Transplantation of placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell-induced neural stem cells to treat spinal cord injury
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Abstract
Because of their strong proliferative capacity and multi-potency, placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells have gained interest as a cell source in the field of nerve damage repair. In the present study, human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells were induced to differentiate into neural stem cells, which were then transplanted into the spinal cord after local spinal cord injury in rats. The motor functional recovery and pathological changes in the injured spinal cord were observed for 3 successive weeks. The results showed that human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells can differentiate into neuron-like cells and that induced neural stem cells contribute to the restoration of injured spinal cord without causing transplant rejection. Thus, these cells promote the recovery of motor and sensory functions in a rat model of spinal cord injury. Therefore, human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells may be useful as seed cells during the repair of spinal cord injury.
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Introduction
Stem cell research has rapidly progressed recently, and mesenchymal stem cells in particular have been widely used as a novel treatment method (Syková et al., 2006; Satija et al., 2009; Patel et al., 2013). The growing evidence has demonstrated that mesenchymal stem cells are pluripotent and provide clear benefits when used in the treatment of various nervous system dysfunctions caused by ischemia and certain degenerative environments (Shin et al., 2004; Shen et al., 2014). In addition, these cells have a variety of advantages such as being widely available, they can be expanded and induced to differentiate in vitro, and long-term survival and integration into nerve tissue (Li et al., 2008; Shao et al., 2009). These features of mesenchymal stem cells suggest their use as a new approach to the treatment of spinal cord injury. Previous studies have reported the use of many different stem cells for the treatment of spinal cord injury, including bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells, neural stem cells, adipose stem cells, umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells, umbilical cord blood mesenchymal stem cells, embryonic stem cells, and placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells (PDMSs). Among these cells, allogeneic and xenogeneic bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells have been frequently studied for the treatment of spinal cord injury. However, bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells are not very prevalent, and their number gradually declines as their proliferation and differentiation capacities decrease with age, which limits their clinical application.

Several studies have demonstrated that PDMSs and bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells have similar functions and features, as well as similar multi-directional differentiation potentials (Miao et al., 2006; Zhang and Yang, 2010), though PDMSs have a stronger proliferative ability. The increasing evidence from prior work shows that PDMSs can be induced to differentiate in vitro into mesodermic cardiocytes, smooth muscle cells, osteoblasts, adipocytes, endodermic pancreatic islet cells, liver cells, ectodermic neurons and astrocytes (Alviano et al., 2007; Wolbank et al., 2007; Portmann-Lanz et al., 2010). These results were consistent with in vivo findings in rat models of myocardial infarction and Parkinson’s disease, mouse models of diabetes mellitus, and primate models of spinal cord injury (Ventura et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010; Li et al., 2014).

The interest in PDMSs is currently growing. Li et al. (2013) reported that human PDMSs loaded on the human amniotic membrane were beneficial for the treatment of radial nerve injury. Yang et al. (2013) found that human PDMSs promoted the healing of tendon grafts in the bone.
tunnel. In the present study, we transplanted neural stem cells differentiated from human PDMSCs into injured spinal cords of rats and observed the recovery of motor and sensory functions, as well as the pathological changes in the injured spinal cord for 3 successive weeks, to assess this as a novel method for the clinical treatment of spinal cord injury.

Materials and Methods

Experimental animals
A total of 72 Sprague-Dawley rats, half male and half female, aged 10 weeks, weighing 240 ± 10 g, were provided by the Liaoning Changsheng Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Benxi, Liaoning Province, China; license No. SYXK (Liao) 2010-0001). The experimental animals were cared for under the approval of the Animal Ethics Committee of Shenyang Medical College (Shenyang, Liaoning Province, China). All procedures were performed under pentobarbital sodium anesthesia. Every effort was made to minimize the number of animals used, as well as their pain and suffering.

Experimental reagents
The following reagents were purchased: Mesencult III medium (Stemcell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada); human lymphocyte separation buffer (Tianjin Haoyang Biotech Company, Tianjin, China); PE-labeled anti-human CD29 and CD34, and FITC-labeled anti-human CD44 and CD90 monoclonal antibodies (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA); recombinant human granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (Filgrastim; Amoytop Biotech Co., Ltd., Xiamen, Fujian Province, China); trypsin, bromide-oxyuridine reagent, rabbit anti-mouse bromide-oxyuridine antibody, lymphocyte separation medium, rabbit anti-mouse GFAP antibody, rabbit anti-mouse NSE antibody SABC kit, and the DAB chromogenic kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA); and brain-derived neurotrophic factor kit (Beijing Zhongshan Golden Bridge Biotechnology Co., Ltd., Beijing, China).

Placental specimens
Placental specimens were collected from normal full-term cesarean deliveries in the Department of Obstetrics at the Affiliated Central Hospital of Shenyang Medical College in China. The maternal age was 23–35 years old and maternal health by physical examination was good. The patients were negative for syphilis, HIV, CMV, HBsAg, and HCV, and had no history of infectious diseases or complications during pregnancy. The participants and their families were informed of the experiment and signed informed consent.

PDMSCs isolation and culture
The placental decidual tissue was harvested under sterile conditions, rinsed with PBS, and cut into 1 × 1 × 1 mm³ pieces with scissors. Next, the specimens were digested with 10 mL of 1% collagenase IV in a 37°C water bath for 30 minutes, and the digestion was terminated with DMEM. Then, the cells were triturated by pipetting and filtered with 100-µm mesh screen to obtain a cell suspension. The cell suspension was centrifuged at 1,200 r/min for 5 minutes. After aspirating the supernatant, 10 mL of complete culture medium (low-glucose DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% double antibody) was added. Next, the number of cells was counted and the cell density was adjusted to 3 × 10^6 cells/L. The cells were then incubated in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 3 days, at which point the culture medium was replenished and the non-adherent cells were removed. From then on, the medium was changed after every 3–4 days. The cells were passaged for subculture after reaching 80–90% confluence. The PDMSCs were cultured in mesenchymal stem cell culture media in our laboratory, and passage 3 PDMSCs were observed under an inverted microscope.

PDMSCs identification
Passage 3 PDMSCs were digested with 0.25% trypsin for 3 minutes and prepared into a single cell suspension. The PDMSCs at 3 × 10^5 cells/L were incubated with anti-human, CD90, CD73, CD105, CD14, CD34, and CD45 monoclonal antibodies on ice in the dark for 20 minutes, rinsed with PBS twice, and centrifuged for 5 minutes at 4°C and 1,000 r/min. Next, the supernatant was discarded, and 2 mL of cold PBS was added. The cell surface markers were detected by flow cytometry.

PDMSCs differentiation into neural stem cells and transfection
When the passage 3 PDMSCs reached 30% confluence, the culture medium was discarded, and DMEM/F12 medium supplemented with condensed gel (1:2,000 dilution) was added. The cells were then incubated in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂ at 37°C for 20 minutes, and green fluorescent protein (GFP)-recombinant lentiviral vector was introduced into the human PDMSCs at various MOI values (0, 1, 5, 25, 50, and 100). The transfected PDMSCs were cultured using standard techniques for 72 hours, and were then microscopically observed. Three high-power fields of view were randomly selected to measure the cell number. The transfection rate was roughly estimated to be 90.3%.

After the PDMSCs were stained with the GFP-lentiviral vector and induced to differentiate into neural stem cells, the neural stem cells were differentiated into neuron-like cells. Briefly, a T175 culture flask of PDMSCs with 2 × 10^5 and 4 × 10^5 cells were seeded into four T75 flasks. On day 2, the cells were transfected with GFP-lentivirus and the culture medium was changed 8 hours later. Twenty-four hours after the medium change, the cells were transferred to 24-well plates with 2.7 × 10^5 cells per well, neural stem cell induction medium (1.5 mL) was added, and the medium was changed every other day. Three days after the medium change, the cells in the 24-well plates were transferred to T75 flasks with three wells per flask, and 10 mL of neural stem cell induction medium was added. Three days after the transfer, each flask was infused with 10 mL of media. Six days after the transfer, the cell suspension was centrifuged and freezing medium (9 parts neural stem cell induction medium to 1 part DMSO) was added. There were 1.1 × 10^6 cells per vial, 100 µL of cell suspension (1.1 × 10^5) was added to each vial, and they were...
incubated with 10 mL of neuron-like cell induction medium overnight and then observed under the microscope.

**Spinal cord injury model and experimental groups**

Sprague-Dawley rats were fasted for 12 hours before surgery, weighed, and anaesthetized with 0.01 mL/g of 2% sodium pentobarbital through an intraperitoneal injection. The rats were secured in the prone position on the operating table under anesthesia. After disinfecting the skin, a T10-centred longitudinal incision was made through the back skin and subcutaneous tissue, and the paraspinal muscles were stripped on both sides. The T10 spinous process and vertebral plate were exposed and resected to expose the spinal dura mater. Using the NYU impactor method, the spinal cord injury was produced by dropping a 10-g weight from a height of 25 mm. The spinal cord transection injury model was verified by the appearance of dragging hindlimbs and dorsum.

Seventy-two rats were randomly divided into four groups, each containing 18 rats: a saline control group (Saline group), a GFP-labeled PDMSCs transplantation group (GFP-MS group), a GFP-labeled PDMSCs-differentiated neural stem cells transplantation group (GFP-Ne group), and a PDMSCs-differentiated neural stem cells transplantation group (Neuron group). Immediately after creating the spinal cord transection injury, 0.2 mL of cell suspension at $1 \times 10^6$ cells/mL was injected into the injured spinal cord using a micro syringe, while the saline group was injected with 0.2-mL saline. After the injection, the skin incisions were dressed with a gelatin sponge, sutured, and disinfected with iodine. After resuscitation from anesthesia, the rats were housed in cages.

**Outcome measures**

**Hindlimb functional recovery**

The rat hindlimb functions were evaluated using the Basso, Beattie, and Bresnahan (BBB) scale by two investigators who were experienced in BBB rating and were blinded with...
Table 2 Comparison of the somatosensory evoked potential in the rat hindlimbs at different time points after surgery

| Group          | Latency (ms) | Amplitude (µV) |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|
|                | 1 week       | 2 weeks        | 3 weeks       |
|                | Latency      | Amplitude      |
| Saline         | 10.37±0.14   | 9.64±0.13      | 9.39±0.19     |
| GFP-MS         | 9.23±0.17*   | 8.97±0.21*     | 7.96±0.24*    |
| GFP-Ne         | 9.35±0.12*   | 8.67±0.15*     | 7.86±0.15*    |
| Neuron         | 9.33±0.11*   | 8.65±0.17*     | 7.85±0.16*    |

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n=6). *P < 0.05, vs. the saline group; Saline group: Saline control group; GFP-MS group: green fluorescent protein-labeled placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation group; GFP-Ne group: green fluorescent protein-labeled placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell-differentiated neural stem cell transplantation group; neuron group: placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell-differentiated neural stem cell transplantation group.

Table 3 Comparison of the motor evoked potential in the rat hindlimbs at different time points after surgery

| Group          | Latency (ms) | Amplitude (µV) |
|----------------|--------------|----------------|
|                | 1 week       | 2 weeks        | 3 weeks       |
|                | Latency      | Amplitude      |
| Saline         | 13.27±0.17   | 12.34±0.33     | 11.90±0.29    |
| GFP-MS         | 12.33±0.14*  | 11.27±0.22*    | 10.16±0.14*   |
| GFP-Ne         | 11.35±0.16*  | 10.17±0.14*    | 9.26±0.17*    |
| Neuron         | 11.33±0.15*  | 10.15±0.16*    | 9.25±0.15*    |

Data are expressed as the mean ± SD (n=6). *P < 0.05, vs. the saline group; Saline group: Saline control group; GFP-MS group: green fluorescent protein-labeled placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells transplantation group; GFP-Ne group: green fluorescent protein-labeled placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell-differentiated neural stem cells transplantation group; neuron group: placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell-differentiated neural stem cell transplantation group.

Neuroelectrophysiological test

At 1, 2, and 3 weeks after the surgery, the somatosensory evoked potentials and motor evoked potential in the hindlimbs and muscle groups were determined for all rats.

Histopathological detection

At 1, 2, and 3 weeks after the surgery, specimens from six rats in each group were harvested at each time point. The injured spinal cord specimens were stained with hematoxylin and eosin and were microscopically observed.

Statistical analysis

The data were statistically analyzed using SPSS 13.0 software (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). The differences among different specimens were assessed using an analysis of variance with a randomized block experimental design. P-values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant. The data are presented as the mean ± SD.

Results

Identification of PDMSCs

By inverted microscopy, the mesenchymal cells showed a typical morphology, with a spindle-type shape and strong refractive effect (Figure 1). Flow cytometry analysis indicated that the cells were positive for surface markers CD90, CD105, and CD73 and negative for CD34, CD14, and CD45 (Figure 2). These results demonstrate that the extracted and cultured cells were mesenchymal stem cells.

PDMSCs differentiated into neural stem cells and were successfully transfected

The GFP-lentivirus transfected cells are shown in Figure 3A, B. After incubating overnight in neural stem cell induction medium in the 24-well plates, adherent small cell clusters were visible (Figure 3C). After 1 day, single cells remained suspended in solution; after 5 days of culture in the 24-well plates, the cells began to adhere and covered the bottom, but adherent cell clusters were still visible (Figure 3D). Two days after being transferred to the flasks, cells were found in suspension and in a small number of cell clusters (Figure 3E); after 6 days, the cells in suspension increased in size (Figure 3F); and after neuron-like cell induction medium was added, the cells began to adhere and synapse-like structures formed (Figure 3G).

Behavioral test results

The BBB score of normal rat hindlimbs before spinal cord injury was 23 points. After injury, the rats were observed dragging their hindlimbs and with their foot dorsum touching the ground. The BBB scores gradually increased after the cell transplantation, and reached 13 points after 3 weeks. At 3 weeks after spinal cord injury, the BBB score in all three cell transplantation groups was significantly higher than that in the saline group (P < 0.05). There were no significant differences among the three cell transplantation groups (P > 0.05; Table 1).

Neuroelectrophysiological results

Latency

The latency of the somatosensory evoked potential and motor evoked potential in the rat hindlimbs was increased after...
spinal cord transection injury. The evoked potential latencies gradually decreased in rats after cell transplantation. Three weeks after the injury, the evoked potential latencies in the three cell transplantation groups were significantly shorter than that in the saline group ($P < 0.05$). There were no significant differences among the three cell transplantation groups ($P > 0.05$; Tables 2, 3).

Amplitude

The amplitude of the somatosensory evoked potential and motor evoked potential in the rat hindlimbs was decreased after spinal cord transection injury. The evoked potential amplitudes gradually increased in rats after cell transplantation. Three weeks after injury, the evoked potential amplitudes in the three cell transplantation groups were significantly higher than that in the saline group ($P < 0.05$). There were no significant differences among the three cell transplantation groups ($P > 0.05$; Tables 2, 3).

Histopathological changes

After the spinal cord transection injury, the nerve cells were scattered and became necrotic, showing large nuclei (Figure 4A, B). One week after cell transplantation, the stem cells were found distributed throughout the injury site in the GFP-MS group under fluorescence microscopy (Figure 4C, D). A portion of the stem cells had scattered around the injured spinal cord in the neuron group (Figure 4E, F), and the GFP-labeled neural stem cells were aggregated at the nerve stump in the GFP-Ne group (Figure 4G, H). These results suggest that the neural stem cells migrated under chemotaxis.

The histopathological changes in the injured spinal cord at 2 weeks after cell transplantation are shown in Figure 5. In the PDMSC-induced neural stem cell transplantation group (neuron group), the neural stem cells appeared to display chemotaxis towards nerves and blood vessels.

Three weeks after cell transplantation, spinal nerve tissues were found sparsely distributed in the saline group (Figure 6A, B). The GFP-labeled mesenchymal stem cells grew into the spinal cord, and the GFP signal faded in the GFP-MS group, as observed under fluorescence microscope (Figure 6C, D). The spinal nerve cells were arranged tightly in the neuron group and GFP-Ne group, as observed under fluorescence microscope (Figure 6E–H).

Discussion

With the progression of stem cell research, stem cell transplantation has been suggested as a potential method for restoring injured spinal cord. Various types of stem cells have been applied in different animal models of spinal cord injury, as well as in clinical trials. Theoretically, embryonic stem cells isolated from the inner layer of blastocysts are the ideal cells for transplantation because of their trans-blastoderm differentiation and their pluripotent potential. However, the application of such cells is limited by ethical problems and their teratogenicity or tumorigenicity. Stem cells in the central nervous system display a strong ability to differentiate, but the number of stem cells declines during the process of neural development and sub-cultured cells are prone to aging, limiting their clinical application. Inducing pluripotent stem cells requires specialized skills and not very many cells can be obtained currently. Schwann cells and olfactory ensheathing cells are not suitable for clinical application because of their limited amplification potential, although their effects after cell transplantation are good. Adipose stem cells and bone marrow stem cells exist in limited numbers and are difficult to obtain. The umbilical cord is too small to harvest enough umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stem cells for use. The placenta, however, is readily available, contains a large number of cells that can be isolated, is relatively low cost, and does not present ethical issues (Parolini et al., 2008; Kadam et al., 2010; Lu et al., 2011). PDMSCs have a strong ability to proliferate and a low immunogenicity, which contribute to their strengths as a novel seed cell for the treatment of spinal cord injury.

In the present study, the obtained PDMSCs were positive for CD90, CD44, and CD105 surface markers, and did not express CD34 or CD45, as detected by flow cytometry analysis. These results showed that the cultured cells displayed stem cell surface markers indicating that they were in fact PDMSCs. Prior experiments found that human PDMSCs can differentiate into chondrocytes (Li et al., 2014). The results presented here demonstrate that PDMSCs can be induced to differentiate into neural stem cells, and further induced into neuron-like cells, forming synapse-like structures. Additional studies are needed to determine whether these cells have similar functions as nerve cells.

Previous studies showed that human PDMSCs are pluripotent. Therefore, we transplanted the PDMSC-induced neural stem cells into a rat model of spinal cord injury. The results showed that after transplantation of the PDMSCs or PDSMC-induced neural stem cells, the motor functions of the rats with spinal cord injury were significantly improved. The BBB score after injury of 2 points increased to 13 points at 3 weeks after cell transplantation, whereas the BBB score in the saline control group was only 6 points at that time. This evidence indicated that transplantation of PDMSCs or PDSMC-induced neural stem cells can improve the motor dysfunction in the rat model of spinal cord injury. In addition, neuroelectrophysiological tests revealed that the latencies of the somatosensory evoked potentials and motor evoked potentials were decreased, and the amplitudes were increased, in the rat model of spinal cord injury at 3 weeks after cell transplantation. This evidence indicated that transplantation of PDMSCs or PDSMC-induced neural stem cells improved the hindlimb sensory and motor dysfunctions caused by the spinal cord injury, which is consistent with the BBB scores.

In the present study, the histopathological staining showed that the transplanted PDMSCs and PDSMC-induced neural stem cells restored the injured spinal cord and did not cause rejection. One and two weeks after cell transplantation, the GFP-labeled human PDMSCs were scattered throughout the spinal cord injury site in the GFP-MS group, as observed under fluorescence microscopy. One week after cell trans-
Figure 3 Human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells were differentiated into neural stem cells and then transfected.
(A, B) Placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cells were transfected by lentivirus under fluorescent and visible light (× 40). (C) One day after culture in 24-well plates, adherent cell masses were visible. (D) Five days after culture in 24-well plates, adherent cells and cell masses were found (× 40). (E) Two days after culture in flasks, both suspended cells and cell spheres were observed. (F) Six days after culture in flasks, the cell spheres in suspension increased in size (× 40). (G) After the neural stem cells were cultured with the neuron-like induction medium overnight, adherent cells and synapse-like structures were found (× 40).

Figure 4 Histopathological changes in the injured spinal cord at 1 week after cell transplantation (hematoxylin-eosin staining, × 40).
(A, B) In the saline control group with no transplanted cells, the nerve cells were scattered and became necrotic with large nuclei. (C, D) In the GFP-labeled human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell transplantation group, the stem cells were scattered around the spinal cord injury site, as observed under fluorescence microscopy (arrows). (E, F) In the placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell-induced neural stem cell transplantation group, portions of the stem cells were scattered around the spinal cord injury site. (G, H) In the GFP-labeled human placenta-derived mesenchymal stem cell-induced neural stem cell transplantation group, the GFP-labeled neural stem cells aggregated at the nerve stump, suggesting a capacity for chemotaxis. GFP: Green fluorescent protein.
plantation, the GFP-labeled neural stem cells had aggregated around the nerve stumps and blood vessels in the GFP-Ne group, suggesting that the neural stem cells displayed chemotaxis. However, the BBB score in the GFP-Ne group was higher, the evoked potential latencies were shorter, and the amplitude was higher than those of the GFP-MS group,
though these differences were not significant. This evidence suggested that transplantation of the PDMSC-induced neural stem cells may have achieved better results during the early transplantation period. Three weeks after cell transplantation, the GFP-Ne group had no advantage in BBB score or evoked potentials, and the repair of the spinal cord injury in the two groups were similar, with spinal nerve cells arranged tightly by histopathology. The mechanisms underlying this repair need further study. GFP-lentiviral vectors are commonly used to label stem cells. A large number of studies have demonstrated that GFP labeling does not affect the proliferation or differentiation of stem cells (Aliperti et al., 2012; Grinev et al., 2012; Nakamura et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013), which is also supported by our findings reported here.

In summary, human PDMSCs were successfully isolated and cultured in this study. These cells showed pluripotent differentiation ability and can be induced to differentiate into neural stem cells, which help to repair spinal cord injuries without causing rejection after transplantation. Human PDMSCs may be used as seed cells in a stem cell bank, providing a novel seed cell for clinical cell transplantation to treat spinal cord injury.
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