Compensatory Growth of Grower Olive Flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) with Different Feeding Regime at Suboptimal Temperature
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**ABSTRACT**: Compensatory growth of grower olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) was determined at suboptimal temperature (13.0±1.9°C). Fifteen fish averaging 201.1 g per tank were distributed into 18 of 300 L flow-through tanks. Six treatments were prepared in triplicate: fish were hand-fed with an extruded pellet to apparent satiation once a day for 16 weeks (16 WF); and the other five groups of fish were hand-fed for 15, 14, 13, 12 and 10 weeks after 1-, 2-, 3-, 4- and 6-week feed deprivation, referred to as 15 WF, 14 WF, 13 WF, 12 WF and 10 WF, respectively. A linear relationship between body weight of fish and feed deprivation was observed: \( Y (\text{Body weight of fish}) = -1.81X (\text{Weeks of feed deprivation})+201.07, R^2 = 0.83 \). Weight gain of grower olive flounder in 15 WF, 14 WF, 13 WF and 12 WF treatments was comparable to that of fish in 16 WF treatment, but lower than that of fish in 10 WF treatment. Specific growth rate of fish in 15 WF treatment was higher than that of fish in 16 WF, 14 WF and 10 WF treatments. Feed consumption of fish was not affected by feeding regime. Feed and protein efficiency ratios of fish in 15 WF treatment were higher than those of fish in 13 WF, 12 WF and 10 WF treatments. Grower olive flounder could achieve full compensatory growth when fish were daily fed for 12 weeks after 4-week feed deprivation at suboptimal temperature. (Key Words: Olive Flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*), Compensatory Growth, Grower, Feed Deprivation, Suboptimal Temperature)

**INTRODUCTION**

Olive flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*) is one of the most commercially important marine fish species for aquaculture in Eastern Asia including Korea, Japan and China, and its optimum temperature conditions was known to range 20-25°C (Iwata et al., 1994). However, water temperature can rise above 30°C in the summer and fall below 10°C in the winter in wild. Therefore, this fish species seems to tolerate a wide range of temperature.

Compensatory growth of fish is rapid or faster than normal growth rate of fish resulting from refeeding after fasting. Achieving compensatory growth of fish can have the several advantages for aquaculture such as saving feed and labor costs, reducing water pollution during starvation, and improving feeding activity and feed efficiency shortly after refeeding. Huang et al. (2008) reported that juvenile olive flounder averaging 12 g subjected to low temperature of 8.5°C for 10 days and followed by being grown at an optimum temperature of 22°C for the next 30 days achieved full compensatory growth. In addition, juvenile olive flounder averaging 53.9 g and 16 g achieved full compensatory growth after the 2-week feed deprivation at mean temperatures of 15°C and 23.6°C in the 8-week trials (Cho, 2005; Cho et al., 2006).

However, compensatory growth responses could vary depending on several factors such as fish species (Jobling et al., 1994; Wang et al., 2000), fish size (Bilton and Robins, 1973), dietary nutrient composition (Cho and Heo, 2010), duration of feeding trial (Rueda et al., 1998; Heide et al., 2006), feeding regime (Chatakondi and Yant, 2001; Zhu et al., 2004; Oh et al., 2007; Cho and Cho, 2009) and social factors (Jobling and Koskela, 1996; Hayward et al., 1997, 2000). Furthermore, Ali et al. (2003) indicated that partial, full and overcompensation could be evoked in fish although overcompensation had only been observed when cycles of deprivation and satiation feeding had been imposed.

Generally speaking, grower olive flounder must overwinter to grow up to highly valuable marketing size at
fish farm. To date, there have been only few studies compared to growers of olive flounders (Kim et al., 2009) compared to studies with juvenile fish (Iwata et al., 1994; Cho, 2005; Huang et al., 2008; Cho and Heo, 2010). In addition, no study on compensatory growth of growers of olive flounders has been performed yet. In this study, therefore, compensatory growth of growers of olive flounder with different feeding regime was determined at suboptimal temperature.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fish and the experimental conditions

Grower (ca. 200 g) olive flounders were purchased from a private farm and acclimated to the experimental conditions for 4 weeks. During the acclimation period, fish were fed with the commercial extruded pellet (EP) once a day as much as they voluntarily eat which was about 0.2-0.5% total biomass. Fifteen fish (an initial body weight of fish: 201.1±0.2 g) per tank were randomly chosen and distributed into 18 of 300 L flow-through tanks (water volume 250 L). The water source was the sand-filtered natural seawater and aeration supplied to each tank. The flow rate of water into each tank was 8.6 L/min. Water temperature ranged from 9.8°C to 16.5°C (mean±SD: 13.0±1.9°C) and photoperiod left natural condition.

Design of the feeding trial

Six treatments were prepared in triplicate: fish were hand-fed with the EP (Suhuyp Feed Co. Ltd., Korea) containing 51.6% crude protein and 14.9% crude lipid to apparent satiation once a day (09:00), seven days a week, for 16 week (16 WF), which was used as a control group; and five other groups of fish were hand-fed with the EP to apparent satiation once a day for 15, 14, 13, 12 and 10 weeks after 1-, 2-, 3-, 4- and 6-week feed deprivation, referred to as 15 WF, 14 WF, 13 WF, 12 WF and 10 WF, respectively. Fish from each tank were weighed to measure reduction in body weight of fish a day before feeding according to designated feeding schedule. At the end of 16-week trial, fish from each tank were weighed after being starved for a day.

Growth parameters

Following final weighing five fish from each tank were sampled. Total fish length (mm) and fish weight (g) calculated by the weight of biomass in each tank divided by number of fish was measured. Liver was obtained from samples and weighed. Following indices were calculated: CF = body weight (g)/total length (cm)³ and HSI = liver weight/body weight. Other criteria measured were as follows: specific growth rate (SGR) = (Ln final weight of fish-Ln initial weight of fish×100)/days of feeding trial, feed consumption (g/fish) = total feed consumption/number of fish, feed efficiency ratio (FER) = weight gain of fish/feed consumed and protein efficiency ratio (PER) = weight gain of fish/protein consumed.

Analysis of proximate composition

Three fish at the beginning and from each tank at the end of the study were sampled for proximate analysis. Fish were dissected and the whole body excluding the liver and liver of fish were separated. The whole body of fish excluding liver and the liver were separately homogenized before proximate analysis. Crude protein content determined using Kjeldahl method (Kjeltc 2100 Distillation Unit, Foss Tecator, Hoganas, Sweden), lipid content determined using ether-extraction method, moisture content determined by drying sample in a dry oven at 105°C for 24 h, fiber content determined using automatic analyzer (Fibertec, Tecator, Hoganas, Sweden) and ash content determined using muffle furnace at 550°C for 4 h, all methods were according to standard AOAC (1990).

Statistical analysis

One-way ANOVA and least significant difference (Fisher’s LSD) test were used to analyze the significance (p<0.05) of the difference among the means of treatments. Regression analysis for weight loss of olive flounder during starvation was conducted using SAS Version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). All percentage data were transformed to arcsine values prior to analysis.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A linear relationship between body weight of grower olive flounder (Y) and weeks of feed deprivation (X) was observed: Y = -1.81X+201.07, R² = 0.83 (Figure 1). A higher negative slope (-1.81) relationship between body weight of grower olive flounder and weeks of feed deprivation indicates that a greater weight loss per week of feed deprivation than that observed in juvenile olive flounder (slope = -0.81 at 15°C and slope = -0.57 at 23.6°C (Cho, 2005; Cho et al., 2006), agreeing with Adelman et al. (1955)’s study showing that larger brook trout (Salvelinus fontinalis) lost more body weight than smaller fish when starved for 6 months.

Survival over the 16 week experimental period ranged from 91% to 100% was not significantly (p>0.1) different among treatments (Table 1). However, weight gain of grower olive flounder in 15 WF, 14 WF, 13 WF and 12 WF treatments was comparable to that of fish in 16 WF treatment, but significantly (p<0.02) lower than that of fish in 10 WF treatment. This indicated that grower olive flounder could achieve full compensatory growth after 4-week feed deprivation at suboptimal temperature in this study. Similarly, channel catfish (averaging 22 g and 420 g) partially fed achieved same weight gain as fish continuously fed when fish were continuously fed, partially or non-fed...
throughout the overwintering period from December to April at earthen ponds (Kim and Lovell, 1995). When juvenile olive flounder were subjected to a range of temperature treatments (8.5, 13.0, 17.5, 22.0 and 26.5°C) for 10 days, even the fish held at 8.5°C achieved full compensation when subsequently reared at 22.0°C for 30 days (Huang et al., 2008). The results of Cho (2005), Cho et al. (2006) and Huang et al. (2008) and the present study probably indicated that eurythermal fish like the olive flounder could display compensatory growth over a range of temperatures.

The mean daily specific growth rate (SGR) in the 15 WF treatment was significantly (p<0.01) higher than that of fish in 16 WF, 14 WF and 10 WF treatments, but not significantly (p>0.05) different from that of fish in 13 WF and 12 WF treatments in this study. The mean daily SGR of fish in 15 WF, 14 WF, 13 WF and 12 WF treatments was also higher than that of fish in 10 WF treatment. Olive flounder over 150-200 g are usually fed to satiation no more than once a day at fish farm for easy management and cost effective production. Since the daily mean SGR (0.16%/d) of grower olive flounder in this study was comparable to that (0.20%/d) of fish grown from 270 to 350 g in Kim et al. (2009)'s study, in which fish were fed with the commercial EP (52.3% crude protein and 12.8 crude lipid) to satiation once a day at mean temperature of 12°C for 15 weeks, growth of fish seemed to be well achieved in this study. Recently, Kim et al. (2010) showed that SGR of grower olive flounder averaging 255 g ranged 0.18-0.56%/d when fish were fed with the diets containing various protein (40, 45 and 50%) and lipid (7 and 14%) levels to satiation twice a day at 15.5°C for 14 weeks. In addition, Iwata et al. (1994) reported SGR values of 0.18 and 0.71%/d for olive flounder averaging 176 g when fish were fed with the commercial diet (60% crude protein and 14% crude lipid) to satiation twice a day at 10 and 15°C for 35 days, respectively.

Figure 1. Change in body weight (g/fish) of grower olive flounder with weeks of feed deprivation (n = 3): Y (Body weight of fish) = -1.81X (Weeks of feed deprivation)+201.07, R² = 0.83).

Feed consumption (g/fish) of grower olive flounder was not significantly (p>0.08) affected by feeding regime (Table 2). No difference in feed consumption of fish in this study probably supported full compensatory growth of fish. This agreed with other studies (Rueda et al., 1998; Gaylord and Gatlin, 2000; Wang et al., 2000; Tian and Qin, 2004; Cho, 2005; Cho et al., 2006; Oh et al., 2007) showing that

Table 1. Survival (%), weight gain (g/fish) and specific growth rate (SGR) of grower olive flounder fed the extruded pellet with different feeding regime for 16 weeks

| Treatments | Initial weight of fish (g/fish) | Final weight of fish (g/fish) | Survival (%) | Weight gain (g/fish) | SGR¹ (%/d) |
|------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|----------------------|------------|
| 16 WF      | 200.78±0.21                    | 239.83±4.23                  | 97.78±2.22   | 39.05±4.30           | 0.16±0.02ab |
| 15 WF      | 201.09±0.18                    | 275.38±15.71                 | 91.11±4.44   | 74.30±15.53a         | 0.28±0.05ab |
| 14 WF      | 201.36±0.21                    | 247.80±11.04                 | 100.00±0.00  | 46.44±10.83a         | 0.18±0.04ab |
| 13 WF      | 201.36±0.23                    | 247.69±10.26                 | 100.00±0.00  | 46.33±10.25a         | 0.18±0.04ab |
| 12 WF      | 201.29±0.12                    | 254.56±2.89                  | 100.00±0.00  | 53.27±3.00b          | 0.21±0.01ab |
| 10 WF      | 201.04±0.27                    | 218.56±2.21                  | 95.56±2.22   | 17.52±2.26a          | 0.07±0.01bc |

Values (mean of triplicate±SE) in the same column sharing a common superscript are not significantly different (p>0.05).

¹ Specific growth rate (SGR, %/d) = 100×(Ln final weight of fish-Ln initial weight of fish)/days of trial.
hyperphagia was observed in fish achieving full compensatory growth. Although feed consumption of olive flounder in 10 WF treatment was almost same as in 16 WF treatment, lower weight gain of fish was observed in the latter.

However, feed and protein efficiency ratios of fish in 15 WF treatment were significantly (p<0.001) higher than those of fish in 13 WF, 12 WF and 10 WF treatments, but not significantly (p>0.05) different from those of fish in 16 WF and 14 WF treatments in this study. Feed and protein efficiency ratios of fish in 16 WF, 14 WF, 13 WF and 12 WF treatments were also higher than those of fish in 10 WF treatment, which was lowest. Higher feed and protein efficiency ratios of fish in 16 WF, 15 WF, 14 WF, 13 WF and 12 WF treatments compared to those of fish in 10 WF treatment partially agreed with other studies (Jobling et al., 1994; Gaylord and Gatlin, 2000, 2001; Cho, 2005; Oh et al., 2007; Cho and Heo, 2010) showing that improvement in feed utilization was observed in fish achieving compensatory growth.

Neither CF (p>0.8) nor HSI (p>0.5) of grower olive flounder was different among treatments in this study. Unlike this study, however, HSI was a good index to indicate compensatory growth of channel catfish and olive flounder (Gaylord and Gatlin, 2000; Cho, 2005). In addition, Miglavs and Jobling (1989) showed that the relative sizes of liver and viscera decreased with either feed deprivation for 16 weeks or restricted feeding, which was 10% of feed consumption at satiation feeding for 8 weeks in Arctic char (Salvelinus alpinus) and increased to levels exceeding those of fish fed continuously to satiation after fish were transferred from restricted to satiation feeding for the following 8 weeks.

Moisture (p>0.7), crude lipid (p>0.1) and ash (p>0.8) content of the whole body excluding liver and moisture (p>0.9) and crude lipid (p>0.1) content of liver of grower olive flounder was not significantly affected by feeding regime (Table 3). However, crude protein content of

| Treatments | Feed consumption (g/fish) | Feed efficiency ratio (FER) | Protein efficiency ratio (PER) | Condition factor (CF) | Hepatosomatic index (HSI) |
|------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|
| 16 WF      | 47.29±3.47                | 0.82±0.04<sup>a,b</sup>     | 1.59±0.08<sup>a,b</sup>      | 1.01±0.04            | 1.46±0.13               |
| 15 WF      | 41.14±17.79               | 0.92±0.02<sup>a</sup>       | 1.78±0.14<sup>a</sup>        | 0.98±0.03            | 1.76±0.03               |
| 14 WF      | 64.27±15.44               | 0.74±0.10<sup>b,c</sup>     | 1.43±0.20<sup>b,c</sup>      | 0.97±0.11            | 1.46±0.17               |
| 13 WF      | 63.88±7.43                | 0.72±0.07<sup>b</sup>       | 1.39±0.14<sup>b</sup>        | 1.09±0.09            | 1.52±0.17               |
| 12 WF      | 91.39±9.99                | 0.60±0.07<sup>c</sup>       | 1.16±0.14<sup>c</sup>        | 1.13±0.11            | 1.71±0.03               |
| 10 WF      | 46.66±6.03                | 0.38±0.03<sup>d</sup>       | 0.73±0.06<sup>d</sup>        | 0.98±0.05            | 1.68±0.18               |

Values (mean of triplicate±SE) in the same column sharing a common superscript are not significantly different (p>0.05).

Table 3. Chemical composition (% , wet weight) of the whole body excluding liver and liver of grower olive flounder at the end of the 16-week feeding trial

| Treatments | Moisture | Crude protein | Crude lipid | Ash |
|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|-----|
| 16 WF      | 72.53±0.27 | 18.13±0.38<sup>b</sup> | 4.50±0.49 | 3.63±0.19 |
| 15 WF      | 72.17±0.46 | 17.53±0.43<sup>bc</sup> | 3.93±0.54 | 3.47±0.03 |
| 14 WF      | 73.80±1.55 | 17.57±0.12<sup>bc</sup> | 4.40±0.15 | 3.70±0.46 |
| 13 WF      | 73.33±1.24 | 17.03±0.29<sup>c</sup> | 3.17±0.55 | 3.73±0.15 |
| 12 WF      | 72.83±1.73 | 18.90±0.44<sup>b</sup> | 4.90±0.64 | 3.47±0.09 |
| 10 WF      | 74.20±0.60 | 17.17±0.07<sup>bc</sup> | 3.33±0.17 | 3.43±0.12 |

| Treatments | Moisture | Crude protein | Crude lipid |
|------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
| 16 WF      | 12.60±0.21<sup>b</sup> | 15.90±0.50 |
| 15 WF      | 13.50±0.00<sup>a</sup> | 15.37±0.09 |
| 14 WF      | 11.73±0.34<sup>c</sup> | 15.47±0.49 |
| 13 WF      | 12.43±0.20<sup>bc</sup> | 15.43±0.82 |
| 12 WF      | 12.87±0.15<sup>ab</sup> | 15.43±1.48 |
| 10 WF      | 10.87±0.33<sup>d</sup> | 12.63±0.69 |

Values (mean of triplicate±SE) in the same column sharing a common superscript are not significantly different (p>0.05).
whole body excluding liver of fish in the 12 WF treatment was significantly (p<0.01) higher than for fish in the other treatments with the exception of the 16 WF treatment. In addition, crude protein content of the whole body excluding liver for fish in the 16 WF treatment was also higher than for fish in the 13 WF treatment, which had the lowest level. Crude protein content of liver of fish in 15 WF treatment was significantly (p<0.0001) higher than that of liver of fish in all other treatments except for that of the liver of fish in 12 WF treatment. In addition, crude protein content of liver of fish in 16 WF, 14 WF and 13 WF treatments was also higher than that of liver of fish in 10 WF treatment, which was lowest.

When rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and gibel carp (Carassius auratus gibelio) were starved for a defined period of time, body fat decreased, but body moisture and protein increased compared to fish without feed deprivation; however, the fish restored the body fat shortly after satiation feeding (Quinton and Blake, 1990; Xie et al., 2001). This resulted to no difference in body proximate composition of fish with different feeding regimes at the end of the feeding trials (Gaylord and Gatlin, 2000; Xie et al., 2001; Zhu et al., 2004; Cho et al., 2006). Miglavs and Jobling (1989) reported that lipid decreased and water increased in the eviscerated carcass of Arctic char with feed deprivation; however, liver lipid content of restricted-satiation fish followed by satiation feeding was higher than that of fish fed to satiation without feed deprivation in 16-week feeding trial.

In conclusion, grower olive flounder could achieve full compensatory growth up to 4-week feed deprivation when fish were fed with extruded pellet to apparent satiation once a day for 16 weeks or 15, 14, 13, 12 and 10 weeks after 1-, 2-, 3-, 4- and 6-week feed deprivation at suboptimal temperature.
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