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A close study is made of the static structure factor for graphene in a magnetic field at integer filling factors $\nu$, with focus on revealing possible signatures of “relativistic” quantum field theory in the low-energy physics of graphene. It is pointed out, in particular, that for graphene even the vacuum state has a nonzero density spectral weight, which, together with the structure factor for all $\nu$, grows significantly with increasing wave vector; such unusual features of density correlations are a “relativistic” effect deriving from massless Dirac quasiparticles in graphene. Remarkably it turns out that the zero-energy Landau levels of electrons or holes, characteristic to graphene, remain indistinguishable in density response from the vacuum state, although they are distinct in Hall conductance.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A great deal of attention has recently been directed to graphene, a monolayer of carbon atoms, both experimentally\cite{1,2,3} and theoretically\cite{4,5,6,7,8,9,10}. Graphene is marked with its novel charge carriers that behave like massless Dirac fermions with effective speed of light $v_F \approx 10^6 \text{ m/s} \approx c/300$. It thus provides a special opportunity to study “relativistic” quantum dynamics in condensed-matter systems. Experiments have revealed a number of exotic transport properties of graphene, such as the half-integer quantum Hall (QH) effect and minimal conductivity. The purpose of this paper is to study further aspects of relativistic quantum field theory in the low-energy physics of graphene. It is pointed out, in particular, that for graphene even the vacuum state has a nonzero density spectral weight, which, together with the structure factor for all $\nu$, grows significantly with increasing wave vector; such unusual features of density correlations are a “relativistic” effect deriving from massless Dirac quasiparticles in graphene. Remarkably it turns out that the zero-energy Landau levels of electrons or holes, characteristic to graphene, remain indistinguishable in density response from the vacuum state, although they are distinct in Hall conductance.

It would be important to explore further possible signatures of relativistic quantum field theory in the low-energy physics of graphene. An interesting proposal along this direction is to simulate the Klein paradox\cite{16} (or tunneling) in graphene. Calculations\cite{17} of the dielectric function also reveal that the electromagnetic response of graphene is substantially different from that of conventional two-dimensional systems. The difference becomes even prominent under a strong magnetic field. In particular, for graphene the vacuum state is a dielectric medium and carries an appreciable amount of electric and magnetic susceptibilities over all range of wavelengths; this reflects the presence of the “Dirac sea”. Curiously the zero-energy Landau levels, though distinct in Hall conductance, hardly contribute to the susceptibilities.

The purpose of this paper is to study further aspects of the response of graphene in a magnetic field at integer filling factors $\nu$, with focus on the static structure factor $s(p) \sim (\rho_+ - \rho_-)/p$, which is directly related to the cross section in inelastic light scattering by graphene. In particular, we point out that graphene has unusual characteristics of electronic correlations at short distances, which derive from the “relativistic” nature of massless quasiparticles. It is also shown that the zero-energy Landau levels remain indistinguishable in spectral weight $(\rho_+ - \rho_-)/p$ from the vacuum state.

In Sec. II we briefly review the low-energy effective theory of graphene in a magnetic field and derive, as a preliminary, the structure factor for conventional QH systems. In Sec. III we study the structure factor for graphene. Section IV is devoted to a summary and discussion.

II. LOW-ENERGY EFFECTIVE THEORY

Graphene has a honeycomb lattice consisting of two triangle sublattices of carbon atoms with one electron per site. It is a gapless semiconductor and its low-energy electronic transport is described by an effective Hamiltonian of the form\cite{10}

$$ H = \int d^2x \left[ \psi^\dagger \hat{H}_+ \psi + \chi^\dagger \hat{H}_- \chi \right], $$

$$ \hat{H}_\pm = v_F (\sigma_1 \Pi_1 + \sigma_2 \Pi_2 \pm m \sigma_3) - e A_0, \quad (2.1) $$

where $\Pi_i = -i \partial_i + e A_i$ [$i = (1, 2)$ or $(x, y)$] includes coupling to external electromagnetic potentials $A_\mu = (A_1, A_0)$; $v_F \approx 10^6 \text{ m/s}$ is the Fermi velocity. The two-component spinors $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2)^T$ and $\chi = (\chi_1, \chi_2)^T$ stand for the electron fields near the two inequivalent Fermi points $(K$ and $K')$ where the spectrum becomes linear; $(\psi_1, \chi_2)$ reside on the same sublattice and $(\psi_2, \chi_1)$ on another.

For generality we have introduced a tiny “mass” gap $m > 0$ which spoils the valley SU(2) symmetry of $H$. Actually the observed $\nu = \pm 1$ Hall plateaus suggest such a tiny mass gap\cite{9,10}. We keep $m \neq 0$ to clarify the particle-hole character of the lowest Landau levels, but practically set $m \to 0$.

We suppress the electron spin, which is treated as a global SU(2) symmetry of $H$ by doubling the fields $\psi$ and...
χ. The Zeeman splitting, though ignored for simplicity, is readily incorporated.

The Coulomb interaction is written as

\[ H^{\text{Coul}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} v_p \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}}, \] (2.2)

where \( \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \) is the Fourier transform of the electron number density \( \rho = \psi^\dagger \psi + \chi^\dagger \chi; \ v_p = 2\pi\alpha/(\epsilon_n|\mathbf{p}|) \) is the Coulomb potential with the fine-structure constant \( \alpha = e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0) \approx 1/137 \) and the substrate dielectric constant \( \epsilon_b \). We shall discuss the effect of \( H^{\text{Coul}} \) later.

Let us place graphene in a strong magnetic field and study how the electrons in graphene respond to a weak potential \( A_0(x) \). We set \( A_0 \rightarrow B(-y,0) \) to supply a uniform magnetic field \( B_z = B > 0 \) normal to the sample plane.

When \( A_0 = 0 \), the eigenmodes of \( H \) are Landau levels of \( \psi \) and \( \chi \) of energy

\[ \epsilon_n = s_n \omega_c \sqrt{|n| + m^2\ell^2/2}, \] (2.3)

labeled by integers \( n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots \), and \( p_x \) (or \( y \equiv \ell^2p_x \) with the magnetic length \( \ell \equiv 1/\sqrt{\epsilon_b} \); \( \omega_c = \sqrt{2v_F} \ell/\ell \) is the basic cyclotron frequency. Here \( s_n \equiv \text{sgn}(n) = \pm 1 \) specifies the sign of the energy \( \epsilon_n \).

For \( n \neq 0 \), \( \psi \) and \( \chi \) have the same spectrum symmetric about \( \epsilon = 0 \). The \( n = 0 \) level of \( \psi \) has negative energy \( \epsilon_0 = -v_F m \) while that of \( \chi \) has positive energy \( \epsilon_0 = v_F m \); these \( n = 0 \pm \) levels represent holes and electrons via quantization. With the electron spin taken into account, each Landau level is thus four-fold degenerate, except for the doubly-degenerate \( n = 0 \pm \) levels. The \( n = 0 \pm \) eigenmodes have components only on each separate sublattice.

To make this Landau-level structure explicit, it is useful to pass to the \( |n, y_0 \rangle \) basis, with the expansion

\[ \psi(x,t) = \sum_{n,y_0} \psi_n(x|n,y_0) \psi_n(y_0,t). \] (2.9)

From now on, we shall only display the \( \psi \) and \( \chi \) components since the \( \chi \) component is obtained by reversing the sign of \( m \). The Hamiltonian \( H \) thereby is rewritten as

\[ H = \int dy_0 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_n^\dagger \epsilon_n \psi_n, \] (2.4)

and the charge density \( \rho_{-\mathbf{p}}(t) = \int d^2x e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \psi^\dagger \psi \) as

\[ \rho_{-\mathbf{p}}(t) = e^{-\ell^2\mathbf{p}^2/4} \sum_{k,n=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{kn}^\psi \int dy_0 \psi_k^\dagger e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \psi_n, \] (2.5)

with the coefficient matrix

\[ g_{kn}^\psi(\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ c_n^\dagger c_n^\dagger f_{n1}|n1\rangle \left\langle n1 \right| - c_n^\dagger c_n \left\langle n1 \right| \right] (\mathbf{p}) \] (2.6)

and \( c_n^\dagger = \sqrt{1 \pm v_F m/\epsilon_n}; \ r = (r_1, r_2) = (i\ell^2\partial/\partial y_0, y_0) \) stands for the center coordinate with uncertainty \([r_1, r_2] = \ell^2\). Here the coefficient functions

\[ f_{kn}(\mathbf{p}) = (k|e^{-i(p/\sqrt{\ell})} a^\dagger e^{-i(p'/\sqrt{\ell})} a |n \rangle \] (2.7)

are defined in terms of the harmonic oscillator eigenstates \( \{|n \rangle \} \) with \( a^\dagger a|n \rangle = n|n \rangle \) and \( [a, a^\dagger] = 0; \ p = p_y + ip_x \) and \( p^\dagger = p_y - ip_x \). More explicitly

\[ f_{kn}(\mathbf{p}) = \sqrt{\frac{n^!}{k!}} \left( \frac{ip}{\sqrt{2}} \right)^k L_n^{(k-n)} \left( \frac{4\ell^2 p^2}{2} \right) \] (2.8)

for \( k \geq n \), and \( f_{nk}(\mathbf{p}) = |f_{kn}(-\mathbf{p})|^2 \). Actually \( f_{kn}(\mathbf{p}) \) are the coefficient functions that characterize the charge density for the "nonrelativistic" Hall electrons

\[ \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} = e^{-\ell^2\mathbf{p}^2/4} \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} f_{kn}(\mathbf{p}) \int d y_0 \psi_k^\dagger e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}} \psi_n \] (2.9)

expressed in terms of their eigenmodes \( \{\psi_n(y_0,t)\} \) with energy \( \epsilon_n = (eB/m^*)(n + 1/2) \).

Let us first consider, as an exercise, the static structure factor or the spectral weight \( \langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle \equiv \langle G \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle \) for a state \( |G \rangle \) of free "nonrelativistic" Hall electrons with integer filling factor \( \nu \) (per spin). One may use Eq. (2.4) and note, in taking the matrix element \( \langle G \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle \), that \( \psi_n(y_0,t) \psi_k^\dagger(y_0,t) \rightarrow \delta_{k-k'} \delta(y_0 y_0) \) for unoccupied levels \((k',k)\) so that the result is proportional to the number of electrons per occupied level \( \int d y_0 \psi_n^\dagger \psi_n \rightarrow L_x L_y/(2\pi \ell^2) \). (Note here that \( \delta(y_0 = 0) = L_x/(2\pi \ell^2) \) with \( L_x = \int dx \). This yields the spectral weight

\[ \langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle = \frac{\Omega}{2\pi \ell^2} \int d y_0 \sum_{k,n=0}^{\infty} |f_{kn}(\mathbf{p})|^2 \] (2.10)

for \( \mathbf{p} \neq 0 \), where \( \Omega = L_x L_y \) denotes the total area.

Let us recall that the static structure factor

\[ s(\mathbf{p}) = \langle \rho(\mathbf{p}) \rangle \] (2.11)

is defined by \( \langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle \) with its \( \mathbf{p} = 0 \) component isolated, where \( N_e \equiv \nu \Omega/(2\pi \ell^2) \) stands for the total electron number. Accordingly, in general, \( s(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow 0) = 0 \) owing to charge conservation. Noting the formula

\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |f_{kn}(\mathbf{p})|^2 = e^{-\ell^2\mathbf{p}^2/2} \] (2.12)

allows one to cast Eq. (2.10) into the structure factor at filling factor \( \nu \)

\[ s(\mathbf{p}) = 1 - e^{-\ell^2\mathbf{p}^2/2} \frac{1}{\nu} \sum_{n=0}^{\nu-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} |f_{kn}(\mathbf{p})|^2, \] (2.13)

which agrees with a known result. In particular,

\[ s(\mathbf{p})|_{\nu=1} = 1 - e^{-\ell^2\mathbf{p}^2/2}. \] (2.14)

Note first that \( s(\mathbf{p} \rightarrow 0) \rightarrow 0 \) since \( f_{kn}(0) = \delta_{kn} \). Note also that \( s(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow 1 \) as \( \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \infty \) for all \( \nu \). To see what this means let us recall the following: For a collection of classical particles, \( s(\mathbf{p}) \) is written as

\[ s(\mathbf{p}) - 1 = \left\langle \sum_{\Delta x} e^{i\mathbf{p} \cdot \Delta x} \right\rangle \] (2.15)
for \( \mathbf{p} \neq 0 \), i.e., as an average over the relative positions \( \Delta \mathbf{r} \) of particles surrounding a given particle. As a result, \( s(\mathbf{p}) \rightarrow 1 \) for \( \mathbf{p} \rightarrow \infty \) if \( \Delta \mathbf{r} \neq 0 \), e.g., for particles formulated on a lattice. Such behavior of \( s(\mathbf{p}) \) thus implies the absence of particle correlations at short distances.

### III. STATIC RESPONSE OF GRAPHENE

In this section we study the case of graphene. In the present treatment the \( \psi \) and \( \chi \) sectors are independent and the spectral weight \( \langle \rho \Delta \rangle \) with \( \rho = \rho^\psi + \rho^\chi \) is given by the sum \( \langle \rho^\psi \rho^\psi \rangle + \langle \rho^\chi \rho^\chi \rangle \) for \( \mathbf{p} \neq 0 \). For the \( \psi \) sector one may simply replace, in Eq. \( (2.10) \), \( f_{kn}(\mathbf{p}) \) by \( g_{kn}^\psi(\mathbf{p}) \) of Eq. \( (2.6) \) and note that the level indices \( (k, n) \) now run over all integers, \( 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots \). Analogously, \( g_{kn}^\chi(\mathbf{p}) \) for the \( \chi \) sector is obtained from \( g_{kn}^\psi(\mathbf{p}) \) by setting \( m \rightarrow -m \) (i.e., \( c_n^+ \leftrightarrow c_n^- \)), or equivalently

\[
g_{kn}^\chi(\mathbf{p}) = g_{-k,-n}^\chi(\mathbf{p}).
\] 

Let us denote \( \Gamma_{kn}(z) = |g_{kn}^\psi(\mathbf{p})|^2 \) and \( \Gamma_{kn}(z) = |g_{kn}^\chi(\mathbf{p})|^2 \) for short. They actually are functions of \( z = E^2 - 2 |\mathbf{p}|^2 / 2 \), are thus symmetric in \( (k, n) \), and have the property

\[
\Gamma_{kn}^\psi = \Gamma_{-k,-n}^\psi, \quad \Gamma_{kn}^\chi = \Gamma_{-k,-n}^\chi,
\]

with \( i = \psi, \chi \). The spectral weight is now written as

\[
\langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}\rangle / \Omega = (\lambda_0^2 / 2\pi E^2) e^{-\xi^2 / 2} (I^\psi + I^\chi),
\]

where \( I^i = \sum_k \sum_n \Gamma_{kn}^i(z) \); \( \lambda_0 = 2 \) stands for the spin degeneracy. One can write \( I^\psi \) as

\[
I_j^\psi(z) = \sum_{k+j} \sum_{n+j} \Gamma_{kn}^\psi(z),
\]

when the \( \psi \) Landau levels are occupied up to the \( j \)th level \( (j = 0, \pm 1, \ldots) \); analogously for \( I_j^\chi \).

Note that Eq. \( (3.1) \) relates \( I^\psi \) and \( I^\chi \) so that

\[
I_j^\psi = I_j^\chi - (j + 1).
\]

The equalities \( I_{0-}^\psi = I_{1-}^\chi = I_{1}^\chi = I_{1-}^\psi = I_{-j}^\chi + I_{j+1}^\psi \) and \( I_{1-}^\chi = I_{j+1}^\psi + I_{-j}^\chi \) then imply the following: (i) The \( \psi \) and \( \chi \) sectors contribute equally to the vacuum spectral weight, \( \langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}\rangle |_{\nu=0} \propto I_{0-}^\psi + I_{1-}^\chi = 2 I_{0-}^\psi \). (ii) The spectral weight \( \langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}}\rangle \) is the same for the charge-conjugate states with \( \nu = \pm 2(2j + 1) \). In view of this we shall focus on the case \( \nu \geq 0 \) from now on.

In the limit \( m \rightarrow 0 \) of practical interest, distinctions between \( I^\psi \) and \( I^\chi \) disappear: \( \Gamma_{kn} = \Gamma_{-k,-n}^\psi = \Gamma_{-k,-n}^\chi \) for \( |k| \neq 0 \) and \( \Gamma_{0,0}^\psi = \Gamma_{0,0}^\chi \) for \( k \neq 0 \), as seen from Eq. \( (2.6) \). This yields

\[
I_j^\psi = I_j^\chi = I_{-(j+1)}^\psi = I_{-(j+1)}^\chi \quad (m \rightarrow 0).
\]
the divergence is logarithmic in $N$,  
\[
\sum_{k=1}^N \sum_{n=0}^N \Gamma_{k,-n} \approx \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (\sqrt{k+1} - \sqrt{k})^2 \approx (1/8) \ln(c_1 N) \quad (3.7)
\]
with $c_1 \approx 54.088$ and for $m \to 0$. This ultraviolet divergence is physical. The infinite depth of the Dirac sea, of course, is an artifact of the continuum model (2.1) and the cutoff scale $\omega_c \sqrt{N}$ is set by the energy scale above which the model loses its validity.

Let us recall here that for conventional QH systems the charge operator trivially annihilates the vacuum, $\rho(\nu = 0) = 0$, and the vacuum spectral weight vanishes. Accordingly, the nonzero vacuum weight $\langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{\nu=0}$ itself is a "relativistic" signature of graphene,

$$\rho(x)\{\nu = 0\}_{\text{graphene}} \neq 0, \quad (3.8)$$

which is a consequence of particle-hole pair creation or the presence of the Dirac sea.

It is perfectly legitimate to consider the vacuum weight with such a physical cutoff $N$ (apart from its precise value). One can equally well extract cutoff-insensitive information out of it. One possible way is to consider a variation of $\langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{\nu=0}$ for $B \neq 0$ and $B = 0$. Experimentally this means measuring the vacuum weight for $B \neq 0$ and $B = 0$ separately.

For $B = 0$ the spectral weight for the graphene vacuum $\{0\}$ with $m \to 0$ is written as

$$\frac{1}{\Omega} \langle 0| \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} |0\rangle_{B=0} = \lambda_s \lambda_v \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2} (1 - \cos \theta_{k+p,k}), \quad (3.9)$$

which represents a collection of virtual transitions from a negative-energy electron state with momentum $\mathbf{k}$ to a positive-energy state with $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}$ via the charge density $\rho$; here $\theta_{k+p,k}$ denotes the angle between $\mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{p}$; $\lambda_s = 2$ and $\lambda_v = 2$ denote the spin and valley degeneracy. This is again ultraviolet divergent. For regularization we cut off the $\mathbf{k}$ integral at $|\mathbf{k}| = \Lambda$ and choose the "Fermi momentum" $\Lambda$ so that the Dirac sea accommodates the same number of electrons as in the $B \neq 0$ case, $N_{\text{sea}} = \lambda_s \lambda_v \Lambda^2/(4\pi) \approx \lambda_s \lambda_v (N + 1/2)/(2\pi\ell^2)$, i.e., $\Lambda^2 \approx 2N/\ell^2$.

A direct calculation yields

$$\frac{1}{\Omega} \langle 0| \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} |0\rangle_{B=0} = \lambda_s \lambda_v \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{32\pi} \log \frac{16\Lambda^2}{\mathbf{p}^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

The cutoff $(N)$ dependence thus correctly disappears from the difference $\delta\langle \rho \rho \rangle \equiv \langle \rho \rho \rangle_{B \neq 0} - \langle \rho \rho \rangle_{B=0}$, with the result

$$\delta\langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{\nu=0}/\Omega = (\lambda_s/2\pi\ell^2) \delta\langle z \rangle, \quad \delta\langle z \rangle = 2e^{-2} I_0^\nu (z) - (z/4) \log (16N/z). \quad (3.11)$$

As seen from Fig. 1 (b), the vacuum weight $\langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{\nu=0}$ differs only slightly for $B \neq 0$ and $B = 0$.

Actually for all $\nu$ the spectral weight $\langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle$ contains the vacuum fluctuations and the static structure factor $s(\mathbf{p})$ is necessarily cutoff-dependent. A possible cutoff-independent measure in experiment is to compare the spectral weights for $\nu \neq 0$ and $\nu = 0$ (with $B \neq 0$). Correspondingly let us define by

$$\Delta s(p) = \langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle - \langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{\nu=0} / Ne \quad (3.12)$$

the structure factor with the vacuum contribution subtracted. For the $\nu = 2(2j + 1)$ states (with $j = 0, 1, \ldots$),

$$\Delta s(p) = e^{-2} (\Delta I^\nu_0 + \Delta I^\nu_1)/(2j + 1) \quad \text{in terms of the cutoff-independent deviations } \Delta I^\nu_0 = I^\nu_0 - I^\nu_1 \text{ and } \Delta I^\nu_1. \quad (3.13)$$

$$m = 0 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \Gamma_{k,n}(z) \quad (3.14)$$

analogously for $\Delta I^\nu_0$. In reaching the second line, we have used the formulas (valid for $m \to 0$ and $N \to \infty$):

$$\sum_{k=1}^{N} \Gamma_{k,0}(z) = \frac{1}{2} (e^z - 1), \quad (3.15)$$

where $z = \ell^2 \mathbf{p}^2/2$ and

$$F_n(z) = e^{-z} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [f_{n-1,k-1}(-\mathbf{p}) f_{k,n}(\mathbf{p})]. \quad (3.16)$$

$F_n(0) = 1$ and $F_n(z) \to 0$ as $z \to \infty$; the decrease is slower for larger $n$, as depicted in Fig. 2 (a).

The subtracted structure factor is now written as

$$\Delta s(p) = \frac{2}{2j + 1} \sum_{k=1}^{N} [f_{n-1,k-1}(-\mathbf{p}) f_{k,n}(\mathbf{p})] \quad (3.17)$$

for $\nu = 2(2j + 1)$. In particular, $\Delta s(p)_{\nu=0} = 0$ and

$$\Delta s(p)_{\nu=6} = \frac{2}{3} \left[ F_1(z) - e^{-z} (1 - \frac{3}{4} z + \frac{1}{4} z^2) \right]. \quad (3.18)$$

In Fig. 2 (b) and (c) we plot $\Delta s(p)$ for $\nu = 6, 10, 14$ and for higher filling $\nu = 22, 42, 62$. There are some notable features: (1) $\Delta s(p) \to 0$ for $|p| \to 0$. This is a consequence of the uniformity of charge at long wavelengths. (2) $\Delta s(p) \to 0$ for $|p| \to \infty$. This shows that the large $|p|$ portion of $s(p)$ is common to all $\nu$ and is governed by the vacuum weight $\langle \rho_{-\mathbf{p}} \rho_{\mathbf{p}} \rangle_{\nu=0}$. As a result, $s(p)$ itself would rise with $|p|$, in sharp contrast to the standard behavior $s(p) \to 1$ as $|p| \to \infty$ for "nonrelativistic"
unlike the presence of such levels, as seen from Eq. (3.13). Saturation of the number of filled levels above the vacuum and is governed by the filling factors of Hall electrons in Eq. (2.13). (3) Around 0.25 over a certain broad range of $|p|$ for higher filling factors $\nu$. The subtracted structure factor $\Delta s(p)$, unlike $s(p)$, refers to quantum fluctuations of a finite number of filled levels above the vacuum and is governed by virtual transitions, newly allowed or suppressed by the presence of such levels, as seen from Eq. (3.13). Saturation is a consequence of a competition between the transitions among positive-energy states and the suppressed vacuum polarization effect.

In this connection, let us try to retain only the positive-energy states and transitions among them. In Fig. 3 (a) we plot a projected structure factor $s^{(+)}(p)$ calculated from the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (3.13). Note that

$$s^{(+)}(p) \to 1/2 \text{ for } |p| \to \infty;$$  

(3.19)
i.e., even the positive-energy sector alone does not recover the $s(p) \to 1$ behavior of conventional QH systems. While $s^{(+)}(p)$ itself is not directly observable in experiment, this feature (3.19) would indicate indirectly that $\Delta s(p)$ is significantly smaller than 1/2.

Some remarks on the influence of a mass gap are in order here. The relativistic treatment makes sense when the mass gap is tiny $m\ell \ll 1$. In the "nonrelativistic" limit $m\ell \gg \sqrt{N}$ where the mass gap is large compared with the depth of the Dirac sea, in contrast, the Landau-level sum is limited to a finite interval $N \ll m^2\ell^2$ and ceases to yield a divergence. The virtual transition rates across the mass gap scale like $\Gamma_{k,-n} \propto 1/(m\ell)^2$ (while $\Gamma_{k,n} \sim O(1)$ for $kn > 0$), and the vacuum spectral weight $\langle \rho - \rho|\rho|\rangle_{\nu=0} \propto \sum_{k,n} \Gamma_{k,-n}$ tends to zero like $(m\ell)^{-2} \log(m^2\ell^2) \to 0$ as $m\ell \to \infty$. Figure 3 (b) shows the manner how $\Delta s(p)_{\nu=2} \to 0$ as the mass gap gets large for $vp\ell/\omega_c = 0.1 \to 100$. The $\nu = 2$ state is now distinguishable in $s(p)$ from the vacuum. In the $m\ell \to \infty$ limit, $\Delta s(p) \approx s(p) \approx s^{(+)}(p)$ recovers the standard behavior $s(p) \to 1$ as $|p| \to \infty$ for all integer $\nu$.

To explore the origin of the unconventional behavior (3.19) let us note the formula

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} |g_{kn}(p)|^2 = e^{\ell^2\mathbf{p}^2/2}. \quad (3.20)$$

which follows from Eq. (3.15). Actually this formula is valid for $m \neq 0$ as well, since it is independently derived from the evaluation of the static weight $\langle G|\rho - \rho|G\rangle$ for a hypothetical state $\langle G|$ with only one filled Landau level $\{|n\}$ and all other levels empty. It is thus a consequence of completeness of physical states.

In the "nonrelativistic" limit the Dirac sea effectively disappears. Then Eq. (3.20) is reduced to Eq. (3.12), which in turn leads to the behavior $s(p) \to 1$ for $|p| \to \infty$.

For $m = 0$ the large-$|p|$ behavior of Eq. (3.20) is governed by the sums over $k | \gg |n|$ so that

$$e^{-\ell^2\mathbf{p}^2/2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |g_{\pm k,n}(p)|^2 \to 1/2, \quad (3.21)$$

as seen also from Eq. (3.15). This precisely accounts for the behavior of $s^{(+)}(p)$ in Eq. (3.19). It is now clear that both the masslessness (or a tiny mass gap) of the quasiparticles and the presence of the Dirac sea are crucial for
the unusual density correlations  $s^{(+)}(p) \to 1/2$ at short distances. In other words, correlations fail to vanish at short distances because of particle-hole pair creation; the inability of localizing massless particles is a purely "relativistic" effect, underlying also the Klein paradox 15.

Equation (3.20) may suggest that the vacuum spectral weight $ \langle \rho_{-p/p} \rangle_{\nu=0}$ would have a contribution of $O(N)$ from the Dirac sea, it actually rises like $\ln N$, as we have seen in Eq. (3.17). Had we defined the "vacuum" static structure factor $s(p)$ with normalization by the total number of electrons in the Dirac sea, it would vanish like $s(p) \propto \ln N / N \to 0$. This shows again that, for massless particles and in the presence of the Dirac sea, the standard (classical) picture of particle correlations does not necessarily make sense.

Finally we wish to comment on some peculiarities of the zero-energy $n = 0_\pm$ levels, especially on how the Coulomb interaction $v_p = 2\pi e^2 / (\epsilon_0 |p|)$ affects their response. In the random-phase approximation (RPA) the effect of $H^{\text{Coul}}$ of Eq. (2.2) is readily included into the polarization function ($\sim -i \langle \rho p \rangle$),

$$ P_{\text{RPA}}(p, \omega) = P(p, \omega) / \{1 - v_p P(p, \omega)\}, \quad (3.22) $$

once one knows the polarization function $P(p, \omega)$ for noninteracting Hall electrons. Suppose now that we start with the vacuum state and fill up the $n = 0_\pm$ level to reach the $\nu = 2$ state. Then $P(p, \omega)$ will change by an amount proportional to

$$ \Delta P(p, \omega) \propto \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{\epsilon_k - \epsilon_0^+ \pm \omega} \Gamma^\chi_{k,0^+}(p) \quad (3.23) $$

which is easily seen to vanish for zero mass-gap $m \to 0$. This shows that the $\nu = 0$ and $\nu = \pm 2$ states remain indistinguishable in density response even at the RPA level, indicating the robustness of the zero modes against perturbations. In particular, the static structure factor $s(p) \sim \int d\omega P_{\text{RPA}}(p, \omega)$ and the dielectric function $\epsilon(p, \omega) = 1 - v_p P(p, \omega)$ remain the same for $\nu = 0$ and $\nu = \pm 2$.  

IV. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

In this paper we have studied the static structure factor for graphene in a strong magnetic field, with emphasis on revealing possible quantum signatures that distinguish graphene from conventional QH systems. In particular, for graphene the vacuum state is a dielectric medium with full of virtual particle-hole pairs. In other words, $\rho(\nu = 0) \neq 0$ for graphene while $\rho(\nu = 0) = 0$ for standard QH systems. Correspondingly the graphene vacuum state has a nonzero density spectral weight $\langle \rho_{-p/p} \rangle_{\nu=0}$, which actually diverges with the (cutoff) depth of the Dirac sea and generally rises with $|p|$ significantly. Experimentally the nonzero vacuum weight $\langle \rho_{-p/p} \rangle_{\nu=0}$ itself as well as its rise with $|p|$, measured via inelastic light scattering, would be a clear signal of the quantum nature of the graphene vacuum state.

For graphene the static structure factor $s(p)$ grows with $|p|$ for all $\nu$, in sharp contrast to the behavior $s(p) \to 1$ as $|p| \to \infty$ of conventional QH systems; this is because of pair creation at short distances. Actually, even the transitions among positive-energy states fail to recover the standard behavior and lead to $s^{(+)}(p) \to 1/2$ for $|p| \to \infty$, as noted in Eq. (3.19). This feature of $s^{(+)}(p)$ itself, unfortunately, is not directly observable. Nevertheless, for large filling factor $\nu$, it competes with the vacuum polarization effect and would make the observable subtracted structure factor $\Delta s(p)$ (which is sensitive to quantum fluctuations of cutoff-independent longer wavelengths) saturate around $1/4$ over a certain broad range of $|p|$; this could be a possible signature of the underlying dynamics. Such unusual features of particle correlations at short distances are a "relativistic" effect coming from massless Dirac particles in graphene.

Of special interest, in addition, are some peculiar features of the lowest ($n = 0_{\pm}$) Landau levels of zero energy. Remarkably they hardly affect the density response. The virtual transitions, both allowed and suppressed anew by the presence (or absence) of the $n = 0_{\pm}$ levels, combine to leave the density response unchanged, and this compensation persists even when the Coulomb interaction is taken into account in the RPA. The graphene vacuum ($\nu = 0$) state and the $\nu = \pm 2$ states would thus be indistinguishable in spectral weight $\langle \rho_{-p/p} \rangle \sim s(p)$ as well as in electric susceptibility, although they are distinct in Hall conductance. Experimentally one would expect a definite nonzero signal for $\langle \rho_{-p/p} \rangle$ which largely remains the same over the range $|\nu| \leq 2$, except for some values of $\nu$ where nontrivial dynamics such as the fractional quantum Hall effect may come into play.
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