Differences of The Number of Maternal References in Semarang Public Health Center Based on MEOWS Scoring System
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ABSTRACT

Background: The maternal mortality rate (MMR) in Semarang is the second-highest in Central Java with 121.5 per 100,000 live births. The early warning system with Early Warning Score and Maternal Emergency Early Warning System (PDKM) still has various shortcomings to reduce MMR.

Objective: This study aims to prove the effectiveness of the application of the PDKM in the form of Modified Early Obstetric Warning System (MEOWS) as an assessment of the risk of pregnancy in primary health facilities to reduce MMR in Semarang.

Methods: The study was conducted on all pregnant women who admitted to Tlogosari Wetan, Tlogosari Kulon, Bandarharjo, and Bangetayu public health center in Semarang and were willing to participate in the study and were referred to government hospitals using national health assurance (BPJS). Sampling was obtained by cluster random sampling and divided into intervention and control groups. The study used a pre-post test control group design method by comparing the use of the MEOWS and the Poedji Rochjati Score Card (PRSC) to the number of Public Health Center referrals in Semarang. The data obtained would be analysed statistically with the bivariate test, Mann-Whitney difference test, relative risk reduction (RRR), and absolute risk reduction (ARR).

Results: The results showed that 21 of 43 (48.8%) patients were admitted to the control group and 26 of 36 (72.2%) patients were admitted to the intervention group. Mann-Whitney test performed on the number of referrals after the intervention within 3 months showed significant results (p = 0.033; p <0.05). There was an increase in the number of maternal referrals at the Public Health Center in Semarang after the implementation of the MEOWS score by 1.48 times compared to using the PRSC (RR: 1.48; 95% CI: 1.02 – 2.13).

Conclusion: The use of the MEOWS score could increase the awareness of potential referrals and was associated with complications in patients.

1. Introduction

Maternal Mortality Rate (MMR) is one of the parameters of national public health status. MMR represents the number of maternal deaths during a given time period per 100,000 live births during the same time period. The Maternal Mortality Rate in Indonesia is still below the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs) target, as it is expected a decrease of MMR by three-quarters in 2015. Accordingly, Indonesia is targeted to lower MMR births from 305 deaths per 100,000 live births to 102 per 100,000 live in 2015.1,2

The MMR in Semarang is the second-highest in Central Java Province in 2016, with 32 cases out of 26,337 live births or equals to 121.5 per 100,000 live births.3 In 2017, Semarang Public Health Service has reported an MMR of 83.3 per 100,000 live births.4

The high rate of maternal mortality in Indonesia is related to the high risks of pregnancy. Various risk factors that lead to poor pregnancy outcomes involve socioeconomic conditions, medical conditions, including antepartum and intrapartum-related pregnancy problems. Mortality from high-risk pregnancies can be diminished through close care and monitoring.5 Early Warning Score (EWS) has been proposed as a tool to reduce pregnancy morbidity and mortality from early warning signs, but there has not been much statistical analysis of its clinical outcome.6
Confidential Enquiry Maternal and Child Health have recommended the implementation of the Modified Early Obstetric Warning System (MEOWS) tool in clinical practice since 2007.\(^7\)

Emergency early warning system which had been statistically proven can be used as a pregnancy screening tool.\(^8,10\)

In Indonesia, we used Poedji Rochjati Score Card (PRSC) as a maternal emergency early warning system (PDKM), however the physiological assessment in pregnant women at each visit could not be examined using this tool.

The purpose of this study is to prove the application of the PDKM in the form of Modified Early Obstetric Warning System (MEOWS) as an assessment tool of the pregnancy risks in primary health care to reduce the MMR rate in Semarang.

**Literature Review**

**Maternal Mortality Rate**

During pregnancy, problems could arise from the pregnancy itself or external factors, such as anemia, infectious diseases or malignancy, which could increase the risk of complications in pregnancy, morbidity and mortality of pregnant women. MMR is one of the parameters in the public health status, representing the number of maternal deaths per 100,000 live births. High number of MMR was found in six provinces, including North Sumatra, Banten, West Java, Central Java, East Java and South Sulawesi with 52.6% of the total maternal mortality incidents in Indonesia.\(^1,3\)

According to Semarang’s health profile in 2016, the number of maternal deaths had reached 32 cases out of 26,337 live births or equivalent to 121.5 per 100,000 live births. The most frequent cause of maternal deaths in Semarang was disease (51%), severe preeclampsia (21%), bleeding (12%), others (9.4%), and sepsis (6%).\(^3\)

**Pregnancy Morbidity**

Obstetric morbidity is a morbidity in a pregnant woman, which could arise from the condition of her pregnancy or its management, but not as a result of an accident or a specific incident. Direct obstetric incidence is the biggest cause of severe maternal morbidity and maternal mortality (80%) in developing countries.\(^11\)

Severe morbidity is an unexpected outcome during the delivery process that holds consequences towards women’s health, both on short and also long term. Scoring for screening is recently being developed as an effort to detect morbidity at early pregnancy.\(^12\)

The highest morbidity in pregnancy was bleeding (43%), hypertensive disorders in pregnancy (31%) and suspected infection (20%). The most common triggers included high blood pressure (42%), tachycardia (28%), and low blood pressure (18%). On the contrary, temperature, respiratory rate, and oxygen saturation were the least common trigger parameters (6%, 4%, and 2%, respectively).\(^13\)

**High-risk Pregnancy and Pregnancy Complication**

A high-risk pregnancy is defined as a period in which the mother, fetus, or neonate has a higher risk of death, disability, or abnormality compared to normal. Conditions considered as high-risk pregnancies were: preeclampsia, eclampsia, antepartum bleeding, infections during pregnancy, a history of chronic disease (diabetes mellitus, hypertension, heart disease), a history of problems in previous pregnancies (abortion and stillbirth), multiple pregnancies, maternal age under 18 or 35 years, 4 or more pregnancies, and a less than 1-year gap between the current and the previous pregnancy.\(^14\)

Complication in pregnancy is an acute condition, both antepartum at a gestational age of 28, 32, 34, 36, 38 and 40 weeks or postpartum, which might cause maternal death.\(^15\)

The diagnosis of preeclampsia is based on the presence of specific hypertension due to pregnancy, along with other organ system dysfunction at the age of 20 weeks of pregnancy. It is marked by an increase in systolic blood pressure of at least 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure of at least 90 mmHg with proteinuria (more than 300 mg in 24 hours). Eclampsia is a complication of preeclampsia which correlates with the presence of seizures.\(^15\)

Antepartum bleeding is a bleeding during pregnancy of more than 28 weeks, which generates from the placenta or non-placenta. The most common causes are placenta previa, placental abruption and vasa previa. Delay in treatment can result in maternal and fetal death.\(^15\)

Sepsis is the most common cause of infectious morbidity and mortality worldwide and has an impact on maternal mortality or postpartum mortality. The incidence of sepsis in pregnant women is associated with infections such as urinary tract infections, chorioamnionitis, endometritis, wound infections, and septic abortion. The causes of non-obstetric sepsis in pregnant women include malaria, HIV, and pneumonia.\(^15\)

**Maternal Emergency Early Warning System MEWT**

Maternal Early Warning Trigger (MEWT) has been shown to significantly reduce severe maternal morbidity recommended by The Joint Commission, the National Partnership in Women’s Health, and others. The MEWT tool identifies four major causes of maternal morbidity, namely sepsis, cardiovascular...
dysfunction, preeclampsia-severe hypertension, and bleeding. These four obstetric cases were the main cause of admission of maternal patients to the ICU and had a high rate of severe maternal morbidity.\textsuperscript{16,17}

**MEOWS**

The Modified Early Obstetric Warning System (MEOWS) was approved by the Confidential Inquiry into Maternal and Child Health (CEMACH).\textsuperscript{18} The MEOWS parameters were made by distinguishing individual early warning indicators for adults and pregnant women. The MEOWS score contained temperature, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, pulse, respiratory rate, degree of consciousness (AVPU), and urine output. It is expected that this early warning could overcome the patient's condition earlier so that prevention and management efforts could be given to prevent the condition from worsening.\textsuperscript{5,11} The MEOWS chart is used in the postpartum period up to six weeks after delivery. It is because the physiological changes during pregnancy have returned to normal and most pregnancy disorders have resolved within this time period.\textsuperscript{19}

The MEOWS tool recommends the assessment and monitoring of patients with two moderate abnormal parameters (yellow mark) or one severe abnormal parameter (red mark).\textsuperscript{7}

Vital sign monitoring is done twice a day or every 12 hours and is done pre and postpartum. If the score is more than 3, then the monitoring needs to be repeated every 30-50 minutes and a warning is made to the midwife in charge. If the score continues to be more than 3, the midwife must contact the doctor immediately.\textsuperscript{13}

**Poedji Rochjati Score Card**

In Indonesia, The Poedji Rochjati Score Card (PRSC) is used by classifying the risk of pregnancy into 3 groups: risk factor group I (potential for obstetric distress with a score of 1-10), risk factor group II (obstetric distress with a score of 11-18), and risk factor group III (obstetric emergency with a score of 19-20). Referral to the hospital is done if the score is $\geq12$.\textsuperscript{15}

High-risk factors can be abbreviated as 4T, too young, too old, too close, too many. Too young means pregnancy at the age of less than 20 years old. Too old means pregnancy at the age of more than 35 years old. Too close means that the range between the current pregnancy and the previous pregnancy is less than 1 year. Too much means a woman with more than 4 pregnancies.\textsuperscript{20}

2. Methods

This study used a pre-post test control group design with a population of all pregnant women in Semarang. The sample of this study was all pregnant women admitted to the Tlogosari Wetan Public Health Center, Tlogosari Kulon Public Health Center, Bandarharjo Public Health Center, and Bangetayu Public Health Center in Semarang who met the inclusion criteria, including agreeing to participate in the research, and those who were referred to the hospital using national health insurance (BPJS). Pregnant women who were not willing to attend administrative records, antenatal care, and management of pregnant women at the Public Health Center, and had not completed medical records were excluded from the study. During the process, the subject can withdraw from the research at any time for some reason. Sampling was obtained by cluster random sampling in 4 Public Health Centers in Semarang and divided into 2 exposed groups and 2 non-exposed groups. All research subjects were kept anonymous.

Public Health Center referrals in Semarang was determined as the dependent variable. Maternal Early Obstetric Warning Score (MEOWS) and Poedji Rochjati Score Card (PRSC) were determined as independent variables whereas Gravidity, Body Mass Index were determined as confounding variables.

Data analysis was carried out after completeness and validity of the data were checked. Furthermore, descriptive analysis and computerized statistics were performed using SPSS version 26 software. The analysis performed included bivariate analysis, Mann Whitney difference test, Relative Risk Reduction (RRR), and Absolute Risk Reduction (ARR).

3. Results

The study was conducted at 4 Public Health Centers in Semarang with a demographic sample shown in Table 1. Bangetayu Public Health Center and Tlogosari Public Kulon Health Center were defined as the intervention group, while the control group was conducted at Bandarharjo Public Health Center and Tlogosari Public Wetan Health Center. The referral characteristics of all groups 3 months prior to intervention are shown in Table 2 and detailed for each public health center in Table 3.
Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study sample

| PHC          | KK (Number of families) | Region width (Km²) | Total population | Villages | General practitioners | Midwife | Nurse | Intergrated healthcare center/mother and child health |
|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------|------------------|----------|-----------------------|---------|-------|------------------------------------------------------|
| Tlogo sari Kulon | 21.816                  | 19                 | 84.598           | 4        | 6                     | 8       | 16    | 76                                                   |
| Tlogo sari Wetan | 32.036                  | 29                 | 100.817          | 8        | 5                     | 7       | 7     | 88                                                   |
| Bangetayu     | 21.987                  | 12                 | 74.203           | 6        | 5                     | 12      | 11    | 59                                                   |
| Bandarharjo   | 22.179                  | 8                  | 112.693          | 4        | 5                     | 5       | 10    | 50                                                   |

Table 2. Referral characteristics of control and intervention group in 3 months prior to intervention

| Groups       | The number of patients administered | Referred | Not Referred |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Control groups | 25                                  | 15       | 10           |
| Interven tion groups | 28                                  | 15       | 13           |
| Total        | 53                                  | 30       | 23           |

The referral characteristics of all groups in 3 months prior to intervention based on PRSC score are shown in Table 4 and the referral characteristics of all groups within 3 months after intervention are shown in Table 5 and detailed for each Public Health Center in Table 6. The characteristics of the intervention group within 3 months of intervention based on the MEOWS are shown in Table 7 and Table 8.

Table 3. Reference characteristics of each public health center in the 3 months prior to intervention

| Groups          | Number of patients administered | Referred | Not referred |
|-----------------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------|
| Bandarharjo     | 13                              | 9 (69 %) | 4 (31 %)     |
| Public Health Center* | 12                              | 6 (50 %) | 6 (50 %)     |
| Tlogosari Wetan Public Health Center* | 14                              | 8 (57 %) | 6 (43 %)     |
| Bangetayu Public Health Center*# | 14                              | 7 (50 %) | 7 (50 %)     |
| Tlogosari Kulon Public Health Center*# | 14                              | 7 (50 %) | 7 (50 %)     |
| Total           | 53                              | 30 (56 %)| 23 (44 %)    |

*Control groups, #Intervention groups

Table 4. Referral criteria for control and intervention groups in 3 months prior to intervention based on PRSC Score

| Groups          | Control groups | Intervention groups | Total |
|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Too young, the first pregnancy occurs at ≤16 years old age | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Too old, the first pregnancy occurs at ≥35 years old age | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Too late, the first pregnancy occurs after ≥4 years of marriage | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Too long (the range of each pregnancies is ≥10 years) | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Too close (the range of each pregnancies is ≤2 years) | 2 | 4 | 6 |
| Too many, total child of ≥4 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Too old, mother’s age at pregnancy is ≥35 years old | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Too short, mother’s height is ≥145 cm | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| History of failure in pregnancy | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| History of labour using forceps extraction/vacuum | 2 | 2 | 4 |
### Table 5. Referral characteristics of control and intervention groups within 3 months after intervention

| Groups | The number of patients administered | Referred | Not Referred |
|--------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------|
|        | n | % | N | % |
| Control groups | 43 | 21 | 48.8 | 22 | 51.2 |
| Intervention groups | 36 | 26 | 72.2 | 10 | 27.8 |

### Table 6. Referral characteristics of each public health center within 3 months of intervention

| Groups | Number of patients administered | Referred | Not referred |
|--------|---------------------------------|----------|--------------|
|        | n | % | N | % |
| Bandarharjo Public Health Center | 22 | 10 (45%) | 12 (55%) |
| Tlogosari Wetan Public Health Center | 21 | 11 (52%) | 10 (48%) |
| Bangetayu Public Health Center | 17 | 13 (76%) | 4 (24%) |
| Tlogosari Kulon Public Health Center | 19 | 13 (68%) | 6 (32%) |
| Total | 79 | 47 (59%) | 32 (41%) |

*Control groups, *Intervention groups

### Table 7. Characteristics of the Bangetayu Public Health Center within 3 months after intervention based on the MEOWS

| Groups | Score 0 | Score 1 | Score 2 | Skor 3 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
|        | (100%) | (0%)    | (0%)    | (0%)   |
| Temperature | 15 | 5       | 5       | 0      |
| Systolic blood pressure | (33.3%) | (33.3%) | (33.3%) | (0%) |
| Diastolic blood pressure | 5 | 0       | 7       | 3      |
| Pulse | (33.3%) | (0%)    | (46.7%) | (20%)  |
| Respiratory Rate | 6 | 0       | 4       | 3      |
| Consci-  ousness (AVPU) | 14 | 1       | 0       | 0      |
| Oxygen saturation | (93.3%) | (6.7%)  | (0%)    | (0%)   |

There were no significant differences using the Mann-Whitney test between the intervention group and the control group in the first 3 months using PRSC as a guideline for referral (p value = 0.637, p >0.05) (Table 9). In addition, for the next 3 months, the intervention groups used the MEOWS while the control groups used PRSC as a referral guideline. There was a significant difference between the intervention and control groups (p value = 0.033, p <0.05). The referral percentage was increased from 53.6% to 72.2% (an increase of 18.6%) (Table 10).

### Table 8. Characteristics of the Tlogosari Kulon Public Health Center within 3 months after intervention based on the MEOWS

| Groups | Score 0 | Score 1 | Score 2 | Skor 3 |
|--------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
|        | (100%) | (0%)    | (0%)    | (0%)   |
| Temperature | 15 | 3       | 1       | 4      |
| Systolic blood pressure | (20%) | (6.7%)  | (26.7%) | (46.7%) |
| Diastolic blood pressure | 3 | 1       | 2       | 9      |
| Pulse | (20%) | (6.7%)  | (13.3%) | (60%)  |
| Respiratory Rate | 13 | 2 (13.3%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Consci-  ousness (AVPU) | 14 | 1 (6.7%) | 0 (0%) | 0 (0%) |
| Oxygen saturation | (93.3%) | (0%)    | (0%)    | (0%)   |

### Table 9. Mann-Whitney test between control and intervention groups in 3 months prior to intervention

| Groups | n | Referred | Yes | No | P |
|--------|---|----------|-----|----|---|
| Control groups | 25 | (60%) | 10 | 0.637* |
| Intervention groups | 28 | (40%) | 13 | |

*chi-square

### Table 10. Mann-Whitney test between control and intervention groups in 3 months after intervention

| Groups | n | Referred | Yes | No | P |
|--------|---|----------|-----|----|---|
| Control groups | 43 | (48%) | 22 | 0.033* |
| Intervention groups | 36 | (72%) | 10 | |

*chi-square

The relative risk reduction (RRR) in this study was 47.0%. It demonstrated that if the MEOWS scoring was not applied, it could reduce the referral...
rate by 47.0%. Absolute risk reduction (ARR) is the difference in the incidence of referral failure between the intervention group (MEOWS) and the control group (PRSC). The ARR in this study was 23.4%, indicating that there was an increase of 23.4% in referrals after the intervention using the MEOWS score compared to the control group (PRSC) (Table 11).

Table 11. Relative risk (RR) of the MEOWS application to the number of referrals

| Groups       | Referred Patient | Yes | No  | RR (95% CI) |
|--------------|------------------|-----|-----|-------------|
| Control      |                  | 21  | 22  | 1.48        |
|              |                  | (48%) | (52%) | (CI 95%: 1.02–2.13) |
| Intervention |                  | 26  | 10  | 2.82        |
|              |                  | (72%) | (28%) |                |
| Total        |                  | 47  | 32  | 1.48        |
|              |                  | (59%) | (41%) |                |

There were no significant differences in confounding factors (BMI, gravidity) in both groups, showed by \( p=0.694 \) for BMI and \( p=0.935 \) for gravidity \( (p>0.05) \). It could be concluded that the characteristics of the confounding factors in the two groups could be ruled out.

4. Discussion

This study aims to compare the number of maternal referrals between the use of PRSC and the number of referrals after the implementation of the MEOWS, since the comparison of outcomes from each emergency early warning system is inadequately available in Indonesia.

The initial referral indication criteria were based on the PRSC score, in which 56.6% of cases were referred. In accordance with the referral at the beginning of the intervention, cases of leg swelling and high blood pressure were the most common cases, followed by too young (pregnancy at 16 years old). In a study conducted in Surabaya using the PRSC score, it was found that the same facts were established as the most common cases in pregnancy.

In this study, there was a significant increase of 18.6% in the number of referrals after the intervention compared to referrals prior to intervention (PRSC). Although there was no previous study which assessed the number of referrals to health facilities according to the patient's clinical condition, it had been found that the MEOWS could be used to detect emergencies from the very beginning to provide better improvement in final outcomes. Singh et al., stated that the MEOWS was an early warning system with good validation of results with a sensitivity of 86.4% and a specificity of 85.2%. A study in North Carolina in 2005 claimed that 93% of maternal deaths due to bleeding actually had a chance of being prevented by MEOWS.

Widarta et al., at RSUD Dr. Sutomo Surabaya, reported that those who were diagnosed with severe preeclampsia and heart disease tended to be detected by the use of PRSC, but opposite result was obtained in postpartum haemorrhage (PPH). It was due to the period where severe preeclampsia and heart disease occurred during pregnancy while PPH occurred after the delivery, while the Maternal risk in PRSC was only carried out during the pregnancy. In pregnancy with no risk factors, preparation for transportation to the referral site will be disrupted due to no preparation.

This study found quite a number of cases of gestational hypertension and also severe preeclampsia, which could be detected by the MEOWS or PRSC. In a study conducted in Yogyakarta, it was found that patients with severe preeclampsia with a MEOWS score of 8 had a 3.34 times risk of being admitted to the ICU compared to patients with severe preeclampsia with a MEOWS score of \(<8,33^{25}\).

All health workers should fill in the warning card, both PRSC and MEOWS, based on patient's history and physical examination results. However, Singh et al., stated that several variables in the MEOWS such as respiratory rate were very susceptible to human error. The same result was also reported by Widarta et al., a study in 109 pregnant women using PRSC to detect four late factors claimed that the higher the risk level of pregnant women, the more factors were found to be late in detecting danger signs. This might be due to the varying expertise of practitioners in primary health services or indeed the case required specialist abilities, so that it might be necessary to have specialists to screen pregnant women at a certain period and gestational age. Periodic training is needed to increase the ability of medical practitioners in using the MEOWS and to enlighten the early danger signs in high-risk pregnant women. In several studies in which MEOWS was applied as an early warning system for maternal emergencies, high systolic blood pressure and oxygen saturation determined using arterial oximetry or pulse measurements were important predictors of ICU patient care and serious complications or death in women with preeclampsia.

In this study, the application of the MEOWS score increased the referral rate by 1.48 times higher compared to the application of PRSC. The early warning system is potential to improve the quality of
maternal services and reduce maternal mortality in limited health facilities through early referrals.\textsuperscript{10,13}

This study showed that neglect of the MEOWS score resulted in a decrease of the referral rate according to the patient's clinical condition. Furthermore, intervention using the MEOWS resulted in an increase of the referral rate compared to application of PRSC only. Shield, et al., showed that the implementation of an early warning system could reduce maternal morbidity.\textsuperscript{26} Several previous studies had also proven that the implementation of an early warning system was beneficial in reducing the risk of complications and death in pregnancy with a prompt, appropriate, and effective treatment that could be taken immediately.\textsuperscript{6,21,26,27}

The application of an early warning system with the MEOWS had been proven by several studies with high validity and a negative predictive value of 96.9\% in predicting obstetric morbidity.\textsuperscript{10,21} Although as a screening tool, slight differences in sensitivity could be found in some local settings.\textsuperscript{8-10,22,28} Novel scientific evidence supported the suggestion that early warning parameters might be useful clinically for identifying patients who was potentially critical or at high-risk of death. Recently, no data had defined the optimal response to determine which maternal care to improve after warning signs appeared.\textsuperscript{8}

In this study, environmental, social, and health insurance factors also influenced the decision of referrals. Another factor that affects referral was the patient's problem which was excluded from the criteria but still required referral, such as obstetric indications and fetal indications. In the future, it is necessary to conduct further research on the use of PRSC and MEOWS in Indonesia with a larger sample to determine sensitivity, specificity, and negative predictive value.

Due to the limited time of the study, there were differences in the number of samples in the pre-test and post-test. After conducting an evaluation in the form of FGD (Focus Group Discussion) in the intervention group, the application of the MEOWS was confirmed to be easy to accept and could be used as a permanent instrument but indeed required regular training and also required expertise in determining the decision to refer.

5. Conclusion

There was an increase by 1.48 times in the number of maternal referrals at the Public Health Center in Semarang after the implementation of the Maternal Early Obstetric Warning Score (MEOWS) compared to the application of PRSC. In accordance with the MEOWS score and different tests conducted on the control groups and the intervention groups, the number of maternal referrals was increased from 53.6\% to 72.2\% (an increase of 18.6\%). The MEOWS score was able to increase the health awareness of potential referrals. Based on the results of the study, each component of the MEOWS score was associated with possible complications in the patient.
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