Perceived need and barriers to adolescent mental health care: agreement between adolescents and their parents
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Abstract

Aims. Mental disorders cause high burden in adolescents, but adolescents often underutilise potentially beneficial treatments. Perceived need for and barriers to care may influence whether adolescents utilise services and which treatments they receive. Adolescents and parents are stakeholders in adolescent mental health care, but their perceptions regarding need for and barriers to care might differ. Understanding patterns of adolescent-parent agreement might help identify gaps in adolescent mental health care.

Methods. A nationally representative sample of Australian adolescents aged 13–17 and their parents (N = 2310), recruited between 2013–2014, were asked about perceived need for four types of adolescent mental health care (counselling, medication, information and skill training) and barriers to care. Perceived need was categorised as fully met, partially met, unmet, or no need. Cohen’s kappa was used to assess adolescent-parent agreement. Multinomial logistic regressions were used to model variables associated with patterns of agreement.

Results. Almost half (46.5% (s.e. = 1.21)) of either adolescents or parents reported a perceived need for any type of care. For both groups, perceived need was greatest for counselling and lowest for medication. Identifed needs were fully met for a third of adolescents. Adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need was fair (kappa = 0.25 (s.e. = 0.01)), but poor regarding the extent to which needs were met (kappa = −0.10 (s.e. = 0.02)). The lack of parental knowledge about adolescents’ feelings was positively associated with adolescent-parent agreement that needs were partially met or unmet and disagreement about perceived need, compared to agreement that needs were fully met (relative risk ratio (RRR) = 1.91 (95% CI = 1.19–3.04) to RRR = 4.69 (95% CI = 2.38–9.28)). Having a probable disorder was positively associated with adolescent-parent agreement that needs were partially met or unmet (RRR = 2.86 (95% CI = 1.46–5.61)), and negatively with adolescent-parent disagreement on perceived need (RRR = 0.50 (95% CI = 0.30–0.82)). Adolescents reported most frequently attitudinal barriers to care (e.g. self-reliance: 55.1% (s.e. = 2.39)); parents most frequently reported that their child refused help (38.7% (s.e. = 2.69)). Adolescent-parent agreement was poor for attitudinal (kappa = −0.03 (s.e. = 0.06)) and slight for structural barriers (kappa = 0.02 (s.e. = 0.09)).

Conclusions. There are gaps in the extent to which adolescent mental health care is meeting the needs of adolescents and their parents. It seems important to align adolescents’ and parents’ needs at the beginning and throughout treatment and to improve communication between adolescents and their parents. Both might provide opportunities to increase the likelihood that needs will be fully met. Campaigns directed towards adolescents and parents need to address different barriers to care. For adolescents, attitudinal barriers such as stigma and mental health literacy require attention.

Introduction

Six of the ten leading causes of disease burden in adolescents are mental and substance use disorders or related problems (Gore et al., 2011). Evidence-based interventions can reduce this burden (Campion et al., 2012) but studies suggest that adolescents often underutilise potentially beneficial treatments (Merikangas et al., 2011; Sawyer et al., 2018). Perceived need for care and barriers to care are among the many factors that may influence whether adolescents utilise services and which treatments they receive. Adolescents and parents are...
stakeholders in adolescent mental health care, but their perceptions regarding need for and barriers to care might differ. Understanding patterns of adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need and barriers to care might, therefore, help to identify gaps in adolescent mental health care.

Perceived need is an individual’s view about whether and what type of care they believe they need (Meadows et al., 2000). Consideration of the individual’s preferences is an important element of patient-centred care (Epstein and Street, 2011). Approaches to assessing perceived need among adolescents have varied. For example, one study used a single question asking adolescents and their parents, whether they thought the adolescent needed mental health care (Ezpeleta et al., 2002). Another asked parents whether they perceived a need for any of four types of help for their child and, if they received that help, whether needs were fully or only partially met (Johnson et al., 2018). This latter, more detailed approach may be useful for designing patient-centred services because it considers the individuals’ preferences for treatment and their views about the services that were delivered. Moreover, despite perceiving a need for care or even seeking care, adolescents’ needs may be unmet or only partially met because barriers stand in their way. Understanding barriers to care may assist in developing and targeting strategies to facilitate the use of appropriate services (Reardon et al., 2017; Sheppard et al., 2018).

Parents are key gatekeepers for adolescent mental health care. However, patient-centred care approaches recognise the importance of both adolescents’ and parents’ perspectives but balancing them is challenging (Gondek et al., 2017). As introspection is developing during adolescence, adolescents might perceive a need for care for different problems than their parents because parents have to rely on adolescents’ observable behaviour (De Los Reyes et al., 2015) to detect a need. Adolescents might also perceive needs for different types of care than their parents depending on their knowledge about treatment options or aetiology of mental problems (Farrer et al., 2008). Even when treatment is received, adolescents and parents may evaluate the benefits of that care differently (Aarons et al., 2010). Ideally, adolescent mental health services should aim to meet the treatment needs of adolescents as perceived by both adolescents and their parents. Therefore, one possible approach to reveal gaps in adolescent care is to understand patterns of adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need for care. For example, adolescent-parent agreement that perceived needs were fully met may indicate that there was no gap in the extent to which adolescents’ mental health care met needs. Agreement that perceived need was only partially met or unmet, or disagreement about the extent to which need was met, may indicate unmet need and gaps in adolescents’ mental health care. To date, the few studies that have examined perceived need and barriers to adolescent mental health care from both the adolescents’ and parents’ perspectives (Ezpeleta et al., 2002; Williams et al., 2011) have relied on selective samples with limited implications for policy or service development.

Our understanding of who is more likely to perceive unmet need may be enhanced by exploring the factors associated with patterns of agreement. The presence or type of mental disorder has been associated with a perceived need for care (Godony et al., 2009; Johnson et al., 2018), but its association with agreement is unclear. Difficulty in communicating feelings within the family has been associated with adolescent-parent disagreement on symptoms (Bidaut-Russell et al., 1995; Van Roy et al., 2010) but its relationship with agreement on perceived need has not been explored. Finally, as with perceived need, adolescents and their parents might identify different barriers to care, as a result of their unique expectations, experiences and cognitions. As yet, however, no studies have examined this.

Using data from a nationally representative survey, we addressed three research questions to fill outlined gaps in knowledge:

1. What is the level of agreement between adolescents and their parents on perceived need for any type and different types of adolescents’ mental health care?
2. What factors are associated with patterns of adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need?
3. What is the level of agreement between adolescents and their parents on barriers to care?

Methods

Participants and procedure

The Second Australian Child and Adolescent Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing (or Young Minds Matter (YMM) Survey) was conducted between June 2013 and April 2014. The survey methodology is detailed elsewhere (Hafezost et al., 2016). Briefly, area-based random sampling was used to identify households with at least one child aged 4–17. If more than one eligible child lived in the household, the study child was selected randomly. Trained interviewers conducted face-to-face interviews with one parent or primary carer (hereafter, parents); the adolescent completed a self-report questionnaire on a tablet computer. Of eligible households, 6310 parents participated in the YMM survey with an overall response rate of 55%. The current study focused on the subset of adolescents aged 13–17. Of 2604 adolescents approached, 2314 (89%) participated. We excluded four participants (0.17%) due to missing data, two each in two of the independent variables, resulting in a total study sample of 2310. We assumed that deleting missing cases in a sample of this size would not result in a loss of precision or power, that the data was missing completely at random and that the reasons for the missing data were unrelated to the outcome. In this case, missing data in the independent variable does not lead to biased results (Sterne et al., 2009). The Ethics Committee of the Australian Government Department of Health approved the survey and the Human Ethics Research Office of the University of Queensland exempted the current study from ethics review.

Measures

Perceived need for care

Perceived need for adolescent mental health care in the past 12 months was assessed with a modified version of the Perceived Need for Care Questionnaire (Meadows et al., 2000) (Fig. 1). Questions were addressed to all adolescents and parents that identified an emotional or behavioural problem and additionally to parents that reported possible mental health problems in earlier questions (Johnson et al., 2018). First, participants were asked whether the adolescent had received help from any of four broad types of help for emotional or behavioural problems: information, medication, counselling and skill-training. For each type of help received, participants were asked whether they received enough. Second, participants who did not report having received help were asked if they thought they needed any of the same four...
In the past 12 months, did you/your child receive any of the following types of help?:

- Information about emotional or behavioural problems, treatment and available service (information);
- Prescribed medication for emotional or behavioural problems (medication);
- One-on-one, family, or group counselling/talking therapy about problems or difficulties (counselling);
- Courses or other counselling for life skills, self-esteem or motivation (skill-training).

Multiple answers possible

**Perceived Need**

- **Yes**
  - Did you/your child receive as much help as needed?
  - Yes Fully met need
  - No Partially met need

- **No**
  - No need
  - Unmet need

**What type of help did you feel you/your child needed/needed more of?**

As above

Which of the following reasons kept you/your child from getting the help you felt was needed:

- Wanted to work out the problem on my own (attitudinal; self-reliance)
- Wasn’t sure if I/my child needed help (attitudinal)
- Wasn’t sure where to get help (attitudinal)
- Thought that problem would get better by itself (attitudinal)
- Problem getting to a service that could help (structural)
- Couldn’t afford it (structural)
- Couldn’t get appointment when needed (structural)
- Worried what others might think, didn’t want to talk to stranger (attitudinal; stigma)
- Asked for help at school but didn’t get it (structural; adolescents only)
- Child refused help, didn’t turn up for appointment, or didn’t think they had a problem (parents only)

Multiple answers possible

**Fig. 1.** Assessment of perceived need for and barriers to care among adolescents and their parents.

types of help. From this information, the level of perceived need for each type of help was classified as either fully met, partially met, unmet or no need. A composite variable ‘perceived need for any type of help’ with the same levels of need was provided; it takes the greatest level of need for each type of help endorsed (Johnson et al., 2018).

For the current study, we categorised agreement between adolescents and their parents on the level of perceived need into a ‘patterns of agreement’ variable which had five groups: (1) agreed that needs were fully met, (2) agreed that needs were partially met or unmet, (3) adolescent perceived a greater level of need than their parent, (4) parent perceived a greater level of need than the adolescent and (5) agreed that there was no need (online Supplementary eTable 1). Groups (1)–(4) include those where either adolescents or parents reported a perceived need.

**Barriers to care**

Adolescents and parents who reported an unmet or a partially met need for any type of help were asked which of nine barriers kept the adolescent from getting the help they felt was needed.
Most barriers were categorised as attitudinal or structural (Mojtabai et al., 2011) (Fig. 1). Eight of the barriers were the same for both adolescents and parents, adolescents were asked about one additional structural barrier and parents were asked whether their ‘child refused help’ which we could not conclusively categorise and therefore treat separately.

Additional measures
Adolescents’ probable mental disorder (hereafter, probable disorder) in the past six months, parental knowledge about adolescents’ feelings (an indicator for communication of feelings between adolescents and parents), family functioning, parental psychopathology, family type, remoteness, socio-economic advantage and disadvantage, parental education and adolescents’ sex were additionally assessed (online Supplementary eMaterial 1). Where applicable, we provide Cronbach’s α for scales used in this study in online Supplementary eMaterial 1.

Statistical analyses
Survey weights were applied to the data to represent the estimated population of interest as of 30 June 2013 and analyses accounted for clustering. We computed group comparisons with the second-order Rao and Scott correction (Rao and Scott, 1984) of Pearson χ² statistics that is converted into F statistics and report Cramer’s V as a measure for the strength of association for each comparison. We computed adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need using kappa statistics (Cohen, 1960) and percentage agreement. Kappa is more robust than percentages because it accounts for agreement by chance. Kappa values of <0.00, 0.00–0.20, 0.21–0.40, 0.41–0.60, 0.61–0.80 and 0.81–1.00 were considered to represent poor, slight, fair, moderate, substantial and almost perfect agreement, respectively (Landis and Koch, 1977).

We modelled associations of adolescents’ probable disorder and parental knowledge about adolescents’ feelings (independent variables) with patterns of agreement on perceived need for any type of help (dependent variable). We excluded pairs that agreed on no need because they are not at risk of unmet need. We controlled for family and socio-demographic characteristics that have previously been associated with adolescent-parent disagreement on mental disorder (Grills and Ollendick, 2002; De Los Reyes and Kazdin, 2006; Chen et al., 2017; Bajeux et al., 2018), with simultaneous multinomial logistic specifications. Specified post-hoc, we ran the same model but with the adolescents’ probable disorder variable replaced with separate variables measuring probable internalising and externalising disorder (see online Supplementary eMaterial 1 for categorisation of these two variables). Statistical analyses and graphs were performed in Stata version 15 (StataCorp) using the svy prefix for survey data and R version 3.5.1 (R Core Team) using the packages ‘ggplot2’ (Wickham, 2016) and ‘survey’ (Lumley, 2004).

Results
In the total sample, a probable disorder was reported by 16.7% of adolescents or parents and little/no parental knowledge about adolescents’ feelings by 31.3% of adolescents (sample characteristics in online Supplementary eTable 2).

Level of adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need for any type and different types of adolescents’ mental health care
Table 1 shows the distributions of levels of perceived need for any type of help and for each type of help, separately for adolescents and parents. Approximately one-third of both adolescents and parents reported a perceived need for any type of help. For both groups, perceived need was highest for counselling and lowest for medication. Table 1 also shows that almost half (46.5%, s.e. = 1.21) of either adolescents or parents reported a perceived need for any type of help. Among this sub-sample, identified needs were fully met in around one-third of cases, varying somewhat according to the type of help needed. In the sub-sample of adolescents with a probable disorder, perceived need for any and each type of help was more frequent than in the total sample, but patterns were otherwise similar (online Supplementary eTable 3).

Table 2 shows the agreement among adolescent-parent pairs on perceived need. Considering all four levels of perceived need, we found that agreement on perceived need for any type of help was fair. There was some variation in agreement across types of help needed – moderate for medication, fair for counselling and slight for skill training and information (Table 2). Inspection of cell frequencies of agreement showed, however, that majority of adolescent-parent pairs agreed on no need (online Supplementary eTable 4). When we excluded pairs that agreed that there was no need, agreement on the extent to which need was met decreased to slight for medication and to poor for any type of help and all other types of help (Table 2). In the sub-sample of adolescents with a probable disorder, results were generally similar except that agreement on the extent to which need for any type of help and for counselling was met was slight (online Supplementary eTable 5).

Factors associated with patterns of adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need
Regression models examined associations of probable disorder and parental knowledge about adolescents’ feelings with patterns of agreement, with ‘agreement that needs were fully met’ being the reference category. Having a probable disorder was positively associated with adolescent-parent agreement that needs were partially met or unmet, and, from the adolescents’ perspective, negatively associated with adolescent-parent disagreement on perceived need. Little/no parental knowledge about adolescent’s feelings was positively associated with agreement that needs were partially met or unmet and with disagreement between adolescent and parent (Table 3; full model in online Supplementary eTable 6); this was also found in the sub-sample of adolescents with a probable disorder (online Supplementary eTable 7). The post hoc analyses including probable externalising and probable internalising disorder separately showed that the association of probable disorder with patterns of agreement was mainly driven by probable internalising disorder. That is, having a probable internalising disorder was positively associated with agreement that needs were partially met or unmet, and negatively with both categories of adolescent-parent disagreement. Confidence intervals of associations for probable externalising disorder included zero and we can therefore not determine the direction of this effect (online Supplementary eTable 8).
Among the two sub-samples of adolescents or parents that identified an unmet or partially met need, adolescents reported attitudinal barriers most frequently. Comparing distributions of barriers among these two sub-samples, adolescents reported following barriers more frequently than their parents did (confidence intervals of estimates do not overlap (Schenker and Gentleman, 2001; Knol et al., 2011)): worried what others might think (stigma), wanting to handle the problem on my own, being unsure if help is needed and thinking that the problem will get better by itself. Parents most frequently reported that their child refused help and they reported following barriers more frequently than adolescents did: couldn’t get an appointment when

Table 1. Distribution and comparison of past 12 months perceived need among adolescents (aged 13–17) and their parents

| Level of perceived need, by type of help | Total sample (N = 2310) | Sub-samples, either adolescent or parent identified a perceived need |
|----------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                        | Adolescents, % (S.E.)  | Parents, % (S.E.)                                              |
| Any type of help                        |                        |                                                               |
| No need                                | 67.1 (1.11)            | 69.0 (1.11)                                                    |
| Fully met need                         | 16.9 (0.86)            | 14.4 (0.81)                                                    |
| Partially met need                     | 9.1 (0.64)             | 9.0 (0.64)                                                     |
| Unmet need                             | 6.9 (0.59)             | 7.6 (0.67)                                                     |
| Counselling                            |                        |                                                               |
| No need                                | 79.1 (0.95)            | 74.1 (1.08)                                                    |
| Fully met need                         | 10.5 (0.71)            | 12.2 (0.79)                                                    |
| Partially met need                     | 4.4 (0.46)             | 6.1 (0.55)                                                     |
| Unmet need                             | 6.0 (0.54)             | 7.6 (0.64)                                                     |
| Medication                             |                        |                                                               |
| No need                                | 92.3 (0.56)            | 94.4 (0.52)                                                    |
| Fully met need                         | 2.7 (0.34)             | 3.3 (0.40)                                                     |
| Partially met need                     | 1.4 (0.25)             | 1.1 (0.23)                                                     |
| Unmet need                             | 3.6 (0.41)             | 1.2 (0.25)                                                     |
| Information                            |                        |                                                               |
| No need                                | 81.1 (0.94)            | 85.1 (0.87)                                                    |
| Fully met need                         | 11.4 (0.74)            | 7.5 (0.63)                                                     |
| Partially met need                     | 3.5 (0.40)             | 2.9 (0.37)                                                     |
| Unmet need                             | 4.0 (0.45)             | 4.5 (0.50)                                                     |
| Skill training                          |                        |                                                               |
| No need                                | 85.7 (0.78)            | 86.5 (0.78)                                                    |
| Fully met need                         | 6.1 (0.52)             | 4.3 (0.48)                                                     |
| Partially met need                     | 2.2 (0.32)             | 1.6 (0.31)                                                     |
| Unmet need                             | 6.0 (0.52)             | 7.6 (0.60)                                                     |

N=n: unweighted number of respondents; s.e.: standard error; %: weighted percent; Cramer’s V of 0.1, 0.3 and 0.5 represent small, medium and large strength of association.

Table 2. Adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need

| Level of help                          | Adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need (all levels) (N = 2310) %, Cohen’s kappa (s.e.) | Adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need (excluding ‘no need’) %, Cohen’s kappa (s.e.) |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Any type of help                        | 61.3, 0.25 (0.01)                                                                            | 20.0, −0.10 (0.02)                                                                 |
| Counselling                            | 71.5, 0.30 (0.01)                                                                            | 19.7, −0.10 (0.02)                                                                 |
| Medication                             | 92.0, 0.43 (0.01)                                                                            | 25.1, 0.01 (0.03)                                                                 |
| Information                            | 73.2, 0.15 (0.01)                                                                            | 10.2, −0.27 (0.02)                                                                 |
| Skill training                          | 76.6, 0.11 (0.01)                                                                            | 7.4, −0.34 (0.03)                                                                 |
needed and having problems finding a service that could help (Fig. 2). Overall, attitudinal barriers were reported more frequently than structural barriers by both adolescents and parents (online Supplementary eTable 9). Among the smaller sub-sample where both adolescents and parents reported an unmet or partially met need, distributions of reported barriers were similar, but agreement was poor ($\kappa = -0.03$, s.e. = 0.06) for reporting at least one attitudinal and slight ($\kappa = 0.02$, s.e. = 0.09) for reporting at least one structural barrier (online Supplementary eTable 9).

### Discussion

This study had four key findings. First, almost half of either adolescents or parents identified a perceived need for adolescent mental health care. Need for any type of care was met in only a third and for specific types of help in a quarter to a third of adolescents. Second, adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need was higher regarding perceived need than regarding the extent to which perceived need was met. Third, the lack of communication

### Table 3. Fully adjusted\(^a\) multinomial logistic regressions of variables associated with patterns of agreement on perceived need ($n = 1119$)

|                         | Agreed that needs were fully met | Agreed that needs were partially met or unmet | Adolescent reported greater level of need than their parent | Parent reported greater level of need than the adolescent |
|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| **Adolescents’ probable disorder\(^b\)** |                                 |                                               |                                                           |                                                         |
| Present                 | 1.00                            | 2.86 (1.46–5.61)                              | 0.50 (0.30–0.82)                                           | 0.77 (0.47–1.24)                                         |
| Parental knowledge about adolescents’ feelings\(^c\) |                                 |                                               |                                                           |                                                         |
| Little/not at all       | 1.00                            | 4.69 (2.38–9.28)                              | 3.45 (2.16–5.51)                                           | 1.91 (1.19–3.04)                                         |

RRR: relative risk ratio; CI: confidence interval; $F_{(27,522)} = 6.76$.
\(^a\)Estimates are adjusted for probable disorder or parental knowledge about adolescents’ feelings, respectively, and sex, socio-economic (dis)advantage, remoteness, family type, parental education, parental psychopathology and family functioning.
\(^b\)Reference category: absent.
\(^c\)Reference category: ‘A lot/some’.

Fig. 2. Barriers to care endorsed by adolescents ($n = 515$) or parents ($n = 402$).

Note: A: attitudinal, S: structural. Each endorsed and denied barrier for both parents and adolescents adds up to 100%. Weighted percent (%) with 95%-confidence intervals (CIs). Differences in reported barriers are considered present if CIs of adolescent- and parent-reported barriers do not overlap.
between adolescents and parents, and for some adolescents, having a probable disorder increased the likelihood of unmet need. Fourth, adolescents and parents identified different barriers to care, and adolescent-parent agreement on barriers was low. In particular, attitudinal barriers appear to be important to adolescents, while the child refusing help appears to be important to parents.

Our study provides the first findings from a nationally representative sample of both adolescents’ and parents’ perspectives on perceived need for and barriers to adolescent mental health care. It includes individuals who may not meet criteria for a mental disorder; this is important because many adolescents who use mental health care might have subthreshold problems or other indicators of mental ill-health (Lawrence et al., 2015). However, the survey response-rate was modest, but declining response rates have been common in household survey for the last two decades (National Research Council, 2013) and the response rate for the YMM survey is very similar to that of comparable contemporary surveys (Vizard et al., 2018). The sample has been shown to be representative of the Australian adolescent population on major sociodemographic characteristics (Hafekost et al., 2016), but we cannot discount the possibility of other unmeasured biases related to perceived need. Parental knowledge about adolescent’s feelings relied on a single item that was not tested for validity or reliability. The measure of probable disorder covered the past six months, different to the 12-months timeframe for perceived need, and it covered common mental disorders but not all; therefore, our findings might not have entirely captured the effect of a probable disorder on patterns of agreement. A limitation of the cross-sectional methodology is that some respondents might still have been receiving care at the time of interview; their level of perceived need may change when treatment is completed. Longitudinal studies are needed to resolve this problem. The barrier ‘worried what others might think/didn’t want to talk to a stranger’ might capture different types of stigma, e.g. self-stigma and attitudes towards help-seeking. These should be assessed separately in future studies as they are differentially associated with help-seeking (Schnyder et al., 2017). Due to small cell sizes, we were not able to examine agreement on individual barriers.

Importantly, our findings suggest that there is a gap in the extent to which adolescent mental health care meets community needs that includes roughly equal proportions of adolescents (up to 20%) who needed help but did not receive it and adolescents who received help but not enough of it. Efforts to close this gap should take both groups into account. Perceived need for counselling, information and skill training seem to be more pronounced than the need for medication. Despite Australia’s investment in improving access to psychological therapies, perceived need for this type of care for adolescents is not yet sufficiently met. This might be improved by ensuring that delivered treatment meets standards of minimally adequate care (Sawyer et al., 2018) and aligns with recommendations of evidence-based treatment guidelines (Crome and Baillie, 2016), educating clinicians in how to deliver patient-centred care and improving the provision of information about treatment (Gondek et al., 2017) and reducing barriers to care (Gulliver et al., 2010).

Our finding of low-adolescent-parent agreement regarding perceived need is similar to findings on agreement regarding mental health problems (Sourander et al., 1999; Fisher et al., 2006). A good therapeutic alliance – commonly including the bond between patient and therapist, and agreement about goals and tasks of therapy (Wampold et al., 1997) – might be beneficial for families to engage and retain in treatment (Gopalan et al., 2010). For adolescent mental health professionals, this alliance might be strengthened by aligning adolescents and parents needs at the beginning of and throughout treatment. One study of community-based adolescent outpatient service users suggested that concordance on perceived need for a counsellor or psychiatrist may be associated with higher numbers of appointments kept (Williams et al., 2011); this should be explored in general population studies with broader implications for policy and service development.

We proposed two potentially important factors for explaining why some needs for adolescent mental health care might not be met. Parental knowledge about adolescent’s feelings, an indicator of lack of effective communication between adolescents and their parents, was associated with all groups of patterns of agreement on perceived need, differentiated the adolescent-parent pairs who agreed that needs had been fully meet from other groups. Australia’s headspace ’Fathers Campaign’ is an example of a public health intervention aimed at improving communication of mental health issues between fathers and sons. Our findings suggest that this approach could potentially be expanded to all adolescents and their families and should be evaluated to determine its effect on adolescent-parent agreement on perceived need.

Regarding probable disorder, there were two findings: (1) adolescents with a probable disorder were less likely than adolescents without a probable disorder to have their needs fully met. For healthcare providers, it might be more difficult to meet the needs of adolescents with a probable disorder as they might be both more complex or require more intensive intervention than those of adolescents who do not meet the threshold for probable disorder. (2) Adolescents with a probable disorder were more likely to perceive the same level of need as perceived by their parents. This might be an encouraging finding as those adolescents might have a greater chance that their needs will be fully met because their needs are aligned with their parents’. Post-hoc specified analyses showed that these two findings were mainly driven by adolescents with a probable internalising disorder. This might be surprising, given evidence that parents and adolescents are more likely to agree on the presence of externalising than internalising problems (Rescorla et al., 2017). Our findings suggest that agreement on the problem area might not necessarily translate into agreement on perceived need for care. Future studies might investigate reasons for that.

Findings regarding the most frequent barriers to care concur with earlier studies (Jorm et al., 2007; Gulliver et al., 2010; Sheppard et al., 2018). Additionally, we showed that adolescent-parent agreement on barriers to care was low, indicating that campaigns directed towards adolescents and parents need to address different barriers. For adolescents, they might address attitudinal barriers such as stigma and mental health literacy (specifically, when to seek help). The implications of other barriers are less clear and require further examination. For example, self-reliance is a key barrier identified in earlier studies (e.g. Gulliver et al., 2010; Sheppard et al., 2018), but the reasons for this are unclear. Maybe it overshadows the importance of stigma (Jennings et al., 2015); reducing stigma might in turn reduce the desire for self-reliance. Alternatively, it might reflect a lack of understanding of the potential benefits of appropriate treatment (Jorm et al., 2006) and increasing mental health literacy might help. Thinking that the problem will get better by itself might seem a legitimate reason for not seeking help as spontaneous remission can sometimes occur (Beesdo-Baum et al., 2012), but longitudinal
studies are needed to investigate whether those reporting this barrier actually get better without treatment. For parents, refusal of the child to receive help is a major barrier; future studies might examine whether the adolescents in these instances are those who did not perceive a need for care or who reported that their needs were not fully met.

Conclusion
The mental healthcare system seems to meet needs for adolescent mental health care for one-quarter to one-third of adolescents and parents. Promoting conversation between adolescents and their parents might improve agreement between the two regarding perceived need and, in turn, provide opportunities to increase the likelihood that needs will be fully met. For adolescents, stigma has to be reduced and mental health literacy improved to reduce barriers to care. The ambiguity of other prominent barriers to adolescent mental health care calls for more research.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796019000568.

Data. The Australian Data Archive (ADA) provided us with the YMM data. We are not able to directly share the YMM survey data but researchers in- and outside of Australia can apply for the data with the ADA. The data application form, documentations, and, ones ADA granted access, the data itself can be accessed here. Stata and R scripts that were used for statistical analyses in this publication can be found in the online Appendix (online Supplementary eMaterial 2).

Acknowledgements. We would like to thank all the families that participated in the survey; the survey team and reference group for implementing the survey; the University of Western Australia, the Telethon Kids Institute, Roy Morgan Research and the team of interviewers for conducting the survey.

Financial support. N.S. was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) Early Postdoc. Mobility fellowship (grant number: P2BEP3_181901). N.S. ’s funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. This study uses data from the Young Minds Matter survey which was funded by the Australian Government Department of Health.

Conflict of interest. None.

Ethical standards. The Ethics Committee of the Australian Government Department of Health approved the survey and the Human Ethics Research Office of the University of Queensland exempted the current study from ethics review.

References
Aarons GA, Covert J, Skriner LG, Green A, Marto D, Garland AF and Landser J (2010) The eye of the beholder: youths and parents differ on what matters in mental health services. Administration and Policy in Mental Health and Mental Health Services Research 37, 459–467.
Bajek E, Klemanski DH, Husky M, Leray E, Chan Chee C, Shojaij T, Meranian C and Kovess-Masfety V (2018) Factors associated with parent–child discrepancies in reports of mental health disorders in young children. Child Psychiatry & Human Development 49, 1003–1010.
Beedo-Baum K, Knappe S, Fehm L, Höfler M, Lieb R, Hofmann SG and Wittchen HU (2012) The natural course of social anxiety disorder among adolescents and young adults. Acta Psychiatria Scandinavica 126, 411–425.
Bidaut-Russell M, Reich W, Gottler LB, Robins LN, Compton WM and Mattison RE (1995) The diagnostic interview schedule for children (PC-DISC v.3.0): parents and adolescents suggest reasons for expecting discrepant answers. Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology 23, 641–659.
Campion J, Bhu K and Bhugra D (2012) European Psychiatric Association (EPA) guidance on prevention of mental disorders. European Psychiatry 27, 68–80.
Chen YY, Ho SY, Lee PC, Wu CK and Gau SSF (2017) Parent-child discrepancies in the report of adolescent emotional and behavioral problems in Taiwan. PLoS One 12, e0178863.
Codony M, Alonso J, Almansa J, Bernert S, de Girolamo G, de Graaf R, Haro JM, Kovess V, Vilagut G and Kessler R (2009) Perceived need for mental health care and service use among adults in Western Europe: results of the ESEMeD project. Psychiatric Services 60, 1051–1058.
Cohen J (1960) A coefficient of agreement for nominal scales. Educational and Psychological Measurement 20, 37–46.
Crome E and Baillie AJ (2016) Better access and equitable access to clinical psychology services: what do we need to know? Medical Journal of Australia 204, 341–344.
De Los Reyes A and Kavadz AE (2006) Informant discrepancies in assessing child dysfunction relate to dysfunction within mother-child interactions. Journal of Child and Family Studies 15, 643–661.
De Los Reyes A, Augenstein TM, Wang M, Thomas SA, Drabick DAG, Burgers DE and Rabinowitz J (2015) The validity of the multi-informant approach to assessing child and adolescent mental health. Psychological Bulletin 141, 858–900.
Epstein RM and Street RL (2011) The values and value of patient-centered care. Annals of Family Medicine 9, 100–103.
Ezepola L, Granero R, De La Osa N, Domenech JM and Guillamon N (2002) Perception of need for help and use of mental health services in children and adolescents. Do they share the same predictors? Psicothema 14, 532–539.
Farrer L, Leach L, Griffiths KM, Christensen H and Jorm AF (2008) Age differences in mental health literacy. BMC Public Health 8, 125.
Fisher SL, Bucholz KK, Reich W, Fox L, Kuperman S, Kramer J, Hesselbrock V, Dick DM, Nurnberger JI, Edenberg HJ and Bierut LJ (2006) Teenagers are right – parents do not know much: an analysis of adolescent-parent agreement on reports of adolescent substance use, abuse, and dependence. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research 30, 1699–1710.
Gondek D, Edbrooke-Childs J, Velikonja T, Chapman L, Saunders F, Hayes D and Wolpert M (2017) Facilitators and barriers to person-centred care in child and young people mental health services: a systematic review. Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy 24, 870–886.
Gopadan G, Goldstein L, Klingenstein K, Sicher C, Blake C and McKay MM (2010) Engaging families into child mental health treatment: updates and special considerations. Journal of the Canadian Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry 19, 182–196.
Gore FM, Bloem PJN, Patton GC, Ferguson J, Joseph V, Coffey C, Sawyer SM and Mathers CD (2011) Global burden of disease in young people aged 10–24 years: a systematic analysis. Lancet 377, 2093–2102.
Grills AE and Olendick TH (2002) Issues in parent-child agreement: the case of structured diagnostic interviews. Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review 5, 57–83.
Gulliver A, Griffiths KM and Christensen H (2010) Perceived barriers and facilitators to mental health help-seeking in young people: a systematic review. BMC Psychiatry 10, 113–121.
Hafekost J, Lawrence D, Boterhoven De Haan K, Johnson SE, Saw S, Buckingham WJ, Sawyer MG, Ainley J and Zubrick SR (2016) Methodology of young minds matter: the second Australian child and adolescent survey of mental health and wellbeing. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 50, 866–875.
Jennings KS, Cheung JH, Britt TW, Goguen KN, Jeffs SM, Peasley AL and Lee AC (2015) How are perceived stigma, self-stigma, and self-reliance related to treatment-seeking? A three-path model. Psychiatric Rehabilitation Journal 38, 109–116.
Johnson SE, Lawrence D, Sawyer M and Zubrick SR (2018) Mental disorders in Australian 4- to 17-year olds: parent-reported need for help. Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 52, 149–162.
Jorm AF, Kelly CM, Wright A, Parslow RA, Harris MG and McGorry PD (2006) Belief in dealing with depression alone: results from community surveys of adolescents and adults. Journal of Affective Disorders 96, 59–65.
Jorm AF, Wright A and Morgan AJ (2007) Where to seek help for a mental disorder? National survey of the beliefs of Australian youth and their parents. *Medical Journal of Australia* 187, 556–560.

Knol MJ, Pestman WR and Grobbee DE (2011) The (mis)use of overlap of confidence intervals to assess effect modification. *European Journal of Epidemiology* 26, 253–254.

Landis JR and Koch GG (1977) The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics* 33, 159–174.

Lawrence D, Johnson SE, Hafekost J, Boterhoven De Haan K, Sawyer MG, Ainley J and Zubrick SR (2013) The Mental Health of Children and Adolescents: Report on the Second Australian Child and Adolescent Survey of Mental Health and Wellbeing, Canberra, ACT, Australia: Department of Health, Australian Government.

Lumley T (2004) Analysis of complex survey samples. *Journal of Statistical Software* 9, 1–19.

Meadows GN, Harvey C, Fossey E and Burgess PM (2000) Assessing perceived need for mental health care in a community survey: development of the Perceived Need for Care Questionnaire (PNCQ). *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 35, 427–435.

Merikangas KR, He JP, Burstein M, Swendsen J, Avenevoli S, Case B, Georgiades K, Heaton L, Swanson S and Olsson M (2011) Service utilization for lifetime mental disorders in U.S. adolescents: results of the national comorbidity survey-adolescent supplement (NCS-A). *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry* 50, 32–45.

Mojtahai R, Olsson M, Sampson NA, Jin R, Druss B, Wang PS, Wells KB, Pincus HA and Kessler RC (2011) Barriers to mental health treatment: results from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Psychological Medicine* 41, 1751–1761.

National Research Council (2013) *Nonresponse in Social Science Surveys: A Research Agenda*. Tourangeau R and Plewes TJ (eds), Washington, DC: The National Academies Press.

Rao JNK and Scott AJ (1984) On Chi-squared tests for multivary contingency tables with cell proportions estimated from survey data. *The Annals of Statistics* 12, 46–60.

Reardon T, Harvey K, Baranowska M, O’Brien D, Smith L and Creswell C (2017) What do parents perceive are the barriers and facilitators to accessing psychological treatment for mental health problems in children and adolescents? A systematic review of qualitative and quantitative studies. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry* 26, 623–647.

Rescorla LA, Ewing G, Ivanova MY, Aebi M, Bilenberg N, Dielemann GC, Döpflner M, Kajokiene I, Leung PWL, Plück J, Steinhausen HC, Winkler Metzke C, Zukauskiene R and Verhulst FC (2017) Parent–adolescent cross-informant agreement in clinically referred samples: findings from seven societies. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology* 46, 74–87.

Sawyer MG, Reece CE, Sawyer ACP, Hiscock H and Lawrence D (2018) Adequacy of treatment for child and adolescent mental disorders in Australia: a national study. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 53, 326–335.

Schenker N and Gentleman JF (2001) On judging the significance of differences by examining the overlap between confidence intervals. *American Statistician* 55, 182–186.

Schnyder N, Panczak R, Groth N and Schultz-Lutter F (2017) Association between mental health-related stigma and active help-seeking: systematic review and meta-analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 210, 261–268.

Sheppard R, Deane FP and Ciarrochi J (2018) Unmet need for professional mental health care among adolescents with high psychological distress. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry* 52, 59–67.

Sourander A, Helselä L and Helenius H (1999) Parent-adolescent agreement on emotional and behavioral problems. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology* 34, 657–663.

Sterne JAC, White IR, Carlin JB, Spratt M, Royston P, Kenward MG, Wood AM and Carpenter JR (2009) Multiple imputation for missing data in epidemiological and clinical research: potential and pitfalls. *British Medical Journal* 339, 157–160.

Van Roy B, Groholt B, Heyerdahl S and Clench-Aas J (2010) Understanding discrepancies in parent-child reporting of emotional and behavioural problems: effects of relational and socio-demographic factors. *BMC Psychiatry* 10, 56.

Vizard T, Sadler K, Ford T, Merad S, Brodie E, Forbes N, Pearce N, Goodman R, Goodman A, McManus S and Digital N (2018) *Mental Health of Children and Young People in England, 2017*. Survey Design and Methods Report.

Wampold BE, Mondin GW, Moody M, Stich F, Benson K and Ahn H (1997) A meta-analysis of outcome studies comparing bona fide psychotherapies: empirically, ‘all must have prizes’. *Psychological Bulletin* 122, 203–215.

Wickham H (2016) *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Version 3.2.0. New York: Springer.

Williams CD, Lindsey M and Joe S (2011) Parent-adolescent concordance on perceived need for mental health services and its impact on service use. *Children and Youth Services Review* 33, 2253–2260.