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Abstract: LPG / C Griya Borneo is a gas vessel with a semi-pressurized type, where the supporting components in the form of cargo handling equipment have a very big influence on the success and safety of ship operations. This is important to note because when the ship will carry out the loading process, the load temperature must be in accordance with the boiling point. High temperatures on ammonia loads can increase the pressure in the tank so that it exceeds the specified pressure limits and ammonia loads that have high temperatures need to be reliquefaction. In addition, the compressor compressor suction pressure that does not work in accordance with what is expected to affect the reliability process. This resulted in the reliquefaction process being longer than usual. The long reliquefaction process results in more fuel being used so that it costs a lot. Therefore the writer makes a thesis related to the problems experienced by the ship owned by PT Humpuss Transportasi Kimia aims to find out how much influence the compressor compressor suction pressure and tank pressure on the process of reliquefaction on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship, the place where the authors practice.
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INTRODUCTION

Gas transport vessels (Ammonia) have tanks made of stainless steel that can carry liquefied gas loads. Gas is always transported in liquid form for the simple reason that the volume of gas in liquid form is much smaller than in the form of gas if placed in a room that has the same size, based on these principles, the volume or weight of the gas charge that can be transported in liquid form
is also become bigger. Handling of liquefied gas on board a ship is needed to maintain the quality and quantity of the product being transported and of course to ensure the ship stays in a safe condition. Handling of cargo is not only necessary when loading or unloading cargo, but also as long as the cargo is transported from the loading port to the loading port, LPG / C Griya Borneo is a gas vessel with a semi-pressurized type, where the supporting components in the form of cargo handling equipment have a very big influence on the success and safety of ship operations. It is important to pay attention to the temperature and pressure conditions in the tank because the Ammonia gas is loaded in a state of outside air pressure and at low temperatures. Then the tank must be able to withstand the situation. High temperatures on the load can increase the pressure in the tank so that it exceeds the specified pressure limit.

In LPG / C Griya Borneo there is a reliquefaction plant that functions to carry out the process of cargo quenching (reliquefaction). Broadly speaking, the charge cooling system functions to change the charge in a vapor-shaped tank back into a liquid form. This system works to suck the steam from the tank then pressed until the pressure and temperature increase. Then the compressed vapor will be condensed which results in the form of liquid or called condensate which will be flowed back into the tank with a condensate return system so that it can reduce the temperature and pressure of the charge in the tank. With this, the stability of temperature and pressure in the tank must be maintained.

Compressor cargo suction pressure that does not work as expected can affect the reliquefaction process. This resulted in the reliquefaction process being longer than usual. The long reliquefaction process results in more fuel being used so that it costs a lot. Ammonia load is one type of dangerous cargo so the lack of quality of ship officers' knowledge in the operation of cargo compressor causes the load quenching process (reliquefaction) to take a long time. In addition, the lack of cargo compressor maintenance can result in the performance of the cargo compressor not in accordance with what is expected. Considering the extent of the problem regarding the reliquefaction process and to be more focused and directed in restraining, the authors limit the discussion to the effect of cargo compressor suction pressure and tank pressure on the length of the reliquefaction process.

The purpose of this research is to determine the effect of cargo compressor suction pressure on the duration of the reliquefaction process, determine the effect of tank pressure on the duration of the reliquefaction process, determine the effect of the compressor compressor suction pressure and compressor pressure together on the duration of the reliquefaction process. The benefits of this research are divided into two, namely, theoretical aspects, namely for the academic world, this research can provide knowledge about the effect of cargo compressor suction pressure and tank pressure on the length of the process of reliquefaction to the readers, officers and crew regarding the compressor compressor suction pressure and tank pressure on the duration of the reliquefaction process.

**METHOD**

**Data Description**

**Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure**
Compressor cargo suction pressure is the ability of the compressor cargo to suck the Vapor in the tank to be turned into liquid. The following is a recapitulation of cargo compressor suction pressure (X1) from voyage from August 2018 to August 2019, including:

| No. | Voyage     | X1 (Bar) |
|-----|------------|----------|
| 1   | Voyage 1   | 0.9      |
| 2   | Voyage 2   | 0.6      |
| 3   | Voyage 3   | .74      |
| 4   | Voyage 4   | 1.1      |
| 5   | Voyage 5   | 0.8      |
| 6   | Voyage 6   | 1.1      |
| 7   | Voyage 7   | 1.3      |
| 8   | Voyage 8   | 0.7      |
| 9   | Voyage 9   | 0.8      |
| 10  | Voyage 10  | 0.6      |
| 11  | Voyage 11  | 0.8      |
| 12  | Voyage 12  | 0.8      |
| 13  | Voyage 13  | .73      |
| 14  | Voyage 14  | 1.0      |
| 15  | Voyage 15  | 1.62     |
| 16  | Voyage 16  | 0.9      |
| 17  | Voyage 17  | 1.0      |
| 18  | Voyage 18  | 1.0      |
| 19  | Voyage 19  | .74      |
| 20  | Voyage 20  | 0.85     |
| 21  | Voyage 21  | 1.1      |
| 22  | Voyage 22  | 0.8      |
| 23  | Voyage 23  | 1.0      |
| 24  | Voyage 24  | .76      |
| 25  | Voyage 25  | 1.1      |
| 26  | Voyage 26  | .78      |
| 27  | Voyage 27  | .86      |
| 28  | Voyage 28  | 0.8      |
| 29  | Voyage 29  | 0.68     |
| 30  | Voyage 30  | 0.8      |
| 31  | Voyage 31  | 1.05     |
| 32  | Voyage 32  | 0.9      |
| 33  | Voyage 33  | .67      |
| 34  | Voyage 34  | 0.8      |
| 35  | Voyage 35  | .89      |
| 36  | Voyage 36  | 0.8      |

Total 31.87
Average 0.88

**Tank Pressure**

Tank pressure is the pressure at which a substance is in a liquid state at its critical temperature. The following is a recapitulation of the Load Tank (X2) from August 2018 to August 2019, including:
### Table 2 Tank Pressure from August 2018 to August 2019

| No. | Voyage  | X2 (Bar) |
|-----|---------|----------|
| 1   | Voyage 1 | 0.62     |
| 2   | Voyage 2 | 0.32     |
| 3   | Voyage 3 | 0.4      |
| 4   | Voyage 4 | 0.89     |
| 5   | Voyage 5 | 0.6      |
| 6   | Voyage 6 | 0.69     |
| 7   | Voyage 7 | 1.19     |
| 8   | Voyage 8 | 0.36     |
| 9   | Voyage 9 | 0.42     |
| 10  | Voyage 10| 0.25     |
| 11  | Voyage 11| 0.25     |
| 12  | Voyage 12| 0.53     |
| 13  | Voyage 13| 0.45     |
| 14  | Voyage 14| 0.9      |
| 15  | Voyage 15| 1.07     |
| 16  | Voyage 16| 0.55     |
| 17  | Voyage 17| 0.83     |
| 18  | Voyage 18| 0.69     |
| 19  | Voyage 19| 0.19     |
| 20  | Voyage 20| 0.44     |
| 21  | Voyage 21| 0.94     |
| 22  | Voyage 22| 0.25     |
| 23  | Voyage 23| 0.7      |
| 24  | Voyage 24| 0.48     |
| 25  | Voyage 25| 0.9      |
| 26  | Voyage 26| 0.51     |
| 27  | Voyage 27| 0.31     |
| 28  | Voyage 28| 0.5      |
| 29  | Voyage 29| 0.23     |
| 30  | Voyage 30| 0.4      |
| 31  | Voyage 31| 0.88     |
| 32  | Voyage 32| 0.61     |
| 33  | Voyage 33| 0.45     |
| 34  | Voyage 34| 0.25     |
| 35  | Voyage 35| 0.66     |
| 36  | Voyage 36| 0.6      |

**Total** 20.31  
**Average** 0.56

**The duration of the Reliquefaction Process**

Reliquefaction is the process of melting vapor into liquid on a Gas Carrier that aims to maintain the pressure and temperature in the tank. On the Gas Carrier vapor ship is counted as a cargo, the vapor must be reliquefaction so that it turns into liquid again. Reliquefaction takes a long time if the reliquefaction plant does not work optimally.

The following is a recapitulation of the length of the reliquefaction (Y) process from August 2018 to August 2019, including:
Table 3 Length of the Reliquefaction Process from August 2018 to August 2019

| No. | Voyage | Y (Hours) |
|-----|--------|-----------|
| 1   | Voyage 1 | 12        |
| 2   | Voyage 2 | 6         |
| 3   | Voyage 3 | 8         |
| 4   | Voyage 4 | 20        |
| 5   | Voyage 5 | 13        |
| 6   | Voyage 6 | 14        |
| 7   | Voyage 7 | 25        |
| 8   | Voyage 8 | 7         |
| 9   | Voyage 9 | 10        |
| 10  | Voyage 10| 6         |
| 11  | Voyage 11| 8         |
| 12  | Voyage 12| 11        |
| 13  | Voyage 13| 7         |
| 14  | Voyage 14| 18        |
| 15  | Voyage 15| 30        |
| 16  | Voyage 16| 11        |
| 17  | Voyage 17| 19        |
| 18  | Voyage 18| 14        |
| 19  | Voyage 19| 6         |
| 20  | Voyage 20| 10        |
| 21  | Voyage 21| 21        |
| 22  | Voyage 22| 8         |
| 23  | Voyage 23| 18        |
| 24  | Voyage 24| 8         |
| 25  | Voyage 25| 18        |
| 26  | Voyage 26| 10        |
| 27  | Voyage 27| 10        |
| 28  | Voyage 28| 12        |
| 29  | Voyage 29| 5         |
| 30  | Voyage 30| 10        |
| 31  | Voyage 31| 18        |
| 32  | Voyage 32| 12        |
| 33  | Voyage 33| 7         |
| 34  | Voyage 34| 8         |
| 35  | Voyage 35| 13        |
| 36  | Voyage 36| 12        |
|     | Total   | 445       |
|     | Average | 12.36     |

Research time
This research was conducted at the time the authors conducted Marine Practices on LPG / C Griya Borneo ships from 20 August 2018 to 27 August 2019.

Place of Research
This research was conducted by the author on the LPG / C Griya Borneo Ship
Approach Method

In this study using a quantitative data approach method. In this study the data obtained and analyzed in the form of secondary data, that is data that has been obtained from reading scientific literature that has a close relationship with the object of research, namely the length of the process of reliquifaction. For example, the authors get data on compressor compressor suction pressure in 2019, tank pressure in 2019 and definitions of understanding from reference books in the library, etc.

Data collection technique

In completing this thesis the author collects data and information needed to complete this thesis material by using "Field Research". Field research is research to obtain the necessary data through direct observation and interviews as well as taking secondary data about LPG / C Griya Borneo ships. In this field research using the following techniques.

a. Observation

Observation is a method of collecting data where research records information as they witnessed it during the study. Witnessing these events can be seen, heard, felt and then recorded subjectively. (Gulo, 2002: 116).

Maybe people often interpret the observation of a narrow asset, which is paying attention to something using the eyes. In the sense of psychology, observation or also called observation includes the activity of loading attention to an object by using all the senses. So observing can be done through vision, smell, hearing, touch, and taste. What this says is actually a direct observation (Prof. Dr. Suharsimi Arikunto, 2006: 156) In this technique, the author uses research directly by visiting the place under study. In this observation researchers looked directly and observed the activities of reliquifaction. Where in the observation section, the authors observe the results of the rally activity and pay attention to the compressor compressor suction pressure and pressure tank.

b. Documentation

Documents are records of various activities or events in the past, all documents relating to the relevant research need to be recorded as a source of information (Gulo, 2002:123).

According to Prof. Dr. Suharsimi Arikunto (2006: 158), documentation comes from the origin of said documents which means written goods.

In carrying out the method of documentation, researchers investigate written objects such as books, magazines, documents, regulations, minutes of meetings, diaries, and so on.

From the study of this document the authors obtain data in the form of a recapitulation of the activities of the 2019 reliquifaction, in which there are data in the form of a compressor cargo suction pressure, tank pressure and time of the rally.

c. Literature review

Namely the collection of data by reading, viewing, researching, quoting from the books or references presented, input or material consideration and comparison regarding what can be seen from existing theories.

This literature study aims to obtain the basics of theory by reading books including regulations and other documents relating to the problem to be discussed.

In taking data by means of literature study taken from books and references, among others:
1. Liquified Gas Handling Principles on Ship's and Terminals, 4th Edition, McGuire and White.
2. Tanker Safety Guide (Liquified Gas), 3rd Edition, International Chamber of Shipping.
3. Properties of Gases and Liquids
4. Mechanical Engineering Cooling
5. Operational Manual Cargo Handling System - Cryogas Vol 1 & 2

**RESEARCH SUBJECT**

The population consists of a collection of objects that are the center of attention, which contain information that wants to be known (Gulo, 2002: 76). The sample is a subset of a population, the sample provides a true picture of the population. Taking a sample from a population is called sampling. The population that is sampled when planning a study is called the target population, while the population that is studied when conducting research is called the sampling population (Gulo, 2002:78)

In this case, the population taken by the author in the preparation of this thesis is the data of all reliquefaction activities and the data taken is compressor compressor suction pressure and tank pressure.

**Results and Discussion**

The following is a recapitulation of compressor compressor suction pressure (X1), tank pressure (X2) and the length of the reliquefaction (Y) process from August 2018 to August 2019, including:

| Voyage  | X1 (Bar) | X2 (Bar) | Y (Hours) |
|---------|----------|----------|-----------|
| Voyage 1| 0.9      | 0.62     | 12        |
| Voyage 2| 0.6      | 0.32     | 6         |
| Voyage 3| .74      | 0.4      | 8         |
| Voyage 4| 1.1      | .89      | 20        |
| Voyage 5| 0.8      | 0.6      | 13        |
| Voyage 6| 1.1      | 0.69     | 14        |
| Voyage 7| 1.3      | 1.19     | 25        |
| Voyage 8| 0.7      | .36      | 7         |
| Voyage 9| 0.8      | 0.42     | 10        |
| Voyage 10| 0.6    | 0.25     | 6         |
| Voyage 11| 0.8     | 0.25     | 8         |
| Voyage 12| 0.8    | 0.53     | 11        |
| Voyage 13| .73    | 0.45     | 7         |
| Voyage 14| 1       | 0.9      | 18        |
| Voyage 15| 1.62  | 1.07     | 30        |
| Voyage 16| 0.9     | 0.55     | 11        |
| Voyage 17| 1      | .83      | 19        |
| Voyage 18| 1      | 0.69     | 14        |
| Voyage 19| .74    | .19      | 6         |
| Voyage 20| 0.85  | 0.44     | 10        |
| Voyage 21| 1.1    | .94      | 21        |
| Voyage 22| 0.8    | 0.25     | 8         |
| Voyage 23| 1      | 0.7      | 18        |
| Voyage   | X   | Y   | X1Y   | (X1)^2 | Y^2  |
|---------|-----|-----|-------|--------|------|
| 24      | .76 | 0.48| 8     |         |      |
| 25      | 1.1 | 0.9 | 18    |         |      |
| 26      | .78 | 0.51| 10    |         |      |
| 27      | .86 | 0.31| 10    |         |      |
| 28      | 0.8 | 0.5 | 12    |         |      |
| 29      | 0.68| 0.23| 5     |         |      |
| 30      | 0.8 | 0.4 | 10    |         |      |
| 31      | 1.05| 0.88| 18    |         |      |
| 32      | 0.9 | 0.61| 12    |         |      |
| 33      | .67 | 0.45| 7     |         |      |
| 34      | 0.8 | 0.25| 8     |         |      |
| 35      | .89 | 0.66| 13    |         |      |
| 36      | 0.8 | 0.6 | 12    |         |      |
| Total   | 31.87| 20.31| 445  |        |      |
| Average | 0.88| 0.56| 12.36 |        |      |

The Relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure (X1) and the Length of Reliquefaction (Y)

Table 5 Results of Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure Analysis (X1) against The Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y)

| X   | Y   | X1Y   | (X1)^2 | Y^2  |
|-----|-----|-------|--------|------|
| .9  | 12  | 10.8  | .81    | 144  |
| .6  | 6   | 3.6   | .36    | 36   |
| .74 | 8   | 5.92  | 0.5476 | 64   |
| 1.1 | 20  | 22    | 1.21   | 400  |
| 0.8 | 13  | 10.4  | 0.64   | 169  |
| 1.1 | 14  | 15.4  | 1.21   | 196  |
| 1.3 | 25  | 32.5  | 1.69   | 625  |
| 0.7 | 7   | 4.9   | 0.49   | 49   |
| 0.8 | 10  | 8     | 0.64   | 100  |
| 0.6 | 6   | 3.6   | .36    | 36   |
| 0.8 | 8   | 6.4   | 0.64   | 64   |
| 0.8 | 11  | 8.8   | 0.64   | 121  |
| 0.73| 7   | 5.11  | 0.5329 | 49   |
| 1   | 18  | 18    | 1      | 324  |
| 1.62| 30  | 48.6  | 2.6244 | 900  |
| 0.9 | 11  | 9.9   | .81    | 121  |
| 1   | 19  | 19    | 1      | 361  |
| 1   | 14  | 14    | 1      | 196  |
| 0.74| 6   | 4.44  | 0.5476 | 36   |
| 0.85| 10  | 8.5   | .7225  | 100  |
| 1.1 | 21  | 23.1  | 1.21   | 441  |
| 0.8 | 8   | 6.4   | 0.64   | 64   |
| 1   | 18  | 18    | 1      | 324  |
| 0.76| 8   | 6.08  | 0.5776 | 64   |
| 1.1 | 18  | 19.8  | 1.21   | 324  |
| 0.78| 10  | 7.8   | 0.6084 | 100  |
| 0.86| 10  | 8.6   | 0.7396 | 100  |
| 0.8 | 12  | 9.6   | 0.64   | 144  |

Available Online: [https://dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS](https://dinastipub.org/DIJEMSS)
Calculation of the correlation between the variables X1 and Y. Based on the table above, the numbers can be obtained through statistics as follows:

### Validity test
Validity test is performed to determine the level of reliability and validity of the compressor compressor (X1) suction pressure variable for the length of the reliquefaction (Y) process, using the same validity formula as the correlation coefficient formula as follows.

\[
r_{x1y} = \frac{n \cdot \sum X_1Y - \sum X_1 \cdot \sum Y}{\sqrt{n \cdot \sum X_1^2 - (\sum X_1)^2} \cdot \sqrt{n \cdot \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2}}.
\]

\[
r_{x1y} = \frac{(36) \cdot 432.61 - (31.87) \cdot (445)}{(36) \sqrt{29,6365 - (31.87)^2} \cdot \sqrt{6671 - (445)^2}}
\]

\[
r_{x1y} = \frac{1.066,914 - 1.015,6969 \cdot 240.156 - 198.025}{1.391,81}
\]

\[
r_{x1y} = \frac{7,1566 \cdot 205,2583}{1.391,81}
\]

\[
r_{x1y} = \frac{1.468,9515}{1.391,81}
\]

\[
r_{x1y} = 0.94
\]

Can be concluded:

If \( r \) arithmetic \(< r \) table, the research statement is invalid.
If \( r \) count \(> r \) table, then the research statement is said to be valid.
To prove the validity of the compressed cargo suction pressure variable (X1) to the length of the reliquefaction process (Y) according to the calculation obtained on the correlation coefficient number of (0.94), so that the validity number of (0.94) is done is to find the count that is based on the criteria with the provisions of df (degree of freedom) with the value of n (number of samples) known 36 (thirty six). df = n-2 then it becomes df = 36-2 that is 34 then compared rtable at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); then it is 0.339 (from rtable).
Then, the results obtained are $r_1 = r_{\text{count}} = 0.94$, because $r_{\text{count}} > r_{\text{table}} (0.94 > 0.339)$. So it can be concluded that the compressor compressor suction pressure variable ($X_1$) over the duration of the reliquefaction ($Y$) process is declared valid.

**Reliability Test**

In this study, the authors did not use the reliability test because the data I used were secondary data not based on a research questionnaire.

**Regression Correlation Coefficient Analysis**

To find out the strength or weakness of the relationship between the variables $X_1$ and $Y$, then this analysis will find the value of $r$ (correlation coefficient), namely by the formula:

Regression Correlation Coefficient Formula = Validity Test Formula

$$r_{x_1y} = \frac{n \cdot \Sigma X_1 Y - \Sigma X_1 \Sigma Y}{\sqrt{n \cdot \Sigma X_1^2 - (\Sigma X_1)^2}} \sqrt{n \cdot \Sigma Y^2 - (\Sigma Y)^2}.$$  

$$r_{x_1y} = 0.94$$

From the above calculation, the correlation coefficient figure is (0.947), it means that there is a strong influence between the compressor compressor suction pressure on the length of the reliquefaction process. If the correlation results are positive, it can be interpreted if the compressor suction pressure of the compressor increases then it takes a long time to reliquefaction. Vice versa, if there is a decrease in the cargo compressor suction pressure it will not require a short time reliquefaction.

**Determination Coefficient Analysis (KD = R2)**

Analysis of the coefficient of determination is to find out how much the contribution of the compressor cargo suction pressure ($X_1$) to the duration of the reliquefaction ($Y$) process is used the coefficient of determination formula as follows:

$$\text{KD} = r^2 \times 100\%$$

$$\text{KD} = (0.94)^2 \times 100\%$$

$$\text{BC} = 0.88 \times 100\%$$

$$\text{KD} = 88\%$$

Information:

- $r_{xy}$ = Correlation coefficient X and Y
- KD = Coefficient of determination

With a regression line value ($r^2 = 0.88$) close to 1, it is said to be feasible to use. Then the coefficient of determination is 88% shows that the value is worth continuing to predict by using a regression formula where 88% of the cargo compressor suction pressure affects the duration of the reliquefaction process and 12% by other factors.

**Hypothesis testing**

Hypothesis testing about the correlation coefficient. Judging from the calculation of the correlation coefficient, determination and regression lines, then the hypothesis test can be done to
determine the effect of the compressor compressor suction pressure on the length of the process of reliquefaction on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

1) Partial Correlation Coefficient Test (T Test)
Hypothesis tests used by the authors are as follows:
If \( t_{\text{count}} < t_{\text{table}} \) then \( H_0 \) is accepted and \( H_1 \) is rejected, it means that there is no significant relationship between compressor compressor suction pressure on the length of the reliquefaction process on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.
If \( t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{table}} \), then \( H_0 \) is rejected and \( H_1 \) is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between compressor compressor suction pressure on the length of the process of reliquefaction on the LPG / C Griya Borneo Vessel.
To prove that \( H_1 \) is accepted or rejected, then what is done is to find \( t_{\text{count}} \) by entering the value \( r \) into the formula, the value of \( n \) (number of samples) is known 36 (three six), then compared to the table at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); \( df = nk \) where \( k \) is the number of variables (free + bound) and \( n \) is the number of observations / samples forming the regression. So \( df = 36 - 2 = 34 \) is 1.69092 (from the table).
\[
t_2 = t_{\text{count}} = 15.82
\]
\[
\frac{r \sqrt{n - 2}}{\sqrt{1 - (r)^2}} = \frac{(0.94) \sqrt{36 - 2}}{\sqrt{1 - (0.94)^2}} = \frac{(0.94) \sqrt{34}}{\sqrt{1 - 0.88}} = \frac{(0.94) \cdot 5.83}{\sqrt{0.12}} = \frac{5.4802}{0.3464}
\]
Then, the results obtained are \( t_1 = t_{\text{count}} = 15.82 \), because \( t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{table}} (15.82 > 1.69092) \).
So \( H_0 \) is rejected and \( H_1 \) is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between \( X_1 \) and \( Y \). So that there is a significant relationship between the compressed cargo suction pressure on the length of the process of reliquefaction on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

2) Simultaneous Correlation Coefficient Test (Test F)
Hypothesis tests used by the authors are as follows:
If the count is \( < f_{\text{table}} \), then \( H_0 \) is accepted and \( H_1 \) is rejected, meaning that there is no significant relationship between the compressor cargo suction pressure on the length of the reliquefaction process on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.
If the count is \( > f_{\text{table}} \), then \( H_0 \) is rejected and \( H_1 \) is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between the compressor cargo suction pressure on the length of the reliquefaction process on the LPG/C Griya Borneo ship.
To prove that \( H_1 \) is accepted or rejected, then what is done is to find \( f_{\text{count}} \) that is by entering the value \( r \) into the formula, the value of \( n \) (number of samples), degree of freedom (df) for the numerator or known as \( df_1 \) with the symbol \( N_1 \), degree of freedom (df) for the denominator, also known as \( df_1 \) with the symbol \( N_2 \) then compared \( f_{\text{table}} \) at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); \( df_1 = k - 1; df_2 = nk \) where \( k \) is the number of variables (free + bound) and \( n \) is the number of observations / samples forming the regression. Countable:
\[
df_1 = k - 1
\]
\[
df_2 = n - k
\]
\[
= 36 - 2 = 34
\]
So that \(N_1 = 1\) and \(N_2 = 34\) then \(f_{table} = 4.13\).

\[
f_1 = f_{count} = \frac{r^2}{k} = \frac{0.94^2/2}{1- (0.94)^2/(36-2-1)} = \frac{0.88/2}{1-0.88/33} = \frac{0.44}{0.0036} = 122.2
\]

Then, the results obtained are \(f_1 = f_{count} = 122.2\), because \(f_{count} > f_{table}\) (122.2 > 4.13). So Ho is rejected and H1 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between \(X_1\) and \(Y\). So that there is a significant relationship between compressed cargo suction pressure on the length of the reliquefaction process on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

**Correlation between Tank Pressure (X2) and the Length of the Reliquefaction (Y) Process**

**Table 6**

| X2  | Y  | X2Y | (X2) 2 | Y2  |
|-----|----|-----|--------|-----|
| 0.62| 12 | 7.44 | 0.3844 | 144 |
| 0.32| 6  | 1.92 | 0.1024 | 36  |
| 0.4 | 8  | 3.2  | 0.16   | 64  |
| .89 | 20 | 17.8 | .7921  | 400 |
| 0.6 | 13 | 7.8  | .36    | 169 |
| 0.69| 14 | 9.66 | 0.4761 | 196 |
| 1.19| 25 | 29.75| 1.4161 | 625 |
| .36 | 7  | 2.52 | 0.1296 | 49  |
| 0.42| 10 | 4.2  | 0.1764 | 100 |
| 0.25| 6  | 1.5  | 0.0625 | 36  |
| 0.25| 8  | 2    | 0.0625 | 64  |
| 0.53| 11 | 5.83 | 0.2809 | 121 |
| 0.45| 7  | 3.15 | 0.2025 | 49  |
| 0.9 | 18 | 16.2 | .81    | 324 |
| 1.07| 30 | 32.1 | 1.1449 | 900 |
| .83 | 19 | 15.77| .6889  | 361 |
| 0.69| 14 | 9.66 | 0.4761 | 196 |
| .19 | 6  | 1.14 | 0.0361 | 36  |
| 0.44| 10 | 4.4  | 0.1936 | 100 |
| .94 | 21 | 19.74| .8836  | 441 |
| 0.25| 8  | 2    | 0.0625 | 64  |
| 0.7 | 18 | 12.6 | .49    | 324 |
| 0.48| 8  | 3.84 | 0.2304 | 64  |
| 0.9 | 18 | 16.2 | .81    | 324 |
| 0.51| 10 | 5.1  | 0.2601 | 100 |
| 0.31| 10 | 3.1  | 0.0961 | 100 |
| 0.5 | 12 | 6    | 0.25   | 144 |
| 0.23| 5  | 1.15 | 0.0529 | 25  |
| 0.4 | 10 | 4    | .16    | 100 |
| 0.88| 18 | 15.84| .7744  | 324 |
| 0.61| 12 | 7.32 | .3721  | 144 |
| 0.45| 7  | 3.15 | 0.2025 | 49  |
| 0.25| 8  | 2    | 0.0625 | 64  |
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Calculation of the correlation between the variables X2 and Y variables. Based on the table above, the numbers can be obtained through statistics as follows:

Validity test
Validity test is carried out to determine the level of reliability and validity of the tank pressure variable (X2) for the length of the reliquefaction (Y) process using the same validity formula with the correlation coefficient formula as follows.

\[
r_{x_2y} = \frac{n \cdot \sum X_2 Y - \sum X_2 \cdot \sum Y}{\sqrt{n \cdot \sum X_2^2 - (\sum X_2)^2} \sqrt{n \cdot \sum Y^2 - (\sum Y)^2}}.
\]

\[
r_{x_2y} = \frac{(36) \cdot (299.91) - (20.31) \cdot (445)}{\sqrt{(36) \cdot 137603 - (20.31)^2} \cdot \sqrt{(36) \cdot 6671 - (445)^2}}
\]

\[
r_{x_2y} = \frac{4953708 - 4124961.156 - 198025}{1.75805}
\]

\[
r_{x_2y} = \sqrt{828747} \cdot 1.75805
\]

\[
r_{x_2y} = \frac{(9.0817)(2052583)}{1.75805}
\]

\[
r_{x_2y} = 1.86409
\]

\[
r_{x_2y} = 0.94
\]

Can be concluded:
If \( r \) arithmetic < \( r \) table, the research statement is invalid.
If \( r \) count > \( r \) table, then the research statement is said to be valid.

To prove the validity of the tank pressure variable (X2) against the length of the reliquefaction process (Y) according to the calculations obtained on the correlation coefficient of (0.94), so that the validity number of (0.94) is done is to find the rcount that is based on criteria with the provisions of df (degree of freedom) with the value of n (number of samples) known 36 (twelve), \( df = n-2 \) then it becomes \( df = 36-2 \) that is 34 then compared to rtable at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); then it is 0.339 (from rtable).

Then, the results obtained are \( r_1 = r \) count = 0.94, because \( r \) count > \( r \) table (0.94 > 0.339). So it can be concluded that the tank pressure variable (X2) over the duration of the reliquefaction (Y) process is declared valid.

Reliability Test
In this study, the authors did not use the reliability test because the data I used were secondary data not based on a research questionnaire.
Regression Correlation Coefficient Analysis

To find out the strength or weakness of the relationship between X2 and Y variables, then this analysis will find out the value of r (correlation coefficient), namely by the formula:

Regression Correlation Coefficient Formula = Validity Test Formula

\[ r_{x2y} = \frac{n \cdot \Sigma X_2 Y - \Sigma X_2 \cdot \Sigma Y}{\sqrt{n \cdot \Sigma X_2^2 - (\Sigma X_2)^2} \sqrt{n \cdot \Sigma Y^2 - (\Sigma Y)^2}}. \]

\[ r_{x2y} = 0.94 \]

From the above calculation, the correlation coefficient figure is (0.941), it means that there is a strong influence between the Tank Pressure on the length of the reliquefaction process. If the correlation results are positive, it can be interpreted if the tank pressure increases, the duration of the reliquefaction process will also take a long time. Vice versa, if the tank pressure decreases, the duration of the reliquefaction process will be faster.

Determination Coefficient Analysis (KD = R2)

Analysis of the coefficient of determination is to find out how much the contribution of the variable berth output (X2) to Utilization of conventional dock (Y) used the coefficient of determination formula as follows:

\[ KD = r^2 x 100\% \]
\[ BC = (0.94) 2 x 100\% \]
\[ BC = 0.88 X 100\% \]
\[ KD = 88\% \]

Information:
KD = Coefficient of determination
rxy = Correlation coefficient X and Y

With a regression line value (r2 = 0.88) close to 1, it is said to be feasible to use. Then the coefficient of determination is 88% shows that the value is worth continuing to predict using a regression formula where 88% of the tank pressure affects the duration of the reliquefaction process and 12% by other factors.

Hypothesis testing

Hypothesis testing about the correlation coefficient. Judging from the calculation of the correlation coefficient, determination and regression lines, then the hypothesis test can be done to determine the effect of tank pressure on the length of the process of reliquefaction on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

1) Partial Correlation Coefficient Test (T Test)

Hypothesis tests used by the authors are as follows:
If \( t_{\text{count}} < t_{\text{table}} \), Ho is accepted and H2 is rejected, meaning that there is no significant relationship between tank pressure and the duration of the reliquefaction process on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

If \( t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{table}} \), then Ho is rejected and H2 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between the tank pressure and the duration of the reliquefaction on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

To prove that H2 is accepted or rejected, then what is done is to find \( t_{\text{counts}} \) by entering the value \( r \) into the formula, the value of \( n \) (number of samples) is known 36 (twelve), then compared to the table at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); \( df = nk \) where \( k \) is the number of variables (free + bound) and \( n \) is the number of observations / samples forming the regression. So \( df = 36 - 2 = 34 \) is 1.69092 (from the table).

\[
t_2 = t_{\text{count}} = 15.82
\]

Then, the results obtained are \( t_2 = t_{\text{count}} = 15.82 \), because \( t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{table}} \) (15.82 > 1.69092). So Ho is rejected and H2 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between \( X_2 \) and \( Y \). So there is a significant relationship between the tank pressure on the length of the process of reliquefaction on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

2) Simultaneous Correlation Coefficient Test (Test F)

Hypothesis tests used by the authors are as follows:

If the count is \( < f_{\text{table}} \), then Ho is accepted and H2 is rejected, meaning that there is no significant relationship between the tank pressure and the length of the reliquefaction process on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

If the count is \( > f_{\text{table}} \), then Ho is rejected and H2 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between tank pressure and the length of the reliquefaction process on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

To prove that H2 is accepted or rejected, then what is done is to find \( f_{\text{count}} \) that is by entering the value \( r \) into the formula, the value of \( n \) (number of samples), degree of freedom \( (df) \) for the numerator or known as \( df_1 \) with the symbol \( N_1 \), degree of freedom \( (df) \) for the denominator, also known as \( df_1 \) with the symbol \( N_2 \) then compared \( f_{\text{table}} \) at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); \( df_1 = k - 1 \); \( df_2 = nk \) where \( k \) is the number of variables (free + bound) and \( n \) is the number of observations / samples forming the regression. Countable:

\[
df_1 = k - 1
\]
\[
df_2 = n - k
\]
\[
= 36 - 2 = 34
\]

So that \( N_1 = 1 \) and \( N_2 = 34 \) then \( f_{\text{table}} = 4.13 \)

\[
f_2 = f_{\text{count}}
\]

\[
f_{\text{count}} = \frac{r^2/k}{1 - r^2/(n-k-1)} = \frac{(0.94)^2/2}{1 - (0.94)^2/(36 - 2 - 1)} = \frac{0.88/2}{1 - 0.88/33} = \frac{0.44}{0.0036} = 122.2
\]

Then, the results obtained are \( f_2 = f_{\text{count}} = 122.2 \), because \( f_{\text{count}} > f_{\text{table}} \) (122.2 > 4.13). So Ho is rejected and H2 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between \( X_2 \) and \( Y \). So there is a significant relationship between the tank pressure on the length of the process of reliquefaction on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.
Correlation of Relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2)

Table 7 Results of Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure Analysis (X1) Against Tank Pressure (X2)

| X1 | X2 | X1 X2 | (X1) 2 | (X2) 2 |
|----|----|-------|-------|-------|
| 0.9 | 0.62 | 0.558 | .81   | 0.3844 |
| 0.6 | 0.32 | .192  | .36   | .1024  |
| .74 | 0.4 | .296  | 0.5476 | .16   |
| 1.1 | .89 | .979  | 1.21  | .7921  |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.48  | 0.64  | .36    |
| 1.1 | 0.69 | 0.759 | 1.21  | 0.4761 |
| 1.3 | 1.19 | 1.547 | 1.69  | 1.4161 |
| 0.7 | .36 | 0.252 | 0.49  | 0.1296 |
| 0.8 | 0.42 | 0.336 | 0.64  | 0.1764 |
| 0.6 | 0.25 | .15  | .36   | 0.0625 |
| 0.8 | 0.25 | 0.2  | 0.64  | 0.0625 |
| 0.8 | 0.53 | 0.424 | 0.64  | 0.2809 |
| .73 | 0.45 | 0.3285 | 0.5329 | 0.2025 |
| 1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1 | .81 |
| 1.62 | 1.07 | 1.7334 | 2.6244 | 1.1449 |
| 0.9 | 0.55 | 0.495 | .81  | 0.3025 |
| 1 | .83 | .83 | 1 | .6889 |
| 1 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 1 | 0.4761 |
| .74 | .19 | 0.1406 | 0.5476 | 0.0361 |
| 0.85 | 0.44 | .374 | .7225 | 0.1936 |
| 1.1 | .94 | 1.034 | 1.21 | .8836 |
| 0.8 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.64 | 0.0625 |
| 1 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.49 |
| .76 | 0.48 | .3648 | 0.5776 | 0.2304 |
| 1.1 | 0.9 | .99 | 1.21 | .81 |
| .78 | 0.51 | 0.3978 | 0.6084 | 0.2601 |
| .86 | 0.31 | 0.2666 | 0.7396 | 0.0961 |
| 0.8 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.64 | 0.25 |
| 0.68 | 0.23 | 0.1564 | .4624 | 0.0529 |
| 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.32 | 0.64 | .16 |
| 1.05 | 0.88 | 0.924 | 1.1025 | .7744 |
| 0.9 | 0.61 | 0.549 | .81  | .3721 |
| .67 | 0.45 | 0.3015 | 0.4489 | 0.2025 |
| 0.8 | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.64 | 0.0625 |
| .89 | 0.66 | 0.5874 | .7921 | 0.4356 |
| 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.48 | 0.64 | .36 |
| 31.87 | 20.31 | 19.536 | 29.6365 | 13.7603 |
Calculation of the correlation between the variables X1 and X2 variables. Based on the table above, the numbers can be obtained through the following statistics:

### Validity Test

Validity test is carried out to determine the level of reliability and validity of the compressor compressor suction pressure variable (X1) to the tank pressure (X2) used the same validity formula with the correlation coefficient formula as follows.

\[
\begin{align*}
 r_{x1x2} &= \frac{n \cdot \sum X_1 X_2 - \sum X_1 \cdot \sum X_2}{\sqrt{n \cdot \sum X_1^2 - (\sum X_1)^2} \sqrt{n \cdot \sum X_2^2 - (\sum X_2)^2}} \\
 &= \frac{n \cdot 36 - (31,87) (20,31)}{\sqrt{36 \cdot 29,6365 - (31,87)^2} \sqrt{36 \cdot 13,7603 - (20,31)^2}} \\
 &= \frac{1,066,914 - 1,015,6969}{57,0163} \\
 &= \frac{703,296 - 646,2797}{57,0163} \\
 &= \frac{495,3708 - 412,4961}{57,0163} \\
 &= \frac{65,065}{57,0163} \\
 &= 0.86
\end{align*}
\]

Can be concluded:

If \( r \) arithmetic < \( r \) table, the research statement is invalid.
If \( r \) count > \( r \) table, then the research statement is said to be valid.

To prove the validity test of the Cargo Compressor Suction Pressure variable (X1) to the Tank Pressure (X2) according to the calculation obtained on the correlation coefficient of (0.86), so that the validity number of (0.86) is to do is to find a count that is based on the criteria with the provisions of df (degree of freedom) with the value of n (number of samples) known 36 (twelve), df = n-2 then it becomes df = 36-2 that is 34 then compared to \( r \)table at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); then it is 0.576 (from \( r \)table).

Then, the results obtained are \( r_3 = r \) count = 0.86, because \( r \) count > \( r \) table (0.86 > 0.339). So it can be concluded that the variable Pressure Cargo Compressor Suction (X1) against Tank Pressure (X2) is declared valid.

### Reliability Test

In this study, the authors did not use the reliability test because the data I used were secondary data not based on a research questionnaire.

### Regression Correlation Coefficient Analysis
To find out the strength or weakness of the relationship between variable X1 and variable X2, then this analysis will find out the value of r (correlation coefficient), namely by the formula:

Regression Correlation Coefficient Formula = Validity Test Formula

\[
    r_{x_1x_2} = \frac{n \cdot \sum X_1 X_2 - \sum X_1 \cdot \sum X_2}{\sqrt{n \cdot \sum X_1^2 - (\sum X_1)^2} \sqrt{n \cdot \sum X_2^2 - (\sum X_2)^2}}.
\]

\[r_{x_1x_2} = 0.86\]

From the above calculation, the correlation coefficient figure of (0.86) is obtained, it means that there is a very strong influence between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure on Tank Pressure. If the correlation results are positive, it can be interpreted if the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure increases, it will also increase the Tank Pressure. Vice versa, if there is a decrease in Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure it will reduce the Tank Pressure.

**Determination Coefficient Analysis (KD = R2)**

Analysis of the coefficient of determination is to find out how much the contribution of the Cargo Compressor Suction Pressure (X1) to Tank Pressure (X2) used the coefficient of determination formula as follows:

\[KD = r^2 \times 100\%\]

\[BC = (0.86)^2 \times 100\%\]

\[BC = 0.73 \times 100\%\]

\[KD = 73\%\]

Information :

KD = Coefficient of determination

\[r_{xy} = \text{Correlation coefficient X and Y}\]

With a regression line value \(r^2 = 0.73\) close to 1, it is said to be feasible to use. Then the coefficient of determination is 73% shows that the value is worth continuing to predict using a regression formula where 73% of the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure affects the Tank Pressure and 27% by other factors.

**Hypothesis testing**

Hypothesis testing about the correlation coefficient. Judging from the calculation of the correlation coefficient, determination and regression lines, then the hypothesis test can be done to determine the effect of Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure on Tank Pressure on LPG / C Griya Borneo Vessels.

Partial Correlation Coefficient Test (T Test)

Hypothesis tests used by the authors are as follows:
If \( t_{\text{count}} < t_{\text{table}} \), then \( H_0 \) is accepted and \( H_3 \) is rejected, meaning that there is no significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure to Tank Pressure on the Griya Borneo LPG / C.

If \( t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{table}} \), then \( H_0 \) is rejected and \( H_3 \) is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on Griya Borneo LPG / C. To prove that \( H_3 \) is accepted or rejected, then what is done is to look for \( t \) arithmetic by step in entering the value \((r)\) into the formula, the value of \( n \) (number of samples) is known 36 (thirty six), then compared to the table at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); \( df = nk \) where \( k \) is the number of variables (free + bound) and \( n \) is the number of observations / samples forming the regression. So \( df = 36 - 2 = 34 \) is 1.69092 (from the table).

\[
t_3 = \frac{r \sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{1-(r)^2}} = \frac{(0.86)\sqrt{36-2}}{\sqrt{1-(0.86)^2}} = \frac{(0.86)\sqrt{34}}{\sqrt{1-0.73}} = \frac{0.86 \times 5.83}{\sqrt{0.27}} = \frac{5.0138}{0.519} = 9.66
\]

Then, the results obtained are \( t_3 = t_{\text{count}} = 9.66 \), because \( t_{\text{count}} > t_{\text{table}} \). So \( H_0 \) is rejected and \( H_3 \) is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \). So there is a significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on LPG / C Griya Borneo.

1) Simultaneous Correlation Coefficient Test (Test F)
Hypothesis tests used by the authors are as follows:
If the count is \( < f_{\text{table}} \), then \( H_0 \) is accepted and \( H_3 \) is rejected, meaning that there is no significant relationship between the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure to the Tank Pressure on the Griya Borneo LPG / C.
If the count is \( > f_{\text{table}} \), then \( H_0 \) is rejected and \( H_3 \) is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure to the Tank Pressure on the Griya Borneo LPG / C.

To prove that \( H_3 \) is accepted or rejected, what is done is to look for \( f_{\text{count}} \), namely by entering the value \((r)\) into the formula, the value of \( n \) (number of samples), degree of freedom (df) for the numerator or known as \( df_1 \) with the symbol \( N_1 \), degree of freedom (df) for the denominator, also known as \( df_1 \) with the symbol \( N_2 \) then compared \( f_{\text{table}} \) at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); \( df_1 = k-1 \); \( df_2 = nk \) where \( k \) is the number of variables (free + bound) and \( n \) is the number of observations / samples forming the regression. Countable:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{df}_1 & = k-1 \\
\text{df}_2 & = n - k \\
& = 36 - 2 = 34
\end{align*}
\]

So that \( N_1 = 1 \) and \( N_2 = 10 \) then \( f_{\text{table}} = 4.13 \).

\[
f_3 = f_{\text{count}}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{f}_3 & = \frac{r^2}{\frac{1-r^2}{N-k-1}} \\
& = \frac{(0.86)^2/36}{1-(0.86)^2/36-2-1} \\
& = \frac{0.73/33}{0.365} = 0.008
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the results obtained are \( f_3 = f_{\text{count}} = 54.28 \), because \( f_{\text{count}} > f_{\text{table}} \). So \( H_0 \) is rejected and \( H_3 \) is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between \( X_1 \) and \( X_2 \).
and X2. So there is a significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on LPG / C Griya Borneo.

Correlation between the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2) and the Length of the Reliquefication Process (Y)

Table 8 Results of Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure Analysis (X1), Tank Pressure (X2) and Length of Reliquefication Process (Y)

| Voyage  | X1   | X2   | Y    | (X1) 2 | (X2) 2 |
|---------|------|------|------|--------|--------|
| Voyage 1 | 0.9  | 0.62 | 12   | .81    |        |
| Voyage 2 | 0.6  | 0.32 | 6    | .36    |        |
| Voyage 3 | .74  | .4   | 8    | 0.5476 |        |
| Voyage 4 | 1.1  | .89  | 20   | 1.21   |        |
| Voyage 5 | 0.8  | 0.6  | 13   | 0.64   |        |
| Voyage 6 | 1.1  | .69  | 14   | 1.21   |        |
| Voyage 7 | 1.3  | 1.19 | 25   | 1.69   |        |
| Voyage 8 | 0.7  | .36  | 7    | 0.49   |        |
| Voyage 9 | 0.8  | 0.42 | 10   | 0.64   |        |
| Voyage 10| 0.6  | 0.25 | 6    | .36    |        |
| Voyage 11| 0.8  | 0.25 | 8    | 0.64   |        |
| Voyage 12| 0.8  | 0.53 | 11   | 0.64   |        |
| Voyage 13| .73  | 0.45 | 7    | 0.5329 |        |
| Voyage 14| 1    | 0.9  | 18   | 1      |        |
| Voyage 15| 1.62 | 1.07 | 30   | 2.6244 |        |
| Voyage 16| 0.9  | 0.55 | 11   | .81    |        |
| Voyage 17| 1    | .83  | 19   | 1      |        |
| Voyage 18| 1    | 0.69 | 14   | 1      |        |
| Voyage 19| .74  | .19  | 6    | 0.5476 |        |
| Voyage 20| 0.85 | 0.44 | 10   | .7225  |        |
| Voyage 21| 1.1  | .94  | 21   | 1.21   |        |
| Voyage 22| 0.8  | 0.25 | 8    | 0.64   |        |
| Voyage 23| 1    | 0.7  | 18   | 1      |        |
| Voyage 24| .76  | 0.48 | 8    | 0.5776 |        |
| Voyage 25| 1.1  | 0.9  | 18   | 1.21   |        |
| Voyage 26| .78  | 0.51 | 10   | 0.6084 |        |
| Voyage 27| .86  | 0.31 | 10   | 0.7396 |        |
| Voyage 28| 0.8  | 0.5  | 12   | 0.64   |        |
| Voyage 29| 0.68 | 0.23 | 5    | .4624  |        |
| Voyage 30| 0.8  | 0.4  | 10   | 0.64   |        |
| Voyage 31| 1.05 | 0.88 | 18   | 1.1025 |        |
| Voyage 32| 0.9  | 0.61 | 12   | .81    |        |
| Voyage 33| .67  | 0.45 | 7    | 0.4489 |        |
| Voyage 34| 0.8  | 0.25 | 8    | 0.64   |        |
| Voyage 35| .89  | 0.66 | 13   | .7921  |        |
| Voyage 36| 0.8  | 0.6  | 12   | 0.64   |        |
| Total    | 31.87| 20.31| 445  | 29.6365|        |

X1X2 | X1Y | X2Y
--- | --- | ---
5.58 | 10.8 | 7.44
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| .1024 | 36 | .192 | 3.6 | 1.92 |
| .16 | 64 | .296 | 5.92 | 3.2 |
| .7921 | 400 | .979 | 22 | 17.8 |
| .36 | 169 | 0.48 | 10.4 | 7.8 |
| 0.4761 | 196 | 0.759 | 15.4 | 9.66 |
| 1.4161 | 625 | 1.547 | 32.5 | 29.75 |
| 0.1296 | 49 | 0.252 | 4.9 | 2.52 |
| 0.1764 | 100 | 0.336 | 8 | 4.2 |
| 0.0625 | 36 | 0.15 | 3.6 | 1.5 |
| 0.0625 | 64 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 2 |
| 0.2809 | 121 | 0.424 | 8.8 | 5.83 |
| 0.2025 | 49 | 0.3285 | 5.11 | 3.15 |
| .81 | 324 | 0.9 | 18 | 16.2 |
| 1.1449 | 900 | 1.7334 | 48.6 | 32.1 |
| 0.3025 | 121 | 0.495 | 9.9 | 6.05 |
| .6889 | 361 | .83 | 19 | 15.77 |
| 0.4761 | 196 | 0.69 | 14 | 9.66 |
| 0.0361 | 36 | 0.1406 | 4.44 | 1.14 |
| 0.1936 | 100 | .374 | 8.5 | 4.4 |
| .8836 | 441 | 1.034 | 23.1 | 19.74 |
| 0.0625 | 64 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 2 |
| 0.49 | 324 | 0.7 | 18 | 12.6 |
| 0.2304 | 64 | .3648 | 6.08 | 3.84 |
| .81 | 324 | .99 | 19.8 | 16.2 |
| 0.2601 | 100 | 0.3978 | 7.8 | 5.1 |
| 0.0961 | 100 | 0.2666 | 8.6 | 3.1 |
| 0.25 | 144 | 0.4 | 9.6 | 6 |
| 0.0529 | 25 | .1564 | 3.4 | 1.15 |
| .16 | 100 | 0.32 | 8 | 4 |
| .7744 | 324 | 0.924 | 18.9 | 15.84 |
| .3721 | 144 | 0.549 | 10.8 | 7.32 |
| 0.2025 | 49 | 0.3015 | 4.69 | 3.15 |
| 0.0625 | 64 | 0.2 | 6.4 | 2 |
| 0.4356 | 169 | 0.5874 | 11.57 | 8.58 |
| .36 | 144 | 0.48 | 9.6 | 7.2 |
| 13,7603 | 6671 | 19,536 | 432.61 | 299.91 |

**Validity test**

Validity test is carried out to determine the level of reliability and validity of the Cargo Compressor Suction Pressure variable (X1) on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y), using the same validity formula as the correlation coefficient formula as follows.

\[
r_{x1y} = 0.94; \quad r_{x2y} = 0.94r_{x1x2} = 0.86
\]

\[
ryx1x2 = \sqrt{\frac{r^2 x_1y + r^2 x_2y - 2 r_{x1y} r_{x2y} r_{x1x2}}{1-r^2 x1x2}}
\]

\[
ryx1x2 = \sqrt{\frac{(0.94)^2 + (0.94)^2 - 2 (0.94)(0.94)(0.86)}{1-(0.86)^2}}
\]
ryx1x2 = $\sqrt{\frac{(0.88)+(0.88)-1.52}{1-(0.74)}}$

ryx1x2 = $\sqrt{\frac{1.76-1.51}{0.26}}$

ryx1x2 = $\frac{0.25}{0.26}$

ryx1x2 = $\sqrt{0.96}$

ryx1x2 = 0.97

Can be concluded:

If r arithmetic < r table, the research statement is invalid.
If r count> r table, then the research statement is said to be valid.

To prove the validity test of the Cargo Compressor Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2) against the Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y) according to the calculation obtained on the correlation coefficient number of (0.95), so the validity number of (0.95) then done is to look for r count that is based on the criteria with the provisions of df (degree of freedom) with the value of n (number of samples) known 36 (twelve), df = n-2 then it becomes df = 36-2 that is 34 then compared to rtable on $\alpha = 0.05$; then it is 0.339 (from rtable).

Then, the results obtained are r4 = r count = 0.97, because r count> r table (0.97> 0.339). So it can be concluded that the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2) against the Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y) are declared valid.

**Reliability Test**

In this study, the authors did not use the reliability test because the data I used were secondary data not based on a research questionnaire.

**Equation of Multiple Linear Regression**

To find out how big is the correlation between the Cargo Compressor Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2) on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y) using the Multiple Linear Regression Equation method. Where in general, the data observed by Y are influenced by variables X1 and X2, so the formula of multiple linear regression is:

Y = a + b1X1 + b2X2

Information:
Y = Duration of Process Reliability
X1 = Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure
X2 = Tank Pressure
a = Constant
b = Regression coefficient (increase or decrease value)

$\sum X1^2 = \sum X12 \cdot \frac{\sum X1^2}{n}$
\[ \sum X_2 = \sum X_2 - \frac{(\sum X_2)^2}{n} \]

\[ = 13,760 - \frac{(20,31)^2}{36} \]

\[ = 13,760 - \frac{(412,4961)}{36} \]

\[ = 13,760 - 11,458 \]

\[ = 2,3023 \]

\[ \sum Y_2 = \sum Y_2 - \frac{(\sum Y)^2}{n} \]

\[ = 6,671 - \frac{(445)^2}{36} \]

\[ = 6,671 - \frac{(198,025)}{36} \]

\[ = 6,671 - 5,500,694 \]

\[ = 1,170,306 \]

\[ \sum X_1 Y = \sum X_1 Y - \frac{(\sum X_1 \sum Y)}{n} \]

\[ = 432.61 - \frac{(31,87)(445)}{36} \]

\[ = 432.61 - 393.94 \]

\[ = 38.67 \]

\[ \sum X_2 Y = \sum X_2 Y - \frac{(\sum X_2 \sum Y)}{n} \]

\[ = 299.91 - \frac{(20,31)(445)}{36} \]

\[ = 299.91 - 251,054 \]

\[ = 48,856 \]

\[ \sum X_1 X_2 = \sum X_1 X_2 - \frac{(\sum X_1 \sum X_2)}{n} \]

\[ = 19,536 - \frac{(31,87)(20,31)}{36} \]

\[ = 19,536 - 17,979 \]

\[ = 1,557 \]

So, the values of b1, b2 and a are as follows:

\[ b1 = \frac{[\sum X_2^2 \cdot \sum X_1 Y - (\sum X_2 \cdot \sum X_1 Y^2)]}{[\sum X_1^2 \cdot \sum X_2^2 - (\sum X_1 X_2)^2]} \]

\[ = \frac{[2,3023 \cdot 38.67 - (48,856)^2]}{[1,4265 \cdot 2,3023 - (1,557)^2]} \]

\[ = \frac{[89,029 - (76,068)]}{[12,961]} \]

\[ = \frac{[3,284 - 2,4242]}{[0,8598]} \]

\[ = 15.07 \]

\[ b2 = \frac{[\sum X_1^2 \cdot \sum X_2 Y - (\sum X_1 Y \cdot \sum X_2)]}{[\sum X_1^2 \cdot \sum X_2^2 - (\sum X_1 X_2)^2]} \]
\[ \begin{align*}
&\frac{[1.4265(48,856)-(38.67)(1,557)]}{[1.4265(2,3023)-(1,557)^2]} \\
&= \frac{[(69,693)-(60,209)]}{[(3,284)-(2,4242)]} \\
&= \frac{[(0.8598)]}{[(9,484)]} \\
&= 11.03 \\
&= \sum Y - \left( b_1 \sum X_1 \right) - \left( b_2 \sum X_2 \right) \\
&= \frac{445 - (15.07 \times 31.87 \times (11.03 \times 20.31))}{36} \\
&= \frac{445 - (480.28 \times (224.01))}{36} \\
&= \frac{259,29}{36} \\
&= -7.2
\end{align*} \]

So, the multiple linear regression equation is
\[ Y = a + b_1X_1 + b_2X_2 \]
\[ Y = -7.2 + 15.07X_1 + 11.03X_2 \]

**Interpretation of Correlation Coefficients**

1) Value of \( a = -7.2 \); meaning that if the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2) value is 0, then the Reliquefaction (Y) Process Duration value is \((-7.2)\)% or there is no Reliquefaction.

2) Value of \( b_1 = 15.07 \); meaning that if the Tank Pressure is considered constant and the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure increases by one percent, the Length of the Reliquefaction Process will increase by 15.07 units. The positive value coefficient means that there is a positive relationship between the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and the Length of the Reliquefaction Process, the greater the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure, the longer the Reliquefaction Process.

3) Value \( b_2 = 0.02 \); meaning that if the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure is considered constant and the Tank Pressure increases by one percent then the Length of the Reliquefaction Process will increase by 11.03 units. The positive value coefficient means that there is a positive relationship between the Tank Pressure and the Length of the Reliquefaction Process, the higher the Tank Pressure the longer the Reliquefaction Process.

**Calculate the Multiple Regression Correlation Coefficient**

To find out the strength or weakness of the relationship between the variables X1 and X2 to the variable Y then this analysis will know the value of \( r \) (correlation coefficient), namely by the formula:

\[ r_{XY} = 0.94; \quad r_{X2Y} = 0.94 \cdot r_{X1X2} = 0.86 \]

\[ r_{X1X2} = \sqrt{\frac{r_{X1Y}^2 + r_{X2Y}^2 - 2 \cdot r_{X1Y} \cdot r_{X2Y} \cdot r_{X1X2}}{1 - r_{X1X2}^2}} \]

\[ r_{X1X2} = 0.97 \]
From the above calculation, the correlation coefficient figure is (0.97), it means that there is a very strong influence between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2) on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y).

If the results of the correlation are positive, it can be interpreted if the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure increase, it will increase the time of the Reliquefaction Process. Vice versa, if there is a decrease in compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure, the time needed for the Reliquefaction Process will be shorter.

**Calculate the coefficient of determination**

Analysis of the coefficient of determination is to find out how much the contribution of the Cargo Compressor Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2) to the Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y) used the determination coefficient formula as follows:

\[
r^2 = \frac{(b_1 \sum X_1 Y + (b_2 \sum X_2 Y)}{\sum Y^2}
\]

\[
r^2 = \frac{(15,07 \cdot 38,67) + (11,03 \cdot 48,856)}{1170,306}
\]

\[
r^2 = \frac{(582,75) + (538,88)}{1170,306}
\]

\[
r^2 = \frac{1121,63}{1170,306}
\]

\[
r^2 = 0.97
\]

\[
KD = r^2 \times 100\%
\]

\[
KD = (0.97) \times 100\%
\]

\[
KD = 94\%
\]

By getting the KD value = 94% indicates that the magnitude of the coefficient of determination between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process is 94%. This means that about 94% between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure (X1) and Tank Pressure (X2) can explain the Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y).

**Hypothesis testing**

Hypothesis testing about the correlation coefficient. Judging from the calculation of the correlation coefficient, determination and regression lines, the hypothesis test can be done to determine the influence of Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process in the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

Hypothesis testing used in multiple linear regression by the author is to calculate tcount and fcount as follows.

1) Partial Correlation Coefficient Test (T Test)

Hypothesis tests used by the authors are as follows:

If tcount < ttable, then Ho is accepted and H4 is rejected, meaning that there is no significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process on LPG / C Griya Borneo

If tcount > ttable then Ho is rejected and H4 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process in LPG / C Griya Borneo ships.
To prove that H4 is accepted or rejected, what is done is to look for tcount, namely by entering the value (r) into the formula, the value of n (number of samples) is known 36 (thirty six), then compared to the table at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); \( df = nk \) where k is the number of variables (free + bound) and n is the number of observations / samples forming the regression. So \( df = 36 - 3 = 33 \) is 1.6923 (from the table).

\[
t_4 = t \text{ count} = \frac{r \sqrt{n-2}}{\sqrt{1-(r)^2}} = \frac{(0.95) \sqrt{36-2}}{\sqrt{1-(0.95)^2}} = \frac{(0.95) \sqrt{34}}{\sqrt{1-0.90}} = \frac{(0.95) \times 5.83}{\sqrt{0.10}} = \frac{5.53}{0.31} = 17.83
\]

Then, the results obtained are \( t_4 = t = 17.83 \), because \( t > t \text{ table} \) (17.83> 1.6923). So Ho is rejected and H4 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between X1, X2 and Y. So that there is a significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process on LPG / C Griya Borneo.

2) Simultaneous Correlation Coefficient Test (Test F)

Hypothesis tests used by the authors are as follows:

If the count is <\( f \text{table} \), then Ho is accepted and H4 is rejected, meaning that there is no significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

If the count is >\( f \text{table} \), then Ho is rejected and H4 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process in the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

To prove that H4 is accepted or rejected, what is done is to find a \( f \text{count} \) that is by entering the value (r) into the formula, the value of n (number of samples), degree of freedom (df) for the numerator or known as df1 with the symbol \( N_1 \), degree of freedom (df) for the denominator, also known as df1 with the symbol \( N_2 \) then compared \( f \text{table} \) at \( \alpha = 0.05 \); \( df_1 = k \times 1 \); \( df_2 = nk \) where \( k \) is the number of variables (free + bound) and n is the number of observations / samples forming the regression.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{df}_1 &= k - 1 \\
\text{df}_2 &= n - k \\
&= 36 - 3 = 33
\end{align*}
\]

So that \( N_1 = 2 \) and \( N_2 = 33 \) then \( f \text{table} = 3.34 \)

\[
\begin{align*}
f_4 &= f \text{count} \\
\text{fcount} &= \frac{r^2/k}{1 - \frac{r^2}{(n-k-1)}} = \frac{(0.95)^2/2}{1 - \frac{(0.95)^2}{(36-3-1)}} = \frac{0.90/2}{1 - 0.90/32} = \frac{0.45}{0.003} = 150
\end{align*}
\]

Then, the result obtained is \( f_4 = f \text{count} = 150 \), because \( f \text{count} > f \text{table} \) (150> 3.34). So Ho is rejected and H4 is accepted, meaning that there is a significant relationship between Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure and Tank Pressure on the Length of the Reliquefaction Process on LPG / C Griya Borneo ship.

**CONCLUSION**

Based on the results of research and discussion of regression analysis and correlation coefficients, coefficient of determination, validity test, and hypothesis testing between compressor compressor suction pressure and tank pressure on the duration of the reliquefaction process on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship in August 2018 to August 2019, then conclusions can be drawn namely:

1. In the correlation of the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure (X1) to the Length of the Reliquefaction Process (Y) on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship, the correlation coefficient data
of 0.94 () shows that there is a positive and strong correlation effect. Then obtained \( r^2 = 0.88 \), proving that the linear regression is feasible and the coefficient of determination of 88% (KD = 88%) states that the Compressor Cargo Suction Pressure affects the Length of the Reliquefaction Process. Then in \( t_{count} = 15.82 \) (15.82 > 1.69092) and \( f_{count} = 122.2 \) (122.2 > 4.13), where if \( t_{count} > t_{table} \) and \( f_{count} > f_{table} \) then there is a significant relationship between variables X1 and Y. \( r_{x1y} = 0.94 \)

To speed up the reliquefaction process to 90%, it can be done by keeping the compressors' cargo suction pressure stable. Maintain the valve so that the compression remains maximum and the suction valve is not easily damaged. Then you must apply the maintenance management procedure properly and carry out maintenance and replacement of the compressor suction valve.

2. In the correlation between the tank pressure (X2) and the duration of the reliquefaction (Y) on the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship, the correlation coefficient data is 0.94 (proving that there is a positive and strong correlation effect. Then \( r^2 = 0.88 \), prove that this linear regression is feasible and the coefficient of determination of 88% (KD = 88%) states that tank pressure affects the duration of the reliquefaction process, then in \( t = 15.82 \) (15.82 > 1.69092) and \( f_{count} = 122.2 \) (122.2 > 4.13), where if \( t_{count} > t_{table} \) and \( f_{count} > f_{table} \) then there is a significant relationship between variables X2 and Y. \( r_{x2y} = 0.94 \)

To speed up the process of reliquefaction to reach 90%, it can be done by maintaining tank pressure so as not to reach high numbers. Maintaining tank pressure can be done by maintaining the tank temperature so as not to reach high numbers. Keeping the tank temperature can be done by the officer who can check the tank temperature periodically and write it in the cargo log book so that the Chief Officer can control the tank temperature and if the temperature has reached a very high number so that it is immediately reported to the Chief Officer and the Chief Officer will take action.

3. This linear regression is feasible and a coefficient of determination of 88% (KD = 88%) states that tank pressure affects the duration of the reliquefaction process. Then in \( t_{count} = 15.82 \) (15.82 > 1.69092) and \( f_{count} = 122.2 \) (122.2 > 4.13), where if \( t_{count} > t_{table} \) and \( f_{count} > f_{table} \) then there is a significant relationship between variables X2 and Y.

To speed up the process of reliquefaction to reach 90%, it can be done by maintaining tank pressure so as not to reach high numbers. Maintaining tank pressure can be done by maintaining the tank temperature so as not to reach high numbers. Keeping the tank temperature can be done by the officer who can check the tank temperature periodically and write it in the cargo log book so that the Chief Officer can control the tank temperature and if the temperature has reached a very high number so that it is immediately reported to the Chief Officer and the Chief Officer will take action.

4. In the correlation of compressor compressor suction pressure (X1) to tank pressure (X2) in LPG / C Griya Borneo ship, obtained correlation coefficient data of 0.86 () proves that there is a positive and very strong correlation effect. Then obtained \( r^2 = 0.73 \), proving that this linear regression is feasible and the coefficient of determination of 73% (KD = 73%) states that the compressor cargo pressure affects the tank pressure. Then in \( t_{count} = 9.66 \) (9.66 > 1.69092) and \( f_{count} = 45.625 \) (45.625 > 4.13), where if \( t_{count} > t_{table} \) and \( f_{count} > f_{table} \) then there is a significant relationship between variables X1 and X2. \( r_{x1x2} = 0.86 \)

If the compressor compressor has high suction pressure, it is caused by a large tank pressure. If the vapor in the tank is large, the compressor cargo suction pressure is also large. So it needs
to be controlled so that the tank pressure does not reach a high number. Maintaining tank pressure can be performed by a guard officer who can periodically check tank pressure.

5. In the correlation of compressor compressor suction pressure \((X1)\) and tank pressure \((X2)\) to the length of the reliquefaction process \((Y)\) on LPG / C Griya Borneo ship, obtained correlation coefficient data of 0.97 \((ryx1x2 = 0.97)\) proves that the correlation effect which is positive and very strong. Then obtained \(r^2 = 0.94\), proving that this linear regression is feasible and a coefficient of determination of 94% \((KD = 94\%)\) states that the compressor compressor suction pressure and tank pressure affect the duration of the reliquefaction process. Then in \(t_{count} = 17.83\) \((17.83 > 1.6923)\) and \(f_{count} = 150\) \((150 > 3.34)\), where if \(t_{count}> t_{table}\) and \(f_{count}> f_{table}\) then there is a significant relationship between variables \(X1\) and \(X2\) to \(Y\).

So that the reliquefaction process does not take a long time, the LPG / C Griya Borneo ship must be able to keep the compressor compressor suction pressure stable and the tank pressure does not reach high numbers.

To maintain the compressor compressor suction pressure stable and the tank pressure does not reach high numbers. Then you must apply the maintenance management procedure properly and carry out maintenance and replacement of the compressor suction valve. Additionally the Guard Officer needs to periodically check the temperature and pressure of the tank.
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