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Droplets form a cornerstone of the spatiotemporal organization of biomolecules in cells. These droplets are controlled using physical processes like chemical reactions and imposed gradients, which are costly to simulate using traditional approaches, like solving the Cahn–Hilliard equation. To overcome this challenge, we here present an alternative, efficient method. The main idea is to focus on the relevant degrees of freedom, like droplet positions and sizes. We derive dynamical equations for these quantities using approximate analytical solutions obtained from a sharp interface limit and linearized equations in the bulk phases. We verify our method against fully-resolved simulations and show that it can describe interacting droplets under the influence of chemical reactions and external gradients using only a fraction of the computational costs of traditional methods. Our method can be extended to include other processes in the future and will thus serve as a relevant platform for understanding the dynamics of droplets in cells.

Phase separation has recently been recognized as a powerful mechanism to organize biomolecules in the interior of biological cells. The droplets that spontaneously form via phase separation allow cells to sort molecules into compartments, which facilitates different functions, including controlling reactions, storing molecules, and buffering stochastic noise. To control these processes, cells need to regulate phase separation in space and time. Examples for control mechanisms include chemical gradients, chemical modifications of the involved molecules, and global parameters, like pH and temperature. Numerical simulations offer an attractive way to investigate these physical systems to understand how cells control their many droplets.

The dynamics of droplets are often simulated using the Cahn–Hilliard equation. This fourth-order partial differential equation is typically expensive to simulate since it requires fine spatial discretization and small time steps. Earlier approaches have improved the computational speed of numerical simulations of the Cahn–Hilliard equation using multi-grid methods, finite element modeling, incorporating mesh-less methods, and adaptive grids, but the fundamental drawbacks still persist. Other approaches, such as Molecular Dynamics simulations and Monte-Carlo methods, have also been used to simulate phase separation, but are also computationally expensive since they resolve details that are often not necessary for predicting the dynamics of droplets.

In this paper, we present a fast and efficient numerical method for simulating the dynamics of many interacting droplets. The method is based on analytical results from a thin-interface approximation of the continuous Cahn–Hilliard equation. In our effective model, we describe only the dynamics of the necessary degrees of freedom, which are the droplet positions and radii as well as some coarse information about the dilute phase. The interaction of the droplets via the dilute phase is captured by discretizing their vicinity into thin annular sectors. The dynamics of droplet growth and drift follows from material fluxes exchanged between the droplet and the dilute phase, which are obtained from solving a steady-state reaction–diffusion equation inside all sectors. We present the model by first introducing the basic thermodynamic principles of phase separation, then the analytical theory behind the effective droplet model, and finally the details of the numerical method describing the dynamics of the droplets and dilute background.

Model

The main idea of our model is to replace the detailed description of the entire concentration field by the relevant degrees of freedom of the droplets. We focus on the typical situation of well-separated droplets that are spherical due to surface tension, and thus describe the droplets by their positions $x_i$ and radii $R_i$. To build up the theory systematically, we will next introduce the continuous theory, the analytical description of isolated droplets, and then the full effective model.
**Continuous theory of phase separation.** We consider an isothermal, incompressible fluid in a closed system of volume \( V_{\text{tot}} \) that consists of solvent and droplet material. The composition is described by the volume fraction \( \phi(x, t) \) of the droplet material, while the solvent volume fraction is given by \( 1 - \phi \). The thermodynamic state of the system is governed by the free energy functional \( F[\phi] = \int (f(\phi) + \frac{\kappa}{2} \nabla \phi^2) dV \); see ref.\(^{28} \), where \( \kappa \) is a parameter related to the interfacial tension, while phase separation is promoted by the free energy density \( f(\phi) \). For simplicity, we here consider a polynomial form,

\[
f(\phi) = \frac{b}{2} (\phi - \phi_{\text{out}}^0)^2 (\phi - \phi_{\text{in}}^0)^2,
\]

where the minima \( \phi_{\text{in}}^0 \) and \( \phi_{\text{out}}^0 \) are the equilibrium concentrations in a thermodynamically large system and \( b \) denotes the energy scale. The dynamics of the system follow from the continuity equation, \( \partial_t \phi + \nabla \cdot j = 0 \).

Here, \( j \) denotes spatial fluxes, which for simplicity will only be driven by diffusive processes, so hydrodynamic fluxes are neglected. Conversely, \( \nu \) is a source term related to chemical reactions that convert droplet material into solvent and vice versa\(^{39} \). Chemical reactions are typically local and often described by rate laws that depend on composition. Conversely, the non-local diffusive fluxes \( j \) are driven by gradients in chemical potential

\[
\mu = \nu \frac{\nabla F(\phi)}{\nabla \phi} = b (\phi_{\text{in}}^0 - \phi) (\phi_{\text{out}}^0 - \phi) (2 \phi - \phi_{\text{in}}^0 - \phi_{\text{out}}^0) - \kappa \nabla^2 \phi,
\]

where \( \nu \) is the molecular volume of the droplet material. Linear non-equilibrium thermodynamics implies \( j = -\Lambda(\phi) \nabla \mu \), where \( \Lambda(\phi) \) is a positive mobility\(^{40} \). Hence,

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} = \nabla \cdot [\Lambda(\phi) \nabla \mu] + s(\phi),
\]

is a fourth-order, non-linear partial differential equation requiring two boundary conditions. We here focus on the typical choice of no-flux conditions \((n \cdot \nabla \mu = 0)\) and that solvent and droplet material interact identically with the system's boundaries \((n \cdot \nabla \phi = 0)\), where \( n \) denotes the normal vector at the boundary.

In the case without chemical reactions \((s = 0)\), Eq. (3) reduces to the seminal Cahn–Hilliard equation\(^{28} \), which describes passive phase separation. In particular, two bulk phases with composition \( \phi_{\text{in}}^0 \) and \( \phi_{\text{out}}^0 \) typically emerge. These phases are separated by an interface of width \( w = 2 \sqrt{\kappa / \mu} \) with surface tension \( \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\mu \kappa} \).

When chemical reactions are weak, this general structure is typically preserved, although long-term dynamics, like Ostwald ripening, can be strongly modified\(^{39} \). Strong chemical reactions can actually destroy droplets\(^{39} \) and they might also lead to more complicated patterns\(^{41,42} \), which go beyond the scope of this paper. Instead, we here focus on situations where well-defined droplets with a thin interface are typical.

The dynamics given by Eq. (3) adequately describe phase separation, but it can be prohibitively costly to simulate due to multiple reasons: (1) The interface needs to be resolved, implying discretizations on the order of the typically small interface width \( w \). (2) The equation contains fourth-order derivatives in space, which often limits the time steps. (3) Interesting dynamics often take place on very long time scales. For instance, during Ostwald ripening\(^{43,44} \), length scales in the system evolve as \( t^{1/3} \), requiring long simulations to capture relevant behaviour. However, since we are interested primarily in modelling dynamics of droplets, we circumvent these problems by focusing only on phase separation inside the nucleation and growth regime of the free energy density, and assume that sufficiently fine perturbations have already nucleated droplets. We employ the thin-interface approximation\(^{39} \), which is a coarse-grained analytical formulation of the continuous model Eq. (3) valid when the system is subject to strong phase separation, low variation of volume fractions in the droplet phase and the dilute phase, and large droplet sizes compared to the interface width. This analytical approach was utilized earlier to study kinetics of many-droplet systems and effects of chemical reactions on such systems\(^{39} \).

In the next section, we elaborate on using the thin-interface approximation to build an effective droplet model describing the dynamics of droplets and dynamics of the dilute phase separately, instead of the full volume fraction field from the continuous model, thus effectively ‘de-coupling’ the description of phase separated droplets from the dilute phase.

**Effective description of isolated droplets.** To build the effective model, we next derive approximate descriptions of the dynamics of the radius \( R \) and position \( x \) of an isolated droplet. Since we only consider spherical droplets with a thin interface \((R \gg w)\) and weak chemical reactions, we can use basic thermodynamics to derive the equilibrium concentrations inside and outside of the interface of the droplet, denoted by \( \phi_{\text{in}}^{\text{eq}} \) and \( \phi_{\text{out}}^{\text{eq}} \), respectively. Due to surface tension effects, they are slightly elevated above the basal values \( \phi_{\text{in}}^0 \) and \( \phi_{\text{out}}^0 \) prescribed by the free energy density; see Eq. (1). To first order in the curvature of the surface, we have

\[
\phi_{\text{in}}^{\text{eq}} = \phi_{\text{in}}^0 \left(1 + \frac{l_{\gamma,\text{in}}}{R}\right)
\]

and

\[
\phi_{\text{out}}^{\text{eq}} = \phi_{\text{out}}^0 \left(1 + \frac{l_{\gamma,\text{out}}}{R}\right),
\]

where \( l_{\gamma,\text{out}} \) and \( l_{\gamma,\text{in}} \) are capillary lengths\(^{37} \). In our case they read \( l_{\gamma,\text{in}} = (\kappa / b)^{1/2} / [3\phi_{\text{in}}^0 (\phi_{\text{in}}^0 - \phi_{\text{out}}^0)] \) and \( l_{\gamma,\text{out}} = (\kappa / b)^{1/2} / [3\phi_{\text{out}}^0 (\phi_{\text{in}}^0 - \phi_{\text{out}}^0)] \) in three dimensions. The dynamics of the volume fraction field \( \phi_{\text{in}} \) inside the droplet is in principle described by Eq. (3), but since the composition typically hardly varies, we can linearize \( \phi_{\text{in}} \) around \( \phi_{\text{in}}^{\text{eq}} \) to obtain...
\[ \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{in}}}{\partial t} \approx D_{\text{in}} \nabla^2 \phi_{\text{in}} + s(\phi_{\text{eq}}^0) - k_{\text{in}}(\phi_{\text{in}} - \phi_{\text{eq}}^0), \]  

where \( D_{\text{in}} = \Lambda(\phi_{\text{eq}}^0) b ) \) is the diffusivity and \( k_{\text{in}} = -s'(\phi_{\text{eq}}^0) \) denotes the reaction rate. Generally, positive rates \( (k_{\text{in}} > 0) \) stabilize the volume fraction \( \phi_{\text{in}} \), while negative rates might destabilize it. However, the instability is suppressed when the droplet radius \( R \) is small compared to the reaction–diffusion length scale, \( \xi_{\text{in}} = \sqrt{D_{\text{in}} / |k_{\text{in}}|} \). Since we here consider weak chemical reactions, \( \xi_{\text{in}} \) will be large, and we thus assume \( R \ll \xi_{\text{in}} \) in the following. We use this to solve Eq. (5) in stationary state in a system with angular symmetry using the boundary conditions \( \phi_{\text{in}}(R) = \phi_{\text{eq}}^0 \) and \( \phi_{\text{in}}(0) = 0 \). The analytical result allows us to estimate the diffusive flux \( j_{\text{in}} \) inside the interface,

\[ j_{\text{in}} \approx \frac{R}{d} s(\phi_{\text{eq}}^0) n, \]  

where \( d \) is the space dimension; see Supporting Information, Section III. Production of droplet material inside the droplet \( (s(\phi_{\text{eq}}^0) > 0) \) leads to an outward flux \( j_{\text{in}} \cdot n > 0 \), which can drive droplet growth. Conversely, destroying droplet material \( (s(\phi_{\text{eq}}^0) < 0) \) promotes shrinking droplets. Droplets might also grow if they take up material from the surrounding. Similarly to inside of droplets, the volume fraction \( \phi_{\text{out}} \) will typically vary only little, so we can linearize around the base value \( \phi_{\text{eq}}^0 \) to obtain the reaction–diffusion equation

\[ \frac{\partial \phi_{\text{out}}}{\partial t} \approx D_{\text{out}} \nabla^2 \phi_{\text{out}} + s(\phi_{\text{out}}), \]  

where \( D_{\text{out}} = \Lambda(\phi_{\text{eq}}^0) b \) is the diffusivity outside droplets. Solving this equation and obtaining the corresponding flux \( j_{\text{out}} \) outside the droplet is more difficult since the environment of the droplet might not be isotropic. We thus discuss the coupling of droplets to the dilute phase \( \phi_{\text{out}} \) in more detail in the next section.

If we know the fluxes \( j_{\text{in}} \) and \( j_{\text{out}} \), we can determine the net accumulation of droplet material at the interface, which implies droplet growth. Note that only the normal components of the fluxes affect the shape, while the tangential components merely distribute material parallel to the interface. The shape changes of an isolated droplet are thus described by the interfacial speed \( v_n \) in the normal direction,

\[ v_n \approx \frac{j_{\text{in}} - j_{\text{out}}}{\phi_{\text{eq}}^0 - \phi_{\text{eq}}^0}, \]  

see Supporting Information, Section I. General shape changes can result in non-spherical droplets, but since surface tension effects typically ensure a near-spherical shape, we project the general shape onto the degrees of freedom that we use to describe the droplet,

\[ \frac{dR}{dt} = \frac{1}{S} \int v_n \, dA \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{d}{S} \int v_n \, n \, dA, \]  

where the integral is over the droplet surface, \( d \) is the space dimension, and \( S \) is the surface area of the droplet; see Supporting Information, Section II. Here, the first equation describes how material accumulates at the interface due to sum of all normal fluxes \( v_n \), leading to growth. The second equation describes how the weighted sum of all vectorial fluxes gives rise to drift. Taken together, Eq. (9) determines how an isolated droplet evolves in time. This involves Eqs. (4), (6), (8) as well as an approximation for the fluxes \( j_{\text{out}} \) outside the droplet interface, which is the central part of our method that we discuss next.

**Numerical model for many droplets.** The dynamics of many droplets in the same system are coupled since they may exchange material via the dilute phase. To describe this exchange, and ultimately derive the flux \( j_{\text{out}} \) at each droplet, we first consider the dynamics of the volume fraction of the dilute phase \( \phi_{\text{out}} \). In principle, the dynamics of \( \phi_{\text{out}} \) follows from Eq. (7), with appropriate boundary conditions applied at the system's boundary and at all droplet surfaces. To simplify the description of the dilute phase, we assume that \( \phi_{\text{out}} \) is defined in the entire system, including where droplets are; see Fig. 1A. In this picture, droplets are local perturbations that exchange material with the background field \( \phi_{\text{out}} \).

**Dynamics of the background field.** The background field \( \phi_{\text{out}} \) changes due to diffusion and reactions, even if droplets are absent. To capture this dynamics, we discretize the continuous field \( \phi_{\text{out}} \) on a uniform Cartesian grid with a distance \( \Delta x \) between the neighbouring support points. We then evolve Eq. (7) in time using finite central differences and explicit temporal stepping. Since we do not need to resolve droplets at this scale, the spatial discretization can be much larger than in traditional Cahn–Hilliard equations.

**Growth of a single droplet in a background field.** To obtain the flux \( j_{\text{out}} \) in the vicinity of an isolated droplet, we need to determine \( \phi_{\text{out}} \) in the region surrounding this droplet. We do this by considering Eq. (7) in an annular shell of thickness \( \ell \) surrounding the droplet, which we further discretize into \( N \) sectors in the angular dimensions; see Fig. 1. In two-dimensional systems, we place sectors of equal size uniformly around the circle. Such symmetric placement is impossible in three dimensions, where we instead place \( N \) points approximately uniformly on the sphere and use a spherical Voronoi tessellation to determine the corresponding sectors. In
both cases, we assume that fluxes in the angular directions are negligible for simplicity, so we can express the volume fraction in the $m$-th sector as $\phi(m)_{\text{out}}(r)$, where $r$ is a radial coordinate measuring distance from the droplet position $x$. We determine $\phi(m)_{\text{out}}(r)$ using Eq. (7) in stationary state with the boundary conditions $\phi(m)_{\text{out}}(R) = \phi_{\text{eq}}(R)$ and $\phi(m)_{\text{out}}(R + \ell) = \phi_{\text{shell}}(m)$. Here, $\phi(m)_{\text{shell}}$ is the volume fraction of droplet material in the background field at the outer side of the $m$-th shell sector, which we estimate from a linear interpolation of the discretized background field $\phi_{\text{out}}$; see Fig. 1. Since $\phi(m)_{\text{out}}(r)$ typically varies only marginally in the shell sector, we also linearize the reaction flux, $s(\phi(m)_{\text{out}}) \approx \Gamma_{\text{out}} - k_{\text{out}} \phi_{\text{out}}$, by imposing $s(\phi(m)_{\text{out}}(R) = s(\phi_{\text{eq}}(R)$ and $s(\phi(m)_{\text{out}}(R + \ell) = s(\phi_{\text{shell}}(m)$. This implies $\Gamma_{\text{out}} = [\phi(m)_{\text{shell}} s(\phi_{\text{out}}) - \phi_{\text{eq}} s(\phi(m)_{\text{shell}})]/(\phi(m)_{\text{shell}} - \phi_{\text{out}})$ and $k_{\text{out}} = [s(\phi_{\text{out}}) - s(\phi(m)_{\text{shell}})]/(\phi(m)_{\text{shell}} - \phi_{\text{out}})$.

Taken together, we obtain an analytical approximation of $\phi(m)_{\text{out}}(r)$ in each shell sector, from which we determine the local normal flux $j(m)_{\text{norm}}$ outside the droplet; see Supporting Information, Section IV. Using these expressions together with Eqs. (6), (8) and (9), we find that individual droplets evolve according to

$$\frac{dR}{dt} \approx \frac{1}{\phi_{\text{eq}}(m)} \sum_{m=1}^{N} A_{m} \left( \frac{R}{S} s(m)_{\text{eq}} - j(m)_{\text{norm}} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \approx \frac{d}{\phi_{\text{eq}}(m)} \sum_{m=1}^{N} A_{m} \left( \frac{R}{S} s(m)_{\text{eq}} - j(m)_{\text{norm}} \right) n_{m},$$

where $R$ and $S$ are radius and surface area of the droplet, respectively, $A_{m}$ is the inner area of the shell sector and $n_{m}$ is the unit vector pointing from the droplet center to the $m$-th shell center; see Fig. 1. We use Eq. (10) to describe how internal reactions and external material exchange with the background affects the dynamics of each droplet.

**Coupled dynamics of droplets and the background field.** Equation (10) describe how droplets change when they exchange droplet material with the background field $\phi_{\text{out}}$. Due to material conservation, the material flux from the droplet toward each sector $m$, $j(m)_{\text{norm}} \cdot n A_{m}$, needs to accumulate in the background field. We use a linear interpolation at the midpoint of the inner boundary of the shell section (red points in Fig. 1B) to add the respective amount to the background field $\phi_{\text{out}}$. Note that negative fluxes $j(m)_{\text{norm}}$ distribute material from the background field to the droplet and thus lead to growth. Taken together, this procedure ensures material conservation while preserving anisotropies of the exchange.

**Full simulation.** The full numerical method evolves the state of the system, i.e., the discretized background field $\phi_{\text{out}}(r)$ and the positions $x_{i}$ and radii $R_{i}$ of all droplets, in time. We propose an explicit iteration, where the state at $t + \Delta t$ is directly determined from the state at time $t$. Here, we first evolve the reaction–diffusion equation Eq. (7) of the background field and then iterate over all droplets. For each droplet, we determine the fluxes $j(m)_{\text{norm}} \cdot n$ for all shell sectors $m$ and remove the associated material from the background field. We then update the droplet’s position and radius according to Eq. (10). Starting from an initial state at $t = 0$, this algorithm allows us to evolve the dynamics forward in time.

**Choosing simulation parameters.** The algorithm described above has several parameters that need to be chosen wisely for an accurate and fast simulation. In particular, we need to specify the discretization $\Delta N$ of the background field, the shell thickness $\ell$, the typical size $\Delta x$ of a shell sector, and the time step $\Delta t$. We next discuss
suitable values for all four parameters using detailed simulation of the continuous model given by Eq. (3) as ground truth. We later show that the resulting choice for the parameters can recapitulate many effects that have been described previously in the literature.

To calibrate the simulation parameters, we compare our effective model to the established continuous model given by Eq. (3). Our test case consists of two passive droplets of initial radius $R_0 = 20w$ whose centers are separated by $S = 10R_0$. The droplets are placed in a background of vanishing initial volume fraction, $\phi_{\text{out}}(r, 0) = 0$, so the system is under-saturated and the droplets will shrink. For the continuous model, we exploit the angular symmetry of the problem and consider an azimuthally symmetric cylindrical domain where $r, z \in [0, 682w]$. Conversely, our effective model is simulated in a 3-dimensional cubic domain of size $[0, 1000w]^3$. We compare the final radii of the droplets after a duration $T$, where the droplets typically have shrunk by about 20%. The deviation of the mean droplet radius $R_{\text{CM}}$ of our effective model compared to the radius $R_{\text{CM}}$ of the continuous model allows us to determine the crucial simulation parameters $\Delta x$, $\ell$, and $\Delta s$.

**Grid discretization $\Delta x$.** The spatial discretization $\Delta x$ determines the resolution at which variations of the background field $\phi_{\text{out}}$ are resolved. Consequently, the choice of $\Delta x$ is based on the problem: If spatial interactions are negligible and a mean-field model is desired, $\Delta x$ can be arbitrarily large. Conversely, if spatial correlations between droplets are important, $\Delta x$ needs to be smaller than the droplet separation. Another case are external gradients that affect droplets, where $\Delta x$ needs to be on the order of the droplet radii, so spatial anisotropies can be resolved on the droplet level.

**Annular shell thickness $\ell$.** The most crucial part of our numerical method describes how material exchanges between the droplets and the background field. To describe this exchange faithfully, we interpolate the background field in an annular shell around the droplet. The thickness $\ell$ of this shell can thus be interpreted as an interpolation length scale and its value affects the accuracy of the simulation: If $\ell \ll \Delta x$, the fluxes $j_{\text{out}}^{(m)}$ are overestimated since they scale with $\ell^{-1}$; see Supporting Information, Section IV. Conversely, if $\ell \gg \Delta x$, the background field would not be evaluated in the vicinity of the droplet, so interactions cannot be captured correctly. Taken together, we conclude that $\ell \sim \Delta x$ is a reasonable choice for the shell thickness. Indeed, Fig. 2A shows that this choice leads to a faithful estimate of the droplet growth for various values of $\Delta x$.

**Shell sector width $\Delta s$.** To resolve spatial anisotropies around a droplet, we discretize the shell into $N$ sectors; see Fig. 1B. To obtain $\phi_{\text{shell}}$ for each sector, the background field $\phi_{\text{out}}$ is interpolated once per sector. Consequently, a larger number of sectors leads to a finer discretization and potentially a higher accuracy at the expense of larger computational cost. However, the accuracy is limited by the background discretization $\Delta x$ as increasing $N$ will have hardly any benefit if the distance $\Delta s$ between interpolation points is already smaller than $\Delta x$. For two and three dimensions, we respectively use $\Delta s \approx 2\pi R/N$ and $\Delta s \approx 4\pi R^2/N$; see Fig. 1B. Using $\Delta s \sim \Delta x$, we can solve these equations for $N$, so that we can determine the number of sectors for each droplet based on its instantaneous radius $R$. Note that this implies that the dynamics of larger droplets will be described by more sectors to faithfully capture the interaction with their surrounding. Fig. 2B shows that the shell sector size $\Delta s$ has only marginal effects in simple situations.

**Time step $\Delta t$.** While the three previously discussed parameters affect material fluxes between droplets and background, the time step $\Delta t$ determines the accuracy of dynamics of the model. Smaller values of $\Delta t$ imply more accurate simulations, while larger values result in faster simulations, although numerical instabilities might
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**Figure 2.** Effect of annular shell thickness $\ell$ and sector size $\Delta s$ in simulations of a passive droplet pair. (A) Mean droplet size $R_{\text{CM}}$ (filled dots) as a function of $\ell$ for various $\Delta x$ (vertical dotted lines) using $\Delta s \approx R_0$. The ground truth $R_{\text{CM}}$ (black dashed line) is obtained from the continuous model. (B) $R_{\text{CM}}$ as a function of $\Delta s$ for various $\Delta x$ using $\ell \approx R_0$ with $R_{\text{CM}}$ shown as the black dashed line. (A, B) Two droplets with radii $R_0 = 20w$ are placed with their centers $10R_0$ apart in an empty background, $\phi_{\text{out}}(r, t) = 0$. Continuous simulations given by Eq. (3) used an azimuthally symmetric cylindrical domain with bounds $r, z \in [0, 682w]$ with a spatial discretization of 0.5w. Effective simulations used a 3-dimensional cubic domain of size $[0, 1000w]^3$ and $l_{\text{r, in}} = 0.166w$. Additional parameters are $s = 0$, $\Lambda = w^2/br$, $\phi_{\text{out}}^{(0)} = 0$, $\phi_{\text{in}}^{(0)} = 1$, $\tau = w^2/D_{\text{out}}$, and $w = 2\sqrt{\kappa}/b$. 
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also render simulations unstable. We next separately analyze the dynamics of the background field, the shell, and the droplet growth to identify the maximal suitable value of $\Delta t$.

The dynamics of the background are described by the partial differential equation Eq. (7), which we here solve using a simple explicit Euler scheme. A standard von Neumann stability analysis shows that this scheme is stable if $\Delta t < \Delta x^2 / (2D_{\text{out}})$, where $D_{\text{out}}$ is the diffusivity in the background field. Consequently, a suitable time step for evolving the background field is $\Delta t_{\text{background}} = 0.1 \Delta x^2 / D_{\text{out}}$, where we chose the constant pre-factor conservatively. Similarly, we define $\Delta t_{\text{shell}} = 0.1 \Delta x^2 / D_{\text{out}}$ for the shell. To ensure faithful dynamics of droplet growth, we demand that the relative growth $R^{-1} |dR/dt|$ is small during a single time step $\Delta t$. Assuming that typical droplets are not much smaller than the mean initial droplet radius $\langle R \rangle$, this implies a maximal time step $\Delta t_{\text{coup}} = 0.1 (R) / D_{\text{out}}$. Finally, we also consider the time scale of reactions, $\Delta t_{\text{reaction}} = 0.1 / (\max_{\phi} [s(\phi)])$, based on the maximal rate of $s(\phi_{\text{out}})$. Taken together, we set the time step of the simulation to the minimal value of the four limiting time scales determined above.

### Validation

We showed above that $\Delta x \approx \ell \approx \Delta s$ is a sensible choice for the parameters of our algorithm. To see how this choice affects accuracy and speed of the simulation, we next present three simulation scenarios, which range from single droplets in an heterogeneous environment to coarsening of large dilute emulsions.

#### Passive droplet in external gradient.

We first consider a single droplet in an external composition gradient, which is maintained via boundary conditions. Biological cells use such a setup to control the position of droplets in their interior\(^{10,12,47}\). Fig. 3 shows that the effective droplet model captures the drift and growth of the passive droplet quantitatively. While the resulting dynamics are very similar, the run time of the simulations are very different: The continuous model took roughly one day to complete, while the effective model finished within 10 seconds on identical hardware. Since the continuous model is much slower, we performed some tests in the subsequent sections only with the effective model.

#### Active droplet with logistic growth.

We next test whether our effective model also captures the growth of droplets subjected to non-linear chemical reactions. We here consider logistic growth, $s(\phi) = k \phi (1 - a \phi)$, where $k$ sets the reaction rate and $a$ determines the chemical equilibrium; the parameter $a^{-1}$ is often called the carrying capacity. In a phase separating system, these reactions produce droplet material outside the droplet (where $\phi < a^{-1}$) and destroy it inside (assuming $\phi_{\text{out}} > a^{-1}$). These reactions are thus qualitatively similar to the active droplets with linear chemical reactions that we discussed above. However, the non-linear chemical reactions now lead to a non-monotonous growth of the active droplet; see Fig. 4. Our effective model captures this intricate behaviour quantitatively, the deviations to the full simulation of the continuous model are small. Taken together, our effective model adequately describes the behaviour of single active droplets, even if the chemical reactions are non-linear.

#### Mean-field coarsening of passive droplets.

We next consider the interactions of many passive droplets in a dilute emulsion. When droplets only interact via the spatially averaged background field, Lifshitz and Slyozov predicted that the average droplet radius $\langle R \rangle$ grows as $t^{1/3}$ in this case\(^{45,44,48}\). Our simulation of $10^3$ droplets indeed recovers this scaling (Fig. 5A) when we mimic this situation by setting the discretization $\Delta x$ to the system size. Moreover, Fig. 5B shows that the distribution of radii also follows the universal shape.

![Figure 3](https://www.nature.com/scientificreports/)  
**Figure 3.** Droplet dynamics in external gradients. (A) Droplet radius $R$ as a function of time $t$ compared to the analytical prediction (dashed line) from the thin-interface approximation\(^{27}\), the effective droplet model (blue), and the continuous model (orange). The inset shows a schematic of the simulation with the gradient imposed in the background. (B) Droplet drift speed $v$ as function of $t$ compared to the analytical prediction (dashed line) from the thin-interface approximation\(^{27}\). (A, B) The effective model uses a cylindrical domain with $r \in [0, 400 \mu m]$, $z \in [-L_z, L_z]$ with $L_z = 600 \mu m$; azimuthal symmetry, and boundary conditions $\mu (z = -L_z) = 0$ and $\mu (z = L_z) = 0.072 \text{bw}^3$ to impose the gradient. The effective model uses a 3-dimensional box of size $[-L_3, L_3] \times [-L_z, L_z]$ with $L_3 = 422 \mu m$, $\Delta x = 60 \mu m$, $\ell \approx \Delta s \approx R_0$, and boundary conditions $\phi_{\text{out}} (y = -L_3) = 0.01483$ and $\phi_{\text{out}} (y = L_3) = 0.0851$. Note that in the absence of droplets, boundary conditions imply identical linear gradient in the continuous model as $\phi = \phi (z)$ and in the effective droplet model as $\phi_{\text{out}} = \phi_{\text{out}} (y)$, which were also used to initialize the background for both models. Remaining parameters are specified in Fig. 2.
$$R(t) = \frac{1}{3} \rho^2 (1 + \frac{4}{3})^{-7/3} \left(1 - \frac{2a}{3} \right)^{-11/3} \exp \left(1 - \frac{3}{3 - 2a} \right),$$ where $\rho = R/\langle R \rangle$ is a scaled droplet size. Our effective model thus faithfully captures the dynamics of many droplets, optionally even beyond the Lifshitz-Slyozov regime by increasing the spatial resolution to capture correlations in droplet growth.

**Outlook and discussion.** We showed that our effective method is orders of magnitudes faster than the continuous model while still accurately capturing the dynamics of droplets under the influence of chemical reactions and external gradients. To demonstrate that the method also extends to more challenging situations, we finally simulate the combination of chemical reactions and external gradients on the dynamics of the droplets. Fig. 7 shows that droplets grow as they drift along the gradient and they approach the fixed radius given by $R_{3D}$, so that this system controls droplet drift and size. Taken together, our simulations demonstrate that the novel simulation method captures the dynamics of interacting active droplets efficiently.

To gain the significant speed-up, our approach focuses on relevant degrees of freedom and leverages analytical results. This method can in principle be extended to more challenging situations in the future. For instance, droplets embedded in an elastic matrix affect each others growth, which can be described by similar effective theories. Similar dynamics will also inform the dynamics of droplets in cells, where for instance chromatin
flows, which are present in many liquid-like systems. Here, large scale flows will advect the droplets and
the gradient and no-flux boundary conditions at the remaining system boundaries. Model parameters are

$$L = 1000w, w = 5000$$

of biomolecular condensates.

physical phenomena affecting droplets, thus shedding insights on the formation, dissolution, stability, and sizes
of droplets. More importantly, our model provides a modular platform, which can be extended with other relevant
continuous models, making it viable for fast and computationally efficient simulations of systems with many
reactions and external chemical gradients. The method is several orders of magnitude faster than traditional
behavior of many droplets in biological cells.

allow analyzing more and more complex situations, approaching the complexity necessary to understand the

and the cytoskeleton suppress coalescence of condensates. Our method can in principle also account for fluid
flows, which are present in many liquid-like systems. Here, large scale flows will advect the droplets and
also affect the background field. Finally, we could account for Brownian motion of droplets, their coalescence
upon contact, and their spontaneous division in sufficiently strongly driven systems. In particular, droplets have shown anomalous coarsening behavior in cells, primarily due to hindrance and physical barriers which
curb their ability of coalesce. Extending our effective method to account for these physical processes will
allow analyzing more and more complex situations, approaching the complexity necessary to understand the
behavior of many droplets in biological cells.

In summary, we have demonstrated that our effective method faithfully captures the effects of chemical
reactions and external chemical gradients. The method is several orders of magnitude faster than traditional
continuous models, making it viable for fast and computationally efficient simulations of systems with many
droplets. More importantly, our model provides a modular platform, which can be extended with other relevant
physical phenomena affecting droplets, thus shedding insights on the formation, dissolution, stability, and sizes
of biomolecular condensates.

Data availability
The source code of the project is freely available under the https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7337474 and https://
github.com/zwicker-group/agent-based-emulsions. The datasets generated during the current study are available
under the https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7372489.

Received: 6 July 2022; Accepted: 4 January 2023
Published online: 13 January 2023
References

1. Keating, Christine D. & Pappu, Rohit V. Liquid-liquid phase separation: A widespread and versatile way to organize aqueous solutions. J. Phys. Chem. B 125(45), 12399–12400 (2021).

2. Mitrea, Diana M. & Krivacki, Richard W. Phase separation in biology; Functional organization of a higher order. Cell Commun. Signal. 14(1), 1 (2016).

3. Banani, Salman F., Lee, Hyun O., Hyman, Anthony A. & Rosen, Michael K. Biomolecular condensates: Organizers of cellular biochemistry. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 18(5), 285–298 (2017).

4. Hyman, Anthony A., Weber, Christoph A. & Jülicher, Frank. Liquid-liquid phase separation in biology. Ann. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 30(1), 39–58 (2014).

5. Lyon, Andrew S., Peeples, William B. & Rosen, Michael K. A framework for understanding the functions of biomolecular condensates across scales. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 22(3), 215–235 (2021).

6. Andersson, I. & Backlund, A. Structure and function of rubisco. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 46(3), 275–291 (2008).

7. Mingqian, Du., & Chen, Zhijian J. DNA-induced liquid phase condensation of cgs activates innate immune signaling. Science 361(6403), 704–709 (2018).

8. Greenblatt, Ethan J. & Spradling, Allan C. Fragile x mental retardation 1 gene enhances the translation of large autism-related proteins. Science 361(6403), 709–712 (2018).

9. Klosin, A. et al. Phase separation provides a mechanism to reduce noise in cells. Science 367(6476), 464–468 (2020).

10. Brangwynne, Clifford P. et al. Germline p granules are liquid droplets that localize by controlled dissolution/condensation. Science 324(5935), 1729–1732 (2009).

11. Saha, Shambaditya et al. Polar positioning of phase-separated liquid compartments in cells regulated by an mrna competition mechanism. Cell 166(6), 1572–1584.e16 (2016).

12. Weber, Christoph A., Lee, Chiu Fan & Julicher, Frank. Droplet ripening in concentration gradients. New J. Phys. 19(5), 053021 (2017).

13. Youjun, Wu. et al. Rapid diffusion-state switching underlies stable cytoplasmic gradients in the Caenorhabditis elegans zygote. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 115(36), E8440–E8449 (2018).

14. Hofweber, Mario & Dörmann, Dorothee. Friend or foe–post-translational modifications as regulators of phase separation and rnp granule dynamics. J. Biol. Chem. 294(18), 7137–7150 (2019).

15. Ryan, Veronica H. et al. Mechanistic view of hinrpa2 low-complexity domain structure, interactions, and phase separation altered by mutation and arginine methylation. Mol. Cell. 69(5), 465–479.e7 (2018).

16. Qamar, Seema. et al. Fus phase separation is modulated by a molecular chaperone and methylation of arginine cation–π interactions. Cell 173(3), 720–734.e15 (2018).

17. Nott, Timothy J. et al. Phase transition of a disordered nuage protein generates environmentally responsive membraneless organelles. Mol. Cell 57(5), 936–947 (2015).

18. Zwick, David, Decker, Markus, Jensch, Steffen, Hyman, Anthony A. & Julicher, Frank. Centrosomes are autocatalytic droplets of pericentriolar material organized by centrioles. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 111(26), E2636–E2645 (2014).

19. Söding, Johannes, Zwick, David, Sohrabi-Jahromi, Salma, Boening, Marc & Kirschbaum, Jan. Mechanisms for active regulation of biomolecular condensates. Trends Cell Biol. 30(1), 4–14 (2020).

20. Kirschbaum, Jan & Zwick, David. Controlling biomolecular condensates via chemical reactions. J. R. Soc. Interface 18(179), 20210255 (2021).

21. Ori, Rick, Brul, Stanley & Smits, Gertjan I. Intracellular pH is a tightly controlled signal in yeast. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Gen. Subjects 1810(10), 933–944 (2020).

22. Kroschwald, Sonja et al. Different material states of pub1 condensates define distinct modes of stress adaptation and recovery. Cell Rep. 23(11), 3327–3339 (2018).

23. Peters, Lee Zeev, Hazan, Rotem, Breker, Michal, Schuldiner, Maya & Ben-Aroya, Shay. Formation and dissociation of proteasome storage granules are regulated by cytosolic pH. J. Cell Biol. 201(5), 663–671 (2013).

24. Petrovska, I., Nüse, E., Munder, M. C., Kulasegaran, G., Malinovska, L., Kroschwald, S., Richter, D., Fahmy, K., Gibson, K., Verbavatz, J.-M., & Alberti S. (2014) Filament formation by metabolic enzymes is a specific adaptation to an advanced state of cellular starvation. eLife, e02409.

25. Britsch, Anatol W. et al. Local thermodynamics govern formation and dissolution of Caenorhabditis elegans p granule condensates. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 118(37), e210277118 (2021).

26. Putnam, Andrea, Cassani, Madeline, Smith, Jarrett & Seydoux, Geraldine. A gel phase promotes condensation of liquid p granules in caenorhabditis elegans embryos. Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 26(3), 220–226 (2019).

27. Weber, Christoph A., Zwick, David, Julicher, Frank & Lee, Chiu Fan. Physics of active emulsions. Rep. Prog. Phys. 82(6), 066401 (2019).

28. Cahn, John W. On spinodal decomposition. Acta Metallurgica 9(9), 795–801 (1961).

29. Cahn, John W. & Hilliard, John E. Free energy of a nonuniform system. i. Interfacial free energy. J. Chem. Phys. 28(2), 258–267 (1958).

30. Lee, Hyun Geun, Yang, Junxiang, Kim, Sangkwan & Kim, Junseok. Modeling and simulation of droplet evaporation using a modified cahn-hilliard equation. Appl. Math. Comput. 390, 125591 (2021).

31. Zhou, Jie, Chen, Long, Huang, Yunqing & Wang, Wansheng. An efficient two-grid scheme for the cahn-hilliard equation. Commun. Comput. Phys. 17(1), 127–145 (2015).

32. Chen, C., & Yang, X. Fully-discrete finite element numerical scheme with decoupling structure and energy stability for the cahn-hilliard phase-field model of two-phase incompressible flow system with variable density and viscosity. ESAIM: M2AN, 55(5):2323–2347 (2021).

33. Mohammadi, Vahid & Dehghan, Mehdi. Simulation of the phase field cahn-hilliard and tumor growth models via a numerical scheme: Element-free galerkin method. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. 345, 919–950 (2019).

34. Bañas, Lubomir & Nürnberg, Robert. Adaptive finite element methods for cahn-hilliard equations. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 218(1), 2–11 (2008).

35. Ceniceros, Hector D. & Roma, Alexandre M. A nonstiff, adaptive mesh refinement-based method for the cahn-hilliard equation. J. Comput. Phys. 225(2), 1849–1862 (2007).

36. Zhang, Y., Xu, B., Weiner, B. G., Meir, Y. & Wingreen, N. S. Decoding the physical principles of two-component biomolecular phase separation. eLife, 10:e62403 (2021).

37. Schuster, Benjamin S. et al. Biomolecular condensates: Sequence determinants of phase separation, microstructural organization, enzymatic activity, and material properties. J. Phys. Chem. B 125(14), 3441–3451 (2021).

38. Jacobs, William M. Self-assembly of biomolecular condensates with shared components. Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 258101 (2021).

39. Zwick, David, Hyman, Anthony A. & Julicher, Frank. Suppression of ostwald ripening in active emulsions. Phys. Rev. E 92, 012317 (2015).

40. de Groot, S. R. & Mazur, P. in Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics. Dover Publications, (1984).

41. Glotzer, Sharon C., Di Marzio, Edmund A. & Muthukumar, M. Reaction-controlled morphology of phase-separating mixtures. Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 2034–2037 (1995).
42. Christensen, Jacob J., Elder, Ken & Fogedby, Hans C. Phase segregation dynamics of a chemically reactive binary mixture. Phys. Rev. E 54, R2212–R2215 (1996).
43. Lifshitz, I. M. & Slyozov, V. V. The kinetics of precipitation from supersaturated solid solutions. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 19(1), 35–50 (1961).
44. Wagner, Carl. Theorie der alterung von niederschlägen durch umlösen (ostwald-reifung). Zeitschrift für Elektrochemie, Berichte der Bunensgesellschaft für physikalische Chemie 65(7–8), 581–591 (1961).
45. Zwicker, David. py-pde: A python package for solving partial differential equations. J. Open Source Softw. 5(48), 2158 (2020).
46. Caroli, M., de Castro, P. M. M., Loriot, Sébastien, Rouiller, O., Teillaud, M. & Wormser, C. Robust and efficient delaunay triangulations of points on or close to a sphere. In Paola, F., (ed), Exp. Algorithms, 462–473 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010).
47. Griffin, E.-E., Oddé, D.-J. & Seydoux, G. Regulation of the mex-5 gradient by a spatially segregated kinase/phosphatase cycle. Cell, 146(6):955–968, (2011).
48. Küpper, T. & Masbaum, N. Simulation of particle growth and ostwald ripening via the cahn-hilliard equation. Acta Metallurgica et Materialia 42(6), 1847–1858 (1994).
49. Vidal-Henríquez, E. & Zwicker, D. Cavitation controls droplet sizes in elastic media. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 118(40), e2102014118 (2021).
50. Vidal-Henríquez, E. & Zwicker, D. Theory of droplet ripening in stiffness gradients. Soft Matter 16, 5898–5905 (2020).
51. Feric, M. & Brangwynne, C. P. A nuclear f-actin scaffold stabilizes ribonucleoprotein droplets against gravity in large cells. Nat. Cell Biol. 15(10), 1253–1259 (2013).
52. Böddeker, T.J. et al. Non-specific adhesive forces between filaments and membraneless organelles. Nat. Phys. 18(5), 571–578 (2022).
53. Wiegand, Tina & Hyman, Anthony A. Drops and fibers—how biomolecular condensates and cytoskeletal filaments influence each other. Emerg. Topics Life Sci. 4(3), 247–261 (2020).
54. Setru, S.U. et al. A hydrodynamic instability drives protein droplet formation on microtubes to nucleate branches. Nat. Phys. 17(4), 493–498 (2021).
55. Brangwynne, C. P., Mitchnich, T. J. & Hyman, A. A. Active liquid-like behavior of nucleoli determines their size and shape in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 108(11), 4334–4339 (2011).
56. Zwicker, D., Seyboldt, R., Weber, C. A., Hymann, A. A. & Jülicher, F. Growth and division of active droplets provides a model for protocells. Nat. Phys. 13(4), 408–413 (2017).
57. Seyboldt, R. & Jülicher, F. Role of hydrodynamic flows in chemically driven droplet division. New J. Phys. 20(10), 105010 (2018).
58. Quiroz, F. G., Fiore, V. F., Levorse, J., Polak, Lisa, Wong, Ellen, Pasolli, H. A. & Fuchs, E. Liquid-liquid phase separation drives skin barrier formation. Science, 367(6483):eaax9554 (2020).
59. Lee, D. S., Wingreen, N. S. & Brangwynne, C. P. Chromatin mechanics dictates subdiffusion and coarsening dynamics of embedded condensates. Nat. Phys. 17(4), 581–588 (2021).

Acknowledgements
The authors thank Jan Kirschbaum, Swati Sen, and Malte Thumann for helpful discussions. All authors acknowledge funding from the Max Planck Society. The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Author contributions
All authors designed and performed the research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. A.K. ran the numerical simulations and prepared the figures.

Funding
Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Additional information
Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-27630-3.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.Z.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher’s note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023