The diagnostic significance of 64-slice spiral CT combined with serological CA19-9, Bcl-2, CYFRA21-1 detection in thoracic esophageal carcinoma
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Background: To analyze the clinical value of multi-slice spiral computed tomography (MSCT) combined with carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2 protein (Bcl-2), and cytokeratin 19 fragment antigen 21-1 (CYFRA21-1) detection in the diagnosis of thoracic esophageal cancer.

Methods: The clinical data of 74 patients with thoracic esophageal cancer admitted to the Dazu District People’s Hospital in Chongqing, China, from December 2019 to December 2020 were collected (esophageal cancer group), and their computed tomography (CT) signs were analyzed. Another 55 healthy people who underwent physical examination during the same period in the hospital were selected for the healthy group. The serum levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 in the different populations were compared, using the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve to analyze the value of MSCT combined with CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 detection in the diagnosis of thoracic esophageal cancer.

Results: The serum levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 in patients of the esophageal cancer group were significantly higher than those in the healthy group (P<0.05). The serum levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 in patients with poorly differentiated, stage III–IV carcinoma and lymph node metastasis were significantly higher than in those patients with moderately well-differentiated, stage I–II carcinoma and no lymph node metastasis (P<0.05). The CT scans of patients in the esophageal cancer group showed esophageal walls with irregular, needle-shaped, circular, or localized eccentric thickening and narrowed lumens, which were dilated above the cancerous lesions. Some tumors compressed adjacent organs to deform and shift the organs, resulting in the disappearance of surrounding fat layers. Enhanced scans showed mild or moderate enhancement, with large-diameter lesions unable to enhance central, low-density, necrotic areas. The ROC curve showed that the area under the curve (AUC) and the sensitivity and specificity of MSCT combined with CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 detection were all higher than for esophageal lesions detected by individual indicators.

Conclusions: CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1, which are abnormally expressed in patients with esophageal cancer, may be related to the occurrence and development of esophageal cancer. MSCT combined with CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 detection appears to enhance the diagnosis of esophageal cancer.
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Introduction

Esophageal cancer is a common malignant tumor of the digestive tract, with high mortality and morbidity. According to clinical data, esophageal cancer is more common in middle-aged and elderly people (1). However, due to the nonspecific symptoms of esophageal cancer in the early stages of disease and the rapid progression of the disease, it is difficult to diagnose early in the clinic. Previous studies have found that the 5-year survival rate of patients with advanced esophageal cancer after surgical treatment is approximately 30%. If early surgical treatment is performed on esophageal cancer patients, the 5-year survival rate can be as high as 70% (2,3). Therefore, identifying techniques to enhance the early diagnosis of esophageal cancer is a key focus of current clinical research.

Computed tomography (CT) has been widely used in the clinical diagnosis of esophageal cancer due to its high-density resolution and multi-directional continuous scanning, however the false positive rate and false-negative rate of esophageal cancer diagnosis in daily practice impacts the quality of CT diagnosis (4). Tumor markers are also a hot topic in the early diagnosis of esophageal cancer, having high sensitivity and specificity, however there are certain limitations in defining lesion location (5). There are many reports on the diagnosis of esophageal cancer by CT or tumor markers, but there are few reports on the diagnosis of esophageal cancer by ROC curve analysis imaging combined with tumor markers. In this study, the clinical value of multi-slice spiral CT (MSCT) combined with the detection of the tumor markers, carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9), B cell leukemia/lymphoma-2 gene (Bcl-2), and cytokeratin 19 fragment antigen 21-1 (CYFRA21-1) in the diagnosis of thoracic esophageal cancer were studied to provide a scientific basis for clinical diagnosis and treatment. We present the following article in accordance with the STARD reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tcr-21-2522).

Methods

General information

The clinical data of 74 patients with thoracic esophageal cancer admitted to the Dazu District People's Hospital in Chonqing, China, from December 2019 to December 2020 were collected. Inclusion criteria were that patients had: (I) accurate pathological results as obtained by biopsy or surgery, (II) complete clinical data, (III) no other co-existing benign or malignant diseases, and (IV) never received radiotherapy or chemotherapy. Exclusion criteria for patients were: (I) recent use of hormone drugs and/or immune system regulators, (II) CT examination contraindications, (III) severe cognitive and communication impairment, and (IV) secondary recurrence.

A total of 74 patients with esophageal cancer were enrolled into the study, including 53 males and 21 females aged from 31 to 78 years with an average age of 54.23±15.16 years. The patients were distributed across the clinical stages as follows: stage I (6 cases), stage II (16 cases), stage III (25 cases), and stage IV (27 cases). The patients had the following pathological types of cancer: squamous cell carcinoma (23 cases) and non-squamous cell carcinoma (51 cases). There were 53 cases of lymph node metastasis, distributed as poorly differentiated (41 cases) and moderately and highly differentiated (33 cases). The healthy group of 55 healthy people who underwent physical examination in the hospital during the same period consisted of 40 males and 15 females, aged 31 to 79 years, with an average age of 53.45±14.12 years. There was no significant difference between the two groups (P>0.05). All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by ethics committee of Dazu District People's Hospital (No. EC2020-031-05) and informed consent was taken from all the patients.

Study methods

MSCT examination

The examination instrument used was the Discovery CT750 HD (GE Healthcare), with the patient in the supine position for the line scan and enhanced scan. Scanning parameters were: tube voltage 120 kV, tube current 280 mA, scanning layer thickness and spacing 5mm, field of vision 28 cm × 35 cm, and matrix 512×512. A conventional plain scan was performed followed by an enhanced scan (80 mL iohexol, injection rate of 2.5 mL/s), with a delayed scan time of 30 s. A 3D reconstruction of the image occurred after scanning.

Tumor marker examination

Examination of the tumor markers was composed of two parts: (I) sample collection and processing, in which 5 mL of venous blood were extracted from the patients, on an empty stomach, and centrifuged at 4 °C. After 3,000 r/min
for 10 min, the upper serum was separated and immediately sent for examination or stored at \(-20\, ^\circ\text{C}\) for examination; (II) detection methods, in which CA19-9 and CYFRA21-1 were detected by electrochemiluminescence, using kits provided by Shanghai Roche Diagnostic Products Co., Ltd. (China). Bcl-2 was detected by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, using the kit provided by Abbott Laboratories Trading Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China).

**Observational indicators**

In this study: (I) the serum levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 were compared between the two groups; (II) the serum levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 in esophageal cancer patients with different clinical features were compared; (III) the size, shape, density, and other CT signs of esophageal carcinoma were observed; and (IV) the diagnostic value of MSCT combined with CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 detection in esophageal cancer was assessed.

**Statistical method**

The data were analyzed using SPSS v 20.0 statistical software. Measurement data were described using mean and standard deviation (\(\bar{X} \pm S\)), and the \(t\)-test was used for a comparison between the groups. The enumeration data were expressed as \(n (\%)\), and the chi-squared \((\chi^2)\) test was performed. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to analyze the value of MSCT combined with CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 detection in the diagnosis of esophageal cancer. \(P<0.05\) was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Comparison of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 levels between the two groups**

The serum levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 in patients of the esophageal cancer group were significantly higher than those in the healthy group \((P<0.05; \text{Table 1})\).

**Comparison of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 levels in esophageal cancer patients with different clinical features**

The levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 in patients with poorly differentiated, stage III–IV carcinoma and lymph node metastasis were significantly higher than those in patients with moderately well differentiated, stage I–II carcinoma without lymph node metastasis \((P>0.05; \text{Table 2})\).

**MSCT signs of esophageal carcinoma**

The CT scans of patients in the esophageal cancer group showed esophageal walls with irregular, needle-shaped, annular or localized eccentric thickening and narrowed lumens, which were dilated above the cancerous lesions. Some tumors compressed adjacent organs to deform and shift the organs, resulting in the disappearance of surrounding fat layers. Enhanced scans showed mild or moderate enhancement, with large diameter lesions unable to enhance central, low-density, necrotic areas. Among 53 patients with lymph node metastasis, 26 patients had lymph node metastasis of the right recurrent laryngeal nerve, which was consistent with the pathological report. The average short diameter of metastatic lymph nodes was \((0.42\pm0.04)\, \text{cm}\), and the average long diameter was \((0.69\pm0.11)\, \text{cm}\). Typical case images are shown in Figure 1A-E.

**Diagnostic value of MSCT combined with CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 detection in esophageal cancer**

The ROC curve showed that the area under the curve (AUC) and the sensitivity and specificity of MSCT combined with CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 detection were higher than for esophageal lesions detected by individual indicators. See Table 3 and Figure 2.
Discussion

In recent years, esophageal cancer has become one of the most significant malignant diseases threatening human life and health. However, at present, there is a lack of means for making an early diagnosis of esophageal cancer in the clinic, and the symptoms of early disease are non-specific. Hence, most patients are diagnosed in the middle and late stages of disease when the effect of treatment is poor (6,7). Therefore, to improve the outcomes of esophageal cancer, it is necessary to not only improve the patient’s awareness of the importance of timely treatment but to also identify the appropriate investigations to support an early diagnosis. This will enable patients to receive effective early intervention to delay the progression of disease, improving the prognosis of patients with esophageal cancer (8,9).

CA19-9 is an oligosaccharide, tumor-related antigen. The expression of CA19-9 in normal serum is low, while its expression in various malignant tumors, such as tumors of the pancreas and the stomach (10), is high. However, CA19-9 is also expressed in other medical conditions, such as acute and chronic pancreatitis, cholecystitis, and cirrhosis (11). Therefore, it cannot be used as a specific indicator for the diagnosis of esophageal cancer. Bcl-2 is an important anti-apoptotic factor, functioning in the mitochondrial pathway to inhibit cell apoptosis. The process of tumor cell apoptosis is abnormal and provides an opportunity for the malignant transformation of cells (12). Nougarede et al. (13) found that Bcl-2 was highly expressed in esophageal cancer, which plays an important role in tumorigenesis and development.

CYFRA21-1 is a cytokeratin 19 fragment mainly distributed in the tumor cell-associated cytoplasm of lung cancer, esophageal cancer, and other cancers of epithelial origin. Takeuchi et al. (14) found that the serum level of CYFRA21-1 was significantly increased when cells were cancerous. Kammer et al. (15) also found that the positive rate of CYFRA21-1 in tumors was higher than that of squamous cell carcinoma antigen, C-reactive protein and other known tumor markers. In this study, the serum levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 in patients with esophageal cancer were higher than those in the healthy group. Furthermore, tumor biomarker levels differed between patients according to the degree of cancer cell differentiation, clinical stage of disease, and extent of lymph node metastasis. Hence, these tumor markers can be used in a preliminary assessment of esophageal cancer and related conditions. Although tumor markers have the potential to contribute to the diagnosis of malignant diseases and the evaluation of disease condition and prognosis, they are susceptible to various factors and can contribute to false-positive or false-negative readings, providing false information for clinical diagnosis. Although combined with the detection of multiple markers, it is still unable to obtain accurate diagnostic results (16,17).

Table 2 Comparison of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 levels in esophageal cancer patients with different clinical features

| Clinical characteristics | Cases | CA19-9 (U/mL) | Bcl-2 (ng/mL) | CYFRA21-1 (μ/mL) |
|--------------------------|-------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|
| **Pathological type**    |       |               |               |                 |
| Non-squamous             | 51    | 32.73±10.04   | 17.66±4.99    | 5.11±2.92       |
| Squamous cell carcinoma  | 23    | 33.72±11.11   | 17.68±4.71    | 5.21±3.06       |
| **Differentiation extent** |     |               |               |                 |
| Middle to well differentiated | 33  | 21.98±2.62    | 13.04±1.61    | 2.19±0.26       |
| Poorly differentiated     | 41    | 41.94±2.62*   | 21.39±3.08*   | 7.51±1.68*      |
| **TNM stage**            |       |               |               |                 |
| I–II                     | 22    | 21.51±2.76    | 13.19±1.70    | 2.18±0.29       |
| III–IV                   | 52    | 37.91±8.23*   | 19.56±4.53*   | 6.39±2.65*      |
| **Lymphatic metastasis** |       |               |               |                 |
| Yes                      | 53    | 37.60±8.47    | 19.48±4.53    | 6.30±2.70       |
| No                       | 21    | 21.53±2.82*   | 13.10±1.68*   | 2.20±0.29*      |

*, compared with medium-high differentiation; *, compared with stage I–II; *, compared with lymph node metastasis. P<0.05. CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; Bcl-2, B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2 protein; CYFRA21-1, cytokeratin 19 fragment antigen 21-1.
Table 3 Diagnostic value of MSCT combined with CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 in esophageal cancer

| Predictor     | AUC     | Standard error | Progressive Sig | Progressive 95% CI        |
|---------------|---------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
|               |         |                |                 | Lower limit       | Upper limit       |
| CA19-9        | 0.851   | 0.033          | <0.001          | 0.786           | 0.915           |
| Bcl-2         | 0.738   | 0.045          | <0.001          | 0.649           | 0.826           |
| CYFRA21-1     | 0.747   | 0.043          | <0.001          | 0.663           | 0.830           |
| MSCT          | 0.878   | 0.034          | <0.001          | 0.812           | 0.944           |
| Combined      | 0.959   | 0.016          | <0.001          | 0.927           | 0.999           |

MSCT, multi-slice spiral computed tomography; AUC, areas under the curve; CA19-9, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; Bcl-2, B-cell leukemia/lymphoma-2 protein; CYFRA21-1, cytokeratin 19 fragment antigen 21-1.

Relevant reports have found that the accuracy of disease diagnosis can be improved by comprehensive imaging, laboratory examination, and other means (18). MSCT is a commonly used imaging method for the early clinical diagnosis of esophageal cancer. Compared with MRI and X-ray, MSCT has many advantages, such as being simple to operate while enabling high-density resolution and fast scanning. The location, size and relationship with surrounding tissues can be clearly displayed in an esophageal cancer examination. International studies have confirmed that MSCT can support a preliminary assessment of esophageal cancer (19). However, a CT examination also has certain limitations. For example, the enhanced scanning time is difficult to grasp, which impacts the display of the lesion, and is limited by the scanning range; hence, CT imaging has little diagnostic significance in the staging of esophageal cancer (20).

In this study, MSCT and the detection of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 were combined to diagnose esophageal cancer cases. The ROC results showed that the AUC and the sensitivity and specificity of the combined approach to diagnosis were higher than those of a single approach to diagnosis, suggesting that the combined approach to diagnosis can effectively improve the diagnosis of esophageal cancer. It is speculated that that the combination of MSCT and serum factor detection provides a dual basis for the clinical diagnosis of esophageal cancer, which effectively compensates for the lack of a single diagnosis.
and improves the diagnostic accuracy, which is of great significance in clinical diagnosis and treatment.

In summary, serum levels of CA19-9, Bcl-2, and CYFRA21-1 were abnormally expressed in patients with esophageal cancer, which may be related to the occurrence and development of esophageal cancer. The above factors combined with the MSCT examination can improve the diagnostic value of esophageal cancer.
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