Identification of a stool long non-coding RNAs panel as a potential biomarker for early detection of colorectal cancer
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Abstract

Background: The feces of colorectal cancer (CRC) patients contain tumor colonocytes, which constantly shed into the lumen area. Therefore, stool evaluation can be considered as a rapid and low-risk way to directly determine the colon and rectum status. As long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) alterations are important in cancer cells fate regulation, we aimed to assess the level of a panel of cancer-related lncRNAs in fecal colonocytes.

Methods: The population study consisted of 150 subjects, including a training set, a validation set, and a group of 30 colon polyps. The expression levels of lncRNAs were evaluated by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR). The NPlnert and EnrichR tools were used to identify the interactions and functions of lncRNAs.

Results: A total of 10 significantly dysregulated lncRNAs, including CCAT1, CCAT2, H19, HOTAIR, HULC, MALAT1, PCAT1, MEG3, PTENP1, and TUSC7, were chosen for designing a predictive panel. The diagnostic performance of the panel in distinguishing CRCs from the healthy group was AUC: 0.8554 in the training set and 0.8465 in the validation set. The AUC for early CRCs (I-II TNM stages) was 0.8554 in the training set and 0.8465 in the validation set, and for advanced CRCs (III-IV TNM stages) were 0.9281 in the training set and 0.9236 in the validation set. The corresponding AUC for CRCs vs polyps were 0.9228 (I-IV TNM stages), 0.9042 (I-II TNM stages), and 0.9362 (III-IV TNM stages).

Conclusions: These data represented the application of analysis of fecal colonocytes lncRNAs in early detection of CRC.
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INTRODUCTION

CRC has become one of the first priorities of the World Health Organization (WHO) for mass screening due to high morbidity and mortality rates. It develops from a slow progressive premalignant lesion (the adenomatous polyp), which can readily be removed by an accurate diagnosis.1 Based on the risk level of the malignancy, screening approaches for CRC patients are divided into two main categories: average-risk population and high-risk population. Each of these categories is targeted by a different screening program.2 According to WHO guidelines, both categories should have been monitored constantly using standard screening methods such as colonoscopy.1,2 However, given the various disadvantages of these technics, current investigations are being taken into consideration for substituting noninvasive, inexpensive screening methods with more specificity and sensitivity.3 There are ongoing optimizations to simplify the process of identifying new biomarkers from body specimens such as stool, plasma, and urine.4 Long non-coding RNAs (lncRNAs) are an important class of ncRNAs that have a huge impact on the cancer progress. These RNAs are transcribed by RNA polymerase II, with a length of 200 nt or more, from different regions of the genome, including intronic and intergenic sites.5,6 Considering this point, it has been inferred that lncRNA transcription usually does not depend on the presence of the open reading frames and it has been estimated that the human genome contains more than 15 000 lncRNAs-related genes that could produce over 23 000 functional lncRNAs.7 This large proportion brings the idea that this class of ncRNAs may contribute to a wide variety of regulatory activities such as transcriptional activation/repression, epigenetic regulation, nuclear remodeling, miRNAs stability/degradation, and the microRNA (miRNA) sponge.5,7 Through these mechanisms, IncRNAs are involved in multiple cancer-related signaling cascades and provoke tumor development or suppression.4 Furthermore, IncRNAs might be used as biomarkers for the early detection of metastasis in CRC and are regarded as novel biomarkers and therapeutic targets for CRC patients.8

The diagnostic value of IncRNAs in CRC has not been completely examined due to sampling issues, especially at early stages of the disease. Considering that most of the cancer detections are happening in advanced stages, identification of cancer-related biomarkers that actually initiated the malignancy is challenging. Routine tests on tissue samples for the early detection of colorectal cancer (CRC) have some problems such as invasiveness, lack of evaluation by an expert pathologist, cost-intensive, and time-consuming. So, we need to explore other biological samples such as blood, urine, and stool, which are easier to collect and analyze. Among these samples, the stool takes priority, passing throughout the colon and rectal regions and could carry cancer colonic cells (cancer colonocytes). Fecal collection is also easy, inexpensive, noninvasive, and accessible from all ages. Previous investigations proved the existence of the miRNAs in stool samples.7 However, to the best of our knowledge, no report has been published on the analysis of fecal IncRNAs expression levels. Considering the values of stool samples in the characterization of colon disorders, in this study, we aimed to track the alteration of the expression pattern of 30 known cancer-related IncRNAs in human feces from healthy status to advanced carcinoma. The results of this investigation introduced the human fecal colonocytes as a proper source of IncRNAs for CRC analysis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Subjects

The population study consisted of 150 individuals including 60 CRC patients, 60 non-cancer individuals, and a group of 30 individuals with colon polyps who were referred to the Taleghani Hospital, Tehran, Iran. They were divided into three cohorts: 1-Training group (30 CRC and 30 normal), 2-Validation group (30 CRC and 30 normal),
of the studied population are demonstrated in Table 1. The clinical features proved by the Medical Ethical Committee of RCGLD, Tehran, Iran (Ethical code: IR.SBMU.RIGLD.REC.1397.949). This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the World Medical Association’s Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Medical Ethical Committee of RCGLD, Tehran, Iran (Ethical code: IR.SBMU.RIGLD.REC.1397.949). The clinical features of the studied population are demonstrated in Table 1.

2.2 Sample processing and RNA extraction

An overall 20 g of fecal samples was taken from each candidate over a month. Using a swab, the samples were collected from the stools’ mucinous region, as a rich source of colonocytes,10 or non-mucinous areas, for evaluating the entire colon status. The collections were immediately dissolved in RNALater buffer (2 mL/g) and stored at −80°C for future analysis. One millilitre of patient dissolved stool was mixed with 3 mL of buffer containing 10 mmol/L Tris HCl (pH 7.4), 200 mmol/L NaCl, and 1 mmol/L EDTA and vortexed vigorously for 3 minutes. The mixture was centrifuged for 5 minutes at 12 000 g. The supernatants were transferred to the miRNeasy Mini Kit columns (QIAGEN) and preceded according to the manufacturer’s protocol. To avoid genomic DNA contamination, RNA samples were treated with DNase I for 1 hour and examined by 1% agarose gel electrophoresis to evaluate RNA integrity. Additionally, the RNA concentration was estimated using the NanoDrop® ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). The RNA purity was evaluated according to the A260/A280 ratio.

2.3 Reverse transcription and PCR amplification

To ensure the absence of any possible contamination, samples were evaluated by the PCR method. A total amount of 1 μg DNase I-treated RNA per sample was reverse-transcribed with the QuantiTect Rev. Transcription Kit (QIAGEN) with random hexamer primers. The PCR reaction was performed using the Taq PCR Master Mix Kit (QIAGEN). The cDNA samples were amplified with an initial denaturation at 94°C for 3 minutes followed by 35 cycles each at 94°C for 60 seconds, 60°C for 45 seconds, and 72°C for 60 seconds with a final extension step at 72°C for 10 minutes. The PCR products were verified through 1% agarose gel electrophoresis.

2.4 Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

The qRT-PCR was performed on the 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) using the QuantiTect SYBR Green PCR Kit (QIAGEN). The relative abundance of targets expression was determined by normalizing to reference genes (18S rRNA, GAPDH, U6) using the $2^{-ΔΔCt}$ method. The primer sequences are demonstrated in Table 2.

2.5 Function enrichment analysis

The functional interactions between candidate IncRNAs and biomolecules (proteins, RNAs, and DNAs) were identified by NPInter (http://www.bioinfo.org/NPInter, Version 3.0). The statistical enrichment of IncRNAs targets was analyzed using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathways annotation through the Enrichr database (http://amp.pharm.mssm.edu/Enrichr, 2018). The KEGG pathways were considered significantly enriched if P-value < .05. Networks were demonstrated using Cytoscape version 3.6.1.11 Those genes with functional relationship were depicted as cluster networks by the Cytoscape plugin ClueGO.12 Furthermore, by using the Cytoscape plugin Cyto-Hubba, we identified the subjected network hubs.13

2.6 Statistical analysis

To assess the differences in the IncRNAs expression level, we used the Mann-Whitney U test. The diagnostic IncRNA markers were selected in the training datasets using logistic regression. The receiver operation characteristic (ROC) curve was established to estimate the diagnostic values of the IncRNA panel. All data are represented as mean ± SD, and P < .05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics Software version 22 (IBM).

3 RESULTS

3.1 Quality assessment of isolated RNA from the stool

In order to confirm the non-contamination of the sample with other organisms’ RNAs, the expression level of 18S RNA as the internal control was measured along with bacterial 16S RNA and chloroplast RubisCO by PCR, and the amplifications were examined by gel electrophoresis.

3.2 Identification of differentially expressed IncRNAs (DElncRNAs) in training set

It has been proven that tumor IncRNAs boost or suppress the CRC progress, but their functions in other tumor environment cells have not been elucidated properly.4 We have chosen 30 known cancer-related IncRNAs and evaluated their levels in 60 fecal samples obtained from cases with normal and cancer colons. The normal group...
| Ensemble ID | Gene name | Chromosome (GRCh38) | Primers |
|-------------|-----------|---------------------|---------|
| ENSG00000240498 | ANRIL | Chr9: 21994778-22121097 | (F) 5′-CCGCTCCCTATCTCCCTTA-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-CCCTATGGCGGATGAGCA-3′ |
| ENSG00000278768 | BACE1-AS | Chr11: 117290874-117293571 | (F) 5′-GAAGGGTTAAGTCAGACATCTT-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-AGGGGACGGTGAGAGCA-3′ |
| ENSG00000278910 | BANCR | Chr9: 69296682-69306977 | (F) 5′-ACAGGACCTCAGGCAAACG-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-ATGAAAGAAGCCTTGCCAGT-3′ |
| ENSG00000270419 | CAHM | Chr6: 163413605-163413960 | (F) 5′-AGGGGAAAGTGGCCTGCAA-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-ACGCTTACATCAGGACACC-3′ |
| ENSG00000247844 | CRNDE | Chr16: 54918863-54929189 | (F) 5′-AAATCAAAGTGCTCGAGTGGT-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-ACCTTCTTCTGCGTGACAAC-3′ |
| ENSG00000226635 | GAPLINC | Chr18: 3466250-3478978 | (F) 5′-TCCAGGACATGTTGCAAAGA-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-ACATCACTGAAAAGGCTCT-3′ |
| ENSG00000234741 | GAS5 | Chr1: 173863900-173868882 | (F) 5′-CTTGCTTGACAGCTTAAAT-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-CAAGCAGACTCCATACCT-3′ |
| ENSG00000281183 | lncRNA-LET | Chr15: 73567012-73569294 | (F) 5′-CCTTCAGGCACACCTTCTTG-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-TGAGCTAGTGGGACTACAAT-3′ |
| ENSG00000281189 | HET1 | Chr7: 14898752-148989432 | (F) 5′-TGTAAGGTGAGGCAAAGG-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-GTCTTTCCATTGCTGTTG-3′ |
| ENSG00000130600 | HOTAIR | Chr11: 1995163-2001470 | (F) 5′-GCAAAGAGGGGGTCTGTTT-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-GCTTTGAGCTACGTTG-3′ |
| ENSG00000231721 | LINC-PINT | Chr7: 130941760-131110176 | (F) 5′-CCTTGCACCATCCTTCTT-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-TGAGCTAGTGGGACTACAAT-3′ |
| ENSG00000269821 | KCNQ1OT1 | Chr11: 2608328-2699994 | (F) 5′-CTTTGCGACACAACCTGTT-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-TGGGTGAGAGCATCAGG-3′ |
| ENSG00000221372 | LINC-ROR | Chr10: 853558-8653797 | (F) 5′-ATCTGGCAGCAAGAGGCTC-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-CTTGGTCGACGAGATCAG-3′ |
| ENSG00000225164 | MEAT1 | Chr11: 2504559-25072119 | (F) 5′-GAAGGGCTGAGGGAAGCTA-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-AGCAAGGCGAGGAAACTA-3′ |
| ENSG000002281183 | IncRNA-LET | Chr15: 73567012-73569294 | (F) 5′-CCTTGCACCATCCTTCTT-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-TGAGCTAGTGGGACTACAAT-3′ |
| ENSG00000251562 | MALAT1 | Chr11: 65497762-65506516 | (F) 5′-AACCCAGCCAGGAAAAAG-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-CCTTTCAACTTCTGCACACCAG-3′ |
| ENSG00000214548 | MEG3 | Chr14: 100779410-100861031 | (F) 5′-CTGCCCATTCTACACTTCAG-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-TGTTGGGATTCAGGAA-3′ |
| ENSG00000245532 | NEAT1 | Chr11: 65422774-65445540 | (F) 5′-CCTTCTCCTCCCTAACTTACC-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-CTTCTCTCCACCACTTACAC-3′ |
| ENSG00000253438 | PCAT1 | Chr8: 126847055-127021014 | (F) 5′-TGTGGAGCCGAGGAGCTTGA-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-CAGATGGAAATACTGGAGCA-3′ |
| ENSG00000237984 | PTENP1 | Chr9: 33673504-33677499 | (F) 5′-TCAGAAAATGGGCTACACCCA-3′ <br/> (R) 5′-TGATGAGTGCAGATTCTCA-3′ |
was chosen as control. Considering the relative expression $<0.5$ or $>2$, we found 10 differentially expressed IncRNAs (DElncRNAs; Table 3; Figure 1). The combination of these 10 DElncRNAs was selected as the predictive panel for further analysis.

### 3.3 Establishment and validation of the predictive panel

A logistic regression model was built based on the comparison of normal and cancer colon fecal samples to estimate the risk of a patient being diagnosed with CRC. Our analysis demonstrated that all 10 long non-coding RNAs were significant predictors (Table 4). The ROC curve was built using the logit model of candidate long non-coding RNAs as follows: logit $(p) = 27.886 - 0.1969 \times (CCAT1) - 0.1904 \times (CCAT2) - 0.2986 \times (H19) - 0.6895 \times (HOTAIR) - 0.1194 \times (HULC) - 0.3598 \times (MALAT1) - 0.1278 \times (MEG3) - 0.1744 \times (PCAT1) - 0.9081 \times (PTENP1) - 0.8469 \times (TUSC7)$. Compared with the normal group, the AUC value for the long non-coding RNAs panel was 0.8554 in all CRC stages (I-IV TNM stages) along with 78.18% sensitivity and 94.82% specificity (Figure 2A). For early CRC stages (I-II TNM stages), the AUC was 0.7871 with 67.91% sensitivity and 83.11% specificity (Figure 2B). Analyzing the advanced CRC stages (III-IV TNM stages) showed that the AUC was 0.9281 with 77.82% sensitivity and 89.78% specificity (Figure 2C). To validate the diagnostic performance of the panel, we examined it in an independent set, including 30 CRC and 30 normal samples. In comparison with healthy subjects, the AUC value for the IncRNA panel was 0.8465 in all CRC stages (I-IV TNM stages) along with 74.93% sensitivity and 94.24% specificity (Figure 3A). For early CRC stages (I-II TNM stages), the AUC was 0.8121 with 68.15% sensitivity and 83.71% specificity (Figure 3B). Analyzing advanced CRC stages (III-IV TNM stages) showed that the AUC was 0.9236 with 77.71% sensitivity and 96.17% specificity (Figure 3C).

### 3.4 Analyzing the predictive panel between CRC and polyp cases

The diagnostic power of the ten-DElncRNA panel was further estimated between CRC samples from the training cohorts and stools obtained from people with colon polyps (Figure 4). The corresponding AUC for CRC stages (I-IV TNM stages), (I-II TNM stages), and (III-IV TNM stages) were 0.9228, 0.9042, and 0.9362, respectively. These results show that, in comparison with normal vs CRC samples, our panel has a higher sensitivity and specificity for polyp transition into CRC status.

### 3.5 Functional annotations of validated IncRNAs

We performed a gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of validated IncRNAs based on target molecules and the type of interactions. Our final panel consisted of seven up-regulated DElncRNAs (CCAT1, CCAT2, H19, HOTAIR, HULC, MALAT1, PCAT1), which were also known as oncomiRNAs and three down-regulated IncRNAs (MEG3,
PTENP1, and TUSC7) as tumor suppressor IncRNAs (tsIncRNAs). Using NPInter algorithms, the DNA, RNA (mRNA and miRNA), and protein targets of intended IncRNAs were extracted from previously published literature reports. Using the Cytoscape plugin ClueGO, the nodes were networked according to their interaction type. The Cytoscape plugin Cyto-Hubba was applied to identify the targets with the highest degree of interactions shared between multiple IncRNAs and miRNAs and proteins. To identify the biological functions, the groups were submitted to the EnrichR tool. The enrichment analysis results of intended prognostic IncRNAs showed that they might participate in CRC tumorigenesis through four different interactions.

## DISCUSSION

Identifying of the IncRNAs that are effective in the development of cancer requires the examination of samples in the early phases of the formation of malignancy (such as colon polyps) and their comparison with the healthy and patient groups. The researchers tended to examine the types of biological samples of those that were low-cost, non-invasive, and accessible to all individuals, such as blood plasma. The problem with the use of blood plasma is its circulation through all tissues of the body and secretion of various cellular products into the blood. This makes it difficult to detect actual cancer biomarkers. The stool only passes through the intestines and rectum and is much less polluted compared to the blood plasma; therefore, it is suitable for examining the status of CRC markers in different groups, including patients, individuals suspected of being malignant and healthy people.

There has been no previous study on IncRNAs expression alteration between CRC patients and healthy individuals, so we have examined a panel of 30 with cancer-related IncRNAs in stool specimens. Our results showed that the IncRNA expression index was highly capable of distinguishing the cancer patients from the controls.
FIGURE 2 Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis of the logit model with fecal lncRNAs CCAT1, CCAT2, H19, HOTAIR, HULC, MALAT1, MEG3, PCAT1, PTENP1, and TUSC7, on the training set. A. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing colorectal cancer (CRC) cases (All TNM stages) from the healthy controls. B. AUC assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing the early CRC stages (I-II TNM stages) from the healthy controls. C. AUC assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing the advanced CRC stages (III-IV TNM stages) from the healthy controls. logit(p) = 27.886 - 0.1969 × (CCAT1) - 0.1904 × (CCAT2) - 0.2986 × (H19) - 0.6895 × (HOTAIR) - 0.1194 × (HULC) - 0.3598 × (MALAT1) - 0.1278 × (MEG3) - 0.1744 × (PCAT1) - 0.9081 × (PTENP1) - 0.8469 × (TUSC7)

FIGURE 3 Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis of the logit model with fecal lncRNAs CCAT1, CCAT2, H19, HOTAIR, HULC, MALAT1, MEG3, PCAT1, PTENP1, and TUSC7, on the validation set. A. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing colorectal cancer (CRC) cases (All TNM stages) from the healthy controls. B. AUC assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing the early CRC stages (I-II TNM stages) from the healthy controls. C. AUC assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing the advanced CRC stages (III-IV TNM stages) from the healthy controls. logit(p) = 27.886 - 0.1969 × (CCAT1) - 0.1904 × (CCAT2) - 0.2986 × (H19) - 0.6895 × (HOTAIR) - 0.1194 × (HULC) - 0.3598 × (MALAT1) - 0.1278 × (MEG3) - 0.1744 × (PCAT1) - 0.9081 × (PTENP1) - 0.8469 × (TUSC7)
with a lesser magnitude. The results of the expression analysis of the 10 fecal DElncRNAs are in agreement with the previous literature reports of these lncRNAs in CRC cases. For example, OncolncRNAs CCAT1 and CCAT2 are found greater in all stages of colon cancer and associated with tumor stage, recurrence-free survival, and overall survival of CRC patients.\textsuperscript{14}

The lncRNA H19 gene is located on human chromosome 11p15.5 and is involved in the carcinogenesis, progression, and metastasis of CRC.\textsuperscript{15} Up-regulation of oncolncRNA H19 correlates with tumor differentiation, the TNM stage, and poor prognosis of colon cancer.\textsuperscript{16} OncolncRNA HOTAIR overexpression is associated with tumor invasion, metastasis, tumor differentiation, tumor stage, and vascular invasion.\textsuperscript{17} The 13 cancer-related lncRNAs panel showed that combined evaluation of plasma CCAT1 and HOTAIR had a good diagnostic performance for CRC screening, especially in early CRC, and provides a more effective diagnosis performance than HOTAIR or CCAT1 alone in plasma and serum samples of CRC patients (AUC = 0.954, P < .001, sensitivity, 84.3%; specificity, 80.2%).\textsuperscript{18} Using the Cytoscape plugin Cyto-Hubba, we identified the targets with the highest degree of interactions shared between multiple lncRNAs. As in RNA-protein category, six proteins including FUS/TLS, HNRNPA2B1, p53, PRC2, Upf1, and WDR33 were shared between H19, HOTAIR, HULC, MEG3, MALAT1, PTENP1, and PCAT1 lncRNAs. Notably, these proteins possess multiple tasks in cells and thereby may associate with various factors. For example, FUS/TLS is an hnRNP family member with an RNA recognition motif (RRM) for RNA interaction and has three arginine-glycine-glycine-rich (RGG) motifs for binding to proteins. Using this structure, FUS/TLS is able to participate in various biological functions, including DNA repair, transcription, pre-mRNA splicing, miRNA processing, interacting with lncRNAs, mRNA stability, mRNA transport, and mRNA translation.\textsuperscript{19} Overexpression of FUS/TLS was reported in sporadic CRC cells\textsuperscript{20} and associates with tumorigenesis and metastasis in lung cancer through E-cadherin down-regulation.\textsuperscript{21} The direct associations, until today, between lncRNAs MALAT1 and NEAT1-2 with FUS/TLS and TDP-43 have been proved in ALS/FTLD patients.\textsuperscript{22} The other hnRNP family member, HNRNPA2B1, acts as a mediator of m(6)A-dependent nuclear RNA and contributes to pre-mRNA splicing in the nucleus. The aberrant level of HNRNPA2B1 has been shown in colon and gastric cancers.\textsuperscript{23} Most of the received NPInter RNA type targets were miRNAs (data not shown). From this list, 39 miRNAs were shared between H19, HOTAIR, MALAT, MEG3, and PCAT1. Referring to the published series of CRC-related GEO datasets including GSE35834,\textsuperscript{24} GSE54088,\textsuperscript{25} and GSE39845,\textsuperscript{26} we found that 34 miRNAs of our list have been previously reported as DEMiRNAs in colon cancer. Among them, only the fecal level of hsa-miR-17-5p and hsa-miR-29a-3p was previously investigated in CRC patients and both were miRNAs associated with tumor location.\textsuperscript{27,28} As there are no other investigations on the underlined regulatory networks of these miRNAs in CRC initiation and progression, our findings could

\textbf{FIGURE 4} Receiver operating characteristics (ROC) curve analysis of the logit model with fecal lncRNAs CCAT1, CCAT2, H19, HOTAIR, HULC, MALAT1, MEG3, PCAT1, PTENP1, and TUSC7, in the comparison of colorectal cancer (CRC) cases with individuals with colon polyps. A, The area under the ROC curve (AUC) assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing the CRC cases (All TNM stages) from the polyp group. B, AUC assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing the early CRC stages (I-II TNM stages) from the polyp group. C, AUC assessment of the logit(p) value for the fecal lncRNAs panel in distinguishing the advanced CRC stages (III-IV TNM stages) from the polyp group. logit(p) = 27.886 - 0.1969 × (CCAT1) - 0.1904 × (CCAT2) - 0.2986 × (H19) - 0.6895 × (HOTAIR) - 0.1194 × (HULC) - 0.3598 × (MALAT1) - 0.1278 × (MEG3) - 0.1744 × (PCAT1) - 0.9081 × (PTENP1) - 0.8469 × (TUSC7)
be considered as a step forward in better understanding cancer regulatory structures. An overall genome mapping study of cancer-associated lncRNAs MALAT1 and NEAT1 in MCF-7 breast cancer cells identified these genes as possible targets of MALAT1.29 It has been shown also that knocking down MALAT1 in CaSki cervical cancer cells increased proliferation and invasion rates through BAX up-regulation.29 MALAT1 could interact and up-regulate the pre-mRNA factors SRSF1 and PRPF6, and PRPF6 acts as a splicing regulatory of MALAT1.31 Similar interactions have been reported between ZFP36 and MALAT1, whereas MALAT1 sequence has a regulatory binding site for ZFP36,29 and MALAT1 overexpresses ZFP36.29 The oncolncRNA HOTAIR gene is located within the HOXD gene clusters and has a negative effect on other HOXD clusters, being placed on the other chromosomes.33 Evidence suggests that HOTAIR may target the HOXD cluster genes at RNA and protein levels.34 It could also repress the HOXD genes in an alternative manner by targeting the polycom repressive complex 2 (PRC2) family member SUZ12 and induces gene silencing through H3K27 methylation and H3K4 demethylation.35

5 CONCLUSION

Our study, for the first time, examined the possibility of lncRNAs evaluation in human stools and introduced a panel based on cancer-related lncRNAs that could identify and distinguish CRC patients from healthy individuals or those with polyps. In addition, our results showed that the measurement of cancer-related lncRNAs as a panel had more sensitivity and specificity than those lncRNAs alone. Finally, a comprehensive range of lncRNAs should be measured to further elaborate on their regulatory network.
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