Effect of Substrate Biasing on the Epitaxial Growth and Structural Properties of RF Magnetron Sputtered Germanium Buffer Layer on Silicon
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Abstract: High-quality single-crystal-like Ge (004) thin films have been epitaxially grown using radio-frequency magnetron sputtering on Si (001) substrates successfully. The crystalline quality of the Ge films can be obviously improved by applying a positive bias on the substrate holder. X-ray diffraction measurements show that the single-crystal-like Ge film has a narrow full width at half maximum of 0.26°. The perpendicular lattice constant \( (a_{\perp Ge}) \) and in-plane lattice constant \( (a_{\parallel Ge}) \) are 0.5671 and 0.564 nm. The Raman shift full width at half maximum shows that the defects in the film are obviously reduced. Transmission electron microscopy diffraction patterns also show that the Ge (004) film has good crystalline quality. The results can be applied as Ge buffer layers on Si substrates for the fabrication of high-efficiency III–V solar cells and photodetectors.
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1. Introduction

The high near-infrared absorption property of Ge material has been widely used in III–V multijunction solar cells and photodetectors [1–4]. However, it is expensive to fabricate III–V solar cells on 100-µm-thick Ge substrates. Only a few microns of Ge are needed to effectively absorb sunlight [5]. According to data from the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, the cost of 6-inch Ge wafers is as high as $150 each [6]. Epitaxial Ge films grown on low-cost Si substrates have become a good alternative to Ge substrates. However, there is a 4.2% lattice mismatch between Ge and Si. Many researchers reported different process methods to improve the lattice mismatch between Ge and Si. Table 1 shows the related process methods and experimental results. Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) is the most popular method [7]. The Ge film on Si substrate produced by reduced pressure chemical vapor deposition (RPCVD) has the smallest full width at half maximum (FWHM) value of X-ray diffractometer (XRD) and root mean square (RMS) value of the atomic force microscope (AFM), which is currently the best Ge film epitaxial method [8,9]. Electron cyclotron resonance chemical vapor deposition (ECR-CVD) process temperature is the lowest, and its FWHM of XRD, at a very thin epitaxial thickness, has a lower value [10]. However, the explosive GeH₄ gas is injected as a reaction gas in the CVD system to fabricate Ge films. In addition, GeH₄ gas is more expensive than bulk Ge. Compared with the CVD system, the physical vapor deposition (PVD) system is more stable, cheaper, and safer. PVD process is not an easy method to fabricate epitaxial Ge films. The FWHM of XRD is about 1100 arcsec [11–13], which is larger than the CVD process. Additionally, the annealing process has been used to increase the crystalline quality of Ge films. However, high temperature annealing will cause Ge atoms and Si atoms to diffuse into each other [14], which will change the material properties and doping concentration of the Ge film. Therefore, the epitaxial growth of a high-quality single-crystal-like Ge film on a Si substrate without an annealing process is important. Growth using sputtering systems,
with the advantages of high compatibility with the Si process, high safety, high uniformity, and low cost, has become an interesting solution.

Table 1. Literature Review.

| Process Equipment | Year | Temp (°C) | Thickness (nm) | Annealing Temp (°C) | XRD/FWHM (arcsec) | AFM/RMS (nm) | Ref. |
|-------------------|------|-----------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------|------|
| PECVD             | 2015 | 400/250   | 705          | 600               | 1012              | 3.5          | [7]  |
| RPCVD             | 2011 | 550/300   | 4700         | 800               | 41.76             | 0.44         | [8]  |
| RPCVD             | 2021 | 650/400   | 1400         | 820               | 131               | 0.81         | [9]  |
| ECR-CVD           | 2016 | 220       | 100          | -                 | 532               | 1.5          | [10] |
| Thermal Evaporation | 2011 | 300  | 200          | -                 | 1188              | -            | [11] |
| DC-Sputtering     | 2016 | 450       | 300          | 750               | -                 | 4.6          | [12] |
| RF-Sputtering     | 2016 | 400       | 400          | 910               | 1260              | -            | [13] |

In this study, a radio-frequency (RF) magnetron sputtering method has been applied for the growth of single-crystal-like Ge films on Si substrates. The crystallization quality of the Ge film is improved by controlling the sputtering power, the positive bias voltage on the substrate, and the hydrogen flow rate. The application of a positive bias to the substrate can greatly change the plasma distribution in the chamber and reduce the occurrence of ion bombardment, significantly improving the crystalline quality of the film. A low-cost Ge buffer layer on Si substrates can be used as an epitaxial substrate for heterogeneous materials in III–V multijunction solar cells and photodetectors.

2. Experiments

The substrates for this study were n-type phosphorus doping CZ Si (001) wafers with resistivity of 1–10 ohm-cm, thickness of 500 um, and cutting angle of 0° (I-MEI Materials Inc., New Taipei, Taiwan). The Ge sputtering target had a diameter of 3 inches with a purity of 99.999% (Summit-Tech Resource Inc., Hsinchu, Taiwan). The Si substrates were cleaned by the standard RCA process [15] and immersed in 2% HF to remove the surface oxide layer before epitaxial growth. Then, the Si substrates were deposited with Ge using RF magnetron sputtering (Sputtering system, YUJAY Technology Inc., Hsinchu, Taiwan) to epitaxially grow the Ge films. The argon flow was 10 sccm, the working pressure was $1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ Torr, the distance from target to substrate was 8 cm, the process temperature was 600 °C, and the film thickness was controlled to be about 450 nm. To evaluate the best epitaxial growth conditions of the Ge films, the sputtering power, positive bias voltage on substrate, and hydrogen flow rate were adjusted to 75, 100 and 125 W; 0, +50 and +100 V; and 0, 0.8 and 1.5 sccm, respectively.

In regard to the film analysis, the two-theta mode of XRD (D8 Advance, Bruker Inc., Billerica, USA) was used to analyze the crystalline orientation, FWHM, and the strain of the films. The scanning speed and resolution of XRD are 0.1 s and 0.01°, respectively. The Raman spectrometer (BWII RAMaker, Protrustech Inc., Tainan, Taiwan) uses a laser light source with a wavelength of 532 nm to analyze crystallographic defects. The integration time and superposition times of Raman spectrometer are 1 s and 10 times, respectively. AFM (VEECo Innova SPM, Veeco Instruments Inc., New York, NY, USA) was used to measure the surface roughness of the films. The sample is cut into thin slices less than 100 nm using a focused ion beam (FIB) and placed on a copper mesh as a transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM2100, JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) measurement sample. TEM was used to observe the arrangement of Ge atoms and the degree of dislocation defects.

3. Results and Analysis

During the process, high-energy argon ions bombard the Ge target for deposition, and the substrate is at a low voltage compared to the argon ions in the chamber. Therefore, the high-energy argon ions bombard the samples with ions, making it difficult for Ge atoms to
bond with the substrate [16], as shown in Figure 1. Even after a film is formed, the Ge–Ge molecular bonds of the Ge film can be broken by the ion bombardment, degrading the crystalline quality. Therefore, adding a positive bias to the substrate can reduce the ion bombardment and retain more Ge crystalline. The positive bias can also attract electrons to hit the film and achieve electron beam annealing.

Figure 1. The effect of the bias voltage applied to the Ge films: (a) without bias; (b) with positive bias.

The sputtering power during RF magnetron sputtering was then adjusted to 75, 100 and 125 W. Figure 2a shows an X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurement of the 75 W sputtering power Ge film with a wide scanning range, with 2θ from 20° to 80°. There are only two XRD peaks in this measurement, which belong to the (004) planes of the Ge film (65° to 66°) and Si substrate (69.1°) [17]. From this result, it is determined that the Ge thin film is a single crystalline. As shown in Figure 2b, the results of samples from all the sputtering power parameters range in XRD diffraction angle between 64.5° to 67°. The XRD diffraction peak for the 75, 100 and 125 W sputtering power samples at 65.64°, 65.66° and 65.72°, respectively. As the sputtering power is increased, the Ge atoms of the target material obtain energy from the bombarding argon ions. When the Ge atoms are deposited on the substrate, the energy obtained will be provided to the Ge atoms for epitaxy. In addition, the XRD diffraction angle is close to 66°, which is the (004) Ge value [18]. The FWHM of the XRD peak are 0.41°, 0.42° and 0.37°, respectively. The FWHMs of the 75 and 100 W sputtering power are similar, which shows the similar crystalline quality, and the 125 W sputtering power film has the smallest FWHM, which shows that the crystalline quality increases with higher sputtering power. Next, the sputtering power was fixed at 125 W, and the bias voltage of the substrate was changed to 0, 50 and 100 V to explore the role of the bias voltage on the crystalline quality of the film. The XRD diffraction angle shown in Figure 2c. The XRD diffraction peak for the 0, 50 and 100 V substrate bias samples at 65.72°, 65.84° and 65.8°, respectively. This is closer to the bulk single-crystal-like Ge (004). The FWHM is shown to decrease with increasing bias voltage, with values of 0.37°, 0.27° and 0.28°, respectively. This indicates that the 50 and 100 V positive biases can improve Ge (004) crystalline quality. In addition, 100 V positive bias can achieve higher XRD peak shows the better crystalline quality. Hydrogen can reduce the generation of dangling bonds on the substrate surface and the Ge film [19,20]. According to the hydrogen atom etching model, a low concentration of hydrogen can dissociate weaker ionic bonds and synthesize Si–Ge and Ge–Ge bonds. The chemical annealing model shows that hydrogen atoms can enter the film and synthesize with dangling bonds to improve the crystalline quality of the film even when the Ge film is formed [21]. When the bias voltage of the substrate is 100 V, the crystalline quality of the film can be further explored by changing the hydrogen flow from 0 to 0.8 or 1.5 sccm. The XRD measurement results in Figure 2d show higher diffraction intensity with the production of more Ge (004) crystals. The XRD diffraction peak for the 0, 0.8 and 1.5 sccm hydrogen flow samples at 65.8°, 65.82° and 65.8°, respectively. Therefore, hydrogen contributes to the crystallization of Ge, but does not make much difference to the adjustment of the lattice size. After adding hydrogen, the FWHM slightly decreases to 0.28°, 0.26° and 0.27°, respectively.
Figure 2. XRD two-theta mode measurement of RF sputtered Ge thin films on Si substrates with (a) wide 20°–80° scan range; (b) varying sputtering power (75, 100 and 125 W); (c) varying substrate bias voltage (0, 50 and 100 V); and (d) varying hydrogen flow (0, 0.8 and 1.5 sccm).

The lattice constant in the perpendicular direction ($a_{\perp Ge}$) based on Bragg’s Law can be calculated from the XRD peak angle of Ge (004) as follows:

$$a_{\perp Ge} = \frac{2\lambda}{\sin(\theta_{Ge})}$$

(1)

where $\lambda = 0.15406$ nm is the emitted K$_{\alpha1}$ X-ray in XRD. The lattice constant in the in-plane direction ($a_{\parallel Ge}$) of the Ge film can be calculated by using the bulk lattice constant ($a_{Ge}$) and the lattice constant in the perpendicular direction ($a_{\perp Ge}$) of the Ge film:

$$a_{\parallel Ge} = \frac{(1 + \nu)a_{Ge} - (1 - \nu)a_{\perp Ge}}{2\nu}$$

(2)

where $a_{Ge} = 0.56578$ nm, and Poisson’s ratio $\nu = 0.271$. The compressive or tensile strain R of the Ge film can be calculated by the following equation [22]:

$$R = \frac{a_{Ge} - a_{Si}}{a_{Ge} - a_{Si}}$$

(3)

where the Si lattice constant is $a_{Si} = 0.5431$ nm. When the strain relaxation R = 1, there is no stress in the Ge film; when R < 1, there is compressive stress; and when R > 1, there is tensile stress. The strain relaxation R of the three samples has been calculated and defined as sample (1): the sputtering power of 125 W, sample (2): the sputtering power of 125 W and the substrate bias of 100 V, and sample (3): the sputtering power of 125 W, the substrate bias of 100 V, and the hydrogen flow of 0.8 sccm. The results are shown
in Figure 3. When the substrate bias and the hydrogen flow of the process conditions were applied, the compressive strains were obviously released. Sample (1) shows that without applying the substrate bias, the Ge film was bombarded by argon plasma and the density of Ge atoms in the film was increased to form a compressive strain. On the contrary, when sample (2) was applied to the substrate bias, the bombardment on the substrate was reduced, and the compressive strain was obviously reduced. Finally, sample (3) is the result with the less compressive strain. Its perpendicular lattice constant ($a_{\text{Ge}}^\perp$) and in-plane lattice constant ($a_{\text{Ge}}^\parallel$) are 0.5671 and 0.564 nm, respectively, which are very close to the lattice constant of Ge bulk material [23]. The Raman spectroscopy measurements of these three samples were also analyzed and the Raman shift FWHMs are calculated as shown in Figure 4. The corresponding FWHMs are 4.83, 4.21 and 4.10 cm$^{-1}$ for samples (1), (2) and (3), respectively. Since the Raman shift is related to the crystallographic defects inside the film, the more defects the larger the FWHM. Sample (3) was fabricated with the optimized process conditions. Its FWHM was significantly reduced and its defects was also decreased.

Figure 3. The compressive strains of the Ge films.

Figure 4. The Raman shift FWHM of the Ge films.
The center and edge surface roughness data of the samples (1), (2) and (3) have been measured by AFM as shown in Table 2. Figure 5 shows the center surface morphology of the samples. When the deposition process was without sample bias, the surface roughness is large, especially on the center. When the sample bias was applied, the surface roughness was effectively reduced. That can be explained by the less argon-ion bombardment. Furthermore, the hydrogen process has been discovered to improve the crystalline quality by etching the parts of the films with more dislocation defects and to slightly increase surface roughness. Fortunately, the overall surface roughness can be maintained at 0.81 nm.

Table 2. The surface roughness of the center and edge of the samples.

| Process Parameters          | Sample Center RMS (nm) | Sample Edge RMS (nm) |
|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Sample (1): 125 W          | 4.94                   | 1.04                 |
| Sample (2): 125 W + 100 V  | 0.58                   | 0.42                 |
| Sample (3): 125 W+100 V + H₂ 0.8 sccm | 0.81                  | 0.61                 |

Figure 5. Surface roughness on the sample center: (a) Sample (1):125 W; (b) Sample (3):125 W + 100 V + H₂ 0.8 sccm.

The sample with process parameters of the sputtering power of 125 W, 100 V substrate bias, and hydrogen flow of 0.8 sccm was measured by TEM, as shown in Figure 6. Figure 6a is TEM bright-field measurement, the thickness of the Ge film is 450 nm. Figure 6b is TEM dark-field measurement. This measurement suitable for the analysis of dislocations in the film [24]. The white part in the TEM image of the Ge film is a dislocation [25]. Because of the 4.2% lattice mismatch between the Si substrate and the Ge film, the epitaxial Ge film generated more dislocations at the substrate–film interface. However, as the thickness of the film increased, the lattice mismatch improved, the dislocation density decreased [26], and improved crystalline quality Ge (004) was formed. Figure 6c shows the junction of the Si substrate and the Ge film. The lattice mismatch can be observed in the figure. However, since some Ge atoms have successfully bonded on the Si substrate to form a Ge seed layer, the Ge atoms are epitaxially grown from above and around the seed layer. Figure 6d shows a TEM electron diffraction diagram of the Ge film. The periodic diffraction points confirm that the formed Ge film was a single crystalline. These results confirmed that single-crystal-like Ge film has been successfully grown epitaxially on the Si substrate [27].
4. Conclusions

In this study, a positive bias applied on the substrate during the RF-sputtering process was shown to obviously improve the crystalline quality of the Ge film. When the process parameters are a sputtering power of 125 W, substrate bias of 100 V, and hydrogen flow of 0.8 sccm, the best crystalline quality is obtained for the Ge film. With these process parameters, the XRD results show that the diffraction angle and FWHM are $65.82^\circ$ and $0.26^\circ$, respectively, indicating single-crystal-like Ge. The perpendicular lattice constant ($a_{\text{Ge}}^{\perp}$) and in-plane lattice constant ($a_{\text{Ge}}^{\parallel}$) are 0.5671 and 0.564 nm. The Raman shift FWHM shows that the defects in the film are obviously reduced. TEM diffraction patterns also show that the Ge (004) film has good crystalline quality. These results indicate the successful epitaxial growth of high-quality single-crystalline Ge film on a Si substrate, which can be applied as a Ge buffer layer on Si substrates for the fabrication of high-efficiency III–V solar cells and photodetectors.
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