ABSTRACT
Dislocation of the Shoulder Joint – Radiographic Analysis of Osseous Abnormalities
Bruno Vande Berg* and Patrick Omoumi†

Radiography remains pivotal to the workup of instability lesions of the shoulder, both in the acute as well as the chronic settings. The goal of radiography is to detect osseous abnormalities and locate them in order to determine the direction of instability. In antero-inferior instability, Hill-Sachs lesions are often visible at radiography and should not be confused with various differential diagnoses, which are usually more laterally located. Bankart lesions are more difficult to detect on conventional radiography, but there are less false positives than for Hill-Sachs lesions. The Garth view represents an excellent radiographic view to detect antero-inferior instability impact fractures at both the humeral and glenoid sides. Accurate quantification of bony abnormalities and detection of lesions to the soft-tissue stabilizers of the shoulder however require advanced cross-sectional imaging techniques.
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Introduction
The concept of joint instability refers to the loss of contact, partial or complete, transitory or permanent, between cartilaginous surfaces of a joint [1]. Shoulder instability can be classified according to the direction of instability, the type of clinical presentation, the etiology, mechanisms of injury, as well as anatomic lesions [2].

Imaging of the presumably unstable shoulder aims at detecting anatomical lesions related to the instability, locating them (hereby defining the direction of instability), and quantifying them. These parameters will also serve as prognostic factors and help to guide the management [1–4]. Conventional radiography is the first step of the diagnostic workup, since it allows the detection of osseous abnormalities following dislocations. However, advanced imaging techniques will be required to accurately quantify these osseous abnormalities, to detect associated lesions of soft tissue stabilizers of the shoulder (chondrolabral and capsuloligamentous lesions). Of note, congenital osseous abnormalities predisposing to shoulder instability (such as glenoid dysplasia) are relatively rare, although their incidence might be higher than previously thought [5–6].

The goal of this short review paper is to: discuss the optimization of radiographic views to detect osseous abnormalities in shoulder dislocation, present radiographic signs of previous dislocation, and review a few pitfalls on radiography.

Antero-inferior shoulder instability
Definition
Antero-inferior instability of the shoulder refers to a group of various entities that associate a translation of the humeral head relative to the glenoid, both in the anterior and the inferior directions. The relative importance of these anterior and inferior components depends on multiple parameters such as the direction of external constraints causing the dislocation, the position of the arm during the macro- or micro-traumatisms, and the status of the rotator cuff tendons or muscle tone.

Osseous abnormalities may occur at the sites of contact between the humerus and the glenoid. Although more severe fractures such as displaced comminutive fractures are possible, in the most typical case, dislocation may lead to an impact fracture at the contact zones of the postero-superior humeral head (called the Hill-Sachs lesion) and the antero-inferior glenoid rim (called the Bankart lesion) [7–9].

Bone Abnormalities On The Humeral Side: Hill-Sachs Lesions
The location of Hill-Sachs lesions varies depending on the position of the arm and the direction of the forces applied during trauma. In case of inferior displacement of the humerus, the position of Hill-Sachs lesions will be relatively cranial, whereas in more anterior displacement, it will be more posteriorly located. Most typically however, the lesion is located at the postero-superior aspect of the humeral head (Figure 1, 2) (Table 1).

Due to its location, the radiological view that is most sensitive for the detection of the lesion is a triple oblique...
frontal view of the shoulder, as described by Garth et al. [10]. The patient has his/her shoulder internally rotated along the side, the beam is oriented externally and caudally at 45° (Figures 3 and 4). If necessary, the patient can lean forward. The Hill-Sachs lesion is less often seen on the frontal view of the shoulder in external rotation, on which the X-Ray beam is tangent to antero-superior aspect of the humeral head, often spared. In fact, the visibility of the Hill-Sachs lesion on this frontal view in external rotation is a sign of a greater depth of the fracture and is used as a negative prognostic factor (i.e. in the Instability Severity Index Score) [11].

The Hill-Sachs lesion may have various appearances, either deep and angular, or shallow with smooth borders. Paradoxically, a Hill-Sachs lesion may sometimes appear as an “osteophyte-like” protuberance rather than a depression on radiographs, probably due to the fact that the X-Ray beam is, in those cases, tangent to the “elevated” margin, adjacent to the depressed area (Figures 5 and 6).

**Differential Diagnosis Of Hill-Sachs Lesions**

Any deformity of the bone contours at the postero-superior aspect of the humeral head should not be considered
Figure 3: Varying visibility of the Hill-Sachs lesion depending on the angulation of the X-ray beam. Surface rendering reformats of the shoulder simulating varying degrees of angulation of the X-ray beam (as seen by the varying aspect of the acromion). With an ascending beam (a), the Hill-Sachs lesion is almost not visible. With a descending beam (b), the lesion is obvious.

Figure 4: Frontal view of the shoulder in neutral rotation (a) and abduction/internal rotation (b) The depression (arrow) on the posterior head-neck junction of the humerus is a normal finding. The CT arthrogram axial image going through the mid third of the glenoid (c) shows the cortical depression with a large diameter base (arrow). The adjacent trabecular bone has a normal appearance. A CT arthrogram axial image through a more cranial part of the humeral head and the coracoid process (d) shows a depression with a smaller diameter base (arrowhead), corresponding to a Hill-Sachs lesion with sclerosis of the adjacent trabecular bone.
a Hill-Sachs lesion. Actually, there are important interindividual variations in the normal osseous contours at the head-neck junction (Figure 7), but the aspect is usually symmetrical to the asymptomatic side (on similar radiographic projections). The physiological depression at the posterior aspect of the head-neck junction should not be confused with a Hill-Sachs lesion on abduction-internal rotation views of the shoulder (Figure 4) [12]. This physiological depression is usually located caudal to the first 20 mm of the humeral head, where Hill-Sachs lesions may occur.

Synovial inclusion cysts are extremely frequent, especially at the bare area of the posterior aspect of the humeral head [13, 14]. They most likely result from hypercompression/friction between the bone and its capsulo-synovial environment, or from passage of synovial fluid into the bone. Synovial cysts are distinct from Hill-Sachs lesions by their location on the anatomical neck rather than on the humeral head and by their more lateral topography. They

Figure 5: Variant of Hill-Sachs lesion in the form of a bony protuberance (arrow) rather than a depression, corresponding to its elevated margin, to which the beam is tangent.

Figure 6: Variant of Hill-Sachs lesion on the frontal view in neutral rotation (a), in the form of an “osteophyte-like” protuberance, in relation to the large depressed Hill-Sachs lesion visible with a descending beam (b).

Figure 7: Interindividual variability of normal bony contours at the head-neck junction of the humeral head at radiography (a and c) and ultrasound (b and d). Marked depression (arrows in a, b) vs. smooth shallow depression (arrowheads in c, d).
appear on radiographs as cortical (superficial) or trabecular (deep) defects, bordered by a thin sclerotic margin. Their shape is also usually distinct, rounder than Hill-Sachs lesions.

Marginal erosions associated with inflammatory erosive arthropathies are also located on the anatomical neck, just as synovial inclusions cysts [13].

Osseous abnormalities associated with the common mechanical enthesopathies can also lead to irregularities, or even focal deformities of the humeral head, but are located on the greater tubercle [15].

Finally, it is to be kept in mind that certain fractures of the greater tubercle can also be associated with shoulder dislocation, following in some cases the impact of the glenoid on the metaphyseal-epiphyseal junction of the humerus [16].

Bone Abnormalities On The Glenoid Side: Bankart Lesions

Anteroinferior shoulder dislocation may lead to injury of the stabilizers of the shoulder on the glenoid side. These include injuries to the anterior or anteroinferior glenoid rim (called bony Bankart lesions), or to the labro-capsulo-ligamentous soft tissue stabilizers of the shoulder (called soft tissue Bankart lesions) [7]. Bony Bankart lesions are the only ones visible on conventional radiography. They will show as blunting, or as a fracture of the glenoid margin with an isolated bony fragment (Figure 1).

The detection of bony Bankart lesions on radiographs is not easy because they project on the glenoid in most cases (Figure 8). The strict AP view of the shoulder sometimes allows the detection of subchondral bone substance loss, because the X-ray beam is not strictly tangent to the glenoid, hereby allowing the differentiation between its anterior and posterior margins.

The lateral view of the glenoid described by Bernageau is certainly the best view to detect Bankart lesions since the X-ray beam is tangent at the antero-inferior aspect of the glenoid (Figure 9) [17]. Both shoulders should be compared to analyze differences in the glenoid rim. Unfortunately, following a trauma, this view cannot be performed due to the difficulties of the patient in positioning its shoulder in abduction and external rotation. The Garth view is an excellent radiographic view for the visualization of Bankart lesions, and can be performed in the acute setting, since it can be obtained with the patient sitting or standing, without significant mobilization of the traumatized shoulder (Figure 10) [10]. On this view, the beam is tangent to both the postero-superior aspect of the humeral head and the anteroinferior glenoid rim, making it valuable for the detection of both Hill-Sachs and Bankart lesions.

Accurate quantification of the bone loss is however best performed with CT or MRI because these cross-sectional techniques have a better potential than radiography to quantify the bony substance loss [1, 18–25]. Quantification of the glenoid bone loss may serve as a prognostic factor (higher risk of recurrence when greater bone loss), and to guide the choice between therapeutic options (capsulolabral repair vs. bony stabilization through Bristow-Latarjet procedure) [11, 26, 27]. CT remains the gold-standard for the quantification of glenoid and humeral head fractures, despite the evolution of concepts, especially for bipolar bone loss (“engaging” or “off-track” Hill-Sachs lesions) [21, 27, 28].

Differential Diagnosis Of Bankart Lesions

Isolated fractures of the glenoid anterior margin without history of dislocation are much less common than Bankart lesions. Advanced imaging techniques can show the normality of capsulo-labral structures in case of isolated glenoid fracture without dislocation.

The presence of an osseous fragment in the anteroinferior aspect of the glenoid may sometimes be due to

Figure 8: Bony Bankart lesion. Frontal view of the shoulder (a) showing irregularity of inferior glenoid rim (arrow) and loss of visualization of subchondral bone at most inferior aspect of glenoid. Frontal view in abduction, internal rotation of the shoulder (b) showing double contour of inferior margin of glenoid (arrowheads).
an isolated fracture of the lesser tubercle of the humerus (Figure 11), not to be confused with a Bankart lesion. CT can correct the diagnosis.

Secondary osteo-chondral nodules differ by their rounder shape, their cortical margins, and their more medial location in the sub-coracoid recess.

**Posterior Shoulder Dislocation**

In case of posterior shoulder instability, osseous abnormalities at the glenoid and humeral sides can be present, but their location varies. The impact fracture of the humerus lies anteriorly in case of posterior dislocation (and not at the postero-superior aspect of the humerus...
as after anterior dislocation), and is called a reverse Hill-
Sachs lesion. Blunting or fracture of the glenoid lies at
the posterior margin of the glenoid (and not antero-infe-
riorly), and is called a reverse Bankart lesion (Figure 12).
The long axis of the reverse Hill-Sachs lesion, referred
to as the “trough sign”, is often vertically oriented, due
to the fact that posterior dislocation often occurs in
the absence of abduction (acute spastic contraction of
rotator cuff muscles in case of epileptic seizures or elec-
trocution) (Figure 13). In general, the humeral head
is displaced posteriorly and not postero-inferiorly (in
case of anterior instability, anterior displacement of the
humeral head is hindered by the presence of the cora-
coid process).

Reverse Hill-Sachs lesions are seen on the frontal view
of the shoulder in neutral rotation and tends to fade with
internal rotation of the shoulder. It can also be seen on
the Bloom-Obata view [29]. The detection of these osse-
ous lesions can be challenging and probably depends
more on the acuity of the radiologist than on the obtained
radiographic view. Due to the difficulties at diagnosing
this lesion at radiography, advanced imaging techniques
should be used in doubtful cases.

Figure 11: Radiographic (a) and CT (b) of bony Bankart lesion. Displaced bony fragment (arrow in a) and loss of
subchondral bone plate (arrowheads). Radiographic (c) and CT (d) of fracture of lesser tubercle (arrows in c and d)
mimicking a bony Bankart lesion at radiography (c).

Figure 12: Frontal radiograph of shoulder showing dou-
ble contour of humeral head (“trough sign”) (arrow) due
to an reverse Hill-Sachs lesion secondary to history of
posterior dislocation.
Other Osseous Instability Lesions
Glenohumeral ligaments participated to the stabilization of the shoulder and their insertion sites can be avulsed during dislocation. The avulsed cortical fragment or ossification close to the insertion sites may sometimes be seen (Figure 14) [30, 31]. The exact rate of occurrence of such avulsion fracture is not known. They should not be confused with osseous fragments related to a Bankart lesion or to the avulsion of subscapularis tendon. This differentiation is sometimes difficult at radiography and advanced imaging may be needed. In some cases, ossifications at insertion sites may develop during the weeks after dislocation.

Conclusion
Radiography remains pivotal to the workup of instability lesions of the shoulder, both in the acute as well as the chronic settings, with the goal of detecting osseous abnormalities and locating them in order to determine the direction of instability. In antero-inferior instability, Hill-Sachs lesions are often visible at radiography and should not be confused with various differential diagnoses, which are usually more laterally located. Bankart lesions are more difficult to detect at conventional radiography, but there are less false positives than for Hill-Sachs lesions. The Garth view represents an excellent radiographic view to detect antero-inferior instability impaction fractures at both the humeral and glenoid sides. Accurate quantification of bony abnormalities, the detection of lesions to the soft-tissue stabilizers and associated lesions (particularly of cartilage and the rotator cuff) however require advanced imaging techniques.

Competing Interests
The authors have no competing interests to declare.

References
1. Gyftopoulos, S, Albert, M and Recht, MP. Osseous injuries associated with anterior shoulder instability: what the radiologist should know. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2014; 202(6): W541–W550. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2214/AJR.13.11824
2. Bencardino, JT, Gyftopoulos, S and Palmer, WE. Imaging in anterior glenohumeral instability. Radiology 2013; 269(2): 323–337. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1148/radiol.13121926
3. Omoumi, P, Teixeira, P, Lecouvet, F and Chung, CB. Glenohumeral joint instability. J Magn Reson
16. Vande Berg and Omoumi, Dislocation of the Shoulder Joint – Radiographic Analysis of Osseous Abnormalities

17. Bernageau, J, Patte, D, Debyere, J and Ferrane, J. Value of the glenoid profile in recurrent luxations of the shoulder. Rev Chir Orthop Reparatrice Appar Mot 1976; 62(2 suppl): 142–147.

18. Griffith, JF, Antonio, GE, Tong, CW and Ming, CK. Anterior shoulder dislocation: quantification of glenoid bone loss with CT. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2003; 180(5): 1423–1430. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2214/ajr.180.5.1801423

19. Gyftopoulos, S, Yemin, A, Mulholland, T, Bloom, M, Storey, P, Geppter, C and Recht, MP. 3DMR osseous reconstructions of the shoulder using a gradient-echo based two-point Dixon reconstruction: a feasibility study. Skeletal Radiol 2013; 42(3): 347–352. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00256-012-1489-z

20. Lee, RK, Griffith, JF, Tong, MM, Sharma, N and Yung, P. Glenoid bone loss: assessment with MR imaging. Radiology 2013; 267(2): 496–502. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1148/radiol.12121681

21. Gyftopoulos, S, Beltran, LS, Bookman, J and Rokito, A. MRI Evaluation of Bipolar Bone Loss Using the On-Track Off-Track Method: A Feasibility Study. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2015; 205(4): 848–852. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2214/ajr.14.14266

22. Moroder, P, Resch, H, Schnaitmann, S, Hoffelner, T and Tauber, M. The importance of CT for the pre-operative surgical planning in recurrent anterior shoulder instability. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2012.

23. Bishop, JY, Jones, GL, Rerko, MA, Donaldson, C and MOON Shoulder Group. 3-D CT is the Most Reliable Imaging Modality When Quantifying Glenoid Bone Loss. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2012.

24. Baudi, P, Campochiaro, G, Rebuzzi, M, Matino, G and Catani, F. Assessment of bone defects in anterior shoulder instability. Joints 2013; 1(1): 40–48.

25. Chuang, TY, Adams, CR and Burkhart, SS. Use of preoperative three-dimensional computed tomography to quantify glenoid bone loss in shoulder instability. Arthroscopy 2008; 24(4): 376–382. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2007.10.008

26. Longo, UG, Lopini, M, Rizzello, G, Romeo, G, Huijsmans, PE and Denaro, V. Glenoid and humeral head bone loss in traumatic anterior glenohumeral instability: a systematic review. Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc 2014; 22(2): 392–414. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00167-013-2403-5

27. Di Giacomo, G, Itoi, E and Burkhart, SS. Evolving concept of bipolar bone loss and the Hill-Sachs lesion: from “engaging/non-engaging” lesion to “on-track/off-track” lesion. Arthroscopy 2014; 30(1): 90–98. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.arthro.2013.10.004

28. Olds, M, Ellis, R, Donaldson, K, Parmar, P and Kersten, P. Risk factors which predispose first-time traumatic anterior shoulder dislocations to recurrent instability in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Br J Sports Med 2015;
49(14): 913–922. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-094342

29. Bloom, MH and Obata, WG. Diagnosis of posterior dislocation of the shoulder with use of Velpeau axillary and angle-up roentgenographic views. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1967; 49(5): 943–949.

30. Bui-Mansfield, LT, Taylor, DC, Uhorchak, JM and Tenuta, JJ. Humeral avulsions of the glenohumeral ligament: imaging features and a review of the literature. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2002; 179(3): 649–655. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.2214/ajr.179.3.1790649

31. Bokor, DJ, Conboy, VB and Olson, C. Anterior instability of the glenohumeral joint with humeral avulsion of the glenohumeral ligament. A review of 41 cases. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1999; 81(1): 93–96. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1302/0301-620X.81B1.9111