Health benefits of barley for diabetes
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Abstract

Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is one of the most prevalent metabolic disorders in the United States. Increased blood glucose levels and improper crucial metabolism ensuing from insulin action, insulin secretion defect, or both are characteristics of this disease. The risk of developing T2D is associated with many factors, including obesity, race, inactivity, and genetics. Increased whole-grain (WG) consumption has been reported to lower the risk of obesity and T2D. Among WGs, barley shows a comparative advantage in its fiber content, especially the soluble fiber, beta-glucan (β-glucan), an active component credited for this benefit. Barley also contains important phytochemicals, mostly intertwined with its fiber, reported to offer glycemic response benefits. The mechanism by which barley exerts these changes in glycemic response is not entirely understood. However, the physical properties of barley fiber, the function of microbial metabolites of fiber, short chain fatty acids, and the beneficial effects of its phytochemicals through multiple pathways have all been reported as the potential mechanisms for its antidiabetic effects. This review summarizes recent studies concerning the health-promoting benefit of barley in preventing and moderating the risk factors associated with diabetes and the potential underlying mechanisms.
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1. Introduction

Diabetes is the seventh leading cause of death in the United States, with a reported two to three-fold increase in mortality and a decreased life expectancy of up to eight years (Gaede et al., 2016; Li et al., 2020). In 2018, the US Center for Disease Control and Prevention reported that 34.2 million Americans and 10.5% of the US population were diagnosed with diabetes, buttressing this disease’s gravity (CDC 2020). Most reported cases of diabetes are type 2 diabetes (T2D) (90% of all cases) (Bullard et al., 2018; Holman et al., 2015), which results from a developed resistance to insulin or the pancreas inability to produce enough insulin (Critchley et al., 2018; Redondo et al., 2020). Although the precise underlying cause of T2D is not entirely understood, a combination of genetic susceptibility, environmental factors, and consumption of high glycemic index diets appears to be significant risk factors for the occurrence of diabetes (Esposito et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020; Sado et al., 2016).

Postprandial glucose control is a crucial strategy for managing T2D, with diet therapy often the first-line approach for this con-
The health benefits of barley for diabetes have been extensively studied, with numerous clinical, intervention trials, and rodent studies indicating its potential to prevent Type 2 Diabetes (T2D) and obesity. The present review aims to summarize the available evidence derived from epidemiological studies, intervention trials, and rodent studies on the possible protective effects of barley on T2D, and the reported mechanisms from its unique components (fiber, its microbial metabolites, and phytochemicals).

2. Anti-diabetic effects of barley: evidence from human studies

Whole grains (WGs) are generally low glycemic foods with an established metabolic link between their consumption and reduced risk of T2D and obesity. Results from extensive prospective cohort studies unequivocally indicate that a high intake of WG products (in most studies, >30–40 g/d) rich in fiber may help reduce insulin resistance and the risk of developing T2D by up to 20–30% (Weickert and Pfützer 2018). Due to the aforementioned findings, the Health and Human Services and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) released guidelines that recommend consuming two-to-three servings (60–90 g/day) of WGs daily may help reduce the risk of chronic diseases, including T2D and obesity (Croke 2016; DeSalvo 2016). Epidemiological evidence has also indicated that individuals who consume an average of two-to-three servings (60–90 g/day) of WGs daily may experience a 21–32% decrease in the prevalence of T2D compared to those who hardly or never consume WGs (Della Pepa et al., 2018). Probable candidates for WG's efficacy in disease prevention and control are: enhanced insulin sensitivity, modulation of inflammatory markers, and direct and indirect influences on the gut microbiota (Aydin et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2017; Malin et al., 2018).

Among WG's, there appears to be the potential for more utilization of barley in functional foods as part of a low GI diet and an additional strategy for preventing diabetes and obesity. This is due to barley’s classification as not just a low GI food but its high fiber content relative to other WGs and its unique phytochemical configuration with implications for oxidative stress and inflammation control; both risk factors lead to diabetes. The international table for GI and glycemic load values classifies barley as the WG with the lowest GI (Atkinson et al., 2008). This classification is noteworthy as the consumption of a high-GI diet is associated with an increased risk of developing T2D, while a low-GI diet may decrease the need for anti-hyperglycemic medications. Regarding barley’s ability to moderate diabetes, several well-designed, extensive, prospective cohort studies have attributed the anti-diabetic benefit of barley mainly to the effect of the soluble fiber, β-glucan (Association 2017). Interestingly, except for oat, barley is the only WG that contains β-glucan in a significant amount. Depending on the variety, genetics, and environmental factors, the quantity of β-glucan in barley may exceed that of oat. A study by Havrlentova et al., which examined the β-glucan content of different spring barley and oat genotypes, found β-glucan at average levels of 41.6 g/kg in barley and 34.9 g/kg in oat. In a similar experiment, Lee et al. compared the β-glucan content of nine barley and ten oat genotypes grown consecutively, recording slightly higher β-glucan content for barley 52.3 g/kg than Oat 51.0 g/kg (Lee et al., 1997). Aside from β-glucan’s presence in barley in substantial quantity, barley is equally more economical to cultivate due to its winter-hardy, drought-resistant, and early maturing nature, which portends well for its widespread use as a dietary agent in the prevention of T2D and obesity. Thus, the present review aims to summarize the available evidence derived from epidemiological studies, intervention trials, and rodent studies on the possible protective effects of barley on T2D, and the reported mechanisms from its unique components (fiber, its microbial metabolites, and phytochemicals).
both barley types’ soluble fiber content were similar, suggesting a more pronounced impact on glycemic and insulin response from different soluble fiber content than total fiber content (Thondre et al., 2012). This conclusion was supported by Bourdon et al. who investigated insulin and glucose responses in eleven healthy men who consumed two test meals containing different β-glucan contents (5.0 g and 15.7 g). Glucose was more slowly absorbed into the blood from the higher β-glucan enriched meals than the low fiber meals, suggesting that the difference in β-glucan plays a significant role in diabetes control (Bourdon et al., 1999). A randomized, single-blind study, which assessed the effect of barley β-glucan with different molecular weight on GR in fifteen healthy subjects established a relationship between β-glucan’s molecular weight and improvement in glycemic response (Thondre et al., 2013). The impact of different β-glucan’s concentration on GR was examined by Chillo et al., who designed a study that measured the GR of nine healthy subjects who consumed spaghetti meals enriched with different amounts of barley β-glucan (0%, 2%, 4%, 6%, 8%, and 10%). Measured blood glucose levels 120 minutes after consuming different β-glucan enriched spaghetti diets, showed a GI decrease with increasing β-glucan concentration. Notably, the GI of 10% β-glucan spaghetti was 54% lower (P < 0.02; GI = 29) than that of the control (GI = 64), making this product a markedly low-GI food, for semolina spaghetti and control spaghetti, respectively (Chillo et al., 2011). Ames et al. also confirmed barley β-glucan’s positive impact on improving insulin response in a double-blind, randomized, and controlled study (Ames et al., 2015), where twelve healthy adults consumed varying amounts of barley β-glucan enriched meals (low: 4.5 g, medium: 7.8 g, and high: 11.6 g). There was a 39% lower insulin release after high β-glucan treatment than the medium β-glucan treatment, which had a 33% lower insulin response than the low-β-glucan treatment. Remarkably, from this study, it was observed that amylose and insoluble fiber did not alter postprandial glucose and insulin levels in blood samples examined. Additionally, while all barley meals elicited lower postprandial glucose response, it was observed that increasing the barley β-glucan content in the tortillas meals improved glucose response the most. This finding suggests a possible dose-effect of barley and barley β-glucan in insulin and GR. The authors concluded that any benefit of increasing amylose content might be masked by the effects of β-glucan in foods that contain barley (Ames et al., 2015).

Barley fiber’s impact on GR has also been compared to other whole grains, showing better moderating benefits from barley. Behall and colleagues compared the effect of barley and oat on glucose and insulin response in a Latin square designed study. In this study, ten women with a body mass index of 30 consumed glucose (1 g/kg body weight) and different test meals (1 g carbohydrate/kg body weight; 2/3 of the carbohydrate from oat flour, oatmeal, barley flour, or barley flakes and 1/3 from pudding) after consuming controlled diets for two days. Glucose AUCs after test meals compared with AUCs after glucose consumption showed reductions after both oat (29–36%) and barley (59–65%) (p < 0.002) meals. However, insulin AUCs after test meals compared with glucose AUCs were significantly reduced only by barley (44–56%) (p < 0.005) (Behall et al., 2005). Casiraghi et al. also compared the postprandial glucose and insulin response of crackers or cookies made from barley and whole wheat flour or flour enriched with barley β-glucan. While barley products provided 12 grams of dietary fiber with 3.5 grams of β-glucan per portion, the whole-wheat products provided 14 grams of dietary fiber with negligible β-glucan. The results showed that GI was reduced by 8.5 to 15.2 GI units per gram of added β-glucan for the crackers and cookies, respectively (Casiraghi et al., 2006). Remarkably, barley may not only have a beneficial effect on the glycemic response after a meal in which it is consumed but may also impact insulin responses after succeeding meals. Nilsson et al. studied the impact of barley on GR after subsequent meals in twelve healthy subjects (Nilsson et al., 2008). Results of this study revealed that barley kernel breakfasts lowered blood glucose at breakfast and the next lunch. The cumulative postprandial blood glucose incremental AUC for breakfast, lunch, and dinner were lower than white-bread treatment (P < 0.05). The postprandial blood glucose incremental AUC for lunch positively correlated with breakfast (r = 0.30, P < 0.05). The evening meal of barley kernel also resulted in lower postprandial blood glucose incremental areas under the curve (P < 0.05) after a subsequent breakfast compared with the control white-wheat bread (Nilsson et al., 2008). This finding was supported by Liljeberg et al. (Liljeberg et al., 1999), who examined the extent to which postprandial glycemic response can be modulated by the GI of previous meals in healthy individuals. Test breakfasts containing four types of high-amylose barley breakfasts, with a GI between sixty and ninety-nine, were evaluated using white wheat bread as the control breakfast. Barley-bread breakfast with the lowest GI resulted in lower glucose levels at 30 and 70 minutes after lunch, compared to the white wheat bread breakfast (Liljeberg et al., 1999).

The impact of barley on glycemic and insulin response has also been examined in intervention studies involving diabetic and mildly diabetic or obese subjects. Azam et al. investigated the effect of barley on postprandial blood glucose response in 20 diabetics subjects (Azam et al., 2019). Subjects were subdivided into two groups, with ten acting as a control group while the other ten formed the experimental group that received a 100 g barley diet for four weeks. Each subject’s blood glucose level was checked at both fasting and after ninety minutes from barley diet consumption. Results showed a significant reduction in blood sugar levels after barley consumption (Azam et al., 2019). A similar intervention study involving 109 T2D subjects who consumed meals enriched with barley reported that glucose and insulin response levels were reduced to extents where patients on oral hypoglycemic treatments were able to discontinue their treatment and still maintain reasonable metabolic control (Hinata et al., 2007). Behall et al. also conducted an intervention study involving obese or overweight individuals with mild diabetes who consumed meals enriched with either barley β-glucan or resistant starch (Behall et al., 2006). In this study, 20 men comprising ten control subjects of normal-weight and ten overweight or obese subjects consumed several resistant starch diets from high-amylose cornstarch and soluble fiber diets containing β-glucan barley, in both singular and combined meals. The highest β-glucan level was the most effective in lowering glucose (P < 0.001) and insulin responses (P < 0.0001). Findings from this study indicated that high β-glucan meals elicited the lowest average glucose (P < 0.025) and insulin (P < 0.0001) levels than meals involving resistant starch. Thus, it was concluded that the acute consumption of barley β-glucan, but not resistant starch, effectively reduces glucose and insulin responses in mildly insulin-resistant men (Behall et al., 2006). In this same study, the impact of barley β-glucan and preformed resistant starch were examined to ascertain whether barley β-glucan and resistant starch independently or synergistically impacted glucose and insulin responses. For this Latin square design study, ten overweight subjects were fed glucose or one of nine muffins containing three levels of resistant starch (0.1, 6.1, or 11.6 g/tolerance) and three levels of β-glucan (0.1, 3.1, or 5.8 g/tolerance). Results showed that acute consumption of barley β-glucan, but not resistant starch, effectively reduces glucose and insulin responses in mildly insulin-resistant men. Pick and his colleague also evaluated the long-term
effects of incorporating waxy hulless barley with 7% β-glucan bread in the typical dietary pattern of twelve men with T2D (Pick et al., 1998). In the twenty-four-week study, five randomly chosen subjects ate barley bread products, while others ate the control white bread diet. The mean total dietary fiber intake was 28 g/d for the white bread and 39 g/d (10 g/d from barley) for barley bread. Measured blood glucose and insulin (8-h profiles) levels at 0, 12, and 24 weeks indicated that incorporation of barley bread products (5 g/d β-glucan) into the diet significantly improved GR (Pick et al., 1998).

Observation and intervention studies involving healthy, mildly diabetic and diabetic patients indicate that consuming barley or barley products, at least 4 g β-glucan, might significantly reduce GR in diabetic individuals. Thus, increasing barley intake may substantially improve glycemic control and minimize oral medication and insulin doses. Besides, with more individuals preferring food products to pharmacologic agents, barley’s utilization in diabetic complication alteration is an exciting prospect. Remarkably, a shrunken-endosperm, short awn, waxy starch, hulless barley product with low starch and high fiber content, called Prowash, was found to elicit reduced postprandial glucose levels both in diabetic and normal subjects by similar levels to those observed in diabetic patients treated with alpha-glucosidase treatment (Rendell et al., 2005).

3. Anti-diabetic effects of barley: evidence from animal studies

The impact on diabetes moderation by barley and barley β-glucan has also been examined using animal models, mainly mice. Most of these studies have focused on its impact on fasting glucose and serum insulin levels. Aside from these markers, barley’s action on gut microbiota, improvements in gut hormones, leptin levels, insulin-positive cells, and the groups of pancreatic cells, islets of Langerhans are examined as modulating sign. Concerning the interplay between leptin and adiponectin, leptin treatment has been found to correct plasma adiponectin concentration, a protein hormone that impacts glucose regulation (Miyamoto et al., 2018; Shatwan et al., 2013). Most studies also examine the effect of barley on T2D using obese animal models since obesity is a risk factor closely linked to T2D (Garcia-Mazcorro et al., 2018). Although obesity can result from naturally occurring mutations or genetically manipulated animal models, obesity can also be induced by high-fat (HF) feeding. While obesity in humans is hardly caused by a monogenic mutation, in T2D research, monogenic obese animal models are commonly used. The most widely used monogenic models are often defective in leptin signaling since the absence of leptin causes hyperphagia and subsequent obesity. For chemically-induced diabetic non-obesity models, the commonly used inducers are streptozotocin (STZ) and alloxan. While the results of most studies examining barley’s impact on the glycemic response have been positive, one study (Belobrajdic et al., 2015) failed to find any relationship between barley and diabetes moderation.

Shatwan et al. evaluated the effects of barley flour, crude cinnamon, and their combination on blood glucose, and serum insulin levels in STZ-treated rats (Shatwan et al., 2013). The rats were divided into five groups comprising of a diabetic, nondiabetic, diabetic group fed 5% cinnamon, diabetic group fed 30% barley, and diabetic group fed 5% cinnamon and 30% barley. Fasting blood glucose and insulin levels were examined after eight weeks. Results showed a significant change in glucose response for all treated diabetic rats compared with the diabetic control group (Shatwan et al., 2013). Li et al. evaluated the anti-diabetic effects of enzymatically isolated insoluble (73.93 ± 0.62 g/100 g) and soluble (84.07 ± 0.82 g/100 g) barley fiber on HF diet treated rats (Li et al., 2020). Remarkably, the administration of barley insoluble fiber or barley soluble fiber prevented the progress of T2D, reflected by the reduced level of fasting blood glucose compared with the control diabetes group in the second, third, and fourth week of the experiment (p < 0.01; at fourth week, p < 0.05). Furthermore, serum level of insulin and the values of homeostatic model assessment of insulin resistance were found to be reduced in the barley soluble fiber-treated diabetic rats compared with those in the untreated diabetic rats (p < 0.01). The increased value of the quantitative insulin sensitivity check index confirmed the effects of barley soluble fiber treatment on insulin sensitivity (p < 0.01) (Li et al., 2020). A similar study involving four weeks old male C57BL/6J mice investigated the impact of a 20% barley flour containing either high β-glucan (HBG; 2% β-glucan) or low β-glucan (LGB; 0.6% β-glucan) diet on HF diet treated mice under conventional and germ-free conditions (Miyamoto et al., 2018). Additionally, these mice were fed either a HF diet with 5% cellulose (HFC; high fiber cellulose) or 5% barley β-glucan (HFB; high fiber β-glucan). This study showed that plasma glucose levels of HBG-fed mice tended to be lower than those of control mice (P = 0.087). Results indicated that the HBG diet suppressed the elevation of plasma glucose levels and the increase in body fat mass, preventing the HFD-fed mice from becoming obese (Miyamoto et al., 2018). Moreover, the modification of gut microbiota and the increase of SCFAs (especially butyrate) under conventional condition were observed, and the secretion of the gut hormones PYY and GLP-1 increased in HBG-fed mice, these all contributed to improve insulin sensitivity (Miyamoto et al., 2018). The therapeutic effect of malted barley extract on blood glucose and insulin level has also been investigated in genetically diabetic mice. Results from this study also showed a reduction in fasting blood glucose for the group of mice orally administered 62.5 mg/kg of body weight of malted barley compared to the control group (P < 0.05) (Hong and Jai Maeng 2004). To investigate the mechanism for the effects of barley β-glucan, Choi et al. fed three groups of male 7-week-old C57BL/6J mice with high-fat diets containing 0, 2, or 4% of barley β-glucan for 12 weeks (Choi et al., 2010). The 2 and 4% β-glucan groups had significantly lower body weights than the 0% β-glucan Group. The 4% β-glucan group demonstrated improved glucose tolerance, lower insulin-resistance index, and glucose-dependent insulinogetic levels. Consumption of 2 and 4% β-glucan for 12 weeks resulted in 6.4 and 4.5% reductions in body weight, respectively, compared with 0% β-glucan Group (P < 0.05), while no significant differences in food intake between the treatment groups were observed. Performed glucose tolerance tests after eleven weeks of β-glucan consumption indicated that 4% of β-glucan significantly increased the glucose response during 2-h glucose tolerance tests compared to the 0% β-glucan Group. The area under the curve values was significantly reduced in the 2% and 4% β-glucan Group, which indicates enhanced insulin sensitivity. Consumption of 4% β-glucan markedly reduced serum insulin compared with 0% β-glucan (from 550.1 ± 65.4 to 340.1 ± 43.1 pmol/L, respectively), even though insulin content in the pancreas was not significantly different. Consumption of 4% β-glucan significantly reduced serum glucose-dependent insulinogetic peptide compared with 0% β-glucan (Choi et al., 2010). Brockman’s study, which subjected fatty rats to glucose tolerance tests, verified the assumption that barley and its β-glucans content improves glucose control through better control of postprandial glycemia. At the end of this six-week study involving chronic consumption of whole grain barley flour, rats fed with WG barley flour had a significantly lower glycemic response and improved insulin resistance than the control group (Brockman et al., 2013).
In contrast to findings showing favorable GR after barley consumption, Belobrajdic et al. sought to test the hypothesis that barley β-glucan fermentation raises circulating incretin hormone levels and improves glucose control, independent of other grain components. Results from this study found that although WG barley β-glucan suppressed feed intake and increased cecal fermentation, it did not improve postprandial glucose control or insulin sensitivity in Male Sprague-Dawley rats (n = 30) fed a high-fat diet for six weeks and then randomly allocated to 1 of 3 dietary treatments for two weeks; low (LBG, 0% β-glucan) and high (HBG, 3% β-glucan) β-glucan diets contained 25% WG barley and similar levels of insoluble dietary fiber (Belobrajdic et al., 2015).

4. Mechanisms of the anti-diabetic effects of barley

The beneficial effect of barley on serum insulin, blood glucose, and diabetes moderation is credited to the viscous fiber, β-glucan. It has been hypothesized that this benefit may be a function of the physical properties, especially the viscous and gel-forming soluble DF component of barley (Bozbulut and Sanlier 2019; Schloermann and Glei 2017). Soluble barley fiber, especially β-glucan, creates increased viscosity in the gut, slowing down the digestion rate of high glycemic index foods, stomach emptying, and glucose absorption (Bozbulut and Sanlier 2019). Barley β-glucans might also reduce food digestibility by regulating water availability or altering the microstructure of food products (Brennan 2005). Another advanced idea is that colonic fermentation after a meal of soluble barley fiber may also contribute to subsequent meal improvements in postprandial glycemia. Furthermore, barley β-glucan may affect serum short-chain fatty acid concentration resulting from colonic fermentation of soluble fibers, which may positively affect glucose metabolism (Kim 2018). Soluble barley fibers may also alter the levels of gut hormones involved in appetite and satiety (Schroeder et al., 2009). Moreover, barley phytochemicals may play an essential role in moderating glycemic response by acting as anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant agents, both risk factors associated with diabetes (Belobrajdic and Bird 2013). Inhibition of amylase and delayed starch hydrolysis, or the reduction in diffusion to the small intestinal microvilli of amylolytic products, may also impact glycemic response after a barley treatment. It has also been projected that barley’s viscous nature helps develop an absorptive barrier layer through interactions with the mucosa.

4.1. Physiological effects from fiber

Barley is an essential source of dietary fiber as it contains about 15–24 g of dietary fiber per 100 g of WG on a dry weight basis. Fiber composition of different barley varieties ranges from 9% to greater than 30%, with fiber content present in soluble and insoluble forms and composition correlated with the amount of polymerization (Bai et al., 2019). For blood glucose management, barley’s soluble fiber component has been mainly credited for this benefit, especially β-glucan (Behall et al., 2006). In a 2008 study, Wang et al. reported that high viscose β-glucan solution extracted from barley exhibited a higher capacity to reduce total blood glucose (Henrion et al., 2019).

Barley β-glucans are linear polysaccharides linked by β-1,3/1,4 glycosidic bonds with the β-1,4-linked glucose chain (~70%) interrupted by β-1,3 linkages (~30%) (Goudar et al., 2020; Lazaridou and Biliaderis 2004). The structural difference of barley β-glucan compared with oat β-glucan is due to a slight difference in the ratio of the two central oligomer units, trisaccharide unit (DP3) and tetrasaccharide unit (DP4) (Figure 1) (Lazaridou et al., 2004; Mikelsen et al., 2013), which explains more than 90% of β-glucan structures. While the ratio of DP3 to DP4 in barley is 2.8–3.4, that of oat is 2.1–2.4 (Wood 2011). Another structure difference is barley has more β-1,4 linkages with a degree of polymerization higher than 4, and longer blocks of up to 14 adjacent exist (Vaikousi et al., 2004; Woodward et al., 1983). These structural features are important to β-glucan’s water solubility, viscosity, and gelation properties. Furthermore, the difference in molecular weight, viscoelasticity, conformational change in a solution, gelling properties, and interaction between other compounds are indicators of barley β-glucans functionality in food systems and its ability to affect postprandial response.

The positive effects of β-glucans on blood glucose, insulin response, and diabetic risk factors are well recognized. For example, the European Food Safety Authority approved a health claim for
lowering glucose response when at least 4 g of β-glucans per 30 g of available carbohydrates are consumed in a meal (Henrion et al., 2019). One mechanism for this effect was related to the physical property of dietary fiber in barley, such as the viscosity. Multiple studies have shown a dose-response effect of β-glucans on the attenuation of postprandial glycemic response due to increased intestinal viscosity (Hassan 2016; Henrion et al., 2019). Particularly, the high water holding capacity and gelling property of β-glucans form a viscous solution, which causes a slower gastric emptying rate, which, in turn, delays the delivery of the chyme to the intestine. At the same time, the resistant to digestion character hinders the carbohydrates in the chyme to be digested by the intestinal enzymes and delays the diffusion of glucose to the absorbing surface (Henrion et al., 2019). Thondre et al. reported a decrease in glycemic response occasioned by increased viscosity and delayed gastric emptying after high molecular weight barley β-glucan intake, highlighting the role of viscosity in diabetes moderation (Thondre et al., 2013). Tosh et al. also proposed a mechanism for β-glucans ability to impact glycemic response centers around its viscosity and rheological behavior, which may slow down starch transport by decelerating α-amylase diffusion towards its starch substrate. Additionally, a decrease in glucose absorption may arise from a reduction in the speed of sugars and α-dextrins distribution towards the intestinal epithelium (Tosh and Bordenave 2020). β-glucan’s resistant nature to digestion might also help explain its ability to improve postprandial blood glucose response.

While the larger concentration of fiber and β-glucan in barley compared to other cereal is thought to be the primary factor that influences its reported superior ability to favorably control diabetic risk factors, the structure and conformation of barley β-glucan may also play a role in its bioactivity. Besides, the rheological property of β-glucans play an important role in controlling blood glucose. Short-chain fatty acids (SCFA), and acting as prebiotics (Figure 2). SCFA are the primary bacterial metabolites of fiber. These metabolites have essential roles in metabolism, and their physiological relevance in diabetes moderation is receiving increased attention. High concentrations of SCFAs are assumed to be beneficial, as they can reduce hepatic glucose output and improve lipid homeostasis and may also influence the composition of the gut microbiota. SCFA such as acetate, propionate, and butyrate are also signal molecules that modulate glucose metabolism via distinct specific receptors. Through these receptors, such as the free fatty acid receptors, SCFA can increase the concentration of gastrointestinal hormones such as PYY and GLP-1 (Schloermann and Glei 2017). Both hormones play vital roles in altering diabetic risk factors as PYY induces glucose intake in muscle- and fat tissue, while GLP-1 indirectly reduces blood glucose concentration by increasing the insulin concentration and reducing the production of glucagon in the pancreas. Also, obesity is associated with a pro-inflammatory state of the adipose tissue, which is connected with insulin resistance that causes T2D. SCFA such as propionate are capable of inducing the satiety hormone leptin. They can also reduce inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, indicating that SCFA has anti-inflammatory effects in human adipose tissue. A study by Roe-lofelsen et al. found that WG products can offset any increase in glucose-induced TNF-α and IL-6. This ability to offset this increase was connected with an increase in plasma butyrate concentration, further suggesting that barley fiber can produce an SCFA profile that could proffer anti-inflammatory effect in diabetic cases (Roe-lofelsen et al., 2010). Furthermore, concerning energy and glucose metabolism, SCFAs suppress appetite by increasing the release of satiety hormones and stimulating vagal afferent chemoreceptors. It can boost energy expenditure by upregulating thermogenesis-related proteins in the liver and adipose tissue and also increase glucose-stimulated insulin secretion from pancreatic β-cells. Miyamoto et al.’s intervention study on glycemic response in diabetic mice found that β-glucan treatment improved glucose metabolism via SCFA. Increased levels of plasma PYY and GLP-1 were observed after barley flour diets, leading to the speculation that the suppression of food intake and improvement in insulin sensitivity
induced by the barley flour diets was due to the promotion of gut hormone secretion from enteroendocrine cells by SCFAs, likely involving SCFA receptors GPR41 and GPR43 (Miyamoto et al., 2018). Studies have shown that butyric acid could improve insulin sensitivity via promotion of energy expenditure, regulation of histone deacetylases activity, and induction of mitochondria function (Gao et al., 2009; Khan and Jena 2016). Interestingly, in a study by Li et al. on T2D, it was observed that barley treatment increased the caecal levels of butyric acid together with improved insulin sensitivity (Li et al., 2020). Thus, indicating that increased insulin sensitivity by butyric acid signaling might be another mechanism by which barley ameliorates diabetic complications.

Barley dietary fiber can serve as prebiotics as they are converted to SCFAs by gut microbiota and can facilitate beneficial probiotics (García-Mazcorro et al., 2018; Kovatcheva-Datchary et al., 2015; Sandberg et al., 2019). In a db/db mouse study, barley intake was reported to increase Prevotella and Lactobacillus abundances while decreasing plasma insulin and resistin levels. In a human study exploring the modulatory impact of barley kernel-based bread on diabetes risk factors (Kovatcheva-Datchary et al., 2015), Petra Kovatcheva-Datchary and colleagues found that barley kernel-based bread acted as prebiotics by increasing Prevotella/Bacteroides ratio. The prebiotic action of the barley kernel-based bread ultimately induced improvements in glucose metabolism. In a metagenomic analysis where responders’ gut microbiota was enriched with Prevotella copri and then transplanted to germ-free mice, germ-free mice exhibited improved glucose metabolism. This improvement was associated with an increased abundance of Prevotella and liver glycogen content compared to germ-free mice that received non-responder microbiota. Cristina Teixeira and colleagues (Teixeira et al., 2018) found that barley β-glucan increased the butyrate-producing bacteria, Lactobacillus, Blautia, and Allobaculum in rat models, thus indicating that barley β-glucan can improve glucose response via the production of butyrate.

4.3. Role of phytochemicals

Barley contains myriad of phytochemicals, including phenolic acid, flavonoids, phenolamides, and hordatines (Figure 3) (Ferreres et al., 2009; Holtekjølen et al., 2006; Idehen et al., 2017; Martinez et al., 2018; Pihlava 2014; Seikel and Bushnell 1959; Seikel and Geissman 1957). The most abundant phytochemicals in barley are phenolic acid, ferulic acid, and p-coumaric acid, mainly found in their bound forms. Some studies have shown that probiotic strains can free up these phenolic acids (Hole et al., 2012), and that bound phenolic acids are more effective than their free forms (Rondini et al., 2004). The bound ferulic acid content of different barley varieties has been reported to range between 403–723 mg/kg, including lutonarin (1.5 mg/kg) and saponarin (2.0 mg/kg), and their dimers hordatines. Among these phytochemicals, hordatine conjugated phenolamides and hordatines are potential antidiabetic agents. Woo-Duck Seo and colleagues (Seo et al., 2015) found that the administration of barley sprout extract for 12 weeks lowered fasting glu-
cose levels in the plasma and improved insulin sensitivity in mice fed a high-fat diet. In that same study, the molecular mechanism of saponarin for regulating insulin sensitivity was investigated. Additionally, saponarin in barley can suppress the rate of gluconeogenesis and increase cellular glucose uptake in HepG2 and TE671 cells by activating AMPK in a calcium-dependent manner. Thus, barley flavonoids might also contribute to barley’s antidiabetic effect with its benefits obtained through the consumption of barley malts or barley grass.

5. Conclusion

Barley is a high-fiber, low-fat WG with a low-energy-density that aligns with recommendations for a low-calorie, high-fiber diet for glycemic control. The mechanism by which barley reduces postprandial glucose levels is thought to be mainly by increasing the intestinal contents’ viscosity, which reduces carbohydrate digestion and absorption. The viscosity of barley and barley β-glucan is determined by its molecular weight and the amount solubilized in the intestine. From a structure point, barley β-glucan is a linear chain polysaccharide made of units of β-D-glucopyranosyl residues linked by 1,3 and 1,4 glycosidic bonds. The β-1,3 linkage breaks up the uniform structure, allowing it to form viscous solutions upon solubilization. The rheology and structure of β-glucan through several mechanisms play an essential role in reducing postprandial blood glucose via fermentability and creating high viscosity solutions in humans to delay gastric emptying. Different mechanisms that would explain barley’s glucose and insulin lowering effects have been suggested. Significant considerations focus on the formation of SCFA in the colon and the interaction between barley β-glucan and gut microbiota by playing as the prebiotics. Additionally, barley’s phytochemicals also play a role in maintaining a healthy gut microbiota that can alter postprandial glucose response. However, the interplay between fiber, microbiota, and phytochemicals in diabetes moderation still needs to be thoroughly investigated and understood. A well-designed human study investigating the long-term benefits of barley and barley β-glucan con-
sumption among individuals with diabetes is also highly required.
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