Mapping Systemic Lupus Erythematosus heterogeneity at the single-cell level
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Abstract

Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE) display a complex blood transcriptome whose cellular origin is poorly resolved. Using single-cell RNA-seq, we profiled ~276,000 PBMCs from 33 children with SLE (cSLE) with different degrees of disease activity (DA) and 11 matched controls. Increased expression of interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) distinguished cSLE from...
healthy control cells. The high-ISG expression signature \( \text{ISG}_{\text{hi}} \) derived from a small number of transcriptionally defined subpopulations within major cell types, including monocytes, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, natural killer cells, conventional dendritic cells (cDCs), plasmacytoid DCs (pDCs), B cells and especially plasma cells. Expansion of unique subpopulations enriched in ISGs and/or in monogenic lupus-associated genes classified patients with the highest DA. Profiling of ~82,000 single peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from adult SLE patients confirmed the expansion of similar subpopulations in patients with the highest DA. This study lays the groundwork for resolving the origin of the SLE transcriptional signatures and the disease heterogeneity towards precision medicine applications.

INTRODUCTION

SLE is a heterogeneous autoimmune disease whose immunologic hallmark is the breakdown of tolerance against nucleic acids\(^1,2\). Disease course is unpredictable, with remissions and flares that lead to cumulative organ damage. The disease is notably aggressive in children, who suffer from a high incidence of nephritis. SLE’s diverse manifestations challenge clinicians\(^3\) and hamper the design of clinical trials. Thus only one new treatment has been approved to treat SLE in more than 60 years\(^4\).

Distinctive blood-transcriptional signatures have been identified in SLE, including those associated with type I interferon (IFN), myeloid inflammation and B cell-related pathways\(^5-7\). A number of studies indicate a correlation between these signatures and disease activity (DA)\(^7-10\). In addition, GWAS highlighted a genetic association with loci related to these pathways\(^11\), including type I IFN dysregulation\(^12\).

Not all ISGs correlate equally with DA\(^7\). Furthermore, longitudinal blood transcriptional profiling of children with SLE (cSLE) stratified them into seven groups according to five DA molecular correlates, one involving ISGs\(^8\). Insights into additional upstream pathways contributing to SLE pathogenesis have emerged from rare mutations in early onset Lupus-related Monogenic Disorders (LRMDs). Genes associated with these conditions encode early complement components; nucleic acid-degrading or -modifying enzymes, including those involved in the spectrum of Aicardi-Goutières syndromes or monogenic interferonopathies; and molecules involved in B and/or T cell activation and cell death (Reviewed in\(^13\)).

Single-cell RNA-seq (scRNA-seq) provides an unbiased approach to define cell types and states based on their individual transcriptome in health\(^14-16\) and disease\(^17,18\). Herein we report our findings using ~276k PBMCs from 33 cSLE patients with different degrees of DA and 11 healthy controls (cHD). These data were validated in an independent cohort of eight adult SLE patients (aSLE) and six healthy controls (aHD; ~82k PBMCs). Our results provide a framework for SLE stratification and point towards specific cell subpopulations as potential therapeutic targets.
RESULTS

scRNA-seq reveals altered PBMC composition in SLE patients.

We analyzed the transcriptomes of ~276k single PBMCs from 33 cSLE and 11 matched cHD (Fig. 1a). 30/33 patients were 10–18-year females (mean 15.84 ±2.1). Disease activity (DA) was measured using the SLE Disease Activity Index (SLEDAI), a weighted metric combining 24 components\(^1\). Patients were categorized as low DA (SLEDAI ≤4; n=18) and high DA (SLEDAI >4; n=13). SLEDAI could not be determined for two patients due to lack of urinary analysis on the day of sample collection (ND). Patient demographics, clinical/laboratory data and treatment are summarized in Supplementary Table 1a,b. The sequencing information of each sample is shown in Supplementary Table 1c. cHD and cSLE samples yielded an average of 7,706 cells (sd± 2,148) and 5,782 cells (sd± 2,392) per donor (average 989 and 1,000 genes per cell, respectively) (Extended Data Fig. 1a,b). After removing hybrid transcriptomes (multiplets) using Scrublet\(^19\), raw data from 78,693 cHD PBMCs (31% of the pool) and 180,175 cSLE PBMCs (69% of the pool) were combined. scRNA-seq profiles passing the quality control (Extended Data Fig. 1c, Methods) were corrected for technical 10X runs batch effect using BBKNN\(^20\) or Harmony\(^21\). Based on the BBKNN corrected data, unsupervised clustering followed by a two-dimensional uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP)\(^22\) revealed 20 molecularly distinct clusters (Fig. 1b, 27 clusters before batch correction; Extended Data Fig. 1d,e). Similar results were obtained using Harmony\(^21\), which yielded 21 clusters (Extended Data Fig. 1f). Cell numbers in clusters varied from 42,353 to 624 (Extended Data Fig. 2a). Clusters were defined based on gene expression values compared to all other cells (Fig.1c; Supplementary Table 2a). This analysis identified single clusters of dendritic cells (DCs), megakaryocytes (Mgk), erythroid cells (Eryth), NK cells, plasma blasts/cells (PB/PC) and plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs), two clusters of B cells and monocytes (CD14\(^+\) and CD16\(^+\)), five CD4\(^+\) T cell and five CD8\(^+\) T cell clusters. Cluster assignments were independent of 10X runs (Extended Data Fig. 1e) and donor (Fig. 1d, Extended Data Fig. 2b).

Many clusters were differentially represented in cHD individuals and cSLE patients (Fig. 1e). Subsets overrepresented in cSLE patients included: C0_CD14 monocytes, C11_CD4\(^+\) T and C19_ISG\(^\text{hi}\)_GzK\(^+\)_acCD8\(^+\) T cells (p<0.05). Subsets underrepresented in cSLE included: C1_CD4\(^+\) T, C5_CD8\(^+\) T, C7_CD16_NK cells, C9_GzK\(^+\)_activated(ac)CD8\(^+\) T, C10_CD4\(^+\) T cells and C18_pDCs (p<0.05). The remaining (12/21) subsets were present in similar proportions across the two groups (e.g. C2_CD4\(^+\) T, C3_GzH\(^+\)_acCD4\(^+\) T, C4_B, C6_CD4\(^+\) T, C8_CD8\(^+\) T, C12_B cells, C13_CD16 monocytes, C16_DCs). Three clusters (C14_Erythrocytes, C15_Mgk and C17_PCs) were expanded in some cSLE patients without reaching statistical significance. Three distinct patterns emerged from this analysis: 10 cSLE samples mingled with those of cHD (Extended Data Fig. 2c), 17 cSLE samples clustered independently of cHD, while six cSLE and one cHD samples displayed diverse profiles. According to DA, cSLE samples with SLEDAI 4–6 and SLEDAI >6 correlated and were separated from HD (Extended Data Fig. 2d). Thus, scRNA-seq analysis reveals cell cluster heterogeneity in cSLE PBMCs.
Cellular origin of SLE IFN signature.

Given the importance of the IFN pathway in SLE, we analyzed the cellular distribution of IFN-related genes (IRGs) across the 20 clusters. Within every cluster (C0–19; Fig. 1f) we calculated the average expression of genes composing three previously identified IFN-stimulated gene (ISG) modules (M1.2, M3.4 and M5.12) that correlate with SLE DA\(^7,9,8\), in addition to ISGs and IRGs annotated by Gene Ontology (GO). In cSLE, 8/20 clusters mostly contributed to the IFN signature (Fig. 1f). These included the major monocyte cluster C0 and seven smaller clusters, namely C11 (a minor CD4\(^+\) T cell cluster); C13 (CD16\(^+\) Monocytes); C15 (Mgk); C16 (cDCs); C17 (PCs); C18 (pDCs); and C19 (ISG\(^{hi}\) GzK\(^+\)acCD8\(^+\) T cells). Notably, C11_CD4\(^+\)T (n=7,858 cells), which expressed high levels of ISGs, was mostly composed of cSLE cells (n=7,722) but included a small fraction of cells from every cHD (2–28 cells/cHD; n=136 cells).

Unbiased clustering based on ISG and IRG expression enabled the generation of nine groups (G1 to G9, Fig. 1f). The most upregulated cSLE-specific ISGs were observed in groups G1 (ISG15, IFI27), G3 (IFI44L, PARP9), mostly from modules M1.2 and M3.4, and G2 (IFI35, ADAR) from M3.4 and M5.12. G4, defined by TMEM140, was preferentially up-regulated in MgK. G5 genes (IFNγR1, CASP1, FCGR1A) were preferentially expressed in monocytes, DCs and Mgk. The four smallest groups, G6 to G9, included IFNγ pathway-related genes. G6 genes (CCL4, CCL5, IFNG) were up-regulated in NK cells, C3_GzH_acCD8\(^+\) T and C19_ISG\(^{hi}\).GzK\(^+\).acCD8\(^+\) T cells, while most other genes in these clusters were similarly expressed in cHD and cSLE samples. Genes in G7, such as SOCS1, were up-regulated in cSLE pDCs but also expressed in C5, C10, C2, C9, and C19 T cells from both cSLE and cHD cells. G8 included IRF7 and IFNLR1, which were highly expressed in both cHD and cSLE pDCs and upregulated in cSLE PCs. All G9 genes, including the type I IFN receptor-encoding genes (IFNAR1/2), were highly expressed in cHD and cSLE PCs. An ISG score based on the average expression of ISGs from M1.2, M3.4 and M5.12 in each individual cell confirmed this ISG distribution (Extended Data Fig. 2e).

A second round of clustering yielded 37 subclusters (SCs), which were then used to calculate ISG scores. cSLE-specific ISG\(^{hi}\) SCs were found within each cell lineage except for the erythrocyte SC (Extended Data Fig. 2f). scRNA-seq therefore highlighted the disproportionate contribution of a few cell clusters and of discrete SCs within each lineage, except erythroid cells, to the SLE IFN signature.

An SLE-restricted ISG\(^{hi}\) monocyte subcluster co-expresses IL1B.

Alterations in the monocyte compartment have been associated with SLE pathogenesis\(^23,24\). scRNA-seq analysis yielded two major monocyte clusters (C0 and C13) (Fig. 1b–e) including 47,968 cells from 44 subjects. A second round of clustering produced eight Mono-SCs (Mono-SC0 to Mono-SC7), three of which were expanded in cSLE patients (Mono-SC0, n= 9,595; 99% cSLE, Mono-SC3, n= 5,957; 91% cSLE and Mono-SC6, n= 4,703; 94% cSLE; Fig. 2a). Differential gene expression analysis revealed an ISG enrichment in the three cSLE-expanded Mono-SCs (Fig. 2b and Supplementary Table 2b). Expression of selected genes positioned in a UMAP plot confirmed an expansion of ISG\(^{hi}\) CD14.
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monocytes in cSLE. In contrast, monocytes expressing pro-inflammatory cytokine genes (IL1B, IL8) were present in both cSLE and cHD (Fig. 2c). Individual UMAP plots revealed remarkable cHD sample homogeneity across the age range, contrasting with the cSLE heterogeneity. Absent from cHD, ISGhi Mono-SC0 was present in 22/33 cSLE patients (11/18 and 11/13 of cSLE patients with SLEDAI <=4 and >4, respectively; Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 3a).

Interleukin IL-1β (IL-1β) and Type I IFN mediate distinct inflammatory responses and cross-regulate each other within myeloid cells. We quantified cells co-expressing IL1B and ISGs (n=155) across the eight Mono-SCs. Within Mono-SC6, an cSLE-expanded SCs, 30% cells co-expressed IFITM3 and IL1B, 26 % co-expressed ISG15 and IL1B, while only 10% of cells in the remaining Mono-SCs co-expressed both signatures (Fig. 2e).

ISG15, a negative regulator of type I interferon, was over-expressed within CD14+ monocytes from cSLE patients with high DA (Fig. 2c). Flow cytometry analysis of 17 cSLE and 14 cHD PBMCs confirmed higher ISG15 protein expression in CD14+ cells from cSLE patients (Extended Data Fig. 3b,c). Thus, together with an expansion of an ISGhi monocyte SC in patients with high DA, this analysis identifies a cSLE monocyte subset co-expressing ISGs and IL-1β.

**SLE-restricted ISGhi pDC and cDC subclusters.**

Perturbation of DC frequency and/or phenotype is a feature of SLE. Thus, pDCs are underrepresented in SLE blood and this was confirmed by scRNAseq (n=372 in 33 SLE samples, n=283 in 11 HD samples; Fig. 1d, p<0.05). Subclustering identified four pDC-SCs (pDC-SC0 to pDC-SC3), one of which was expanded in cSLE (pDC-SC1; Fig. 3a). Differential expression analysis revealed the up-regulation of ISGs in pDC-SC1 (Fig. 3b,c, Supplementary Table 2c). Thus, pDC-SC1, which represents 179 out of 655 cells, contributes to the SLE IFN signature.

SLE patients carry major blood B cell alterations, including expansion of PCs, naïve B cells with an activated phenotype and extrafollicular, double-negative switched memory cells (DN2), a distinct subset within age-associated B cells (ABCs). Our analysis identified two B cell clusters displaying similar frequencies in cSLE and cHD. Subclustering (n=29,240) led to seven SCs (SC0 to SC6), three of which were expanded in cSLE (B-SC1, n= 5,989; 87% cSLE, B-SC4, n= 3,202; 80% cSLE and B-SC5, n= 2,101; 93% cSLE; Fig. 4a).
Differential analysis revealed that B-SC0 and B-SC3 expressed the memory marker CD27, while B-SC1 co-expressed the activation marker CD69 (Supplementary Table 2e). ISG expression was restricted to B-SC5, B-SC4 and to a lesser extent B-SC1, the three cSLE-expanded SCs (Fig. 4b & Supplementary Table 2e). B-SC5 also exhibited antigen presenting-associated genes and upregulated CD19 and MS4A1 (CD20), while lacking expression of CR2 (CD21). B-SC5 exclusively expressed TBX21 (T-bet), ITGAX (CD11c), FGR, TFEC, FCRL2, FCRL3 and FCRL5, as well as IL10RA, supporting its extrafollicular, potentially autoreactive, phenotype (Fig. 4c).

B-SC4 and B-SC5 expressed the highest levels of TLR7 (Fig. 4d). B-SC4 expressed TCL1A, CD79A and TMSB10, as well as CD38, CD5 and CD9 (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Table 2e). Therefore, this population might represent a mixture of early bone marrow transitional emigrants (T1-T3) plus activated naïve B cells, which are precursors of extrafollicular DN2 cells.

Individual profiling (or UMAP plots) revealed homogeneity across cHD, contrasting with the heterogeneity of cSLE. B-SC5, barely detectable in cHD, was present in >50% (18/33) of cSLE patients (8/18 and 12/13 cSLE patients with SLEDAI <=4 and >4, respectively; Fig. 4e and Extended Data Fig. 3d). DN2 cell frequency by flow cytometry (CD19+ IgD– CD27– CXCR5–), correlated with scRNA-seq data (p<0.0001 and R2=0.954; Fig.4f).

Despite their known sensitivity to cryopreservation, we detected 724 PCs (17% and 83% in cHD and cSLE patients, respectively). PCs contributed a large number of ISGs and expressed the highest levels of IFNAR. Further subclustering separated PCs into two main SCs (Fig.5a). PC-SC0 (n=451) was ISG hi and expanded in cSLE, while PC-SC1 (n=273) was ISG lo and expressed higher levels of mitochondrial protein-encoding genes (Fig. 5b,c; Supplementary Table 2f). Thus, cSLE is characterized by expansion of an ISG hi DN2 B cell subset and ISG hi plasma cells.

SLE-restricted ISG hi and cytotoxic T cell subclusters.

T cell alterations are common in SLE. T cells represented 61% of PBMCs in our dataset. Combining all T cell clusters defined by CD3E and CD4 or CD8 expression yielded 158,914 cells (67% ascribed to cSLE). Subclustering yielded six T-SCs (T-SC0 to T-SC5), including one considerably expanded in cSLE (T-SC4, n=13,420 cells; 97% cSLE; Fig. 6a). Differential expression analysis highlighted the up-regulation of ISGs in T-SC4 (Fig. 6b and Supplementary Table 2g). Individual profiling revealed homogeneity across 11 cHD, contrasting with the heterogeneity of cSLE samples. While absent from cHD, ISG hi T-SC4 was present in 23/33 cSLE (11/18 and 12/13 cSLE with SLEDAI <=4 and >4, respectively; Fig. 6c and Extended Data Fig. 4a).

T-SC0, 1 and 4 displayed naïve T cell markers (e.g. CCR7 or SELL), while T-SC2, 3 and 5, exhibited memory markers including ‘S100A4’. T-SC0 displayed higher ribosomal gene expression but also higher counts of non-ribosomal transcripts, suggesting that this transcriptional program was not a reflect of cell damage. Cytotoxicity-related markers (PRFI, GZMB/A, KLRG1) were detected in two CD8+ T cells SCs, namely T-SC2 and T-SC5. T-SC5 expressed TH17 markers (RORC, IL17RE), while T-SC3 exhibited markers of
T_{H2} (GATA3, CCR6) and regulatory T cells (FOXP3; Fig. 6d,e). T-SC4 represented 0.2% of cHD and 7.3% of cSLE T cells and included CD4^+ T cells mapping to the ISG^{hi} C11_CD4^+ T cluster, which was expanded in cSLE.

In addition to ISGs, cSLE cells up-regulated cytotoxic genes in two CD8^+ T-SCs (Fig. 6d,e). Flow cytometry analysis of PBMCs from 17 cSLE and 14 matched cHD samples revealed high expression of cytotoxic proteins (Granzyme B and Perforin) in cSLE CD8^+ T cells but did not reach the resolution of scRNA-seq (Extended Data Fig. 4b,c). Taken together, these data reveal the presence of unique subpopulations of cSLE T cells expressing ISGs, and a strong cytotoxic program in a fraction of cSLE CD8^+ T cells.

An SLE-restricted ISG^{hi} NK cell subcluster.

NK cells have not been thoroughly studied in SLE. Our analysis revealed the presence of 14,929 NK cells (54% cSLE, p<0.05; C7 in Fig. 1e), which after subclustering generated four SCs (NK-SC0 to NK-SC3). NK-SC2 (n= 3,206; 97% cSLE) and NK-SC3 (n= 360; 86% cSLE) were expanded in cSLE (Fig. 7a) and both SCs up-regulated ISGs and cytotoxicity-encoding genes (Fig. 7b, Supplementary Table 2h). All the SCs expressed NCAM1 (CD56) and ITGAM (CD11b). NK-SC1 was characterized by the up-regulation of XCL1, XCL2 and CD27 genes, whereas the remaining NK-SCs up-regulated FCGR3A (CD16); (Fig. 7b,c).

Profiling of the 44 subjects based on the four NK-SCs revealed the homogeneity of cHD contrasting with the heterogeneity of cSLE samples. While absent from cHD, the ISG^{hi} NK-SC2 was present in 19/33 of cSLE (8/18 and 10/13 cSLE with SLEDAI <=4 and >4, respectively; Extended Data Fig. 4d). ISG15 and CD56 protein expression was increased in two out of five cSLE patients (Extended Data Fig. 4e). Thus, our data identifies a potentially pathogenic NK cell compartment in cSLE.

Enrichment of genes associated with LRMDs in distinct PBMC SCs.

We next addressed the cellular distribution of LRMD-associated genes across the different PBMC SCs (Fig. 8a). These encode proteins involved in a variety of pathways connected to SLE pathogenesis, including regulation of IFN in different cell types (BLK, BANK1, IFI1, ACP5), early B cell tolerance (PRKCD), lymphoid and DC development (IKZF1), extra- and intra-cellular degradation of nucleic acids (DNASE1, DNASE1L3, TREX1, RNASEH2A), nucleic acid editing (ADAR, SAMHD1) or downstream signaling (TMEM173), and early complement component activation (C1QA-C, C1R and C2)^{13,40}. Except for complement components, the highest density and expression levels of LRMD-encoding genes were found in cDCs and pDCs, followed by PCs, discrete SCs of B cells, especially B-SC5, and NK-SC3, the smallest NK SC^{41}. Two monocyte SCs (SC7 and SC3) expressed the highest level of early complement components as well as of antigen presentation-related genes. Finally, CIR expression was detected in PCs and to a lower extent in NK-SC3. These data therefore highlights the increased expression of monogenic lupus-related genes in antigen presenting cells.
SC abundance classification reveals distinct cSLE groups

The hierarchical clustering of all cSLE (n=33) and cHD (n=11) samples according to overall SC abundance enabled the classification of six main SC Groups (SCG1 to SCG6; Fig. 8b). SCG1 through SCG4 included only cSLE patients, while SCG5 and SCG6 included both cSLE and cHD.

SCG1 included four cSLE patients (cSLE18, cSLE23, cSLE11 and cSLE11) displaying an expansion of ISG<sup>hi</sup> SCs (B-SC5 and B-SC4, PC-SC0, PC-SC1, T-SC4, NK-SC2 and SC3; Mono-SC0). 5/8 SCs expressed high levels of LRMD-associated genes (Fig. 8a). All SCG1 patients had high DA scores due to musculoskeletal (arthritis), cutaneous (rash), systemic manifestations (fever, pleurisy, vasculitis) or leukopenia (Fig. 8a. bottom for SLEDAI components). SCG1 had the highest Erythrocyte Sedimentation Rate (ESR) and lowest complement (C3 and C4) levels (Extended Data Fig. 4f) among SCGs. Importantly, these patients were not receiving treatment with the broad immunosuppressant Micophenolate Mofetil (MMF) (Fig. 8a; top color panel for MMF). SCG2 included a single sample (cSLE12) with high DA (SLEDAI=8) and expansion of erythrocyte and Mgk SCs. SCG3 and SCG4 comprised eight and nine cSLE patients, respectively, with expansions of ISG<sup>hi</sup> cDC and pDC SCs (cDC-SC2 and pDC-SC1), together with non-ISG<sup>hi</sup> B cell SCs (B-SC1, -SC3 and -SC6), and monocyte SCs (Mono-SC3, -SC4 and -SC7). Of these, cDC-SC2, pDC-SC1, and Mono-SC3 and SC7 are enriched in LRMD-associated genes (Fig. 8a). 7/8 and 5/9 subjects in SCG3 and SCG4, respectively, were treated with MMF, (Fig. 8b). Finally, SCG5 (n=13; 4 cSLE and 9 cHD) and SCG6 (n=9; 7cSLE and 2 cHD), which included cSLE patients with the lowest SLEDAI (8/10) and all the cHDs, were enriched in ISG<sup>lo</sup> SCs including T cells (T-SC1 and -SC5), B cells (B-SC0 and -SC2), NK cells (NK-SC0 and -SC1), pDCs (pDC-SC0 and -SC2, -SC3) and cDCs (cDC-SC0 and -SC3; Fig. 8b).

Taken together, these data identify cell subpopulations expanded in SLE patients with high disease activity and their sensitivity to conventional broad immunosuppression.

cSLE and aSLE exhibit similar transcriptional profiles

As no study has yet simultaneously assessed the blood transcriptome of cSLE and adult SLE (aSLE), we included an independent cohort of eight aSLE and six matched healthy controls (aHD). Five aSLE patients had low DA (SLEDAI ≤ 4) and three had high DA (SLEDAI > 4). Demographic, clinical and laboratory data are summarized in Supplementary Table 1a,b. After multiplets removal from each individual, adult and childhood raw data were combined resulting in a matrix of ~ 340k PBMCs (33 cSLE, 8 aSLE, 11cHD and 6 aHD; n=58; Fig. 8c), which respectively yielded 989, 1076, 974 and 936 genes per cell (Extended Data Fig. 5a). After correction for 10X runs batches<sup>20</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 5b,c), unsupervised clustering revealed 21 distinct clusters (Extended Data Fig. 5d,e; Supplementary Table 3a).

Monocyte subclustering led to six Mono-caSCs, two of which were expanded in cSLE and aSLE (Mono-caSC0, n=18,578 and Mono-caSC4, n=5,586; Extended Data Fig. 6a,b). Differential analysis revealed that these two SCs were ISG<sup>hi</sup> (Extended Data Fig. 6c, Supplementary Table 3b). Individual profiling (UMAP plots) of the 58 subjects based on the six SCs revealed homogeneity across cHD and aHD, contrasting with the heterogeneity of
cSLE and aSLE. ISG^hi Mono-caSC0 and Mono-caSC4, nearly absent in healthy subjects, were present in 22/33 cSLE patients and 4/8 aSLE patients (Extended Data Fig. 6d), thus highlighting the similarity of cSLE and aSLE. Subclustering of pDCs revealed an expansion of an ISG^hi pDC-caSC3 in both cSLE and aSLE (Extended Data Fig. 7a–c and Supplementary Table 3c). cDC analysis showed an expansion of ISG^hi cDC-caSC2 in both cSLE and aSLE patients (Extended Data Fig. 7d–f). aSLE patients displayed remarkably lower B cell numbers compared to both cSLE and HDs (Extended Data Fig. 8a–c and Supplementary Table 3e). Finally, ISG^hi PC-caSC0, T-caSC6 and NK-caSC3 were detected in both cSLE and aSLE (Extended Data Fig. 8d–f, Extended Data Fig. 9a–d, Extended Data Fig. 10a–d and Supplementary Table 3f–h). Overall, this single-cell data indicates that cSLE and aSLE share leukocyte transcriptomic profiles.

SC-based stratification of children and adult cohorts.

To further explore the patient stratification potential of PBMC scRNA-seq, we performed hierarchical clustering on combined childhood and adult matrices based on the caSC frequency in each subject (n=58). This yielded four childhood-adult SC groups (caSCG1 to caSCG4; Fig. 8d). One arm of the heatmap (caSCG1–2) included SLE patients exclusively (23 cSLE and 3 aSLE), while the other (caSCG3–4) encompassed 17 HD (11 cHD, 6 aHD) and 15 SLE patients (5 aSLE and 10 cSLE), 10 of whom had mild DA (Fig. 8d). As combining adult with childhood data resulted in different SC numbers (n=37 in cSLE vs. 39 in cSLE and aSLE combined) and composition, we addressed their connectivity by calculating SC-caSC correlation scores. This approach revealed a high correlation between ISG^hi subsets in both the children-only and the combined datasets (Extended Data Fig. 10e).

caSCG2, which included eight cSLE and two aSLE patients, classified patients with the highest disease burden (Fig. 8d, top and bottom for SLEDAI) and was characterized by the expansion of ISG^hi caSCs. Furthermore, it included all cSLE patients previously classified as SCG1 (from Fig. 8b), 4/17 cSLE patients previously in SCG3–4, plus the two sickest aSLE patients. 7/10 caSCG2 patients were African-American (compared to 13/39 in the entire SLE group) and only 3/10 were on MMF. caSCG1 patients displayed an expansion of ISG^hi SCs comparable to that of caSCG2 patients (Fig. 8d and Data Fig. 10f). However, ISG^hi monocytes (Mono-caSC0) were less represented and ISG^hi PCs (PC-caSC0) were absent. Most caSCG1 patients had a lower disease burden compared to caSCG2 patients, and larger fraction (10/16) were on MMF, a drug known to arrest PC development (Fig. 8b,d). In contrast, caSCG3–4 patients were characterized by the expansion of “memory” CD4^+ T cell SC (T-caSC3) and CD8^+ T cell SC (T-caSC5), and by increased numbers of ISG^low NK-caSC1, thus resembling the HD pattern (Extended Data Fig. 10f). Collectively, these results reveal that pediatric and adult SLE patients display similar alterations in cellular compartments associated with SLE disease severity.

DISCUSSION

SLE is clinically heterogeneous, and underpinning clinical heterogeneity are complex blood transcriptional signatures, including a prominent one driven by IFN^24,39. Here we used scRNA-seq to uncover the cell populations giving rise to these signatures. Our dataset includes >360K single-cell profiles from 33 cSLE patients, 11 healthy children, eight aSLE
patients, and six adult controls. We identified cell clusters and subclusters contributing to the
IFN signature and those expressing LRMD-associated genes. We confirmed the perturbation
of subset frequencies, including AXL⁺ cDCs⁴² and DN2 B cells, and identified novel
alterations such as the expansion of ISG⁺⁺ monocytes, pDCs, PCs, and cytotoxic NK cells in
the sickest patients.

This first direct comparison of childhood and adult SLE confirmed the presence of similar
transcriptional patterns across age groups and enabled patient classification according to
DA. Although ISGs were globally overexpressed in cSLE, eight out of 20 cell clusters
contributed the most to the IFN signature. These included PCs, which expressed the highest
levels of type I IFN receptor genes (IFNAR1 and IFNAR2), pDCs, cDCs, CD8⁺ T cells, NK
cells and monocytes, the later representing the largest fraction of ISG⁺⁺ PBMCs. ISG
expression was also detected in a discrete cluster of CD4⁺ T cells (C11-T) both in cHD and
cSLE, suggesting a constitutive activation of the IFN pathway⁴³. Notably, IFNA and IFNL
transcripts were not detected in any cluster, perhaps reflecting the migration of IFN-
producing cells into tissues²³.

Enrichment of ISG-expressing monocytes was detected in the sickest patients. A cSLE-
predominant monocyte SC, Mono-SC6, co-expressed ISGs and IL1B-related genes,
indicating that cross-regulation of these two pathways is altered in SLE. While overall pDC
numbers were decreased in cSLE, pDC-SC1, an ISG⁺⁺ subset, was expanded. This was the
case as well for an ISG⁺⁺ cDC cluster (cDC-SC2) expressing AXL³¹,⁴⁴.

Mgk signatures were present in both SLE and HD, while erythroid cell signatures were
found in some patients with high SLEDAI. Most megakaryocyte, but not erythroid SCs,
carried ISGs. These signatures were not associated with thrombocytopenia or anemia.
Erythroid cells might be the origin of a unique signature previously reported in cSLE and
other inflammatory diseases⁴⁶,⁴⁷, as well as in pregnancy⁴⁷. Lack of detection of a
granulocyte cluster associated with renal inflammation⁶,⁸ is likely due to technical
microfluidics limitations⁴⁸.

ISG⁺⁺ B cell SCs (B-SC5 and B-SC4) were expanded in cSLE patients. B-SC5 cells
corresponded to extrafollicular (CXCR5⁻), double-negative (IgD⁻CD27⁻) memory B cells
(DN2)³⁵,⁴⁹, also detected in aSLE kidney cell suspensions⁵⁰, though the latter carried a low
ISG score. In addition to ISGs, B-SC5 up-regulate genes (e.g. ACP5, BANK1 and BLK)
linked to LRMDs. Similar observations apply to SLE-expanded PCs, which expressed
among the highest ISG scores contrary to what was reported for SLE kidney PCs⁵⁰. Whether
these differences result from the cell purification methods or reflect compartment differences
will require further studies.

T cells represented the largest lineage in both cHD and cSLE PBMCs. Yet, except for naïve
and memory compartments, scRNAseq clustering algorithms did not classify T cells
according to established surface markers. This might be due to the preferential capture of
highly expressed genes. A small fraction (~9%) of T cells expanded in cSLE (T-SC4), but
also present in HD, expressed higher levels of ISGs. T-SC4 encompassed both CD4⁺ and
CD8⁺ T cells, suggesting that ISG upregulation might define this cluster.
scRNA-seq revealed SLE-restricted activated NK cells, as recently reported\textsuperscript{50}, and an ISG\textsuperscript{hi} NK cell SC associated with disease severity. The smallest NK SC (NK-SC3) was expanded in SLE and expressed high levels of the nucleic acid-degrading enzymes *RNASEH2A* and *DNASE1*, suggesting a potential link to LRMDs and SLE pathogenesis.

Unbiased clustering of cSLE and cHD samples based on PBMC SC frequency classified patients into unique groups of either cSLE or combinations of cSLE and cHD. SCs expressing high ISG and LRMD associated genes defined patients with high DA and off MMF, a drug known to modulate ISGs\textsuperscript{4} and block PC differentiation\textsuperscript{51}. Additional ISG\textsuperscript{hi} SCs, including pDCs, IL1β-ISG co-expressing monocytes and AXL\textsuperscript{+} DCs, were expanded in patients on and off MMF, perhaps reflecting these cells resistance to MMF. Thus, this cross-sectional classification highlights molecular pathways and cell types previously described as correlates of SLE DA in longitudinal studies of cSLE patients (e.g., ISG, plasma cell, erythroid, myeloid)\textsuperscript{8}, though at a higher level of resolution. It also uncovers novel cell subpopulations expanded in cSLE patients with high DA that are reproduced in the sickest aSLE patients and might represent therapeutic targets.

While this study uncovers important novel leukocyte alterations in SLE, we acknowledge limitations due to the sensitivity of certain blood cells to cryopreservation (e.g., “PCs”) and/or microfluidic settings (e.g., neutrophils); the limited power of scRNA-seq to discriminate subsets of T cells, and the cross-sectional nature of the analyses. Combining scRNA-seq with oligonucleotide-tagged antibodies\textsuperscript{52,53}, and cost reduction through multiplexing will enable conducting larger, longitudinal studies. This will pave the way towards both a better understanding of SLE pathogenesis and heterogeneity as well as the implementation of more effective personalized therapeutic approaches.

**METHODS**

**Study design**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Texas Scottish Rite Hospital for Children, Baylor-Scott & White Health Care Systems, Nationwide Children’s Hospital, the Ohio State University School of Medicine, University of Connecticut Health and THE JACKSON LABORATORY. Informed consent was obtained from all patients or their parent/guardians. Blood samples were obtained from patients fulfilling the diagnosis of SLE according to the criteria established by the American College of Rheumatology. Healthy pediatric and adult controls were visiting the clinic either for reasons not related to autoimmunity or for surgery not associated with any inflammatory diseases. Distribution of gender, race and disease activity (SLEDAI) in our cohort reflect the actual demographics and disease severity of the patients followed in our clinics\textsuperscript{8}.

**IRB information:**

**Childhood SLE (cSLE) cohort**—Baylor Research Institute: IRB 007–221 (healthy pediatrics) and IRB 011–200 (autoimmunity in pediatrics). UT Southwestern Medical Center: IRB STU 092010–167 (autoimmunity in pediatrics). Texas Scottish Rite Hospital for
Exclusion criteria

- Autoimmune disease such as: Rheumatoid Arthritis, Inflammatory Bowel Disease.
- HIV, AIDS or other Immunodeficiency
- Use of steroids (prednisone >15mg/ day oral) or methotrexate or equivalent
- Any changes in therapy with Methylprednisolone, Mycophenolic acid, Azathioprine or Methotrexate in the last 30 days
- Use of biologics within the last 30 days
- Use of Intramuscular or Intravenous Solumedrol within 30 days of screening
- Current substance and/or alcohol abuse
- Pregnancy
- Prisoners

Flow Cytometry

Cells were stained with fluorochrome-labeled antibodies to the following surface markers: CD3 (UCHT1, 1:100, BD Biosciences), CD8a (RPA-T8, 1:100, BioLegend), and CD14 (MSE2; 1:100, BD Biosciences). Subsequent to surface staining and staining with live/dead fixable dye (Aqua, 1:1000, Thermo-Fisher), cells were fixed and permeabilized according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Cytofix/Cytoperm and Perm/Wash Buffer; BD Biosciences), and stained for 30 min on ice for Granzyme A (GB9, 1:50, BioLegend), Granzyme B (GB11, 1:50, BioLegend), Perforin (B-D48, 1:50, BD Biosciences), and ISG15 (IC8044P, 1:50, R&D Systems). The stained cells were acquired with LSR Fortessa X-20 (BD) and analyzed with FlowJo software (BD).

Sample processing.

All the adult subject samples were processed within one hour while the children samples were processed within three hours from venipuncture.

Samples included in Flow Cytometry

For ISG15 staining on CD14+ monocytes, as well as granzyme A, granzyme B, perforin and ISG15 on CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, 17 cSLE samples were included. Of these 17 samples, 11 were included in the scRNA-seq dataset analyzed, the 6 other samples were from the same patients that were included in scRNA-seq dataset, at different visit. These last 6 cSLE patients were also used for ISG15 staining on NK cell and DN2 B cell staining.
Blood preparation for single cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq).

Freezing media was 10% DMSO + 90% FBS. PBMCs were thawed quickly at 37°C and resuspended into DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells exhibiting a viability rate less than 70% were excluded. Cells were quickly spun down at 400 g, for 10 min. Cells were washed once with 1x PBS supplemented with 0.04% BSA and finally re-suspended in 1x PBS with 0.04% BSA. Viability was determined using trypan blue staining and measured on a Countess FLII. Briefly, 12,000 cells were loaded for capture onto the Chromium System using the v2 single cell reagent kit (10X Genomics). Following capture and lysis, cDNA was synthesized and amplified (12 cycles) as per manufacturer’s protocol (10X Genomics). The amplified cDNA was used to construct an Illumina sequencing library and sequenced on a single lane of a HiSeq 4000.

Single-cell Raw data processing and data combining

Illumina basecall files (*.bcl) were converted to fastqs using cellranger v2.1.0, which uses bcl2fastq v2.17.1.14. FASTQ files were then aligned to hg19 genome and transcriptome using the cellranger v2.1.0 pipeline, which generates a gene - cell expression matrix. The samples were merged together using cellranger aggr from cellranger, which aggregates outputs from multiple runs, normalizing them to the same sequencing depth (normalize=mapped) and then re-computing the gene-barcode matrices and analysis on the combined data. The sequencing information for each individual included in the study (e.g., number of reads per cell or UMI counts per cell) are shown in Supplementary Table 1c.

Scrublet for multiplet prediction and removal

Generally, we expected about 2 to 8% of the cells to be hybrid transcriptomes or multiplets, occurring when two or more cells are captured within the same microfluidic droplet and are tagged with the same barcode. Such artifactual multiplets can confound downstream analyses. We applied Scrublet\textsuperscript{19} python package to remove the putative multiplets. Scrublet assigns each measured transcriptome a ‘multiplet score’, which indicates the probability of being a hybrid transcriptome. Multiplet scores were determined for each individual (using the raw data), and 1.7% - 9.7% in children and 3.4% - 10.1% in adult cohort of highest scoring cells were tagged as multiplets after visual inspection of doublet score distributions and excluded from the further analysis. Next, UMAP of cells from each patient was built using Scanpy and clusters with a large fraction of potential doublets were removed after inspecting expression of putative cell surface markers. Before/after multiplet removal, the number of cells in cSLE and caSLE cohorts was 283,857/275,588 and 375,559/340,629 cells, respectively.

Single-cell preprocessing, dimension reduction, graph-based clustering, and cluster annotation

The cleaned (after multiplet removal using scrublet\textsuperscript{19}) aggregated matrices were fed into the Python-based Scanpy\textsuperscript{54} workflow (https://scanpy.readthedocs.io/en/stable/), which includes preprocessing, visualization, clustering and differential expression testing. The pipeline we used was inspired by the Seurat\textsuperscript{55} R package workflow and can be found here: https://github.com/dnehar/SingleCells_SLE_paper.
Quality control and cell filtering

We applied the following filtering parameters: (i) all genes that were not detected in ≥3 cells were discarded, using `pp.filter_genes` function, (ii) cells with less than 400 total unique transcripts were removed prior to downstream analysis using `pp.filter_cells` function, (iii) cells in which > 20% of the transcripts mapped to the mitochondrial genes were filtered out, as this can be a marker of poor-quality cells and (iv) cells displaying a unique gene counts > 2,500 genes were considered outliers and discarded. The number of cells before and after these filtration steps, including the multiplet removal is shown for each individual in Extended Data Fig. 1a.

Data normalization

We normalized the data using the `pp.normalize_per_cell` function. Thus, library-size normalization was performed based on gene expression for each barcode by scaling the total number of reads per cell to 10,000. We log-transformed the data (`pp.log1p` function) and then regressed out using the total number of genes and the fraction of mitochondrial transcript content per cell. We then regressed out effects of total counts per cell and the percentage of mitochondrial genes expressed using `pp.regress_out` function. The data was then scaled data to unit variance using `pp.scale` function (with the following parameters: `max_value=10`). The 1205 highly variable genes (HVG) were identified using `filter_genes_dispersion` function (with the following parameters: `min_mean=0.0125`, `max_mean=3`, `min_disp=0.5`).

Linear dimensional reduction using PCA

To reduce the dimensionality of the data, we ran principal component analysis (PCA) using `tl.pca` function, which reveals the main axes of variation and denoises the data. The contribution of each PCs to the total variance was assessed using `pl.pca_variance_ratio` function.

Neighborhood graph computing, embedding and clustering

The neighborhood graph of cells was computed based on the PCA representation of the data matrix, using `pp.neighbors` function (with the following parameters: `n_neighbors=10`, `n_pcs=40`). The neighborhood graph was then embedded using UMAP\(^\text{22}\) (`tl.umap` function) and visualized using `pl.umap` function. We finally used the Louvain graph-based clustering using `tl.louvain` function with resolution=1.2.

Batch effect correction.

To account for technical source of variation, such as 10X runs, we applied a batch effect correction using BBKNN\(^\text{20}\) ([github.com/Teichlab/bbknn](http://github.com/Teichlab/bbknn)).

`bbknn.bbknn()` function was ran using the following parameters: `metric=“angular”, approx=True, neighbors_within_batch=5, n_pcs=20, trim=50`. Additionally, we tested the Harmony\(^\text{21}\) R package ([github.com/immunogenomics/harmony](http://github.com/immunogenomics/harmony)). We first converted the .h5ad object into Seurat object using a virtual environment with the following module
For the sake of comparing Harmony batch correction to BBKNN (in terms of number of clusters), we ran the RunHarmony function on the Seurat pipeline following by FindClusters function using the 15 first PCs and a resolution value of 1 (Extended Data Fig. 2c).

All the analyses presented in this study were done on the BBKNN corrected data. UMAP visualization and louvain clustering were then computed on the BBKNN corrected using the following parameters:

- \texttt{sc.tl.umap(BBKNN\_corrected\_object, min\_dist=0.3, n\_components=3)}
- \texttt{sc.tl.louvain(BBKNN\_corrected\_object, resolution=1.2)}

**Finding marker genes/evaluation of cluster identity**

To annotate the clusters generated from the BBKNN corrected object, we used both differential expression analysis between clusters and classification based on putative marker gene expression. We applied \texttt{tl.rank\_genes\_groups} function to compute a ranking for the differential genes in each cluster/Subcluster, comparing each cluster to the rest of the cell using Wilcoxon test (Supplementary Table 2 & 3). We only considerate clusters/Subclusters that showed distinct transcriptomic programs. The top 100 marker genes for cluster and subclusters, in cSLE and caSLE are included in Extended Data Fig. 2 and 3.

The top 10 marker genes were visualized using the \texttt{sc.pl.rank\_genes\_groups\_matrixplot} function.

**Subclustering parameters and data cleaning:**

A script showing the subclustering process can be found here: \texttt{github.com/dnehar/SingleCells\_SLE\_paper}. Based on the number of cells and to avoid over-clustering, we used different clustering resolutions. For both cSLE and caSLE, the following parameters of resolution were used: 0.8 (T and B cells), 0.6 (Monocytes, PCs, cDC and pDC), 0.4 (NK cells) and 0.3 (megakaryocytes/mgk and Erythrocytes/Eryth). We only considered SC defined by a distinct gene sets, by merging similar ones (for T, B cells and plasma cells especially).

We discarded two small SC of T (n = 2128) and NK (n = 483) cells, which were exclusively defined by mitochondrial genes. Some SCs (i.e. PC-SC1) expressed high number of mitochondrial genes, which might be linked to metabolic changes\textsuperscript{56,57}. Concerning the mgk and Eryth, we discarded SCs that were suspected to include multiplets with other cells types. The number of cells in each cluster/SC within both cSLE and caSLE datasets is shown in Supplementary Table 4.

Overall, the number of cells after multiplet removal and the filtration steps was 252,279 and 332,641 in cSLE and caSLE cohorts, respectively.
IFN score

ISGs (from previously described interferon-related modules, as well as Gene Ontology) were used to score IFN expression in each cluster/subcluster generated in the cSLE dataset. To do so, we calculated the mean expression for each cell, within each cluster/subcluster using the h5ad object (adata), as follow: adata.obs['ISG_score'] = adata.X[:,IFN_markers].mean(1).

The scores were then plotted, as shown in Extended Data Fig. 2e.f.

Correlation matrices

Hierarchical clustering was computed based on the first 50 PCs were performed using sc.tl.dendrogram function from the scanpy pipeline, then the Pearson correlation heatmaps were generated using sc.pl.correlation_matrix. The results showing the inter-individual, or inter SLEDAI-categories correlation are shown in Extended Data Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 2d, respectively.

Children and adult-single cell subcluster frequency calculation and heatmaps

Frequency of cSLE subclusters (n = 37) generated in children cohort were calculated per subject and used for hierarchical clustering using 'ward.D2' algorithm using heatmap.2 in gplots R package. Independent subclusters (n = 39) generated in entire children and adult cohort (caSLE) were used for calculating frequency per subject and used for heatmap generation using the same algorithm.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using R/3.4.1. Tests were used to determine data distribution and depending on the normality of the data, comparisons were performed using the Student t test (for two groups, parametric) or the non-parametric the Wilcoxon signed rank test (for two groups, paired) with two-tailed P values unless otherwise stated. Differences were considered to be significant when P <0.05 (*), P < 0.01 (**), P < 0.001 (***) and P < 0.0001 (****).

Reporting Summary.

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

DATA AVAILABILITY.

scRNA-seq data from childhood and adult cohorts reported in this study have been deposited in the dbGAP database under accession number phs002048.v1.p1.

The processed data can be viewed using an interactive R Shiny App here: https://scrnaseq-sle.jax.org/
CODE AVAILABILITY.

Jupyter notebooks used for the analysis, including processing, clustering, batch effect correction and subclustering are available on GitHub: github.com/dnehar/SingleCells_SLE_paper.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.F.B., V.P. or D.N.-B.

Extended Data
Extended Data Fig. 1. childhood SLE (cSLE) dataset overview and batch effect correction
(a). Number of cells per individual (n=44). cSLE (n=33, in purple) and cHD (n=11; in green).
(b). Number of genes per individual. cSLE (n=33, in purple) and cHD (n=11; in green).
(c). Number of cells before and after filtration (which includes multiplet removal and other filtration steps that are described in Method), across the 44 individuals (33 cSLE and 11 cHD).
(d). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across clusters (n=27) for cSLE and cHD (left panel) and 10X run batches (right panel) before batch effect correction.
(e,f). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across clusters (n=20) for cSLE and cHD (left panel) and 10X run batches (right panel) after BBKNN (e), or Harmony (f) batch effect correction.
Extended Data Fig. 2. Global information after BBKNN batch correction and ISG scores throughout clusters/subclusters

(a) Bar plot displaying the cell composition of the 20 clusters.
(b) Bar plot highlighting the individual (n=44) cell abundances across clusters (n=20) after BBKNN batch effect correction.
(c,d) Heatmap representing Pearson correlation between individuals (n=44; c) or SLEDAI categories (d) based on overall scRNA seq dataset. The hierarchical clustering was based on the first 50 PCs. Red and blue colors, indicate positive and negative correlation, respectively.
ISG scores across the clusters (n=20; e) or SCs (n=37; f). Based on the average expression IFN modules M1.2, M3.4, M5.12, ISG scores have been calculated for each cell, across the clusters (e), or SCs (f). Erythrocyte cluster was a negative control.
Extended Data Fig. 3. Individuals’ UMAP for Monocytes and B cells subclusters.
(a). Individual UMAP plots from 11 cHD (left), 33 cSLE (right) based on monocyte SCs (Mono-SCs, n=8). Each color represents a distinct SC.
(b). Flow cytometry detection of ISG15 in PBMCs gated on CD14+ monocytes from 17 cSLE patients with different SLEDAI scores, as well as 14 cHD.
(c). Percentage of ISG15+ CD14+ monocytes in cHD and cSLE as categorized based on SLEDAI (cHD cells ‘none’ in gray, SLEDAI <=4, in yellow; n=9, and SLEDAI >4, in red; n=8). T-test was used for statistical analysis. P-values are shown for the respective comparisons.
(d). Individual UMAP plots from 11 cHD (left), 33 cSLE (right) based on B cells SCs (B-SCs, n=7). Each color represents a distinct SC.
Extended Data Fig. 4. Individuals’ UMAP for T and NK cells subclusters
(a). Individual UMAP plots from 11 cHD (left), 33 cSLE (right) based on T cells SCs (T-SCs, n=6). Each color represents a distinct SC.
(b). Percentage of GzB+, or Perforin+ CD8+ T cells within cHD and cSLE as categorized based on SLEDAI categories (cHD cells ‘none’ in gray, SLEDAI <=4 in yellow; n=9 and SLEDAI >4, in red; n=8).
(c). Flow cytometry detection of GzB and perforin proteins in PBMCs, gated on CD8+ T cells, from cSLE (n=17) with different SLEDAI scores and cHD (n=14). T-test was used for statistical analysis. P-values are shown for the respective comparisons.
(d). Individual UMAP plots from 11 cHD (left), 33 cSLE (right) based on NK SCs (NK-SCs, n=4). Each color represents a distinct SC.

(e). Flow staining of ISG15 on PBMCs gated on CD57+ NK cells from six cSLE patients (in purple) with different SLEDAI scores and nine matched cHD (in green). MFI values are represented.

(f). Boxplots representing the ESR (left panel), C4 (middle panel) and C3 (right panel) levels across the six cSLE subcluster groups (SCGs) depicted in Fig. 8b.

(g). Dotplot representing the correlation between hemoglobin (HGB) levels (g/dL) and proportion of cells from the Erythrocyte cluster across the cSLE samples.
Extended Data Fig. 5. Overview of cSLE and aSLE combined (caSLE) dataset
(a). Number of detected genes across cells in cSLE (n=33), aSLE (n=8), cHD (n=11) and aHD (n=6). Vertical lines represent the mean.
(b,c). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across cluster (n=26) for cSLE, aSLE, cHD and aHD groups (left panel) and 10X run batches (right panel) before (b) and after (c) BBKNN batch effect correction. Each color represents groups (left) and batch (right).
(d). UMAP plot representing the 21 clusters across 340,629 PBMCs from cSLE (n=33), aSLE (n=8), cHD (n=11) and aHD (n=6). Each color represents a distinct cluster.
(e). Cluster annotation. Dot plot representing expression values of selected genes (x-axis) across each cluster (y-axis). Dot size represents the percentage of cells expressing the marker of interest. Color intensity indicates the mean expression within expressing cells.
Extended Data Fig. 6. caSLE monocytes analysis.

(a). UMAP plots representing caSLE monocyte subclusters (Mono-caSCs, n=6), groups (cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD) and SLEDAI categories.

(b). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across Mono-caSCs (n=6) for cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).

(c). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the Mono-SCs (n=6).

(d). Individual UMAP plots from cSLE (n=33), aSLE (n=8), 11 cHD (n=11), or aHD (n=6), based on Mono-caSCs (n=6). Each color represents a distinct caSC.
Extended Data Fig. 7. caSLE pDC and cDC cells analysis.
(a). UMAP plots representing caSLE pDC subclusters (pDC-caSCs, n=5), groups (cSLE, chD, aSLE or aHD), SLEDAI categories and selected genes.
(b). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across pDC-caSCs (n=5) for cSLE, chD, aSLE or aHD groups (top panel) and SLEDAI categories (bottom panel).
(c). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the pDC-SCs (n=5).
(d). UMAP plots representing cDC-caSCs (n=4), groups (cSLE, chD, aSLE or aHD), SLEDAI categories and selected genes.
(e). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across cDC-caSCs (n=4) for cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD groups (top panel) and SLEDAI categories (bottom panel).

(f). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the cDC-caSCs (n=4).
Extended Data Fig. 8. caSLE B cells and plasma cells analysis.
(a). UMAP plots representing caSLE B cell subclusters (B-caSCs, n=8), groups (cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD) and SLEDAI categories.
(b). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across B-caSCs (n=8) of cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).
(c). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the B-caSCs (n=8).
(d). Individual UMAP plots from cSLE (n=33), aSLE (n=8), 11 cHD (n=11), or aHD (n=6), based on B-caSCs (n=8). Each color represents a distinct caSC.
(e). UMAP plots representing PC SCs (n=2), Groups (cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD), SLEDAI categories and selected genes.
(f). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across PC SCs (n=2) for cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).
(g). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the PC-caSC (n=2).
Extended Data Fig. 9. caSLE T cells analysis.

(a). UMAP plots representing caSLE T subclusters (T-caSCs, n=8), groups (cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD), and SLEDAI categories.

(b). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across T-caSCs (n=8) for cSLE, cHD, aSLE or aHD (Groups; upper panel) and SLEDAI categories (lower panel).

(c). Individual UMAP plots from cSLE (n=33), aSLE (n=8), 11 cHD (n=11), or aHD (n=6), based on SCs (n=8). Each color represents a distinct caSCs.

(d). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the T-caSC (n=8).
Extended Data Fig. 10. caSLE NK cells analysis, correlation of cSC and caSC, and boxplots of caSC abundance throughout caSCGs.
(a). UMAP plots representing caSLE NK subclusters (NK-caSCs, n=4), groups (cSLE, chD, aSLE or aHD) and SLEDAI categories.
(b). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across NK-caSCs (n=4) for cSLE, chD, aSLE or aHD (Groups; left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).
(c). Individual UMAP plots from cSLE (n=33), aSLE (n=8), 11 chD (n=11), or aHD (n=6), based on NK-caSCs (n=4). Each color represents a distinct caSC.
(d). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the NK-caSC (n=4).
(e). Correlation plot of cluster memberships for each single cell in cSLE vs. caSLE datasets. SC membership information from the caSLE combined dataset (excluding aSLE samples) was correlated with cSLE dataset.

(f). Boxplots representing the proportion of ISGhi Monocytes (Mono-caSC0), ISGhi NK cells (NK-caSC3), ISG+ AXL+ cDCs(cDC-caSC2), ISGhi pDCs (pDC-caSC3), ISGhi T cells (T-caSC6), CD4+ Memory T cells (T-caSC3), ISGhi PCs (PC-caSC0), ISGhi B cells (B-caSC3), CD8+ Memory T cells (T-caSC5), IL1B+ ISGhi Monocytes (Mono-caSC4), DN2 B cells (B-caSC5), NK-SC0 (NK-caSC1) SCs across the four caSCGs. Patients with Mono-caSC0 >0.08, NK-caSC3>0.01, cDC-caSC2>0.02, pDC-caSC3>0.0005, T-caSC6 >0.05, PC-caSC0>0.002, B-caSC3 >0.01, T-caSC5 >0.05, Mono-caSC4 >0.025, B-caSC5 >0.01, NK-caSC1 > 0.022 are labelled with their sample names. *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001
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Figure 1. scRNA-seq reveals altered PBMCs composition in SLE patients.

(a). Overview of the pipeline. Raw data (n=275,588 cells) from 33 cSLE and 11 cHD were first cleaned from the multiplets, using Scrublet\textsuperscript{19}, then merged together, resulting in a dataset containing ~258k cells. After batch correction using BBKNN\textsuperscript{20}, the Scanpy\textsuperscript{55}-based pipeline was ran (see Methods section).

(b). UMAP plot representing the 20 clusters across 258,868 PBMCs from 44 individual (33 cSLE and 11 cHD). The putative identity of each cluster was specified on the basis of Fig. 1c and Supplementary Table 2a. The cluster labels were added manually.
(c). Cluster annotation. Dot plot represents expression values of selected genes (x-axis) across each cluster (y-axis). Dot size represents the percentage of cells expressing the marker of interest. Color intensity indicates the mean expression within expressing cells.

(d). Barplot representing the cell abundance of each cluster (n=20) across the 44 individuals (33 cSLE and 11 aHD).

(e). Violin plot comparing the proportion of each cluster (n=20) across the individual (n=44). cSLE are shown in purple, and cHD in green. P values were calculated using Wilcoxon test comparing the mean(cSLE) with mean(cHD). *, P<0.05; **, P<0.01; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001.

(f). Heat map representing the mean expression of IFN-related genes (n=100 unique genes, from Gene ontology and modules⁹) across the clusters (n=20) and groups (cSLE in purple and cHD in green). Color indicates the mean expression within each cluster. Column-side color key represents annotations of IFN genes. IFN, interferon.
Figure 2. Monocyte clusters display altered transcriptional profiles in cSLE.
(a). Bar plot highlighting cell abundances across monocyte subclusters (Mono-SCs; n=8) for the cSLE and cHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).
(b). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the Mono-SC (n=8).
(c). UMAP plots representing Mono-SCs (n=8), groups (cSLE or cHD), SLEDAI categories and expression values of selected genes.
(d). Individual UMAP plots from five representative cHD (left) and cSLE (right, entire figure provided in Extended Data Fig. 3a) based on Mono-SCs (n=8). Each color represents a distinct SC.
(e). Heatmap showing the percentage of double positive cells (i.e. co-expressing “IL1B” and ISGs genes) across SCs (n=8, columns). For visualization purposes, only the clusters showing more than 5% of double positive cells have been considered.
Figure 3. Characterization of pDC and cDCs single cell landscape in cSLE.
(a). Bar plot highlighting cell abundances across pDC-SCs (n=4) for the cSLE and cHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).
(b). UMAP plots representing pDC-SCs (n=4), groups (cSLE or cHD), SLEDAI categories and expression values of selected genes.
(c). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the pDC-SC (n=4, see methods).
(d). Bar plot highlighting cell abundances across cDC-SCs (n=4) for the cSLE and cHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).
(e). UMAP plots representing cDC-SCs (n=4), groups (cSLE or cHD), SLEDAI categories and expression values of selected genes.

(f). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the cDC-SC (n=4, see methods).

(g). Heat map representing the scaled average expression of DC markers based on previous study\(^{32}\) and of ISGs across the four cDC-SCs. ISG, Interferon Stimulated-Genes.
Figure 4. B cells reveals the presence of double-negative (CD19⁺ IgD⁻ CD27⁻ and CXCR5⁻) B cells in cSLE.

(a). Bar plot highlighting cell abundances across B-SCs (n=7) for the cSLE and cHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).

(b). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the B-SC (n=7, see methods).

(c). UMAP plots representing B-SCs (n=7), groups (cSLE or cHD), SLEDAI categories and expression values of selected genes.

(d). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of DN2, selected ISGs and other B cell gene markers across the seven B-SCs.
(e). Individual UMAP plots from five representative cHD (upper panel) and cSLE (lower panel, entire figure in Extended Data Fig. 3d) based on B-SCs (n=7). Each color represents a distinct SC.

(f). Correlation analysis comparing the percentage of DN2 (CD19⁺ IgD⁻ CD27⁻ and CXCR5⁻) as quantified by flow cytometry, with the percentage of B-SC5 within the total B cells as quantified by scRNA-seq.
Figure 5. cSLE plasmablast/plasma cells analysis revealed an expansion of ISG$^\text{hi}$ subcluster.
(a). Bar plot highlighting cell abundances across PC-SCs (n=2) for the cSLE and cHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).
(b). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the PC-SC (n=2, see methods).
(c). UMAP plots representing PC-SCs (n=2), groups (cSLE or cHD), SLEDAI categories and expression values of selected genes.
Figure 6. cSLE T cells analysis revealed an expansion of ISG$^{\text{hi}}$ subcluster.

(a). Bar plot highlighting the cell abundances across T-SCs (n=6) for cSLE and cHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel).

(b). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the T-SC (n=6; c).

(c). Individual UMAP plots from five representative cHD (left) and cSLE (right, entire figure in Extended Data Fig. 4a) based on T-SCs (n=6). Each color represents a distinct SC.

(d). Heat map representing the scaled average expression of T cell lineage markers (columns) across the T-SCs (rows; n=6).
(e) UMAP plots representing T-SCs (n=6), groups (cSLE or cHD), SLEDAI categories and expression values of selected genes.
Figure 7. cSLE NK cells analysis revealed an expansion of ISG^{hi} subcluster.
(a). Bar plot highlighting the cSLE and cHD groups (left panel) and SLEDAI categories (right panel) cell abundances across the four NK-SCs.
(b). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of the top 10 genes defining each of the NK-SC (n=4).
(c). UMAP plots representing NK-SCs (n=4), groups (cSLE or cHD), SLEDAI categories as well as expression values of selected genes.
Figure 8. Subcluster-based clinical stratification of children and adult cohorts.

(a). Heatmap representing scaled expression values of lupus related monogenic disorder (LRMD)-associated genes (n=19), across the 37 subclusters (SCs).

(b). Heat map representing frequencies of SCs (n=37) from childhood cohort, across cSLE (n=33, in purple) and cHD (n=11, in green) samples. Groups (cHD and cSLE), race (African American; AA, Hispanic; H, Asian; As and Caucasian; C), mycophenolate mofetil (MMF) treatment and SLEDAI categories are indicated by color on the column-side key. Components of SLEDAI score on the visit date for SLE patients are color-coded on the bottom. Six main groups of samples are generated and denoted as subcluster groups (SCGs). Euclidian distance and ward.D2 clustering algorithm were used.
(c). Overview of the pipeline. Raw data from cSLE (n=33; dark purple), aSLE (n=8; light purple), cHD (n=11; dark green) and aHD (n=6; light green) were first cleaned from the multiplets using Scrublet\textsuperscript{19}, then merged together, resulting in a dataset containing ~333k PBMCs. After batch correction, the Scanpy-based pipeline was then run (see Methods section).

(d). Heat map representing frequencies of subclusters (caSCs, n=39) generated from childhood-adult combined cohorts across cSLE (n=33), aSLE (n=8), cHD (n=11) and aHD (n=6). Groups, race, MMF, SLEDAI, and SCGs are indicated by color on the column-side key. SCG are the groups determined in Fig. 6b. SLEDAI components distribution on the visit date for SLE patients are color-coded on the bottom. SLEDAI scores for aSLE patients are denoted in the bottom of the SLEDAI component. Four main groups of samples are generated and denoted as Childhood-Adult combined Group (caSCG). Euclidian distance and ward.D2 clustering algorithm were used.