Abstract. About 10 years ago, the method of renormalization-group symmetries entered the field of boundary value problems of classical mathematical physics, stemming from the concepts of functional self-similarity and of the Bogoliubov renormalization group treated as a Lie group of continuous transformations. Overwhelmingly dominating practical quantum field theory calculations, the renormalization-group method formed the basis for the discovery of the asymptotic freedom of strong nuclear interactions and underlies the Grand Unification scenario. This paper draws on lectures delivered at the XIII School for Nonlinear Waves, Nizhnii Novgorod, Russia, 1 – 7 March 2006 [see V F Kovalev, D V Shirkov “Renormalization group symmetry for solutions of boundary value problems” in Nonlinear Waves 2006 (Ed. by A V Gaponov-Grekhov) (N. Novgorod: IAP RAS, 2007) p. 433] to describe the logical framework of a new algorithm based on the modern theory of transformation groups and to present the most interesting results of application of the method to differential and/or integral equation problems and to problems that involve linear functionals of solutions. Examples from nonlinear optics, kinetic theory, and plasma dynamics are given, where new analytic solutions obtained with this algorithm have allowed describing the singularity structure for self-focusing of a laser beam in a nonlinear medium, studying generation of harmonics in weakly inhomogeneous plasma, and investigating the energy spectra of accelerated ions in expanding plasma bunches.

1. Introduction

We present materials illustrating the use and extensions of the concepts of functional self-similarity and the Bogoliubov renormalization group in boundary value problems of mathematical physics.

The (Lie transformation) group structure discovered by Stückelberg and Peterman in the early 1950s in calculation results in renormalized quantum field theory and the exact symmetry of solutions related to this structure were used in 1955 by Bogoliubov and one of the present authors to develop a regular method for improving approximate solutions of quantum field problems, the renormalization group (RG) method. This method is based on the use of the infinitesimal form of the exact group property of a solution to improve a perturbative (that is, obtained by means of the perturbation theory) representation of this solution. The improvement of the approximation properties of a solution turns out to be most efficient in the presence of a singularity, because the correct structure of the singularity is then recovered.

The most spectacular results obtained by the renormalization-group method in quantum field theory were the discovery of the asymptotic freedom of non-Abelian gauge theories (Nobel Prize in 2004), which led to the creation of quantum chromodynamics, and sketching the picture of the joint evolution in energy of the three effective interaction...
functions (electromagnetic, weak, and strong) in the Standard Model, which led to the speculative conjecture of a Grand Unification of interactions and the possible instability of the proton.

Apart from this, the quantum field renormalization group provided a foundation (see K G Wilson’s Nobel lecture, 1982) for the construction of an approximate semigroup in the investigation of phase transitions in large spin lattices, the so-called Wilson renormalization group, which is widely used in the analysis of critical phenomena.

In the present paper, we discuss the most interesting results obtained by the authors by extending the RG concepts in quantum field theory to boundary value problems of classical mathematical physics. The main achievement here was the development of a regular algorithm for finding symmetries of the RG type by means of the modern theory of transformation groups. The existence of such an algorithm eliminates the usual deficiency of the RG approach in application to quantum field theory problems: finding the group property of solutions requires using special-purpose methods of analysis, usually nonstandard, in each particular case.

We note that the algorithm of the construction of renormalization-group symmetries proposed here can be applied to problems involving differential and integral equations, as well as linear functionals of the solutions.

We illustrate applications of the algorithm by examples from nonlinear optics, kinetic theory, and plasma dynamics, including the problem of propagation and self-focusing of a wave beam in a nonlinear medium (Sections 3.2 and 3.3), problems of the dynamics of a plasma bunch and ion acceleration (Section 4.2), and the generation of harmonics in laser plasma (Section 3.1). There, the use of renormalization-group symmetries brought about new exact and approximate analytic solutions of nonlinear physics problems, which allowed describing the space structure of a self-focusing beam in a nonlinear medium in a realistic setting, making significant progress in establishing relations between the intensity of the harmonics generated by weakly inhomogeneous laser plasma in a strongly nonlinear regime and the parameters of the radiation and the plasma, and finding, for the first time, the energy spectrums of accelerated ions in the kinematic description of an adiabatic expansion of plasma bunches consisting of several kinds of ions.

This paper is motivated by our desire to draw theorists’ attention to a new and fairly general algorithm based on using the symmetry of an approximate solution for enhancing its approximation power. The use of the group property (the symmetry) of a solution underlies both the renormalization group method in quantum field theory and its analogue, the new renormalization group algorithm in mathematical physics.

The universality of the renormalization-group ideas allows a unified approach to the analysis of properties of solutions of various nonlinear problems and gives grounds for hopes that this method can be efficiently used in other areas of contemporary physics.

As is known, this universality is a characteristic feature of another general method that represents a solution as a ‘path integral’ (functional integral) and is widely used in quantum mechanics, quantum field theory, the theory of large statistical systems, and turbulence theory.

Classical mathematical physics deals with physical objects described by (ordinary or partial) differential equations, which are nonlinear, or integrodifferential in most practically interesting cases. Finding analytic solutions of such equations for arbitrary initial and/or boundary conditions is impossible: normally, exact analytic solutions can only be found for initial and boundary data of a special form; in other cases, we must content ourselves with approximate solutions. The method of constructing a solution of a specific boundary value problem (BVP) is usually peculiar to the equations of the particular problem under consideration.

In this paper, we present a method of investigation of analytic solutions based on the construction and use of symmetries of a special form of BVP solutions, which we call symmetries of the renormalization group kind or renormalization group (RG) symmetries. We treat the notion of ‘symmetry’ in the standard sense of continuous transformation groups: this means that a solution of the BVP is transformed into another solution of the same BVP by a continuous transformation group acting in the space of all the variables determining the solution. The attribute ‘renormalization group’ points to similarities existing between these symmetries and the symmetries in quantum field theory related to the operation of renormalization of masses and charges (coupling constants) of microparticles.

We note that a connection between symmetries and the problem of finding solutions of differential equations was first established [1, 2] by a Norwegian mathematician, Sophus Lie (1842–1899), who showed that most results on the integration of ordinary differential equations of various kinds can be obtained by a general method, subsequently called the group analysis of differential equations. As one of the main ingredients of the theory of continuous groups, the group analysis of differential equations allows classifying differential equations using the language of symmetry groups, i.e., it produces a complete list of equations that can be integrated (or such that their order can be reduced) by the group method and also suggests a regular procedure for finding these symmetries. Considerable progress in this area since the early 1950s has led to new concepts and algorithms, and has also extended the range of possible applications of the group analysis (see, e.g., monographs [3–9] and handbook [10]), but it has not changed the general aims of the modern group analysis to develop regular methods of constructing and classifying solutions of nonlinear differential equations on the basis of the symmetries of these equations.

In problems described by ordinary differential equations, the use of a symmetry group yields general and particular solutions. In problems involving partial differential equations, which are typical in mathematical physics, knowing a symmetry allows constructing particular solutions of a BVP (invariant solutions, which are mapped into themselves by the group transformations, and partially invariant solutions), with boundary data not known a priori and determined in the construction of a specific solution. Because arbitrary boundary data are not normally invariant under group transformations, the use of invariant solutions is generally considered inefficient for the solution of BVPs.

Arguments underlying the renormalization group method in quantum field theory lead to a different conclusion [11]. This method uses the group property of a solution (expressed in quantum field theory as a functional equation) for the enhancement of its approximation power.

Although the renormalization group method was originally formulated for quantum field problems, we can explain its core idea by an example of a planar problem of radiation transfer [12, 16]. We assume that the half-space $x > 0$ is filled...
with homogeneous matter and a stationary stream of particles, characterized by a number \( x_1 \), is falling on the boundary \( x = 0 \) of this medium. We consider the evolution of the number of particles in the stream as it moves deeper into the medium. Let \( x_2 \) be the number of particles in the stream at a distance \( x = l_2 \) from the vacuum – matter interface and \( x_3 \) the number of particles at the distance \( x = l_1 = h + \lambda \) from the interface. Because the medium is homogeneous, the number of particles moving inside at a distance \( l \) from the interface is uniquely determined by some function \( A(l, x) \) of the value of \( x \) at the interface and the distance \( l \), i.e., \( x_2 = A(l, x) \) and \( x_3 = A(l_2, x) \). But the value \( x_2 \) can also be expressed as \( x_2 = A(\lambda, x_1) \) in terms of the same function \( A(\lambda, x_1) \) of two variables, the distance \( \lambda \) from the imaginary interface \( x = l_1 \) and the number of particles \( x_1 \) at this interface. Combining the two different definitions of \( x_2 \), we obtain the functional equation

\[
A(\lambda + \lambda, x) = A(\lambda, A(\lambda, x))
\]

for \( A(x, \lambda) \). The nature of the particles and the properties of the medium are irrelevant for this argument. Of course, solving the transport problem (i.e., an integrodifferential kinetic equation), we find the explicit (exact or approximate) solutions of functional equation (1) in the general form:

\[
\eta(x, u) = \xi(x, u) + \eta_0 \left( \frac{d}{da} \xi(x, u) \right) \bigg|_{a=0} = \xi(x, u) + \eta_0 \left( \frac{d}{da} \xi(x, u) \right) \bigg|_{a=0}
\]

of the group. Finite transformations of a continuous group are uniquely determined by the infinitesimal generator by means of the Lie equations, which are the characteristic equations for the first-order partial differential equation associated with (6),

\[
\frac{d x}{d a} = \xi(x, u) \quad \frac{d u}{d a} = \eta(x, u) \quad \left. \frac{d u}{d a} \right|_{a=0} = u
\]

For the radiation transfer problem under consideration, we have \( f = x, \quad g = A(x, \lambda), \quad a = \lambda \), and \( u = x \), and functional equation (1) coincides with the second equation in (4) (the first equation there is an expression of the obvious additive law of the transformation of the coordinate \( x = \lambda \)), and the group generator is given by

\[
X = \partial_x + \eta(x) \partial_u \quad \left. \eta(x) \equiv \partial_x A(x, \lambda) \right|_{a=0}
\]

In accordance with (7), to find \( A \) at a large distance from the boundary, i.e., for large values of the parameter \( \lambda \), we must know the behavior of \( x = A(x, \lambda) \) in a thin boundary layer, as \( \lambda \to 0 \), i.e., we must in fact know the derivative of this function at the boundary. This information can usually be extracted from an approximate solution provided by the perturbation theory. Next, integration of the Lie equations yields formulas for finite transformations:

\[
\Psi(x) = \Psi(x + \lambda), \quad \Psi(x) = \int_0^x \frac{d a}{\eta(a)} \quad \left. \xi(x, u) \right|_{a=0} = \lambda
\]

Assuming that \( \Psi \) has the inverse function \( \Psi^{-1} \), we find solutions of functional equation (1) in the general form:

\[
\tilde{x} \equiv A(x, \lambda) = \Psi^{-1}(\Psi(x) + \lambda) \quad \left. \xi(x, u) \right|_{a=0} = \lambda
\]

These constructions are the essence of the renormalization group method. We now present two examples of implementing this method.

We consider a medium absorbing particles in proportion to their number; from the perturbation theory, we know the approximate solution

\[
A_{pt}(x, \lambda) \approx x - v x \lambda, \quad v = \text{const}
\]

Calculating the coordinate \( v(x) = -v x \) of group generator (8) with the help of (11) and using it in relations (9), we obtain solution (10),

\[
A_{tg}(x, \lambda) = x \exp(-v x \lambda)
\]

which is valid in the entire space filled with matter, up to \( x \to \infty \).

We now assume that the absorption has a nonlinear mechanism, with the absorption coefficient proportional to the stream of particles, \( v(x) = \beta x \), where \( \beta = \text{const} \). In a thin boundary layer, we then have

\[
A_{pt}(x, \lambda) \approx x - \beta x^2 \lambda
\]

and the use of (13) in (9) yields a solution of (10) in the form of the sum of a geometric progression:

\[
A_{tg}(x, \lambda) = \frac{x}{1 - \beta x^2}
\]
This result, similarly to the previous one, holds in the entire subspace $x > 0$ and, in particular, describes the asymptotic behavior of the permeating stream as $x \to \infty$.

The efficiency of the (renormalization) group approach in the above examples shows itself in the following fact: using information about the behavior of a solution in a neighborhood of the vacuum–medium interface, we obtain explicit expressions for the solution over the entire interval $0 \leq x \leq \infty$. We note that if we expand expression (14) in a power series in the particle number density, i.e., return to the perturbation theory, then in each order in $n$, we obtain expressions increasing in proportion to $n^2$, which is a distorted representation for the asymptotic form of the solution. The advantage of the renormalization group method is the recovery of the actual structure of the solution, consistent with functional equation (1), which is distorted by perturbation theory approximations. 

In the case of the planar problem of radiation transfer, the transparency of the renormalization group method is a consequence of taking account of the symmetry properties of solutions (i.e., of the functional equation for them) in the actual configuration space. The RG transformation of the particle number density in moving deeper into the medium is related to a shift in the spatial coordinate.

Returning to the renormalization group method in its original (quantum field) formulation [11, 13–15], which is also called the Bogoliubov renormalization group, we note that it is based on a functional equation that in the simplest case has the same form as (1) after the substitution $x \to \ln t$, such that the RG shift transformation of a spatial variable in transfer theory corresponds to a rescaling of the momenta or the frequencies in quantum field theory; the quantity $x$ is called the invariant coupling function in this theory. In particular, a solution of form (14) with $\lambda = \ln x$ occurs in quantum field calculations in the one-loop approximation. If a more advanced perturbation-theory approximation is used, which differs from (13) by the presence of terms quadratic and cubic in $x$, which corresponds to the two-loop approximation in quantum field theory, then the RG-improved solution can be found from an equation similar to (10) that is unsolvable in elementary functions [17]. It is usually solved approximately, using the one-loop approximation of the RG expression.

The comparison of the RG-improved solution found in the two-loop approximation with the result obtained in the one-loop approximation reveals a characteristic feature of the renormalization group method: we can progressively improve the accuracy, which is an indication of the stability of the asymptotic behavior of the solution. Similarly, in the perturbation theory, we can also take higher-order corrections into account, which successively improves the corresponding RG solutions.

Thus, the procedure for the systematic (successive) improvement of the system of approximate solutions found in quantum field theory in the perturbation theory with respect to a known small parameter is quite similar to the above. This improvement of the approximation properties is most significant in the neighborhood of a singularity of the solution. In the quantum field context, these are singularities in the infrared (see [13, 14, 18]) and ultraviolet domains. The latter include the most spectacular result obtained with the help of the RG method, the discovery of the asymptotic freedom of non-Abelian gauge theories [19].

The above examples of the use of the renormalization group method for improving the approximation properties of solutions are based on a functional equation of the simplest form with one independent and one dependent variable. But the number of independent and dependent variables in the problem is often larger than this minimal set.

For example, a version of functional equation (1) with $x = \ln t$ corresponds to a massless model with one coupling constant in quantum field theory. We can make this model more involved in two ways. First, the number of arguments defining the effective coupling can be increased. For instance, the field model under consideration can contain one or several masses (e.g., as in quantum chromodynamics); in that case, the coupling constant acquires a dependence on several mass variables with the corresponding transformation laws, with the result that the group transformations and the functional equation change their form. Second, the number of functional equations can be larger, which corresponds to a quantum field model with several coupling constants. This means that we now consider a group of continuous transformations of independent variables $x = \{x^1, \ldots, x^n\}$ and dependent variables $u = \{u^1, \ldots, u^m\}$ with infinitesimal operator (6) in the space $R^{n+m}$, and the coordinates of generator (6) are vectors $\xi = \{\xi^1, \ldots, \xi^n\}$ and $\eta = \{\eta^1, \ldots, \eta^m\}$; the corresponding contributions to the infinitesimal operator must be understood as the result of the contributions of the individual variables. With an increase in the number of arguments of the function to be governed by the functional equation and an increase in the number of the equations themselves, finding the group property of the solution that can be expressed by a functional equation (if we use the original formulation of the renormalization-group method [13]) requires a special and often nontrivial analysis in each particular case (see, e.g., the discussion in [16, 20]); from the algorithmic standpoint, this is a deficiency of the RG technique.

To overcome this deficiency in extending the RG concepts to problems of mathematical physics, another FG algorithm was developed (see [21, 22] and also reviews [23, 24, p. 232; 25, 26]). It has the same aim of finding an improved solution (in comparison with the initial approximate solution) as the algorithm of Bogoliubov’s RG method, but in finding symmetries of a solution of a BVP, it uses a scheme of calculations similar to that of the modern group analysis. This feature explains the term ‘RG symmetry.’

In this paper, we describe the RG algorithm in mathematical physics and illustrate its capabilities by various examples of BVPs. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we explain the core ideas of the RG algorithm using the example of the construction of an RG symmetry for a solution of a BVP for the Hopf equation. Sections 3 and 4 illustrate different approaches to the construction of RG symmetries; furthermore, in Section 3, we consider several progressively more complicated problems obtained by modifying and supplementing the Hopf equations in Section 2. Section 4 follows the same logic, but we supplement the presentation there with a discussion of nonlocal problems, which are not
necessarily connected with the ones in Section 3. The scope for possible applications of the RG algorithm and a brief list of results obtained with its use are presented in Section 5.

2. The renormalization-group algorithm in mathematical physics

We preface the description of the RG algorithm with the following simple argument. It is known that if we treat all the variables (independent or dependent in the standard sense) involved in a differential equation and their derivatives (called differential variables in group analysis) as independent, then the differential equation can be regarded as an algebraic relation for these variables. In the case of one equation, this relation describes a ‘surface’ in the extended space of all the variables involved in the equation (if there are several equations, then we speak of a manifold), and each solution of the equation defines a ‘line’ on this surface. The projection onto the ‘plane’ defines a family of curves, one of which passes through the ‘point’ corresponding to the boundary condition of the BVP in question.

Transformations of the group G move points on the surface (the manifold) along this surface, and therefore the equation preserves its form in the transformed variables and each solution of the equation is taken into another solution. A transformation $T_u$ from the group G maps a point in the plane $(x,u) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+m}$ into a point $(\hat{x},\hat{u})$, and the locus of these points is a continuous curve (a trajectory of the group G) passing through $(x,u)$. The locus of images $T_u((x,u))$ is also called the G-orbit of the point $(x,u)$. In the general case, the motion along a group trajectory corresponds to the transition from one curve in the family to another, that is, to a ‘multiplication’ of solutions.

Returning to the renormalization-group point of view, we consider only the group transformations under which points on the curve passing through $(x_0,u_0)$ are moved along this curve. This means that the solution of the BVP is the RG orbit of the point $(x_0,u_0)$ (of the boundary manifold in the general case) and is an invariant RG manifold (similarly to the invariant charge in quantum field theory [15]). We use the infinitesimal version of this property in our construction of the RG symmetry.

The group property of a solution of a BVP manifests itself as follows: instead of the boundary point $(x_0,u_0)$ parameterizing the solution, we can take another point in this curve related to it by an RG transformation. This ‘universal’ of the solution of a BVP under a change of parameterization is called ‘functional self-similarity’ [27]. To find RG transformations that map a solution of a BVP into a solution of the same BVP, we use the fact that a physical problem is formulated in terms of differential (integrodifferential) equations whose symmetries can be found by the techniques of group analysis.

We now illustrate the characteristic features of the algorithm for constructing an RG symmetry by an example of a BVP for the Hopf equation [26], which is widely used in physics for the description of the initial perturbations at the nonlinear stage of their evolution:

$$\partial_t v + \epsilon \partial_x v = 0, \quad v(0,x) = \epsilon U(x),$$

(15)

where $U$ is an invertible function of $x$ and the parameter $\epsilon$ defines the ‘amplitude’ of the initial perturbation ‘at the boundary’ $t = 0$. For a very small distance $t \ll 1/\epsilon$ from the boundary, the solution of problem (15) given by the perturbation theory is a segment of a power series,

$$v = \epsilon U - \epsilon^2 \partial_x U + O(t^2),$$

(16)

but this form becomes inapplicable for large $t$. The RG symmetry allows improving the perturbative result and recovering the correct behavior of the solution in a neighborhood of a singularity (when such a singularity occurs for some values of $t$).

In constructing an RG symmetry, the algorithm uses the symmetry group of the BVP equations. The boundary data defining a particular solution are involved in RG transformations by extending the space of the variables on which the group acts. In the case of BVP (15), this space involves three independent variables, $x = (t,x,\epsilon)$. It is convenient to write differential equation (15) for the function $u = v/\epsilon$ introduced such that the ‘amplitude’ $\epsilon$ is carried over from the boundary condition to the differential equation:

$$\partial_t u + \epsilon \partial_x u = 0, \quad u(0,x) = U(x).$$

(17)

The general element of the transformation group $G$ for Eqn (17) (for the basic manifold in the general case) can be found by means of the standard Lie techniques (see, e.g., [4]); it is given by a combination of four infinitesimal operators,

$$X = \sum_i X_i, \quad X_1 = \psi^1(\partial_t + \epsilon \partial_x), \quad X_2 = \psi^2 \partial_x,$$

$$X_3 = \psi^3(x \partial_x + u \partial_u), \quad X_4 = \psi^4(s \partial_x + x \partial_u),$$

(18)

where $\psi^1 (i = 2,3,4)$ are arbitrary functions of $\epsilon, u$, and $x - \epsilon t$ and $\psi^4$ is an arbitrary function of all the group variables $(t,x,\epsilon,u)$. We now use the RG invariance condition for a particular solution of BVP (17) defined by the relation

$$S \equiv u - W(t,x,\epsilon) = 0$$

(19)

with the function $W$ that is unknown at this point; in other words, we use the condition that the RG transformation map the solution of the BVP into a solution of the same BVP. In the infinitesimal form, this condition can be written as

$$0 = \psi^3(W - x \partial_x W) - \psi^2 \partial_x W$$

$$- \psi^4(s \partial_x W + x \partial_u W) = 0,$$

(20)

where $\psi$ means that the result of the action of the operator is taken on the manifold defined by the equation $S = 0$ and all its differential consequences. The term containing $\psi^4$ is absent in (20) because it is proportional to $\partial_x W + \epsilon \partial_u W$, which vanishes identically on solutions of Eqn (17). Condition (20) holds for all $t$, and for $t \rightarrow 0$ in particular, when $W$ is replaced by the approximate solution

$$W = U - \epsilon t \partial_x U + O(t^2)$$

(21)

obtained in the framework of perturbation theory (16). In this limit, Eqn (20) yields a relation for the functions $\psi^i (i = 2,3,4)$, which extends in the obvious fashion to $t \neq 0$:

$$\psi^4 = -\chi(\psi^1 + \psi^2) + \frac{\alpha}{\psi^3} \psi^3, \quad \chi = x - \epsilon t.$$
where the derivative $\frac{\partial_y U}$ must be expressed in terms of $y$ or $u$ in accordance with the boundary conditions. Using (22) in (18), we arrive at a group of a smaller dimension with the infinitesimal operators

$$R = \sum_i R_i, \quad R_1 = \psi \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\partial_t + \varepsilon t \partial_u),$$

$$R_2 = \psi^2 \left( \partial_t + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_u \right), \quad R_3 = \psi^3 (t \partial_u + \partial_v).$$

(23)

The above procedure reducing (18) to (23) is the restriction of group (18) on a particular solution, and the set of operators $R_1$ in (23) describes the required RG symmetry. We obtain the solution of the BVP with the use of the corresponding Lie equations (similar to (7)) for any generator in (23). Without loss of generality, we can take the generator $R_3$ with $\psi^3 = 1$ to obtain the finite RG transformations

$$x' = x + atu, \quad u' = v + a, \quad t' = t, \quad u' = u,$$

(24)

where $a$ is the group parameter, $t$ and $u$ are invariants, and the transformations of $\varepsilon$ and $x$ are translations, which in addition depend on $t$ and $u$ for the $x$ variable. For $\varepsilon = 0$, in view of (17), the variables $x$ and $u$ are related by $x = H(u)$, where $H(u)$ is the function inverse to $U(x)$. Eliminating $a$, $t$, and $u$ from (24) and dropping the dashes in our notation for the variables, we obtain the required solution of BVP (17) in implicit form [similar to the implicit form of the solution of functional equation (10)]

$$x - \varepsilon tu = H(u).$$

(25)

In effect, this is the improved perturbation theory solution (16), which can be used not only for small $t \ll 1/\varepsilon$ (of course, under the condition that (23) defines $u$ uniquely). Depending on $H(u)$, this solution either indicates the correct asymptotic behavior as $t \to \infty$ or gives the correct description of the solution in the neighborhood of finite values $t \to t_{\text{sing}}$. One example of the first option is the solution of the BVP for the linear function $U(x) = x$. This yields the expression $v = \varepsilon x(1 + \varepsilon t)^{-1}$, which remains finite as $t \to \infty$, similarly to the solution of (14). For the second option, we can select, for instance, a sine wave $U(x) = -\sin x$ at the boundary. Then solution (25) describes the well-known distortion of the initial profile of a sine wave, transforming it into a saw-tooth shape [28, Ch. 6, § 1], with a singularity forming at a finite distance $t_{\text{sing}} = 1/\varepsilon$ from the boundary. We note that for finding solution (25) of the BVP, we use only the known symmetry of the solution and the corresponding perturbation theory (PT).

The above example of the construction of RG symmetries illustrates the general algorithm, whose detailed description in relation to BVPs for differential equations can be found, e.g., in reviews [23, 24], and whose generalization to nonlocal problems is presented in [26, 25]. We can schematically express the implementation of the RG algorithm as a sequence of four steps (see the figure):

1. Constructing the basic manifold $\mathcal{R}M$;
2. Finding a symmetry group $G$ admitted by $\mathcal{R}M$;
3. Restricting the symmetry group $G$ on a particular solution of the BVP and finding the RG symmetry (RGS);
4. Finding an analytic solution corresponding to the RG symmetry.

A characteristic feature of the procedure of constructing the RG symmetry is the multivariance of step (I), whose aim is to have the parameters participating in the equations and the boundary conditions of the problem and determining the solution somehow involved in transformations. The choice of a concrete realization of the first step is mostly usually governed by the form of the basic equations and the corresponding boundary conditions on the one hand and by the form of the approximate PT solution on the other. This multivariance, which is a feature of step (I) alone, is aimed at covering a possibly broader spectrum of problems to be investigated by the method. The subsequent steps are carried out in the framework of well-developed group-theory methods.

This multivariance is also seen in the above simple example of a BVP for the Hopf equation. Underlying our construction of the RG symmetry for BVP (17) was the most obvious option: constructing the RG symmetry from the point symmetry group of the Hopf equation in the space extended by incorporating the parameter $\varepsilon$ into the set of independent variables. This way of constructing the basic manifold $\mathcal{R}M$ is not the only possible one.

We could also construct the RG symmetry for BVP (17) using an additional differential constraint compatible with the boundary conditions and the basic equations. For instance, if the initial conditions in (17) are given by the linear function $U(x) = x$, then we can take the differential constraint $\partial_x u = 0$. Next, we calculate the RG symmetry of BVP (17) taking the basic manifold $\mathcal{R}M$ to be the system

5 Here, we do not detail the construction of such a differential constraint. As an example, we note the use of the invariance condition for the basic equation under so-called higher (or Lie–Bäcklund) symmetries rather than point symmetries. In contrast to the coordinates of an infinitesimal generator of a point symmetry group, the coordinates of a generator of a higher-symmetry group in addition to independent and dependent variables, also depend on higher derivatives. Expressing the invariance condition under a group of higher symmetries in the infinitesimal form, we obtain the required differential constraint (see [23] for the details).
obtained by combining this constraint and the Hopf equation. The admissible group $G$ for the manifold $\mathcal{R}M$ is then different from (18), but the form of solution (25) is the same. Other examples of the implementation of step (I) of the algorithm can be found in [23].

3. Renormalization-group symmetries in local problems of mathematical physics

The example of the construction of the RG symmetry for Hopf equation (17) demonstrates that a particular form of the realization of the general scheme of the RG algorithm depends on the form of the equations in the BVP, as well as on the way the boundary data are specified. Because the construction of the RG symmetry proceeds by restricting the symmetry group $G$ of the basic manifold [step (III)], the RG usually has a smaller dimension than $G$. For instance, in the case of BVP (17), the symmetry group $G$ is defined by the four generators $X_1$, and the RG is defined by the three generators $R_t$. It is obvious that for the construction of the RG symmetry, it is desirable to have a maximal group $G$. However, the more complicated the basic equations are, the narrower the admissible transformation group typically is. For instance, if the term $v \xi_3$ accounting for dissipation is added to the Hopf equation, then after the change of variables $\xi_3 = \eta$, we obtain the modified Burgers equation. For this equation, the admissible group $G$ is infinite-dimensional, but it is now characterized by a single arbitrary function instead of four functions for the Hopf equations, and after the reduction procedure, we obtain a finite-dimensional (8-dimensional) RG [29].

It is also possible that the RG symmetry cannot be constructed using a point symmetry group for the basic manifold alone because restricting on a particular solution yields a zero-dimensional group. In this case, we must either modify (and simplify) the system of equations used for the description of the physical process or use other symmetries in addition to Lie symmetries for constructing the RG.

We now demonstrate various approaches to the construction of the RG symmetry for the BVP obtained by complicating the problem in (17), which was our example of the construction of the RG symmetry in Section 2.

3.1 Renormalization-group symmetry in nonlinear plasma theory

We consider the following problem, which was historically the first example of a successful application of the RG algorithm. This is the interaction of $p$-polarized electromagnetic radiation with a frequency $\omega$ and a 'moderate' (by today’s standards) intensity, with inhomogeneous plasma [21]. This interaction is described by a system of 2-dimensional nonstationary differential equations (the equations of the collisionless hydrodynamics of electron plasma with a self-consistent electromagnetic field) for six functions: the components $B_z$, $E_x$, $E_y$, of the magnetic and the electric fields, two components $V_x$, $V_y$ of the velocity of the electrons, and their density $n$. These functions depend on three variables: the coordinates $x$ and $y$ and time $t$. Our aim is to obtain an approximate analytic solution of this system of equations in an arbitrary order of nonlinearity, without confining ourselves to the perturbation-theory framework.

For an arbitrary ion density function $n^i(x)$, the basic system of equations admits only a finite-dimensional point transformation group, the group of translations along the $t$ and $y$ axes. If the ion density is a constant, $n^i \equiv N = \text{const}$, then we also have the group of translations along the $x$ axis and the group of simultaneous rotations in the three planes defined by the coordinates $(x, y)$ and the corresponding $x$ and $y$ components of the velocity of the electrons and of the electric field. Thus, regarding the original equations as the manifold $\mathcal{R}M$, we obtain a fairly narrow admissible group, which does not allow finding the required RG symmetry.

To construct a manifold $\mathcal{R}M$ allowing a wider point transformation group, we use the fact that the leading contribution to nonlinear effects of the interaction of the electromagnetic wave with the inhomogeneous (in $x$) plasma under consideration here comes from the components of the electric field and the velocity of the electrons that are directed along the density gradient. Furthermore, due to the natural smallness parameters (the smooth inhomogeneity of the ion density along the $x$ axis and the small angle of incidence $\theta$ of the laser beam to the plasma), the dependence of these components on the $y$ coordinate, which is transverse to the density gradient, is smoother than their dependence on $x$ in the neighborhood of the plasma resonance. Hence, in the construction of $\mathcal{R}M$, in the full system of 6 original equations, we can single out a simpler system of two one-dimensional nonlinear partial differential equations for the $x$ components $E_x$ of the electric field and $V_x$ of the velocity of the electrons in the neighborhood of the plasma resonance:

$$\omega \hat{c}_x v - v \omega \hat{c}_x v - p = 0, \quad \omega \hat{c}_x p + v \omega \hat{c}_x p + \omega^2 v = 0,$$

$$\tau \equiv \omega t - \frac{\omega y}{c} \sin \theta. \tag{26}$$

Here, $v$ and $p$ are the respective quantities $V_x$ and $E_x$ normalized by the parameter $a$, the parameter $a \propto \sqrt{q}$ is determined by the radiation flux $q$ on the plasma and the linear transformation coefficient, $a \omega^2(x)$ is the plasma frequency (for the fixed ion density), and $c$ is the speed of light.

The infinite-dimensional point transformation group in the space of 5 variables $(\tau, x, a, v, p)$ allowed by (26) is defined by an infinitesimal operator, which is a sum of three operators:

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^{3} X_i, \quad X_1 = \mu_1 Y,$$

$$X_2 = \mu_2 \hat{c}_x + \frac{1}{a} Y(x_2) \hat{c}_x + \frac{1}{a} Y^2(x_2) \hat{c}_p,$$

$$X_3 = \frac{\mu_3}{a} (a \hat{c}_a - v \hat{c}_v - p \hat{c}_p),$$

$$Y = \omega \hat{c}_x v + v \omega \hat{c}_x v + p \hat{c}_v - \omega^2 v \hat{c}_p.$$
the functions \(v\) and \(p\) is found by solving the linearized system of the original six equations endowed with the corresponding boundary conditions (an electromagnetic wave falling on plasma from the vacuum) and by the selected density profile \(n'(x)\) in the plasma resonance region; corrections to this solution that are proportional to \(a\) arise after the linearization of system (26). The verification of the RG-invariance conditions [similar to (20)] for this approximate particular solution determines our choice of the functions \(\mu_1 = 0\), \(\mu_2 = -p/\alpha^2\), and \(\mu_3 = 1\) and yields the required RG-symmetry operator (where the first relation in (28) holds with the substitution \(\omega^2_l \to \omega^2\)):

\[
R = X_2 + X_3 = -\frac{p}{\alpha^2} \partial_{x} + \partial_{\eta}.
\]

(29)

The quantities \(\tau\), \(v\), and \(p\) are invariants of the RG transformations with infinitesimal operator (29), and the transformation of the \(x\) variable defined by the solution of the Lie equation for (29) exhibits a linear dependence on the parameter \(a\):

\[
x = \eta - \frac{p}{\alpha^2} a.
\]

(30)

The group composition law for \(x\) can be easily deduced from the functional equation of form (1) with the substitutions \(A \to x\), \(I \to a_2\), \(\lambda \to a_1\), and \(\alpha \to \eta\). We note that in contrast to the transfer theorem problem, the group parameter here is not an independent variable involved into the equation but the parameter \(a\) imported into the equation from the boundary conditions.

The solution of Eqn (26) constructed with the help of (29) is given by

\[
\frac{ap}{\alpha^2 A} = -e(f_1 \sin \tau + f_2 \cos \tau), \quad e \equiv \left(\frac{q}{q_0}\right)^{1/2},
\]

\[
\frac{av}{\alpha^2} = e(f_1 \cos \tau - f_2 \sin \tau), \quad x = \eta + e(f_1 \sin \tau + f_2 \cos \tau).
\]

(31)

where the parameter \(e \propto a \propto \sqrt{q}\), which depends on the flux \(q_0\) of the plasma wave breaking at the critical point, does not exceed 1, and the functions \(f_{1,2}(\eta)\) are determined by the well-understood linear structure of the field, whose explicit form can be various, depending on the density profile and the thermal motion of the electrons in the plasma. In cold plasma with a linear density profile, we have

\[
f_1 = (1 + \eta^2)^{-1}, \quad f_2 = \eta(1 + \eta^2)^{-1}.
\]

(32)

When a weak thermal motion of the electrons is taken into account, relations (32) must be modified:

\[
f_1 = \int_0^\infty d\zeta \cosh \left(\eta \zeta + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right), \quad f_2 = \int_0^\infty d\zeta \sinh \left(\eta \zeta + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}\right).
\]

(33)

Solution (31) is an exact solution of Eqs (26) for \(\omega_L = \omega\). The \(x\) and \(\eta\) variables in relations (31)–(33), in view of the normalization by the width of the plasma resonance \(A\), are dimensionless quantities. The equations for the remaining four normalized quantities (the electric field \(E\), the magnetic field \(B\), the \(x\)-component \(V_y\) of the velocity of the electrons, and the density \(n\)) are given by

\[
\partial_t E_x = -\frac{\omega A}{\varepsilon} \sin \theta \partial_x E_x, \quad \omega \partial_t V_y = E_y,
\]

\[
\partial_t B_z = \frac{V_x}{c} \partial_x E_y - \frac{V_y}{c} \partial_y E_x, \quad n \approx \omega^2 \frac{1}{\partial_x^2}.
\]

(34)

Integration of Eqns (34) is elementary. Formulas (31) and (34) present the required solution of the BVP. Discarding strongly nonlinear effects, we can use (31) and (34) to obtain results from the theory of generation of arbitrary-order harmonics in cold [30] and hot [31] inhomogeneous plasma (if we respectively use formulas (32) and (33) for \(f_{1,2}\)). Taking strong nonlinearities (the influence of higher harmonics on the lower ones) into account significantly changes the dependence of the coefficient of the transformation into harmonics emitted by the plasma on the density of the electromagnetic radiation flux falling on the plasma [21, 32] and the temperature of the plasma [33, 34].

Result (31), (34) of solving the BVP for the six original equations takes both the boundary condition and the strongest nonlinearity into account, and is exact in the same measure in which the group symmetry of Eqns (26) reflects the symmetry of the full system of six original equations under the above assumptions. The approximate nature of the group with infinitesimal operator (29) so obtained relative to the group (27) inducing it is determined by the inhomogeneity of the plasma (we recall that in the derivation of operator (27), we imposed no assumptions on Eqns (26) concerning the inhomogeneity pattern of the plasma density). This is similar to the situation in quantum field theory: the exact group property of a solution is used for a progressive improvement of the system in its approximation characteristics, where the next approximation improves the previous one without destroying it. From the standpoint of the RG symmetry, this means that operator (29) can be refined by accounting for the small parameters of the problem used in passing to Eqns (26). We say in this case that the symmetry of system (26) is inherited by a more general system of equations. An example of an RG symmetry for (26) with the corrections due to the inhomogeneity of the plasma taken into account is presented in [21].

3.2 Renormalization-group symmetries in problems of gaseous and quasi-Chaplygin media

The situation where the existence of an infinite-dimensional point transformation group ensures the construction of an RG symmetry, as in the examples of BVPs for the Hopf equations and Eqns (26), is not universal. Below, we present an example of a BVP in which the symmetry group for the original manifold (the system of differential equations) is infinite dimensional, but the construction of the RG symmetries requires using higher symmetries (which are also called Lie–Bäcklund symmetries [5]) instead of a point transformation group.

We consider the BVP for a system of two nonlinear first-order partial differential equations for functions \(v\) and \(n > 0\):

\[
\partial_t v + \partial_x v = \varepsilon \varphi (n) \partial_x n, \quad \partial_t n + \varepsilon \partial_x n + n \partial_x v = 0,
\]

\[
v(0, x) = \varepsilon W(x), \quad n(0, x) = N(x),
\]

(35)

with constant \(\varepsilon\) and a nonlinearity function \(\varphi\) depending only on \(n\). Depending on the sign of \(\varphi(n)\), these equations are of either the hyperbolic (\(\varphi(n) < 0\)) or the elliptic (\(\varphi(n) > 0\))
The coordinate $\hat{a}$ and $\hat{b}$ are linear functions of the operator $\hat{a}u$ and $\hat{b}u$, respectively.

From the physical standpoint, solution (42), which was obtained from (38), is a solution of the BVP for variables $x$ and $y$, corresponding to the solution of the BVP for $\tau = \hat{a}u$ and $\hat{v}u$. The relation between these variables and their second-order derivatives is given by:

$$\hat{a}^2 = D_1(u^2), \quad \hat{b}^2 = D_2(u^2) = D_1(u^2) \ldots$$

$$D_1 = \partial_x + \hat{a}^2 \partial_y + \hat{b}^2 \partial_y + \ldots$$

The Lie–Bäcklund group theory allows restricting to only canonical operators, which leave all the independent variables invariant. This is important, for instance, in the analysis of symmetries of integrable differential equations and in the construction of the RG symmetries in problems involving nonlocal equations. For BVP (35) under consideration here, it is convenient to write the Lie–Bäcklund group generators for the equations expressed in hodograph variables (36):

$$X = \sum c_i X_i = \sum c_i(f_i \hat{a} + g_i \hat{b}).$$

The coordinates $f_i$ and $g_i$ of generators (38), which are linear functions of the differential variables [38], are connected by a system of recursion relations:

$$L_k(f_i, g_j) = \left( \begin{array}{c} f_{i+1} \\ g_{j+1} \end{array} \right),$$

where the entries of the matrix recursion operators $L_k$ are linear functions of the operator $D_0$ of total differentiation with respect to $u$. The number of operators $L_k$ depends on the form of the nonlinear function $\varphi(u)$; in the most typical case $\varphi(u) = u(n+1)^2$, $\partial_u \varphi(u) = n(1+u)$. For $\varphi(u) = n+1$, there are three operators: $k = 1, 2, 3$. The action of the recursion operators on the coordinates $f_i$ and $g_i$ of the physically ‘obvious’ dilation operator in the space of the hodograph variables $\tau = \hat{a}u$ and $\hat{v}u$ yields three operators with coordinates $f_i$ and $g_i$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$) linearly depending on the derivatives $\tau = \hat{a}u$ and $\hat{v}u$; they are therefore equivalent to infinitesimal generators of the point group. The action of the recursion operators $L_1, \ldots, L_3$ on the first-order symmetries $f_i, g_i$ ($i = 2, 3, 4$) generates five operators, whose coordinates in the hodograph variables are linear functions of these variables and their second-order derivatives. These are Lie–Bäcklund symmetries of the second order. Repeating this procedure several times, we obtain $2s + 1$ symmetries of a fixed order $s$ [38].

The infinite system of operators (38) (obtained at step (II) of the RG algorithm) for Eqs (36) (treated as the $\mathcal{RM}$ manifold) enables constructing the operators of RG symmetries and finding the corresponding RG-invariant solutions. The reduction of the Lie–Bäcklund group (step (III) of the RG algorithm) reduces to the verification of the invariance conditions $f = 0$ and $g = 0$ (similar to (20), but generalized to the case of Lie–Bäcklund symmetries) for a concrete solution of the BVP, where the functions $f$ and $g$ are arbitrary linear combinations of some coordinates $f_i$ and $g_i$ of the canonical operators of the group and are chosen so as to satisfy the prescribed boundary conditions at $t = 0$. As examples, we give the values of the coordinates of two second-order operators of the Lie–Bäcklund RG symmetry.

Example 1:

$$f = 2n(1 - n) \tau_n - n \tau_n - 3n \tau_n + 2n^2 \tau_n + \frac{2n^2 - n^3}{2} \tau_n,$$

$$g = 2n(1 - n) \tau_v - (2 - 3n) \tau_n + 2n^2 \tau_n + \frac{2n^2 - n^3}{2} \tau_n.$$

Example 2:

$$f = -n^2 \ln n \tau_n - n \tau_n + \tau_n + 3n \tau_n + \frac{2n^2 - n^3}{2} \tau_n,$$

$$g = -n^2 \ln n \tau_n + n \tau_n + 2(1 + 4n) \tau_n + \frac{2n^2 - n^3}{2} \tau_n.$$

The operator $R$ with coordinates (40) corresponds to the solution of the BVP for Eqs (35) with $\alpha = 1$, $\varphi(n) = 1$ for $V(x) = 0$ and $N(x) = \cosh^2(x)$, and the operator $R$ with coordinates (41) corresponds to the solution of the BVP for Eqs (35) with $\alpha = -1$, $\varphi(n) = 1/n$ for $V(x) = 0$ and $N(x) = \exp(-x^2)$, to solve the BVP using RG symmetries (40) and (41), we must add the invariance condition $f = g = 0$ to the basic $\mathcal{RM}$ and solve the resulting system of equations (step (IV) of the RG algorithm).

For RG symmetry (40), a solution exists on a finite interval $0 < t < t_{\text{sing}}$, until a singularity occurs on the axis $x = 0$ at $t = t_{\text{sing}} = 1/2$, when $\hat{b}u = \hat{v}u$, $u \rightarrow \infty$ and the value of $n$ remains finite, $n(t_{\text{sing}}, 0) = 2$.

$$v = -2nt \tanh(x - vt), \quad n^2 t^2 = n \cosh^2(x - vt) - 1.$$
planar light beam in a medium with a cubic nonlinearity (a quasi-Chaplygin medium) for the boundary condition \( N(x) = \cos^2(x) \). The quantities \( n \) and \( v \) define the intensity and the eikonal derivative of the beam.

For RG symmetry (41), the solution describes a monotonic evolution (decrease) with time \( n \) of the density \( t \geq 0 \), while the particle velocity continues to be linearly dependent on the coordinate:

\[
v = x\sqrt{2q}\exp\left(-\frac{q^2}{2}\right),
\]

\[
n = \exp\left(-\frac{q^2}{2}\right)\exp\left[-x^2\exp(-q^2)\right], \quad t = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{2}\text{erf}\left(\frac{q}{\sqrt{2}}\right).
\]

Solution (43), which was discussed in [40], describes an expanding plasma layer with the initial density distribution \( N(x) = \exp(-x^2) \).

These two examples demonstrate that by using the Lie–Bäcklund RG symmetry, we achieve the same goals as with point RG symmetries: we can give an adequate description of the structure of the solution in the presence of a singularity or can find its asymptotic behavior. Although we found RG symmetries (41) and (42) for the already known solutions, the RG approach reveals the group structure of these solutions. Previously, to obtain these results, the authors imposed some \textit{a priori} assumptions about the structure of the solution. In [41], the reader can find an example of the solution of a BVP with the help of Lie–Bäcklund RG symmetries for (35) with the initial condition of a more complex type, not representable in terms of elementary functions, when the intensity distribution of the light beam at the boundary has the form of a smoothed step function.

### 3.3 Approximate renormalization-group symmetries in problems of quasi-Chaplygin media

Constructing an RG symmetry on the basis of higher symmetries is justified if the equations defining an RG-invariant solution can be investigated analytically. The complexity of differential equations usually increases with their order. Hence, the use of higher-order Lie–Bäcklund symmetries in the invariance conditions of the RG symmetry can often limit the potential for applications of such symmetries in the case of arbitrary boundary data. On the other hand, a restriction on the order of the allowed symmetries narrows the variety of approaches to the construction of RG symmetries for arbitrary boundary data. For instance, for BVP (35), the symmetry group of the original manifold (36) allows only \( 2s + 1 \) symmetries of a fixed order \( s \), which for small \( s \) can be insufficient for the construction of the RG symmetry for arbitrary \( N(x) \). For the extension of the symmetry group of the original manifold, we must use the technique of approximate symmetries [35].

The central idea here is the use of natural smallness parameters (which we distinguish from the parameter with respect to which we construct the PT approximation to be used in RG transformations), which are involved in some form in most physical problems and which enter the equations as coefficients. For instance, the coefficient \( z \) of the nonlinearity function \( \varphi(n) \) in (35) is such a parameter. The presence of natural small parameters allows expressing the required symmetry as a power series in these parameters and taking finitely many terms of this series. If we discard the small parameters altogether, then the equations defining the \( R.M \) are simpler than the original equations and allow a wider transformation group, and hence there can be more approaches to the construction of the RG symmetry for arbitrary boundary data. An essential point here is the possibility to successively account for corrections to the obtained RG symmetry for the system of differential equations of the simplified manifold: when this can be done, we say that we have constructed a symmetry inherited in a given order in the small parameter.

We demonstrate how approximations to the RG symmetry for BVP (35) can be constructed for small \( z \ll 1 \). Setting \( w = v/z \), we write system of equations (36) as

\[
\partial_w \tau - \frac{n}{\varphi(n)} \partial_n \tau = 0, \quad \partial_n \tau + z \partial_w \tau = 0.
\]

As \( z \to 0 \) dropping the second term in the second equation yields a simpler subsystem of differential equations, which is an approximation to the original manifold \( R.M \). By contrast to the symmetries of Eqs (36), which allow only a finite-dimensional Lie–Bäcklund symmetry group of a given order, Eqs (44) with \( z = 0 \) have an infinite-dimensional symmetry, which is consistent with the perturbation theory for the BVP with arbitrary boundary data. Hence, we seek RG symmetries by combining symmetries of the ‘zeroth’ approximation to the equations (i.e., of Eqs (44) with \( z = 0 \)) and corrections to them in powers of \( z \). We represent the coordinates \( f \) and \( g \) of the canonical operator of the group for (44) as a power series in \( z \):

\[
X = f \partial_z + g \partial_{\bar{z}}, \quad f = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^j f^j, \quad g = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} z^j g^j.
\]

Using the techniques of modern group-theory analysis [10] that generalize Lie’s algorithm to higher symmetries, we obtain a system of recursive relations for the \( f^j \) and \( g^j \):

\[
f^j = F^j + \int dw\left(\left(1 - \partial_n \right) Z f^{j-1} + \frac{n}{\varphi} Y g^j\right),
\]

\[
g^j = G^j + \left(1 - \partial_n \right) \int dw\left(Z g^{j-1} - Y f^{j-1}\right),
\]

where

\[
Y = \partial_n + \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \left(\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{z} + Z \partial_{\bar{z}} \right), \quad Z = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}}}{\partial n} \partial_{z}
\]

\[
w = \frac{v}{z}, \quad \tau_s = \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \tau}{\partial n}, \quad \xi_s = \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} \tau}{\partial n}, \quad \tilde{\tau}_s = \tau_s - w \sum_{p=0}^{s} \left(\frac{s}{p}\right) \frac{\partial_{\bar{z}} (n/g)}{\partial n} \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}
\]

\[
F^j(n, \xi_s, \tilde{\tau}_s), \quad G^j(n, \xi_s, \tilde{\tau}_s) \text{ are arbitrary functions; the integrands are expressed in terms of } \xi_s, \xi_{s+1}, \text{n and w}. \text{ It is an immediate consequence of (46) that for small } z, \text{ the symmetry of the equations of the ‘zeroth’ approximation is inherited by system (44) in any finite order in } z: \text{ the corrections do not destroy the symmetry } f^0, g^0 \text{ of the ‘zeroth’ approximation.}
\]

The form of the inherited symmetry (i.e., the expressions for \( f \) and \( g \)) is fully determined by relations (46): it can be a point symmetry or a Lie–Bäcklund symmetry.

Because the dependence of the functions \( F^j \) and \( G^j \) on their arguments can be arbitrary, we can construct RG symmetries for the BVP with arbitrary boundary data: the
restriction of approximate group (46) on solutions of the BVP (step (III) of the RG algorithm) is performed, similarly to the case of the exact Lie - Bäcklund RG symmetry in subsection 3.2, by verifying the condition \( f = g = 0 \) for a concrete solution of the BVP. Here, we choose the functions \( f^1 \) and \( g^1 \) so as to satisfy the prescribed boundary conditions at \( t = 0 \). In particular, for BVP (35) with \( \varphi(n) = 1 \) for \( V(x) = 0 \) and \( N(x) = \cosh^{-2}(x) \). With these conditions, we can take the following functions \( f^0 \) and \( g^0 \):
\[
\begin{align*}
f^0 &= 2n(1-n) t_2 - n\tau_1 - 2n(\tau_1 + n\tau_2), \\
g^0 &= 2n(1-n) \tau_2 + (2 - 3n) \tau_1.
\end{align*}
\] (48)
Substituting \( f^0 \) and \( g^0 \) in (46), we find the next terms of series (45), the functions \( f^1 \) and \( g^1 \):
\[
\begin{align*}
f^1 &= \frac{nw^2 t_2}{2}, \\
g^1 &= w(2n\tau_2 + \tau_1) + \frac{w^2}{2} (n\tau_2 + \tau_1),
\end{align*}
\] (49)
and the substitution of \( f^1 \) and \( g^1 \) in (46) gives zero values for all \( f^i \) and \( g^i \) with \( i \geq 2 \). This means that the RG symmetry can be expressed in this case by binomials \( f = f^0 + x f^1 \), \( g = g^0 + x g^1 \), that is, infinite series (45) terminate and turn into finite sums, and the binomial expressions for the RG symmetry are exact and hold for arbitrary values of \( x \). In particular, setting \( x = 1 \), we arrive at relations (40).

For arbitrary boundary data, the infinite series in (45) do not automatically terminate, and taking only finitely many terms of the series means that the RG symmetry constructed with the use of (45) and (46) is approximate in the sense described in [42]. The second example corresponds to an approximate RG symmetry for BVP (35) with \( \varphi(n) = 1 \) for \( V(x) = 0 \) and \( N(x) = \exp(-x^2) \):
\[
f = 1 + 2nx_0 + x\left(-2\tau_0 + \frac{x^2}{n}\right), \\
g = -2x(\tau_0 + x\tau_0).
\] (50)
Here, we omit all the contributions to \( f \) and \( g \) proportional to the higher powers \( x^i \) with \( i \geq 2 \).

The above constructions of RG symmetries can be easily generalized to the case where the group transformations involve the parameter \( x \) in addition to the 'natural' variables of the problem. In this case, the set of possible RG symmetries is usually larger. For example, we note the approximate RG symmetry for the same BVP as in the second example, but, in contrast to (50), containing derivatives with respect to the parameter \( x \):
\[
\begin{align*}
f &= 2n(\tau_0 + x\tau_0) + 2x\tau_2, \\
g &= 1 + 2nx_0 + 2x(\tau_0 + \tau_0).
\end{align*}
\] (51)
Unlike exact RG symmetries, which allow finding an exact solution of the BVP for any RG generator chosen, approximate symmetries yield a solution of the BVP depending essentially on the form of the RG symmetry operator, as can be seen, for instance, from the use of generators (50) and (51) (see [41]). The use of several approximate analytic solutions or the comparison of the solution obtained on the basis of the exact RG symmetry (and used as a test) with the solution obtained on the basis of an approximate RG-symmetry allows evaluating the accuracy of the corresponding approximate RG-invariant solution [43].

For finding approximate RG symmetries in a physical problem, we can use not one but several small parameters. This is the case, for instance, in the construction of the RG symmetry for a BVP for the system of equations of a light beam in a nonlinear medium, which can be regarded as a natural generalization of (35):
\[
\begin{align*}
v_i + v_n v_a = x, \\
n_i + v_n v_a + v_n v = 0, \\
v(0, x) = V(x),
\end{align*}
\] (52)
The parameters \( x \) and \( \beta \) determine the contribution of the nonlinear refraction and diffraction processes; \( \nu = 0 \) for a planar (2-dimensional) wave beam and \( \nu = 1 \) for a 3-dimensional (axially symmetric) wave beam.

The construction of an RG symmetry for BVP (52) proceeds in accordance with a scheme similar to the one used before: the coordinates \( f \) and \( g \) of the canonical operator for the manifold \( \mathcal{R} \mathcal{M} \) defined by Eqsns (52) can be represented as double power series in the nonlinearity parameter \( x \) and the diffraction parameter \( \beta \):
\[
\begin{align*}
X &= f_\nu g_\nu, \\
f &= \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty x^i \beta^j f^{(i,j)}, \\
g &= \sum_{i,j=0}^\infty x^i \beta^j g^{(i,j)}.
\end{align*}
\] (53)
The standard techniques of group analysis are used for the calculation of the coefficients \( f^{(i,j)} \) and \( g^{(i,j)} \). Restricting ourself to finitely many terms of series (53), we arrive in the general case at an approximate symmetry, which after the restriction procedure gives the required RG symmetry. As an example [44], we present explicit expressions for the coordinates \( f \) and \( g \) of the infinitesimal RG symmetry operator for BVP (52) in the case of a collimated cylindrical (\( \nu = 1 \)) beam in a medium with cubic nonlinearity (\( \varphi = 1 \)):
\[
\begin{align*}
f &= D_k \left[ S - \left( zn + \frac{\beta}{x\sqrt{n}} D_k xD_k x\sqrt{n}\right) \right], \\
g &= \frac{1}{x} D_k \{xn[v + iS_k]\},
\end{align*}
\] (54)
where the function \( S \) depends on \( x = x - vt \):
\[
S(x) = xN(x) + \frac{\beta}{x\sqrt{N(x)}} \partial_z \sqrt{N(x)}.
\] (55)
The canonical RG operator with coordinates (54) is equivalent to the following operator of a point RG symmetry:
\[
R = (1 + t^2 S_{zz}) \partial_z + S_y \partial_y + (tS_y + vt^2 S_{ytt}) \partial_y - nt \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{vt}{x} \right) S_{yy} + \frac{1}{x} S_z \right] \partial_y,
\] (56)
which allows easily finding finite group transformations (step (IV) of the RG algorithm) relating the values of \( n \) (the beam intensity) and \( v \) (the eikonal derivative) for \( t > 0 \) to similar quantities at the boundary \( t = 0 \) of the nonlinear medium, i.e., constructing the required solution of BVP (23).
In the derivation of (55) and (56), we considered contributions of the form \( f^0 \equiv f(0,0) \) and \( g^0 \equiv g(0,0) \) in (53), that is, contributions independent of \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \), and also contributions linear in these parameters \( f^1 \equiv \alpha f(1,0) + \beta f(0,1) \) and \( g^1 \equiv \alpha g(1,0) + \beta g(0,1) \). Dropping the terms proportional to \( O(x^2, \beta^2, \alpha \beta) \) means that symmetry (56) is approximate with respect to these parameters. Of course, similarly to BVPs for equations of quasi-Drurygin media, there exist distribution functions \( \lambda(x) \) for which series (53) terminate and become finite sums. Such a situation corresponds to the exact RG symmetry in (54) rather than an approximate one and to an exact solution of the BVP for arbitrary values of the parameters \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \). In particular, symmetry (53) is exact when \( S(x) \) is a binomial: \( S(x) = s_0 + s_2 x^2/2 \). This form of \( S(x) \) corresponds to a particular dependence on the \( x \) variable of the beam intensity \( N \) at the interface. For instance, for \( s_2 = 0 \) and \( s_0 > 0 \), Eqn (56) yields a solution of the BVP that describes a ‘Townes’ self-channeling beam [45]; other exact localized solutions of the BVP for \( s_2 \neq 0 \) decreasing as \( x \to \infty \) were discussed in [46].

In the general case, \( S(x) \) is not a binomial and the use of RG symmetry (56) yields an approximate analytic solution of the BVP, studied in detail in [44, 46] for a Gaussian beam with \( N = \exp(-x^2) \). This solution of the BVP allows tracing the evolution of the Gaussian beam as the distance from the interface increases, up to a singularity occurring in the solution; the singularity has the 2-dimensional structure: both the beam intensity \( n \) and the derivatives \( v_s \) and \( n_s \) become infinite at the point \( x_{\text{sing}}^{\text{gauss}} = \sqrt{2}/(\alpha - \beta) \) for \( x > \beta \). A thorough discussion of this analytic solution and its comparison with the results of other approaches (aberration-free approximation [39] and the method of moments [47, 48]) were carried out in [46].

4. Renormalization-group symmetries in nonlocal problems of mathematical physics

The implementation of the RG algorithm in problems of mathematical physics involving nonlocal (integral or integrodifferential) relations depends on the form of this nonlocality. On the one hand, the original system of equations can be based on nonlocal relations, as, for example, in the kinetic plasma theory, according to which the relation between the current density and the charge density in a medium and the distribution function of the plasma particles in the Vlasov–Maxwell equations with a self-consistent field is nonlocal. The application of the RG algorithm to such nonlocal problems of mathematical physics proceeds in accordance with the general scheme in Section 3; the difference is in the methods of the calculation of symmetries for nonlocal objects (see [43] and the references therein). We note that in the case of problems described by complicated equations, as in transfer theory (the Boltzmann integrodifferential equation), only some components of the solution or its integral characteristics can have a relatively simple symmetry. For instance, in the simplest planar one-velocity transfer problem, the RG invariance is a property of the asymptotic form as \( x \to \infty \) of the ‘density of particles going inside the medium’ \( n_s(x) \), which does not feature in the Boltzmann equation.5

On the other hand, interesting from the physical standpoint can often be not the solution itself over the entire range of its arguments and parameters but some integral characteristic, a functional of the solution. For instance, this characteristic can be the result of averaging (integrating) with respect to some independent variable or of passing to another integral (e.g., Fourier) representation. In this case, we can use the RG algorithm to improve the functional of the approximate solution rather than to improve the particular solution and the subsequent calculation of the corresponding integral characteristic.

We now present examples of the implementation of the RG algorithm in problems of mathematical physics involving nonlocal relations, which provide illustrations to both possible cases.

4.1 Renormalization-group symmetries for functionals of solutions

We consider some BVP for local equations and assume that we are interested in an integral characteristic of the solution, given by a linear functional of this solution:

\[
J(u) = \int \mathcal{F}(u(z)) \, dz .
\] (57)

We assume that for a particular solution \( u \) of this boundary value problem, the RG algorithm has been used to find an RG symmetry with a generator \( R \). Instead of the RG transformation group of the solution itself, we are interested in the RG transformation group of integral characteristic (57). To find an infinitesimal generator of the group, we extend the action of the RG symmetry operator \( R \) to nonlocal variable (57). For this, we represent the operator in the canonical form, that is, make the substitution \( R \to Y = \kappa \hat{\sigma}_u \) and extend the operator to the nonlocal variable \( J \):

\[
Y + \kappa \tau \hat{c}_J \equiv \kappa \hat{c}_u + \kappa \tau \hat{c}_J .
\] (58)

The \( \kappa \tau \) variable is related to \( \kappa \) by means of an integral relation [47] (for brevity, we write only one argument of the coordinate of the generator, the one with respect to which the integration is performed):

\[
\kappa \tau = \frac{\delta J(u)}{\delta u(z)} \, \kappa (z) \, dz \equiv \int \mathcal{F} \left( u(z') \right) \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}(u(z'))}{\delta u(z)} \, \kappa (z) \, dz' = \int \mathcal{F}_u \, \kappa (z) \, dz .
\] (59)

Considering operator (59) in the narrowed space of the variables defining the functional, we obtain the required infinitesimal RG symmetry operator for integral characteristic (57).

4.1.1 The RG symmetry of functionals in the Hopf equation

To demonstrate how formulas (58) and (59) actually work for functionals of solutions of BVPs, we start with our example of the BVP for the Hopf equation. The algebra of RG symmetries of this problem is generated by the three operators in (23). We consider the case where we are interested not in the full solution to BVP (25) for all values of its arguments and parameters but only in the value at some point of some characteristic, which can be defined by a linear functional of form (57). For instance, we can be interested in

5 But it can be represented as the integral \( \int_0^1 n(x, \theta) \, d \cos \theta \) of the solution \( n(x, \theta) \) of the one-velocity kinetic equation.
the value of the first spatial derivative at \( x = 0 \):

\[
\partial_x u(t, x)|_{x=0} \equiv u_0^x = - \int_{-\infty}^0 dx \partial_x^2 (x) u(t, x). \tag{60}
\]

Using perturbation theory (21) in the right-hand side of (60) yields the behavior of \( u_0^x \) for small \( t \ll 1 \):

\[
(u_0^x)_{pt} = U_s^x - at [(U_0^x)^2 + U(0) U_{xx}^0] + O(t^2),
\]

\[
U_s^x \equiv \partial_x U|_{x=0}, \quad U_{xx}^0 \equiv \partial_{xx} U|_{x=0}. \tag{61}
\]

To correct the asymptotic behavior of the functional of the solution, which is distorted by perturbation theory (61), we can use the RG symmetry for (60). As in the derivation of solution (25), we use the last generator in (23) in the simplest form, with \( e^p = 1 \), and write it in the space of variables \( \{ t, x, u_0^x \} \). For instance, for \( U = x \), this operator is

\[
R = \partial_x - t u_0^x \partial_{u_0^x}. \tag{62}
\]

Information about the behavior of the function \( u_0^x = 1/(1 + at) \) in the entire range of the \( t \) variable, including its asymptotic behavior as \( x \to \infty \), can be obtained either with the help of (finite) transformations of the group with generator (62), which are similar to (24), or by using the obvious invariant \( J^0 = at - 1/u_0^x \) of RG generator (62), with the initial condition \( u_0^x(t = 0) = 1 \). We emphasize that we obtain this result without explicitly finding solution (25), but only using the RG symmetry. Our construction may look cumbersome at first glance; however, in more complex problems, the symmetry is typically not known explicitly, but the RG symmetry can be constructed (see, e.g., [50]).

### 4.1.2 The RG symmetry of functionals in quasi-Chaplygin media

One example of a more complicated situation is the behavior of functionals of solutions of the BVP for the equations of quasi-Chaplygin media (35) and, more specifically, of the quantities \( n(x) \) and \( v(x) \) on the axis \( x = 0 \), up to the point where a singularity occurs. We claim that this phenomenon can be investigated by applying the RG algorithm to two functionals of solutions of BVP (35): the density \( n^0(t) = n(t, 0) \) and the derivative of the velocity \( W^0(t) = v_x(t, 0) \) calculated on the axis of the beam and related to the solution by the formal equalities

\[
n^0(t) = \int dx \delta(x) n(t, x), \quad W^0(t) = \int dx \delta(x) v_x(t, x). \tag{63}
\]

The boundary conditions for functionals (63) can be written as

\[
n^0(0) = 1, \quad W^0(0) = 0. \tag{64}
\]

Although conditions (64) give no information about the dependence of the density \( n \) on the \( x \) variable, such information is incorporated into the RG symmetry operator, whose explicit form is determined by the density distribution \( N(x) \) for \( t = 0 \).

We consider an example of the problem with the planar geometry, with the 'soliton' profile \( N(x) = \cosh^{-2}(x) \) of the density distribution at the boundary, for the RG symmetry operator as in (38), (40). Extending this operator to nonlocal variables (63), we obtain a simpler operator in the space \( \{ t, n^0, \} \) [26]:

\[
R = x^{n^0} \partial_x, \quad \chi^{n^0} = 4 - 5n^0 - tn^0 + 2(n^0 - 1)n^0 n_0^0 (n_1^0)^2, \quad n_1^0 \equiv \partial_t n^0, \quad n_0^0 \equiv \partial_{n^0} n^0. \tag{65}
\]

The RG invariance condition \( \chi^{n^0} = 0 \) for operator (65) leads to an ordinary second-order differential equation for the function \( n^0(t) \), which must be solved with initial conditions (64) and the additional condition \( (n_0^0/\sqrt{n^0 - 1})|_{t=0} = 2 \) for the first derivative, which follows from the original equations (35) for \( x = 0 \). This solution \( t = \sqrt{n^0 - 1/n^0} \) reproduces the result obtained in (42), but the method is simpler and solution (42) is not explicitly required.

We note that the procedure of extending RG generators represented as infinitesimal operators of a point group or a Lie–Bäcklund group is universal, and hence we have a common framework for the description of the behavior of characteristics of solutions of BVPs (15) and (35) alike, if we use the reduced description in terms of functionals of solutions.

### 4.2 Renormalization-group symmetry in the problem of an expanding plasma bunch

We now consider the construction of the RG symmetry in the problem where nonlocal relations are involved in the definition of the basic manifold. We discuss the problem of an expanding plasma bunch in the quasineutral approximation [51]. In this approximation, the dynamics of plasma particles in the planar geometry are determined by solutions of kinetic equations for the distribution functions \( f^{x}(t, x, v) \) of the different kinds of particles (electrons and ions):

\[
f^{e}(x) + v e \frac{e_e}{m_e} E(t, x) \partial_v f^{e} = 0, \tag{66}
\]

with additionally imposed nonlocal constraints arising from the conditions of the vanishing current and charge density (the quasineutrality conditions):

\[
\int dv \sum_2 e_s^2 f^s = 0, \quad \int dv \sum_2 e_s f^s = 0. \tag{67}
\]

The electric field is here expressed in terms of moments of the distribution functions:

\[
E(t, x) = \left( \int dv v^2 \partial_x \sum_2 e_s f^s \right) \left( \int dv \sum_2 \frac{e^2_s}{m_e} f^s \right)^{-1}. \tag{68}
\]

The initial conditions for system (66), (67) correspond to the distribution functions of electrons and ions at the instant \( t = 0 \):

\[
f^{e}(x)^{t=0} = f^{e}_0(x, v). \tag{69}
\]

To construct the RG symmetry, we regard the system of local (66) and nonlocal (67) equations as the manifold \( \mathcal{RM} \) (step (I) of the RG algorithm), on which the electric field \( E(t, x) \) is to be determined. The calculation of the Lie group of point transformations admitted by this manifold (step (II) of the RG algorithm) defines a finite-dimensional algebra generated by the operators of time and space shifts, the Galilean transformation operator, three dilation operators, the quasineutrality operator, and the operator of the projective group. The restriction of the group (step (III) of the RG algorithm)
on a particular solution of problem (66), (67), (69) with a spatially symmetric initial distribution function with zero mean velocity selects a linear combination of the translation operator and the projective group operator. Under this combination, the approximate solution of the initial problem $f^t = f_0^t(x; v) + O(t)$ provided by the perturbation theory as $t \to 0$ is invariant, and therefore this linear combination is the RG symmetry operator:

$$R = (1 + \Omega^2 t^2) \partial_v + \Omega^2 t x \partial_v + \Omega^2 (x - vt) \partial_x .$$  

(70)

The constant $\Omega$ can be regarded as the ratio of the characteristic speed of sound $c_s$ to the initial inhomogeneity scale of the electron density $L_0$.

The invariants of RG operator (70) are given by the distribution functions of the particles $f^x$ and the combinations $x/\sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 t^2}$ and $x^2 + \Omega^2 (x - vt)^2$. Hence, the construction of a solution of the BVP (step (IV) of the RG algorithm) reduces to expressing the distribution functions at an arbitrary instant $t \neq 0$ in terms of initial data (69) with the help of these invariants,

$$f^x = f_0^x (I^{(0)}), \quad I^{(0)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ x^2 + \Omega^2 (x - vt)^2 \right] + \frac{E_0}{m_s} \Phi_0(x'),$$  

(71)

where the dependence of $\Phi_0$ on $x' = x/\sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 t^2}$ is determined by quasineutrality conditions (67). A concrete example illustrating these formulas for a plasma layer formed by a group of hot and cold electrons and two kinds of ions can be found in [51].

Applications of the RG symmetry operator are not confined to the construction of solutions of an initial value problem for Eqs (66), (67) or to finding the corresponding distribution functions of particles. For practical purposes, a coarser characteristics of the plasma dynamics is often needed, for instance, the density of the particles (ions) of a certain kind $n^q(t, x)$, which can be found by integrating the distribution function:

$$n^q(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f^q(t, x, v) .$$  

(72)

Straightforward integration of the distribution function with respect to the velocity cannot always be performed analytically because this function may have a complicated dependence on the invariant $I^{(0)}$. In this case, we can use the extension of the RG symmetry operator to a functional of the solution because the density $n^q(t, x)$ is a linear functional of $f^q$. The extension of operator (70) to functional (72) yields the following operator in the narrowed space of the variables $\{t, x, n^q\}$:

$$R = (1 + \Omega^2 t^2) \partial_v + \Omega^2 t x \partial_v - \Omega^2 m_s n^q \partial_{n^q} .$$  

(73)

The solution of the Lie equations for operator (73) with initial conditions (69) taken into account yields a relation between the invariants of this operator (one of the invariants, $J_1 = x/\sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 t^2}$, coincides with the above-mentioned invariant of operator (70), and the other invariant is $J_2^q = n^q / \sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 t^2}$), at an arbitrary instant $t \neq 0$ to their values at the initial instant $t = 0$: $J_1|_{t=0} = x, J_2^q|_{t=0} = N_q^q(x')$. This relation immediately gives formulas describing the space–time distribution of the density of the ions of a given species in terms of their initial density distribution:

$$n^q = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 t^2}} N_q^q \left( \frac{x}{\sqrt{1 + \Omega^2 t^2}} \right),$$  

(74)

$$N_q^q(x') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx f_0^q(I^{(0)}) .$$

We note that the function $N_q^q$ also characterizes the energy spectral distribution of ions for large times $\Omega^2 t^2 > 1$ [51]. Thus, the use of the RG algorithm not only allows constructing a solution of problem (66), (67), (69) for various initial distribution functions of particles [51] but also permits finding the law of the evolution of their density and their energy spectrum without calculating the distribution functions of the particles explicitly. Similar results are obtained not only in the framework of the model of a planar one-dimensional expansion but also, for instance, for a spherically symmetric expansion of a plasma bunch [52].

5. Conclusion

We now expound on several important points related to the development and applications of the RG algorithm to BVPs of mathematical physics.

First of all, we note its universality, meaning that the procedure for the construction and the use of RG symmetries is implemented in accordance with the scheme described in Section 3. Of course, approaches to the realization of the steps of the algorithm can be different depending on the type of the problem under consideration, but the general pattern of four successive steps remains the same. Our method not merely allows reproducing already known solutions in a regular fashion but also produces new solutions.

Second, the above examples do not exhaust all possible ways of implementing the RG algorithm. There is an especially large freedom at the first step, that is, in the construction of the original manifold. We have restricted ourself to the description of the most typical approaches (extending the list of independent variables, using higher symmetries, applying the techniques of approximate symmetries). We left the detailed description of the construction of the basic manifold with the use of additional differential constraints and methods for the derivation of these constraints on the basis of higher symmetries [23] outside the scope of this paper. One special case of a differential relation defining a boundary condition is the embedding equation; this is particularly interesting in mathematical models based on ordinary differential equations for which the problem of symmetry calculation is nontrivial [22–24]. We also lift the use of multiparameter renormalization groups [22], the construction of approximate RG symmetries involving a small parameter in the transformation [44], and integration with respect to the RG-transformation parameter [22] without detailed discussion. For a detailed discussion of these issues and for applications of RG symmetries, the reader can consult reviews [23–26, 50, 53] and the references therein.

Third, we note that methods of computer algebra can be used for the construction of RG symmetries. In the framework of the general scheme of the RG algorithm, one of the central computational procedures is finding a maximal symmetry group of the manifold $\mathcal{M}$. Here, it is necessary to describe and solve a system of defining equations, which are linear (in the coordinates of the infinitesimal operators) ordinary or partial differential equations. This usually
amounts to routine calculations, the bulk of which becomes quite large for higher-order symmetries and which cannot be performed ‘by hand’ in a reasonably short time; psychologically, this can be a factor constraining the use of the RG algorithm. However, by using methods of computer algebra at the second step of the algorithm, often allows considerably accelerating the construction of RG symmetries, as was shown in the example of the calculation of RG symmetries for equations of quasi-Chaplygin media [38]. The prospective gains can be at their greatest if analytic and symbolic calculations are combined, when a priori information about the form of the RG symmetry extracted from analytic investigations can considerably reduce the time required for symbolic calculations. Methods of symbolic calculations can be used for exact and approximate RG symmetries alike, which significantly enhances the potentialities of the RG algorithm in general. At the same time, analytic approaches used in constructing RG symmetries can be helpful in the development of new algorithms for computer algebra systems.

Finally, we indicate possible ways to extend the scope of applications of the RG algorithm. This can be achieved by covering new objects for which the use of the RG algorithm is not yet standard or by modifying the algorithm itself. One example of a new object can be an infinite system of coupled intergrodifferential equations similar to systems for correlation functions in statistical physics or to systems of equations for generalized Green’s functions, propagators, and vertex functions in quantum field theory.

As concerns modifications of the algorithm, they are connected in a natural way with the general progress in the modern group analysis. This is how it became possible to extend the RG algorithm (developed originally for physical problems described by differential equations) to nonlocal problems. Certain hopes in this direction are related to the progress in group analysis in application to generalized Green’s functions, propagators, and vertex functions in quantum field theory.
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