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ABSTRACT

The studies were conducted to evaluate the fish species diversity of two lakes viz: Kiri and Gyawana, at monthly intervals for the period of two years. Fish records were based entirely on the landings of fishermen. Fish were sorted into taxonomic groups, identified to family or species level, counted and weighed in groups by species. 57 species in 16 fish families were observed at Kiri Lake and 40 species in 16 fish families were observed at Gyawana Lake. There was no significant difference in species diversity within fish families in Kiri and Gyawana lakes (P>0.05). Under criteria 1 and 4 of the Ramsar, both Kiri and Gyawana Lakes were qualified as Ramsar sites. This result further confirmed how urgent and critical our natural wetland ecosystems especially the Kiri and Gyawana Lakes should be conserved.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Wetlands were often regarded as wastelands because of some problems like disease vectors associated with them [1,2]. They are considered as important elements of Nigeria’s watershed systems [3,4]. Asibor, [4] also stated that, for an area to be considered a wetland, it must possess water, wetland plants and wetland soils. However, in 1972 at Ramsar, Iran, the issues on wetlands were key at the international environmental convention, organized to specifically deliberate on conservation of the world’s wetlands.

At that Convention, the following criteria were used for the identification of wetlands of international importance:

1.1 Criteria Representing Unique Wetlands

A wetland is characterized internationally important if meets any of the following characteristics:

a. It should be a good representative of a natural or near-natural wetlands (characteristics of an appropriate bio-geographical region);

b. Wetland should play a substantial hydrological, biological or ecological role in the natural functioning of a major river basin or coastal system.

c. It should be able to be a good example of a specific type of wetland, which very rare or unusual in the proper bio-geographical region.

1.2 Criteria Directed to Plants or Animals

A wetland is characterized internationally important if meets any of the following characteristics:

d. It should be able to supports an appreciable assemblage of rare, vulnerable or endangered species or subspecies of plants and animals, or an appreciable number of individuals of plants and animals;

e. It should have a special value for maintaining the genetic and ecological diversity of a region because of the quality and peculiarities of its flora and fauna;

f. It should have a special value as the habitat of plants or animals at some stage of their life cycle;

g. It should be of special value for one or more endemic plants or animals species or for the communities.

1.3 Criteria Directed to Waterfowl

A wetland is characterized internationally important if meets any of the following characteristics:

h. It should be able to regularly supports about 20,000 waterfowls;

i. It should also be able to support substantial numbers of individuals regularly from certain groups of waterfowl;

j. In a situation where data on populations are available, it should be able to support 1% of the individuals in a population of one species or subspecies of waterfowl regularly.

1.4 Criteria Directed to Fish

A wetland is characterized internationally important if meets any of the following characteristics:

k. It should be able to support a reasonable number of indigenous fish subspecies, species or families, life-history stages, species interactions and/or populations that are representing wetland benefits and/or values and thereby contributing to global bio-diversity;

l. It is should be able to provide food for fishes, spawning ground, nursery and/or migration path on which fish stocks, either within the wetland or elsewhere.

(Source: Ramsar Convention Website; www.ramsar.org [5]).

With an estimated over 14 million hectares of inland water bodies in Nigeria being fished [6], the inland water bodies still exert pressure especially from the artisanal fishermen, who predominately supply about 90% of domestic fish need in Nigeria [7]. According to Ahmed and Yusuf [8], Nigerians consume about 1.2 million metric tonnes of fish which is the largest in Africa. Eni et al. [9] reported that the pressures contributing to wetland utilization in Nigeria include population pressure on the highlands leaving wetlands as alternatives for cultivation, poor methods of grazing in the wetlands as the only suitable place for growing crops, scarcity of building materials such as reeds, poles and so
on and low supply of fish leading to establishment of fish ponds in wetlands. The pressure can lead to ecological imbalances in wetlands as the products are not used sustainably. The focus on fishes to be utilized sustainably is because the ICBP [10] postulated that they are good bio-indicators of the wellbeing of aquatic ecosystems as well as a cheap source of protein. Ogbe [11] reported that fish provides 21% and 28% of animal protein in Africa and Asia respectively. Globally, fish requirements for direct human consumption will double in the next ten years, yet we are already over – fishing most of our marine stocks and future yields are unlikely to increase significantly. Inland fisheries are supplying an increasing amount of this fish. Today they provide 12% of fish directly consumed by human and this is rising with widespread unsustainable exploitation too. The awareness generated by the Ramsar convention, led to the research works of Fiselier [12] and Barbier et al. [13] in which wetlands began to be recognized as very important and valuable ecosystems. Wangari [14] is of the view that scientific literature on tropical wetlands is poor, and that gaps stills exist in our knowledge of these important ecosystems. The percentage of Nigerian land under environmental reservation has declined from the near 11% of the 1980s as result of habitat degradation and loss, brought about by environmentally unfriendly anthropogenic activities. This has prompted the need for identification and placing of more land areas under some form of environmental protection especially for fish. It is with a view to contributing to the identification of such sites of conservation significance that this study was conceived and carried out.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the Upper Benue River Basin near Numan (9°30N, 12°05E), the studies were conducted on Kiri Lake (9°40N, 12°00E), and Gyawana Lake (9°33 N, 11°49 E) as shown in Figs.1a, b and c between January, 2004 to December, 2005.

Fish records were based entirely on the landings of fishermen. The numbers of canoes that land fish at each of the study sites were counted. Fish were sorted into taxonomic groups, identified to family and species level, counted and weighed in groups by species. A field guide to Nigerian freshwater fishes [16], the illustrated key to the fishes of Lake Kainji [17] and West African Freshwater Fish [18] were used as field identification guides.

Ita [19] and Opara and Al-Jufaili [20] reported that processing and preservation of fish is carried out for the purpose of extending the shelf-life of fish. The major processing and preservation methods are:

- **Chilling:** Chilling may be defined as cooling of fish to low temperature without necessarily hardening fish. Chilling does not prevent spoilage.

![Fig. 1a. Map of Adamawa state showing the study sites](image-url)
However, the colder the fish the better and the lower are the incidence of microbial or enzymatic spoilage [19].

- **Super chilling:** This is not a common method. Super chilling implies reducing the temperature of fish uniformly below 0°C. At this temperature, half the water in the fish freezes; bacteria action is greatly reduced and shelf-life is extended [19].

- **Freezing:** Freezing is distinct from chilling of fish. Freezing can keep products in near perfect condition for very prolonged periods. Freezing is essential for export...
purpose. Freezing becomes extremely effective if it is combined with cold storage [21].

- **Drying:** Is the removal of water by evaporation. When applied to fish, drying is the removal of water by any method as a means of fish preservation to prolong the shelf life. In areas where sun drying is used traditionally, the effects of wind and weather conditions are important. Basically, the drying effect of the sun depends on the emission of heat from the sun. This is transferred to the fish and it is accompanied by heat transfer within the fish. During drying, the fish shrinks and undergoes irreversible changes [22].

- **Fermentation:** Majority of the methods used in fish preservation involves the removal of water. These processes involve drying by use of either heat or smoke. The method that may be employed determines the end product flavor and texture. Fermentation methods have been widely employed to conserve or utilize surplus products [19,20].

- **Smoking:** This is a popular traditional method of fish preservation in most developing countries. Smoking combines the effect of the destruction of bacteria by compounds in the smoke such as phenols and the cooking of the fish, since high temperatures will be generated. Smoked fish products have long shelf life, which has been attributed to the drying and cooking effect. When wood and sawdust are burnt, smoke is produced as a result of incomplete combustion. The smoke produced depends on the amount of air available and the quantity of wood or sawdust [23].

- **Salting:** There are four standard methods of salting fish. These are brine, drying, kench and pickle salting methods.
  
  a. **Brine salting:** This process involves the immersion of the fish in a solution of salt in water.
  b. **Drying salting:** This is the process whereby the granular salt is rubbed on the surface of fish.
  c. **Kench salting:** In this process, the salt is rubbed on the surface of split fish and the fish are stored with salt placed between each layer of fish.
  d. **Pickle salting:** The fish are packed in watertight containers with salt between each layer of fish [24].

Following the statistical procedures described by Fowler and Cohen [25] and Sokal and Rohlf [26], Mann-Whitney U-tests were used to compare possible differences in fish diversity between the two Lakes.

### 3. RESULTS

In the two study sites, the number of species observed were noted and compiled as shown in Table 1.

In Table 2, comparison of the diversity of vertebrate class at family, genus and species levels is shown.

From Table 2, 57 species were observed in 16 fish families at Kiri Lake while 40 species were observed in 16 fish families at Gyawana Lake. When compared, there was no significant difference \( p \leq 0.05 \); Mann-Whitney U-test) in species diversity within fish families in Kiri Lake and Gyawana Lake.

Table 3, shows the comparison of the biotic characteristics of Kiri Lake and Gyawana Lake following the criteria used in assessing sites with characteristics of Ramsar site.

### 4. DISCUSSION

The fish diversity of the two Lakes represents a significant percentage of Ita’s [27] record of 239 species in 46 families of freshwater fish in Nigeria. 106 species in 26 families of fresh water fish in major reservoirs/lakes in Nigeria was also recorded by Ita [27] out of which 21 species in 10 families was recorded at Kiri Lake, while there is no documented record for Gyawana Lake. 104 species in 24 families at Kainji Lake, 27 species in 10 families at Shiroro Lake; 50 species in 20 families at Jebba Lake. Variation in catchability as reported by Gulland [28] may be attributed to the type of gear used, the weather or other environmental condition, and the time (during migration).

The diverse numbers of fish species may be resulted from the aquatic microphytes and macrophytes (flora), good number of aquatic insects including their larval stages (fauna) that were supported by the Kiri Lake and Gyawana Lake as food, cover or shelter.

The droppings and egested pellets of the birds are used as food for the fishes especially around heronries. This study contradicts Ita [27], in which less fishes were observed at Kiri Lake.
Table 1. Comparative fish species diversity of Kiri and Gyawana lacustrine ecosystems

| Family/species              | Hausa name       | Kiri-2004 | Gyawana-2004 | Kiri-2005 | Gyawana-2005 | Comments                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Anabantidae-Climbing perches** |                  |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| Ctenopoma kingsleyae        | Karpas bado      | -         | *            | -         | *            |                                                                         |
| Bagridae-Catfishes          |                  |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| Bagrus bayad                | Doza (Rago ruwa) | *         | *            | *         | *            | Tail tinged red.                                                         |
| Bagrus docmac               | Dinko            | *         | *            | *         | *            |                                                                         |
| Chrysichthys auratus        | Kurkoni          | *         | -            | *         | -            | Fins are yellowish                                                       |
| Chrysichthys longifilis     | Sarkin kwata     | *         | -            | -         | -            |                                                                         |
| Chrysichthys nigrodigitatus | Sarkin kwata     | *         | -            | *         | -            | Adipose fin round and not rayed.                                         |
| Chrysichthys spp.           | Yaka yaka        | *         | -            | -         | -            |                                                                         |
| Clarotes macrocephalus      | Barushe          | *         | -            | -         | -            |                                                                         |
| Bagrus filamentosus         | Rago ruwa        | *         | -            | -         | -            |                                                                         |
| Clarotes laticeps           |                  | -         | -            | *         | -            | Only species with rayed adipose fin proceeded by a spine; Fin is pointed.|
| Auchenoglanis biscutatus    |                  |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| **Centropomidae-Niger Perch (Elephant of the water)** |                  |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| Lates niloticus             | Giwan ruwa       | -         | -            | *         | -            | Most characteristic feature is the first dorsal fin with strong spines. |
| **Channidae-Snakehead**     |                  |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| Channa obscura              | Dumna            | -         | *            | *         | *            | Has a distinctive head.                                                 |
| **Characidae-Tigerfish**    |                  |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| Hydrocynus forskahlii       | Sage             | *         | -            | *         | *            | Fearsome array of teeth.                                                |
| Alestes nurse               | Kawara           | *         | *            | *         | *            | Conspicuous spot behind operculum. Caudal fin pink to bright red colour.|
| Alestes baremose            | Shemani (jaga jaga) | *        | *            | *         | *            |                                                                         |
| Alestes macrolepidotus      | Kawara (shemani) | *         | *            | *         | -            | Large scaled; Largest species of Alestes.                               |
| Hydrocynus vittatus (lineatus) |                 | *         | -            | *         | -            |                                                                         |
| Alestes leuciscus           | Sagai            | *         | -            | *         | -            | Dark spot on either side of the                                          |

323
| Family/species | Hausa name | Kiri-2004 | Gyawana-2004 | Kiri-2005 | Gyawana-2005 | Comments |
|----------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| Alestes sp.    | Gamre      | *         | -           | *         | -           |          |
| Alestes imberi |            |           |             |           |             |          |
| Cichlidae-perch-like fish | | | | | | |
| Oreochromis niloticus | Karpasa      | *         | *           | *         | *           |          |
| Tilapia zillii | Karpasa     |           |             |           |             |          |
| Sarotherodon galilaeus | Karpasa    | *         | *           | *         | *           |          |
| Tilapia aurea | Barkin karp | -         | *           | -         | -           |          |
| Hemichromis bimaculatus | Kausa       |           | *           |           | *           |          |
| Hemichromis fasciatus | Zambai      | *         | -           |           | *           |          |
| Cicharinidae-moonfish | | | | | | |
| Citharinus citherus | Pallia       | *         | *           | *         | -           |          |
| Claridae-Catfishes |            |           |             |           |             |          |
| Claris gariepinus |            |           |             |           |             |          |
| Tarwada        |            |           |             |           |             |          |
| Clarias anguillaris | Tarwada     | *         | *           | *         | *           |          |
| Clarias spp.   | Tarwada     | *         | -           | -         | -           |          |
| Heterobranchus bidorsalis | Jerri (marri) | -         | *           |           | -           |          |
| Cyprinidae-African carp | | | | | | |
| Labeo senegalensis | Datta        | *         | *           | *         | *           |          |
| Labeo coubie  | Bakin data  | *         | -           | *         | *           |          |
| Raliamas senegalensis | Jangali     |            |             |           |             |          |
| Distichodontidae -Moonfish (Grass-eaters) | | | | | | |
| Distichodus brevipinnis | Chichiyawa  | *         | *           | *         | *           |          |
| Distichodus rostratus | Chichiyawa  | *         | *           | *         | -           |          |
| Gymnarchidae-elephant-snout fish | | | | | | |
| Gymnarchus niloticus | Yauni (Dan sarki) | *         | *           | *         | *           |          |

caudal peduncle.

Dominant specie.

Vertical bars of dorsal fin replaced by clear spot.

Change color within seconds when startled. Has three dark spots on each side of the body.

Rayed dorsal fin extends the whole length of the body; Do not possess lungs; Breathe air.

Same as above

Has a rayed dorsal followed by a large adipose fin.

Have electric organs; Move
| Family/species                | Hausa name | Kiri-2004 | Gyawana-2004 | Kiri-2005 | Gyawana-2005 | Comments                                                                 |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| **Lepidosirenidae-Lungfish**|            |           |              |           |              |backward and forward with some agility.                                  |
| Protopterus annectens       | Bodami     | -         | *            | *         | *            | Has pair of lungs which enable it to breathe; Only species which         |
|                            |            |           |              |           |              | aestivate; Ancient fish.                                                 |
| **Malapteruridae-Catfish**  |            |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| Malapterurus electricus     | Mijiriya   | -         | -            | *         | -            | Gives an electric shock when handled carelessly; Has an electric        |
|                            |            |           |              |           |              | organ.                                                                   |
| **Mochokidae-Catfishes**    |            |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| Synodontis clarias          | Kurungu    | *         | *            | *         | *            | Breathe air though no lungs; fins have serrated spines, which make      |
|                            |            |           |              |           |              | it difficult to handle; Presence of spines in the front of dorsal fin.  |
| Synodontis nigrta           | Kurungu    | *         | *            | *         | *            | Same as above                                                           |
| Synodontis membranaceus     | Kurungu (Farin golaki) | * | * | * | - | Same as above, upside-down catfish, habitually swims in an inverted position with belly uppermost |
| Synodontis gambiensis       | Kurungu    | *         | *            | *         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| Synodontis violaceus        | Kurungu    | *         | -            | -         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| Synodontis batensoda        | Kurungu    | *         | -            | -         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| Synodontis filamentosus     | Kurungu    | *         | -            | *         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| Synodontis ocellifer        | Kurungu    | -         | *            | *         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| Synodontis eupterus         | Kurungu    | -         | -            | *         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| **Mormyridae-Elephant-Snout fish** |          |           |              |           |              |                                                                         |
| Marcusenius psittacus       | Kuma       | *         | *            | -         | *            | Have electric organs situated on each terminal portion of the tail,      |
|                            |            |           |              |           |              | which aid poor sight.                                                   |
| Petrocephalus bane          | Farin wata | *         | *            | *         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| Gnathonemus abadii          | Parpar     | *         | *            | *         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| Mormyrus macrophthalmus     | Gandaga (Maikolli) | * | * | * | - | Same as above |
| Hyperopisus bebe            | Budock (Hura) | * | - | * | - | Same as above |
| Mormyrops deliciosus        | Milligi    | *         | -            | *         | -            | Same as above                                                           |
| Family/species                  | Hausa name | Kiri-2004 | Gyawana-2004 | Kiri-2005 | Gyawana-2005 | Comments                      |
|--------------------------------|------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Mormyrus rume                  | Gandaga    | *         | -            | *         | *            | Same as above                 |
| Gnathonemus niger              | Kuma       | *         | -            | -         | -            | Same as above                 |
| Petrocephalus bovei            | Faya       | -         | *            | -         | -            | Same as above                 |
| **Osteoglossidae**             |            |           |              |           |              |                               |
| Heterotis niloticus            | Bargi      | -         | *            | -         | -            | Ancient fish                  |
| **Polypteridae-Sailfin**       |            |           |              |           |              |                               |
| Polypterus senegalus           | Garsa      | *         | *            | -         | *            | Has lungs though can survive out of the water for long. |
| **Schilbeidae-Catfishes**      |            |           |              |           |              |                               |
| Siluranoodon australis         | Sole (Aflo Bokoloji) | * | *        | -         | *            | Butterfish                    |
| Schilbe mystus                 | Sole       | *         | *            | *         | *            | Called Glass catfish because body lacks pigmentation. Quite translucent. |
| Physalinia pellucida           | Sole       | *         | *            | *         | *            | Called Glass catfish because body lacks pigmentation. Quite translucent. |
| Eutropius niloticus            | Sole       | -         | -            | *         | -            | Prominent dark mark behind the operculum. |

* Fish family and species present; - fish family and species absent

Table 2. A comparison of fish species of the two wetlands

| Taxonomic class | Family | Genus | Species | Family | Genus | Species |
|-----------------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------|---------|
| Pisces          | 16     | 32    | 57      | 16     | 26    | 40      |

Table 3. Comparison of biotic characteristics of Kiri Lake and Gyawana Lake following the Ramsar Criteria

| Ramsar criteria                  | Kiri lake | Gyawana lake |
|----------------------------------|-----------|--------------|
| Criteria 1: Criteria for representing a unique wetlands | | |
| a. No                             | Yes (Naturalness) |
| b. No                             | Yes (Sump for flood water) |
| c. No                             | No |
| Criteria 4: criteria directed to fish | | |
| a. Yes                           | Yes |
| b. No                            | No |

Both sites qualify as Ramsar sites under criteria 1 and 4
This could be attributed to changing ecological regime on the Lake, which tends to favour certain fish species and cause the disappearance of other fish species [29].

5. CONCLUSION

From the results obtained, the Kiri and Gyawana Lakes should be conserved. Ecosystems especially the Kiri and Gyawana axes are urgent and critical our natural wetland criteria should be conserved.
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