Quantitation of Megakaryocytic Progenitors in Apheresis Products by Flow Cytometry and Real Time PCR
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Abstract

Background and aims: Quality assessment of autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation (PBSCT) may be improved by enumeration of CD34+/CD61+ megakaryocytic progenitors within the graft. Enumeration of subsets by flow cytometry (FC) has been difficult to standardize because of a low specificity which may arise from platelet or microsphere adherence. We aimed to analyse platelet adherence to haematopoietic stem cells and establish a quantitative real time polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR) assay for CD34 and CD61 gene transcripts. The analysis was used to study CD34 and CD61 as predictors for late platelet recovery following PBSCT in non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL).

Material and methods: FC analysis was performed at aphaeresis products harvested for autologous transplantation and confocal microscopy was applied on sorted cells. The clinical evaluation included analysis of the leukaemogenesis products of 21 consecutive NHL patients treated with high dose therapy and PBSCT. Early recovery was defined as an observed platelet count >20x10^9/L before day 12 post transplant and late recovery as an observed platelet count <20x10^9/L after day 12 post transplant. For RT-qPCR analysis CD34+ cells were sorted from thawed leukaemogenesis products and RNA extracted and reverse transcribed to cDNA for further analysis of CD34 and CD61 mRNA levels using TaqMan probes.

Results: CD34+/CD61+ cells identified by FC were shown to form a specific subset, with no signs of adherent mature platelets. CD34+/CD61+ cells expressed CD61 mRNA transcripts not found in complementary CD34+/CD61- cells. No positive correlation between FC based enumeration and RT-qPCR analysis estimation of the megakaryocytic progenitor subsets was identified. Evaluation of the clinical impact by comparing samples from 21 patients with early and late platelet recovery revealed no predictive impact for CD61/BACT (β-actin), CD34/BACT or CD61/CD34 mRNA expression ratios amongst CD34+ sorted cells.

Conclusion and perspective: A specific subset of CD34+/CD61+ cells can be identified by FC and RT-qPCR analysis; however enumeration of this subset did not correlate with platelet recovery after PBSCT. Future studies of the predictive value needs to be evaluated in a group of patients with engraftment failure in international collaboration within the European Blood and Marrow Transplantation Group (EBMT).
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Introduction

The CD34 antigen is a marker of uncommitted and lineage specific haematopoietic progenitors as defined by colony-forming activity as well as engraftment capability [1]. In recent years a simple and reproducible flow cytometry (FC) based assay has been established for enumeration of CD34+ cells [2-6]. The subsequent years have resulted in several publications related to standardization of this analysis as well as the implication of CD34+ cell enumeration on timing of aphaeresis and prediction of blood cell recovery following high dose therapy (HDT) and autologous peripheral blood transplantation (PBSCT) [7-26]. Recently, it has been proposed that delay in platelet recovery may be predicted by low numbers of megakaryocytic progenitors [27-29]. The understanding of progenitor identification by FC is based on the hierarchic model of haematopoeisis with lineage specific markers present on early and late progenitors in parallel with the CD34 antigen. As a consequence, a CD34+ gate can be applied during sample analysis and enumerate different uncommitted and committed lineages including megakaryocytic progenitors as CD34+/CD61+ events [12,14]. However, several technical questions are related to such identification and enumeration including the effect of freezing and thawing, antibody specificity as well as the potential risk of platelet adherence. The presence of CD34 or CD61 membrane antigens is a consequence of the translation of mRNA transcribed from active genes. Differentiating cells in the progenitor compartment may have the gene
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turned off resulting in no mRNA transcription; however, the membrane bound protein may still be present. The size of this population depends upon the half time of the CD34 or CD61 mRNA and the translated membrane proteins [30,31]. By help of a single cell analysis we have documented that about 50% of blood circulating CD34+ cells lack CD34 mRNA expression. However, most primitive progenitors within the CD34+/CD38- compartment have detectable levels of CD34 mRNA (own unpublished data). The aim of this study is first, to analyze if platelet or microsphere adherence may interfere with flow cytometry enumeration and second, to evaluate if RT-qPCR based techniques can estimate the level of blood circulating CD34+/CD61- and CD34+/CD61+ progenitors and correlate to FC enumerations and third to perform a small retrospective pilot study to evaluate the discrimination between fast and late platelet recovery in non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) patients undergoing HDT and PBSC for relapse.

Material and Methods

Patients, treatment and blood recovery

The scientific protocol was reviewed and approved by the regional ethic committee in Copenhagen/Herlev Denmark. Twenty-one consecutive eligible patients with relapsed B-NHL undergoing PBSC who had available apheresis products at the Department of Haematology, Herlev Hospital, Copenhagen University Hospital were retrospectively included. The treatment strategy included a stepwise procedure (phase 1-IV). During phase I, the salvage induction therapy was two-three courses of mini BEAM consisting of BCNU 60 mg/m2 day 1, Etoposide 75mg/m2 day 2-5, Ara-C 100 mg/m2 q12h day2-5, Melphalan 30 mg/m2 day 6 until maximum tumor reduction. All patients underwent phase II, which included priming with cyclophosphamide and rhG-CSF for stem cell mobilization monitored daily during mobilization and triggered leukapheresis, when blood levels exceeded 20,000/mL. Leukapheresis continued, until a total yield of at least 2 x 10^6 CD34+ cells per kg was harvested by help of a Fenwal CS 3000 Plus Blood Separator (Baxter Deerfield IL, USA). Leukapheresis products were cryopreserved in 10% DMSO using a controlled rate liquid nitrogen freezer (Planer Ltd Biomed, Sunbury-on-Thames, UK) and stored in liquid nitrogen until autografting. Subsequently patients were treated in phase III with high dose BEAM chemotherapy consisting of BCNU (carmustine) 300 mg/m2 administered as an infusion over 1 hour on day -6, Etoposide 200 mg/m2 once daily on days -5 to -2, Cytosine Arabinoside intravenously 200 mg/m2 twice a day on days -5 to -2, and Melphalan 140 mg/m2 on day -1. The autologous stem cell graft was reinfused on day 0 and blood cell recovery detected by daily blood counts. Early platelet engraftment was defined as a platelet count ≥20x10^9/L before day 12 post transplant (group I (n=11)) and late engraftment defined as a platelet count <20x10^9/L observed after day 12 post transplant (Group II (n=9)).

Identification of CD34+ and CD61+ cells in patients

In each leukapheresis product the frequency of CD34+ cells was enumerated before freezing by the revised NSCL-G standard [7,29,32]. Subsets of CD34+ cells expressing various lineage specific antigens were quantitated from thawed samples of each leukapheresis product. Cells were incubated and double stained with anti-CD34PE clone HPCA-2 and anti-CD61 FITC clone RUU-PL 7F12 (Beckton Dickinson Immune system). The analytic strategy included gating of CD34+ cells (Step 1) and subsequent acquisition of a minimum of 1000 CD34+ double stained cells followed by quadrant statistics or histogram analysis (Step 2). The test antibody and the relevant negative control antibody following subtraction of the values enumerated the CD61+ subset [27,28,33].

Sorting of CD34+ cells

CD34 positive cells were sorted into CD34+/CD61+ and CD34+/CD61- cells by a FASC Vantage Cell Sorter (BDIS) equipped with an automated cell position unit (ACDU, permitting single-cell sorting with an accuracy more than 99%) and a Coherent Enterprise laser tuned at 488 nm (100mW) into individual PCR tubes of a 96-well PCR plate (Sorenson Bioscience Inc., Salt Lake City, Utah USA). Each tube held 5 µl of DEPC-treated water that contained 1 µg of E-coli transfer ribonucleic acid (tRNA, Sigma Chemical Co Copenhagen Denmark).

Sample handling for flow cytometry and RT-qPCR analysis

From each product samples of 1-2 x 10^6 nucleated cells in DMSO were frozen in small plastic tubes and stored at -80°C until analysis. At the time of analysis, the samples were thawed in a pre-warmed 41°C water bath adding PBS with 1mM EDTA in accordance with published practice [27-29]. Following the washing procedures mononuclear cells were counted and used for the FC and/or RT-qPCR analysis. A prerequisite for such analysis is that the freezing and thawing procedure does not induce relative changes within the CD34+ cell compartment. In an analysis of 14 samples analyzed at the time of harvest and following thawing we found the CD34% of 1.4% (0.2-5.3%) and 2.0% (0.2-7.5%), respectively due to a selective loss of granulocytes present in the fresh product.

However, the CD34+/CD61+ was 7.5% (1-15%) and 8.5% (2-29%), respectively, indicating no significant selective loss of lineage specific subsets (data not shown).

RNA extraction from cells

RNA was extracted from frozen cells with the RNAeasy mini kit (Qiagen GMbh, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacture protocol. The RNA was eluted into 30 µl H2O.

Quantitative CD61 RT-qPCR with TaqMan technique

RT-qPCR analysis was performed as a two-step RT-qPCR using TaqMan probes selected to span exon-intron boundaries using pre-developed TaqMan assay id Hs00173978_m1 for the CD61 transcript, Hs00156373_m1 for CD34 transcript and 433762T for the human endogenous control, beta-actin (ACTB). All reaction was performed using TaqMan probes selected to span exon-intron boundaries using pre-developed TaqMan assay id Hs00173978_m1 for the CD61 transcript, Hs00156373_m1 for CD34 transcript and 433762T for the human endogenous control, beta-actin (ACTB). All reaction was performed by unpaired Student’s t-tests. Correlations analysis was performed by Spearman’s test for vanishing rank correlation coefficients. Differences between groups resulting in p-values below 0.05 were considered significant.

Statistical analysis

Fold-changes and their confidence intervals between patient groups are calculated on a log- scale but results are transformed back to linear scale and reported. Comparisons between patient groups were performed by unpaired Student’s t-tests. Correlations analysis was performed by Spearman’s test for vanishing rank correlation coefficients. Differences between groups resulting in p-values below 0.05 were considered significant.
Results

Analysis of platelet adherence to stem cells by confocal microscopy, RT-qPCR and FC

Single CD34+/CD61+ or CD34+/CD61- cells were sorted and visualized in the microscope with a diffuse membrane staining for CD34PE (red) in both subsets and a differentiated CD61 FITC (green) staining with no indication of platelet adherence (Figure 1). The sorted subsets were further analyzed by RT-qPCR showing that the CD34+/CD61+ cells expressed CD61 mRNA at a much higher level compared to CD34+/CD61- cells (Figure 2). The presence of the late platelet differentiation marker CD42b on CD34+/CD61+ positive cells were analyzed by FC and revealed a median value of 79% (N=7, range 33-94%).

Quantitation of CD34 and CD61 mRNA with RT-qPCR

The CD34 mRNA ratio normalized to the β-actin (BACT) mRNA level were quantitated in samples from 21 B-NHL patients and compared to the CD34 enumeration by flow cytometry resulting in a significant correlation (Figure 3A; p-value < 0.01, Spearman's test). Quantitation of the CD61 mRNA normalized to the ribosomal RNA level in leukapheresis samples were compared to the relative number of CD61+ events by flow cytometry which did not correlate (Figure 3B) (not significant). This was further supported by analysis of seven different autografts purified for CD34+ cells by magnetic field selection (Table 1), which also deplete for potential contaminating CD61 positive platelets. These results indicate that there is no correlation between cytometry and gene expression levels for CD61.

Impact on time to platelet recovery

Evaluation of the clinical impact of quantitation of CD61 transcripts was performed by comparing flow sorted CD34+ apheresis samples from group I (early) and II (late) defined by platelet recovery as described, and resulted in a CD61/BACT ratio of the geometric means between groups I and II of 1.20 (95% CI: 0.31; 4.61; non-significant) see Figure 4a. For CD34/BACT, the ratio of the geometric means between group I and II was 0.63 (95% CI: 0.16; 2.41; non-significant) see Figure 4b. For CD61/CD34, the ratio of the geometric means between groups I and II was 1.91 (95% CI: 0.67; 5.44; non-significant see Figure 4c. In this small pilot study it is concluded that CD34 and/or CD61 gene expression as predictor for platelet recovery did not alone add new information to assessment of autograft quality.

Discussion

A clinical problem in supportive PBSCT is the occurrence of prolonged time to platelet recovery, which by several publications has been associated to the presence of megakaryocytic progenitors identified as CD34+/CD61+ or CFU Meg+ cells by flow cytometry or culture assays respectively [27,28,33]. Consequently, quality assessment of stem cell grafts may be improved by enumeration of such cells.
Colony forming cells are difficult and time consuming to enumerate and therefore FC enumeration has been established and standardised [29].

However, several theoretical and technical questions are related to such identification and enumeration. In theory the membrane integrin αIIbβ3, also known as glycoprotein (GP) IIb/IIIa or CD61 may be used to identify megakaryocytic progenitors by FC, due to its expression early in the stem cell maturational sequence and retain throughout megakaryocytic and platelet differentiation [34,35]. CD61 has different functions depending on the stage of differentiation. Initially, it is considered to mediate adhesion of megakaryocytes and its progenitors to bone marrow matrix and later it is essential for platelet aggregation and inside-out signalling [36-41]. The molecule has been identified on very early bipotent erythro-megakaryocyte progenitors, however, increasing in density with differentiation into the megakaryocytic lineage [41,42]. Another lineage specific marker is GPIbα or CD42b, a part of the von Willebrand factor receptor complex (GP Ib–V–IX) which is present on platelets but markedly delayed in committed cells compared to CD61 [43-45].

Identification of CD61+ cells by FC is a technical different matter due to the presence of potential cell adhering platelets, which by random may interfere with identification and enumeration [27,28]. This phenomenon has been circumvented by adding EDTA to the washing buffer, since P-selectin mediated platelet adhesion is reversible and Ca++ dependent [27,28].

To support this strategy and the definition of the FC identified progenitor population, this study has made use of cell sorting and RT-qPCR analysis in a series of experiments which should reject the possibility, that the CD61+ platelet adherence was involved as false positive identification of CD34+/CD61+ progenitors. Morphological analysis by confocal microscopy of sorted cells did not reveal platelet adherence as a potential problem (Figure 1). Extended FC analysis for CD42b did identify CD42b expression "on the majority" of CD34+/CD61+ megakaryocytic progenitors. Consequently, CD42b enumeration did not enable us to exclude the possibility of platelet adherence to CD34+ progenitors. Further, sorted CD34+/CD61+ cells expressed CD61 mRNA not found in CD34+/CD61- cells, strongly indicating that the CD61 gene is turned on at least in a fraction of CD34+/CD61+ cells. Finally, the correlation between FC enumeration and RT-qPCR was performed in 21 B-NHL leukapheresis products concluding that the positive correlation seen for CD34 membrane adherence as a potential problem (Figure 1). Extended FC analysis for CD42b did identify CD42b expression "on the majority" of CD34+/CD61+ megakaryocytic progenitors. Consequently, CD42b enumeration did not enable us to exclude the possibility of platelet adherence to CD34+ progenitors. Further, sorted CD34+/CD61+ cells expressed CD61 mRNA not found in CD34+/CD61- cells, strongly indicating that the CD61 gene is turned on at least in a fraction of CD34+/CD61+ cells. Finally, the correlation between FC enumeration and RT-qPCR was performed in 21 B-NHL leukapheresis products concluding that the positive correlation seen for CD34 membrane protein and mRNA was not found for CD61. The explanation for this difference is not obvious but it is tempting to speculate if this is a consequence of the varying pattern and complexity of growth factor control of early and late progenitors including uncommitted CD34+ and lineage specific CD34+/CD61+ progenitors.

In summary, the recommended methodology for CD34+/CD61+ cell enumeration by flow cytometry has previously been used in retrospective studies documenting clinical impact [27,28,33]. However,
before moving into prospective multicenter EBMT studies we have rejected the possibility, that the CD61+ platelet adherence may be involved as false positive identification of megakaryocytic progenitors as CD34+/CD61+ cells and supported the FC defined identification. Finally, we found no positive correlation between FC and RT-qPCR estimation of the progenitor subsets, supporting that the latter should be studied as a variable of importance for quality assessment with focus on patients at risk for graft failure.
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