PrabotulinumtoxinA for the Treatment of Moderate-to-Severe Glabellar Lines in Adult Patients With Skin of Color: Post Hoc Analyses of the US Phase III Clinical Study Data
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BACKGROUND Limited US clinical data are available on the use of aesthetic products in patients with skin of color (SOC). OBJECTIVE To compare the efficacy and safety of prabotulinumtoxinA for the treatment of glabellar lines in patients with and without SOC. METHODS AND MATERIALS Post hoc analyses were performed on the pooled population of all 492 patients treated with 20U prabotulinumtoxinA in the 2 US single-dose Phase III glabellar line clinical studies. Patients were grouped by Fitzpatrick skin Type: IV + V + VI (with SOC) versus I + II + III (without SOC). The primary efficacy end point was the proportion of responders with a ≥1-point improvement from baseline at maximum frown on the 4-point Glabellar Line Scale. Adverse events (AEs) were also summarized. RESULTS Responder rates among patients with SOC (n = 140) were lower than those without SOC (n = 352), by 5.9% on average across all visits; at no time point were differences statistically significant. At Day 30, responder rates were 94.0% and 96.0%, respectively (p = .401). Headache was the most common treatment-related AE, occurring in 12.1% and 8.2% of patients with and without SOC, respectively. CONCLUSION A single dose of 20U prabotulinumtoxinA was well tolerated and similar in effectiveness in patients with and without SOC for the treatment of glabellar lines.
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efficacy and safety of prabotulinumtoxinA for the treatment of glabellar lines in the US patients with SOC, examining both physiologically based and race-based definitions of color.

Methods
Conduct of the Original Studies
All patients in the EV-001 and EV-002 studies were adults, at least aged 18 years, who had moderate-to-severe glabellar lines at maximum frown, as independently agreed by both investigator and patient assessment using the 4-point photonumeric Glabellar Line Scale (GLS; 0 = no lines, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, and 3 = severe). A total of 492 patients were included in these studies; 246 patients in each. Patients were followed for 150 days. Efficacy outcomes included glabellar lines at maximum frown on the GLS, aesthetics on the 5-point Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS, 2 = much improved, 1 = improved, 0 = no change, −1 = worse, and −2 = much worse), and satisfaction on the 5-point Subject Satisfaction Scale (SSS, 2 = very satisfied, 1 = satisfied, 0 = indifferent, −1 = unsatisfied, and −2 = very unsatisfied). Key safety outcomes included investigator assessment of adverse events (AEs). PrabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients in these studies were largely similar in their baseline characteristics (e.g., age and sex).

Statistical Methods of the Post hoc Analyses
Data were pooled from all prabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients who participated in these US single-dose Phase III studies. Efficacy and safety outcomes were compared between those with and without SOC, as defined by Fitzpatrick skin Types IV, V, or VI and, accordingly, were identified as patients with SOC; 352 (71.5%) had Fitzpatrick skin Types I, II, or III and, as such, were identified as patients without SOC. Outcomes were also compared between race-based subsets of each population: those with and without SOC, 246 patients in each. Patients were followed for 150 days. Efficacy outcomes included glabellar lines at maximum frown on the GLS, aesthetics on the 5-point Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale (GAIS, 2 = much improved, 1 = improved, 0 = no change, −1 = worse, and −2 = much worse), and satisfaction on the 5-point Subject Satisfaction Scale (SSS, 2 = very satisfied, 1 = satisfied, 0 = indifferent, −1 = unsatisfied, and −2 = very unsatisfied). Key safety outcomes included investigator assessment of adverse events (AEs). PrabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients in these studies were largely similar in their baseline characteristics (e.g., age and sex).

Results
Patient Disposition and Demographics
Of the 492 prabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients who participated in the 2 US single-dose Phase III studies, 140 (28.5%) had Fitzpatrick skin Types IV, V, or VI and, accordingly, were identified as patients with SOC; 352 (71.5%) had Fitzpatrick skin Types I, II, or III and, as such, were identified as patients without SOC. Most patients were women and younger than age 65 years, with severe glabellar lines at maximum frown. Similar percentages of patients with and without SOC were women (88.6% and 91.8%, respectively) and had severe glabellar lines (76.4% and 75.3%, respectively). A higher percentage of patients with SOC were younger than 65 years old (95.7% vs 86.6% of those without); a lower percentage had received previous treatment with a botulinum toxin (30.0% vs 43.2% of those without). Based on self-reported race/ethnicity data, most patients with SOC identified as White (53.6%) or Black/African American (26.4%); most patients without SOC identified as White (98.0%).

Efficacy
Representative photographs of a patient with SOC’s glabellar lines at maximum frown taken at baseline and at 2 days, 7 days, 30 days, 90 days, 120 days, and 150 days after treatment with 20U prabotulinumtoxinA are presented in Figure 1A–G.

Responders on the Glabellar Line Scale
For the primary efficacy end point of the post hoc analyses, the percentages of responders were slightly lower at all time
points (by an absolute mean difference of 5.9% across all visits) for those with SOC than that of those without SOC; at no time point were the differences statistically significant (all $p > .05$) (See Supplemental Digital Content 1, Table 2, http://links.lww.com/DSS/A616 and Figure 2). By Day 2, approximately half of all patients had achieved a $\geq$1-point improvement on the GLS at maximum frown by investigator assessment: 47.0% and 52.8% with and without SOC, respectively. By Day 7, near maximal responder rates had been reached in both groups; by Day 30, 94.0% and 96.0% of those with and without SOC, respectively, had achieved the primary end point. At the end of study on Day 150, more than 30% of patients continued to show a $\geq$1-point improvement on the GLS at maximum frown: 31.5% and 40.1% with and without SOC, respectively.

A somewhat different pattern of response was observed between the subsets of Blacks/African Americans and Whites without SOC (See Supplemental Digital Content 1, Table 2, http://links.lww.com/DSS/A616 and Figure 2). Compared with Whites without SOC, the percentage of responders among Blacks/African Americans was less at each of Days 2 through 30 (by an absolute mean difference of 7.3% across these visits). At Day 90, the percentages of responders in both subsets were similar. After Day 90, the percentage of responders among Blacks/African Americans was greater at each of Days 120 and 150 (by an absolute mean difference of 6.2% across these visits). As was seen among those with and without SOC, at no time point were the differences between these subsets statistically significant (all $p > .05$).

**Responders on the Global Aesthetic Improvement Scale**

Data on the percentages of responders based on the GAIS (those assessed by the investigator as either improved or much improved) tended to parallel that of responders based on the GLS (Figures 2 and 3). That is, the percentages of responders on the GAIS were lower at all time points (by an absolute mean difference of 7.6% across all visits) for those with SOC than that of those without SOC. Similarly, the percentages of responders on the GAIS were lower at all time points (by an absolute mean difference of 9.1% across all visits) for Blacks/African Americans than that of Whites without SOC. Overall, by Day 2 and throughout the study including study end at Day 150, more than 40% of patients were assessed as responders on the GAIS, regardless of skin color (Figure 3); at each of Days 7, 14, and 30, more than 85% of patients were assessed as responders on the GAIS, regardless of skin color or race.

**Responders on the Subject Satisfaction Scale**

Patient satisfaction also remained high throughout the study (Figure 4). At each of Days 7 through 150, the percentage of responders based on the SSS (those who rated their level of satisfaction as satisfied or very satisfied) exceeded 70%, regardless of skin color. The percentages of responders were similar at all time points between those with and without SOC. Of note, compared with Whites without SOC, the percentages of responders among Blacks/African Americans were markedly higher at each of Days 2, 7, 120, and 150 (by an absolute mean difference of 10% across these visits).

**Safety**

The incidences of AEs assessed by the investigator as treatment related were similar among those with and without SOC: 14.3% versus 11.9%, respectively (See

---

Figure 1. (A–G) Photographs of glabellar lines at maximum frown at baseline (A) and at each of Day 2 (B), Day 7 (C), Day 30 (D), Day 90 (E), Day 120 (F), and Day 150 (G) after treatment with 20U PrabotulinumtoxinA in a 36-year-old female patient with skin of color. This representative patient with skin of color was assessed as having Fitzpatrick skin Type VI and moderate glabellar lines at maximum frown at baseline by investigator assessment.

Figure 2. Percentage of responders based on a $\geq$1-Point improvement on the GLS at maximum frown from Day 0, by Fitzpatrick skin type and race as well as by visit ($N = 492$). GLS = Glabellar line scale (0 = no lines, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, and 3 = severe); SOC = skin of color; with SOC = Fitzpatrick skin Types IV + V + VI; without SOC = Fitzpatrick skin Types I + II + III.
incidence of AEs among Blacks/African Americans and Whites without SOC. As was observed for all patients with SOC, no Black/African American experienced a treatment-related AE of particular interest.

**Discussion**

There are many reasons to suspect that patients with SOC might experience different outcomes from facial aesthetic procedures than patients without SOC. Most notably, SOC is associated with greater melanin content; the stratum corneum of Black/African American skin is more compact, and the dermis is thicker with more cornified cell layers, more active fibroblasts, and greater lipid content. As a result, SOC is more robust to the extrinsic factors of aging. The formation of fine lines and wrinkles are typically delayed by several years and are overall less common in patients with SOC than that in those without SOC. At the same time, SOC is susceptible to a number of intrinsic structural changes that include fat atrophy, loss of facial volume, and sagging skin of the lower face and neck.

Despite these potential sources of disparity, this post hoc analysis establishes that the effectiveness of 20U prabotulinumtoxinA for the treatment of moderate-to-severe glabellar lines was similar in patients with and without SOC. Although the percentages of responders based on the GLS were consistently lower among patients with SOC, the mean absolute difference from those without SOC was 5.9% across all visits. At no time point were differences in the percentages of responders with and without SOC statistically significant. The authors postulate that these small but consistent differences in response between the 2 groups are explained, at least in part, by differences in the degree of previous botulinum toxin exposure recorded at baseline. In our study, compared with 30.0% of patients with SOC, 43.2% of patients without SOC reported previous exposure. In a separate post hoc analysis of this same population conducted for the purposes of regulatory approval, those without previous toxin exposure had a lower responder rate (by a mean of approximately 10%) than did those with previous toxin exposure (data on file, Evolus Inc.). In any case, based on the current analyses, outcomes were further compared between race-based subsets of those with and without SOC, in an effort to mitigate the impact of this potentially confounding factor.
populations studied may simply be a by-product of the small sample size of Black/African American patients. In any case, although a similarly lower percentage (by a mean of 7.3%) of Blacks/African Americans achieved a ≥1-point improvement on the GLS at maximum frown from Days 2 through 30 compared with Whites without SOC, a greater percentage (by a mean of 6.2%) of Blacks/African Americans achieved this degree of response at Days 120 and 150. These results are particularly noteworthy given that approximately 10% fewer Blacks/African Americans than Whites without SOC had severe glabellar lines at maximum frown at baseline—that is, it might have been expected that a lower—not a higher—percentage of Black/African Americans would sustain a prolonged response of a ≥1-point improvement of the GLS at maximum frown. Furthermore, given that 27% fewer Blacks/African Americans had a history of previous botulinum toxin use, it might have been expected that a lower percentage of Blacks/African Americans would have been responders on the GLS at all time points assessed—not just during the first month post-treatment.

Data based on the investigator’s assessment of the patients’ overall aesthetic improvement mostly paralleled findings based on the GLS in the case of patients with and without SOC. Whereas the percentages of Blacks/African Americans assessed as being improved or much improved in their aesthetic appearance were consistently lower (by a mean of 9.1%) than that of Whites without SOC, despite better outcomes on the GLS at some time points. Patients with and without SOC were more similar in the assessment of their level of satisfaction, which remained high from Day 7 on. Of interest, at 4 of the 7 visits, a higher percentage of Blacks/African Americans were satisfied or very satisfied with their treatment.

Although various differences and inconsistencies in efficacy outcomes were observed between the populations studied, importantly, none of the differences noted in the percentages of responders for the primary efficacy endpoint were statistically significant at any study visit between those with and without SOC and between the race-based subsets of these populations. Accordingly, these efficacy data support the conclusion that no dose adjustments based on skin color are required in the administration of prabotulinumtoxinA therapy. Similarities in the percentages of patients with and without SOC who experienced treatment-related AEs and most common events support this conclusion. Of note, treatment-related headache was slightly more common in patients with SOC: 12.1% versus 8.2% in patients without SOC. Importantly, unlike those without SOC, none of the 140 patients with SOC, including the 37 Blacks/African Americans, experienced any treatment-related AEs of particular interest, such as eyelid ptosis, brow ptosis, diplopia, or blurred vision. At the same time, it is acknowledged that this observation may be a reflection of the difficulty in detecting rare events among a smaller patient population.

Limited data comparing outcomes with and without SOC have been published with other botulinum toxins. Our findings differ somewhat from an earlier report of a post hoc analysis of pooled data from 3 placebo-controlled Phase III studies investigating the effectiveness of 50U abobotulinumtoxinA (Dysport, Medicis Aesthetics, Inc., Scottsdale, AZ) in patients with glabellar lines. In that analysis, compared with Whites (n = 216), a significantly greater percentage of patients with SOC (n = 117) had a ≥1-point improvement on the GLS at maximum frown at Day 30 (p = .03). Yet, at all other time points, differences for this end point between those with and without SOC were not statistically significantly. Of interest, patients with SOC in that analysis included those who self-identified as Black, non-black Hispanic, Asian, Native American, or as other ethnicities self-reported as non-White; Fitzpatrick skin types were not reported. Furthermore, in one of the 3 trials, in which responder rates were compared only between Whites and Blacks, similar response rates were found at all time points for outcomes based on a ≥1-point improvement on the GLS at maximum frown.

In the case of botulinum toxin studies, patients with SOC have often been under-represented. Post hoc analyses of pooled data from similar clinical studies, such as this one, are particularly useful in examining outcomes in subpopulations where there is limited representation in any one study. Still, there are limitations inherent to these types of analyses, particularly those attempting to distinguish patients based on SOC. In this case, it was necessary to broadly pool study patients into 2 dichotomous groups where all patients with SOC formed a single cohort, regardless of differences in ethnicity (e.g., African American, Native or East Indian, Asian, non-White Hispanic, etc.) or degree of skin pigmentation (i.e., skin Types IV vs V vs VI). Even so, only 140 of the 492 prabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients were assessed as having SOC. Although the authors also sought to restrict the group with SOC to only Blacks/African Americans, this further limited the data set available for evaluation to 37 patients. It should also be acknowledged that, in keeping with the FDA guidance on the collection of race and ethnicity data in clinical trials, the category of “White” broadly included all people who have their origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa. No doubt, this mix of ethnicities is why many patients who self-identified as White were also categorized as having SOC based on their Fitzpatrick skin type. Even the category of Whites without SOC may still represent a broad group of ethnicities. It was an oversight in the original studies that data capturing Hispanic/Latino ethnicity were not collected and a shortcoming that more patients who self-identified as Black/African American were not enrolled.

Conclusions

Patients with SOC differ from those without SOC in a number of important ways, including skin structure and the pathophysiology of aging. Yet, limited data are available on comparative outcomes of aesthetic procedures in these 2 populations. Based on post hoc analyses of pooled data from the 492 prabotulinumtoxinA-treated patients who participated in the 2 US multicenter, randomized, double-
blind, placebo-controlled, single-dose phase III clinical studies, a single dose of 20U prabotulinumtoxinA was well tolerated and similar in effectiveness for the treatment of glabellar lines in patients with and without SOC and in Blacks/African Americans and Whites without SOC. None of the differences in responder rates that were observed, based on the primary efficacy outcome of achieving a ≥1-point improvement on the 4-point GLS at maximum frown, reached a statistical significance. Accordingly, no dose adjustment based on skin color is believed to be necessary with this therapy. Patient satisfaction with their treatment remained high throughout the 150 days of follow-up.
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