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Two new complexes, [Zn(O2CPh)2(L)2] · 2MeOH (1 · 2MeOH) and [Ni2(O2CPh)4(L)2] · 2MeCN (2 · 2MeCN), have been synthesized and characterized by X-ray analysis in the course of an ongoing investigation of the MII \( X^- / L \) [MII = Co, Ni, Cu, Zn; \( X^- = \text{Cl}^-, \text{Br}^-, \text{I}^-, \text{NCS}^-, \text{NO}_3^-, \text{N}_3^-, \text{PhCO}_2^- \); L = 1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole] reaction system, aiming at understanding and assessing the relative strength and the way in which the intermolecular interactions control the supramolecular organization of these compounds. In the mononuclear complex 1 · 2MeOH, the benzoate ion acts as a monodentate ligand resulting in a distorted tetrahedral \( N_2O_2 \) coordination environment. Complex 2 · 2MeCN exhibits a dinuclear paddle-wheel structure; each NiII has a square pyramidal NiNO4 chromophore with four benzoate oxygens in the basal plane and the pyridine-type nitrogen atom of one ligand L at the apex. The structure of 1 · 2MeOH is stabilized by intramolecular \( \pi-\pi \) interactions between aromatic rings of adjacent 4,5-diphenylimidazole moieties; it is a feature also evidenced in similar compounds of the type [MX2L2].

1. Introduction

Imidazole and its derivatives have played a formative role in the development of coordination chemistry [1, 2]. Many hundreds of neutral complexes and complex ions containing imidazoles have been prepared and characterized. The variety of spectroscopic properties and stoichiometries observed led to an improved understanding of the geometry and bonding in complexes and provided a touchstone for bonding theories. Imidazoles are particularly interesting ligands in bioinorganic [3, 4] and metallosupramolecular [5] chemistry. In the former field, imidazoles mimic the side chain of histidine and are valuable in biological modeling. Metalloenzyme synthetic models target the enzyme active site structure, spectroscopy, and mechanism of action. Further, bioinorganic models may also lead to compounds which mimic enzyme function and provide new reagents or catalysts for practical application. In the latter field, the presence of both donor atoms to metal ions and hydrogen bond donors within imidazoles, combined with the \( \pi \)-excessive character of the 5-membered heterocyclic ring, can lead to intermolecular assembly of metal complexes through ligand-ligand or ligand-inorganic anion interactions. In spite of the enormous scientific literature on metal complexes with simple imidazoles as ligands, there is in fact relatively little known about the coordination and metallosupramolecular chemistry of heavily substituted imidazoles [1].

It is well established nowadays that the most prominent intermolecular interactions responsible for the supramolecular organization of metal complexes are hydrogen bonds and \( \pi-\pi \) stacking interactions [6–11]. With this in mind, an investigation has recently been initiated to determine the crystal structures of a designed series of transition metal complexes using heavily substituted imidazole ligands aiming at understanding the relative strength and the way in which these interactions control the noncovalent assembly of molecular building blocks in supramolecular systems [12]. In particular, 1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole (L) (Scheme 1), a monodentate ligand capable of forming \( \pi-\pi \) interactions, has been selected to initiate our studies. So far, there have
been only few studies on the coordination chemistry of L [13, 14]. The general reaction system currently in use involves $\text{M}^{II}/\text{X}^-/\text{L}$ [$\text{M}^{II} = \text{Co, Ni, Cu, Zn}; \text{X}^- = \text{Cl}^-, \text{Br}^-, \text{I}^-, \text{RCO}_2^-, \text{NO}_3^-, \text{SCN}^-, \text{N}_3^-$] in various solvents and the first Co(II) and Zn(II) complexes have already been reported [12].

In this study we present our results on the $\text{M}^{II}/\text{PhCO}_2^-/\text{L}$ [$\text{M}^{II} = \text{Co, Ni, Cu, Zn}$] system. So far, two new complexes, namely, $[\text{Zn(}\text{O}_2\text{CPh)}_2\cdots\text{L}]_2\cdot\text{MeOH}(1\cdot\text{2MeOH})$ and $[\text{Ni(}\text{O}_2\text{CPh)}_2\cdots\text{L}]_2\cdot\text{2MeCN}(2\cdot\text{2MeCN})$ have been synthesized and characterized by elemental analyses, IR spectra, and single-crystal X-ray analysis.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and Instruments. Chemicals (reagent grade) were purchased from Merck and Alfa Aesar. All manipulations were performed under aerobic conditions using materials and solvents as received; water was distilled in-house. The ligand 1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole (L) was synthesized as already described in a previous work [15]. Microanalyses (C, H, N) were performed by the University of Ioannina (Greece) Microanalytical Laboratory using an EA 1108 Carlo Erba analyzer. IR spectra were recorded by the Ioannina Microanalytical Laboratory using a Perkin-Elmer PC 16 FT-IR spectrometer with samples prepared as KBr pellets.

2.2. Compound Preparation

2.2.1. Preparation of $[\text{Zn(O}_2\text{CPh)}_2\cdots\text{L}]_2\cdot\text{2MeOH}(1\cdot\text{2MeOH})$. This compound was synthesized by a solvothermal reaction of L (0.18 g, 0.75 mmol) and Zn(OCO2Ph)2·2H2O (0.10 g, 0.30 mmol) in MeOH (8 mL). The reaction mixture was loaded into a Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave with inner volume of 20 mL, and then the sealed autoclave was heated under autogenous pressure at 150°C for 3 days. Upon slow (5°C/h) cooling to ambient temperature, colourless prismatic crystals of 1·2MeOH (suitable for X-ray crystallography) appeared, which were collected by filtration, washed with cold EtOH (2 × 2 mL) and Et2O (2 × 5 mL), and dried in air; yield ca. 40% (based on the metal). A sample for crystallography was maintained in contact with the mother liquor to prevent the loss of lattice solvent Anal. Calc. for 1·2MeOH: C, 68.61%; H, 5.53%; N, 6.67%. C, 68.30; H, 5.41; N, 6.88%. IR data (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3446 (mb), 3130 (m), 2950 (w), 1624 (w), 1570 (s), 1520 (s), 1482 (sh), 1304 (m), 1286 (s), 1196 (m), 1174 (w), 1126 (m), 1072 (m), 1000 (w), 978 (m), 920 (m), 838 (m), 788 (s), 774 (s), 744 (sh), 720 (s), 700 (s), 680 (m), 650 (m), 580 (m), 512 (w).

2.2.2. Preparation of $[\text{Ni(}\text{O}_2\text{CPh)}_2\cdots\text{L}]_2\cdot\text{2MeCN}(2\cdot\text{2MeCN})$. A pale yellow solution of L (0.29 g, 1.25 mmol) in MeCN/CH2Cl2 (30 mL, 1:1 v/v) was treated with solid Ni(OCO2Ph)2·2H2O (0.17 g, 0.50 mmol). The resulting green slurry was stirred at ambient temperature for 20 min. The solution was filtered and the green filtrate was left undisturbed in a closed vial at room temperature. After 15 days, light-green crystals of 1·2MeCN suitable for X-ray analysis formed were collected by filtration, washed with cold EtOH (2 × 2 mL) and Et2O (2 × 5 mL), and dried in air. Yield ca. 60% (based on the metal). A sample for crystallography was maintained in contact with the mother liquor to prevent the loss of lattice solvent. Anal. Calc. for 2·2MeCN: C, 66.69; H, 4.73; N, 7.29%. Found: C, 66.81; H, 4.40; N, 7.38%. IR data (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3134 (m), 3060 (m), 1626 (s), 1570 (s), 1522 (s), 1492 (w), 1444 (sh), 1418 (s), 1402 (s), 1254 (w), 1202 (m), 1174 (w), 1072 (m), 1024 (m), 978 (m), 922 (w), 842 (w), 786 (m), 776 (sh), 720 (s), 700 (s), 682 (m), 648 (m), 538 (w), 476 (m).

2.3. X-Ray Crystallography. Selected single crystals of 1·2MeOH and 2·2MeCN were covered with Paratone N oil and mounted on the tip of a glass capillary. X-ray data for both compounds were collected (ω-scans) on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer under a flow of nitrogen gas at 100(2) K (MoKα radiation). For data collection and reduction the CrysAlis CCD and RED packages were employed [16], respectively. The reflection intensities were corrected for absorption (multiscan method), the structures were solved by direct methods with SIR92 [17] and refined by full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$ with SHELXL-97 [18]. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms bound to carbon atoms were introduced at calculated positions applying the riding model [C(sp$^2$)–H and C(sp$^3$)–H 0.93 and 0.96 Å, respectively; $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ (1.5 for C(sp$^3$) methyl groups) of their parent C atom]. The hydroxyl hydrogen atoms of the solvent molecules in 1·2MeOH were located by difference maps and their positions were refined isotropically [$U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$] applying a soft distance restraint. All geometric calculations were carried out using WINGX [19], PLATON [20], and MERCURY [21] packages; molecular graphics were prepared with DIAMOND [22]. Details of the data collection and refinement are summarized in Table 1.

CCDC-771769 and CCDC-771770 contain the crystallographic data for 1·2MeOH and 2·2MeCN, respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: (+44) 1223-336-033; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
Table 1: Crystal data and refinement parameters for complexes 1·2MeOH and 2·2MeCN.

|                        | 1·2MeOH       | 2·2MeCN       |
|------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| **Empirical formula**  | C₄₈H₄₆N₄O₆Zn | C₆₄H₅₄N₆O₈Ni₂ |
| **Formula weight (g mol⁻¹)** | 840.26        | 1152.55       |
| **Temperature**        | 100(2)        | 100(2)        |
| **Wavelength**         | 0.71073       | 0.71073       |
| **Crystal system**      | monoclinic    | Monoclinic    |
| **Space group**         | P₂₁/n         | C₂/c          |
| **a (Å)**               | 13.8301(2)    | 29.7994(16)   |
| **b (Å)**               | 16.2359(2)    | 10.4438(6)    |
| **c (Å)**               | 18.6267(3)    | 18.1018(11)   |
| **β (°)**               | 94.075(2)     | 98.745(6)     |
| **V (Å³)**              | 4171.94(10)   | 5568.1(6)     |
| **Z**                   | 4             | 4             |
| **Density (calculated) (g cm⁻³)** | 1.338         | 1.375         |
| **Absorption coefficient (mm⁻¹)** | 0.644         | 0.739         |
| **F(000)**              | 1760          | 2400          |
| **Crystal size (mm)**   | 0.38 × 0.26 × 0.18 | 0.22 × 0.21 × 0.08 |
| **Colour, habit**       | colorless, prism | light green, plate |
| **θ range for data collection (°)** | 3.07 to 30.29 | 3.15 to 30.39 |
| **Index ranges**        | h ≤ 12, k ≤ 22, l ≤ 25 | h ≤ 21, k ≤ 21, l ≤ 21 |
| **Reflections collected/unique (Rint)** | 36095/11013 (0.0304) | 18454/5154 (0.0790) |
| **Observed reflections [I > 2σ(I)]** | 7850          | 2906          |
| **Data/restraints/parameters** | 11013/2/542   | 5154/0/363    |
| **Goodness-of-fit on F²** | 0.962         | 0.805         |
| **Final R₁, wR₂ [I > 2σ(I)]** | 0.0324, 0.0788 | 0.0392, 0.0591 |
| **Mean and max shift/error** | 0.000 and 0.002 | 0.000 and 0.001 |
| **Largest diff. peak and hole (e Å⁻³)** | 0.503 and -0.457 | -0.259/0.666 |

ₐR₁ = Σ ||Fₒ|| - ||Fₘ|| / Σ||Fₒ||

₏wR₂ = (Σw(Fₒ² - Fₘ²)² / Σw(Fₒ²)²) 1/2.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Synthetic Comments and IR Spectra. The reactions that led to complexes 1 and 2 can be represented by the stoichiometric equation (1)

\[
\text{Zn(O}_2\text{CPh)}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{L} \xrightarrow{\text{MeOH}} \text{[Zn(O}_2\text{CPh)}_2\text{L}_2] + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \]

\[
\text{N}_\text{i}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CPh)}_2\text{L}_2 \xrightarrow{\text{MeCN/CH}_2\text{Cl}_2} \text{[Ni}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CPh)}_2\text{L}_2] + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \]

Two features of the reactions represented by (1) deserve brief comments. First, complex 1 could be crystallized [in the form of the bis(methanol) solvate] only under solvothermal conditions. Solvothermal techniques [23] allow the application of high temperatures to reactions in relatively low boiling solvents and are an excellent method for the preparation of pure, crystalline products. Second, despite the excess of the ligand (L : NiII = 2.5), only the dinuclear 1:1 complex 2 could be prepared. The steric bulk of both PhCO₂⁻ and L seems to disfavor the isolation of a six-coordinate [Ni(O₂CPh)_2(L)_2] molecule with chelating benzoato ligands. The preparation of the 5-coordinate dinuclear complex 2 can be partly attributed to the small tendency of NiII to form tetrahedral species.

The IR bands of L [13] do not shift significantly in the spectra of 1 and 2. The νas(CO₂) band is difficult to assign in the spectra due to the appearance of various stretching vibration and the δas(CH₃) mode in the 1630–1420 cm⁻¹ region; thus, the application of the spectroscopic criterion of Deacon and Phillips [24] seems impossible [25]. The bands at 1366 and 1402 cm⁻¹ in the spectra of 1 and 2, respectively, can safely be assigned to the symmetric carboxylate stretching mode, νs(CO₂), of the benzoato ligands [24].
3.2. Description of the Structures. The molecular structures of complexes 1·2MeOH and 2·2MeCN are shown in Figures 1 and 2, respectively, selected bond lengths, angles, and torsion angles are listed in Table 2. To facilitate comparison, both compounds have the same (where applicable) atom, ring, and ligand numbering (ligand LA: rings A1, A2 and A3; ligand LB: rings B1, B2 and B3; see Figure 1).

Complex 1·2MeOH consists of neutral mononuclear [Zn(O2CPh)2(L)2] molecules and methanol molecules in the lattice in a 1:2 ratio; it crystallizes in the monoclinic space group P2₁/n. The two benzoate ions coordinate to Zn(II) in a monodentate fashion; this results in a distorted tetrahedral environment about the Zn(II) centre comprising two benzoate oxygen atoms and two pyridine-type, imidazole nitrogen donor atoms from the two 1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole (L) molecules. Both benzoate species are planar. The LA and LB ligands of the complex species are planar. The LA and LB ligands of the complex are “antiparallel” with their methyl groups pointing at opposite directions. The overall conformation of LA and LB is similar. The angle between the mean planes of the benzene rings A2/A3 and B2/B3 is 70.5(1)°, respectively. Moreover, the imidazole ring A1 is facing the phenyl ring B2 [10.5(1)°] and, similarly, the imidazole ring B1 is facing the phenyl ring A2 [4.9(1)°] forming weak intramolecular interligand π–π interactions among those pairs of rings (Table 3). It seems that steric effects and the distorted tetrahedral geometry of the Zn(II) centre [N3A–Zn1–N3B = 96.6(1)°] facilitate those π–π interactions. Similar intramolecular π–π interactions between LA and LB have also been reported for PdII [14], and CoII and NiII [12] complexes with L, in a series of analogous complexes of other divalent metals with L [26] as well as in CuII and ZnII complexes of 2-[2-(4,6-di-tert-butylhydroxyphenyl)-4,5-diphenylimidazole | 27, 28]. This structural feature records a preferable mode of packing between adjacent ligands bearing the 4,5-diphenylimidazole moiety and provides stabilization within the complex; it also supports the suitability of the ligand L as a crystal engineering tool, namely, its effect, through the π–π interactions, in the assembly and packing of complexes in inorganic supramolecular chemistry [29, 30]. The length of the C–C and C–N bonds of the imidazole moiety and provides stabilization within the complex; it also supports the suitability of the ligand L as a crystal engineering tool, namely, its effect, through the π–π interactions, in the assembly and packing of complexes in inorganic supramolecular chemistry [29, 30]. The length of the C–C and C–N bonds of the imidazole moiety and provides stabilization within the complex; it also supports the suitability of the ligand L as a crystal engineering tool, namely, its effect, through the π–π interactions, in the assembly and packing of complexes in inorganic supramolecular chemistry [29, 30].

One of the methanol molecules (O5) in the lattice is involved in two strong intramolecular O–H···O hydrogen bonds to the noncoordinated oxygen atom (O2) of one benzoate and to the second methanol (O6) molecule. There is also a weak C–H···O(benzoate) interaction involving the noncoordinated oxygen atom (O4) of the other benzoato ligand. The packing of the molecules in the crystal lattice proceeds through normal van der Waals contacts and some weak intermolecular C–H···O interactions contributing to the supramolecular assembly of the structure (Table 4).

Table 2: Selected interatomic distances (Å), angles and torsion angles (°) for 1·2MeOH and 2·2MeCN.

| Compound | 1·2MeOH | 2·2MeCN |
|----------|---------|---------|
| M        | Zn      | Ni      |
| M – M'   | 2.734(1)|         |
| M – N3A  | 2.007(1)| 2.017(2)|
| M – N3B  | 2.065(1)|         |
| M – O1   | 1.947(1)| 2.015(2)|
| M – O3   | 1.950(1)| 2.039(2)|
| M – O2   | 2.008(2)|         |
| M – O4   | 2.026(2)|         |
| N3A – M – N3B | 96.6(1) |         |
| N3A – M – O1 | 123.4(1)| 91.9(1)|
| N3A – M – O2 | 103.3(1)|         |
| N3A – M – O3 | 123.9(1)| 98.2(1)|
| N3A – M – O4 |         | 96.7(1)|
| N3B – M – O1 | 103.0(1)|         |
| N3B – M – O3 |         | 97.2(1)|
| O1 – M – O3 | 105.7(4)| 88.0(1)|
| O1 – M – O4 |         | 89.9(1)|
| O2 – M – O3 |         | 90.7(1)|
| O2 – M – O4 |         | 87.4(1)|
| C19 – M – C26 | 112.46(4)|         |
| A2 – A3*  | 70.5(1) | 61.9(1)|
| B2 – B3*  | 67.0(1) |         |
| C4A – C5A – C13A – C14A | –57.8(2) | –53.0(4)|
| C4B – C5B – C13B – C14B | –56.8(2) |         |
| C5A – C4A – C7A – C12A | –46.6(2) | –40.5(4)|
| C5B – C4B – C7B – C12B | –37.3(2) |         |

* Angle between the mean-planes of the named phenyl rings (see Figure 1). Symmetry codes: (i) 1/2 – x, 1/2 – y, 1 – z.
Figure 2: A partially labeled plot of the dinuclear complex 2·2MeCN. The acetonitrile molecules and the hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Asterisks are used for symmetry related \((1/2-x, 1/2-y, 1-z)\) atoms.

Table 3: Geometrical details (Å, °) of the intramolecular π-π interactions between L₆ and L₉ ligands for complex 1·2MeOH.

| Rings                  | Distance/Angle |
|------------------------|----------------|
| Distance between ring centroids | A1–B2 3.547(1) |
|                        | B1–A2 3.622(1) |
| Perpendicular distance between ring planes | A1–B2 3.437(1) |
|                        | B1–A2 3.363(1) |
| Centroid offset        | A1–B2 0.876(1) |
|                        | B1–A2 1.346(1) |
| Dihedral angle between ring mean-planes | A1–B2 10.5(1) |
|                        | B1–A2 4.9(1)   |

It is known that C–H⋯O bonds could play a role in the organization of crystal packing, especially when classic hydrogen bonding is absent [32–35]. A view of the crystal packing of complex 1·2MeOH is shown in Figure 3.

The dinuclear paddle-wheel type complex 2·2MeCN, \([\text{Ni}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CPh})_4(\text{L})_2]\)·2MeCN, crystallizes in the monoclinic space group \(\text{C}2/c\) with two solvate acetonitrile molecules. The asymmetric unit comprises half molecule of the complex and one acetonitrile molecule, and the structure is generated by inversion at the midpoint of the Ni⋯Ni distance. The four bidentate benzoate groups bridge the two Ni ions in a paddle-wheel arrangement about the Ni⋯Ni axis. Thus, each Ni^{II} atom is penta-coordinated exhibiting a square pyramidal geometry with the apex occupied by the pyridine-type, imidazole nitrogen donor atom (N3A) of one monodentate 1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole ligand.

The Ni to apical N3A atom distance is 2.017(2), the four Ni–O(benzoate) bond lengths range from 2.008(2) to 2.039(2) Å, and the Ni⋯Ni–N3A angle is 160.8(1)°. The Ni atom lies 0.266(1) Å out of the least-squares basal plane towards N3A atom. The Ni⋯Ni distance is 2.734(1) Å, shorter than the maximum distance of \(~3.5\) Å that the tetracarboxylate paddle-wheel motif can accommodate for metal-metal separations. The acetonitrile molecule is linked.
of the two L ligands is also present in the structure of aromatic rings of adjacent 4,5-diphenylimidazole moieties complex, has led to two different ligation modes: in the former and bidentate in the latter (2–A). The axial groups are normally monodentate ligands but they may represent interdimer interactions between π-planes.

It should be mentioned that attempts were made to prepare metal(II)-benzoate complexes with the 1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole ligand for the divalent metals Co, Ni, Cu, and Zn, varying the factors that could affect the self-assembly of supramolecular architectures (such as the solvents used, temperature, counter-ion, the ligand-to-metal ratio, method of preparation, etc.). However, our trials yielded only the present two crystalline materials. It seems that the capability of the benzoate group to adopt different coordination structural types.

4. Conclusions

The use of 1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole ligand (L) in reactions with Zn(O2CPh)2·2H2O and Ni(O2CPh)2·2H2O has yielded the mononuclear [Zn(O2CPh)2(L)]2·2MeOH complex (1–2MeOH) and the dinuclear [Ni2(O2CPh)4(L)2]·2MeCN (2–2MeCN) compound. The different benzoate binding mode to the metals used, monodentate in the former and bidentate in the latter complex, has led to two different coordination geometries for the two divalent metals. The characteristic structural pattern [12] of the intramolecular π-π interactions between aromatic rings of adjacent 4,5-diphenylimidazole moieties of the two L ligands is also present in the structure of 1–2MeOH and contributes to the stability and rigidity of the structure.

Table 4: Hydrogen-bond geometries for 1-2MeOH and 2-2MeCN (Å).

| D-H···A    | D-H | H···A | D···A | D-H···A |
|-----------|-----|-------|-------|---------|
| **Complex 1-2MeOH** |
| O6–H6···O5 | 0.855(19) | 1.916(19) | 2.764(2) | 172(2) |
| O5–H5···O2' | 0.847(17) | 1.913(17) | 2.738(2) | 165(2) |
| C8B–H8B···O1 | 0.93 | 2.50 | 3.282(2) | 142 |
| C6B–H6B···O1'' | 0.96 | 2.432 | 3.370(2) | 166 |
| C33–H33B···O4' | 0.96 | 2.52 | 3.423(2) | 157 |
| **Complex 2-2MeCN** |
| C8A–H8A···O2' | 0.93 | 2.30 | 3.151(3) | 152 |
| C34–H34C···O1'' | 0.96 | 2.50 | 3.371(4) | 151 |

Symmetry codes: (i) −1 + x, −1 + y, z; (ii) 2 − x, 2 − y, −z; (iii) x, 1 + y, z.

Acknowledgment

This paper was supported by the Research Committee of the University of Patras, Greece (K. Caratheodory program, Grant no C.585 to Vassilios Nastopoulos).

References

[1] P. J. Steel, “Aromatic nitrogen heterocycles as bridging ligands: a survey,” Coordination Chemistry Reviews, vol. 106, pp. 227–265, 1990.
[2] E. C. Constable, Metals and Ligand Reactivity: An Introduction to the Organic Chemistry of Metal Complexes, Verlag Chemie, Weinheim, Germany, 1996.
[3] H.-B. Kraatz and N. Metzlzer-Nolte, Concepts and Models in Bioinorganic Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2006.
[4] S. J. Lippard and J. M. Berg, Principles of Bioinorganic Chemistry, University Science Books, Mill Valley, Calif, USA, 1994.
[5] J. W. Steed and J. L. Atwood, Supramolecular Chemistry, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2000.
[6] J.-M. Lehn, “Supramolecular chemistry,” Science, vol. 260, no. 5115, pp. 1762–1763, 1993.
[7] J.-M. Lehn, Supramolecular Chemistry. Concepts and Perspectives, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 1995.
[8] A. I. Kitaigorodsky, Kitaigorodsky, Molecular Crystals and Molecules, Academic Press, New York, NY, USA, 1973.
[9] J.-M. Lehn, “Perspectives in supramolecular chemistry—from molecular recognition towards molecular information processing and self-organization,” Angewandte Chemie International Edition, vol. 29, no. 11, pp. 1304–1319, 1990.
[10] P. S. Corbin, S. C. Zimmerman, N. A. Hawryluk, and T. J. Murray, “Complexation-induced unfolding of heterocyclic ura. Simple foldamers equilibrate with multiply hydrogen-bonded sheetlike structures,” Journal of the American Chemical Society, vol. 123, no. 43, pp. 10475–10488, 2001.
[11] C. B. Aakeröy and K. R. Seddon, “The hydrogen bond and crystal engineering,” Chemical Society Reviews, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 397–407, 1993.
[12] K. A. Kounavi, C. Papatriantafyllopoulou, A. J. Tasiopoulos, S. P. Perlepes, and V. Nastopoulos, “The supramolecular chemistry of metal complexes with heavily substituted imidazoles as ligands: cobalt(II) and zinc(II) complexes of 1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole,” Polyhedron, vol. 28, no. 15, pp. 3349–3355, 2009.
[13] C. P. Raptopoulou, S. Paschalidou, A. A. Pantazaki et al., “Bis(acetato)bis(1-methyl-4,5-diphenylimidazole)copper(II): preparation, characterization, crystal structure, DNA strand breakage and cytogenetic effect,” Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry, vol. 71, no. 1–2, pp. 15–27, 1998.
[14] A. Hadzovic and D. Song, “Synthesis, characterization, and reactivity of a versatile dinuclear palladium β-diiminate complex,” Organometalics, vol. 27, no. 6, pp. 1290–1298, 2008.
[15] J. McMaster, R. L. Beddoes, D. Collison, D. R. Eardley, M. Helliwell, and C. D. Garner, “A bis(diimidazole)copper complex possessing a reversible CuII/CuI couple with a high redox potential,” Chemistry–A European Journal, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 685–693, 1996.
[16] CrystAlis CCD and CrystAlis RED, Programs for Data Collection, Cell Refinement and Data Reduction, Version 1.171.32.15, Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Oxford, UK, 2008.
[17] A. Altomare, G. Cascarano, C. Giacovazzo, et al., “SIR92—a program for automatic solution of crystal structures by direct methods,” Journal of Applied Crystallography, vol. 27, p. 435, 1994.

[18] G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, A program for the refinement of crystal structures from diffraction data, University of Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

[19] L. J. Farrugia, “WinGX suite for small-molecule single-crystal crystallography,” Journal of Applied Crystallography, vol. 32, no. 4, pp. 837–838, 1999.

[20] A. L. Spek, PLATON: A multipurpose crystallographic tool, Utrecht University, The Netherlands, 2003.

[21] C. F. Macrae, P. R. Edgington, P. McCabe et al., “Mercury: visualization and analysis of crystal structures,” Journal of Applied Crystallography, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 453–457, 2006.

[22] K. Brandenburg, DIAMOND, Program for crystal and molecular structure visualization, Release 3.1f, Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany, 2008.

[23] E. K. Brechin, “Using tripodal alcohols to build high-spin molecules and single-molecule magnets,” Chemical Communications, no. 41, pp. 5141–5153, 2005.

[24] G. B. Deacon and R. J. Phillips, “Relationships between the carbon-oxygen stretching frequencies of carboxylato complexes and the type of carboxylate coordination,” Coordination Chemistry Reviews, vol. 33, pp. 227–250, 1980.

[25] D. Martinez, M. Motevalli, and M. Watkinson, “Is there really a diagnostically useful relationship between the carbon-oxygen stretching frequencies in metal carboxylate complexes and their coordination mode?” Dalton Transactions, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 446–455, 2010.

[26] K. A. Kounavi, et al., unpublished results.

[27] L. Benisvy, A. J. Blake, D. Collison et al., “A phenoxy radical complex of copper(II),” Chemical Communications, no. 18, pp. 1824–1825, 2001.

[28] L. Benisvy, A. J. Blake, D. Collison et al., “A phenol-imidazole pro-ligand that can exist as a phenoxy radical, alone and when complexed to copper(II) and zinc(II),” Dalton Transactions, no. 10, pp. 1975–1985, 2003.

[29] I. Dance, Perspectives in Supramolecular Chemistry: The Crystal as a Supramolecular Entity, vol. 2, Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1995.

[30] C. B. Aakeröy, “Crystal engineering: strategies and architectures,” Acta Crystallographica Section B, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 569–586, 1997.

[31] F. H. Allen, O. Kennard, D. G. Watson, L. Brammer, A. G. Orpen, and R. Taylor, "Tables of bond lengths determined by x-ray and neutron diffraction. Part 1. Bond lengths in organic compounds," Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 2, no. 12, pp. S1–S19, 1987.

[32] G. R. Desiraju, Crystal Engineering. The Design of Organic Solids, Elsevier, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1989.

[33] G. R. Desiraju, “The C–H· · · O hydrogen bond: structural implications and supramolecular design,” Accounts of Chemical Research, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 441–449, 1996.

[34] G. A. Jeffrey, An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding, Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1997.

[35] T. Steiner, “The hydrogen bond in the solid state,” Angewandte Chemie. International Edition, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 48–76, 2002.

[36] S. P. Perlepes, J. C. Huffman, and G. Christou, “Preparation and characterization of triply-bridged dinuclear copper(II) complexes containing the [Cu2(μ−OH)(μ−X)(μ−OAc)]” core (X = Cl, Br), and the crystal structure of [Cu2(OH)Cl(OAc)(bpy)2](ClO4) · H2O,” Polyhedron, vol. 10, no. 19, pp. 2301–2308, 1991.