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Abstract

As a major energy source, coal has been mined on an increasingly larger scale as the social economy has continuously developed, resulting in drastic land type changes. These changes in turn cause changes in the local climate and affect the local ecological environment. Therefore, for coal cities, mining activities are an important factor influencing the local climate, and clarifying the impact of mining activities on the ecological environment is important for guiding regional development. In this paper, the impact of land use/cover changes (LUCCs) on local temperature in the spring and summer seasons from 1980 to 2018 was simulated using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model with Xilinhot city as the study area, and the regional distribution of local surface energy was analyzed in conjunction with the ground-air energy transfer process. The results show that the grassland area in Xilinhot remained above 85% from 1980 to 2018, so mining activities had a small impact on the average temperature of the whole region. However, in the mining area, the warming effect caused by mining activities was more obvious, with an average temperature increase of 0.822 K. Among other land transformation types, the conversion to water bodies had a very obvious cooling effect, lowering the temperature by an average of 2.405 K.

1 Introduction

Coal resources are currently the most abundant and widely distributed conventional energy source in the world. In recent years, rapid economic development and a significant increase in the human demand for energy have triggered a significant expansion of surface mining worldwide (Inta et al. 2020, Li et al. 2015, Qian et al. 2018, Schueler et al. 2011), and surface mining has shown continuous growth worldwide, especially in India and China (Larondelle &Haase 2012). This growth has been accompanied by serious damage to surface properties from coal mining, which have produced a series of environmental problems, such as surface collapse, soil erosion, and vegetation destruction (Wang et al. 2014). Scholars have conducted some research to address the surface ecological changes in mining areas; for example, Wu et al. used Shandong as the study area, obtained data on coal mining subsidence areas by image algorithms, and analyzed the impact of changes before and after coal mine surface collapse on surface landscape changes (Wu et al. 2009). Wu Quying et al. used the ecologically fragile mining area in Shaanxi as the study area, used a combination of on-site actual soil moisture measurements and statistical analysis, and found that the changes in the surface soil water content above the mining area were basically consistent with the dynamic development pattern of dynamic ground fractures on the surface (Wu et al. 2020). Tingting Wei et al. analyzed the physical properties and soil crusting within one year and 3 years after coal mining at the oversized working face in the Mu Us wind and sand area through sample site investigation and indoor analysis and found that the physical properties at the open-cut point received a stronger influence from mining than the mining face (Wei et al. 2015). Wei Jiansheng et al. investigated whether surface collapse caused by coal mining affects soil moisture properties, and the results showed that in coal mining areas, surface collapses in precipitation areas are significantly fewer than those in non-collapse areas (Wei et al. 2006). Zhang Yanxu et al. studied the soil moisture distribution characteristics of coal mining fracture areas by the methods of fixed-point location tracking and detection of fractures and found that coal mining subsidence fractures caused a decrease in soil moisture content, and the soil moisture content in both ground fracture and fracture-free areas within the subsidence area was less than that in unmined areas (Zhang et al. 2015). Xiang et al. quantified the change in the surface mining area based on the Chinese cover database and found that the rapid expansion of surface mining resulted in a significant decrease in natural habitat area, water retention capacity, net primary productivity and food production (Xiang et al. 2021).

The above studies have shown that coal mining affects not only the surface conditions but also the local climate by changing the land cover type, and some scholars have conducted detailed and in-depth studies on the environmental impacts of land cover type changes (Bollasina &Nigam 2011, Xi et al. 2018). Pielke and Brovkin found that land use types mainly affect the climate system by emitting or absorbing greenhouse gases into the atmosphere and changing the carbon cycle process (Alam et al. 2020). Notaro, Findell, and Wang found that land use/cover changes (LUCCs) can affect the climate by altering the precipitation distributed amongst evapotranspiration, runoff and soil water during land surface processes (Wang et al. 2017). Biogeochemical processes, such as albedo and evapotranspiration, at the surface and biogeochemical processes, such as carbon cycling and greenhouse gas emissions, affect the climate at local, regional and global scales and at different spatial and temporal scales (Liu et al. 2011).

Studies focusing on the impact of LUCCs on climate change can be divided into two main types: observational studies and simulation studies. For observational studies, it is difficult to separate information on the impact of LUCCs on the local climate from the general context of global changes, and we cannot accurately analyze the local impact of local LUCC changes. The main problem of simulation studies is the uncertainty of parameters in physical processes. Currently, most of the simulation work has used ideal sensitive land cover
experiments, such as that of Wang, who based their research on weather research and forecasting (WRF) simulations of the climatic effects of LUCCs from 2001 to 2008 and concluded that LUCCs increased the temperature in most regions of China (Hongyang et al. 2009); Wang Mingna et al. used WRF simulations to analyze the effects of LUCCs on surface temperature in the semi-arid region of northern China from 2001 to 2010, and concluded that LUCCs led to a decrease in local multiyear average temperature (Wang et al. 2016). Ying Zheng et al. simulated the effect of vegetation reconstruction on the regional climate in the Maowusu Desert region by incorporating high-resolution remotely sensed vegetation data into a WRF model and found that the average decrease in near-surface temperature ranged from 0.12 to 0.32°C (Zheng et al. 2020).

Xilinhot is located in the central part of the Inner Mongolia Plateau, and in recent years, with coal mining activities, the local land cover types have changed significantly, and environmental pollution and ecological degradation have become increasingly serious. The spatial resolution of land cover type data used in previous studies on this area is low, which is not satisfactory for studying the influence of subsurface type changes on surface temperatures in small- and medium-scale areas, and as a result, a more continuous study of spatial and temporal changes in temperature is lacking. The main objectives of this paper are (1) to utilize land use data with a spatial resolution of 30 m as the input data of the model subsurface to improve the simulation accuracy of the model for the local environment; (2) to discuss the degree of influence of different subsurface types on temperature; and (3) to explain the causal mechanism of subsurface types on temperature from the perspective of energy balance to provide scientific and reasonable opinions to support a deeper study on the influence of surface changes on the climate in mining areas in the future.

2 Data And Methodology

2.1 Study area

Xilinhot city is located in the middle of the Xilinguole grassland in Inner Mongolia at a latitude of 43°02′ ~ 44°52′ north and a longitude of 115°13′ ~ 117°06′ east, has a medium-temperate semiarid continental climate, and is the political, economic and cultural center of the Xilinguole League. The city has a total area of 14,785 km², a total existing population of 177,000 and a gross regional product of 5.088 billion yuan (Chen &Liu 2006, Pang &Shun 2011, Pang &Su 2010). The region contains China's first nature reserve, Xilinguole Nature Reserve. Moreover, local mineral resources are abundant, with a proven coal reserve of approximately 30 billion tons and a lignite coal field with the thickest coal seam and the largest reserve in China at present. In recent years, with rising coal production and accelerating urbanization, local grasslands have shown serious degradation, and the land is severely wind-eroded and sandy. At present, 45% of the total area of the Xilingole grassland is in a serious state of wind erosion and is becoming sandy, and 59.5% of the area is in a state of soil erosion. The serious degradation of land and grassland has led to the reduction in local species diversity, lower productivity and ecological environmental degradation, which has seriously affected the production and economic development of local people.

2.2 Data

The initial environmental data used in this paper are final analysis (FNL) objective analysis data provided by the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) (http://dss.ucar.edu/datasets/ ds083.2/), which is global-scale grid point information generated by the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) with a horizontal resolution of 1°×1° and a time interval of 6 hours. The parameters include surface pressure, sea surface pressure, geopotential height, temperature, sea surface temperature, soil conditions, ice cover, relative humidity, wind speed, vertical motion, vorticity, and ozone (Alexandru &Sushama 2015, Fei &Dudhia 2001, Wen et al. 2015).

To describe the subsurface changes in the study area in more detail, this paper uses the land classification product of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), which has a spatial resolution of 30 m. The CAS classification system is a remote sensing-based land use/land cover (LULC) classification system designated by the CAS for the completion of the first environmental database in China; it adopts an internationally common 2-tier structure based on the spectral and textural information of remote sensing data and divides the LULC into 25 secondary categories based on 6 primary categories according to land cover characteristics, coverage and anthropogenic use. The CAS classification system includes surface cover types such as paddy fields, dry land, forested land and urban land (Huang &Gao 2017, Jandaghian &Berardi 2020, Pian et al. 2012, Xiao et al. 2021).

2.3 WRF configuration and parameterization

The model used in the simulation is the next-generation mesoscale WRF system (version 4.0.1) jointly developed by the NCEP and National Center for atmospheric research (NCAR). The horizontal grid spacings of the first nested area, the second nested area and the third nested area are 9 km, 3 km and 1 km, respectively, and the third nested area covers the whole study area. The projection is a Lambert cone projection, and the vertical direction is set to 32 layers. The initial field and boundary field of the simulation use FNL information, and the center of the simulation experiment is located at 44.2°N, 116°E. The first 15 days of the simulation are the spin-up time, and the experimental results only after 15 days are analyzed (Chen et al. 2017, Guo 2017, Vorotilova et al. 2020).
The physical process scenarios for this simulation are determined by comparative analysis of various combinations of model physical scenarios in the simulation area (Table 1). Among them, since the Noah land surface process model better considers the physical processes of soil moisture, soil temperature, vegetation canopy and other factors and simulates the interaction processes of heat, radiation and other fluxes between land and air (Cao et al. 2016, Fu et al. 2019, Li et al. 2011), the Noah land surface process model is used in this paper for land surface process simulation.

| WRF model physical scheme | First nesting | Second nesting | Third nesting |
|---------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Horizontal grid point spacing | 9 km          | 3 km           | 1 km          |
| Grid points               | 108×102       | 162×150        | 228×204       |
| Microphysical processes   | WSM-3         | WSM-3          | WSM-3         |
| Cumulus solutions         | Kain-Fritsch  | Turn off       | Turn off      |
| Boundary layer solutions  | YSU           | YSU            | YSU           |
| Longwave radiation program | Rrtmg         | Rrtmg          | Rrtmg         |
| Shortwave radiation program | Dudhia       | Dudhia         | Dudhia        |
| Near-surface layer solution | Monin-Obukhov | Monin-Obukhov  | Monin-Obukhov |
| Land surface process model | Noah          | Noah           | Noah          |

2.4 Design of the experiment

In this paper, the environments in April and July are selected for simulation, corresponding to the spring and summer seasons of the year, respectively (Fan et al. 2015, Gohain et al. 2020, Kowsalya et al. 2020, Li et al. 2016, Shen et al. 2020, Song et al. 2010). In the simulation process for each season, 2 different sets of urban subsurface simulations are conducted: the first set of experiments uses the 1980 LULC information, as shown in Figure 3a, in which the urban subsurface type of Xilinhot during this period was mainly grass, and the area of urban construction land was small because it was before the urbanization process; the second set of experiments uses the 2018 LULC information (Figure 3b), in which the urban area in this period significantly increased, reflecting the characteristics of postrapid urban development. All the WRF model parameters are set as the same in both experiments, except for the LULC information.

To use high spatial resolution land use data in the WRF model, the existing CAS land classification products need to be converted to International Geosphere–Biosphere Programme (IGBP) classification system products. The meaning of the secondary categories in the CAS land classification system is basically the same as that of the IGBP categories. Therefore, in this experiment, the secondary categories of the CAS classification system are converted to the corresponding IGBP categories by direct conversion. The specific conversion rules are shown in the following table.
Table 2
Correspondence between the CAS and IGBP classification systems

| CAS Secondary Classification | IGBP Classification   |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Water                       | Arable land           |
| Dryland                     |                        |
| with forestland             | Sparse shrubs         |
| Shrubland                   |                        |
| Open woodland               |                        |
| Other forestland            |                        |
| Urban land                  | Urban construction land|
| Rural settlements           |                        |
| Other construction land     |                        |
| High-coverage grassland     | Grassland             |
| Medium-coverage grassland   |                        |
| Low-coverage grassland      |                        |
| Rivers and canals           | Water bodies           |
| Lakes                       |                        |
| Reservoir ponds             | Water bodies           |
| Beach                       |                        |
| Beach land                  |                        |
| Ocean                       |                        |
| Sand                        | Bare ground            |
| Gobi Desert                 |                        |
| Saline land                 |                        |
| Marshland                   |                        |
| Bare land                   |                        |
| Bare rock                   |                        |
| Other                       |                        |
| Permanent glacial snow      | Permanent ice and snow |

The specific technological flow of this paper is shown in Figure 2.

2.5 Simulation evaluation

To verify the accuracy of the simulation results, the simulation results of each season are compared with the observations of meteorological stations in the study area (station number 54102) in this paper. The Pearson correlation coefficient (r), root mean square error (RMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) are used to evaluate the differences between the simulated results and the real observations; the relative entropy (KL scatter) is used to evaluate the differences between the simulated results and the real observations in terms of data distribution. The specific expressions are as follows.
where \( T_{\text{wrf}} \) is the WRF-simulated air temperature; \( T_{\text{station}} \) is the observed temperature at the weather station; and \( n \) is the number of data points, \( i=1, 2, 3, \ldots, n \).

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Land use change from 1980 to 2018

By analyzing the land type changes in the study area from 1980 to 2018, six main land cover types were found to exist in the area: sparse shrubs, typical grassland, cultivated land, urban and built-up land, bare land, and water bodies. Among them, typical grassland had the most extensive area, with an average of 88.710% and reached a peak of 89.605% in 1995; after 2000, the overall trend declined and reached a minimum of 86.874% in 2018. Among the remaining types, bare land had the largest area, with an average of 7.341% and a small variation over 38 years, with a variance of only 0.008, followed by cultivated land, with an average of 2.287%, showing a trend of decreasing and then increasing, with a minimum of 2.110 and a maximum of 2.472%. Urban and built-up land showed a more stable growth trend, from 0.332% in 1980 to 1.637% in 2018, an increase of nearly four times in nine years, and the highest growth rate was nearly 1.126 times in 2010. Sparse shrubs and water bodies were the two categories with the lowest percentages, 0.608% and 0.396%, respectively.

The changes in land use types from 1980–2018 are shown in Figure 3, which shows that 5.841% of the land underwent conversion. Among them, urban construction land and sparse shrubs changed the most, with area increases of 392.690% and 382.322%, respectively, but the original area of these two land use types was only 2.877%; thus, even though the change was large, the impact on the overall land use pattern was still limited. Grassland, which accounted for the largest area, changed from 89.509% in 1980 to 86.874% in 2018, a decrease of 2.944%, making it the land type with the largest net change. The smallest changes were in cropland and bare land, which changed by only 0.173% and -0.131%, respectively.

As shown by the land transfer matrix (Table 3), the conversion of grassland to built-up urban land and grassland to sparse shrubs were the most obvious, reaching 1.198% and 1.090%, respectively, which shows that during this 38-year period, the urbanization of Xilinhot city was obvious, while there was a certain tendency toward desertification.

| Land Transfer Matrix for the Xilinhot Region, 1980 to 2018 |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **1980** | **2018** | **Grassland** | **Urban** | **Croplands** | **Barren** | **Water** | **Shrublands** | **Total in 1980** | **Net change in 2018** | **Changes rate in 2018** |
| Grassland | 85.524 | 1.198 | 0.753 | 0.810 | 0.134 | 1.090 | 89.509 | -2.635 | -2.944 |
| Urban | 0.014 | 0.313 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.002 | 0.333 | 1.307 | 392.690 |
| Croplands | 0.605 | 0.041 | 1.596 | 0.023 | 0.001 | 0.009 | 2.276 | 0.173 | 7.616 |
| Barren | 0.707 | 0.081 | 0.002 | 6.330 | 0.205 | 0.003 | 7.328 | -0.131 | -1.791 |
| Water | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.031 | 0.262 | 0.000 | 0.297 | 0.305 | 102.523 |
| Shrublands | 0.018 | 0.006 | 0.098 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.134 | 0.257 | 0.981 | 382.322 |
| **Total (2018)** | **86.874** | **1.639** | **2.450** | **7.197** | **0.602** | **1.238** | **100.000** |
### 3.2 Simulation validation

The simulated 2 m air temperature data for April and July were analyzed in comparison with the weather station observations (Figure 4), and the accuracy evaluation results are shown in Table 4. The model performed well in spring and summer. The correlation coefficient ($r$) was 0.971 ($p<0.01$), and the RMSE was 2.040 K. The correlation coefficient ($r$) was 0.904 ($p<0.01$), and the RMSE was 2.469 K in summer, both of which are highly correlated with the observed data and have small relative errors. The correlation coefficient was 0.904 ($p<0.01$), and the RMSE was 2.469 K. The correlation coefficient was high, and the relative error was small. The distribution of the data shows that the simulation results were similar to the observations in all seasons, and the KL values were less than 0.001. Therefore, it can be concluded that the current physical parameters simulated the temperature variation within the study area well.

|       | r    | p   | RMSE | MAE  | KL  |
|-------|------|-----|------|------|-----|
| Spring| 0.971| 0.000| 2.0404 | 1.5583 | 0.0000 |
| Summer| 0.9042| 0.0000| 2.4685 | 1.9414 | 0.0000 |

### 3.3 Impacts of land use change on 2 m air temperature

Based on the experimental results, comparing the changes in spring and summer temperatures before and after the land use type change (Figure 6), it can be concluded that for the average temperature, the overall average daily temperature in the spring of 2018 changed little compared to 1980, with a maximum warming of 2.820 K and a minimum cooling of 3.330 K. The overall average summer temperature decreased by 0.04 K, with a maximum warming of 9.6 K and a minimum cooling of. For the maximum temperature, the average decrease in the study area was 0.049 K in spring and 0.072 K in summer. Numerically, at both the average, minimum and maximum temperatures, the impact of land use type change was greater in summer than in spring, while the extremes of temperature change were not very different, making the average change in temperature across the region smaller. For the spatial distribution of temperature change, there was a clear difference between spring and summer distribution, with spring showing a decrease in temperature in most regions and an increase in temperature in the south. In summer, most areas showed significant warming, and cooling areas were scattered throughout the study area.

Separate temperature statistics for different land use type changes (Figure 6) and for the average temperature (Figure 6a) showed that the transition to water bodies caused the most drastic temperature changes, while the transition from bare land to water bodies lowered the regional temperature by an average of 5.278 K in summer, and the transition from grassland to water bodies lowered the regional temperature by an average of 1.349 K. In spring, these two transitions lowered the regional temperature. The next strongest change was the transition to built-up urban sites, where the transition from grassland to built-up urban sites increased the temperature by an average of 0.659 K in spring, the transition from bare land to built-up urban sites increased the temperature by an average of 0.901 K in summer, and the transition from grassland to built-up urban sites increased the temperature by an average of 0.680 K in summer. Other land types had a smaller effect on temperature, but most of the changes had opposite effects on temperature in spring and summer, with the changes from grassland to cropland and from grassland to grassland causing an increase in temperature in spring and a decrease in temperature in summer; the three changes from grassland to grassland, from grassland to sparse shrubs and from sparse shrubs to cropland caused a decrease in temperature in both spring and summer. The three changes from grassland to bare ground, grassland to sparse shrubs, and sparse shrubs to cropland caused a decrease in temperature in spring and an increase in temperature in summer.

The minimum temperature statistics due to the conversion to different land use types (Figure 6b) showed that the change to built-up urban areas caused a significant increase in the minimum temperature in the region in both spring and summer, with an average increase of 1.237 K in spring; the change from grassland to built-up urban land caused a minimum temperature increase of 1.164 K, and the change from bare land to built-up urban land caused a temperature increase of 1.311 K. The most dramatic change in minimum temperature occurred in the summer, when the change from bare land to water bodies resulted in a decrease of 3.234 K, far exceeding the temperature change caused by the other land use changes. The other transitions to water bodies did not have the same effect, with the grassland-to-water transition increasing the temperature by 0.064 K in summer; the transition to water bodies increased the temperature by an average of 0.229 K in spring, the grassland-to-water transition increased the temperature by 0.336 K; and the bare ground-to-water transition increased the temperature by 0.121 K. These changes indicate that water bodies have a certain warming effect at low temperatures.

For the change in maximum temperature, the statistical plot (Figure 6c) shows that most of the conversion types had a nonsignificant effect on the maximum temperature, and only the two types of conversion to water bodies produced a very significant cooling effect. In
spring, water bodies reduced the maximum temperature by an average of 2.990 K, with the conversion from bare land to water bodies causing a cooling of 3.997 K and the conversion from grassland to water bodies causing a cooling of 1.983 K. In summer, the water bodies decreased the maximum temperature by an average of 4.977 K, of which the conversion of bare land to water bodies and the conversion of grassland to water bodies cooled the temperature by 7.256 K and 2.698 K, respectively. In addition, built-up urban land did not affect the maximum temperature as much as it did the minimum temperature; the conversion of grassland to built-up urban land and the conversion of bare land to built-up urban land increased the maximum temperature by 0.002 K and 0.136 K in spring and 0.129 K and 0.186 K in summer, respectively, which are much less than their effects on the minimum temperature.

By using the daily maximum and minimum temperatures, the diurnal temperature difference was calculated (Figure 5d), and observing its spatial distribution, the diurnal temperature difference significantly decreased in the built-up urban area, but the diurnal temperature difference increased in its periphery, and this phenomenon was especially obvious in summer. Statistical changes in daily temperature differences caused by the conversion of different land types (Figure 6d) showed that in the whole study area, both the conversion to urban construction and water bodies caused significant decreases in diurnal temperature differences. In spring, the conversion from grassland to urban construction land caused a decrease of 1.162 K, the conversion from bare land to urban construction land caused a decrease of 1.175 K, the conversion from grassland to water bodies caused a decrease of 2.319 K, and the conversion from bare land to water bodies caused a decrease of 4.118 K. In summer, the conversion of grassland to built-up urban land and bare land to built-up urban land decreased the temperature by 1.241 K and 1.047 K, respectively, and the conversion of grassland to water bodies and bare land to water bodies decreased the temperature by 2.762 K and 4.022 K, respectively.

From the above analysis, it can be concluded that urban land significantly raised the average temperature, and water bodies lowered the average temperature. Both types of land significantly reduced the diurnal temperature difference, but the reasons for the formation of this phenomenon are different. Urban construction land raised both the minimum and maximum temperatures, but the increase in the minimum temperature was much greater than the increase in the maximum temperature, thus producing a reduction in the diurnal temperature difference. Water bodies, on the other hand, significantly lowered the maximum temperature and raised the minimum temperature to achieve the effect of a lower diurnal temperature difference. For other types of transitions, the resulting effects were not significant.

### 3.4 Impacts of land use change on the surface energy budget

To better evaluate the effect of land use type on temperature, it is also necessary to analyze the effect of different substrates on the surface energy balance. The following figure illustrates the effect of land use type change on the latent heat flux (LH), sensible heat flux (SH) and surface heat flux (GRD) during daytime and nighttime. The effect of land use change in the daytime is more complex than that in the nighttime. In general, the daytime LH of the whole study area before and after the change was 41.907 W/m² and 41.423 W/m², respectively, with an average decrease of 0.487 W/m². The conversion to built-up urban land caused a significant decrease in LH values, the most obvious ones being the conversion from grassland to built-up urban land and the conversion from bare land to built-up urban land, which decreased by 13.888 W/m² and 21.983 W/m², respectively. The conversion to urban built-up land and the conversion of bare land to urban built-up land were the most obvious, decreasing by 13.888 W/m² and 21.983 W/m², respectively, while the nighttime LH was 2.617 W/m² and 2.660 W/m², increasing by 0.043 W/m² on average, and it did not produce significant changes between the conversions of each category.

In contrast to the LH, a different change in the SH was observed. The SH was 181.991 W/m² and 181.378 W/m² during the day in both experiments, with an average decrease of 0.616 W/m² in 2018 compared to 1980. Unlike the effect on the LH, the conversion to built-up urban land caused an increase in the SH, most notably the conversion of bare land to built-up urban land, which increased the SH by nearly 26.252 W/m². During the nighttime hours, the SH was -17.709 W/m² and -17.366 W/m² for the two experiments, with an average increase of 0.342 W/m². In contrast to the LH, each land type conversion did not cause a large SH change.

The GRD, as one of the key terms of energy expenditure, is influenced by net radiation and directly related to the thermodynamic properties of the subsurface. When the GRD value is less than 0, it means that heat enters the soil, and conversely, when the GRD value is greater than 0, it means that heat is released from the soil to the surface (Elizabeth et al. 2018, Nambiar et al. 2020). During the daytime, the GRDs of the two experiments were -118.07 W/m² and -118.412 W/m², with an overall average decrease of 0.753 W/m². The conversion of grassland, cropland and bare land to built-up urban land resulted in larger changes of -33.781 W/m², -11.787 W/m² and -56.145 W/m², respectively, while built-up urban land without conversion also produced a change of -15.822 W/m², indicating that urbanization also had a large impact on the original urban area. At night, however, the conversion to built-up urban land still caused a significant change in GRD values compared to the other conversions, but not as dramatic as during the day, most notably with the conversion of bare land to built-up urban land, which caused a change of 14.899 W/m².
From the above analysis, it is revealed that for the whole region, the energy changes caused by built-up urban land are the most significant, which also led to more significant temperature changes in these regions. Built-up urban land reduced the LH value and allowed more energy to be used to raise the SH value, which also led to significantly higher urban temperatures than other land types. The impervious surfaces used for urbanization were more likely to absorb heat during the day than ordinary land, which allowed more energy to enter the soil during the day and raise the surface temperature and more energy to be released as heat during the night, which is why urbanization reduced the temperature difference between day and night. Additionally, because of the impermeable surface, the energy changed more dramatically during the day than during the night.

Table 5
Daytime energy variation

|          | 2018 |          |          | 2018 |          |          | 2018 |          |          | 2018 |          |          |
|----------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|----------|
|          | LH   | SH       | GRD      | LH   | SH       | GRD      | LH   | SH       | GRD      | LH   | SH       | GRD      |
| Grassland| -0.239 | -0.298 | -0.327 | 1.654 | 0.051 | -1.813 | 3.966 | 1.106 | 2.553 | 15.033 | 1.851 |
| Urban    | -13.888 | 6.692 | -33.781 | -6.112 | 5.447 | -15.822 | -5.945 | -3.731 | -11.787 | -21.983 | 26.252 | -56.145 |
| Cropland | 1.137 | -5.283 | -2.602 | - | - | - | -6.45 | 1.438 | -1.908 | 0.560 | -0.877 | -0.201 |
| Bare land| -2.456 | -18.302 | -0.020 | - | - | - | -0.979 | 1.261 | -0.330 | -0.289 | -0.174 | 0.215 |

Table 6
Nocturnal energy changes

|          | 2018 |          |          | 2018 |          |          | 2018 |          |          | 2018 |          |          |
|----------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|----------|------|----------|----------|
|          | LH   | SH       | GRD      | LH   | SH       | GRD      | LH   | SH       | GRD      | LH   | SH       | GRD      |
| Grassland| 0.011 | 0.198 | 0.082 | -0.036 | 0.007 | 0.000 | -0.323 | -0.419 | 0.443 | -0.285 | -0.859 |
| Urban    | -0.746 | 5.819 | 9.256 | -0.415 | 2.811 | 4.294 | -0.308 | 2.502 | 3.259 | -1.400 | 8.651 | 14.899 |
| Cropland | -0.090 | 0.972 | 0.947 | - | - | - | -0.057 | 0.400 | 0.541 | -0.012 | 0.305 | 0.044 |
| Bare land| 0.450 | 1.268 | -0.373 | - | - | - | -0.082 | 0.446 | 0.206 | 0.127 | 0.298 | -0.194 |

4 Discussion

In this study, we used high-resolution land use data to simulate and assess the climate of Xilinhot city. Due to urbanization and coal mining, the built-up urban land in Xilinhot city changed significantly during 1980–2018. The changes in ground conditions have a significant impact on the local climate. Vegetation changes directly affect the regional climate through energy exchange between the ground and air; however, this feedback can be complex. In our study, we used the WRF model to quantify spring and summer climate changes in Xilinhot city, and the high correlation coefficient and low model bias between the model simulations and observations showed the good performance of the model. The results showed that land use type changes have a greater impact on summer temperatures in Xilinhot than in spring, urban land can significantly increase the average temperature, and water bodies can decrease the average temperature. Both types of land use significantly reduce the diurnal temperature difference, but the reasons for this phenomenon are different. Urban land use increases both the minimum and maximum temperatures, but it increases the minimum temperature much more than the maximum temperature, thus producing a reduction in the diurnal temperature difference. Water bodies, on the other hand, significantly decrease the maximum temperature and increase the minimum temperature to achieve the effect of a lower diurnal temperature difference. For the diurnal energy change, the energy change caused by urban construction sites is the most obvious. The increase in urban construction sites causes a surge in the area of impermeable surfaces, which allows more energy to enter the soil during the day while reducing the latent heat flux, thus allowing more energy to flow via the sensible heat flux, which leads to high temperatures in urban areas. At night, the energy in the soil starts to be released as heat, which leads to higher nighttime temperatures, which in turn leads to lower diurnal temperature differences in urban areas.

5 Conclusions
In this study, the WRF model was used to quantitatively assess the climate transformations caused by land use changes in Xilinhot city. From 1980 to 2018, the main vegetation types in Xilinhot city did not change substantially, but the physical properties of the land surface were changed due to the increasing built-up urban area due to coal mining and urbanization. However, the average local temperature did not increase, which is mainly because the main land types in the area did not change substantially, and the significant temperature changes were mainly concentrated in the areas converted to urban construction land and water bodies. The urban construction land warmed, while the water bodies had a significant cooling effect, which was particularly pronounced in summer. At the same time, both types of land conversion caused a reduction in diurnal temperature differences. This temperature shift was directly related to the change in energy distribution between the land and air, with built-up urban sites enabling more energy to be used to raise SH values, resulting in significantly higher urban temperatures than in other land types. The results of the study showed that although the average temperature change from 1980 to 2018 was not significant, mainly due to the vast grassland area in the region, local changes in temperature and energy aggregation were obvious, and uncontrolled coal mining and urban expansion will most likely cause abrupt changes in the climate of the region in some places, which will affect the whole region.
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**Figures**

Figure 1
Illustration of the study area and three nested model domains used in the WRF simulations: (a) land status before mining, (b) land status during mining, and (c) land status after mining.

**Figure 2**

Technological flow chart
Figure 3

Change in the land occupation rate
Land use type change (left, 1980; right, 2018)

**Figure 5**

Model accuracy validation

**Figure 6**

Spatial pattern of temperature variation between spring and summer, (a) difference in the mean daily mean 2 m temperature, (b) difference in the maximum daily mean 2 m temperature, (c) difference in the minimum daily mean 2 m temperature, (d) difference in the diurnal temperature range (DTR) (K) of the daily mean 2 m temperature range

**Figure 7**

Effect of different land use conversion types on temperature, (a) average temperature, (b) minimum temperature, (c) maximum temperature, and (d) diurnal temperature. GL, BU, CL, BL, SL, and WW represent grassland, built-up urban land, cropland, bare land, sparse shrubs, and water bodies, respectively
Figure 8

Diurnal energy variation