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N,N’-diethylurea (DEU) was employed as a ligand to form the octahedral complexes [M(DEU)6]2+ (M=Co, Ni and Zn). Compounds [Co(DEU)6](BF4)2 (1), [Co(DEU)6](CIO4)2 (2), [Ni(DEU)6](CIO4)2 (3), and [Zn(DMU)6](CIO4)2 (4) have been prepared from the reactions of DEU and the appropriate hydrated metal(II) salts in EtOH in the presence of 2,2-dimethoxypropane. Crystal structure determinations demonstrate the existence of [M(DEU)6]2+ cations and CIO4− (in 2–4) or BF4− (in 1) counterions. The [M(DEU)6]2+ cations in the solid state are stabilized by a pseudochelate effect due to the existence of six strong intracationic N-H⋯O(DEU) hydrogen bonds. The [M(DEU)6]2+ cations and counterions self-assemble to form hydrogen-bonded 2D architectures in 2–4 that conform to the kgd (kagome dual) network, and a 3D hydrogen-bonded rtl (rutile) network in 1. The nature of the resulting supramolecular structures is influenced by the nature of the counter-ion. The complexes were also characterized by vibrational spectroscopy (IR).

1. Introduction

In 1828, Wöhler attempted to synthesize ammonium cyanate by reacting silver isocyanate (AgNCO) with ammonium chloride (NH4Cl). The outcome of this failed attempt was urea H2NCONH2 (U, Scheme 1) which represents the first organic molecule synthesized in the laboratory from purely inorganic materials [1]. Urea has also been recognized as the first organic molecule that was synthesized without the involvement of any living system [1]. Nowadays, urea represents not only an important molecule in biology [2] but also an important raw material in chemical industry [3].

Restricting further discussion to the coordination chemistry of urea and its substituted derivatives, metal-urea complexes have attracted considerable interest since the discovery of the active site of urease, a metalloenzyme that catalyzes the hydrolysis of urea into carbon dioxide and ammonia [4, 5]. Considerable efforts have been devoted to devise useful bioinorganic models for the active site of urease and provide information for the intermediates and its catalytic mechanism. That in turn drove to the structural and spectroscopic characterization of many metal-urea complexes [6]. Urea usually coordinates as a monodentate ligand through the oxygen atom, forming a C=O⋯M angle considerably smaller than 180°, in accordance with the sp2 hybridization of the O atom (A in Scheme 2). The rare N,O-bidentate coordination mode (B in Scheme 2) has been found in a very limited number of cases [7, 8], while in [Hg2Cl4U2] each U molecule bridges the two HgII atoms through the oxygen atom [9] (C in Scheme 2). Of particular chemical/biological interest is the ability of U to undergo metal-promoted deprotonation [4, 10]; the monoanionic ligand H2NCONH− adopts the μ2 (D in Scheme 2) and μ3 (E in Scheme 2) coordination modes.
The N,N’-alkyl symmetrically substituted derivatives of urea (RHNCONHR), such as the N,N’-dimethylurea (DMU) and N,N’-diethylurea (DEU) (Scheme 1) have only been found to coordinate as monodentate ligands through the oxygen atom (F in Scheme 2).

Urea and its substituted derivatives have been extensively studied within the frame of organic crystal engineering due to their ability to form extended hydrogen bonded frameworks. In particular, symmetrically substituted ureas (i.e., RHNCONHR) form α-networks with each urea molecule donating two hydrogen bonds and "chelating" the carbonyl oxygen of the next molecule in the network. In contrast to the great number of studies concerning free ureas [11–15], little is known about the supramolecular structures based on hydrogen bonding interactions between simple metal-urea complexes. Over the last decade, we have been studying the coordination chemistry of urea and its symmetrically substituted derivative DMU [16–21]. In all cases, ureas form stable complexes which are further connected to create extended frameworks by intermolecular/interionic hydrogen bond interactions. Despite the large number of metal-urea complexes which have been structurally characterized, the metal-DMU complexes are considerably less studied while there only three reports with crystal structures of metal-DEU complexes [22–24]. In this report we present our first results from the study of metal-DEU complexes, extending the known crystal structures of metal-DEU complexes to seven.

2. Experiments

All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions using materials and solvents as received. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer PC16 FT-IR spectrometer with samples prepared as KBr pellets. C, H and N elemental analyses were performed with a Carlo Erba EA 108 analyzer.

Caution. Perchlorate salts are potentially explosive. Although no detonation tendencies have been observed in our experiments, caution is advised and handling of only small quantities is recommended.

[Co(DEU)₆](BF₄)₂ (1). A pink solution of Co(BF₄)₂·6H₂O (0.68 g, 2.0 mmol) in EtOH (30 mL) and dimethoxypropane (DMP) (2.5 mL) was refluxed for 20 minutes, cooled to room temperature and then treated with solid DEU (1.40 g, 12 mmol). No noticeable colour change occurred. The reaction mixture was refluxed for a further 15 minutes, cooled to room temperature, and layered with Et₂O (30 mL). Slow mixing gave pink crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography, which were collected by filtration, washed with cold EtOH (2 mL) and Et₂O, and dried in vacuo over CaCl₂. Typical yields were in the 70–80% range. Found %: C, 38.96; H, 7.59; N, 17.90. Calc % for C₃₀H₇₂N₁₂O₆CoB₂F₈: C, 38.77; H, 7.81; N, 18.08. IR data (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3332 sb, 2976 s, 2934 m, 2878 m, 1626 vs, 1576 vs, 1482 w, 1454 m, 1380 m,
A pink-red solution of Co(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (0.73 g, 2.0 mmol) in EtOH (20 mL) and dimethoxypropane (DMP) (2.5 mL) was refluxed for 20 minutes, cooled to room temperature and then treated with solid DEU (1.40 g, 12 mmol). No noticeable colour change occurred. The reaction mixture was refluxed for a further 20 minutes, cooled to room temperature and then treated with solid DEU (1.40 g, 12 mmol). No noticeable colour change occurred. The reaction mixture was refluxed for a further 20 minutes, cooled to room temperature and layered with Et$_2$O (50 mL). Slow mixing gave pink crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography, which were collected by filtration, washed with cold EtOH (2 mL) and Et$_2$O, and dried in vacuo over CaCl$_2$. Typical yields were in the 75–85% range. Found %: C, 37.90; H, 7.45; N, 17.82. Calc % for C$_{30}$H$_{72}$N$_{12}$O$_{14}$Cl$_2$: C, 37.74; H, 7.60; N, 17.60. IR data (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3328 sb, 2972 s, 2934 m, 2876 w, 1628 vs, 1570 vs, 1482 w, 1452 w, 1378 w, 1338 m, 1296 w, 1264 w, 1142 s, 1114 s, 1086 s, 926 w, 890 w, 768 w, 626 m.

A pale green solution of Ni(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (0.73 g, 2.0 mmol) in EtOH (15 mL) and dimethoxypropane (DMP) (2.5 mL) was refluxed for 15 minutes, cooled to room temperature and then treated with solid DEU (1.40 g, 12 mmol). No noticeable colour change occurred. The reaction mixture was refluxed for a further 20 minutes, cooled to room temperature and layered with Et$_2$O (30 mL). Slow mixing gave green crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography, which were collected by filtration, washed with cold EtOH (2 mL) and Et$_2$O, and dried in vacuo over CaCl$_2$. Typical yields were in the 75–85% range. Found %: C, 37.75; H, 7.60; N, 17.61. IR data (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3328 sb, 2976 m, 2934 w, 2876 w, 1636 vs, 1570 vs, 1508 w, 1450 m, 1380 w, 1334 w, 1268 m, 1146 s, 1118 s, 1086 s, 922 w, 772 w, 626 m.

A colourless solution of Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (0.74 g, 2.0 mmol) in EtOH (10 mL) and dimethoxypropane (DMP) (2.5 mL) was refluxed for 20 minutes, cooled to room temperature and then treated with solid DEU (1.40 g, 12 mmol). No noticeable colour change occurred. The reaction mixture was refluxed for a further 20 minutes, cooled to room temperature and layered with Et$_2$O (25 mL). Slow mixing gave colourless crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography, which were collected by filtration, washed with cold EtOH (2 mL) and Et$_2$O, and dried in vacuo over CaCl$_2$. Typical yields were in the 75–85% range. Found %: C, 37.62; H, 7.39; N, 17.60. Calc % for C$_{30}$H$_{72}$N$_{12}$O$_{14}$Cl$_2$: C, 37.49; H, 7.55; N, 17.49. IR data (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3340 sb, 2972 s, 2932 m, 2876 w, 1624 vs, 1582 vs, 1484 w, 1456 w, 1380 w, 1334 w, 1262 m, 1144 s, 1114 s, 1088 s, 924 w, 772 w, 636 m.

2.1. X-ray Crystallography. X-ray data were collected at 298 K using a Crystal Logic Dual Goniometer diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-$K\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Lorentz, polarization, and $\Psi$-scan absorption corrections were applied using Crystal Logic software. The structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-86 [25] and refined by full-matrix least-squares techniques on $F^2$ with SHELXL-97 [26]. Details of the data collection and refinement are given in Table 1. Topological analysis of the nets was performed using TOPOS program package [27, 28].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthetic Comments. The preparation of the three complexes reported here is summarized in (1):

$$\text{MX}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 6\text{DEU} \xrightarrow{\text{EtOH}} \frac{\text{M(DEU)}_6\text{X}_2 + 6\text{H}_2\text{O}}{\text{T, DMP}}$$

where $M = \text{Co}, X = \text{BF}_4$ (1); $M = \text{Co}, X = \text{ClO}_4$ (2); $M = \text{Ni}, X = \text{ClO}_4$ (3); $M = \text{Zn}, X = \text{ClO}_4$ (4).

$2,2'$-dimethoxypropane (DMP), is a known dehydrating agent which under heating eliminates the possibility of $[\text{M(Deu)}_6\text{X}_2]$ formation in solution.

Complexes 1–4 seem to be the only products from the $\text{MX}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{DEU}$ reaction systems (M=Co, Ni, Zn, X= ClO$_4$ and M=Co, X=BF$_4$). Changing the solvent from EtOH to MeCN to THF and Me$_2$CO as well as the DEU: M$^I$ reaction ratio from 6 : 1 to 12 : 1, 8 : 1, 4 : 1 and 3 : 1 does not seem to influence the identity of the products.

3.2. Description of Structures. Bond distances and angles for complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4 are listed in Tables 2, 3, 4, and 5, respectively. ORTEP plots of the cations $[\text{Co(Deu)}_6]^{2+}$, $[\text{Ni(Deu)}_6]^{2+}$, and $[\text{Zn(Deu)}_6]^{2+}$ present in complexes 1, 2, 3, and 4 are shown in Figures 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. Details of the hydrogen bonds of 1, 2, 3, and 4 are provided in Tables 6, 7, 8, and 9, respectively. Complexes 2, 3, and 4 crystallise in the trigonal system group $P \overline{3}c$ and are isosstructural. Complex 1 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$. The structures of 2–4 consist of almost perfect octahedral $[\text{M(Deu)}_6]^{2+}$ cations and ClO$_4^-$ counterions, while the same $[\text{M(Deu)}_6]^{2+}$ cation and BF$_4^-$ anions are
Table 1: Crystal data and structure refinement for 1–4.

| Compound | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|
| Empirical formula | C$_{30}$H$_{72}$B$_2$CoF$_8$N$_{12}$O$_6$ | C$_{30}$H$_{72}$CoCl$_2$N$_{12}$O$_{14}$ | C$_{30}$H$_{72}$NiCl$_2$N$_{12}$O$_{14}$ | C$_{30}$H$_{72}$ZnCl$_2$N$_{12}$O$_{14}$ |
| Formula weight | 929.52 | 954.81 | 954.59 | 961.26 |
| Crystal size | 0.10 × 0.20 × 0.20 | 0.10 × 0.15 × 0.20 | 0.10 × 0.15 × 0.20 | 0.10 × 0.20 × 0.20 |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | triclinic | triclinic | triclinic |
| Space group | P$_2_1$/c | P $\overline{1}$ | P $\overline{1}$ | P $\overline{1}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection. | $1.85 \leq \theta \leq 25.00$ | $1.93 \leq \theta \leq 25.00$ | $1.76 \leq \theta \leq 25.00$ | $1.76 \leq \theta \leq 25.00$ |
| $a$, Å | 9.495(3) | 13.341(5) | 9.063(3) | 9.092(6) |
| $b$, Å | 22.063(7) | 11.935(4) | 11.951(6) | 11.978(9) |
| $c$, Å | 12.615(4) | 9.052(3) | 13.357(6) | 13.370(10) |
| $\alpha$, ° | 90 | 101.925(12) | 114.54(2) | 114.34(2) |
| $\beta$, ° | 109.932(11) | 100.871(11) | 100.74(2) | 100.91(2) |
| $\gamma$, ° | 90 | 114.455(10) | 102.03(2) | 102.07(2) |
| V, Å$^3$ | 2484.6(14) | 1221.3(7) | 1225.1(9) | 1233.7(15) |
| Z | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\rho$(cryst), g cm$^{-3}$ | 1.242 | 1.298 | 1.294 | 1.294 |
| $\mu$, mm$^{-1}$ | 0.423 | 0.528 | 0.572 | 0.672 |
| GOF | 1.024 | 1.054 | 1.054 | 1.055 |
| R1$^a$ | 0.0615 | 0.0571 | 0.0570 | 0.0817 |
| wR2 | 0.1978 | 0.1723 | 0.1799 | 0.2533 |

$^a$I > 2σ(I).

Figure 2: An ORTEP representation of the cation [Co(DEU)$_6$]$^{2+}$ present in complex 2. Open bonds indicate intramolecular hydrogen bonds. The symmetry-equivalent atoms are not labeled.

Figure 3: An ORTEP representation of the cation [Ni(DEU)$_6$]$^{2+}$ present in complex 3. Open bonds indicate intramolecular hydrogen bonds. The symmetry-equivalent atoms are not labeled.

In all four structures, the metal ion sits on an inversion centre and is surrounded by six O-bonded DEU ligands. The M–O$_{\text{DEU}}$ bond distances in 1–4 are comparable to those in [M(DMU)$_6$]$^{2+}$ [17, 18]. The average M–O$_{\text{DEU}}$ bond lengths change according to the sequence 1 [2.098 Å] ≈ 2 [2.096 Å] > 3 [2.072 Å] < 4 [2.108 Å] following the Irving-Williams series [29]. The DEU molecules in 1–4 are coordinated in a bent fashion forming C=O···M angles ranging from 127.6° to 132.5°. This is the usual way of coordination of urea and its derivatives and has been observed in the similar [M(DMU)$_6$]$^X_2$ complexes [16–21]. Linearly or approximately linearly coordinated ureas are rare and have been observed only in a few cases [21]. There are six strong intramolecular (intracationic) hydrogen bonds inside each cation with atoms N(1), N(11), and N(21) (and their symmetry equivalents) as donors, and atoms
Table 2: Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 1.

| Bond/Angle | Value (Å/°) |
|------------|------------|
| Co–O(1)    | 2.094(2)   |
| Co–O(11)   | 2.088(2)   |
| Co–O(21)   | 2.112(2)   |
| O(1)–C(3)  | 1.262(4)   |
| O(11)–C(13)| 1.267(4)   |
| O(21)–C(23)| 1.256(4)   |
| C(1)–C(2)  | 1.486(6)   |
| C(2)–N(1)  | 1.450(5)   |
| C(2)–N(2)  | 1.325(5)   |
| O(1)–C(3)  | 1.262(4)   |
| O(11)–C(13)| 1.267(4)   |
| O(21)–C(23)| 1.256(4)   |
| C(1)–C(2)  | 1.486(6)   |
| C(2)–N(1)  | 1.450(5)   |
| C(2)–N(2)  | 1.325(5)   |

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 = −x, −y, −z.

O(1), O(11) and O(21) (and their symmetry equivalents) as acceptors for 1, 3 and 4 and N(2), N(12) and N(22) (and their symmetry equivalents) as donors, and atoms O(1), O(11) and O(21) (and their symmetry equivalents) as acceptors for 2. These intracationic hydrogen bonds create six-membered pseudochelate rings providing extra stabilization to the [M(DEU)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{2+} cation. Overall the structural characteristics, that is, bond distances, angles and intracation hydrogen bonding interactions in the [M(DEU)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{2+} resemble those found in the [M(DMU)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{2+} cations [17, 18] with an exception regarding two additional C-H···O H-bonds (and their symmetry equivalent) found in [Co(DMU)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{2+} and [Co(DMU)\textsubscript{6}](BF\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} [18]. Complexes 1–4 extend to seven the number of structurally characterised DEU compounds. The three, previously structurally characterised, compounds are [SnBr\textsubscript{4}(DEU)\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{2+} [22], [Fe(DEU)\textsubscript{6}](ClO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2} [23] and [Mn(DEU)\textsubscript{6}][MnBr\textsubscript{4}] [24]. Complexes 2–4 are isostructural to [Fe(DEU)\textsubscript{6}](ClO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{2}. The average Fe–O\textsubscript{DEU} bond distance is 2.105 following the Irving–Williams series as stated above.

Although the intracationic H-bonding interactions are the same along the [M(DEU)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{2+} series as well as very similar with those found in the [M(DMU)\textsubscript{6}]\textsuperscript{2+} cations, the intermolecular/interionic interactions are quite different. That the complexes 2–4 are isostructural implies that the interionic hydrogen bonding interactions are the same.
Table 3: Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 2.

| Bond/Angle | Distances (Å) |
|------------|---------------|
| Co–O(1)    | 2.090(2)      |
| Co–O(11)   | 2.100(2)      |
| Co–O(21)   | 2.097(2)      |
| O(1)–C(3)  | 1.259(4)      |
| O(11)–C(13)| 1.260(4)      |
| O(21)–C(23)| 1.265(4)      |
| C(1)–C(2)  | 1.329(8)      |
| C(11)–C(12)| 1.456(7)      |
| C(3)–O(1)  | 1.259(4)      |
| O(1)#1–Co–O(1)| 180.00(9)  |
| O(1)#1–Co–O(21)| 86.56(9)  |
| O(1)–Co–O(21)| 93.44(9)   |
| O(1)#1–Co–O(21)#1| 93.44(9)  |
| O(1)–Co–O(21)#1| 86.56(9)   |
| O(21)–Co–O(21)#1| 180.00(16) |
| O(1)#1–Co–O(11)| 93.24(9)   |
| O(1)–Co–O(11)#1| 86.76(9)   |
| O(21)–Co–O(11)| 180.00(11) |
| O(21)#1–Co–O(11)| 93.78(8)   |
| O(1)#1–Co–O(11)#1| 129.82(19) |
| O(11)–Co–O(11)#1| 129.82(19) |
| C(3)–O(1)–Co | 129.82(19) |
| C(13)–O(11)–Co | 129.3(2)   |
| C(23)–O(21)–Co | 129.46(18) |
| C(1)–C(2)–N(1)| 115.3(6)    |
| C(3)–N(1)–C(2)| 123.4(3)    |
| O(1)–C(3)–N(2)| 121.6(3)    |
| O(1)–C(3)–N(1)| 119.8(3)    |
| N(2)–C(3)–N(1)| 118.5(3)    |

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 $-x, -y, -z$.

Therefore, only the hydrogen bonding of the representative complex 4 will be discussed. The [Zn(DEU)$_6$]$_2^{2+}$ and ClO$_4^-$ ions in 4 have assembled to create an infinite 2D network through three crystallographically independent intermolecular (interionic) N-H···O(perchlorate) hydrogen bonds (and their symmetry related) (Figure 5). Each perchlorate accepts three hydrogen bonds with the O(31), O(32), and O(33) atoms acting as hydrogen bond acceptors while each [Zn(DEU)$_6$]$_2^{2+}$ connects to six ClO$_4^-$ anions through the remaining N-H groups (Figure 5). As a consequence of the participation of O(31), O(32), and O(33) in hydrogen bonding, the Cl–O(31), Cl–O(32), and Cl–O(33) bond lengths [1.402(1), 1.318(1) and 1.440(1) Å, resp.] are slightly longer than the Cl–O(34) [1.290(1) Å]. In this arrangement, a binodal (3,6)-connected network forms with Schläfli symbol $(4^4)_{(4^6.6^6.8^8)}$ (Figure 6). This two-dimensional (2D) hydrogen-bonded kgd net is the dual of the kagome kgm-(3,6.3,6) net. It is worth noting that the 2D network adopted by 2–4 was not adopted by any of the [M(DMU)$_6$](ClO$_4$)$_2$ complexes [17, 18] suggesting that the substitution of DMU by DEU substantially changes the intermolecular (interionic) interactions probably due to the larger ethyl groups (in DEU) instead of the smaller methyl groups (in DMU). Similar 2D networks have been adopted by [Zn(DMU)$_6$](ClO$_4$)$_2$ [17] and [Co(DMU)$_6$](BF$_4$)$_2$ [18] with the ClO$_4^-$ and the BF$_4^-$ anions acting as 3-connected nodes and the [M(DMU)$_6$]$_2^{2+}$...
Table 4: Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 3.

| Bond Lengths | Angles |
|--------------|--------|
| Ni–O(1)      | 2.068(2) |
| Ni–O(11)     | 2.073(2) |
| Ni–O(21)     | 2.076(2) |
| O(1)–C(3)    | 1.257(4) |
| O(11)–C(13)  | 1.266(4) |
| O(21)–C(23)  | 1.263(4) |
| C(1)–C(2)    | 1.498(7) |
| C(2)–N(1)    | 1.462(5) |
| N(1)–C(3)    | 1.329(5) |
| C(3)–N(2)    | 1.336(5) |
| N(2)–C(4)    | 1.458(7) |
| O(1)#1–Ni–O(1) | 180.00(11) |
| O(1)#1–Ni–O(11) | 86.68(10) |
| O(1)–Ni–O(1) | 93.32(10) |
| O(1)#1–Ni–O(11)#1 | 93.32(10) |
| O(1)–Ni–O(11)#1 | 86.68(10) |
| O(11)–Ni–O(11)#1 | 93.76(10) |
| O(1)–Ni–O(21) | 86.72(10) |
| O(1)–Ni–O(21)#1 | 93.28(10) |
| O(11)–Ni–O(21)#1 | 93.76(10) |
| O(11)–Ni–O(21) | 86.24(10) |
| O(11)#1–Ni–O(21) | 93.76(10) |
| O(21)#1–Ni–O(21) | 180.00(13) |
| C(3)–O(1)–Ni | 130.2(2) |
| C(13)–O(11)–Ni | 129.4(2) |
| C(23)–O(21)–Ni | 129.5(2) |
| N(1)–C(2)–C(1) | 110.3(4) |
| C(3)–N(1)–C(2) | 125.5(3) |
| O(1)–C(3)–N(1) | 129.4(2) |
| N(1)–C(3)–N(2) | 118.3(3) |

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 = −x, −y, −z.

acting as 6-connected nodes but the connections are achieved through two N-H···X and one C-H···X hydrogen bonds (and their symmetry equivalents), (X = O(perchlorate) or F(tetrafluoroborate), resp.).

The intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions in 1 are far more interesting than those in 2–4. The [Co(DEU)6]2+ and the BF4− ions have assembled to create a three-dimensional (3D) hydrogen-bonded framework through three crystallographically independent intermolecular (interionic) N-H···F(tetrafluoroborate) hydrogen bonds (and their symmetry equivalents). Each BF4− ion accepts three hydrogen bonds with the F(1), F(2) and F(3) atoms acting as hydrogen bond acceptors while each [Co(DEU)6]2+ connects to six BF4− anions through the remaining N-H groups (Figure 7). In this arrangement, a (3,6)-connected network forms with the [Co(DEU)6]2+ cations acting as the 6-connected nodes and the BF4− anions as the 3-connected nodes. Although the connectivity of each ion seems identical to that found in 2–4, the arrangement of the [Co(DEU)6]2+ and BF4− ions is quite different resulting in a binodal 3D hydrogen-bonded
Table 5: Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 4.

| Bond/Angle | Length/Distance | Angle | Standard Deviation |
|------------|----------------|-------|--------------------|
| Zn–O(1)    | 2.108(3)       |       |                    |
| Zn–O(11)   | 2.107(3)       |       |                    |
| Zn–O(21)   | 2.111(3)       |       |                    |
| O(1)–C(3)  | 1.265(5)       |       |                    |
| O(11)–C(13)| 1.263(5)       |       |                    |
| O(21)–C(23)| 1.279(5)       |       |                    |
| C(1)–C(2)  | 1.485(10)      |       |                    |
| N(1)–C(3)  | 1.330(6)       |       |                    |
| C(13)–N(12)| 1.328(6)       |       |                    |
| C(14)–C(15)| 1.313(12)      |       |                    |
| C(21)–C(22)| 1.208(14)      |       |                    |
| N(21)–C(23)| 1.469(7)       |       |                    |
| N(12)–C(14)| 1.470(9)       |       |                    |
| O(1)–C(3)  | 1.265(5)       |       |                    |
| O(11)–C(13)| 1.263(5)       |       |                    |
| O(21)–C(23)| 1.279(5)       |       |                    |
| C(1)–C(2)  | 1.485(10)      |       |                    |
| N(1)–C(3)  | 1.330(6)       |       |                    |
| C(13)–N(12)| 1.328(6)       |       |                    |
| C(14)–C(15)| 1.313(12)      |       |                    |
| C(21)–C(22)| 1.208(14)      |       |                    |
| N(21)–C(23)| 1.469(7)       |       |                    |
| N(12)–C(14)| 1.470(9)       |       |                    |

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: \#1 \(-x, -y, -z\).

Table 6: Dimensions of the unique hydrogen bonds (distances in Å and angles in °) for complex 1.

| Hydrogen Bond | Distance | Angle | Standard Deviation |
|---------------|----------|-------|--------------------|
| N(1)–H(1)···O(11) | 2.952(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(11)–H(11)···O(21) | 2.878(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(21)–H(21)···O(1) | 2.861(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(2)–H(2)···F(1) | 2.930(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(12)–H(12)···F(2) | 2.947(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(22)–H(22)···F(3) | 2.964(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(11)–H(11)···O(11) | 2.952(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(11)–H(11)···O(21) | 2.878(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(21)–H(21)···O(1) | 2.861(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(2)–H(2)···F(1) | 2.930(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(12)–H(12)···F(2) | 2.947(1) | 180.00 |                    |
| N(22)–H(22)···F(3) | 2.964(1) | 180.00 |                    |

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: \#1 \(-x, -y, -z\).

\^Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: a \(-x, -y, -z\); b 1–x, 0.5+y, 0.5–z; c 1–x, –y, 1–z.

\^D = donor atom.

\^A = acceptor atom.
Table 7: Dimensions of the unique hydrogen bonds (distances in Å and angles in °) for complex 2.

| D···H···A | D···A | H···A | <D|H|A |
|------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| N(2)–H(2)···O(21) | 2.905(1) | 2.154(1) | 155.07(4) |
| N(12)–H(12)···O(1)a | 2.914(1) | 2.177(1) | 159.29(3) |
| N(22)–H(22)···O(11)a | 2.908(1) | 2.153(1) | 153.49(2) |
| N(1)–H(1)···O(31)b | 3.081(1) | 2.381(1) | 151.68(3) |
| N(11)–H(11)···O(32) | 3.013(1) | 2.234(1) | 150.97(2) |
| N(21)–H(21)···O(33)c | 3.072(1) | 2.386(1) | 160.41(5) |

†Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: a −x, −y, −z; b −x, 1−y, 1−z; c 1−x, 1−y, 1−z.
‡D = donor atom.
§A = acceptor atom.

Table 8: Dimensions of the unique hydrogen bonds (distances in Å and angles in °) for complex 3.

| D···H···A | D···A | H···A | <D|H|A |
|------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|
| N(1)–H(1)···O(11) | 2.886(1) | 2.055(1) | 156.94(5) |
| N(11)–H(11)···O(21)a | 2.892(1) | 2.082(1) | 155.01(5) |
| N(21)–H(21)···O(1)a | 2.890(2) | 2.091(1) | 154.16(6) |
| N(2)–H(2)···O(31) | 3.097(1) | 2.343(1) | 159.73(6) |
| N(12)–H(12)···O(33)b | 3.077(2) | 2.390(1) | 156.88(5) |
| N(22)–H(22)···O(33)c | 3.038(1) | 2.369(1) | 144.26(5) |

†Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: a −x, −y, −z; b x, y, 1+z; c 1−x, 1−y, −z.
‡D = donor atom.
§A = acceptor atom.

Figure 4: An ORTEP representation of the cation [Co(DEU)6]2+ present in complex 4. Open bonds indicate intramolecular hydrogen bonds. The symmetry-equivalent atoms are not labeled.

Figure 5: A view of the 2D framework formed by hydrogen bonding between the [Zn(DEU)6]2+ cations and the ClO4− anions in 4. The same framework is adopted by complexes 2 and 3.

network with a rutile (rtI) topology [30, 31] and Schl"afli symbol (4.62)2(42.610.83) (Figure 8). It is worth noting that none of the [M(DMU)6]X2 complexes [17, 18] adopts a 3D net.

3.3. Vibrational Spectra of the Complexes. Table 10 gives diagnostic IR bands of the free ligand and complexes 1–4. Assignments have been given in comparison with the data obtained for the free DMU [32], the free DEU [33] and its Co(II) and Ni(II) complexes [34]. The bands with ν(CN) character are situated at higher wavenumbers in the spectra of 1–4 than for free DEU, whereas the ν(CO) band shows a frequency decrease. These shifts are consistent with oxygen coordination, suggesting the presence of +N=C−O−.
Table 9: Dimensions of the unique hydrogen bonds (distances in Å and angles in °) for complex 4.†

|                                | D−H···A | D···A | H···A | <D−HA |<D−H, A |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| N(1)–H(1)···O(21)a             | 2.905(2)| 1.876(1)| 150.35(6)| 150.35(6)|        |
| N(11)–H(11)···O(1)             | 2.904(2)| 1.932(2)| 152.80(6)| 152.80(6)|        |
| N(21)–H(21)···O(11)a           | 2.921(2)| 2.314(2)| 162.75(10)| 162.75(10)|        |
| N(2)–H(2)···O(33)              | 3.153(2)| 2.392(2)| 160.19(8)| 160.19(8)|        |
| N(12)–H(12)···O(31)b           | 3.086(2)| 2.234(1)| 155.95(7)| 155.95(7)|        |
| N(22)–H(22)···O(32)c           | 3.035(2)| 2.365(1)| 150.81(8)| 150.81(8)|        |

†Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: a = −x, −y, −z; b x, y, 1 − z; c 1 − x, 1 − y, 1 − z.

‡D = donor atom.
§A = acceptor atom.

Table 10: Most characteristic and diagnostic IR fundamentals (cm−1) for DEU and complexes 1–4.a

| Assignments | DEU | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|-------------|-----|---|---|---|---|
| ν(NH)       | 3342 sb | 3332 sb | 3332 sb | 3328 sb | 3340 sb |
| ν(CH)       | 2973 s, 2932 m, | 2976 s, 2934 m, | 2972 s, 2934 m, | 2976 m, 2934 w, | 2972 s, 2932 m, |
| α(CN)amide + δ(NH) | 1625 vs | 1576 vs | 1570 vs | 1570 vs | 1582 vs |
| ν(CO)       | 1586 vs | 1626 vs | 1628 vs | 1636 vs | 1624 vs |
| δ(NH)       | 1540 m sh | 1454 m | 1452 w | 1450 m | 1456 w |
| δ(C(N)amide ν) | 1259 m | 1338 m | 1338 w | 1334 w | 1334 w |

aKBr pellets.

Figure 6: A view of the 2D hydrogen-bonded kgd-(43)2(46.66.83) net adopted by complexes 2–4. Black spheres represent the 6-connected [M(DEU)6]2+ cations [M = Co (2), Ni (3) and Zn (4)] and yellow spheres the 3-connected ClO4− anions.

resonant forms [17, 18]. Upon coordination via oxygen, the positively charged metal ion stabilizes the negative charge on the oxygen atom; the NCO group now occurs in its polar resonance form and the double bond character of the CN bond increases, while the double bond character of the CO bond decreases, resulting in an increase of the CN stretching frequency with a simultaneous decrease in the CO stretching frequency [17, 18]. The ν1(F2) [νd(BF)] and ν2(F2) [δd(FBF)] vibrations of the tetrahedral (point group Td) BF4− anion appear at 1100-1000 and at 522–580 cm−1 (broad bands), respectively, in the IR spectrum of 1 [35]. The IR spectra of 2–4 exhibit strong bands at ~1100 and 626 cm−1 due to the ν3(F2) and ν4(F2) vibrations, respectively, of the uncoordinated ClO4− [35]. The broad character and splitting of the band at ~1100 cm−1 indicate the involvement of the ClO4− ion in hydrogen bonding as it was established crystallographically (see above).

4. Conclusions

Following our studies on the coordination chemistry of urea (U) and N,N′-dimethylurea (DMU), N,N′-diethylurea (DEU) was employed as a ligand to form the stable octahedral complexes [M(DEU)6]2+ with cobalt(II), nickel(II) and zinc(II). The structural characteristics of the [M(DEU)6]2+ cation are very similar to the DMU analogs, that is, [M(DMU)6]2+. All six DEU molecules are coordinated to metal centre in a bent fashion forming a C=O···M angle of ~130°, while six strong intracationic N-H···O(DEU) hydrogen bonds stabilize the [M(DEU)6]2+ cations by creating six six-membered pseudochelate rings. The [M(DEU)6]2+ cations and counterions (ClO4− or BF4−) self-assemble to form extended hydrogen-bonded architectures via three unique N-H···X hydrogen bonds, (X = O(perchlorate) or F(tetrafluoroborate)). The nature of the resulting supramolecular architectures is influenced by the nature of the counter-ion since the presence of ClO4− counter-ions gives rise to the formation of 2D hydrogen-bonded networks that conform to the kgd net while the presence of BF4− counter-ions results in a 3D hydrogen-bonded net with an rtl topology. By comparing the supramolecular architectures of the [M(DEU)6]X2 complexes, we have shown that DEU is a versatile ligand that can adopt different coordination modes depending on the metal ion and the counter-ion present in the solution.
We are presently pursuing our studies on the 6-connected \([\text{Co(DEU)}_6]^{2+}\) cations and yellow spheres the 3-connected BF\(_4^-\) anions in 1.

**Figure 7:** Views of the 3D framework formed by hydrogen bonds between the \([\text{Co(DEU)}_6]^{2+}\) cations and the BF\(_4^-\) anions in 1.

\[
(\text{X}=\text{ClO}_4 \text{ or BF}_4) \quad \text{and the } [\text{M(DMU)}_6]\text{X}_2 \ (\text{X}=\text{ClO}_4 \text{ or BF}_4)
\]

we can conclude that the substitution of DMU by DEU considerably affected the nature of the hydrogen-bonded networks. We are presently pursuing our studies on the coordination chemistry of urea and its symmetrically or unsymmetrically substituted alkyl derivatives to generate a rich variety of hydrogen-bonded networks.
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