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Abstract

In the near-future, atmospheric characterization of Earth-like planets in the habitable zone will become possible via reflectance spectroscopy with future telescopes such as the proposed LUVOIR and HabEx missions. While previous studies have considered the effect of clouds on the reflectance spectra of Earth-like planets, the molecular detectability considering a wide range of cloud properties has not been previously explored in detail. In this study, we explore the effect of cloud altitude and coverage on the reflectance spectra of Earth-like planets at different geological epochs and examine the detectability of O2, H2O, and CH4 with test parameters for the future mission concept, LUVOIR, using a coronagraph noise simulator previously designed for WFIRST-AFTA. Considering an Earth-like planet located at 5 pc away, we have found that for the proposed LUVOIR telescope, the detection of the O2 A-band feature (0.76 μm) will take approximately 100, 30, and 10 hr for the majority of the cloud parameter space modeled for the atmospheres with 10%, 50%, and 100% of modern Earth O2 abundances, respectively. In particular, for the case of ≥50% of modern Earth O2 abundance, the feature will be detectable with an integration time ≤10 hr as long as there are lower-altitude (<8 km) clouds with a global coverage of ≥20%. For the 1% of the modern Earth O2 abundance case, however, it will take more than 100 hr for all the cloud parameters we modeled.

Key words: planets and satellites: atmospheres – planets and satellites: detection – planets and satellites: terrestrial planets

1. Introduction

With recent advances in observational techniques, more than 3000 exoplanets have been reported so far9 with many more nearby habitable exoplanets expected to be discovered by the Transiting Exoplanet Survey Satellite (TESS). Already, some rocky planets have been found in habitable zones (HZs) of their host stars such as Proxima Centauri b, TRAPPIST-1 (e, f, and g), and LHS 1140b (Anglada-Escudé et al. 2016; Dittmann et al. 2017; Gillon et al. 2017). The next step will be to characterize the atmospheres of these planets. For characterization of planets in the HZs, reflectance spectroscopy is most suitable for the planets around F-, G-, and K-type stars because of the larger angular separation of the HZs from those host stars. Transmission spectroscopy suits the characterization of the planets in the HZs around M dwarfs because of their larger transit probabilities and larger planet-to-star radius ratios.

The first telescopes capable of characterizing rocky habitable planet’s atmospheres will be the James Webb Space Telescope (JWST; launching in 2021) and through high-resolution spectroscopy with large ground-based telescopes coming online in the 2020s such as the Extremely Large Telescope (ELT; 39 m). However, these missions will only be able to characterize a handful of habitable worlds. As such, future mission concepts like the Large UV/Optical/IR Surveyor (LUVIOR) and the Habitable Exoplanet Observatory (HabEx) are being proposed that would be able to detect and characterize statistically meaningful samples (see Stark et al. 2014, 2015). Compared to JWST with a diameter of 6.5 m and a wavelength coverage of 0.6–28.5 μm, LUVOIR is proposed to have a much larger diameter of 15 or 8 m and would probe a shorter wavelength range of 0.1–2.5 μm and a coronagraph with the possibility of a starshade.7 HabEx, a 4 m telescope, is proposed to have a starshade and a coronagraph and likewise will probe a shorter wavelength range than JWST, 0.2–1.8 μm.8 LUVOIR and HabEx will be suitable for the detection and characterization of planets in the HZs around F-, G-, and K-type stars via reflectance spectroscopy, while JWST is best suited for transiting planets in the HZs around M dwarfs.

Among the several proposed biosignature gases, the existence of molecular oxygen in the atmosphere has been long considered as one of the most promising biosignature candidates for Earth-like planets (see reviews by Meadows 2017; Meadows et al. 2018, and references therein). Although several abiotic sources of O2 have been proposed so far (Hu et al. 2012; Domagal-Goldman et al. 2014; Ramírez & Kaltenegger 2014; Tian et al. 2014; Wordsworth & Pierrehumbert 2014; Gao et al. 2015; Harman et al. 2015; Lugger & Barnes 2015; Narita et al. 2015), the simultaneous detection of large abundances of O2 or its photochemical byproduct O3 in combination with a reducing gaseous species such as CH4 is still considered as the most robust biosignature. This is because as reduced and oxidizing gases react rapidly with each other, such a detection assures a robust biosignature. This is because as reduced and oxidizing gases react rapidly with each other, such a detection assures a robust biosignature.

https://asd.gsfc.nasa.gov/luvoir/
8 https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/habex/
Also, H₂O, while not a biosignature, is a useful indicator of habitability. Earth’s atmosphere has been very different in its history, representing a variety of possible terrestrial atmospheres (Kaltenegger et al. 2007; Rugheimer & Kaltenegger 2018). In addition, we expect to find atmospheric compositions far beyond what we have seen in the Earth’s history or in our solar system bodies as the detection of hot Jupiters and mini-Neptunes have already shown. However, it is not unreasonable to search for O₂ as the building blocks of the oxygenic photosynthesis (H₂O, CO₂, and photons) are abundant in the universe. Their widespread availability in part has made oxygenic photosynthesis the most successful biomass building strategy on the Earth. While O₂ abundance in the atmospheres of habitable planets could be much less, it is likely not much more on a habitable planet with vegetation due to widespread fires if O₂ increases above 25%–35% of the atmosphere due to widespread fires (Watson et al. 1978; Scott & Glasspool 2006). Also, in Earth’s history, O₂ has not exceeded ~30%–35% (Kump 2008; Lyons et al. 2014).

The observation of flat or featureless spectra for a number of exoplanets has demonstrated the commonality of clouds and hazes (e.g., Kreidberg et al. 2014; Sing et al. 2016). By absorbing and scattering the light, the existence of clouds and hazes can significantly impact the spectrum of the planet (e.g., Kawashima & Ikoma 2018, 2019; Kawashima et al. 2019). On Earth, the high albedo of water and ice clouds compared to that of the surface can deepen molecular absorption features, while also obscuring features depending on the cloud properties (the altitude of the cloud layer and its fractional coverage; e.g., Tinetti et al. 2006a, 2006b; Kaltenegger et al. 2007; Kitzmann et al. 2011; Rugheimer et al. 2013).

Previous studies have modeled the reflectance spectra of modern Earth-like planets considering the effect of clouds in the atmospheres (e.g., Des Marais et al. 2002; Tinetti et al. 2006a, 2006b; Kitzmann et al. 2011, 2013; Robinson et al. 2011; Rugheimer et al. 2013, 2015a; Sanomà et al. 2013, 2014; Feng et al. 2018; Wang et al. 2018). In addition to modern Earth-like planets, Kaltenegger et al. (2007) and Rugheimer & Kaltenegger (2018) modeled the reflectance spectra of planets similar to the Earth at earlier geological epochs orbiting around Sun-like stars, and those around F, G, K, and M stars, respectively. While most of the above studies considered clouds with altitudes and global average coverage similar to the modern Earth, the cloud properties in other Earth-like planets are unknown and will be likely different from those of the modern Earth. The detectability of molecular features considering such a wide range of cloud properties has not been explored in detail.

In this study, we explore the effect of water and ice cloud properties, namely, the altitude and its coverage, on the reflectance spectra of Earth-like planets around Sun-like stars at different geological epochs and examine the detectability of astrophysically interesting gaseous molecules in the visible and near-infrared spectrum, namely, O₂, H₂O, and CH₄, with test parameters for the future mission concept, LUVOIR, using a scaled the Wide Field Infrared Survey Telescope-Astropysics-Focused Telescope Assets (WFIRST-AFTA) coronagraph noise simulator (Robinson et al. 2016).

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe our model. In Section 3, we show the results of reflectance spectrum models of Earth-like planets at different geological epochs and systematically explore the effect of the cloud properties. In Section 4, we report the detectability of O₂, H₂O, and CH₄ in these atmospheres using potential parameters for the future mission concept, LUVOIR. Then in Sections 5 and 6, we conclude this paper by discussing our treatment of clouds and summarizing the results.

2. Methods

We simulate the reflectance spectra considering the planets with the same mass, radius, and semimajor axis as the Earth orbiting the star with the same properties as the Sun at different geological epochs. Out of four geological epochs considered in Rugheimer & Kaltenegger (2018), we consider the three epochs when the Earth has had an active biosphere and oxygenic photosynthesis, 2.0 Ga, 0.8 Ga, and the present. 2.0 Ga corresponds to the time after the Great Oxidation Event (GOE) of ~2.33 Ga (e.g., Luo et al. 2016) when O₂ started to build up in the atmosphere and 0.8 Ga corresponds to the time when multicellular life started to proliferate after the Neoproterozoic Oxidation Event (NOE).

2.1. Reflectance Spectrum Model

To simulate reflectance spectra of Earth-like planets, we use a line-by-line radiative transfer model (Traub & Stier 1976; Kaltenegger & Traub 2009; Rugheimer & Kaltenegger 2018). We calculate the spectra with a wavenumber grid width of 0.01 cm⁻¹. We use the temperature–pressure profile and distribution of gaseous species of Rugheimer et al. (2015b) for Earth-like atmospheres at the three geological epochs as inputs to the radiative transfer model, which are shown in Figure 1. Those results were calculated with a 1D climate model (Kasting & Ackerman 1986; Pavlov et al. 2000; Haqq-Misra et al. 2008) and a 1D photochemistry code (Pavlov & Kasting 2002; Segura et al. 2005, 2007).

Note that the temperature and abundances for the two earlier epochs are not well constrained and lie within an extremely broad range of possible values. We tabulate the geological constraints on the past O₂ abundance for each geological epoch in Table 1. As for H₂O, its abundance in the atmosphere is determined by evaporation and thus surface temperature. However, considering that the temperature oscillation occurred during the cooler period within the huge temporal range, it might be lower than what we assume here.

For CH₄, its past abundance in the atmosphere is not currently constrained by geological records. The photochemical model of Pavlov et al. (2003) predicted a concentration of 100–300 ppm in the Proterozoic (0.75–2.3 Ga) atmosphere in order to maintain warm climate against the faint early Sun. The biogeochemical model of Claire et al. (2006) derived an analytical solution of CH₄ abundance as a function of uncertain parameters such as rate coefficient for a CH₄ destruction by O₂, surface biogenic flux of CH₄, and the O₂ abundance. Their reference model predicted its abundance ranges from 10 to 100 ppm after GOE at 2.3 Ga. In absence of robust geological paleosol records, we have adopted optimistic CH₄ levels in the lowest O₂ case. Future work will be needed to constrain CH₄ abundance in Earth’s history.

As for clouds, we assume water (cumulus) clouds for temperature above 230 K and ice (cirrus) clouds for that below 230 K, following Zsom et al. (2012). We insert continuum-absorbing/emitting layers similar to some previous works (Des Marais et al. 2002; Kaltenegger et al. 2007; Rugheimer et al. 2013, 2015a; Rugheimer & Kaltenegger 2018). While planets with a surface ocean, an active hydrological cycle, and...
Note. PAL stands for the present atmospheric level.

abundant water vapor have abundant clouds, dry habitable planets, which have been proposed to extend the HZ inward (e.g., Abe et al. 2005, 2011; Zsom et al. 2013; Kodama et al. 2015), have fewer clouds (e.g., Kodama et al. 2018). However, as the cloud properties in exoplanet contain large uncertainty, we simply vary the altitude of the cloud layer and its coverage systematically to explore the effect of these cloud properties on reflectance spectra of Earth-like exoplanets.

We assume surface compositions following Rugheimer & Kaltenegger (2018): the surface consists of 70% ocean, 2% coast, and 28% land for all the epochs considered. For 2.0 and 0.8 Ga cases, the land is composed of 35% basalt, 40% granite, 15% snow, and 10% sand, while 30% grass, 30% trees, 9% granite, 9% basalt, 15% snow, and 7% sand for modern case. We take reflectivity data for clouds and surface compositions from the ASTER Spectral Library9 (Baldridge et al. 2009) and the USGS Spectral Library10 (Kokaly et al. 2017). We adopt the average planet phase angle of $\frac{\pi}{2}$ (i.e., quadrature). For the input stellar spectra of the Sun at each epoch, we use a solar evolution model (Claire et al. 2012).

### 2.2. LUVOIR Coronagraph Noise Simulator

We calculate the impact of noise on the detection of spectral features considering the Earth-like planet located at 5 pc away from the Earth. For this purpose, we use the instrument noise model from Robinson et al. (2016) originally developed for WFIRST-AFTA. We have modified this noise calculator to match the potential LUVOIR values. While two plans have been proposed for the telescope diameter of LUVOIR, 15 m and 8 m, in this study, we use the value of 10 m as an example. Considering the visible channel of the ECLIPS instrument, we take its value for the instrument spectral resolution and coronagraph inner and outer working angles from Table 9.2 of the LUVOIR interim report (see footnote 7). All the input values we use are listed in Table 2. Also, while the original noise model assumed the blackbody for the stellar spectrum, we use the solar spectrum evolution model used in the spectrum calculations as the input (Claire et al. 2012).

### Table 1: Geological Constraints on the Past O2 Abundances

| Epoch [Ga] | Concentration | Reference |
|------------|---------------|-----------|
| 2.45–0.42  | 0.01–0.4 PAL  | Kump (2008) and references therein |
| 2.1–0.8    | $10^{-4}$ – 0.1 PAL | Lyons et al. (2014) and references therein |
| 1.8–0.8    | <0.001 PAL    | Planavsky et al. (2014) |
| 0.42–0.0   | 0.6–1.6 PAL   | Kump (2008) and references therein |

Note. PAL stands for the present atmospheric level.

### Table 2: Values of Parameters Used in This Study

| Description                                      | Value     | Reference          |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Distance to observed star–planet system         | 5 pc      | ...               |
| Planetary radius                                | 1 $R_\oplus$ | ...             |
| Planet–star distance                            | 1 au      | ...               |
| Planet phase angle                              | 90°       | ...               |
| Number of exodis in exoplanetary disk           | 1         | ...               |
| Coronagraph design contrast                     | $10^{-10}$| ...               |
| Telescope diameter                              | 10 m      | ...               |
| Instrument spectral resolution                  | 140       | LUVOIR interim report9 |
| Telescope and instrument throughput             | 0.20      | LUVOIR interim report9 |
| Coronagraph inner working angle $(\lambda/D)$   | 3.5       | LUVOIR interim report9 |
| Coronagraph outer working angle $(\lambda/D)$   | 64.0      | LUVOIR interim report9 |
| Width of photometric aperture $(\lambda/D)$     | 1.5       | ...               |

Note.

9 http://speclib.jpl.nasa.gov
10 http://speclab.cr.usgs.gov/spectral-lib.html

Figure 1. Vertical profiles of temperature (red dashed line) and gaseous species (solid lines) for three different Earth-like trajectory epochs, 2.0 Ga (a), 0.8 Ga (b), and the modern Earth (c). Red circles represent the 230 K threshold altitude of water and ice clouds, which are 10.3, 14.4, and 8.71 km for the cases of 2.0 Ga, 0.8 Ga, and the modern Earth, respectively. Note that most abundant species N2 is not shown.
defined it as the deviation from a flat continuum; we substitute the photon count rate for the case of the spectrum calculated without considering the absorption of a certain molecule for the continuum count rate in Equation (7) of Robinson et al. (2016). The model selects a wavelength element within a specific wavelength range from a given instrument spectral resolution. We will mention the wavelength range we adopt for each molecular absorption feature in Section 4. Note that in order to recover molecular abundances, a measurement of the flux at the bottom of the absorption features is important.

3. Results: Influence of Clouds on Spectra of Earth-like Planets

In this section, we systematically explore the effect of cloud properties, namely, the altitude of the cloud layer (Section 3.1) and its coverage (Section 3.2) on reflectance spectra of an Earth-like planet. Then in Section 3.3, we compare the spectrum models of the Earth-like planets at different geological epochs, focusing on the O2 A-band feature as O2 has long been considered as a key target molecule for future missions.

3.1. Altitude of Cloud Layer

Figure 2 shows spectral models for an atmosphere with 100% cloud coverage at three different altitudes, 17 km (blue line), 9.5 km (green line), and 2.2 km (red line). Water clouds are assumed for the 2.2 km case, while ice clouds for the 17 and 9.5 km cases. A clear sky atmosphere is also plotted (black) for reference. One finds that in the spectrum of a clear sky atmosphere, most of the molecular absorption features come from H2O, which are located at 0.71–0.74, 0.80–0.84, 0.90–0.98, 1.1–1.2, 1.3–1.5, and 1.8–2.0 μm, while the distinct O2 A-band feature exists at 0.76 μm along with the smaller O2 B-band feature at 0.69 μm.

Clouds increase the flux because of their high albedo. At relatively short wavelengths (≤0.9 μm), where the atmosphere is relatively optically thick and the optical properties of water and ice clouds are almost similar, the lower the altitude of the cloud layer is, the larger the overall (continuum) flux becomes. This behavior is due to the increased Rayleigh scattering of molecules above the cloud layer in the lower atmosphere. For the lower-altitude clouds, the absorption feature is deeper, and the flux is lower in the core of the line. This is because there is a larger column-integrated concentration of the species above the cloud layer (see also Tinetti et al. 2006a, 2006b; Kitzmann et al. 2011).

In contrast, at relatively long wavelengths (≥0.9 μm), where the atmosphere is optically thinner, the features are created mostly by clouds, while the molecular absorption also contributes for the lower-altitude cloud case of 2.2 km. Note that water clouds have absorption at a similar wavelength region to gaseous water. For the higher-altitude ice cloud cases of 17 and 9.5 km, due to the negligible column-integrated concentration of the species above the cloud layer for the both cases, the spectra are similar and completely characterized by less reflective optical properties of ice clouds.

The left panel of Figure 3 is the zoomed-in view of Figure 2 around the O2 A-band feature. Note that the difference of the reflectivity of water and ice clouds is little in this wavelength region. The flux at the peak of the absorption feature is smaller for the lower cloud layer, while that at the continuum is larger as noted above. We show relative reflectivity in the right panel of Figure 3 calculated by normalizing the flux with the maximum flux between the wavelength range of 0.75–0.78 μm. For the lower-altitude clouds, the relative reflectivity of the feature becomes deeper due to the larger absorption at the core of the feature and increased Rayleigh scattering at the continuum.

3.2. Cloud Coverage

Next, we examine the dependence of the fractional cloud coverage on the spectra. The panel (a) of Figure 4 shows the Earth-like spectra with ice cloud layers of 17 km altitude, while panel (b) shows those with 2.2 km water cloud layers, for 0% (black), 50% (blue), and 100% (red) cloud coverage. Again, the difference of the reflectivity of water and ice clouds is little in this wavelength region. For the 17 km cloud case (a), the flux at the depth of the absorption feature varies more with cloud coverage than compared to the 2.2 km case (b) because the flux at the core of the feature is determined by the amount of the absorption, namely, column-integrated O2 concentration of the species above the cloud layer. The continuum increases with increasing cloud coverage due to the higher albedo of water clouds compared to the surface reflectivity.

The right two panels of Figures 4(c), (d) are the same as Figures 4(a), (b), but with relative reflectivity. It can be seen that for the 17 km case (c), the relative absorption varies greatly with the cloud coverage and is deeper for the lower cloud coverage due to blocking more of the atmosphere below the cloud layer. While for the 2.2 km case (d), the relative reflectivity hardly varies with the cloud coverage although it is slightly shallower for the higher cloud coverage.

Our results for the modern Earth case confirm previous findings by Tinetti et al. (2006a, 2006b), Kaltenegger et al. (2007), and Kitzmann et al. (2011). We will now consider the case of earlier geological epochs in Section 3.3 and calculate the detectability of these features with a LUVOIR-sized telescope in Section 4.
3.3. Evolution of the Planet

In this section, we explore the spectra of an Earth-like planet at different levels of oxygen and geological epochs. The abundance of O$_2$ in the Earth’s atmosphere has varied over time but broadly rose after two oxygenation events known as the GOE and the NOE (Lyons et al. 2014). We adopt concentrations of 0.01 PAL, 0.1 PAL, and 1.0 PAL for 2.0 Ga, 0.8 Ga, and the present, respectively, where PAL stands for the present atmospheric level. Note that oxygen levels during the Proterozoic are debated and estimates range from <0.001 PAL to 0.4 PAL (Canfield 2005; Kump 2008; Planavsky et al. 2014) as listed in Table 1.

To explore the effect of O$_2$ abundance on the spectra in detail, we also consider the case of 0.5 PAL O$_2$ as a middle value. We calculate the spectrum model of the 0.5 PAL case using the same inputs to the radiative transfer model as modern Earth except for O$_2$ abundance. Note this treatment is valid as long as one compares the spectrum models only around the wavelength range of O$_2$ absorption features.

4. Results: Detectability of O$_2$, H$_2$O, and CH$_4$ with LUVOIR

In this section, we explore the detectability of the features of astrobiologically important gaseous molecules in the visible and near-infrared region of the Earth-like spectrum, namely, O$_2$, H$_2$O, and CH$_4$ with the proposed space telescope LUVOIR.

Figure 6 shows the modern Earth-like spectra of a clear sky atmosphere (black) and the same atmosphere with a 100% water cloud coverage layer at 2.2 km (red) along with 1σ observational errors for 10 hr observation with a LUVOIR-sized telescope calculated with the noise model. The assumed distance to the planetary system is 5 pc. Note the negative flux means that the measurement is consistent with zero flux as a Sun-like star has low flux in the NIR.

4.1. O$_2$ Feature

Figure 7 shows spectrum models for the Earth-like atmosphere with 60% cloud coverage at different altitudes, 17 km (blue), 9.5 km (green), and 2.2 km (red) around the O$_2$ A-band feature with 1σ observational errors for 10 hr observation calculated with the noise model for four different O$_2$ abundances, 0.01 PAL (a), 0.1 PAL (b), 0.5 PAL (c), and 1.0 PAL (d). Water clouds are assumed for the cases of 9.5 and 2.2 km cloud layers of 0.01 and 0.1 PAL O$_2$ abundances.
and 2.2 km cloud layers of 0.5 and 1.0 PAL O₂ abundances, while ice clouds are assumed for the other cases. The assumed distance to the planetary system is 5 pc.

Again, note that the difference of the reflectivity of water and ice clouds in this wavelength region is minimal. We also note that we present the results on the grids we run the simulations and the stark contour lines come from our low-resolution grids.

We find that the observational 1σ error bars are much larger than the O₂ absorption feature depth for the 0.01 PAL O₂ concentration case (a), but comparable or smaller for larger O₂ concentration cases of 0.1 PAL (b), 0.5 PAL (c), and 1.0 PAL (d), especially for the cases of cloud layers at the lower altitudes. The integration time required to detect the O₂ A-band feature with the proposed LUVOIR telescope with S/N = 5 for the 2.2 km altitude cloud layer and 0.5 PAL O₂ concentration case (red line in Figure 7(c)) is 9.4 hr, almost the same as the assumed observation time. Here, we assume the wavelength region of the feature is 0.759–0.769 μm. The detection time for each case in Figure 7 is tabulated in Table 3.

Figure 8 shows an intensity plot for the integration time required to detect the O₂ A-band feature with S/N = 5 for four different O₂ abundances, 0.01 PAL (a), 0.1 PAL (b), 0.5 PAL (c), and 1.0 PAL (d) with varying cloud altitude and coverage. The assumed wavelength region for the feature is 0.759–0.769 μm. For high-altitude (≥10 km for the modern case) clouds, a lower cloud coverage makes the feature deeper and the detection easier despite the smaller continuum flux for a lower cloud coverage (see Figures 4(a), (c)). For low-altitude (≤10 km for the modern case) clouds, a higher cloud coverage increases the flux at the continuum, while almost the same relative depth of the feature regardless of the cloud coverage, and thus makes the detection easier for a higher cloud coverage (see Figures 4(b), (d)).

As seen in Figure 8, for the proposed LUVOIR telescope the integration time needed to detect the O₂ A-band feature for an Earth-like atmosphere with an O₂ abundance of 0.01 PAL (a) will take typically more than 1000 hr for the majority of the cloud parameters. The best-case scenario would be for a widespread low layer cloud, which would then make the feature detectable with 100 hr. For the 0.1 PAL, 0.5 PAL, and 1.0 PAL O₂ cases, for the majority of the cloud parameter space, the detection will take approximately 100, 30, and 10 hr, respectively (see Figure 8). For the cloud parameter end cases, the minimum and maximum detection times are 10–600 hr for the 0.1 PAL case, 3–300 hr for the 0.5 PAL case, and 2–200 hr for the 1 PAL O₂ case. In particular, for the atmospheres with 0.5 and 1.0 PAL O₂ abundances, the feature will be detectable with an integration time ≤10 hr as long as there are lower-altitude (≤8 km) clouds with a global coverage of ≥20%. Note that modern Earth has a global cloud coverage of ~50%–60% (Tinetti et al. 2006a; Robinson et al. 2011).

4.2. H₂O Feature

Among the several H₂O features at 0.71–0.74, 0.80–0.84, 0.90–0.98, 1.1–1.2, 1.3–1.5, and 1.7–2.0 μm, we explore the detectability of the strongest feature at 0.90–0.98 μm in this section. Figure 9 shows an intensity plot for the integration...
time required to detect the H$_2$O feature of $0.900-0.980$ μm with S/N = 5 for three different Earth-trajectory epochs, 2.0 Ga (a), 0.8 Ga (b), and the modern Earth (c) with varying cloud altitude and coverage. Same as the O$_2$ case, for high-altitude (≥3 km for the modern case) clouds, a lower cloud coverage makes the feature deeper and the detection easier, while for low-altitude (≤3 km for the modern case) clouds, a high cloud coverage makes the detection easier due to the increased flux at the continuum. While the water clouds also have absorption at this wavelength, the ice clouds do not have such absorption and thus their relatively reflective properties basically make the required integration time slightly smaller. Compared to the O$_2$ case (Section 4.1), the detection time for the H$_2$O feature significantly depends on the cloud properties, namely, altitude and coverage. Except for the extreme case of higher coverage (≥80% for the modern case) clouds at high altitudes (≥6 km for the modern case), for all the three epochs, the detection of the H$_2$O feature will take approximately 3–10 hr, an order of magnitude smaller than that for the O$_2$ feature. For the extreme cases, the minimum and maximum detection times are (0.4–6) $\times$ 10$^4$ hr for the 2.0 Ga case,
(0.2–9) \times 10^3 \text{ hr} for the 0.8 Ga case, and (0.4–3) \times 10^5 \text{ hr} for the modern case (see Figure 9). The very large detection times for the high-altitude and high cloud coverage case is due to H_2O being less abundant in the upper atmosphere for planets with a cold trap, whereas O_2 is well mixed.

Note the water abundance for the two earlier geological epochs in our models is largely determined by increased evaporation due to higher surface temperatures from a larger greenhouse effect despite a lower solar luminosity and is not constrained by geological proxies.

### 4.3. CH_4 Feature

Figure 10 shows an intensity plot for the integration time required to detect the strongest reflected light NIR CH_4 feature at 1.64–1.78 \mu m with S/N = 5 for three different Earth-trajectory epochs, 2.0 Ga (a), 0.8 Ga (b), and modern Earth (c) with varying cloud altitude and coverage.

Contrary to the cases of O_2 and H_2O, the optical properties of water and ice clouds are quite different in this wavelength region with much higher reflectivity for water clouds, and this causes the changes of the trend at the threshold altitudes. For the water cloud region at the lower altitudes, the lower the altitude of the cloud layer becomes, and the higher the cloud coverage becomes, the detection time becomes smaller. This is because of the larger column-integrated concentration of the species above the cloud layer and the relatively reflective properties of water clouds compared to the surface. However, in the ice cloud region at the higher altitudes, the lower the altitude of the cloud layer becomes, and the lower the cloud coverage becomes, the detection time becomes smaller. This is due to the larger column-integrated concentration of the species above the cloud layer and the relatively absorbing properties of ice clouds compared to the surface in this wavelength range.

The detection of the CH_4 feature will take approximately 10 and 30 hr for the 2.0 and 0.8 Ga cases, respectively. For the
extreme cloud parameter cases, the minimum and maximum detection times are 1–300 hr for the 2.0 Ga case and 2–900 hr for the 0.8 Ga case. Here we note that the CH4 abundances for 2.0 and 0.8 Ga cases are not well constrained and the values we adopt may be optimistic (e.g., Reinhard et al. 2017).

For the modern Earth case, however, it will take more than 6000 hr for all the cloud parameters modeled and the feature is undetectable even with the LUVOIR-sized telescope regardless of the cloud parameters because of the relatively low CH4 abundance in the modern atmosphere and the weaker NIR feature as compared with the IR CH4 feature. For the extreme cases, the minimum and maximum detection times are 6000 to $3 \times 10^7$ hr for the modern case (see Figure 10). The trend for the modern Earth case is the same as the 2.0 and 0.8 Ga cases.

### 5. Discussion

In this study, we have examined the impact of cloud properties (cloud altitude and its coverage) on the detectability of the molecules on the reflectance spectra of an Earth-like planet at different geological epochs systematically. A self-consistent microphysical model (e.g., Zsom et al. 2012; Ohno & Okuzumi 2017, 2018; Gao & Benneke 2018; Powell et al. 2018; Ormel & Min 2019) would be needed to examine the plausibility of these cloud parameters, which is beyond the scope of this study. In our two-stream radiative transfer model, the cloud layer is assumed to be completely absorbing or reflective surface. In reality, however, some light can penetrate the cloud layer depending on the thickness of the cloud layer, and the cloud particle size. This effect also cannot be studied without deriving the distributions of the size and number density of the cloud particles by a microphysical cloud model and by using a multiscattering radiative transfer model.

For all the cases of detecting the O2 A-band, H2O feature at 0.90–0.98 $\mu$m, and that of CH4 at 1.64–1.78 $\mu$m, we have found that the shortest integration times are for a high-coverage, low-altitude cloud layer due to the deeper absorption...
created with increased back-scattered light of the higher albedo cloud layer when compared with the surface albedo and the larger integrated column density. It is possible that this same effect on the detectability could be seen on a snowball planet (e.g., Tajika 2008; Kadoya & Tajika 2014, 2015, 2016). While the colder temperatures may slow down bioproductivity, Earth has been through several snowball states with global glaciation well after oxygen has been a major atmospheric constituent and even quite recent in its history (see Kirschvink 1992; Hoffman et al. 2017, and references therein). These snowball states may make these features easier to detect as long as there are appreciable levels of these species in the atmosphere. As for the abundance of H2O, although we have assumed larger abundances for the two earlier geological epochs, during the cooler period within the huge temporal range of temperature oscillation, it might be lower than what we have assumed, making the detection more difficult.

While we explored the detectability of specific absorption features of O2, H2O, and CH4 via the low-resolution measurement of the flux-contrast around the wavelength range of absorption features by LUVOIR, Wang et al. (2018) investigated the detection time of O2, H2O, CH4, and CO2 via a high-resolution cross-correlation technique over the wavelength range of 0.5–1.8 μm by HabEx and LUVOIR. In their LUVOIR case, considering a modern Earth-like planet with a clear sky atmosphere located at 5 pc away, they reported that the required starlight suppressions for an exposure time of 100 hr are ∼2 × 10−9 and ∼10−8 for H2O and O2, respectively, while CH4 and CO2 are undetectable with 100 hr exposure time.

6. Summary

In this study, we have explored the effect of cloud altitude and its coverage on the reflectance spectra of Earth-like planets at different geological epochs and examined the detectability of astrobiologically interesting gaseous molecules in the visible and near-infrared spectrum, namely, O2, H2O, and CH4, by

---

Figure 10. Intensity plots for the integration time required to detect the CH4 feature of 1.64–1.78 μm with S/N = 5 are shown for three different Earth-like trajectory epochs, 2.0 Ga (a), 0.8 Ga (b), and the modern Earth (c) with varying cloud altitude and coverage. Contour lines for the integration time are also plotted in black solid and dashed lines. Also, the black filled circles on the vertical axes represent the altitude at 230 K, above which clouds are assumed as ice ones.
simulating instrumental noise for the proposed mission concept \textit{LUVOIR}.

Considering an Earth-like planet located at 5 pc away, we have found that for the proposed \textit{LUVOIR} telescope, the detection of the O$_2$ A-band feature (0.76 $\mu$m) will take approximately 100, 30, and 10 hr for the majority of the cloud parameters modeled for atmospheres with 0.1, 0.5, and 1.0 PAL O$_2$ abundances, respectively. In particular, for 0.5 and 1.0 PAL O$_2$ cases, the feature could be detectable with integration times $\lesssim$10 hr as long as there are lower-altitude ($\lesssim$8 km) clouds with a global coverage of $\gtrsim$20%. For the 0.01 PAL O$_2$ case, however, it will take more than 100 hr for all the cloud parameters modeled.

The combined detection of O$_2$ and CH$_4$ remains the strongest biosignature. There is currently no known abiotic oxygen production mechanism that would persist with a simultaneously detectable amount of CH$_4$ present. For CH$_4$, we have found that the detection of its NIR feature at 1.64–1.78 $\mu$m will take approximately 10 and 30 hr for the 2.0 Ga and 0.8 Ga cases, respectively.

For the modern Earth case, however, it will take more than 6000 hr for all the cloud parameters modeled, and the feature is undetectable even with the \textit{LUVOIR}-sized telescope in its NIR feature at 1.64–1.78 $\mu$m because of the relatively low CH$_4$ abundance in the modern atmosphere.

While H$_2$O is not a biosignature, it is an important indicator of habitability and provides necessary context for interpreting future exoplanet observations. For the H$_2$O feature at 0.90–0.98 $\mu$m, we have found that except for the extreme case of higher cloud coverage at high altitudes, the detection of its strongest feature will take approximately 3–10 hr.

In summary, a \textit{LUVOIR}-sized mission with a coronagraph could detect the reflected light of O$_2$, CH$_4$, and H$_2$O for many cases comparable to Earth’s geological history with a wide range of cloud parameters. To detect the combination of these gases with less than 100 hr of observation time, however, will require more CH$_4$ than in the modern Earth’s atmosphere, O$_2$ levels around 0.1 PAL or greater, and clouds that are lower in altitude or patchy in coverage.
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