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Abstract

In his classical paper [14], Rosa introduced a hierarchical series of labelings called \( p, \sigma, \beta \) and \( \alpha \) labeling as a tool to settle Ringel’s Conjecture [13] which states that if \( T \) is any tree with \( m \) edges then the complete graph \( K_{2m+1} \) can be decomposed into \( 2m + 1 \) copies of \( T \). Inspired by the result of Rosa [14] many researchers significantly contributed to the theory of graph decompositions using graph labelings. In this direction, in 2004, Blinco et al. [6] introduced \( \gamma \)-labeling as a stronger version of \( \rho \)-labeling. A function \( g \) defined on the vertex set of a graph \( G \) with \( n \) edges is called a \( \gamma \)-labeling if

(i) \( g \) is a \( \rho \)-labeling of \( G \),
(ii) \( G \) is a tripartite graph with vertex tripartition \( (A, B, C) \) with \( C = \{c\} \) and \( \bar{b} \in B \) such that \( \{b, c\} \) is the unique edge joining an element of \( B \) to \( c \),
(iii) \( g(a) < g(v) \) for every edge \( \{a, v\} \in E(G) \) where \( a \in A \),
(iv) \( g(c) - g(\bar{b}) = n \).

Further, Blinco et al. [6] proved a significant result that the complete graph \( K_{2cm+1} \) can be cyclically decomposed into \( c(2cm + 1) \) copies of any \( \gamma \)-labeled graph with \( n \) edges, where \( c \) is any positive integer. Recently, in 2013, Anita Pasotti [4] introduced a generalisation of graceful labeling called \( d \)-divisible graceful labeling as a tool to obtain cyclic \( G \)-decompositions in complete multipartite graphs. Let \( G \) be a graph of size \( e = d \cdot m \). A \( d \)-divisible graceful labeling of the graph \( G \) is an injective function \( g : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, d(m + 1) - 1\} \) such that \( \{|g(u) - g(v)|/\{u, v\} \in E(G)\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, d(m + 1) - 1\} \setminus \{m + 1, 2(m + 1), \ldots, (d - 1)(m + 1)\} \). A \( d \)-divisible graceful labeling of a bipartite graph \( G \) is called as a \( d \)-divisible \( \alpha \)-labeling of \( G \) if the maximum value of one of the two bipartite sets is less than the minimum value of the other one. Further, Anita Pasotti [4] proved a significant result that the complete multipartite graph \( K_{(\frac{e}{d}+1) \times 2d} \) can be cyclically decomposed into copies of \( d \)-divisible \( \alpha \)-labeled graph \( G \), where \( e \) is the size of the graph \( G \) and \( c \) is any positive integer \( (K_{(\frac{e}{d}+1) \times 2d} \) contains \( \frac{e}{d} + 1 \) parts each of size \( 2dc \). Motivated by the results of Blinco et al. [6] and Anita Pasotti [4], in this paper we prove the following results.

i) For \( t \geq 2 \), disjoint union of \( t \) copies of the complete bipartite graph \( K_{m,n} \), where \( m \geq 3, n \geq 4 \) plus an edge admits \( \gamma \)-labeling.
ii) For \( t \geq 2 \), \( t \)-levels shadow graph of the path \( P_{dn+1} \) admits \( d \)-divisible \( \alpha \)-labeling for any admissible \( d \) and \( n \geq 1 \).

Further, we discuss related open problems.

1 Introduction

Terms which are not defined here can be found in [15]. In an attempt to settle the Ringel’s conjecture [13] which states that if \( T \) is any tree with \( m \) edges then the complete graph \( K_{2m+1} \) can be decomposed into \( 2m + 1 \) copies of \( T \), in his classical paper [14], Rosa introduced a series of labelings called \( \alpha, \beta, \sigma, \rho \)-labeling.

Let \( G \) be a graph with \( n \) edges. A one-to-one function \( g \) from \( V(G) \) to \( \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) is called a \( \beta \)-labeling of \( G \) if \( \{|g(u) - g(v)|/\{u, v\} \in E(G)\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \). A \( \beta \)-labeling \( g \) of a
graph $G$ with $n$ edges is called an $\alpha$-labeling if there exists an integer $k$ such that for every edge $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ either $g(u) \leq k < g(v)$ or $g(v) \leq k < g(u)$. Given two vertices $u$ and $v$ by $uv$ we denote the edge $\{u, v\}$.

It is clear that $\alpha$-labeling is a stronger version of $\beta$-labeling. $\beta$-labeling was later called as graceful labeling by Golomb [12] and this term is most widely used now. $\rho$-labeling is weaker version of graceful labeling. The precise definition of $\rho$-labeling is given below. Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ edges. A one-to-one function $g$ from $V(G)$ to $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$ is called a $\rho$-labeling of $G$ if $\{\min\{|g(u) - g(v)|, 2n + 1 - |g(u) - g(v)|\}/\{u, v\} \in E(G)\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.

Further, Rosa [14] proved the following two significant theorems.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $G$ be a graph with $n$ edges. Then there exists a cyclic $G$-decomposition of the complete graph $K_{2n+1}$ if and only if $G$ has a $\rho$-labeling.

**Theorem 1.2.** If $G$ is a graph with $n$ edges that has an $\alpha$-labeling, then the complete graph $K_{2cn+1}$ can be cyclically decomposed into subgraphs isomorphic to $G$, where $c$ is an arbitrary natural number.

The interesting part of $\alpha$-labeled graphs with $n$ edges is that they not only decompose complete graphs $K_{2cn+1}$ but also decompose the complete bipartite graphs $K_{an,bn}$. This interesting result proved by El-Zanati and Vanden Eynden [9] is precisely stated in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** If a graph $G$ with $n$ edges has an $\alpha$-labeling then there exists a cyclic decomposition of the complete bipartite graph $K_{an,bn}$ into subgraphs isomorphic to $G$, where $a$ and $b$ are arbitrary positive integers.

These results attracted many researchers to significantly contribute in theory of graph decompositions using graph labelings. It is clear from the definition of $\alpha$-labeling that if a graph $G$ admits $\alpha$-labeling then it must be necessarily bipartite. This restriction prompted Blinco et al. [6] to introduce $\gamma$-labeling in order to achieve cyclic $G$-decompositions in $K_{2cn+1}$, where $G$ is a non-bipartite graph, $c$ is any positive integer and $n$ is the number of edges of the graph $G$. A function $g$ defined on the vertex set of a graph $G$ with $n$ edges is called a $\gamma$-labeling if

(i) $g$ is a $\rho$-labeling of $G$,

(ii) $G$ is a tripartite graph with vertex tripartition $(A, B, C)$ with $C = \{c\}$ and $\bar{b} \in B$ such that $\{\bar{b}, c\}$ is the unique edge joining an element of $B$ to $c$,

(iii) $g(a) < g(v)$ for every edge $\{a, v\} \in E(G)$ where $a \in A$,

(iv) $g(c) - g(\bar{b}) = n$.

Further, in [6], Blinco et al. have proved the following significant theorem.

**Theorem 1.4.** The complete graph $K_{2cn+1}$ can be cyclically decomposed into copies of the $\gamma$-labeled graph $G$, where $m$ is the number of edges of the graph $G$ and $c$ is any positive integer.
Motivated by the above result of Blinco et al. [6], the almost-bipartite graphs $P_n + e$, $n \geq 4$, $K_{m,n} + e$, $m \geq 2$, $n > 2$, $C_{2k+1}$, $k \geq 2$, $C_{2m} + e$, $m > 2$, $C_3 \cup C_{4m}$, $m > 1$, $C_{2k+1} \cup C_{4n+2}$, $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ are found to have $\gamma$-labeling (refer [5], [6], [7], [8], [10]). (A graph is said to be almost-bipartite if the removal of a particular edge makes the graph bipartite). For survey on $\gamma$-labeling refer the survey on graph labelings by Gallian [11]. Motivated by the results of Blinco et al. [6], in this paper we prove that for $t \geq 2$, disjoint union of $t$ copies of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$, where $m \geq 3, n \geq 4$ plus an edge admits $\gamma$-labeling.

Recently, in 2013, Anita Pasotti [4] introduced a generalisation of graceful labeling called $d$-divisible graceful labeling as a tool to obtain cyclic $G$-decomposition in complete multipartite graphs. Let $G$ be a graph of size $e = d \cdot m$. An injective function $g : V(G) \rightarrow \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, d(m + 1) - 1\}$ such that $\{|g(u) - g(v)|/\{u, v\} \in E(G)\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, d(m + 1) - 1\}\{m + 1, 2(m + 1), \ldots, (d - 1)(m + 1)\}$ is called as a $d$-divisible graceful labeling of the graph $G$. A $d$-divisible graceful labeling of a graph $G$ can exist only if $d$ is a divisor of the size $e$ of $G$, hence, for this reason, any divisor $d$ of $e$ is said to be admissible for the existence of a $d$-divisible graceful labeling of $G$. A $d$-divisible graceful labeling of a bipartite graph $G$ is called as a $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling of $G$ if the maximum value of one of the two bipartite sets is less than the minimum value of the other one.

Further, Anita Pasotti [4] has proved the following significant theorems.

**Theorem 1.5.** (Anita Pasotti [4]) The complete multipartite graph $K_{(\frac{m}{d}+1)\times 2d}$ can be cyclically decomposed into copies of the $d$-divisible graceful labeled graph $G$, where $e$ is the size of the graph $G$.

**Theorem 1.6.** (Anita Pasotti [4]) The complete multipartite graph $K_{(\frac{m}{d}+1)\times 2dc}$ can be cyclically decomposed into copies of the $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeled graph $G$, where $e$ is the size of the graph $G$ and $c$ is any positive integer.

In the literature survey [11], one can observe that a very few families of graphs are identified to have $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling. Anita Pasotti [4] has proved that path and star admit $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling for any admissible $d$. She [3] also proved that for any integer $k \geq 1$ and $m \geq 2$, $C_{4k} \times P_n$ admits $(2m - 1)$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling. In [1] and [2], Anna Benini and Anita Pasotti proved the following results. A hairy cycle of size $e$ admits an $e$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling if and only if it is bipartite. The hairy cycle $H(2t, \lambda)$ admits $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling for any admissible $d$. The ladder $L_{2k}$ has 2-divisible $\alpha$-labeling if and only if $k$ is even.

Inspired by the decomposition theorems proved by Anita Pasotti, in this paper we prove that for $t \geq 2$, $t$-levels shadow graph of the path $P_{dn+1}$ admits $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling for any admissible $d$ and $n \geq 1$. $t$-levels shadow graph of a graph is defined as follows. $t$-levels shadow graph of a graph $G$, denoted $S_t(G)$ is obtained by taking $t \geq 2$ copies $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_t$ of $G$ and joining each vertex $v_{ij}$ in $G_i$ to the copies of its adjacent vertices in $G_{i+1}$, for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $1 \leq i \leq t - 1$, where $n = |V(G)|$.

## 2 $\gamma$-labeling of disjoint union of complete bipartite graphs plus an edge

In this section we prove that disjoint union of $t$ copies of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$, where $m \geq 3$ and $n \geq 4$ plus an edge admits $\gamma$-labeling.
**Theorem 2.1.** For \( t \geq 2 \), disjoint union of \( t \) copies of a complete bipartite graph with one part containing at least three vertices and another part containing at least four vertices, plus an edge admits \( \gamma \)-labeling.

**Proof.** Consider the complete bipartite graph \( K_{m,n} \), where \( m \geq 3, n \geq 4 \). Let \( V_1 = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m\} \) and \( V_2 = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\} \) be the two parts of \( K_{m,n} \). For any \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, t \), let \( U_i = \{u_{1i}, u_{2i}, \ldots, u_{mi}\} \) and \( V_i = \{v_{1i}, v_{2i}, \ldots, v_{ni}\} \) be the two parts of the \( i \)-th copy \( K_{m,n}^i \) of the complete bipartite graph \( K_{m,n} \).

Set \( U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} U_i \) and \( V = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} V_i \).

Clearly, \( U \) and \( V \) are the two parts of the disjoint union of the \( t \) copies of \( K_{m,n} \), denoted by \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i \).

Join the vertices \( v_{11} \) and \( v_{12} \) by an edge \( \hat{e} \).

Denote the new graph thus obtained by \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i + \hat{e} \).

Observe that \( |V((\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i) + \hat{e})| = t(m+n) \) and \( |E((\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i) + \hat{e})| = tmn + 1 \).

Define \( g : V((\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i) + \hat{e}) \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2N\} \), where \( N = tmn + 1 \) in the following way.

First we define the labels of the vertices in the set \( U \) in the following way.

For \( 1 \leq j \leq m \), define \( g(u_{1j}) = 2(j-1) \) and \( g(u_{2j}) = 2j + 1 \).

For each \( i, 3 \leq i \leq t \), define

\[
g(u_{1i}) = g(u_{(i-1)m}) + m,
\]

\[
g(u_{ij}) = g(u_{(j-1)i}) + 1, \text{ for each } j, 2 \leq j \leq m.
\]

Now we define the labels of the vertices in the set \( V \) in the following manner.

Define \( g(v_{11}) = 2N - 1, g(v_{12}) = N - 1, g(v_{13}) = 2N, g(v_{14}) = N - 2 \).

For \( 5 \leq k \leq n \), define

\[
g(v_{1k}) = \begin{cases} g(v_{1(k-1)}) - 2m + 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd} \\ g(v_{1(k-1)}) - 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}
\]

Define \( g(v_{21}) = \begin{cases} g(v_{1n}) - 4(r-1), & \text{if } m = 2r, r \geq 2 \text{ and } n \text{ is even} \\ g(v_{1n}) - (4r-2), & \text{if } m = 2r + 1, r \geq 1 \text{ and } n \text{ is even} \\ g(v_{1n}) + 2, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \)

We define the labels of the vertices \( v_{2k} \), for \( 2 \leq k \leq n \) in two cases depending on \( n \) is even or odd.

**Case 1.** \( n \) is even

For \( 2 \leq k \leq n \), define

\[
g(v_{2k}) = \begin{cases} g(v_{2(k-1)}) - 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ g(v_{2(k-1)}) - 2m + 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\]
Case 2. $n$ is odd
For $2 \leq k \leq n$, define
\[ g(v_{2k}) = \begin{cases} g(v_{2(k-1)}) - 2m + 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is even} \\ g(v_{2(k-1)}) - 1, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd}. \end{cases} \]
For each $i, 3 \leq i \leq t$, define the labels of the vertices $v_{ik}$, for each $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$ in the following way.
For each $i, 3 \leq i \leq t$, define
\[ g(v_{1i}) = g(v_{(i-1)n}) + m - 1, \]
\[ g(v_{ik}) = g(v_{(i-1)k}) - m, \]
for each $k, 2 \leq k \leq n$.

**Observation 1.** Vertex labels of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i + \hat{e}$ are distinct.

We prove that the vertex labels of the graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i + \hat{e}$ are distinct depending on $n$ is even or odd.

**Case 1.** $n$ is even

If the labels of the vertices of the graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i + \hat{e}$ are arranged as,
\[ g(u_{11}), g(u_{12}), g(u_{21}), g(u_{13}), g(u_{22}), g(u_{14}), \ldots, g(u_{1m}), g(u_{2(m-1)}), g(u_{2m}), (g(u_{ij}))_{i=3,j=1}, \]
\[ g(v_{1n}), g(v_{(i-1)n}), g(v_{(i-2)n}), \ldots, g(v_{2}), g(v_{(i-1)n}), g(v_{1}), g(v_{(i-1)n-1}), g(v_{(i-1)n-1}), \]
\[ g(v_{(i-1)n-2}), \ldots, g(v_{3n}), g(v_{4n-1}), g(v_{3n-1}), \ldots, g(v_{32}), g(v_{32}), g(v_{2n}), g(v_{2(n-1)}), \]
\[ g(v_{31}), g(v_{2(n-2)}), g(v_{2(n-3)}), \ldots, g(v_{22}), g(v_{21}), g(v_{1n}), g(v_{1(n-1)}), g(v_{1(n-2)}), g(v_{1(n-3)}), \]
then it forms a monotonically increasing sequence.

**Case 2.** $n$ is odd

If the labels of the vertices of the graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i + \hat{e}$ are arranged as,
\[ g(u_{11}), g(u_{12}), g(u_{21}), g(u_{13}), g(u_{22}), g(u_{14}), \ldots, g(u_{1m}), g(u_{2(m-1)}), g(u_{2m}), (g(u_{ij}))_{i=3,j=1}, \]
\[ g(v_{1n}), g(v_{(i-1)n}), g(v_{(i-2)n}), \ldots, g(v_{2}), g(v_{(i-1)n}), g(v_{1}), g(v_{(i-1)n-1}), g(v_{(i-1)n-1}), \]
\[ g(v_{(i-1)n-2}), \ldots, g(v_{3n}), g(v_{4n-1}), g(v_{3n-1}), \ldots, g(v_{32}), g(v_{32}), g(v_{2n}), g(v_{2(n-1)}), \]
\[ g(v_{31}), g(v_{2(n-2)}), g(v_{2(n-3)}), \ldots, g(v_{22}), g(v_{21}), g(v_{1n}), g(v_{1(n-1)}), g(v_{1(n-2)}), g(v_{1(n-3)}), \]
then it forms a monotonically increasing sequence.

Hence the vertex labels of the graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i + \hat{e}$ are distinct.

**Observation 2.** Edge labels of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i + \hat{e}$ are distinct.

The edge $v_{11}v_{12}$ has the label $N$. 
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We prove that the edge labels of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i$ are distinct in two cases depending on $n$ is even or odd.

**Case i.** $n$ is even

The labels of the edges in the first copy $K_{m,n}^1$ can be arranged as a sequence, $S_{11} : ((N-1, N-2, N-3, \ldots, N+2m+1-mn, N+2m-mn), (2m, 2m-1, \ldots, 2, 1))$.

For each $i, 2 \leq i \leq t$, the labels of the edges in the $i^{th}$ copy $K_{m,n}^i$ can be arranged as a sequence, $S_{1i} : (N+2m-(i-1)mn-1, N+2m-(i-1)mn-2, \ldots, N+2m-imn+2, N+2m-imn+1, N+2m-imn)$.

The labels of the edges in the above sequences together with the label of the edge $v_{11}v_{12}$, $|g(v_{11}) - g(v_{12})| = N$ can be rearranged as a monotonic decreasing sequence $S : (N, N-1, N-2, \ldots, 3, 2, 1)$.

Thus the edge labels are distinct when $n$ is even.

**Case ii.** $n$ is odd

The labels of the edges in the first copy $K_{m,n}^1$ can be arranged as a sequence, $S_{21} : ((N-1, N-2, N-3, \ldots, N+3m-mn+2, N+3m-mn+1, N+3m-mn), (N+3m-mn-1, N+3m-mn-3, N+3m-mn-5, \ldots, N+m-mn+3, N+m-mn+1), (2m, 2m-1, \ldots, 2, 1))$.

The labels of the edges in the second copy $K_{m,n}^2$ can be arranged as a sequence, $S_{22} : (N+3m-mn-2, N+3m-mn-4, N+3m-mn-6, \ldots, N+m-mn+2, N+m-mn, N+m-mn-1, N+m-mn-2, N+m-mn-3, \ldots, N+2m-2mn+2, N+2m-2mn+1, N+2m-2mn)$.

For each $i, 3 \leq i \leq t$, the labels of the edges in the $i^{th}$ copy $K_{m,n}^i$ can be arranged as a sequence, $S_{2i} : (N+2m-(i-1)mn-1, N+2m-(i-1)mn-2, \ldots, N+2m-imn+2, N+2m-imn+1, N+2m-imn)$.

The labels of the edges in the above sequences together with the label of the edge $v_{11}v_{12}$, $|g(v_{11}) - g(v_{12})| = N$ can be rearranged as a monotonic decreasing sequence $S : (N, N-1, N-2, \ldots, 3, 2, 1)$.

Thus the edge labels are distinct when $n$ is odd.

Hence the edge labels of the graph $\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i + \hat{e}$ are distinct.
Observation 3. \( g \) is a \( \gamma \)-labeling.

In order to prove that \( g \) is a \( \gamma \)-labeling, we partition the vertex set \( V((\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}K_{m,n}^i) + \hat{e}) \) as \( (A, B, C) \), where \( A = U, B = V \setminus \{v_{11}\} \) and \( C = \{v_{11}\} \). Then, by the above labeling we have \( g(u_{ij}) < g(v_{ik}) \) for any \( u_{ij} \in A \) and for any \( v_{ik} \in B \cup C \). The label of the edge \( v_{11}v_{12} = N = (2N - 1 - (N - 1)) \). Hence, the graph \( (\bigcup_{i=1}^{t}K_{m,n}^i) + \hat{e} \) admits \( \gamma \)-labeling. \( \square \)

Illustration

\( \gamma \)-labeling that is defined as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 for the disjoint union of three copies of the complete bipartite graph \( K_{4,5} \) plus an edge, \( (\bigcup_{i=1}^{3}K_{4,5}^i) + \hat{e} \) and the disjoint union of two copies of the complete bipartite graph \( K_{3,4} \) plus an edge, \( (\bigcup_{i=1}^{2}K_{3,4}^i) + \hat{e} \) are given in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively.

Figure 1: \( \gamma \)-labeling of \( (\bigcup_{i=1}^{3}K_{4,5}^i) + \hat{e} \)
The following corollary is an immediate implication of Blinco et al.’s theorem, Theorem 1.4.

**Corollary 2.2.** The complete graph $K_{2cr+1}$ can be cyclically decomposed into copies of the graph $\left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^{i} \right) + \hat{e}$, where $c$ is any positive integer, $m \geq 3, n \geq 4, t \geq 2$ and $r = |E(\left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^{i} \right) + \hat{e})|$.

## 3 $d$-divisible α-labeling of $t$-levels shadow graph of path

In this section we prove that for $t \geq 2$, $t$-levels shadow graph of the path $P_{dn+1}, S_t(P_{dn+1})$ with $d \geq 1, n \geq 1$ admits $d$-divisible α-labeling for all $d \geq 1$.

**Theorem 3.1.** For $t \geq 2$, the $t$-levels shadow graph of the path $P_{dn+1}, S_t(P_{dn+1})$ with $d \geq 1$ and $n \geq 1$ admits $d$-divisible α-labeling for all $d \geq 1$.

**Proof.** Consider the path $P_{dn+1}$, where $d \geq 1, n \geq 1$.

For the convenience, we let $P_{dn+1} : v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{dn}, v_{dn+1}, n \geq 1, d \geq 1$.

Suppose $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_t$ are the $t$ copies of $P_{dn+1}$.

Let $V_i = \{v_{i1}, v_{i2}, \ldots, v_{i(dn+1)}\}$ be the vertex set of the $i^{th}$ copy $G_i$ of $P_{dn+1}$.

Then the $t$-levels shadow graph of the path $P_{dn+1}, S_t(P_{dn+1})$ has the vertex set $W = \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} V_i$.

Therefore, $|V(S_t(P_{dn+1}))| = t|V(P_{dn+1})| = t(dn + 1)$.

By the definition of the $t$-levels shadow graph of the path $P_{dn+1}$, the graph $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ can be visualised as $t$ copies of the path $P_{dn+1}$ and a pair of $t - 1$ copies of $P_{dn+1}$ which connect the vertices of the copies $G_1$ and $G_{i+1}$ of the path $P_{dn+1}, 1 \leq i \leq t - 1$.

Therefore, $|E(S_t(P_{dn+1}))| = tdn + 2(t - 1)dn = (3t - 2)dn$.

Since the path $P_{dn+1}$ is bipartite, the $i^{th}$ copy of $P_{dn+1}, G_i$ is also bipartite having the bipartition $(V_{i1}, V_{i2})$, where

$V_{i1} = \{v_{ij} / 1 \leq j \leq dn + 1 \text{ and } j \text{ odd} \}$ and
\[
V_2 = \{v_{ij}/1 \leq j \leq dn + 1 \text{ and } j \text{ even}\}, \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq t.
\]
Let \( N = d((3t - 2)n + 1) - 1\).
Define \( g : V(S_t(P_{dn+1})) \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, N\} \) in the following way.
\[g(v_{12}) = N.\]
For \(1 \leq i \leq t\), \( g(v_{ii}) = i - 1\).
For \(2 \leq i \leq t\), \( g(v_{i2}) = g(v_{(i-1)2}) - 2\).
For all the remaining vertices of \( S_t(P_{dn+1}) \) we define \( g \) depending on \( d = 1 \) and \( d > 1\).

**When \( d = 1 \) define \( g \) as follows.**
For \(1 \leq j \leq \ell\), \( g(v_{1(2j+1)}) = g(v_{1(2j-1)}) + 3t - 2\), where
\[
\ell = \begin{cases} 
\frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\
\frac{n-1}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases}
\]
For \(2 \leq j \leq k\), \( g(v_{1(2j)}) = g(v_{1(2j-2)}) - (3t - 2)\), where
\[
k = \begin{cases} 
\frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even}, \\
\frac{n+1}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd}.
\end{cases}
\]
For \(3 \leq j \leq dn + 1\), \( g(v_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 
g(v_{(i-1)j}) + 1, & \text{for } j \text{ odd and } 2 \leq i \leq t, \\
g(v_{(i-1)j}) - 2, & \text{for } j \text{ even and } 2 \leq i \leq t.
\end{cases}\)

**When \( d > 1 \) then define \( g \) in two cases depending on \( n \) is even or \( n \) is odd.**

**Case a.** \( n \) is even
\[g(v_{1(2j+1)}) = g(v_{1(2j-1)}) + 3t - 2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \frac{dn}{2},\]
\[
g(v_{1(2j)}) = \begin{cases} 
g(v_{1(2j-2)}) - (3t - 2), & 2 \leq j \leq \frac{dn}{2} \text{ and } j \neq \frac{kn+2}{2}, k = 1, 2, \ldots, d - 1 \\
g(v_{1(kn)}) - (3t - 1), & \text{for } j = \frac{kn+2}{2}, k = 1, 2, \ldots, d - 1.
\end{cases}\]

**Case b.** \( n \) is odd
\[
g(v_{1(2j+1)}) = \begin{cases} 
g(v_{1(2j-1)}) + 3t - 2, & 1 \leq j \leq \ell, \ell = \frac{dn}{2} \text{ if } d \text{ is even}, \\
& \ell = \frac{d(n-1)}{2} \text{ if } d \text{ is odd and } j \neq \frac{kn+1}{2}, 1 \leq k \leq d - 1 \text{ and } k \text{ odd}, \\
g(v_{1(kn)}) + 3t - 1, & \text{for } j = \frac{kn+1}{2}, 1 \leq k \leq d - 1 \text{ and } k \text{ odd}
\end{cases}\]
\[
g(v_{1(2j)}) = \begin{cases} 
g(v_{1(2j-2)}) - (3t - 2), & 2 \leq j \leq \ell = \frac{dn+1}{2} \text{ if } d \text{ is odd}, \\
& \ell = \frac{d}{2} \text{ if } d \text{ is even and } j \neq \frac{kn+2}{2}, 2 \leq k \leq d - 1 \text{ and } k \text{ even}, \\
g(v_{1(kn)}) - (3t - 1), & \text{for } j = \frac{kn+2}{2}, 2 \leq k \leq d - 1 \text{ and } k \text{ even}
\end{cases}\]

For both the cases, for \(3 \leq j \leq dn + 1\), define
\[
g(v_{ij}) = \begin{cases} 
g(v_{(i-1)j}) + 1, & \text{for } j \text{ odd and } 2 \leq i \leq t, \\
g(v_{(i-1)j}) - 2, & \text{for } j \text{ even and } 2 \leq i \leq t.
\end{cases}\]
From the definition of $g$ if the labels of the vertices of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ are arranged as,

$g(v_1), g(v_{21}), \ldots, g(v_{11})$,
$g(v_{13}), g(v_{23}), \ldots, g(v_{13})$,
$g(v_{15}), g(v_{25}), \ldots, g(v_{15})$,

$\vdots$

$g(v_{1(s-2)}), g(v_{2(s-2)}), \ldots, g(v_{t(s-2)})$,
$g(v_{1s}), g(v_{2s}), \ldots, g(v_{1s})$,
$g(v_{tk}), g(v_{(t-1)k}), \ldots, g(v_{1k})$,
$g(v_{t(k-2)}), g(v_{(t-1)(k-2)}), \ldots, g(v_{1(k-2)})$,

$\vdots$

$g(v_{t4}), g(v_{(t-1)4}), \ldots, g(v_{14})$,
$g(v_{t2}), g(v_{(t-1)2}), \ldots, g(v_{12})$,

where $s = \begin{cases} dn, & \text{if } dn + 1 \text{ is even} \\ dn + 1, & \text{if } dn + 1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$,

$k = \begin{cases} dn + 1, & \text{if } dn + 1 \text{ is even} \\ dn, & \text{if } dn + 1 \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$

then the above sequence forms a strictly increasing sequence. Hence the vertex labels of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ are distinct.

From the above arrangement of vertex labels observe that

$max\{g(u)/u \in V_1, 1 \leq i \leq t\} = g(v_{t(dn)})$

$< min\{g(v)/v \in V_2, 1 \leq i \leq t\} = g(v_{t(dn+1)})$, when $dn + 1$ is even;

while when $dn + 1$ is odd, $max\{g(u)/u \in V_1, 1 \leq i \leq t\} = g(v_{t(dn+1)})$

$< min\{g(v)/v \in V_2, 1 \leq i \leq t\} = g(v_{t(dn)})$.

We prove that the edge labels of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ are distinct depending on $d = 1$ and $d > 1$.

**Case 1.** $d = 1$

When $n$ is even, the edges of the graph $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ can be arranged as the following sequence,

$(v_{12}v_{11}, v_{12}v_{21}, v_{11}v_{22}, v_{21}v_{22}, v_{31}v_{22}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)1}v_{i2}, v_{i1}v_{i2}, v_{(i+1)1}v_{i2}, \ldots, v_{(t-1)(n+1)1}v_{tn}, v_{tn}v_{t(n+1)})$.

When $n$ is odd, the edges of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ can be arranged as the following sequence,

$(v_{12}v_{11}, v_{12}v_{21}, v_{11}v_{22}, v_{21}v_{22}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)1}v_{i2}, v_{i1}v_{i2}, v_{(i+1)1}v_{i2}, \ldots, v_{(t-1)1}v_{t(n+1)}, v_{tn}v_{t(n+1)})$.

Then from the definition of $g$ for both the cases we have the corresponding edge label sequence,

$(N, N - 1, N - 2, \ldots, 3, 2, 1)$.

Hence, it is clear that the edge labels are distinct.

Therefore, when $d = 1$, $g$ is a 1-divisible $\alpha$-labeling of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$. That is, $g$ is an $\alpha$-labeling of the graph $S_t(P_{dn+1})$.

**Case 2.** $d > 1$

In order to show that the edge labels of the edges of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ are distinct, we partition the edge set of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ into $d$ subsets of the edge set of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ and they are arranged as $d$ sequences. Consequently, their corresponding edge labels are also arranged as $d$ sequences.
Then from the definition of $v$ we have the corresponding edge label sequence,

$$S_1 : (N, N - 1, N - 2, \ldots, (d - 1)(3t - 2)n + d - 1, (d - 1)(3t - 2)n + d).$$

When $n$ is even then we consider the second edge sequence to be the following sequence

$$\left(v_{1(n+2)}v_{1(n+1)}, v_{1(n+2)}v_{2(n+1)}, v_{1(n+1)}v_{2(n+2)}, v_{2(n+1)}v_{2(n+2)}, v_{3(n+1)}v_{2(n+2)}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)(n+1)}v_{i(n+2)}, v_{i(n+1)}v_{i(n+2)}, \ldots, v_{(t-1)(2n+1)}v_{(2n+1)}, v_{(2n)}v_{(2n+1)}\right).$$

When $n$ is odd then we consider the first edge sequence to be the following sequence

$$\left(v_{1(n+2)}v_{1(n+1)}, v_{1(n+2)}v_{2(n+1)}, v_{1(n+2)}v_{2(n+1)}, v_{2(n+2)}v_{2(n+1)}, v_{3(n+2)}v_{2(n+1)}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)(n+2)}v_{i(n+1)}, v_{i(n+2)}v_{i(n+1)}, v_{i(n+2)}v_{i(n+1)}, \ldots, v_{(t-1)(2n+1)}v_{(2n+1)}, v_{(2n)}v_{(2n+1)}\right).$$

Then from the definition of $g$ for both the cases we have the corresponding edge label sequence,

$$S_2 : ((d - 1)(3t - 2)n + d - 2, (d - 1)(3t - 2)n + d - 3, \ldots, (d - 2)(3t - 2)n + d, (d - 2)(3t - 2)n + d - 1).$$

When $n$ is even then we consider the third edge sequence to be the following sequence

$$\left(v_{1(2n+2)}v_{1(2n+1)}, v_{1(2n+2)}v_{2(2n+1)}, v_{1(2n+2)}v_{2(2n+2)}, v_{2(2n+1)}v_{2(2n+2)}, v_{3(2n+1)}v_{2(2n+2)}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)(2n+2)}v_{(2n+2)}, v_{i(2n+1)}v_{i(2n+2)}, v_{(i+1)(2n+1)}v_{(2n+2)}, \ldots, v_{(t-1)(3n+1)}v_{(3n)}, v_{(3n)}v_{(3n+1)}\right).$$

When $n$ is odd then we consider the first edge sequence to be the following sequence

$$\left(v_{1(2n+2)}v_{1(2n+1)}, v_{1(2n+2)}v_{2(2n+1)}, v_{1(2n+2)}v_{2(2n+2)}, v_{2(2n+1)}v_{2(2n+2)}, v_{3(2n+1)}v_{2(2n+2)}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)(2n+2)}v_{(2n+2)}, v_{i(2n+1)}v_{i(2n+2)}, v_{(i+1)(2n+1)}v_{(2n+2)}, \ldots, v_{(t-1)(3n)}v_{(3n+1)}, v_{(3n)}v_{(3n+1)}\right).$$

Then from the definition of $g$ for both the cases we have the corresponding edge label sequence,

$$S_3 : ((d - 2)(3t - 2)n + d - 3, (d - 2)(3t - 2)n + d - 4, \ldots, (d - 3)(3t - 2)n + d - 1, (d - 3)(3t - 2)n + d).$$

In general, we consider the $j^{th}$ edge sequence, for $4 \leq j \leq d - 2$ depending on $n$ and $j$.

**Case i.** $n$ is even or $n$ is odd and $j$ is even

Then we consider the $j^{th}$ edge sequence to be the following sequence

$$\left(v_{1(jn+2)}v_{1(jn+1)}, v_{1(jn+2)}v_{2(jn+1)}, v_{1(jn+1)}v_{2(jn+2)}, v_{2(jn+1)}v_{2(jn+2)}, v_{3(jn+1)}v_{2(jn+2)}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)(jn+2)}v_{i(jn+2)}, v_{i(jn+1)}v_{i(jn+2)}, v_{(i+1)(jn+1)}v_{i(jn+2)}, \ldots, v_{(t-1)(jn+1)+1}v_{((j+1)n+1)}\right).$$

**Case ii.** $n$ and $j$ are odd

Then we consider the $j^{th}$ edge sequence to be the following sequence

$$\left(v_{1(jn+1)}v_{1(jn+2)}, v_{1(jn+2)}v_{2(jn+1)}, v_{1(jn+2)}v_{2(jn+1)}, v_{2(jn+2)}v_{2(jn+1)}, v_{3(jn+2)}v_{2(jn+1)}, \ldots, v_{(i-1)(jn+2)}v_{i(jn+2)}, v_{i(jn+2)}v_{i(jn+2)}, v_{(i+1)(jn+1)}v_{i(jn+2)}, \ldots, v_{(t-1)(jn+1)}v_{((j+1)n+1)}\right).$$

Then from the definition of $g$ for all the above cases we have the corresponding edge label sequence,

$$S_j : ((d - j)(3t - 2)n + d - (j + 1), (d - j)(3t - 2)n + d - (j + 2), (d - j)(3t - 2)n + d - (j + 3), \ldots, (d - (j + 1))(3t - 2)n + d - (j + 2), (d - (j + 1))(3t - 2)n + d - (j + 1), (d - (j + 1))(3t - 2)n + d - j).$$

Now we consider the $(d - 1)^{th}$ edge sequence depending on $n$ is even or odd.
Case I. $n$ is even
Then we consider the $(d - 1)^{th}$ edge sequence to be the following sequence
\[ v_1((d-2)n+2)v_1((d-2)n+1), v_1((d-2)n+2)v_2((d-2)n+1), v_1((d-2)n+1)v_2(2((d-2)n+1), v_2((d-2)n+2),\]
\[ v_3((d-2)n+1)v_2((d-2)n+2),\ldots, v_{(t-1)}((d-1)n+1)v_t((d-1)n), v_t((d-1)n)v_t((d-1)n+1) \].

Case II. $n$ is odd
Then we consider the $(d - 1)^{th}$ edge sequence in the following subcases depending on $d - 1$ is even or odd.

Case IIa. $d - 1$ is even
Then we consider the $(d - 1)^{th}$ edge sequence to be the following sequence
\[ (v_1((d-2)n+1)v_1((d-2)n+2), v_1((d-2)n+1)v_2((d-2)n+2), v_1((d-2)n+1)v_2((d-2)n+1), v_1((d-2)n+2)v_2((d-2)n+1),\]
\[ v_3((d-2)n+1)v_2((d-2)n+2),\ldots, v_{(t-1)}((d-1)n+1)v_t((d-1)n), v_t((d-1)n)v_t((d-1)n+1) \].

Case IIb. $d - 1$ is odd
Then we consider the $(d - 1)^{th}$ edge sequence to be the following sequence
\[ v_1((d-2)n+2)v_1((d-2)n+1), v_1((d-2)n+2)v_2((d-2)n+1), v_1((d-2)n+1)v_2((d-2)n+2), v_1((d-2)n+1)v_2((d-2)n+1),\]
\[ v_3((d-2)n+1)v_2((d-2)n+2),\ldots, v_{(t-1)}((d-1)n+1)v_t((d-1)n), v_t((d-1)n)v_t((d-1)n+1) \].

Then from the definition of $g$ for all the above cases we have the corresponding edge label sequence,
\[ S_{d-1} : 2(3t - 2)n + 1, 2(3t - 2)n, 2(3t - 2)n - 1, \ldots, (3t - 2)n + 3, (3t - 2)n + 2. \]
Finally, we consider the $d^{th}$ edge sequence depending on $n$ is even or odd.

Case 1. $n$ is even
Then we consider the $d^{th}$ edge sequence to be the following sequence
\[ (v_1((d-1)n+2)v_1((d-1)n+1), v_1((d-1)n+2)v_2((d-1)n+1), v_1((d-1)n+1)v_2((d-1)n+2), v_1((d-1)n+1)v_2((d-1)n+2),\]
\[ v_3((d-1)n+1)v_2((d-1)n+2),\ldots, v_{(t-1)}((d-1)n+1)v_t((d-1)n), v_t((d-1)n)v_t((d-1)n+1) \].

Case 2. $n$ is odd
Then we consider the $d^{th}$ edge sequence in the following subcases depending on $d$ is even or odd.

Case 2a. $d$ is even
Then we consider the $d^{th}$ edge sequence to be the following sequence
\[ v_1((d-1)n+1)v_1((d-1)n+2), v_1((d-1)n+1)v_2((d-1)n+2), v_1((d-1)n+2)v_2((d-1)n+1), v_2((d-1)n+2)v_2((d-1)n+2),\]
\[ v_3((d-1)n+1)v_2((d-1)n+2),\ldots, v_{(t-1)}((d-1)n)v_t((d-1)n), v_t((d-1)n)v_t((d-1)n+1) \].

Case 2b. $d$ is odd
Then we consider the $d^{th}$ edge sequence to be the following sequence
\[ v_1((d-1)n+2)v_1((d-1)n+1), v_1((d-1)n+2)v_2((d-1)n+1), v_1((d-1)n+1)v_2((d-1)n+2), v_2((d-1)n+1)v_2((d-1)n+2),\]
\[ v_3((d-1)n+1)v_2((d-1)n+2),\ldots, v_{(t-1)}((d-1)n)v_t((d-1)n), v_t((d-1)n)v_t((d-1)n+1) \].

Then from the definition of $g$ for all the above cases we have the corresponding edge label sequence,
\[ S_d : (3t - 2)n, (3t - 2)n - 1, (3t - 2)n - 2, \ldots, 3, 2, 1. \]

Using all the above defined edge label sequences $S_1, S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_j, \ldots, S_{d-1}, S_d$, we form a combined edge label sequence in the order as $S : (S_1, S_2, S_3, \ldots, S_j, \ldots, S_{d-1}, S_d)$. 
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Then we observe that $S$ forms a monotonically decreasing sequence. Also observe that none of the terms $(d - 1)((3t - 2)n + 1)$, $(d - 2)((3t - 2)n + 1)$, \ldots, $3((3t - 2)n + 1)$, $2((3t - 2)n + 1)$, $(3t - 2)n + 1$ appear in the combined sequence $S$. Thus, $g$ is a $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling of $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ for any admissible $d > 1$. Therefore the graph $S_t(P_{dn+1})$ admits $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeling for any admissible $d$.

**Illustration**

The 4-divisible $\alpha$-labeling, 3-divisible $\alpha$-labeling and 2-divisible $\alpha$-labeling that are defined as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 for the graphs $S_4(P_5)$, $S_4(P_{10})$, $S_4(P_6)$ are given in Figures 3, 4, 5 respectively.

![Figure 3: 4-divisible $\alpha$-labeling of $S_4(P_5)$](image1)

![Figure 4: 3-divisible $\alpha$-labeling of $S_4(P_{10})$](image2)
The following corollary is an immediate implication of Anita Pasotti’s theorem, Theorem 1.6.

**Corollary 3.2.** The multipartite graph $K_{(\frac{t}{2}+1)\times 2dm}$ can be cyclically decomposed into copies of the $t$-levels shadow graph of the path $P_{dn+1}$, $S_t(P_{dn+1})$, where $e = |E(S_t(P_{dn+1}))|$, $t \geq 2$, $d \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ and $m$ is any positive integer.

### 4 Discussion

In this section we pose two open problems for further research.

In Theorem 2.1 we have proved that for $t \geq 2$, disjoint union of $t$ copies of the complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ plus an edge, $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} K_{m,n}^i) + e$ admits $\gamma$-labeling. In this direction investigating the following question will be useful for achieving a generalised result.

**Is it true that disjoint union of $t$ copies of an $\alpha$-labeled graph $G$ plus an edge, $t \geq 2$, admits $\gamma$-labeling?**

In Theorem 3.1 we have proved that for $t \geq 2$, the $t$-levels shadow graph of the path $P_{dn+1}$ with $d \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ admits $d$ divisible $\alpha$-labeling for all $d \geq 1$. It is evident that the path $P_{dn+1}$ admits $\alpha$-labeling for all $d \geq 1, n \geq 1$. This observation tempts us to ask the following question to understand $d$-divisible $\alpha$-labeled graphs.

**What are the $\alpha$-labeled graphs whose $t$-levels shadow graph admits $d$ divisible $\alpha$-labeling for all values of $d$?**
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