Fabrication of Manganese-Supported Activated Alumina Adsorbent for Defluoridation of Water: A Kinetics and Thermodynamics Study
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Abstract: Fluoride pollution frequently occurs in many underground drinking water sources due to discrepancies in the geological environment. To address this problem, a manganese-supported activated alumina (MnOOH-supported AA) adsorbent was proposed in the present study. The adsorbent was prepared with an impregnation method, then the morphology and microstructure were systematically characterized. Further, the adsorption kinetics and thermodynamics were systematically explored through static experiments to confirm the adsorption mechanism. The results showed that MnOOH was successfully loaded on the activated alumina (AA), and irregular and convex spinous structures were formed on the surface of particles. Compared with the AA, MnOOH-supported AA exhibited a significantly higher defluoridation rate, which has been doubled. The kinetic behavior of fluoride adsorption on MnOOH-supported AA was governed by the quasi-second-order kinetics model with regression coefficients of 0.9862, 0.9978 and 0.9956, respectively. The adsorption rate was mainly ascribed to the intra-particle diffusion. Additionally, the Freundlich isotherm equation fitted the adsorption thermodynamic process reasonably well compared with the Langmuir adsorption model. Specifically, the correlation coefficients were 0.9614, 0.9383 and 0.9852 at 25°C, 35°C and 45°C, respectively. The adsorption–desorption isotherm plot was similar to the Type V isotherm. The whole fluoride adsorption was a spontaneous endothermic reaction, and controlled by chemical adsorption. These results demonstrated that MnOOH-supported AA as an alternative to the conventional AA showed promising potential for defluoridation in drinking water treatment.
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1. Introduction

China has abundant groundwater resources, accounting for 30% of the total water resources. Due to stable water temperature, simple water-supply facilities, reliable water-supply capacity, favorable water quality and extensive distribution etc., the groundwater is being developed on a large scale and has been a vital source of drinking water. However, the water quality and safety cannot be guaranteed for nearly half of the groundwater. The water could be polluted by fluoride because of human production activities and natural geochemical processes. Long-term use of high-fluoride water will do harm to human health, causing endemic fluorosis such as dental fluorosis and skeletal fluorosis. The intelligence quotient of children could be significantly affected if excessive fluoride is ingested [1,2]. In China (Figure S1), high-fluoride shallow groundwater is mainly distributed in Heilong
Jiang, Jilin, Liaoning, Shanxi, Jiangsu, Ningxia and Gansu Provinces, etc. The fluoride content in groundwater is 2–5 mg/L, and sometimes even as high as 10 mg/L. Meanwhile, the phenomenon of high iron ions generally occurs in Heilong Jiang, Jilin, Liaoning, Shandong, Hubei and Hebei Provinces and the Nei Monggol Autonomous Region, etc. It is noteworthy that groundwater is a significant drinking water source in northern rural areas of China. In general, the occurrence of high-fluoride and high-iron are noticed depending on the geological structure. However, due to the local geological structure and economic environment conditions, there is still a lack of effective defluoridation measures in many rural areas. Therefore, there is an urgent technical demand by livelihood problems to relieve fluoride pollution.

At present, there are five major types of commonly used fluoride removal methods: precipitation [3–5], ion exchange [6,7], membrane filtration [8,9], electrodialysis [10] and adsorption [11,12], all of which have their own advantages and shortcomings, as shown in Table 1. Among these methods, adsorption is widely applied for low operating costs and simple operation process [13], and the most frequently used adsorbents include activated alumina (AA), zeolite and chitosan [14,15]; among these, AA is the most commonly used. Table 2 shows the related research on adsorbents and adsorption effects abroad. Although the common adsorbents exhibit preferable adsorption capacity, there are still problems occurring in defluoridation, including low saturation adsorption capacity and increasing amounts of adsorbent dosage [16]. Recently, fabricating adsorbents coated with Ca, Zr, La, Ce, Mn and other elements have attracted increasing attention for fluoride removal, and the modified adsorbents have exhibited excellent removal. Calcium hydroxide used for fluoride removal could produce sludge with a high water content and an unstable fluoride concentration in the effluent. It is reported that manganese oxide (MnOOH) with a large surface area and microporous structure [17–19] is prevalent in epigenetic geochemical environments, which manifests excellent adsorption performance for anions [20]. At present, there are few studies related to AA loaded with MnOOH for fluoride removal in groundwater.

In the present study, a manganese-supported AA (MnOOH-supported AA) adsorbent was evaluated for defluoridation from aqueous solutions. To be specific, AA with a size of 3–5 mm was selected, and MnOOH was loaded on AA using an impregnation method. The morphology and microstructure of MnOOH-supported AA were analyzed using various characteristic means. Moreover, the adsorption kinetics and adsorption thermodynamics were determined by a quasi-first-order model, a quasi-second-order model, the Weber and Morris model, the Freundlich isotherm equation and the Langmuir isotherm equation. The adsorption mechanism was then discussed on the strength of the experimental results.
Table 1. Comparative analysis of fluoride removal methods.

| Technique          | Category                  | Materials                                | Advantages                      | Limitations                                                                 |
|--------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Precipitation      | Chemical precipitation    | Calcium salt, etc.                       | Low cost, simple operation      | High amount of retained water (sludge dewatering is required prior to disposal) |
|                    | Coagulant sedimentation   | Iron salt, aluminum salt, etc.           | Low cost, simple operation      | Can be expensive, efficiency depends on pH and the presence of co-existing ions in water, adjustment and readjustment of pH is required, elevated residual aluminum concentration, formation of sludge with a high amount of toxic aluminum fluoride complex |
| Ion exchange       | Ion exchange              | Ion exchange resin                       | High efficiency                 | High cost of installation and regeneration                                  |
| Separation membrane| Electrodialysis           | Ion exchange membrane                    | High efficiency, no need to dosage agents | Expensive, vulnerable to interfering ions (sulfate, phosphate, chloride, bicarbonate, etc.), high operation cost, toxic concentrate generated |
|                    | Reverse osmosis           | Reverse osmosis membrane                 | High efficiency, suitable for treating high-fluoride water | High cost of installation and maintenance, replacement of media after multiple regenerations |
|                    | Ultrafiltration           | Ultrafiltration membrane                 | No risk of secondary contamination, suitable for treating high-fluoride water | High cost, pre-processing complexity, strict technical requirements |
|                    | Nanofiltration            | Nanofiltration membrane                 |                               |                                                           |
| Adsorption         | Activated alumina        | Activated alumina                        | Greater accessibility, low cost, simple operation, availability of wide range of adsorbents, produce high-quality water, environmentally friendly | Common ions interfere with fluoride adsorption, regeneration difficult, low adsorption efficiency under high fluoride concentration |
Table 2. A summary of various adsorbents for fluoride removal from water.

| S. No. | Adsorbent                                                                 | Adsorption Capacity | Concentration Range | Contact Time | pH  |
|--------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------|-----|
| 1      | Fe-impregnated chitosan (Fe-CTS)                                         | 1.97 mg/g           | 10 mg/L             | 6 h          | -   |
| 2      | Magnetic iron oxide fabricated hydrotalcite/chitosan (Fe₂O₄HTCS)          | 5.03 mg/g           | 10 mg/L             | 20 min       | 5   |
| 3      | Hydrous zirconium oxide-impregnated chitosan beads                        | 22.1 mg/g           | 9.7–369.2 mg/L      | 160 h        | 5   |
| 4      | Sn(IV) chloride impregnated chitosan La³⁺ modified                       | 17.63 mg/g          | 5–100 mg/L          | 30 min       | 6   |
| 5      | Lanthanum-aluminum loaded hydrothermal palygorskite (La-Al-HP)            | 1.30 mg/g           | 4.89 mg/L           | 540 min      | 7.5 |
| 6      | Fe³⁺-modified bentonite clay                                              | 2.91 mg/g           | 10 ppm              | 30 min       | 2–10|
| 7      | MnO₂ coated Na-bentonite                                                  | 2.4 mg/g            | 5 mg/L              | 30 min       | 8   |
| 8      | Hydroxyapatite nanorods                                                  | 1.49 mg/g           | 10 mg/L             | 3 h          | 7   |
| 9      | Sulfate-doped hydroxyapatite hierarchical hollow microspheres            | 28.3 mg/g           | 2–100 mg/L          | 2 h          | 3.0–10.0 |
| 10     | Hydroxyapatite decorated with carbon nanotube composite (CNT-HAP)        | 11.05 mg/g          | 15 mg/L             | 300 min      | 6   |
| 11     | Hydroxyapatite montmorillonite (HAP-MMT)                                  | 16.7 mg/g           | 30 mg/L             | 30 min       | 5   |
| 12     | Zirconium impregnated activated carbon (ZrAC)                             | 5.4 mg/g            | 2.5–20 mg/L         | 180 min      | 4   |
| 13     | Mg-Mn-Zr impregnated activated carbon (ACMg-Mn-Zr)                       | 26.27 mg/g          | 5–30 mg/L           | 3 h          | 4   |
| 14     | Alumina impregnated activated carbon                                      | 2.86 mg/g           | 10 mg/L             | 3 h          | 6.1 |
| 15     | Activated alumina                                                        | -                   | 2–20 mg/L           | 24 h         | 6–8 |
| 16     | Acid activated alumina                                                   | 69.52 mg/g          | 10–60 mg/L          | 3 h          | 6.5 |
| 17     | Nitric acid activated alumina                                            | 45.75 mg/g          | 40 mg/L             | 3 h          | 3.5 |
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals

In this study, AA, sodium fluoride (NaF), ammonia (NH₃·H₂O), ammonium ferrous sulfate ((NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂·6H₂O) and hydroxylamine hydrochloride (H₂ClNO) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (CHN). Aluminum sulfate (Al₂(SO₄)₃), manganese sulfate (MnSO₄), hydrochloric acid (HCl), phenanthroline (C₁₂H₈N₂), ammonium acetate (CH₃COONH₄) and glacial acetic acid (CH₃COOH) were provided by Tianjin Kemiu Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd. (CHN). Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) and SPADNS reagent were purchased from Tianjin Damao Chemical Reagent Factory (CHN) and America HACH company (USA), respectively. As shown in Table 3, a fluoride solution was prepared by dissolving a certain amount of NaF and (NH₄)₂Fe(SO₄)₂·6H₂O in deionized water (DI water). Note that the actual initial concentration of the pollutant solution is subject to the actual measured value on that day. All chemicals were all of analytical grade and DI water (resistivity of 18.2 MΩ·cm) was used in all experiments.

Table 3. Reference sample preparation.

| Concentration (mg/L) | F⁻ | Total Fe |
|----------------------|----|---------|
|                      | 2 ± 0.5 | 2 ± 0.5 |
|                      | 5 ± 0.5 | 2 ± 0.5 |
|                      | 10 ± 0.5 | 2 ± 0.5 |

2.2. Fabrication of MnOOH-Supported AA

2.2.1. Modification of AA

As shown in Figure 1a, 100 g of AA particles was added in 200 mL of an Al₂(SO₄)₃ solution with a concentration of 4%. Subsequently, the solutions were mixed for 24 h at a speed of 120 r/min using a thermostatic oscillator. To reduce variations, measurements were conducted in parallel experiments, and the average values were used. After that, the obtained solutions were washed with DI water several times until the pH of the effluent was stable, then dried in an oven for 2 h at 103 °C. The modified AA was used after cooling to room temperature. It was noteworthy that SO₄²⁻ in the solution could combine with AA by electrostatic or chemical adsorption, forming sulfate-type AA. The chemical reaction is expressed as follows:

\[
(Al₂O₃)_n·2H₂O + SO₄²⁻ \rightarrow (Al₂O₃)_n·H₂SO₄ + 2OH⁻ \quad (1)
\]

![Figure 1. Schematic diagram for the preparation process of MnOOH-supported AA.](image)
2.2.2. AA Coated with MnOOH

Figure 1b shows that MnOOH-supported AA was fabricated using an impregnation method. Firstly, 50 g of the modified AA particles was dispersed in 250 mL of a MnSO$_4$ solution (0.06 mol/L). Thereafter, the mixture was continuously stirred, injected with 5.1 mL of H$_2$O$_2$ with a concentration of 30%, and heated to 95 °C. Next, 75 mL of 0.2 mol/L NH$_3$·H$_2$O was poured into the above solution. The brown precipitates generated on the surface of AA were MnOOH. To increase the contact area, the as-obtained mixture was continuously stirred at 165 r/min for 6 h. After that, the samples were firstly dried at 100 °C for 2 h, then washed thoroughly 8 times with 70–80 °C hot DI water, and finally dried for 4 h at 100 °C. As presented in Figure 1c, the MnOOH-supported AA was cooled in an indoor environment naturally for further use.

2.3. Adsorption Experiment

The adsorption kinetic experiment was carried out as follows: 200 mL of a fluoride solution was treated with the addition of a certain amount of MnOOH-supported AA at pH 4 and a temperature of 25 °C. The mixture was then oscillated at a moderate speed of 120 r/min using a thermostatic oscillator. To reduce variations, measurements were conducted in three parallel experiments, and the results presented were the average values. The adsorption kinetic model on MnOOH-supported AA was established on the basis of the experimental data.

With respect to the adsorption thermodynamic experiment, 7 g/L of MnOOH-supported AA was added into the fluoride solution at pH 4 and oscillated for 12 h to attain adsorption/desorption equilibrium. The initial concentrations of the fluoride solution were 2, 5, 10, 15 and 20 mg/L. In addition, the iron ion content was 2 mg/L. To study the effect of temperature on adsorption, the temperatures were controlled at 25 °C, 35 °C and 45 °C. The adsorption thermodynamic model was established, and the thermodynamic parameters of the adsorption process were also calculated. The mechanism of fluoride adsorption on MnOOH-supported AA was further discussed.

2.4. Mathematical Model and Basic Parameter Expression

2.4.1. Adsorption Kinetic Model

To get insight into the adsorption properties and rate-limiting step during the adsorption process, the adsorption kinetics of fluoride were investigated with a quasi-first-order model, a quasi-second-order model [21] and the Weber and Morris model [22,23]. The mathematical expressions are shown as Equations (2)–(4).

\[
\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t \tag{2}
\]

\[
\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{t}{q_e} \tag{3}
\]

\[
q_t = k_p t^{1/2} + C \tag{4}
\]

where $q_e$ and $q_t$ (mg/g) represent the adsorption quantity at equilibrium and time, respectively; $k_1$ and $k_2$ are the rate constant of the quasi-first-order reaction and the quasi-second-order reaction, respectively; $k_p$ (mg/(g·min$^{1/2}$)) represents the particle diffusion rate constant; $t$ (min) is the adsorption time and $C$ is a constant which characterizes the extent of diffusion.

2.4.2. Adsorption Thermodynamic Model

The Langmuir isotherm model and Freundlich isotherm model were applied to fit the equilibrium adsorption data. The Langmuir and Freundlich models are shown as Equations (5) and (6):

\[
\frac{C_e}{q_e} = \frac{C_e}{q_{max}} \frac{1}{K_L q_{max}} \tag{5}
\]
\[
\ln q_e = \ln K_F + \frac{1}{n} \ln C_e
\]

where \( q_{\text{max}} \) (mg/g) represents the maximum adsorption capacity, \( C_e \) (mg/L) is the concentration of adsorbate at equilibrium, \( K_l \) is the Langmuir constant, \( K_F \) is the Freundlich constant, \( n \) refers to a measure of adsorption intensity \((1/n = 0.5-1, \text{the adsorption process is conducted easily;}\ 1/n > 2, \text{the adsorption process is arduous}) [24]\).

### 2.4.3. Adsorption Thermodynamic Parameters

To better expound the adsorption mechanism, the adsorption thermodynamic parameters, i.e., adsorption enthalpy \( (\Delta H) \), adsorption free energy \( (\Delta G) \) and adsorption entropy \( (\Delta S) \), were assessed.

\( \Delta H \) could be calculated through the Van’t Hoff equation, as shown in Equation (7):

\[
\ln \frac{1}{C_e} = -\frac{\Delta H}{RT} + \ln K_0
\]

where \( \Delta H \) (kJ/mol) is the isosteric enthalpy variation of adsorption, \( C_e \) (mol/L) is the equilibrium concentration of the solution at the absolute temperature \( T \) (K), \( R \) is the gas constant \((8.314 \text{ J/(mol·K)})\) and \( K_0 \) is the equilibrium adsorption distribution coefficient.

\( \Delta G \) can be obtained with the Gibbs free energy equation. If the adsorption isotherm can be described using the Freundlich equation model, the \( \Delta G \) obtained is independent of \( q \) when the mass concentration of the adsorbate is low, expressed as follows:

\[
\Delta G = -nRT
\]

where \( \Delta G \) (kJ/mol) is the change of adsorption free energy and \( n \) is the Freundlich exponent.

\( \Delta S \) could be obtained using the Gibbs–Helmholtz equation, as shown in Equation (9):

\[
\Delta S = \frac{\Delta H - \Delta G}{T}
\]

### 2.5. Analytical Methods

The water quality indexes were detected by the Standard Test Method for Drinking Water (GB/T 57750-2006). The detection and analysis method, and standard limits for drinking water are displayed in Table 4. The surface morphology of MnOOH-supported AA was observed by scanning electron microscopy coupled with energy dispersive X-ray spectrometry (SEM-EDS) (S-4800, Hitachi, Japan), and the photomicrographs were recorded at an acceleration voltage of 5 kV and a constant temperature. The specific surface was measured by \( N_2 \) air-suction desorption on an automatic static physical adsorption instrument (Autosorb-IQ2-MP, Quantachrome, America). The total specific surface was calculated based on the multi-point Brunauer, Emmett and Teller equation \((P/P_0 = 0.005-0.3)\) with point range of 30 points for adsorption and 30 points of desorption. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were measured utilizing ESCALAB 250Xi (Thermo Fisher Scientific, China) with an Al \((hv = 1486 \text{ eV})\) X-ray source at the standard mode. Prior to measurement, conductive tape was stuck on the tinfoil, and the sample powder was evenly spread on the surface of conductive tape. The sample surface was then covered with flat tinfoil, which was placed on the table press at a pressure of 1.5 T for 1 min. Lastly, the sample sheet was placed on the sample table to determine the XPS spectra. Fourier-transform infrared spectrometry (FTIR) (NICOLET iS50, Thermo Nicolet Corporation, America) was applied to analyze the infrared adsorption spectroscopy in transmission mode. Specifically, 10 mg of the sample was mixed evenly with 500 mg of potassium bromide powder using a sample mixer and compressed into a thin slice for examination. The infrared range was set to 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\) and each scan was checked 32 times.
Table 4. Experiment detection method.

| Test Item | Detection and Analysis Method                      | Standard Limits for Drinking Water (mg/L) |
|-----------|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| F⁻        | Fluorometric spectrophotometry                    | 1.0                                      |
| Fe        | Phenanthroline spectrophotometry                  | 0.3                                      |
| T         | Direct-reading method                             | -                                        |

3. Results
3.1. Characterization of MnOOH-Supported AA
3.1.1. Surface Morphology and Phase Composition

It is well known that the adsorption reaction on the particle surfaces is directly affected by the surface morphology. Hence, the surface morphologies of three kinds of AA were directly observed by SEM, as depicted in Figure 2. It was discovered that the surface appearance of modified AA was markedly different from ordinary AA. To be specific, obvious bulks were heaped up on the ordinary AA surface, and the porous structure was also inconspicuous. By contrast, the pre-treated AA had a certain pore structure, which contributed to fluoride adsorption. This phenomenon could be explained by the fact that the impurities present in AA were removed by the modification, leading to an increase of porosity. It can be seen in Figure 2b that the surface of MnOOH-supported AA formed an irregular and convex spinous structure. It was noticed that a well-developed pore structure was achieved, which was consistent with the finding of Wang et al. [25]. Meanwhile, the EDS analysis in Figure 3 indicates that MnOOH-supported AA clearly had more surface oxygen content than the ordinary AA, and a small amount of Mn element was also observed. To this end, it can be concluded that MnOOH was successfully loaded on the AA surface. Apparently, better dispersion of MnOOH could be achieved, and the surface of MnOOH-supported AA was rougher than that of the original AA, resulting in excellent adsorption ability. As compared with the unmodified AA, the specific surface of MnOOH-supported AA increased from 2.684 m²/g to 3.311 m²/g, and MnOOH-supported AA contained a range of pores with different sizes, exhibiting better porosity properties. The above results showed that the MnOOH-supported AA with a large amount of adsorption sites enhanced the fluoride adsorption capacity.

Figure 2. SEM images of fresh AA (a) and MnOOH-supported AA (b).
3.1.2. XPS Analysis

The XPS spectra of AA before and after modification are presented in Figure 4. It can be clearly observed that the elements O, Al and Mn were the main constituent elements of MnOOH-supported AA, consistent with the results of EDS. In addition, the XPS peak-fitting analysis for the Mn2p peak of MnOOH-supported AA is shown in Figure 5. Apparently, the Mn2p band in the MnOOH-supported AA was mainly composed of two pinnacles: the MnOOH peak at 641.5 eV and the MnO peak at 653.4 eV, accounting for 59.2% and 40.7% respectively. These results revealed that the surface of AA was successfully loaded with MnOOH.

Figure 3. EDS results of AA (a) and MnOOH-supported AA particles (b).

Figure 4. XPS pattern of AA (a) and MnOOH-supported AA particles (b).

Figure 5. XPS peak-fitting analysis for the Mn2p peak of MnOOH-supported AA.
3.1.3. FTIR

The typical FTIR spectra of AA and MnOOH-supported AA are shown in Figure 6. The -OH stretching vibration at 3455.84 cm\(^{-1}\), H\(_2\)O bending vibration at 1617 cm\(^{-1}\), and CO\(_2\)\(^2-\) symmetric stretching vibration at 1384.44 cm\(^{-1}\) are clearly observed. The reasonable explanation for this phenomenon was that AA with a large surface area and hydrophilicity inevitably absorbed H\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\) in the air. Note that the low peak values corresponding to H\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\) vibration were observed, implying low contents of H\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\) in the adsorbent. The typical peak at 605.64 cm\(^{-1}\) was attributed to Al-O bond vibration in unmodified AA, while a higher peak at 586.84 cm\(^{-1}\) was noticed in MnOOH-supported AA due to the combination of Al-O and Mo-O bonds. The above results revealed that there was little variation in the functional groups of MnOOH-supported AA as compared with the control; however, an increased -OH stretching vibration peak was obtained. Hence, the content of -OH was enhanced, which provided more adsorption sites for defluoridation.

![Figure 6. FTIR of AA before and after modification.](image)

3.2. Analysis of Influential Factors and Regeneration Effects

3.2.1. Effect of MnOOH-Supported AA on Fluoride Removal

Figure 7 depicts the effects of AA before and after modification on fluoride removal. For both MnOOH-supported AA and the original AA, the performance of defluoridation was improved with an increase in dosages. Specifically, with 1 g/L of the adsorbent treatment, the removal rates of AA and MnOOH-supported AA were 8.41% and 15.4%, respectively. When 15 g/L of AA and MnOOH-supported AA was added, respectively, the reduction rates were 31.75% and 73.33%. As compared with AA, it appeared that MnOOH-supported AA displayed superior fluoride removal performance, with the defluoridation rate doubled.

![Figure 7. Effect of the MnOOH-supported AA and AA on defluoridation.](image)
On the one hand, an irregular and convex spiny structure was seen on the surface of MnOOH-supported AA particles. The special structure provided more active sites for adsorption reactions [26], hence increasing the reduction efficiency of fluoride. On the other hand, when MnOOH-supported AA was dispersed in the solution, the ions located on the adsorbent surface were stressed unevenly due to the internal and surface forces of the particles, causing ions to have interface energy [27]. To reduce the interface energy, MnOOH-supported AA underwent surface hydroxylation by absorbing water molecules [19] and MnOOH reverted to Mn(OH)₂. Simultaneously, the surface of MnOOH-supported AA was covered by a certain amount of hydroxide radicals [28], thereby increasing the active sites for removing fluoride [29]. Since OH⁻ and F⁻ with a similar hydrate ionic radius can occur ionic coordination reaction in an acidic solution, fluoride was removed through the exchange of hydroxyl groups on the adsorbent surface [30]. The chemical reaction equations are shown as follows:

\[
(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)_n \cdot \text{MnOOH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow (\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)_n \cdot \text{Mn(OH)}_2 + \text{OH}^- \tag{10}
\]

\[
R - (\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)_n \cdot \text{Mn(OH)}_2 + 2\text{F}^- \rightarrow R - (\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)_n \cdot \text{MnF}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \tag{11}
\]

In addition, an underground hot spring water in Liaoning Province was used to investigate the fluoride removal performance. As can be seen in Table S1, under the condition of 5.6 mg/L of F⁻ concentration and 7 g/L of the adsorbent, the removal rates of AA and MnOOH-supported AA were 37.72% and 67.75%, respectively. It was concluded that MnOOH-supported AA had a better fluoride removal effect than AA, and the fluoride removal rate was increased by more than 70%.

3.2.2. Effect of pH on Fluoride Adsorption

The effect of pH on fluoride removal was investigated, and the results are shown in Figure 8. Obviously, the fluoride removal effect decreased with the increase in pH values. Specifically, with the F⁻ concentration increasing from 2 to 5 mg/L, the F⁻ adsorption capacity increased from 0.16 to 0.41 mg/g, and the removal rate was promoted from 77.09–80.3% to 80.85–83.27%. Based on these results, a pH of 4 was determined for the thermodynamic and kinetic studies.

![Figure 8. Effect of pH on fluoride removal by MnOOH-supported AA.](image)

Meanwhile, the fluoride removal effects of MnOOH-supported AA with a particle size of 0.5–1.5 mm under different pH conditions were compared and analyzed. As shown in Figure S2, the fluoride removal rate and adsorption amount of the adsorbent gradually decreased with the increase in pH. Under acidic pH conditions, the fluoride removal effect of the system was better. It can also be seen in these results that the fluoride removal effect of small particles was significantly better than that of large particles.
3.2.3. Effect of Coexisting Anions on Fluoride Adsorption

Figure 9 describes the effects of coexisting anions on the fluoride adsorption of MnOOH-supported AA and AA. As shown in Figure 9a, there were various effects of coexisting anions on F⁻ removal by MnOOH-supported AA. The F⁻ removal performance was greatly affected by carbonate, while a slight impact was produced by chloride and nitrate. Specifically, the removal rates were reduced from 22.89% to 0.5% due to carbonate, and bicarbonate resulted in a decrease in the removal rate from 24.88% to 18.41%. This was mainly owing to the competitive adsorption between interfering anions and F⁻, leading to a reduction in the adsorption effect. It can be seen from Figure 9b that the removal rate decreased with an increasing concentration of interfering anions. The effect of bicarbonate and sulfate on fluoride removal decreased from 16.92% to 1% and 29.35% to 15.42%, respectively.

![Figure 9](image-url)

**Table 5.** Influence of regenerant concentration on regeneration effects (Na₂CO₃).

| Regenerant Concentration (mg/L) | F⁻ Concentration (mg/L) | Removal Rate (%) |
|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------|
| 1                              | 2.48                   | 50.40            |
| 2                              | 1.32                   | 73.60            |
| 2.5                            | 1.00                   | 80.16            |
| 5                              | 0.98                   | 80.56            |
| 7.5                            | 0.97                   | 80.75            |
| 10                             | 0.96                   | 80.95            |

**Table 6.** Influence of regenerant time on regeneration effects (Na₂CO₃).

| Regenerant Time (h) | F⁻ Concentration (mg/L) | Removal Rate (%) |
|---------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 1                   | 1.17                    | 76.79            |
| 1.5                 | 1.13                    | 77.58            |
| 2                   | 0.96                    | 80.8             |
| 2.5                 | 1.17                    | 76.79            |
| 3                   | 1.45                    | 71.23            |
3.3. Kinetic Study of Fluoride Adsorption

3.3.1. Quasi-First-Order Model and Quasi-Second-Order Model

The adsorption dynamic curves under different initial mass concentrations of fluoride are displayed in Figure 10. It is worth noting that a similar variation tendency of the adsorption rate curve was observed while treating different raw water. The adsorbed amount rapidly increased in 600 min of adsorption time, irrespective of the dosage. This could be ascribed to the fact that MnOOH-supported AA possessed rich active sites, leading to a rapid transformation of F\(^-\) from the solution to the surface. It was clear that the adsorption of fluoride was mainly external diffusion in the initial adsorption process. Subsequently, the adsorption sites were gradually covered by fluoride with an increase in adsorption time, and the solvent diffusion rate slowed down accordingly. After that, the defluoridation primarily occurred in the internal pores of particles. F\(^-\) diffused from the outer surface of particles to the inner surface of micropore when the adsorption time increased from 600 min to 1500 min. With the extension of adsorption time, the adsorbed amount slowly increased until it tended to be stable. In an adsorption time of over 1500 min, the adsorption sites in the surface and inner pores were all occupied. At this moment, the adsorption of fluoride on MnOOH-supported AA came to an equilibrium state.

![Figure 10. Adsorption dynamic curve of fluoride on MnOOH-supported AA.](image)

Figure 11 presents the kinetic curves of fluoride with initial concentrations of 2, 5 and 10 mg/L for adsorption on MnOOH-supported AA. The correlation coefficients \((R^2)\) were 0.8060, 0.9764 and 0.9632 as evaluated by the quasi-first-order model (Figure 11a). According to the quasi-second-order model, the \(R^2\) values were 0.9862, 0.9978 and 0.9956, respectively (Figure 11b). It could be discovered that the experimental data perfectly fitted with the quasi-second-order equation. The results demonstrated that the quasi-second-order model could describe the entire defluoridation process, which was in accordance with previous studies \([20,27,31]\). During the adsorption process, some fluoride passed through the orifice and diffused into the pores of MnOOH-supported AA, which was described as physical adsorption. Some other fluoride was adsorbed owing to the fluoride–hydroxyl exchange reaction, which was chemical adsorption. Therefore, the adsorption process of fluoride was composed of physical adsorption and chemical adsorption, and chemical adsorption played the dominant role. In general, the entire defluoridation kinetics of MnOOH-supported AA were better fitted by the quasi-second-order model \([32,33]\), including external diffusion, inner diffusion, and surface adsorption, etc. \([34]\).
3.3.2. Weber and Morris Model

Though the quasi-second-order model could describe the entire adsorption process well, the intra-particle diffusion was not well assessed. Thus, the Weber and Morris model was used to further analyze the inner diffusion effect [22]. Figure 12 exhibits the plots of \( q_t \) versus \( t \) for initial concentrations of 2, 5 and 10 mg/L. Saini et al. [35] reported that the main rate-limiting reaction was intra-particle diffusion when the curve of \( q_t \) versus \( t^{1/2} \) went through the origin and presented favorable linear relationships. In Figure 12, irrespective of the initial concentration, the fit lines are straight and distinct inflection points appear at 23 min\(^{1/2} \). What is noteworthy is that all fit lines never pass through the origin. The results revealed that the adsorption rate was controlled not only by intra-particle diffusion but also by external diffusion. Specifically, the first (0–23 min\(^{1/2} \)) and the second (23–67 min\(^{1/2} \)) adsorption processes were assigned to external diffusion and intra-particle diffusion, respectively. The parameters of the Weber and Morris equation are listed in Table 7. As seen, the linear correlation of MnOOH-supported AA on fluoride was relatively preferable in the entire first adsorption process (0–23 min\(^{1/2} \)). This could imply that the reaction was mainly controlled by external diffusion. For the second adsorption process (23–67 min\(^{1/2} \)), \( q_t \) and \( t^{1/2} \) also showed a relatively good linear relationship, though \( R^2 \) was lower than that of the first adsorption process. It can be concluded from the \( C \) and \( k \) values that diffusion in this stage was chiefly dependent on the internal diffusion rate. The above results indicated that defluoridation using MnOOH-supported AA was the common result of external and intra-particle diffusion.

![Figure 11](image-url)  
**Figure 11.** Fitting of the fluoride adsorption process with the quasi-first-order kinetics model (a) and the quasi-second-order kinetics model (b).

| \( C^0 \) (mg/L) | \( C^0 \) | 0–23 min\(^{1/2} \) | 23–67 min\(^{1/2} \) |
|----------------|--------|-----------------|-----------------|
|                | \( R^2 \) | \( k \) | \( C \) | \( R^2 \) | \( k \) | \( C \) |
| 2              | 0.9112  | 0.0212 | −0.0494 | 0.8294 | 0.0067 | 0.2738 |
| 5              | 0.9789  | 0.0347 | −0.0524 | 0.9658 | 0.0097 | 0.5357 |
| 10             | 0.9494  | 0.0318 | −0.0548 | 0.9648 | 0.0116 | 0.5372 |
Figure 12. Fitting of the fluoride adsorption process with the Weber and Morris model.

3.4. Kinetic Study of Fluoride Adsorption

3.4.1. Adsorption Isotherms

In Figure 13, the variation trend of three is was basically the same in 25 °C, 35 °C and 45 °C. Significantly, the equilibrium adsorption capacity gradually increased with an increased initial concentration. Although the slope of curve was reduced, the unit adsorption capacity of MnOOH-supported AA improved constantly. This was mainly attributed to the fact that when the initial concentration of fluoride was relatively high, the solution concentration gradient increased on the inside and outside of particles. This was propitious to accelerate the diffusion rate and enlarge the equilibrium adsorption amount of fluoride [22].

Figure 13. Adsorption isotherm curve of fluoride on MnOOH-supported AA.

To get insight into the adsorption thermodynamic characteristics of MnOOH-supported AA, the Langmuir adsorption isotherm was used to analyze the defluorination properties; the results are shown in Figure 14. The related parameters of the Langmuir model and the Freundlich model at different temperatures are seen in Table 8. It may be thought that the adsorption process of MnOOH-supported AA on fluoride was well explained using both the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms. However, fluoride adsorption was more suitable for the Freundlich model, with $R^2$ values of 0.9614, 0.9383 and 0.9852 at
25 °C, 35 °C and 45 °C, respectively. In Table 8, the constant 1/n of the Freundlich equation is 0.5631, 0.6243 and 0.6241, which illustrated that adsorption was conducted easily in all cases. In addition, the $K_f$ values increased with an increase in adsorption temperature, suggesting that high temperatures contributed to the adsorption of fluoride on MnOOH-supported AA. The $K_f$ values were all positive under different temperatures, which accelerated the adsorption reaction. It was also observed that the $K_f$ values were enlarged when temperature increased, suggesting a chemical adsorption mechanism for the reaction system. Overall, the Freundlich isotherm was applicable to the adsorption data of all temperatures.

![Figure 14. Fitting of the fluoride adsorption process with the Langmuir isotherm model (a) and the Freundlich isotherm model (b).](image)

**Table 8. Parameters of the adsorption isotherm models.**

| Temperature °C | Langmuir Model | Freundlich Model |
|---------------|----------------|------------------|
|               | $K_L$ | $q_e$ (mg/g) | $R^2$ | $K_F$ | $1/n$ | $R^2$ |
| 25            | 0.216 | 1.450        | 0.8968 | 0.274 | 0.5631 | 0.9614 |
| 35            | 0.188 | 1.676        | 0.8908 | 0.272 | 0.6243 | 0.9383 |
| 45            | 0.208 | 1.957        | 0.9522 | 0.335 | 0.6241 | 0.9852 |

### 3.4.2. Isothermic Enthalpies for Adsorption

As different atoms and molecules were deposited on the surface, the surface energy of MnOOH-supported AA was unbalanced, thus generating Gibbs free energy. The defluoridation process was that MnOOH-supported AA absorbed several fluorides from the solution to balance its surface free energy. Along with the adsorption reaction, the Gibbs free energy slowly decreased and the surface energy came to a steady state [16,36]. As is well known, the adsorption free energy is the embodiment of the driving force. It can be seen from Table 9 that $\Delta G$ was less than zero at 25 °C, 35 °C and 45 °C, revealing that the adsorption reaction was spontaneous [37,38]. Meanwhile, $\Delta G$ gradually reduced with increasing temperature, which meant that the higher temperature was conducive to the progress of the adsorption reaction.

Through the analysis of adsorption isotherms, it was observed that improving the temperature was beneficial to the adsorption of fluoride on MnOOH-supported AA. The $\Delta H$ was greater than zero, which showed that the whole adsorption process was an endothermic reaction. The variation of $\Delta H$ during the adsorption process principally resulted from chemical reactions. The chemical reaction of fluoride on an adsorbent surface was mainly a displacement reaction with the activated hydroxyl group formed by the hydration...
reaction. Generally, the increased temperature was not propitious to physisorption. This suggested that a chemisorption mechanism accorded with the defluoridation process onto MnOOH-supported AA.

### Table 9. Thermodynamic parameters.

| $C_0$ (mg/L) | $\Delta H$ (J/mol) | $\Delta G$ (J/mol) | $\Delta S$ (J/(mol·K)) |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| 2            | $11,131.61$       | $-11,973.36$       | $-12,375.15$         | 77.53 | 41.54 | 43.30 |
| 5            | $1408.50$         | $-1455.76$         | $-1503.03$           | 14.81 | 4.89  | 5.05  |
| 10           | $11,370.23$       | $-7110.14$         | $-7348.73$           | 62.01 | 24.67 | 25.52 |
| 15           | $8057.76$         | $-2433.97$         | $-2513.64$           | 35.21 | 8.45  | 8.72  |

In addition, a positive $\Delta S$ higher than zero at three temperatures revealed an entropy production process for fluoride adsorption. During the adsorption process, the randomness of fluoride decreased and the confusion degree of the solid–liquid interface increased. This phenomenon could be explained by the fact that the exchange reaction between fluoride and water molecules on the adsorbent surface took place, leading to an improvement in the total $\Delta S$ in the entire system. We concluded that there was a preferable affinity between fluoride and MnOOH-supported AA. In the initial stage of the reaction, a great deal of heat was expended owing to the chemical reaction between fluoride and MnOOH-supported AA. As the coverage rate increased, active sites with high energy were gradually occupied and the amount of chemical reaction taking place was small. The fluoride adsorbed later was attached to the adsorption sites with low energy, causing less heat production.

### 4. Conclusions

1. The surface of MnOOH-supported AA became rougher, exhibiting more adsorption sites and preferable porosity to enhance adsorption ability. The results obtained from XPS showed that the Mn2p peak of MnOOH-supported AA was composed of MnOOH at 641.5 eV and MnO at 653.4 eV, accounting for 59.2% and 40.7%, respectively, illustrating the ordinary AA was successfully loaded with MnOOH.

2. The defluoridation kinetics of MnOOH-supported AA fitted well with the quasi-second-order model, with $R^2$ values of 0.9862, 0.9978 and 0.9956 in this present study. The adsorption process consisted of external diffusion, internal diffusion and chemical adsorption, among which, chemical adsorption was the primary adsorption mechanism. Additionally, the adsorption rate was governed by external diffusion and inter-particle diffusion conjointly, and the Weber and Morris diffusion was the main reason.

3. The adsorption of fluoride onto MnOOH-supported AA was better fitted by the Freundlich isotherm, showing chemical adsorption processes. The results showed that both higher and lower temperature were favorable to improving the adsorption effects. During the entire defluoridation process, it was observed that $\Delta S$ and $\Delta H$ were all positive and $\Delta G$ was negative, illustrating a spontaneous and an endothermic diffusion adsorption mechanism.
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