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Abstract:

Objective. Articular cartilage is incapable of effective repair following injury or during osteoarthritis. While there have been developments in cartilage repair technologies, there is a need to advance biologically-relevant models for pre-clinical testing of biomaterial and regenerative therapies. This study describes conditions for the effective ex vivo culture of the whole human femoral head.

Design. Fresh, viable femoral heads were obtained from femoral neck fractures and cultured for up to 10wks in: (a) Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM); (b) DMEM+mixing; (c) DMEM+10% human serum (HS); (d) DMEM+10%HS+mixing. The viability, morphology, volume and density of fluorescently-labelled in situ chondrocytes and cartilage surface roughness were assessed by confocal microscopy. Cartilage histology was studied for glycosaminoglycan content using Alcian blue and collagen content using picrosirius red.

Results. Chondrocyte viability remained at >95% in DMEM+10%HS. In DMEM alone, viability remained high for ~4wks then declined. For the other conditions, superficial zone chondrocyte viability fell to <35% at 10wks with deeper zones being relatively unaffected. In DMEM+10% HS at 10wks, the number of chondrocytes possessing cytoplasmic processes increased compared to DMEM ($p=0.017$). Alcian blue labelling decreased ($p=0.02$) and cartilage thinned ($p≤0.05$), however there was no change to surface roughness, chondrocyte density, chondrocyte volume, or picrosirius red labelling ($p>0.05$).

Conclusions. In this ex vivo model, chondrocyte viability was maintained in human femoral heads for up to 10wks in culture, a novel finding not previously reported. This human model could prove invaluable for the exploration, development and assessment of pre-clinical cartilage repair and regenerative therapies.
Introduction:

Articular cartilage has very poor regenerative potential following injury and the repair tissue formed is mechanically weak, and has a fibro-cartilageneous, rather than the resilient load-bearing extracellular matrix (ECM) of hyaline cartilage. Furthermore, the native regeneration potential of cartilage declines with age and while fibro-cartilaginous repair can be observed to form within injured hyaline cartilage, it is more evident when the injury has penetrated the sub-chondral bone. The reasons for the production of mechanically-incompetent repair tissue are not well understood, and clearly there is intense interest in developing more effective biomaterial and regenerative therapies for cartilage repair. However, current models are not optimal and usually involve preparations ranging from in vitro cultures of cells, through to osteochondral explants and ex vivo and in vivo models of mainly animal (i.e. non-human) joints. The research and development of more effective cartilage repair and regenerative therapies would be enhanced by the ability to pre-clinically evaluate novel strategies in ex vivo physiological, tribological models of natural joints.

While there have been many detailed studies on explant and organ culture of animal osteochondral tissue, few studies have conducted experiments directly on human tissue. The limited studies using human cartilage for experimentation have obtained the material as discarded, and frequently degenerate osteochondral tissue (e.g. during joint replacement surgery for osteoarthritis). To test orthobiological treatments for human cartilage repair, the use of healthy cartilage is essential. However, this source of material is difficult to obtain and relies on collaboration between clinicians, theatre staff and research scientists. Whilst normal human cartilage may be obtained from amputations, trauma victims or occasionally cadavers, such material is rarely available for wider adoption into experimental human cartilage research. Ex vivo organ culture is becoming increasingly important for basic and applied biomedical research because it is more representative of normal cellular behaviour. However, obtaining a steady supply of viable human tissue which then has to be cultured for weeks under aseptic conditions remains a challenging research area.

Femoral neck fractures are one of the most common surgically treated injuries in elderly patients. The human femoral head is discarded during the surgery undertaken to treat femoral neck fractures and is replaced with an artificial prosthesis. While this discarded femoral head is aged, it is generally non-degenerate. We hypothesised that the human femoral head would be a viable source
of normal, non-degenerate articular cartilage suitable for pre-clinical cartilage research and regenerative therapies. We were able to coordinate the timely, sterile collection and delivery of the discarded human femoral head from the operating theatre to the laboratory. The aim of this study was to optimise the culture conditions for fresh human femoral heads from femoral neck fractures to permit viable long-term (10wk) culture.
Methods

Human femoral heads

Femoral heads were obtained with ethical permission (Tissue Governance, National Health Service, Lothian) and patient consent from 15 patients (11 females, 4 males, mean age 75.5 (range 56-88)) undergoing hemi-arthroplasty or total hip replacement for femoral neck fracture (FNF). Femoral heads were carefully removed intra-operatively by a qualified orthopaedic surgeon using a corkscrew device and immediately placed into a sterile container with saline (0.9% w/v; 21°C) to prevent chondrocyte death from drying\textsuperscript{9}. Femoral heads were then transferred to a sterile container with Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) with D-glucose (25mM), L-Glutamine (4mM), pyruvate (1mM), 100U/ml penicillin, 100µg/ml streptomycin, 2.5mg/ml amphotericin B (Sigma-Aldrich, Irvine, UK) and 10µg/ml Fungin (InvivoGen, Toulouse, France) ready for transportation to the laboratory with the femoral heads being available for experiments within 1-2hrs.

Culture conditions

Femoral heads were maintained in culture (37°C) in sealed single use sterile containers and media changed every 3 days and the container replaced with every media change. Media volume for each femoral head was ~50-60ml. Male femoral heads were larger than those of females (diam. typically 6cm vs 4.5cm) and required larger culture containers. The femoral heads were cultured for up to 10 weeks under the following conditions: (a) static culture in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM), (b) culture in DMEM with movement (DMEM+mixing), (c) as for (a) above +10% normal human serum (HS, Merck, Feltham, U.K) and (d) as for (b) above +10% HS. A cavity was carved in the cancellous bone of the femoral head for a magnetic stirring bar using bone trimmers (Fig. 1). Containers were then placed on a magnetic mixer inside the incubator and stirring was programmed for 1h, twice daily at ~1Hz. The rationale for studying the effects of movement was based on our proof of concept bovine model where joint movement promoted chondrocyte viability\textsuperscript{7}.

Cartilage sampling, fluorescent labelling of in situ chondrocytes and confocal microscopy
Full depth cartilage explants were harvested using 3 or 5mm diam. biopsy punches (Kai Medical, Solingen, Germany) for weekly chondrocyte viability measurements. To minimise the variability, explants were taken from random areas within the load-bearing parafoveal superior region\textsuperscript{10} (Fig. 2). Cartilage samples were then incubated (1.5h;21°C) with CMFDA (5-chloromethylfluorescein diacetate) cell tracker green and PI propidium iodide (12.5 and 10µM, respectively; Invitrogen, Paisley, U.K.) to label living (green) and dead (red) cells respectively\textsuperscript{11}. Explants were washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; Invitrogen, Paisley, U.K.), fixed (formaldehyde 4%;v/v;30mins; Fisher, Leicestershire, U.K.) and imaged in three-dimensions by confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) using established methods\textsuperscript{12}.

**Measurements of chondrocyte viability, density, volume and morphology**

Confocal projected axial views were analysed using ImageJ/FIJI (National Institutes of Health) and IMARIS software (Zurich, Switzerland) as described\textsuperscript{12}. Chondrocyte viability (% live cells) was calculated as: the number of CMFDA-labelled cells/(number of CMFDA-labelled cells + number of PI-labelled cells) in a given Region of Interest (ROI) volume. For chondrocyte density, the total number of cells (CMFDA-labelled and PI-labelled) in the ROI volume were counted in IMARIS, and results given as cells/µm\textsuperscript{3}. Chondrocyte volumes were obtained using the IMARIS ‘Surfaces’ algorithm. Volume calibration was performed using fluorescent microspheres (Polysciences, Warrington, USA). Chondrocyte morphology was considered ‘normal’ if cells were visualised as having a ‘smooth’ surface and elliptical/rounded shape. ‘Abnormal’ chondrocytes exhibited at least one CMFDA-labelled cytoplasmic process ≥2µm long. Abnormal cells were counted manually and divided by the total number of live cells in the field of view with results presented as the % abnormal cells in the whole cell population within the ROI\textsuperscript{12}.

**Histology, cartilage thickness and surface roughness**

Explants were frozen (-80°C) in a freezing medium (1:1 Optimal temperature cutting compound with 30% w/v sucrose in PBS for histology\textsuperscript{13,14}). The plugs were then cut into 40µm sections and stained with Alcian blue (Vector Laboratories Ltd., Peterborough, U.K.) to label cartilage glycosaminoglycans according to manufacturer’s instructions. For picrosirius red staining of
collagens, sections of 10µm thickness were first stained with haematoxylin for 8mins, then washed 3-4x in distilled water. This was followed by 1h incubation in 0.1% picrosirius red (Direct Red 80, Sigma-Aldrich, Irvine, U.K.) in picric acid (VWR International, Lutterworth, U.K.). Sections were washed briefly 2x in 0.5% acetic acid, dehydrated (two washes in ethanol absolute followed by one wash in xylene) and mounted in resinous mounting medium. Histological sections were imaged on a Leica bright field microscope and analysed with ImageJ/FIJI software. After converting the images to greyscale 8-bit pixel depth, the same intensity threshold was set for each pair of sections (week 0 vs week 10). The stained area above the threshold was measured and presented as a % of the total sectional area. Cartilage thickness measurements were performed on the coronal sections of cartilage using ImageJ/FIJI. For the surface roughness measurements, the ImageJ/FIJI Analyse Stripes macro was used on the images of histologically-stained sections. Calculation of cartilage surface roughness was based on the deviation from an idealized smooth cartilage surface, and expressed as Rq (the root mean square (RMS) deviation) in µm.

**Data presentation and statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using Graphpad Prism ver.8.2.1 (GraphPad Software, La Jolla, U.S.A.). Data were presented as (N(n)), with (N) representing the number of independent femoral heads and (n) the total number of replicates. Each data point presented on graphs and used for statistical analyses was an average (± S.D. or S.E.M. as indicated) of the replicates taken from each femoral head. Unless otherwise stated, paired Student’s t-tests were used to compare differences within pairs of treatment groups or time points, and ANOVA used to compare differences across several groups. A significant difference was accepted when p<0.05.
Results

Cartilage grading and chondrocyte viability

Femoral heads were macroscopically assessed using an established system\textsuperscript{16}. For all femoral heads considered suitable, the cartilage was grade 0 over >75% of the surface, with small isolated grade 1 lesions in the parafoveal area (which were <25% of the surface area). The isolated areas of grade 1 cartilage (mild surface fibrillation) were not studied and only cartilage of grade 0 was used.

Chondrocyte viability was assessed on day 1 and any femoral heads with a viability of <80% were excluded. Out of a total of 24 femoral heads received, five were excluded due to low initial chondrocyte viability and a further four were also excluded as they developed infection during subsequent culture. In the remaining 15 femoral heads, the cell viability was 95.3±5.3% (N(n)=15(60)) on Day 1. Chondrocyte viability in femoral heads cultured under static conditions in DMEM remained high (>90%) for ~4wks but decreased to 44.0±22.3% by week 10 (N(n)=4(16), one-way ANOVA, post-test for trend, $p=0.045$, Fig. 3A). This decrease in cell viability was accelerated with movement of the femoral head and stirring of the media with virtually no viable cells by week 6 (two-way ANOVA; $p=0.0099$, Fig. 3A). The addition of 10% HS to DMEM maintained chondrocyte viability at >90% to week 10 under static femoral head culture conditions (Fig. 3B) but did not significantly improve the viability in femoral head cultures with movement/stirring over 10 weeks.

CLSM permits three-dimensional imaging and quantitative analyses of chondrocyte viability within the full depth of cartilage\textsuperscript{17}. To assess if chondrocytes within any zone were more sensitive during culture, chondrocyte viability was determined as a function of depth from the articular surface. We compared the percentage cell viability within the different zones (SZ, MZ, DZ) in coronal sections of articular cartilage at week 10 compared with baseline (week 0). There was a decrease in the SZ viability in both culture conditions (DMEM only, and DMEM+mixing; ($p=0.0425$ and $p=0.016$ respectively; Fig. 4A)) with relative preservation of cell viability in deeper cartilage zones (Fig. 4B). This suggest that SZ chondrocytes were far more sensitive to the culture conditions compared to the cells in the deeper zones. Thus, although there were few living SZ cells remaining after 10wks, a substantial portion of chondrocytes in the other zones were still viable.
**Chondrocyte morphology**

In fresh explants of macroscopically non-degenerate femoral head cartilage, a small population (8.0±1.5% (N(n)=4(8))) of cells in the SZ (~100µm from the surface) demonstrated one or more cytoplasmic processes (Fig 5. A,B). During femoral head culture in DMEM, there appeared to be an increase in the % of cells with processes (to 15±5.3% by week 6, N(n)=4(16)) and (16±6.4% by week 10 N(n)=4(16)) however these changes were not significantly different compared to week 0 (ANOVA; p>0.05). In contrast, in the presence of HS, the % of chondrocytes with processes increased to 31±9.3% by week 6 (p=0.008;N(n)=3(12)) and 37±7.1% by week 10 (p=0.002;N(n)=3(12)) compared to week 0 (Fig. 5B). By week 10, there were significantly more (by >2-fold; p=0.017) chondrocytes with cytoplasmic processes when femoral heads were cultured in DMEM+HS compared to DMEM alone (Fig. 5B).

**Extracellular matrix composition**

To evaluate whether extracellular matrix composition changed during culture, two histological stainings were performed on cartilage samples (week 0 and week 10) and analysed semi-quantitatively. There was a general trend of decreasing GAG staining using Alcian blue under all culture conditions, but in DMEM+HS chondrocyte viability was significantly higher (Fig. 6A). In these cultures 50.0±2.86% (N(n)=3(6)) of the section area was stained with Alcian blue at week 0. This decreased to 17.0±13.8% by week 10 (N(n)=3(6);p=0.028). The total collagen stained with picrosirius red did not show any significant difference between DMEM and DMEM+HS samples at week 0 and week 10 (30±5% vs 42±22%, N(n)=11(22);p=0.09). These results suggest a significant loss of GAGs but no change to the total collagen content of femoral head cartilage during this culture period.

**Cartilage thickness and surface roughness**

In parallel with the loss of GAGs, cartilage thickness was reduced after 10wks in culture (Fig. 6B). In DMEM cultures, it decreased from 3808±425µm to 2828±542µm (p=0.05;N(n)=4(22)). In the DMEM+mixing culture it declined from 4226±418µm to 2703±720µm (p=0.02;N(n)=4(15)), and in
DMEM+10% human serum it decreased from 3377±360µm to 2349±160µm ($p=0.02; N(n)=3(22)$).

Articular surface roughness assessed on the same femoral heads at week 0 and week 10 was not significantly different (13±0.6µm and 15±0.7µm respectively ($p=0.55; N(n)=9(9);$ Fig. 7A)).

**Chondrocyte density and volume**

There was no difference in cell density for all the samples at week 0 (9971±2389 cells/mm$^3$ $N(n)=15(60)$) compared with week 10 (11256±3305 cells/mm$^3$ ($p=0.01; N(n)=15(60)$); Fig 7B)). In situ chondrocyte volume was also analysed as it correlates with the progression of cartilage degeneration, however, there was no difference ($p=0.22$) between the cell volumes of chondrocytes on day 0 (423±49µm$^3$, $N(n)=15(60)$) and week 10 (441±48µm$^3$, $N(n)=15(60)$; Fig. 7C).
Discussion.

We have established culture conditions which maintain chondrocyte viability during ex vivo culture of human femoral heads for 10 weeks by supplementing standard culture medium with 10% normal human serum. We have identified an excellent and reliable source of viable, non-degenerate human articular cartilage ideal for ex vivo experimentation. Previously, we have investigated the microscopic effects of mechanical and other forms of injury on human articular cartilage. However, material was obtained from tissue discarded during knee replacement for osteoarthritis and the yield of non-degenerate tissue was often low. This was because the majority of the tissue was osteoarthritic with loss of superficial zone cells, even if macroscopically the tissue may have appeared non-degenerate. In contrast, the cartilage of the femoral head discarded after femoral neck fracture was in most cases non-degenerate and our experiments have confirmed the presence of viable cartilage tissue that is macroscopically and microscopically ideally suited for investigating cartilage repair and regeneration. Due to the excellent chondrocyte viability throughout culture, the model may also allow ex vivo validation of an optimal combination of cells, growth factors and scaffolds that lead to the formation of repair tissue resembling the desirable hyaline articular cartilage at the microscopic level.

Current knowledge of the microscopic quality of cartilage repair tissue in humans is based on histological assessment of opportunistic biopsy specimens retrieved during ‘second look’ arthroscopy (keyhole surgery). While these specimens have provided valuable insight into the quality of cartilage repair, with so called ‘hyaline-like’ composition, the information is limited by small numbers of specimens, distortion of the tissue during biopsy, variability in the site/size of biopsies and heterogeneity of the study sample. The lack of a non-invasive method of evaluating the microscopic characteristics of the quality of the cartilage repair tissue has also been recognized as a major problem limiting advances in cartilage repair and regenerative techniques by the International Cartilage Repair Society (ICRS). Modern imaging techniques (e.g. CLSM) allow microscopic examination of articular cartilage by optically sectioning the tissue. We believe that the significantly easier access to normal, human cartilage ex vivo will help overcome the problems associated with in vivo biopsy, and allow detailed quantitative microscopic assessment and
optimisation of the quality of cartilage repair. The proposed model will significantly enhance our ability to test a wide range of pre-clinical therapeutic cartilage repair and regenerative strategies directly in human tissue so that the best candidate therapies can be identified for subsequent clinical study.

In our model, the large area of grade 0 cartilage (Fig. 1) permitted multiple samples to be taken either at a single time point, or a smaller number over a longer time course. A gap between samples was retained so that cutting trauma using the biopsy punch did not influence neighbouring samples. Furthermore, if cartilage wells were to contain biological models for testing, then it would be possible for the full depth sample to be ‘scooped’ out using a fine scalpel blade. Chondrocyte viability in both axial and coronal projections was initially high for all samples (Fig. 3A). There was no change in viability during DMEM+HS culture, suggesting that taking multiple cartilage plugs over the 10wk period did not adversely affect the viability of the surrounding cartilage. It should be noted that if serum (HS) was used, then it must be heat-treated because it contains enzymes that digest the DNA of dead cells leading to an under-estimation of the dead cell population.

Femoral head culture in DMEM maintained chondrocyte viability for ~4wks after which there was an increase in chondrocyte death (Fig. 3A). With mixing, viability decreased progressively after the start of the culture, such that by week 10 there were virtually no remaining viable cells. Furthermore, cell death started in the SZ so that after 10wks, all these chondrocytes were dead whereas those in the deeper zones were still viable (Fig. 4). This suggests that there are factors in bone supporting chondrocyte viability in the superficial zone which were washed out during media changes. The importance of bone in cultures of bovine cartilage has been reported previously as SZ chondrocyte viability was maintained in cartilage cultures when bone was present either when attached to the explants or in co-culture. This was in contrast to deep zone chondrocytes which survived, and the relative viability of these chondrocytes within the femoral head cartilage of our elderly patients (Fig. 4) parallels the long-term (~25yr) survival of DZ chondrocytes in osteochondral allografts used for the treatment of focal post-traumatic defects in young individuals. It is possible that these chondrocytes are well adapted to this relatively hostile environment for example chondrocytes in the DZ utilise different membrane transport systems for the regulation of intracellular acidity compared to cells in the SZ. The addition of HS in the mixed DMEM condition provided
some protection for SZ chondrocytes as cell viability by week 10 was 35% (Fig. 3B). However, if there was no mixing and HS was present, there was complete chondrocyte protection suggesting that serum was protecting the cells which were vulnerable during the latter stages of the culture. While the cross-talk between subchondral bone and cartilage has received considerable attention\textsuperscript{27} the factor(s) released from bone and/or present in the serum which promote chondrocyte viability are unclear, with TGF\(\beta\), IGF-1 and BMP being implicated\textsuperscript{28}.

Visualisation of fluorescently-labelled \textit{in situ} chondrocytes revealed the classical morphology of elliptical cells in the SZ with the more spheroidal forms in the deeper zones (Fig. 4)\textsuperscript{12}. In grade 0 cartilage in axial projections, a small proportion (~8%) of the SZ cells (within ~100\(\mu\)m depth). exhibited cytoplasmic processes. Of interest was that after 10wks of culture in DMEM+HS, there was a significant (>4-fold) increase in the % of cells exhibiting processes (Fig. 5A). At week 10, significantly (by >2-fold) more chondrocytes demonstrated a cytoplasmic process when HS was present compared to culture in DMEM alone. However, in the DMEM+HS condition, chondrocyte viability and density did not change during culture (Figs. 3B & 7B respectively), whereas in DMEM alone viability had decreased by ~50% (Fig. 3A) but abnormal chondrocytes were still present (Fig. 5B). The changes to chondrocyte shape might be related to the properties of the ECM. In healthy cartilage, the pore size of the PG network is ~3nm whereas that for collagen is ~100nm and thus the PGs will regulate cartilage permeability and solute diffusivity\textsuperscript{29}. GAG loss during unloading will increase matrix permeability and thus potent growth factors etc., in serum will start to penetrate and act particularly on SZ chondrocytes which are normally shielded by the tight matrix. The development of processes and subsequent abnormal morphology of chondrocytes is a feature associated with de-differentiation to a fibroblastic phenotype\textsuperscript{30,31} and has been observed in osteoarthritic tibial and femoral head cartilage\textsuperscript{17,32,33}. A change in phenotype is characterised by decreased hyaline cartilage-specific collagen type II and aggrecan production, and an increase in collagen type I production. It would be of particular interest to determine if physiological levels of loading could reverse some of these changes and protect the chondrocyte phenotype and promote the production of a hyaline cartilage\textsuperscript{17}.

There was no evidence of chondrocyte clustering\textsuperscript{34} under any of the experimental conditions. Nomura et al.\textsuperscript{35} did not observe changes to chondrocyte morphology in mice subjected to hindlimb
unloading when cartilage was studied by histology. This might appear to conflict with the changes to chondrocyte morphology reported here. However the detection of the fine cytoplasmic process is not possible with their histological techniques as they involve tissue shrinkage\textsuperscript{36} and high resolution imaging of unperturbed \textit{in situ} chondrocytes is essential for the visualisation of the processes\textsuperscript{17,31}.

It might be considered that a limitation in our study was that no mechanical load was applied to the femoral heads. However this revealed that after 10wks there were features of the cartilage ECM and \textit{in situ} chondrocytes which bear similarities to the changes observed with both \textit{in vivo} and \textit{in vitro} cartilage disuse atrophy. Alcian blue staining reflecting GAG content was decreased (Fig. 6A,C). This was not significant in the DMEM and DMEM+mixing conditions when there was substantial chondrocyte death (~50% and 100% respectivey). However, interestingly, the decrease was significant in the DMEM+HS condition (Fig.6A) when cell viability was high (>95%). This suggests that there was an active chondrocyte-driven process mediating reduced GAG levels\textsuperscript{37}. GAG loss would probably account for the cartilage thinning (Fig. 6B), reported by others using animal joint immobilisation models\textsuperscript{35}. GAG loss did not however, affect surface roughness (Fig. 7A) which is in contrast to the changes occurring in OA, where GAG levels decrease and surface roughness and cartilage fibrillation increase\textsuperscript{28}. Palmoski et al.\textsuperscript{38} noted a similar decrease in PG staining in healthy adult dogs after ~6 days of immobilisation and by 8wks there was a 30-50% reduction in cartilage thickness with an almost complete loss of PG. In human joints immobilised as a result of ankle fracture, there was a 6.6% loss of cartilage thickness over 7wks following fracture\textsuperscript{39}. The loss of GAGs is thought to be due to a reduction in synthesis as well as the stimulation of chondrocyte degradative enzyme (MMP-13, ADAMTS5) activity\textsuperscript{35,37} from a mechano-adaptive response to reduced load. Mechanical loading of joints is a key parameter for maintaining the differentiated, rounded, chondrocyte phenotype\textsuperscript{40,41}. Recent studies implicate an essential role for the mechanosensitive ion channel Transient Receptor Potential Vanilloid 4 (TRPV4) in the signal transduction pathway. Inhibiting TRPV4 prevents loading-mediated increases in matrix synthesis, whereas activating TRPV4 in the absence of loading increases matrix synthesis in a manner analogous to loading\textsuperscript{42}.

While there were changes to GAG labelling, there was no change to picrosirius red labelling suggesting the cartilage collagen content remained unaltered throughout culture. While picrosirius
red does not discriminate between the collagen types but it is possible that there were changes to collagen metabolism and/or its organisation. For example, while total collagen content might not have change significantly, it is possible that there was a decrease in the collagen type II : type I ratio reflecting chondrocyte de-differentiation. In addition, changes to collagen fibre distribution/orientation could be evident, and future studies utilising polarized light microscopy would be worth pursuing. A small decrease in collagen cross-linking which recovers after re-mobilisation has been reported and the immobilisation of rabbit knee joint leads to a partial shift in the density of collagen composition from type II to type I. However, in human cartilage, there is negligible/minimal collagen turnover over the lifetime in a healthy joint.

There was no change to the volume of in situ chondrocytes following 10wks culture in DMEM+HS (Fig. 7C). It is likely that with the loss of GAGs, interstitial osmolarity of the matrix would decrease, leading to hypo-osmolarity and cell swelling. However in situ chondrocytes possess effective volume-regulatory channels and transporters and over a long time period, despite the change in osmolarity, these mechanisms could compensate leading to no volume change. This phenomenon (termed iso-volumetric volume regulation (IVR)) has been described in various cell types including chondrocytes. The lack of chondrocyte swelling is in contrast to that observed in osteoarthritic cartilage where increased chondrocyte volume/hypertrophy has been reported.

This study described the first successful ex vivo culture of a large human joint. In situ chondrocyte viability remained high in DMEM+HS, however it decreased under the other conditions tested. Although chondrocyte viability was optimal, there were changes to the matrix (cartilage thinning, GAG loss, no change to collagen or surface roughness) and chondrocytes (development of cytoplasmic processes, no change to volume or density). This pre-clinical model may be an invaluable addition for the assessment of human cartilage repair therapies and may replace some animal studies.
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**Figure Legends.**

**Figure 1.** The cavity produced in the cancellous bone of the human femoral head to accommodate the magnetic bar for stirring culture experiments.

**Figure 2.** Localisation of the cartilage area on human femoral heads used for sampling. (A) Lateral view of the femoral head, fovea (F) on the upper left, (B) Top view of the femoral head, (C) Femoral head with biopsy explants taken from within the specified zone. Scale bar represents 25mm.

**Figure 3.** The viability of *in situ* human chondrocytes within femoral head cartilage cultured under various conditions. (A) DMEM N(n)=4(16); DMEM + mixing (N(n)=3(12)). (B) DMEM + normal human serum (HS); (N(n)=3(12)), DMEM + mixing + human serum (N(n)=2(8)); error bars represent S.E.M. (or S.D. for N=2). (C) Representative images of viability staining (CMFDA green – live cells, PI red – dead cells) at week 10 for DMEM, DMEM + 10% human serum and DMEM + mixing; scale bars represent 100µm.

**Figure 4.** Viability of chondrocytes in various zones after 10 weeks of femoral head culture (A) Comparison of chondrocyte viability in the whole thickness vs superficial zone at week 10 of culture. DMEM N(n)=4(16), *p=0.0425, DMEM + mixing, N(n)=3(12), *p=0.016 (data shown as mean ± S.E.M.). (B) Representative coronal images of labelled chondrocytes within cartilage sections cultured in DMEM on day 0 (left panel), and after 10 weeks of culture in DMEM + mixing + HS on week 10 (right panel). The scale bars represent 100µm.

**Figure 5.** Changes to the morphology of *in situ* femoral head chondrocytes during culture. Panel (A) shows the % of cells with cytoplasmic processes at three time points (weeks 0, 6 and 10) in cultures with DMEM (N(n) = 4(16)) and DMEM + human normal human serum (HS) (N(n) = 3(12)). (Data shown as mean ± S.D.). Panel (B) shows representative images of cell stained with CMFDA.
cell tracker green to visualise chondrocyte morphology, and propidium iodide (PI) red to identify dead
cells. The top row of images is from DMEM cultures, (left to right panels for weeks 0, 6 and 10
respectively), the bottom row of images for DMEM + human serum (left to right panels for weeks 0,
6 and 10 respectively. Note examples of chondrocytes with cellular processes indicated by white
arrowheads. The scale bar represents 100µm.

Figure 6. Histology of femoral head cartilage with time in culture. (A) GAG content in various
culture conditions, (a) DMEM N(n)=4(8), (b) DMEM + mixing N(n)=4(8), (c) DMEM + 10% human
serum N(n)=3(6), *p=0.028. (B) Cartilage thickness in various culture conditions, (a) DMEM
N(n)=4(24), (b) DMEM + mixing N(n)=4(24), (c) DMEM + 10% human serum N(n)=3(18), (p=0.05;
*p=0.02; p=0.02 respectively). (Data shown as mean ± S.E.M.). (C) Representative images for Alcian
blue staining of DMEM + human serum on week 0 (left panel) and week 10 (right panel).

Figure 7. Surface roughness, chondrocyte density and volume of in situ femoral head
chondrocytes with time in culture in DMEM + HS. (A) Surface roughness expressed as the root
mean square (RMS) deviation) in µm at week 0 and week 10, N(n)=3(9), p=0.2). (B) Cell density
(total number of chondrocytes per mm³) at week 0 and week 10, N(n)=3(60), p=0.22. (C)
Chondrocyte volume at week 0 and week 10, N(n)=3(44), p=0.22. Broken lines illustrate pairs of data
at week 0 and week 10 for each femoral head. (Data shown as mean ± S.D.).
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