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Abstract

Background Cameroon has witnessed a 131,000 increase in malaria cases, according to a recent report addressing the malaria burden and control strategies in endemic regions. Studies have illustrated the association between malaria cases and environmental factors in Cameroon but limited in addressing how these factors vary in space for timely interventions. Thus, we want to find the spatial variability between malaria hotspot cases and environmental predictors using Geographically weighted regression (GWR) spatial modelling technique. Methods The global Ordinary least squares (OLS) tool in the modelling spatial relationships tool in ArcGIS 10.3. was used to select candidate explanatory environmental variables for a properly specified GWR model. The local GWR model used the OLS candidate variables to examine, predict and explore the spatial variability between environmental factors and malaria hotspot cases generated from Getis-Ord Gi* statistical analysis. Spatial maps of mosquito bed net ownership and GWR outputs were also created for public health surveillance. Results The OLS candidate environmental variable coefficients were statistically significant for a properly specified GWR model (adjusted $R^2 = 22.3\%$ and $p < 0.01$). The GWR model identified a strong association between malaria cases and rainfall, vegetation index, population density, and drought episodes in most hotspot areas and a weak correlation with aridity and proximity to water (adjusted $R^2 = 24.3\%$). The mosquito bed nets analysis maps demonstrated an overall low coverage (<50%) of household ownership. Conclusion The generated GWR maps suggest that for policymakers to eliminate malaria in Cameroon by 2030, there should be the creation of outreach programs that will target malaria hotspots locations, intensify free insecticidal net distribution, allocate specific funding, establish vaccination campaigns and carry out further investigations in areas where the environmental variables showed strong spatial associations with malaria hotspot cases.

Background

In a recent “high burden to high impact; a targeted malaria response” call report to address malaria burden and control strategies in endemic regions, Cameroon witnessed an increase in malaria cases of 131,000 and 1.3 million additional cases in neighboring Nigeria. About 70% of the world's malaria burden is focused in just 11 countries; 10 in Sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) (Burkina Faso, Cameroon, the Democratic Republic of Congo, Ghana, Mali, Mozambique, Niger, Nigeria, Uganda and the United Republic of Tanzania) and India. These high-burden nations housed an estimated 151 million cases of malaria and 275,000 deaths. In 2017, all the high burden endemic countries in Africa saw an increase in malaria cases. Only India marked progress in reducing its disease burden, registering a 24% decrease compared to 2016 (1). The government of Cameroon and partners have been combating malaria through the creation of national intervention programs including: the distribution of free insecticide-treated nets (ITN) that was established in 2011 to populations at high risk, provision of sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine drugs to pregnant woman, parasitological screening of suspected malaria cases, and the application of other WHO standard treatments (2,3). Because of the many socio-economic challenges posed by the malaria disease to Africa countries, investment programs and research towards the control and elimination of the disease is a global concern. The global technical strategy (GTS) report on malaria highlighted that, funding in the fight for malaria has remained relatively stable since 2010 and that to reach the GTS 2030 goals of: reduce malaria mortality rates globally, reduce malaria case incidence globally, eliminate malaria from countries in which malaria was transmitted in 2015 and prevent re-establishment of malaria in all countries that are malaria-free, an annual malaria funding will need to increase to at least US$ 6.6 billion per year by 2020 (4). Intensifying investments in malaria research and development by endemic SSA is a key to attaining the GTS targets and eradicating the disease from the SSA geolocations.

The application of spatial statistical methods to geolocational health data research has enabled complex scenarios of the malaria disease to be visualized through the creation of spatial maps within the Geographical information systems (GIS) technology (5–10). The study of the spatial variation between disease outcomes and associative socioeconomic or environmental factors with the GIS system has greatly improved our understanding of these factors with the health outcome in question. Malaria has been reported to be associated with environmental and climatic factors such as rainfall, humidity, temperature (11,12) and understanding the behavior of these factors in space with the application of regression statistics (13) will further improve on timely control measures and resource allocations.

Regression analyses are statistical techniques that allow for the modelling, examining, and exploring of spatial relationships, to better understand the factors behind observed spatial patterns and hotspots, and to predict outcomes based on that understanding (13). Ordinary Least Squares regression (OLS) is a global regression method; it provides a global model of the variable or process to be predicted or studied. It creates a single regression equation to represent that process. Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR) is a local spatial regression method that allows the relationships to be modelled to vary across the study area by fitting a regression equation to every feature in the dataset using candidate explanatory variables from the OLS. It is a local form of linear regression used to model spatially varying relationships. GWR statistical modelling technique has been applied to a range of malaria studies: Hasyim (14), used the
GWR to find the spatial association between malaria cases and environmental factors in South Sumatra, Indonesia where altitude, distance from forest and rainfall was associated with malaria, Moise(15), in the seasonal and geographic variation of pediatric malaria in Burundi, identified the spatial variation between monthly rainfall and malaria prevalence. While the GWR spatial modelling technique has been a powerful tool in the understanding of spatial variability of malaria cases and environmental factors (rainfall, distance from forest, altitude, vegetation index, temperature, and proximity to water body), and other malaria prevention studies(14–17), its application has been valuable in the understanding of other health outcomes and social science studies including cancer events(18), dengue fever(19), mental depression(20), fire events(21), hospital accessibility study (22), alcohol and violence(23) and real estate housing crisis(24).

Massoda (25), compared malaria survey programs in different ecological zones in Cameroon and recommended on the needs of intervention programs during high transmission rainy seasons. Furthermore, Tewara(26), in a recent study on small area spatial statistical analysis of malaria clusters and hotspots in Cameroon, found the association between malaria cases and environmental factors using the Pearson correlation statistics(27) but didn't demonstrate any spatial variability that would become the main aim of this study. Knowing the spatial variation between malaria cases and environmental factors will aid in targeted funding and resource allocations, prevention programs such as insecticide-treated nets (ITN) distribution, clinical diagnosis, and treatments. The specific objective of this study is to identify ITN coverage in Cameroon and to find the spatial variability between malaria hotspot cases(26) and environmental predictors using the GWR spatial modelling technique.

Methods

Data source

Data for this study was obtained from the demographic and health survey (DHS) program website (https://dhsprogram.com) funded by the United States Agency for International Developments (USAIDS) following a written administrative clearance(28). The DHS program has been described elsewhere(26). Households are grouped as either an urban (city block or apartment building) or rural (village or group of villages) cluster points and displaced a distance up to 2 km for urban-city clusters and 5 km for rural clusters due to confidentiality. For this study, points and lattice data for the Cameroon 2011, DHS VI malaria survey year was obtained from the DHS spatial data repository site(29). The recent malaria survey data for 2015 was linked with environmental covariates data; enhanced vegetation index (EVI), rainfall, drought episodes, population density, aridity, proximity to water, and analyzed using the ArcGIS 10.3 (ESRI, Redlands, California, USA) software.

Malaria and environmental data description

The WHO recommends that all cases of suspected malaria be confirmed using parasite-based diagnostic testing (either microscopy or rapid diagnostic test) before administering treatment. Thus, malaria cases were confirmed based on both rapid diagnostic tests and on laboratory analysis. A clinical case was defined as a malaria- attributable febrile episode (body temperature in excess of 37.5 °C), accompanied by headaches, nausea, excess sweating and/or fatigue censored by a 30-day window(6,27). Since the households are the variables to be analyzed, a malaria year as described in this study, is the average number of people per year who show clinical symptoms of *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria within the cells whose centroid falls within a radius of 10 km (for rural points) or 2 km (for urban points)(26). The environmental covariates data set used for this study are described in table 1.

Ethical approval

Permission to use the data was obtained through a written request and subsequent approval from the DHS division of the USAID. During the DHS project, interviews are conducted only if the respondent provides voluntary informed consent. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Mapping ITN usage.

To understand the distribution of malaria with respect to the given household clusters and how this has been controlled in the past, we mapped selected behavioral categories of household ownership of mosquito bed nets. The characteristics mapped include: household with at least one mosquito bed net, household with at least one ITNs, household with at least one long-lasting insecticidal net (LLITNs),
population who slept under long-lasting insecticidal net (LLITNs) the last night, population who slept under any net the previous night and the number of people living in a house with at least one ITNs. These characteristics were mapped using ArcGIS and data values ranged from light (lower data value) to dark (high data value).

**Statistical analysis**

**Getis-Ord Gi* statistics**

A recent study by Tewara (26), identified malaria hotspots locations for the 2015 malaria year that was used as our response variable for the regression analysis model specification. The Getis-Ord Gi* statistics is a local statistic that allows us to discover new locations with significant clusters of hot and coldspots. It assesses each malaria household cluster (or feature) within the context of neighboring malaria households and compares the local situation to the global situation. We represented the malaria hotspots map by(26) as raster surfaces with high and low data values.

The Getis-Ord local statistics is given as:

\[
G_{i*} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} (x_j - \bar{x}) (\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} s_n)^{1/2} - (1)
\]

Where \(x_j\) is the attribute value for feature \(j\), \(w_{ij}\) is the spatial weight between \(i\) and \(j\), \(n\) is equal to the total number of features and:

\[
X = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{ij} n (2)
\]

\[
S = \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2 n - X^2 (3)
\]

**Regression analysis**

To investigate the spatial relationship between the distribution of malaria hotspots and environmental covariates, we used the regression analysis technique. The mathematical computation applied to both the dependent and explanatory variables in the regression statistics used in our model is given as

\[
Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 ... \beta_n + \epsilon (a*)
\]

\(Y\) is the dependent or response variable representing the process to be predicted or understood; for example, the malaria hotspot cases, \(X\) is the independent or explanatory variables used to model or predict the dependent variables. The explanatory variables include: population density (\(X_1\)), enhanced vegetation index (\(X_2\)), rainfall (\(X_3\)), aridity (\(X_4\)) drought episodes (\(X_5\)), and proximity to water (\(X_6\)). The spatial model to be built, will explain if the distribution or occurrence of malaria hotspots are due to the combination of these explanatory variables. This will help us create a prediction map that can be used for public health resource allocations due to the spatial relationship or interdependence between the dependent (malaria hotspots) and explanatory variables. \(\beta\) is the regression coefficients computed by the regression tool in the ArcGIS software. They are values, one for each explanatory variable, that represent the strength and type of relationship the explanatory variable has to the dependent variable. \(\beta_0\) is the regression intercept. It represents the expected value for the dependent variable if all the independent variables are zero. The residuals \(\epsilon\) represent the portion of the dependent variable that isn't explained by the model; the model under and over predictions (13).

For this study our regression model was built using the exploratory regression tool in ArcGIS; to find the candidate variables and their spatial significance for a properly specified model. These variables include all the explanatory variables (\(X_1\) to \(X_6\)). The candidate variables were then used to build a global regression model using the OLS tool in the modelling spatial relationships tool in ArcGIS. To have a properly specified GWR model, the generated OLS summary result of the model variables should pass a statistically significant six-test check and the candidate variables from the OLS model will then be used to specify our GWR model.

The GWR tool in the spatial modelling toolset was then used to build our prediction model using a default fixed distance as the kernel type and AICc (Akaike's Information Criterion) as the bandwidth method to find the optimal distance for better model performance. The
GWR generates a map that was represented as raster surfaces for the model predictions (combined strength of the relationship amongst the variables used), residuals, local R squared (model significance), condition number (difficulties identifying spatial relationship) and coefficients (explaining the strength of the relationship between the dependent and explanatory variables) and an output table demonstrating the strength of adjusted $R^2$ significance (from 0-1).

Results

**ITNs usage coverage**

For regions with at least one mosquito net regardless of whether it was a treated net or not, households in the North and Far North regions had high usage coverage (> 50 %) while households in the East and West regions of the country had low coverage of homes having at least one treated or not treated mosquito net as depicted in figure 1A. For household clusters with at least one ITN, the North and the Northwest regions had high coverage of bed net distribution while the Center and the Littoral regions had moderate coverage and low coverage in the Far North region as shown in figure 1B. For the category of household clusters with at least one LLITNs, the North and the Northwest regions had high percentages of household ownership of LLITNs while the Far North and South regions had low LLITNs distribution; figure 1C.

For the number of persons living in a household with at least one ITN, the North region alone had high ITN ownership ( >2500 people )while the South region saw a low ITN ownership < 409 people as demonstrated in figure 2A. For the population who slept under any net the night before the survey was conducted, Littoral, Centre, South, and Adamawa regions had a high percentage of the population while the Far North had the least percentage (<9.6%) of the population in this category; figure 2B. Finally, for the population who slept under LLITNs the night before the survey, the Adamawa, Northwest, Littoral, and Douala regions had higher percentages of people than the rest of the other regions. The Far North and the West regions had the lowest percentages of people who slept under LLITNs the night before the survey was conducted as seen in figure 2C below.

**Hotspot analysis**

The hotspot map was analyzed from the most recent 2015 malaria year data to find out whether ex malaria hotspots show a spatial variability with environmental covariates. The analysis depicted that, there was high malaria hotspots distribution in some areas of the Far North, North, Northwest, Southwest, Littoral, Yaoundé, East, and Adamawa regions shown as high data values in red; figure 3. Some locations in the Littoral, Center, Far North, South and Northwest regions had low malaria hotspot distribution.

**Ordinary Least Square (OLS)**

The OLS global regression summary result illustrates the statistical significance of the model variables and feasibility to be used in the GWR model. The result demonstrated that two of the explanatory variable coefficients (aridity and proximity to water) had a negative relationship (negative sign) with the dependent variable, while the other explanatory variables had a positive relationship with the dependent variable. The OLS standard deviation residuals were randomly distributed after a global spatial autocorrelation check for randomness. The adjusted R-squared [d] had a 22.3 % evaluation of the model performance as illustrated in table 2.

**Geographically Weighted Regression (GWR)**

The local GWR model fits one equation (equation $a^*$) for each feature or explanatory variable from the global OLS model to explore the correlations between the dependent (Y) and the explanatory (X) variables. In the current study, the coefficients ($\beta$ ) of the population density($X_1$), enhanced vegetation index ($X_2$), rainfall ($X_3$) and drought episodes ($X_5$) exhibit high (strong) correlation with malaria hotspots cases in most areas in the western portion of the country and few elsewhere (areas in red), while aridity ($X_4$) and proximity to water ($X_6$) showed a weak association (areas in blue) as exemplified in figure 4 below.

The GWR output produced a predicted malaria map, local R-squared ($R^2$) and residuals. The local $R^2$ in figure 5A shows that the model performance was high or strong in regions of the western part of the country and some areas in the Central and Yaoundé DHS regions. The value of $R^2$ ranges from 0 to 100 percent and the adjusted $R^2$ for this model was 0.24 (24%) as seen in table 3. The predicted map
(the combined strength of the relationship amongst the variables used) was high in areas of the Southwest, Northwest and the North regions; figure 5B, while the model residuals (areas not explained by the model ) were seen in most parts in the South region; figure 5C.

The differences in model specification between OSL and GWR or how well the model has improved from the global OSL to the local GWR is summarized in table 3. The result established that the GWR improved our OSL for the model specification and performance.

Discussion

One of the most effective methods of the malaria vector control in Cameroon is the use of mosquito bed nets and depending on whether it is a long-lasting insecticidal one, further increases the vector control chances. Several studies have highlighted the importance of increased mosquito net usage and LLITN and their role in reducing the incidence of malaria in SSA (38–44). In this study, most urban-city centers and rural clusters household settlements in the North region had at least one mosquito net whether or not it was a treated (> 50% ), while the West and East regions recorded a low coverage for the above ITNs coverage category. For households that own at least one ITN and one LLITN, the North and the Northwest regions had high coverage of the mosquito net ownership. The consistent high coverage of bed nets in the North region tells us of the prevention awareness in that region. The characteristics maps are indications of how well malaria maps can be used to initiate and monitor malaria programs(8). The effectiveness of ITNs has been studied in the semi-urban and rural communities in the Southwest region of Cameroon (45); our generated maps can be used to further understand the effectiveness of the mosquito net coverage in the rural, urban and urban-city clusters in other regions for effective control programs. The number of persons living in a household with at least one ITN was highest in the North region correlating with the high coverage of mosquito bed net coverage in that region. This interesting characteristic could be supporting the reasons why this region recorded low malaria cases in the past as reported by Gemperli (6), though Massoda (25), demonstrated a drawback with the DHS data set as compared to Malaria indicator survey (MIS) in the underreporting of high malaria parasite risk in the North and Far North regions(46). This calls for cautious and continues promotion of mosquito net usage and other preventive campaigns. Population who slept under LLITNs last night was highest in the Adamawa, Northwest, Littoral and Douala DHS regions while for population who slept under any net last night was high in Adamawa, Center, Littoral and South regions; this apparent high coverage in these regions could be associated with the increased population density demonstrated in recent studies(26), due to increase urbanizations (47) and administrative geolocational importance of Yaoundé(Center ) and Douala(Littoral) receiving the highest number of city dwellers.

Malaria hotspots were identified in rural and urban-city centers of the Western, Central, East and Northern part of the country. These hotspot locations are the baseline for further research and intervention programs. We used the hotspot locations as the subset of the population for our GWR model.

For the model specification, the OLS model (table 2) illustrated that, all the explanatory variables from the candidate variable exploratory regression analysis were statistically significant (p< 0.01); meaning the coefficients were statistically significant at the 95 percent confidence level and that the variables explain our model, though the coefficients of the aridity and proximity to water had negative associations (table5 [a]). A positive coefficient means the explanatory X variables and the response or dependent Y variables changed in the same direction and if the environmental risk factor increases, then the number of confirmed malaria cases will increase. For example, an increase in rainfall in a rainy season in Cameroon will promote malaria cases and these periods can be targeted for malaria prevention programs since rainfall creates breeding sites for female Anopheline mosquitoes. Similarly, a negative coefficient means X and Y changed in reverse directions(14). For example, the negative coefficient for aridity in our model means the malaria cases decreases with lack of water since aridity is a deficiency of moisture probably due to the lack of rainfall. This understanding can promote malaria prevention campaign such as getting rid of stagnant waters around habitable household clusters or discarding water cans to prevent the growth of malaria-causing mosquitoes. Moreover, filling up of potholes during the dry season in high-risk areas will help diminish the mosquito breeding sites. The Koenker statistics (test for non-stationarity) was statistically significant (P< 0.01) and reflects that the relationships being modeled are consistent across the entire study area and thus nonstationary (except for drought episodes and EVI ) as seen from the robust probability (table2 [b]). Furthermore, the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) values (< 7.5; table2 [c]) indicates no redundancy among explanatory variables. The OLS model also produces an output residual. These residuals were tested for clustering using the spatial autocorrelation tool in ArcGIS(48) and it indicated that the variables used were randomly distributed and did not have a statistically significant z-score (p-value = 0.34 and z-score: 0.73), because a statistically significant spatial autocorrelation (p < 0.05 and z-score≥ 1.96) in the model residuals would indicate that we were missing one or more key explanatory variables. This is a positive indicator of choosing a good model(49). Joint F and Wald Statistics (table 2 [e] ): Asterisk (*) indicates overall model significance (p < 0.01); and because the Koenker (BP) Statistic [f] was statistically significant, we used the Wald Statistic to determine overall model significance(49).The Jarque-Bera Statistics[g] was statistically significant (p < 0.01) indicating that our model predictions were biased (the residuals were not normally distributed); this may be due to the changing signs in some of the coefficients in the explanatory variable
and thus causing variability. Though the test was biased, we proceeded to GWR model because recent studies(14) have reported on spatial variations similar to our specified model variables and our main goal was to understand the behavior of these variables with malaria cases for future research and intervention projects. The R-squared and Akaike's Information Criterion (AICc) measures the model fit/performance. The adjusted R-squared (table2 [d]) had a 22.3 % evaluation of the model performance, indicating that the combined effect of the explanatory variables in the OLS model was telling 22 % of the relationship story between the malaria cases and the environmental factors we are trying to model. This may seem low per the R² range (0-100 %) where higher R² values depict good model performance. However, environmental variables tend to be very complex since they most often are natural processes and will be very cumbersome to test for all higher R² variables due to time constraint. Hasyim (14), in the spatial modelling of malaria cases associated with environmental factors in South Sumatra, Indonesia, had low R² (6.2%) variation of malaria incidences by environmental factors for the OLS model. Moise (15) also had low R² (< 5%) in their OLS model variables in the seasonal and geographic variation of pediatric malaria in Burundi. This is to say that, the OLS model R² varies with the explanatory variables under investigation and lower R² does not always signify poor model performance(13).

The local GWR model was built based on the variables from the global OSL model. A validated OLS can lead to a global policy for malaria control programs while a validated spatial relationship with GWR is an appropriate method to initiate prevention programs in local systems(14). The GWR output coefficients maps indicated that population density, EVI, rainfall, and drought episodes had a strong correlation or positive influence on malaria cases in our study locations. The strong correlations were seen in areas of the western part of Cameroon and few in the north; figure 4. Whereas, aridity and proximity to water had a negative or weak association in the above-cited locations. The GWR adjusted R² value was higher (24.3 %) than the OLS model as expected to indicate improvement with local GWR model. This signifies that the GWR is a better indicator for explaining spatial variability at the local level(14,22). The map of the generated R² (figure 5A) indicates that, the GWR explains 24.3% of the spatial variability between malaria cases and the environmental factors in the Northwest, Southwest, Littoral, Douala, Central and Yaoundé DHS regions and a weak or low spatial variability in some areas in the East, Adamawa and North regions. This can further be demonstrated from our predicted map(figure 5B) highlighting some household clusters in the Northwest, Southwest, Adamawa and North regions as the predicted locations for malaria control and public health interventions.

The GWR residuals indicated that areas with over and under predictions were common in the southern part of the country. This implies that our model was unable to explain the spatial variability story between malaria cases and environmental factors in the locations depicted by the GWR residuals. Though our model finds it difficult explaining the spatial interdependence in these areas, the overall condition numbers from the attribute table output of the GWR indicates that our model did not have a hard time solving; since the condition numbers from the explanatory environmental variables were < 30 (>30 would mean the model had difficulties solving the spatial relationships). Furthermore, table 3 illustrated that the GWR improved our understanding of the spatial relationship between malaria cases and environmental factors since the AICc and R² were higher than that of OLS.

We had the following limitations: Firstly, we did not include all the categories that were used for the assessment of mosquito bed net ownership and usage, though we had a wider presentation of the analysis that was limited in previous studies(26) in Cameroon. Secondly, we used only the malaria hotspot locations to specify our GWR model. This was because running the model on entire malaria-cases-location for the whole country would have missed key explanatory variables. Moreover, this will help cut down on resources allocation by targeting vulnerable hotspot communities. Thirdly, our OLS model was biased and failed to pass the six tests check at the level of the Jarque-Bera statistics (table 2 [g]) that was significant (p< 0.01 ) and indicating our explanatory predictors were not normally distributed in some locations. We proceeded because our main goal was to understand the spatial variability between malaria cases and environmental factors in Cameroon that has been demonstrated in other countries(14,15) for intervention programs. Applying a log function to transform the variables would have improved the model biased problem, but will need re-running and removing possible outliers and testing for a new properly specified model which was challenging(49). Fourthly, the timing and the displacement nature of the DHS data points for confidentiality may have falsified the results as the analyzed points were displaced prior to release though maintained in their respective DHS regions. Future investigations are required to test for other environmental and socioeconomic variables to provide a detailed spatial interdependence with the malaria disease. Though our study had some remits, it has demonstrated a rigorous understanding of the spatial interdependence between malaria cases and environmental predictors. Moreover, the methods in this study can be used to study other health outcomes in Cameroon that have been applied in available literature(16,20,22). To the best of our search, this study has provided new insights into the malaria disease at the local level in Cameroon by applying the GWR spatial modelling technique that has never been done before.

Conclusions
The application of a local GWR spatial modelling to malaria research is of immense help in answering the pressing scientific questions faced by policymakers in controlling malaria. Given the greater availability of spatial data and desktop GIS packages and statistical techniques, the challenges faced in the malaria disease investigation will be improved in the future. Our analyses demonstrated low coverage of mosquito net ownership in Cameroon, especially in public health resource-limited areas. The generated GWR maps suggest that for policymakers to archive the GTS targets for malaria by 2030, there should be the creation of outreach programs that will target malaria hotspots locations, intensify ITNs distribution, allocate specific funding, establish vaccination campaigns and carry out future investigations in areas where the environmental variables showed strong spatial associations with malaria hotspot cases.
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Tables

Table 1: Covariates data used for this study
| Variable               | Units                  | Description                                                                 | Data source |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Population density     | Number of people       | The average number of people in the cells whose centroid falls within a radius of 10 km# or 2 km*. | (30)        |
| Aridity                | Aridity Index between 0.01 (Hyper-Arid) and 0.99 (Humid) | The average aridity index of the cells whose centroid falls within a radius of 10 km# or 2 km*. | (31)        |
| Drought episodes       | Individual classes between 1 (Low Drought) and 10 (High Drought) | The average of the drought episodes indices of the cells whose centroid falls within a radius of 10 km# or 2 km*. | (32)        |
| EVI year               | EVI value between 0 (least vegetation) and 10000 (Most vegetation) | The EVI was calculated by measuring the density of green leaves in the near-infrared and visible bands and describe as the average EVI of the cells whose centroid falls within a radius of 10 km# or 2 km*. | (33)        |
| Proximity to water     | Meters                 | Straight-line distance to the nearest major water body. Based on the World Vector Shorelines, CIA World Data Bank II, and Atlas of the Cryosphere | (34,35)     |
| Rainfall               | Millimeters per year   | The average rainfall of the cells whose centroid falls within a radius of 10 km# or 2 km*. | (36,37)     |

# rural points and * urban points.

**Table 2: Summary of OLS Results - Model Variables**
| Variable                  | Coefficient [a] | Std Error | t-Statistic | Probability [b] | Robust SE | Robust t | Robust Pr [b] | VIF [c] |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------|
| Intercept                | -412.757664     | 126.980400 | -3.250562   | 0.001234*       | 119.323296 | -3.459154 | 0.000597*     | ------ |
| Population-density       | 0.017716        | 0.006601  | 2.683856    | 0.007486*       | 0.007310  | 2.423448  | 0.015671*     | 1.406656 |
| Aridity                  | -0.085866       | 0.009752  | -8.804637   | 0.000000*       | 0.014935  | -5.749357 | 0.000000*     | 2.970344 |
| Droughts-episodes        | 0.026051        | 0.008413  | 3.096489    | 0.002066*       | 0.016161  | 1.611959  | 0.107536      | 1.295128 |
| Enhanced-vegetation index| 0.108842        | 0.029597  | 3.677506    | 0.000270*       | 0.067284  | 1.617653  | 0.106302      | 1.586277 |
| Proximity-to-water       | -0.001290       | 0.000575  | -2.242510   | 0.025297*       | 0.000409  | -3.152627 | 0.001716*     | 1.381426 |
| Rainfall                 | 0.746337        | 0.084883  | 8.792495    | 0.000000*       | 0.135624  | 5.502997  | 0.000000*     | 3.278200 |

**OLS Diagnostics**

|                          |                |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------|
| Number of Observations:  | 578            |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| Multiple R-Squared [d]:  |                |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| Joint F-Statistic [e]:   |                |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| Joint Wald Statistic [e]:|                |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| Koenker (BP) Statistic [f]:|             |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| Akaike's Information Criterion (AICc) [d]: | 9400.76851 | | | | | | |

|                          |                |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
|--------------------------|----------------|-----------|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------|--------------|--------|
| 0.231561                 | 0.223486       |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| 28.677491                | 0.000000*      |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| 47.830227                | 0.000000*      |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| 39.159382                | 0.000001*      |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |
| Jarque-Bera Statistic [g]:| 299512.123672 |           |             |                |           |          |              |        |

**Note:** * An asterisk next to a number indicates a statistically significant p-value (p < 0.01). The Letters [a] to [g] highlights the steps in the interpretation of the OLS results. VIF (Variance Inflation Factor) values (> 7.5) indicates redundancy among explanatory variables.

**Table 3: Comparison between OLS and GWR models**
| Value          | OLS       | GWR       |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| AICc*         | 9400.76851| 9386.566909|
| Multiple R2   | 0.231561  | 0.252845  |
| R2 adjusted   | 0.223486  | 0.242829  |

* Akaike's Information Criterion (AICc), measures the model fit/performace; a lower value indicates a better model performance.

**Figures**

Figure 1

Household categories of mosquito bed net ownership and usage.
Figure 2

Population characteristics of mosquito bed net usage.
Figure 3

Malaria hotspot raster map from the Getis-Ord Gi* analysis.

Figure 4
Distribution of GWR model coefficients (A-F) showing the spatial relationship between malaria hotspot cases and explanatory variables (X1 to X6).

Figure 5
Map of GWR local R2(A), predicted(B), and model residuals (C).