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1 Introduction

BV formalism is a generalization of the BRST formalism, based on the mathematical theory of odd symplectic supermanifolds. In this formalism the path integral is interpreted as an integral of a density of weight $1/2$ over a Lagrangian submanifold. It turns out that this “standard” formulation is not sufficient to describe string worldsheet theory. One has to also consider integration over families of Lagrangian submanifolds. Indeed, the idea of [1–3] was to interpret integration over worldsheet metrics as a particular case of integration over the space of gauge fixing conditions. Varying the worldsheet metric is a particular case of varying the Lagrangian submanifold. Taking into account the worldsheet diffeomorphism invariance requires an equivariant version of this integration procedure. (In a sense, worldsheet metric is not necessarily a preferred, or “special”, object. Varying the worldsheet metric is just one way to build an integration cycle, there are others. The worldsheet diffeomorphisms, however, are special.)

The construction of equivariant form involves a map of some differential graded Lie algebra (DGLA) $\mathcal{D}g$ into the algebra of functions on the BV phase space of the string sigma-model. To the best of our knowledge, $\mathcal{D}g$ was first introduced, or at least clearly presented, in [4]. Here we will rederive some constructions of [2, 3] using an algebraic language which emphasizes the DGLA structure, and apply some results of [4] to the study of worldsheet vertex operators. In a sense, $\mathcal{D}g$ is a “universal structure” in equivariant BV formalism, i.e. the “worst-case scenario” in terms of complexity. The construction of $\mathcal{D}g$ is a generalization of the construction of the “cone” superalgebra $\mathcal{C}g$ (which is called “supersymmetrized Lie superalgebra” in [5]).

We will now briefly outline these constructions, and the results of the present paper.
1.1 The cone of Lie superalgebra

For every Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$, we can define a DGLA $\mathcal{C} \mathfrak{a}$ (the “cone” of $\mathfrak{a}$) as follows. We consider vector superspace $\mathfrak{a}$ as a graded vector space, such that the grade of all elements is zero. Then, we denote $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a}$ the vector space $\mathfrak{a}$ with flipped statistics at degree $-1$.\footnote{In our conventions, “grade” corresponds to the “ghost number”; statistics is not grade mod 2.} Consider a graded vector space:

$$ C\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a} \quad (1.1) $$

where $\mathfrak{a}$ is at grade zero, and $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a}$ at grade $-1$. (The letter $s$ means “suspension”, the standard terminology in linear algebra.)

The commutator is defined as follows. The commutator of two elements of $\mathfrak{a} \subset C\mathfrak{a}$ is zero, $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal, the action of $\mathfrak{a}$ on $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a}$ corresponds to the adjoint representation of $\mathfrak{a}$. The differential $d_{C\mathfrak{a}}$ is zero on $\mathfrak{a}$ and maps elements of $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a}$ to the elements of $\mathfrak{a}$, i.e.:

$$ d_{C\mathfrak{a}}(sx) = x. $$

This construction has an important application in differential geometry. If $\mathfrak{a}$ acts on a manifold $M$, then $C\mathfrak{a}$ acts on differential forms on $M$. The same applies to supermanifolds and pseudo-differential forms (PDFs) on $M$. The elements of $\mathfrak{a} \subset C\mathfrak{a}$ act as Lie derivatives. For each $x \in \mathfrak{a}$ we denote $L\langle x \rangle$ the corresponding Lie derivative. The elements of $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{a}$ act as “contractions”. For $x \in \mathfrak{a}$, the contraction will be denoted $\iota\langle x \rangle$. (We use angular brackets $f\langle x \rangle$ when $f$ is a linear function, to highlight linear dependence on $x$.) We have:

$$ d\iota\langle x \rangle = L\langle x \rangle \quad (1.2) $$

$$ dl\langle x \rangle = 0 $$

$$ [L\langle x \rangle, L\langle y \rangle] = L\langle [x, y] \rangle $$

1.2 $D\mathfrak{g}$

The definition of $D\mathfrak{g}$ is similar to the definition of $C\mathfrak{g}$. Essentially, we replace the commutative ideal $\mathfrak{s} \mathfrak{g} \subset C\mathfrak{g}$ with a free Lie superalgebra of the linear space $s^{-1}\text{Symm}(s^2 \mathfrak{g})$ where $\text{Symm}(s^2 \mathfrak{g})$ is the space of symmetric tensors of $s^2 \mathfrak{g}$. Instead of defining the commutators to be zero, we only require that some linear combinations of commutators are $d_{D\mathfrak{g}}$-exact. Eq. (1.2) is replaced with:

$$ di\langle x \rangle + \frac{1}{2}[i\langle x \rangle, i\langle x \rangle] = l\langle x \rangle \quad (1.3) $$

$$ dl\langle x \rangle = 0 $$

$$ [l\langle x \rangle, l\langle y \rangle] = l\langle [x, y] \rangle $$

In particular, if $i\langle x \rangle$ is a linear function of $x$, then $D\mathfrak{g}$ becomes $C\mathfrak{g}$. In this case, $i\langle x \rangle$ becomes $l\langle x \rangle$ and $l\langle x \rangle$ becomes $L\langle x \rangle$. In general, $i\langle x \rangle$ is a nonlinear function of $x$ (but $l\langle x \rangle$ remains linear). In section 3 we explain the details of the construction, and why it is natural. We slightly generalize it, by allowing $\mathfrak{g}$ to be a Lie superalgebra (while in [4] it was a Lie algebra).
1.3 String measure

In BV formalism, to every half-density $\rho_{1/2}$ satisfying the Quantum Master Equation corresponds a closed PDF on the space of Lagrangian submanifolds, which we denote $\Omega$ \cite{2, 3}. Besides being closed, it satisfies the following very special property:

$$d\iota\langle x \rangle \Omega = \iota\langle \Delta x \rangle \Omega$$

(1.4)

where $x \in a$, $a$ is the algebra of infinitesimal odd canonical transformations, and $\Delta$ is some differential on $a$, which is associated to the half-density $\rho_{1/2}$. This form $\Omega$ is inhomogeneous, i.e. does not have a definite rank. It is, generally speaking, a pseudo-differential form (PDF). Otherwise, eq. (1.4) would not make sense. We rederive $\Omega$ and explain its meaning as a Lie superalgebra cocycle in section 9.2.

1.4 Equivariant string measure

Let $g \subset a$ be the algebra of vector fields on the worldsheet. In the BV approach to string worldsheet theory, worldsheet diffeomorphisms are symmetries of $\rho_{1/2}$, and therefore $\Omega$ is $g$-invariant. We are interested in constructing the $g$-equivariant version of $\Omega$. Generally speaking, there is no good algorithm for constructing an equivariant PDF out of an invariant PDF. But in our case, since $\Omega$ satisfies eq. (1.4), we can reduce the construction of equivariant form to the construction of an embedding $Dg \to a$ — see section 5.

1.5 Vertex operators

Consider deformations of $\Omega$. In string theory context they are called “vertex operators”. It is useful to consider deformations which break some of the symmetries. Typically, we insert some operators at some points on the worldsheet, breaking the diffeomorphisms down to the subgroup preserving that set of points. This is the “unintegrated vertex operator”. Then, there exists an averaging procedure which restores the symmetry group back to all diffeomorphisms. The result of this averaging is effectively an insertion of “integrated vertex operator” which preserves all the diffeomorphisms. This relation between unintegrated and integrated vertex operators is important in string theory.

As we show in section 11, this averaging procedure requires an action of $Dg$. Just to define the action of symmetries, we only need $l(x)$. But the averaging procedure, which is needed to compute string amplitudes, does involve $i(x)$. In previously studied cases, such as bosonic or NSR string, $Dg$ reduces to $Cg$, and $i(x)$ is a very simple expression. It is basically the contraction of $x$ with the ghost antifield,

$$i(\xi) = \int c^\alpha \xi^\alpha$$

(1.5)

using the notations of [3] (see also section 3.4). The averaging procedure consists in this case of simply removing the ghost fields from the vertex, and then integrating over the insertion point. In section 11 we derive the general formula, which is rather nontrivial and uses some intertwining operator constructed in [4].

At this time, we do not have concrete examples of string worldsheet theories where $Dg$ would not enter only through the projection to $Cg$. It is likely that pure spinor superstring in AdS background is an example, but we only have a partial construction \cite{6}.
1.6 Previous work

Previous work on equivariant BV formalism includes [7–14]. Similar algebraic structures appeared recently (in a different context?) in [15] and references therein.

2 Notations

When a function \( f(x) \) depends on \( x \) linearly, we will write:

\[
\langle f \rangle \quad \text{instead of} \quad f(x)
\]

(2.1)

to stress linearity. The cone of the Lie superalgebra \( g \) is:

\[
Cg = g \oplus sg
\]

(2.2)

where \( \oplus \) stands for semidirect sum of Lie superalgebras, with arrow pointing towards the ideal, and \( s \) is suspension, of degree \(-1\). (We consider \( g \) as a graded vector space, all having degree zero, then \( sg \) all has degree \(-1\).)\(^2\) Throughout the paper we will follow the notations of [16]. From a vector space \( V \) over a field \( K \) (for us \( K = \mathbb{R} \)) we construct an algebra \( A \), which consists of tensors of \( V \) modulo some quadratic relations \( R \subset V \otimes V \). The coalgebra \( A^\dagger \) consists of tensors of \( sV \), such that the tensor product of any pair of neighbor \( V \) fall into \( s^2R \). The cobar construction of \( A^\dagger \) is denoted \( \Omega \left( A^\dagger \right) \).

One motivation for using the formalism of quadratic algebras is technical, as it automates keeping track of signs. We will translate into “more elementary” language of [4] in section 3.3.
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3 \( Dg \)

3.1 Definition of \( Dg \)

As far as we know, \( Dg \) was introduced in [4], in the context of current algebras. We will now present it in the language of quadratic algebras.

3.1.1 Supercommutative algebra and its dual coalgebra

Let \( V \) be a super linear space, and \( T^a(V) \) be the tensor algebra generated by \( V \):

\[
T^a(V) = \bigoplus_n \underbrace{V \otimes \cdots \otimes V}_n
\]

(3.1)

Here the upper index \( a \) implies that we consider tensors as forming an algebra. Let \( A \) be the free super-commutative algebra generated by \( V \). We define it as the subspace of

\(^2\)It may seem strange to assign degree \(-1\) to \( s \), instead of degree \(+1\). In string theory context, we want the grade to be the ghost number. At the same time, we do not want to replace \( s \) with \( s^{-1} \), because we want to agree with the notations of [16].
$T^a(V)$ consisting of symmetric tensors. (To define the structure of algebra, we notice that this subspace is isomorphic to the factorspace by quadratic relations of $A$, which are antisymmetric tensors in $V \otimes V$.)

Then, the dual coalgebra $A^\dagger$, by definition, the subspace of symmetric tensors in the tensor coalgebra:

$$A^\dagger \subset T^c(sV) = \bigoplus_n (sV)^{\otimes n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

(Here the upper index $c$ means that we consider it as a coalgebra.)

For example, let $a \in V$, $b \in V$ be even and $\psi \in V$, $\eta \in V$ be odd. The following tensors belong to $A$:

$$a \otimes b + b \otimes a, \ a \otimes \xi + \xi \otimes a, \ \xi \otimes \eta - \eta \otimes \xi$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

The bar construction is:

$$BA = T^c(s\bar{A})$$

$$d_B(sx \otimes_B sy) = (-1)^{s_x s_y}$$

The subspace $A^\dagger \subset BA$ is annihilated by $d_B$ because of relations of $A$. In particular, the following are elements of $A^\dagger$:

$$sa \otimes sb - sb \otimes sa, \ sa \otimes s\psi + s\psi \otimes sa, \ s\psi \otimes s\eta + s\eta \otimes s\psi$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

These are symmetric tensors in $sV$.

To summarize, if $A$ is the algebra of symmetric tensors in $V$, then $A^\dagger$ is the coalgebra of symmetric tensors in $sV$.

### 3.1.2 Case of $V = s\mathfrak{g}$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie superalgebra. We consider it as a graded Lie superalgebra, with all elements having grade zero. Let us apply the construction of section 3.1.1 to $V = s\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $A$ be the free commutative superalgebra generated by $V$, and $A^\dagger$ its Koszul dual coalgebra:

$$A \subset T^a(s\mathfrak{g})$$

$$A^\dagger \subset T^c(s^2\mathfrak{g})$$

At this point, we consider $s\mathfrak{g}$ only as a supercommutative algebra. The Lie algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is forgotten.

Let us consider the cobar construction of $A^\dagger$:

$$\Omega \left( A^\dagger \right) = T^a \left( s^{-1}A \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)
Now the index $a$ in $T^a$ means that we consider tensors as forming an algebra, the free algebra. The overline over $A^i$ means that we remove the counit, see [16] for precise definitions. We actually only need a subspace:

$$\text{FreeLie}\left(s^{-1}A^i\right) \subset \Omega\left(A^i\right) \quad (3.6)$$

which is generated by commutators. This is a free Lie superalgebra. Consider the natural twisting morphism

$$\alpha : A^i \to \Omega\left(A^i\right) \quad (3.7)$$

(which is denoted $\iota$ in chapter 2 of [16], but we reserve $\iota$ for contraction of a vector field into a form). Its image belongs to $s^{-1}A^i \subset \text{FreeLie}\left(s^{-1}A^i\right)$. It satisfies the Maurer-Cartan equation; using the notations of chapter 2 of [16]:

$$d_\Omega \alpha + \alpha \ast \alpha = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

where $d_\Omega$ is the differential on $\Omega\left(A^i\right)$ induced by the coalgebra structure on $A^i$. Since $A$ is a supercommutative algebra, $d_\Omega \left(s^{-1}A^i\right)$ actually belongs to the subspace:

$$\left(s^{-1}A^i\right) \wedge \left(s^{-1}A^i\right) \subset \left(s^{-1}A^i\right) \otimes \left(s^{-1}A^i\right) \quad (3.9)$$

This implies that $d_\Omega$ preserves the subspace:

$$\text{FreeLie}\left(s^{-1}A^i\right) \subset \Omega\left(A^i\right) \quad (3.10)$$

(Indeed, the $H^0$ of the cobar complex is $A$, and $A$ is supercommutative; $d_\Omega$ “kills the commutator”.) This means that we may write $\frac{1}{2}[\alpha \ast \alpha]$ instead of $\alpha \ast \alpha$.

To summarize, $\text{FreeLie}\left(s^{-1}A^i\right)$ with $d_\Omega$ is a differential graded Lie superalgebra.

### 3.1.3 Definition of $Dg$

Let us consider a larger space:

$$Dg = g \overset{\oplus}{\rightarrow} \text{FreeLie}\left(s^{-1}A^i\right) \quad (3.11)$$

Here $\overset{\oplus}{\rightarrow}$ stands for semidirect sum of Lie superalgebras, with arrow pointing towards the ideal. The embedding of $g$ into $Dg$ as the first summand will be denoted $l$:

$$l : g \to Dg \quad (3.12)$$
Lie superalgebra structure on $Dg$. We define the commutator of two elements of $Dg$ as follows:

- The commutator of two elements of $\text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A\right)$ is the commutator of the free Lie algebra.
- The commutator of two elements of $g$ is the commutator of $g$.
- The commutator of elements of $g$ and elements of $\text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A\right)$ corresponds to the natural action of $g$ on $A\dot{\mid}$.

Differential $d'$ of $Dg$. There is a natural projection:

$$\pi : A\dot{\mid} \rightarrow s^2g$$

annihilating all tensors with rank $\geq 1$ (i.e. $\ker \pi = \left( A\dot{\mid} \right)_{\geq 1}$). We define a differential $d'$ on $g \oplus \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A\right)$, in the following way.

- We postulate that the action of $d'$ on $g$ (the first term in eq. (3.11)) be zero:

$$d'\mid_g = 0$$

- It is enough to define the action of $d'$ on $s^{-1}A\dot{\mid} \subset \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A\right)$. We put:

$$d'\mid_{s^{-1}A\dot{\mid}} = s^{-1} \circ \pi : s^{-1}A\dot{\mid} \rightarrow g$$

We then extend eqs. (3.14) and (3.15) to the differential $d'$ of $g \oplus \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A\right)$.

We consider $g \oplus \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A\right)$ with the differential $d_{\Omega} + d'$ which will be called $d_{Dg}$:

$$d_{Dg} = d_{\Omega} + d'$$

The nilpotence of $d_{Dg}$ follows from the fact that $d_{\Omega}$ anticommutes with $d'$:

$$d'd_{\Omega} + d_{\Omega}d' = 0$$

This is proven in section A.2.
3.2 Representation as vector fields

Consider the cone of our free Lie algebra:

\[ C = C \left( \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A \right) \right) \]

\[ \mathcal{L} : \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A \right) \rightarrow C \left( \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A \right) \right) \]

\[ \iota : \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A \right) \rightarrow C \left( \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A \right) \right) \]

and its universal enveloping algebra \( UC \). The Maurer-Cartan eq. (3.8) implies:

\[ (d_C + d_\Omega) \exp(-\iota \circ \alpha) = \exp(-\iota \circ \alpha)(d_C + d_\Omega + L \circ \alpha) \tag{3.18} \]

This is an equation in the completion of \( \text{Hom} \left( A^\dagger, UC \right) \). Let \( M \) be some supermanifold, and \( \text{Vect}(M) \) the algebra of vector fields on it. Suppose that we are given a map of linear spaces:

\[ s^{-1}A^\dagger \rightarrow \text{Vect}(M) \tag{3.19} \]

Such a map defines a representation of \( C \) in the space of pseudo-differential forms (PDFs) on \( M \). We want to project eq. (3.18) on the space of PDFs on \( M \). It is not possible to do directly, because we do not require that \( d_\Omega \) act on PDFs. Instead, we will use the following version of eq. (3.18):

\[ d_M \exp(-\iota \circ \alpha) \omega = \exp(-\iota \circ \alpha)(d_M + \iota \circ (d_\Omega \alpha) + L \circ \alpha) \omega \tag{3.20} \]

for all \( \omega \in \text{Hom}(A^\dagger, \text{Fun}(\Pi TM)) \).

3.3 Simpler notations

For us \( V = sg \), and \( A^\dagger \) is the coalgebra of symmetric tensors in \( s^2g \). Therefore, the space \( \text{Hom} \left( A^\dagger, L \right) \) can be thought of as the space of formal Taylor series of functions on the superspace \( s^2g \) with values in a linear superspace \( L \). The subspace \( \text{Hom} \left( (A^\dagger)^n, L \right) \), where \( (A^\dagger)^n \) consists of rank \( n \) tensors, is the space of \( n \)-th coefficients of the Taylor series. In particular, we interpret \( \text{Hom}(A^\dagger, \text{Fun}((\Pi TM)) \) as the space of functions on the supermanifold \( s^2g \times \Pi TM \):

\[ \text{Hom} \left( A^\dagger, \text{Fun}(\Pi TM) \right) \simeq \text{Fun}(s^2g \times \Pi TM) \tag{3.21} \]
where \( \simeq \) means that we are not being rigorous. We ignore the question of which functions are allowed, i.e. do not explain the precise meaning of \( \text{Fun(\ldots)} \).

Let \( \{ e_a \} \) denote some basis in \( s^2 g \), and \( \{ F^a \} \) the dual basis in the space \( s^{-2} g^* \) of linear functions on \( g \):

\[
F^a \in \text{Hom}(s^2 g, K) \quad \text{for} \quad a \in \{1, \ldots, \dim g\} \quad (3.22)
\]

In this language:

\[
\alpha = F^{a_1} \cdots F^{a_n} s^{-1}(e_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{a_n})
\]

\[
T^{(a_1 \ldots a_n)} d\Omega s^{-1}(e_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{a_n}) = (-)^{a_1} T^{(a_1 \ldots a_n)} s^{-1}(e_{a_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{a_n}) +
\]

\[
+ (-)^{a_1 + a_2} T^{(a_1 \ldots a_n)} s^{-1}(e_{a_1} \otimes e_{a_2}) \otimes s^{-1}(e_{a_3} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{a_n}) + \ldots
\]

for \( T^{(a_1 \ldots a_n)} \) any tensor symmetric in \( a_1 \ldots a_n \). To agree with \([4]\), we will denote:

\[
i_{a_1 \ldots a_n} = s^{-1}(e_{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{a_n})
\]

\[
i(F) = \alpha = F^{a_1} \cdots F^{a_n} i_{a_1 \ldots a_n}
\]

\[
l(F) = F^a e_a \quad \text{where} \quad e_a \in g \subseteq g \longrightarrow \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A\right) \quad (3.23)
\]

Here the notation \( l(F) \) agrees with eq. (3.12).

### 3.4 Ghost number

In our notations, if \( X \) has ghost number \( n \) then \( sX \) has ghost number \( n - 1 \). In other words, \( s \) lowers ghost number. In particular, the cone of the Lie superalgebra \( g \) is:

\[
Cg = g \oplus sg \quad (3.25)
\]

The generator \( i_{a_1 \ldots a_n} \) has ghost number \(-2n + 1\). For example, in bosonic string theory, \( i_a \) corresponds to \( \{ e^* , \cdot \} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \) where \( e^* \) is the BV antifield for ghost and \( \{ \cdot , \cdot \} \) the odd Poisson bracket. As a mnemonic rule, \( i_{a_1 \ldots a_n} \) has the same ghost number as \( \{(e^*)^n , \cdot \} \).

Elements of \( g \) (the first summand in \( g \oplus \text{FreeLie} \left( s^{-1}A\right) \)) all have ghost number zero.

### 3.5 Are \( F^a \) Faddeev-Popov ghosts?

As an ideal in \( Cg \), the \( sg \) is Lie superalgebra with zero commutator. We can think of \( F^a \) as Faddeev-Popov ghosts of the BRST complex of \( sg \). Since the commutator is zero, the BRST differential annihilates \( F^a \).

But when we consider \( Dg \) and not \( Cg \), the commutator \([i_a , i_b] \) is nonzero; we consider the free Lie superalgebra generated by \( i_a \). We might have introduced new Faddeev-Popov ghost for nested commutators, and the corresponding BRST differential. But this is not how the construction goes. Instead, we introduce new generators, such as \( i_{ab} \), and the differential \( d_{\Omega} \) such that \( di_{ab} = [i_a , i_b] \) etc.. This differential \( d_{\Omega} \) acts on elements of the Lie superalgebra, not on Faddeev-Popov ghosts. This is \textit{not} the Faddeev-Popov construction.
Notice that $i_{a_1 \ldots a_n}$ gets contracted with products of $F^a$. In this sense, we may say that we replace the Faddeev-Popov $c^A t_A$ with nonlinear functions of $c$. We replace:

$$c^A t_A + \frac{1}{2} f^C_{AB} c^A c^B \frac{\partial}{\partial c^C}$$

with:

$$\sum F^{a_1} \ldots F^{a_n} i_{a_1 \ldots a_n} + d\Omega$$

(3.27)

4 $D'g$

We need to also consider an extension of $Dg$, which we will call $D'g$, which is obtained by replacing $\text{FreeLie}(s^{-1} A)$ with $\text{FreeLie}(s^{-1} A)$:

$$D'g = g \oplus \text{FreeLie}(s^{-1} A)$$

(4.1)

In the language of section 3.3, we allow $i(0) \neq 0$, but require:

$$d_{D'g}i(0) + \frac{1}{2} [i(0), i(0)] = 0$$

$$[x, i(0)] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in g$$

5 Ansatz for equivariant form

Suppose that the Lie superalgebra $g$ is realized as vector fields on some supermanifold $M$. This means that pseudo-differential forms on $M$ are a $g$-differential module (= representation of $(Cg, d_{Cg})$). Consider the equation:

$$(d + \iota(F)) \omega^c = 0$$

$$\omega^c \in \text{Fun}(s^2 g \times \Pi TM)$$

(5.1)

We can write such an equations in any $g$-differential module $W$, not necessarily PDFs on $M$.

Now suppose that $W$ is a $D'g$-differential module (= representation of $CD'g$). Since $g$ is embedded into $D'g$, we can still write the Cartan eq. (5.1):

$$(d + \iota(l(F))) \omega^c = 0$$

(5.2)

where we use the notations of eqs. (3.12), (3.24).

Then, given any $d$-closed form $\omega$, consider the following ansatz for a solution of eq. (5.1):

$$\omega^c = \exp(\iota(l(F))) \omega$$

(5.3)

where $l(F)$ is from eq. (3.23). We will show that under certain conditions this substitution solves eq. (5.1).
6 \ CDg

6.1 \ D'g-differential modules

Suppose that \( W \) is a \( D'g \)-differential module. This means that \( W \) is a representation of the Lie superalgebra \( CD'g \), with the differential \( d_W \) which agrees with the differential \( d_{CD'g} \) of \( CD'g \). (The differential of \( D'g \), which we denote \( d_{D'g} \), does not participate in these definitions, but will play its role.)

For every \( x \in D'g \) we denote \( L(x) \) and \( \iota(x) \) the corresponding elements of \( CD'g \), and \( \mathcal{L}_W(x) \) and \( \iota_W(x) \) their action in \( W \). With the notations of eqs. (3.12) and (3.24), eq. (3.20) implies, for all \( \omega \in W \):

\[
(d_W + \iota_W \langle l(F) \rangle) \exp(-\iota_W \langle i(F) \rangle) \omega = \exp(-\iota_W \langle i(F) \rangle) (d_W + \iota_W \langle d_{D'g}i(F) \rangle + \mathcal{L}_W \langle i(F) \rangle) \omega \tag{6.1}
\]

Let us consider eq. (6.7) in the special case when \( \omega \) satisfies:

\[
d_W \omega = 0 \tag{6.2}
\]

\[
(-)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \iota_W \langle d_{D'g}x \rangle + \mathcal{L}_W \langle x \rangle \omega = 0 \tag{6.3}
\]

for all \( x \in D'g \). Then:

\[
(d_W + \iota_W \langle l(F) \rangle) \exp(-\iota_W \langle i(F) \rangle) \omega = 0 \tag{6.4}
\]

Eq. (6.3) is a special requirement on \( W \) and \( \omega \). It is by no means automatic. Intuitively, it may be understood as an interplay between \( d_W \) and \( d_{D'g} \) (and \( \omega \)):

\[
d_W \iota_W \langle x \rangle \omega = \iota_W \langle d_{D'g}x \rangle \omega \tag{6.5}
\]

We do not require that \( d_{D'g} \) act in \( W \). Instead, we want eqs. (6.2) and (6.3) (or, equivalently, eqs. (6.2) and (6.5)).

We will now consider two examples of \( D'g \)-differential modules.

6.2 \ Pseudo-differential forms (PDF)

Suppose that a supermanifold \( M \) comes with an infinitesimal action of \( D'g \), i.e. a homomorphism:

\[
r : \ D'g \rightarrow \text{Vect}(M) \tag{6.6}
\]

This is only a homomorphism of Lie superalgebras; we forget, for now, about the differential \( d_{D'g} \).

We denote \( d_M \) the deRham differential on \( M \), and \( F = F^a e_a \). Then eq. (3.20) implies

\[
(d_M + \iota \circ F) \exp(-\iota \circ \alpha) \omega = \exp(-\iota \circ \alpha) (d_M + \iota \circ (d_{D'g} \alpha) + \mathcal{L} \circ \alpha) \omega \tag{6.7}
\]

Let us consider eq. (6.7) in the special case when \( \omega \) is closed:

\[
d\omega = 0 \tag{6.8}
\]
Consider a linear subspace $\mathcal{X}_\omega \subset \text{Vect}(M)$ consisting of all vectors $v$ such that exits some other vector $d_\omega v \in \mathcal{X}_\omega$ satisfying:

$$- d\iota(v) \omega = \iota(d_\omega v) \omega \quad (6.9)$$

Suppose that $\omega$ is “non-degenerate” in the sense that the map from $\text{Vect}M$ to PDFs on $M$ given by $v \mapsto _\iota v$ is injective. Then eq. (6.9) defines an odd nilpotent operator:

$$d_\omega : \mathcal{X}_\omega \to \mathcal{X}_\omega \quad (6.10)$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{X}_\omega$ is closed under the operation of commutator of vector fields. Indeed:

$$(-)^{v+w+1} d\iota [v,w] \omega = \mathcal{L}(\iota [v,w]) \omega = (-)^{v+w+1} d\iota (\iota [v,w]) \omega =$$

$$\quad = (-)^w \mathcal{L}(\iota) (d_\omega v) w \omega + (-)^{w+v+1} \mathcal{L}(w) \iota (d_\omega v) \omega =$$

$$\quad = \iota ((-)^w [v,d_\omega w] + (-)^{w+v+1} [w,d_\omega v]) \omega$$

Therefore $(\mathcal{X}_\omega , d_\omega)$ is a differential Lie superalgebra.

Suppose that:

$$\text{im} (r : D'g \to \text{Vect}(M)) \subset \mathcal{X}_\omega$$

$$d_\omega r(x) = r(d_{D'g} x)$$

Then eq. (6.7) implies that:

$$(d_M + _\iota \circ F) \exp(_\iota \circ \alpha) \omega = 0$$

In other words, $\omega^C$ defined by the equation:

$$\omega^C = \exp(_\iota \circ \alpha) \omega \quad (6.11)$$

is a cocycle in the Cartan’s model of equivariant cohomology.

Notice that apriori there is no action of $d_{D'g}$ on $M$, and we have never used it.

### 6.3 Special cocycles

Similarly, suppose that $D'g$ is mapped into some Lie superalgebra $a$:

$$r : D'g \to a \quad (6.12)$$

and $W$ is a representation of $a$. Consider the Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain complex $C(a,W)$ of $a$ with coefficients in $W$. The cone $Ca$ acts on $C(a,W)$; for each $x \in a$ we denote $\mathcal{L}(x)$ and $\iota(x)$ the action of the corresponding elements of $Ca$. Eq. (6.7) still holds, now $\omega$ is a cochain:

$$\omega \in C(a,W) \quad (6.13)$$

We are allowing arbitrary dependence of cochains on the ghosts of $a$, not only polynomials. We will say that a cocycle $\omega$ is special if exists $d_\omega$ satisfying eq. (6.9) for all $v \in \text{im}(r)$.

Moreover, we require:

$$d_\omega \circ r = r \circ d_{D'g} \quad (6.14)$$
6.4 A procedure for constructing $r$

We will now describe a procedure for constructing an embedding:

$$r : Dg \rightarrow a$$

(6.15)

This is not really an “algorithm” because, as we will see, it may fail at any step.

Suppose that we can choose, for each $a \in \{1, \ldots, \dim g\}$, some $\phi_a \in a$ so that exist $\phi_{ab} \in a$ such that:

$$[\phi_a, \phi_b] = d\phi_{ab}$$
$$[\phi_a, d\phi_b] = f_{ab}^c \phi_c$$

where $d = d_\omega$ and $f_{ab}^c$ the structure constants of $g$. Then verify the existence of $\phi_{abc}$ such that:

$$[\phi_a, \phi_{bc}] + [\phi_{ab}, \phi_c] = d\phi_{abc}$$
$$[\phi_{ab}, d\phi_c] = f_{ac}^d \phi_{db} + f_{bc}^d \phi_{ad}$$

The mutual consistency of these two equations follows from eq. (3.17). Then continue this procedure order by order in the number of indices:

$$[\phi_{a_1}, \phi_{a_2 \ldots a_n}] + \ldots + [\phi_{a_1 \ldots a_{n-1}}, \phi_{a_n}] = d\phi_{a_1 \ldots a_n}$$
$$[\phi_{a_1 \ldots a_{n-1}}, d\phi_b] = f_{a_1 b}^c \phi_{a_2 \ldots a_{n-1}} + \ldots$$

If we are able to satisfy these equalities, order by order in the number of indices, then we can put, in notations of eqs. (3.23) and (3.24):

$$r(\langle l_a \rangle) = d\phi_a$$
$$r(\langle i_{a_1 \ldots a_n} \rangle) = \phi_{a_1 \ldots a_n}$$

7 Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of a differential module

In this section, there is no $Dg$ nor $D'g$. We forget about them for now. As a preparation for BV formalism, we will now discuss another formula similar to eq. (3.18).

Consider a Lie superalgebra $a$, its universal enveloping algebra $Ua$, and its cone $Ca$, generated by $L(x)$ and $\iota(x)$, $x \in a$. We consider the quadratic-linear dual coalgebra $Ua^\dagger$. The dual space $\left(Ua^\dagger\right)^* = \text{Hom} \left(Ua^\dagger, K\right)$ is the algebra of functions of the “ghost variables” $c^A$. Following section 3.4 of [16], the Koszul twisting morphism is:

$$\kappa = c^A e_A : Ua^\dagger \rightarrow a \subset Ua$$

(7.1)

We will study the properties of the following operator:

$$\exp (\iota \circ \kappa) \in \text{Hom} \left(Ua^\dagger, UCa\right)$$
$$\iota \circ \kappa = c^A \iota(\langle e_A \rangle) = \iota(c)$$
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Since $a$ is quadratic-linear, $U a$ comes with the differential $d_{U a}$. The dual differential on $\left(U a\right)^*$ is the Chevalley-Eilenberg differential $d^{(0)}_{CE}$ (the BRST operator):

$$
d^{(0)}_{CE} = \left(d_{U a}\right)^* = f^{ABC}_B c^C \frac{\partial}{\partial C^A}
$$

(7.2)

Here $d_{U a}$ is the “internal” differential of $U a$; it comes from $U a$ being inhomogenous (i.e. quadratic-linear and not purely quadratic algebra).

The Chevalley-Eilenberg complex $C^a(a, W)$ with coefficients in $W$ can be defined for any $a$-module $W$. Consider the special case when $W$ is a $a$-differential module $W$, i.e. a representation of $(Ca, d_{Ca})$. We will denote $L_W(x), \iota_W(x)$ and $d^{W}_{Ca}$ the elements of $\text{End}(W)$ representing elements $L(x), \iota(x)$ of $Ca$ and $d_{Ca}$. (Then $W$ is also a representation of $a$, where $x \in a$ is represented by $L_W(x)$.)

Consider the Lie algebra cochain complex (= Chevalley-Eilenberg complex) of $a$ with coefficients in $W$. The differential is defined as follows:

$$
d_{CE} : \text{Hom} \left(U a, W\right) \to \text{Hom} \left(U a, W\right)
$$

$$
d_{CE} = \left(d_{U a}\right)^* + L_W \circ \kappa = d^{(0)}_{CE} + L_W(x)
$$

$$
d^{(0)}_{CE} = f^{ABC}_B c^C \frac{\partial}{\partial C^A}
$$

All this can be defined for any $a$-module $W$. But when $W$ is also an $a$-differential module (i.e. a representation of $(Ca, d_{Ca})$), then $d_{CE}$ and $d^{(0)}_{CE}$ are related:

$$
\left(d^{W}_{Ca} + d_{CE}\right) \exp \iota_W \circ \kappa = \left(\exp \iota_W \circ \kappa\right) \left(d^{W}_{Ca} + d^{(0)}_{CE}\right)
$$

(7.3)

where $\iota_W \circ \kappa = \iota_W(x)$. Notice that eq. (7.3) resembles eq. (3.18). Indeed, both $\kappa$ of eq. (7.1) and $\alpha$ of eq. (3.7) are maps from coalgebra to algebra, satisfying the Maurer-Cartan (MC) equation. But the way MC equation is satisfied is different, because $d^{(0)}_{CE}$ acts in the coalgebra (in $U a$) while $d_{\Omega}$ acts in the algebra (in $\Omega(A)$), see section 3.5.

Since $W$ and $U a$ are both $Ca$-modules, we can consider $\text{Hom}(U a, W)$ a $Ca$-module, as a Hom of two $Ca$-modules:

$$
\iota^{(0)}_{\text{Hom}(U a, W)} \langle x \rangle = \iota_W(x) + x^A \frac{\partial}{\partial C^A}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}^{(0)}_{\text{Hom}(U a, W)} \langle x \rangle = \mathcal{L}_W(x) + x^A c^B f^{C}_{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial C^A}
$$

Proposition 1.

$$
\iota_{\text{Hom}(U a, W)} \left(\exp \iota_W \circ \kappa\right) = \left(\exp \iota_W \circ \kappa\right) \iota^{(0)}_{\text{Hom}(U a, W)} \langle x \rangle
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\text{Hom}(U a, W)} \left(\exp \iota_W \circ \kappa\right) = \left(\exp \iota_W \circ \kappa\right) \mathcal{L}^{(0)}_{\text{Hom}(U a, W)} \langle x \rangle
$$
where
\[ \langle x \rangle = x^A \frac{\partial}{\partial c^A} \]
\[ \mathcal{L}_{\text{Hom}(Ua^\dagger \cdot \),W)}(x) = \mathcal{L}^{(0)}_{\text{Hom}(Ua^\dagger \cdot \),W)}(x) \]

In other words,
both \[ \left( \mathcal{L}^{(0)}_{\text{Hom}(Ua^\dagger \cdot \),W)}, \iota^{(0)}_{\text{Hom}(Ua^\dagger \cdot \),W)} \right)_{d^W_{Ca} + d^W_{CE}} \]
and \[ \left( \mathcal{L}_{\text{Hom}(Ua^\dagger \cdot \),W)}, \iota_{\text{Hom}(Ua^\dagger \cdot \),W)} \right)_{d^W_{Ca} + d^W_{CE}} \]
define on \( \text{Hom}(Ua^\dagger, W) \) the structure of a differential \( a \)-module, and \( \exp \iota_W \circ \kappa \) intertwines them.

8 Integration measures from representations of \( Cg \) and \( Dg \)

8.1 PDFs from representations of \( Cg \)

If \( g \) acts on a manifold, then \( Cg \) acts in PDFs. More generally, \( Cg \) acts in cochains of Chevalley-Eilenberg complexes of \( g \).

**Question:** given some representation \( W \) of \( (Cg,d_{Cg}) \), can we map it to PDFs, or to cochains?

8.1.1 Mapping to cochains

**Proposition 2.** Let \( W \) be a \( g \)-module, and \( I : W \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \) an intertwiner of \( g \)-modules:
\[ I : W \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \]
\[ \text{satisfying: } I \circ d^W_{Cg} = 0 \] (8.1)

(One may think of \( I \) as an “integration operation”.) Consider the subspace
\[ \ker d^{(0)}_{CE} \subset \text{Hom} \left( Ug^\dagger, W \right) \] (8.2)

Then operation \( I \circ e^{iW \circ \kappa} \) intertwines this subspace with the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex \( C^*(g,W) \):
\[ I \circ e^{iW \circ \kappa} : \left( \ker d^{(0)}_{CE}, d^W_{Cg} \right) \rightarrow \left( \text{Hom} \left( Ug^\dagger, W \right), d_{CE} \right) \] (8.3)

**Proof** follows from eq. (7.3).

Therefore every \( w \in W \) defines an inhomogeneous Chevalley-Eilenberg cochain of \( g \) with coefficients in \( \mathcal{W} \):
\[ \psi(w) = I e^{iW \circ \kappa} w \]
The map $I \circ e^w \circ \kappa$ intertwines the action of $C\mathfrak{g}$ on $\ker d^{(0)}_{CE}$ with the standard action of $C\mathfrak{g}$ in cochains with coefficients in $\mathcal{W}$ — the $(L^{(0)}_{\Hom(\mathcal{U}b,\mathcal{W})} \circ \iota_{(0)}^{\mathcal{W}} \circ \Hom(\mathcal{U}b,\mathcal{W}))$ of proposition 1. (This action does not use $\iota_{\mathcal{W}}^{\langle x \rangle}$, generally speaking there is no such thing as $\iota_{\mathcal{W}}^{\langle x \rangle}$. Our $\mathcal{W}$, unlike $\mathcal{W}$, is just a $\mathfrak{g}$-module, not a differential $\mathfrak{g}$-module.)

8.1.2 Mapping to PDFs

Suppose that $\mathcal{W}$ happens to be a space of functions on some manifold $M$ with an action of $G$ (the Lie group corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}$). In this case, every $w \in \mathcal{W}$ and a point $m \in M$ defines a closed PDF on $G$, in the following way:

$$\Omega(w)(g,dg) = \left( I e^{-w(dgg^{-1})} w \right)(g.m)$$

(8.4)

We will be mostly interested in the cases when this PDF descends to the $G$-orbit of $m$.

For example, consider the case when $\mathcal{W}$ is the space of PDFs on $M$ (the same $M$) and $I$ is the restriction of a PDF on the zero section $M \subset \Pi TM$. (Remember that PDFs are functions on $\Pi TM$. In this example, the operation $I$ associates to every form its 0-form component.) In this case, given a PDF on $M$, e.g. $f_\mu(x)dx^\mu$, our procedure, for each $x \in M$, associates to it a PDF on $G$, which is just $f_\mu(g.x)d(g.x)^\mu$. If $G$ acts freely, $\Omega(w)$ will descend to a form on the orbit of $x$. This is just the restriction to the orbit of the original form we started with.

As another example, consider $\mathfrak{g}$ the Lie algebra of vector fields on some manifold $N$, and $\mathcal{W}$ the space of PDFs on $N$. Let $M$ be the space of orientable $p$-dimensional submanifolds of $N$, and $I$ the operation of integration over such a submanifold. Our construction maps closed forms on $N$ to closed forms on $M$.

8.2 PDFs from representations of $D\mathfrak{g}$

An analogue of eq. (7.3) holds for $D\mathfrak{g}$. It follows as a particular case from the results of [4]:

$$(d_{D\mathfrak{g}} + d_{CE}) \Phi = \Phi \left( d_{D\mathfrak{g}} + d^{(0)}_{CE} \right)$$

(8.5)

where $\Phi$ is:

$$\Phi = P \exp \int_0^1 A_\tau d\tau$$

$$A_\tau d\tau = \frac{d}{d\tau} \bigg|_{\tau=0} i(ud\tau c + (\tau - \tau^2)c^2)$$

Therefore, when $W$ is a representation of $D\mathfrak{g}$, we have an analogue of eq. (8.4), where $I$ should now satisfy $I \circ d_{D\mathfrak{g}}^W = 0$:

$$\Omega(w) = \left( I \Phi \big|_{\mathcal{W}} d_{D\mathfrak{g}} \right)(g.m)$$

(8.6)

$$d\Omega(w) = \Omega(d_{D\mathfrak{g}}^W w)$$
9 BV

We will now apply the technique developed in the previous sections to the BV formalism. Let \( a \) be the Lie algebra of functions on the BV phase space with flipped statistics. Its elements are \( s^{-1}f \) where \( f \) is a function on the BV phase space and \( s \) the suspension:

\[
  s^{-1}f \in a
\]  

The Lie bracket is given by the odd Poisson bracket.

9.1 Half-densities as a representation of \(Ca\)

The space of half-densities on the BV phase space is a representation of \(Ca\):

\[
d\rho_{1/2} = -\Delta_{can}\rho_{1/2}
\]

\[
\iota(s^{-1}f)\rho_{1/2} = f\rho_{1/2}
\]

\[
\mathcal{L}(s^{-1}f)\rho_{1/2} = (-)^{|f|}\Delta_{can}(f\rho_{1/2}) - f\Delta_{can}\rho_{1/2}
\]

We are now in the context of section 8.1. Now \(g\) is \(a\), \(W\) is the space of half-densities, \(d_W^{\mathcal{C}_g}\) is \(-\Delta_{can}\) and \(W\) is Fun(LAG) — the space of functions on Lagrangian submanifolds.

9.2 Correlation functions as a Lie superalgebra cocycle

Correlation function defines a linear map:

\[
Ua \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{LAG})
\]

\[
f_1 \cdots f_n \mapsto \left[ L \mapsto \int_L f_1 \cdots f_n \rho_{1/2} \right]
\]  

(9.2)

where \(\bullet\) means symmetrized tensor product (examples of sign rules are in section 3.1.1). 

**Proposition 3.** Eq. (9.2) defines an injective map from the space of half-densities to the space of cochains of \(a\) with values in functionals on Lagrangian submanifolds; to every half-density \(\rho_{1/2}\) corresponds a cochain given by eq. (9.2). This map is an intertwiner of the actions of the differential Lie superalgebra \(Ca\). In particular, if \(\rho_{1/2}\) satisfies the Quantum Master Equation:

\[
\Delta_{can}\rho_{1/2} = 0
\]  

(9.3)

then eq. (9.2) defines a cocycle of \(a\) with coefficients in the space of functionals on Lagrangian submanifolds.

**Proof** follows from proposition 2.

The image of this map consists of the cochains satisfying the following locality property. Given \(f_1, \ldots, f_n\), if for some \(i\) and \(j\) \(\text{supp}(f_i) \cap \text{supp}(f_j) = \emptyset\) then \(c(f_1 \bullet \cdots \bullet f_n) = 0\). It is important for us, that this subset is preserved by the canonical transformations, i.e. by the action of \(a\) on its cocycles.
Table 1. Summary of notations.

| Here     | $F$ | $i(F)$ | $l(F)$ | $r\langle i(F) \rangle$ | $r\langle i_a F^a \rangle$ | $r\langle l(F) \rangle$ |
|----------|-----|--------|--------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|
| [4]      | $t$ | $i(t)$ | $l(t)$ |                        |                          |                        |
| Section 4 of [2] | $h$ |        |        | $\Phi(h)$              |                          |                        |
| Section 6 of [3] | $\xi$ |        |        | $\alpha(\xi)$ | $\Phi(\xi)$ | $\xi$ |

Cocycles with coefficients in Fun(LAG), defined by eq. (9.2), can be interpreted as closed differential forms on LAG, by the construction of section 8.1.2. We take:

$$M = \text{LAG}$$  
(9.4)

$$I = \left[ L \mapsto \int_{L \in \text{LAG}} \right]$$  
(9.5)

10 Equivariant BV formalism

10.1 Equivariantly closed cocycle in the Cartan model

For all cocycles coming from half-densities, eq. (6.9) is satisfied with:

$$d\omega = \Delta_{\rho_{1/2}} f$$

where

$$\Delta_{\rho_{1/2}} f = \rho_{1/2}^{-1} \Delta_{\text{can}} \left( f\rho_{1/2} \right)$$

As in section 6.3, suppose that $r$ is an embedding of $D'g$ in $a$. Eq. (6.14) becomes (cp. eqs. (3.23) and (3.24)):

$$\Delta_{\rho_{1/2}} r\langle i(F) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} [r\langle i(F) \rangle, r\langle i(F) \rangle] = r\langle l(F) \rangle$$
(10.1)

$$[r\langle l(F_1) \rangle, r\langle i(F_2) \rangle] = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} r\langle i(e^{t[F_1,…,F_2]}F_2) \rangle$$
(10.2)

where $i(F)$ and $l(F)$ were defined in eqs. (3.23) and (3.24)

Then, equivariantly closed cocycle in the Cartan model is given by:

$$f_1 \cdots f_n \mapsto \left[ L \mapsto \int_L f_1 \cdots f_n e^{r\langle i(F) \rangle} \rho_{1/2} \right]$$
(10.3)

Our notations here differ from our previous papers; $r\langle i(F) \rangle$ was called $\Phi(F)$ in section 4 of [2] and $a(F)$ in section 6 of [3]. See table 1 for the summary of notations.

10.2 Deformations

If $r\langle l(F) \rangle$ and $r\langle i(F) \rangle$ solve eqs. (10.1) and (10.2) with some $\rho_{1/2}$, then $r\langle l(F) \rangle$ and $r\langle i(F) \rangle - f$ solve with $e^f \rho_{1/2}$. This allows us to assume, without loss of generality, that $r\langle i(0) \rangle = 0$, i.e. to consider representations of $Dg$ rather than $D'g$. Or, we can fix $\rho_{1/2} = \rho_{1/2}^{(0)}$ for some fixed $\rho_{1/2}^{(0)}$. Let us fix the half-density, delegating the deformations $\rho_{1/2}$ into $r\langle i(0) \rangle$. 
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Consider the deformations of the embedding \( r : D'g \to a \) keeping \( r\langle l(F) \rangle \) fixed.\(^3\) Eq. (10.1) implies that a small variation \( \delta r \langle i(F) \rangle \) satisfies:

\[
\left( \Delta_{\rho_{1/2}} + [r\langle i(F) \rangle, \_ \_] \right) \delta r\langle i(F) \rangle = 0
\]

\[
[r\langle l(F_1) \rangle, \delta r\langle i(F_2) \rangle] = \frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} \delta r\langle i(e^{tF_1..F_2}) \rangle
\]

Those \( \delta r \) which are in the image of \( \Delta_{\rho_{1/2}} + [r\langle i(F) \rangle, \_ \_] \) correspond to trivial deformations. This means that the cohomologies of the operator \( dDg + [i(F), \_ \_] \), considered in [4], in our context compute \emph{infinitesimal deformations} of the equivariant half-density.

### 11 Integrating unintegrated vertices

#### 11.1 Integration prescription using \( Cg \)

Let us fix \( i(0) = 0 \), and consider \( r : Dg \to a \). In our discussion in section 10.2, we assumed that deformations preserve the symmetries of \( \omega \), i.e. that \( f \) is \( g \)-invariant. In string theory, it is useful to consider more general deformations breaking \( g \) down to a smaller subalgebra \( g_0 \). They are called “unintegrated vertex operators”. As their name suggests, \( g \)-invariant deformations can be obtained by integration over the orbits of \( g \). The procedure of integration was described in [3]. It is a particular case of section 8.1, where \( M \) is now \( \text{LAG} \) — the space of Lagrangian submanifolds, and \( I \) the operation of integration of half-density over a Lagrangian submanifold.

For this construction, we do not need the full \( r : Dg \to a \), but only its restriction on \( g \subset a \):

\[
l : g \to Dg
\]

\[
r \circ l : g \to a
\]

For \( v \in a \), consider the deformations of \( \omega \) of the following form:

\[
\delta \omega = \iota \langle v \rangle \omega
\]

(11.1)

In terms of half-densities:

\[
\delta \rho_{1/2} = \nu \rho_{1/2}
\]

(11.2)

where \( \nu \) denotes (as in [3]) the BV Hamiltonian generating \( v \).

The cone \( Cg \) acts on such deformations \( \delta \rho_{1/2} \); the action of \( \iota \langle x \rangle \), \( \hat{L} \langle x \rangle \) and \( \tilde{d}_{Cg} \) is:

\[
\iota \langle x \rangle \delta \omega = -((\iota \circ r \circ l)x) \delta \omega
\]

\[
\hat{L} \langle x \rangle \delta \omega = -((\mathcal{L} \circ r \circ l)x) \delta \omega
\]

\[
\tilde{d}_{Cg} \delta \omega = d \delta \omega
\]

(11.3)

\(^3\)Notice that \( r\langle l(F) \rangle \) describes the action of symmetries on the BV phase space. We do not want to deform the action of symmetries.
Therefore the construction of section 8.1, with $M = \text{LAG}$ and $I(\delta\omega) = \left[L \mapsto \int_{L\in \text{LAG}} f\rho_{1/2}\right]$, gives a closed form on $G$ for every deformation of the form eq. (11.1):

$$
\Omega(\delta) \in \text{Fun}(\text{HTG})
$$

$$
\Omega(\delta) = \left( I \exp(\i r(\l(dgg^{-1}))) \i(\delta\omega) (gL) = \\
= \int_{gL} \exp \left( r(\l(dgg^{-1}))\right) \psi\rho_{1/2}
$$

This is just a particular case of the general construction of section 9.2, eqs. (9.4), (9.5). We restrict the general construction of $\Omega$ from all $\text{LAG}$ to an orbit of $G$. In other words, we consider not all odd canonical transformations, but only a subgroup $G$. But now we can use $G$-invariance of $\rho_{1/2}$ to pull back to a fixed $L$:

$$
\Omega(\delta)f = \int_{L} \exp \left( r(\l(dgg^{-1}))\right) (v\circ\rho)\rho_{1/2}
$$

However eq. (11.4) is somewhat unsatisfactory. Although it is, actually, the integrated vertex corresponding to $v$, this form of presenting it makes it apparently nonlocal on the string worldsheet. We would want, instead, to replace, roughly speaking, $\i L$ with $L\i$:

$$
\exp \left( \i r(\l(dgg^{-1}))\right) \mapsto \exp \left( L(\i r(\l(dgg^{-1})))\right) \tag{11.4}
$$

We will now explain the construction.

11.2 Integration using $Dg$

11.2.1 Deformations as a representation of $Dg$

In deriving eq. (11.4) we have not actually used the representation of $Dg$, but only the representation of $g \subset Dg$; we have only used $\l(dgg^{-1})$ and never $i(dgg^{-1})$. Notice, however, that the whole $Dg$ acts on deformations. (This is, ultimately, due to our requirement of $\rho_{1/2}$ being "equivariantizeable", section 10.) Moreover, if we do not care about $dDg$, then there are two ways of defining the action of just $Dg$. (It is easy to construct representations of free algebras.) The first way is to use the embedding $Dg \overset{\l}{\hookrightarrow} CDg$. But this one does not define the action of $dDg$.

There is, however, the second way, which defines the action of $Dg$ with its differential $dDg$. For $\delta\omega = \i(\delta\omega)$ or equivalently $\delta\rho_{1/2} = \psi\rho_{1/2}$, we define:

$$
\hat{\l}(F) v = [r(\l(F)), v] \\
\hat{i}(F) v = [r(i(F)), v] \\
\hat{d}_{Dg}\delta\omega = d\delta\omega
$$

To summarize, the space of deformations of $\omega$ can be considered as a representation of $(CDg, d_{CDg})$, or as a representation of $(Dg, d_{Dg})$. (But not of $(Dg, d_{Dg}, d_{Dg})$ whatever that would be.) In both cases, the differential acts as $\Delta$. That is to say, the $d_{CDg}$ of $(CDg, d_{CDg})$ acts as $\Delta$, and the $d_{Dg}$ of $(Dg, d_{Dg})$ also acts as $\Delta$ — see eqs. (11.3) and (11.6), respectively.
11.2.2 Averaging procedure using $Dg$

Now we can apply the construction of section 8.2. Eq. (8.6) gives:

$$\Omega \langle v \rangle = \int_{gL} \left[ \left( P \exp \int_0^1 A_r d\tau \right) v \right] \rho_{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11.7)

where $A_r d\tau = \frac{d}{du} \bigg|_{u=0} \tilde{i}(u d\tau dgg^{-1} + (\tau - \tau^2)(dgg^{-1})^2)$

For completeness, we compare the notations in table 2. There is no $CDg$ in [4], only $Dg$.

11.3 Relation between two integration procedures

In the special case when $Dg$ reduces to $Cg$ (i.e. $i_{a_1...a_n} = 0$ for $n > 1$), it was found in [3], to the second order in the expansion in powers of $dgg^{-1}$, that the two PDFs are different by an exact PDF on $G$. It must be true in general.

11.4 Is integration form base with respect to $G_0$?

Let $G_0 \subset G$ be the stabilizer of $v$. Eq. (11.4) is not base with respect to $g_0 = \text{Lie}G$. But it can be made base, provided that one can extend $v$ to the solution of the equation:

$$\Delta v(F_0) + [i(F_0), v(F_0)] = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \bigg|_{t=0} v(e^{t[\xi,...]}F_0) = [\xi, v(F_0)]$$

$$v(0) = v$$

Then, the equivariant version of eq. (11.4) is:

$$\Omega = \int_{gL} \exp \left( r \langle l(dgg^{-1}) \rangle \circ g \right) (v(F_0) \circ g) \rho_{1/2} =$$

$$= \int_{gL} d\varepsilon \bigg|_{\varepsilon=0} \exp \left( r \langle l(dgg^{-1}) \rangle \circ g + \left( r(i(F_0)) + \varepsilon v(F_0) \right) \circ g \right) \rho_{1/2}$$

and one can obtain a base form by choosing a connection.

We do not know the equivariant version of eq. (11.7). In the pure spinor formalism, it is very likely that the form given by eq. (11.7) is already base, because unintegrated vertex operator does not contain derivatives [17].

Notice that the PDF defined in eq. (11.7) does not, generally, speaking, descend to the orbit of $L$. In computing the average, the integration variable is $g$, not $gL$. However, the integral does not depend on the choice of $L$ in the orbit.
A Nilpotence of $d_{Dg}$

A.1 Commutator of $Dg$

The commutator of $Dg$ was defined in section 3.1.3. In particular, when considering a commutator of an element of $g$ and an element of FreeLie \( s^{-1}A \), the following description is useful. Consider the $UCg$ — the universal enveloping algebra of $Cg$, and its dual coalgebra $UCg^!$:

\[
UCg^! = T^c (s(g \oplus sg))
\]  

(A.1)

Consider the projector $p$:

\[
p : UCg^! \rightarrow sg \oplus A^! \quad \text{ (A.2)}
\]

which is identity on $sg \oplus A^! \subset T^c (s(g \oplus sg))$ and zero on all tensors of rank $\geq 2$ containing at least one $sx \in sg$. This induces a map from $\Omega^1 (UCg^!)$ to $Dg$ which we also denote $p$:

\[
\Omega^1 (UCg^!) = s^{-1}UCg^! \xrightarrow{p} g \oplus s^{-1}A^! \subset Dg \quad \text{ (A.3)}
\]

For any Lie superalgebra $a$ let $\gamma$ denote the commutator map:

\[
\gamma : a \otimes a \rightarrow a,
\]

\[
\gamma(v \otimes w) = [v, w]
\]

In case of $a = Dg$, we can consider $Dg \otimes Dg$ as a subspace in $\Omega^2(UCg^!)$ using the projector $p$ of eq. (A.3):

\[
p \otimes p : \Omega^2(UCg^!) \rightarrow Dg \otimes Dg \quad \text{ (A.4)}
\]

Then, the commutator on $Dg$ satisfies:

\[
\gamma (p \otimes p) \left( \begin{array}{c}
s^{-1}b = -s^{-1}d_{UCg^!} \cdot b \\
\end{array} \right)
\]

where $b = s\xi \otimes a + (-)^{(\xi+1)a}a \otimes s\xi \in UCg^!$.

\[
\xi \in g, \quad a \in A^!
\]

A.2 Nilpotence of $d_{Dg}$

We will now prove that $d'$ anticommutes with $d_{\Omega}$:

\[
d'd_{\Omega} + d_{\Omega}d' = 0 \quad \text{ (A.5)}
\]

When $b \in \left( A^! \right)^{(\geq 2)}$, by definition $d's^{-1}b = 0$. We must therefore check that $d'd_{\Omega}s^{-1}b = 0$:

\[
d'd_{\Omega}s^{-1}b = \gamma (p \otimes p) \left( \begin{array}{c}
s^{-1}d_{UCg^!}b = -s^{-1}d_{UCg^!} \cdot d_{UCg^!}b = s^{-1}d_{UCg^!} \cdot b = 0 \\
\end{array} \right)
\]  

(A.6)
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