Effects of Biochar, Plant Density and Spacing on Growth and Yield of Rice in a Tropical Inland Valley Swamp
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Abstract: Biochar has been confirmed to boost soil fertility and crop efficiency. The study aimed to examine the impacts of different combinations of biochar, plant hill and spacing on the growth and yield parameters of rice (Oryza sativa L.) var NERICA L 19 in an inland valley swamp in Sierra Leone. The experiment was performed in a Randomized Complete Block Design using eight treatment combinations including: two levels of Biochar-soil mixtures (10 t ha⁻¹ and 0 t ha⁻¹), two levels of plant density per hill (1 seedling per hill and 2 seedlings per hill), and two levels of plant spacing (25 cm and 20 cm). Growth parameters were conducted at 4, 6, 8, and 10 weeks after transplanting (WAT), while yield parameters were measured at harvest. Biochar hill and spacing had no significant effect on plant height, leaf area, grain yield, straw yield, and harvest index, but biochar plant spacing had a significant effect on tiller number. Biochar significantly enhanced plant growth (tiller number) and yield attribute traits such as panicle number and straw yield. The potential of gliricidia biochar in supporting increased growth and yield suggest its exploitation for both straw and grain yield productivity of rice in the IVS. Residual gliricidia biochar could be also exploited in future studies to determine their benefit in enhancing grain yield in IVS rice.
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1. Introduction

Rice (Oryza sativa L.) is the world's essential food crop, as it supports millions of livelihoods in Africa and some parts of Asia [1–3]. It is the most important staple food in Sierra Leone. However, the productivity of the crop lags behind its supply, thereby contributing to food insecurity in the country. Moreover, the Global Hunger Index (GHI) of Sierra Leone estimates 38.3 scores, which falls within the 119 countries with highest GHI ranging from 35.0-49.9 scores [4]. The decreased productivity of rice is partly due to infertile soils and the influence by climate change involving changes in rainfall and temperature levels that impede its growth and yield [5, 6]. To resolve this menace, a sustainable novel technology known as biochar has been suggested to be effective in increasing and conserving soil fertility as well as mitigating climate change [7].

Biochar is a black carbon-rich solid material produced by heating biomass (250-700°C) in an oxygen-limited environment known as pyrolysis [7]. Biochar amendment to soils is regarded as a way of combating climate change through the sequestration of carbon (C) [8]. Though biochar has been used for decades to improve crop yields, concern in biochar has grown recently, especially for its advantages in environmental or carbon restoration. Studies have shown that biochar amendment can mitigate climate change, modify soils, and improve crop productivity [9, 10].

Nonetheless, biochar application has shown some different effects, depending on soil conditions, feedstock from biochar, temperature from biochar pyrolysis, and other environmental conditions. There are several possible useful features related to biochar use as a soil amendment, such as improved soil nutrient supply to crops [11], enhanced inorganic and organic
fertilizer use capacity [12], minimized loss of nutrients and demand for fertilizers [13], increased soil properties (soil water retention efficiency, bulk density, porosity, infiltration intensity, soil aeration, and aggregate stability [14], substantial metal stabilization and decreased bioavailability of plants grown in contaminated soils [15], improved crop growth and efficiency [16], decreased salinity, heat stress and drought effects on soil and plant growth [17]. Also, a meta-analysis by Liu et al. [18] showed the benefits of biochar amendment (< 30 t ha\(^{-1}\)) on crop yield, with an average mean increase of 11%.

While the environmental benefits of the use of biochar has gained significant attention, their impact on agriculture has been uncertain and somehow contradictory. Biochar can therefore, be processed using a diverse range of feedstocks, produced at varying temperature pyrolysis, introduced at various particles and the time of application according to the growth and development stages of the crop. Thus, different plants may also react in different ways to the use of biochar. Biochar research at various temperatures suggest that their influence on N absorption by Rocket (Eruca sativa L.) [19], and on the growth of lettuce (Lactuca sativa L.) [20] Varies. On the one hand, biochar has been observed to trigger microbial action [21], which causes soil organic matter loss, while, on the other hand, data analyzes also show that the usage level of biochar above 55 tons hectare\(^{-1}\) can lead to a decline in crop yield [22, 23].

In the tropics, where unsustainable agricultural practices such as slash-and-burn agriculture remains the dominant mode of cultivation [24], soil fertility is usually exhausted after planting as a result of slash-burn shifting cultivation [25–27]. Hence, this form of agricultural practice contributes to over 60% of the world's deforestation [28]. While many scholars have examined the influence of biochar on soil biological and chemical factors, limited research has examined the impact of biochar on crop growth and yield, especially in this part of the world. Therefore, this study aimed to assess the effects of biochar amendment, plant density and spacing on rice growth and yield in an inland valley swamp in Njala University, Sierra Leone.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Site Description

The experiment was conducted at Njala University, Njala Campus in Kori Chiefdom, Moyamba District, in Southern Sierra Leone. The University is about 67 km from Bo, the second capital of Sierra Leone, and is located on Latitude 8° N and Longitude 12° W. The climatic condition is tropical and is characterized by two main seasons; a rainy season (May to October) and a dry season (November to April). The average annual rainfall is about 2500 mm, and the mean annual temperature ranges from a minimum of 27°C to a maximum of 33°C. The research was conducted during the rainy season in an Inland Valley Swamp (IVS) situated at the experimental site of the University. The soil from the experimental site is clayey and classified as Vertisol (from the Twelve Soil Order).

2.2. Biochar Preparation

Gliricidia (Gliricidia sepium (Jacq.) Kunth ex Walp.) biomass was used for biochar production because of its tremendous ability to fix nitrogen in the soil. The gliricidia biomass was collected from a nearby research institution about 0.28 km away and transported to the experimental site. The biomass was allowed to dry before pyrolysis in an Elsa Stove of height 90 cm and a diameter of 55 cm (Figure 1). After pyrolysis, the biochar was allowed to dry before grinding using a mortar and pestle, and then the grounded biochar was dried and put into bags for later use.

![Figure 1. A digital photo of the locally-built Elsa Stove used to pyrolyze the Forest Lilac biomass used as biochar in the experiment.](image)

2.3. Land preparation, Experimental Layout and Design

The experimental site preparation included site clearing, plowing, and puddling using simple farm tools like cutlasses, hoe, and shovel. The experiment was conducted from June to November 2018. The plot area was 41.9 m × 11.9 m and was surrounded by bunds to regulate the water regime. The experiment laid out in a randomized complete block design. A total of eight treatment combinations comprising two levels of biochar-soil mixtures (10 t ha\(^{-1}\) and 0 t ha\(^{-1}\)), two levels of plant density per hill (1 seedling per hill and 2 seedlings per hill), and two levels of plant spacing (25 cm and 20 cm) (Table 1).

| Treatments | Combination of biochar, plant density, and spacing |
|------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| T1         | Biochar (10 t ha\(^{-1}\)) + Density (1) + Spacing (20 cm) |
| T2         | Biochar (10 t ha\(^{-1}\)) + Density (1) + Spacing (25 cm) |
| T3         | Biochar (10 t ha\(^{-1}\)) + Density (2) + Spacing (20 cm) |
| T4         | Biochar (10 t ha\(^{-1}\)) + Density (2) + Spacing (25 cm) |
| T5         | Biochar (0 t ha\(^{-1}\)) + Density (1) + Spacing (20 cm) |
| T6         | Biochar (0 t ha\(^{-1}\)) + Density (1) + Spacing (25 cm) |
| T7         | Biochar (0 t ha\(^{-1}\)) + Density (2) + Spacing (20 cm) |
| T8         | Biochar (0 t ha\(^{-1}\)) + Density (2) + Spacing (25 cm) |

Plant density=number of rice seedlings transplanted per hole; 1=one seedling and 2=two seedlings
2.4. Plant Material and Culture Management

NERICA L 19 is a variety of the African NERICA varieties that was developed by the West African Rice Development Association in 1994 (WARDA), which is suitable for rainfed lowland ecology. Seedbeds were prepared, and the seeds were broadcasted. At 21 days after sowing (DAS), germinated seedlings were transplanted from the nursery bed to the experimental plots based on the various treatment combinations on the 4th of July, 2018. Gap fillings were done four days after transplanting to uphold the required rice plants per hill in the experimental plots. The recommended doses of nitrogen, phosphate, and potassium fertilizer (NPK) 40-40-40 at 266.67 kg ha⁻¹ were applied. Weeding and pest control measures were carried out according to the requirement of the crop. The paddy field was managed from the grain-filling stage through to the maturing phase with regulated irrigation. The field was drained entirely two weeks before harvesting, and the plant was harvested using sickles.

2.5. Data Collection

Data were obtained for varying growth and yield parameters of the NERICA L 19 rice plant, including plant height, tiller number, leaf area, dry matter yield, biological yield, harvest index, and grain yield which was tagged per plot at random at 4, 6, 8 and 10 WAT. All the parameters were estimated at hill-scale (Figure 2). Measurements at the time of harvest focused on yield variables, which included the number of grains per panicle, number of tillers per hill, harvest index, seed weight, and grain yield.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

General Linear Factorial Model Analysis of Variance (three-way ANOVA) was used to evaluate the statistical significance of the effects of the biochar treatment as influenced by plant density and spacing on rice growth and yield. Post hoc comparisons were done using the least significant difference (LSD) test, with statistical significance requirement being set at P<0.05. Simple linear regression analysis was performed to determine the relationship between related growth yield parameters at the 5% level of significance. Data analyses were done using Microsoft Excel and GenStat. Statistical Software version 19.1.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Growth Parameters

Treatment and sampling regime (WAT) significantly (P<0.001) influenced plant height, number of tillers per hill and leaf area (Figures 3–5). Treatment B0H2S25 exhibited the tallest plants (91 cm), followed by Treatment B10H1S25 (89 cm), whereas Treatment B10H2S25 had the shortest plants (74 cm). Similarly, Treatment B0H2S25 produced the highest number of tillers per hill (16.3), whereas the Treatment B10H1S20 (9.7) produced the lowest tiller number. All treatments significantly grew taller across sampling regimes.

Leaf area varied significantly among all the treatments (P<0.01) and sampling regime (P<0.001). Maximum leaf area was recorded in Treatment B0H2S25 (36.1 cm²), followed by Treatment B10H2S20 (34.9 cm²), while minimum leaf area was observed in Treatment B10H2S25 (25.8 cm²) (Figure 5). Overall, there was no significant difference in leaf area between the treatment and control.

This study examines the effect of biochar amendment, plant density and spacing on the growth of rice. Biochar amended plots partly enhanced growth parameters such as plant height, number of tillers per hill and leaf area. The increased plant height may be attributable to the
improvement in soil physical and chemical properties. This is consistent with Masulili and Utomo [29], who reported that rice husk biochar amendment and N fertilizer application improved specific physical and chemical properties of the soil, which promotes aboveground vegetative growth. Also, previous studies have reported that biochar application with the addition of NPK fertilizer enhanced plant height [30–32]. Similarly, Lakitan et al. [33] noted that, biochar amendment increased plant height in a paddy field in Indonesia.

There was a significant change in the number of tillers due to the applied treatment of different levels of biochar and plant spacing with no significant impact of biochar hill for the cropping season. Hence, there was a significant effect of plant spacing on tiller number in the treatment, with the highest tiller produced by Treatment B10H1S25, compared to the control. Liu et al. [34] used yield portion evaluation and noticed that the use of biochar in rice cultivation had a significant impact on productive tillers per hill, for two successive rice growing seasons in a waterlogged paddy field. In addition, an experiment conducted in Malaysia by Lai et al. [32], noted that rice plants treated with N fertilizer and biochar treatments in a silt loam pot had a better response in terms of leaf area, plant height, leaf chlorophyll content (SPAD value), and tiller number compared to the control. Masulili and Utomo [29] reported in a study in Indonesia that the application of rice straw as soil amendment produced the highest number of tillers. The sole use of rice straw biochar improved rice plant height, tiller number, and dry biomass after eight weeks of planting [35].

3.2. Yield and Yield Components

Treatment exhibited no significant effects on the grain yield, straw yield and harvest index of rice (Table 2). However, the different treatments used significantly (P<0.001) influenced the numbers of panicle and spikelets produced. Treatments B10H2S20 and B0H2S20 had 0.66 t ha\(^{-1}\), while the lowest grain yield was recorded in Treatment B0H1S25 (0.59 t ha\(^{-1}\)) (Table 2). Maximum straw yield was recorded in Treatment B10H2S25 (5.31 t ha\(^{-1}\)) followed by Treatment B10H2S25 (5.09 t ha\(^{-1}\)), while the minimum straw yield was recorded in the non-amended biochar Treatment B0H1S25 plot (4.42 t ha\(^{-1}\)). Treatment B10H2S25 (0.127) exhibited the highest HI, whilst Treatment B0H1S20 (0.118) recorded the lowest. Treatments B0H1S25 produced the highest numbers of panicles (339.7) and spikelets (9.3), whereas B0H1S20 had the lowest of 181.1 and 6.9, respectively (Table 2). Treatments B10H2S20, B10H2S25 and B0H1S25 significantly produced the highest number of spikelets per panicle ranging from 8.7–9.3, whereas treatment B0H1S20 (6.9) exhibited the lowest number of spikelets. Treatments B10H1S20 and B0H1S25 significantly produced highest number of panicles per meter square compared to the remaining treatments.

Table 2. Mean grain yield, straw yield, and yield components of rice grown under various biochar, plant density, and spacing at the inland valley swamp of Njala.

| Treatments   | Grain yield (t ha\(^{-1}\)) | Straw yield (t ha\(^{-1}\)) | Harvest Index (HI) | Panicle Number (m\(^{-2}\)) | Spikelet Number (panicle\(^{-1}\)) |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|
| B0H1S20     | 0.60a                       | 4.64a                       | 0.118a             | 181.7f                      | 6.9f                             |
| B0H1S25     | 0.59a                       | 4.42a                       | 0.120a             | 339.7a                      | 9.3a                             |
| B0H2S20     | 0.66a                       | 4.96a                       | 0.121a             | 202.0e                      | 7.4de                            |
| B0H2S25     | 0.65a                       | 4.74a                       | 0.124a             | 324.7bc                     | 8.6c                             |
| B10H1S20    | 0.61a                       | 4.99a                       | 0.121a             | 333.0ab                     | 8.5c                             |
| B10H1S25    | 0.59a                       | 4.77a                       | 0.123a             | 266.7c                      | 8.2d                             |
| B10H2S20    | 0.66a                       | 5.31a                       | 0.124a             | 266.7c                      | 8.7ab                            |
| B10H2S25    | 0.65a                       | 5.09a                       | 0.127a             | 261.0cd                     | 8.9ab                            |
| Grand Means | 0.63                        | 4.86                        | 0.122              | 271.9                       | 8.3                              |

Values with the same letters indicate that mean values are not significantly different from each other (\(p \geq 0.05\)), while different letters indicate that mean values are significantly different (\(p<0.05\)).

3.3. Regression Analysis for Measurement of Yield and Its Attributing Traits

There was a moderate positive relationship between the number of panicles per square meter and spikelet number per panicle (\(R^2=0.7465\)) (Figure 6a), and between grain yield and straw yield (\(R^2=0.565\)) (Figure 6c). Low positive relationship was found between grain yield and the number of panicles per square meter (\(R^2=0.0661\)) (Figure 6b); and between grain yield and harvest index (\(R^2=0.3377\)) (Figure 6d). The number of panicles m\(^{-2}\) contributed 74.65% and 6.61% to the variability observed in the number of spikelets per panicle, and the number of panicles m\(^{-2}\), respectively, while the remaining percentages were possibly due to environmental conditions.
variation. Similarly, straw yield contributed 56.5% to the variability in grain yield. Grain yield contributed 33.77% to the variability in the harvest index.

![Figure 6. Relationships between (a) spikelet number per panicle and panicle number per square meter of rice; (b) grain yield in tons per hectare (t ha⁻¹) and panicle number per square meter of rice; (c) grain yield in tons per hectare (t ha⁻¹) and straw yield in tons per hectare; (d) grain yield in tons per hectare (t ha⁻¹) and harvest index (HI) of rice.](image)

Generally, gliricidia biochar application, plant density and spacing was found to enhance straw yield and related attributes in some treatments compared to the control, indicating the contribution of biochar in improving soil fertility that support growth and development of crops. Similarly, Zhao et al. [36] noted that seasonal application of 9 t ha⁻¹ rice straw biochar with the standard fertilizer rate increased straw yield in both seasons, whereas increased grain yield was only achieved in the following season. The high grain yield in biochar amended plot partly corroborates with the findings of Si et al. [37], who found that biochar amendment and fertilizer (180.0 kg N ha⁻¹, 67.5 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ and 67.5 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) significantly improves grains per panicle in a cold waterlogged paddy field. Oladele et al. [38], noted that rice husk biochar and inorganic fertilizer minimized soil nutrient leaching in tropical African rain-fed rice systems, thus making these nutrients more readily available for crop growth and increased grain yield. Hemwong and Cadisch [39] reported that biochar amendments and supplementary application of nitrogen fertilizer at the time of panicle initiation increased rice grain yield. Moreover, Jeffery et al. [40] conducted a meta-analysis that showed a significant positive impact of biochar application on crop yield, with a robust mean increase of 20–25% for tropical soils. Such improvement was related to a limiting effect and enhanced soil water holding capacity, together with the increased availability of crop nutrients when biochar is applied to the soil. Another potential cause for the positive impacts of biochar is through the enhanced availability of soil nutrients, in which biochar applied to soil was found to enhance soil productivity [41–43]. Also, Chen et al. [44], revealed that biochar increased grains per panicle by facilitating the filling of rice grains under temperate climate conditions. Many studies have reported that biochar amendment with NPK fertilizer, improved rice growth and yield productivity [36, 45–47]. However, in the present study, the inconsistent performance of rice in terms of grain yields in biochar-amended rice plots could be possibly attributable to the overuse of P fertilizer, high iron toxicity and inter-plot interference during the one season trial. These findings are partly supported by Xie et al. [48], who observed no significant impacts of biochar on rice yield in both fertile and infertile soil, which was possibly related to the overuse of P fertilizer which could alter the chemical conditions of the biochar used. Similarly, Sui et al. [49] also indicated that there was no positive effect of rice straw biochar and N fertilizer on grain yield, which was ascribed to the low-temperature region of Northeast China, where grain productivity was delayed.

4. Conclusion

The combined effects of various levels of gliricidia biochar amendment, plant density and spacing on the growth and yield of in inland valley swamp rice production was evaluated. The results indicate that there was higher grain yield in biochar fields (0.629-ton ha⁻¹ on the average) than in non-biochar fields (0.625-ton ha⁻¹ on the average). However, high plant density and minimum plant spacing had a greater positive effect on rice growth and yield parameters compared to lower plant density and maximum plant spacing in both biochar and non-biochar fields. Hence, there is a higher possibility of increased grain yield in greater plant densities and smaller plant spacing in favorable biochar paddy fields. The potential of gliricidia biochar in supporting increased growth and yield suggest its exploitation for both straw and grain yield productivity of rice in the IVS. Residual gliricidia biochar could be also exploited in future studies to determine their benefit in enhancing grain yield in IVS rice.
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