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Compared with whole-cell proteomic analysis, subcellular proteomic analysis is advantageous not only for the increased coverage of low abundance proteins but also for generating organelle-specific data containing information regarding dynamic protein movement. In the present study, peroxisome-enriched fractions from Sendai virus (SeV)-infected or uninfected HepG2 cells were obtained and subjected to quantitative proteomics analysis. We identified 311 proteins that were significantly changed by SeV infection. Among these altered proteins, 25 are immune response-related proteins. Further bioinformatic analysis indicated that SeV infection inhibits cell cycle-related proteins and membrane attack complex-related proteins, all of which are beneficial for the survival and replication of SeV within host cells. Using Luciferase reporter assays on several innate immune-related reporters, we performed functional analysis on 11 candidate proteins. We identified LGALS3BP and CALU as potential negative regulators of the virus-induced activation of the type I interferons. Molecular & Cellular Proteomics 14: 10.1074/mcp.M115.048413, 2535–2549, 2015.

One of the most significant evolutionary features in eukaryotes is the appearance of a membrane system to separate enzymatic reactions and to provide scaffolds for signal transduction. The small genome of viruses requires that they use the host’s cellular machinery, especially host intracellular membranes, to assemble their replication complexes and to complete their replication cycle (1). Therefore, it is not surprising to find that the eukaryotic membrane system is also involved in antiviral responses (2–4). Subcellular organelles with extensive membrane systems include the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)1, mitochondria, endosomes and peroxisomes. Despite their well-recognized functions in cell metabolism, these organelles and their related membranes have been identified in recent years as important innate immune platforms (5). The ER is a key organelle for maintaining cellular homeostasis. Several recent studies have linked the ER to antiviral immune responses and have elucidated the related mechanisms (6–10). The mitochondria serve mainly as the power plants of eukaryotic cells but also participate in numerous crucial cellular processes, such as calcium homeostasis, apoptosis and aging (11–13). In recent years, the mitochondria and mitochondrial-associated membranes (MAM) have also emerged as fundamental hubs for innate antiviral immunity. Several important antiviral immune-related proteins, such as VISA (also referred to as MAVS/CARDIF/IPS-1) and MITA (also referred to as STING), have been found to localize to the mitochondrial membrane (14–19). Peroxisomes are monolayer-membrane organelles present in nearly all types of human cells, with a particularly high abundance in hepatocytes and nephrocytes, which are involved in various oxidative enzymatic reactions. It has been reported that the peroxisomal-associated protein VISA induces a rapid interferon (IFN)-independent expression that provides short-term protection, whereas the mitochondrial-associated VISA activates an IFN-dependent signaling pathway with delayed kinetics (20). The peroxisomal VISA can activates IRF1-mediated IFN-λ production (21). The endosomes, although known as players in cellular endocytosis and vascular transport, have functions that extend to the antigen presentation of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I, MHC class II and CD1 molecules of the adaptive immune response.

1 The abbreviations used are: ER, endoplasmic reticulum; PEFs, peroxisome-enriched fractions; SeV, Sendai virus; MAC, membrane attack complex; MAM, mitochondrial-associated membranes; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; TLRs, Toll-like receptors; NLRs, NOD-like receptors; RLRs, RIG-I-like receptors; IFN, interferon; MOI, multiplicity of infection; FDRs, false discovery rates; SCX, strong cation exchange; ISRE, interferon-sensitive response element; NF-kB, nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells; GO, gene ontology; AP-2, adaptor protein complex 2; IRF-1, interferon regulatory factor 1.
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system, as well as pattern recognition of innate immune-related receptors, such as Toll-like receptors (TLRs) and NOD-like receptors (NLRs) (22, 23).

Subcellular fractionation is an effective experimental strategy for isolating/enriching specific organelles. Compared with whole-cell-based proteomic analysis, combining the isolation of subcellular components with mass spectrometry-based proteomic analysis is advantageous not only for characterizing low-abundance proteins but also for monitoring protein abundance changes at the organelle level. To systematically analyze the role of peroxisomal-related proteins in innate immune responses, we used a modified two-step gradient centrifugation method to enrich the peroxisomes from cells with or without SeV infection, followed by a quantitative proteomic analysis. A total of 2946 proteins were quantified among which 311 proteins were found to be significantly changed by SeV infection. A statistical enrichment test was used to reveal that 13 protein groups were changed significantly (p < 0.05) compared with the entire protein list. Cell cycle-related proteins and membrane attack complex (MAC)-related proteins were down-regulated, which may facilitate virus survival and replication in host cells. Luciferase reporter assays were performed to further screen for the significantly changed proteins that could affect SeV-induced activation of the type I IFN signaling pathway. Not only does our data provide new and unbiased protein-level information regarding viral infection processes, we also provide direct evidence for the involvement of two proteins (CALU and LGALS3BP) as potential negative regulators in the virus-triggered induction of the type I IFNs.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and Antibodies—Chemical and cell-culture reagents: DMEM (Thermo, Waltham, MA), FBS (Gibco, Waltham, MA), Milli-Q quality water, HPLC-grade methanol (Tedia, Fairfield, OH), trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, Sigma, St. Louis, MO), acetonitrile (Tedia, Fairfield, OH), ammonium formate (Fluka, St. Louis, MO), formic acid (Fluka, St. Louis, MO), ammonium hydroxide (Fluka, St. Louis, MO), Nycodenz (Axis-Shield, Oslo, Norway), and protease inhibitor mixture tablets (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Other unspecified reagents were obtained from Sigma.

Cell Culture and Preparation of the Peroxisome-enriched Fraction—HepG2 cells were cultured in DMEM media and 10% FBS. The cells (5 × 10⁶) were infected with SeV at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 100 for 12 h, with mock-infected cells as controls. The cells were harvested in PBS solution and homogenized in HM buffer (5 mM 3-morpholinopropanesulfonic acid (MOPS), 1 mM EDTA-Na, 250 mM sucrose, 0.1% ethanol, pH 7.2) using a 7-ml volume homogenizer (Sigma) on ice. Trypan blue was used to monitor the break rate of the cells. When the cell break rate reached 80–85%, the cell homogenates were centrifuged at 8000 × g for 10 min. The supernatant was collected and centrifuged at 6000 × g for 10 min. Then, the supernatant was centrifuged at 20,000 × g for 15 min in a SW40 rotor (Beckman). The sediment, which is generally recognized as the light mitochondrial-containing fraction, was then gently homogenized in HM buffer (0.25 mM sucrose, 5 mM MOPS, 1 mM EDTA-Na, 0.1% ethanol, pH 7.2, with protease inhibitor mixture). The continuous density gradient was prepared using Nycodenz, which is a nonionic iodinated density gradient medium. It is widely used for the isolation of cells, subcellular organelles and membranes, macromolecules and viruses (25). A 10% (W/V) Nycodenz solution was prepared in HM buffer, and a 40% Nycodenz solution was prepared in HD buffer (5 mM MOPS, 1 mM EDTA-Na, 0.1% ethanol, pH 7.4). Finally, a 9 ml 10–40% (W/V) Nycodenz continuous density gradient (density span from 1.05 to 1.21 g/ml) was made using a double-chamber mixture. The centrifugation was performed at 170,000 × g for 150 min at 4 °C. After the centrifugation, fractions of 0.5–ml each were collected and analyzed via Western blot using PMP70 and AIF as protein markers to indicate the peroxisome and mitochondrial locations. Generally, from the highest to the lowest density fractions, PMP70-(peroxisome marker) staining appears first, without any AIF-staining. After three fractions, the AIF-staining appears, and overlaps with the PMP70-staining for another 8–10 fractions. Because mitochondrial proteins are generally in greater abundance than peroxisomal proteins, to ensure the maximum removal of the mitochondrial proteins, only the first three PMP70-stained fractions were combined and used in this proteomic analysis.

Protein Isolation, Digestion, and Labeling with Dimethyllyation Reagents—Three volumes of HD buffer were added to each fraction and centrifuged at 22,000 × g for 30 min. The sediment was lysed with 1% (W/V) sodium carbonate solution, and the lysate was then mixed with three volumes of protein precipitation solution (50% methanol and 0.1% acetic acid) and placed at −20 °C for 2 h, then centrifuged at 2000 × g for 20 min. The protein pellets were resuspended in a buffer containing 8 M urea, 4 M CaCl₂, and 0.2 M Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The proteins were reduced with DTT, alkylated with iodoacetamide, and then digested with trypsin as previously described (26). The digested peptides were desalted with a SepPak C18 cartridge (Waters, Milford, MA), dried in a SpeedVac, dimethyl-labeled with either CH₃OH (light labeled, the SeV-stimulated sample) or CD₃O (heavy labeled, the mock control sample). The dimethyl-labeling experiment was performed following by a previously reported protocol (27). The light- and heavy-labeled peptides were mixed at a ratio of 1:1 and separated using strong cation exchange (SCX) chromatography into 10 fractions, as previously described (26). Each fraction was desalted using a ZipTipC18 microipette tip (EMD Millipore, Billerica, MA), dried with a SpeedVac, and stored at −80 °C before the LC-MS/MS analysis.

Mass Spectrometry Analysis and Data Processing—The protein samples from three biological replications were generated and analyzed independently. Using SCX fractionation, each sample group was separated into ten fractions, followed by analytical LC-MS/MS runs. A TripleTOF 5600+ System coupled with an Ultra 1D Plus nano-liquid chromatography device (AB SCIEX, Framingham, MA) was used for tandem mass spectrometry analysis. Peptides were dissolved in 2% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid, loaded onto a C18 trap column (5 μm, 350 to 1500 μm; Agilent, Santa Clara, CA) at a flow rate of 5 μl/min, and subsequently eluted from the trap column over the C18 analytic column (75 μm × 150 mm, 3 μm particle size, 100 Å pore size, Eksigent, Dublin, CA) at a flow rate of 300 nL/min in a 100 min gradient. The mobile phase A was 3% DMSO and 0.1% formic acid, the mobile phase B was 3% DMSO, 97% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid. The information dependent acquisition (IDA) mode was used to acquire MS/MS data. Survey scans were acquired in 250 ms and 40 product ion scans were collected at 50 ms/scan. The precursor ion range was set from m/z 350 to m/z 1500, and the product ion range was set from m/z 100 to m/z 1500. Tandem mass spectra were extracted by Peakview version 2.0 (AB SCIEX).
Analysis of the raw MS spectra was performed with ProteinPilot 5.0 software (AB SCIEX) using the Paragon algorithm. The uniprot_2014_8 database (Proteome ID: UP000005640, downloaded in Aug, 2014) was used, and the data analysis parameters were as follows: Sample type: Dimethyl +0, +4 (Peptide Labeled); Cys Alkylation: Iodoacetamide; Miss cleavages容忍度: 2; Digestion: Trypsin; Fixed modification: carbamidomethyl Cys; Variable modification: none; Special Factors: Urea denaturation; MS1 initial mass error tolerance value: 0.05 dalton; MS2 initial mass error tolerance value: 0.1 dalton. Three independent biological replicates were generated and analyzed. The false discovery rates (FDRs) of ProteinPilot search results were all set as lower than 1% at the protein level. The protein ratios used for relative quantitation were calculated as previously described (28). The confidence of each quantitative peptides higher than 99% were picked up. In each replicates, there are at least two or more unique quantitative peptides for each proteins’ quantification. The protein ratio values used in the bioinformatics analysis described below are the means of the three biological replicates.

Before bioinformatics analysis, the thresholds of “significantly-changed” ratios were calculated. Based on the Gaussian distribution of the quantitative ratio (log2 value) list, the mean and the standard deviations value of the Gaussian distribution were calculated as previously described method (29).

To identify specific protein categories that are significantly affected by viral infection, the “statistical overrepresentation test” and “the statistical enrichment test” in PANTHER classification system (http://www.pantherdb.org, version 9.0) was used. Gene Ontology (http://www.geneontology.org, version 2.44), KEGG database (http://www.kegg.jp, release Dec, 2014) and DAVID functional annotation tools (http://david.abcc.ncifcrf.gov, version 6.7) were also used to analyze the data.

**Transfection and Reporter Assays**—The cells were seeded and transfected the following day using a standard calcium phosphate precipitation method or via FuGENE (Roche). Empty control plasmids were added to ensure that each transfection received the same amount of total DNA. To normalize the transfection efficiency, pRL-TK Renilla luciferase reporter plasmids were added to each transfection. The luciferase assays were performed using a Dual-Specific Luciferase Assay kit (Promega), in which the firefly luciferase activities were normalized on the basis of the Renilla luciferase activities.

**RNAi Experiments**—The single-stranded siRNA corresponding to the target gene sequences were based on the Sigma siRNA library. The following siRNA sequences were used: For human CALU: #1: 5’-GUAGAGUGAGCAGGAGAGG-3’; #2: 5’-CGUGAGACAAGUAGCAGAAGA-3’. For human LGALS3BP: #1: 5’-GAGGCUCAGCUCUCAAGAAU-3’; #2: 5’-UGUGGUCUGCACCAGAUGA-3’.

**Western Blot**—Proteins separation by SDS-PAGE (10% gel) and Western blotting were performed as previously described (30). The following antibodies were used in the experiments: mouse monoclonal anti-AIF (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, Cat. # sc-55519); mouse monoclonal anti-PAMP70 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, Cat. # 718300); rabbit polyclonal anti-CALU (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, Cat. # sc-99883); rabbit polyclonal anti-LGALS3BP (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, Cat. # sc-98706); mouse monoclonal Anti-HA (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, Cat. # H9658).

**ELISA**—The supernatants of cell culture medium were analyzed with a human IFN-β ELISA kit (PBL Assay Science, Piscataway, NJ) following protocols recommended by the manufacturer.

**RESULTS**

**Experimental Design and Overview of the Quantitative Proteomic Data**—HepG2 is a widely used human liver cell line. Because of their high degree of morphological and functional differentiation in vitro, HepG2 cells are also widely used in studies of intracellular protein trafficking (31, 32). Previous studies have shown that in HepG2 cells, two of the most important innate immune pathways, the RLRs and TLRs signal pathways, can be activated after viral infection (33, 34). To establish a proper cell stimulation condition for our proteomic study, we stimulated HepG2 cells with SeV at an MOI of 100 for 12 h and then validated SeV-induced activation of IFN-β, ISRE and NF-κB using luciferase reporter assays (data not shown).

Our subcellular and quantitative proteomic analysis workflow is outlined in Fig. 1A. HepG2 cells, with or without SeV infection, were obtained, and the PEFs (35) were isolated using a two-step Nycodenz gradient centrifugation as described in the methods section. After obtaining the PEFs, the proteins were digested with trypsin followed by peptide-level stable isotope dimethylation. The SeV-infected sample was labeled with the “heavy” reagent, whereas the mock treated sample was labeled with the “light” reagent. Dimethyl labeled peptides were mixed at a 1:1 ratio, fractionated into 10 fractions via SCX, and then analyzed using LC-MS/MS analysis (Fig. 1A).

Three biological replicates were generated and analyzed independently using the workflow outlined in Fig. 1A. Overall, 3735, 3675, and 3879 non-redundant proteins were identified from the three biological replicates (FDR < 1%) (supplemental Table S1). A total of 42760, 44065, and 42275 quantified peptides passed the stringent quality test in replicates 1, 2, and 3, respectively (supplemental Table S2). There are at least two or more unique quantitative peptides for each proteins’ quantification. And finally 2317, 2359, and 2364 proteins were quantified in three replicates, respectively (supplemental Table S3). When the quantified proteins from the three biological repeats were combined, 1771 proteins were found to be in common, and a total of 2946 nonredundant proteins were quantified in these three replicates (supplemental Table S3). A data correlation analysis of the 1771 proteins showed the Pearson correlation coefficient values of 0.787, 0.587, and 0.632 among the replicates (Fig. 1B).

To define the “significantly changed” proteins, we analyzed the Gaussian distribution of the quantitative ratio (as log2 value) (Fig. 1C), and calculated the mean and the S.D. (standard deviation) value of the Gaussian distribution. If setting the mean ± 1.96SD as significantly changed threshold, the up-regulated quantitative ratio cutoff was 1.542 and the down-regulated quantitative ratio cutoff was 0.654, respectively. Based on these threshold values, there were 99 up-regulated and 212 down-regulated proteins (see full regulated protein list in supplemental Table S3).

Because we conducted a subcellular proteomic study, not a whole-cell-based study, it is important to find certain organelle protein markers, especially those that are highly abundant, and housekeeping protein markers, which are among the groups of unchanged proteins, to serve as reference controls, as this proves that the subcellular fractionation.
The method itself did not introduce biased data. Indeed, many organelle protein markers were found to be unchanged, including two peroxisome proteins, peroxisome assembly factor PEX6 (average ratio = 1.019) and peroxisome biogenesis factor PEX1 (average ratio = 0.945), the ER-located protein fatty aldehyde dehydrogenase AL3A2 (average ratio = 1.09),}

**Fig. 1.** The quantitative proteomic analysis of the peroxisome-density-like fraction in the SeV-infected HepG2 cells. **A**, The proteomic work flow. **B**, The correlation of these three biological repeats, the Pearson correlation factors are 0.7866, 0.5865 and 0.6316 respectively. **C**, Gaussian distribution of the quantitative data, the mean value was 0.0062 and S.D. value was 0.315. The mean ± 1.96 S.D. cutoffs are marked with a dashed line. The up-regulated cutoff was 1.542 and the down-regulated cutoff was 0.654. **D**, The subcellular annotation of the PEFs proteins of the HepG2 cells upon SeV infection. The subcellular localization of the total quantitated proteins (n = 2946), the up-regulated proteins (n = 99) and the down-regulated proteins (n = 212). The localization information was obtained from Gene Ontology. The detailed information can be seen in supplemental Table S4.
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Bioinformatic Analysis of the Regulated Proteins—We also analyzed the subcellular localization information for all of the quantified proteins by Gene Ontology (supplemental Table S4). Among the 2946 quantified proteins, 2687 proteins have cellular component information in the GO database. Among the 99 up- and 212 down-regulated proteins, 90 and 193 proteins have cellular component information, respectively. The protein distribution in each subcellular location is shown in Fig. 1E (details in supplemental Table S4). Because some proteins may have information on more than one subcellular location in the GO database, the sum of all of the ratios may be greater than 100%. As an evidence for the peroxisome enrichment, the Fisher exact p value, a value measures the subcellular gene-enrichment in the DAVID annotation system (36), was calculated as $6.61 \times 10^{-20}$ (supplemental Table S4).

Although we employed a two-step Nycodenz gradient centrifugation strategy to enrich the peroxisomes, many proteins from other subcellular localizations, in particular, the ER (15.22%), Golgi apparatus (8.48%), and mitochondria (17.79%), were also present, which is likely because of the similar cellular densities of these organelles with peroxisomes (Fig. 1D). Upon comparing the protein distributions of all of the proteins identified among the up- or the down-regulated proteins (Fig. 1D), we found that the up-regulated proteins were disproportionately overrepresented in the lysosome (12.22% of the up-regulated proteins vs. 2.42% of the total) and endosome (5.55% of the up-regulated proteins vs. 3.20% of the total), whereas the overrepresented proteins in the down-regulated groups included the cytoskeleton (8.29% of the down-regulated proteins versus 4.09% of the total) and the peroxisome-related proteins (4.14% of the down-regulated proteins vs. 2.31% of the total). The up-regulated lysosome and endosome proteins (supplemental Table S5) are primarily proteins related to immune responses, especially positive regulation of antiviral defense response, suggesting that the antivirus defense response activities were enhanced by SeV infection. The down-regulated cytoskeletal-related proteins primarily consisted of myosin and actin cytoskeleton organization related proteins, whereas the down-regulated peroxisomal proteins were found to be primarily involved in lipid metabolism.

To further define the altered proteins by function, we used the PANTHER “statistical enrichment test” tool to determine whether a certain protein class is significantly changed with respect to the entire list of quantitative proteins (37). According to the biological process classification, the up-regulated biological processes were protein localization to ER ($p = 4.56 \times 10^{-5}$), viral life cycle ($p = 1.15 \times 10^{-3}$), extracellular structure ($p = 1.09 \times 10^{-2}$), and viral transcription ($p = 4.91 \times 10^{-2}$) (Fig. 2A–2D). And the down-regulated biological processes were cell cycle process ($p = 3.12 \times 10^{-6}$), microtubule-based process ($p = 2.20 \times 10^{-3}$), mRNA processing ($p = 6.06 \times 10^{-3}$), and peroxisome organization ($p = 3.62 \times 10^{-2}$) (Fig. 2E–2H). As housekeeping/unchanged control groups, the catabolic process proteins and the biosynthetic process protein groups were not significantly changed. According to the molecular function classification, the up-regulated molecular function groups were oxidoreductase activity ($p = 3.68 \times 10^{-2}$), clathrin binding ($p = 1.26 \times 10^{-2}$) (Fig. 3A, 3B). And the down-regulated molecular function groups were motor activity ($p = 2.49 \times 10^{-2}$), nucleotide binding ($p = 4.13 \times 10^{-3}$), and small molecular binding ($p = 7.09 \times 10^{-4}$) (Fig. 3C–3E). As the housekeeping/unchanged control groups here, the structural molecule activity protein and the hydrolase activity protein groups were not significantly changed.

Putting the abundant “un-changed” proteins aside, by combining the information from the PANTHER “statistical overrepresentation test”, KEGG pathway analysis and UniProt annotations, we grouped the significantly changed proteins together based on their functions and found that proteins within a functional group mostly have the same regulatory trend (Fig. 4, supplemental Table S6). Many of these altered proteins are known to have activities in the host cell’s antiviral response. Among the up-regulated proteins, two groups, “immune response” and “antigen processing and presentation”, stood out (Fig. 4). In the immune response group, ZCCHV is a known antiviral protein that inhibits the replication of viruses by recruiting cellular RNA degradation machinery to degrade viral mRNAs (38). IFM1 is an IFN-induced antiviral protein which inhibits the entry and replication of viruses to the host cell cytoplasm (39, 40). IFM3 is a IFN-induced antiviral protein which could disrupts intracellular cholesterol homeostasis, thus inhibits the entry of viruses to the host cell cytoplasm by preventing viral fusion with cholesterol depleted endosomes (41, 42). OSAL could against encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) via an alternative antiviral pathway independent of RNase L (43, 44). PLS1 may play a role in the antiviral response of IFNs by amplifying and enhancing the IFN response through increased expression of select subset of potent antiviral genes (45). IF16 Involved in innate immune response by recognizing viral dsDNA in the cytosol, after binding to viral DNA recruits TEMEM173/MITA and mediates the induction of IFN-β (46). In the antigen processing and presentation group, AP2A1, AP2A2, AP2B1, AP2M1, and AP2M1 all are components of the adaptor protein complex 2 (AP-2), which functions in protein transport via the transport of vesicles through different membrane traffic pathways (47, 48). The AP-2 complex is involved in antigen processing and the presentation of exogenous antigens via MHC class II (49). Additionally, TAP1 and TAP2 form the TAP complex, which mediate the MHC class I antigen processing and the presentation processes (50).

Among the down-regulated proteins, four protein groups stood out: membrane attack complex (MAC)-related proteins,
cytoskeletal proteins, cell cycle-related proteins, and negative regulation of antiviral response proteins (Fig. 4). There were 7 MAC constitute proteins (CO3, CO4A, CO5, CO6, CO7, CO8B, and CO9) which were significantly down-regulated. MAC plays a key role in the innate and adaptive immune response by forming pores in the plasma membrane of target cells (51). Inflammatory responses can be triggered by MAC. And a sublytic MAC attack can increase the cytosolic Ca²⁺ concentration and ultimately lead to inflammation and apoptosis (52). We found a large group of cytoskeletal-, myosin-, and actin organization-related proteins that were down-regulated. Additionally, a set of cell cycle-related proteins were down-regulated. Interestingly, we also found three down-regulated proteins which are known as negative regulators in antiviral responses (Fig. 4). NCBP2 is a component of the cap-binding complex, which involved in pre-mRNA splicing (53). NCBP2 could help viruses replicate in host cells (54). MUL1 negatively regulates innate immune defense against viruses by inhibiting DDX58-dependent antiviral response (55). DNJA3 negatively regulates NF-κB activation (56).

**CALU and LGALS3BP Negatively Regulate SeV-induced Activation of IFN-β**—The above quantitative proteomic analysis identified 311 proteins that were altered in response to SeV infection. To investigate whether these altered proteins participate in host antiviral innate immune responses, proteins that fit the following requirements were selected for further functional studies: (1) Protein quantification data appears in all three replicates, and had the same trend of regulation. (2) The candidate protein function was unknown or its previous reported function was unrelated to host immune responses. (3) The human cDNA expression plasmid is readily available in an

**Fig. 2.** The Statistical enrichment test results based on the biological process annotations. Protein ratio list were uploaded to PANTHER website for statistical enrichment test. Only the significantly changed categories ($p < 0.05$) were shown. The $p$ value of each category was indicated by red words. Based on the biological process annotations, these following categories were shown in the figure, (A) Protein localization to ER, (B) Viral life cycle, (C) Extracellular structure, (D) Viral transcription, (E) Cell cycle process, (F) Microtubule-based process, (G) mRNA processing, (H) Peroxisome organization. The detailed information can be seen in supplemental Table S5. The x axis is protein ratio (log₂ value), y axis is the certain protein ratio higher than how many percent (percent/100) of proteins in the indicated protein group.
Origene cDNA library. By following the above criteria, 11 candidates were selected and tested for their potential effect in SeV-induced IFN-β, ISRE, NF-κB, and IFN-γ-induced IRF-1 activation. These 11 candidates are PDLIM5, CALU, CSRP1, PDIA6, GNPAT, LGALS3BP, PEX11B, EHHADH, HMGA1, PEX16, and GNB2.

Viral induced IFN-β, ISRE, NF-κB, and IFN-γ-induced IRF-1 activation are well established assays for the study of innate immunity (14, 57). The strength of a cell’s antiviral abilities can be monitored by the relative activation level of IFN-β, ISRE, and NF-κB reporters upon viral infection. IFN-β, a cytokine in the type I IFN family, is involved primarily in the antiviral innate immune response. NF-κB is a protein complex that controls the transcription of DNA in response to multiple stimuli, such as stress, cytokines and bacterial or viral antigens. Activated NF-κB binds to κB and the IFN-stimulated response element (ISRE) enhancer motif of the IFN-β promoter, leading to transcriptional induction of the IFN-β gene (58). IFN-γ belongs to the type II IFN family and can induce IRF1 activity (59). IRF-1 reporter was used in this research as a control reporter to identify the specificity of the signal pathway.

In an initial screening experiment, human cDNA over-expression plasmids for the 11 selected candidate genes were tested for their ability to influence SeV-induced IFN-β, ISRE and NF-κB reporters activation, and IFN-γ induced IRF-1 reporter activation via reporter assays (Fig. 5A–5D). Overexpression of three candidates (CALU, LGALS3BP, and EHHADH) showed negative effects on SeV-induced IFN-β, ISRE and NF-κB reporter activities, whereas the overexpressed PEX16 cDNA showed a positive effect on NF-κB reporter activity.

To further define the roles of the 4 candidate proteins in the regulation of SeV-induced type I IFN signaling pathways, 4 over-expression plasmids were transfected into 293 cells at different dosages. Their abilities to affect SeV-induced activation of the IFN-β, ISRE and NF-κB reporters and the IFN-γ-induced IRF-1 reporters were tested using luciferase reporter assays. Overexpression of either CALU or LGALS3BP inhibited SeV-induced activation of IFN-β, ISRE and the NF-κB reporter in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6A, 6B), and an increased dosage barely affected the IFN-γ-induced activation of the IRF-1 reporter (Fig. 6C, 6D). Overexpression of EHHADH inhibited SeV-induced IFN-β and the NF-κB reporter activity but also enhanced SeV-induced ISRE reporter activity in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 6E). Overexpression of PEX16 potentiated SeV-induced ISRE and IFN-β reporter activity in a dose-dependent manner but barely affected SeV-induced NF-κB reporter activity (Fig. 6F). As EHHADH and PEX16 were also able to activate the IFN-γ-induced activation of the IRF-1 reporter, and over-expression of PEX16 activated NF-κB reporter activity (Fig. 6G, 6H, 6F), we speculated that EHHADH and PEX16 may not be specifically involved in SeV-activated IFN-β induction. These data however, suggest that CALU and LGALS3BP are specifically involved in SeV-induced activation of IFN-β.

To further validate the potential effect of CALU and LGALS3BP on SeV-induced activation of IFN-β production, two sets of siRNAs targeting different sites in human CALU
and LGALS3BP mRNA were generated, respectively. The knockdown efficiency of these siRNAs targeting CALU or LGALS3BP mRNA were more than 50% (Fig. 7A, 7B). Western blot analysis also showed that these two sets of siRNAs could significantly inhibit CALU and LGALS3BP proteins expression, respectively (Fig. 7C, 7D). Reporter assays indicated that knockdown of CALU or LGALS3BP potentiated SeV-induced activation of the IFN-β, ISRE, and NF-κB reporters and barely affected the IFN-β-induced activation of the IRF-1 reporter (Fig. 7E–H). Additionally, knockdown of CALU or LGALS3BP potentiated SeV-induced transcription of the IFNB1 gene and SeV-induced IFN-β protein levels (Fig. 7A, 7B). These results collectively prove that CALU and LGALS3BP act as potential negative regulators in virus-triggered type I IFN signaling.

**DISCUSSION**

HepG2 is a well-differentiated hepatocellular carcinoma cell line. Because HepG2 cells have a well-established intracellular membrane trafficking system, they are widely used as cultured cells for the extraction of peroxisomes, lysosomes, and endosomal subcellular organelles (60–62). This phenomenon is also the reason why we chose HepG2 as the host cell for our study focusing on viral-induced alterations in peroxisome-associated proteins. The SeV infection conditions, the MOI and the infection time were primarily based on our previous studies (30), to ensure that the proteomic data could be compared with those studies.

We first eliminated the nuclear and heavier mitochondria to obtain the so-called “light mitochondrial fraction” (LMF) (63), in which the peroxisomes are heavier than the ER, Golgi apparatus membrane, lysosome, mitochondrion in LMF with a density range of 1.17–1.20 g/ml in Nycodenz (64). To ensure the maximum enrichment of the peroxisomes and the peroxisome-associated membranes, following a gradient centrifugation, we avoided any fractions where the peroxisomes and mitochondria overlapped. Based on the identified proteins, in addition to the peroxisome proteins, our subcellular fractionation method was also enriched for proteins localized in the ER and Golgi apparatus but effectively eliminated the highly...
abundant nuclear and cytosolic proteins. The important innate immune adaptor VISA was also found in the PEFs, which coordinate with the previous studies (20, 21). Compared with whole-cell based proteomic analysis, the organelle proteomic approach has certain advantages. First, it decreases the complexity of the samples to be analyzed, thus increasing the chance of identifying less abundant proteins. Second, the protein quantitation information that is obtained is organelle specific; therefore, the protein relocalization or movement-related information can be captured.

For years, studies on peroxisomes have focusing primarily on the catabolism of very-long-chain fatty acids, branched chain fatty acids, D-amino acids, and polyamines, as well as the biosynthesis of phospholipids critical for the normal function of mammalian brains and lungs (65). Our current study represents the first proteomics-based analysis that specifically focuses on peroxisome-related proteins in the innate immune response to a virus. We identified 311 proteins in the PEFs that showed alterations following the SeV infection. Among the 311 proteins, 25 proteins have been linked to human immune response-related processes. One of the key discoveries from our quantitative proteomic study is that SeV infections affect the host cell cycle and membrane attacking processes. A bioinformatics analysis revealed that many cell cycle-related proteins were down-regulated upon SeV infection, especially the spindlin complex organization-related proteins (Fig. 4). Many viruses, including DNA, retro, and RNA viruses can affect the cell cycle processes in order to increase the efficiency of virus replication (66). In the DNA viruses, the simian virus (SIV) E1A protein and papillomavirus (HPV) E7 protein can interact with cellular retinoblastoma protein (pRB) to release the transcription factor E2F. Release of E2F permits a set of gene transcriptions that are necessary for the DNA replication and cell proliferation, thus promotes host cell into S phase (67, 68). Simian virus SV5 protein can slow the progression of the cell cycle (69). Herpes simplex virus (HSV) can block pRB phosphorylation to inhibit cell progression from G1 to S phase (70). Human cytomegalovirus (CMV) UL69 protein inhibits cell progression from G1 to S phase (71). Retro virus like human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) Vpr protein can prevent cyclin B/cdc2 activation causing cell accumulation in G2-M phase (72). Compare with DNA virus, less is known about how RNA viruses regulate with host cell cycle. Hepatitis C Virus (HCV) infection causes cell cycle arrest at the interface of G2 and mitosis (73). Measles virus (MeV) causes G1 arrest in virus-infected T lymphocytes (74). We speculate that the down-regulation of mitotic cell cycle-related proteins we observed in this study may also part of the host response to virus replication. Additionally, we found that the MAC-related proteins were down regulated, this links the SeV infection to inflammation and the apoptosis response (52).

The host cell’s cytoskeletal system is closely tied to viral infection and replication. Viruses not only use cytoplasmic membrane trafficking but also the cytoskeletal transport machinery to facilitate their intracellular transport (75). We found that many of the cytoskeletal-related proteins were down-regulated following SeV infection in the PEFs, including 8
myosin subunits and 5 actin polymerization regulators (supplemen-
tal Table S6). Myosins comprise a family of ATP-de-
dependent motor proteins, which can interact with actin fila-
ments to form stress fibers (76). Previous studies showed that
the disruption of the normal function of myosin 2 by inhibitors
can disturb the entry and budding of HIV (77, 78). The small
GTPase RRAS regulates the organization of actin and drives
membrane protrusions (79). GMIP stimulates the GTPase ac-

Fig. 6. The luciferase reporter assays of the dose-dependent overexpression of the candidate proteins. (A, B, E, and F) The effects of the overexpression of CALU, LGALS3BP, EHHADH, and PEX16 on SeV-triggered activation of the IFN-β, ISRE, and NF-κB reporter. The 293 cells (2 × 10^5) were transfected with the IFN-β promoter reporter (0.1 μg), NF-κB reporter (0.1 μg) or ISRE promoter reporter (0.1 μg) together with a control or the indicated expression plasmid (0.1, 0.2, or 0.5 μg), respectively. Twenty-four hours after the transfection, the cells were infected with SeV for 12 h at an MOI of 100 or left uninfected before the reporter assays were performed. (C, D, G and H) The effects of the overexpression of CALU, LGALS3BP, EHHADH, and PEX16 on the IFN-γ-triggered activation of the IRF-1 promoter. The 293 cells (2 × 10^5) were transfected with the IRF-1 promoter reporter (0.1 μg) together with a control or the indicated expression plasmid (0.1, 0.2, or 0.5 μg), respectively. Twenty-four hours after the transfection, the cells were treated with IFN-γ (final concentration 100 ng/ml) for 12 h or left untreated before the reporter assays were performed. The data shown are averages and standard deviations of one representative experiment performed independently in triplicates. The results are shown as the mean ± S.D. * p < 0.01 relative to the control.
activity of RhoA (80). And MRCKA is a downstream effector of CDC42, which regulates actin cytoskeletal reorganization via phosphorylation of PPP1R12C and MYL9/MLC2 (81). Actin polymerization is closely tied to viral infection processes (75). The intact actin cytoskeleton structure is crucial for proper activation of antiviral response (82). Previous quantitative pro-

---

**Fig. 7.** Knockdown of CALU and LGALS3BP positively regulate SeV-induced activation of IFN-β in a dose-dependent manner. (A, and B) The effects of CALU and LGALS3BP knockdown on SeV-triggered induction of the IFNB1 gene and the knockdown efficiency of each gene. The effects of CALU and LGALS3BP knockdown on SeV-induced production of IFN-β protein. The 293 cells (2 \times 10^5) were transfected with a control or the indicated siRNA (1 μg). Twenty-four hours after the transfection, the cells were infected with SeV for 12 h at an MOI of 100 or left uninfected, followed by quantitative real-time PCR. For ELISA analysis, the cells were infected with SeV for 16 h at an MOI of 100 or left uninfected. (C, and D) The Western blot analysis of knockdown efficiency of CALU and LGALS3BP, 293 cells (2 \times 10^5) were transfected with indicated expression plasmid (0.5 μg) together with a control or the indicated siRNA (1 μg). HA-actin plasmid (0.5 μg) was transfected as internal control. Bands quantified by Quantity One software. (E, and F) The effects of CALU and LGALS3BP knockdown on SeV-triggered activation of the IFN-β, ISRE, and NF-κB reporter. 293 cells (2 \times 10^5) were transfected with the IFN-β promoter or ISRE reporter (0.1 μg) together with a control or siRNA (1 μg). Luciferase reporter assays were performed as described in Fig. 6. (G, and H) The effects of CALU and LGALS3BP knockdown on the IFN-γ-triggered activation of the IRF-1 promoter. 293 cells (2 \times 10^5) were transfected with the IRF-1 promoter reporter (0.1 μg) together with a control or siRNA (1 μg). Luciferase reporter assays were performed as described in Fig. 6. Western blot analysis was repeated for at least three times with similar results. The data shown are averages and standard deviations of one representative experiment performed independently in triplicates. The results are shown as the mean ± S.D. *, p < 0.01 relative to the control.
teomic studies of viral-infected host cells found that the cytoskeletal proteins are also downregulated by transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV), infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) and porcine circovirus type 2 (PCV2) infection (83–85). Although our fractionation quantitative proteomics could not directly reflect the total amount of host-cell proteins, the regulated proteins are actually influenced by the SeV infection. An et al. speculated that the actin cytoskeletal network collapses and disperses, leading to an unstable cytoskeletal structure, thus facilitating the release of TGEV particles from the host cells (83). We believe that it is possible that the disruption of the host cell’s cytoskeletal network may facilitate the budding of viral particles. However, the precise mechanism of how the down-regulated cytoskeletal network affects the viral replication process is still unknown.

Through reporter assays, we screened for proteins that played a role in SeV-induced activation of IFN-β. Four proteins (CALU, LGALS3BP, EHHADH, and PEX16) that are potentially involved in virus-triggered type I IFN signaling were identified. Using dose-dependent overexpression and RNAi assays, we confirmed that CALU and LGALS3BP act as potential negative regulators in virus-triggered type I IFN signaling. Calumenin (CALU), a calcium ion binding protein found in the ER and in the melanosomes (86, 87), is involved in the regulation of the vitamin K-dependent carboxylation of multiple N-terminal glutamate residues. CALU has been reported to be a secreted protein, but the secretory process and the regulatory mechanism are largely unknown (88). Lectin galactoside-binding soluble 3 binding protein (LGALS3BP) is a glycosylated secreted molecule that has previously been shown to be associated with the development of metastases in a variety of human cancers (89–92). Previous studies indicated that LGALS3BP may stimulate the host’s defense against viruses and tumor cells (93). The infection of human muscle satellite cells by the dengue virus (DENV) leads to the elevation of LGALS3BP protein levels (94). The quantitative proteomic analysis indicated that CALU and LGALS3BP were up-regulated in the PEFs upon SeV infection, but the mRNA levels of the proteins were not significantly regulated following the SeV infection (Fig. 7A, 7B). Therefore, we believe that the SeV infection may induce the transport of CALU and LGALS3BP to the secretory apparatus, thus transporting them into the extracellular space. However, the precise mechanism of how these proteins regulate host cell immune responses needs more evidences.

Peroxosomal bifunctional enzyme EHHADH is a β-oxidation enzyme which generates hydrogen peroxide required for peroxisomal biogenesis and lipid metabolism. This enzyme may involve in the leukemia disease and leukoencephalopathy disease (95, 96). Increased EHHADH activity has been seen in some acute leukemia and human carcinoma patients (97, 98). The enzyme activity can be enhanced by acetylation (99). Peroxosomal membrane protein PEX16 may play a role in early stages of peroxisome assembly, can function as receptor for PEX3, to de novo peroxisomes derived from the ER (100–102). EHHADH and PEX16 can enhance the IFN-γ-induced activation of the IRF-1 reporter (Fig. 6G, 6H). We speculate that these two proteins may involve in other immune signaling pathways.

For conclusion, our study represents the first quantitative proteomics-based analysis that specifically focuses on peroxisome-related proteins in the innate immune response to a virus. We identified 311 proteins that are significantly changed by SeV infection. We found that SeV infection inhibits cell cycle-related proteins and membrane attack complex (MAC)-related proteins, all of which are beneficial for the survival and replication of SeV within host cells. Using luciferase reporter assays on several innate immune-related reporters, we identified LGALS3BP and CALU as potential negative regulators of the virus-induced activation of the type I IFNs.
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