Short-term favorable weather conditions are an important control of interannual variability in carbon and water fluxes
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Abstract Ecosystem models often perform poorly in reproducing interannual variability in carbon and water fluxes, resulting in considerable uncertainty when estimating the land-carbon sink. While many aggregated variables (growing season length, seasonal precipitation, or temperature) have been suggested as predictors for interannual variability in carbon fluxes, their explanatory power is limited and uncertainties remain as to their relative contributions. Recent results show that the annual count of hours where evapotranspiration (ET) is larger than its 95th percentile is strongly correlated with the annual variability of ET and gross primary production (GPP) in an ecosystem model. This suggests that the occurrence of favorable conditions has a strong influence on the annual carbon budget. Here we analyzed data from eight forest sites of the AmeriFlux network with at least 7 years of continuous measurements. We show that for ET and the carbon fluxes GPP, ecosystem respiration (RE), and net ecosystem production, counting the “most active hours/days” (i.e., hours/days when the flux exceeds a high percentile) correlates well with the respective annual sums, with correlation coefficients generally greater than 0.8. Phenological transitions have much weaker explanatory power. By exploiting the relationship between most active hours and interannual variability, we classify hours as most active or less active and largely explain interannual variability in ecosystem fluxes, particularly for GPP and RE. Our results suggest that a better understanding and modeling of the occurrence of large values in high-frequency ecosystem fluxes will result in a better understanding of interannual variability of these fluxes.

1. Introduction

Terrestrial carbon and water fluxes are strongly influenced by fluctuations in climate. The terrestrial biosphere takes up about 25% of anthropogenic CO2 emissions, and interannual variations of the global net terrestrial carbon flux are the main driver of interannual variability of the growth rate of atmospheric CO2 [Le Quéré et al., 2015; Ahlström et al., 2015]. Terrestrial Biosphere Models (TBMs) are a primary tool for studying ecosystem fluxes and predicting how much carbon is taken up by the biosphere on an annual basis, yet understanding and predicting annual variations of ecosystem carbon and water fluxes is still challenging for these models [Fisher et al., 2014]. At both the site and global scale, the ability of TBMs to match observed interannual variability of carbon fluxes is limited [e.g., Keenan et al., 2012; Le Quéré et al., 2015].

In this contribution, we use the term interannual variability (IAV) to refer to the variability of the annual totals (sums over the year) of a given flux variable, generally ecosystem fluxes of carbon and water. At the site scale, a multitude of predictors have been suggested as main drivers of the IAV of carbon fluxes. These predictors include a combination of meteorological and vegetation descriptors, such as growing season precipitation, vapor pressure deficit, annual maximum of leaf area index, and growing season mean stomatal conductance [Stoy et al., 2006]; 2 year precipitation, mean annual temperature, and growing season length...
As evident from the above list, aggregated variables at seasonal or annual scale are typically sought to predict annual ecosystem fluxes. Years can then be categorized into “dry” or “wet,” “hot” or “cold,” or even “favorable” and “unfavorable” for carbon uptake. However, for different sites or ecosystems, the set of variables explaining most of the IAV of ecosystem fluxes differs largely. This variability across sites hampers the derivation of a general set of rules for effective categorization of aggregate environmental conditions to improve the prediction of interannual variability in ecosystem fluxes.

Complementary to the research on long-term aggregated environmental drivers, there is some evidence that short-term variability (at the hourly scale) is important for IAV of ecosystem fluxes. For instance, Medvigy et al. (2010) found that short-term variability in precipitation and radiation are good predictors for annual GPP using the Ecosystem Demography model version 2 (ED2). Paschalis et al. (2015) showed that short-scale variability of meteorological drivers can affect water and carbon fluxes at the annual scale using the Tethys-Chloris (T-C) ecohydrological model (Fatichi et al., 2012). Wu et al. (2012b) demonstrated that the sensitivity of carbon fluxes to meteorological variability is higher at shorter than at longer time scales in a beech forest.

Similar to the analysis of temporally aggregated drivers, these findings do not allow a derivation of general rules applicable for multiple sites or at the global scale. For this reason, here we search for relationships that can be generalized over different sites and ecosystems but still preserve a considerable information content, e.g., a high correlation with IAV. To this end, we focus on the distribution of observed hourly and daily carbon and water fluxes. More specifically, we examine characteristics of the distribution that explain a large fraction of the IAV and relate them to climatic drivers. Such insights shed light on the mechanisms controlling IAV, with a particular focus on short term versus long term and climatic versus phenological controls.

Recent work on GPP and ET has indicated that the tail ends of the distribution might drive most of the observed IAV. For data-driven and modeled gridded GPP, a few positive and negative extreme anomalies that cover large spatial and temporal scales explain most of the spatially aggregated IAV of GPP. This is true for continental and global scales (Zscheischler et al., 2014a, 2014b). Similarly, at the site-level scale summing hours or days with high ET (exceeding the 95th percentile) is a good predictor for annual ET and GPP in an ecosystem model (Fatichi and Ivanov, 2014).

Here we use eddy covariance flux tower and weather data from eight temperate forest sites in the U.S. to investigate the short-term controls of IAV on annual ecosystem fluxes. We specifically ask the following: (i) are the positive tails of GPP, RE, net ecosystem production (NEP), and ET (we will call them “most active hours” or “most active days”) strongly correlated with the annual sums in these variables? And if yes, (ii) are the most active hours and days related to climatic drivers and are these relationships similar for different sites? While model simulations suggest a positive answer to the first question (Fatichi and Ivanov, 2014), our study is novel in its reliance on observed site-level data to explore these dynamics.

2. Data and Methods
2.1. Data
We used meteorological and eddy covariance data from eight towers of the AmeriFlux network (www.ameriflux.lbl.gov), all located in temperate forests in the U.S. (Figure 1 and Table 1). These data represent a subset of the synthesis data set described in Wolf et al. (2016), from which we extracted GPP, RE, NEP, ET, air temperature (T), precipitation (P), photosynthetically active radiation (PAR), and vapor pressure deficit (VPD) observations. Half-hourly and hourly biosphere-atmosphere fluxes of CO₂, water vapor, and energy were processed using standardized procedures across sites according to established AmeriFlux standards (Boden et al., 2013). Low turbulence conditions were filtered by using site specific friction-velocity (u∗) thresholds, as specified by the site Principle Investigators for each site. CO₂ fluxes of NEP were partitioned into the component fluxes of GPP and RE with nighttime data (no photosynthesis), which was extrapolated to daytime using temperature response functions fit to moving bins within each year. Specific details on the flux partitioning and gap-filling methods employed can be found in Wolf et al. (2016) and Barr et al. (2013). Carbon uptake by the biosphere is denoted by positive NEP, while negative NEP indicates carbon losses to the atmosphere.
Figure 1. Map of the continental U.S. with the 8 AmeriFlux sites denoted by a red dot and their AmeriFlux site acronym. Park Falls (Pfa) is highlighted by a star.

Table 1 summarizes the key information about each site, including the dominant vegetation type (IGBP class), climate class, mean annual temperature (MAT), mean annual precipitation (MAP), and percentage of missing values. We further report the energy balance closure (EBC) as the slope of the regression \( LE + H \) against \( R_n - G \) (\( LE = \) latent heat, \( H = \) sensible heat, \( R_n = \) net radiation, and \( G = \) ground heat flux, if available), using ordinary least squares regression on all measured (nongap-filled) hourly data. Park Falls has no measurements of net radiation; hence, the energy balance closure could not be computed.

2.2. Analysis

The distribution of ecosystem fluxes is generally largely positively skewed and long tailed; i.e., there are few occurrences with very high values (see Figure 2 for an example showing histograms of hourly GPP and NEP for Park Falls). Exploiting this property of high-frequency flux data, we specifically focused on the positive tail of the distributions, as described in more detail in the following subsections.

2.2.1. Most Active Hours and Days

For each of the fluxes GPP, RE, NEP, and ET, all percentiles 50%, 51%, ..., 99% for hourly and daily fluxes were computed over the entire (≥ 7 years) time series (fluxes at lower percentiles of the distribution usually occurred in winter or at night). For each year, the number of hours/days that exceeded these percentiles was counted, and the resulting annual counts were correlated with the annual sums of the respective flux (equation (1)). For example, let \( x(y, i) \) be the hourly ecosystem flux of variable \( x \) with \( y = 1, \ldots, \) number of years of observed data at the site and \( i \) be an hour in year \( y \), we computed

\[
\text{MAH}_x(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1_{\{x(y, i) > p\}}
\]  

Table 1. AmeriFlux Sites Used in This Study

| Site Name   | Acronym | IGBP | Climate | Latitude | Longitude | MAT  | MAP  | Elevation | Years        | Percentage of Missing Data | EBC | Reference          |
|-------------|---------|------|---------|----------|-----------|------|------|------------|--------------|---------------------------|-----|--------------------|
| Bartlett    | Bar     | DBF  | Dfb     | 44.06    | −71.28    | 7.6  | 1303 | 272        | 2004–2012    | 48                         | 0.71| Jenkins et al. [2007]|
| Cedar Bridge| Ced     | MF   | Cfa     | 39.83    | −74.37    | 12.3 | 1213 | 58         | 2006–2012    | 41                         | 0.90| Clark et al. [2014]  |
| Howland Main| Ho1     | ENF  | Dfb     | 45.20    | −68.74    | 6.8  | 860  | 60         | 1996–2012    | 8                          | 0.76| Hollinger et al. [2004]|
| Morgan Monroe| MMS    | DBF  | Cfa     | 39.32    | −86.41    | 12.5 | 1082 | 275        | 1999–2012    | 39                         | 0.63| Roman et al. [2015]  |
| Nivot Ridge | NR1     | ENF  | Dfc     | 40.03    | −105.54   | 2.3  | 698  | 3050       | 1999–2012    | 11                         | 0.74| Monson et al. [2002] |
| Park Falls  | Pfa     | MF   | Dfb     | 45.94    | −90.27    | 6.0  | 577  | 470        | 1997–2012    | 23                         | -              | Desai [2014]                |
| Silas Little| Slt     | DBF  | Cfa     | 39.91    | −74.59    | 12.6 | 1058 | 30         | 2005–2012    | 41                         | 0.88| Clark et al. [2014]  |
| UMBS        | UMB     | DBF  | Dfb     | 45.55    | −84.71    | 7.4  | 870  | 234        | 2000–2012    | 39                         | 0.76| Gough et al. [2013]  |

IGBP classes: DBF, Deciduous Broadleaf Forest; ENF, Evergreen Needleleaf Forest; and MF, Mixed Forest. Climate: Cfa, warm oceanic climate; Dfb, temperate continental climate; and Dfc, cool continental climate. MAT, mean annual temperature in ºC; MAP, mean annual precipitation in mm/yr; percentage of missing data after quality assessment and quality control (QA/QC); EBC, energy balance closure computed as the slope of the regression of \( LE + H \) against \( R_n - G \) at hourly scale.
where $n$ is the number of hours in year $y$ and $p$ is the $q$th percentile of $x$ computed over all years for $q$ between 50 and 99. We call the resulting annual time series most active hours (MAH). In a similar fashion but using daily sums rather than hourly fluxes, we computed most active days (MAD). We computed time series of MAH and MAD for each ecosystem flux (GPP, RE, NEP, and ET) and each percentile. We then correlated each annual time series of MAH and MAD against the time series of the annual sums of their respective fluxes. For both temporal resolutions (hourly and daily), we computed the percentile where the average correlation over all eight sites reached its maximum. The best percentile for daily GPP (78th) and NEP (79th) at the Park Falls Ameriflux site in northern Wisconsin and the resulting linear relationship between annual fluxes and MAD are presented to illustrate the approach (Figure 3). Corresponding scatterplots for MAH are also shown for comparison (Figures 3c and 3f). Note that the percentile thresholds are different for the hourly scale (see Table 2).

We further investigated the extent to which the shape of the underlying data distribution determines the relationship between MAH and annual sums. To this end, we simulated hourly data for 50 years from the standard normal, gamma, and Pareto distribution. To simulate the effect that fluxes are zero (GPP and ET) or close to zero during winter and at night, we did an additional simulation with the Pareto distribution, randomly setting 50% of the hours to zero. The Pareto distribution is a heavy-tailed distribution resembling most closely the properties of ecosystem flux data. We then computed MAH as explained above, repeated this 50 times, and report the average over these 50 simulations.

Finally, we assessed the importance of MAH and MAD for explaining the variability in annual totals in comparison to growing season length (GSL). We estimate spring and autumn phenological dates for each site year, based on smoothed daily integrated GPP. Spring and autumn phenological transitions were determined to be crossed when the GPP metric crossed a site-specific threshold set to 10% of the 99th percentile of summer GPP values across all years at a site (following Keenan et al. [2014]). GSL was calculated as the difference between these two dates in days.

2.2.2. Estimate Importance of Drivers and Predict MAH

To estimate which climate drivers are most relevant for determining the most active hours and days in ecosystem fluxes, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) on a set of drivers, projecting all drivers onto the first two principal components and noted whether most active hours occupied a distinct region of the plane delineated by the PCA axes when compared with the rest of hours. Taking basic ecophysiological processes [Bonan, 2008] and data availability into account, we selected the drivers day of the year, hour of the day,
Figure 3. Illustration of the approach using daily (a) GPP and (d) NEP data at Park Falls. The horizontal lines denote the 78th and the 79th percentile for GPP and NEP, respectively. (b) Annual GPP versus number of days per year crossing the 78th percentile (i.e., MAD, see section 2.2.1). (c) For comparison: Annual GPP versus MAH. (e) Annual NEP versus number of days per year crossing the 79th percentile (MAD). (f) For comparison: Annual NEP versus MAH.

$T, \text{PAR, VPD},$ and cumulative $P$ of the previous 30 days. Cumulative $P$ of the previous 30 days was used as a proxy for water availability as soil water content was not available at all sites.

We further investigated whether a relationship between climatic drivers and the occurrence of most active hours can be established. Here we relied on Random Forests [Breiman, 2001], an ensemble machine-learning method for classification. Random Forest is a statistical algorithm that is used to classify points of multidimensional data into different classes. We used Random Forests to classify hourly data into most active and other hours based on the same set of drivers as above. We used a random subset of 50% of the hours where NEP was observed (not gap filled) as training sample.

3. Results

Correlations between $\text{MAH}^{\text{GPP}}$ and annual GPP generally increase with increasing percentile up to a maximum around the 85th to 95th percentile before correlations decrease again (Figure 4). This behavior is most in line with simulations of a Pareto distribution where 50% of the hours were set to zero (Figure 5). $\text{MAH}$ derived from normally distributed data reach the maximum correlation with annual sums at a much lower percentile. Correlations between $\text{MAH}$ and annual sums from gamma-distributed data are high up to approximately the 80th percentile before they decline rapidly. Correlations between $\text{MAH}^{\text{GPP}}$ and annual sums in observed data are considerably higher (correlation coefficients generally $> 0.85$) than in simulated data, indicating that in the observed data distributions large values are even more strongly separated from the bulk of the distribution. The best percentile for defining $\text{MAH}$ and $\text{MAD}$ as a predictor for annual ecosystem fluxes varies between the 74th and 91st percentile for hourly fluxes and 73rd and the 82nd percentile for the daily fluxes (Table 2), with

| Table 2. Optimal Percentile to Define MAH and MAD for Ecosystem Fluxes |
|-------------------|--------|--------|
| GPP               | Reco   | ET  | NEP  |
| MAH               | 91     | 74   | 90   | 91   |
| MAD               | 78     | 73   | 82   | 79   |
91st and 78th percentiles giving the overall best performance for GPP at hourly and daily scale, respectively. That means, that counting the annual hours that exceed the 91st percentile correlates best with annual GPP.

The relationship between the MAH\textsuperscript{GPP} or MAD\textsuperscript{GPP} and annual GPP is very strong for all sites (Figure 6). For MAH\textsuperscript{GPP}, the fraction of explained variance ranges between 74% (UMB) and 99% (Slt) with regression slopes between 0.61 gC m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1} per most active hour for NR1 and 1.12 gC m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1} per most active hour for UMB, (Figure 6a). For MAD\textsuperscript{GPP}, the fraction of explained variance is in a similar range (between 71% for Ho1 and 99% for Slt). Regression slopes vary between 2.92 (NR1) and 9.32 gC m\textsuperscript{-2} yr\textsuperscript{-1} per most active day (Slt). Note that forests in Slt were largely defoliated by the Gypsy moth in 2007, resulting in very low annual GPP and NEP\textsuperscript{Clark et al.}, 2010, and low MAH and MAD. Because regression slopes vary between sites, a unique linear model to encompass the relationship between MAH\textsuperscript{GPP} or MAD\textsuperscript{GPP} and annual GPP for all sites cannot be expected. No relationship between the regression slopes and climatic drivers could be found.

Figure 7 summarizes the strengths of relationship between MAH, MAD, GSL, and IAV for all sites and all variables. In summary, it describes how much of the variance in annual sums is explained by MAH, MAD, and GSL. Overall, MAD and MAH explain large fractions of the IAV in ecosystem fluxes, and there is little difference...
between sites and temporal resolutions except for NEP. For NEP, the relationship at daily resolution is much stronger for some sites (MAD is a better predictor than MAH). This is probably due to the gap-filling scheme used which introduces some uncertainties in the annual values of NEP depending on whether hourly or daily values are aggregated [Wolf et al., 2016]. In contrast, the explanatory power of GSL is much lower. For GPP on average, MAH and MAD explain more than twice as much variability than GSL, for the other variables the difference is even larger.

Figure 6. Annual GPP versus number of (a) MAH and (b) MAD (see section 2.2.1). The resulting fraction of explained variance ($R^2$) and the resulting slopes are given in the legend. Site acronyms as in Table 1.

Figure 7. Fraction of explained variance of annual sums of carbon and water fluxes by most active hours (MAH, colored bars), most active days (MAD, striped bars), and growing season length (GSL, white bars). For the definition of MAH, MAD, and GSL see section 2.2.1.
Figure 8. Principal component analysis for driving data for Park Falls, projection on the first two principal components (PC). MAH are marked blue (see section 2.2.1). VPD, vapor pressure deficit; PAR, photosynthetically active radiation; P(30d), cumulative precipitation over the last 30 days; hour, hour of the day; and day, day of the year.

A principal component analysis (PCA) of hourly climate data of Park Falls shows the separation between “most active” and “other” hours (Figure 8). Climatic drivers are projected onto the first two principal components, explaining nearly 74% of the variance in the drivers. The distributions of most active hours and the remaining hours are quite well separated, mostly by high VPD and high PAR. For RE, most active hours are better separated in the direction of high temperature, high 30 day precipitation, and day of the year (Figure 8b). Despite the overall good separation, some hours seem to fulfill the general climatic conditions for being most active hours but are in fact not (red dots in the blue point clouds in Figure 8). The relationships look qualitatively very similar for the other sites (not shown). The first principal component explains between 44.6 and 49.2% of the variance, the second principal component between 21.8 and 24.6%. In all sites, MAH in GPP, NEP, and ET are separated mostly along the direction of high PAR and VPD, and MAH in RE are separated mostly along high P(30d), T, and the day of the year.

We classified hourly data into MAH and other hours from climate variables using Random Forests and compare the number of hours classified as MAH with the annual fluxes. We do this for all four fluxes and use again Park Falls as an example to illustrate the results (Figure 9). MAH$_{GPP}$ and MAH$_{RE}$ can be classified relatively well, and consequently, the number of predicted MAH is a good indicator for the annual GPP and RE fluxes ($R^2 = 0.92$ and 0.82, respectively). For ET the prediction skill is a bit weaker ($R^2 = 0.68$), and it is low for NEP ($R^2 = 0.12$). Similar patterns are found at the other sites, with averaged $R^2$ of 0.62, 0.8, 0.47, and 0.36 for GPP, RE, ET, and NEP, respectively. The prediction undertaken here is left intentionally simple (only a few driving variables are used, and no lagged effects are incorporated) and merely serves the purpose to illustrate that a prediction of MAH from climate drivers is possible through binary classification, particularly for GPP and RE.

4. Discussion

We have shown that the sum of hours or days where ecosystem fluxes are large (exceeding a high percentile) is linearly related to the annual sum of this ecosystem flux using observed flux data, which answers our first
Figure 9. Annual carbon and water fluxes versus predicted MAH for Park Falls (see section 2.2.1). The following predictors were used for the binary classification to predict whether an hour is most active or not: day of the year, hour of the day, T, PAR, VPD, and cumulative precipitation over the last 30 days.

research question. Such a relationship was previously found using simulations from an ecosystem model [Fatichi and Ivanov, 2014], but in that work it was not possible to confidently conclude that this relationship was not somehow influenced by the model structure. Our results based on measured data from eight sites (96 site years) demonstrate that this is indeed a relevant phenomenon and not an artifact of model structure. Our analysis of artificial data suggests that the relationship is strongly driven by the shape of the distribution of ecosystem fluxes. Additionally, part of the relationship between MAH/MAD and annual sums can probably be explained through spurious self-correlation because all involved variables are derived from the same single variable [Kenney, 1982]. However, given that the relationships are very strong and that MAH separate the driver space fairly well (Figure 8), it can be expected that there is an underlying mechanism leading to MAH which then in turn shape the annual sums. This addresses the second question presented in the introduction, namely, whether the positive tails of ecosystem fluxes can be related to climatic drivers. As we demonstrated the MAH are generally related to similar short-term weather conditions, largely driven by variations in VPD and PAR (GPP, NEP, and ET), as well as T, 30 day precipitation, and day of the year (RE). We further discuss whether these short-term "favorable conditions" are really an important and poorly predictable component in determining the annual sums or whether they are for instance just resulting from seasonally averaged climate variables.

4.1. On the Temporal Distribution of Most Active Hours

If seasonally aggregated climate drivers dominate the annual sums of ecosystem fluxes, one would expect the occurrence of MAH to be easily predictable along the course of the year, for example, forming a clear
pattern during the growing season. In this case, it could be expected that during the growing season the number of most active hours should vary little between days. However, as Figure 10 suggests, the number of most active hours on a given day exhibits relatively complex and varying patterns for different years. Some predictability on the synoptic scale can be expected, as the autocorrelation usually falls below the significance level (95%) only after 1 or 2 weeks. The number of most active hours per day is generally higher during years with higher annual GPP, yet the shape of the distribution of number of most active hours varies largely for different years (Figure 10). This suggests that a most active hour requires that slowly evolving variables like soil moisture (at least in the depletion phase) and leaf area index be high and that meteorological variables that change over synoptic and shorter time scales (like radiation, temperature, and VPD) are concurrently favorable. The combination of these long-term predisposing factors with short-term favorable meteorological drivers then define the annual sums of ecosystem fluxes and their variability across years. For instance, very favorable meteorological drivers will not lead to a most active hour if the ecosystem has a low leaf area index because the canopy is developing or senescing or the ecosystem is still recovering from a previous drought or an early season frost. Moreover, the combination of different meteorological drivers may be necessary at certain sites for a most active hour to occur even in the presence of an overall positive situation, being PAR, VPD, and temperature important but not the only controls. This is underlined by the observation that growing season length is a much weaker predictor for IAV (Figure 7) though the range of occurrences of MAH is well captured by the GSL (Figure 10). MAH and MAD are much more flexible and can capture unfavorable weather conditions even after the canopy is fully developed. Such conditions in turn can have a profound impact on the annual totals.

Sometimes, an hour is not classified as a most active hour even when it is characterized by short-term conditions that are typical for the MAHs (Figure 8). This could be due to several factors including nonlinear dependencies and cross correlation between climatic drivers and the occurrence of MAH (for instance, lagged effects) or missing relevant drivers to separate the two. Noise in the data can also contribute [Hollinger and Richardson, 2005] but since similar results are obtained from model simulations [Fatichi and Ivanov, 2014] is unlikely to represent a major issue. While the overall impact of seasonally favorable climate conditions on carbon fluxes might be comparatively easy to predict (though variable across sites), the effects of short-term weather variations probably represent a more significant challenge.

**4.2. Implications for Modeling and Predictability**

We have shown that the occurrence of MAH can be predicted relatively well for GPP, RE, and ET with hourly-scale climatic drivers (Figure 9). MAH in NEP are harder to predict, probably because the initial relationship between MAH and NEP is weak (Figures 3 and 7). Moreover, NEP is the difference between two large fluxes such that it integrates more complex processes and is also subjected to larger uncertainties. MAH$^{NEP}$ are also more difficult to separate with the small subset of drivers which we used here (Figure 8).
If IAV of ecosystem fluxes is to be captured well with mechanistic models, climatic drivers need to be available locally at high temporal resolution and of very good quality, and models need to be well calibrated with a precise representation of the current ecosystem state to accurately translate short-term weather variability into changes in ecosystem fluxes. Recognizing that capturing the positive tails of the data distribution is important could also improve the calibration of mechanistic models and cost-function definitions. In particular, for calibrating model parameters one could give more weight to errors in high values rather than considering every hour with the same weight. This is likely to improve model performance with respect to IAV and could also be important for model-data integration studies [Raupach et al., 2005; Dietze et al., 2013; Keenan et al., 2013; Niu et al., 2014]. At the same time, large values are often accompanied with larger uncertainties, impeding a straightforward translation of our results into cost functions. Alternatively, cost functions may be based on the occurrence of MAH rather than on the exact flux values. As a side result, our findings indicate that predictive ability for IAV of ecosystem models using seasonal or monthly forcing only will be limited. At the hourly and daily time scale, the relationship between most active periods and IAV is similarly strong, suggesting that running models at daily time steps might be enough to capture IAV well. However, due to nonlinearities in ecosystem response to radiation, VPD, temperature, etc., matching the most active days well likely requires models to be very accurate on the hourly time step as well.

The above observations are consistent with a recent study based on a mechanistic model demonstrating that short-scale variability of meteorological input does affect water and carbon fluxes across a wide range of time scales, spanning from the hourly to the annual and longer scales, especially when the information content of meteorological inputs can be integrated in time, for instance, through soil moisture effects [Paschalís et al., 2015]. The study also showed that different ecosystems respond to characteristics of short-scale variability of the climate forcing in various ways depending on dominant factors limiting ecosystem productivity, which renders a generalization quite challenging.

The importance of extreme values in GPP for its IAV has been shown before at the site-level [Wei et al., 2014], continental [Zscheischler et al., 2014a], and global scales [Zscheischler et al., 2014b]. While those studies are also mostly based on the distribution of GPP values, droughts could be identified as the major driver for large-scale negative anomalies in GPP. IAV in ecosystem fluxes measured by the eddy covariance technique has been extensively studied [Stoy et al., 2006; Barr et al., 2007; Dunn et al., 2007; Keenan et al., 2012], yet results are still difficult to generalize. This could be attributed to issues of data quality, but it is more likely that the complexity of the problem requires longer observations. Eddy covariance observations are mostly shorter than 20 years, and generalizable results are difficult to obtain from such short time series. Nevertheless, studying the underlying data distributions offers alternative avenues of research and can result in new insights. Our results suggest that focusing research on understanding the occurrence of high flux values (beyond the 75th–90th percentile) might also result in a better understanding of the IAV in ecosystem fluxes.

Lagged responses to climate anomalies can strongly affect ecosystem fluxes [Bréda et al., 2006; Bigler et al., 2007; Arnone et al., 2008; Goebel et al., 2011] and are neglected in this study. In particular climate extremes can have notable impacts on ecosystem fluxes [Reichstein et al., 2013; Frank et al., 2015]. Climate projections of mean or seasonal changes are relatively robust; however, there is less confidence on the capability of climate models to simulate changes in short-term meteorological variability and extremes [Senesiavatne et al., 2012]. Changes in climate extremes might strongly influence the occurrence of MAH and thus induce changes in the IAV of ecosystem fluxes.

We do not expect, however, that the relationship between MAH and carbon and water fluxes will drastically change with a change in variability. After all, the distribution of MAH is shaped by climate anomalies and extremes. Events with strong impacts on vegetation lead to low MAH and MAD, and low GPP, as the example of the attack of the Gypsy moth in 2007 in S1t demonstrates (Figure 6). Similarly, these relationships are expected to hold in other ecosystems than temperate forests. As a previous modeling study shows, MAH and GPP are strongly related in a semiarid shrub ecosystem and a seasonally dry grassland [Fatichi and Ivanov, 2014]. We speculate that this statistical relationship holds particularly well in semiarid ecosystems, where drier years lead to lower MAH and carbon uptake whereas wetter years are expected to have higher MAH and carbon uptake. In such ecosystems, large flux values might be well separated from the bulk of the distribution, rendering a prediction of MAH easier. In contrast, in tropical forests these relationships might not be as strong if fluxes show an overall lower interannual variability and thus less heavy tailed distributions.
5. Conclusion

We have shown that the number of occurrences of high values in observed hourly and daily ecosystem fluxes (GPP, RE, NEP, and ET) are strongly correlated with their annual sums, while the influence of phenological transitions had less importance. These most active hours and days cover a small part of the data distribution (typically less than 20%) but shape the annual fluxes to a large extent. The most active hours can be relatively well separated in the case of GPP and RE and thus be predicted by environmental drivers. The relationship between most active hours and IAV in ecosystem fluxes is demonstrated for temperate forests; however, since it is mostly a property of the high-frequency flux distribution, we assume that this relationship also holds for other ecosystems. Our results indicate that annual values of ecosystem fluxes are driven by a combination of long-term predisposing climatic and biological factors and short-term favourable weather conditions. They further suggest that if the occurrence of the most active hours in ecosystem fluxes can be well understood and modeled, this could contribute to a better understanding of the IAV in these fluxes.
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