDI onset significantly increased their survival times.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank professor Tzu-Lan Wu for providing bacterial isolates for this study. This study was supported by grants from National Science Council, Executive Yuan, Taiwan (100-2314-B-182A-049 and 102-2314-B-182A-023) and Chang Gung Memorial Hospital (CMRPG490052, CMRPG3E0482 and CMRPG4C0032), Taiwan.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bj.2018.12.002.

REFERENCES

[1] Chitnis AS, Holzbauer SM, Belflower RM, Winston LG, Bamberg WM, Lyons C, et al. Epidemiology of community-associated Clostridium difficile infection, 2009 through 2011. JAMA Intern Med 2013;173:1359–67.
[2] Peery AF, Delen ES, Lund J, Crockett SD, McGowan CE, Bulstewicz WJ, et al. Burden of gastrointestinal disease in the United States: 2012 update. Gastroenterology 2012;143:1179–87.
[3] Czepiel J, Drózdź M, Pituch H, Kuijper EJ, Perucki W, Mieliłomba A, et al. Clostridium difficile infection: review. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2019. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-019-05339-6.
infection correlates with host proinflammatory and humoral immune responses. J Med Microbiol 2013;62:1453–60.

Kim ES, Kim YJ, Park CW, Cho KB, Jang BK, Chung WJ, et al. Response failure to the treatment of Clostridium difficile infection and its impact on 30-day mortality. Hepatogastroenterology 2013;60:543–8.

Wilson V, Cheek L, Satta G, Walker-Bone K, Cubbon M, Citron D, et al. Predictors of death after Clostridium difficile infection: a report on 128 strain-typed cases from a teaching hospital in the United Kingdom. Clin Infect Dis 2010;50:e77–81.

Kassam Z, Cribb Fabersunne C, Smith MB, Alm EJ, Kaplan GG, Nguyen GC, et al. Clostridium difficile associated risk of death score (CARDS): a novel severity score to predict mortality among hospitalised patients with C. difficile infection. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2016;43:725–33.

Ananthakrishnan AN, McGinley EL, Binion DG. Excess hospitalisation burden associated with Clostridium difficile in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 2008;57:205–10.

Honda H, Yamazaki A, Sato Y, Dubberke ER. Incidence and mortality associated with Clostridium difficile infection at a Japanese tertiary care center. Anaerobe 2014;25:5–10.

Thomas C, Stevenson M, Riley TV. Antibiotics and hospital-acquired Clostridium difficile-associated diarrhoea: a systematic review. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003;51:1339–50.

Hensgens MP, Goorhuis A, van Kinschot CM, Crobach MJ, Harmanus C, Kuiper EJ. Clostridium difficile infection in an endemic setting in The Netherlands. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2011;30:587–93.

Borriello SP. The influence of the normal flora on Clostridium difficile colonisation of the gut. Ann Med 1990;22:61–7.

Bartlett JG. Antibiotic-associated diarrhea. Clin Infect Dis 1992;15:573–81.

Barbut F. Epidemiology of Clostridium difficile-associated infections. Clin Microbiol Infect 2001;7:405–11.

Slimmings C, Riley TV. Antibiotics and hospital-acquired Clostridium difficile infection: update of systematic review and meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2014;69:881–91.

Deshpande A, Pasupuleti V, Thota P, Pant C, Rolston DD, Sferra TJ, et al. Community-associated Clostridium difficile infection and antibiotics: a meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013;68:1951–61.

Brown KA, Khanafer N, Daneman N, Fisman DN. Meta-analysis of antibiotics and the risk of community-associated Clostridium difficile infection. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2013;57:2352–32.

Dial S, Delaney JA, Barkun AN, Sussa S. Use of gastric acid-suppressive agents and the risk of community-acquired Clostridium difficile-associated disease. JAMA 2005;294:2989–95.

Howell MD, Novack V, Grgurich P, Souliard D, Novack L, Pencina M, et al. Iatrogenic gastric acid suppression and the risk of nosocomial Clostridium difficile infection. Arch Intern Med 2010;170:784–90.

Henrich TJ, Krakower D, Bitton A, Yokoe DS. Clinical risk factors for severe Clostridium difficile-associated disease. Emerg Infect Dis 2009;15:415–22.

Novack L, Kogan S, Gimpelevich L, Howell M, Borer A, Kelly CP, et al. Acid suppression theory does not predispose to Clostridium difficile infection: the case of the potential bias. PLoS One 2014;9:e110790.

Vonberg RP, Kuiper EJ, Wilcox MH, Barbut F, Tüll P, Gastmeier P, et al. Infection control measures to limit the spread of Clostridium difficile. Clin Microbiol Infect 2008;14(Suppl 5):2–20.