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Abstract. The Community Intercomparison Suite (CIS) is an easy-to-use command-line tool which has been developed to allow the straightforward intercomparison of remote sensing, in situ and model data. While there are a number of tools available for working with climate model data, the large diversity of sources (and formats) of remote sensing and in situ measurements necessitated a novel software solution. Developed by a professional software company, CIS supports a large number of gridded and ungridded data sources “out-of-the-box”, including climate model output in NetCDF or the UK Met Office pp file format, CloudSat, CALIOP (Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization), MODIS (MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer), Cloud and Aerosol CCI (Climate Change Initiative) level 2 satellite data and a number of in situ aircraft and ground station data sets. The open-source architecture also supports user-defined plugins to allow many other sources to be easily added. Many of the key operations required when comparing heterogeneous data sets are provided by CIS, including subsetting, aggregating, collocating and plotting the data. Output data are written to CF-compliant NetCDF files to ensure interoperability with other tools and systems. The latest documentation, including a user manual and installation instructions, can be found on our website (http://cistools.net). Here, we describe the need which this tool fulfills, followed by descriptions of its main functionality (as at version 1.4.0) and plugin architecture which make it unique in the field.

1 Introduction

Modern global climate models (GCMs) produce huge amounts of prognostic and diagnostic data covering every aspect of the system being modelled. The upcoming CMIP6 (Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6) is likely to produce as much as 40 Pb of data alone (Eyring et al., 2016a).

Analysis of the data from these models forms the cornerstone of the IPCC (Stocker et al., 2013) (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) and subsequent UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change) reports on anthropogenic climate change, but there exist large differences across the models in a number of key climate variables (e.g. Boucher et al., 2013; Suzuki et al., 2011). In order to understand these differences and improve the models, the model data must be compared not only with each other – which is relatively straightforward for large intercomparison projects, such as CMIP, which provide the model data in a common data standard – but also with observational data, which can be much harder.

Observational data can also be extremely voluminous. For example, modern Earth Observation (EO) satellites can eas-
ily produce petabytes of data over their lifetime. There are dozens of EO satellites being operated by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), the European Space Agency (ESA) and other international space agencies. While modern missions use common data standards, there are many valuable data sets stored in unique formats and structures which were designed when storage was at more of a premium, and so are not particularly user-friendly. Ground-based EO sites and in situ measurement of atmospheric properties are also areas where many different groups and organizations produce data in a wide variety of formats.

The process of model evaluation typically involves a relatively small set of common operations on the data: reading, subsetting, aggregating, analysis and plotting. Many of these operations are currently written as a bespoke analysis for each type of data being compared. This is time consuming and error prone. While a number of tools currently support the comparison and analysis of model data in standard formats, such as NetCDF Operators (NCO) (Zender, 2008), Climate Data Operators (CDO) (http://www.mpimet.mpg.de/cdo), Iris (Met Office, 2016) and CF-Python (http://cfpython.bitbucket.org) there are few, if any, which support raw observational data. A tool described by Langerock et al. (2015) provides some of this functionality for a specific set of observational data. ESMValTool provides a framework for comparison against standardized observations (Eyring et al., 2016b) and Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison (PCMDI) Metrics Package (PMP) provides some comparisons with globally averaged observations (Gleckler et al., 2016). There are also some websites which allow a pre-defined analysis of specific data sets (for example, Giovanni: http://giovanni sci.gsfc.nasa.gov), but do not give the flexibility of a tool which can be installed and run locally. The Community Intercomparison Suite (CIS) seeks to fill this gap: the primary goal of CIS is to provide a single, flexible tool for the quantitative and qualitative intercomparison of remote-sensing, in situ and model data.

Comparing global model data with observations that can be considered point measurements may introduce substantial errors in any analysis (Schutgens et al., 2016a). Aggregation of observations may reduce these errors and facilitate intercomparisons of model data and (now gridded) observations (see Appendix A for a definition of “aggregated data” and other terms). Aggregated observational data are often available (e.g. from the Obs4MIPs project, Teixeira et al., 2014). However, errors may be introduced through sub-optimal aggregation procedures, when using sparse data sets (Levy et al., 2009) or when aggregating over long time periods (Schutgens et al., 2016b). A comparison of data that are most similar in their spatio-temporal sampling can be shown to provide improved confidence when constraining aerosol processes in climate models (Kipling et al., 2013). Thus, there is a need for a flexible aggregation and collocation tool for both gridded and ungridded data that allows straightforward point-wise comparison between a variety of data formats.

In this paper, we first describe the development of this new tool (Sect. 2) and the architecture designed to allow maximum flexibility in the data types and functionality supported (Sect. 3). Then, tables of the specific types of data CIS supports and detailed descriptions of the operations which can be performed on them are provided (Sect. 4), followed by an example of the scientific workflow which this tool enables (Sect. 5). Information about downloading and installing CIS or accessing the source code can be found in Sect. 7. A brief description of the plugin architecture and the steps needed for a user to create their own plugins are provided in Appendix B and a table of definitions in Appendix A. A reference card providing a one-page summary of the various CIS commands is also available as a supplement to this paper.

2 Development

CIS has been developed by a professional software development consultancy (Tessella Ltd.) working closely with the Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (CEDA) and the Department of Physics at the University of Oxford to ensure a high quality tool which meets the need of a broad range of users. The use of modern development practices such as test-driven development (TDD) (Beck, 2003) and continuous integration (CI) (Beck, 2000) has ensured that each component is automatically tested against hundreds of unit tests before it is deployed. These test each individual function within the code to ensure defects are kept to a minimum and particularly reduce regressions (defects introduced into code which was previously working).

The development was also carried out in an agile fashion, specifically using Scrum (Schwaber and Beedle, 2001). In this approach, regular working releases were made at the end of 2-week implementation “sprints”, each delivering a prioritized set of fully functioning requirements adding immediate value to the users (scientists). The developers were supported by a subject matter expert (SME) who worked with the scientists to define and prioritize each area of development (user stories), a dedicated testing specialist who was responsible for defining and performing independent testing and a project manager (PM) who oversaw progress and managed the overall development process from the Tessella perspective.

CIS is completely written in Python, which provides a good balance between speed, versatility and maintainability, and allows easy installation across many platforms (see Sect. 7 for more details). Python also has many open-source libraries available to build on and, in particular, CIS makes heavy use of the Iris (Met Office, 2016) library for its internal representation of gridded data types. Many of the more numerically intensive operations within CIS are performed by Python libraries with interfaces to C implementations to keep the runtime as fast as possible.
Much consideration was given to the need for parallelization and optimization of the functions within CIS, particularly around collocation where runtimes for large data sets can be expected. Significant development time was devoted to optimizations in these functions and many of the runtimes now scale very well with size of the data. However, we deemed it a lower priority to devote development time to parallelizing these operations, as they are usually trivially parallelized by the user by performing the operation on each input file separately across the available compute nodes (using a batch script, for example, and subsetting the data first as needed). Such a script is pre-installed alongside CIS on the UK JASMIN big-data analysis cluster (Lawrence et al., 2012) and could be easily ported to other clusters.

All of the source code for CIS is freely available under the GNU Lesser General Public License v3, which is expected to promote widespread uptake of the tool and also encourage wider collaboration in its development.

3 Extensible architecture

One of the key features of CIS is the flexible and extensible architecture. From the outset it was obvious that there was no way for a single, unextendable, tool to provide compatibility with the wide variety of data sources available and support all of the various analyses which would be performed on them. A modular design was therefore incorporated, which allowed user-defined components to be swapped in as easily as possible.

At the heart of the design is the CommonData interface layer which allows each of the analysis routines and commands to work independently of the actual data being provided, as shown in Fig. 1. The top row of modules in this figure represents the low-level reading routines which are used for actually reading and writing data to the different data formats. The orange components are the data products which interpret the data for CIS and can be swapped out by the user (using plugins, as described in Sect. B1). The CommonData block represents the internal CIS data structure which abstracts the CIS functionality (shown in the bottom row) from the different data formats above. Specifically, CommonData is an abstract base class (a class defines an object in object oriented programming) which defines a number of methods which the analysis routines can assume will exist regardless of the underlying data. The two main concrete types of CommonData are GriddedData and UngriddedData, which represent gridded and ungridded data, respectively.

There are an extensive number of data sources which are supported by CIS, which can be broadly categorized as either gridded or ungridded data. Gridded data are defined as any regularly gridded data set for which points can be indexed using \((i, j, k, \ldots)\) where \(i, j \) and \(k \) are integer indexes on a set of orthogonal coordinates (see Fig. 2a). Here we define the gridded data values as an \(n\)-dimensional matrix \(G\) and \(n\) coordinate vectors \(x, y, \ldots\) which we will use in the algorithmic descriptions of the operations in Sect. 4. Ungridded data are anything which does not meet this criteria and, in general, it is assumed each \((x, y, z)\) point is independent of every other point (Fig. 2b). Then, we can define a data value \(u_j\) and set of coordinates \(r_j\) at each point \(j\). Note that although this independence may not strictly be true for some of the data sources (for example, satellite mounted lidar instruments where many altitude points will be present for each latitude/longitude point) this strict definition applies within CIS. This allows significant optimizations to be made for operations on gridded data and flexibility in dealing with ungridded data, at the expense of performance for some operations on those ungridded data sets which do have some structure.

In CIS, the gridded data type is really just a thin wrapper around the cube provided by the Iris (Met Office, 2016) library. All of the ungridded routines are however bespoke and include a number of useful features (besides the main analysis routines) including multi-file and multi-variable operations, hierarchical NetCDF file reading and automatic recognition of file types. The ungridded data are stored internally as one NumPy (van der Walt et al., 2011) array of values and a set of associated metadata. There is one such structure for the data values themselves and each of the latitude, longitude, time and altitude coordinates (as needed). The data array may take on any shape but all of the corresponding coordinate arrays (lat, long, etc. as shown in Fig. 2b) must have the same shape.

4 Core functionality

In this section, we describe the core functionality of CIS. Each sub-section gives a brief description of an operation, the command line syntax and expected output, a formal algorithmic description of the operation (where appropriate) and a short example.

In order to keep the formal algorithmic descriptions concise without any loss of accuracy, we adopt a mixture of set and vector notation and define that notation here. It is useful
to define vector inequalities as

$$\text{if } x \leq y \text{ then } x_i \leq y_i \text{ for all } i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

where $\leq$ is the standard (single-value) inequality and we apply it here to all values in the vector; similar identities can be defined for the other inequalities. We use $\emptyset$ to denote an empty set, $\forall$ should be read as “for all”, $:=$ “such that” and $\lor$ as logical “and”. Some operations involve the use of a kernel to reduce a set to a single value, we denote these as $K$.

Although data reading is something a user is rarely aware of when using CIS, the flexibility offered in this regard is an important distinguishing feature. All of the functions described in the following sections are possible with any of the supported data sets and any data sets supported by user-written plugins (as described in Sect. B1).

A list of the ungridded data sources supported by CIS out-of-the-box is presented in Table 1 and gridded data sources in Table 2. As CIS uses Iris for gridded data support, any climate and forecast (CF) compliant (http://cfconventions.org/Data/cf-conventions/cf-conventions-1.6/build/cf-conventions.pdf) NetCDF4 data can be read in with CIS, as well as the other formats listed.

For all supported data sets any missing_value and _FillValue attributes (or the equivalent for that data set) are automatically taken into account, as well as any valid_min, valid_max and valid_range attributes to mask out invalid data values. Scaling factors and offsets are also applied as appropriate for each data set.

### 4.1 Subsetting

Subsetting allows the reduction of data by extracting variables and restricting them to user-specified ranges in one or more coordinates. Both gridded and ungridded data sets can be reduced in size by specifying the range over which the output data should be included, and points outside that range are removed.

The basic structure of the subset command is

```
$ cis subset <datagroup> <limits>
```

where “subset” is the sub-command to invoke in “cis” and the “output_file” is the (optional) filename to be used for outputting the result. If none is specified then a default is used. The two main arguments “datagroup” and “limits” are more complex and will be discussed below.

The datagroup is a common concept across the various CIS commands. It represents a collection of variables (from a collection of files) sharing the same spatio-temporal coordinates, which takes the form

```
variables:filenames[:product=...]
```

Here, the “variables” element specifies the variables to be operated on and can be a single variable, a comma-separated list, a wildcarded variable name or any combination thereof. The “filenames” element specifies the files to read the variables from and can be a single filename, a directory of files to read, a comma-separated list of files or directories, wildcarded filenames or any combination thereof. The optional “product” element can be used to manually specify the particular product to use for reading this collection of data. See Tables 1 and 2 for a full list of initially available product names.

For all supported data sets any missing_value and _FillValue attributes (or the equivalent for that data set) are automatically taken into account, as well as any valid_min, valid_max and valid_range attributes to mask out invalid data values. Scaling factors and offsets are also applied as appropriate for each data set.

The “limits” are a comma-separated list of the upper and lower bounds to be applied to specific dimensions of the data. The dimensions may be identified using their variable names (e.g. latitude) or by choosing a shorthand from “x”, “y”, “z”, “p” or “t” which refer to longitude, latitude, altitude, pressure and time, respectively. The limits are then defined simply using square brackets, e.g. $x = [-10, 10]$. The use of square brackets is a useful reminder that the intervals are inclusive, as discussed below. A time dimension can be specified as an explicit window as $t=[2010-01-01T00,2010-12-31T23:59:59]$.
Table 1. A list of the ungridded data sources supported by CIS 1.4.0. The file signature is used by CIS to automatically determine the correct product to use for reading a particular set of data files, although this can easily be overridden by the user. (Internally these signatures are represented as Python regular expressions; here, they are shown as standard wildcards for ease of reading.) The Global Aerosol Synthesis and Science Project (GASSP) data sets are large collections of harmonized in situ aerosol observations from groups around the world (http://gassp.org.uk).

| Data set       | Product name | Type          | File signature                                                                 |
|----------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MODIS L2       | MODIS_L2     | Satellite     | *MYD06_L2*.hdf, *MOD06_L2*.hdf, *MYD04_L2*.hdf, *MOD04_L2*.hdf, *MYDATML2*.hdf, *MODATML2*.hdf |
| Aerosol CCI    | Aerosol_CCI  | Satellite     | *ESACCI*AEROSOL*                                                               |
| Cloud CCI      | Cloud_CCI    | Satellite     | *ESACCI*CLOUD*                                                                 |
| CALIOP L1      | Caliop_L1    | Satellite     | CAL_LID_L1-ValStage1-V3*.hdf                                                   |
| CALIOP L2      | Caliop_L2    | Satellite     | CAL_LID_L2_05kmAPro-Prov-V3*.hdf                                              |
| CloudSat       | CloudSat     | Satellite     | *.CS_*GRANULE*.hdf                                                            |
| NCAR-RAF       | NCAR_NetCDF_RAF | Aircraft     | *.nc containing the attribute Conventions with the value NCAR-RAF/nimbus       |
| GASSP          | NCAR_NetCDF_RAF | Aircraft    | *.nc containing the attribute GASSP_Version                                     |
| GASSP          | NCAR_NetCDF_RAF | Ship         | *.nc containing the attribute GASSP_Version, with no altitude                  |
| GASSP          | NCAR_NetCDF_RAF | Ground station | *.nc containing the attribute GASSP_Version, with attributes Station_Lat, Station_Lon and Station_Altitude |
| AERONET        | AERONET      | Ground stations | *.lev20                                    |
| CSV data points | ASCHL_Hyperpoints | N/A         | *.txt                                                              |
| CIS ungridded  | cis          | CIS output    | *.nc containing a source attribute which starts with “CIS”                    |

Table 2. A list of the gridded data sources supported by CIS 1.4.0. The file signature is used by CIS to automatically determine the correct product to use for reading a particular set of data files. This can always be overridden by the user.

| Data set                    | Product name | Type                      | File signature                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Net_CDF Gridded Data        | NetCDF_Gridded | Any CF-NetCDF4-compliant gridded data | *.nc (this is the default for NetCDF Files that do not match any other signature) |
| MODIS L3 daily and 8 day UK Met Office pp data | MODIS_L3 | Satellite          | *MYD08_D3*.hdf, *MOD08_D3*.hdf, *MOD08_E3*.hdf                  |
|                             | HadGEM_PP    | Gridded model data       | *.pp                                                                           |

or more simply as a single value: $t=\{2010\}$. In this case, the value is interpreted as both the start and the end of the window and all points which fall within 2010 would be included. For all other dimensions, the values are compared directly with those from the data file (although these can be converted to standard units during reading if required).

The detailed algorithm used for subsetting ungridded data is outlined in Algorithm 1 and for gridded data in Algorithm 2. The algorithms use a mix of pseudo-code and mathematical notation to try to present the operations in a clear but accurate way. The operations themselves will involve other checks and optimizations not shown in the algorithms, but the code is available for those interested in its exact workings. See Sect. 3 for definitions of the gridded and ungridded entities.

For example, the following command would take the variable “aod550” from the file “satellite_data.hdf” and output the data contained in a lat/long region around North America for the 4 February 2010 to a file called “subset_of_satellite_data.nc”:

```
$ cis subset aod550:satellite_data.hdf x=[-170,-60],y=[30,85],t=[2010-02-04] -o subset_of_satellite_data
```

The output file is stored as a CF-compliant NetCDF4 file.

### 4.2 Aggregation

CIS also has the ability to aggregate both gridded and ungridded data along one or more coordinates. For example, it can aggregate a model data set over the longitude coordinate to produce a zonal mean or aggregate satellite imager data onto a $5^\circ$ lat/long grid.

The aggregation command has the following syntax:

```
$ cis aggregate <datagroup>[[:options]] <grid> [-o outputfile]
```

where aggregate is the sub-command; datagroup specifies the variables and files to be aggregated (see Sect. 4.1 for more details); the options define a number of choices available for fine tuning the aggregation which are detailed below and grid defines the grid which the data should be aggregated onto.
The optional arguments should be given as keyword=value pairs in a comma-separated list. The only currently available option (other than the “product” option described in the datagroup summary above) is the “kernel” option. This allows the user to specify the exact aggregation kernel to use. If not specified, the default is “moments” which returns the number of points in each grid cell, their mean value and the standard deviation in that mean. Other options include “max” and “min” which return the maximum and minimum value in each grid cell, respectively.

The mandatory “grid” argument specifies the coordinates to aggregate over. The detail of this argument and the internal algorithms applied in each case are quite different when dealing with gridded and ungridded data so they will be described separately below. This difference arises primarily because gridded data can be completely averaged over one or more dimensions and also often require area weights to be taken into account.

### 4.2.1 Ungridded aggregation

In the case of the aggregation of ungridded data, the mandatory “grid” argument specifies the structure of the binning to be performed for each coordinate. The user can specify the start, end and step size of those bins in the form coordinate=[start,end,step]. The step may be missed, in which case the bin will span the whole range given. Coordinates may be identified using their variable names (e.g. “latitude”) or by choosing from “x”, “y”, “t”, “z”, “p” which refer to longitude, latitude, time, altitude and pressure, respectively. Multiple coordinates can be aggregated over, in which case they should be separated by commas.

The output of an aggregation is always regularly gridded data, so CIS does not currently support the aggregation over only some coordinates. If a coordinate is not specified (or is specified, but without a step size) then that coordinate is completely collapsed. That is, we average over its whole range, so that the data are no longer a function of that coordinate. Specifically, one of the coordinates of the gridded output would have a length of one, with bounds reflecting the maximum and minimum values of the collapsed coordinate.

The algorithm used for the aggregation of ungridded data is identical to ungridded to gridded collocation (as this is essentially a collocation operation with the grid defined by the user) described in Algorithm 4.

An example of the aggregation of some satellite data which contain latitude, longitude and time coordinates is shown below. In this case, we explicitly provide a $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ latitude and longitude grid and implicitly average over all time values.

```bash
$ cis aggregate AOT500:
satellite_data.nc: kernel=mean
x=[-180,180,1], y=[-90,90,1]
-o agg-out.nc
```

### 4.2.2 Gridded aggregation

For gridded data, the binning described above is not currently available; this is partly because there are cases where it is not clear how to apply area weighting. (The user would receive the following error message if they tried: “Aggregation using partial collapse of coordinates is not supported for Gridded-Data”.) The user is able to perform a complete collapse of any coordinate however, simply by providing the name of the coordinate(s) as a comma-separated list; e.g. “x,y” will aggregate data completely over both latitude and longitude, but not any other coordinates present in the file.

The algorithm used for this collapse of gridded dimensions is more straightforward than that of the ungridded case. First, the area weights for each cell are calculated and then the dimensions to be operated on are averaged over simultaneously. That is, the different moments of the data in all collapsed dimensions are calculated together, rather than independently (using the Iris routines described here: http://scitools.org.uk/iris/docs/latest/iris/iris/cube.html#iris.cube.Cube.collapsed), as values such as the standard deviation are non-commuting.

A full example of gridded aggregation, taking the time and zonal average of total precipitation from the HadGEM3 (Hewitt et al., 2011) GCM is shown below. A plot of the resulting data is shown in Fig. 3.

```bash
$ cis aggregate rsutcs_model_data.nc:
kernel=mean t,x -o agg-out.nc
```
4.3 Collocation

Point-wise quantitative inter-comparisons require the data to be mapped onto a common set of coordinates before analysis, and CIS provides a number of straightforward ways of doing this. One of the key features of CIS is the ability to collocate one or more arbitrary data sets onto a common set of coordinates, for example, collocating aircraft data onto hybrid-sigma model levels or satellite data with ground station data. The options available during collocation depend on the types of data being analysed as demonstrated in Table 3. The points which are being mapped on to are referred to as sample points, and the points which are to be mapped are referred to as data points.

The basic structure of the collocation command is

```
$ cis col <datagroup> <samplegroup> [-o outputfile]
```

where the datagroup specifies the data variables and files to read as described above. The “samplegroup” is analogous to a datagroup, except in this case the data being specified are that of the sample data, that is, the points which the data should be collocated onto.

The samplegroup has a slightly different format to the datagroup, as the sample variable is optional, and all of the collocation options are specified within this construct. It is of the format `filename:options`. The “filename” is one or more filenames containing the points to collocate onto. The available options (which should be specified in a comma-separated list) are listed below:

- `variable` is used to specify which variable’s coordinates to use for collocation. This is useful if a file contains multiple coordinate systems (common in some model output). Note, that if a variable is specified, missing variable values will not be used as sample points.
- `collocator` is an optional argument that specifies the collocation method. Parameters for the collocator, if any, are placed in square brackets after the collocator name, for example, `collocator=box[h_sep=1km,a_sep=10m]`. Here “h_sep” and “a_sep” are parameters which define the size of the collocation box and stand for horizontal separation and altitude separation, respectively. Full details of all of the available parameters can be found in the documentation. If not specified, a default collocator is identified for the data/sample combination. The collocators available, and the one used by default for each sampling combination of data structures, are laid out in Table 3.
- `kernel` is used to specify the kernel to use for collocation methods that create an intermediate set of points for further processing, that is “box” and “bin”. The default kernel in both cases is “moments”. The built-in kernel methods currently available are summarized in Table 4.

A full example would be:

```
$ cis col rain:mydata??.*
   mysamplefile.nc:collocator=box[h_sep=50km,t_sep=6000S],
   kernel=nn_t -o my_col
```

There are also many other options and customizations available. For example, by default all points in the sample data set are used for the mapping. However, (as CIS provides the option of selecting a particular variable as the sampling set) the user is able to disregard all sample points whose values are masked (whose value is equal to the corresponding fill_value). The many different options available for collocation, and each collocator can be found in the user manual (see http://cis.readthedocs.org/en/stable/collocation.html).

In the following sections, we describe each mode of collocation in more detail, including algorithmic representations of the operations performed.

4.3.1 Gridded to gridded

For a set of gridded data points which are to be mapped on to some other gridded sample the operation is essentially a re-gridding and the user is able to use either linear interpolation (lin), where the data values at each sample point are linearly interpolated across the cell where the sample point falls; nearest neighbour, for which the data cell nearest to the sample cell can be uniquely chosen in each dimension for every point; and box, for which an arbitrary search area can be manually defined for the sampling using Algorithm 3. The interpolations are carried out using the Iris interpolation routines which are described in detail elsewhere.
Table 3. An outline of the permutations of collocations types, as a function of the structure of the data and sampling inputs, the default in each case is shown in bold. The available kernels are described in Table 4. Each collocation algorithm is described in more detail in Sects. 4.3.1–4.3.4.

| Sample data | Gridded | Ungridded |
|-------------|---------|-----------|
| Gridded     | linear interpolation (lin), nearest neighbour (nn), box | linear interpolation (lin), nearest neighbour (nn) |
| Ungridded   | bin, box | box |

Table 4. A list of the different kernels available. Note that not all of the kernels are compatible with all of the collocators.

| Kernel       | Description                                                                 | Compatible collocators |
|--------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| mean         | The arithmetic mean of the sampled values: \( \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i \) | bin, box                |
| stddev       | The corrected sample standard deviation of the mean: \( \sigma_y = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})^2} \) | bin, box                |
| moments      | This kernel returns three variables: the number of points in each data sampling, their mean value and the standard deviation in that mean | bin, box                |
| min          | The lowest of all of the sampled values | bin, box                |
| max          | The highest of all of the sampled values | bin, box                |
| nn_horizontal| The value of the data point with the smallest haversine distance from the sample point | box                     |
| nn_altitude  | The value of the data point with the smallest separation in altitude from the sample point | box                     |
| nn_pressure  | The value of the data point with the smallest (relative) separation in pressure from the sample point | box                     |
| nn_time      | The value of the data point with the smallest separation in time from the sample point | box                     |

(see http://scitools.org.uk/iris/docs/latest/iris/iris/cube.html#iris.cube.Cube.interpolate). Support for an area-conservative regridding option is planned for a future release.

CIS can also collocate gridded data sets with differing dimensionality. Where the sample array has dimensions that do not exist in the data, those dimensions are ignored for the purposes of the collocation and will not be present in the output. Where the data have dimensions that do not exist in the sample array, those dimensions are ignored for the purposes of the collocation and will be present in the output. Therefore, the output dimensionality is always the same as that of the input data, as shown in Fig. 4.

4.3.2 Ungridded to ungridded

CIS is also able to collocate ungridded data. For ungridded to ungridded collocation the user is able to define a box to constrain the data points which should be included for each sample point. The schematic in Fig. 5 shows this box and its relation to the sample and data points. This box can be defined as a distance from the sample point in any of time, pressure, altitude or horizontal (haversine or great-circle) distance. In general, there may be many data points selected in this box. The user also has control over the kernel to be applied to these data values. The default kernel, if none is specified, is the “moments” kernel, which returns the number of points selected, their mean value and the standard deviation on that mean as separate NetCDF variables in the output. Otherwise, the user can select only the mean or the nearest point in either time, altitude, pressure or horizontal distance. In this way, the user is able to find, for example, the nearest point in altitude within a set horizontal separation cut-off.

The specific process is outlined in Algorithm 3. For simplicity, we have assumed the dimensionality of the data sets is the same; in reality this need not be the case. CIS will collocate two data sets as long as both have the coordinates necessary to perform the constraint and kernel operations. Note also that this algorithm only outlines the basic principles of the operations of the code; a number of optimizations are used in the code itself.

One particular optimization involves the use of kd trees (Bentley, 1975) for the efficient comparison of distances. Our implementation is an extension of the SciPy algorithm (Jones et al., 2001), which uses the sliding midpoint rule to ensure a well-balanced tree (Maneewongvatana and Mount, 1999), to enable comparison of haversine distances. This provides a significant performance improvement on the naive point-by-
point comparison (which is shown in Algorithm 3, for simplicity).

4.3.3 Ungridded to gridded

For ungridded data points which are mapped onto a gridded sample, there are two options available. Either the ungridded data points can be binned into the bounds defined by each cell of the sample grid using the bin option, or the points can be constrained to an arbitrary area centred on the gridded sample point using the box option as described above. Either way, the moments kernel is used by default to return the number of points in each bin or box, the mean of their values and the standard deviation in the mean.

Algorithm 3 The ‘box’ collocation of an ungridded dataset onto a sampling set of coordinates $s_k$ of $K$ points. By definition the output $o$ is defined on the same spatio-temporal sampling as $s$. The distance metrics $D$ are defined for each coordinate and compared with the user defined maximum $a$ (the edge of the ‘box’).

```
INITIALIZE $o = \emptyset$
FOR $k = 1, \ldots, K$
  $Q = \{u \in s_k : D(s_k, r_j) < a\}$
  $o_k = K(Q)$
END FOR
```

Algorithm 4 describes this process in more detail. As with Algorithm 3, we show here the operations performed, but not the exact code-path. In reality, a number of optimizations are made to ensure efficient calculations.

4.3.4 Gridded to ungridded

When mapping gridded data onto ungridded sample points, the options available are for the nearest neighbour value or a linearly interpolated value.

The methods used to perform the interpolation are provided by the SciPy library (Jones et al., 2001), although CIS provides extended functionality by allowing users to interpolate over hybrid pressure or altitude coordinates as needed. CIS first interpolates over all coordinates other than hybrid altitude or pressure, then an interpolation in the hybrid coordinate is performed (see Fig. 6). CIS also caches the interpolation weights and indices so that collocation over multi-
Figure 6. This schematic shows the collocation of gridded data onto an ungridded sampling where the altitude component of the data is defined on a hybrid height grid. CIS will first collocate the data in the coordinate dimensions (latitude, longitude, etc.) to extract a single-altitude column and then perform a second interpolation on the altitude coordinate.

Algorithm 4 The ‘bin’ collocation of an ungridded dataset onto a gridded sample set of $M$ multi-dimensional cells, as defined by the input file. Upper and lower bounds for each of the cells of the dataset ($b$ and $a$ respectively) are automatically deduced if not present in the data.

\[
\text{INITIALIZE } O = \emptyset \\
\text{for } m = 1, \ldots, M \text{ do} \\
\quad Q = \{ u_j \mid a_m \leq r_j < b_m \} \\
\quad O_m = K(Q) \\
\text{end for}
\]

ple variables with the same spatio-temporal coordinates only takes roughly as long as one variable.

4.4 Plotting

CIS also includes a comprehensive set of plotting capabilities, allowing the analysis and comparison of the whole variety of data which can be read. This includes plots of aircraft flight tracks (see, e.g. Fig. 7) and satellite imagers (Fig. 8). It also allows the plotting of heat maps for gridded data as shown in the annual averages of aerosol optical thickness (AOT) plotted in Fig. 10. It also allows more detailed analysis of combined data sets, for example, by plotting collocated variables against each other as a scatter plot, and even as a 2-D histogram, for highlighting the distributions when there are many thousands of points, such as in Fig. 9.

The plotting output is highly customizable, with more than 35 different options available for specifying everything from the axes labels, to the colour of the coastlines. The user is also able to output the plots directly to screen for interactive visualization, including zooming and panning, or straight to image file (including .png, .jpg, .eps or .pdf) for publication-ready plots. A full description of the plotting syntax and available options is provided in the user manual (http://cis.readthedocs.io/en/stable/plotting.html).

Figure 7. An example scatter plot from a particular aircraft measurement of ambient temperature as a function of latitude (x axis) and altitude (y axis) produced directly by CIS. Note the representation of temperature as the colour of the scatter points.

4.5 Analysis

In addition to standard analysis options as described above, CIS allows general arithmetic operations to be performed between different variables using the “eval” command. The two variables must be on the same spatio-temporal sampling, CIS will check that the data have the same dimensions but not that the points correspond to the same sampling. There are limitless possibilities, but it enables, for example, the calculation of the difference between two collocated variables as demonstrated in Fig. 10. It also allows users to easily create a mask for a data set, for example, masking all of the mean output values from a collocation for which fewer than five points were used.

The basic structure of the eval command is as follows:

\$ cis eval <datagroup>... <expr> <units>

where datagroup has already been described above (but variables can optionally take an alias to simplify the expression), “expr” is the expression to evaluate and “units” is a string describing the units which should be assigned to the new variable (and must be CF compliant). Note that it is actually possible to evaluate any Python expression with this command, including using the NumPy library, but that for security (in case CIS is being run as a different user or with elevated permissions for some reason) many built-in modules are unavailable.

This flexibility allows for some quite complex analysis. For example, consider the case of calculating the Ångström
Figure 8. An example plot showing the cloud liquid path over the Indian Ocean just off Malaysia, retrieved by the ESA Cloud CCI product MODIS Aqua (Hollmann et al., 2013).

exponent ($\alpha$) for AOT ($\tau$) as measured by AERONET (AERosol RObotic NETwork) (http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/) at 870 and 440 nm, where $\alpha$ is given by

$$\alpha = -\frac{\log \tau_1}{\log \tau_2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

This can be straightforwardly calculated using the following CIS command:

$$\text{cis eval AOT}_440, \text{AOT}_870:\text{agoufou.lev20}$$

"(-1)*(numpy.log(AOT_870/AOT_440)/numpy.log(870./440.))" 1 -o alpha

Note that we have used the NumPy library to calculate the log of each of the variable arrays and have used the (AOT) variable names in the file for $\tau$. The resulting $\alpha$ is then output to a CF-compliant NetCDF4 file for further analysis or processing.

4.6 Statistics

Users are also able to perform a basic statistical analysis on two variables using the stats command. This command has a very basic structure:

$$\text{cis stats <datagroup>...}$$

More than one datagroup may be specified, but the total number of variables declared in all the datagroups must be two (otherwise CIS will return an error message: “Stats command requires exactly two variables (n were given)”). Again, they must both be on the same spatio-temporal sampling (otherwise CIS will return an error message that “operands could not be broadcast together”).

For example, the user might wish to examine the correlation between a model data variable and actual measurements or (as in the Ångström exponent example above) the correlation between a calculated and measured variable. The stats command will calculate the following:

1. the number of data points used in the analysis,
2. the mean and standard deviation of each data set (separately),
3. the mean and standard deviation of the absolute difference ($v_2 - v_1$),
4. the mean and standard deviation of the relative difference (($v_2 - v_1)/v_1$),
5. the linear Pearson correlation coefficient,
6. the Spearman rank correlation coefficient and
7. the coefficients of linear regression (i.e. $v_2 = av_1 + b$), $r$ value and standard error of the estimate.

Many of these values are calculated using the SciPy library (Jones et al., 2001). The values are displayed on screen and can optionally be saved to a NetCDF4 file.

4.7 CIS as a Python library

CIS was primarily designed as a command line tool, however it is also straightforward to use some of the power of CIS in other Python modules or scripts. In particular, CIS provides an interface for reading any of the data sets which CIS supports (either built-in or through user-supplied plugins). The data are returned in a well-documented data structure which provides straightforward access to the raw data, the coordinates and all associated metadata.

Further, because the data structure returned by these routines are built on NumPy arrays, it is trivial to build these into existing Python-based data analysis routines. There is also an option to return the data as a Pandas (http://pandas.pydata.org) data frame. Pandas is an open-source data analysis package providing, amongst other things, in-depth and easy-to-use time series analysis.

Version 2.0 of CIS is planned to include full support for all of the main CIS commands through the Python interface. For an outline of our future plans for CIS, please see www.cistools.net/roadmap.

5 Example scientific workflow

Consider the comparison of a set of AERONET data from the Agoufou station with model AOT data over a given time period, for example, in order to help inform and constrain the approximations and assumptions used in the model.
Figure 9. An example of plotting two collocated variables against one another as a scatter plot and also as a 2-D histogram. This can be useful for inspecting dense scatter plots.

For the sake of this example, we use ECHAM6-HAM2 (first described by Stier et al., 2005), but as no scientific interpretation of the comparison will be sought or offered, the details of the setup are not important.

As a first step it is often useful to inspect the contents of a data file to determine which variables it contains. This is straightforward using the “info” command:

```
$ cis info 920801_091128_Agoufou.lev20
```

This will return a list of the variables in the file, exactly as they should be passed to other commands. Variables can also be specified in the usual way to get more detailed information about any specific variables. Next, we might plot each of the data sets in order to examine their spatio-temporal extents and get a feel for the magnitudes of the AOT. We can plot the AERONET data with the following command:

```
$ cis plot AOT_675:
920801_091128_Agoufou.lev20
```

An example output plot is shown in Fig. 11. Note that the model data cannot yet be plotted using CIS, as it is extended in latitude, longitude and time (if we tried, CIS would return an error message telling us “Data is not 1D or 2D – can’t plot it on a map.”). It could however be subsetted or aggregated to reduce the dimensionality before plotting.

Next, we might decide to subset the AERONET data to cover the same temporal range as the model data (which is for 2007):

```
$ cis subset AOT_675:
920801_091128_Agoufou.lev20 -t=[2007]
```

In order to quantitatively compare the values, we need to bring the model data onto the AERONET spatio-temporal sampling; this is straightforward using the collocation command:

```
$ cis col TAU_2D_670nm:
ECHAMHAM_AOT550_670.nc
920801_091128_Agoufou.lev20
-o echam_on_agoufou.nc
```

Note that this will linearly interpolate model data values in both space and time by default, though we could have chosen to use a nearest neighbour algorithm instead. Once we have two collocated data sets, we can calculate the point-wise difference between the observations and the collocated model data using

```
$ cis eval TAU_2D_670nm:
echam_on_agoufou.nc AOT_675:
920801_091128_Agoufou.lev20
"TAU_2D_670nm - AOT_675"
-o echam_aeronet_agoufou_diff.nc
```

We can also use the built-in analysis routines to give us an overview of the correlations between the two data sets using the `stats` command:

```
$ cis stats TAU_2D_670nm:
echam_on_agoufou.nc AOT_675:
920801_091128_Agoufou.lev20
```

This will print out to screen the mean and standard deviation in each data set, the absolute and relative differences between them and the linear Pearson and Spearman rank correlation coefficients, as described in Sect. 4.6. Finally, in order to ensure a robust statistical comparison, we can then aggregate the collocated data in time to provide a yearly average:

```
$ cis aggregate TAU_2D_670nm:
ehcam_aeronet_agoufou_diff.nc t
-o echam_on_agoufou_diff_2007.nc
```

This provides the average difference of the collocated data values. Furthermore, because CIS commands can take multiple filenames as input, we can easily extend this process for...
multiple AERONET stations to produce a plot of the annual difference across the globe. Assuming we have performed the collocation and differencing over all of the stations, the aggregation step above is only slightly changed:

```
$ cis aggregate TAU_2D_670nm:
echam_all_aeronet_diff.nc
t,x=[-180,180,0.5],y=[-90,90,0.5]
-o echam_on_aeronet_diff_2007.nc
```

We have had to define a sufficiently fine spatial grid to maintain the spatial component of the difference. It is anticipated that future versions of CIS will support aggregation of ungridded data sets over only time, to support exactly this kind of workflow without the need to define an arbitrary grid (see http://cistools.net/roadmap for more details). Nevertheless we can now plot this difference using a scatter plot as shown in Fig. 12 using the following command:

```
$ cis plot TAU_2D_670nm:
echam_on_aeronet_diff_2007.nc
--type scatter --nasabluemarble
--cmap "RdBu_r"
```

Note that all of the cells in the aggregation with no points (where there are no AERONET stations) are masked in the output and thus not shown in the scatter plot.

6 Conclusions and summary

The intercomparison of observational and model data is a crucial aspect of modern climate science. There exist a few tools to work with gridded NetCDF data sets, but very few in support of process studies using assorted data sources, and none which allow generic intercomparison of multiple un-
gridded data sets, multiple gridded data sets or any combination thereof.

Here, we have demonstrated the power and use of CIS – a new universal tool for the inter-comparison of model, remote sensing and in situ climate data. The open and extensible nature of the tool allows for the easy and reproducible collocation, aggregation, subsetting and analysis of a huge variety of data sources on everything from laptops to large processing clusters. Further, the ability to extend the data sources compatible with CIS through user-developed plugins provides the opportunity for a shared tool to serve a diverse community.

Further development of CIS is ongoing and we hope to include a number of new features in the future, such as an extended Python interface, hybrid gridded/ungridded data structures and improved time series analysis, as outlined in our roadmap (www.cistools.net/roadmap). However, the growth of a user community (centred around our website) will help decide on the priority and best implementation of such features through user feedback and users actively engaging in development. All descriptions of functionality are correct as of version 1.4.0 (Watson-Parris et al., 2016), future releases and announcements can be found on the CIS website: http://cistools.net.

7 Code availability

The CIS source code is available on GitHub at https://github.com/cedadev/cis, and the binary is available for easy installation on Windows, OS X and Linux through conda using the “conda-forge” or “cistools” channel (see http://cistools.net/get-started#installation for more details). CIS is also pre-installed on the UK JASMIN analysis platform (JASMIN runs a number of Red Hat Enterprise Linux 6 scientific computing virtual machines for which CIS is pre-installed. See http://www.jasmin.ac.uk for more details). Getting started documentation and the community plugin library is available at http://www.cistools.net. Detailed documentation and help pages can be found at http://cis.readthedocs.org.

CIS is a tool for working with a wide variety of data; however, none of the data sets used or described within this paper are supplied with the tool and should be obtained directly through their respective providers.
Appendix A: Table of definitions

Table A1. A table of terms in this paper.

| Term          | Definition                                                                 |
|---------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aggregate     | the process of taking ungridded data and performing averaging over time and/or space to produce a gridded output |
| Collocate     | the process of bringing two data sets on to the same spatial and temporal coordinates |
| Point-wise operation | an operation carried out on each data point individually, usually on ungridded data |
| Gridded       | any regularly gridded data set for which points can be indexed using \((i, j, k, \ldots)\) where \(i, j\) and \(k\) are integers |
| Ungridded     | any data which are not regularly gridded, in general it is assumed each \((x, y, z)\) point is independent of every other point |
Appendix B: Plugin development

In this section, we describe two specific ways that users are able to easily extend the functionality provided by CIS. The plugins are short pieces of Python code that users can write themselves and which CIS will then automatically incorporate. Our website offers functionality for users to upload new plugins to be shared with the wider CIS community. Submitted plugins will not be automatically included in the base CIS install, but can easily be downloaded and included by other users. If certain plugins prove popular then they will be tested, documented and included in the base install.

A detailed description of the development of CIS plugins and a number of increasingly in-depth tutorials can be found in the CIS documentation (http://cis.readthedocs.org/en/stable/plugin_development.html); here, we provide only an overview of the basic plugin structures.

B1 Data plugins

CIS uses the notion of a “data product” to encapsulate the information about different types of data. Users can write their own products for reading in different types of data, referred to as plugins. These products (or plugins, if provided by the user) are concerned with interpreting the raw data and their coordinates and producing a single self-describing data object conforming to the CommonData interface (see Fig. 1). They follow a defined structure so that they can be automatically included and used by the tool. We briefly describe that structure here.

All plugins must subclass the AProduct abstract class (this class defines the structure described here and indicates to CIS the type of plugin the user has supplied), and are therefore forced to provide an implementation for the following methods:

- `get_file_signature(self)` returns a list of regular expressions to match the product’s file-naming convention. CIS will use this to decide which data product to use for a given file. The first product with a signature that matches the filename will be used.

- `create_coords(self, filenames)` is used to return the coordinates from one or more files. Note that this method may have to make certain assumptions about the file in order to return a single coordinate set.

- `create_data_object(self, filenames, variable)` creates and returns a CommonData object for a given variable from a list of filenames.

The underlying I/O layers are also available for the plugins to use (such as NetCDF reading) which ensures the writing of plugins is as straightforward as possible.

B2 Collocation

Users can also write their own plugins for performing the collocation of two data sets. There are three main objects used in the collocation which the user is free to override: the collocator, the constraint and the kernel. The basic design is that the collocator loops over each of the sample points, calls the relevant constraint to reduce the number of data points and then calls the kernel which returns a single value for the collocator to store.

The main plugin which is available is the collocation method itself. A new one can be created by subclassing Collocator and providing an implementation for the main “collocate” method. This method takes a number of points and applies the given constraint and kernel methods on the data for each of those points. It is responsible for returning the new data object to be written to the output file.

The constraint object limits the data points for a given sample point in some way. The user can also add a new constraint method by subclassing Constraint and providing an implementation for the method `constrain_points`.

The final plugin type is the Kernel which is used to convert the constrained points into values in the output, many examples of which are listed in Table 4.

Although we provide an outline here, please see the technical documentation for more details (http://cis.readthedocs.org/en/stable/analysis_plugin_development.html).
The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/gmd-9-3093-2016-supplement.
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