Surgical Treatment Approaches in Severe Spinal Deformities Associated with Intraspinal Pathologies
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Abstract

Objective: Scoliosis with associated intraspinal anomalies may be treated either before the correction of spinal deformities or during the same session. Our study elucidates the impact of the timing of single- or two-stage neurosurgical and deformity treatment of intraspinal pathologies with the outcomes of serious spinal deformities and discusses the preferable method.

Methods: Patients who were operated either concurrently or in two stages, due to intraspinal anomalies associated with rigid spinal deformities, were radiologically and clinically examined. Patients’ ages during the neurosurgical treatment and at the time of deformity treatment, period between two surgeries, follow-up period, clinical and radiological results and encountered complications were recorded.

Results: Nineteen patients (13 females, 6 males) underwent surgery for spinal deformities associated with intraspinal pathologies between 2007 and 2014. Fifteen (78.9%) patients underwent a two-stage surgery and four (21.1%) patients’ concurrent surgery. Mean age of the patients at the time of intraspinal pathology surgery was 8.6 ± 6.9 years and at posterior spinal fusion (PSF) 13.4 ± 3.9 years. The period between the two surgeries was 54.2 ± 67.5 months on average and the mean follow-up period was 39.8 ± 22.2 months. The anteroposterior Cobb’s angle was measured as 68.2° ± 27.1° preoperatively and 29.1° ± 18.7° at final examination (p=0.00). Visual analog scale score was 8.1 ± 1 preoperatively and 1.1 ± 0.2 at the final follow-up (p=0.00).

Conclusion: The etiology, extent of deformity, curve progression and patient’s age were indicative in the surgical treatment of intraspinal pathologies and spinal curves. Concurrent surgical interventions may be recommended to avoid additional complications and for quicker recovery.
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Abbreviations

PSF: posterior spinal fusion; CM: Chiari malformation; CM-1: Chiari malformation Type 1; SCM: split cord malformation; NIOM: neurophysiologic intraoperative monitoring; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; VAS: visual analog scale; TK: thoracic kyphosis; LL: Lumbar lordosis; AP: anteroposterior; PFD: posterior fossa decompression; VCR: vertebral column resection; PSO: pedicle subtraction osteotomies; CFL: cerebrospinal fluid leakage; AIS: adolescent idiopathic scoliosis; PO: Ponte Osteotomy; GFSI: Growth Friendly Spinal Instrumentation

Introduction

The prevalence of intraspinal anomalies together with congenital, early onset scoliosis, neuromuscular causes, neuromyelomalacia, and connective tissue diseases varies according to etiologies and has been reported as high as 35 to 40% [1-3]. It is known that the prevalence of intraspinal anomalies increases together with abnormal neurological findings, left side curvature and apical kyphosis [4,5]. The most common intraspinal anomalies observed with scoliosis are Chiari malformation (CM) and syringomyelia [4]. It has been shown that CM patients exhibit an incidence of 50 to 90% and Chiari malformation Type I (CM-I) patients 15 to 80% scoliosis [5-9]. Tethered cord associated with lipoma, split cord malformation (SCM) or fatty filum terminale are the other most common intraspinal anomalies. If intraspinal pathology is present during the correction of scoliosis, then the risk of neurological injury may increase together with cord tethering [9]. A complete neurological-neurosurgical assessment is required before the treatment of spinal deformities; if an intraspinal anomaly is present, then the neural axis malformations must be treated first due to the risk of neurological deformation [10,11]. Distal cord tethering may occur together with idiopathic anomalies, lipoma type of intraspinal anomalies or due to cohesion during the repair of dysraphism in myelodysplasia. Neurophysiologic intraoperative monitoring (NIOM) is beneficial for the assessment of cord function during the correction of spinal deformities to prevent idiopathic injury of the nerve root or the spinal cord during release procedures [12]. If scoliosis is associated with tethered cord, then it is recommended to release the affected cord, particularly in younger patients, in order to stop or correct the scoliosis [13]. The possibility of tethered cord occurring together with CM-I has been reported as 14% [14,15]. Therefore, it has been recommended that intraspinal anomalies should be addressed before surgical decompression of CM [14-17].

Our study elucidates the impact of the timing of single- or two-stage neurosurgical and deformity treatment of intraspinal pathologies with the outcomes of serious spinal deformities and discusses the preferable method.
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Materials and Methods

Patients who were operated concurrently or in two stages (due to intraspinal anomalies associated with rigid spinal deformities) were retrospectively examined. Intraspinal anomalies were identified using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Spinal deformities were examined in both planes by measuring the Cobb angle, together with the associated radiological and clinical pathologies. Patients with spinal deformities and intraspinal pathologies were treated with either neurosurgical intervention at first, followed by a deformity correction at another session, or they were performed concurrently. Posterior long instrumentation and fusion were performed following the treatment of intraspinal anomalies or during the same session. Age of the patients at neurosurgery, deformity correction and fusion age, the period between two surgeries, follow-up period, degree of deformity before the treatment and at the final follow-up, amount of correction, visual analog scale (VAS) score, onset of clinical and neurological findings, clinical and radiological results at the final follow-up and complications were recorded (Table 1).

Descriptive statistics included the mean, standard deviation, median, minimum, maximum, frequency values and ratios. Distribution of the variables was evaluated using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The Wilcoxon and paired sample t-tests were used in analyzing the repeated measurements. SPSS Statistics for Windows v2.20 (IBM Corp., New York, NY, USA) software was used for statistical analyses.

Results

Nineteen patients (13 females, 6 males) who successively underwent surgery for spinal deformities associated with intraspinal pathologies between 2007 and 2014 were retrospectively evaluated. Mean age of the patients at the intraspinal pathology surgery was 8.6 ± 6.9 (median: 12, range: 0.1 to 18.5) years and at the posterior instrumentation and correction surgery 13.4 ± 3.9 (median: 13.5, range: 2.8 to 19) years. The period between the two surgeries was 54.2 ± 67.5 (median: 24, range: 0 to 228) months on average and the mean follow-up period was 39.8 ± 22.2 (median 31, range: 15 to 90) months. On the anteroposterior (AP) plane, the preoperative Cobb angle with a mean of 68.2° ± 27.1° (median: 70.0°, range: 22° to 109°) was measured significantly lower at the final follow-up as 29.1° ± 18.7° (median: 24.0°, range: 5° to 72°; p=0.00). On the sagittal plane, the thoracic kyphosis (TK) Cobb angle was preoperatively measured 40.0° ± 36.5° (median: 49.0°, range: -34° to 100°) on average and there was no significant difference with the final follow-up values of 35.8° ± 18.3° (median: 33.0°, range: -10.0° to 63.0°; p=0.51). Lumbar lordosis (LL) was preoperatively measured -36.9° ± 57 (median: -54.0°, range: -94.0° to 86.0°) and showed no significant change at final examination with a mean of -37.7° ± 16.0 (median: -41.0, range: -65.0° to -2.0°; p=0.39). The VAS score was 8.1 ± 1 preoperatively and 1.1 ± 0.2 at the final follow-up (p=0.00). Fifteen of the patients (78.9%) had a two-stage surgery while four (21.1%) had concurrent operations for both intraspinal anomalies and spinal deformities. There was no significant difference between the VAS scores of both groups at the final follow-up (p>0.05). MRI findings of intraspinal anomalies treated with surgery are tethered cord release, myelomeningocele closure; posterior fossa decompression (PFD), intraspinal tumor/cyst excision, and intraspinal bone spur excision. Complications of the surgeries include intraoperative dural damage, pressure soreness on chin, perioperative cardiac arrest, temporary neuromonitorization loss, postoperative implant failure, and wound site problems.

Regarding the two patients with scoliosis and kyphosis associated with CM, a two-stage surgery was performed in one case (the period between PFD and PSF was 2 years) and concurrent surgery was performed on the other. Mean age of the patients during neurosurgery treatment of the two male patients, who were treated with PFD for CM-I, was 16.2 (range: 15.9 to 16.6) years and at the posterior fusion and deformity correction 17.2 (range: 16.6 to 17.9) years. Mean follow-up period was noted as 4.4 (range: 4 to 4.7) years. The mean AP Cobb angle was preoperatively measured 66.2° (range: 40° to 100°) while it was 19.7° (range: 10° to 32°) at final examination with 70.4% (range: 61.5% to 75%) correction. On the sagittal plane, the preoperative TK was 64.5° (range: 62° to 67°) on average, which decreased to 38.5° (range: 33° to 44°) during the final follow-up with 39.9% (range: 29% to 50.8%) correction. None of the cases developed any postoperative complications. Of the fifteen patients suffering from spinal deformity associated with tethered cord; ten were treated with tethered cord release (eight in two stages, two with concurrent procedures); the procedure was performed twice on four patients. The correction of spinal deformity was concurrently performed on a patient with congenital scoliosis and on a patient with myelomeningocele. Of the ten patients with tethered cord release (eight females, two males), the mean age was 9.3 (range: 0.3 to 18.5) years during neurosurgery (first surgery) and 13.1 (range: 2.8 to 18.5) years at posterior fusion and deformity correction. The period between the two surgeries was 45.9 (range: 0 to 156) months on average and the mean follow-up period was recorded as 3 (range: 1.2 to 6.3) years. On MR images, four patients had tethered cords alone whereas six cases had additional intraspinal anomalies; SCM (Type I in four patients and Type II in two patients) was associated with syringomyelia (three patients) and myelodysplasia. In six cases, osteotomy from the posterior to the vertebra (one vertebral column resection [VCR], three pedicle subtraction osteotomies [PSO], and two Ponte osteotomies) was performed for the correction of rigid congenital spinal deformity (Figure 1). The preoperative AP Cobb angle of 62.6° (range: 25° to 96.4°) decreased to 24.8° (range: 5° to 66°) at the final follow-up with 60.4% correction. On the sagittal plane, the TK preoperative was 42.4° (range: -6° to 100°) and 37.2° (range: -9° to 60°) at the final visit. Mean LL was measured -39.0° (range: 86° to -9°) preoperatively and -36.6° (range: -2° to 65°) at the final follow-up.

The sacs in six of the seven patients suffering from myelodysplasia (spina bifida, myelomeningocele; four females and two males) were closed after birth, shunts were placed in three and tethered cords were released in three of them. The patients were treated with neurosurgery for intraspinal pathologies first, and then with posterior instrumentation and correction at another session for spinal deformity. In one case, release was done twice for the associated tethered cord, the first release was performed while the myelomeningocele was being closed and the second one together with the spinal deformity correction. In one case, both surgical interventions took place during the same session. In the three myelodysplasia patients who had a high risk of problems with the surgical wound site, soft tissue/skin relocation was performed together with plastic and reconstructive surgery during the last operation. Mean age of the patients was 2.1 (range: 0.1 to 12.3) months during the neurosurgery intervention and 13.7 (range: 10.7 to 19) years at posterior instrumentation and deformity correction. The period between the two surgeries was 124.7 (range: 1 to 228) months and the mean duration of follow-up was 2.7 (range: 1.2 to 7.5) years. Three patients had scoliosis, two had kyphosis and two had serious kyphoscoliosis deformities. Posterior vertebra osteotomies were performed in four cases (one VCR and three PSOs) (Figure 1). The preoperative AP Cobb angle of 69.5° (range: 22° to 109°) decreased to 39.6° (range: 11° to 72°) at the final follow-up with 43% correction. On the sagittal plane, the TK was 8.6° (range: -34° to 83°) and LL 17.8° (range: -80° to 86°) preoperatively. The same parameters were measured 22.4° (range: -10° to 51°) and -27.4° (range: -46° to -2°) during the final visit, respectively. Dural...
| No | Sex | Diagnosis | Spinal deformities | Intraspinal pathologies | Orthopedic procedures | Complication | Follow-up | Age during neurosurgery (years) | Age during scoliosis surgery (years) | Time between the two surgeries (months) | Follow-up period after last surgery (months) | AP Cobb angle (°) | Lateral Cobb angle (°) | Preop | Follow-up |
|----|-----|-----------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|-----------|
| 1  | F   | CS        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | Wound due to dorn pressure during surgery | 65         | 24                          | 50                                    | 48                                    | -32                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
| 2  | F   | CS        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | --                        | 67         | 13                          | 90                                    | -77                                    | 48                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
| 3  | F   | CS        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | --                        | 67         | 13                          | 90                                    | -77                                    | 48                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
| 4  | F   | CS        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | --                        | 67         | 13                          | 90                                    | -77                                    | 48                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
| 5  | M   | MD        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | --                        | 67         | 13                          | 90                                    | -77                                    | 48                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
| 6  | F   | CS        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | --                        | 67         | 13                          | 90                                    | -77                                    | 48                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
| 7  | F   | CS        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | --                        | 67         | 13                          | 90                                    | -77                                    | 48                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
| 8  | F   | CS        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | --                        | 67         | 13                          | 90                                    | -77                                    | 48                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
| 9  | F   | CS        | TC                | Scoliosis, kyphosis     | TC release PSF       | --                        | 67         | 13                          | 90                                    | -77                                    | 48                                    | --                          | 96.4          | 28             | 28     | 28        |
Table 1: Detailed demographic data of the patients; gender, age, type and degree of the spinal deformity, accompanying intraspinal anomaly, previous surgical operations, follow-up periods and the problems encountered. Treatment methods: neurosurgery and interventions for spinal deformity.
In a case with congenital kyphoscoliosis deformity, the dural damage which developed after PSO and cerebrospinal fluid leakage (CFL) was repaired. Soft tissue infection that developed in two cases was treated with early-period wound debridement. Postoperative bracing was applied on the patients for six months.

Two patients (female) had scoliosis deformities associated with intraspinal tumors. Posterior instrumentation with deformity correction was applied in both cases after tumor excision during separate sessions. Intradural neurenteric cysts (syringomyelia and congenital vertebra anomalies) and neuroepithelial cysts (syringomyelia) were accompanied by scoliosis and kyphosis deformities in these patients. Mean age of the patients at the time of neurosurgery was 10.5 (range: 7.9 to 13) years. The period between the two surgeries was measured a mean of 32 (range: 6 to 58) months and the follow-up period from deformity surgery was measured 4.1 (range: 2.6 to 5.5) years on average. The preoperative AP Cobb angle of 67.5° (range: 63° to 71°) was measured 37.5° (range: 30° to 45°) at the final follow-up with 76.2% correction. On the sagittal plane, the preoperative TK was 54.5° (range: 53° to -56°). This value improved to 54° (range: 45° to -63°) at the final follow-up. A second operation was performed on one patient for proximal junction kyphosis, which developed due to implant insufficiency. No problems were observed on either of these patients at the final examination.

In Type I SCM patients (2 patients), deformity correction was applied with intraspinal spur excision by neurosurgery. In one case with Type I SCM (diastematomyelia) and scoliosis deformity (16.2-year-old female), posterior deformity surgery and PSO (T12 level) were performed concurrently for intraspinal spur excision and scoliosis deformity. In another case with Type I SCM accompanied by tethered cord and syringomyelia (twelve-year-old female), tethered cord release and intraspinal spur excision was performed, followed by posterior deformity surgery and PSO 16 months later. Mean age of the patients during neurosurgery was 14.1 (range: 12 to 16.2) years. The mean period between the two surgeries was calculated as 12 (range: 0 to 24) months and the mean follow-up period as 1.9 (range: 1.3 to 2.5) years. The preoperative AP Cobb angle of 73.5° (range: 70° to 77°) was measured 17.5° (range: 14° to 21°) at the final examination with 76.2% correction. No postoperative complications were observed.

**Discussion**

During MRI studies, the incidences of intraspinal anomalies (CM, syringomyelia, diastematomyelia and tethered cord) have been noted as 15 to 43% in congenital scoliosis [1,18] and 20 to 38% [2,3] in infantile and juvenile scoliosis patients. These incidences were also found to be low in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis (AIS) patients [8]. Most intraspinal anomalies (such as small syrinx or minimal cerebellar ectopia) may not require surgical treatment [19]. Even though reported abnormal MRI findings in AIS patients which require no neurological intervention are between 2.1 and 15%, the incidence of intraspinal anomaly that requires surgery in infantile scoliosis has been reported as high as 50% [20] in the literature.

**Chiari malformation and syringomyelia**

Chiari malformation observed on MRI has been described as a cerebellar tonsillar herniation of >4.5 mm from the foramen magnum downwards [11]. Scoliosis has been recorded to be present in 80% of CM-I patients [5-9]. It has been shown that decompression at an early age, when the degree of the curve is small, is greatly beneficial to the correction of a scoliosis curve whereas intervention at an older age and at a later time is not effective [17,21-24].

In our study, there was no improvement in scoliosis after the PFD surgery. This was thought to be due to the late neurological...
intervention, as stated in literature. Therefore, there was no difference between the results of the two patients who underwent surgery for CM-1 malformation and scoliosis/kyphosis deformity, either with PFD and posterior fusion/deformity correction during the same session or in two stages. If PFD surgery is not done until adolescence, simultaneous PFD decompression and posterior fusion/deformity correction surgery can be done.

**Tethered cord**

The risk of neurological injury may increase during scoliosis surgery, with tethered cord incidence as high as 20% in infantile, juvenile and congenital forms. Stopping or correcting the associated scoliosis in the early period may be possible with tethered cord treatment [13]. The main factors which cause curve progression are a curvature of >40°, skeletal immaturity, thoracic curve, and curves which cause lower lumbar and sacral motor dysfunction.

Spinal deformities may be corrected in around 6 weeks to 6 months after the release. Performance of the surgery 4 to 6 weeks before scoliosis correction has been shown to reduce the potential of recurrent tethering during the recovery period [19]. However, there is no specific data on the timing of surgery [14, 19]. With the popularization of intraoperative neuromonitoring, the performance of both procedures during with a single application of anesthesia has become possible [3, 15, 19, 25-28].

In our study, tethered cord release was required in 10 out of the 15 patients with tethered cord. Eight of these patients were treated with two-stage and two with concurrent release. A shorter duration of stay in hospital, lower cost and faster recovery were achieved with single-stage treatment compared with the two-stage surgery. However, the use of this concurrent approach should be meticulously considered for the selection of patients who could benefit from it [15].

**Myelodysplasia**

Chiari malformation and tethered cord pathologies, scoliosis and kyphosis deformity may be observed together with myelomeningocele. Release of the spinal cord tension is recommended before deformity correction due to the large-scale and progressive curves [13, 19]. Release of the spinal cord tension is recommended before deformity correction may be observed together with myelomeningocele. Subsequent surgical intervention for intraspinal pathologies and deformity correction in one session may provide a shorter duration of stay in hospital and recovery period compared to two-stage surgery.

**Conclusion**

The main limitations of our study were the small number of patients and lack of a standard approach for surgical treatments applicable to intraspinal anomalies and spinal deformities. In high-angle spinal deformities, repeated releases may be required due to tethered cord cohesiveness, particularly before the correction of the deformity. Making a decision according to factors such as etiology, deformity size, curve progression, and the patient's age is recommended when planning the two-stage surgery of serious spinal curves associated with intraspinal pathologies. Concurrent surgical interventions for both problems may be preferable for eligible patients due to shorter duration of stay in hospital and quicker recovery with no complications.
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