We study matrix integration over the classical Lie groups $U(N), Sp(2N), O(2N)$ and $O(2N + 1)$, using symmetric function theory and the equivalent formulation in terms of determinants and minors of Toeplitz±Hankel matrices. We establish a number of factorizations and expansions for such integrals, also with insertions of irreducible characters. As a specific example, we compute both at finite and large $N$ the partition functions, Wilson loops and Hopf links of Chern-Simons theory on $S^3$ with the aforementioned symmetry groups. The identities found for the general models translate in this context to relations between observables of the theory. Finally, we use character expansions to evaluate averages in random matrix ensembles of Chern-Simons type, describing the spectra of solvable fermionic models with matrix degrees of freedom.

1. Introduction

There is a well known relation between matrix integrals over the classical Lie groups and the determinants of structured matrices, such as Toeplitz and Hankel matrices. This connection is of importance to several areas of mathematics, such as random matrix theory and the theory of orthogonal polynomials [1, 2]. At the same time, these two objects can be expressed in terms of symmetric functions, revealing further connections with enumerative combinatorics and representation theory [3].

In this work we study minors of the Toeplitz and Toeplitz±Hankel matrices involved in this relation. In addition to their own mathematical interest, one motivation for this arises from the fact that the minors of these matrices can be expressed as the “twisted” integrals [4, 5]

$$
\int_{G(N)} \chi^\lambda_{G(N)}(U^{-1})\chi^\mu_{G(N)}(U)f(U)\,dU,
$$

(1)

where $dU$ denotes Haar measure on one of the classical Lie groups $G(N) = U(N), Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N + 1)$, and the $\chi^\lambda_{G(N)}(U)$ are the characters associated to the irreducible representations of these groups.

Another motivation comes from the fact that (1) appears in the study of many contemporary physical theories and models. This is the case, for example, in gauge theories with a matrix model description, when one is interested in physical observables beyond the partition function and looks into non-local observables such as Wilson loops. The fact that tools germane to any of the above mentioned areas can be interchangeably applied to the analysis of such matrix models has not been fully exploited in the literature (but see [6, 7, 8, 9, 10], for instance).

In particular, when $f$ is set to be Jacobi’s third theta function in (1) we obtain the matrix model of Chern-Simons theory on $S^3$ with symmetry group $G(N)$. After a matrix model description was obtained for Chern-Simons theory on manifolds such as $S^3$ or lens spaces [11], the solvability of the theory has been well known, and a number of equivalent representations have been obtained [12, 13, 14]. However, while both the partition function and the observables
of the unitary theory are known and have been studied in detail, much less attention has been devoted to the symplectic or orthogonal theories \[15\].

It is worth mentioning that the determinants of Toeplitz±Hankel matrices have many applications in statistical mechanics problems and describe several physical properties of a number of strongly correlated systems, starting with their appearance in the Ising model \[2\]. In such applications, the Toeplitz±Hankel case corresponds to open boundary conditions, whereas the Toeplitz determinants correspond to periodic boundary conditions \[16\] \[17\] \[18\]. The study of minors is less developed but, in the spin chain context, they naturally appear in the same fashion as the determinants, allowing the treatment of more general quantum amplitudes involving multiple domain wall configurations \[18\] \[19\], instead of a single one \[16\], for example. We shall discuss these applications further elsewhere.

The relationship between matrix integrals over classical groups and integrable systems, with Painlevé V in particular, is studied in \[20\]. More recently, a generalization of the Harish-Chandra Itzykson-Zuber integral to the symplectic and orthogonal groups has also been obtained \[21\].

We pursue two main goals with the present work:

(1) First, we use the formulation of matrix integrals as determinants of Toeplitz±Hankel matrices and exploit their relations with symmetric functions to establish a number of identities between these objects. In particular, we show that there is a factorization property for matrix integration over $U(2N-1)$ and $U(2N)$ in terms of matrix integration over symplectic and orthogonal groups. This factorization can be written entirely in terms of symmetric polynomials. We then show that any $G(N)$ matrix integration can be written as a finite sum of twisted $U(N)$ integrals, or, equivalently, that determinants of Toeplitz±Hankel matrices can be written as finite sums of minors of a Toeplitz matrix. Finally, we express matrix integrals over $G(N)$ as Schur function series, obtaining in particular that the normalized averages of two characters over a $G(N)$ ensemble have the same behavior for large $N$. Other relations between unitary, symplectic and orthogonal matrix models have been investigated in \[22\].

(2) We then study in detail the case where $f$ is a theta function. The reason is because the corresponding determinants and minors can be computed exactly for finite matrix size $N$ and, in addition, the results have a topological interpretation, since the expressions obtained can be written in terms of the modular $S$ and $T$ matrices. Quantum invariants of manifolds and links can also be approached with skein theory and quantum groups \[23\] \[24\] and in fact the same determinant representation as in the unitary model arises when studying the skein module of the annulus \[25\].

We remark that the symmetric function approach allows a unified treatment for all of the groups $G(N)$, as well as generalizations of some properties usually attributed only to unitary ensembles, such as preservation of Schur polynomials \[16\] \[17\] or Giambelli compatibility \[26\]. Note also that the previously obtained results have now an interpretation in terms of Chern-Simons observables. For example, we show that $G(N)$ Chern-Simons partition functions can be expressed as sums of unnormalized Hopf links ($S$ matrices) of the $U(N)$ theory.

These methods and results can also be quickly adapted to study some fermionic exactly solvable models, that have recently been obtained in the study of fermionic quantum models with matrix degrees of freedom \[27\] \[28\] \[29\]. Some of these models appear as simpler cases of tensor quantum mechanical models, of much interest nowadays \[29\]. For this, we study partition functions of such models as averages of characteristic polynomial type in $G(N)$ Chern-Simons
matrix models, and obtain the distinctive oscillator like and highly degenerated spectrum of the models \[28, 29\].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, after introducing the required definitions and the equivalence between integration over the classical groups \(G(N)\) and determinants of Toeplitz±Hankel matrices, we establish the general relations that hold among the integrals \([1]\) and their symmetric function counterparts.

Throughout the rest of the paper we turn to the Chern-Simons model. In Section 3, we evaluate the corresponding determinants and obtain explicit expressions for the \(G(N)\) Chern-Simons partition functions \([15]\), for both finite and large \(N\). In Section 4, we continue and evaluate the Wilson loops and Hopf links of the theory, which correspond to the minors of the underlying matrices.

In the last Section, following previous results \([27, 28, 29]\), we study partition functions of fermionic matrix models as averages of characteristic polynomials in the \(G(N)\) Chern-Simons matrix models, which we show can be computed with character expansions. Through the explicit evaluation of partition functions, for both massive and massless cases, we characterize the corresponding spectra and relate it to the spectra of fermionic models with matrix degrees of freedom. We also obtain large \(N\) expressions for these models, using character expansion and Fisher-Hartwig asymptotics \([2]\).

## 2. Group integrals, Toeplitz±Hankel matrices and characters of the classical groups

Let \(f\) be an integrable function on the unit circle, and define

\[
f(U) = \prod_{k=1}^{N} f(e^{i\theta_k}) f(e^{-i\theta_k}),
\]

for any matrix \(U\) belonging to one of the groups \(G(N) = U(N), \text{Sp}(2N), O(2N), O(2N + 1)\), where \(e^{i\theta_1}, \ldots, e^{i\theta_N}\) are the nontrivial eigenvalues of \(U\). If we denote by \(\int_{G(N)} f(U)dU\) the integral of this function over one of the groups \(G(N)\) with respect to Haar measure, Weyl’s integral formula reads

\[
\int_{G(N)} f(U)dU = C_{G(N)} \frac{1}{N!} \int_{[0,2\pi]^N} \det(M_{G(N)}(e^{-i\theta})) \det(M_{G(N)}(e^{i\theta})) \prod_{k=1}^{N} f(e^{i\theta_k}) f(e^{-i\theta_k}) \frac{d\theta_k}{2\pi},
\]

where the constants \(C_{G(N)}\) are

\[
C_{U(N)} = 1, \quad C_{\text{Sp}(2N)} = \frac{1}{2^N} = C_{O(2N+1)}, \quad C_{O(2N)} = \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}
\]

and \(M_{G(N)}(e^{i\theta})\) is the matrix appearing in Weyl’s denominator formula for the root system associated to each of the groups \(G(N)\). See \([141]-[147]\) for explicit expressions of these matrices and their determinants. The special form of this integral makes it possible to obtain equivalent determinantal expressions by means of the following classical identity due to Andréief \([20]\).

**Lemma.** Let \(g_1, \ldots, g_N\) and \(h_1, \ldots, h_N\) be integrable functions on a measure space \((X, \sigma)\). Then,

\[
\frac{1}{N!} \int_{X^N} \det(g_j(x_k))_{j,k=1}^N \det(h_j(x_k))_{j,k=1}^N \prod_{k=1}^{N} \sigma(x_k) = \det \left( \int_X g_j(x) h_k(x) d\sigma(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{N}.
\]

The integrals \([3]\) can be written in the form above, choosing \(\sigma(e^{i\theta}) = f(e^{i\theta}) f(e^{-i\theta})/2\pi\) for \(\theta \in [0, 2\pi]\) as measure and suitable functions \(g_j\) and \(h_j\) for each of the groups \(G(N)\). One can
then use the lemma to obtain
\[ \int_{U(N)} f(U)dU = \delta_{j,k}^{\lambda_1}, \]
\[ \int_{Sp(2N)} f(U)dU = \delta_{j,k}^{\lambda_2}, \]
\[ \int_{O(2N)} f(U)dU = \frac{1}{2} \det (d_{j-k} + d_{j+k-2})_{j,k=1}^{N}, \]
\[ \int_{O(2N+1)} f(U)dU = \det (d_{j-k} - d_{j+k-1})_{j,k=1}^{N}, \]

where \( d_k \) denotes the Fourier coefficient
\[ d_k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} e^{ik\theta} f(e^{i\theta})d\theta \]

for each \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \) (note that \( d_k = d_{-k} \) for all \( k \)). Recall that a matrix which \( (j,k) \)-th coefficient depends only on \( j - k \) or \( j + k \) is called a Toeplitz or Hankel matrix, respectively, and is constant along its diagonals or anti-diagonals. The expression of group integrals as determinants of Toeplitz and Toeplitz±Hankel matrices is not new, see for instance [3].

Note that while \( \int_{G(N)} f(U)dU = \int_{G(N)} f(-U)dU \) for \( G(N) = U(N), Sp(2N), O(2N) \) (as follows from the above determinantal expressions, for instance), we have
\[ \int_{O(2N+1)} f(-U)dU = \delta_{j,k}^{\lambda_1}. \]

The irreducible representations of the groups \( G(N) \) are indexed by partitions [31, 32] (see appendix A for the definition and some basic facts about partitions). We will write \( \chi_{\lambda}^{G(N)} \) to denote the character of the group \( G(N) \) indexed by the partition \( \lambda \). These can be expressed as the quotient of a minor of the corresponding matrix \( M_{G(N)}(e^{i\theta}) \), obtained by striking some of its columns, over the determinant of the matrix itself, see [33], [34]. Hence, the insertion of one or two characters of the group \( G(N) \) in the integrand in [3] cancels one or two of the determinants. Therefore, using Andrei耶夫’s identity again on the resulting integral we obtain the following.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) be two partitions of lengths \( l(\lambda), l(\mu) \leq N \), and define the “reversed” arrays \( \lambda^r \) and \( \mu^r \) as
\[ \lambda^r = (\lambda_N, \lambda_{N-1}, \ldots, \lambda_2, \lambda_1), \quad \mu^r = (\mu_N, \ldots, \mu_1). \]

We then have
\[ \int_{U(N)} \chi_{\lambda}^{U(N)}(U)^{-1} \chi_{\mu}^{U(N)}(U)f(U)dU = \delta_{j,k}^{\lambda + \mu}, \]
\[ \int_{Sp(2N)} \chi_{\lambda}^{Sp(2N)}(U)\chi_{\mu}^{Sp(2N)}(U)f(U)dU = \delta_{j,k}^{\lambda + \mu}, \]
\[ \int_{O(2N)} \chi_{\lambda}^{O(2N)}(U)\chi_{\mu}^{O(2N)}(U)f(U)dU = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{j,k}^{\lambda + \mu}, \]
\[ \int_{O(2N+1)} \chi_{\lambda}^{O(2N+1)}(U)\chi_{\mu}^{O(2N+1)}(U)f(U)dU = \delta_{j,k}^{\lambda + \mu}, \]

where the \( d_k \) are given by [3].

We have used above the fact that \( \chi_{\lambda}^{G(N)}(U) = \chi_{\lambda}^{G(N)}(U^{-1}) \) for \( G(N) = Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N+1). \)
The resulting determinants are now minors of the Toeplitz and Toeplitz±Hankel matrices appearing in the right hand sides of formulas (13)-(17), obtained by striking some of their rows and columns. This was already noted for the $U(N)$ case in [4]. Moreover, the precise striking of rows and columns performed on the underlying matrix only depends on the partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$, and is the same for any of the matrices (11)-(17). These strikings can be read off from the partitions, see [4,5] for an explicit algorithm.

Let us show some examples of how these determinant and minor expressions can be exploited to obtain some known and new results.

2.1. Factorizations.

**Theorem 2.** We have

\[
\int_{U(2N-1)} f(U) dU = \int_{Sp(2N-2)} f(U) dU \int_{O(2N)} f(U) dU
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{O(2N-1)} f(U) dU \int_{O(2N+1)} f(-U) dU + \frac{1}{2} \int_{O(2N+1)} f(U) \int_{O(2N-1)} f(-U) dU,
\]

\[
\int_{U(2N)} f(U) dU = \int_{O(2N+1)} f(U) dU \int_{O(2N+1)} f(-U) dU
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{Sp(2N)} f(U) dU \int_{O(2N)} f(U) dU + \frac{1}{2} \int_{Sp(2N-2)} f(U) \int_{O(2N+2)} f(U) dU.
\]

**Proof.** The theorem follows immediately after expressing the above integrals as the Toeplitz and Toeplitz±Hankel determinants (4)-(7) and noticing that these determinants satisfy the corresponding identities, see e.g. [33]. \[\square\]

The characters $\chi^\lambda_{G(N)}$ can be lifted to the so called “universal characters” in the ring of symmetric functions in countably many variables [32]. In this fashion, the lifting of the characters of $U(N)$, $Sp(2N)$, $O(2N)$ and $O(N+1)$ gives rise to the Schur $s_\lambda$, symplectic Schur $sp_\lambda$, even orthogonal Schur $o^{even}_\lambda$ and odd orthogonal Schur $o^{odd}_\lambda$ functions, respectively. See [19]-[35] for explicit expressions of these functions. When the length of the partition $\lambda$ is less than or equal to the number of nontrivial eigenvalues of a matrix $U$, these functions coincide with the irreducible characters of the corresponding group, after specializing the corresponding variables back to the nontrivial eigenvalues $z_j$ of $U$. For instance, we have $\chi^\lambda_{Sp(2N)}(U) = sp_\lambda(z_1,\ldots,z_N)$ for any partition satisfying $l(\lambda) \leq N$. Note that this condition is necessary in order for the characters $\chi^\lambda_{G(N)}(U)$ to be defined, while the symmetric functions [19]-[35] need not satisfy such restriction, and are defined for more general partitions. See appendix A and [32] for details on this, as well as some properties fulfilled by these functions. The close relation between these two families has further consequences, as we will see throughout this section.

**Corollary 1.** The following relations hold between the symmetric functions associated to the characters of the groups $G(N)$

\[
s((2N-1)\kappa)(x_1,\ldots,x_K, x_1^{-1},\ldots,x_K^{-1}) = \begin{cases} 
-1)^{NK} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{odd}_{((N-1)\kappa)}(x_1,\ldots,x_K) \sigma^{odd}_{((N+1)\kappa)}(-x_1,\ldots,-x_K), & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is odd, odd, odd, odd,}\hfill \\
+1)^{NK} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{odd}_{((N-1)\kappa)}(x_1,\ldots,x_K) \sigma^{odd}_{((N+1)\kappa)}(-x_1,\ldots,-x_K), & \text{if } \lambda \text{ is odd, odd, odd, odd,}\hfill \\
\end{cases}
\]

\[
s((2N)\kappa)(x_1,\ldots,x_K, x_1^{-1},\ldots,x_K^{-1}) = (-1)^{NK} \frac{1}{2} \sigma^{odd}_{(N\kappa)}(x_1,\ldots,x_K) \sigma^{odd}_{((N+1)\kappa)}(-x_1,\ldots,-x_K),
\]

\[
\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{(N\kappa)}(x_1,\ldots,x_K) \sigma^{odd}_{((N-1)\kappa)}(x_1,\ldots,x_K) + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{(N-1)\kappa}(x_1,\ldots,x_K) \sigma^{odd}_{((N+1)\kappa)}(x_1,\ldots,x_K).
\]
Proof. Consider the function

\[ f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{K} (1 + x_j z) (1 + x_j z^{-1}) = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{K} x_j \right) \sum_{j=-K}^{K} e_{K+j}(x_1, \ldots, x_K, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_K^{-1}) z^j, \]

where \( e_k \) denotes the \( k \)-th elementary symmetric polynomial \( [48] \). It follows from the Jacobi-Trudi identities \( [19], [51], [53] \) that

\[ s_{(N^2)}(x, x^{-1}) = \det (e_{K+j-k}(x, x^{-1}))_{j,k=1}^{N}, \]

\[ sp_{(N^2)}(x) = \det (e_{K-j+k}(x, x^{-1}) - e_{K-j-k}(x, x^{-1}))_{j,k=1}^{N}, \]

\[ o_{(N^2)}^{\text{even}}(x) = \det (e_{K+j+k}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K-j-k}(x, x^{-1}))_{j,k=1}^{N}, \]

where we have denoted \( x = (x_1, \ldots, x_K) \) and \( x^{-1} = (x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_K^{-1}) \). Using the easily checked property

\[ e_j(x_1, \ldots, x_K, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_K^{-1}) = e_{2K-j}(x_1, \ldots, x_K, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_K^{-1}), \]

we see that the right hand sides above are precisely the Toeplitz and Toeplitz±Hankel determinants \( [41]-[7] \) generated by the function \( f \), up to a constant factor. The first and fourth identities of the corollary then follow from the first and fourth identities of theorem \( \text{[2]} \). The identities involving odd orthogonal characters require some more computation, but they are similar in spirit. We start from the Jacobi-Trudi identity \( [55] \) and use the fact that \( e_k(x, 1) = e_k(x) + e_{k-1}(x) \) (which follows easily from \( [48] \)) to obtain

\[ o_{(N^2)}^{\text{odd}}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \det \left( e_{K-j+k}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K-j-k}(x, x^{-1}) \right)_{j,k=1}^{N}, \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \det \left( e_{K-j+k}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K-j-k+1}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K-j-k+2}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K-j-k+1}(x, x^{-1}) \right)_{j,k=1}^{N}, \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \det \left( e_{K+j+k}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K+j+k-1}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K+j+k-2}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K+j+k-1}(x, x^{-1}) \right)_{j,k=1}^{N}, \]

where we have used \( [10] \) again. Adding \((-1)^{j+k}\) times the \( k \)-th column of the last matrix above, for each \( k = 1, \ldots, j-1 \), to the \( j \)-th column, for each \( j = 2, \ldots, N \), we arrive at

\[ o_{(N^2)}^{\text{odd}}(x) = \det (e_{K+j-k}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{K+j+k-1}(x, x^{-1}))_{j,k=1}^{N}. \]

From this identity we can also deduce

\[ o_{(N^2)}^{\text{odd}}(-x) = (-1)^{NK} \det (e_{K+j-k}(x, x^{-1}) - e_{K+j+k-1}(x, x^{-1}))_{j,k=1}^{N}. \]

The right hand sides above are (up to a sign) the Toeplitz±Hankel determinants in the right hand sides of \( [7] \) and \( [9] \). The second and third identities in the corollary then follow from the second and third identities in theorem \( \text{[2]} \).

\[ \square \]

The first and third identities in the corollary appeared before in \( [31] \). There exist also identities expressing the sum of two Schur polynomials indexed by partitions of rectangular shapes in terms of orthogonal and symplectic Schur functions, see \( [31], [34] \), but the second and fourth identities are new to our knowledge.
2.2. Expansions in terms of Toeplitz minors. Let us recall the Frobenius notation for partitions before stating the next result. Let \( \nu \) be a partition; we denote \( \nu = (a_1, \ldots, a_p|b_1, \ldots, b_p) \), for some positive integers \( a_1 > \cdots > a_p \) and \( b_1 > \cdots > b_p \), if there are \( p \) boxes on the main diagonal of the Young diagram of \( \nu \), with the \( k \)-th box having \( a_k \) boxes immediately to the right and \( b_k \) boxes immediately below. We denote by \( p(\nu) \) the number of boxes on the main diagonal of the diagram of a partition \( \nu \). With this notation, we can introduce the sets \( R(N), S(N) \) and \( T(N) \) of partitions of shapes \((a_1, \ldots, a_p|a_1, \ldots, a_p)\), \((a_1, \ldots, a_p|a_1, \ldots, a_p)\) and \((a_1-1, \ldots, a_p-1|a_1, \ldots, a_p)\) respectively in Frobenius notation, with \( a_1 \leq N-1 \). For instance, the set \( R(3) \) consists of the partitions

\[
\left\{ \emptyset, \begin{array}{cccc}
\text{boxes}
\end{array}
\right\},
\]

the set \( S(3) \) is the set of self-conjugate partitions of length at most 3 and the set \( T(3) \) is obtained as the set of partitions conjugated to those of \( R(2) \). Note that there are exactly \( 2^N \) partitions in each of the sets \( R(N) \) and \( S(N) \), and \( 2^{N-1} \) in the set \( T(N) \), all of them of length less than or equal to \( N \).

**Theorem 3.** The integrals (3) verify

\[
\int_{Sp(2N)} f(U)\,dU = \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{\rho_1, \rho_2 \in R(N)} (-1)^{(|\rho_1|+|\rho_2|)/2} \int_{U(N)} \chi_{U(N)}^{\rho_1} (U^{-1}) \chi_{U(N)}^{\rho_2} (U) f(U)\,dU,
\]

\[
\int_{O(2N)} f(U)\,dU = \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2 \in P(N)} (-1)^{(|\tau_1|+|\tau_2|)/2} \int_{U(N)} \chi_{U(N)}^{\tau_1} (U^{-1}) \chi_{U(N)}^{\tau_2} (U) f(U)\,dU,
\]

\[
\int_{O(2N+1)} f(U)\,dU = \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S(N)} (-1)^{(|\sigma_1|+|\sigma_2|+p(\sigma_1)+p(\sigma_2))/2} \int_{U(N)} \chi_{U(N)}^{\sigma_1} (U^{-1}) \chi_{U(N)}^{\sigma_2} (U) f(U)\,dU.
\]

That is, the integral of a function over one of the groups \( G(N) \) can be expressed as a certain sum of integrals of the same function over \( U(N) \) with Schur polynomials on the integrand. Note that the integrals in the right hand sides above are symmetric upon exchange of the partitions indexing the Schur polynomials. This implies that there are \( 2^{2N-1} \) different terms in each of the sums.

**Proof.** The main idea is that the determinants \( \det M_{G(N)}(z) \), for \( G(N) = Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N+1) \), when seen as symmetric functions, contain as a factor the determinant \( \det M_{U(N)}(z) \) (see formulas (14)-(17)). Hence, as a consequence of the definition (2), one can see the integrals over the groups \( G(N) \) as integrals over \( U(N) \) with an additional term in the integrand. Moreover,

\[1\text{Together with further symmetries of the integral; for instance, } \int_{U(N)} s_{(aN)}(U^{-1}) s_{(aN)}(U) f(U)\,dU = \int_{U(N)} f(U)\,dU \text{ for every } a > 0.\]
these additional terms can be expressed as Schur functions series as follows \[36\]

\[
\frac{\det \text{M}_{\text{Sp}(2N)}(z)}{\det \text{M}_{U(N)}(z)} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} \prod_{j<k} (1 - z_j z_k) \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 - z_j^2) = \prod_{j=1}^{N} z_j^{-N} \sum_{\rho \in \mathbb{R}(N)} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}|\rho|} s_{\rho}(z_1, \ldots, z_N),
\]

\[
\frac{\det \text{M}_{\text{O}(2N)}(z)}{\det \text{M}_{U(N)}(z)} = 2 \prod_{j=1}^{N} \prod_{j<k} (1 - z_j z_k) \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 - z_j) = 2 \prod_{j=1}^{N} z_j^{-N+1} \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{T}(N)} (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}|\tau|} s_{\tau}(z_1, \ldots, z_N),
\]

\[
\frac{\det \text{M}_{\text{O}(2N+1)}(z)}{\det \text{M}_{U(N)}(z)} = \prod_{j=1}^{N} z_j^{-N+1/2} \prod_{j<k} (1 - z_j z_k) \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1 - z_j)
= \prod_{j=1}^{N} z_j^{-N+1/2} \sum_{\sigma \in S(N)} (-1)^{(|\sigma|+p(\sigma))2} s_{\sigma}(z_1, \ldots, z_N).
\]

Substituting these formulas into \[3 \], for each of the groups \(G(N) = \text{Sp}(2N), \text{O}(2N), \text{O}(2N + 1)\), one obtains the desired result. \[\square\]

According to identities \[4 \]-\[7 \], the integrals and twisted integrals over the groups \(G(N)\) can be expressed as determinants and minors, respectively, of certain Toeplitz±Hankel matrices. Therefore, theorem \[3 \] translates to the following result involving only the aforementioned matrices.

**Corollary 2.** Let \(f\) be a function on the unit circle which Fourier coefficients verify \(d_k = d_{-k}\). Given two partitions \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\) by

\[D_{N}^{\lambda, \mu}(f) = \det (d_{j-k} - d_{j+k})_{j,k=1}^{N},\]

as in \[4 \]. We have

\[
\det (d_{j-k} - d_{j+k})_{j,k=1}^{N} = \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{\rho_1, \rho_2 \in \mathbb{R}(N)} (-1)^{(|\rho_1|+|\rho_2|)/2} D_{N}^{\rho_1, \rho_2}(f),
\]

\[
\det (d_{j-k} + d_{j+k-2})_{j,k=1}^{N} = \frac{1}{2^{N+1}} \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbb{T}(N)} (-1)^{(|\tau_1|+|\tau_2|)/2} D_{N}^{\tau_1, \tau_2}(f),
\]

\[
\det (d_{j-k} - d_{j+k-1})_{j,k=1}^{N} = \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S(N)} (-1)^{(|\sigma_1|+|\sigma_2|+p(\sigma_1)+p(\sigma_2))/2} D_{N}^{\sigma_1, \sigma_2}(f).
\]

The minors appearing in the right hand sides above fit in the Toeplitz matrix generated by \(f\) of order \(2N + 1\), \(2N\) and \(2N - 1\), respectively, and the sums have \(2^{2N-1}\) different terms, as in theorem \[3 \].

For example, taking \(N = 2\) in the first identity above we obtain the expansion

\[
2 \begin{vmatrix}
    d_0 - d_2 & d_1 - d_3 \\
    d_1 - d_3 & d_0 - d_4
\end{vmatrix}
= d_0 \begin{vmatrix}
    d_1 & d_0 \\
    d_1 & d_0
\end{vmatrix}
- d_2 \begin{vmatrix}
    d_1 & d_0 \\
    d_3 & d_0
\end{vmatrix}
+ d_3 \begin{vmatrix}
    d_1 & d_0 \\
    d_1 & d_0
\end{vmatrix}
- d_4 \begin{vmatrix}
    d_1 & d_0 \\
    d_1 & d_0
\end{vmatrix},
\]

where all the determinants in the right hand side above are minors of the Toeplitz matrix \((d_{j-k})_{j,k=1}^{5}\). Analogous computations lead to expansions of minors of Toeplitz±Hankel matrices as sums of minors of Toeplitz matrices (equivalently, expansions of twisted integrals over \(\text{Sp}(2N), \text{O}(2N)\) or \(\text{O}(2N+1)\) in terms of twisted integrals over \(U(N)\)). However, the resulting expressions are rather cumbersome and we do not pursue this road further.
2.3. Gessel-type identities. Another possibility for expressing integrals over the classical groups in terms of symmetric functions is available, in the form of Schur function series. A well known example of this is the classical identity of Gessel for Toeplitz determinants [37]. This, as well as generalizations for Toeplitz±Hankel determinants and minors of these matrices, is the content of the next theorem.

Let us denote by \( s^\nu_{G(N)}(x) \) the Schur, symplectic Schur or even/odd orthogonal Schur symmetric function indexed by the partition \( \nu \) for \( G(N) = U(N), Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N + 1) \) respectively, for this theorem only.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) \) be a set of variables, and consider the function

\[
H(x; e^{i\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_j e^{i\theta}}.
\]

The following Schur functions series expansions hold

\[
\int_{G(N)} H(x; U)dU = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_\nu(x)s^\nu_{G(N)}(x), \quad (11)
\]

\[
\int_{G(N)} x^\mu \chi^\nu_{G(N)}(U)H(x; U)dU = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu/\mu}(x)s^\nu_{G(N)}(x), \quad (12)
\]

\[
\int_{G(N)} x^\lambda \chi^\nu_{G(N)}(U^{-1})\chi^\mu_{G(N)}(U)H(x; U)dU = \begin{cases}
\sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu/\lambda}(x)s_{\nu/\mu}(x), & G(N) = U(N), \\
\sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} \sum_{\kappa} b^\kappa_{\lambda\mu}s_{\nu/\kappa}(x)s^\nu_{G(N)}(x), & \text{rest of } G(N),
\end{cases} \quad (13)
\]

where the coefficients \( b^\kappa_{\lambda\mu} \) can be expressed in terms of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients \( c^\lambda_{\sigma\tau} \) by the following formula

\[
b^\kappa_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{\sigma,\rho,\tau} c^\lambda_{\sigma\tau} c^\mu_{\rho\tau} c^\kappa_{\sigma\rho}.
\]

The same expansions hold if one replaces \( H \) by the function

\[
E(x; e^{i\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_j e^{i\theta}), \quad (14)
\]

after transposing the partitions indexing all the symmetric functions in the above identities.

We remark the fact that the choice of functions above is without loss of generality. Indeed, recall that the Fourier coefficients of the functions \( H(x; e^{i\theta}) \) and \( E(x; e^{i\theta}) \) are the complete homogeneous symmetric functions \( h_k(x) \) and the elementary symmetric functions \( e_k(x) \) respectively. Both of these families are algebraically independent, and thus one can specialize them to any given values to recover any function with arbitrary Fourier coefficients from \( H(x; e^{i\theta}) \) or \( E(x; e^{i\theta}) \).

A similar proof of identity [11] for \( G(N) = Sp(2N), O(2N) \) can be found in [38]. See also [49], [11] for earlier related results. Different Schur function series can also be found in [3].

**Proof.** The expansion (11) for \( G(N) = U(N) \) is the aforementioned result of Gessel [37], which extends easily to the other groups. We sketch the proof for convenience of the reader. Denote the Toeplitz matrix of order \( N \) generated by a function \( f \) by \( T_N(f) \). It is well known that if two functions \( a, b \) satisfy

\[
a(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k < 0} a_k e^{ik\theta}, \quad b(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k \geq 0} b_k e^{ik\theta} \quad (z \in T)
\]

then

\[
\int_{G(N)} \chi^\nu_{G(N)}(U)H(x; U)dU = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu/\kappa}(x)s^\nu_{G(N)}(x),
\]

where the coefficients \( b^\kappa_{\lambda\mu} \) can be expressed in terms of Littlewood-Richardson coefficients \( c^\lambda_{\sigma\tau} \) by the following formula

\[
b^\kappa_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{\sigma,\rho,\tau} c^\lambda_{\sigma\tau} c^\mu_{\rho\tau} c^\kappa_{\sigma\rho}.
\]
then the Toeplitz matrix generated by the function $ab$ satisfies $T_N(ab) = T_N(a)T_N(b)$. It follows from Cauchy-Binet formula that $\det T_N(ab)$ is then a sum over minors of the Toeplitz matrices of sizes $N \times \infty$ and $\infty \times N$ generated by $a$ and $b$, respectively. The proof is completed upon noting that if $a(e^{-i\theta}) = b(e^{i\theta}) = H(x; e^{i\theta})$ then by the Jacobi-Trudi identity $[19]$ the minors appearing in the sum are precisely the Schur polynomials appearing in $[11]$, since the Fourier coefficients of the function $H(x; e^{i\theta})$ are the complete homogeneous symmetric polynomials $h_k(x)$. The proof for the other groups is analogous: now the factorization

$$TH_N(ab) = T_N(a)TH_N(b)$$

holds for each of the Toeplitz-Hankel matrices $TH_N(b)$ appearing in $[5]-[7]$ and functions $a,b$ satisfying $[15]$. The result then follows from the Jacobi-Trudi identities $[50]-[54]$ (some extra computation is needed in the odd orthogonal case, as in corollary $[1]$).

Identities $[12]$, and $[13]$ for $U(N)$, follow analogously from the generalization of Jacobi-Trudi formula for skew Schur polynomials. Identity $[12]$ for the rest of the groups follows from $[12]$ and the fact that the characters $\lambda^G_{\mu}(N)$ follow the multiplication rule $[12]$

$$\lambda^G_{\mu}(N)(U)\lambda^G_{\nu}(N)(U) = \sum_{\nu} b_{\lambda\mu}\chi^G_{\nu}(N)(U)$$

(16)

for $G(N) = Sp(2N),O(2N)$ and $O(2N + 1)$ (recall that $\chi^G_{\lambda}(N)(U) = \chi^G_{\lambda}(N)(U^{-1})$ for such groups).

The corresponding identities involving the function $E$ follow analogously, using the dual Jacobi-Trudi identities instead (or, equivalently, using the involution $h_k \mapsto e_k$) in $[11]-[13])$. □

We will be interested in the following in computing the $N \to \infty$ limit of the integrals $\int G(N) f(U)dU$. This can be achieved by means of the strong Szegő limit theorem and its generalization to the rest of the groups $G(N)$ due to Johansson $[70]-[73]$, or equivalently, by means of theorem $[4]$ and the Cauchy identities $[56]-[59]$ (see section $3.1$ below for such explicit computations). It turns out that the twisted integrals with characters on the integrand share a common asymptotic behavior.

**Theorem 5.** The averages of characters over any of the groups $G(N)$ satisfy

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\int G(N) \chi^G_{\lambda}(N)(U^{-1})\chi^G_{\mu}(N)(U)H(x;U)dU}{\int G(N) H(x;U)dU} = \sum_{\nu} s_{\nu/\lambda}(x)s_{\mu/\nu}(x).$$

(17)

Note that if there is only one character in the integrand above the right hand side simplifies to a single Schur polynomial. As before, the theorem also holds for the function $E(x; e^{i\theta}) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty}(1 + xje^{i\theta})$, after transposing the partitions indexing the skew Schur polynomials above.

**Proof.** If $G(N) = U(N)$, the result (that appeared first in $[5]$) is a consequence of $[13]$ and the identity

$$\sum_{\nu} s_{\nu/\lambda}(x)s_{\nu/\mu}(x) = \sum_{\nu} s_{\nu}(x)s_{\nu}(x)\sum_{\nu} s_{\nu/\lambda}(x)s_{\mu/\nu}(x),$$

where the sums run over all partitions $\nu$.

Suppose now that $G(N) = Sp(2N),O(2N),O(2N + 1)$, and start by considering a single character in the integral. Then, using the Cauchy identity $[56]$ and the restriction rules $[61]-[66]$ we obtain

$$\int G(N) \chi^G_{\mu}(N)(U)H(x;U)dU = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta} \alpha^\mu_{\beta} s_{\nu}(x) \int G(N) \chi^G_{\mu}(N)(U)\chi^G_{\nu}(N)(U)dU,$$
where \( \sum \) denotes that the sum on \( \beta \) runs over all even partitions for \( G(N) = O(2N), O(2N+1) \), and over all partitions whose conjugate is even, for \( G(N) = Sp(2N) \) (we say that a partition is even if it has only even parts), and the sum on \( \alpha \) runs over all partitions. Taking \( N \to \infty \) in the above expression and using the orthogonality of the characters with respect to Haar measure we obtain

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \int_{G(N)} \chi^\mu_{G(N)}(U) H(x; U) dU = s_\mu(x) \sum_\beta s_\beta(x).
\]  

(18)

This gives the desired result upon noting that the sum on the right hand side is precisely the \( N \to \infty \) limit of the integral \( \int_{G(N)} H(x; U) dU \). The result for the integral \( 17 \) twisted by two characters then follows from \( 18 \) and the multiplication rule \( 16 \).

In particular, we see that the \( N \to \infty \) limit of the average is independent of the particular group \( G(N) \) considered. This was noted in \([43]\) for a single character, and while this automatically implies the same for two characters for \( G(N) = Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N+1) \) (recall that \( \chi^\lambda_{G(N)}(U^{-1}) = \chi^\lambda_{G(N)}(U) \) for these groups), this is not immediate for \( G(N) = U(N) \).

Note also that no mention of the regularity of the function \( f \) has been made in the proof of theorem \( 5 \). Indeed, only standard tools from the theory of symmetric functions are needed in order to obtain the result. This implies that the conclusion of the corollary holds for any integrable function, in particular for functions with Fisher-Hartwig singularities \([2]\). We thus see that the possible change of behaviour in the large \( N \) limit only affects the integrals \( \int_{G(N)} f(U) dU \), and has no effect on the averaged integrals \( 17 \). See \([5]\) for more details on this.

3. The case of Gaussian entries or \( f(z) = \Theta(z) \)

We particularize the previous result to the case of a completely solvable model, for both finite and large \( N \). It turns out to be related to many subjects: \( G(N) \) Chern-Simons theory on \( S^3 \), the skein of the annulus and Hopf links. The corresponding Toeplitz and Toeplitz±Hankel matrices also appear in other contexts, as they are Fourier and sine/cosine transforms matrices.

3.1. Partition functions of Chern-Simons theory on \( S^3 \). Let \( q \) be a parameter satisfying \( |q| < 1 \), and consider Jacobi’s third theta function

\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{n^2/2} e^{i n \theta} = (q; q)_\infty \prod_{k=1}^\infty (1 + q^{k-1/2} e^{i \theta})(1 + q^{k-1/2} e^{-i \theta}),
\]

(19)

where \( (q; q)_\infty = \prod_{j=1}^\infty (1 - q^j) \). We then define \( f(U) \) for \( U \in G(N) \) as in \( 2 \), with \( f \) being the function

\[
\Theta(e^{i \theta}) = E(q^{1/2}; q^{3/2}; \ldots; e^{i \theta}),
\]

(20)

where \( E \) is given by \( 14 \). For this choice of function, the integral

\[
Z_{G(N)} = (q; q)_\infty^N \int_{G(N)} \Theta(U) dU
\]

recovers the partition function of Chern-Simons theory on \( S^3 \) with symmetry group \( G(N) \), and the coefficients in the corresponding Toeplitz and Toeplitz±Hankel matrices are \( d_k = q^k/2 \), according to \( 19 \). Moreover, the averages

\[
\langle W_\mu \rangle_{G(N)} = \frac{1}{Z_{G(N)}} \int_{G(N)} \chi^\mu_{G(N)}(U) \Theta(U) dU
\]
and
\[
(W_{\lambda})_{G(N)} = \frac{1}{Z_{G(N)}} \int_{G(N)} \chi^\lambda_{G(N)}(U^{-1}) \chi^\mu_{G(N)}(U) \Theta(U) dU,
\]
where \(l(\lambda), l(\mu) \leq N\), are, respectively, the Wilson loop and Hopf link of the theory. As we will see below, these matrix models are exactly solvable, and the formalism of Toeplitz and Toeplitz-Hankel determinants and minors allows an elementary and unified approach for their computation.

3.1.2. Unitary group. We start by reviewing the simplest and well-known case. We obtain from the determinant expression [11]
\[
Z_{U(N)} = \det (q^{(j-k)^2/2})_{j,k=1}^N = q^{\sum_{j=1}^N j^2} \det (q^{j-k})_{j,k=1}^N = \prod_{j<k} (1 - q^{k-j}) = \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1 - q^j)^{N-j},
\]
where the second identity follows from the fact that the second determinant above is essentially the determinant of the matrix \(M_{U(N)}(z)\) [11], with \(z_j = q^{-j}\).

The large-\(N\) limit of this expression is given by Szegő’s theorem [70], which shows that as \(N \to \infty\)
\[
Z_{U(N)} \sim \exp \left( -N \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k} \frac{q^k}{1 - q^k} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k} \frac{q^k}{(1 - q^k)^2} \right).
\]
The same formula can be obtained using Cauchy’s identity [60] in formula [11], as noted in [11].

3.1.2. Symplectic group. We can proceed analogously for the rest of the groups. The determinants will now be specializations of the corresponding matrix \(M_{G(N)}(z)\) with \(z_j = q^j\), which can be computed explicitly by means of the formulas [11]-[17]. For the symplectic group we obtain
\[
Z_{Sp(2N)} = \det \left( q^{(j-k)^2/2} - q^{(j+k)^2/2} \right)_{j,k=1}^N = q^{\sum_{j=1}^N j^2} \det (q^{-j-k} - q^{j+k})_{j,k=1}^N
\]
\[
= \prod_{j=1}^{N-j} (1 - q^j)^{N-j} \prod_{j=3}^N (1 - q^j)^{\epsilon(j)} \prod_{j=N+1}^{2N-1} (1 - q^j)^{\epsilon(j)+1} \prod_{j=1}^N (1 - q^{2j}) = \prod_{j=1}^{2N} (1 - q^{j})^{\epsilon(j)},
\]
where
\[
\epsilon(j) = \begin{cases} 
N - \frac{j}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, & j \text{ odd } 1 \leq j \leq N, \\
N - \frac{j}{2}, & j \text{ even }, 1 \leq j \leq N, \\
N - \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, & j \text{ odd }, N + 1 \leq j \leq 2N, \\
N - \frac{j}{2} + 1, & j \text{ even }, N + 1 \leq j \leq 2N.
\end{cases}
\]
As with the unitary model, this result is exact and holds for every \(N\), and coincides with the expression obtained in [15] for the large \(N\) regime. We see that the partition function of the symplectic model is obtained as the product of the partition function of the unitary model and extra factors.

For the large-\(N\) limit, we obtain from Johansson’s generalization of Szegő’s theorem [71] that as \(N \to \infty\)
\[
Z_{Sp(2N)} \sim \exp \left( -N \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k} \frac{q^k}{1 - q^k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k} \frac{q^k}{(1 - q^k)^2} + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{2k} \frac{q^k}{1 - q^{2k}} \right).
\]
Again, the same result is obtained using Cauchy’s identity for symplectic characters [57] in equation [11]. Notice that in the large \(N\) limit, the partition function for the \(Sp(2N)\) model is
a factor of the partition function of the $U(N)$ model, while precisely the opposite occurred at finite $N$.

3.1.3. Orthogonal groups. Proceeding analogously, we see that by identity (17)

$$Z_{O(2N)} = \frac{1}{2} \det \left( q^{(j-k)/2} + q^{(j+k-2)/2} \right)_{j,k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1-q^j)^{N-j} \prod_{j=2}^{N} (1-q^j) \prod_{j=N+1}^{2N-2} (1-q^j)^{2N-j-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1-q^j)^{\epsilon(j)},$$

where

$$\epsilon(j) = \begin{cases} 
N - \frac{j}{2} + \frac{1}{2}, & j \text{ odd}, 1 \leq j \leq N - 1, \\
N - \frac{j}{2}, & j \text{ even}, 1 \leq j \leq N - 1, \\
N - \frac{j}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, & j \text{ odd}, N \leq j \leq 2N - 3, \\
N - \frac{j}{2} - 1, & j \text{ even}, N \leq j \leq 2N - 3,
\end{cases}$$

in agreement with (15). Again, the partition function contains as a factor the partition function of the unitary model. For $O(2N+1)$ we have

$$Z_{O(2N+1)} = \det \left( q^{(j-k)/2} - q^{(j+k-1)/2} \right)_{j,k=1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N-1} (1-q^j)^{N-j} \prod_{j=2}^{N} (1-q^j) \prod_{j=N+1}^{2N-2} (1-q^j)^{2N-j-1} \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1-q^j)^{\epsilon(j)},$$

where

$$\epsilon(j) = \begin{cases} 
N - \frac{j}{2} - \frac{1}{2}, & j \text{ odd}, 1 \leq j \leq 2N - 2, \\
N - \frac{j}{2}, & j \text{ even}, 1 \leq j \leq 2N - 2,
\end{cases}$$

in agreement with (15). We see once again that the partition function can be seen as the partition function of the unitary model times an extra factor. In this case, also factors with half-integer exponents $(1 - q^{j/2})$ are present.

Let us also record here the value of the closely related integral (9) for this choice of function, for completeness. We have

$$(q;q)_\infty \int_{O(2N+1)} \Theta(-U)dU = \prod_{j=1}^{2N-3} (1-q^j)^{\epsilon(j)} \prod_{j=1}^{N} (1+q^{j-1/2}) = Z_{O(2N+1)} \prod_{j=1}^{N} \frac{(1+q^{j-1/2})}{(1-q^{j-1/2})},$$

where $\epsilon(j)$ is as in $Z_{O(2N+1)}$.

For the large-$N$ limit, we obtain from Johansson's theorem (72), (73) that as $N \to \infty$,

$$Z_{O(2N)} \sim \exp \left( -N \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \frac{q^k}{1-q^k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 - q^k \right)^2 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k \left( 1 - q^{2k-1} \right)},$$

$$Z_{O(2N+1)} \sim \exp \left( -N \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \frac{q^k}{1-q^k} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left( 1 - q^k \right)^2 \right) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2k \left( 1 - q^{2k-1} \right)}.$$
One can verify directly from the expressions obtained that in the large $N$ limit we recover the partition function of $U(N)$ as the product of the partition functions of $Sp(2N)$ and $O(2N)$, consistently with corollary 2.

3.2. Gross-Witten-Wadia model. We have seen in theorem 2 that there is a non-trivial factorization property of matrix integrals. This identity is independent of the choice of function and thus hence is applicable to other models, such as the Gross-Witten-Wadia model \cite{45, 46}. This is interesting in view of new interest and results on the model \cite{47, 48, 49, 50}. Recall that the Gross-Witten-Wadia model is characterized by a symbol function

$$f_{GWW}(z) = \exp (-\beta (z + z^{-1})).$$

In particular, the third identity in theorem 2 allows to translate results on the much more widely studied case of the unitary group to the $O(2N + 1)$ case. More explicitly, if we denote $Z_{G(N)}^{GWW}(\beta) = \int_{G(N)} f_{GWW}(U) dU$, we have

$$Z_{U(2N)}^{GWW}(\beta) = Z_{O(2N+1)}^{GWW}(\beta) Z_{O(2N+1)}^{GWW}(-\beta)$$

Other relationships can be obtained. For example, the first and last identities in theorem 2, together with (71) and (72), show that at large $N$

$$Z_{U(2N-1)}^{GWW}(\beta), Z_{U(2N)}^{GWW}(\beta) \sim Z_{Sp(2N)}^{GWW}(\beta) Z_{O(2N)}^{GWW}(\beta).$$

Likewise, it follows from the Szegő-Johannson theorem quoted in Appendix B that at large $N$

$$Z_{U(2N)}^{GWW}(\beta) = (Z_{O(2N)}^{GWW}(\beta))^2 = (Z_{Sp(2N)}^{GWW}(\beta))^2.$$

This relationship also has a XX spin chain interpretation \cite{18}. This is however modified in the usual double scaling limit \cite{51, 52}. At any rate, it seems that large $N$ results for the GWW model \cite{18, 39} can be translated to the $O(2N)$ and $Sp(2N)$ models. It would also be interesting to further use this relationship between partition functions, by taking into account the well-known connection of $Z_{U(2N)}^{GWW}$ with Painlevé equations \cite{53, 54, 50}.

4. Insertion of characters, minors, modular matrices and Hopf link expansions

We now turn to computing Wilson loops and Hopf links of Chern-Simons theory on $S^3$ with symmetry group $G(N)$, for each of the classical groups. Let us fix two partitions $\lambda$ and $\mu$ of lengths $l(\lambda), l(\mu) \leq N$ throughout the rest of the section.

4.1. Unitary group. The insertion of a Schur polynomial on the unitary model gives

$$(q; q)_\infty^N \int_{U(N)} s_{\mu}(U) \Theta(U) dU = \det(q^{(j-k-\mu_j)^2/2})_{j,k=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (\mu_j^2/2 + (N-j+1)\mu_j + j^2) \det \left( q^{-j(k+\mu_j)} \right)_{j,k=1}^N.$$

We see that the determinant in the right hand side above is now essentially the minor $M_{U(N)}^{\mu}(z)$ in \cite{10} after setting $z_j = q^{-j}$. This leads to

$$\langle W_\mu U(N) \rangle = q^{\sum_{j=1}^N \mu_j^2/2} \sum_{q^{N-1}} s_{\mu}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1}),$$

which, up to a prefactor of a power of $q$, recovers the original result in \cite{13}. We recall that the above specialization of the Schur polynomial is a polynomial on $q$ with positive and integer coefficients \cite{39}.

Inserting two Schur polynomials in the integral we obtain

$$(q; q)_\infty^N \int_{U(N)} s_{\lambda}(U^{-1}) s_{\mu}(U) \Theta(U) dU = \det(q^{(j+\lambda_j-k-\mu_j)^2/2})_{j,k=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N (\lambda_j^2/2 + \mu_j^2/2 + (N-j)(\lambda_j+\mu_j) + j^2) \det \left( q^{-(N-j+\lambda_j)(N-k+\mu_j)} \right)_{j,k=1}^N.$$

Here, $\Theta(U)$ is the partition function of $\text{Unitary group}$. The above expression is consistent with corollary 2.
The determinant is now a minor of $M^U_{U(N)}(z)$, obtained by striking some of its rows. That is, a minor obtained by striking rows and columns of the Vandermonde matrix $M^U_{U(N)}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1})$, as noted in [25]. One can express this in terms of Schur polynomials by setting $z_j = q^{N-j+\mu_j}$ in this matrix, which yields

$$\langle W_{\lambda\mu}\rangle_U(U) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j^2/2 + \mu_j^2/2 - (j-1)(\lambda_j + \mu_j) s_{\lambda}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1})s_{\mu}(q^{N-1}, q^{1-\mu_2}, \ldots, q^{N-1-\mu_N}).$$

The above expression can also be written in terms of the quadratic Casimir element of $U(N)$, which we denote by $C_{2U(N)}^{(\lambda)}(\lambda) = \sum_j \lambda_j(\lambda_j + N - 2j + 1)$, as follows

$$q^{-(N-1)(|\lambda|+|\mu|)+C_{2U(N)}^{U(N)}(\lambda)+C_{2U(N)}^{U(N)}(\mu)}/2 s_{\lambda}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1})s_{\mu}(q^{N-1}, q^{1-\mu_2}, \ldots, q^{N-1-\mu_N}).$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

Further interest in the minors of the Vandermonde matrix $M^U_{U(N)}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1})$ and the rest of the matrices $M^G_{G(N)}$ arises from their relation with Chebotarëv’s theorem \footnote{The matrix $M^U_{U(N)}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1})$, for $q$ a $p$-th root of unity, is the matrix associated to the discrete Fourier transform (DFT), and Chebotarëv’s classical theorem \cite{55} states that every minor of this matrix is nonzero if $p$ is prime. An analogue of this theorem for the matrices of the discrete sine and cosine transforms, which correspond to $M^{Sp(2N)}(1, \ldots, q^N)$ and $M^{O(2N)}(1, \ldots, q^{N-1})$ respectively, has been proved recently \cite{56}.} and the recent related advances in the topic \cite{56}.

We also see that a phenomenon already present when computing the partition functions takes place when computing averages of Schur polynomials. For the theta function, integrating the determinant $\det M^G_{G(N)}(z)$ in (3) amounts essentially to computing the determinant of the matrix $M^G_{G(N)}(z)$ itself, after a certain specialization of the variables $z$. We also see that the average of one or two Schur polynomials is expressed precisely as the corresponding Schur polynomials, after some specialization to the same number of nonzero variables as the size of the model.

This property has been noted in \cite{56, 7} for models of Hermitian Gaussian matrices. It is argued in \cite{7} that “the main feature of Gaussian matrix measures is that they preserve Schur functions”. Indeed, we shall see that the same property holds when changing the symmetry of the ensemble from unitary to symplectic or orthogonal, by simply replacing Schur polynomials by symplectic or orthogonal Schur functions.

4.2. Symplectic group. Performing analogous computations to the unitary case, we see that

$$\langle W_{\lambda\mu}\rangle_{Sp(2N)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \lambda_j^2/2 + \mu_j^2/2 + (N-j+2)(\lambda_j + \mu_j + j) \det \left( q^{(j+\lambda_j^2-2\mu_\lambda + j\lambda_j)} - q^{(j+\lambda_j^2+k\mu_j + j\lambda_j)} \right)_{j,k=1}^{N}$$

which leads to

$$\langle W_{\lambda\mu}\rangle_{Sp(2N)} = q^{N(|\lambda|+|\mu|)+C^{Sp(2N)}_2(\lambda)+C^{Sp(2N)}_2(\mu)}/2 s_{\lambda}(1, q, q^2, \ldots, q^N)s_{\mu}(q^{1+\mu_N}, \ldots, q^{N+\mu_1}).$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

where we have identified $C^{Sp(2N)}_2(\lambda) = \sum_j \lambda_j(\lambda_j + N - 2j + 2)$, the quadratic Casimir element of $Sp(2N)$. As before, the second identity in (23) follows from the fact that integrating the function $\Theta$ we recover a (row and column-wise) minor of the matrix $M_{Sp(2N)}(z)$ itself, specialized to $z_j = q^j$. We note that $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are interchangeable in the above formula, and also that setting one of the partitions to be empty we obtain a formula for the average of a single character $\langle W_{\mu}\rangle_{Sp(2N)}$. 


4.3. Orthogonal groups. For the orthogonal models we have

\[
(q; q)_\infty^N \int_{O(2N)} o^\text{even}_\lambda(U) o^\text{even}_\mu(U) \Theta(U) dU = \frac{1}{2} \det \left( q^{(j^+ + \lambda_j + k - \mu_k)^2/2} + q^{(j^+ + \lambda_j + k + \mu_k - 2)^2/2} \right)_{j, k = 1}^N
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{2} q \sum_{j=1}^N (\lambda_j^2/2 + \mu_j^2/2 + (N-j)(\lambda_j + \mu_j) + (j-1)^2) \det \left( q^{-(N-j + \lambda_j)(N-k + \mu_k)} + q^{(N-j + \lambda_j)(N-k + \mu_k)} \right)_{j, k = 1}^N,
\]

which can be rewritten as

\[
\langle W_{\lambda \mu} \rangle_{O(2N)} = q^{(N(\lambda + |\mu|) + C_2^{O(2N)}(\lambda) + C_2^{O(2N)}(\mu))/2} o^\text{even}_\lambda (1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1}) o^\text{even}_\mu (q^N, \ldots, q^{N+1}),
\]

where \( C_2^{O(2N)}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j (\lambda_j + N - 2j) \) is the quadratic Casimir of \( O(2N) \). As before, setting one partition to be empty we obtain a formula for the Wilson loop \( \langle W_{\lambda \mu} \rangle_{O(2N)} \). For the odd orthogonal group \( O(2N+1) \) we obtain

\[
(q; q)_\infty^N \int_{O(2N+1)} o^\text{odd}_\lambda(U) o^\text{odd}_\mu(U) \Theta(U) dU = \det \left( q^{(j^+ + \lambda_j + k - \mu_k)^2/2} - q^{(j^+ + \lambda_j + k + \mu_k - 1)^2/2} \right)_{j, k = 1}^N
\]

\[
= q \sum_{j=1}^N (\lambda_j^2/2 + \mu_j^2/2 + (N-j+1/2)(\lambda_j + \mu_j) + (j-1/2)^2) \times \det \left( q^{-(N-j + \lambda_j + 1/2)(N-k + \mu_k + 1/2)} - q^{(N-j + \lambda_j + 1/2)(N-k + \mu_k + 1/2)} \right)_{j, k = 1}^N,
\]

which yields

\[
\langle W_{\lambda \mu} \rangle_{O(2N+1)} = q^{(N+1/2)(|\lambda| + |\mu|) + C_2^{O(2N+1)}(\lambda) + C_2^{O(2N+1)}(\mu))/2} \times o^\text{odd}_\lambda (q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots, q^{N-1/2}) o^\text{odd}_\mu (q^{1/2 + \mu_N}, q^{3/2 + \mu_{N-1}}, \ldots, q^{N-1/2 + \mu_1}),
\]

with \( C_2^{O(2N+1)}(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j (\lambda_j + N - 2j + 1/2) \) the quadratic Casimir of \( O(2N+1) \).

4.4. Giambelli compatible processes. The classical Giambelli identity expresses a Schur polynomial indexed by a general partition \( \lambda \) as the determinant of a matrix which entries are Schur polynomials indexed only by hook partitions. More precisely

\[
s(a_1, \ldots, a_p | b_1, \ldots, b_q) (x) = \det (s(a_j | b_k)(x))_{j, k = 1}^P,
\]

where we have used the Frobenius notations for the partitions in the above identity (see the beginning of section 2.2). In [26], the notion of “Giambelli compatible” processes was introduced to refer to probability measures on point configurations that preserve the Giambelli identity above, in the sense that

\[
\langle s(a_1, \ldots, a_p | b_1, \ldots, b_q) \rangle = \det (\langle s(a_j | b_k) \rangle)_{j, k = 1}^P
\]

where the bracket notation \( \langle s_\lambda \rangle \) denotes the average of the Schur polynomial \( \lambda \) with respect the corresponding probability measure. Since then, several matrix models and gauge theories have been proved to be Giambelli compatible, including biorthogonal ensembles [57], ABJM theory [58], and supersymmetric Chern-Simons theory [59, 60].

Using the formulas obtained in the previous sections, one can easily prove that the random matrix ensembles corresponding to the theta function [20] with \( G(N) \) symmetry are Giambelli compatible in a slightly generalized sense. Indeed, we have seen that the average of a character over these ensembles can be evaluated as the precise same character, with a certain specialization, times a prefactor in the parameter \( q \) (equations (21), (23), (24)). This fact, together with the Giambelli identity for the characters of the groups \( G(N) \) [61, 62]
and some computations to take care of the prefactors, show that
\[ \langle W_{(a_1,...,a_ρ)}|b_1,...,b_σ)\rangle G(N) = \det \left( \langle W_{(a_j|b_κ)}\rangle G(N) \right)_{j,k=1}^N. \]

That is, the Giambelly identity is preserved, after replacing the Schur polynomials in both sides of the identity with the corresponding character \( \chi_{G(N)}^\lambda \). For \( G(N) = U(N) \) this is a known result, as we are considering an orthogonal polynomial ensemble (which were proven to be Giambelli compatible in \([26]\)). However, for the rest of the groups \( G(N) \) this provides an example of an ensemble with non unitary symmetry that is Giambelli compatible.

4.5. Large \( N \) limit and Hopf link expansions. The expansions found in theorem \( 3 \) have particular consequences when considering the Chern-Simons model. Considering the function \( \Theta \) in this theorem and taking into account the results in section \( 3.4 \), we see that at finite \( N \) the partition functions of \( Sp(2N), O(2N) \) and \( O(2N + 1) \) Chern-Simons theories can be expressed as sums of unnormalized Hopf links of the unitary theory. On the other hand, theorem \( 5 \) implies that
\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle W_{\lambda\mu}\rangle G(N) \sum_\nu s_{(\lambda/\nu)}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots) s_{(\mu/\nu)}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots) = \sum_{\lambda, \mu} \langle W_{\lambda\mu}\rangle G(N) \]
for each of the groups \( G(N) \). Note that if there is only one character in the average the above formula simplifies to
\[ \lim_{N \to \infty} \langle W_{\mu}\rangle G(N) = s_{\mu}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots). \]

Putting these two facts together we arrive at the following expansions
\[ \frac{Z_{Sp(2N)}}{Z_{U(N)}} \sim \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{\rho_1, \rho_2 \in R(\infty)} (-1)^{(|\rho_1|+|\rho_2|)/2} \langle W_{\rho_1\rho_2}\rangle G(N), \]
\[ \frac{Z_{O(2N)}}{Z_{U(N)}} \sim \frac{1}{2^{N-1}} \sum_{\tau_1, \tau_2 \in T(\infty)} (-1)^{(|\tau_1|+|\tau_2|)/2} \langle W_{\tau_1\tau_2}\rangle G(N), \]
\[ \frac{Z_{O(2N+1)}}{Z_{U(N)}} \sim \frac{1}{2^N} \sum_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in S(\infty)} (-1)^{(|\sigma_1|+|\sigma_2|+p(\sigma_1)+p(\sigma_2))/2} \langle W_{\sigma_1\sigma_2}\rangle G(N), \]
as \( N \to \infty \), where the sets \( R(\infty), S(\infty) \) and \( T(\infty) \) are defined as the sets \( R(N), S(N) \) and \( T(N) \) respectively (see theorem \( 3 \)) without the restriction \( \alpha_1 \leq N - 1 \). That is, at large \( N \) the partition functions of the symplectic or orthogonal theories can be expressed as that of the unitary theory with an infinite number of corrections, which correspond to Wilson loops and Hopf links, indexed by partitions of increasing complexity \( 4 \) (and which are the same in this limit for each of the groups \( G(N) \)). Previous examples of partition functions of Chern-Simons theory expressed as sums of averages of characters can be found in \([63]-[66]\).

5. Fermion quantum models with matrix degrees of freedom

Some interest has arisen recently in the study of fermionic quantum mechanical models with matrix degrees of freedom \([27, 28, 29]\). These models appear as specific instances of tensor quantum mechanical models \([29]\) and have a distinctive spectra of harmonic-oscillator type, but

\( ^3 \)The partitions in \([17]\) appear now conjugated, since the function is \( \Theta \) is expressed as a specialization of \( E(x; e^{\eta}) \).

\( ^4 \)Note that the empty partition belongs to each of the sets \( R(\infty), S(\infty) \) and \( T(\infty) \), and thus the first term in the sums is always a 1.
with exponentially degenerated energy levels, which suggests connections with other solvable models and to integrability.

These spectra can be computed analytically, see for instance [25], based on the matrix model description obtained in [27], and also [29], where their identification of the Hamiltonian with quartic interactions in terms of Casimirs was used. We compute here averages of insertions of characteristic polynomial type in the $G(N)$ Chern-Simons matrix model. This is in analogy with the model in [27], which described $U(N) \times U(L)$ fermion models in terms of the average of the $L$-th moment of a determinant insertion in $U(N)$ Chern-Simons matrix models. One motivation is that more complex models than the one in [27, 28], with symmetries such as $SO(N) \times SO(L)$, are given in [29] with qualitatively the same spectra, after numerically diagonalizing, in this case, the Hamiltonian.

The models we study correspond to the average of the function
\[
\Theta^{(L,m)}(e^{i\theta}) = \left(2\cos\frac{\theta + im}{2}\right)^L \Theta(e^{i\theta})
\]
on the groups $G(N)$, where $L$ is a positive integer and $m$ is a real parameter. In sight of (2) and the identity $2\cos^2 \frac{\theta}{2} = |1 + e^{i\theta}|$, we see that for $U$ belonging to any of the groups $G(N)$ we have
\[
\Theta^{(L,m)}(U) = \Theta(U) e^{Lm \sum_{j=1}^N (1 + e^{-m} e^{i\theta_j}) L (1 + e^{-m} e^{-i\theta_j})^L}, \tag{28}
\]
where the $e^{i\theta_j}$ are the nontrivial eigenvalues of $U$. We will denote this average by
\[
Z^{(L,m)}_{G(N)} = \frac{1}{Z_{G(N)}} \int_{G(N)} \Theta^{(L,m)}(U) dU.
\]
Taking the limit $m \to 0$ of the unitary model $Z^{(L,m)}_{U(N)}$ we recover the compactly supported analogue of the model considered in [28]. This model is also related with the Ewens measure on the symmetric group, see [67] for instance.

5.1. **Unitary group.** Using the dual Cauchy identity [60] twice to expand the product in (28) and identity (22) we obtain
\[
Z^{(L,m)}_{U(N)} = e^{Lm} \sum_{\lambda,\mu} \langle s_{\lambda'}^{(1-L)} e^{-m} \ldots e^{-m} W_{\lambda\mu} e^{Lm} \rangle_{U(N)}
\]
\[
= e^{Lm} \sum_{\lambda,\mu} e^{-m(\lambda+|\mu|)} s_{\lambda'}^{(1-L)} s_{\mu'}^{(1-L)} q^{(C_2^{U(N)}(\lambda) + C_2^{U(N)}(\mu))/2}
\]
\[
\times s_{\mu}(1, q^{-1}, \ldots, q^{-(N-1)}) s_{\lambda}(q^{-\mu_N}, q^{-(\mu_{N-1} + 1)}, \ldots, q^{-(\mu_1 + N-1)}),
\]
where $1^L$ denotes the specialization $x_1 = \cdots = x_L = 1$. Recall that an explicit formula for $s_{\mu}(1^L)$ is available [60]. Now, since $s_{\mu}(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = 0$ if $l(\nu) > N$, we see that the above sum is actually over all partitions $\lambda, \mu$ contained in the rectangular diagram $\square (L^N)$. Several nontrivial features of the model can be deduced from this fact.

First of all, we see that $Z^{(L,m)}_{U(N)}$ is a polynomial on $q^{1/2}$ and $e^{-m}$. The high number of terms in this polynomial compared to its relatively low degree on $q$ implies the high number of degeneracies in the spectrum mentioned above. Figure 1 shows some examples where this

\[\vdots\]

5See [63] for recent results on asymptotics on the number of such partitions as $L$ and $N$ grow to infinity.
Figure 1. For each $n$ in the $x$ axis, the $y$ axis shows the coefficient of the monomial $q^{n/2}$ in $Z_{U(16)}^{(L=1,m=0)}$ (left) and $Z_{U(6)}^{(L=2,m=0)}$ (right).

phenomenon is apparent. Secondly, using the dual Cauchy identity again we see that in the limit $q \to 1$ we have

$$\lim_{q \to 1} Z_{U(N)}^{(L,m)} = e^{Lm}(1 + e^{-m})^{2NL}.$$

Up to the prefactor $e^{Lm}$, this shows the duality between the parameters $(N, L)$ in this limit [28].

Finally, the expression (29) allows direct computation of the model for low values of $N$ and $L$ and implementation in a computer algebra system. For instance, for $L = 1$ we have

$$\langle \Theta_{U(N)}^{(L=1,m)} \rangle = e^{m} \sum_{r,s=0}^{N} e^{-m(s+s')} q^{s-s'/2+r/2} \left[ \sum_{\lambda,\mu} s_{\lambda/\mu}^{r}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots) s_{\mu}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots) \right]^{N-1},$$

where $e_k$ denotes the $k$-th elementary symmetric polynomial (48).

Large-$N$ limit. The large $N$ limit of the model can be computed by two different means, depending on the value of $m$. If $m$ is nonzero, it follows from (29) and the identity (26) that

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} Z_{U(N)}^{(L,m)} = e^{Lm} \sum_{\lambda,\mu} s_{\lambda/\mu}(e^{-m}, \ldots, e^{-m}) s_{\mu}(e^{-m}, \ldots, e^{-m})$$

$$\times \sum_{\nu} s_{(\lambda/\nu)}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots) s_{(\mu/\nu)}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots)$$

$$= e^{Lm}(1 - e^{-2m})^{-L^2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-m}q^{k-1/2})^{2L}},$$

where the second identity above follows from standard manipulations of Schur and skew Schur polynomials.

The above expression is no longer valid in the massless case, $m = 0$. Nevertheless, the large $N$ limit of the model can still be computed, using the fact that $Z_{U(N)}^{(L,m)}$ can be seen as the determinant of the Toeplitz matrix generated by the function $\Theta_{U(N)}^{(L,m)}$ (recall identity (4)). For $m = 0$, this function does not verify the hypotheses in Szegő’s theorem, but it can be written as the product of a function that does verify these hypotheses (the function $\Theta$, as in section 3.1).
and a Fisher-Hartwig singularity. The asymptotic behaviour of Toeplitz determinants generated by such functions has been long studied [2] and is now well understood [69]. See appendix B for the definition of Fisher-Hartwig singularity and the relevant results that we will use in the following.

According to (74), we see that the function \( \Theta(L,m=0) \) corresponds to the product of the smooth function \( \Theta \) (in the sense of Szegö’s theorem) and a single singularity at the point \( z = -1 \), with parameters \( \alpha = L \) and \( \beta = 0 \). This implies that as \( N \to \infty \) we have (76)

\[
Z_{U(N)}^{(L,m=0)} \sim N^{L^2} \frac{G^2(L+1)}{G(2L+1)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1/2}2k^2},
\]

(30)

where \( G(z+1) \) is Barnes’ \( G \) function. Using its well known asymptotic expansion we see that as \( L \to \infty \) the free energy of the model satisfies

\[
\lim_{L \to \infty} \log Z_{U(N \to \infty)}^{(L,m)} \sim L^2 \log \left( \frac{N}{L} \right) - L^2 \left( 2 \log 2 - 3/2 \right) - \frac{\log L}{12} - 2L \log (\sqrt{q},q),
\]

where we have written the last term as a \( q \)-Pochhammer symbol. We have taken the large \( L \) limit after the large \( N \) limit. This is non-rigorous but standard in estimating free energies in the regime where one defines a Veneziano parameter \( \zeta = L/N \) and the double scaling is \( \zeta = cte \) for \( N \to \infty \) and \( L \to \infty \). As we see, the leading term of the free energy vanishes for \( \zeta = 1 \), and changes sign with \( \zeta \to 1/\zeta \) otherwise.

Table 1 shows some numerical tests of the accuracy of formula (30) (as well as the analogous formulas for the rest of the models, see the following subsections) for several values of \( q \) and \( N \).

Let us emphasize that both the symmetric function approach and the Toeplitz determinant realization of the matrix model are useful for computing its large \( N \) limit. Indeed, in the massive case, the character expansion is immediate and gives a manageable expression of the model, while the massless case is also readily handled with the aid of a particular example of Fisher-Hartwig asymptotics.

\[
\log G(z+1) = \frac{1}{12} - \log A + \frac{z^2}{2} \log 2\pi + \left( \frac{z^2}{2} - \frac{1}{12} \right) \log z - \frac{3z^2}{4} + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{B_{2k+2}}{4k(k+1)z^{2k}} + O \left( \frac{1}{z^{2N+2}} \right),
\]

where \( A \) is the Glaisher–Kinkelin constant and the \( B_k \) are the Bernoulli numbers.

This type of piece also appears in the free energy of some 4d supersymmetric gauge theories [70].

In analogy with localization, \( L \) could be interpreted as number of flavours, but with hypermultiplets describing fermionic matter, and hence in the numerator in the matrix model. For example, in [71] we see this type of insertions in the context of matrix quantum mechanics.

### Table 1

| Model            | \( N = 4 \) | \( N = 6 \) | \( N = 8 \) | Value of \( q \) |
|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------------|
| \( U(N) \)       | 1.0018      | 1.0005      | 1.0003      | \( q = 0.1 \)   |
| \( Sp(2N) \)     | 0.9559      | 0.9692      | 0.9768      | \( q = 0.25 \)  |
| \( O(2N) \)      | 0.9726      | 0.9970      | 0.9997      | \( q = 0.33 \)  |
| \( O(2N+1) \)    | 0.8616      | 0.9631      | 0.9906      | \( q = 0.5 \)   |

The table shows the quotient between the numerical value of the spectrums \( Z_{U(N)}^{(L=1,m=0)} \), computed directly by means of the formulas (29), (32), (35), (37), and the predicted value given by formulas (30), (34), (39). The high rate of convergence is apparent already at low values of \( N \). The rightmost column shows the value of \( q \) at which the spectrum is computed.
5.2. Symplectic group. We can proceed analogously for the rest of the groups \(G(N)\). The expression resulting from the character expansion is actually simpler in this case, although some extra care needs to be taken before integrating. Let us start with the symplectic group. First, we use the dual Cauchy identity (61) to expand the product in (28), obtaining

\[
Z_{\text{Sp}(2N)}^{(L,m)} = e^{Lm}(1 - e^{-2m} - L(L+1)/2} \sum_{\mu} e^{-|\mu|m} s_{\mu'}(1^{L}) \int_{\text{Sp}(2N)} s_{\mu}(U) \Theta(U) dU.
\]

Since \(s_{\mu}(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = 0\) if \(l(\mu) - \mu_1 - 1 > 2N\) (as can be seen from (50), for instance), we see that the sum above actually runs over all partitions contained in the rectangular diagram \((L^{2N+L+1})\), and therefore is finite. However, we can only use formula (23) and substitute the integral in (31) by the Wilson loop \(\langle W_{\mu} \rangle_{\text{Sp}(2N)}\) for those partitions satisfying \(l(\mu) \leq N\). One can bypass this constraint in the following way. It is proven in [32] (see proposition 2.4.1) that any \(s_{\mu}(U)\) (seen as a symmetric function, specialized to the nontrivial eigenvalues of \(U\)) indexed by a partition of length \(l(\mu) > N\) either vanishes or coincides with an irreducible character \(\chi_{\text{Sp}(2N)}(U)\), with \(l(\lambda) \leq N\), up to a sign. One can then substitute those \(s_{\mu}(U)\) by the corresponding \(\chi_{\text{Sp}(2N)}(U)\), use formula (23) to write the integrals as the Wilson loops \(\langle W_{\lambda} \rangle_{\text{Sp}(2N)}\), and then undo the change to recover the \(\langle W_{\mu} \rangle_{\text{Sp}(2N)}\) indexed by the original partition \(\mu\) (recall that these coincide themselves with a symplectic Schur function, up to a prefactor). This yields the formula

\[
Z_{\text{Sp}(2N)}^{(L,m)} = e^{Lm}(1 - e^{-2m} - L(L+1)/2} \sum_{\mu} e^{-|\mu|m} s_{\mu'}(1^{L}) \langle W_{\mu} \rangle_{\text{Sp}(2N)},
\]

where the sum runs over all partitions contained in the rectangular shape \((L^{2N+L+1})\). An analogous analysis to the unitary case is can be performed now. In particular, in the \(q \to 1\) limit we obtain

\[
\lim_{q \to 1} Z_{\text{Sp}(2N)}^{(L,m)} = e^{Lm(1 + e^{-m})^{2N}L}
\]

using the dual Cauchy identity (61). Thus, not only does the \((N,L)\) duality hold for the symplectic group, up to the prefactor \(e^{Lm}\), but the model is actually the same as the unitary one in the \(q \to 1\) limit.

Also as in the unitary case, the above sum gives rise to a highly degenerated spectrum. See figure [2] for an example; explicit instances for lower values of \(N\) and \(L\) can also be computed easily. For instance, using the fact that \(s_{\mu}(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = -s_{\mu_1}(x_1, \ldots, x_N)\) (which follows from [50]), we obtain for \(L = 1\) the expression

\[
Z_{\text{Sp}(2N)}^{(L=1,m)} = e^{m(1 - e^{-2m})-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2N+2} e^{-km} q^{Nk+k^2/2} s_{\mu_1}(q, \ldots, q^N)
\]

\[
= e^{m(1 - e^{-2m})-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} e^{-km} (1 - e^{-(N-k+1)2m}) q^{Nk+k^2/2} s_{\mu_1}(q, \ldots, q^N)
\]

\[
= e^{m} \sum_{k=0}^{N} e^{-km} (1 + e^{-2m} + e^{-4m} + \cdots + e^{-(N+k)2m}) q^{Nk+k^2/2} s_{\mu_1}(q, \ldots, q^N).
\]

We see that the prefactor \((1 - e^{-2m})^{-1}\) vanishes due to the mentioned coincidence among symplectic characters indexed by single row partitions. The prefactor also cancels for greater values of \(L\), due to the identity

\[
s_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (-1)^{\lambda_1 (\lambda_1+1)/2} s_{\lambda}(x_1, \ldots, x_N),
\]

for single row partitions. This yields

\[
\lim_{q \to 1} Z_{\text{Sp}(2N)}^{(L,m)} = e^{Lm(1 + e^{-m})^{2N}L}
\]
Symplectic model. \( N= 10, L= 1 \)

Even orthogonal model. \( N= 6, L= 1 \)

Figure 2. For each \( n \) in the \( x \) axis, the \( y \) axis shows the coefficient of the monomial \( q^{n/2} \) in \( Z_{Sp(20)}^{(L=1, m=0)} \) (left) and \( Z_{O(12)}^{(L=1, m=0)} \) (right).

where \( \tilde{\lambda} \) is the partition that results from rotating by 180° the complement of \( \lambda \) in the rectangle \( (\lambda_1^{2N} + \lambda) + 1 \). In particular, this shows that the model is well defined in the massless limit \( m \to 0 \) (which was not immediate from (32)). See appendix A for a proof of this identity.

Large-\( N \) limit. Using identity (27) and the dual Cauchy identity (50) we see that if \( m \neq 0 \) we have

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} Z_{Sp(2N)}^{(L, m)} = e^{Lm} (1 - e^{-2m})^{-L(L+1)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-m} q^{1/2} L}.
\]

For the massless case, we can proceed as in the unitary model, and use known results on the asymptotics of Toeplitz–Hankel determinants generated by functions with Fisher-Hartwig singularities. It follows from (77) that for a single singularity at \(-1\) with parameters \( \alpha = L \) and \( \beta = 0 \) we have

\[
Z_{Sp(2N)}^{(L, m=0)} \sim \left( \frac{N}{2} \right)^{L(L+1)/2} e^{L/2} G(3/2 + L) \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - q^{k-1/2} L)}
\]

as \( N \to \infty \). Table 5.1 shows some numerical tests of the accuracy of this formula.

5.3. Orthogonal groups. A similar reasoning applies to the orthogonal groups. For the even orthogonal group, it follows from (62) that

\[
Z_{O(2N)}^{(L, m)} = e^{Lm} (1 - e^{-2m})^{-L(L-1)/2} \sum_{\mu} e^{-|\mu|m} s_{\mu} (1^L) \langle W_\mu \rangle_{O(2N)}.
\]

The even orthogonal characters verify \( o_{\mu}^{even}(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = 0 \) if \( l(\mu) - \mu_1 + 1 > 2N \), and thus the sum above is now over all the partitions \( \mu \) contained in the rectangle \( (L^{2N} + L - 1) \) (a similar reasoning to the symplectic case holds, and in the end one can replace every even orthogonal Schur function \( o_{\mu}^{even}(U) \) in the sum by the corresponding Wilson loop \( \langle W_\mu \rangle_{O(2N)} \). See figure

\footnote{\text{For instance, we have } sp_{(32222221)}(x_1, x_2, x_3) = sp_{(332111111)}(x_1, x_2, x_3), \text{ with } N = M = 3. \text{ The second partition (332111111) is obtained after rotating the complement of the first partition (32222221) in the rectangle (310).}}
for an example of this spectrum. A direct computation shows also that for \( L = 1 \) the sum simplifies to

\[
Z^{(L=1,m)}_{O(2N)} = e^m \sum_{k=0}^{2N} e^{-km} q^{Nk-k^2/2} o_{(1^k)}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1}) =
= e^m \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{-km} (1 + e^{-(N-k)2m}) q^{Nk-k^2/2} o_{(1^k)}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1}) + e^{-(N-1)m} q^{N^2/2} o_{(1^N)}(1, q, \ldots, q^{N-1}).
\]

As in the symplectic model, the prefactor \((1 - e^{-2m})^{-L(L-1)/2}\) in \((35)\) cancels for higher values of \( L \), due to the identity

\[
o^\text{even}_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (-1)^{\lambda_1(\lambda_1-1)/2} o^\text{even}_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_N),
\]

where \( \tilde{\lambda} \) is the partition obtained from rotating \( 180^\circ \) the complement of \( \lambda \) in the rectangular diagram \((\lambda_1^{2N} + \lambda_1^{-1})\). See appendix A for a proof of identity \((36)\).

For the odd orthogonal group we have

\[
Z^{(L,m)}_{O(2N+1)} = e^{Lm} (1 + e^{-m})^{-L}(1 - e^{-2m})^{-L(L-1)/2} \sum_\mu e^{-|\mu|m} s_\mu(1^L) (W_\mu)_{O(2N+1)},
\]

using \((33)\). Since \( o^\text{odd}_\mu(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = 0 \) whenever \( l(\mu) - \mu_1 > 2N \), we see that the sum runs now over all the partitions \( \mu \) contained in the rectangular shape \((L^{2N+L})\). The \( L = 1 \) model can be computed explicitly, yielding

\[
Z^{(L=1,m)}_{O(2N+1)} = e^m (1 + e^{-m})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2N+1} e^{-km} q^{Nk-k^2/2} o^\text{odd}_{(1^k)}(q^{1/2}, q^{3/2}, \ldots, q^{N-1/2}) =
= e^m (1 + e^{-m})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{N} e^{-km} (1 - e^{-(N-k+1/2)2m}) q^{Nk-k^2/2} o^\text{odd}_{(1^k)}(q^{1/2}, \ldots, q^{N-1/2}).
\]

As above, the prefactor \((1 - e^{-2m})^{-L(L-1)/2}\) cancels for every \( L \), in this time because of the identity

\[
o^\text{odd}_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_N) = (-1)^{\lambda_1(\lambda_1-1)/2} o^\text{odd}_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_N),
\]

where \( \tilde{\lambda} \) is the complement of the partition \( \lambda \) in the rectangle \((\lambda_1^{2N} + \lambda_1^{-1})\), rotated by \( 180^\circ \).

Using the dual Cauchy identities \((62),(63)\) and identities \((21)\) and \((25)\) we see that also for the orthogonal models we have that

\[
\lim_{q \to 1} Z^{(L,m)}_{O(2N)} = \lim_{q \to 1} Z^{(L,m)}_{O(2N+1)} = e^{Lm} (1 + e^{-m})^{2NL},
\]

preserving the \((N, L)\) duality and coincidence of the models in this limit.

**Large-\(N\) limit.** As in the symplectic model, using \((27)\) and the Cauchy identity \((56)\) we see that if \( m \neq 0 \) then we have

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} Z^{(L,m)}_{O(2N)} = e^{Lm} (1 - e^{-2m})^{-L(L-1)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-m} q^{k-1/2})^L}
\]

and

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} Z^{(L,m)}_{O(2N+1)} = e^{Lm} (1 + e^{-m})^{-L}(1 - e^{-2m})^{-L(L-1)/2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(1 - e^{-m} q^{k-1/2})^L}.
\]
If $m = 0$ we can use again the known results on Fisher-Hartwig asymptotics reviewed in the appendix (77) to obtain that, as $N \to \infty$,

$$Z_{O(2N)}^{(L,m=0)} \sim \left( \frac{N}{2} \right)^{L(L-1)/2} \left( \frac{4\pi}{L} \right)^{L/2} \frac{G(1/2)}{G(1/2 + L)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^k} L',$$

$$Z_{O(2N+1)}^{(L,m=0)} \sim \left( \frac{N}{2} \right)^{L(L-1)/2} \left( \frac{\pi}{L} \right)^{L/2} \frac{G(1/2)}{G(1/2 + L)} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - \rho^k} L'. \quad (39)$$
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Appendix A: Characters of $G(N)$ and symmetric functions

We summarize below some basic facts about partitions, the characters of the classical groups and symmetric functions, and list some of their properties. See [36, 32] for more details.

A partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_l)$ is a finite and non-increasing sequence of positive integers. The number of nonzero entries is called the length of the partition and is denoted by $l(\lambda)$, and the sum $|\lambda| = \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_l$ is called the weight of the partition. The entry $\lambda_j$ is understood to be zero whenever the index $j$ is greater than the length of the partition. The notation $(a^b)$ stands for the partition with exactly $b$ nonzero entries, all equal to $a$. A partition can be represented as a Young diagram, by placing $\lambda_1$ left-justified boxes in the $j$-th row of the diagram; the conjugate partition $\lambda'$ is then obtained as the partition which diagram has as rows the columns of the diagram of $\lambda$.

Let $\lambda$ be a partition of length $l(\lambda) \leq N$. The characters associated to the irreducible representation indexed by $\lambda$ of each of the groups $G(N)$ are given by\[13\]

$$\chi^\lambda_{U(N)}(U) = \frac{\det M^\lambda_{U(N)}(z)}{\det M_{U(N)}(z)} = \frac{\det (z_j^{N-k+\lambda_k})_{j,k=1}}{\det (z_j^{N-k})_{j,k=1}} N,$$  

$$\chi^\lambda_{Sp(2N)}(U) = \frac{\det M^\lambda_{Sp(2N)}(z)}{\det M_{Sp(2N)}(z)} = \frac{\det (z_j^{N-k+\lambda_k+1} - z_j^{-(N-k+\lambda_k+1)})_{j,k=1}}{\det (z_j^{N-k} - z_j^{-(N-k+1)})_{j,k=1}} N,$$  

$$\chi^\lambda_{O(2N)}(U) = \frac{\det M^\lambda_{O(2N)}(z)}{\det M_{O(2N)}(z)} = \frac{\det (z_j^{N-k+\lambda_k} + z_j^{-(N-k+\lambda_k)})_{j,k=1}}{\det (z_j^{N-k} + z_j^{-(N-k)})_{j,k=1}} N,$$  

$$\chi^\lambda_{O(2N+1)}(U) = \frac{\det M^\lambda_{O(2N+1)}(z)}{\det M_{O(2N+1)}(z)} = \frac{\det (z_j^{N-k+\lambda_k+\frac{1}{2}} - z_j^{-(N-k+\lambda_k+\frac{1}{2})})_{j,k=1}}{\det (z_j^{N-k+\frac{1}{2}} - z_j^{-(N-k+\frac{1}{2})})_{j,k=1}} N. \quad (43)$$

\[13\]Recall that the character \[12\] does not correspond to an irreducible representation of $O(2N)$ if $\lambda_N \neq 0$. This fact is not relevant for our purposes so we ignore it throughout the paper and work with the algebraic expression \[12\]; minor modifications to the derivations allow a treatment of the general case.
where the \( z_j = e^{i\theta_j} \) are the nontrivial eigenvalues of the matrices \( U \). The determinants in the denominators above have the explicit evaluations \([72]\)

\[
det M_{U(N)}(z) = \det \left( z_j^{N-k} \right)_{j,k=1}^N = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (z_j - z_k),
\]

\[ (44) \]

\[
det M_{Sp(2N)}(z) = \det \left( z_j^{N-k+1} - z_j^{-(N-k+1)} \right)_{j,k=1}^N = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (z_j - z_k)(1 - z_j z_k) \prod_{j=1}^N (z_j^2 - 1)z_j^{-N},
\]

\[ (45) \]

\[
det M_{O(2N)}(z) = \det \left( z_j^{N-k+\frac{1}{2}} - z_j^{-(N-k+\frac{1}{2})} \right)_{j,k=1}^N = 2 \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (z_j - z_k)(1 - z_j z_k) \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{-N+1},
\]

\[ (46) \]

\[
det M_{O(2N+1)}(z) = \det \left( z_j^{N-k} + z_j^{-(N-k)} \right)_{j,k=1}^N = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} (z_j - z_k)(1 - z_j z_k) \prod_{j=1}^N (z_j - 1)z_j^{-N+1/2}.
\]

\[ (47) \]

Given a (possibly infinite) set of variables \( x = (x_1, x_2, \ldots) \), the complete homogeneous and elementary symmetric polynomials are defined as

\[ h_k(x) = \sum_{i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}, \quad e_k(x) = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots < i_k} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_k}, \]

\[ (48) \]

respectively, for every positive integer \( k \), together with the conditions \( h_0 = e_0 = 1 \) and \( h_k = e_k = 0 \) for negative integers \( k \). Using these functions, one can define the Schur, symplectic Schur, and even/odd orthogonal Schur functions by means of the Jacobi-Trudi identities

\[
s_\lambda(x) = \det \left( h_{j-k+\lambda_i(x)} \right)_{j,k=1}^{I(\lambda)} = \det \left( e_{j-k+\lambda_i'(x)} \right)_{j,k=1}^{I(\lambda)}, \]

\[ (49) \]

\[
sp_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{2} \det \left( h_{\lambda_j-j+k}(x, x^{-1}) + h_{\lambda_{j-k-1}(x, x^{-1})} \right)_{j,k=1}^{I(\lambda)}
\]

\[ = \det \left( e_{\lambda'_j-j+k}(x, x^{-1}) - e_{\lambda'_j-j-k}(x, x^{-1}) \right)_{j,k=1}^{I(\lambda)}, \]

\[ (50) \]

\[
oeven_\lambda(x) = \det \left( h_{\lambda_{j-k+1}}(x, x^{-1}) - h_{\lambda_j-j+k}(x, x^{-1}) \right)_{j,k=1}^{I(\lambda)}
\]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \det \left( e_{\lambda'_j-j+k}(x, x^{-1}) + e_{\lambda'_j-j-k}(x, x^{-1}) \right)_{j,k=1}^{I(\lambda)}, \]

\[ (51) \]

\[
oodd_\lambda(x) = \det \left( h_{\lambda_j-j+k}(x, x^{-1}, 1) - h_{\lambda_{j-k-1}}(x, x^{-1}, 1) \right)_{j,k=1}^{I(\lambda)}
\]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \det \left( e_{\lambda'_j-j+k}(x, x^{-1}, 1) + e_{\lambda'_j-j-k}(x, x^{-1}, 1) \right)_{j,k=1}^{I(\lambda)}.
\]

\[ (52) \]
They satisfy the Cauchy identities

$$\sum_{\nu} s_{\nu}(x)s_{\nu}(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (56)

$$\sum_{\nu} sp_{\nu}(x)s_{\nu}(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i^{-1} y_j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (57)

$$\sum_{\nu} o_{\nu}^{even}(x)s_{\nu}(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i^{-1} y_j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (58)

$$\sum_{\nu} o_{\nu}^{odd}(x)s_{\nu}(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j} \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - x_i^{-1} y_j} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - y_j},$$  \hspace{1cm} (59)

and dual Cauchy identities

$$\sum_{\nu} s_{\nu}(x)s_{\nu}(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i y_j),$$  \hspace{1cm} (60)

$$\sum_{\nu} sp_{\nu}(x)s_{\nu}(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_i y_j) \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i y_j)(1 + x_i^{-1} y_j),$$  \hspace{1cm} (61)

$$\sum_{\nu} o_{\nu}^{even}(x)s_{\nu}(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_i y_j) \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i y_j)(1 + x_i^{-1} y_j),$$  \hspace{1cm} (62)

$$\sum_{\nu} o_{\nu}^{odd}(x)s_{\nu}(y) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 - y_i y_j) \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_i y_j)(1 + x_i^{-1} y_j) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + y_j).$$  \hspace{1cm} (63)

Since the groups $Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N+1)$ can be embedded on the unitary group $U(2N)$ or $U(2N+1)$, the irreducible characters on each of these groups can be expressed in terms of the others, after applying the specialization homomorphisms $(z_1, \ldots, z_{2N}) \mapsto (z_1, \ldots, z_N, z_1^{-1}, \ldots, z_N^{-1})$ (for $Sp(2N), O(2N)$) or $(z_1, \ldots, z_{2N+1}) \mapsto (z_1, \ldots, z_N, z_1^{-1}, \ldots, z_N^{-1}, 1)$ (for $O(2N+1)$). When seen as universal characters in the the ring symmetric functions, they have the following expansions

$$s_{\lambda}(x, x^{-1}) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \text{ even}} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} sp_{\alpha}(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (64)

$$s_{\lambda}(x, x^{-1}) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \text{ even}} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} o_{\alpha}^{even}(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (65)

$$s_{\lambda}(x, x^{-1}, 1) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \text{ even}} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} o_{\alpha}^{odd}(x),$$  \hspace{1cm} (66)

where $c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda}$ are Littlewood-Richardson coefficients and we say that a partition is even if it has only even parts. Reciprocally,

$$sp_{\lambda}(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in T(N)} (-1)^{|\beta|/2} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} s_{\beta}(x, x^{-1}) = \sum_{\beta \in T(N)} (-1)^{|\beta|/2} s_{\lambda/\beta}(x, x^{-1}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (67)

$$o_{\lambda}^{even}(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in R(N)} (-1)^{|\beta|/2} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} s_{\alpha}(x, x^{-1}) = \sum_{\beta \in R(N)} (-1)^{|\beta|/2} s_{\lambda/\beta}(x, x^{-1}),$$  \hspace{1cm} (68)

$$o_{\lambda}^{odd}(x) = \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{\beta \in R(N)} (-1)^{|\beta|/2} c_{\alpha\beta}^{\lambda} s_{\alpha}(x, x^{-1}, 1) = \sum_{\beta \in R(N)} (-1)^{|\beta|/2} s_{\lambda/\beta}(x, x^{-1}, 1)$$  \hspace{1cm} (69)

where $T(N)$ and $R(N)$ are the sets defined before theorem.
Let us record here a proof of identities (33), (36) and (38), as we have been unable to find them in the literature.

**Theorem 6.** Let \( \lambda = (1^{a_1} 2^{a_2} \ldots M^{a_M}) \) be a partition, written in frequency notation. That is, \( \lambda \) is the partition with exactly \( a_M \) parts equal to \( M \), \( a_{M-1} \) parts equal to \( M-1 \), and so on. We have

\[
sp_\lambda(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N) = (-1)^{M(M+1)/2} sp_\tilde{\lambda}(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_N),
\]

where \( \tilde{\lambda} = (1^{a_{M-1} 2^{a_{M-2}} \ldots (M-2)^{a_2} (M-1)^{a_1} M^{2N+M+1-a_1-a_2-\cdots-a_M}) \) is the partition that results from rotating \( 180^\circ \) the complement of \( \lambda \) in the rectangular diagram \( (M^{2N+M+1}) \).

**Proof.** First of all, note that for \( \lambda \) as above we have

\[
\lambda' = (a_M + a_{M-1} + \cdots + a_1, a_M + a_{M-1} + \cdots + a_2, \ldots, a_M + a_{M-1}, a_M),
\]

using the standard notation for partitions. Let us denote the \( j \)-th entry of \( \lambda' \) by \( b_j \) to simplify the exposition. It follows from the Jacobi-Trudi identity \( [31] \) and \( [10] \) that

\[
(-1)^M sp_\lambda = (-1)^M \begin{vmatrix}
    e_{b_1} - e_{b_1-2} & e_{b_1+1} - e_{b_1-3} & \cdots & e_{b_1+M-1} - e_{b_1-M-1} \\
    e_{b_2} - e_{b_2-3} & e_{b_2+1} - e_{b_2-4} & \cdots & e_{b_2+M-2} - e_{b_2-M-2} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    e_{b_{M-1}} - e_{b_{M-1}-M} & e_{b_{M-1}+1} - e_{b_{M-1}-M-1} & \cdots & e_{b_{M-1}+M-1} - e_{b_{M-1}-2M-1} \\
    e_{b_M} - e_{b_M-M} & e_{b_M+1} - e_{b_M-M-1} & \cdots & e_{b_M+M-1} - e_{b_M-2M-1}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

and

\[
(\lambda')_1 = \begin{vmatrix}
    sp_{(b_1)} & sp_{(b_1+1)} + sp_{(b_1-1)} & \cdots & sp_{(b_1+M-1)} + \cdots + sp_{(b_1-M+1)} \\
    sp_{(b_2)} + sp_{(b_2-2)} & sp_{(b_2+2)} + sp_{(b_2-4)} & \cdots & sp_{(b_2+M-2)} + \cdots + sp_{(b_2-M+2)} \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    sp_{(b_{M-1}+M-2)} & sp_{(b_{M-1}+M-3)} + sp_{(b_{M-1}+M-1)} & \cdots & sp_{(b_{M-1}+M-1)} + \cdots + sp_{(b_{M-1}+M-2M+3)} \\
    sp_{(b_{M-1}-M+2)} & sp_{(b_{M-1}-M+3)} + sp_{(b_{M-1}-M+1)} & \cdots & sp_{(b_{M-1}-M+1)} + \cdots + sp_{(b_{M-1}-M-2M+2)}
\end{vmatrix}
\]

(we have omitted the dependance on \( x \) for ease of notation). Reversing the order of the rows of the last determinant above, we see that it corresponds to another symplectic Schur function indexed by some partition \( \mu \). Comparing this with the second determinant above we see that \( \mu \) verifies

\[
\mu'_1 = 2N + M + 1 - b_M = 2N + M + 1 - a_M, \\
\mu'_2 = 2N + M + 1 - b_{M-1} = 2N + M + 1 - a_M - a_{M-1}, \\
\vdots \\
\mu'_M = 2N + M + 1 - b_1 = 2N + M + 1 - a_M - a_{M-1} - \cdots - a_1,
\]

proving the desired result. \( \square \)

The proof of identities (36) and (38) follows analogously, using the corresponding Jacobi-Trudi identities.
Appendix B: Large-N Limit of Toeplitz and Toeplitz±Hankel Determinants

The classical strong Szegő limit theorem describes the large-N behaviour of Toeplitz determinants generated by sufficiently smooth functions. We record below its statement and a generalization for the determinants of Toeplitz±Hankel matrices due to Johansson \[73\] (see also \[74\]).

**Theorem** (Szegő, Johansson). Let \( f(e^{i\theta}) = \exp(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k e^{ik\theta}) \), with \( \sum_k |V_k| < \infty \) and \( \sum_k k|V_k|^2 < \infty \), and define \( f(U) \) by formula \(2\), where \( U \) belongs to any of the groups \( G(N) \). We have

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{U(N)} f(U) dU = \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 \right) .
\]

(70)

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{Sp(2N)} f(U) dU = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k \right) ,
\]

(71)

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{O(2N)} f(U) dU = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k \right) ,
\]

(72)

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \int_{O(2N+1)} f(U) dU = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{2k-1} \right) .
\]

(73)

We have stated the theorem for slightly different integrals that those appearing in \[73\]; the result follows after using the mapping \( \cos \theta_k \mapsto x_k \) in the integral \[2\] (3). This allows to express the integrals in terms of the orthogonal polynomials with respect to a modified weight on \([-1, 1] \), which relation with the orthogonal polynomials with respect to the original weight is well known \[75\] (see also \[3\]).

The asymptotic behaviour of Toeplitz determinants generated by functions that do not satisfy the hypotheses in Szegő’s theorem has attracted a lot of interest over the years \[2\]. Such functions are typically studied in terms of their factorization as a sufficiently smooth function (in the sense of Szegő’s theorem) and a finite number of so-called Fisher-Hartwig singularities \[76\].

\[
\varphi_{z, \alpha, \beta}(ze^{i\theta}) = |1 - e^{i\theta}|^{2\alpha} e^{i\beta(\theta - \pi)} = (1 - e^{i\theta})^\alpha (1 - e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha - \beta} ,
\]

(74)

where \( z \) is a point on the unit circle, \( \text{Re}(\alpha) > -1/2 \) and \( \beta \in \mathbb{C} \). This function may have a zero, a pole, or an oscillatory singularity at \( z \), depending on the value of \( \alpha \), and a jump at the same point if \( \beta \) is not an integer.

For our purposes, we only need to consider Toeplitz determinants generated by functions with a single Fisher-Hartwig singularity. This fact, together with the definition \(2\) allows us to consider only particular examples of the very general results known for this kind of asymptotics. What follows is a particular case of a theorem of Widom \[77\] for functions of the form \(2\) with a single singularity, adapted for this setting. See \[78\] for more general results on the topic.

**Theorem** (Widom). Let \( f \) be given by

\[
f(e^{i\theta}) = e^{V(e^{i\theta})} (1 - e^{i(\theta - \theta_0)})^{\alpha} ,
\]

(75)

where \( \text{Re}(\alpha) > -1/2, 0 < \theta_0 < 2\pi \), and the potential \( V(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_k e^{ik\theta} \) satisfies \( \sum_k |V_k| < \infty \) and \( \sum_k k|V_k|^2 < \infty \), as in Szegő’s theorem. Define \( f(U) \) by \(2\) for any \( U \in U(N) \). Then, as \( N \to \infty \), we have

\[
\int_{U(N)} f(U) dU \sim \exp \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} kV_k^2 \right) N^{\alpha^2} e^{-2\alpha V(e^{i\theta_0})} G^2(\alpha + 1) G(2\alpha + 1) .
\]

(76)
The asymptotic behaviour of Toeplitz±Hankel determinants generated by functions with Fisher-Hartwig singularities has also been studied. As above, we state only a particular case of a theorem of Deift, Its and Krasovsky \[69\] for functions with a single singularity at the point \(z = -1\), which will be enough for our purposes. See \[69\] for general results on Fisher-Hartwig asymptotics of Toeplitz±Hankel determinants.

**Theorem** (Deift, Its, Krasovsky). Let \(f\) be given by (75), with \(\theta_0 = \pi\), and define \(f(U)\) by (2) for any \(U \in Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N + 1)\). Then, as \(N \to \infty\), we have

\[
\int_{G(N)} f(U)dU \sim \left( \int_{G(N)} e^{\nu(U)}dU \right) e^{-\alpha V(-1)N^{\alpha^2/2+\alpha t}2^{-\alpha^2/2-\alpha(s+t-1/2)} \pi^{\alpha/2}G(t+1)G(\alpha+t+1)}.
\]

where \(s\) and \(t\) depend on the group \(G(N)\) and are given by

\[
Sp(2N) : s = t = \frac{1}{2}, \quad O(2N) : s = t = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad O(2N + 1) : s = \frac{1}{2}, t = -\frac{1}{2}.
\]

Recall that the factor \(\lim_N \int_{G(N)} e^{\nu(U)}dU\) in (77) can be computed by means of the Szegő-Johansson theorem above.

**Appendix C: \(S\) and \(T\) Matrices**

The \(S\) and \(T\) matrices are central in the study of modular tensor categories, which has its origins in the study of rational conformal field theories \[79\], and also underlies a topological quantum field theory in 3-dimensions.

The modular group \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\) is the most basic example of a discrete nonabelian group. Two particular elements in \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\) are \(S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\) and \(T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\). It can then be proven that the matrices \(S\) and \(T\) generate \(SL(2, \mathbb{Z})\).

These modular \(T\) and \(S\) matrices, are generated, respectively, by a Dehn twist and a 90° rotation on the torus. Recall that a Dehn twist essentially consists in cutting up a torus along one axis, twisting the edge by 360° and gluing the two edges back.

To have some idea of the relationship with topological QFT one can recall that there are a finite set of objects associated with a two dimensional surface and that the topological nature of the association means that the mapping class group of the surface acts on these objects. A known example originates in the \(G/G\) WZW theory on \(T^2\) for \(G = SU(N)\). In this case, the objects are the conformal blocks of the theory, which are the characters of \(SU(N)_k\), the affine Lie algebra of \(SU(N)\) at level \(k\). The action of the modular group on the characters of \(SU(N)_k\) is given by

\[
S_{\lambda\mu} = \sum_{w \in W} (-1)^{|w|} \exp\left(\frac{i\pi}{k+N}(\lambda+\rho, w(\mu+\rho))\right) = s_\lambda(q^{\frac{1}{2}}, q^{\frac{3}{2}}, \ldots, q^{N-\frac{1}{2}}) s_\mu(q^{\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_1}, q^{\frac{3}{2}-\lambda_2}, \ldots, q^{N-\frac{1}{2}-\lambda_N}),
\]

where \(s_\lambda(x_1, \ldots, x_N)\) is a Schur polynomial. Because the WZW theory on \(T^2\) is related to the canonical quantization of the Chern-Simons theory on \(T^2 \times \mathbb{R}\) the space of conformal blocks of the WZW theory on \(T^2\) is also the Hilbert space of the Chern-Simons theory, where the normalized S-matrix \(S_{\lambda\mu}/S_{\emptyset\emptyset}\) is the Hopf link invariant. The matrix model results are in the so-called Seifert framing instead of the canonical framing of the three manifold. Starting from \(S^3 \times S^1\) one generates \(S^3\), by action of \(T^m ST^m\). While the canonical framing for \(S^3\) corresponds to \(m = n = 0\), one obtains a \(U(1)\)-invariant Seifert framing for \(n + m = 2\) \[80\].

The \(T\) and \(S\) matrices encode the information of quasi-particles non-Abelian statistics and their fusion and are central in the description of topological order \[81\]. Remarkably, such different
braiding statistics, described by the matrices, can also be extracted in many models using wavefunction overlaps [81]. In this regard, for example, the minor description of the modular matrix elements and its associated integral representation of random matrix type studied here is conductive to interpretation in term of quantum amplitudes, of the Loschmidt echo type, of certain 1d spin chain [19].
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