Anatomic Location is the Best Predictor of Bacterial Species in Postoperative Infections

Abstract

Introduction: Postoperative surgical site infections are the most common complication following dermatologic surgery. The microbiology of these infections as it relates to patient and surgical characteristics is not well discussed.

Methods: A retrospective chart review on all patients with clinical evidence of wound infection and positive wound culture between 2011-2020 was performed at our tertiary care institution. The microbiology and resistance patterns were investigated in association with patient and surgical characteristics.

Results: Anatomic location was most strongly associated with the type of bacteria cultured, and contributed to the associations between specific bacteria and type of repair (linear, flap, graft) as well as suture material. There was no association between the bacteria cultured and whether the patient was immunosuppressed, smoking, anticoagulated, or diabetic. There was no association between the bacteria cultured and suture technique or procedure type. (Mohs micrographic surgery vs excision vs biopsy vs electrodessication and curettage).

Conclusions: Understanding the microbiology of postoperative infections can help dermatologic surgeons in determining the best antibiotic regimen for treatment of these infections. Our study shows that anatomic location is the most important determinant of the type of pathogenic bacteria that will be cultured in a postoperative infection, and thus modifications on antibiotic based on the anatomic location of a surgical site.

Introduction

Dermatologic surgery has historically been associated with low rates of intraoperative and postoperative complications, though postoperative surgical site infections (SSI) are the most commonly reported adverse event. Prior studies have shown infection rates of approximately 1-4.25% depending on anatomic location and type of procedure performed [1].

SSIs are often diagnosed clinically based on signs and symptoms of pain, warmth, edema, erythema and purulence [2,3]. Wound cultures are helpful in identifying culprit bacteria and antibiotic susceptibilities1, though the interpretation of results is best performed within a patient’s clinical context. In dermatologic surgery, Staphylococcus aureus is the most commonly implicated bacteria in wound infections, followed by Pseudomonas Aeruginosa, Proteus Mirabilis, Enterobacter species, and Serratia Marcescens [1-3]. Understanding of commonly implicated bacteria causing postoperative infections can help surgeons in selecting appropriate prophylaxis and treatment options. Because there are no standardized guidelines, the use of antibiotics is increasing and varies widely, even within institutions [5]. Recent reports have validated prior findings.

We previously investigated below the knee infections at our institution [6]. We reported that patients undergoing wide local excision were more likely to develop a lower extremity SSI than patients undergoing MMS. Further, subcuticular sutures and vertical mattress sutures were associated with reduced infection rates than other suture techniques. Our goal in performing the study was to expand on this prior study, describe the SSIs that we have encountered and treated over the previous 9 years to identify relationships between cultured bacteria and host and clinical factors, as well as understand the bacterial resistance patterns in our geographic location to better help us treat SSIs going forward.

Methods

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of California, San Diego. Using the electronic medical record, the authors reviewed records of all patients presenting to our Dermatologic Surgery unit who was recorded to have developed a postoperative infection with a positive bacterial wound culture from 2011-2020. In total, 491 patients were identified.

Patients were excluded from the study if no bacterial culture was performed for the corresponding infection, if they had a positive wound culture but no clinical suspicion of postoperative infection, or if they had developed a postoperative infection from a surgery not performed at our surgical unit. Patient demographics, comorbidities, surgical details, antibiotic prophylaxis, culture results and resistances were identified.

All data analysis was performed in SPSS. Chi square analysis was applied for the analysis of categorical variables. A significance level of p<0.05 was applied for final reporting.

Results

Of the 491 identified patients, 260 patients were included in the analysis. The demographics of our samples are outlined in Table 1. The average age of patients was 68.6 (SD 13.1) years, with a preponderance of Caucasian (95.0%) male (66.9%) cases. The patients had an average of 6.1 (SD 11.3) prior Mohs micrographic surgeries and 2.5 (SD 3.8) prior cutaneous excisions. Almost 50% of patients were either current smokers or previous smokers. In terms of medical history, 9.3% of patients had a history of diabetes mellitus, 37.1% of patients had a prescribed anticoagulant, and 15.4% were immunosuppressed.

Consistent with prior studies, the majority of infections were of surgical sites on the lower extremities (38.5%), followed by the upper extremities (30.2%), genitalia (21.1%), and head and neck (10.1%). The most common bacterial species cultured were Pseudomonas Aeruginosa, Staphylococcus Aureus, and Enterococcus Faecalis. The most common locations for SSIs were the lower extremities (38.5%), followed by the upper extremities (30.2%), genitalia (21.1%), and head and neck (10.1%).

SSIs are often diagnosed clinically based on signs and symptoms of pain, warmth, edema, erythema and purulence [2,3]. Wound cultures are helpful in identifying culprit bacteria and antibiotic susceptibilities, though the interpretation of results is best performed within a patient’s clinical context. In dermatologic surgery, Staphylococcus aureus is the most commonly implicated bacteria in wound infections, followed by Pseudomonas Aeruginosa, Proteus Mirabilis, Enterobacter species, and Serratia Marcescens [1-3]. Understanding of commonly implicated bacteria causing postoperative infections can help surgeons in selecting appropriate prophylaxis and treatment options. Because there are no standardized guidelines, the use of antibiotics is increasing and varies widely, even within institutions [5]. Recent reports have validated prior findings.

We previously investigated below the knee infections at our institution [6]. We reported that patients undergoing wide local excision were more likely to develop a lower extremity SSI than patients undergoing MMS. Further, subcuticular sutures and vertical mattress sutures were associated with reduced infection rates than other suture techniques. Our goal in performing the study was to expand on this prior study, describe the SSIs that we have encountered and treated over the previous 9 years to identify relationships between cultured bacteria and host and clinical factors, as well as understand the bacterial resistance patterns in our geographic location to better help us treat SSIs going forward.

Methods

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of California, San Diego. Using the electronic medical record, the authors reviewed records of all patients presenting to our Dermatologic Surgery unit who was recorded to have developed a postoperative infection with a positive bacterial wound culture from 2011-2020. In total, 491 patients were identified.

Patients were excluded from the study if no bacterial culture was performed for the corresponding infection, if they had a positive wound culture but no clinical suspicion of postoperative infection, or if they had developed a postoperative infection from a surgery not performed at our surgical unit. Patient demographics, comorbidities, surgical details, antibiotic prophylaxis, culture results and resistances were identified.

All data analysis was performed in SPSS. Chi square analysis was applied for the analysis of categorical variables. A significance level of p<0.05 was applied for final reporting.

Results

Of the 491 identified patients, 260 patients were included in the analysis. The demographics of our samples are outlined in Table 1. The average age of patients was 68.6 (SD 13.1) years, with a preponderance of Caucasian (95.0%) male (66.9%) cases. The patients had an average of 6.1 (SD 11.3) prior Mohs micrographic surgeries and 2.5 (SD 3.8) prior cutaneous excisions. Almost 50% of patients were either current smokers or previous smokers. In terms of medical history, 9.3% of patients had a history of diabetes mellitus, 37.1% of patients had a prescribed anticoagulant, and 15.4% were immunosuppressed.

Consistent with prior studies, the majority of infections were of surgical sites on the lower extremities (38.5%), followed by the upper
The majority of patients had undergone Mohs surgery (61.6%), and most repairs were linear closures (66.5%). Polypropylene was the most commonly used superficial suture (73.1%), and simple running sutures were most frequently used (60.0%) among these patients with SSIs. Doxycycline (56.2%) and cephalexin (22.3%) were the most commonly prescribed prophylactic antibiotics.

Methicillin-sensitive staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) was the most commonly cultured bacterium at 42.5% of cultures, followed by pseudomonas aeruginosa (12.4%) and methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus 8.3%; MRSA; (Table 2). Most of the MSSA cultures were resistant to penicillin (73.8%), and smaller percentages were resistant to erythromycin (17.7%) and clindamycin (12.8%). Ninety percent of the pseudomonas cultures were sensitive to all common antibiotics tested; 2 cultures (10.0%) were resistant to aztreonam and 1 culture (3.3%) was resistant to cefepime. For MRSA, 100% were resistant to oxacillin but only 92.9% were resistant to penicillin. Additionally, most cultures were resistant to cefazolin (96.4%). The culture result changed the recommended antibiotic 30% of the time.

Next, we investigated the associations of surgical characteristics to culture results. We would like to emphasize that this section does

| Demographics | Number | Percent / Standard deviation |
|--------------|--------|-----------------------------|
| Age          | 68.6   | 13.1                        |
| Hispanic or Latino | 3 | 1.2                         |
| Not Hispanic or Latino | 254 | 97.7                       |
| Unknown/not reported | 3 | 1.2                        |
| Race         |        |                             |
| Asian       | 1      | 0.4                         |
| Black or African American | 3 | 1.2            |
| White       | 247    | 95                          |
| More than one race | 7 | 2.7                     |
| unknown/not reported | 2 | 0.8                      |
| Gender       |        |                             |
| Female      | 86     | 33.1                        |
| Male        | 174    | 66.9                        |
| Tobacco use  |        |                             |
| Current smoker | 18 | 7                           |
| Past smoker  | 107    | 41.6                        |
| Never smoker | 132   | 51.4                        |
| History of diabetes mellitus | 24 | 9.3                     |
| Anticoagulant use | 96 | 37.1                |
| Immunosuppressed | 40 | 15.4                |
| Prior Mohs   | 6.1    | 11.3                        |
| Prior Excision | 2.5 | 3.8                      |
| Location     |        |                             |
| Scalp        | 23     | 8.9                         |
| Face         | 31     | 12.1                        |
| Upper extremities, not hands or feet | 45 | 17.5                    |
| Lower extremities, not hands or feet | 99 | 38.5                |
| Neck         | 8      | 3.1                         |
| Chest        | 9      | 3.5                         |
| Hands        | 8      | 3.1                         |
| Feet         | 2      | 0.8                         |
| Abdomen      | 2      | 0.8                         |
| Back         | 30     | 11.7                        |
| Face location|        |                             |
| Nose         | 7      | 22.6                        |
| Ear          | 8      | 25.8                        |
| Cheek        | 7      | 22.6                        |
| Lip          | 3      | 9.7                         |
| Forehead     | 6      | 19.4                        |
| Procedure type |      |                             |
| Mohs Surgery | 159  | 61.6                        |
| Excision     | 95     | 36.8                        |
| ED&C         | 1      | 0.4                         |
| Biopsy       | 3      | 1.2                         |
| Repair type  |        |                             |
| Linear       | 173    | 66.5                        |
| Flap         | 26     | 10                          |
| Graft        | 40     | 15.4                        |
| Second intent | 21 | 8.1                     |
| Superficial suture material |        |                             |
| Prolene      | 177    | 73.1                        |
| Gut          | 25     | 10.3                        |
| Nylon        | 17     | 7                           |

extremities (17.5%) and face (12.1%). The majority of the patients had undergone Mohs surgery (61.6%), and most repairs were linear closures (66.5%). Polypropylene was the most commonly used superficial suture (73.1%), and simple running sutures were most frequently used (60.0%) among these patients with SSIs. Doxycycline (56.2%) and cephalexin (22.3%) were the most commonly prescribed prophylactic antibiotics.

Methicillin-sensitive staphylococcus aureus (MSSA) was the most commonly cultured bacterium at 42.5% of cultures, followed by pseudomonas aeruginosa (12.4%) and methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus 8.3%; MRSA; (Table 2). Most of the MSSA cultures were resistant to penicillin (73.8%), and smaller percentages were resistant to erythromycin (17.7%) and clindamycin (12.8%). Ninety percent of the pseudomonas cultures were sensitive to all common antibiotics tested; 2 cultures (10.0%) were resistant to aztreonam and 1 culture (3.3%) was resistant to cefepime. For MRSA, 100% were resistant to oxacillin but only 92.9% were resistant to penicillin. Additionally, most cultures were resistant to cefazolin (96.4%). The culture result changed the recommended antibiotic 30% of the time.

Next, we investigated the associations of surgical characteristics to culture results. We would like to emphasize that this section does
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The type of repair (linear vs flap vs graft vs healing by secondary intention; p=0.003) and suture material (p<0.001) was significantly associated with the type of bacteria cultured as well. As we know, the type of repair and suture material is often dictated by the anatomic location, and posthoc analysis supported that anatomic location confounded the bacteria results by type of repair and suture material. Culture results were not associated with procedure type, suture technique, smoking, diabetes, anticoagulant use or immunosuppression status (p>0.05).

Discussion

In summary, of all cases of SSI in the last 9 years at our institution, the majority occurred with surgical sites on the lower extremities repaired with linear closures. There were a large number of male patients with SSI in our dataset. Overall, there were only a minority of individuals who were immunosuppressed or had a history of diabetes. Our results augment our previous study [6]: in our pool of SSI on all body sites in addition to the lower extremities, the simple running suture technique was the most common. MSSA, pseudomonas and MRSA infections were the most common bacterial causes of infection. It is worrisome that some of our pseudomonas cultures are resistant to aztreonam and cefepine. It is also worrisome that MRSA is the third most common cause of SSI in our sample, with resistance to penicillin, cefazolin and erythromycin approaching 100% and to tetracyclines approaching 10%. Our results show that despite the type of repair and suture material or technique used, the surgical location is the most predictive of the type of bacteria that will grow during a postoperative wound infection. In general, our observations agree with those from other Centers [7].

We believe that some of our culture results differ from those of prior studies due to our practice of prescribing prophylactic antibiotics, usually doxycycline, for lower extremity surgical sites and large flaps on the nose and ears [8]. This may be the reason that, while prior studies have cited MSSA, MRSA followed by pseudomonas as their three most common causes of SSI on the lower extremities, we report pseudomonas as being the second most common cause of SSIs [9]. Though our study was not designed to show whether doxycycline prophylaxis is effective at decreasing the rate of surgical infections, we show that perhaps prophylaxis can at least change the microbiology of infections.

In terms of sensitivities, most Staphylococcus Aureus strains were sensitive to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole or doxycycline if it was not sensitive to cephalaxin. Additionally, if doxycycline is not effective at treating a patient’s lower extremity SSI, gram-negative coverage is most likely needed. We believe that in addition to poor perfusion pressure in the distal limbs, higher tension and complex closures [9], difficulty cleaning the area and washing of genital and gastrointestinal bacteria over the area during showers could also contribute to high infection rates.

There was a statistically significant association between surgical location and the type of bacteria cultured [8]. The location of the wound appears to be more important in determining the culture results than the type of repair or suture material used. Furthermore, suture technique, patient smoking status, immunosuppression, diabetes, age and sex do not appear to be associated with the type of bacteria cultured from postoperative surgical sites with suspected infections.

As discussed in prior articles, we highlight that postoperative wounds collect bacteria that may not be pathogenic, and culture

Table 2: Microbiology of bacteria cultured from postoperative wound infections.

| Microbiology                | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------|
| MSSA                        | 144       | 42.5    |
| Penicillin Resistance       | 20        | 95.2    |
| Clindamycin Resistance      | 5         | 23.8    |
| Erythromycin Resistance     | 17        | 81      |
| Tetracycline Resistance     | 8         | 5.7     |
| Minocycline Resistance      | 1         | 0.7     |
| Pansensitive                | 32        | 22.7    |
| Pseudomonas Aeruginosa      | 42        | 12.4    |
| Aztreonam Resistance        | 2         | 10      |
| Cefepime Resistance         | 1         | 3.3     |
| Pansensitive                | 27        | 90      |
| MRSA                        | 28        | 8.3     |
| Penicillin Resistance       | 26        | 92.9    |
| Clindamycin Resistance      | 8         | 28.6    |
| Erythromycin Resistance     | 23        | 82.1    |
| Tetracycline Resistance     | 3         | 10.7    |
| Oxacillin Resistance        | 28        | 100     |
| Rifampin Resistance         | 1         | 3.6     |
| Bacitracin Resistance       | 2         | 7.1     |
| Cefazolin resistance        | 27        | 96.4    |
| Pansensitive                | 0         | 0       |
| Enterobacter Species        | 19        | 5.6     |
| Streptococcus species       | 16        | 4.7     |
| Staphylococcus epidermidis  | 14        | 4.1     |
| Escherichia coli            | 14        | 4.1     |
| Proteus Species             | 14        | 4.1     |
| Enterococcus Species        | 13        | 3.8     |
| Klebsiella Species          | 8         | 2.4     |
| Serratia Species            | 7         | 2.1     |
| Citrobacter Species         | 7         | 2.1     |
| Other Staphylococcus species| 3         | 0.9     |
| Corynebacter Species        | 3         | 0.9     |
| Stenotrophomonas Species    | 3         | 0.9     |
| Morganella Species          | 2         | 0.6     |
| Yeast                       | 2         | 0.6     |
results must be interpreted with clinical context. This is the reason that we excluded patients with positive culture results but no clinical signs or symptoms of infection and negative culture results despite some clinical signs of infection.

Limitations

Our results are from a single institution and were collected using a retrospective technique in the small number of patients whose surgeries have been complicated by postoperative infections. Our results are also skewed by our clinical practice of prescribing prophylactic antibiotics in high risk surgical sites.

Conclusion

In summary, our study confirms findings from prior investigations of postoperative wound infections and suggests that anatomic location may be the most important determinant of the bacteria cultured from a surgical site. In sum, the microbiology of the cultures from SSIs can help clinicians decide which prophylactic and treatment antibiotics are most appropriate for our surgical patients.
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