Nonadiabatic creation of macroscopic superpositions with strongly correlated 1D bosons on a ring trap
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We consider a strongly interacting quasi-one dimensional Bose gas on a tight ring trap subjected to a localized barrier potential. We explore the possibility to form a macroscopic superposition of a rotating and a nonrotating state under nonequilibrium conditions, achieved by a sudden quench of the barrier velocity. Using an exact solution for the dynamical evolution in the impenetrable-boson (Tonks-Girardeau) limit, we find an expression for the many-body wavefunction corresponding to a superposition state. The superposition is formed when the barrier velocity is tuned close to multiples of integer or half-integer number of Coriolis flux quanta. As a consequence of the strong interactions, we find that (i) the state of the system can be mapped onto a macroscopic superposition of two Fermi spheres, rather than two macroscopically occupied single-particle states as in a weakly interacting gas, and (ii) the barrier velocity should be larger than the sound velocity to better discriminate the two components of the superposition.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Macroscopic superpositions are at the heart of quantum information devices as they realize quantum two-level systems (Qubits). Qubits can either be of single particle nature (single atom, single spin), or realized using collective degrees of freedom whose low-energy spectrum reduces to two discrete states (eg collective internal state transitions for Rydberg atoms [1], and current states in superconducting SQUIDs [2]). Collective-mode superpositions are expected to be better protected against some forms of decoherence such as particle losses, since quantum correlations are spread over several single particle modes and the loss of one particle does not imply the destruction of the collective mode, hence allowing the superposition to survive. On the other hand, multimode superpositions imply the use of several different single particle states, and hence typically have a limited degree of entanglement.

Ultracold atomic gases are interesting candidates for the realization of macroscopic superpositions due to their high purity and tunability. Most of the current proposals are based on two-mode Bose-Josephson junctions [3–5]. Experimental advances in the realization of ring traps [6, 12] make it realistic to consider other macroscopic superpositions, eg the (collective-mode) superposition of superfluid states carrying different values of angular momentum [13, 16], where the coupling between angular-momentum states is provided by a localized barrier which breaks translational invariance; an artificial gauge field (or rotation) [17] gives rise to tunability equivalent to magnetic flux in a SQUID. As a consequence of the ring periodicity, the energy levels of the many-particle system as a function of the flux Φ associated with the artificial gauge field are periodic with period $\Phi_0 = 2\pi \hbar / m$, being the atomic mass. In an adiabatic protocol, equally-weighted superposition states can be realized by tuning the flux near a half-integer value of the ratio $\Phi / \Phi_0$, in correspondence to an avoided level-crossing [16].

In the case of a quasi-1D tightly-confining ring trap the possibility of creating macroscopic superpositions has been considered in detail, both for lattice and continuum models [15]. With respect to an ideal Bose gas, weak repulsive interactions induce small energy-level splittings which are harmful to the superpositions. Quite interestingly, in the strongly-interacting limit of impenetrable bosons (or Tonks-Girardeau limit) this drawback is overcome. Due to its fermionized character [19], the Tonks-Girardeau (TG) gas displays the same energy splittings as for noninteracting bosons [16]. Moreover, due to its impenetrability, two- and three-body losses are suppressed in a TG gas [20], thus eliminating one of the main sources of decoherence in ultracold gases. The TG gas is therefore a very promising candidate for the realization of macroscopic superpositions of current states, as can be obtained, eg, by setting into motion a localized barrier potential. In the TG limit, creation of stationary superposition states with velocity 0 and $2\pi \hbar / mL$, $L$ being the ring circumference can be obtained by an adiabatic switching on of the barrier to a velocity $\pi \hbar / mL$ corresponding to half a Coriolis flux quantum [16]. Such superpositions have maximal useful correlations for interferometry [22, 23], with applications to ultra-precise atomic gyroscopes.

We focus in this work on a sudden switch on of the barrier motion to velocity $v$ multiple of $\pi \hbar / mL$. We find that it gives rise to Rabi-like oscillations between states with velocity components 0 and $2v$, similarly to what has been observed for a superlattice ring [21]. At specific times, we find an equally-weighted macroscopic superposition of two multiparticle states with velocity components 0 and $2v$. In view of the multimode aspects of the strongly correlated TG state, several questions are open regarding such a novel superposition, in
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We consider $N$ impenetrable bosons on a ring of circumference $L$ at zero temperature, subjected to the stirring delta-barrier potential $U(x,t)$. The Hamiltonian is
\[ \hat{H}_B = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right] + U(x_j, t) + \sum_{j<k} g_{\delta}(x_j - x_k) \]

where in particular the Tonks-Girardeau regime corresponds to the limit $g \to \infty$ and the interaction term can be replaced by the condition that the many-body wavefunction vanishes at contact between each pair of bosons, $\Psi_B(x_1...x_N) = \Psi_B(x_1...x_N) = 0$. As we want to describe the ring geometry, we impose periodic boundary conditions $\Psi_B(x_1...x_N) = \Psi_B(x_1...x_N + L)...$ for any $j = 1...N$. The exact solution for the many-body wavefunction is obtained by the time-dependent Bose-Fermi mapping [24],
\[ \Psi_B(x_1...x_N, t) = \mathcal{A}(1/\sqrt{N!}) \delta[\psi_j(x_m, t)] \]

where $\mathcal{A} = \Pi_{j<k} \text{sgn}(x_j - x_k)$ is the mapping function, with $\text{sgn}(x) = 1$ for $x > 0$ and $\text{sgn}(x) = -1$ for $x < 0$. The orbitals $\psi_j(x, t)$ are obtained from the solution of the time-dependent Schroedinger equation
\[ i\hbar \partial_t \psi_j(x,t) = \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + U_0 \delta(x-vt) \right) \psi_j(x,t). \]

These questions can be addressed by our fully microscopic, analytical solution for the dynamical evolution by mapping onto a Fermi gas [24]. We obtain the exact expression for the wavefunction of the superposition state, which schematically reads $|\Psi\rangle \propto \mathcal{A}_{-k_F<k<k_F} [c_k^+ + c_{k+q_0}^+ + c_{k+q_0}^-] |\text{vac}\rangle$, where $c_k$ is the creation operator of a fermion with momentum $k$, $\mathcal{A}$ is the mapping function from fermions to bosons and $k_F = \pi N/L$ is the Fermi wavevector of the mapped Fermi gas. Such an entangled, correlated many-body superposition is only accessible through an out-of-equilibrium drive. Furthermore, our microscopic approach allows to obtain the time of formation of the superposition, and to set constraints on the excitation process. Finally, it allows to simulate the time-of-flight signal which is the standard probe used in experiments.

III. STATE OF THE SYSTEM UNDER THE STIRRING DRIVE

We choose as initial condition for the TG gas its ground state in the presence of a nonmoving barrier, ie a Fermi sphere for the mapped Fermi gas built with the orbitals $\psi_j(x, 0) = \phi_j^{(0)}(x)$, eigenvectors of the Schroedinger equation for a nonmoving barrier with eigenvalues $E_j^{(0)} = (\hbar^2 k_j^{(0)^2})/2m$, for $l = 1...N$. The barrier is then suddenly set into motion at time $t = 0^+$. The use of two unitary transformations $U_1 = e^{-i\phi_t(x)/\hbar}$, $U_2 = e^{i\text{max}_t(x)/\hbar}$ maps the problem onto a stationary one with twisted boundary conditions, enabling to express the solution of Eq. (3) as
\[ \psi_j(x,t) = e^{i\lambda_j q x - i\lambda_j^2 t/2m} \sum c_{j\ell} e^{-iE_j \lambda_j} \phi_j(x - vt), \]

where we have defined the quasimomentum $q = mv/\hbar$. The orbitals $\phi_j(x)$ are the solutions of $E_j \phi_j(x) = (-(\hbar^2/2m)\partial_x^2 + U_0 \delta(x)) \phi_j(x)$ with twisted boundary conditions $\phi_j(x + L) = e^{-iE_j \lambda_j} \phi_j(x)$, and read
\[ \phi_j(x) = \frac{1}{N_j} \left[ e^{i\phi_j(x)} + A_j e^{-i\phi_j(x)} \right] \text{ in } [L, 0], \]

with normalizations $N_j = \sqrt{L(1 + A_j^2 + 2A_j \text{sin}(k_j L)/k_j L)}$, amplitudes $A_j = \text{sin}(k_j q L)/[\text{cos}(k_j q L) - \text{cos}(k_j L)]$, and wavevectors $k_j$ given by the solution of the transcendental equation $k_j = \lambda \text{sin}(k_j L)/[\text{cos}(k_j L) - \text{cos}(k_j L)]$, which determines the energy eigenvalues $E_j = \hbar^2 k_j^2/2m$, with $\lambda = m U_0/\hbar^2$. Information about the initial condition enters Eq. (1) through the time independent overlaps $c_{j\ell} = \langle \phi_j | e^{-i\lambda_j q x} | \phi_l^{(0)} \rangle$. We first consider a velocity close to a special value $v = \hbar \pi/mL$ with integer $n$, which corresponds to

\[ \psi_j(x,t) = e^{i\lambda_j (q x - \lambda_j^2 t/2m)} \sum c_{j\ell} e^{-iE_j \lambda_j} \phi_j(x - vt), \]
half integer values of the Coriolis flux ratio $\Phi/\Phi_0$, with $\Phi = vL$. We focus on the small barrier limit $\lambda L \lesssim 1$. As is seen in Fig. 1, this choice of $v$ corresponds to several avoided level crossings of single particle states. As a consequence of the sudden quench of the barrier velocity, we find that the TG gas, which initially occupies the zero-momentum Fermi sphere of the mapped Fermi system, oscillates between two $N$-particle Fermi spheres, one centered at $k = 0$ and the other at $k = 2q$, realizing at half oscillation an equally weighted macroscopic superposition of the two Fermi spheres.

The derivation reads as follows. According to the expression for the overlaps $c_{jl}$, the states excited under the effect of the stirring drive are fixed by quasimomentum conservation $k_j = k_j^{(0)} \pm q$ for $v < v_F$, or $k_j = \pm k_j^{(0)} + q$ for $v > v_F = N\hbar/\pi mL$. In detail, taking for simplicity $v > v_F$ which will turn out to be the most favourable situation, we find that to leading order in $\lambda L$ only four states $j$ are coupled to each single-particle level $l$ of the initial-state Fermi sphere, with coefficients $|c_{jl}| = 1/2$ for $j = n \pm 2\text{Int}[l/2]$ and $j = n + 1 \pm 2\text{Int}[l/2]$, Int[.] denoting the integer part; with the exception of the lowest state $l = 1$, where $|c_{jl}| = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $j = n$ and $j = n + 1$. For each level $k_j$ we know its momentum (hence angular momentum) components from the analysis of the zero-barrier limit, where a true level crossing occurs of two states of well defined angular momentum. For example, for $v = 4\pi\hbar/mL$ and $N = 3$, the level $l = 1$ is coupled by the stirring barrier to the states with $j = 4$ and 5 which are both an equal-weight superposition of states with momentum $k = 0$ and $k = 8\pi/L$. Similarly, the levels $l = 2$ and 3 yield an equal-weight superposition of states with $k = 2\pi/L$ and $6\pi/L$ for the lowest-energy doublet and of $k = -2\pi/L$ and $10\pi/L$ for the highest-energy doublet. Summing up all the contributions, we find that each momentum state has the same occupation, and the momentum occupation distribution is a superposition of the two Fermi spheres $\{-2\pi/L, 0, 2\pi/L\}$ and $\{6\pi/L, 8\pi/L, 10\pi/L\}$. A similar reasoning holds for arbitrary barrier velocities and particle numbers (chosen odd to ensure proper boundary conditions on the mapped Bose gas), leading to the occupation of two Fermi spheres centered at 0 and 2$q$. From Eqs. 2 and 4, the many-body wavefunction of the superposition is finally obtained by mapping onto a Fermi gas where each atom occupies a different superposition of a few (typically four, in the weak barrier limit) single-particle orbitals.

The detailed dynamics of the system is also simply described, according to the values of the overlaps $c_{jl}$, in terms of the occupation of a few momentum states for each single-particle state $l$. Using Eq. 1, the time dependence of the single-particle current density $j(x, t) = (\hbar/m)\text{Im}\sum_l \psi_l^*(x, t)\partial_t \psi_l(x, t)$ is fixed by the time-evolution factors $e^{-i(E_l' - E_l)/\hbar}$. The typical time scale is fixed by the energy level splitting associated to the highest two levels occupied through the stirring drive. The short-time behaviour of the integrated current $I(t) = (1/L)\int dx j(x, t)$ is illustrated in the inset of Fig. 1 the time evolution is not purely sinusoidal due to the multimode nature of the superposition.

Consider now the off-resonant case $\hbar(n - 1)\pi/mL < v < \hbar n\pi/mL$. In this case a weak barrier does not transfer angular momentum to the gas and the momentum occupation distribution is a single Fermi sphere centered at $k = 0$. This is readily derived by inspecting the overlaps $c_{jl}$, yielding $|c_{jl}| = 1$ for $j = n$, and $|c_{jl}| = 1/\sqrt{2}$ for $l > 1$ with $j = n \pm 2\text{Int}[l/2]$.

We note that the state of the system found under a sudden switching on of the barrier velocity is very different from the one obtained by an adiabatic turning on, where the lowest $N$ single particle energy levels are populated and the momentum occupation distribution is either a single Fermi sphere centered at $k = q$ for even $n$, or a superposition of two Fermi spheres centered at $k = q - \hbar\pi/L$ and $k = q + \hbar\pi/L$ for odd $n$.

As a partial summary, we find that the creation of macroscopic superpositions is efficient only in the vicinity of $v \simeq n\hbar\pi/mL$. Fluctuations on the barrier velocity might degrade the quality of the superposition, leading in particular to a different weight for the two components of the superposition. The velocity window useful for the excitation depends on the details of the barrier, e.g. on the barrier height. We find that an increase of the barrier height improves the robustness of the superposition state with respect to barrier velocity fluctuations.

IV. ONE-DIMENSIONAL MOMENTUM DISTRIBUTION AND WIGNER FUNCTION

We illustrate the formation of the macroscopic superposition by following the dynamical evolution of the 1D momentum distribution, $n(k, t) = \int dx \int dy e^{ik(x-y)}\rho_1(x, y, t)$. It is defined in terms of the (time-dependent) one-body density matrix $\rho_1(x, y, t)$, which can be efficiently calculated according to [25]

$$\rho_1(x, y, t) = \sum_{l,l',1'} \psi_l^*(x, t)A_{l,l'}(x, y, t)\psi_{l'}(y, t),$$

with $A_{l,l'}(x, y, t) = [\text{det } P][P^{-1}]_{l,l'}$ and $P_{l,l'}(x, y, t) = \delta_{l,l'} - 2\int_x^y dx' \psi_l^*(x', t)\psi_{l'}(x', t)$. As shown in Fig. 2 during the time evolution induced by a stirring velocity close to an integer multiple of $\hbar\pi/mL$ the momentum distribution evolves from a single peak at $k = 0$ to a single peak at $k = 2q$, displaying at intermediate times a double peak structure, reflecting the superposition of the two Fermi spheres of the mapped Fermi gas. The peaks in the momentum distribution, associated with the bosonic nature of the gas, allow to well identify the two components. However, since the width of the TG momentum distribution is the same as the fermionic one, in order to better resolve the superposition one needs stirring velocities larger than twice the Fermi velocity ie the sound velocity of the TG gas (see the inset in Fig. 2 for an example of
FIG. 2. (Color online) Time-dependent momentum distribution (left panels, in units of $L$), as a function of the wavevector $kL/\pi$, and corresponding Wigner function (right panels, dimensionless) as a function of $X/L$ and $kL/\pi$ at times $t/t_0 = 0, 2.46$ and $4.92$ for $N=9$ particles and stirring velocity $v = 14\hbar \pi/mL$. The inset of the third panel shows the momentum distribution at the time of an equally-weighted superposition for stirring velocity $v = \hbar \pi/mL$. The observation of a double peak structure in the momentum distribution does not necessarily imply the existence of quantum correlations between the two Fermi spheres [26]. In order to evidence the nonclassical nature of the macroscopic superposition we compute its Wigner function, 

$$f_W(X, k, t) = \int d\mathbf{r} e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \rho_1(X + \mathbf{r}/2, X - \mathbf{r}/2, t).$$  

At the time of the equally-weighted superposition, the Wigner function displays some negative regions, see again Fig.2. This illustrates the quantum correlations between the two Fermi spheres, which could be quantified eg following [27].

V. OBSERVABILITY IN ULTRACOLD ATOMIC GASES

Current experimental detection techniques are based on time-of-flight (TOF) images, obtained by releasing the confining potential. Neglecting the effects of interactions after the release from the trap, we describe the spatial distribution of the atomic cloud after expansion as the momentum distribution of the gas before expansion. In the case of the ring we have

$$n_{TOF}(\mathbf{k}) = \int d^3x \int d^3x' e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')} \rho_1^{\text{ring}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}', t)$$

where the expression in cylindrical coordinates of the 3D one-body density matrix on a tight ring trap of radius $R$ is

$$\rho_1^{\text{ring}}(r, \theta, z; r', \theta', z'; t) = \delta(r - R)\delta(r' - R)\delta(z)\delta(z')\rho_1(R\theta, R\theta', t).$$

Figure 3 shows the TOF images corresponding to various stirring times, illustrating the transition between a zero-current state at initial time to a state of angular momentum $L/N = 2mvR$. The initial peak at $k = 0$ deforms spirally and finally tends to a ring, the latter in agreement with the predictions of [14] for a state with well-defined current. Note that the TOF image of the equal-weight macroscopic superposition, represented in the second panel in Fig.3, is not simply obtained as a combination of the TOF images of well-defined current states (first and last panel in Fig.3).
due to interference between the zero-current state and the state at velocity $2v$.

VI. SUMMARY AND PERSPECTIVES

We have studied the nonadiabatic excitation of strongly interacting bosons on a ring by a sudden set into motion of a localized barrier potential at velocity $v$. If the velocity is suitably chosen, the state of the system oscillates between a zero-current state and a state of velocity $2v$, displaying a superposition of the two states at intermediate times. Due to the strong interactions, the nature of the superposition state is very different from the one of a weakly interacting gas; we find a superposition of two Fermi spheres rather the superposition of two macroscopically occupied single-particle levels. The superposition of current states is evidenced by a double-peak structure in the momentum distribution. Due to the underlying multimode nature of the state, the two peaks are resolved at best for stirring velocities larger than twice the sound velocity. We have also verified the nonclassical nature of the superposition by the study of the Wigner function. Our results confirm the TG gas as a promising candidate for applications to quantum-limited metrology and seem accessible to state of the art experiments on ultracold gases. In perspective, it will be important to develop detecting techniques capable to estimate the relative weight of the two components of the superposition. A measure of their coherence could be inferred by extending the full-counting method proposed in [28].
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