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Abstract  Variety of the usage in Hard Disk Drives relies on the high speed, positioning system precision and reliability of their control mechanisms. Vibration is one of the most important concerns in the control mechanisms due to rotational movement of the HDD drivers and the data head speed so as to keep the performance under control, the movement disturbances should be accurately monitored. Disturbance Observers (DOBs) increase the control system robustness whilst improving the dynamic performance of the systems without employing sophisticated calculations and prerequisite; the plant inverse model calculation is eliminated in this method which empowers the usage and simplifies its implementation. This paper focuses on the suppression of vibration using Disturbance Observer and compares it with PID controller and Intelligent Active Force Controller to figure out the advantages of DOB.
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1. Introduction

Vibration is one of the most important factors which must be kept under control especially in the mechanical mechanisms. Accuracy, highest access speed and data precision made it the major point of concern in Hard Disk Drives (HDD). The main effects of vibration could be observed in HDD actuators. These actuators consist of especial type of mechanisms named Voice Coil Motors (VCM). By applying the electrical current to the coil of VCM, it becomes excited causing the head movement of the head slider to the desired destination through the suspension mechanism. A typical HDD mechanism is shown in figures1 to 3[1].Numerous studies have been done on how to boost the controlling performance of the actuation mechanism of HDD.

The improvement of vibration control has been discussed from various aspects and solutions proposed such as by applying several control algorithms, developing new design of actuator, embedding secondary actuator to the system and others [2][3][4][5].
It has been shown by Jintanawan that the vibration increases with higher HDD rotational speed [6]. Wood suggested that the HDD must be stiff enough to suppress the vibration [7]. Thus, this study is conducted to incorporate and apply another new control technique called disturbance observer to the HDD positioning mechanism so that the vibration which is the most important disturbance can be cancelled rapidly, accurately and robustly.

The employed method will be compared with the previous ones and the results will be shown to emphasize on the advantages of the DOB methods. First the mechanical concept is considered as the basis of calculation and modeling in part 2. Intelligent Active Force Controller and Disturbance Observers are introduced in next parts respectively. Part 5 focuses on the comparison of different strategies. The conclusion will be driven according to the results of previous chapter in part.

2. System Modeling

To be able to model the mechanical system, the mathematical model of a linear VCM should be obtained from the Newton’s 2nd Law which is based on a second order mass-spring-damper system. It could be represented as follows:

\[ \sum F = ma \]  

\[ \sum F = F_{\text{load}} = m(d^2x/dt^2) \]  

Where:

\[ F_{\text{load}} = K_s x + B(dx/dt) \]

\[ K_s \text{is the spring stiffness, } x \text{ is the displacement of the spring and } B \text{ is the damping constant. Also, it is known that:} \]

\[ F = K_s \dot{x} \]

Where

\[ K_s = 2B g l N \]

\[ m(d^2x/dt^2)+B(dx/dt) + K_s x = K_f i \]

The variables used above are listed in table 1:

| F  | Produced electromagnetic force by the current through coil |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------|
| B  | Damping coefficient                                    |
| F_{\text{load}} | Force related to spring and damper                      |
| m  | Mass of actuator                                       |
| a  | Acceleration                                           |
| x  | Displacement                                           |
| K_s | Spring Stiffness                                       |
| N  | Number of turns                                        |

Table 1. The list of variables

3. Intelligent Active Force Controller (IAFC)

The Active Force Controller (AFC) method is an algorithm that is able to cancel the disturbances in a dynamic system [8]. The objective of the AFC algorithm is to get the estimated disturbance force, \( Q^* \) from the measurement of actuator force, \( F \) and the acceleration of mass together with the appropriate estimated mass, \( m^* \). This relationship is represented by the following equation:

\[ Q^* = F - m^*a \]  

A good estimation of the mass in the AFC loop need to be considered in order to make sure that the AFC scheme is very practical and effective. One way to achieve this is by incorporating fuzzy logic controller to the AFC loop. Various researches have been done which proved that fuzzy controller is suitable for system that is based on hardly derived mathematical model or system dealing with incomplete information [9]. Fuzzy controller is applied so that the value of the estimated mass can be tuned intelligently based on the knowledge that the system has. The parameters of fuzzy controller must be first identified in order to design the controller. The inputs and outputs of the fuzzy logic system also need to be appropriately assigned. In the study, the inputs to the fuzzy controller are the error and change of the error while the estimated mass is the output. The inputs and output are represented in linguistic variable to the fuzzy controller.
Fuzzy controller is applied so that the value of the estimated mass can be tuned intelligently based on the knowledge that the system has. The fuzzy controller will employ error and the change of error for inputs and the output will be the estimated mass.

4. Disturbance Observer

The concept of Disturbance Observers (DOB) was first proposed by Onishi [10] to improve the performance of tracking controller. They are robust applications of estimation and rejection of external disturbances. A typical structure of a DOB [11] is shown below:

\[
\text{Figure 6. Disturbance Observer Structure}
\]

In the mentioned structure, \( G(s) \) is the Transfer Function of the system, \( G_o(s) \) is the nominal model of the system, \( \eta \) is unwanted disturbances and \( Q(s) \) is a low-pass filter. The design of the low pass filter is one of the main concerns of DOB design. The composition of the output \( y \) due to both inputs \( \eta \) and \( r \) can be written as the following relation:

\[
y = G_o(s) \cdot r + (1 - Q(s)) \cdot G_o(s) \cdot \eta
\]  (9)

It can be seen that to reject the effects of disturbance, the filter- \( Q(s) \)-should be designed such that it would enable selecting a variety of frequencies. It should be selected based on the following relation:

\[
Q_{mn}(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{m!}{(m-i)!i!} \frac{(rs)^i}{(rs+1)^m}
\]  (10)

Two main factors of the filter are:
- \( \tau \): the time constant
- \( m, n \): the degrees of numerator and denominator respectively.

The nominal transfer function \( G_o(s) \) can be selected as a second order system to enable behaving same as the real system. If the second order system is not good enough for the usage, \( G(s) \) can be used as well.

5. Control Strategies’ Comparison

This work focuses on the suppression of vibration using Disturbance Observer and compares it with PID controller and Intelligent Active Force Controller to figure out the advantages of DOB.

In [8], PID, AFC with crude approximation and IAFC have been compared and the advantages of IAFC has been proven. In this paper, PID, IAFC and the DOB ones have been compared to show the benefits of DOB.

The time response of DOB and IAFC has been shown in fig. 8. Although the raising time of the IAFC is better than others, the DOB obviously follows the step inputs better because the overshoot has been eliminated and the destination has been achieved smoother.
Figure 8. Time Response of DOB vs. IAFS and PID for a 10um step input

The steady state values can also be compared in figure 10. As it can be seen the fluctuation in DOB implementation is negligible in comparison with others.

Figure 9. Steady State values of DOB vs. PID and IAFC

To verify the advantages of the proposed method, the integral error criterions [12] have been used:

\[
I_{AE} = \int_{0}^{\infty} |e(t)| \, dt \\
I_{SE} = \int_{0}^{\infty} [e(t)]^2 \, dt \\
I_{TAE} = \int_{0}^{\infty} t|e(t)| \, dt \\
I_{TSE} = \int_{0}^{\infty} t[e(t)]^2 \, dt
\]

and the results have been listed in the table below:
The results show the improvement in all four criterions obviously. This is also clear for sinusoidal inputs:

|               | IAE     | ISE     | ITAE    | ITSE    |
|---------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| PID           | 0.19857 | 1.84024 | 5.75167e-005 | 2.33869e-004 |
| IAFC          | 0.13832 | 1.29794 | 2.19934e-005 | 1.19517e-004 |
| DOB           | 0.08029 | 0.72904 | 1.42188e-005 | 6.81869e-005 |

The most important parameter is the reaction of the system to the disturbance. Disturbance rejection has also verified the previous results, a sinusoidal signal has been exerted from $t=0.05$sec which has the value of $5\sin(300t)$. The time response also verifies the previous improvements of DOB in disturbance rejection:

![Disturbance Rejection of DOB vs. PID and IAFC](image)

**Figure 10.** Following Sinusoidal Input-Frequency=300Hz

**Figure 11.** Time Response to Sinusoidal Disturbance
6. Conclusions

What has been proven through Matlab®/Simulink® Simulation is that the DOB is highly capable of creating smoother and more accurate responses in comparison with PID and IAFC as well. All for integral criterions have been improved and disturbance rejection has been increased while the calculation method became much more simple, causing the need to simpler and consequently chipper hardware for implementation which cannot be withdrawn nowadays.
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