Metallic nanolines ruled by grain boundaries in graphene: an \textit{ab initio} study
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We have performed an \textit{ab initio} investigation of the energetic stability, and the electronic properties of transition metals (TMs = Mn, Fe, Co, and Ru) adsorbed on graphene upon the presence of grain boundaries (GBs). Our results reveal an energetic preference for the TMs lying along the GB sites (TM/GB). Such an energetic preference has been strengthened by increasing the concentration of the TM adatoms; giving rise to TM nanolines on graphene ruled by GBs. Further diffusion barrier calculations for Fe adatoms support the formation of those TM nanolines. We find that the energy barriers parallel to the GBs are slightly lower in comparison with those obtained for the defect free graphene; whereas, perpendicularly to the GBs the Fe adatoms face higher energy barriers. Fe and Co (Mn) nanolines are ferromagnetic (ferrimagnetic), in contrast the magnetic state of Ru nanolines is sensitive to the Ru/GB adsorption geometry. The electronic properties of those TM nanolines were characterized through extensive electronic band structure calculations. The formation of metallic nanolines is mediated by a strong hybridization between the TM and the graphene ($\pi$) orbitals along the GB sites. Due to the net magnetization of the TM nanolines, our band structure results indicate an anisotropic (spin-polarized) electronic current for some TM/GB systems.

\section{I. INTRODUCTION}

Topological defects in two dimensional systems has been the subject of several studies addressing not only their intrinsic properties, but also the use of those structural defects to perform nanoengineering on 2D platforms. Currently, graphene has been the most studied 2D platform; where we may have localized topological defects like Stone-Wales\cite{12} and self-interstitials\cite{13}, or extended defects like grain boundaries\cite{3,4}.

Grain boundaries (GBs) may change the electronic transport properties in graphene\cite{10}. Very recently, extended defects have been synthesized in a controlled way, giving rise to (i) metallic channels embedded in graphene\cite{10}. Meanwhile, (ii) ferromagnetic properties have been predicted along the GB sites in graphene, upon external tensile strain\cite{11}, or n-type doping\cite{12}. Further theoretical studies indicate that (iii) through a suitable incorporation of nitrogen atoms along the GB sites, we may have an electronic confinement effects, giving rise to semiconductor channels in graphene\cite{13}. Those properties [(i)–(iii)] depend on the atomic geometry along the GBs.

The presence of reconstructed defects enhances the chemical reactivity of the GB sites\cite{11}. Indeed, GBs in graphene have been identified by deposition of foreign elements, like silver\cite{15} or gold\cite{16}. Such an enhanced reactivity can be used to mediate (self) assembly processes on graphene, giving rise to nanoline (NL) structures ruled by GBs. Those NLs may provide a set of new/useful electronic and chemical properties. In a recent experimental work\cite{17}, the authors verified that the performance of hydrogen gas sensors was improved, upon the formation of linear structure of Pt adatoms along the GB sites. Further theoretical studies indicate that NLs of Fe and Mn adatoms lying along the GBs, composed by a pair of pentagons and an octagon\cite{19} give rise to half-metallic nature for the electronic transport along the Fe or Mn decorated GB sites\cite{15,19}. Indeed, somewhat similar spin-polarized current, dictated by the presence of foreign atoms, has been predicted along the edge sites of graphene nanoribbons\cite{20,21}.

In this work we have performed an \textit{ab initio} study, based on the density functional theory (DFT), of the energetic stability and the electronic properties of transition metals (TMs = Mn, Fe, Co, and Ru) adsorbed on graphene upon the presence of grain boundaries. Here we have considered a number of plausible TM–GB configurations for two different GB geometries. We find an energetic preference for the TMs lying along the GB sites (TM/GB), giving rise to TM nanolines (TM-NLs) ruled by GBs. Due to the metal–metal (chemical) interactions, the energetic stability of the TM/GB structures has been strengthened by increasing the concentration of TM adatoms along the GB sites. Those results provide further support to the recent experimental findings of linear structures of TMs on graphene, patterned by GBs\cite{15,19}. In addition, due to the net magnetic moment of the TM adatoms, we find that the Fe- and Co-NLs are ferromagnetic, while Mn-NLs are ferrimagnetic. In contrast, the magnetic state (ferromagnetic/nonmagnetic) of Ru-NLs is sensitive to the (local) adsorption geometry. Our electronic band structure calculations reveal that the (most of) TM/GB systems are metallic, and indicate a spin-anisotropy for the electronic current along the TM-NLs.

\section{II. METHOD}

The calculations were performed based on the DFT approach, as implemented in the VASP code\cite{22}. The exchange correlation term was described by using the spin-polarized GGA approach, in the form proposed by Perdew, Burke and Ernzerholf\cite{23}. The Kohn-Sham or-
bitals are expanded in a plane wave basis set with an energy cutoff of 400 eV. The 2D Brillouin Zone (BZ) is sampled according to the Monkhorst-Pack method (MP), using a \(8 \times 8 \times 1\) mesh for GB(5-8) and \(6 \times 6 \times 1\) mesh for GB(5-7). We made additional convergence tests with respect to the energy cutoff (up to 450 eV) and BZ sampling (MP mesh of up to \(20 \times 20 \times 1\)). The electron-ion interactions are taken into account using the Projector Augmented Wave (PAW) method. All geometries have been relaxed until atomic forces were lower than 0.025 eV/Å. The molecule/graphene system is simulated using the slab method, by considering a vacuum region in the direction perpendicular to graphene sheet of at least 8 Å.

III. RESULTS

A. TM/Graphene and Pristine GBs

Initially we examine the energetic stability and the electronic properties of the TMs (TMs = Mn, Fe, Co, and Ru) adsorbed on the pristine graphene layer. The adsorption energy \(E^a\) can be written as,

\[
E^a = E[\text{graphene}] + E[\text{TM}] - E[\text{TM/graphene}],
\]

where \(E[\text{graphene}]\) and \(E[\text{TM}]\) are the total energies of the separated components, graphene sheet and TM atom, and \(E[\text{TM/graphene}]\) is the total energy of the final system, namely graphene sheet adsorbed by TM, TM/graphene. In Table I we present our results of \(E^a\), net magnetic moment (m), and the nearest neighbor (NN) TM–C equilibrium distances for the TMs adsorbed on the (energetically more stable) hollow sites of graphene. Here, we find a good agreement with the previous theoretical studies, viz.: \(E^a = 0.17\) eV/Mn-atom \(26\), \(E^a = 1.02, 0.85, \) and \(0.65\) eV/Fe-atom \(26–28\), \(E^a = 1.27\) eV/Co-atom \(26\), and \(E^a = 2.64\) eV/Ru-atom \(29\).

In Fig. 2 we present the structural models of GBs, GB(5-7) (a) and GB(5-8) (b). The Fermi level was set to zero.

| TM    | \(E^a\) (eV/atom) | TM–C (Å) | m (µB) |
|-------|-------------------|---------|-------|
| Mn    | 0.19              | 2.08    | 0.00  |
| Fe    | 0.73              | 2.11    | 2.01  |
| Co    | 1.14              | 2.11    | 1.16  |
| Ru    | 2.41              | 2.25    | 1.46  |

In Fig. 3 we present the structural models of GBs in graphene, and their electronic band structures. In GB(5-7) we have a defect line composed by carbon pentagons and heptagons embedded in the graphene sheet [Fig. 2(a1)], whereas GB(5-8) is composed by two pentagons and an octagon along the graphene zigzag direction [Fig. 2(b1)]. The electronic band structures are characterized by a linear energy dispersion, near the Dirac Point (DP), for wave vectors parallel to the defect lines, Figs. 2(a2) and 2(b2). Scanning tunelling microscopy (STM) experiments show the formation of bright lines along the GBs in graphene \(5,7,10\). Such STM picture has been supported by recent first-principles simulations \(6\), and indicates that the electronic states near the Fermi level \(E_F\) are mostly localized along the GBs. The increase of the electronic density of states near \(E_F\) suggests a more reactive character of the GB sites in comparison with the pristine region of the graphene sheet \(30\). Indeed, our \(E^a\) results reveal that there is an energetic preference for TMs adsorbed along the GB sites of GB(5-7) and GB(5-8).
FIG. 3. Diffusion paths for Fe adatoms in GB(5-8) (a) and GB(5-7); and the calculated energy barriers of Fe/GB(5-8) along the diffusion path T1 (c), and the energy barriers of Fe/GB(5-7) along the diffusion paths T1 (d), T2 (e), and T3 (f).

TABLE II. Adsorption energy ($E^a$ in eV/atom), and the net magnetic moment (m in µB) of TM/GB(5-8) and TM/GB(5-7) systems, for the TM adsorbed on the pentagonal (P), heptagonal (H), and octagonal (O) hollow sites.

| TM/GB(5-8) | P   | O   | P   | O   |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Mn         | 1.31| 1.16| 4.30| 4.27|
| Fe         | 1.62| 1.61| 2.76| 3.12|
| Co         | 2.00| 1.64| 0.93| 1.89|
| Ru         | 3.63| 2.81| 0.00| 1.70|

| TM/GB(5-7) | P   | H   | P   | H   |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Mn         | 0.87| 0.79| 4.48| 4.21|
| Fe         | 1.06| 1.36| 2.54| 2.13|
| Co         | 1.52| 1.53| 1.03| 1.05|
| Ru         | 3.05| 2.81| 0.00| 1.71|

B. One TM per GB unit cell

We have considered a number of plausible configurations of TMs adsorbed along the GB sites (TM/GB); where we confirm the energetic preference of TM/GB, when compared with the TMs adsorbed on the pristine graphene. For instance, we find that the Fe adatoms lying on the pentagonal (P) rings of GB(5-8), Fe[P]/GB(5-8), are more strongly attached to the graphene sheet by around 0.9 eV/atom, $E^a = 0.73 \rightarrow 1.62$ eV/atom. The same increase on the adsorption energy was verified for Co[P]/GB(5-8). For Mn[P]/ and Ru[P]/GB(5-8) the adsorption energy increases by about 1.2 eV/atom.

TMs adsorbed on the octagonal (TM[O]) ring is the second most stable configuration for Mn, Co and Ru, while Fe[P]/ and Fe[O]/GB(5-8) present almost the same adsorption energies. Our results of adsorption energies and the net magnetic moments are summarized in Table II. The energetic preference for Fe[P]/, and Co[P]/GB(5-8) are in agreement with the previous theoretical studies, however, the same does not occur for the Mn adatom. We find an energetic preference for the pentagonal sites, Mn[P]/GB(5-8) instead of Mn[O]/GB(5-8). In order to verify the accuracy of our results, we have performed additional calculations including up Mn-3s as valence orbitals for the Mn pseudopotential, (i) within the PAW approach, and (ii) using ultrasoft Vanderbilt pseudopotentials. In (i) we have used the VASP code, as described in Section II (increasing the energy cutoff to 450 eV), and in (ii) we have used the Quantum-ESPRESSO code. In both cases [(i) and (ii)], the energetic preference for the P sites was confirmed, being the Mn[P]/GB(5-8) more stable than Mn[O]/GB(5-8) by 0.16 and 0.15 eV, respectively.

The energetic preference for the GB sites has been also verified in TM/GB(5-7); however, compared with the TM/GB(5-8) systems, the adsorption energies are lower by 0.3-0.5 eV/atom for the most stable configurations. The adsorption energy results are also summarized in Table II; where we find that (i) the energetic preference for the pentagonal rings for Mn and Ru adatoms has been maintained, while (ii) Fe adatoms are more stable by 0.3 eV on the heptagonal (H) ring, and (iii) for Co adatoms, the P and H rings present almost the same
In particular, for the Fe/GB systems, we examined the Fe diffusion on the GB(5-8) and GB(5-7) defect lines. The energy barriers were estimated by using the CI-NEB approach. For the Fe/GB(5-8) system, we have considered the diffusion path T1, depicted in Fig. 3(a). We find that (i) the energy barrier \( E_{\text{barr}} = 0.38 \, eV \) for Fe diffusion along the GB sites passing through the C–C bridge site, \( \text{Fe}[O] \rightarrow \text{Fe}[P'] \rightarrow \text{Fe}[O] \) or \( \text{Fe}[O] \rightarrow \text{Fe}[P'] \rightarrow \text{Fe}[P] \rightarrow \text{Fe}[O] \) in Fig. 3(c), is lower than the one passing through hexagonal sites, \( \text{Fe}[g'] \) \( E_{\text{barr}} = 0.45 \, eV \); and (ii) the Fe adatom will face energy barrier of about 0.5 eV to move out from the GB(5-8) defect line, \( \text{Fe}[P] \rightarrow \text{Fe}[g] \). Meanwhile, the spin-up and -down energy bands are degenerated in Ru[O]/GB(5-8), Fig. 4(c), where Ru-4d\( x^2-y^2 \) and 3d\( z^2 \) orbitals give rise to a Dirac-type cone at \( E_{\text{F}} = \pm 0.3 \, eV \). We find that Ru[O] adatoms become spin-polarized. Ru[O]/GB(5-8) presents a net magnetic moment of 1.70 \( \mu_B \) (mainly) due to the unoccupied spin-down 4d\( xz \) and 4d\( yz \) orbitals at \( E_F = +1 \, eV \), Fig. 4(g).

The electronic structures of Fe[H], Co[H]/ and Ru[H]/GB(5-7), depicted in Figs. 5(e), 5(f) and 5(g), reveal that (i) the TM-d\( x^2-y^2 \), -3d\( z^2 \) and -4d\( xz \) orbitals hybridize with the graphene-\( \pi \) orbitals, preserving the Dirac cone structure for the spin-up channels, within an energy window of \( E_F = \pm 0.5 \, eV \); whereas (ii) the spin-down channels are characterized by the presence of dispersionless TM-d orbitals near the Fermi level. Such an electronic structure gives rise to a spin-anisotropy in the electronic current along the ΓY and LX directions, and (ii) localized TM-d\( x^2-y^2 \) orbitals at about \( E_F = -0.5 \, eV \). We find that Ru[O] adatoms become spin-polarized. Ru[O]/GB(5-8) presents a net magnetic moment of 1.70 \( \mu_B \) (mainly) due to the unoccupied spin-down 4d\( xz \) and 4d\( yz \) orbitals at \( E_F = +1 \, eV \), Fig. 4(g).

The electronic structures of Fe[H], Co[H]/ and Ru[H]/GB(5-7), depicted in Figs. 5(e), 5(f) and 5(g), reveal that (i) the TM-d\( x^2-y^2 \), -3d\( z^2 \) and -4d\( xz \) orbitals hybridize with the graphene-\( \pi \) orbitals, preserving the Dirac cone structure for the spin-up channels, within an energy window of \( E_F = \pm 0.5 \, eV \); whereas (ii) the spin-down channels are characterized by the presence of dispersionless TM-d orbitals near the Fermi level. Such an electronic structure gives rise to a spin-anisotropy in the electronic current along the ΓY and LX directions, and (ii) localized TM-d\( x^2-y^2 \) orbitals at about \( E_F = -0.5 \, eV \). We find that Ru[O] adatoms become spin-polarized. Ru[O]/GB(5-8) presents a net magnetic moment of 1.70 \( \mu_B \) (mainly) due to the unoccupied spin-down 4d\( xz \) and 4d\( yz \) orbitals at \( E_F = +1 \, eV \), Fig. 4(g).

The electronic structures of Fe[H], Co[H]/ and Ru[H]/GB(5-7), depicted in Figs. 5(e), 5(f) and 5(g), reveal that (i) the TM-d\( x^2-y^2 \), -3d\( z^2 \) and -4d\( xz \) orbitals hybridize with the graphene-\( \pi \) orbitals, preserving the Dirac cone structure for the spin-up channels, within an energy window of \( E_F = \pm 0.5 \, eV \); whereas (ii) the spin-down channels are characterized by the presence of dispersionless TM-d orbitals near the Fermi level. Such an electronic structure gives rise to a spin-anisotropy in the electronic current along the ΓY and LX directions, and (ii) localized TM-d\( x^2-y^2 \) orbitals at about \( E_F = -0.5 \, eV \). We find that Ru[O] adatoms become spin-polarized. Ru[O]/GB(5-8) presents a net magnetic moment of 1.70 \( \mu_B \) (mainly) due to the unoccupied spin-down 4d\( xz \) and 4d\( yz \) orbitals at \( E_F = +1 \, eV \), Fig. 4(g).

The electronic structures of Fe[H], Co[H]/ and Ru[H]/GB(5-7), depicted in Figs. 5(e), 5(f) and 5(g), reveal that (i) the TM-d\( x^2-y^2 \), -3d\( z^2 \) and -4d\( xz \) orbitals hybridize with the graphene-\( \pi \) orbitals, preserving the Dirac cone structure for the spin-up channels, within an energy window of \( E_F = \pm 0.5 \, eV \); whereas (ii) the spin-down channels are characterized by the presence of dispersionless TM-d orbitals near the Fermi level. Such an electronic structure gives rise to a spin-anisotropy in the electronic current along the ΓY and LX directions, and (ii) localized TM-d\( x^2-y^2 \) orbitals at about \( E_F = -0.5 \, eV \). We find that Ru[O] adatoms become spin-polarized. Ru[O]/GB(5-8) presents a net magnetic moment of 1.70 \( \mu_B \) (mainly) due to the unoccupied spin-down 4d\( xz \) and 4d\( yz \) orbitals at \( E_F = +1 \, eV \), Fig. 4(g).
FIG. 4. Electronic band structure of TM/GB(5-8) for TMs adsorbed on the pentagonal (P) site, TM[P]/GB(5-8), TM[P] = Fe (a), Co (b), Ru (c), and Mn (d); and for TMs adsorbed on the octagonal (O) site, TM[O]/GB(5-8), TM[O] = Fe (e), Co (f), Ru (g), and Mn (h). The Fermi level was set to zero.

FIG. 5. Electronic band structure of TM/GB(5-7) for TMs adsorbed on the pentagonal (P) site, TM[P]/GB(5-7), TM[P] = Fe (a), Co (b), Ru (c), and Mn (d); and for TMs adsorbed on the heptagonal (H) site, TM[H]/GB(5-7), TM[H] = Fe (e), Co (f), Ru (g), and Mn (h). The Fermi level was set to zero.
FIG. 6. Structural models of TM[PO]/GB(5-8) for Fe[PO] and Mn[PO] (a), TM[PP]/GB(5-8) (b), TM[PO]/GB(5-8) for Co[PO] and Ru[PO], and TM[PH]/GB(5-7) (d).

and the presence of a Dirac-type cone structure along the LX direction, are in agreement with our previous studies of nitrogen (n-type) doped GB(5-8). Indeed, by comparing the electronic band structure of pristine GB(5-8) [Fig. 2(d)], and Mn/GB(5-8), we verify a downshift of the DP, indicating a n-type doping of GB(5-8) upon the adsorption of both Mn[PO] and Mn[O]. Thus, the presence of those metallic states rules out the half metallic properties of Mn/GB(5-8). We believe that further investigations are necessary to clarify this point.

C. Two TMs per GB unit cell

We next examine the energetic stability of TM/GB by increasing the concentration of TMs along the GB sites. For TM/GB(5-8), we have considered two different geometries, viz.: one TM adsorbed on the pentagonal ring and another on the octagonal ring (TM[PO]), and the two TMs adsorbed on the two pentagonal rings of GB(5-8) (TM[PP]). In Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) we present the calculated equilibrium geometries of TM[PO]/GB(5-8) and TM[PP]/GB(5-8), for TM = Mn and Fe. As shown in Table III, we find that the energetic stability of TM/GB(5-8) has been strengthened by increasing the concentration of the TMs along the GB sites; being the TM[PO] configuration more stable than TM[PP]. That is, the formation of TM-NLs has been favored upon the increase of the TM concentration along the GBs. For instance, Mn[PO]/ and Fe[PO]/GB(5-8) are more stable by \( \sim 1.2 \) eV/atom than their counterparts Mn[P]/ and Fe[P]/GB(5-8). At the equilibrium geometry, we find that Mn[PO] and Fe[PO] form a zigzag structure [Fig. 6(a)].

The energetic preference to the formation of TM-NLs along the GBs was also verified in TM/GB(5-7), Fig. 6(d). Here, we have considered one TM on the pentagonal site, and another on the heptagonal site, TM[PH]/GB(5-7). As shown in Table IV, the TM adsorption energies increase by \( \sim 1 \) eV/atom when compared with their counterparts TM[P]/ and TM[PH]/GB(5-7) systems. Those results for TM/GB(5-8) and TM/GB(5-7) provide further support to the recent experimental findings, related to the formation of linear structures of TMs on graphene ruled by GBs.

The magnetic properties of those TM-NLs are mediated by the TM–TM and TM–GB interactions. We calculate the total energy difference between the AFM and FM states, \( \Delta E_{\text{AFM-FM}} = E_{\text{AFM}} - E_{\text{FM}} \) for Mn[PO]/GB(5-8). We find that the AFM configuration is more stable by \( \Delta E_{\text{AFM-FM}} = -0.22 \) eV/atom. It is worth noting that the TMs adatoms sitting on the P and O sites present different hybridizations with the (graphene) host, and thus we have different net magnetic moment for TM[P] and Mn[O]. In this case, instead of AFM coupling, Mn[PO]/GB(5-8) presents a ferrimagnetic configuration, with a net magnetic moment of \( m = 0.1 \mu_B \). In Fig. 7(a) we present the spin-density for the ferrimagnetic Mn[PO]/GB(5-8). At the equilibrium geometry, we find Mn–Mn bond length of 2.76 Å \([d_1 = d_2 = 2.76 \text{ Å}]\) in Fig. 6(a).

In contrast, both Fe[PO]/ and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8) are FM, with \( \Delta E_{\text{AFM-FM}} \) of 0.14 and 0.21 eV/atom, and \( m = 3.14 \) and 3.17 \( \mu_B \)/Fe-atom, respectively. Figures 7(b) and 7(c) present the spin-density of the FM Fe[PO]/ and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8). At the equilibrium geometry (in the FM state), the Fe adatoms form a dimer-like structure, with a dimer bond length of 2.17 Å and dimer separation of 3.36 Å, \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) in Fig. 6(a). It is worth noting that the spin polarization are localized on the Fe adatoms, with almost negligible contribution from the host (neighboring) C atoms. Fe[PO]/GB(5-8) and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8)

| TM/GB(5-8) | \( E^a \) (eV/atom) | \( m \) (\( \mu_B \)) |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Mn[PO]     | 2.51              | 0.10            |
| Mn[PP]     | 2.14              | 1.46            |
| Fe[PO]     | 2.93              | 1.00            |
| Fe[PP]     | 2.86              | 1.24            |
| Co[PO]     | 3.07              | 2.14            |
| Co[PP]     | 2.57              | 2.17            |
| Ru[PO]     | 5.32              | 2.14            |
| Ru[PP]     | 4.02              | 1.00            |

| TM/GB(5-7) | \( E^a \) (eV/atom) | \( m \) (\( \mu_B \)) |
|------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Mn[PO]     | 1.82              | 0.10            |
| Mn[PP]     | 2.32              | 3.04            |
| Fe[PO]     | 2.45              | 1.37            |
| Fe[PP]     | 3.92              | 1.61            |

The magnetic properties of those TM-NLs are mediated by the TM–TM and TM–GB interactions. We calculate the total energy difference between the AFM and FM states, \( \Delta E_{\text{AFM-FM}} = E_{\text{AFM}} - E_{\text{FM}} \) for Mn[PO]/GB(5-8). We find that the AFM configuration is more stable by \( \Delta E_{\text{AFM-FM}} = -0.22 \) eV/atom. It is worth noting that the TMs adatoms sitting on the P and O sites present different hybridizations with the (graphene) host, and thus we have different net magnetic moment for TM[P] and Mn[O]. In this case, instead of AFM coupling, Mn[PO]/GB(5-8) presents a ferrimagnetic configuration, with a net magnetic moment of \( m = 0.1 \mu_B \). In Fig. 7(a) we present the spin-density for the ferrimagnetic Mn[PO]/GB(5-8). At the equilibrium geometry, we find Mn–Mn bond length of 2.76 Å \([d_1 = d_2 = 2.76 \text{ Å}]\) in Fig. 6(a).

In contrast, both Fe[PO]/ and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8) are FM, with \( \Delta E_{\text{AFM-FM}} \) of 0.14 and 0.21 eV/atom, and \( m = 3.14 \) and 3.17 \( \mu_B \)/Fe-atom, respectively. Figures 7(b) and 7(c) present the spin-density of the FM Fe[PO]/ and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8). At the equilibrium geometry (in the FM state), the Fe adatoms form a dimer-like structure, with a dimer bond length of 2.17 Å and dimer separation of 3.36 Å, \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) in Fig. 6(a). It is worth noting that the spin polarization are localized on the Fe adatoms, with almost negligible contribution from the host (neighboring) C atoms. Fe[PO]/GB(5-8) and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8)
The energy bands of spin-up and -down channels of the ferrimagnetic Mn[PO]/GB(5-8) system are almost the same, Fig. 8(a). The differences are due to the different adsorption sites of Mn adatoms, namely Mn[P] and Mn[O]. Here, for both spin channels, we find a Dirac-like structure along the L-X direction, as we obtained for the spin-down channels of Mn[P]/ and Mn[O]/GB(5-8), Fig. 4(d) and 4(h). Those Dirac-like states are mostly ruled by the graphene-π orbitals, with small contributions from the Mn-4s and -3d states. The magnetic moment of each Mn adatom, \( m = 4.08 \) and \( 4.18 \mu_B \) for Mn[P] and Mn[O], respectively, are mostly due to the unpaired Mn-3d electrons; where the occupied (unoccupied) Mn-3d orbitals lie at about \( E_F - 3 \text{ eV} \) (\( E_F + 1 \text{ eV} \)).

In Figs. 8(b) and 8(c) we present the electronic band structure of the FM Fe[PO]/ and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8) systems. Near the Fermi level, the electronic band structure of the pristine GB(5-8) has been somewhat preserved for the spin-up channel of both systems. In Fe[PO]/GB(5-8), the electronic states near the edge of the Brillouin zone are characterized by Fe-4s orbital hybridized with the π orbitals of the graphene layer, energy band \( c1 \) in Fig. 8(b). Whereas the electronic contribution of the Fe adatoms in \( c1 \) is negligible for Fe[PP]/GB(5-8), Fig. 8(c); indicating that the (spin-up) electronic transport in Fe[PP]/GB(5-8) will be mediated by the π orbitals of graphene. For both systems, the DP lies below the Fermi level, suggesting a net electronic charge transfer to the graphene sheet (n-type doping). In contrast, the spin-down channels are characterized by nearly dispersionless energy bands predominantly composed by Fe-3d orbitals, and the Dirac cone feature has been washed out. Thus, similarly to the previous Fe[P]/ and Fe[O]/GB(5-8) structures, we may expect a spin-anisotropy on the electronic transport along the FM Fe/GB(5-8) system. On the other hand, such a spin-anisotropy has been suppressed in AFM Fe[PO]/ and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8), where we find semiconductor systems (not shown). Indeed, spin-depend electronic current, perpendicular to the GB sites, has been proposed for other TM geometries on Gb(5-8).
FIG. 9. Simulated STM images of Fe/GB system, for the occupied (a1)–(c1), and empty states (a2)–(c2), for (a) Fe[PO]/GB(5-8), (b) Fe[PP]/GB(5-8) and (c) Fe[HP]/GB(5-7). We have considered an energy interval of 1 eV with respect to the Fermi level.

In Fig. 9(a) and 9(b) we present the simulated STM images of Fe[PO]/ and Fe[PP]/GB(5-8) within an energy interval of ±1 eV with respect to the Fermi level. In Fe[PO]/GB(5-8), the occupied states (\(E_F - 1\) eV) are characterized by the formation of a bright line along the Fe adsorbed GB sites [Fig. 9(a1)], whereas for the empty states (\(E_F + 1\) eV) we find bright spots lying on the Fe adatoms, Fig. 9(a2). The STM pictures for the occupied and empty states [Figs. 9(b1) and 9(b2)] are quite similar for Fe[PP]/GB(5-8); characterized by the bright Fe dimers perpendicularly to the GB line, which is in agreement with the localized feature of the Fe orbitals in Fe[PP]/GB(5-8). The formation of bright Fe dimers has been also verified in Fe/GB(5-7), Figs. 9(c1) and 9(c2). Somewhat similar STM pictures are expected to the other TM/GB systems. Here, the formation of bright lines along the GB sites, adsorbed by TMs, is in accordance with the recent experimental STM images.15–17

The equilibrium geometries of Co[PO] and Ru[PO]/GB(5-8) are different than those obtained for Mn[PO] and Fe[PO]/GB(5-8). As shown in Fig. 6(c), (i) the Co (Ru) adatoms form a nearly linear Co-NL (Ru-NL) with \(d_1 = 2.36\) Å and \(d_2 = 2.55\) Å (\(d_1 = 2.44\) Å and \(d_2 = 2.48\) Å), and (ii) the Co and Ru adatoms lie on the C–C bridge sites along the GB. Co[PO]/GB(5-8) present a FM state; where the spin-density is mainly localized on the Co adatoms, Fig. 7(d). Similarly to the other Fe/GB systems, the electronic band structure of Co[PO]/GB(5-8) [Fig. 10(a)] suggests a spin dependent electronic current. The electronic transport along the Co-NLs will be ruled by the spin-up channels, since the spin-down electrons will face scattering processes due to the Co-3d localized states near the Fermi level. As shown in Fig. 10(a), (i) the energy bands on the spin-up channel (near the Fermi level) are characterized by a strong hybridization between the 3p \(z\) and 4s orbitals of the Co adatoms with the \(\pi\) bands of the graphene host. Whereas, (ii) for the spin-down channels, we find a set of flat bands, mostly composed by localized Co-3d \(z^2\) and -3d \(x^2-y^2\) states. It is worth noting that (i) and (ii) were already verified in Co/GB systems composed by a single Co adatoms per grain boundary unit cell, Figs. 4 and 5. Here, we find that the formation of those dispersionless energy bands near the Fermi level [(ii)] has been strengthened, by increasing the concentration of Co adatoms along the GB sites. Meanwhile, the Dirac cone structure has been suppressed for both spin-channels in Ru[PO]/GB(5-8), Fig. 10(b). The electronic band structure of Ru[PO]/GB(5-8) can be characterized by the formation of metallic bands along the Γ-Y and L-X direction, composed by Ru-4d and graphene \(\pi\) orbitals.

The Mn[PH]/GB(5-7) system presents a ferrimagnetic state as the lowest energy configuration. As shown in Fig. 11(a), the spin densities on the Mn[P] and Mn[H] adatoms are slightly different, giving rise to a net magnetic moment of 0.16 \(\mu_B\). The magnetic moment of each...
FIG. 11. Spin densities of TM[PH]/GB(5-7) for the ferrimagnetic Mn[PO]/GB(5-7) (a), FM Fe[PH]/GB(5-7) (b), FM Co[PH]/GB(5-7) (c), and FM Ru[PH]/GB(5-7). Isosurfaces of $3 \times 10^{-3} \text{e/Å}^3$.

Mn adatom, m=4.30 and 4.25 $\mu_B$ for Mn[P] and Mn[O], are mostly due to the unpaired Mn-3d electrons; with the occupied (unoccupied) Mn-3d orbitals lying at about $E_F - 3 \text{eV}$ ($E_F + 1 \text{eV}$). In Fig. 12(a) we present the electronic band structure of Mn[PO]/GB(5-7). We find, for both spin channels, metallic bands for wave vectors along the $\Gamma$-$Y$ direction, composed by Mn-3d$_{x^2-y^2}$ and -3d$_{xz}$ states hybridized with the host $\pi$ orbitals.

In contrast, the other TM[PH]/GB(5-7) systems (TM = Fe, Co and Ru) are more stable at the FM state. In Fig. 11(b)–11(d) we present the (ground state) spindensities of Fe[PH]/, Co[PH]/, and Ru[PH]/GB(5-7); where we find the formation of dimer-like structures along the GB sites. However, the electronic structure of those TM[PH]/GB(5-7) systems are quite different. In Fe[PO]/GB(5-7) [Fig. 12(b)], the electronic contribution of Fe adatoms for the spin-up metallic states is negligible, whereas for the spin-down channel, the Fe-3d orbitals give rise to dispersionless energy bands near the Fermi level. In contrast, in Co[PH]/GB(5-7), the Co-4s and -3d$_{yz}$ orbitals contribute to the formation of (spin-up) metallic bands along the $\Gamma$-$Y$ and L-X directions [Fig. 12(c)]; indicating a charge density overlap, of Co-4s and -3d$_{yz}$ orbitals, between the nearest neighbor Co-dimers along the GB sites. Whereas, for the spin-down energy bands, we find a set of dispersionless energy bands ruled by the Co-3d orbitals. Such a charge density overlap is somewhat reduced for the Ru-dimers in Ru[PH]/GB(5-7). As shown in Fig. 12(d), there are no metallic bands for both spin channels of FM Ru[PO]/GB(5-7). The electronic bands near the Fermi level present an energy dispersion of $\sim0.3 \text{eV}$, and are mostly composed by Ru-5s and -4d$_{xz}$ orbitals.

In summary, our results show that the formation of TM-NLs ruled by GBs in graphene is an energetically favorable process; where the electronic structure of those TM-NLs is constrained by (i) the equilibrium geometry of the TM adatoms (for instance, Fe[PP]/ and Fe[PO]/GB(5-8)), as well as (ii) the atomic geometry of the GB sites like in Fe[P]/GB(5-8) and Fe[P]/GB(5-7).

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the ab initio DFT calculations, we find energetically stable TM nanolines in graphene ruled by GBs...
(TM = Mn, Fe, Co, and Ru). The stability of those TM nanolines is strengthened upon TM–TM chemical interactions. Our total energy results provide further support to the recent experimental findings of TM nanolines in graphene patterned by GBs. At the equilibrium geometry, we find ferromagnetic (ferrimagnetic) Fe and Co (Mn) nanolines, while the magnetic state of the Ru nanolines depends on the (local) adsorption geometry. Through extensive electronic band structure calculations, we verify that the most of TM nanolines are (i) metallic, and (ii) spin-polarized with quite different spin-up and spin-down electronic band structures. In (ii) we can infer a spin-anisotropy for the electronic current along those TM nanolines.
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