A study of mortality risk factors among trauma referrals to trauma center, Shiraz, Iran, 2017
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Abstract

Purpose: Trauma is well known as one of the main causes of death and disability throughout the world. Identifying the risk factors for mortality in trauma patients can significantly improve the quality of care and patient outcomes, as well as reducing mortality rates.

Methods: In this retrospective cohort study, systematic randomization was used to select 849 patients referred to the main trauma center of south of Iran during a period of six months (February 2017–July 2017); the patients’ case files were evaluated in terms of demographic information, pre- and post-accident conditions, clinical conditions at the time of admission and finally, accident outcomes. A logistic regression model was used to analyze the role of factors affecting mortality among subjects.

Results: Among subjects, 60.4% were in the age-group of 15–39 years. There was a 10.4% mortality rate among patients and motor-vehicle accidents were the most common mechanism of injury (66.7%). Aging led to increased risk of fatality in this study. For each unit increase in Glasgow coma scale (GCS), risk of death decreased by about 40% (odds ratio (OR) = 0.63, 95% confidence interval (CI): 0.59–0.67). For each unit increase in injury severe score (ISS), risk of death increased by 10% (OR = 1.11, 95% CI: 1.08–1.14) and for each unit increase in trauma revised injury severity score (TRISS), there was 18% decrease in the risk of fatality (OR = 0.82, 95% CI: 0.71–0.88).

Conclusion: The most common cause of trauma and the most common cause of death from trauma was traffic accidents. It was also found that an increase in the ISS index increases the risk of death in trauma patients, but the increase in GCS, revised trauma score (RTS) and TRISS indices reduces the risk of death in trauma patients. The TRISS indicator is better predictor of traumatic death than other indicators.

© 2019 Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Chinese Medical Association. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
reduction of mortality caused by acute trauma. Further advancements in this field would require data collection, planning and development of new strategies. However, although trauma is the leading cause of death and one of the main causes of disability in the active population of developing countries, its significance has unfortunately been overlooked.

In conclusion, considering the high prevalence of trauma in Iran and the consequent high rate of mortality and adverse outcomes, an investigation of associated factors seems essential in this relation. Therefore, the current study aims to determine the risk factors associated with mortality among trauma patients referred to the Shahid Rajaee trauma center of Shiraz, a level one trauma center carrying the main load of trauma cases in Shiraz, as well as severely injured patients all through the Fars Province.

**Methods**

This research was a retrospective cohort study aimed to determine risk factors for mortality in trauma patients admitted to Shahid Rajaee trauma center, Shiraz, in 2017. Study population included all patients hospitalized in the Shahid Rajaee hospital of Shiraz, the biggest trauma center in Southern Iran, during the previous 6 months (February to July 2017). Sampling was done through systematic randomization. In this study, each patient was assigned a number using a randomization software and the patient file number; therefore, the anonymity of patients were protected; furthermore, one out of every 8 patients were randomly evaluated. Inclusion criteria consisted of admission to Shahid Rajaee hospital for trauma during the previous 6 months (February to July 2017); however, individuals under 15 years of age were excluded from the study. Data including demographic information, pre- and post-accident conditions, patients’ clinical conditions at the time of admission and accident outcomes were extracted from patient files in the hospital archives; demographic information included: age, gender, marital status, education, weight, height and body mass index (BMI); pre- and post-accident conditions were: time of accident, accident circumstances, accident mechanism, method of transfer, patient transfer time and use of helmet; clinical conditions at the time of admission consisted of: systolic blood pressure, heart rate, respiratory rate, mean revised trauma score (RTS), mean trauma revised injury severity score (TRISS), injured body regions, injury severity based on Injury severity score (ISS), level of consciousness based on the Glasgow coma scale (GCS) and history of heart disease, hypertension, respiratory disease, low vision and diabetes; finally, accident outcomes included survival and death. We get information from Forensic Medical for patients who die before arriving the hospital.

We assessed the effects of these risk factors on mortality. We should note that data collection was done with complete adherence to the principles and guidelines of medical ethics. Data were analyzed using SPSS Statistics (version 21.0). Statistical analysis was performed in two stages, descriptive and analytic. Descriptive information was evaluated using tables, diagrams, means and standard deviations, while analytic data were analyzed via the chi-square test and an independent samples T-test. Moreover, a logistic regression model was used to study the role of factors affecting mortality among patients. Significance level was set at 0.05 in this study.

**Results**

The present study aimed to evaluate the risk factors affecting mortality among trauma patients referred to Shahid Rajaee hospital, Shiraz. Results revealed a mean age of 39.27 ± 18.52 for the 849 patients admitted due to trauma during a period of 6 months in 2017 (Feb–Jul), the youngest being 15 years old and the oldest 93. There were 596 males (70.2%) and 253 females (29.8%) among the patients. Men had a mean age of 37.09 ± 17.73 and mean age of women equaled to 44.42 ± 19.35, a difference which was statistically significant (p < 0.001). Eighty-eight individuals (10.4%) had passed away due to trauma. Among the fatalities, there were 70 males (79.5%) and 18 females (20.5%), which indicates a significant difference (p = 0.026). Most trauma patients (60.4%) were in the 15–39 age-group and the age-group of ≥ 60 had the lowest frequency (14.3%). More than 66.7% of traumatic accidents involved road traffic collisions; following that, falls from heights had the highest frequency, accounting for about 20% of all incidents. Only 0.1% of the trauma cases had occurred as a result of sports accidents, which made them the least frequent cause of trauma. Of the patients, 81.4% had been transferred to the hospital by public emergency medical services. Most incidents leading to trauma had occurred between 7:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Mean period between accident occurrence and transfer to hospital was 34.65 ± 29.05 min, 10 min being the fastest time and 180 min the slowest. About 44.5% of the patients had been transferred to the hospital in under 30 min after occurrence and only 7% experienced a delay of more than 60 min. Approximately 53% of the patients had been hospitalized for more than 48 h. Of all subjects, 4.4% had a history of trauma. There was no expression of any history of alcohol or drug use in 91% of the patients. Among individuals with a history of alcohol or drug use, 7.8% were under the influence at the time of accident and 92.2% had used at other instances. As can be seen in Table 1, among trauma patients, the highest frequency related to men between 15 and 39 years of age and the lowest frequency pertained to women over 60. In terms of marital status, married men had the highest frequency, while the lowest frequency related to divorced men and widows. In relation to level of education, men with high school diplomas were more involved in traumatic incidents and women with bachelor’s degrees or higher had the lowest frequency in the population under study. Regarding occupation, self-employed men had experienced more traumatic incidents. Overall, the variables of age, marital status, education, occupation and history of hypertension, diabetes and trauma showed significant differences between genders. Meanwhile, history of low vision, respiratory disease and heart disease, length of hospital stay, time of accident and nationality of the trauma patients had no significant differences in terms of gender.

**Impact of age on the indices GCS, ISS, RTS and TRISS**

Fig. 1 demonstrates the relationships between age and the indices of GCS, ISS, RTS and TRISS. An evaluation of the relationship between age and GCS based on the figure revealed a linear, inverse relationship between the two variables (R = −0.096). Furthermore, there was a linear, direct relationship between age and ISS (R = 0.063). A linear, inverse relationship existed between age and RTS (R = −0.088). Age and TRISS had a linear, inverse relationship (R = −0.152).

Table 2 shows that the variables of respiratory rate at the time of admission, method of patient transfer, time of accident, patient triage level and the indices of GCS, ISS and TRISS revealed significant differences between trauma fatalities and survivals, but the variables of heart rate at the time of admission, patient transfer time and RTS showed no significant differences in this relation.

**An estimation of risk of death due to trauma via logistic regression**

According to our single-variable analysis, age and gender both had influences on the risk of mortality; in this regard, women were at a 43% lower risk of death comparing to men (odds ratio (OR)
Table 1: Frequency distribution of factors associated with trauma in trauma referrals based on gender.

| Variables                      | Female (Percentage, %) | Male (Percentage, %) | p value  
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|---------- 
| Age group (years)            |                        |                      |          
| 15–39                        | 120 (23.4)             | 393 (76.6)           | <0.001   
| 40–60                        | 81 (37.7)              | 134 (62.3)           |          
| > 60                         | 52 (43.0)              | 69 (57.0)            |          
| Marital status               |                        |                      | <0.001   
| Single                       | 63 (18.9)              | 271 (81.1)           |          
| Married                      | 170 (34.9)             | 317 (65.1)           |          
| Divorced or widowed          | 20 (71.4)              | 8 (28.6)             |          
| Education                    |                        |                      | 0.032    
| Illiterate                   | 79 (42.5)              | 107 (57.5)           |          
| Under diploma                | 28 (19.3)              | 117 (80.7)           |          
| Diploma                      | 112 (26.7)             | 307 (73.3)           |          
| Academic degree              | 34 (34.3)              | 65 (65.7)            |          
| Nationality                  |                        |                      | 0.094    
| Iranian                      | 247 (30.4)             | 566 (69.6)           |          
| Afghan                       | 6 (16.7)               | 30 (83.3)            |          
| Unknown                      | 125 (47.0)             | 141 (53.0)           | <0.001   
| Occupation                   |                        |                      |          
| Civil servant                | 34 (24.8)              | 103 (75.2)           |          
| Self-employed                | 85 (20.6)              | 328 (79.4)           |          
| Cause of trauma              |                        |                      | 0.001    
| Traffic accidents            | 165 (29.3)             | 398 (70.7)           |          
| Falling down                 | 71 (40.1)              | 106 (59.9)           |          
| Falling objects              | 3 (15.8)               | 16 (84.2)            |          
| Hit resulting from the invasion | 10 (4.7)             | 58 (95.3)            |          
| Sports injuries              | 0                      | 1 (100)              |          
| Guns                         | 1 (10)                 | 9 (90)               |          
| Others                       | 3 (50.0)               | 3 (50.0)             |          
| History of heart disease     | 26 (46.4)              | 30 (71.4)            | 0.005    
| History of hypertension      | 45 (57.0)              | 34 (43.0)            | <0.001   
| History of diabetes          | 27 (60.0)              | 18 (40.0)            | <0.001   
| History of respiratory disease| 7 (30.4)              | 16 (69.6)            | 0.55     
| History of low vision        | 5 (29.4)               | 12 (70.6)            | 0.97     
| History of trauma            | 4 (10.8)               | 33 (89.2)            | 0.005    
| Time of accident             |                        |                      | 0.57     
| 7:00–15:00                   | 127 (32.5)             | 264 (67.5)           |          
| 15:00–20:00                  | 42 (31.8)              | 90 (68.2)            |          
| 20:00–7:00                   | 51 (28.2)              | 130 (71.8)           |          
| Patient transfer time (Mean ± SD) | 39.63 ± 36.42         | 32.45 ± 27.84        | 0.008    
| Length of hospital stay (h)  |                        |                      | 0.32     
| <6                           | 23 (24.5)              | 71 (75.5)            |          
| 6–24                         | 25 (28.7)              | 62 (71.3)            |          
| 24–48                        | 32 (27.1)              | 86 (72.9)            |          
| ≥48                          | 147 (32.7)             | 302 (67.3)           |          
| Injured body regions         |                        |                      |          
| Head & neck                  | 99 (27.6)              | 260 (72.4)           | 0.12     
| Face                         | 40 (27.6)              | 105 (72.4)           | 0.29     
| Chest                        | 29 (19.9)              | 117 (80.1)           | 0.002    
| Abdomen                      | 28 (24.3)              | 87 (75.7)            | 0.1      
| Spine                        | 30 (27.3)              | 80 (72.7)            | 0.3      
| Extremity                    | 156 (29.9)             | 365 (70.1)           | 0.48     
| External                     | 2 (10.5)               | 17 (89.5)            | 0.4      
| GCS (Mean ± SD)              | 14.19 ± 2.54           | 13.49 ± 3.43         | 0.001    
| ISS (Mean ± SD)              | 8.68 ± 7.47            | 9.04 ± 9.06          | 0.58     
| RTS (Mean ± SD)              | 7.61 ± 0.8             | 7.41 ± 1.1           | 0.005    
| TRISS (Mean ± SD)            | 95.92 ± 11.36          | 93.36 ± 17.46        | 0.014    

GCS: Glasgow coma scale, ISS: injury severity score, RTS: revised trauma score, TRISS: trauma revised injury severity score.

Discussion

The present study made an evaluation of risk factors associated with mortality in trauma patients referred to Shahid Rajaee hospital during a period of 6 months in 2017 (February–July). Of the 849 patients under study, 10.4% had passed away, which is a higher rate comparing to reports from other Iranian cities.25–28 Considering that in most developing countries, more than 70% of trauma-related fatalities occur in the pre-hospital stage,25 the higher rate of mortality in this study could be related to the fact that our study considered pre-hospital deaths as well, while other studies had only paid attention to deaths occurring in the hospital. Individual errors and lack of adherence to safety protocols were the main causes of pre-hospital fatality. Increased awareness of the society toward adherence to safety guidelines and improvement of pre-hospital emergency services can minimize the mortality caused by trauma throughout the world, especially in lower middle-income countries such as Iran, which has a very high rate accidents.29

Our single-variable evaluations showed that for each unit increase in GCS, risk of death decreased by about 40% (OR = 0.63, 95% CI: 0.59–0.67); this observation was statistically significant (p < 0.001). Moreover, risk of death increased by 10% with each unit increase in ISS (OR = 1.11, 95% CI: 1.08–1.14), a statistically significant observation (p < 0.001). Regarding RTS, each unit increase in this index caused an 80% reduction in the risk of death (OR = 0.2, 95% CI: 0.15–0.26); this reduction was statistically significant as well (p < 0.001). And finally, it was revealed that for each unit increase in TRISS, risk of death would decrease by 24% (OR = 0.76, 95% CI: 0.65–0.96), which is a statistically significant relationship (Table 3).

In the end, all variables with a significant effect on risk of death were entered simultaneously into the regression model; the final model is presented in Table 4. As can be observed in the table, as risk of death increases by 6% for each year increase in age (OR = 1.023–1.07, 95% CI: 1.023–1.07), a statistically significant finding. Each unit increase in heart rate at the time of admission would increase the risk of mortality by 5%; this observation has statistical significance. Patients with injuries to the head and neck were 3.5 times more at risk of mortality; however, this increased risk wasn’t statistically significant. Eventually, we found that each unit increase in TRISS would cause a 20% reduction in risk of death; this finding was statistically significant.

The present study made an evaluation of risk factors associated with mortality in trauma patients referred to Shahid Rajaee hospital during a period of 6 months in 2017 (February–July). Of the 849 patients under study, 10.4% had passed away, which is a higher rate comparing to reports from other Iranian cities.19–22,25–28 Considering that in most developing countries, more than 70% of trauma-related fatalities occur in the pre-hospital stage,22,23 the higher rate of mortality in this study could be related to the fact that our study considered pre-hospital deaths as well, while other studies had only paid attention to deaths occurring in the hospital. Individual errors and lack of adherence to safety protocols were the main causes of pre-hospital fatality. Increased awareness of the society toward adherence to safety guidelines and improvement of pre-hospital emergency services can minimize the mortality caused by trauma throughout the world, especially in lower middle-income countries such as Iran, which has a very high rate accidents.25,28

By true detection of triage level, optimize patient screen time, improve patient care can decrease in-hospital mortality of trauma patients. According to our study results, men had experienced more fatalities due to trauma compared to women, which is consistent with results from previous studies.21,22 Also, results revealed that most trauma patients were males, which is consistent with the findings of most studies.23,24–26,28,30 This is caused by the fact that men are more prone to accidents than women. Moreover, considering the socio-economic and cultural state of our society (women mostly being housewives and higher involvement of men in the transportation sector), this finding is explainable. The patients had a mean age of about 39 years in this study and the age-group of 15–39 had the
highest frequency, it shows that most trauma patients were in the working ages, a finding consistent with most studies in Iran.\textsuperscript{36,37} Furthermore, a study in Singapore and a study by Worley et al. both showed similar results.\textsuperscript{38,39} Our results showed that in trauma patients, ISS scores would increase by aging; previous studies have reported the same results.\textsuperscript{40,41} It was also determined that aging would lead to increased TRISS, GCS and RTS. In terms of occupation, self-employed individuals are at a higher risk of death than the unemployed by 4 times and civil servants are 95\% less at risk of death compared to unemployed individuals. It seems that there isn’t sufficient research in regard to the circumstances of trauma occurrence, which requires further study in various regions. In all possibility, increasing workplace safety and paying attention to the nature of recreational activities would place the population of this study at a lower risk of traumatic incidents, which would consequently lead to a reduced rate of mortality. Therefore, an assessment is needed in order to improve the preventive measures and create safer conditions, as well as to provide respective training with consideration to the epidemiological trends in our country. From the results, over 81\% of the patients had been transferred to the hospital by public ambulances and only 14\% had used personal vehicles to get to hospital. This indicates that the quality of emergency services has improved. Results from various studies showed the increasing usage of ambulances for patient transfer during the past years, in a study in Tehran in 1999, 7.2\% of the patients were transferred to the hospital by public ambulances, while this rate was close to 50\% in a study conducted in Isfahan in 2014.\textsuperscript{23} As previously witnessed, the rate of ambulance usage has increased significantly in the present study. Mean patient transfer time equaled to 30 min in this study; this variable is technically the average time it takes from occurrence of accident until transfer to hospital. Most patients had been transferred to the hospital in 30 min; however, for about 7\% of them, it had taken more than an hour to get to hospital. This period was around 27 min in a study by Zamani et al.,\textsuperscript{23} which is close to our findings. In study of Newgard et al.\textsuperscript{43} median patient transfer time was between 28.1 and 42.1 min, this value varies from different states in the United States. The findings reveal that road traffic accidents as the leading cause of trauma and falls from heights held the second rank, which is consistent with results from other studies\textsuperscript{24,33,35,44}; however, certain studies didn’t report similar findings.\textsuperscript{26,42} The most common site of injury was the head-and-neck region in our study, and the extremities had the highest frequency following that, which is justifiable considering the high prevalence of motor-vehicle accidents and falls from height. Some studies showed different results in this relation, which could be as a result of social and cultural differences in other communities. Approximately 46\% of the deceased patients had been hospitalized for longer than 48 h; previous studies have presented consistent reports in this regard.\textsuperscript{21} In regard to ISS, GCS, RTS and TRISS, our results showed that all these indices had a significant effect on risk of death due to trauma. In this regard, risk of death increases by 10\% for each unit increase in ISS, and for each unit increase in the indices of GCS, RTS and TRISS, risk of death would decrease by 40\%, 80\% and 10\%, respectively. The present study reports a mean ISS of 8.9, which is lower comparing to scores provided by other studies\textsuperscript{45,46}; this could be
Table 2
Frequency distribution of factors associated with mortality in trauma patients.

| Variables                  | Frequency (Percentage, %) | p value |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Blood pressure (Mean ± SD) | 123.21 ± 18.75            | 97.95 ± 36.61 | <0.001 |
| Respiratory rate (Mean ± SD)| 18.82 ± 2.79             | 19.83 ± 7.74  | 0.001 |
| Heart rate (Mean ± SD)     | 84.39 ± 14.23             | 97.81 ± 35.29 | 0.25  |
| Patient transfer time (min)|                          | 0.15    |
| <30                       | 356 (94.4)                | 21 (5.6)   |
| 30–60                     | 259 (52.2)                | 22 (7.8)   |
| >60                       | 59 (98.3)                 | 1 (1.7)    |
| Method of transfer         |                          | 0.007    |
| Ambulance (public & private)| 622 (88.2)               | 83 (11.8)  |
| Personal vehicle & others  | 122 (96.1)                | 5 (3.9)    |
| Time of accident           |                          | 0.007    |
| 7:00–15:00                | 367 (93.9)                | 24 (6.1)   |
| 15:00–20:00               | 116 (87.9)                | 16 (12.1)  |
| 20:00–7:00                | 175 (96.7)                | 6 (3.3)    |
| Triage level               |                          | <0.001    |
| Level 1                    | 42 (34.4)                 | 22 (55.6)  |
| Level 2                    | 82 (71.3)                 | 13 (28.7)  |
| Level 3 & higher           | 596 (100.0)               | 0         |
| GCS                        |                          | <0.001    |
| 13–15                     | 696 (97.8)                | 16 (2.2)   |
| 9–12                      | 31 (79.5)                 | 8 (20.5)   |
| <8                        | 26 (29.9)                 | 61 (70.1)  |
| ISS                       |                          | <0.001    |
| 0–8                       | 401 (99.5)                | 2 (0.5)    |
| 9–5                       | 271 (88.6)                | 35 (11.4)  |
| >16                       | 87 (88.0)                 | 41 (32.0)  |
| RTS                       |                          | 0.9       |
| <7.96                     | 720 (90.3)                | 77 (9.7)   |
| ≥7.96                     | 1 (100.0)                 | 0         |
| TRISS                     |                          | <0.001    |
| ≥86                       | 54 (46.2)                 | 63 (53.8)  |
| ≥96                       | 668 (97.9)                | 14 (2.1)   |

GCS: Glasgow coma scale, ISS: injury severe score, RTS: revised trauma score, TRISS: trauma revised injury severity score.

Table 3
Estimation of risk of death due to trauma through univariate logistic regression.

| Variables                          | Adjusted OR | 95% CI for OR | p value |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Age                                | 1.05        | 1.02–1.11     | <0.001  |
| Gender                             |             |               | 0.0457  |
| Male                               |             |               |         |
| Female                             | 0.57        | 0.32–0.98     | <0.001  |
| Length of hospital stay (h)        |             |               |         |
| <6                                 | Reference   |               |         |
| 6–24                               | 2.15        | 1.01–5.59     | 0.043   |
| 24–48                              | 7.23        | 1.12–26.19    | 0.038   |
| >48                                | 9.07        | 2.58–31.88    | 0.008   |
| Occupation                         |             |               |         |
| Unemployed                         | –           |               | 0.59    |
| Public                             | 0.05        | 0.004–0.88    | 0.88    |
| Self-employed                      | 4.04        | 0.95–17.25    | 0.044   |
| Type of injury                     |             |               |         |
| Head-and-neck injury               | –           |               |         |
| Face injuries                      | 0.98        | 0.93–1.02     | 0.42    |
| Thorax injuries                    | 1.32        | 1.27–1.37     | <0.001  |
| Abdomen                            | 0.99        | 0.93–1.04     | 0.71    |
| Extremities                        | 1.06        | 1.04–1.09     | <0.001  |
| Hypertension                       | 5.12        | 1.05–14.12    | <0.001  |
| GCS                                | 0.63        | 0.59–0.67     | <0.001  |
| ISS                                | 1.11        | 1.08–1.14     | <0.001  |
| RTS                                | 0.2         | 0.15–0.26     | <0.001  |
| TRISS                              | 0.76        | 0.65–0.96     | <0.001  |
| Heart rate >100                    | 1.16        | 1.05–1.19     | <0.001  |

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, GCS: Glasgow coma scale, ISS: injury severe score, RTS: revised trauma score, TRISS: trauma revised injury severity score.

Table 4
Multivariate logistic regression for estimation of risk of death due to trauma.

| Variables                          | Adjusted OR | 95% CI for OR | p value |
|------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Age                                | 1.06        | 1.02–1.10     | 0.002   |
| Occupation                         |             |               |         |
| Unemployed                         | –           |               |         |
| Public                             | 0.05        | 0.004–0.88    | 0.59    |
| Self-employed                      | 4.04        | 0.95–17.25    | 0.036   |
| Heart Rate >100                    | 0.82        | 0.71–0.88     | <0.001  |
| Head-and-neck injury               | 3.55        | 1.05–12.32    | 0.024   |
| Hypertension                       | 6.07        | 1.26–29.2     | 0.024   |

OR: odds ratio, CI: confidence interval, TRISS: trauma revised injury severity score.

resulted by the fact that ISS is not determined in the cases of pre-hospital fatality. Furthermore, a regression analysis conducted by Ay et al.47 revealed that ISS had significant effects on the mortality of trauma patients; several other studies, as well, have significantly determined increased ISS as an effective factor for mortality in trauma cases.48–51 However, certain studies reported that ISS is not an independent risk factor in relation to mortality.52,53 Results from a study by Philip et al.45 showed an ISS of lower than 14 in 3.5% of the fatality cases, and a GCS of between 3 and 4 in about 46% of them; both indices had an impact on risk of death. Overall, ISS, GCS, RTS and TRISS all can be used independently as predictors of mortality. These indices, used together or along with other triage indices, can become a more powerful, useful tool to estimate risk of death in trauma patients. As previously witnessed, results from our logistic regression analysis indicated the influence of TRISS on trauma-induced mortality; the analysis showed that TRISS can be an appropriate predictor of mortality in combination with increased heart rate and high blood pressure.

Our study strengths included a large sample size and three sets of demographic variables related to accident and clinical factors in a level one trauma center of south of Iran. Despite these advantages, there were certain limitations to our research as well. First of all, patients were not followed up after discharging from hospital. Secondly, the study only focused on the initial stage of clinical evaluations, and lastly, our study didn’t include pediatric trauma patients. In this regard, the risk factors for mortality could be insignificant in relation to children and infants. Moreover, duration of screening of patients is too weak to summarize the risk factors in trauma patients, so, a study with a higher follow-up duration seems to have better achievement.

Based on our results, accident mortality rate can be decreased by more attention in injured patients with history of risk factors such as hypertension, heart disease, diabetes and priority to care of patients with triage level 1, higher injury severity score. In addition, in-hospital death due to accidents are also reduced by increasing pre-hospital actions. Therefore, we suggest that future studies widen their research by focusing on multiple centers, also, not limiting the evaluations to the admission stage and involving the pediatric trauma patients would be of obvious value.

According to our results, increasing in the ISS index increases the risk of death in trauma patients, but increasing the GCS, RTS and TRISS indices reduces the risk of death in trauma patients. It was also found that the TRISS index was better predictor of death for traumatic patients than other indicators. Therefore, we must admit that trauma-related mortality can be reduced through improvement of preventive measures for accidents, especially road-traffic accidents, increased safety of roads. The importance of giving emergency care to the pre-hospital, better exertion of control by the traffic police and improvements in pre-hospital and hospital care by proper training of the emergency personnel.
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