Developing an optimized cold/heat questionnaire
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A B S T R A C T

Background: The cold/heat questionnaire is one of the most actively developed patient diagnostic tools in traditional Korean medicine (hereafter abbreviated as TKM) because of its objectivity. Unfortunately, the existing questionnaires contain too many items to hold the respondent’s attention. In the current study, we aimed to develop an optimized cold/heat questionnaire to be used as a complement to the existing questionnaires.

Methods: We developed a new cold/heat questionnaire based on a domain analysis of the existing questionnaires. The questionnaire’s reliability was examined via two test–retest reliability analyses involving 1890 individuals in November 2013 and February 2014. Its validity was examined using a professional cold/heat diagnosis kappa value.

Results: The new cold/heat questionnaire consisted of a total of seven items, which were created based on an analysis of the existing questionnaires. A reliability analysis performed using the study participants revealed a correlation coefficient of 0.609, 74.5% agreement with professional cold/heat diagnoses by TKM practitioners, and a kappa value of 0.487.

Conclusion: In the current study, we developed an optimized cold/heat questionnaire. The level of agreement between the questionnaire and professional cold/heat diagnoses by TKM practitioners was significant, which indicates great potential for its widespread use as a diagnostic tool in TKM.

© 2015 Korea Institute of Oriental Medicine. Published by Elsevier. This is an open access article under the CC BY -NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Pattern identification refers to a diagnostic system in which the patient’s clinical data are analyzed based on four examination methods and are subsequently synthesized in order to determine the nature and location of the ailment and treatment options.1 Pattern identification has been studied and developed throughout the long history of traditional Korean medicine (hereafter abbreviated as TKM). However, because the diagnosis is mainly based on the patient’s subjective expression of symptoms and the practitioner’s intuitive knowledge, an objective evaluation can be difficult.2 Currently, evidence-based research and objective evaluation are more important than ever in medicine. In keeping with this trend, pattern identification in TKM must evolve from the individuals’ subjective evaluation to objective and quantifiable evaluation methods.3 The pattern identification
questionnaire is regarded as the primary answer to this demand.

Questionnaires are widely used across academic fields because their logical and systematic construction helps objectively identify the respondents’ characteristics and current health status. In addition, they have a substantial influence on the field of medicine, as evidenced by their wide adoption as tools for identifying the nature of various communicable disease outbreaks.

Among the pattern identification types, cold/heat is regarded as the most important scale used to survey a patient's health status in Oriental or constitutional medicine. Cold/heat is also a quintessential phenomenon studied in clinical TKM. Therefore, cold/heat questionnaires comprise an important part of TKM questionnaire development.

In Korea, questionnaires that effectively embody the characteristics of TKM have been developed and published since the late 1980s. They have been used as a tool to determine an individual's constitution and evaluate certain ailments such as back pain and static blood. Cold/heat questionnaire testing the agreement with Korean medicine doctor's diagnosis by using experts' surveys and the Delphi method is available. Another questionnaire has been designed to evaluate deficiency of heat, true cold with false heat, and cold-heat complex. In addition, cold/heat and deficiency/excess questionnaire has been developed to survey both of them. However these questionnaires have some limitations such as having too many items and including similar contents, and these limitations caused difficulty in holding respondents' attention.

2. Methods

First, a new set of subdomains was established after analyzing the existing questionnaires, and a new set of optimized domains and items was created via a discussion between the authors. Second, the reliability and validity of the questionnaire were tested on survey participants who had been recruited in 2014 for the "health impact survey for the residents of communities that house disposal facilities" (Fig. 1).

2.1. Selecting questionnaire items

2.1.1. Search for existing cold/heat questionnaire items

Using well-established nationwide academic paper search engines such as Oasis, DBPia, and KISS, we searched for available academic papers using "cold/heat" and "questionnaire" as keywords. Of the retrieved questionnaires, those currently in wide usage were selected for the analysis. After a review of the number and nature of the items in the selected questionnaires, we established new domains and examined the reliability and validity of the items.

2.1.2. Restructuring the domains and items of the new questionnaire

Three authors performed a domain review, and eliminated items that focused on patients with diseases and domains that contained similar items. The new domains were created by extrapolating the 10 traditionally used questions (used in TKM for the identification of the cold/heat pattern in the human body).

2.2. Reliability and validity analysis

2.2.1. Study participants

The study participants consisted of 1890 individuals who had been recruited on two separate occasions (November 2013 and February 2014 in Gyeong-Ju, Korea) for the "Health impact survey for the residents of communities that house disposal facilities." Upon completion of the first and second surveys, the reliability of the questionnaire was tested on 1759 participants with no missing values. All 1759 participants were examined for cold/heat. The questionnaire’s validity was tested on 1527 participants after eliminating 232 participants who did not belong to either the "cold" or the "heat" group.

2.2.2. Professional cold/heat diagnosis

TKM practitioners with a minimum clinical experience of 5 years performed the professional cold/heat diagnosis. The examination consisted of personal interviews of the participants regarding general health status and cold/heat diagnosis.

2.3. Statistical analysis

2.3.1. Reliability

A test–retest reliability examination that utilized the first and second surveys was performed to assess the reliability. Individual items, as well as the combined cold/heat scores of the items in the first and second surveys, were used for the kappa
Table 1 – Analysis of the existing survey tools

| Authors          | Items | Domain                                                                 | Reliability         | Validity   |
|------------------|-------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Choi et al       | 20    | 5—whole body, body parts, fluid intake, secretion/urine and stool, sensation | No                  | No         |
| Kwon et al       | 15    | 4—whole body, body parts, secretion/urine and stool                     | Cronbach $\alpha$ 0.721–0.849 | Factor analysis |
| Kim & Park       | 15    | 5—whole body, body parts, fluid intake, secretion/urine and stool, emotion | Cronbach $\alpha$ 0.5934–0.7526 | Factor analysis |
| Ryu et al        | 26    | 5—whole body, body parts, fluid intake, secretion/urine and stool, sensation | Cronbach $\alpha$ 0.605–0.722 | Professional diagnoses agreement 94% |

Table 2 – New cold-heat questionnaire

| A                | B                  |
|------------------|--------------------|
| (1) Hands usually cold | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7          | Hands usually warm          |
| (2) Feet usually cold       | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7          | Feet usually warm           |
| (3) Abdomen usually cold     | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7          | Abdomen usually warm        |
| (4) Prefers to drink cool water | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Prefers to drink warm water |
| (5) Often does not drink water Not at all | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Prefers to drink a lot of water A lot |
| (6) Usually dislikes cold A lot | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Not at all                  |
| (7) Usually dislikes warm    | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7          |                                |

statistic, weighted kappa statistic, and Pearson’s correlation coefficient analyses. Reliability was examined using the agreement and correlation obtained from each analysis.

2.3.2. Validity
To determine the participants’ cold/heat status obtained through the survey, the cutoff values for the questionnaire were obtained via the receiver operator characteristic curve analysis. To examine the agreement between the cold/heat data based on the cutoff values and the professional diagnoses, the validity of the cold/heat questionnaire was examined using the kappa value.

3. Results
3.1. Analysis of the existing survey tools
The online search performed with “cold/heat” as a keyword yielded a total of 64 academic papers after the elimination of duplicates. The search performed with “questionnaire” as a keyword yielded a total of 81 academic papers. A total of 21 papers were confirmed to be relevant for the current study after eliminating the questionnaires and classic texts on bodily constitution, and the papers that did not include questionnaire use. The majority of the 21 papers addressed the relationship between symptoms and device measurements in the existing questionnaires, with the exclusion of several papers pertaining to the questionnaire design. Ultimately, five questionnaires were selected for the analysis. The questionnaires were composed of an average of 20 (19.8) items distributed across six domains consisting of “whole body,” “body parts,” “fluid intake,” “secretion/urine and stool,” “sensation” (pain), and “emotion.” In terms of reliability, a Cronbach $\alpha$ value was provided for all but one questionnaire. Factor analysis, agreement with professional diagnoses, and kappa values were used for validity analysis (Table 1).

3.2. Selecting new questionnaire items
For the healthy participants with no particular ailments, the subdomains of whole body, body parts, and fluid intake were selected upon elimination of the remaining subdomains of “urine and feces,” “sensation” (pain), and “emotion” because they are highly specific. In addition, redundant items within the same domain were eliminated, and a few items were consolidated into one by extrapolating the semantic differential scale. Scores of the seven items were combined to derive the total questionnaire score, which ranged from a minimum of seven points to a maximum of 49 points. Lower scores indicated “cold,” while higher scores indicated “heat,” and the total combined score was defined as the “cold/heat” score (Table 2).

3.3. General characteristics of the participants
Of a total of 1898 participants, 1759 [607 (34.5%) males and 1152 (65.5%) females] participated in the reliability test and 1527 [530 (34.7%) males and 997 (65.3%) females] in the validity test. The body mass index, height, and weight of the male participants were significantly higher than those of their female counterparts. The participants who were aged 50 years accounted for 88.8% of the total participants (Table 3).
Table 3 – General characteristics of the participants

|                          | Reliability (N = 1759) | Validity (N = 1527) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| **Sex**                  |                         |                     |
| Male                     | 607 (34.5)              | 530 (34.7)          |
| Female                   | 1152 (65.5)             | 997 (65.3)          |
| **Age (y)**              |                         |                     |
| <19                      | 64.12 ± 11.31           | 64.24 ± 11.23       |
| 20–39                    | 80 (4.5)                | 66 (4.3)            |
| 40–49                    | 112 (6.4)               | 100 (6.5)           |
| 50–59                    | 343 (19.5)              | 296 (19.4)          |
| 60–69                    | 591 (33.6)              | 507 (33.2)          |
| ≥70                      | 626 (35.6)              | 553 (36.2)          |
| **Height**               | 157.54 ± 8.96           | 157.55 ± 8.97       |
| **Weight (kg)**          | 62.33 ± 10.33           | 62.27 ± 10.47       |
| **BMI (kg/m²)**          | 25.06 ± 3.22            | 25.03 ± 3.25        |

Data are presented as n (%) or mean ± standard deviation. BMI, body mass index.

3.4. Reliability test results

To determine the questionnaire’s reliability, a test-retest reliability examination was performed, which utilized the first and second surveys. The agreement analysis performed with the first and second cold/heat questionnaires indicated a relatively low-weighted kappa coefficient of 0.273–0.551 for individual item agreement. However, a correlation analysis performed with the overall cold/heat scores revealed a correlation coefficient of 0.609 (Table 4).

3.5. Validity test results

The overall cold/heat scores were grouped according to the participants’ sex, age, and “sex + age” using the receiver operator characteristic curve analysis method in order to examine the cutoff values. The scores ranged from 26.5 points to 35.5 points. The grouping accuracy was determined by the area-under-the-receiver-operator-characteristic-curve values, which ranged from 0.703 to 0.817. An area-under-the-receiver-operator-characteristic-curve value close to 1 indicates a more accurate grouping. Using the cutoff values, the agreement between the professional diagnoses and the cold/heat grouping scores was examined based on the cold/heat scores. The agreement level ranged from a minimum of 68% to a maximum of 80.5%, and the kappa coefficient values ranged from 0.335 to 0.551 (Table 5).

The four cutoff values obtained from the sex + age group were compared with the professional cold/heat diagnoses; the agreement level was 74.5% and the kappa coefficient value was 0.487 (Table 6).

Table 4 – Reliability test results

|                        | Primary cold/heat score | Secondary cold/heat score | Correlation coefficient |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|
| Test-retest            | 28.7 ± 6.6              | 27.4 ± 6.5                | 0.609*                  |

Data are presented as mean ± standard deviation.

* p < 0.01.

Table 5 – Validity test results

|                        | N          | AUC (95% CI)     | Cutoff value | Agreement (%) | Kappa  |
|------------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|--------|
| Total                  | 1527       | 0.802 (0.780–0.824) | 26.5         | 73.8          | 0.477  |
| **Sex**                |            |                 |              |               |        |
| Male                   | 530        | 0.749 (0.705–0.792) | 28.5         | 70.4          | 0.397  |
| Female                 | 997        | 0.812 (0.785–0.839) | 27.5         | 75.6          | 0.498  |
| **Age (y)**            |            |                 |              |               |        |
| ≤49                    | 171        | 0.802 (0.735–0.870) | 30.5         | 74.9          | 0.482  |
| ≥50                    | 1356       | 0.806 (0.783–0.829) | 26.5         | 74.3          | 0.488  |
| **Sex, age (y)**       |            |                 |              |               |        |
| Male, ≤49              | 53         | 0.703 (0.565–0.842) | 35.5         | 64.8          | 0.335  |
| Male, ≥50              | 477        | 0.761 (0.716–0.807) | 28.5         | 71.2          | 0.419  |
| Female, ≤49            | 118        | 0.817 (0.732–0.902) | 30.5         | 80.5          | 0.551  |
| Female, ≥50            | 879        | 0.813 (0.785–0.842) | 27.5         | 76.0          | 0.506  |

AUC, area under the receiver operator characteristic curve; CI, confidence interval; Kappa, kappa statistic.

Table 6 – Agreement level and kappa value

|                        | Cold/heat questionnaire | Total | Agreement (%) | Kappa  |
|------------------------|-------------------------|-------|---------------|--------|
| **Professional diagnoses** | Cold                   | 635   | 791           | 74.5   | 0.487  |
|                        | Heat                    | 234   | 502           | 73.6   |        |
| **Total**              |                         | 869   | 1527          |        |        |
4. Discussion

In TKM, patients are examined using the following four basic categories of diagnostic observations: inspection, listening and smelling examination, inquiry, and palpation. The aim of pattern identification is to determine a treatment strategy upon identification of the root cause, location, and characteristics of the ailment through observation of external manifestations using the four diagnostic categories. A variety of pattern identification methods have been developed in TKM, and the most widely used are the eight-principle, six-meridian, visceral and bowel, meridian and collateral, qi–blood–fluid–humor, defense–qi–nutrient–blood, triple energizer, and bodily constitution pattern diagnoses. The eight principles include yin/yang, exterior/interior, cold/heat, and deficiency/excess. These patterns were established based on the long history of observation in TKM, during which it was discovered that all ailments are rooted in imbalance in these patterns. Although the official nomenclature was established in modern times, the fundamental theory in TKM was formed long before. Of these, cold and heat are the two fundamental patterns used to determine the nature of an ailment, and they serve as the basis for prescribing medicine or acupuncture therapy. The degree of cold and heat are judged by four diagnostic criteria; among them inquiry is a simple way to determine the patient’s symptoms. Zhang Jie-bin, of the Ming Dynasty, established 10 principles for inquiry. These principles, which involve Q cold/heat, the whole body, body parts, sweat, urine and feces, food intake, fluid intake, the presence of other diseases, and the presence and nature of the patient’s specific symptoms, can be quite useful. However, this type of pattern identification heavily relies on the practitioner’s subjective evaluation and the patient’s individual expression of the symptoms. Therefore, the diagnosis for the same patient can vary from one practitioner to another, which consequently affects the accuracy of the treatments prescribed.

With the current rising popularity of evidence-based medicine, the demand is increasing for objective and quantifiable diagnostic methods in TKM, and the TKM questionnaires are compatible with this trend in medical science. Through the scientific expression of quantifiable measurements, questionnaires are effective tools for evaluating the health status. However, in order for these diagnostic tools to be highly useful as a tool for evaluating the respondents’ health status. However, in order for these diagnostic tools to be widely adopted, item reliability needs to be verified. Further, validity of the items needs to be verified by testing their agreement with professional diagnoses by TKM practitioners. The reliability and validity of the questionnaire developed in the current study were verified using individuals collected from clinical cases.

The results of the test–retest reliability examination based on the first and second survey data indicated low interitem reliability ratios (0.273–0.551). However, in terms of the overall cold/heat scores, a correlation coefficient of 0.609 was obtained. The validity was tested on 1572 participants selected after the elimination of 232 participants who did not belong to either cold or heat group. The test results indicated an agreement range of 64.8–80.5%, with a kappa coefficient value of 0.335–0.551. The four cutoff values, based on sex and age, were compared with professional diagnosis values; a 74.5% agreement level and a statistically significant kappa coefficient value of 0.487 were obtained.

In contrast, Ryu et al. reported a value of 0.605 for “cold” items and 0.722 for “heat” items, which had a relatively robust internal agreement. However, because these figures were obtained from data of patients with diseases, using the same items on healthy individuals would be inappropriate. Although the interitem reliability of the questionnaire developed in the current study was found to be low, the validity test involving healthy individuals revealed fairly high agreement with the professional diagnoses, which is relatively significant. This suggests that the cold/heat questionnaire developed in the current study has great potential as a diagnostic tool.
in clinical settings. However, because 88.8% of the study participants were individuals over the age of 50 years and the male-to-female ratio was 1:2, follow-up studies involving a wider range of age groups with a more balanced sex ratio are needed.

In conclusion, in the current study, we aimed to develop a concise cold/heat questionnaire designed to evaluate healthy individuals (as opposed to patients with diseases). Our analysis of the existing questionnaires revealed a pattern of six domains, including whole body, body parts, fluid intake, bodily fluids/urine and feces, sensation, and emotion. Following an internal discussion, domains consisting of items evaluating patients with diseases were eliminated, and, ultimately, a questionnaire consisting of seven items was developed. The questionnaire was applied in a study involving healthy individuals, and the results were compared with professional diagnoses. This comparison revealed a 74.5% agreement level, with a kappa coefficient value of 0.487, which was statistically significant. We hope that this questionnaire will be widely adopted as an assessment tool in the related field.
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