Hydrological, geochemical and land use drivers of greenhouse gas dynamics in eleven sub-tropical streams
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Abstract
Greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from freshwater streams are poorly quantified in sub-tropical climates, especially in the southern hemisphere where land use is rapidly changing. Here, we examined the distribution, potential drivers, and emissions of carbon dioxide (CO₂), nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄) from eleven Australian freshwater streams with varying catchment land uses yet similar hydrology, geomorphology, and climate. These sub-tropical streams were a source of CO₂ (74 ± 39 mmol m⁻² day⁻¹), CH₄ (0.04 ± 0.06 mmol m⁻² day⁻¹), and N₂O (4.01 ± 5.98 µmol m⁻² day⁻¹) to the atmosphere. CO₂ accounted for ~97% of all CO₂-equivalent emissions with CH₄ (~1.5%) and N₂O (~1.5%) playing a minor role. Episodic rainfall events drove changes in stream GHG due to the release of soil NOₓ (nitrate + nitrite) and dissolved organic carbon (DOC). Groundwater discharge as traced by radon (²²⁂Rn, a natural groundwater tracer) was not an apparent source of CO₂ and CH₄, but was a source of N₂O in both agricultural and forest catchments. Land use played a subtle role on greenhouse gas dynamics. CO₂ and CH₄ increased with catchment forest cover during the wet period, while N₂O and CH₄ increased with agricultural catchment area during the dry period. Overall, this study showed how DOC and NOₓ, land use, and rainfall events interact to drive spatial and temporal dynamics of GHG emissions in sub-tropical streams using multiple linear regression modelling. Increasing intensive agricultural land use will likely decrease regional CO₂ and CH₄ emissions, but increase N₂O.
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Introduction

Freshwater systems have been recognised as an important source of greenhouse gases (GHGs), especially CO₂, to the atmosphere (Cole et al. 2007; Drake et al. 2018; Li et al. 2018; Marx et al. 2017). Of the 5.1 Pg year⁻¹ of terrestrially derived carbon exported into continental waters, only 0.95 Pg year⁻¹ reaches the ocean (Drake et al. 2018). The lost carbon is attributed to the outgassing of CO₂ (~97%) and CH₄ (~3%) (Drake et al. 2018; Marx et al. 2017; Sawakuchi et al. 2017). N₂O is also considered an important contributor to GHG evasion from streams (Beaulieu et al. 2010), with microbial denitrification being the major source (Marzadri et al. 2017). Current flux estimates from global river systems vary from 0.68 Tg N–N₂O year⁻¹ (Beaulieu et al. 2010) to 1.05 Tg N–N₂O year⁻¹ (Seitzinger et al. 2010). While these absolute emission estimates for N₂O are far lower than for stream CO₂ emissions (Drake et al. 2018), N₂O has ~300 times the sustained warming potential (SWP) of CO₂ (Maavara et al. 2019). Direct measurements of aquatic greenhouse gases as well as spatiotemporal coverage remain limited (Cole et al. 2007).

At a local scale, the fluxes of GHGs are temporally and spatially driven by geochemical factors that are often related to the catchment landscape such as land use, climate, and hydrology (Atkins et al. 2017; Ni et al. 2020; Petrone 2010). The delivery of solutes such as dissolved organic matter (DOM), dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN), and aqueous forms of GHGs from the catchment landscape...
into streams occurs during rainfall events or via groundwater discharge (Dinsmore et al. 2013; Marx et al. 2017). Rainfall events tend to alter stream pH, temperature, and dissolved oxygen (DO), which, in turn, affect the microbial production of GHGs in stream sediments as well as their solubility and fluxes at the air–water interface (Borges et al. 2015, 2018a; Webb et al. 2016). Furthermore, runoff events tend to increase surface water velocity and turbulence, enhancing GHG emissions (Hall and Ulseth 2020; Raymond et al. 2012). Aquifer recharge following rainfall drives the seepage of groundwater supersaturated in CO$_2$ (Sadat-Noori et al. 2015), CH$_4$ (Borges et al. 2018b), and N$_2$O (Quick et al. 2019). In the absence of rainfall, streams tend to have longer water residence times which allow for internal aquatic processes (such as microbial respiration and photodegradation) and slow groundwater seepage to exert a stronger influence on GHG dynamics (Herreid et al. 2020; Marx et al. 2017; Smith and Kaushal 2015).

The effect of anthropogenic landscape modification on nutrient cycles within aquatic environments has been broadly investigated at local and global scales (Beusen et al. 2013; Canfield et al. 2010; Seitzinger et al. 2010; White et al. 2018). However, linkages between GHG dynamics and land use change have only begun to be explored (e.g., Herreid et al. 2020; Marx et al. 2017; Ni et al. 2019; Reading et al. 2020). Since pre-industrial times, carbon loading to inland waters has increased by as much as 1 Pg C year$^{-1}$ due to deforestation and agricultural intensification (Bass et al. 2014; Drake et al. 2018). Urbanisation also affects stream geochemical cycling through reduced hydrologic retention from impervious materials which may enhance loading of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) (Petrone 2010), nitrate (Petrone et al. 2008), and potentially modify GHG production pathways (Jeffrey et al. 2018b). Quantifying GHG fluxes from catchments which have undergone land use changes is crucial to understand mechanisms driving greenhouse gas emissions and predict future changes (Drake et al. 2018).

Aquatic GHG observations in tropical and sub-tropical latitudes, particularly in the Southern Hemisphere, are limited (Atkins et al. 2017; Drake et al. 2018; Musenze et al. 2014). In warmer tropical and sub-tropical systems, river discharge is often dominated by episodic rain events rather than more predictable seasonal cycles as seen in temperate climates (Looman et al. 2016b). Furthermore, most of the global CO$_2$ evasion from inland waters probably occurs at low latitudes, emphasising the need for increased spatial coverage of GHG investigations (Sawakuchi et al. 2017). This lack of spatial coverage also extends to upland streams which are under-represented given that they comprise up to 90% of terrestrial drainage patterns worldwide (Drake et al. 2018; MacDonald and Coe 2007). These streams are also important as they exhibit high surface area-to-volume ratios, which maximise the interface for GHG exchange with the atmosphere and facilitate high levels of loading from the adjacent landscape through the hyporheic zone (Comer-Warner et al. 2019).

Here, we examined the concentrations, drivers, and potential fluxes of the three major GHGs (CO$_2$, N$_2$O, and CH$_4$) from eleven sub-tropical freshwater streams with varying catchment land uses (forested, agricultural and mixed modified), yet similar hydrology, geomorphology, and climate. We use radon ($^{222}$Rn, a natural groundwater tracer) to assess if stream GHGs are driven by surface runoff or groundwater discharge. We also quantify the relative contribution of the three main GHGs to total CO$_2$-equivalents and Sustained Global Warming Potentials (SGWP; Neubauer and Megonigal 2015). Finally, we contrast our observations in subtropical Australia with more frequently investigated temperate river and creek systems.

Materials and methods

Study site

Sampling was conducted in 11 freshwater streams in northeastern New South Waters, Australia (Fig. 1), within a region characterised by humid sub-tropical climate (CfA according to the Köppen climate classification system) (BOM 2019). These freshwater catchments were selected based on their comparable geomorphology, climate, and hydrological characteristics, but contrasting land use (Fig. 1). Annual rainfall in the region is 1700 mm and ambient temperatures range from 10 to 28 °C. Most of the precipitation (about 65%) falls in the summer months between December and April (Wadnerkar et al. 2019 and references therein). Local precipitation drainage in the area is predominately mediated by small hydrologically responsive streams of low Strahler order due to the geographic confinements of the region. Vegetation in the upper and middle catchment areas is dominated by remnant wet-sclerophyll and mixed rainforest, whereas vegetation in the lower catchment is mainly restricted to the riparian zones composed of Eucalyptus, Casuarina and Melaleuca species (Looman et al. 2019). Soils are of basaltic origin, typically well drained with podzolic horizons (Milford 1999).

The study region has undergone significant landscape modification in the last century with widespread clearing of forests for urban, agricultural, and forestry development (Looman et al. 2019). Land was originally cleared for banana plantations on the hillslopes and grazing on the erosional valley fills (Conrad et al. 2017). Since the 1970s the banana industry has been superseded by other intensive horticultural practices such as blueberry (Vaccinium sp.) cultivation which have been linked to increased nitrogen and heavy metal loading in local streams and sediments (Conrad
et al. 2019, 2020; White et al. 2018). Population is concentrated around Coffs, Ferntree and Boambee catchments with population densities of ≥ 18 persons per km² (Looman et al. 2019). These factors have led to the development of the current landscape which displays mosaic patterns of urban (residential, commercial, industrial), agricultural (grazing and horticulture including banana plantations, blueberry farms and hothouses), and forest (managed and natural) land uses (Fig. 1). Earlier observations of high nitrate in regional streams were linked to agricultural land use (Wadnerkar et al. 2021; White et al. 2018), while observations in four regional estuaries found greater DOC and CO₂ in natural estuaries than modified systems (Looman et al. 2019). Here, we build on earlier regional work by focusing on freshwater sub-catchments at a broader spatial scale rather than focusing on the estuarine mixing gradient.

**Sampling and analysis**

Creek water samples were collected at weekly intervals from 10 January to 2 May 2019, totalling 15 samples per site. Sampling locations within streams were selected based on the upper limit of the tidal reach (salinity < 2.0) and hydrogeomorphology. During the first survey, four sites (Boambee, Cordwells, Bonville, and Woolgoolga) recorded salinity readings > 2.0, indicative of estuarine water penetration during extreme dry conditions. These outliers were removed from the dataset. DOC, NO₃⁻, and GHGs (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) were sampled from surface stream water on each sampling occasion using a peristaltic pump. Ancillary parameters (temperature, salinity, pH, and DO) were measured in situ using a multimeter (HQ40d Hach, USA). While all the greenhouse gas data reported here are original, ancillary parameters including nutrient concentrations and stable isotopes in nitrate are reported in a companion paper (Wadnerkar et al. 2021).

DOC samples were collected using polyethylene syringes, filtered through pre-combusted 0.7 µm GF/F filters (Whatman), and stored in 40 mL borosilicate vials (USP Type I) treated with 30 µL of H₃PO₄. Vials were stored at 3 °C for laboratory analysis. Total organic carbon (TOC) concentrations were assessed using an Aurora 1030 W TOC Analyser (Thermo Fisher Scientific, ConFLo IV). NO₃⁻ concentrations were determined colourimetrically on a Lachat Flow Injection Analyser (FIA). For that, water samples were collected in 10 mL polyethylene vials, filtered through a 0.7 µm glass fibre syringe filter and frozen for laboratory analysis. GHGs samples were collected by extracting 50 mL of water in five polyethylene syringes and introducing gas with known partial pressures to create a water–air headspace gradient for gas transfer. The headspace was then injected into 1 L tedlar gas (Supelco company) bags for analysis in a calibrated cavity ring down spectrometer (Picarro G2308) to determine CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O values in air. The partial pressures, concentrations, and percent saturation of the GHGs in water were calculated from gas-specific solubility constants as a function of...
of salinity and temperature (Pierrot et al. 2009; Weiss and Price 1980; Yamamoto et al. 1976). Groundwater contributions to the streams were assessed using the naturally occurring radioactive isotope radon (222Rn; half-life = 3.8 days) (Burnett et al. 2001). Here, discrete samples were taken with 2 L HDPE plastic bottles which were sealed airtight until further analysis. Samples were run on a RAD7 (Durridge Company) in-air closed loop monitor, following methods outlined by Lee and Kim (2006). Radon is used as groundwater proxy enabling semi-quantitative temporal comparisons within a creek or spatial comparisons when the catchments have a similar geology (Atkins et al. 2016).

Data interpretation and analysis

Upstream catchment boundaries and land use characteristics (Fig. 1) were identified using watershed delineation and data provided by the Coffs Harbour City Council Local Environment Plan (Parliamentary Counsel’s Office 2013) on ArcGIS Spatial Analyst (Version 10.5.1, ESRI). The classification of land use was verified and adjusted using current satellite imagery from Google Earth and ground verification. Several of the catchments had cleared pastured landscapes which was categorised as ‘cleared agricultural land’. Catchments were then categorised into forested, agricultural (cleared land + horticulture), and mixed modified (urban + agriculture) according to % coverage of each land use within the freshwater catchments (>75% forest = forested, >50% horticulture or cleared land = agricultural, <50% agriculture and <75% forest = mixed modified, Fig. 1). This method enabled a comparison of GHG observations to the degree and type of landscape modification. A preliminary attempt to have urban catchments (including Coffs and Ferntree creeks) as a separate category produced no additional insight or patterns, so we rely on three categories to simplify the analysis.

Rainfall and wind speed data were obtained from the Coffs Harbour Airport station (059151) (BOM 2019). Runoff was determined from the Australian Landscape Water Balance model (AWRA-L) (BOM 2019). Given only one rainfall station was available for hydrology comparisons, we assumed a homogenous parametrisation of daily runoff calibrated from an average (mm m⁻² day⁻¹) of all catchments. Given only one rainfall station was available for hydrology comparisons, we assumed a homogenous parametrisation of daily runoff calibrated from an average (mm m⁻² day⁻¹) of all catchments.

Flux = kα(Cw − Catm) (1)

where k is the gas transfer velocity (m day⁻¹), α is the solubility constants for each respective GHG, Cw the concentration of the gas in water, and Catm the ambient partial atmospheric pressure. Ambient atmospheric pressures used for CO₂, N₂O, and CH₄ were 412 ppm, 0.326 ppm, and 1.783 ppm, respectively, as observed from local air samples.

Gas transfer velocities were determined using two different empirical models to offer a range in possible emissions:

Raymond and Cole (2001) : k = 5.141u⁰.⁷⁵⁸(Sc/660)⁻¹/² (2)

Borges et al. (2004) : k = 1.91e⁰.⁴⁵⁶u(Sc/600)⁻¹/² (3)

Borges et al. (2004) where k is the transfer velocity (cm h⁻¹), u is the wind speed at 10 m above ground (m s⁻¹) obtained from BOM (2019), Sc is the Schmidt number of the gas at in situ temperature and salinity (Wanninkhof 1992). Given that the sampling sites were typically surrounded by riparian vegetation, influence from wind speed was likely to be minimal. Hence, the above gas transfer velocities were also calculated at 0 km h⁻¹ wind speeds.

Net exports (potential emissions to the atmosphere assuming oversaturated values degas to the atmosphere in the downstream estuaries) were calculated by multiplying discharge with the difference between observed stream concentrations and concentrations at equilibrium with the atmosphere. This approach allows for an estimate of the potential emissions downstream of the observation site, assuming the aquatic GHGs will approach atmospheric equilibrium following degassing downstream. CO₂ equivalent (CO₂-eq) emissions were calculated using equations of solubility (Yamamoto et al. 1976), as well as 20 year sustained global warming potential (GWP) estimations (Neubauer and Megonigal 2015) with CO₂-eq (20 year) = 1CO₂ + 96C H₂ + 250N₂O. Pearson correlation coefficients from linear regressions between land use, GHGs, and physico-chemical drivers were calculated using IBM SPSS (25) (2-tailed, confidence interval: 0.05).

Multiple linear regression (MLR) models were also used to determine the most important water quality and landuse predictors of CO₂, CH₄, and N₂O using SigmaPlot 13.0 (Systat Software, Inc.). First, best subset linear regressions were performed to determine the ideal combinations of independent variables used in the models, with Mallows Cp value used as the best criterion. For the MLR models, constant variance testing was computed using the Spearman rank correlation between the absolute values of the residuals and the observed value of the dependent variables (Variance Inflation Factor flag values > 4.0 and Shapiro-Wilk normality testing set to p < 0.05). The importance of the MLR model independent variables were determined by t values. MLR
models for each GHG was investigated for dry, wet and combined hydrological conditions. MLR models were also grouped into the dominant land usage type of each catchment then assessed for the best predictors of each GHG. The MLR model equations were then used to compare the modelled GHG’s to the measured GHG’s. As MLR models assume normal data distribution with constant variance, only the MLR models that passed Shapiro–Wilk normality testing were used in the interpretation.

### Results

#### Hydrological conditions and ancillary parameters

Two contrasting hydrological regimes were observed across the 15-week sampling period: (1) a dry period with low rainfall (total of 86 mm in 63 days) and peak run off reaching 0.25 mm m⁻² day⁻¹, and (2) a wet period (total of 327 mm in 41 days) with spikes in catchment runoff of up to 0.7 mm m⁻² day⁻¹ (Fig. 2). Rainfall for the whole sampling period (total of 413 mm) was below the historical average of 720 mm (BOM 2019). Streams during the dry period had low DO (18–65% saturation) and lower NO₃ concentrations (0.4–10 µmol L⁻¹) (Table 1, Fig. 3). In comparison, during the wet period streams experienced higher DO (25.4–85.5%).

![Fig. 2](image_url) Time series of daily rainfall and average catchment runoff (AWRA-L data, BOM 2019) over a 98-day sampling period in the Coffs Harbour region. Sampling days indicated by green triangles. Grey area denotes the wet period.

### Table 1 Mean (± SD) physico-chemical parameters recorded from each freshwater sub-catchment with reference to corresponding land use classification

| Creek       | Land use classification | Temp (°C)       | pH      | DO (%)       | Conductivity (µScm⁻¹) |
|-------------|-------------------------|-----------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------|
|             | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet | Dry | Wet |
| Corindi     | Forest | 26.5 ± 2.3 | 23.6 ± 2.3 | 6.9 ± 0.1 | 6.9 ± 0.3 | 55.6 ± 48.5 | 32.2 ± 20.7 | 150 ± 61 | 152 ± 8 |
| Arrawarra   | Forest | 25.5 ± 3.2 | 23.5 ± 2.3 | 6.9 ± 0.2 | 7.2 ± 0.4 | 43.2 ± 20.7 | 52.8 ± 12.7 | 265 ± 92 | 247 ± 28 |
| Woolgoolga  | Forest | 27.2 ± 1.9 | 23.4 ± 2.2 | 6.9 ± 0.1 | 7.0 ± 0.3 | 41.4 ± 18.8 | 69.2 ± 26.5 | 268 ± 61 | 293 ± 39 |
| Hearnes Lake | Agriculture | 25.4 ± 1.8 | 22.6 ± 2.1 | 7.0 ± 0.2 | 7.3 ± 0.4 | 44.8 ± 14.9 | 78.3 ± 14.9 | 329 ± 220 | 391 ± 131 |
| Pinebrush   | Agriculture | 25.9 ± 3.2 | 21.7 ± 1.2 | 6.8 ± 0.1 | 7.4 ± 0.5 | 65.8 ± 10.6 | 82.6 ± 11.7 | 195 ± 96 | 296 ± 177 |
| Ferntree    | Mixed modified | 25.5 ± 2.2 | 22.2 ± 1.6 | 6.9 ± 0.0 | 7.3 ± 0.5 | 31.7 ± 13.4 | 78.3 ± 23.5 | 183 ± 78 | 166 ± 30 |
| Coffs       | Mixed modified | 25.3 ± 2.1 | 22.0 ± 0.9 | 6.8 ± 0.1 | 7.2 ± 0.4 | 24.3 ± 10.5 | 70.4 ± 17.4 | 217 ± 209 | 180 ± 40 |
| Boambee     | Mixed modified | 23.4 ± 1.1 | 21.8 ± 0.7 | 6.6 ± 0.0 | 7.1 ± 0.4 | 39.7 ± 7.9 | 76.7 ± 16.2 | 167 ± 7 | 168 ± 45 |
| Cordwells   | Agriculture | 23.7 ± 1.5 | 21.1 ± 0.5 | 6.6 ± 0.1 | 7.0 ± 0.4 | 22.8 ± 20.6 | 47.3 ± 27.1 | 182 ± 57 | 149 ± 51 |
| Bonville    | Mixed modified | 23.3 ± 1.2 | 20.7 ± 0.8 | 6.6 ± 0.0 | 7.1 ± 0.4 | 62.2 ± 2.6 | 85.5 ± 2.4 | 88 ± 19 | 70 ± 3 |
| Pine        | Forest | 24.0 ± 1.4 | 20.3 ± 1.0 | 6.3 ± 0.1 | 6.6 ± 0.3 | 18.4 ± 7.4 | 29.1 ± 10.1 | 97 ± 77 | 167 ± 237 |
and NO$_x$ (3–105 µmol L$^{-1}$) with temperatures decreasing moving into autumn (Fig. 3; Supplementary Material). DOC concentrations exhibited no distinct trend throughout the sampling period ranging from 250 to 450 µmol L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 3).

**Greenhouse gases**

CO$_2$ saturation ranged from 520 to 1640% (Fig. 4) peaking across most sites during the dry period before decreasing during the wet period (with the exception of the forested catchments) (Fig. 3). The general decrease in CO$_2$ moving into the wet period was substantiated by a significant inverse relationship with runoff ($p < 0.01$, Fig. 5). Positive correlations with radon were only apparent during the dry period (Fig. 6). Further, CO$_2$ exhibited a significant negative correlation with DO (Fig. 7, $p < 0.01$ Appendix A, Table 1) and a significant positive linear relationship with DOC in both hydrological periods (Fig. 7, $p < 0.05$, Table 2).
CH$_4$ saturation was highly variable between sites ranging from 428 to 9450% (Fig. 4). This variation was greatest during the dry period, with sites such as Cordwells (agricultural site) experiencing large spikes (> 9400%) at surveys 2, 5, and 7 (Fig. 3). Overall, moving into the wet period CH$_4$ decreased, exhibiting a significant inverse relationship with runoff ($p < 0.05$, Fig. 5). In contrast to CO$_2$, CH$_4$ displayed no correlations to radon across either the dry or wet period (Fig. 6). Further, as seen with CO$_2$, CH$_4$ also negatively correlated with DO throughout the dry and wet periods (Fig. 7, $p < 0.05$, Table 2).

N$_2$O saturation ranged from 115 to 190% saturation during the dry period and from 119 to 1430% during the wet period (Fig. 3). The peak saturation observed at the Woolgoolga site was up to 10 times greater than other sites (Fig. 4, Supplementary Online Material). We suspect this is due to the site location immediately downstream of a hothouse facility and a short creek length for N$_2$O to outgas. Transitioning into the wet period, N$_2$O spiked at sample 11 across all catchments following consecutive days of > 20 mm rain (Fig. 3). In contrast to CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, N$_2$O significantly increased with increasing runoff ($p < 0.01$, Fig. 5) and in relation to $^{222}$Rn (Fig. 6). Further, N$_2$O exhibited a significant positive correlation with NO$_x$ concentrations across both hydrological regimes (Fig. 7, $p < 0.01$, Table 1) and with DOC during the wet period (Fig. 7, $p < 0.05$, Table 1).
Hydrological and land use drivers of GHG fluxes

The hydrological period seemed to exert a major control on GHG distributions (Fig. 8). During the dry period, no correlations were found between catchment land use and CO$_2$ (Fig. 8, Table 2). However, wet period CO$_2$ saturations exhibited a significant positive correlation with forest area (% of catchment) ($p < 0.01$, Table 2) and a negative correlation with increasing agriculture ($p < 0.01$, Table 2) and mixed modified catchment area ($p < 0.01$, Table 2). In contrast to CO$_2$, CH$_4$ increased significantly (Table 2) with agricultural catchment area across both hydrological regimes (Fig. 8). A positive correlation was also evident during the wet period with increasing forested ($p < 0.05$) and mixed modified ($p = 0.05$) catchment area (Fig. 8).

Whereas, N$_2$O showed a significant positive correlation with increasing agricultural and mixed modified catchment areas only during the dry period ($p = 0.043$, Fig. 8).

Overall, streams were a source of all three GHGs to the atmosphere (Fig. 9). On average, CO$_2$ fluxes in the present study were $74 \pm 39$ mol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ and accounted for 97% of SWGP for all streams (Fig. 9). CH$_4$ fluxes were highly variable with an average of $0.04 \pm 0.06$ mmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ (Fig. 9). N$_2$O displayed a net-positive flux at an average rate of $4.01 \pm 5.98$ µmol m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ (Fig. 9). It is also worth noting that CH$_4$ had a greater contribution to CO$_2$ eq emissions during the dry (1.9% dry versus 1.1% wet), while N$_2$O had a greater contribution during the wet (2.0% wet versus 0.8% dry) (Fig. 9).
Multiple linear regression (MLR) models

Most GHG’s could be modelled under differing land uses, however due to non-parametric data distribution, only CO₂ was successfully modelled during the hydrological (wet) conditions (Shapiro–Wilk normality, $p = 0.94$) (Fig. 10). Generally, CH₄ and N₂O were more difficult to model within hydrological grouped data due to low $r^2$ values and non-parametric data distribution. Based off the MLR $t$ values, positive $^{222}$Rn and negative pH and DO were important and significant predictors for CO₂ during wet conditions (Fig. 10). NOₓ and $^{222}$Rn were positive significant ($p < 0.001$) predictors for N₂O in agricultural areas, whilst DO was the only negative significant ($p < 0.001$) predictor for CH₄ (Table 3).

When the data were grouped into dominant land use categories of each creek, all GHG’s were again modelled (Fig. 11, Table 4). Most landuse MLR model passed normality tests except Agricultural CH₄, and the Forested N₂O and CH₄ models (Fig. 11). Based off MLR $t$-values, positive significant $^{222}$Rn, NOₓ and DOC ($p < 0.001$) were drivers of N₂O within Agricultural dominated creeks. For CO₂, both pH and DO were negative significant drivers ($p < 0.001$) in Agricultural and Forest dominated creeks (Fig. 11, Table 4). Decreasing pH and DO were the main drivers of CH₄ ($p < 0.001$) in the Agricultural and Forest dominated creeks respectively (Table 4).

Fig. 7 Scatter plot of mean (large symbols) GHG concentrations (% sat) versus ancillary measures (DO, NOₓ and DOC). Smaller symbols show all data points, dry ($n=84$) and wet ($n=77$). For all $r^2$ and $p$ values see Table 2
Assessing the drivers of GHGs within streams is crucial for developing carbon and nitrogen budgets and predictive models in rapidly changing catchments (Drake et al. 2018). Insights into our hypotheses that land use drives GHGs in streams were obtained by establishing links between geochemical proxies (DOC, NO$_x$, and DO) and GHGs within streams (Atkins et al. 2017; Seitzinger and Kroeze 1998; Stanley et al. 2016). Hydrological period and land use affected geochemical pathways, nutrient concentrations and physical processes to influence GHGs in streams (Figs. 10 and 11). Groundwater discharge was not a major source of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, but seemed to release N$_2$O from soils. In contrast to earlier work in temperate streams (Butman and Raymond 2011; Hutchins et al. 2019), land use had only a minor, subtle effect on greenhouse gas spatial variations in these sub-tropical streams. Here, we discuss the hydrological, geochemical, and land use drivers of GHG emissions and compare our results from sub-tropical streams to the literature on tropical and temperate streams.

**Hydrological and geochemical drivers of GHG dynamics**

Overall, CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ showed higher saturations during the dry than the wet period. Higher CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ during low flow (dry) conditions is common across various fluvial settings (Hope et al. 2001). Physical controls over GHG transfer velocities are also likely to play an important role in driving this relationship (Raymond et al. 2012). Low flow conditions increase water residence times, therefore reducing stream turbulence limiting gaseous emissions to the atmosphere and promoting the accumulation of GHGs within streams (Jeffrey et al. 2018a; Rocher-Ros et al. 2019; Webb et al. 2016). This concept is substantiated by CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ increases during low DO saturations during the dry period (Table 3), implying instream respiration and subsequent accumulation of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ in surface waters (Atkins et al. 2017; Borges et al. 2019; Macklin et al. 2014).

Increased turbulence and flow contributed to the observed decrease in surface water CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ saturations during the wet period (Borges et al. 2018b; Rocher-Ros et al. 2019). During the wet conditions, groundwater inputs of low DO water may explain the positive relationship between $^{222}$Rn and CO$_2$ saturation (as supported by the MLR in Fig. 10). Overall, DO and flow regime seem to play a crucial role driving the temporal variability of CH$_4$ in sub-tropical streams similar to Northern Hemisphere streams. We also found a negative relationship between DOC and CO$_2$ during the dry period and a positive relationship during the wet period. The negative correlation during dry conditions supports our interpretation of instream metabolism dominating the CO$_2$ production pathway during low flow conditions (Marx et al. 2017). However, the positive relationship between DOC and CO$_2$ during the wet period suggests an alternate mechanism driving the relationship and might be due to a common source delivery from the soil landscape during runoff events (Hotchkiss et al. 2015) and/or groundwater inputs as traced by $^{222}$Rn (Fig. 10, Table 3). After extended dry periods, flushing events tend to remove accumulated DOC and CO$_2$ from the soils into streams (Bodmer et al. 2016).

In contrast to CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, N$_2$O significantly increased with runoff and remained relatively constant throughout the dry period. This is likely explained by a combination

### Table 2 Pearson correlation matrix summary for % catchment land use (left) and DO, DOC, NO$_x$ (right) versus greenhouse gas concentrations during the dry ($n=84$) and wet ($n=77$) periods

| Hydrology period | Catchment land use | CO$_2$ | CH$_4$ | N$_2$O | Physico-chemical parameters | CO$_2$ | CH$_4$ | N$_2$O |
|------------------|-------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Dry | Agriculture | $r^2$ | 0.023 | 0.23 | 0.22 | DO | $r^2$ | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.15 |
| | | $p$ value | 0.83 | **0.03** | **0.04** | | $p$ value | **<0.01** | **0.01** | 0.16 |
| Forest | | $r^2$ | −0.08 | −0.16 | −0.46 | NO$_x$ | $r^2$ | −0.11 | −0.14 | 0.70 |
| | | $p$ value | 0.44 | 0.13 | **<0.01** | | $p$ value | 0.28 | 0.19 | **<0.01** |
| Mixed Modified | | $r^2$ | 0.08 | 0.16 | 0.46 | DOC | $r^2$ | −0.23 | −0.0 | −0.16 |
| | | $p$ value | 0.44 | 0.13 | 0.13 | | $p$ value | **0.03** | 0.39 | 0.13 |
| Wet | Agriculture | $r^2$ | −0.45 | −0.20 | −0.07 | DO | $r^2$ | −0.70 | −0.60 | 0.04 |
| | | $p$ value | **<0.01** | 0.05 | 0.49 | | $p$ value | **<0.01** | **<0.01** | 0.73 |
| Forest | | $r^2$ | 0.46 | 0.23 | 0.18 | NO$_x$ | $r^2$ | −0.22 | −215 | 0.65 |
| | | $p$ value | **<0.01** | **0.04** | 0.10 | | $p$ value | 0.05 | 0.05 | **<0.01** |
| Mixed Modified | | $r^2$ | −0.46 | −0.23 | −0.18 | DOC | $r^2$ | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.24 |
| | | $p$ value | **<0.01** | **0.04** | 0.10 | | $p$ value | **0.02** | 0.08 | **0.03** |

Values in bold denote significance at the 0.05 level (two-tailed)
of (1) direct loading from soils whereby NO\textsubscript{x} and N\textsubscript{2}O enter streams simultaneously (Wilcock and Sorrell 2007), or (2) indirectly through increased availability of DIN facilitating instream N\textsubscript{2}O production (Quick et al. 2019). Given the simultaneous occurrence of high CH\textsubscript{4} from low oxygen sediments during the dry period and unlikely suspension of sediment particles due to longer water residence, it is likely that benthic denitrification processes are driving the production of N\textsubscript{2}O during the dry period. The source of DIN during dry conditions may be either shallow groundwater or in-stream organic nitrogen (Seitzinger and Kroeze 1998) as supported by the positive relationship of both \textsuperscript{222}Rn and NO\textsubscript{x} with N\textsubscript{2}O in the dry period MLR (Fig. 10, Table 3). Groundwater discharge is commonly neglected in riverine GHGs assessments (Atkins et al. 2017; Drake et al. 2018). During the wet period, the only significant correlation between radon and GHG’s was with CO\textsubscript{2} (Fig. 10), which was probably due to increased surface water connectivity with soils following rain events (Atkins et al. 2013; Looman et al. 2016a) or the natural geomorphological settings of the catchments that favours surface runoff over groundwater flow (Reid and Iverson 1992). In contrast, N\textsubscript{2}O (when outliers were removed) displayed positive relationships with radon during the dry period, suggesting that groundwater plays a role in N\textsubscript{2}O dynamics either directly (i.e., delivering subsurface waters elevated in N\textsubscript{2}O), or indirectly (i.e., delivering DIN that fuels N\textsubscript{2}O production within the stream).

Fig. 8 Scatter plot of median (large symbols) GHG concentrations (% sat) versus % land use according to catchment area. Smaller symbols show all data points. Dashed lines indicate significance (Pearson’s correlation 2-tailed, \(p=0.05\)) during the dry \((n=84)\) and solid lines during the wet \((n=77)\) For all \(r^2\) and \(p\) values see Table 2
Influence of land use on GHG dynamics

The influence of land use on aquatic greenhouse gases can be complex and variable, and could not be clearly observed in this investigation. In a preliminary analysis, we found no distinct patterns in the two catchments with significant urban development (Coffs and Ferntree, see Fig. 1). Hence, these urban catchments were included in the modified group. CO₂ increased with forest cover and decreased with mixed modified and agricultural land cover, as previously observed in estuaries in the same area (Looman et al. 2019). The transport of dissolved nitrogen from modified catchments to the creek during the wet period can stimulate primary productivity and CO₂ consumption (Borges and Gypens 2010). Similar to our observations, riverine CO₂ levels were positively influenced by forested biomes in boreal streams (Hutchins et al. 2019). Forest soils often have higher rates of soil respiration and OM degradation than agricultural soils (Butman and Raymond 2011). These processes are enhanced at sub-tropical and tropical latitudes due to higher temperatures as well as greater terrestrial primary productivity (Butman and Raymond 2011). Other studies found higher CO₂
fluxes within forested catchments during the wet period (Bodmer et al. 2016; Borges et al. 2018a), most likely related to higher DOC exports into nearby waterways (Atkins et al. 2017; Burgos et al. 2015). No relationships were evident between CO₂ and land use during the dry period, possibly as a result of reduced connectivity to the upstream landscape allowing instream processes to mask catchment influences on CO₂ (Webb et al. 2019).

Assessing the influence of land use on CH₄ is challenging, given its variability shown across streams and rivers globally (Stanley et al. 2016). In sub-tropical Australia, CH₄ was positively related with agriculture cover during the dry period. While there is limited direct links between stream...
Table 3  Summary of t values generated from the MLR models showing most important predictors of N₂O, CO₂ and CH₄ under differing hydrological regimes

| Drivers | All hydrological data | Dry hydrological data | Wet hydrological data |
|---------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
|         | N₂O | CO₂ | CH₄ | N₂O | CO₂ | CH₄ | N₂O | CO₂ | CH₄ |
| ²²²Rn   | 1.40 | 4.13 | − 1.40 | 5.87 | 3.51 | − 1.55 | 1.04 | 3.37 | −   |
| Temp    | 0.40 | 1.90 | −   | 2.56 | −   | −   | −   | −   | −   |
| pH      | − 1.47 | − 6.88 | − 1.24 | − 7.05 | − 1.06 | − 2.02 | − 1.68 | 0.37 | − 0.46 |
| DO (%sat) | − 0.30 | − 5.03 | − 4.35 | − 3.12 | − 2.39 | − 7.16 | 3.43 |
| NO₃    | 9.60 | −  | −   | 8.45 | −   | −   | 5.77 | − 0.76 | −  |
| DOC     | 1.37 | 83 | 83 | 1.37 | 83 | 83 | 44  | 44  | 44  |
| n       | 0.43 | 0.58 | 0.14 | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0.08 | 0.42 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Adjusted r² | 5  | 5  | 3  | 4  | 4  | 4  | 3  | 4  | 4  |

Significant drivers of GHG's where p < 0.001 are in bold, and where p < 0.05 are in bold italic font

CH₄ and agriculture cover (Stanley et al. 2016), previous studies have also found elevated CH₄ associated with agricultural catchments (Borges et al. 2018a) or the proportion of wetlands within a catchment (Herreid et al. 2020). The accumulation of fine sediments in agricultural catchments can cause streambeds to become prone to anoxic conditions, favourable to methanogenesis (Stanley et al. 2016). Here, we demonstrated that the relationship between elevated CH₄ production and agricultural land deteriorated following rainfall events. This is likely due to shorter water residence time, enhanced oxygenation and dilution preventing the accumulation of CH₄ from sediment methanogenesis (Stanley et al. 2016).

Interestingly, moving into the wet period, CH₄ increased with increasing forest cover, which is similar to observations from the Northern Hemisphere (Stanley et al. 2016). Shallow flow paths through the riparian zone which adjoins forest soils rich in OM has contributed to stream CH₄ concentrations in the US (Jones and Mulholland 1998). In subtropical Australia, while land use may act as an important driver of CH₄ production, episodic rainfall seems to explain most of CH₄ dynamics. As opposed to streams in the Northern Hemisphere which are driven by snowmelt and seasonal falls (Borges et al. 2018a; Crawford et al. 2017), hydrology in Australia is driven mostly by episodic rain events that peak prolonged drought periods.

Spatial variations in N₂O during the dry period were strongly associated with increasing agricultural and mixed modified land cover. Similar to our observations, significantly lower N₂O concentrations were found with increasing forest cover in the tropical Congo (Borges et al. 2019) and Guadalete rivers (Burgos et al. 2015) due to limited application of fertilisers and delivery of DIN from agricultural landscapes. Forested catchments have far lower NO₃ concentrations in comparison to other catchments. NO₃ availability is an important driver of N₂O in streams in the Northern Hemisphere (Mwanake et al. 2019; Wilcock and Sorrell 2007) and Southern Hemisphere (Mwanake et al. 2019; Wilcock and Sorrell 2007) and was a significant driver of NO₃ in the agricultural MLR model (Fig. 11, Table 1). A positive relationship between land use and NO₃ has also been found in the region (Reading et al. 2020; Wadnerkar et al. 2021; White et al. 2018) as well as several other agricultural streams (Audet et al. 2017; Wilcock and Sorrell 2007). Interestingly, during the wet period, high NO₃ concentrations within the agricultural catchments did related to increased N₂O (Fig. 7). This may be related to reduced groundwater influence during rain events (White et al. 2018) in combination with higher dissolved oxygen saturation, which might have compromised denitrification-related N₂O production within the modified and agricultural streams. Alternatively, given that our agricultural sites had relatively lower levels of DOC and high NO₃ conversion of NO₃ to N₂O within these sites could have potentially been compromised by carbon limitation (Rasmussen et al. 2012; Schade et al. 2016). DOC:NO₃⁻ ratios are an indicator of microbial metabolism and carbon availability, explaining much of the distribution of N₂O in urban streams in Baltimore (USA) receiving multiple anthropogenic inputs (Smith et al. 2017). However, no relationships were observed between DOC:NO₃⁻ ratios and N₂O within individual creeks, or when combining all systems, implying DOC availability is not limiting N₂O production.

DIN is transported into streams primarily by surface runoff during rainfall events with a minor contribution of groundwater discharge in this region (Wadnerkar et al. 2019; White et al. 2018). Given the significant MLR relationships, and linear correlation between N₂O and radon during the dry period, groundwater discharge may be supplying some N₂O to streams within our modified and agricultural catchments as observed in the Congo River (Borges et al. 2019). This process may be driven by the common practice of fertigation in the region (Kaine and Giddings 2016), which can facilitate
groundwater flows rich in nitrogen into streams during dry conditions, potentially contributing to \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) accumulation. Furthermore, hydrological modification through vegetation clearing for agriculture can enhance overland flow and groundwater recharge, creating more hydrologically responsive streams (Looman et al. 2019; Petrone 2010). This means that lower rainfall totals are required to move nitrate and GHGs through the soil horizon, contributing to the higher \( \text{N}_2\text{O} \) fluxes and concentrations seen during the drier period.

**\( \text{CO}_2, \text{CH}_4, \text{and N}_2\text{O} \) air–water fluxes comparison**

Streams in sub-tropical Australia acted as sources of greenhouse gases, generating net positive air–water fluxes to the atmosphere. On average, \( \text{CO}_2 \) fluxes across all catchments...
and periods were below the global modelled average for streams (97–156 mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) (Lauerwald et al. 2015). Our measurements were well below other sub-tropical and tropical forest-dominated streams (Borges et al. 2015; de Rasera et al. 2008), as well as agriculture-dominated streams, yet similar to a sub-tropical (Yao et al. 2007) and alpine stream with mixed land uses (Qu et al. 2017). Our below average flux estimates for CO\(_2\) may be a reflection of the low piston velocity in sluggish waters that respond primarily to episodic flushing events (Marx et al. 2017).

CH\(_4\) saturations and fluxes were highly variable temporally and spatially as often observed in inland waters (Bastviken et al. 2011). Our flux estimates (0.04 ± 0.06 mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) fall within the low end of the range (4.23 ± 8.41 mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) for streams and rivers in a recent global meta-analysis (Stanley et al. 2016), and are lower than agricultural and forested streams (~ 1.0–2.5 mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) in temperate regions of Germany (Bodmer et al. 2016) and tropical and sub-tropical streams (0.5–18 mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\)) in Africa (Borges et al. 2015). Large discrepancies to other studies may be related to our conservative flux estimates assuming wind speeds approached zero in these sheltered waterways. N\(_2\)O displayed a net-positive flux, which is comparable to that from an alpine stream on the Tibetan plateau in China (Qu et al. 2017), but higher than the forested tributaries of the Mara River in Kenya, and far lower than the modified catchments of the same river (Mwanake et al. 2019). Agricultural streams in midwestern USA, Central Kenya, and Sweden had higher fluxes of N\(_2\)O (Audet et al. 2017; Beaulieu et al. 2009; Borges et al. 2015).

Calculating CO\(_2\)-equivalent Sustained Global Warming Potentials (SGWP) on a 0-year timescale enables us to put in perspective the relative contribution of each GHG (Neubauer and Megonigal 2015). CO\(_2\) accounted for the vast majority of CO\(_2\)-equivalent emissions (97%), despite being between 250 and 96 times less potent than N\(_2\)O and CH\(_4\), respectively (Neubauer and Megonigal 2015). It is also worth noting that

---

**Table 4** Summary of \(t\) values generated from the MLR models showing most important predictors of N\(_2\)O, CO\(_2\), and CH\(_4\) across different land uses

| Drivers | Agricultural catchments | Forest catchments | Mixed modified catchments |
|---------|-------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|
| \(^{222}\)Rn | 8.07 | 2.25 | 2.01 |
| Temp | 0.42 | 0.17 | 1.99 |
| pH | – | – | – |
| DO (% sat) | – | – | – |
| NO\(_x\) | 9.58 | 35.8 | 0.69 |
| DOC | 6.26 | 87 | 11 |
| \(n\) | 47 | 87 | 11 |
| Adjusted \(r^2\) | 0.85 | 0.96 | 0.85 |
| Model | 4 | 5 | 5 |

Significant drivers of GHG’s where \(p<0.001\) are in bold, and where \(p<0.05\) are in bold italic font

---

**Table 5** Mean (± SD) air-atmosphere GHG fluxes and total CO\(_2\) equiv. calculated from SWGPs (Neubauer and Megenigal 2015), in relation to two piston velocity models assuming 0 km h\(^{-1}\) windspeed

| Piston velocity (k) models | Catchment classification | Dry | Wet |
|---------------------------|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| | | CO\(_2\) mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) | CH\(_4\) mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) | N\(_2\)O µmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) | CO\(_2\) mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) | CH\(_4\) mmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) | N\(_2\)O µmol m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) |
| Borges et al. (2004) | Agriculture | 107 ± 35 | 0.10 ± 0.15 | 3.13 ± 2.61 | 95.5 ± 74.1 | 0.03 ± 0.05 | 5.8 ± 7.4 |
| K = 5.141u\(^{0.758}\) (Sc/660)\(^{-1/2}\) | Forest | 53.9 ± 28.8 | 0.02 ± 0.03 | 0.67 ± 0.32 | 101 ± 42 | 0.05 ± 0.09 | 14.5 ± 33.6 |
| | Mixed modified | 85.4 ± 43.0 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 2.84 ± 1.91 | 76.7 ± 69.1 | 0.02 ± 0.03 | 3.34 ± 2.44 |
| Raymond and Cole (2001) | Agriculture | 64.1 ± 23.4 | 0.08 ± 0.16 | 2.04 ± 1.64 | 41.6 ± 33.3 | 0.01 ± 0.02 | 2.34 ± 2.32 |
| K = 1.91e\(^{0.350}\) (Sc/660)\(^{-1/2}\) | Forest | 67.9 ± 36.2 | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 1.09 ± 0.67 | 84.8 ± 32.5 | 0.04 ± 0.06 | 8.59 ± 17.1 |
| | Mixed modified | 72.9 ± 28.2 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 2.91 ± 1.59 | 44.4 ± 36.8 | 0.01 ± 0.02 | 1.91 ± 1.19 |
| Average | Agriculture | 85.5 ± 26.3 | 0.09 ± 0.15 | 2.59 ± 2.05 | 68.5 ± 53.3 | 0.02 ± 0.04 | 4.07 ± 4.79 | 3.41 ± 1.84 |
| | Forest | 60.9 ± 31.6 | 0.03 ± 0.03 | 0.88 ± 0.46 | 93.0 ± 33.6 | 0.05 ± 0.07 | 11.5 ± 25.2 | 3.35 ± 1.59 |
| | Mixed modified | 79.2 ± 34.7 | 0.04 ± 0.02 | 2.88 ± 1.67 | 60.6 ± 52.4 | 0.02 ± 0.02 | 2.62 ± 1.76 | 3.09 ± 1.97 |

---

© Springer
CH$_4$ had a greater contribution to CO$_2$-equivalent emissions during the dry period (1.9% dry versus 1.1% wet), while N$_2$O had a greater contribution during the wet period (2.0% wet versus 0.8% dry) (Table 5, Fig. 9). The difference in contribution between N$_2$O and CH$_4$ in relation to the hydrological phase highlights that hydrology can play a crucial role in driving GHGs and, accounting for this may improve current uncertainties in global models and budgets.

Conclusions

We demonstrated that freshwater streams in sub-tropical Australia were a net source of CO$_2$, CH$_4$, and N$_2$O to the atmosphere. Wet conditions drove changes in stream GHGs through the release of soil NO$_3$ and DOC following rainfall events. Groundwater discharge as traced by radon was not a major source of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$, but seemed to influence N$_2$O dynamics. Land use had a minor but detectable influence on dissolved greenhouse gases. CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ increased with forest area during the wet period, while N$_2$O and CH$_4$ increased with agricultural area during the dry period. Overall, our multiple linear regression models show how DOC and NO$_3$ rainfall events, and land use drive spatial and temporal dynamics in stream greenhouse gases in sub-tropical streams. When expressed in terms of their sustained global warming potential, the contribution of CO$_2$ emissions was about 97% while CH$_4$ and N$_2$O combined accounted for only 3% of stream emissions. These findings have implications for improving current global outgassing estimations of GHGs in an underrepresented climatic region, and highlights the need to consider changing hydrology and land use when assessing GHG dynamics in streams.
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