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Evidence characterising skills, competencies and policies in advanced practice critical care nursing in Europe: a scoping review protocol
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ABSTRACT
Introduction The management of critically ill patients is challenged by increasing population age and prevalence of comorbid diseases. High-quality intensive care nursing practice is imperative to accommodate these issues. The roles of the nurse practitioner (NP) and the acute care NP have existed for decades in the USA, Canada and Australia but are still evolving in Europe. Some European countries have introduced the advanced practice nurse (APN), but the current standard of the advanced level of nursing is variable and consensus regarding the framework, role and definition is lacking. Literature and evidence are sparse as well. Identification of skills and competencies required for the APN is warranted. Mapping skills and competencies will enable future educational harmonisation and facilitate mobility of the advanced-level intensive care nursing workforce across Europe. The aim of our scoping review is to identify literature describing skills, competencies and policies characterising advanced nursing practice in intensive care across Europe.

Methods and analysis We will apply a five-stage scoping review methodology with a comprehensive systematic literature search as outlined by Arksey and O’Malley. In collaboration with a research librarian, we will search nine interdisciplinary databases and grey literature for publications originating in European countries in 1992–2018. Using a two-stage screening process with Covidence to remove duplicates, we will first scan the title and abstract and then perform full-text review to determine the eligibility of the papers. Qualitative content analysis will be used to chart the data.

Ethics and dissemination Our study is a part of the European Union-funded INACTIC project (International Nursing Advanced Competency-based Training for Intensive Care) with the overall aim of developing a common European curriculum for advanced practice critical care nursing. Results from this scoping review mapping the evidence of APNs in Europe will be presented at national and international conferences and published in a peer-reviewed journal.

INTRODUCTION
The management of critically ill patients is challenged by increasing population age, greater prevalence of comorbid diseases and significant advances (and costs) associated with medical science.1 A more advanced level of nursing practice is needed to accommodate future changes and to optimise management of critically ill patients. Advanced-level nursing has existed in other areas of nursing and other parts of the world, but only a few European countries have developed and implemented a curriculum for advanced practice in critical care nursing and the existing programmes lack consistency.2

In the 1960s, the shortage of primary care physicians in the USA resulted in the establishment of an expanded role in clinical nursing.2 4 After the role was established and acknowledged, it was applied in more settings and countries.5 Due to variation of terms, roles and definitions the advanced practice nurse (APN) was adapted as an umbrella term: ‘‘It should be noted that the term advanced practice nurse is an umbrella term for expanded practice and encompasses a variety of roles, but advanced practice nurse is also used as the actual
title for expanded nursing roles in some countries’. We will use this term.

In 1999, the Bologna Process (or Copenhagen processes) upgraded basic nursing education in Europe from a hospital diploma to a bachelor’s degree and introduced postgraduate degrees in nursing.4,5 There is still a need, however, for standardisation of the educational level of specialised nurses.6,7 Standardised advanced practice positively impacts patient safety,8 decreases adverse events,9–11 prevents severe burnout syndrome,12–14 reduces attrition rates15 and minimises deskilling of nurses that meet barriers to performing at their level of qualification.16 Presence of APNs in emergency and intensive care units has a positive impact on patient safety,17 patient experience and quality of care,18 length of stay, time to consultation/treatment, mortality, patient satisfaction and cost.1 APNs have been shown to promote staff knowledge, skills and competencies, quality of work life, distribution of workload and teamwork, while also contributing to the achievement of organisational priorities and targets and development of policy.19

The main challenge to mapping advanced practice nursing is international inconsistency in terms, competencies, and education and curricula. The most common terms are: nurse practitioner (NP) used in Australia, Belgium, Canada, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Sweden, the UK and the USA; APN used in Ireland, Switzerland, Singapore and South Korea; and finally, clinical nurse specialist (CNS) and nurse specialist (NS) used in Austria, France, Greece, Italy and Spain (NS, only in the following specialities: midwifery, mental health, occupational health, pediatrics, geriatrics, family and community nursing, and medical/surgical nursing).20–22 Due to the many roles and titles used, the definitions also vary.23 The International Council of Nurses (ICN) defines APN as follows: ‘A Nurse Practitioner/Advanced Practice Nurse is a registered nurse who has acquired the expert knowledge base, complex decision-making skills and clinical competencies for expanded practice, the characteristics of which are shaped by the context and/or country in which s/he is credentialed to practice. A master’s level degree is recommended for entry level’.24

A survey of critical care education programmes conducted in intensive care units (ICU) in 24 countries across Europe identified considerable variation in eligibility requirements, modes of assessment and examination, and duration of the programmes (ranging from 30 days to 24 months).25 Furthermore, the survey presented 22 countries with challenges in providing continuous education and six countries lacking access to educational resources. Physicians (intensivists) are similarly challenged by variation in the level of education in intensive care medicine across Europe, leading to the development of the Competency-Based Training for Intensive Care across Europe (CoBaTrICE) programme.26

With expanding APN standards of practice worldwide, an agreement regarding the specific competency requirements is necessary.28 Skills and competencies have been mapped in different ways and it is important that the results are applied to educational programmes. Moreover, there is a need for a joint European curriculum. Mapping skills and competencies pave the way for harmonisation of APN education. The aim of our scoping review is to identify literature describing skills, competencies and policies characterising advanced nursing practice in intensive care across Europe.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

The scope of our survey is broad, including skills, competencies and policy. A scoping review is relevant to summarise the breadth of evidence as it ‘addresses an exploratory research question aimed at mapping key concepts, types of evidence, and gaps in research related to a defined area or field by systematically searching, selecting, and synthesizing existing knowledge’.29 A scoping review has the potential to clarify elements of our multifaceted topic of advanced nursing practice by integrating a range of study designs and types of literature, which would not be feasible in systematic reviews. Other advantages are: ‘Scoping studies are concerned with contextualizing knowledge in terms of identifying the current state of understanding; identifying the sorts of things we know and do not know; and then setting this within policy and practice contexts’.30 We will follow the five methodological stages outlined by Arksey and O’Malley, and further developed by Levac et al.31–34 The framework includes the following stages: (1) identifying the research question, (2) identifying relevant studies, (3) study selection, (4) charting the data and (5) collating, summarising and reporting the results.

The scoping review is a part of the EU-funded INACTIC study (International Nursing Advanced Competency-based Training for Intensive Care) with the overall aim of developing an international set of competencies, with underpinning evidence base, for advanced-level intensive care nurses.

Stage 1: identifying the research question

The scoping review will use a broad research question: What characterises skills, competencies and policy in advanced nursing practice in intensive care in Europe? We will categorise our findings in relevant domains used in existing APN curricula and the CoBaTrICE competencies.36 The CoBaTrICE domains include: for example, diagnosis, disease management, comfort and recovery, professionalism, practical procedures, and so on. We will define skills and competencies as they are defined by ICN35: ‘Competencies refer to the effective application of a combination of knowledge, skill and judgement demonstrated by an individual in daily practice or job performance. In nursing definitions, there is a wide-ranging agreement that, in the performance of nursing roles to the standards required in employment, competence
reflects the following: (1) knowledge, understanding and judgement; (2) a range of skills cognitive, technical or psychomotor and interpersonal and (3) a range of personal attributes and attitudes.3

**Stage 2: identifying relevant studies**

The search strategy will be comprehensive. We will identify relevant studies by conducting a literature search in collaboration with a research librarian at the Copenhagen University Hospital Rigshospitalet. We will search electronic databases and reference lists, hand-search and include relevant organisations. The study group will conduct preliminary searches to identify keywords and terms for APNs in Europe. The search terms will be guided by our research question. Our search strategies are guided by the PICO framework (Population, Intervention, Comparison, Outcome) using, in this case, the mnemonics P: population, C: concept and C: context.34

**Population**

Studies referring to APN or equivalent/corresponding to the individual country of reference, and their highest ICU nurse/critical care nurse education. Titles for expanded nursing roles in ICU are different across Europe; therefore, we will include studies describing advanced critical care practitioner, advanced nurse practice, APN, NS, NP and nurses specialised or expert in critical or intensive care.

**Concept**

The focus will be on studies describing education, qualifications, knowledge, curriculum, competencies and skills required for nurses working in advanced critical care. We will also include texts describing national and international APN framework policy.

**Context**

The context involves any details regarding the specific setting in level I, II and III intensive or critical care units using APNs.

**Types of studies and databases**

We will include all types of study designs. We will conduct a systematic literature search of peer-reviewed articles in the following databases: PubMed, OVID Embase, OVID PsycINFO, EBSCO CINAHL, Cochrane, SweMed, Scopus, ERIC and Social Sciences Citation Index. (Search strategy is attached as online supplementary file.). Concerning the grey literature, we will search OpenGrey, MedNar and ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. Since the first group of NPs from the UK completed their educational programme in 1991, we will limit the literature search to 1992–2018.24

**Data management**

The computer software programme Covidence will be used for data management, such as identification of duplicates, screening imported studies and maintaining the structure of the process (www.covidence.org). We will use the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow chart to document our search.35

**Stage 3: study selection**

Prior to the screening, we will make a random screening test in records retrieved in PubMed, to ensure reliability. We will have to have >80% agreement between two reviewers (GK and SN). If this goal is not achieved, we will refine and adjust inclusion/exclusion criteria. We will consider the title/abstract screening process to be an iterative process with regular discussions to reach consensus and determine if data are consistent with our research question and objectives. We will schedule meetings with the study group to discuss study selection. Concerning screening of full text articles, two reviewers (GK and SN) will independently review all studies/texts for inclusion. In case of eligibility disagreements, the studies will be re-read and discussed and a third researcher (SFH) will serve as an arbitrator.

**Initial inclusion criteria**

- Studies concerning nurses with an APN education equivalent/highest/most or studies concerning ICU/critical care nurse education corresponding to the individual country of reference in Europe.
- Studies referring to APNs working in an ICU with care of adult patients (≥18 years) in Europe.
- Studies describing skills and/or competencies required for an APN in Europe.
- Studies describing policies related to APNs in Europe.
- Studies where the first author is affiliated with a European country.
- Studies related to 50 European countries: 28 EU-member and 22 non-EU-member countries.

**Initial exclusion criteria**

- Studies describing a mixed study population of basic nurses, intensive care nurses, APNs, physicians and healthcare professionals making it impossible to discriminate findings specific for APNs.
- Studies describing a setting of paediatric, anaesthesia and recovery ICU.
- Studies or documents not published in English, Danish, Swedish or Norwegian languages.

**Stage 4: extraction and charting the data**

We will develop a data extraction tool for reviewing studies and for discussion of variables for extraction.36-39 If possible, we will classify findings into two groups: skills/competencies and policy. Expected extraction fields:

- Author(s).
- Year of publication.
- Source origin/country of origin.
- Aims/purpose/domains.
- Study population and sample size (if applicable).
- Research methodology.
- Intervention type and comparator (if applicable).
- Skills/competencies/knowledge/indicators.
- Duration of the intervention (if applicable).
How outcomes are measured.
Key findings that relate to the review question.

This stage will be an iterative process, continually extracting data and updating our data extraction form. GK and SN will independently extract data from the first 10 included studies and discuss the data charting form and whether data extraction is consistent with our objectives. A qualitative content analysis will be considered for synthesising and analysing qualitative findings. 36 37

Stage 5: collating, summarising and reporting the results
We will break this stage into three distinct steps. 31a. An analysis including descriptive numerical summary analysis of skills/competencies and policy regarding APNs will be carried out, using content or qualitative thematic analysis. 37 38 Ib. We will report our results and produce an outcome referring to our research question. Results and key findings will be presented in a descriptive/narrative form with figures and tables. Ic. We will assess the purpose of our findings and discuss these in relation to our objectives. We will assess implications for APN practice and policy in Europe, and how our findings will feed into the next step in the INACTIC study. Lastly, we will assess implications for future research within advanced practice nursing in intensive care.

Patient and public involvement
No patient was involved in the design and conception of this study.

TIME SCHEDULE
Time schedule for INACTIC project Work Package 3, October 2018–December 2019

- October–December 2018: Initial research and protocol writing.
- January–March 2019: Protocol writing, development of search strategy and data search.
- April–September 2019: Data search, screening, and charting and collating data.
- October–November 2019: Analysis and writing scoping review.
- December 2019: Submission of the final scoping review.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION

The review will inform curriculum development for advanced practice critical care nursing in Europe and map skills and competencies. Findings will contribute to the development of a pan-European curriculum for advanced-level critical care nurses. Mapping skills and competencies will allow for harmonisation of APN education and thereby facilitate mobility of the advanced-level intensive care nursing workforce across Europe. The review contains no personal identifiers and does not need further ethical approval according to the Danish Data Protection Agency and the Danish National Committee on Health Research Ethics. This is accepted by the consortium as data will be handled in Denmark. Results from the scoping review will be presented at national and international meetings and congresses (ESCIIM) and published in peer-reviewed journals.
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