Anti-Angiogenic Agent Combined with Anti-PD-1 Immunotherapy Showed Activity in Patients With Classical Hodgkin Lymphoma Who Have Failed Immunotherapy: A Retrospective Case Report Study
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Background: PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor immunotherapy has showed impressive activity in various cancers, especially relapsed/refractory (r/r) classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL). However, acquired resistance is inevitable for most patients. Sometimes severe side effects also lead to treatment termination. When immunotherapy failed, alternative treatment options are limited. In the past few years, we have used the anti-angiogenic agent apatinib and PD-1 inhibitor camrelizumab to treat cHL patients who failed prior immunotherapy. In this study, we analyzed the data of these patients.

Patients and Methods: Patients with r/r cHL who had failed immunotherapy and subsequently received apatinib-camrelizumab (AC) combination therapy were included in this study. Patient data were collected from medical records and follow-up system. The efficacy and safety of AC therapy were analyzed.

Results: Seven patients who failed immunotherapy were identified in our database, of which five patients acquired immunotherapy resistance and two patients experienced severe side effects. They received a combination of camrelizumab (200 mg every four weeks) and apatinib (425 mg or 250 mg per day). As of the cut-off date, these patients had received a median of 4 cycles (range, 2 - 31) of treatment. Two (2/7) patients achieved complete response, four (4/7) partial response, and one (1/7) stable disease. The median progression-free survival was 10.0 months (range, 2.0 – 27.8). Low-dose apatinib (250 mg) plus camrelizumab was well tolerated and had no unexpected side effects. Besides, no reactive cutaneous capillary endothelial proliferation was observed in AC-treated patients.
INTRODUCTION

Classical Hodgkin lymphoma (cHL) is generally considered a curable disease; however, approximately 10%-20% of patients will develop refractory and relapsed (r/r) disease after standard treatment. The role of programmed death-1 (PD-1) and its ligand PD-L1 in tumor immunosuppression and immune escape has recently been well studied. PD-L1 is recognized as an effective predictor of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor immunotherapy. Due to the frequent aberrations of chromosome 9p24.1 (1), PD-L1 is universally overexpressed in cHL. Correspondingly, several PD-1 inhibitors showed excellent activity in r/r cHL patients (2–10). However, most patients can only achieve a partial response (PR), and it is just a matter of time before progression of disease (PD) occurs in these patients. In addition, immune-related adverse events (irAEs) are a concern, although the incidence of serious adverse events (SAEs) during immunotherapy is generally low. Drug resistance and/or the presence of SAEs are the major reason for immunotherapy discontinuation. Currently, treatment options for patients who have failed immunotherapy are limited. Hence, it is of great importance to develop novel treatment approaches for these populations.

Previous studies have demonstrated that anti-angiogenic agents can sensitize various tumors to immunotherapy in immunotherapy-naïve patients (11–16). However, it is not clear whether anti-angiogenic agents can resensitize the tumors of patients who have failed immunotherapy to immunotherapy rechallenge. In the past few years, we have used a combined regimen of the anti-angiogenic agent apatinib and PD-1 inhibitor camrelizumab in r/r cHL patients who had failed previous immunotherapy. Herein, we retrospectively analyzed the data of these patients.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Patients were confirmed to have r/r cHL by pathologists and clinicians. They received PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor immunotherapy, but discontinued the treatment due to the presence of PD and/or SAEs. After the immunotherapy failed, they received the combination treatment of apatinib and camrelizumab (AC). This study included patients who received at least one cycle of AC combination therapy and had complete data at baseline and after treatment. The clinical characteristics, treatment procedure, adverse events, and follow-up information of eligible patients were extracted from the medical records and follow-up system.

Conclusions:

Low dose apatinib plus camrelizumab might be a promising treatment option for r/r cHL patients who have failed immunotherapy. This combination treatment is worthy of further investigation in more patients including solid cancer patients who have failed immunotherapy.
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RESULTS

Characteristics of Patients

Seven patients were included in this study. There were four males and three females, with a median age of 40 years (range, 22 - 75). All patients had no noticeable family and personal medical history, except for case 5 who had a history of hypertension > 20 years. All these patients were participants in previous clinical trials of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor immunotherapy (NCT03505996, NCT03155425, NCT03114683). Five patients (cases 1, 3, 4, 5, and 7) withdrew from these trials due to PD. PD was confirmed by radiographic progression twice. After the first progression, treatment was continued until the disease progressed once again. Two other patients (cases 2 and 6) stopped their trials due to grade 3 checkpoint inhibitor-related pneumonitis (CIP). These patients received a median of 12 cycles (range, 1 - 29) of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor immunotherapy before withdrawal from their trials and the best response for these patients was PR. Before the initiation of AC combination therapy, these patients had received a median of 4 lines (range, 3 - 8) of treatment (Table 1 and Supplementary File 1). The median time from the diagnosis of cHL to the initiation of AC therapy was 3.5 years (range, 2.5 – 4.2).

Administration Schedule and Adverse Effect

Camrelizumab was given at a fixed dose of 200 mg intravenous infusion once every 4 weeks. The initial dose of apatinib was 425 mg once a day in the first three patients (cases 1 to 3). A 4-week period was defined as one cycle. Due to AEs, after the first cycle of AC therapy, the dose of apatinib in these three patients was subsequently reduced to 250 mg per day. Grade 3 elevated liver enzymes, grade 2...
hand-foot syndrome, and grade 2 skin rashes occurred in cases 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Following apatinib dose reduction, the first two AEs were resolved, and the third skin rash was relieved and remained at grade 1. During the following treatment, case 2 developed grade 1 hypothyroidism, hand-foot syndrome, and CIP. The other 4 patients (cases 4 to 7) were given 250 mg of apatinib from the beginning, and of them, case 4 did not have any AEs during the treatment; case 5, who had a history of hypertension, underwent grade 3 hypertension, grade 1 fatigue and anorexia, but the hypertension was well controlled by hypotensor; case 6 experienced grade 1 hypothyroidism and grade 2 recurrent CIP; case 7 had grade 1 hand-foot syndrome (Table 1). The unique dermatologic toxicity of camrelizumab, called reactive cutaneous capillary endothelial proliferation (RCCEP), did not appear in any of these patients during AC combination therapy.

**Efficacy**
As of the cut-off date, these patients received a median of 4 cycles (range, 2 - 31) of AC combination therapy. Two (2/7) patients (cases 2 and 5) achieved complete response (CR); four (4/7) patients (cases 1, 4, 6, and 7) achieved PR; one (1/7) patient (case 3) had stable disease.

| Patient | Gender | Age (years) | Pathologic subtype | Previous treatment regimens, number of treatment cycles | Reason for discontinuation of previous immunotherapy | Initial dose of apatinib, AE | Adjusted dose of apatinib, AE | Cycles of AC therapy and best response | PFS (month) |
|---------|--------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------|
| Case 1  | F      | 33          | NSHL +             | 1 ABVD, 6 2 IGEV, 2 3 ESHAP, 2 4 VMCP, 1 5 PD-L1 inhibitor, 11 | PD, elevated liver enzymes                           | 425 mg, G 3                  | 250 mg, none                    | 4, PR                             | 10.0        |
| Case 2  | F      | 22          | NSHL -             | 1 ABVD, 8 2 GemOx, 2, followed by RT 3 PD-1 inhibitor, 1 4 COPP, 1 5 Liposomal doxorubicin + paclitaxel + methotrexate, 2 6 BV + DHAP, 2 7 GN + decitabine, 2 8 Lenalidomide, 4 months | G 3 CIP                                            | 425 mg, G 2 hand-foot syndrome | 250 mg, G 1 CIP, G 1 hypothyroidism, G1 hand-foot syndrome | 31, CR                          | 27.8        |
| Case 3  | M      | 40          | MCHL -             | 1 ABVD, 4 2 IGEV, 4, followed by RT 3 ESHAP, 4, followed by ASCT 4 PD-1 inhibitor, 29 | PD                                                  | 425 mg, G 2 rash                  | 250 mg, G 1 rash                    | 2, SD                             | 2.0         |
| Case 4  | M      | 40          | NSHL -             | 1 ABVD, 6 2 GVD, 6 3 PD-1 inhibitor, 18 | PD                                                  | 250 mg, none                       | 250 mg, none                    | 4, PR                             | 5.7         |
| Case 5  | M      | 75          | MCHL -             | 1 ABVD, 6 2 BEACOPP, 6 3 PD-L1 inhibitor, 12 | PD, hypertension, grade 1 fatigue and anorexia      | 250 mg, G 3 hypertension, grade 1 fatigue and anorexia | 250 mg, hypertension was well controlled by hypotensor | 22, CR                          | 21.6        |
| Case 6  | F      | 25          | NSHL NA            | 1 ABVD, 6 2 IGEV, 6 3 Gemcitabine + carboplatin, 2 4 PD-1 inhibitor, 11 | G 3 CIP                                            | 425 mg, G 1 hypothyroidism        | 250 mg, G 1 hypothyroidism, G 2 CIP | 14, PR                          | 21.4        |
| Case 7  | M      | 40          | NSHL -             | 1 ABVD, 6 2 IGEV, 2 3 GDP, 2 4 PD-L1 inhibitor, 8 5 Decitabine + PD-1 inhibitor, 4 | PD, hand-foot syndrome                      | 250 mg, G 1 hand-foot syndrome     | 250 mg, G 1 hand-foot syndrome    | 4, PR                             | 5.0         |

**Table 1** | Profile of seven patients treated with apatinib plus camrelizumab (AC).
Six patients have discontinued AC therapy, of which 3 cases (cases 1, 3, and 4) discontinued due to non-progressive and non-toxic reasons, two cases (cases 2 and 7) discontinued due to disease progression, and one case (case 6) discontinued due to toxicity. Cases 1 and 4 discontinued due to financial toxicity after receiving 4 cycles of AC therapy, and then both experienced disease progression and case 4 died of lymphoma. Case 2 had a CR at the 7th month of AC therapy but relapsed at the 28th month. Case 3

**FIGURE 1** Tumor response. (A) Waterfall plot for best changes in tumor volume. CR, complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease. (B) Representative images of the 7 cases at baseline and after combination treatment of apatinib and camrelizumab. Red arrows point to the tumor lesions at baseline. (C) Duration of responses. The length of each bar represents progression-free survival of each patient.
discontinued the treatment at his own will when he achieved SD after two cycles of AC therapy. The PFS of this patient was 2 months according to PFS’s definition. After discontinuation of AC therapy, he received several lines of other treatments (PD-1 inhibitor combined with lenalidomide, bendamustine, or mercaptopurine). His condition remained stable for a long time but progressed in the 21st month. Case 6 discontinued treatment due to recurrent grade 2 CIP after receiving 14 cycles of AC therapy and experienced disease progression in the 22nd month. The disease of case 7 progressed after 4 cycles of treatment. Case 5 was in durable CR and received continuous treatment (Table 1). Tumor responses are displayed in Figure 1 and Supplementary File 2. The median PFS was 10.0 months (range, 2.0 – 27.8) for these 7 patients (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

With the ever-increasing application of immunotherapy in practice, acquired resistance to immunotherapy is becoming a major challenge in the treatment of r/r cHL and other cancers. It is urgently needed to develop strategies to overcome the resistance. The resistance mechanism of immunotherapy is very complex, involving both tumor cells and their microenvironment (TME). It has been reported that many components in TME can promote tumor cell immune evasion, including immunosuppressive cells, soluble suppressive molecules, and inhibitory receptors (18), among which vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-mediated immunosuppression has been proven to play an important role in tumor escape from immune surveillance through inhibition of dendritic cell maturation, reduction of T-cell tumor infiltration, and promotion of inhibitory signaling (11, 19–27).

Anti-angiogenic agents can reprogram the tumor milieu from an immunosuppressive to an immune permissive TME by overcoming endothelial cell anergy, normalizing tumor vasculature, increasing CD4+ and CD8+ T cell infiltration, inducing adhesion molecule expression, decreasing Treg and myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) levels, and promoting antigen presentation (28–30). In view of the immunomodulating effect of anti-angiogenic agents, they have been proposed as immunotherapy (28). Efforts have been made to incorporate anti-angiogenic agents into immunotherapy for cancer treatment. A multitude of preclinical and clinical studies have observed promising anti-tumor effects of combining anti-angiogenic agents with immune checkpoint inhibitors in many types of solid cancers, including melanoma, renal cell carcinoma, colon adenocarcinoma, gastric cancer, breast cancer, and lung cancer (12–15, 27, 31–36). Recently, several phase III studies of non-small cell lung cancer, renal cell carcinoma, and hepatocellular carcinoma have shown that the combination of anti-angiogenic agents and immunotherapy significantly improved clinical outcomes compared to their respective standards of care (27). At present, the combination of antiangiogenic agents with immunotherapy is being extensively tested in > 80 ongoing clinical trials (28). In these studies, efforts were mainly focused on immunotherapy-naive patients. It is unclear whether the combination can be used in patients whose immunotherapy has failed.

Camrelizumab (SHR-1210) is a humanized high-affinity IgG4 monoclonal antibody against PD-1, which has been approved for use in multiple cancers in China. Apatinib is a small molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor of vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 (VEGFR2), approved in China for the third-line treatment of advanced gastric cancer and the second line treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. In early-stage clinical trials, the combination of apatinib and camrelizumab showed encouraging activity in immunotherapy-naive patients with NSCLC, cervical cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and gastric cancer (32, 37, 38). In the present case series study, apatinib plus camrelizumab showed promising activity in 7 r/r cHL patients who had failed immunotherapy. Our results suggest that apatinib may resensitize resistant tumor cells to immunotherapy rechallenge. It is worth noting that the results are preliminary and the number of cases is limited. More data are needed to support this finding.

Several previous studies have demonstrated that compared with high-dose anti-angiogenic agents, low-dose anti-angiogenic agents combined with immunotherapy can better control tumor growth, because low-dose antiangiogenic agents have better immunomodulatory effect (12, 15, 16, 36). Besides, early-stage clinical trials have showed that low-dose apatinib (250 mg vs 500 mg) was better tolerated in patients with solid cancers when combined with immunotherapy (32, 38). Consistence with these studies, we demonstrated that when used in combination with camrelizumab, 250 mg low-dose apatinib was better tolerated in heavily pretreated r/r cHL patients.

**FIGURE 2** | Survival curves of the 7 cases. OS, overall survival; PFS, progression-free survival.
The combination of low dose apatinib and camrelizumab did not produce unexpected toxicity. Hypertension, hand-foot syndrome, liver toxicity, fatigue, nausea and vomiting are common adverse effects of apatinib (39), while hypothyroidism is a common side effect of camrelizumab (10). Elevated liver enzymes in case 1, hand-foot syndrome in cases 2 and 7, hypertension, fatigue, and anorexia in case 5 should be attributed to apatinib; hypothyroidism in cases 2 and 6 might be attributed to camrelizumab. The attribution of rash in case 3 could not be determined. These adverse effects were mild and manageable. No other adverse effects of apatinib, such as proteinuria, diarrhea, and hemocytopenia, were observed in this case series, which may be attributed to the low dose used in these patients. Interestingly, RCCEP, the unique dermal toxicity of camrelizumab, was offset by the addition of apatinib. RCCEP occurs in approximately 90% of patients receiving camrelizumab monotherapy (10, 40). However, in the present study none of the patients receiving AC combination therapy developed RCCEP, including cases 2 and 4 who ever had grade 1 RCCEP in their previous clinical trials of camrelizumab monotherapy. This phenomenon was also observed in a prospective phase 2 trial published recently. This trial included 45 immunotherapy-naive patients with advanced cervical cancer who received AC combination therapy. RCCEP only occurred in 4 (8.9%) patients (37). The underlying mechanism of this phenomenon might be that RCCEP is caused by camrelizumab-associated modulation of VEGFR2, which is the target of apatinib (41).

In this case series, three cases (cases 2, 5, and 6) had relatively long PFS. Cases 2 and 6 discontinued the initial PD-1 inhibitor treatment due to irAE rather than resistance. Their favorable response to AC therapy was mainly due to the natural sensitivity of their lymphomas to immunotherapy. We are not sure whether the addition of apatinib reduced the severity of irAE, because case 2 received AC therapy until her disease progressed, but case 6 eventually terminated AC therapy due to recurrent grade 2 CIP after 14 treatment cycles. Case 5 who was resistant to PD-L1 inhibitor achieved a durable CR to AC combination therapy. In addition to the synergism between anti-angiogenic agent and immunotherapy, there may be incomplete cross-resistance between PD-1 inhibitors and PD-L1 inhibitors. Previously, Gelsomino et al. reported that a PD-1 inhibitor-resistant lung cancer patient responded to PD-L1 inhibitor (42), suggesting that individual patients may benefit from switching administration of PD-1 inhibitors and PD-L1 inhibitors. Case 7 underwent two lines of immunotherapy (PD-L1 inhibitor monotherapy, PD-1 inhibitor plus decitabine) before AC combination therapy, indicating that his tumor was highly resistant to immunotherapy. However, he still achieved a PR and 5 months of PFS benefit from AC therapy. Unfortunately, cases 1 and 4 discontinued AC therapy prematurely due to financial problems, which interfered with the observation of the durability of clinical response. Age, pathologic subtype, and EBV infection status did not seem to be related to the response to AC therapy (Table 1).

CONCLUSION

In this study, low-dose apatinib plus camrelizumab showed promising activity in heavily pretreated t/r cHL patients who had failed PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitor immunotherapy. However, it is difficult to draw a definitive conclusion from this small sample size case series study. Given the rarity of t/r cHL, the combination regimen is worthy of further investigation in a larger number of patients, including solid cancer patients who have failed immunotherapy.
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