Neurogenesis in the adult peripheral nervous system
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Abstract
Most researchers believe that neurogenesis in mature mammals is restricted only to the subgranular zone of the dentate gyrus and the subventricular zone of the lateral ventricle in the central nervous system. In the peripheral nervous system, neurogenesis is thought to be active only during prenatal development, with the exception of the olfactory neuroepithelium. However, sensory ganglia in the adult peripheral nervous system have been reported to contain precursor cells that can proliferate in vitro and be induced to differentiate into neurons. The occurrence of insult-induced neurogenesis, which has been reported by several investigators in the brain, is limited to a few recent reports for the peripheral nervous system. These reports suggest that damage to the adult nervous system induces mechanisms similar to those that control the generation of new neurons during prenatal development. Understanding conditions under which neurogenesis can be induced in physiologically non-neurogenic regions in adults is one of the major challenges for developing therapeutic strategies to repair neurological damage. However, the induced neurogenesis in the peripheral nervous system is still largely unexplored. This review presents the history of research on adult neurogenesis in the peripheral nervous system, which dates back more than 100 years and reveals the evidence on the underestimated potential for generation of new neurons in the adult peripheral nervous system.
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INTRODUCTION
In the healthy, intact peripheral nervous system (PNS), quality of sensation is dependent upon the density of sensory receptor organs, the number of innervating neurons, the size and degree of overlap of their receptive fields, and upon the cortical interpretation of the resulting topographically and qualitatively defined sensory input[1]. All these components are affected by damage of peripheral nerves or destruction of sensory neurons, and full function can be restored only by rapid and specific reinnervation by an adequate number of neurons. Therefore, it is likely that the single most important determinant of the poor sensory outcome after peripheral nerve damage is the extensive primary sensory neuronal death, resulting in failure of adequate reinnervation of target organs. It is...
now apparent that an end result of injury is that a considerable proportion of all neurons contributing to an injured nerve will die, with estimates ranging from 7 to 50%, depending on the exact nature of the experimental mode[2-10]. Hence, in addition to axonal regeneration, the potential for functional recovery after injury depends on restoration of neuronal numbers and on development of appropriate neuronal phenotypes.

Previous studies have revealed that peripheral nerve injuries induce a cascade of events progressing throughout the plastic changes to restoration of damaged connections. In damaged neurons, axons begin to sprout after a delay of 3–14 days[11-12]. Nerve fibers grow by sprouting neurites that advance through the repair site only to be pruned down when the endoneurial tubes of the distal stump are reached[13]. Although neurite growth is limited to the olfactory epithelium and hippocampus[23-25]. Most studies indicate that generation of new neurons and replacement of damaged neurons in adult mammals is limited to the olfactory epithelium and hippocampus[23-25].

To the best of our knowledge, only our laboratory has reported large-scale neurogenesis in adult peripheral sensory ganglia[6, 8]. However, low levels of spontaneous postnatal neurogenesis has been observed in adult rat dorsal root ganglia (DRG)[26]. In addition, a subpopulation of cells in adult rat DRG has been reported to express nestin and p75 neurotrophin receptor[27]. These cells formed clusters and spheres, and differentiated into neurons or glia, which formed secondary and tertiary neurospheres in cloning assays. Moreover, the cells expressing phenotypes of neural crest progenitors have been previously reported in DRG[27-30]. The literature presented above suggests that the limited nature of neurogenesis in adult sensory ganglia, and the nature of the differentiating process in these ganglia, depend upon the trophic environment. Understanding the conditions under which neurogenesis can be induced in physiologically non-neurogenic regions of the adult nervous system is one of the major challenges for developing therapeutic strategies to repair neurological damage.

Although the work cited above provides convincing evidence for injury-induced repair and neurogenesis in the adult nervous system, the precise mechanism by which this occurs is not understood. Moreover, there is no effective treatment for damage to the nervous system, and the lack of appropriate induction methods to stimulate large-scale plasticity and neurogenesis is an important problem. Without these methods, development of interventions to modify the course of injury and disease progression and improvement of functional outcomes in individuals following injury to the nervous system are highly unlikely.

We review the research dealing with adult neurogenesis in the PNS, which dates back more than 100 years, and reveal the current evidence on an underestimated potential for generation of new neurons outside the brain.

**POSTNATAL HISTOGENESIS IN THE PNS: THE HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE**

The occurrence of neurogenesis in the adult PNS has been postulated since the beginning of the 20th century when Hata[31] reported data showing an age-related increase in the number of rat DRG neurons, and about ten years later when Miura[32] supported the possibility that adult neurogenesis may occur in the rat Auerbach plexus in case of hypertrophy of the intestinal wall. The changes occurring in the myenteric plexus in the sub-total intestinal stenosis model attracted the attention of several researchers in the 20th century. Ambros[33] reported that, after a short term intestinal occlusion, the number of myenteric neurons in rat increases not because of their proliferation (cell division), but due to differentiation of neuronal precursors induced by increased functional stimulation. These results were replicated by Bennighoff[34] in 1951 who showed that partial ligation of the adult rat small intestine induces not only smooth muscle hypertrophy in the loops upstream from the stenosis, but also increases the number of Auerbach’s plexus neurons, thus confirming the possibility of postnatal neurogenesis in the mammal PNS. A few years later, in a dog experimental model of sub-total intestinal stenosis, Filogamo et al[35-36] showed that the adaptive process that occurs in the intestinal loops upstream from an obstruction is not only characterized by smooth muscle and myenteric neuron hypertrophy, but also by a five-fold increase in neuron numbers. Noteworthy, these authors, based on the absence of mitosis in nerve cells, postulated that
postnatal neurogenesis in myenteric ganglia could be attributable to the persistence of a pool of poorly differentiated or undifferentiated cells capable of turning into neurons under the influence of exceptional stimuli, i.e. the presence, in the adult Auerbach’s plexus, of a local stem-cell pool that anticipated for many years the recent definition of stem-cell niches[37-40].

Interestingly, it was also shown that when an intestinal loop upstream from the stenosis was excluded from the transit that connected it to the abdominal wall (Thiry-Vella method), thus inducing hypotrophy in its wall, the relevant decrease in the myenteric neuron size was not accompanied by a concurrent decrease in the number of neurons[41].

Starting from the middle of the 20th century and based on the above-mentioned evidence about PNS neurogenesis in the enteric nervous system, new interest developed about the sensory ganglia district, particularly with respect to the age-related increase already detectable in Hatai’s work. Cavanaugh, in 1951[42], reported an age-related increase in DRG neurons in the rat, followed by Sosa et al[43] who obtained similar data in the rabbit. This evidence was further supported by Devor et al[44-45] in the rat and Tessier et al[46] in the cat. The evidence of an age-related increase in DRG neuron numbers was questioned based on the lively debate about cell counting methods that emerged in the 1980s[47]. The main critical issue was that the use of profile counts may lead to a false detection of neurogenesis in case of cell hypertrophy; Coggeshall and co-workers, using the newly devised design-based counting methods, denied the occurrence of age-related neurogenesis in adult rat DRGs[48-49]. The debate was further stimulated by Popken et al[50] who used both traditional model-based and new design-based stereological counting methods and detected a significant postnatal increase in DRG neuron numbers that “could be due either to neuron proliferation or to late differentiation of neurons that do not assume a typical appearance until adulthood”. While these results were further replicated by Farel et al[51-52], Mohammed et al[53] failed to detect a postnatal increase in rat DRG cell number, and Bergman et al[54] even reported a decrease in the number of DRG, although, unlike previous studies, these authors prolonged their observations through a very advanced age.

During the final decades of the 20th century, a similar debate also regarded neurogenesis in the enteric nervous system. In fact, the former works reporting myenteric neuron addition in the intestinal loops upstream from a partial occlusion were denied by Gabella[55], while supported by Giacobini-Robecchi et al[56-59] who showed, in the rat intestinal partial occlusion experimental model, the occurrence of unscheduled DNA synthesis (i.e., not followed by cell division) in some myenteric neurons. This evidence was supported by both autoradiography after 3H-thymidine administration and PCNA immunostaining, which revealed DNA neo-synthesis in myenteric neurons[56-57, 59], and cytophotometry following Feulgen staining, which suggested that DNA neo-synthesis was not associated with cell division but led to a hyperdiploid DNA content[57-58]. Yet, electrophoretic analysis of the total genomic DNA suggested that the observed unscheduled DNA synthesis seen in myenteric neurons that form the loops upstream from a partial intestinal occlusion may be due to DNA amplification of selected genome sequences[59]. The subtotal intestinal stenosis was not the only experimental model where adult myenteric neurogenesis has been investigated. Cracco et al[61] applied locally benzalkonium chloride (BAC) to an intestinal tract and showed that it induced the selective destruction of about 90% of Auerbach’s plexus neurons followed, after few weeks, by a relevant increase in the mean neuronal density in small ganglia located along the mesenteric nerves, i.e., the migration pathway of the neural crest cells that colonize the gut during development. The absence of mitoses suggested that the neurogenesis observed along mesenteric nerves of BAC-treated animals should be attributable to a late differentiation of poorly- or un-differentiated neural-crest-derived elements that remained, as a peripheral precursor cell niche, along their developmental migration pathway. Adult differentiation of the neural precursor niche might be triggered by diffusible factors released by the intestinal wall when a regenerative process takes place, such as after lumen stenosis or BAC-induced degeneration[62]. The action of local diffusible factors that stimulate neuronal differentiation in damaged intestine also can be postulated based on the evidence that transplantation of a neuronal precursor cell line (PC12) in the intestinal wall of BAC-treated animals induces their quick differentiation into mature neurons[63].

Finally, the potential for postnatal histogenesis, at least in case of stimulating conditions, also in the human enteric nervous system can be postulated based on the clinical evidence that intestinal inflammation induces an increase in the number of neurons in the myenteric ganglia[64-65]. Also in this case, it has been hypothesized that adult neuron addition in the human intestine might be attributable to the presence of a local niche of precursor cells capable of late proliferation and/or differentiation into mature neurons[66].

Twentieth-century studies of neuro differentiation, plasticity and regeneration led researchers to appreciate that neuronal damage can be compensated by reinnervation of target organs, remodeling of neuronal circuits and even generation of new neurons in adult PNS. They even reported some physiological levels of
neural proliferation in the adult PNS. However, most reports were based on a less-rigorous approach involving neuronal counts and BrdU incorporation. The 21st century research introduced a palette of powerful tools to study adult neurogenesis. They will be presented and discussed in the reminder of this review.

RECENT ADVANCES IN POSTNATAL HISTOGENESIS IN THE PNS

The last few decades have seen a revival of debate about neurogenesis in the PNS. Ciaroni et al.[26] showed that long-term BrdU administration (1 month) in vitamin E-deficient rats. This condition was expected to induce DRG neuron addition[67]; however, it also led to the presence of labelled nuclei in DRG neurons, suggesting that postnatal neurogenesis may be due to neuronal precursor proliferation in addition to the late differentiation of post mitotic cells. Another interesting element in favour of the possibility that histogenesis may occur in adult DRGs has been provided by the in vitro study of Namaka et al.[68]. They showed that postnatal mouse DRG contains a neuronal precursor niche that proliferates in response to administration of different factors and can be induced to differentiate into mature sensory neurons. Similar results have been obtained more recently by Li et al.[27] who showed the presence in DRG explants of a subpopulation of cells expressing neural progenitors markers and able to differentiate into both glia and neurons under adequate culturing conditions. Noteworthy, these authors suggest, based on BrdU chasing, that these progenitors originate from satellite glial cells. The possibility that satellite glial cells represent the stem-cell niche of DRGs has also been supported by Muratori et al.[69], based on electron microscopy observations. The intriguing possibility that a neural progenitor niche persists in sensory ganglia along adult life has also been supported by the study of Lagares et al.[29] in the trigeminal ganglion. They showed that the number of sensory neurons in the rat trigeminal ganglion almost double between month 3 and month 8. This dramatic age-related neuron addition has been attributed to the differentiation due to the persistence of a neural crest-derived precursor cell niche. Our recent studies[6, 9] have revealed a > 50% reduction of nodose ganglion (NG) neuronal numbers by 30 days post capsazipin. By 60 days post-capsazipin, the total numbers of neurons in the ganglia from capsazipin-treated rats were not different from controls, suggesting that new neurons had been added to the NG following capsazipin-induced neuronal death (Figure 1). Moreover, the results revealed that a pool of neural progenitors that express nestin is present in NG in adult rats. Capsazipin treatment that induced cellular proliferation was revealed by significant populations of BrdU/Ki-67-labeled cells. Many of these newborn cells differentiated to become neurons; this process was revealed by significant BrdU incorporation in cells immunoreactive for the neuron-specific antigen PGP-9.5 and beta-III-tubulin found in NG collected 30 and 60 days post-capsazipin. The vast majority of newborn neurons matured and survived up to 300 days post treatment. Both the NG and the samples of cervical, thoracic and abdominal vagal trunks collected from capsazipin-treated rats revealed significantly more growth cones than samples collected from vehicle-treated or vagotomized rats. Furthermore, transmission electron micrographs of cervical vagus revealed the presence of very small axon profiles in the tissue from the capsazipin-treated rats, suggesting that newborn neurons extend their processes into vagal trunks following capsazipin-induced destruction. NG cultures from capsazipin-treated rats contained bipolar neurons, normally found only during development. To test the functional recovery of NG neurons, we injected the satiety molecule, CCK. The effect of CCK on food intake was restored by 300-day post-capsazipin. This restoration supported the possibility of a generation of functional neurons that replaced lost connections following capsazipin-induced destruction.

Figure 1 Sections of the nodose ganglion collected 60 days after intraperitoneal capsazipin treatment revealed significant numbers of bromodeoxyuridine-labeled (DNA synthesis marker) nuclei located in PGP 9.5-immunoreactive (neuronal marker) perikarya. This population of dual labelled cells indicates that after capsazipin-induced cell death, ganglionic progenitors entered the cell cycle, proceeded to divide and differentiated to become sensory neurons.
Singh et al.\[70\] also suggested that DRG stem cells preserve their multipotency throughout adult life. They demonstrated the multipotency of neural stem cells (NSC) in adult DRG. Derived from DRG and after 4–5 years in culture without dissociating, the DRG NSC were found capable of proliferation. They expressed neuronal and glial markers: vesicular glutamate transporter 2 (VGlut2--glutamate terminals), transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TrpV1--capsaicin sensitive), phosphorylated 200 kDa neurofilament (pNF200--capsaicin insensitive, myelinated), and the serotonin transporter (5-HTT). NSC in adult DRGs also expressed nestin, Hu, and beta-III-tubulin (immature neuronal markers), green fibrillary acidic protein (astrocyte marker), as well as sensory neural marker TrpV1 (capsaicin sensitive) and pNF200 (mature, capsaicin insensitive, myelinated).

Our recent studies also documented the presence of BrdU-immunopositive neurons and neural progenitors labeled with Ki67, sox-2, nanog, nestin and neuroD, within DRGs after traumatic peripheral nerve crush (data not published). Time-lapse analysis of morphological changes following axonal damage, in addition to immunofluorescence characterization of cell phenotype in vitro and scan electron microscope analysis, suggested that the neuronal precursors are represented by satellite glial cells that actively proliferate after the lesion and are able to differentiate toward the neuronal lineage. Together these data led us to conclude that, within the DRG, a multipotent-progenitors-cell-niche exists throughout adulthood (data not published). The researchers (cited above) support the view that the adult sensory neurogenic niche may be located inside the ganglia (or in the nerve trunks nearby); however, it has been also shown that neural crest-derived boundary cap cells (i.e., those glial cells that mark the spinal cord/nerve boundary) can represent a late-migrating population of stem cells that can give rise to both new neurons and glia in DRGs.\[71-72\]. This finding introduces the possibility that new neurons in the adult sensory ganglia may originate not only from endogenous precursors but also from migrating exogenous stem cells.

Similarly to the interest in peripheral sensory neuronal division, interest in the possibility of neurogenesis in the peripheral autonomic neuronal division also has been renewed over the last ten years. In particular, a couple of papers published in Neuron in 2002\[73-74\] from Morrison’s laboratory at University of Michigan confirmed the presence of neuro-glial stem cells in the enteric nervous system. These neural crest-derived stem cells maintain the capability of self-renewing when cultured in vitro, although less than with foetal enteric stem cells. Yet, cell lineage assessment showed that these adult stem cells have a reduced neuronal sub-type potential.\[73\]. The potential of adult neurogenesis in the adult intestine has been confirmed by Hanani et al.\[75\] who observed the presence of differentiating new neurons in the myenteric plexus after belzalkonium chloride treatment. Very recently, Joseph et al.\[76\] carried out a comprehensive investigation on the stem-cell niche in the enteric nervous system and showed that these cells can be identified by CD49b expression and have the features of enteric glial cells. They also showed that while gliogenesis is very active, neurogenesis in the enteric nervous system appears to be very limited, although neurogenesis has the potential of forming neurons in culture. Interestingly, these authors assess enteric neurogenesis not only in normal condition but also in various pathological conditions, such as belzalkonium chloride treatment and partial gut stenosis. Their results again open the debate about partially contrasting evidence that injury can stimulate neurogenesis in the gut.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Review of the current literature regarding adult neurogenesis in the PNS shows that stem cells in the sensory ganglia may be induced to enter the cell cycle and differentiate to become mature and functional neurons. While the occurrence of an increase in peripheral neuron number, especially under particular stimuli, is widely acknowledged, the main question to be answered today appears to be if this phenomenon is the result of de novo neurogenesis (i.e., neural stem cell proliferation followed by neuronal differentiation) or if it is rather the late differentiation of post-mitotic neuronal precursors that have lost the capability to proliferate. Besides its biological importance, an answer to this question is also important in the clinical perspective, since the presence of a limited amount of neural precursors could have only a limited and temporarily effect in the neural repair mechanism after injury, compared to the presence of a true stem cell niche. However, the answer to the previous question would become less relevant if more findings validate a third and alternative hypothesis, which gives the power or generation of new neuronal cells to the glia. Many promising studies are testing the possibility that satellite glia cells and Schwann cells can be neuronal precursors. Glia and neuronal progenitors may be stimulated to go back to a less-differentiated stage within their own lineage. Dedifferentiation may even go a step further and regress to a point where neural cells may switch lineage (transdifferentiation). These mechanisms and even re-entering the cell cycle by post...
mitotic, though immature, neurons may be responsible for the induced neurogenesis in the adult PNS. Interestingly, this alternative hypothesis combines and integrates the previous two wherein the glial cell is dispersed within the DRGs and, more in general, the PNS would represent a less-defined and more-expanded neurogenic niche.

Advances in current research enable us to study the repertoire of genetic manipulations to uncover induction of neurogenesis outside the CNS. The transgenic or knock-in mouse lines expressing Cre recombinase, driven by promoters active in the specific ganglion cells will be a powerful tool to study adult neurogenesis in the PNS. Molecular mechanisms of induction and termination of neurogenesis in the PNS would be the next step to uncover in this exciting and novel research field. The existence of progenitor cells in the PNS would suggest that the PNS could serve as a source of committed autologous cells that may be stimulated to produce neurons in vivo. The discovery of progenitor cells in the PNS ultimately could also enable autologous grafting of new neurons into damaged areas of the CNS to replace neurons lost due to injury or disease, eliminating rejection as well as ethical issues related to the embryonic stem cell research. We can thus conclude that the issue of postnatal histogenesis in the PNS still raises a number of interesting questions regarding both basic and clinical science, and hopefully the next few years will provide an answer to some of these as yet unanswered questions. Answering these questions will represent significant progress in the broader field of plasticity and regeneration in the adult nervous system.
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