Deconstructing the molecular genetics behind the PINK1/Parkin axis in Parkinson’s disease using *Drosophila melanogaster* as a model organism

Suchita Ganesan and Venkatachalam Deepa Parvathi*

Abstract

**Background:** Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a multifactorial neurodegenerative disorder marked by the death of nigrostriatal dopaminergic neurons in response to the compounding effects of oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction and protein aggregation. Transgenic *Drosophila* models have been used extensively to decipher the underlying genetic interactions that exacerbate neural health in PD. Autosomal recessive forms of the disease have been linked to mutations in the serine/threonine kinase PINK1 (PTEN-Induced Putative Kinase 1) and E3 ligase Parkin, which function in an axis that is conserved in flies. This review aims to probe the current understanding of PD pathogenesis via the PINK1/Parkin axis while underscoring the importance of several molecular and pharmacologic rescues brought to light through studies in *Drosophila*.

**Main body:** Mutations in *PINK1* and *Parkin* have been shown to affect the axonal transport of mitochondria within dopaminergic neurons and perturb the balance between mitochondrial fusion/fission resulting in abnormal mitochondrial morphology. As per studies in flies, ectopic expression of Fwd kinase and Atg-1 to promote fission and mitophagy while suppressing fusion via MUL1 E3 ligase may aid to halt mitochondrial aggregation and prolong the survival of dopaminergic neurons. Furthermore, upregulation of Hsp70/Hsp90 chaperone systems (Trap1, CHIP) to target misfolded mitochondrial respiratory complexes may help to preserve their bioenergetic capacity. Accumulation of reactive oxygen species as a consequence of respiratory complex dysfunction or antioxidant enzyme deficiency further escalates neural death by inducing apoptosis, lipid peroxidation and DNA damage. Fly studies have reported the induction of canonical Wnt signalling to enhance the activity of transcriptional co-activators (PGC1α, FOXO) which induce the expression of antioxidant enzymes. Enhancing the clearance of free radicals via uncoupling proteins (UCP4) has also been reported to ameliorate oxidative stress-induced cell death in *PINK1*/Parkin mutants.

**Conclusion:** While these novel mechanisms require validation through mammalian studies, they offer several explanations for the factors propagating dopaminergic death as well as promising insights into the therapeutic importance of transgenic fly models in PD.
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**Background**

Parkinson’s disease (PD) is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that occurs due to the depletion of dopaminergic neurons within the substantia nigra pars compacta
explored in western populations, there have been sig-
linked to autosomal recessive forms [6]. Protein deglycase (PARK2) mutations have been
higher prevalence in developed countries has been attrib-
based studies reporting standardized incidence rates
of 8–18 per 100,000 person-years [4]. The tendency for
higher prevalence in developed countries has been attrib-
ted to the increased exposure towards environmental
toxins as a consequence of extensive industrialization
[5]. Although the vast majority of cases are considered to
be sporadic, over 20 gene loci (termed PARK loci) have been implicated in heritable forms of the disease. Specifically, mutations in α-synuclein (SNCA/PARK1), leucine-rich repeat kinase 2 (LRRK2/PARK8) and vacuolar protein sorting ortholog 35 (VPS35/PARK17) have been associated with autosomal dominant PD, whereas Parkin (PARK2), PTEN-induced kinase 1 (PINK1/PARK6) and protein deglycase (DF-1/PARK7) mutations have been
linked to autosomal recessive forms [6].

While the genetic landscape of PD has been rigorously
explored in western populations, there have been sig-
ificantly fewer large-scale studies emerging from Asian
countries [5, 7, 8]. Lower percentages of aged popula-
tions, lack of awareness of symptoms amongst affected
individuals and mis-diagnosis are the key factors behind
low incidence rates throughout Asia. Smoking, pesticide
exposure and caffeine intake are a few risk factors well
established across all populations [5]. Nonetheless, with
PD being a disorder that primarily targets individu-
als of a higher age bracket (70–80), the disease burden of
PD and similar age-dependent neurological disorders is
comparatively higher in western countries [9].

Autosomal recessive forms of PD manifest relatively
early on (under 50 years of age or juvenile onset if under
30) with patients presenting classic motor symptoms
such as dyskinesias and dystonia. In such patients, the
response to levodopa therapy is often conflated with the
increased occurrence of dyskinesias, therefore escalating
motor damage [10]. Taking these features into account,
there is an exigent need to deconstruct the factors pre-
cipitating neural death. Concerning the pathogenic basis
behind PD, the deterioration of neural health has been
attributed to the compounding effects of protein aggre-
gation, mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative assault and
cascading neuroinflammatory reactions [1]. Moreover, a notable amount of research has sought to uncover the
roles of the aforementioned genes in the abrogation of
these mechanisms [11]. PINK1 and Parkin have shown to
be highly interactive functioning as an axis that regulates
mitochondrial biogenesis, apoptosis, oxidative stress and
protein misfolding [12–14]. Multiple organisms have
been utilised to decipher the nature of this axis. The fruit
fly Drosophila melanogaster, in particular, has been con-
sidered as a robust model universally employed to invest-
igate neurodegenerative diseases such as PD due to its
short lifespan, ability to exhibit locomotor defects and
replicate Lewy body pathology [15]. The latter stands as
a key feature that distinguishes flies from mammalian
models which often fail to replicate pathological hall-
marks of PD, thus making them less suitable for study-
ing pathogenic mechanisms [16]. Drosophila maintains
homologues for almost 77% of mammalian disease caus-
ing genes and possesses a highly organized dopaminergic
neuron system allowing for an efficient understanding
of the molecular events foregoing neural degeneration.
Some of the techniques used to generate models of
mutant flies have involved the application of GAL4/UAS
drivers, RNA interference (RNAi) and more recently,
CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing technology. GAL4/UAS
drivers have been designed to study the mis-expression
of transgenes in a tissue specific manner without com-
promising fly survival while RNA interference has been
used to study the nature of recessive genes by inducing
gene knockdown or loss of function [15, 17, 18]. So far,
Drosophila PINK1/Parkin models have been extensively
studied to provide empirical evidence supporting the link
between mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress and
neural death [15]. This review aims to provide a compre-
hsensive analysis of the behaviour of the PINK1/Parkin
axis while highlighting how studies in flies have yielded
important insights into possible molecular and pharma-
cologic rescues suitable for therapeutic exploitation in
patients with autosomal recessive PD.

**Drosophila melanogaster: a robust model for Parkinson’s disease**

Multiple mammalian (rodents, non-human primates)
and non-mammalian (Drosophila melanogaster (fruit fly),
Danio rerio (zebrafish), Caenorhabditis (C.) elegans, Ory-
zius latipes (medaka fish)) models have been utilized to
study familial forms of PD. Non-mammalian models have
been viciously employed due to their low cost, short lifes-
pan, simple cultivation and ease of genetic manipulation
owed to their small genome size [19]. By implementing
the use of GAL4/UAS drivers and RNAi, studying the
effects of tissue specific gene overexpression/silencing
on disease phenotypes is relatively simple [15, 17–19].
Drosophila models are specifically capable of producing a wide range of distinct motor phenotypes (walking/ flying/climbing deficits) consistent with patterns of age-dependent dopaminergic cell loss [15, 20]. Unlike other non-mammalian models, the pathways of dopamine metabolism are also highly conserved between flies and humans [21]. Homologues for several PD causing genes such as PINK1, Parkin, DJ-1, VPS35 and LRRK2 are encoded by the fly genome. Although flies lack a homologue for SNCA, overexpression of human wild type SNCA and disease-causing mutant forms in Drosophila induces dopaminergic death, motor and non-motor PD phenotypes [22].

While mammalian models bear greater physiological and genetic similarities to humans, they hold certain limitations [16]. Firstly, the generation of transgenic rodents requires additional effort to counteract issues of transgene silencing, leaky expression and unexpected mutations due to random integration [23]. Transgenic rodents have also presented several inconsistencies in key pathological hallmarks of PD. These include mild defects in mitochondrial morphology, faint or complete absence of dopaminergic cell loss in the SNpc as well as a lack of Lewy body inclusions [19]. The reasons for these inconsistencies have been attributed to the possibility of alternative mechanisms of genetic compensation and the fact that pathology may be additionally dependent on the exposure to environmental toxins [16, 24]. To counteract this issue, some have proposed that inducing genetic defects alongside exposure to environmental toxins might help to produce more pronounced pathology. However, few studies have confirmed the validity of this method [25].

While there is still no genetic model that strongly displays all pathological hallmarks of PD, such glaring issues in rodent models are hard to overlook. For these reasons, Drosophila serves as comparatively ideal model to study the genetic and molecular underpinnings of PD pathogenesis [19].

**Parkin - E3 ubiquitin ligase**

Mutations in the PARK2 locus account for the majority of autosomal recessive juvenile cases of PD [26, 27]. The PARK2 locus located on the long arm of chromosome 6 encodes the protein Parkin which belongs to the family of E3 ubiquitin (Ub) ligases [28]. Parkin possesses a N-terminal ubiquitin like domain (UBL), three RING (really interesting new gene) domains (RING0, RING1, RING2) separated by an in-between RING (IBR) domain and an autoinhibitory REP (repressor element of parkin) region. RING1, IBR and RING2 fold to form a RING-in-between-RING (RBR) segment. Following the activation of E2 (ubiquitin conjugating enzyme) by E1 (ubiquitin activating enzyme), Parkin (a RBR ligase) catalyses the transfer of ubiquitin from the cysteine residue of E2 to its own cysteine residue on its RING2 domain. Ub-bound Parkin ubiquitinates specific target proteins which may be degraded by a proteasome (ubiquitin proteasome system/UPS) or used to alter cellular functions [29, 30]. Parkin substrates take on different downstream processes depending on the type of ubiquitin linkage they possess [31]. In case of PD, mutations in Parkin have been shown to abolish its ability to target proteins for ubiquitylation and proteasomal degradation thus precipitating dopaminergic cell loss [26, 27].

**PINK1 serine/threonine kinase**

Mutations in the PARK6 locus are also significantly responsible for triggering autosomal recessive juvenile PD [32]. Parkin interacts with the mitochondrial serine/threonine kinase PTEN-induced putative kinase 1 (PINK1), encoded by the PARK6 locus located on the short arm of chromosome 1 [28]. PINK1 possesses a N-terminal mitochondrial targeting sequence, an α-helix transmembrane domain, a series of insertion-linked-beta strands that make up the main kinase domain followed by a non-catalytic C-terminal domain [33]. Following stress-induced depolarization of the outer mitochondrial membrane, PINK1 ceases to undergo N-terminal cleavage via the inner membrane protease PARL (presenilin-associated rhomboid-like protein) for import to the inner mitochondrial membrane and instead remains embedded in the outer membrane [34]. Here, PINK1 stabilizes through autophosphorylation of its kinase domains and proceeds to phosphorylate cytosolic Parkin on serine (Ser 65) or threonine (Thr 175) residues to induce its translocation to the mitochondria [35–37]. While PINK1 is primarily localized to the mitochondria, N-terminal cleaved fragments are also localized within the cytosol upon Cdc37/ Hsp90 chaperone mediated processing [38].

Mutations in PINK1 have been found to result in loss of kinase activity due to conformational changes in its catalytic domains, and reduced translocation to the mitochondrial outer membrane due to poor interaction with import–export machinery [39]. Consequently, loss of PINK1 mediated phosphorylation impedes the translocation of Parkin for mitochondrial quality control. The cellular consequences of PINK1/Parkin dysfunction with regard to PD pathology are complex and will be discussed in the subsequent sections.

**The PINK1/Parkin axis in Drosophila**

Both Parkin and PINK1 are functionally conserved in Drosophila melanogaster. Overexpression of Drosophila parkin can rescue pink1 null phenotypes but not vice versa confirming that pink1 functions upstream of
Mitochondrial dynamics in PD

The earliest evidence that linked mitochondrial dysfunction to nigrostriatal death came from patients who abused a drug containing the neurotoxin MPTP (1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine) [46]. MPTP is one among many neurotoxins known to cause progressive dopaminergic loss by disturbing mitochondrial respiration, ROS metabolism and fission/fusion dynamics within SNpc neurons [47, 48]. Analysis of cells derived from patients with Parkin, PINK1 and LRRK2 mutations have noted patterns of abnormal mitochondrial morphology indicative of mechanisms driven by fission/fusion, lysosomal and trafficking proteins [49–51]. These observations have led to extensive transgenic animal studies looking into the aberrant interactions between familial genes and proteins involved in mitochondrial dynamics [52].

Mitochondria are highly intricate organelles which serve as the fundamental source of energy within neurons [53]. The double membranated organelles contain respiratory complexes distributed throughout their highly folded cristae which synthesize adenosine triphosphate (ATP) via oxidative phosphorylation. Both membranes harbour GTPases that circumvent the fission and fusion of mitochondria based on the requirements of the neuron [54]. Mitofusins (Mfn1, Mfn2) facilitate the fusion of outer mitochondrial membranes (OMM) while optic atrophy 1 (OPA1) mediates inner membrane (IMM) fusion. Conversely, dynamin related protein (Drp1), mitochondrial fission-1 protein (Fis1) as well as certain adaptor proteins localize at mitochondria-endoplasmic reticulum (ER) contact sites to facilitate the division of mitochondria into smaller fragments [55]. These smaller mitochondrial fragments are of higher motility which allow for increased localization near nerve endings where synaptic transmission occurs [56, 57]. Fusion of mitochondria that have healthy intact genomes with those that are acutely damaged may also help to prolong the functionality of the latter [58]. Aside from maximizing the availability of ATP for energy driven processes such as neurotransmission via the aforementioned mechanisms, mitochondria undergo vicious cycles of fusion and fission to prime damaged mitochondria that are beyond repair for degradation [59].

Dysfunctional mitochondrial dynamics are a key source of metabolic stress within neurons. The following sections will detail the behaviour of the PINK1/Parkin axis and how it affects mitochondrial pathology within the context of PD.

PINK1/Parkin regulation of fusion and fission

In the event of mitochondrial damage due to assault by reactive oxygen species, severe membrane depolarization or accumulation of matrix debris, Parkin endorses sequestration of malfunctioning mitochondria by inhibiting mitochondrial fusion and fission while promoting mitophagy [60, 61]. In mammalian HeLa cells, Parkin ubiquitiniates mitochondrial fusion proteins mitofusins 1 and 2 (Mfn1 and Mfn2) marking them for p97 (ATPase) assisted proteasomal degradation to prevent fusion and prepare for mitophagy [62]. Knockdown of Marf (Drosophila ortholog of human Mfn2) was shown to reduce abnormal mitochondrial morphology in the muscles of pink1 and parkin mutants thus confirming the mitoprotective effects of parkin mediated inhibition of fusion [63]. As stated earlier, the dynamin related protein Drp1 is a cytosolic GTPase that functions as a mitochondrial...
Fission protein which surrounds and constricts mitochondrial membranes resulting in division (reaction powered by GTP hydrolysis) [64]. In mammalian cells, Parkin localizes on impaired mitochondria and ubiquitylates Drp1 priming it for proteasomal degradation hence rescuing cells from the accumulation of damaged mitochondria by inhibiting mitochondrial fission [65]. Conversely, studies involving Drosophila parkin and pink1 mutants have revealed that parkin overexpression in flies promotes mitochondrial fission through Drp1 and Fis1. Overexpression of the Drp1 ortholog in flies rescued abnormal wing posture and suppressed muscle degeneration in pink1 and parkin mutants [66]. The divergence in pathways suggests that there may be distinct factors that regulate Drp1 mediated mitochondrial fission in addition to the pink1/parkin axis in flies. Interestingly, two mechanisms have been elucidated regarding the latter, one being via autophagy related gene 1 (Atg-1) and the other through four-wheel drive (Fwd) kinase [67, 68].

**Atg-1 and Fwd target Drp1-mediated fission**

In addition to being involved in the turnover of dysfunctional organelles via autophagy, Atg-1 overexpression in pink1/parkin mutant flies has been shown to promote the sequestration of healthy mitochondria via upregulation of Drp1 mediated fission. Following Atg-1 knockdown in pink1 mutant flies, flight muscles were consistent with large irregularly shaped mitochondria which appeared to be as a consequence of abrogated fission and uninhibited fusion. Subsequent overexpression of Drp1 rescued the aforementioned phenotypes and prolonged dopaminergic neuron survival in fly brains. Furthermore, Atg-1 regulated fission was attributed to an increase in post transcriptional modification of Drp1, enhancing its activity and therefore fission. It has been suggested that since mitochondrial dynamics and Atg-1 expression are both nutrient driven, likewise is the induction of Atg-1 mediated fusion [67]. Another pathway that has garnered similar interest involves four-wheel drive (Fwd) kinase (the *Drosophila* ortholog of phosphatidylinositol 4-kinase III-β), a phosphoinositide that regulates membrane trafficking within cells. Analogous to pink1 null phenotypes, loss of four-wheel drive (Fwd) has been shown to trigger hyperfusion of mitochondria, locomotor defects and reduce the lifespan of flies. Surprisingly, while overexpression of Drp1 could rescue pink1/parkin null flies, it failed to rescue pink1/parkin/Fwd (triple mutant) phenotypes thus inferring that Drp1-mediated fission may be dependent on Fwd expression [68]. Currently there lies no detailed explanation on the molecular interactions between these proteins and so before these pathways are put forth as therapeutic targets, the specific conditions which are needed to evoke them and their behaviour in mammalian models require further investigation.

**MUL1 targets mitofusins alongside Parkin to inhibit fusion**

With regard to fusion dynamics, the mitochondrial ubiquitin ligase (MUL1) has been found to target mitofusins in a similar manner to Parkin mediated ubiquitylation and degradation following mitochondrial stress [69]. MUL1 is a small ubiquitin-like modifier (SUMO) E3 ligase localized to the OMM which has been reported to SUMOylate Drp1 and prime cells for apoptosis [70]. According to a recent study, overexpression of the MUL1 ortholog in flies (*Mul1*) has been shown to suppress phenotypes caused by overexpression of mitofusins, knockdown of *pink1* or *parkin*. The study hypothesized that Mul1 operates in parallel to pink1 and parkin as all double mutants (*pink1/Mul1, parkin/Mul1*) showed exacerbated phenotypes compared to single mutants. Moreover, the functional importance of Mul1 in inhibiting mitochondrial fusion was demonstrated when *pink1/parkin* double mutants showed milder phenotypes than *pink1/Mul1* and *parkin/Mul1* double mutants. MUL1 mediated ubiquitylation of mitofusins was also confirmed in mammalian cells [69]. While it has been suggested that upregulation of *MUL1* might serve as a novel method to target mitochondrial dysfunction in PD, a certain polymorphism in the *MUL1* gene was recently identified as a risk factor for PD in a cohort of Chinese patients [71]. Further investigation into the downstream effects of this variant could bring new insights into the importance of MUL1 in cellular dynamics and if it possibly contributes to PD pathology in a way that broadens our current understanding.

These studies make clear that mitochondrial fission and fusion are highly complex processes and further study into additional influencing factors would provide greater insight into the role of fission/fusion dynamics in neural health.

**PINK1/Parkin regulation of mitophagy**

As earlier stated, the clearance of dysfunctional mitochondria via mitophagy is a critical process in neurons. Apart from evoking UPS mediated degradation, Parkin may target outer mitochondrial proteins (OMP) such as VDAC1 (voltage-dependent anion channel 1) or mitofusins (Mfn2) to induce mitophagy [60, 61]. Ubiquitination and phosphorylation of such membrane proteins recruits autophagic receptors such as NDP52, Tax1-binding protein 1 (TAXBP1) or Optineurin (OPTN) which bind to phosphor-ubiquitin chains on the ubiquitylated cargo while interacting with phagophore membranes.
PINK1/Parkin mediated mitophagy is regulated by deubiquitinating enzymes such as USP8, USP15 and USP30. Self-ubiquitination of Parkin (K6 linked) is a form of autoinhibition that restrains Parkin from proteasomal degradation and mitochondrial recruitment. USP8 severs K6 linkages on ubiquitylated Parkin to endorse mitophagy following stress-induced depolarization of the OMM [73]. In Drosophila studies, silencing of CG8334 (Drosophila homolog close to human USP15), was observed to improve locomotor ability and reduce mitochondrial clustering in parkin RNAi flies. USP15 was found to deubiquitinate K48 and K63 linked OMP thus antagonizing parkin mediated mitophagy [74]. Additionally, knockdown of CG3016 (Drosophila homolog of human USP30) reduced the percentage of swollen mitochondria with disorganized cristae in parkin mutant flies [75]. USP30 was found to severe K6 linkages on TOM20, Miro1 and parkin itself. Thereby blocking parkin mediated mitophagy while also promoting the proteasomal degradation of parkin [76–78]. Thus, inhibition of USP15 and USP30 activity could serve as a therapeutic approach to ameliorate PD phenotypes that are aggravated by defective mitochondrial clearance [79].

**PINK1/Parkin induce mitophagy via Miro GTPase**

Considering that dopaminergic neurons have slender axons and are of limited myelination compared to other classes of neurons within the substantia nigra, the compounding effects of defective mitochondrial clearance, fission/fusion dynamics and mitochondrial transport can be deleterious to their health [80]. Anterograde transport of mitochondria towards the synaptic terminals is associated with kinesin motor proteins while retrograde transport to the soma is facilitated by dynein motors [81]. A class of mitochondrial Rho GTPases bound to the OMM known as Miro proteins interact with the kinesin/dynein motors via the adaptor protein Milton/TRAK (Trafficing kinesin-binding protein) to regulate mitochondrial transport along axons [82]. According to Drosophila studies, pink1 mediated phosphorylation (at Ser182/324 or Thr325) and parkin mediated degradation of Miro forces the detachment of damaged mitochondria from the motor protein complex, possibly in preparation for mitophagy [83, 84]. Expression of unphosphorylated Miro in pink1 inactivated flies has been shown to increase axonal mitochondrial movement, synaptic overgrowth and reduce the number of dopaminergic neuron clusters amongst fly larval motor neurons [83]. While Drosophila pink1 mutants show upregulated levels of Miro and eventually suffer from significant dopaminergic loss, PINK1/Parkin inactivated murine models do not [84]. The difference in phenotypes does not necessarily imply that there are no pathological consequences of this process in humans. In fact, impeded degradation of Miro in fibroblast cells derived from PD patients with PINK1, Parkin and LRRK2 mutations has confirmed the clinical importance of mitochondrial motility and impaired mitophagy in PD [51, 85]. Thus, the difference in phenotypes between flies and rodents could be attributed to different compensatory mechanisms that exclude the PINK1/Parkin axis. There are differing theories on the interaction between PINK1/Parkin and Miro in humans. Studies in HeLa cells initially demonstrated that PINK1 requires the co-expression of Parkin to downregulate Miro levels and clear defective mitochondria via mitophagy [86]. Conversely, more recent studies have suggested that degradation of Miro can occur independently of PINK1 phosphorylation and that Parkin interacts with Miro prior to mitochondrial depolarization in the event of neural calcium overload. The latter is hypothesized to induce Parkin mediated polyubiquitination (K572 linkage) of Miro followed by mitophagy. Furthermore, this pathway has been reported to unfold alongside the PINK1/Parkin pathway that ensues following stress-induced depolarization [87]. While the link between impaired regulation of Miro and neurodegeneration in recessive PD warrants further investigation, current studies present promising evidence that dysfunction of Miro is a contributing factor [88].

Overall, these studies provide different explanations for the pattern of mitochondrial aggregation within dopaminergic neurons and underscore the significance of dysfunctional mitochondrial dynamics in recessive PD.

**Oxidative stress in PD**

The stability of ETS (electron transport system) complexes is critical in neurons. When mitochondrial respiration is compromised, it leads to the depletion of ATP which has severe implications for the synaptic activity of neurons (from affecting the generation of action potentials to neurotransmitter release) [89, 90]. Impaired ETS activity along with the deficiency of antioxidant enzymes could also accelerate the accumulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) thus propagating dopaminergic neuron loss [91]. Clear evidence of oxidative damage in PD brains has been made following the detection of 8-hydroxyguanine (oxidatively modified nucleic acid) and 4-hydroxynonenal (product of lipid peroxidation) within neurons of the substantia nigra [92, 93]. Complex 1 of the ETS has also been shown to undergo oxidative modifications of its subunits to present protein carbonyl residues that correlate with decreased complex 1 activity [94]. In terms of ETS induced oxidative stress, several studies have disputed whether the instability of ETS complexes
arises from mutations in mitochondrial DNA, as a result of exposure to environmental toxins (paraquat, rotenone) or both [95, 96]. The main mechanism of ROS accumulation via the ETS appears to occur through the leakage of electrons from dysfunctional complexes [97].

**ETS complexes lead the ROS cascade**

From the mitochondrial matrix, intermediates of the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA cycle) NADH (reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide) and FADH2 (reduced flavin Adenine dinucleotide) are reduced upon donating electrons to complex I and complex II in the IMM. The shuttling of electrons from complex I and II to complex III (via coenzyme Q) and subsequently complex IV (via cytochrome c) induces a proton gradient across the IMM (chemiosmosis) that drives the synthesis of ATP from ADP and Pi (via ATP synthase); a process known as oxidative phosphorylation [54]. Electrons that leak from complex I and complex III are accepted by oxygen in the matrix to form superoxide anions. These superoxide anions are converted to hydrogen peroxide by the antioxidant enzyme superoxide dismutase (SOD). Lack of superoxide clearance can affect the stability of proteins such as aconitase (Krebs cycle enzyme) and complex I itself. Superoxide targets iron-sulphur clusters within these proteins resulting in their inactivation and the release of Fe2+[97, 98]. Hydrogen peroxide reacts with the latter to produce hydroxyl radicals (Fenton and Haber–Weiss reactions) which precipitate the oxidative modification of nucleic acids (causing mitochondrial DNA damage), lipid peroxidation (affecting mitochondrial membrane stability) and protein damage (modification of ETS complexes) [99]. ROS may also promote apoptosis by inducing the release of cytochrome c through the oxidation of cardiolipin, or by interacting with MPTP proteins [97–99].

**PINK1/Trap1 protect against oxidative stress by regulating complex I activity**

According to *Drosophila* studies, *pink1* mutants have been shown to exhibit impaired mitochondrial transmission and increased sensitivity to ROS assault as a consequence of complex I inactivity [100]. While PINK1 does not directly participate in redox homeostasis through regulation of ETS complexes, PINK1 mediated phosphorylation of the mitochondrial chaperone tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated protein 1 (Trap1) has been observed to exert protection against ROS induced stress. Upon phosphorylation, Trap1 and PINK1 become co-localized to the IMM as well as the intermembrane space [101]. However, it is important to note that other fly studies have shown that Trap1 activity may not necessarily be entirely dependent on PINK1 phospho-activation to exert mito-protection. In discussion of its mito-protective role, it has been established that certain heat shock protein (Hsp60/70/100) classes are highly associated with mitochondrial biogenesis by regulating the assembly, folding and translocation of various mitochondrial proteins [102]. Being a member of the Hsp90 (heat shock protein 90) family of chaperone proteins, it is possible that Trap1 could affect the folding and assembly of respiratory subunits. In support of this theory, ablation of the Trap1 ortholog (*Trap1*) in *pink1* mutant flies has been shown to lower complex I expression and ATP levels eventually culminating in dopamine deficiency. These defects were then rescued following ectopic *Trap1* expression. In addition, the latter improved the locomotor ability and survival of *pink1* mutants that were subjected to paraquat induced neurotoxicity [103]. These results thus indicate that Trap1 may be key in inhibiting the onset of ROS build up via the ETS as well as strengthening the organellar response following ROS assault.

**Sir2 and Trap1 inhibit oxidative stress through FOXO**

Interestingly, recent studies have proposed an alternative mechanism for the influence of Trap1 on oxidative stress. According to one study, enhanced expression of *Drosophila* Trap1 failed to rescue ROS-induced mitochondrial defects expressed by *pink1* mutant flies. Instead, the phenotypes were ameliorated following the knockdown of *Trap1*. The study went on to report that suppression of *Trap1* resulted in increased expression of the transcriptional activator forkhead box O (FOXO) [104]. FOXO expression has been known to impede ROS build up by upregulating transcription of genes encoding the antioxidant enzyme superoxide dismutase 2 (SOD2). Interestingly FOXO factors have been found to operate in a feedback loop triggered by the accumulation of matrix proteins which accelerate ROS production in turn activate FOXO factors [105]. Although this particular feedback mechanism has not been studied in flies or mammalian models, it presents FOXO as an interesting hypothetical target for exploitation in PD. In addition to *Trap1*, expression of *FOXO* has also been shown to be dependent on *pink1*. Through an elusive mechanism, *pink1* has been hypothesized to activate the histone deacetylase silent information regulator 2 (Sir2) which deacetylates FOXO to trigger transcription of target genes (SOD2, 4E-binding protein). Ectopic expression of *Sir2* and *FOXO* in *pink1* null flies was observed to recover functional wing posture, flight muscle activity, mitochondrial morphology, ATP levels and reduce dopaminergic neuron loss [106]. Taken together, these mechanisms paint a complex picture of how Trap1 may mediate the response to oxidative stress.
UCP4 works against the ETS to ameliorate oxidative stress

While the ETS remains a huge source for ROS build up, additional IMM proteins also partake in ROS metabolism, one being a member of the family of uncoupling proteins. Uncoupling protein 4 (UCP4) belongs to a family of mitochondrial solute carriers (SLC25) which include the homologues UCP1-5. UCP4 serves as an anion channel embedded in the IMM which facilitates the leakage of protons from the intermembrane space back into the matrix, by-passing complex V (ATP synthase) mediated proton transfer during oxidative phosphorylation. Aside from reducing the potential of a highly polarized mitochondrial membrane, the resulting proton pool in the matrix scavenges any free radicals to truncate the ROS cascade and does so without affecting ATP production. It has been hypothesized that UCPs function in a negative feedback loop with UCPs being modified into their active state by 4-hydroxyhexanal, a product of lipid peroxidation that is produced downstream of the ROS cascade. This way UCPs inhibit membrane hyperpolarization and ROS build-up [107, 108]. In addition to regulating oxidative stress, UCP4 expression has been associated with the uptake of succinate into the mitochondria to drive complex II activity and oxidative phosphorylation [109].

Overexpression of the UCP4 ortholog in flies (UCP4A) has been shown to suppress respiratory defects (rescue low complex I and ATP levels), flight muscle degeneration and mortality from paraquat or rotenone induced oxidative stress in pink1 mutants. UCP4A overexpression also ameliorated similar motor defects in parkin flies. While these studies ascertained that UCP4A functions downstream of pink1 and parkin, the exact relationship between these proteins remains to be elucidated [78]. Interestingly, the link between recessive PD and UCP4 has been further established with rodent studies showing that mutant forms of DJ-1 (PARK7) result in lower UCP4 expression via the NF-κB (Nuclear factor kappa B) pathway therefore propagating neural death [111]. The regulation of UCP4 within the confines of PD is therefore rather complicated and further investigation should clarify its therapeutic potential in recessive PD.

Pgc1α expression ameliorates ROS stress via the PINK1/Parkin axis

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator-1-α (PGC1α) is a transcriptional coactivator known to modulate a variety of cellular processes such as glucose metabolism, fatty acid oxidation, thermogenesis and organellar metabolism. PGC1α primarily exerts its mito-protective role by interacting with specific transcription factors such as transcription factor A, mitochondrial (TFAM) and nuclear respiratory factor-1 (NRF-1). Through such factors, PGC1α regulates mitochondrial respiratory and oxidative capacity by upregulating the synthesis of TCA cycle enzymes, the expression of respiratory complexes (ETS) and mitochondrial antioxidant enzymes (superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase) [112]. From recent studies, the KRAB/zinc finger binding protein PARIS (ZNF746) has been reported to target the promoter sequence of PGC1α resulting in its suppression and further precipitation of nigral dopaminergic death [113]. Phosphorylation of PARIS (at Ser322/613) by PINK1 and ubiquitination by Parkin triggers its degradation thus allowing PGC1α to exert its mito-protective effects on neurons [114]. In Drosophila pink1/parkin mutant flies, overexpression of the PARIS ortholog (dPARIS) was observed to result in age-dependent climbing defects, dopaminergic neuron loss, increased lethality as well reduction of mitochondrial DNA copy number. The aforementioned phenotypes were then rescued following knockdown of dPARIS thus highlighting its therapeutic potential [115]. Similar to PARIS-induced repression, the activity of PGC1α has been reported to be affected by several other pre-/post-transcriptional modifications and so further research into additional regulatory factors could clarify the nature of this axis in PD and help define the correct approach for therapy [116].

Wnt2 impedes oxidative stress via PGC1α and Foxo

There is a growing body of research being dedicated to the application of canonical Wnt (Wingless-type mouse mammary tumor virus (MMTV) integration site) signalling in neurodegenerative disorders. From promoting proliferation and differentiation of dopaminergic neurons within the midbrain to axon/dendrite and synapse formation, Wnt signalling molecules are integral players in CNS health [117, 118]. Canonical Wnt signalling involves the cell-surface receptor Frizzled (Fzd) and co-receptor LDL-receptor-related protein (LRP5/6). Binding of the Wnt ligand to these receptors results in the recruitment of the protein Dishevelled (Dvl) and a destruction complex to the plasma membrane. The complex is composed of casein kinase 1 (CK1γ), glycogen synthase kinase 3 (GSK3β), axin and adenomatous polyposis coli (APC). CK1γ and GSK3β then phosphorylate LRP tails which sequester axin. This allows β-catenin to translocate to the nucleus, displace Groucho (co-repressor) and bind to transcription factor-like T-cell factor and lymphoid enhancer-binding factor (TCF/LEF) thus inducing transcription of Wnt genes [119]. Recent studies in Drosophila have shown Wnt2 overexpression in pink1 mutant flies to rescue flight muscle degeneration
and neural activity. Overexpression of Wnt2 particularly improved ATP levels, mitochondrial morphology, mRNA expression of NADH-ubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 1 (ND1), cytochrome b and succinate dehydrogenase complex subunits. ROS production was also seemingly downregulated following the detection of reduced levels of malondialdehyde (a product of lipid peroxidation) and increased levels of manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD). Here, the protection against oxidative stress by Wnt2 was attributed to enhanced PGC1α and FOXO expression [120]. While details of the molecular relation between PGC1α and Wnt expression for neural protection are unclear, previous studies have suggested that ROS build-up endorses the binding of β-catenin to FOXO thereby enhancing its transcriptional activity [121]. Moreover, although this axis provides an interesting perspective to the contribution of Wnt in PD progression and its possible therapeutic application, previous studies have related Parkin mutations to the dysregulation of the canonical Wnt axis. Parkin mutations have been shown to cause aberrant Wnt signalling resulting in the build-up of cyclin E and re-entry of differentiated neurons into the cell cycle which eventually led to their death [122].

ROS stress is a prime cause for the acceleration of dopaminergic death. Going forward, further research into the interplay between the PINK1/Parkin axis and the aforementioned signalling pathways could help uncover the existence of additional protective mechanisms while also clarifying the elusive details of those mentioned above.

**Protein misfolding in PD**

Another important factor that has been alluded to escalate neural death stems from the accumulation of toxic proteins [123]. The assembly of proteins into fully functional oligomeric complexes is a highly sophisticated process. Mutations in the gene that encodes the particular protein or in genes that encode folding-machinery coupled with exogenous assault by neurotoxic agents can all precipitate protein misfolding. To a certain extent protein misfolding is inevitable and there are different clearance systems designed to prevent their accumulation. For instance, the latter may be effectively degraded via the UPS, the autophagy-lysosomal pathway or may be remodelled into their correct conformations via chaperone proteins [124]. The stress induced by such protein aggregates can disrupt cellular metabolism at any level. More specifically, the toxic cellular effects have been described as mutually exacerbating in that protein aggregates can initiate a disruption of metabolism (such as ROS/Ca2+ homeostasis) that may in return accelerate pathology (such as neuroinflammation) induced by the former [125]. While the lack of clearance of any misfolded protein is bound to perturb the health of neurons, the accumulation of misfolded α-synuclein has been characterized as a hallmark indicator of sporadic and dominantly inherited forms of PD. α-synuclein aggregates to form Lewy body inclusions throughout the brain and has been hypothesized to induce toxicity by affecting mitochondrial function, proteasomal clearance systems, membrane stability, and dopamine transport within infiltrated neurons [126]. With respect to recessive PD, several Parkin substrates such as CDCrel-1 (synaptic vesicle associated GTPase), Pael-R (ER localized GPCR) and Synphilin-1 have also been associated with neurotoxicity following their accumulation [123].

**Parkin regulates the clearance of misfolded proteins via aggresomes**

Aside from UPS mediated degradation, Parkin has been shown to promote the formation of aggresomes (clumps of misfolded proteins) [127]. This method of protein clearance has been reported to unfold when traditional UPS and chaperone systems are overwhelmed. The E2 enzyme UbcH13/Uev1a and Parkin interact to polyubiquitylate misfolded proteins (K63 linkage) which then bind to the adaptor protein histone deacetylase 6 (HDAC6) and the dynein motor complex to form an aggresome. The aggresome is then sequestered into an autophagosome and degraded [128]. Although the exact fate of substrates under K63 linkage varies, Parkin has been shown to adopt this method to target misfolded DJ-1 and synphilin-1. Synphilin-1, a protein that is associated with α-synuclein and Lewy body formation, is a peculiar target as Parkin mutants are not typically associated with Lewy body pathology [127–129]. This provides an interesting line of research to further probe the possible link between the aforementioned proteins and their relevance in PD pathology.

**CHIP overexpression rescues Parkin mutants from mitochondrial and ER stress**

Accumulation of misfolded proteins within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) has also been proposed as a potential cause for dopaminergic cell death. When misfolded/unfolded proteins accumulate it sets off a response known as the unfolded protein response (UPR) which involves the activation of a series of signal transduction pathways to cope with ER-stress. The signals may culminate in ER-assisted degradation (ERAD), wherein misfolded proteins are translocated out of the ER into the cytosol for ubiquitination and degradation [130]. As mentioned earlier, Pael-R, a G protein-coupled transmembrane receptor, is a Parkin substrate that is synthesized within the ER. Accumulation of unfolded Pael-R has been shown to exert ER-stress and neurotoxicity in
patients with Parkin mutations [131]. Studies in neuronal cell lines have elucidated that the carboxyl terminus of Hsc70-interacting protein (CHIP) interacts with Parkin to determine the fate of misfolded proteins. Through its U-box domain, CHIP acts as an E3 ubiquitin ligase on interaction with other heat shock proteins (Hsp90, Hsp70) to mitigate protein folding and clearance. CHIP has been found to prime misfolded/unfolded proteins for degradation via proteasomes or aggresomes in a chaperone dependent or independent manner [132]. Importantly, CHIP has been shown to co-localize with Parkin at the surface of the endoplasmic reticulum to sequester unfolded Pael-R from Hsp70 and cochaperone Hdj-2 for subsequent ubiquitylation and degradation. In addition to enhancing Parkin ligase activity, CHIP was also found to interact with other E2 enzymes such as Ubc4/6/7 to ubiquitylate unfolded Pael-R [133].

While these studies established that CHIP acts to alleviate ER-stress via Parkin, studies in flies have revealed that overexpression of Drosophila CHIP can rescue mitochondrial dysfunction in pink1 and parkin mutants [134]. More specifically, CHIP was found to positively affect the climbing ability and thoracic ATP levels of flies while also suppressing dopaminergic neuron loss. Overexpression of CHIP in fly models rescued parkin mutant phenotypes while parkin overexpression was required to assist CHIP in rescuing pink1 mutants. This inferred that CHIP functions downstream of pink1 and in parallel with parkin [134]. However, the molecular mechanisms behind these results require further clarification. There are few studies that suggest that mitochondrial dysfunction as a result of the accumulation of misfolded mitochondrial proteins confers dopaminergic neuron death. Being a molecular chaperone, whether CHIP aids in the clearance of misfolded respiratory complexes, mitochondrial fission and fusion proteins, or matrix proteins is currently unknown [102]. Moreover, the specific characteristics of the association between CHIP, PINK1 and Parkin are yet to be elucidated. Thus, these factors need to be taken into consideration before CHIP is exploited for PD therapy.

Protein toxicity is a poorly understood cause of neurodegeneration in PD. Thus, further research into additional defective clearance mechanisms such as those described above is needed to broaden our understanding of its role in PD pathogenesis.

**Apoptosis in PD**

From aberrant mitochondrial dynamics to protein misfolding and oxidative stress, the cellular triggers for dopaminergic death are plenty [135]. Initial evidence of the correlation between apoptotic death and PD stemmed from histological analysis of SNpc tissue taken from post-mortem PD brains. With SNpc dopaminergic neurons showing heightened DNA fragmentation, chromatin condensation, cell body (soma) shrinkage and formation of apoptotic bodies, it seemed evident that apoptosis was most likely a key feature in PD pathogenesis [136, 137]. In addition to morphological markers, elevated expression of Bcl-2 (B-cell lymphoma 2) pro-apoptotic proteins, caspase-8, caspase-9 and executioner caspase-3 have been detected in post-mortem and in vivo studies [138–140]. While there are reports of the extrinsic (death receptor mediated) pathway of apoptosis being implicated in α-synuclein associated neuroinflammation, the intrinsic (mitochondrial) cascade is widely considered to be the predominant pathway of cell death in PD [141]. As shown by neurotoxic models (MPTP, Rotenone and 6-hydroxydopamine), impaired complex I activity and complex I mediated oxidative stress are largely responsible for the activation of pro-apoptotic proteins (Bcl-associated X protein (Bax)) and inducing cytochrome c release [142–144]. Furthermore, dopaminergic neurons have been reported to be increasingly sensitive to ROS-induced apoptosis due to the oxidative deamination of dopamine into hydrogen peroxide by the enzyme monoamine oxidase B (MAOB) [145]. The autocatalytic production of this radical compounded with insult via the aforementioned stressors, sets up a highly pro-apoptotic environment culminating in dopaminergic death.

With regard to recessive PD, several studies have identified apoptotic regulators Bcl-xL (B-cell lymphoma-extra-large), Bax (Bcl-associated X protein) and Bak (Bcl-1 antagonist/killer-1) to interact with PINK1 and Parkin [146, 147]. These proteins are key players in what is known as the intrinsic/mitochondrial pathway of programmed cell death. The pathway is triggered by the release of cytochrome c from the intermembrane space into the cytosol via Bcl-2 pro-apoptotic proteins Bax and Bak [148]. Bax and Bak interact with other Bcl-2 family proteins via BH3 domains/grooves. BH3 grooves of Bak/Bax form symmetric dimers and subsequent homooligomers through their transmembrane α5/α6 domains [149]. Oligomerized Bak/Bak molecules interact with the mitochondrial apoptosis-induced channel (MAC) which permeabilizes the mitochondrial outer membrane to release cytochrome c. Cytochrome c then binds to the adaptor protein Apaf1 (apoptotic protease activating factor 1) causing it to oligomerize and activate a caspase mediated cascade (initiator caspase 9 and executioner caspase 3) culminating in caspase 3 mediated DNA fragmentation, membrane blebbing and cell death [150]. Apoptosis is primarily regulated through interactions between Bcl-2 family members. Pro-apoptotic BH3-only protein Bid (BH3-interacting domain death agonist) is truncated into its active state tBid to trigger Bak oligomerization (activation) by inducing conformational
changes in Bak (displacement of N-terminus or C-terminus and BH3 domain exposure) [151]. Pro-survival Bcl-2 forms hetero-dimers with Bak to block oligomerization and hence apoptosis. In response, pro-apoptotic BH3-only protein Bim (Bcl-2 interacting mediator of cell death) may indirectly trigger apoptosis by displacing Bak bound to anti-apoptotic Bcl-2 [152].

**PINK1/Parkin modulate the intrinsic apoptotic pathway**

PINK1 promotes apoptosis following stress-induced mitochondrial membrane depolarization by phosphorylating a serine residue (Ser 62) on the Bcl-XL domain which would otherwise undergo depolarization induced cleavage (at Asp 61), rendering it inactive [147]. Conversely, Parkin has been shown to ubiquitylate Bak (K11 linkage) inhibiting its homo-dimerization, oligomerization and subsequent cytochrome c release thereby rescuing cells under acute mitochondrial stress from apoptosis [146]. Interestingly, parkin regulated calcium efflux via mono-ubiquitinated VDAC1 has been identified as an alternative pathway in which parkin upregulates apoptosis. Studies in Drosophila have demonstrated that parkin mutants with defective porin (Drosophila ortholog of VDAC1) exhibit increased locomotor defects and apoptosis alongside characteristic PD-phenotypes. VDAC1 is an OMM channel protein that increases mitochondrial membrane permeability towards cytochrome c via association with adenine nucleotide translocator (ANT) and cyclophilin D (together forming the mitochondrial permeability transition pore/MPTP). Bcl-2 interacts with the N-terminus region of VDAC1 directly blocking cytochrome c release while Bax forms a hetero-oligomeric complex with VDAC1 to facilitate cytochrome c release [153]. Further analysis in Drosophila revealed that while parkin mediated monoubiquitation of VDAC1 (K274 linkage) led to inhibition of apoptosis, polyubiquitination of VDAC1 (K12/20/53/109/110 linkage) promoted mitophagy. As predicted, monoubiquitinated VDAC1 failed to attract Bax thus suppressing apoptosis. In VDAC1 K274R flies (defective in monoubiquitination), mitochondrial swelling as a result of excess calcium influx increased apoptosis. Subsequent knockdown of mitochondrial calcium uniporter (MCU) rescued VDAC1 K274R phenotypes suggesting that VDAC1 regulation of calcium influx was key to inducing apoptosis [154]. The specific cellular conditions that selectively induce parkin-VDAC1 monoubiquitination over polyubiquitination are however unclear and further investigation into the associated mechanisms should clarify the link between parkin-VDAC1 assisted mitophagy and apoptosis in flies.

In summary, these mechanisms confirm that PINK1 and Parkin play multiple roles in influencing programmed cell death. However, a more detailed understanding of their interactions with other components of the apoptotic cascade is needed to advance therapeutic strategies.

**Screening for potentially therapeutic compounds in Drosophila melanogaster**

So far, treatment for PD has revolved around symptomatic strategies such as pharmacotherapy, rehabilitative medicine and in some cases surgery. Spearheaded by the administration of medications that act to upregulate dopamine levels (levodopa, dopamine agonists, COMT/MAOB inhibitors), pharmacotherapy is often plagued by a wide array of side effects (dyskinesias) and overall fails to halt the progression of the disease. On the other end of the spectrum, rehabilitative methods take time and the guarantee of a successful prognostic outcome is difficult to predict [2]. Therefore, there is an ever-growing need to develop a line of therapy that brings about significant short-term improvement with minimal side effects.

Beyond identifying molecular rescues, fly models have also been used as a system for screening a multitude of possible neuroprotective compounds. Compared to murine models, flies allow for quick and cost-effective high throughput drug screening [155]. The earliest screens in fly models targeted locomotor dysfunction induced by α-synuclein toxicity [156]. Geldanamycin, an antibiotic that promotes Hsp70 chaperone activity, was found to attenuate dopaminergic death and synuclein aggregation in SNCA mutant flies [157]. Screens have now expanded to target other aspects of PD pathogenesis such as oxidative stress and mitochondrial biogenesis (Table 1).

Several compounds have been shown to target ROS stress by increasing the transcription of antioxidant enzymes. Propyl gallate and epigallocatechin belong to a class of polyphenols that promote ROS clearance by inducing NAD-dependent deacetylase sirtuin-1 (SIRT1) activity [158]. Sirtuins (SIRT1/2) further activate transcription factors such as nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2), PGC1α and FOXO to induce the expression of antioxidant enzymes [159]. Supplementation of propyl gallate and epigallocatechin were shown to alleviate all climbing defects in parkin mutant flies [160]. Through similar mechanisms, sulforaphane, an isothiocyanate, was also shown to reduce dopaminergic neuron loss in parkin mutant flies [161]. Termed as the phase II detoxification pathway, sulforaphane prevented the sequestration of Nrf2 for proteasomal degradation by Kelch-like ECH associated protein 1 (KEAP1) and Cullin 3 (CUL3) thereby allowing Nrf2 to bind to antioxidant response elements (ARE) and transcribe for antioxidant enzymes (such as glutathione...
peroxidase, thioredoxin and heme-oxygenase 1) [162]. Folic acid is another therapeutic compound reported to influence ROS stress via PGC1α activity. By inhibiting p53 expression, folic acid has been shown to indirectly stimulate PGC1α activity to induce ROS clearance and mitochondrial biogenesis [163]. Parkin mutant flies specifically showed improvements in lifespan and locomotor ability following folic acid supplementation. Interestingly, the neuroprotective effects of p53 repression have also been shown to be dependent on zinc levels. Zinc deficiency has been associated with p53 induced apoptosis and ROS induced DNA damage [164]. In folic acid treated parkin mutants, low p53 and high zinc levels were observed, suggesting that folic acid positively influences zinc metabolism. Furthermore, separate studies have shown that supplementation of zinc chloride has neuroprotective effects on the lifespan and locomotor ability of parkin mutant flies [165]. Alternative to transcription factor mediated defences, direct upregulation of antioxidant enzyme activity has been observed to improve phenotypes in pink1/parkin mutants following treatment with minocycline (antibiotic) and the phytoextract Mucuna pruriens [166, 167]. The latter have been shown to scavenge free radicals by upregulating SOD and GSH activity.

Apart from the regulation of antioxidant enzymes, certain compounds have appeared to target dysfunctional ETS activity in the fight against ROS stress. Nicotine has been shown to promote complex I activity thus reducing electron leakage and ROS accumulation in parkin mutant flies [168]. From studies in pink1 mutant flies, Vitamin K₂ has been shown to function as an electron carrier analogous to ubiquinone and thereby promotes oxidative phosphorylation [169].

Table 1 Therapeutic compounds/extracts tested in Drosophila PINK1/Parkin models

| Therapeutic compound/extract/protein | Drosophila model (gene knockdown/knockout) | Phenotype/s modified | Mechanism of action | References |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------|
| Polyphenols: Propyl gallate, Epigallocatechin | parkin | Improved lifespan and attenuated climbing defects | Inhibits ROS accumulation and prevents iron induced neurotoxicity | [158, 160] |
| Zinc chloride | parkin | Improved lifespan and locomotor ability | Impedes ROS-induced apoptosis | [165] |
| Nicotine | parkin | Improved lifespan, viability, locomotor ability and reduced olfactory deficits | Promotes activity of complex I and reduces the production of ROS via the ETS | [168, 179] |
| Minocycline | parkin | Improved lifespan and locomotor ability | Protects against iron and paraquat induced toxicity and scavenges ROS | [166] |
| Folic acid | parkin | Improved lifespan and locomotor ability | Downregulates p53, PGC1α and ATP levels (promotes mitochondrial biogenesis and ROS clearance) | [163] |
| Sulforaphane | parkin | Suppressed dopaminergic neuron loss | Stimulates Nrf2 induced transcription of antioxidant enzymes | [161, 162] |
| Resveratrol/Grape skin extract (GSE) | pink1 | Improved lifespan, wing posture, locomotor ability and larval mitochondrial morphology | Uregulates p62 receptor expression and mitophagy | [170] |
| Ginseng protein | pink1 | Improved wing posture, locomotor ability and suppressed dopaminergic neuron loss | Triggers unfolded protein response (promotes mitochondrial biogenesis) and protects against rotenone/paraquat induced toxicity | [171] |
| Mucuna pruriens | pink1 | Improved SOD and GSH levels | Increases clearance of ROS by upregulating antioxidant enzyme activity | [167] |
| Recombinant SOD1 + Vitamin E | pink1 | Reduced ommatidial and retinal degeneration and suppressed dopaminergic neuron loss | Protects against ROS-induced cell death (scavenges ROS) | [180] |
| Vitamin K₂ | pink1 | Improved locomotor ability and larval mitochondrial morphology | Acts as an ETS carrier analogous to ubiquinone and thereby promotes oxidative phosphorylation | [169] |
While these studies have provided promising results to explore their potential application in precision medicine, clinical trials of the dietary supplementation of compounds are needed to evaluate their true therapeutic value in patients.

**Discussion**

Fly models implement a wide variety of genetic tools (GAL4/UAS, RNAi) to perform unbiased genome-wide screening of PD-associated genes and mutations [17]. These methods help to understand the cellular consequences of mutations and gene overexpression/silencing in direct relation to disease phenotypes while also identifying additional factors that act in parallel/common cellular pathways to influence PD-pathology [15, 22]. Similar to post-mortem PD brains, *Drosophila pink1/parkin* mutants repurpose most pathological features such as abnormal mitochondrial morphology, protein aggregation and loss of dopaminergic neuron clusters [19, 80]. The mechanisms behind such hallmarks have been extensively studied in flies, leading to some interesting results.

Starting with mitochondrial dynamics, the inhibition of mitochondrial fusion and upregulation of mitophagy has been observed to impede neural degeneration in both mammalian and fly models of *PINK1/Parkin* mutants. Specifically, the upregulation of the E3 ligase MUL1 halts the hyperfusion of damaged mitochondria while inhibition of Miro GTPase and de-ubiquitinases USP15/USP30 promotes their clearance via mitophagy [62, 74, 76, 79]. In contrast, studies in mitochondrial fission have inferred diverging mechanisms for neuroprotection. Mammalian Parkin supposedly inhibits Drp-1 mediated fission to reduce the accumulation of injured mitochondria within neurons, while *Drosophila pink1/parkin* mutants benefit from increased Drp-1 fission via autophagy related gene Atg-1 and phosphoinositide Fwd kinase [65, 67, 68]. The latter mechanisms have suggested that neuron survival is prolonged in the event of increased ATP availability (sequestration of healthy mitochondria via Fwd-Drp-1 fission) and clearance of injured mitochondria (Drp-1 fission and subsequent mitophagy via Atg-1) (Fig. 1). Despite the previous observations made from mammalian studies of Parkin, the theory that upregulating Drp-1 mediated fission may decrease dopaminergic loss in humans has grown convincing. Particularly, a recent study involving SNCA transgenic mice showed pronounced α-synuclein pathology and mitochondrial enlargement as a consequence of decreased Drp-1 mediated fission [172]. Furthermore, these phenotypes were not observed in wild-type mice. Atg-1 and Fwd kinase currently remain as hypothetical targets for therapy; however it is tempting to speculate if their analysis in mammalian models will lead to similar results.

Multiple animal and in vitro studies have repeatedly shown impaired ROS metabolism to be at the epicentre of cellular dysfunction in PD [45]. By triggering unwanted protein aggregation, membrane instability, DNA damage and apoptotic cell death, the deleterious effects of ROS stress are endless. Free radical accumulation primarily stems from ETS dysfunction (particularly complex I) and antioxidant enzyme deficiency [97]. Looking into how ROS metabolism is affected by the PINK/Parkin axis, transgenic flies have shed light on multiple cellular pathways that can be induced to rescue ROS associated dopaminergic loss in *pink1/parkin* mutants (Fig. 1). Overexpression of UCP4, Trap1 and CHIP have been shown to rescue *pink1/parkin* phenotypes by respectively increasing free radical neutralization and complex I activity [103, 110, 134]. The exact mechanisms by which CHIP and Trap1 promote complex I activity are unclear; however, being members of the Hsp70/90 class of chaperone proteins it is likely that they might influence the re-assembly of misfolded respiratory complexes and thereby impede electron leakage [132, 173]. Furthermore, studies in flies and mammalian cell lines have shown that CHIP is implicated in Parkin mediated proteasomal/aggresomal clearance of misfolded proteins that exert ER-stress, such as the GPCR Pael-R [133, 134]. The neuroprotective effects of CHIP in *pink1/parkin* mutants are thus two-fold, by mitigating ROS stress and protein aggregation; two processes that are mutually exacerbating causes of dopaminergic death [174].

Alongside these pathways, upregulation of the transcription factors PGC1α and FOXO, have been observed to increase the expression of antioxidant enzymes such as MnSOD, SOD2 and GPX1 [105, 112]. Both fly and mammalian studies have demonstrated the neuroprotective activity of PGC1α to ensue following PINK1/Parkin mediated degradation of the KRAB/zinc finger binding protein PARIS, its transcriptional repressor [113]. Thus, knockdown of *PARIS* ameliorates oxidative stress [115]. Furthermore, the induction of canonical Wnt2 signaling in *pink1/parkin* mutant flies upregulates PGC1α and FOXO activity via β-catenin to spur antioxidant defences [120]. PGC1α and FOXO3 have also been associated with inhibiting α-synuclein aggregation in vitro and in murine models, further cementing them as possible multi-purpose therapeutic targets [175, 176].

With regard to apoptotic cell death, studies in mammalian models have outlined clear roles for PINK1 and Parkin in regulating the intrinsic pathway. While PINK1 has been shown to induce apoptosis via phospho-activation of Bcl-xL under conditions of severe mitochondrial stress, Parkin ubiquitylates Bak to inhibit apoptosis in the event of acute mitochondrial damage [146, 147]. Interestingly, fly models have suggested that parkin switches
between mono- and polyubiquitination of VDAC1, an anion channel associated with the MPTP. Monoubiquitination of VDAC1 inhibits mitochondrial calcium overload and thereby apoptosis, whereas polyubiquitination of VDAC1 triggers mitophagy [154]. Although the cellular signals that influence the decision between mitophagy and apoptosis are unclear, these studies highlight that Parkin is an active sensor of fluctuating intracellular stress, adopting different mechanisms to maximize neural survival and evade premature death.

Considering the multiple factors that participate in the aggravation of dopaminergic death, a minor area of research has focused on studying the effect of specific compounds on mitochondrial dysfunction, oxidative stress, neuroinflammation and environmental toxin induced neurodegeneration [155, 177]. Along these lines, Drosophila PINK1/Parkin models have been employed to test the efficacy of various natural compounds against neural death and uncover how they target the aforesaid mechanisms (Table 1). Despite favourable results from fly studies, few clinical trials have sought to examine the effects of dietary supplementation of these compounds. The most recent clinical investigation on the latter is being based on testing the therapeutic effect of Vitamin K2 supplementation in patients with PINK1 mutations [178]. The Drosophila...
study preceding this investigation reported the survival of *pink1* mutants to have improved following upregulation of *Heix* (*Drosophila* ortholog of human *UBIAD1*), an enzyme that converts Vitamin K₁ to K₂. While the knockdown of *Heix* aggravated the phenotypes of *pink1* mutants, they were rescued following the supplementation of Vitamin K₂ rich food [169]. Should the result of the ongoing clinical trial affirm the supposed benefit of Vitamin K₂, it would encourage further research on the clinical application of other compounds which fly models have drawn attention to.

Taken together, these studies have helped broaden our perspective of PD from a pathological and therapeutic standpoint.

**Conclusion**

The PINK1/Parkin axis governs a hoard of dynamic processes from mitochondrial biogenesis to protein clearance and ROS homeostasis all of which are critical in supporting the survival of neurons. Given the evolutionary conservation of genes between humans and flies, *Drosophila melanogaster* has served as a unique model to probe the complex cellular mechanisms that deter the health of dopaminergic neurons in PD. It is important to decipher such mechanisms not only for therapeutic purposes but to also understand why PINK1/Parkin loss of function phenotypes vary amongst different models of PD. Validation of these pathways in mammalian systems could refine the spectrum of focus for disease and non-disease modifying therapies while also reinforcing the importance of fly studies in PD.
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