Questioning the source of identified non-foodborne pathogens from food-contact wooden surfaces used in Hong Kong’s urban wet markets
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ABSTRACT

In this study, a phylogenetic analysis was performed on pathogens previously identified in Hong Kong wet markets’ cutting boards. Phylogenetic comparisons were made between phylotypes obtained in this study and environmental and clinical phylotypes for establishing the possible origin of selected bacterial species isolated from wet market cutting board ecosystems. The results reveal a strong relationship between wet market bacterial assemblages and environmental and clinically relevant phylotypes. However, our poor knowledge of potential cross-contamination sources within these wet markets is further exacerbated by failing to determine the exact or presumed origin of its identified pathogens. In this study, several clinically relevant bacterial pathogens such as Klebsiella pneumoniae, Streptococcus suis and Streptococcus porcinus were linked to cutting boards associated with pork; Campylobacter fetus, Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and A. caviae in those associated with poultry; and Streptococcus varanii, A. caviae, Vibrio fluvialis, and Vibrio para-haemolyticus in those associated with seafood. Identifying non-foodborne clinically relevant pathogens in wet market cutting boards in this study confirms the need for safety approaches for wet market meat, including cold storage. The presented study justifies the need for future systematic epidemiological studies to determine identified microbial pathogens. Such studies should bring about significant improvements in the management of hygienic practices in Hong Kong’s wet markets and work towards a One Health goal by recognizing the importance of wet markets as areas interconnecting food processing with animal and clinical environments.

1. Introduction

Hong Kong’s wet markets continue to be recognized as long-established zones facilitating access to fresh foods. Over the years, thanks to public health awareness, significant efforts have been made towards improving the safety and quality of processed fresh meats in these wet markets [1,2]. Nevertheless, despite the increase in food safety awareness, wet markets have repeatedly been identified as epicenters of potential public health hazards [3–7], primarily biological hazards.

Microbial examination of pork from local wet markets revealed the presence of Escherichia coli, molds, and Salmonella, indicating the potentially hazardous nature of the meat [1]. Reports have also suggested that pathogens such as Salmonella spp., Staphylococcus aureus, Vibrio parahaemolyticus, and Listeria monocytogenes are commonly associated with traditional Chinese processed meats known as Sui-mei and Lo mei [8,9]. Elsewhere, Laribacter hongkongensis have been linked with community-acquired gastroenteritis and travelers’ diarrhea from minced freshwater fish meat [10]. Furthermore, skin injuries, such as cuts, during meat preparation have been shown to be potential entrance points for pathogens such as Streptococcus suis and Streptococcus iniae [11–13]. In recent years Hong Kong has witnessed noticeable increases in Vibrio parahaemolyticus food poisoning cases [14].

Wet markets are densely populated hubs characterized by a large influx of customers and regulated or unregulated meats, and the hygiene level of the wooden cutting boards used to process these meats remains poorly described. Previous reports from Hong Kong wet markets noted a significant breach in cleaning standards meant for wooden cutting boards, in which surface scraping was used in most studied cases as a traditional cleaning technique [5,15]. Further analyses revealed that these hygienic practices were incapable of guaranteeing proper surface hygiene. Clinically relevant species such as Klebsiella pneumoniae exhibiting potential resistance to an array of multiple antibiotics were...
isolated and identified among microbial communities found on wet market cutting boards [15].

It has been previously established that the improper hygienic maintenance of wooden cutting boards can lead to the development of biofilm niches within their cracked surface patterns [16,17]. Biofilm formation dynamics can be summarized by the initial reversible and irreversible attachment of planktonic cells when first interacting with the abiotic surface, followed by a consolidation stage where, under ideal growing conditions, the adhered cells form microcolonies. The establishment of macrocolonies characterizes the last stage of the biofilm formation dynamic, otherwise recognized as a mature biofilm [18]. Biofilm detachment can arise at different stages of the biofilm formation dynamic. In the case of the surface microcosm of cutting boards, it may lead to the release and transfer of bacterial cells onto the foods being processed [19]. The wooden cutting board surface can be described as a porous material with hydrophilic properties that can provide a suitable environment conducive to the harboring, persistence and proliferation of spoilage and diverse pathogenic organisms [9,20,21]. The processing of raw meat on cutting boards usually leaves behind an abundance of nutrients on its surface, allowing for the proliferation of microbial contaminants [22,23], consequently increasing the likelihood of spreading disease-causing microorganisms when hygiene standards are not met. Therefore, a failure to properly clean cutting boards may promote further biofilm formation, especially the development of the biofilms’ most crucial attribute, its matrix of extracellular polymeric substances. This biofilm matrix, synthesized by the cells embedded within the biofilm, acts as a protective barrier against antimicrobial agents and a nutrient trap, allowing for the survival and persistence of

Fig. 1. Relative species-level abundance of bacterial pathogens in samples from wooden cutting boards used for different food groups: a) pork, b) poultry, and c) seafood.
embedded cells [24–27]. Cells are well protected in this matrix, including a wide range of microbial pathogens.

A recent microbial profiling study revealed significant differences in hygienic cleaning protocols and access to modern meat processing facilities in 11 Hong Kong wet markets [5]. That study demonstrated that inefficient hygienic routine practices of cutting boards were responsible for harboring foodborne pathogenic organisms belonging to Campylobacter, Clostridium, Escherichia, Staphylococcus, and Vibrio genera. Moreover, other pathogen species such as Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterobacter cloacae, and Vibrio vulnificus, known for causing nosocomial infections, were also found repeatedly on these same cutting boards [5].

Despite these findings, the exact source of the detected biological hazards remains unclear. Pinpointing the likely source would help clarify possible contamination paths, thereby potentially improving existing hygienic routines and cross-contamination measures via improvements in food safety regulations and public health policies. From a One Health perspective, Hong Kong wet markets can therefore be described as a hub in which multiple contamination sources could merge during food processing, ultimately leading to the potential spread of biological contaminants. Although a recent study showed that wet market cutting board hygiene factors affected the prevalence of non-foodborne pathogens on the cutting board, our study sought to validate further the origins of identified non-foodborne pathogens via phylogenetic analyses. Here, the full-length 16S ribosomal RNA gene sequences of pathogens identified on cutting boards used in the processing of pork, poultry, and seafood in various wet markets in Hong Kong were used to construct a phylogenetic tree with global datasets on foodborne vs clinically relevant pathogens.

2. Methods

2.1. Study area and sample collections

Samples were previously obtained from traditional or modern wet markets [5]. Traditional wet markets are located outdoors or in indoor environments without air conditioning. Modern wet markets generally have operational air-conditioning systems and are typically located in buildings meant for wet market activities. The exact wet market sampling locations were presented by Ngan et al. (2020) [5]. In these markets, swab samples were taken in July 2019 from wooden cutting boards meant for pork, poultry, and seafood processing.

Environmental swabs (Zymo, CA, U.S.A.) were sampled from an area of approximately 18 × 8 cm on the boards, as previously described by Lo et al. (2019) [15], with slight modifications. The swab samples were preserved in DNA/RNA shield collection tubes (R1107, Zymo), allowing the preservation of sampled DNA for up to 1 year at room temperature. For each sample, the total genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted within one month of sampling. DNA extraction and sequencing were performed as previously described by Ngan et al. (2020) [5].

2.2. Screening of pathogens from wet market cutting boards

The Divisive Amplicon Denoising Algorithm (DADA2) [28] was used to infer amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) that differed from each other at least by a single nucleotide. The ASVs were inferred from filtered reads obtained by the new version 1.12.1 of the DADA2 R-software package. The latest version had previously been updated for the efficient processing of long amplicon reads and for appropriately modeling PacBio CCS sequencing errors [28].

This study reports 16S full-length rRNA gene phylogeny in samples from wooden cutting boards used to process pork, poultry, and seafood. An earlier study reported the presence of food-associated pathogens [5]. Phylogenetic analysis was conducted to understand the affiliation of these pathogens to clinical isolates; these analyses included 52 ASVs associated with cutting boards used for pork, 17 associated with cutting boards used for poultry, and 13 associated with cutting boards used for seafood.

2.3. Phylogenetic analyses

Multiple-sequence alignments for each dataset were conducted using the Muscle program [29] and optimized manually using the Bio-edit program; these data sets were manually edited using Bio-edit [30]. Maximum likelihood trees were estimated using iqtree v0.9.5 [31] using the best-fit nucleotide substitution model [32] chosen by the Bayesian information criterion. An ultrafast bootstrap approximation (UFBoot)
was used to assess branch support [31,33]. Here, the number of bootstraps was 1000 replicates. Furthermore, several fast branch tests were carried out using SH-aLRT phylogenetic testing, which was also set to 1000 replicates.

3. Results

3.1. Meta-analysis of bacterial species composition on wooden cutting boards

The dominant bacterial species in samples from cutting boards used to process pork at wet markets were *Aeromonas dhakensis* and *Escherichia coli* (Fig. 1a). In contrast, those found in samples associated with poultry included *A. caviae* and *Enterococcus gilvus* (Fig. 1b), and those found in samples from seafood cutting boards were *A. caviae*, *Vibrio vulnificus*, and *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* (Fig. 1c). Phylogenetic analysis indicated that the 16S rRNA genes obtained via metagenomic sequencing of wet market wooden cutting board microbiomes were affiliated with clinically relevant human pathogens and food pathogens, predominantly in the Proteobacteria and Firmicutes phyla, respectively.

3.2. Phylogeny of identified pathogens associated with cutting boards used to process pork

The 16S rRNA gene phylogenetic analysis (Fig. 2a) showed that most of the ASVs clustered together with the human-associated clinical strains with high Bootstrap and Bayesian support (Table 1). Thirty-five ASVs from the pork cutting boards clustered closely with the human clinically associated 16S rRNA reference sequences (Table 1), including the following bacterial species: *Aeromonas dhakensis*, *Aeromonas jandei*, *A. veronii*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Klebsiella grimontii*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Escherichia coli*, *Escherichia fergusonii*, *A. nosocomialis*, *A. baumannii*, *Campylobacter fetus*, *Clostridium perfringens*, *Clostridium nigeriense*, *Clostridium saundiense*, *Bacillus cereus*, *Staphylococcus caprae*, *Staphylococcus
epidermis, Staphylococcus pasteuri, Enterococcus faecalis, Enterococcus timonensis, Enterococcus gilvus, Enterococcus italicus, Enterococcus cecorum, Streptococcus galolyticus, and Streptococcus porcinus. The remaining 17 ASVs clustered with environment-associated reference sequences, which included the following bacterial species: A. dhakensis, A. jandei, A. veronii, E. cloacae, E. fergusonii, A. nosocomialis, A. baumannii, C. fetus, C. nigeriense, C. saudienne, B. cereus, S. epidermis, S. pasteuri, E. timonensis, E. gilvus, E. italicus, E. cecorum, S. galolyticus, and S. porcinus.

3.3. Phylogeny of identified pathogens associated with cutting boards used to process poultry

Phylogenetic analysis of the pathogenic bacteria isolated from wooden cutting boards used for poultry processing showed that they were affiliated with 10 ASVs (Fig. 2b) clustered with human-associated ASVs (Table 2). Clinically relevant species included A. caviae, E. cloacae, C. fetus, S. aureus, E. italicus, Streptococcus varanii, Streptococcus dysgalactiae, and Enterobacter gilvuste. The remaining seven ASVs were clustered with environmental strains, including A. caviae, E. cloacae, S. dysgalactiae, and E. gilvuste.

3.4. Phylogeny of identified pathogens associated with cutting boards used to process seafood

For pathogens isolated from cutting boards used to process seafood, the phylogenetic analysis revealed the association of nine ASVs to the human clinical samples (Fig. 2c). The bacterial species included A. caviae, E. coli, S. varanii, Vibrio furnissii, Vibrio fluvialis, and Vibrio vulnificus. The other four ASVs clustered with A. caviae and V. vulnificus (Table 3).

4. Discussion

This study aimed to characterize the presence of pathogens on cutting boards from Hong Kong’s wet markets and determine their presumptive source via phylogenetic analysis. This study represents a complete phylogenetic assessment of wet market foodborne and clinically relevant bacterial pathogens. Both environmental and clinically relevant datasets of 16S rRNA were used to test the phylogenetic affiliations among pathogens from the cutting board samples. In this study, the phylogenetic analysis of the pathogenic bacterial assemblages from cutting boards used to process pork, poultry, and seafood identified a
clear association with human-associated and clinically relevant phylotypes.

The porous surfaces of wooden cutting boards are perfect channels for the circulation of nutrients and water and thus provide favorable conditions for biofilm-forming communities. Furthermore, the lack of proper hygienic maintenance of these wooden cutting boards may have led to the establishment of niche-harboring pathogenic bacteria that can form biofilms. In this study, cutting board pathogens were dominated by *Aeromonas dhakensis*, *A. caviae*, *A. jandei*, and *A. veronii*. Earlier reports suggested that *Aeromonas* has the remarkable ability to colonize different environments through biofilm formation and cell-cell signaling [34]. Moreover, *Aeromonas* species were likewise observed in mixed-species in food contact surfaces [35]. *Aeromonas* also plays a significant role in many health conditions, including gastroenteritis, wound infections, bacteraemia, and, although less frequently, peritonitis, urinary tract infections, and ocular infections [36]. In this study, *E. coli*, another biofilm-forming pathogen, was linked with cutting boards associated with pork and seafood processing. It is well established that *E. coli* is a commensal organism predominantly associated with the gastrointestinal tract in animals and humans alike, where it thrives in complex biofilm consortia characterized by a plethora of other microorganisms [37,38]. Furthermore, in clinical environments, *E. coli* has also been found to contaminate medical devices such as catheters, leading to catheter-associated urinary tract nosocomial infections [39]. *Enterococcus* was predominantly recovered in cutting boards associated with pork and poultry when assessing other biofilm-forming pathogens, suggesting its persistence in the wet market food processing environment. An earlier report demonstrated enterococci’s ability to form biofilms [40]. Elsewhere, in clinical settings, enterococcal biofilms associated with infections are hard to eradicate, given their high tolerance to antimicrobials [41].

The finding of foodborne and non-foodborne pathogens in wet market cutting board settings should be considered an alarming indicator of poor hygienic conditions. Recent studies have indicated that poor hygiene practices at wet markets may have exposed cutting boards to spoilage and pathogenic surface contamination [5,19]. Regular surface hygiene of such wet market cutting boards may be necessary. The food contact surface may interact with previously contaminated foods during processing, especially considering that these wet markets are usually characterized by poor storage/display conditions linked to inappropriate temperature control or lack thereof. The identification of *Streptococcus suis* on cutting boards used to process pork suggests poor storage conditions, leading to its proliferation over time and its transfer to cutting boards during processing (Fig. 1). Earlier reports in Hong Kong have shown that *S. suis* is a key bacterial pathogen responsible for various human infections in Hong Kong [42]. Although *S. suis* is enteric and nonpathogenic in pigs, its spread when handling raw pork products through cross-contamination increases the likelihood and risk of infections [12]. In 2019, the Hong Kong Centre for Health Protection (CHP) investigated an *S. suis* infection in a patient who died following

![Fig. 2. (continued).](image-url)
Phylogenetic analysis of the cutting board pathogens showed the persistence of S. aureus in cutting boards used for poultry processing plants [48]. More specifically, the affiliation of ASVs in cutting boards used for processing pork and poultry meat with clinically relevant pathogens among humans.

S. aureus, including the identified species S. porcinus, S. haemolyticus, and S. varanii, a foodborne illness pathogen among humans. C. fetus is also known to cause bacteremia and thrombophlebitis [50], and in rarer cases, can cause sepsis in newborn and immunocompromised individuals [51].

Evolutionary analysis of our bacterial 16S rRNA data found that several non-foodborne pathogens identified on cutting boards had a high likelihood of clinical relevance. For instance, Klebsiella pneumoniae, isolated from pork cutting boards, had a high affinity to clinically relevant non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of handwashing stations and their proper use could reduce the spread of such pathogens on food processing surfaces should not be ignored because of the possibility of their additional anti-microbial resistance properties. The persistence and survival of most nosocomial pathogens is not unusual in Hong Kong. For example, in Hong Kong, the closeness of hospitals and wet markets is not unusual. For example, “s Kowloon district, a foodborne illness pathogen among humans. C. fetus is also known to cause bacteremia and thrombophlebitis [50], and in rarer cases, can cause sepsis in newborn and immunocompromised individuals [51].

Evolutionary analysis of our bacterial 16S rRNA data found that several non-foodborne pathogens identified on cutting boards had a high likelihood of clinical relevance. For instance, Klebsiella pneumoniae, isolated from pork cutting boards, had a high affinity to clinically relevant non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of handwashing stations and their proper use could reduce the spread of such non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of handwashing stations and their proper use could reduce the spread of such non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52]. The general lack or improper usage of sanitation stations at these wet markets may have led to our finding of non-foodborne pathogens [52].

Table 1

Comparison of Human/ Environmental association of Bacterial species on wooden cutting board surface used for pork meat processing in Hong Kong wet markets.

| Bacterial species | Human associated ASVs | Bootstrap/ Bayesian values | Environmental associated ASVs | Bootstrap/ Bayesian values |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Aeromonas dhakensis | 1        | 83.2/74                   | 3                            | 0/49                      |
| Aeromonas jandei  | 1        | 60/67                     | 1                            | 0/47                      |
| A. veronii        | 3        | 95.2/99                   | 1                            | 0/48                      |
| Klebsiella pneumoniae | 1 | 33.9/92                  | 0                            | 0/22                      |
| Klebsiella aerogenes | 1    | 96.2/100                  | 0                            | 0/30                      |
| Klebsiella grimmontii | 1  | 86.9/97                   | 0                            | 0/46                      |
| Enterobacter cloacae | 0   | 68.8/53                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Escherichia coli  | 3        | 96.5/76                   | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Escherichia fergusonii | 3  | 87.1/48                  | 1                            | 88.8/47                   |
| A. nosocomialis    | 1        | 95.1/99                   | 1                            | 96.1/99                   |
| A.baumannii       | 1        | 88/97                     | 0                            | 0/51                      |
| Campylobacter fetus | 1    | 100/100                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Clostridium perfringens | 4  | 77.3/98                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Clostridium nigertense | 2  | 90.6/99                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Bacillus cereus | 1        | 86.2/100                  | 0                            | 80.5/100                 |
| Staphylococcus caprae | 2  | 86.7/98                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Staphylococcus epidemidis | 1 | 0/94/94                   | 1                            | 100/100                  |
| Staphylococcus pasteuri | 0  | 1                        | 98.3/100                     |
| Enterococcus faecalis | 1   | 99.7/100                 | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Enterococcus tironensis | 3  | 93.2/96                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Enterococcus gilvus | 1       | 0/75                     | 1                            | 100/100                  |
| Enterococcus italicus | 1  | 100/100                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Enterococcus cecorum | 1    | 48.6/92                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Streptococcus galiloticus | 1 | 74.3/99                   | 0                            | 0/21                      |

Table 2

Comparison of Human/ Environmental association of Bacterial species on wooden cutting board surface used for poultry meat processing in Hong Kong wet markets.

| Bacterial species | Human associated ASVs | Bootstrap/ Bayesian values | Environmental associated ASVs | Bootstrap/ Bayesian values |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| A. caviae         | 1        | 89.3/94                   | 1                            | 0/67                      |
| Enterobacter cloacae | 2    | 97.5/94                  | 1                            | 69.7/65                   |
| Campylobacter fetus | 1     | 100/100                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Staphylococcus aureus | 1  | 98.6/86                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Enterococcus italicus | 1    | 0/61                     | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Streptococcus varanii | 1  | 100/100                  | 0                            | 0/21                      |
| Streptococcus dysgalactiae | 1 | 99.4/100                | 1                            | 92.7/96                   |
| Enterobacter gilvus | 0     | 7                        | 92.7/96                      |

Table 3

Comparison of Human/ Environmental association of Bacterial species on wooden cutting board surface used for seafood processing in Hong Kong wet markets.

| Bacterial species | Human associated ASVs | Bootstrap/ Bayesian values | Environmental associated ASVs | Bootstrap/ Bayesian values |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|
| A. caviae         | 1        | 91.5/56                   | 2                            | 0/75                      |
| Streptococcus varanii | 3 | 96.6/99                  | 2                            | 98.4/73                   |
| Escherichia coli  | 1        | 100/99                   | 2                            | 98.4/73                   |
| Vibrio vulnificus | 2        | 99.5/95                   | 2                            | 98.4/73                   |
| Vibrio furnissii  | 1        | 75.1/82                   | 2                            | 98.4/73                   |
| Vibrio fluvialis  | 1        | 98.5/98                   | 2                            | 98.4/73                   |
pathogenic organisms in hospitalised patients’ flora and the surrounding environment can be attributed to their multi-drug resistance abilities [53–55]. Epidemiological approaches in the characterization and tracking of pathogens have allowed for implementing safety and prevention measures for improving public health. In a previous outbreak at the National Institute of Health (USA), an epidemiological investigation helped further our understanding of the spread of *K. pneumoniae* and its ability to increase its antibiotic resistance [56]. Our study lacks the detailed epidemiological data needed to confirm whether these pathogens originate from nearby hospitals or track their source. One of the limitations of studying hospital-associated infection (HAI) is the lack of molecular analyses. All HAI confirmations thus far have relied on culturing techniques. Future work should incorporate different models, including geospatial system models, to evaluate pathogens’ true origin in wet markets. Adopting whole genomic-based approaches, the quantification and characterization of identified pathogens by integrating genetic and epidemiological information would systematically improve wet markets’ surveillance routines, ultimately strengthening food safety policies.

5. Conclusions

This study investigated the phylogenetic relationship among bacterial communities associated with Hong Kong’s wet market wooden cutting boards used for meat, poultry, and seafood processing. This was achieved via high-throughput metagenomic sequencing of full-length bacterial 16S rRNA amplicons. The data were then compared with environmentally and clinically associated pathogens. First, the pathogens in cutting boards used for pork were more diverse than those used for poultry and seafood. Second, the phylogenetic analysis indicated that the wet market wooden cutting board bacterial communities were closely affiliated to human pathogenic strains associated with clinical infections. Thus, improvements in meat storage conditions are critical to avoid pathogen contamination in wet markets. Furthermore, refrigeration and cooling infrastructure at wet markets would improve the safe storage and display of raw meat. Such installations would delay the growth of unwanted and pathogenic microorganisms and their dissemination into the surrounding environment via cross-contamination. Finally, cleaning and sanitation stations would also help reduce the potential spread of non-foodborne pathogens by improving general personal hygiene.
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