Quantitative promoter methylation differentiates carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma from pleomorphic salivary adenoma
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BACKGROUND: Potential epigenetic biomarkers for malignant transformation to carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma (Ca ex PSA) have been sought previously with and without specific comparison with the benign variant, pleomorphic salivary adenoma (PSA). Previous analysis has been limited by a non-quantitative approach. We sought to demonstrate quantitative promoter methylation across a panel of tumour suppressor genes (TSGs) in both Ca ex PSA and PSA.

METHODS: Quantitative methylation-specific real-time polymerase chain reaction (qMSP) analysis of p16INK4A, CYGB, RASSF1, RARβ, human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT), Wilms' tumour 1 (WT1) and TMEFF2 gene promoters was undertaken on bisulphite-converted DNA, previously extracted from archival fixed tissue specimens of 31 Ca ex PSA and an unrelated cohort of 28 PSA. All target regions examined had formerly been shown to be hypermethylated in salivary and/or mucosal head and neck malignancies.

RESULTS: The qMSP demonstrated abnormal methylation of at least one target in 20 out of 31 (64.5%) Ca ex PSA and 2 out of 28 (7.1%) PSA samples (P<0.001). RASSF1 was the single gene promoter for which methylation is shown to be a statistically significant predictor of malignant disease (P<0.001) with a sensitivity of 51.6% and a specificity of 92.9%. RARβ, TMEFF2 and CYGB displayed no apparent methylation, while a combinatory epigenotype based on p16, hTERT, RASSF1 and WT1 was associated with a significantly higher chance of detecting malignancy in any positive sample (odds ratio: 24, 95% CI: 4.7–125, P<0.001).

CONCLUSIONS: We demonstrate the successful application of qMSP to a large series of historical Ca ex PSA samples and report on a panel of TSGs with significant differences in their methylation profiles between benign and malignant variants of pleomorphic salivary adenoma. qMSP analysis could be developed as a useful clinical tool to differentiate between Ca ex PSA and its benign precursor.
methylating/non-methylating and potentially the classification of benign and malignant counterparts. The case for pyrosequencing methylation analysis (PMA) in salivary (Lee et al, 2008) and squamous mucosal malignancy of the head and neck (Shaw et al, 2006) has been made when fresh frozen tissue is available. In the case of archival samples in less common tumours, it is more likely that fixed formalin paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue will be available. In such a situation the reduced integrity of DNA available may restrict the potential advantages of PMA, while alternative quantitative techniques such as real-time MSP (RT–MSP) (Eads) may have a role (Lee et al, 2008).

An evaluation of tumour suppressor gene (TSG) promoter hypermethylation limited to Ca ex PSA and its benign precursor has not previously been performed. Such analysis may offer a potential biomarker for progression, the basis for a diagnostic tool, or further insight into the molecular mechanisms responsible. We evaluate a large archival series of Ca ex PSA cases alongside unrelated PSA using RT–polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to quantify promoter methylation in seven TSGs previously shown to be methylated in salivary and epithelial malignancies, including those of salivary origin.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case selection

The archives of the oral pathology laboratories of the Liverpool and Manchester University Hospital Dental Schools were searched using SNOMED code (M89413). Electronic databases were searched from 1993 to 2007. Diagnosis was confirmed through review of all potential H&E stained slides and cases with a demonstrable pre-existing PSA or its ‘ghost’ were included in the study (n = 24). Additional cases (n = 7) were also included in which the clinical presentation indicated Ca ex PSA: history of a long-standing stable swelling with recent rapid alteration in size and with histological confirmation of a malignant tumour, usually a high grade and often showing multiple patterns of differentiation. Presence of multiple patterns of malignant salivary gland type tumours or diverse differentiation alone was not accepted as definite evidence of Ca ex PSA. All cases were reviewed independently by two pathologists (GH, JAW). Cases of PSA were identified by database searching (SNOMED code M89400) (n = 28).

Available FFPE blocks from the selected cases were retrieved. The human tissue that formed the basis for this research was utilised under previously granted ethical approval (Central Liverpool) LREC 06/Q1505/71.

DNA extraction and bisulphite treatment

DNA extraction was undertaken using a modification of the method reported by Banerjee et al (1995) for reasons of anticipated reduced yield (complete details are available on request). The EZ DNA Methylation kit (Zymo Research Corporation, Orange, CA, USA) was used to bisulphite treat 2 μg of previously prepared DNA. The treated DNA was eluted in 50 μl of 0.1 × TE buffer.

qMSP analysis

Quantitative methylation-specific real-time polymerase chain reaction was used to determine TSG methylation in each of the samples. The gene promoters for p16/INK4A, CYGB, RASSF1, RARβ, human telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT), Wilms' tumour 1 (WT1) and TMEFF2 were included in the panel for methylation detection. The qMSP assays were designed using Primer Express 3.0 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The primer and probe sequences and PCR conditions used for these real-time assays are given in Tables 1 and 2. A total reaction volume of 25 μl in each reaction contained Taqman Universal Master Mix II (Applied Biosystems), 500 nM of each primer, 250 nM of probe and 100 ng of bisulphite-treated DNA. A separate assay utilising a methylation-independent primer/probe set on the β-actin gene (ACTB) was used to normalise for the DNA input in each sample. Real-time PCR reactions were performed on an Applied Biosystems 7500 FAST system. Serial dilutions (80–50%) of in vitro methylated (SssI) human lymphocyte DNA made in untreated lymphocyte DNA were used as a reference. ΔACT values were generated for each target after normalisation by ACTB values. The RQ values were subsequently calculated (2−ΔΔCT) and referenced to the artificially methylated samples for statistical analysis. The associations between sample methylation and tumour type (Ca ex PSA vs PSA) were tabulated, and Fisher’s exact test was used to measure their significance. Duplicate reactions were carried out for p16/INK4A, hTERT, WT1 and RASSF1. Determination of positive results following duplicate reactions for individual samples is detailed below.

### Table 1 qMSP primer and probe sequences

| Target | F sequence | R sequence | Probe sequence |
|--------|------------|------------|----------------|
| TMEFF2 | GAGAGATTAAGGGGTCTAATGTTTCTC | CCGACTACCTCCTCCTCCACGTAAA | CGAAGCAGACTAAAAAC |
| hTERT  | TTGGAGTACTGCTTGGTGTTTTCGTC | CACCCCTAAACCCGGACACGA | AGCTGATGTGGTCTCCG |
| WT1    | GAGAGATTAAGGGGTCTAATGTTTCTC | CACCCCAACTCAGAAAACG | ACTGTTCTCAGTACG |
| RARβ   | GGAGATATGGATGTCGAGAAC | CTTACAACACACCTGCGAGAAC | AGCCTTGTCGAGGT |
| RASSF1 | TATTTTCGCGGTTGGTTTGGC | CCGACCACATAAACACTGCG | AGCCATCGAGATGCT |
| CYGB   | GTGATATTGTTTGCTGGAAC | ACCCTCCCTCCCTCCGTC | TCCTGTCGATTTCGCG |
| P16/INK4A | GGAGGGGGGTTTTTTCGTTAGTATC | GAGGAGGGGGTTTTTTCGTTAGTAT | TTGGGCGGGGCGGTAG |
| Actin β| GGTTGCTGATGAGAAGAGT | TAAACCCACACACACACAAAT | TGAATGGTGAATTGTCG |

### Table 2 qMSP PCR conditions

| Target   | Melting | Annealing | Extension |
|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
| TMEFF2   | 95°C, 15 s | 58°C, 20 s | 60°C, 40 s |
| hTERT    | 95°C, 15 s | 65°C, 5 s  | 62°C, 45 s |
| WT1      | 95°C, 15 s | 62°C, 60 s | 62°C, 45 s |
| RARβ     | 95°C, 30 s | 65°C, 5 s  | 62°C, 45 s |
| RASSF1   | 95°C, 15 s | 60°C, 60 s | 62°C, 45 s |
| CYGB     | 95°C, 15 s | 64°C, 5 s  | 61°C, 45 s |
| P16/INK4A| 95°C, 15 s | 60°C, 60 s | 62°C, 45 s |
| Actin β  | 95°C, 15 s | 58°C, 20 s | 60°C, 40 s |

Abbreviations: hTERT = human telomerase reverse transcriptase; PCR = polymerase chain reaction; qMSP = quantitative methylation-specific real-time polymerase chain reaction; WT1 = Wilms’ tumour 1. Details of PCR conditions for each of the seven tumour suppressor genes analysed and for actin β.
RESULTS

A threshold of 5% methylation was used to define positive methylation above which a sample was deemed to be methylated at that particular gene promoter. This was based on our previous work for the minimum levels that are both biologically meaningful and functionally relevant in non-microdissected tissues (Shaw et al, 2006).

Duplicate experiments were undertaken for those gene promoters demonstrating methylation in this sample set and each sample was only deemed to be positive if methylation levels were above 5%. Where conflict existed between runs, the average methylation level was utilised as a final arbiter (Table 3A and B).

Promoter methylation was observed in four of the seven gene promoters included on the methylation panel (Figure 1). Methylation was demonstrated in a total of 20 out of 31 Ca ex PSA samples (64.5%) and 2 out of 28 (7.1%) PSA samples ($P < 0.001$). The hTERT, WT1 and p16$_{INK4A}$ methylation showed 12.9%, 9.7% and 12.9% sensitivity, respectively, and 100% specificity (Table 4). RAR$\beta$, CYGB and TMEFF2 methylation was not apparent in any sample (Figure 1). RASSF1 was the single gene promoter for which the presence of methylation was shown to be a statistically significant predictor of malignant disease ($P < 0.001$) with a sensitivity of 51.6% and a specificity of 92.9% (Table 4).

When aggregation of the results is undertaken, the presence of methylation above the 5% threshold in hTERT, WT1, RASSF1 and p16$_{INK4A}$ was associated with a significantly higher chance of an individual sample having malignant pathology (odds ratio: 24, 95% CI: 4.7–125, $P > 0.001$). As a panel, the sensitivity for detecting malignancy for any positive assay was 64.5% (95% CI: 45–81%) and specificity for excluding benign disease was 92.9% (95% CI: 77–99%).

To determine whether positive methylation was occurring in an interrelated manner, a goodness of fit calculation of observed vs expected methylation was undertaken. No significant concordance was apparent ($\chi^2 = 0.16, 2df; P = 0.92$).

DISCUSSION

This study provides for the first time a comparative DNA methylation profiling between Ca ex PSA and PSA. Owing to the rarity of Ca ex PSA, the investigation was based on only 31 cases of Ca ex PSA, even though the combined cases of two large British cities constituting a population of around 7 million over 14 years were used. Seven cases were included based on particular clinical history and histopathological evidence of malignancy rather than the histologically demonstrable presence of pre-existing/ghost of PSA. It is noted that these cases gave comparable results with those that did present with demonstrable PSA, which supports their inclusion in the material.

Significant tumour-specific promoter methylation (for Ca ex PSA) is apparent at RASSF1 by comparison with PSA. The remaining promoters examined here, did not display, on a single marker basis, statistically significant variation between tumour types. However, a combination of promoter type consisting of hTERT, WT1, RASSF1 and p16$_{INK4A}$ (at least one positive) demonstrated a positive predictive value for malignancy.

The concept of a CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) as described in oral squamous mucosal cancer (Shaw et al, 2007) was not apparent within this study as hypermethylation of individual promoters occurs in a non-interrelated or independent manner. It will though require a larger study incorporating a larger number of promoters to substantiate the real frequency of CIMP in this type of malignancy.

The high sensitivity (51.6%) of RASSF1 to detect malignant disease is combined with an imperfect, albeit still high specificity (92.9%). At this point, it is not clear whether this 7.1% of RASSF1 methylation-positive PSA cases represent false positives or benign lesions with an elevated potential towards malignant transition to Ca ex PSA. As none of these benign lesions were managed with observation only, their malignant potential cannot be evaluated. This possibility was alluded to by Williams et al (2006) in their description of the role of TSG hypermethylation in salivary gland tumourigenesis. This series reported 8.7% cases with methylation in benign tumours compared with 27% of malignant cases, although no data on Ca ex PSA was available. Lee et al (2008), in their comparative analysis of methylation techniques, found RASSF1 to be methylated in 35% of 69 malignant salivary tumours and 50% of Ca ex PSA, although only six cases were available.

RASSF1 (Ras association domain-containing protein 1) gene encodes a protein similar to the Ras effector proteins. The encoded protein interacts with DNA repair protein XPA and inhibits the accumulation of cyclin D1, thus inducing cell cycle arrest (Shivakumar et al, 2002). Loss or altered expression of this gene has been associated with the pathogenesis and progression of a variety of cancers (Barbee et al, 2001; Donninger et al, 2007; Buckingham et al, 2010) including oral SCC (Ghosh et al, 2008; Huang et al, 2009).

There are no reported studies exploring quantitative methylation of p16$_{INK4A}$ in salivary malignancy, which is surprising as it appears to be implicated in a wide variety of cancer types, often offering a high degree of specificity. We have previously shown that p16$_{INK4A}$ is hypermethylated in 28% of oral squamous cell carcinomas (OSCCs) compared with 4% of unaffected margins (Shaw et al, 2006). In addition, p16$_{INK4A}$ promoter methylation was detected in 57% of repair epithelial dysplasias undergoing malignancy in comparison with 8% of those which did not transform (Hall et al, 2008). In this study, p16$_{INK4A}$ offered 100% specificity and 12.9% sensitivity in determining Ca ex PSA from PSA. A previous study reported p16$_{INK4A}$ hypermethylation in 14% of a series of 28 PSA and 100% in five salivary carcinomas, one of which was Ca ex PSA (Augello et al, 2006). This study used a non-quantitative MSP approach, most probably reflecting the higher rate of positives. The need for quantitative assays in the determination of clinically meaningful threshold values is widely accepted to date.

Human telomerase reverse transcriptase is a component of the telomerase ribonucleoprotein complex and is tightly regulated, such that it is not normally detectable in the majority of somatic cells. Almost all human cancer cells cells undergo malignant transformation while most normal cells do not, the hTERT promoter has been characterised as a molecular switch for the selective expression of target genes in tumour cells (Takakura et al, 1999). Within our cohort of salivary tumours, hTERT promoter methylation was apparent in only 12.9% of Ca ex PSA and absent in PSA. Previous reference to hTERT activity in head and neck cancer has been with respect to OSCC and related mucosal dysplasia. In particular, using RT–PCR expression analysis, Pannone et al (2007) found 66% of OSCC tumours to be overexpressing hTERT by comparison with normal tissues. The hTERT promoter hypermethylation has been implicated in cervical cancer progression while not correlating with down-regulation hTERT expression (Widschwendter et al, 2006). In this study, hTERT promoter methylation was postulated to methyl-CpG-binding domain proteins aberrations may be responsible for this paradox (Chatagnon et al, 2009).

Wilms’ tumour 1 gene encodes for a protein essential for normal urogenital development, but it has been shown to be overexpressed in several epithelial tumours and tumour cell lines including head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (Oji et al, 1999, 2003). Abnormal expression of WT1 was shown in 7% of benign and 31% of malignant salivary tumours (Nagel et al, 2003), none of which were Ca ex PSA, while expression was not detected in normal adjacent salivary tissue. Conversely, WT1 gene hypermethylation has been observed in cervical and colorectal cancer and has been shown to correlate with reduced WT1 expression in ovarian clear cell carcinoma (Kaneuchi et al, 2005; Lai et al, 2008).
Furthermore, our preliminary methylation array analysis on oral SCC has identified WT1 among potential prognostic biomarkers (unpublished data). Thus, the control of the WT1 gene may differ in cancers from different sites. In the present investigation, analysis of WT1 promoter methylation failed to demonstrate methylation in any PSA samples and <10% of Ca ex PSA samples.

| Sample | hTERT | WT1 | RASSF1 | p16 | RARβ | CYGB | TMEFF2 |
|--------|-------|-----|--------|-----|------|------|--------|
|        | ΔΔCT  | RQ  | ΔΔCT  | RQ  | ΔΔCT | RQ  | ΔΔCT  | RQ  | ΔΔCT  | RQ  | ΔΔCT  | RQ  | ΔΔCT  | RQ  |
| % Control | 5.6 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 1.0 |
| 7.9 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 1.0 | 5.2 | 1.0 | 5.7 | 1.0 | 9.5 | 1.0 | 4.5 | 1.0 | 6.2 | 1.0 |

(A) Ca ex PSA

(B) PSA

Abbreviations: Ca ex PSA = carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma; hTERT = human telomerase reverse transcriptase; PSA = pleomorphic salivary adenoma; qMSP = quantitative methylation-specific real-time polymerase chain reaction; WT1 = Wilms’ tumour 1. qMSP results for each sample by tumour suppressor gene. Each result is referenced to the artificially 5% methylation control sample (RQ = 2^(-ΔΔCT)).
were methylated at this promoter. The utility of this biomarker in salivary cancer is thus limited to its use in a panel.

Our investigation further demonstrates the ability to gain quantitative methylation data from archival samples. Despite the acknowledged limitations in DNA quality from archival material, qMSP successfully provided reliable and reproducible results across several assays.

Most individuals presenting with PSA are advised to undergo resection of the tumour to exclude the relative risk of malignant transformation. A marker for malignant progression to Ca ex PSA from PSA would be of significant benefit to these individuals as any risk would, therefore, become quantifiable.

At present, fine needle aspirate cytology (FNAC) is a major component in the diagnosis of salivary tumours, particularly those arising in the parotid gland. Klijianenko et al. (1999) explored the diagnostic pitfalls and considerations of this technique in Ca ex PSA and found that although it was accurate in diagnosis of histologically high-grade Ca ex PSA, sensitivity and specificity fell in lower-grade Ca ex PSA. To avoid these diagnostic difficulties, it is possible to envisage a panel of genes applied as a useful adjunct to such FNAC samples or equally to core biopsies, which have been impossible to diagnose or grade on histological grounds alone. The results of this study highlight significant differences in methylation between the benign and malignant tumour type and a broader array of validated methylation biomarkers might constitute a valuable diagnostic tool.

With less constrained resources, in particular original sample DNA, innovative techniques such as methylation microarray technology could be used to highlight further targets worthy of investigation and validation as part of a more extensive panel of TSGs. It is, however, unlikely that a comprehensive and specific methylation panel could ever be economically validated for such a rare tumour site such as Ca ex PSA; however, research in more common tumour sites may add significantly to this process. As an example, the impressive sensitivity and specificity of GSTP1 methylation in prostate adenocarcinoma (Jeronimo et al., 2001) has led to a variety of studies in its clinical use.

In common with other clinical sites, the management of benign salivary neoplasms includes complex surgery in an attempt to avoid the unpredictable risks of future malignant transformation.

Figure 1  qMSP results. Representation of qMSP data for Ca ex PSA (left) and PSA (right) samples. ■ Promoter methylation positive; □ Promoter methylation negative (at 5% methylation threshold). Data from hTERT, WT1, RASSF1 and p16 data is from duplicate experiments.

Table 4  Performance of single promoter methylation as biomarkers of malignant transformation of PSA to Ca ex PSA

| Gene    | Sensitivity (%) | Specificity (%) | P Fisher's exact |
|---------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|
| hTERT   | 12.9           | 100            | 0.11            |
| WT1     | 9.7            | 100            | 0.24            |
| RASSF1  | 51.6           | 92.9           | <0.001          |
| p16     | 12.9           | 100            | 0.11            |
| RARα    | 0              | 100            | —               |
| CYGB    | 0              | 100            | —               |
| TMEFF2  | 0              | 100            | —               |

Abbreviations: Ca ex PSA = carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma; hTERT = human telomerase reverse transcriptase; PSA = pleomorphic salivary adenoma; WT1 = Wilms’ tumour 1. Sensitivity and specificity for each tumour suppressor gene as a test for malignancy in a sample.
It is hoped that the accumulation and validation of data such as is presented here might allow a more conservative approach in the future. Such validation of a methylation biomarker panel may, therefore, have diagnostic, prognostic and therapeutic importance in the management of both the benign and malignant variants of this salivary tumour.

REFERENCES

Augello G, Gregorio V, Bazon V, Cammareri P, Agnese V, Cascio S, Corsale S, Calo V, Guillo A, Passantino R, Gargano G, Bruno L, Rinaldi G, Morello V, Gerbino A, Tomasinio RM, Macaluso M, Sumbacz E, Russo A (2006) TP53 and p16INK4A, but not H-Ki-Ras, are involved in tumorigenesis and progression of pleomorphic adenomas. J Cell Pathol 207: 654 – 659

Banerjee SK, Makdisi WF, Weston AP, Mitchell SM, Campbell DR (1995) Microwave-based DNA extraction from paraffin-embedded tissue for PCR amplification. Biotechniques 18: 768 – 770, 772 – 773

Buckingham L, Penfield Faber L, Kim A, Liptay M, Barger C, Basu S, Fidler M, Walters K, Bonomi P, Coon J (2010) VTN, RASSF1 and DAPK site-specific hypermethylation and outcome in surgically treated stage I and II nonsmall cell lung cancer patients. Int J Cancer 126: 1630 – 1639

Burbee DG, Forgacs E, Zochbauer-Muller S, Shivaikumar L, Fong K, Gao B, Randle D, Kondo M, Virmani A, Bader S, Sekido Y, Latif F, Milchgrub S, Toyooka S, Gazdar AF, Lerman MI, Zabarovsky E, White M, Minna JD (2001) Epigenetic inactivation of RASSF1A in lung and breast cancers and human papillomavirus-induced suppression. J Natl Cancer Inst 93: 691 – 699

Chatagnon A, Bougel S, Perriaud L, Lachuer J, Benhattar J, Dante R (2009) Analysis of Mcm-2, Ki-67 and geminin in benign and malignant salivary neoplasms: molecular markers of potential histogenetic and clinical significance. J Mol Diagn 11: 180 – 190

Chatagnon A, Bougel S, Perriaud L, Lachuer J, Benhattar J, Dante R (2009) Specific association between the methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 2 and the hypermethylated region of the human telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter in cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 30: 28 – 34

Donninger H, Vos MD, Clark GJ (2007) The RASSF1A tumor suppressor. J Cell Sci 120: 3163 – 3172

Ellis GL, Auclair PL (1996) Malignant epithelial tumours. In Atlas of Tumour Pathology, Ellis GL, Auclair PL (eds) pp 155 – 373. Armed Forces Institute of Pathology: Washington, DC

Eneroth CM, Zetterberg A (1974) Malignancy in pleomorphic adenoma. Acta Otolaryngol 77: 426 – 432

Gaspari G, Ghiso A, Matti GP, Alam N, Roy A, Roy B, Roychoudhury S, Panda CK (2008) Alterations of 3p21.31 tumor suppressor genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: correlation with progression and prognosis. Int J Cancer 123: 2594 – 2604

Gnepp W (1991) Malignant mixed tumours. In Surgical Pathology of the Salivary Glands, Ellis GL, Auclair PL, Gnepp DR (eds) pp 350 – 368. Saunders: Philadelphia

Hall GL, Shaw RJ, Field EA, Rogers SN, Sutton DN, Woollgar JA, Lowe D, Liloglou T, Field JK, Risk JM (2008) p16 Promoter methylation is a potential predictor of malignant transformation in oral epithelial dysplasia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 17: 2174 – 2179

Kaneuchi M, Sasaki M, Tanaka Y, Shibukawa H, Yamada H, Yamamoto R, Klijanienko J, El-Naggar AK, Vielh P (2009) Fine-needle sampling findings in adenoid cystic carcinoma and the hypermethylated region of the human telomerase reverse transcriptase promoter in cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 30: 28 – 34

Klijanienko J, El-Naggar AK, Vieil P (1999) Fine-needle sampling findings in 26 carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenomas: diagnostic pitfalls and clinical considerations. Diagn Cytopathol 21: 163 – 166

Kumari A, Srivastava R, Vasishta RK, Wig JD (2009) Positive regulation of human telomerase reverse transcriptase gene expression and telomerase activity by DNA methylation in pancreatic cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 16: 1051 – 1059

Lee ES, Issa JP, Roberts DB, Williams MD, Weber RS, Kies MS, El-Naggar AK (2008) Quantitative promoter hypermethylation analysis of cancer-related genes in salivary gland carcinomas: comparison with methylation-specific PCR technique and clinical significance. Clin Cancer Res 14: 2664 – 2672

Ledford, Randle D, Kondo M, Virmani A, Zhao A, Kubo T, Sugiyama H (2003) Overexpression of the Wilms' tumor gene WT1 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Sci 94: 523 – 529

Maruya S, Kurotaki H, Shimoyama N, Kaimori M, Shinkawa H, Yagisashi S (2003) Expression of p16 protein and hypermethylation status of its promoter gene in adenoid cystic carcinoma of the head and neck. ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec 65: 26 – 32

Oji Y, Inohara H, Nakazawa M, Nakano Y, Akahani S, Nakatsuma S, Koga S, Ikekba A, Abeno S, Honjo Y, Yamamoto Y, Iwai S, Yoshida K, Oka Y, Ogawa H, Yoshida J, Aozasa K, Kubo T, Sugiyama H (2003) Overexpression of the Wilms' tumor gene WT1 in solid and malignant neoplasms of the salivary glands. Mol Pathol 56: 226 – 231

Oji Y, Inohara H, Nakazawa M, Nakano Y, Akahani S, Nakatsuma S, Koga S, Ikeba A, Abeno S, Honjo Y, Yamamoto Y, Iwai S, Yoshida K, Oka Y, Ogawa H, Yoshida J, Aozasa K, Kubo T, Sugiyama H (2003) Overexpression of the Wilms' tumor gene WT1 in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Cancer Sci 94: 523 – 529

Panda CK (2008) Alterations of 3p21.31 tumor suppressor genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: correlation with progression and prognosis. Int J Cancer 123: 2594 – 2604

Pannone G, De Maria S, Zamparese R, Metafora S, Serpico R, Morelli F, Rubini C, Farina E, Carteni M, Staubano S, De Rosa G, Lo Muzio L, Bufo P (2007) Prognostic value of human telomerase reverse transcriptase gene expression in oral carcinogenesis. Int J Oncol 30: 1349 – 1357

Patel RS, Rose B, Bawdon H, Hong A, Lee CS, Fredericks S, Gao K, O'Brien CJ (2007) Cyclin D1 and p16 expression in pleomorphic adenoma and carcinoma ex pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland. Histopathology 51: 691 – 696

Shaw RJ, Hall GL, Lowe D, Bowers NL, Liloglou T, Field JK, Woollgar JA, Risk JM (2007) PCp island methylation phenotype (CIpM) in oral cancer: associated with a marked inflammatory response and less aggressive tumour biology. Oral Oncol 43: 878 – 886

Sidransky D (2001) Quantitation of GSTP1 methylation in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 10: 651 – 658

Shivakumar L, Minna J, Sakamaki T, Pestell R, White MA (2002) The promoter gene in adenoid cystic carcinoma: correlation with progression and prognosis. Int J Cancer 123: 2594 – 2604

Sidransky D (2001) Quantitation of GSTP1 methylation in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 10: 651 – 658

Shaw RJ, Liloglou T, Rogers SN, Brown JS, Vaughan ED, Lowe D, Field JK, Risk JM (2006) Promoter methylation of P16, RARbeta, E-cadherin, p16INK4A, and p14ARF is associated with poor prognosis. J Natl Cancer Inst 98: 194 – 204

Shivakumar L, Minna J, Sakamaki T, Pestell R, White MA (2002) The RASSF1A tumor suppressor blocks cell cycle progression and inhibits cyclin D1 accumulation. Mol Cell Biol 22: 4309 – 4318

Takahira Y, Kyo S, Kanaya T, Hirano H, Takeda Y, Yutsudo M, Inoue M (1999) Cloning of human telomerase catalytic subunit (hTERT) gene promoter and identification of potential core promoter sequences essential for transcriptional activation in immortalized and cancer cells. Cancer Res 59: 551 – 557

Vargas PA, Cheng Y, Barrett AW, Craig GT, Speight PM (2008) Expression of Mcm-2, Ki-67 and geminin in benign and malignant salivary gland tumours. J Oral Pathol Med 37: 309 – 318

Widenschwender A, Muller HM, Hubalek MM, Wiedemair A, Fiegl H, Goebel G, Mueller-Holzner E, Marth C, Widenschwender M (2004) Methylation status and expression of human telomerase reverse transcriptase in ovarian and cervical cancer. Gynecol Oncol 93: 407 – 416

Williams MD, Chakravarti N, Kies MS, Maruya S, Myers JN, Haviland JC, Weber RS, Lotan R, El-Naggar AK (2006) Implications of methylation patterns of cancer genes in salivary gland tumors. Clin Cancer Res 12: 7533 – 7538