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1. Introduction

To better integrate robots into society, a robot should be able to interact in a friendly manner with humans. The aim of our research is to contribute to the development of a robot that can perceive human feelings and mental states. A robot that could do so could, for example, better take care of an elderly person, support a handicapped person in his or her life, encourage a person who looks sad, or advise an individual to stop working and take a rest when he or she looks tired.

Our study concerns the first stage of the development of a robot that has the ability to detect visually human feelings or mental states. Although a mechanism for recognizing facial expressions has received considerable attention in the field of computer vision research (Harashima et al., 1989; Kobayashi & Hara, 1994; Mase, 1990, 1991; Matsuno et al., 1994; Yuille et al., 1989), currently it still falls far short of human capability—especially from the viewpoint of robustness under widely varying lighting conditions. One of the reasons for this is that the nuances of shade, reflection, and localized darkness—as the result of the inevitable changes in gray levels—influence the accuracy of the discernment of facial expressions.

To develop a robust method of facial expression recognition applicable under widely varied lighting conditions, we do not use a visible ray (VR) image, instead we use an image produced by infrared rays (IR), which show temperature distributions of the face (Fujimura et al., 2011; Ikezoe et al., 2004; Koda et al., 2009; Nakano et al., 2009; Sugimoto et al., 2000; Yoshitomi et al., 1996, 1997a, 1997b, 2000, 2011a, 2011b; Yoshitomi, 2010). Although a human cannot detect IR, a robot can process the information contained in the thermal images created by IR. Therefore, as a new mode of robot vision, thermal image processing is a practical method that is viable under natural conditions.

The timing for recognizing facial expressions also is important for a robot because processing can be time consuming. We adopted an utterance as the key to expressing human feelings or mental states because humans tend to say something to express their feelings (Fujimura et al., 2011; Ikezoe et al., 2004; Koda et al., 2009; Nakano et al., 2009; Yoshitomi et al., 2000; Yoshitomi et al., 2011a, 2011b; Yoshitomi, 2010). In conversation, we utter many phonemes. We have selected vowel utterances for use as timings to recognize facial expressions because the number of vowels is very limited, and the waveforms of vowels tend to have a bigger amplitude and a longer utterance period than consonants. Accordingly, the timing range of each vowel can be relatively easily decided by a speech recognition system.
In this paper, we briefly look at a proposed method (Koda et al., 2009) for recognizing the facial expressions of a speaker. For this facial expression recognition, we select three image timings: (i) just before speaking, and speaking (ii) the first vowel and (iii) the last vowel in an utterance. To apply the proposed method (Koda et al., 2009), three subjects spoke 25 Japanese given names that provide all combinations of first and last vowels. These utterances were used to prepare the training data and then the test data.

2. Speech recognition system

We use a speech recognition system called Julius (Kawahara et al., 2010b) to save as a wav file the timing positions of the start of speech, and the first and last vowels (Koda et al., 2009; Yoshitomi, 2010; Fujimura et al., 2011; Yoshitomi et al., 2011a). Julius has been widely used by researchers and engineers, especially in Japan. Julius can achieve typically real-time dictation of a 20,000-60,000 word vocabulary with an accuracy of about 90% on a PC (Kawahara et al., 2010a). In the references (Kawahara et al., 2010a, 2010b; Lee & Kawahara, 2009), Julius is explained in detail. Based on these references, we briefly explain the characteristics of Julius.

Julius has been developed as a research software for large-vocabulary continuous speech recognition (LVCSR) since 1997, and is distributed under an open license together with source codes. Julius is an open-source software for Japanese LVCSR. Word N-gram, context-dependent Hidden Markov Model (HMM), tree lexicon, N-gram factoring, crossword context dependency handling, enveloped beam search, Gaussian pruning, and Gaussian selection are used as the main techniques in Julius. According to the references (Kawahara et al., 2010a, 2010b; Lee & Kawahara, 2009), the main characteristics of Julius are:

- Real-time, high-speed, recognition based on a two-pass strategy.
- Live audio input recognition via microphone/input socket.
- Less than 32 M Bytes required for work area in memory.
- Supports language model (LM) of N-gram, grammar, and isolated words.
- Any LM in standard ARPA format and acoustic models in HTK ascii hmmdefs format can be used.
- Set various search parameters. Alternate decoding algorithm can be chosen.
- Triphone HMM/tied-mixture HMM/phonetic tied-mixture HMM with any number of states, mixtures and models are supported in HTK.
- Most mel-frequency cepstral coefficients and their variants are supported in HTK.

Figure 1 shows examples of outputs by Julius. The figure shows the timing position at the start of speech, and each trimming range of the first and last vowels for the utterance of “Shinnya” pronounced by Subject A while expressing the emotions “angry,” “happy,” “neutral,” “sad,” and “surprised.”

|          | Angry     | Happy    | Neutral   | Sad       | Surprised |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Silent   | 0-35 frame| 0-12     | 0-64      | 0-43      | 0-48      |
| Consonant| 36-56     | 13-38    | 65-75     | 44-66     | 49-67     |
| Vowel(/i/) | 57-73    | 39-53    | 76-83     | 67-74     | 68-76     |
| Consonant| 74-91     | 54-69    | 84-110    | 75-99     | 77-97     |
| Vowel(/a/) | 92-103   | 70-84    | 111-129   | 100-117   | 98-117    |
| Silent   | 104-191   | 85-191   | 130-191   | 118-191   | 118-191   |

Fig. 1. Examples of outputs by Julius
3. Method for recognizing facial expressions

Figure 2 is a flowchart of the proposed method. We have two modules in our system. The first is for speech recognition and dynamic image analysis, and the second is for learning and recognition. In the module for learning and recognition, we embedded the module for front-view face judgment, which is not described in this paper because it is not directly related to speech recognition. The procedure used, except for the pre-processing module for front-view face judgment (Fujimura et al., 2011), is explained below.

Fig. 2. Flowchart of proposed method (Fujimura et al., 2011)
3.1 Speech recognition and dynamic image analysis

Figure 3 shows the waveform of the Japanese given name “Taro;” the timing position of the start of speech, and the timing ranges of the first vowel (/a/) and the last vowel (/o/) were decided by Julius. By using these three timing positions obtained from a wav file, three thermal image frames are extracted from an AVI file. For the timing position just before speaking, we use 84 ms, as determined in a previously reported study (Nakano et al., 2009). As the timing position of the first vowel, we use the position where the absolute value of the amplitude of the waveform is the maximum while speaking the vowel. For the timing position of the last vowel, we apply the same procedure used for the first vowel.

![Image frame extraction](image)

Fig. 3. Speech waveform of “Taro” and timing positions for image frame extraction (Koda et al., 2009)

3.2 Learning and recognition

For the static thermal images obtained from the extracted image frames, the process of erasing the area of the glasses, extracting the facial area, and standardizing the position, size, and rotation of the face are performed according to the method described in our previously reported study (Nakano et al., 2009). Figure 4 shows the blocks for extracting the facial areas in a thermal image of 720 × 480 pixels. In the next step, we generate difference images between the averaged neutral face image and the target face image in the extracted facial areas to perform a two-dimensional discrete cosine transform (2D-DCT). The feature vector is generated from the 2D-DCT coefficients according to a heuristic rule (Ikezoe et al., 2004; Nakano et al., 2009).

The Julius speech recognition system used in our study sometimes makes a mistake in recognizing the first and/or last vowel(s). For example, /a/ for the last vowel is sometimes misrecognized as /o/. We correct this misrecognition for the training data, however, corrections cannot be made for the test data. For example, in the experiment described later, when Julius correctly judges the first vowel of the utterance of “Ayaka,” but misjudges the last vowel as /o/, the training data in speaking “Taro” are used for recognition instead of those for speaking “Ayaka.” The facial expression is recognized by the nearest-neighbor criterion in the feature vector space by using the training data just before speaking and while uttering the phonemes of the first and last vowels.
4. Experiments

4.1 Conditions

The thermal image produced by a thermal video system (Nippon Avionics TVS-700) and the sound captured by an electret condenser microphone (Sony ECM-23F5), amplified by a mixer (Audio-Technica AT-PMX5P), were transformed into a digital signal by an analogue/digital converter (Thomson Canopus ADVC-300) and input into a computer (DELL Optiplex GX620, CPU: Pentium IV 3.4 GHz, main memory: 2.0 GB, OS: Windows XP (Microsoft)) with an IEEE1394 interface board (I-O Data Device 1394-PCI3/DV6). We used Visual C++ 6.0 (Microsoft) as the programming language. To generate a thermal image, we set the conditions so the thermal image had 256 gray levels for the detected temperature range. This range was decided independently for each subject in order to best extract the facial area. We saved the visual and audio information in the computer as a Type 2 DV-AVI file, in which a frame had a spatial resolution of 720 × 480 pixels and an 8-bit gray level, and the sound was saved in a PCM format as stereo, 48 kHz, 16-bit file. The version 4.0 of Julius was used in the current study.

All subjects exhibited, in alphabetical order, each of the intentional facial expressions of “angry,” “happy,” “neutral,” “sad,” and “surprised,” while speaking the semantically neutral utterance of each of the Japanese given names listed in Table 1. There were three subjects. Subject A was a male without glasses. Subject B was a male with glasses. Subject C was a female without glasses. Figures 5, 6, and 7 show images of Subjects A, B, and C, respectively.

| First vowel | a | i | u | e | o |
|-------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Last vowel  | 32 pixel | 80 pixel | 32 pixel | 72 pixel | 48 pixel | 56 pixel |
| a | ayaka | shinnya | tsubasa | keita | tomoya |
| i | kazuki | hikari | yuki | megumi | koji |
| u | takeru | shigeru | fuyu | megu | noboru |
| e | kaede | misae | yusuke | keisuke | kozue |
| o | taro | hiroko | yuto | keiko | tomoko |

Table 1. Japanese given names used in the experiment (Yoshitomi et al., 2011a)
|                | Just before speaking | In speaking first vowel (/i/) | In speaking last vowel (/a/) |
|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Angry**      | ![Image]             | ![Image]                      | ![Image]                    |
| **Happy**      | ![Image]             | ![Image]                      | ![Image]                    |
| **Neutral**    | ![Image]             | ![Image]                      | ![Image]                    |
| **Sad**        | ![Image]             | ![Image]                      | ![Image]                    |
| **Surprised**  | ![Image]             | ![Image]                      | ![Image]                    |

Fig. 5. Thermal images of Subject A expressing all facial expressions when speaking “Shinnya”
|             | Just before speaking | In speaking first vowel (/i/) | In speaking last vowel (/a/) |
|-------------|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Angry       | ![Image](image1.png)  | ![Image](image2.png)        | ![Image](image3.png)       |
| Happy       | ![Image](image4.png)  | ![Image](image5.png)        | ![Image](image6.png)       |
| Neutral     | ![Image](image7.png)  | ![Image](image8.png)        | ![Image](image9.png)       |
| Sad         | ![Image](image10.png) | ![Image](image11.png)       | ![Image](image12.png)      |
| Surprised   | ![Image](image13.png) | ![Image](image14.png)       | ![Image](image15.png)      |

Fig. 6. Thermal images of Subject B expressing all facial expressions when speaking “Shinnya”
|                | Just before speaking | In speaking first vowel (/i/) | In speaking last vowel (/a/) |
|----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Angry          | ![Image](image1.png) | ![Image](image2.png)        | ![Image](image3.png)       |
| Happy          | ![Image](image4.png) | ![Image](image5.png)        | ![Image](image6.png)       |
| Neutral        | ![Image](image7.png) | ![Image](image8.png)        | ![Image](image9.png)       |
| Sad            | ![Image](image10.png) | ![Image](image11.png)       | ![Image](image12.png)      |
| Surprised      | ![Image](image13.png) | ![Image](image14.png)       | ![Image](image15.png)      |

Fig. 7. Thermal images of Subject C expressing all facial expressions when speaking “Shinnya”
In the experiment, all subjects kept intentionally the front-view faces in the AVI files saved as both the training and test data. Accordingly, the pre-processing module for front-view face judgment (Fujimura et al., 2011) was not used in the experiment. We assembled 20 samples as training data and 10 samples as test data. From one sample, we obtained three images at the timing positions of just before speaking, and just speaking the phonemes of the first and last vowels. We obtained training data for all combinations of vowel type of the first and last vowels.

4.2 Results and discussion

The mean values for the recognition accuracy of Subject A in speaking 25 names with five emotions were 94.1% for the first vowel and 87.0% for the last vowel. Those of Subject B were 87.4% for the first vowel and 80.4% for the last vowel. Those of Subject C were 84.7% for the first vowel and 70.8% for the last vowel. For all subjects, Julius recognized the first vowel more accurately than the last vowel. Tables 2, 3 and 4 show the recognition accuracy for both the first and last vowels of Subjects A, B, and C, respectively. In Tables 2, 3 and 4, the recognition accuracy means the ratio in percentage of cases in which both the first and last vowels are correctly recognized. The mean values for the recognition accuracy for both the first and last vowels of Subject A in speaking 25 names with each emotion were 83.0% for "angry," 87.2% for "happy," 82.0% for "neutral," 83.6% for "sad," and 76.4% for "surprised." Those of Subject B were 54.2% for "angry," 74.5% for "happy," 98.0% for "neutral," 69.2% for "sad," and 62.0% for "surprised." Those of Subject C were 54.4% for "angry," 74.0% for "neutral," 63.0% for "sad," and 55.6% for "surprised." For both Subjects B and C, the mean value of the recognition accuracy for both the first and last vowels in speaking 25 names with the emotion of "neutral" was higher than that with other emotions, while the difference of emotion did not have much of an influence on the mean value of the recognition accuracy for both the first and last vowels in speaking 25 names in the case of Subject A, who could clearly pronounce the names selected in the study with all of the emotions.

Table 5 shows the mean values for the recognition accuracy for both the first and last vowels in speaking each name while expressing all five emotions. The highest value, 98.7%, as the mean value of all subjects was obtained for the name “Shinnya” where the first and last vowels are /i/ and /a/, respectively, while the lowest, 42.0%, was obtained for "Yuki" where the first and last vowels are /u/ and /i/, respectively. Moreover, the mean values of the recognition accuracy for both the first and last vowels with five emotions depended remarkably on the name to be pronounced, especially with Subject C. Figure 8 shows the waveforms for “Shinnya” pronounced by Subjects A, B, and C while expressing each emotion. All of the first and last vowels whose waveforms are shown in Fig. 8 were correctly recognized by Julius. Figure 9 shows the waveforms of “Koji” pronounced by Subjects A, B, and C for each emotion. In Fig. 9, all of the first and last vowels pronounced by Subject A were correctly recognized by Julius. As shown in Fig. 9, some of the first and last vowels pronounced by Subject B and C were misrecognized by Julius. Julius tends to correctly recognize an utterance when it is clearly pronounced.

Table 6 shows facial expression recognition accuracy as mean values over all combinations of first and last vowels. The mean recognition accuracy of the facial expressions of all subjects was 79.8%. The mean recognition accuracy of the facial expressions was 85.5% for Subject A, 74.1% for Subject B, and 79.7% for Subject C. As stated in Section 3.2, Julius sometimes makes a mistake in recognizing the first and/or last vowel(s). For example, /a/ for the last vowel is sometimes misrecognized as /o/.
| First-last vowel | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised | Mean |
|------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----|-----------|------|
| a-a              | 50    | 100   | 100     | 80  | 0         | 66.0 |
| a-i              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 90  | 80        | 94.0 |
| a-u              | 90    | 0     | 100     | 100 | 70        | 72.0 |
| a-e              | 80    | 100   | 100     | 90  | 100       | 94.0 |
| a-o              | 80    | 60    | 100     | 90  | 80        | 82.0 |
| i-a              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 100 | 100       | 100.0|
| i-i              | 100   | 80    | 100     | 100 | 60        | 88.0 |
| i-u              | 100   | 80    | 100     | 100 | 90        | 94.0 |
| i-e              | 30    | 100   | 70      | 40  | 0         | 48.0 |
| i-o              | 100   | 100   | 30      | 20  | 100       | 70.0 |
| u-a              | 80    | 70    | 40      | 70  | 60        | 64.0 |
| u-i              | 100   | 90    | 20      | 10  | 100       | 64.0 |
| u-u              | 90    | 100   | 70      | 70  | 100       | 86.0 |
| u-e              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 100 | 100       | 100.0|
| u-o              | 100   | 100   | 70      | 100 | 100       | 94.0 |
| e-a              | 50    | 100   | 80      | 100 | 10        | 68.0 |
| e-i              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 100 | 100       | 100.0|
| e-u              | 30    | 40    | 100     | 100 | 90        | 72.0 |
| e-e              | 50    | 80    | 100     | 90  | 90        | 82.0 |
| e-o              | 100   | 100   | 60      | 100 | 90        | 90.0 |
| o-a              | 80    | 80    | 100     | 100 | 60        | 84.0 |
| o-i              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 100 | 100       | 100.0|
| o-u              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 100 | 100       | 100.0|
| o-e              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 100 | 70        | 94.0 |
| o-o              | 40    | 100   | 10      | 40  | 60        | 50.0 |
| Mean             | 82.0  | 87.2  | 82.0    | 83.6| 76.4      | 82.2 |

Table 2. Accuracy (%) of speech recognition for Subject A (Yoshitomi et al., 2011b)
| First-last vowel | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised | Mean  |
|------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----|-----------|-------|
| a-a              | 30    | 100   | 100     | 100 | 60        | 78.0  |
| a-i              | 40    | 60    | 100     | 70  | 33        | 60.6  |
| a-u              | 50    | 90    | 100     | 56  | 80        | 75.2  |
| a-e              | 67    | 90    | 90      | 70  | 60        | 75.4  |
| a-o              | 30    | 90    | 100     | 60  | 20        | 60.0  |
| i-a              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 100 | 100       | 100.0 |
| i-i              | 40    | 90    | 100     | 90  | 20        | 68.0  |
| i-u              | 20    | 40    | 100     | 78  | 0         | 47.6  |
| i-e              | 70    | 75    | 100     | 70  | 67        | 76.4  |
| i-o              | 0     | 90    | 90      | 90  | 60        | 66.0  |
| u-a              | 50    | 100   | 100     | 100 | 80        | 86.0  |
| u-i              | 70    | 10    | 90      | 10  | 70        | 50.0  |
| u-u              | 100   | 60    | 100     | 90  | 100       | 90.0  |
| u-e              | 50    | 78    | 100     | 67  | 100       | 79.0  |
| u-o              | 10    | 40    | 100     | 20  | 90        | 52.0  |
| e-a              | 80    | 90    | 90      | 90  | 80        | 86.0  |
| e-i              | 100   | 90    | 100     | 70  | 100       | 92.0  |
| e-u              | 0     | 40    | 100     | 50  | 30        | 44.0  |
| e-e              | 89    | 80    | 90      | 90  | 90        | 87.8  |
| e-o              | 50    | 60    | 100     | 90  | 90        | 78.0  |
| o-a              | 80    | 100   | 100     | 80  | 0         | 72.0  |
| o-i              | 60    | 30    | 100     | 10  | 60        | 52.0  |
| o-u              | 40    | 90    | 100     | 10  | 50        | 58.0  |
| o-e              | 30    | 90    | 100     | 80  | 100       | 80.0  |
| o-o              | 100   | 80    | 100     | 90  | 10        | 76.0  |
| Mean             | 54.2  | 74.5  | 98.0    | 69.2| 62.0      | 71.6  |

Table 3. Accuracy (%) of speech recognition for Subject B
| First-last vowel | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised | Mean |
|------------------|-------|-------|---------|-----|-----------|------|
| a-a              | 100   | 78    | 100     | 50  | 80        | 81.6 |
| a-i              | 80    | 100   | 100     | 100 | 40        | 84.0 |
| a-u              | 0     | 0     | 40      | 40  | 0         | 16.0 |
| a-e              | 60    | 60    | 90      | 30  | 100       | 68.0 |
| a-o              | 89    | 40    | 100     | 80  | 100       | 81.8 |
| i-a              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 80  | 100       | 96.0 |
| i-i              | 0     | 56    | 100     | 10  | 30        | 39.2 |
| i-u              | 0     | 0     | 0       | 50  | 0         | 10.0 |
| i-e              | 90    | 90    | 100     | 80  | 50        | 82.0 |
| i-o              | 70    | 20    | 100     | 80  | 80        | 70.0 |
| u-a              | 70    | 100   | 100     | 90  | 90        | 90.0 |
| u-i              | 10    | 0     | 50      | 0   | 0         | 12.0 |
| u-u              | 0     | 0     | 0       | 10  | 0         | 2.0  |
| u-e              | 70    | 80    | 100     | 80  | 50        | 76.0 |
| u-o              | 40    | 0     | 60      | 80  | 10        | 38.0 |
| e-a              | 90    | 60    | 100     | 60  | 100       | 82.0 |
| e-i              | 100   | 100   | 100     | 100 | 100       | 100.0|
| e-u              | 0     | 0     | 10      | 70  | 10        | 18.0 |
| e-e              | 90    | 100   | 100     | 100 | 90        | 96.0 |
| e-o              | 40    | 30    | 100     | 100 | 100       | 74.0 |
| o-a              | 90    | 70    | 100     | 90  | 50        | 80.0 |
| o-i              | 0     | 0     | 0       | 0   | 0         | 0.0  |
| o-u              | 0     | 0     | 10      | 10  | 30        | 10.0 |
| o-e              | 100   | 20    | 90      | 100 | 90        | 80.0 |
| o-o              | 70    | 80    | 100     | 100 | 90        | 88.0 |
| **Mean**         | 54.4  | 47.4  | 74.0    | 63.6| 55.6      | 59.0 |

Table 4. Accuracy (%) of speech recognition for Subject C
| First-last vowel | Subject A | Subject B | Subject C | Mean |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| a-a              | 66.0      | 78.0      | 81.6      | 75.2 |
| a-i              | 94.0      | 60.6      | 84.0      | 79.5 |
| a-u              | 72.0      | 75.2      | 16.0      | 54.4 |
| a-e              | 94.0      | 75.4      | 68.0      | 79.1 |
| a-o              | 82.0      | 60.0      | 81.8      | 74.6 |
| i-a              | 100.0     | 100.0     | 96.0      | 98.7 |
| i-i              | 88.0      | 68.0      | 39.2      | 65.1 |
| i-u              | 94.0      | 47.6      | 10.0      | 50.5 |
| i-e              | 48.0      | 76.4      | 82.0      | 68.8 |
| i-o              | 70.0      | 66.0      | 70.0      | 68.7 |
| u-a              | 64.0      | 86.0      | 90.0      | 80.0 |
| u-i              | 64.0      | 50.0      | 12.0      | 42.0 |
| u-u              | 86.0      | 90.0      | 2.0       | 59.3 |
| u-e              | 100.0     | 79.0      | 76.0      | 85.0 |
| u-o              | 94.0      | 52.0      | 38.0      | 61.3 |
| e-a              | 68.0      | 86.0      | 82.0      | 78.7 |
| e-i              | 100.0     | 92.0      | 100.0     | 97.3 |
| e-u              | 72.0      | 44.0      | 18.0      | 44.7 |
| e-e              | 82.0      | 87.8      | 96.0      | 88.6 |
| e-o              | 90.0      | 78.0      | 74.0      | 80.7 |
| o-a              | 84.0      | 72.0      | 80.0      | 78.7 |
| o-i              | 100.0     | 52.0      | 0.0       | 50.7 |
| o-u              | 100.0     | 58.0      | 10.0      | 56.0 |
| o-e              | 94.0      | 80.0      | 80.0      | 84.7 |
| o-o              | 50.0      | 76.0      | 88.0      | 71.3 |
| Mean             | 82.2      | 71.6      | 59.0      | 70.9 |

Table 5. Accuracy (%) of speech recognition for Subjects A, B, and C
|       | Subject A | Subject B | Subject C |
|-------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Angry | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) |
| Happy | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) |
| Neutral | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) |
| Sad | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) |
| Surprised | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) | ![Waveform](image) |

Fig. 8. Waveforms for each subject when speaking “Shinnya”, whose vowels are /i/ for the first and /a/ for the last, while expressing each emotion.
|               | Subject A | Subject B | Subject C |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| **Angry**     | ![Waveform_Angry_Subject_A](image1) | ![Waveform_Angry_Subject_B](image2) | ![Waveform_Angry_Subject_C](image3) |
| **Happy**     | ![Waveform_Happy_Subject_A](image4) | ![Waveform_Happy_Subject_B](image5) | ![Waveform_Happy_Subject_C](image6) |
| **Neutral**   | ![Waveform_Neutral_Subject_A](image7) | ![Waveform_Neutral_Subject_B](image8) | ![Waveform_Neutral_Subject_C](image9) |
| **Sad**       | ![Waveform_Sad_Subject_A](image10) | ![Waveform_Sad_Subject_B](image11) | ![Waveform_Sad_Subject_C](image12) |
| **Surprised** | ![Waveform_Surprised_Subject_A](image13) | ![Waveform_Surprised_Subject_B](image14) | ![Waveform_Surprised_Subject_C](image15) |

Fig. 9. Waveforms for each subject when speaking “Koji”, whose vowels are /o/ for the first and /i/ for the last, while expressing each emotion.
In order to estimate the effect of improving the recognition accuracy of vowel(s), we manually corrected the misrecognition of vowel(s) when Julius made a mistake in recognizing the first and/or last vowel(s). In this case, for example, when Julius correctly judged the first vowel at the utterance of “Ayaka” but misjudged the last vowel as /o/, the training data in speaking “Ayaka” were used for facial expression recognition after manually correcting /o/ into /a/ on the speech recognition of the last vowel. Table 7 shows the accuracy in recognition of facial expressions as mean values for all combinations of first and last vowels after correcting the misrecognition of vowel(s). Each value in Table 7 means one obtained in the case of perfect recognition of both the first and last vowels. In such a case, the mean recognition accuracy of the facial expressions of all subjects was 87.0%, and the mean recognition accuracy of the facial expressions was 89.7% for Subject A, 82.5% for Subject B, and 88.7% for Subject C. Accordingly, improving the recognition of the first and last vowels would improve the mean value of facial expression recognition by up to 7.2%.

The mean values of the recognition accuracy of all subjects in speaking 25 names while expressing all five emotions were 88.7% for the first vowel and 79.4% for the last vowel. The recognition accuracy of vowels pronounced while expressing various emotions might be high enough to decide the timing of facial expression recognition using the speech recognition system. Accordingly, as a continuation of our work, we will use the proposed method for recognizing facial expressions in daily conversation.

| Subject A | Input facial expression |
|-----------|-------------------------|
|           | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised |
| Output    |       |       |         |     |           |
| Angry     | 90.4  | 0.4   | 0.0     | 6.0 | 2.4       |
| Happy     | 3.6   | 94.8  | 9.6     | 4.8 | 7.2       |
| Neutral   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 87.2    | 0.0 | 0.0       |
| Sad       | 0.4   | 2.8   | 0.4     | 78.8| 14.0      |
| Surprised | 5.6   | 2.0   | 2.8     | 10.4| 76.4      |

| Subject B | Input facial expression |
|-----------|-------------------------|
|           | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised |
| Output    |       |       |         |     |           |
| Angry     | 64.1  | 5.2   | 5.2     | 6.7 | 9.2       |
| Happy     | 10.8  | 77.2  | 0.8     | 7.3 | 13.4      |
| Neutral   | 0.0   | 4.0   | 83.6    | 0.0 | 0.0       |
| Sad       | 7.2   | 7.6   | 2.0     | 78.0| 9.7       |
| Surprised | 17.9  | 6.0   | 8.4     | 8.0 | 67.7      |

| Subject C | Input facial expression |
|-----------|-------------------------|
|           | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised |
| Output    |       |       |         |     |           |
| Angry     | 78.8  | 4.4   | 7.2     | 5.2 | 0.8       |
| Happy     | 2.4   | 77.1  | 3.6     | 2.4 | 0.0       |
| Neutral   | 10.8  | 2.0   | 71.9    | 3.2 | 2.0       |
| Sad       | 2.8   | 11.3  | 12.9    | 78.8| 5.2       |
| Surprised | 5.2   | 5.2   | 4.4     | 10.4| 92.0      |

Table 6. Accuracy (%) of facial expression recognition (Yoshitomi et al., 2011b)
We have developed a method for recognizing the facial expressions of a speaker by using thermal image processing and a speech recognition system. To implement the proposed method, three subjects spoke 25 Japanese given names that provided all combinations of first and last vowels. These subjects were used to prepare training data and then test data for all combinations of the first and last vowels. The mean values of the recognition accuracy of all subjects in speaking 25 names while expressing five emotions were 88.7% for the first vowel and 79.4% for the last vowel. Using the proposed method, the facial expressions of three subjects were discernable with an accuracy of 79.8% when the subject exhibited one of the intentional facial expressions of “angry,” “happy,” “neutral,” “sad,” and “surprised.” Improving the recognition of the first and last vowels could improve the mean value of facial expression recognition by up to 7.2%. The recognition accuracy of vowels pronounced

Table 7. Accuracy (%) of facial expression recognition for perfect speech recognition of both first and last vowels

| Subject A | Input facial expression |
|-----------|-------------------------|
|           | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised |
| Output    |       |       |         |     |           |
| Angry     | 91.6  | 0.4   | 0.0     | 4.8 | 1.2       |
| Happy     | 3.2   | 94.8  | 2.4     | 4.8 | 3.2       |
| Neutral   | 0.0   | 0.0   | 97.6    | 0.0 | 0.0       |
| Sad       | 0.4   | 2.8   | 0.0     | 79.2| 10.4      |
| Surprised | 4.8   | 2.0   | 0.0     | 11.2| 85.2      |

| Subject B | Input facial expression |
|-----------|-------------------------|
|           | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised |
| Output    |       |       |         |     |           |
| Angry     | 79.2  | 2.8   | 4.4     | 3.7 | 3.6       |
| Happy     | 5.6   | 85.2  | 2.8     | 3.6 | 11.9      |
| Neutral   | 0.0   | 4.0   | 83.6    | 0.0 | 0.0       |
| Sad       | 2.4   | 4.0   | 1.2     | 89.1| 9.2       |
| Surprised | 12.8  | 4.0   | 8.0     | 3.6 | 75.3      |

| Subject C | Input facial expression |
|-----------|-------------------------|
|           | Angry | Happy | Neutral | Sad | Surprised |
| Output    |       |       |         |     |           |
| Angry     | 88.4  | 2.4   | 4.8     | 3.6 | 0.4       |
| Happy     | 0.4   | 92.8  | 2.8     | 1.6 | 0.0       |
| Neutral   | 4.8   | 0.8   | 79.1    | 2.0 | 0.4       |
| Sad       | 1.6   | 1.6   | 10.5    | 86.0| 2.0       |
| Surprised | 4.8   | 2.4   | 2.8     | 6.8 | 97.2      |

5. Conclusion

We have developed a method for recognizing the facial expressions of a speaker by using thermal image processing and a speech recognition system. To implement the proposed method, three subjects spoke 25 Japanese given names that provided all combinations of first and last vowels. These subjects were used to prepare training data and then test data for all combinations of the first and last vowels. The mean values of the recognition accuracy of all subjects in speaking 25 names while expressing five emotions were 88.7% for the first vowel and 79.4% for the last vowel. Using the proposed method, the facial expressions of three subjects were discernable with an accuracy of 79.8% when the subject exhibited one of the intentional facial expressions of “angry,” “happy,” “neutral,” “sad,” and “surprised.” Improving the recognition of the first and last vowels could improve the mean value of facial expression recognition by up to 7.2%. The recognition accuracy of vowels pronounced
with various emotions might be high enough to decide the timing of facial expression recognition using the speech recognition system. We expect the proposed method to be applicable for recognizing facial expressions in daily conversation.
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