Limited lifespan and senescence, the post-reproductive decline in survival and fertility with advancing age, are near-universal phenomena. They are quantitative traits that exhibit variation in natural populations due to the segregation of many interacting loci and environmental effects. Due to the complexity of the genetic control of lifespan and senescence, our understanding of the genetic basis of variation in these traits is incomplete. Here, we analyzed the pattern of genetic divergence between long-lived (O) Drosophila melanogaster lines selected for postponed reproductive senescence and unselected control (B) lines. We quantified the productivity of the O and B lines and found that reproductive senescence is maternally controlled. We therefore chose 57 candidate genes that are expressed in ovaries, 49 of which have human orthologs, and assessed the effects of RNA interference in ovaries and accessory glands on lifespan and reproduction. All but one candidate gene affected at least one life history trait in one sex or productivity week. In addition, 23 genes had antagonistic pleiotropic effects on lifespan and productivity. Identifying evolutionarily conserved genes affecting increased lifespan and delayed reproductive senescence is the first step toward understanding the evolutionary forces that maintain segregating variation at these loci in nature and may provide potential targets for therapeutic intervention to delay senescence while increasing lifespan.

Due to the complexity of the genetic control of lifespan and senescence, our understanding of the genetic basis of variation in these traits is incomplete. Evolutionary theory predicts that variants affecting lifespan may have antagonistic effects on other aspects of fitness (Williams 1957), have late-life specific deleterious effects (Medawar 1952) and/or have negative pleiotropic effects on reproduction and somatic maintenance (Kirkwood 1977), explaining why genetic variation for lifespan may persist. Studies in Drosophila melanogaster provide experimental support for these predictions as there is increased genetic variance in mortality (Hughes and Charlesworth 1994; Charlesworth and Hughes 1996) and fecundity (Durham et al. 2014) with increasing age; negative genetic correlations between early fecundity and lifespan (Rose and Charlesworth 1981a) and reduced early fecundity and increased lifespan of lines selected for late-age fecundity (Rose and Charlesworth 1981a) and reduced early fecundity and increased lifespan of lines selected for late-age fecundity (Rose and Charlesworth 1981b; Rose 1984; Luckinbill et al. 1984; Sgrò and Partridge 1999; Remolina et al. 2012; Fabian et al. 2018), and single mutations affecting increased lifespan have deleterious effects on other fitness-related quantitative traits (Magwire et al. 2010). However, to date only a few causal genes underlying these relationships in a natural population have been identified (Paaby and Schmidt 2008; Paaby et al. 2014).
Identifying specific genes with allelic variants that causally affect lifespan and senescence will enhance our understanding of the evolutionary forces acting on these genes and empirically test the validity and relative contributions of the evolutionary theories of senescence and maintenance of genetic variation. These causal genes may also offer potential targets for therapeutic intervention to delay senescence while increasing lifespan.

Many mechanisms influencing lifespan have been implicated by studies of effects of mutations and segregating natural variation in short-lived model organisms and humans, such as insulin signaling (Friedman and Johnson 1988; Kenyon et al. 1993; Kimura et al. 1997; Paradis and Ruvkun 1998; Tissenbaum and Ruvkun 1998; Gil et al. 1999; Clancy et al. 2001; Holzenberger et al. 2003; Blüher et al. 2003; Giannakou et al. 2004; Hwangbo et al. 2004; Paaby et al. 2014), caloric restriction (Lakowski and Hekimi 1998; Defossez et al. 2001; Lin et al. 2002; Rogina and Helfand 2004; Grandison et al. 2009), environmental stress (Rose et al. 1992; Lithgow et al. 1995; Zwaan et al. 1995; Lin et al. 1998; Mockett and Sohal 2006; Rollmann et al. 2006; Ma et al. 2015), DNA repair and replication (Woodhead et al. 1985; Yu et al. 1996; de Boer et al. 2002), telomere integrity (Bodnar et al. 1998), immune response (Zerofsky et al. 2005; Felix et al. 2012; Horn et al. 2014), sensory perception (Apfeld and Kenyon 1999; Libert et al. 2007), gene silencing (Kim et al. 1999), learning (Ping et al. 2015), and reactive oxygen species (ROS) detoxification (Griswold et al. 1993; Ishii et al. 1998; Parkes et al. 1998; Sun et al. 2002; Kharade et al. 2005). While many mutations have been identified that extend lifespan, many more decrease longevity, suggesting that normal expression of the latter genes is essential for survival. For example, a screen for P-element insertions affecting lifespan in D. melanogaster identified 135 genes associated with an increase in lifespan and 296 genes associated with a decrease in lifespan (Magwire et al. 2010).

Quantitative trait loci (QTL) affecting lifespan have been mapped in D. melanogaster (Nuzhdin et al. 1997; Leips and Mackay 2000; Paisykova et al. 2000; Vieira et al. 2000; Leips and Mackay 2002; Forbes et al. 2004; Wilson, Morgan, and Mackay 2006; Durham et al. 2014; Ivanov et al. 2015; Fabian et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2020), C. elegans (Shook 1996; Ayyadevara et al. 2001; Shmookler Reis et al. 2006), mice (Jackson et al. 2002; Doria et al. 2004; Lang et al. 2010), and humans (Beekman et al. 2013; Deelen et al. 2013; Deelen et al. 2014). However, only a few genes implicated by QTL mapping have been shown to be capable of affecting both lifespan and reproductive senescence using an independent assay (Durham et al. 2014).

Here, we used genetic divergence between five long-lived (O) D. melanogaster lines selected for postponed reproductive senescence and five unselected control (B) lines (Rose 1984; Carnes et al. 2015) to identify candidate genes underlying the response to selection. The O lines live twice as long as the B lines and maintain high levels of reproduction at later ages compared to the B lines (Carnes et al. 2015). We re-analyzed the genetic divergence data for these lines (Carnes et al. 2015) to identify intervals containing multiple variants with allele frequency differences between the O and B lines. These regions contained a large number of genes that could not be further resolved with existing genetic data. We then evaluated the effect of RNAi for 57 of these candidate genes on lifespan of males and females as well as lifetime reproductive success. We identified candidate genes for which RNAi increased lifespan and decreased early reproduction and vice versa, candidate genes for which RNAi had opposite effects on lifespan in males and females, candidate genes for which RNAi that increased lifespan with no deleterious effects on reproduction, and one candidate gene for which RNAi increased both lifespan and reproduction. These results provide support for the antagonistic pleiotropy theory of aging (Williams 1957) and the basis for further analysis of causal polymorphic variants contributing to the response to selection.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Drosophila stocks**

The five long-lived O lines have been maintained with 70-day generation intervals to preserve selection for delayed reproduction, and the five unselected B lines have been maintained with 14-day generation intervals (Rose 1984; Carnes et al. 2015). All RNAi lines were obtained from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center. The 39 P[KK] RNAi lines are from the same genetic background, contain upstream activating sequence UAS-RNAi constructs for each candidate gene at the same locus on the second chromosome, and have no known off-target effects on other loci (Dietzl et al. 2007). The 18 P[Gf] RNAi lines are from the same genetic background, contain P-element based transgenes in a random insertion site, and have no known off-target effects on other loci (Dietzl et al. 2007). All candidate genes tested are listed in Supplementary Table 4. GAL4-c825 is specific to ovaries and accessory glands. Apart from the O populations, which were maintained in cages at room temperature when not being used for assays, all stocks and experimental flies were maintained at 25°, 60–75% relative humidity, and on a 12-hour light-dark cycle.

**Productivity assays: O and B lines**

We assessed the productivity of females from the five O (O1 – O5) and five B (B1 – B5) lines crossed to males from their own lines, and productivity of O and B females crossed to males from the other selection lines (O1♀×B1♂, O2♀×B2♂, O3♀×B3♂, O4♀×B4♂, O5♀×B5♂, B1♀×O1♂, B2♀×O2♂, B3♀×O3♂, B4♀×O4♂, B5♀×O5♂) as described in Carnes et al. (2015) with a few exceptions. Experimental flies were produced by allowing six males and six females to mate and lay eggs for three days in vials containing 5 mL culture medium. Offspring from these vials were collected on the day of eclosion, anesthetized using CO2, sorted into vials with three males or three females, and given 24 hr to recover before setting up the experimental vials. Three male and three female flies of each genotype were placed in each of 15 replicate vials and aged to three to five days old. They were then allowed to lay eggs for 24 hr on 5 mL culture media once a week for four weeks. The total number of adults from each vial was counted until day 16 post-eclosion and divided by the number of living females in that vial to give an average per female per vial. Experimental flies were transferred without anesthesia to new vials containing 2 mL culture media every 1-3 days to minimize bacterial and fungal infections. Data were analyzed by an analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the model \( Y = \mu + Gm + Gf + W + GmxW + GfxW + GmxGf + GmGfxW + i + e \), where \( Gm \) is the fixed effect of the mother’s genotype, \( Gf \) is the fixed effect of the father’s genotype, \( W \) is the fixed effect of week, and \( i \) is the error, using JMP Pro 14 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Reduced model ANOVAs of form \( Y = \mu + Gm + GfxGf + i + e \) were also run for each week, and Tukey’s tests were performed to determine significant differences between each cross at each week.

**Identification of intervals with high genomic divergence**

To identify genomic intervals that contained fixed or nearly fixed variants between the O and B lines, we first identified variants with allele frequency differences greater than 0.8 between the O and B lines. Next, we merged any adjacent variants whose allele frequency differences were greater than 0.8. These intervals were often interrupted by small numbers of variants that did not meet this stringent allele frequency difference threshold. These interruptions were merged with their flanking intervals.
if they contained fewer than three variants and if the minimum allele frequency differences were greater than 0.5. We calculated both the length in bp of these merged intervals (Figure 2) and the number of variants they contained (Supplemental Table 1). The interval length was computed as the distance between neighboring variants and tends to be an underestimate when the number of variants was small.

**Productivity and lifespan assays: RNAi lines**

The first generation of experimental flies were produced by allowing six GAL4-c825 males and six P(KK) or P(GD) UAS-RNAi females to mate and lay eggs in vials containing 10 mL culture medium for two days. The GAL4-c825 driver is expressed in amnioserum, adult female ovary, and adult male accessory glands and seminal vesicles. Two control genotypes were also used: Fl progeny of v60100, which is the isogenic strain in which the P(KK) UAS-RNAi lines were constructed with the empty PhiC31 vector, crossed to GAL4-c825; and Fl progeny of v60000, which is the isogenic strain in which the P(GD) UAS-RNAi lines were constructed with a modified pUAST vector pMF3, crossed to GAL4-c825. Of the Fl progeny from each mating, 3 males and 3 females were sorted into vials containing 5 mL culture medium with 48 replicates for each genotype. Three females per vial were allowed to lay eggs for 24 hr on 10 mL culture media once a week for their entire lifespan, and the average number of Fl offspring per female in each vial was recorded until 16 days after the initial egg laying for 13 of the 48 replicates. Flies were transferred without anesthesia to new vials containing 5 mL culture media every 1-3 days to provide fresh food and minimize bacterial and fungal infections. Dead flies were removed, and their deaths recorded. The sum of the average number of offspring across all weeks determined the lifetime productivity for each vial. The mixed factorial ANOVA model $Y = \mu + S + G + S\times G + Rep(G) + S\times Rep(G) + e$ was used to partition variation in lifespan between the fixed main effects of Sex (S), Genotype (G, RNAi or control) and their interaction, and the random effect of replicate vial (Rep), nested within genotype. Reduced model ANOVAs of form $Y = \mu + G + Rep(G) + e$ were also run for males and females separately. The full fixed effect factorial ANOVA model for lifetime productivity, which is the summation of all individual weeks, was $Y = \mu + G + e$; where $G$ is Genotype. The full fixed effect factorial ANOVA model for weekly productivity was $Y = \mu + W + G + W\times G + e; where W is Week and G is Genotype. Reduced models of form $Y = \mu + G + e$ were run for each week. These analyses were performed in six blocks with different genes in each block.

**Gene expression analyses**

The magnitude of RNAi-mediated suppression of gene expression was assessed using quantitative PCR (qPCR) of female ovaries and male accessory glands for a subset of the RNAi and control genotypes. Ovary tissue was dissected from females and accessory glands were dissected from males at 3-5 days old, with 10 ovaries and 10 accessory glands in each of two biological replicates per genotype, and RNA was extracted. cDNA was synthesized from 120 ng of total RNA using the iScript cDNA synthesis kit (Bio-Rad). We performed qPCR for each of three technical replicates per biological replicate using Maxima SYBR Green (Thermo Scientific). GAPDH was the internal control. The levels of expression for each gene were normalized against the internal control and compared to the RNAi line control to determine differences in gene expression of the RNAi mutants. We first assessed overall differences in gene expression using the ANOVA model $Y = \mu + L + G + L\times G + Rep(L\times G) + e$, where $L$ indicates the RNAi or control line, $G$ is the gene tested. $R$ is biological replicate, nested within line and gene, and $e$ is the residual (technical replicate) variance. Reduced models of form $Y = \mu + L + Rep(L) + e$ were run for each gene. Effect and p-Value summaries were performed to determine significant differences of the RNAi line from the control line. All analyses were performed using JMP Pro 14 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

**Data availability**

The DNA sequencing raw data are publicly available on GEO under SRA Project ID PRJNA288655. Supplementary Table 1 gives the raw data for the four week productivity assay of the pure and reciprocal crosses between the O and B lines. Supplementary Table 2 gives the analyses of variance (ANOVA) of O and B line productivity. Supplementary Table 3 shows the genomic regions containing genetically divergent SNPs. Supplementary Table 4 gives the list of 57 candidate genes tested, including their FlyBase ID, gene name, gene, symbol, and the Vienna stock number used in the RNAi assay. Supplementary Table 5 gives the raw lifespan data for GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Table 6 gives the raw lifetime productivity data for GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Table 7 gives the raw weekly productivity data for GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Table 8 gives the analyses of variance (ANOVA) of lifespan for GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Table 9 gives the analyses of variance (ANOVA) of lifetime productivity for GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Table 10 gives the analyses of variance (ANOVA) of weekly productivity for GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Table 11 gives the analyses of variance (ANOVA) of ovary qPCR for GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Table 12 gives the analyses of variance (ANOVA) of accessory gland qPCR for GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Table 13 is a summary of genes for which RNAi affects lifespan and/or productivity. Supplementary Figure 1 shows the results of ovary qPCR for the difference in expression between GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplementary Figure 2 show the results of accessory gland qPCR for the difference in expression between GAL4-c825 × UAS-RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.11503278.

**RESULTS**

**Maternal effects on productivity in the O and B lines**

In order to assess whether the net effects of selection on reproductive senescence were maternally or paternally controlled, we quantified productivity for females of each of the O and B lines at one, two, three and four weeks of age. We assessed productivity for O females crossed to males of the same O line, O females crossed to B males; B females crossed to males from the same B line, and B females crossed to O males. We found little difference in the total number of viable offspring between any of these crosses for young flies, but divergence between the O and B genotypes at later ages (Figure 1, Supplementary Tables 1, 2). The B line females exhibited lower reproduction by week four than O line females, but no differences depending on the male genotype. In the cross with an O female and B male, there was higher productivity at week four than the cross of the O female and O male. These data indicate that productivity is largely maternally controlled, although the overall analysis does indicate significant effects of the week by male genotype ($P = 0.012$) and female genotype by male genotype ($P = 0.013$) interaction.

**Genomic intervals containing candidate genes**

Carnes et al. (Carnes et al. 2015) sequenced the genomes and transcriptomes of the O and B lines and assessed the genetic divergence
between them, identifying 6,394 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in or near 1,925 genes with nominally significant ($P < 10^{-3}$) allele frequency differences, nearly 300 of which showed gene expression changes consistent with delayed senescence between young and old flies of the O and B lines. There were some large regions of genetic divergence between the O and B lines in this study, especially on the X chromosome. We re-analyzed these data, imposing the more stringent criterion that genetically divergent variants were those for which the average difference in allele frequency between the O and B lines was greater than 0.8. This analysis identified individual variants as well as intervals, some of which were quite large, containing multiple genetically divergent SNPs (Figure 2, Supplementary Table 3). The large genetically divergent intervals may have arisen as a consequence of selective sweeps, and Figure 2 presumably contains causal variants as well as linked non-causal loci that were driven to fixation or near fixation under selection for delayed reproductive senescence in the O lines relative to the B lines. Existing genetic data cannot resolve these regions any further. Therefore, it is necessary to assess the effects of each candidate gene on lifespan and reproduction in order to infer which ones are likely to harbor one or more functional polymorphisms responding to selection.

**Effects of RNAi on lifespan and productivity**

We chose 57 candidate genes (Supplementary Table 4) that were either located in the intervals with high SNP divergence between the O and B lines (Supplementary Table 3), or that had low $P$-values from the previous genetic divergence analysis (Carnes et al. 2015) but for which the average allele frequency difference between the O and B lines was less than 0.8. The following criteria were used to choose among the many genes in the high divergence intervals: (1) the $P$-value for individual SNP divergence (Carnes et al. 2015) is $< 10^{-3}$; (2) the candidate gene is expressed in ovaries and accessory glands since the productivity assay of the O and B lines implicated a strong maternal and weaker paternal effect, and selection was on reproductive senescence; and (3) viable RNAi stocks with no off-target effects were available. We assessed lifespan (Supplementary Table 5), average lifetime reproduction (Supplementary Table 6), and average weekly reproduction (Supplementary Table 7) for the F1 progeny of UAS-RNAi lines crossed to GAL4-c825, and F1 progeny of the crosses of an appropriate control line without the UAS transgene and the GAL4 driver. GAL4-c825 is expressed in amniosera, adult female ovary, and adult male accessory glands and seminal vesicles and is therefore expected to reduce gene expression specifically in these tissues.

RNAi of 47 of the 57 genes tested affected lifespan (Figure 3, Supplementary Table 8). RNAi of 26 genes increased lifespan and 31 genes decreased lifespan in at least one sex; 10 of these genes had sexually antagonistic effects on lifespan (**capu**, **Cdc7**, **CG13369**, **CG3326**, **Dredd**, **egh**, **lanma**, **MED22**, **Nmd3**, **Pgan1**). The effects on lifespan were highly sex-specific: seven genes increased lifespan in both sexes and six decreased lifespan in both sexes; eight genes increased lifespan in only one sex and 15 decreased lifespan in only one sex.

RNAi of 15 genes affected total lifetime productivity: $zfo$. RNAi increased total productivity, while **blw**, **CG13933**, **CG14869**, **CG17777**, **CG5254**, **CG7971**, **Crn**, **Cyce**, **Cyp4g1**, **Dredd**, **Fdx1**, **I(f1)G0004**, **ND-ACP** and **Rpl22** RNAi decreased total productivity (Figure 4, Supplementary Table 9). However, RNAi of 50 candidate genes affected productivity in at least one week (Figure 5, Supplementary Table 10) and RNAi of 18 genes increased productivity in at least one week. Several genes appeared to have a tradeoff in reproduction. RNAi of **babos**, **capu**, **Eip75B**, **MED22**, and **sov** increased productivity at an early age and decreased productivity at later ages. RNAi of **CG13369**, **CG32809**, **CG4281**, **CG4554**, **DAAM**, and **wds** lowered productivity at an early age and increased productivity at later ages.

Given these data on lifespan and productivity, we can now assess the extent to which RNAi of these candidate genes gives the antagonistic pleiotropic effects between different fitness traits predicted by evolutionary theory (Williams 1957). RNAi of six genes affects lifespan and not productivity (Figure 3, Figure 5): **Lztr1**, **Nmd3**, **pxc** and **Pgan1** increase female lifespan with no deleterious effect on productivity; while **CG18273** and **TRAM** decrease male lifespan but do not have significant effects on productivity. RNAi of nine genes affects productivity without significant effects on lifespan: **CG7970**, **CG13933**, **Hp32**, **Hsl**, **I(f1)G0004** and **Fdx1** all decrease productivity; **babos** and **sov** have increased productivity early in life and decreased productivity later in life; and **pwi** has increased productivity at an early age. RNAi of **blw**, **boi**, **CG12018**, **CG14869**, **CG16888**, **CG17777**, **CG452**, **CG5254**, **Crn**, **Cyce**, **Cyp4g1**, **dx**, **ND-ACP**, **pn** and **Rpl22** had deleterious effects on lifespan in at least one sex and productivity in at least one week; and RNAi of **CG3071**, **sgg** and $zfo$ increased both lifespan and productivity in at least one sex and at least one week. RNAi of **dnc** had no effects on either trait.

RNAi of the remaining 23 genes had antagonistic pleiotropic effects on lifespan and/or productivity (Figures 3 and 5, Supplementary Tables 8 and 10). RNAi of **abo**, **CG3760**, **CG7971**, **fs(1)N**, **G9a**, and **Klp67A** had increased lifespan in at least one sex and decreased early productivity. RNAi of **CG3704**, **msn** and **sra** decreased lifespan in at least one sex and increased early productivity. RNAi of **Cdc7**, **CG3326**, **Dredd**, **egh** and **lanma** decreased productivity but had sexually antagonistic effects on lifespan; whereas RNAi of **CG32809**, **CG4281**, **CG4554**, **DAAM**, and **wds** increased lifespan in at least one sex and decreased early and increased late productivity. RNAi of **Eip75B** decreased female lifespan and had increased early and decreased late productivity. Finally, RNAi of **capu** and **MED22** had increased early and decreased late productivity and decreased female and increased male lifespan; while RNAi of **CG13369** reduced early and increased late productivity and increased female and decreased male lifespan.

**Effects of RNAi knockdown on RNA abundance**

We assessed the effectiveness of the RNAi knockdown for 16 candidate genes in female ovaries and RNAi knockdown of 12 genes in male accessory glands using quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Supplementary Tables 11, 12; Supplementary Figures 1, 2). At the individual gene level, nine
of RNAi lines tested in females and six of the RNAi lines tested in males had significantly reduced expression compared to the control. However, all genes (with the exception of abo in accessory glands) had lower point estimates of expression in the RNAi lines relative to the control, and the combined analyses across all genes showed significant effects of gene (Supplementary Tables 11, 12). Based on the large standard errors, we infer the qPCR experiment to quantify gene expression differences between the RNAi lines and the control were underpowered, and that the number of biological samples would need to be increased to detect small changes in gene expression for some of these genes. In contrast, the phenotypic data were based on large sample sizes and are thus reliable read-outs of the effects of small differences in gene expression in reproductive tissues.

**DISCUSSION**

Natural *D. melanogaster* populations harbor considerable segregating genetic variation for lifespan, as evidenced by the large number of QTL affecting lifespan (Nuzhdin *et al.* 1997; Leips and Mackay 2000; Pasyukova *et al.* 2000; Vieira *et al.* 2000; Leips and Mackay 2002; Forbes *et al.* 2004; Wilson *et al.* 2006; Durham *et al.* 2014; Ivanov *et al.* 2015; Huang *et al.* 2020) and rapid evolution of long-lived strains by selecting for increased age of reproduction from several different geographical populations (Rose 1984; Luckinbill *et al.* 1984; Sgro and Partridge 1999). Previously, we assessed the genetic divergence between five long-lived O lines selected for postponed senescence and five B control lines with normal lifespan (Rose 1984; Carnes *et al.* 2015), and identified 1,925 nominally significant (*P* < 10^{-3}) genes based on analyses of individual variants. Here, we re-analyzed these data for signatures of local selective sweeps involving multiple variants and identified 1,071 genes in these intervals. Clearly, the genetic architecture of natural variation in lifespan is highly polygenic.

These data can be used to begin to address two fundamental questions regarding naturally occurring genetic variation for lifespan and reproductive senescence: what are the causal genes, and what is the distribution of direct and pleiotropic effects of causal variants on each
trait? However, not all of the candidate genes implicated by the divergence analyses are likely to be causal, since selection will cause local linkage disequilibrium between the focal variants and closely linked variants. We chose a subset of candidate genes to evaluate with low divergence $P$-values and for which RNAi reagents with no off-target effects were publicly available. In addition, we assessed whether the difference in reproductive senescence between the O and B lines was due to maternal genotype, paternal genotype, or both. We found that late life reproductive capacity is primarily determined by the female genotype since O line females are on average more productive in weeks three and four than are B line females. However, the male genotype is also significant at weeks one, three and four, such
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\caption{Differences in lifespan between GAL4-c825 × UAS RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Error bars are ± 1 SE. Significance of the difference from control ($P < 0.05$) is denoted by darker colors. Red bars: Females. Blue bars: Males.}
\end{figure}
that the productivity of O females crossed to B males is greater than that of O females crossed to O males. One plausible explanation for the paternal effect may be differences in the accessory gland products between the O and B males. Male accessory gland products evolve rapidly and have profound effects on female life history traits, increasing egg production, decreasing lifespan and reducing the probability of re-mating (Sirot et al. 2015). It is possible that accessory gland products of O males are not as effective in reducing female lifespan and increasing early egg production as those of B males. Thus, we chose to evaluate candidate genes that are expressed in both ovaries and accessory glands and used a GAL4 driver that is specific to these tissues (as well as for amniosera and seminal vesicles).

Of the 57 candidate genes tested, all but dunce affected lifespan and/or productivity (Supplementary Table 13). RNAi of six genes increased lifespan and productivity; RNAi of CG3071, sgg and zf30c results in increased lifespan and productivity; RNAi of Lztr1 and pcp increases lifespan with no deleterious effects on productivity; while piwi RNAi increases productivity with no deleterious

---

**Figure 4** Differences in lifetime productivity (number of adult offspring) between GAL4-c825 × UAS RNAi and GAL4-c825 × control F1 flies. Error bars are ± 1 SE. Significance of the difference from control (P < 0.05) is denoted by darker colors.
effects on lifespan. Thus, increasing lifespan does not necessarily occur at the cost of reduced reproductive capacity (although other, unmeasured, fitness components may be affected). *piwi* and *sgg* are highly pleiotropic genes known to affect oogenesis; in addition, both genes have been independently associated with naturally occurring genetic variation in lifespan (Huang et al. 2020) and response to selection for delayed reproductive senescence (Luckinbill et al. 1984; Fabian et al. 2018).

Not surprisingly, RNAi of 23 genes decreased lifespan and/or productivity (Supplementary Table 13), as would be expected if normal levels of expression of these genes in ovaries and accessory glands are required for average lifespan and productivity. This suggests there may be naturally occurring variation in levels of expression of these genes in these tissues, and selection resulted in their increased expression in ovaries and accessory glands in the O lines. Regardless of whether this testable hypothesis is true, variation in nine of these genes (*blw*, *CG14969*, *CG4452*, *CG7970*, *CycE*, *Fdx1*, *Hsp22*, *l(1)G0004*, *ND-ACP*) has been independently associated with variation in lifespan (Garcia et al. 2017; Huang et al. 2020) and response to selection for delayed reproductive senescence (Remolina et al. 2012) in other populations.

RNAi of the remaining 27 genes in ovaries and accessory glands resulted in antagonistic pleiotropic effects that varied in their complexity (Supplementary Table 13): antagonistic pleiotropy between lifespan and reproduction; sexual antagonistic pleiotropy for lifespan with no effects on productivity; antagonistic pleiotropic effects on early and late reproduction; sexual antagonistic pleiotropy for lifespan and antagonistic pleiotropy between lifespan and reproduction for one sex; antagonistic pleiotropy between early and late reproduction and antagonistic pleiotropy between lifespan and early reproduction; and sexual antagonistic pleiotropy for lifespan, antagonistic pleiotropy between early and late reproduction, and antagonistic pleiotropy between lifespan and reproduction. Variation in genes exhibiting antagonistic effects between female lifespan and productivity affects
alternative resource allocation strategies in terms of reproduction and somatic cell maintenance. Variation in genes with sexually antagonistic effects on lifespan affects variation in alternative life history strategies in the two sexes. All sources of antagonistic pleiotropy can lead to the maintenance of genetic variation for lifespan and reproductive senescence at intermediate frequencies in natural populations, depending on the model assumptions (Levene 1953; Williams 1957; Zajitschek and Connallon 2018). Seven genes (babos, capa, CG4554, CG7971, DAAM, Eip75B, Klp67A) with antagonistic pleiotropic effects between the sexes and/or between lifespan and productivity were associated with variation in lifespan or response to selection for delayed reproductive senescence in independent populations (Luckinbill et al. 1984; Remolina et al. 2012; Fabian et al. 2018; Huang et al. 2019).

In addition to piwi and sgg, 10 of the candidate genes also affect oogenesis, spermatogenesis or another aspect of reproduction (abo, capa, Cdc7, CycE, Dredd, egh, Eip75B, fs(1)N, MED22, sra) (Supplementary Table 13) (Rübsam et al. 1998; Kozlova and Thummel 2000; Takeo et al. 2012; Quinlan 2013; Lu and Fuller 2015; Stephenson et al. 2015; Ellis and Carney 2011). Many of the candidate genes have pleiotropic effects on a large number of different molecular functions and biological processes; for these genes, it is not obvious via which of these annotations the effect on lifespan and reproduction is exerted. For example, Dredd affects sperm individualization, but also regulates defense against Gram-negative bacteria (Leulier et al. 2000; Jang et al. 2006); Eip75B affects oogenesis and also antimicrobial humoral response (Kleino et al. 2005), and Cdc7 affects histone phosphorylation and eggshell chorion gene amplification (Stephenson et al. 2015). Genes known to affect a host of different biological processes affect lifespan and/or productivity, including (but not limited to) mRNA splicing (Herold et al. 2009), ATP synthesis (Di Cara et al. 2013), detoxification of xenobiotics (Qiu et al. 2012), translation (Alonso and Santarén 2006), carbohydrate phosphorylation (Gaudet et al. 2011), oligosaccharide biosynthetic processing (Ten Hagen et al. 2003), microtubule segregation (Zhang et al. 2007) and imaginal disc cell formation (Klebes et al. 2005). Finally, this study has annotated effects on lifespan and productivity for 10 computationally predicted genes (CG13760, CG14969, CG17777, CG18273, CG32809, CG4281, CG4452, CG4554, CG5254, CG7970) for which prior information on their function was lacking.

This study is the first step to ‘reverse engineer’ the genomic response to selection for delayed reproductive senescence and the accompanying correlated response of increased lifespan from variation segregating in a natural population. We have shown that an RNAi screen targeting knockdown of gene expression in reproductive tissues in both sexes for genes that exhibit genetic divergence in replicate selection lines successfully identified genes affecting lifespan and/or reproduction. Further, the effects of RNAi knockdown are consistent with predictions of evolutionary theory, in that RNAi knockdown can result in complex sexually antagonistic pleiotropic effects on lifespan and antagonistic pleiotropic effects between lifespan and productivity – such alleles would remain segregating at intermediate frequencies in nature. RNAI resulting in increased or decreased lifespan (and/or productivity) may mimic alleles remaining at intermediate frequency due to antagonistic pleiotropic effects on other fitness traits not assessed in his study. However, it is important to note that many genes for which RNAi caused decreased lifespan and/or productivity may not be direct targets of selection, but in linkage disequilibrium with the true causal loci. Several of our candidate genes are very closely linked, and only one of the genes in a high divergence interval has increased lifespan and/or productivity. For example, Klp67A has increased female lifespan, but not the tightly linked genes CG4452, Fdx1 and Hsp22, which all have decreased lifespan and/or productivity; and Nmd3 has increased female lifespan but the adjacent gene pm has decreased female lifespan (Supplementary Table 13). On the other hand, fs(1)N and DAAM are adjacent; as are CG13760, wds and egh; RNAi of all of these genes causes increased lifespan (Supplementary Table 13). Therefore, some large divergence intervals may actually be due to selection of several closely linked genes with effects in the same direction.

The genes for which RNAi causes increased lifespan in at least one sex are excellent candidates for the next step of the reverse engineering paradigm, which is to identify the actual variants that cause increased lifespan. Are there single variants in these genes that have sexually antagonistic effects on lifespan or antagonistic pleiotropic effects on productivity, or are different, closely linked variants in these genes independently causing these effects? What are the mechanisms by which these variants affect lifespan, and how do variants in different genes interact? Are their effects the same in short-lived and long-lived genetic backgrounds? Recent advances in gene editing technology will facilitate these future studies.
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