High-Density Genetic Linkage Map Construction and QTL Mapping of Grain Shape and Size in the Wheat Population Yanda1817 × Beinong6

Qiu-Hong Wu1, Yong-Xing Chen1, Sheng-Hui Zhou1, Lin Fu1, Jiao-Jiao Chen1, Yao Xiao1, Dong Zhang1, Shu-Hong Ouyang1, Xiao-Jie Zhao1, Yu Cui1, De-Yun Zhang1, Yong Liang1, Zhen-Zhong Wang1, Jing-Zhong Xie1, Jin-Xia Qin1, Guo-Xin Wang1, De-Lin Li1, Yin-Lian Hu1, Mei-Hua Yu1, Ping Lu1, Li-Li Wang1, Ling Wang1, Hao Wang1, Chen Dang1, Jie Li1, Yan Zhang1, Hui-Ru Peng1, Cheng-Guo Yuan1, Ming-Shan You1, Qi-Xin Sun1, Ji-Rui Wang2,3, Li-Xin Wang4, Ming-Cheng Luo2, Jun Han5*, Zhi-Yong Liu1*

1 State Key Laboratory for Agrobiotechnology / Department of Plant Genetics & Breeding, China Agricultural University, Beijing 100193, China, 2 Department of Plant Sciences, University of California at Davis, Davis 95616, United States of America, 3 Triticeae Research Institute, Sichuan Agricultural University, Wenjiang, Chengdu, Sichuan 611130, China, 4 Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Beijing 100197, China, 5 Beijing University of Agriculture, Beijing 102206, China

Current address: Gaoyi Stock Seed Farm, Gaoyi 051330, China

* zhiyongliu@cau.edu.cn (ZYL); hanjun4226@163.com (JH)

Abstract

High-density genetic linkage maps are necessary for precisely mapping quantitative trait loci (QTLs) controlling grain shape and size in wheat. By applying the Infinium iSelect 9K SNP assay, we have constructed a high-density genetic linkage map with 269 F8 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) developed between a Chinese cornerstone wheat breeding parental line Yanda1817 and a high-yielding line Beinong6. The map contains 2431 SNPs and 128 SSR & EST-SSR markers in a total coverage of 3213.2 cM with an average interval of 1.26 cM per marker. Eighty-eight QTLs for thousand-grain weight (TGW), grain length (GL), grain width (GW) and grain thickness (GT) were detected in nine ecological environments (Beijing, Shijiazhuang and Kaifeng) during five years between 2010–2014 by inclusive composite interval mapping (ICIM) (LOD ≥2.5). Among which, 17 QTLs for TGW were mapped on chromosomes 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 3D, 4A, 4D, 5A, 5B and 6B with phenotypic variations ranging from 2.62% to 12.08%. Four stable QTLs for TGW could be detected in five and seven environments, respectively. Thirty-two QTLs for GL were mapped on chromosomes 1B, 1D, 2A, 2B, 3B, 3D, 4A, 4B, 4D, 5A, 5B, 6B, 7A and 7B, with phenotypic variations ranging from 2.62% to 44.39%. QGl.caau-2A can be detected in all the environments with the largest phenotypic variations, indicating that it is a major and stable QTL. For GW, 12 QTLs were identified with phenotypic variations ranging from 3.69% to 12.08%. Four stable QTLs for GW could be detected in five and seven environments, respectively. Thirty-two QTLs for GT were mapped on chromosomes 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3B, 3D, 4A, 4B, 4D, 5A, 5B, 6B, 7A and 7B, with phenotypic variations ranging from 2.62% to 44.39%. QGt.caau-5A.1 with phenotypic variations of 6.82–23.59% was detected in all the nine environments. Moreover, pleiotropic effects were detected for
several QTL loci responsible for grain shape and size that could serve as target regions for fine mapping and marker assisted selection in wheat breeding programs.

Introduction

Wheat is the third highest producing cereal crop after maize and rice, and is the leading sources of vegetable protein in human food. The demand for wheat in the developing world is projected to increase by 60% by 2050 while production is expected to be affected negatively by climate change and natural resource depletion (FAO). Wheat is a staple food used to make flour for different kinds of products. Grain weight and size are the targets for breeding, not merely because they are the major components of grain yield, but also due to their impacts on milling and baking quality [1]. Moreover, grain size can partially explain the process of crop domestication [2].

Grain weight and size are complex quantitative traits controlled by a number of genes and significantly influenced by the environment. The grain weight and size can be divided into a number of components including thousand grain weight (TGW), grain length (GL), grain width (GW), and grain thickness (GT), etc. [3–7]. Previous studies have proved that TGW has high heritability values and is phenotypically the most stable yield component [8].

Monosomic and QTL analyses have been used to identify wheat genomic regions associated with grain weight and shape [3–12]. Röder et al. also reported fine genetic mapping of a grain weight QTL at the telomeric region of chromosome 7DS [13]. Up to date, no gene/QTL associated with grain shape and size has been cloned in wheat via a map-based cloning approach. However, some QTLs for grain size and weight in wheat were associated with the orthologs of rice grain traits QTLs, including TaCwi-1A [14], TaSus2–2B [15], TaGw2–6A [6], TaCKX6-D1 [16], TaSap1-A1 [17], TaGS1–6D [18] and TaLsu1 [19].

Genetic dissection of grain weight and size in bread wheat, however, is greatly hampered by an enormous genome size (~17Gb), complex genomes (allohexploid, 2n = 42, AABBDD), and prevalence of repetitive DNA. A well-saturated genetic linkage map is a powerful tool to dissect the genetic elements responsible for grain weight and size. Both restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLP) and simple sequence repeats (SSR) have been used in linkage map construction, and an increasing number of QTL studies have been conducted in attempts to analysis the genetic basis of grain weight and grain size in wheat [5,7,20–27]. However, RFLP markers have shown very low levels of polymorphism between wheat cultivars, although they are co-dominant and highly reliable in nature. In contrast, SSR markers reveal a higher level polymorphism in wheat but it is very laborious to construct high-density genetic linkage maps. The need for studies of complex traits with very high density genetic linkage maps and progress in polymorphism detection and genotyping techniques has promoted the recent development of single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) markers in wheat. Meanwhile, next generation sequencing technology makes it possible to find more SNPs between wheat cultivars. The wheat Infinium iSelect 9k SNP genotyping assay was developed based on transcriptomes of 26 accessions of hexaploid wheat generated using Roche 454 and Illumina platforms [28].

In this paper, we report: 1) construction of an integrated SNP and SSR high-density genetic linkage map using Yanda1817/Beinong6 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) and an Illumina Infinium 9k SNP chip, and 2) QTL mapping of TGW, GL, GW and GT traits controlling grain shape and size in common wheat.
Materials and Methods

Ethics Statement

No specific permission was required for the study. The field studies did not involve endangered or protected species.

Plant Materials and Field Trials

Yanda1817, a pure line derivative of wheat landrace Pingyao Xiaobaimai from Shanxi Province, was one of the ‘cornerstone parental’ breeding lines for the Northern China Winter Breeding Program between 1950–1960. Yanda1817 is highly tolerance to drought, winter hardness and poor soil fertility, and has very strong tillering ability and taller plant height. More than fifty registered wheat cultivars, mostly grown in the Northern Winter Wheat Zone of China, have been generated from Yanda1817 in different breeding programs [29]. Beinong6 is a semi-dwarf high-yielding 1B/1R derivative released in the 1990s by Beijing University of Agriculture. Beinong6 consistently has larger grain size and higher kernel weight than Yanda1817. Recombinant inbred lines (RILs) of Yanda1817/Beinong6 were selected for high-density linkage map construction and QTL mapping because the RIL populations are known to be segregating widely for agronomic traits, such as plant height, yield and presence/absence of awns.

The mapping population for QTL analysis comprised 269 F8 to F12 recombinant inbred lines (RILs) derived from Yanda1817/Beinong6 by single seed descent. Compared to Yanda1817, Beinong6 shows a higher TGW and larger grain size.

Yanda1817, Beinong6 and the 269 RILs were grown in Beijing (BJ, E116.10, N40.08), Shijiazhuang (HB, E114.36, N37.38) and Kaifeng (HN, E114.23, N34.52) (S1 Fig.) from 2010–2014 at nine environments (E1–E9) for phenotype evaluations, viz., Beijing 2010 (E1), Beijing 2011 (E2), Shijiazhuang 2011 (E3), Beijing 2012 (E4), Shijiazhuang 2012 (E5), Beijing 2013 (E6), Shijiazhuang 2013 (E7), Beijing 2014 (E8) and Kaifeng 2014 (E9). Beijing (Northern Winter Wheat Zone), Shijiazhuang and Kaifeng (Yellow and Huai River Valleys Facultative Wheat Zone) represent two different wheat growing agro-climatic regions in China. The trials were performed in a randomized complete block design, and each treatment contains three replicates except for E1 and E2 which had one replicate. Each plot had two rows that were 2 m long and 25 cm wide and 30 seeds were evenly planted in each row. Field management was the same as commonly practiced in wheat production.

Testing of Grain Traits

From the center of the rows, ten representational plants were selected to harvest as samples for measuring TGW in grams, and GL, GW and GT in millimeters. The seeds were fully cleaned and dried and broken grains were removed before trait evaluations. TGW was recorded using an electronic balance to determine the average weight of two (E1, E2, E3, E8, E9) or three (E4, E5, E6, E7) independent samples of 100 grains. GL, GW and GT were measured for 10 random grains from each RIL for each replication using vernier calipers. Trait values of each year-location combination (defined as one environment) were used for QTL analysis.

Statistical Analysis

The broad sense heritability (H = VG/VP) of each trait was estimated from the components of variance from ANOVA. The correlation coefficients (r) between pairs of all four traits were calculated using SPSS. 20.
DNA Extraction
Genomic DNA was extracted from two week old leaf tissue of Yanda1817, Beinong6 and each RIL using the cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method [30]. DNA was quantified using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis with λ DNA as the standard.

SSR and EST-SSR Genotyping
Genomic SSR and EST-SSR markers (Xcau) were screened for polymorphisms between Yada1817 and Beinong6. Primer sequences for the Beltsville Agricultural Research Center (BARC), Gatersleben wheat microsatellite (GWM), Wheat Microsatellite Consortium (WMC), INRA Clermont-Ferrand (CFA, CFD) and Gatersleben D-genome microsatellite (GDM) were obtained from the Grain Genes website (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/GG2/index.shtml) and public available information [31–33]. EST-SSR markers were developed according to flanking sequences of microsatellite motifs in wheat ESTs deposited in public EST databases. The polymorphic markers were used to genotype the RIL population. The PCR reactions were performed with an ABI9700 in a total volume of 10 μL containing 10 mM Tris-Hcl, pH 7.5, 50 mM MgCl₂, 0.2 mM dNTP, 25 ng of each primer, 0.75 U of Taq polymerase, and 50 ng of genomic DNA as the template. After an initial denaturing step for 5 min at 94°C, 35 cycles were performed for 45 s at 94°C, 55–60°C (depending on the specific primers) for 45 s, and 72°C for 70 s, with a final extension at 72°C for 10 min. PCR products were separated in 8% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gels, visualized by silver staining and photographed.

Infinium iSelect SNP Genotyping
A total of 9,000 SNPs were selected based on their distribution across genome and frequency in the discovery population [28]. SNP genotyping was performed on the BeadStation and iScan instruments and conducted at the Genome Center of the University of California at Davis according to the manufacturer’s protocols (Illumina). Single nucleotide polymorphism allele clustering and genotype calling was performed with GenomeStudio v2011.1 software as described in Cavanagh et al. [28]. A genotype calling algorithm was generated for bread wheat using an iterative process to account for observed shifts in SNP allele cluster positions caused by differences in the number of duplicated (homeologous and paralogous) gene copies detected between assays [28, 34].

High Density Linkage Map Construction and QTL Analysis
The linkage map was constructed with MultiPoint software and MAPMAKER/EXP version 3.0 [35] with a minimum LOD of 3.0 and maximum recombination fraction of 0.372. The Kosambi mapping function was used to convert the recombination frequencies into centiMorgan (cM) map distance [36] and the genetic linkage maps were constructed using software Map Draw V2.1 [37]. Co-segregating markers were regarded as a polymorphic locus. QTL analysis was performed with inclusive composite interval mapping by IciMapping 3.2/4.0 based on stepwise regression of simultaneous consideration of all marker information (http://www.isbreeding.net/). The ‘Deletion’ command was used to accommodate the missing phenotypes and the step size chosen was 1.0 cM. A QTL was claimed to be significant at an LOD value of 2.5.
Results
Phenotypic Variation and Correlation Analysis
Field trials were conducted at Beijing, Shijiazhuang and Kaifeng under different agro-climatic conditions for five continuous years (2010–2014 in 9 total environments) to evaluate TGW, GL, GW and GT variation amongst the two parents (Yanda1817 and Beinong6) and the RIL populations. Beinong6 consistently showed higher values than Yanda1817 for all the grain traits tested in 9 environments (Table 1; S1 Table). The frequency distributions of the investigated traits revealed continuous variations and transgressive segregation in the RIL populations, suggesting that the phenotypic data of TGW, GL, GW and GT are normally distributed and that the traits are controlled by multiple loci. The heritability frequencies for TGW, GL, GW and GT are 85.58%, 95.72%, 88.22% and 91.78%, respectively, indicating that the grain shape and size are stable and are mainly under genetic control (Table 1; S1 Table).

Correlation coefficients (r) among the TGW, GL, GW and GT traits in different environments were calculated. All the four traits showed significant positive correlations with each other (significant at P = 0.01) (Table 2). The highest positive correlation was observed between TGW and GW (r = 0.796), followed by TGW and GT (r = 0.761). The correlation between GL and GW was very weak (r = 0.204), as well as between GL and GT (r = 0.210).

Genetic Linkage Map Construction
Out of 500 genomic SSR and EST-SSR primer pairs screened, 150 polymorphic markers were selected for RIL genotyping. Out of 8632 designated and validated SNPs in the 9k Infinium chip, 2873 SNPs were polymorphic between the parental lines Yanda1817 and Beinong6, as well as the RIL populations. Based on the 90K SNP consensus map [34] and after removing ambiguous and unlinked markers, the final genetic linkage map consists of 128 SSR, EST-SSR and 2431 SNP markers (mapped in 1062 polymorphic loci) that covered all the 21 wheat chromosomes (Table 3; S2 Table). Chromosomes 4A, 7B and 7D were integrated by two linkage groups, respectively. The entire map spanned 3213.2 cM including nine gaps (>30cM) distributed on chromosomes 1D, 2D, 3A, 3D, 6A and 7D. However, the number of markers on each chromosome was uneven, ranging from 5 on 4D to 329 on 5B. The genetic coverage of each chromosome varied from 19.1 cM (4D) to 292.9 cM (5A). All together, the markers mapping on the B genome (1301) were greatly more than those on the A genome (1093), and considerably fewer markers (165) mapped on the D genome. Only the long arm was mapped for chromosome 1B which is consistent with the fact that Beinong6 is a 1BL/1RS translocation line (data not shown). However, only a 41.3 cM genetic coverage was found for the centromere region of chromosome 1AL, and this was far below the average coverage of the A genome chromosomes (Table 3; S2 Table). No polymorphic SNPs was identified between Yanda1817 and Beinong6 for chromosome 1AS and the distal region of 1AL after checking the SNP mapping data [28, 34]. The possible reason for this may be that the chromosome regions of 1AS and the distal regions of 1AL have the same genetic backgrounds between Yanda1817 and Beinong6.

Marker Density
The marker density of the individual chromosomes ranged from 0.72 cM/marker for 1A to 17.83 cM/marker for 3D with an average marker density of 1.26 cM/marker in the genetic map of Yanda1817/Beinong6 (Table 3). More markers were mapped on the A and B subgenomes with a similar marker density of 1.09 and 1.06 cM/marker, while fewer markers mapped on the D subgenome which had a density of 3.91 cM/marker. Most of the gaps were found in the D genome. For example, 7 gaps (>30cM) were identified in chromosome 1D, 2D, 3D, 6D and 7D.
addition to the gaps, 40 marker clusters (≥10 makers at one locus) were spreaded over chromosomes 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 4A, 4B, 5A, 5B, 6A, 6B, 6D and 7A (S2 Table). The largest marker cluster was found on chromosome 5B, which contains 63 markers, while only 6 clusters mapped on chromosome 2B. To facilitate data analysis of the 2559 markers mapping in the 1062 loci, only one marker was selected from each locus for QTL mapping. The average locus

Table 1. Phenotypic performance and distribution parameters for grain traits of parents and RILs in nine environments.

| Trait | Env. | Yanda1817 | Beinong6 | RIL Min. | RIL Max. | RIL average | SD | H (%) |
|-------|------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|-------------|----|-------|
| TGW (g) | E1 | 23.00 | 39.00 | 15.00 | 46.00 | 29.84 | 5.78 | 85.58 |
|       | E2 | 29.20 | 51.90 | 18.95 | 52.65 | 32.58 | 6.05 |        |
|       | E3 | 28.60 | 44.08 | 21.68 | 48.48 | 35.27 | 5.19 |        |
|       | E4 | 30.63 | 52.46 | 27.83 | 55.32 | 41.75 | 5.23 |        |
|       | E5 | 26.50 | 46.33 | 20.28 | 49.30 | 34.89 | 4.81 |        |
|       | E6 | 22.92 | 42.98 | 17.37 | 44.93 | 29.51 | 5.49 |        |
|       | E7 | 31.83 | 45.03 | 22.27 | 48.63 | 34.10 | 4.35 |        |
|       | E8 | 28.28 | 47.13 | 24.32 | 47.84 | 34.70 | 4.47 |        |
|       | E9 | 31.60 | 52.60 | 22.40 | 54.02 | 37.48 | 5.51 |        |
| GL (mm) | E1 | 6.13 | 6.70 | 5.10 | 7.12 | 6.01 | 0.35 | 95.72 |
|       | E2 | 6.00 | 6.60 | 5.16 | 7.29 | 6.28 | 0.36 |        |
|       | E3 | 5.86 | 6.34 | 5.40 | 6.84 | 6.14 | 0.28 |        |
|       | E4 | 6.25 | 6.77 | 5.62 | 7.33 | 6.60 | 0.30 |        |
|       | E5 | 6.15 | 6.54 | 5.51 | 7.17 | 6.28 | 0.29 |        |
|       | E6 | 6.07 | 6.94 | 5.62 | 7.22 | 6.40 | 0.30 |        |
|       | E7 | 6.19 | 6.78 | 5.49 | 7.10 | 6.35 | 0.28 |        |
|       | E8 | 6.32 | 6.65 | 5.74 | 7.09 | 6.40 | 0.25 |        |
|       | E9 | 6.05 | 6.98 | 5.62 | 7.14 | 6.38 | 0.27 |        |
| GW (mm) | E1 | 2.65 | 3.30 | 2.02 | 3.55 | 2.93 | 0.24 | 88.22 |
|       | E2 | 2.76 | 3.28 | 2.44 | 3.74 | 2.94 | 0.23 |        |
|       | E3 | 2.67 | 3.11 | 2.62 | 3.54 | 3.08 | 0.16 |        |
|       | E4 | 3.16 | 3.62 | 2.97 | 3.78 | 3.40 | 0.14 |        |
|       | E5 | 2.91 | 3.53 | 2.70 | 3.70 | 3.24 | 0.15 |        |
|       | E6 | 2.68 | 3.26 | 2.47 | 3.42 | 2.96 | 0.19 |        |
|       | E7 | 3.05 | 3.66 | 2.72 | 3.68 | 3.19 | 0.17 |        |
|       | E8 | 3.04 | 3.33 | 2.75 | 4.06 | 3.18 | 0.16 |        |
|       | E9 | 3.04 | 3.56 | 2.74 | 3.89 | 3.26 | 0.19 |        |
| GT (mm) | E1 | 2.45 | 3.06 | 2.10 | 3.27 | 2.70 | 0.22 | 91.78 |
|       | E2 | 2.56 | 3.11 | 1.50 | 3.48 | 2.65 | 0.25 |        |
|       | E3 | 2.38 | 2.73 | 2.30 | 3.28 | 2.76 | 0.18 |        |
|       | E4 | 2.71 | 3.25 | 2.52 | 3.60 | 3.04 | 0.18 |        |
|       | E5 | 2.53 | 3.20 | 2.36 | 3.46 | 2.85 | 0.19 |        |
|       | E6 | 2.48 | 3.10 | 2.21 | 3.18 | 2.71 | 0.17 |        |
|       | E7 | 2.61 | 3.26 | 2.24 | 3.26 | 2.73 | 0.17 |        |
|       | E8 | 2.65 | 2.95 | 2.28 | 3.20 | 2.73 | 0.15 |        |
|       | E9 | 2.57 | 3.18 | 2.35 | 3.41 | 2.81 | 0.16 |        |

E1, E2, E3, E4, E5, E6, E7, E8 and E9 represent Beijing 2010, Beijing 2011, Shijiazhuang 2011, Beijing 2012, Shijiazhuang 2012, Beijing 2013, Shijiazhuang 2013, Beijing 2014 and Kaifeng 2014, respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118144.t001
Table 2. Correlation coefficients between TGW, KL, GW, and GT in the RIL population in different environments.

| Env. | Year | Location      | TGW-GL | TGW-GW | TGW-GT | GL-GW | GL-GT | GW-GT |
|------|------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| E1   | 2010 | Beijing       | 0.499**| 0.629**| 0.572**| 0.422**| 0.434**| 0.768**|
| E2   | 2011 | Beijing       | 0.497**| 0.730**| 0.733**| 0.399**| 0.508**| 0.742**|
| E3   | 2011 | Shijiazhuang  | 0.430**| 0.755**| 0.787**| 0.172**| 0.200**| 0.635**|
| E4   | 2012 | Beijing       | 0.634**| 0.642**| 0.696**| 0.222**| 0.207**| 0.408**|
| E5   | 2012 | Shijiazhuang  | 0.533**| 0.755**| 0.719**| 0.256**| 0.156**| 0.535**|
| E6   | 2013 | Beijing       | 0.570**| 0.751**| 0.696**| 0.237**| 0.291**| 0.515**|
| E7   | 2013 | Shijiazhuang  | 0.463**| 0.666**| 0.566**| 0.153**| 0.131**| 0.649**|
| E8   | 2014 | Beijing       | 0.392**| 0.456**| 0.596**| 0.034  | 0.120* | 0.574**|
| E9   | 2014 | Kaifeng       | 0.607**| 0.757**| 0.682**| 0.307**| 0.398**| 0.590**|
| Average |    |               | 0.553**| 0.796**| 0.761**| 0.204**| 0.210**| 0.595**|

* and ** indicate significance levels at the P = 0.05 and 0.01 (2-tailed), respectively.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118144.t002

Table 3. Distribution of markers and marker density across chromosomes in the common wheat map developed in Yanda1817 × Beinong6 RILs population.

| Chromosome | No. of markers | No. of loci | Map distance (cM) | Map density (cM/marker) | Map density (cM/locus) |
|------------|----------------|-------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 1A         | 57             | 28          | 41.3              | 0.72                    | 1.48                   |
| 2A         | 234            | 93          | 187.0             | 0.80                    | 2.01                   |
| 3A         | 156            | 60          | 214.2             | 1.37                    | 3.57                   |
| 4A         | 162            | 61          | 182.6             | 1.13                    | 2.99                   |
| 5A         | 219            | 91          | 292.9             | 1.34                    | 3.22                   |
| 6A         | 108            | 43          | 146.6             | 1.36                    | 3.41                   |
| 7A         | 157            | 74          | 129.3             | 0.82                    | 1.75                   |
| 1B         | 193            | 67          | 179.8             | 0.93                    | 2.68                   |
| 2B         | 230            | 60          | 173.5             | 0.75                    | 2.89                   |
| 3B         | 228            | 86          | 263.3             | 1.15                    | 3.06                   |
| 4B         | 64             | 32          | 135.4             | 2.12                    | 4.23                   |
| 5B         | 329            | 119         | 279.6             | 0.85                    | 2.35                   |
| 6B         | 159            | 68          | 120.9             | 0.76                    | 1.78                   |
| 7B         | 98             | 66          | 221.7             | 2.26                    | 3.36                   |
| 1D         | 47             | 27          | 106.9             | 2.27                    | 3.96                   |
| 2D         | 22             | 16          | 76.2              | 3.46                    | 4.76                   |
| 3D         | 10             | 10          | 178.3             | 17.83                   | 17.83                  |
| 4D         | 5              | 5           | 19.1              | 3.82                    | 3.82                   |
| 5D         | 8              | 8           | 44.3              | 5.54                    | 5.54                   |
| 6D         | 59             | 34          | 150.6             | 2.55                    | 4.43                   |
| 7D         | 14             | 14          | 69.7              | 4.98                    | 4.98                   |
| A genome   | 1093           | 450         | 1193.9            | 1.09                    | 2.65                   |
| B genome   | 1301           | 498         | 1374.2            | 1.06                    | 2.76                   |
| D genome   | 165            | 114         | 645.1             | 3.91                    | 5.66                   |
| Total      | 2559           | 1062        | 3213.2            | 1.26                    | 3.03                   |

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118144.t003
density of the genetic linkage map was 3.03 cM/locus with 2.65, 2.76 and 5.66 cM/locus for the A, B and D subgenomes, respectively (Table 3).

Segregation Distortion Regions

Of the 1062 loci mapped in the Yanda1817 / Beinong6 genetic linkage map, 328 loci (31%) demonstrated genetic distortion (Chi-Square < 0.05) in the RIL population (S3 Table). Among the segregation distortion (SD) loci, one third of them (32.9%) were distorted in favor of Beinong6 and two thirds (67.1%) favored Yanda1817. Thirty-eight SD regions (SDR, ≥3 SD loci) were distributed in the whole genome except for chromosomes 1D, 2A, 3D, 5D and 7D (S3 Table). Among the SDRs, 24 were found in the B subgenome and 10 were identified in the A subgenome. Two large SDRs, SDR-1B (55 locus) were skewed to Yanda1817 and SDR-7A.3 (43 locus) favored Beinong6. SDR-1B may result from the 1RS/1BL translocation in Beinong6.

QTL Analysis

QTL mapping analyses revealed 88 putative additive QTLs for the four grain traits with phenotypic variations of single QTL ranging from 2.55% to 44.39% in different environments, and QTLs were detected on all 21 chromosomes (Table 4; Fig. 1; S4, S5 Table). Chromosome 5A and 6B have a great number of identified QTLs, but chromosomes 1D, 2D, 5D, 6D and 7D have only one mapped QTL. Some QTLs appeared to be identical are closely linked even through the peaks were not at the same position. Thirty-nine individual QTLs (44.3% of all the QTLs) could be observed in at least two environments, of which 30 (76.9%) were associated with increased grain weight and size, through the Beinong6 alleles were mainly distributed on chromosomes 1B, 5A, 5B and 6B. Co-localized QTLs for different traits were also found on chromosomes 2B, 3B, 4A, 4D, 5A and 6B.

Seventeen QTLs for TGW were detected on chromosomes 1A, 1B, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 3D, 4A, 4D, 5A, 5B and 6B with phenotypic variations ranging from 2.62% to 12.08% (Table 4; S4 Table). Seven QTLs (QTgw.cau-1A, QTgw.cau-1B, QTgw.cau-4A.1, QTgw.cau-4D, QTgw.cau-5A.2, QTgw.cau-5B and QTgw.cau-6B.2) were identified in two environments and five QTLs, QTgw.cau-3D.1, QTgw.cau-6B.1, QTgw.cau-4A.2, QTgw.cau-6B.3 and QTgw.cau-2A, were detected in three to five environments, indicating that these QTLs are relatively stable. QTgw.cau-5A.1, which is the most stable QTL, could be found in seven environments except for E1 and E8. Only four QTLs mapped in one environment.

Thirty-two QTLs for GL mapped on chromosomes 1B, 1D, 2A, 2B, 2D, 3B, 3D, 4A, 4B, 4D, 5A, 5B, 6B, 7A and 7B. Each of these QTLs explaining the proportion of phenotypic variation ranged from 2.62% to 44.39% (Table 4; S4 Table). Among these QTLs, QGl.cau-2A.2 had the highest phenotypic variation and was the most stable QTL detected in all nine environments. QGl.cau-4B was identified in eight environments, while QGl.cau-5A.3, QGl.cau-5B.2 and QGl.cau-7A.2 were present in six environments. QGl.cau-1B.1 and QGl.cau-2B.1 were found in five environments and two QTLs, QGl.cau-3B.1 and QGl.cau-3B.2, could to be detected in four environments. Furthermore QGl.cau-1B.3, QGl.cau-5A.1, QGl.cau-6B.1, QGl.cau-6B and QGl.cau-7B.2 were observed in two or three environments and the remaining 14 QTLs were only found in one environment. Chromosome regions contributing to GL were associated with chromosomes 2A, 2B, 3B, and 4B of Yanda1817, and chromosomes 1B, 4A, 4D, 5A, 5B, 6B, 7A and 7B of Beinong6.

Twelve chromosome regions were found to be associated with GW with phenotypic variations ranging from 3.69% to 12.30% (Table 5; S4 Table). QGw.cau-5A.1 was found in seven environments with the strongest association with GW and this QTL explained up to 12.30% of
the phenotypic variation. QTL QGw.cau-6B.1 was detected in five environments, whereas QGw.cau-5A.2 and QGw.cau-7D were identified in three and two environments, respectively.

A total of twenty-seven QTLs for GT were identified on chromosomes 1A, 2A, 2B, 3A, 3B, 3D, 4A, 5A, 5B, 5D, 6A, 6B, 6D, 7A and 7B (Table 5; S4 Table). Among these, QGt.cau-5A.1, the most stable QTL locus for grain thickness and was detected in all nine environments with phenotypic variations of more than 10% in six environments. QGt.cau-3B.2 was detected in seven environments with the highest phenotypic variation of 36.42%. Three QTLs, QGt.cau-6B, QGt.cau-5A.3 and QGt.cau-7A, were expressed in five, four and three environments, respectively, while QGt.cau-3B.1, QGt.cau-4A.1 and QGt.cau-6D were observed in two environments.

Table 4. Partial stable QTLs for TGW and GL detected in the Yanda1817/Beinong6 RIL population.

| QTL    | Env. | Chr. | Position | Left Marker  | Right Marker  | LOD a | PVE (%) b | Add c | QTL Reported d |
|--------|------|------|----------|--------------|--------------|-------|-----------|-------|----------------|
| QTgw.cau-5A.1 | E2   | 5A   | 33       | wsnp_Ex_c30178_39124189 | wsnp_Ex_c5267_9318903 | 3.59  | 5.26      | -1.36 | [17], [18], [21], [35] |
|        | E9   | 5A   | 41       | Xcfa2250 | Xbarc186 | 4.88  | 7.77      | -1.52 |
|        | E6   | 5A   | 43       | Xcfa2250 | Xbarc186 | 5     | 5.78      | -1.31 |
|        | E5   | 5A   | 44       | Xbarc186 | Xgwm304 | 4.61  | 6.27      | -1.19 |
|        | E7   | 5A   | 48       | Xgwm304 | Xwmc25 | 4.29  | 6.38      | -1.09 |
|        | E3   | 5A   | 57       | wsnp_Ex_c3369_6192815 | wsnp_Ex_c7841_13337935 | 5.13  | 6.25      | -1.3  |
|        | E4   | 5A   | 59       | wsnp_Ku_c11110_18216209 | wsnp_Ku_c5071_9049540 | 4.57  | 4.32      | -1.08 |
| QGl.cau-2A.2 | E3   | 2A   | 115      | wsnp_Ex_c41168_48053629 | wsnp_Ex_c17852_26612172 | 10.08 | 8.47      | 0.08  |
|        | E4   | 2A   | 115      | wsnp_Ex_c41168_48053629 | wsnp_Ex_c17852_26612172 | 6.43  | 7.26      | 0.08  |
|        | E5   | 2A   | 115      | wsnp_Ex_c41168_48053629 | wsnp_Ex_c17852_26612172 | 9.93  | 10.74     | 0.1   |
|        | E6   | 2A   | 115      | wsnp_Ex_c41168_48053629 | wsnp_Ex_c17852_26612172 | 8.13  | 6.82      | 0.08  |
|        | E1   | 2A   | 117      | wsnp_Ex_c42815_49298013 | wsnp_Ex_rep_c103255_88258450 | 4.81  | 5.07      | 0.08  |
|        | E7   | 2A   | 117      | wsnp_Ex_c42815_49298013 | wsnp_Ex_rep_c103255_88258450 | 4.28  | 4.38      | 0.06  |
|        | E8   | 2A   | 118      | wsnp_Ex_rep_c103255_88258450 | wsnp_Ex_c25057_34318425 | 33.7  | 44.39     | 0.17  |
|        | E2   | 2A   | 119      | 2ABD_wsnp_BG608354A_Ta_2_1 | wsnp_Ku_c16371_25240695 | 3.58  | 5.24      | 0.08  |
| QGl.cau-4B | E1   | 4B   | 87       | wsnp_RFL_Config151_4728831 | Xbarc199 | 4.2   | 4.43      | 0.07  | [16] |
|        | E2   | 4B   | 87       | wsnp_RFL_Config151_4728831 | Xbarc199 | 5.68  | 8.24      | 0.1   |
|        | E4   | 4B   | 87       | wsnp_RFL_Config151_4728831 | Xbarc199 | 6.01  | 6.96      | 0.08  |
|        | E6   | 4B   | 87       | wsnp_RFL_Config151_4728831 | Xbarc199 | 7.93  | 6.88      | 0.08  |
|        | E8   | 4B   | 87       | wsnp_RFL_Config151_4728831 | Xbarc199 | 5.19  | 5.41      | 0.06  |
|        | E9   | 4B   | 93       | Xbarc199 | Xgwm513 | 3.24  | 3.64      | 0.05  |
|        | E3   | 4B   | 95       | Xbarc199 | Xgwm513 | 6.02  | 5.06      | 0.06  |
|        | E5   | 4B   | 98       | Xgwm513 | wsnp_Ex_c40815_47789152 | 3.07  | 3.66      | 0.06  |
|        | E9   | 2A   | 119      | 2ABD_wsnp_BG608354A_Ta_2_1 | wsnp_Ku_c16371_25240695 | 9.13  | 10.04     | 0.09  |

a LOD score from the location with the underlined P-value
b PVE (%) = phenotypic variance estimated from marker regression against phenotype
c Additive effect. Positive values indicate a positive effect of Yanda1817 alleles, whereas negative values indicate the contribution of the Beinong6 allele
d QTL reported by references
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118144.t004
Discussion

A High-Density Linkage Map for QTL Mapping

Improvement of grain weight and size has always been a challenging task for breeders because it is very difficult to select complex quantitative traits such as TGW, GL, GW and GT directly. Therefore, marker-assisted selection (MAS) has been proposed as an alternative approach for indirect selection to improve the grain weight and size. With a complex and large genome, high-density marker coverage of the genome is crucial for QTL mapping in common wheat.

Previous genetic linkage maps used for QTL detection in wheat generally contained hundreds of markers, mostly AFLPs and SSRs, which were laborious and time-consuming to develop [38–42]. Here, we have constructed a high-density genetic linkage map consisting of 2559 markers (1062 polymorphic loci) that spans 3213.2 cM covering all 21 wheat chromosomes using the recent developed Infinium iSelect 9K SNP assay integrated with SSR and EST-SSR markers. The coverage of the Yanda1817/Beinong6 genetic linkage map is in agreement with

Table 5. Partial stable QTLs for GW and GT detected in the Yanda1817/Beinong6 RIL population.

| QTL     | Env. | Chr. | Position | Left Marker          | Right Marker          | LOD  | PVE (%) | Add  | QTL Reported |
|---------|------|------|----------|----------------------|-----------------------|------|---------|------|--------------|
| QGw.cau-5A.1 | E1   | 5A   | 27       | Xcfd81               | wsnp_Ex_c15046_23216392 | 3.3  | 4.39    | -0.05| [18]         |
|         | E3   | 5A   | 39       | wsnp_Ex_c62351_62025537 | Xcfa2250               | 6.82 | 11.03   | -0.05|              |
|         | E9   | 5A   | 41       | Xcfa2250             | Xbarc186               | 4.42 | 7.28    | -0.05|              |
|         | E6   | 5A   | 45       | Xbarc186             | Xgwm304                | 5.92 | 8.63    | -0.06|              |
|         | E5   | 5A   | 47       | Xgwm304              | Xwmc25                 | 7.15 | 10.12   | -0.05|              |
|         | E7   | 5A   | 47       | Xgwm304              | Xwmc25                 | 7.89 | 12.3    | -0.06|              |
|         | E4   | 5A   | 55       | Xgwm293              | 5ABD_wsnp_BE500291A_Ta_2_1 | 9.13 | 12.03   | -0.05|              |
| QGt.cau-3B.2 | E1   | 3B   | 107      | wsnp_Ex_c15944_24350833 | wsnp_Ex_c4888_8713275  | 6.37 | 7.42    | -0.06|              |
|         | E4   | 3B   | 111      | wsnp_Ex_c4888_8713275 | wsnp_JD_c8629_9594108  | 9.07 | 8.04    | -0.05|              |
|         | E3   | 3B   | 112      | wsnp_JD_c8629_9594108 | wsnp_Ex_c13906_21771680 | 3.22 | 2.89    | -0.03|              |
|         | E6   | 3B   | 112      | wsnp_JD_c8629_9594108 | wsnp_Ex_c13906_21771680 | 4.18 | 3.99    | -0.03|              |
|         | E2   | 3B   | 113      | wsnp_JD_c8629_9594108 | wsnp_Ex_c13906_21771680 | 4.07 | 6.41    | -0.06|              |
|         | E5   | 3B   | 115      | wsnp_JD_c9902_10674725 | wsnp_Ex_c19982_29009504 | 27.16 | 36.42  | -0.11|              |
|         | E8   | 3B   | 115      | wsnp_JD_c9902_10674725 | wsnp_Ex_c19982_29009504 | 4.83 | 6.93    | -0.04|              |
| QGt.cau-5A.1 | E1   | 5A   | 28       | Xcfd81               | wsnp_Ex_c15046_23216392 | 8.71 | 10.61   | -0.07|              |
|         | E2   | 5A   | 33       | wsnp_Ex_c30178_39124189 | wsnp_Ex_c5267_9318903  | 4.69  | 7.06    | -0.06|              |
|         | E3   | 5A   | 34       | wsnp_Ex_c30178_39124192 | wsnp_Ex_c5267_9318906  | 16.47 | 16.72   | -0.07|              |
|         | E4   | 5A   | 34       | wsnp_Ex_c30178_39124192 | wsnp_Ex_c5267_9318906  | 23.55 | 23.59   | -0.08|              |
|         | E5   | 5A   | 34       | wsnp_Ex_c30178_39124192 | wsnp_Ex_c5267_9318906  | 11.27 | 12.87   | -0.07|              |
|         | E8   | 5A   | 38       | wsnp_Ex_c62351_62025537 | Xcfa2250               | 4.3   | 6.82    | -0.04|              |
|         | E6   | 5A   | 42       | Xcfa2250             | Xbarc186               | 18.26 | 19.85   | -0.08|              |
|         | E9   | 5A   | 42       | Xcfa2250             | Xbarc186               | 10.99 | 17.43   | -0.07|              |
|         | E7   | 5A   | 48       | Xgwm304              | Xwmc25                 | 15.28 | 21.11   | -0.08|              |

a LOD score from the location with the underlined P-value
b PVE (%) = phenotypic variance estimated from marker regression against phenotype
c Additive effect. Positive values indicate a positive effect of Yanda1817 alleles, whereas negative values indicate the contribution of the Beinong6 allele
d QTL reported by references
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118144.t005
Fig 1. Partial pleiotropic QTL effects for TGW, GW and GT. Distribution of the detected QTLs for TGW, GL, GW and GT of the Yanda1817/Beinong6 RILs on the chromosome 3B, 4B, 5A and 6B. Supported intervals for QTL are indicated by vertical bars, the length of the bar show a one LOD confidence interval. LOD max is pointed by a triangle.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118144.g001
previously reported maps in common wheat with genetic coverage from 1070 cM [5] to 4223.1 cM [41]. The B genome has the longest length and the most markers, which is also consistent with earlier reports [5,7,22,27]. In our genetic linkage map, the marker density was 1.26 cM/ marker, far less than 3.7cM to 14.8cM per marker in previously reported wheat genetic linkage maps [5,7,27,40].

Another advantage of the Infinium iSelect 9K SNP assay was the high throughput genotyping of multiply DNA samples at the same time. As the accuracy of a genetic linkage map was heavily influenced by population size, our mapping population containing 269 RILs is large enough to develop a high-density genetic linkage map with adequate genetic information for QTL analysis.

A significant phenomenon noticed in our study was the SNP marker clusters and gaps in the SNP only genetic linkage map. One possible reason may be that the SNPs were developed from the transcriptomes of 26 hexaploid wheat accessions and that most of the SNPs were derived from the gene-rich regions. Another version may be because the mapping population used for linkage map construction was developed from a cross between two Chinese wheat lines and some of the SNPs in the 9k Infinium chip were absent in the RILs. Therefore, we integrated 128 SSR and EST-SSR markers in the genetic linkage map to close some of the gaps.

Low Level of Polymorphism in the D Genome

The polymorphic ratio of SSR and EST-SSR markers is about 30% (150/500) at the whole genome level in our mapping population, whereas a higher polymorphic ratio (33.3%, 2873/8632) was observed for SNPs. We detected relatively high SNP and SSR polymorphism levels in our mapping population and a possible reason may be due to the high divergence of the two parental lines: Yanda1817 is a Chinese landrace while Beinong6 is an advanced semi-dwarf high-yielding breeding line.

In the 3 sub-genomes, B and A have the most polymorphic markers and the D genome has the lowest number of markers. Out of 2559 polymorphic markers, only 165 markers (6.4%) mapped on the D genome, which is consistent with previous studies [33, 43–46]. The low genetic coverage of the D genome may be responsible for the low number of QTLs in the D genome.

After two polyploidization events during the common wheat evolution, the gene flow between *Ae. tauschii* and *T. aestivum* was limited to only a small population/accessions *Ae. stragulata* from north Iran and the southwest Caspian sea introgressed into hexaploid wheat, whereas a continuous gene flow occurred due to frequent hybridization between *T. aestivum* and tetraploid wheat species, and these events increased the diversity of the A and B genomes [47–49]. Increasing the genetic diversity of the D genome is still an urgent task for wheat breeders. Considering that many important genes/QTLs controlling agronomic traits were located on the D genome, additional work to increase the number and density of markers in the D genome should be considered by applying new approach like next generation sequencing (NGS).

QTLs for Grain Shape and Size

TGW has been subjected to QTL analysis in many studies but very limited information is available for QTL mapping of GL, GW and GT in wheat. To date, QTLs for grain shape and size have been detected on almost all 21 wheat chromosomes [3,4,9–12,20,22–25,27,38,39,50–53]. Using introgression lines (ILs), Röder et al. described fine mapping of *QTgw.ipk-7D* associated with the microsatellite marker *Xgwm1002-7D* [13]. Due to the low coverage of chromosome 7D in our genetic map, we did not detect this QTL. QTLs for TGW were mapped to the same genetic region of chromosome 6AS by Huang et al. [39] and Sun et al. [7] using F1-derived
doubled haploid (DH) populations and RILs, respectively. Furthermore, TaGW2, the ortholog of OsGW2 in rice [54], was mapped earlier on 6AS and considered to be a candidate gene related to wheat grain development [6]. However, we did not detect any QTL on chromosome 6AS in our genetic map. In addition, due to the diversity of mapping populations, field trail conditions, and genetic coverage of the linkage maps used for QTL mapping, QTLs were often observed on different chromosome regions for grain weight and size when analyses were carried out with different phenotypic variation effects. Therefore, more refined analyses we focused on the QTLs detected at least in more than two environments.

We detected 17 QTLs for TGW and thirteen of these were found in at least two environments. In order to compare our QTL mapping data with published results, we used the integrated high-density SSR genetic linkage map [33] as a reference to anchor SSR markers and mapped QTLs (Table 4; S4, S5 Table). QTgw.cau-6B.1 and QTgw.cau-3D.1 were newly identified QTLs in three environments with phenotypic variation from 2.98% to 9.90%. QTgw.cau-1B was located in chromosome 1B near the Xgwm268–1B locus where an important QTL for TGW was previously identified using 262 accessions from a mini-core collection of Chinese wheat [26]. Similarly, QTgw.cau-2A for TGW was detected in the interval of Xgwm249–Xgwm473 on chromosome 2A, which corresponds to the QTLs previously found by Sun et al. [7], Huang et al. [24] and Wu et al. [55], respectively. QTgw.cau-4D was closely linked to marker Xcfd71 which may correspond to the TGW QTL reported by Huang et al. [39]. The QTL QTgw.cau-5A.1 detected in two environments mapped in a position that also has been described by many researchers [22,23,26,27,40,52,53], indicating its stability and major effects. The QTgw.cau-5A.2 was detected in two environments and located on the end of 5AL. In the same genetic region, QTLs for TGW were also reported by Mir et al. [56] with interval and association mapping and by Wu et al. [55] with a DH population genetic map. The interval of QTgw.cau-5B was described by Patil et al. [52] and is similar to the TGW QTL identified by Groos et al. [38] and Wang et al. [27]. In addition, Cui et al. [57] and Wu et al. [55] also detected QTLs for kernel weight per spike (KWPS) or TGW in same chromosome region. The QTgw.cau-6B.2 identified in two environments may be same as that reported by Sun et al. [7].

Out of the 14 QTLs for GL that we detected in more than one environment, five were described in previous studies and the remaining nine may be new loci. QTL QGl.cau-1B present in 5 environments in our study was linked to marker Xgwm259. Sun et al. [7] also detected a QTL for GL that is associated with SSR marker Xgwm140 which is closely linked with Xgwm259. A GL QTL reported by Gegas et al. [23] maps at the same location as QGl.cau-3B.1 in our mapping study. By using two hexaploid wheat mapping populations, Bresegghello and Sorrells [21] detected two QTLs for GL on 4B and 5B, which are close to QGl.cau-4B and QGl.cau-5B.2 location in our study. On chromosome 7A, the detected QTL QGl.cau-7A.2 seems to correspond with the QTL previously detected by Williams et al. [11].

Four GW QTLs located on 5A, 6B and 7D were detected in more than one environment, and among these, only QGw.cau-5A.1 was previously described [23].

QTL for grain thickness was rarely reported previously in wheat [10–12]. In our study, the GT QTLs, QGt.cau-3B.2, QGt.cau-5A.1, QGt.cau-5A.3 and QGt.cau-6B, were identified in more than four environments. Due to the diversity of molecular markers, it was difficult to align and compare QTLs detected by these studies. TGW, GL and GW QTLs were also detected at the same chromosome regions, indicating possible linkage or pleiotropic effects.

**Trait Correlations and QTL Clustering**

It was interesting that QTLs for grain size and shape clustered in same chromosome regions. In our study, co-localized QTLs were found on chromosomes 1B, 2A, 3B, 4A, 4D, 5A and 6B, and
were especially prevalent on chromosomes 3B, 5A and 6B. Two QTL clusters were identified on chromosome 5A. One was located on the distal end of 5AS and is involved in controlling TGW, GW and GT. Another cluster on the distal end of 5AL is involved in regulating a GT QTL detected in four environments, a TGW QTL detected in two environments, a GL QTL detected in six environments, and a GW QTL was identified in only one environment. On chromosome 6B, QTL clusters were mainly related to TGW, GW and GT. As previous described [7,23,38,50,53,58,59] this is consistent with the positive relationships between the four grain shape and size traits, especially among TGW, GW and GT. These QTL clusters for TGW, GL, GW and GT provide important information for wheat breeders to improve the grain shape and size via marker-assisted selection.
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