Teacher’s performance is the main key of school organization's success. As the key, teachers' genuineness is highlighted to be the most demanding of the school operations. They are actors, patrons, front liner workers, and models for the future. It shows that the role of teachers is the center of school operations. In the same situations, school operations are affected by school culture and quality management practices that enhance the teachers to do their duties properly. Teachers’ performances are tied to the culture and total quality management practices of the schools. The purpose of this research is to elaborate the relationships between implementation of total quality management practices, organizational culture and teacher’s performance. The research results indicate that there is a strong relationship between total quality management practices and teacher’s performance. Also there is a moderate relationship between organizational culture and teacher’s performance. While the relationship between total quality management and organization culture is moderate relationship. Both total quality management practices and organizational culture positively and significantly affect teacher’s performance.
goals (Ferreira et al., 2012). The performance evaluations are also useful for comparing amongst industrial players either for internal or external. As an alternative, a skilled, motivated, and flexible workforce can help develop a company’s sustainable core competencies (Levine, 1995). Managers as well as supervisors are consequently turning to push employees to generate competitive strategy. Certain human resource management practices are suspected of supporting better firm operation and performances.

Scholars of strategic human resource management (SHRM) have turned their attention to employee performance, due to enabling the company to set aside goals and lead by empowering employee components. To enhance this mutually reinforcing bundle, human resource practices endorse and facilitate synergistic time, training for getting high employee commitment, high involvement and high performance. In this paper we use “high employee performance” to show that the organizational culture empowers and provides employees with quality skills, information, motivation and latitude. Principally, organizational culture can enhance employee performance. More and more, research suggests that the impact of organizational culture towards employee performance is fundamentally the foundation of career developments (Pinho et al., 2014; Klein, 2013; Xenikou, 2006; Rashid et al., 2003).

As a set of values and norms, organizational culture provides covenant values of general criteria, standards or guiding principles that employees use to determine which types of behaviors, events, situations, and outcomes are desirable or undesirable. The rules and contract agreement between an employee and organization is a guideline of how an organization is operated. Understanding of organization environments such as organization structures, working policies, career development paths, social interactions amongst teamwork are mandatory. This is because organizational culture controls the way members make decisions, the way they interpret and manage the organizational environment, what they do with information, and how they behave. So, organizational culture affects an organization’s performance as well as employee job performance.

Another research that has relation on employee performance is total quality management practice approaches (Carlos et al., 2011; Christos & Evangelos, 2011; Baird et al., 2011; Saez-Albacete et al., 2011; Hasan & Kerr, 2003). Most of the quality management practices focus on pushing employee performance either by teamwork or individual performance. Quality management practice particularly from quality award systems such as the Malcolm Baldridge National Quality Award, European National Quality Award and International for Standard of Organization find out that one criterion of quality measurement focus on employee performance of doing quality’s product. It means that the employee is one of the most critical of quality management practices.

Connecting to the organizational culture systems, management quality practice is the role of how business system is done. Total quality management practice shows a radical change of organizational culture in which the new management model focus is customer driven (Besterfield et al., 1999:3; George & Weimerskirch, 1994:3). Total quality management is an organization-wide challenge that every employee is responsible to do the right thing correctly the first time. Those affected by the plan must be involved in its development and implementation. They understand the process better than anyone else. Changing behavior is the goal. Employees must come to work not only to do their job, but also to think about how to improve their job. This is the way that job performance genuinely done.

In summary, the objectives of the study are:
1. To examine the association between total quality management practices and teacher
performance in Seventh Day Adventist (SDA) high schools of West Indonesia.

2. To examine the association between organizational culture and teacher performance in SDA high schools of West Indonesia.

3. To examine the association between total quality management practice with the extent of organizational culture factors in SDA high schools of West Indonesia.

Review of Related Literature

Teacher Performance

For an organization, the result of the work of employees (teachers) became the basis of the success of the organization. That is why the performance of employees will determine the performance of the organization, because the organization's success depends on the results of employee performance (Cuyper et al., 2014). To determine the performance of the employee, then the first organizational structuring and formulation will be the performance itself in general, in order to obtain good sequences in generating employee performance measurement (in this case focusing on teacher performance). To make performance measurement more clear, then it is necessary to understand the notion or definition of performance. Performance is the result of the achievement of employee accordance with the provisions of the rights, obligations and responsibilities granted to a worker in the job description (Ivancevich, 2007:253; Byars & Ru, 2006: 222). In the job description of the employee, obligations and responsibilities that has to be done according to the provision of the time schedule that was given (e.g. daily, weekly, monthly and yearly completion) are described. In the rights and responsibilities, the scope of work is clearly stated, as well as the work to be done and work targets that must be implemented. If all of the rights and responsibilities of employees in the job description are fulfilled, then the employee is said to have good performance. This definition certainly applies in general performance, so for any form of organization, the definition of performance is conceptually similar but can differ between the job descriptions of the employees with another since the operational implementation differs from one organization to another.

Whereas the form of performance itself may vary from one employee to another. Performance of a carpenter, for example, differs from the performance of a bank employee, a post office employee performance differs from the performance of an artist or actor. But substantially, performance indicates the level of achievement of a person on the work assigned to him. So any work that is carried out by someone, the form of performance can be seen from the results of the work performed (Yang & Huang, 2014).

In order for the shape of performance to be known, then a job performance evaluation needs to be made. The job performance evaluation itself is made to determine the extent to which the planned work implementation process has been executed as originally planned. Whether a work plan will be executed or not is visible through an evaluation tool that has been. In performance evaluation, "what has worked and what has not been done" will be seen. Because performance evaluation ensures "whether the work plan has been carried out through the work planned in accordance with the specified time," it serves as a performance evaluation tool to measure the activities of the organization through all the employees involved.

If the performance evaluation has been done, then the benefits for both parties, which are the employees themselves and the organization, through leadership, will be seen (Bacha, 2014). The benefits of employee performance evaluation – in this case the teacher – will be clearly visible; for example, the results of the performance of the employee (teacher) in one semester or one academic year. The results of the employee performance evaluation will be clear because the source comes from the employees themselves, where the evaluator is a superior, students for
teachers, and others involved in the organizations. From the results of the evaluation, it will be clear what is achieved and what is not achieved. It shows transparency between the parties involved in the organization.

There could be factors that affect or influence the performance of teacher so that it becomes the attention of the organization to consider. A worker can produce a much better job than other colleagues. Better success can be achieved if the employee is already familiar with the work being done through experience and education so that the facts in the field of work is mastered clearly and thoroughly done in accordance with the facts that are there (often called declarative knowledge). Work that is technically mastered (hands-on job) and common management leaves work innovations to be made so that the work is easier to get done.

In order for performance to be better, then the techniques of management skills and expertise to understand the working environment such as (1) the scope of work with a work team, (2) the scope of work with a superior, (3) the scope of work with different departments, (4) work environment with other parties concerned, needs to be known thoroughly so that the scope and link of the work being done is properly well-known (Baumgartner et al., 2014). Because by knowing the scope and working relationships (links) then the employee knows the direction and impact of the work being done by other parties. For example, knowing the relationship between the work being done with the work of superiors.

If the work being done is late, it will result in the disruption of the work of superiors. For the comprehension of task, the relationship between the work and the work ethic must be understood, in order to realize the impact the work of co-workers, superiors, and work of other people (often called procedural knowledge).

Good or bad performance is not only seen from the quantity that can be produced by an individual at work, but is also measured by quality. To determine an individual’s performance (employee and/or teacher), a performance standard must be set in advance. Because the standard of performance is the benchmark for a comparison between what has been done with what is expected to be done, or targeted according to the job or position that has been entrusted to an individual (Bhatti et al., 2014). Performance standards can also be made as a measuring tool for accountability of what has been done or achieved compared to the work target that has been achieved.

The standard of performance for each employee could be different; it depends on the field of work and the type of work. Performance standards refer to the organizational goals set out or described in the job description on each of the respective functional management or department or faculty of teaching personnel (lecturers) of a college. Faculty performance standards will be different from factory worker standards because each has a different job specification. Other aspects that can be made as a measuring tool for performance is speed, quality, service, value, interpersonal skills, mental attitude towards success, openness to change, creativity, communication skills, initiative, and personal planning to be the best in working.

For the organization or company, employee performance becomes a base for the success of the organization. That is why the performance of employees will determine the performance of the organization, because the organization’s success depends on the results of employee performance.

Total Quality Management Practice
Business management through quality has grown phenomenally, especially since it was introduced by the pioneering quality teacher such as Deming, Juran, Ishikawa, Feigenbaum, Crosby, Taguchi, Romig (Foster, 2007:36-54; www.dti.uk/quality/gurus) and others starting in 1940 until today. Even
national quality approach was a phenomena. This can be evidenced by the existence of Total Quality Management (TQM) approach initiated by the United States of America. Awards for quality are given to accomplished organizations and can meet the quality standards “a la America,” namely the Malcom Baldrige National Quality Award, in which one of the targets of quality control is Six Sigma.

Whatever form of quality management approach is implemented, practitioners realize that quality is a sustainable competitive advantage and competence of an organization to keep growing (Yunis et al., 2013). The objective of quality approach is the same, which is to create product quality (goods and services) for customers. So the main quality objective for organizations is the customers. Then for the organizations, the customers in place are as the first and main in the organizational structure. Although it is recognized that the customers, the micro-external part of that organization itself but in the sense of operational structure, organization, and customers are the main part of internal that has to be noted because with the customers, an organization can grow.

If your organization wants to implement quality management, make sure that the following steps are in the operating system that is being executed; make sure that quality is a never-ending journey toward competitive advantage, segment your business according to your customers and your competition, be aware of your position, comparing yourself to your competitors, define your profitability by segment, product and customer, determine the strength of your positions, find out what you do well and why you are successful in your business, determine the attractiveness of your markets, learn about the views of your customers and what that means for how you do business, decide where to innovate in your business, decide the steps to take for short-term improvements (Koch & Nieuwenhuize, 2006:45).

Some practitioners emphasize that quality approach is a competitive strategy and a tool for leading in competition. As a strategy and tool, the strategy approach must be strategic (Ortega et al., 2010; Porter; 2009) by using quality tools as the main reference of all employees. What is meant by quality tools are all parties related directly and indirectly in the organization such as all levels of employees – front line workers, supervisors, managers, top management, shareholders; facility tools, partners (suppliers, agents), and others. This shows that there is a shift in philosophy from competing through price to competing through quality (Besterfield et al., 1999: 3; George & Weimerskirch, 1994: 3). Because both producers and consumers are aware that quality as a need in fulfilling needs and wants. That is why there is a wedge in the importance of quality jointly between quality standards according to the customers and quality standards according to producers.

Critical factors in order for total quality management practices to run properly on the organization lies in (1) leadership, (2) internal collaboration, (3) customer focus, (4) continuous improvement, (5) management process, (6) employee involvement and commitment, employee fulfillment, (7) Employee training, (8) suppliers quality, (9) quality information and analysis systems, (10) use of statistical quality techniques, (11) strategic quality plan and policy, (12) Creation of quality organizational culture, (13) The use of external consultants, (14) management by facts, (15) The design of the organizational structure, (16) infrastructure innovation , and (17) social environment (Talib et al., 2013; Parast, 2011; Bell & Omachonu 2011; Baird et al., 2011; Fotopoulos & Psomas, 2010; Zu 2009; Jung et al., 2009; Arumugam et al., 2008; Fening et al., 2008; Ueno, 2008; Lagrosen, 2007; Demirbag et al., 2006; Feng et al., 2006; Lakhal et al. 2006; Hoang et al., 2006; Manaf, 2005; Prajogo & Dermot, 2005; Khanna et al., 2004; Lagrosen & Lagrosen, 2003; Prajogo & Sohal, 2003; Pan, 2003).
Although total quality management practices researchers above gave the research results, based on the facts available, the company that received an award is a company which followed the dimensions of quality according to the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award itself. The reference dimension for measuring performance constructs total quality management practices in this study will refer to the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award since it has been tested and recognized internationally. There are seven of total quality management practices has been implemented on the Malcolm Baldrige National Quality Award; (1) leadership, (2) strategic planning, (3) customer and market knowledge, (4) analysis of information and knowledge management, (5) human resource focus, (6) process management, (7) business results (Foster, 2007: 70-78; Besterfield, 1999: 160-170).

Organizational Culture
Organization as a collection of people who cooperate and work together will form a lifestyle that is in accordance with the behavior of individuals in accordance to the environment created by the togetherness. Because in one’s daily life, an individual cannot be separated from the surrounding environment. An employee’s personality will be shaped by the lifestyle that occurs with co-workers who are working together. Togetherness will form an interaction norms, behaviors and values that will form a joint understanding in carrying out each task according to the job and responsibility of each employee in the company (Jones, 2010). Said togetherness includes who is working on what and the division of the work tasks to each of the work team member. It is certain that the division of tasks and responsibilities in accordance with the knowledge and work experience of each member of the organization.

The formation of a partnership of individuals within the organization shows the harmonization in achieving a common goal through the values of faith; joint hopes to achieve a common interest in the organization formed, where the organization formed will have shared values and agreement in accordance with the goal of the organization. Development of a strong and continuous togetherness and the form of solid cooperation thus create an individual leadership development (Corner, 2014). Mutual acceptance of individuals with all values between individuals within a single organization is called organizational culture.

Organizational culture consists of cultural behavior of individuals who worked together and cooperated for the purpose of the organization. Each individual (employee) in the organization needs to understand the interests of the organization as a whole in order to create a personal commitment in developing an advanced organization, excellent and of high quality standards, helpful, and hard working. Because the organization culture will be run only when the organization’s values commitment are agreed upon and implemented together (Ortega-Parra & Sastre-Castillo, 2013).

Organizational culture is a key foundation for working practice either for individual task or teamwork task and can be a source of competitive advantage if appropriately nurtured, learnt and shared (Klein, 2011). Organizational culture may influence individual commitment and performance by setting the practices and values for a positive, meaningful work climate. Juechter et al, (1998) urges that employees at all levels and employee involvement (teamwork, capability development and empowerment) positively influence outcomes measures.

Consistency of organizational culture and work cultural processes refers to execution or refers to customer service, strong work responsibilities and cooperation will only be obtained if the leaders and employees understand their respective roles in making employment decisions. The role of leadership to give freedom to subordinates to do the best job according to the level of work and
make appropriate decisions and responsibilities in the work environment is a process that is believed to work for the freedom of individual employees (Taormina, 2008; Chang & Lee, 2007; Xenikou & Simosi, 2006). Such an approach is usually giving employees the flexibility to make their own decisions on the employment situation at hand.

The belief of superiors in making subordinates braver in making work decisions according to their own job responsibilities will make the existence of flexibility solve work problems. The process of organizational culture is often called proactive teamwork (Erkutlu, 2013), a coaching culture (McComb, 2012), and innovative culture strategy (Naranjo-Valencia et al., 2011).

Generally, types of organization culture consist of (1) clan culture. A clan culture has an internal focus and values flexibility rather than stability and control. It values employee commitment loyalty, empowerment, openness, morale, participation, teamwork, personal involvement and cohesiveness, putting emphasis on flexibility. Clan cultures are related to enhanced level of trust, while they constrain conflict and resistance to change; (2) adhocracy culture. An adhocracy culture has an external focus and values flexibility. It stresses entrepreneurship, creativity, proactiveness, and innovativeness in discovering new markets and direction for growth. It is characterised by flexibility, adaptability and external orientation; (3) market culture. A market culture has strong external focus and values stability and control. It emphasizes goal achievement, productivity, task accomplishment, profitability, planning and setting objectives and efficiency, reflecting its external orientation and favouring stability and control; (4) hierarchy culture. A hierarchy culture is the driving force within a hierarchical culture. It has an internal focus, which produces a more formalized and structured work environment, and values stability and control over flexibility. It’s also focuses on order, uniformity, certainty, stability, and control, reflecting inward orientation and formalized structures. Rules and regulations, definition of responsibilities, centralization of decision making, standardization of procedures, measurement, dependability and reliability are values deeply espoused (Trivellas & Dargenidou, 2009; Kinicki & Kreitner, 2009:39-44).

Another approach is call organizational culture based on performance (Gupta, 2011; Levine, 2006) includes: (1) courage to accommodate individual employee mindset according to the environmental needs of society, (2) utilization of information technology, (3) utilization of teamwork, (4) knowledge sharing among employees and (5) multitasking work until the completion of the work refers more to teamwork togetherness.. All five of these are called factors of an organizational critical success (Al-Alawi et al., 2007). Such performance-based approach is required in addition to the role of individuals and their expertise; it also takes the willingness of cooperation between teamwork. In a high performance work organization, the basic premise is to create an internal environment that supports customer needs and expectations (Varma et al., 1999).

Relationship between Total Quality Management Practices and Organization Culture
Kaluarachchi (2010); Trivelas & Dargenidou (2009); and Prajogo & McDermot (2005) elaborate the relationship between total quality management practices and organizational culture. Total quality management practices needs an organizational culture based on individuals as well as organizations’ leaders support and teamwork commitment to fulfill quality requirements (Oliver, 2009; Leaonard & McAdam, 2002). Quality management practices needs employee support, innovative and dependable employees doing things right the first time. Organizational behavior that empowers its employee would do things right the first time and also do the right things based on high job performance. These criterias are predominant of quality management standards that require cultural managerial changes.
associated with total quality management. To adopt Lean Six Sigma the organizational culture’s behavior is needed (Bhasin, 2013).

Relationship between Total Quality Management and Teacher’s Job Performance
It is important to note that strategic quality management is the role of doing business to get customer loyalty. By doing so, the organizational performance synergy is encouraging to push employee performance. This challenge needs performing operational effectiveness to set ambitious goals (Leonard & McAdam, 2002). One of the Malcolm Baldridge National Quality Award criteria is human resources focus. It shows that employee performance is mandatory for getting award, therefore total quality management is enhanced to develop the employee by providing regular training and development (Lau et al., 2004).

Quality management studies have attempted to identify the key quality management practices on which the success of a total quality management process is based. As a result, total quality management is linked and associated to organizational performance throughout its multilevel employees such as employee’s involvement on training (Salaheldin, 2009; Arumugam et al., 2008) and increase employees performance achievement (Zaiiri et al., 1994). Total quality management built and empower its employee having high moral, build good teamwork and persuasive to customer relationship (Lakhal et al., 2006).

Relationship between Organizational Culture and Teacher’s Job Performance
As an organization, school operational culture enhancing its activities behavior to vision, mission and goals. Of course, there is a standard that schools have to be followed either based on internal standard or external standard. Internally, organizational culture is affected by organizational philosophy while externally, school culture have to be adapted to the environment requirements such as government regulations, education department regulations, social regulations, etc. It shows that schools demonstrated some issues with culture. The culture that supports organizational values conveys their internact to employee job performance (Schmieded et al., 2013; Chang & Lee, 2007).

The organizational culture is an important component in the field of organizational behavior, particularly in trying to better the measurement of employee job performance (Lee & Yu, 2004; Rashid et al., 2003). This implies that organizational culture can affect the success of the organization to achieve goals and objectives. While for achieving goals and objectives, the organization needs a motivated employee and good employees’ job performance. This link has to be synchronized one with another (Taormina, 2009).

Research Questions Framework and Hypothesis
Based on the above literature review, a research framework is developed to simultaneously examine the relationships between total quality management practices, organizational culture and teacher’s job performance. The framework is presented in Figure 1.

The primary research questions of this study can be articulated as follows:
1. Do total quality management practices – that have been successfully proven as significantly and positively related to organizational culture – have a similar predictive power against teacher’s job performance?
2. Is there any significant relationship between organizational culture and teacher’s performance? If yes, what is the nature of relationship?

Hypothesis
1. Total quality management has a significantly positive effect on the teacher’s performance.
2. Organizational culture has a significantly
positive effect on the teacher’s performance.  
3. Total quality management practice and 
organizational culture are positively and 
mutually influential.  
4. Total quality management and organizational 
culture have a significantly positive effect on 
the teacher’s performance.

METHODS
Research instrument
In designing instrument, the use of variables has 
played an important role in management research. 
All of the independent and dependent variables are 
measured with multi items, and SPSS 18 was 
employed for examining variables.

The instrument developed consist three major 
parts respectively total quality management 
practices, organizational culture, teacher’s job 
performance. The instrument used is a five-point 
Likert scale, representing range of attitudes from 
strongly disagree to strongly agree.

Sample and data collection
The study has been done by using structured 
questionnaire surveys with closed-ended 
questions, which has been distributed to the 
teacher of Seventh Day Adventist (SDA) high 
schools in West Indonesia. A total of 115 
questionnaire distributed but only a total of 83 
of respondents completed and returned the 
questionnaire to the researcher.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS
To solicit answer on the hypothesis given in 
the research, the following results of the study 
are given. Table 1 shows that there is strong 
relationship between Total Quality Management 
Practices and Teacher’s Performance ($r = 0.682$). 
Since the significance value (sig.) is equal to 0.000, 
which is lesser than alpha ($\alpha$) of 5%, this means 
that the relationship was significant. So, there was 
a significant positive correlation between Total 
Quality Management Practices and Teacher’s 
Performance.

Table 2 shows that there is moderate relationship 
between Organizational Culture and Teacher’s 
Performance ($r = 0.477$). Since the significance 
value (sig.) is equal to 0.000, which is lesser than 
alpha ($\alpha$) of 5%, this means that the relationship 
was significant.
We can conclude that there was a significant positive correlation between Organizational Culture and Teacher’s Performance. Since the significance value (sig.) is equal to 0.000, which is lesser than alpha (α) of 5%, this means that the relationship was significant. We can conclude that there was a significant positive correlation between Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Culture.

The result within table 3 shows that there is a moderate relationship between Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Culture ($r = 0.514$). Since the significance value (sig.) is equal to 0.000, which is lesser than alpha (α) of 5%, this means that the relationship was significant. We can conclude that there was a significant positive correlation between Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Culture.

### Table 1. Relationship between Total Quality Management Practices and Teacher’s Performance

| Spearman’s rho | TQM | TEACHER PERFORMANCE |
|----------------|-----|---------------------|
| Spearman’s rho | Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,682** |
| N | 83 |
| Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 |
| N | 83 |

Notes:
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

### Table 2. Relationship between Organizational Culture and Teacher’s Performance

| Spearman’s rho | TEACHER PERFORMANCE | ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE |
|----------------|---------------------|
| Spearman’s rho | Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,477** |
| N | 83 |
| Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 |
| N | 83 |

Notes:
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

### Table 3. Relationship between Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Culture

| Spearman’s rho | TQM | ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE |
|----------------|-----|-------------------------|
| Spearman’s rho | Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,514** |
| N | 83 |
| Correlation Coefficient | 1.000 |
| Sig. (2-tailed) | ,000 |
| N | 83 |

Notes:
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)
The summary of table 4, i.e. the explanation for The Relationships between Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Culture onto Teacher's Performance.

R-square value in Table 4 indicates that 56.4% of Teacher Performance affected by Total Quality Management Practices and Organization Culture factors, while 43.6% of Teacher's Performance affected by another factors.

Furthermore, the Durbin-Watson value which is equals 1.602 indicate that there was no autocorrelation.

The ANOVA table shows that the significance value is 0.000 which is less than alpha (α) of 5%. This means that Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Capital simultaneously significantly affect Teacher’s Performance or there is a significant relationship Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Culture and Teacher’s Performance.

Considering the significance value (sig.) column within Table 6, we can see that for Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Culture, the significant value were equals to 0.000 which is less than alpha of 5%. The meaning is Total Quality Management Practices affected Teacher's
Performance and also Organizational Culture affected Teacher’s Performance significantly.

Furthermore the prediction of Teacher’s Performance is that if the Total Quality Management Practices and Organizational Culture known can be done through the regression equation \( Y = 0.044 X_1 + 0.311 X_2 + 4.712 \); with \( Y = \) Teacher’s Performance; \( X_1 = \) Total Quality Management Practices, and \( X_2 = \) Organizational Culture.

**Discussion**

Many studies have analyzed the implementation of total quality management practices in the firms (Baird et al., 2011; Lakhal et al., 2006; Prajogo & Sohal, 2003; Hasan & Kerr, 2003) but few have considered explicitly the influence and relationship to the teacher’s performance. The goal of this study is to clarify whether differences in the implementation of total quality management practice to the teacher’s performance as well as to organizational culture.

The result of total quality management practices specifically is not focus to the individu of employee and its performance. Although we believe that total quality management practices empowering all employee to do their best. It means, teachers as an individual grand commitment to serve student is a mandatory. There is positive morale of giving heart and mind being a teacher in the school. Most of total quality management practices concern to enhancing teamwork and pushing teamwork serve the customers right on first time. Therefore it’s true that total quality management practices provide better business results. The logic is that good school has good teacher’s performance and total quality management practices provided school’s quality as well as quality of teacher’s performance.

The role of organizational culture to teacher’s performance is the basic of organization’s behavioral operations. Every employee is featured with various characteristic and behavioral within organizational culture. It’s mean that by seeing and knowing the way employee conducts daily activities it shows the real organizational culture. However, organization culture is a potret of an organization itself. That’s why organization culture can positively and significantly affect the operation of organization (Chang & Lee, 2007). Specifically, organization culture has a significant relationship between organization culture and teacher’s performance. This finding shows an equality and or similarity to the result of this research. Therefore, nurturing and restructuring organizational culture are considered as an alternative for synergizing teacher’s performance.
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