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ABSTRACT

In this paper the development, and numerical testing of a class of self-scaling Quasi-Newton update with adaptive step - size are presented . In our work a new combined (Oren-Al-Bayati) self-scaling algorithm is presented with a modified Armijo line search procedure.

This algorithm has implemented both theoretically and numerically and tested on some well-known test cases. Numerical experiments indicate that this new algorithm is effective and superior to the standard, with respect to the number of functions evaluations (NOF) and number of iterations (NOI).
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1. Introduction

The self-scaling update proposed by Oren [7] has some good characteristics. With a self-scaling parameter $\mu$, this class of updates can be written as

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} + \phi(y_k^T H_k y_k)R_k R_k^T \mu_k + \frac{v_k v_k^T}{v_k^T y_k}$$

...(1)

Where

$\nu_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$

$y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k$, $g_k = \nabla f(x_k)$

$H_0 = I$
\[ \mu_k = \frac{v_k^T y_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} \] ... (2)

and

\[ R_k = \frac{v_k}{v_k^T y_k} - \frac{H_k y_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} \] ... (3)

Where \( \phi \) is parameter \( \in (0,1) \), for the BFGS \( \phi = 1 \) and for the DFP \( \phi = 0 \). Oren used \( \phi = 1 \) in (1) to get

\[ H_{k+1} = \mu_k [H_k - \frac{H_k y_k v_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k}] + \frac{v_k v_k^T}{y_k^T y_k} + \tau R_k R_k^T, \quad \tau > 0 \] ... (4)

where \( R_k \) is defined by (3). And \( \mu_k \) is defined by (2). Equation (4) is called 2-parametersVM-update.

Al-Bayati [1] found another interesting family of VM-updates of (1) by further scaling of Oren’s family of updates with a scalar \( \mu_k > 0 \) such that:

\[ \mu_k = \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{v_k^T y_k} = \overline{\mu}_k \] ... (5)

Al-Bayati [1] has a search direction which is identical to the standard CG-direction (see the following theorem):

**Theorem (1.1):**

Assume that \( f(x) \) be the quadratic function defined by

\[ f(x) = \frac{1}{2} x^T G x + b^T x \]

and that the line searches are exact: If \( H \) is any symmetric positive definite matrix (of appropriate order) and we define an updating

\[ H_{new}^{*} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} + R_k R_k^T + \overline{\mu}_k \frac{v_k v_k^T}{y_k^T y_k} \] ... (6)

And \( \overline{\mu}_k \) is defined by (5),

simply we use * to denote new values in this theorem.

then the search direction \( d_{new}^* = -H^* g^* \) is identical to the conjugate-gradient direction [3]. \( d_{CG}^* \) define by

\[ d_{CG}^* = \begin{cases} -g_k & \text{for } k = 0 \\ -g^* + y_k^T g^*/y_k^T d_k^* d_k & \text{for } k \geq 1 \end{cases} \]

**Proof:**

The update (6) can be written as:

\[ H_{new}^{*} = H_k - v_k^T y_k H_k / v_k^T y_k - H_k y_k v_k^T / v_k^T y_k + (\overline{\mu}_k + y_k^T H_k y_k / v_k^T y_k) v_k v_k^T / v_k^T y_k \]

Now

\[ d_{new}^* = -H_k g^* + y_k^T H_k g^*/v_k^T y_k v_k + v_k^T g^* H_k y_k / v_k^T y_k - 2y_k^T H_k y_k v_k^T g^*/(y_k^T v_k)^2 v_k \]
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\[-H_k g^* + y_k^T H_k g^* / y_k^T v_k v_k\]

using the property \(v_k^T g^* = 0\), quoted earlier which holds for line searches. The vector \(g^*\) can be substituted for \(H_k g^*\) by using property \(H_{rv} g^* = H_k g^*\) for \(0 \leq i < k \leq n\) therefore

\[d_{new}^* = -g^* + (y_k^T g^* / y_k^T v_{new}) v_{new}\] ... (7)

We also know that \(d_{BFGS}^*\) and \(d_{CG}^*\) are identical (See Nazareth [5]) and \(d_{new}^*\) is identical to \(d_{BFGS}^*\) with exact line searches. Hence equation (7) becomes

\[d_{new}^* = -g^* + (y_k^T g^* / y_k^T d_{CG}) d_{CG} = d_{CG}^*\]

Hence the proof . #

2. Original Armijo Line Search rule [9]:

Given \(s > o\) and \(\beta, \sigma\) are parameters \(\beta, \sigma \in (0,1)\). Choose \(\alpha_k\) to be the largest one in \(\{s, s\beta, s\beta^2, ..., \}\) such that \(f(x_k + \alpha d_k) - f_k \leq \sigma \alpha g_k^T d_k\).

Obviously, Armijo’s rule is easy to implement and useful in practice. The most important advantage of the Armijo line search rule is that it enable us to estimate an initial test step-size s.

Good estimation for s can make us cut down the function evaluation at each iteration.

How to choosing the parameters (such as \(s, \sigma, \beta\)) is very important for practical problems.

Several choosing techniques have been appearing in many literatures. In this paper, we propose a new inexact line search rule for Armijo for Quasi-Newton method and establish some global convergent results of this method.

Theses results are useful in designing new Quasi-Newton methods with the new line search rule.

3. Inexact Line Search rule

We first assume that

\[(H_1)\) The function \(f(x)\) has a lower bound on the level set

\[L_0 = \{x \in R^n / f(x) \leq f(x_0)\}\] where \(x_0\) is given.

\[(H_2)\) The gradient \(g(x)\) of \(f(x)\) is Lipschitz in an open convex set \(B\) that contains \(L_0\), i.e., there exists a constant \(L > 0\) such that

\[\|g(x) - g(y)\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \forall x, y \in B\].

Some times we require that \(f(x)\) is twice continuously differentiable. In what follows, we first describe the Quasi-Newton Method.

Original Armijo Algorithm (I):

Step(1): Given \(x_i \in R^n\) and \(B_i\) is a symmetric definite matrix, \(k = 1\).

Step(2): If \(\|g_k\| = 0\) then stop else go to step(3).

Step(3): \(x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k\), where \(d_k = -B_k^{-1} g_k\) and \(\alpha_k\) is determined by
For the next step use Given $\beta \in (0,1)$ and $\sigma \in (0,1/2)$. Choose $\alpha_k$ to be the largest one in $\{1, \beta, \beta^2, \ldots\}$ such that

$$f(x_k + \alpha d_k) - f_k \leq \sigma \alpha [s_k^T d_k + \frac{1}{2} \alpha d_k^T B_k d_k]$$

Step(4): Let $v_k = x_{k+1} - x_k$, $y_k = s_{k+1} - s_k$, modify $B_k$ as $B_{k+1}$ by using BFGS or DFP formula or other Quasi-Newton formulae.

Step(5): Set $k = k + 1$ and go to step (2).

(H1): The matrix $B_k$ satisfies:

$$m \|d\|^2 \leq d^T B_k d \leq M \|d\|^2, \forall d \in \mathbb{R}^n, \forall k, \text{ where } 0 < m \leq M.$$

Algorithm (II): (AL-Bayati) [1]

Start with any initial point $x_i$

Step(1): Set $k = 1$ and chose $H_1$ to be any positive definite matrix (usually $H_1 = I$).

Step(2): Determine the step-size $\lambda_k$ to minimize $f(x_k + \lambda d_k)$ where $d_k = -H_k g_k$, and obtain $x_{k+1} = x_k + \lambda d_k$

Step(3): Set $H_{k+1} = [H_k - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} + R_k R_k^T] + \frac{v_k^T v_k}{v_k^T y_k} y_k^T y_k$, where $\bar{\mu}_k = \frac{y_k^T H_k y_k}{v_k^T y_k}$

Step(4): If not converged increase $k$ by 1 and return to step(2).

Algorithm (III): (Oren) [6]

Start with any initial point $x_i$

Step(1): Set $k = 1$ and chose $H_1$ to be any positive definite matrix (usually $H_1 = I$).

Step(2): Determine the step-size $\lambda_k$ to minimize $f(x_k + \lambda d_k)$ where $d_k = -H_k g_k$, and obtain $x_{k+1} = x_k + \lambda d_k$

Step(3): Set $H_{k+1} = [H_k - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k} + R_k R_k^T] + \frac{v_k^T v_k}{v_k^T y_k} y_k^T y_k$

Step(4): If not converged increase $k$ by 1 and return to step(2).

Oren algorithm (III) processes the following properties for a quadratic function:

(a) If $\lambda_k$ minimizes $f(x_k - \lambda H_k g_k)$ for all $k$, then the vector $d_k$ are mutually conjugate (with respect to G) and hence the solution is obtained in at most $n$ iterations.

(b) The condition number of the matrix $R_k = G^2 H_k G^2$ is strictly monotonically decreasing.

(c) If $\lambda_k = 1$ for all $k$, then the algorithm convergent" two- step super linearly", i.e.

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|x_k - x_{\text{min}}\|}{\|x_k - x_{\text{min}}\|} = 0$$

The proofs of these properties can be found in [2] and [6].
4. A New Hybrid (Oren-Al-Bayati) Self-Scaling VM Algorithm

Our objective is to propose a new class of two parameter updates which will combine the relative merits of different types of QN updates. This class of modified QN updates can be expressed as follows:

\[
H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k + R_k R_k^T}{y_k^T H_k y_k} \mu_k + \frac{v_k v_k^T}{y_k^T y_k}, \quad \text{where} \quad \mu_k = \frac{1}{\bar{\mu}_k}
\]  

...(8)

This formula is invariant under linear transformation provided that \(\mu_k\) and \(\bar{\mu}_k\) are constructed from invariant scalars. Other properties, such as termination and conjugate gradients, are also preserved. Likewise positive definite matrices are preserved for \(\mu_k > 0\) and sufficiently large \(\bar{\mu}_k\).

5. New Modified Armijo Line Search

We modify original Armijo algorithm as follows for a smooth differential function \(f\) and for an starting point \(x_0\) with parameters \(\lambda_0, \mu\) follow these steps by considering an stopping criterion \(\varepsilon\):

Step(1): Input \(\{f, x_0, \lambda_0, \eta, \varepsilon\}\)

Step(2): Set \(k = -1\)

Step(3): If \(k < \eta\), replace \(k\) by \(k+1\), set \(\lambda = \lambda_0\), \(j = 1\) and go to the next step, otherwise, go to step(8)

Step(4): If \(f(x_k - 2\nabla f(x_k)) - f(x_k) \leq -\frac{1}{2} \lambda \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2\), go to step(6); otherwise, set \(j = j+1\) and go to the next step.

Step(5): Set \(\lambda = \frac{\lambda_0}{2^m}\) and return to step(4)

Step(6): Set \(x_{k+1} = x_k - 2\nabla f(x_k)\)

Step(7): If \(\|\nabla f(x_k)\| \leq \varepsilon\), go to Step(8); otherwise go to step(3).

Step(8): Output \(\{x_k, f(x_k), \nabla f(x_k)\}\)

The above algorithm provides an effective and very useful step-size adaptation procedure for various applications, for more details see [8].

6. The Out lines of New Preconditioned CG Algorithm With New Armijo Line Search Procedure

Step(1): Set \(x_0, \varepsilon, H_0 = I\)

Step(2): For \(k = 1, 2, \ldots, n\), set \(d_k = -H_k g_k\)

Step(3): Compute \(x_{k+1} = x_k + \lambda_k d_k\) where \(\lambda_k\) is optimal step-size obtained from Armijo line search procedure.

Step(4): Check if \(\|g_{k+1}\| < \varepsilon\) then stop, otherwise go to step (5).

Step(5): \(v_k = s_{k+1} - x_k\), \(y_k = g_{k+1} - g_k\).

Step(6): \(\bar{\mu}_k = \frac{v_k^T H_k y_k}{y_k^T y_k}\), If \(\bar{\mu}_k > 0.5\) then \(\mu_k = \frac{v_k^T y_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k}\), \(\bar{\mu}_k = 1\)
Step(7): \( H_{k+1} = \left[H_k - \frac{H_k y_k y_k^T H_k}{y_k^T H_k y_k}\right] \mu_k + y_k^T H_k y_k R_k R_k^T + \bar{\mu}_k \frac{v_k v_k^T}{y_k^T y_k} \)

where \( R_k \) is defined by (3)

Step(8): \( d_{k+1} = -H_{k+1} g_{k+1} + \beta_k d_k \)

where \( \beta_k = \frac{g_{k+1}^T H_{k+1} y_k}{d_k^T y_k} \)

Step(9): If \( k = n \) or \( d_{k+1} g_{k+1} > 0 \) then go to step(2)

Otherwise \( k = k+1 \) and go to step(3)

7. Numerical Results

The comparative test involves eleven well-known standard test functions (given in the appendix) with different dimensions. The line search routine is a new modified Armijo line search which uses only function values.

The results are given in the Table (1A) is specifically quoting the number of function evaluations (NOF) and the number of iterations (NOI). All programs are written in FORTRAN 90 language and for all cases the stopping criterion is taken to be \( \|g_{k+1}\| < 1 \times 10^{-5} \).

From Table (1B) it is clear that there are a big advantages comparing the new algorithm against the standard BFGS algorithm, namely, there are about %02 NOI and % 62 NOF improvements in the new suggested.

Table (1A). Comparative Performance of Two Algorithms
(New Algorithm and Standard BFGS).

| Test Function | N    | NEW OPTIMAL STEP SIZE | BFGS METHOD |
|---------------|------|-----------------------|-------------|
|               |      | NOI       | NOF | NOI | NOF |
| POWELL        | 4    | 53        | 60  | 21  | 88  |
|               | 60   | 58        | 65  | 68  | 189 |
|               | 100  | 58        | 65  | 67  | 185 |
|               | 500  | 58        | 65  | 39  | 118 |
| ROSEN         | 2    | 50        | 62  | 18  | 56  |
|               | 60   | 51        | 63  | 175 | 563 |
|               | 100  | 51        | 63  | 237 | 697 |
|               | 500  | 51        | 63  |     |     |
| CUBIC         | 2    | 50        | 58  | 14  | 45  |
|               | 150  | 51        | 59  | 49  | 117 |
|               | 200  | 51        | 59  | 46  | 119 |
|               | 500  | 51        | 59  | 79  | 186 |
| SHALLOW       | 2    | 12        | 15  | 8   | 26  |
|               | 100  | 12        | 15  | 8   | 26  |
|               | 200  | 12        | 15  | 8   | 26  |
|               | 500  | 12        | 15  | 8   | 26  |
| DIXON         | 4    | 13        | 15  | 50  | 58  |
|               | 10   | 15        | 17  | 15  | 33  |
| NON-DIAGONAL  | 2    | 41        | 53  | 11  | 45  |
|               | 12   | 47        | 65  | 41  | 105 |
|               | 100  | 52        | 66  | 76  | 181 |
|               | 500  | 42        | 55  | 85  | 210 |
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| MIELE | 4   | 33  | 37  | 26 | 90 |
|-------|-----|-----|-----|----|----|
|       | 52  | 44  | 48  | 31 | 96 |
|       | 100 | 44  | 48  | 32 | 99 |
|       | 500 | 48  | 52  | 39 | 120 |
| Gcantrel | 4   | 67  | 70  | 9  | 41 |
|        | 60  | 139 | 142 | 22 | 101 |
|        | 100 | 164 | 167 | 23 | 107 |
|        | 500 | 287 | 290 | 23 | 107 |
| Gwolfe | 3   | 11  | 14  | 6  | 17 |
|        | 21  | 42  | 46  | 24 | 50 |
|        | 99  | 52  | 56  | 72 | 145 |
|        | 450 | 62  | 66  | 82 | 165 |
| Beale  | 2   | 18  | 20  | 9  | 24 |
|        | 80  | 19  | 21  | 10 | 25 |
|        | 100 | 19  | 21  | 10 | 25 |
|        | 500 | 19  | 21  | 10 | 25 |
| GWood  | 4   | 33  | 41  | 37 | 105 |
|        | 80  | 33  | 41  | 207 | 620 |
|        | 100 | 33  | 41  | 245 | 757 |

| Total  | 2007 | 2251 | 2040 | 5818 |

From Table(1B)
Percentage performance of the new algorithm against 100% BFGS algorithm

| Tools | BFGS | New  |
|-------|------|------|
| NOI   | 100% | 98.38235 |
| NOF   | 100% | 38.69027 |

Appendix:
All the test functions used in this paper are from general literature:

1. Powell function (Generalized form)

\[ f = \sum_{i=1}^{n/4} [(x_{4i-3} + 10x_{4i-2})^2 + 5(x_{4i-1} - x_{4i})^2 + (x_{4i-2} - 2x_{4i-1})^4 + 10(x_{4i-3} - x_{4i})^4], \]

\[ x_0 = (3, -1, 0.1, ...)^T \]

2. Rosen function

\[ f = 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2, \quad x_0 = (-1.21, 0.0)^T \]

3. Cubic function

\[ f = 100(x_2 - x_1^3)^2 + (1 - x_1)^2, \quad x_0 = (-1.21, 0.0)^T \]

4. Shallow function

\[ f = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} (x_{2i-1}^2 - x_{2i})^2 + (1 - x_{2i-1})^2, \quad x_0 = (-2; ...)^T \]

5. Dixon function
\[ f = (1 - x_i)^2 + (1 - x_{i0})^2 + \sum_{i=2}^{n} (x_i^2 - x_{i+1})^2, \quad x_0 = (-1;...)^T \]

6. Non-diagonal function
\[ f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} [100(x_i - x_i^2)^2 + (1 - x_i)^2], \quad x_0 = (-1;...)^T \]

7. Miele function
\[ f = (e^{x_i} - 1)^2 \tan^4(x_3 - x_4) + 100(x_2 - x_3)^6 + x_1^8 + (x_4 - 1)^2, \quad x_0 = (1,2,2,2)^T \]

8. Cantrel function
\[ f = (e^{x_{4i-3} - x_{4i-2}})^4 + 100(x_{4i-2} - x_{4i-1})^6 + A \tan((x_{4i-2} - x_{4i})^4 + x_{4i-3}^8, \quad x_0 = (1,2,2,2;...)^T \]

9. Wolfe function
\[ f = (-x_i(3 - x_{i/2}) + 2x_2 - 1)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (x_{i-1} - x_i(3 - x_{i/2}) + 2x_{i+1} - 1)^2 + (x_{n-1} - x_n(3 - x_{n/2}) - 1)^2 \]
\[ x_0 = (-1;...)^T \]

10. Beale function
\[ f = (1.5 - x_i(1 - x_2))^2 + (2.25 - x_i(1 - x_2^2))^2 + (6.625 - x_i(1 - x_2^3))^2, \quad x_0 = (0,0)^T \]

11. Wood function
\[ f = \sum_{i=1}^{n/4} [100(x_{4i-2} - x_{4i-3})^2 + (1 - x_{4i-1})^2 + 90(x_{4i} - x_{4i-2})^2 + (1 - x_{4i-1})^2 + 10.1(x_{4i-2} - 1)^2 \]
\[ + (x_{4i} - 1)^2 + 19.8(x_{4i-2} - 1)(x_{4i} - 1)], \quad x_0 = (-3,-1;-3,-1;...)^T \]
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