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Abstract. This study aimed to test the model set up by Obradović and Ćudina-Obradović, according to which marital quality is determined by certain traits of marital quality from each of these groups of factors: the personality traits of the partners, the characteristics of the marriage, the marital processes and the marital environment. We operationalized this model, by testing the effect personality traits and the tendency towards alcohol consumption of marriage partners (as a personal characteristic of the marriage partner), the duration of the marriage (as the characteristic of the marriage itself), satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities (as one of the indicators of the development of the marital processes) and doubt regarding the marriage partner's fidelity (as the feature of the wider marital environment) have on the experienced marital quality. NEO-PI-R, The Dyadic Adjustment Scale and questionnaire designed for the aims of this study were used on a sample of 199 respondents from Serbia. Our model shows an excellent fit (X2 >.05; RMSEA<.05; GFI>.90; AGFI>.90; CFI>.90; NFI>.90). It can be concluded that Neuroticism, The Duration of marriage, Doubt regarding the partner's fidelity and Dissatisfaction with the division of household responsibilities have a negative effect on marital quality.
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1. INTRODUCTION

There are various approaches to the definition of marital quality. Spanier (1976), for example, defines it as the mutual adjustment of the spouses, which signifies both behavioral and evaluative aspects of a marital relationship. A well-adjusted marriage is often characterized by high interaction and cohesion, low levels of disagreement, high levels of commitment to the relationship and good communication (Spanier and Lewis, 1980).
Irrespective of how we define the quality of marital relations, many studies deal with the variables which influence marital quality. All of these determinants can be classified according to various theoretical models that emerge from them. Obradović and Čudina-Obradović (1998), by integrating some of these findings, citing that in the widest, possible sense the determinants of the quality of marital relations can be divided into four broad groups of factors: 1) The personal characteristics of the marriage partners (personality traits, gender, the education of the partners, mental health, alcohol consumption), 2) The characteristics of the marriage (the duration of the marriage, the first or second marriage, etc.), 3) The interpersonal relations in the marriage or marital processes (love, communication skills, sexual relations, the division of household responsibilities), and 4) The narrow and broader marital environment (the structure of the family in childhood, children born in wedlock, the economic and political circumstances in which the family lives and various forms of stress, emotional relations outside of the marriage).

A large number of studies deal with variables that affect the marital quality and stability of couples’ relationships, while personality variables are one of the most important ones. From all the theoretical backgrounds, the five-factor model of personality was used the most in studies related to marital quality, stability, and satisfaction. A great many studies indicate that there is a connection between personality traits and the quality of the marriage partner relationship. When we take neuroticism, conscientiousness, and agreeableness as predictors of marital quality into consideration, researchers mainly agree: neuroticism is negatively, and conscientiousness and agreeableness are positively linked to marital quality (Karney and Bradbury, 1997; Lavee and Ben-Ari, 2004; Shaver and Brennan, 1992). However, when it comes to the remaining two personality traits from the five-factor model, the findings are not so consistent: Extroversion and Openness are, thus, in some research a positive (Karney and Bradbury, 1995; Donnellan et al., 2004; Watson et al., 2000; Terman 1938, Obradović, 2006), and in others a negative predictors (Bentler and Newcomb, 1978; Karney and Bradbury, 1995; Shaver and Brennan, 1992).

There are a lot of personal characteristics that are related to marital quality, besides personality traits. One of them is alcohol consumption which is strongly negatively related to marital quality (Averett and Wang, 2016; Leonard and Roberts, 1996) and this relation cannot be ascribed to sociodemographic origins, personality or marital dynamics (Leonard and Roberts, 1996). Compared to women whose partners do not consume alcohol, the female partners of alcoholics evaluate their marital satisfaction as lower (Huikari et al., 2016) and more often report experiences of emotional and physical violence in the marriage (Huikari et al., 2016). The research of Leonard and Roberts (1996) indicate that “heavy” alcohol consumption (measured as the frequency of consumption and the usual amount of alcohol consumed) by either of the partners results in the decrease in marital quality.

The second group of determinants of marital quality include the characteristics of marriage. From the first studies on marital quality, the way in which the experience of marital quality changes during the course of one’s life is an important point of study among researchers. One of the basic findings of these studies is that with the duration of the marriage the experience of marital quality begins to decrease very quickly following the marriage ceremony, especially following the birth of the first child (Spanier et al., 1975, Tošić Radev, 2013). Actually, the most frequent finding is the one that between the duration of the marriage and the experience of marital quality there is a U-shaped relation (Glenn, 1998; McLanahan and Adams, 1989, VanLaningham, et al., 2001). The experience of marital quality and marital satisfaction is very high in the beginning but
then starts to decrease, then stagnates for a longer period of time at a certain level, and finally, in the post-parental period, once again rises. However, recent research (Glenn, 1998, Vaillant and Vaillant, 1993) has found that marital quality decreases continuously and that, at best, can stagnate after a long period of decline, but the experience of marital quality never improves. The decrease in the quality over time is mild but is permanent and continuous. An improvement in the experience of marital quality is connected to the departure of the children from the home and can temporarily slow down, but cannot prevent the overall decrease in happiness (VanLaningham et al., 2001).

Considering the vast increase in the participation of women in the workforce, the question of the distribution of the household responsibilities has become an important determinant of marital quality, considering that this division of household responsibilities has a tendency of being relatively traditional and unfair (Martinez et al., 2010; Mihić, Zotović and Petrović, 2006; Rogers and Amato, 2000; Tošić and Todorović, 2011). Dissatisfaction of women in terms of the division of household responsibilities has a negative effect on marital quality (Rogers and Amato, 2000), marital satisfaction (Blair, 1993; Greenstein, 1996), happiness (Suitor, 1991) and it is a significant predictor of the frequency of open marital discord (Kluwer et al., 1996) and divorce (Rogers, 1996, Blair and Johnson, 1992). On significant the other hand, the husband’s involvement in household responsibilities is a predictor of positive interaction, closeness and affirmation in marital relations and can lead to an increase in marital quality and the general well-being on the part of the woman (Pina and Bengtson, 1993).

Finally, Obradović and Čudina-Obradović (1998) distinguish a lot of antecedents of marital quality from the narrow and broader marital environment: the structure of the family in childhood, various forms of stress, emotional relations outside of the marriage and so on. A not infrequent occurrence in the modern world - infidelity has certainly become an important antecedent of the quality and stability of modern marriage. Infidelity represents a partner’s violation of the norms which are used to regulate the level of emotional or physical intimacy with people outside the relationship. Infidelity is one of the most detrimental factors for the love relationship and one of the most difficult problems to solve in therapy (Whisman et al., 1997). Empirical research findings also prove that any type of infidelity, ranging from virtual, online infidelity to engaging in extramarital sexual activities on the part of one of the partners, has a negative effect on the quality of partner relations and marital satisfaction (for example, Atkins et al., 2005; McDaniel et al., 2017; Treas and Giesen, 2000).

The aim of this research is to test the theoretical model by evaluating the fit of the model in which marital quality is determined by certain antecedents of marital quality from each of these groups of factors. The model assumes a large number of antecedents of marital quality and it is not possible to cover all possible predictors in one study. We opted to cover all groups of factors, choosing the following antecedents: personality traits of the individuals and the tendency of one of the spouses towards alcohol consumption (from the personal characteristics of the marriage partners group), the duration of the marriage (from the characteristics of the marriage), satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities (from marital processes group) and doubt regarding the partner’s fidelity (from the marital environment). The selected antecedents are quite well-investigated and their importance has been proved in marriage quality studies.
2. METHODOLOGY

2.1. The sample of participants

The sample consists of 199 married respondents (not couples) from Niš. The respondents were people from the narrow and extended social circles of the researchers who entered the sample following the snowball sampling technique. The married acquaintances entered the sample and they were asked to distribute questionnaires to their friends, colleagues and acquaintances. All of them received the printed version of tests in an envelope and the information that participation in the research is voluntary and anonymously. The sample was homogenous in terms of gender (100 men and 99 women), with an average age of 44, 3 years.

2.2. Instruments

The respondents’ personality dimensions were studied using the NEO-PI-R, a well-established 240-item Likert-type measure of the 5 basic personality traits: Neuroticism (N), Extraversion (E), Openness (O), Agreeableness (A), and Conscientiousness (C). It also measures six subordinate dimensions (facets) of each of the five traits (Đurić-Jočić et al., 2004). Taken together, the five domain scales and thirty facet scales of the NEO PI-R facilitate a comprehensive and detailed assessment of normal adult personality. The psychometric characteristics of the NEO-PI-R instrument were evaluated on the Serbian population and proved to be quite good at the domain level. The scales that measure domains are marked by high reliability, with the internal consistency on the Serbian sample, the Cronbach alpha value, ranges from 0,80 to 0,90 (Knežević et al., 2004).

The Dyadic Adjustment Scale (DAS; Spanier, 1976) The DAS is the most widely used self-report measure of relationship adjustment. It is a 32-item measure developed for married couples or similar dyads and has a range of 0 to 151 for both sexes. The scale measures dyadic adjustment along the following four components: (1) Dyadic consensus is the degree of agreement that couples hold on issues of importance such as handling family finances or making major decisions. (2) Dyadic Satisfaction - the degree to which a respondent feels satisfied with their partner, the degree of happiness in the relationship, as well as the frequency of conflicts experienced in the relationship (3) Dyadic Cohesion – the degree to which the respondent and their partner participate in activities together (4) Affectional Expression – the degree to which a respondent agrees with a partner regarding emotional affection. The content, criterion-related and construct validity, as well as internal consistency reliability are adequate (Spanier, 1976). Results on the Serbian sample show that scale has high reliability (Cronbach alfa = .953), as well as high internal consistency of subscales (DS= .900; Dcoh=.805; Decon=.913; AE=.653) (Tošić, 2011).

The questionnaire designed for the aims of this study was used to collect data on the socio-demographic variables and to study the tendencies of the partners to consume alcohol (The items included: My partner consumes alcohol and this bothers me; Alcohol is a frequent cause of discord in our marriage), satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities (I do not have sufficient support from my partner in maintaining the house; My partner does not share in the household responsibilities and other family obligations) and the existence of doubt regarding the partner’s fidelity (There were situations when I suspected my partner’s fidelity; My partner’s infidelity would hurt me). The respondents indicated the extent of their agreement with the offered claims on a four-point scale. This
items were developed relaying on the items in often used scales and questionnaires that examine attitudes toward alcohol, fidelity, division of household responsibilities (for example: The Prediction of Alcohol Withdrawal Severity Scale - PAWSS, Maldonado et al., 2014; A scale of attitudes towards alcohol, alcoholism and alcoholics, Vargas et al., 2008; Attitudes toward Infidelity Scale, Whatley, 2006; Susceptibility to Infidelity Instrument, Buss and Shackelford, 1997; Satisfaction with the Division of Household, Henchoz et al., 2013…). The presented study is a part of a larger research, examining a number of variables and that is the reason for using single items instead of whole scales for some variables.

3. RESULTS

The descriptive statistics of data used in the research are shown in the following table.

|                          | Min | Max | M   | SD |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|----|
| Neuroticism              | 61  | 206 | 134.7| 19.7|
| Extraversion             | 90  | 209 | 155.8| 17.2|
| Openness                 | 115 | 226 | 155.2| 16.3|
| Agreeableness            | 98  | 205 | 161.5| 17.6|
| Conscientiousness        | 132 | 238 | 179.2| 18.4|
| Marital quality          | 12  | 148 | 111.7| 24.9|
| Duration of the marriage | 1   | 55  | 17.1 | 12.3|
| Alcohol consumption      | 2   | 8   | 1.47 | .92 |
| Satisfaction with        | 2   | 7   | 1.94 | 1.06|
| division of household    | 1   | 8   | 1.72 | .99 |
| responsibilities          |     |     |      |     |
| Doubt regarding the      | 1   | 8   | 1.72 | .99 |
| partner’s fidelity       |     |     |      |     |

By comparing the average scores of the personality traits in our sample with the norms obtained by the standardization of the NEO PI-R questionnaire (Knežević et al., 2004) we can register an increase in all the dimensions, (Norms: N=88.14; E=102.96; O=109.09; A=119.79; C=125.41). Such results are justified by the fact that the results, on our population in recent years, regularly receive similar increases compared to the norms (e.g. Šundrić and Stojiljković, 2006, Stanković and Stojiljković, 2006).

The average value (Mean = 111.7) on DAS scale in our sample is high and indicates the experience of the high quality of the marriage of our respondents. The average duration of the marriage is 17 years, in the range of 1 to 55 years. The tendency for alcohol consumption, as well as doubt of the partner’s fidelity on average were rated lower than the theoretical mean values. Satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities also does not exceed the theoretical mean value, which is in accordance with the expectations based on the research results obtained previously in our environment (e.g. Mihić, Zotović and Petrović, 2006). Gender differences (independent samples t-tests) were found for Openness (p= .008), Satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities (p=. .000) and the Alcohol consumption by the spouse (p=. .000). Namely, women had statistically significantly higher scores on all the mentioned variables.

In order to evaluate the specified relations between the dimensions of personality traits of individuals, the tendency for alcohol consumption on part of one of the partners, the
duration of the marriage, satisfaction with the distribution of household responsibilities, alcohol consumption on the part of the spouse and the existence of doubt regarding the fidelity of the partner and their effects on marital quality, we used the method of path analysis, that is, structural equation modeling. All of the variables in the model were manifest. The results of the tested model are shown in table 2. At the same time, the theoretical model created by Obradović and Čudina-Obradović was expanded by the assumed relations existing between the predictor variables themselves. It was namely assumed that there was a mutual connection between the duration of marriage and the personality traits, the tendency towards alcohol consumption on the part of one of the spouses, dissatisfaction with the division of household responsibilities and doubt regarding the partner’s fidelity, as show in graph 1.
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**Table 2** Parameter coefficient estimates and measures of model fit for theoretical model of the determinants of marital quality

|       | X²  | DF | P   | RMSEA | GFI  | AGFI | CFI  | NFI  |
|-------|-----|----|-----|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Model | 229.47 | 22 | .000 | .218  | .828 | .569 | .501 | .502 |

The results shown in table 2 point out that the initial model did not indicate an adequate fit with the empirical data. A more detailed analysis indicated the possibility of
model modification, by removing the variables which did not have significant effects on marital quality. Those are the following personality traits: agreeableness, openness, and conscientiousness.

Graph 2 Modified model

Table 3 Parameter coefficient estimates and measures of model fit for modified model of the determinants of marital quality

| Parameter             | Coefficient | RMSEA | GFI  | AGFI | CFI  | NFI  |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------|------|------|------|------|
| Duration of marriage  |             |       |      |      |      |      |
| Alcohol consumption   |             |       |      |      |      |      |
| Dissatisfaction with  |             |       |      |      |      |      |
| the division of labor |             |       |      |      |      |      |
| Dissatisfaction with  |             |       |      |      |      |      |
| the division of labor |             |       |      |      |      |      |
| Dissatisfaction with  |             |       |      |      |      |      |
| the division of labor |             |       |      |      |      |      |

The results shown in table 3 indicate that the modified model suits the data more appropriately. All of the parameters indicate an excellent fit ($X^2 < .05$; RMSEA < .05; GFI > .90; AGFI > .90; CFI > .90; NFI > .90). More specifically, even the absolute indicators of the fit (the chi-square value, as well as the relation between the chi-square and the number of degrees of freedom) and the relative indicators of the fit (CFI, GFI) indicate that the data fit well into the assumed model. In addition, the RMSEA value of .000 indicates the perfect fit of the testing model. In other words, among the referent
measures, all of the indicators point to an especially well fit of the data into the theoretical model, according to the criteria of a good and appropriate fit which is recommended by Schermelleh-Engel et al. (2003).

Table 4 Standardized regression coefficients - a modified model

| Regression coefficient | p  |
|------------------------|----|
| Neuroticism            | -.119 | .033 |
| Extraversion           | .100  | .066 |
| Duration of the marriage| -.173 | .003 |
| Alcohol consumption    | .005  | .934 |
| Satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities | -.397 | .000 |
| Doubt regarding the partner’s fidelity | -.298 | .000 |

By analyzing the direction of the regression coefficients, it can be concluded that Neuroticism, Duration of marriage, Doubt regarding the partner’s fidelity and Dissatisfaction with the division of household responsibilities have a statistically significant and negative effect on quality of marriage, while Extroversion and Tendency toward alcohol consumption do not predict marital quality.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we tested the theoretical model which attempts to integrate the findings of numerous studies regarding the determinants of marital quality. From a large number of antecedents of marital quality that this theoretical model assumes, we chose the ones that are most commonly investigated in marriage quality studies, based on the author’s literature search, respecting the rule that each group of determinants should be represented.

Personality traits of the individuals and the tendency of one of the spouses towards alcohol consumption are antecedents from the first group of determinants, the personal characteristics of the marriage partners, in the theoretical model by Obradović and Čudina-Obradović (1998). The spouse’s personality is the indispensable determinant of marital quality whose relevance for marital satisfaction has been supported by numerous studies. Another important personal characteristic, with documented effects on marital quality, is a tendency towards alcohol consumption. We selected to include this variable in the model as a representation of our partner’s (not our own) personal characteristic that may influence our perception of quality of life in marriage and also, this personal characteristic is not a permanent personality trait like the NEO PI R dimensions. The duration of the marriage was selected to represent the group of the characteristics of the marriage. Finally, satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities and doubt regarding the partner’s fidelity, as the most common and pervasive problems in modern marriages, are selected to represent antecedents of marital quality from the following groups of factors: marital processes and the marital environment.

Our findings suggest the accuracy of this integrative model of marital quality, considering the exceptionally fine fit of the empirical data into the theoretical model. In other words, the structural modeling confirmed that four broad groups of factors, that is, the personal traits of the partners, the characteristics of the marriage, interpersonal
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relations in the marriage or marital processes, as well as the broader or narrower marital environment are very good predictors of marital quality. Namely, it has been proven that neuroticism, the length of the duration of the marriage, doubt regarding the partner’s fidelity and lack of satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities create a negative effect on marital quality. The parameters of fitting for this model were excellent.

Neuroticism is a personality dimension that is characterized by a negative emotional state and instability (Bouchard et al., 1999), while its more essential aspects are anxiety, hostility, depression, social anxiety, impulsivity, and vulnerability. There is clear evidence of the existence of a negative effect of neuroticism for both spouses on the various aspects of marital adjustment and marital quality (Bouchard et al., 1999). Previous studies offer potential explanations for those findings, suggesting that emotionally unstable people can be often unsatisfied or pessimistic (Cote’ and Moskowitz, 1998) and, by doing so, elicit negative life events (Caughlin, Huston, and Houts, 2000), they can have negative interaction behaviors (Caughlin et al., 2000), inadequate coping strategies used during conflict (Bouchard, 2003) or insecure attachment styles (Hazan and Shaver, 1987).

The quality of the marriage decreases with its duration. This finding is in accordance with the abundance of existing findings on this topic. It can be concluded, summarizing the results of numerous studies that the perceived quality of marriage is highest at the beginning of the marriage and then decreases continuously or stagnates at a low level. This is a characteristic of most marriages as a consequence of inevitable developmental changes (Tošić Radev, 2013). VanLaningham et al. (2001) consider that numerous means can be used to explain any changes in the experience of the quality of marriage and its duration, starting from the adjustment of the individual to more realistic expectations of marriage, changes in the family structure and roles, getting used to the responsibilities of married life and facing numerous challenges, such as a fair division of household responsibilities, the redefinition of the relations with relatives or solving conflicts, etc.

Besides, the results show that the dissatisfaction of the division of household responsibilities and doubt regarding the fidelity of the partner are significant predictors with the biggest regression coefficients on the marital quality, which is in accordance with results registered in previous studies (Rogers and Amato, 2000; Ogolsky et al., 2014). The research of Ogolsky et al. (2014) indicates that marital quality is best in the situations when partners have similar attitudes on the division of responsibilities, whether it is traditional or not. Similarly, by reviewing numerous findings, Tošić and Todorović (2011) cite that the distribution of responsibilities is not the most important feature of marital quality. It is the perception of the just or unjust nature of that division, which primarily depends on the adopted gender ideology and attitudes toward gender roles of both spouses.

Regarding the other antecedents from the model, marital quality was not significantly predicted by the extraversion of the individual and with the partner's tendency towards alcohol consumption.

Previous findings that studied the relations between extraversion and marital quality were inconsistent (for example, Donnellan et al., 2004; Watson et al., 2000). The authors of the studies which determined a positive connection between extraversion and the different dimensions of the quality of marriage indicate that the tendency towards a
positive emotional state (Ahmetoglu et al., 2010), open displays of love (Taraban et al., 1998) and skillful handling of oneself in social interaction (Funder et al., 2000) are some of the characteristics which contribute to the quality of their marital relations.

In the case of the partner's tendency towards alcohol consumption, whose effect was not significant, we should bear in mind that the descriptive data show that the extent of the tendency towards alcohol consumption in our sample was not evaluated as high by the partners. This means that we did not have participants who frequently and intensely consume alcohol, which might lead this factor to have significant effect. Recent research (Levitt and Cooper, 2010; Levitt and Leonard, 2012; Livingston, 2009) showed that moderate alcohol consumption can have a positive effect on marital adjustment and functionality because it can be tied to a more active social life on the part of both partners and their greater participation in various activities in the community. Certainly, there is a need for further studies aiming to explore this statement and the general effect of alcohol consumption. Another problem is that we did not use standard instrument to assess the tendency toward alcohol consumption, thus variability in the intensity of alcohol consumption is reduced (cases of “severe” alcohol consumptions are excluded).

Bearing in mind that the determinants of the quality of marriage with the highest predictive power in the model are different for men and women, one shortcoming of the study is that the analysis was not done separately for men and women. Because a small size of subsamples based on gender, on which it is not recommended to use structural equation modeling, we decide not to test the model on subsamples. In addition to not being one of the research questions of the study, the main reason for such decision is the fact that the results obtained on a small sample as well as the discussion and conclusions would not be accurate and reliable. Anyway, it remains doubtful whether this model of marriage quality is applicable for men, given the large gender differences in the variable that is the most significant predictor in the model: Satisfaction with the division of household responsibilities.

Finally, only some of the antecedents were tested in the model, so the effects of other determinants should be checked in future research. Also, it can be assumed that some of the antecedents are mediators in the relationship between personality traits and marital quality, which also may be the subject of subsequent studies.

However, implications of this research are valuable because it provides empirical verification for integrative model of marital quality, considering the exceptionally fine fit of the empirical data into the theoretical model, and illuminates the importance of antecedents on marital quality. Finally, some of these antecedents, those representing marital processes and the marital environment, can be controlled by an individual, so they might be used for strengthening the satisfaction of the spouses and improving the wellbeing of the families. Namely, the quality of marriage will be worse if spouses allow one partner have a reason to feel that he or she has been forced into an unfair division of labor or his or her trust in the partner’s fidelity is broken. Investing effort into building a relationship of mutual respect and trust and good, effective communication between the partners could be beneficial for improving the quality of a marriage.
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ODREDNICE KVALITETA BRAKA: EMPIRIJSKA VALIDACIJA TEORIJSKOG MODELA

Cilj ovog istraživanja je da proveri model, pretpostavljen od strane Obradovića i Čudine-Obradović, po kome se odrednice kvaliteta braka grupišu oko ličnih karakteristika bračnih partnera, karakteristika samog braka, interpersonalnih odnosa u braku i bračnog okruženja, koristeći odabrane indikatore iz svakog od ovih domena. Testiran je efekat dimenzija ličnosti i sklonost ka uzimanju alkohola partnera (lične karakteristike bračnih partnera), dužina braka (karakteristike braka), zadovoljstvo podelom kućnih poslova (bračni procesi) i sumnja u partnerovu vernost (bračno okruženje) na doživljeni kvalitet braka. Inventar ličnosti NEO PI-R (Đurić-Jočić i sar., 2004), Skala uzajamne prilagodjenosti partnera - DAS (Spanier, 1976) i upitnik sačinjen za potrebe ovog istraživanja korišćeni su na uzorku ispitanika sa teritorije južne Srbije (N=199) koji su u trenutku ispitivanja bili u braku. Dobijeni rezultati pokazuju da su parametri fitovanja za postavljeni model odični (X2 >.05; RMSEA<.05; GFI>90; AGFI>90; CFI>.90; NFI>.90). Zaključujemo da Neuroticizam, Dužina trajanja braka, Sumnja u partnerovu vernost i Nezadovoljstvo podelom kućnih poslova ostvaruju negativan efekat na kvalitet braka.

Ključne reči: kvalitet braka, dužina braka, dimenzije ličnosti, neverstvo, podela kućnih poslova.