SOME EXAMPLES OF QUANTUM GROUPS IN HIGHER GENUS

B. ENRIQUEZ AND V. RUBTSOV

ABSTRACT. This is a survey of our construction of current algebras, associated with complex curves and rational differentials. We also study in detail two classes of examples. The first is the case of a rational curve with differentials $z^n dz$; these algebras are “building blocks” for the quantum current algebras introduced in our earlier work. The second is the case of a genus $> 1$ curve $X$, endowed with a regular differential having only double zeroes.

In our papers [10, 11], we introduced a family of quasi-Hopf algebras, associated with complex curves and rational differentials. These algebras are the quantizations of quasi-Lie bialgebra structures that had been defined by V. Drinfeld in [6].

Our purpose here is to first present (sect. [1]) a survey of the constructions of [10, 11]. After this, we present some examples.

Let us first recall the construction of the quasi-Lie bialgebras of [6]. We fix a semisimple Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, a rational curve $X$ and a nonzero rational form $\omega$ on it. We denote by $S$ a set of points of $X$, containing all poles and zeroes of $\omega$, and by $\mathcal{K}_S$ the direct sum of local fields of $X$ at the points of $S$. We define $R$ as the subring of $\mathcal{K}_S$ formed by the Laurent expansions at the points of $S$ of the regular functions on $X - S$. Then $\mathcal{K}_S$ is endowed with a scalar product defined by $\omega$, and $R$ is then a maximal isotropic subspace of $\mathcal{K}_S$. We fix a maximal isotropic supplementary $\Lambda$ to $R$ in $\mathcal{K}_S$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_S$ being endowed with a product pairing, we then have a direct sum decomposition

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_S = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes R) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda)$$

in isotropic subspaces, whose first summand is a Lie subalgebra. This defines quasi-Lie bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_S$ and $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$: we have maps $\delta_{\mathcal{K}_S}$ and $\delta_R$ from $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_S$ and $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R$ to their second exterior power, and an element $\phi$ of $\wedge^3(\mathfrak{g} \otimes R)$, satisfying some compatibility conditions (see [6]). The problem of their quantization is to construct quasi-Hopf algebras $U_h(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_S)$ and $U_h(\mathfrak{g} \otimes R)$ deforming their enveloping algebras, coproducts on these algebras deforming extensions of $\delta_{\mathcal{K}_S}$ and of $\delta_R$, and elements of the tensor cubes of these algebras, satisfying the quasi-Hopf algebra axioms.

In [10], we solved this problem for double extensions of these quasi-Lie bialgebra structures (these extensions are defined by the usual cocycle on current algebras,
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and by a derivation), in the special case when $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$. For this, we defined semi-infinite twists of these structures, in the spirit of Drinfeld’s new realizations, and quantized them (see [3, 11]). These quantizations, $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\Delta})$, are related by some twist operation. In [11], we constructed in $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, a subalgebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_R$ deforming $U\mathfrak{g}_R$. Using the coideal properties of this algebra with respect to $\Delta$ and $\bar{\Delta}$, we reduced the problem of finding a quasi-Hopf structure on $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$, preserving $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}_R$, to some decomposition problem on the twist $F$; this problem was solved using some results on Hopf duality. We close the section by a result (Prop. 1.12 and Cor. 1.1) characterizing the zeroes and poles of the structure function $q(z, w)$ defining $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$.

In section 2, we come back on the quantization problem for the non-extended quasi-Lie bialgebra structures. We remark that there, due to the absence of derivation, much more relations are possible for the algebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$. We describe these relations, and how the construction of quasi-Hopf algebras described above can be generalized in that situation.

Let us say here some words on the applications of the constructions of sect. 1 in genera 0 and 1. In genus zero, and with $\omega = dz$, this construction agrees with the quantum currents presentation of double Yangians; in [8, 12], we derived another expression of Khoroshkin-Tolstoy twists ([17]) relating Drinfeld’s co product for the double Yangians with the usual ($L$-operator) one.

In the elliptic case, with $\omega$ regular, these algebras were related with Felder’s elliptic quantum groups ([4]). In both situations, the problem was to suitably refine the decomposition of $F$, using additional conditions provided by algebras “opposite” the the regular one.

Our goal in the next sections is to present some other examples of the construction of sects. 1, 2.

In sect. 3, we treat the case of a rational curve with differential $z^n dz$. The corresponding algebra is denoted $U_{z^n dz}\mathfrak{g}$. We compute its structure coefficients $q(z, w)$ in Prop. 3.1. We also study some properties of $U_{z^n dz}\mathfrak{g}$: making use of the $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$-symmetry of the situation, we give a presentation of the vertex relations of $U_{z^n dz}\mathfrak{g}$ not involving the $n + 1$-st roots as in the expression of $q(z, w)$, which allows to make sense of this algebra of vertex relations for complex values of the deformation parameter, without any completion procedure. We then show that, like what happens for the Yangian algebra, the quantum algebras of this family are isomorphic for all nonzero values of the quantum parameter.

In Thm. 3.1, we show that the algebras $U_{z^n dz}\mathfrak{g}$ are “building blocks” for the quantum current algebras $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ constructed in sect. 1 – that is, each algebra $U_\hbar\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of algebras $U_{z^n dz}\mathfrak{g}$ with their centers identified.

In sect. 4, we turn to the case of a curve $X$ of genus $> 1$, with a differential $\omega$ regular and having only double zeroes. The existence of such a form is a well-known fact from Riemann surface theory (see e.g. [20, 12]), and is equivalent to the existence of odd theta-characteristics.
In this situation, we first construct isotropic supplementaries in local fields, using functions on $X$, which are multivalued along the $b$-cycles (sect. 4.1.1). We then compute the Green kernel of this decomposition (sect. 4.1.2). Applying results of sect. 2, we then present relations for quantizations of the centerless versions of these algebras (sect. 4.2). We remark that after a finite twist, the above decomposition has a part consisting of the regular functions on $X$ minus some points. We derive from this the construction of a regular subalgebra in the quantum currents algebra (sect. 4.2.3). We show (Rem. 14) how these results may be extended for doubly extended quasi-Lie bialgebra structures.

The zero-level relations might have special interest for the shift parameter $h$ (which belongs to the Jacobian of $X$) nonformal and torsion, like what happens for elliptic quantum groups with torsion parameter ($\mathfrak{19}$).

In both algebras, we also construct deformations of the enveloping algebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (K_\delta \oplus \mathcal{O}_{S'})$ – here $\delta$ is the set of zeroes of $\omega$, $S'$ are other marked points on $X$, and $K_\delta$ and $\mathcal{O}_{S'}$ are the sums of local fields and rings at these points. This might be useful for constructing induced modules.

Let us now discuss possible prolongations of the present work. We did not examine degenerations of our constructions; of special interest should be rational or elliptic curves with points identified. In particular, in the latter case, and with $\omega = dz$, the two types of algebras studied here (which are defined either by applying $q^\delta$ to variables in the structure relations, or by some shifts in theta-functions) should coincide.

We also hope that Cor. 1.1 will help to treat quantum conformal blocks in higher genus as it was done by B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky in $[15]$ in the case of the affine Kac-Moody algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$, and in $[3]$ in the quantum affine case.

Finally, we also would like to mention the papers $[14, 13]$, which should be closely connected with some problems left open in $[11]$: identification of the twists of twists of $[8]$ with those of Khoroshkin-Tolstoy in $[17]$; and construction of quantum Serre relations in higher genus.

We would like to thank J. Ding, B. Feigin, G. Felder, K. Gawedzki, K. Hasegawa, M. Jimbo, Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, H. Konno and J. Shiraishi for discussions related to the subject of this paper. B.E. would like to thank T. Miwa for invitation to RIMS, where some part of this work was carried out in a very stimulating atmosphere. V.R. would also like to thank M. Audin and M. Rosso for their invitation to ULP Strasbourg-1 university; he also acknowledges support of grants RFFI-97-01-01101 and INTAS-96-196.

1. Review of quantum current and quasi-Hopf algebras in higher genus

1.1. Manin pairs, triples and classical twists. Let $X$ be a smooth, connected, compact complex curve, and $\omega$ be a nonzero meromorphic differential on $X$. Let $S$ be a finite set of points of $X$, containing the set $S_0$ of its zeros and
poles. For each $s \in S$, let $\mathcal{K}_s$ be the local field at $s$ and
\[ \mathcal{K} = \oplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{K}_s. \]
Let $R$ be the ring of meromorphic functions on $X$, regular outside $S$; $R$ can be viewed as a subring of $\mathcal{K}$. $R$ is endowed with the discrete topology and $\mathcal{K}$ with its usual (formal series) topology. Let us define on $\mathcal{K}$ the bilinear form
\[ \langle f, g \rangle_\mathcal{K} = \sum_{s \in S} \text{res}_s(fg\omega), \]
and the derivation
\[ \partial f = df/\omega. \]
We will use the notation $\mathfrak{r}(A) = \mathfrak{r} \otimes A$, for any ring $A$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and complex Lie algebra $\mathfrak{r}$.

Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{C})$. Define on $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})$ the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})}$ by
\[ \langle x \otimes \epsilon, y \otimes \eta \rangle_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})} = \langle x, y \rangle_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}} \langle \epsilon, \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{K}} \]
for $x, y \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \epsilon, \eta \in \mathcal{K}$, $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ being the Killing form of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$, the derivation $\partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})}$ by $\partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})}(x \otimes \epsilon) = x \otimes \partial \epsilon$, for $x \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}, \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$, and the cocycle
\[ c(\xi, \eta) = \langle \xi, \partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})} \eta \rangle_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})}. \]
Let $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the central extension of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})$ by this cocycle. We then have
\[ \hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}K, \]
with bracket such that $K$ is central, and $[\xi, \eta] = ([\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}], c(\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta})K)$, for any $\xi, \eta \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with first components $\hat{\xi}, \hat{\eta}$.

Let us denote by $\partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$ the derivation of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ defined by $\partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\xi, 0) = (\partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})}\xi, 0)$ and $\partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(K) = 0$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the skew product of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $\partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}$. We have
\[ \mathfrak{g} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}D, \]
with bracket such that $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} \to \mathfrak{g}, \xi \mapsto (\xi, 0)$ is a Lie algebra morphism, and $[D, (\xi, 0)] = (\partial_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\xi), 0)$ for $\xi \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

View $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})$ as a subspace of $\mathfrak{g} = \hat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus CD = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D$, by $\xi \mapsto (\xi, 0, 0)$. Define on $\mathfrak{g}$ the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $\langle K, D \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = 1$, $\langle K, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K}) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle D, \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K}) \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = 0$, $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}} = \langle \xi, \eta \rangle_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})}$ for $\xi, \eta \in \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})$.

Endow $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})$ with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})}$. The subspace $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(R) \subset \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})$ is a maximal isotropic subalgebra of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K})$. Drinfeld’s Manin pair is $(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathcal{K}), \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(R))$ (see [3]). In [10], we introduced the following extension of this pair. Let $\mathfrak{g}_R = \hat{\mathfrak{g}}(R) \oplus \mathbb{C}D; \mathfrak{g}_R \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a maximal isotropic subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The extended Drinfeld’s Manin pair of $\mathfrak{g}$ is then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_R)$.

In [11], we also introduced the following Manin triple. Let $\Lambda$ be a Lagrangian complement to $R$ in $\mathcal{K}$, commensurable with $\oplus_{s \in S} \mathcal{O}_s$ (where $\mathcal{O}_s$ is the completed local ring at $s$). Let $\mathfrak{n}_+ = \mathbb{C}_\epsilon, \mathfrak{n}_- = \mathbb{C}_f, \mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}_h$. Let
\[ \mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathfrak{h}(R) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D, \quad \mathfrak{g}_- = (\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-(\mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}K, \]
then $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$, and both $\mathfrak{g}_+$ and $\mathfrak{g}_-$ are maximal isotropic subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$. The Manin triple is then $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$. We will also consider the following Manin triple, that we may consider as being obtained from the previous one by the action of the nontrivial element of the Weyl group of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+ = \mathfrak{h}(R) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-(\mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathcal{CD}, \quad \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_- = (\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda) \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+(\mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathcal{CK},$$

then $(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$ again forms a Manin triple.

According to [4], to each of the Manin triples $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$ and $(\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_-)$ is associated a Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$; denote by $\delta, \bar{\delta} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding cocycle maps.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_\Lambda = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \Lambda) \oplus \mathcal{CK} \subset \mathfrak{g}$; $\mathfrak{g}_\Lambda$ is a Lagrangian complement of $\mathfrak{g}_R$ in $\mathfrak{g}$. It induces a Lie quasi-bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}_R$, and from [1] follows also that there is a Lie quasi-bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$, associated to the Manin pair $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_R)$ and to $\mathfrak{g}_\Lambda$; we denote by $\delta_R : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g} \hat{\otimes} \mathfrak{g}$ the corresponding cocycle map.

These Lie (quasi-)bialgebra structures on $\mathfrak{g}$ are related by the following classical twist operations.

Let $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be dual bases of $R$ and $\Lambda$; we choose them is such a way that $e_i$ tends to 0 when $i$ tends to $\infty$. Let $\epsilon_i, \epsilon_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$ be dual bases of $\mathcal{K}$, defined by $\epsilon_i = e_i, \epsilon^i = e^i, i \geq 0, \epsilon_i = e^{-i-1}, \epsilon^i = e_{-i-1}, i < 0$.

Lemma 1.1. (see [1]) Let $f = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} e[\epsilon_i] \otimes f[\epsilon_i]$; $f = f_1 + f_2$, with

$$f_1 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e[e_i] \otimes f[e^i],$$

and

$$f_2 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} e[e_i] \otimes f[e_i].$$

For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$\delta_R(\xi) = \delta(\xi) + [f_1, \xi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi], \quad \bar{\delta}(\xi) = \delta_R(\xi) + [f_2, \xi \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \xi].$$

1.2. Results on Green kernels.

Notation. For $a = a(z, w)$ a function of two variables $z, w$, we denote by $a^{(21)}(z, w) = a(w, z)$.

Let us fix dual bases $(e^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $R$ and $\Lambda$. Let $G \in R\hat{\otimes} \Lambda$ be the series

$$G = \sum_i e^i \otimes e_i;$$

it is called the Green kernel of $(X, \omega, S, \Lambda)$ Note that $R\hat{\otimes} \mathbb{K}$ is an algebra, to which $G$ belongs. Let

$$\gamma = (\partial \otimes 1)G - G^2;$$

then
Lemma 1.2. (see [10]) $\gamma$ belongs to $R \otimes R$.

Let $h$ be a formal variable and let $T : k[[h]] \to k[[h]]$ be the operator equal to

$$T = \frac{\text{sh}(h \partial)}{h \partial}.$$ 

We will use the notation $q = e^h$.

Let $(\gamma_i)_{i \geq 0}$ be a set of free variables, and $\phi, \psi \in hC[\gamma_i][[h]]$ be the solutions of

$$\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial h} = D\psi - 1 - \gamma_0\psi^2, \quad \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial h} = D\phi - \gamma_0\psi,$$

where $D = \sum_{i \geq 0} \gamma_i + 1 \frac{\partial}{\partial \gamma_i}$; then

Proposition 1.1. (see [10], Prop. 3)

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} q^\phi - 1 \frac{1}{\partial} e^i \otimes e_i = \phi(h, (\partial^i \otimes 1)\gamma) - \ln(1 + G\psi(h, (\partial^i \otimes 1)\gamma)).$$

From this Prop. follows:

Proposition 1.2. (see [10]) For certain elements $\phi \in (R \otimes R)[[h]], \psi_+, \psi_- \in h(R \otimes R)[[h]],$ we have the following identities in $(R \otimes K)[[h]]$

$$\sum_i T e^i \otimes e_i = \phi + \frac{1}{2h} \ln \frac{1 + G\psi_+}{1 + G\psi_+}, \quad \sum_i e^i \otimes Te_i = -\phi^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2h} \ln \frac{1 - G\psi_+^{(2)}}{1 - G\psi_-^{(2)}}.$$

Lemma 1.3. (see [10]) The expression $\sum_i T e^i \otimes e_i - e^i \otimes Te_i$ belongs to $S^2(R)[[h]]$.

We will denote by $\tau$ any element of $(R \otimes R)[[h]],$ such that

$$\tau + \tau^{(2)} = \sum_i T e^i \otimes e_i - e^i \otimes Te_i. \quad (1)$$

Note that $\sum_i T e^i \otimes e_i$ is well-defined in $(R \otimes K)[[h]],$ because $e_i$ tends to zero as $i$ tends to infinity. Since $\partial$ is a continuous map from $K$ to itself, the same is true for the sequence $\partial^i e_i$. So $\sum_i e^i \otimes Te_i$ is well-defined in the same space; $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} Te^i \otimes e_i - e^i \otimes Te_i$ is well-defined in $(K \otimes K)[[h]]$ for the same reasons.

Define

$$q(z, w) = q^{2(\tau - \phi)} \frac{1 + \psi_+ G}{1 + \psi_- G}(z, w). \quad (2)$$

1.3. Hopf algebras $(U_h g, \Delta), (U_h \bar{g}, \bar{\Delta})$ and the twist connecting them. In [10], we introduced a Hopf algebra $(U_h g, \Delta)$ quantizing $(g, \delta)$.

It is the quotient of $T(g)[[h]]$ by the following relations. Let $e, f, h$ be the Chevalley basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(C)$. Denote in $T(g)[[h]]$, the element $x \otimes e \in \bar{g}(K) \subset g$ of $g$ by $x[e]$ and let for $r \in R, h^+[r] = h[r], h^-[\lambda] = h[\lambda]$. Introduce the generating series

$$e(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} e[i] e^i(z), \quad f(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f[i] e^i(z),$$

where $e^i$ and $f^i$ are formal variables.
\[ h^+(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} h^+[e^i]e_i(z), \quad h^-(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} h^-[e_i]e^i(z). \]

The Cartan fields are arranged in the series
\[ K^+(z) = e^{(T + U)h^+(z)}, \quad K^-(z) = e^{-h^- (z)}; \]
here \( U \) is the linear operator from \( \Lambda \) to \( R[[h]] \) defined by \( U(\lambda) = (\tau, 1 \otimes \lambda) \). The relations for \( U_hg \) are the coefficients of
\[
[K^+(z), K^+(w)] = 0, \quad (K^+(z), K^-(w)) = \frac{q(z, w)}{q(z, q^{-K\partial}(w))},
\]
\[ (K^-(z), K^-(w)) = \frac{q(q^{-K\partial}(z), q^{-K\partial}(w))}{q(z, w)}, \]
\[ (K^+(z), e(w)) = q(z, w), \quad (K^-(z), e(w)) = q(w, q^{-K\partial}(z)), \]
\[ (K^+(z), f(w)) = q(w, z), \quad (K^-(z), f(w)) = q(z, w), \]
\[ (z_s - w_s)(1 + \psi G)(z, w)e(z)e(w) = (z_s - w_s)e^{2(\tau - \phi)(z, w)}(1 + \psi G)(z, w)e(w)e(z), \]
\[ (z_s - w_s)e^{2(\tau - \phi)(z, w)}(1 + \psi G)(z, w)f(z)f(w), = (z_s - w_s)(1 + \psi G)(z, w)f(w)f(z) \]
\[ [e(z), f(w)] = \delta(z, w)K^+(z) - \delta(z, q^{-K\partial}(w))K^-(w)^{-1}; \]
\[ [D, x^\pm(z)] = -(\partial x^\pm)(z) + h(Ah^+)(z)x^\pm(z), \]
\[ [D, K^\pm(z)] = -(\partial K^\pm)(z) + h(B^\pm h^+)(z)K^\pm(z), \]
\( K \) is central. We used the standard notation \( (a, b) \) for the group commutator \( aba^{-1}b^{-1} \); we also set \( \delta(z, w) = G(z, w) + G^{(21)}(z, w) \). Here \( A \) and \( B^\pm \) are operators from \( \Lambda \) to \( R[[h]] \); \( A \) is defined by \( A(\lambda) = T((\partial\lambda)_R + \partial(U\lambda) - U((\partial\lambda)_\Lambda) \); formulas for \( B^\pm \) can be extracted from [10].

The formulas
\[ \Delta(K) = K \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes K \]
\[ \Delta(h^+[r]) = h^+[r] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h^+[r], \quad \Delta(h^-)(z) = h^-(z) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes (q^{-K\partial}h^-)(z), \]
\[ \Delta(e(z)) = e(z) \otimes K^+(z) + 1 \otimes e(z), \]
\[ \Delta(f(z)) = f(z) \otimes 1 + K^-(z)^{-1} \otimes (q^{-K\partial}f)(z), \]
\[ \Delta(D) = D \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes D + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\hbar}{4} h^+[e^i] \otimes h^+[Ae_i], \]  

(16)

for \( \Delta \), the coproduct,

\[ \varepsilon(h^+[r]) = \varepsilon(h^{-}[\lambda]) = \varepsilon(x[\varepsilon]) = \varepsilon(D) = \varepsilon(K) = 0, \]  

(17)

and for the counit.

Theorem 1.1. The pairs \((U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)\) and \((U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\Delta})\) defined by the above relations are Hopf algebras quantizing the Lie bialgebras \((\mathfrak{g}, \delta)\) and \((\mathfrak{g}, \bar{\delta})\).

This result means in particular that \(U_{\hbar}\mathfrak{g}\) is a flat deformation of the enveloping algebra \(U\mathfrak{g}\). This follows from the following Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt-type (PBW) result:

Proposition 1.3. (see [11], Prop. 4.1). Let \( A \) be an algebra with generators \( x_n, x \in \mathbb{Z} \), generating series \( x(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} x_n z^{-n} \), and relations defined by the modes of

\[ (z - w + \sum_{i \geq 1} h^i a_i(z, w))x(z) x(w) = (z - w + \sum_{i \geq 1} h^i b_i(z, w))x(w) x(z), \]

for \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) series of \( \mathbb{C}[[z, w]][z^{-1}, w^{-1}] \). Set \( a(z, w) = z - w + \sum_{i \geq 1} h^i a_i(z, w) \), \( b(z, w) = z - w + \sum_{i \geq 1} h^i b_i(z, w) \). Then if the series \( a_i \) and \( b_i \) satisfy \( a(z, w)a(w, z) = b(z, w)b(w, z) \), \( A \) is a flat deformation of the symmetric algebra in the variables \( x_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \).
Thm. [11] follows from a double construction. More precisely, define $U_h\mathfrak{h}_R$ and $U_h\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda$ as the subalgebras of $U_h\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $D$ and the $h^+[r]$, $r \in R$, resp. $K$ and the $h[\lambda], \lambda \in \Lambda$; and define $U_h\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $U_h\mathfrak{n}_-$ as the subalgebras of $U_h\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the $e[\epsilon], \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$, resp. the $f[\epsilon], \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}$. Set

$$U_h\mathfrak{g}_+ = U_h\mathfrak{h}_RU_h\mathfrak{n}_+, \quad U_h\mathfrak{g}_- = U_h\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda U_h\mathfrak{n}_-,$$

and

$$U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+ = U_h\mathfrak{h}_RU_h\mathfrak{n}_-, \quad U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_- = U_h\mathfrak{h}_\Lambda U_h\mathfrak{n}_+.$$

Then:

**Proposition 1.4.** (see [11]) $U_h\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ and $U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm$ are subalgebras of $U_h\mathfrak{g}$, $(U_h\mathfrak{g}_\pm, \Delta)$ and $(U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_\pm, \bar{\Delta})$ are Hopf subalgebras of $(U_h\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\Delta})$. Moreover, $(U_h\mathfrak{g}_+, \Delta)$ and $(U_h\mathfrak{g}_-, \bar{\Delta}')$ are Hopf dual, as well as $(U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_+, \bar{\Delta})$ and $(U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-, \Delta')$ and $(U_h\mathfrak{g}, \Delta)$ and $(U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\Delta})$ are the corresponding Drinfeld doubles.

We then have:

**Lemma 1.4.** The restriction to $U_h\mathfrak{n}_+ \times U_h\mathfrak{n}_-$ of the Hopf pairing between $(U_h\mathfrak{g}_+, \Delta)$ and $(U_h\mathfrak{g}_-, \bar{\Delta}')$ agrees up to permutation of factors with the restriction to $U_h\mathfrak{n}_+ \times U_h\mathfrak{n}_+$ of the Hopf pairing between $(U_h\mathfrak{g}_+, \bar{\Delta})$ and $(U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-, \Delta')$.

Let us define the completion $U_h\mathfrak{g} \bar{\otimes} U_h\mathfrak{g}$ as follows. Let $I_N \subset U_h\mathfrak{g}$ be the left ideal generated by the $x[\epsilon], \epsilon \in \prod_{s \in S} z_s^N \mathbb{C}[[z_s]]$. Define $U_h\mathfrak{g} \bar{\otimes} U_h\mathfrak{g}$ as the inverse limit of the $U_h\mathfrak{g} \otimes I_N \otimes U_h\mathfrak{g} + U_h\mathfrak{g} \otimes I_N$ (where the tensor products are $\hbar$-adically completed). $U_h\mathfrak{g} \bar{\otimes} U_h\mathfrak{g}$ is clearly a completion of $U_h\mathfrak{g} \otimes 2$.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let $(\alpha^i)$, $(\alpha_i)$ be bases of $U_h\mathfrak{n}_+$ and $U_h\mathfrak{n}_-$, dual for the pairing of Lemma [14]. Set

$$F = \sum_i \alpha^i \otimes \alpha_i; \quad (24)$$

$F$ belongs to $U_h\mathfrak{g} \bar{\otimes} U_h\mathfrak{g}$, and is a twist transforming $U_h\mathfrak{g}$ into $U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$. More precisely,

$$\text{Ad}(F)(\Delta(x)) = \bar{\Delta}(x), \quad (25)$$

for each $x \in U_h\mathfrak{g}$.

### 1.4 Universal $R$-matrices and Hopf algebra pairings

Let $U_h\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ be the subalgebras of $U_h\mathfrak{g}$ generated by $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$. These are Hopf subalgebras of $U_h\mathfrak{g}$, dual to each other if $U_h\mathfrak{g}_-$ is endowed with the coproduct opposite to $\Delta$. Then $U_h\mathfrak{g}_+$ and $U_h\mathfrak{g}_-$ are dual to each other, and $U_h\mathfrak{g}$ is the corresponding double algebra.

The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U_h\mathfrak{g}}$ between $U_h\mathfrak{g}_+$ and $U_h\mathfrak{g}_-$ is defined by

$$\langle h^+[r], h^-[\lambda] \rangle_{U_h\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{2}{h} \langle r, \lambda \rangle_k, \quad \langle e[\epsilon], f[\eta] \rangle_{U_h\mathfrak{g}} = \frac{1}{h} \langle \epsilon, \eta \rangle_k,$$

for $\epsilon, \eta \in k$, $r \in R$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$,

$$\langle D, K \rangle_{U_h\mathfrak{g}} = 1, \quad \langle D, \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{K}) \rangle_{U_h\mathfrak{g}} = \langle K, \mathfrak{g}(\mathcal{K}) \rangle_{U_h\mathfrak{g}} = 0,$$
and to be a Hopf algebra pairing, \( U_{h\mathbf{g}} \) being endowed the coproduct opposite to the one given by its embedding in \( U_{h\mathbf{g}} \).

Let \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_\pm} \) be the subalgebras of \( U_{h\mathbf{g}} \) generated by \( \mathfrak{g}_\pm \). These are Hopf subalgebras of \( U_{h\mathbf{g}} \), dual to each other if \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_+} \) is given the coproduct opposite to \( \Delta \).

The pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U_{h\mathbf{g}}} \) between \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_-} \) and \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_+} \) is defined by the formulas

\[
\langle h^{-}[\lambda], h^{+}[r]\rangle_{U_{h\mathbf{g}}} = \frac{2}{\hbar} \langle r, \lambda \rangle_k, \quad \langle e[\varepsilon], f[\eta]\rangle_{U_{h\mathbf{g}}} = \frac{1}{\hbar} \langle \varepsilon, \eta \rangle_k,
\]

for \( \varepsilon, \eta \in k, r \in R, \lambda \in \Lambda \),

\[
\langle D, K \rangle_{U_{h\mathbf{g}}} = 1, \quad \langle D, \mathfrak{g}(K) \rangle_{U_{h\mathbf{g}}} = \langle K, \mathfrak{g}(K) \rangle_{U_{h\mathbf{g}}} = 0.
\]

**Proposition 1.6.** (see [11], Prop. 6.1) The Hopf algebras \( U_{h\mathbf{g}} \) and \( U_{h\mathbf{g}} \) are quasi-triangular, with respective universal R-matrices

\[
\mathcal{R} = q^{D \otimes K} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in N} h^+[\varepsilon_i] \otimes h^-[\varepsilon_i]} F,
\]

\[
\bar{\mathcal{R}} = F^{21} q^{D \otimes K} q^{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \in N} h^+[\varepsilon_i] \otimes h^-[\varepsilon_i]}.
\]

In fact, \( \mathcal{R} \) and \( \bar{\mathcal{R}} \) represent the identity for the pairings \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U_{h\mathbf{g}}} \) and \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U_{h\mathbf{g}}} \).

Note that

\[
\bar{\mathcal{R}} = F^{21} \mathcal{R} F^{-1} = e^{\hbar \sum_{i \in N} f[\varepsilon_i] \otimes \varepsilon_i} e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} D \otimes K} e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{i \in N} h^+[\varepsilon_i] \otimes h^-[\varepsilon_i]}.
\]

1.5. **Regular subalgebra** \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \). Let \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \) be the subalgebra of \( U_{h\mathbf{g}} \) generated by the \( x[r], x = e, f, h, r \in R \). Then:

**Proposition 1.7.** (see [11], sect. 5.2) The inclusion of \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \) in \( U_{h\mathbf{g}} \) is a flat deformation of that of \( U_{\mathbf{g}_R} \) in \( U_{\mathbf{g}} \).

Moreover, \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \) has the following coideal properties with respect to \( \Delta \) and \( \bar{\Delta} \):

\[
\Delta(U_{h\mathbf{g}_R}) \subset U_{h\mathbf{g}} \otimes U_{h\mathbf{g}_R}, \quad \bar{\Delta}(U_{h\mathbf{g}_R}) \subset U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \otimes U_{h\mathbf{g}}.
\]

(see [11], Prop. 5.4).

1.6. **Decomposition of** \( F \). Now we would like to decompose \( F \) defined in (24) as a product

\[
F_2 F_1, \quad \text{with} \quad F_1 \in U_{h\mathbf{g}} \hat{\otimes} U_{h\mathbf{g}_R}, \quad F_2 \in U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \hat{\otimes} U_{h\mathbf{g}}.
\]

The interest of this decomposition lies in the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.8.** For any decomposition (28), the map \( \text{Ad}(F_1) \circ \Delta \) defines an algebra morphism from \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \) to \( U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \hat{\otimes} U_{h\mathbf{g}} \hat{\otimes} U_{h\mathbf{g}_R} \) (where the tensor product is completed over \( \mathbb{C}[[\hbar]] \)).

This follows at once from the coideal properties (27).
Let us now try to decompose $F$ according to (28). Let $(m_i), \text{ resp. } (m'_i)$ be a basis of $U_{h\mathfrak{g}}$ as a left, resp. right $U_{h\mathfrak{g}}_R$-module. Assume $m_0 = m'_0 = 1$. Due to the form of $F_1$ and $F_2$, we have decompositions
\[
F_2 = \sum_i (1 \otimes m'_j)F_2^{(j)}, \quad F_1 = \sum_i F_1^{(i)}(m_i \otimes 1), \quad F_1^{(i)}, F_2^{(j)} \in U_{h\mathfrak{g}}^\otimes.
\]
It follows that we have
\[
F = \sum_i F_2F_1^{(i)}(m_i \otimes 1) = \sum_j (1 \otimes m'_j)F_2^{(j)}F_1.
\]
Let now $\Pi$, resp. $\Pi'$ be the left, resp. right $U_{h\mathfrak{g}}_R$-module morphisms from $U_{h\mathfrak{g}}$ to $U_{h\mathfrak{g}}_R$, such that $\Pi(m_i) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, $\Pi(1) = 1$, and $\Pi'(m'_i) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$, $\Pi'(1) = 1$.

From (29) follows that we should have
\[
F_2F_1^{(0)} = (\Pi \otimes 1)F, \quad F_2^{(0)}F_1 = (1 \otimes \Pi')F.
\]
Equation (30) determines the possible values of $F_1$ and $F_2$, up to right, resp. left multiplication by elements of $U_{h\mathfrak{g}}^\otimes$.

**Proposition 1.9.** (see [1], Prop. 7.2) Let $F_{II,IV} = [(\Pi \otimes 1)F]^{-1}F[(1 \otimes \Pi')F]^{-1}$; then
\[
F_{II,IV} \in U_{h\mathfrak{g}}^\otimes.
\]

This is the key point of the construction of [1]; the proof uses Hopf duality arguments. We prove that $F^{-1}[(\Pi \otimes 1)F]$ belongs to $U_{h\mathfrak{g}} \otimes U_{h\mathfrak{g}}_R$, and that $[(1 \otimes \Pi')F]F^{-1}$ belongs to $U_{h\mathfrak{g}}_R \otimes U_{h\mathfrak{g}}$. For that, the idea is to compute the annihilators of the nilpotent parts of $U_{h\mathfrak{g}}_R$ for the pairings $\langle , \rangle_{U_{h\mathfrak{g}}}$ and $\langle , \rangle_{U_{h\mathfrak{g}}}$; these annihilators are left and right ideals. Then we pair the second factor of $F^{-1}[(\Pi \otimes 1)F]$, and the first factor of $[(1 \otimes \Pi')F]F^{-1}$ with this annihilator, and use the Hopf algebra pairing rules, as well as the algebraic properties of $\Pi$ and $\Pi'$, to show that these pairings give zero.

From the above Prop. follows the solution of the decomposition problem (28):

**Proposition 1.10.** Any decomposition of $F$ according to (28) is of the form
\[
F_2 = [(\Pi \otimes 1)F]b_1, \quad F_1 = a[(1 \otimes \Pi')F],
\]
with $a, b \in U_{h\mathfrak{g}}^\otimes$, such that $ab = F_{II,IV}$.
Proposition 1.11. \( \Phi \) belongs to \( U_h \mathfrak{g} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{S} \)

Let
\[
u_R = m(1 \otimes S)(F_1),
\]
where \( m \) the multiplication of \( U_h \mathfrak{g} \), and \( S \) is the antipode of \( (U_h \mathfrak{g}, \Delta) \).

Theorem 1.2. The algebra \( U_h \mathfrak{g} \), endowed with the coproduct \( \Delta_R \), associator \( \Phi \), counit \( \varepsilon \), antipode \( S_R = \text{Ad}(u_R) \circ S \), respectively defined in \((\mathbb{1}), (\mathbb{2}), (\mathbb{3})\), and \( R \)-matrix
\[
\mathcal{R}_R = [a^{21}(\Pi' \otimes 1)(F^{21})]q^{D \otimes K} q^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e \in R} h^+ \otimes h^- [h^e]} ([\Pi \otimes 1](F) F_{Pi, Pi} a^{-1}],
\]
is a quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra. \( U_h \mathfrak{g} \hat{\otimes} \mathbb{S} \) is a sub-quasi-Hopf algebra of it.

1.8. Properties of \( q(z, w) \). In this section, we determine the location of zeroes and poles of the function \( q(z, w) \), that are at the vicinity of the diagonal.

First recall that for \( f \in \mathcal{K} \), the product \((f \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes f)G\) belongs to \( \oplus_{s,t \in S} \mathbb{C}((z_s, w_t)) \). Here \( z_s \) is a local coordinate at \( s \in S \), and \( \mathbb{C}((z_s, w_t)) = \mathbb{C}[[z_s, w_t]][z_s^{-1}, w_t^{-1}] \).

Proposition 1.12. Let \( z \) be the element of \( \mathcal{K} \) defined as \((z_s)_{s \in S} \). Then for some \( i \in 1 + h \oplus_{s,t \in S} \mathbb{C}((z_s, w_t))[h] \), we have
\[
z - q^{-\partial} w = i \cdot [z - w + (z - w)G\psi(h, (\partial^1 \otimes 1)\gamma)].
\]

Proof. Let \( \alpha = (z - w)G; \alpha \) belongs to \( \oplus_{s,t \in S} \mathbb{C}((z_s, w_t)) \). Let us first show that if we replace \( z \) by \( q^{-\partial} w \), the expression \( z - w + \alpha(z, w)\psi(h, (\partial^1 \gamma(z, w)) \) vanishes.

The result of this substitution is a formal series \( u(w, h) \in \mathcal{K}[[h]] \). It satisfies the equation
\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial h}(h, w) = [-\partial z + (-\partial z \alpha(z, w))\psi(h, z, w) - \alpha(z, w)\partial \psi(h, z, w) + \alpha(z, w)\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial h}(h, z, w)]_{z=q^{-\partial}w}.
\]

Since
\[
\partial z \alpha(z, w) = (z - w)\gamma(z, w) + [(\partial z) + \alpha(z, w)]G,
\]
we have \( m(\alpha) + \partial z = 0 \) (\( m \) being the multiplication map of \( \mathcal{K} \)); it follows that the equation \((z - w)\xi = \partial z + \alpha(z, w)\) has a solution in \( \oplus_{s,t \in S} \mathbb{C}((z_s, w_t)) \), that we denote by
\[
\frac{\partial z + \alpha(z, w)}{z - w}.
\]

The l.h.s. of (35) is now equal to
\[
(-\partial z + \alpha(z, w))(1 + G\psi)(z, w) - (z - w + (\alpha \psi)(h, z, w))(\gamma \psi)(h, z, w))_{z=q^{-\partial}w},
\]
that is
\[
-\left((\gamma \psi)(h, z, w) + \frac{\partial z + \alpha(z, w)}{z - w} \right)_{z=q^{-\partial}w} u(h, w).
\]
It follows that the series \( u(\hbar, w) \) satisfies the equation

\[
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \hbar}(\hbar, w) = v(\hbar, w)u(\hbar, w),
\]

where \( v \) is equal to \(-\left[ (\gamma\psi)(\hbar, z, w) + \frac{\partial z + \alpha(z, w)}{z - w} \right] \big|_{z = q^{-\delta}w} \), and so belongs to \( K[[\hbar]] \).

Since we have \( u(0, w) = 0 \), (36) implies that \( u \) is identically zero.

Let us now recall Lemma 4.2 of [11]:

**Lemma 1.5.** Let \( z - w + E \) belong to \( z - w + \hbar\mathbb{C}((z, w))[\hbar] \), then there exist unique \( e \in \hbar\mathbb{C}((w))[\hbar] \) and \( \kappa_E \in 1 + \hbar\mathbb{C}((z, w))[\hbar] \), such that

\[
z - w + E = \kappa_E(z - w + e)
\]

Consider the case where \( z - w + E = z - w + \alpha(z, w)\psi(\hbar, z, w) \); the fact that \( u = 0 \) implies that \( e \) should be such that \( z - w + e(w) = z - q^{-\delta}w \); Lemma 1.5 then proves the proposition.

From Prop. 1.12 follows:

**Corollary 1.1.** The function \( q(z, w) \) defined by (2) vanishes for \( z = q^{-\delta}w \), and its inverse vanishes for \( z = q^{\delta}w \).

**2. Quantum currents and quasi-Hopf algebras at level zero**

In this section, we will show how one may define a large family of algebras quantizing the “non-doubly extended” (i.e., original) quasi-Lie bialgebra structures. These algebras are defined by relations similar to those of Thm. 1.1, using functions \( q(z, w) \) not necessarily having the zeroes and poles structure described by Cor. 1.1.

Let again \( K = R \oplus \Lambda \) be some decomposition of \( K \) in isotropic subspaces, and \( G = \sum_{i \geq 0} e^i \otimes e_i, e^i, e_i \) dual bases of \( R \) and \( \Lambda \). We will also set \( \epsilon_i = e_i, \epsilon_{-i-1} = e^i \) for \( i \geq 0 \).

Let \( a(z, w) \) and \( b(z, w) \) belong to \( 1 + \hbar(R \otimes R)[[\hbar]] \) and \( \hbar(R \otimes R)[[\hbar]] \), and define the algebra \( U_{a,b,G} \) as the algebra generated by the \( e[\epsilon_i], f[\epsilon_i], h[\epsilon_i] \), with generating series

\[
e(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} e[\epsilon_i]\epsilon_{-i-1}(z), \quad f(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} f[\epsilon_i]\epsilon_{-i-1}(z),
\]

\[
h^+(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} h[\epsilon_i]e_i(z), \quad h^-(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} h[\epsilon_i]e^i(z),
\]

and the relations

\[
[h[\epsilon_i], h[\epsilon_j]] = 0,
\]

for any \( i, j \),

\[
K^+(z)e(w)K^+(z)^{-1} = \frac{a + bG^{(21)}}{a^{(21)} - b^{(21)}G^{(21)}}(z, w)e(w),
\]

for any \( a, b, G^{(21)} \).
\[ K^-(z)e(w)K^-(z)^{-1} = \frac{a^{(21)} + b^{(21)}G}{a - bG}(z, w)e(w), \quad (40) \]

\[ K^+(z)f(w)K^+(z)^{-1} = \frac{a^{(21)} - b^{(21)}G^{(21)}}{a + bG^{(21)}}(z, w)f(w), \quad (41) \]

\[ K^-(z)f(w)K^-(z)^{-1} = \frac{a - bG}{a^{(21)} + b^{(21)}G}(z, w)f(w), \quad (42) \]

\[ (\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))(a(w, z) + b(w, z)G(z, w))e(z)e(w) = (\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))(a(z, w) - b(z, w)G(z, w))e(w)e(z), \quad (43) \]

\[ (\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))(a(z, w) - b(z, w)G(z, w))f(z)f(w) = (\alpha(z) - \alpha(w))(a(w, z) + b(w, z)G(z, w))f(w)f(z), \quad (44) \]

for any element \( \alpha \) of \( \mathcal{K} \),

\[ [e(z), f(w)] = \delta(z, w) \left( K^+(z) - K^-(z)^{-1} \right), \quad (45) \]

where \( \delta(z, w) = G(z, w) + G(w, z) \), and

\[ K^+(z) = \exp\left(\sum \left[ h[e^i](1 + V)e_i(z) \right] \right), \quad K^-(z) = \exp\left(\sum \left[ h[e_i]e^i(z) \right] \right), \]

and \( V \) is the linear operator from \( \Lambda \) to \( R \) defined as follows: let \( B \) the linear map from \( \Lambda \) to \( \mathcal{K} \) defined by

\[ B(\lambda) = \langle \log \frac{a + bG^{(21)}}{a^{(21)} - b^{(21)}G^{(21)}}, id \otimes \lambda \rangle, \]

and set \( B = B_R + B_\Lambda \), where \( B_R \) and \( B_\Lambda \) are the compositions of \( B \) with the projections on \( R \) and \( \Lambda \). Then we have \( B_\Lambda = h\text{id}_\Lambda + o(h) \), \( B_R = O(h) \), so that \( B_\Lambda \) is invertible, and we set \( V = B_R \circ B_\Lambda^{-1} \). In other words, if we set

\[ \log \frac{a + bG^{(21)}}{a^{(21)} - b^{(21)}G^{(21)}} = \sum A_i \otimes e^i, \]

we have

\[ V((A_j)_\Lambda) = (A_j)_R. \]

Therefore the relations (39), (41) have correct functional properties with respect to \( z \) and can be written as

\[ [h[e^i], e(z)] = B_\Lambda(e^i)(z)e(z), \quad [h[e^i], f(z)] = -B_\Lambda(e^i)(z)f(z), \]

for \( r \in R \).

The algebra \( U_{a,b}\mathfrak{g} \) has coproducts \( \Delta_{a,b} \) and \( \tilde{\Delta}_{a,b} \) defined by

\[ \Delta_{a,b}(h[e_i]) = h[e_i] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h[e_i], \quad (46) \]

\[ \Delta_{a,b}(e(z)) = e(z) \otimes K^+(z) + 1 \otimes e(z), \quad \Delta_{a,b}(f(z)) = f(z) \otimes 1 + K^-(z)^{-1} \otimes f(z), \quad (47) \]
and
\[ \bar{\Delta}_{a,b}(h[\epsilon_i]) = h[\epsilon_i] \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes h[\epsilon_i], \]
\[ \bar{\Delta}_{a,b}(e(z)) = e(z) \otimes 1 + K^-(z) \otimes e(z), \]
\[ \bar{\Delta}_{a,b}(f(z)) = f(z) \otimes K^+(z) + 1 \otimes f(z). \]

The algebra \( U_{a,b}g \) shares all the properties of \( U_a \mathfrak{g} \) that were used in [11] for constructing a quasi-Hopf structure on a subalgebra if it:

**Theorem 2.1.** \((U_{a,b}g, \Delta_{a,b})\) is a Hopf algebra; it is a flat deformation of the enveloping algebra of \( \mathfrak{g} \). It is the double of its subalgebras \( U_{a,b}n_+ \) and \( U_{a,b}n_- \), generated by the modes of \( K^+(z), e(z), \) respectively \( K^-(z), f(z) \). The Hopf pairing between these algebras is defined by

\[ \langle h[e^i], h[e_{j-1}] \rangle = \langle e^i, B_\Lambda e_i \rangle, i, j \geq 0, \quad \langle e[\epsilon_i], f[\epsilon_j] \rangle = \delta_{i,-j-1}, j \in \mathbb{Z}, \]

and the universal \( R \)-matrix of \((U_{a,b}g, \Delta_{a,b})\) is then equal to

\[ \mathcal{R} = \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \geq 0} h[e^i] \otimes h[B_\Lambda e_i] \right) F_{a,b}, \]

where \( F_{a,b} = \sum_i \alpha^i \otimes \alpha_i, (\alpha^i), (\alpha_i) \) dual bases of the subalgebras \( U_{a,b}n_+ \) and \( U_{a,b}n_- \) of \( U_{a,b}g_+ \) and \( U_{a,b}g_- \), respectively generated by the \( e[\epsilon], \epsilon \in \mathcal{K} \) and the \( f[\epsilon], \epsilon \in \mathcal{K} \).

The same statements hold with \( \Delta_{a,b} \) replaced by \( \bar{\Delta}_{a,b} \). The subalgebras are then generated by the modes of \( K^-(z), e(z), \) respectively \( K^+(z), f(z) \). The Hopf pairing is defined by the same formulas as above, and the universal \( R \)-matrix is equal to

\[ \mathcal{R} = F_{a,b}^{21} \exp \left( \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \geq 0} h[e^i] \otimes h[B_\Lambda e_i] \right). \]

Moreover, \( \bar{\Delta}_{a,b} \) is obtained from \( \Delta_{a,b} \) by the twist \( \bar{\Delta}_{a,b} = F_{a,b} \Delta_{a,b} F_{a,b}^{-1}. \)

The subalgebra \( U_{a,b}g_R \) spanned by the \( x[e^i], x = e, f, h, i \geq 0 \) is a flat deformation of the enveloping algebra of \( g_R = \mathfrak{g} \otimes R \). It satisfies

\[ \Delta_{a,b}(U_{a,b}g_R) \subset U_{a,b}g \otimes U_{a,b}g_R, \quad \Delta_{a,b}(U_{a,b}g_R) \subset U_{a,b}g_R \otimes U_{a,b}g. \]

The procedure of [11] can then be followed to obtain a decomposition of \( F \), which will serve to define a quasi-Hopf algebra structure on \( U_{a,b}g_R. \)

**Remark 1.** Dependence of \( U_{a,b}g \) in \((a, b)\). Clearly, \( U_{a,b}g \) depends on \((a, b)\) only through

\[ q_{a,b}(z, w) = \left( \frac{a + bG^{(21)}}{a^{(21)} - b^{(21)}G^{(21)}} \right)(z, w). \]

in particular, we have \( q_{a,b} = q_{sa, sb} \), if \( s \in (R \otimes R)[[h]] \) is invertible and symmetric in \((z, w)\), and also \( q_{a,b} = q_{a-\epsilon G, b+c} \), if \( c \) is a function of \( R \otimes R \) vanishing on the diagonal of \( X \).
On the other hand, if we have \( q_{a,b} = \lambda q_{a',b'} \) for some invertible \( \lambda \) in \( (R \otimes R)[[\hbar]] \), there is an isomorphism between the algebras \( U_{a,b} \mathcal{g} \) and \( U_{a',b'} \mathcal{g} \), defined by multiplying the fields \( e(z) \) and \( f(z) \) by suitable combinations of \( K^+(z) \) and \( K^-(z) \).

\[ \text{Remark 2.} \text{ The condition that } U_{a,b} \mathcal{g} \text{ can be embedded in some algebra "with derivation and central extension" quantizing its double extension seems to impose severe constraints to } a \text{ and } b. \text{ Indeed, a natural way to achieve this is to use the relations of [11], sect. 8. These relations imply in particular that we have } \]

\[ K^+(z)K^-(w)K^+(z)^{-1}K^-(w)^{-1} = \frac{q_{a,b}(z,w)}{q_{a,b}(z,qK\partial w)}, \]

\[ [D, K^+(z)] = -\partial_z K^+(z) + (Ah^+)(z)K^+(z), \]

\[ [D, K^-(z)] = -\partial_z K^-(z) + (Bh^+)(z)K^-(z), \]

where \( A \) and \( B \) are some finite rank operators from \( \Lambda \) to \( R \), and \( K \) is the central generator; this implies in particular that the set of zeroes and poles of \( q(z,w) \) is stable by the diagonal action of \( \partial \) on \( X \times X \). In the case studied in [11], these sets are \( \{(x, q^\partial x), x \in X\} \) and the diagonal of \( X \times X \).

3. Examples on a rational curve

3.1. Manin pairs. In this section, we will consider the following situation. Let us fix an integer \( N \geq 2 \). Let us set \( \mathcal{K} = \mathbb{C}((z)), \omega = z^{N-1}dz \).

3.1.1. If \( N \) is odd, write \( N = 2n + 1 \), with \( n \) an integer \( \geq 0 \). Let us set \( R = z^{-n-1}\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}], \Lambda = z^{-n}\mathbb{C}[[z]]. \) Then \( R \) is a maximal isotropic subring of \( \mathcal{K} \) for the pairing induced by \( \omega \), and \( \Lambda \) is a maximal isotropic supplementary.

Dual bases of \( R \) and \( \Lambda \) are \( e^i = z^{-n-i-1}, e_i = z^{i-n} \) for \( i \geq 0 \). We then have

\[ G = \sum_{i \geq 0} z^{-n-i-1} \otimes z^{i-n} = \frac{(zw)^{-n}}{z - w}, \]

expanded for \( w \) near 0.

We construct then a Manin pair as follows: we define \( \mathcal{g} \) as the Lie algebra \( (\mathcal{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}) \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D \), where the central and cocentral extensions are defined as in sect. [11], endowed the usual scalar product. The Lie subalgebra \( \mathcal{g}_R = (\mathcal{g} \otimes R) \oplus \mathbb{C}K \) is then maximal isotropic for this scalar product. This defines a Manin pair. Quasi-Lie bialgebra structures are then defined on \( \mathcal{g} \) and on \( \mathcal{g}_R \) by the choice of the isotropic complement \( \mathcal{g}_A = (\mathcal{g} \otimes \Lambda) \oplus \mathbb{C}D \) of \( \mathcal{g}_R \).
3.1.2. Suppose $N$ is even. Write $N = 2(n + 1)$, with $n$ an integer $\geq 0$.

Let $\tilde{g}$ be the semidirect product $\tilde{g} = g \oplus C\tilde{h}$, where $g \subset \tilde{g}$ is a Lie algebras embedding, and the action of $\tilde{h}$ on $g$ is such that $\tilde{h} - h \otimes z^{-n}$ is central. Extend the scalar product $\langle , \rangle_g$ of $g$ to a scalar product $\langle , \rangle_{\tilde{g}}$ on $\tilde{g}$ by the rules $\langle \tilde{h}, g \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = 0$, $\langle \tilde{h}, \tilde{h} \rangle_{\tilde{g}} + \langle h \otimes z^{-n}, h \otimes z^{-n} \rangle_{\tilde{g}} = 0$.

A quasi-Lie bialgebra structure on $\tilde{g}$ is then defined as follows: let $g_{R}^{(0)}$ and $g_{\Lambda}^{(0)}$ be the subspaces of $g$ equal to $(g \otimes z^{-n-1}C[z^{-1}]) \oplus C\tilde{K}$ and $(g \otimes z^{-n-1}C[[z]]) \oplus CD$, and define $\tilde{g}_R$ and $\tilde{g}_{\Lambda}$ as the direct sums of $C(h-h \otimes z^{-n})$ and $C(h+h \otimes z^{-n})$ with the images of $g_{R}^{(0)}$ and $g_{\Lambda}^{(0)}$ in $\tilde{g}$. Then $\tilde{g}_R$ is a maximal isotropic Lie subalgebra of $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{g}_{\Lambda}$ is an isotropic complement. This defines Lie quasi-bialgebra structures on $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{g}_{R}$.

By the natural projection of $\tilde{g}$ to $g$, these structures define quasi-Lie bialgebra structures on $g$ and on $g_R = (g \otimes z^{-n}C[z^{-1}]) \oplus C\tilde{K}$; the structure on $g$ is not a double one.

Notation. Here and later, we will use the notation $z_\lambda = (z^N + \lambda Nh)^{1/N}$. We have $z_\lambda = q^{\lambda \tilde{h}}(z)$, where $\tilde{h}$ is the derivation defined by $\omega$.

3.2. The functions $q(z, w)$.

Define the series $\phi(z, w)$ of $C[[z^{-1}]]((w))[[h]]$ as the expansion of $\log \frac{z-w}{z-w}$.

Set $\phi(z, w) = \sum_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{pq} z^p w^q$, and $\phi_{w^{-n}}(z, w) = \sum_{q > -n, p \in \mathbb{Z}} a_{pq} z^p w^q$. It is easy to check that $\phi_{w^{-n}}(z, w)$ belongs to $z^{-n}w^{-1}C[[z^{-1}, w^{-1}, h]]$.

Proposition 3.1. 1) Let the notation be as in 3.1.1. Set $N = 2n + 1$. There exists some linear operator $U: \Lambda \to hR[[h]]$ such that

$$
(1 \otimes (q^\vartheta - q^{-\vartheta}) + U) G = \log \frac{z_1 - w}{z - w_1} + \phi_{z^{-n}}(z, w) - \phi_{w^{-n}}(w, z).
$$

2) Let the notation be as in 3.1.2. Let $N = 2n + 2$. For some linear operator $U: z^{-n}C[[z]] \to z^{-n}C[z^{-1}][[h]]$, (50) holds.

Proof. Let us prove 1). Let us first show that

$$
D_h G = G - (q^\vartheta \otimes q^{-\vartheta}) G
$$

belongs to $(R \otimes R)[[h]]$.

We have

$$
(\vartheta \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \vartheta) G \in R \otimes R
$$

This follows from Lemma 1.2. To show (52), we may also compute explicitly

$$
(\vartheta \otimes 1) G = -G^2 + \gamma,
$$
with \( \gamma = -z^{-2n} w^{-n} \frac{1}{z-w} [\frac{z^{-n} - w^{-n}}{z-w} + nz^{-n-1}] \), which belongs to \( R \otimes R \) because the term in brackets vanishes for \( z = w \), and

\[
(1 \otimes \partial)G = G^2 - \gamma^{(21)},
\]

so that \((\partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial)G = \gamma - \gamma^{(21)}\) belongs to \( R \otimes R \).

Now \( R \) is stable under \( \partial \), so that \( D_h = [(q^{-\partial} \otimes q^{-\partial}) - 1]G = \frac{q^{-((\partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial)) - 1}(\partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial)G}{\partial \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial} \) also belongs to \( R \otimes R \).

Therefore \((q^{-\partial} \otimes 1)(D_h)\) also belongs to \((R \otimes R)[[h]]\). It follows that for some linear operator \( V_+: \Lambda \rightarrow R[[h]] \), we have

\[
(q^{-\partial} \otimes 1)(D_h) = (1 \otimes V_+)(G).
\]

Therefore

\[
(1 \otimes (q^{-\partial} + V_+))G = (q^{-\partial} \otimes 1) \left( \frac{z^{-n} w^{-n}}{z-w} \right) = \frac{z_1^{1-N}}{z_1 - w} - \left( \frac{z_1^{-N}}{z_1 - w} \right)_{w^{>n}};
\]

let \( U_+ \) be the unique linear operator from \( \Lambda \) to \( hR[[h]] \), such that \( \partial_h U_+ = V_+ \).

Integrating in \( h \), we obtain

\[
(1 \otimes \frac{1 - q^{-\partial}}{\partial} + U_+))G = \log \frac{z_1 - w}{z-w} - \phi_{w^{>n}}(z, w). \quad (53)
\]

We may construct in the same way a linear operator \( U_- \) from \( \Lambda \) to \( hR[[h]] \), such that

\[
(1 \otimes \frac{q^\partial - 1}{\partial} + U_-))G = \log \frac{z-w}{z-w_1} + \phi_{z^{>-n}}(w, z). \quad (54)
\]

To obtain the statement of the proposition, we then set \( U = U_+ + U_- \).

The proof of 2) is similar. \( \square \)

Let us choose \( U \) like in Prop. 3.1. We then find

\[
q(z, w) = \exp(\phi_{z^{>-n}}(z, w) - \phi_{w^{>n}}(w, z)) \left( \frac{z^N + hN 1/N - w}{z - (w^N + hN 1/N)} \right).
\]

\[
(55)
\]

3.3. **The algebra** \( U_{h, z^{-1}, d, \mathfrak{g}} \). We denote by \( U_{h, z^{-1}, d, \mathfrak{g}} \) the Hopf algebra resulting from the construction of Thm. 1.1. It contains a regular subalgebra, generated by the \( x_i \), \( i \leq -n \).

In what follows, we will set

\[
U_{h, z^{-n-2}, d, \mathfrak{g}} = U_{h, z^{-n}, d, \mathfrak{g}}
\]

for \( n \geq 0 \), and \( U_{h, z^{-1}, d, \mathfrak{g}} \) equal to the quantum affine algebra attached to \( \mathfrak{g} \).

One interest of the algebras \( U_{h, z^{-1}, d, \mathfrak{g}} \) lies in the following

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( U_h \mathfrak{g} \) be the algebra of Thm. 1.1, attached to the data \( (X, \omega, S) \) and let \( U_h \mathfrak{g}' \) be its subalgebra with the same generators except \( D \). Let for each point \( s \) of \( S \), \( n_s \) be the order of the zero or pole of \( \omega \) at \( s \). Then \( U_h \mathfrak{g}' \) is isomorphic to the quotient \( \otimes_{s \in S} U_{h, z^{n_s} d, \mathfrak{g}'}/(K^{(s)} - K^{(0)}) \), where \( K^{(s)} \) is the central generator of the \( s \)th factor.
Proof. The argument is similar to that of \[1\], introd.: fix at each point \( s \) a coordinate \( z_s \) such that \( \omega \) is locally expressed by \( z_s^n dz_s \). Then for each \( s \), we have a specialization morphism \( \text{ev}_s \) from \( U_{h\mathfrak{g}'} \) to \( U_{h^n z^n d_z \mathfrak{g}'} \), sending each \( x[\epsilon_i] \) to \( \delta_{\epsilon_i} x[\epsilon_i] \) if \( \epsilon_i \in K_\ell \) and \( K \) to \( K \). Fix a coproduct \( \Delta_R \) for \( U_{h\mathfrak{g}'} \) as in sect. \[1.7\].

We have then an algebra morphism \( \Delta^{(\text{card} S)}_{R} \) from \( U_{h\mathfrak{g}'} \) to \( (U_{h\mathfrak{g}'})^{\otimes \text{card} S} \), defined by \( \Delta^{(\text{card} S)}_{R} = (\Delta_R \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes \text{card} S-1}) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta_R \). Choose an order of the points of \( S \) and compose \( \Delta^{(\text{card} S)}_{R} \) with \( \otimes_{s \in S} \text{ev}_s \). The resulting map is an algebra morphism from \( U_{h\mathfrak{g}'} \) to \( \otimes_{s \in S} U_{h^n z^n d_z \mathfrak{g}'} \). That it gives an isomorphism after composition with projection to the quotient by the ideal generated by the \( K^{(s)} - K^{(t)} \) follows from inspection of its classical limit.

The algebras \( U_{h, z^N-1 dz \mathfrak{g}} \) have also the property that for any nonzero complex \( \lambda \), \( U_{\lambda h, z^{-1} dz \mathfrak{g}} \) is isomorphic with \( U_{h, z^{-1} dz \mathfrak{g}} \); this follows from the fact that (writing the formal parameter in indices) \( q_\alpha n h(\alpha z, \alpha w) = q_h(z, w) \). This generalizes the properties of Yangians of being isomorphic for all nonzero values of the deformation parameter.

Remark 3. In the framework of the preceding sections, one should consider the curve \( X = \mathbb{CP}^1 \) with differential \( \omega \) and marked points \( 0 \) and \( \infty \). The resulting algebra would be nothing but the tensor square of \( U_{h, z^{N-1} dz \mathfrak{g}} \).

Remark 4. If we complete \( U_{h, z^{N-1} dz \mathfrak{g}} \) with respect to the ideals generated by the \( x[z^{-i}], \ i \geq n, \ x = e, f, h \), the relations defining it make sense for complex values of \( h \).

3.4. Another presentation of the vertex relations of \( U_{h, z^{N-1} dz \mathfrak{g}} \). It is easy to see that after we multiply the generating series \( e(z) \) by a suitable Cartan fields, they satisfy

\[(z^N + Nh)^{1/N} - w)\bar{e}(z)\bar{e}(w) = (z - (w^N + Nh)^{1/N})\bar{e}(w)\bar{e}(z).\]

We will show how this relation can be written avoiding the use of \( N \)th roots.

Let us denote by \( \mathbb{Z}_N \) the group \( \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \) and by \( \mu_N \) the group of \( N \)th roots of unity in \( \mathbb{C} \). Let us decompose the field \( \bar{e}(z) \) as

\[\bar{e}(z) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{(a)}(z), \quad \text{with} \quad e^{(a)}(\zeta z) = \zeta^a e^{(a)}(z), \quad (56)\]

for \( \zeta \in \mu_N \). We also set \( e^{(a)}(z) = z^a E^{(a)}(z^n) \), where we denote by \( \bar{a} \) the representative in \([0, N - 1]\) of the element \( a \) of \( \mathbb{Z}_N \), and we abuse notations by writing \( z^\bar{a} = z^\bar{a} \).

Define for \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_N \), \( r(a, b) \) as the number (equal to 0 or 1) such that \( \bar{a} + \bar{b} = a + b + r(a, b)N \); this is the carry over for the addition of \( \bar{a} \) and \( \bar{b} \) mod. \( N \).
Proposition 3.2. The relation (57) is equivalent to the system of relations
\[
(Z - W + Nh) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} Z^{r(N-p-\alpha,q)}(W + Nh)^{r(p+\alpha-1,q-\alpha)} E^{(\alpha)}(Z) E^{(q-\alpha)}(W)
\]
\[
= (Z - W - Nh) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (Z + Nh)^{r(N-p-\alpha,q)} W^{r(p+\alpha-1,q-\alpha)} E^{(q-\alpha)}(W) E^{(\alpha)}(Z).
\]

Proof. Write the relation (56) as
\[
\frac{\bar{c}(z)\bar{c}(w)}{z - w_1} = \frac{\bar{c}(w)\bar{c}(z)}{z_1 - w}.
\]
It implies that for \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_N \),
\[
\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_N} \zeta^p \frac{\bar{c}(\zeta z)\bar{c}(w)}{\zeta z - w_1} = \sum_{\zeta \in \mu_N} \frac{\zeta^p \bar{c}(w)\bar{c}(\zeta z)}{\zeta z - w_1}.
\]

Since we have
\[
\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_N} \frac{\zeta^p}{\zeta z - w} = \frac{n w^{p-1} z^{N-p}}{z^N - w^N},
\]
it follows that
\[
\sum_{\zeta \in \mu_N} \frac{\zeta^p \bar{c}(\zeta z)}{\zeta z - w} = \frac{N}{z^N - w^N} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} z^{N-p-\alpha} w^{p+\alpha-1} e^{(\alpha)}(z) \right).
\]
Therefore
\[
\frac{N}{z^N - w^N} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} z^{N-p-\alpha} w^{p+\alpha-1} e^{(\alpha)}(z) \right) \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{(\beta)}(w)
\]
\[
= \frac{N}{z^N - w^N} \left( \sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{Z}_N} e^{(\beta)}(w) \right) \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} z^{N-p-\alpha} w^{p+\alpha-1} e^{(\alpha)}(z) \right).
\]

Separating isotypic components for the action of \( \mathbb{Z}_N \) in the variable \( w \), we get for each \( q \in \mathbb{Z}_N \)
\[
\frac{N}{z^N - w^N - Nh} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} z^{N-p-\alpha} w^{p+\alpha-1} e^{(\alpha)}(z)e^{(q-\alpha)}(w)
\]
\[
= \frac{N}{z^N - w^N + Nh} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} z_1^{N-p-\alpha} w^{p+\alpha-1} e^{(q-\alpha)}(w)e^{(\alpha)}(z),
\]
so that in terms of fields \( X^{(\alpha)} \) we obtain
\[
\frac{N}{z^N - w^N - Nh} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} z^{N-p-\alpha} z^\alpha w^{p+\alpha-1} w_1^{q-\alpha} E^{(\alpha)}(z^N) E^{(q-\alpha)}(w^N)
\]
\[
= \frac{N}{z^N - w^N + Nh} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} z_1^{N-p-\alpha} z_1^\alpha w^{p+\alpha-1} w^{q-\alpha} E^{(q-\alpha)}(w^N) E^{(\alpha)}(z^N),
\]
so that we obtain, with \( Z = z^N, W = w^N \),

\[
\frac{N}{Z - W - Nh} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} Z^{r(N-p-\alpha,\alpha)} (W + Nh)^{r(p+\alpha-1,q-\alpha)} E^{(\alpha)}(Z) E^{(q-\alpha)}(W)
\]

\[
= \frac{N}{Z - W + Nh} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_N} (Z + Nh)^{r(n-p-\alpha,\alpha)} W^{r(p+\alpha-1,q-\alpha)} E^{(q-\alpha)}(W) E^{(\alpha)}(Z),
\]

that is (57).

The above arguments can easily be reversed to show the proposition. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.** We may construct an algebra \( A_{h,z^{N-1}dz} \) with generators \( E^{(\alpha)}_i, i \in \mathbb{Z} \), arranged in series

\[
E^{(\alpha)}(Z) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} E^{(\alpha)}_i Z^{-i},
\]

subject to the above relations (57). As we have seen, for \( h \) a formal parameter, it is isomorphic with the part of \( U_{h,z^{N-1}dz} \) generated by the field \( \tilde{e}(z) \). This is also true in the case when \( h \) is complex, after we complete \( U_{h,z^{N-1}dz} \) as in Rem. 4. However, since \( h \) appears polynomially in the defining relations of \( A_{h,z^{N-1}dz} \), they make sense without completing the algebra, even when \( h \) is complex.

**Remark 6.** The algebra \( A_{h,z^{N-1}dz} \) has an obvious morphism to the upper nilpotent subalgebra of the double Yangian \( DY(sl_2) \), defined by \( E^{(\alpha)}(Z) \mapsto \delta_{\alpha 0} e(Z) \).

**Remark 7.** Prop. 3.2 can easily be extended to the case of mixed vertex relations

\[
(z_\lambda - w)x(z)y(w) = (z - w_\lambda)y(w)x(z).
\]

### 4. Genus > 1 examples associated to odd theta-characteristics

Let \( X \) be a smooth curve of genus > 1. Let \( \omega \) be a regular form on \( X \) all whose zeroes are double. The existence of such a form follows from that of a nonsingular odd theta-characteristic – that is, from the existence of an effective divisor with double equivalent to the canonical divisor (see e.g. [20], Lemma 1, p. 3.208 – or [12]). Let \( \delta = \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \delta_i \) be this effective divisor; we then have \( \text{div}(\omega) = 2\delta \). Let \( L_\delta \) be the line bundle associated with \( \delta \). Then we have \( L_\delta^{\otimes 2} = K \) and \( h^0(L_\delta) = 1 \).

Let us also recall the properties of the vector of Riemann constants ([12, 20]). Let \( \text{Jac}^n(X) \) be the degree \( n \) component of the Jacobian of \( X \). View the basic theta-function \( \theta \) as a quasi-periodic function on a cover of \( \text{Jac}^0(X) \). We denote the same way points of \( X \) and their image in \( \text{Jac}^1(X) \). Then for some vector \( \Delta \) of \( \text{Jac}^{g-1}(X) \), we have

\[
\theta(-\Delta + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} y_i) = 0,
\]

for any collection of \( g - 1 \) points \( y_i \) of \( X \). Moreover, the zero set of \( \theta \) is equal to \( \{-\Delta + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} y_i, y_i \in X\} \).
4.1. **Quasi-Lie bialgebras.** Here we will consider some Manin pairs, where the Lie subalgebra will be formed by the currents regular at some points, as it was done in [7] in genus 1.

More precisely, let $S'$ be a set of points of $X$ not containing any $\delta_i$, and let us define

$$K = \bigoplus_{s \in S'} K_s, \quad O = \bigoplus_{s \in S'} O_s,$$

and the pairing $\langle , \rangle_K$ on $K$ by

$$\langle f, g \rangle_K = \sum_{s \in S'} \text{res}_s(fg\omega).$$

$O$ is clearly an isotropic subalgebra of $K$.

4.1.1. **Isotropic subspaces.** We define some isotropic subspace $L$ of $K$ as follows. Fix a system $(a_i, b_i)_{i=1, \ldots, g}$ of $a$- and $b$-cycles on $X$. Let us denote by $\tilde{X}$ the universal cover of $X$, and by $\gamma_{a_i}$ and $\gamma_{b_i}$ the deck transformations associated to $a_i$ and $b_i$. Let $X^{(a)}$ be the quotient of $\tilde{X}$ by the equivalences $z \sim \gamma_{a_i}z$. Let us fix lifts $a_i$ of the $a$-cycles in $X^{(a)}$, that we also denote by $a_i$, and let $X_0$ be the fundamental domain in $X^{(a)}$, bounded by the $a_i$ and the $\gamma_{b_i}(a_i)$. We identify local fields at points of $S'$ with the local fields at their lifts in $X_0$, and denote by $\tilde{S}'$ the lift of $S'$ to the fundamental domain.

Define $L$ as the set of expansions at the points of $\tilde{S}'$ of the functions $f$ such that

$$f(\gamma_{a_i}z) = f(z), \quad f(\gamma_{b_i}z) = f(z) + c_i(f),$$

$f$ is regular except at the points of $\tilde{S}'$, has simple poles at most at the lifts of the $\delta_i$, and is such that

$$\int_{a_i} f\omega = -c_i(f)/2 \int_{a_i} \omega.$$

We can generalize this construction of isotropic subspaces of $K$ as follows. Let $V$ be a vector subspace of $C^g$. Define $L_V$ as the set of expansions at the points of $\tilde{S}'$ of the functions $f$ defined on $X^{(a)}$, such that $f(\gamma_{a_i}z) = f(z)$, $(f(\gamma_{b_i}z) - f(z))_{i=1, \ldots, g}$ belongs to $V$, and the periods condition

$$\sum_i \alpha_{ij} \left( \int_{a_i} f\omega + \int_{\gamma_{b_i}(a_i)} f\omega \right) = 0, \quad j = 1, \ldots, s, \quad (59)$$

where $(\alpha_{ij})_{i=1, \ldots, g}, j = 1, \ldots, s$ are the coordinates of a basis of $V$.

We then have:

**Lemma 4.1.** For each subspace $V$ of $C^g$, $L_V$ is isotropic for $\langle , \rangle_K$

**Proof.** Let $f, g$ belong to $L_V$. By the residues theorem, $\langle f, g \rangle_K$ is equal to

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} \text{res}_{\delta_i} (fg\omega) - \sum_{i=1}^g \left( \int_{a_i} fg\omega - \int_{\gamma_{b_i}(a_i)} fg\omega \right); \quad (60)$$
by the simple poles conditions on \( f \) and \( g \), the first sum in (60) vanishes. On the other hand, set

\[
f(\gamma_b z) - f(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_{ij}(f) \alpha_{ij}, \quad g(\gamma_b z) - g(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_{ij}(g) \alpha_{ij};
\]

(61)

we then have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{g} \alpha_{ij} \left( 2 \int_{a_i} f \omega + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_{ij}(f) \alpha_{ij} \int_{\gamma_{b_i}(a_i)} \omega \right) = 0,
\]

(62)

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{g} \alpha_{ij} \left( 2 \int_{a_i} g \omega + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_{ij}(g) \alpha_{ij} \int_{\gamma_{b_i}(a_i)} \omega \right) = 0,
\]

(63)

\( j = 1, \ldots, s \). It follows from (61) that the second sum of (60) is equal to

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{g} \int_{a_i} (f + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_{ij}(f) \alpha_{ij}) (g + \sum_{j=1}^{s} \lambda_{ij}(g) \alpha_{ij}) \omega - \int_{a_i} f g \omega;
\]

(64)

multiplying (62) by \( \lambda_{ij}(g) \) and (63) by \( \lambda_{ij}(f) \) and summing up both sets of equations, we find that (64) vanishes. Therefore (60) vanishes.

The spaces \( L_V \) differ from \( L \) only by finite-dimensional pieces (that is, their projection to \( L \) parallel to \( \mathcal{O} \) has finite kernel and cokernel). For \( V = \mathbb{C}^g \), we have \( L_V = L \).

Remark 8. Lagrangian supplementaries associated with bundles. Fix a family \( (g_i)_{i=1,\ldots,g} \) of elements of \( G \). We may consider the subspace \( L_{(g_i)} \) of \( \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K} \) formed by the expansions at the points of \( S' \) of the functions \( f \) from \( \tilde{X} \) to \( \mathfrak{g} \), such that \( f \) has simple poles at \( \delta \),

\[
f(\gamma_\delta z) = f(z), \quad f(\gamma_b z) = \text{Ad}(g_i) (f(z)) + x_i(f),
\]

and \( \int_{a_i} f \omega = -\frac{1}{2} \text{Ad}(g_i^{-1}) x_i(f) \int_{a_i} \omega \). The sum of the residues of \( f \) at the points of \( \delta \) is then \( \sum_i (1 - \text{Ad}(g_i^{-1}) (x_i(f))) \) (which needs not be zero). \( L_{(g_i)} \) is an isotropic subspace of \( \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K} \).

In the case of sums of line bundles, we obtain the analogues of the spaces \( L_\lambda \) of [7].

It would be interesting to understand if the \( r \)-matrix associated with these supplementaries satisfies some variant of the dynamical Yang-Baxter equation.

4.1.2. Green kernels. In this section, we will consider the case when \( V \) is one-dimensional; set \( V = \mathbb{C}h \), \( h \in \mathbb{C}^g \).

Let us set for \( z, w \) in \( X^{(a)} \),

\[
G_h(z, w) = \frac{\partial_h \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta)}.
\]

This function has the following properties:
Proposition 4.1. \( G_h(z, w) \) is antisymmetric in \( z \) and \( w \). It has poles for the projections on \( X \) of \( z \) or \( w \) equal, or equal to some \( \delta_i \). We have for any \( z, w \),
\[
G_h(\gamma_{b_i} z, w) = G_h(z, w) - h_i.
\]
Near the diagonal \( z = w \), \( G_h(z, w) \) has the expansions
\[
G_h(z, w) = \frac{C(h)}{\int_{\gamma} \omega} + O(1),
\]
for some constant \( C(h) \), which is non-zero iff \( h \) does not belong to the linear span of the \( V_{\delta_i} \); for any point \( x \) of \( X \), we denote by \( V_x \) some tangent vector at \( x \) of the embedding of \( X \) in its Jacobian.

Proof. The function defined on \( \mathbb{C}^g \) by \( z \mapsto \theta(-\Delta + \delta + z) \) is odd, so that \( z \mapsto \partial_h \theta/\theta(-\Delta + \delta + z) \) is also odd; it follows that \( G_h(z, w) \) is antisymmetric.

Recall that
\[
\theta(z + A_i) = \text{const} \cdot \theta(z), \theta(z + B_i) = \text{const} \cdot e^{-z_i} \theta(z),
\]
where \( z = (z_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g} \), \( A_i \) are the basis vectors of \( \mathbb{C}^g \) and \( B_i \) the vector \( (\int_{b_i} \omega_1, \ldots, \int_{b_i} \omega_g) \), and the \( \omega_i \) are the holomorphic differentials such that \( \int_{a_i} \omega_j = \delta_{ij} \). Taking logarithmic derivative, we find that
\[
(\partial_h \theta/\theta)(z + A_i) = (\partial_h \theta/\theta)(z), (\partial_h \theta/\theta)(z + B_i) = (\partial_h \theta/\theta)(z) - h_i.
\]
if \( h \) has components \((h_1, \ldots, h_g)\). (65) follows from these identities.

Finally, to prove (66), we need the following result:

Lemma 4.2. The expression \( \alpha(z)dz = d_z \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta)|_{z=w} \) is a 1-form on \( X^{(a)} \), defined as the restriction on the diagonal of \( (X^{(a)})^2 \) of \( d_z \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta) \) (which is a 1-form in \( z \) and a function in \( w \)).

This 1-form is proportional to the lift to \( X^{(a)} \) of \( \omega \): we have
\[
\alpha(z)dz = \kappa \omega,
\]
with \( \kappa \neq 0 \).

Proof. Let us study the transformation properties of \( \alpha(z)dz \) when \( z \) is transformed to \( \gamma_{b_i}(z) \). We have
\[
\theta(\gamma_{b_i}(z) - \gamma_{b_i}(w) + \delta - \Delta) = e^{\int_w^z \omega_i} \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta),
\]
therefore
\[
d_z \theta(\gamma_{b_i}(z) - \gamma_{b_i}(w) + \delta - \Delta) = e^{\int_w^z \omega_i} d_z \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta) + d_z (e^{\int_w^z \omega_i}) \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta);
\]
since \( \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta) \) vanishes for \( z = w \) and \( e^{\int_w^z \omega_i} \) is equal to 1 for \( z = w \), we obtain
\[
d_z \theta(\gamma_{b_i}(z) - \gamma_{b_i}(w) + \delta - \Delta)|_{z=w} = d_z \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta)|_{z=w},
\]
so that \( \alpha(z)dz \) is invariant under all \( \gamma_{b_i} \), and is therefore the lift of some 1-form \( \tilde{\alpha}(z)dz \) defined on \( X \).
Let us now determine this 1-form. $\tilde{\alpha}(z)dz$ is obviously regular on $X$. On the other hand, we have the following expansion of $\theta(z-w+\delta-\Delta)$ for $z$ and $w$ at the vicinity of some $\delta_i$ (see [12, 13]):

$$\theta(z-w+\delta-\Delta) = z_i w_i (z_i - w_i) a(z_i, w_i),$$

where $z_i, w_i$ are the coordinates of $z$ and $w$ at $\delta_i$ and $a(z, w)$ is regular and non-zero at $(0, 0)$. We then have

$$d_z \theta(z-w+\delta-\Delta) = z_i w_i a(z_i, w_i) dz_i + (z_i - w_i) (z_i w_i a(z_i, w_i) + w_i a(z_i, w_i)),$$

so that

$$d_z \theta(z-w+\delta-\Delta)|_{z=w} = z_i^2 a(z_i, z_i) dz_i,$$

and $\alpha(z)dz$ has a double pole at $\delta_i$. It follows that $\tilde{\alpha}(z)dz$ also has a double pole at $\delta_i$, and is therefore proportional to $\omega$.

Since the function $(z, w) \mapsto \theta(z-w+\delta-\Delta)$ vanishes on the diagonal $z = w$, it follows from this Lemma that $\theta(z-w+\delta-\Delta)$ is equivalent to $\kappa \int_z w \omega$ near $z = w$. When $\partial_z \theta(\delta-\Delta)$ is not equal to zero, $G_h(z, w)$ is then equivalent to $\partial_h \theta(\delta-\Delta)/(\kappa \int_z w \omega)$, whence (4.1), with $C(h) = \kappa^{-1} \partial_h \theta(\delta-\Delta)$.

Before we study the vanishing of $C(h)$, we show the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.3.** The $V_{\delta_i}, i = 1, \ldots, g-1$, are independent vectors of $\mathbb{C}^g$; for $x$ a generic point of $X$, $V_x$ does not belong to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g-1} CV_{\delta_i}$.

**Proof.** Consider the map $\sigma : X^{(g)} \to \text{Jac}^g(X)$, defined by $\sigma((y_i)) = \sum_i y_i$. By [12, p. 6, and 13], this map has rank $g$ at the point $(y_i)$ iff $h^1(\sum_i y_i) = 0$. This is the case for the point $\sum_i \delta_i + x$, for $x$ a generic point of $X$. Indeed, $h^1(\sum_i \delta_i + x)$ is then equal, by Serre duality, to $h^0(\sum_i \delta_i - x)$, which is zero for $x$ generic (because we have $h^0(\sum_i \delta_i)$ is equal to 1). The tangent space to the image of $\sigma$ at this point is the span of $V_x$ and the $V_{\delta_i}$. It follows that these vectors are independent, for $x$ generic.

Let us now study the vanishing of $C(h)$. $C(h)$ vanishes for $h$ equal to some $V_{\delta_i}$, because we have $\partial_{V_{\delta_i}} \theta(\delta-\Delta) = (d/dt) \theta(\sum_{j \neq i} \delta_j + \delta_i (t) - \Delta)|_{t=0}$, where $t \mapsto \delta_i(t)$ is some coordinate map from the vicinity of 0 to that of $\delta_i$; on the other hand, $\theta(\sum_{j \neq i} \delta_j + \delta_i (t) - \Delta)$ vanishes identically, because of (5.8).

On the other hand, $C(h)$ does not vanish for $h = V_Q$, $Q$ some point of $X$ distinct from the $\delta_i$. Indeed, we have $\partial_{V_Q} \theta(\delta-\Delta) = (d/dt) \theta(Q(t) - Q + \delta-\Delta)|_{t=0}$, where $t \mapsto Q(t)$ is some coordinate map from the vicinity of 0 to that of $Q$; from Lemma 4.2 now follows that $\partial_{V_Q} \theta(\delta-\Delta)$ is equal to $\omega_Q/dt$ and is therefore not zero.

In view of the first part of Lemma 4.3, it follows that the linear form $C(h)$ vanishes iff $h$ belongs to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{g-1} CV_{\delta_i}$, whence the last part of the proposition.
Remark 9. It follows from the proof above that the second statement of Lemma 
\[ L3 \] can be precised: for \( Q \) a point of \( X \), distinct from the \( \delta_i, i = 1, \ldots, g-1 \), \( V_Q \) does not belong to \( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{g-1} CV_{\delta_i} \).

This statement can be translated as follows: let for any point \( x \) of \( X \), \( z_x \) be some local coordinate at \( x \). The adeles ring \( \mathbb{A} \) of \( X \) is the restricted product of the formal series fields \( \mathbb{C}((z_x)) \). The function field \( \mathbb{C}(X) \) of \( X \) is embedded in \( \mathbb{A} \) by taking Laurent expansions of a function at each point of \( X \). We denote by \( \mathcal{O}_k \) the subring of \( \mathbb{A} \) of integral adeles, from by the restricted product of the formal series rings \( \mathbb{C}[[z_x]] \), the first cohomology ring \( H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \) is defined by \( H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \mathbb{A}/\mathbb{C}(X) + \mathcal{O}_k \); it is a vector space of dimension \( g \). For \( x \) in \( X \), let us denote by \( z_x^{-1} \) the element of \( \mathbb{A} \) with \( x \)-component \( z_x^{-1} \) and other components zero.

Then the classes of the elements \( z_{\delta_i}^{-1} \) and \( z_x^{-1} \) form a basis of \( H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \). \( \Box \)

Our aim is now to first prove that for \( h \) not in the span of the \( V_{\delta_i} \), \( L_{Ch} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \) are supplementary, and then that \( G_h \) is the corresponding Green function.

Let us expand \( G_h(z, w) \) for \( w \) near \( S' \). We obtain some element \( G_h \) of \( \mathcal{O} \otimes (L_{Ch} + \mathbb{C}1) \). This element satisfies

\[ G_h + G_h^{(21)} = \kappa C(h) \sum_i e^i \otimes \epsilon_i, \]

for \( e^i, \epsilon_i \) dual bases of \( \mathcal{K} \) associated with \( \omega \); indeed, we have \( G_h + G_h^{(21)} = C(h)[(f_w^w \omega)^{-1} + (f_z^w \omega)^{-1}] = C(h)\kappa \alpha(z)^{-1} \delta(z - w) \); on the other hand, recall that \( \omega = \kappa^{-1} \alpha(z)dz \), so that \( \sum_i e^i \otimes \epsilon_i = \alpha(z)^{-1} \delta(z - w) \).

This implies that \( L_{Ch} + \mathcal{O} = \mathcal{K} \). Now, since both \( L_{Ch} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \) are isotropic and since the scalar product on \( \mathcal{K} \) is non-degenerate, their intersection is reduced to zero; therefore we have shown that \( L_{Ch} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \) are supplementary.

Let us denote by \( \tilde{G}_h \) the Green function associated with this decomposition. \( G_h \) is an element of \( \mathcal{O} \otimes L_{Ch} \), and it satisfies

\[ \tilde{G}_h + \tilde{G}_h^{(21)} = \sum_i e^i \otimes \epsilon_i. \]

Then the difference between \( G_h - \kappa C(h) \tilde{G}_h \) is antisymmetric, and it belongs to \( (L_{Ch} + \mathbb{C}1) \otimes \mathcal{O} \). Since the intersection of \( L_{Ch} + \mathbb{C}1 \) and \( \mathcal{O} \) is reduced to the constants, this difference is equal to zero.

Therefore:

**Theorem 4.1.** For \( h \notin \bigoplus_i \mathcal{C} V_{\delta_i} \), \( L_{Ch} \) and \( \mathcal{O} \) are supplementary. The Green function associated with the Lagrangian decomposition \( \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{O} \oplus L_{Ch} \) is

\[ \tilde{G}_h(z, w) = (\kappa C(h))^{-1}(\partial_h \theta/\theta)(z - w + \delta - \Delta). \]

**Remark 10.** In the case when \( V = 0 \), \( L_V \) consists of the rational functions on \( X \), regular outside \( S' \cup \delta \) and with simple poles at the \( \delta_i \). We then have \( L_0 \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{C}1 \).
Indeed, this intersection consists of the rational functions on $X$ with at most simple poles at $\delta$. This space is exactly $H^0(X, L_{\delta})$, which is 1-dimensional, and therefore consists of the constants.

4.2. Quantum algebras at level zero.

4.2.1. Quasi-Hopf algebra $U_{h,h}g_{S'}$. Let for any $s$ of $S'$, $z_s$ be a local coordinate at $s$ and $\partial_{z_s}$ be the derivation $d/dz_s$. In what follows, we will denote by $(z_s)$ the point of $X$ with coordinate $z_s$.

Let us set, for $s$ in $S'$, $i \geq 0$, $l^{(s)}_i(w) = \frac{1}{i!}\partial_{z_s}^i(\partial_{\theta}^i(\theta)(z - w + \delta - \Delta))_{z=s}$. Then Thm. 4.1 implies that $(l^{(s)}_i)_{i \geq 0, s \in S'}$ is a basis of $L_{\delta h}$ dual to the basis $(z^i_s)_{i \geq 0, s \in S'}$ of $\mathcal{O}_s$.

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $U_{h,h}g_{S'}$ be the algebra with generators $x[z^i_s], x[l^{(s)}_i], s \in S', i \geq 0, x = e, f, h$, generating series

$$x^{(s)}(z_s) = \sum_{i \geq 0} x[l^{(s)}_i]z_s^i + \sum_{i \geq 0, t \in S'} x[z^i_s]l^{(t)}_i((z_s)), \quad x = e, f,$$

$$h^+(z) = \sum_{i \geq 0} h[z^i_s]l^{(s)}_i(z), \quad h^{-(s)}(z_s) = \sum_{i \geq 0} h[l^{(s)}_i]z_s^i,$$

and relations

$$[h[\alpha], h[\beta]] = 0, \quad (67)$$

for any $\alpha, \beta$,

$$K^{+(z)}e^{(s)}(w_s)K^{+(z)^{-1}} = \frac{\theta(z - (w_s) - hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - (w_s) + hh + \delta - \Delta)} e^{(s)}(w_s), \quad (68)$$

$$K^{-(s)}(z_s)e^{(t)}(w_t)K^{-(s)}(z_s)^{-1} = \frac{\theta((z_s) - (w_t) + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta((z_s) - (w_t) - hh + \delta - \Delta)} e^{(t)}(w_t), \quad (69)$$

$$K^{+(z)}f^{(s)}(w_s)K^{+(z)^{-1}} = \frac{\theta(z - (w_s) + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - (w_s) - hh + \delta - \Delta)} f^{(s)}(w_s), \quad (70)$$

$$K^{-(s)}(z_s)f^{(t)}(w_t)K^{-(s)}(z_s)^{-1} = \frac{\theta((z_s) - (w_t) - hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta((z_s) - (w_t) + hh + \delta - \Delta)} f^{(t)}(w_t), \quad (71)$$

$$\theta((z_s) - (w_t) + hh + \delta - \Delta)e^{(s)}(z_s)e^{(t)}(w_t) \quad (72)$$

$$= \theta((z_s) - (w_t) - hh + \delta - \Delta)e^{(t)}(w_t)e^{(s)}(z_s),$$

$$\theta((z_s) - (w_t) - hh + \delta - \Delta)f^{(s)}(z_s)f^{(t)}(w_t) \quad (73)$$

$$= \theta((z_s) - (w_t) + hh + \delta - \Delta)f^{(t)}(w_t)f^{(s)}(z_s).$$
and

\[ [c^{(s)}(z_s), f^{(t)}(w_t)] = \delta_{st} \delta(z_s - w_t) \left( K^+((z_s)) - K^{-}(z_s)^{-1}) \right), \]

with \( K^+(z), K^{-}(z) \) defined as in sect. 2, coproduct \( \Delta_{S',\delta} \) defined by \( \( \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S'} \) \), is a quantization of the double Lie bialgebra structure on \( \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S'} \) defined by the decomposition

\[ \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S'} = (\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S'}) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{Ch} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_- \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S'}). \]

Thm. 2.4 can be applied to it to define a quantization of the double quasi-Lie bialgebra structure on \( \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S'} \) defined by the decomposition

\[ \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S'} = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{S'}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{Ch}). \]

**Proof.** This follows from Thm. 4.1, the expansion

\[
\frac{\theta(z-w+h\delta + \Delta)}{\theta(z-w+\Delta)} = a(z,w) + h \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(z-w+\delta-\Delta)b(z,w),
\]

where \( a(z,w) \) and \( b(z,w) \) belong to \( \mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{O}[[h]] \), and Thm. 2.1.

4.2.2. Algebra \( U_{h,h}\mathfrak{g}_{S'\delta}. \) Let \( L^S_{Ch} \) be the space of functions \( f \) defined on \( \tilde{X} \), regular outside the lifts of \( S' \) and \( \delta \) (here and later, we will also denote by \( \delta \) the support \( \{\delta_i\} \) of \( \delta \), such that the differences \( f(\gamma h, z) - f(z) \) are constant and form a vector proportional to \( h = (h_i)_{1 \leq i \leq g} \), and such that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{g} h_i \left( \int_{a_i} f \omega + \int_{\gamma h_i(a_i)} f \omega \right) = 0.
\]

On the other hand, let \( \tilde{O}_{S',\delta} \) be the direct sum \( \mathcal{O}_{S'} \oplus (\oplus_{i=1}^{g-1} z_{\delta_i}^{-1} \mathcal{O}_h) \).

**Proposition 4.3.** Endow \( \mathcal{K}_{S',\delta} \) with the scalar product defined by \( \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_{\mathcal{K}_{S',\delta}} = \sum_{\alpha \in S' \cup \delta} \text{res}_{\alpha}(\phi \psi \omega) \). The spaces \( L^S_{Ch} \) and \( \tilde{O}_{S',\delta} \) are isotropic supplementaries in \( \mathcal{K}_{S',\delta} \). The Green function associated to this decomposition is given by the collection of expansions, for \( w \) near each point of \( S' \cup \delta \), of

\[
\tilde{G}_h(z,w) = \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(z-w+\Delta).
\]

**Proof.** The argument showing that \( L^S_{Ch} \) is isotropic is similar to the argument used for \( L^S_{Ch} \). On the other hand, since \( \omega \) is regular on \( S' \) and has double poles at the \( \delta_i \), \( \tilde{O}_{S',\delta} \) is also isotropic. From Rem. 3 also follows that the direct sum of these spaces is \( \tilde{O}_{S',\delta} \). This proves the first part of the proposition.

To prove its second part, let us expand \( \tilde{G}_h(z,w) \) for \( w \) near each point of \( S' \cup \delta \). Since for fixed \( z \), the function \( w \mapsto \theta(z-w+\delta-\Delta) \) either vanishes to first order (for \( w \) near \( \delta_i \)) or is non-zero (for \( w \) near \( S' \)), this expansion will be a series \( \sum_{\lambda} f_{\lambda} \otimes o_\lambda \), with \( o_\lambda \) in \( \tilde{O}_{S',\delta} \). On the other hand, as a function of \( z \), \( \tilde{G}_h(z,w) \) is
regular for \( z \) outside \( \delta \) and \( w \), and has the functional properties \([\bar{\theta}]\), so that the \( f_x \) belong to \( L_{\mathbb{C}h}^{S',\delta} \oplus \mathbb{C}1 \).

Let us compare now the resulting expansion of \( \tilde{G}_h(z, w) \) with the Green function \( G_{S',\delta} \) of the decomposition \( L_{\mathbb{C}h}^{S',\delta} \oplus \tilde{O}_{S',\delta} \). As is Prop. \([\bar{L}]\), we can check that the sums \( \tilde{G}_h + \tilde{G}_h^{(21)} \) and \( G_{S',\delta} + G_{S',\delta}^{(21)} \) coincide with the same delta-functions. We conclude from there that the difference \( \tilde{G}_h - G_{S',\delta} \) is antisymmetric. Since it belongs to the tensor square of the intersection of \( \tilde{O}_{S',\delta} \) and \( L_{\mathbb{C}h}^{S',\delta} \oplus \mathbb{C}1 \), which is \( \mathbb{C}1 \), this difference is zero.

Let us define now \( (U_{h,\mathfrak{g}}S',\delta, \Delta) \) as the algebra defined by the generators and relations of Prop. \([\bar{L}]\) with \( S' \) replaced by \( S' \cup \delta \), \( L_{\mathbb{C}h}, O_{S'} \) by \( L_{\mathbb{C}h}^{S',\delta} \) and \( \tilde{O}_{S',\delta} \).

**Lemma 4.4.** \( U_{h,\mathfrak{g}}S',\delta \) is a flat deformation of the enveloping algebra of \( \mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{K}_{S',\delta} \).

**Proof.** We first prove:

**Lemma 4.5.** The subalgebra of \( U_{h,\mathfrak{g}}S',\delta \) generated by the \( e[\phi], \phi \in \mathbb{K}_{S',\delta} \) is a flat deformation of the corresponding classical subalgebra.

**Proof.** Let us first consider the subalgebras \( A_\alpha \) generated by the \( e[\phi], \phi \in \mathbb{K}_\alpha, \alpha \in S' \cup \delta \). The function \( (z, w) \mapsto \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta) \) has the following behavior: for \( z, w \) near some \( \delta_i \), we have

\[
\theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta) = z_i w_i (z_i - w_i) \phi_i(z_i, w_i),
\]

with \( \phi_i(z_i, w_i) \) invertible in \( \mathbb{C}[[z_i, w_i]][[\hbar]] \); for \( z, w \) near some \( s \in S' \), we have

\[
\theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta) = (z_s - w_s) \phi_s(z_s, w_s),
\]

with \( \phi_s(z_s, w_s) \) invertible in \( \mathbb{C}[[z_s, w_s]][[\hbar]] \). After we divide them by \( z_i w_i \phi_i(z_i, w_i) \) in the first case, and by \( \phi(z_s, w_s) \) in the second case, the relations between the fields \( e^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha) \) have the form of the vertex relations of Prop. \([\bar{L}]\). It follows that each algebra \( A_\alpha \) is a flat deformation of the corresponding classical subalgebra.

Let us now study the relations between the various \( e[\phi_\alpha], \phi_\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_\alpha \). Recall that the function \( (z, w) \mapsto \theta(z - w + \delta - \Delta) \) has simple zeroes for \( w \) equal to \( z \), or for \( z \) or \( w \) equal to one of the \( \delta_i \). It follows that, after we divide the relation between \( e^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha) \) and \( e^{(\beta)}(z_\beta) \), by \( z_\alpha \) if \( \alpha \) belongs to \( \delta \), and by \( z_\beta \) if \( \beta \) belongs to \( \delta \), we obtain a relation of the form

\[
e^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha) e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta) = f_{\alpha\beta}(z_\alpha, w_\beta) e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta) e^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha),
\]

with \( f_{\alpha\beta}(z_\alpha, w_\beta) \) invertible in \( \mathbb{C}[[z_\alpha, w_\beta]][[\hbar]] \). It follows that monomials in the \( e[\phi_\alpha], \phi_\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_\alpha \), can be expressed as sums of monomials with the \( \alpha \) occurring in a prescribed order.

Therefore the subalgebra of \( U_{h,\mathfrak{g}}S',\delta \) generated by the \( e[\alpha], \alpha \in \mathbb{K}_{S',\delta} \) is a flat deformation of the corresponding classical subalgebra. One may then obtain the
analogous result for the subalgebra generated by the fields $f[\alpha]$. The result then follows from the triangular decomposition of $U_{\hbar,h}g_{S',\delta}$.

The Hopf algebra $(U_{\hbar,h}g_{S',\delta}, \Delta)$ is then the quantization of the double structure on $\bar{g} \otimes K_{S',\delta}$ given by the decomposition

$$\bar{g} \otimes K_{S',\delta} = (\hbar \otimes L_{\text{ch}}^{S',\delta} \oplus \bar{n}_+ \otimes K_{S',\delta}) \oplus (\hbar \otimes \bar{O}_{S',\delta} \oplus \bar{n}_- \otimes K_{S',\delta}).$$

Since $\bar{O}_{S',\delta}$ is not a subring of $K_{S',\delta}$, we cannot expect find a corresponding subalgebra of $U_{\hbar,h}g_{S',\delta}$. However, we have:

**Proposition 4.4.** The subalgebra of $U_{\hbar,h}g_{S',\delta}$ generated by the $x[\phi_i], \phi_i \in K_{\delta_i}$, and the $x[o_s], o_s \in O_s, x = e,f,h$, is a flat deformation of the enveloping algebra of $\bar{g} \otimes (K_{\delta} \oplus O_{S'})$.

**Proof.** Let us first prove that the similar statement is true for the subalgebra $N_+$ of $U_{\hbar,h}g_{S',\delta}$ generated by the $e[\phi_i], \phi_i \in K_{\delta_i}$, and the $e[o_s], o_s \in O_s$. The commutation relations between the $e[\phi_{\alpha}], \phi_{\alpha} \in K_{\alpha}$, and the $e[\phi_{\beta}], \phi_{\beta} \in K_{\beta}$, for $\alpha \neq \beta$, are of the form

$$e[z_{\alpha}^n]e[z_{\beta}^m] = \sum_{n',m' \in \mathbb{Z}} a(\alpha, \beta)^{n,m'} e[z_{\beta}^{m'}]e[z_{\alpha}^{n'}],$$

and the summation is on $n' \geq 0$ (resp. $m' \geq 0$) if $\alpha$ is in $\delta$ an $n \geq 0$ (resp. $\beta$ is in $\delta$ and $m \geq 0$). It follows that a basis of $U_{\hbar,h}g_{S',\delta}$ is given by the products of bases for its subalgebras generated by the $e[z_{s}^n], n \in \mathbb{Z}, s \in S'$, and the $e[z_{i}^n], n \geq 0$. These subalgebras are flat deformations of their classical analogues: this is because the $e[z_{n}^n], n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are subject to vertex relations, and because we have Yangian-type commutation relations between the $e[o_s], o_s \in O_s$.

Let us now prove that the algebra $N_+$ is stable by the adjoint action of the $h[\phi_i], \phi_i \in K_{\delta_i}$, and of the $h[o_s], o_s \in O_s$. From the identity (18) follows that

$$[(1 + V)h^+(z), e^{(\alpha)}(z_{\alpha})] = \log \frac{\theta((z - (z_{\alpha}) + \hbar h + \delta - \Delta))}{\theta((z - (z_{\alpha}) - \hbar h + \delta - \Delta))} e^{(\alpha)}(z_{\alpha}),$$

for $\alpha$ in $S'$ or $\delta$. We then check:

1) the adjoint action of any $h[\phi], \phi \in O_s$ preserves the linear space spanned by the $e[\phi_i], \phi_i \in K_{\delta_i}$ and the $e[z_{i}^t], n \geq 0, t$ in $S'$. This is because (25) yields, for $\alpha$ is $S'$ or $\delta$,

$$[h^+[z_{s}^n], e^{(\alpha)}(z_{\alpha})] = \text{res}_{z_{s}=0} \left( \log \frac{\theta((z_{s} - (z_{\alpha}) + \hbar h + \delta - \Delta))}{\theta((z_{s} - (z_{\alpha}) - \hbar h + \delta - \Delta))} z_{s}^n d z_{s} \right) e^{(\alpha)}(z_{\alpha}),$$

and the function in the right side is regular for $z_{s} = 0$ if $\alpha$ is in $S'$.

2) the adjoint action of any $h[\phi], \phi \in K_{\delta_i}$ preserves the linear space spanned by the $e[\phi_j], \phi_j \in K_{\delta_j}$, and the $e[o_s], o_s \in O_s$. The first statement is clear. To show the second one, we first prove in a way analogous to point 1) above that
the adjoint action of any \( h[\phi], \phi \in \mathcal{O}_i \) preserves the linear space formed of the \( e[o_s], o_s \in \mathcal{O}_s \). After that, \([69]\) implies that
\[
[h^{(i)}(z), e^{(s)}(z_s)] = \log \frac{\theta((z_i) - (z_s) + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta((z_i) - (z_s) - hh - \Delta)} e^{(s)}(z_s).
\]
Let then \((r_{i_s}^{(k)}, r_{s_i}^{(k)})_{k \geq 0}\) be the dual basis in \( L_{S_h^{i},\delta}^{S'_h} \) to \((z_{i_s}^{k-1}, z_{s_i}^{k})_{k \geq 0}\). Each \( r_{i_s}^{(k)} \) is then multivalued on \( X \), it has poles of order \(-k-2\) at \( \delta_i \), of order 1 at most as each \( s_i \), and is regular at the other points. We have
\[
[h[r_{i_s}^{(k)}], e^{(s)}(z_s)] = \text{res}_{z_i=0} z_i^2 dz_i r_{i_s}^{(k)}(z_i) \log \frac{\theta((z_i) - (z_s) + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta((z_i) - (z_s) - hh - \Delta)} e^{(s)}(z_s),
\]
and since the function in the right side is regular for \( z_s = 0 \), the adjoint action of \( h[r_{i_s}^{(k)}] \) preserves \( \{e[o_s], o_s \in \mathcal{O}_s\} \). Since any element \( K_i \) can be obtained by linear combination of the \( h[r_{i_s}^{(k)}] \) and of the \( h[\lambda], \lambda \in (\oplus_i z^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{\delta_i}) \oplus (\oplus_s \mathcal{O}_s), \) the same result is true for any \( h[\lambda], \lambda \in K_{\delta_i} \).

After that, we prove that the subalgebra \( N_+ \) of \( U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta} \) generated by the \( f[\phi], \phi \in \mathcal{O}_s \) and in \( K_{\delta_i} \), is a flat deformation of its classical analogue, using the same reasoning as for \( N_+ \). Finally, any commutator \([e[\phi], f[\psi]], \phi, \psi \) in the sums of \( \mathcal{O}_s \) and \( K_{\delta_i} \), is expressed as products of \( h[\phi], \phi \in \mathcal{O}_s \) or \( K_{\delta_i} \).

**Remark 11.** Following the proof of Thm. [3.1], one can show that the algebra \( U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta} \) is isomorphic to a quotient (with central elements identified) of the tensor product \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_0^{\otimes \text{card} S'} \otimes U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S'}, \) where \( U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S'} \) is the algebra corresponding to an empty \( S' \), and \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_0 \) is the double Yangian algebra (without derivation nor central element). The subalgebra of Prop. [4.4] could then be identified with \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes \text{card} S'} \otimes U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S'}, \) where \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \) is the Yangian subalgebra of \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_0 \) generated by the nonnegative modes generators.

**4.2.3. Regular subalgebra in \( U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta} \).** Let us define, for \( \epsilon \in K_{S',\delta}, \bar{h}[\epsilon] \) as the Cartan element of \( U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta} \) such that
\[
[h[\epsilon], e^{(a)}(z_a)] = 2\epsilon^{(a)}(z_a)e^{a}(z_a),
\]
for any \( a \in S' \cup \delta \). For \( \phi \) a regular function on \( \tilde{X} = \tilde{S}' \), let us set \( \bar{h}[\phi] = \sum_{a \in S' \cup \delta} \bar{h}[\phi^{(a)}], \) where \( \phi^{(a)} \) is the image in \( K_{S',\delta} \) of the element of \( K_{a} \) given by expansion of \( \phi \) near \( \bar{a} \).

Let \( P \) be a point of \( S' \). Let set
\[
x(z) = \exp \left( \frac{1}{4} \log \frac{\theta(z - P + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - P - hh + \Delta + \delta)} \bar{h}[1] - \frac{1}{4} \bar{h}[\log \frac{\theta(z - P + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - P - hh + \Delta + \delta)}] \right),
\]
and
\[
\bar{F}^{(a)}(z_a) = e^{(a)}(z_a)x(z_a), \quad \bar{F}^{(a)}(z) = f^{(a)}(z_a)x(z_a),
\]
\[
\bar{K}^{+}(z) = K^{+}(z)x(z)^2, \quad \bar{K}^{-(a)}(z_a) = K^{-(a)}(z_a)x((z_a))^2.
\]

**Remark 11.** Following the proof of Thm. [3.1], one can show that the algebra \( U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta} \) is isomorphic to a quotient (with central elements identified) of the tensor product \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_0^{\otimes \text{card} S'} \otimes U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S'}, \) where \( U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S'} \) is the algebra corresponding to an empty \( S' \), and \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_0 \) is the double Yangian algebra (without derivation nor central element). The subalgebra of Prop. [4.4] could then be identified with \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{\otimes \text{card} S'} \otimes U_{h,h} \mathfrak{g}_{S'}, \) where \( \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2) \) is the Yangian subalgebra of \( \mathcal{D} \mathcal{Y}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)_0 \) generated by the nonnegative modes generators.
Lemma 4.6. Let us set
\[ q_0(z, w) = \frac{\theta(z - w + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - w - hh + \delta - \Delta)}, \quad q_\pm(z, w) = \frac{\theta(z - w \pm hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - w \mp hh + \delta - \Delta)}, \]
and
\[ q(z, w) = \frac{q_0(z, w)}{q_0(z, P)q_0(P, w)}, \quad \tilde{q}_\pm(z, w) = \frac{q_\pm(z, w)}{q_\pm(z, P)q_\pm(P, w)}; \]
then \( \tilde{e}^{(a)}(z_a), \tilde{f}^{(a)}(z_a), K^+(z) \) and \( K^{-(a)}(z_a) \) satisfy the relations
\[ K^+(z)e^{(a)}(w_a)K^+(z)^{-1} = q(z, (w_a))e^{(a)}(w_a) \]
\[ K^+(z)f^{(a)}(w_a)K^+(z)^{-1} = q(z, (w_a))^{-1}f^{(a)}(w_a) \]
\[ K^{-(a)}(z_a)e^{(b)}(w_\beta)K^{-(a)}(z_\beta)^{-1} = q((z_a), (w_\beta))^{-1}e^{(b)}(w_\beta) \]
\[ K^{-(a)}(z_a)f^{(b)}(w_\beta)K^{-(a)}(z_\beta)^{-1} = q((z_a), (w_\beta))f^{(b)}(w_\beta) \]
\[ [\tilde{e}^{(a)}(z_a), \tilde{f}^{(b)}(w_\beta)] = \delta_{a\beta}\delta(z_a - w_\beta) (K^+(z_a)) - K^{-(a)}(z_a)) \]
\[ \tilde{q}_+(((z_a), (w_\beta)))e^{(a)}(z_a)e^{(b)}(w_\beta) = \tilde{q}_-((z_a), (w_\beta))e^{(a)}(z_a) \]
\[ \tilde{q}_-((z_a), (w_\beta))f^{(a)}(z_a)f^{(b)}(w_\beta) = \tilde{q}_+(((z_a), (w_\beta))f^{(a)}(z_a). \]

Proof. This follows from the identities
\[ x(z)e^{(a)}(w_a)x(z)^{-1} = \left( \frac{\theta(z - P + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - P - hh + \delta - \Delta)} : \frac{\theta((w_a) - P + hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta((w_a) - P - hh + \delta - \Delta)} \right)^{1/2} e^{(a)}(w_a), \]
\[ x(z)f^{(a)}(w_a)x(z)^{-1} = \left( \frac{\theta(z - P - hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - P + hh + \delta - \Delta)} : \frac{\theta((w_a) - P - hh + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta((w_a) - P + hh + \delta - \Delta)} \right)^{1/2} f^{(a)}(w_a). \]

Let \( R_{S', \delta} \) be the algebra of functions on \( X \), regular outside \( S' \cup \delta \). Then the intersection of \( R_{S', \delta} \) and \( L^{S', \delta}_{Ch} \) has codimension 1 in each of these spaces. A supplementary of this intersection in \( L^{S', \delta}_{Ch} \) is spanned by \( e_0(z) = (\partial_h \theta/\theta)(z - P + \delta - \Delta) + c \), with \( c \) a certain constant.

Let us define \( \Sigma \) as the direct sum of \( \mathbb{C}e_0 \) and the orthogonal of \( e_0 \) in \( \tilde{O}_{S', \delta} \).

Then:

Lemma 4.7. The spaces \( R_{S', \delta} \) and \( \Sigma \) are isotropic supplementaries in \( K_{S', \delta} \). The corresponding Green kernel is proportional to the collection of expansions, for \( w \) near the points of \( S' \cup \delta \), of
\[ G_R(z, w) = \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(z - w + \delta - \Delta) - \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(z - P + \delta - \Delta) + \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(w - P + \delta - \Delta). \]
Proof. Let us set $e_{-1} = 1$; then the pairing $\langle e_0, e_{-1} \rangle_{K_{S',\delta}}$ is nonzero (otherwise $R_{S',\delta} + L_{C_h}^{\delta}$ would be isotropic). Let us complete $e_0$ and $e_{-1}$ to dual bases $(e_i)_{i \geq 0}$ and $(e_{-i-1})_{i \geq 0}$ of $R_{S',\delta}$ and $L_{C_h}^{\delta}$. Then bases for $R_{S',\delta}$ and $\Sigma$ are $(e_{-1}, e_i)_{i \geq 0}$ and $(e_0, e_{-i-1})_{i \geq 0}$, so that both spaces are supplementary. The difference between the Green function for this decomposition and $K_{S',\delta} = L_{C_h}^{\delta} \oplus \widehat{\Sigma}_{S',\delta}$ is just $e_0 \otimes e_{-1} - e_{-1} \otimes e_0$.

We can therefore construct an other double quasi-Lie bialgebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} \otimes K_{S',\delta}$, based on this decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes K_{S',\delta} = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes R_{S',\delta}) \oplus (\mathfrak{g} \otimes \widehat{\Sigma}_{S',\delta}).$$

and its usual infinite twist

$$\mathfrak{g} \otimes K_{S',\delta} = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes R_{S',\delta} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+ \otimes K_{S',\delta}) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \otimes \widehat{\Sigma}_{S',\delta} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_- \otimes K_{S',\delta}).$$

(78)

Set $q_{0+}(z, w) = \frac{\theta(z-w-\hbar h+\delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z-w+\delta - \Delta)}$. We have then

$$q_{0+}(\gamma_a, z, w) = q_{0+}(z, \gamma_a, w) = q_{0+}(z, w),$$

(79)

$$q_{0+}(\gamma_b, z, w) = e^{-h}q_{0+}(z, w), \quad q_{0+}(z, \gamma_b, w) = e^{h}q_{0+}(z, w),$$

(80)

so that the function $(z, w) \mapsto \frac{q_{0+}(z, w)}{q_{0+}(z, P)q_{0+}(P, w)}$ is single-valued on the complement of the diagonal of $X - (P \cup \delta)$.

We then have:

**Lemma 4.8.** Set $q_{0+}(z, w) = \frac{\theta(z-w-\hbar h+\delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z-w+\delta - \Delta)}$. Then for some $a, b$ in $R_{S',\delta}^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$, we have

$$\frac{q_{0+}(z, w)}{q_{0+}(z, P)q_{0+}(P, w)} = a(z, w) + b(z, w)G_{R}(z, w).$$

**Proof.** For $z$ close to $w$, we have the expansions $G_{P}(z, w) = C(h) / \int_{z}^{w} \omega + O(1)$, and

$$\frac{q_{0+}(z, w)}{q_{0+}(z, P)q_{0+}(P, w)} = \frac{1}{q_{0+}(z, P)q_{0+}(P, w)} \frac{\theta(hh)}{\kappa \int_{z}^{w} \omega} + O(1),$$

by the remark following Lemma 4.2.

Set then

$$b(z, w) = \frac{\theta(hh)/(C(h)\kappa)}{q_{0+}(z, P)q_{0+}(P, z)};$$

by (79) and (80), this function is single-valued on $X$; as its only poles are at $P$ and $\delta$, this is a series in $h$ with coefficients in $R_{S',\delta}^{\otimes 2}$. Set then

$$a(z, w) = \frac{q_{0+}(z, w)}{q_{0+}(z, P)q_{0+}(P, w)} - b(z, w)G_{R}(z, w);$$

this is again a single-valued function on the complement of the diagonal of $(X - (\delta \cup P))^{2}$, which is also regular on the diagonal. \[\square\]
According to Thm. 2.1, we can then define a quantization \((U_{\hbar,\hbar} \mathfrak{g}^{S',\delta}, \bar{\Delta}^{S',\delta})\) of the quasi-Lie bialgebra structure on \(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathcal{K}^{S',\delta}\) defined by the decomposition (78), using the functions \(a\) and \(b\) of Lemma 4.8. Denote by \(\bar{e}^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha), \bar{f}^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha), \bar{K}^+(z)\) and \(\bar{K}^-(z_\alpha)\) the generating fields of this algebra, analogues to the fields \(e(z), f(z), K^+(z)\) and \(K^-(z)\) of sect. 4 (note the inversion of indices of fields \(K\)).

**Proposition 4.5.** The map \(i\) assigning to the fields \(\bar{e}^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha), \bar{f}^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha), \bar{K}^+(z)\) and \(\bar{K}^-(z_\alpha)\) defined by (79) and (77), the fields \(\bar{e}^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha), \bar{f}^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha), \bar{K}^+(z)\) and \(\bar{K}^-(z_\alpha)\) respectively, defines an algebra isomorphism from \(U_{\hbar,\hbar} \mathfrak{g}^{S',\delta}\) to \(U_{\hbar,\hbar} \mathfrak{g}^{S',\delta}\). The coproducts \(\Delta^{S',\delta}\) and \(\bar{\Delta}^{S',\delta}\) are then connected by a twist transformation

\[
\bar{\Delta}^{S',\delta}(i(x)) = F_0(i \otimes i)(\Delta^{S',\delta}(x))F_0^{-1},
\]

for any \(x\) in \(U_{\hbar,\hbar} \mathfrak{g}^{S',\delta}\), where

\[
F_0 = \exp\left[\frac{1}{8}(\hbar[\log \theta(\cdot - P + hh + \delta - \Delta)] \otimes \hbar[1] - \hbar[1] \otimes \hbar[\log \theta(\cdot, - P - hh + \delta - \Delta)\])\right].
\]

**Proof.** Let us first check that the relations defining \(i\) are consistent. For \(\epsilon\) in \(\mathcal{K}^{S',\delta}\), let \(\bar{h}[\epsilon]\) be the Cartan element of \(U_{\hbar,\hbar} \mathfrak{g}^{S',\delta}\), such that

\[
[\bar{h}[\epsilon], \bar{e}^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)] = \epsilon^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)e^{\alpha}(z_\alpha).
\]

For some functions \(\lambda(z, w_\beta), \lambda^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha, w_\beta)\), we have

\[
[\log \bar{K}^+(z), e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta)] = \lambda(z, w_\beta)e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta),
\]

\[
[\log \bar{K}^-(z_\alpha), e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta)] = \lambda^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha, w_\beta)e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta),
\]

as well as

\[
[\log \bar{K}^+(z), \bar{e}^{(\beta)}(w_\beta)] = \lambda(z, w_\beta)e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta),
\]

\[
[\log \bar{K}^-(z_\alpha), \bar{e}^{(\beta)}(w_\beta)] = \lambda^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha, w_\beta)e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta).
\]

Expand \(\lambda(z, w_\beta) = \sum_i a_i(z)b_i(w_\beta), \lambda^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha, w_\beta) = \sum_i a_i^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)b_i^{(\alpha)}(w_\beta)\); we have then

\[
\log \bar{K}^+(z) = \sum_i \bar{h}[b_i(a_i(z)), \log \bar{K}^-(z_\alpha) = \sum_i \bar{h}[a_i^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)],
\]

and

\[
\log \bar{K}^+(z) = \sum_i \bar{h}[b_i(a_i(z)), \log \bar{K}^-(z_\alpha) = \sum_i \bar{h}[b_i^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)],
\]

It follows that the generating formulas for \(i(\bar{K}^+(z)), i(\bar{K}^-(z_\alpha))\) are consistent and yield \(i(\bar{h}[\epsilon]) = \hbar[\epsilon]\), for any \(\epsilon\) in \(\mathcal{K}^{S',\delta}\).

The relations of Lemma 4.6 then imply that \(i\) is an algebra morphism. The conjugation identity is checked directly.

Set for \(x = \bar{e}, \bar{f}, \hbar\), and \(\phi \in \mathcal{K}^{S',\delta}\), \(x[\phi] = \sum_{\alpha \in S' \cup \delta} \text{res}_\alpha(x(z)\phi(z)\omega)\). Then:
Corollary 4.1. The subalgebra of $U_{s,h}\mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta}$ generated by the $\bar{e}[r]$, $\bar{f}[r]$ and $\bar{K}^+[r]$, for $r$ in $R_{S',\delta}$, is a flat deformation of the enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g} \otimes R_{S',\delta}$.

Proof. After we apply $i$, this is follows from the PBW result of Thm. 2.1 on regular subalgebras of the algebras $U_{a,b}\mathfrak{g}$. □

Remark 12. The field $K^+(z)$ satisfies the functional equations

$$K^+(\gamma_a z) = K^+(z), \quad K^+(\gamma_b z) = K^+(z)\bar{h}[1]^{-\bar{h}b},$$

analogous to relation (44) of [7].

Remark 13. It is easy to specialize Prop. 4.5 to obtain an isomorphism between the centerless versions of the elliptic algebras of [10] and [7].

Remark 14. By analogy with [7], one may construct a “centrally extended” version $U_{s,h}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{S',\delta}$ of the algebra $U_{s,h}\mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta}$, with additional central generator $K$ and relations (67), (74) and (70) replaced by

$$[K^+(z), K^+(z')] = [K^{-(\alpha)}(z), K^{-(\beta)}(z')] = 0,$$

$$K^+(z)K^{-(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)K^+(z)^{-1}K^{-(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)^{-1} = \frac{\theta(z - (z_\alpha) + hh + \delta - \Delta) \theta(z - (z_\alpha) + hh(K - 1) + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - (z_\alpha) - hh + \delta - \Delta) \theta(z - (z_\alpha) + hh(K + 1) + \delta - \Delta)},$$

$$[e^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha), f^{(\beta)}(w_\beta)] = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \left( \delta(z, (w_\beta))K^+((z_\alpha)) - \delta(z, (w_\beta); K)K^{-(\beta)}((w_\beta))^{-1} \right),$$

$$K^{-(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta)K^{-(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)^{-1} = \frac{\theta((z_\alpha - w_\beta - hh(K + 1)h + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z_\alpha - w_\beta - hh(K - 1)h)}e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta),$$

where

$$\delta(z, w) = \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(z - w + \delta - \Delta) + \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(w - z + \delta - \Delta),$$

$$\delta(z, w; K) = \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(z - w + hhK + \delta - \Delta) + \frac{\partial_h \theta}{\theta}(w - z + hhK + \delta - \Delta).$$

The construction of Prop. 1.3 and Cor. 4.4 of a deformation of the enveloping algebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes R_{S',\delta}$ in $U_{s,h}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{S',\delta}$ may be extended to $U_{s,h}\mathfrak{g}_{S',\delta}$.

However, it seems difficult to construct a coproduct on $U_{s,h}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{S',\delta}$ because the maps $z \mapsto z K$, where $z K$ is the solution “close to $z$” of $\theta(z_K - z + hhK + \delta - \Delta) = 0$, do not satisfy $(z_{K_1})_{K_2} = z_{K_1 + K_2}$. □

Remark 15. Quantization of double extensions. A Hopf algebra $U_{s,h}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{S',\delta}$ quantizing the doubly extended quasi-Lie bialgebra

$$[(\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes L_{S',\delta}^C) \oplus (\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+ \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S',\delta} \oplus \mathbb{C}D) \oplus [(\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \bar{\mathcal{O}}_{S',\delta}) \oplus (\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_- \otimes \mathcal{K}_{S',\delta}) \oplus \mathbb{C}K]$$
may be obtained by replacing in the defining relations of the above Rem., \( q(z, w) \) by
\[
q_\partial(z, w) = \frac{\theta(q^\partial z - w + \delta - \Delta)}{\theta(z - q^\partial w + \delta - \Delta)}
\]
and the shifts by \( hK\bar{h} \) by actions of \( q_\partial^{K\partial} \) on the variables. One may then extend the construction of a subalgebra deforming the enveloping algebra of \( \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{S'} \oplus K_\delta) \oplus \mathbb{C}K \) to this situation. One may also construct an twist this Hopf structure obtain a quantization of
\[
[(\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes R_{S',\delta}^\mathcal{C}) \oplus (\bar{n}_+ \otimes K_{S',\delta}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D] \oplus [(\bar{\mathfrak{h}} \otimes \Sigma) \oplus (\bar{n}_- \otimes K_{S',\delta}) \oplus \mathbb{C}D],
\]
which will be isomorphic to the one defined in sect. 1. This is because the structure coefficient
\[
\tilde{q}_\delta(z, w) = \frac{q_\delta(z, w)}{q_\delta(z, P)q_\delta(P, w)}
\]
has the properties that it vanishes for \( z = q^\partial w \), is single-valued for \( z, w \) on \( X \) and has its poles only for \( z = w \) or \( z, w \) in \( \delta \cup \{ P \} \).

One may then construct in \( U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{S',\delta} \), a deformation of the enveloping algebra of \( R_{S',\delta} \) is the usual way. Thm. 3.1 then implies that \( U_h\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{S',\delta} \) is isomorphic to the quotient \( U_hx^\mathcal{C} \otimes (\mathfrak{g}^{(g-1)} \otimes \mathfrak{D}(\mathfrak{s}_2))^{\otimes \text{card } S'} \) by the identification of the central generators.

**Remark 16.** If one replaces \( \delta \) by an arbitrary effective divisor \( \sum_i x_i \) in the definition of \( U_{h,\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{S',\delta}} \), the resulting algebra is no longer a flat deformation of \( \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_2 \). For example, if one replaces the vertex relations by
\[
\theta(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} x_i + (z_\alpha) - (w_\beta) + \hbar h - \Delta)e^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha)e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta)
\]
\[
= -\theta(\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} x_i + (w_\beta) - (z_\alpha) + \hbar h - \Delta)e^{(\beta)}(w_\beta)e^{(\alpha)}(z_\alpha),
\]
one finds for \( \alpha = \beta = i \),
\[
(w_i(z_i - w_i) + \hbar \cdots) e^{(i)}(z_i)e^{(i)}(w_i) = (z_i(z_i - w_i) + \hbar \cdots) e^{(i)}(w_i)e^{(i)}(z_i),
\]
which are relations for a flat deformation of the affinization of the Lie superalgebra \( \mathfrak{osp}(2|1) \) (we owe this remark to B. Feigin).
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