Evaluation of clinical efficacy and safety of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation (literature review)
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Purpose A retrospective analysis of clinical efficacy and safety of using the external fixation apparatus of G.A. Ilizarov's design. Materials and methods Analysis and evaluation of clinical data was performed using 107 literary sources. 4,200 clinical cases were studied to evaluate effectiveness, and 6,274 cases to assess safety. Results The analysis revealed a high clinical efficacy of using the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation (various assemblies) in solving a wide range of practical problems in the field of traumatology and orthopedics. According to the results of the study, its high clinical treatment effectiveness was confirmed both on the use of the method in general (about 95 % of positive outcomes), and in specific nosological groups of patients (not lower than 90 % of positive outcomes). After having assessed the available data on the safety in the application of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation (various assemblies), we can conclude that the rates of adverse events, recorded in the literature analyzed, can be considered acceptable. Among all those events, the events classified as adverse effects of the product amounted to 17.03 % (5 ± 95 % CI: 16.11 ± 17.97 %).
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INTRODUCTION

The external fixation apparatus developed by G.A. Ilizarov has been used in contemporary surgical practice and provides significant rates of positive results in the treatment of a wide range of pathology of the musculoskeletal system [1–3]. Technologies combining the Ilizarov apparatus with other methods have been also developed and are aimed at treating patients with orthopedic and traumatic pathologies [4–10].

Along with the accumulation of experience in the use of the external fixation apparatus, a number of problems were identified such as risks of developing joint contractures, vascular and neurological complications; "ischemic" regenerates; penetration of infectious agents along the structural elements of the external fixation apparatus that pass into the bone [11–22].

At present, the demand for the Ilizarov external fixation apparatus and its components for implementation of the technologies of transosseous osteosynthesis for treatment of patients with injuries and orthopedic pathology has been growing [23, 24].

Therefore, the goal of our study was to objectify clinical and management risks of using the Ilizarov apparatus. The study is devoted to assessing clinical efficacy and safety of the Ilizarov apparatus in practical traumatology and orthopedics.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The analysis of clinical efficacy and safety was based on the literature reports that discuss the experience of using the Ilizarov external fixation apparatus.

Clinical Data Search Strategy A systematic search for data on the use of the Ilizarov apparatus was conducted in open electronic databases of scientific literature at PubMed and eLIBRARY platforms, as well as the collection of the Medical Library of the Federal State Budgetary Institution Russian Ilizarov Scientific Center for Restorative Traumatology and Orthopaedics.
Orthopaedics of the Ministry of Health of Russia, the world's leading institution for clinical application of the Ilizarov transosseous osteosynthesis technologies.

The following keywords were used to search for clinical data: “transosseous osteosynthesis”, “apparatus”, “Ilizarov method”, “Ilizarov” (in Russian and English versions).

To analyze and evaluate the clinical data, the following criteria were defined for inclusion and exclusion of sources into the study.

Inclusion criteria:
1) full-text sources or structured abstracts with available specific quantitative data;
2) clinical studies stating that patients were treated using the Ilizarov transosseous osteosynthesis techniques or the Ilizarov method and/or apparatus;
3) sources that contain quantitative data on the evaluation of treatment results regarding its effectiveness and safety (the number of positive/negative treatment outcomes, rating scales, number of complications, etc.), indicating the authors and/or the name of the rating systems and tests used.

Exclusion criteria:
1) studies in which transosseous osteosynthesis technologies were used in combination with other techniques;
2) clinical cases, pilot and preliminary studies;
3) ”duplication” studies (a similar study protocol, similar groups and number of patients, a similar team of authors, etc.). If “duplicate” articles were found, a source with a more recent publication date was chosen.

Separately, scientific sources containing research data were analyzed that compared the effectiveness and safety of the clinical use of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation with other methods and technologies applied in orthopedics and traumatology.

Primary and secondary endpoints to evaluate product performance

Primary endpoints (rates of positive treatment outcomes at the time of dismantling the Ilizarov):
- bone consolidation;
- lengthening magnitude achieved as planned;
- defect/deformity correction;
- arthrodesis achieved;
- positive treatment outcomes according to clinical and functional rating scales.

Secondary endpoints (rates of positive outcomes at long term follow-up (more than one year after the dismantling of the device)):
- according to clinical and functional evaluation scales;
- treatment results preserved.

Endpoints for assessing product safety – rates of severe adverse events and adverse effects recorded during product performance.

The definition of adverse events and their categorization in this study is accepted in accordance with GOST R ISO 14155-2014 “Clinical studies. Good clinical practice” (paragraphs 3.1, 3.2, 3.15, 3.36, 3.37, 3.42).

The following grading was applied to evaluate the cause-effect relationship between the medical device and an undesirable event in this study: reliable, probable, likely, unlikely relationship or no relationship (Letter of health care inspection body Roszdravnadzor dated 28.12.2012 No. 04I-1310/12 “On the procedure of monitoring the safety of medical products in clinical trials ”).

Prior to the study, a written approval was obtained from the institutional ethics committee. The study was conducted in accordance with ethical standards established in the Helsinki Declaration, applicable law and applicable regulatory requirements.

Mathematical analysis of retrospective data included a quantitative analysis of the results of previous studies, including data on the effectiveness and safety of the product. Quantitative primary and secondary criteria for evaluating the effectiveness and safety were summarized in tables and presented using descriptive statistics in the form of absolute and relative values (percentage of the total), 5÷95 percent confidence interval (5–95 % CI). Missing data are marked in the tables as “no data” and were not taken into account in general statistics.
RESULTS

Literature sources included in the study for the analysis of efficacy and safety, containing the data on primary and secondary endpoints, are presented in the list of references [25–131].

Data on efficacy All sources were grouped into four sections according to the pathology groups in accordance with the main purposes of the Ilizarov apparatus application formulated by the author himself:

- closed reduction and fixation of bone fragments in fractures and nonunion;
- operative and bloodless limb lengthening;
- correction of deformities and management of long bone defects without bone grafts;
- compression arthrodesis of large joints or elimination of stiff contractures.

Summarized findings on the efficiency of the Ilizarov external fixation apparatus are given in Table 1.

The results of the summary demonstrate that at the end of treatment (removal of the device, primary endpoint), the rates of positive outcomes in treating patients with pathologies of the musculoskeletal system using the Ilizarov apparatus ranged from 91.43 to 98.75 %, and at the time of a long term follow-up (secondary endpoint) they ranged between 90.91 and 100 %. Such fluctuations in the rates are associated with the features of the pathology for which the Ilizarov apparatus was used.

The summarized data on the efficiency of the Ilizarov apparatus showed the following:

- a total of 82 scientific literature sources were included into the study for the analysis and evaluation of clinical data according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria;
- 4,200 cases were included to calculate the rates of positive outcomes resulting from the treatment at the time of dismantling the device (primary endpoint);
- a total of 4,031 positive outcomes of treatment was recorded in the reports included (bone consolidation, planned elongation, defect bridging, deformity correction, arthrodesis were achieved; grading of clinical and functional rating scales: "fair result" and higher);
- clinical efficacy of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation at the time-point of its dismantling was 95.98 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 95.37 ÷ 96.55 %);

A total of 1,644 cases were analyzed to calculate the rate of positive treatment outcomes at long-term follow-ups (secondary endpoint);

- positive outcomes of treatment reported were 1,560 cases (bone consolidation and elongation preserved, bone integrity in the area of the defect, absence of deformity recurrence, fusion maintained in arthrodesis; grading of clinical and functional rating scales were "fair" and higher);
- clinical efficacy of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation in regard to treatment results preserved in the long term (more than one year after dismantling) was 94.89 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 93.77 ÷ 95.90 %).

Table 1

| Product designation                                                                 | Number of sources | Primary endpoint, number of positive results/total of cases; % [5÷95 % – CI] | Secondary endpoint, number of positive results/total of cases; % [5÷95 % – CI] |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| closed reduction and fixation of bone fragments in fractures and nonunion          | 31               | 1029/1112 92.54 % [90.92÷94.01]                                                  | 720/751 95.87 % [94.35÷97.17]                                                  |
| operative and bloodless limb lengthening                                         | 9                | 475/481 98.75 % [97.56÷99.55]                                                    | 48/48 100 % [98.01÷100]                                                       |
| correction of deformities and management of long bone defects without bone grafts | 35               | 2335/2397 97.41 % [96.74÷98.01]                                                  | 762/812 95.84 % [92.08÷95.39]                                                  |
| compression arthrodesis of large joints or elimination of stiff contractures      | 7                | 192/210 91.43 % [87.28÷94.83]                                                    | 30/33 90.91 % [78.93÷98.17]                                                    |
| Total:                                                                            | 82               | 4031/4200 95.98 % [95.37÷96.55]                                                  | 1560/1644 94.89 % [93.77÷95.90]                                                |
To further evaluate the effectiveness of the Ilizarov apparatus, sources that compared the effectiveness of the clinical application of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation with other methods and technologies used in orthopedics and traumatology were analyzed.

A total of 12 comparative studies were found.

The effectiveness of using the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation did not significantly differ from the one shown for the methods compared in eight studies.

The merits of Ilizarov transosseous osteosynthesis technologies using the apparatus for various pathologies were shown in four works. In particular, the authors refer the following to the advantages of the treatment with the Ilizarov apparatus:

- a shorter inpatient stay [91];
- a shorter time of fracture union [94];
- a shorter time of temporal disability [81];
- lower financial costs for treatment and subsequent rehabilitation [128].

Safety data All sources were also grouped into four sections according to the groups of pathologies, in accordance with the main purposes:
- closed reduction and fixation of bone fragments in fractures and nonunion;
- operative and bloodless limb lengthening;
- correction of deformities and management of long bone defects without bone grafts;
- compression arthrodesis of large joints or elimination of stiff contractures.

Summarized data on groups of all the cases reported in the sources included in the study on the clinical use of the Ilizarov apparatus of external fixation that developed adverse events are given in Table 2.

The results presented in the Table show that the list of complications and adverse events and their incidence vary and depend on the pathology for which the Ilizarov apparatus was used.

Summarized data on all cases of adverse events reported in the sources included into the study that discuss the clinical use of the Ilizarov apparatus (in various configurations) for external fixation are given in Table 3.

| Table 2 |
| --- |
| **Summarized data on the safety of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation in the treatment of patients with pathology of the musculoskeletal system** |
| **Product designation** | **Number of sources** | **Adverse events (AE)** | **Severe adverse events (SAE)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| closed reduction and fixation of bone fragments in fractures and nonunion | 27 | Number of cases: 2005, 569 AE found (28.58 %):
- 365 (18.20 %) – WTI;
- 94 (4.69 %) – WC;
- 87 (4.34 %) – CC;
- 10 (0.50 %) – WB;
- 9 (0.45 %) – ND;
- 4 (0.20 %) – VD | Number of cases: 2055*, 45 SAE (2.19 %) found:
- 41 (2.00 %) – osteomyelitis;
- 3 (0.14 %) – death;
- 1 (0.05 %) – DI |
| operative and bloodless limb lengthening | 15 | Number of cases: 1829, 697 (38.11 %) AE found, among them:
- 295 (16.13 %) – CC
- 215 (11.76 %) – WTI
- 140 (7.65 %) – ND
- 32 (1.75 %) – WC
- 10 (0.55 %) – D/S
- 4 (0.22 %) – WB
- 1 (0.05 %) – VD | Number of cases: 1829, 4 (0.22 %) SAE found:
- 4 (0.22 %) – osteomyelitis |
| correction of deformities and management of long bone defects without bone grafts | 16 | Number of cases: 2242, 588 (26.23 %) AE found, among them:
- 258 (11.51 %) – WTI
- 152 (6.78 %) – CC
- 111 (4.95 %) – ND
- 31 (1.38 %) – WC
- 18 (0.80 %) – WB
- 11 (0.50 %) – dermatitis
- 7 (0.31 %) – VD | Number of cases: 2242, 15 (0.67 %) SAE found, among them:
- 14 (0.62 %) – osteomyelitis;
- 1 (0.05 %) – death |
| compression arthrodesis of large joints or elimination of stiff contractures | 3 | Number of cases: 148, 24 (16.22 %) AE found, among them:
- 18 (12.16 %) – WTI
- 5 (2.05 %) – WB
- 2 (1.35 %) – ND
- 1 (0.68 %) – CC | Number of cases: 148, one (0.68 %) SAE found:
- 1 (0.68 %) – DI |

Notes: Abr. adverse events (AE) and severe adverse events (SAE): D/S – dislocation, subluxation of joints; WTI – wire tract inflammation; DI – deep infection; CC – contractures; ND – neurological disorders; WC – wire cutting out of soft tissues; WB – wire/pin breakage; VD – vascular damage. * – difference in the number of cases is due to the fact that in a part of the works there is an analysis of only severe complications.
Table 3

| Adverse events (AE)                                      | Number | % from the total of cases [5÷95 % – CI] |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------|
| wire tract inflammation                                 | 856    | 13.75 [12.91÷14.62]                    |
| contractures                                            | 555    | 8.60 [7.92÷9.31]                       |
| neurological disorders                                  | 262    | 4.21 [3.73÷4.72]                       |
| cutting of wires out of soft tissues                    | 157    | 2.52 [2.15÷2.92]                       |
| wire/pin breakage                                       | 55     | 0.56 [0.39÷0.76]                       |
| vascular damage                                         | 12     | 0.19 [0.10÷0.31]                       |
| dermatitis                                              | 11     | 0.18 [0.09÷0.30]                       |
| dislocation, subluxation of joints                      | 10     | 0.16 [0.08÷0.27]                       |
| **Total (number of cases: 6224)**                       | 1878   | 30.17 [29.04÷31.32]                    |

| Severe adverse effects (SAE)                            |        |                                        |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------------|
| osteomyelitis                                           | 59     | 0.94 [0.72÷1.19]                       |
| death                                                   | 4      | 0.06 [0.01÷0.14]                       |
| deep infection                                          | 2      | 0.05 [0.00÷0.09]                       |
| **Total (number of cases: 6274*)**                      | 65     | 1.04 [0.80÷1.31]                       |

* – difference in the number of cases is due to the fact that in a part of the works there is an analysis of only severe complications

Adverse events analysis (AE) According to the results of the literature assessed by the study, the AE rate by using the Ilizarov apparatus of external fixation (in various configurations) was 30.17 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 29.04 ÷ 31.32 %). Assessing the interconnection of the AEs with the use of the Ilizarov apparatus listed above, only one event was rated as “no relationship”. It is dislocation and subluxation of the joints, which develops in the patients violating treatment regimen and neglecting the requirements of osteosynthesis.

The relationship of the remaining cases with the functioning of the apparatus was evaluated by us as reliable, probable and likely.

Cases of wire tract soft tissue inflammation, cutting of wires through soft tissue, vascular damage, wires/pins breakage have a reliable relationship with the use of the product and are qualified as adverse effects (ADE). Obviously, all these events are the result of the contact of the elements of the apparatus with tissues and organs of the body. All these AE arise due to errors in the Ilizarov apparatus maintenance (improper sterilization preparation, insufficient treatment of the parts after the apparatus was applied while changing dressings, non-observance of personal hygiene, etc.). Clinical experience presented in the sources studied shows that all AEs of this group can be managed without dismantling the device in almost 100 % of cases by using antibiotics and/or wire reinsertion. All the authors of the works analyzed by us pointed out that these events did not affect the final result of treatment. If the inflammatory process in the tissues surrounding the wires remains untreated, according to generalized experience, the process may aggravate and result in osteomyelitis (see SAE analysis).

Cases of dermatitis are probably associated with the product use, as it can be assumed that the cause of this event could be a lack of proper skin care, disease recurrence or predisposition to it.

Contractures and neurological disorders have a likely relationship with the use of the product; therefore, qualifying them as ADE is not entirely correct. Clinical experience shows that these events can indeed be the result of the injuries to the muscles and/or nerves with the parts of the product in contact with them. However, these cases mostly happen due to inadequate clinical post-operative care of the patients with the Ilizarov apparatus on (inadequate pharmacotherapy, lack of exercise therapy, physiotherapy, etc.). They can be completely corrected applying appropriate procedures or proper medication. These events, when corrected before apparatus dismantling, as shown by overall experience, do not affect the outcome of treatment either.

Thus, if we exclude from the general statistics those AE, the relationship of which with the performance of the product is not obvious (dermatitis, contractures, neurological disorders, dislocations and subluxations), we can calculate the number of ADE resulting from the impact of the Ilizarov apparatus: 1060 cases of ADE out of the total 6,224 which is 17.03 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 16.11 ÷ 17.97 %).
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Severe adverse effects analysis (SAE) According to the results of the study, the SAE rates by application of the Ilizarov apparatus (in various configurations) was 1.04 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 0.80 ÷ 1.31 %).

Lethal outcomes were associated with cardiovascular diseases (2 cases), exacerbation of liver diseases (one case), one death happened as a result of acute massive blood loss in a patient with a gunshot injury. Obviously, all the SAEs listed were not associated with the use of the Ilizarov apparatus but with concomitant diseases, or due to the severity of the primary injury.

Cases of osteomyelitis and deep infection of tissues, as shown by the experience of the clinical use of the Ilizarov external fixation apparatus, develop due to the lack of treatment of soft tissue inflammation in the area of the wires. Therefore, it is not correct to qualify cases of osteomyelitis and deep infection of tissues as a severe adverse effect of the product itself (SADE).

To further evaluate the safety of the Ilizarov apparatus, we analyzed sources containing research data that compared the clinical safety of the Ilizarov apparatus of external fixation with other methods and technologies used in orthopedics and traumatology.

A total of 12 comparative studies were found. Incidence of adverse events and osteomyelitis with the use of the Ilizarov external fixation apparatus did not statistically significantly differ from the compared methods in 10 studies.

Statistically significant differences were found between several safety indicators in the use of transosseous osteosynthesis technologies implemented with the Ilizarov apparatus in two papers. In particular, the authors of one report [115] observed a lower incidence of deep infection by using the Ilizarov apparatus relative to the monolateral fixator. In another study [73], it was found that the rate of unplanned hardware removal of the Ilizarov apparatus elements was significantly lower than that of internal fixators.

Thus, the safety analysis of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation used in various configuration showed the following quantitative characteristics:
- rate of adverse events (AE): 30.17 (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 29.04 ÷ 31.32 %);
- rate of adverse effects of the product (ADE): 17.03 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 16.11 ÷ 17.97 %);
- rate of severe adverse effects (SAE): 1.04 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 0.80 ÷ 1.31 %).

DISCUSSION

The analysis of the clinical data shows high efficiency of transosseous osteosynthesis technology, implemented through the use of the Ilizarov external fixation apparatus for solving a wide range of clinical problems in the field of traumatology and orthopedics. According to the results of the study, the high clinical efficacy of treatment was confirmed both in regard to the total of cases treated (about 95 % of positive outcomes) and in separate nosological groups (not lower than 90 % of positive outcomes). Clinical data prove that the Ilizarov apparatus provides all the qualities claimed: reduction and fixation in open and closed bone fracture repair as well as compression and distraction forces on bone fragments for various orthopedic tasks.

Comparative studies demonstrate that the effectiveness of treating patients with an orthopedic diseases and injuries using the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation is not lower than with other methods, and according to certain parameters the product has significant (statistically significant) effectiveness in separate clinical groups, including the economic factor.

The rate of treatment failures using the Ilizarov apparatus can be estimated at 4 to 5 % according to the analysis of available sources. Failures are associated with relapses of pathologies. These are severe injuries and bone infection (mainly osteomyelitis and infected fractures), congenital defects and systemic abnormalities. Given these circumstances, we can consider this amount of poor results in patients with an orthopedic diseases and injuries treated with the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation acceptable.

Given the available safety data of the technology of transosseous osteosynthesis, implemented through the use of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation (in various configurations), we can conclude that the incidence of AE and SAE recorded according to the analysis can be considered acceptable due to the following factors:
- among all AEs, the incidence of product adverse effect (ADE) is 17.03 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 16.11 ÷ 17.97 %);
- all adverse events are predictable, due to the long experience accumulated in using the Ilizarov apparatus;
- most of the AEs, if they are timely eliminated, practically do not affect the final result of treatment;
- considerable experience has been accumulated in prevention and elimination of all AEs, most AEs are stopped without dismantling the device [132, 133];
- incidence of AE decreases with the accumulation of both individual and collective experience in using the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation [134, 135].

Product safety can be rated as very high. So, among all AE cases, the percentage of wire/half-pin breakage (the only AE that can be associated with safety) was only 0.56 % (5 ÷ 95 % CI: 0.39 ÷ 0.76 %). None of the analyzed sources indicate that the parts used to assemble the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation were unstable to sterilization.

CONCLUSION

Thus, the analysis and evaluation of the data on the application of the Ilizarov apparatus for external fixation allows us to conclude that its efficacy is quite high; the emerging clinical risks are acceptable and can be eliminated.

REFERENCES

1. Gubin A., Borzunov D., Malkova T. Ilizarov method for bone lengthening and defect management review of contemporary literature. Bull. Hosp. Jt. Dis., 2016, vol. 74, no. 2, pp.145-154.
2. Yin P., Ji Q., Li T., Li J., Li Z., Liu J., Wang G., Wang S., Zhang L., Mao Z., Tang P. A systematic review and meta-analysis of Ilizarov methods in the treatment of infected nonunion of tibia and femur. PLoS One, 2015, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. e0141973. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141973.
3. Gubin A.V., Borzunov D.Y., Malkova T.A. The Ilizarov paradigm: thirty years with the Ilizarov method, current concerns and future research. Int. Orthop., 2013, vol. 37, no. 8, pp. 1535-1539. DOI: 10.1007/s00264-013-1955-0.
4. Gulabi D., Erdem M., Cecen G.S., Avci C.C., Saglam N., Saglam F. Ilizarov fixator combined with an intramedullary nail for tibial nonunions with bone loss: is it effective? Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 2014, vol. 472, no. 12, pp. 3892-3901. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-014-3640-8.
5. Azzam W., El-Sayed M. Ilizarov distraction osteogenesis over the preexisting nail for treatment of nonunited femurs with significant shortening. Eur. J. Orthop. Surg. Traumatol., 2016, vol. 26, no. 5, pp. 519-528. DOI: 10.1007/s00590-016-1740-8.
6. Sabharwal S., Green S., McCarthy J., Hamdy R.C. What’s new in limb lengthening and deformity correction. J. Bone Joint Surg., Am., 2011, vol. 93, no. 2, pp. 215-221. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.O.01420.
7. Sabharwal S., Nelson S.C., Somitch J.K. What’s new in limb lengthening and deformity correction. J. Bone Joint Surg. Am., 2015, vol. 97, no. 16, pp. 1575-1584. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.O.00298.
8. Popkov D., Lascombes P., Journeau P., Popkov A. Current approaches to flexible intramedullary nailing for bone lengthening in children. J. Child. Orthop., 2016, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 499-509.
9. Pobloth A.M., Schell H., Petersen A., Beierlein K., Kleber C., Schmidt-Bleek K., Duda G.N. Tubular open-porous β-tricalcium phosphate polycaprolactone scaffolds as guiding structure for segmental bone defect regeneration in a novel sheep model. J. Tissue Eng. Regen. Med., 2018, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 897-911. DOI: 10.1002/term.2446.
10. Polyzois V.D., Stathopoulos I.P., Lamproupolou-Adamidou K., Vasilidis E.S., Vlamis J., Pneumaticos S.G. Strategies for managing bone defects of the lower extremity. Clin. Pediatr. Med. Surg., 2014, vol. 31, no. 4, pp. 577-584. DOI: 10.1016/j.cpm.2014.06.008.
11. Paley D. Problems, obstacles, and complications of limb lengthening by the Ilizarov technique. Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 1990, no. 250, pp. 81-104.
12. Erlap L., Bielen F.E., Rozbruch S.R., Kocaoglu M., Hammoudi A.I. External fixation reconstruction of the residual problems of benign bone tumours. Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr., 2016, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 37-49. DOI: 10.11751-016-0244-8.
13. Moraal J.M., Elzinga-Plomp A., Jongmans M.J., Roermund P.M., Flikweert P.E., Castelein R.M., Sinnema G. Cosmetic lower limb lengthening by the Ilizarov apparatus: what are the risks? Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 2014, vol. 472, no. 11, pp. 3549-3556. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-014-3782-8.
14. Barker K.L., Lamb S.E., Simpson H.R. Recovery of muscle strength and power after limb-lengthening surgery. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil., 2010, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 384-388. DOI: 10.1016/j.apmr.2009.11.014.
15. Sun X.T., Easwar T.R., Manesh S., Ryu J.H., Song S.H., Kim S.J., Song H.R. Complications and outcome of tibial lengthening using the Ilizarov method with or without a supplementary intramedullary nail: a case-matched comparative study. J. Bone Joint Surg. Br., 2011, vol. 93, no. 6, pp. 782-787. DOI: 10.1302/0301-620X.95B6.25521.
16. Bernstein M., Fragomen A.T., Sabharwal S., Barclay J., Rozbruch S.R. Does Integrated Fixation Provide Benefit in the Reconstruction of Posttraumatic Tibial Bone Defects? Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 2015, vol. 473, no. 10, pp. 3145-3153. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-015-4526-6.
17. Ruette P., Lammens J. Humeral lengthening by distraction osteogenesis: a safe procedure? Acta Orthop Belg., 2013, vol. 79, no. 6, pp. 636-642.
18. Hosny G.A. Humeral lengthening and deformity correction. J. Child. Orthop., 2016, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 585-592. DOI: 10.1007/s11852-016-0789-6.
20. Chymek J., Gal P. Evaluation of bone healing in femurs lengthened via the gradual distraction method. Biomed. Pap. Med. Fac. Univ. Palacky Olomouc. Czech Repub., 2007, vol. 151, no. 1, pp. 137-141.
21. Sampao F.M., Marcq L.P., Dos Reis D.G., Kasuo A.W., De Moraes F.B. Clinical evaluation of patients submitted to osteogenic distraction in the lower limb at a university hospital. Rev. Bras. Ortop., 2016, vol. 51, no. 5, pp. 521-526. DOI: 10.1016/j. rboe.2016.08.010.
22. Farr S., Mindler G., Ganger R., Girsch W. Bone lengthening in the pediatric upper extremity. J. Bone Joint Surg. Am., 2016, vol. 98, no. 17, pp. 1490-1503. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.16.00007.
23. Gubin A.V., Borzunov D.Y., Malkova T.A., Belokon N.S. Activities of a large limb lengthening and reconstruction center in the 21st century. J. Limb Lengthen. Reconstr., 2018, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 1-10. DOI: DOI: 10.4105/jlr.20_16.
24. Gubin A.V., Borzunov D.Y., Marchenko L.O., Malkova T.A., Smirnova I.L. Contribution of G.A. Ilizarov to bone reconstruction: historical achievements and state of the art. Strategia Trauma Limb Reconstr., 2016, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 145-152. DOI: 10.1007/s11751-016-0261-7.
25. Aranovich A.M., Dindiberia E.V., Klimov O.V., Novikov K.I. Oshibki i oslozhnenia pri udlinenii golen y bolnykh akhondroplaziej [Errors and complications when the leg lengthening in patients with achondroplasia]. Travmatologiia i Ortopediia Rossi, 2005, no. 1, pp. 36-37. (in Russian)
26. Aranovich A.M., Klimov O.V., Novikov K.I. Reabilitatsiia pasientov s nizkim rostom [Rehabilitation of low-height patients]. Genij Ortopedi, 2011, no. 2, pp. 20-25. (in Russian)
27. Balaev P.I., Balaev I.I., Borzunov D.Y. Chreskostnyi kompressionno-distriktsionnyi osteosinteoz po Ilizarovu v ortopedicheskoi reabilitatsii bolnykh s pervichnymi opukholaami kostei goleni [Transosseous compression-distraction osteosynthesis by Ilizarov in orthopaedic rehabilitation of patients with primary tumors of leg bones]. Genij Ortopedi, 2011, no. 2, pp. 84-90. (in Russian)
28. Balaev P.I., Borzunov D.Y. Metod chreskostnogo osteosinteza po Ilizarovu v lechenii bolnykh s giganstokletochnymi opukholaami kostei goleni [Transosseous osteosynthesis method according to Ilizarov in treating patients with leg bone giant-cell tumors]. Zabaikal'skii Meditsinskii Vestnik, 2016, no. 4, pp. 48-53. (in Russian)
29. Beidick O.V., Nikolenko V.N., Levchenko K.K., Loukanova T.N., Aristova I.S., Sakallka Kh.M.F. Anatomo-funktsionalnyi podkhod k lecheniyu bolnykh s vrozhdennoi varusnoi deformatsiyoi goleni [An anatomic-and-functional approach to treatment of patients with congenital varus deformity of the legs]. Genij Ortopedi, 2008, no. 1, pp. 37-40. (in Russian)
30. Bondarenko A.V., Pechenin S.A. Apparat Ilizarova v lechenii otkrytkh vekst i perelomov kostei goleni s defektom miagkikh tkanei po perednevenutrennei poverchnosti [The Ilizarov apparatus in treatment of open leg bone fractures with soft-tissue defects along the anterior-inferior surface]. Genij Ortopedi, 2003, no. 3, pp. 43-45. (in Russian)
31. Bondarenko A.V., Pechenin S.A. Ispolzovanie apparata Ilizarova v lechenii ogneotrehelnymi vysookoenergeticheskikh raneniy bedra [Use of the Ilizarov fixator for treatment of gunshot high-energy femoral injuries]. Genij Ortopedi, 2004, no. 1, pp. 123-125. (in Russian)
32. Bondarenko A.V., Smaznev K.V., Pechenin S.A. Chreskostnyi osteosinteoz povrezhdenii taza i vertluzhnoi vpadiny pri politravme [Transosseous osteosynthesis for pelvic and acetabular injuries in case of polytrauma]. Genij Ortopedi, 2006, no. 3, pp. 45-51. (in Russian)
33. Borzunov D.Y. Zameshenie defektov dlinnykh kostei polilokalnym udlineniem otlokmov [Filling long bone defects by polilocal fragmental lengthening]. Travmatologiia i Ortopediia Rossi, 2006, no. 4, pp. 24-29. (in Russian)
34. Borzunov D.Y., Mokhovikov D.S. Osobennosti chreskostnogo osteosinteza pri zameshenii postrezektsionnykh defektov trubchatykh kostei kisti [The features of transosseous osteosynthesis for filling the post-resection defects of hand tubular bones]. Genij Ortopedi, 2009, no. 2, pp. 54-59. (in Russian)
35. Bovravtsov P.P., Gorevanov E.A., Muradisinov M.S. Oshibki, oslozhnenia i ikh profilaktika pri operativnom lechenii vyvikh v nadkolennika [Errors, complications and their prevention for the surgical treatment of patellar dislocation]. Genij Ortopedi, 2006, no. 2, pp. 86-88. (in Russian)
36. Garbus I.F., Andriesh N.G., Mazur V.G., Panasenko R.D. Vnechoschagovy osteosinteoz apparatam ilizarova pri perelome kostei goleni u detei [Extrafocal osteosynthesis with the Ilizarov fixator for a leg bone fracture in children]. Materiały vseros. nauch.-prakt. konf. "Aktualnye voprosy travmatologi i ortopedii", posviashch. 100-letiyu so dnia osnovanitsya ros. nauch.-issled. in-ta travmatologii i ortopedii im. R.R. Vredena [Proc. Jubil. All-Russian Scientific-practical Conference "Current problems of Traumatology and Orthopaedics", dedicated to 100th anniversary of the founding Russian Veden Scientific Research Institute of Traumatology and Orthopaedics]. Travmatologiia i Ortopediia Rossi, 2006, no. 2, pp. 69. (in Russian)
37. Gorbunov E.V. Metodiki chreskostnogo osteosinteza pri lechenii bolnykh s zakrytymi perelomami lotevogo otrostka [Transosseous osteosynthesis techniques in management of patients with closed olecranon fractures]. Genij Ortopedi, 2009, no. 4, pp. 104-109. (in Russian)
38. Gorevanov E.A., Popkov D.A., Muradisinov S.O., Kolchev V.O. Oshibki i oslozhnenia pri bikulokalnom monosegmentnom distraktsionnom osteosinteze vrozdennoment ukorochennoi goleni [Errors and complications of bifocal monosegmental distraction osteosynthesis for congenitally shortened leg]. Genij Ortopedi, 2005, no. 3, pp. 53-58. (in Russian)
39. Gofman F.F., Muradisinov S.O., Novikov K.I., Klimov O.V. Rezultaty lechenia pasientov s posledstviiami gromatogennogo osteoimiita plechevoi kosti s ispolzovaniem novikh metodik chreskostnogo osteosinteza [Treatment results of patients with humeral hematogenous osteomyelitis sequelae using new techniques of transosseous osteosynthesis]. Genij Ortopedi, 2009, no. 1, pp. 80-83. (in Russian)
40. Danilkin M.Yu. Udlinenie trubchatykh kostei kisti apparatam naruzhnui fksatsii FGU «RNPs »VTO» im. akad. G.A. Ilizarova [Lengthening of hand tubular bones with the FSI «RISC «RTO» external fixator]. Genij Ortopedi, 2009, no. 2, pp. 22-27. (in Russian)
41. Dzhafarov A., Tan I., Giul'shen M., Ali-Zade Ch. Analiz rezultatov lechenia perelomov plato bolshebertosvoi kosti apparatom Ilizarova [Analysis of the results of treating tibial plateau fractures with the Ilizarov fixator]. Genij Ortopedi, 2015, no. 1, pp. 17-22. (in Russian)
42. Djachkov A.N., Soldatov Yu.P., Stolbikov S.A., Zverev E.S. Sravnitelnyi analiz oshibok i oslozhnenii pri lechenii bolnykh s...
zakrytymi perelomami kostei goleni konservativnymi i operativnymi metodami v usloviakh gorodskoi bolnitsy i mediko-sanitarnoi chastii [A comparative analysis of errors and complications when treating patients with closed leg bone fractures using conservative and surgical methods under the conditions of the city hospital and medical unit]. Meditsinskaia Nauka i Obrazovanie Urala, 2011, no. 2, pp. 127-130. (in Russian)

45. Zyrinov S.S., Soldatov Yu.P., Zyrinov S.Ya. Operativnoe lechenie patientsov s postravmaticheskikh plaskosti s primeneniem apparata Ilizarova [Surgical treatment of patients with postravmaticheskikh flat foot using the Ilizarov fixator]. Genij Ortopedii, 2011, no. 5, pp. 38-41. (in Russian)

44. Karasev E.A. Operativnoe lechenie bolnykh s zakrytymi perelomami nadkolennika s primeneniem artroskopicheskikh tehnologii [Surgical treatment of patients with closed patellar fractures using arthroscopic technologies]. Genij Ortopedii, 2011, no. 1, pp. 145-151. (in Russian)

43. Klushin N.M., Shliakhov V.I., Zlobin A.V., Burnashov S.I., Chakushin B.E., Ababkov Yu.V., Mikhailov A.G. Chreskostnyi osteointez pri lechenii osteomielita dlinskykh kostei vkhnei konechnosti [Transosseous osteosynthesis in treatment of osteomyelitis of upper limb long bones], Genij Ortopedii, 2010, no. 4, pp. 45-50. (in Russian)

42. Kozhevnikov V.V., Voronchikhin E.V., Grigorievich L.G., Radimova Zh.N. Primeneenie apparata Ilizarova v lechenii detei s retsidiviruiushchei vrozhdennoi kosolapostyu [The Ilizarov fixator use in the treatment of children with recurrent congenital clubfoot]. Ortopedia, Travmatologia i Vosstanovitelnaia Khirurgia Detskogo Vozrasta, 2016, no. 1, pp. 5-10. (in Russian)

41. Lapynin A.I., Popova L.A. Otsenka otdelennykh rezultatov lechenia bolnykh nizhnikh konechnostei [The evaluation of long-term results of treating patients with chronic osteomyelitis of the lower limb bones with presenting bone cavities using the method of controlled transosseous osteosynthesis]. Genij Ortopedii, 2011, no. 4, pp. 55-61. (in Russian)

40. Larionov A.A., Makarov M.L., Odinchenko N.G., Antonova L.N., Tymov I.S. Chreskostnyi osteointez po Ilizarovu pri perelokakh kostei goleni i ikh posledstviiakh [Transosseous osteosynthesis according to Ilizarov for leg bone fractures and their consequences]. Tez. kongr. «Meditsina chrezvychainykh situatsii. Sovremennye tehnologii v travmatologii i ortopedii» [Proc. Congr. "Emergency Medicine. Current Technologies in Traumatology and Orthopaedics"]. Kafedra Travmatologii i Ortopedii, 2016, spetsvypusk, pp. 49-50. (in Russian)

39. Martel’ I.I., Darvin E.O. Lechenie zakrytykh perelomov kluchitsy razlichnykh variantami osteointeza [Treatment of closed clavicular fractures using various osteosynthesis variants]. Genij Ortopedii, 2011, no. 4, pp. 5-8. (in Russian)

38. Martel’ I.I., Karasev A.G., Boichuk S.P., Karasev E.A., Badalov Kh.T. Chreskostnyi osteointez apparatom Ilizarova pri povrezhdeniakh akromialnogo kontsa kluchitsy [Transosseous osteosynthesis with the Ilizarov fixator for injuries of the acromial end of the clavicle]. Genij Ortopedii, 2013, no. 5, pp. 5-8. (in Russian)

37. Mitrofanov A.I., Borzunov D.Yu. Rezultaty lecheniia patientsov s aktivnymi solitarnymi kostnymi kistami s primeneniem chreskostnogo osteointeza [Results of treatment in patients with active solitary bone cysts using transosseous osteosynthesis]. Genij Ortopedii, 2010, no. 2, pp. 55-59. (in Russian)

36. Nazarov F.N., Linnik S.A. Rol osteointeza metodom ilizarova pri sochetannykh i mnozhestvennykh travmakh nizhnikh konechnostei [The role of osteosynthesis by the Ilizarov method for concomitant and multiple injuries of the lower limbs]. Vestiunk Poslediplomnogo Obrazovania v Sfere Zdravoookhraneniia, 2014, no. 2, pp. 41-46. (in Russian)

35. Neretin A.S., Ivanov G.P., Danilkin M.Yu. Udlenie konsekretenogo otdeleta stopy metodom chreskostnogo osteointeza po Ilizarovu [Lengthening of forefoot bones by transosseous osteosynthesis method according to Ilizarov]. Genij Ortopedii, 2011, no. 2, pp. 54-59. (in Russian)

34. Novikov K.I., Kolesnikova E.S., Muradisinov S.O., Dyachkova G.V., Novikova O.S., Diachkov K.A. Udlenie goleni v praksimalnoi treti metodom chreskostnogo osteointeza po Ilizarovu [Leg lengthening in the proximal third using transosseous osteosynthesis method according to Ilizarov]. Genij Ortopedii, 2014, no. 5, pp. 19-23. (in Russian)

33. Pankov I.O., Emelin A.L., Nigmatullin V.R., Salikov R.Z. Chreskostnyi osteointez pri supinatsionno-inversionnykh perelokakh distalnogo sustavnogo otdeleta kostei goleni [Supination-inversion fractures of the distal articular part of leg bones]. Prakticheskaia Meditsina, 2013, vol. 2, no. 1-2, pp. 114-118. (in Russian)

32. Pankov I.O., Riabchikov I.V., Nigmatullin V.R. Nash opyt lecheniia patientsov s perelomami oblasti kolennogo sustava [Our experience of treating patients with the knee fractures]. Sovremennye Problemy Nauki i Obrazovaniia, 2012, no. 5. (in Russian) Available at: http://science-education.ru/ru/article/view?id=7019 (accessed 09.09.2018).

31. Pankov I.O., Riabchikov I.V., Nigmatullin V.R. Chreskostnyi osteointez pri perelokakh i perelomovykh takharnoi kosti [Transosseous osteosynthesis for fractures and fracture-dislocations of the talar]. Prakticheskaia Meditsina, 2012, no. 8-2 (64), pp. 135-139. (in Russian)

30. Sikilinda V.D., Alabut A.V., Dubinskii A.V. Taktika i analiz rezultatov lecheniia bolnykh s kostnymi defektami [The tactics and analysis of the results of treating patients with bone defects]. Kabanskii Nauchnyi Meditsinskii Vestnik, 2009, no. 2, pp. 34-38. (in Russian)

29. Syenkon Yu.M. Osobennosti chreskostnogo osteointeza po Ilizarovu pri lechenii mnozhestvennykh perelokakh u detei i podrostkov [Peculiarities of transosseous osteosynthesis according to Ilizarov during the treatment of multiple fractures in children and adolescents]. Genij Ortopedii, 2003, no. 3, pp. 5-9. (in Russian)

28. Syenkon Yu.M., Bunov D.V. Lechenie bolnykh so slozhhnymi perelomami golenostopnogo sustava metodom chreskostnogo osteointeza po Ilizarovu [Treatment of patients with compound fractures of the ankle by the transosseous osteosynthesis method according to Ilizarov]. Genij Ortopedii, 2003, no. 3, pp. 29-52. (in Russian)

27. Syenkon Yu.M., Nasyrov M.Z. Travmy epifysealnogo rostkovogo khriashcha distalnogo otdeleta goleni i ikh lechenie metodom chreskostnogo osteointeza [The injuries of the epiphyseal growth cartilage of the distal leg and their treatment by transosseous osteosynthesis technique]. Genij Ortopedii, 2004, no. 1, pp. 107-113. (in Russian)

26. Syenkon Yu.M., Smelyshev K.N. Vozmozhnosti chreskostnogo osteointeza pri lechenii bolnykh s vnutrisustavnymi perelomami distalnogo epimetafiza boshhevbertsovoi kosti [Possibilities of transosseous osteosynthesis use in treatment of patients with intraarticular fractures of the distal tibia epimetafysis]. Genij Ortopedii, 2003, no. 2, pp. 51-55. (in Russian)
63. Tropin V.I., Buravtsov P.P., Biriukova M.Iu., Chertishchev A.A., Tropin D.V. Operativnoe lechenie patsientov s gonartrozom i varusnoi deformatsiei kolennogo sustava s primeneniem apparata Ilizarova [Surgical treatment of patients with gonarthrosis and varus deformity of the knee using the Ilizarov fixator]. Genij Ortopedii, 2016, no. 1, pp. 70-74. (in Russian)

64. Khoudzhanov A.A. Blizhaishiie i otdalennye rezultaty lecheniia vrozhdennoi kosolaposti u detei s primeneniem apparata Ilizarova [The immediate and long-term results of treatment for congenital clubfoot in children using the Ilizarov fixator]. Genij Ortopedii, 2004, no. 4, pp. 49-52. (in Russian)

65. Chibirov G.M., Soldatov Y.P. Operativnoe lechenie bolnykh s posledstviiami travm loktevogo sustava s primeneniem apparata Ilizarova [Surgical treatment of patients with the elbow injury consequences using the Ilizarov fixator]. Sovremennye Problemy Nauki i Obrazovaniia, 2015, no. 5. (in Russian) Available at: http://science-education.ru/ru/article/view?id=7019 (accessed 21.09.2018).

66. Shved S.I., Karasev A.G. Chreskostnoi osteosintezi po Ilizarovu pri lechenii bolnykh s perelomami oboih beder [Transossseous osteosynthesis according to Ilizarov for treatment of patients with bilateral femoral fractures]. Genij Ortopedii, 2002, no. 2, pp. 15-18. (in Russian)

67. Shved S.I., Karasev A.G., Dolganova T.I., Sveshnikov A.A. Lechenie bolnykh s mnozhhestvennymi perelomami kostei niuzhih konechnosti metodom chreskostnogo osteosinteza po Ilizarovu [Treatment of patients with multiple fractures of lower limb bones using the method of transossseous osteosynthesis according to Ilizarov]. Genij Ortopedii, 2006, no. 4, pp. 75-78. (in Russian)

68. Shevtsov V.I., Ismailov G.R., Kozmina T.Y., Znamenskaia M.G., Daniilkin M.Y. Vozmozhnosti chreskostnogo osteosinteza pri lechenii bolnykh s priobretennoi patologiei kisti [Transossseous osteosynthesis potentials in treatment of patients with the hand acquired pathology]. Genij Ortopedii, 2002, no. 1, pp. 19-23. (in Russian)

69. Shevtsov V.I., Makushin V.D., Kuftryev L.M. Defekty kostei niuzhei konechnosti [Defects of the lower limb bones]. Kurgan, Zaurale, 1996, 504 p. (in Russian)

70. Shchukin A.A., Aranovich A.M., Popkov A.V., Popkov D.A. Otsenka rezultatov udlineniia niuzhih konechnosti u bolnykh s sistennymi zaboletvaniiami skletla, soprovodzhaiushchymi patologicheski nizkim rostom [Evaluation of the results of lower limb lengthening in patients with systemic skeletal diseases accompanied by pathologically short stature]. Genij Ortopedii, 2014, no. 2, pp. 44-51. (in Russian)

71. Iamshchikov O.N., Markov D.A., Emelianov S.A. Primeneenie chreskostnogo osteosinteza apparatami vneshnei fiksatsii pri perelomakh bedrennykh kostei v sovremennykh usloviiakh [Current use of transossseous osteosynthesis with external fixators for femoral fractures]. Saratovskii Nauchno-Meditsinskii Zhurnal, 2014, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 645-648. (in Russian)

72. Azer D., Lehman W.B., Grant A.D., Strongwater A., Frankel V., Golyakhovsky V. Treatment of complex limb deformities in children with the Ilizarov technique. Orthopedics, 1991, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 961-967.

73. Bacon S., Smith W.R., Morgan S.J., Hasenboehler E., Philips G., Williams A., Ziran B.H., Stahel P.F. A retrospective analysis of comminuted intra-articular fractures of the tibial plafond: Open reduction and internal fixation versus external Ilizarov fixation. Injury, 2008, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 196-202. DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2007.09.003.

74. Baschera D., Kingwell D., Wren M., Zellweger R. A holistic perspective of patients’ lives post-Ilizarov external fixation. ANZ J. Surg., 2014, vol. 84, no. 10, pp. 776-780. DOI: 10.1111/j.1445-2197.2012.05669.x.

75. Borzunov D.Y., Chevardin A.V. Ilizarov non-free bone plasty for extensive tibial defects. Int. Orthop., 2013, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 709-714. DOI: 10.1007/s00264-013-1799-3.

76. Brinker M.R., O’Connor D.P. Outcomes of tibial nonunion in older adults following treatment using the Ilizarov method. J. Orthop. Trauma, 2007, vol. 21, no. 9, pp. 654-642. DOI: 10.1097/BOT.0b013e31815281ba.

77. Bruno A.A., Kirienko A., Peccati A., Duppliporto P., De Donato M., Arnaldi E., Portinaro N. Knee arthrodesis by the Ilizarov method in the treatment of total knee arthroplasty failure. Knee, 2017, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 91-99. DOI: 10.1016/j.knee.2016.11.002.

78. Calif E., Stein H., Lerner A. The Ilizarov external fixation frame in compression arthrodesis of large, weight bearing joints. Acta Orthop. Belg, 2007, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 51-56.

79. Carmichael K.D., Maxwell S.C., Calhoun J.H. Recurrence rates of burn contracture ankle equinus and other foot deformities in children treated with Ilizarov fixation. Pediatr. Orthop., 2005, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 525-528.

80. Cattaneo R., Villa A., Catagni M.A., Bell D. Lengthening of the humerus using the Ilizarov technique. Description of the method and report of 43 cases. Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 1994, no. 301, pp. 118-123.

81. Chadaa M., Gulati D., Singh A.P., Singh A.P., Maini L. Management of massive postrumatic bone defects in the lower limb with the Ilizarov technique. Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 1990, vol. 250, pp. 117-124.

82. Cierny G. 3rd, Zorn K.E. Segmental tibial defects. Comparing conventional and Ilizarov methodologies. Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 1994, no. 301, pp. 118-123.

83. Dammerer D., Kirschbichler K., Doman L., Kaufmann G., Krismer M., Biedermann R. Clinical value of the Taylor Spatial Frame: a comparison with the Ilizarov and Orthofix fixators. J. Child. Orthop., 2011, vol. 5, no. 5, pp. 545-549. DOI: 10.1007/s11832-011-0561-3.

84. Dujardin J., Lammens J. Treatment of delayed union or non-union of the tibial shaft with partial fibulectomy and an Ilizarov frame. Acta Orthop. Belg, 2007, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. 630-634.

85. El-Aly B.S. Unhappy triad in limb reconstruction: Management by Ilizarov method. World J. Orthop., 2017, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 42-48. DOI: 10.5532/wjo/v8.i1.42.

86. Eylon S., Porat S., Bor N., Leibner E.D. Outcome of Ilizarov ankle arthrodesis. Foot Ankle Int., 2007, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 873-879. DOI: 10.3113/FAL.2007.0873.

87. Fabry K., Lammens J., Delhey P., Stuyck J., Pellenberg U.Z. Ilizarov’s method: a solution for infected bone loss. Eur. J. Orthop. Surg. Traumatol., 2006, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 103-109. DOI: 10.1007/s00590-005-0052-1.

88. Farmanullah, Khan M.S., Awais S.M. Evaluation of management of tibial non-union defect with Ilizarov fixator. J. Ayub. Med. Coll. Abbottabad., 2007, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 34-36.

89. Fragomen A.T., Borst E., Schachter L., Lyman S., Rozbruch S.R. Complex ankle arthrodesis using the Ilizarov method yields high rate of fusion. Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 2012, vol. 470, no. 10, pp. 2684-2873. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-012-2470-9.

90. Freedman J.A., Watts H., Otsuka N.Y. The Ilizarov method for the treatment of resistant clubfoot: is it an effective solution?
Genij Ortopedii, Vol. 25, no 4, 2019

Literature review

J. Pediatr. Orthop., 2006, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 432-437. DOI: 10.1097/01.bpo.0000226276.70706.0e.

91. Hall J.A., Beuerlein M.J., McKee M.D.; Canadian Orthopaedic Trauma Society. Open reduction and internal fixation compared with circular fixator application for bicondylar tibial plateau fractures. J. Bone Joint Surg. Am., 2009, vol. 91, no. Suppl. 2, pt. 1, pp. 74-88. DOI: 10.2106/JBJS.G.01165.

92. Hosny G., Fadel M. Ilizarov external fixator for open fractures of the tibial shaft. Int. Orthop., 2003, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 305-306. DOI: 10.1007/s00264-003-0476-3.

93. Hosny G.A. Humeral lengthening and deformity correction. J. Child. Orthop., 2016, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 585-592. DOI: 10.1007/s11832-016-0789-6.

94. Inan M., Halici M., Ayan I., Tuncel M., Karaoglu S. Treatment of type IIIA open fractures of tibial shaft with Ilizarov external fixator versus unreamed tibial nailing. Arch. Trauma Surg., 2007, vol. 127, no. 8, pp. 617-623. DOI: 10.1007/s00402-007-0332-9.

95. Khan M.S., Rashid H., Umer M., Qadir I., Hafeez K., Iqbal A. Salvage of infected non-union of the tibia with an Ilizarov ring fixator. J. Orthop. Surg. (Hong Kong), 2015, vol. 23, no. 5, pp. 52-55. DOI: 10.1177/203949901502300112.

96. Khan M.S., Raza W., Ullah H., Khan A.S., Siraj M., Askar Z. Outcome of ilizarov fixator in complex non-union of long bones. J. Pak. Med. Assoc., 2015, vol. 65, no. 11 Suppl. 3, pp. SI47-SI51.

97. Khanfour A.A. Versatility of Ilizarov technique in difficult cases of ankle arthrodesis and review of literature. Foot Ankle Surg., 2015, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 42-47. DOI: 10.1016/j.fas.2012.10.001.

98. Krishnan A., Pamecha C., Patwa J.J. Modified ilizarov technique for infected nonunion of the femur: the principle of distraction- compression osteogenesis. J. Orthop. Surg. (Hong Kong), 2006, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 265-272. DOI: 10.1017/cbo9780511800310.

99. Kristiansen L.P., Steen H., Reikerås O. No difference in tibial lengthening index by use of Taylor spatial frame or Ilizarov external fixator. Acta Orthop., 2006, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 772-777. DOI: 10.1080/17453670610012971.

100. Kucukkaya M., Kabakcuoglu Y., Tezer M., Kuzgun U. Management of childhood chronic tibial osteomyelitis with the Ilizarov method. J. Pediatr. Orthop., 2002, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 652-657.

101. McGarvey W.C., Burris M.W., Clanton T.O., Melissinos E.G. Calcaneal fractures: indirect reduction and external fixation. Foot Ankle Int., 2006, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 494-499. DOI: 10.1177/107110070602700705.

102. McNally M., Ferguson J., Kugan R., Stubbs D. Ilizarov Treatment Protocols in the Management of Infected Nonunion of the Tibia. J. Orthop. Trauma, 2017, vol. 31, no. Suppl. 5, pp. S47-S54. DOI: 10.1097/BOT.0000000000000987.

103. Meleppuram J.J., Ibrahim S. Experience in fixation of infected non-union tibia by Ilizarov technique - a retrospective study of 42 cases. Rev. Bras. Ortop., 2016, vol. 52, no. 6, pp. 670-675. DOI: 10.1016/j.rboe.2016.11.008.

104. Menakaya C.U., Rigby A.S., Hadland Y., Barron E., Sharma H. Fracture healing following high energy tibial trauma: Ilizarov versus Taylor Spatial Frame. Am. J. Coll. Orthop. Surg., 2014, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 106-110. DOI: 10.1308/035588414X13824511650355.

105. Morasiewicz L., Orzechowski W., Kulej M., Stepniowski M. The results of treatment of bone defects and K.I. non-union within the femoral shaft with shortening of femur using ilizarov method. Ortop. Traumatol. Rehabil., 2007, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 366-376.

106. Novikov, K.N. Subramanam, S.O. Muradisinov, O.S. Novikova, E.S. Kolesnikova. Cosmetic lower limb lengthening by Ilizarov apparatus: what are the risks? Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res., 2014, vol. 472, no 11, pp. 3549-3556. DOI: 10.1007/s11999-014-3782-8.

107. O’Neill B.J., Fox C.M., Molloy A.P., O’Hare R. Use of circular external fixators in the management of lower limb trauma in Dublin: a single surgeon’s 20-year experience. Ir. J. Med. Sci., 2016, vol. 185, no 1, pp. 133-138. DOI: 10.1010/ s11845-014-1240-y.

108. Oostenbroek H.J., van Roermund P.M. Arthrodesis of the knee after an infected arthroplasty using the Ilizarov method. J. Bone Joint Surg. Br., 2001, vol. 83, no 1, pp. 50-54.

109. Oostenbroek H.J., van Roermund P.M. Arthrodesis of the knee after an infected arthroplasty using the Ilizarov method. J. Bone Joint Surg. Br., 2001, vol. 83, no 1, pp. 50-54.

110. Oostenbroek H.J., van Roermund P.M. Arthrodesis of the knee after an infected arthroplasty using the Ilizarov method. J. Bone Joint Surg. Br., 2001, vol. 83, no 1, pp. 50-54.

111. Oostenbroek H.J., van Roermund P.M. Arthrodesis of the knee after an infected arthroplasty using the Ilizarov method. J. Bone Joint Surg. Br., 2001, vol. 83, no 1, pp. 50-54.

112. Oostenbroek H.J., van Roermund P.M. Arthrodesis of the knee after an infected arthroplasty using the Ilizarov method. J. Bone Joint Surg. Br., 2001, vol. 83, no 1, pp. 50-54.
121. Tong K., Zhong Z., Peng Y., Lin C., Cao S., Yang Y., Wang G. Masquelet technique versus Ilizarov bone transport for reconstruction of lower extremity bone defects following posttraumatic osteomyelitis. *Injury*, 2017, vol. 48, no. 7, pp. 1616-1622. DOI: 10.1016/j.injury.2017.03.042.

122. Vanderstappen J., Lammens J., Berger P., Laumen A. Ilizarov bone transport as a treatment of congenital pseudarthrosis of the tibia: a long-term follow-up study. *J. Child. Orthop.*, 2015, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 319-324. DOI: 10.1007/s11832-015-0675-7.

123. Vidyadhara S., Rao S.K. Ilizarov treatment of complex tibial pilon fractures. *Int. Orthop.*, 2006, vol. 30, no. 2, pp. 113-117.

124. Villa A., Paley D., Catagni M.A., Bell D., Cattaneo R. Lengthening of the forearm by the Ilizarov technique. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.*, 1990, no. 250, pp. 125-137.

125. Wang H., Wei X., Liu P., Fu Y.H., Wang P.F., Cong Y.X., Zhang B.F., Li Z., Lei J.L., Zhang K., Zhuang Y. Quality of life and complications at the different stages of bone transport for treatment infected nonunion of the tibia. *Medicine (Baltimore)*, 2017, vol. 96, no. 45, pp. e8569. DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000008569.

126. Wani N.B., Syed B. Ilizarov ring fixator in the management of infected non-unions of tibia. *SICOT J.*, 2015, vol. 1, pp. 22. DOI: 10.1051/sicotj/2015022.

127. Williams M.O. Long-term cost comparison of major limb salvage using the Ilizarov method versus amputation. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.*, 1994, no. 301, pp. 156-158.

128. Wu Y., Yin Q., Rui Y., Sun Z., Gu S. Ilizarov technique: Bone transport versus bone shortening-lengthening for tibial bone and soft-tissue defects. *J. Orthop. Sci.*, 2017, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 341-345. DOI: 10.1016/j.jos.2017.12.002.

129. Xu K., Fu X., Li Y.M., Wang C.G., Li Z.J. A treatment for large defects of the tibia caused by infected nonunion: Ilizarov method with bone segment extension. *Ir. J. Med. Sci.*, 2014, vol. 183, no. 3, pp. 423-428. DOI: 10.1007/s11845-013-1052-9.

130. Yin P., Ji Q., Li T., Li J., Li Z., Liu J., Wang G., Wang S., Zhang L., Mao Z., Tang P. A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Ilizarov Methods in the Treatment of Infected Nonunion of Tibia and Femur. *PLoS One*, 2015, vol. 10, no. 11, pp. e0141973. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0141973.

131. Shevtsov V.I., Makushin V.D., Kuftyrev L.M., Soldatov Iu.P. Profilaktika i ustranenie vozmozhnykh oslozhnenii lechebnogo protsessa pri osteointezе pseudoartrozov i defektov kostei verkhnei konechnosti [Prevention and elimination of possible complications in treatment by osteosynthesis of pseudoarthroses and defects of the upper limb bones]. *Genij Ortopedii*, 2003, no. 2, pp. 103-107. (in Russian)

132. Sella E.J. Prevention and management of complications of the Ilizarov treatment method. *Foot Ankle Spec.*, 2008, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 105-107. DOI: 10.1177/19386400808315349.

133. Dahl M.T., Gulli B., Berg T. Complications of limb lengthening. A learning curve. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.*, 1994, no. 301, pp. 10-18.

134. Slomka R. Complications of ring fixators in the foot and ankle. *Clin. Orthop. Relat. Res.*, 2001, no. 391, pp. 115-122.

Received: 15.10.2018

**Information about the authors:**

1. Yuri P. Soldatov, M.D., Ph.D., Professor, Russian Ilizarov Scientific Center for Restorative Traumatology and Orthopaedics, Kurgan, Russian Federation
2. Maksim V. Stogov, Ph.D. of Biological Sciences, Russian Ilizarov Scientific Center for Restorative Traumatology and Orthopaedics, Kurgan, Russian Federation, Email: stogo_off@list.ru
3. Evgeny N. Ovchinnikov, Ph.D. of Biological Sciences, Russian Ilizarov Scientific Center for Restorative Traumatology and Orthopaedics, Kurgan, Russian Federation
4. Alexander V. Gubin, M.D., Ph.D., Russian Ilizarov Scientific Center for Restorative Traumatology and Orthopaedics, Kurgan, Russian Federation, Email: shugu19@gubin.spb.ru
5. Natalia V. Gorodnova, Ph.D. of Economic Sciences, professor, Ural Federal University, Ekaterinburg, Russian Federation, Email: n.v.gorodnova@urfu.ru