Periodic eclipses of the young star PDS 110 discovered with WASP and KELT photometry
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ABSTRACT

We report the discovery of eclipses by circumstellar disc material associated with the young star PDS 110 in the Ori OB1a association using the SuperWASP and Kilodegree Extremely Little Telescope surveys. PDS 110 (HD 290380, IRAS 05209-0107) is a rare Fe/Ge-type star, an ∼10 Myr-old accreting intermediate-mass star showing strong infrared excess (LIR/Lbol ≃ 0.25). Two extremely similar eclipses with a depth of 30 per cent and duration ∼25 d were observed in 2008 November and 2011 January. We interpret the eclipses as caused by the same structure with an orbital period of 808 ± 2 d. Shearing over a single orbit rules out diffuse dust clumps as the cause, favouring the hypothesis of a companion at ∼2 au. The characteristics of the eclipses are consistent with transits by an unseen low-mass (1.8–70 M_Jup) planet or brown dwarf with a circumsecondary disc of diameter ∼0.3 au. The next eclipse event is predicted to take place in 2017 September and could be monitored by amateur and professional observatories across the world.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The revolution in high-resolution imaging at both near-infrared (NIR; e.g. SPHERE, Beuzit et al. 2008; GPI, Macintosh et al. 2014) and sub-millimeter wavelengths (ALMA, Wootten & Thompson 2009) is providing new insights into the era of planet formation (ALMA Partnership et al. 2015; Rapson et al. 2015; Thalmann et al. 2015). This includes structure in circumstellar discs such as rings, spirals and gaps (e.g. Pinte et al. 2015; Benisty et al. 2017). The inner regions of discs (∼AU) are still too small to be directly imaged. The transit of dusty circumstellar material in front of a star, however, allows us to resolve the structure of eclipsing material at a resolution limited only by the stellar diameter (∼0.005–0.02 au).

Eclipses have been previously used to detect inner ring edges in circumsecondary discs (e.g. H. P. Osborn et al. 1991; EE Cep, Galan et al. 2012), gas accretion streams from the circumstellar disc (e.g. Bouvier et al. 1999; Cody et al. 2014; Ansdell et al. 2016), sharp outer disc edges in circumsecondary discs (e.g. KH 15D; Herbst et al. 2002) and even ring gaps in putative circumplanetary discs (e.g. J1407; Mamajek et al. 2012; Kenworthy & Mamajek 2015).  
Unfortunately, these events are rare, with only a dozen or so such eclipsing objects currently known. However, projects like the Wide Angle Survey for Planets (WASP; Pollacco et al. 2006) and the Kilodegree Extremely Little Telescope (KELT; Pepper et al. 2007, 2012) provide long baseline, high-precision time series photometry for a large portion of the entire sky. The combination of baseline, cadence, precision and sky coverage make these surveys well suited to search for these ‘Disc Eclipsing’ systems. The Disc Eclipse Search with KELT survey has been conducting an archival search for these unique systems in the ∼4 million KELT light curves (Rodriguez, Pepper & Stassun 2016c) and has already led to the discovery and
analysis of six previously unknown large dimming events including the periodic dimming events around V-409 Tau (Rodriguez et al. 2015), DM Ori (Rodriguez et al. 2016d), and an ~69 yr period analogue to ϵ Aur, TYC 2505-672-1 (Rodriguez et al. 2016b). The OGLE survey of the galactic bulge (Udalski 2003) has also discovered young eclipsing candidates that require follow up (e.g. Scott et al. 2014).

In this paper, we present the light curve of PDS 110, a young star in the Ori OB1 association, which shows two extended, deep dimming events over durations of ~25 d, separated by about 808 d. We interpret these eclipses as due to the transit of a circumstellar matter associated with an unseen companion PDS 110b, in a bound Keplerian orbit about PDS 110. In Section 2, we summarize the properties of PDS 110. In Section 3, we present photometric and spectroscopic data obtained for PDS 110. In Section 4, we interpret the spectral and photometric data with main-sequence (MS) fitting, a simple Spectral Energy Distribution (SED) model and a Gaussian eclipse model of the eclipses. In Section 5, we discuss the likely mechanism behind the eclipses, and in Section 6 we cover the prospects for future observations.

2 BACKGROUND ON THE STAR PDS 110

PDS 110 (also known as HD 290380, IRAS 05209-0107, GLMP 91, 2MASS J05233100-0104237 and TYC 4753-1534-1) has been observed in many photometric (García-Lario et al. 1990; Alfonso-Garzon et al. 2012; Hernández et al. 2005) and spectroscopic (MacConnell 1982; Torres et al. 1995; Miroshnichenko et al. 1999; Gregorio-Hetem & Hetem 2002; Rojas, Gregorio-Hetem & Hetem 2008) studies. It was also found to have a significant IR excess (García-Lario et al. 1990), representing roughly 25 per cent of the total luminosity (Rojas et al. 2008), which likely has comparable contributions from a disc and a more spherical envelope (Gregorio-Hetem & Hetem 2002). Table 1 summarizes the photometry we will use here. Spectroscopically, it shows Hα in emission with an equivalent width of roughly 6 Å and LiI 670.7 nm in absorption with an equivalent width of 0.08 m Å (Gregorio-Hetem & Hetem 2002). A range of spectral types have been assigned to it (F0, Cannon & Pickering 1949; keF6veb, Miroshnichenko et al. 1999; F7e, Miroshnichenko et al. 1999). Rojas et al. (2008) made estimates of the luminosity, mass and age, but used a distance of 600 pc which is significantly larger than its measured distance (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016), leading to overestimates of the mass and luminosity and underestimates of the age. The foreground extinction is small, with E(B − V) = 0.05 mag (Miroshnichenko et al. 1999).

PDS 110 has a Gaia parallax of 2.91 ± 0.34 mas, corresponding to a distance of 345 ± 40 pc, and a negligible proper motion (1.15 ± 1.07, −0.34 ± 0.18) mas yr⁻¹ (Gaia Collaboration et al. 2016). This distance makes PDS 110 consistent with being a member of the Ori OB1 association that has an estimated distance of 335 ± 13 pc and similarly small mean proper motion of (0.75 ± 0.29, −0.18 ± 0.22) Wu et al. (2009). The Ori OB1 association has an estimated age of 7–10 Myr (Briceño et al. 2007; Van Eyken et al. 2012; Ciardi et al. 2014; Ingleby et al. 2014). The group contains numerous B stars, but not earlier than B1 (Brown, de Geus & de Zeeuw 1994), suggesting that the age may be slightly higher (10–15 Myr).

3 DATA

In this section, we briefly introduce the photometric and spectroscopic data obtained for PDS-110. Fig. 1 shows full and phase-folded light curves along with views of eclipses observed in 2008 (observed by WASP-North, WASP-South and ASAS) and 2011
Figure 1. WASP (blue squares), KELT (red circles), ASAS (green triangles), ASAS-SN (purple stars) and IORC (turquoise triangles) observations. Upper figure: plotted from 2002 to 2016. Eclipse times are shown with dashed vertical lines. Lower left figures: individual eclipses in 2008 (upper) and 2011 (lower). Lower right figures: phase-folded light curve with full phase coverage (upper) and zoomed to the eclipse (lower). The best-fitting eclipse model (see Section 4.3) is overplotted in orange in these cases. In all cases, we have applied a vertical offset to the KELT and WASP data to match the quiescent magnitude seen in the ASAS V band data. However, there has been no attempt to place all the data on the same absolute scale.

Figure 2. Red and Blue spectra of PDS 110 taken with the WHT/ISIS. The best-fitting synthetic spectrum is shown in green. Hα emission is shown in a separate plot in the lower right.

(observed by KELT). If the 2.2-yr separation of the eclipses is a period, all other predicted eclipses lie in an observing gap. Figs 2 and 3 show optical and IR spectroscopy and best-fitting models.

3.1 WASP

The WASP is composed of two outposts, located at the Roque de los Muchachos Observatory on La Palma (WASP-North) and the South African Astronomical Observatory (WASP-South). Each observatory consists of eight cameras using 200 mm f/1.8 lenses and cameras with 2048 × 2048 pixel CCDs, 7.8 × 7.8 deg² fields of view and pixel scales of 13.7 arcsec (Pollacco et al. 2006). Light curves were detrended using a version of the SysRem algorithm developed specifically for WASP (Tamuz, Mazeh & Zucker 2005; Collier Cameron et al. 2006).

PDS 110 was observed by both WASP-North and WASP-South with exposure times of 30 s and a cadence of 8–10 min. In total, 49,558 observations were taken between UT 2008 January 25 and 2013 February 23.

Light curves were further cleaned, initially by removing 3σ anomalies and regions with high hourly scatter (e.g. with hourly rms scatter above 3 per cent). To remove trends present in all nearby stars but not removed by SysRem detrending, nightly linear trends were fitted to the median-divided fluxes of 100 bright and non-varying...
stars within a 25 arcmin aperture. The target light curve was then divided by these residual trends, improving the average flux rms from 6 per cent to 3 per cent.

3.2 Kelt

The KELT is an all sky, photometric survey of bright stars (8 < V < 11) designed to detect transiting planets around bright stars (Pepper et al. 2007, 2012). The project is comprised of two telescopes, KELT-North in Sonoita, AZ, USA and KELT-South in Sutherland, South Africa. Both telescopes have a 42-mm aperture, a broad R band filter and observed with a 10–20 min cadence. Using a Mamiya 645-series wide-angle lens with an 80 mm focal length (f/1.9), the telescopes have a 26 × 26 deg² field of view, and a 23 arcsec pixel scale.

PDS 110 is located in KELT-South field 05 (α = 06h 07m 48s.0, δ = +3° 00' 00''). The KELT-South telescope observed PDS 110 from UT 2010 February 28 to UT 2015 April 09, obtaining 2892 observations. For a detailed description of the KELT data acquisition and reduction process, see Siverd et al. (2012) and Kuhn et al. (2016). The typical per-point error is ~0.02 per cent.

3.3 All-Sky Automated Survey (ASAS)

With the goal of finding and cataloging bright variable stars, the All-Sky Automated Survey (ASAS) obtained photometric observations of a large fraction of the sky. The survey observed simultaneously in two bandpasses (V and I) from two observing sites, Las Campanas, Chile and Haleakala, Maui. A detailed description of the survey set-up, data acquisition and reduction pipeline is presented in Pojmanski (1997). At each location are two wide-field Minolta 200/2.8 APO-G telephoto lenses and a 2K × 2K Apogee CCD. The telescope and camera set-up corresponds to an 8.8 × 8.8 deg² field of view and a pixel scale of 13.75 arcsec. PDS 110 was observed from 2001 until 2010. There are 488 ASAS epochs with a typical per-point flux error of 3 per cent.

Both KELT and WASP have non-conventional passbands and potential zero-point magnitude offsets. Therefore, to compare them with photometry from other surveys, the out-of-eclipse photometric median was normalized to the out-of-eclipse median of ASAS (Johnson V band).

3.4 All-Sky Automated Survey for SuperNovae (ASAS-SN)

The All-Sky Automated Survey for SuperNovae (ASAS-SN) is monitoring the entire sky every two days down to a V band magnitude of 17. The survey has two separate observing sites, each with four 14 cm Nikon telephoto lenses and 2K × 2K thinned CCD. The FOV is 4.5 × 4.5 deg² and the pixel scale is 7.8 arcsec. PDS 110 was observed 559 times from 2012 until 2016 with an average per-point rms of 1 per cent. For a complete description of the observing strategy and reduction process, see Shappee et al. (2014).

3.5 INTEGRAL–OMC

The INTernational Gamma-Ray Astrophysics Laboratory (INTEGRAL; Winkler et al. 2003) is an ESA satellite in orbit since 2002. As well as performing gamma-ray and X-ray observations, INTEGRAL possesses an Optical Monitoring Camera (OMC; Mas-Hesse et al. 2003), a V band (500–600 nm) imager designed to measure the target’s optical brightness and position. It observed PDS-110 on 14 occasions from 2006 to 2008, taking over 2000 individual flux measurements with an average cadence of 2.7 min and a median precision of 1.4 per cent (Alfonso-Garzon et al. 2012).²

3.6 ISIS spectrum

A low-resolution spectrum of PDS 110 was taken with the ISIS spectrograph in the R600B and R600R modes on the 4.2-m William Herschel Telescope at the ING, La Palma (shown in Fig. 2). The spectrum exhibits a strong Hα emission line, moderate emission in the Ca H & K line cores, and Li I absorption at λ = 670.8 and 610.3 nm – all consistent with previous measurements (Torres et al. 1995; Rojas et al. 2008). To characterize the spectra, a grid of 1200 synthetic spectra were generated with the PYTHON package ispec (Blanco-Cuaresma et al. 2014) using the MARCS model atmospheres (Gustafsson et al. 2008) and compared with the optical spectrum. The best-fitting models had $T_{\text{eff}} = 6500 \pm 250$, log(g) ≃ 3.8 and [Fe/H] = −0.5 ± 0.2, in agreement with previous estimates of the stellar parameters (e.g. 6653 K; Gregorio-Hetem & Hetem 2002).

3.7 TRES spectra

We have taken seven spectra of PDS 110 with the Tillinghast Reflector Echelle Spectrograph (TRES; Fúrész et al. 2008; Szentygorgyi & Fúrész 2007) on the 1.5-m telescope at the Fred Lawrence Whipple Observatory, Arizona. The TRES spectra have resolution $R \sim 44,000$ and were reduced, extracted and analysed with the Spectral Parameter Classification procedure of Buchhave et al. (2012). We ran this without priors for each spectrum (with an average SNR of 53.5) and took a weighted average of the resulting stellar parameters. These give an effective temperature of $T_{\text{eff}} = 6360 \pm 110$ K, a log g of 3.89 ± 0.17 and [Fe/H] = 0.06 ± 0.06. Only metallicity

1 ASAS data from http://www.astrouw.edu.pl/asas/?page=asasc

2 IOMC data accessed from Vizier at http://vizier.cfa.harvard.edu/viz-bin/VizieR?-source=J/A+A/548/A79
Table 2. Determined Stellar Parameters for PDS 110.

| Parameter | Value         |
|-----------|---------------|
| $M_V$     | 2.54 ± 0.11   |
| $E(B−V)$  | 0.09 mag      |
| $A_V$     | 0.24 ± 0.07   |
| $\log(L/L_\odot)$ | 0.89 ± 0.05 |
| Age       | ∼11 Myr       |
| $\sin i_*$| 64.3 ± 0.9    |

shows a significant difference from previous estimates of stellar parameters. The higher precision of the TRES spectrum suggests that this value is more precise, and we adopt it here. The star is rapidly rotating with $\sin i_*$ of 64.3 ± 0.9 km s$^{-1}$.

4 ANALYSIS

4.1 Hertzsprung–Russell diagram position

Previously published spectral types span F5–F7 (Miroshnichenko et al. 1999; Suárez et al. 2006; Rojas et al. 2008). Based on the $T_{\text{eff}}$ scale for pre-MS stars from Pecaut & Mamajek (2013), a spectral type of F6 (±1 subtype) translates to a $T_{\text{eff}}$ estimate of 6250 ± 140 K. Based on these estimates, we adopt a mean $T_{\text{eff}}$ of 6450 ± 200 K.

4.2 SED disc model

To model the SED of PDS 110, we used the self-consistent irradiated, accretion disc models of D’Alessio et al. (2006) to create a model grid using the stellar parameters of PDS 110 in Table 2. We adopted a dust sublimation temperature of 1400 K to set the inner radius of the disc. We included outer disc radii of 50, 150 and 300 au. Viscosity parameters ($\alpha$) of 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001 and dust settling parameters ($\epsilon$; i.e. the dust-to-gas mass ratio in the upper disc layers relative to the standard dust-to-gas mass ratio) of 1.0, 0.5, 0.1, 0.01, 0.001 and 0.0001. The minimum grain size in the disc atmosphere was held fixed at 0.005 μm while we varied the maximum grain size between 0.25, 1.0 and 2.0 μm to reproduce the 10 μm silicate emission feature. The inclination angle was fixed at 60°.

Based on the $\chi^2$-squared values, the best-fitting model has $a_{\text{max}} = 0.25$ μm, $\epsilon = 0.5$, $\alpha = 0.01$ and an outer radius of 300 au. Uncertainties are beyond the scope of this analysis. This disc model has a mass of 0.006 $M_\odot$ using equation (38) in D’Alessio et al. (1999). While there are no millimeter data available to provide spatial constraints, a large outer radius of 300 au is consistent with the significant MIR and FIR excess of this object given that the strength of the disc emission is related to the disc mass, which, in turn, depends on radius (D’Alessio et al. 1999). We also note that $\epsilon = 0.5$ corresponds to a relatively flared disc. Here, we measure a disc height at 2 of 0.3 au.

4.3 Photometry

Some out-of-eclipse variability is seen with peak-to-peak amplitudes on the order of ~3 per cent. From the measured $v \sin i_*$ (64 km s$^{-1}$), we would naïvely estimate a $P_{\text{rot}}$ of ~1.7 d. However, searches with Lomb-scargle periodograms (Press & Rybicki 1989) on both the entire data set and shorter segments do not detect any coherent period of variation attributable to rotation, with the signals dominated by day- and month-aliases from the ground-based surveys. This suggests that variations are stochastic or quasi-periodic, as has been seen for T-Tauri stars before (Rucinski et al. 2008; Siwak et al. 2011). The (space-based) IOMC light curves show candidate signals at 1.11 and 0.304 d, with an amplitude of around 3 per cent. However, like the ground-based data, the time coverage is non-continuous. The KELT light curves alone show a possible signal with $P = 67$ d.

Some dimmings, slightly shallower in depth and shorter in duration than the eclipses (only 3 to 4 points, or 9 to 12 d long) are also seen in ASAS data in 2006. These are inconsistent with the proposed period (see Section 4) seen and the lack of simultaneous data also means, we are unable to rule out whether these are caused by systematics or from a genuine drop in stellar flux.

All observations thus far have also been monochromatic, with the ASAS, KELT and WASP data all focused on the V/R bands, and showing little differences in variability between one another in- or out-of-eclipse.

4.4 Simple eclipse model

The light curve clearly shows two significant dips in 2008 and 2011. The implied period of ~2.2 yr means no observations were made during times of expected eclipses prior or after these two events. The integer multiples of this separation (1.1 yr, 0.73 yr, etc.) would produce observable eclipses in the current data, therefore have been ruled out. We fit a simple Gaussian model to the phase-folded combined photometry to estimate the physical parameters of the eclipse.

A Markov chain Monte Carlo model was run to determine uncertainties on the best fit with emcee (Foreman-Mackey et al. 2013) in Python. The results of the model, and output posteriors, are shown in Fig. 4. We find the period to be 808 ± 3 d with an eclipse centred at HJD = 2454781 ± 2, depth of 26 ± 6 per cent and full width at half-maximum of 7 ± 2 d. The residuals show that the in-transit variability increases substantially compared to out-of-transit, indicative of finer structure in the eclipse light curve (see Fig. 1).

5 INTERPRETATION AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Summary of information

We have detected two near-identical eclipses of the bright ($V = 10.4$ mag), young (~10 Myr) star PDS-110 in the OB1a association with WASP, KELT and ASAS photometry. The two events are separated by 808 ± 2 d and have nearly identical shapes, durations (~25 d) and depths (~26 per cent). Sharp in-eclipse gradients
suggest fine structure in the eclipsing material. The similarities of the eclipses strongly suggest that they are periodic. Unfortunately, despite 25 seasons of data across 15 yr and five surveys, all other predicted eclipses lie in observing gaps.

A study of the star and disc suggests that PDS-110 is a young Ge/Fe star surrounded by a thick protoplanetary disc, which contributes to as much as ~25 per cent of the total luminosity. Since we see significant optical emission and negligible extinction, we must be viewing the star at a significant inclination relative to the stellar disc. Hence, any eclipsing material must reside at a significant altitude above the disc mid-plane.

For any eclipse hypothesis, we must take into account the shallow depth of this eclipse, its interesting substructure and whether the material is optically thick or thin. The most probable explanation is that the occulting object entirely crosses the star, but is optically thin. In this case, the slow and Gaussian-like in- and egress gradients are the result of density gradients within a diffuse occulting dust cloud. Sharp features during the eclipse can be explained as regions of sharply varying density within the cloud, such as gaps, clumps, thicker rings or ring gaps. This would appear the most plausible scenario, although a mix of sharp optically thick regions and low-opacity dust regions, as has been proposed for the J1407b ring system, may also work. These scenarios can be disentangled with multiband photometry during eclipse (see Section 6).

There exist two potential mechanisms for the eclipses. First that circumstellar dust caused the eclipse; and second that the eclipse of a secondary body caused the eclipse. We explore these hypothesis in detail here.

5.2 Circumstellar structure scenario

Many processes within the large circumstellar dust disc could disturb dust above the mid-plane and into eclipse. One possibility is from a spiral arm or a vortex. However, such scenarios are likely concentrated in the disc mid-plane, have significant azimuthal extent (of order radians), and move much more slowly than the material itself, hence not conducive to short, deep eclipses.

KH-15D-like dimmings, in which one member of a binary pair passes behind the circumstellar disc each orbit is another possibility. However, a binary on a 2 yr orbit would clear the entire inner disc — inconsistent with the disc model needed to explain the SED. If the total obscuration of a companion star leads to an ~30 per cent dip during eclipse, it must be less than ~2.5 log 0.3/0.7 or only ~0.9 mag fainter. Hence, such a bright companion would likely have been detected in either the CCF of the optical spectra or in the SED model.

Although the mechanism of eclipse remains unsolved, the deep and aperiodic dimmings or UX Ori stars (known as UXors), which are seen around many Herbig Ae/Be stars (Bertout 2000) are similar to the eclipses seen in PDS-110. Some eclipses resemble a single PDS-110 eclipse in depth or duration (e.g. Caballero 2010). However, these dimming events tend to be deeper (often several magnitudes), longer duration (weeks to years) and are aperiodic. Light curves of those UXors found also tend to exhibit many events, usually with differing depths and durations.

The proposed mechanisms for UXOr-like dimming events include hydrodynamical fluctuations at the inner edge of self-shadowed circumstellar discs (Dullemond et al. 2003), occultations of dust clumps in their circumstellar disc (Grinin 1988; Voshchinnikov 1989; Grady et al. 2000, etc.), and the eclipsing debris of planetesimal collisions in young asteroid belts (Kennedy et al. 2017). As an F-type star there is no guarantee that the self-shadowing proposed as a cause of UXOr behaviour is present for PDS-110. Our tentative SED fit also suggests an unsettled (ε = 0.5) and moderately turbulent (α = 0.01) disc — atypical for UXors (Dullemond & Dominik 2004). Regardless, the inferred period for the events, and their rarity, suggests the occulting material lies well beyond the disc’s inner edge at the sublimation radius. The lack of other significant variability suggests that the occulting material lies well above the ‘main’ disc, and that the disc structure may be relatively unimportant for determining the nature of the eclipses.

While this style of eclipse does not fit what is observed for PDS-110, it is possible that we could be observing a new UXOr-like eclipse behaviour.

Regardless of the formation mechanism, any diffuse clumps would be subject to shear. The angular shear rate is ΩdΩ/dR = −3Ω/2, so across a clamp of radius Rd the shear velocity is vsh = 6πRd/P (where P is the orbital period). That is, a clamp of any size will be sheared out by a factor of 6π after one orbit, and the radial and vertical optical depth will be roughly 6π times lower. Any disc structure will shear out rapidly, and on successive orbits will have a very different azimuthal extent. Thus, the similar shapes of the eclipses mean that if they were caused by the same clump, an additional means to maintain the concentration of this clump is needed.

5.3 Circumsecondary structure scenario

We have therefore established that the eclipsing object is highly likely to be periodic, and unlikely to be formed of streams or clumps of dust. The simplest way of concentrating material is with the gravitational attraction of a massive body. This is the established interpretation for many long-duration eclipses in young systems, with orbits from 48 d (Herbst et al. 1994) to ~70 yr (Rodríguez et al. 2016a). We explore here the likely characteristics of such a body by considering its Hill Sphere.

5.3.1 Hill Sphere Considerations

With an orbital period of P = 808 d, and a total mass of 1.6 solar masses, we derive a circular Keplerian velocity of 27 km s⁻¹. By assuming an eclipse is caused by an optically-thick knife-edge moving across the star, the gradient of the steepest slope can be used to give a minimum velocity of the eclipsing object. For the sharp flux increase seen at 2008.85 (~20 per cent in 6 h) in WASP data
This is typical for a prograde rotating disc (Nesvorny et al. 2003).
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We then model the ring radii and transmissions as the convolution of the stellar disc ($R = 2.23 R_\odot$) with the ring parameters. Minimization of ring transmissions produced the ring model as seen in Fig. 6 as the solid black curve. All gradients must lie on or below this curve for there to be a consistent ring model. The fit shown in Fig. 6 as the solid black curve. All gradients must lie on or below this curve for there to be a consistent ring model. The determined disc parameters have an Impact parameter of 2.45 d, a ring centre offset of 4.02 d (both in velocity space), an apparent disc inclination of 74° and total obliquity of the disc plane to the orbital plane of 26°.

We then model the ring radii and transmissions as the convolution of the stellar disc ($R = 2.23 R_\odot$) with the ring parameters. Minimization of ring transmissions produced the ring model as seen in Fig. 6.

The incomplete coverage of both eclipse events leads to several plausible ring solutions, of which we show just one in Fig. 6. The ring model fits both epochs well in several places, and shows deviations in others. From the plot of light-curve gradients, we can see several high gradients on the ingress of the transit in both epochs, we conclude that a tilted disc containing azimuthal structure at high spatial frequencies is a reasonable fit to the data. There isn’t a unique solution using azimuthally symmetric structures, which may be due to several causes: (i) We are seeing at different clocking angles in successive transits (e.g. from a spiral pattern), (ii) the intrinsic stochastic variability of the parent star is affecting the derived photometry and light-curve gradients, (iii) precession of the disc between successive transits and (iv) the eclipses are instead aperiodic dimmings caused by unexplained dust disc processes.

A comprehensive photometric monitoring campaign during future eclipses will help resolve these ambiguities in the interpretation of this object.

6 FUTURE OBSERVATIONS

While we favour the presence of dust structure around a periodic secondary companion as the cause of the eclipses, additional data are needed to test it. In particular, the next eclipse will take place on HJD = 2458015.5 ± 10 (2017 September 9–30). Unfortunately, it will only be observable for a few hours each night from the ground, and space-based observations may be needed for better temporal coverage of the event. The presence or absence of an eclipse will immediately settle the question of periodicity.

High cadence and low noise light curves during the eclipse will better constrain the presence of any smaller scale structures in the eclipsing material, potentially confirming the hypothesis that it is a disc of material with gaps and other structures orbiting a low mass secondary. Colour information during the eclipse can determine if the obscuration is due to small dust grains or larger bodies that produce more achromatic absorption. The continuing out-of-eclipse monitoring by photometric surveys may detect other eclipsing structures and further characterize any other variability.

A secondary should produce radial velocity variations in the primary of $\sim 200$ m s$^{-1}$ (for a 10 M$_{Jup}$ companion) that may be measurable. The difficulty is that the fast rotation and variability of the primary will limit the precision of radial velocity measurements. While the scales corresponding to the orbit of the potential secondary ($\sim 2$ au) cannot be resolved in sub-millimeter observations, they can characterize the disc on larger scales (10s of au) and search for distortions or gaps in the outer disc that might indicate the presence of other massive bodies in the system.

7 CONCLUSIONS

We have detected two near-identical eclipses of the bright ($V = 10.4$ mag), young ($\sim 10$Myr) star PDS-110 in the OB1a association with WASP, KELT and ASAS photometry. Further ASAS-SN and IOMC photometry of the star have increased the photometric coverage of this star to 25 seasons of data across 15 yr. We interpret these eclipses to be caused by the same optically-thin clump of material on a 808 ± 2 d orbit around the star.

Despite a large circumstellar disc around PDS-110, such a scenario cannot be caused by loose clumps of dust above the disc plane, as shearing forces would not maintain the eclipse depth and duration across 2.2 yr. Therefore, we interpret the eclipse structure to be gravitationally bound around a companion body, which must have mass $>1.8$ M$_{Jup}$.

Such a body must be significantly inclined relative to the circumstellar disc to eclipse the star. The body may be surrounded by rings, as has been hypothesized for J1407, with the sharp photometric gradients seen at $t_0 ± 5$ d being the result of the transit of a ring gap. This hypothesis can be tested, and the orbiting body studied in much greater detail, in 2017 September when we predict the next eclipse to take place.
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