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\textbf{Abstract}

The datasets presented here quantify and compare the relative carbon footprints emitted by general versus spinal anesthesia in patients undergoing single-level transforaminal lumbar interbody fusions (TLIFs). Data were retrospectively collected from electronic medical records of 100 consecutive patients who underwent a single-level TLIF from a single neurosurgeon at a U.S. academic center. 50 patients were under general anesthesia, and another 50 patients were under spinal anesthesia. Clinic and operative notes were used to extract demographic and surgical information, whereas anesthesia records were used to extract anesthetic information. Using the anesthetic information, carbon dioxide equivalents (\textit{CO}_2e) were calculated for each type of anesthetic and summed together to compute the total carbon footprint for each patient. Our article entitled “Assessing the environmental carbon footprint of spinal versus general anesthesia in single-level transforaminal lumbar interbody fusions” is based on this data \cite{1}. Raw datasets of the primary data collection as well as cleaned and analyzed datasets are pre-
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Presented. These datasets highlight the first known environmental impact calculation from medical records of a spine procedure, serving as a model for other interested investigators to explore and emulate. This data brief may help to pave the way towards future environmental research and practice changes within neurosurgical and orthopedic literature, an issue critical to the sustainability of our modern society.
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### Specifications Table

| Subject                          | Surgery                      |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Specific subject area           | Spine surgery (neurosurgery and orthopedic surgery) and environmental impacts |
| Type of data                    | Excel spreadsheets           |
| How the data were acquired      | Data was acquired through retrospective review of medical, surgical, and anesthetic records at Tufts Medical Center through the Soarian electronic health record system. |
| Data formats                    | Raw                          |
| Description of data collection  | The research team collected data from 100 consecutive patients who underwent a single-level TLIF from a single surgeon at an academic center through a retrospective chart review of the electronic medical records. Baseline characteristics were gathered from clinical and operative notes. Every anesthetic agent used during each surgery was recorded from the intraoperative anesthesia records. |
| Data source location            | • Institution: Tufts Medical Center |
|                                 | • City/Town/Region: Boston, Massachusetts |
|                                 | • Country: United States of America |
|                                 | • Latitude is 42.3601° and Longitude is −71.0589° |
| Data accessibility              | Repository name: Mendeley Data |
|                                 | Data identification number: [https://doi.org/10.17632/npyyj7s854.1](https://doi.org/10.17632/npyyj7s854.1) |
|                                 | Direct URL to data: [https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/npyyj7s854/1](https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/npyyj7s854/1) |
| Related research article        | A.Y. Wang, T. Ahsan, J.J. Kosarchuk, P. Liu, R.I. Riesenburger, K. Kryzanski, Assessing the environmental carbon footprint of spinal versus general anesthesia in single-level transforaminal lumbar interbody fusions, World Neurosurgery. In Press. |

### Value of the Data

- These datasets provide carbon footprint calculations for the environmental impact of spine surgery anesthetic modalities and serve as a model workflow for future research.
- These datasets allow for the critical appraisal of comparisons of environmental impact between the use of general versus spinal anesthesia.
- Neurosurgeons and orthopedic surgeons can benefit from these data and better understand the results of our study, including the impact of a change in surgical practice, or to perform further research understanding the environmental impacts of spine surgery.
- These data can be used and reused for further explorations, such as starting a database of environmental impacts of spine surgeries or exploring the nuances of the carbon footprint of different types of anesthetics.
1. Data Description

“demo_nongas.xlsx” is the Excel workbook that contains basic demographic information, amounts of each type of non-inhaled anesthetic used, conversion of anesthetic type to carbon dioxide equivalents (CDE), and the sum of CDE equivalents for each patient. [PATIENT_ID] is the unique identifier for each patient. [SPINAL_GETA] refers to whether spinal or general anesthesia was used. [GENDER] refers to the gender of the patient, [AGE] refers to the age at surgery, and [BMI] refers to the body mass index of the patient, and [ASA] refers to the American Society of Anesthesiology score. [SURGERY_INDICATION] refers to the reason for surgery, [SURGERY_TYPE] details specifically what was performed, [LEVELS] refers to the operative levels. Columns J to R refer to the different time components of the operative timeline. Columns S to BU refer to the different quantities of anesthetics used during the surgery along with a unit of measurement. Columns BX to CQ refer to the calculations of carbon dioxide equivalents of each type of anesthetic used. [OTHER_CDE] refers to the sum carbon dioxide equivalent total of all non-gaseous anesthetics used for each patient.

In the folder “Gases,” are Excel spreadsheets numbered “1.xlsx” to “50.xlsx,” corresponding to the individual anesthetic records of each patient. Though each patient has varying numbers of rows for each patient, the top group of rows correspond to the use of each type of anesthetic at each time interval column. The bottom rows correspond to volume calculations derived for each gaseous anesthetic at timepoint, summed together to a final volume at the rightmost cell of the row.

“gas.xlsx” is the Excel workbook that contains information for inhaled anesthetics, including the quantity of gaseous anesthetics and their associated carbon footprints as calculated from spreadsheets in the “Gases” folder. [PATIENT_ID] and [SPINAL_GETA] is the same as the other dataset. [DESFLURANE_L], [ISOFLURANE_L], [SEVOFLURANE_L] and [N2O_L] refers to the liters of each type of inhaled anesthetic used throughout the surgery. [DES_CDE], [ISO_CDE], [SEVO_CDE], and [N2O_CDE] refer to the carbon dioxide equivalents of each type of anesthetic for each patient. [OTHER_CDE] is the same as that of the other dataset, carried over. [TOTAL_CDE] is the sum of all gaseous and non-gaseous anesthetics for each patient, which is the total carbon footprint for each patient.

“environment.R” is the R code that can be used to analyze the data.

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

The data presented here aims to quantify and compare the relative carbon footprints emitted by general versus spinal anesthesia in patients undergoing single-level transforaminal lumbar interbody fusions (TLIFs). The retrospective study involved 100 consecutive patients who each underwent a single-level TLIF from a single surgeon at an academic center. 50 patients were under general anesthesia, and 50 were under spinal anesthesia. Any patient with additional surgical steps beyond a simple single-level TLIF was excluded. Retrospective chart review of electronic health records was performed to extract baseline and surgical characteristics, as well as anesthetic information. The anesthetic flowsheet of each type of anesthetic used at each timepoint of the surgery was recorded from the intraoperative anesthesia records.

To calculate the amount of each type of non-gaseous anesthetics used for each patient, the amounts of each type of anesthetic across all time periods were summed into a single value. For gaseous anesthetics, the volume of anesthetic was calculated using this equation: Gas (L) = Time * Free gas flow (L/min) * End-tidal gas concentration (%) [2]. This was performed at every 15-minute time interval in the anesthesia flowsheet, and then summed to provide a total volume for each type of anesthetic.

To calculate the carbon footprint of each type of anesthetic in carbon dioxide equivalents (CO2e), a conversion unit called global warming potential (GWP) is used. GWP describes how much energy the emissions of one mass of a type of gas will absorb over a given time (usu-
ally 100 years), relative to the energy the same mass of carbon dioxide will absorb (GWP = 1). Therefore: \( \text{CO}_2 \text{e (g)} = \text{Anesthetic agent (g)} \times \text{Anesthetic GWP}_{100} \). The values for molar mass, density, and \( \text{GWP}_{100} \) of each type of gaseous and nongaseous anesthetic has been previously determined by prior research [3–5]. With this equation, the calculations for nongaseous anesthetics are simple as the mass of each type of anesthetic is readily available from patient charts. In order to calculate the mass of gaseous anesthetics, the volume must be converted with the following equation: \( \text{Anesthetic agent (g)} = \text{Gas (L)} \times \text{Molar mass (g/mol)} \div (2412 \times \text{Density}) \) [6].
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