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Abstract

Water is the most essential natural resource for living beings, animals and plants but unfortunately it is being polluted by various means. Coloured pollutants, mainly dyes contribute maximum to this. Thus an attempt is made to remove such pollutants in an eco friendly manner. Novel nano sized composite material ZrCdPbO$_4$ is synthesized by coprecipitation method, characterized by UV-vis, IR, FESEM, XRD, XPS and EDX analysis methods and is used for removing such pollutants from water. The emphasis of the present work is to use the prepared material as photocatalyst for degradation of various pollutants. Experimental details of degradation of a common industrial effluent, Azure-A dye as role model are discussed. Number of factors are varied to obtain maximum degradation rate through pseudo first order kinetic study. Hydroxyl radicals are found to play important role in cleavage of various bonds of the pollutant and is endorsed by scavenger study. LCMS/MS analysis is carried to rule out the route of the degradation. Final products are ascertained by laboratory tests.

Introduction

Water is the essential natural resource for life on earth. Now a day, through release of different pollutants, the availability of potable water has decreased and if available, is adversely affecting the health of living beings. Some human activities like constructions, release of various industrial wastes like clothes, paper, printing, dye waste, agricultural and pharmaceutical waste, excavation, cutting of tree etc has harmed the nature and has disturbed the natural balance. One of such activities that had polluted water is synthesis of colours and dyes. These colour pollutants when excreted directly into water, without any treatment, cause harm to the resource ending into dangerous outcomes. Thus need of the hour is to treat such resources by any mean and remove these pollutants. Various treatment methods are available to treat pollutants in wastewater like foam separation, electrode materials, bio-adsorption technologies, Tailoring nanofiltration membranes, Fenton-flocculation process etc (Fei et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2019; Li et al. 2019; Cao et al. 2020; Xiao et al. 2017). Beside these methods, photocatalysis is an emerging technology for degradation of pollutants. Some pollutants like pharmaceuticals and diagnostic agents, different pesticides (alachlor, atrazine, chlorfenvinphos, diuron, isoproturon and pentachlorophenol), refractory organics, organic contaminants etc were removed through various methods [Doll et al. 2005; Hincapie et al. 2005; Xiao et al. 2015; Pelizzetti and Minero 1993; Goetz et al. 2009]. Photocatalytic degradation gives almost complete decolorization of dyes and removal of total organic carbon [Gouvêa et al. 2000; Kuo 2009; Bizani et al. 2006; Andriantsiferana et al. 2014; Sacco et al. 2012].

Various types of photocatalyst are synthesized and are found to have high degradation efficiency towards organic dyes. Ca$_4$Fe$_9$O$_{17}$, CulnSe$_2$/TiO$_2$ hybrid hetero-nanostructures, Fe$_3$O$_4$/ZnO nanoparticles, CaMoO$_4$/electroconductive geopolymer composite, Fe$_3$O$_4$–TiO$_2$, CdBiYO$_4$, tungsten containing nanoparticles etc are some examples of such photocatalysts (Chatterjee and Chakraborty 2021; Kshirsagar et al. 2017; Długosz et al. 2021; He et al. 2019; Zazouli et al. 2017; Du et al. 2012; Vijay and Bhardwaj et al. 2015). Doping of photocatalysts also enhances the rate of degradation like zinc sulfide doped with manganese, nickel and copper etc (Poureredal et al. 2009).
It is observed that hydroxyl radicals play a significant role in heterogeneous photocatalysis and in degradation of dyes (Daneshvar et al. 2004; Raizada et al. 2014). Transition metal oxides like Al-based Fe₂O₃ nanostructures generate these radicals during photocatalysis (Shinde et al. 2013). The yield of hydroxyl radicals on photocatalyst surface was determined at the rate of 35.6 µM/hr, 0.28 µM/h and 0.88 µM/h for P25 (standard commercial catalysts), WO₃ and Pt-C₃N₄ photocatalysts respectively (Nagarajan et al. 2017). Photocatalytic system, MoO₃/g-C₃N₄ composite works in acidic medium suggesting participation of superoxide radicals and holes instead of hydroxyl radicals (Xue et al. 2019). Pathways of degradation of dyes and intermediate molecules formed within the path were determined through study of peaks in LCMS/MS analysis (Shen et al. 2021; Zhong et al. 2009; Mittal et al. 2020; Chen et al. 2009; Jo et al. 2016). Studies were carried out with various types of doped transition metals to improve the photocatalytic activities especially in TiO₂ (Gracien et al. 2007; Wong et al. 2005). Joshi et al. 2015 carried out degradation study using Li₂CuMo₂O₈ as a photocatalyst.

Present investigations deal with synthesis of a novel nano composite material ZrCdPbO₄. Numerous beneficial properties of prepared photocatalyst make it suitable for degradation of pollutants in water, especially dye molecules. Azure A dye is considered as a role model. Different factors like pH, dose of photocatalyst, concentration of dye, light intensity etc. are varied to extract the maximum degradation conditions. Participation of hydroxyl free radical is ruled out by scavenger study. A tentative mechanism for degradation is proposed through LCMS/MS peak analysis and intermediates are also determined.

**Materials And Methods**

Zirconyl nitrate, lead nitrate and cadmium nitrate were used as precursors (Merck) for synthesis of the photocatalyst, sodium hydroxide was used as precipitating agent (CDH) and Azure A (Otto) was used as the model pollutant. HCl (CDH) and NaOH (CDH) were used for adjusting the pH of the solutions and pH was recorded by pH meter (Hena imported pen type). Optical density of solutions at different time intervals was recorded by UV-Vis spectrophotometer (CHINO). A 200 Watt lamp (Philips) was used for irradiation and the intensity of light was measured by solarimeter (CEL-201). KI, EDTA and isopropyl alcohol (Merck) were used as scavenger to trap the active species. All chemicals were used in approximately 95-99% pure form and of LR grade. LCMS/MS technique on instrument XEVO-TQD#QCA1232 with ESI type, source temperature 144°C, mass range 125 to 1000, duration time 15 minutes and collision energy 3.0 was employed.

**Experimental**

The photocatalyst ZrCdPbO₄ was synthesized by using precursors- zirconyl nitrate, lead nitrate and cadmium nitrate. Solid co-precipitation method was employed and sodium hydroxide was used as precipitating agent under controlled conditions. Solid off white coloured material was obtained after calcination with yield of 71.73%. The material was then characterized by XRD to obtain crystal size of 21.59±6.15 nm. EDX analysis proved the presence of Zr, Cd, Pb and O elements in ratio 1:1:1:4. XPS
analysis determined the oxidation states as +4 for Zirconium, +2 for cadmium, +2 for lead and -2 for oxygen. The molecular formula of the prepared photocatalyst was thus confirmed to be ZrCdPbO₄. FE-SEM analysis showed homogeneous cluster form of the material and IR study showed bonding and vibrations (bending and stretching) correlated to CdO, PbO and ZrO₂ molecules. UV-VIS spectral study was used to determine the band gap and peak at 229 nm suggested it to be 5 eV. The results are reported earlier (Lohar et al. 2021). Larger band gap ensured higher photo-quantum efficiency of the prepared material because of greater life time of photo-generated electrons and holes, thus having enough time to degrade pollutants. Participation of OH free radical was ascertained by scavenger study. Further the analysis for degradation of Azure A was carried out through LC/MS technique to determine the intermediated formed and path way of degradation.

**Photocatalytic study**

Stock solution of the dye of concentration 1× 10⁻³ M (0.288 g/L) was prepared in doubly distilled water. This was further diluted to obtain desired concentration. Dye solution of concentration 0.2×10⁻⁴ M was taken, pH was adjusted to 8.0 by adding pre standardized NaOH solution and 0.22 g of ZrCdPbO₄ photocatalyst was added. The reaction mixture was then exposed to a tungsten lamp at the intensity of 74.0 mW/cm² and a water filter was used to cut off thermal side reactions. 2-3 mL of aliquot was drawn from the reaction mixture after particular time intervals during the progress of the reaction and optical density was recorded at λ_max 600 nm. It was observed that presence of light and the photocatalyst both was necessary to bring the degradation of dye, suggesting the reaction to be a photocatalytic one.

**Results And Discussion**

**Typical Run**

A typical run is plotted between 1+ log of optical density (O.D.) and time. The observation data are given in Table 1 and graphically represented by figure 1. A straight line in the plot indicates the rate of reaction to follow pseudo first order kinetics. Kinetic models, pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order (Type-1, Type-2, Type-3, Type-4 and Type-5) were selected to explain the degradation data and it was found that pseudo-first-order kinetic model prevailed in degradation process. (Zulfiqar et al. 2021). The reaction conditions obtained for maximum degradation of the dye Azure A are at pH 8.0, dose of photocatalyst 0.22 g, concentration of Azure A 0.2 × 10⁻⁴ M and light intensity 74.0 mW/cm².

Percentage of dye degradation at optimum conditions of parameters is given by:

\[
\text{Degradation Efficiency (DE)}(\%) = \frac{A_0 - A_t}{A_0} \times 100 = 75.31 \ (1)
\]

It is observed that absorbance of the dye solution decreases with time. The maximum rate of degradation is found to be k= 7.67×10⁻⁴ (sec⁻¹) and 75.31% degradation of the dye is observed in 40 minutes.
Effect of pH

One of the major factors affecting the rate of degradation of the dye is pH of the solution. Thus it was varied by adding pre standardized NaOH and HCl and all other factors were kept constant. It was observed that with change in pH, the initial optical density of the solution changes, which can also be observed in multiline graphs, suggesting the pH sensitive nature of dyes. The effect of pH was studied in range 5.0 to 10.0 with difference of 0.5, results of which are reported in figure 2 and table 2.

It was observed that rate of photocatalytic degradation increased with increase in pH up to 8.0 and then gradually decreased. It is explained by following reactions.

Electron hole pairs are generated at photocatalyst surface by absorption of photons from light.

\[ \text{ZrCdPbO}_4 + h^+ \rightarrow [e^-_{(CB)} + h^+_{(VB)}] \] (Electron-hole pair formation) (2)

The increase in rate is attributed to the availability of more concentration of OH\(^-\) ions in the solution. Holes abstract electrons from hydroxyl anions generating hydroxyl free radicals which are the responsible species causing degradation.

\[ h^+_{(VB)} + \text{OH}^- \rightarrow \cdot \text{OH} \] (Radical formation) (3)

After pH 8.0, decrease in reaction rate is observed because repulsion amongst OH\(^-\) ions and electrons on photocatalyst surface dominated due to crowd created at the surface. This repulsion forces the recombination of electrons and holes, besides abstracting electrons from OH\(^-\) species. Thus a decrease in the rate of degradation is observed.

Effect of Dose of Photocatalyst

The effect of dose of photocatalyst is studied by varying its weight and with keeping all other factors constant. The range is considered from 0.06 g to 0.26 g having difference of 0.02 g. Figure 3 represents the effect of variation graphically and the data are given in Table 3.

Maximum rate of degradation is observed at 0.22 g of photocatalyst. Further increase in dose of photocatalyst, reduces the rate of reaction. It is explained on the basis that with increase in dose of photocatalyst surface area of particles exposed to light increases and thus formation of number of electron-hole pairs increases. These holes are found responsible for abstraction of electrons from the hydroxyl ion (OH\(^-\)), producing hydroxyl free radicals (\('\text{OH}'\)), the responsible species for degradation of the dye. Thus increase in rate is observed. After attaining maximum value (0.22g), rate of reaction decreases because of formation of multilayer of photocatalyst and it forces the recombination of electrons and holes. Thus reduction in rate of degradation is observed.

Effect of Dye Concentration
The effect of concentration of dye on degradation is studied ranging from $0.08 \times 10^{-4}$ to $1.4 \times 10^{-4}$ M. The resulting data are shown graphically in figure 4 and tabulated in Table 4. All other factors were kept constant. Dye molecules absorb the light radiations and get excited to singlet state during the reaction and convert to triplet state by inter system crossing.

$$\text{Dye} + h\nu \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Dye}^1 \quad (\text{Singlet excited state}) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Dye}^1 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Dye}^3 \quad (\text{Triplet excited state}) \quad (5)$$

Dye molecules are attacked by OH free radicals at weaker bond site and breakdown of the molecules is observed.

$$\cdot \text{OH} + \text{Dye}^3 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Leuco Dye} \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Degraded Products} \quad (6)$$

The observations show that with increase in concentration of dye, rate of reaction increases. The reason behind is with increase in concentration, more dye molecules are available to absorb photons from light and get excited. Thus rate of degradation increases. After attaining maximum value ($0.2 \times 10^{-4}$ M), increase in concentration of the dye decreases the rate of degradation because beyond the concentration, addition of dye imparts darker colour to the solution and starts acting as filter to the incident light. Thus the desired amount of incident light is not able to reach up to the photocatalyst surface at the base of the vessel; as a result the rate of reaction decreases.

**Effect of Light Intensity**

Variation of light intensity is carried out in the range 7.0 to 74.0 mW/cm$^2$. The observation data are graphically represented in figure 5 and tabulated in Table 5. All other factors are kept constant.

The rate of photocatalytic degradation increases with increase in intensity of light. This is explained by the fact that with increase in light intensity, number of photons striking per unit area per unit time increases. This increases the number of excited dye molecules and increase in generation of electron hole pair at the surface of photocatalyst is also observed. Thus rate of degradation increases. The rate of degradation is found maximum at light intensity 74.0 mW/cm$^2$. Further rise in intensity of light causes heating of the solution and thus is avoided.

**Scavenger study**

Scavenger study is carried out to find out the role of active species that cause degradation. Different scavengers like benzoquinone, EDTA, KI and isopropyl alcohol are used and are graphically reported in figure 6. It is evident from the data that addition of isopropyl alcohol (hydroxyl radical scavenger) ceases the reaction and significant reduction in the rate of degradation (up to 82%) is observed proving that the dye degradation is caused by hydroxyl radicals.

**LCMS/MS Analysis for Determination of Degradation Pathway**
Liquid Chromatography-Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) is an analytical method in which fragmentation of any molecule is analyzed by trapping separated ions (Chen et al. 2008). The mechanistic path in degradation of dyes like Methylene Blue, Orange G etc was studied using LCMS technique (Rauf et al. 2010; Meetani et al. 2011; Hisaindee et al. 2013; Kushwaha et al. 2018; Sinha and Ahmaruzzaman. 2015).

LC/MS analysis for degradation of Azure A is carried out on instrument XEVO-TQD#QCA1232 with ESI type, source temperature 144°C, mass range 125 to 1000, duration time 15 minutes and collision energy 3.0. The dye solution with pH 8.0, dose of photocatalyst 0.22 g, concentration of dye 0.2×10^{-4} M, is exposed to the light with intensity 74.0 mW/cm^{2} and 10 mL of the solution at different time intervals (7, 14 and 21 minutes) are quenched and separated from the reaction system. These are then subjected to LC-MS analysis. The data are given in Table 6 and figure 7, 8, 9 and 10. The values of m/z are calculated to obtain possible degradation pathway and tentative fragmentation of dye molecule. Further formation of smaller gaseous fragments is ascertained through laboratory experiments.

LC/MS peak analysis suggested that during degradation process, the dye molecules are attacked by "OH free radicals several times, at the weaker bond site and a breakdown of ring as well as of conjugation is observed resulting in formation of smaller fragments.

Initially attack of hydroxyl free radicals at the parent dye molecule generates molecule 4 (m/z 311.05 via 2 and 3 of m/z 257 and 229 respectively, figure 8b). Further attack results in breakdown of the central ring into two fragments 5 and 6 (m/z 203.2 and 159.1 respectively, figure 8a, 8c). Molecule 5 is further attacked by hydroxyl free radicals converting into 5" (m/z 185.3, figure 8c) and total double bond breakdown (TDBB) is observed to form smaller molecules. Molecule 5 also gets converted to molecule 6 and further attack of "OH free radicals causes removal of H_{2}O from the unstable fragment producing molecule 7 (m/z 159.1) followed by break down of ringed species to form molecule 8 (m/z 160, figure 8c) and into smaller fragments like CO_{2}, NH_{3}, H_{2}O etc is observed.

Simultaneously, following other path, molecule 4 breaks into two fragments that are molecule 5' (m/z 221.2) and molecule 6' (m/z 130.3, figure 8a, 8c). Molecule 5' then gets converted to molecule 7' (m/z 195.2) via molecule 6', by removal of unstable fragment (figure 8b). Further attack of free radical breaks the ring to form molecule 8' (m/z 210.1, figure 9). Elemental test in the residual solution proved that no element is left behind, suggesting complete breakdown of the dye molecules in smaller fragments like CO_{2}, SO_{2}, NO_{2}, NH_{3}, H_{2}O etc.

Numbers of peaks are observed at higher m/z values suggesting the formation of unstable polymeric molecules by recombination of fragments which readily break down into smaller ones. A tentative route is drawn for the same (figure 10). Some molecules with higher mass values 349, 456,762, 963 etc are constructed through azo linkage, ether linkage and through release of small fragments like H_{2}O, CO_{2}, H_{2} etc.(figure 9). Lower intensity of these peaks suggested their lower stability and their instantaneous breakdown into other fragments.
Conclusions

It is concluded here by that a novel nanosized quaternary photocatalyst is synthesized and is characterized. Band gap energy of the photocatalyst comes out to be 5 eV, which makes the material suitable for photocatalytic activities as recombination of electrons and holes is reduced. The photocatalyst is further used for removal of dye Azure A from water. A mechanistic pathway is proposed where degraded fragments are identified by considering m/z peaks through LC-MS spectra. Complete degradation of the dye molecules into smaller harmless fragments is observed. The process is found beneficial for the society in numerous ways. Use of solar radiations, simple process of regeneration and reusability of photocatalyst, treatment of polluted water to make it useful, less time and energy consuming process etc. makes the process economic one. The process does not add any other material to the resource or environment and so is an eco-friendly process. Thus the process can be used at larger scale for purification of water in eco-friendly manner.

Declarations

Acknowledgements

The authors are thankful for the valuable guidance provided by Prof. S.C. Ameta, Director, Department of pure and applied Chemistry, PAHER University, Udaipur, Rajasthan, India. Authors are also thankful to Central Drug Research Institute, Lucknow, India for carrying out the LCMS/MS analysis of reaction samples to develop a mechanistic pathway of degradation of Azure A.

Author Contributions

All the authors have been working with equal efforts on the project and have contributed equally.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

1. Fei X, Li W, Zhu S, Liu L, Yang Y (2018) Simultaneous treatment of dye wastewater and surfactant wastewater by foam separation: Experimental and mesoscopic simulation study. Sep Sci Technol 53:1604–1610. DOI: 10.1080/01496395.2017.1406951
2. Yang J, Yang Y, Li A, Wang Z, Wang H, Yu D, Hu P, Qian M, Lin J, Guo L (2019) Sustainable treatment of dye wastewater for high-performance rechargeable battery cathodes. Energy Storage Mater 17:334–340
3. Li W, Mu B, Yang Y (2019) Feasibility of industrial-scale treatment of dye wastewater via bio-adsorption technology. Bioresource Technol 277:157–170
4. Cao X, Yan Y, Zhou F, Sun S (2020) Tailoring nanofiltration membranes for effective removing dye intermediates in complex dye-wastewater. J Membrane Sci 595:117476

5. Xiao X, Sun Y, Sun W, Shen H, Zheng H, Xu Y, Zhao J, Wu H, Liu C (2017) Advanced treatment of actual textile dye wastewater by Fenton-flocculation process. Can J Chem Eng 95:1245–1252. https://doi.org/10.1002/cjce.22752

6. Doll TE, Frimmel FH (2005) Removal of selected persistent organic pollutants by heterogeneous photocatalysis in water. Catal Today 101:195–202

7. Hincapie M, Maldonado MI, Oller I, Gernjak W, Sanchez-Perez JA, Ballesteros MM, Malato S (2005) Solar photocatalytic degradation and detoxification of EU priority substances. Catal Today 101:203–210

8. Xiao J, Xie Y, Cao H (2015) Organic pollutants removal in wastewater by heterogeneous photocatalytic ozonation. Chemosphere 121:1–17

9. Pelizzetti E, Minero C (1993) Mechanism of the photo-oxidative degradation of organic pollutants over TiO2 particles. Electrochim Acta 38:47–55

10. Goetz V, Cambon JP, Sacco D, Plantard G (2009) Modeling aqueous heterogeneous photocatalytic degradation of organic pollutants with immobilized TiO2. Chem Eng Process: Process Intensification 48:532–537

11. Gouvêa CAK, Wypych F, Moraes SG, Durán N, Nagata N, Peralta-Zamora P (2000) Semiconductor-assisted photocatalytic degradation of reactive dyes in aqueous solution. Chemosphere 40:433–440

12. Kuo C (2009) Preventive dye-degradation mechanisms using UV/TiO2/carbon nanotubes process. J Hazard Mater 163:239–244

13. Bizani E, Fytianos K, Poulios I, Tsiridis V (2006) Photocatalytic decolorization and degradation of dye solutions and wastewaters in the presence of titanium dioxide. J Hazard Mater 136:85–94

14. Andriantsiferana C, Mohamed EF, Delmas H (2014) Photocatalytic degradation of an azo-dye on TiO2/activated carbon composite material. Environ Technol 35:355–363. DOI: 10.1080/09593330.2013.828094

15. Sacco O, Stoller M, Vaiano V, Ciambelli P, Chianese A, Sannino D (2012) Photocatalytic degradation of organic dyes under visible light on N-doped TiO2 photocatalysts. Inter J Photoenergy Article ID 626759:8. pages doi:10.1155/2012/626759

16. Chatterjee P, Chakraborty AK (2021) Metal organic framework derived Ca4Fe9O17 as photocatalyst for degradation of organic dyes. Mater Letters 284:129034

17. Kshirsagar AS, Gautam A, Khanna PK (2017) Efficient photo-catalytic oxidative degradation of organic dyes using CuInSe2/TiO2 hybrid hetero-nanostructures. J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem 349:73–90

18. Długosz O, Szostak K, Krupiński M, Banach M (2021) Synthesis of Fe2O4/ZnO nanoparticles and their application for the photodegradation of anionic and cationic dyes. Int J Environ Sci Technol 18:561–574
19. He PY, Zhang YJ, Chen H, Liu LC (2019) Development of an eco-efficient CaMoO$_4$/electroconductive geopolymer composite for recycling silicomanganese slag and degradation of dye wastewater. J Cleaner Production 208:1476–1487

20. Zazouli MA, Ghanbari F, Yousefi M, Madihi-Bidgoli S (2017) Photocatalytic degradation of food dye by Fe$_3$O$_4$–TiO$_2$ nanoparticles in presence of peroxymonosulfate: The effect of UV sources. J Environ Chem Eng 5:2459–2468

21. Du H, Luan J (2012) Synthesis, characterization and photocatalytic activity of new photocatalyst CdBiYO$_4$. Solid State Sci 14:1295–1305. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2012.07.001

22. Vijay A, Bhardwaj S (2015) A comparative study of photocatalytic degradation of Azure-B by tungsten containing nanoparticles: sunlight used as energy source. J App Chem 8:66–72

23. Poureredal HR, Norozi A, Keshavarz MH, Semnani A (2009) Nanoparticles of zinc sulfide doped with manganese, nickel and copper as nanophotocatalyst in the degradation of organic dyes. J Hazard Mater 162:674–681

24. Daneshvar N, Salari D, Khataee AR (2004) Photocatalytic degradation of azo dye acid red 14 in water on ZnO as an alternative catalyst to TiO$_2$. J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem 162:317–322

25. Raizada P, Singh P, Kumar A, Sharma G, Pare B, Jonnalagadda SB, Thakur P (2014) Solar photocatalytic activity of nano-ZnO supported on activated carbon or brick grain particles: Role of adsorption in dye degradation. Appl Catal A: General 486:159–169

26. Shinde SS, Bhosale CH, Rajpure KY (2013) Kinetic analysis of heterogeneous pohotocatalysis: Role of hydroxyl radicals. Catal Rev 55:79–133. DOI: 10.1080/01614940.2012.734202

27. Nagarajan S, Skillen NC, Fina F, Zhang G, Random C, Lawton LA, Irvine JTS, Robertson PKJ (2017) Comparative assessment of visible light and UV active photocatalysts by hydroxyl radical quantification. J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem 334:13–19

28. Xue S, Wu C, Pu S, Hou Y, Tong T, Yang G, Qin Z, Wang Z, Bao J (2019) Direct Z-Scheme charge transfer in heterostructured MoO$_3$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ photocatalysts and the generation of active radicals in photocatalytic dye degradations. Environ Pollution 250:338–345

29. Shen J, Jiang Z, Liao D, Horng J (2021) Enhanced synergistic photocatalytic activity of TiO$_2$/oxidant for azo dye degradation under simulated solar irradiation: A determination of product formation regularity by quantifying hydroxyl radical-reacted efficiency. J Water Process Eng 40:101893

30. Zhong HE, Shaogui Y, Yongming JU, Cheng S (2009) Microwave photocatalytic degradation of Rhodamine B using TiO$_2$ supported on activated carbon: Mechanism implication. J Environ Sci 21:268–272

31. Mittal H, Khanuja M (2020) Optimization of MoSe$_2$ nanostructure by surface modification using conducting polymer for degradation of cationic and anionic dye: Photocatalysis mechanism, reaction kinetics and intermediate product study. Dyes Pig 175:108109

32. Chen Z, Li D, Zhang W, Shao Y, Chen T, Sun M, Fu X (2009) Photocatalytic degradation of dyes by ZnIn$_2$S$_4$ microspheres under visible light irradiation. J Phys Chem C 113:4433–4440. DOI:
10.1021/jp8092513

33. Jo WK, Adinaveen T, Vijaya JJ, Selvam NCS (2016) Synthesis of MoS$_2$ nanosheet supported Z-scheme TiO$_2$/g-C$_3$N$_4$ photocatalysts for the enhanced photocatalytic degradation of organic water pollutants. RSC Adv 6:10487–10497

34. Gracien EB, Shen J, Sun X, Liu D, Li M, Yao S, Sun J (2007) Photocatalytic activity of manganese, chromium and cobalt-doped anatase titanium dioxide nanoporous electrodes produced by re-anodization method. Thin Solid Films 515:5287–5297

35. Wong RSK, Feng J, Hu X, Yue PL (2005) Discoloration and mineralization of non-biodegradable azo dye orange ii by copper-doped TiO$_2$ nanocatalysts. J Environ Sci Health Part A: Toxic/Hazard Subst Environ Eng 39:2583–2595

36. Joshi M, Kumawat P, Ameta R, Ameta SC (2015) Photocatalytic mineralization of azure A using Li$_2$CuMo$_2$O$_8$ nanoparticles. Inter J Res Chem Environ 5:103–109

37. Lohar S, Vijay A, Bhardwaj S (2021) Removal of organic pollutants by zirconium containing nanoparticles: a comparative photocatalytic degradation study. J Mater Sci: Mater Electron 32:12424–12438. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-021-05874-x

38. Zulfiqar M, Sufian S, Mansor N, Rabat NE (2021) Synthesis and characterization of TiO$_2$-based nanostructures via fluoride-free solvothermal method for enhancing visible light photocatalytic activity: Experimental and theoretical approach. J Photochem Photobiol A: Chem 404:112834. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jphotochem.2020.112834

39. Chen T, Zheng Y, Lin JM, Chen G (2008) Study on the photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange in water using Ag/ZnO as catalyst by liquid chromatography electrospray ionization ion-trap mass spectrometry. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 19:997–1003

40. Rauf MA, Meetani MA, Khaleel A, Ahmed A (2010) Photocatalytic degradation of methylene blue using a mixed catalyst and product analysis by LC/MS. Chem Eng J 157:373–378

41. Meetani MA, Rauf MA, Hisaindee S, Khaleel A, AlZamly A, Ahmad A (2011) Mechanistic studies of photoinduced degradation of Orange G using LC/MS. RSC Adv 1:490–497

42. Hisaindee S, Meetani MA, Rauf MA (2013) Application of LC-MS to the analysis of advanced oxidation process (AOP) degradation of dye products and reaction mechanisms. Trends Anal Chem 49:31–44

43. Kushwaha R, Garg S, Bajpai S, Giri AS (2018) Degradation of Nile blue sulphate dye onto iron oxide nanoparticles: Kinetic study, identification of reaction intermediates, and proposed mechanistic pathways. Asia-Pac J Chem Eng 2200. https://doi.org/10.1002/apj.2200

44. Sinha T, Ahmaruzzaman M (2015) Green synthesis of copper nanoparticles for the efficient removal (degradation) of dye from aqueous phase. Environ Sci Pollut Res 22:20092–20100. DOI 10.1007/s11356-015-5223-y

Tables
**Table 1** Typical run

| Time (min.) | Optical Density (O.D.) | 1+log O. D. |
|-------------|------------------------|-------------|
| 00          | 0.470                  | 0.6720      |
| 05          | 0.354                  | 0.5490      |
| 10          | 0.277                  | 0.4424      |
| 15          | 0.221                  | 0.3443      |
| 20          | 0.187                  | 0.2718      |
| 25          | 0.162                  | 0.2095      |
| 30          | 0.138                  | 0.1398      |
| 35          | 0.128                  | 0.1072      |
| 40          | 0.116                  | 0.0644      |

\[ k = 7.67 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (sec}^{-1} \text{)} \]

**Table 2** Effect of pH

Dose of photocatalyst = 0.22 g, [Azure A] = 0.2\times10^{-4} \text{ M, Light intensity} = 74.0 \text{ mW/cm}^2

| pH  | Rate constant \times 10^4 (sec}^{-1} \text{) |
|-----|---------------------------------------------|
| 5.0 | 0.77                                        |
| 5.5 | 2.68                                        |
| 6.0 | 3.97                                        |
| 6.5 | 4.24                                        |
| 7.0 | 4.24                                        |
| 7.5 | 5.94                                        |
| 8.0 | 7.67                                        |
| 8.5 | 5.94                                        |
| 9.0 | 5.94                                        |
| 9.5 | 5.10                                        |
| 10.0| 5.10                                        |

**Table 3** Effect of dose of photocatalyst

pH= 8.0, [Azure A] = 0.2\times10^{-4} \text{ M, Light intensity} = 74.0 \text{ mW/cm}^2
Table 4 Effect of dye concentration
pH = 8.0, Dose of photocatalyst = 0.22 g, Light intensity = 74.0 mW/cm²

| [Azure A] × 10⁻⁴ M | Rate constant × 10⁴ (sec⁻¹) |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.08              | 6.38                        |
| 0.10              | 6.38                        |
| 0.20              | 7.67                        |
| 0.40              | 5.96                        |
| 0.60              | 3.40                        |
| 0.80              | 2.76                        |
| 1.00              | 1.90                        |
| 1.20              | 1.27                        |
| 1.40              | 1.05                        |

Table 5 Effect of light intensity
pH = 8.0, Dose of photocatalyst = 0.22 g, [Azure A] = 0.2 × 10⁻⁴ M

| Light intensity (mW/cm²) | Rate constant × 10⁴ (sec⁻¹) |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0.07                     | 1.11                        |
| 14.0                     | 2.26                        |
| 40.0                     | 4.22                        |
| 67.0                     | 6.80                        |
| 74.0                     | 7.67                        |

Table 6 Mass to charge ratio in LC-MS/MS spectra during cleavage of Azure A
| Structure no. | Chemical Formula | Exact Mass | MS/MS Fragments | % Mass Error |
|--------------|------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|
| 1            | C_{14}H_{14}ClN_{3}S | 291.06     | 289.3           | 0.99        |
| 2            | C_{14}H_{14}N_{3}S^{+} | 256.09     | 257.3           | 1.00        |
| 3            | C_{12}H_{10}N_{3}S^{+} | 228.07     | 229.2           | 1.00        |
| 4            | C_{12}H_{13}N_{3}O_{5}S | 311.06     | 311.1           | 1.00        |
| 5            | C_{6}H_{9}NO_{5}S | 207.02     | 203.2           | 0.98        |
| 5’           | C_{6}H_{8}N_{2}O_{5}S | 220.02     | 221.2           | 1.00        |
| 5’’          | C_{6}H_{15}NO_{5} | 181.09     | 185.3           | 1.02        |
| 6            | C_{6}H_{8}N_{2}O_{3} | 156.05     | 159.1           | 1.01        |
| 6’           | C_{6}H_{7}NO_{2} | 125.05     | 130.3           | 1.04        |
| 7            | C_{6}H_{6}N_{2}O_{2} | 154.03     | 159.1           | 1.03        |
| 7’           | C_{6}H_{6}NO_{4}S | 191.03     | 195.2           | 1.02        |
| 8            | C_{6}H_{10}N_{2}O_{3} | 158.06     | 164.1           | 0.96        |
| 8’           | C_{6}H_{11}NO_{5}S | 209.03     | 210.1           | 1.00        |
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**Figure 1**

A typical run

**Figure 2**

Effect of variation of pH
Figure 3

Effect of variation of dose of photocatalyst
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Figure 4

Effect of variation of dye concentration (Moles/Litre)
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Figure 5

Effect of variation of light intensity (mW/cm²)
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Scavenger study

Figure 7
Mechanistic pathway proposed for degradation of Azure A
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LCMS spectra of Azure A cleavage
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LCMS spectra of Azure A cleavage and peaks at higher m/z

Figure 10

Mechanistic pathway of intermediates with high molecular mass fragments