ASSOCIATIONS OF CHOLESTEROL AND VITAMIN D METABOLITES WITH THE RISK FOR DEVELOPMENT OF HIGH GRADE COLORECTAL CANCER
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Summary

Background: Vitamin D deficiency is repeatedly reported in colorectal cancer (CRC). Since cholesterol and vitamin D share common precursor 7-dehydrocholesterol (7-DHC), it would be important to explore the associations of key vitamin D metabolites and serum lipid parameters in patients with high and low grade CRC. The aim of this study was to analyze relationships between serum 25(OH)D3, 24,25(OH)2D3 and 7-DHC levels and serum lipids in patients with CRC, and to evaluate their potential for prediction of risk for development of high grade CRC.

Methods: We recruited 82 patients CRC and 77 controls. 7-DHC, 25(OH)D3 and 24,25(OH)2D3 were quantified by LC-MS/MS methods.

Results: 7-DHC, 25(OH)D3 and vitamin D metabolic ratio (VDMR) were significantly lower in CRC patients than in control group (P<0.001, P<0.010, P<0.050 and P<0.050, redom). 25(OH)D3 levels were higher in patients with grade I CRC when compared to grade II (P<0.050). All vitamin D metabolites positively correlated with total cholesterol (TC) concentration in CRC patients. 25(OH)D3 was significant predictor of increased CRC risk (P<0.010). After adjustment for TC concentration, 25(OH)D3 lost its predictive abilities. However, 25(OH)D3 remained significant predictor of poorly differentiated type of cancer (P<0.050).
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Conclusions: We found significant positive association between vitamin D status and serum total cholesterol. Although low 25(OH)D3 was found to be a significant risk factor for CRC development, the obtained results primarily suggest profound impact of cholesterol level on vitamin D status in CRC. However, our results suggest that low 25(OH)D3 might independently contribute to development of poorly differentiated tumor.
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Introduction

Broadly recognized prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in patients with colorectal cancer (CRC) directed scientific research towards the investigation of its role during the onset and progression of this malignancy. Anticancerous effects of vitamin D are well known and include induction of anti-inflammatory, anti-oxidative, anti-proliferative, anti-apoptotic and pro-differentative properties in both normal and malignant cells (1, 2). Yet, in spite of numerous epidemiological, clinical and interventional studies, no definitive conclusions are drawn regarding the usefulness of vitamin D treatment in CRC (3). Furthermore, a recent Mendelian randomization study has demonstrated a lack of causality between genetically determined lower levels of vitamin D and CRC risk (4), suggesting that further research should rather focus on mechanisms that can alter vitamin D metabolism and consequently, its effects in CRC.

Many confounding factors, including sunlight exposure, obesity, body-mass index and lipid status can affect vitamin D level (5, 6). The relationship of vitamin D with serum lipids can be particularly important, considering that 7-dehydrocholesterol (7-DHC) is a direct precursor of cholesterol, but also a direct precursor of vitamin D synthesis in the skin. It has been hypothesized that this metabolite and the activity of enzyme 7-DHC-reductase (DHCR7) might be critical points in determining both cholesterol and vitamin D levels (7), but this issue is insufficiently explored in CRC.

Metabolism of vitamin D is complex and includes several intermediate compounds that are proposed as markers of its status in the organism. Although without biological activity, 25-hydroxyvitamin D (25(OH)D3) is generally accepted as a reliable marker of vitamin D status (8). However, recent evidence has emphasized 24,25(OH)2D3, a catabolic product with negligible biological activity, as a promising marker for prediction of possible effects of disturbed vitamin D metabolism (9). Accordingly, the ratio between 25(OH)D3 and 24,25(OH)2D3, or vitamin D metabolite ratio (VDMR) might provide more accurate insight into vitamin D status and more precise prediction of possible effects of disturbed vitamin D levels (10). However, there is scarce data regarding the usefulness of VDMR in CRC patients.

The goal of this study was to estimate serum 25(OH)D3 levels and concentrations of 7-DHC and 24,25(OH)2D3 in patients with CRC. We analyzed the relationship between these metabolites and evaluated their predictive abilities, as well as possible usefulness in prediction of CRC risk. Additionally, we were interested in evaluation of the associations between vitamin D metabolites and markers of serum lipid status.

Materials and Methods

Subjects

For this study, we recruited 82 patients with first time diagnosed CRC. Study participants were selected from a larger cohort of 126 patients from the Clinic for General Surgery, Military Medical Academy in Belgrade, who were involved in larger research project investigating lipid status, redox balance and inflammatory markers in CRC (11, 12). Inclusion criteria for participating in the study were: pathological confirmation of CRC, absence of other malignant diseases or non-malignant systemic diseases of bowel, kidney and liver, no use of hypolipidemic therapy, no use of vitamin D supplements and no use of neoadjuvant chemotherapy. After exclusion of subjects with incomplete clinical or laboratory data, the final patient’s group consisted of 82 participants. Blood samples were taken immediately before surgical procedure. After an overnight fasting, blood samples were collected to EDTA plasma and serum evacuating tubes. Following the separation of serum and plasma, aliquots were frozen and stored at -80°C for further analysis.

Tumor grades were defined according to pathological findings as: grade I (well differentiated tumor), grade II (moderately differentiated tumor) and grade III (poorly differentiated tumor). CRC grade I was diagnosed in 31.6%, grade II in 53.2% and grade III in 15.2% of patients. For control group, we selected 78 healthy volunteers during their annual medical check-up examinations. All participants in control group had negative family anamnesis for CRC.
and were free of any acute or chronic disease, or use of any therapy which could affect lipid status or vitamin D levels.

Study participants were interviewed by using of standardized questionnaire. Basic information on patients’ anthropometric characteristics, lifestyle habits, use of any drugs and personal and family anamnesis were collected. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as body weight (kg)/body height (m2).

The study protocol was designed according to the ethical principles of the Helsinki Declaration. Local Ethics Committee carefully examined and approved the study. All participants were informed about the study aim and design and all of them signed an informed consent prior to the involvement.

Methods

Total proteins and components of lipid profile, including total cholesterol (TC), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) and triglycerides (TG), were determined by routine laboratory methods on ILab 300+ automatic analyzer (Instrumentation Laboratory, Diamond Diagnostics, USA). VDBP was quantified using commercial ELISA assay for human samples, VDBP Quantikine (R&D Systems).

Vitamin D metabolites and 7-DHC analyses

Analytical HPLC grade standards were used for quantification of the 25(OH)D3, (24R)-24,25(OH)2D3 (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). Deuterated internal standards cholesterol-26,26,26,27,27,27-d6, 27,27,27-d6, 25(OH)D3 3-d6 and 1,25(OH)2D3 3-d6 were obtained from Medical Isotopes (Pelham, USA).

KOH was purchased from POCH (Center Valley, PA, USA), while ethanol, methanol, n-hexane, ethylacetate, methyl-tert-buthyl ether (MTBE) and acetonitrile (HPLC grade) were obtained from Fisher (Pittsburgh, PA, USA).

The analysis of vitamin D status was conducted using LC-MS/MS method developed and fully validated in our laboratory. Firstly, 500 mL of serum was placed in a reaction tube and 2.5 mL of ice cold acetonitrile were added to allow for the protein denaturation to happen. This mixture was vigorously vortexed for 30s, and centrifuged at 4000xg for 30 min. The resulting supernatant was decanted into a clean reaction tube, and evaporated under nitrogen stream to the final volume of approx. 500 mL. Afterwards, the 1 mL of HPLC-grade water was added, alongside with 2 mL of MTBE: ethylacetate mixture (9: 1, v: v). The tube was vigorously vortexed, and the upper layer was transferred into clean vial and dried under nitrogen. This extraction procedure was repeated three times in total, and all the extracts were collected in the same vial and evaporated to dryness. In the final step, the extract was reconstituted in 20 μL of methanol and injected into the LC-MS/MS instrument. Chromatographic separation of 25(OH)D3 and 24,25(OH)2D3 and corresponding internal standards was achieved in under 20 min using Kinetex F5 (150×4.6 mm, 2.6 μm, 100Å) LC column with corresponding guard column and isocratic mobile phase methanol: water (85: 15, v: v). Mobile phase flow was 0.3 mL/min and column temperature 20 °C. Quantification was done using multiple-reaction-monitoring (MRM) on triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer Agilent 6420 equipped with MMI/APCI ion source. The following m/z transitions were used for quantification of the corresponding metabolites and internal standards: 383.3 115, 105.1 for 25(OH)D3, 381.2 14 115.2, 105.2 for 24,25(OH)2D3, 389.37 115.2, 105.3 for 25(OH)D3-d6 and 405.37 105 for 1,25(OH)2D3-d6.

7-dehydrocholesterol was quantified by another LC-MS/MS method developed and validated in our laboratory. 100 μL was mixed with internal standard and 1 mL of 2% potassium hydroxide in ethanol, vortexed and incubated for 30 min at 45 °C. Afterwards, 500 μL of water was added and 2 mL of n-hexane. After vortexing and centrifugation (1500xg for 5 min), organic layer was separated. After collecting and combining three organic layers into one reaction tube, the extract was dried under nitrogen. Prior the analysis, the extract was reconstituted in 100 μL of methanol. Poroshell 120 EC-C18 column (4.6×150 mm, 2.7 μm) with corresponding guard column, and isocratic mobile phase containing acetonitrile: methanol: water (80: 18: 2, v: v: v) were used for chromatographic separation. 7-dehydrocholesterol and internal standard were eluted in 30 min runtime. The following m/z transitions were used for quantification of the 7-DHC and cholesterol-26,26,26,27,27,27-d6: 367.3 131.3, 105.3 and 375.3 105.2, 95.2, respectively. Quantification was done using multiple-reaction-monitoring (MRM) on triple quadrupole mass spectrometer Agilent 6420 equipped with MMI/APCI ion source.

Statistical analysis

Normality of data was tested by the Shapiro–Wilk test. Normally distributed variables are presented as means ± standard deviation, while asymmetrically distributed data as median (interquartile range). Categorical data are presented as absolute frequencies and analyzed by the Chi-square test. For comparison of continuous data with normal and asymmetrical distribution were used Student t-test and Mann-Whitney U-test, respectively. Comparison of data stratified according to tumor grades was performed by Kruskal-Wallis test. Correlation analysis was performed by using Spearman correlation coefficients. Univariate logistic regression was employed for detection of significant predictors of CRC develop-
ment and tumor grade. Adjustment in multivariate logistic regression analysis was made for age, gender and BMI (Model 1) and for age, gender, BMI and TC level (Model 2). For statistical analysis we used IBM® SPSS® model 22.0. Differences were considered significant if P < 0.05.

Results

General characteristics of the examined groups are presented in Table I. Patients were older than controls. Nevertheless, there were no significant correlations between age and 25(OH)D3, 24,25(OH)2D3, 7-DHC, VDMR, VDBP in control group (r=-0.051, P=0.659; r=0.016, P=0.891; r=-0.056, P=0.632; r=-0.099, P=0.390; r=-0.172, P=0.0197, respectively) nor in the patient group (r=-0.162, P=0.152; r=-0.184, P=0.102; r=-0.135, P=0.233; r=0.111, P=0.326; r=-0.155, P=0.230, respectively).

Additionally, we divided both cohorts (patients and controls) into quartiles according to age and also found no statistically significant differences in all of the vitamin D-related parameters between these quartiles (data not shown). Male sex was more prevalent in the CRC group. BMI and total proteins concentrations were significantly lower in CRC patients. Our results demonstrated a significant decrease in TC, LDL-C and HDL-C concentrations in patients with CRC when compared to healthy individuals. Levels of TG were comparable among the groups.

Next we analyzed differences in markers of vitamin D status. Concentrations of 7-DHC were lower in CRC patients, as well as levels of 25(OH)D3. In addition, the patients had lower levels of VDBP. We did not find significant differences, although we recorded lower levels of 24,25(OH)2D3 in CRC group. Finally, values of VDMR were decreased in patients (Table I).

In order to check the influence of seasonal variations and exposure to UV light on markers of vitamin D status, we divided both patients and controls in two subgroups, according to the season when blood samples were collected (winter season from November to April, or summer season from May to October). The results are presented in Table II. None of the examined markers in patients differed with respect to season when samples are taken. On the other hand, in the control group we found significantly higher concentrations of 25(OH)D3 and 24,25(OH)2D3 in subjects who were included in study during the season with higher exposure to UV light.

Analysis of vitamin D status parameters in patients with different tumor grades (Table III) demonstrated a decrease in concentrations of 25(OH)D3 alongside with the increase of tumor grade, with statistical significance reached between grade I and grade II. In contrast, concentration of 24,25(OH)2D3 was significantly higher in subjects with tumor grade II when compared to patients with well differentiated tumors. We found no significant differences in TC concentration among patients with tumor grades I-III.

### Table I

General characteristics and parameters of vitamin D status in study groups.

| Parameter       | CRC patients (N=82) | Controls (N=77) | P     |
|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------|
| Age (years)*    | 64.51±10.89         | 54.09±7.73     | <0.001|
| Gender (male/female)# | 58/24              | 44/33          | 0.098 |
| BMI (kg/m²)*   | 25.20±3.28          | 26.35±3.72     | 0.030 |
| Total protein (g/L)* | 65.87±6.91       | 73.40±6.95    | <0.001|
| TC (mmol/L)     | 4.43 (3.68–4.97)    | 5.62 (4.63–6.38)| <0.001|
| LDL-C (mmol/L)  | 2.81 (2.15–3.20)    | 3.64 (2.88–4.33)| <0.001|
| HDL-C (mmol/L)  | 0.99 (0.79–1.18)    | 1.23 (0.95–1.53)| <0.001|
| TG (mmol/L)     | 1.22 (0.99–1.43)    | 1.31 (1.04–1.68)| 0.316 |
| 7-DHC (μmol/L)  | 1.24 (0.91–1.94)    | 1.75 (1.25–2.56)| 0.002 |
| 25(OH)D3 (ng/mL)| 15.93 (12.04–22.18) | 18.98 (15.85–23.75)| 0.003|
| 24,25(OH)2D3 (ng/mL)| 2.98 (2.54–3.62)    | 3.26 (2.70–4.00)| 0.135 |
| VDMR            | 5.12 (4.49–6.17)    | 5.55 (4.84–6.66)| 0.016 |
| VDBP (μg/mL)    | 265.66 (210.45–332.68)| 300.56 (258.12–342.88)| 0.050 |

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) and compared by the Mann-Whitney U-test.

*Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation and compared by the Student t-test.

#Values are presented as absolute frequencies and compared by the Chi-square test.
In the next step, we explored correlations of vitamin D status markers with other determined parameters. Spearman correlation analysis did not reveal any significant correlations between vitamin D status markers and anthropometric measures or protein levels (data not shown). Regarding relationship with serum lipid levels, we found significant positive correlations between TC concentration and levels of 7-DHC ($r=0.347; P<0.01$), 25(OH)D3 ($r=0.245; P<0.05$) and 24,25(OH)2D3 ($r=0.295; P<0.01$) in CRC patients. In addition, significant positive correlation was observed between LDL-C and 7-DHC ($r=0.293; P<0.01$) and 25(OH)D3 ($r=0.238; P<0.05$).

Finally, we examined a capacity of explored vitamin D metabolites and VDBP for possible use as...

### Table II Seasonal effects on parameters of vitamin D status.

| Parameter       | CRC patients | Controls |
|-----------------|--------------|----------|
|                 | Summer season (N= 48) | Winter season (N= 34) | Summer season (N= 23) | Winter season (N= 54) |
| 7-DHC (µmol/L)  | 1.28 (0.47–2.13) | 1.24 (0.91–1.93) | 1.61 (1.05–2.27) | 1.90 (1.39–2.79) |
| 25(OH)D3 (ng/mL) | 16.13 (12.41–22.92) | 15.98 (10.61–21.66) | 22.54 (16.37–31.40) | 18.33 (15.04–22.28) |
| 24,25(OH)2D3 (ng/mL) | 3.13 (2.61–4.26) | 2.84 (2.61–3.67) | 3.55 (3.04–5.38) | 3.15 (2.62–3.74) |
| VDMR            | 5.12 (4.52–6.10) | 5.09 (4.41–6.36) | 5.53 (4.83–6.63) | 5.70 (4.90–6.76) |
| VDBP (µg/mL)    | 266.94 (220.45–340.80) | 260.13 (246.49–336.02) | 354.28 (232.42–389.63) | 296.60 (259.86–354.28) |

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) and compared by the Mann-Whitney U-test.

### Table III TC and parameters of vitamin D status according to CRC grade.

| Parameter       | Grade I       | Grade II       | Grade III      | P    |
|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------|
| TC (mmol/L)     | 4.23 (3.44–5.23) | 4.34 (3.75–4.80) | 4.48 (3.65–5.03) | 0.705 |
| 7-DHC (µmol/L)  | 1.25 (1.06–2.14) | 1.23 (0.94–1.81) | 0.79 (0.79–1.81) | 0.563 |
| 25(OH)D3 (ng/mL) | 19.66 (15.74–25.06) | 17.47 (10.31–19.09) | 11.96–18.90 | <0.050 |
| VDMR            | 5.68 (4.66–7.18) | 5.81 (4.90–5.60) | 4.74 (4.46–5.20) | 0.143 |
| VDBP (µg/mL)    | 266.94 (223.11–361.62) | 260.13 (197.57–302.90) | 306.51 (208.21–366.40) | 0.380 |

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) and compared by the Kruskal-Wallis test and post hoc Mann-Whitney test.

### Table IV Changes in parameters of vitamin D status according to TC concentration in CRC patients.

| Parameter       | TC< 4.43 mmol/L | TC> 4.43 mmol/L | P    |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|------|
| 7-DHC (µmol/L)  | 1.08 (0.77–1.52) | 1.47 (1.01–2.33) | 0.014 |
| 25(OH)D3 (ng/mL) | 15.67 (10.07–16.68) | 17.23 (12.21–24.90) | 0.020 |
| 24,25(OH)2D3 (ng/mL) | 2.82 (2.44–3.44) | 3.36 (2.69–3.93) | 0.006 |
| VDMR            | 4.94 (4.50–5.58) | 4.98 (4.43–6.77) | 0.636 |
| VDBP (µg/mL)    | 263.11 (209.07–326.94) | 269.06 (210.77–343.53) | 0.741 |

Values are presented as median (interquartile range) and compared by the Mann-Whitney U-test.

In the next step, we explored correlations of vitamin D status markers with other determined parameters. Spearman correlation analysis did not reveal any significant correlations between vitamin D status markers and anthropometric measures or protein levels (data not shown). Regarding relationship with serum lipid levels, we found significant positive correlations between TC concentration and levels of 7-DHC ($r=0.347; P<0.01$), 25(OH)D3 ($r=0.245; P<0.05$) and 24,25(OH)2D3 ($r=0.295; P<0.01$) in CRC patients. In addition, significant positive correlation was observed between LDL-C and 7-DHC ($r=0.293; P<0.01$) and 25(OH)D3 ($r=0.238; P<0.05$). Regarding the control group, positive association of TG concentration with 7-DHC was recorded ($r=0.331; P<0.01$).

To further explore the observed associations of TC with markers of vitamin D status, we stratified CRC patients according to median values of TC concentrations. The results of comparison of vitamin D status markers between the two groups of patients with different TC levels are presented in Table IV. We observed significantly higher levels of 7-DHC, 25(OH)D3 and 24,25(OH)2D3 in a group of CRC patients with higher concentrations of TC. On the other hand, values of VDMR and VDBP did not significantly differ among groups.

Finally, we examined a capacity of explored vitamin D metabolites and VDBP for possible use as...
markers of CRC risk prediction (Table V). Univariate logistic regression analysis revealed that low levels of 25(OH)D3, 24,25(OH)2D3 and VDMR have significant potential for prediction of CRC development, while 25(OH)D3 retained its significance after the adjustment for traditional risk factors (age, male sex and BMI). However, after the inclusion of TC concentration in the Model 2, 25(OH)D3 lost its predictive potential. On the other hand, TC concentration was recognized as potential significant predictor of CRC in combination with traditional risk factors and 25(OH)D3 (OR: 0.417; CI: 0.267–0.650; P<0.001), 24,25(OH)2D3 (OR: 0.409; CI: 0.262–0.637; P<0.001), and VDMR (OR: 0.404; CI: 0.259–0.629; P<0.001).

Similar analysis was performed to explore potential of vitamin D status parameters in prediction of tumor grade. Patients are stratified in two cohorts: subjects with low grade tumor (grade I) and subjects with high grade tumor (grade II + grade III). Our results (Table VI) demonstrated that only 25(OH)D3 was a significant risk factor for high grade carcinoma development. In contrast to previous analysis, the observed significance retained after adjustment for other cofounders (age, male sex and BMI) and even after inclusion of TC in the model.

**Discussion**

In this study, we demonstrated that concentrations of 7-DHC, 25(OH)D3 and VDMR were decreased in CRC patients. 25(OH)D3 emerged as the most prominent marker of changed vitamin D status in CRC patients, as well as the molecule with the highest potential for prediction of CRC development. However, its predictive potential was abolished when TC, as a routine lipid status marker, was included in the analysis.

It is well known that status of vitamin D is diminished in patients with CRC (3). Our results (Table I) support these findings. We observed a decrease in concentrations of 7-DHC, 25(OH)D3 and VDBP, but no differences between patients and controls were recorded for 24,25(OH)2D3 (Table I). It is widely accepted that seasonal variations in sun exposure are major contributors to the vitamin D status (13), which was also confirmed by the results in our healthy cohort (Table II). However, when we analyzed vitamin D metabolites in CRC patients, we did not find any differences that could be attributed to the seasonal variations in sun exposure. Therefore, our results suggest that lower vitamin D status in CRC patients is more likely a consequence of processes related to the disease itself, than a result of reduced sun exposure.

Metabolic processing of vitamin D is complex and not solely limited to liver and kidney. Instead, extrarenal tissues, including colon, are active sites of vitamin D metabolism (14). Namely, it has been

### Table V Logistic regression analysis for evaluation of parameters of vitamin D status in prediction of risk for CRC development.

| Parameter          | OR   | 95% CI (OR)         | P   |
|--------------------|------|---------------------|-----|
| 7-DHC (μmol/L)     | 0.926| (0.762–1.124)       | 0.437|
| 25(OH)D3 (ng/mL)   | 0.934| (0.892–0.979)       | 0.004|
| 24,25(OH)2D3       | 0.701| (0.514–0.956)       | 0.025|
| VDMR               | 0.769| (0.604–0.980)       | 0.034|
| VDBP (μg/mL)       | 0.997| (0.993–1.001)       | 0.115|

**Multivariate logistic regression**

| Parameter          | OR   | 95% CI (OR)         | P   |
|--------------------|------|---------------------|-----|
| 25(OH)D3 (ng/mL)   | 0.943| (0.893–0.996)       | 0.035|
| 24,25(OH)2D3       | 0.714| (0.498–1.025)       | 0.068|
| VDMR               | 0.837| (0.613–1.144)       | 0.264|

Model 1: adjustment was made for age, gender (m/f) and BMI. Model 2: adjustment was made for age, gender (m/f), BMI and TC.

### Table VI Parameters of vitamin D status in prediction of high grade tumor development.

| Parameter          | OR   | 95% CI (OR)         | P   |
|--------------------|------|---------------------|-----|
| 7-DHC (μmol/L)     | 1.040| (0.811–1.334)       | 0.756|
| 25(OH)D3 (ng/mL)   | 0.911| (0.845–0.983)       | <0.05|
| 24,25(OH)2D3       | 0.548| (0.301–0.999)       | 0.050|
| VDMR               | 0.734| (0.527–1.023)       | 0.068|
| VDBP (μg/mL)       | 0.997| (0.992–1.002)       | 0.281|

**Multivariate logistic regression**

| Parameter          | OR   | 95% CI (OR)         | P   |
|--------------------|------|---------------------|-----|
| 25(OH)D3 (ng/mL)   | 0.895| (0.822–0.975)       | <0.050|

Model 1: adjustment was made for age, gender (m/f) and BMI. Model 2: adjustment was made for age, gender (m/f), BMI and TC.
demonstrated that both CYP27B1, an enzyme responsible for synthesis of active vitamin D form, and CYP24A1, responsible for synthesis of inactive 24,25(OH)2D3 metabolite, are present in colono-
cytes and regulated by the level of cell’s differentia-
tion (14). Since previous researches confirmed
enhanced activity of CYP24A1 in less differentiated
colon cancer cells (14, 15), it would be expectable
to find increased concentrations of 24,25(OH)2D3 in systemic circulation of CRC patients. However,
our results did not show any differences in
24,25(OH)2D3 serum levels between patients and
controls (Table I). Yet, it is important to notice that
evidences regarding increased CYP24A1 and
24,25(OH)2D3 are derived from studies on cancer
tissue and cells, but not from analyses in serum. Since
blood levels of vitamin D metabolites are a reflection
of metabolic processes in numerous tissues, but prin-
cipally in liver and kidney, the influence of altered
metabolism in cancer cells might not be sufficient to
change serum concentration of a particular metabo-
lite. However, eventual broad use of vitamin D meta-
bolites for prediction and prognosis of CRC develop-
ment would likely be oriented toward serum or
plasma samples. Our results (Table I) suggest that
24,25(OH)2D3 might not be a reliable marker for
these purposes. In addition, although 24,25(OH)2D3,
alongside with 7-DHC were recognized as independent
predictors of CRC in an univariate analysis, adjust-
ment for age, gender and BMI abolished their prog-
nostic capacity (Table V), thereby confirming the
above mentioned presumption. On the other hand,
VDMR was significantly lower in patients (Table I), but
such finding most likely reflected the presence of sig-
ficant differences in 25(OH)D3 concentrations, thus
emphasizing the importance of this vitamin D
metabolite.

It has been shown that vitamin D metabolism in
malignant cells is influenced by the extracellular avail-
ability of its active form and subsequent interaction
with vitamin D receptors (16). Also, previous studies
have demonstrated that the activity of CYP27B1 and
CYP24A1 depends on availability of substrate
25(OH)D3 (17, 18). Accordingly, overall amount of
25(OH)D3 is responsible for local activation and
effects of vitamin D. In our study, serum 25(OH)D3
and 7-DHC were significantly lower in CRC patients
(Table I), thereby setting prerequisites for later defec-
tive vitamin D activation and biological function in
extrarenal tissues. In parallel with low levels of vitamin
D precursors, we also observed a decrease in TC,
LDL-C and HDL-C levels (Table I). These findings
could be of particular importance, since vitamin D
and cholesterol share a common precursor: 7-DHC.
Previous studies of serum lipid levels in CRC are
inconsistent, but the findings similar to ours were
reported in the study of Abaza et al. (19). Apart from
poor nutritional status frequently seen in CRC (20),
which could be one of the reasons for decreased cho-
lesterol synthesis in the liver, it has been recently pro-
posed that reprogramming of lipid metabolism could
be responsible for the observed decrease in serum
lipid status markers in various types of cancer (20,
21). Namely, it has been demonstrated that cancer
cells extensively accumulate and use cholesterol (22,
23), while such increased needs are satisfied by either
upregulated endogenous synthesis (24), or enhanced
uptake of circulating cholesterol (25, 26). Such
rearrangement of cholesterol synthesis and cellular
accumulation could have important consequences
regarding vitamin D status. It has been proposed that
7-DHC is placed in the center of metabolic shift
between cholesterol and vitamin D synthesis path-
ways (7). In our study, lower levels of 7-DHC were
recorded in CRC patients (Table I). Thus, it is possible
that in conditions of increased utilization of chole-
sterol by malignant cells and consequent generalized
direction of biosynthesis towards cholesterol, vitamin
D synthesis is compromised. In confirming such
assumption, we observed strong positive correlation
of serum levels of TC, LDL-C and HDL-C with 7-DHC
and analyzed vitamin D3 metabolites, but only in a
group of CRC patients. These findings suggest that
decreased serum cholesterol levels, which are indica-
tive for increased cholesterol utilization by malignant
cells, are associated with diminished vitamin D
synthesis and further metabolic transformation.
Previously, Bogh et al. (26) have demonstrated that
elevation of 25(OH)D3 level after sun exposure was
in positive correlation with TC concentration. Thus,
local production of vitamin D in the skin can also be
compromised in the conditions of decreased serum
TC in CRC. Due to generalized lack of circulating cho-
lesterol, as seen in CRC, and consequent disabled
uptake by the other body structures apart from malig-
nant tissue, it would be reasonable to expect that
biosynthesis pathways in keratinocytes would likely be
directed towards cholesterol, rather than towards vita-
minder D. Taken all together, our results might indicate
that decreased levels of vitamin D, regularly seen in
CRC, are in the first place a consequence of disturbed
lipid profile. Indeed, when we divided CRC patients
according to TC concentrations (Table IV), we found
lower values of 7-DHC, 25(OH)D3 and even
24,25(OH)2D3 in subjects with low TC, thereby con-
firming the impact of circulating cholesterol on all
aspects of vitamin D metabolism.

Finally, when we explored independent potential
of vitamin D metabolites in predicting the risk for CRC
development (Table V), serum levels of 25(OH)D3
emerged as the most potent marker, whose relevance
was retained even after the adjustment for well-
known contributors of CRC development. However,
inclusion of TC concentration to the designed model,
eliminated the independent impact of decreased
25(OH)D3 on CRC development, which is in line with
the postulated role of TC in directing of vitamin D
metabolism. Interestingly, low serum TC was recog-
nized as an independent predictor of CRC, emphasizing the role of lipid alterations in etiopathogenesis of this disease. The role of lipids in cancer development was neglected for a long time, but recent research shed light on this topic (20). We have previously demonstrated changed activity of lipid transfer proteins (11) and alterations of lipoprotein subclasses (12) in CRC patients. Possible influence on vitamin D metabolites demonstrated herein can present an additional effect of disturbed lipid homeostasis during the development and progression of CRC.

Nevertheless, the role of vitamin D itself on the tumor progression cannot be neglected. Namely, we found a decrease in serum 25(OH)D3 levels across tumor grades in parallel with an increase in 24,25(OH)2D3 in grade II CRC (Table III). The lack of significant differences during comparison of grade III subgroup with other subjects is most likely a consequence of smaller number of participants in this group. It is well known that vitamin D enhances cell differentiation (1, 2), so the observed decrease of 25(OH)D3 in patients with poorly differentiated cancer is expected. More importantly, low 25(OH)D3 level was revealed as independent predictor of high grade CRC, even after adjustment for other risk factors, including TC concentration (Table VI). Therefore, our results suggest that 25(OH)D3 could be useful as potential serum marker of increased risk for high grade CRC, which should be further explored in future studies. Data about the association between vitamin D and tumor grade in CRC are scarce. Yet, it has been recently demonstrated that expression of nuclear vitamin D receptors gradually decreases during the progression of low grade adenoma towards high grade adenoma and CRC (27). Our findings regarding serum vitamin D levels are in agreement with these results. It also should be noticed that determination of serum 25(OH)D3 is a simple and inexpensive procedure, implying that vitamin D might be considered as easily available and potentially useful indicator of tumor grade in CRC patients.

It should also be mentioned that we observed significantly lower VDBP levels in CRC patients when compared to healthy participants (Table I). Such results were expectable since VDBP is primarily synthesized by the liver and it is well documented that tumor-associated inflammation and production of cytokines can decrease hepatic protein synthesis, while on the other hand, malignant cells can increasingly uptake serum proteins (28). Altogether, these processes result in decreased total serum protein which was also seen in our study (Table I). However, our results did not demonstrate significant independent contribution of VDBP to the modulation of risk for CRC development (Table V), which is in line with previous researches (28, 29), thus suggesting that the impact of VDBP during CRC progression is more likely indirect and related to vitamin D.

Several limitations should be emphasized. Due to increased prevalence of CRC in older subjects and limited possibilities to involve a sufficient number of elderly healthy volunteers, patients and controls were not completely matched by age. Although older age is recognized as a significant contributor to lower vitamin D status, in this study we found no differences in vitamin D metabolites among different age groups. However, our results should be further evaluated in groups of patients and controls matched by age.

Patients and controls could not be matched entirely by age for the purpose of this study. Additionally, since this is an observational study, we could not fully explore the presumed causality between decreased TC level and minimized vitamin D synthesis. Future researches for verifying our hypothesis and elucidating possible mechanisms of these interactions are needed. Next, cross-sectional nature of our study prevented us from drawing conclusions regarding the role of observed associations during the progression of CRC. Forthcoming prospective studies should address this topic.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our results demonstrated decreased levels of 7-DHC, 25(OH)D3 and VDMR in patients with CRC. In addition, we observed a significant positive association between vitamin D status and serum lipid markers. Although 25(OH)D3 was revealed as significant marker of CRC development, the obtained results suggested profound impact of serum cholesterol on the levels of vitamin D metabolites and give a new perspective for understanding the vitamin D deficiency in CRC, and possible improvement of patient management strategies.
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