Molecular Characterization, Gene Evolution, and Expression Analysis of the Fructose-1, 6-bisphosphate Aldolase (FBA) Gene Family in Wheat (Triticum aestivum L.)
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Fructose-1, 6-bisphosphate aldolase (FBA) is a key plant enzyme that is involved in glycolysis, gluconeogenesis, and the Calvin cycle. It plays significant roles in biotic and abiotic stress responses, as well as in regulating growth and development processes. In the present paper, 21 genes encoding TaFBA isoenzymes were identified, characterized, and categorized into three groups: class I chloroplast/plastid FBA (CpFBA), class I cytosol FBA (cFBA), and class II chloroplast/plastid FBA. By using a prediction online database and genomic PCR analysis of Chinese Spring nulli-tetrasomic lines, we have confirmed the chromosomal location of these genes in 12 chromosomes of four homologous groups. Sequence and genomic structure analysis revealed the high identity of the allelic TaFBA genes and the origin of different TaFBA genes. Numerous putative environment stimulus-responsive cis-elements have been identified in 1,500-bp regions of TaFBA gene promoters, of which the most abundant are the light-regulated elements (LREs). Phylogenetic reconstruction using the deduced protein sequence of 245 FBA genes indicated an independent evolutionary pathway for the class I and class II groups. Although, earlier studies have indicated that class II FBA only occurs in prokaryote and fungi, our results have demonstrated that a few class II CpFBAs exist in wheat and other closely related species. Class I TaFBA was predicted to be tetramers and class II to be dimers. Gene expression analysis based on microarray and transcriptome databases suggested the distinct role of TaFBAs in different tissues and developmental stages. The TaFBA 4–9 genes were highly expressed in leaves and might play important roles in wheat development. The differential expression patterns of the TaFBA genes in light/dark and a few abiotic stress conditions were also analyzed. The results suggested that LRE cis-elements of TaFBA gene promoters were not directly related to light responses. Most TaFBA genes had higher expression levels in the roots than in the shoots when
INTRODUCTION

Fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase (EC 4.1.2.13, FBA, or FBPA), also known as aldolase (ALD), is a key metabolic enzyme that catalyzes the reversible aldol cleavage of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate (FBP) into dihydroxyacetone phosphate (DHAP) and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAP), either in glycolysis or gluconeogenesis and in the Calvin-Benson cycle (Rutter, 1964; Ronimus and Morgan, 2003; Berg et al., 2010). These reactions are involved in carbon fixation and sucrose metabolism and are present in the chloroplast stroma and in the cytosol of green plants (Anderson et al., 2005).

FBAs could be classified into two groups based on different catalytic mechanisms and independent occurrences in evolution (Rutter, 1964; Flechner et al., 1999). Class I FBAs are not inhibited by ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) or affected by potassium ions, and occur in some bacteria, archaea, higher plants, and animals (Penhoet et al., 1967; Tolan et al., 1987). Class II FBAs are inhibited by EDTA (Gross and Lebherz, 1992; Henze et al., 1998). Some non-green algae (e.g., Cyanophora paradoxa), which is a phylogenetically diverse group, have either class I or class II aldolases or both (Gross et al., 1994; Antia, 2007). Class I FBAs are commonly homotetramers (Perham, 1990; Lorentzen et al., 2004); these activate their donor substrate by forming an intermediate Schiff base with the Aβ-amino group of a conserved lysine residue (Lebherz and Rutter, 1969; Plaumann et al., 1997). Class II FBAs are dimers, which may play different metal catalyses (mostly Zn^{2+}, Fe^{2+}, or Co^{2+}) (Berg et al., 2010) to activate their donor enzymes and, they belong to the family of β/α)8 TIM barrel enzymes (Lorentzen et al., 2003; Du et al., 2011). Class II enzymes are divided into subgroups “A” and “B,” depending on their amino acid sequences (Sánchez et al., 2002). Type A aldolases are zinc-dependent and participate in glycolysis and gluconeogenesis, whereas type B aldolases have different valent metal cofactors and diverse metabolic roles and substrate specificities (Sauvé and Sysusch, 2001; Labbé et al., 2011).

In higher plants, both the cytosolic FBA (cFBA) and chloroplast/plastid FBA (CpFBA) belong to the class I-type of isoenzymes (Anderson and Advani, 1970; Krüger and Schnarrenberger, 1983; Lebherz et al., 1984). These proteins play a vital role in carbohydrate metabolism (Anderson et al., 1995) and in signal transduction (Cho and Yoo, 2011). CpFBA are bifunctional for the formation of fructose-1, 6-bisphosphate (FBP) and sedoheptulose-1,7-bisphosphate (SuBP) in the Calvin cycle (Flechner et al., 1999), as well as function as a sedoheptulose/fructose-bisphosphate aldolase (SFBA) (Peltier et al., 2006). FBP aldolase activity is also part of the glycolytic and gluconeogenic reaction sequence in the cytoplasm, whereas the function of SuBP aldolase in plants is limited to the Calvin cycle that occurs in plastids (Flechner et al., 1999).

Different members of the FBA gene family have been identified and characterized in various plant species, including maize (Dennis et al., 1988), Arabidopsis (Lu et al., 2012), rice (Kagaya et al., 1995), spinach (Pelzer-Reith et al., 1993), tobacco (Yamada et al., 2000), Sesuvium portulacastrum (Fan et al., 2009), and tomato (Cai et al., 2016). FBA genes have been shown to be involved in various important physiological and biochemical processes, e.g., plant development (Zhang et al., 2014), signal transduction (Oelze et al., 2014), regulation of secondary metabolism (Zeng et al., 2014), plant defense and response to biotic (Mohapatra and Mittra, 2016), and abiotic stresses, including salt (Lu et al., 2012), cadmium (Sarry et al., 2006), drought (Khanna et al., 2014), chilling (Purev et al., 2008), and heat (Michelis and Gepstein, 2000), and post-translational modification (Mininno et al., 2012). Furthermore, the presence of FBAs in the nucleus implies that aldose isoenzymes could bind to DNA and directly regulate gene expression (Páez-Valencia et al., 2008).

Common wheat (Triticum aestivum L.) is a hexaploid species (6x = 2n = 42, AABBDD) that originated from two inter-specific hybridizations that are estimated to have taken place ~0.5 million and 10,000 years ago (Dubcovsky and Dvorak, 2007). Its predicted most closely related extant diploid species (2n = 14) include Triticum monococcum or T. urartu (donors for A genome), Aegilops speltoides (S genome related to the B genome), and A. tauschii (D genome). The hexaploid genome of wheat has three homeologous sets of seven pairs of chromosomes (1A to 7A, 1B to 7B, and 1D to 7D), each carrying highly similar gene copies (Choulet et al., 2014).

Abbreviations: ADP-RF, ADP ribosylation factor; ALD, Aldolase; cFBA, cytosol fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase; CpFBA, Chloroplast/plastid Fructose-1, 6-bisphosphate aldolase; DeoC, Deoxyribose-phosphate aldolase; DHAP, Dihydroxyacetone phosphate; DUF1357_C, Putative nucleotide-binding domain of sugar-metabolizing enzyme; DUF1537, Putative sugar-binding N-terminal domain; EDTA, Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid; FBP, Fructose-6-phosphate aldolase; FBP, Fructose-1, 6-bisphosphate aldolase; FBPase, Fructose-1, 6-bisphosphatase; GAP, Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate; hTAIL-PCR, high-efficient thermal asymmetric interlaced PCR; HXK, Hexokinase; LTR, Long terminal repeat; NAD, Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotid; NAD_binding_2, NAD binding domain of 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase; NADP, Nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate; qRT-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR; SFBA, Sedoheptulose/Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase; SINE, Short interspersed nuclear element; SuBP, Sedoheptulose-1, 7-bisphosphate; TaFBA, Fructose-6-phosphate aldolase of Triticum aestivum L.; TE, Transposable element; TIM, Triose-phosphate isomerase; TIR, Terminal inverted repeat.
Despite extensive studies on the FBA genes in various plant species, our understanding of its function in wheat remains unclear. A previous study has revealed that CpFBA accounts for 86% of the total aldolase activity in wheat leaves (Schnarrenberger and Krüger, 1986), and wheat FBA genes could be activated by various abiotic stresses (Xue et al., 2008). FBA has also been linked to male sterility (Li et al., 2012). Consequently, in-depth research investigations on the FBA genes of wheat may provide insights on methods in modifying photosynthesis in increase crop yield.

In the present study, 21 members of the FBA gene family in wheat were identified and cloned. We investigated the molecular characteristics, chromosomal location, gene evolution, protein structures, and expression patterns of these genes. Genome-wide identification, phylogenetic structural and functional analysis revealed the role of the FBA gene family in wheat, which subsequently may be applied to crop production and improvement.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Identification of FBA Genes**

A total of 21 FBA genes from *Triticum aestivum* L. (TaFBA) were identified by BLAST analysis against the URGI [https://urgi.versailles.inra.fr] and Ensembl Plants (http://plants.ensembl.org) databases using the gene sequences of previously annotated FBA genes in wheat (GenBank No. FJ403591.1, AJ420778.1, FJ625793.3, KR139996.1, KR139997.1) and Arabidopsis (GenBank No: AT2G21330, At4G38970, AT2G01140, AT3G52930, AT4G26530, AT2G36460, AT4G26520, AT3G52930, AT1G18270) with E-value <1e-10. Using these 21 TaFBA genes as queries, another 31 FBA genes from *T. monococcum* (6 genes), *T. urartu* (7 genes), *A. speltoides* (5 genes), *A. sharonensis* (6 genes), and *A. tauschii* (7 genes) were further identified from the URGI database. Using the genome sequences of these FBA genes downloaded from the URGI database as queries, the FBA cDNA sequences of *T. aestivum*, *T. urartu*, *A. sharonensis*, and *A. tauschii* were obtained from the WheatExp database (http://wheat.pw.usda.gov/WheatExp/) and NCBI’s TSA and SRA databases. The FBA cDNA sequences of *T. monococcum* and *A. speltoides* were predicted by homology analysis.

**Chromosomal Localization of Wheat FBA Gene Family**

We used URGI, Ensembl Plants databases, wheat_2014_90K SNP gene chip, and Chinese Spring Deletion gene chip to predict the chromosomal localization of the TaFBA genes. To further confirm our results, gDNA PCR amplification of 21 null-tetrasomic lines (NA-TD, NB-TD, and ND-TB) of *T. aestivum* L. var. Chinese Spring was performed. The gene-specific primers used in PCR analysis are listed in Table S2. Nulli-tetrasomic Chinese Spring genotypes contain four copies of one chromosome pair (tetrasomic) to compensate for the lack of a homoeologous chromosome pair (nullisomic) (Sears et al., 1966). For instance, Null1A-Tetra1D (N1AT1D) lacks chromosome 1A (nullisomic-1A), but contains two chromosome pairs of chromosome 1D (tetrasomic-1D), resulting in a line containing no copies of chromosome 1A, two doses of chromosome 1B, and four doses of chromosome 1D. PCR analysis of genomic DNA from nulli-tetrasomic lines allows one to determine which chromosome gene is located on based on failure to amplify specific nullisomic lines (Ohnishi et al., 2008). PCR amplification was performed as follows: 94°C for 5 min; 94°C for 30 s, annealing at 60°C for 30 s, and extension at 72°C for 1 min, 35 cycles; and 72°C for 10 min for the final extension. PCR reactions were performed using the proofreading enzymes LA Taq or PrimeSTAR® HS DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa, Japan) depending on the application.

**DNA Cloning and Sequence Alignment**

21 TaFBA genes (including 1.5-kb promoter regions) were cloned by genomic PCR of *T. aestivum* L. var. Chinese Spring. PCR protocols were the same as that used in chromosomal localization described in 2.2. The promoter sequences of two TaFBA genes (TaFBA6 and TaFBA12) were obtained by high-efficiency thermal asymmetric interlaced PCR (hiTAIL-PCR) (Liu and Chen, 2007) due to the incomplete sequencing of the wheat genome. The primers used in this study are listed in Table S2. The PCR products were purified using an AxyPrep DNA gel extraction kit (Axygen, USA) and ligated into the pMD19-T vector (TaKaRa, Japan). The recombinant plasmid DNA clones were sequenced by Invitrogen (USA). The generated TaFBA gene sequences were then submitted to GenBank of NCBI (Accession No. were listed in Table 1).

Multiple sequences alignment of 21 TaFBA genes and 31 FBA genes from wheat relatives were performed by MUSCLE (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/). A rooted UPGMA phylogenetic tree was constructed with MEGA 6 under default parameters and bootstrapping with 1,000 replicates (Tamura et al., 2011). Genome sequences, cDNA sequences and protein primary sequences of FBA were aligned by DNAMAN 6.0 software with pairwise method and were clustered by MeV 4.9 using the average linkage hierarchical clustering (HCL) method.

**Annotation of TaFBA Gene Structures and Cis-Regulatory Elements in the Promoter Region**

The exon-intron structure of TaFBA genes was determined by aligning the cDNA sequences to the corresponding genomic sequences using Gene Structure Display Server (GSDS, http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn). The transposable elements of TaFBA genes were predicted on TREP (http://botserv2.uzh.ch/kelldata/trep-db/blast/blastTREP.html) and LTR_FINDER (http://tlife.fudan.edu.cn/ltr_finder/). Putative cis-acting regulatory DNA elements in the promoter sequences (1.5-kb upstream of the 5′-UTR) of TaFBA genes were annotated with PlantCARE (http://bioinformatics.psb.ugent.be/webtools/plantcare/html/), and displayed in Argo Genome Browser v1.0.31 (http://www.broadinstitute.org/annotation/argo/). The exon-intron structure of the TaFBA genes was determined by aligning the cDNA sequences to the corresponding genomic sequences using the Gene Structure Display.
The molecular characteristics of TaFBA genes and the prediction of chromosomal and subcellular location.

| Genes  | Chr. | GenBank accession no. | Subfamily | gDNA Length (bp) | Protein Length (aa) | MW (kDa) | pI | GRAVY | Subcellular location |
|--------|------|-----------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|----------|----|-------|---------------------|
| TaFBA1 | 3AS  | KY9304446             | I         | 3,662            | 387                | 41.69    | 7.61| -0.163| Chlo                |
| TaFBA2 | 3BS* | KY930447              | I         | 3,720            | 385                | 41.36    | 8.21| -0.168| Chlo                |
| TaFBA3 | 3DS  | KY930448              | I         | 3,975            | 387                | 41.66    | 8.21| -0.156| Chlo                |
| TaFBA4 | 4AL  | KY930449              | I         | 3,180            | 388                | 41.97    | 5.94| -0.148| Chlo                |
| TaFBA5 | 4BS  | KY930450              | I         | 3,058            | 388                | 41.87    | 6.78| -0.121| Chlo                |
| TaFBA6 | 4DS  | KY930451              | I         | 3,066            | 388                | 41.97    | 5.94| -0.148| Chlo                |
| TaFBA7 | 5AS  | KY930452              | I         | 1,810            | 385                | 41.64    | 6.08| -0.165| Chlo                |
| TaFBA8 | 5BS  | KY930453              | I         | 1,981            | 385                | 41.62    | 6.08| -0.165| Chlo                |
| TaFBA9 | 5DS  | KY930454              | I         | 1,807            | 385                | 41.64    | 6.08| -0.165| Chlo                |
| TaFBA10| 5AL  | KY930455              | I         | 2,539            | 358                | 38.81    | 6.85| -0.229| Cyto                |
| TaFBA11| 5AL  | KY930456              | I         | 2,369            | 358                | 38.91    | 6.4 | -0.25 | Cyto                |
| TaFBA12| 5BL* | KY930457              | I         | 2,161            | 358                | 38.81    | 6.85| -0.223| Cyto                |
| TaFBA13| 5BL* | KY930458              | I         | 3,378            | 358                | 38.90    | 6.85| -0.241| Cyto                |
| TaFBA14| 3DL  | KY930459              | I         | 2,463            | 358                | 38.80    | 6.39| -0.223| Cyto                |
| TaFBA15| 3DL  | KY930460              | I         | 2,629            | 358                | 38.78    | 6.85| -0.23 | Cyto                |
| TaFBA16| 3DL  | KY930461              | I         | 2,466            | 358                | 38.88    | 7.51| -0.256| Cyto                |
| TaFBA17| 5AS  | KY930462              | I         | 996              | 244                | 25.93    | 9.37| -0.149| Cyto                |
| TaFBA18| 5BS  | KY930463              | I         | 7,970            | 519                | 55.39    | 8.85| -0.016| Cyto                |
| TaFBA19| 7AS  | KY930464              | II        | 17,081           | 1,383              | 148.61   | 5.73| 0.089 | Chlo/plastid        |
| TaFBA20| 7BS  | KY930465              | II        | 21,180           | 1,383              | 148.28   | 5.61| 0.105 | Chlo/plastid        |
| TaFBA21| 7DS  | KY930486              | II        | 14,360           | 1,383              | 143.36   | 5.83| 0.098 | Chlo/plastid        |

GRAVY, the grand average of hydropaths. gDNA length is the length of sequence from the 5′ UTR to 3′ UTR of the TaFBA genomic DNA sequences. *Mean* the chromosomal location predicted on GrainGenes-SQL databases.

The Primary Structure and Three-Dimensional (3D) Structure Prediction of the FBA Proteins

The physicochemical characteristics of the protein sequence of each FBA such as the number of amino acids (aa), molecular weight (MW), isoelectric point (pI), and grand average of hydropathy (GRAVY) value were calculated with the ProtParam tool in ExPASy (http://www.expasy.org/tools/protparam/). The subcellular location of each FBA was predicted by using WoLF PSORT (Horton et al., 2007). Functional domains and conserved motifs were annotated with the Pfam database (Finn et al., 2016) and the MEME website (http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme).

A total of 245 FBA proteins of archaea, bacteria, and eukaryotes were identified by PHMMER searches on the Ensembl Plants database and key word searches of “fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase” in the UniprotKB/Swiss-Prot databases. HMMER 3.0 was employed to perform an HMM search (Zhang and Wood, 2003), with the family-specific Glycolytic (PF00274) and F_bP_aldolase domain (PF01116).

Amino acid sequence alignment of the FBAs was performed by using the Clustal Omega method (Sievers et al., 2011), and a rooted maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree was constructed using MEGA 6. The phylogenetic tree files were edited by Evolview (http://www.evolgenius.info/evolview/). We used the I-TASSER program (Zhang, 2008) and Swiss-PdbViewer v4.1.0 (Schwede et al., 2003) to remodel and analyze the tertiary structure, and SWISS-MODEL to construct the quaternary structure of the TaFBAs.

Gene Expression Profile Analysis

To analyze the expression profiles of the TaFBA genes, the microarray data of the TaFBA genes were downloaded from PLEXdb (Dash et al., 2012) and the RNA-seq data from wheat transcriptome database WheatExp. Combined with the analysis using Genevestigator (Hruz et al., 2008), the expression profiles of different tissues and developmental stages of the TaFBA genes were generated by using OriginPro 9.1.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (qRT-PCR) Analysis of the Response of the TaFBA Genes to Different Abiotic Stresses

Seeds of Chinese Spring were sterilized with 75% alcohol for 30 s and 15% sodium hypochlorite for 5 min, rinsed 5 times, placed on moistened filter paper in Petri dishes, and germinated in the dark for 2 days. Then, the wheat seedlings were cultivated in Hoagland...
liquid culture medium at 23°C and 16 h light/8 h dark cycles. Treatment of the wheat seedlings was performed as described Zeng et al. (2016), with minor modifications. After 10 days of growth, salinity (200 mM NaCl), drought (15 % PEG 6000), ABA (50 μM ABA), heat (40°C), and cold (4°C) treatments were conducted. The shoots and roots of the seedlings were collected at 0, 1, 2, 6, 12, 24, and 48 h after treatment.

We investigated the influence of light on the expression of the TaFBA genes as described by Zhao et al. (2014). The etiolated wheat seedlings were cultured under continuous light, whereas the normal-cultured seedlings were placed in the dark after being exposed to light for 24 h. Wheat leaves were collected at 1, 2, 4, and 8 h after light or dark treatment, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and kept at −80°C until RNA isolation. Next, total RNA were isolated by using the RNeasy plus reagent (TaKaRa, Japan) and treated with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Japan) for 2 min at 42°C to degrade any residual genomic DNA. Then, first-strand cDNAs were synthesized from the total RNA by using a PrimeScript™ RT reagent kit (TaKaRa, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Finally, qRT-PCR was performed in optical 96-well plates (VIOX, UK) with a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-RAD, USA) by using the SYBR Green instructions. Finally, qRT-PCR was performed in optical 96-well plates (VIOX, UK) with a CFX96 Touch Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-RAD, USA) by using the SYBR Green expression data. The thermal cycle protocol was set up as follows: 95°C for 30 s; 95°C for 3 s, and 60°C for 30 s for 40 cycles. The gene specific primers used for qRT-PCR were listed in Table S8. The quantitative analysis was accomplished with the 2−ΔΔCT method. PCR was performed based on three technical replicates for each of the biological duplicates.

RESULTS

Genome-Wide Identification and Chromosomal Localization of the FBA Genes in Wheat

To investigate the TaFBA gene families, BLAST analysis with FBA genes of wheat and Arabidopsis thaliana (GenBank Accession Nos. are shown in 2.1) was performed using the IWGSC database. A total of 21 TaFBA genes (named as TaFBA1∼21) were identified and cloned (Data sheet DOC1, TXT2). The cDNA sequences (Data Sheet TXT1) were obtained from NCBI and the WheatExp Database.

We aligned the cDNA and predicted protein sequences of the TaFBA genes by using DNAMAN with the pairwise method (Table S1). Phylogenetic and sequence analyses revealed that the TaFBA genes could be classified into two subfamilies, classes I and II. There were 18 TaFBA genes in class I, with TaFBA1∼3, TaFBA4∼6, TaFBA7∼9, and TaFBA10∼16 showing high identity (>90%) respectively. Meanwhile, three class II TaFBA genes (TaFBA19∼21) were highly homologous and showed lower identity (<30%) with those of class I.

The molecular characteristics of the TaFBA genes and their subcellular location are listed in Table 1. The predicted MW of class I TaFBA proteins ranged from 25.93 to 55.39 kDa, with TaFBA17 apparently being the smallest polypeptide, whereas class II TaFBAs were about 148 kDa, bigger than class I. WoLF PSORT was used to predict the subcellular location of 21 putative TaFBA proteins. Similar to Arabidopsis, rice, and tomato, 18 class I TaFBAs were located in two compartments: TaFBA1∼9 were in the chloroplast/plastid and were thus designated as CpFBAs, whereas TaFBA10∼18 were localized to the cytoplasm, and hereby named as cFBA. Three class II proteins TaFBA19∼21 might also be located in the chloroplast/plastid, and thus described as CpFBAs.

Chromosomal Location of the FBA Genes in Wheat

Based on the 0.6× Chinese Spring genome draft sequence (Mayer et al., 2014), we predicted the chromosomal location of the TaFBA genes (Table 1). In addition, the TaFBA genes were queried on the mapped loci using EST-derived probes in GrainGenes-SQL databases. Therefore, the TaFBA1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 19, and 20 genes were mapped onto different gene loci through a wheat_2014_90K SNP gene chip (Figure S1A), and the TaFBA10, 12, 14, and 15 genes were mapped using a Chinese Spring_Deletion gene chip (Figure S1B).

To confirm the chromosomal location and identify the primer specificity of TaFBA genes, 21 Chinese Spring nulli-tetrasomic lines were used to amplify genomic DNA (The gene-specific primers used are listed in Table S2). The PCR results (Figure 1) confirmed the location of each TaFBA gene copy, as indicated by the failed amplification in the specific nulli-tetrasomic line. These findings validated that the genes were located within the same locus as predicted in Table 1 and Figure S1.

A total of 21 TaFBA genes were localized to 12 chromosomes of 4 homoeologous groups: TaFBA1∼3 genes in the short arms and TaFBA10∼16 in the long arms of homoeologous group 3; the TaFBA5/6 genes in the short arm, and TaFBA4 in the long arm of homoeologous group 4; the TaFBA7∼9 and TaFBA17/18 genes in the short arms of homoeologous group 5; and the TaFBA19∼21 genes located in the short arms of homoeologous group 7.

Phylogenetic Analysis of the FBA Genes

For the investigation of the molecular evolution of the TaFBA gene family, 31 FBA genes from other closely related wheat species were identified: six TmFBA genes from T. monococcum (A°A°), seven TuFBA genes from T. urartu (A“A”), five AspFBA genes from A. speltoides (SS), six AshFBA genes from A. sharonensis (S°S°), and seven AtaFBA genes from A. tauschii (DD). The gene IDs and sequences are listed in Table S3, and Data Sheets TXT4, TXT 5. Then, an unrooted phylogenetic tree was constructed using MUSCLE in MEGA6 (Figure 2), and pairwise alignments were conducted using the hierarchical clustering method by Mv (Figure S2).

Owing to the transcript annotation of the wheat genome, the analysis and comparison of the structural features of the FBAs in different subfamilies were also conducted (Figure 2). The organization (number, order, and length) of the exons were mostly conserved within different FBA groups, whereas the introns and UTRs showed variable lengths and distribution. Based on the exon numbers and intron lengths, a total of 52 FBA genes from wheat and other closely related species could
be divided into six independent subgroups: class Ia ~ class Ie and class II. 21 TaFBA genes belonged to different subgroups: TaFBA7/8/9 genes in class Ia, TaFBA4/5/6 genes in class Ib, TaFBA1/2/3 genes in class Ic, TaFBA10/12/15/17/18 genes in class Id, TaFBA11/13/14/16 genes in class Ie, and TaFBA19/20/21 genes in class II. Each subgroup contained five wheat relative FBA genes, except for six in class Ie. Class II FBA genes existed in both wheat and its closely related species.

Various patterns of exon-intron architecture were identified. For instance, class Ia genes and most cytoplasmic TaFBA genes had one intron, and class Ib and class Ic genes had five introns. On the other hand, class II TaFBA genes contained 41 introns because of the integration of F_bP_aldolase domain and other functional domains. Generally, a higher number of introns could cause alternative splicing and different splice variants, and regulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level. Whether there are different transcripts of class II genes is thus worth exploring further.

In addition, the annotation of genome sequences suggested that different kinds of transposable elements (TEs) were distributed unevenly in the promoter and intron regions of the TaFBA genomes (Figure S3, Table S4) such as long terminal repeats (LTRs), short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs), terminal inverted repeats (TIRs), and other TEs. Several TEs were detected in the TaFBA19 and TaFBA20 intron regions, which might influence RNA splicing.

Evolutionary Analysis of FBA Proteins

Using HMMER 3.0, a Uniprot KB/Swiss-Prot database search was performed using the query “fructose 1,6-bisphosphate aldolase,” and 211 sequences from different species were downloaded for FBA protein evolutionary analysis. Approximately 23 of these sequences were removed by pairwise comparison and the absence of complete adolase-type TIM domain. Together with plant FBA protein sequences identified by PHMMER from EnsemblPlants database, a total of 245 FBA proteins were obtained, which consisted of 19 archaeal FBAs, 97 bacterial FBAs, and 129 eukaryotic FBAs (listed in Table S5, Data Sheets TXT3, TXT6). Multiple primary sequences of FBA protein were aligned by using Clustal Omega, and a rooted ML phylogenetic tree was constructed by using MEGA6 with default parameters (Figure 3).

Evolutionary analysis revealed that the FBAs in various species were clearly classified into two groups, namely, classes I and II (Figure 3A). The class I FBAs in plants were classified into two subgroups, class I cFBAs, and class I CpFBA, occurring in plastids and the cytoplasm, respectively. The class I cFBAs in plants were more closely related to the FBAs in animals than class I CpFBAs. There were two subgroups (types A and B) in class II FBAs. Most FBAs in fungi belonged to type A, and 10 FBAs in plants (including TaFBA19~21) belonged to type B. Both plant cFBAs and CpFBAs were more distantly related to the FBAs in bacteria and fungi.

To investigate the conserved domain and residues of TaFBA proteins, we aligned the wheat FBA protein sequences and conserved sites by using Pfam and MEME. A total of 8 conserved protein domains and 30 motifs were defined (Figure 3). Most of the class I FBAs possessed a glycolytic protein domain, and the other class I FBAs possessed a DeoC (deoxyribose-phosphate aldolase) domain. Type A of class II FBAs possessed one F_bP_aldolase domain or FBPase_3 (fructose-1,6-bisphosphatase) domain. All of the type B of class II FBAs possessed an FBPase_3 domain, but the class II FBAs of plants possessed the other 4 domains, including NAD_binding_2 (NAD-binding domain of 6-phosphogluconate dehydrogenase), NAD_binding_11 (NAD-binding of NADP-dependent 3-hydroxyisobutyrate dehydrogenase), DUF1537 (putative sugar-binding N-terminal domain), and DUF1357_C domain (putative nucleotide-binding of sugar-metabolizing enzyme), which suggest that class II FBAs are NADP-dependent and probably participate in sugar sensing and signaling in plants. Several identical regions of plant FBAs were fairly conserved such as “EGTLLKPNMVTPG,” “GARFAKWR,” “LSGGQSEEEA,” “GILADES,” “LVVIPYEPE,” “RALQ,” “ILFEET,” and “RAKANS” for class I FBAs (Figure 3B). Among these regions, the “LSGGQSEEEA” (TIM_phosphate_binding superfamily), “LVVIPYEPE,” and “ILFEET” matched well with that of animal/fungi/bacterial FBAs, suggesting that FBAs were highly conserved during evolution.
Higher-Order Structure of the TaFBA Proteins

The quaternary structure of three wheat FBA proteins (TaFBA4, TaFBA10, and TaFBA20) without N-terminal transit peptides were predicted by using SWISS-MODEL and I-TASSER (Figure 4). TaFBA4 and TaFBA10 belong to class I subfamily and could form tetramers based on their relatively high similarity to class I rabbit muscle aldolase (Blom and Sygusch, 1997) and human muscle aldolase (Dally et al., 1999) respectively. TaFBA20 is a class II protein that is predicted to form dimers based on its similarity to class II aldolases of Thermus aquaticus (Izard and Sygusch, 2004).

Similar to other tetrameric FBAs, interfaces A and B were observed in the TaFBA4 and TaFBA10 tetramers (Figures 4D,E). In contrast to interface A, which comprised helix-packing interactions, interface B exhibited loop-loop interactions that were formed by buried hydrogen bonds. In TaFBA4, each interface B had six hydrogen bonds that were involved in the carboxylate “O” atoms of Asp126, interacting with the backbone amides of three consecutive residues (G124-L125-D126) (Sherawat et al., 2008) (Figures 4A–C). Different from TaFBA4, interface B of TaFBA10 was related to Asp124, which interacts with G122-F123-D124.

The catalytic residues in the active region of class I FBAs are highly conserved (Maurady et al., 2002). TaFBA4 contained...
The phylogenetic tree of FBA proteins constructed with Clus tal Omega. Class I and class II FBA subfamilies are distinguished by blue and red colours respectively, with the full-length amino acid sequences of the 245 FBA proteins. 19 archaea FBAs are indicated by orange branches. 97 bacteria FBAs are indicated by blue branches. 129 eukaryota FBAs are indicated by purple branches. Red circle represents bilaterian. Green circle represents viridiplantae. Crimson circle represents chlamydia. Black circle represents *Dictyostelium discoideum*. Gold circle represents *Euryarchaeota*. Orchid circle represents fungi. Orange circle represents *Plasmodium*. Chartreuse circle represents *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. DarkMagenta circle represents Proteobacteria. Magenta circle represents Spirochaetales. Blue circle represents TACK group. Pink circle represents terrabacteria group. 21 TaFBAs were shown by little red circle. The annulus next to the tree represents the protein domains of FBAs and the outside annulus represents the MEME motifs.

Motif LOGOs of FBA proteins generated by MEME.

The amino acid sequence alignment of the TIM barrel domain (Figure S4) indicated that the active sites of TaFBA1~TaFBA16 (class I) were homologous to those of rabbit and human FBA isozymes, and the active sites among TaFBA19~21 (class II) corresponded to those of FBA isozymes in *Thermus aquaticus*. A few substitution mutations and deletion sites were observed in TaFBA17 and TaFBA18, and the catalytic active site sequence of TaFBA17 was D-K-T-P-K-S-R, and that of TaFBA18 was D-K-K-R-K-S-R.

**Cis-Elements in the TaFBA Gene Promoters**

Cis-elements are important molecular switches that are involved in the regulation of gene transcription during plant growth, development, and responses to biotic and abiotic stresses. The 1.5-kb promoter sequences upstream of the 5′-UTR of 19 TaFBA genes (excluded TaFBA19/21) were identified from wheat genome sequences and used in plant cis-acting regulatory elements analysis in the PlantCARE database (Figure 5, Table 2).

Various putative environment stimulus-responsive cis-elements were identified (Table S6). Because TaFBA genes mostly possess multiple phytohormone and abiotic stress-responsive elements, we considered that the expression pattern of TaFBA genes could be regulated by various environmental factors. LREs were highly enriched in 18 TaFBA gene promoters. These findings indicate that the TaFBA genes might be involved in light responses.
Expression Profiles of the TaFBA Genes in Different Tissues and Developmental Stages

The expression profiles of different tissues and developmental stages were downloaded from the WheatExp database and PLEXdb database respectively, and the corresponding probe ID of the TaFBA genes are shown in Table S7. Combined with the results of Genevestigator, we analyzed the spatiotemporal expression profiles of the members of the TaFBA gene family (Figure 6).

The expression of the TaFBA genes showed tissue specificity (Figure 6A). TaFBA4-9 (class I CpFBA genes) showed similar expression patterns; these were upregulated in leaves and downregulated in the roots, particularly TaFBA 4 and 5. On the other hand, most class I cFBA genes were apparently upregulated in the roots. TaFBA12 showed significantly higher expression levels in all tissues, particularly in the roots. TaFBA19/20/21 (class II CpFBA genes) were slightly and constantly expressed in all tissues.

The wheat TaFBA genes were expressed at different developmental stages (Figure 6B). The expression level of TaFBA10/12/18 was higher than that of the other genes, whereas that of TaFBA19/20/21 was lower. The expression profiles of TaFBA4/5/6 and TaFBA7/8/9 varied with different developmental stages. Based on the results of differential expression and phylogenetic analyses, the TaFBA genes were classified into eight gene clusters: TaFBA1/2/3, TaFBA4/5/6, TaFBA7/8/9, TaFBA14/15/17, TaFBA10/12/18, TaFBA11, TaFBA13/16, and TaFBA19/20/21.
Responses of *TaFBA* Genes to Light

Most *TaFBA* gene promoters were highly enriched with LREs (Figure 5 and Table 2). Thus, the expression patterns of the *TaFBA* genes under light and dark treatment were investigated by using qRT-PCR (Figure 7). *TaFBA1*-9 (*CpFBA*) and *TaFBA10/12/18* (*cFBA*) were downregulated under short-term light treatment (Figure 7A), whereas the other *TaFBA*s were upregulated. Meanwhile, all *TaFBA* genes were immediately upregulated within 1 h of transferring from light to dark, especially *TaFBA4*-9 (Figure 7B). *TaFBA14/15/17*, *TaFBA11*, *TaFBA13/16*, and *TaFBA19/20/21* were induced by light, whereas *TaFBA4/5/6*, *TaFBA7/8/9*, and *TaFBA10/12/18* were induced by short-term exposure to the dark. These results demonstrate that the LRE cis-elements of the *TaFBA* genes were not directly related to light responses. Therefore, FBA isozymes might play different...
TABLE 2 | Cis-elements in the promoter region of 19 TaFBA genes.

| Gene    | ABRE | AIRE | ARE | DIRE | DSRE | ERE | FERE | GARE | HSE | LRE | LTRE | MeJARE | SARE | SRRE | WPRE |
|---------|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|------|------|-----|-----|------|--------|------|------|------|
| TaFBA1  | 1    | 5    | 3   | 1    | 20   | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 2    |
| TaFBA2  | 1    | 1    | 1    | 2    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA3  | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA4  | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA5  | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA6  | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA7  | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA8  | 4    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA9  | 1    | 4    | 2    | 1    | 2    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA10 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA11 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA12 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA13 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA14 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA15 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA16 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA17 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA18 | 3    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |
| TaFBA20 | 4    | 2    | 1    | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1    | 1    | 1   | 1   | 1    | 1      | 1    | 1    | 1    |

ABA-responsive elements (ABRE), Auxin-responsive elements (AIRE), GA-responsive elements (GARE), Low-temperature responsive elements (LTRE), Light-regulated elements (LRE), Drought-induced elements (DIRE), Ethylene-responsive elements (ERE), Fungal-elicitor responsive elements (FERE), SA-responsive elements (SARE), MeJA-responsive elements (MeJARE), Anaerobically-induced elements (AIRE), Heat-stress responsive elements (HSRE), Sugar-repression responsive elements (SSRE), Wounding- and pathogen-responsive elements (WPRE) and Defense- and stress-responsive elements (DSRE).

roles in various biological processes involved in light signal transduction.

Expression Profiles of the TaFBA Genes under Abiotic Stresses

The expression profiles of TaFBA gene clusters under different conditions (salt, drought, ABA, heat, and low temperature) were detected using qRT-PCR. The results revealed the complicated expression patterns of the TaFBA gene family in response to various abiotic stresses (Figure 8). The gene specific primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in Table S8.

In shoots (Figure 8A), the class I cytosol TaFBA genes displayed active responses to multiple abiotic stresses. The expression of TaFBA11 and TaFBA13/16 was significantly induced by high temperature. TaFBA14/15/17 and TaFBA10/12/18 were expressed during exposure to drought and ABA. Under salt stress, most TaFBA genes were downregulated except for TaFBA10/12/18. On the other hand, all class I CpfBA genes were apparently sensitive to multiple stresses, as indicated by a repression in expression. On the other hand, class II CpfBA TaFBA19/20/21 were induced after short-term exposure to ABA, heat, and low temperature, implying the role of class II TaFBA genes in stress responses.

The expression pattern of TaFBA genes in roots (Figure 8B) was obviously different from shoots. ABA might lead to the similar change as drought to each gene cluster. Both cFBA and CpfBA were induced by drought, ABA, heat and cold, but only cFBA was upregulated by salt. Among cFBA genes, TaFBA10/12/18 were up-regulated in multiple conditions except heat, TaFBA11 and TaFBA13/16 were up-regulated in different stresses except low temperature. In CpfBA genes, TaFBA1/2/3 could be induced by drought and ABA, TaFBA4/5/6 could be induced by drought and heat, and TaFBA19/20/21 could be induced by all stresses, especially by heat and cold.

DISCUSSION

One key factor in the success of wheat as a global food crop is its adaptability to a wide variety of climatic conditions. This is attributed, in part, to its allohexaploid genome structure, which arose as a result of two polyploidization events (Mayer et al., 2014). In the present study, 21 TaFBA genes were identified in Chinese spring, including 18 class I FBA genes and 3 class II genes. Therefore, in-depth information on the FBA gene family has been annotated only in two plants: wheat and Arabidopsis. There are 8 AtFBA gene members in Arabidopsis (Lu et al., 2012), AtFBA1~3 occur in plastids, whereas AtFBA4~8 has been localized to the cytoplasm.

A total of 21 TaFBA genes have been localized to 12 chromosomes of 4 homoeologous groups, and most TaFBA gene loci have three copies distributed across three genomes of wheat. We have observed that TaFBA4 may be in the wrong location (Chr.4AL instead of Chr.4AS) because of its high identity with the TaFBA5/6 genes (97%). This could have been caused by...
chromosomal rearrangements, in that the proximal segment of Chr.4AL was co-linear with the proximal segments of Chr.4BS and 4DS (Devos et al., 1995). We believe that whole-genome duplication and segmental duplication may have contributed to the expansion of the TaFBA gene family. Gene duplication not only expands genome content but also diversifies gene function to ensure optimal adaptability and evolution of plants (Xu et al., 2012). Multiple isozymes ensure the essential function of FBA in the Calvin cycle, glycolysis, and gluconeogenesis, as well as lead to gene redundancy.
The TaFBA genes were most closely related to recent progenitors (Figure 2). For example, TaFBA1 (located in Chr.3AS) was more closely related to TmFBA-1 (97.7% identity) and TuFBA-1 (98.1% identity), which corresponded to the hypothesis that T. monococcum and T. urartu may be the A-genome donor relatives (Dvorak et al., 1988; Dubcovsky et al., 1995). In addition, the TaFBA genes on the A and B genomes had higher identity to the allelic genes on the D genome relative to each other. For instance, the TaFBA2 on Chr.3B was more similar to the TaFBA3 on Chr.3D than to the TaFBA1 on Chr.3A, and the TaFBA4 on Chr.4A was more similar to TaFBA6 on Chr.4D than that in Chr.TaFBA5 on 4B (Figure 2). This observation was consistent with models of interline age hybridization in the Triticeae (Escobar et al., 2011; Civán et al., 2013) and phylogenomics analyses that the D genome is a product of homoploid hybrid speciation between the A and B genome ancestors >5 million years ago (Marcussen et al., 2014; Mayer et al., 2014).

It has been proposed that certain Calvin cycle enzymes also function in glycolysis or gluconeogenesis, thus photosynthetic eukaryotes would be predicted to have cytosol enzymes derived from the eukaryotic host and chloroplast/plastid enzymes from...
FIGURE 8 | Expression profiles of TaFBA genes in shoots (A) and roots (B) under abiotic stresses. The data came from qRT-PCR analysis with $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. For each of the TaFBA genes, the relative expression value under normal condition was defined as 1.

the cyanobacterial endosymbiont (Rogers and Keeling, 2004; Allen et al., 2012). The evolutionary study on plant FBA genes is useful in investigating the origin of chloroplast and photosynthetic genes. Plaumann et al. (1997) reported that class II CpFBAs in Euglena gracilis and ascomycetes originated from eubacteria via endosymbiotic gene transfer. In the present study, we identified three different groups of FBAs in wheat, and each group appeared to have distinct evolutionary origin and function. Phylogenetic analysis (Figure 3) showed that class I CpFBAs in plants are closely related to the proteins of green algae, indicating that class I CpFBAs might have been derived from the endosymbiotic transfer of genes between green algae and its plant eukaryotic host. Class I cytosol FBAs are conserved in animals and plants, indicating that these might have been derived
from a eukaryotic host. Class II TaFBAs were homologous to FBAs of bacteria and seemed to be of eubacterial origin. We speculated that class I CpFBAs, class I cFBAs, and class II CpFBAs might have been derived from green algae, eukaryotic hosts, and eubacteria, respectively, which was similar to the findings of Rogers and Keeling (2004) and Willard and Gibbs (1968).

Although, the exact function of each TaFBA gene is unclear, we observed that different subgroups of TaFBA genes have tissue, stage, and stress-response specificity. For instance, TaFBA4/5/6 had more tissue and development specificity than the others, and TaFBA10/12/18 could be induced by all kinds of abiotic stresses. In addition, TaFBA4/5/6 was upregulated in leaf tissues (Figure 6A), might be involved in the regulation of enzyme activities in leaves, and play important regulatory roles during wheat development.

Several research studies on the responses of plant FBA genes to abiotic stress have been reported. Plastid aldose AldP2 is upregulated by salt stress in Nicotiana (Yamada et al., 2000). Abiotic stimuli could rapidly trigger a significant induction of FBA genes in Sesuvium portulacastrum (Fan et al., 2009). An FBA-dependent fructose signaling pathway acts downstream of the abscisic acid pathway in Arabidopsis italiana (Cho and Yoo, 2011). Class I cFBAs in Medicago sativa has been identified to have an NMH7 MADS domain (Páez-Valencia et al., 2008), and FBA is involved in the control of RNA polymerase III-directed transcription (Cieśła et al., 2014). These results demonstrate that FBA displays an important role in responses to abiotic stress in plants, but the difference between CpFBAs and cFBAs is unknown.

In the present study, we investigated the responses of wheat FBA genes family to salt, drought, ABA, heat, and low temperature. The results indicate that most TaFBA genes in the roots showed higher expression levels than in shoots under abiotic conditions. Most cytosol TaFBA genes, especially TaFBA10/12/18 and TaFBA13/16, could be induced by abiotic stresses, whereas most class I CpFBAs in wheat might be sensitive to stress conditions. Furthermore, the expression level of class II gene TaFBA19/20/21 was immediately upregulated under stresses in both roots and shoots. These results suggest that cytosolic TaFBAs and class II TaFBA genes could play important roles in the responses to abiotic stresses, which coincided with the findings of previous studies. We plan to conduct additional experiments to investigate the molecular mechanism underlying TaFBA biofunction.

The FBA of the Benson-Calvin cycle is not identical to the analogous enzymes of the Embden-Meyerhof-Parnas pathway, and there exists no cross-reactivity between the cytosol and chloroplast aldolase (Krüger and Schnarrenberger, 1983). Each member of the FBA gene family might thus have different biochemical activity. TaFBA4/5/6 and TaFBA7/8/9 genes (Class I CpFBA) could be induced by short-term exposure to darkness and repressed by photostimulation, but TaFBA14/15/17, TaFBA11, and TaFBA13/16 genes (Class I cFBA) showed the contrary response to light/dark (Figure 7). The discrepancies in expression profiles are indicative of the multiple functions of different TaFBA genes, and FBAs might play a more complicated role in the regulation of glycolysis and carbon concentration. According to the predicted protein structure, the active sites of dimeric class II FBAs also serve as the divalent metal cation-binding sites (Figure 4). Under light irradiation, photosynthesis induces the production of NADPH, and the light-stimulated H+ shift is countered by Mg2+ and other cations moving from thylakoids to stroma, which in turn activate chloroplast class II FBAs. Hence, we considered that class II FBAs are more active in the Calvin cycle.

Different sugar signals generated by photosynthesis and carbon metabolism in light/dark condition might modulate multiple HXK-dependent and HXK-independent pathways and could regulate transcription, translation, protein stability, and enzymatic activity (Rolland et al., 2006), and sugar signals also affect the activities of FBA. There is growing interest in carbon-concentrating mechanisms (CCMs) in plants. Because FBA is an important enzyme involved in the Calvin cycle, improving the activity of the enzyme could certainly boost CO2 concentrations in plant green tissues.

In sum, the TaFBA gene family has significant biofunctions during plant development, metabolism, and abiotic stress responses. The TaFBA genes may be utilized in the development and selection of high-yield and multi-resistant wheat cultivars.
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**Figure S1** Chromosomal location of TaFBA genes. (A) TaFBA1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 19, and 20 genes were mapped on different gene loci by wheat_2014_90K SNP gene chip. (B) TaFBA7, 8, 10, 12, 14, and 15 genes were mapped by Chinese_Spring_Deletion gene chip.

**Figure S2** Parwise alignments of FBA genes of wheat and wheat relatives. The picture was generated by MeV using hierarchical clustering method. The number above the dash line are the identity between cDNA sequences, and the number below the dash line represent the identity between gDNA sequences.

**Figure S3** Transposable elements on TaFBA genomic DNA. Gene structures of FBAs analyzed by GSDS. Exons are shown as blue rectangles; introns are shown as thin lines. Untranslated regions (UTRs) are shown as double-sided wedges. Promoters are shown as light-blue rectangles. Long terminal repeat (LTRs) are shown as purple rectangles. Terminal inverted repeat (TIRs) are shown as red
rectangles. Short interspersed nuclear element (SINEs) are shown as green rectangles. Other transposable element (TEs) are shown as pink rectangles.

**Figure S4** | The alignment of FBA protein sequences among wheat and other species. (A) The activity sites among the TaFBA1~18 corresponded to those sites among FBA isozymes of rabbit and human. The activity sites are indicated in black frames. (B) The activity sites among TaFBA19~21 corresponded to those sites among FBA isozymes of Thermus aquaticus. The activity sites are indicated in black frames. The red stars represent substitution mutations and deletion sites.

**Table S1** | Pairwise alignments of TaFBA genes. The number above the dash line are the identity between cDNA sequences, and the number below the dash line represent the identity between amino acid sequences.

**Table S2** | Gene-specific primers for mapping and cloning.

**Table S3** | FBA genes of wheat and wheat relatives.

**Table S4** | Annotation of transposable elements on TaFBA genes.

**Table S5** | HMM search hits of FBA proteins.
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