Molecular separation of ibuprofen and 4-isobutylacetophenone using octanol organic solution by porous polymeric membranes
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Abstract

Molecular separation of pharmaceutical contaminants from water has been recently of great interest to alleviate their detrimental impacts on environment and human well-being. As the novelty, this investigation aims to develop a mechanistic modeling approach and consequently its related CFD-based simulations to evaluate the molecular separation efficiency of ibuprofen (IP) and its metabolite 4-isobutylacetophenone (4-IBAP) from water inside a porous membrane contactor (PMC). For this purpose, octanol has been applied as an organic phase to extract IP and 4-IBAP from the aqueous solution due to high solubility of solutes in octanol. Finite element (FE) technique is used as a promising tool to simultaneously solve continuity and Navier-Stokes equations and their associated boundary conditions in tube, shell and porous membrane compartments of the PMC. The results demonstrated that the application of PMC and liquid-liquid extraction process can be significantly effective due to separating 51 and 54% of inlet IP and 4-IBAP molecules from aqueous solution, respectively. Moreover, the impact of various operational / functional parameters such as packing density, the number of fibrous membrane, the module length, the membrane porosity / tortuosity, and ultimately the aqueous solution flow rate on the molecular separation efficiency of IP and 4-IBAP is studied in more details.

1. Introduction

It has been recognized that the presence of pharmaceutical substances in drinking water and sewage has raised tremendous global concerns, due to the potential harmful impacts of these kind of contaminants on the public well-being and ecosystems [1]. The main sources of pharmaceutical pollution are therapeutic drugs, hospital waste, pharmaceutical manufacturing companies waste, and personal hygiene products [2]. There have been several research studies...
conducted to assess the toxicity, occurrence, and the fate of drug substances in water and wastewater streams [3, 4]. Traditional water treatment techniques like sedimentation, flocculation, and coagulation are not effective ways for the degradation of most of these drug residues. The concentrations of pharmaceutical compounds which exist in water and wastewater vary between nanograms per liter and micrograms per liter [5]. Ibuprofen (IP) is one of the widely used compounds of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which has been found in surface waters at concentrations up to tens of μg.l⁻¹ [6]. From thirteen identified degradation products of ibuprofen, two of them have been recognized to be toxic. 4-isobutylacetophenone (4-IBAP) is one of these harmful compounds, which can cause adverse effects on the red blood cells, connective tissue cells, and central nervous system [7, 8].

In order to sequester the pollutants from water and wastewater streams, there have been several techniques such as adsorption and solid-phase extraction. But, the mentioned techniques possess intrinsic restrictions. Adsorption is a mass transfer process, which has to consume considerable energy during the regeneration of used adsorbent and causes pollution and processing problems. Solid-phase extraction offers low detection limits, but it is a time-consuming method that makes it inappropriate for utilization in wastewater treatment plants [9]. In order to eliminate these pharmaceutical contaminants from water, membrane-based liquid-liquid extraction can be the proper alternative to the conventional methods [10–16].

The hollow fiber membrane systems, as an innovative device for separating trace concentration of contaminants, have great advantages including independent controllable flow rates of aqueous/organic phases, membrane high surface area, low analysis cost, easy handling, low consumption of organic solvent, and high analyte capacity [17–21].

In recent years, membrane-based technologies have achieved excellent attentions for the removal of pharmaceutical molecules from water, particularly to measure trace levels of pharmaceuticals [22]. Ramos Payán et al. applied a polypropylene hollow-fiber membrane for extraction and determination of three pharmaceuticals (diclofenac, ibuprofen, and salicylic acid) in the wastewater. Detection limits were found to be 300, 100, and 20 ng.L⁻¹ for ibuprofen, diclofenac, and salicylic acid, respectively with the linear least-square correlation coefficients of better than 0.998 and relative standard deviation varied between 1.5 and 2.1% [23]. González-Muñoz et al. used a hydrophobic polypropylene membrane contactor for removal of phenolic compound from an aqueous solution. They observed a 60% increase in the overall mass transfer coefficient when the temperature increased between 20 to 40˚C [24].

The main aim of this research paper is to assess the molecular separation performance of IP and its metabolite 4-IBAP from aqueous solution using octanol as an organic phase considering liquid-liquid extraction process inside the PMC. For this purpose, a mechanistic model and consequently its associated CFD-based simulation are developed based on Finite Element (FE) technique to analyze the governing equations and their associated boundary conditions inside the prominent compartments of PMC. Additionally, effect of various processing parameters such as packing density, the No. of fibrous membrane, the module length, the fiber porosity / tortuosity and ultimately the aqueous solution flow rate on the IP and 4-IBAP solutes removal efficiency are studied.

2. Model implementation

In this paper, the molecular separation of ibuprofen and isobutylacetophenone inside a PMC is aimed to be evaluated by developing a first principle modeling and a CFD-based computational simulation. The geometrical structure of the PMC and the molecular mass transport of IP and 4-IBAP from the shell to the tube side are demonstrated in Fig 1. The aqueous feed including IP and 4-IBAP moves inside the shell compartment of the module, while the
circulation of organic phase takes place inside the tube of PMC in the counter-current arrangement. The appropriate hydrophobicity of porous fiber causes the penetration of organic phase (octanol) into the wall pores of membrane. Indeed, the interface between two phases would be formed at the membrane-shell boundary, i.e. @ r. Moreover, in the experimental work as reported by Williams et al. [8], the organic solvent (octanol) was prevented from entering into the aqueous solution by maintaining a differential pressure that is higher on the aqueous phase (2 psi). Indeed, the extraction occurs at the aqueous-organic phase interface.

Happel’s free surface model is used in this paper to approximate the effective hypothetical of shell radius surrounding each fiber inside the PMC [10, 25–29]. To simplify the transport model and its related CFD-based computational simulation: (1) Steady state mode; (2) Isothermal circumstance; (3) Laminar flow pattern of aqueous—organic solutions; (4) The fiber pores are only filled with the extraction phase; (5) Fully-developed parabolic fluid velocity profile inside the tube compartment and (6) Axisymmetrical geometry of PMC, are assumed.

The continuity equation is identified as the governing equation for interpreting the transport of IP and 4-IBAP and water molecules from aqueous phase to octanol as organic phase. This equation can be derived as follows [30]:

$$\frac{\partial C_i}{\partial t} = -[(\nabla C_i V) + (\nabla J_i)] + R_i$$

In the above-mentioned equation, C, V, J, and R, are denoted as solute concentration, the velocity vector, the diffusive flux of components i (IP and 4-IBAP and water molecules), and term of reaction, respectively. It is worth pointing out due to the non-existence of any chemical reaction inside the prominent domain of PMC, the term R, is eliminated in the model’s equations. Fick’s law of diffusion is used for estimation of the diffusive fluxes of species i. The velocity vector can either be calculated analytically or by coupling a momentum balance to the continuity equation. The terms within the brackets denote convective and diffusional mass transports, respectively. The terms (\nabla C_i V) and (\nabla J_i) respectively explain the diffusional and
convective mass transfer and can be derived as follows [30, 31]:

$$ J_i = -D_i \nabla C_i $$

(2)

$$ N_i = D_i \nabla C_i + C_i V $$

(3)

In the Eq (3), $N_i$ is the mass flux vector. Stokes–Einstein equation is employed as a useful equation to calculate the solute diffusion coefficient inside the feed and the solvent applying the solute–solvent interaction [32, 33]. Given that the flow regime is laminar in both tube and shell sides, and also Happel’s free surface model through the PMC, Navier-Stokes equation might be utilized for the derivation of velocity distribution as follows [30]:

$$ \rho \frac{\partial V_z}{\partial t} - \nabla \cdot (\rho \nabla V_z) + \rho (V_z, \nabla) V_z + \nabla p = F \nabla V_z = 0 $$

(4)

In this equation, velocity vector in the $z$ direction, body force term, pressure, density of fluid and dynamic viscosity are respectively interpreted as $V_z$, $F$, $p$, $\rho$ and $\eta$. Using the Happel’s free surface model, the effective hypothetical radius of shell encircling each porous hollow fiber ($r_3$) is calculated by the Eq (5) as follows [29, 34, 35]:

$$ r_3 = r_2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - \phi} \right)^{0.5} $$

(5)

In the aforementioned equation, $(1-\phi)$ and $\phi$ denote the packing density and volume fraction of void in the PMC and is calculated using the following equation [34–36]:

$$ 1 - \phi = \frac{nr_2^2}{R^2} $$

(6)

In the above-mentioned equation, $n$ denotes the number of porous hollow fibers and $R$ is the inner radius of membrane module. Using Eqs (5) and (6), $r_3$ has been calculated with the amount of $3.158 \times 10^{-4}$ m. The appropriate boundary conditions associated with the derived equations are presented in Table 1.

In Table 1, $m$ is denoted as the partition coefficients of IP and 4-IBAP, which their values are reported in Table 2. Indeed, $m$ is the ratio of equilibrium solute concentration in organic phase to aqueous phase. COMSOL package version 5.2 is applied to solve the continuity and Navier-Stokes equations and their associated boundary conditions in tube, shell and porous membrane compartments of the PMC. This software operates based on finite element technique (FET) to solve partial differential equations (PDEs) numerically [37–40]. The existence of significant privileges such as simplicity of handling the complicated geometries and easy performance of non-uniform meshes has convinced numerous authors to apply FET to solve

| Boundary    | Tube compartment | Membrane compartment | Shell compartment |
|-------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
| $z = 0$     | $C_i = 0$        | Insulated            |                   |
| $z = L$     | convective flux  |                      |                   |
| $r = 0$     | $\frac{\alpha}{n} = 0$ |                  |                   |
| $r = r_1$   | $C_1 = C_{mem}$  |                      | $C_i = C_0$       |
| $r = r_2$   |                  |                      | $C_{mem} = C_1$   |
| $r = r_3$   |                  |                      | $C_3 = C_{mem}/m$ |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.t001
the employed PDEs [41–43]. In order to develop the modeling and its related CFD-based simulations, a system with a 64-bit operating capacity, an Intel core™ i5-6200U CPU at 2.30 GHz and an 8 Gigabyte RAM is employed. The required time for solving the governing model equations is around 1 minute. The PMC’s specifications and physicochemical parameters required for developing the CFD-based simulation and modeling are presented in Table 2.

In this investigation, mapped meshing technique is aimed to be implemented to divide the shell, porous membrane and tube compartments of the PMC into smaller dimensions with the aim of evaluating the alteration of important design / functional parameters at each domain point. By increasing the number of mapped meshes inside each domain of PMC, computational accuracy enhances significantly, which results in the reduction of software error in the post-processing step. Fig 2 shows the mapped meshes in all domains of the PMC.

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Validation of model’s predictions

Validation of the model’s predictions are performed by comparing the results of mathematical modeling / simulations with experimental data reported by Williams et al. [8]. The comparison has been made for removal of 4-IBAP in the membrane contactor at different aqueous (feed) flow rates for the case of quasi-steady state assumption. As seen in Fig 3, a great agreement is obtained between the model’s predictions and experimental data with average absolute relative deviation (AARD) of almost 4%, which guarantees validation of the developed mass transfer model in this study. The models developed in literature are based on estimation of mass transfer coefficients for individual phases as well as overall mass transfer coefficients, which are not

| Parameter                  | Value     | Unit   |
|---------------------------|-----------|--------|
| Inner fiber radius (r₁)   | 110×10⁻⁶  | m      |
| Outer fiber radius (r₂)   | 150×10⁻⁶  | m      |
| Module inner radius (R)   | 0.0315    | m      |
| Porosity (ε)              | 0.4       | –      |
| Tortuosity (τ)            | 2.25      | –      |
| Module length (L)         | 0.15      | m      |
| Number of fibers (n)      | 9950      | –      |
| IP₆,aq                    | 7.17×10⁻¹⁰| m² s⁻¹ |
| IP₄-IBAP,aq               | 7.53×10⁻¹⁰| m² s⁻¹ |
| IP₄-IBAP,org              | 1.47×10⁻¹⁰| m² s⁻¹ |
| IP₄-IBAP,org              | 1.56×10⁻¹⁰| m² s⁻¹ |
| mIP                       | 31.62     | –      |
| mL-IBAP                   | 37.15     | –      |
| MW,IP                     | 206.28    | Kg Kmol⁻¹ |
| MW₄-IBAP                  | 176.25    | Kg Kmol⁻¹ |
| MW,water                  | 18        | Kg Kmol⁻¹ |
| MW,octanol                | 130.23    | Kg Kmol⁻¹ |
| ρoctanol                  | 830       | Kg m⁻³  |
| ρwater                    | 997       | Kg m⁻³  |
| Q₆,aq                     | 100       | L min⁻¹ |
| Q₄,org                    | 100       | L min⁻¹ |
| C₀,IP                     | 10⁻⁴      | g ml⁻¹ |
| C₄-IBAP                   | 10⁻⁴      | g ml⁻¹ |

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.t002
accurate enough. Higher AARD has been reported in literature for the case of 4-IBAP removal at optimum conditions using the conventional mass transfer model [8], confirming that the model developed in this study based on CFD approach is a powerful tool for design and understanding the membrane-based solvent extraction for removal of pharmaceuticals from effluents.

![Employed mapped meshes in all domains of the PMC.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.g002)

![Validation of model’s prediction with experimental data reported by Williams et al. [8].](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.g003)
It is also observed that the feed concentration decreases with increasing aqueous flow rate which could be attributed to the effect of flow rate on mass transfer flux. Increasing the aqueous flow rate in the membrane contactor increases the Reynolds number and mass transfer coefficient which in turn increases the mass transfer flux of species from aqueous phase to the organic phase. This will result in enhancement of separation and reduction of species concentration in the feed tank.

3.2. Concentration distribution and separation percentage of IP and 4-IBAP

Fig 4 illustrates the concentration distribution of IP and 4-IBAP solutes inside the membrane and the shell sections of the PMC. The aqueous solution including ibuprofen and isobutylacetophenone moves in the shell section of the PMC ($z = L$) where the concentration of the solutes is the highest ($C_0$). The octanol organic phase flows from the tube compartment ($z = 0$) where the concentration of solutes (IP and 4-IBAP) is zero. By circulating the aqueous flow inside the shell, ibuprofen and isobutylacetophenone move towards the pores of membrane wall due to the concentration gradient, which is known as the driving force of the process. Due to the hydrophobicity of the membrane material, the membrane pores are filled with the octanol as an organic phase. The extraction occurs at the aqueous-organic phase interface (at $r = r_2$) (Fig 5).

Fig 6 presents the value of IP, 4-IBAP and water mass transport flux in the octanol organic solution at the interface of shell-membrane. As can be seen the value of water transport into the octanol solution is much lower than the IP, 4-IBAP which is due to low solubility of water.

![Concentration gradient of a) ibuprofen and b) isobutylacetophenone solutes inside the shell and the membrane sections of the PMC.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.g004)
in octanol, and consequently low partition coefficient for water. Hence, it can be concluded that the transport of water molecules into the octanol organic solution is very low and can be negligible.

![Graph showing axial dimensionless concentration profile](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.g005)

Fig 5. Axial dimensionless concentration profile of IP and 4-IBAP solutes along the membrane—shell interface.

![Graph showing transport of components in octanol](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.g006)

Fig 6. The amount of components' transport in the octanol organic solution at the shell-membrane interface.
3.3. Influence of aqueous solution flow rate on IP and 4-IBAP separation

The effect of aqueous solution flow rate on the IP and 4-IBAP solutes molecular separation is depicted in Fig 7. The solutes molecular separation percentage can be calculated as follows [44]:

\[
\text{Solute Separation (\%)} = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{C_{\text{outlet}}}{C_{\text{inlet}}} \right)
\]

As expected, increment in the aqueous solution flow rate significantly decreases its residence time through the PMC’s shell side, which eventuates in decreasing the molecular separation efficiency of IP and 4-IBAP solutes, in the case of once-through operational mode. It is illustrated that by increasing the aqueous solution flow rate from 50 to 500 Lit.min\(^{-1}\), the IP and 4-IBAP molecular separation percentage decreases substantially from 63.5 to nearly 14\% and from 68 to only 15\%, respectively. Indeed, the feed flow rate should be kept at its minimum value in order to enhance the separation efficiency of the solutes in the hollow-fiber membrane contactor. However, it should be pointed out that for the case of circulating operation, increasing feed flow rate would increase the mass transfer flux through the membrane, as indicated in Fig 3.

3.4. Influence of membrane porosity and tortuosity on IP and 4-IBAP separation

The effect of membrane porosity on the molecular separation efficiency of IP and 4-IBAP solutes is presented in Fig 8. As the porosity enhances, the solutes transfer rate inside the pores of membrane wall increases, which eventuates in higher IP and 4-IBAP molecular separation. In fact, the higher porosity facilitates the molecular diffusion of solutes through the fiber pores.
which are filled by the organic phase. As shown, the molecular separation percentage of IP and 4-IBAP improves from 15 to 61% and from 17 to 66.5% when the membrane porosity amount increases from 0.1 to 0.9. Enhancement of the molecular separation performance of IP and 4-IBAP by increasing porosity factor is manifested due to the reality that increment of the membrane porosity positively encourages the solutes (ibuprofen and isobutylacetophenone) molecular mass transfer through the pores. Finally, increase in the amount of IP and 4-IBAP molecular mass transfer in the membrane side enhances the solutes diffusivity, which increases their molecular separation efficiency.

The molecular separation performance of IP and 4-IBAP solutes applying octanol organic solution inside the PMC in a wide range of the tortuosity (from 1 to 10) is demonstrated in Fig 9. Membrane tortuosity is known as an important membrane parameter, which its increment enhances the membrane’s mass transfer resistance. Increment in the total mass transfer resistance of solutes (IP and 4-IBAP) significantly reduces the mass transfer rate through the PMC and it eventually deteriorates the molecular separation efficiency of solutes. As shown, the molecular separation percentage of IP and 4-IBAP solutes is reduced from 60.5 to about 14% and from 66 to 15% when the membrane tortuosity amount increases from 1 to 10.

3.5. Influence of module length on IP and 4-IBAP separation

The relationship between the length of module and the IP and 4-IBAP molecular separation efficiency from aqueous solution inside the PMC is illustrated in Fig 10. As would be expected, by enhancing the effective length of module, contact area between two employed phases (aqueous phases and organic phase) and also the residence time through the PMC is improved substantially. Hence, enhancement of the contact area and residence time in the PMC provides superior opportunities for suitable mass transfer of IP and 4-IBAP molecules, which eventually results in higher molecular separation percentage of IP and 4-IBAP from aqueous solution.
Fig 9. Effect of tortuosity parameter on the IP and 4-IBAP solutes molecular separation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.g009

Fig 10. Effect of module length on the IP and 4-IBAP solutes molecular separation.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.g010
According to the figure, increase in the length of module from 0.1 to 0.6 m improves the IP and 4-IBAP molecular separation from 30 to almost 86% and from almost 35 to 90%, respectively.

3.6. Influence of the number of fibers and packing density on IP and 4-IBAP separation

Impact of the number of fibrous membranes on the molecular separation efficiency of IP and 4-IBAP solutes from aqueous solution is shown in Fig 11. When the number of fibers inside the PMC increases, the mass transfer interface between aqueous phase and organic phase is improved. Consequently, aqueous / organic phases contact area by increasing the number of fibrous membrane enhances dramatically, which results in an improvement in the molecular separation efficiency of IP and 4-IBAP solutes [45, 46]. Increase in the number of fibers from 6000 to 11000 causes a significant enhancement in the molecular separation efficiency of IP and 4-IBAP solutes from 19 to almost 50% and from 20 to 53%, respectively.

Packing density is understood as one of the most momentous design parameters of membrane modules, which its effect on the molecular separation efficiency of IP and 4-IBAP solutes from aqueous solution is presented in Fig 12. It is seen that increase in the content of packing density significantly increases the available mass transfer interface between aqueous / organic phases inside the PMC, which positively influences the IP and 4-IBAP solutes molecular separation efficiency. As would be illustrated from Fig 12, increase in the packing density value inside the PMC from 0.1 to 0.4 improves the molecular separation efficiency of IP and 4-IBAP solutes from 20 to 70.5% and from 22 to 76%, respectively.

![Figure 11. Effect of the number of fibrous membrane on the IP and 4-IBAP solutes molecular separation.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237271.g011)
4. Conclusions

A comprehensive mechanistic modeling and a CFD-based simulation is developed in this paper to investigate the feasibility of separating ibuprofen (IP) and its metabolite 4-isobutylacetophenone (4-IBAP) molecules existed in the water applying octanol organic solution inside a porous membrane contactor (PMC). For this purpose, counter-current circulation of aqueous and organic phases takes place inside the shell and tube compartments of PMC to facilitate the mass transport process of IP and 4-IBAP from shell pathway to tube section during liquid-liquid extraction process. The results show that the utilization of liquid-liquid extraction process inside the PMC is substantially promising and can separate up to 51% and 54% of inlet IP and 4-IBAP molecules from aqueous solution, respectively. Moreover, the results corroborate that increase in some design / operational parameters such as porosity, number of fibrous membrane, packing density and module length possesses positive impact on the molecular separation performance of IP and 4-IBAP, while increment of tortuosity and aqueous solution flow rate negatively influences the IP and 4-IBAP separation efficiency.
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