Characteristics of aerobic vaginitis among women in Xi’an district: a hospital-based study
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Abstract

Background: Aerobic vaginitis (AV) is a reproductive tract infection that affects health of women. The objective of this study was to analyze the characteristics of simple and mixed AV patients in Xi’an district and provide reference data for the clinical treatment of AV.

Methods: Patients were recruited from the outpatient Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology in the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University from September 2014 to April 2019 in strict accordance with inclusion and exclusion criteria. The study principally examined the vaginal ecosystem, age distribution, levels of functional enzymes, and changes in pH levels in these women. Differences within groups were analyzed.

Results: A total of 284 AV patients were enrolled to investigate the distribution of simple and mixed AV infection. AV infection was found to be mainly simple infection. Simple AV patients were generally aged 50–60 years, while mixed AV patients were mostly aged 30–40 years. In the present study, the density of vaginal bacteria (OR = 13.294, 95% CI = 5.869–30.115, P < 0.01), the type of predominant bacteria (OR = 3.962, 95% CI = 1.785–7.984, P < 0.01) and positive expression of coagulase (OR = 3.789, 95% CI = 1.798–7.984, P < 0.01) were considered risk factors for mixed AV infection.

Conclusions: The epidemiology of simple and mixed AV infection were found to be different, with density of vaginal bacteria (I or IV), species that are predominant and levels of coagulase being risk factors for mixed AV infection.
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Background

Human vaginal flora is a complex and protective environment, which enables the maintenance of vaginal pH levels and microbial balance to withstand the invasion of pathogenic fungi and protozoa. However, any imbalance in the naturally occurring bacterial flora may result in infections such as vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC), bacterial vaginitis (BV), cytolytic vaginosis (CV) or aerobic vaginitis (AV), the clinical symptoms of which, such as abnormal leucorrhea, increased discharge, vulval itching, burning pain, and so on, occurring [1, 2]. Women suffering from these conditions can experience preterm premature rupture of membranes, preterm labor, amniotic fluid infection, chorioamnionitis, sexually transmitted infections, and cervical intraepithelial neoplasia disease [3–6].

AV was first identified by Donders and co-workers in 2002 [7, 8]. In contrast to BV, the prevalence of AV is 7–12%, less prevalent than BV [4]. AV can cause various
vaginal symptoms, including stinging and dyspareunia, purulent discharge with significant inflammation and epithelial disruption, a thick cottage-cheese-like discharge associated with vaginal and vulvar pruritus, pain, burning, erythema, and edema. Secondary infections may also occur, the outcomes of which can be serious, including miscarriage, chorioamnionitis, premature rupture of membranes, preterm delivery, infertility, and pelvic inflammatory disease (PID) [9]. However, the pathogenesis of AV remains unclear although it is under investigation. A previous study indicated that it resulted from an immunological response with increased inflammatory reaction [10]. In addition, the microflora in AV comprises commensal aerobic microorganisms of intestinal origin, principally *Escherichia coli*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative *Staphylococci* [7, 11]. A recent study indicated that being unmarried, use of an intrauterine device, long-term use of antibiotics, and frequent vaginal douching were risk factors for AV [12].

The principal diagnostic technique for identification of AV is currently observation of a wet film of vaginal secretion under a light microscope, combined with clinical manifestations. However, assessment of a wet film can lead to errors due to the subjective judgment of clinicians. In addition, results of diagnosis vary across different hospitals, regions and even countries depending on the levels of knowledge and skills of investigators. Therefore, this study aimed to investigate the characteristics of distribution of AV in patients, the levels of vaginal enzymes and pH values, to explore the use of particular vaginal enzymes in diagnosis, and provide reference data for the reliable and objective diagnosis of AV in the future.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

A retrospective study was conducted in the Gynecology Outpatient Clinic of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University from September 2014 to April 2019. Women who had symptoms, such as increased discharge, itching of the vulva, burning pain, etc, were recruited to the study, in strict accordance with the following inclusion and exclusion criteria. Inclusion criteria: (1) women with a history of sexual activity aged 18 to 50 years old; (2) of Han ethnicity; (3) diagnosis of simple AV infection or AV combined with VVC, BV, TV or others, according to the diagnostic criteria of vaginal infections by microscopic examination and functional enzyme testing; (4) women not menstruating within the previous 3 days, no vaginal irritation or drug the vagina within the previous 3 days, and no sexual intercourse for 3 days prior to examination. Exclusion criteria were: (1) women who had undergone hysterectomy with or without bilateral excision of the ovary or who had had a genital malignancy; (2) women without test results for enzyme activity or microscopic test of vaginal secretion; (3) women who were pregnant, menstruating or lactating; (4) women who had undergone chemotherapy, radiotherapy or hormonotherapy due to a malignancy. Before recruitment to the study, informed consent was obtained from each patient. And the study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the First Affiliated Hospital of Xi’an Jiaotong University.

**Sampling and tests**

The bladder lithotomy position was used for gynecological examination and collection of vaginal discharge from patients who had symptoms such as increased discharge, vulval itching, burning pain, etc. Two vaginal smears were obtained from the upper 1/3 of the lateral vaginal wall for microbiological analysis using standard microbiological methods, analysis of pH value of the vaginal environment, amino acid odor testing, and evaluation of enzymes using a diagnostic strip set for vaginitis (Chaoshi-Bio, Jiangsu, China).

**Diagnostic criteria**

(1) AV: Common criteria employed for the diagnosis of AV in patients is Donders’ score or Tempeera evaluation [13], but they lack standardization or recognized criteria. However, in accordance with the Chinese expert consensus on the clinical application of vaginal microecological assessment from 2016, AV was assessed and diagnosed using Donders' score in the present study [14]. Diagnostic criteria and the corresponding AV scores are displayed in Table 1. Scores of 0 to 10 were assigned to each sample, representing different levels of bacterial flora, epithelial disruption, and inflammation: 0–2 (no AV), 3–4 (mild AV), 5–6 (moderate AV), or 7–10 (severe AV). (2) BV: BV was diagnosed using Nugent scoring, in accordance with the Chinese expert consensus on the clinical application of vaginal microecological assessment from 2016 [14, 15]. A score of < 4 was normal, 4–6 moderate, and > 6 was defined as BV. (3) VVC: VVC was diagnosed when hyphae or spores were observed in 10% KOH wet microscopy. (4) Trichomonas Vaginitis(TV): Active trichomonas observed using light microscopy. (5) CV: Cibley diagnostic criteria were used [16].

**Patient data**

After obtaining informed consent from each patient, patient data were collected from AV patients, including their age, AV infection status, density of vaginal bacteria, diversity of vaginal bacteria, bacteria that were predominant, levels of vaginal enzymes like β-glucuronidase, leukocyte esterase, coagulase, and sialidase, and pH levels. Depending on AV infection status, those women who underwent routine gynecological examination and
had simple AV infection were selected as the control group. The remaining patients allocated to the experimental group.

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed using SPSS software version 20. Categorical variables are presented as counts and percentages, and continuous variables as means ± standard deviation (SD). A chi-square or Fisher’s tests were used to compare categorical variables, and a t-test to compare quantitative variables in two groups. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to calculate crude odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI). P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The confidence interval was set at 95%.

Results
Distribution of AV infections
In total, 284 sexually active patients who underwent routine gynecological examination had a diagnosis of AV and were recruited in strict accordance with the inclusion and exclusion criteria. All were aged 18–50 and menstruated regularly. Of the 284 patients with AV, 186 (65.49%) were found to have simple aerobic infection. The remaining 98 patients had mixed infection (34.51%). The most frequent infection combination was AV with BV (65/98, 66.33%), followed by AV with TV (13/98, 13.27%). Details of the distribution of infections are presented in Table 2.

Proportions of mild, moderate and severe AV in simple and mixed AV
According to the AV scores, mild AV infection was most common in simple AV infection, while moderate and severe AV were greater in mixed AV infection than in simple AV infection (Fig. 1).

Distribution of ages of AV patients
Simple AV infection was most common among patients aged 50–60, while mixed AV infection was more common among patients aged 30–40 (Fig. 2).

Comparison of pure and mixed aerobic infections
Of the 284 AV patients, 175 cases were Gus(β-glucuronidase) positive (175/284; 61.62%), and 109 were Gus negative (109/284; 38.38%). A comparison between pure and mixed aerobic infections is shown in Table 3. The results indicate that those aged under 42 years were vulnerable to mixed AV (P = 0.003). Incidentally, the distribution of vaginal bacterial density, diversity of vaginal bacteria and predominance of bacteria were significantly different in simple AV and mixed AV patients (P < 0.01, respectively). From the aspect of functional detection, β-glucuronidase, coagulase and sialidase were significantly

### Table 1 Criteria diagnosis of aerobic vaginitis by Donders’ score

| AV score | lactobacillary grade | Number of leukocytes | Proportion of toxic leukocytes | Background flora | Proportion of parabasal epithelial cells |
|----------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------|
| 0        | I and IIa           | ≤10/hpf              | None or sporadic              | Unremarkable or cytolyis | None or < 1%                            |
| 1        | IIb                 | > 10/hpf and ≤10/epithelial cell | ≤50% of leukocytes          | Small bacilli       | ≤10%                                    |
| 2        | III                 | > 10/epithelial cell | > 50% of leukocytes          | Cocci or chains     | > 10%                                   |

*hp* high power field (400× magnification)

A composite score of 1–2 represented normality. A score of 3–4 corresponded to slight aerobic vaginitis, a score of 5–6, moderate vaginitis, and a score above 6 (to max 10), severe aerobic vaginitis. In practice, a score of 8–10 was usually the same as so-called desquamative vaginitis, the most extreme form of aerobic vaginitis.

### Table 2 Infection status in the AV patients studied

| Infection status | Age (means ± SD) | Case(s) | Percentage (%) |
|------------------|------------------|---------|----------------|
| AV               | 44.58 ± 0.974    | 186     | 65.49%         |
| AV + BV          | 40.85 ± 1.588    | 65      | 22.89%         |
| AV + BV + CV     | 31               | 1       | 0.35%          |
| AV + TV          | 38.38 ± 2.666    | 13      | 4.58%          |
| AV + TV + BV     | 38.67 ± 2.042    | 12      | 4.23%          |
| AV + VVC         | 36.50 ± 4.55     | 4       | 1.41%          |
| AV + VVC + BV    | 31.00 ± 3.786    | 3       | 1.06%          |

*Fig. 1* Proportion of mild, medium and severe AV in simple AV and mixed AV.
different between simple AV and mixed AV, respectively ($P < 0.01$, respectively), while no difference in hydrogen peroxide production ($P = 0.655$), leukocyte esterase ($P = 0.428$) and pH levels ($P = 0.688$) was observed between simple and mixed AV infections (Table 3).

Multivariate logistic regression analysis
Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that the density of vaginal bacteria (OR = 13.294, 95% CI = 5.869–30.115, $P < 0.01$) and bacteria that predominated (OR = 3.962, 95% CI = 1.785–7.984, $P < 0.01$) were risk factors for mixed AV. In addition, positive expression of coagulase (OR = 3.789, 95% CI = 1.798–7.984, $P < 0.01$) was also considered a risk factor of mixed AV (Table 4).

Discussion
AV is a common form of vaginitis affecting millions of women that is distinguished from BV. A number of

| Clinical parameter                              | Total ($n = 284$) | Simple AV infection ($n = 186$) | Mixed AV infection ($n = 98$) | $P$     |
|------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|
| Age (mean ± SD, year)                           | 42.88 ± 0.766     | 44.58 ± 0.874                   | 39.67 ± 1.167               | 0.003   |
| density of vaginal bacteria                     |                   |                                |                             |         |
| II–III                                          | 272               | 185(99.46)                      | 87(88.68)                   | <0.001  |
| I or IV                                         | 12                | 1(0.54)                         | 11(11.22)                   |         |
| diversity of vaginal bacteria                   |                   |                                |                             | 0.013   |
| II–III                                          | 264               | 178(95.70)                      | 86(87.76)                   |         |
| I or IV                                         | 20                | 8(4.30)                         | 12(12.24)                   |         |
| predominant bacteria                            |                   |                                |                             | 0.002   |
| G+ coccus                                       | 175               | 145(77.76)                      | 30(30.61)                   |         |
| G− bacilli                                      | 109               | 41(22.04)                       | 68(69.39)                   |         |
| Hydrogen peroxide (H2O2)                       |                   |                                |                             | 0.207   |
| Negative                                        | 1                 | 1(0.54)                         | 0(0)                        |         |
| Positive                                        | 283               | 185(99.46)                      | 98(100)                     |         |
| β-Glucuronidase (Gus)                           |                   |                                |                             | 0.008   |
| Negative                                        | 256               | 175(94.09)                      | 81(82.65)                   |         |
| Positive                                        | 28                | 11(5.91)                        | 17(17.35)                   |         |
| Leukocyte esterase (LE)                         |                   |                                |                             | 0.428   |
| Negative                                        | 3                 | 3(1.61)                         | 0(0)                        |         |
| Positive                                        | 281               | 183(98.39)                      | 98(100)                     |         |
| Coagulase                                       |                   |                                |                             | <0.001  |
| Negative                                        | 225               | 168(90.32)                      | 57(58.16)                   |         |
| Positive                                        | 59                | 18(9.68)                        | 41(41.84)                   |         |
| Sialidase                                       |                   |                                |                             | <0.001  |
| Negative                                        | 247               | 174(93.55)                      | 73(74.49)                   |         |
| Positive                                        | 37                | 12(6.45)                        | 25(25.51)                   |         |
| pH levels (mean ± SD)                           | 5.31 ± 0.014      | 5.31 ± 0.017                    | 5.30 ± 0.024                | 0.688   |

G+ coccus gram positive coccus, G− bacilli gram negative bacilli
studies have found that the incidence of AV was approximately 11.77%, of which the incidence was approximately 13.08% in pregnancy and 4.34% in nonpregnant women [11]. Therefore, the present study aimed to analyze the differences between simple and mixed AV in order to assist in the diagnosis, treatment and management of patients. These results represent reference data for AV.

In the present study, 65.49% of cases were found to have a simple aerobic infection, and 34.51% had mixed infections. The results contradict the study of Wang (prevalence of simple AV: 38.67%, mixed AV: 61.33%) [17]. The reason for this may be that those women lived in a dry and conservative city. They will have sweated less, consistent with cleaner vulva and engaged in less hazardous sexual behavior. In addition, in the present study simple AV infection was most commonly observed in AV patients, focusing on elderly women in their 50s to 60s. Women younger than 42 years of age had a higher risk of mixed AV than more elderly women. The reason for mixed AV infection being more common in younger women may lie in their higher levels of estrogen, strong autoimmunity, and higher frequency of sexual activity. AV was found to be more commonly associated with BV (65/284, 22.89%), trichomonas vaginalis (13/284, 4.58%) and BV with TV (12/284, 4.41%). In a similar study, AV observed in 61.33% of patients was combined with other causes such as BV (33.67%), VVC (16.0%) or TV (5%) [17]. Although a number of researchers have found that AV infection is accompanied with other forms of vaginitis, such as candidiasis, trichomonas, and bacterial vaginitis, and presenting different clinical manifestations, the precise mechanism remains unclear [18–20]. The reason may be that the pathogenic bacteria in AV and BV habituate weak alkaline and inflammatory environments, an assumption that requires verification. From these results, medium and severe AV in mixed AV infection was more frequent than that in simple AV infection in terms of AV score, suggesting that vaginitis combined with AV infection was more associated with environments that were more inflamed and rich in a variety of bacteria in the vagina. However, no study has demonstrated different AV scores affecting different treatment strategies [21].

Compared with intestinal flora, vaginal flora in child-bearing women consist of only 40 species of bacteria, with one variety of lactobacillus generally predominating, including L. crispatus, L. gasseri, L. iners, and L. jensenii, which produce lactic acid and build a vaginal immunological barrier [22]. The dominant bacteria in the vaginas of women of different races are significantly different, with lactobacillus in 80–90% of Asians and Caucasians, and in fewer than 60% of blacks and Hispanics [23]. The present study identified density and the species of predominant bacteria as independent risk factors for mixed AV infection, while no association between diversity of vaginal bacteria and mixed AV infection was found. However, if a change to predominant bacteria occurs, a loss of balance has an adverse consequence for the health of women. In addition, the diversity of vaginal bacteria also plays an important role in balance, but multivariate analysis in the present study suggests that diversity of vaginal bacteria possibly influences mixed AV but is not an independent risk factor.

Based on enzymatic activity, the results indicate that β-glucuronidase, coagulase and sialidase were positive in mixed AV patients. Wang demonstrated that in women positive for β-glucuronidase, coagulase and sialidase, AV was associated with Escherichia coli and B. streptococcus, with increased risk of cervical intraepithelial neoplasia (CIN) [17, 24]. Expression of the sialidase-encoding gene from Gardnerella vaginalis, was found to be significantly greater in persistent HPV infection, and may represent a microbial marker. The predominant pathogen of AV can decrease the beneficial effects of lactobacillus, causing inflammation, such as increased levels of IL-6, IL-8 and TNF-α, increasing the risk of HPV 16 infection which results in CIN or cervical cancer [25–27]. According to multivariate logistic regression analysis, only the effect of coagulase was found to be a risk factor in mixed AV patients. As is well known, coagulase positivity is a sign of S. aureus rather than other staphylococci [28].

The vaginal ecosystem has a diverse and complex microbiota that balances probiotic species and opportunistic

### Table 4 Multivariate logistic regression analysis of risk factors for mixed AV

| Parameters                              | β     | S.E  | Walds | P    | OR (95% CI) |
|-----------------------------------------|-------|------|-------|------|-------------|
| Age (mean ± SD)                         | −0.026| 0.016| 2.751 | 0.097| 0.974(0.945–1.005) |
| density of vaginal bacteria (II-III vs. I or IV) | 2.587 | 0.417 | 38.460 | <0.01 | 13.294(5.869–30.115) |
| diversity of vaginal bacteria (II-III vs. I or IV) | 0.545 | 0.530 | 1.059 | 0.303 | 1.725(0.611–4.870) |
| predominant bacteria (G+ coccus vs. G− bacilli) | 1.377 | 0.407 | 11.450 | <0.01 | 3.962(1.785–7.984) |
| β-Glucuronidase (positive vs. negative) | 0.064 | 0.334 | 0.037 | 0.847 | 1.066(0.554–2.052) |
| Coagulase (positive vs. negative)       | 1.332 | 0.380 | 12.269 | <0.01 | 3.789(1.798–7.894) |
| Sialidase (positive vs. negative)       | −0.229| 0.459 | 0.424 | 0.515 | 0.742(0.302–1.824) |

**OR** odds ratios, **CI** confidence interval
Conclusions

In conclusion, aerobic vaginitis remains prevalent in simple infections, and the age of patients with simple AV was elder than those with mixed AV. In addition, the density of vaginal bacteria (I or IV), predominant bacteria and coagulase were high-risk factors for mixed AV infection. However, extensive epidemiological data and further studies are required to better understand the epidemiology of AV infection and the strategies aiming to treat simple and mixed AV infection.
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