Digital Artery Perforator Flap Use in Reconstruction of Fingertip Defects

Parmak Ucu Doku Defektlerinde Dijital Arter Perforatör Flebinin Kullanımı

Amaç: Parmak ucu defektlerinin rekonstrüksiyonunda dijital arter perforatör flebi kullanarak yapılan rekonstrüksiyonlar ile ilgili deneyimimizi bildirmeyi amaçladık.

Hastalar ve Yöntem: Aralık 2019-Eylül 2020 arasında parmak defektleri nedeniyle ameliyat edilen ve dijital arter perforatör flep ile rekonstrüksiyon yapılan 8 hasta çalışmaya dahil edildi. Hastalar etyoloji, cinsiyet, yaş, komorbidite ve sonuçlar açısından değerlendirildi.

Bulgular: Hastaların ortalama yaşı 43.6 (19-66) idi. 6 fleb ve 2 flep tam olarak sağ kaldı ve sorunsuz iyileşti. Fleplerin boyutları 20x10 mm ile 25x20 mm arasında değişti. Bir flepte kısmi cilt nekrozu ve 1 flep ise tamamen kaybedildi. Hiçbir hasta soğuk intoleransından veya eklem kontraktüründen şikayetçi değildi. Hastalardan birinde hafif tırnak deformitesi oluştu.

Sonuç: Dijital arter perforatör flebi (DAPF), sigara içmeyen hastalarda parmak ucu defekt rekonstrüksiyonları için güvenilir ve çok yönlü bir fleptir. Tek aşamalı ameliyat prosedürü, flebi hazırlama kolaylığı, hızlı iyileşme ve dijital arterlerin korunması en önemli avantajlarıdır.

Key words: Digital artery perforator flap, pulp defect, finger reconstruction, perforator flap, upper extremity

Cite this article as: Ural A, Bilgen F, Ceviz MD, Yanbas MR, Bekerecioglu M. Digital Artery Perforator Flap Use in Reconstruction of Fingertip Defects. Selcuk Med J 2020;36(4): 343-351

Disclosure: None of the authors has a financial interest in any of the products, devices, or drugs mentioned in this article. The research was not sponsored by an outside organization. All authors have agreed to allow full access to the primary data and to allow the journal to review the data if requested.
INTRODUCTION

Fingers are one of the most exposed areas to trauma and fingertip defects are among the most common injuries. Fingertips and pulps are unique structures for both functionality and cosmesis. The main objectives of fingertip and pulp reconstruction can be listed as maintaining functional length, preventing secondary nail deformity and joint stiffness as well as ensuring a sensorial pulp. Reconstruction of the fingertip defects which bone, tendon or joint are exposed are surgically challenging and necessitate durable flaps. Various reconstructive treatment options including local homodigital advancement flaps, cross finger flaps, local island flaps, reverse-flow homodigital artery flaps, reverse adipofascial flaps and even microsurgical free flaps have been described for the treatment of injured fingertip and pulp defects (1-5). The digital artery perforator flap (DAPF), first described by Koshima, is still a relatively novel technique to some plastic and reconstructive surgery departments (6). Nevertheless, it seems to be gaining popularity since DAPF technique does not require neurovascular bundle dissection or transection and eliminates a second operation requirement (7). DAPF is an advantageous technique in fingertip and pulp reconstruction for it is less invasive and reliable. We aimed to present our experience and evaluate the results of fingertip defect reconstructions using digital artery perforator flaps.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was carried out with the approval of the local ethics committee of Kahramanmaras Sutcu Imam University, Faculty of Medicine (Protocol number: 08) and by obtaining written informed consent from all patients. A total of 8 patients who underwent surgery by utilizing digital artery perforator flap in order to reconstruct fingertip defects between December 2019 and September 2020 were enrolled in the study. Drug use, tobacco use, and other comorbid diseases that could compromise wound healing were investigated and recorded. The patients were evaluated in terms of etiology, sex, age, comorbidities and outcomes. Patients that are previously injured on the same finger, multiple-level injury to the same finger and with peripheral arterial disease were excluded. The patients with finger defect diameters >3cm or <1.5 cm in size were also excluded. The details are shown in Table 1.

Surgical Technique

The perfusion of the DAPF is supplied from constant dorsal communicating branches of digital arteries. Venous outflow is provided from the concomitant system surrounding the arterial pedicle. We performed DAPF flap as propeller flap on its perforator in six patients while we transposed DAPF normograde fashion in one patient and used it as an advancement flap in another. DAPF was designed over the dorsal or dorsolateral of the middle or proximal phalanx. The flaps were raised from the more preserved sides of the fingers since the distalmost perforator can be directly injured for its proximity to the trauma zone. It is crucial to elevate the flaps superficial to the digital artery and nerve and perform a dissection plane over paratenon. If DAPF was harvested from the proximal phalanx, we dissected the perforator in a retrograde fashion towards the proper digital artery and aimed to leave about at least 3-4 mm adipofascial tissue surrounding the pedicle. This adipofascial cuff composed of soft tissue was preserved around the pedicle to minimize venous congestion. When DAPF was harvested from midphalanx, the cuff surrounding the perforator was thinner (Figure 1). However, an exact skeletonization of these tiny calibrated vessels were avoided except two cases to prevent any possible perforator injury. We have skeletonized DAPF perforator only in two cases to reduce the tension and ease the mobility and rotation of the flap. The distalmost perforator could be observed under magnification in each case clearly. The Cleland ligament was incised and released when needed. We inset the flap to the defects transposing about 60-120° in three cases whereas we transposed the flap as propeller and rotated 180° over its pedicle in three cases. To prevent pedicle compression and postoperative venous congestion, we incised the skin and created a raw surface for the transfer of the pedicle. Then, the flap is sutured to the defect without tension and the donor site was closed using a full-thickness skin graft. Short-arm resting splints were applied for each patient for a week.

RESULTS

A total of 8 patients (4 females and 4 males) consulted from the department of orthopedics or emergency department who received finger pulp reconstruction utilizing digital artery perforator flaps were included in this study. The etiology of the defects were work related accidents (n=4), home accidents (n=3) and infection (n=1). The average age of the patients was 43.6 (range:19-66) years. Reconstructions were performed under regional or general anesthesia 6 of 8 flaps survived completely
and healed uneventfully. Three of the flaps were rotated 180° as propeller fashion (Figure 2). Flap dimensions were approximately 2 x 1-1.5 cm in size. Total necrosis occurred only in one of our patients who continued using both cigarette and Maras powder in the perioperative period and one patient had partial necrosis (Figure 3). The patient who had total flap loss underwent a cross finger procedure subsequently and the patient with partial skin necrosis (Case 4) was managed only with ointment and wet-dressings since she refused another surgery. In case 5, we utilized DAPF as if an anterograde axial flap rather than propeller since we reconstructed extensor tendon along midphalanx and the resultant defect necessitated a longitudinally larger flap (Figure 4). No patients complained of cold intolerance or residual joint contracture. Longest follow-up was 4 months. One of our patients experienced mild hooked nail deformity. Donor area morbidity of the flaps were minimal and the scar was acceptable (Figure 5). All patients are advised for physical therapy and encouraged for exercises.

**DISCUSSION**

Principles of fingertip reconstruction are preserving finger function and length as well as maintaining cosmesis and covering the defect with durable, sensitive and glabrous skin. There are various commonly performed local flaps options for reconstruction of small sized finger tip defects, such as V-Y advancement flaps, reverse adipofasial flaps, dorsal metacarpal artery flap, cross finger flap, thenar flap, neurovascular island flaps and reverse-flow homodigital artery flaps, reverse dorsal vein flaps.

| Case | Gender | Age | Digit  | Comorbidity/drugs          | Outcome          |
|------|--------|-----|--------|-----------------------------|------------------|
| 1    | Male   | 41  | Index  | -                           | Full recovery    |
| 2    | Female | 28  | Middle | -                           | Full recovery    |
| 3    | Female | 57  | Middle | Asthma                      | Full recovery    |
| 4    | Female | 62  | Index  | Rheumatoid Arthritis+Corticosteroid | Partial necrosis |
| 5    | Male   | 54  | Middle | Hypertension                | Full recovery    |
| 6    | Male   | 19  | Index  | -                           | Full recovery    |
| 7    | Male   | 22  | Index  | Maras powder+tobacco        | Total necrosis   |
| 8    | Female | 66  | Little | Alzheimer+Hypertension      | Full Recovery    |

*Figure 1a. Oblique distal fingertip defect on middle finger*  
*Figure 1b. DAPF rotated solely on its perforator*
whereas pedicled interpolation flaps or free flaps harvested from the groin-abdominal region may be required in order to cover larger sized defects (8,9). However, interpolated flap techniques require two-stage surgery and may cause stiffness in the joints due to immobilization of the fingers and restriction in range of motion of the fingers. Satisfactory outcomes with good contour and sensory restorations have been reported in the literature using free flaps such as free venous flap, free hemipulp, free thenar flap and free perforator flap of the superficial branch of the radial artery; however advanced microsurgical experience is a must for a fingertip or pulp defect reconstruction using these microsurgical options and duration of operations are quite long compared to conventional ones (10,11). Moreover, these techniques often carry a risk of anastomosis problems, vascular spasm, increased thrombosis rates and high flap loss risk depending on all these factors. Reverse-flow homodigital island flaps are also good flaps of choice and can be used in fingertip and pulp defects reconstruction, but a reverse-flow homodigital flap dissection is more invasive, extensive, time consuming and it causes cold intolerance since this technique necessitates the sacrifice of proper digital artery of the finger.

DAPF is a versatile flap that can be easily harvested from the same finger without sacrificing the main artery and nerve (12). The most important advantages of DAPF technique are minimal donor site morbidity, allowing early mobilization, and single-

Figure 2a. Volar defect of the middle finger

Figure 2b. Flap design

Figure 2c. DAPF, based on ulnar midphalanx located perforator, is raised over paratenon. Note that an adipofascial tissue of 3-4 mm cuff is preserved during flap dissection
stage surgery. However, the main disadvantages are the high risk of venous congestion in the early period, the tiny caliber of the perforator, the risk of kinking, twisting or compression when rotated as propeller. Nonetheless, DAPF is not only quite susceptible to the patient's metabolic status (dehydration, hypotension, etc.), medications, smoking habitus and/or similar substance abuse but also contraindicated in patients who have vasospastic disorders like Raynaud, diabetes mellitus or smoking ones because of higher rates of flap failure (13). Limited flap size and arterial inflow instability are also other disadvantages of DAPF. Although intraoperative circulation was confirmed in the case resulted with total skin necrosis, it was thought that the development of flap necrosis occurred due to vascular spasm and thrombosis induced by smoking and maras powder use known as an oxidant.

**Figure 2d.** Flap is rotated 180° as propeller and inset to the pulp defect

**Figure 2e.** Immediate postoperative view of the flap is seen. Flap pedicle is not tunneled but rather skin grafted to avoid any compression

**Figure 3a.** Index fingertip defect

**Figure 3b.** Flap is raised and rotated
smokeless tobacco agent including ‘Nicotiana Rustica Linn’. In the case with partial necrosis, it is estimated that use of immunsuppressants due to rheumatoid arthritis and the radially deviated ankylosis of the distal interphalangeal joint of the patients finger could have further caused a constant postoperative tension and ischemia of the distal portion of the flap. According to our particular practice, if DAPF is planned to be harvested from proximal phalangeal area and used as a propeller flap, skeletonizing the perforator should be avoided because the perforator branches very small calibered and delicate. Therefore, without sufficient soft tissue envelope, vasospasm and/or thrombosis is more likely to occur and impair the flap circulation in a proximally harvested flap. Another important technical issue is that after DAPF harvest, pedicle should be transferred over the radial or ulnar side of the proximal interphalangeal joint to

Figure 3c. Final inset of the flap. Note the distal interphalangeal joint is radially deviated

Figure 3d. Partial necrosis and secondary healing following debridement

Figure 4a. Fingertip and nailbed defect on middle finger

Figure 4b. Postoperative 3 week result is seen
preserve joint movements and prevent any possible contractures. Three-dimensional considerations, orientation and direction of the pulp / fingertip defects should also be considered for surgical decision. DAPF reconstruction seems more suitable for obliquely oriented wounds. That is to say, ulnar sided DAPF harvest and inset seems more physiological for the 2nd and 3rd fingertip defects extending obliquely from proximal-ulnar to distal-radial manner, whereas radial sided DAPF is more physiological for 4th and 5th fingertip defects extending obliquely from proximal-radial to distal-ulnar manner since digital artery is often ulnarly dominant in the 2nd and 3rd finger and radially dominant in 4th and 5th finger. Nevertheless, variations are not uncommon. Few authors suggest identification and confirmation of perforators of proper digital artery, whether on proximal or middle phalanges, by a handheld Doppler USG prior to flap harvest while most authors advocate that perforator localizations are such constant that DAPF can be raised as a free-style fashion (1, 6, 7, 14). In accordance with this, Braga-Silva et al. have demonstrated on fresh human cadavers that digital artery perforators arise at constant locations in the proximal and middle phalanges at a fixed distance from known landmarks.
(15). So, it may be concluded that DAPF has a constant vascular anatomy. It is even so reliable a flap that Shen et al. define DAPF as axial flaps rather than free-style flap depending on this reliability (16).

Depending on our limited experience we think that it is more appropriate to harvest DAPF from the middle phalanx if 90-120 degrees arc of rotation is adequate. But if DAPF will be used in a propeller fashion rotating it by 180 degrees, it is more appropriate to harvest the DAPF flap from the proximal phalanx with sufficient adipofascial tissue cuff. Thus, it can provide support for the perforator, reduce the torsion risk of the pedicle and probably the incidence of venous congestion. Moreover, DAPF is also more anatomical for the dorsal fingertip wounds or nail bed defects reconstruction instead of pulp defects since twisting risk of the perforator on its own is lesser. It should also be stated that DAPF can be used to cover the defects on the middle phalanx, distal interphalangeal joint and it can also be used as a transposition and/or advancement flap based on its perforator if desired (Figure 6).

This study has some limitations that there was no control group and the number of patients was relatively low. Long term follow ups could not be achieved for each individual due to a Covid-19 pandemic and thereby two point discrimination (s2PD) and Semmes-Weinstein monofilament (SWM) tests could not be done properly. We did not perform any digital nerve anastomosis for reinnervation. However, Özcanli reported that sensorial recovery of DAPF is satisfactory in his series as he found no significant difference in the s2PD and SWM tests between who had a branch of the digital nerve and those who did not (17). In contrast, Chen et al. reported they obtained better Semmes-Weinstein monofilament scores in innervated flaps with dorsal branch of the digital nerve or dorsal digital nerve when compared to noninnervated flaps (18).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, DAPF is a reliable and useful flap for fingertip defect reconstructions in non-smoker patients and the patients without serious comorbidities. DAPF is also prone to various modifications and it can be used to cover the defects located on fingertips as well as proximal or midphalangeal located defects. The low donor site morbidity, ease of harvest, single stage operation, preservation of digital artery and rapid recovery are the main advantages that make DAPF beneficial to cover fingertip and pulp defects.
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