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Abstract: In this paper we quantify and attribute by inspection the constituent elements of the power intensity radiant flux transmission for the atmosphere of the Earth, as recorded in the following two published sources; Oklahoma Climatological Survey and Kiehl and Trenberth. The purpose of our analysis is to establish the common elements of the approach used in the formulation of these works, and to conduct an assessment of the two approaches by establishing a common format for their comparison. By applying the standard analysis of a geometric infinite series feed-back loop to an equipartition (half up and half down) diabatic distribution used for the atmospheric radiant flux to all elements of the climate model; our analysis establishes the relative roles of radiant and mass-motion carried energy fluxes that are implicitly used by the authors in their respective analyses. Having established the key controls on energy flux within each model, we then conduct for the canonical model a series of “what-if” scenarios to establish the limits of temperature rise that can be achieved for specific variations in the controls used to calculate the global average temperature. Our analysis establishes that, for the current insolation and Bond albedo, the maximum temperature that can be achieved for a thermally radiant opaque atmosphere is a rise to 29°C. This global average temperature is achieved by a total blocking of the surface-to-space atmospheric window. In order to raise the global average atmospheric temperature to the expected value of 36°C for a putative Cretaceous hothouse world, it is therefore necessary to reduce the planetary Bond albedo. The lack of continental icecaps, and the presence of flooded continental shelves with epeiric seas in a global eustatic high stand sea level, is invoked as an explanation to support the modelling concept of a reduced global Bond albedo during the Cretaceous period. The geological evidence for this supposition is mentioned with reference to published sources.

Keywords: Radiation Budget, Climate Model, Atmospheric Window, Bond Albedo, Cretaceous Hothouse World

1. Introduction

In this paper we quantify and attribute by inspection the constituent elements of the power intensity radiant flux transmission recorded in two published sources; Oklahoma Climatological Survey [1] and the canonical paper Kiehl and Trenberth [2].

The following two figures, showing the principal features of the Earth’s Energy Budget, were published in 1997 by the Oklahoma Climatological Survey (hereafter OK First), and are reproduced here with kind permission [1].

Both of these diagrams when combined provide detailed energy budget information for the Earth’s climate; however, their parameters are recorded as percentages of solar illumination at the top of the atmosphere (TOA). Neither diagram published by OK-First records the actual values of solar power intensity, nor is it demonstrated how they can be used to estimate the global average temperature for the surface of the Earth, something that has been shown by us to be achievable using basic climate budget data [3].

A number of assumptions must be made in order to understand how Figures 1 and 2 can be used to estimate the average global temperature of the Earth under an expected solar illumination radiant power intensity of *1368 W/m²*, and the albedo of 0.30 used in Figure 1. *N. B. The standard NASA Earth irradiance is 1361 W/m² and the Bond albedo is 0.306 [4]. However, in 1997 the solar irradiance used was 1368 W/m², and so this value is used here to give the most appropriate match to this historic paper [2].
2. Methodology

Perhaps the most fundamental issue at the heart of climate modelling is the use of the power intensity illumination divisor of integer 4. This number, derived from spherical geometry, is present in the vacuum planet equation (Equation 1), and is used as the foundation principle of climate science. From Sagan and Chyba [5]:

“The equilibrium temperature $T_e$ of an airless, rapidly rotating planet is:

$$T_e \equiv \left[ S \pi R^2 (1-A)/4 \pi R^2 \sigma \right]^{1/4}$$ (1)

where $\sigma$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann Constant, $\varepsilon$ the effective surface emissivity, $A$ the wavelength-integrated Bond albedo, $R$ the planet's radius (in metres), and $S$ the solar constant (in Watts/m²) at the planet's average distance from the sun.”

It is clear by inspection of the canonical energy budget diagram (Figure 3) that the insolation at the Top of the Atmosphere (TOA) is 342 W/m². This value is one quarter of the radiant beam power intensity that the globe cuts out from the solar illumination at the Earth’s average planetary orbital distance from the Sun [4].

In order to directly compare the analysis of OK First, where the Incoming Solar Radiation (Insolation) is recorded in percentages, with the canonical analysis; we must therefore apply the same logic, and use an insolation diluted by a factor of 4 to convert the recorded percentages in Figures 1 and 2 to power intensity flux in Watts/m².

In their percentage analysis of the global energy budget Figure 1 shows that 30% of the insolation is bypassed via albedo loss, and so only 70% of the power intensity is available to heat the planet [1]. If we now apply the standard
divide by 4 spherical geometry rule to the expected (but not yet confirmed) solar irradiance of 1368 W/m², then the post-albedo power intensity value will be 235 W/m².

However, because the percentages relate to the unfiltered TOA power intensity, it follows that the power intensity values in the OK-First diagrams are percentages of the assumed (but not yet confirmed) pre-albedo value of 342 W/m², and so it is this power intensity number that must be used. By this means consistency in both percentages and also power intensity values will be maintained throughout the OK-First diagrams, the elements of which are presented below in Table 1.

**Table 1. Earth’s Energy Budget as recorded in OK-First [1].**

| Items recorded as percentages of the intercepted solar beam | Insolation | Albedo bypass losses (Figure 1) | Absorbed Insolation (Figure 1) | Emitted by Surface (Figure 2) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Figure 1  
Incoming Solar Radiation | 100%       |                                 |                               |                               |
| Scattered Upward by Air | 6%         |                                 |                               |                               |
| Reflected Upward by Clouds | 20%        |                                 |                               |                               |
| Insolation Absorbed by Gases and Dust | 4%         |                                 |                               |                               |
| Insolation Absorbed by Clouds | 2%         |                                 |                               |                               |
| Absorbed at Surface (Direct and Indirect) | 16%        |                                 |                               |                               |
| Surface radiation (part absorbed by air) | 21%        |                                 |                               |                               |
| Surface Sensible Heat Flux | 7%         |                                 |                               |                               |
| Surface Latent Heat Flux | 23%        |                                 |                               |                               |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss) | 6%         |                                 |                               |                               |
| Emission by Atmosphere (Implied Value) | 41%        |                                 |                               |                               |
| Emission by Clouds (Latent Heat Flux) | 23%        |                                 |                               |                               |
| Totals | 100%       | 30%                             | 70%                           | 51%                           |

**Table 1. Continued.**

| Items recorded as percentages of the intercepted solar beam | Air Absorption (Incoming and Outgoing) | Infinite Recycled Limit | Energy Lost to Space (Concept) | Thermal Radiant Loss to Space (Figure 2) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| Figure 1  
Incoming Solar Radiation |                                        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Scattered Upward by Air |                                        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Reflected Upward by Clouds |                                        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Reflected Upward by Surface |                                        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Insolation Absorbed by Gases and Dust | 16%       |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Insolation Absorbed by Clouds | 3%        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Absorbed at Surface (Direct and Indirect) | 15%        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Surface radiation (part absorbed by air) | 7%         |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Surface Sensible Heat Flux |                                        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Surface Latent Heat Flux | 23%        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss) |                                        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Emission by Atmosphere (Implied Value) |                                        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Emission by Clouds (Latent Heat Flux) |                                        |                         |                                 |                                           |
| Totals |                                        | 64%                    |                                 |                                           |

The next assumption we must make is that the standard partition of energy by the atmosphere is being applied. The standard assumption is that for all energy fluxes intercepted by the atmosphere, half of the flux is directed upwards and lost to space, and half of all captured flux is returned to the surface as back radiation and recycled. This concept is shown in figure 4 (reproduced here with kind permission) and is also used in the canonical model [6, 7].

**Figure 4. Equipartition of energy flux by the Atmospheric layer [6].**
Because the intercepted energy flux is being recycled this feed-back loop is an endless sum of halves of halves. It has the mathematical form of a geometric series, and is a sum of the descending fractions in the power sequence $2^{-n}$, where minus n is a continuous sequence of natural numbers ranging from zero to infinity.

Equation 2: \[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8} + \cdots + 2^{-n} = 1\] (2)

Equation 2 describes the cumulative effect of the feed-back loop (after an infinite series of additions), where for each turn of the cycle, half the ascending energy flux is passed out to space and lost, and the other half is returned back to the ground surface and then re-emitted [7]. It is a feature of this form of an infinite series that the sum of the series is not itself an infinite number, but in this case the limit is the finite natural number 1. As a direct consequence of applying Equation 2 to the OK-First atmospheric model we must double the energy flux within the atmosphere, because the atmosphere retains and stores an energy flux equal to that of the total intercepted flux. When we apply the logic of the 50%: 50% atmospheric energy flux partition to the OK-First analysis, then we are able to create the following table of percentage atmospheric energy recycling (Table 2).

### Table 2. Earth’s Energy Budget (OK-First) including the elements of the atmospheric recycling process [1].

| Items recorded as percentages of the intercepted solar beam | Insolation | Albedo bypass losses (Figure 1) | Absorbed Insolation (Figure 1) | Emitted by Surface (Figure 2) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| **Figure 1**                                               |            |                               |                                |                              |
| Incoming Solar Radiation                                   | 100%       |                               |                                |                              |
| Scattered Upward by Air                                    | 6%         |                               |                                |                              |
| Reflected Upward by Clouds                                 | 20%        |                               |                                |                              |
| Reflected Upward by Surface                                 | 4%         |                               |                                |                              |
| Insolation Absorbed by Gases and Dust                       | 16%        |                               |                                |                              |
| Insolation Absorbed by Clouds                              | 3%         |                               |                                |                              |
| Absorbed at Surface (Direct and Indirect)                  | 51%        |                               |                                |                              |
| Surface radiation (part absorbed by air)                   | 21%        |                               |                                |                              |
| Surface Sensible Heat Flux                                 | 7%         |                               |                                |                              |
| Surface Latent Heat Flux                                   | 23%        |                               |                                |                              |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss)              | 21%        |                               |                                |                              |
| Emission by Clouds (Implied Value)                         | 7%         |                               |                                |                              |
| Emission by Clouds (Latent Heat Flux)                      | 23%        |                               |                                |                              |
| **Figure 2**                                               |            |                               |                                |                              |
| Totals                                                     | 100%       | 30%                           | 70%                            | 51%                          |

**Table 2. Continued.**

| Items recorded as percentages of the intercepted solar beam | Air Absorption (Incoming and Outgoing) | Infinite Recycled Limit | Energy Lost to Space (Concept) | Thermal Radiant Loss to Space (Figure 2) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------------|
| **Figure 1**                                               |                                       |                          |                                |                                          |
| Incoming Solar Radiation                                   |                                       |                          |                                |                                          |
| Scattered Upward by Air                                    |                                       |                          |                                |                                          |
| Reflected Upward by Clouds                                 |                                       |                          |                                |                                          |
| Reflected Upward by Surface                                 |                                       |                          |                                |                                          |
| Insolation Absorbed by Gases and Dust                       | 16%                                   | 16%                      | 16%                            |                                          |
| Insolation Absorbed by Clouds                              | 3%                                    | 3%                       | 3%                             |                                          |
| Absorbed at Surface (Direct and Indirect)                  |                                       |                          |                                |                                          |
| Surface radiation (part absorbed by air)                   | 15%                                   | 15%                      | 15%                            |                                          |
| Surface Sensible Heat Flux                                 | 7%                                    | 7%                       | 7%                             |                                          |
| Surface Latent Heat Flux                                   | 23%                                   | 23%                      | 23%                            |                                          |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss)              |                                       |                          |                                |                                          |
| Emission by Atmosphere (Implied Value)                      |                                       |                          | 6%                             | 41%                                      |
| Emission by Clouds (Latent Heat Flux)                       |                                       |                          | 6%                             | 23%                                      |
| **Figure 2**                                               |                                       |                          |                                |                                          |
| Totals                                                     |                                       |                          | 64%                            | 64%                                      |

Table 2 demonstrates that the power intensity experienced by the atmosphere is composed of two components, the 64% that is absorbed by the air, and the 64% that derives from the infinite recycling process. To this 128% capture of the incoming solar beam (pre-albedo measure) there is in addition the power intensity flux emitted by the surface, and directly attributable to the high frequency insolation that impinges on it, which adds another 51% to the planetary energy budget. This means that the total power intensity flux that drives the Earth’s climate is 179% of the pre-albedo TOA insolation according to our assessment of the OK-First diagram.

In addition, for Table 2 we propose that the column for the energy lost to space in Figure 2 can be replaced with a new concept column that lists the constituent elements of the recycling process. These elements are allocated in a way that preserves their relative roles and sums to the expected exhaust-to-space flux of 70%.

For the next stage of the analysis we now apply an identical process of deconstruction to the accepted diagram of Kiehl and Trenberth, with its recorded power intensity values (Figure 3), and compare this with the atmospheric
absorption elements as listed in Figures 1 and 2 [1].

**Table 3. Earth’s Annual Global Mean Energy Budget including the elements of the atmospheric recycling process.** [2]

| Items recorded in W/m² | Insolation | Albedo bypass losses | Absorbed Insolation | Emitted by surface (Losses) |
|------------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Incoming Solar Radiation | 342.00     |                      |                     |                             |
| Reflected by Clouds, Aerosol and Atmosphere |             |                      |                     |                             |
| Reflected by Surface |            |                      |                     |                             |
| Insolation Absorbed by Atmosphere |            |                      |                     |                             |
| Insolation Absorbed by Surface | 30.00     |                      | 67.00               |                             |
| Surface Radiation (part absorbed by air) | 26.00      |                      |                     |                             |
| Surface Thermals |            |                      | 24.00               |                             |
| Surface Evaporation |            |                      | 78.00               |                             |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss) | 40.00      |                      |                     |                             |
| Emission by Atmosphere |            |                      |                     |                             |
| Emission by Clouds |            |                      |                     |                             |
| Totals | 342.00 | 107.00 | 235.00 | 168.00 |

**Table 3. Continued.**

| Items recorded in W/m² | Air Absorption (Incoming and Outgoing) | Infinite Recycled Limit | Energy Lost to Space (Concept) | Energy Lost to Space (Diagram) |
|------------------------|----------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Incoming Solar Radiation |                                       |                         |                                |                               |
| Reflected by Clouds, Aerosol and Atmosphere |            |                      |                                |                               |
| Reflected by Surface |            |                      |                                |                               |
| Insolation Absorbed by Atmosphere |            |                      | 67.00                          | 67.00                          |
| Insolation Absorbed by Surface | 24.00     |                      | 24.00                          | 24.00                          |
| Surface Radiation (part absorbed by air) | 26.00      |                      | 26.00                          | 26.00                          |
| Surface Thermals |            |                      | 24.00                          | 24.00                          |
| Surface Evaporation |            |                      | 78.00                          | 78.00                          |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss) | 40.00      |                      | 40.00                          | 40.00                          |
| Emission by Atmosphere |            |                      | 165.00                         | 165.00                         |
| Emission by Clouds |            |                      | 30.00                          | 30.00                          |
| Totals | 195.00 | 195.00 | 235.00 | 235.00 |

Table 3 demonstrates that the total power intensity flux absorbed by the atmosphere in the Kiehl and Trenberth diagram is 195 W/m², and that this power intensity is then doubled to 390 W/m² by the process of atmospheric recycling, which includes recycling of both the thermals and also evaporation energy fluxes.

As was shown in Table 2 we propose that the column for the energy lost to space in Figure 3 can be replaced with a new concept column that lists the constituent elements of the recycling process. As before, these elements are allocated in a way that preserves their relative roles and sums to the expected exhaust-to-space flux of 235 W/m² [2].

Table 4 below demonstrates that the total energy budget for the Earth is 558 W/m². The constituent elements of this sum are the 168 W/m² of surface intercepted insolation; to this must be added the intercepted and recycled atmospheric flux of 390 W/m² (that contains the direct atmospheric solar interception of 67 W/m²) to give a planetary energy budget of 558 W/m².

By using the standard Stefan-Boltzmann relationship (Equation 3) this captured power intensity can be converted to a thermodynamic temperature

\[
T = (j^*/\sigma)^{0.25} \tag{3}
\]

Where \(j^*\) is the black body radiant emittance in Watts per square metre and \(\sigma\) is the Stefan-Boltzmann Constant. Then the total planetary energy budget of 558 W/m² converts to a thermodynamic temperature for the Earth’s surface of 315 Kelvin (42° Celsius) (Table 4).

However, in the energy budget analysis the surface fluxes of:
1. Net Surface Longwave Radiation (390 - 324 = 66 W/m²)
2. Ascending Atmospheric Thermals (24 W/m²)
3. Evaporation - both open water surfaces and living plants (78 Wm²)

are all losses that create surface cooling, and so combine to reduce the expected Surface Radiation flux to 390 W/m² after these total losses are applied.

Using Equation 3 to convert irradiance power intensity to thermodynamic temperature, the average temperature of the Earth’s atmosphere for a net atmospheric power intensity flux of 390 W/m² is shown to be 288 Kelvin (15° Celsius) (Table 4).

**Table 4. Key Energy Budget Metrics** [2].

| Key Energy Budget Metrics | From Figure 3: Kiehl and Trenberth [2] |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|
|                           | Power Intensity % of Unfiltered Sunlight | Power Intensity W/m² | System Gain by Component w.r.t. 235 W/m² | Temperature Kelvin | Temperature Celsius |
| Raw Planet Filtered Insolation | 68.71% | 235.00 | 254 | -19 |
| Raw Surface Absorbed Insolation | 49.12% | 168.00 | 233 | -40 |
### 3. Results

Having established that the canonical model incorporates a process of equipartition flux recycling in the atmosphere, and that this recycling applies to both the radiant energy flux and also to the air and water mass motion fluxes, we now apply the same process of analysis to the OK First diagrams.

#### Table 5. Suggested Earth Insolation Metrics for OK-First diagram.

| Earth Insolation Metrics [2] | Earth’s Solar Irradiance W/m² | Divide by 4 Geometry Rule W/m² |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                             | 1368.00                     | 342.00                        |

#### Table 6. Earth’s Energy Budget (inferred from OK-First [1]). Items recorded in W/m² relative to the intercepted solar beam.

| Adapted from Figures 1 and 2: OK-First [1] Items recorded in W/m² | Insolation | Albedo bypass losses (Figure 1) | Absorbed Insolation (Figure 1) | Emitted by Surface (Figure 2) |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Figure 1                                                     | 342.00     | 20.52                           | 54.72                         | 71.82                         |
| Incoming Solar Radiation                                     |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Scattered Upward by Air                                      |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Reflected Upward by Surface                                  |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Insolation Absorbed by Gases and Dust                        |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Insolation Absorbed by Clouds                                |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Absorbed at Surface (Direct and Indirect)                    |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Surface radiation (part absorbed by air)                     |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Surface Sensible Heat Flux                                    |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Surface Latent Heat Flux                                      |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss)               |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Emission by Atmosphere (Implied Value)                       |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Emission by Clouds (Latent Heat Flux)                        |            |                                 |                               |                               |
| Totals                                                       | 342.00     | 102.60                          | 239.40                        | 174.42                        |

#### Table 6. Continued.

| Adapted from Figures 1 and 2: OK-First [1] Items recorded in W/m² | Air Absorption (Incoming & Outgoing) | Infinite Recycled Limit | Energy Lost to Space (Concept) | IR Lost to Space (Figure 2) |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Figure 1                                                         | 54.72                               | 54.72                   | 54.72                          | 20.52                        |
| Incoming Solar Radiation                                         |                                     |                         |                                |                              |
| Scattered Upward by Air                                          |                                     |                         |                                |                              |
| Reflected Upward by Clouds                                       |                                     |                         |                                |                              |
| Insolation Absorbed by Gases and Dust                            | 54.72                               | 54.72                   | 54.72                          |                              |
| Insolation Absorbed by Clouds                                    | 10.26                               | 10.26                   | 10.26                          |                              |
| Absorbed at Surface (Direct and Indirect)                        |                                     |                         |                                |                              |
| Surface radiation (part absorbed by air)                         | 51.30                               | 51.30                   | 51.30                          |                              |
| Surface Sensible Heat Flux                                       | 23.94                               | 23.94                   | 23.94                          |                              |
| Surface Latent Heat Flux                                         | 78.66                               | 78.66                   | 78.66                          |                              |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss)                   |                                     |                         |                                |                              |
| Emission by Atmosphere (Implied Value)                           |                                     |                         |                                |                              |
| Emission by Clouds (Latent Heat Flux)                            | 218.88                              | 218.88                  | 239.40                         | 239.40                       |
| Totals                                                           | 218.88                              | 218.88                  | 239.40                         | 239.40                       |
Table 7. Key Energy Budget Metrics (inferred from OK-First [1]).

| Key Energy Budget Metrics                           | From Figures 1 and 2: OK-First [1] |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                                                     | Power Intensity % | Power Intensity W/m² | Temperature Kelvin | Temperature Celsius |
| Raw Planet Filtered Insolation                       | 70%               | 239.40               | 255               | -18                |
| Raw Surface Absorbed Insolation                      | 51%               | 174.42               | 236               | -37                |
| Air Absorption (Incoming and Outgoing)               | 64%               | 218.88               |                   |                    |
| Recycled Atmospheric Energy                          | 64%               | 218.88               |                   |                    |
| Enhanced Surface Power Intensity                     | 179%              | 612.18               | 322               | 49                 |
| 1. Surface Longwave Radiation (Loss)                 | 21%               | 71.82                |                   |                    |
| 2. Surface Sensible Heat (Loss)                      | 7%                | 23.94                |                   |                    |
| 3. Surface Evaporation (Loss)                        | 23%               | 78.66                |                   |                    |
| Surface Radiant Power Intensity                      | 128%              | 437.76               | 296               | 23                 |
| Top of Atmosphere Radiant Exhaust                    | 70%               | 239.40               | 255               | -18                |

The global average surface temperature of 23°C calculated using the OK-First data is higher than that calculated by Kiehl and Trenberth [1, 2]. This temperature difference arises from a number of possible causes:

1. The OK-First model is using a lower Bond albedo.
2. The solar irradiance used by OK-First for the calculation of percentages is unknown but assumed to be the same number as that used by Kiehl and Trenberth.
3. The balance of energy partition fluxes within the OK-First model is different from the canonical model, and this is the most likely cause of the bias towards the calculated higher global average temperature.

4. Discussion

Kiehl and Trenberth and OK-First both use identical concepts in the formation of their global energy budget diagrams. However, both originators present their results in ways that do not clearly demonstrate the commonality or the rigor of the concepts they used.

To clarify this point, we have created an alternative diagram based on Table 4 and using the data of the canonical model in which the role of the atmosphere as an energy recycling reservoir is demonstrated. The key components of figure 5 are that for each flux captured by the atmosphere, an additional and equal quantity is retained by the process of infinite geometric recycling outlined by equation 2. It is by this means that the 390 W/m² of Back Radiation of the canonical model is created and stored in the atmospheric reservoir (Figure 5).

Both sources have failed to illustrate the implicit role of atmospheric mass movement in the process of energy recycling that also heats the surface of our planet. In the presence of a gravity field that binds the atmosphere to the surface of a planet, what goes up must come down.

The distribution of energy fluxes in Table 3 show that for the total atmospheric energy budget of 558 W/m² (Table 4), 63.44% (354 W/m²) is transmitted by radiation fluxes, and 36.56% (204 W/m²) is carried by recycled mass motion (Surface Thermals and Evaporation, Figure 5) for the canonical model (Table 8).

Table 8. Relative importance of atmospheric flux transmission mechanisms [2].

| Flux type        | Flux Intensity (W/m²) | Percentage Load |
|------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Radiant Flux     | 354                   | 63.44%          |
| Mass and Latent  | 204                   | 36.56%          |
| Total Budget     | 558                   | 100.00%         |

So clearly mass motion is an important energy carrying process within the Earth’s atmosphere.

It is critical to understand at this point that because our energy budget is formulated in terms of power intensity, if the proportion of flux carried by mass motion increases due
to an increase in moist convection overturning, then the proportion of energy transmitted by radiant processes must decrease (or vice versa). A given energy flux cannot do two things at once, a balance is always maintained between these two distinct processes if the Bond albedo remains constant (Figure 5).

In addition, we find that because the energy budgets of OK-First and also Kiehl and Trenberth are clearly built on the equipartition of energy by the atmosphere (half up and half down), then there are only three ways that the internal energy budget of the Earth’s atmosphere can be increased:

1. By closing the longwave surface-to-space atmospheric window, which causes more energy to be recycled within the atmosphere.
2. By decreasing the planetary Bond albedo, which allows more solar energy to enter the climate system.
3. By increasing the planetary atmospheric mass, which causes the surface datum boiling point of water to increase.

Issue #1 relates directly to concerns that carbon dioxide emissions increase the opacity of our semi-transparent atmosphere, and will close the atmospheric window (Figure 6).

![Figure 6 Earth’s infrared atmospheric window. (Public Open Licence).](image)

Table 9. The impact of blocking the atmospheric window on the Earth's Global Energy Budget.

| Adapted from Figure 3: Kiehl and Trenberth [2] | Items recorded in W/m² | Insolation | Albedo bypass losses | Absorbed Insolation | Emitted by surface (Losses) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Incoming Radiation                            |                        | 342.00     | 77.00               |                     |                             |
| Backscattered by air & Reflected by clouds    |                        | 30.00      |                     | 67.00               |                             |
| Reflected by surface                          |                        | 30.00      |                     |                    |                             |
| Insolation Absorbed by vapour, dust, Ozone, clouds |            | 168.00     |                     |                    |                             |
| Surf. Radiation (Fully absorbed by air)       |                        |            |                     |                    |                             |
| Surface Thermals                              |                        |            |                     |                    |                             |
| Surface Evaporation                           |                        |            |                     |                    |                             |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss)|                        |            |                     |                    |                             |
| Emission by Atmosphere                        |                        |            |                     |                    |                             |
| Emission by Clouds                            |                        |            |                     |                    |                             |
| Totals                                        |                        | 342.00     | 107.00              | 235.00              | 168.00                      |

Table 9. Continued.

| Adapted from Figure 3: Kiehl and Trenberth [2] | Items recorded in W/m² | Air Absorption (Incoming & Outgoing) | Infinite Recycled Limit | Energy Lost to Space (Concept) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Incoming Radiation                            |                        | 67.00                               | 67.00                   |                               |
| Backscattered by air & Reflected by clouds    |                        | 67.00                               | 67.00                   |                               |
| Reflected by surface                          |                        |                                     |                         |                               |
| Insolation Absorbed by vapour, dust, Ozone, clouds |            | 66.00                               | 66.00                   |                               |
| Surf. Radiation (Fully absorbed by air)       |                        | 66.00                               | 66.00                   |                               |
| Surface Thermals                              |                        | 24.00                               | 24.00                   |                               |
| Surface Evaporation                           |                        | 78.00                               | 78.00                   |                               |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss)|                        |                                     |                         |                               |
| Emission by Atmosphere                        |                        | 157.00                              |                         |                               |
| Emission by Clouds                            |                        | 78.00                               |                         |                               |
| Totals                                        |                        | 235.00                              | 235.00                  | 235.00                        |
We can test the effects of closing this window on global average temperature by using Table 3, and diverting the 40 W/m\(^2\) direct-to-space radiant emission into atmospheric capture and heating, and thereby increase this element of the budget to 66 W/m\(^2\) (Table 9).

The impact of closing the Earth’s long-wave emission atmospheric window is to raise the global average temperature from 15°C to 29°C (Table 10). This 14°C increase is the maximum possible temperature increase that the Earth can experience by internal energy recycling for a constant Bond albedo of 0.306.

### Table 10. The Thermal Effects of Blocking the Earth’s Atmospheric Window.

| Key Energy Budget Metrics | Adapted from Figure 3: Kiehl and Trenberth [2] |
|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
|                           | Power Intensity % | Power Intensity W/m\(^2\) | Temperature Kelvin | Temperature Celsius |
| Raw Planet Filtered Insolation | 68.71% | 235.00 | 254 | -19 |
| Raw Surface Absorbed Insolation | 49.12% | 168.00 | 233 | -40 |
| Air Absorption (Incoming & Outgoing) | 68.71% | 235.00 | 254 | -19 |
| Recycled Atmospheric Energy | 68.71% | 235.00 | 254 | -19 |
| Enhanced Surface Power Intensity | 186.55% | 638.00 | 326 | 53 |
| 1. Surface Longwave Radiation (Loss) | 19.30% | 66.00 | |
| 2. Surface Thermals (Loss) | 7.02% | 24.00 | |
| 3. Surface Evaporation (Loss) | 22.81% | 78.00 | |
| Surface Radiant Power Intensity | 137.43% | 470.00 | 302 | 32 |
| Top of Atmosphere Radiant Exhaust | 68.71% | 235.00 | 254 | -19 |

In order to further raise the Earth’s average global temperature above 29°C to form a Cretaceous hothouse world it is necessary to either increase the atmospheric mass, (thereby raising atmospheric pressure and also the boiling point of water), or to reduce the planetary brightness by lowering the Earth’s Bond albedo.

Assuming a total blocking of the atmospheric thermal radiant window, and also assuming no increase in atmospheric mass, then it is possible to achieve a Cretaceous global average temperature of 36°C with a planetary Bond albedo of 0.244 (Table 11).

This reduction in planetary brightness can be achieved by having a Cretaceous world with no land surface icecaps, and also an increased continental surface inundation associated with a high global sea level [8]. Flooding the land surfaces of the low-lying continents will increase the percentage surface area of the Earth that is covered by ocean water. This flooding will allow the seas to capture and retain more high frequency insolation, and so create a low albedo hothouse world (Table 12). The geological evidence for the lack of a north polar icecap in the Late Cretaceous was presented by Lena Golovneva in a study based on taxonomic and ecological analysis of fossil floras, leaf physiognomy and the distribution of dinosaurian faunas in the high paleo-arctic [9].

### Table 11. Cretaceous World Insolation Metrics.

| Illustrative Cretaceous Earth Insolation Metrics |
|-----------------------------------------------|
| Earth’s Solar Irradiance W/m\(^2\) | 1368.00 |
| Divide by 4 Geometry Rule W/m\(^2\) | 342.00 |
| Cretaceous Earth Bond Albedo | 0.244 |
| Dimmed Intercepted Beam W/m\(^2\) | 258.46 |

### Table 12. Putative Cretaceous Hothouse World.

| Adapted from Figure 3: Kiehl and Trenberth [2] Items recorded in W/m\(^2\) | Insolation | Albedo bypass losses | Absorbed Insolation | Emitted by surface (Losses) | Air Absorption (Incoming & Outgoing) | Infinite Recycled Limit | Energy Lost to Space (Concept) |
|-----------------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Incoming Radiation | 342.00 | | | | | | |
| Backscattered by air & Reflected by clouds | | | 53.54 | 30.00 | | | |
| Reflected by surface | | | | | | | |
| Insolation Absorbed by vapour, dust, Ozone, clouds | | | | 73.69 | 73.69 | 73.69 | |
| Insolation Absorbed by surface | | | | 184.77 | | | |
| Surface Radiation (Fully absorbed by air) | | | | 72.59 | 72.59 | 72.59 | |
| Surface Thermals | | | | 26.40 | 26.40 | 26.40 | |
| Surface Evaporation | | | | 85.79 | 85.79 | 85.79 | |
| Surface IR Radiation (Atmospheric Window Loss) | | | | | | | |
| Emission by Atmosphere | | | | 172.67 | | | |
| Emission by Clouds | | | | 85.79 | | | |
| Totals | 342.00 | 83.54 | 258.46 | 184.77 | 258.46 | 258.46 | 258.46 |

### Table 13. The Cretaceous Hothouse World.

| Speculative Key Energy Budget Metrics | Power Intensity % | Power Intensity W/m\(^2\) | Temperature Kelvin | Temperature Celsius |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Raw Planet Filtered Insolation | 75.57% | 258.46 | 260 | -13 |
| Raw Surface Absorbed Insolation | 54.03% | 184.77 | 239 | -34 |
| Air Absorption (Incoming & Outgoing) | 75.57% | 258.46 | | |
Replacing continental solid land surfaces, with their highly effective thermal emission capability, by a liquid surface of shallow solar-energy absorbing seas, with their low thermal emission capability means that the Earth would capture and transmit more solar energy from the tropics to the poles via the oceanographic currents of a flooded world [10]. Assuming a Cretaceous meteorological distribution of energy flux pro-rata to that of the modern world, then the key energy budget metrics for a 36°C world are speculatively recorded in Table 13.

5. Conclusions

There are some fundamental points that come from this analysis of these diagrams of the Earth’s energy budget: -

Issue #1. Internal energy recycling limits the maximum possible temperature rise to an increase of plus 14°C, assuming total blocking of the longwave atmospheric window and an unchanged Bond albedo.

It is therefore impossible for the Earth to experience a runaway greenhouse gas effect due to changes in the atmospheric thermal radiant opacity if the total mass of the atmosphere does not increase [3].

In order to achieve a putative Cretaceous global average temperature of 36°C, it is necessary to both reduce the Earth’s albedo to 0.244, and also to apply total blocking of surface-to-space longwave radiation (and/or raise the total mass of the atmosphere).

However the total blocking of the atmospheric window by carbon dioxide gas may not be possible. This is an issue that was studied by Ferenc Miskolczi [11].

Issue #2. Changes in the value of the Earth’s planetary Bond albedo are a valid mechanism by which global warming can occur. Variations in water distribution in the forms of either reflective ice and/or cloud; or absorbing surface water areal variations by either short term sea-ice distribution or long-term geologic ocean distribution (e.g. The Cretaceous Tethys Ocean [12]) is the primary route to change planetary albedo.

This dominance of water either in its reflective role of clouds and ice leading to planetary albedo increase, or in its absorptive form as a transparent surface liquid replacing continental land surfaces or solid polar sea ice, means that there is no albedo role for atmospheric carbon dioxide to change global average temperatures.

Unlike water, carbon dioxide is not a condensing gas in the Earth’s atmosphere, and so it has no impact on insolation energy capture via changes in reflective planetary brightness, unlike the droplet stratus clouds and cirrus clouds of ice crystals derived from atmospheric water vapour [13].

Issue #3. The standard climate model has the following basic features with specific rules applied.

1. The planetary disk geometric intercept rule. - The average solar irradiance is divided by 4 and instantaneously spread over the surface of the globe [7].
2. The albedo bypass rule. – A given percentage of the planetary insolation is bypassed by planetary brightness and is not used within the climate system.
3. The remaining insolation is absorbed by the planet/atmosphere.
4. The planetary atmosphere is leaky. – Low frequency thermal radiation can pass from the planet’s surface directly out to space [14].
5. The atmosphere is an energy reservoir.
6. Energy recycling by the atmosphere doubles the quantity of energy in this reservoir. – This is the half in / half out rule of back radiation energy flux partition [7].
7. Rule six limits the maximum possible gain to times two, which is the infinite recycling geometric series limit.

What this all means is that for a planet with a zero albedo surface (that is with 100% insolation high-energy absorption under a totally clear atmosphere) and a totally opaque atmosphere for exiting surface thermal radiation (that is no surface leaks to space and total 100% atmospheric thermal radiant blocking) then the absolute limit of the internal energy budget is:

\[ \text{Equation 4: Limit} = \frac{3}{4} \times \text{SI} \]

I.e. Three times the Solar Irradiance flux divided by four. For planet Earth, with a planetary solar irradiance of 1361.0 W/m², the maximum possible planetary energy budget for a hypothetical Bond albedo of zero and totally blocked atmospheric thermal radiant opacity is 1361*0.75=1020.75 W/m² [4]. This flux translates into a maximum possible energy budget thermodynamic temperature of 366.3 Kelvin (93.3°C) (Table 14).

Table 14. The Hypothetical Zero Albedo, Total Atmospheric Thermal Radiant Opacity, Hothouse Limit.

| Speculative Energy Budget (Total Surface Absorption and Total Radiant Blocking) | Power Intensity % | Power Intensity W/m² | Temperature Kelvin | Temperature Celsius |
|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Raw Planet Filtered Insolation | 100.0% | 340.25 | 278 | 5 |
| Raw Surface Absorbed Insolation | 100.0% | 340.25 | 278 | 5 |
| Air Absorption (Outgoing only) | 100.0% | 340.25 | 278 | 5 |
For the planet Venus, with a solar irradiance of 2601.3 W/m², the maximum possible planetary energy budget for a hypothetical Bond albedo of zero and complete atmospheric thermal radiant opacity is 2601.3*0.75=1951 W/m² [15].

This flux translates into a maximum possible energy budget thermodynamic temperature of 430.7 Kelvin (157.7°C), but the surface temperature of Venus is 737 Kelvin (464°C) [15].

From this analysis we can deduce that the standard radiative climate model is compromised and needs to be replaced with a new model [16]. The back-radiation concept cannot explain why Venus has a surface temperature of 464°C by atmospheric radiant energy flux recycling. The solar flux captured by the Venusian atmosphere is far too low to produce the observed surface temperature, even if Venus had a solar illuminated Bond albedo of zero and complete atmospheric thermal radiant opacity.

For a resolution of this paradox we propose the adoption of a new climate model, the Dynamic Atmosphere Energy Transport (DAET) model, that is based on meteorological principles and is applicable to all solar illuminated terrestrial type astronomical bodies that possess a dense semi-opaque thermally radiant atmosphere [3, 17, 18].
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